Extracting human cortical responses to sound onsets and acoustic feature changes in real music, and their relation to event rate
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Evoked cortical responses (ERs) have mainly been studied in controlled experiments using simplified stimuli. Though, an outstanding question is how the human cortex responds to the complex stimuli encountered in realistic situations. Few electroencephalography (EEG) studies have used Music Information Retrieval (MIR) tools to extract cortical P1/N1/P2 to acoustical changes in real music. However, less than ten events per music piece could be detected leading to ERs due to limitations in automatic detection of sound onsets. Also, the factors influencing a successful extraction of the ERs have not been identified. Finally, previous studies did not localize the sources of the cortical generators. This study is based on an EEG/MEG dataset from 48 healthy normal hearing participants listening to three real music pieces. Acoustic features were computed from the audio signal of the music with the MIR Toolbox. To overcome limits in automatic methods, sound onsets were also manually detected. The chance of obtaining detectable ERs based on ten randomly picked onset points was less than 1/10,000. For the first time, we show that naturalistic P1/N1/P2 ERs can be reliably measured across 100 manually identified sound onsets, substantially improving the signal-to-noise level compared to \textsuperscript{−10} trials. More ERs were measurable in musical sections with slow event rates (0.2 Hz-2.5 Hz) than with fast event rates (~2.5 Hz). Furthermore, during monophonic sections of the music only P1/P2 were measurable, and during polyphonic sections only N1. Finally, MEG source analysis revealed that naturalistic P2 is located in core areas of the auditory cortex.

1. \textbf{Introduction}

The simplification of real-world phenomena into experimental paradigms is the standard approach in quantitative research. However, this entails a detachment from the more complex reality which raises questions regarding its ecological validity. For this reason, there is now an increasing interest in investigating the human brain under more natural settings as an addition to more controlled experiments (Hasson and Honey, 2012). An early example from vision research on naturalistic designs was the application of inter-subject correlation in functional magnetic resonance neuroimaging (fMRI) while participants watched a popular movie (Hasson et al., 2004). More recently, our team combined computational analysis of the auditory signal, using the Music Information Retrieval (MIR) Toolbox (Lartillot and Toiviainen, 2007), with the fMRI signal (Alluri et al., 2012; Burunat et al., 2016) to study the cortical processing of single musical features during realistic listening. Other investigations have adopted electroencephalography (EEG) block designs (Bo et al., 2016; Mikutta et al., 2014). These studies showed an association between electrophysiological brain oscillations at narrow frequency bands and the time course of acoustic features. The main drawback of fMRI and EEG block designs is, however, their low temporal resolution, whereby the neurophysiological responses to the temporal details of real music (Fig. 1) are missing or distorted.

Only a few recent studies have adopted the high temporal precision of EEG to examine the relationship between transient evoked cortical responses (ERs) and rapid acoustical changes in real music (Poikonen
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et al., 2016a, 2016b; Sturm et al., 2015) and language (Crosse et al., 2016). A popular assumption from studies on the peripheral auditory system is that magnitudes in an acoustical feature, e.g., their sound intensity, are linearly related to ER amplitudes (Holdgraf et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2006). However, auditory detection thresholds (Mäkinen et al., 2004), feedback loops, and inhibitory processes, such as neural adaptation, challenge this assumption by modulating the stimulus-response relationship in the cortex (Holdgraf et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2006). Moreover, in a recent study (Haumann et al., 2018), we were unable to replicate previous findings of ERs to strong acoustical changes. One possible reason is that relatively few ERs have so far been successfully extracted, with less than ten per tested acoustical feature and music piece (see e.g., Poikonen et al., 2016a, 2016b). Despite these challenges, we argue that it is relevant to identify ERs based on acoustical feature changes. We assume that there is a low chance of measuring ERs at random time points not constrained to acoustical feature changes. Also, we suggest that it might be relevant to investigate which additional factors are important for the successful extraction of cortical responses evoked by real music.

Historically, researchers have used sound onsets in controlled stimulus paradigms to extract auditory ERs. However, the detection of sound onsets in real music poses a methodological challenge. The classical approach of MIR algorithms to detect sound onsets in naturalistic music stimuli consists of finding an increase, and setting a threshold for the increase, in two main acoustical features: sound intensity (or RMS energy) and spectral flux (Alias et al., 2016). Spectral flux reflects a combination of a change in sound intensity, pitch, and timbre (e.g., a different instrument sound). Sound intensity specifically reflects a change in the energy of a sound. The setting of a proper threshold remains, however, a major limitation of these automatic methods. Sound onsets have different spectral spreads and rates of energy increase (Smith and Fraser, 2004). Furthermore, those onsets are particularly difficult to detect from the spectrogram of polyphonic music, which contains a mixture of energies from simultaneous sources of music instruments (Thoshkahna and Ramakrishnan, 2008).

Another relevant factor for extracting cortical ERs is the average number of sound onsets per second, defined as ‘event rate’ (or ‘event density’ in the MIR Toolbox). The amplitude of the ER depends negatively on the rate of the stimulus presentation (Naatanen and Picton, 1987). The cortical P1/N1/P2 ERs show higher amplitude at slower event rates between 1 and 5 Hz (Sassman et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008), and, dependent on the stimulus intensity and pitch, higher N1/P2 amplitudes have been observed for slower event rates in a range between 0.1 Hz and 1 Hz (Naatanen and Picton, 1987). Sound events in naturalistic music stimuli often have event rates higher than 1 Hz; thus, they presumably evoke cortical responses of lower amplitudes. This differs from typical controlled paradigms, where the event rate is slower and optimized to increase the amplitudes of the ERs. To our knowledge, it remains to be empirically validated whether more P1/N1/P2 responses are measurable at slow event rates—compared to fast event rates—in real music stimuli. Therefore, we also investigated whether it might be important to consider the effects of event rate when selecting naturalistic music excerpts.

In summary, here we introduced a novel analysis approach of the

Fig. 1. Sound spectrogram of the music stimuli applied in this study. (A) Sound spectrogram for the naturalistic music piece used in the current study (“Adios Nonino” by Astor Piazzolla). (B) Five acoustical features (RMS energy, spectral flux, brightness, zero-crossing rate, roughness) extracted from the same music piece using the MIR toolbox (see details in Experimental Procedures).
naturalistic paradigm by combining sound onset detection and MEG/EEG measurements with the primary goal of extracting cortical ERs during a realistic listening situation. A related goal consisted of testing the reliability of these ERs and in deriving their neural generators. Finally, we aimed to explore the relationship between the ER amplitudes and the rate of the events occurring in realistic music.

2. Results

2.1. Chance level for extracting significant evoked responses at random time points

The chance level for extracting one significant ER to the naturalistic music piece at random time points (without acoustical feature analysis) was lower than 5% both for the EEG channels (maximum $p_{\text{chance,1}} = 0.026$) and the MEG magnetometer channels (maximum $p_{\text{chance,1}} = 0.039$). The chance level for randomly extracting significant ERs in averages across ten trials was estimated to be less than 1 out of 10,000 for the EEG channels ($p_{\text{chance,10}} < 0.0001$) and MEG magnetometer channels ($p_{\text{chance,10}} < 0.0001$). Moreover, the chance level for extracting significant ERs peaked in EEG channels Fz-Cz and MEG magnetometer left channel 1621 and right channel 1341, which are typical for measuring auditory cortical ERs. This suggests that random exploration of trigger time points is unlikely to lead to the extraction of significant ERs across ten trials by chance.

2.2. Verification of slow and fast event rates for automatic detections

An excerpt from the music piece containing silent breaks was selected as an example of slow event rates. Another excerpt from the music piece without silent breaks was selected as an example of fast event rates. Triggers, time points with salient increases in sound intensity (RMS energy) and spectral flux, assumed to indicate sound onsets, were automatically extracted by an algorithm. First, it was verified that the event rates differed significantly between the selected excerpts with ‘slow’ and ‘fast’ event rates. The event rates for the triggers at the slow event rates were on average 0.30 Hz (SD = 0.48) for sound intensity and 0.20 Hz (SD = 0.42) for spectral flux. By contrast, the event rates for the triggers at the fast event rates were on average 1.10 Hz (SD = 0.88) for sound intensity and 1.38 Hz (SD = 2.39) for spectral flux. The event rate was significantly slower for the triggers at the slow compared to the fast event rates, $p<0.016$.

In addition, event densities for unconstrained triggers (without slow/fast event rate distinction) were on average 1.40 Hz (SD = 2.46) for sound intensity and 1.30 Hz (SD = 2.45) for spectral flux.

2.3. Extraction of P2 responses based on automatic sound onset detections

P2 responses differed significantly from the pre-stimulus baseline at time points when spectral flux and RMS energy increases were identified in slow-rate excerpts (Table 1). However, the acoustical changes at fast event rates and unconstrained stimulus rates were not consistently followed by significant P2 responses (Table 1).

Accordingly, we found that P2 amplitudes were significantly different in the comparison between fast and slow event rates, in the EEG (F(2,74) = 28.55, MSE = 14.24, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2_p = 0.44$), in the MEG magnetometers (F(1,66,62.30) = 45.53, MSE = 9845.38, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2_p = 0.54$) and in the MEG gradiometers (F(1,56,60.87) = 36.47, MSE = 205.13, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2_p = 0.48$). Post-hoc comparisons are shown in Table 2. Overall, these results indicate that the P2 responses are present at the slow event rates but undetectable at the fast event rates (Fig. 2 and Table 1).

The results also showed that the magnetic P2 responses at the slow event rates were significantly stronger in amplitude in the right hemisphere compared to the left hemisphere (magnetometers: F(1,39) = 4.61, MSE = 4366.41, $p<0.001$, $\eta^2_p = 0.11$; gradiometers: F(1,39) = 5.19, MSE = 140.93, $p=0.028$, $\eta^2_p = 0.12$).

2.4. Extraction of P1/N1/P2 responses based on manual sound onset detections

2.4.1. Reliability of automatic vs. manual sound onset detections

Manual sound onset detection was performed by a musicology expert (first author). Generally, the manual sound onset detection method seemed more reliable than the current automatic method. The automatic sound onset detections only partially corresponded with the manual detections.

Table 1

| Modality | Event rate | Feature | Central df t p mean | Left df t p mean | Right df t p mean |
|----------|------------|---------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| EEG      | Slow       | RMS energy | 37 5.34 <0.001* 3.2 μV | | |
|          |            | Spec. flux  | 37 5.22 <0.001* 2.8 μV | | |
| Unconstrained | RMS energy | 37 1.24 0.224 0.8 μV | | |
|          |            | Spec. flux  | 37 2.35 0.024 1.2 μV | | |
| Fast     | RMS energy | 37 -1.16 0.253 -0.7 μV | | |
|          |            | Spec. flux  | 37 -3.43 0.002* -2.6 μV | | |
| MEG mag. | Slow       | RMS energy | 39 6.17 <0.001* 83 fT | 39 7.05 <0.001* 88 fT | |
|          |            | Spec. flux  | 39 4.53 <0.001* 77 fT | 39 8.49 <0.001* 104 fT | |
| Unconstrained | RMS energy | 39 1.01 0.318 9 fT | 39 3.15 0.003 34 fT | |
|          |            | Spec. flux  | 39 2.78 0.008 31 fT | 39 2.86 0.007 33 fT | |
| Fast     | RMS energy | 39 -3.67 0.001* -38 fT | 39 -0.30 0.768 -4 fT | |
|          |            | Spec. flux  | 39 -0.46 0.651 -7 fT | 39 -1.27 0.211 -22 fT | |
sound onset detections, and the automatic detections missed nearly half of the perceivable sound events (Supplementary Material B). This indicates that the automatic method did not reliably detect perceivable sound onsets. Also, the missed sound onsets with the automatic method resulted in underestimated event rates. To our experience, lowering the threshold for detecting sound intensity and spectral flux increases lead to the detection of more unperceivable acoustical changes in addition to perceivable sound onsets, suggesting a relatively low specificity of the current automatic method.

Specifically, the automatically detected time points with MoRI peaks in the sound intensity (RMS energy) feature showed an average minimum deviation from the manual sound onset detection time points by 30 ms (SD = 26 ms). A total of 45.0% of perceivable sound onsets were missing (deviated more than 50 ms from the manual detections) with the automatic sound intensity (RMS)-based detections. Also, the automatically detected time points with MoRI peaks in the spectral flux feature showed an average minimum deviation from the manual sound onset detection time points by 37 ms (SD = 30 ms). A total of 41.6% of perceivable sound onsets were missing (deviated more than 50 ms from the manual detections) with the automatic spectral flux-based detection.

2.4.2. Stimulus features at slow and fast event rates

The event rate at each manually detected sound onset was calculated as the inverse of the duration in seconds between the previous sound onset and the current sound onset (e.g., 0.5 s = 2 Hz event rate). Slower event rates showed lower sound intensity (RMS) and spectral flux measures prior to the manually detected sound onsets. This means that at slower event rates the sound intensity and spectral change related to the previous sound onset had more time to decay prior to the current sound onset (Fig. 3).

The statistical results verify this finding. The average sound intensity (RMS), F(5,2112) = 25.14, MSE = 0.001; p < .001, $\eta^2_p = 0.06$, and spectral flux, F(1,2112) = 30.51, MSE = 46.36; p < .001, $\eta^2_p = 0.07$, measures were significantly lower at slower event rates during the 500 ms preceding the manually detected sound onsets. Post hoc comparisons

Fig. 2. Automatic detection waveforms showing the average extracted increases in RMS energy (sound intensity) and spectral flux and cortical ERs measured with EEG and MEG. (MAG = MEG magnetometers; GRAD = MEG gradiometers.) The onset of the MIR feature increases is located at time 0 ms (marked by the vertical line). The MEG waveforms show the average ERs across the left and right hemisphere channels. Shaded error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. P2 topographies for the slow event rate condition are shown with cold to warm colors in the range $-4$ to $4 \, \mu V$ for the EEG, $-100$ to $+100 \, \text{fT}$ for the MEG magnetometers, and 0–18 $\text{fT/cm}$ for the MEG gradiometers.
confirmed significantly lower sound intensity (RMS) and spectral flux for the slowest 0-4th percentile event rates compared to all faster event rates \((p < .001 - 0.01)\), for the second slowest 4-8th percentile compared to the faster 12-100th percentile event rates \((p < .001 - 0.05)\), and for the third slowest 8-12th percentile event rates compared to the faster 20-100th percentile event rates \((p < .001-0.05)\). Moreover, no significant interaction between event rate and instruments was found on neither the sound intensity (RMS), \(F(5,2112) = 1.48, \text{MSE} = 0.001; p = .192, \eta^2_p = 0.00\), nor the spectral flux, \(F(5,2112) = 0.63, \text{MSE} = 46.36; p = .625, \eta^2_p = 0.00\). This confirms that the stimulus features were lower at slower event rates regardless of the instruments.

Moreover, the solo piano compared to the whole orchestra showed generally lower sound intensity and spectral change measures prior to the manually detected sound onsets (Fig. 3). This means that the sound intensity and spectral flux did not return to as low sound intensity and spectral flux in the whole orchestra as in the piano solo (Fig. 3). The

![Fig. 3](image-url)
average sound intensity (RMS), F(1,2112) = 259.38, MSE = 0.001; p < .001, n² = 0.11, and spectral flux, F(1,2112) = 162.53, MSE = 46.36; p < .001, n² = 0.07, measures during the 500 ms preceding the manually detected sound onsets were significantly lower at the piano solo compared to the whole orchestra.

### 2.4.3. Reliability of manual vs. automatic sound onset detections for extracting P1/N1/P2 responses

Based on a 10 times larger number of successfully extracted trials, the manual sound onset detection method seemed more reliable than the current automatic method for extracting P1/N1/P2 responses.

Across 100 trials average P1 responses diverged significantly from the baseline in the EEG (p < .001) and MEG (L and R magnetometers, p < .001), N1 responses in the EEG (p < .001) and MEG (L and R magnetometers, p < .001), and P2 responses in the EEG (p < .001) and MEG (L and R magnetometers, p < .001) across the study participants when applying the manually detected sound onset time points (Fig. 3). In contrast, based on experience with the automatic method, when allowing to include more than 10 trials, or when lowering the detection threshold for increases in sound intensity (RMS energy) and spectral flux, the average ER amplitudes decreased until no ERs were measurable.

#### 2.4.4. P1/P2 responses to piano solo and N1 responses to the whole orchestra

The piano solo showed only measurable P1 and P2 responses, whereas the whole orchestra resulted in only measurable N1 responses (Fig. 3). Therefore, statistical comparisons of ER amplitudes at slower and faster event rates were conducted separately for the piano solo and the whole orchestra.

#### 2.4.5. P1/N1/P2 responses measurable at slow event rates

Generally, the manual detections showed higher P1/N1/P2 amplitudes at slower event rates as compared with faster event rates (Fig. 3). During the piano solo, the P1 amplitude was significantly higher at slower event rates in the EEG, F(3,83,157.13) = 9.97, MSE = 4.59; p < .001, n² = 0.20, MEG magnetometers, F(3.54,162.99) = 30.24, MSE = 3523.11; p < .001, n² = 0.40, and MEG gradiometers, F(3.50,161.01) = 74.69, MSE = 81.78; p < .001, n² = 0.62. Post hoc comparisons are shown in Table 3. There was no main effect of the hemisphere on P1 amplitude in neither MEG magnetometers, p = .439, nor gradiometers, p = .135, and no interaction between hemisphere and event rate in MEG.

### Table 3

| Component | Modality | Event rate | Post-hoc comparisons |
|-----------|----------|------------|----------------------|
|           |          |            | 0-4th % | 4-8th % | 8-12th % | 12-16th % | 16-20th % |
| P1        | EEG      | 4-8th % (0.86–1.57 Hz) | 0.024 
|           |          | 8-12th % (0.86–2.15 Hz) | 0.001 | 0.179 
|           |          | 12-16th % (2.15–2.89 Hz) | 0.082 | 0.141 
|           |          | 16-20th % (2.89–3.56 Hz) | 0.001 | 0.157 |
|           | MEG mag. | 4-8th % (0.86–1.57 Hz) | 0.001 |
|           |          | 8-12th % (0.86–2.15 Hz) | 0.001 | 0.506 |
|           |          | 12-16th % (2.15–2.89 Hz) | 0.001 | 0.761 |
|           |          | 16-20th % (2.89–3.56 Hz) | 0.001 | 0.074 |
|           | MEG grad. | 4-8th % (0.86–1.57 Hz) | 0.001 |
|           |          | 8-12th % (0.86–2.15 Hz) | 0.001 | 0.074 |
|           |          | 12-16th % (2.15–2.89 Hz) | 0.001 | 0.001 |
|           |          | 16-20th % (2.89–3.56 Hz) | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| P2        | EEG      | 4-8th % (0.86–1.57 Hz) | 0.244 |
|           |          | 8-12th % (0.86–2.15 Hz) | 0.074 | 0.671 |
|           |          | 12-16th % (2.15–2.89 Hz) | 0.046 | 0.696 |
|           |          | 16-20th % (2.89–3.56 Hz) | <0.001 | 0.001 |
|           | MEG mag. | 4-8th % (0.86–1.57 Hz) | 0.100 |
|           |          | 8-12th % (0.86–2.15 Hz) | 0.638 | 0.025 |
|           |          | 12-16th % (2.15–2.89 Hz) | 0.500 | 0.354 |
|           |          | 16-20th % (2.89–3.56 Hz) | <0.001 | <0.001 |
|           | MEG grad. | 4-8th % (0.86–1.57 Hz) | 0.011 |
|           |          | 8-12th % (0.86–2.15 Hz) | 0.720 | <0.001 |
|           |          | 12-16th % (2.15–2.89 Hz) | 0.016 | 0.001 |
|           |          | 16-20th % (2.89–3.56 Hz) | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| N1        | EEG      | 4-8th % (1.66–2.21 Hz) | 0.432 |
|           |          | 8-12th % (2.21–2.69 Hz) | 0.583 | 0.235 |
|           |          | 12-16th % (2.69–3.14 Hz) | 0.260 | 0.075 |
|           |          | 16-20th % (3.14–3.63 Hz) | 0.019 | 0.005 |
|           | MEG mag. | 4-8th % (1.66–2.21 Hz) | 0.325 |
|           |          | 8-12th % (2.21–2.69 Hz) | 0.004 | 0.024 |
|           |          | 12-16th % (2.69–3.14 Hz) | 0.188 | 0.422 |
|           |          | 16-20th % (3.14–3.63 Hz) | 0.012 | 0.034 |
|           | MEG grad. | 4-8th % (1.66–2.21 Hz) | 0.591 |
|           |          | 8-12th % (2.21–2.69 Hz) | 0.175 | 0.343 |
|           |          | 12-16th % (2.69–3.14 Hz) | 0.154 | 0.059 |
|           |          | 16-20th % (3.14–3.63 Hz) | 0.113 | 0.047 |
|           |          | 20-100th % (3.63–1111.11) | <0.001 | <0.001 |

**Note:** Post-hoc comparisons were conducted using Bonferroni corrections.

---

**Fig. 3.** Detection of onsets. Post-hoc comparisons between P1, N1, and P2 amplitudes tested at different event rates based on manual sound onset detections. Showing p-values for post-hoc comparisons.
magnetometers, \( p = .164 \), and gradiometers, \( p = .517 \). This suggests that the P1 amplitude was higher at slower event rates as opposed to higher event rates in both hemispheres.

Moreover, during the piano solo, the P2 amplitude was significantly higher at slower than faster event rates in the EEG, \( F(3.78,154.98) = 8.82, \text{MSE} = 5.44; p < .001, \eta^2_p = 0.18 \), MEG magnetometers, \( F(3.77,173.44) = 23.55, \text{MSE} = 3673.90; p < .001, \eta^2_p = 0.34 \), and MEG gradiometers, \( F(3.72,171.02) = 70.25, \text{MSE} = 78.32; p < .001, \eta^2_p = 0.61 \). Post hoc comparisons are shown in Table 3. Similarly, there was no main effect of the hemisphere on P2 amplitude in neither MEG magnetometers, \( p = .342 \), nor gradiometers, \( p = .443 \), and no interaction between hemisphere and event rate in MEG magnetometers, \( p = .174 \), and gradiometers, \( p = .055 \), suggesting that the effect of event rate on P2 amplitude affected both hemispheres similarly.

Finally, the N1 responses to the whole orchestra (the polyphonic sections of the music) also showed significantly higher amplitude at slower event rates in the EEG, \( F(4.01,164.47) = 2.79, \text{MSE} = 2.17; p = .028, \eta^2_p = 0.06 \), MEG magnetometers, \( F(3.54,162.82) = 5.69, \text{MSE} = 1902.64; p < .001, \eta^2_p = 0.11 \), and MEG gradiometers, \( F(4.04,185.89) = 62.88, \text{MSE} = 15.22; p < .001, \eta^2_p = 0.58 \). Post hoc comparisons are shown in Table 3. As with the P1 and P2 responses, there was no main effect of the hemisphere on N1 amplitude in neither MEG magnetometers, \( p = .321 \), nor gradiometers, \( p = .251 \), and no interaction between hemisphere and event rate in MEG magnetometers, \( p = .589 \), and gradiometers, \( p = .930 \), suggesting that the effect of event rate on N1 amplitude affected both hemispheres similarly.

### 2.5. Localization of the naturalistic P2 responses based on automatic sound onset detections

Results of the source localization analysis suggest that the magnetic P2 responses at the slow event rates originate from the primary auditory cortex. Also, for RMS energy (sound intensity) additional sources were found in the anterior temporal lobe (BA 38) and for spectral flux in secondary auditory areas (BA 22) (Fig. 4).

### 3. Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated that average P1/N1/P2 responses can be successfully extracted at sound onsets in real musical pieces and with high reliability across 100 sound onsets. This finding substantially improves the signal-to-noise level as well as the efficiency of the M/EEG recording time, because tenfold more events leading to detectable P1/N1/P2 responses were extracted in comparison to previous studies. We also verified that naturalistic P2 responses are localized to core cortical areas of the auditory cortex. Critically, we found that the chance to extract P1/N1/P2 responses at sound events is low in musical parts with fast event rates (greater than 2.5 Hz), but it can be increased by selecting slower music excerpts (0.2–2.5 Hz). With those excerpts, we observed that P1/P2 responses were predominant in the monophonic/homophonic sections of the music (piano solos), whereas N1 responses were only measurable in polyphonic parts with whole orchestration.

#### 3.1. Naturalistic P1/N1/P2 responses to sound onsets

We observed that the P2 responses were evoked by increases in the acoustical features sound intensity (RMS energy) and spectral flux, which are commonly used to locate the onset times of syllables in speech and the onsets of tones in musical pieces (Alías et al., 2016). Despite the relatively low reliability of detecting sound onsets with the current automatic method, the idea of automatically or semi-automatically detecting sound onsets that evoke P1/N1/P2 seems promising. The focus on detecting sound onsets resulted, for the first time, in average P1/N1/P2 extracted across 100 trials when a manual sound onset method was applied, whereas previous studies have achieved extracting average P1/N1/P2 responses across <10 trials (Haumann et al., 2018; Poikonen et al., 2016a, 2016b). This suggests that with this method we were able to detect more acoustic events generating measurable event-related brain responses than in previous studies.

#### 3.2. Extraction of naturalistic P1/N1/P2 responses at slow event rates

Our findings suggest that ERs can be better extracted at low event rates, which is relevant to consider when selecting music pieces for measuring P1/N1/P2 responses. Past behavioral work on rhythm perception shows an information processing bias towards inter-onset intervals (IOI) within the range of 50–1000 ms (Fraisse, 1978; Handel, 1989; Hirsh, 1959). It is known that the most perceptually salient IOIs in music appear to be within this relatively fast range of event rates between 1 and 20 Hz (Parn cutoff, 1994), which typically results in decreased ER amplitudes (Campbell et al., 1984; Davis and Zerlin, 1966; Geisler, 1960; Hari et al., 1982; Milner, 1969; Naatanen and Picton, 1987; Nelson and Lassman, 1968; Picton et al., 1977, 1978; Rees et al., 1986; Thompson and Spencer, 1966). However, the neural mechanisms

---

**Fig. 4. Source location estimates of the P2 responses.** SPM8 standard source imaging estimates of the P2 responses at the slow event rates are plotted with red colored blobs on the MNI standard T1-weighted MRI image. White numbers indicate MNI coordinates in mm of the view centred on the right auditory primary cortex at \( x = 47, y = -19, z = 6 \).
underlying the difference in response magnitude at different event rates have not previously been investigated in the context of naturalistic stimuli. Here we observed that naturalistic P1/N1/P2 responses were measurable across 100 trials only when the event rate is in the range of approximately 0.2–2.5 Hz.

The present findings of decreased amplitudes at faster event rates in the context of naturalistic stimuli can be interpreted according to alternative but not mutually exclusive hypotheses: superposition, refractoriness, habituation, or predictive coding (Heilbron and Chait, 2018). The superposition hypothesis focuses on the overlapping cortical responses in the M/EEG waveforms typically observed in fast rate events (Simon et al., 2019; Tan et al., 2015). According to this hypothesis, the sum of the overlapping response waveforms (repeatedly displaced at the rate of the stimulus IOI) will result in cancellation (or summation) between the overlapping positive and negative evoked potentials or fields. This destructive (or constructive) interference might explain the observed lower (or higher) response amplitudes. However, in studies where fast steady-state-responses (SSR, with periodic stimulation greater than 1 Hz) have been simulated by increasing the rate of overlapping cortical ERs (P1/N1/P2), it has been found that the superposition hypothesis is insufficient for characterizing additional amplitude changes across stimulus IOIs (Tan et al., 2015). Apart from stimulus-specific entrainment (e.g., Brenner et al., 2009), also the cortical refractoriness hypothesis becomes relevant to consider. The cortical refractoriness hypothesis assumes that a pool of excited cortical neurons responding to a stimulus become less responsive during a recovery period spanning up to ten seconds (Brattico et al., 2003; Zacharias et al., 2012). This passive adaptation effect is also known as ‘neural fatigue’, and it is interpreted as a mechanism that increases the processing efficiency of sensory systems (Grill-Spector et al., 2006). In this recovery period, the excitability of the cortical neurons is gradually restored. When the sound features of interest are presented with shorter IOIs, the auditory cortical neurons have a shorter time to fully recover their excitability. In turn, this would result in a lowered cortical excitability and lowered amplitudes of the cortical ERs. Nevertheless, the cortical refractoriness hypothesis has been challenged by recent findings suggesting the involvement of expectations (or ‘predictions’) (Costa-Faidella et al., 2011; Euler and Ricci, 1958; Pearce et al., 2010; Serkov et al., 1969; Todorovic et al., 2011).

The absence of measurable early cortical responses to repeated stimuli might be explained by the hypothesis of stimulus-specific habituation and dishabituation (Butler, 1968, 1972a, 1972b; Fruhstorfer et al., 1976; Graham, 1973; Gu et al., 2018; Loveless, 1983; Megela and Teyler, 1979; Naatanen and Picton, 1987; Naatanen et al., 1988; Ohman and Lader, 1977; Picton et al., 1978; Thompson and Spencer, 1966; Thompson and Groves, 1973; Woods and Elmasian, 1986) or the more recent predictive coding theory (Bendixen et al., 2009; Brattico et al., 2013; Herrmann et al., 2015; Todorovic et al., 2011; Vuust et al., 2009; Winkler et al., 2009). Early intracranial studies on cats showed that direct stimulation of neurons in the auditory medial geniculate body (MGB) (without stimulation of the ears) evoked typical responses in the primary auditory cortex, but the cortical responses did not decrease in amplitude with shorter IOIs during the MGB stimulation (Euler and Ricci, 1958; Serkov et al., 1969). In another condition, pairs of click sounds were delivered to the ears of the cats through a loudspeaker, and the typical decrease in cortical amplitude for the shorter IOIs reappeared (Euler and Ricci, 1958; Serkov et al., 1969). The authors suggested that the response amplitudes for expected stimulus repetitions were reduced by certain inhibitory cortico-thalamic pathways, whereas the direct MGB stimulation might have perturbated these inhibitory pathways (Euler and Ricci, 1958; Serkov et al., 1969). A similar discussion on expectations and stimulus uncertainty has been related to human listeners (Todorovic et al., 2011). In one condition, listeners learned to expect that a click sound would not repeat, and when they heard the click sound repeating with a 500 ms delay the common reduction in N1 amplitude did not appear. In another condition, listeners learned to expect the 500 ms delayed repetition, and the typical pattern of N1 amplitude attenuation was restored. The authors interpret the dependency of the cortical ERs on expectations within the framework of predictive coding. Other recent studies, applying information-theoretic measures on melodic and rhythmic sequences, suggested that early cortical ERs might be affected by the predictability of the auditory stimulus (Lumaca and Baggio, 2016; Lumaca et al., 2018; Pearce et al., 2010). Music often consists of more repetitive and predictable auditory events, e.g., in comparison to spoken language (Huron, 2013), and therefore another possibility is that the fast events in the present study were repetitive and predictable. If the fast event rate stimuli were predictable, a factor of predictability might also explain the absence of measurable P1/N1/P2 responses (e.g., see Neuhaus and Knoêche, 2006). In this respect, future studies could investigate whether there might also exist mismatch responses to unpredicted change of acoustical features in naturalistic music pieces (for a case study, see Haumann et al., 2018). Furthermore, it would be relevant to investigate the diminutive effects of neural adaptation on the P1/N1/P2 in clinical populations (Kim, 2015; Zhang et al., 2011) using naturalistic music stimuli.

### 3.3. Location of naturalistic P2 sources in the auditory cortex

The source localization analysis results showed that the neural generators of the naturalistic P2 responses to sound intensity increases were originating from the primary auditory cortex and the temporal pole (BA 38). This is consistent with previous positron emission tomography (PET) (Belin et al., 1998) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) (Jancke et al., 1998) findings of increased activation in the primary auditory cortex and the temporal pole (BA 38) in participants listening to sound intensity changes in non-verbal and verbal stimuli. Moreover, the neural generators of the P2 to the spectral flux increases were estimated to originate from the primary (BA 41, 42) and secondary (BA 22) auditory cortex (BA 22). This is also in line with previous PET (Mirz et al., 1999) and fMRI (Menon et al., 2002) findings of activation in the primary and secondary auditory cortex related to spectral differences in sound.

### 3.4. Dominance of P1/P2 responses to monophonic/homophonic stimuli and N1 to polyphonic stimuli

One peculiar additional finding was that only P1 and P2 responses were measurable from the piano solo stimuli, whereas the sound onsets in the full orchestra only resulted in measurable N1 responses. Possibly, the different responses might be related to differences in musical texture (monophonic vs. polyphonic sounds) between the piano solo and orchestra sounds. Whereas P1/N1/P2 responses to monophonic music stimuli have been thoroughly investigated, only a few studies have addressed the emergence of P1/N1/P2 responses to polyphonic music stimuli with two or more simultaneously sounding instrumental voices appears to be rare (for temporally isolated polyphonic tones, e.g., see Micheyl et al., 2007; Snyder et al., 2006). Though one MMN study includes a finding on standard P1/N1/P2 responses, and interestingly, in line with the present findings, the study shows that monophonic stimuli mainly lead to P1 and P2 responses, whereas responses to polyphonic stimuli were dominated by N1 (Huberth and Fujioka, 2017). The authors mention that the enhanced negativity for polyphonic stimuli is not well known, though, it might be related to the perception of concurrent auditory objects, as in object-related negativity (ORN) responses (i.e., to a mistuned harmonic within a complex tone), or neural processes related to auditory stream segregation (Huberth and Fujioka, 2017). Future studies might shed further light on the behavior of P1/N1/P2 responses to polyphonic stimuli with two or more independently changing auditory streams.
4. Limitations

One limitation of the present and previous studies (Poikonen et al., 2016a, 2016b) was the use of relatively few similar trials for estimating the average cortical ERs to automatically detected rapid changes in an acoustical feature. This means that the EEG or MEG waveforms of the auditory ERs are relatively susceptible to overlap from ongoing auditory ERs to preceding sound events. In particular, the fast event rate condition was more susceptible to overlap of responses to preceding sound events, whereas the slow event rate condition showed a comparably better pre-stimulus baseline interval. Also, with fewer trials there is a higher susceptibility to interferences from intrinsically induced alpha waves during rest or mu waves during movement inhibition. However, we found that the analyzed responses at the P2 latency were not distorted by alpha or mu waves (see Supplementary Material 3). Consistent responses were observable at the P2 latency, the responses differed significantly from the signal measured at the baseline in the slow event rate condition, and the significant responses indicated auditory topography and sources typical of the auditory P2 (for further details on the statistical distributions of the responses, see Supplementary Material 2). Also, the contrast between triggers at slow versus fast event rates on P2 amplitude explained a large amount of the variance in the P2 amplitude ($\eta^2_2 = 0.44 - 0.54$). Moreover, as shown in Supplementary Material 4, ERs in the P2 latency range were more consistently visible in single trials for the slow event rate condition compared to the fast rate condition (despite the relatively low signal-to-noise ratio at the single-trial level). Furthermore, we succeeded in extracting average P1/N1/P2 across 100 trials based on manual detection of sound onsets. The automatic sound onset detection did not seem to be particularly reliable when applied alone, though, future studies might further develop more perceptually valid automatic or semi-automatic sound onset detection methods for extracting ERs to sound onsets, which are faster and less susceptible to bias than exclusively manual sound onset detection. Further exploration of changes in MIR features at time points when perceivable sound onsets are manually indicated might lead to suggestions to develop better sound onset detection algorithms.

Another limitation was that it was impossible to control for confounding variables without intervening with the real music piece, and therefore it is difficult to draw conclusions regarding within-participant stimulus-related effects. By selecting music with different tempi, future studies could test whether an effect of the event rate was directly involved in modulating the P1/N1/P2 response to the naturalistic stimuli. Though, the main goal of the present study was to test the replicability of extracting ERs to naturalistic music excerpts across normal hearing populations. While controlled study designs are the most suitable for explaining within-participant stimulus-related effects, we believe that naturalistic designs are beneficial for group comparisons. The naturalistic paradigms allow testing for group differences in acoustical change detection, e.g., between participants of a normal and neurological or psychiatric disorder were recruited for the study. All but two subjects were right-handed. The dataset is fully anonymized and has already been published elsewhere (e.g., Haumann et al., 2018). All experimental procedures for this study, included in the larger research protocol called “Tument”, were approved by the Coordinating Ethics Committee of the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa (approval number: 315/13/03/00/11, obtained on March the 11th, 2012) and were conducted in agreement with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants signed an informed consent upon arrival to the laboratory.

6. Experimental procedures

6.1. Participants

Forty-eight participants (23 females; mean age 28.3 years, SD = 8.6; 38 musicians and ten non-musicians) with normal hearing and no past neurological or psychiatric disorder were recruited for the study. All but two subjects were right-handed. The dataset is fully anonymized and has already been published elsewhere (e.g., Haumann et al., 2018). All experimental procedures for this study, included in the larger research protocol called “Tument”, were approved by the Coordinating Ethics Committee of the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa (approval number: 315/13/03/00/11, obtained on March the 11th, 2012) and were conducted in agreement with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants signed an informed consent upon arrival to the laboratory.

6.2. Stimuli and procedures

All participants listened to three real music pieces as stimuli: (1) the first 8 min and 7 s of the tango nuevo piece Adios Nonino by Astor Piazzolla (The Lausanne Concert album, BMG Music, 1993) (Fig. 1); (2) an edited version of the first part of the modern orchestral ballet music Rite of Spring by Igor Stravinsky (7 min and 47 s) (Kirov Orchestra, St. Petersburg, conducted by Valery Gergiev, Decca Music Group Ltd., © 2001 Universal International Music B.V.); and (3) the first 7 min and 51 s of the progressive metal/rock piece Stream of Consciousness by Dream Theater (Train of thought album, Elektra Records, 2003). The presentation order was counterbalanced between subjects.

In a previous study (Haumann et al., 2018), we were unable to extract ERs from the M/EEG dataset applied in the present study, when we did not control for the possible effect of event rate. For the present study, the inclusion criteria were that the music piece must contain silent breaks with durations of at least one second to allow correction for an effect of event rate. The slow event rate criterion was chosen to maximize the chance of extracting high-amplitude non-overlapping ERs. Among the three pieces, the tango nuevo piece Adios Nonino was the only one that satisfied this criterion, and it was therefore selected for the current study. The Rite of Spring and the Stream of Consciousness pieces did not contain silent breaks of at least one second, and the results for these pieces are presented elsewhere (Haumann et al., 2018).

6.3. Acoustic feature extraction with MIR Toolbox

Acoustic features were extracted with the Music Information Retrieval (MIR) Toolbox (version 1.6.1) for Matlab (Lartillot and Toivainen, 2007). Typically, the time points with sound event onsets in music and speech are estimated based on an increase in sound intensity or spectral flux (Alias et al., 2016) and have been found to evoke P1/N1/P2 responses in previous studies (Poikonen et al., 2016a, 2016b). Sound intensity is in the MIR Toolbox measured in root-mean-square (RMS) values of the audio waveform and partially relates to the perceived loudness. Spectral flux measures the distance between spectrums in adjacent time frames, showing peaks at time points with a change in timbre, pitch, or sound intensity. Since the peak of an evoked cortical response component (P1/N1/P2) to an acoustical change lasts only a few tens milliseconds (Luck, 2014), a temporal accuracy of approximately 10 ms is necessary for capturing the cortical response. Therefore, the features were extracted in 25 ms time-windows with a 50% overlap, resulting in a sampling rate of 80 Hz (1 / 12.5 ms) for the acoustic features (Poikonen et al., 2016a, 2016b).
6.4. Capture of specific acoustical changes evoking cortical responses

In a preceding EEG study by Poikonen et al. (2016a) an algorithm was tuned by using specific parameter values adapted to each tested music piece and acoustical feature to capture ERs. Parameters were adjusted to identify time points triggering significant ERs across eight trials for a similar version of the music piece (Adios Nonino by Astor Piazzolla) investigated in the present study.

One limitation of the previous method was that the sound intensity (RMS energy) should not exceed a specific threshold prior to the trigger onset. This constrains detections to a specific quiet sound intensity level (-10% of the mean = 0.043) in 500 ms preceding the trigger onset (e.g., compare the value 0.043 with the RMS energy values shown in Fig. 5). Real music stimuli can consist of more voices or instrumental sounds (i.e., it can be polyphonic), where a sound onset can occur in one instrument while sounds in other instruments continue, which results in a relatively high sound intensity (RMS energy) preceding a sound onset. Therefore, we also applied an adapted method for detecting acoustical changes regardless of the preceding sound intensity level. Another issue is that previous studies included spectral acoustical features not directly related to sound onsets, whereas we here focused on only sound intensity (RMS) and spectral flux features assumed to relate to sound onsets.

6.5. Automatic capture of acoustical changes related to sound onsets

MIR feature increases assumed to relate to sound onsets that evoke brain responses were automatically identified by following three criteria (further details are shown in Supplementary Material 1):

1. The magnitude of the rapid increase (MoRI) should exceed a perceptual threshold (Poikonen et al., 2016a, 2016b), here set to the 70% percentile of ranked MoRI values.
2. Response overlap from a preceding salient feature increase should be minimized. To achieve this, time points with salient feature increases exceeding a MoRI threshold (criteria 1) in a preceding low increase phase (PLIP) (here defined as the preceding 1 s) are excluded.
3. The number of extracted trigger time points is kept constant for comparable signal-to-noise ratios when averaging across the responses. This is achieved by finding the desired number of trigger time points with maximum distance in time. In previous studies 7–8 trigger time points are applied (Poikonen et al., 2016a, 2016b). Here 10 time points are extracted.

This method allows trigger time points to be identified across a whole music piece regardless of the event rates (Fig. 5). However, the previous success of identifying ERs when detections were constrained not to exceed a specific quiet sound intensity level 500 ms before the trigger onset (Poikonen et al., 2016a) might be due to this constraint resulting in slow event rates, regardless of the strength (MoRI parameter) of the acoustical change. Therefore, we also tested the adapted method on selected excerpts with slow and fast event rates.

6.6. Isolating trigger time points at slow and fast event rates

As mentioned above, the time points with onsets of a sound stimulus in continuous music and speech are generally assumed to be located at the time points with an increase in sound intensity (i.e., indicating a rise in intensity or attack curve) or spectral flux (i.e., indicating a change in timbre, pitch, or intensity) (Alias et al., 2016). This corresponds to the MoRI peaks in RMS energy (sound intensity) and spectral flux. Here, we investigated whether the amplitude of cortical P2 responses is lower in isolated triggers at fast event rates (excerpt without silent breaks of at least one second) compared to triggers isolated at slow event rates (excerpt with silent breaks of at least one second). Also, we included an unconstrained condition without control of the event rate.

Fig. 5. Examples of trigger time points at unconstrained, slow, and fast event rates based on sound intensity (RMS energy) increases. The acoustic feature curve for the entire musical piece is shown in blue color, while trigger time points (based on MoRI peaks) are marked in red, and the feature increases across the following 50 ms are shown in green. The example shows increases in RMS energy (sound intensity). For more details, see Supplementary Material B.
Table 4
Automatically extracted triggers for the tango nuevo music piece. The number of MoRI peaks, n MoRI peaks, corresponds to those detected above the threshold. The assumed best triggers, n triggers, satisfy the 70% percentile MoRI threshold and have at least 1 s PLIP and longest distances to previous triggers in seconds. For the assumed best triggers, extracted without further event rate constraints, the median PLIP and distance to previous trigger values are shown with ranges in parenthesis.

| Feature | n MoRI peaks | n triggers | PLIP       | Distance to prev. |
|---------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| RMS energy | 1773       | 10         | 1.502      | (1.009–5.604)     |
|          |             |            | (9.574–94.563) |           |
| Spectral Flux | 3009    | 10         | 2.010      | (1.27–5.601)      |
|          |             |            | (7.932–130.003) |           |

(5) trials from (ETS-Lindgren Euroshield, Eura, Finland) with Vectorview™ 306-channel MEG scanner (Elekta Neuromag®, Elekta Oy, Helsinki, Finland) equipped with a compatible EEG system. The MEG system had 102 SQUID sensor elements comprised of 102 axial magnetometers and 102 orthogonal planar gradiometer pairs. A 64-channel EEG electrode cap was used. The reference electrode was placed on the nose tip and the ground electrode was on the right cheek. Blinks, as well as vertical and horizontal eye movements, were measured with four electrodes attached above and below the left eye and close to the external eye corners on both sides. Four head position indicator coils were placed on top of the EEG cap. Their positions were located respectively to the nasion and the preauricular anatomical landmarks by Isotrack 3D digitizer (Polhemus, Colchester, VT, USA). MEG and EEG data were recorded with a sampling rate of 600 Hz. The music stimuli were presented with Presentation software (Neurobehavioral Systems, Ltd.). The sound was delivered through a pair of pneumatic headphones at a sound intensity adjusted to a comfortable level for each individual. There were short recording breaks after each music piece. In some sessions, more stimulation paradigms were presented to subjects, as specified in the Tunteet protocol, and the free-listening condition was kept as the last one.

During the MEG/EEG measurements, subjects were instructed to remain still, listen to the music and keep their eyes open. Moreover, in order to maintain subjects’ attention towards the music, they were warned that after each music stimulus, namely during the silent break, they would be asked to provide ratings of familiarity, liking, and sensory pleasure on a scale from 1 to 5.

6.8. MEG and EEG data acquisition

Simultaneous MEG and EEG data were collected at the Biomag Laboratory of the Helsinki University Central Hospital. The measurements were performed in an electrically and magnetically shielded room (ETS-Lindgren Euroshield, Eura, Finland) with Vectorview™ 306-channel MEG scanner (Elekta Neuromag®, Elekta Oy, Helsinki, Finland) equipped with a compatible EEG system. The MEG system had 102 SQUID sensor elements comprised of 102 axial magnetometers and 102 orthogonal planar gradiometer pairs. A 64-channel EEG electrode cap was used. The reference electrode was placed on the nose tip and the ground electrode was on the right cheek. Blinks, as well as vertical and horizontal eye movements, were measured with four electrodes attached above and below the left eye and close to the external eye corners on both sides. Four head position indicator coils were placed on top of the EEG cap. Their positions were located respectively to the nasion and the preauricular anatomical landmarks by Isotrack 3D digitizer (Polhemus, Colchester, VT, USA). MEG and EEG data were recorded with a sampling rate of 600 Hz. The music stimuli were presented with Presentation software (Neurobehavioral Systems, Ltd.). The sound was delivered through a pair of pneumatic headphones at a sound intensity adjusted to a comfortable level for each individual. There were short recording breaks after each music piece. In some sessions, more stimulation paradigms were presented to subjects, as specified in the Tunteet protocol, and the free-listening condition was kept as the last one.

During the MEG/EEG measurements, subjects were instructed to remain still, listen to the music and keep their eyes open. Moreover, in order to maintain subjects’ attention towards the music, they were warned that after each music stimulus, namely during the silent break, they would be asked to provide ratings of familiarity, liking, and sensory pleasure on a scale from 1 to 5.

6.9. MEG and EEG data preprocessing

We applied Elekta Neuromag™ MaxFilter 2.2 Temporal Signal Space Separation (tSSS) (Taulu and Hari, 2009) to minimize the influence of external and nearby noise sources and automatically detect and correct bad MEG channels. This spatial filtering was achieved with the default inside expansion order of 8, outside expansion order of 3, automatic optimization of both inside and outside bases, subspace correlation limit of 0.980, and raw data buffer length of 10 s. The subsequent data processing was performed with FieldTrip version r9093, an open-source toolbox for Matlab (Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour/Max Planck Institute, Nijmegen, the Netherlands) (Oostenveld et al., 2011) and Matlab R2013b (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts). On average, 0.17 (range 0–8) EEG channels per participant were bad and corrected by replacing them with interpolation of the neighboring channels. To suppress slow drifts, the M/EEG data were high-pass filtered at 1 Hz, and to minimize muscular artifacts a low-pass filter at 25 Hz was applied (cf. (Poikonen et al., 2016a, 2016b)). The data was decomposed with independent component analysis (ICA) (Makeig et al., 1996), and when one component clearly reflected a vertical eyelink, one horizontal eye movement, or one ECG artifact, their projections were subtracted from the data. On average, 2.16 (range 1–8) EEG channels per participant were bad and corrected by replacing them with interpolation of the neighboring channels. To suppress slow drifts, the M/EEG data were high-pass filtered at 1 Hz, and to minimize muscular artifacts a low-pass filter at 25 Hz was applied (cf. (Poikonen et al., 2016a, 2016b)). The data was decomposed with independent component analysis (ICA) (Makeig et al., 1996), and when one component clearly reflected a vertical eyelink, one horizontal eye movement, or one ECG artifact, their projections were subtracted from the data. On average, 2.16 (range 1–8) EEG channels per participant were bad and corrected by replacing them with interpolation of the neighboring channels. To suppress slow drifts, the M/EEG data were high-pass filtered at 1 Hz, and to minimize muscular artifacts a low-pass filter at 25 Hz was applied (cf. (Poikonen et al., 2016a, 2016b)). The data was decomposed with independent component analysis (ICA) (Makeig et al., 1996), and when one component clearly reflected a vertical eyelink, one horizontal eye movement, or one ECG artifact, their projections were subtracted from the data.

6.7. Manual detection of sound onsets

To verify that the automatic sound onset detections did relate to perceivable sound onsets, we also included manual detection of sound onsets by a musicology expert (first author). All sound onset time points were detected using combined auditory and visual inspection of the sound spectrogram. The detected onsets mainly consisted of onsets of tones, but also a few clapping sound onsets from live audience at the beginning of the recording and a few clearly perceivable mechanical sounds from pressing keys on the accordion without producing tones. In total, 2127 sound events were detected.
average of 9.88 trials per condition. Afterward, the data was baseline corrected by subtracting the average from −100 to 0 ms before the estimated trigger and averaged across the trials.

Since the planar gradiometer sensors measure the difference in the magnetic field across two orthogonal directions, the measures from each couple of longitudinal and latitudinal gradiometer sensors were combined by applying the Pythagorean distance formula, as implemented in FieldTrip, \( d = \sqrt{\text{longitudinal}^2 + \text{latitudinal}^2} \).

6.10. \( ERP/ERF \) amplitude analysis

For participant-level analysis, \( ERP \) amplitudes were calculated from the central EEG electrode Cz (Poikonen et al., 2016a, 2016b), and the hemisphere-specific MEG magnetometer sensors, 0231 (left) and 1341 (right), and MEG combined planar gradiometer sensors 0212 + 13 (left) and 1322 + 23 (right), which showed the largest \( ER \) amplitudes above each hemisphere.

For the automatic detections, we focused our analysis on the P2 response, which was the only clearly measurable response. The P2 amplitudes were obtained from the mean amplitude within a 30 ms time window set around the peak latency. The P2 peak latency was identified as the maximum positive peak between 100 and 300 ms in the grand-average waveforms for the slow event rate acoustical changes at the EEG Cz electrode (Sound intensity: 206 ms; Spectral flux: 216 ms), across left (0231) and right (1341) MEG magnetometer peak channels (Sound intensity: 200 ms; Spectral flux: 186 ms), and across left (0212 + 13) and right (1322 + 23) MEG gradiometer peak channels (Sound intensity: 203 ms; Spectral flux: 183 ms).

For the manual detections, P1, N1, and P2 were measurable. The P1/N1/P2 amplitudes were measured within a 30 ms time window around the peak latencies in the grand-average waveform across 100 trials with slowest event rates. The P1 peaked in the EEG at 60 ms, in the MEG magnetometers at 50 ms, and in the MEG gradiometers at 47 ms. The N1 peaked in the EEG at 90 ms, in the MEG magnetometers at 140 ms, and in the MEG gradiometers at 110 ms. Finally, the P2 peaked in the EEG at 163 ms, in the MEG magnetometers at 147, and in the MEG gradiometers at 143 ms.

Group-level statistics was performed with SPSS version 20 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA). First, to consider the reliability of the \( ER \) based on the automatic detections, one-sample t-tests were conducted on the amplitudes of each average \( ER \) for each feature and stimulus rate condition, thereby testing whether each average \( ER \) differed significantly from the signal measured at the baseline at 0 \( \mu V \) or 0 ft. (One-sample t-tests were not conducted on the MEG gradiometers, due to inflation of the grand-average signal in the positive root-mean-squared values for the combined MEG gradiometers.) Subsequently, ANOVA models were applied to investigate whether the amplitudes showed significant differences across Feature (\( \text{RMS energy (Sound intensity), Spectral flux}\)) and Event rate (\( \text{Slow, Unconstrained, Fast}\)). In addition, the factor Hemisphere (\( \text{Left, Right}\)) was examined for the MEG measurements. Greenhouse-Geisser correction of degrees of freedom was applied in cases where Mauchly’s sphericity test showed that the assumption of sphericity was violated.

The correspondence between the automatically and manually detected sound onsets time points was investigated by measuring the minimum difference between each manually detected sound onset time point and the automatically detected time points. For the manual sound onset detections, the event rates were calculated as the inverse of the difference between the onset times of the detected sound onset and the previous sound onset (e.g., 0.5 s = 2 Hz event rate). For more detailed comparisons, event rates were divided into equal sample sizes with slower 0-4th, 4-8th, 8-12th, 12-16th, 16th-20th percentile event rates, and very fast 20-100th percentile event rates. Statistical testing of fixed effects of event rate on sound intensity (\( \text{RMS energy}\)) and spectral flux averages over 500 ms preceding the sound onsets was conducted with two-way ANOVAs. Comparisons of P1/N1/P2 amplitudes at different event rates were investigated with repeated measures ANOVAs.

It has recently been argued that due to noise and a large number of time samples in EEG/MEG there is a relatively high chance of observing a significant effect, even when the effect is false and tested conditions are assigned to randomly placed triggers (Luck and Gaspelin, 2017). Therefore, we additionally tested the chance level for extracting significant ERs by placing the triggers randomly. This was investigated by moving the 30 ms amplitude measurement time window across all possible time points from the beginning to the end of the piece and counting the number of significant and non-significant one-sample t-tests at \( p < .05 \) for each EEG and MEG channel. From this, we derived the chance of extracting one significant \( ER\),

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{\( p_{\text{chance}} = \frac{\text{number of significant tests}}{\text{total number of tests}} \)}
\end{align*}
\]

Also, following the same procedure, we measured the chance of extracting significant average \( ERs \) in the 30 ms amplitude measurement time window across ten randomly selected trials over 10,000 one-sample t-tests with significance level at \( p < .05 \) for each EEG and MEG channel.

6.11. \( MEG \) source localization analysis

Distributed source modeling was conducted with SPM8 version r6313 (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, United Kingdom) (Friston et al., 2007, 2008). A high-resolution mesh was applied consisting of 20,484 vertices representing the cortex in the canonical T1 MNI image. The forward lead fields were calculated with the single-shell model for MEG (Nolte, 2003) implemented in FieldTrip. Due to low signal-to-noise ratios, it was necessary to estimate the source locations based on the grand-average magnetometer waveforms, instead of the individual single-subject waveforms. The standard GS algorithm in SPM8 was used to estimate the inverse solutions (Friston et al., 2008). The source inversion algorithm is based on multiple sparse priors (MSP) representing patches in the cortex (Friston et al., 2008; Lopez et al., 2014). The resulting localization estimates were rendered on the standard 3D MNI image by applying the MRicroGL software (Rorden et al., 2007).
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response to repeated fast events, even though the intensity of the stimulus is not diminished. The effect of event rate on ERs is for example relevant for the study of hearing disorders. However, it is unknown whether the diminishing effect of the event rate is also present in ERs to realistic sound stimuli. In this study, we present an automatic method that extracts acoustic changes in a real musical piece, which coincide with 55–58% perceivable sound onsets that trigger neural responses. Also, we test a manual method for detecting sound onsets that trigger neural responses. We validate for the first time that cortical ERs can be extracted across 100 sound onsets, and with MEG source localization analysis we verify that measured brain responses to realistic music stimuli originate from the auditory cortex. Furthermore, we find that cortical ERs were only measurable at slow event rates (0.2–2.5 Hz), and response types varied across different instruments in healthy listeners listening to a real musical piece.
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