Low revision rate after total hip arthroplasty in patients with pediatric hip diseases

Evaluation of 14,403 THAs due to DDH, SCFE, or Perthes' disease and 288,435 THAs due to primary osteoarthritis in the Danish, Norwegian, and Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Registers (NARA)

Lars B Engesaeter1,2, Ingvild Ø Engesaeter1,2, Anne Marie Fenstad1, Leif I Havelin1,2, Johan Kärrholm3, Göran Garellick3, Alma B Pedersen4,5, and Søren Overgaard4,6

1The Norwegian Arthroplasty Register, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen; 2Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Bergen, Norway; 3The Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register, Department of Orthopaedics, Institute of Surgical Sciences, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, University of Gothenburg, Mölndal, Sweden; 4The Danish Hip Arthroplasty Register, Center for Clinical Databases, Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aarhus University Hospital; 5Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus; 6Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology and Clinical Institute, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark.

Correspondence: lars.engesaeter@helse-bergen.no
Submitted 12-03-06. Accepted 12-07-25

Background The results of primary total hip arthroplasties (THAs) after pediatric hip diseases such as developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH), slipped capital femoral epiphysis (SCFE), or Perthes’ disease have been reported to be inferior to the results after primary osteoarthritis of the hip (OA).

Materials and methods We compared the survival of primary THAs performed during the period 1995–2009 due to previous DDH, SCFE, Perthes’ disease, or primary OA, using merged individual-based data from the Danish, Norwegian, and Swedish arthroplasty registers, called the Nordic Arthroplasty Register Association (NARA). Cox multiple regression, with adjustment for age, sex, and type of fixation of the prosthesis was used to calculate the survival of the prostheses and the relative revision risks.

Results 370,630 primary THAs were reported to these national registers for 1995–2009. Of these, 14,403 THAs (3.9%) were operated due to pediatric hip diseases (3.1% for Denmark, 8.8% for Norway, and 1.9% for Sweden) and 288,435 THAs (77.8%) were operated due to OA. Unadjusted 10-year Kaplan-Meier survival of THAs after pediatric hip diseases (94.7% survival) was inferior to that after OA (96.6% survival). Consequently, an increased risk of revision for hips with a previous pediatric hip disease was seen (risk ratio (RR) 1.4, 95% CI: 1.3–1.5). However, after adjustment for differences in sex and age of the patients, and in fixation of the prostheses, no difference in revision was found after adjusting for age and type of fixation of the prosthesis was used to calculate the survival of the prostheses and the relative revision risks.

Background The results of primary total hip arthroplasties (THAs) after pediatric hip diseases such as developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH), slipped capital femoral epiphysis (SCFE), or Perthes’ disease have been reported to be inferior to the results after primary osteoarthritis of the hip (OA). This may be explained by morphological deformities in the proximal femur or in the acetabulum, due to the disease or previous surgery, causing technical difficulties in performing the joint replacement (Sugano et al. 1998, Chougle et al. 2006). In addition, THAs in hips after pediatric hip diseases have often been combined with predictors of inferior outcome such as young age and inferior uncemented prostheses (Sugano et al. 1998, Furnes et al. 2001). However, the survival of THAs after developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH) reported to the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register was not found to be inferior to that of THAs after OA, if adjustments for age and for type of implant were performed (Engesaeter et al. 2008). Also, in the Danish Arthroplasty Register the long-term implant survival
for patients with childhood hip diseases were encouraging, although an increased risk of revision because of dislocation in the first 6 postoperative months for patients with acetabular dysplasia was found (Thillemann et al. 2008).

We now report the results of primary THA after pediatric hip diseases (DDH, slipped capital femoral epiphysis (SCFE), and Perthes’ disease), and compare them with the results of THAs due to OA, using data from the national hip arthroplasty registers in Denmark, Norway, and Sweden.

**Patients and methods**

This study is based on the cooperation in the Nordic Arthroplasty Register Association (NARA). Today, this is a collaboration between the national arthroplasty registers in Denmark, Finland, Norway, and Sweden. When this study was started, data from Finland were not available and only THAs from the Denmark, Norway, and Sweden were included. De-identification of the patients, including deletion of the national civil registration numbers, was performed in each national registry. The anonymous data were then merged into a common database (Havelin et al. 2009). This database contains the following data: country, age, sex, operated side, diagnosis, date of primary THA, hospital code, type of fixation of the prosthesis, type of bone cement, date of death (if applicable), and cause and date of eventual revision. For this study, primary THAs performed due to DDH, SCFE, Perthes’ disease, or OA for the period 1995–2009 were selected. In Denmark and Sweden, Perthes’ disease and SCFE were reported separately, while in Norway these 2 diagnoses were reported together.

**Statistics**

Survival analyses were performed using the Kaplan-Meier method and Cox regression. A Cox multiple regression model was used to study relative revision risks (failure-rate ratios) for the 4 groups of diagnoses, with adjustments for the possible influences of age (<30, 30–39, 40–49, 50–60, 61–70, 71–80, >80 years), sex, and the type of fixation of the implant (uncemented, cemented (both stem and cup), hybrid, or reverse hybrid (cemented cup)). Failure (revision) of the implant was defined as surgical exchange or extraction of the entire prosthesis, or any of its parts. Estimates from Cox analyses with the 4 groups of diagnoses were used to construct adjusted survival curves. 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. For revisions, the surgeon had recorded one or more reasons for failure, but in combination with infection, infection was considered to be the primary cause of revision. Aseptic loosening was otherwise counted as the principal cause of revision when given in combination with other causes. Potential overestimation of incidence of revision due to the effect of competing risks (i.e. death) was assessed by the cumulative incidence function (Gillam et al. 2010). The proportion of deaths was 7.3% for those with pediatric hip disease and 18.3% for those with OA during the follow-up, but imposed a negligible influence on the estimated risks for revisions. The extent of bilateral THAs was about 20% for patients with OA and those with pediatric hip disease, and had minimal effect on the survival estimates. Since the survival curves indicated non-proportionality, we also performed separate analyses for the first 6 months postoperatively (Figure 4) and later. The statistics package SPSS version 19.0 was used for the analyses.

**Results**

**Epidemiology**

In the period 1995–2009, 370,630 primary THAs were reported to the arthroplasty registers of Denmark, Norway, and Sweden. 14,403 THAs (3.9%) were performed due to pediatric hip disease and 288,435 THAs (77.8%) were performed due to primary OA (Table 1). Sweden contributed with 50%, Denmark with 25%, and Norway with 25% of the reported primary arthroplasties. Females constituted 66% of those with pediatric hip disease and 60% of those with OA (p < 0.001). Mean age of those operated due to pediatric hip disorders was 55 years (SD 13), and it was 69 years (SD 10) for patients with OA (p < 0.001) (Table 1).
3.3% of all primary THAs were performed due to previous DDH (12,068 THAs), but there were large differences in the 3 Nordic countries: 7.5% in Norway, 2.1% in Denmark, and 1.8% in Sweden (Table 1). SCFE and Perthes’ disease as a group were reported to be responsible for 0.6% of primary THAs (2,335 THAs), varying from 1.3% in Norway and 1.0% in Denmark to 0.1% in Sweden. In Denmark, Perthes’ disease was responsible for 0.6% of primary THAs and SCFE 0.4%, while in Sweden the numbers were 0.1% and 0.02%, respectively (Table 1).

The mean follow-up was calculated using the reverse Kaplan-Meier method. For the patients with OA, the median THAs was 94.7% after pediatric hip disease as one group and 96.6% after OA. The overall risk of revision for THAs after previous childhood hip diseases was 1.4 times higher (CI: 1.3–1.5) than after OA (Figure 2). The corresponding risk of aseptic loosening was 1.3 times higher (CI: 1.2–1.4), for dislocation it was 1.2 times higher (CI 1.1–1.5), and for infection the risk ratio for revision was 0.8 times (CI: 0.6–1.0). However, after adjustments in the Cox model for age, sex, and type of fixation of the prosthesis and with any reason for revision as endpoint in the analyses, the 10-year survival of THAs was 93.6% after pediatric hip disease and 93.8% after OA. No statistically significant difference was found between the overall risk of revision for THAs after pediatric hip disease and that after OA (RR 1.04, CI: 1.0–1.1) (Figure 3 and Table 2). Similar results were found with aseptic loosening as endpoint (RR 1.0, CI: 0.9–1.1), with dislocation as endpoint (RR 1.1, CI: 0.9–1.3), and with infection as endpoint in the analyses (RR 0.9, CI: 0.7–1.1) (Table 2).

The first 6 months postoperatively
Restricting the analyses to revisions within 6 months postoperatively using any reason for revision as endpoint and adjusting for differences in age, sex, and type of fixation, a higher risk of revision was found for those operated for pediatric hip disease than for those operated for OA (RR 1.2, CI 1.0–1.5) (Table 3 and Figure 4). More than 6 months postoperatively, however, no differences in revision risk were detected with any reason for revision as endpoint (RR 1.0, CI: 0.9–1.1). This increased risk of early revision was mainly due to increased risk of revision due to dislocation (RR 1.8, CI: 1.4–2.3) (Table 3).

DDH compared to OA
A slightly higher overall risk of revision was found for DDH than for OA after adjustment (RR 1.1, CI: 1.0–1.2). The main

Table 2. Results for primary THAs after pediatric hip disease and after primary osteoarthritis. Adjusted for age, sex, and type of fixation in the Cox model, with endpoints as indicated

| Endpoint in the analysis | No. of THAs | No. of revisions | 10–year survival a | RR b | 95% CI c | p–value |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|------|---------|---------|
| Any reason for revision  |             |                 |                  |      |         |         |
| Pediatric hip disease    | 302,838     | 12,067          | 93.6%            | 1.04 | 0.96–1.12 | 0.4     |
| Primary osteoarthritis   | 288,435     | 11,214          | 93.8%            | 1    | –       | –       |
| Aseptic loosening        | 302,838     | 5,803           | 97.2%            | 1.00 | 0.89–1.11 | 1.0     |
| Pediatric hip disease    | 14,403      | 396             | 97.0%            | 1    | –       | –       |
| Primary osteoarthritis   | 288,435     | 5,407           | 98.7%            | 1.10 | 0.92–1.31 | 0.3     |
| Dislocation               | 302,838     | 2,641           |                  | 1.0  | –       | –       |
| Pediatric hip disease    | 14,403      | 156             |                  | 1    | –       | –       |
| Primary osteoarthritis   | 288,435     | 2,485           |                  | 1    | –       | –       |
| Infection                | 302,838     | 1,806           |                  | 1.0  | –       | –       |
| Pediatric hip disease    | 14,403      | 72              |                  | 0.86 | 0.67–1.10 | 0.2     |
| Primary osteoarthritis   | 288,435     | 1,734           |                  | 1    | –       | –       |

a Cox–adjusted 10–year survival.
b Cox relative revision risk.
c 95% confidence interval for RR.

Figure 1. Type of fixation of the prostheses in patients operated due to osteoarthritis of the hip (OA) (n = 288,435 THAs) and due to pediatric hip disease (n = 14,403 THAs). The patients with pediatric hip disease were younger than the OA patients.

Survival
Without any adjustment, and with any reason for revision as endpoint, the 10-year survival of
reason for this difference was increased risk of dislocation (RR 1.2, CI: 1.0–1.4), while we found that there was no statistically significant change in the risk of revision due to aseptic loosening or due to infection (Table 4).

The first 6 months postoperatively, the overall risk of revision was higher for DDH than for OA (RR 1.3, CI: 1.1–1.6), while no difference was found after the first 6 months postoperatively (RR 1.1, CI: 0.98–1.2). A higher risk of early revision due to dislocation was found for DDH than for OA (RR 1.9, CI: 1.5–2.5), but there was no difference in the risk of revision due to aseptic loosening (RR 1.0, CI: 0.48–2.2) or due to infection (RR 0.68, CI: 0.38–1.2).

Perthes’ disease/SCFE compared to OA

The risk of revision for the combined group of patients with Perthes’ disease or SCFE (2,335 THAs) was compared with the risk for OA patients (288,435 THAs). No differences in the results were found (RR 0.85, CI: 0.72–1.0) with any reason for revision as endpoint after adjustment for sex, age, and type of fixation. Similar results were obtained with aseptic loosening as endpoint (RR 0.81, CI: 0.63–1.0), with dislocation as endpoint (RR 0.72, CI: 0.44–1.2), and with infection as endpoint in the analyses (RR 1.0, CI: 0.56–1.6).

In Denmark and Sweden, Perthes’ disease and SCFE were reported separately, and the results of THAs for Perthes’ disease (n = 748) and SCFE (n = 374) were compared separately to the results for OA reported for Denmark and Sweden (n = 222,841). With any reason as endpoint, with adjustment for sex, age, and type of fixation, and with OA patients as reference, no significant differences could be detected either for Perthes’ disease (RR 1.1, CI: 0.86–1.5) or for SCFE (RR 1.1, CI: 0.76–1.5). Similarly, no significant differences compared to OA were found for Perthes’ disease with aseptic loosening as endpoint (RR 1.1, CI: 0.88–1.8), for Perthes’ disease with dislocation as endpoint (RR 1.0, CI: 0.64–1.7), for SCFE with aseptic loosening as endpoint (RR 1.0, CI: 0.64–1.7), for SCFE with dislocation as endpoint (RR 0.69, CI: 0.30–1.6), for Perthes’ disease with dislocation as endpoint (RR 1.0, CI: 0.64–1.7).

Table 3. Results for the first 6 postoperative months for primary THAs after pediatric hip disease and after primary osteoarthritis

| Endpoint in the analysis | No. of THAs | No. of revisions | RR   | 95% CI   | p-value |
|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|------|----------|---------|
| Any reason for revision  | 302,838    | 2,289           | 1.24 | 1.03–1.50| 0.02    |
| Primary osteoarthritis  | 288,435    | 2,148           | 1    | –        | –       |
| Aseptic loosening        | 302,838    | 154             | 1    | –        | –       |
| Pediatric hip diseases   | 14,403     | 141             | 0.95 | 0.46–1.95| 0.9     |
| Primary osteoarthritis  | 288,435    | 145             | 1    | –        | –       |
| Dislocation              | 302,838    | 1,020           | 1.78 | 1.38–2.30| < 0.001 |
| Pediatric hip diseases   | 14,403     | 78              | 1    | –        | –       |
| Primary osteoarthritis  | 288,435    | 942             | 1    | –        | –       |
| Infection                | 302,838    | 637             | 1    | –        | –       |
| Pediatric hip diseases   | 14,403     | 17              | 0.73 | 0.44–1.20| 0.2     |
| Primary osteoarthritis  | 288,435    | 620             | 1    | –        | –       |

* For full explanation, see Table 2.
CI: 0.43–2.6), or for SCFE with infection as endpoint (RR 1.1, CI: 0.37–3.6).

Perthes’ disease/SCFE compared to DDH

Compared to DDH (n = 12,068), THAs in the combined group of Perthes’ disease and SCFE (n = 2,335) showed a reduced risk of revision with any reason for revision as endpoint (RR 0.82, CI: 0.67–0.99). We also found this reduced risk of revision using dislocation as endpoint (RR 0.56, CI: 0.33–0.95), but not with aseptic loosening (RR 0.84, CI: 0.63–1.1) or infection as endpoint (RR 1.1, CI: 0.57–2.0). All the risk ratios given were adjusted for age, sex, and type of fixation.

Perthes’ disease compared to SCFE

Finally, we made comparisons between THAs after Perthes’ disease (n = 748) and THAs after SCFE (n = 374). With any reason as endpoint in the analyses, with adjustment for sex, age, and type of fixation, and with Perthes’ disease as reference, no significant differences in the results could be detected (RR 0.98, CI: 0.64–1.5). No differences could be detected either with aseptic loosening as endpoint (RR 0.85, CI: 0.47–1.5), with dislocation as endpoint (RR 1.3, CI: 0.36–4.7), or with infection as endpoint (RR 1.2, CI: 0.28–5.2).

Discussion

We found no difference in the overall risk of revision of primary THA after pediatric hip diseases and after primary OA, when adjustment was done for differences in age, sex, and type of fixation of the implants. The survival of THAs after previous DDH was, however, inferior to that of THAs due to OA, mainly because of the increased risk of dislocation during the first 6 postoperative months. We found that the risk of revision was lower after Perthes’ disease/SCFE than after DDH.

These good overall results of THAs after pediatric hip disease are in accordance with other registry studies (Engesæter et al. 2008, Thillemann et al. 2008, Boyle et al. 2012). An increased risk of revision in the first 6 months postoperatively was also reported from the Danish Arthroplasty Register (Thillemann et al. 2008). This increased risk of early revision due to dislocation of THAs after DDH is probably to be expected, since the insertion of a THA in DDH patients is often technically challenging, due to dysplastic acetabulum, narrow femur, shortening, and rotational deformity. The changed anatomy is sometimes caused by previous surgery. Frequently, special components are needed and procedures such as shortening of the femur and acetalular augmentation with superior bone grafting may be considered (Kobayashi et al. 2004, Chougle et al. 2005, Boyle et al. 2012).

The diagnosis DDH in our study included all severities of the disease. Since our dataset depended on the diagnoses reported to the different registers in Denmark, Norway, and Sweden, we had to use the type of data from the register with the least well-differentiated DDH diagnosis (“yes” or “no”). We could therefore only dichotomize regarding the DDH diagnosis and we were thus unable to stratify our results for THAs on the basis of the severity of DDH—for example, using the Crowe or Hartofilakidis classification systems (Yiannakopoulos et al. 2008).

There was a lower risk of revision for THAs after Perthes’ disease/SCFE than for THAs after DDH, either with any reason or with dislocation as endpoint. To the best of our knowledge, such differences have not been shown earlier. This might be explained by there being less morphological deformities in the acetabulum after Perthes’ disease/SCFE than after DDH, and therefore less technically demanding surgery—possibly with less risk of dislocation.

From an epidemiological point of view, it is remarkable that in Norway 7.5% of the primary THAs have been reported to be the result of DDH, while the corresponding figures are only 1.8% in Sweden and 2.1% in Denmark. Increased numbers of THAs due to DDH in Norway have also been reported from NARA earlier (Havelin et al. 2009). Increased annual age- and sex-standardized incidence of THA for primary hip osteoarthritis in females in Norway compared to Denmark, Finland, Iceland, and Sweden has been reported earlier (Lohmander et al. 2006). It could be speculated that undiagnosed DDH in childhood may be the reason behind some of the primary OA reported from Norway. The finding in the present study that DDH appears to be more common in Norwegian females could be a possible contributing factor to the observed increased incidence of THAs in Norwegian females (Lohmander et al. 2006).
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