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CONSPECTUS: Our cellular genome is continuously exposed to a wide spectrum of exogenous and endogenous DNA damaging agents. These agents can lead to formation of an extensive array of DNA lesions including single- and double-stranded breaks, inter- and intrastrand cross-links, abasic sites, and modification of DNA nucleobases. Persistence of these DNA lesions can be both mutagenic and cytotoxic, and can cause altered gene expression and cellular apoptosis leading to aging, cancer, and various neurological disorders. To combat the deleterious effects of DNA lesions, cells have a variety of DNA repair pathways responsible for restoring damaged DNA to its canonical form. Here we examine one of those repair pathways, the base excision repair (BER) pathway, a highly regulated network of enzymes responsible for repair of modified nucleobase and abasic site lesions.

The enzymes required to reconstitute BER in vitro have been identified, and the repair event can be considered to occur in two parts: (1) excision of the modified nucleobase by a DNA glycosylase, and (2) filling the resulting “hole” with an undamaged nucleobase by a series of downstream enzymes. DNA glycosylases, which initiate a BER event, recognize and remove specific modified nucleobases and yield an abasic site as the product. The abasic site, a highly reactive BER intermediate, is further processed by AP endonuclease 1 (APE1), which cleaves the DNA backbone 5’ to the abasic site, generating a nick in the DNA backbone. After action of APE1, BER can follow one of two subpathways, the short-patch (SP) or long-patch (LP) version, which differ based on the number of nucleotides a polymerase incorporates at the nick site. DNA ligase is responsible for sealing the nick in the backbone and regenerating undamaged duplex.

Not surprisingly, and consistent with the idea that BER maintains genetic stability, deficiency and/or inactivity of BER enzymes can be detrimental and result in cancer. Intriguingly, this DNA repair pathway has also been implicated in causing genetic instability by contributing to the trinucleotide repeat expansion associated with several neurological disorders. Within this Account, we outline the chemistry of the human BER pathway with a mechanistic focus on the DNA glycosylases that initiate the repair event. Furthermore, we describe kinetic studies of many BER enzymes as a means to understand the complex coordination that occurs during this highly regulated event. Finally, we examine the pitfalls associated with deficiency in BER activity, as well as instances when BER goes awry.

1. INTRODUCTION

DNA nucleobases are chemically reactive; this reactivity leads to a wide variety of nucleobase modifications that can occur by oxidation, alklylation, deamination, or hydrolysis. Indeed, more than 70 modified nucleobases have been identified in vitro, of which ~15 have been found in cellular DNA.1,2 Additionally, hydrolysis of the carbon–nitrogen bond that adjoins the nucleobase to the deoxyribose sugar, the glycosidic bond, results in loss of the nucleobase and formation of an abasic site. Examples of several modified nucleobases are shown in Figure 1.

Many of these DNA lesions are highly mutagenic when formed in cellular DNA, meaning they are mispaired by a DNA polymerase during replication. For example, 8-oxo-7,8-dihydroguanine (8oxoG), which should be paired with C during replication, as it is a lesion derived from G, can also be paired with A to form a Hoogsteen base pair.3 In addition, DNA lesions can be cytotoxic meaning that they cause a polymerase to stall and halt DNA replication, leading to cellular apoptosis.

Due to the extensive range of lesions formed, and the deleterious effects they can have, the ability to repair the damaged DNA is integral to genomic stability and cell viability. The BER pathway, comprising several enzymes including a DNA glycosylase, APE1, DNA polymerase, and DNA ligase, along with several accessory proteins, is responsible for recognizing and repairing these modified nucleobases and abasic sites. The proceeding sections describe the role(s) of each of the BER enzymes. In this Account, we focus on the BER pathway in humans, with homologous repair enzymes present in bacteria and yeast.

2. DNA GLYCOSYLASE

A DNA glycosylase initiates the BER pathway, and is responsible for recognizing and binding specific nucleobase lesions, and flipping the targeted nucleobase into the active site to catalyze cleavage of the glycosidic bond (Figure 2, STEP 1). There are at least 11 known human DNA glycosylases; some
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have activity on a variety of nucleobase lesions, others are specific for just one or two DNA lesions. Preferred substrate lesion(s) for each glycosylase are listed in Table 1.

The ability of a DNA glycosylase to find its substrate among the excess of unmodified nucleobases present in the genome has been likened to finding a needle in a haystack. Much research has been dedicated to understanding this process. Most models include short-range sliding along DNA, with the glycosylase probing and extruding individual nucleobases; in doing so, the glycosylase can identify its substrate(s) and catalyze cleavage of the glycosidic bond. Subsequent BER enzymes are also thought to employ a sliding mechanism, which allows for enzyme processivity; indeed, several DNA glycosylases have been shown to remove multiple DNA lesions during a single binding event.

Some glycosylases work on lesions in both single- and double-stranded DNA, and others only work on double-stranded DNA. Interestingly, some glycosylases have a preference for lesions in single-stranded bulged or bubble structures. It is also noteworthy that not all DNA glycosylases remove modified nucleobases. For example, MUTYH removes the A from a 8oxoG:A mispair. This activity prevents the point mutation that would result if 8oxoG were removed instead. Furthermore, there are glycosylases that work on canonical DNA nucleobases that are mispaired, for example, TDG, which removes T from T:G mispairs.

DNA glycosylases can be divided into two categories: monofunctional and bifunctional (Table 1). Monofunctional DNA glycosylases use an activated water molecule to hydrolyze the glycosidic bond, affording an abasic site product. Bifunctional DNA glycosylases utilize an amino group of the enzyme for nucleophilic attack and in addition to glycosidic bond cleavage, catalyze δ-elimination of the DNA backbone to the abasic site via formation of a Schiff base, creating a single-stranded break with 3′-α,β-unsaturated aldehyde and 5′-phosphate termini. Some bifunctional DNA glycosylases can also perform δ-elimination to yield a 3′-phosphate. Notably, it has been proposed that for some bifunctional glycosylases, the δ-elimination strand cleavage may be bypassed in vivo, with the subsequent BER enzyme, APE1, acting directly on the abasic site.

Figure 3 shows a proposed SN1(ΔG+) mechanism for DNA glycosylases in which nucleobase removal progresses through two oxocarbenium-ion-like transition states (TS) and a distinct oxocarbenium intermediate (Figure 3B). TS analysis of the E. coli homologues of UNG and MUTYH, UDG and MutY, respectively, has been performed using kinetic isotope effect (KIE) measurements. Examination of primary 15C and 15N KIEs, along with secondary deuterium and 15N KIEs, suggests a strongly dissociative TS with extensive oxocarbenium character for

![Figure 1. Examples of DNA lesions formed by oxidation, alkylation, deamination, and hydrolysis of canonical nucleobases. Shown are 8-oxoG (8-oxo-7,8-dihydroguanine), Tg (thymine glycol), 5,6DHU (5,6-dihydrouracil), hmU (hydroxymethyluracil), 5HC (5-hydroxy-5H-pyrimidin-4-one), 3meA (3-methyladenine), 7meA (7-methyladenine), 3meG (3-methylguanine), eA (1,N6-ethenoadenine), U (uracil), X (xanthine), Hx (hypoxanthine), ShU (5-hydroxyuracil), and an abasic site.](image-url)
17,18 KIE measurements for other glycosylases remain to be performed.

In addition to TS analysis by KIE measurements, electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) also support a DN mechanism. Using several *E. coli* monofunctional (AlkA, MutY) and bifunctional (Fpg, Nth) and human monofunctional (AAG, TDG) glycosylases, tight binding between a pyrrolidine abasic site analogue, which mimics the oxocarbenium intermediate, (Figure 3H) and the glycosylase was revealed.19,20 Interestingly, this binding event is strong enough to inhibit activity of many of the glycosylases on their prototypic substrate lesion(s). A more recent EMSA study using pyrrolidine abasic site analogues that mimic the two oxocarbenium TS (Figure 3G,I), demonstrated binding of bifunctional glycosylases Fpg, Nei, OGG1, and NEIL1 to mimics of both TS.21 Interestingly, OGG1 and NEIL1 displayed different binding preferences for the two TS mimics, suggesting alternate modes of recognition and catalysis for these bifunctional glycosylases.

**3. AP ENDONUCLEASE**

The enzyme following a DNA glycosylase in the BER pathway is AP endonuclease 1 (APE1). APE1, a Mg²⁺-dependent enzyme, is responsible for incising the DNA backbone at abasic sites, creating a nick with 3'-OH and 5'-deoxyribose phosphate (dRP) termini (Figure 2, STEP 2). Abasic sites are highly mutagenic and cytotoxic, and can also form protein–DNA and DNA–DNA cross-links.22 Therefore, repair of abasic sites by APE1 is critical in maintaining genomic integrity. For APE1, an activated water molecule has been implicated as the nucleophile for strand incision. A Mg²⁺ ion is also required; the divalent metal ion coordinates an oxygen of the 5'-phosphate, increasing its electrophilicity and also orienting the DNA backbone within the APE1 active site.23,24

**4. POLYMERASE β**

Polymerase β (pol β) follows APE1 in the BER pathway and has two catalytic functions: (1) it converts the 5′-dRP to a 5′-phosphate using its dRP lyase activity and (2) in a Mg²⁺-dependent reaction catalyzes incorporation of a single nucleotide to the 3′-OH of the nick (Figure 2, STEP 3).25 Nucleotide incorporation and dRP chemistry of pol β occur at separate active sites, although evidence suggests that both catalytic events occur during a single pol β/DNA binding event. Notably, the rate of dRP removal by pol β is 20-fold faster than incorporation, and therefore, it is postulated that dRP removal occurs prior to nucleotide incorporation.26

**5. DNA LIGASE**

The final step of the BER pathway is sealing of the nick in the backbone by a DNA ligase (Figure 2, STEP 4). Both DNA ligase I (Lig1) and DNA ligase III (Lig3) have been implicated in nick sealing by catalyzing formation of a phosphodiester bond between the 3′-OH of the newly incorporated nucleotide...
6. LONG-PATCH BER

The pathway described above is typically referred to as short-patch BER (SP-BER), in which pol β removes the S′-dRP group at the gap site and inserts a single nucleotide. Under conditions where the S′-dRP group is modified such that the dRP lyase activity of pol β is blocked, an alternate pathway, long-patch BER (LP-BER), is utilized (Figure 4).31,32 In LP-BER, multiple nucleotides are incorporated at the gap site by polymerase β, δ, or ε (Figure 4, STEP 3). The polymerase incorporates, on average, 2–6 nucleotides at the gap site but this number can increase depending on the lesion as well as the sequence context.33 The incorporation of multiple nucleotides at the gap site generates a displaced single-stranded flap of DNA, another key feature of LP-BER. This flap must be removed by flap endonuclease 1 (FEN1) (Figure 4, STEP 4) so that DNA ligase, Lig1 in LP-BER, can seal the nick (Figure 4, STEP 5). Importantly, an accessory protein, proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), has been implicated in binding and coordinating the activity of many LP-BER enzymes.34

7. KINETICS OF BER ENZYMES

Much of our knowledge about the chemistry and substrate specificity of each BER enzyme has been gathered from extensive kinetic studies. The catalytic pathway that an enzyme follows in converting substrate to product is represented by a minimal kinetic scheme, such as that shown in Figure 5 for glycosylases.35 Arrows represent distinct steps along the pathway and k represents the rate associated with that step. (Figure 5 is used as an example and represents the minimal kinetic scheme for some, but not all, BER enzymes). The number of reactions an enzyme can catalyze per unit of time, where reaction is defined as encompassing the entire kinetic scheme and converting the unbound substrate to unbound product, is represented by kcat. Therefore, kcat is defined by the slow rate-determining step (RDS) of the catalytic pathway. For most BER enzymes, kcat is defined by product release (k1 in Figure 5); as we examine below, this slow rate of product release is an important feature of BER enzymes, and may serve to coordinate individual steps of the pathway. The kcat of BER enzymes range from as slow as 0.05 min⁻¹ to as fast as 50 s⁻¹ (Table 2). Although kcat is useful for considering an individual BER enzyme, the best way to kinetically compare enzymes is to examine their catalytic efficiency, defined as kcat/KM (where KM represents the substrate concentration at which the enzyme has reached half maximal velocity). Because catalytic efficiency reflects both the rate at which the enzyme completes the entire catalytic cycle, together with how well the enzyme binds a particular substrate, this term best represents how efficiently an enzyme works. UNG is currently the most catalytically efficient BER enzyme known with kcat/KM of 500 s⁻¹ μM⁻¹ (Table 2).

In addition to catalytic efficiency, it is important to call attention to rate of chemistry, kchemistry (k2 in Figure 5) (i.e., cleavage of the DNA backbone by APE1 or insertion of a nucleotide by pol β). For most, if not all BER enzymes, kchemistry is much faster than kcat. For example, APE1 cleaves DNA at a rate ≥700 s⁻¹, making APE1 one of the fastest BER enzymes. Although kcat of APE1 is defined by product release and is quite slow, ~2 s⁻¹, this slow turnover may be overcome by its high copy number which is estimated to be 350 000–7 000 000 molecules per cell.36,37 Measuring rates of kchemistry not only provides an understanding of how fast each BER enzyme carries out its required task in BER, but also provides an understanding of substrate specificity. For instance, the rate of nucleotide insertion by pol β varies depending on the nucleotide inserted.38 Furthermore, for many BER enzymes, rates are affected by concentration of a required cofactor. A notable example is Lig1, which requires Mg²⁺ and ATP. At saturating concentrations of Mg²⁺, enzyme adenylation defines kcat.39
whereas at limiting concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$, nick-sealing defines \( k_{\text{cat}} \).

### 8. COORDINATION DURING SP- AND LP-BER

The BER pathway is a highly coordinated process. This coordination is evident in various kinetic studies, as well as by the presence of scaffold accessory proteins. As stated above, the RDS of many BER enzymes is product release. It has been postulated that such a kinetic scheme allows for hand-off of

---

**Table 2. Kinetic Parameters of BER Enzymes**

| enzyme | \( k_{\text{cat}} \) (s$^{-1}$) | \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_M \) (s$^{-1}$ μM$^{-1}$) | \( k_{\text{chemistry}} \) | ref |
|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| UNG    | 50 s$^{-1}$    | 500             | 115 s$^{-1}$   | 8, 70 |
| OGG1   | 0.05 min$^{-1}$ | 0.03            | 40 min$^{-1}$  | 71, 72 |
| APE1   | 2 s$^{-1}$     | 100             | ≥700 s$^{-1}$  | 36, 73–75 |
| polβ (insertion) | 0.45 s$^{-1}$ | 1.5             | 2–20 s$^{-1}$  | 38, 69 |
| polβ (dRP Lyase) | 0.075 s$^{-1}$ | 0.15            | 2 s$^{-1}$d    | 26, 76 |
| Lig1   | 0.04 s$^{-1}$  | 0.4             | 12 s$^{-1}$    | 27, 69 |

$^a$Rates determined at 37 °C. $^b$Portion of table adapted from ref 69. $^c$Rate of insertion depends on dNTP. $^d$Determined at 15 °C. Value represents the slow phase of a biphasic time course; the fast phase was too fast to measure.
DNA between enzymes of the BER pathway, and prevents exposure of mutagenic and cytotoxic repair intermediates. Such a hand-off, which has also been likened to “passing of a baton”, suggests a cascade of enzymes acting much like an assembly line. Furthermore, it is known that some BER enzymes stimulate slow product release of the enzyme that precedes it in the cascade. For example, APE1 stimulates the rate of product release of many DNA glycosylases,\(^{15,40−42}\) Likewise, Lig1 plays a role in regulating multinucleotide incorporation of pol \(\beta\) and \(\epsilon\),\(^{43}\) while FEN1 stimulates and coordinates dRP lyase activity of pol \(\beta\).\(^{44}\)

As an alternative to the “passing of a baton” scheme, it has also been proposed that participation of several scaffolding accessory proteins suggests formation of a preassembled BER complex.\(^{45}\) These scaffolds have no known catalytic function and are not required to reconstitute BER in vitro, but are necessary for efficient BER in vivo. The scaffold protein XRCC1 can bind APE1, pol \(\beta\), and Lig3, forming a complex at lesion sites during SP-BER.\(^{28}\) Furthermore, PCNA acts as a processivity clamp for pol \(\beta\), \(\delta\), and \(\epsilon\) to aid in nondissociative, accurate DNA replication.\(^{36,47}\) PCNA has been shown to complex with many BER enzymes, such as UNG, AAG, MUTYH, NEIL1, APE1, FEN1, and Lig1;\(^{48−51}\) accordingly, PCNA has been referred to as a docking station or communication point for such enzymes. Due to extensive interactions among BER enzymes, it has been proposed that a preassembled PCNA/BER enzyme complex slides along DNA searching for lesions.\(^{52}\) PARP1, poly [ADP-ribose] polymerase 1, has also been proposed to contribute to BER. PARP1 poly(ADP)-ribosylates several proteins, including itself, and has a defined role in sensing DNA single-strand breaks, but specific role(s) of PARP1 in BER remain unclear.\(^{53}\) It remains to be determined which model is followed in vivo, “passing of a baton” or a preassembled complex; it is possible that a combination of both is at work during SP- and LP-BER.

9. DEFICIENCY IN BER

The BER pathway is responsible for repair of many modified DNA nucleobase lesions, and deficiency and/or inactivity of any BER enzyme can have deleterious cellular outcomes. Deficiency or inactivity of DNA glycosylases can lead to various cancers. For instance, some mutations in the OGG1 gene, which inactivate the glycosylase, are linked to esophageal, lung squamous cell carcinomas, orolaryngeal, kidney, and gastric cancers. Similarly, mutations in MUTYH are linked to a form of colorectal cancer known as MUTYH-associated polyposis.\(^{54}\) This mutation leads to MUTYH variants that have decreased affinity and catalytic activity on 8oxoG:A mispairs.\(^{55}\) These are just two examples of several, in which inactivity of a DNA glycosylase leads to cancer. A single-nucleotide polymorphism in APE1 causes increased risk of colorectal cancer.\(^{56}\) Furthermore, APE1 activity is essential for cell viability.\(^{57,58}\) This requirement for APE1 is due to the fact that cells rely on APE1 for 95% of all endonuclease activity.\(^{59}\) In contrast, for DNA glycosylases, polymerases, and ligases, there can be substrate overlap and therefore another enzyme may be able to compensate for enzyme deficiency. Mice that produce \(\sim 50\%\) of normal levels of pol \(\beta\) have increased amounts of single-stranded breaks and chromosomal aberrations, and are hypersensitive to DNA damaging agents.\(^{60}\) Furthermore, mutations in pol \(\beta\) have been detected in \(\sim 30\%\) of tumors in humans.\(^{61}\) Interestingly, in conjunction with DNA damaging agents, APE1 and pol \(\beta\) are also targets for cancer therapy, with aim of inducing apoptosis in cancer cells by inhibiting APE1 or pol \(\beta\) activity.\(^{62,63}\)

10. WHEN BER GOES AWRY

Although BER is essential for genetic integrity, there are instances when initiation of BER contributes to genetic instability; in these instances, we consider that BER has gone awry. In particular, repair of 8oxoG in a CAG/CTG repeat sequence of the \textit{huntingtin} gene is linked to expansion of the sequence.\(^{64}\) This expansion is the molecular basis for Huntington’s disease. Thus, while BER of 8oxoG typically minimizes the point mutations cause by this modified nucleobase, when repair occurs in the CAG/CTG sequence context, genetic instability results.

Repair of 8oxoG in CAG/CTG repeat sequences has been shown to follow LP-BER, even in the absence of a modified 5’-dRP group.\(^{65}\) Pol \(\beta\) incorporates multiple nucleotides at the gap site, displacing a 5’-flap of CAG repeats. This 5’- flap can fold on itself, forming a hairpin structure that is refractory to cleavage by FEN1, but can be ligated by Lig1, leading to incorporation of excess CAG repeats. Furthermore, Gs in the incorporated CAG hairpin are a hotspot for damage, leading to formation of additional 8oxoG lesions.\(^{56}\) In addition to this accumulation of damage, OGG1 has reduced activity on 8oxoG within these CAG hairpins, leading to persistence of the lesion.\(^{66,67}\) Through DNA replication or nick-induced gap filling synthesis, the damage-containing hairpin can be reincorporated into duplex DNA, regenerating a substrate for BER. Thus, a toxic cycle is initiated in which DNA incrementally expands and is reoxidized.

11. FINAL REMARKS

In this Account, we highlight the enzymes involved in the BER pathway. While the substrate specificity and kinetic parameters of many of these enzymes have largely been defined, several questions remain in the field. For example, much remains unknown about the energetics associated with DNA glycosylases sliding and probing the genome for nucleobase damage, or how such mechanisms occur in the context of chromatin. Indeed, understanding mechanisms in which BER enzymes carry out chemistry on DNA packaged within chromatin is also ongoing.\(^{68}\) Furthermore, considering that several enzymes are involved in successful completion of a BER event, how is their activity coordinated to avoid exposing mutagenic and cytotoxic intermediates? Consequently, these gaps in knowledge form the basis for much of the current research in the field and answers will broaden our understanding of this essential repair pathway and its contributions to genetic stability.
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