Minimizing the supply temperature at the district heating plant – dynamic optimization
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Abstract. The constraint contains two elements, namely the heat losses and the electricity consumption for pumping at the producer. The aim was to achieve the lowest acceptable costs in an operation. The options with the supply temperature at the area starting point set to 80/60, then 60/40, and eventually 50/30 (low temperature, 4th generation district heating) were tested. The balance between the savings due to lower heat losses and the electricity consumption of pumps could be performed to assess the economic viability of the solution. This means that if the electricity price is sufficiently high, the model will always choose to minimize electricity consumption and thereby, maximise the profit from high temperature difference. Results concerning heat losses consider both experiences of proper insulation of pipes with variety of design outdoor temperatures (DOTs) and long term measurements from a pump station for district heating (DH) network in Canberra, Australia. We also noted that the heat energy tariffs and purchase price of electricity affect a lot optimal configuration of a DH system. For the best scenario, solutions are obtained that reach over 12% of the available saving potential after calculating 11 equations. Knowing that the policy is updated on a case study base, this is considered a promising result.

1 Introduction

When referring to a district heating (DH) network, additional energy loss from the pipes including electricity consumption for pumping and heat loss during thermal transmission must be added, as shown in [1].

The calculation method of pump power is introduced in [2]. Pump power depends directly on mass flow. In Ref. [3] mass flow has been obtained from a heating enterprise assuming the normal system operation. Zarin Pass et al. [4] provide upper and lower bounds on the density and associated distribution pumping losses of a more typical system. In Ref. [5], the energy consumption of electricity is obtained by referring to a monitoring system of a power plant as well. Romanchenko et al. [6] focus on the value of flexibility in terms of variable power-to-heat ratio of CHP plants i.e., opportunities for increased interplay between the electricity and DH heating systems. The role of DH in future energy systems is however still unclear, research questions of how district heating grids can make use of surplus electricity from intermittent electricity sources and how the access to conventional fuel sources such as waste and biofuels may develop further are crucial issues to investigate [7]. The electricity that constitutes marginal electricity is in reality different throughout the seasons and may also include more renewable energy in the future [8]. The thermal storages are treated as a part of the renewable heat sources in the model in [9]. To fulfil a peak demand more electricity is typically consumed. A possible way to mitigate this problem is to use the flexibility available in the network to decrease the variations in the load, which can be achieved by advanced control [10]. However, the negative way is increased number of operating hours for the heat boiler used when the heat demand is too low for the base load as reported by Andric et al. [11]. In Ref. [12] one of the indicators is defined as the ratio of the heating needs and electrical energy consumed, that is, in a first approximation the energy consumed by the compressor of a heat pump. Ma et al. [13] present the pump electricity saving in a commercial building, an office building, and a residential building, but not in an entire DH system.

In Ref. [14] ten controllable buildings represent nearly one-third of the total heat demand, including distribution losses in a network. The network heat losses are a major part of the energy involved, especially during the heating season [15]. Various applied temperature levels, insulation standards, and linear heat densities (heat sales per route length) create inevitable heat distribution losses between 5% in Europe [16] and 50% in Russia [17]. The high heat losses of heating networks in Russia are mainly due to improper design and poor construction of heat supply systems [18]. In EU it has become customary practice to use twin pipes dealing with smaller dimensions [19]. Twin pipes include two pipes within the same insulation and casing. This scheme
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has less heat loss from return pipes, as they are located within the temperature field of the supply pipe [19]. Moreover, the heat losses have a slight variation with a time, as a network temperature and the ground temperature have generally minor variations. Since a DH network also suffer from water losses due to leaks caused by pipeline failures [20], these techniques are relevant and are one of the heat losses reduction measures to implement into a DH system. Meanwhile, a reduction of 19.4 °C in the average return temperature results in reducing the heat network heat losses by 10% [21]. In Ref. [22] Chertkov&Novitsky solve the advection-diffusion-losses equation, introduced and discussed in a setting of a single pipe.

Dahl et al. [23] suggest a control scheme that minimizes the supply temperature while ensuring security of supply. Badami et al. [24] also introduce objective function of an optimisation modelling approach for the planning of a DH network in terms of reliability. Because quantiative and accurate reliability evaluation of heating networks could not only determine weak sections of networks, but provide critical guidance for maintenance and optimization of the network in the design and operation phases [25]. In Ref. [26] the original network is aggregated before optimization process. Daily operation costs were taken as the objective function in study [27]. del Hoyo Arce et al. [28] pursue review of tools for modelling a DH network. Delangle et al. [29] enrich the pool of knowledge with highly flexible modelling approach which is capable of being adapted to other district energy schemes and modified to integrate more aspects and constraints. In paper [30], a tool for optimal energy management (not design) of a DH network is presented. According to [31] the question of how to optimally size the generation units must therefore involve an optimization routine which is able to quantify the optimum sizes for a given load curve. The objective of the paper is the dynamic optimization i.e. minimization the supply temperature at the producer. The constraint contains two elements, namely the heat losses and the electricity consumption for pumping at the producer.

2 Materials and methods

The aim was to achieve the lowest acceptable costs in an operation. The options with the supply temperature at the area starting point set to 80/60, then 60/40, and eventually 50/30 were tested.

The amount of heat delivered to the consumers can be controlled by changing the volume flow rate G or the supply temperature \( \tau_s \) on a plant side.

\[
C_{el} = \rho g Q H \frac{gQH}{1000} \eta_p
\]

(2)

where

\[
H = 2 \frac{R_{ml}}{\rho g}
\]

(3)

and

\[
R_m = 6.68 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{k^{1/4}}{\rho q^{3/2}}
\]

(4)

The overall heat loss defined as the ratio of the heat flux to the thermal resistance (5)–(6) is given by [1]

\[
Q_{loss} = \frac{U \pi d_s A (\tau_s - T_{\text{wall}})}{R}
\]

(5)

\[
R = \frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{r_1 \ln \left( \frac{r_2}{r_1} \right)}{k_1} + \frac{r_1 \ln \left( \frac{r_3}{r_1} \right)}{k_2} + \frac{r_1 \ln \left( \frac{r_4}{r_1} \right)}{k_3} + \frac{1}{h_0}
\]

(6)

where \( r_1 \) is the inner radius of pipe, \( r_2 \) is the radius of insulation layer, \( r_3 \) is the radius of casing, and \( r_4 \) is the external radius of pipe, \( k_1, k_2 \) and \( k_3 \) are the thermal conductivities of steel, insulation, casing and backfilling, \( W/(m K) \), \( h_i \) is the internal heat transfer coefficient; referring to [1], this means

\[
h_i = \frac{k_i}{d_i} \cdot 0.023 \text{Re}^{0.8} \text{Pr}^{0.4},
\]

(7)

We can then rewrite the external heat transfer coefficient in terms of \([^1] \) at each point to be

\[
h_0 = \frac{2k_{\text{soil}}}{d_s \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{2Z_b}{d_s} \right)}
\]

(8)

where \( k_{\text{soil}} \) is the thermal conductivity of the soil around the pipe, \( W/(m K) \), \( Z_b \) is the pipe centerline’s depth below the surface, \( m \).

The object function of the proposed model is to search the minimum energy losses in a DH scheme

\[
\min \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{E_{t,(n)+}}{n_0} C_{\text{fuel(n)}} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{(t,pump)} \cdot C_{el(t)} \right]
\]

(9)

The minimum value should be obtained under the basic constraints of Eqs. (10)-(11) as well as the proposed simulation model

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N} E_{t,(n)} \geq \text{heat}_{\text{demand}(t)}
\]

(10)

\[
\min \ c_{\text{el}(t,pump)} \leq P_{(t,pump)} \leq \max \ c_{\text{el}(t,pump)}
\]

(11)
At these conditions, the design outdoor temperature (DOT) set is {-3.3; -2.6; -8.7} °C, whereas the annual average outdoor temperature is 5.81°C. The insulation is mineral wool with an identified thermal conductivity of 0.047 W/m/K [12]. The presented results apply the climate data from Canberra in Australia with the interval temperature distribution presented in [32].

3 Results and discussion

The heat demand on the distribution side is determined by eq. 1 and weather and must be met in all time steps by proper control of the flow [23]. The integrated model of a DH network calculates the heat losses and the cost of pumping as a function of the temperature level in the DH network pipes (Table 1).

Table 1. Values for Thermal Properties (Wh).

| Month  | Electricity consumption (-3.3C DOT) | Heat losses (-3.3C DOT) | Electricity consumption (-2.1C DOT) | Heat losses (-2.1C DOT) |
|--------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| March  | 4.33E+08                           | 7.53E+07                | 4.33E+08                           | 7.53E+07                |
| April  | 4.33E+09                           | 9.03E+08                | 4.33E+09                           | 9.03E+08                |
| May    | 4.50E+09                           | 1.11E+09                | 4.54E+09                           | 1.11E+09                |
| June   | 4.44E+09                           | 1.19E+09                | 4.73E+09                           | 1.19E+09                |
| July   | 4.84E+09                           | 1.19E+09                | 5.17E+09                           | 1.19E+09                |
| August | 4.52E+09                           | 1.16E+09                | 4.65E+09                           | 1.16E+09                |
| Sept.  | 4.44E+09                           | 1.01E+09                | 4.56E+09                           | 1.01E+09                |
| Total  | 2.75E+10                           | 6.65E+09                | 2.84E+10                           | 6.65E+09                |

Once we consider -3.3 degrees of outdoor temperature, instead of -2.1C, an extra 3.17% of primary energy saving can be saved. The heat loss of supply pipe is about 50% higher than that of same type in Dezhou, China (24.5 W/m) [1]. The fact of 50% higher heat losses reflects that the pipe insulation may be somewhat aging or corroded by surrounding soil. Compared to the benchmark results of energy consumption, the proposed in Ref. [21] strategy shows the distribution losses accounting 3.6% of the total energy delivered, corresponding to an improvement of 10% for the entire DH network. The results presented by Romanchenko et al. [6] indicated that the decrease of heat demand proved to be the highest after the first year of renovation (2020), decreasing by as much as 52% of the reference value (2010). The solution to the optimization problem temperatures was reached when computed combination of supply and return temperatures fulfilled the stated constraints criteria. As can be seen from Fig. 1, the objective function (Eq. (9)) reaches its minimum value at DOT of -8.7°C.

This means that if the electricity price is sufficiently high, the model will always choose to minimize electricity consumption and thereby, maximise the profit from high temperature difference. From [26], it can be seen that the heat losses and transport delays are possible to be captured within the optimization: the supply temperature at the periphery is lower and has some delay compared to the consumer close to the producer.

4 Conclusions

Results concerning heat losses consider both experiences of proper insulation of pipes with variety of DOTs and long term measurements from a pump station for DH network in Canberra, Australia. We also noted that the heat energy tariffs and purchase price of electricity affect a lot optimal configuration of a DH system.

For the best scenario, solutions are obtained that reach over 12% of the available saving potential after calculating 11 equations. Although we know that the policy is updated on a case study base we consider it as a promising result.
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