Genetic variation and inheritance of phytosterol and oil content in a doubled haploid population derived from the winter oilseed rape Sansibar × Oase cross
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Abstract

Phytosterols are minor seed constituents in oilseed rape which have recently drawn wide-interest from the food and nutrition industry due to their health benefit in lowering LDL cholesterol in humans. To understand the genetic basis of phytosterol content and its relationship with other seed quality traits in oilseed rape, QTL mapping was performed in a segregating DH population derived from the cross of two winter oilseed rape varieties, Sansibar and Oase, termed SODH population. Both parental lines are of canola quality which differ in phytosterol and oil content in seed. A genetic map was constructed for SODH population based on a total of 1638 markers organized in 23 linkage groups and covering a map length of 2350 cM with a mean marker interval of 2.0 cM. The SODH population and the parental lines were cultivated at six environments in Europe and were phenotyped for phytosterol content, oil content, fatty acids content, protein content of the defatted meal, and seed weight. Multiple interval mapping identified between one and six QTL for nine phytosterol traits, between two and six QTL for four fatty acids, five QTL for oil content, four QTL for protein content of defatted meal, and three QTL for seed weight. Colocalizations of QTL for different traits were more frequently observed than individual isolated QTL. Major QTL (\(R^2 \geq 25\%\)) were all located in the A genome, and the possible candidate genes were investigated by physical localization of the QTL to the reference genome sequence of Brassica rapa.
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Introduction

Oilseed rape (Brassica napus L.; genome AACC, \(2n = 38\)) is the world’s third-leading source of vegetable oil for human nutrition and industrial products. Almost all of the oilseed rapeseed cultivation is “double low” or “canola” quality with low content of erucic acid in the oil and glucosinolates in the seeds (Friedt and Snowdon 2010). While oilseed rape breeding has achieved remarkable success over the past few decades, there is still much to learn about the genes regulating seed oil content and quality traits. Increasing concerns about rapid population growth, demands for improved nutritional oil, and expansion of biofuel production have also led to the call for further enhancement in quantity and quality of seed oil. In the case of a complex trait like seed oil content, the number of QTL as reported by numerous studies varied between 3 and 27 QTL and were found distributed among 17 of the 19 chromosomes in B. napus (Rahman et al. 2013). In addition, these QTL individually explained between 2 and 10 % of the phenotypic variance while the additive effect ranged from 0.2 % to more than 1.0 % (Rahman et al. 2013). Therefore,
increasing oil content through breeding would have to rely on progressive stacking of positive alleles. Besides considering the environmental influence on the QTL, identifying the underlying candidate genes as well as recognizing the pleiotropic effect or correlation between traits would greatly increase the efficiency of breeding. Several studies have shown that oil content is influenced by the fatty acid composition or vice versa (Ecke et al. 1995; Möllers and Schierholt 2002; Hobbs et al. 2004; Zheng et al. 2008). Since triacylglycerols constitute about 90 % of the oil, the fatty acid composition which represents the overall composition of the triacylglycerols is an important quality parameter determining the value and suitability of the oil for nutritional or industrial applications. Although the canola quality oilseed rape possesses a nearly ideal fatty acid profile, there is still room for improvement on the thermal stability of oil by further increasing oleic acid and reducing the polyunsaturated fatty acids content.

Recently, some minor salutary oil constituents such as carotenoids (Shewmaker et al. 1999; Yu et al. 2008; Wei et al. 2010), phytosterols (Amar et al. 2008b), and tocopherols (Marwede et al. 2005; Fritsche et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2012b) have also drawn the attention among plant breeders and researchers to study and improve the content and composition due to their conferred health-benefiting properties. Phytosterols are widely known for their cholesterol lowering properties since 1950s (Peterson 1951; Pollak 1953). An effective dose of 1–3 g day\(^{-1}\) leads to reduction between 8 and 15 % in LDL cholesterol (Quilez et al. 2003). Other promising effects include anti-cancer (Woyengo et al. 2009), anti-atherosclerosis (Moghadasian et al. 1997), anti-inflammation (Bouic 2001), and anti-oxidation (Van Rensburg et al. 2000). These health-promoting properties have led to the development of functional foods enriched with phytosterols as bioactive ingredients. A variety of foods fortified with phytosterols, including margarines, mayonnaises, vegetable oils, salad dressings, milk, dairy products, beverages, and snack bars, are now widely available in the market (Berger et al. 2004). The most common sources of phytosterol added to foods are tall oil—a byproduct of the pulping industry that is rich in sitosterol and sitostanol (Jones et al. 1998)—and distillate fraction from vegetable oil refining. While most crude vegetable oils contain about 1–5 g kg\(^{-1}\) of phytosterol, corn oil contains about 8–16 g kg\(^{-1}\) and oilseed rape oil contains about 5–10 g kg\(^{-1}\) (Piironen et al. 2000). The high amount of phytosterol in oilseed rape means that it may serve as valuable base stock for the health and nutrition industry.

Phytosterols include a wide variety of molecules that are structurally similar to cholesterol. The structural variations of phytosterols arise from different number of carbon atoms on C-24 in the side chain as well as the number and position of double bonds in the tetracyclic skeleton (Fig. 1). In oilseed rape, the phytosterol profile consists mainly of sitosterol, campesterol, brassicasterol, and avemasterol, while cholesterol and stigmasterol occur only in trace amounts (Appelqvist et al. 1981). Brassicasterol is a characteristic sterol of Brassicaceae species and in oilseed rape, it amounts to about 13 % of total phytosterol content. Among the adapted winter oilseed rape populations, modern cultivars with canola quality contain higher amount of total phytosterols than the genetically diverse or resynthesized lines that are of non-canola quality. This observation is due to the close negative correlation between total phytosterol content and erucic acid content (Amar et al. 2008b). In a winter oilseed rape DH population segregating for erucic acid, QTL mapping showed that two of the three QTL identified for total phytosterol content colocalized with two erucic acid genes (Amar et al. 2008a). Based on the fact that cytoplasmic acetyl-CoA is required in the synthesis of both erucic acid and phytosterols, colocalizations of QTL are most likely attributed to pleiotropic effect exerted by the erucic acid genes. To further investigate the inheritance of phytosterols and their relations to other important seed quality traits, a DH population constructed from the two canola quality winter oilseed rape cultivars, Sansibar and Oase, was used in this study. The parental lines were shown to differ with respect to phytosterol and oil content based on a previous screening (Amar et al. 2009). It was anticipated that this DH population, which does not segregate for erucic acid, may have greater power for detection of QTL with novel alleles for phytosterol content than previous studies. The main objectives of this study were (1) to identify QTL for phytosterol content, fatty acids, oil content, protein content of defatted meal, and seed weight; (2) to investigate the correlation between the analyzed traits; and (3) to inspect for possible candidate genes underlying the major QTL.

Materials and methods

Plant material

The experimental population consisted of 226 F1 microspore-derived DH lines derived from the Sansibar × Oase cross. The two parental lines were among the 27 canola quality winter oilseed rape cultivars analyzed by Amar et al. (2009) and were chosen due to their contrasting total phytosterol content and oil content in seed; Sansibar had the highest total phytosterol content (~480 mg 100 g\(^{-1}\) seed) and lowest oil content (43 %), while Oase had the lowest total phytosterol content (~360 mg 100 g\(^{-1}\) seed) and highest oil content (46 %). The DH population was developed in the Division of Plant Breeding at Georg-August-Universität Göttingen and was named as SODH population.
Field experiments

The SODH population and the parental lines were cultivated in six environments: two environments at Göttingen, Germany during growing seasons 2009/2011 and 2010/2011; one environment at Einbeck, Germany during growing season 2010/2011 by KWS Saat AG; one environment at Asendorf, Germany during growing season 2011/2012 by Deutsche Saatveredelung (DSV) AG; and two environments at Svalöv, Sweden during growing seasons 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 by Lantmännen SW Seed. The field trials were carried out in small plots in a complete randomized design without replication. Seeds of ten open pollinated plants from each line were harvested and bulked for analyses.

Molecular markers

Genomic DNA of the SODH population and their parental lines were isolated from young leaves of 4–5 week-old greenhouse-grown seedlings using Nucleon PhytoPure plant extraction kits (GE Healthcare, Illustra™) according to manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was quantified using Bio-Rad Fluorescent DNA Quantification Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories CA, USA).

Simple sequence repeats (SSR) and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers

SSR analysis was carried out following the M13-tailing PCR technique (Schuelke 2000). PCR reactions were performed in 96-well PCR plates with a volume of 20 μl per reaction, containing 25 ng of genomic DNA, 0.05 μM of forward primer with a M13 tail of 19 bp at the 5’ end, 0.05 μM of reverse primer, 0.05 μM of M-13 primer, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 1× PCR buffer, and 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase. A two-step touchdown PCR program was performed in a Biometra T1 Thermocycler (Biometra GmbH, Göttingen, Germany): 95 °C for 2 min; 5 cycles of 95 °C for 45 s; 68 °C (−2 °C/cycle) for 5 min, 72 °C for 1 min; 5 cycles of 95 °C for 45 s, 58 °C (−2 °C/cycle) for 1 min, 72 °C for 1 min; 27 cycles of 95 °C for 45 s, 47 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min; and 72 °C for 10 min. A total of 350 primer pairs obtained from various sources were screened for polymorphisms between the parents. The SSR primer pairs prefixed with “BRA” and “CB” were developed by Celera AgGen consortium, and prefixed
with “MR” and “MD” were developed by Division of Plant Breeding at Georg-August-Universität Göttingen. AFLP analysis was performed by adapting the method described by Vos et al. (1995). A total of 16 primer combinations made up from 8 EcoRI fluorescence-labeled primers and 4 MseI primers were used: E32M48, E37M50, E36M51, E36M59, E39M48, E38M50, E37M51, E37M59, E44M48, E40M50, E38M51, E38M59, E45M48, E44M50, E44M51, and E44M59. The PCR products of AFLP and SSR were separated on the ABI PRISM 3100 genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems) with GeneScan-500 ROX size standard (Applied Biosystems) using 36 cm capillary arrays. The results were analyzed with GeneScan software version 3.7 (Applied Biosystems) and scored using Genotyper software version 3.7 NT (Applied Biosystems).

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers

A total of 125 polymorphic SNP, designated with prefix “ra” were genotyped by the breeding company KWS Saat AG and were kindly provided to us for map construction.

Diversity arrays technology (DArT) and Silico-DArT markers

The SODH population was genotyped with the B. napus v1.0 DArT microarray comprising 3072 markers, designated with the prefix “brPb.” A subset of 183 lines from the SODH population was genotyped with 4787 Silico-DArT markers (www.diversityarrays.com/dart-application-dart-seq-data-types), designated with the suffix “I F l0.” Genotyping with DArT and Silico-DArT markers was performed by Diversity Array Technology Pty Ltd, Yarralumla, Australia. The sequences for DArT markers were retrieved from http://www.diversityarrays.com/dart-map-sequences while the sequences for Silico-DArT clones were provided by Diversity Array Technology Pty Ltd, Yarralumla, Australia.

Kompetitive allele specific PCR (KASP) markers

From the Illumina Infinium Brassica 60 K SNP array, a subset of 32 markers that were polymorphic between the parental lines, Sansibar and Oase, were selected for KASP genotyping (Trait Genetics GmbH). Of the 32 markers, 13 were physically closely linked to promising candidate genes for phytosterol biosynthesis and 19 were associated with oil content in SGDH14 × Express617 DH population (Nina Behnke, personal communication). The sequences for SNP markers were provided by Isobel Parkin (AAFC, Saskatoon, Canada). The physical positions were based on reference genome of B. rapa v1.5 genome database (BRAD; http://www.brassicadb.org/brad/) (Wang et al. 2011) and B. oleracea v1.0 genome database (Bolbase; http://www.ocri-genomics.org/bolbase/). KASP markers were prefixed with “Bn-.”

Candidate gene-based markers

Five candidate genes involved in the regulation of phytosterol synthesis and one candidate gene involved in the triacylglycerol synthesis were selected to develop candidate gene-based markers. The details of the candidate genes are described in Supplementary Table 1 with the aid of the phytosterol biosynthetic pathway depicted in Fig. 1. The approach involved first designing a locus-specific marker to differentiate between homologs based on locus-specific SNP, followed by sequencing of the amplicons to screen for allelic SNP between the parental lines. If an allelic SNP was found, an allele-specific marker was developed for the pertaining homolog. Due to the limited number of locus-specific SNP and a lack of polymorphisms between the parental lines, only four candidate gene-based markers were developed: HMG1A07-O1 for 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase 1 (HMG1) and HMG2A10-2 for hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase 2 (HMG2), and D120E-3 and Dx-3 for diacylglycerol acyltransferase 1 (DGAT1). The DGAT1 primer pairs were kindly provided by Dr. Renate Schmidt from IPK Gatersleben. Primer sequence of candidate gene-based markers are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

Linkage map of SODH population

Linkage map was constructed using MAPMAKER/EXP 3.0 (Lincoln et al. 1992) with the aid of a purpose-built Perl script (unpublished; Wolfgang Ecke, personal communication) that automates the mapping process. Segregation of each marker was tested by $\chi^2$ analysis ($P = 0.05$) to assess the goodness-of-fit for the expected segregation ratio (1:1). Markers which were significantly deviating from 1:1 segregation ratio were regarded as skewed segregated markers while markers which were not significantly different from 3:1 or beyond were defined as strongly skewed segregated markers. Markers with strongly skewed segregation were initially excluded for map construction and were attempted for mapping after the initial map was built.

Markers were assigned to linkage groups to construct a core map by the “group” command with the minimum LOD score parameter set to 4 and the maximum distance parameter set to 35 cM. The most probable marker order within each group was determined by the command “order” and the resulting high-fidelity map was built upon by adding markers using the command “try.” Markers that showed more than the predetermined numbers of crossovers were excluded in the high-fidelity map. Markers that
were not supported by a LOD score of 3 in the high-fidelity map were placed at their most likely position in the linkage group. Following this, the “ripple” command was used to find the optimal marker order in the linkage groups. Genetic distances between loci were calculated using the Kosambi mapping function (Kosambi 1944). The resulting map consisted of high-fidelity markers which are supported by a LOD score of at least three and placed markers which are supported with LOD score of less than three.

The map was further optimized by constructing each linkage group 200 times with a random subset of five highly informative markers according to MAPMAKER/EXP3.0 command order to obtain the possible variant of a high-fidelity map. The optimal variant was selected to have as many markers as possible, as few double crossover as possible, and that the markers were as evenly distributed as possible.

The map was aligned with common marker loci on established genetic maps based on SSR (Piquemal et al. 2005; Radoev et al. 2008; Sharpe and Lydiate, unpublished data), DaRT (Raman et al. 2013), and SNP (KWS Saat AG, unpublished data). Linkage groups were named according to the nomenclature of Parkin et al. (1995) as A01–A10 and C01–C09.

For QTL mapping purpose, a subset of markers were selected from the high-fidelity markers on the basis that the distance between adjacent markers was about 5–10 cM. The term framework map was used to refer to the map used for QTL mapping.

**Phenotypic analysis**

**Phytosterols**

Phytosterol content was analyzed by adapting the protocol of Amar et al. (2008b) and Fernández-Cuesta et al. (2012), following a direct alkaline hydrolysis method which involves three major steps: alkaline hydrolysis (saponification), extraction of the non-saponifiable matter, and derivatization of the sterols to trimethylsilyl (TMS)-ether derivatives. The main advantage of using this method is that it bypasses the lipid extraction step, facilitating large number of seed samples to be analyzed more economically. The downside of this method is that alkaline hydrolysis could only quantify free sterols and steryl esters, but not steryl glycosides. The hydrolysis of acetal bond between phytosterol and the carbohydrate moiety requires acidic condition which may be destructive to the compound and laborious for routine analysis. Hence, it is possible that the present analysis would underestimate the total phytosterol concentration in the seed sample.

For each sample analysis, 200 mg of seed was weighed and placed in a polypropylene tube. Two milliliter of 2 % potassium hydroxide (Carl Roth, Germany) in ethanol (w/v) was added for alkaline hydrolysis, followed by 200 μl of 2 % cholesterol (99 % purity, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) in hexane-ethanol (3:2) solution, used as an internal standard to quantify phytosterol content. By placing one stainless steel rod (1.1 cm length; 0.4 cm diameter) in each tube, seeds were crushed and homogenized using a custom-built vertical homogenizer (Institute of Applied Plant Nutrition, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen) for 3 min at a speed deemed sufficient to homogenize the seeds. The tubes were subsequently incubated for 15 min at 80 °C in a water bath and cooled at room temperature for 30 min. To extract the phytosterols, 1.0 ml of hexane and 1.5 ml of distilled water were added, briefly vortexed, and centrifuged for 10 min at 4000 rpm. The upper hexane layer was transferred to a new tube and left over night on a hot plate at 37.5 °C to evaporate. The residue obtained after evaporation was dissolved with 80 μl hexane and derivatized with 20 μl of silylating agent, composed of hexamethyldisilazane (Fluka analytical):trimethylchlorosilane (Sigma-Aldrich purum >98 %; GC grade) 3:1. The solution was pipetted into a GC vial, capped, and incubated at room temperature for 20 min. To settle the precipitate, the derivatized samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 3000 rpm prior to GC analysis.

Analysis of derivatized sterols was performed using capillary gas–liquid chromatograph (Chrompack CP-9003), equipped with autosampler, split injector (320 °C; injection volume of 3 μl with a split ratio of 100:1), and flame ionization detector 320 °C, with fused silica capillary column of medium polarity (SE-54, 50 m long, 0.1 μm film thickness, 0.25 mm i.d. coated with 5 %-phenyl-1 %-vinylmethylpolysiloxane) (IVA Analysetechnik, Meerbisch, Germany). Hydrogen (carrier gas) pressure was set at 150 kPa. Initial oven temperature was set at 240 °C with an increment of 5 °C per min to final oven temperature at 275 °C and held for 20 min. Total analytical time was 25 min.

Phytosterol content was expressed as mg 100 g⁻¹ seed. The phytosterol traits evaluated in this study include contents of brassicasterol, campesterol, sitosterol, avenasterol, total phytosterol, 24-methyl sterol, 24-ethyl sterol and campesterol to sitosterol ratio, and 24-methyl to 24-ethyl sterol ratio. Total phytosterol content was calculated as the sum of brassicasterol, campesterol, sitosterol, and avenasterol contents. 24-Methyl sterol was calculated as the sum of brassicasterol and campesterol contents. 24-Ethyl sterol was calculated as the sum of sitosterol and avenasterol contents.

**Fatty acids**

Fatty acid composition was analyzed by gas chromatography using a method adapted from Thies (1971).
Approximately 200 mg of seed, 1 ml of Na-methylate-methanol (0.5 mol l⁻¹), and one stainless steel rod (1.1 cm length; 0.4 cm diameter) were added in a propylene tube. The seeds were then homogenized using a custom-built vertical homogenizer (Institute of Applied Plant Nutrition, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen) for 3 min. Following incubation for 20 min at room temperature, 300 μl isooctane and 100 μl 5 % NaHSO₄ in water were added, briefly vortexed, and centrifuged for 3 min at 4000 rpm. About 200 μl of the upper phase was pipetted into a GC vial and 3 μl was injected into a gas chromatograph (Thermo Trace GC Ultra), equipped with autosampler, split injector (split ratio 70:1), flame ionization detector (320 °C), and capillary FFAP-phase (0.25 mm × 25 m; Macherey & Nagel). Hydrogen (carrier gas) pressure was set at 100 kPa. Oven temperature was set at 210 °C. Total analytical time was 6 min.

The fatty acid content reported in this study include palmitic acid (C16:0), oleic acid (C18:1), linoleic acid (C18:2), and linolenic acid (C18:3), expressed as percentage of total fatty acids (including other minor fatty acids) in mature seeds.

**Oil and protein content of defatted meal**

Oil and protein content in seeds were estimated by NIRS measurement of total fatty acids (including other minor fatty acids) in mature seeds.

**Statistical analysis**

Variance components, heritability, and means were estimated using PLABSTAT software version 3A (Utz 2011). The model implemented in ANOVA analysis was as follows:

\[ Y_{ij} = \mu + g_i + e_j + \varepsilon_{ij}, \]

where \( Y_{ij} \) is the trait value of the \( i \)th genotype in the \( j \)th environment, \( \mu \) is the general mean, \( g_i \) is the effect of \( i \)th genotype, \( e_j \) is the effect of \( j \)th environment, and \( \varepsilon_{ij} \) is the random error mean of the \( i \)th genotype in the \( j \)th environment confounded with residual error and genotype × environment interaction. The genotype was treated as fixed effect, whereas environment was treated as random effect.

Broad-sense heritability \( \hat{h}^2 \) was estimated as follows:

\[ \hat{h}^2 = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_G^2}{\hat{\sigma}_G^2 + \hat{\sigma}_E^2 / n_e}, \]

where \( \hat{\sigma}_G^2 \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_E^2 \) are variance components for genotype and random error; \( n_e \) refers to number of environment. Mean values across all environments were used to calculate Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients between traits.

**QTL mapping**

QTL detection was performed with WinQTL Cartographer software ver. 2.5 (Wang et al. 2012a) using means of phenotypic data obtained from 6 environments and a framework map consisting of 273 markers. QTL were initially detected with composite interval mapping (CIM) using the default model (model 6) that selects certain markers as control markers by using additional parameters. For each trait, the LOD significance threshold \( (\alpha = -0.05) \) were estimated by 1000 permutation tests. Five markers selected by a forward and backward regression method were used as cofactors. CIM tests were performed at 1-cM steps with a 10-cM window size. Peaks were treated as separate QTL when the distance is more than 5 cM and the minimum LOD value exceeds one between any two adjacent peaks.

Subsequently, multiple interval mapping (MIM) was performed to refine the QTL positions, to search for more QTL, and to investigate epistatic effects among the detected QTL (Kao et al. 1999). The MIM model was built upon a priori model from CIM analysis and progressively refined using the BIC-M2 criterion. QTL positions that did not remain significant when fitted with others were then dropped from the model. QTL effects and their percentage of phenotypic variance explained by individual and all the QTL were estimated with the final model fitted in MIM. A one-LOD drop from the peak position was used as a confidence interval for each QTL.

**In silico mapping of sequence-informative markers on the B. napus genome**

Sequences of DArT, silico-DArT, SSR, and KASP markers were used to search in the B. napus Darmor-bzh genome sequence assembly (Chalhoub et al. 2014) using the nucleotide MEGABLAST algorithm. The word size was set at
28 and the cutoff e-value was set at 1e-10. When multiple hits were obtained, the physical marker position was predicted based on the alignment with the genetic map.

**Identification of possible candidate genes for major QTL**

Based on known key regulatory genes from the literature, an attempt was made to investigate whether the predicted genes were in fact underlying the major QTL. Since all the major QTL were located in the A genome, sequences of predicted *Arabidopsis* genes were searched in both *B. rapa* Chifu (Wang et al. 2011) and *B. napus* Darmor-bzh (Chalhoub et al. 2014) genome sequence assemblies. Likewise, markers within the major QTL region were searched against both *B. rapa* and *B. napus* genome sequence assemblies using the nucleotide MEGABLAST algorithm with word size of 28 and cutoff e-value at 1e-10.

**Results**

**Polymorphism of molecular markers and linkage map development of SODH population**

Different types of molecular markers were used in the construction of the genetic map for the SODH population: AFLP, SSR, DArT, Silico-DArT, SNP, KASP, and candidate gene-based markers. With 16 AFLP primer combinations, a total of 75 polymorphic markers could be scored in the SODH population. Of the 350 SSR primer pairs screened, 23 (0.07 %) were found polymorphic between the parents and exhibited clear and unambiguous amplification. Seven of the 23 SSR primer pairs amplified more than one polymorphic locus, resulting in 32 SSR loci. Approximately 13 % (407/3072) of DArT and 42 % (2005/4787) of silico-DArT markers were polymorphic between the parents.

After removal of markers with a minor allele frequency of less than 10 %, a total of 2555 marker loci were available for map construction. The resulting linkage map for SODH population has 1638 markers mapped onto 23 linkage groups and covered 2350.2 cM with a mean interval distance of 2.0 cM between markers. The unmapped markers were either ambiguously linked to various linkage groups, unlinked, or formed small linkage groups that were excluded for estimation of the linkage map length. About 50 % (457/913) of the unmapped markers showed skewed segregation of which 47 % (217/457) showed strongly skewed segregation. The number of markers, map size, marker density, and mean distance between markers are summarized in Table 1 and the genetic map is shown in Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 3. All linkage groups could be assigned with chromosome names according to the nomenclature of Parkin et al. (1995) as A01–A10 and C01–C09. The 23 linkage groups represented 19 chromosomes in *B. napus*, additional four linkage groups (A08-II, C02-II, C03-II, and C04-II) were formed due to loose or no linkage to their main linkage groups.

The map has an average density of 0.70 marker per cM with distribution of markers varying from 0.20 to 1.37 cM across the linkage groups (Table 1). The A genome comprised more markers (987) as compared to the C genome (655), with a mean interval distance between markers of 1.6 cM in the A genome and 2.4 cM in the C genome. The number of markers mapped in an individual linkage group ranged from 7 (A08-II) to 164 (A07).

About 44 % of the mapped markers (718) showed significant (*P = 0.05*) segregation distortion with the majority (76 %) of the markers favoring the Sansibar allele. Loci with skewed segregation favoring the Sansibar allele were mostly found on linkage groups A07, A10, C03, and C05; while loci with skewed segregation favoring the Oase allele were clustered mainly on linkage groups A05, C01, C03-II, and C04-II. Three candidate gene-based markers (HMG1A07-O1, HMG2A10-2S, and D120E-3) were mapped on A07 and another (Dx-3) was mapped on C09 (Supplementary Table 3).

A total of 910 sequence-informative markers were physically mapped to the *B. napus* genome sequence. The alignment of the SODH genetic map and the physical map of *B. napus* genome sequence was generally in agreement although some regions showed disruption of collinearity which may suggest chromosomal rearrangements, error in genome sequence assembly, or inaccuracies of the map (Supplementary Fig. 2). The genomic locations of sequence-informative markers and their homology are provided in Supplementary Table 4.

**Phenotypic analysis**

Highly significant effects for the genotype and the environment were found for all traits in the SODH population (Table 2). Broad-sense heritability (*h^2*) estimates were high, ranging from 0.80 to 0.90, indicating that much of the phenotypic variance were genetically determined. The total phytosterol content ranged from 311.2 to 486.9 mg 100 g^-1 seed, with a mean of 401.9 mg 100 g^-1 seed (Table 3). Among the four quantified end-products of the sterol pathway, sitosterol was the most prominent sterol, followed by campesterol, brassicasterol, and avenasterol. The 24-ethyl sterol content, which includes sitosterol and avenasterol, was higher than the 24-methyl sterol content, which comprises campesterol and brassicasterol. Between the parents, Sansibar consistently showed a higher
phytosterol content than Oase while Oase had a higher 24-methyl to 24-ethyl sterol ratio than Sansibar and only a small difference was observed for the campesterol to sitosterol ratio. The oil content was high in this population, ranging from 41.2 to 48.6 %, with a mean of 46.3 %. Between the parents, Oase had a higher oil content than Sansibar.

Highly significant correlations ($P = 0.01$) were observed between total phytosterol and the four individual sterols (Table 4). All nine phytosterol traits were positively correlated to C16:0 while brassicasterol in particular was correlated to all the major fatty acids. Oil was positively correlated with total phytosterol and oleic acid and negatively correlated with linoleic and linolenic acids. Except for brassicasterol, no significant correlation was observed between phytosterols and protein content of the defatted meal.

### QTL mapping

Multiple interval mapping identified between one and six QTL for nine phytosterol traits, between two and six QTL for four fatty acids, five QTL for oil content, four QTL for protein content of defatted meal and three QTL for seed weight (Table 5). These QTL were distributed on 13 linkage groups as shown in Fig. 2. Colocalizations of QTL for different traits were more frequently observed than individual isolated QTL.

### Phytosterols

QTL for phytosterols content were distributed on nine linkage groups: A01, A02, A03, A04, A06, A07, C03-II, C05, and C08 (Table 5; Fig. 1). Major QTL ($R^2 \geq 25\%$) were identified for brassicasterol on linkage group

---

**Table 1** Marker distribution, size, density, and mean distance between markers of each linkage group in the linkage map of SODH population

| Linkage group | No. of markers per linkage group | Size (cM) | Marker density (cM$^{-1}$) | Average distance between markers (cM) $^b$ |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|               | AFLP   | CGa   | DArT | KASP | Silico-DArT | SNP | SSR | Total |                     |                      |
| A01           | 2      | 6     | 1    | 58   | 4         | 3   | 74  | 98.0  | 0.76                  | 1.50                |
| A02           | 3      | 5     | 26   | 3    | 15        | 1   | 152 | 224.1 | 0.68                  | 1.70                |
| A03           | 7      | 19    | 3    | 107  | 15        | 1   | 152 | 224.1 | 0.68                  | 1.70                |
| A04           | 2      | 14    | 84   | 5    | 1         | 106 | 194.2 | 0.55       | 2.10                |
| A05           | 2      | 7     | 2    | 63   | 5         | 2   | 81  | 163.8 | 0.49                  | 2.20                |
| A06           | 4      | 18    | 2    | 95   | 5         | 1   | 124 | 128.0 | 0.97                  | 1.20                |
| A07           | 1      | 3     | 9    | 2    | 134       | 15  | 164 | 133.7 | 1.23                  | 0.90                |
| A08           | 2      | 9     | 1    | 31   | 2         | 45  | 37.8 | 7.19                  | 1.10                |
| A08-II        | 1      | 6     |      |      |           | 7   | 9.7  | 0.72                  | 1.90                |
| A09           | 3      | 8     | 2    | 49   | 2         | 1   | 65  | 130.2 | 0.50                  | 2.50                |
| A10           | 8      | 11    | 107  | 3    | 129       | 94.1 | 1.37 | 0.90                |
| C01           | 4      | 24    | 4    | 1    | 33        | 77.5 | 0.43 | 2.70                |
| C02           | 1      | 1     | 11   | 1    | 14        | 48.2 | 0.29 | 4.40                |
| C02-II        | 1      | 1     | 16   | 1    | 20        | 97.9 | 0.20 | 5.40                |
| C03           | 2      | 5     | 2    | 72   | 3         | 84  | 111.3 | 0.75       | 1.40                |
| C03-II        | 3      | 5     | 1    | 45   | 6         | 1   | 61  | 134.8 | 0.45                  | 2.40                |
| C04           | 1      | 19    | 2    | 2    | 24        | 79.5 | 0.30 | 3.60                |
| C04-II        | 5      | 3     | 4    | 75   | 6         | 93  | 101.0 | 0.92       | 1.20                |
| C05           | 2      | 1     | 45   | 4    | 53        | 92.1 | 0.58 | 1.90                |
| C06           | 2      | 5     | 1    | 49   | 7         | 64  | 95.2 | 0.67                  | 1.60                |
| C07           | 8      | 8     | 2    | 91   | 7         | 5   | 12  | 142.4 | 0.85                  | 1.40                |
| C08           | 4      | 27    | 2    | 3    | 33        | 32.5 | 0.63 | 1.80                |
| C09           | 1      | 1     | 3    | 44   | 4         | 1   | 54  | 63.5  | 0.85                  | 1.20                |
| A             | 34     | 3     | 107  | 13   | 760       | 59  | 11 | 984  | 1254.3 | 0.79                  | 1.57                |
| C             | 28     | 1     | 37   | 12   | 518       | 47  | 12 | 654  | 1095.9 | 0.60                  | 2.42                |
| Whole         | 62     | 4     | 144  | 25   | 1278      | 106 | 23 | 1638 | 2350.2 | 0.70                  | 2.01                |

$^a$ CG: candidate gene-based markers

$^b$ Co-segregating markers are represented as a single marker in the calculation of mean distance between markers
Table 2  Variance components and heritability of the SODH population (*n* = 226)

| Trait                 | Variance components ($\sigma^2$) | Heritability |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
|                       | Genotype (G) | Environment (E) | $\epsilon$ | $h^2$   |
| Phytosterol (mg 100g$^{-1}$ seed) |                  |               |     |        |
| Brassicasterol        | 14.28**       | 5.06**        | 16.12 | 0.84   |
| Campesterol           | 315.99**      | 160.43**      | 150.54 | 0.93   |
| Sitosterol            | 267.43**      | 36.23**       | 310.05 | 0.84   |
| Avenasterol           | 48.38**       | 94.09**       | 52.44  | 0.85   |
| Total sterol          | 1139.02**     | 706.69**      | 934.69 | 0.88   |
| 24-methyl sterol      | 330.13**      | 188.89**      | 192.91 | 0.91   |
| 24-ethyl sterol       | 412.95**      | 206**         | 368.78 | 0.87   |
| Campesterol:sitosterola | 89.77**     | 24.56**       | 41.65  | 0.93   |
| 24-methyl:24-ethyl sterola | 62.9**     | 8.29**        | 33.99  | 0.92   |

Other traits

| Trait              | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | F value | LSD |
|--------------------|------|-----|-----|------|---------|-----|
| C16:0 (%)          | 5.0  | 4.6 | 3.8 | 5.6  | 4.8     | 6.3** | 0.3 |
| C18:1 (%)          | 58.8 | 63.1| 57.3| 65.4 | 61.6    | 13.6**| 1.4 |
| C18:2 (%)          | 21.0 | 18.7| 17.1| 24.1 | 19.9    | 6.2** | 20.0 |
| C18:3 (%)          | 9.8  | 9.0 | 7.5 | 11.8 | 9.6     | 6.5** | 8.2 |
| Oil (%)            | 43.7 | 46.3| 41.2| 48.6 | 45.4    | 8.3** | 34.6 |
| Protein of defatted meal (%) | 29.3 | 32.9| 27.3| 35.2 | 30.5    | 11.3**| 15.7 |
| Thousand kernel weight (g) | 5.5  | 5.6 | 4.4 | 7.8  | 5.8     | 12.1**| 6.6 |

** Denotes significance at $P = 0.01$

$a$ Original values (ratio) × 100

Table 3  Descriptive statistic of the parents and the SODH population (*n* = 226)

| Trait                 | Parents | Double haploid population |
|-----------------------|---------|---------------------------|
|                       | Sansibar Oase | (n = 226) |
|                       | Mean    | Min | Max | Mean | F value | LSD |
| Phytosterol (mg 100g$^{-1}$ seed) | 50.4 | 46.4 | 32.7 | 59.5 | 48.8 | 6.3** | 4.6 |
| Brassicasterol        | 157.2   | 114.9 | 87.8 | 192.7 | 136.5 | 13.6** | 13.9 |
| Campesterol           | 226.7   | 167.5 | 154.9 | 251.6 | 193.4 | 6.2** | 20.0 |
| Sitosterol            | 23.8    | 19.7 | 9.8  | 52.3 | 25.4 | 6.5** | 8.2 |
| Avenasterol           | 461.7   | 352.4 | 311.2 | 486.9 | 401.9 | 8.3** | 34.6 |
| Total sterol          | 207.7   | 161.3 | 130.2 | 214.0 | 185.3 | 11.3** | 15.7 |
| 24-methyl sterol      | 250.4   | 187.2 | 170.1 | 252.7 | 218.8 | 7.7** | 21.8 |
| 24-ethyl sterol       | 69.4    | 68.7 | 47.3 | 99.7 | 71.0 | 13.9** | 7.3 |
| Campesterol:sitosterola | 82.9    | 86.2 | 62.3 | 108.2 | 85.3 | 12.1** | 6.6 |
| 24-methyl:24-ethyl sterola | 82.9    | 86.2 | 62.3 | 108.2 | 85.3 | 12.1** | 6.6 |

** LSD 5 % least significant difference at the level of 5 %

** Denotes significance at $P = 0.01$

$a$ Original values (ratio) × 100
Table 4  Spearman’s rank correlation of traits in the SODH population \( (n = 226) \)

|                      | Brassicasterol | Campesterol | Sitosterol | Avenasterol | Total phytosterol | 24-Methyl sterol | 24-Ethyl sterol | Campesterol: sitosterol | 24-methyl:24-ethyl sterol | C16:0 | C18:1 | C18:2 | C18:3 | Oil | Protein of defatted meal |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|--------------------------|
| Campesterol         | 0.03           |             | 0.15*      | 0.32**      |                   |                  |                  |                        |                          |       |       |       |       |     |                          |
| Sitosterol          |                |             |            | 0.04        | 0.78**            | 0.36**           |                  |                        |                          |       |       |       |       |     |                          |
| Avenasterol         |                |             |            |             |                   |                  |                  |                        |                          |       |       |       |       |     |                          |
| Total sterol        |                |             |            |             | 0.20**            | 0.84**           | 0.74**           | 0.80**                 |                          |       |       |       |       |     |                          |
| 24-methyl sterol    |                |             |            |             | 0.24**            | 0.98**           | 0.34**           | 0.77**                 | 0.86**                   |       |       |       |       |     |                          |
| 24-ethyl sterol     |                |             |            |             | 0.14*             | 0.53**           | 0.95**           | 0.65**                 | 0.88**                   | 0.54** |       |       |       |     |                          |
| Campesterol:sitosterol |            |             |            |             | −0.08             | 0.77**           | −0.35**          | 0.53**                 | 0.34**                   | 0.73** | −0.11 |       |       |     |                          |
| 24-methyl:24-ethyl sterol |       |             |            |             | 0.12              | 0.49**           | −0.61**          | 0.15*                  | 0.01                     | 0.50** | −0.45** | 0.89** |       |     |                          |
| C16:0               |                |             |            |            | 0.29**            | 0.31**           | 0.18**           | 0.26**                 | 0.33**                   | 0.36** | 0.24** | 0.18** | 0.15* |     |                          |
| C18:1               | −0.43**        | −0.06       | −0.09      | 0.04        | −0.11             | −0.15*           | −0.06            | −0.01                  | −0.12                     | −0.53** |       |       |       |     |                          |
| C18:2               | 0.27**         | 0.02        | 0.02       | −0.09       | 0.03              | 0.08             | −0.01            | 0.02                   | 0.11                      | 0.31** | −0.83** |       |       |     |                          |
| C18:3               | 0.37**         | 0.10        | 0.01       | 0.09        | 0.12              | 0.18**           | 0.04             | 0.08                   | 0.13*                      | 0.29** | −0.68** | 0.34** |       |     |                          |
| Oil                 | −0.10          | 0.20**      | 0.16*      | 0.30**      | 0.24**            | 0.17**           | 0.23**           | 0.09**                 | −0.06                     | −0.02  | 0.48** | −0.51** | −0.23** |     |                          |
| Protein of defatted meal | −0.27**       | 0.06        | −0.01      | −0.05       | −0.01             | 0.00             | −0.02            | 0.06                   | 0.03                      | −0.18** | −0.09  | 0.06  | 0.16* | −0.43** |       |
| Seed weight         | 0.12           | −0.08       | −0.19**    | −0.13       | −0.15*            | −0.05            | −0.20**          | 0.05**                 | 0.15*                     | −0.07  | −0.11 | 0.14* | 0.06  | −0.38** | 0.16* |

* and ** Denotes significance at \( P < 0.05 \) and \( P < 0.01 \)
Table 5 QTL detected for phytosterol contents (mg 100g⁻¹ seed), fatty acid composition (%), oil content (%), protein content of defatted meal (%), and seed weight (g) in SODH population

| Trait                | QTL name      | LG | Peak (cM) | CI (cM) | LOD | Additive effect | R²  | Total R² |
|----------------------|---------------|----|-----------|---------|-----|----------------|-----|----------|
| Brassicasterol       | DE-Bra.1      | A01| 79        | 74–85   | 5.3 | 0.92           | 4.5 | 62.6     |
|                      | DE-Bra.2      | A03| 172       | 167–178 | 6.8 | 1.03           | 5.1 |          |
|                      | DE-Bra.3      | A04| 95        | 91–97   | 31.7| –2.61          | 38.3|          |
|                      | DE-Bra.4      | A07| 47        | 41–53   | 5.4 | 0.94           | 4.7 |          |
|                      | DE-Bra.5      | A07| 116       | 100–131 | 3.3 | –0.77          | 2.6 |          |
| Campesterol          | DE-Camp.1     | A04| 95        | 87–99   | 6.5 | 5.83           | 11.7| 37.8     |
|                      | DE-Camp.2     | A06| 66        | 59–70   | 8.6 | –6.59          | 13.8|          |
| Sitosterol           | DE-Sito.1     | A04| 95        | 92–99   | 6.5 | 6.06           | 12.0|          |
| Avenasterol          | DE-Ave.1      | C08| 14        | 1–20    | 5.9 | 2.59           | 11.8| 11.8     |
| Total phytosterol    | DE-TPC.1      | A07| 27        | 13–38   | 3.5 | 10.49          | 8.2 | 14.2     |
|                      | DE-TPC.2      | C08| 14        | 0–35    | 3.1 | 8.79           | 6.1 |          |
| 24-methyl sterol     | DE-Methyl.1   | A06| 64        | 59–69   | 9.9 | –7.73          | 15.9| 31.1     |
|                      | DE-Methyl.2   | A07| 46        | 38–52   | 4.7 | 5.40           | 6.9 |          |
| 24-ethyl sterol      | DE-Ethyl.1    | A06| 94        | 91–100  | 3.6 | 5.92           | 7.2 | 7.2      |
| Campesterol:sitosterol| DE-CSRatio.1  | A01| 68        | 65–86   | 3.2 | 0.01           | 2.2 | 71.5     |
|                      | DE-CSRatio.2  | A04| 93        | 85–98   | 12.8| 0.03           | 14.7|          |
|                      | DE-CSRatio.3  | A06| 64        | 62–77   | 30.4| –0.05          | 33.3|          |
| 24-methyl: 24-ethyl sterol | DE-MERatio.1  | A01| 83        | 79–92   | 5.2 | 0.02           | 3.6 | 70.3     |
|                      | DE-MERatio.2  | A02| 25        | 0–5     | 4.9 | 0.02           | 4.5 |          |
|                      | DE-MERatio.3  | A04| 92        | 83–102  | 4.2 | 0.02           | 5.6 |          |
|                      | DE-MERatio.4  | A06| 63        | 61–66   | 32.3| –0.05          | 38.7|          |
|                      | DE-MERatio.5  | C05| 84        | 79–89   | 16.5| –0.03          | 17.8|          |
| C16:0                | DE-16:0.1     | A01| 73        | 71–77   | 6.2 | 0.08           | 4.8 | 59.0     |
|                      | DE-16:0.2     | A09| 100       | 99–103  | 21.7| –0.30          | 28.8|          |
|                      | DE-16:0.3     | C05| 87        | 73–89   | 3.9 | –0.07          | 4.0 |          |
|                      | DE-16:0.4     | C08| 8         | 2–17    | 12.2| 0.12           | 10.4|          |
|                      | DE-16:0.5     | C09| 26        | 24–30   | 8.1 | 0.10           | 10.9|          |
| C18:1                | DE-18:1.1     | A01| 84        | 82–89   | 16.5| –0.87          | 26.3| 43.6     |
|                      | DE-18:1.2     | A07| 47        | 41–54   | 3.7 | –0.39          | 5.6 |          |
|                      | DE-18:1.3     | C08| 4         | 0–15    | 8.1 | –0.60          | 11.7|          |
|                      | DE-18:2.1     | A01| 74        | 72–77   | 11.2| 0.53           | 18.8| 30.6     |
|                      | DE-18:2.2     | A09| 42        | 34–52   | 5.0 | 0.43           | 11.8|          |
| C18:3                | DE-18:3.1     | A01| 86        | 81–89   | 20.0| 0.36           | 27.3| 57.0     |
|                      | DE-18:3.2     | A03| 104       | 88–123  | 3.9 | 0.15           | 4.8 |          |
|                      | DE-18:3.3     | A04| 35        | 21–49   | 3.2 | –0.16          | 7.4 |          |
|                      | DE-18:3.4     | C05| 86        | 80–89   | 4.7 | –0.17          | 3.5 |          |
|                      | DE-18:3.5     | C07| 82        | 81–92   | 5.0 | –0.16          | 5.3 |          |
|                      | DE-18:3.6     | C08| 14        | 11–19   | 8.2 | 0.21           | 8.7 |          |
A04 (DE-Bra.3), for campesterol to sitosterol ratio and 24-methyl to 24-ethyl sterol ratio on linkage group A06 (DE-CSratio.3 and DE-MEratio.4). The major QTL DE-Bra.3 on A04 was at the same position as the QTL for campesterol, and overlapped with QTL for the campesterol to sitosterol ratio and 24-methyl to 24-ethyl sterol ratio; the additive effect of DE-Bra.3 was negative as opposed to the other three QTL. On the contrary, minor QTL for brassicasterol on A01 (DE-Bra.1) and A07 (DE-Bra.4) overlapped with QTL for phytosterol related traits with the same direction of additive effect. The major QTL DE-CSratio.3 and DE-MEratio on A06 overlapped with QTL for campesterol and 24-methyl sterol; these four QTL showed negative effects, indicating that the alleles increasing the trait values were derived from Oase. For total phytosterol content, two QTL with positive additive effects were detected on A07 and C08; the QTL DE-TPC.1 on A07 was located at the top of the linkage group, close to a genomic region with many QTL (Table 5; Fig. 2). The QTL DE-TPC.2 on C08 overlapped with QTL for different phytosterols as well as for fatty acids and oil content.

Fatty acids, oil content, and protein content of the defatted meal

Between two and six QTL were identified for fatty acids, five QTL for oil content, and four QTL for protein content of the defatted meal (Table 5; Fig. 2). Major QTL were detected for C16:0 on A09 (DE-16:0.2) and for oleic acid and linolenic acid on A01 (DE-18:1.1 and DE-18:3.1). Only minor QTL were detected for oil content and for protein content of the defatted meal which individually explained between 4.3 and 6.7 % and between 8.0 and 12.9 % of the phenotypic variance, respectively. There were two QTL hotspot regions worth mentioning. The first one consists of QTL DE-16:0.1, DE-18:1.1, DE-18:2.1, DE-Oil.1, and DE-Pro.1 on A01. The direction of the additive effects suggests that the Oase allele led to a decrease of C16:0, C18:2, C18:3, and protein content of the defatted meal, and to an increase in C18:1 and oil content. The second QTL hotspot region consists of QTL DE-16:0.4, DE-18:1.3, DE-18:3.6, and DE-Oil.5 on C08; the directions of the additive effects were identical to the QTL at the first QTL hotspot region. All of the five QTL for oil content had negative additive effects, indicating that the alleles increasing the oil content were derived from Oase. Interestingly, the largest QTL DE-Oil.3 on A07 was located within the confidence interval of QTL DE-Bra.5 for brassicasterol with the same direction of the additive effect.

Seed weight

The three QTL detected for seed weight were found on linkage groups A02, A07, and C03-II (Table 5; Fig. 2). Individual QTL explained between 6.1 and 10.7 % of the phenotypic variance, which collectively accounted for 27.1 % of the total phenotypic variance. Additive effects were positive for QTL located on A02 and C03-II and negative for QTL located on A07. The QTL DE-SW.1 on
A02 overlapped with QTL DE.Oil.2 with opposite effects, indicating that the Oase allele was increasing oil content and decreasing seed weight. The QTL DE-SW.2 on A07 overlapped with QTL DE-Bra.4, DE-18:1.2, DE-Methyl.2, DE-Camp.3, and DE-Pro.2; the direction of the additive effects indicate that the Oase allele led to an increase in seed weight, oleic acid, and protein content of the defatted meal and to a decrease in phytosterols. The QTL DE-SW.3 on C03-II overlapped with QTL DE-Pro.4 and DE-Bra.6; the directions of the additive effects indicate that the Oase allele reduced seed weight and Brassicasterol content but increased protein content of the defatted meal.

Identification of possible candidate genes for major QTL

Sequences of markers associated with the major QTL and the predicted genes were searched in genome sequences of both *B. rapa* Chiifu (Wang et al. 2011) and *B. napus* Darmor-bzh (Chalhoub et al. 2014). The congruency of marker orders was better with *B. rapa* Chiifu genome sequence assembly while some markers and most of the predicted genes were found matching on random, non-anchored scaffolds in *B. napus* Darmor-bzh genome assembly. Therefore, the *B. rapa* Chiifu genome sequence assembly was used as a reference for the investigation of the underlying candidate genes.

The alignments of the major QTL on A01, A04, A06, and A09 with *B. rapa* are provided in Supplementary Figs. 3–6. Within the genomic region of 64.8–92.2 cM on A01, major QTL for C18:1 and C18:3 were found colocalized with *FAD2* (not annotated in *B. rapa*) while the minor QTL for C16:0, C18:2, and oil content were colocalized with *LPAAT* (Bra037553). The major QTL for brassicasterol on A04 colocalized with two orthologs of *CYP710A2* which are annotated as *CYP710A1* in *B. rapa* (Bra021916 and Bra021917). On A06, major QTL for campesterol to sitosterol ratio and 24-methyl to 24-ethyl sterol ratio colocalized with *SMT2*. On A09, the major QTL for C16:0 colocalized with the homolog of *FATB* (Bra031631).

Discussion

Molecular markers and linkage map

The two parental lines, Sansibar and Oase, revealed a narrow genetic background based on their low level of polymorphisms for most marker types. The numbers of polymorphic markers were greatly increased with array-based high-throughput DArT and silico-DArT markers which at the same time were also sequence informative. As a 10-cM interval between marker loci is commonly used for QTL analysis, the SODH map can be considered suitable for performing QTL analysis. High level of segregation distortions observed in this study have also been reported in other *B. napus* maps (Kaur et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2011; Delourme et al. 2013; Raman et al. 2013). Such phenomenon appears to be common in maps of microspore-derived DH populations, which may be due to the differential responsiveness between the two parental lines to microspore culture during in vitro androgenesis and plantlet regeneration (for a review see Ferrie and Möllers 2011). The SODH map has a higher number of markers mapped on the A genome than on the C genome, similar to a few reported studies (Bancroft et al. 2011; Delourme et al. 2013; Raman et al. 2013).

Phenotypic analysis

Results from the phenotypic analysis revealed a relatively large and significant phenotypic variation for all the traits. Total phytosterol content which ranged from 311.2 to 486.9 mg 100 g−1 seed was comparable to the range from 356.6 to 480.0 mg 100 g−1 seed reported in 27 modern rapeseed cultivars (Amar et al. 2009) and higher than the range from 257 to 410 mg 100 g−1 seed reported in a DH population segregating for erucic acid content (Amar et al. 2008b). By taking oil content into consideration, the theoretical phytosterol content in oil ranged from 718 to 1123 mg 100 g−1 oil in the SODH population, which was lower than the range from 766 to 1402 mg 100 g−1 oil in 12 different spring canola varieties (Abidi et al. 1999) but higher than the range from 464 to 807 mg 100 g−1 oil in nine canola lines (Vlahakis and Hazebroek 2000) and the range from 448 to 928 mg 100 g−1 oil in three different DH populations of winter oilseed rape (Amar et al. 2008b). The high total phytosterol content found in the SODH population may be attributed to the low erucic acid content of the seed oil as a negative correlation between the two traits has been reported by Amar et al. (2008b). Among the individual phytosterols, sitosterol was the most prominent sterol, followed by campesterol, brassicasterol, and avenasterol, which is in accord with the relative contents reported from literatures (Vlahakis and Hazebroek 2000; Verleyen et al. 2002; Amar et al. 2008a, b, 2009). The transgressive segregation for individual and total phytosterol content of the DH population can be explained by the fact that both parents contributed positive alleles. The range of seed oil content from 41.2 to 48.6 % was within the range of commercial cultivars which usually contain about 40–50 % of oil. Significant genotypic variation and high heritability observed in all traits suggest that SODH population is suitable for QTL analysis. From a nutritional point of view, negative correlations between oil content and polyunsaturated fatty acids such as linoleic and linolenic acids and positive correlations between oil content and both oleic...
acid and total phytosterol content are desirable as reduced levels of polyunsaturated fatty acids and increased level of oleic acid will increase oxidative stability of oil while phytosterols can lower LDL cholesterols.

**QTL mapping**

Results from multiple interval mapping (MIM) indicate that additive effects were the main factors contributing to variation in all traits as no significant epistatic interaction was detected in any case. For total phytosterol content, the present study identified only two minor QTL located on A07 and C08 while Amar et al. (2008a) detected two major QTL on A08 and C03 and a minor QTL on C08. The disappearance of two major QTL in the present study corroborate the findings of Amar et al. (2008a) who found that the two major QTL for total phytosterol content were most likely due to pleiotropic effects exerted by the erucic acid genes. As a matter of fact, the present study did not detect any QTL on A08 and C03 for all the nine phytosterol traits except for the one minor QTL for brassicasterol identified on C03-II (DE-Bra.6). By disregarding the QTL on A08 and C03 from the study of Amar et al. (2008a, b), the number of QTL was almost the same as detected in the present study except for avenasterol in which four additional QTL were detected in the study of Amar et al. (2008a). Similarly, the present study shows that more QTL were detected for individual phytosterol content than for total phytosterol content. Of the eight linkage groups that harbored QTL for phytosterols in this study, only two linkage groups (A02 and A07) were not found to have QTL in the study of Amar et al. (2008a).

**Major QTL and possible underlying candidate genes**

Of the 16 traits analyzed, QTL with major effects were found for C18:1 and C18:3 on A01, brassicasterol on A04, campesterol to sitosterol ratio and 24-methyl to 24-ethyl sterol ratio on A06, and C16:0 on A09. A good collinearity observed between the genetic and the B. rapa physical map positions enabled the investigation of the possible underlying candidate genes.

On A01, major QTL for C18:1 and C18:3 colocalized with FAD2 which encodes the enzyme endoplasmic Δ-12 oleate desaturase that desaturates C18:1 to C18:2. In B. napus, four loci located on A01, A05, C01, and C05 have previously been reported for FAD2 (Schierholt et al. 2000). However, in the reference genome of B. napus, homologs of FAD2 were only found on A05, C05, and scaffold chromosome. Because there were many cases like this, where the position of the candidate gene could not be determined, the reference genome of B. rapa was used for the investigation of candidate genes. About 2–3 cM above the major QTL for C18:1 and C18:3, there were minor QTL for C16:0, C18:2, and oil content which were found colocalized with the LPAAT gene, which encodes the second enzyme of the Kennedy pathway that acylates the sn-2 hydroxyl group of lysophosphatidic acid to form phosphatic acid. In Arabidopsis, expression of the oilseed rape microsomal LPAAT isozyme has shown enhancement of seed oil content and seed mass (Maisonneuve et al. 2010). Moreover, studies have shown that LPAAT is a substrate-specific acyltransferase and appears to discriminate against saturated acyl CoA in most oilseeds (Norton and Harris 1983; Sun et al. 1988; Lassner et al. 1995), which somehow explains the opposite direction of additive effects observed between QTL for oil content (DE-Oil.1) and QTL for palmitic acid and oleic acid (DE-16:0.1 and DE-18:2.1). In addition, the negative correlation between palmitic acid and oleic acid (r_{s} = −0.53**) in SODH population may suggest an enhanced flux in de novo fatty acid synthesis pathway. As reported by Möllers and Schierholt (2002), an enhanced C16/C18-fatty acid ratio of the seed oil may indicate an improved seed oil synthesis by a top-down control mechanism. In maize, simultaneous enhancement of oil and oleic acid contents in seed are linked to a gene encoding diacylglycerol acyltransferase (DGAT) that catalyzes the final committed step in the Kennedy pathway leading to triacylglycerol production (Zheng et al. 2008). Similarly, expression of DGAT in wild-type Arabidopsis thaliana and the high linoleic acid fad3fae1 mutant have both shown a striking increase in seed oleic acid content (Zhang et al. 2013). One explanation for the influence of DGAT on fatty acid composition, or more specifically the increased oleic acid content, is that the enhanced triacylglycerol synthesis mediated by DGAT limits the flux through the phosphatidylcholine-based desaturation reactions (Aznar-Moreno et al. 2015). In our study, we show that the positive correlation between oil and oleic acid content could also be due to close linkage between FAD2 and LPAAT (Supplementary Fig. 3). Because the nature of metabolic control is such that a single gene (or enzyme) rarely leads to a huge effect for a complex trait like oil content, recognizing the pleiotropic effect and close linkage of the involved genes may facilitate gene stacking for improving seed oil content as well as the quality traits. Therefore, it would also be of interest to identify the functional polymorphisms of LPAAT and FAD2 between Sansibar and Oase as well as to investigate the allelic diversity using a broader germplasm to develop functional marker for marker-assisted selection.

The major QTL DE-Bra.3 on A04 collocated with two orthologs of CYP710A2, annotated as CYP710A1 in B. rapa. The CYP710A genes have been known to encode cytochrome P450 enzyme that catalyzes the C-22 desaturation reaction, converting both 24-epi-campesterol and sitosterol to brassicasterol and stigmasterol, respectively.
(Morikawa et al. 2006). In Arabidopsis, three C-22 sterol desaturases encoded by CYP710A1, CYP710A2, and CYP710A4 (Morikawa et al. 2006; Arnqvist et al. 2008) are able to catalyze the synthesis of stigmasterol while only one C22-desaturase encoded by CYP710A2 is able to produce brassicasterol (Morikawa et al. 2006). The fact that QTL DE-Bra.3 overlapped with three other QTL (DE-Camp.1, DE-CSratio.2 and DE-MEratio.3) with opposite effects also suggests that CYP710A1 gene exerts a pleiotropic effect on the composition of phytosterols. Given that brassicasterol is synthesized via two enzymatic steps from 24-methylene cholesterol, and campesterol is synthesized directly from 24-methylene cholesterol, a trade-off between campesterol and brassicasterol is usual in the case of parallel biosynthetic pathways (Fig. 1). Overlapping QTL between brassicasterol and campesterol on A04 as well as the opposite additive effects were similarly observed in the study of Amar et al. (2008a), indicating that they may be the same loci in both populations.

On A06, two major QTL for campesterol to sitosterol ratio and 24-methyl to 24-ethyl sterol ratio colocalized with two other minor QTL for campesterol and 24-methyl sterol (Table 5; Fig. 2). About 30 cM below this genomic region, there was a colocalization of two minor QTL for sitosterol and 24-ethyl sterol with positive additive effects as opposed to the upper genomic region. Alignment between the genomic region and physical map of B. rapa revealed that the SMT2 gene was within the genomic region of major QTL (Supplementary Fig. 5). The SMT2 gene encodes the enzyme sterol methyltransferase 2 which regulates the ratio between campesterol and sitosterol or between 24-methyl sterol and 24-ethyl sterol. Campesterol to sitosterol ratio is of interest because it is important in plant growth and development (Schaeffer et al. 2001) and in humans, it determines the efficacy of cholesterol lowering ability (Miettinen 2001). The ability of plants to synthesize sterols with branched ethyl groups (as in sitosterol and stigmasterol) has also been proposed to be part of an evolutionary adaptation process to cope with wider temperature fluctuations, and to maintain the essential membrane associated metabolic processes, as compared to animals (Dufourc 2008). Considering the fact that no unfavorable pleiotropic effect or close linkage with other quality traits were observed, independent effect of this major QTL or SMT2 on A06 could be of interest for modifying phytosterol composition.

On A09, the major QTL DE-16:0.2 for palmitic acid was found colocalized with the FATB gene (Table 5; Supplementary Fig. 6), which encodes the enzyme that hydrolyzes the thioester bond of C16:0-ACP and releases C16:0 from acyl-ACP (Bonaventure et al. 2003). Acyl-ACP thioesterases are known to be responsible for regulating the chain termination during de novo fatty acid synthesis and in channeling carbon flux between the plastid and cytosol in plants. The FATB gene belongs to one of the two isoforms of acyl-ACP thioesterase which primarily hydrolyzes C8–C16-saturated acyl-ACPs (Jones et al. 1995). Given that no other QTL were found overlapping with DE-16:0.2, this further supports the hypothesis that FATB is the underlying candidate gene. Similar assumption can also be made for QTL DE-16:0.5 on C09 but the homologs of FATB in B. napus C subgenome were located on C05 and C08.

Minor QTL

In contrast to the large effects of QTL identified for phytosterols and fatty acids, five minor QTL distributed on five linkage groups were identified for oil content. The alleles increasing oil content were all derived from Oase, the parent with a high oil content, which explains why only slight transgressive segregation was observed in the SODH population. The SODH population is similar to the RNSL population used in the study of Delourme et al. (2006) as the parents were also chosen from the elite winter oilseed rape germplasm which differs in oil content. The study reported a total of 10 genomic regions associated with oil content which were distributed on 10 linkage groups. A comparison between the two populations showed that QTL were similarly detected on five linkage groups (A01, A07, A08, C03, and C08) but it could not be confirmed if they were the same loci in both populations as the genetic maps do not share any common markers. In this study, the QTL with the largest effect was located on A07 (DE-Oil.3) and was found colocalized with QTL for brassicasterol (DE-Bra.5) and the candidate gene-based marker of HMG1 (HMG1A07O) at 120 cM. Given that HMG1 gene is responsible for regulating the carbon flux into the isoprenoid pathway and both de novo fatty acid synthesis and phytosterol synthesis share the same precursor (acetyl-CoA) (Fig. 1), the colocalization of both QTL with HMG1 may be caused by a downstream effect of HMG1 gene or alternatively, it might be due to close linkage between the causative genes and HMG1. Besides the HMG1, two other candidate genes, HMG2 and DGAT1, were also mapped on A07 but were not found colocalized with any QTL. Above the overlapping QTL for oil and brassicasterol on A07 lies a genomic region (38–54 cM) which harbored six QTL associated with different traits (brassicasterol, campesterol, 24-methyl sterol, oleic acid, protein of defatted meal, and seed weight). All of the six QTL showed minor effects; however, QTL for protein content of defatted meal and seed weight were the individual QTL which have the largest effect in their respective trait. Particularly for seed weight, numerous studies have consistently detected QTL on A07 in different populations with diverse genetic backgrounds (Quijada et al. 2006; Udall et al. 2006; Shi et al. 2009; Basunanda et al. 2010; Cai et al. 2012) while in the latest study, 12 candidate
genes underlying 8 QTL for seed weight were identified through comparative mapping among Arabidopsis and Brassica species but no candidate genes could be inferred for the two major QTL detected on A07 (Cai et al. 2012). In conclusion, the results show that phytosterol composition and content can be improved without hampering genetic progress in improving seed oil content. Major QTL were found exclusively on the A genome and the identified candidate genes would need to be confirmed in future studies for implementing marker-assisted selection. Notably, the colocation of QTL for oil content and fatty acids with LPAAT and FAD2 on A01 could either be due to independent or combined effects of the genes.

Acknowledgments This study was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (Grant Number: MO 604/8-1). Special thanks to KWS SAAT AG, Deutsche Saatveredelung AG, and Lantmännens SW Seed for carrying out field trials and to Gunda Asselmeyer, Carmen Mensch, Rosi Clemens, and Uwe Ammerman for their excellent technical support.

Author contribution statement CM designed the experiment and developed the microspore-derived DH mapping population. LT performed the experiment. CM supervised the overall study and along with LT wrote the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

Abidi SL, List GR, Rennick KA (1999) Effect of genetic modification on the distribution of minor constituents in canola oil. J Am Oil Chem Soc 76:463–467

Amar S, Ecke W, Becker HC, Möllers C (2008a) QTL for phytosterol and sinapate ester content in Brassica napus L. collocate with the two erucic acid genes. Theor Appl Genet 116:1051–1061

Amar S, Becker HC, Möllers C (2008b) Genetic variation and genotype × environment interactions of phytosterol content in three doubled haploid populations of winter rapeseed. Crop Sci 48:1000–1006

Amar S, Becker HC, Möllers C (2009) Genetic variation in phytosterol content of winter rapeseed (Brassica napus L.) and development of NIRS calibration equations. Plant Breed 128:78–83

Appelqvist L-ÅD, Kornfeld AK, Wennerholm JE (1981) Sterols and steryl esters in some Brassica and Sinapis seeds. Phytochemistry 20:207–210

Arnqvist L, Persson M, Jonsson L et al (2008) Overexpression of CYP710A1 and CYP710A4 in transgenic Arabidopsis plants increases the level of stigmasterol at the expense of sitosterol. Planta 227:309–317

Aznar-Moreno J, Denolf P, Van Audenhove K et al (2015) Type I diacylglycerol acyltransferases of Brassica napus preferentially incorporate oleic acid into triacylglycerol. J Exp Bot. doi:10.1093/jxberv363

Bancroft I, Morgan C, Fraser F et al (2011) Dissecting the genome of the polyloid crop oilseed rape by transcriptome sequencing. Nat Biotechnol 29:762–766

Basunanda P, Radoev M, Ecke W et al (2010) Comparative mapping of quantitative trait loci involved in heterosis for seedling and yield traits in oilseed rape (Brassica napus L.). Theor Appl Genet 120:271–281

Berger A, Jones PJH, Abumweis SS (2004) Plant sterols: factors affecting their efficacy and safety as functional food ingredients. Lipids Heal Dis 19:1–19

Bonaventure G, Salas JJ, Pollard MR, Ohlrogge JB (2003) Disruption of the FATB gene in Arabidopsis demonstrates an essential role of saturated fatty acids in plant growth. Plant Cell 15:1020–1033

Bouic PJ (2001) The role of phytosterols and phytosterolins in immune modulation: a review of the past 10 years. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 4:471–475

Bouvier-Nave P, Husselstein T, Benveniste P (1998) Two families of sterol methyltransferases are involved in the first and the second methylation steps of plant sterol biosynthesis. Eur J Biochem 256:88–96

Cai G, Yang Q, Yang Q et al (2012) Identification of candidate genes of QTLs for seed weight in Brassica napus through comparative mapping among Arabidopsis and Brassica species. BMC Genet 13:105

Chalhoub B, Donoeuf F, Liu S et al (2014) Early allopolyploidy evolution in the post-Neolithic Brassica napus oilseed genome. Science 345:950–953

Chye ML, Tan CT, Chua NH (1992) Three genes encode 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase in Hevea brasiliensis: hmg1 and hmg2 are differentially expressed. Plant Mol Biol 19:473–484

Delourme R, Falentin C, Huteau V et al (2006) Genetic control of oil content in oilseed rape (Brassica napus L.). Theor Appl Genet 113:1331–1345

Delourme R, Falentin C, Fomeju BF et al (2013) High-density SNP-based genetic map development and linkage disequilibrium assessment in Brassica napus L. BMC Genom 14:120

Demonty I, Haddeman E, van der Put N et al (2007) Spreads fortified with a brassicasterol-rich phytosterol mixture from rapeseed oil lower serum total and LDL-cholesterol concentrations in mildly hypercholesterolemic subjects. FASEB J 21(847):12

Dufourc EJ (2008) Sterols and membrane dynamics. J Chem Biol 1:63–77

Ecke W, Uznova M, Weissleder K (1995) Mapping the genome of the polyploid crop oilseed rape by transcriptome sequencing. Nat Biotechnol 29:762–766

Ezzouit R, Balcêls L, Campos N et al (1994) Arabidopsis thaliana contains two differentially expressed 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase genes, which encode microsomal forms of the enzyme. Proc Natl Acad Sci 91:927–931

Fernández-Cuesta A, Agüerre-González MR, Ruiz-Méndez MV, Velasco L (2012) Validation of a method for the analysis of phytosterols in sunflower seeds. Eur J Lipid Sci Technol 114:325–331

Ferre AMR, Möllers C (2011) Haploids and doubled haploids in Brassica spp. for genetic and genomic research. Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult. 104:375–386
Friedt W, Snowdon RJ (2010) Oilseed rape. In: Vollmann J, Istvan R (eds) Handbook of plant breeding, vol 6: oil crops breeding. Springer Verlag, Dordrecht, pp 91–126

Fritsche S, Wang X, Li J et al (2012) A candidate gene-based association study of tocopherol content and composition in rapeseed (Brassica napus). Front Plant Sci 3:129

Harker M, Holmberg N, Clayton JC et al (2003) Enhancement of seed phytosterol levels by expression of an N-terminal truncated Hevea brasiliensis (rubber tree) 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase. Plant Biotechnol J 1:113–121

Hobbs DH, Flintham JE, Hills MJ (2004) Genetic control of storage oil synthesis in seeds and other tissues of epoxy and hydroxy fatty acids accumulating plants. Lipids 45:145–157. doi:10.1007/s11745-010-3385-4

Jones A, Davies HM, Voelker TA (1995) Palmitoyl-acyl carrier protein (ACP) thioesterase and the evolutionary origin of plant acyl-ACP thioesterases. Plant Cell 7:359–371

Jones PJH, Howell T, MacDougall DE et al (1998) Short-term administration of tall oil phytosterols improves plasma lipid profiles in subjects with different cholesterol levels. Metabolism 47:751–756

Kao C-H, Zeng Z-B, Teasdale RD (1999) Multiple interval mapping for quantitative trait loci. Genetics 152:1203–1216

Kaur S, Cogan NOI, Ye G et al (2009) Genetic map construction and association study of tocopherol content and composition in rapeseed (Brassica napus L.) cultivars. Theor Appl Genet 120:71–83

Kosambi DD (1944) The estimation of map distances from recombination data. Ann Eugen 12:172–175

Lassner MW, Levering CK, Davies HM, Knutson DS (1995) Lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase from meadowfoam mediates insertion of erucic acid at the sn-2 position of triacylglycerol in transgenic rapeseed oil. Plant Physiol 109:1389–1394. doi:10.1104/pp.109.4.1389

Li R, Yu K, Hildebrand D (2010) DGAT1, DGAT2 and PDAT expression in subjects with different cholesterol levels. Metabolism 59:566–572

Lincoln S, Daly M, Lander E (1992) Mapping genetic mapping with MAPMAKER/EXP3.0. Camb Whitehead Inst Tech Rep

Linwood SW, Levering CK, Davies HM, Knutson DS (1995) Lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase from meadowfoam mediates insertion of erucic acid at the sn-2 position of triacylglycerol in transgenic rapeseed oil. Plant Physiol 109:1389–1394. doi:10.1104/pp.109.4.1389

Liu Y, Yu K, Hildebrand D (2010) DGAT1, DGAT2 and PDAT expression in seeds and other tissues of epoxy and hydroxy fatty acid accumulating plants. Lipids 45:145–157. doi:10.1007/s11745-010-3385-4

Liao P, Wang H, Hemmerlin A et al (2014) Past achievements, current status and future perspectives of studies on 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA synthase (HMGS) in the mevalonate (MVA) pathway. Plant Cell Rep 33:1005–1022

Lincoln S, Daly M, Lander E (1992) Mapping genetic mapping with MAPMAKER/EXP3.0. Camb Whitehead Inst Tech Rep

Linwood SW, Levering CK, Davies HM, Knutson DS (1995) Lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase from meadowfoam mediates insertion of erucic acid at the sn-2 position of triacylglycerol in transgenic rapeseed oil. Plant Physiol 109:1389–1394. doi:10.1104/pp.109.4.1389

Li R, Yu K, Hildebrand D (2010) DGAT1, DGAT2 and PDAT expression in seeds and other tissues of epoxy and hydroxy fatty acid accumulating plants. Lipids 45:145–157. doi:10.1007/s11745-010-3385-4

Liao P, Wang H, Hemmerlin A et al (2014) Past achievements, current status and future perspectives of studies on 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA synthase (HMGS) in the mevalonate (MVA) pathway. Plant Cell Rep 33:1005–1022

Lincoln S, Daly M, Lander E (1992) Mapping genetic mapping with MAPMAKER/EXP3.0. Camb Whitehead Inst Tech Rep

Liu Q, Siloto RM, Lehner R et al (2012) Acyl-CoA: diacylglycerol acyltransferase: molecular biology, biochemistry and biotechnol. Prog Lipid Res 51:350–377

Maisonneuve S, Bessoule J-J, Lessire R et al (2010) Expression of rapeseed microsomal lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase isoforms enhances seed oil content in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 152:670–684

Marwede V, Gul MK, Becker HC, Ecke W (2008) Mapping of a high oleic acid content in Brassica napus L. Theor Appl Genet 126:119–132

Schaeffer A, Bronner R, Benveniste P, Schaller H (2001) The ratio of campesterol to sitosterol that modulates growth in Arabidopsis is controlled by STEROL METHYLTRANSFERASE 2;1. Plant J 25:605–615

Schierholt A, Becker HC, Ecke W (2000) Mapping a high oleic acid content in Brassica napus L. Theor Appl Genet 101:897–901

Schuelke M (2000) An economic method for the fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments. Nat Biotechnol 18:233–234

Schoch B, Vierschmidt H, Vierschmidt A, Söllner M, Bangerth K, Schäfer H (2009) A method for the quantification of cholesterol, beta-sitosterol, dehydroepiandrosterone sulphate and beta-sitosterol glucoside, dehydroepiandrosterone sulphate...
and melatonin on in vitro lipid peroxidation. Metab Brain Dis 15:257–265
Verleyen T, Forcades M, Verhe R et al (2002) Analysis of free and esterified sterols in vegetable oils. J Am Oil Chem Soc 79:117–122
Vlahakis C, Hazebroek J (2000) Phytosterol accumulation in canola, sunflower, and soybean oils: effects of genetics, planting location, and temperature. J Am Oil Chem Soc 77:49–53
Vos P, Hogers R, Bleeker M et al (1995) AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. Nucl Acids Res 23:4407–4414
Wang XX, Wang HHH, Wang JJJ et al (2011) The genome of the mesopolyploid crop species Brassica rapa. Nat Genet 43:1035–1039
Wang H, Nagegowda DA, Rawat R, Bouvier-Nave P et al (2012a) Overexpression of Brassica juncea wild-type and mutant HMG-CoA synthase 1 in Arabidopsis up-regulates genes in sterol biosynthesis and enhances sterol production. Plant Biotechnol J 10:31–42
Wang S, Basten CJ, Zeng ZB (2012a) Windows QTL cartographer 2.5
Wang X, Zhang C, Li L et al (2012c) Unraveling the genetic basis of seed tocopherol content and composition in rapeseed Brassica napus L.). PLoS ONE 7:e50038
Wei S, Yu B, Gruber MY et al (2010) Enhanced seed carotenoid levels and branching in transgenic Brassica napus expressing the Arabidopsis miR156b gene. J Agri Food Chem 58:9572–9578
Woyengo TA, Ramprasath VR, Jones PJH (2009) Anticancer effects of phytosterols. Eur J Clin Nutr 63:813–820
Yu B, Lydiate DJ, Young LW et al (2008) Enhancing the carotenoid content of Brassica napus seeds by downregulating lycopene epsilon cyclase. Transgenic Res 17:573–585
Zhang L, Yang G, Liu P et al (2011) Genetic and correlation analysis of silique-traits in Brassica napus L. by quantitative trait locus mapping. Theor Appl Genet 122:21–31
Zhang C, Iskandarov U, Klotz ET et al (2013) A thraustochytrid diacylglycerol acyltransferase 2 with broad substrate specificity strongly increases oleic acid content in engineered Arabidopsis thaliana seeds. J Exp Bot 64:3189–3200. doi:10.1093/jxb/ert156
Zheng P, Allen WB, Roesler K et al (2008) A phenylalanine in DGAT is a key determinant of oil content and composition in maize. Nat Genet 40:367–372