Trajectory of virtual, bound and resonant Efimov states
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Abstract. The pole trajectory of Efimov states for a three-body $\alpha\alpha\beta$ system with $\alpha\alpha$ unbound and $\alpha\beta$ bound is calculated using a zero-range Dirac-$\delta$ potential. It is showed that a three-body bound state turns into a virtual one by increasing the $\alpha\beta$ binding energy. This result is consistent with previous results for three equal mass particles. The present approach considers the $n - n - ^{18}C$ halo nucleus. However, the results have good perspective to be tested and applied in ultracold atomic systems, where one can realize such three-body configuration with tunable two-body interaction.

The nonintuitive appearance of an infinite number of three-body bound states, called Efimov states, when the two-body energy tends to zero is being nowadays largely studied in nuclear and atomic systems. Recently the first indirect experimental evidence of these states was found for cesium atoms in an ultracold trap \cite{1}. The trajectory of Efimov states as a function of the two-body energy (bound or virtual) considering three equal-mass particles interacting by a zero-range potential follows the route virtual-bound-resonance \cite{2} for a large two-body scattering length varying from positive to negative values passing through the infinite (this corresponds to a change from a bound to a virtual state in the two-boson system).

In this communication we present results showing that an excited energy pole for the $\alpha\alpha\beta$ system, with the subsystems $\alpha\beta$ bound and $\alpha\alpha$ unbound, moves in the complex energy plane from a bound to a virtual state, passing through the $\alpha - (\alpha\beta)$ elastic scattering cut, as shown in the diagram given on the left-side of fig. \cite{1}.

The coupled equations for bound and virtual three-body states (detailed in Ref. \cite{3}), in units of $\hbar = m_\alpha = 1$, can be summarized in a single-channel equation with $I = b$ for a bound state and $I = v$ for a virtual state:
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\begin{align}
\hbar^\ell_\alpha(q; \mathcal{E}_3) &= 2\kappa_\alpha\hbar^\ell_\alpha(-i\kappa_v; \mathcal{E}_{3v})\mathcal{V}^\ell(q, -i\kappa_v; \mathcal{E}_{3v})\delta_{I,v} \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dk k^2 \gamma^\ell(q, k; \mathcal{E}_3) \frac{\hbar^\ell_\alpha(k; \mathcal{E}_3)}{k^2 + \kappa^2_I} \\
\mathcal{V}^\ell(q, k; \mathcal{E}_3) &\equiv \pi \frac{(A + 1)}{A + 2} \tau_\alpha(q; \mathcal{E}_3) \\
&\quad \times \left[ K^\ell_2(q, k; \mathcal{E}_3) + \int_0^\infty dk' k'^2 K^\ell_1(q, k'; \mathcal{E}_3)\tau_\beta(k'; \mathcal{E}_3) \right.
\left. K^\ell_1(k, k'; \mathcal{E}_3) \right],
\end{align}

with

\begin{align}
\tau_\beta(q; \mathcal{E}) &\equiv -\frac{2}{\pi} \left[ \sqrt{|\epsilon_\beta|} + \sqrt{\frac{A + 2}{4A} q^2 - \mathcal{E}} \right]^{-1}, \\
\tau_\alpha(q; \mathcal{E}) &\equiv -\frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{A + 1}{2A} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \sqrt{|\epsilon_\alpha|} + \sqrt{\frac{(A + 2)q^2}{2(A + 1)} - \mathcal{E}} \right).
\end{align}

The first term on the right-hand-side of (1), with a Kronecker \(\delta_{I,v}\), is non-zero only for virtual states. \(A\) is the mass of the particle \(\beta\). In the above equations we are using the odd-man-out notation. The absolute value of the momentum of the spectator particle with respect to the center-of-mass of the other two particles is given by \(q \equiv |q|\); with \(k \equiv |k|\) being the absolute value of the relative momentum of these two particles. \(\mathcal{E}\) refers to a three-body energy, where the indexes \(3b\) or \(3v\) distinguish between a bound or virtual state. \(\epsilon_\alpha\) is the \(\alpha\beta\) binding energy and \(\epsilon_\beta\) is the \(\alpha\alpha\) virtual energy. For the virtual state energy we have \(-i\kappa_v = \sqrt{\frac{2(A + 1)}{A + 2}(\mathcal{E}_{3v} - \epsilon_\alpha)}\).

In order to study the trajectory of Efimov states, for the three-body system given by two halo neutrons and the \(^{18}\text{C}\) core, we fixed the three-body ground state energy \((\mathcal{E}_{3b}^{(0)} = \hbar^2 \epsilon_{3b}^{(0)}/m_\alpha = -3.5\text{ MeV})\) and the \(\alpha\alpha\) two-body energy \((\mathcal{E}_\beta = \hbar^2 \epsilon_\beta/m_\alpha = -143\text{ keV})\), in this case the \(\alpha\alpha\) system is unbound) and vary only the \(\alpha\beta\) energy. Our present calculations were motivated by the study performed in Ref. [4] where they have found a different trajectory for the Efimov state in the case of \(^{20}\text{C}\) (see also [3, 5]).

The above technique is convenient because in the Efimov limit (for a given \(A\) the value where a three-body bound state disappears depends only on the ratio of the two-body energies with the three-body ground state energy (see pages 327-329 of Ref. [6]). In this limit, the results should also be independent of the potential. Moreover, the Efimov effect is strictly valid when the scattering lengths are much larger than the effective range. So, to study this effect and its consequences, it is appropriate to use potential models in the zero-range limit. The numerical solutions of eq. (1) are plotted on the right side of fig. (1) in a form of a universal scaling function.

In accordance with previous calculations [2, 7] for three equal mass particles we can see that, when at least one two-body subsystem is bound a three-body...
bound state enters in the second energy sheet becoming a virtual state. We have also checked that for a Borromean system (all two-body subsystems are unbound) formed by two equal-mass particles and a different one, a three-body bound state turns into a resonance following the same behavior of three equal-mass particles.
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