The presence of obesity paradox in Greek patients with chronic heart failure
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Purpose: To investigate the effect of body mass index (BMI) values on 1- and 2-year mortality rates in patients with chronic heart failure (HF).

Patients and methods: We conducted a retrospective cohort study of 112 patients with confirmed HF who visited the HF outpatient unit of a tertiary hospital of Athens, Greece, during a 5-month period (December 2012 – April 2013). These patients were assigned to four groups based on their BMI category. Data collection was carried out through a review of the medical patient records and the filling in of a structured questionnaire, including information on the demographic and clinical patient variables. Additionally, 1- and 2-year patient mortality was recorded. The statistical significance was two-tailed, and p-values of less than 0.05 were considered significant. The statistical analysis was performed with Mann–Whitney U test, \( \chi^2 \) test, and Student’s t-test using the SPSS software (IBM SPSS 21.0 for Windows).

Results: Obese patients had significantly lower 1-year (13% vs 34.6%, \( p=0.039 \)) and 2-year (4% vs 21.4%, \( p=0.022 \)) mortality rates compared with those with normal BMI values. Additionally, we found clinically and not statistically significant lower mortality in overweight and obese patients, when compared with normal BMI and overweight patients, respectively.

Conclusion: Obesity paradox seems to be present in our study, translating to significantly lower long-term mortality rates of obese patients compared to those with normal BMI. The significantly higher left ventricular ejection fraction and hematocrit levels among obese HF patients could justify our study findings. Further research is needed due to the inherent weaknesses of BMI and the other study limitations.
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Introduction

Obesity is a major problem with a rapidly rising prevalence in the Western world. In the US, the age-adjusted prevalence of obesity in 2013–2014 was estimated to be 35% and 40.4% among adult males and females, respectively, aged ≥20 years old.¹ Also, obesity is a well-known independent risk factor of cardiovascular disease, which can eventually lead to chronic heart failure (HF).²

Although obesity increases the risk of HF and has a negative impact on cardiovascular health,³,⁴ the protective effects of high body mass index (BMI) values in patients with confirmed HF diagnosis, translating into lower mortality rates, have been highlighted by several-high quality studies.⁵–⁸ In the currently available published research, many suggested mechanisms could be used to interpret this obesity paradox in HF patients, including the lower N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide levels; the higher ejection fraction; the reduced age; the tumor necrosis factor-alpha and endotoxin inhibition by lipids in circulatory blood; the higher metabolic reserve; the
more aggressive pharmaceutical therapy with better tolerance for drugs such as beta-blockers, aldosterone antagonists, and renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system inhibitors; the elimination of the hazardous influence of the malnutrition/inflammation complex syndrome; and the lower sympathetic activation and norepinephrine levels in these patients.9,10

On the other hand, other studies have failed to show a significant association between BMI levels and longer HF patient survival,11–14 which is contrary to the previously mentioned literature studies that indicate the presence of the obesity paradox hypothesis. Indeed, according Colin Ramirez et al,15 HF patients with normal BMI values had significantly lower mortality compared with obese patients.

These controversial findings could be partly explained due to the potential limitation of BMI to fully assess the severity of obesity. Many authors call into question the reliability of BMI, supporting its inability to define body weight components such as fat mass, fat-free mass, and lean mass.1 Additionally, other variables, such as exercise capacity, nutrition, and physical action, could affect HF patient mortality,16–18 irrespective of BMI.

The aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of BMI on mortality of patients suffering from chronic HF. Our study is intended to add new knowledge on the controversial topic of obesity paradox hypothesis in patients with HF.

Methods
Study design, variables, and participants
A retrospective cohort study was conducted. The dependent variable (outcome) in our study was patient mortality (1- and 2-year mortality), and BMI was the independent variable. We, a priori, set the following inclusion criteria: 1) age greater than 18 years old and 2) documented diagnosis of HF with left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) ≤45%. Patients with recently establish acute coronary syndrome (within the last 30 days), recent hospitalization (within the last 30 days) due to HF, significant renal dysfunction with estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) lower than 25 mL/min/1.73 m² and/or on renal replacement therapy or short- or long-term mechanical circulatory support, and a history of cancer with poor prognosis were excluded.

Two hundred and twenty patients who visited the HF outpatient unit of a tertiary hospital of Athens, Greece, during a 5-month period (December 2012 – April 2013) were our study population. According to the abovementioned inclusion and exclusion criteria, only 122 were eligible to participate in the present study, constituting our final study sample. Specifically, 51 patients had a LVEF >45%, 12 underwent renal replacement therapy, 7 had a recent hospital readmission due to HF symptoms worsening, 3 were currently suffering from cancer with poor prognosis, 2 were younger than 18 years, and finally we had no available data regarding the creatinine and/or hematocrit values for 23 patients. These patients were followed up with regard to their mortality rates, retrospectively, for up to 2 years after their first outpatient visit. Based on patient BMI category,9 we assigned patients to four groups: 1) the normal BMI group, with BMI values from 18.5 to 24.9 kg/m² (n=26); 2) the underweight group, with BMI values ≤18.4 kg/m² (n=0); 3) the overweight group, with BMI values from 25 to 29.9 kg/m² (n=50); and 4) the obese group, with BMI values ≥30 kg/m² (n=46).

Method & data collection
Data collection was performed, retrospectively, in December 2015. For data collection purposes, a structured short questionnaire was created, which included information on the following baseline (first outpatient visit) demographic and clinical variables: patients’ gender, age, height and weight, estimated GFR, systolic and diastolic arterial pressure, heart rate, hematocrit, New York Heart Association (NYHA) class, etiology of HF, and echocardiographic findings (LVEF, left ventricular end diastolic diameter, and estimated right atrial pressure). These data were collected through a review of the medical patient records, which was conducted by one of the researchers, the same one each time to ensure the reliability and validity of the data collection process. Patient deaths were investigated during the 2-year follow-up period and were recorded as 1-year and 2-year mortality. BMI was calculated through data on patients’ height and weight and specifically using the formula: BMI = weight (kg)/height (m)².

Statistical analysis
Categorical and numerical data are expressed as n (%) and mean [±standard deviation (SD)], respectively. Bivariate analyses were performed using the Mann–Whitney U test, the χ² test, and the Student’s t-test. All tests of statistical significance were two-tailed, and p-values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS 21.0 for Windows.

Ethics
Data collection was conducted after written permission from the ethics committees of both the “Alexandra” General Hospital of Athens, Greece, and the Faculty of Nursing, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece, were obtained. The requirement of patient consent was
waived by the hospital ethics committee as the data collection was carried out retrospectively. The investigation was carried out in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible institutional committee for human experimentation and in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2013. Precautions were taken to protect the privacy of research subjects and the confidentiality of their personal information.

**Results**

The mean (±SD) patients’ age was 62.8 (±1.1) years, and the majority of them were males (79.5%). Ischemic heart disease (IHD) was the most common etiology (45.9%) of HF, and, totally, 96 patients (78.7%) were overweight and obese. Additionally, 62% of our sample suffered from HF NYHA class II, and the mean (±SD) LVEF value was 31.1 (±0.7)%.

We had no underweight patients in our study and, as shown Table 1, the 3 remaining patient groups were not similar regarding the baseline clinical characteristics. Specifically, normal BMI patients had significantly higher prevalence of IHD as HF etiology (53.8% vs 37%, *p*=0.045) and significantly lower values of LVEF (31.02 ± 2.9 mmHg vs 112.0 ± 2.89 mmHg, *p*=0.044) compared with obese group. Additionally, obese patients had significantly higher LVEF values (34.36 ± 0.9% vs 29.16 ± 1.16%), *p*=0.001 compared with overweight group, and overweight patients had greater systolic arterial pressure values (107.40 ± 1.5 mmHg vs 103.30 ± 2.89 mmHg, *p*=0.044) compared with normal BMI group. Regarding the relationship between NYHA class and BMI category, we found no statistically significant associations. The main baseline demographic and clinical patient characteristics for each group are depicted in Table 1.

Table 2 summarizes the 1- and 2-year patient mortality rates per BMI category. Patients with normal BMI had higher mortality rates at 1- and 2 years after the first outpatient visit compared with overweight and obese patients. By using bivariate analysis, it seems that obese patients had significantly lower 1-year (13% vs 34.6%, *p*=0.039) and 2-year mortality (4% vs 21.4%, *p*=0.022) compared with those with normal BMI values (Table 3).

**Discussion**

The main finding of the present study was the significantly lower 1- and 2-year mortality rates of obese patients compared with overweight group, and overweight patients had greater systolic arterial pressure values (107.40 ± 1.5 mmHg vs 103.30 ± 2.89 mmHg, *p*=0.044) compared with normal BMI group. Regarding the relationship between NYHA class and BMI category, we found no statistically significant associations. The main baseline demographic and clinical patient characteristics for each group are depicted in Table 1.

Table 2 summarizes the 1- and 2-year patient mortality rates per BMI category. Patients with normal BMI had higher mortality rates at 1- and 2 years after the first outpatient visit compared with overweight and obese patients. By using bivariate analysis, it seems that obese patients had significantly lower 1-year (13% vs 34.6%, *p*=0.039) and 2-year mortality (4% vs 21.4%, *p*=0.022) compared with those with normal BMI values (Table 3).

**Table 1** Baseline demographic and clinical patient characteristics in each group

| Variables | Normal (n=26) | Overweight (n=50) | p-value | Normal (n=26) | Obese (n=46) | p-value | Overweight (n=50) | Obese (n=46) | p-value |
|-----------|---------------|------------------|---------|---------------|-------------|---------|------------------|-------------|---------|
| Gender¹   | 0.38          | 0.4             |         |               |             |         |                   |             |         |
| Males     | 73            | 82              |         | 73            | 80.4        |         | 82               | 80.4        |         |
| Females   | 27            | 18              |         | 27            | 19.6        |         | 18               | 19.6        |         |
| IHD³      | 53.8          | 50              | 0.44    | 53.8          | 37          | 0.045   | 50               | 37          | 0.21³   |
| NYHA class⁴ | 0.367         | 0.366          |         | 0.367         | 0.366       |         |                   |             |         |
| I–II      | 73.1          | 83.3            |         | 73.1          | 83.3        |         | 83.3             | 83.3        |         |
| III–IV    | 26.9          | 16.7            |         | 26.9          | 16.7        |         | 16.7             | 16.7        |         |
| Age (years)⁵ | 63.7±2.7     | 63.5±1.9       | 0.66¹  | 63.7±2.7      | 61.7±1.7    | 0.4¹    | 63.5±1.9         | 61.7±1.7    | 0.48¹   |
| LVESD (mm)⁶ | 54.3±1.5     | 66.1±1.1       | 0.37¹  | 64.3±1.5      | 64.8±1.2    | 0.75¹   | 66.1             | 64.8±1.2    | 0.46¹   |
| LVEDD (mm)⁶ | 51±2         | 52.8±1.4       | 0.46¹  | 51±2          | 50.1±1.6    | 0.59¹   | 52.8±1.4         | 50.1±1.6    | 0.18¹   |
| LVEF (%)⁶  | 31±1.5        | 29.2±0.9       | 0.29¹  | 31±1.5        | 34.4±1.2    | 0.024   | 29.2             | 34.4±1.2    | 0.001⁴  |
| GFR (ml/min/1.73m²)⁶ | 65.5±5.5   | 66.7±3.1       | 0.85¹  | 65.5±5.5      | 72.9±3.2    | 0.48¹   | 66.7±3.1         | 72.9±3.2    | 0.16¹   |
| Ht (%)⁶    | 38.7±0.9      | 40.2±0.6       | 0.17¹  | 38.7±0.9      | 41.4±0.6    | 0.007²  | 40.2±0.6         | 41.4±0.6    | 0.16¹   |
| SAP (mmHg)⁶ | 103.3±2.9    | 107.4±1.5      | 0.044³ | 103.3±2.9     | 112±2.9     | 0.012⁴  | 107.4±1.5        | 112±2.9     | 0.21³   |
| DAP (mmHg)⁶ | 65.5±1.6     | 69.1±1.1       | 0.14¹  | 66.5±1.6      | 69.3±1.3    | 0.22⁴   | 69.1             | 69.3±1.3    | 0.93³   |
| Heart Rate (b/min)⁶ | 65.5±1.5   | 66.3±1.2       | 0.32¹  | 65.5±1.5      | 65.9±1.4    | 0.84⁴   | 66.3±1.2         | 65.9±1.4    | 0.17³   |
| Estimated RAP (cmH₂O)⁶ | 8.2±0.9     | 6.5±0.6        | 0.07³  | 8.2±0.9       | 6.1±0.4     | 0.07³   | 8.2±0.9          | 6.1±0.4     | 0.9³    |

**Notes:** ¹(%) ²(mean ± SD); ³χ² test; ⁴Mann–Whitney U test; ⁵Student’s t-test; Bold entries denote *p*<0.05.

**Abbreviations:** DAP, Diastolic Arterial Pressure; GFR, Glomerular Filtration Rate; Ht, Hematocrit; IHD, Ischemic Heart Disease; LVEDD, Left Ventricular End Diastolic Diameter; LVEF, Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction; LVESD, Left Ventricular End Systolic Diameter; NYHA, New York Heart Association; RAP, Right Atrial Pressure; SAP, Systolic Arterial Pressure.
pared with normal BMI HF patients. Additionally, we found higher baseline values of LVEF, hematocrit, and systolic arterial pressure and simultaneously decreased occurrence of IHD as the etiology of HF among obese HF patients compared to the patients in the other BMI categories.

As aforementioned, the most important finding of this study was the significantly reduced 1- and 2-year mortality in obese patients suffering from HF. This finding confirms the obesity paradox of HF patients and is in line with several studies from the international literature.19 Likewise, a large body of the currently available research has shown that obese patients had a significantly lower 3-year mortality than those with normal BMI and obesity paradox was present in both those with reduced and those with preserved LVEF. In a recently published systematic review, the authors observed significantly lower mortality rates in patients with increased BMI, according to the results of the 10 studies which were reviewed.19 Likewise, a large body of the currently available published research has shown that obese or overweight patients with HF are characterized by better survival rates compared with those with ideal BMI.7,20–22 However, contrary to the abovementioned findings, some other studies failed to show association between BMI categories, contrary to the findings of other studies.8,12,24,26 It seems that the relatively small sample size did not allow us to have more statistically significant relationships between the evaluated variables of our study.

Another important finding of our study was the significantly lower occurrence of IHD among obese HF patients, who had decreased long-term mortality rates compared with those of the normal BMI patient group. Although, little is known regarding the potential role of HF etiology on the obesity paradox, we found the study of Zamora et al27 to be in line with our results, as they observed that the obesity paradox was seen in patients with nonischemic HF. In contrast, several other studies have underlined the presence of this phenomenon in large series of HF patients with IHD.28,29

Finally, although the present study failed to statistically document the higher long-term mortality rates of overweight and obese patients compared with normal BMI and overweight patients, respectively, these findings are of great clinical significance for the optimal risk stratification of patients with chronic HF.

### Study limitations

The retrospective study design, the small sample size, and the single-center nature of the study limit the generalization of the present findings to the wide population of HF patients, and thus affect its external validity.

### Conclusion

Obese patients with HF have better prognosis and clinical characteristics compared with those with ideal BMI, confirming the hypothesis of obesity paradox. It seems that the better clinical performance of these patients, translating into better LVEF, hematocrit, and systolic blood pressure levels, strongly justifies their longer survival rates.

Based on the abovementioned study limitations and the inherent weaknesses of BMI tool to adequately assess obesity,

### Table 3 Comparison of 1- and 2-year mortality among patient groups

| Variables | Normal (n=26) | Overweight (n=50) | p-value | Normal (n=26) | Obese (n=46) | p-value | Overweight (n=50) | Obese (n=46) | p-value |
|-----------|---------------|------------------|---------|---------------|--------------|---------|------------------|--------------|---------|
| 1-year mortality, (%) | 34.6 | 26 | 0.44* | 34.6 | 13 | 0.039* | 26 | 13 | 0.13* |
| 2-year mortality, (%) | 21.4 | 14.8 | 0.54* | 21.4 | 4 | 0.022* | 14.8 | 4 | 0.095* |

Note: *χ² test.
further research with multicenter studies, prospective design, and greater sample size is needed for the future investigation of obesity paradox in HF patients. Despite the presence or absence of this phenomenon, obesity is a well-documented risk factor of HF and should be treated through a healthy diet and increased physical activity, both of which aim to assist in the maintenance of normal body weight and the avoidance of HF cachexia.
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