Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 and Seasonal Influenza A (H3N2) in Children’s Hospital, Australia

To the Editor: We read with interest the report by Carcione et al. of clinical features of pandemic influenza A (H1N1) 2009 and comparison of these with 2009 seasonal influenza infection in a population-based study from Western Australia (1). Here we share our experience of hospitalizations for influenza in a tertiary care children’s hospital in Sydney, New South Wales, Australia, during the 3 peak influenza seasons of the last decade.

During the 2009 Southern Hemisphere single influenza wave (June–September), we prospectively studied every child <15 years of age who was hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed influenza (74% had proven pandemic [H1N1] 2009) in Children’s Hospital at Westmead (CHW), Sydney, as part of a collaboration between the National Centre for Immunisation Research and Surveillance and the Australian Paediatric Surveillance Unit. The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee at CHW and supported by the state (New South Wales) health department. Data from hospitalizations for seasonal influenza at CHW in 2003 and 2007 (previous peaks in the last decade) were analyzed by using our previous studies and medical records (2–4). To compare pneumonia rates, we used the same case definitions in 2007 and 2009 (radiologic changes consistent with pneumonia). Proportions were compared by using the χ² statistic.

In 2009, the numbers of children with laboratory-confirmed influenza admitted to the hospital and to the pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) at CHW (226 and 22, respectively) were nearly double those admitted in 2007 (122 and 12) but similar to the number in 2003 (257 and 22). The proportion of case-patients admitted to the PICU, the length of hospital stay, and the length of PICU stay were similar in 2003, 2007, and 2009.

In 2009, among the 226 influenza-associated hospitalizations, 167 (74%) were for pandemic (H1N1) 2009 infection; in 2007, 119 of 122 influenza-associated hospitalizations were for seasonal influenza A (H3N2) infection (Table). During the 2009 pandemic wave, of all children admitted with laboratory-confirmed influenza, the proportion hospitalized with pandemic (H1N1) 2009 who were <6 months of age was similar to the proportion of children <6 months of age hospitalized with seasonal (H3N2) influenza in 2007 (21 [13%] of 167 and 21 [18%] of 119, respectively; p = 0.31). The proportions of those ≥5 years of age were significantly higher (61 [37%] and 15 [13%]; p = 0.0001). However, the proportion of those ≥5 years of age admitted to PICU in 2009 was less than in 2007 (10 [16%] of 61 vs. 3 [20%] of 15; p = 0.71).

Similar percentages of children with preexisting conditions were admitted in 2009 and 2007 (47% and 49%, respectively). However, pneumonia was a more frequent complication in 2009 than in 2007 (42 [25%] of 167 vs. 15 [13%] of 119; p = 0.01). In 2009, the proportion of children with pandemic (H1N1) 2009 who needed mechanical ventilation (7 [4%] of 167) was similar to the proportion in 2007 who had seasonal influenza (H3N2) (6 [5%] of 119; p = 0.77). Furthermore, no child at CHW in 2007 or in 2009 received extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

Vomiting occurred much more frequently in 2009 than in 2007 (59 [35%] of 167 vs. 16 [13%] of 119; p = 0.0001). In 2009, of 62 children who did not exhibit vomiting when first examined, and who were subsequently treated with antiviral drugs, only 1 had vomiting develop in the hospital. This condition resolved within hours, and
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the 5-day course of antiviral treatment was completed. Chart review showed that in no child did antiviral treatment exacerbate vomiting, and no children required antiemetic treatment or intravenous rehydration. These data suggest that oseltamivir was uncommonly associated with vomiting in hospitalized children.

In macaque monkeys, pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus is more pathogenic than seasonal influenza A (H1N1), particularly affecting the lungs (5). The significantly higher proportion (and number) of pneumonia patients at CHW in 2009 than in 2007 (Table) seems to provide additional evidence of the pathogenicity of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus. However, the number of children with laboratory-confirmed influenza admitted to the hospital was similar in 2009 and 2003. Given that the sensitivity of influenza laboratory tests has improved over time (e.g., greater use of nucleic acid tests), these data suggest that the incidence of hospitalization during the 2009 pandemic was not greater than the incidence during the 2003 influenza season.

Although pneumonia appeared more likely to be diagnosed in hospitalized children in 2009 than in 2007, we observed no increase in the risk for serious outcomes (PICU admission or ventilation rate, length of admission, or death) in children hospitalized with pandemic (H1N1) 2009 infection than in children hospitalized with seasonal influenza (H3N2) during the 2 peak years studied. The 2009 pandemic had an effect on children’s services that was comparable to the busiest interpandemic influenza season of the previous decade. The large number of admissions and complications, including in children with no existing medical condition, supports the need for universal influenza vaccination.
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Global Health Security in an Era of Global Health Threats

To the Editor: Global health security is the protection of the health of persons and societies worldwide. It includes access to medicines, vaccines, and health care, as well as reductions in collective vulnerabilities to global public health events that have the potential to spread across borders. For example, transboundary zoonotic diseases such as avian influenza (H5N1) infections affect animals and humans, thereby threatening health security worldwide because of their high death rates (≥60% in humans) (1).

During the past 15 years, fairly standardized responses to threats have been implemented around the globe. Some of these responses have been against severe acute respiratory syndrome and avian influenza (H5N1), which have been overseen by a well-resourced international health system (2).

These global health threats have raised the highest levels of political and social concern. This concern has provoked governments and international agencies to address health threats through a security rationale, which emphasizes the themes of national security, biosecurity, and human security. This amalgamation of health issues and security concerns has produced a notion of health security, which is dominated by technical medical approaches and pharmaceutical interventions. These approaches and interventions have already begun to shape the way international health policy is formulated (3).

A global vision of health security is very much part of contemporary rhetoric. However, this vision lacks the drive and speed needed to make proposals materialize and operationalize ideas in the geographic areas where they are most desperately needed. Small benefits accrue to members of vulnerable populations who, in fact, are those most likely to be affected by epidemic diseases. A public health security design that impinges on a global approach runs the risk of neglecting cultural, economic, ecologic, and social conditions on the ground. Regional approaches that address hazards and threats may be more inclusive of context-specific conditions (4).

Global public health threats related to infectious pathogens of animal origin are expected to rise. To address these threats, several experts and strategists suggest the initiation of a worldwide early-alarming and -reporting mechanism. Aggregation of disease threats through an event-focused Web-based platform could enable this mechanism. This timely gathering of disease intelligence can inform policymakers about the nature of risks. Disease maps can display details needed to design tailored policies and control measures to tackle diseases according to their specifics (5).

Leading scientists and researchers continue to try to understand the global temporal and spatial patterns of animal diseases. This understanding is gained through an array of instruments, ranging from the use of satellite images to cutting-edge molecular technologies. The momentum so far has created an open forum for decision-makers to collaborate with the leading international agencies to advocate for surveillance, identification, and control of zoonotic diseases to uphold global public health security (6).

However, global initiatives suffer from the free-rider problem and from moral hazards. Some low-income countries with weak governance have alerted the international community about their fragile health care systems to capture a nontrivial portion of funds that seldom reach their intended destinations. These resource allocations to developing countries foster aid dependence (7).

The international technical agencies tasked with uptoing animal and human health should remain at the forefront of identifying and addressing evolving threats. This process will demand continuous flexibility, agility, and a coordinated international effort. Attaining goals of mitigating threats and reducing risks posed by the emergence of zoonoses requires close collaborations with national health authorities and local governments. The large investments planned to improve foresight and prevention might or might not work. If they do not work, apportioning blame to countries or regions for disease flare-ups can result in social, political, cultural, and economic consequences that in the past have turned out to be unjustified, unfair, and ultimately detrimental (8).

Clearly, global health threats can be reduced only by the concerted