Observation of Distinct Electron-Phonon Couplings in Gated Bilayer Graphene
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A Raman study of a back gated bilayer graphene sample is presented. The changes in the Fermi level induced by charge transfer splits the Raman G-band, hardening its higher component and softening the lower one. These two components are associated with the symmetric (S) and anti-symmetric (AS) vibrations of the atoms in the two layers, the later one becoming Raman active due to inversion symmetry breaking. The phonon hardening and softening are explained by considering the selective coupling of the S and AS phonons with interband and intraband electron-hole pairs.

The interaction of electrons and phonons is a fundamental issue for understanding the physics of graphene, resulting in the renormalization of phonon energy and the break-down of the adiabatic (Born-Oppenheimer) approach \[ 1, 2, 3, 4 \]. Although many new physical insights about the electron-phonon interaction were found in monolayer graphene \[ 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \], the bilayer graphene is a unique system to study distinct couplings between electrons and phonons that have different symmetries \[ 7 \]. In this paper we show that the application of a gate voltage in bilayer graphene splits the symmetric and anti-symmetric optical phonon components, confirming a recent theoretical prediction for the distinct interactions of these phonons with intraband and interband electron-hole pairs \[ 8 \]. This result is specially relevant since the development of bilayer graphene devices with tunable gap depends on the detailed understanding of the interaction between electrons and phonons in this material \[ 8, 9, 10 \].

The zone center \( E_{2g} \) phonon mode of monolayer graphene, which gives rise to the Raman G-band around 1580 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \), exhibits a very strong coupling with electron-hole pairs. This interaction renormalizes the phonon energy \( \hbar \omega_0 \), giving rise to the so-called Kohn anomaly at the zone center of the phonon dispersion \[ 1 \]. Moreover, theoretical models have predicted an interesting behavior for the phonon energy when the Fermi level \( \varepsilon_F \) is changed by varying the electron or hole concentration: the phonon energy softens logarithmically for values of the chemical potential smaller than half of the phonon energy, and it hardens otherwise. This hardening is ascribed to the suppression of the interaction between phonons and electron-hole pairs for \( |\Delta \varepsilon_F| > \hbar \omega_0/2 \). Besides this, an increase in either electron or hole density increases the phonon lifetime \[ 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \] due to the inhibition of the process of phonon decay into electron-hole pairs, thus reducing the G-band linewidth. Recent Raman studies of monolayer graphene have confirmed the theoretical prediction concerning the hardening and narrowing of the G-band, by doping the sample with electrons or holes \[ 5, 6, 7 \].

In the case of bilayer graphene, the \( E_{2g} \) phonon mode splits into two components, associated with the symmetric (S) and anti-symmetric (AS) displacements of the atoms in the two layers. Moreover, due to the splitting of the \( \pi \) and \( \pi^* \) bands in this material, phonons can couple with electron-hole pairs produced in interband or intraband transitions. T. Ando \[ 7 \] calculated recently the self-energy of the S and AS phonons for varying Fermi energies and predicted the hardening and softening of the S and AS phonons, respectively, induced by electron or hole doping. In this work we present experimental results that confirm the theoretical prediction by T. Ando \[ 7 \], thus showing that the G-band of bilayer graphene has indeed two components which exhibit opposite dependence as the Fermi level is tuned.

Figure \[ 1(a) \] shows an optical microscope image of the bilayer graphene device obtained by exfoliating bulk graphite on a 300 nm thickness silicon oxide layer on the top of a heavily p doped silicon substrate. A metallic contact was made by soldering an indium micro wire directly on the top of the flake \[ 11 \]. Charge carriers were induced in the sample by applying a gate voltage \( V_g \) to the Si substrate with respect to the graphene contact. The Raman measurements were carried out at room temperature using a triple Dilor XY spectrometer with a resolution smaller than 1 \( \text{cm}^{-1} \), an 80x objective with
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FIG. 1: (a) Optical microscope image of gated graphene bilayer sample (the black scale bar corresponds to 15 \( \mu \text{m} \)). (b) Raman spectrum of the G' band of bilayer graphene. (c) Raman spectrum of the G band for different values of the applied gate voltage.
spot size of $\sim 1 \text{ \mu m}$ and the 2.41 eV laser excitation with 1 mW power.

The characterization of the number of layers in our graphene sample was determined by analyzing the shape of the $G'$ band around $\sim 2700 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ which is shown in Fig. 1(b) (this band is also called as D* or 2D by other authors). The $G'$ band of our sample is composed of four peaks and exhibits a typical shape of a bilayer graphene [12, 13, 14, 15].

Figure 2(a) shows the fittings of the Raman G-band of the bilayer graphene device for different values of applied gate voltage. The G-bands observed in the spectra taken at $V_g$ equals to -80 V, -40 V and -20 V clearly exhibit two peaks. All spectra taken at positive values of gate voltage could be fitted by a single Lorentzian.

Let us discuss the origin of the two peaks that compose the G-band shown in Fig. 2(a). The bilayer graphene is formed by two graphene layers in the AB Bernal stacking, where the point group at $\Gamma$ point is $D_{3d}$. The phonon branch associated with the $E_{2g}$ mode of mono-layer graphene gives rise to two branches for bilayer graphene, one symmetric (S) and other anti-symmetric (AS) components (in-phase and out-of-phase displacements of the atoms in the two layers), which are represented at Fig. 2(b). At the center of the Brillouin zone ($\Gamma$ point), the symmetric and anti-symmetric vibrations belong to the two doubly degenerated representations $E_g$ and $E_u$, respectively. The anti-symmetric $E_u$ mode is not Raman active since the $D_{3d}$ group is centro-symmetric. However, if the inversion symmetry operation of the bilayer graphene is broken, the system is described by the $C_{3v}$ point group at the $\Gamma$ point. In this case, both the symmetric and the anti-symmetric modes belong to the $E$ representation, and are Raman active.

The inversion symmetry breaking can be due to the different materials that the top and bottom graphene layers of our device are exposed to and/or to a non-homogeneous doping of the top and bottom layers. The non-equivalence between the top and bottom layers decreases the symmetry, making the anti-symmetric mode active in the Raman spectra, explaining thus the two components of the G-band. The energy separation between the S and AS components is negligible when the Fermi level is close to the Dirac point, and this can be seen in the spectrum taken at +40 gate voltage in Fig. 1(b). However, the doping with electrons or holes induces a measurable energy splitting for those components, as...
shown in the spectra between -20 V to -80 V in Fig. [1b].

One could argue that the observed splitting of the G band is related to symmetric mode coming from the top and bottom layers, in the case of a non-homogeneous doping of the two layers. However, this interpretation has serious drawbacks in the present case. Notice that the low energy component of the G-band is about five times less intense than the higher energy one. Considering that the transmittance of visible light in monolayer graphene is about 97.7% [18], the contributions of symmetric mode from the upper and bottom layers for the Raman scattering are expected to be almost the same. Furthermore, our results show that the energy of the low energy component decreases when the Fermi level is changed. This result would not be expected if one associates the lower energy G-band observed in reference [16] with the symmetric mode of the bilayer graphene bottom layer. We stress the fact that the splitting of the G-band was not reported in the two recent studies of gated bilayer graphene devices [16, 17], despite the fact that the G-band observed in reference [16] is clearly asymmetric. Possibly, our observation of this phenomenon is different from the results of reference [16].

In order to discuss the phonon renormalization effect in bilayer graphene, we must consider the selection rules for the interaction of the symmetric and anti-symmetric phonons with the interbands or intrabands electron-hole pairs. The band structure of bilayer graphene near the K point shown in Figure 4 consists of four parabolic pairs. The band structure of bilayer graphene near the K point is shown in Figure 4 consists of four parabolic pairs. The band structure of bilayer graphene near the K point. The vertical arrows illustrate the possible transitions induced by symmetric (green) and antisymmetric (red) phonons. A similar result has been recently observed in gated semiconducting carbon nanotubes [22] which have the band gap larger than the phonon energy.

![Figure 4](coloronline) Parabolic band structure of bilayer graphene near the K point. The vertical arrows illustrate the possible transitions induced by symmetric (green) and antisymmetric (red) phonons. A similar result has been recently observed in gated semiconducting carbon nanotubes [22] which have the band gap larger than the phonon energy.

\[
\Pi^{(+)}(\omega) = -\lambda \int_0^\infty \gamma^2 \sum_{j,j',k} \sum_{s,s'} \Phi^{(+)}_{j,j'}(k) \left\{ f(\varepsilon_{sjk}) - f(\varepsilon_{sj'k}) \right\} \frac{(\varepsilon_{sjk} - \varepsilon_{sj'k})^2}{(\hbar^2 \omega + i\delta)^2},
\]

The functions \(\varepsilon_{sjk}\) are the electronic band dispersions (\(k\) is the modulus of the wavevector), \(s = \pm 1\) and \(-1\) denotes the conduction and valence bands, respectively, and \(j = 1, 2\) specifies the two bands within the valence and conduction bands. The function \(f(\varepsilon_{sjk})\) is the Fermi distribution and the matrix elements \(\Phi^{(+)}_{j,j'}(k)\) gives the relative contribution of the S (+) and AS (-) phonons for the interband and intraband electron-hole pairs formed in transitions [7]. The damping parameter \(\delta\) describes the charge inhomogeneity in the sample and washes out the logarithmic singularity present at \(\varepsilon_F = \hbar \omega_0/2\). We have considered the value of \(\delta = 0.1\) eV in the theoretical curves depicted in Fig. [3].

Theoretical curves \(\Delta \omega_G\) and \(\Gamma_G\) are calculated in units of \(\hbar \omega_0\), where \(\omega_0 = 0.196\) eV is the energy of the optical phonon and \(\lambda\) is related to the strength of the electron-phonon coupling and given by [7]:

\[
\lambda = 0.16 \times 10^{-3}(A^2 e V^{-2}) \left( \frac{\partial \gamma_0}{\partial b} \right)^2
\]
In order to compare the experimental and theoretical results depicted in Figs. 3(a) and (b) we need to convert the experimental horizontal scale (applied gate voltage, in the bottom axis of Fig. 3) into the theoretical scale (Fermi energy, in the upper axis of Fig. 3), and the experimental vertical scales (in units of $\lambda \Delta_0$, right side axes of Fig. 3) into the theoretical scales (in units of $\lambda \Delta_0$, right side axes of Fig. 3).

To match the scales of the experimental (left axis) and calculated (right axis) values of $\Delta \omega_G$ and $\Gamma_G$, shown in Fig. 3 we have used the value $\partial \gamma_1/\partial b = 6.4 \text{ eV} \cdot \AA^{-1}$ in Eq. 19. Both theoretical curves $\Delta \omega_G$ and $\Gamma_G$ are plotted in Fig. 3 as a function of the Fermi energy $\varepsilon_F$ (top axis), while the experimental values are plotted as a function of gate voltage (bottom axis). In order to scale these axes, we have used the fact that both $\varepsilon_F$ and $V_g$ are related to the electron (or hole) density $n$, which is assumed to be the same in both layers. The modulus of the Fermi energy $|\varepsilon_F|$ is related to the electron (or hole) density in the regime $|\varepsilon_F| < \gamma_1$ by [7]:

$$|\varepsilon_F| = \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma_1 + \sqrt{4n\pi \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_1^2} \right), \quad (3)$$

where $\gamma = \sqrt{\sqrt{a} \gamma_0}$, $a = 2.42 \text{Å}$ is the lattice constant, $\gamma_0 \approx 3 \text{ eV}$ is the in-plane nearest-neighbor tight-binding parameter and $\gamma_1 \sim 0.35 \text{ eV}$ is the out-of-plane nearest-neighbor parameter [see Fig. 2(b)]. A parallel plate capacitor model gives $n = 7.2 \times 10^{10} \text{cm}^{-2} V^{-1} (V_g - V_D)$, where $V_D$ is the gate voltage needed to move the Fermi level to the Dirac point due to intrinsic doping of the sample. The value of $V_D = +50 \text{ V}$ was obtained from the spectrum where the G-band has the largest FWHM [see Fig. 3(b)], since the symmetric phonon lifetime is minimum for $\varepsilon_F = 0$ [7].

Figure 3 shows that there is a good qualitative agreement between our experimental results and the theoretical prediction of the dependence of the frequency and width of the symmetric and anti-symmetric optical phonons in bilayer graphene with the Fermi level. We shall stress that this good agreement is obtained despite the different approximations that have been done. Notice first that the theoretical model doesn’t take into account the trigonal warping effect, the angular dependence of the electron-phonon coupling, and the strength $\partial \gamma_1/\partial b$ for the coupling between electrons and AS phonons [see Fig. 2(b)]. Moreover, we have used the simple model of a parallel capacitor with homogeneous carrier concentration in the two layers. A more complete model is needed to improve the fitting of the experimental data.

In summary, we have shown in this work that bilayer graphene is a unique material where the phonon renormalization tuned by charge transfer depends strongly on the symmetry of the phonons involved in the creation of electron-hole pairs. The inversion symmetry breaking of our bilayer graphene device allows the observation of both the symmetric and anti-symmetric phonon modes in the Raman G band. The hardening of the symmetric mode and the softening of the antisymmetric phonon mode when the sample is doped is explained by the selection rules associated with the creation of interband or intraband electron-hole pairs by symmetric and anti-symmetric optical phonons, giving an experimental support for the theoretical predictions of the optical phonons dependence on charge concentration in bilayer graphene [7].
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