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Abstract We investigate the estimation of a multiplicative separable regression function from a bi-dimensional nonparametric regression model with random design. We present a general estimator for this problem and study its mean integrated squared error (MISE) properties. A wavelet version of this estimator is developed. In some situations, we prove that it attains the standard unidimensional rate of convergence under the MISE over Besov balls.
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1 Motivations

We consider the bi-dimensional nonparametric regression model with random design described as follows. Let \((Y_i, U_i, V_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\) be a stochastic process defined on a probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})\), where

\[ Y_i = h(U_i, V_i) + \xi_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1} \]

\((\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\) is a strictly stationary stochastic process, \((U_i, V_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\) is a strictly stationary stochastic process with support in \([0, 1]^2\) and \(h : [0, 1]^2 \to \mathbb{R}\) is an unknown bivariate regression function. It is assumed that \(\mathbb{E}(\xi_1) = 0\), \(\mathbb{E}(\xi_1^2)\) exists, \((U_i, V_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\) are independent, \((\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\) are independent and, for any \(i \in \mathbb{Z}\), \((U_i, V_i)\) and \(\xi_i\) are independent. In this study, we focus our attention on the case where \(h\) is a multiplicative separable regression function: there exist two functions \(f : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}\) and \(g : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}\) such that

\[ h(x, y) = f(x)g(y). \tag{2} \]
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We aim to estimate \( h \) from the \( n \) random variables: \((Y_1, U_1, V_1), \ldots, (Y_n, U_n, V_n)\). This problem is plausible in many practical situations as in utility, production, and cost function applications. See, e.g., Linton and Nielsen (1995), Yatchew and Bos (1997), Pinske (2000), Lewbel and Linton (2007) and Jacho-Chávez et al. (2010).

In this paper, we provide a theoretical contribution to the subject by introducing a new general estimation method for \( h \). A sharp upper bound for its associated mean integrated squared error (MISE) is proved. Then we adapt our methodology to propose an efficient and adaptive wavelet procedure. It is based on two wavelet thresholding estimators having the features to be adaptive for a wide class of unknown functions and enjoying nice MISE properties. Further details on such wavelet estimators can be found in, e.g., Antoniadis (1997), Vidakovic (1999) and Härdle et al. (1998). Despite the so-called "curse of dimension" coming from the bi-dimensionality of (1), we prove that our wavelet estimator attains the standard unidimensional rate of convergence under the MISE over Besov balls (for both the homogeneous and inhomogeneous zones). It completes asymptotic results proved by Linton and Nielsen (1995) via non adaptive kernel methods for the structured nonparametric regression model.

The paper is organized as follows. Assumptions on (1) and some notations are introduced in Section 2. Section 3 presents our general MISE result. Section 4 is devoted to our wavelet estimator and its performances in terms of rate of convergence under the MISE over Besov balls. Technical proofs are collected in Section 5.

2 Assumptions and notations

For any \( p \geq 1 \), we set
\[
L^p([0, 1]) = \left\{ v : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}; \|v\|_p = \left( \int_0^1 |v(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.
\]
We set
\[
e_o = \int_0^1 f(x) dx, \quad e_* = \int_0^1 g(x) dx,
\]
(provided that they exist).
We formulate the following assumptions.

(H1) There exists a known constant \( C_1 > 0 \) such that
\[
\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)| \leq C_1.
\]

(H2) There exists a known constant \( C_2 > 0 \) such that
\[
\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |g(x)| \leq C_2.
\]
(H3) The density of \((U_1, V_1)\), denoted by \(q\), is known and there exist two constants \(c_3 > 0\) and \(C_3 > 0\) such that
\[
c_3 \leq \inf_{(x,y) \in [0,1]^2} q(x,y), \quad \sup_{(x,y) \in [0,1]^2} q(x,y) \leq C_3.
\]

(H4) There exists a known constant \(\omega > 0\) such that
\[
|e_o e^*| \geq \omega.
\]

The assumptions (H1) and (H2), involving the boundedness of \(h\), are standard in nonparametric regression models. The knowledge of \(q\) discussed in (H3) is restrictive but plausible in some situations, the most common case being \((U_1, V_1) \sim U([0,1]^2)\) (the uniform distribution on \([0,1]^2\)). Finally, mention that (H4) is just a technical assumption more realistic to the knowledge of \(e_o\) and \(e^*\) (depending on \(f\) and \(g\) respectively).

3 MISE result

Theorem 1 presents an estimator for \(h\) and shows an upper bound for its MISE.

**Theorem 1** We consider (1) under (H1)-(H4). We introduce the following estimator for \(h\) (2):
\[
\hat{h}(x,y) = \frac{\hat{f}(x)\hat{g}(y)}{\hat{e}} 1_{|\hat{e}| \geq \omega/2}, \tag{3}
\]
where \(\hat{f}\) denotes an arbitrary estimator for \(fe^*\) in \(L^2([0,1])\), \(\hat{g}\) denotes an arbitrary estimator for \(ge_o\) in \(L^2([0,1])\), \(1\) denotes the indicator function,
\[
\hat{e} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{Y_i}{q(U_i, V_i)}
\]
and \(\omega\) refers to (H4).

Then there exists a constant \(C > 0\) such that
\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (\hat{h}(x,y) - h(x,y))^2 \, dx \, dy \right) \leq C \left( \mathbb{E}(||\hat{g} - ge_o||_2^2) + \mathbb{E}(||\hat{f} - fe^*||_2^2) + \mathbb{E}(||\hat{g} - ge_o||_2^2 ||\hat{f} - fe^*||_2^2) + \frac{1}{n} \right).
\]

The form of \(\hat{h}\) (3) is derived to the multiplicative separable structure of \(h\) (2) and a ratio-type normalization. Other results about such ratio-type estimators in a general statistical context can be found in Vasiliev (2012).

Based on Theorem 1, \(\hat{h}\) is efficient for \(h\) if and only if \(\hat{f}\) is efficient for \(fe^*\) and \(\hat{g}\) is efficient for \(ge_o\) in terms of MISE. This result motivates the investigation of wavelet methods enjoying adaptivity for a wide class of unknown functions and having optimal properties under the MISE. For details on the interests of wavelet methods in nonparametric statistics, we refer to Antoniadis (1997), Vidakovic (1999) and Härdle et al. (1998).
4 Adaptive wavelet estimation

Before introducing our wavelet estimators, let us present some basics on wavelets.

4.1 Wavelet basis on $[0,1]$

Let us briefly recall the construction of wavelet basis on the interval $[0,1]$ introduced by Cohen et al. (1993). Let $N$ be a positive integer, $\phi$ and $\psi$ be the initial wavelets of the Daubechies orthogonal wavelets $db_{2N}$. We set

$$\phi_{j,k}(x) = 2^{j/2}\phi(2^j x - k), \quad \psi_{j,k}(x) = 2^{j/2}\psi(2^j x - k).$$

With appropriate treatments at the boundaries, there exists an integer $\tau$ satisfying $2^{\tau} \geq 2N$ such that the collection $S = \{\phi_{\tau,k}(\cdot), k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^\tau - 1\}; \psi_{j,k}(\cdot); j \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, \ldots, \tau - 1\}, k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^j - 1\}\}$, is an orthonormal basis of $L^2([0,1])$.

For any integer $\ell \geq \tau$, any $v \in L^2([0,1])$ can be expanded on $S$ as

$$v(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^\tau-1} \alpha_{\ell,k} \phi_{\ell,k}(x) + \sum_{j=\ell}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j-1}-1} \beta_{j,k} \psi_{j,k}(x), \quad x \in [0,1],$$

where $\alpha_{j,k}$ and $\beta_{j,k}$ are the wavelet coefficients of $v$ defined by

$$\alpha_{j,k} = \int_0^1 v(x) \phi_{j,k}(x) dx, \quad \beta_{j,k} = \int_0^1 v(x) \psi_{j,k}(x) dx. \quad (4)$$

4.2 Besov balls

For the sake of simplicity, we consider the sequential version of Besov balls defined as follows. Let $M > 0$, $s > 0$, $p \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$. A function $v$ belongs to $B^s_{p,r}(M)$ if and only if there exists a constant $M^* > 0$ (depending on $M$) such that the associated wavelet coefficients (4) satisfy

$$2^{(1/2-1/p)} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{2^\tau-1} |\alpha_{\tau,k}|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left( \sum_{j=\tau}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{2^{j-1}-1} |\beta_{j,k}|^p \right)^{1/p} \right)^r \leq M^*.$$

In this expression, $s$ is a smoothness parameter and $p$ and $r$ are norm parameters. For a particular choice of $s$, $p$ and $r$, $B^s_{p,r}(M)$ contains the Hölder and Sobolev balls. See, e.g., DeVore and Popov (1988), Meyer (1992) and Härdle et al. (1998).
4.3 Hard thresholding estimators

In the sequel, we consider (1) under (H1)-(H4).

We focus our attention on wavelet hard thresholding estimators for \( \tilde{f} \) and \( \tilde{g} \) in (3). They are based on a term-by-term selection of estimators of the wavelet coefficients of the unknown function. Those which are greater to a threshold are kept, the other are removed. This selection is the key to the adaptivity and the good performances of the hard wavelet estimators. See, e.g., Donoho et al. (1996), Delyon and Juditsky (1996) and Härdle et al. (1998).

**Estimator \( \tilde{f} \) for \( f e \).** We define the hard thresholding estimator \( \tilde{f} \) by

\[
\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^r-1} \hat{\alpha}_{r,k} \phi_{r,k}(x) + \sum_{j=r}^{j_1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \hat{\beta}_{j,k} 1\{ |\hat{\beta}_{j,k}| \geq \kappa C_* \lambda_n \} \psi_{j,k}(x),
\]

where

\[
\hat{\alpha}_{r,k} = \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{i=1}^{a_n} Y_i q(U_i, V_i) \phi_{r,k}(U_i),
\]
\[
\hat{\beta}_{j,k} = \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=1}^{b_n} W_{i,j,k} 1\{ |W_{i,j,k}| \leq C_* \lambda_n \},
\]
\[
W_{i,j,k} = \frac{Y_i q(U_i, V_i)}{q(U_i, V_i)} \psi_{j,k}(U_i),
\]

\( a_n \) is the integer part of \( n/2 \),
\( b_n = n - a_n \),
\( j_1 \) is the integer satisfying
\[
\frac{1}{2 \ln a_n} < \frac{a_n}{\ln a_n} < 2^{j_1},
\]
\( \kappa \) is a large enough constant, \( C_* = \sqrt{2(C_\beta/c_\beta^2)(C_1^2 C_2^2 + E(\xi_1^4))} \) and
\[
\lambda_n = \sqrt{\frac{\ln b_n}{a_n}}.
\]

**Estimator \( \tilde{g} \) for \( g e \).** We define the hard thresholding estimator \( \tilde{g} \) by

\[
\tilde{g}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^r-1} \hat{\nu}_{r,k} \phi_{r,k}(x) + \sum_{j=r}^{j_2} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \hat{\theta}_{j,k} 1\{ |\hat{\theta}_{j,k}| \leq \kappa C_* \eta_n \} \psi_{j,k}(x),
\]

where

\[
\hat{\nu}_{r,k} = \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=1}^{b_n} Y_{a_n+i} q(U_{a_n+i}, V_{a_n+i}) \phi_{r,k}(V_{a_n+i}),
\]
\( a_n \) is the integer part of \( n/2 \),
\( b_n = n - a_n \),
\[
\hat{\theta}_{j,k} = \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=1}^{b_n} Z_{a_n+i,j,k} 1\{ |Z_{a_n+i,j,k}| \leq C_* \eta_n \},
\]
\[
Z_{a_n+i,j,k} = \frac{Y_{a_n+i} q(U_{a_n+i}, V_{a_n+i})}{q(U_{a_n+i}, V_{a_n+i})} \psi_{j,k}(V_{a_n+i}),
\]
\( j_2 \) is the integer satisfying
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{b_n}{\ln b_n} < 2^{j_2} \leq \frac{b_n}{\ln b_n}.
\]

\( \kappa_* \) is a large enough constant, \( C_* = \sqrt{2(C_3/c_1^2)(C_1^2C_2^2 + \mathbb{E}(\xi_1^4))} \) and
\[
\eta_n = \sqrt{\frac{\ln b_n}{b_n}}.
\]

Estimator for \( h \): From \( \tilde{f} \) (5) and \( \tilde{g} \) (6), we consider the following estimator for \( h \) (2):
\[
\hat{h}(x, y) = \frac{\tilde{f}(x)\tilde{g}(y)}{\tilde{c}} 1_{|\tilde{e}| \geq \omega/2}, \tag{7}
\]
where
\[
\tilde{c} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{Y_i}{q(U_i, V_i)}
\]
and \( \omega \) refers to (H4).

Let us mention that \( \hat{h} \) is adaptive in the sense that it does not depend on \( f \) or \( g \) in its construction.

Remark 1 Since \( \tilde{f} \) is defined with \( (Y_1, U_1, V_1), \ldots, (Y_{a_n}, U_{a_n}, V_{a_n}) \) and \( \tilde{g} \) is defined with \( (Y_{a_n+1}, U_{a_n+1}, V_{a_n+1}), \ldots, (Y_n, U_n, V_n) \), thanks to the independence of \( (Y_1, U_1, V_1), \ldots, (Y_n, U_n, V_n) \), \( \tilde{f} \) and \( \tilde{g} \) are independent.

Remark 2 The calibration of the parameters in \( \tilde{f} \) and \( \tilde{g} \) is based on theoretical considerations; thus defined, \( \tilde{f} \) and \( \tilde{g} \) can attain a fast rate of convergence under the MISE over Besov balls. See (Chaubey et al. 2013, Theorem 6.1). Further details are given in the proof of Theorem 2.

4.4 Rate of convergence

Theorem 2 investigates the rate of convergence attains by \( \hat{h} \) under the MISE over Besov balls.

**Theorem 2** We consider (1) under (H1)-(H4). Let \( \hat{h} \) be (7) and \( h \) be (2). Suppose that

- \( f \in B^{s_1}_{p_1, r_1}(M_1) \) with \( M_1 > 0 \), \( r_1 \geq 1 \), either \( \{p_1 \geq 2 \text{ and } s_1 > 0\} \) or \( \{p_1 \in [1, 2] \text{ and } s_1 > 1/p_1\} \),
- \( g \in B^{s_2}_{p_2, r_2}(M_2) \) with \( M_2 > 0 \), \( r_2 \geq 1 \), either \( \{p_2 \geq 2 \text{ and } s_2 > 0\} \) or \( \{p_2 \in [1, 2] \text{ and } s_2 > 1/p_2\} \).
Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$
E \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (\hat{h}(x,y) - h(x,y))^2 dx dy \right) \leq C \left( \frac{\ln n}{n} \right)^{2s^*/(2s^*+1)},
$$

where $s^* = \min(s_1, s_2)$.

The rate of convergence $(\ln n/n)^{2s^*/(2s^*+d)}$ is the near optimal one in the minimax sense for the unidimensional regression model with random design under the MISE over Besov balls $B_{p_1}^{s_1} (M)$. See, e.g., Tsybakov (2004) and Härdle et al. (1998). In this sense, Theorem 2 proves that our estimator escapes to the so-called “curse of dimension”. Such a result is not possible with the standard bi-dimensional hard thresholding estimator attaining the rate of convergence $(\ln n/n)^{2s/(2s+d)}$ with $d = 2$ under the MISE over bi-dimensional Besov balls defined with $s$ as smoothness parameter. See Delyon and Juditsky (1996).

Theorem 2 completes asymptotic results proved by Linton and Nielsen (1995) investigating this problem for the structured nonparametric regression model via another estimation method based on non adaptive kernels.

**Remark 3** In Theorem 2, we take into account both the homogeneous zone of Besov balls, i.e., $\{p_1 \geq 2 \text{ and } s_1 > 0\}$, and the inhomogeneous zone, i.e., $\{p_1 \in [1,2) \text{ and } s_1 > 1/p_1\}$, for the case $f \in B_{p_1,s_1}^{s_1} (M_1)$, and the same for $g \in B_{p_2,s_2}^{s_2} (M_2)$. This has the advantage to cover a very rich class of unknown regression functions $h$.

**Remark 4** Note that Theorem 2 does not require the knowledge of the distribution of $\xi_1$: $\{E(\xi_1) = 0 \text{ and the existence of } E(\xi_1^2) \}$ is enough.

**Remark 5** Our study can be extended to the multidimensional case considered by Yatchew and Bos (1997), i.e., $f : [0,1]^{q_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : [0,1]^{q_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $q_1$ and $q_2$ denoting two positive integer. In this case, adapting our framework to the multidimensional case ($q_1$ dimensional Besov balls, $q_1$ dimensional (tensorial) wavelet basis, $q_1$ dimensional wavelet hard thresholding estimator... see, for instance, Delyon and Juditsky (1996)), one can prove that (3) attains the rate of convergence $(\ln n/n)^{2s^*/(2s^*+q_*)}$, where $s^* = \min(s_1, s_2)$ and $q_* = \max(q_1, q_2)$.

## 5 Proofs

In this section, for the sake of simplicity, $C$ denotes a generic constant; its value may change from one term to another.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Observe that

$$\hat{h}(x,y) - h(x,y) = \frac{\hat{f}(x)\hat{g}(y)}{\hat{e}} 1_{\{|\hat{e}| \geq \omega/2\}} - f(x)g(y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\hat{e}} (\hat{f}(x)\hat{g}(y) - f(x)g(y)\hat{e}) 1_{\{|\hat{e}| \geq \omega/2\}} - f(x)g(y) 1_{\{|\hat{e}| < \omega/2\}}.$$
Therefore, using the triangular inequality, the Markov inequality, (H1), (H2), (H4), \( \{ |\bar{e}| < \omega/2 \} \cap \{ e_* e_o \geq \omega \} \subseteq \{ |\bar{e} - e_* e_o| \geq \omega/2 \} \) and again the Markov inequality, we get

\[
\frac{1}{2} |\tilde{h}(x, y) - h(x, y)| - \frac{1}{2} |f(x)||g(y)||1_{\{ |\tilde{e}| < \omega/2 \}}
\leq C \left( |\tilde{f}(x)||\tilde{g}(y)| - f(x)g(y)\tilde{\bar{e}}| + |f(x)||g(y)||1_{\{ |\tilde{e} - e_* e_o| \geq \omega/2 \}} \right)
\leq C \left( |\tilde{f}(x)||\tilde{g}(y)| - f(x)g(y)\tilde{\bar{e}}| + |\tilde{e} - e_* e_o| \right).
\]

On the other hand, we have the decomposition

\[
\tilde{f}(x)\tilde{g}(y) - f(x)g(y)\tilde{\bar{e}} \\
= f(x)e_*(\tilde{g}(y) - g(y)e_o) + g(y)e_o(\tilde{f}(x) - f(x)e_*) + (\tilde{g}(y) - g(y)e_o)(\tilde{f}(x) - f(x)e_*) \\
+ f(x)g(y)(e_* e_o - \bar{e}).
\]

Owing to the triangular inequality, (H1) and (H2), we have

\[
|\tilde{f}(x)||\tilde{g}(y)| - f(x)g(y)\tilde{\bar{e}}| \\
\leq C(|\tilde{g}(y)| - g(y)e_o| + |\tilde{f}(x) - f(x)e_*| + |\tilde{g}(y)| - g(y)e_o||\tilde{f}(x) - f(x)e_*| \\
+ |\tilde{e} - e_* e_o|).
\]

Putting (8) and (9) together, we obtain

\[
|\tilde{h}(x, y) - h(x, y)| \\
\leq C(|\tilde{g}(y)| - g(y)e_o| + |\tilde{f}(x) - f(x)e_*| + |\tilde{g}(y)| - g(y)e_o||\tilde{f}(x) - f(x)e_*| \\
+ |\tilde{e} - e_* e_o|).
\]

Therefore, by the elementary inequality: \((a + b + c + d)^2 \leq 8(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2), \)
(a, b, c, d) \( \in \mathbb{R}^4, \) an integration over \([0, 1]^2\) and taking the expectation, it comes

\[
E \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (\tilde{h}(x, y) - h(x, y))^2 dxdy \right) \\
\leq C \left( E(||\tilde{g} - g e_o||^2_2) + E(||\tilde{f} - f e_*||^2_2) + E(||\tilde{g} - g e_o||^2_2||\tilde{f} - f e_*||^2_2) \\
+ E((\tilde{e} - e_* e_o)^2) \right).
\]

Now observe that, owing to the independence of \((U_i, V_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\), the independence between \((U_1, V_1)\) and \(\xi_1\), and \(E(\xi_1) = 0\), we obtain

\[
E(\tilde{\bar{e}}) = E \left( \frac{Y_1}{q(U_1, V_1)} \right) = E \left( \frac{h(U_1, V_1)}{q(U_1, V_1)} \right) + E(\xi_1)E \left( \frac{1}{q(U_1, V_1)} \right) \\
= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{f(x)g(y)}{q(x, y)} q(x, y)dxdy = \left( \int_0^1 f(x)dx \right) \left( \int_0^1 g(y)dy \right) = e_* e_o.
\]
Then, using similar arguments to (11), \((a+b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2), (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2, (H1), (H2), (H3)\) and \(E(\xi_1^2) < \infty\), we have

\[
E((\check{e} - e_*e_0)^2) = \mathcal{V}(\check{e}) = \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{V}
\left(\frac{Y_1}{q(U_1, V_1)}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} E \left(\left(\frac{Y_1}{q(U_1, V_1)}\right)^2\right) \\
\leq \frac{2}{n} E \left(\frac{(h(U_1, V_1))^2 + \xi_1^2}{(q(U_1, V_1))^2}\right) \leq \frac{2}{n} (C_1^2 C_2^2 + E(\xi_1^2)) \frac{1}{n} = C \frac{1}{n}.
\]

Equations (10) and (12) yield the desired inequality:

\[
E \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 (f(x, y) - h(x, y))^2 dxdy\right) \leq C \left(E(||\check{g} - ge_0||_2^2) + E(||\check{f} - f_{e_*}||_2^2) + E(||\check{g} - ge_0||_2^2 ||\check{f} - f_{e_*}||_2^2) + \frac{1}{n}\right).
\]

Proof of Theorem 2. We aim to apply Theorem 1 by investigating the rate of convergence attained by \(\check{f}\) and \(\check{g}\) under the MISE over Besov balls.

First of all, remark that, for \(\gamma \in \{\phi, \psi\}\), any integer \(j \geq \tau\) and any \(k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^j - 1\},

- using similar arguments to (11), we obtain

\[
E \left(\frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{i=1}^{a_n} \frac{Y_i}{q(U_i, V_i)} \gamma_{j,k}(U_i)\right) = E \left(\frac{Y_1}{q(U_1, V_1)} \gamma_{j,k}(U_1)\right) \\
= E \left(\frac{h(U_1, V_1)}{q(U_1, V_1)} \gamma_{j,k}(U_1)\right) + E(\xi_1) E \left(\frac{\gamma_{j,k}(U_1)}{q(U_1, V_1)}\right) \\
= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x)g(y)\gamma_{j,k}(x)q(x, y)dxdy \\
= \left(\int_0^1 f(x)\gamma_{j,k}(x)dx\right) \left(\int_0^1 g(y)dy\right) \\
= \int_0^1 (f(x)e_*)\gamma_{j,k}(x)dx.
\]
using similar arguments to (12) and \( ||\gamma_{j,k}||_2^2 = 1 \), we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{a_n} \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \frac{Y_i}{q(U_i, V_i)} \gamma_{j,k}(U_i) \right)^2 \right) \\
= \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \frac{Y_i}{q(U_i, V_i)} \gamma_{j,k}(U_i) \right)^2 \right) a_n \\
\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \frac{h(U_i, V_i)}{q(U_i, V_i)} \gamma_{j,k}(U_i) \right)^2 + \xi_i^2 \right) a_n \\
\leq \frac{2}{c_3^\delta} (C^2 C_2^2 + \mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2)) \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \gamma_{j,k}(U_i) \right)^2 \right) a_n \\
= \frac{2}{c_3^\delta} (C^2 C_2^2 + \mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2)) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 q(x,y)\,dx\,dy \\
\leq \frac{2C^2}{c_3^\delta} (C^2 C_2^2 + \mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2)) ||\gamma_{j,k}||_2^2 a_n = C^2 a_n,
\]
with \( C^2 = 2(C_3/c_3^\delta)(C^2 C_2^2 + \mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2)). \)

Applying (Chaubey et al. 2013, Theorem 6.1) (see Appendix) with \( n = \mu_n = v_n = a_n \), \( \delta = 0 \), \( \theta_n = C_0 \), and \( f \in B_{p_1, r_1}(M_1) \) (so \( f e_n \in B_{p_1, r_1}(M_1 e_n) \)) with \( M_1 > 0, r_1 \geq 1 \), either \( \{p_1 \geq 2 \text{ and } s_1 > 0\} \) or \( \{p_1 \in [1, 2) \text{ and } s_1 > 1/p_1\} \), we prove the existence of a constant \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
\mathbb{E}(||\hat{f} - f e_n||_2^2) \leq C \left( \frac{\ln n}{a_n} \right)^{2s_1/(2s_1+1)} \leq C \left( \frac{\ln n}{n} \right)^{2s_1/(2s_1+1)}, \tag{13}
\]
for \( n \) large enough.

The MISE of \( \hat{g} \) can be investigated in a similar way: for \( \gamma \in \{\phi, \psi\} \), any integer \( j \geq \tau \) and any \( k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^j - 1\} \),

- we show that
\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=1}^{b_n} \frac{Y_{a_n+i}}{q(U_{a_n+i}, V_{a_n+i})} \gamma_{j,k}(V_{a_n+i}) \right) = \int_0^1 (g(x)e_n) \gamma_{j,k}(x)\,dx.
\]

- we show that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{b_n} \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \frac{Y_{a_n+i}}{q(U_{a_n+i}, V_{a_n+i})} \gamma_{j,k}(V_{a_n+i}) \right)^2 \right) \leq C^2 b_n,
\]
with always \( C^2 = 2(C_3/c_3^\delta)(C^2 C_2^2 + \mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2)). \)

Applying again (Chaubey et al. 2013, Theorem 6.1) (see Appendix) with \( n = \mu_n = v_n = b_n \), \( \delta = 0 \), \( \theta_n = C_0 \) and \( g \in B_{p_2, r_2}(M_2) \) with \( M_2 > 0, r_2 \geq 1 \), either \( \{p_2 \geq 2 \text{ and } s_2 > 0\} \) or \( \{p_2 \in [1, 2) \text{ and } s_2 > 1/p_2\} \), we prove the existence of a constant \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
\mathbb{E}(||\hat{g} - g e_n||_2^2) \leq C \left( \frac{\ln b_n}{b_n} \right)^{2s_2/(2s_2+1)} \leq C \left( \frac{\ln n}{n} \right)^{2s_2/(2s_2+1)}, \tag{14}
\]
for \( n \) large enough.

Using the independence between \( \tilde{f} \) and \( \tilde{g} \) (see Remark 1), it follows from (13) and (14) that

\[
E(||\tilde{g} - ge_o||^2_2||\tilde{f} - fe_\star||^2_2) = E(||\tilde{g} - ge_o||^2_2)E(||\tilde{f} - fe_\star||^2_2)
\]

\[
\leq C \left( \frac{\ln n}{n} \right)^{4s_1s_2/(2s_1+1)(2s_2+1)}.
\] (15)

Owing to Theorem 1, (13), (14) and (15), we get

\[
E \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (\hat{h}(x,y) - h(x,y))^2 dx dy \right)
\]

\[
\leq C \left( \frac{\ln n}{n} \right)^{2s_2/(2s_2+1)} + \left( \frac{\ln n}{n} \right)^{2s_1/(2s_1+1)} + \left( \frac{\ln n}{n} \right)^{4s_1s_2/(2s_1+1)(2s_2+1)} + \frac{1}{n}
\]

with \( s_\star = \min(s_1, s_2) \).

Theorem 2 is proved.

\( \square \)

Appendix

Let us now present in details (Chaubey et al. 2013, Theorem 6.1) used two times in the proof of Theorem 2.

We consider a general form of the hard thresholding estimator denoted by \( \hat{f}_H \) for estimating an unknown function \( f \in L^2([0,1]) \) from \( n \) independent random variables \( W_1, \ldots, W_n \):

\[
\hat{f}_H(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^\tau-1} \hat{\alpha}_{\tau,k} \phi_{\tau,k}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{2^\ell-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \hat{\beta}_{j,k} \mathbf{1}_{\{\hat{\beta}_{j,k} \geq \kappa \vartheta_j\}} \psi_{j,k}(x),
\] (16)

where

\[
\hat{\alpha}_{\tau,k} = \frac{1}{\upsilon_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i(\phi_{\tau,k}, W_i),
\]

\[
\hat{\beta}_{j,k} = \frac{1}{\upsilon_{j_i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i(\psi_{j,k}, W_i) \mathbf{1}_{\{|q_i(\psi_{j,k}, W_i)| \leq \varsigma_j\}},
\]
\[ \zeta_j = \theta_\psi 2^{dj} \frac{v_n}{\sqrt{\mu_n \ln \mu_n}}, \quad \vartheta_j = \theta_\psi 2^{dj} \sqrt{\frac{\ln \mu_n}{\mu_n}}, \]

\[ \kappa \geq 2 + 8/3 + 2\sqrt{4 + 16/9} \] and \( j_1 \) is the integer satisfying

\[ \frac{1}{2} \mu_n^{1/(2\delta+1)} < 2^{j_1} \leq \mu_n^{1/(2\delta+1)}. \]

Here, we suppose that there exist

- \( n \) functions \( q_1, \ldots, q_n \) with \( q_i : L^2([0,1]) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) for any \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \),
- two sequences of real numbers \((v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) and \((\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) satisfying \( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = \infty \) and \( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = \infty \)

such that, for \( \gamma \in \{\phi, \psi\} \),

(A1). any integer \( j \geq \tau \) and any \( k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^j - 1\} \),

\[ E \left( \frac{1}{v_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i (\gamma_{j,k}, W_i) \right) = \int_{0}^{1} f(x) \gamma_{j,k}(x) dx. \]

(A2). there exist two constants, \( \theta_\gamma > 0 \) and \( \delta \geq 0 \), such that, for any integer \( j \geq \tau \) and any \( k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^j - 1\} \),

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} E \left( (q_i(\gamma_{j,k}, W_i))^2 \right) \leq \theta_\gamma^2 2^{2dj} \frac{v_n^2}{\mu_n}. \]

Let \( \hat{f}_H \) be (16) under (A1) and (A2). Suppose that \( f \in B_{p,r}^s(M) \) with \( r \geq 1 \), \( \{p \geq 2 \text{ and } s \in (0, N)\} \) or \( \{p \in [1, 2) \text{ and } s \in ((2\delta + 1)/p, N)\} \). Then there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that

\[ E \left( \| \hat{f}_H - f \|_2^2 \right) \leq C \left( \frac{\ln \mu_n}{\mu_n} \right)^{2s/(2s+2\delta+1)}. \]

□
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