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Abstract

While resident innate immune cells of the central nervous system, the microglia, represent a cell population unique in origin, microenvironment, and longevity, they assume many properties displayed by peripheral macrophages. One prominent shared property is the ability to undergo a metabolic switch towards glycolysis and away from oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) upon activation by the pro-inflammatory stimuli lipopolysaccharide. This shift serves to meet specific cellular demands and allows for cell survival, similar to the Warburg effect demonstrated in cancer cells. In contrast, normal surveillance phenotype or stimulation to a non-proinflammatory phenotype relies primarily on OXPHOS and fatty acid oxidation. Thus, mitochondria appear to function as a pivotal signaling platform linking energy metabolism and macrophage polarization upon activation. These unique shifts in cell bioenergetics in response to different stimuli are essential for proper effector responses at sites of infection, inflammation, or injury. Here, we present a summary of recent developments as to how these dynamics characterized in peripheral macrophages are displayed in microglia. The new insights provided by an increased understanding of metabolic reprogramming in macrophages may allow for translation to the central nervous system and a better understanding of microglia heterogeneity, regulation, and function.
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INTRODUCTION

The function of innate immune cells such as macrophages is to recognize and respond to a novel stimulus including microbial pathogens and sterile activators. These pro- and anti-inflammatory responses are major sources of soluble molecules, cytokines, hormones, and neuropeptides. These factors provide tools to sense, process, and relay physiological signals beyond their canonical roles. Macrophages display a heterogeneous repertoire to fulfill a broad range of functions in host defense, including tissue homeostasis and repair, pathology, and development. To accomplish this, innate immune cells adopt various activation phenotypes. Precise regulation of such activation is essential for maintenance of tissue homeostasis with governance accomplished by a balance of stimulatory and inhibitory signals. Multiple lines of evidence suggest an interlinked relationship between innate immunity and the integrity and function of mitochondria serving to maintain this homeostatic balance. Metabolic pathways provide the necessary energy and serve to regulate phenotype and function. Pro-inflammatory macrophages \( \text{M}^{[\text{LPS}(+\text{IFN-\gamma})]} \) display an enhanced glycolytic metabolism and impaired mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS). These energy shifts place mitochondria in a pivotal signaling role in macrophage response to stimuli and circumventing immune checkpoint signals \([1-3]\). The link between immunological and metabolic processes associated with mitochondria, immunometabolism, may influence activation states and polarization of myeloid cells to fine-tune their functions \([4-7]\).

Of the specialized cells of the central nervous system (CNS), basic host defense mechanisms exist predominantly in microglia as resident macrophages. Microglia share many phenotypic characteristics with peripheral macrophages yet are unique in their origin and molecular or transcriptional profile \([8-15]\). The available literature on the immunometabolism of microglia, as compared to what is known of peripheral macrophages, is limited but growing to address questions of similarities and differences \([6,16]\). It may also allow for a framework to understand the various other tasks undertaken by microglia during development and chronic maintenance. Here, we present a summary of how these dynamics characterized in peripheral macrophages are displayed in microglia. While much of this work is still somewhat under a “work in progress” classification, even in the peripheral macrophage, new insights provided by an increased understanding of metabolic reprogramming foster a better understanding of macrophage and microglia regulation and function.

MICROGLIA AND IMMUNE CELLS IN THE CNS

The mechanical separation of the CNS from the circulation by the blood-brain barrier \([17,18]\) influences immune responses \([19,20]\) by excluding many peripherally derived innate and adaptive immune cells and inflammatory molecules \([21]\). However, infiltrating cells significantly contribute to any neuroinflammatory response following disruption of the blood-brain barrier, as can occur with physical injury or high levels of inflammation. In such cases, blood-borne monocytes are allowed to enter the brain parenchyma and, over time, can transition and assume a brain-specific phenotype \([22-24]\). Additionally, with a T cell-mediated neuroinflammation, peripheral innate immune cells enter the brain as a protective host defense against infection and facilitate repair following stroke or physical trauma \([25,24,25]\). In such a case, interactions between microglia and T cells can be signaled via interleukin (IL)-23 and IL-1\(\beta\), leading to the production of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) to facilitate microglia proliferation in a manner to promote an appropriate level of response to injury \([25]\). Recently, the identification of innate lymphoid cells in the brain suggests an additional innate immune cell population that may act to control neuroinflammation \([26]\). Thus, in such conditions, the macrophage population likely represents a combination of resident microglia and infiltrating monocytes. As a distinction between these two populations, it has been suggested that resident microglia focus on tasks related to maintaining tissue homeostasis while infiltrating cells are involved in severe inflammatory injuries \([26,30]\).
SENSING AND RESPONDING TO THE ENVIRONMENT

While microglia appear to be tightly adapted to the specific requirements within brain regions, they all function in a surveillance mode with mobile processes extending into the surrounding microenvironment to detect tissue changes\cite{31,32}. Upon sensing such changes, microglia respond to their environment via several "sensome" genes, allowing them to sense and interact with their local environment\cite{8,14,33}. These sensome genes include those for putative purinergic receptors, $P_{2ry12}$ and $P_{2ry13}$, transmembrane protein 119 ($\text{Tmem119}$), G-protein coupled receptor 34 ($\text{Gpr34}$), the C-type lectin receptor, the fractalkine receptor, $\text{Cx3cr1}$, sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-type lectin H ($\text{Siglec-h}$), and triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 ($\text{Trem2}$). Siglec proteins contribute to immune regulation by binding sialic acid residues on neurons\cite{34} and TREM2 contributes via recruitment of the immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif-containing adapter protein, DAP-12\cite{35}. The final response of the cell is dictated by the overall pattern of sensome gene activation.

The microglia host-response begins with the recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) such as bacterial, viral, and protozoal products (protein lipid, nucleic acid, and carbohydrate). This occurs via pattern recognition receptors on the plasma membrane or in the endosomal compartments\cite{36}, or by binding phagocytic scavenger receptors\cite{37} and macrophage antigen complex I (MAC1, CD11b/CD18), which is a pattern recognition receptor linked to the superoxide-generating enzyme NADPH oxidase\cite{38}. In the absence of microorganisms, a similar but sterile inflammatory response occurs often as a result of trauma, ischemia-reperfusion injury, or chemical exposure\cite{39-41}. Activation in the absence of microbial compounds occurs by endogenous molecules called danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs)\cite{42}. Molecules that function as DAMPs include nucleic acids, lipids, and proteins that normally are not present to immune cells until released or unmasked during cell death due to tissue injury. In the CNS, microglia responding in various neurodegenerative diseases in the absence of pathogen have been termed disease-associated microglia (DAM). Intracellular DAMPs include high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) and peroxiredoxin family proteins. These damage signals can activate immune cells through three major families of intracellular recognition receptors: toll-like receptors, nucleotide-binding domain leucine-rich repeat containing proteins (also known as NOD-like receptors), and Rig1-like receptors. Receptor activation induces specific pathways and the release of cytokines that contribute to injury mitigation\cite{43}. In all cases, the immediate response upon sensing DAMPs, PAMPs, or other damaging events requires a robust increase in metabolic demand to support actions that initially are beneficial to the homeostatic balance of the nervous system.

Injury-induced inflammatory processes are dynamic and demonstrate spatial and temporal heterogeneity\cite{34-36}. In general, characterization of the macrophage response is based on the nature of the activating stimulus and the resulting production of factors\cite{57}. A conceptual framework has been proposed that suggests the nature of the activating stimulus can drive a range of activation phenotypes\cite{34-42}, and it has been used as a basis for characterizing cellular responses\cite{43-70}. While phenotypic activation-state distinctions are currently under scrutiny\cite{67,71}, it has been shown that classically activated microglia associated with inflammation can be produced upon stimulation with agonists for toll-like receptors (e.g., lipopolysaccharide, LPS) or IFN$\gamma$ receptors. In contrast, different aspects of the immune response that do not involve the classical response can be observed upon stimulation by IL-4 or IL-13 with the expression of anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-4, IL-10, IL-13, and TGF-$\beta$), arginase-1 (Arg1), CD206, and Chitinase-3-like-3 (Ym1 in rodents)\cite{72-76}. It is considered that the different phenotypes may be related, yet have different roles in host defense, wound healing, and resolution of inflammation\cite{67,68}. Differences in metabolic processes have been identified across these different activation inducers, suggesting a role for mitochondria in phenotypic outcome\cite{77}. 
STIMULUS-DRIVEN METABOLIC RE-PROGRAMMING OF MICROGLIA

In a normal “resting” cell, energy demands are addressed with the conversion of glucose to pyruvate with entry into glycolysis. Pyruvate in the cytosol can be taken up by mitochondria and enters the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle where it is oxidized to generate ATP. This provides a total energy gain of approximately 36 ATP per one molecule of glucose. In contrast, with hypoxia or anoxia, the cell has the ability to divert pyruvate away from mitochondria OXPHOS, allowing for ATP generation during low oxygen conditions. In this case, one glucose molecule will generate two pyruvate molecules that will be converted to lactate by lactate dehydrogenase in the cytosol\[78,79\]. While this reaction generates significantly fewer molecules of ATP, glycolysis proceeds due to the production of NAD\(^+\). While less efficient, a beneficial effect of a shift to glycolysis is that it can be very quickly induced to meet cellular demands in cells with high glucose capacity\[80\]. The importance of this shift was initially demonstrated in cancer cells in what is known as the Warburg effect\[81,82\]. In cancer, malignant cells shift their demand for biosynthetic precursors and energy change and change their metabolic profile from a relatively low rate of glycolysis and the oxidation of pyruvate by the TCA cycle. The shift in metabolic profile is characterized by a lower rate of OXPHOS, high rate of glycolysis, and elevated lactic acid production. The high glycolytic rate induced during the Warburg effect is driven by the need to meet the increased demand for production of nucleotides and amino acids. While this effect was initially identified and characterized in cancer cells, a similar ability to utilize such a metabolic switch has been demonstrated in immune cells to meet increased energy demands when responding to infection or injury\[1\].

There is now evidence suggesting a role for metabolic reprogramming by mitochondria in the maintenance and establishment of innate and adaptive immune responses\[75,83-96\]. Given that immune cell populations depend on unique effector functions in response to distinct stimuli that often require production and secretion of high amounts of signaling factors and antimicrobial agents, it follows that changes in mitochondria function to meet these demands are crucial for efficient response to distinct contexts\[97-106\]. It was initially observed that, upon activation, macrophages increase glycolysis and decrease oxygen consumption\[102,103\]. It was further demonstrated that macrophage phenotype can be shifted by reprogramming glucose metabolism\[104,105\], which helps meet energy demands required for shifting cell function and survival\[106\].

Under normal conditions, microglia exist in a surveillance phenotype for constant monitoring of the parenchyma\[107,108\] and preferentially rely on oxidative metabolism\[90,109,110\]. Upon activation by LPS\[94\], amyloid-b\[111\], and iron loading\[112,113\], microglia switch their reliance on OXPHOS metabolism\[90,91,109,114\] towards glycolytic metabolism to maintain mitochondrial function and ensure cell survival\[92,94,95,114\]. Voloboueva et al.\[94\] showed that, upon stimulation by LPS, BV-2 microglia increased lactate production and decreased mitochondria oxygen consumption and ATP production. This shift was reported to be modulated by mitochondrial glucose-regulated protein 75/mortalin\[94\]. Exposure to a combination of LPS and IFN-\(\gamma\) increases nitric oxide formation, glucose consumption, hexokinase activity, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase activity, phosphofructokinase-1 activity, lactate dehydrogenase activity, and lactate release, suggesting potentiated glycolysis\[94\]. Similar findings were reported by Orihuela et al.\[69\]: following LPS, BV2 microglia and primary murine microglia shifted from a primary oxidative metabolic towards glycolytic metabolism with no evidence of cell death. An increase in microglial mitochondria has been observed with activation\[117,118\], implicating an association with mitochondria biogenesis. Recent studies have suggested that a shift in glycolysis in microglia is accompanied by an increase in the enzyme PFKFB3, which is responsible for activation of phosphofructokinase\[91\]. Additionally, this metabolic shift has been found to be regulated by the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 for aerobic glycolysis inhibition and OXPHOS\[120\].

In a non-classical activation state, macrophages use oxidative metabolism for functions involved in normal maintenance functions, tissue repair, and wound healing\[73,121,122\]. In IL-4 stimulated macrophages [M(IL-4)],
the Krebs cycle and OXPHOS remain intact. In addition, the cells are able to utilize fatty acid oxidation and oxidative respiration for energy production and arginine metabolism is shifted to ornithine and polyamines. Work by Ferger et al. suggested that the stability of the electron transport chain in mitochondria plays a more substantial and critical role for the microglia response to IL-4 as compared to the response to LPS. In microglia, exposure to LPS induced a rapid and transitory decrease in the mitochondrial uncoupling protein-2 (UCP-2) levels accompanied by increased mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (mtROS) production. In UCP-2-silenced microglia, the response to LPS was exacerbated and a response to IL-4 was eliminated. An earlier study examining the translation of responses in macrophages to microglia reported reduced glucose consumption and lactate production in BV-2 cells exposed to IL-4. It was suggested that this phenotype was associated with phagocytosis of debris and the reduced need for anabolic reactions. Similar findings were reported by Orihuela et al. with exposure of BV-2 cells or primary murine microglia to IL-4/IL-13 in that the cells remained within an oxidative metabolic state with OCR and ECAR levels similar to non-stimulated cells. There were also elevations in mRNA levels for Ym1, Il4, Cd163, and Arg1, but no induction of Tnfa or Il1. The lack of a demonstrated metabolic shift with IL-4/IL-13 stimulation is in contrast to observations in peripheral macrophages of stimulated glucose uptake in addition to fatty acid metabolism and shift in mitochondrial biogenesis.

MITOCHONDRIA AND FREE RADICAL PRODUCTION

A key feature of classically activated macrophages is their ability to produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) to facilitate killing of phagocytized bacteria. Stimulation of macrophages with LPS and IFN-γ increases inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), generating nitric oxide (NO), a reactive nitrogen species that can inhibit mitochondrial respiration by nitrosylating iron-sulfur proteins in electron transport chain complexes and cytochrome c oxidase. It is considered that iNOS and NO-mediated inhibition of mitochondrial metabolism in macrophages is essential for the metabolic switch activated by LPS. This is not as well established in microglia, especially given that, while nitric oxide production is often linked with pro-inflammatory cytokines, such cytokines can be stimulated by sterile activators in the absence of NO. In microglia, it has been proposed that activation of the rapamycin (mTOR) pathway may actively contribute to this process as well as pro-inflammatory cytokine production and phagocytic activity. The resulting elevated thiamin pyrophosphate activity increased production of purines and pyrimidines, which yield nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) for the NADPH oxidase enzyme and ROS production implicated in the transition of microglia to a pro-inflammatory phenotype. It has been proposed that glycolytic ATP production may utilize the electron transport chain to compensate for this shift towards ROS production. It is known that superoxide produced by NADPH oxidase is predominantly extracellular. In vivo, extracellular superoxide dismutase 3 (SOD3) forms membrane permeable H2O2. Studies have suggested that H2O2, rather than SOD, serves as the primary ROS involved in mediating microglial activation and proliferation in response to pro-inflammatory stimuli. H2O2 has also been implicated in the increase in CD11b expression both in vitro and in vivo, as well as in persistent neuroinflammation related to impaired NF-kB p50 function. Superoxide anion is the primary ROS produced by mitochondria and mitochondria-derived H2O2 and, in addition to NADPH oxidase, may contribute to a pro-inflammatory phenotype of microglia such as that observed with the mitochondrial toxin, rotenone. With a response sufficient to result in ROS production, the associated intracellular damage is limited by increased generation of NADPH required for maintenance of reduced glutathione and nitric oxide production.

GLUCOSE

In addition to the critical role that glucose plays in energy metabolism, it serves as an exclusive substrate for the hexose monophosphate shunt, which produces NADPH that is required by glutathione reductase to convert oxidized glutathione (GSSG) back to reduced glutathione (GSH). It also serves to quench ROS and
repair oxidative damage through glutathione- and thioredoxin-coupled pathways\[^{147}\]. Glucose metabolism influences microglial activation through an NADH-sensitive co-repressor termed C-terminal binding protein (CtBP). Slowed glucose flux through glycolysis reduces NADH levels and reduce NADH:NAD\(^+\) ratio\[^{148}\]. In both microglia and macrophage RAW264.7 cells, glucose flux regulates iNOS expression and other pro-inflammatory genes through effects on cytosolic NADH:NAD\(^+\) ratio and CtBP\[^{149}\].

Several glucose transporters such as GLUT1\[^{150}\], GLUT3\[^{151}\], and GLUT5\[^{152}\] are expressed in microglia. Acute fluctuation of available glucose impacts microglia activity with an elevated response to LPS upon shifting from a normal to high glucose level. Shifting from a high to normal glucose level can also induce metabolic stress\[^{153}\]. Glucose levels can influence pro-inflammatory gene transcription by several mechanisms. One such mechanism relies on the formation of advanced glycation end-products (AGE). These products consist of modified proteins and lipids as a result of non-enzymatic reactions with sugars. It is known that microglia express receptors of AGE and, upon activation, pro-inflammatory signaling pathways are stimulated\[^{127,154}\]. In peripheral macrophages, it has been reported that a shift in the cell's energy source induced by glucose deprivation results in an altered response to a pro-inflammatory stimulus\[^{155-157}\]. Multiple studies have reported an inability of microglia to respond appropriately to LPS under oxygen and glucose deprivation or with 2-DG inhibition of glucose metabolism\[^{109}\]. However, there is evidence that microglia are capable of functioning with alternative energy sources to adequately respond to an inflammatory challenge. Choi et al.\[^{158}\] reported an increase in mRNA and protein levels for IL-6 in microglia after 7 h of glucose and serum free medium. Upon stimulation with LPS, glucose-deprived microglia retained their normal ability to respond with elevations in nitrite, IL-1\(\beta\), and TNF\(\alpha\)\[^{159}\].

Primary rat microglia shifted to glucose-free medium for 1 h to LPS showed an exacerbated release of NO within 24 h and similar elevations in TNF\(\alpha\) and IL-1\(\beta\) as compared to non-glucose-deprived cells. Glucose deprivation for 24 h prior to LPS exposure increased release of IL-1\(\beta\) with no deficits in NO or TNF\(\alpha\). The authors suggested that microglia were able to mobilize fatty acids from intracellular lipid droplets as an energy source. The majority of studies examining the effects of glucose deprivation have focused on relatively short-term exposures, within 1-24 h. While these studies demonstrated that both peripheral macrophages and microglia can shift their response to a pro-inflammatory stimulus in a selective manner, the question remains as to whether such a response would be altered when the cells were forced to a more prolonged shift in energy metabolism. When RAW 264.7 [Figure 1] or BV-2 [Figure 2] cells were maintained for three days under culture conditions to force cells to rely on galactose as an alternative energy source, the cells were able to normally respond to LPS stimulation. However, the diminished pro-inflammatory cytokine response observed when 2-DG was used in previous studies to inhibit glycolysis may have been related to the lower basal OXPHOS induced\[^{160}\]. The differences across these studies likely lie with the method of depleting glucose: removing glucose from the medium; the addition of 2-DG, which in and of itself can lower basal induction of OXPHOS\[^{160}\]; or the combination of glucose deprivation with hypoxia. In RAW cells, the morphological changes observed with LPS activation have been demonstrated to be diminished under galactose, suggesting a requirement of glucose to facilitate cell spreading\[^{161}\]. This was not clearly observed in the current study where similar LPS-induced morphological patterns were observed in the absence of pyruvate [Figure 1]. In BV-2 cells, a slight morphological shift was observed with the low level of LPS stimulation with minimal induction of nitrate and an elevation in TNF\(\alpha\) and IL-1 protein.

**GLUTAMINE**

Macrophages utilize glutamine at high rates to synthesize amino acids, nucleotides, NADPH, and energy production and are dependent upon extracellular sources of the amino acid\[^{103}\]. Channeling of glutamine into the Krebs cycle is a primary route to promote succinate synthesis in macrophages. This occurs with glutamine being used for synthesis of glutamate, GABA, and succinate, bypassing the TCA cycle\[^{155}\]. This stabilizes hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF-1\(\alpha\)), an oxygen-sensitive transcription factor that allows the
cell to adapt to a hypoxic environment. It has been suggested that HIFs function to facilitate cross-talk between inflammation and metabolism. HIF-1α can induce the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and has been proposed to serve a role in shifting glycolytic pathways to favor anaerobic metabolism.

With classic activation, succinate regulates HIF-1α to drive a sustained production of IL-1β and the subsequent cell actions serve to maintain the macrophage survival. For the non-classical phenotype, glutamine metabolism acts at multiple levels including the generation of α-ketoglutarate and serves as a substrate for UDP-GlcNAc synthesis.

**NLRP3 INFLAMMASOME**

One biological response to an inflammatory event that is critically dependent upon metabolic regulation is inflammasome activation. This is especially relevant for inflammasomes that require prior cell priming for full activation upon a secondary stimulus. For example, glycolytic rate can influence formation of NLRP1 and NLRP3 inflammasomes in macrophages. Inflammasomes are multiprotein complexes formed in the cytosol of immune and neural cells in response to pathogenic and danger signals. They consist of a cytosolic sensor belonging to the AIM2 (absent in melanoma 2), or NLR, an adaptor protein...
ASC (apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing a CARD), and an effector caspase, primarily caspase-1. There are a variety of inflammasomes, most of which fall into the NLR domain \[167\]. NLRP1 and AIM2 inflammasomes have been characterized in neurons \[168-170\] and the NLRC4, NLRP2, and NLRP3 inflammasomes in astrocytes \[171,172\]. Components for multiple inflammasomes are expressed in microglia \[173,174\]. The NLRP3 inflammasome responds to a number of activators, including sterile activators,
such as asbestos, silica crystals, aluminum salts, and polystyrene nanoparticles\textsuperscript{[175-178]}, and aberrant proteins, such as extracellular Aβ\textsuperscript{[179]}, thereby contributing to a broad range of common inflammatory pathologies and chronic inflammation.

The NLRP3 inflammasome responds to metabolic regulation\textsuperscript{[180]} and has been increasingly recognized as a bridge between mitochondrial damage sensing and pro-inflammatory signaling within monocytes, including microglia\textsuperscript{[181,182]}. Unlike most inflammasomes, NLRP3 typically requires a two-step activation and it is this process for which there is mounting evidence that mitochondrial damage plays a contributing role\textsuperscript{[101]}. Activation of TLR, tumor necrosis factor receptor, or interleukin-1 receptor (IL-1R) initiates an intracellular cascade of effects, including activation of NF-kB. This upregulates NLRP3 and pro-IL-1β within the cell and facilitates post-transcriptional changes to NLRP3 to free ubiquitinated binding sites by BRCC3 (BRCA1/2-containing complex subunit 3)\textsuperscript{[176,183,184]}. Delivery of a secondary “trigger” such as PAMPs, DAMPs, or intact pathogens to the “primed” cell causes the release of the repressed state of NLRP3. Upon release, NLRP3 activates the inflammasome forming a multiprotein complex comprised of the cytosolic sensor NLRP3, ASC, and caspase \textsuperscript{185}. Caspase \textsuperscript{1} facilitates the cleavage of the pro-forms of IL-1β and IL-18\textsuperscript{[186]}, resulting in the release of mature protein\textsuperscript{[187]}. The release of active IL-1 family cytokines is normally related to pyroptotic cell death; however, in the absence of cell death, hyperactivity of cells and the recruitment of a process dependent on plasma membrane-localized pores can result in similar protein release\textsuperscript{[188,189]}. While inflammasome activation is an efficient producer of mature IL-1β, inflammasome independent mechanisms exist, including cathepsin B or caspase \textsuperscript{11} dependent pathways\textsuperscript{[190,191]}, bacterial pore-forming toxins, and extracellular ATP\textsuperscript{[177]}. Thus, an upregulation of mature IL-1β does not automatically indicate an inflammasome mechanism. In addition to the release of inflammatory factors, the physical release of ASC specks into the extracellular environment represents a stimulus for activating phagocytic cells in the immediate environment, thus contributing to a prolonged propagation of inflammation\textsuperscript{[192]} or other biological responses\textsuperscript{[193]}.

Induction of mitophagy, the process by which cells clear damaged mitochondria, has been implicated in inhibition of NLRP3 signaling\textsuperscript{[194]}. Release of oxidized mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) produced during the priming stage\textsuperscript{[195,196]} can interact with the NLRP3 receptor and induce inflammasome activation. Nakahira \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{[199]} reported that inhibition of mitophagy in macrophages heightened the NLRP3 inflammasome activation in parallel with uncleared mitochondrial DNA released into the cytosol. The mitochondrial cytidine/uridine monophosphate kinase-2 (CMPK2) is a nucleotide kinase required for mtDNA synthesis and production of oxidized mtDNA fragments. These fragments can act as activating ligands for the NLRP3 inflammasome complex\textsuperscript{[197]}. In addition, the release of mtROS triggered by small molecule inhibition of complex I and III has been associated with NLRP3 inflammasome activation\textsuperscript{[198]}. The association between mtROS as a trigger for NLRP3 inflammasome activation remains controversial given potential off-target effects of mtROS inhibitors. While studies have reported a role for mtROS in NLRP3 inflammasome activation, other conflicting studies have been reported. At least one study reported that mtROS inhibitors do not block the secondary activation step, but rather the initial priming step\textsuperscript{[199]}. Apart from acting as an activator of NLRP3, mitochondria can act as a docking system for inflammasome assembly. This interaction is driven by the externalization of mitochondrial lipid cardiolipin from the inner membrane to the outer membrane, which then independently interacts with caspase-1 and NLRP3\textsuperscript{[200]}.

NLRP3 inflammasome activation in microglia has gained attention as a contributing mechanism in several neuroinflammatory disease pathologies including Alzheimer’s disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, multiple sclerosis, and Parkinson’s disease\textsuperscript{[201-205]}. While much is similar between the biochemistries of microglia and macrophages, differences in inflammasome activation have been reported. For example, exposure of microglia cells to the antioxidant NAC did not affect LPS priming yet inhibited Aβ 1-42 peptide stimulation of caspase-1 dependent IL-1β secretion\textsuperscript{[202]}. While microglia show similar expression
of inflammasome components and response to stimulus, the dependency on caspase-1 for IL-1β secretion is only partial and a higher level of mature IL-1β secretion is observed with longer periods of priming than in hematopoietic macrophages. Prolonged IL-1β secretion from microglia likely occurs as a result of a deficit in negative regulation mechanisms as compared to macrophages. As an additional consideration, activation of the inflammasome in peripheral macrophages serves in a regulatory capacity in the induction of pyroptotic cell death to remove the damaging immune cell. How this translates to microglia remains in question given the long-lived nature of these cells.

CONCLUSION

The interest in metabolic functions of microglia has evolved from our knowledge of mitochondrial demands and responses of peripheral macrophages in their various effector functions. Recent findings have set the framework for an association between the metabolic status of immune cells with the characteristics of an immune response against pathogens. The majority of studies have relied on different pro-inflammatory stimuli, such as virus, GM-CSF, LPS, LPS + INFγ, or IL-4, to induce cells to examine macrophage metabolism in vitro. However, the resulting phenotype and metabolic profiles can differ with not all stimuli leading towards glycolysis. Conversely, the diverse non-inflammatory stimuli normally examined, i.e., IL-10, glucocorticoids, IL-13, M-CSF, and IL-4, are grouped together [74]; however, similar to the pro-inflammatory stimuli, the phenotypic change may differ. This is not unexpected given that macrophage activation states display multiple profiles depending on the initiating stimuli [206]. However, examination of metabolic adaptations of macrophages has demonstrated that such adaptations are critical factors regulating a variety of immune cell responses. The need to rapidly modulate cellular responses to pathogen or inflammatory signals demands a remodeling of the metabolic pathways to execute such actions. While many of the basic responses translate across peripheral macrophages and microglia, the uniqueness of microglia suggests that this may not be a complete translation across cells. Additionally, the limited range of inducing stimuli examined in microglia cells raises the question of how the cells will compare given a broader range of stimuli. Further exploration of similarities and uniqueness will contribute to our understanding of the interplay between metabolism and immune cell responses as they apply to the nervous system. It may also offer a framework from which to address issues of translation between experimental animal data to human disease conditions that involve the innate immune system [207-209]. Understanding the mitochondrial-related characteristics of microglia will likely be critical in identifying successful therapeutic approaches to the detrimental effects of neuroinflammation or in facilitating repair.
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