Biases and improvements of the ENSO-East Asian winter monsoon teleconnection in CMIP5 and CMIP6 models
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Abstract
The influence of El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) on the East Asian winter monsoon (EAWM) is investigated based on the outputs of phase 6 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6) models and compared to that in phase 5 (CMIP5). Results show that overall the CMIP6 models reproduce the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection more realistically than the CMIP5 models, although they still somewhat underestimate the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection than observed. Based on the intermodel spread of ENSO-EAWM teleconnection simulated in the CMIP5/CMIP6 models, we reveal that the commonly underestimated ENSO-EAWM teleconnection among the models can be traced back to the excessive extension of the cold tongue to the equatorial western Pacific. A model with a stronger climatological cold tongue favors generating a more westward extension of the ENSO-related SST anomaly pattern, which in turn forces an anomalous cyclonic circulation over the Northwest Pacific (NWP). It offsets the anticyclonic anomalies in the NWP and weakens the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection. Compared with the CMIP5 models, most CMIP6 models better simulate SST mean state and the resultant ENSO-EAWM teleconnection. The present results suggest that substantial efforts should be made to reduce the bias in the mean-state SST for further improving the simulation and projection of the East Asian-western Pacific winter climate.

Keywords ENSO-EAWM teleconnection bias · Cold tongue bias · Anomalous Northwest Pacific anticyclone · CMIP5 · CMIP6

1 Introduction

The East Asian winter monsoon (EAWM), one of the most active monsoon systems during boreal winter, exerts a large influence on the weather and climate in East Asia (Chen et al. 2000, 2005; Chang et al. 2006; Wang and Lu 2017).

The anomalies of the EAWM could lead to the potential occurrence of cold extremes in East Asia and severe flooding in surrounding Southeast Asian countries and cause profound societal and economic impacts (Ding 1994; Chang et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2009a, b; Feng et al. 2010; Huang et al. 2012; Ma et al. 2018, 2020).

The EAWM exhibits a strong interannual variability, which is not only controlled by the mid- and high latitudes factors such as the Arctic Oscillation (Gong et al. 2001, 2017; Wu and Wang 2002), East Asian jet stream (Yang et al. 2002), Arctic sea ice (Wu et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2014; Wang and Chen 2014; Sun et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2020; Gong et al. 2021), and blockings over Eurasia (Wang et al. 2010; Cheung et al. 2012; Cheung and Zhou 2015), but also significantly influenced by tropical sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies such as El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) (Zhang et al. 1996, 2019; Chen et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2000, 2008b). ENSO has a profound influence on global climate through exciting atmospheric circulation anomalies (Horel and Wallace 1981; Wang et al. 2000; Hu et al. 2021; Yang and Huang 2021). An anomalous
anticyclone (cyclone) generates over the Northwest Pacific (NWP) during El Niño (La Niña) mature winter, and its associated meridional anomalies weaken (enhance) the EAWM flow and lead to warmer (cooler) and wetter (drier) climate in East Asia and Southeast Asian countries (Zhang et al. 1996; Wang et al. 2000, 2013). Therefore, the anomalous anticyclone (cyclone) is a key bridge linking ENSO to the East Asia-western Pacific winter climate (Zhang et al. 1996), and the ENSO-EAWM relationship is mainly attributed to the intensity and zonal location of the NWPAC (Kim et al. 2017).

Climate models are effective tools for understanding and projecting EAWM variability. The performance of models in reproducing ENSO-EAWM teleconnection behaviors is important for determining the reliability of the model’s projections of future East Asia-western Pacific winter climate change. Previous studies suggested, using the climate models participating in phase 3 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP3) and phase 5 (CMIP5), that the representation of the ENSO-EAWM relationship in CMIP3 and CMIP5 models depends on the amplitude and the longitudinal extension of ENSO-related sea surface temperature (SST) pattern (Gong et al. 2014, 2015). Moreover, the performance of CMIP5 models in representing ENSO’s influences on the East Asian-western Pacific winter climate is better than that of CMIP3 models (Gong et al. 2015). Recently, the outputs from the latest climate system models for CMIP6 have been released. CMIP6 models have been improved in comparison to CMIP5 models in terms of the dynamic core and the model physics (Jiang et al. 2020a; Xia et al. 2020a, b). Therefore, this study addresses the following questions: how well do the latest CMIP6 models simulate the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection? Compared with CMIP5 models, do CMIP6 models have higher skill in simulating the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection? If so, what processes are responsible for the improvements?

Based on the outputs from 20 CMIP5 and 20 CMIP6 models, the present study investigates the biases of CMIP6 models in reproducing the observed ENSO-EAWM teleconnection and compares the results to those in CMIP5 models. We show that the simulation of the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection is significantly improved in CMIP6 and reveal a solid linkage of the simulations between the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection and the climatological cold tongue strength in the equatorial Pacific. Our results highlight the importance of simulating a realistic cold tongue strength in reproducing ENSO-EAWM teleconnection.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the reanalysis datasets, models and methods used in this study. Section 3 shows the biases in simulating the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection in models. Section 4 investigates the origins of simulated ENSO-EAWM teleconnection biases based on 40 CMIP5/6 models. Section 5 compares the performances of the two generations models. Section 6 provides a summary and discussion.

## 2 Data and methods

### 2.1 Models and observations

The monthly mean outputs of the historical run from 20 CMIP5 models (Taylor et al. 2012) and 20 CMIP6 models (Eyring et al. 2016) are used in this study. The names of these models are listed in Table 1. We only analyzed the first realization (‘r1i1p1’ for CMIP5 and ‘r1i1p1f1’ for CMIP6) of each model. The monthly mean SST, atmospheric variables and precipitation from 1961 to 2000 are used, and the length of the selected time period does not affect the main conclusion (figures not shown). For comparing with observations, we also use the observational monthly mean SST data from the Extended Reconstructed SST, version 3 (ERSST. v3) (Smith et al. 2008), which has a horizontal resolution of 2° × 2° (available at https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.noaa.ersst.html). The monthly mean wind and precipitation are from the National Centers for Environment Prediction-National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) reanalysis dataset with a horizontal resolution of 2.5° × 2.5°, covering the period from 1961 to 2010 (Kalnay et al. 1996) (available at https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.ncep.reanalysis.html). All

| Table 1 | The 20 CMIP5 and 20 CMIP6 models used in this study |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------|
| CMIP5   | CMIP6                                             |
| 1       | ACCESS1-3                                         |
| 2       | bcc-csm1-1-m                                      |
| 3       | BNU-ESM                                          |
| 4       | CanESM2                                           |
| 5       | CCSM4                                             |
| 6       | CESM1-BGC                                         |
| 7       | CESM1-CAM5                                        |
| 8       | CMCC-CESM                                         |
| 9       | CSIRO-Mk3-6-0                                     |
| 10      | GFDL-ESM2M                                        |
| 11      | GISS-E2-H                                         |
| 12      | GISS-E2-R                                         |
| 13      | IPSL-CM5A-LR                                      |
| 14      | IPSL-CM5A-MR                                      |
| 15      | MIROC-ESM                                         |
| 16      | MIROC-ESM-CHEM                                    |
| 17      | MPI-ESM-LR                                        |
| 18      | MPI-ESM-MR                                        |
| 19      | MIROC-ESM-CHEM                                    |
| 20      | MRI-CGCM3                                         |
|        | UKESM1-0-LL                                       |
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the model outputs and observational datasets are horizontally interpolated onto the same 2.5° × 2.5° grid before analysis.

2.2 Methods

The boreal winter Niño-3.4 index [defined as the December–February (DJF) SST anomalies averaged over the region (5° S–5° N, 120° W–170° W)] represents the ENSO variability. The unstandardized Niño-3.4 index is regressed onto the interannual anomalies of SST, circulation, and precipitation to display the ENSO-related variability. In this study regressed anomalies are calculated in each model first and then are averaged across the models with equal weights, which is denoted as the MME. The intermodel consensus is considered as high if more than 70% of models agree on the sign of the MME (Jiang et al. 2018; He et al. 2019), since the threshold of 70% intermodel consensus is approximately equal to 95% statistical significance calculated by the Student’s t test (Power et al. 2012). The EAWM is a large-scale complex climate system. Therefore, we use several indices with high prediction potential based on ENSO to quantify the EAWM variability (Wang and Chen 2010). They are \( I_{\text{Ji}} \) [defined as the area-averaged 1000-hPa meridional winds for the coast of eastern Asia (10° N–30° N, 115° E–130° E) following Ji et al. (1997)], \( I_{\text{ChenW}} \) [defined as the area-averaged 10 m meridional winds (10° N–25° N, 110° E–130° E and 25° N–40° N, 120° E–140° E) (Chen et al. 2000)], \( I_{\text{Hu}} \) [defined as the area-averaged 10 m meridional winds (15° N–40° N, 115° E–130° E) (Hu et al. 2000)], \( I_{\text{Lu}} \) [defined as the area-averaged 1000-hPa meridional winds (7.5° N–20° N, 107.5° E–120° E) (Lu and Chan 1999)] and \( I_{\text{ChenJ}} \) [defined as the area-averaged 1000-hPa meridional winds for the coast of eastern Asia (15° N–30° N, 115° E–130° E) (Chen and Sun 1999)]. The 1000-hPa meridional winds are used in place of the near-surface winds in \( I_{\text{ChenW}} \) and \( I_{\text{Hu}} \), as the near-surface winds are not available in some CMIP5 and CMIP6 models. Our focus is on boreal winter (DJF), which is the typical peak season for ENSO. Unless stated otherwise in the text, all results are for the winter season only.

Intermodel multivariate empirical orthogonal function (MV-EOF) analysis is an extended method based on the conventional EOF (Wang 1992; Wang et al. 2008a; Zhou et al. 2019, 2020), which is performed to extract the leading mode of the intermodel diversity in simulating ENSO-EAWM relationship. The MV-EOF analysis can capture dominant patterns in the spatial phase relationships among several related variables, which favors physical insight into the interactive processes within a complex climate system (Wang 1992; Wang et al. 2008a; Zhou et al. 2019, 2020). In this study, the MME value of each variable is first removed before the intermodel MV-EOF, and then each variable is standardized to coherent magnitude. The intermodel MV-EOF analysis is based on three variables related to the EAWM, including zonal and meridional winds at 850-hPa and precipitation in the region (0° N–40° N, 100° E–160° E). The first mode in the intermodel MV-EOF analysis is selected, and the other variables related to the EAWM are regressed onto the normalized first principal component (PC1) to find the bias origins of the first mode. This method of attribution based on intermodel diversity has been frequently used in previous studies (Zhou et al. 2019, 2020; Chen et al. 2020; Jiang et al. 2021).

3 The ENSO-EAWM teleconnection biases in CMIP5 and CMIP6 models

ENSO is a crucial factor to impact the interannual variability of the EAWM (Huang et al. 2012). The primary climate effect of ENSO is represented in terms of precipitation and atmospheric circulation anomalies (Bellenger et al. 2014). To evaluate the model performance in simulating the ENSO-EAWM relationship in CMIP5 and CMIP6 model, Fig. 1a–c show the precipitation anomalies and 850-hPa wind anomalies associated with ENSO in observations, the CMIP5 MME and the CMIP6 MME. Although the two generations of models can roughly reproduce the ENSO-related anomalous low-level anticyclone located over the NWP which bridges the teleconnection between ENSO and EAWM (Fig. 1a–c), there still exist some biases, such as a weak rain belt stretching from southern China to the south of Japan, weak anticyclonic circulation anomalies and resultant rainfall anomalies over the NWP and stronger rainfall anomalies over the equatorial western Pacific (EWP) in CMIP5 MME and CMIP6 MME relative to those in observations (Fig. 1d, e).

Since the ENSO affects the EAWM mainly through the southerly anomalies of the west side of anomalous anticyclone (e.g., Chen et al. 2000; Wang and Chen 2010b; Gong et al. 2015), the low-level ENSO-related meridional wind anomaly bias in the region of the EAWM index defined in Ji et al. (1997) is employed to quantitatively illustrate the influence of ENSO on the EAWM in models. Here, the area-averaged 1000-hPa ENSO-related meridional wind anomaly bias in the region (10°–30°N, 115°–130°E) in individual models are shown in Fig. 2. Although the simulated ENSO-related meridional wind anomaly bias varies from model to model, most models simulated an overly weak meridional wind anomaly (with a negative bias) (Fig. 2a, b). In contrast, a small number of models can roughly reproduce the observed meridional wind anomaly associated with ENSO, such as ACCESS1-3 and GFDL-ESM2G in CMIP5 (Fig. 2a), CAMS-CSM1-0, CESM2, CNRM-CM6-1, FGOALS-f3-L, FGOALS-g3, and GFDL-ESM4 in CMIP6 (Fig. 2b).
Based on the intermodel diversity in all models, we investigate the origins of ENSO-related EAWM variability biases in CMIP5 and CMIP6 models. The intermodel MV-EOF analysis of the combined three atmospheric variables is performed to extract the leading spread of the simulated ENSO-EAWM teleconnection in the East Asia-NWP region (0° N–40° N, 100° E–160° E). Figure 3 shows the first intermodel MV-EOF mode of the ENSO-related low-level atmospheric circulation and precipitation anomalies and the corresponding normalized PC1. The first mode explains 27% of the total variance of the intermodel spread in simulating ENSO-EAWM teleconnection, which can be significantly separated with the second mode based on the North significance test. The first intermodel MV-EOF exhibits positive rainfall anomalies over the NWP and negative rainfall anomalies over southern China and over the equatorial central Pacific. In association with the precipitation anomaly pattern, there generates a cyclonic circulation anomaly over the NWP and an anticyclonic circulation anomaly over southern China (Fig. 3a). The first mode of the intermodel MV-EOF (Fig. 3a) is very similar to the biases pattern simulated in the CMIP5 MME and the CMIP6 MME (Fig. 1d, e), which suggests that the common biases in CMIP5 MME and CMIP6 MME dominate the leading mode of the intermodel spread in simulating ENSO-related EAWM variability. Therefore, we can also use the regression analysis upon the normalized PC1 to look for the origins of the common underestimated ENSO-EAWM relationship bias.

Fig. 1 Precipitation anomalies (shading; units: mm day$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) and 850-hPa wind anomalies (vectors; units: m s$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) regressed onto the unstandardized Niño-3.4 index during the ENSO mature winter in a observations, b the MME of 20 CMIP5 models and c the MME of 20 CMIP6 models. Correspondingly, their biases in d CMIP5, e CMIP6 and f the differences between the two generation models. The regions where the sign of the MME agreed by more than 70% of models are stippled.

4 Origins of the models bias in ENSO-EAWM teleconnection

Based on the intermodel diversity in all models, we investigate the origins of ENSO-related EAWM variability biases in CMIP5 and CMIP6 models. The intermodel MV-EOF analysis of the combined three atmospheric variables is performed to extract the leading spread of the simulated ENSO-EAWM teleconnection in the East Asia-NWP region (0° N–40° N, 100° E–160° E). Figure 3 shows the first intermodel MV-EOF mode of the ENSO-related low-level atmospheric circulation and precipitation anomalies and the corresponding normalized PC1. The first mode explains 27% of the total variance of the intermodel spread in simulating ENSO-EAWM teleconnection, which can be significantly separated with the second mode based on the North significance test. The first intermodel MV-EOF exhibits positive rainfall anomalies over the NWP and negative rainfall anomalies over southern China and over the equatorial central Pacific. In association with the precipitation anomaly pattern, there generates a cyclonic circulation anomaly over the NWP and an anticyclonic circulation anomaly over southern China (Fig. 3a). The first mode of the intermodel MV-EOF (Fig. 3a) is very similar to the biases pattern simulated in the CMIP5 MME and the CMIP6 MME (Fig. 1d, e), which suggests that the common biases in CMIP5 MME and CMIP6 MME dominate the leading mode of the intermodel spread in simulating ENSO-related EAWM variability. Therefore, we can also use the regression analysis upon the normalized PC1 to look for the origins of the common underestimated ENSO-EAWM relationship bias.
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Previous studies suggested that the simulation of subtropical circulation and precipitation anomalies over the East Asia-NWP region are closely related to the simulation of tropical SST anomaly (Jiang et al. 2017; Tao et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019). The regressed tropical SST anomalies onto the normalized PC1 exhibit a significant and positive center in the EWP, which is a well-known ENSO-related SST bias—the excessive westward extension of ENSO-related SST variability (Fig. 4a) (Collins et al. 2010; Kim and Yu 2012; Kug et al. 2012; Chowdary et al. 2014; Jiang et al. 2021). In response to the warm SST anomalies in the EWP, the tropospheric temperature is warmed over the western Pacific with upper-level anticyclonic anomalies over the NWP (Fig. 4b), and a pair of low-level cyclonic patterns straddle the equator over the NWP and Australia as a Gill-type Rossby wave response (Matsuno 1966; Gill 1980) (Fig. 4c). The low-level cyclonic circulation over the NWP reduces the original anticyclonic circulation anomalies over the NWP, leading to an underestimated ENSO-EAWM relationship in most models. The intermodel MV-EOF results suggest that the underestimated ENSO-EAWM teleconnection in CMIP5/6 models may be attributed to the excessive westward extension of ENSO-related SST variability.

Furthermore, Jiang et al. (2021) pointed out the excessive westward extension of ENSO-related SST bias can be traced back to the overly strong cold tongue (CT) in the EWP. A model with a stronger CT favors a larger zonal SST gradient in the EWP and then generates stronger zonal advection feedback, which ultimately contributes to a larger ENSO-related SST variability in the EWP. Thus, we hypothesize that the performance of CMIP5 and CMIP6 models in simulating ENSO-EAWM teleconnection may be rooted in the simulated SST mean state.

To elucidate the effect of the excessive CT on the simulation of the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection, we calculate the intermodel regression of the ENSO-related precipitation and atmospheric circulation anomalies onto a CT index [defined as the mean-state SST averaged over the CT region (2° S–2° N, 170° E–150° W) in the historical run multiplied by −1]. The multiplication by −1 is used to produce a larger CT index value to denote a stronger CT (Ying et al. 2019; Jiang et al. 2020b, 2021). The regressed ENSO-related precipitation and low-level circulation anomaly patterns onto CT index (Fig. 5a) closely resemble the leading intermodel mode in simulating the ENSO-related precipitation and low-level circulation anomaly patterns shown in Fig. 3a. It means that a model with a stronger CT tends to simulate a weaker
ENSO-EAWM relationship, namely a weaker rain belt over southern China and the south of Japan, stronger cyclonic circulation anomalies, and resultant more rainfall anomalies over the NWP (Fig. 5a). The regressed ENSO-related SST pattern onto CT index (Fig. 5b) is very similar to the leading intermodel mode in the simulated ENSO-related SST pattern (Fig. 4a). Jiang et al. (2021) revealed the CT strength is the leading source of the intermodel spread of simulated ENSO-related SST variability in the EWP. Moreover, the standardized PC1 is highly correlated with the CT strength, with a high intermodel correlation of 0.67, exceeding the 99% confidence level based on the Student’s t test (Fig. 5c).

These results indicate that the simulated CT strength is the leading source of the intermodel spread of ENSO-EAWM teleconnection, which could provide useful information for improving the individual model performance.

Figure 6 reorganizes the linkage from the simulated CT strength, the ENSO-related SST anomalies in the EWP and the ENSO-EAWM relationship in the individual CMIP5 and CMIP6 models. The CT strength is closely related to the simulated ENSO-related SST anomalies in the EWP with an intermodel correlation coefficient of 0.80 (Fig. 6a), which is because that a stronger CT leads to a larger zonal SST gradient in the EWP, then generates stronger zonal advection feedback in the EWP and finally a larger SST variability in the EWP (Jiang et al. 2021). The warmer EWP SST anomalies could force a stronger cyclonic circulation over the NWP through triggering a Gill-type atmospheric response (Fig. 4), and make a weaker relationship between ENSO and EAWM [The EAWM index is used the definition in Ji et al. (1997), Chen et al. (2000), Hu et al. (2000), Lu and Chan (1999) and Chen and Sun (1999), respectively] (Fig. 6b–f; corresponding correlation coefficients are −0.54, −0.46, −0.47, −0.38, and −0.49, respectively). Finally, a model with a strong CT...
tends to simulate a weaker ENSO-EAWM relationship (Fig. 6g–k; corresponding correlation coefficients are −0.39, −0.36, −0.32, −0.37 and −0.36, respectively). The above processes exceed the 95% confidence level based on the Student’s t test.

**5 Improvements in simulating the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection in CMIP6 models**

The MME result shows that the biases of the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection in CMIP6 are relatively smaller than those
in CMIP5 (Fig. 1d–f). Moreover, although both the CMIP5 MME and CMIP6 MME underestimate the ENSO-EAWM relationship, the magnitude of ENSO-related meridional wind bias in CMIP6 MME is almost one-third of that in CMIP5 MME (Fig. 2). The worse MME performance and the smaller intermodel spread in CMIP5 models indicated that there is a more consistent underestimated ENSO-EAWM relationship in CMIP5 models than that in CMIP6 models (Fig. 2). Figure 6 also exhibits the improvement of CMIP6 MME relative to the CMIP5 MME in each physical node from the CT strength to the ENSO-related EWP SST anomalies, and from the ENSO-related EWP SST anomalies...
Biases and improvements of the ENSO-East Asian winter monsoon teleconnection in CMIP5 and CMIP6 models.

To the relationship between ENSO and EAWM \[R(\text{ENSO, EAWM})\]. Thus, there is an overall improvement in the simulated ENSO-EAWM relationship in CMIP6 models.

Since the leading mode pattern of the intermodel MV-EOF for the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection bias (Fig. 3a) and the bias pattern in the CMIP5 MME and the CMIP6 MME (Fig. 1d, e) are very similar, we suppose that the improvement in simulating ENSO-EAWM teleconnection in CMIP6 models may be associated with the improved ENSO-related SST variability in the EWP, and ultimately with the improved CT strength. Therefore, Fig. 7 provides the ENSO-related SST anomaly patterns in observations, the CMIP5 MME, the CMIP6 MME and their differences, respectively. Although the positive ENSO-related SST anomaly bias in the EWP still exists in the CMIP6 MME (Fig. 7c, e), the simulated ENSO-related SST pattern in CMIP6 MME is closer to observations than that in CMIP5 MME (Fig. 7a–c), and the magnitude of this bias in the CMIP6 MME has been significantly reduced relative to the CMIP5 MME (Fig. 7d–f).

Similarly, the improvement of the simulated CT pattern can be clearly seen in the CMIP6 MME relative to the CMIP5 MME from Fig. 8. A common cold SST bias generates in the equatorial western-central Pacific, i.e. the excessive CT bias, with a center at around 180° both in the CMIP5 and CMIP6 MME (Fig. 8d, e). However, the magnitude of the cold SST bias in the equatorial western-central Pacific is significantly improved in the CMIP6 MME (Fig. 8f), which confirms the role of the simulated overly strong CT bias in the underestimated ENSO-related EAWM variability biases in CGCMs. Namely, the improvement of CMIP6 MME in simulating ENSO-EAWM teleconnection can trace back to the improvement of simulated CT strength. All these results highlight the importance of simulated CT strength for the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection.

The intermodel correlation coefficients of the 40 models are shown in the top-right of the panels. All correlation coefficients are significant at the 95% confidence level, based on the Student’s t test.
6 Summary and discussions

In this study, the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection is evaluated based on 20 CMIP5 models and 20 CMIP6 models. Overall, these state-of-the-art models underestimate the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection with weak anticyclone anomalies over the NWP and rainfall anomalies over southern China and the south of Japan, potentially limiting the model’s skill in predicting the winter climate in the East Asia-western Pacific. However, comparing with the CMIP5 models, the simulation skill of the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection has been improved in most CMIP6 MME, with more realistic anticyclone anomalies over the NWP and rainfall anomalies in southern China and the south of Japan. Based on the intermodel diversity of simulated ENSO-related EAWM variability, we identify a solid linkage between the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection and the CT strength in the EWP in CMIP5 and CMIP6 models. Specifically, a model with an overly strong CT tends to simulate an excessive westward extension of ENSO-related SST anomalies in the EWP through modulating zonal advection feedback (Jiang et al. 2021). Meanwhile, a model with a stronger ENSO-related SST variability in the EWP tends to underestimate the ENSO-EAWM relationship. The warm SST anomalies in the EWP can directly force a Rossby wave response in the NWP, weakening the anomalous anticyclonic circulation and associated rainfall anomalies there triggered by the tropical central-eastern SST anomalies. Therefore, as expected, the improvement of ENSO-EAWM teleconnection in the CMIP6 MME can be traced back to the improvement of climatological CT strength. This result provides useful information for improving the individual model performance, and indicates that more attention should be given to understanding and improving the simulation of the mean-state SST in CMIP models.

The excessive CT bias in the equatorial Pacific has persisted in several generations of climate models (Li and Xie 2014; Li et al. 2015). Previous studies found that the overly strong CT bias can significantly influence the simulation of the ENSO-NWP summer monsoon teleconnection (Jiang et al. 2017; Li et al. 2019) and the projection of ENSO behaviors (Jiang et al. 2020b). The present study suggests that the simulation of CT strength also interferes with the ENSO-EAWM teleconnection during the mature phase of ENSO. All these studies highlight the importance of the simulated SST mean state in models. However, the origins of the overly strong CT are still controversial, including too strong trade winds (Guilyardi et al. 2009), biases in the convection scheme (Song and Zhang 2009), an underestimated negative shortwave-SST feedback (Bayr...
et al. 2018, 2019), a positive evaporation–SST feedback (Zhang and Song 2010), and an overly weak surface heating due to biases in the cloud cover (Sun et al. 2003). More studies about the origins of the CT bias should be further investigated in the future.

The present study shows that the excessive westward extension of the mean-state CT in CMIP5 and CMIP6 models results in a weakened ENSO-EAWM relationship through strengthening the zonal SST gradient in the equatorial western Pacific. The result seems contradictory with some previous studies (He and Wang 2013; Kim et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2020), suggesting that the enhanced zonal SST gradient related to negative PDO phase or the positive AMO phase exerts a stronger connection between ENSO and EAWM. Comparing these results, we suggested that the different degree of the ENSO-related convection westward shift leads to opposite conclusion. In observation, the negative PDO phase or the positive AMO phase drives the ENSO-related convection to be shifted to the west at about 160°E (Kim et al. 2017), which leads to the westward migration of the NWPAC and strengthening of the ENSO-EAWM relationship. Meanwhile, the ENSO-related convection related to the cold tongue bias in CMIP models shifts more westward (at about 130°E) than those in observations (Fig. 5a, b). The more westward shift of the ENSO-related convection excites a Rossby wave response in the NWP, weakening the NWPAC and the associated the ENSO-EAWM relationship.

Regarding the mechanisms of the main and common ENSO-EAWM relationship bias in the models, the present study emphasizes the role of tropical SST bias, and the resultant ENSO-related tropical SST anomaly bias. There may be some other factors in individual models, which need to be further explored. Further understanding of the biases may contribute to improve the prediction skill of ENSO-related EAWM variability.
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