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In Brief
SARS-CoV-2 nonstructural protein 3 (nsp3) facilitates virion biogenesis and modulates host ubiquitylation/ISGylation. The SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 host interactome has not been fully characterized. Using affinity purification–mass spectrometry, we identify interactors of SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 and homologs from four CoV strains. We show the N-terminus of SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 interacts with the transcription factor ATF6 and suppresses its stress response. This work examines the interface between a key CoV protein and host cells, highlighting potential dependencies for antiviral therapeutics.

Highlights
• Affinity purification–mass spectrometry maps the coronavirus nsp3 host interactome.
• Comparing five CoV strains, including SARS-CoV-2, reveals unique/shared interactors.
• N-terminus of SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 interacts with ATF6, suppresses its stress response.
• Virus–host interactions are potential nodes to disrupt viral replication.
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Human coronaviruses have become an increasing threat to global health; three highly pathogenic strains have emerged since the early 2000s, including most recently SARS-CoV-2, the cause of COVID-19. A better understanding of the molecular mechanisms of coronavirus pathogenesis is needed, including how these highly virulent strains differ from those that cause milder, common-cold-like disease. While significant progress has been made in understanding how SARS-CoV-2 proteins interact with the host cell, nonstructural protein 3 (nsp3) has largely been omitted from the analyses. Nsp3 is a viral protease with important roles in viral protein biogenesis, replication complex formation, and modulation of host ubiquitylation and ISGylation. Herein, we use affinity purification–mass spectrometry to study the host–viral protein–protein interactome of nsp3 from five coronavirus strains: pathogenic strains SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV; and endemic common-cold strains hCoV-229E and hCoV-OC43. We divide each nsp3 into three fragments and use tandem mass tag technology to directly compare the interactors across the five strains for each fragment. We find that few interactors are common across all variants for a particular fragment, but we identify shared patterns between select variants, such as ribosomal proteins enriched in the N-terminal fragment (nsp3.1) data set for SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. We also identify unique biological processes enriched for individual homologs, for instance, nuclear protein import for the middle fragment of hCoV-229E, as well as ribosome biogenesis of the MERS nsp3.2 homolog. Lastly, we further investigate the interaction of the SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 N-terminal fragment with ATF6, a regulator of the unfolded protein response. We show that SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1 directly binds to ATF6 and can suppress the ATF6 stress response. Characterizing the host interactions of nsp3 widens our understanding of how coronaviruses co-opt cellular pathways and presents new avenues for host-targeted antiviral therapeutics.

Coronaviruses are a family of positive-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses that typically cause upper respiratory infection in humans. Four endemic strains have been characterized that cause symptoms resembling those of the common cold. However, since 2002, three more pathogenic strains have emerged: SARS-CoV in 2002, MERS-CoV in 2012, and SARS-CoV-2, the causative agent of COVID-19, in 2019 (1–5). Some of the differences in pathogenicity can be attributed to differential receptor binding, for example, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 utilize the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor, while 229E (a common-cold-causing strain) uses the human aminopeptidase N receptor (5–7). At the same time, the engagement of viral proteins with different host proteins or complexes within infected cells is equally critical to understand changes in pathogenicity. These engagements alter the native protein–protein interaction (PPI) architecture of the cell and have been shown to perform various proviral functions such as suppression of the type I interferon system for immune evasion purposes (8–10).

The coronavirus genome is among the largest RNA virus genomes, at approximately 30 kilobase pairs in length. The 3′-third of the genome encodes for the four structural proteins used to construct new virions, as well as several accessory factors shown to be important for pathogenesis. The 5′-two thirds of the genome consist of two open reading frames (orf1a and orf1b) that encode for 16 nonstructural proteins (nsps) that perform a number of functions throughout the viral life cycle, including replication and proofreading of the RNA genome and formation of the replication–transcription complex. The largest of these proteins, at approximately 2000 amino acids, is nsp3. Nsp3 is a large multidomain protein, of which the papain-like-protease (PL2Pro) domain has been...
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most closely studied. In addition to autoproteolysis of the viral polyprotein, the PL2\textsuperscript{pro} domains possess both deubiquitinase and delISGylation activities (11–13). Additionally, nsp3 in complex with nsp4 and nsp6 has been shown to be sufficient for formation of the double-membraned vesicles (DMVs) implicated in the CoV replication cycle (14, 15). Expression of the C-terminus of nsp3 and full-length nsp4, while not enough to induce DMV formation, does cause zipping of the ER membrane (16). However, roles of nsp3 outside of the PL2\textsuperscript{pro} remain less well understood (17).

Herein, we focused our analysis on four nsp3 homologs from the genus betacoronavirus (hCoV-OC43, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2) and one homolog from the genus alphacoronavirus (hCoV-229E). Within the betacoronaviruses, hCoV-OC43 is from clade A, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 are from clade B, and MERS-CoV is from clade C. The domain organization of nsp3 varies widely among coronavirus genera and even from strain to strain. Despite the differences, nine regions are conserved across all coronavirus variants: two ubiquitin-like domains (UBLs), a glutamic-acid-rich domain, protease domain, two transmembrane regions separated by an ER ectodomain, and two C-terminal Y domains (supplemental Fig. S1).

Affinity purification–mass spectrometry (AP-MS) has been used extensively to characterize the coronavirus interactome, including two large studies by Gordon et al. to characterize the SARS-CoV-2 host protein interactions and compare these to the SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV interactomes (18, 19). Another study compared the interactomes of isolated SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 PL2\textsuperscript{pro} domains (11). However, the remaining parts of nsp3 have been missing from SARS-CoV-2 interactome studies thus far, likely because of their complex topology and large size making expression of the protein difficult. To circumvent this problem, we divided the nsp3 protein into three fragments based on earlier interrogation of the SARS intraviral interactome (14, 20). These fragments are referred to as nsp3.1 for the N-terminal fragment, nsp3.2 for the middle fragment, and nsp3.3 for the C-terminal fragment (21). We expressed each fragment from each of the five viruses listed above (hCoV-229E, hCoV-OC43, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2). We employed tandem mass tag (TMTpro 16plex) isobaric tagging technology, which enables highly multiplexed analysis for direct comparison of interactor abundances across homologs from all strains. Previously, we demonstrated the use of AP-MS and TMT technologies in comparing the interactomes of the coronavirus nsp2 and nsp4 proteins from three strains: SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and hCoV-OC43 (22). We now extend the analysis to the understudied nsp3 protein across additional viral strains. In particular, comparing host protein interactions for homologs from multiple coronavirus strains and genera may provide insight into how the molecular mechanisms of pathogenic coronaviruses differ from endemic ones, as well as the evolution of functions that nsp3 assumes across these strains.

Our study finds that very few interactors are shared among the five strains, although several interactors are common among the mostly conserved SARS variants. Several previously unknown pathways are discovered to be highly enriched with individual variants, such as ERAD processing for SARS-CoV nsp3.2 and nuclear import for 229E nsp3.2. In addition, we find that SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1 interacts with the unfolded protein response (UPR) transcription factor ATF6 and suppresses the ATF6 pathway in both basal and activated conditions. These discoveries open the door for further work delineating the role of nsp3 in coronavirus infections, with a particular emphasis on the variation in roles between different CoV strains.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Construct Design**

The coding sequences for full-length nsp3 were obtained from GenBank (SARS-CoV-2 isolate Wuhan-Hu-1 MN908947, SARS-CoV Urbani AY279741, hCoV-OC43 NC006213, MERS-CoV JX869059, hCoV-229E AF304460). Sequences for creating fragments of the SARS-CoV nsp3 were chosen based on Pan et al., 2008, PLoS One (21). The amino acid sequences of full-length nsp3 for the remaining hCoV strains were aligned using ClustalOmega to the SARS-CoV fragments to determine the corresponding starting/ending positions for each fragment.

Full-length SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 was codon optimized and cloned into a pcDNA-(+)-C-DYK vector (Genscript). Truncations were performed using primers listed in Table 1. All other nsp3 fragments were individually codon optimized and cloned into pTriptil CMV Hygro vectors (Twist Biosciences).

SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1 strep-tagged constructs were created using primers listed in Table 1. Briefly, nsp3 fragment plasmids were amplified to exclude the FLAG tag. A pLVX vector containing a strep tag was used to amplify the insert. The two fragments were ligated using a DNA HiFi assembly kit (NEB). All plasmid constructs were confirmed by sequencing (Genewiz).

**Cell Culture and Transfection**

HEK293T and HEK293T-REx cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (high glucose) and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1% glutamine. Cells were kept at 37 °C, 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. For transfections, 2E6 cells were seeded into 10 cm tissue culture dishes. 24 h after seeding, cells were transfected using a calcium phosphate method with 5 μg nsp3 or tdTomato construct. Media was exchanged 16 h posttransfection, and cells were harvested 24 h after media exchange.

**FLAG Immunoprecipitations**

Immunoprecipitations were performed as reported previously (22). Cells were collected from 10 cm dishes via scraping, washed with PBS, and lysed by suspension in TNI buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% IGEPAL-CA-630) supplemented with Roche Complete protease inhibitor. Cells were left to lyse on ice for at least 10 min, followed by 10 min sonication in a room temperature water bath. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 21,1g for 20 min. Protein concentrations were normalized using 1x BioRad Protein Assay Dye, and normalized lysates were added to 15 μl pre-washed (4x in lysis buffer) Sepharose 4B beads (Sigma) and rocked at 4 °C for 1 h. Resin was collected by centrifugation at 400g for 10 min, and...
precleared supernatant was added to 15 μl G1 anti-DYKDDDDK resin (GenScript) and rocked at 4 °C overnight. The next day, resin was collected by centrifugation at 400g for 10 min. Resin was washed 4x with lysis buffer. Resin-bound proteins were eluted with the addition of modified 3x Laemmli buffer (6% SDS, 200 mM Tris) for 30 min at room temperature, followed by 15 min at 37 °C. A second elution was performed for 15 min at 37 °C. During the first IP for each construct, immunoprecipiation was confirmed by silver stain using a Pierce Silver Stain Kit (Thermo Fisher) and by western blotting with anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, F1804) and by western blotting with anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, F1804) and by western blotting with anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, F1804) and by western blotting with anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, F1804) and by western blotting with anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, F1804) and by western blotting with anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, F1804) and by western blotting with anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, F1804). 

**Immunofluorescence Confocal Microscopy**

HEK293T cells were transfected with nsp3 constructs as described in “Cell culture and transfection.” Two hours post media change, 2 x 10⁶ transfected cells were seeded into glass-bottom culture dishes (MatTek, P35g-0-14-C). At 4 h posttransfection, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde-PBS, washed with PBS three times, then permeabilized in 0.2% Triton-X (in PBS). After three PBS washes, cells were blocked in PBS with 1% BSA and 0.1% Saponin (blocking buffer) for 1 h at room temperature. After blocking, cells were incubated with anti-PDIA4 primary antibody (Protein Tech, 14712-1-AP) in blocking buffer (1:1000 dilution) overnight at 4 °C. After three PBS washes, cells were incubated with AlexaFluor 488-conjugated anti-rabbit goat antibody (ThermoFisher, A-11008) in blocking buffer (1:500 dilution) at room temperature for 30 min. Cells were then stained with M2 FLAG primary antibody (SigmaAldrich, F1804) and AlexaFluor 594-conjugated anti-mouse goat antibody (ThermoFisher, P36935) using the same conditions. Cells were then mounted in Prolong Gold with DAPI stain (ThermoFisher, P36935) overnight. Cells were imaged using an LSM-880 or LSM-710 confocal microscope (Zeiss), and images were merged using Image J software.

**Nsp3.1-ST & ATF6-FT Coimmunoprecipitation**

HEK293T-REx cells expressing doxycycline-inducible 6xHis-3xFLAG-HsATF6α were seeded in 15 cm tissue culture dishes (23). Cells were transiently transfected with 15 μg SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1-ST or tdTomato constructs 24 h after seeding as previously described. At 16 h posttransfection media was exchanged and cells were treated with either DMSO or 100 μM MG132. Cells were lysed in ATP6 lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1x protease inhibitor), and 1% LMNG (Anatrace NG322) for 15 min at 4 °C. A second elution was performed for 15 min at 37 °C, followed by 15 min at 37 °C. After three PBS washes, cells were incubated with AlexaFluor 488-conjugated anti-rabbit goat antibody (ThermoFisher, A-11008) using the same conditions. Cells were then mounted in Prolong Gold with DAPI stain (ThermoFisher, P36935) overnight. Cells were imaged using an LSM-880 or LSM-710 confocal microscope (Zeiss), and images were merged using Image J software.

**Global Proteomics of UPR Activation**

HEK293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1-FT or tdTomato (mock) in 10 cm dishes in triplicate as previously described. Samples were treated with either DMSO, 10 μM 147, or 6 μg/ml tunicamycin for 16 h prior to harvest by cell scraping. Harvested cells were lysed in TNI lysis buffer and 20 μg of protein (as measured using Bio-Rad protein assay dye reagent) was aliquoted and precipitated via methanol/ chloroform precipitation as described below. Pellets were digested with 0.5 μg trypsin (Thermo Fisher) and labeled with 16plex TMTpro.

**Tandem Mass Tag Sample Preparation**

Sample preparation was carried out as previously described (22). Eluted proteins were precipitated via methanol/chloroform water (3:1:3) and washed thrice with methanol. Each wash was followed by a 5 min spin at 21,000g. Protein pellets were air dried and resuspended in 1% Rapigest SF (Waters). Resuspended proteins were reduced (TCEP) for 30 min, alkylated (iodoacetamide) for 30 min, and digested with 0.5 μg trypsin Lys-C (Thermo Fisher) overnight. Digested peptides were labeled using 16plex TMTpro (Thermo Scientific) and quenched with the addition of ammonium bicarbonate. Each TMT channel shown in supplemental Fig. S3, A–F represents an independent immunoprecipitation and biological replicate. Samples were pooled, acidified, and concentrated. Cleaved Rapigest products were removed by centrifugation at 17,000g for 45 min.

**MudPIT LC-MS/MS Analysis**

Triphasic MudPIT columns were prepared as previously described using alternating layers of 1.5 cm C18 resin, 1.5 cm SCX resin, and 1.5 cm C18 resin (23). Pooled TMT samples (roughly one-third of samples) were treated with DMSO or 6 μg/ml Tunicamycin (Tm) for 16 h (protein analysis) or 6 h (transcript analysis) prior to harvest by cell scraping. Harvested cells were split into a 2/3 aliquot for protein analysis and a 1/3 aliquot for mRNA analysis.

For protein analysis, cells were lysed as previously described for immunoprecipitations. Lysates were purified and washed thrice using the Zymo Quick-RNA miniprep kit. Then 500 ng total cellular RNA was synthesized into cDNA using random hexamer primers (IDT), oligo-dT primers (IDT), and Promega M-MLV reverse transcriptase. Subsequent qPCR analysis was carried out using BioRad iQ Universal SYBR Green Supermix, combined with the primers listed below for target genes. Reactions were run in 96-well plates on a BioRad CFX qPCR instrument. Conditions used for amplification were 95 °C, 2 min, 45 repeats of 95 °C, 10 s and 60 °C, 30 s. A melting curve was generated in 0.5 °C intervals from 65 to 95 °C. Cq values were calculated by the Bio-Rad CFX Maestro software. Transcripts were normalized to a housekeeping gene (GAPDH). All measurements were performed in technical duplicate; each of these duplicates was treated as a single measurement for the final average. Data was analyzed using the BioRad CFX Maestro software.
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Nsp3.1 analysis combined 62 co-IP samples distributed across five individual MS runs (biological replicates: 15x tdTomato (negative co-IP control), 13x SARS-CoV-2, 9x SARS-CoV, 8x MERS-CoV, 9x hCoV-OC43, 8x hCoV-229E) (supplemental Table S8). Nsp3.3 analysis combined 63 co-IP samples distributed across five individual MS runs (biological replicates: 15x tdTomato (negative co-IP control), 14x SARS-CoV-2, 9x SARS-CoV, 8x MERS-CoV, 9x hCoV-OC43, 8x hCoV-229E) (supplemental Table S7). Nsp3.2 analysis combined 63 co-IP samples distributed across four individual MS runs (biological replicates: 15x tdTomato (negative co-IP control), 14x SARS-CoV-2, 9x SARS-CoV, 8x MERS-CoV, 9x hCoV-OC43, 8x hCoV-229E) (supplemental Table S6). Nsp3.2, 3.3 generation (nsp3.2, 3.3 generation).

\[ \text{Nsp3.3 generation} \]

\[ \text{nsp3.3} \]

\[ \text{(nsp3.2 generation)} \]

\[ \text{(nsp3.1 generation)} \]

\[ \text{(nsp3.2 generation)} \]

\[ \text{Removal of FLAG tag from Wuhan nsp3.1 fragment} \]

\[ \text{Removal of FLAG tag from Wuhan nsp3.1 fragment} \]

\[ \text{Amplification of 2xStrep tag from pLVX vector} \]

\[ \text{Amplification of 2xStrep tag from pLVX vector} \]

Table 1

| Target | Primer sequence (5′-3′) | Notes |
|--------|-------------------------|-------|
| Nsp3_N_term_rem_F | atcaagggtgccacacagtg | Forward primer to remove N-terminus of Wuhan nsp3 (nsp3.2, 3.3 generation) |
| Nsp3_N_term_rem_R | catgtgactgcagctggg | Reverse primer to remove N-terminus of Wuhan nsp3 (nsp3.2 generation) |
| Nsp3_middle_rem_F | tattgtacgccagcatccc | Forward primer to remove middle fragment of Wuhan nsp3 (nsp3.3 generation) |
| Nsp3_middle_rem_R | ggttcctaccccgcag | Reverse primer to remove middle fragment of Wuhan nsp3 (nsp3.1 generation) |
| Nsp3_C_term_rem_F | ctggagttcgagggcctc | Forward primer to remove C-terminus of Wuhan nsp3 (nsp3.2 generation) |
| Nsp3_C_term_rem_R | ggtggcgattgctcagtg | Forward primer to remove C-terminus of Wuhan nsp3 (nsp3.2 generation) |
| SARS2_nsp3.1_xFT_F | aatcgcaggtgaaagtaaaccgcgctgatcagctcg | Removal of FLAG tag from Wuhan nsp3.1 fragment |
| SARS2_nsp3.1_xFT_R | cattccccggccgctcaggttctcactcgcgcag | Removal of FLAG tag from Wuhan nsp3.1 fragment |
| 2xStrep_F | ctcgaaggcggcgggggga | Amplification of 2xStrep tag from pLVX vector |
| 2xStrep_R | ttcattttcaactcgccaggtgactgatcaccac | Amplification of 2xStrep tag from pLVX vector |
| PDIA4_F | agtgggaggtagtcatgc | Forward primer to remove N-terminus of Wuhan nsp3 |
| PDIA4_R | ttgctggattggtgactg | Forward primer to remove N-terminus of Wuhan nsp3 |
| BiP_F | ggccgatttctcgacgtgc | Forward primer to remove N-terminus of Wuhan nsp3 |
| BiP_R | ttcatcttcgccgagtcagtg | Forward primer to remove N-terminus of Wuhan nsp3 |
| ERDJ4_F | aatccgtaccccctccgactac | Forward primer to remove N-terminus of Wuhan nsp3 |
| ERDJ4_R | ggtggcgattgtcacgttg | Forward primer to remove N-terminus of Wuhan nsp3 |
| GAPDH_F | gttggagttcagggattt | Forward primer to remove N-terminus of Wuhan nsp3 |
| GAPDH_R | aagcttcgccggtttcag | Forward primer to remove N-terminus of Wuhan nsp3 |

**Data Analysis**

Identification and quantification of peptides were performed in Proteome Discoverer 2.4 (Thermo Fisher) using the SwissProt human database (TaxID 9606, released 11/23/2019; 42,252 entries searched) with nsp3 fragment sequences (15 entries) manually added (42,267 total entries searched). Searches were conducted with Sequest HT using the following parameters: trypsin cleavage (maximum two missed cleavages), minimum peptide length 6 AAs, precursor mass tolerance 20 ppm, fragment mass tolerance 0.02 Da, dynamic modifications of Met oxidation (+15.995 Da), protein N-terminal Met loss (~131.040 Da), and protein N-terminal acetylation (+42.011 Da), static modifications of TMTpro (+304.207 Da) at Lys, and N-termini and Cys carbamidomethylation (+57.021 Da), Peptide IDs were filtered using Percolator with an FDR target of 0.01. Proteins were filtered based on a 0.01 FDR, and protein groups were created according to a strict parsimony principle. TMT reporter ions were quantified considering unique and razor peptides, excluding peptides with coisolation interference greater than 25%. Peptide abundances were normalized based on total peptide amounts in each channel, assuming similar levels of background in the IPs. For global UPR proteomics, protein abundances were also scaled. Protein quantification used all quantified peptides. Postsearch filtering was done to include only proteins with two identified peptides. Protein identifications and peptide identifications are included, respectively, in supplemental Tables S1 and S2 (nsp3.1), supplemental Tables S3 and S4 (nsp3.2), supplemental Tables S5 and S6 (nsp3.3), as well as supplemental Table S7 (global UPR proteomics).
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**TABLE 1**

Primer used for qRT-PCR and generation of constructs
proteins to test for change in abundances and report adjusted $p$-values. To filter interactors of individual nsp3 fragments, we used a variable cutoff method combining log2 enrichment and adjusted $p$-value according to a published method (22, 26). The histogram of log2 protein abundance fold changes for each construct versus the tdTomato control was fitted to a gaussian curve with a bin width of 0.1 using a nonlinear least squares fit (excluding outliers) to determine the standard deviation $\sigma$ of the scatter. For medium- and high-confidence interactors, the cutoff values were 1$\sigma$ and 2$\sigma$ respectively. To take into consideration the adjusted $p$-values, we used a hyperbolic curve $y > c/(x-x_0)$ where $y$ is the adjusted $p$-value, $x$ is the log2 fold change, and $x_0$ corresponds to the value of the 1$\sigma$ or 2$\sigma$ standard deviation. Negative medium- and high-confidence interactors were filtered using cutoff values of $-1\sigma$ and $-2\sigma$ respectively and the hyperbolic curve $y > -c/(x+x_0)$.

**CRAPOme Overlap, Geneset Enrichment Analysis, Comparative Heatmaps, and Network Plots**

High-confidence interactors of all homologs for each nsp3 fragment were queried in the CRAPOme “Homo sapiens Single Step Epitope tag AP-MS” dataset (27). A frequency threshold of 25% of control experiments found was used to qualify an interactor as overlapping with the CRAPOme. A gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis for biological processes was conducted in EnrichR. The analysis was conducted separately for the high (and/or medium) confidence interactors for each fragment. GO terms were manually filtered for adjusted $p$-values <0.1. Redundant GO terms were grouped manually based on overlapping genes in related pathways. Network plots were generated in Cytoscape (28); human protein interactions were validated based on known RefSeq orthologs in Cytoscape (28) and local interactomes.

**RESULTS**

**Expression of nsp3 Truncations and AP-MS of CoV nsp3**

The orf1a and orf1b open reading frames encode for 16 nonstructural proteins, which perform crucial roles during the viral life cycle, including replication of the genome and formation of double-membrane vesicles. Nonstructural protein 3 (nsp3) is the largest of the 16 nonstructural proteins; the full-length protein is 1586 to 1945 amino acids long (177 kDa) (Fig. 1A). While the domain organization is different among CoV variants, several domains are shared, including multiple ubiquitin-like domains, at least one highly conserved papain-like protease (PL2Pro) domain, and an ER luminal domain postulated to be important for nsp4 binding and double-membrane vesicle (DMV) formation (Fig. 1, B and C, Supplemental Fig. S1).

Initial attempts at expression of the full-length construct for SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 were unsuccessful, likely due to the complex structure and topology of the full-length protein. To study the interactome, we thus divided the protein into three portions based on a prior interactome study carried out on similar truncations of the SARS-CoV nsp3 homolog (20). The N-terminal fragment (nsp3.1), comprising the first ubiquitin-like domain through the SARS unique domain, is expected to localize exclusively to the cytosol (Fig. 1C, Supplemental Fig. S1). The second portion (nsp3.2), starting before the second ubiquitin-like domain and ending just after the first transmembrane region, includes the papain-like-protease (PL2Pro) domain. The C-terminal fragment (nsp3.3) starts with the ER-localized 3Ecto-domain, includes the second transmembrane region, and ends with the C-terminus of the protein. Based on multiple sequence alignments, similar N-terminal, middle, and C-terminal constructs were created for the SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, hCoV-OC43, and hCoV-229E nsp3 homologs (Supplemental Fig. S1). Each construct contains a C-terminal FLAG tag for affinity purification (Fig. 1C).

** Constructs were transfected into HEK293T cells, and expression was confirmed by immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting for the FLAG tag and/or detection by mass spectrometry (Fig. 1D). Overall, SDS-PAGE gel patterns matched with the expected molecular weights; the exception was the MERS nsp3.1 fragment, which ran larger than expected. In addition, confocal immunofluorescence microscopy of transfected HEK293T cells shows that nsp3.2 and nsp3.3 homologs colocalize with PDIA4, an ER marker, while nsp3.1 exhibits cytosolic localization as expected (Supplemental Fig. S2, A–C). Although kidney cells do not represent a primary tissue target of the virus, previous work has identified HEK293T cells as appropriate cell lines to recapitulate relevant CoV protein interactions with host factors (18, 22). While the constructs showed variable expression levels, all fragments were reproducibly detectable by mass spectrometry, and peptides coverage spanned the length of each fragment (Fig. 1D). For native immunoprecipitations with host interactors, protein constructs were expressed, lysed in mild detergent buffer to maintain interactions, and immunoprecipitated from lysates using anti-FLAG beads. After confirmation of IP by silver stain, samples were reduced, alkylated, and trypsin digested. Samples were labeled using TMTpro 16plex reagents for MS2 quantification of peptides abundances (29) (Fig. 1E). Between 2 and 4 coimmunoprecipitation replicates for each construct were pooled into a single TMTpro 16plex run, along with mock IPs from tdTomato transfected cells to establish the background signal. The final data sets consisted of 184 IPs across the 16 constructs (tdTomato & 15 viral protein constructs) (Supplemental Fig. S3). We quantified the abundance of nsp3 bait proteins across the biological replicates showing consistent enrichment compared with the mock control (Supplemental Fig. S3, G–I).

After identification and quantification of interactors using Proteome Discoverer, a variable cutoff method was used to determine high- and medium-confidence interactors for each construct based on enrichments compared with the tdTomato background control.

**Comparison of CoV nsp3.1-host Interactors**

The N-terminal fragments of nsp3 contain a conserved ubiquitin-like domain (Ubi1), a highly variable or acidic-rich region, a conserved macrodomain (Mac1), followed by strain-specific domains (Fig. 2A, Supplemental Fig. S1). In hCoV-229E and hCoV-OC43, this fragment includes a papain-like protease domain (termed PL1Pro). This first PL1Pro domain is absent from all viruses in clades B and C of the betacoronaviruses, hence its absence in MERS-CoV and the two
SARS variants. In contrast, SARS strains contain a SARS-unique domain (SUD) consisting of three sequential macrodomains. Overall, this region of nsp3 is most variable from strain to strain, despite several of the predicted domains being conserved (Fig. 1, B and C, supplemental Fig. S1).

The final data set for the N-terminal fragment analysis combined five mass spectrometry runs containing 62 co-IP samples (tdTomato 15, SARS-CoV-2 13, SARS-CoV 9, OC43 9, MERS 8, 229E 8) (supplemental Fig. S2A, supplemental Table S1). We identified a robust set of high-confidence interactors for each fragment: 39 for SARS-CoV-2, 44 for SARS-CoV, 41 for MERS-CoV, 30 for hCoV-OC43, and 87 for hCoV-229E (supplemental Fig. S4, supplemental Table S1).

Of these high-confidence interactors, none were common across all five strains, and none were common to all beta-coronaviruses (Fig. 2B). Fourteen interactors were common between the two SARS variants, and two of these 14
Fig. 2. **Identification of CoV nsp3.1 host interactors.** A, schematic of nsp3.1 topology for all five CoV homologs. Nsp3.1 is a cytosolic fragment, comprising residues from 1 to 631–762. All fragment homologs contain a ubiquitin-like domain (Ubl1, yellow) and a conserved macrodomain (Mac1, blue). SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV contain a SARS-unique domain (SUD, green), while hCoV-OC43 and hCoV-229E contain a papain-like protease domain (PL1\textsuperscript{pro}, pink). B, Venn diagram showing the number of unique and shared host interactors amongst
interactors, MKI67 and ATF3, were also shared with the MERS fragment. MKI67 is required to maintain individual chromosomes in the cytoplasm during mitosis, and ATF3 is a cyclic AMP-dependent transcription factor, which negatively regulates the cellular antiviral response. Lastly, we identified 25 high-confidence interactors unique to SARS-CoV-2, 16 unique to SARS-CoV, 17 unique to hCoV-OC43, 62 unique to hCoV-229E, and 23 unique to MERS-CoV. Notably contained in this fragment is the SARS-unique domain, which is exclusively found in SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 nsp3. As noted, 14 proteins were identified as interactors of both fragments. Eleven of these interactors were exclusive to the SARS variants, including several ribosomal proteins (RPL38, RPS11, RPS2, RPS16) and assorted factors (FMR1, MKRN2, SP1, VEZF1, CREB5, CCNG1, and PEX11B).

We also identify nine shared interactors between OC43 and 229E, both of which have a PL1pro domain (UBR4, TUBA4A, SQSTM1, RPP25L, MADD, DNAJB1, BAG6, AHSG, AATF). BAG6 and DNAJB1 are cochaperones components involved in protein quality control (30, 31), while UBR4 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase involved in membrane morphogenesis and SQSTM1 is an autophagy receptor (32, 33). These shared interactors may represent possible targets of the PL1pro domains in OC43 and 229E.

We performed the same filtering on proteins observed to be less enriched with the viral bait and more enriched with the tdTomato background, interpreting these largely to be proteins which bind nonspecifically to the FLAG beads in the absence of viral bait and its interactors (supplemental Fig. S4). To determine any overlap between our data set and contaminant interactors, we queried all identified high-confidence interactors in the Contaminant Repository for Affinity Purification (CRAPome) data set for common contaminant proteins found in control AP-MS experiments (27). Using a frequency threshold of 25%, only 34 of 186 unique high-confidence interactors overlapped with the CRAPome (supplemental Fig. S5), emphasizing the specificity of host interactors for viral bait proteins. Many of these overlapping interactors are ribosomal and chaperone proteins with central roles in protein biogenesis and may be expected to be found in control AP-MS experiments.

As an alternative method to map shared and unique interactors for nsp3.1 from the different strains, we performed hierarchical clustering of the grouped protein abundance Z-scores for all identified high-confidence interactors (supplemental Fig. S6). Consistent with the Venn diagram, we observed a cluster of shared interactors for the SARS construct (cluster 4), distinct interactors of 229E (cluster 5), and MERS (cluster 3). A large cluster (7) contained interactors present in four of the strains but absent in SARS-CoV-2.

To ascertain how these proteins cluster into cellular pathways with potential pro- or antiviral roles, we grouped the high-confidence interactors into a network plot. To highlight connections between the binding partners, known protein interactions based on the STRING database were included (Fig. 2C). Several groups of associated interactors emerged, including a large cluster of 11 ribosomal proteins (RPS2, RPS11, RPS16, RPS18, RPS25, RPS27A, RPL11, RPL23, RPL29, RPL37A, RPL38). Other notable clusters include factors involved in protein quality control (BAG2, BAG6, HSPA6, DNAJB1, UBL4A, GET4), metabolic transport (SLC1A3, SLC25A1, SLC25A5, SLC25A6, SLC25A16, SLC25A33), histone modification (SUZ12, MSL1, WDR5), RNA-binding proteins (FXR1/2, FMR1), and E3 ubiquitin ligases (CUL2, COMMD4, HERC5, UBR4, PJA2). Interactions between nsp3 and FXR1/2, as well as several ribosomal proteins, were also prominently observed in a BioID proximity ligation data set (34).

As a last method to identify pathways represented by the interactors, we filtered the list of proteins through EnrichR to determine the most common gene ontology terms related to biological processes among each interactome (supplemental Fig. S7). For both SARS variants, as well as MERS-CoV, we observed a strong enrichment for several ribosomal-related processes, including SRP-dependent cotranslational protein targeting, ribosome biogenesis, and ribosomal RNA (rRNA) metabolic processes. These processes were not observed in the less pathogenic hCoV-OC43 and hCoV-229E strains. For SARS-CoV, but not SARS-CoV-2, we also observed several mitochondrial related processes, such as mitochondrial transport, and mitochondrial RNA metabolic processes. hCoV-OC43 showed enrichment of factors associated with an upregulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II factors. Lastly, despite having the highest overall number of high confidence interactors, no individual pathways showed enrichment at the high-confidence interactor level for hCoV-229E.

**Comparison of nsp3.2 CoV Interactors**

Next, we turned to the middle fragment of the nsp3 constructs, which includes the highly conserved PL2pro domain, the protease responsible for the self-cleavage of several
coronavirus nonstructural proteins from the orf1a/b poly-peptide (38). This domain also has debuquitination and de-
ISGylation activity, an activity well studied in the pathogenic coronaviruses, but less studied in the endemic strains (39, 40).

The importance of this domain for both viral polypeptide processing and remodeling of host ubiquitination/ISGylation modifications makes it an intriguing target for drug development. Also included in this fragment are the second ubiquitin-
like domain UBL2, the first transmembrane domain, and the first portion of the ER ectodomain (Fig. 3A).

The final data set for the middle fragment analysis combined three mass spectrometry runs containing 59 co-IP samples (tdTomato 10, SARS-CoV-2 11, SARS-CoV 11, hCoV-OC43 10, MERS-CoV 10, hCoV-229E 7) (supplemental Fig. S3, B and E, supplemental Table S3). In total, we identified 11 high-confidence interactors for SARS-CoV-2, 23 for SARS-CoV, 11 for hCoV-OC43, 36 for MERS-CoV, and 35 for hCoV-229E (Fig. 3B, supplemental Fig. S8, supplemental Table S3). Nine proteins were observed as high-confidence interactors for both SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. No proteins were observed to be common among all five strains at the high-confidence level (six at the medium confidence level), and no proteins were observed to be exclusive interactors of betacoronaviruses at the high confidence level (two at the medium confidence level).

Lastly, we identified two high-confidence interactors unique to SARS-CoV-2, 11 unique to SARS-CoV, 3 unique to OC43, 28 unique to 229E, and 34 unique to MERS. When querying the CRAPome data set, we only found overlap in 14 of 93 identified proteins, supporting the specificity of the interactors for the nsp3.2 bait proteins (supplemental Fig. S5).

We carried out hierarchical clustering of the grouped abundance Z-scores for all high-confidence interactors. The resulting heatmap (supplemental Fig. S9) more clearly highlights a cluster of shared interactions for all five strains (cluster 2), although intensities vary, which could explain why not all may have passed our stringent high-confidence cutoff. Cluster 1 contains binding partners found in four of the strains, but absent in MERS. A striking observation was two large clusters of strain-specific interactors for 229E (cluster 3) and MERS (cluster 4) respectively.

We also grouped the high-confidence interactions into a network plot highlighting previously known interactions from String DB (Fig. 3C). We identified several ER-associated degradation (ERAD) components as high-confidence interactors of SARS-CoV nsp3.2. While some of these components were identified as high-confidence interactors of SARS-CoV-2 as well, the number and magnitude were both much less. Analysis of GO terms associated with both the medium- and high-confidence interactors confirmed the enrichment of the ERAD machinery, as well as several related processes such as membrane proteolysis and cellular response to ER stress (supplemental Fig. S10).

The 229E fragment revealed a high number of unique interactors related to nuclear importins and translocation of proteins into the nucleus (IPO5, IPO7, IPO11, IPO8, KPNB1, TNPO1). A recent report identified a role of MHV nsp3 in forming pores across the DMV membrane in coronavirus infection, potentially for the purpose of dsRNA export from the DMVs (41). The nuclear importins could be additional host factors co-opted by 229E or alphacoronaviruses specifically for a similar purpose. Additional interactors of the 229E fragment include ERLIN1 and ERLIN2, which we previously identified as being interactors of both SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV nsp2 and nsp4 (22). These proteins are also associated with ERAD, most notably in regulating ubiquitination and degradation of the inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate receptor IP3R (42). Lastly, other unique interactor of hCoV-229E nsp3.2 included a cluster of mitochondrial membrane transporters (SLC25A6, SLC25A5, VDAC2), as well as a cluster of subunits of the conserved oligomeric Golgi (COG) complex involved in intra-Golgi mediated vesicle transport. COG6 was identified in a recent CRISPR screen as essential for hCoV-

Lastly, for MERS nsp3.2 we identified a complex of rRNA processing factors as highly unique interactors, including DDX51, NOL9, NOL10, UTP14A, WDR36, URB1, RBM34, PPAN, and PDCD11 (Fig. 3C). Consistent with this finding, RNA processing and ribosome biogenesis were the most highly enrichment GO terms specific to the MERS nsp3.2 fragment (supplemental Fig. S10). Other viruses have been shown to target ribosomal biogenesis to facilitate infection, such as human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) and HIV-1 (44, 45).

The specificity of these interactors for MERS nsp3.2 is striking and may represent a unique replication strategy to modulate host protein synthesis.

Comparison of nsp3.3 CoV Interactors

The C-terminal fragments begin with the second half of the ER ectodomain, continuing through the second trans-
membrane region and Y domains, ending with the nsp3/nsp4 cleavage site. The Y domains, although one of the more conserved domains across the five strains, remains largely unstudied. In coexpression experiments of individual SARS-
CoV-2 nsp3 fragments and nsp4, we observed communo-
precipitation of this C-terminal fragment with nsp4 (supplemental Fig. S11). In contrast, the N-terminal and mid-
dle fragments did not communo precipitate with nsp4.

The final data set for the middle fragment analysis combined five mass spectrometry runs containing 63 co-IP samples (tdTomato 15, SARS-CoV-2 14, SARS-CoV 9, hCoV-OC43 9, MERS-CoV 8, hCoV-229E 8) (supplemental Fig. S3, C and F, supplemental Table S5). In total, we identified 75 high-confidence interactors for SARS-CoV-2, 66 for SARS-CoV, 52 for hCoV-OC43, 49 for MERS-CoV, and 76 for hCoV-229E (Fig. 4B, supplemental Fig. S12, supplemental Table S5). One
Comparative CoV nsp3 Interactomics

Fig. 3. Comparative interactomics of CoV nsp3.2 homologs. A, schematic of nsp3.2 protein topology for all five CoV homologs. Nsp3.2 contains both cytosolic, transmembrane, and luminal regions. All homologs contain a ubiquitin-like domain (Ubl2, yellow), a papain-like protease domain (PL2pro, purple), a transmembrane region (TM1, blue), and the N-terminal portion of the ectodomain (3EctoN, brown). Betacoronavirus homologs also contain a nucleic acid binding domain (NAB, green), while SARS strains also have a betacoronavirus-specific marker (βSM, light blue). B, Venn diagram showing the number of unique and shared host interactors amongst all five CoV nsp3.2 homologs. Total interactors for each homolog are shown in parentheses. C, network plot of virus–host interactors. Individual nsp3.2 homologs are shown as red circles, while host interactors are shown as yellow circles. Blue lines indicate virus–host protein interactions, where line width and shade are wider/darker for more highly enriched interactions. Gray lines indicate known host–host protein interactions from the STRING database. Notable clusters of host proteins are highlighted.
protein, SLC39A6, a zinc transporter, was observed as a high-confidence interactor for all five strains (68 proteins observed as common medium-confidence interactors). Five proteins, DERL3, TMEM33, SC5D, CERS1, and ALG8, were observed as high-confidence interactors unique to the betacoronavirus strains. DERL3 is a functional component of the ERAD system, TMEM33 is involved in tubular ER network organization as well as being a component of the IRE1 and PERK stress response pathways, SC5D is involved in cholesterol biosynthesis, CERS1 is involved in lipid biosynthesis, and ALG8 is involved in N-glycan biosynthesis. Lastly, we identify 48 high-confidence interactors unique to SARS-CoV, 18 unique to MERS-CoV (Fig. 4), 17 unique to SARS-CoV-2, 18 unique to hCoV-229E, and 41 unique to hCoV-229E, and 18 unique to MERS-CoV (Fig. 4B). As for the other nsp3 fragments, little overlap was identified with the CRAPome data set (12 of 206 proteins), confirming the specificity of the interactions (supplemental Fig. S5).

We carried out hierarchical clustering of the grouped abundance Z-scores for all high-confidence interactors. The resulting heatmap (supplemental Fig. S13) highlights six clusters of interactors, including a cluster of shared interactors for all five strains (cluster 4), a cluster of shared betacoronavirus interactors (cluster 5), and a cluster of shared SARS interactors (cluster 6).

Despite these C-termini being the most conserved of the fragments across strains, there was a large divergence observed in the enriched pathways when searched for enriched GO-terms (supplemental Fig. S14). In all strains except hCoV-OC43, ERAD was a highly enriched pathway. It has been postulated that nsp3, in tandem with nsp4 and nsp6, hijacks ERAD-tuning vesicles during DMV formation, after ERAD factors EDEM1 and OS-9 were shown to colocalize with MHV-derived DMVs in infected cells (14, 46). Our results may provide insight into a role for nsp3 in modulating this machinery.

Unexpectedly, the biological process most enriched for the hCoV-229E fragment was protein N-linked glycosylation. N-linked glycosylation sites are localized at the N-terminus of the 3.3 fragment in the ectodomain. One of these interactors, MGAT1 (unique to the hCoV-229E interactome), was identified in the CRISPR screen as being an essential gene for hCoV-229E infection (43). In addition, we identify STT3B, a catalytic component of the oligosaccharyl transferase complex, as a high-confidence hCoV-229E nsp3.3 interactor.

The patterns observed in our GO term analysis were consistent with network plots of high-confidence interactors (Fig. 4C). Multiple homologs shared interactors involved in ERAD (RFN139, DERL1, DERL3, ERLEC1, SYNV1, OS9, RN5F, SELT1) and the oligomeric Golgi complex (COG1, COG6, COG7, SYST1). A cluster of five components of the mitochondrial ATP synthase complex (ATP5E, ATP5G3, ATP5H, ATP5F1, and ATP5O) was enriched for hCoV-OC43 nsp3.3, while clusters of Hsp70 co-chaperones (DNAJB1, DNAJC16, BAG2) and N-glycan biosynthesis factors (MGAT1, MGAT2, MAN2A2) were enriched for hCoV-229E nsp3.3. Interestingly, a large swath of identified high-confidence interactors are interconnected based on STRING analysis but do not cluster into distinct biological categories outside of those previously mentioned.

SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1 Interacts with ATF6 and Suppresses the ATF6 Branch of the Unfolded Protein Response (UPR)

An intriguing observation was the shared interaction of the nsp.3.1 fragment from SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and hCoV-OC43 with ATF6 (Fig. 2C), a transmembrane protein located across the ER membrane serving as one of the sensors of the ER UPR. Upon activation by ER stress, ATF6 is trafficked to the Golgi apparatus, where site-1 and site-2 proteases (MBTPS1 & MBTPS2) cleave the protein, releasing an active transcription factor that serves to upregulate chaperones and other proteostasis factors, which aid in relieving ER stress (37). Intriguingly, we also observed MBTPS2 as an interactor of SARS-CoV nsp3.2. MBTPS2 was found in a recent CRISPR to be an essential gene in the replication of SARS-CoV-2 (43). Coronavirus are known to upregulate the UPR. Specifically, the SARS-CoV spike protein was shown to be sufficient to upregulate transcription of factors such as HSPA5/GRP78 (BiP) and HSP90B1/GRP94 (47, 48), both known to be downstream targets of ATF6 (49, 50). Furthermore, SARS-1 Orf8 can activate ATF6 and promote UPR induction (51), but a role for nsp3 in UPR modulation during virus infection is not known.

As technical validation of the interaction of the N-terminal fragment of SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 with ATF6, we used a HEK293T cell line expressing a doxycycline-inducible 3xFLAG-ATF6 construct (52). The affinity tag of the nsp3 N-terminal construct was replaced with a 2x strep tag (2xST) to allow for complementary immunopurifications (IP) and detection. We transiently transfected 3xFLAG-ATF6 cells with SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1-2xST and carried out reciprocal co-IPs to validate the interactions (Fig. 5A). We detected some background nsp3.1-2xST protein in the control (DMSO-treated) FLAG-IP where ATF6 was not induced. This was likely due to some leaked expression. However, the viral protein was noticeably enriched when 3xFLAG-ATF6 was robustly induced with doxycycline. ATF6 was also observed in reciprocal Co-IPs (Fig. 5A), further validating the interaction between SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1 and ATF6.

Given this observation of SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1 directly binding to ATF6, we sought to determine if this interaction could constitute a mechanism by which the UPR may be modulated. Cells were transfected with all five nsp3.1 homologs and immunoblotting performed for known ATF6-specific target genes (GRP78/Bip, GRP94, PDIa) to determine if upregulation of these proteins occurred. The nsp3.1 fragments were robustly expressed, but no notable upregulation of GRP78/Bip, GRP94, or PDIa was observed (Fig. 5, B and C). Instead, we saw a small but significant
Fig. 4. Identification of CoV nsp3.3 host interactors. A, schematic of nsp3.3 protein topology for all five CoV homologs. Nsp3.3 contains cytosolic, transmembrane, and luminal regions. All homologs contain the C-terminal portion of the ectodomain (3EctoC, brown), the second transmembrane region (TM2, blue), a likely amphipathic helix (AH1, green), and a Y&CoV-Y domain (red). B, Venn diagram showing the number of unique and shared host interactors among all five CoV nsp3.3 homologs. Total interactors for each homolog are shown in parentheses. C, network plot of virus–host interactors. Individual nsp3.3 homologs are shown as red circles, while host interactors are shown as yellow circles. Blue lines indicate virus–host protein interactions, where line width and shade are wider/darker for more highly enriched interactions. Gray lines indicate known host–host protein interactions from the STRING database. Notable clusters of host proteins are highlighted.
reduction in protein levels for GRP94 and PDIA4 with SARS-CoV-2 and MERS-CoV nsp3.1. The same experiment was performed to monitor changes of RNA transcript level, but similarly no upregulation of BiP, PDIA4, or ERDJ4 (IRE1 marker) transcripts was seen (supplemental Fig. S15). We also did not observe any site-1/2 protease-mediated cleavage of ATF6 in SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1-transfected samples (Fig. 5A).

**Fig. 5.** SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1 interacts with ATF6 and suppresses the ATF6 branch of the unfolded protein response (UPR). A, representative coimmunopurification (IP) western blots of 3xF-ATF6 cells transfected with SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1-2xST or tdTomato (control), lysed, and immunopurified for either FLAG or 2xStrepTag. Cells were treated with 100 nM doxycycline to induce 3xF-ATF6 expression 24 h preharvest. Input and IP elution blots were probed with both anti-FLAG and anti-StrepTag antibodies. n = 3. B, representative western blots of HEK293T cells transfected with nsp3.1-FT homologs or tdTomato (control), lysed, and probed for FLAG, ATF6 branch markers (GRP94, BiP, PDIA4), and GAPDH as a loading control. Control cells were either treated with DMSO or 6 μg/ml Tunicamycin (Tm) at 6 or 16 h preharvest to induce an ER stress response. TdTomato 16 h preharvest, n = 4; TdTomato 6 h preharvest, n = 3; all others, n = 7. C, quantification of western blots shown in (B). Error bars indicate average ±SEM. Paired Student’s t-tests were used to test for significance between samples and tdTomato+DMSO control, with p-values <0.05 shown. D, box-and-whisker plots of ATF6-regulated protein abundance measured by quantitative proteomics. HEK293T cells were transfected with tdTomato (control) or SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1-FT and treated with DMSO or 6 μg/ml Tunicamycin for 16 h preharvest. Shown are the distribution of scaled log2 TMT intensities for ATF6-regulated proteins based on published genesets (50). A one-way ANOVA with Geisser-Greenhouse correction and post-hoc Tukey’s multiple comparison test was used to determine significance. Adjusted p-values are shown. n = 4 biological replicates in a single mass spectrometry run. E, box-and-whisker plots of ATF6-regulated protein abundance measured by quantitative proteomics. HEK293T cells were transfected with tdTomato (control) or SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1-FT and treated with DMSO or 10 μM 147 for 16 h preharvest. Shown are the distribution of scaled log2 TMT intensities for ATF6-regulated proteins based on published genesets (50). A one-way ANOVA with Geisser-Greenhouse correction and post-hoc Tukey’s multiple comparison test was used to determine significance. Adjusted p-values are shown. n = 3 biological replicates in a single mass spectrometry run.
Given the small reduction in GRP94 and PDIA4 protein levels (Fig. 5, B and C), an alternative possibility was that the nsp3.1 binding event could suppress ATF6 activation. To further test this possibility, cells were transfected with the respective nsp3.1 constructs and treated with the global UPR activator tunicamycin (Tm). We profiled global expression changes using quantitative proteomics to gain a broader insight into the regulation of UPR target genes based on larger genestes of ATF6-regulated targets, as well as targets of the other UPR branches (IRE1/XBP1s and PERK) (50) (supplemental Table S7). SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1 resulted in a small, but significant down-regulation of ATF6 targets compared with a control transfection (Fig. 5D), which was consistent with the data obtained from quantitative western blot. We observed robust activation of ATF6-target genes with Tm treatment (Fig. 5D, supplemental Fig. S16A), and also observed upregulation of IRE1/XBP1s and PERK target genes (supplemental Fig. S16, B and C). However, when nsp3.1 was expressed in cells, the Tm-induced expression changes of ATF6 target genes were significantly lower than with a mock control, confirming a suppression of the ATF6 response (Fig. 5D, supplemental Fig. S16A). When measured at the transcript level using qPCR, the same general trend was observed, though statistical significance was not reached (supplemental Fig. S16D). In contrast, nsp3.1 had no significant effect on the expression of IRE1/XBP1s or PERK targets (supplemental Fig. S16, B and C), highlighting that the viral protein does not globally suppress the UPR response.

CoV infection typically activates the UPR to a smaller degree than global UPR stressors, such as tunicamycin or thapsigargin (47, 53). For this reason, we set out to test whether nsp3.1 could more fully suppress the ATF6 response during such partial activation. We used compound 147, a small-molecule ER proteostasis regulator that preferentially activates the ATF6 branch of the UPR to mimic the incomplete UPR activation observed during CoV infection (54, 55). We carried out the same global quantitative proteomics and geneset analysis to profile UPR target expression (supplemental Table S7). ATF6 target genes were robustly activated when control-transfected cells were treated with 147 (Fig. 5E, supplemental Fig. S16D). However, as expected, the overall activation was reduced compared with Tm treatment, and no IRE1/XBP1s or PERK activation occurred with 147 (supplemental Fig. S16, E and F). In contrast, nsp3.1 expression completely blocked the upregulation of ATF6 targets when cells were treated with 147, further confirming the suppression of the ATF6 response (Fig. 5E, supplemental Fig. S16D). To ensure that the suppression was specific to ATF6 targets, we also analyzed the response of 147 treatment and SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1 expression on other UPR branches and the cytosolic heat shock response (supplemental Fig. S16, G and H). We did not observe any change in expression in response to 147 or nsp3.1, further validating that the regulation is specific to ATF6.

DISCUSSION

As evidenced by its many domains, nsp3 likely serves a multitude of roles within the coronavirus replication cycle. Some of these roles are well characterized, such as the requirement of nsp3 for formation of DMVs and the papain-like protease function in autocleavage of the orf1a polypeptide (14–17, 56, 57). Other roles remain less defined, and the interactome of the individual nsp3 fragments contained herein may serve to help delineate the role of this protein across several CoV strains. Using tandem mass tags (TMTpro 16plex), we are able to directly identify and compare the abundance of interactors across five coronavirus strains, including two different genera and three different betacoronavirus clades. Given the frequent emergence of severely pathogenic coronavirus strains over the past 20 years, it is becoming increasingly apparent that a deeper knowledge of the molecular mechanisms of coronavirus replication is needed to understand how we may better prepare therapeutics against potential future strains of these viruses.

The N-terminal portion of the protein (nsp3.1) is the only fragment lacking transmembrane domains and exclusively localizes to the cytosol. This could explain the high expression levels seen with this fragment, although there was still some variation among the expression efficiency for the homologs from different viral strains. An interesting divergence observed in the nsp3.1 data set is the enrichment of pathways related to mitochondrial transport and metabolism in the SARS-CoV data set that is absent in the SARS-CoV-2 data set. Given the two fragments share high sequence identity, it is noteworthy when one pathway is so highly enriched in one fragment versus the other, pointing to rapid divergent evolution. Other SARS-CoV-2 proteins have been shown to interact with several components of the mitochondria (18, 19), including our earlier nsp2/4 data set that found both SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 proteins interacting with mitochondria-associated membrane factors involved in controlling calcium flux between ER and mitochondria (22). Interestingly, the most highly enriched pathways in both of the SARS variants are also enriched (to a similar magnitude) in the MERS-CoV nsp3.1 fragment pointing toward conserved functions among the pathogenic variants.

The C-terminal portion of the nsp3.1 fragment for SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 consists of the SARS unique domain (SUD). While a definitive function of this domain has not been established in the coronavirus replication cycle, prior studies described a role for macrodomains within the SUD binding G-quadruplexes, strings of RNA containing multiple guanosines (56, 58, 59). While no RNA-binding pathways were observed as enriched with either of the SARS nsp3.1 fragments, potentially related processes such as mRNA catabolism, rRNA metabolism, and ribosome biogenesis were significantly enriched. This pathway was also observed in the MERS-CoV nsp3.1 interactor set, potentially pointing to a broader role.
for pathogenic strains. The singular pathway shown to be enriched only in the two SARS variants was "positive upregulation of transcription in response to ER stress," which prompted us to investigate the interactions and regulation of this fragment with the UPR sensor ATF6, which is discussed further below.

The middle fragment yielded the smallest number of overall interactors of the three fragments, across all five strains. Generally, expression levels of this fragment were also lower than the termini, which may have limited detection of interactors. However, all fragments and interactors were still reproducibly detectable by mass spectrometry. Surprisingly, we observed highly distinct pathway enrichment from the MERS-CoV and hCoV-229E fragments showing substantial interactions with ribosomal RNA processing proteins and nuclear import proteins, respectively. Interactions of other coronavirus proteins with nuclear transport machinery have been observed, for instance, nsp9 with the nuclear pore complex and nsp1 with mRNA export machinery (18, 60). Orf6 of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 inhibits STAT1 signaling by blocking nuclear import of phosphorylated STAT1. Nuclear localization of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 orf3b has been shown, as well as for N proteins (61–63). In contrast, no strains studied to date have observed interactions of nuclear transport pathways with nsp3. One possibility is the recruitment of nuclear transport proteins to 229E nsp3 for export of viral components from DMVs, as nsp3 already has an established role in DMV formation (14–16). A recent study showed that nsp3 from murine hepatitis virus (MHV) not only assists in initial formation of the DMVs, but subsequently forms the core of a pore-like structure that may aid in export of viral RNA (41).

The absence of these protein interactions between nsp3.1 homologs from betacoronavirus strains and nuclear importins points to evolution of functional divergence.

We also find that MERS nsp3.2 uniquely interacts with rRNA processing machinery involved in ribosome biogenesis. Several of these factors (WDR36, NOL10, URB1) were previously found to interact with SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV nsp8 homologs (18, 19). Some viruses, such as HIV-1, have also been shown to downregulate factors involved in rRNA processing during infection (45). Given the dependence of all coronaviruses on host translation machinery, it is interesting that MERS is the only CoV to maintain these interactions. This may represent a specialized avenue for MERS-CoV to modulate host translation through nsp3.

Viruses often modulate cellular stress responses in order to assist during replication and/or subvert the host immune system. Many groups of viruses, including coronaviruses and flaviviruses, have been shown to upregulate branches of the ER unfolded protein response (UPR) (47, 48, 51, 64–69), although exact roles of this activation for the viral replication cycle are still debated. We were therefore particularly interested to find ATF6 as a high-confidence interactor of the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1 fragments. ATF6 is a transmembrane sensor representing one of the most upstream portions of the UPR. As noted, coronaviruses replicate near the ER, and nsp3 possesses transmembrane domains that anchor the protein in the ER membrane. Given the localization of nsp3.1 to the cytosol, the protein is likely to interact with the cytosolic basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcriptional activator domain of ATF6. Therefore, we sought to determine if the fragment could be regulating the ability of ATF6 to respond to stress. We probed the transcriptional and translational level of known ATF6 regulated genes after overexpression of nsp3.1 fragments. Surprisingly, SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1 led to a significant decrease in ATF6 protein markers, suggesting suppression of the ATF6 pathway. In addition to inhibiting basal ATF6 activity, we showed that SARS-CoV-2 nsp3.1 was also capable of partially suppressing ER-stress induced ATF6 activation and fully blocked the more modest induction by the pharmacological activator 147. It is likely that UPR activity has to be finely tuned during infection to prevent detrimental consequences from prolonged activation, such as apoptosis induction. Prior studies found that the IRE1/XBP1s UPR branch was inhibited by the SARS-CoV E protein and that ATF6 gene targets were not upregulated during MHV infection despite ATF6 activation and cleavage (65, 70). These results suggest that coronaviruses employ strategies to attenuate distinct UPR signaling branches, but little is known about what viral proteins are responsible for tuning UPR activity. Our results indicate that the N-terminal regions of SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 directly act on ATF6 to suppress activation. Further work is needed to understand the molecular mechanisms of this suppression and its role during SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Many studies have highlighted the utility of viral protein interactormies in identifying roles for host pathways in the replication cycle of many viruses (43, 71), and large-scale interactorome studies with SARS-CoV-2 demonstrated how these interactormies may be useful for identifying existing drugs to be repurposed to fight viral infections (18). Despite the large body of work done in the past year on SARS-CoV-2, interactorome studies of nsp3 have been limited to the PL2pro papain-like-protease domain (11). In addition to presenting data for the full nsp3 interactorome, our studies highlight the utility of using tandem mass tag technology to quantitatively compare the SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 interactorome to the interactorome of other known coronaviruses, both severely and mildly pathogenic. We find very divergent interactoromes, suggesting that while there is a large conservation of domains, there may also be more specific roles for this protein in the context of each individual virus. One caveat is the use nsp3 fragments in our analysis, which may miss host interactions that require different region or domains of the protein to coordinate. Additionally, it would be intriguing to investigate the interactoromes of nsp3 (fragmented or in full) with nsp4 and/or nsp6, as coordination between these viral proteins is required to form the DMVs characteristic in CoV infection. In the future,
it may be important to study the variations in host protein interactions that occur between specific SARS-CoV-2 variants that are rapidly emerging. For instance, the recently isolated B.1.1.7 (alpha) variant, shown to be highly transmissible and responsible for a large uptick in cases in the United Kingdom, possesses four mutations within orf1ab, three of which are in nsp3 (72) (https://virological.org/t/preliminary-genomic-characterisation-of-an-emergent-sars-cov-2-lineage-in-the-uk-defined-by-a-novel-set-of-spike-mutations/563). Additionally, the B.1.351 (beta) variant contains one nsp3 mutation, and the P.1 (gamma) variant contains two (72). This makes nsp3 protein variants relevant to study for better understanding how such evolutionary adaptations in nonstructural proteins may impact virulence.
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