Landfill Gas to Energy: A Demonstration Controlled Environment Agriculture System
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Summary. A qualitative systems approach to controlled environment agriculture (CEA) is presented by means of several multi-institutional projects integrated into a demonstration greenhouse at the Burlington County Resource Recovery Complex (BCRRC), N.J. The greenhouse has about 0.4 ha of production space, and is located about 800 m from the about 40-ha BCRRC landfill site. A portion of the landfill gas produced from the BCRRC site is used for microturbine electricity generation and for heating the greenhouse. The waste heat from the turbines, which are roughly 15 m from the greenhouse, is used as the main heat source for the greenhouse in the winter months, and to desalinate water when heating is not required. Recovery of this waste heat increases the energy efficiency of the four 30-kW turbines from about 25% to 75%. Within the greenhouse, aquaculture and hydroponic crop production are coupled by recycling the aquaculture effluent as a nutrient source for the plants. Both the sludge resulting from the filtered effluent and the inedible biomass from harvested plants are vermicomposted (i.e., rather than being sent to the landfill), resulting in marketable products such as soil amendments and liquid plant fertilizer. If suitably cleaned of contaminants, the CO₂ from the landfill gas may be used to enrich the plant growing area within the greenhouse to increase the yield of the edible products. Landfill gas from the BCRRC site has successfully been processed to recover liquid commercial grade CO₂ and contaminant-free methane–CO₂, with the potential for this gas mixture to be applied as a feedstock for fuel cells or for methanol production. Carbon dioxide from the turbine exhaust may also be recovered for greenhouse enrichment. Alternatively, algal culture may be used to assimilate CO₂ from the turbine exhaust into biomass, which may then be used as a biofuel, or possibly as fish feed, thus making the system more self-contained. By recycling energy and materials, the system described would displace fossil fuel use, mitigating negative environmental impacts such as greenhouse gas emissions, and generate less waste in need of disposal. Successful implementation of the coupled landfill (gas-to-energy · aquaponic · desalination) system would particularly benefit developing regions, such as those of the Greater Caribbean Basin.

Fig. 1. A schematic of the energy and material flows for the system at the Burlington County Resource Recovery Complex (BCRRC) and Demonstration Greenhouse.
Landfills constitute a major source of emissions worldwide (White et al., 1995). The USEPA mandates collection and flare of landfill gas (LFG) to prevent subsurface migration of methane, to convert methane to CO₂ (a less potent greenhouse gas than methane) and to oxidize contaminants. Of the estimated 2,500 MSW landfills currently operating in the United States, the USEPA estimates that more than 600 could use LFG for energy, producing enough electricity to power over 1 million homes, and displacing a corresponding amount of fossil fuel use (USEPA, 2003). The requirement to flare LFG means mandatory gas collection systems and the associated maintenance, which creates opportunities to use the LFG for energy rather than flaring. One such opportunity is described here for the demonstration greenhouse at the Burlington County Resource Recovery Complex.

The Demonstration System

Overview

The demonstration system is depicted in Fig. 1. A portion of the LFG produced from the Burlington County Resource Recovery Complex (BCRRC) landfill site is used for microturbine electricity generation and for heating the greenhouse. The waste heat from the turbines is used as the main heat source for the greenhouse in the winter months, and to desalinate water when heating is not required. Within the greenhouse, aquaculture and hydroponic crop production are coupled by recycling the aquaculture effluent as a nutrient source for the plants. Both the sludge resulting from the filtered effluent and the inedible biomass from harvested plants are vermicomposted, rather than being sent to the landfill, resulting in marketable products such as soil amendments and liquid plant fertilizer. If suitably cleaned of contaminants, the carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the landfill gas and from the turbine exhaust may be used to enrich the plant growing area within the greenhouse to increase the yield of the edible products. Alternatively, algal culture may be used to assimilate CO₂ from the turbine exhaust into biomass, which may then be used as a biofuel, or possibly as fish feed, thus making the system more self-contained.

The BCRRC landfill site

The BCRRC site consists of two landfill cells, one closed and one active, each about 20 ha (about 50 acres). The post closure, impermeable cap for the closed cell was installed in two phases. Each phase involved application of an impermeable layer and a drainage layer, vertical gas exhaust wells, and planting vegetation to prevent surface erosion. This was followed by gas collection and flaring, which continues at the time of this writing.

Landfill gas to energy

LFG generation typically begins after waste disposal and may continue for 20 or 30 years after the landfill is closed. Generation peaks shortly after closure, and then gradually declines over a number of years (USEPA, 2003). Landfill leachate is a complex organic liquid formed primarily by the percolation of precipitation water through the open landfill or through a pervious soil cap of the completed site. The leachate may be recirculated through the landfill to increase moisture content and mixing, thus enhancing methane production (Britz, 1995). In this way, as for the active cell at the BCRRC site, the landfill may be run as a bioreactor, i.e., essentially controlling the moisture content of the landfill.

Even though LFG collection is mandatory in the U.S., the LFG must be sent from the landfill to the micro-turbines. The capital cost of this for the system of pipes and blowers at the BCRRC demonstration site, where...
the micro-turbines are located about 800 m away from the landfill, is estimated to be about $100,000. Adding another 10% for engineering costs and a 25% contingency factor increases this to about $140,000. The county may also charge for the LFG, which is typically indexed to the price of natural gas, based on methane content, with some discount for installation cost.

Microturbines, with capacities ranging from about 30 to 100 kW ($\text{el}$) (electric power output), are a relatively new technology that may be used in LFG applications to generate electricity for onsite use. The BCRRC site uses four 30-kW microturbines (Fig. 2), which cost about $200,000. The gas as delivered from the landfill is saturated with water vapor and contains trace impurities. The LFG is thus first compressed, dewatered and filtered before being sent to the micro-turbines; each step having a corresponding dollar and energy cost. For the BCRRC system, this ancillary equipment added perhaps another $100,000 to the cost. Thus the capital cost for the BCRRC landfill-gas-to-energy for the microturbines at the demonstration greenhouse was about $450,000 (Simkins, 2003).

The landfill gas sent to the micro-turbines is about 0.03 m$^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ with an energy content ranging from 18 to 19 MJ m$^{-3}$, which corresponds to about 550 kW of primary energy. The power input for each of the micro-turbines is about 120 kW, or 480 kW for all four, which at 25% efficiency gives 120 kW$\text{el}$ electrical power output. Assuming an 85% availability factor, the displaced electricity generation amounts to about 890,000 kW-h/year, which at $0.12 \text{ kW-h}^{-1}$ savings would take 4 to 5 years to recover the capital costs for this test system. The fossil fuel displaced by generating electricity from the microturbine system amounts to avoiding the emissions of about 480 t-year$^{-1}$ of CO$_2$, 4 t-year$^{-1}$ of SO$_2$, and 1 t-year$^{-1}$ NO$_x$. [From PJM (Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Maryland) interconnection, a consortium of electric power suppliers in the Northeast U.S. (http://www.newenergy.com/press/detail.cfm?nid=652&sid=16) the emissions, on average, for the power generation sources in the PJM region (primarily coal and nuclear) amounts to 538 kg of carbon dioxide, 4.6 kg sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$) and 1.3 kg nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$) per megawatt-hour of electricity generated. For NO$_x$, 0.32 kg MW-h$^{-1}$ is subtracted for the estimated microturbine emissions (USEPA, 2003)].

This equivalent CO$_2$ displacement amounts to planting about 65 ha of forest per year. [This assumes 2 t carbon per ha per year sequestered in a U.S. type agroforest system (Dixon et al., 1994). This calculation is for the fossil fuel CO$_2$ displacement, not for the equivalent avoided methane release from the landfill, as flaring LFG is mandatory in the U.S.].

About another 0.07 m$^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ of LFG is sent to a dual-fueled boiler in the greenhouse for back-up heat. This boiler operates at 80% efficiency, and may also use natural gas. However, the waste heat from the turbines is used as the main heat source for the greenhouse in the winter months, and to desalinate water when heating is not required. Recovery of this waste heat increases the energy efficiency of the four 30-kW turbines from about 25% to 75%. For the desalination unit, the waste heat is used to distill the feed water (sea water or brine), with the cleaned fresh water vapor condensed and collected, and the concentrated brine accumulated in a sump for return to the water source, or for wastewater treatment. The waste-heat desalination unit is shown in Fig. 3.

**LFG clean-up technologies**

In addition to the research universities and the county, the partnership for the demonstration system involves a handful of start-up companies (Table 1). Acronix Technologies, Inc., has conducted a technology demonstration at the BCRRC site of equipment designed to recover contaminant-free methane-carbon dioxide and liquid commercial grade CO$_2$ from landfill gas. The CO$_2$ recovered from this technology would be suitable for enriching the plant growing area within the greenhouse to increase the yield of the edible product, for instance. The gas mixture may potentially be applied as a feedstock for fuel cell or for methanol production, and may be further refined to pipeline natural gas. Product scenarios include pipeline gas and liquid CO$_2$, liquid methane and liquid CO$_2$, and methanol, in order of increasing capital investment. Capital investment for a modest (BCRRC) size about 100,000 m$^3$-d$^{-1}$ LFG plant is estimated to be $8 million for pipeline gas and liquid CO$_2$ recovery, to $12 million for methanol production (http://www.acronix.com).

**Research Greenhouse and Hydroponic Crop Production**

The basic energetics of hydroponic crop production involves converting light energy, CO$_2$, water, and nutrients into plant biomass *via* photosynthesis and biosynthesis. Biosynthesis refers to converting photosynthesized into plant biochemical components (carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, organic acids and minerals) with the requisite growth respiration energy costs. The direct energy needs for controlled environment agriculture include, for instance, electric lighting to supplement natural light, heating and cooling with the associated fans and blowers, and pumps to recirculate the nutrient solution. The indirect energy costs include, for instance, the embodied energy in the fertilizer (e.g., production and transport), water supply, and human labor.

The demonstration greenhouse at the BCRRC is about 15 m from the microturbine system. Designed by the Bioresource Engineering Department of Cook College, Rutgers University and built by the County of Burlington’s Board of Chosen Freeholders, the greenhouse has numerous environmental technologies incorporated into its design. In addition to using electricity and heat generated from LFG, the research facility includes other noteworthy features:

- Sophisticated computerized environmental controls for five separate zones that monitor, control, and record the temperature, light level, humidity and carbon dioxide level for each zone while minimizing energy usage.
- Heated floors throughout that serve as a thermal storage device for providing heat to the crops efficiently.
- High intensity lighting to supplement natural sunlight and extend the day length during the lower-light periods of the year (September through April).
- Energy curtains that reduce heat loss, during the night, in winter and reduce the cooling loads, during the day, in summer.
- High-density polyethylene liners under the...
greenhouse floors to prevent irrigation water from leaving the greenhouse and going into the ground.

- Double-wall acrylic sidewalls to reduce heat loss through the sides of the greenhouse
- High-pressure fog cooling system
- Automated rolling benches or Dutch trays that allow the crop to be brought to the workers in the headhouse and also allow for greater space use in the greenhouse.
- Recirculating hydroponic irrigation system.
- Glass and double layer polyethylene roofing in identical sections to allow for comparison of crop production under both covers.

These technologies serve to give the greenhouse a soft footprint on the environment.

With more than 4,000 m² of greenhouse production space, and about 900 m² of support buildings, it is one of the largest research greenhouses in the United States. Research at the greenhouse focuses on the demonstration and transfer of new technologies in the environment and controlled environment agriculture areas, such as described here, and has also served a test facility for hydroponic limited-cluster tomato production (Logendra et al., 2001).

Aquaponics

Aquaponics is the combined culture of fish and plants in a recirculating system, whereby the aquaculture effluent is treated and recycled as a nutrient source for the plants. In general, the sharing of related operational and infrastructure costs also results in savings for the coupled system. The demonstration system at the BCRRRC site is based on a working system at the University of the Virgin Islands, and is still in its test phase (Fig. 4). The system uses tank culture of tilapia, which grow well at high densities when good water quality is maintained and a complete diet provided (Rakocy, 1989).

Basically, dissolved waste nutrients from the fish are recovered by the plants, thereby reducing make-up water requirements and wastewater discharge to the environment. Stand-alone recirculating aquaculture systems require exchange of about 5% to 10% of the tank water volume daily, whereas aquaponic systems may operate with a daily water loss of 2% or less, with this water being lost through effluent sludge removal, tank water evaporation, and evapotranspiration from the hydroponic crop production. The aquaponic system aerates, filters and recycles the water, removing solid and toxic wastes. A biofilter converts toxic ammonia to nitrate, which is relatively nontoxic to fish, and is recirculated through the fish-plant system. The system is sized so that nitrate concentrations in the tanks stay well below toxic levels. Daily application of fish feed provides a steady, indirect supply of nutrients to the plants as well, thereby mitigating the need to replace depleted nutrient solutions (Rakocy, 1999). Initial trials at the BCRRRC site have focused on tomato and lettuce production, and have found certain micronutrients to be the only fertilizer additions needed.

Vermicompost

Vermicomposts are produced from the fragmentation of organic wastes by earthworms, containing nutrients in forms readily available for plant uptake such as nitrates, exchangeable phosphorus, and soluble potassium, calcium and magnesium. They have a fine particulate structure, with high porosity, aeration, drainage and water-holding capacity. Whether as an amendment to soils or greenhouse container media, vermicomposts thus have potential beneficial effects on plant growth (Atiyeh et al., 2000). For the BCRRRC site, both the sludge resulting from the filtered aquaculture effluent and the inedible biomass from plants harvested in the greenhouse are vermicomposted. Rather than being sent to the landfill as organic waste, these materials potentially contribute to marketable products such as soil amendments and liquid plant fertilizer (www.terrcycle.ca).

Algal culture

Emissions of greenhouse gases and smog producing pollutants are an environmental concern. There are several sources for these gases, a major one being exhaust gases from electric power generators. Carbon dioxide from the microturbine exhaust (which is 5% CO2 by volume) may be recovered for greenhouse enrichment. Alternatively, algal culture may be used to assimilate some of this CO2, and possibly some of the nitrogen from the NO3 in the turbine exhaust into biomass (www.greenfuelonline.com). The algal biomass produced from this process may potentially be used as a renewable fuel source (e.g., biodiesel), and also as a feed supplement for aquaculture, which would make the BCRRRC system more self-contained.

Discussion

The various projects described in this paper are in the demonstration stage, with most ongoing at the time of writing. It remains for rigorous analyses to be conducted on a proven system. Such would include life-cycle, energy-materials flow and economic studies, that would include, for instance, embodied energy in material product construction and transport. What is presented here, rather, is the preliminary stage of an integrated controlled environment agriculture system that uses landfill gas for energy, a source that would otherwise be flared. By integrating and recycling energy and materials, the system described would generate less waste in need of disposal and displace fossil fuel use.

In practice, displacing fossil fuel use would mitigate the negative environmental impacts such as SO2, NOx, particulates and greenhouse gas emissions. The resulting emission credits are thus another potentially useful factor inherent in this systems approach. Other incentives would include, for instance, a pricing premium for the generated electricity that would help defray the costs of landfill gas-to-energy projects, increasing their economic feasibility and making them more competitive with fossil fuel electricity generation. However, the availability of research funds are imperative to test and prove systems such as those described here so that their potential may be realized.
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