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Abstract
Urban forests contribute to climate regulation by controlling GHG emissions. The objective of this study was to estimate the total carbon stock’s potential of the Yeka Park Kotebe Metropolitan University and their role in climate change mitigation and enhancement of ecosystem services. There were 4064 trees recorded in the selected study sites in which 27.41% of the species were indigenous and 72.59% were exotic trees. The mean above ground and below ground biomass were 160.8 and 69.93 t ha-1 respectively and the mean carbon in the above ground and below ground biomass were 75.56 and 51.75 t ha-1 respectively. The mean CO2 in the above ground and below ground biomass were 580 and 378.3 t ha-1 respectively. Urban trees reduce atmospheric carbon dioxide through sequestration which is important for climate change mitigation; they also provide different ecosystem services.
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Introduction
Urban forests are complex system of trees and smaller plants, wildlife, associated organisms, soil, water and air in and around the city. It includes the trees along streets, the landscaping around homes and institutions, the plants in commercial and industrial areas, the multi-layered forests in natural areas and the plants in parks (Walker, 2004).

As Horst (2006) explains urban forestry in Ethiopia has so far received limited attention. Construction within the urban areas and conversion of different land use within the city and the surrounding urban areas has caused the rapid depletion of existing tree cover during the past 100 years. This depletion of green resources has indicated that succeeding city governments had no proper long-term plans to keep the city green with the exception of intervening in some areas such as the establishment of a few parks and roadside plantations under a city beautification program. These interventions also have diverse problems for sustainable management of the urban forest. The main objective of this study is to estimate the total carbon stock’s potential of the selected areas and their role in climate change mitigation and enhancement of ecosystem services in Kotebe Metropolitan University (KMU) and Yeka Park. This study provides valuable information on the carbon stock potential of urban forests and ecosystem services in the study area.

The unprecedented rapid urbanization coupled the city’s high population growth has been entailing intricacies. The ever growing populations, utilization of fuel wood and charcoal as bio fuel have been contributing to green spaces depletion in Addis Ababa (Gezahegne, 2014). Thus urban forests are largely neglected and need proper management for sustainable urban development. Carbon sequestration potential of urban forests) is hardly studied. Species selection in urban forests is not well planned and needs to be seriously looked to increase ecological and environmental benefit of urban forests.

Materials and Methods
Description of the study site
This study covers the park that is found in Yeka sub city (Yeka Park) and the vegetation that covers Kotebe Metropolitan University (KMU) which are located in Addis Ababa. Addis Ababa city, the capital city of Ethiopia since 1889 lies between 23°21’N to 23.35°N latitude and 85°20’E to 85.33°E longitude situated in the central highlands of the country and covers an area of approximately 526 km² (CSA, 2011).

Data analysis
The collected data was recorded on excel to analyze the above ground, the below ground biomass, the amount of carbon and CO₂ sequestered in each site. The data obtained from DBH, height of each species, and the amount of carbon and CO₂ in each park were analyzed using R software version 3.5.1.

Vegetation survey
We conducted a reconnaissance survey to have an overall impression and understanding of the study areas. Consequently, we employed a 100 % survey in both study areas to collect the required vegetation data. All trees with DBH ≥ 5 cm were identified and their DBH was measured using a diameter Tape.
We recorded and identified the local names of all woody species to the species level in the field following the Flora of Ethiopia and Eritrea (Edwards et al., 1995, 1997, 2000; Hedberg and Edwards, 1989; Hedberg et al., 2003). For those species, difficult to identify in the field, their specimens were collected and identified in the national herbarium of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa University. The spatial location (latitude and longitude), and elevation of each study area was measured using Suunto Clinometer and Garmin GPS-72 receiver.

**Carbon pools to measure**

The following carbon pools were measured in study areas.

1. Above-ground tree biomass (AGB)
2. Below-ground biomass (BGB)

Soil organic carbon was not studied in both study sites because it is not possible to get undisturbed soil. Soil is transported from other areas and manure also added for fertility of the soil. Dead wood were not studied both are collected daily by cleaners.

- **Above-ground tree biomass (AGB)**
  - The DBH (at 1.3m) and height of individual trees greater than or equal to 5cm DBH are measured using diameter tape and marking each tree to prevent accidentally counting it twice.

- **Below-ground biomass (BGB)**
  - One of the most common descriptors of the relationship between root (below-ground) and shoot (above-ground) biomass is the root-to-shoot ratio, which has become the standard method for estimating root biomass from the more easily measured shoot biomass.
  - To simplify the process for estimating below-ground biomass, it is recommended that root-to-shoot ratio value of 1:5 is used that is, to estimate below-ground biomass as 20% of above-ground tree biomass (MacDicken, 1997).

**Estimation of Carbon in the Above and below Ground Biomass (AGB)**

The selection of the appropriate allometric equation is crucial in estimating aboveground tree biomass (AGB). Terrestrial carbon stock mapping is important for the successful implementation of climate change mitigation policies. Its accuracy depends on the availability of reliable allometric models to infer oven-dry aboveground biomass of trees from census data (Chave et al., 2014). There are different allometric equations that have been developed by many researchers to estimate the above ground biomass. These equations are different depending on the types of species, geographical locations, forest stand types, climate and others.

From the different available allometric equations to estimate the above ground biomass, the model that was developed by (Brown, Gillespie, & Lugo, 1989) is selected for the study site since the general criteria described by the author are similar to the study area. The general equation that was used to calculate the above ground biomass is given below:

\[ Y = 34.4703 - 8.0671(\text{DBH}) + 0.6589(\text{DBH}^2) \]

Where, Y is above ground biomass, DBH is diameter at breast height.

According to (MacDicken, 1997), standard method for estimation of below ground biomass can be obtained as 20% of above ground tree biomass i.e., root-to-shoot ratio value of 1:5 is used.

\[ \text{BGB} = \text{AGB} \times 0.2 \]

Where, BGB is below ground biomass, AGB is above ground biomass, 0.2 is conversion factor (or 20% of AGB). For both AGB and BGB, the biomass stock density was attained in Kg/m2 by means of dividing the sum of all individual tree biomass (Kg) in a plot by the area of the plot (m2). The value was converted to ton/ha by multiplying it by 10. Since the plot areas are part of tropical region, carbon content in the biomass was estimated by multiplying 0.47 while multiplication factor 3.67 was used to estimate CO₂ equivalent (Pearson, Walker, & Brown, 2013).

**Diversity and evenness**

Diversity has two components: species richness, or the number of plant species in a given area, and species evenness, or how well distributed abundance or biomass is among species within a community (Wilsey & Potvin, 2000). The diversity of species in each study site was calculated using the Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index formula. A diversity index is a mathematical measure of species diversity in an area. The Shannon diversity index (H’) is used in this study since it is commonly used to characterize species diversity in an area. The Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index (H’) is calculated using the following equation:

\[ H' = -\sum P_i (\ln P_i) \]

Where

\[ P_i \text{ is the proportion of each species in the sample.} \]

Shannon’s equitability

Shannon’s equitability (EH) or evenness is calculated as follows

\[ \text{EH} = H' / H_{\text{max}} = H' / \ln S \]
Where
H' Shannon diversity index
S Total number of species in the area
Equitability assumes a value between 0 and 1 with being complete evenness (Whittaker, 1972).

Results and discussion
Woody Species composition and diversity
We recorded 31 (indigenous 18 and exotic 13) and 32 (Indigenous 14 and exotic 18) tree species from KMU compound and Yeka park. Of all tree species, *Jacaranda equistifolia* and *Cupressus lusitanica* were the species with the highest density at KMU compound whereas *Cupressus lusitanica, Acacia melanoxylon* and *Grevillea robusta* were the species with the highest density at Yeka Park. The overall Shannon-Wiener diversity and evenness values of woody species were 2.76 and 1.96 at KMU compound and 0.63 and 0.0266 at Yeka Park respectively.

Density and basal area
The total densities of trees/shrubs were 2464 and 1600 individual ha-1 at KMU and Yeka Park, respectively. We recorded the highest density of species from *Jacaranda equistifolia* (28.5 %) followed by *Cupressus lusitanica* (8%). The highest density at Yeka park was *Cupressus lusitanica* (45%) followed by *Acacia melanoxylon* (8.5%). Among the recorded trees, *Jacaranda equistifolia, Cupressus lusitanica, Acacia melanoxylon, Acacia abyssinica* and *Grevillea robusta* were the top five dominant trees that contributed 63% of the total basal area at KMU. Similarly, *Cupressus lusitanica, Acacia melanoxylon, Grevillea robusta, Dovyalis abyssinica* and *Jacaranda mimosifolia* were the top five dominant trees that contributed 74 % of the total basal area at Yeka Park.

Diameter distribution pattern
The maximum and minimum average diameter was 65.67cm and 16.52 cm at Yeka Park, whereas the maximum diameter was 44.55cm and 6.51cm at KMU compound. Trees that exhibited the largest and smallest diameter were *Bersama abyssinica* and *Persea Americana* in Yeka park while *Ficus sur* and *Allophylus abyssinicus* were the trees that exhibited the largest and smallest diameter at KMU compound.

Figure 3. Population structure of the entire community and selected tree species at KMU (DBH class: 1=5-10, 2=11-20, 3=21-30, 4=31-40, 5=41-50, 6=51-60, 7>60)
The mean biomass value recorded in KMU and Yeka Park was 160.77 t ha$^{-1}$ and 165 t ha$^{-1}$ respectively. About 83.33% of the total biomass was contained in the above ground pool whereas, 16.66% and 17.1% was contained in below ground pool in KMU and Yeka Park respectively. The total carbon stock (aboveground and belowground) ranged between 160 t ha$^{-1}$ and 32 t C ha$^{-1}$ with a mean value of 63.52 t C ha$^{-1}$ at KMU compound. This value is equivalent to 587.2 CO$_2$ ha$^{-1}$ at KMU and 605.55 CO$_2$ ha$^{-1}$ at Yeka Park. Among the tree species *Ficus sur*, *Pinus patula*, *Phoenix reclinata* and *Acacia abyssinica* contributed 30% of the total above-ground biomass and carbon stock at at KMU compound. Similarly, *Bersama abyssinica*, *Allophylus abyssinicus*, *Casuarina cunninghamiana*, *Ficus elastic* and *Ficus sur* contributed 28 % of the total above-ground biomass and carbon stock at Yeka Park.

From the selected study sites the maximum AGB was 165.105 t ha$^{-1}$ in Yeka Park. Since most of the trees in this park had DBH greater than 30cm. The AGC, BGC and BGB increases with increasing AGB. The mean biomass values recorded in the study sites were greater than the values recommended by IPCC for tropical dry forest 130.00 t ha$^{-1}$ (IPCC, 1997c). KMU has less AGB than Yeka Park due to the dominance of certain species with DBH less than 30cm. The biomass difference of parks was mainly due to the difference in tree species and the variation in their DBH, the selection of tree types including the management of the trees. AGB and AGC of the study sites are shown on the following table.
Table 1. Above ground biomass and carbon stock of study sites

| Study Sites | AGB  | C in ABG (t ha\(^{-1}\)) |
|-------------|------|--------------------------|
| KMU         | 160  | 75.57                    |
| Yeka Park   | 165  | 77.55                    |

**Carbon in the below ground biomass**
The below ground carbon stock were 15.04 ha\(^{-1}\) in KMU and 15.51 ha\(^{-1}\) in Yeka park. KMU is more diversified than Yeka Park though the numbers of exotic species are larger than indigenous species. The below ground biomass of each park is given on the following table below.

| Study Sites | BGB  | C in BGB (t ha\(^{-1}\)) | CO\(_2\) of BGB (t ha\(^{-1}\)) |
|-------------|------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| KMU         | 32   | 15.04                    | 117.44                          |
| Yeka Park   | 33   | 15.51                    | 121.11                          |

**Distribution of exotic and indigenous species**
The numbers of indigenous species were larger in KMU than Yeka Park. From total of 31 species 18 were indigenous. Yeka Park had largest number of exotic species than indigenous species. The widely seen exotic species in the study sites were *Cupressus lusitanica*, *Jacaranda mimosifolia*, *Grevillea robusta* and *Eucalyptus saligna*. The widely seen indigenous species were *Juniperus procera*, *Hagenia abyssinica* and *Podocarpus falcatus*. The number of exotic and indigenous species of the study sites is shown below.

Table 3. Number and density of exotic and indigenous species of each study sites

| No | Study site | Total no of trees | No of species | No of exotic species | Density of exotic trees | No of indigenous species | Density of indigenous trees |
|----|------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1  | KMU        | 2464              | 31            | 13                   | 1587                    | 18                       | 877                         |
| 2  | Yeka Park  | 1600              | 32            | 18                   | 1363                    | 14                       | 237                         |

**Diversity and evenness of species**
As shown on the table below KMU had more diversified species than Yeka Park. The total number of tress and species in KMU are much larger than Yeka Park. It has dominance of certain species which reduces the evenness of the park.

Table 5. The diversity, evenness, and total number of species in each Park

| Study Sites | Total Number of Trees | Total Number of Species | H'   | E\(_H\)   |
|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------|-----------|
| KMU         | 2464                  | 31                      | 2.76 | 0.63      |
| Yeka Park   | 1600                  | 32                      | 1.96 | 0.266     |

**Discussion**

**Woody species composition**
The woody species richness (31species and 32species) recorded at KMU and Yeka Park is lower than the findings from Church forests (114 woody species, (Abiyu, Soromessa, & Belliethathan, 2013) and Woody Plant Species in Biheretsige and Central Closed Public Parks in Addis Ababa (114 species, Marshet, 2013). The observed variation or difference in species richness and diversity among the forests might be due to the differences in size of the areas, year of establishment and the forest conditions.

**Diameter distribution pattern**
In this study, the majority of tree species exhibited little or no seedlings and while very few tree species showed good regeneration status. The patterns of Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) class distributions indicated the general trends of population dynamics and recruitment processes of the species. From the DBH class distributions of the species, two types of regeneration status were determined, i.e. good and poor regeneration. Some species (*Cupressus lusitanica*, *Jacaranda mimosifolia*, *Grevillea robusta* and *Hagenia abyssinica*) possessed high number of individuals in the lower DBH classes, particularly in the first class, which suggests that they have good regeneration potential. Other species (*Cupressus lusitanica*, *Jacaranda mimosifolia*, and *Ficus sur*) possessed either no or few number of individuals in the lower DBH classes, particularly in the first class, which indicates that the species are in poor regeneration status.

**Above ground biomass of the study sites**
The aboveground biomasses of the studied forests were higher than Woody Plant Species in Biheretsige and...
Central Closed Public Parks in Addis Ababa (149.18 t ha$^{-1}$, Marshet, 2013) or comparable with the values reported from church forests, in Ethiopia 330.6 t ha$^{-1}$ (Abiyu et al., 2013). This variation could be explained due to number of species the year of establishment and also differences in the size of the areas. The AGB of species depend on their DBH value and also on their age. The older trees with large DBH value will have large AGB. As age of tree increase biomass also increase (Negash, 2007).

**Conclusion**

The two forests act as a refuge site for a variety of indigenous and endangered dry Afromontane forest trees (16 species at KMU and 32 species at Yeka park) as well as the commonly known exotic tree species (18 species). They also complimented the potential roles of forests for climate change mitigation activities by storing 75.57 t ha$^{-1}$ and 77.55 t ha$^{-1}$ at KMU and Yeka Park respectively. Furthermore, the observed species and family richness in both forests make the areas a potential candidate for in-situ conservation sites for the conservation of endangered indigenous tree species.

**Recommendations**

The following recommendations were forwarded:

- Reduce the number of exotic tree species and set aside the two sites as an in-situ site for the conservation of indigenous tree species
- Increase the number and diversity of indigenous tree species through plantation development
- Consider the role of these forests for climate change mitigation and REDD+ projects
- Attention is needed to be given for urban forests
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