Targeting uPAR by CRISPR/Cas9 System Attenuates Cancer Malignancy and Multidrug Resistance
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INTRODUCTION

Urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR), a member of the lymphocyte antigen 6 protein superfamily, is overexpressed in different types of cancers and plays an important role in tumorigenesis and development. In this study, we successfully targeted uPAR by CRISPR/Cas9 system in two human cancer cell lines with two individual sgRNAs. Knockout of uPAR inhibited cell proliferation, migration and invasion. Furthermore, knockout of uPAR decreases resistance to 5-FU, cisplatin, docetaxel, and doxorubicin in these cells. Although there are several limitations in the application of CRISPR/Cas9 system for cancer patients, our study offers valuable evidences for the role of uPAR in cancer malignancy and drug resistance.
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The RNA-guided clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic (CRISPR) in combination with a CRISPR-associated nuclease 9 (Cas9) nuclease system is a novel gene editing technology by delivering the Cas9 complexed with a synthetic guide RNA (gRNA) into a cell to cut the desired genome location, allowing existing genes to be removed and/or new ones added (15). Due to the advantages of faster, cheaper, more accurate, and efficient, CRISPR/Cas9 system has been widely used as a basic biology research tool, development of biotechnology products and potentially to treat diseases (16). In this study, we used CRISPR/Cas9 system targeting uPAR to verify the role of uPAR in cancers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and Reagents
The two multidrug resistant cancer cell lines HCT8/T and KBV200 were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% FBS, penicillin (100 U/ml) and streptomycin (100 ng/ml) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. Restriction endonuclease BsmBI was from New England Biolabs. Polyetherimide (PEI) was from Ploysciences. Cisplatin (PEI) was from Ploysciences. Cisplatin was from Shandong Qilu Pharmaceutical. 5-FU, docetaxel, and doxorubicin were from LC Laboratories. Puromycin was from Selleck Chemicals. Methylthiazolyldiphenyl-tetrazolium (MTT) was from ApexBio Technology. Anti-uPAR (D121140) antibody was from Shanghai sangon biotech. Anti-Vinculin antibody (BM1611) was from Wuhan Boster Biotech.

Vector Generation, Lentivirus Production, and Transduction
LentiCRISPRv2 vector (from Addgene #52961) was digested with BsmBI and ligated with annealed oligonucleotides (uPAR-sg1-F: 5'-CACCCGAGCAACGCGGGGATCGTG-3', uPAR-sg1-R: 5'-AA-ACACAGGACATCCCGTGTTGCTC-3'; uPAR-sg2-F: 5'-CACCCGGGACGAGCAGGTGCTGCGTGT-3', uPAR-sg2-R: 5'-AACCCAGACAGATCAGGTGCTGCCC-3'). HEK293T were transfected using PEI at 70% confluency with recombinant vectors and packaging vectors pMD2G and psPAX2. Viral supernatant was harvested 96 h after transfection and stored at −80°C. HCT-8/T and KBV200 cells were transduced with viral supernatant containing 10 μg/ml polybrene, and were selected with 100 and 10 μg/ml puromycin respectively to establish the stable cell lines.

Genomic PCR and Sequencing Analysis
The genomic DNA of cells was extracted with the QuickExtract DNA extraction kit following the manufacturer’s protocol and amplified with a pair of primers (Detection 1-F: 5’-GACAACCGGACAGACTGGAA-3’, Detection 1-R: 5’-CCGAATCGCTCTAAGTG-3’) designed for the target region of interest using a Pfu DNA polymerase. Followed by agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining, the purified PCR products were sequencing with an ABI 3131xl Genetic analyzer.

Western Blot Analysis
Cells were harvested and lysed in RIPA buffer (1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1%SDS, 10 ng/ml PMSF, 0.03% aprotinin, 1 μM sodium orthovanadate) at 4°C for 30 min. Lysates were centrifuged for 10 min at 14,000 × g and supernants were stored at −80°C as whole cell extracts. Protein concentration was quantified using with Bradford assay. Proteins were separated on 10% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. Membranes were blocked with 5% BSA and incubated with the indicated primary antibodies. Corresponding horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were used against each primary antibody. Proteins were detected using the chemiluminescent detection reagents and films.

Cell Morphology Assay
Cells were seeded on glass cover slips for 24 h and then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 15 min at room temperature. The coverslips were incubated in the dark with 100 nM rhodamine-phalloidin at room temperature for 30 min. Nuclei were counterstained with 100 nM DAPI. The coverslips were rinsed in PBS and inverted on a drop of anti-fade mounting media on a glass slide. Then, these slides were sealed with neutral balsam and viewed under the confocal microscope.

Cell Viability Assay
Cells were seeded into a 96-well plate at a density of 5,000 cells/well and treated with various concentrations of agents for 72 h. Then 10 μl MTT was added to each well at a final concentration of 0.5 mg/ml. After incubation for 4 h, formazan crystals were dissolved in 50 μl of DMSO, and absorbance at 570 nm was measured by plate reader. The concentrations required to inhibit growth by 50% (IC50) were calculated from survival curves as previously described (17).

Sphere Formation Assay
Cells were trypsinized, suspended in medium containing 0.3% agar and 10% FBS and seeded at a density of 5 × 10² cells/well in a 12-well plate. The agar–cell mixture was plated onto a bottom layer with 0.5% agar. Then treated cells were incubated in a humidified incubator and fresh medium was added every 3 days. Two weeks later, colonies were analyzed microscopically.

Cell Migration Assay
Cells were seeded into a 6-well plate, and reached 80–90% confluence, the cell monolayer was wounded using a sterilized 10 μl pipette tip and washed with PBS two times. Cells were allowed to migrate for 12, 24, and 36 h in serum-free medium, and the wounds were observed and captured. The gap lengths were measured from the photomicrographs.

Cell Invasion Assay
Cell invasion assays were performed with a modified Boyden chamber (Corning) containing matrigel-coated polycarbonate membrane filter (6.5 mm diameter, 8 μm pore size). Cells were plated in the upper chamber and the lower chamber contained medium with 10% FBS, and incubated for 24 h
at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Non-migrated cells were scraped from the upper surface of the membrane, and migrated cells remaining on the bottom surface were photographed and counted.

**Statistical Analysis**
The experimental data of this paper are the results of three independent repetitions. The data obtained is presented in the form of an average and a standard deviation. Statistical analysis...
of data differences using t-test method. A P-value of <0.05 was set as the criterion for statistical significance.

RESULTS

Knockout of uPAR by CRISPR/Cas9 System

To target uPAR with CRISPR/Cas9 system, we firstly used lentiCRISPRv2 vector which expresses both hSpCas9 and the chimeric guide RNA (Figure 1A) linked respectively, with two targeting sequences from exon 2 of human uPAR gene (PLAUR) end with a 5’NGG3’ PAM (protospacer adjacent motif) sequence (Figure 1B). Then, the two successfully generated vectors expressed sgRNA1 (sg1) or sgRNA2 (sg2) to target uPAR were identified by sequencing. To establish cell lines stably expressed sgRNA to target uPAR, HCT8/T, and KBV200 cells were selected with puromycin after transduction with LentiCRISPRv2 viral supernatant. As shown in Figure 1C, the protein levels of uPAR were undetectable by western blot in both HCT8/T and KBV200 cells stably expressed either sg1 or sg2. To further identify the genomic change of targeting uPAR by CRISPR/Cas9 system, the genomic DNA of cells was extracted and amplified using the designed primers by PCR reaction. The sequencing results of PCR productions showed that 1 base was inserted into the target position of HCT8/T uPAR-sg1 cells and 3 base mismatches and a large deletion in the target position of HCT8/T uPAR-sg2 cells (Figure 1D). There were 16 base deletions and 12 base mismatches in the target position of KBV200 uPAR-sg1 cells and 51 base deletions and 3 base mismatches in the target position of KBV200 uPAR-sg2 cells (Figure 1E). These data suggest that cells with stable knockout of uPAR by CRISPR/Cas9 system were successfully established.

FIGURE 2 | Knockout of uPAR alters cell morphology. The morphology of HCT8/T (A) and KBV200 (B) cells was obtained with confocal microscope.
Knockout of uPAR Alters Cell Morphology
To explore the effect of knockout of uPAR on cell morphology, we stained cells with Rhodamine-labeled phalloidin and DAPI. The results showed that HCT8/T and KBV200 cells with uPAR knockout underwent morphologic changes from spindle-shaped phenotype to round phenotype (Figures 2A,B), indicating that knockout of uPAR alters cell morphology.

Knockout of uPAR Attenuates Cell Proliferation
To investigate the effect of knockout of uPAR on cell proliferation, we detected cell proliferation by MTT and sphere formation assays. As shown in Figure 3A, knockout of uPAR inhibited the growth of HCT8/T and KBV200 cells. Further sphere formation assay showed that knockout of uPAR reduced the sphere number and size of HCT8/T and KBV200 cells (Figures 3B–E). These results suggest that knockout of uPAR attenuates cell proliferation.

Knockout of uPAR Inhibits Cell Migration
To examine the effect of knockout of uPAR by CRISPR/Cas9 on cell migration, wound healing assay was used to detect cell migration. The results showed that cell migration was reduced in HCT8/T and KBV200 cells with uPAR knockout (Figure 4), indicating that knockout of uPAR inhibits cell migration.

FIGURE 3 | Knockout of uPAR attenuates cell proliferation. (A) Cell proliferation was evaluated by MTT assay. Representative spheres images and quantification of HCT8/T (B,C) and KBV200 (D,E) cells were determined by sphere formation assay. **P < 0.01 vs. corresponding control.
Knockout of uPAR Inhibits Cell Invasion

To further evaluate the effect of knockout of uPAR by CRISPR/Cas9 on cell invasion, transwell assay was used to detect cell invasion. As shown in Figure 5, cell invasion was reduced in HCT8/T and KBV200 cells with uPAR knockout, suggesting that knockout of uPAR inhibits cell invasion.

Knockout of uPAR Decreases Multidrug Resistance

To study the effect of knockout of uPAR by CRISPR/Cas9 on multidrug resistance, four chemotherapeutical drugs 5-FU, cisplatin, docetaxel, and doxorubicin were used to treat cells, and cell survival was detected by MTT assays. As shown in Figure 6, the cell survival curves shifted to downward, and IC50 values of these four drugs were reduced in HCT8/T and KBV200 cells with uPAR knockout. These data indicate that knockout of uPAR suppresses multidrug resistance.

DISCUSSION

Recently, it has been demonstrated that knockout of uPAR using CRISPR/Cas9 system in mouse neuroblastoma Neuro 2A cells inhibit cell proliferation, reduce the number of Ki-67 positive cells, and down-regulate the mRNA expression level of TrkC receptor (18). In the current study, we successfully targeted uPAR in two cancer cell lines by CRISPR/Cas9 system with two individual sgRNAs. Knockout of uPAR suppresses cell proliferation, migration and invasion. Moreover, knockout of uPAR decreases resistance to 5-FU, cisplatin, docetaxel, and doxorubicin in these cells. Previous studies have shown that high expression of uPAR leads to small cell lung cancer, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and malignant pleural mesothelioma resistant to chemotherapy (19–21). uPAR promotes the resistance to tamoxifen in breast cancer by activated ERK1/2 activity (22), and confers the resistance to gefitinib in non-small-cell lung cancer through activated EGFR/pAKT/survivin signal pathway (23). Therefore, uPAR plays important roles not only in cancer malignancy but also in drug resistance.
FIGURE 5 | Knockout of uPAR inhibits cell invasion. Cell invasion was determined with transwell assay. Representative invasion images and quantification of HCT8/T (A,B) and KBv200 (C,D) cells were shown. **P < 0.01 vs. corresponding control.

FIGURE 6 | Knockout of uPAR decreases multidrug resistance. Cells survival was measured by MTT assay. The representative growth curve of HCT8/T (A) and KBv200 (B) cells treated with the indicated concentrations of 5-FU, cisplatin, docetaxel, and doxorubicin for 72 h were shown.
CRISPR/Cas9 system has been widely applied in exploring the molecular mechanism of tumorigenesis, generating the models for cancer research and identifying the targets for cancer treatment, etc. A genome-wide CRISPR screen shows that loss-of-function mutations of some genes including NF2, PTEN, CDKN2A, TRIM72, FGA, miR-152, miR-345, and so on are able to drive tumor growth and metastasis in a mouse model (24). Using CRISPR/Cas9 technology to target MAN2A1-FER fusion gene inhibits tumor proliferation and metastasis in the mouse models of prostate and liver cancer (25). Colorectal cancer from normal human intestinal epithelium organoids are generated by introducing mutations in the tumor suppressor genes APC, SMAD4 and TP53, and oncogenes KRAS and/or PIK3CA with CRISPR/Cas9 system (26, 27). Liver tumors in mice are occurred by using hydrodynamic injection of CRISPR/Cas9 plasmids and sgRNAs that directly target the tumor suppressor genes PTEN and p53 (28). Mouse pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma models are established by introducing 13 sgRNAs of different tumor models for cancer research and identifying the targets for cancer (29). CDC25A is identifies as a determinant of sensitivity to ATR inhibitors by a genome-wide CRISPR screen (30). Deletion of genes such as NF1 and MED12 with CRISPR/Cas9 system is associated with resistance to vemurafenib (31). Moreover, the combination of CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing technology and immunotherapy, especially with CAR-T cell therapy, will have enormous therapeutic potential in leukemia, lymphoma, and some solid tumors (32, 33). Using CRISPR/Cas9 system can produce universal CAR-T cells by simultaneously targeting TCR and HLA-I (34) and enhanced CAR-T cells by deleting T cell inhibitory receptor or signaling molecule genes such as PD1 and CTLA4 (33, 35). We previously have demonstrated that targeting ABCB1 by CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing reverses ABCB1-mediated multidrug resistance in cancer cells, resulting in the increase of the sensitivity and intracellular accumulation of the anti-cancer drugs (36). Although there are several limitations such as off-targets and delivery in the clinical application of CRISPR/Cas9 technology, it is believed that CRISPR/Cas9 system will benefit cancer patients in the near future.

In summary, our results have demonstrated that targeting uPAR by CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing causes knockout of uPAR in human cancer cell lines, resulting in attenuation of cell proliferation, migration, invasion and multidrug resistance. Our study offers valuable evidences for the role of uPAR in cancer malignancy and drug resistance.
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