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In this work, motivated by the sine-square deformation (SSD) for (1+1)-dimensional quantum critical systems, we study the non-equilibrium quantum dynamics of a conformal field theory (CFT) with SSD, which was recently proposed to have continuous energy spectrum and continuous Virasoro algebra. In particular, we study the time evolution of entanglement entropy after a quantum quench from a uniform CFT, which is defined on a finite space of length \(L\), to a sine-square deformed CFT. We find there is a crossover time \(t^*\) that divides the entanglement evolution into two interesting regions. For \(t \ll t^*\), the entanglement entropy does not evolve in time; for \(t \gg t^*\), the entanglement entropy grows as \(S_A(t) \approx \frac{1}{2} \log t\), which is independent of the lengths of the subsystem and the total system. This \(\log t\) growth with no revival indicates that a sine-square deformed CFT effectively has an infinite length, in agreement with previous studies based on the energy spectrum analysis. Furthermore, we study the quench dynamics for a CFT with Möbius deformation, which interpolates between a uniform CFT and a sine-square deformed CFT. The entanglement entropy oscillates in time with period \(L_{\text{eff}} = L \cosh(2\theta)\), with \(\theta = 0\) corresponding to the uniform case and \(\theta \to \infty\) corresponding to the SSD limit. Our field theory calculation is confirmed by a numerical study on a (1+1)-d critical fermion chain.

I. INTRODUCTION

(1+1)-dimensional quantum many-body systems with sine-square deformation (SSD) have been extensively studied in recent years.\cite{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18} The SSD was originally introduced as a spatial deformation of Hamiltonian density that efficiently suppresses the boundary effects.\cite{1,2,3,4} The set-up is as follows: Consider a (1+1)-d quantum many-body system with open boundary condition and Hamiltonian

\[
H_0 = \int_0^L h(x) dx,
\]

where \(h(x)\) is the Hamiltonian density. For simplicity, we assume \(h(x)\) is uniform. Now we deform the Hamiltonian as follows

\[
H_{\text{SSD}} = \int_0^L 2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi x}{L} \right) h(x) dx.
\]

Apparently, the system is disconnected at \(x = 0\) (\(L\)). This kind of deformation shows remarkable properties for (1+1)-d quantum critical systems. It was found that the ground state of (1.2) is identical to that of a uniform system with periodic boundary condition within numerical accuracy.\cite{3,4,5} This property was further verified analytically in some exactly solvable models.\cite{6,7,8,9,10,11,12}

Later, the SSD of a two dimensional conformal field theory (CFT) was investigated in Ref.\textsuperscript{8}, where it is found that the Hamiltonian for a generic CFT with SSD can be expressed as \(\mathcal{L}_0 + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0\), where

\[
\mathcal{L}_0 = L_0 - \frac{L_1 + L_{-1}}{2},
\]

and similarly for \(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0\). Here \(L_n (n = 0, \pm 1, \cdots)\) are Virasoro generators in a CFT.\textsuperscript{19} Considering the Hamiltonian (1.2), since \(\sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi x}{L} \right)\) vanishes at the boundary, there is no difference in the system between an open boundary condition and a periodic boundary condition. On the other hand, for periodic boundary condition the Hamiltonian (1.3) has the same ground state as a uniform CFT with Hamiltonian \(L_0 + \tilde{L}_0\), because in periodic system the CFT vacuum is annihilated by \((L_1 + L_{-1})/2\) and \((\tilde{L}_1 + \tilde{L}_{-1})/2\). With these two observations, the ground state of the SSD system with open boundary condition is the same as that for a uniform system with periodic boundary condition.

In two recent papers by Ishibashi and Tada,\textsuperscript{13,14} they studied the sine-square deformed CFT by dipolar quantization. Choosing different time slices and time translations, they showed that 2d CFTs with SSD have different quantization other than the radial quantization, which is called dipolar quantization. They also found a continuous Virasoro algebra which is labeled by a continuous real index \(\kappa\):

\[
[\mathcal{L}_\kappa, \mathcal{L}_{\kappa'}] = (\kappa - \kappa')\mathcal{L}_{\kappa + \kappa'} + \frac{c}{12} \kappa^3 \delta(\kappa + \kappa'),
\]

with \(\kappa > 0\), and here \(c\) is the central charge of the CFT. This continuous Virasoro algebra results in a continuous spectrum in the CFT with SSD, which implies that the sine-square deformed CFT effectively has an infinite length, even though it is defined on a finite space.

To further understand the property of CFTs with SSD, some regularization schemes were proposed recently.\cite{15,16} It was found that the connection between the uniform system and the SSD system can be built by considering the parameterized Hamiltonian \(\mathcal{L}_0 + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0\) with\textsuperscript{15}

\[
\mathcal{L}_0 = L_0 - \tanh(2\theta) \frac{L_1 + L_{-1}}{2},
\]

and similarly for \(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_0\). Here one can choose \(\theta \geq 0\) without loss of generality. It can be checked that the rescaled Hamiltonian \(\cosh(2\theta) : (L_0 + \tilde{L}_0)\) is related to the conventional one.
As a CFT in curved spacetime, we refer to the Hamiltonian defined through Eq.(1.5) as the Möbius Hamiltonian, and we will call this kind of deformation as Möbius deformation. It is noted that for Möbius deformation, one has a Möbius quantization that bridges the radial quantization for the conventional case and the dipolar quantization for the SSD case. One can refer to Ref.15 for more details. Then $\theta = 0$ corresponds to the uniform case, and $\theta \to \infty$ corresponds to the SSD limit. Within Möbius deformation, one can find a Virasoro algebra which is the same as Eq.(1.4), except that now $\kappa$ is not a continuous real index but it has the expression $\kappa = \frac{n}{\cosh(2\theta)}$, where $n$ is an integer. In the SSD limit $\theta \to \infty$, $\kappa$ becomes continuous, which results in a continuous spectrum as observed by Ishibashi and Tada.\(^{13,14}\)

A. Our motivation

Based on the introduction above, now let us state our motivations in this work:

1. Given the continuous energy spectrum for a CFT with SSD, how does it affect the non-equilibrium quantum dynamics? To avoid any operator dependence, we will study the entanglement evolution in quench dynamics. It is interesting to see if there is any universal feature in the entanglement evolution, and if yes, how it is related with the continuous spectrum. As far as we know, there is no work studying the entanglement property of a CFT with SSD in the non-equilibrium case.

2. Since the Möbius Hamiltonian [see Eq.(1.5)] interpolates between the uniform system and SSD system. It is also interesting to study the quench dynamics governed by this Hamiltonian, and see how it behaves as we approach the SSD limit.

3. There is much recent interest in studying the entanglement property in CFT in curved spacetime (See, e.g., Refs.20–28.) One interesting setup is based on the inhomogeneous Hamiltonian density.\(^{25}\) We hope that our work will provide a nice setup and approach in this direction.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Sec.II, we introduce our setup of quantum quench, and study the entanglement evolution after a quantum quench from a uniform system to a SSD system. Then in Sec.III, we study the quench dynamics for the Möbius case, and see how it connects the uniform and SSD cases. In Sec.IV, we present some discussions and conclude our work. In Appendix A, we interpret the lattice model based on which we do numerical calculations. In Appendix B, we interpret the SSD and Möbius Hamiltonian as a CFT in curved spacetime.

II. ENTANGLEMENT EVOLUTION:

A. Setup

There are several interesting setups for quantum quenches, such as the global quench,\(^{29–31}\) local quench,\(^{32–35}\) and inhomogeneous quantum quench.\(^{36–22,25,37–41}\)

Here, we consider a quantum quench from a uniform CFT to a non-uniform CFT. As shown in Fig.1, we prepare our initial state as the ground state of a uniform CFT on a finite space $[0, L]$, with an open boundary condition. (The reason we do not choose a periodic boundary condition (PBC) is that, as mentioned in the introduction, a critical system with a PBC shares the same ground state with the SSD system, and no quench is expected to happen in this case. It is noted, however, that there may be some difference in the ground states at UV scale. We are not interested in quantum quench in this case, the feature of which is non-universal.) Then at $t = 0$, we switch the Hamiltonian to the sine-square deformed one. Then the time dependent state can be written as $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iH_{\text{SSD}}t}|G\rangle$. The correlation function of $O(x_1)\cdots O(x_n)$ at time $t$ can be expressed as $\langle G | e^{iH_{\text{SSD}}t} O(x_1) \cdots O(x_n) e^{-iH_{\text{SSD}}t} | G \rangle$.

Throughout this work, to study the quench dynamics of a sine-square deformed CFT, we are interested in the time evolution of entanglement entropy for a subsystem $A = [0, l]$. The entanglement measure we use is the so-called Renyi entropy

$S_A^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \text{tr} [\rho_A^n(t)], \quad (2.1)$

and the von-Neumann entropy

$S_A(t) = \lim_{n \to 1} S_A^{(n)}(t). \quad (2.2)$

The term $\text{tr} (\rho_A^n)$ in $S_A^{(n)}(t)$ is related with the single-point correlation function of twist operator as follows:\(^{42}\)

$\text{tr} (\rho_A^n) = \langle G | e^{iH_{\text{SSD}}t} T_n(x = l) e^{-iH_{\text{SSD}}t} | G \rangle, \quad (2.3)$
where $\mathcal{T}_n$ is a primary operator with conformal dimension

$$ h = \frac{c}{24} \left( n - \frac{1}{n} \right), \quad (2.4) $$

In the following, we will evaluate the correlation function in Eq.(2.3) with path integral method. Pictorially, $\langle \psi(t) | \mathcal{T}_n(x = l) | \psi(t) \rangle$ is shown in Fig.2 by setting $O(x) = \mathcal{T}_n(x)$. Note that there are both Euclidean time and Lorentzian time in the path integral. As shown in the following part, we will do calculation in the Euclidean spacetime by setting $it = \tau$, and analytically continue back to Lorentzian time in the final step.

### B. Entanglement evolution after a quantum quench from uniform to SSD systems

To study the entanglement entropy evolution, we will go to Euclidean spacetime by setting $it = \tau$. Then the correlation function in Eq.(2.3) has the form

$$ \langle G | e^{iH_{\text{SSD}} \tau} \mathcal{T}_n(w) | G \rangle. \quad (2.5) $$

Here in the Euclidean plane $w = \tau + i \sigma$, one has $-\infty < \tau < \infty, 0 \leq \sigma \leq L$, and $w_0 = 0 + il (0 \leq l \leq L)$ is used to label the position of the twist operator. The superscript $(w)$ in $\mathcal{T}_n(w)$ denotes the coordinate. Two conformal boundary conditions are imposed along $\sigma = 0$ and $L$, respectively. For simplicity, we assume the two boundary conditions are the same.$^{43}$

To evaluate the correlation function in Eq.(2.5), we take the following two strategies:

(i) Heisenberg picture. Instead of evolving the states, we will evolve the operator $\mathcal{T}_n$ with the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{SSD}}$ in Heisenberg picture. By conformal transformation into a certain coordinate, it is quite straightforward to write down the operator’s evolution.

(ii) We start from Möbius Hamiltonian $H_{\text{Mob}}(\theta)$ first. Taking $\theta \to \infty$, we can read out the SSD result. As we mentioned in the introduction, the SSD Hamiltonian has a continuous spectrum. In case of unnecessary IR problem, we regard the Möbius Hamiltonian as a regularization of SSD. To be concrete, in terms of stress-energy tensor $T$, the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{Mob}}(\theta)$ in $w$-plane can be written as

$$ H_{\text{Mob}} = H_0 - \frac{\tanh(2\theta)}{2} (H_+ + H_-), \quad (2.6) $$

where

$$ H_0 = \int_0^L \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi} \mathcal{T}_{\tau\tau}(\sigma) = \int_0^L \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi} \left( T(w) + T(\bar{w}) \right), \quad (2.7) $$

and

$$ H_{\pm} = \int_0^L \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi} \left( e^{\mp 2\pi i w/L} T(w) + e^{\mp 2\pi i \bar{w}/L} \bar{T}(\bar{w}) \right). \quad (2.8) $$

Apparently, for $\theta \to \infty$ we have $H_{\text{Mobius}}(\theta \to \infty) = H_0 - \frac{\theta}{2} (H_+ + H_-)$, which is the SSD Hamiltonian.$^5$

Based on the above two strategies, we are ready to calculate the correlation function in Eq.(2.5). Readers who are not interested in the technical part can go to the result in Eq.(2.22) directly. Now let us consider the conformal mapping

$$ z = e^{\frac{2\pi i L}{w}}, \quad (2.9) $$

which maps the strip in $w$-plane to a complex $z$-plane. The two boundaries along $\sigma = 0$, $L$ in $w$-plane are mapped to a slit along $z = x \pm i0$, with $x \in [0, \infty)$. The holomorphic part of $H_{\text{Mob}}$ in $z$-plane is

$$ H_{\text{Mob}}^{(z)}(\theta) = \frac{2\pi}{L \cosh(2\theta)} \left[ \cosh(2\theta) \int zdz \zeta T(z) - \sinh(2\theta) \int z^2 dz T(z) \right] - \frac{\pi c}{12L}. \quad (2.10) $$

Apparently, the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{Mob}}^{(z)}$ is still complicated and we do not know how to act it on the primary field. It is found that one can use a Möbius transformation to further map it to $\bar{z}$-plane:\cite{45}

$$ \bar{z} = f(z) = \frac{\cosh \theta z - \sinh \theta}{\sinh \theta z - \cosh \theta}. \quad (2.11) $$

Then the holomorphic part of $H_{\text{Mob}}$ has the simple form

$$ H_{\text{Mob}}^{(\bar{z})} = \frac{1}{iL \cosh(2\theta)} \int \bar{z}T(\bar{z})d\bar{z} - \frac{\pi c}{12L} \quad (2.12) $$

It is similar for the anti-holomorphic part of $H_{\text{Mob}}^{(\bar{z})}$. Then we have

$$ e^{i\mathcal{H}_{\text{Mob}} \tau} \mathcal{T}_n^{(\bar{z})}(\bar{z}, \bar{\xi})e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{\text{Mob}} \tau} = \lambda^\theta \mathcal{T}_n^{(\bar{z})}(\lambda \bar{z}, \lambda \bar{\xi}), \quad (2.13) $$
which is nothing but the dilatation operation in \( \tilde{z} \)-plane. Here \( h \) is the conformal dimension of \( \mathcal{T}_n \) in Eq.(2.4), and
\[
\lambda := \exp \left( \frac{2\pi \tau}{L \cosh(2\theta)} \right). \tag{2.14}
\]
Back in \( z \)-plane, its effect is to shift the operator \( \mathcal{T}_n(z) \) from \( z \) to \( z_{\text{new}} \), where \( z_{\text{new}} \) is related with \( z \) as
\[
f(z_{\text{new}}) = \lambda f(z), \tag{2.15}
\]
with \( f(z) \) given in Eq.(2.11). Then one can obtain
\[
z_{\text{new}} = \frac{[(1 - \lambda) \cosh 2\theta - (\lambda + 1)] z + (\lambda - 1) \sinh 2\theta}{(1 - \lambda) \sinh 2\theta \cdot z + [(\lambda - 1) \cosh 2\theta - (\lambda + 1)]}. \tag{2.16}
\]
Therefore, the correlation function of \( \mathcal{T}_n \) can be written as:
\[
\langle G | e^{H_{\text{MSD}}\tau} \mathcal{T}_n^{(w)}(w_0, \bar{w}_0) e^{-H_{\text{MSD}}\tau} | G \rangle = \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial \bar{w}} \right)^h \left( \frac{\partial \bar{z}}{\partial w} \right)^h \left( \frac{\partial z_{\text{new}}}{\partial \bar{w}} \right)^h \left( \frac{\partial \bar{z}_{\text{new}}}{\partial w} \right)^h \langle \mathcal{T}_n^{(z)}(z_{\text{new}}, \bar{z}_{\text{new}}) \rangle. \tag{2.17}
\]
Here \( \langle \mathcal{T}_n^{(z)}(z, \bar{z}) \rangle \) is the one point correlation function in a boundary CFT. The boundary condition is imposed along the slit \( z = x \pm i0 \) on real axis, with \( x \in [0, \infty) \). Explicitly, \( \langle \mathcal{T}_n^{(z)}(z, \bar{z}) \rangle \) can be expressed as
\[
\langle \mathcal{T}_n^{(z)}(z, \bar{z}) \rangle = A_n^b \cdot \left( \frac{1}{4} z^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{z}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^h \cdot \left( \frac{2ai}{z^{\frac{1}{2}} - \bar{z}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^{2h}, \tag{2.18}
\]
where \( A_n^b \) is an amplitude depending on the selected boundary condition, which will affect the entanglement entropy by an order \( \sim O(1) \) term. \( a \) is a UV cut-off, which may be considered as the lattice spacing in a microscopic lattice model.

Recall that in the procedures above, the Hamiltonian we use is \( H_{\text{MSD}}(\theta) \). One needs to further take \( \theta \to \infty \) to obtain the SSD limit. After some tedious but straightforward steps, we finally arrive at
\[
\langle G | e^{H_{\text{MSD}}\tau} \mathcal{T}_n^{(w)}(w_0, \bar{w}_0) e^{-H_{\text{MSD}}\tau} | G \rangle = A_n^b \left( \frac{2\pi^2 a^2}{L^2} \right)^h \cdot \left( \frac{1}{m(t)^2 + m(t) \cdot n(t)} \right)^h, \tag{2.19}
\]
where
\[
m(t) = \sqrt{n(t)^2 + \sin^2 \frac{2\pi t}{L}}, \tag{2.20}
\]
and
\[
n(t) = \left( 1 - \cos \frac{2\pi t}{L} \right) \frac{2\pi^2 t^2}{L^2} - \cos \frac{2\pi t}{L}. \tag{2.21}
\]
Here we have already taken the analytical continuation \( \tau \to it \). Then based on Eqs.(2.1)~(2.3), one can obtain the entanglement entropy for \( A = [0, l] \) as follows
\[
S_A(t) = \frac{c}{12} \log \left( \frac{L^2}{2\pi^2 a^2} \cdot \left[ m(t)^2 + m(t) \cdot n(t) \right] \right), \tag{2.22}
\]
where we have neglected the \( O(1) \) term \( \sim c \log A_n^b \) contributed by the conformal boundary condition. As shown in Fig.3, we compare our field theory result \( S_A(t) \) with the numerical calculation on a lattice fermion chain (See the appendix for numerics. The only fitting parameter we used is the global constant shift in the ground-state entanglement entropy \( S_A(t = 0) \). It is noted that for a free fermion model, this constant term in \( S_A(t = 0) \) has been exactly evaluated in Ref.44.). They agree in an excellent way. One remarkable feature is that the entanglement entropy \( S_A(t) \) grows as \( S_A(t) \sim \frac{c}{3} \log t \) in the long time limit. Although the system is defined on a finite space \([0, L]\), in contrast to the uniform CFT,\(^45\) no revival appears here. This agrees with previous observations that a CFT with SSD effectively has an infinite length limit. In our case, the signal caused by a quench can never reach the ‘boundary’ of the SSD system and reflect back. One intuitive picture is to consider the sine-square deformation directly. Since \( \sin^2 \frac{2\pi x}{L} \) vanishes near the boundaries \( x = 0, L \), the local group velocity of quasi-particles \( v(x) = 2\sin^2 \frac{2\pi x}{L} \) will go to zero when approaching the boundary. Then it takes an infinite time for the quasiparticles to reach the boundary and reflect back. We will give further discussion on this effect in next section.

It is noted that there is much more information in \( S_A(t) \) in Eq.(2.22) and Fig.3, which we will analyze case by case in the following:

(i) \( t = 0 \)

This corresponds to the ground state of a CFT with open
boundary condition. \( S_A(t) \) in Eq.(2.22) can be simplified as

\[
S_A(t = 0) = \frac{c}{6} \log \left[ \frac{L}{\alpha a} \sin \left( \frac{\pi l}{L} \right) \right].
\] (2.23)

This is the well known result for a finite interval of length \( l \) at the end of a CFT living on \([0, L]\). \(^{46}\)

(ii) \( l = L/2, \ t > 0 \)

Now the subsystem is the left half (or right half) of the total system. \( S_A(t) \) has a very simple expression as follows

\[
S_A(t) = \frac{c}{6} \log \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \left( t^2 + \frac{L^2}{4\pi^2} \right) \right] - \frac{c}{6} \log \left( \frac{L}{4\pi a} \right).
\] (2.24)

One can find there is a crossover around \( t^* = L/2\pi \) (see also Fig.3). For \( t \ll t^* \), \( S_A(t) = \frac{c}{6} \log (L/\alpha a) \) is independent of time; while for \( t \gg t^* \), \( S_A(t) \approx \frac{c}{3} \log t \), which is universal and independent of \( l \) and \( L \), as can be observed in Fig.3.

(iii) \( l \ll L, \ t > 0 \)

As shown in Fig.3, for arbitrary \( l \in (0, L) \), there is a crossover time \( t^* \), so that for \( t \ll t^* \) one has \( S_A(t) \approx S_A(t = 0) \), and for \( t \gg t^* \) one has \( S_A(t) \approx \frac{c}{3} \log t \). In particular, for \( l \ll L \), one can find a simple expression of \( t^* \) as follows:

\[
t^* = \frac{L^2}{2\pi^2 l}, \quad l \ll L.
\] (2.25)

When the length \( L \) of total system is fixed, one can find that \( t^* \propto l^{-1} \). This explains why there is a wider plateau for smaller \( l \) in Fig.3. In other words, the smaller \( l \) is, the longer time \( S_A(t) \) stays in its initial value \( S_A(t = 0) \). This may be intuitively understood as follows. Since the Hamiltonian density is sine-square deformed, the local group velocity of quasi-particles also varies in position. The group velocity is smaller near the boundary, and larger near the center of the system. If the entanglement cut is close to the boundary (this corresponds to \( l \ll L \) or \( L - l \ll L \)), it takes the quasi-particles longer time to reach (or escape) subsystem \( A \). Therefore, \( S_A(t) \) will stay at its initial value for a long time.

For general \( l \in (0, L) \), the crossover time \( t^* \) is determined by

\[
t^* = \frac{L^2}{2\pi} \cdot \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{\pi l}{L} \right) \cdot \max \left( \left| \frac{2\pi l}{L} \right|, \left| \frac{2\pi l}{L} \right| \right).
\] (2.26)

We emphasize that for \( t \gg t^* \), the entanglement entropy grows as \( S_A(t) \approx \frac{c}{3} \log t \) all the way, with no revival. This is the feature of an infinite system. On the other hand, in the works by Ishibashi and Tada,\(^{13,14}\), it was found that the energy spectrum of a CFT with SSD is continuous. Recall that a uniform CFT on a finite space of length \( L \) has energy spacing \( \sim 1/L \), which is discrete for a finite \( L \). From this point of view, a CFT with SSD seems to have an infinite length limit. Here, we studied this effect from the time evolution of entanglement entropy after a quantum quench.

As a remark, it is noted that in the CFT calculation the entanglement entropy grows as \( S_A(t) \approx \frac{c}{3} \log t \) with no upper bound in the long time limit. Apparently, this is not the case for a lattice model, since there is a finite number of degrees of freedom in a subsystem of finite length and the energy spectrum is of finite width. In a lattice model, the entanglement entropy will finally saturate. One can refer to Ref.47 for more related discussions.

C. Physical interpretation of \( t^* \)

To further understand the physical meaning of \( t^* \) in Eq.(2.25), again, it is helpful to consider the quasi-particle picture. Compared to the cases of global quench,\(^{29–31}\) local quench,\(^{32–35}\) and inhomogeneous quantum quench,\(^{22–25,37–40}\) there is a fundamental difference here. In our case, since the initial state is the ground state of a uniform CFT, which is long-range entangled, there is not an intuitive picture on how the entangled pairs of quasi-particles are distributed in the initial state.\(^{48}\)

To discuss the physical meaning of \( t^* \), we assume that the quasi-particles are emitted from the main bulk of the system, and then we check the time scale that these quasi-particles propagate into the region \((0, l)\) with \( l \ll L \). This assumption is quite reasonable because the Hamiltonian density 2sin\(^2\) (\(\frac{\pi x}{L}\) \(h(x)\)) is more uniform near the two ends of the SSD system, and looks almost the same as the uniform Hamiltonian density \(h(x)\) up to a global factor. Then in the quantum quench by evolving the ground state of \( H_0 \) with \( H_{SSD} \), the quasi-particles are mainly emitted from the bulk of the system.

Now we consider the quasi-particles emitted from \( \xi \), with \( \xi \sim O(L) \). These quasi-particles will contribute to the entanglement entropy of \( A = (0, l) \) after a time

\[
t_q = \int_{\xi}^{L} \frac{dx}{v(x)}.
\] (2.27)

Here \( v(x) = 2\sin^2 (\frac{\pi x}{L}) \) is the group velocity of quasi-particles at \( x \). It is straightforward to obtain

\[
t_q = \frac{L}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\tan \frac{\pi \xi}{L}} - \frac{1}{\tan \frac{\pi \xi}{L}} \right).
\] (2.28)

Recall that \( l \ll \xi \) and \( l \ll L \), then \( t_q \) can be further simplified as

\[
t_q \approx \frac{L}{2\pi^2 l}.
\] (2.29)

which is nothing but \( t^* \) in Eq.(2.25). That is, \( t^* \) actually characterizes the light-cone of quasi-particles that enter the subsystem \( A = (0, l) \). This explains why for \( t \ll t^* \), the entanglement entropy \( S_A(t) \) of \( A = (0, l) \) does not increase, while for \( t \sim t^* \), the entanglement entropy starts to grow in time.

For a generic \( l \) which is of order \( O(L) \), to have a quasi-particle interpretation of \( t^* \) in Eq.(2.26), one needs to know more concrete information about the distribution of entangled pairs of quasi-particles in the initial state, which is beyond the scope of our current work.
III. ENTANGLEMENT EVOLUTION: 
QUench FROM UNIFORM TO MöBIUS DEFORMED SYSTEMS

In the previous section, we have studied the quantum quench from a uniform system to a SSD system. It is natural to ask the following: what happens for the Möbius deformation? It is interesting to see how the Möbius deformation interpolates between the uniform and SSD cases.

To be concrete, we prepare the initial state as the ground state of a uniform CFT on a finite space $[0, L]$. Starting from $t = 0$, we have the initial state evolve according to the Möbius Hamiltonian in Eq.(2.6), and we study the time evolution of entanglement entropy. The procedures are almost the same as those in Sec.II, except that now we do not take the limit $\theta \to \infty$. After some straightforward algebra, one can find the time evolution of entanglement entropy for subsystem $A = [0, l]$ as

$$S_A(t) = \frac{c}{12} \log \left\{ \frac{L^2}{2\pi^2a^2} \left[ f(t)^2 + f(t) \cdot h(t) \right] \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where, as before, we have neglected the $O(1)$ contribution from the conformal boundary condition. $f(t)$ and $h(t)$ have the expressions:

$$f(t) = \sqrt{h(t)^2 + \sin^2 \frac{2\pi L}{L}}, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$h(t) = - \left( \sin^2 \frac{\pi t}{L_{\text{eff}}} \cos(4\theta) + \cos^2 \frac{\pi t}{L_{\text{eff}}} \right) \cdot \cos \frac{2\pi l}{L} + \sin^2 \frac{\pi t}{L_{\text{eff}}} \cdot \sin(4\theta), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$L_{\text{eff}} = L \cosh(2\theta). \quad (3.4)$$

This effective length can be alternatively obtained by considering a CFT in curved spacetime (see Appendix B). As a self-consistent check, one can find that $S_A(t)$ reduces to Eq.(2.23) for $t = 0$, and reduces to Eq.(2.22) for $\theta \to \infty$, as expected.

The comparison between CFT results and the numerical calculation is shown in Fig.4, and the agreement is excellent. For $\theta = 0$, since the Möbius Hamiltonian is the same as the uniform case, then there is essentially no quench (see Fig.4). For $\theta > 0$, it is interesting that oscillations appear in $S_A(t)$. Based on $S_A(t)$ in Eqs.(3.1)~(3.4), one can find the period of oscillations is $L_{\text{eff}} = L \cosh(2\theta)$. For $\theta \to 0$, the oscillation period is $L$, which is as expected for a uniform CFT. On the other hand, in the SSD limit $\theta \to \infty$, the oscillation period becomes $L \cosh(2\theta) \to \infty$. This agrees with the fact that there is no revival in $S_A(t)$ for a CFT with SSD.

To see the features of $S_A(t)$ in Eq.(3.1) more clearly, let us focus on the case $l = L/2$. Then $S_A(t)$ can be simplified as

$$S_A(t) = \frac{c}{6} \log \left\{ \frac{L}{\pi a} \left[ \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi t}{L_{\text{eff}}} \right) e^{4\theta} + \cos^2 \left( \frac{\pi t}{L_{\text{eff}}} \right) \right] \right\}. \quad (3.5)$$

The period of oscillations with $T = L_{\text{eff}} = L \cosh(2\theta)$ can be explicitly seen in this expression. In addition, one can find that the amplitude of oscillations grows as we increase $\theta$. For $l = L/2$, the amplitude of oscillations has a very simple expression

$$S_A(t = \frac{L_{\text{eff}}}{2}) - S_A(t = 0) = \frac{2c}{3} \frac{L}{\pi a} \theta, \quad (3.6)$$

which grows with $\theta$ linearly, as can be observed in Fig.4.

Furthermore, it is straightforward to check that as $\theta \to \infty$, the entanglement entropy evolution in Eq.(3.1) will reduce to the SSD case in Eq.(2.22), as expected.

As a short summary, by studying the quench dynamics in a CFT with Möbius deformation, one can find that the effective length of the system becomes $L_{\text{eff}} = L \cosh(2\theta)$, which interpolates between the uniform and SSD systems, as we tune $\theta$ from 0 to $\infty$.

Remark: It is noted that the effective length $L_{\text{eff}}$ can also be understood based on the quasiparticle picture. For the Möbius deformation, the group velocity of quasiparticles at $x$ is $v(x) = 1 - \tanh(2\theta) \cos \frac{2\pi x}{L}$. Since the system is symmetric about $x = L/2$, for an entangled pair of quasiparticles emitted from $x$, they will meet again at $L - x$ at time

$$t_q = \int_0^L \frac{dx}{v(x)} = L \cosh(2\theta). \quad (3.7)$$
Similarly, the entangled-pair of quasiparticles emitted from $L - x$ will meet again for the first time at $x$ with time $t_q = L \cosh(2\theta)$. This explains why we observe a revival of $S_A(t)$ with a time period $L \cosh(2\theta)$.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Let us first summarize our main results, and then make some comments.

We studied analytically the quench dynamics of a sine-square deformed CFT, which was proposed to have continuous energy spectrum and infinite length limit. By quenching from a uniform CFT to a sine-square deformed CFT on $[0, L]$, it was found that the entanglement entropy $S_A(t)$ for subsystem $A = [0, l]$ evolves in time in a universal way. There exists a crossover time $t^*$. For $t \ll t^*$, $S_A(t)$ does not evolve in time; for $t \gg t^*$, $S_A(t)$ grows in time all the way as $S_A(t) \approx \frac{1}{4} \log t$, with no revival. This feature indicates that the CFT with SSD effectively has an infinite length limit, consistent with previous analysis on the energy spectrum. In addition, we studied analytically the quench dynamics of a Möbius deformed CFT. Aside from some interesting features, it was found that a length scale $L_{\text{eff}} = L \cosh(2\theta)$ appears in the time evolution of the entanglement entropy, which interpolates between the uniform and SSD systems. We hope our work can stimulate more interest in the non-equilibrium dynamics in sine-square deformed CFTs and other related non-uniform CFTs.

As will be studied in Ref.47, the setup in this work provides a building block for studying the Floquet dynamics in a conformal field theory. That is, one can drive a CFT with Hamiltonians $H_0$ and $H_{\text{SSD}}$ [see Eqs.(1.1) and (1.2)] periodically, and see if the system can be heated or not. Compared to the previous work on boundary-driven CFT,49 now we have a bulk-driven Floquet CFT which can be analytically solved.47

In addition, careful readers may have noticed that when evaluating the correlation function in Eq.(2.5), we did not introduce any UV regularization, in contrast to other setups such as Refs.29–35. The reason is as follows. The effect of time $t$ introduce any UV regularization, in contrast to other setups such as Refs.30 and 32. Technically, it will be more complicated to study these setups because the CFT with SSD is defined on a finite space. There are more boundaries introduced by the global/local quenches, and one needs complicated conformal mappings to study these quenches. We also want to point out that there are other interesting entanglement measures that may be helpful to detect how the entanglement is generated (or propagates) in a CFT with SSD. See, e.g., Fig.10 in Ref.50, on how to use entanglement negativity to detect the distribution of EPR pairs in a CFT after a quantum quench.

It is also interesting to study other kinds of deformations, such as a sin$^3$ deformation. It is expected that the Virasoro generators $L_n$ and $L_{-n}$ with $n > 1$ will also appear in the Hamiltonian. The feature of energy spectrum for CFTs with such deformations was even not well understood. It is interesting to see if such deformations can be analytically studied within the CFT approach.

Recently, measuring the time evolution of (Renyi) entanglement entropy after a quantum quench was realized in cold atom experiments,51 where the (1+1)-d quantum system is quenched from a Mott insulator phase to a superfluid phase. Here, the setup in our work applies to arbitrary (1+1)-d quantum critical systems that can be described by a CFT. We expect that our setup may be realized in cold-atom experiments by tuning the tunneling strength between neighboring sites through the optical lattice depth.52 It is noted that the tunneling strength corresponds to the hopping strength in the lattice model (see Appendix A). By tuning the tunneling strength in space in a sine-square deformed way, one may realize SSD as well as its quench dynamics in experiments.
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Appendix A: Lattice model

To confirm our field theory result in the main text, we calculate the entanglement entropy evolution based on a free fermion lattice model. We prepare the initial state as the ground state $|G\rangle$ of a uniform free fermion chain with half-filling:

$$H_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \hbar c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + h.c.,$$

where $h$ is the hopping strength, and we choose $\hbar = 1/2$ throughout the calculation. The length of the chain is $L$ and open boundary condition is imposed. $c_i$ ($c_i^\dagger$) are fermionic operators, which satisfy the anticommutation relations $\{c_i, c_j\} = \{c_i^\dagger, c_j^\dagger\} = 0$, and $\{c_i, c_j^\dagger\} = \delta_{ij}$. 


Then at time $t = 0$, we have the initial state $|G\rangle$ evolve according to the new Hamiltonian, which is non-uniform in space:

$$H_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \left[ 1 - \tanh(2\theta) \cdot \cos \left( \frac{2\pi(i + 1/2)}{L} \right) \right] c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + \text{h.c.}$$

(A2)

Note that for $\theta = 0$, $H_1$ corresponds to the uniform Hamiltonian, and for $\theta \to \infty$, $H_1$ corresponds to the Hamiltonian with SSD. Then one can calculate the two-point correlation function $\langle \psi(t)|c_i^\dagger c_j|\psi(t)\rangle$ in subsystem $A$, with $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iH_1 t}|G\rangle$. Based on the two-point correlation functions, one can calculate the entanglement entropy $S(t)$ following Pesches’ method.35

We compare our numerical calculation with the CFT results for cases with $\theta \to \infty$ and finite $\theta$, respectively. The only fitting parameter we choose is the global shift (which is a constant) in the ground-state entanglement entropy $S_A(t = 0)$, arising from the cut-off and boundary conditions. (It is noted that for a free fermion model, this constant term in $S_A(t = 0)$ has been explicitly evaluated in Ref.44.) The agreement is excellent, as shown in Figs.3 and 4.

Appendix B: CFT in curved space-time

In this section, we explain that the sine-square deformed Hamiltonian or Möbius Hamiltonian can be regarded as a CFT in curved space-time.

The CFT in curved space is invariant under coordinate transformation and Weyl transformation. For example, we consider a multi-point correlation function

$$\langle O_1(x_1)O_2(x_2)\ldots \rangle_{ds_1^2},$$

in the space with metric

$$ds_1^2 = g_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^\mu dx^\nu.$$ (B1)

The correlation function is invariant under the coordinate transformation

$$O_i(x_1) \rightarrow O_i(y_i) \mid_{y_i=f(x_i)}$$

$$ds_1^2 \rightarrow ds_2^2 = g_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial x^\rho}{\partial y^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\sigma}{\partial y^\nu} \mid_{x=f^{-1}(y)},$$

and the Weyl transformation

$$O_i(x_1) \rightarrow e^{-\Delta_i(x_i)}O_i(x_1)$$

$$ds_1^2 \rightarrow ds_3^2 = e^{2\sigma(x)}ds_1^2,$$ (B3)

where $\Delta_i = h_i + \bar{h}_i$. More explicitly, the three correlation functions

$$\langle O_1(x_1)O_2(x_2)\ldots \rangle_{ds_1^2} = \langle O_1(y_1)O_2(y_2)\ldots \rangle_{ds_2^2} \mid_{y_i=f(x_i)}$$

$$= e^{-\sum_i \Delta_i(x_i)}\langle O_1(x_1)O_2(x_2)\ldots \rangle_{ds_3^2}$$

are equal to each other. With these results, we can rewrite the theory with Möbius Hamiltonian as a CFT in curved space.

As discussed in section II, the operators in coordinate $w$ and coordinate $\bar{z}$ are related by

$$\phi^{(w)}(t_E, x) = e^{Ht_E} \phi^{(w)}(0, x)e^{-Ht_E}$$

$$= \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 \theta e^{\frac{2\pi t_E}{L}} e^{-\frac{2\pi t_E}{L}} \phi^{(\bar{z})}(\bar{z}, \bar{z}),$$ (B6)

where

$$\bar{z} = -e^{\frac{2\pi t_E}{L}} \sinh \theta e^{\frac{2\pi t_E}{L}} - \sinh \theta,$$

$$\bar{z} = -e^{\frac{2\pi t_E}{L}} \sinh \theta e^{\frac{2\pi t_E}{L}} - \sinh \theta.$$ (B7)

We will show that the Möbius Hamiltonian in $w$ coordinate can be regarded as CFT in the space with metric

$$ds^2_w = \frac{dt_E^2}{\cosh^2 \theta} \left( \cosh 2\theta - \sinh 2\theta \cos \frac{2\pi x}{L} \right)^2 dx^2.$$ (B8)

Note that the metric in $\bar{z}$ is

$$ds^2_{\bar{z}} = d\bar{z}d\bar{z}.$$ (B9)

The metric in $\bar{z}$ and $w$ can be transformed to each other by a coordinate transformation (B7) and a Weyl transformation

$$ds^2_{\bar{z}} = e^{2\sigma}ds^2_w,$$ (B10)

where

$$e^{2\sigma} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 \left( \cosh 2\theta - \sinh 2\theta \cos \frac{2\pi x}{L} \right)^2.$$ (B11)

With (B3) and (B4), we get the same relation (B6) from CFT in curved space. Furthermore, we can calculate the effective length of the system

$$\int_0^L \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{L}} dx = L \cosh 2\theta,$$ (B12)

which is the same as $L_{\text{eff}}$ in Eq.(3.4). As studied in Sec.II C, it is also interesting to check the effective distance between $x_1 = l$ and $x_2 = \xi$ as follows:

$$L_{\text{eff}}(x_1, x_2; \theta \to \infty) = \int_l^\xi \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{L}} dx = \frac{L}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\tan \frac{\pi x}{L}} - \frac{1}{\tan \frac{\pi L}{x}} \right).$$ (B13)

For $l \ll L$ and $l \ll \xi$, one has

$$L_{\text{eff}}(x_1, x_2; \theta \to \infty) \simeq \frac{L^2}{2\pi^2 l}.$$ (B14)
Bruno Bertini, Lorenzo Piroli, and Pasquale Calabrese, “Universal broadening of the light cone in low-temperature transport,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 176801 (2018).

Xueda Wen, Yuxuan Wang, and Shinsei Ryu, “Entanglement evolution across a conformal interface,” Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 51, 195004 (2018).

Y. Brun and J. Dubail, “The Inhomogeneous Gaussian Free Field, with application to ground state correlations of trapped 1d Bose gases,” ArXiv e-prints (2017), arXiv:1712.05262 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

Pasquale Calabrese and John Cardy, “Entanglement entropy and conformal field theory,” Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 42, 504005 (2009).

If the two boundary conditions along \( x = 0 \) and \( x = L \) are different, one needs to consider the boundary condition changing operator in the following discussions.

Maurizio Fagotti and Pasquale Calabrese, “Universal parity effects in the entanglement entropy of \( xx \) chains with open boundary conditions,” Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2011, P01017 (2011).

John Cardy, “Thermalization and revivals after a quantum quench in conformal field theory,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 220401 (2014).

Pasquale Calabrese and John Cardy, “Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory,” Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2004, P06002 (2004).

X. Wen and J.-Q. Wu, “Floquet conformal field theory,” ArXiv e-prints (2018), arXiv:1805.00031 [cond-mat.str-el].

It is noted that in the global quench, since the initial state is short-range entangled, the entangled pairs are emitted from the region where two CFTs are connected. In both cases, we know clearly the distribution of entangled pairs in the initial state.

William Berdanier, Michael Kolodrubetz, Romain Vasseur, and Joel E. Moore, “Floquet dynamics of boundary-driven systems at criticality,” Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 260602 (2017).

Xueda Wen, Po-Yao Chang, and Shinsei Ryu, “Entanglement negativity after a local quantum quench in conformal field theories,” Phys. Rev. B 92, 075109 (2015).

Adam M. Kaufman, M. Eric Tai, Alexander Lukin, Matthew Rispoli, Robert Schittko, Philipp M. Preiss, and Markus Greiner, “Quantum thermalization through entanglement in an isolated many-body system,” Science 353, 794–800 (2016).

Waseem S Bakr, Jonathon I Gillen, Amy Peng, Simon Fölling, and Markus Greiner, “A quantum gas microscope for detecting single atoms in a hubbard-regime optical lattice,” Nature 462, 74 (2009).

Chenfeng Bao, Shuo Yang, Chenjie Wang, and Zheng-Cheng Gu, “Lattice model constructions for gapless domain walls between topological phases,” arXiv:1801.00719.

Ingo Peschel, “Calculation of reduced density matrices from correlation functions,” Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 36, L205 (2003).