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Cross-protective immunity to porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus by intranasal delivery of a live virus vaccine with a potent adjuvant
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A B S T R A C T

Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) is an immunosuppressive chronic respiratory viral disease of pigs that is responsible for major economic losses to the swine industry worldwide. The efficacy of parenteral administration of widely used modified live virus PRRS vaccine (PRRS-MLV) against genetically divergent PRRSV strains remains questionable. Therefore, we evaluated an alternate and proven mucosal immunization approach by intranasal delivery of PRRS-MLV (strain VR2332) with a potent adjuvant to elicit cross-protective immunity against a heterologous PRRSV (strain MN184). Mycobacterium tuberculosis whole cell lysate (Mtbc WCL) was chosen as a potent mucosal adjuvant due to its Th1 biased immune response to PRRS-MLV. Unvaccinated pigs challenged with MN184 had clinical PRRS with severe lung pathology; however, vaccinated (PRRS-MLV+ Mtbc WCL) pigs challenged with MN184 were apparently healthy. There was a significant increase in the body weight gain in vaccinated compared to unvaccinated PRRSV challenged pigs. Vaccinated compared to unvaccinated, virus-challenged pigs had reduced lung pathology associated with enhanced PRRSV neutralizing antibody titers and reduced viremia. Immunologically, an increased frequency of Th cells, Th/memory cells, γδ T cells, dendritic cells, and activated Th cells and a reduced frequency of T-regulatory cells were detected at both mucosal and systemic sites. Further, reduced secretion of immunosuppressive cytokines (IL-10 and TGF-β) and upregulation of the Th1 cytokine IFN-γ in blood and lungs were detected in mucosally vaccinated, PRRSV-challenged pigs. In conclusion, intranasal immunization of pigs with PRRS-MLV administered with Mtbc WCL generated effective cross-protective immunity against PRRSV.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) is a chronic immunosuppressive disease of pigs responsible for huge economic loss to the swine industry worldwide. The economic impact of PRRS alone to the US swine producers has been estimated to be approximately $560 million annually [1]. According to the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) report of January 2009, overall, 49.8% of unvaccinated grower/finisher pigs were positive for PRRSV antibodies in the US [http://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahms/swine/downloads/swine2006/Swine2006_is_PRRS.pdf]. As a member of the Arteriviridae family, PRRSV contains a positive-sense, single-stranded RNA genome [2]. PRRSV causes respiratory distress in pigs of all ages and reproductive failure in sows [3,4]. Infection with this virus results in suppression of innate immune response (reduced IFN-α production and NK cell cytotoxicity) and a delay in the onset of adaptive immune response [5–7].

Different field isolates of PRRSV have been found to carry variable levels of genetic diversity ranging between 84 and 100% and one of the virulent strains, MN184 is antigenically highly divergent from the vaccine strain, VR2332 [8]. Currently, modified live and inactivated PRRSV vaccines have been licensed for use; however, these vaccines are not always efficacious in preventing PRRSV reinfections and transmission. There continue to be questions regarding vaccine safety and efficacy against existing as well as emerging antigenically heterologous PRRSV strains [9,10]. Therefore, development of a broadly protective PRRSV vaccine has been a challenge to PRRSV researchers. Recently, PRRSV experts and researchers collectively agreed that only replicating PRRSV vaccines have the most promise in the field and successful protection can be achieved by improving the
efficacy of live PRRSV vaccines (http://vetmed.illinois.edu/news/PRRSWhitepaper.pdf).

Mucosal surfaces cover the largest surface area in the body and almost 80% of total immune cells in the body are localized in the mucosa-associated lymphoid tissues (MALT) and at mucosal sites. Nasopharyngeal MALT contains the entire repertoire of immune cells which are strategically located to orchestrate regional immune functions against airborne infections [11]. A majority of pathogens are transmitted through mucosal surfaces, but some of them cause disease primarily at these mucosal sites (e.g. Influenza, PRRSV, HIV, Rotavirus, etc.). It has been demonstrated that systemic stimulation of the immune system mainly results in systemic protection with low mucosal immune responses. Conversely, optimal stimulation of the mucosal immune system generates both mucosal and systemic immunity [12]. The mucosal immune system possesses strong immunoregulatory mechanisms to dampen inflammation-induced pathology; therefore, mucosal immunization generally results in tolerance in the absence of suitable adjuvants or delivery systems [13,14]. Intranasal delivery of live attenuated vaccines against bovine herpes virus-1, influenza, and parainfluenza-3 when administered with adjuvants has proven effective [15,16]. Intranasal delivery of influenza vaccine with a potent adjuvant resulted in effective cross protection due to immune responses generated against conserved internal viral proteins [17]. Thus, mucosal immunization may be an attractive method to induce PRRSV specific cross-protective immunity.

Design of an effective mucosal vaccine is not easy because the adjuvant/delivery system used must not elicit any toxicity and should overcome the host- and/or vaccine antigens-induced immunosuppression. Heat-killed Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtbc) in an oil emulsion has been used extensively for experimental purposes as complete Freund’s adjuvant [18]. Unfortunately, adverse effects mediated by major cell wall components of Mtbc such as mycolic acids, peptidoglycan, and wax D preclude use of complete Freund’s adjuvant in humans and food animals [19,20]. Adjuvanticity of various purified individual components of Mtbc have been investigated [21,22], including water soluble whole cell lysate (WCL) of Mtbc [23]. Interestingly, a few of the Mtbc WCL fractions, such as heat shock protein-70 (HSP70) [21,24] and PE (Pro-Glu)/PPE (Pro-Pro-Glu) have been identified to elicit potent adjuvant activity [25,26]. However, the knowledge related to mucosal adjuvanticity of Mtbc WCL to mucosal viral vaccines is limited.

Initially, we performed studies to choose a suitable bacterial candidate mucosal adjuvant to use with PRRS-MLV. Pigs were inoculated intranasally with nine different bacterial preparations belonging to Mycobacterium, Vibrio, and Streptococcus, and selected Mtbc WCL due to its ability to induce increased Th1 and reduced immunosuppressive responses; the detailed results of which will be published elsewhere. Subsequently, PRRSV specific immune responses to PRRS-MLV+Mtbc WCL in pigs inoculated intranasally was evaluated in detail in a pre-challenge study, which resulted in satisfactory immune correlates of protection mediated through Mtbc WCL [27]. The purpose of the current study was to confirm the mucosal adjuvanticity of Mtbc WCL to PRRS-MLV in inducing effective cross-protection to challenge with a genetically divergent and virulent PRRSV strain.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cells, PRRSV, and adjuvant

Stable Mycoplasma-free MARC-145 cells which support the growth of PRRSV [28] were used to prepare PRRSV stocks and to perform immunological assays. Cells were maintained in DMEM with 10% FBS (Atlanta Biologicals, Lawrenceville, GA) at 37 °C with 5% CO2. For virus infection and titration of viral stock, DMEM supplemented with 2% horse serum was used. Modified live virus PRRS vaccine (PRRS-MLV) (Ingelvac® PRRS) was a kind gift from Dr. Mike Roof (Bio-R&D, Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica Inc., St. Joseph, MO). For some experiments, M. tuberculosis whole cell lysate (Mtbc WCL) was provided by Drs. Dobos and Belisle under NIH/NIAID funded contract HHSN266200400091e. “TB Vaccine Testing and Research Materials” (Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO).

2.2. Pigs and inoculations

Conventional large White-Duroc crossbred weaned specific-pathogen-free piglets at 3–4 wks of age were transported to animal facilities of the Food Animal Health Research Program at the Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center, Wooster, OH. The swine herd was confirmed seronegative for antibodies to PRRSV, porcine respiratory corona virus, transmissible gastroenteritis virus, and porcine circovirus 2. Piglets were bled on arrival, and the sera were tested to confirm the absence of PRRSV antibodies. Pigs were allowed to acclimate for an additional week before initiation of the experiment. Animals were maintained in the large animal BSL-2 facility under the supervision of a veterinarian. Throughout the duration of the study, all animals received food and water ad libitum. All inoculations such as adjuvant (Mtbc WCL, 3 mg/pig), vaccine (PRRS-MLV, 2 x 106 TCID50 per pig) and challenge (PRRSV, 1 x 106 TCID50 per pig) were administered intranasally. Adjuvant and vaccine were inoculated separately into each nostril. All pigs were maintained, samples collected, and euthanized as per the protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC), Wooster, and Institutional Biosafety Committee (IBC), Columbus, The Ohio State University, Ohio.

For the primary study, 22 pigs were randomly allocated to one of three groups: group 1, mock pigs (n = 4) received DMEM and were unvaccinated and unchallenged; group 2, unvaccinated and unchallenged pigs (n = 9); group 3, vaccinated (PRRS-MLV+Mtbc WCL) pigs (n = 9). Groups 2 and 3 were challenged with PRRSV MN184 on day post-vaccination (DPV) 21. Three pigs each from groups 2 and 3 were euthanized on 15, 30, and 60 day post-challenge (DPC). Mock inoculated pigs (n = 4) were euthanized separately prior to killing of any infected animals.

In another study, a total of nine pigs were split into three groups and housed in three separate isolation rooms (n = 3 per group). Pigs were inoculated intranasally as follows: group 1, mock (DMEM); group 2, vaccine (PRRS-MLV); group 3, vaccine with adjuvant (PRRS-MLV+Mtbc WCL). At DPI-21, groups 2 and 3 were challenged with PRRSV MN184 on DPV 21. Infection was allowed to proceed for 30 days at which time all pigs were euthanized. Mock inoculated pigs were euthanized prior to the euthanasia of any PRRSV challenged animals.

2.3. Collection of blood samples for analysis

Blood (3–5 ml) was collected on DPI 0 and DPC 0, 4, 7, 14, 21, 28, 31, 35, 42, 49, 56, and 60, serum was separated from the clotted blood, and aliquots of serum were preserved at −20 °C until used in assays. Serum was used for evaluation of viremia, viral titer, PRRSV serum neutralizing antibody titers, and cytokine production. Pigs were monitored daily for respiratory disease, and rectal temperature and body weight were recorded twice a week.

2.4. Isolation of cells

Blood was collected in acid citrate dextrose solution and processed for isolation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) as previously described [29]. Lung mononuclear cells (lung MNC) from individual pigs were isolated at necropsy as described previ-
Tracheobronchial lymph nodes (TBLN) draining the lungs were plated (5 x 10⁵ cells/well) in enriched RPMI in a 96-well multiScreen plate (Millipore, Billerica, MA) pre-coated overnight with a mouse anti-pig IFN-γ mAb (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA) at 4 °C. Cells were restimulated with killed crude PRRSV MN184 Ags (50 μg/ml) for 24 h at 37 °C in a CO₂ incubator. Plates were washed and resuspended in enriched RPMI [RPMI-1640, 10% fetal bovine serum, gentamicin (100 μg/ml), ampicillin (20 μg/ml), 20 mM HEPES, 2 mM L-glutamine, 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and 50 nM of 2-ME].

2.5. Gross lung lesion analysis

Necropsy was performed and lungs, tonsils, and TBLN were examined for gross lesions. Grossly evident pulmonary changes were assigned a score based upon the percent of virus-affected lesions (purple-red colored consolidation) in each lung lobe, and a total score for the entire lung was calculated as described previously [32].

2.6. Analyses of PRRSV load, viral titer, and virus neutralizing antibody titer

All the analyses were performed using a standard indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA) as described previously [4,28,33,34]. For virus titration, a confluent monolayer of MARC-145 cells in a 96-well microtiter plate was incubated with a 10-fold dilution of serum for 24 h. For determining the virus neutralization (VN) titers, serum was heat treated for complement inactivation, diluted two-fold in DMEM, and incubated with an equal volume of PRRSV MN184 containing 500 TCID₅₀ per well for 2 h at 37 °C. One hundred microliter of that suspension was transferred into a 96-well microtiter plate containing a confluent monolayer of MARC-145 cells and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C in a CO₂ incubator. Cytopathic effects were examined following fixation with acetone water and addition of anti-PPRSV Nucleoprotein mAb (clone, SDOW17; Rural Technologies, Inc., Brookings, SD) and Alexa-488 conjugated anti-mouse IgG(H+L) secondary antibody, and observed under a fluorescent microscope after mounting with glycerol–PBS (6:4 ratio).

2.7. PRRSV specific recall/memory immune response

Five million PBMC, TBLN MNC, and lung MNC were subjected to in vitro restimulation in a 24-well tissue culture plate in the presence of killed crude PRRSV MN184 antigens (Ags) (50 μg/ml), or recombinant PRRSV carboxy terminal 88 amino acid fragment of matrix protein (M3') (2 μg/ml) [35,36] in enriched RPMI for 48 h at 37 °C. The harvested culture supernatant was analyzed for cytokines by ELISA. Cells cultured in the absence of any antigens were included as a control, and the amount of cytokines secreted by these cells were subtracted from the respective restimulated experimental well values.

2.8. ELISPOT assay to determine PRRSV specific IFN-γ-secreting cells

The frequency of IFN-γ-secreting cells in PBMC was determined by an ELISPOT assay as described previously [9,37]. Briefly, PBMC were plated (5 x 10⁵ cells/well) in enriched RPMI in a 96-well MultiScreen plate (Millipore, Billerica, MA) pre-coated overnight with a mouse anti-pig IFN-γ mAb (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA) at 4 °C. Cells were restimulated with killed crude PRRSV MN184 Ags (50 μg/ml) for 24 h at 37 °C in a CO₂ incubator. Plates were incubated with biotinylated anti-pig IFN-γ detection antibody, subsequently with streptavidin-HRP conjugate and developed using an insoluble substrate tetramethylbenzidine with H₂O₂ peroxidase substrate system (KPL Inc., Gaithersburg, Maryland). The frequency of PRRSV specific IFN-γ-secreting cells was counted using an AID® ELISpot Reader System. The background values were subtracted from the respective counts of the unstimulated cells and the immune responses were expressed as the number of IFN-γ-secreting cells per million PBMC. Cells stimulated with phytohemagglutinin-P were included as a positive control on every plate.

2.9. Analysis of cytokine response

Pig sera collected at indicated DPC and culture supernatants harvested after in vitro restimulation of PBMC, TBLN and lung MNC were analyzed by ELISA for secretion of different interleukin (IL) classes, such as Th1 (IFN-γ and IL-12), Th2 (IL-4), pro-inflammatory (IL-6), and immunosuppressive (IL-10 and TGF-β) cytokines as described previously [6,37].

2.10. Flow cytometric study of immune cell populations

Flow cytometric analysis was performed to determine the phenotype and frequency of different immune cells in a multicolor immunoassay as described previously [6,31]. Briefly, previously isolated cell types (PBMC, lung MNC, and TBLN MNC) were treated with 2% pig serum to block Fc receptors. Cells were then stained with an appropriate mAb which was either directly conjugated to a specific fluorochrome or biotinylated, or with a purified antibody to pig specific immune cell surface markers [CD3e, CD172a (SouthernBiotech, Birmingham, Alabama), CD4α, CD8α/β, CD11c (BD Pharmingen), CD25, SLA class II (Serotec, Raleigh, NC), TcR1N4 (VMRD, Pullman, WA), Foxp3 (ebioscience, San Diego, CA)] or with their respective isotype control mAb and labeled cells were treated with streptavidin-conjugated fluorochrome or respective anti-species isotype specific secondary antibody conjugated with fluorochrome. Finally, cells were fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde. For intracellular Foxp3 staining, cells were surface stained for CD4 and CD25 as described above and overnight incubated at 4 °C in permeabilization buffer, and stained with fluorochrome-conjugated pig Foxp3 cross-reactive anti-rat Foxp3 mAb [38,39]. Immunostained cells were acquired using a FACS AriaII (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) flow cytometer. Analysis was performed to determine different immune cell populations based on the cell surface marker phenotypes: natural killer (NK) cells (CD3−CD4−CD8−) [40]; T-helper cells (CD3+CD4+CD8−); cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) (CD3+CD4−CD8+); CD4CD8 double positive T cells (CD3+CD4+CD8+) also called as T-helper/memory cells [41,42]; γδ T cells (CD8α/TCR1N4+); T-regulatory cells (CD4+CD25Foxp3+); CD172α (myeloid cells); CD4+CD25Foxp3− (activated T-helper cells); dendritic cells rich fraction (CD172α+CD11c+SLAII+) using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc., OR, USA). Frequencies of individual lymphocyte and myeloid cell subsets were analyzed from a total 50,000 to 100,000 events.

2.11. Statistical analysis

All data were expressed as the mean value of three, six, or nine pigs ± SEM. Statistical analyses were performed using a non-parametric “Wilcoxon t-test” where functionality was compared between two study groups (unvaccinated vs. vaccinated, and in Fig. 5 and Table 2, PRRS-MLV vs. PRRS-MLV+ Mt8 WCL), or non-parametric “Kruskal–Wallis test” followed by a “Dunn’s test” for multiple comparisons when comparing three or more groups for
Fig. 1. Mucosal vaccination with adjuvant rescued pigs from clinical PRRS with reduced gross lung pathology to a virulent PRRSV challenge. Pigs were unvaccinated \((n = 9)\) or vaccinated \((n = 9)\) with PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL and challenged with PRRSV MN184 on day post-immunization \((DPI) 21\) and then three pigs from each group were euthanized on day post-challenge \((DPC) 15, 30,\) and \(60.\) \(A\) Body weight of pigs was monitored on every third day post-challenge for 4 wks. Percentage body weight gain of individual pig was calculated by considering the weight of the pig at DPC \(0\) as 100%. \(B\) A representative lung picture of an unvaccinated or vaccinated and PRRSV challenged and then euthanized at DPC 15 is shown. \(C\) Gross lung lesion scores present in all the pig lung lobes at DPC 15, 30 and 60 were scored using a standard procedure. Each data point represents the average body weight gain from nine and six pigs ± SEM at DPC 15 and 30, respectively, and each bar represents the average lung lesion score from three pigs ± SEM. Asterisk denotes a statistically significant difference \((P < 0.05)\) between unvaccinated vs. vaccinated and PRRSV challenged pigs.

3. Results

3.1. Mucosal immunization to PRRSV protected pigs against virulent viral challenge

In this study, pigs were either unvaccinated or vaccinated with PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL and challenged using a virulent heterologous PRRSV MN184. Clinically, unvaccinated pigs developed typical PRRS symptoms with fever, mild cough, reduced food intake, and lethargy during first 2 wks post-challenge. Vaccinated, MN184 challenged pigs did not suffer from clinical PRRS. The mean body temperature of unvaccinated, PRRSV challenged pigs \((n = 6)\) until DPC 30 was 0.3 °F higher compared to vaccinated pigs. Pigs vaccinated and challenged with MN184 had significant increase in the net body weight gain compared to control challenged pigs (Fig. 1A). Unvaccinated, MN184 challenged pigs had severe gross lung lesions on both ventral and dorsal surfaces (Fig. 1B), and the lung lesion scores were significantly higher at DPC 15 and DPC 30 compared to vaccinated challenged pigs (Fig. 1C).

Fig. 2. Reduced viremia and PRRSV titer in mucosally vaccinated and MN184 challenged pigs. Experimental details were as described in legend to Fig. 1. Serum collected at indicated DPC were analyzed for \(A\) total PRRS viral load; \(B\) PRRS viral titer; by a standard immunofluorescence assay. Each bar represents the average of six pigs ± SEM at DPC 15 and 30, and three pigs ± SEM at DPC 60. Asterisk denotes a statistically significant difference \((P < 0.05)\) between unvaccinated vs. vaccinated and PRRSV challenged pigs.
Protection in mucosally vaccinated pigs against PRRSV challenge was associated with a significantly reduced viral load (Fig. 2A) and viral titer (Fig. 2B) at DPC 15. The circulating PRRSV in the blood was less but not statistically significant at DPC 30 in vaccinated pigs, and it was almost cleared by DPC 60 in both the pig groups (Fig. 2A).

3.2. Enhanced neutralizing antibody and reduced immunosuppressive cytokine response in the blood of mucosally vaccinated pigs

PRRSV neutralizing antibody titers in serum at different time points until DPC 60 were analyzed. A significant increase in the VN titers in vaccinated and MN184 challenged pigs was detected (Fig. 3A). We found a significant difference in the VN titers in vaccinated pigs at DPC 7, 21, and 35 when the titer was compared at each DPC. In mucosally vaccinated, PRRSV-challenged pigs, there was a significant reduction in serum IL-10 levels at DPC 14, 21, and 28 (Fig. 3B). Similarly, TGF-β serum levels were also significantly reduced but at a later stage of challenge (DPC 42 and 60) (Fig. 3C). Overall, both the immunosuppressive cytokines IL-10 and TGF-β were detected at reduced levels in vaccinated pigs (Fig. 3B and C).

### Table 1

| Immune cells   | Mocka | Unvaccinatedb | Vaccinatedb |
|----------------|-------|---------------|-------------|
|                | 15    | 30            | 60          |
|                | 15    | 30            | 60          |
| **A. Lung MNC** |       |               |             |
| T lymphocytes  | 29 ± 0.3 | 21.9 ± 5.0 | 19.5 ± 4.0 |
| Th cells       | 25.9 ± 0.7 | 6.4 ± 4.7 | 13.3 ± 1.3 |
| CTLs           | 25.0 ± 2.9 | ND           | 40.5 ± 3.9 |
| Th/memory cells | 12.10 ± 1.8 | 15.4 ± 1.5 | ND          |
| Dendritic cells | 10.6 ± 5.4 | 5.2 ± 1.7 | ND          |
| Myeloid cells  | 71.4 ± 5.3 | 30.2 ± 2.3 | ND          |
| γδ T cells     | 6.9 ± 0.6 | 16.2 ± 2.2 | ND          |
| T-regulatory cells | 12.5 ± 2.8 | ND           | 8.2 ± 2.2 |
| **B. PBMC**    |       |               |             |
| Th cells       | 18.8 ± 0.9 | ND           | 9.3 ± 4.7  |
| Activated Th cells | 5.2 ± 0.1 | ND           | 7.8 ± 0.4  |
| NK cells       | 5.2 ± 0.1 | ND           | 14.8 ± 2.6 |
| Dendritic cells | 4.4 ± 3.1 | ND           | 3.5 ± 1.5  |
| γδ T cells     | 21.2 ± 2.3 | ND           | 14.8 ± 3.9 |
| T-regulatory cells | 9.5 ± 1.6 | ND           | 17.4 ± 0.9 |
| **C. TBLN MNC** |       |               |             |
| T lymphocytes  | 34.7 ± 11.7 | 23.2 ± 2.3 | 16.2 ± 3.1 |
| Th cells       | 37.8 ± 4.7 | ND           | 17.7 ± 1.3 |
| Activated Th cells | 13.6 ± 4.9 | ND           | 15.9 ± 1.0 |
| γδ T cells     | 5.1 ± 0.2 | ND           | 16.4 ± 3.9 |
| T-regulatory cells | 6.8 ± 3.7 | ND           | 6.9 ± 1.6  |

Each number is an average percent of immune cells from three or four pigs ± SEM. Asterisk indicates a statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) between unvaccinated vs. vaccinated pig groups. ND = no difference in the immune cell frequency between unvaccinated vs. vaccinated pigs.

a Four control mock pigs (5–6 wks of age) were euthanized separately.
b Three each of unvaccinated or vaccinated and then challenged were euthanized at DPC 15, 30, and 60. Different immune cell subsets present in lung MNC, PBMC, and TBLN MNC were enumerated by flow cytometry.

c CD172a cells were gated to enumerate CD11c and SLA II expression and the percent of triple positive (CD172a+CD11c+SLA II) cells are shown.
d CD25+ cells were gated to enumerate CD4 and Foxp3 expression and the percent of triple positive (CD4+CD25+Foxp3+) cells are shown.

Information regarding secretion of cytokines by pig MNC after PRRSV restimulation ex vivo is important to understand virus-specific memory immune responses. Consistent with the reduced lung lesions and viremia, a significantly increased frequency of IFN-γ-
secretion of cytokines. Experimental details were as described in legend to Fig. 1, and the data from only DPC 15 pigs is shown. (A) PBMC was restimulated in the presence of killed MN184 antigens and the IFN-γ-secreting cells were analyzed by the ELISPOT assay. Supernatants harvested from lung MNC and PBMC cultures restimulated using killed MN184 antigens or PRRSV recombinant matrix (M3) protein were analyzed for cytokines: (B) IL-10; (C) IL-6 by ELISA. Each bar represents the average number of IFN-γ-secreting cells in PBMC of vaccinated, PRRSV challenged pigs at DPC 15 (data not shown). Such a trend was noted for IFN-γ-secreting cells in the lungs at DPC 15 (data not shown) with a concomitant reduction in IL-6-secretion by lung MNC (Fig. 4C). The extended clinical protection in vaccinated PRRSV challenged pigs was indicated by an increased trend in the secretion of IFN-γ with a concomitant reduction in IL-10 and TGF-β secretion by lung MNC and PBMC at both DPC 30 and 60 (data not shown).

3.4. Frequencies of lymphoid and myeloid cells in mucosally vaccinated and PRRSV challenged pigs correlated with the cytokine responses

Evaluation of the frequency of various immune cells at both mucosal (lung and TBLN MNC) and systemic sites (PBMC) in vaccinated and virulent PRRSV challenged pigs is important for associating cytokine responses. At DPC 15, a significant increase in the frequency of dendritic cells (DCs) and an increased frequency of Th cells, Th/memory cells, and γδ T cells were detected in the lungs of vaccinated, virus challenged pigs (Table 1A). In addition, in both PBMC and TBLN MNC, a significant increase in the frequency of activated Th cells was detected at DPC 15 (Table 1B and C).

At DPC 30, a 50% reduction in the frequency of T-regulatory cells (Tregs) in the lungs and TBLN in vaccinated, virus challenged pigs was detected (Table 1A and C). In blood and TBLN, the frequency of Th cells was significantly increased in vaccinated pigs (Table 1B and C). At DPC 60, a significant decrease in the frequency of Tregs in both lungs and blood was detected (Table 1A and B). In addition, a significantly increased frequency of DCs in the blood was detected in vaccinated and PRRSV MN184 challenged pigs at DPC 60 (Table 1B).

3.5. Protective anti-PRRSV specific humoral and cell-mediated immune responses to PRRS-MLV were mediated by Mtb WCL

To strengthen our data on the superior adjuvanticity of Mtb WCL to PRRS-MLV, we performed challenge studies in pigs vaccinated intranasally with PRRS-MLV in the presence or absence of Mtb WCL. PRRSV specific VN titers were detected at significantly higher levels at DPC 14 and 21 in pigs vaccinated with PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL compared to PRRS-MLV alone (Fig. 5A). Virus neutralizing antibody titers were present at low levels in pigs vaccinated with PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL from DPI 21 (DPC 0), but not in pig groups receiving PRRS-MLV alone (Fig. 5A).

In support of adjuvant Mtb WCL mediated enhanced cell mediated immune (CMI) responses to PRRS, lung MNC of pigs vaccinated with Mtb WCL secreted significantly higher amounts of IFN-γ following restimulation using MN184 Ags (Fig. 5B). Another Th1 response inducing cytokine IL-12 was also secreted at significantly higher levels in a Mtb WCL dependent manner in vaccinated pigs (Fig. 5C). As expected, the immunosuppressive cytokine, IL-10 was secreted at significantly reduced levels in pigs vaccinated with Mtb WCL compared those pigs vaccinated without Mtb WCL following challenge with PRRSV MN184 (Fig. 5D).

Frequency of immune cell populations was also evaluated at both mucosal and systemic sites between these two pig groups to determine the Mtb WCL mediated adjuvant effects. In lung MNC, a significant increase in the frequency of Th cells, activated Th cells, and NK cells was detected in challenged pigs vaccinated with PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL compared pigs vaccinated with PRRS-MLV alone (Table 2A). In PBMC, a significant increase in the frequency of γδ T cells was detected in PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL inoculated pigs (Table 2B). As expected, the frequency of Tregs was significantly reduced in both PBMC and TBLN MNC of pigs inoculated with vaccine with Mtb WCL compared to vaccine alone (Table 2B and C). Overall, data from these particular pig groups combination study demonstrated the superior adjuvanticity of the mucosal adjuvant Mtb WCL to PRRS-MLV.

4. Discussion

Until now, as per our knowledge, no successful attempts have been made to elicit effective anti-PRRSV immunity by intranasal delivery of live PRRSV vaccine. Viruses evade the host immunity
a standard immunofluorescence assay. Supernatants harvested from lung MNC and (A) Serum collected at indicated DPC were analyzed for PRRSV specific VN titers by vaccinated pigs were challenged with MN184 at DPI 21 and euthanized at DPC 30.

Inoculated intranasally with mock, PRRS-MLV, or PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL and then challenged with PRRSV MN184.

Protective anti-PRRSV specific humoral and cell-mediated immune responses to PRRS-MLV were mediated by WCL. Pigs (three each) were inoculated intranasally with mock, PRRS-MLV, or PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL and then vaccinated pigs were challenged with MN184 at DPI 21 and euthanized at DPC 30. Different immune cell subsets present in lung MNC, PBMC, and TBLN MNC were enumerated by flow cytometry.

By promoting secretion of IL-10 and TGF-β which antagonize the protective Th1 immune response [43], PRRSV induces a strong immunosuppressive response resulting in the delayed onset of cell mediated immune responses [6,43–45]. Both live and inactivated PRRSV significantly increase IL-10 gene expression [45]. An increased concentration of IL-10 in pig lungs was detected from PRRSV-infected pigs for long periods of time [6,43]. Nonetheless, expression of both IL-10 and TGF-β genes was also increased in pigs vaccinated against PRRSV by a systemic route [46]. In our pre-challenge study, increased secretion of both IL-10 and TGF-β in both the lungs and blood of pigs vaccinated intranasally with PRRS-MLV without Mtb WCL was detected [27]. In contrast, in both pre- and post-challenge studies when PRRS-MLV was inoculated intranasally with Mtb WCL, secretion of both IL-10 and TGF-β was suppressed.

Infiltration of Tregs into the infected pig lungs contributes to the secretion of high levels of IL-10 and TGF-β [47]. The role of Tregs in establishment of chronic persistent HIV, hepatitis C and B viruses, cytomegalovirus, and Epstein–Barr virus infections has been reported [48–50]. Like in mice and humans, Foxp3-expressing CD4+CD25+ cells with comparable immunosuppressive properties have been identified in pigs [38]. Studies have been reported on the PRRSV mediated proliferation of Tregs in infected pigs, indicating their involvement in disease progression [51–53]. In our study, a consistently reduced frequency of Tregs in the lungs, blood, and TBLN of pigs vaccinated intranasally with PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL was detected which was associated with reduced secretion of both the immunosuppressive cytokines, IL-10 and TGF-β. Co-ordinated immunosuppressive functions of IL-10, TGF-β, and Tregs have been

| Immune cells |
|-------------|
| A. Lung MNC |
| Th cells |
| 18.3 ± 4.2 |
| CILs |
| 17.5 ± 3.8 |
| Activated Th cells |
| 3.4 ± 1.4 |
| NK cells |
| 9.6 ± 1.9 |
| γδ T cells |
| 7.6 ± 0.2 |
| T-regulatory cells |
| 2.3 ± 0.2 |
| B. PBMC |
| CILs |
| 12.2 ± 2.5 |
| Activated Th cells |
| 5.2 ± 1.9 |
| NK cells |
| 16.6 ± 2.8 |
| γδ T cells |
| 21.2 ± 2.3 |
| Dendritic cells |
| 6.7 ± 3.6 |
| T-regulatory cells |
| 6.3 ± 3.7 |
| C. TBLN MNC |
| Activated Th cells |
| 13.6 ± 4.9 |
| T-regulatory cells |
| 5.7 ± 1.6 |

Each number is an average percent of immune cells from three pigs ± SEM. Asterisk indicates a statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) between PRRS-MLV vs. PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL and PRRSV challenged pigs.

* Three each of indicated vaccine inoculated and virus challenged pigs were euthanized separately.

† Three mock (5–6 wks of age) pigs were euthanized separately.

CD3+ and CD3– cells were gated to enumerate CD4 and CD8 expression.

CD172+ cells were gated to enumerate CD11c and SLAII expression and the percent of triple positive (CD172+CD11c+SLAII+) cells are shown.

CD25+ cells were gated to enumerate CD4 and Foxp3 expression and the percent of triple positive (CD4+CD25+Foxp3+) cells are shown.

Img 5. Protective anti-PRRSV specific humoral and cell-mediated immune responses to PRRS-MLV were mediated by Mtb WCL. Pigs (three each) were inoculated intranasally with mock, PRRS-MLV, or PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL and then vaccinated pigs were challenged with MN184 at DPI 21 and euthanized at DPC 30. (A) Serum collected at indicated DPC were analyzed for PRRSV specific VN titers by a standard immunofluorescence assay. Supernatants harvested from lung MNC and
reported [54,55]. PRRSV increases the expression of TGF-β from myeloid cells, and TGF-β is essential for the de novo induction of Foxp3 and for the regulation of differentiation and function of Tregs in mice, humans, and pigs [52,56].

All the mucosal sites are interconnected by a common mucosal immune system, and immunization at one primary site will stimulate proliferation and migration of antigen-specific lymphocytes, resulting in both mucosal and systemic immunity [57]. Virus neutralizing antibodies play an important role in the clearance of PRRS virus [58,59]. Like in natural PRRS infection, the PRRSV vaccine also induced delayed neutralizing antibody and CMI responses in pigs [60,61]. However, an increase in the PRRSV-VN titers with a concomitant reduction in PRRSV load in pigs vaccinated with PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL and challenged with MN184 was detected in our study. This suggests that reduced viremia detected from vaccinated pigs correlates with increased PRRSV specific VN titers.

Apart from an effective humoral response, a potent CMI response is essential for complete viral clearance. The key cytokine associated with a host CMI response is IFN-γ produced by NK cells, γδ T cells, Th cells, CTLs, and Th/memory cells [62]. In our study, reduced clinical PRRS and viremia in pigs vaccinated with PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL were associated with increased frequency of IFN-γ-secreting cells and increased levels of activated Th cells, memory/Th cells, and NK cells in both the lungs and blood. CD4CD8 double positive T cells possess memory, T-helper, and cytolytic properties. They are generally called Th/memory cells, and they also secrete IFN-γ [41,42]. This important T cell subset was associated with protection in pigs vaccinated against pseudorabies virus [41,42].

Recently, recombinant BCG based respiratory syncitial virus vaccine induced enhanced recruitment of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells into the lungs resulting in increased Th1 cytokine responses and protection [63]. The in vivo adjuvant effects of the water soluble fraction of Mtb WCL containing HSP70 resulted in rapid and prolonged activation of antigen-specific CD8+ T cells [21]. Consistent with that in our study, Mtb WCL-mediated, increased frequency of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells with reduced frequency of Tregs was detected. Importantly, this was associated with enhanced secretion of Th1 cytokines (IFN-γ) and downregulated secretion of immunosuppressive (IL-10 and TGF-β) cytokines.

The pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-6 is critical for induction of specific adaptive immunity [37,64], but excess secretion of IL-6 results in inflammation-induced pathology [6]. In our pre-study challenge, increased secretion of IL-6 in the lungs and blood of PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL inoculated pigs at DPV 7 and 15 was associated with enhanced PRRSV specific CMI responses [27]. In contrast, in the current study, reduced gross lung lesions observed in vaccinated, MN184 challenged pigs were associated with reduced secretion of IL-6. These data suggest that adjuvant Mtb WCL mediated regulated secretion of IL-6 might play a role in inducing a PRRSV-specific CMI response.

Pigs possess a higher frequency of γδ T cells and these cells are considered to be an important innate immune cell at mucosal sites. In addition, pigs have non-MHC class I cytolytic activity against PRRSV infection [65]. In mucosally vaccinated and PRRSV challenged pigs, increased frequency of γδ T cells in blood, lungs, and TBLN that is mediated by Mtb WCL was detected, suggesting a possible protective role played by γδ T cells to PRRSV MN184 in these pigs.

In summary, mucosal immunization of pigs using a live PRRSV vaccine along with a potent adjuvant has the potential to induce heightened innate and adaptive immune responses, with a concomitant reduction in immunosuppressive responses. Thus, based on immune correlates of protection detected against virulent heterologous PRRSV MN184 in pigs intranasally vaccinated with PRRS-MLV+ Mtb WCL, it may be possible to achieve an effective cross-protective immunity against PPRS. Our future aim will be to conduct field trials to evaluate the efficacy of our mucosal immunization approach to control PRRS.
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