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HIGHLIGHTS

• COVID-19 pandemic has limited impacts on the occurrence of disinfection byproducts.
• Elevated concentrations of pharmaceuticals were detected after the lockdown.
• COVID-19 related pharmaceuticals may pose risks to aquatic species.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

In this study, concentrations of disinfection byproducts (DBPs) and COVID-19 related pharmaceuticals in wastewater effluents and surface water were measured two weeks, three months and eight months after the lockdown in Wuhan. Little temporal variation in DBP concentrations suggested intensified disinfection during the COVID-19 pandemic had limited impacts on the occurrence of DBPs in the aquatic environment. In contrast, the pandemic led to a significant increase in concentrations of lopinavir and ritonavir in wastewater effluents and surface water. The high detection frequency of these pharmaceuticals in surface water after the lockdown highlighted their mobility and persistence in the aquatic environment. The initial ecological risk assessment indicated moderate risks associated with these pharmaceuticals in surface water. As the global situation is still rapidly evolving with a continuous surge in the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases, our results suggest a pressing need for monitoring COVID-19 related pharmaceuticals as well as a systematic evaluation of their ecotoxicities in the aquatic environment.
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1. Introduction

The outbreak of the coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) caused by the Severe Acute Respiratory Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has resulted in unprecedented damage to human health and the global economy (Sarkodie and Owusu, 2021; Tisdell, 2020). As of August 12th 2021, WHO reports that there have been 204,644,849 confirmed cases of COVID-19 and the global situation is still rapidly evolving with a continuous surge in the number of confirmed cases (WHO, 2021). While most scientific studies focus on virus transmission (Chan et al., 2020; Q. Li et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2020) and deactivation (Nardell and Nathavitharan, 2020; Wang et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020), the emerging impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on the aquatic environment due to the excessive use of disinfectants and COVID-19 related pharmaceuticals are of increasing concern and merit further investigation (Bandala et al., 2021; Chu et al., 2021).

Intensified disinfection procedures are performed during wastewater treatment and sanitation of healthcare facilities, streets and buildings during the COVID-19 pandemic in China, mainly using chlorine-based disinfectants (Chu et al., 2021; Li et al., 2021). For example, large quantities of chlorine-containing solutions were sprayed in streets and public places to prevent the spread of SARS-CoV-2 virus during Wuhan’s lockdown. Elevated dose of chlorine was also applied to disinfect municipal wastewater (J. Li et al., 2020). Excessive use of chlorine-based disinfectants could possibly lead to the release of chlorine residual into surface water. The concentrations of chlorine residual were reported to increase up to 0.4 mg/L in some lakes in China during February and March 2020 (Yin et al., 2020). Chlorine residual entering natural water bodies can react with natural organic matter (NOM), forming a suite of disinfection byproducts (DBPs) including regulated trihalomethanes (THMs) and haloacetic acids (HAAs) (Wang et al., 2017) as well as unregulated but more toxic species such as haloacetaldehydes (HALs), haloacetonitriles (HANs), and halonitromethanes (HNMs) (Furst et al., 2019). The presence of chlorine residual and DBPs in surface water could adversely impact aquatic organisms (Fisher et al., 1999; Richardson et al., 2007). Two recent studies reported the presence of DBPs in surface water during the COVID-19 pandemic (Li et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021). However, with limited information existing on the DBP concentrations in surface water before the COVID-19, it is difficult to evaluate the impacts of elevated disinfectant use on DBP occurrence based on these data.

In addition to DBPs, the release of COVID-19 related pharmaceuticals into surface water is a pressing concern. Since the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, several therapeutic drugs have been used to treat the ever-growing number of COVID-19 patients (C. Liu et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020). A large portion of these drugs are excreted from human body and subsequently discharged unchanged into wastewater (Liu and Wong, 2013; Nannou et al., 2020). As conventional wastewater treatment plants are not specifically designed for removing these compounds (Rodriguez–Narvaez et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2007), an enormous amount of drug residues are expected to be released into receiving surface water as the number of COVID-19 infected and hospitalized cases peaks. The significant presence of pharmaceuticals in surface water likely poses high risks to aquatic ecosystems due to their biological activity and persistence in the environment (Godoy and Kummrow, 2017; Huang et al., 2021; Nannou et al., 2020). Chen et al. investigated the post-pandemic occurrence of a wide range of pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) in surface water two months after Wuhan’s lockdown and reported that ribavirin and azithromycin had higher detection frequencies and concentrations than historically reported (Chen et al., 2021). However, these values can hardly represent the level of pharmaceutical concentrations during the lockdown, as the persistence of many PPCPs in the aquatic environment is generally on the order of several weeks (Huang et al., 2021; Kuroda et al., 2021). Up to now, limited information is available on the occurrence of COVID-19 related pharmaceuticals in surface water, which is a significant knowledge gap requiring further exploration.

Wuhan experienced a 76-day city lockdown from January 23rd, 2020 to April 8th, 2020 in great efforts to curb the spread of SARS-CoV-2 virus along with unprecedented mass use of disinfectants and pharmaceuticals. While the collection of water samples was not allowed during the lockdown period, this study was aimed to investigate the occurrence and temporal variation of disinfection byproducts and pharmaceuticals in domestic wastewater and surface water after the lockdown in Wuhan. To this end, three sampling events were conducted: (1) two weeks after the lockdown when there were a few hospitalized COVID-19 patients and ongoing intensified disinfection during wastewater treatment and sanitation of healthcare facilities, streets and public areas; (2) three months after the lockdown when there were no COVID-19 patients but ongoing intensified disinfection for healthcare facilities and wastewater treatment plants; (3) eight months after the lockdown which could represent conditions before the outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic as no infected cases were reported over the past six months and disinfection practices returned to the normal level (Hubei Province, 2021). The outcome of this study fills the knowledge gap about the occurrence of COVID-19 related pharmaceuticals in surface water and provides information to evaluate the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on the aquatic environment regarding DBPs and pharmaceuticals. Based on the measured concentrations, the ecological risk of COVID-19 related pharmaceuticals in surface water is assessed.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample collection

Three sampling events were conducted on April 24th (two weeks after the lockdown), July 9th (three months after the lockdown) and December 10th (eight months after the lockdown) in Wuhan. Duplicate grab samples were taken from effluents and 1 km downstream of two large municipal wastewater treatment plants and 13 sampling sites located in five rivers and four lakes in the morning and in the afternoon to capture the daily water quality variation (Fig. S1). The flow rates and water levels of the rivers and lakes during the three sampling events are provided in Table S1. The two wastewater treatment plants are operating at capacities of approximately 0.5 and 0.6 million m$^3$/d, respectively. One wastewater treatment plant applies chlorination for disinfection while the other uses ultraviolet (UV) irradiation and chlorination. Wuhan features a subtropical monsoon climate with 15.8 °C–17.5 °C mean annual temperature, with rainy season occurring in June and July. To minimize the effect of rainfall, all three sampling events were conducted when no or little precipitation (<4 mm/day) was observed in the previous seven days (Fig. S2). Still, it is worth noting that samples collected during the second sampling event was likely to be more diluted than the others. General water quality parameters are detailed in Table S2. Wastewater influent samples were not collected in this study due to the possible presence of SARS-CoV-2 without proper disinfection or dilution. Water samples were collected into amber glass bottles without headspace and transported on ice to the laboratory within 24 h. Certain sample bottles contained ascorbic acid to quench chlorine residuals and 1 N sulfuric acid was added to acidify samples for DBP analysis (Zeng et al., 2016). Samples were then filtered through 0.45 μm glass fiber filters in the laboratory and stored at 4 °C for subsequent analysis.

2.2. Analytical methods

Duplicate samples, together with laboratory and field blanks, were extracted for DBP and pharmaceutical analysis. A total of 21 halogenated DBPs in six classes including the four regulated trihalomethanes (THM4), nine haloacetic acids (HAAs), four haloacetonitriles (HANs), one haloacetaldehydes (HAL: trichloroacetaldehyde (TCAL)), two haloketones (HKs) and one halonitromethane (HNM: trichloronitromethane (chloropicrin; TCNM)) were extracted by
modified US EPA Methods 551.1 (US EPA, 1995) and 552.3 (US EPA, 2003) and analyzed by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) with 0.2 μg/L method reporting limits as described in previous studies (Chuang and Mitch, 2017; Szczuka et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). Three pharmaceuticals recommended in the treatment plan for the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) of China including lopinavir, ritonavir and chloroquine were extracted by solid phase extraction (SPE) and analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography—triple quadrupole mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS; Nexera XR LC-20AD HPLC system coupled to an ABSciex QTrap 5500 MS) in the multiple reaction monitoring mode (MRM) with 1 ng/L reporting limits. Additional analytical details are provided in Text S1.

2.3. Ecological risk assessment

In this study, the risk quotients (RQs) of COVID-19 related pharmaceuticals were calculated to estimate their ecological risks (Godoy et al., 2018; Li et al., 2021). RQ is derived from the ratio between the measured environmental concentration (MEC) of individual pharmaceutical and its predicted no-effect concentration (PNEC) based on Eq. (1). The concentrations of pharmaceuticals below the method reporting limits (<1 ng/L) were treated as zero to avoid incorrect conclusion and bias. As experiment-based ecotoxicity data was only available for chloroquine (Zurita et al., 2005), chronic ecotoxicity data of lopinavir and ritonavir were obtained from ECOSAR V2.0, a computer program used for structure-activity relationship prediction of aquatic toxicity (Table S5) (US EPA, 2020). The lowest ecotoxicity value of each compound towards three taxonomic groups (daphnia, algae and fish) was chosen for a conservative estimate and divided by the assessment factor (AF) of 1000 to calculate the PNEC according to previous studies (Escher et al., 2011; Kuroda et al., 2021).

\[
RQ = \frac{\text{MEC}}{\text{PNEC}}
\]

(1)

3. Results and discussion

3.1. DBPs

Fig. 1 and Table S6 illustrate the total concentrations of the 21 measured DBPs in wastewater effluents and surface water after the lockdown in Wuhan. Although intensified disinfection was performed during wastewater treatment until three months after the lockdown as indicated by the elevated chlorine residual in wastewater effluents (Table S2), DBP concentrations were generally similar two weeks, three months and eight months after the lockdown with the average concentrations of 55.9 μg/L, 74.0 μg/L and 56.9 μg/L, respectively. DBP concentrations measured two weeks after the lockdown were within the range of data reported in a recent study that collected samples around the same time (Li et al., 2021). Higher DBP concentrations measured three months after the lockdown were attributed to elevated DOC values occurred in the wastewater effluents (Table S2). The relative proportions of different DBP classes in wastewater effluents were fairly consistent across three sampling events (Fig. S3). THMs (43%–57%) and HAAs (22%–38%) dominated DBP speciation, followed by haloacetaldehydes (7.5%–11.0%), haloacetonitriles (2.4%–7.8%), and haloketones (2.4%–5.1%). This trend was consistent with previous studies investigating DBP occurrence in wastewater effluents and drinking waters (Chuang et al., 2019; Krasner et al., 2009; Richardson et al., 1999). DBP concentrations decreased rapidly over short distance (1 km) downstream of wastewater discharge points with the concentrations ranging from 5.9 μg/L to 21.7 μg/L. Higher loss was observed for THMs (83%–94%) than HAAs (58%–79%) since THMs exhibit higher volatilization and adsorption rates in the aquatic environment compared with HAAs (Jin et al., 2012). DBP concentrations occurred in surface water were much lower than those in wastewater effluents. The average concentrations of DBPs in surface water were 1.9 μg/L, 0.7 μg/L and 1.2 μg/L two weeks, three months and eight months after the lockdown, respectively, with detection frequencies varying from 38% to 54%. DBP concentrations observed three months after the lockdown were likely to be underestimated due to the dilution by rainwater. THMs and HAAs were the two dominant species accounting for >90% of the total DBP concentrations. DBPs especially volatile species such as THMs, HANs and HNMs, decay fast in the aquatic environment due to the coexistence of many mechanisms (e.g., volatilization, hydrolysis, adsorption, photolysis, and biodegradation) (Jin et al., 2012) and the occurrence of DBPs in natural water bodies is generally assumed to be insignificant. The low concentrations of DBPs detected in this study were consistent with recent studies reporting DBPs in surface water during the COVID-19 pandemic (Li et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021). While disinfection practices returned to the normal level eight months after the lockdown, the similar level of DBP concentrations observed two weeks and three months after the lockdown suggests that the intensified disinfection did not result in a significant increase in DBP concentrations in surface water, likely due to a combined effect of dilution and fast decay rates of DBPs in natural watersheds.

3.2. Pharmaceuticals

The concentrations of three pharmaceuticals recommended in the China’s COVID-19 treatment plan including lopinavir, ritonavir and
chloroquine (X. Liu et al., 2020) were determined in this study. While chloroquine was below its method reporting limit (<1 ng/L), concentrations of lopinavir and ritonavir in wastewater effluents and surface water two weeks, three months and eight months after the lockdown are depicted in Fig. 2 and Table 1. The concentrations measured eight months after the lockdown served as a benchmark to evaluate the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on the environmental occurrence of pharmaceuticals in this study since there were no infected cases over the past six months and the persistence of these compounds in aquatic environment is on the order of several weeks without continuous inputs (Huang et al., 2021; Kuroda et al., 2021). Elevated pharmaceutical concentrations were detected two weeks after the lockdown (45.8 ng/L) in wastewater effluents when there were a few remaining hospitalized COVID-19 patients compared to those observed three months (18.0 ng/L) and eight months (15.6 ng/L) after the lockdown. Lopinavir was the dominant specie contributing >80% to the total concentrations due to the higher doses administered in COVID-19 treatment (800 mg/person/day for lopinavir vs 200 mg/person/day for ritonavir) (Fig. S4) (WHO, 2020). In contrast to DBPs, moderate decreases in pharmaceutical concentrations were observed downstream of wastewater discharge points. The concentrations and detection frequencies of pharmaceuticals in surface water two weeks after the lockdown were also much higher than those detected three months and eight months after the lockdown, with concentrations ranging from 2.1 ng/L to 24.5 ng/L (average 8.9 ng/L). The detection of these compounds in surface water indicated their ability to transport over long distances from the point of emission (Huang et al., 2021). These measured concentrations were generally higher than predicted pharmaceutical concentrations in surface water during the COVID-19 reported in one recent study (lopinavir: 7.1 ng/L; ritonavir: 2.6 ng/L) (Kuroda et al., 2021). In contrast, pharmaceutical concentrations ranged from ND (not detectable, <1 ng/L) to 4.4 ng/L and ND to 2.8 ng/L three months and eight months after the lockdown with detection frequencies of ~20%. These results suggest that mass use of lopinavir and ritonavir during the pandemic could lead to an increase in their concentrations in wastewater effluents and even surface water. It is important to note that much higher pharmaceutical concentrations were expected during the lockdown when the number of COVID-19 infected and hospitalized cases peaked.

### 3.3. Ecological risk assessment

As lopinavir and ritonavir were widely detected in surface water two weeks after the lockdown, their risk quotients (RQs) were calculated as the ratios between the measured concentrations and predicted no-effect concentrations (PNECs) to assess their ecological risks (Fig. 3 and Table S7) (Godoy et al., 2018; Li et al., 2021). The risk is classified into four levels based on RQ values: RQ < 0.1, insignificant risk (no adverse effect expected); 0.1 < RQ < 1, low risk; 10 > RQ > 1, moderate risk (probable adverse effect); RQ > 10, high risk (adverse effect) (Aydin et al., 2019; Godoy et al., 2018). Previous studies have reported

### Table 1

Pharmaceutical concentrations measured in effluents and 1 km downstream of municipal wastewater treatment plants and surface water in Wuhan two weeks, three months and eight months after the lockdown.

| Pharmaceutical conc. (ng/L) | Wastewater eff. | Downstream | Surface water |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|
|                            | Average | Range     | Average | Range     | Average | Range     | DF%a  |
| Two weeks after the lockdown | Lopinavir | 41.0 | 29.2–52.7 | 31.5 | 12.9–50 | 4.7 | ND–14.5 | 77%  |
|                            | Ritonavir | 4.8 | 4.0–5.5 | 5.8 | 2.3–9.4 | 4.2 | 2.0–10.0 | 100% |
|                            | Chloroquine | NDb | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND  |
| Three months after the lockdown | Lopinavir | 15.5 | 14.3–16.6 | 8.2 | 2.4–14.0 | 0.5 | ND–4.0 | 15%  |
|                            | Ritonavir | 2.5 | 2.4–2.6 | ND | ND | ND | ND–2.0 | 15%  |
|                            | Chloroquine | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND  |
| Eight months after the lockdown | Lopinavir | 13.0 | 10.7–15.2 | 5.7 | 2.5–8.9 | 0.3 | 0–2.8 | 15%  |
|                            | Ritonavir | 2.6 | 2.5–2.8 | 1.8 | 0–3.5 | 0.1 | 0–1.2 | 8%   |
|                            | Chloroquine | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND  |

All the samples were analyzed in duplicate.

a DF: Detection Frequency.
b ND: Not Detectable.
EC50 values of chloroquine towards various aquatic species (Race et al., 2020; Rendal et al., 2011; Zurita et al., 2005). However, experiment-based ecotoxicity data was not available for lopinavir and ritonavir and thus was estimated by ECOSAR in this study (Table S5). Ritonavir showed higher chronic toxicity to aquatic organisms as indicated by its lower PNEC (2.5 ng/L) compared with lopinavir (4.5 ng/L). The PNECs were similar with those values reported in previous studies (Kuroda et al., 2021). The RQ values of lopinavir and ritonavir in surface water ranged from 0 to 3.2 (average 1.0) and from 0.7 to 3.4 (average 1.5) two weeks after the lockdown, respectively. Moderate risks were observed in around 50% of surface water resources two weeks after the lockdown. It is important to note that elevated RQ values were expected during the lockdown periods when infected and hospitalized cases peaked, causing much higher pharmaceutical concentrations in surface water. The RQ values of lopinavir and ritonavir dropped below 1 three months and eight months after the lockdown. While the results highlight the ecological risks associated with these pharmaceuticals in surface water, there were some limitations in the initial ecological risk assessment conducted in this study. The RQ values were calculated using estimated values not measured toxicity data and the ecological risk of each pharmaceutical was assessed independently. Furthermore, the metabolites of these pharmaceuticals were not considered. Although chloroquine was not detected, the environmental risks of its metabolites are still worthy of further research.

4. Conclusions

The emerging impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on the aquatic environment remain unelucidated at present and require further investigation. In this study, temporal variation in concentrations of DBPs and COVID-19 related pharmaceuticals occurring in wastewater effluents and surface water was determined two weeks, three months and eight months after the lockdown in Wuhan. Due to limited information available on the occurrence of DBPs and pharmaceuticals before the pandemic, concentrations measured eight months after the lockdown were used to represent pre-pandemic levels. The similar level of DBP concentrations observed two weeks, three months and eight months after the lockdown suggests that intensified disinfection had limited impacts on the occurrence of DBPs in the aquatic environment. However, much higher concentrations of lopinavir and ritonavir were observed in both wastewater effluents and surface water two weeks after the lockdown when there were still COVID-19 patients. The detection of these pharmaceuticals in all 13 surface water resources raises concerns on their potential ecotoxicological effects to aquatic lives. The initial ecological risk assessment conducted in this study indicates moderate risks associated with these pharmaceuticals present in surface water. The presence of pharmaceuticals in the surface water is alarming since pharmaceutical concentrations are expected to increase significantly during the lockdown periods when the number of infected cases is dozens of times higher, exacerbating the ecological risks. As many other pharmaceutical drugs have been used to counteract the effects of COVID-19 and consumption of pharmaceuticals varies significantly depending on the phases of the pandemic, more comprehensive studies are needed to monitor these compounds and evaluate their ecotoxicities with measured toxicity data to fully understand the potential risks of these pharmaceuticals on the aquatic environment.
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