Oxytocin receptor gene variations and socio-emotional effects of MDMA: A pooled analysis of controlled studies in healthy subjects
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Abstract

Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) increases oxytocin, empathy, and prosociality. Oxytocin plays a critical role in emotion processing and social behavior and has been shown to mediate the prosocial effects of MDMA in animals. Genetic variants, such as single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), of the oxytocin receptor (OXTR) may influence the emotional and social effects of MDMA in humans. The effects of common genetic variants of the OXTR (rs53576, rs1042778, and rs2254298 SNPs) on the emotional, empathogenic, and prosocial effects of MDMA were characterized in up to 132 healthy subjects in a pooled analysis of eight double-blind, placebo-controlled studies. In a subset of 53 subjects, MDMA produced significantly greater feelings of trust in rs1042778 TT genotypes compared with G allele carriers. The rs53576 and rs225498 SNPs did not moderate the subjective effects of MDMA in up to 132 subjects. None of the SNPs moderated MDMA-induced impairments in negative facial emotion recognition or enhancements in emotional empathy in the Multiface-ted Empathy Test in 69 subjects. MDMA significantly increased plasma oxytocin concentrations. MDMA and oxytocin concentrations did not differ between OXTR gene variants. The present results provide preliminary evidence that OXTR gene variations may modulate aspects of the prosocial subjective effects of MDMA in humans. However, interpretation should be cautious due to the small sample size. Additionally, OXTR SNPs did not moderate the subjective overall effect of MDMA (any drug effect) or feelings of “closeness to others”.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov, No: NCT00886886, NCT00990067, NCT01136278, NCT01270672, NCT01386177, NCT01465685, NCT01771874, and NCT01951508.

Introduction

3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA; ecstasy) is recreationally used for its effects on empathic feelings and sociability [1, 2]. MDMA has also been shown to reduce the perception of negative emotions and enhance empathy [1, 3–6], effects that could potentially be useful in MDMA-assisted psychotherapy [7]. MDMA mainly causes the release of serotonin, norepinephrine, and dopamine [8, 9] but also increases oxytocin [1, 10–14]. Many similarities
are seen in the effects of MDMA and oxytocin on emotion processing and social behavior. For example, MDMA improves the identification of positive facial emotions and impairs the recognition of negative facial emotions [1, 4, 12, 15]. Similar effects were observed after intranasal administration of oxytocin [16, 17]. Intranasal administration of oxytocin increased trust and productive communication [18, 19]. MDMA similarly increased feelings of trust, openness, and closeness to others [1, 3]. Oxytocin increased generosity, and MDMA increased prosocial economic behavior [1]. One study showed significant within-subject correlations between MDMA-induced changes in prosocial feelings and changes in plasma oxytocin concentrations [10] implicating oxytocin as a mediator of the prosocial effects of MDMA. However, many other studies failed to find associations between oxytocin concentrations and the subjective, emotional, empathic, or prosocial effects of MDMA across subjects [1, 4, 12, 20, 21]. Animal studies showed that MDMA-induced prosocial effects in rats and mice could be blocked by oxytocin receptor (OXTR) antagonists [13, 22] also supporting a role for oxytocin in the prosocial effects of MDMA in animals. However, whether oxytocin is indeed a mediator of the effects of MDMA in humans is unclear. Oxytocin receptor blockade in the human brain may be challenging because OXTR blockers, such as atosiban, do not cross the blood-brain barrier. Correlational analyses are also problematic because plasma oxytocin concentrations may not necessarily reflect oxytocin levels in the brain [23].

While MDMA induces positive mood effects and prosociality in most subjects, negative mood effects have also been reported [24–27]. Genetic variations could explain some of the interindividual differences in the response to MDMA. Specifically, several genetic variations of the OXTR that are caused by single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are associated with human social behavior or traits [28, 29]. One approach to indirectly testing the role of oxytocin in the effects of MDMA is to evaluate the moderating role of different SNPs of the OXTR gene in the prosocial and empathogenic effects of MDMA. In one study on the role of OXTR gene variants in the effects of MDMA, MDMA increased sociability in carriers of the G allele of the OXTR rs53576 SNP but not in individuals with the AA genotype [30]. Furthermore in studies without MDMA, the common GG variant of rs53576 has been associated with greater empathy and trust, seeking emotional support in times of distress, and less stress reactivity [31]. In contrast, carriers of the A allele presented lower sociability and lower sensitivity to oxytocin-induced enhancements in emotion recognition [32]. In addition to the rs53576 SNP, two of the most studied SNPs of the OXTR gene are rs1042778 and rs2254298. These SNPs were more likely to show an association to prosociality or other effects of oxytocin than other SNPs studied. For example, among 15 SNPs, the rs1042778 showed the most significant associations with prosociality [33]. Carriers of the G allele of the rs1042778 SNP presented greater prosocial behavior in an economic exchange game also used in the present study [33] and more sensitive parenting [34]. The rs2254298 SNP has been associated with autism, attachment behavior, and depression [35–37] and rs2254298 and rs53576 were the most informative among 27 OXTR SNPs in a small sample of 38 subjects to predict responses to oxytocin in autism [38].

In the present study, we exploratorily investigated whether the OXTR rs53576, rs1042778, and rs2254298 SNPs influence the social subjective, emotional, empathic, and prosocial effects of MDMA. Additionally, we sought to replicate previous findings regarding the role of the OXTR rs53576 SNP in the prosocial response to MDMA [30].

Materials and methods

Study design

This was a pooled analysis of eight Phase I double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover studies in healthy subjects that used similar methods [8, 39–45]. These studies included a total of 136
healthy subjects and were conducted between April 2009 and December 2014. Seven studies each included 16 subjects (112 total subjects) who received 125 mg MDMA twice, once alone and once after pretreatment with a medication [8, 39–43, 45]. However, in the present analysis, only data from the MDMA-alone and placebo sessions were used. An additional study included 24 subjects who received 125 mg MDMA once without pretreatment [44]. In all of the studies, the washout periods between the single dose administrations were at least 7 days to exclude carry-over effects. The studies were all registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT00886886, NCT00990067, NCT01136278, NCT01270672, NCT01386177, NCT01465685, NCT01771874, and NCT01951508). All of the studies were approved by the local ethics committee and Swiss Agency for Therapeutic Products (Swissmedic). The studies were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all of the participants who were included in the studies. All of the subjects were paid for their participation. Pharmacokinetic and safety data from the same studies have been reported elsewhere [26, 46, 47].

Subjects
A total of 136 healthy subjects of European descent, aged 18–44 years (mean ± SD = 24.8 ± 4 years), were recruited from the University of Basel campus and participated in the study. One genotyping sample was missing, and three participants did not give consent for genotyping, resulting in the analysis of data from 132 subjects (64 men, 68 women). The mean ± SD body weight was 68 ± 10 kg (range: 46–90 kg).

The detailed exclusion criteria were reported elsewhere [8, 39, 40, 42] and included a history of psychiatric disorders, physical illness, a lifetime history of using illicit drugs more than five times (with the exception of past cannabis use), illicit drug use within the last 2 months, and illicit drug use during the study, determined by urine tests before the test sessions.

Study drug
(±)MDMA hydrochloride (Lipomed AG, Arlesheim, Switzerland) was administered orally in a single dose of 125 mg that was prepared as gelatin capsules (25 and 100 mg, Bichsel Laboratories, Interlaken, Switzerland). Similar amounts of MDMA are found in ecstasy pills and have been used in clinical studies in patients [7]. The doses were not adjusted for body weight or sex. The dose per body weight (mean ± SD) was 1.9 ± 0.3 mg/kg (1.7 ± 0.2 mg/kg for men and 2.1 ± 0.3 mg/kg for women; range: 1.4–2.7 mg/kg).

Genotyping
Genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland) and automated QIAcube system. Genotyping was performed using commercial TaqMan SNP genotyping assays (LuBio Science, Lucerne, Switzerland). The OXTR is located on the short arm of chromosome 3 (3p25) and has three introns and four exons. We genotyped three OXTR SNPs: rs53576 (c.922+4581T>C, position [GRCh37]: chr3:8804371, assay: C__3290335_10), rs1042778 (c.118C>A, position [GRCh37]: chr3:8794545, assay: C__7622140_30), and rs2254298 (c.922+6724C>T, position [GRCh37]: chr3:8802228, assay: C__15981334_10). Linkage disequilibrium analysis between the SNPs were performed using Haploview (Broad Institute).

Subjective effects
Visual Analog Scales (VASs) were repeatedly applied to assess subjective effects over time [1]. The VAS “any drug effect” was presented as 100 mm horizontal lines (0–100%), marked from
“not at all” on the left to “extremely” on the right. The VASs “closeness to others,” “trust,”
“want to be hugged,” “want to hug,” “want to be alone,” and “want to be with others” were
bidirectional (±50%). “Trust,” “want to be hugged,” “want to hug,” “want to be alone,”
and “want to be with others” were assessed in 53 subjects. The VASs were administered before
and 0, 0.33, 0.67, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, and 6 h after MDMA or placebo administration.

Emotion recognition

To measure emotion recognition, we used the Facial Emotion Recognition Task (FERT),
which is sensitive to the effects of MDMA [3, 5, 12, 43] and other serotonergic substances [48].
The task included 10 neutral faces and 160 faces that expressed one of four basic emotions (i.e.,
happiness, sadness, anger, and fear), with pictures morphed between 0% (neutral) and 100% in
10% steps. Two female and two male pictures were used for each of the four emotions. The sti-
muli were presented in random order for 500 ms and then were replaced by the rating screen
where the participants had to indicate the correct emotion. The outcome measure was accu-
ry (proportion correct) and misclassification (emotions that were indicated incorrectly). The
FERT was performed 90 min after drug administration. FERT data were available from 69 sub-
jects. The genotype distribution for this subsample was: rs1042778 (28 GG, 33 GT, 8 TT),
rs53576 (30 GG, 25 AG, 14 AA), and rs2254298 (57 GG, 12 AG/AA).

Empathy

The Multifaceted Empathy Test (MET) is a reliable and valid task that assesses the cognitive
and emotional aspects of empathy [49]. The MET is sensitive to oxytocin [17], MDMA [1, 3,
20], and other psychoactive substances [48]. The computer-assisted test consisted of 40 photo-
graphs that showed people in emotionally charged situations. To assess cognitive empathy, the
participants were required to infer the mental state of the subject in each scene and indicate
the correct mental state from a list of four responses. Cognitive empathy was defined as the
percentage of correct responses relative to total responses. To measure emotional empathy, the
subjects were asked to rate how much they were feeling for an individual in each scene (i.e.,
explicit emotional empathy) and how much they were aroused by each scene (i.e., implicit
emotional empathy) on a 1–9 point scale. The latter rating provides an inherent additional
assessment of emotional empathy, which is considered to reduce the likelihood of socially
desirable answers. The three aspects of empathy were each tested with 20 stimuli with positive
valence and 20 stimuli with negative valence, resulting in a total of 120 trials. The MET was
performed 90–180 min after drug administration. MET data were available from 69 subjects.
The genotype distribution for this subsample was: rs1042778 (28 GG, 33 GT, 8 TT),
rs53576 (30 GG, 25 AG, 14 AA), and rs2254298 (57 GG, 12 AG/AA).

Prosociality

We used the paper version of the validated Social Value Orientation (SVO) test to assess social
behavior [50]. The SVO test is sensitive to MDMA [1] and other psychoactive substances [48].
In this economic resource allocation task, prosociality is defined as behavior that maximizes
the sum of resources for the self and others and minimizes the difference between the two. The
test consists of six primary and nine secondary SVO slider items with a resource allocation
choice over a defined continuum of joint payoffs [50]. The participants were instructed to
choose a resource allocation that defined their most preferred joint distribution between them-
selves and another person. The allocated funds had real value, and four randomly selected
subjects received the funds they earned. Mean allocations for the self and the other were calcu-
lated [1, 50], and the inverse tangent of the ratio of these two means produced an angle that
indicated the participants’ SVO index. A smaller SVO angle indicates more individualistic or competitive behavior, and a larger SVO angle indicates more prosocial or even altruistic behavior. The nine secondary items were used to differentiate between two different prosocial motivations (inequality aversion and joint gain maximization). The inequality-aversion index was calculated as previously described [50]. An index of 0 indicates perfect inequality aversion, and 1 indicates maximal preference for joint gain maximization. The SVO test was performed 3–4 h after drug administration. SVO primary data and the inequality-aversion index were available from 69 and 33 subjects, respectively. The genotype distribution for this subsample was: rs1042778 (28 GG, 33 GT, 8 TT), rs53576 (30 GG, 25 AG, 14 AA), and rs2254298 (57 GG, 12 AG/AA) and rs1042778 (15 GG, 15 GT, 3 TT), rs53576 (12 GG, 15 AG, 6 AA), and rs2254298 (26 GG, 7 AG/AA), respectively.

**Plasma concentrations of oxytocin and MDMA**

The plasma concentration of oxytocin has been shown to peak 2 h after MDMA administration [10] and was therefore measured at baseline and 2 h after drug administration and analyzed as described previously [4, 23, 51]. The plasma level of MDMA was determined 1 h before and 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, and 6 h after drug administration and analyzed as described previously [8].

**Statistical analysis**

Subjective drug effects on the VASs were determined as the area under the effect-time curve from 0 to 6 h (AUEC₆) after drug administration using the trapezoidal method in Phoenix WinNonlin (version 6.4, Pharsight, Certara L.P., St. Louis, MO, USA). The statistical analyses were performed using Statistica 12 software (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). The effects of MDMA on subjective effect ratings and plasma oxytocin concentrations were expressed as differences from placebo. Repeated-measured analyses of variance (ANOVAs), with drug (MDMA vs. placebo) as the within-subjects factor, were used to evaluate drug effects. One-way ANOVAs, with genotype group as the between-subjects factor, followed by the Tukey post hoc test were used to evaluate the effects of genotype on the effects of MDMA (differences from placebo). Additional ANOVAs including plasma concentrations of MDMA and/or oxytocin as covariates were conducted as well as sex differences were included by adding sex as an additional between-subjects factor to the analyses to exclude confounding by any of these variables. This showed that the results were not confounded by oxytocin plasma levels, or sex, or differences in plasma concentrations of MDMA, that corrects for differences in body weight, dosing, or/and known and unknown activity differences in metabolizing enzymes [46, 47]. The reported results are from analysis with MDMA plasma concentration AUC₆ and oxytocin plasma concentration change at 2 h as covariates with the exception of the VAS “any drug effect” and “closeness to others” for which only MDMA plasma concentration AUC₆ was corrected due to the lack of oxytocin plasma concentration data for subjects from the first two studies [8, 41].

The level of significance was set to $p < 0.05$. The Nyholt correction method was used to account for multiple comparisons and flagged separately [52]. We thereby corrected for the 7 VASs, 3 emotions in the FERT, emotional empathy in the MET, and 2 items in the SVO which have all been shown sensitive to the effects of MDMA and for each of the 3 tested SNPs ($7 + 3 + 1 + 2 	imes 3$), resulting in 39 variables and an effective number of independent variables ($V_{eff}$) of 28.96 according to Nyholt and a corrected significance threshold to keep Type I error rate at 5% of $p < 0.0017$. For the analysis of the rs2254298 SNP, the AA and AG genotypes were pooled as A allele carriers because only one subject had the AA genotype.
Results
Genotyping
The distribution of the alleles and genotypes did not differ from distributions reported elsewhere in Caucasian cohorts (Ensembl database release 86, Oct 2016). The rare allele frequencies for rs53576, rs1042778, and rs2254298 were A (93 [35%]), T (101 [38%]), and A (20 [11%]), respectively. No linkage disequilibrium was detected between the tested SNPs (S1 Fig).

Subjective effects
On the VASs, MDMA increased the AUEC$_6$ values for “any drug effect” ($F_{1,131} = 544$, $p < 0.001$), “closeness” ($F_{1,131} = 57$, $p < 0.001$), “trust” ($F_{1,52} = 33$, $p < 0.001$), “want to be hugged” ($F_{1,52} = 6.6$, $p < 0.05$), “want to hug” ($F_{1,52} = 7.6$, $p < 0.01$), and “want to be with others” ($F_{1,52} = 20$, $p < 0.001$) and decreased feelings of “want to be alone” ($F_{1,52} = 21$, $p < 0.001$, Fig 1, Table 1).

The effects of the OXTR rs1042778 SNP on the subjective effects of MDMA are shown in Table 1, Fig 1, and S1 Table. MDMA produced increases in “trust” ($F_{1,49} = 14$, $p < 0.001$), “want to be hugged” ($F_{1,49} = 5.3$, $p < 0.05$), and “want to be with others” ($F_{1,49} = 6.5$, $p < 0.05$) in the TT genotype group compared with the G allele carriers. MDMA lowered ratings of “wanting to be alone” more in subjects with the TT genotype compared with G allele carriers ($F_{1,49} = 4.5$, $p < 0.05$). Using Nyholt correction for the multiple comparisons, only MDMA effects on “trust” was significantly altered by the rs1042778 SNP. The OXTR rs53576 and rs2254298 SNPs did not alter the subjective effects of MDMA (Table 1).

Emotion recognition
On the FERT, MDMA impaired the recognition of fearful ($F_{1,68} = 47$, $p < 0.001$), sad ($F_{1,68} = 14$, $p < 0.001$), and angry ($F_{1,68} = 16$, $p < 0.001$) faces compared with placebo. None of the OXTR gene variants moderated the effects of MDMA on the FERT.

Empathy
MDMA increased explicit emotional empathy for positive emotions ($F_{1,68} = 7.6$, $p < 0.01$) compared with placebo. None of the OXTR gene variants altered the effects of MDMA on the MET.

Prosociality
MDMA produced a near-significant trend toward an increase in the SVO angle compared with placebo ($F_{1,68} = 3.1$, $p = 0.08$). MDMA reduced the inequality-aversion index ($F_{1,32} = 9.3$, $p < 0.01$) in subjects with a prosocial orientation, indicating a shift from joint gain maximization to inequality aversion. The rs53576 and rs1042778 SNPs moderated the MDMA-induced increase in inequality aversion (S2 Fig). MDMA significantly reduced the inequality-aversion index in the rs53576 AA genotype group compared with G allele carriers ($F_{1,31} = 9.4$, $p < 0.01$). MDMA also reduced inequality-aversion in the rs1042778 GG genotype group compared with T allele carriers ($F_{1,29} = 5.6$, $p < 0.05$). T allele carriers who received placebo also had a lower inequality-aversion index (corresponding to greater inequality-aversion) compared with subjects with the GG genotype ($p < 0.05$). However, if corrected for multiple comparisons none of the OXTR genetics influence SVO findings significantly.

Plasma concentrations of oxytocin and MDMA
Plasma oxytocin and MDMA concentrations are shown in Table 1. Oxytocin concentrations were significantly elevated 2 h after MDMA administration compared with placebo (placebo:
Fig 1. Effect of the OXTR rs1042778 SNP on the subjective effects of MDMA. MDMA produced greater “trust,” “want to be hugged,” “want to hug,” and “want to be with others” and reduced “want to be alone” in the TT group (n = 5) compared with the GG/GT group (n = 48, Table 1 and S1 Table). The data are expressed as mean ± SEM. MDMA or placebo was administered at time = 0.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199384.g001
Table 1. Effects of oxytocin receptor rs53576, rs1042778, and rs2254298 polymorphism groups on the response to MDMA (mean ± SD and statistics).

| SNP rs1042778 | SNP rs53576 | SNP rs2254298 |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| N (%)         | F           | p value      |
| 113 (86)      | 19 (14)     |              |
| Male, N (%)   | F           | p value      |
| 57 (50)       | 7 (37)      |              |
| MDMA plasma concentration | AA | 956 ±205 | NS |
| MDMA peak concentrations (ng/ mL) | 225 ±49 | 223±41 | 0.02 NS |
| Oxytocin A plasma concentration at 2h (pg/mL) | 53±63 | 64±101 | 0.33 NS |

Visual Analog Scale rating ΔAUC<sub>C</sub> 207 ±102 | 135 ±107 | 54±67 | 1.56 NS |

Any drug effect | 195 ±95 | 196 ±107 | 0.16 NS |

Closeness to others | 37±57 | 64±87 | 3.89 0.051 |

Trust<sup>a</sup> | 46±64 | 153±65 | 14.03 <0.001 |

Want to be hugged<sup>a</sup> | 20±68 | 105 ±129 | 5.27 0.026 |

Want to hug<sup>a</sup> | 22±65 | 63±101 | 1.53 NS |

Want to be alone<sup>a</sup> | -55 ±97 | -153 ±108 | 4.49 0.039 |

Want to be with others<sup>a</sup> | 43±77 | 140 ±120 | 7.28 0.010 |

G, number of subjects; AUEC, area under the effect-time curve; SD, standard deviation; NS, not significant; Δ, values are change scores from placebo.
<sup>a</sup>p value additionally corrected for multiple comparisons according to the Nyholt correction.
<sup>b</sup>N = 101 (rs1042778: 87 GG/GT, 14 TT; rs53576: 83 GG/AG, 18 AA; rs2254298: 81 GG, 20 AG/AA).
<sup>c</sup>N = 53 (rs1042778: 48 GG/GT, 5 TT; rs53576: 40 GG/AG, 13 AA; rs2254298: 44 GG, 9 AG/AA).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199384.t001

Discussion

The main finding of this study was that the OXTR rs10427778 SNP influenced the typical empathogenic and prosocial feelings that are produced by MDMA, including enhancements of “trust”. Similar modulation of the prosocial effects of MDMA was previously reported for the OXTR rs53576 SNP [30] but not rs1042778 SNP. These findings suggest that humans may respond differently to the typical subjective effects of MDMA, depending on their OXTR genetics. The results indirectly indicate a possible role for oxytocin in the subjective effects of MDMA, similar to its interoceptive effects in rats [53]. Animal studies have shown that oxytocin mediates the prosocial effects of MDMA [13, 14, 54]. We observed lower subjective prosociality after MDMA administration in carriers of the G allele of the rs1042778 SNP. Greater prosociality [33] and lower antisocial behavior [55] have been associated with the G allele in the absence of treatment. Our placebo condition was unsuitable for assessing differences in prosociality between subjects in the absence of treatment. Altogether, however, the findings are consistent with the notion that subjects with lower sociality may respond more to the social effects of MDMA or oxytocin [56].
In the present study, we failed to replicate a previous finding of moderation of the subjective prosocial effects of MDMA by the rs53576 SNP [30]. This previous study showed that carriers of the AA genotype at the rs53576 locus were not susceptible to the prosocial effect of 1.5 mg/kg MDMA [30]. However, this previous study assessed “sociability” as a combined outcome of several VASs, including “friendly,” “sociable,” “confident,” “playful,” and “loving” using principal component analysis [30]. Additionally, the effect of rs53576 was observed only at a dose of 1.5 mg/kg MDMA, whereas opposite effects were observed with 0.75 mg/kg MDMA [30]. The discrepant findings between these two studies may be partially explained by the different scales that were used. The findings may also indicate that the MDMA effect modulation by different OXTR SNPs is not very robust across studies and rather small.

The effects of MDMA on the FERT and MET in the present study were consistent with studies by other researchers who used the same tests [5, 20]. The present study found that the rs53576, rs1042778, and rs2254298 SNPs did not influence MDMA-induced impairments in the recognition of fearful, sad, and angry faces or increases in emotional empathy. No other data are available on the effects of other OXTR gene variants on MDMA-induced changes in tests of emotion processing or empathy. In contrast to the present study, the effect of intranasal oxytocin on dynamic face recognition has previously been shown to be modulated by OXTR SNP haplotypes, including the SNPs that were studied herein [32].

On the SVO test, MDMA produced a trend toward an increase in prosocial behavior and increased inequality aversion. We previously reported a significant increase in prosociality and a trend toward an increase in inequality aversion from a subset of the present data [1]. A novel finding of the present study was that MDMA increased inequality-aversion and thus a preference for fairness only in subjects with the rs53576 AA or rs1042778 GG genotypes, indicating a role for these OXTR gene variants in MDMA’s effect on social behavior. However, the MDMA-induced increase in preference for fairness in rs1042778 GG subjects appears to conflict with the smaller increase in trust in these subjects (S1 Table). Additionally, rs1042778 GG individuals presented lower inequality aversion compared with T allele carriers who received placebo. Higher prosociality on the SVO test has previously been reported in G allele carriers [33], but differences in the inequality-aversion index were not studied because this scale was only added later to the SVO test [50]. Furthermore, the OXTR rs1042778, rs53576, and rs2254298 SNPs had no effects in two other economic games (i.e., dictator game and trust game; [57]). The findings in the SVO tests are conclusive but need to be interpreted with caution, since they did not survive the correction for multiple comparisons and the total number of subjects in this subset was reduced to 33 due to the limiting calculation of the inequality aversion [50].

The present study has several limitations. First, the study was mostly exploratory and the findings would need to be confirmed in larger studies. Second, not all outcome measures were used in all of the subjects, thus limiting the sample size and also increasing the risk of Type I errors. Third, we tested only three OXTR SNPs. Other SNPs or haplotypes may also play a role [32]. Fourth, MDMA causes the release of oxytocin, monoamines [8, 9], and arginine vasopressin [51]. The latter two are well known to influence social cognition and behavior [58]. MDMA also increases cortisol and other corticosteroids [59, 60] and oxytocin and cortisol may interact to influence the response to MDMA and these interactions need further study [21]. Finally, cultural and early environmental background plays an uncertain role in the results of genetic studies, especially studies of OXTRs. For example, studies of the rs2254298 SNP reported different results in Caucasian and Asian subjects [35, 61].

The present findings of individual differences in the response to MDMA that depended on OXTR genetics need to be confirmed and might have implications for MDMA-assisted psychotherapy [7] and may contribute to more personalized treatment. Therapeutic studies that
use MDMA in patients should genotype OXTR SNPs and test for polymorphisms of the genes that regulate the metabolism of MDMA [46, 47].

**Conclusion**

The OXTR rs1042778 SNP but not the rs53576 or rs2254298 SNPs altered the typical MDMA-induced feelings of trust. A previous finding of a moderating influence of the rs53576 on the socio-emotional effect of MDMA could not be replicated indicating a chance finding. Additionally, after correction for multiple comparisons OXTR SNPs did not moderate the subjective overall effect of MDMA (any drug effect) or feelings of “closeness to others” in the total larger study sample of 132 subjects. Thus, the results are preliminary and should be interpreted with caution due to multiple comparisons and small genotype group sample sizes.

**Supporting information**

**S1 Fig. Linkage disequilibrium across the determined SNPs.** Estimates of the square of the correlation coefficient ($r^2$) were calculated for each pairwise comparison of SNPs based on data from our study cohort. (TIF)

**S2 Fig. OXTR rs53576 and rs104278 SNPs moderate the effects of MDMA on the SVO Inequality-aversion index.** (a) MDMA reduced the inequality-aversion index in the OXTR rs53576 AA genotype group (n = 6) but not in the GG/GA genotype group (n = 27, \( ^* \)p < 0.01). (b) MDMA reduced the inequality-aversion index in the OXTR rs1042778 GG genotype group (n = 15) but not in the TT/TG genotype group (n = 18, \( ^* \)p < 0.05, \( ^{**} \)p < 0.01). The data are expressed as mean ± SEM. If corrected for multiple comparisons none of the OXTR genetics significantly influence SVO findings. An inequality-aversion index of 0 indicates perfect inequality aversion (maximal fairness), and 1 indicates maximal preference for joint gain maximization in subjects with a prosocial value orientation. (TIF)

**S1 Table. Effects of oxytocin receptor rs53576 and rs1042778 polymorphisms (all allele groups) on the response to MDMA (mean±SD and statistics).** N, number of subjects; AUEC, area under the effect-time curve; SD, standard deviation; NS, not significant; D, values are change scores from placebo; \( ^* \)p value < 0.01 compared to rs1042778 TT. \( ^{**} \)p value additionally corrected for multiple comparisons according to the Nyholt correction. \(^a\)N = 101 (rs1042778: 39 GG, 48 GT, 14 TT; rs53576: 44 GG, 39 AG, 18 AA; rs2254298: 80 GG, 21 AG/AA). \(^b\)N = 53 (rs1042778: 21 GG, 27 GT, 5 TT; rs53576: 23 GG, 17 AG, 13 AA; rs2254298: 44 GG, 9 AG/AA). (XLSX)
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