Waste Water Derived Electroactive Microbial Biofilms: Growth, Maintenance, and Basic Characterization

Carla Gimkiewicz¹, Falk Harnisch¹

¹Department of Environmental Microbiology, UFZ - Helmholtz-Centre for Environmental Research

Correspondence to: Falk Harnisch at falk.harnisch@ufz.de

URL: http://www.jove.com/video/50800
DOI: doi:10.3791/50800

Keywords: Environmental Sciences, Issue 82, Electrochemistry, Microbial fuel cell, microbial bioelectrochemical system, cyclic voltammetry, electroactive bacteria, microbial bioelectrochemistry, bioelectrocatalysis

Date Published: 12/29/2013

Citation: Gimkiewicz, C., Harnisch, F. Waste Water Derived Electroactive Microbial Biofilms: Growth, Maintenance, and Basic Characterization. J. Vis. Exp. (82), e50800, doi:10.3791/50800 (2013).

Abstract

The growth of anodic electroactive microbial biofilms from waste water inocula in a fed-batch reactor is demonstrated using a three-electrode setup controlled by a potentiostat. Thereby the use of potentiostats allows an exact adjustment of the electrode potential and ensures reproducible microbial culturing conditions. During growth the current production is monitored using chronoamperometry (CA). Based on these data the maximum current density ($j_{\text{max}}$) and the coulombic efficiency (CE) are discussed as measures for characterization of the bioelectrocatalytic performance. Cyclic voltammetry (CV), a nondestructive, i.e. noninvasive, method, is used to study the extracellular electron transfer (EET) of electroactive bacteria. CV measurements are performed on anodic biofilm electrodes in the presence of the microbial substrate, i.e. turnover conditions, and in the absence of the substrate, i.e. nonturnover conditions, using different scan rates. Subsequently, data analysis is exemplified and fundamental thermodynamic parameters of the microbial EET are derived and explained: peak potential ($E_p$), peak current density ($j_p$), formal potential ($E_f$) and peak separation ($\Delta E_p$). Additionally the limits of the method and the state-of-the art data analysis are addressed. Thereby this video-article shall provide a guide for the basic experimental steps and the fundamental data analysis.

Video Link

The video component of this article can be found at http://www.jove.com/video/50800/

Introduction

The elucidation of the fundamentals of microbial extracellular electron transfer (EET) and its exploitation in engineered systems is a vital and rapidly increasing field of research and development¹⁻⁵. The study of these electroactive (or bioelectrocatalytic or electrochemically active) microorganisms, including pure strains as well as defined co-cultures and complex consortia, requires a complex arsenal of techniques, methods and protocols⁶. These methods derive from diverse scientific disciplines, e.g. electrochemistry, materials science, microbiology, and provide insights on different hierarchical levels, i.e. from the entire microbial biofilm to single molecules. Thereby, electrochemistry and electrochemical methods represent the fundament of all activities.

Traditionally, fuel cell type setups were often used for the growth and maintenance of electroactive microbial cultures in the archetype of these engineered systems: microbial fuel cells (MFCs)⁷. Unfortunately, these types of MFCs often did not allow monitoring or even controlling the potential of a single electrode and thus, only limited insights in the electrode processes were possible- only the cell voltage was reported. Now it is more and more acknowledged that the individual monitoring and control of the potentials of single electrodes in MFCs represent a clear advantage, not only for fundamental research but also for engineering. Furthermore, with the diversification of the applications of microbial electrochemical technologies that now include, e.g. remediation, desalination, syntheses, and even biocomputing, in so-called microbial bioelectrochemical systems (BESs), an external control of individual electrode potentials is often substantial. This control is usually achieved by using external power sources or potentiostats. Thereby the use of potentiostats allows in contrast to other types of setups- an exact adjustment of the individual electrode potential. This is of high importance as the electrode represents the terminal microbial electron acceptor (for anodes) or electron donor (for cathodes) of the extracellular electron transfer. Figure 1 depicts the current state of knowledge on the microbial EET at anodes- see for details e.g.²,⁴,⁶,⁸,⁹ The EET at the cathode is still mainly unattended¹⁰.

Thus the control of the electrode potential enables not only the use of a reproducible microbial culturing conditions but also its tailoring in terms of EET thermodynamics¹¹. Within this article it is demonstrated how the characteristic parameters of electroactive microbial biofilms can be extracted from fed-batch reactor experiments using chronoamperometry (CA) and cyclic voltammetry (CV). This includes the performance parameters maximum current density ($j_{\text{max}}$) and coulombic efficiency (CE) as well as EET characteristics. Here the identification of the formal potentials ($E_f$) of possible and actual electron transfer sites will be in focus. The extraction of these parameters is shown on the example of waste water derived mixed culture biofilms that are dominated by Geobacter spp.¹² These waste water derived biofilms are gained by a simple electrochemical selection procedure as demonstrated by numerous research groups around the world¹³⁻¹⁶.

Dynamic electrochemical techniques to study microbial extracellular electron transfer
For a thermodynamic characterization of the EET of electroactive microbial cultures dynamic electrochemical techniques are needed. In general these techniques include potential controlled, i.e. voltammetric, and current controlled, i.e. galvanodynamic, techniques\textsuperscript{17}. Thereby the potential controlled techniques are more prevalent and include for instance, square wave voltammetry and linear sweep voltammetry\textsuperscript{18,19}. Most popular, however, is cyclic voltammetry (CV)\textsuperscript{19,20}. CV is well-known and widespread in different fields of electrochemistry ranging from battery research via materials science to enzyme bioelectrochemistry\textsuperscript{21}. Its application to living microbial cells can only be dated back more than one decade\textsuperscript{22} and increases significantly during the last years\textsuperscript{23-24}. Especially the combination of CV and spectroscopic methods has provided unprecedented insights in the EET mechanisms and its molecular nature, e.g.\textsuperscript{25,26}. In parallel the theoretical framework for the extraction of thermodynamic and theoretical characteristics of the microbial EET was broadened and deepened\textsuperscript{27-30}. In contrast, however, experimental mishandling or inadequate data-analysis often hamper the appropriate application of CV in the field of microbial bioelectrochemistry. Therefore, in addition to workshops (e.g. at the EU-ISMET 2012) and tutorials\textsuperscript{31}, this video-article shall provide a basic guide for the conduction of cyclic voltammetric experiments on electroactive bacteria. Thereby it is focusing on the basic experimental steps and the fundamental data analysis and is not intended to provide the latest protocols of kinetic analysis. The most important termini for the following discussion are summarized in Box 1 (adapted from Harnisch and Freguia\textsuperscript{18} and modified).

**Protocol**

As the selection procedure in the bioelectrochemical fed-batch reactor is very specific due to the choice of substrate and anoxic conditions, working in sterile conditions is not necessary for the demonstrated example.

1. **Preparation of Growth Medium**
   1. Prepare a suitable amount of the growth medium by using a phosphate buffer according to Kim et al.\textsuperscript{13} (see Table 1). Here, sodium acetate (10 mM) is serving as substrate. The growth medium used in this proposal is only one example, and depending on the experimental purpose can vary in its components.
   2. Add 1 ml of primary waste water per 20 ml of growth medium as inoculum. The waste water sample is taken from a municipal waste water treatment plant after the mechanical filtration (see for example Figure 2) and can be stored up to three months until usage in a closed container at 4 °C.
      
      *(Note: Depending on the objective of the experiment the exact initial cell count can be important and then should be determined. In the demonstrated example, the initial cell count is not important, as the biofilm reaches steady-state conditions.)*

2. **Setup of Fed-batch Reactor**
   1. Take a suitable reactor vessel—here a modified 4-necked 250 ml round-bottom flask is used.
      
      *(Note: In general the vessel should have at least four inlets. These are necessary for the three electrodes and for sampling/ media exchange. Produced gas volumes can be neglected when the reactor is opened regularly due to sampling or medium change, alternatively a bubble counter should be installed. In order to avoid unwanted phototropic growth, the reactors should be shielded from direct light exposure.)*

   2. For the three-electrode setup (see Figure 3 and section 3 for details) place the reference electrode (RE) (here Ag/AgCl, sat. KCl, 0.197 V vs. SHE), the working electrode (WE) and the counter electrode (CE) in the flask through individual inlets via silicone plugs – seal air-tight.
      
      *(Note: As WE and CE graphite rods are used. The wire of the electrodes is pulled through the silicon plug and fixed externally. The reference electrode is put through the silicon plug via a drilled hole. To avoid short-circuits, make sure that there is no physical contact of the electrodes, even while stirring or media recirculation. Place the electrodes that they are completely covered with medium solution. For sealing, wrap the silicon plug of every inlet with Parafilm.)*

   3. Pour the growth medium including the inoculum (here 250 ml) through the sampling port into the reactor while flushing with nitrogen.

   4. Close the last inlet with a silicone plug and seal it air-tight. Note: Traces of oxygen will not harm the mixed species biofilms. They are able to withstand and even consume low levels of oxygen which in turn may decrease the CE.

3. **Chronoamperometric Biofilm Growth**
   1. Connect the electrodes to the respective cables of the potentiostat. Place the vessel in a temperature controlled chamber, here 35 °C is used\textsuperscript{31}, in order to ensure constant environmental conditions.

   2. Open the software to control the potentiostat and choose the technique: Chronoamperometry. Set the parameters for example as follows: A constant potential \(E_{app}\) of 0.2 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) for a duration \(t\) of 500 hr (≈ 20 days). The current is recorded in time steps \(dt\), every minute.
      
      *(Note: The choice of the applied potential is dependent on the studied system/ microorganism. Generally anode processes are studied at constant potential and cathode processes at negative potentials. One can also deduce a suitable potential from the standard potentials of the electron donor/ acceptor and formal potential of the expected microbial electron transfer site, here as a starting point, please refer to recent literature.)*

   3. Start the chronoamperometry. A graph of the measured current over time is plotted by the software, the observed maximum current \((i_{max})\) is an important online parameter, as discussed in sections 4 and 5.
4. Biofilm Maintenance

1. Depending on the environmental conditions, e.g. the temperature, an oxidative current is starting to flow and reaches a plateau (see Figure 4). After reaching zero current again, pause the chronoamperometric measurement and replenish the medium solution. For a faster biofilm growth, replace the medium right after the plateau of the maximum current is reached. Open the sampling port and carefully, without destroying the thin biofilm, discharge the medium. While refilling the medium flush again with nitrogen. Close the sampling port and seal airtight.

2. Repeat the procedure of step 4.1. until the maximum oxidative current reaches a steady-state (see Figure 4).

3. Take 1 ml samples of the fresh and the exchanged medium solutions for substrate analysis, e.g. by HPLC. The initial substrate (acetate) concentration \( c_0 \) as well as the concentration of the remaining substrate solution \( c_i \) are subsequently needed for efficiency calculations (see section Data analysis).

Note: To achieve unaltered results, e.g. due to further substrate consumption, analyze the substrate samples immediately. If this is not possible, store the samples in a freezer at minimum -18 °C for not longer than a month.

5. Application of Cyclic Voltammetry to Study EET

1. Perform cyclic voltammetry at the maxima and minima of the current flow as indicated (Figure 4). Depending on the question of interest the CV usually is performed when the system has reached steady-state to get comparable results. (Note: The generation of current is termed turnover conditions and related to the microbial oxidation of the substrate and subsequent electron transfer: microbial bioelectrocatalysis; whereas the nonturnover CVs are performed at (nearly) zero current flow in the CA.)

2. Choose in the potentiostat controlling software the technique: Cyclic voltammetry, and set the appropriate parameters, here for instance: An initial potential of the \( E_{we} \) to \( E_i \) of -0.5 V vs. RE with a scan rate \( v = \text{deg} / \text{min} \) to a vertex potential \( E_1 \) of 0.3 V vs. RE and back to the starting potential \( E_2 = E_i \) of -0.5 V.

Note: When using cyclic voltammetry the applied voltage at the WE is changed linearly with time by the potentiostat (see Figure 5). Box 2 provides principle rules for choosing the experiment parameters and troubleshooting.

3. Start the cyclic voltammetry and record as many cycles as needed to perform a reproducible CV. Usually, at least 3 cycles are needed. The resulting plot is called a cyclic voltammogram (see also Figure 7). Note: Depending on the measurement conditions, different information can be gained as demonstrated in the next section.

6. Data Plotting

Here only the plotting of the data is discussed. A more detailed treatise follows in the next section.

1. Use appropriate data analysis software, here all data is exported as text and imported as ASCII file.

2. Calculate and use preferentially current densities \( j \) and not absolute currents \( i \).

   (Note: However, as different protocols for calculating \( j \) do exist, make clear which one was used. Here the current density per geometric, i.e. projected, surface area of the electrode is used.)

3. Chronoamperometry: Plot the measured current density as function of the time.

4. Cyclic voltammetry: Plot the measured current density as function of the potential of the WE \( E_{we} \).

Representative Results

1. Chronoamperometry

From Chronoamperometric measurements two operational characteristics can be calculated: the maximum current density \( j_{\text{max}} \), i.e. the maximum electron flow per second, and the coulombic efficiency \( CE \), i.e. the total current flow in relation to the consumed substrate. Figure 4 shows a typical chronoamperometric biofilm growth curve of several growth cycles using a fed-batch reactor. After the initial lag-phase a first current density maximum commences. Then the current decreases again due to substrate depletion. At (nearly) zero current flow the medium is exchanged as indicated. After this substrate replenishment the current density increases again and the following maximum current density is higher than the first one. After several growth cycles \( j_{\text{max}} \) is constant – which indicates a steady-state biofilm formation14. As the steady state \( j_{\text{max}} \) value is depended on several parameters, e.g. electrode material, reactor temperature and biofilm composition, it is often considered as a characteristic of a certain electroactive microbial biofilm/ electrode system. (In case of flow-through reactors not \( j_{\text{max}} \) but the constant steady-state current density is used.) The second operational characteristic is the coulombic efficiency \( CE \) (Equation 1). That is the number of electrons recorded as electric current flow, \( Q_j \), per fed-batch cycle related to the number of the theoretical maximum number of electrons \( Q_{th} \) which is calculated from substrate consumption during this cycle.

\[
CE = \frac{Q_j}{Q_{th}} \times 100
\]

(Equation 1)

The total transferred charge can be gained by integration of the CA curve (see also Figure 6):
Changing selected parameters e.g. substrate, temperature, etc. will also influence the microbial composition and thus the electrochemical properties.
Table 1. Growth medium according to Kim et al.32

| Component                  | (mg/L) / (ml/L) |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| NaH$_2$PO$_4$•H$_2$O       | 2,690.00        |
| Na$_2$HPO$_4$              | 4,330.00        |
| NH$_4$Cl                   | 310.00          |
| KCl                        | 130.00          |
| sodium acetate             | 820.00          |
| metal solution             | 12.50           |
| vitamin solution           | 12.50           |

Table 2. Components of the metal and vitamin solution33.

| Trace element solution: | Vitamin solution: |
|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Component               | Component         | mg/L |
| Nitriloacetic acid      | Biotin            | 2.0  |
| MgSO$_4$•7H$_2$O        | Folic acid        | 2.0  |
| MnSO$_4$•2H$_2$O        | Pyridoxine hydrochloride | 10.0 |
| NaCl                    | Thiamine hydrochloride | 5.0  |
| FeSO$_4$•7H$_2$O        | Riboflavin        | 5.0  |
| CoSO$_4$ or CoCl$_2$    | Nicotinic acid    | 5.0  |
| CaCl$_2$•2H$_2$O        | DL-Calcium pantothonate | 5.0  |
| ZnSO$_4$                | Vitamin B12       | 0.1  |
| CuSO$_4$•H$_2$O         | p-Aminobenzoic acid | 5.0  |
| AlK(SO$_4$)$_2$         | Lipoic acid       | 5.0  |
| H$_3$BO$_3$             |                   |      |
| Na$_2$MoO$_4$•2H$_2$O   |                   |      |

Note: Dissolve nitriloacetic acid with KOH to pH 6.5, and then proceed to add minerals.
Box 1 - Definition of bioelectrochemical termini in the context of this article (in alphabetical order):

**Capacitive current** \( \dot{I} \): Current related to the change of the electrode surface charge, not to an oxidation/reduction reaction.

**Charge** \( Q \) [C]: Cumulative current flow with \( 1C = 1A\times s \), can be gained by integration from current time curves.

**Coulombic efficiency** CE [%]: Describes the efficiency of the electron transfer from the substrate to the electrode. Electrons can be redirected due to parallel processes in the microbial cell, e.g. build-up of biomass.

**Counter electrode** (CE): Allows the reaction at the working electrode (WE) to take place by accompanying it with a respective reverse reaction, e.g. for every electron intercepted by oxidation at the WE a one electron reduction process has to take place at the CE.

**Current** \( I \) [A]: Flow of electric charge.

**Faradaic current** \( \dot{I} \): Current generated from oxidation or reduction of chemical species.

**Formal potential** \( \dot{E} \) [V]: Replaces the standard potential, when the values of activity or the species involved and side reactions are unknown or too complex, thus the terminus should be preferentially used for any reaction taking place in biological environment.

**Geometric current density** \( [\text{mA}\times cm^{-2}] \): Also projected current density, current per electrode surface area.

**Non-turnover conditions**: Here, the microbial substrate at the bioanode is depleted and thus, all redox-active species at the electrode can be detected by CV - showing all possible EET sites.

**Peak current** \( \dot{I}_p \) [A]: Maximum current at the WE in a voltammetric measurement;

**Peak potential** \( E_p \) [V]: Potential of the WE at which the peak current in a voltammetric measurement is obtained.

**Potentiostat**: An electronic amplifier which controls (in a three-electrode set-up) the potential drop between the WE and the electrolyte solution, usually using a RE as sensing element and a CE for the balancing current flow. In the here used reactor set-up the potentiostat allows an exact adjustment of the potential of the WE (here the bioanode).

**Reference electrode** (RE): Non-polarisable, i.e. stable, electrode with a fixed potential allowing to set or to measure the potential of the WE.

**Scan rate** \( v \) [mV/s]: Speed of potential change per time unit in a voltammetric experiment.

**Start potential** \( E_s \) [V vs. RE]: Set potential of the WE for the start of a scan in a voltammetric experiment.

**Three-electrode set-up**: Experimental set-up used for electroanalytical measurement, consists of WE, CE and RE, usually using a potentiostat as measuring and control device.

**Turnover conditions**: Here, microbial substrate at the bioanode is present and thus, bioelectrocatalysis can be detected, also known as bioelectrocatalytic conditions, thus the \( \dot{E} \) of the actual EET can be identified.

**Vertex potential** \( E_v \) [V vs. RE]: Set potential of the WE for the termination or turning point of a voltammetric experiment.

**Working electrode (WE)**: An electrode at which a given electrochemical reaction of interest is examined, its potential is controlled vs. the RE in a three-electrode set-up. Here, it is the microbial bioanode.
Box 2 – Fundamental parameter setting and trouble shooting in CV experiments

A) Parameter setting

Choosing the “potential window”: The “potential window” denominates the difference between upper and lower potential during the measurement. Generally, all potential settings should be done vs. reference electrode and not versus open circuit potential. The start potential, \(E_1\), and the vertex potential, \(E_R\), should be set to values that no electrolysis of the solvent (water) takes place at blank electrodes and no corrosion of the electrode material occurs. Further, if applicable, the lower (for anodes)/ upper (for cathodes) limit of the potential window should be the formal potential of the electron donor or acceptor, respectively. Here it is also applicable to narrow the potential window to a safe (no biofilm disintegration) and suitable range, in order to minimize the time need for a CV experiment.

Choosing the scan rate:

As shown in the exemplary results section, i.e. Figure 7, the scan rate is one of the most important setting parameter. The adequate scan rate is strongly depending on the research question and can only be identified step-by-step. For instance, for a good non-turnover signal the scan rate should be lowered so far, until further scan rate decrease does not lead to any deviation in the CV-signal.

Further/Advanced parameters:

All potentiostats offer further settings, e.g. the bandwidth, potential step height, potential range and current range. The setting of these parameters can improve the resolution of the CV measurement for a respective research question. However, before changing these parameters the handbook or manufacturer’s workshop/ training should be consulted.

B) Trouble shooting

Like in most experiments the “bits and pieces” are often decisive for a proper function. These cannot be discussed here in detail and often can only be learned “hands-on”. Generally, when the CV-results (with the right parameter settings!) do not look like one expects – take a step back, and check the following:

1) Proper function of the potentiostat:
   Assure that the potentiostat itself works; this can usually be done by i) self-check/ virtual potentiostat and ii) dummy-cell test.
2) Check all connections;
   If the potentiostat itself works assure that all connections from its cables to the reactor electrodes are fine. This can for instance be done by measuring the resistance using a multimeter.
3) Check the reference electrode:
   The diaphragm of the reference electrode can be clogged by particles and substances in the microbial broth. So replace the RE with a proper working one and see, if the system works.
4) Check cell set-up:
   If there is still no good signal, have a look/ think about your reactor set-up. For instance, if the electrode spacing is too large, or for two-chamber systems the membrane window is small or the membrane is clogged the resistance may be too high. This results in the need of a compliance voltage (voltage between WE and CE) that the potentiostat cannot provide (standard potentiostat have a compliance voltage of ±10V). This is usually shown by the potentiostat software, but often overlooked.

Further trouble may include, for instance electronic noise (e.g. from other equipment in the lab or lamps), vibrations and magnetic fields (e.g. from centrifuges) even from larger distances and many more... these sources of error can only be identified individually for each case.
Figure 1. Scheme of possible microbial extracellular electron transfer mechanisms. Click here to view larger image.

Figure 2. Sampling at the waste water treatment plant after the grid collector (waste water treatment plant Germany). Click here to view larger image.
Figure 3. Three electrode setup. (A) Scheme, (B) real reactor; CE = counter electrode, RE = reference electrode, WE = working electrode with electroactive microbial biofilm, SP = sampling port. Click here to view larger image.

Figure 4. Plot of a chronoamperometric fed-batch waste water inoculum based biofilm growth. The oxidative current reaches a steady-state after three cycles of medium change. Indicated are the lag-phase and the points of time of medium change and conduction of turnover cyclic voltammetry (CV) and nonturnover CV for steady-state biofilm conditions (raw data from Liu\textsuperscript{14}). Click here to view larger image.
Figure 5. Principle potential-time course during one cycle of cyclic voltammetry. CV is starting at the initial potential $E_i$. The applied voltage is then changed linearly with time with a scan rate of $v$. At a chosen vertex potential $E_1$, the voltage returns to an end potential $E_2$, here $E_2 = E_i$. 

Click here to view larger image.

Figure 6. Typical chronoamperogram of one growth cycle of a waste water derived biofilm; herein the maximum current density $j_{max}$, the flown (practical) electric charge $Q_p$, the sampling of the initial substrate concentration $c_0$ and the substrate concentration after one growth cycle $c_1$ are indicated. Click here to view larger image.
Figure 7. Nonturnover cyclic voltammograms of a G. sulfurreducens biofilm. Scan rate: (A) 50 mV/sec, (B) 1 mV/sec, (C) cutout of B, demonstrating the peak current density ($j_{pA}$) and the respective peak potential ($E_{pA}$) of the anode at the maxima. Correspondingly at the minima the peak current density ($j_{pC}$) and the respective peak potential ($E_{pC}$) of the cathode are shown. The formal potential $E^\text{f}$ and the peak separation $\Delta E_p$ result from Equation 4 and Equation 5, respectively (for details see text below). Click here to view larger image.
Figure 8. (A) Turnover cyclic voltammogram of a metabolizing *G. sulfurreducens* biofilm. The scan rate was 5 mV/sec. (B) First derivatives of the voltammetric curve. Indicated are the formal potentials of the actual EET sites: \( E_{f,2} \) and \( E_{f,3} \). Click here to view larger image.
Figure 9. (A) Turnover cyclic voltammogram and (B) first derivatives of a thicker metabolizing *G. sulfurreducens* biofilm. The scan rate was 5 mV/sec (compare Figure 8). Click here to view larger image.

**Discussion**

The presented protocol shows an easy and straightforward way for growing electroactive microbial biofilms from waste water inoculate using a three-electrode setup in a fed-batch reactor. Chronoamperometry enables the growth and selection of electroactive microbial biofilms from diverse inocula as well as the fundamental characterization of the biofilms in term of maximum current density and coulombic efficiency. Cyclic voltammetry, being a noninvasive technique, allows performing fundamental studies on the EET thermodynamics of the microbial biofilm and thus to identify possible and actual EET transfer sites. Together, these parameters allow i) characterizing and comparing different microbial biofilms for identical conditions as well as ii) studying the impact of different operational conditions on identical electroactive microbial biofilms. However, these experiments can only be a starting point. On the one hand the multifaceted field of microbial bioelectrochemistry requires further and in-depth exploitation of electrochemical methods and protocols. This includes, for instance, models for extracting kinetic and mechanistic data as well as further methods like electrochemical impedance spectroscopy\(^\text{34,35}\). On the other hand electrochemical methods can provide no information on the molecular nature, the microbial composition, the spatial microbial organization, etc. Here further techniques being either noninvasive and coupled to electrochemistry or invasive are needed\(^*\). **Box 2** provides a short discussion on the critical steps when performing the experiments as well as on initial troubleshooting.
From the engineering perspective it has to be taken into consideration that the electroactive biofilm anode is only one component of the current microbial bioelectrochemical systems and a multitude of other factors has to be analyzed for full systems\(^5\). Yet, we hope that this video-article will help to understand and tailor the core elements of BES, i.e. the microbial electrodes, in future.
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