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Background. The prevalence of a variety of carbapenemases in Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) has posed a global threat on clinical control and management. Monitoring and controlling the carbapenemase-producing GNB became imperative tasks for many healthcare centers. The aim of this study was to develop a high-throughput, specific, sensitive, and rapid DNA microarray-based method for the diagnosis, phenotypic confirmation, and molecular epidemiological study of carbapenemase genes.

Methods. We targeted a panel of eight carbapenemase genes, including $\beta$laKPC, $\beta$laNDM-1, $\beta$laOXA-23, $\beta$laOXA-48, $\beta$laOXA-51, $\beta$laIMP, $\beta$laVIM, and $\beta$laDIM for detection. Ultrasensitive chemiluminescence (CL) detection method was developed and used to simultaneously detect eight carbapenemase genes, and plasmids were established as positive or limit of detection (LOD) reference materials. Antibiotic susceptibility was determined by disk diffusion according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines in order to screen clinical isolates resistant to carbapenem antibiotics as well as Sanger sequencing which was used to confirm the reliability of the results presented by DNA microarray. Results. Eight carbapenemase genes could be detected with high sensitivity and specificity. The absolute LOD of this strategy to detect serially diluted plasmids of eight carbapenemase genes was $10^2 - 10^3$ copies/μL. Then, 416 specimens collected from hospital were detected and the results showed 96.6% concordance between the phenotypic and microarray tests. Compared with Sanger sequencing, a specificity and sensitivity of 100% were recorded for $\beta$laNDM-1, $\beta$laIMP, $\beta$laVIM, and $\beta$laDIM genes. The specificity for $\beta$laKPC, $\beta$laOXA-23, $\beta$laOXA-48, and $\beta$laOXA-51 genes was 100% and the sensitivity was 98.5%, 97.6%, 95.7%, and 97.9%, respectively. The overall consistency rate between the sequencing and microarray is 97.8%. Conclusions. The proposed ultrasensitive CL imaging DNA hybridization has high specificity, sensitivity, and reproducibility and could detect and differentiate clinical specimens that carried various carbapenemase genes, suggesting that the method can conveniently be customized for high-throughput detection of the carbapenemase-producing GNB and can be easily adapted for various clinical applications.

1. Introduction

Carbapenems are a class of $\beta$-lactam antibiotics with a broad spectrum and served as the last line against ESBLs efficiently and stably [1]. With wide and heavy use of various antibiotics, carbapenem-resistant isolates have become worldwide public-health issue with a widespread distribution, broad range of activities against $\beta$-lactams, and increased patient morbidity, mortality, and lengths of hospital stay [2], particularly among elderly patients, infants, and patients with severe underlying disease. In the clinic, it is imperative to develop a rapid, simple, and accurate test to detect and identify the clinical strains that produce carbapenemase, and this is critical for the management and control of the increasing prevalence of carbapenemase-producing strains worldwide [3].

The detection of carbapenemase producers in clinical specimens is based first on the analysis of susceptibility testing according to CLSI updated in 2017 [4], followed by confirmatory genotypic tests with methods like PCR and mass spectrometry [3, 5]. However, these tests are not the
best fit for detecting the carbapenemase-producing strains with desirable specificity and sensitivity [6]. Even the recommended CLSI methods are limited by their inherent disadvantages: the phenotypic tests for carbapenemase-producing strains suffer problems of false positives and false negatives [5,7]. Mass spectrometry cannot provide molecular epidemiological information and is cost-ineffective. Addressing these deficiencies, we herein propose a novel DNA microarray-based method for rapid, sensitive, and specific detection of clinical carbapenemase-producing samples. The proposed assay may have important implications in the diagnosis, phenotypic confirmation, and the molecular epidemiology studies of carbapenemase-producing bacteria.

The Ambler molecular classification system, based on protein homology, categorizes β-lactamases into four classes (A to D). The most extensive distribution class A enzyme with carbapenemase activity is KPC. The class B enzymes are metalloenzymes of the most IMP or VIM series. Besides, NDM and DIM belong to class B enzymes which are widely concerned in Asia [8]. Many OXA enzymes (OXA-23-like, OXA-48-like, class D) are considered to be responsible for the worldwide resistance epidemics as well as their detailed properties which have been extensively reported [9,10]. The presence of blaDIM_1 has been considered lower once before, for a Pseudomonas stutzeri isolate from the Netherlands [11]. However, in a recent report, blaDIM_1 was found in hospital isolates belonging to the families Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonadaceae, Burkholderiaceae, and Comamonadaceae and forty percent of the isolates were found to contain blaDIM_1 among the tested isolates [12]. The blaOXAS, DIM and blaOXA_23 genes are mainly responsible for the resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii [13], whereas the blaOXA_23-like genes are the most prevalent carbapenem-resistant genes identified in China [13,14]. The blaOXA_S-like genes have been considered exclusively chromosomally encoded, intrinsic oxacillinase genes of Acinetobacter baumannii and are used by many investigators for species identification and strain typing [15]. However, a number of recent reports indicate that the blaOXA_S-like genes have been mobilized and are spreading to other Acinetobacter spp. by conjugal plasmids [16,17]. While further work is required to determine carbapenem resistance of OXA-S-like group, the enzymes of this group remain a major concern, as they present the possibility that all A. baumannii isolates may be capable of becoming resistant to the carbapenems [18]. Therefore, in the current study, we included blaKPC, blaNDM_1, blaOXA_23, blaOXA_48, blaOXA_S, blaIMP, blaVIM, and blaDIM in the detection panel for the DNA microarray assays.

DNA microarrays have had wide applications, including gene expression analysis [19], disease diagnosis [20], and pathogenic microorganism detection [21]. This technique is characterized by miniaturization, high-throughput, manageability, and easiness of automatization. The purpose of this work is to develop a rapid, reliable, and high-throughput DNA microarray method for detection of clinically relevant carbapenemase-encoding genes. In this assay, a reliable and portable, ultrasensitive chemiluminescence (CL) imaging DNA hybridization was developed to simultaneously detect eight genes. Plasmids were established as positive or limit of detection (LOD) reference materials. The specificity and sensitivity of the method was validated in 416 actual samples.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethics Statement. All patients provided informed consent in accordance with requirements of the Declaration of Helsinki, and the research project was approved by the Ethical Committee of Chinese People’s Liberation Army (PLA) General Hospital.

2.2. Specimen Collection and Processing. The samples collected from PLA General Hospital of China were sputum, urine, and bacteria isolates. Sputum sample was liquefied by 4% NaOH for 30 min at room temperature with shaking and then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 2 min. Pellets were collected and washed. The DNA in sputum pellets and urine samples were isolated by QIAamp DNA Mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Bacteria isolates were prepared by modified boiling method. Briefly, colonies of each isolate were picked from Luria-Bertani plates and suspended in 100 μL of sterilized H2O, followed by boiling at 95°C for 15 min and centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 5 min. Supernatants were harvested and transferred to new tube and served as templates for PCR and microarray assays [13].

2.3. Primer and Probe Design. The DNA sequences of the carbapenemase (i.e., blaKPC, blaNDM_1, blaOXA_23, blaOXA_48, blaOXA_S, blaIMP, blaVIM, and blaDIM) were downloaded from GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/). Primers and probes were designed by DNAMAN and Primer Premier. Specific primers were designed for blaNDM_1, blaOXA_48, and blaDIM_1. For blaKPC, blaIMP, blaVIM, blaOXA_23-like, and blaOXA_S-like, primers were chosen in the conserved upstream or downstream regions. Microarray probes ranging from 32 to 42 nucleotides were synthesized for these genes. These genes were amplified by multiplex PCR in separate tubes, and an internal standard probe was included for each tube for process monitoring. Finally, ten primers and seventeen probes with favorable specificities were selected (Tables 1 and 2). All the primers and probes were verified by BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

2.4. Construction of Reference Plasmids. Oligonucleotides of blaDIM_1 and blaVIM in this study were spliced by big primer amplification method in which 40 bp fragments were concatenated into final sequences of about 280 bp. Carbapenemase-producing samples of OXA-23, OXA-48, and OXA-51 were collected from Chinese PLA General Hospital in Beijing and samples of KPC, IMP, and NDM-1 were collected from Chinese PLA Academy of Military Medical Sciences. These eight well-characterized reference strains, each carrying blaKPC, blaNDM_1, blaOXA_23, blaOXA_48, blaOXA_S, blaIMP, blaVIM, orblaDIM, were used for the design and validation of the microarray probes and primers. DNA fragments of KPC, NDM-1, OXA-23, OXA-48, OXA-51, IMP, VIM, DIM, mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), and 16S rRNA were amplified by PCR, followed by digestion with PGM-T and cloning into DH5α. The cloned fragments were confirmed by sequencing the entire regions. Mitochondrial DNA
Table 1: The primer sequences for microarray.

| Primer  | Sequence (5'→3') | Positions b | Targeted gene/GenBank accession |
|---------|------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| KPC-F   | CTGGGCAGTCCGGAGACAAAA | 681-700 | KPC/ KX619622.1 |
| KPC-R   | AGACGGCCAACAACATAGGT | 765-784 | |
| NDM1-F  | GAATTCTCGGCAGCACACT | 168-186 | NDM-1/ KX249707 |
| NDM1-R  | TGGCATAATGCGCAATCC | 407-424 | |
| OXA23-F | GCAGTCCAGTCTATCAGGA | 379-398 | OXA-23/NG_049726 |
| OXA23-R | CCCAACCAGTCCTTCCAA | 641-658 | |
| OXA48-F | TCGGGCAATGTAGCAGTTT | 548-556 | OXA-48/ NG_049762 |
| OXA48-R | CACCAGCCAATCTTATGTTC | 746-765 | |
| OXA51-F | GCTCGTCTATTGAGACCCAG | 406-425 | OXA-51/ KX609247 |
| OXA51-R | TGTGCCTCTTTCGTGAGG | 523-539 | |
| IMP-F   | GTAATTGAACACTCCATTAC | 291-309 | IMP /NG_049172 |
| IMP-R   | GCGGACTTTGCGCAAGCTTC | 674-693 | |
| VIM-F   | TGGTGAGTGATCGACGACAG | 190-206 | VIM/NG_050336 |
| VIM-R   | ATGAAAAATGCGTGGAG | 433-448 | |
| DIM-F   | GCTTTGCTTTCGCTGCTAAT | 38-56 | DIM/NG_049077 |
| DIM-R   | ATTCTGTGGCTTCTATCT | 293-311 | |
| mtDNA-F | GTCGAAGGTGGATTTAGCAGTTA | 1413-1435 | mtDNA/MG182040 |
| mtDNA-R | GTAAGGTGGAGTGGGTTGGG | 1684-1704 | |
| 18S-F   | AGAGTTTGATCGAGCTCAG | 1-20 | 16S rRNA/ LN612729 |
| 756-R   | CGTATTCCCGGGCTGCTG | 518-530 | |

a F, forward primer; R, reverse primer; all reverse primers have biotin conjugated at 5' ends.
b Positions refer to the nucleotide numbering of the corresponding GenBank genes.

Table 2: The probe sequences for microarray.

| Probe  | Sequences (5'→3') a | Targeted gene |
|--------|---------------------|---------------|
| KPC-P  | CAATGACTATGCCTGCAGGCC | KPC |
| NDM1-P1 | ACCGATGACCAGACCCCGGCGATCCTCACC | NDM-1 |
| NDM1-P2 | TCAGGACAGATCGGGTATGGAC | NDM-1 |
| OXA23-P1 | TTTTAAAGAGACTAAATGGCTAAAA | OXA-23 |
| OXA23-P2 | ATGGGACAGGTTGATATATCTTGG | OXA-23 |
| OXA48-P1 | CGAATTTCCCGGGACGGCAGAATCAGCTT | OXA-48 |
| OXA48-P2 | CAGCTATTTGCTAAACAGCCATGC | OXA-48 |
| OXA51-P1 | GAAGTGCAAGGCTGTTGGTATG | OXA-51 |
| OXA51-P2 | ATATCGGTACCCAGTGCTGCTGCTG | OXA-51 |
| IMP-P1  | GCTCTATGTTTAAAAATGAAG | IMP |
| IMP-P2  | CCCAGTGATGCTCGGATTAAC | IMP |
| VIM-P1  | TGGTTTGTGGTGTCGATGCAACG | VIM |
| VIM-P2  | ATCTATTTGCCTGATGATGAG | VIM |
| DIM-P1  | GTCAGTTTCAAACGGCCTTTGTGGTCGATGATT | DIM |
| DIM-P2  | CTTGTGACGAGGATACGAAACGGCTG | DIM |
| mtDNA-P | ATGTCCTTTGAAGATATGATTGAGAGTT | mitochondria |
| 551-P   | ACTCTACGGGAGGAGCGAGTT | 16S rRNA |
| Quality control b | TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT | Oligo dT20 |

a An oligonucleotide of 12 T's with an amino-labeled 3'-end was conjugated to the 3'-ends of all probes. b An oligonucleotide of 20 T's with an amino-labeled 3'-end, biotin-labeled 5'-end was used as microarray quality control.
and 16S rRNA were collected from cultured Hela cell and standard strains of *Escherichia coli* ATCC25922, respectively.

### 2.5. Microarray Fabrication

All microarray probes were synthesized by the Chinese PLA Academy of Military Medical Sciences. An oligo(dT)\textsubscript{12} with an amino-labeled 3'-end was conjugated to the 3'-end of all the probes, such that it served as a linker arm to be immobilized on the aldehyde-modified glass surface (Baio Technology Shanghai Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). An Oligo(dT)\textsubscript{20} with an amino-labeled 3'-end and a biotin-labeled 5'-end served as a quality control (QC) probe. Each probe (50 \( \mu \)M of final concentration) was spotted thrice repeatedly by using a noncontact inkjet Nano-plotter 2.1 (GeSim, Dresden, Germany) onto an aldehyde-chip after mixing with printing buffer [5% glycerol, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 6\( \times \) saline-sodium citrate buffer (SSC), and 2\( \% \) (wt/vol) Ficoll 400]. Quality control (QC) probe was included to manage the standard of operation and used at 12.5 \( \mu \)M final concentration. It was spotted eight times repeatedly in the horizontal direction to calibrate the CL signal values. Each aldehyde slide was divided into 10 blocks (11 \( \times \) 11 mm) by a waterproof film to detect 10 different samples. Microarrays were placed in a dryer for 24 h at room temperature. Unbound probes were washed off by 0.2% SDS and distilled water prior to use. The layout is shown in Figure 1(a).

### 2.6. Multiplex PCR

All reverse primers for target genes and internal controls (i.e., mtDNA and 16S rRNA) were labeled...
by biotin at the 5' ends for acting a CL reaction. Multiplex PCRs were performed for blaKPC, blaOXA-23, blaVIM, blaDIM, and 16S RNA in one tube (Tube A), and blaIMP, blaNDM-1, blaOXA-48, blaOXA-51, and mtDNA in another (Tube B). PCR reaction was in 30 μL and contained 15 μL of 2× Multiplex PCR Mix (cwBiotech, Beijing China) and 3 μL of mixed DNA templates. For Tube A, the concentrations of blaOXA-23, blaVIM, blaDIM, and 16S RNA forward and reverse primers all were 0.1 μM and 0.5 μM, and for blaKPC the forward and reverse primer were 0.2 μM and 1 μM, respectively. For Tube B, the concentrations of the forward and reverse primers were 0.1 μM and 0.5 μM, respectively. PCR was performed on a Thermal Cycler PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using the following conditions: 10 min at 95°C; 37 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 45 s at 72°C; and a final extension of 5 min at 72°C.

2.7. Hybridization and Signal Detection. PCR products amplified by the two multiplex PCR reactions using the same template were mixed. The mixtures were denatured at 95°C for 5 min and placed on ice immediately for 5 min. Then 5 μL of denatured PCR mixtures were blended with 5 μL of hybridization buffer [8× SSC, 0.6% SDS, 10% formaldehyde, and 10×Denhardt]. Hybridization reaction was proceeded in a hybrid-box by incubation for 1 h at 45°C. After hybridization, slide was washed successively in 1× SSC and 0.2% SDS, 0.2× SSC, and 0.1× SSC for 30 s. Slide was air dried at room temperature. To detect the CL signals, microarray was incubated in 37°C for 30 min with 10 μL of streptavidin horseradish peroxidase (Str-HRP, Sigma-Aldrich, St.Louis, USA), followed by wash with PBST (1×PBS, 0.05% Tween-20) for 30 s at room temperature. Dried microarray was covered in 10 μL of premixed CL HRP substrate luminal solution and H2O2 (Millipore Corporation, Boston, USA) (Figure 1(b)). Then immediately subject to scanning by Biochip Chemiluminescence Imager, a microlight level imaging system developed in our laboratory. Signal intensities were calculated by Array Vision 7.0.

2.8. Identification of Carbapenem-Resistant and Susceptible Strains. The strains isolated from samples were tested using the Kirby-Bauer (K-B) method of disk diffusion according to the recommendations of the CLSI to determine their susceptibilities to imipenem (10μg) and meropenem (10μg). Escherichia coli ATCC25922 and Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC27853 were used as control strains for susceptibility testing. Isolates were considered to have a carbapenem phenotype if they were resistant to at least one carbapenem (i.e., meropenem or imipenem) [22].

Four hundred sixteen (416) antibiotic-resistant bacterial samples were evaluated in this study. The initial samples were obtained from patients who had been hospitalized for a long time (>1 year).

2.9. Confirmation of the Resistance Genes by Sequencing. The resistance genes, including blaKPC, blaNDM-1, blaOXA-23, blaOXA-48, blaOXA-51, blaIMP, blaVIM, and blaDIM, that had been detected in antibiotic-resistant samples by microarray hybridization were validated by Sanger sequencing.

3. Results

3.1. Determination of Threshold Signal Intensity. To determine the threshold value for differentiating positive and negative microarray signal intensities, we have performed pilot microarray hybridization experiments using the Gram-positive bacterial strain S. aureus 04018 as negative controls and carbapenemase plasmids of 3×10^3 copies/μL as positive controls under the conditions specified in Materials and methods. If the signal intensity value is 10 times of the background intensity value, the probe was considered to be positive.

3.2. Specificity and Sensitivity of Microarray Test. To evaluate the specificity of the microarray method, we performed microarray hybridization assays for the reference carbapenemase plasmids (i.e., positive controls) (Figure 2), clinical carbapenem-resistant samples (Figure 3), and ten negative controls that were from ATCC standard strains and were sensitive to carbapenem (Figure 4). As shown by the microarray images, our method could effectively distinguish between the carbapenem resistance and carbapenem-sensitive genotypes among the clinical bacterial specimens with high specificity.

To evaluate the sensitivity of the microarray assay, we diluted the reference carbapenemase plasmids into various concentrations (i.e., from 3×10^3 copies/μL to 3×10^5 copies/μL). The different copy numbers of DNA were hybridized to the microarrays. The detection images were shown in Figure 5(a). In general, the microarrays yielded satisfactory sensitivity. For most reference plasmids, the detection limit was as low as 30 copies/μL (Figure 5(a)).

![Figure 2: Representative microarray hybridization images with the reference carbapenemase plasmids (positive controls).](image-url)
US) and the results of sensitivity comparison between microarray assay and Real-time PCR showed they had similar sensitivities (Figures 5(b) and 5(c)), indicating that our DNA microarrays could be applied to the clinical detection of the carbapenemase-producing samples.

3.3. Stability of Microarray Assay. Diluted carbapenemase plasmids ($3 \times 10^5$ copies/μL) and negative controls $S. aureus$ 04018 were used to evaluate how the microarray assays performed as far as repeatability is concerned. The $3 \times 10^5$ copy/μL plasmid of each target gene was selected as the template to detect for the determination of interchip and intrachip variation, and a negative control was set up without template. The experiment was repeated three times, and the repeatability of interchip and intrachip variation were evaluated. Coefficient of variation (CV) = SD/mean of signal intensities × 100%.

Statistical analysis showed that, for all target gene probes, the intrachip and interchip CV values of 8 probes ranged from 3.58% to 11.02% (below 15%), suggesting a favorable repeatability of the DNA microarray detection method (Table 3).
3.4. Phenotypic Resistance. About 78% clinical specimens (326/416) were resistant to both imipenem and meropenem, 77 samples were susceptible to both imipenem and meropenem, three samples were resistant to imipenem but sensitive to meropenem, and five samples were reversely sensitive to imipenem but resistant to meropenem as well as five samples were intermediary to imipenem but sensitive to meropenem. Most carbapenem-resistant samples were nonsusceptible to diverse antibiotics containing cephalosporins, fluoroquinolone, aminoglycosides, etc. The rates of nonsusceptibility to different antimicrobial agents were commonly >90%. Clinical information and phenotypic
results of all clinical samples were shown in Supplementary Table (available here).

3.5. Detection of Carbapenemase-Producing Strains by Microarray in Clinical Samples. A total of 416 clinical samples collected from Chinese PLA General Hospital were tested. The majority of the samples had previously been well-characterized as carbapenem resistance using K-B method. The microarrays for these bacteria revealed that 78% (325) of the samples carried one or more carbapenemase genes and that in some samples more than one bla gene had been identified (i.e., 496 genes were found in 325 specimens). The genotyping results of the clinical samples are listed as follows: 256 (62%) carried bla_KPC, 137 (33%) carried bla_OXA-51, 40 (9.6%) carried bla_OXA-23, 22 (5.3%) carried bla_OXA-48, 27 (6.5%) carried bla_NDM-1, 5 (1.2%) carried bla_IMP, 3 (0.7%) carried bla_VIM, and 6 (1.4%) carried bla_DIM (Table 4). Therefore, bla_KPC was the most frequent carbapenemase gene found in the antibiotic-resistant sample. Most interestingly, we found that bla_OXA-51 frequently coexisted with other bla genes. For example, 89 samples that carried bla_KPC also carried bla_OXA-51; all 40 samples of bla_OXA-23 carried bla_OXA-51; 9 samples carried all three bla genes: bla_KPC, bla_OXA-51, and bla_OXA-23; and 5 samples carrying bla_OXA-48 also carried both bla_OXA-51 and bla_KPC. It is worth noting that all carbapenemase genes in the 325 antibiotic-resistant samples had been verified by sequencing. In parallel, we also amplified the isolates that were susceptible to carbapenemases performed in phenotypic tests as well as recruited in our microarray assays. The overall concordance between the microarray-based assay and the reference methods (standard DNA sequencing) was 97.8%, suggesting that our microarray assay is a highly reliable method for detecting the carbapenemase-producing GNB in the clinic.

Fourteen samples which failed to detect any genes by microarray but resistant to either imipenem or meropenem in phenotypic test could not be amplified, determining 96.6% concordance between the phenotypic and microarray tests. Taken together, the newly developed microarray detection method is comparable to the conventional antibiotic susceptibility test and therefore may be suitable for clinical applications.

### 4. Discussion

In this study, we developed a novel microarray method to detect carbapenemase genes that could be applied to clinical diagnosis and identification of carbapenemase-producing GNB. We included eight carbapenemase genes that have been shown or potential display to be most clinically relevant, bla_KPC, bla_NDM-1, bla_OXA-23, bla_OXA-48, bla_OXA-51, bla_IMP, bla_VIM, and bla_DIM in the design of the microarray chips. MtDNA and 16S rRNA were chosen as internal controls because our samples were taken from human and the detected target genes were from bacteria. The high copy number sequence of mtDNA was used to monitor and control all PCR reactions and hybrids operations, and 16S rRNA was used to prove that the DNA microarray system could detect bacterial genes from all samples. The specificity, sensitivity, and reproducibility of the proposed method were highly favorable for clinical applications. Most importantly, the microarray results of the 416 clinical samples showed highly consistent agreement with results obtained from direct sequencing or antibiotic susceptibility tests.

It was intriguing for us to identify a number of resistant strains coharboring two or more carbapenemase genes; for instance, bla_KPC frequently coexisted with other genes and bla_OXA-51 always coexisted with bla_OXA-23 in Acinetobacter baumannii, indicating a more serious threat than before, when it came to the control and management of the extremely drug-resistant bacterial infections [23]. Of the transferable molecular class B metallo-β-lactamases, IMP, VIM, and NDM were common, while DIM was endemic [10]. However, in this study, bla_IMP and bla_VIM were detected in 5 and 3 samples, respectively, yet bla_DIM was in 6 specimens, which indicated that it might be necessary to improve the attention for DIM in the later study (Table 4 and Supplementary Table).

The DNA microarray could detect bacterial carbapenemase genes from not only clinical sputum, urine samples, and colony or bacterial culture in this study, but also specimens of pleural effusion, cerebrospinal fluid, oral swab, and throat swab even environmental swab (data not shown) directly. This remarkable capability of compatibility to detect of several original samples made it faster to obtain result than similar microarrays Check-MDR CT102 [24, 25] and VITEK2 [26] that should detect cultured bacterial isolates.

### Table 3: Statistics of the microarray repeatability.

| Repeat times (n) | Intra-chip experiments | Inter-chip experiment |
|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
|                  | 1                      | 2                    | 3        | 3        |
| KPC              | 5.23                   | 4.75                 | 9.85     | 10.16    |
| NDM-1            | 3.67                   | 4.12                 | 6.44     | 6.71     |
| OXA-23           | 4.47                   | 5.03                 | 7.82     | 7.54     |
| OXA-48           | 3.58                   | 3.87                 | 6.83     | 6.42     |
| OXA-51           | 4.45                   | 5.31                 | 8.09     | 7.88     |
| IMP              | 6.84                   | 6.95                 | 10.24    | 11.02    |
| VIM              | 5.87                   | 6.04                 | 9.97     | 10.19    |
| DIM              | 4.02                   | 3.89                 | 6.52     | 6.67     |
### Table 4: Microarray results on various clinical samples harboring carbapenemase genes.

| Species                      | P. aeruginosa | A. baumann | E. coli | K. pneumonia | S. marcescens | S. maltophilia | Flavobacterium meningosepticum | R. mannitolilytica | Achromobacter xylosoxidans | Burkholderia cepacia | Klebsiella oxytoca | Entrobacter cloacae | E. aerogenes | Total |
|------------------------------|---------------|------------|---------|--------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------|
| **No. of samples**           | 263           | 42         | 36      | 55           | 5             | 4              | 3                               | 1                 | 1                           | 0                   | 0                 | 0                   | 416          |        |
| **No. with blaKPC gene**     |               |            |         |              |               |                |                                 |                   |                             |                     |                   |                     |              |        |
| PCR                          | 193           | 15         | 7       | 38           | 0             | 2              | 3                 | 0                 | 1                           | 1                   | 1                 | 0                   | 0             | 260   |
| Array                        | 190           | 15         | 7       | 37           | 0             | 2              | 3                 | 0                 | 1                           | 1                   | 1                 | 0                   | 0             | 256   |
| % Concordance of blaKPC      | 98.4          | 100        | 100     | 97.4         | 100           | 100            | 100               | 100               | 100                         | 100                 | 100               | 100                 | 98.5^d       |        |
| **No. with blaKDM-1 gene**   |               |            |         |              |               |                |                                 |                   |                             |                     |                   |                     |              |        |
| PCR                          | 19            | 2          | 0       | 5            | 0             | 1              | 0                 | 0                 | 0                           | 0                   | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 27    |
| Array                        | 19            | 2          | 0       | 5            | 0             | 1              | 0                 | 0                 | 0                           | 0                   | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 27    |
| % Concordance of blaKDM-1    | 100           | 100        | 100     | 100          | 100           | 100            | 100               | 100               | 100                         | 100                 | 100               | 100                 | 98.5^d       |        |
| **No. with blaOXA-23 gene**  |               |            |         |              |               |                |                                 |                   |                             |                     |                   |                     |              |        |
| PCR                          | 8             | 30         | 1       | 0            | 0             | 1              | 0                 | 0                 | 0                           | 0                   | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 41    |
| Array                        | 8             | 30         | 1       | 0            | 0             | 1              | 0                 | 0                 | 0                           | 0                   | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 40    |
| % Concordance of blaOXA-23   | 100           | 96.8       | 100     | 100          | 100           | 100            | 100               | 100               | 100                         | 100                 | 100               | 100                 | 97.6^f       |        |
| **No. with blaOXA-48 gene**  |               |            |         |              |               |                |                                 |                   |                             |                     |                   |                     |              |        |
| PCR                          | 20            | 1          | 1       | 1            | 0             | 0              | 0                 | 0                 | 0                           | 0                   | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 23    |
| Array                        | 19            | 1          | 1       | 1            | 0             | 0              | 0                 | 0                 | 0                           | 0                   | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 22    |
| % Concordance of blaOXA-48   | 95            | 100        | 100     | 100          | 100           | 100            | 100               | 100               | 100                         | 100                 | 100               | 100                 | 95.7^g       |        |
| **No. with blaOXA-51 gene**  |               |            |         |              |               |                |                                 |                   |                             |                     |                   |                     |              |        |
| PCR                          | 84            | 40         | 5       | 7            | 0             | 2              | 1                 | 0                 | 1                           | 0                   | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 140   |
| Array                        | 82            | 39         | 5       | 7            | 0             | 2              | 1                 | 0                 | 1                           | 0                   | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 137   |
| % Concordance of blaOXA-51   | 97.6          | 100        | 100     | 100          | 100           | 100            | 100               | 100               | 100                         | 100                 | 100               | 100                 | 97.9^h       |        |
| **No. with blaIMP gene**     |               |            |         |              |               |                |                                 |                   |                             |                     |                   |                     |              |        |
| PCR                          | 4             | 1          | 0       | 0            | 0             | 0              | 0                 | 0                 | 0                           | 0                   | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 5     |
| Array                        | 4             | 1          | 0       | 0            | 0             | 0              | 0                 | 0                 | 0                           | 0                   | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 5     |
| % Concordance of blaIMP      | 100           | 100        | 100     | 100          | 100           | 100            | 100               | 100               | 100                         | 100                 | 100               | 100                 | 100           |        |
| **No. with blaVIM gene**     |               |            |         |              |               |                |                                 |                   |                             |                     |                   |                     |              |        |
| PCR                          | 3             | 0          | 0       | 0            | 0             | 0              | 0                 | 0                 | 0                           | 0                   | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 3     |
| Array                        | 3             | 0          | 0       | 0            | 0             | 0              | 0                 | 0                 | 0                           | 0                   | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 3     |
| % Concordance of blaVIM      | 100           | 100        | 100     | 100          | 100           | 100            | 100               | 100               | 100                         | 100                 | 100               | 100                 | 100           |        |
| No. with \( \text{bla}_{\text{DIM}} \) gene | PCR \( P. \) aeruginosa | A. baumannii | E. coli | K. pneumoniae | S. marcescens | S. maltophilia | Flavobacterium meningosepticum | R. manniitollytica | Achromobacter xylosoxidans | Burkholderia cepacia | Klebsiella oxytoca | Enterobacter cloacae | E. aerogenes | Total |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Total no. of strains that agree/total no. of strains | 257/263 | 38/40 | 36/36 | 54/55 | 5/5 | 4/4 | 3/3 | 1/1 | 1/1 | 1/1 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 1/1 | 407/416 |
| % agreement for all strains | 97.7 | 95 | 100 | 98.2 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97.8 |

*a* All tested samples include sputum, urine, and cultured isolates; the specific clinical information and test results of each sample are shown in the Supplementary Table.

*b* Results obtained with classical PCR/sequencing.

*c* Microarray results were obtained using carbapenemase array designed in this study.

*d* A sensitivity of 98.5%, specificity of 100%, positive predictive value of 100%, and negative predictive value of 97.5% for KPC detection.

*e* A sensitivity of 100%, specificity of 100%, positive predictive value of 100%, and negative predictive value of 100% for NDM-1 detection.

*f* A sensitivity of 97.6%, specificity of 100%, positive predictive value of 100%, and negative predictive value of 99.7% for OXA-23 detection.

*g* A sensitivity of 95.7%, specificity of 100%, positive predictive value of 100%, and negative predictive value of 99.7% for OXA-48 detection.

*h* A sensitivity of 97.9%, specificity of 100%, positive predictive value of 100%, and negative predictive value of 98.9% for OXA-51 detection.

*i* A sensitivity of 100%, specificity of 100%, positive predictive value of 100%, and negative predictive value of 100% for IMP detection.

*j* A sensitivity of 100%, specificity of 100%, positive predictive value of 100%, and negative predictive value of 100% for VIM detection.

*k* A sensitivity of 100%, specificity of 100%, positive predictive value of 100%, and negative predictive value of 100% for DIM detection.
The microarray was rapid and portable, when starting from the clinical sample, less than 7 hours with overall 2 hours of hands-on time, enabling one day analysis. Whole detection operation of the DNA microarray consisted of 5 steps and costs 4-5 h including PCR amplification, and whole detection did not need sophisticated instrument, which was far simpler than Check-MDR CT102. The DNA microarray could detect 9 specimens at one chip one time and the cost per sample was below five dollars, which was far cheaper than Check-MDR CT102 and VITEK2. In microarray assay, a proprietary CL imaging system was developed in our laboratory. The Biochip Chemiluminescence Imager relied on charge-coupled device (CCD) camera imaging technology and equipped with a power supply unit for portable use. The new CL imager had a lower cost ($3000) than other commercial CCD imaging technology CL imagers (e.g., Amersham Imager 600, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and much faster than other visual microarray system which was based on quantum dot-catalyzed silver deposition. The newly designed DNA microarray system had yielded high specificity, sensitivity, and reproducibility in detecting the newly designed DNA microarray system had yielded high specificity, sensitivity, and reproducibility in detecting the eight carbapenemase genes among the clinical specimens. For \textit{bla}$_{NDM-1}$, \textit{bla}$_{OXA-23}$, \textit{bla}$_{OXA-48}$, \textit{bla}$_{OXA-51}$, \textit{bla}$_{IMP}$, \textit{bla}$_{VIM}$, and \textit{bla}$_{TIM}$, there were two different probes (22-30 nt) designed to hybridize, respectively, which could effectively minimize the false hybridization signals. Our study has demonstrated that the microarrays with greatly simplified the protocol to determine the carbapenemase-encoding genes in the clinical samples and can offer an efficient means for the molecular epidemiological studies of carbapenemase genes: the emergence of the resistant genes may potentially be traced back to their origins where community- and hospital-based bacterial infections were frequently happening. On the other hand, microarray hybridization is highly sensitive. In this study, they showed similar sensitivities as the real-time PCR kit. We could detect as low as 30 copies/\mu L of DNA targets. Furthermore, the DNA microarrays can generally detect target DNAs with much larger dynamic ranges. Finally, the microarray method is also highly reproducible: we have shown that the averaged coefficient of variations (CV %) for interchip and intrachip experiments were low, and most of them were less than 10%. Therefore, we propose that the new microarray method has a great potential to be applied to clinical studies.

The microarray based on multi-PCR, which made it possible to detect multiple resistant genes at the same time in a single tube. But it could not effectively identify a variety of fragments by electrophoretic separation. Direct sequencing of DNA is not suitable for identification of multiple PCR products. The microarray is used to confirm the results of multiple PCR. The concordance of microarray to PCR of detection 8 carbapenemase genes ranges from 95.7%-100% (Table 4). The microarray had some limitations, because resistance to carbapenems in particular was produced through several mechanisms: such as synthesis of carbapenem \(\beta\)-lactamases, efflux pumps [27], loss of membrane permeability [28], and penicillin-binding proteins variants. The DNA microarray could not cover genes form all the mechanisms. Fourteen samples in our study which failed to detect any genes by microarray but resistant to either imipenem or meropenem in phenotypic test could not be amplified, indicating resistant genes from other mechanisms were beyond of detection reach of the microarray. Whole genome sequencing (WGS) has potential to detect many different molecular mechanisms leading to resistance. Our lab has taken WGS as new powerful technology to find novel resistant genes located in bacterial plasmid or genome DNA, as well as new resistant mechanisms. However, WGS-based antimicrobial susceptibility testing in clinical laboratories remain the current high-cost and taking more time [29]. In clinical testing, using PCR-based microarray to detect some specific resistance genes simultaneously was more economical and faster. In this study, multi-PCR amplification was divided into two tubes, and reducing PCR amplification systems (amplifying 8 carbapenemase genes in one tube) might increase sensitivity [30].

In conclusion, we developed a new microarray detection system that could directly detect eight carbapenemase genes from several kinds of clinical specimens. It was convenient, readily to be customized for high-throughput detection, and could be easily adapted for clinical applications.
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