RELATIVE BIOAVAILABILITY OF TWO FORMULATIONS CONTAINING DAPAGLIFLOZIN 10 MG UNDER FED CONDITION IN A RANDOMIZED CROSSOVER STUDY IN HEALTHY CAUCASIAN SUBJECTS
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Abstract

The study aimed to evaluate the bioequivalence of a 10 mg dapagliflozin immediate release tablet, developed by Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, India, with the reference product Farxiga® (AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, USA). The bioequivalence was assessed on 44 healthy Caucasian subjects under fed condition, of which 33 completed both study periods. The clinical trial was designed as an open label, randomized, single-dose, two-treatment, two-period, two-sequence, crossover study, with a wash-out period of 7 days. During both study periods, blood samples were collected before drug administration and post-dose until 48 hours. Dapagliflozin plasma concentrations were determined by a validated LC-MS/MS method. The non-compartmental method was employed for the pharmacokinetic (PK) analysis of data and ANOVA test was used to evaluate the logarithmically transformed values of C_max and AUCs. The 90% confidence intervals for the evaluated PK parameters were within the accepted range for bioequivalence (80.00 - 125.00%), therefore the test product is bioequivalent with the reference product, under fed condition.

Rezumat

Studiul a vizat evaluarea biochivalenței unui comprimat cu eliberare imediată de 10 mg dapagliflozină, dezvoltat de Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, India, cu produsul de referință Farxiga® (AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, SUA). Biochivalența a fost evaluată pe 44 de subiecți sănătăți caucazieni în condiții post-prandiale, dintre care 33 au finalizat ambele perioade de studiu. Design-ul studiului a fost un open-label, randomizat, cu doză unică, două tratamente, două perioade, două secvențe, crossover, cu un perioadă și ASC. Intervalele de încredere de 90% pentru parametri farmacocinetici au fost în intervalul acceptat pentru biochivalență (80.00 - 125.00%), urmând urmăre, produsul test este echivalent cu produsul de referință, în condiții post-prandiale.
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Introduction

Nowadays, diabetes is one of the most important and challenging metabolic illness [6, 18]. It is currently classified in type 1 diabetes (which is an autoimmune β-cell destruction that leads to insulin deficiency and usually appears in infancy), type 2 diabetes (which appears because of an insulin resistance after a progressive loss of insulin secretion), gestational diabetes mellitus, and diabetes due to other causes such as pancreatitis or drug/chemical-induced diabetes [15].

The number of patients diagnosed with Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is increasing every year [2, 8, 9]. According to National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive and Kidney Disease from USA (NIDDK) an estimated of 30.3 million people are diagnosed with diabetes [22]. Furthermore, the NIDDK also states that about one out of four patients with diabetes are not aware that they suffer from this disease while an estimated of 84.1 million Americans aged 18 years or older suffer from pre-diabetes symptoms [22].

The clinical approaches of T2DM, according to the therapeutic guidelines of several international
organizations, include both non-pharmacological treatment (with diet and exercise) and pharmacological treatment [21].

While for type 1 diabetes insulin is the most common treatment applied to patients, for type 2 diabetes the oral hypoglycaemic agents are used to obtained control over the illness [1, 2, 6, 9]. In the past years, inhibitors of the human sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) such as dapagliflozin, which is first in this class, were shown to improve glycaemic control in patients with T2DM [1, 18, 19, 21].

SGLT2 is predominantly expressed in the proximal tubule of the kidneys where is responsible for the renal glucose reabsorption [2]. Dapagliflozin selectively inhibits the SGLT2, thus it blocks the glucose re-absorption and increases glycosuria (the excretion of glucose in urine). Therefore, dapagliflozin improves the glycaemic control in adults when combined with diet and exercise. By excreting the glucose in the urine, dapagliflozin leads to weight loss [4]. Dapagliflozin improves glycaemic control and prevents administration of increased doses of insulin in patients who cannot take metformin due to intolerance. At the same time, it was demonstrated to improve patients' weight and lead to minor changes in lipid levels [3].

The molecule was first approved by the Food and Drug Administration to be used by patients diagnosed with T2DM in January 2014 [18]. Since then, in March 2019 the European Commission (EC) announced the approval of dapagliflozin to be used in patients diagnosed with type-1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) in cases when treatment with insulin does not provide an adequate control of glycaemia [23]. It is currently used as an adjunct to insulin treatment in patients with BMI ≥ 27 kg/m² and as treatment for obese patients diagnosed with T2DM [12, 23].

Studies had demonstrated that after oral administration of dapagliflozin a maximum plasma concentration (Cmax) is obtained within 1 hour under fasting conditions [19]. Under fed condition, the absorption is delayed and the time to maximum plasma concentration (tmax) increases to 2 hours while the Cmax decreases by 50% [13, 19]. Even though, a dose adjustment for dapagliflozin is not considered necessary and it can be administered regardless to food intake [11]. Due to high protein binding (91%), the apparent volume of distribution is high (approximately 110 L) and the mean half-life is 13 hours [13, 19]. Oral bioavailability of dapagliflozin is around 78% [19].

In terms of metabolism, dapagliflozin is primarily glucuronidated by uridine diphosphate glucuronyl-transferase UGT1A9 to its inactive 3-O-glucuronide metabolite (60.7%), while also being transformed to other minor glucuronidated metabolite (5.4%), a de-ethylated metabolite (< 5%), and a hydroxylated metabolite (< 5%) [2, 19]. Around 75% of dapagliflozin is recovered in urine after oral administration with 1.6% of the dose remaining unchanged by metabolism. In addition, in the faeces 21% of the dose is excreted [19].

Prior to market approval of a new generic product, its bioequivalence is assessed with the reference product from the market where it is expected to be released [16, 17]. Therefore, the purpose of the present clinical trial was to assess the relative bioavailability of a new generic formulation in comparison to a reference product already approved on the market, in healthy adult Caucasian subjects under fed conditions.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study design**

Prior to study initiation, the National Agency of Medicine and Medical Devices, Romania, and Bioethics National Committee of the Medicines and Medical Devices, Romania, approved the clinical study protocol.

The Bioethics National Committee of the Medicines and Medical Devices approved the Informed Consent Form and any other information provided to study subjects.

The study was conducted at the Clinical Pharmacology and Pharmacokinetics Department of Terapia SA - Sun Pharma, Romania.

The clinical study protocol was registered in the EudraCT data base with the no. 2017-001027-42.

This clinical study was performed in accordance with the principles defined in the Guideline for Good Clinical Practice ICH E6(R2) (CPMP/ICH/135/95), the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (Brazil 2013), and the Guideline on the Investigation of Bioequivalence by the European Medicines Agency, Committee for Medicinal Products for Human use. The clinical trial also committed to respect the draft guidance on generic drug development for dapagliflozin tablets and the Guidance for Industry - Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies for Orally Administrated Drug Products - General considerations (2003) of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

The study was designed as an open label, crossover, balanced, randomized, two treatment, two periods and two sequences, single-dose, bioequivalence assay, comparing one test formulation to the reference formulation as immediate release tablets containing dapagliflozin 10 mg, under fed conditions. The wash-out period of 7 days was elected according to the FDA Guidance for Industry (5 times the elimination half-life of dapagliflozin, t1/2 = 13 h) in order to prevent the carry-over effect during the study periods [16, 17, 19].

In order to ensure the required fasting period the subjects were admitted to the Clinical Unit with at least 10.5 hours before administration of the study drugs.

Therefore, the subjects started the recommended high fat, high-calorie test meal, 30 minutes prior to administration of the investigational pharmaceutical
product after a fasting period of at least 10 hours. The investigational medicinal products were administered with 240 mL of 20% glucose solution. In addition, approximately 60 mL of 20% glucose solution was administered approximately every 15 minutes for up to 4 hours after the dose in order to reduce hypoglycaemia. Food was not allowed for 4 hours after dosing. Drinking water was not allowed 1 hour before and after dosing. During housing in the Clinical Unit all subjects received the same standard meals, identical in both periods of the study.

Study subjects

Sample size estimation for the number of subjects was estimated from available in-house and literature study data on dapagliflozin. Test/Reference ratio was considered of 95 - 105% and intra-subject coefficient of variation (CV) of approximately 24%, therefore a number of 34 subjects were found to yield a power of 90% to show bioequivalence of the two products, under bioequivalence assumptions. However, in order to allow possible dropouts and/or withdrawals, it was decided to enrol 44 subjects in the study.

All subjects were informed prior admission about study details and each subject signed the written informed consent before applying any screening procedures. After evaluating the subjects’ medical history, ECG, physical examination, routine blood analysis results and urine tests results, only healthy subjects were admitted in the clinical trial. Moreover, all subjects were tested for drugs use and alcohol consumption, while female subjects were tested for pregnancy. All admitted subjects were adult Caucasian subjects, aged between 18 - 45 years, with a non-vegetarian diet habit and a body mass index (BMI) in the range of 18.5 kg/m² to 29.0 kg/m².

Study drugs

The investigational pharmaceutical product tested (T) in the present study was a new immediate release formulation containing dapagliflozin 10 mg. The reference product (R) used was Farxiga® immediate release tablets (containing dapagliflozin 10 mg) manufactured by AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Mount Vernon Ireland, for AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, USA. For assigning the treatment to subjects, a randomization schedule was generated using SAS® system for Windows release 9.1.3, SAS Institute Inc., USA. The subjects received alternatively the test formulation or the reference formulation in each period of the study.

Sample collection and processing

Blood samples for PK analysis were collected in K2EDTA vacutainers through indwelling cannula placed in the forearm veins or through a vein puncture as follows: pre-dose and at 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, 1.25, 1.50, 1.75, 2.00, 2.33, 2.67, 3.00, 3.50, 4.00, 5.00, 6.00, 8.00, 10.00, 12.00, 18.00, 24.00, 30.00, 36.00 and 48.00 hours post-dose in each study period.

All blood samples were collected and processed under low light conditions and kept on ice-cold water bath. For the separation of plasma, the samples were centrifuged under refrigeration, for 15 minutes at a speed of 4000 rpm and a set temperature of 4°C. Plasma sample preparation was accomplished by solid phase extraction [13, 14].

Bioanalytical method

For the determination of dapagliflozin in plasma it was used a validated LC-MS/MS method using Dapagliflozin D5 as internal standard. Mass spectrometry was performed in the negative ion multiple reaction-monitoring mode with M/Z transitions of 407.10 → 329.20 for dapagliflozin and 412.10 → 334.10 for internal standard (dapagliflozin D5) [13, 14].

Safety evaluation

Even though the safety of subjects was not defined as an objective of this study, they were monitored for adverse events throughout the study and they were specifically asked about any adverse events after admission, before dosing time, after dosing and during housing in the Clinical Unit in both periods and at the end of the study. A physician was available at the site of investigation for at least 48 hours post-dose during each period. In both study periods, the vital signs (axillary body temperature, sitting blood pressure and radial pulse) were measured and recorded at screening, prior to and after administration of the investigational products and at the end of the study. For the safety of subjects blood glucose levels were measured prior to dosing and at 2.5, 3.5 and 5.5 hours post dose in each study period.

Pharmacokinetic and Statistical analysis

The non-compartmental PK analysis was performed for dapagliflozin using Phoenix® WinNonlin 6.3 (Certara, USA) and the following PK parameters were calculated: Cmax, tmax, AUC0-t, AUC0-∞, AUC% extrapol and t1/2. The statistical analysis was performed for log-transformed PK parameters (Cmax, AUC0-t and AUC0-∞) using SAS software version 9.1.3. The analysis used Type III sum of squares from ANOVA, each analysis of variance included least squared method (LSM) calculation, the difference between the adjusted formulation means and the standard error associated with the difference. Ratios of means were calculated using the antilog of the differences of LSM for log-transformed Cmax, AUC0-t and AUC0-∞. The 90% confidence interval for the ratio of test (T) and reference (R) product averages for PK parameters Cmax and AUC0-t from analysis of log-transformed data should be between 80% and 125%.

Results and Discussion

Forty-four healthy adult Caucasian subjects were enrolled in the clinical trial based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria mentioned in study protocol. The demographic profile of the subjects who completed the study is shown in Table I. The duration of each
study period was of approximately 58.5 hours (from admission until the last sample collection).

Demographic profile of the subjects who completed the study

| Characteristic       | Value               |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| Number of subjects   | 33                  |
| Gender (number) - Women | 9                |
| - Men                | 24                  |
| Age (years, mean ± SD*) | 25.9 ± 5.19       |
| Weight (kg, mean ± SD*) | 69.97 ± 13.718    |
| Height (cm, mean ± SD*) | 172.77 ± 9.479    |
| Smoker - Yes         | 11                  |
| - No                 | 22                  |

*SD – standard deviation

From the 44 included subjects, 33 completed the study. Safety evaluation was not a purpose of this clinical trial, however every subject was carefully monitored during study periods and each adverse event was noted. A number of 10 not serious adverse events were reported in this study (Table II). No study withdrawal was caused by these adverse events and the health status of subjects was not threatened during the clinical trial. Test and reference products were well tolerated by the study subjects. The reported adverse events were consistent with those declared in the scientific literature for dapagliflozin. Moreover, the results of clinical examination before and after the study (physical examination, sitting blood pressure, radial pulse measurement, and axillary body temperature), adverse events questioning, laboratory tests, 12 ECG, demonstrated that study medication did not induce any significant clinical alteration in healthy subjects.

| Table II  | Adverse events recorded during and at the study of the bioequivalence clinical trial |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| During the study | At the end of the study |
| - 1 case of vaso-vagal reaction; | - 1 case of increased triglycerides; |
| - 1 case of diarrhoea syndrome; | - 3 cases of leukocyturia; |
| - 1 case of vomiting. | - 2 cases of urinary infection; |
| | - 1 case of haematuria. |

In Figure 1 are depicted the mean plasma concentration of dapagliflozin after single dose administration of 10 mg immediate release tablet test and reference product in 33 Caucasian subjects, under fed condition.

In Table III are summarized the main PK parameters determined after administration of dapagliflozin 10 mg immediate release tablet in test and reference product, under fed condition.

For the reference product administered under fed conditions, it was observed a mean $t_{\text{max}}$ of 3.62 hours and a $t_{\text{1/2}}$ of 12.26 hours. Under fed conditions, it was obtained a mean $C_{\text{max}}$ of 58.22 ng/mL, as compared with 81.65 ng/mL obtained under fasting state [14]. This means a decrease of $C_{\text{max}}$ by 28.70%. Under fed conditions it was obtained mean AUC$_{0-\text{t}}$ of 520.10 hr * ng/mL and mean AUC$_{0-\infty}$ of
The costs for the respective treatment may be quite high. Therefore, when a patent expires, different companies may develop a generic product that implies the conduct of a bioequivalence study to prove that the two products are equivalent and can be interchangeable [10]. Switching to a generic product may be cost effective for the patient, but also has to be as effective and safe as using the original product. Therefore, the bioequivalence studies aim to prove that the safety and therapeutically efficacy of the tested product is the same with the original drug product [20].

Due to the development of new generic products through the conduct of the bioequivalence studies, many patients with poor income may access the needed treatment. This can be helpful in diabetes patients for whom the associated conditions.

The 90% confidence intervals for the ratio of test (T) and reference (R) product averages derived from the analysis of log transformed PK parameters were similar with the original drug product [20]. The mean values for the PK parameters were similar for the test and reference product. For the evaluated PK parameters no significant difference were found between both products under fed condition.

Main pharmacokinetic parameters of dapagliflozin in 33 Caucasian healthy subjects following administration of dapagliflozin 10 mg immediate release tablets under fed condition

The ratios of LSM (with 90% confidence intervals) for Cmax was 103.66, for AUC0-t was 101.35, and for AUC0-∞ was 101.48. The bioequivalence assessment is summarized in Table IV.

The mean values for the PK parameters were similar for the test and reference product. For the evaluated PK parameters no significant difference were found between both products under fed condition.

The 90% confidence intervals for the ratio of test (T) and reference (R) product averages derived from the analysis of log transformed PK parameters were similar with the original drug product [20]. The mean values for the PK parameters were similar for the test and reference product. For the evaluated PK parameters no significant difference were found between both products under fed condition.

The mean values for the PK parameters were similar for the test and reference product. For the evaluated PK parameters no significant difference were found between both products under fed condition.

The mean values for the PK parameters were similar for the test and reference product. For the evaluated PK parameters no significant difference were found between both products under fed condition.

The mean values for the PK parameters were similar for the test and reference product. For the evaluated PK parameters no significant difference were found between both products under fed condition.

The mean values for the PK parameters were similar for the test and reference product. For the evaluated PK parameters no significant difference were found between both products under fed condition.

The mean values for the PK parameters were similar for the test and reference product. For the evaluated PK parameters no significant difference were found between both products under fed condition.

The mean values for the PK parameters were similar for the test and reference product. For the evaluated PK parameters no significant difference were found between both products under fed condition.

The mean values for the PK parameters were similar for the test and reference product. For the evaluated PK parameters no significant difference were found between both products under fed condition.

The mean values for the PK parameters were similar for the test and reference product. For the evaluated PK parameters no significant difference were found between both products under fed condition.

The mean values for the PK parameters were similar for the test and reference product. For the evaluated PK parameters no significant difference were found between both products under fed condition.

The mean values for the PK parameters were similar for the test and reference product. For the evaluated PK parameters no significant difference were found between both products under fed condition.
burden for T2DM patients would decrease. Therefore, both type 1 and 2 diabetic patients will have access to an adequate treatment with lower financial costs.
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