Involvement of Type 1 Angiotensin II Receptor (AT$_1$) in Cardiovascular Changes Induced by Chronic Emotional Stress: Comparison between Homotypic and Heterotypic Stressors
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Consistent evidence has shown an important role of emotional stress in pathogenesis of cardiovascular diseases. Additionally, studies in animal models have demonstrated that daily exposure to different stressor (heterotypic stressor) evokes more severe changes than those resulting from repeated exposure to the same aversive stimulus (homotypic stressor), possibly due to the habituation process upon repeated exposure to the same stressor. Despite these pieces of evidence, the mechanisms involved in the stress-evoked cardiovascular dysfunction are poorly understood. Therefore, the present study investigated the involvement of angiotensin II (Ang II) acting on the type 1 Ang II receptor (AT$_1$) in the cardiovascular dysfunctions evoked by both homotypic and heterotypic chronic emotional stresses in rats. For this purpose, we compared the effect of the chronic treatment with the AT$_1$ receptor antagonist losartan (30 mg/kg/day, p.o.) on the cardiovascular and autonomic changes evoked by the heterotypic stressor chronic variable stress (CVS) and the homotypic stressor repeated restraint stress (RRS). RRS increased the sympathetic tone to the heart and decreased the cardiac parasympathetic activity, whereas CVS decreased the cardiac parasympathetic activity. Additionally, both stressors impaired the baroreflex function. Alterations in the autonomic activity and the baroreflex impairment were inhibited by losartan treatment. Additionally, CVS reduced the body weight and increased the circulating corticosterone; however, these effects were not affected by losartan. In conclusion, these findings indicate the involvement of angiotensin II/AT$_1$ receptors in the autonomic changes evoked by both homotypic and heterotypic chronic stressors. Moreover, the present results provide evidence that the increase in the circulating corticosterone and body weight reduction evoked by heterotypic stressors are independent of AT$_1$ receptors.
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INTRODUCTION

Consistent evidence from animals and humans studies has shown an important role of emotional stress in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular diseases (Kivimäki et al., 2006; Jarczok et al., 2013). Preclinical studies reported mild hypertension, elevated resting heart rate (HR), cardiac hypertrophy and contractile dysfunction, and increased susceptibility to arrhythmias in rodents exposed to chronic stressors (Grippo et al., 2004, 2008; Duarte et al., 2015). These responses were followed by autonomic imbalance and impairment of the baroreflex function (Conti et al., 2001; Grippo et al., 2008; Bouzinova et al., 2012; Almeida et al., 2015; Duarte et al., 2015). Additionally, in vitro and in vivo studies identified changes in the vascular function due to stressor (Neves et al., 2009; Isingrini et al., 2012; Baptista et al., 2014; Duarte et al., 2015).

The predictability of the aversive stimuli applied repeatedly has been proposed to be an important factor determining its consequences (Herman, 2013; Crestani, 2016). The influence of stressor predictability has been evaluated in animal models by comparing the effect of chronic stressors involving daily exposure to the same type of stressor (i.e., homotypic/predictable) versus different aversive stimuli (i.e., heterotypic/unpredictable; Crestani, 2016). Typically, the chronic variable stress (CVS) has been employed as a heterotypic stressor and the repeated restraint stress (RRS) as a homotypic stressor (Crestani, 2016). Studies comparing RRS and CVS have demonstrated that the latter exhibits a more severe impact on the somatic parameters (e.g., adrenal hypertrophy and thymic involution), hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis activity, and anxiety- and depression-like behaviors (Magarinos and McEwen, 1995; Haile et al., 2001; Marin et al., 2007; Pastor-Ciurana et al., 2014). Differences in the cardiovascular and autonomic changes following exposure to predictable versus unpredictable stressors were addressed only recently. Indeed, Duarte et al. (2015) reported that increase in the cardiac sympathetic activity, resting tachycardia, and baroreflex impairment was only caused by CVS, although both stressors caused mild hypertension. Altogether, these pieces of evidence indicate a more severe impact of CVS compared to RRS on the neuroendocrine, behavioral, somatic, and cardiovascular responses to stress. The less severe impact of RRS is possibly related to a habituation process of the physiological responses upon repeated exposure to the same stressor, which minimize the impact of stress (Herman, 2013; Crestani, 2016; McCarty, 2016). Additionally, RRS and CVS differently affect the morphology and function of the limbic structures in the brain (Flak et al., 2012; Kopp et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2016).

Angiotensin II (Ang II) is an active peptide of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) that plays a key role in the control of the cardiovascular function and hydroelectrolytic homeostasis (Ménard, 1993; Head, 1996). The effects of Ang II are mediated via activation of two receptors, denominated type 1 Ang II (AT₁) and type 2 Ang II (AT₂) receptors (de Gasparo et al., 2000; Karnik et al., 2015). In addition to its synthesis and action in the circulation as a blood-borne hormone, Ang II is also synthetized locally in various tissues, including the brain (McKinley et al., 2003; Wright and Harding, 2011). Relevant to stress is the identification of the RAS components and Ang II receptors in the brain areas controlling the stress responses (Wright and Harding, 2011; Bali and Jaggi, 2013).

Previous studies demonstrated that systemic or intracerebroventricular administration of selective AT₁ receptor antagonists decreased the cardiovascular responses observed during acute sessions of stress (Saiki et al., 1997; Jezova et al., 1998; Kubo et al., 2001; Erdos et al., 2010; Busnardo et al., 2014). However, a possible role of the angiotensinergic mechanisms in the cardiovascular dysfunctions evoked by chronic stress has never been investigated. Nevertheless, an increase in Ang II levels in the plasma and brain tissue as well as in the expression of AT₁ receptors in the brain has been reported following exposure to chronic stressors (Castren and Saavedra, 1988; Yang et al., 1994; McDougall et al., 2000; Chung et al., 2010). Additionally, systemic treatment with AT₁ receptor antagonists inhibited the endocrine changes, hyperglycemia, and vascular oxidative stress induced by chronic stress (Uresin et al., 2004; Chung et al., 2010). These findings support the hypothesis of the involvement of Ang II/AT₁ receptor in the autonomic/cardiovascular changes evoked by chronic stress. Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to investigate the involvement of Ang II activating the AT₁ receptor in the cardiovascular and autonomic changes caused by chronic emotional stress. Additionally, this study aimed to provide further evidence regarding the influence of the predictability of stressor stimulus on the resulting cardiovascular and autonomic changes. Therefore, we compared the effect of treatment with the selective AT₁ receptor antagonist losartan on the autonomic/cardiovascular changes evoked by the heterotypic stressor CVS and the homotypic stressor RRS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Forty-five male Wistar rats (200 g) were obtained from the animal breeding facility of the São Paulo State University–UNESP (Botucatu, SP, Brazil). Animals were housed in collective plastic cages in a temperature-controlled room at 24°C at the Animal Facility of the Laboratory of Pharmacology, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, São Paulo State University–UNESP. They were kept under a 12:12 h light-dark cycle (lights on between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m.) with free access to water and standard laboratory food. The housing conditions and experimental procedures were carried out following the protocols approved by the local Ethical Committee for Use of Animal at the School of Pharmaceutical Sciences–UNESP (approval number: 32/2014), which complies with the Brazilian and international guidelines for animal use and welfare.

Chronic Stress Regimens

The protocols of chronic stress were based on previous studies of our group (Marin et al., 2007; Duarte et al., 2015). Therefore, RSS was chosen as a homotypic stressor, whereas CVS was used as a heterotypic stress regimen. The animals in the RSS groups were restrained in opaque plastic cylinders (15 cm length and...
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Pharmacological Treatment
The pharmacological treatment with losartan (selective AT₁
receptor antagonist) started on the first day of the stress protocols
and was continued daily for 10 consecutive days. Losartan was
given daily by gavage at a dose of 30 mg/kg/day (Uresin et al.,
2004).

Surgical Preparation
At the 10th day of the stress protocols, after the last stress session,
all animals were anesthetized with tribromoethanol (250 mg/kg,
I.p.) and a catheter (a 4-cm segment of PE-10 heat-bound to
a 13 cm segment of PE-50; Clay Adams, Parsippany, NJ, USA) was
inserted into the abdominal aorta through the femoral artery
for arterial pressure recording. A second catheter was implanted
into the femoral vein for infusion of drugs. Both catheters
were tunneled under the skin and exteriorized on the animal’s
dorsum. After the surgery, rats were treated with a polyantibiotic
formulation of streptomycin and penicillin (560 mg/mL/kg,
i.m.) to prevent infection, and received the non-steroidal anti-
infiammatory drug flunixin meglumine (0.5 mg/mL/kg, s.c.) for
postoperative analgesia.

Measurement of Cardiovascular Parameters
The arterial cannula was connected to a pressure transducer
(DPT100, Utah Medical Products Inc., Midvale, UT, USA).
The pulsatile arterial pressure was recorded using an amplifier
(Quad Bridge Amp, ML224, ADInstruments, Sydney, NSW,
Australia) and an acquisition board (PowerLab 4/30, ML866/P,
ADInstruments, Sydney, NSW, Australia) connected to
a personal computer. The mean arterial pressure (MAP), systolic
arterial pressure (SAP), diastolic arterial pressure (DAP), and HR
values were derived from the pulsatile arterial pressure values.

Assessment of the Autonomic Activity and Intrinsic HR
The cardiac autonomic tone and intrinsic HR (iHR) were
assessed via the intravenous administration of methylatropine
(muscarinic receptor antagonist; 3 mg/ml/kg) and propranolol
(β-adrenoceptor antagonist; 4 mg/ml/kg). The protocol was
performed on 2 days. On the first day, the rats in all the
experimental groups received intravenous methylatropine and
propranolol in a random order. The interval between the
drug treatments was 10 min. On the subsequent day, the
rats were treated with methylatropine and propranolol in
the opposite sequence to that used on the first day. The
parasympathetic activity was determined from the change in the
basal HR caused by methylatropine, whereas the sympathetic
activity was determined from the change in the HR following
propranolol treatment. The iHR was determined after the
combined treatment with propranolol and methylatropine on the
first and second days of the experiment, and a mean value was
calculated for each animal.

The power spectral analysis of SAP was used to analyze
the sympathetic activity controlling the vascular tone. For this
purpose, the beat-to-beat time series of SAP were extracted from
the pulsatile arterial pressure signal. Using the Cardioseries v2.4
software¹, the overall variability of these series was calculated in
the time and frequency domain, and the power of the obtained
oscillatory components was quantified in frequency bands of
0.20–0.75 Hz (low frequency, LF). The oscillations of arterial
pressure at LF range are representative of the modulatory effects
of the sympathetic system controlling the vascular tone (Malliani
et al., 1991; Janssen et al., 2000; Just et al., 2000; Ramaekers et al.,
2002).

Infusion of Vasoactive Agents
Intravenous infusions of the selective α₁-adrenoceptor agonist
phenylephrine (70 µg/mL at 0.4 mL/min/kg), the nitric
oxide donor sodium nitroprusside (SNP; 100 µg/mL at
0.8 mL/min/kg), and acetylcholine (10 µg/mL at 1.2 mL/min/kg)
was administered using an infusion pump (KD Scientific,
Holliston, MA, USA) (Almeida et al., 2015; Duarte et al., 2015).
The infusions of the vasoactive drugs were randomized, and
the second treatment was not given before the cardiovascular
parameters returned to the control values (the interval between
the infusions was approximately 5 min). The infusions lasted for
20–30 s, resulting in the administration of a total dose of 9–14
µg/kg of phenylephrine, 26–40 µg/kg of SNP, and 4–6 µg/kg of
acetylcholine.

Assessment of the Baroreflex Activity
Analysis of the baroreflex activity was carried out using two
methods: (i) the classical pharmacological approach, and (ii) the
sequence analysis technique. For the classical pharmacological
analysis, curves of the MAP variations (10, 20, 30, and 40 mmHg)
evoked by phenylephrine and SNP infusions versus the reflex
HR responses were constructed. Paired values of MAP and HR
changes were plotted to generate sigmoid logistic functions,
which were used to determine the baroreflex activity (Head
and McCarty, 1987; Crestani et al., 2010a). The baroreflex
analysis using the sigmoid curves was characterized by five
parameters: (i) lower HR plateau (P₁, bpm) (i.e., the maximum
reflex bradycardia); (ii) upper HR plateau (P₂, bpm) (i.e., the
maximum reflex tachycardia); (iii) HR range (bpm) (i.e., the
difference between the upper and lower plateau levels); (iv)

¹https://www.sites.google.com/site/cardioseries/home
median blood pressure (BP₅₀, mmHg), which is the MAP at 50% of the HR range; and (v) average gain (G, bpm/mmHg), which is the average slope of the curves between +1 and −1 standard derivations from the BP₅₀ (Head and McCarty, 1987; Crestani et al., 2010a). To analyze the reflex responses during pressor and depressor effects separately, the HR values matching MAP changes were plotted to create linear regression curves and their slopes were compared to evaluate the changes in the baroreflex gain (Crestani et al., 2010b, 2011; Almeida et al., 2015).

The sequence method was used to evaluate the baroreflex function over the physiological range of fluctuations in the arterial pressure, without any pharmacological manipulation. For this purpose, the beat-to-beat values of SAP and PI were analyzed using the software Cardioseries v2.4 (Granjeiro et al., 2014; Almeida et al., 2015) for identification of the sequences in which the SAP increase was associated with PI lengthening (up sequence) or the SAP decrease was associated with PI shortening (down sequence). A baroreflex sequence was only used when the correlation coefficient (r) between the SAP and PI was greater than 0.8. The spontaneous baroreflex sensitivity was assessed based on the slope (ms/mmHg) of the linear regression between the SAP and PI.

**Vascular Reactivity to the Vasoactive Agents**

The graded changes in the MAP evoked by the intravenous infusion of the pressor agent phenylephrine and the depressor agents SNP and acetycholine were plotted to generate dose-response curves (Crestani et al., 2011; Almeida et al., 2015; Duarte et al., 2015). Dose-response curves were constructed for each vasoactive agent by calculating the amount of drug infused and the MAP change every 2 s after starting the infusion. The maximal effect (Eₘₐₓ) and the dose at 50% of the MAP range (ED₅₀) for each vasoactive agent were compared in all experimental groups.

**Plasma Corticosterone Measurements**

Blood sample (200 µL) was collected from the femoral artery catheter of each animal for determination of plasma corticosterone concentration. Blood was collected in plastic tubes containing 5 µL of heparin (5000 UI/mL). Samples were centrifuged at 2000 × g for 10 min at 4°C and plasma was stored at −20°C until the corticosterone assay was carried out.

Plasma corticosterone concentration was measured by radioimmunooassay. The method was adapted from that described previously (Sarnyai et al., 1992). Briefly, 20 µL of plasma was diluted 50 times with 0.01 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and placed in a water bath at 75°C for 1 h for heat inactivation of corticosteroid binding globulin. One-hundred microliters of a solution of antibody (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and (3H)-corticosterone (New England Nuclear, Boston, MA, USA; 10,000−20,000 cpm/mL) was added to each sample, mixed and incubated overnight at 4°C. Dextran-coated charcoal was used to adsorb the free steroid after incubation. The tubes were centrifuged at 2000 × g for 15 min at 4°C, the supernatant from each tube was transferred to scintillation vials and the radioactivity was quantified by liquid scintillation spectrometry. Standard curves were constructed using 25, 50, 100, 250, 500, 750, 1000, and 2000 pg/100 µL of corticosterone (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). After dilution, all the concentrations of corticosterone samples were within the linear range of the standard curve.

**Drugs and Solutions**

Losartan (Sigma–Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA), propranolol hydrochloride (Sigma–Aldrich), methylatropine (Sigma–Aldrich), phenylephrine hydrochloride (Sigma–Aldrich), sodium nitroprusside (Sigma–Aldrich), acetylcholine (Sigma–Aldrich), tribromoethanol (Sigma–Aldrich), and urethane (Sigma–Aldrich) were dissolved in saline (0.9% NaCl). Flunixin meglumine (Banamine®; Schering-Plough, Cotia, SP, Brazil) and the poly-antibiotic preparation (Pentabiotico®; Fort Dodge, Campinas, SP, Brazil) were used as provided.

**Experimental Procedures**

The rats were divided into six groups: (i) control vehicle (n = 6), (ii) control losartan (n = 8), (iii) RRS vehicle (n = 8), (iv) RRS losartan (n = 8), (v) CVS vehicle (n = 8), and (vi) CVS losartan (n = 7). The protocols of chronic stress and the pharmacological treatment with losartan were started on the same day and continued for 10 consecutive days. At the 10th day, after the last session of stress/treatment, animals in all experimental groups were subjected to surgical preparation. Since the purpose of the present study was to investigate the enduring cardiovascular and autonomic changes evoked by chronic stress exposure, the tests were performed on days 11 and 12 after the onset of chronic stress protocols (i.e., 24 and 48 h after the last stress session).

On the testing days, animals were transferred to the experimental room in their home box. They were allowed to adapt to the experimental room conditions, such as sound and illumination, for 60 min before starting the experiments. The experimental room was temperature controlled (24°C) and was acoustically isolated from the other rooms. On the morning of the first testing day, a blood sample (200 µL) was collected from the femoral artery catheter of each animal for determination of plasma corticosterone concentration. In the sequence, animals were subjected to a 30-min period of basal recording of the arterial pressure and HR. After that, they received intravenous infusions of phenylephrine (selective α₁-adrenoceptor agonist), SNP (nitric oxide donor) and acetylcholine in a random order. After the infusion of the vasoactive agents, animals in all experimental groups received intravenous methylatropine and propranolol in an opposite sequence to that used on day 1. Treatment was carried out following the same procedure (10-min interval) described on the day 1. At the end of the experiments, the rats were euthanized via anesthetic overdose (urethane, 250 mg/mL/200 g body weight, i.p.) and the heart, adrenals, and thymus were removed and weighed.
Data Analysis
Data were expressed as the mean ± SEM. The values of body weight were analyzed using three-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc test, with stress (control, RRS, and CVS) and treatment (vehicle and losartan) as independent factors and time as repeated measurement. Other measurements were analyzed using two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc test, with stress and treatment as independent factors. Results of statistical tests with $P < 0.05$ were considered significant.

RESULTS

Effects of Chronic Stress and Losartan Treatment on the Somatic Parameters and Plasma Corticosterone Concentration

Analysis of body weight gain indicated a main effect of time [$F(2,26) = 202.03, P < 0.001$] and stress × time interaction [$F(4,26) = 12.73, P < 0.001$]; however, the stress [$F(2,48) = 1.24, P > 0.05$] and pharmacological treatment [$F(1,48) = 0.96, P > 0.05$] had no effect. Treatment × time [$F(2,48) = 0.52, P > 0.05$], stress × treatment [$F(2,48) = 0.04, P > 0.05$], and stress × treatment × time [$F(4,48) = 0.64, P > 0.05$] interactions also did not reach significance (Figure 1). The post hoc analysis revealed that CVS reduced the body weight in the vehicle-treated animals at day 10 ($P < 0.01$), as well as in the losartan-treated rats at days 5 ($P < 0.05$) and 10 ($P < 0.01$). RRS did not affect the body weight gain ($P > 0.05$; Figure 1).

Analysis of the relative heart weight (heart weight/body weight) indicated a major effect of stress [$F(2,39) = 6.13, P < 0.004$] and treatment [$F(1,39) = 67.0, P < 0.01$], without stress × treatment interaction [$F(1,39) = 0.77, P > 0.05$] (Figure 1). The post hoc analysis revealed that RRS and CVS increased the relative heart weight ($P < 0.05$); however, this effect was not identified in the losartan-treated animals ($P > 0.05$; Figure 1). Comparison of the relative weights of the thymus and adrenals did not indicate an effect of either stress [thymus: $F(2,39) = 0.28, P > 0.05$; adrenals: $F(2,39) = 2.18, P > 0.05$] or treatment [thymus: $F(1,39) = 0.65, P > 0.05$; adrenals: $F(1,39) = 1.89, P > 0.05$] (Figure 1).

Analysis of the plasma corticosterone concentration indicated an effect of stress [$F(2,39) = 13.96, P < 0.0001$]; however, the treatment [$F(1,39) = 1.51, P > 0.05$] and stress × treatment interaction [$F(2,39) = 0.32, P > 0.05$] showed no effect (Figure 1). The post hoc analysis revealed that CVS increased the corticosterone concentration ($P < 0.05$); however, losartan treatment did not affect this effect ($P < 0.05$). RRS did not affect the plasma corticosterone levels ($P > 0.05$; Figure 1).

Effects of Chronic Stress and Losartan Treatment on the Basal Cardiovascular Parameters

Analysis of the basal values of HR did not indicate an effect of either stress [$F(2,39) = 0.27, P > 0.05$] or treatment [$F(1,39) = 2.12, P > 0.05$] (Figure 2). However, analysis of both MAP, SAP, and DAP indicated an effect of losartan treatment [MAP: $F(1,39) = 16.71, P < 0.05$; SAP: $F(1,39) = 25.97, P < 0.05$; DAP: $F(1,39) = 11.07, P < 0.05$], but without effect of stress [MAP: $F(2,39) = 0.19, P > 0.05$; SAP: $F(2,39) = 0.17, P > 0.05$; DAP: $F(2,39) = 0.09, P > 0.05$] and stress × treatment interaction [MAP: $F(2,39) = 0.48, P > 0.05$; SAP: $F(2,39) = 0.24, P > 0.05$; DAP: $F(2,39) = 0.39, P > 0.05$] (Figure 2). The post hoc analysis revealed that losartan reduced SAP in all experimental groups ($P < 0.05$), whereas MAP ($P < 0.05$) and DAP ($P < 0.05$) were reduced only in the control group (Figure 2).

Effects of Chronic Stress and Losartan Treatment on the Autonomic Activity and Intrinsic HR

Cardiac Sympathetic Activity

Analysis of the change in HR induced by intravenous administration of the β-adrenoceptor antagonist propranolol
indicated a significant effect of stress \( F(2,39) = 3.24, P < 0.05 \) and treatment \( F(1,39) = 4.37, P < 0.05 \), as well as a treatment × stress interaction \( F(2,39) = 4.29, P < 0.05 \) (Figure 3). The post hoc analysis revealed that RRS increased propranolol response \( (P < 0.05) \), and this effect was inhibited by losartan treatment \( (P > 0.05) \); Figure 3). Furthermore, CVS increased propranolol-evoked HR change in the losartan-treated animals \( (P < 0.05) \); Figure 3).

**Cardiac Parasympathetic Activity**

Analysis of the change in HR induced by intravenous administration of the muscarinic cholinergic receptor antagonist methylatropine did not indicate an effect of either stress \( F(2,39) = 1.39, P > 0.05 \), losartan \( F(1,39) = 0.05, P > 0.05 \), or stress × treatment interaction \( F(2,39) = 2.40, P > 0.05 \) (Figure 3). However, the post hoc analysis revealed that both RRS \( (P < 0.05) \) and CVS \( (P < 0.05) \) reduced methylatropine response in the vehicle-treated animals, but not in the losartan-treated rats \( (P > 0.05) \); Figure 3).

**Intrinsic HR**

Analysis of the HR values after combined treatment with propranolol and methylatropine (iHR) did not indicate an effect of either stress \( F(2,39) = 0.57, P > 0.05 \) or treatment \( F(1,39) = 0.44, P > 0.05 \) (Figure 3).

**Vascular Sympathetic Activity**

Analysis of the oscillations of the SAP at LF ranges did not indicate an effect of either stress \( F(2,39) = 1.08, P > 0.05 \) or treatment \( F(1,31) = 0.04, P > 0.05 \) (Figure 3).

**Effects of Chronic Stress and Losartan Treatment on the Baroreflex Activity**

The baroreflex activity assessed by the sequence analysis technique did not indicate an effect of either stress \([up: F(2,39) = 0.14, P > 0.05\); down: \( F(2,39) = 0.70, P > 0.05 \); all: \( F(2,39) = 0.12, P > 0.05 \) or treatment \([up: F(1,39) = 0.00, \( P > 0.05 \), and this effect was inhibited by losartan treatment \( (P > 0.05) \); Figure 3). Furthermore, CVS increased propranolol-evoked HR change in the losartan-treated animals \( (P < 0.05) \); Figure 3).
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Analysis of the HR values after combined treatment with propranolol and methylatropine (iHR) did not indicate an effect of either stress \( F(2,39) = 0.57, P > 0.05 \) or treatment \( F(1,39) = 0.44, P > 0.05 \) (Figure 3).

Vascular Sympathetic Activity

Analysis of the oscillations of the SAP at LF ranges did not indicate an effect of either stress \( F(2,39) = 1.08, P > 0.05 \) or treatment \( F(1,31) = 0.04, P > 0.05 \) (Figure 3).

Effects of Chronic Stress and Losartan Treatment on the Baroreflex Activity

The baroreflex activity assessed by the sequence analysis technique did not indicate an effect of either stress \([up: F(2,39) = 0.14, P > 0.05\); down: \( F(2,39) = 0.70, P > 0.05 \); all: \( F(2,39) = 0.12, P > 0.05 \) or treatment \([up: F(1,39) = 0.00, \( P > 0.05 \), and this effect was inhibited by losartan treatment \( (P > 0.05) \); Figure 3). Furthermore, CVS increased propranolol-evoked HR change in the losartan-treated animals \( (P < 0.05) \); Figure 3).
Results of the non-linear and linear analysis of the baroreflex activity are presented in Figure 4B and Table 1. The non-linear analysis of the baroreflex activity indicated an effect of stress \[ F(2,39) = 5.01, P < 0.01 \] and treatment \[ F(1,39) = 16.39, P < 0.0002 \], as well as a stress \( \times \) treatment interaction \[ F(2,39) = 3.80, P < 0.03 \] for the G parameter. Moreover, analysis of the HR range indicated a stress \( \times \) treatment interaction \[ F(2,39) = 3.30, P < 0.04 \], but without an effect of either stress \[ F(2,39) = 2.30, P > 0.05 \] or treatment \[ F(1,39) = 2.20, P > 0.05 \]. Analysis of \( P_1 \), \( P_2 \), and \( BP_{50} \) parameters did not indicate an effect of either stress \[ P_1: F(2,39) = 0.96, P > 0.05; P_2: F(2,39) = 2.78, P > 0.05; BP_{50}: F(2,39) = 0.55, P > 0.05 \] or treatment \[ P_1: F(1,39) = 3.22, P > 0.05; P_2: F(1,39) = 0.01, P > 0.05; BP_{50}: F(1,39) = 0.15, P > 0.05 \]. The post hoc analysis revealed that both RRS \( (P < 0.05) \) and CVS \( (P < 0.05) \) reduced \( G \), \( P_2 \), and HR range, and these effects were inhibited by losartan treatment \( (P > 0.05) \).

The effect of MAP increase and decrease on the HR was also analyzed separately using linear regression analysis. However, the comparison of bradycardic and tachycardic response slopes did not indicate an effect of either stress \( \text{bradycardia: } F(2,39) = 0.38, P > 0.05 \); \( \text{tachycardia: } F(2,39) = 0.78, P > 0.05 \) or treatment \( \text{bradycardia: } F(1,37) = 0.29, P > 0.05 \); \( \text{tachycardia: } F(1,32) = 0.21, P > 0.05 \).

### Effects of Chronic Stress and Losartan Treatment on the Vascular Reactivity to Vasoactive Agents

Results of the vascular reactivity to vasoactive agents are presented in Figure 5 and Table 2.

**Phenylephrine**

The intravenous infusion of the selective \( \alpha_1 \)-adrenoceptor agonist phenylephrine dose-dependently evoked pressor responses in all groups. However, analysis of \( E_{\text{max}} \) and \( ED_{50} \) of the dose-response curves did not indicate an effect of either stress \[ E_{\text{max}}: F(2,39) = 0.11, P > 0.05; ED_{50}: F(2,39) = 0.08, P > 0.05 \] or treatment \[ E_{\text{max}}: F(1,39) = 1.16, P > 0.05; ED_{50}: F(12,39) = 0.85, P > 0.05 \].

**Acetylcholine**

The systemic infusion of acetylcholine dose-dependently reduced the blood pressure in all groups. Comparison of the \( E_{\text{max}} \) and \( ED_{50} \) of the dose-response curves indicated an effect of treatment \[ E_{\text{max}}: F(1,39) = 8.21, P < 0.01; ED_{50}: \]
TABLE 1 | Parameters derived from non-linear (G, P₁, P₂, HR range, G, and BP₅₀) and linear (slope bradycardia and slope tachycardia) regression analysis of the baroreflex in animals treated with vehicle or losartan and subjected to RRS or CVS.

| Group | G (bpm/mmHg) | P₁ (Δbpm) | P₂ (Δbpm) | HR range (bpm) | BP₅₀ (ΔmmHg) | Slope Bradycardia (bpm/mmHg) | Slope Tachycardia (bpm/mmHg) |
|-------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Vehicle | Control | −2.1 ± 0.2 | −74 ± 5 | 134 ± 8 | 202 ± 6 | −1.3 ± 5 | −2.3 ± 0.2 | −3.2 ± 0.5 |
|        | RRS       | −1.2 ± 0.2* | −59 ± 6 | 94 ± 8* | 157 ± 5* | −4.2 ± 4 | −1.7 ± 0.2 | −2.6 ± 0.4 |
|        | CVS       | −1.2 ± 0.2* | −66 ± 6 | 96 ± 9* | 164 ± 12 | −2.1 ± 3 | −2.4 ± 0.3 | −2.5 ± 0.5 |
| Losartan | Control | −2.3 ± 0.3 | −78 ± 9 | 109 ± 12 | 187 ± 11 | 1.0 ± 3 | −1.9 ± 0.3 | −2.7 ± 0.6 |
|        | RRS       | −2.6 ± 0.2* | −89 ± 9 | 102 ± 11 | 199 ± 8* | 0.0 ± 2 | −2.6 ± 0.4 | −2.0 ± 0.6 |
|        | CVS       | −1.8 ± 0.2* | −66 ± 9 | 115 ± 12 | 178 ± 18 | −5.4 ± 2 | −2.3 ± 0.3 | −3.0 ± 0.5 |

Values are mean ± SEM (n = 6: vehicle control, losartan control; n = 7: losartan CVS; n = 8: vehicle RRS, vehicle CVS, losartan RRS).

*P < 0.05 versus control group within same condition, #P < 0.05 versus respective group vehicle. Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test.

Sodium Nitroprusside

The intravenous administration of the nitric oxide donor SNP dose-dependently reduced the blood pressure in all groups. Comparison of the Eₘₐₓ obtained from the dose–response curves indicated an effect of treatment [F(1,39) = 10.83, P < 0.01] and stress × losartan interaction [F(2,39) = 3.29, P < 0.05], without an effect of stress [F(2,39) = 1.99, P > 0.05]. Analysis of the ED₅₀ values did not indicate an effect of either stress [F(2,39) = 0.12, P > 0.05], losartan [F(1,39) = 2.29, P > 0.05], or stress × losartan interaction [F(2,39) = 1.03, P > 0.05]. However, the post hoc analysis did not reveal significant differences between the experimental groups.

DISCUSSION

This is the first study to investigate the involvement of Ang II acting on the AT₁ receptors in the autonomic and cardiovascular changes evoked by homotypic and heterotypic chronic stress regimens. Table 3 summarizes the main findings reported in the present study.

The findings that the body weight and plasma corticosterone concentration were uniquely affected by CVS is in line with previous evidence that changes in the somatic parameters and increased circulating glucocorticoid levels are more often observed following exposure to heterotypic than homotypic stressors (Magarinos and McEwen, 1995; Marin et al., 2007). Significant habituation of the HPA axis occurs over the course of repeated exposures to the same stressor, which minimizes the impact of the homotypic stressors on the basal plasma corticosterone concentration and somatic parameters (Herman, 2013). Interestingly, losartan treatment did not reverse the CVS-evoked corticosterone hypersecretion and reduction in body weight gain. In fact, the reduction in body weight was detected earlier in the losartan-treated animals, indicating that the treatment accentuated the CVS effect. Uresin et al. (2004) reported a small increase in the plasma corticosterone levels in rats subjected to RRS protocol, which was inhibited by systemic treatment with losartan. Taken together with the present findings, these results indicates a stress type-specific role of AT₁ receptor on the impact of chronic stress in the HPA axis.

\[F(1,39) = 5.11, P < 0.05]\]; however, stress \[F(2,39) = 0.48, P > 0.05; ED₅₀: F(2,37) = 0.25, P > 0.05\] and stress × treatment interaction \[F(2,39) = 0.39, P > 0.05; ED₅₀: F(2,37) = 1.09, P > 0.05\] had no effect. Nevertheless, the post hoc analysis did not reveal significant differences between the experimental groups.
TABLE 2 | Maximal effect ($E_{max}$) and dose at 50% of the MAP range ($ED_{50}$) for phenylephrine (Phenyl), acetylcholine (Ach), and sodium nitroprusside (SNP) dose–response curves in animals treated with vehicle or losartan control and subjected to repeated restraint stress (RRS) or chronic variable stress (CVS).

| Group     | n  | Phenyl $ED_{50}$ | $E_{max}$ | Ach $ED_{50}$ | $E_{max}$ | SNP $ED_{50}$ | $E_{max}$ |
|-----------|----|------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| Vehicle   |    |                  |           |               |           |               |           |
| Control   | 6  | 0.68 ± 0.05      | 38 ± 4    | 0.06 ± 0.06   | −25 ± 4   | 1.19 ± 0.04   | −32 ± 4   |
| RRS       | 7  | 0.66 ± 0.07      | 36 ± 4    | 0.16 ± 0.03   | −26 ± 2   | 1.26 ± 0.05   | −39 ± 4   |
| CVS       | 8  | 0.74 ± 0.04      | 35 ± 3    | 0.08 ± 0.02\$ | −25 ± 3   | 1.28 ± 0.03   | −42 ± 2   |
| Losartan  |    |                  |           |               |           |               |           |
| Control   | 8  | 0.69 ± 0.07      | 33 ± 3    | 0.03 ± 0.02   | −20 ± 2   | 1.22 ± 0.04   | −29 ± 2   |
| RRS       | 8  | 0.61 ± 0.05      | 34 ± 4    | −0.02 ± 0.02  | −15 ± 3\$ | 1.18 ± 0.06   | −25 ± 3\$ |
| CVS       | 7  | 0.61 ± 0.05      | 34 ± 4    | −0.02 ± 0.02  | −15 ± 3\$ | 1.18 ± 0.06   | −25 ± 3\$ |

Values are mean ± SEM.
\$P < 0.05 vs. respective group losartan.
\#P < 0.05 vs. respective RRS group. Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc test.

TABLE 3 | Summary of the effects of RRS and CVS in animals treated with vehicle or losartan.

|                      | Vehicle                      | Losartan                     |
|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                      | RRS | CVS | RRS | CVS | RRS | CVS |
| Somatic parameters   |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Body weight          | –   | †   | –   | †   | –   | †   |
| Heart weight         | †   | †   | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| Adrenal weight       | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| Thymus weight        | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| HPA axis             |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Corticosterone       | –   | †   | –   | †   | –   | †   |
| Cardiovascular baseline |   |     |     |     |     |     |
| Mean Arterial pressure | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| Diastolic Arterial pressure | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| Systolic Arterial pressure | –   | –   | †   | †   | –   | –   |
| HR                   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| Autonomic activity   |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Cardiac sympathetic activity | †   | –   | –   | †   | –   | †   |
| Cardiac Vagal activity | †   | †   | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| Vascular sympathetic activity | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| Intrinsic HR         | †\* | †\* | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| Baroreflex bradycardia | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| Baroreflex tachycardia | †   | †   | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| Vascular function    |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Pressor response to phenylephrine | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| Depressor effect of acetylcholine | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| Depressor effect of Sodium nitroprusside | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   |

Up or down arrows indicate significant increase or decrease, respectively.
\*Statistically non-significant but relevant (decrease of ~10%).

Regarding the body weight, our findings are in line with a recent study that did not identify an effect of the systemic treatment with the AT$_1$ receptor antagonist telmisartan on RRS-evoked body weight reduction (Wincewicz and Braszko, 2014). Nevertheless, the present findings provide the first evidence of a possible facilitatory role for the treatment with AT$_1$ receptor antagonists on the effects of chronic stress regarding the body weight.

It has been demonstrated that AT$_1$ receptor antagonists may reduce the body weight gain through activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR; He et al., 2010). Indeed, chronic treatment with losartan results in circulating levels of...
the metabolite EXP3179 that is sufficient for the activation of the PPARy (Kappert et al., 2009). However, PPARy plays a role in adipocyte differentiation and adipogenesis (Vidal-Puig et al., 1997), and the increased expression of this receptor has been implicated in obesity (Vidal-Puig et al., 1997). Therefore, the facilitatory effect of losartan on the CVS-evoked body weight reduction seems to be mediated by mechanisms other than PPARy activation, and thus requires further investigation.

Neither RRS nor CVS affected the basal values of the arterial pressure and HR. Inconsistent findings have been reported regarding the impact of animal models of chronic stress on the arterial pressure (Nalivaiko, 2011; Crestani, 2016). In this sense, our results corroborate the evidence that chronic emotional stress does not affect the basal arterial pressure (Bechtold et al., 2009). Previous results have demonstrated that RRS does not affect the basal HR values (McDougall et al., 2000; Bechtold et al., 2009; Daubert et al., 2012; Duarte et al., 2015), whereas resting tachycardia has been reported in some studies following exposure to CVS (Grippo et al., 2002; Bouzinova et al., 2012; Duarte et al., 2015). Nevertheless, our results are in line with previous evidence that CVS does not affect HR (Cudnoch-Jedrzejewska et al., 2010; Flak et al., 2011; Xie et al., 2012; Almeida et al., 2015). It has been demonstrated that chronic treatment with losartan at doses ranging from 10 to 40 mg/kg decreased the basal arterial pressure in normotensive rats (Sacerdote et al., 1995; Bezerra et al., 2001; Leenen et al., 2001; Xavier et al., 2004; Koprdova et al., 2009). This is in accordance with our results.

Although there was no changes in the basal HR, a shift in the cardiac sympathovagal balance toward the sympathetic predominance was observed following exposure to either RRS or CVS. A tendency of a reduction in iHR (∼10%) was observed in animals subjected to both stressors, which suggests that a decrease in the cardiac pacemaker activity might have buffered the autonomic imbalance and avoided the emergence of changes in the resting HR. Treatment with losartan inhibited the alterations evoked by both RRS and CVS in the autonomic activity, which indicates a role of Ang II/AT₁ receptors in these responses. Changes in the cardiac autonomic balance toward sympathetic predominance have been considered an important risk factor for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (Carnevali and Sgoifo, 2014). Therefore, the present findings provide evidence that inhibition of the changes in the sympathetic and parasympathetic activities is an important mechanism that accounts for the protective effect of treatment with AT₁ receptor antagonists against stress-evoked cardiovascular dysfunctions.

The classical pharmacological analysis indicated a reduction in the baroreflex activity in animals subjected to either RRS or CVS, which is in line with previous findings (Porter et al., 2004; Grippo et al., 2008; Almeida et al., 2015; Duarte et al., 2015). The sequence analysis technique did not reveal any effect of chronic stressors in the baroreflex. The present results corroborate recent evidence that chronic stress differently affect the baroreflex responses generated during spontaneous and full-range of arterial pressure changes (Almeida et al., 2015). Acute ablation of specific central nervous system regions has different effects on the baroreflex responses as assessed by the classical pharmacological approach and the sequence analysis technique (Crestani et al., 2010a; de Andrade et al., 2014), which indicates the presence of specific baroreflex circuitries generating reflex responses during spontaneous and evoked arterial pressure changes. Therefore, our findings indicate a selective influence of chronic stress on the baroreflex pathways involved in the responses generated during the full-range of arterial pressure changes. Impairment of the baroreflex activity is associated with an overactivity of the sympathetic tone and reduction of the cardiac parasympathetic activity (Grassi et al., 2004). Therefore, impairment of the baroreflex function may play a key role in the autonomic imbalance evoked by RRS and CVS.

Treatment with losartan inhibited the stress-evoked changes in baroreflex function. This finding indicates the involvement of Ang II/AT₁ receptors in the baroreflex changes evoked by chronic stress, and reinforces the evidence of an involvement of the Ang II/AT₁ receptors in the autonomic changes evoked by chronic stress. Chronic stress increases Ang II level in the plasma and brain tissue and the expression of AT₁ receptors in the brain regions controlling the autonomic activity (Castren and Saavedra, 1988; Yang et al., 1994; McDougall et al., 2000). Activation of AT₁ receptors in the brain elicits a set of changes in the autonomic activity, including an increase in the sympathetic activity, a decrease in the parasympathetic activity, and inhibition of the baroreflex function (Lindpaintner and Ganten, 1991; Head and Mayorov, 2006). Additionally, Ang II facilitates noradrenaline release from the cardiac sympathetic nerve terminals (Lindpaintner and Ganten, 1991). Therefore, inhibition of the stress-evoked sympathovagal imbalance by losartan treatment may be mediated via blockade of either the central or the peripheral AT₁ receptors. Nevertheless, it has been proposed that modulation of the baroreflex function is mainly mediated via the action of Ang II in the brain (Averill and Diz, 2000; Head and Mayorov, 2006). This indicates that the effect of losartan on the baroreflex changes evoked by the chronic stressors is more likely related to the blockade of AT₁ receptors in the brain baroreflex circuitry.

Previous studies using binding autoradiography consistently reported that peripheral administration of losartan at doses ranging from 1 to 100 mg/kg dose-dependently blocked the AT₁ receptors in brain areas outside (e.g., the circumventricular organs) and within the blood–brain barrier (Song et al., 1991; Zhuo et al., 1994; Wang et al., 2003). These findings are further supported by functional evidence that losartan peripherally inhibited the pressor response, water intake, and vasopressin release in the circulation evoked by intracerebroventricular administration of Ang II (Polidori et al., 1996; Culum et al., 1999). Additionally, losartan may displays central effects in case of prolonged treatment or pathological conditions (Duron and Hanon, 2010; Karnik et al., 2015). Indeed, stress and hypertension are pathological conditions that can promote an increase in blood–brain barrier permeability (Skultétyová et al., 1998; Ueno et al., 2004), thus facilitating the action of losartan in the brain. These pieces of evidence support the hypothesis that the effects of losartan reported in the present study may be mediated by either peripheral or central blockade of the AT₁ receptors. Hypertension is associated with vascular dysfunction (Tang and Vanhoutte, 2010). Therefore, the similar response to the
vasoactive agents in the stressed and non-stressed animals is in line with the lack of effects of RRS and CVS on the basal arterial pressure. The similar vasomotor sympathetic tone among the experimental groups (evidenced by analysis of oscillations of SAP at LF range) further supports the lack of changes in the arterial pressure. However, our findings were opposite to those of in vitro and in vivo studies reporting changes in the vascular reactivity to vasodilator and vasoconstrictor agents following exposure to either RRS or CVS (Neves et al., 2009; Isingrini et al., 2012; Baptista et al., 2014; Almeida et al., 2015; Duarte et al., 2015). The reasons for this discrepancy are not completely clear. Nevertheless, in the present study, the rats were handled daily for treatment with losartan. It has been reported that excessive handling by the experimenter, such as daily drug injection may buffer the effects of stress (Fone and Porkess, 2008). Moreover, chronic treatment with losartan did not evoke any change in the blood pressure response to vasodilator and vasoconstrictor agents, corroborating previous evidence that losartan treatment does not affect the vascular reactivity to vasoactive agents (Failli et al., 2009).

In summary, the present findings provide evidence of the involvement of Ang II/AT1 receptors in the cardiac sympathovagal imbalance and the changes in the baroreflex function evoked by both homotypic and heterotypic chronic stress regimens. Results of the present study also provide evidence that the increased circulating corticosterone level evoked by CVS is independent of Ang II/AT1 receptors, whereas the reduction in the body weight gain evoked by heterotypic stressors may be facilitated by treatment with losartan.
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