Evaluation of Water Retentive Pavement as Mitigation Strategy for Urban Heat Island Using Computational Fluid Dynamics
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1. INTRODUCTION

The warming of urban surfaces such as streets and buildings has been proven to be one major contributing factor to urban heat island or UHI phenomenon (Golden and Kaloush, 2006; Asaede and Ca, 2000; Asaede and Ca, 1996; Asaede and Ca, 1993; Oke, 1982). Thus, mitigation strategies that improve urban surfaces could be most effective in moderating UHI. For example, the use of “cool” pavements have been gaining popularity. A pavement is considered “cool” if it has high albedo and high emissivity or it has high latent heat of evaporization (Santamouris, 2013). A number of studies investigated the thermal and moisture characteristics of pavement materials with high latent heat flux of evaporization, referred to as water retentive pavement or WRP (Cortes et al., 2016; Kinoshita et al., 2012; Takebayashi and Moriyama, 2012; Misaka et al., 2009; Ueno and Tamaoki, 2009; Yamamoto et al., 2006; Asaede and Ca, 2000). Other studies also evaluated the thermal performance and effectiveness of high albedo, reflective pavements (Guntor et al., 2014; Wan and Hien, 2012; Kawakami and Kub, 2008). The combined effect of both reflective and permeable pavements as UHI mitigation was also examined (Takebayashi et al., 2014; Li et al., 2012). Very few studies evaluated the performance of these pavements in urban areas. Nayakama and Fujita (2010) coupled the National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES) Integrated Catchment-based Eco-hydrology model with urban canopy model to evaluate the role of retentive pavements in UHI mitigation. They found out that air temperature above the WRP is much lower than the air temperature above lawn or building rooftop. Georgakis et al. (2014) evaluated cool pavements and roof coated with high reflection paints as UHI mitigation. They used computational fluid dynamics (CFD) model to calculate surface temperature and air temperature within the urban canyon. Their model estimated a 7-8°C decrease in surface temperature at ground level. However, none of the existing studies evaluated the
pavement performance when used in a real city. Here we evaluated the effect of using WRP made from fly ash as material for main street in a real city block. We chose Suita City for analysis because important information such as building height and coverage were available. Suita City is also one of the main cities in Osaka Prefecture and its urban environment has not been modeled yet. We used CFD model to examine energy balance in the building canopies and coupled it with the pavement transport model (referred to as PT model) we originally developed. The PT model calculates for ground surface energy balance, ground surface temperature and ground surface water content. The governing equations and validation of the PT model are not presented in this paper because these were already reported by Cortes et al., 2016. This study was conducted as a continuation and focused on the application of PT model in real urban scenario. The specific objectives are: to (1) predict diurnal variation in air temperature, wind speed, ground surface temperature and water content; and (2) compare ground surface energy fluxes. This paper serves as a case study and would be the first to present the findings of the newly developed and coupled CFD-PT model. The results can be used for future urban planning especially in assessing the use of cool pavements before the real application in urban areas.

2. METHODS OF SIMULATION

2.1 Area of Analysis

The Suita City government had selected two regions within the city where UHI mitigation strategies shall be implemented (Suita City, 2016). One of these regions is Esaka, the analysis area, which is a highly urbanized district with major roads, residential and industrial buildings. Prior to simulation, a 3D model of Esaka (4.758°N, 135.497°E) was created as shown in Fig. 1. The 3D model was then divided into meshes with a grid interval of 5 m. Fig. 2 shows the domain size and the analyzed area surrounded by the solid line. There were 22 buildings with the highest building being 56 m. The total mesh number in the CFD model was $80 \times 77 \times 47$.
including the virtual space. For the PT model, which is one dimensional, the total mesh number was 16 with a grid interval of 0.01 m.

2.2 Boundary Conditions

The Weather Research Forecasting (WRF) model version 3.5.1 (Skamarock et al., 2008) was used to determine the boundary conditions of the CFD model. Fig. 3 shows two WRF domains: the coarser domain (D1) covering Kansai region with 90 × 90 grid cells and horizontal grid resolution of 3 km, and the finer domain (D2) covering Osaka Prefecture, which includes Suita City with 90 × 90 grid cells and horizontal grid resolution of 1 km. The vertical domain consists of 30 layers from the surface to 100 hPa with the middle height of the first, second and third layers are about 28 m, 92 m and 190 m above the surface, respectively. Except for the calculation period, the WRF configurations were essentially the same as those in Shimadera et al. (2015), the Yonsei University planetary boundary layer scheme (Hong et al., 2006), the WRF single-moment 6-class microphysics scheme (Hong and Lim, 2006), the Noah land surface model (Chen and Dudhia, 2001), the rapid radiative transfer model (Mlawer et al., 1997) for the long wave radiation, and the shortwave radiation scheme of Dudhia (1989) with initial and boundary conditions derived from the mesoscale model grid point value data by the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA).

The WRF simulation was conducted using online one-way nesting in the two domains for August 8–9, 2011 with a 7-day initial spin-up. This period was chosen because there was clear and calm weather due to the high pressure system that formed above Japan (Fig. 4a). Because of the lack of meteorological observatory in Suita City, the WRF results in the study period were compared with observation data of JMA at the Osaka meteorological observatory at (135.518°E, 34.682°N) in Osaka City (Fig. 4b). WRF fairly well simulated temporal variations of air temperature and wind, including daytime stronger wind caused by prevailing southwesterly sea breeze and nocturnal calm condition. The WRF results at Esaka, Suita City for the CFD boundary conditions were generally similar to those at the Osaka meteorological observatory.

Owing to the coarser vertical resolution of WRF model compared with CFD, Monin-Obukhov Similarity Theory (MOST) was applied. The MOST defines the vertical distribution of wind speed and air temperature using hourly WRF data at heights 28 m and 92 m with a roughness length of 0.1 m. Fig. 5 shows the lateral boundary conditions of CFD for air temperature and wind components \( u \) and \( v \) at each vertical level. Air temperature was warmer in the surface layer especially during noon. Wind speed was stronger during daytime and wind direction was generally south-west because of prevailing sea breeze.

2.3 The CFD-PT Model

The CFD model was composed of the conservation equations for momentum, continuity and mass. The \( k-\varepsilon \) turbulence model was used for turbulence while Semi-Implicit Method for Pressure Linked Equations (SIMPLE) algorithm (Pantakar, 1980) was utilized for pressure corrections. The CFD model calculated for air temperature, wind speed, air humidity, downward longwave radiation and shortwave radiation and supplied these parameters to the PT model. The CFD calculation was conducted for 24 h from 0500 Japan Standard Time (JST) on August 8 to 0500 JST August 9, 2011 with a time step of 0.5 s. Linear interpolation was applied to the hourly data obtained from the WRF model in order to update the lateral boundaries of the
There were two surface energy budget models incorporated into the CFD model. One is the building envelope model (BEM) (Eq. (1)), used to calculate heat transfer from building surface to atmosphere. For a detailed discussion of the BEM refer to Cortes et al. (2015).

\[ \varepsilon T_{bs} + \sigma \sum f_{ij} T_{j}^4 + (1 - \alpha_{bs}) S_{\downarrow} + \rho_a c_p (T_{bs} - T_{air}) = Q \]  

(1)

Here \( \varepsilon \) is emissivity, \( \sigma \) is Stefan-Boltzmann constant \( (W/m^2 K^4) \), \( T_{bs} \) is the temperature of outer building surface \( (^\circ C) \), \( f_{ij} \) is view factor of each surface element \( j \) from element \( i \), \( T_{j} \) is temperature of surface \( j \), \( \alpha_{bs} \) is building surface albedo, \( S_{\downarrow} \) is shortwave radiation \( (W/m^2) \), \( \rho_a \) is air density \( (g/cm^3) \), \( c_p \) is specific heat at constant pressure \( (J/kgK) \), \( c_{H-bs} \) is bulk heat transfer coefficient, \( u \) is wind speed \( (m/s) \), \( T_{air} \) is air temperature \( (^\circ C) \) and \( Q \) is heat flux \( (W/m^2) \). The method for calculating solar radiation and view factor can be obtained from Ikejima et al. (2011).

Second is the PT model which simulates heat transfer from ground surface to the atmosphere. The PT model was composed of conservation equations for heat and soil moisture. The parameters used were volumetric water content \( \theta \) \( (m^3/m^3) \), evaporation efficiency \( \beta \) and matric potential \( \psi \) \( (m) \). The \( \beta \) was defined from the evaporation equation (Eq. (2)) for bare soil developed
by Kondo et al. (1990). The van Genuchten-Mualem model shown in Eq. (3)-(4) was used to express the relationship between \( \psi \) and \( \theta \) (van Genuchten, 1980; Mualem, 1976).

\[
E = \rho C_E u \beta (q_s(T_s) - q_a) \tag{2}
\]

\[
\theta = \theta_{sat} \left\{ 1 + \left( \frac{\psi_{sat}}{\theta_{sat}} \right)^m \right\}^n \tag{3}
\]

\[
K = K_{sat} \left\{ \theta \theta_{sat} \right\}^{0.5} \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{\theta}{\theta_{sat}} \right)^m \right\}^2 \tag{4}
\]

Here \( E \) is evaporation rate (kg/m²s), \( C_E \) is bulk coefficient of evaporation, \( q_s \) is specific humidity (g/kg) at ground surface temperature \( T_s \) (°C), \( q_a \) is specific humidity of air (g/kg), \( \theta_{sat} \) is saturated volumetric water content, \( x \) and \( n \) are van Genuchten curve-fitting parameters, \( m = 1 - (1/n) \), \( K \) is the hydraulic conductivity (m/s) and \( K_{sat} \) is saturated hydraulic conductivity (m/s). In this study we were interested in determining the maximum performance of WRP hence the initial \( \theta \) was set at saturation level (Table 1) and we did not consider other scenarios like varying initial \( \theta \). The ground surface energy balance is shown in Eq. (5) where the left terms represent radiation and right terms represent sensible heat flux, latent heat flux, ground heat flux respectively.

\[
S(1 - \alpha_g) + L_\downarrow + \varepsilon \sigma T_{air}^4 = H + lE + G \tag{5}
\]

where \( \alpha_g \) is ground albedo, \( L_\downarrow \) is downward longwave radiation (W/m²), \( H \) is sensible heat flux (W/m²), \( l \) is latent heat of vaporization (J/kg) and \( G \) is heat flux into the soil (W/m²). The heat fluxes were solved using Eq. (6)-(8).

\[
H = \rho c_p C_{H,g} u(T_s - T_{air}) \tag{6}
\]

\[
E = \rho C_E u \frac{q_s(T_s) - q_a}{\left\{ 1 + \frac{C_E u F(\theta)}{D_{atm}} \right\}} \tag{7}
\]

\[
G = -\lambda \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) \tag{8}
\]

The bulk coefficient for the sensible heat flux, \( C_{H,g} \), was assumed to be equal to \( C_E \) based on Kondo et al. (1990). The initial values of parameters used in PT model are listed in Table 1. The PT model calculation

| Parameter                          | Value           | Asphal | WRP  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------|------|
| Pavement thickness                | 0.15 m          | 0.15 m |      |
| Ground albedo                     | 0.10            | 0.14   |      |
| Heat capacity                     |                 |        |      |
| Water                             | \( 4.2 \times 10^6 \) J/m³K | \( 4.2 \times 10^6 \) J/m³K |
| Ground                            | \( 1.4 \times 10^6 \) J/m³K | \( 2.0 \times 10^6 \) J/m³K |
| van Genuchten-Mualem model constants | \( n \) = 1.15 | \( n \) = 1.15 |
|                                   | \( m \) = 0.13  | \( m \) = 0.13 |
|                                   | \( x \) = 1.01  | \( x \) = 1.01 |
| Water content                     |                 |        |      |
| Initial                            | 0.01            | 0.09   |      |
| Saturation                        | 0.018           | 0.09   |      |
| Thermal conductivity              | 0.18 W/mK       | 0.14 W/mK |
| Roughness layer                   | 0.0003 m        | 0.0003 m |
| Convective heat transfer coefficient | \( 0.83 \) W/mK | \( 0.83 \) W/mK |
| Emissivity                        | 0.90            | 0.90   |      |
| Initial ground temperature        | 28°C            | 28°C   |      |

![Fig. 6](image-url). The calculation flow and coupling of meteorological models, CFD, BEM and PT model.
time step was 60 s.

Fig. 6 shows the model integration and the variables calculated in each system. Using the coupled CFD-PT model, two cases of 24 h unsteady analysis were simulated. In case 1, asphalt was used as the pavement material of all ground surface. In case 2, WRP was used as the pavement material of the main street and asphalt for the rest of the ground surface.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect on Ground Surface Temperature

Examining the average diurnal variation in ground surface temperature within the analysis area (Fig. 7), it can be seen that $T_s$ in case 2 was consistently lower throughout the day. As Cortes et al. (2016) pointed out, the cooling property of WRP compared with asphalt can be attributed to three factors namely water content, albedo and thermal conductivity. However, by creating a graphical representation of the diurnal variation in $T_s$, it was discovered that shadow effect also contributed to a decrease in surface temperature (Fig. 8). True for both case 1 and case 2, $T_s$ on the western side of the buildings decreased at 0800 JST. During this time the shadow formed on the west as the sun rose in the east.

Here we focus the discussion to the difference between main street $T_s$ in case 1 and case 2. Results show that $T_s$ in case 2 main street decreased by 3.1°C at 0800 JST. We attribute this to the greater water content of WRP compared with asphalt which led to evaporative cooling and increased latent heat flux (discussed in section 3.3). This agrees with the study of Asaeda (1993) on evaporation in bare soil where the transport of water vapor inside soil affects subsurface distribution of temperature greatly. Due to evaporation, bare soil is cooler than covered surfaces such that increase in thickness of the covering material causes temperature increase and higher heat stored. Similar to bare soil, the ability of WRP to hold water and its porosity allow for water transport thus affecting subsurface distribution of tem-

![Fig. 7. The diurnal variation in ground surface temperature, $T_s$, within the analysis range for 24-h time period.](image)

![x-y section (case 1)](image)

![x-y section (case 2)](image)

![Fig. 8. The x-y view of ground surface temperature, $T_s$, in each case.](image)
perature. It can be seen in Fig. 9 that at 0800 JST, the average water content of WRP was 0.08 which was still near to the saturation level of 0.09. At 1200 JST the water content decreased to 0.05, the greatest change throughout the day. This can be expected because at noontime, the sun was most intense. Increased solar radiation further promoted evaporation of water from surfaces. It also explains the greatest difference in $T_s$ between case 1 and case 2; during this time, $T_s$ in case 2 main street decreased by 13.8°C. From 1600 JST to 2000 JST the surface water content remained at 0.04 but the surface temperature continued to decrease. During this time, $T_s$ of case 2 main street decreased by 5.7°C and 2.9°C, respectively. We attribute this decrease in $T_s$ despite the constant surface water content to both higher albedo and lower thermal conductivity of WRP compared with asphalt (Table 1). Both factors allowed reflection of sunlight during daytime and minimized the release of heat during night time. These estimates of main street $T_s$ between case 1 and case 2 were proximate to the observations of Cortes et al. (2016) on the behavior of asphalt and WRP in an outdoor set-up.

### 3.2 Effect on Air Temperature

In this section we discuss the effect of WRP on diurnal variation in air temperature. The CFD-PT model estimated an overall decrease in air temperature at 1.5 m within the analysis area (Fig. 10). The average air temperature difference over main street was computed as case 1 $T_{air} -$ case 2 $T_{air}$. Results show that air temperature in case 2 decreased by 0.05°C at 0800 JST, 0.3°C at 1200 JST, 0.1°C at 1600 JST and 0.06°C at 2000 JST. The air temperature decrease was most pronounced at 1200 JST which is proportional to the degree of surface temperature cooling. Looking at the $x$-$z$ section in Fig. 11, the decrease is particularly noticeable on the western side of the main street area. We attribute this to the stronger wind towards north-west direction which is evident in the wind profile.

By examining the $x$-$z$ section, we can see greater air temperature decrease above WRP surface (main street) compared with asphalt surface (none main street areas) confirming the occurrence of evaporative cooling. Moreover, there was also vortex formation within the street canyon. This vortex allowed for mixing of air that eventually caused cooler air from the ground to rise.

### 3.3 Effect on Energy Fluxes

Fig. 12 shows the diurnal variations in ground surface energy fluxes within the analysis area. Results show an overall increase in latent heat flux and decrease in sensible heat flux, conductive heat flux and upward longwave radiation throughout the day. Due to the significant decrease in main street $T_s$, a comparison of the
Fig. 11. The wind profile and effect of WRP on air temperature.
surface energy fluxes in the same area was also carried out. The ground surface energy flux difference over main street was computed as case 1 heat flux – case 2 heat flux. The model estimated an average increase in latent heat flux by up to 51 W/m² at 0800 JST, 255 W/m² at 1200 JST, 68 W/m² at 1600 JST and 34 W/m² at 2000 JST. This diurnal variation in latent heat flux was also relative to the diurnal variation in water content discussed previously. As the sun started to become intense from morning to noon, evaporation rate also increased dramatically. Consequently, this increase in latent heat flux caused a particularly slight difference between $T_s$ and $T_{air}$, allowing for a distinct decrease in sensible heat flux. As expected from the increase in latent heat flux, maximum decrease in sensible heat flux occurred at 1200 JST. During this time sensible heat flux in case 2 decreased by 465 W/m². The longwave radiation also decreased by 97 W/m².

**4. CONCLUSION**

Using the coupled CFD-PT model it was proven that WRP as pavement material for main street can cause a decrease in ground surface temperature. This cooling is primarily due to the evaporation of water from WRP surfaces thereby causing an increase in latent heat flux. The increase in latent heat flux minimizes the difference between air temperature and surface temperature which leads to a decrease in sensible heat flux and longwave upward radiation. Other contributing factors to the cooling of WRP surface include high albedo and lower thermal conductivity. The cooling of ground surface eventually leads to air temperature decrease. The degree of air temperature decrease is proportional to the surface temperature decrease.
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