Background: Synovial joint erosions are generally considered the result of an inflammatory process, permitting identification of the underlying form of arthritis. However, they are sometimes perceived in isolation, in the absence of any disorder known to produce erosions. In addition to the character of the erosions, a tool helpful in characterizing known forms of inflammatory arthritis is their gender and age distribution as a population phenomenon.

Methods: Hands and feet of individuals in the Hamann-Todd human skeletal collection were macroscopically examined to identify individuals with isolated (single or two) appendicular articular or peri-articular cortical disruptions in absence of axial skeletal erosions, fusion, syndesmophytes or calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease-related calcific deposits. Gender and age were additionally recorded for individuals identified with rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthropathy and calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease.

Results: Isolated articular and periarticular cortical disruption was recognized in 61 (4 women, 57 men) among 1620 individuals, independent of race.

Discussion: Epidemiologic analysis revealed a pattern of isolated erosions that could not be attributed to the diseases that today are recognized to commonly cause bone erosion. If not associated with other skeletal manifestations of inflammatory/crystalline arthritis or clinical evidence of synovitis, they likely are not clinically significant.

Introduction

One of the arbitrators of aggressive rheumatologic intervention is presence of erosins, but are all erosions pertinent? Microscopic bone alterations (in the form of erosions) caused by rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthritis, calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease and gout have recently been reviewed, identifying variables allowing distinguishing among them [1]. Unfortunately, those definitive alterations are difficult to recognize at lesser degrees of magnification. While bone alterations related to known rheumatologic diseases are highly characteristic, even when present as isolated erosions, the details permitting recognition of the specific underlying disorder are below the resolution of standard radiologic techniques available to clinical practitioners. High magnified views (100-200 fold) are required. This is especially problematic when only isolated erosions are discovered. Are those frustae of known diseases and therefore prognostic or simply residue of some entity as yet not recognized/identified, which subsequently resolved.

Synovial joint erosions are generally considered the result of an inflammatory process, permitting identification of the underlying form of arthritis, and as an indication for aggressive therapeutic intervention [2]. However, they are sometimes perceived (radiologically, on the basis of articular and periarticular cortical discontinuities) in isolation, in the absence of any other indication of an inflammatory process [3-5].

Most isolated erosions perceived radiologically in otherwise healthy individuals are identified as optical/radiologic illusions, related to the irregular shape of joints or their margins or to ligament attachments and overlapping shadows [6,7]. However, real erosions do occur in individuals without any disorder known to produce erosions [8]. What is their significance? Are they harbingers of future disease or simply residua of isolated events of as yet unidentified derivation? Examination of defleshed skeletons obviates the problem of optical illusion/overlapping shadows and permits clearly delineating cortical defects unrelated to tendon or ligament attachments [9,10].

The source of these isolated erosions has been a matter for speculation. Are they forme-fruste of a known inflammatory or crystalline arthritis? This is a question that has proven inaccessible to assess without full clinical information. (Note that most skeletal collections, even those with cause of death and autopsy information, lack clinical history and autopsies seldom comments on presence or absence of joint disease). Another approach is required.

In addition to the character of the erosions, a tool that has been helpful in characterizing known forms of inflammatory arthritis in skeletal collections/archeologic sites is their distribution (epidemiologic) in the population [9-17]. What is the gender distribution? How prevalent is it in each decade of life? One of the challenges of epidemiologic studies in archeologic samples is lack of adequate criteria for distinguishing specific ages of older individuals and their variable survival. This could compromise analysis, unless one limits comparison to similar age cohorts and also limits diagnostic considerations to those diseases which manifest in or by those decades. A skeletal collection composed
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of individuals of known age at death and which has been documented as representative of the population from which it was drawn, the Hamann-Todd human skeletal collection, provides the opportunity for such an analysis [9,10,14,17,18]. Thus, the age and gender profile of the various forms of inflammatory/crystalline arthritis that have significant population penetrance (as identified in that sample) can be compared and contrasted with that of isolated erosions.

Methods

Hands and feet of individuals in the Hamann-Todd human skeletal collection were macroscopically examined to identify individuals with isolated (single or two) appendicular articular or peri-articular cortical disruptions [8,19]. Individuals with axial skeletal erosions, fusion or syndesmophytes, calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease-related calcific deposits, hypertrophic osteoarthropathy or clinical diagnoses of renal disease, syphilis, cancer and gout were specifically excluded. Individuals with projecting peri-articular enthesial or Sharpey fibers (identifying ligamentous insertion-related defects) were excluded, as were individuals with articular crumbling (indicative of calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease) rather than sharply defined erosions or indentations related to “pressure erosions” [17,20,21-23]. Individuals with renal, infectious disease (e.g., syphilis) or cancer were also excluded because of their cortical bone expression in these diseases [13,14,17,22,24-29].

Gender and age were recorded for individuals identified with rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthropathy and calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease. Identification of rheumatoid arthritis was predicated on sharply defined cortical defects (erosions and their skeletal distribution) in the absence of remodeling [22,10]. Spondyloarthropathy was recognized by presence of well-defined, but remodeled erosions associated with reactive new bone formation [22,14]. Identification of calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease was predicated on the crumbling character of its erosions and articular surface calcifications [17]. Gout was recognized by the sharp definition of its erosions, surrounded by dense new reactive bone and presence of discrete birefringent material at its base [25]. Bone indentations permitted identification of pressure erosions. The significance of age distribution of isolated and disease-related erosions was assessed by Chi square and Fisher exact tests [23].

Results

Isolated articular and periarticular cortical disruption was recognized in 61 of 1620 individuals (Table 1). Examination of the epidemiology of isolated erosions revealed a pattern quite different from that of disorders known to cause joint erosions as a population phenomenon, both in gender and age predisposition. Isolated erosions were observed in 4 women, 57 men. They were equally represented in African American and Caucasian women. They were observed in 21 of 348 African American males and 36 of 648 Caucasian males examined in the Hamann-Todd collection. Prevalence was independent of race. The most disparate prevalence (according to race) was in the 8th decade, but was not statistically significant (Fisher exact test = 0.0677).

Discussion

Isolated erosions were predominantly a male phenomenon, independent of race (Table 1). Examination of the raw data in Table 1 reveals differences between the epidemiology of isolated erosions and that of known causes of inflammatory arthritis in the Hamann-Todd human skeletal collection but requires additional calculations to convert gender-based prevalence to be applicable to the general population. Such epidemiological comparison requires correcting the Hamann-Todd human skeletal collection male accession bias. Gender ratios in the Hamann-Todd human skeletal collection are unbalanced, heavily in favor of males. To relate skeletal collection findings to the general population, the gender prevalence of isolated erosions and of diseases must be adjusted accordingly. Epidemiologic analysis based on that calculation (Table 2) revealed a pattern of isolated erosions that could not be attributed to the diseases that today are recognized to commonly cause bone erosion. Isolated erosions were more prevalent in the fourth and fifth decades of life, less in the 6th and 7th and again in the 8th decade.

Table 1. Gender and age prevalence of isolated erosions contrasted with identified individuals with rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthropathy, calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease

| Disease | Isolated | Rheumatoid | Spondyloarthropathy | CPPD | Denominator |
|---------|----------|------------|--------------------|------|-------------|
| Gender (M/F) | 57/4 | 34/13 | 72/6 | 164/39 | 1345/275 (total) |
| Decade | Gender specificity (M/F)* | 4.2-1.4 | 0.12-2 | 2.4-1 | 5.5-6.5 | 3-1 |
| 3rd | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| 4th | 3 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 265 |
| 5th | 9 | 5 | 19 | 30 | 412 |
| 6th | 8 | 18 | 13 | 43 | 350 |
| 7th | 5 | 9 | 15 | 45 | 279 |
| >8th | 6 | 2 | 17 | 45 | 131 |

Table 2. Gender and age prevalence of isolated erosions and known erosive diseases, corrected for gender discrepancy and age representation in the Hamann-Todd human collection.

| Disease | Isolated | Rheumatoid | Spondyloarthropathy | CPPD | Gout § |
|---------|----------|------------|--------------------|------|--------|
| Gender specificity (M/F)* | 4.2-1.4 | 0.12-2 | 2.4-1 | 5.5-6.5 | 3-1 |
| Decade | Gender specificity (M/F)* | 4.2-1.4 | 0.12-2 | 2.4-1 | 5.5-6.5 | 3-1 |
| 3rd | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | <1 |
| 4th | 5.2 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 2.3 | <1 |
| 5th | 2.2 | 1.2 | 4.6 | 7.3 | 2 |
| 6th | 2.3 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 12.3 | 3 |
| 7th | 1.8 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 16.1 | 4 |
| >8th | 4.6 | 1.5 | 13.0 | 34.3 | 5 |

* Prevalence corrected for Hamann-Todd gender disparity (83% male)
§ Derived from Mikuls, et al. [30]
more common after the 7th than rheumatoid arthritis. They were less prevalent in the 5th-8th decades than spondyloarthritides. They were more prevalent in the 4th and less after the 2nd decade than CPPD. Thus, isolated erosions present a unique pattern, different than that noted with those disorders. They apparently derive from a source other than those of currently recognized forms of inflammatory arthritis. Could those isolated erosions recognized in the Hamann-Todd collection be related to a specific problem in the late 19th/early 20th century that has subsequently resolved?

Radiologic demonstration of erosions is one of the indicators for aggressive therapeutic intervention, at least in the presence of active synovitis. That is the caveat: If synovitis is not present, they may simply represent the residua of burnt out disease, and not an indicator for aggressive anti-inflammatory agent initiation. Perhaps isolated erosions could be similarly considered? Similarly, the significance of isolated erosions in the absence of known underlying disease must be considered. One approach is to first assess if damage characteristically identifying a disorder, such as evidence of active synovitis is present. If none is found, what would be clinically appropriate?

Isolated erosions are just that. If not associated with other skeletal manifestations of inflammatory/crystalline arthritis or clinical evidence of synovitis, they likely are not clinically significant. Future study is indicated to evaluate and follow individuals with radiologic evidence of erosions to assess current, past and future incidence of synovitis or other diseases to which they might hypothetically be related. The key to assessing the significance of isolated erosions is a careful examination for evidence of synovitis.
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