The effects of physical therapy with neuromuscular electrical stimulation in patients with septic shock
Study protocol for a randomized cross-over design
Alessandra Fabiane Lago, MSca, Anamaria Siriani de Oliveira, PhDa, Hugo Celso Dutra de Souza, PhDa, João Santana da Silva, PhDc, Anibal Basile-Filho, PhDc, Ada Clarice Gastaldi, PhDa,*

Abstract
Introduction: Septic shock is a potentially fatal organ dysfunction caused by an imbalance of the host response to infection. The changes in microcirculation during sepsis can be explained by the alterations in the endothelial barrier function. Endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) are a potential recovery index of endothelial function and it an increase in response to neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) was demonstrated. Therefore, the objective of this study is to investigate the effects of NMES in patients with septic shock.

Methods and analysis: It is a study protocol for a randomized cross-over design in an intensive care unit of a tertiary University hospital. Thirty-one patients aged 18 to 65 years. The study will be divided in 2 phases: the phase one will be held in the first 72 hours of septic shock and the phase two after 3 days of first assessment. Patients will be randomly selected to the intervention protocol (decubitus position with the limbs raised and NMES) and control protocol (decubitus position with the limbs raised without NMES). After this procedure, the patients will be allocated in group 1 (intervention and control protocol) or group 2 (control and intervention protocol) with a wash-out period of 4 to 6 hours between them. The main outcome is mobilization of EPCs. The secondary outcome is metabolic and hemodynamic data. A linear mixed model will be used for analysis of dependent variables and estimated values of the mean of the differences of each effect.

Abbreviations: CONSORT = Consolidate Standards of Reporting Trials, EPCs = endothelial progenitors cells, IC = indirect calorimetry, NMES = neuromuscular electrical stimulation, REE = resting energy expenditure, SPIRIT = Standard Protocol Items for Randomised Trials, SpO2 = peripheral oxygen saturation, VCO2 = carbon dioxide production, VO2 = oxygen consumption.
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1. Introduction
Septic shock is a potentially fatal organ dysfunction caused by an imbalance of the host response to infection. The incidence of septic shock is 18.6 cases per 1000 hospital admissions with a mortality rate of 50.7% in the United States of America.[1]

According to international guidelines, patients with septic shock can be identified with clinical signs of sepsis and persistent
2. Patients and methods

2.1. Study design

This study is a randomized controlled crossover clinical trial with group 1 (starts with intervention and ends with control protocol) and group 2 (control and intervention protocol).

2.2. Study participants and eligibility criteria

Participants admitted to the intensive care unit are eligible if they present in the first 72 hours after the diagnosis of septic shock according to the international consensus definition of septic shock. They should have stable hemodynamic through fluid resuscitation, vasoactive drugs, and mechanical ventilation as described above.

Exclusion criteria will include patients aged 18 years or less or over 65 years, pregnant women, brain death, neuromuscular diseases, or the use of a pre-existing neuromuscular blocker in the last 24 hours.

Contraindications for the use of NMES will include fractures, burns, skin lesions, systemic vascular impairment diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus, thromboembolic disease, deep vein thrombosis (which was not therapeutically anticoagulated for more than 36 hours), lower limb amputations, cardiac pacemaker, thrombocytopenia less than 20,000/mm³, body mass index greater than 35 kg/m², important lower extremity edema, agitation, and/or signs of pain during the electrical stimulation.

Contraindications to begin or continue NMES procedure will include the following: mean arterial blood pressure less than 65 mm Hg, use of vasopressor >50% of the maximum dose (dopamine >12.5 µg/kg per minute; vasopressin >0.02 U/min and norepinephrine >1 µg/kg per minute), heart rate <50 or >140 bpm, arrhythmias with hemodynamic consequences, myocardial ischemia, temperature <34 °C or >39°C, intracranial pressure >20 cmH2O, increase in 10% peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂) baseline value or <88% for more than 1 minute.

2.3. Recruitment organization

All patients with a diagnosis of septic shock will be recruited at intensive care unit admission. An explanatory statement will be given and writing informed consent will be obtained before the commencement of the study. The author AFL will have final approval of a patient’s eligibility for the study. If the relatives of the patients accept the invitation to participate in the study, then they will sign the informed consent form.

2.4. Randomization and allocation

This study will follow the recommendations of the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) and the study protocol followed the Standard Protocol Items for Randomised Trials (SPIRIT) protocol. After the consent, AFL will evaluate and determine the eligibility of patients. Thus, ACG will not be involved with the assessment and interventions that randomly allocates the participants in 1 of the 2 groups through a simple randomization (random numbers generated by the computer). The allocation sequence will be hidden by ABF through sequential numbered opaque sealed envelopes. After this step, AFL will open the envelope and will start the protocol. Blood sample analyses will be blinded to AFL, ACG, and ABF.
2.5. Procedures

The study will be divided into 2 phases, the phase one will be held in the first 72 hours of septic shock; the phase two will be 3 days after the first assessment. Patients will be submitted in a random order to the intervention protocol (decubitus position with the limbs raised and NMES) and control (decubitus position with the limbs raised without NMES). The patients may be allocated in Group 1 (intervention and control) or group 2 (control and intervention) with a wash-out period of 4 to 6 hours between them as visualized in Fig. 1.

The study has a short follow-up, and the second measurements will be done after 3 days. Patients who discontinue or deviate from protocol are in the second phase of study; only results of the phase one of the study will be analyzed. These results will demonstrate the acute effects of NMES in the first 72 hours after diagnosis of septic shock.

2.6. Primary outcomes

2.6.1. Endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs). To evaluate the EPCs, blood samples will be taken from an existing central venous access. The first 3 mL is discarded, and the remainder will be collected in 5 mL tubes with acid citrate and dextrose 5 minutes before the baseline and at the end of each protocol. The samples will be processed within 3 hours after collection and will be kept at 4°C during the procedure. The analysis will be performed by flow cytometry (BD Biosciences, FACS Canto II, EUA) and centrifuged at 700g for 20 minutes with no brake. The upper phase (plasma) will be removed gently and aliquoted at 0.25 mL. The lower phase containing the blood cells will be resuspended using 10 mL of cold 1× PBS and finally centrifuged to 250 g at 4°C with no brake for 5 minutes. Cell sediment will be resuspended twice in 500 μL of 1× PBS, and the samples will be filtered through 40 μm cell strainer in BD 5 mL Falcon tubes. Tubes will be kept at 4°C (or ice) in the dark before the flow cytometry. Different subpopulations of endothelial progenitor cells will be quantified by cellular markers by flow cytometry: CD34+/CD133+/CD45–, CD34+/CD133+/CD45–/CD45+/VEGFR2+ CD34+/CD45–/VEGFR2+.[24,25] Nurses will receive a training in how blood sample will be taken, even as laboratory staff will be trained to evaluate cell progenitor endothelial.

2.7. Secondary outcomes

2.7.1. Oxygen consumption (VO₂), carbon dioxide production (VCO₂), and resting energy expenditure (REE). Indirect calorimetry (IC) is a noninvasive method that analyzes the amount of heat generated by the whole body according to the substrate utilization. The data provided by calorimetry are resting energy expenditure (REE) that is calculated from the amount of VO₂ and VCO₂ through the respiratory gases. The inspired fraction of oxygen is measured through the inspiratory branch of a mechanical ventilator. The expiratory gases pass through a mixing chamber where the fraction of expired air of oxygen and carbon dioxide are analyzed.[26] Patients will be submitted to IC during baseline and study protocol. The IC will be measured by a portable calorimeter DELTATRAC II Metabolic Monitor (Datex-Ohmeda, Helsinki, Finland) connected to a mechanical ventilator (Evita XL, Dräger medical, Lübeck, Germany) for 30 minutes in a stable condition without manipulation of the upper airways or changes of the ventilator parameters. The intensive care unit staff will be trained in how to proceed when the IC is running. We consider steady state to be the point after 5 consecutive minutes measurement when oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production vary by ±10%. This technique was employed in some of our previous studies[27-29] and was validated elsewhere.[30] The protocol will initiate after warming the calorimeter for 30 minutes. The gas and
The patient will be positioned on a headboard at 30° in the decubitus position with the limbs raised to 20°. The location of the electrical current will be cleared with trichotomy when necessary. Adhesive electrodes 90 x 50 mm will be positioned in the gastrocnemius. The stimulator device will be the Neurodyn II (Ibramed, Sao Paulo, Brazil) to provide symmetrical biphasic pulses of 50 Hz, 250 μsec pulse duration, 2 seconds on (1 second of time of rise and 1 second of time of decay), and 5 seconds of rest during 30 minutes at an intensity capable of generating visible contractions and articular motion (Fig. 2).

Adverse event will be defined as any change in mean arterial blood pressure less than 65 mm Hg, heart rate < 50 or > 140 bpm, arrhythmias with hemodynamic consequences, myocardial ischemia, decrease in 10% of SpO2 baseline value, or < 88% for more than one minute and pain during the NMES session. Pain will be evaluated through the Brazilian version of the Behavioural Pain Rating Scale[31] to measure pain in unconscious patients under mechanical ventilation and unable to communicate verbally. If any adverse event happen, the session will be interrupted and the event will be related.

This study is a trial with a short duration and with known minimal risks; therefore, data-monitoring committee is not necessary.

2.8.5. Data analysis and management. All data will be entered electronically. There will be a subject identification code list and each participant will receive a participant study identification number. Confidential documents will be retained in the institution’s computer in the laboratory. A password system will be utilized to control access. Back up of data will be kept in locked cabinets in the laboratory as well.

The data set will be described via tables and figures containing values expressed as mean, standard deviation, median, minimum, and maximum values. The mean effects of the protocol and the group differences for all outcomes will be calculated using linear mixed models to incorporate terms for the protocols, sequence, and periods; a term referring to the carryover effect will also be added in order to control these effects.[32]

The effects will be related to differences of values in relation to the protocols, the sequences and the periods and it will be measured considering the value from the first moment of protocol and the value in the second time. The effects are listed below:

- The Protocols: Protocol 1: referring to intervention. Protocol 2: regarding the control.
- Sequences/Groups: Sequence/group 1: Related the sequence at the beginning of the study with the decubitus position with the limbs raised and NMES and ending with decubitus position with the limbs raised without NMES (Group 1). Sequence/group 2: Related the sequence at the beginning of the study with the decubitus position with the limbs raised without NMES and ending with the decubitus position with the limbs raised and NMES (Group 2).
- Periods: period 1: related to the time of the first procedure. Period 2: related to the moment of the second procedure.

Estimated values and 95% confidence intervals are used to evidence the possible effects related to the studied factors. The P value is used to identify whether or not there was an effect of the protocol, the sequence, and the period. The level of significance will be set at 5%. Statistical analysis will be performed through the R version 3 (2013-05-16) (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) and SAS version 9.2 (SAS Inc., Cary, NC).

The sample size of 31 patients was based on the study of Stefanou et al[18] for the variable CD34 + CD133 +, CD45 – with effective detection of 7.3/106 cells, standard deviation of 10.2, 5% alpha, and power of 80%.

The investigators will provide verbal and written feedback to relatives of participating patients about the results of the study and clinical conditions.
Any modifications to the protocol and administrative changes of the protocol will be communicated to ClinicalTrials.gov and Research Ethics Committee and clinical research unit of the Hospital das Clinicas da Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirão Preto.

All principal investigators will have access to the final trial dataset.

3. Discussion
Septic shock is a potentially fatal organ dysfunction caused by an imbalance of the host response to infection. This disease is life-threatening circulatory failure with inadequate tissue perfusion because changes may occur in microcirculation and occurs alterations in endothelial barrier function.[5]

Indeed, the endothelium is responsible for the interface between tissues and the blood compartment and participates in the regulation of blood flow and vascular caliber.[6] Studies have been shown the relation between EPCs and their neovascularization capability in adult ischemia tissue and participation in the formation of new blood vessels.[7,33]

Physiotherapy can use NMES in critically ill patients. It has been demonstrated that NMES is well tolerated and it seems to have a short-term systemic effect on the peripheral microcirculation and improves tissue perfusion as measured by infrared spectroscopy.[20,21]

Therefore, this work will demonstrate the possible beneficial effects of electrical stimulation as evaluated by the mobilization of endothelial progenitor cells in patients with septic shock. It will determine whether electrical stimulation can be applied in critically ill patients without causing harmful metabolic and/or hemodynamic changes.
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