Label retention and stem cell marker expression in the developing and adult prostate identifies basal and luminal epithelial stem cell subpopulations
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Abstract

Background: Prostate cancer is the second most frequent cancer among males worldwide, and most patients with metastatic disease eventually develop therapy-resistant disease. Recent research has suggested the existence of cancer stem-like cells, and that such cells are behind the therapy resistance and progression.

Methods: Here, we have taken advantage of the relatively quiescent nature of stem cells to identify the slow-cycling label-retaining stem cell (LRC) populations of the prostate gland. Mice were pulsed with bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) during prostate organogenesis, and the LRC populations were then identified and characterized in 5-day-old and in 6-month-old adult animals using immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence.

Results: Quantification of LRCs in the adult mouse prostate showed that epithelial LRCs were significantly more numerous in prostatic ducts (3.7 ± 0.47% SD) when compared to the proximal (1.4 ± 0.83%) and distal epithelium (0.48 ± 0.08%) of the secretory lobes. LRCs were identified in both the basal and epithelial cell layers of the prostate, and LRCs co-expressed several candidate stem cell markers in a developmental and duct/acini-specific manner, including Sca-1, TROP-2, CD133, CD44, c-kit, and the novel prostate progenitor marker cytokeratin-7. Importantly, a significant proportion of LRCs were localized in the luminal cell layer, the majority in ducts and the proximal prostate, that co-expressed high levels of androgen receptor in the adult prostate.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that there are separate basal and luminal stem cell populations in the prostate, and they open up the possibility that androgen receptor-expressing luminal stem-like cells could function as cancer-initiating and relapse-responsible cells in prostate cancer.
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Background

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the second most frequent cancer among males worldwide [14]. Although almost all patients with metastatic PCa initially respond to androgen deprivation therapy (surgical or medical castration), nearly all patients eventually develop progressive castration-resistant disease; death from PCa is invariably due to resistance to contemporary treatment modalities [17].

Prostate carcinogenesis and the mechanisms responsible for castration-resistant tumor growth are incompletely understood. Research from hematopoietic and solid tumors have suggested the existence of stem-like cancer cells, termed cancer stem cells (CSCs), that are believed to be involved in tumor initiation, metastatic spread, therapy resistance, and progression [30]. Much research is now focused on identifying and characterizing this critical cell population(s) in order to develop more effective therapies.

It has been suggested that tumor-initiating cells of prostate adenocarcinoma originate from adult stem cells (SCs) present in the basal epithelial cell layer of the
prostate [9, 21, 34, 40]. It is, however, not known whether CSCs are transformed SCs, or differentiated cancer cells that gain self-renewal capacity, or if prostate luminal SCs exist in addition to basal SCs.

The prostate glandular tissue develops from the outgrowing buds of the embryonic urogenital sinus epithelium during prostate organogenesis [32]. It is believed that SCs in the androgen receptor-negative urogenital sinus epithelium initiate budding in response to urogenital sinus mesenchymal growth factors, triggered by the rise of testicular androgens during development [35, 39]. Cells in the basal layer of the mouse prostate have been shown to possess self-renewal capability and can generate all three cell lineages of the prostate epithelium, including basal, secretory luminal, and neuroendocrine cells [26]. The location and identity of SCs in the adult prostate remains controversial, though, and cells with basal, neuroendocrine, and luminal phenotypes all survive castration to different degrees in PCa patients [15, 37], suggesting that stem-like luminal or neuroendocrine cells may also exist and serve as tumor-initiating or relapse-initiating cells in castration-resistant tumors [12, 20, 27, 31].

A distinctly robust method for identifying tissue SCs takes advantage of the relatively quiescent nature of SCs, where cells are pulsed with a label such as the thymidine nucleoside analog bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) [1, 4, 16, 22]. Whereas rapidly proliferating, transit-amplifying, and maturing cells will dilute the label during a prolonged chase period, slow-cycling SCs will retain the label and they can then be identified as the label-retaining cells (LRCs). Label retention has been used to identify tissue SCs in many various tissues, including intestine, hair follicles, kidney, and the breast [4, 5, 7, 10, 18, 24].

In an attempt to clarify the identity and the location of slow-cycling prostate epithelial tissue SCs, we examined the location of LRCs in the developing and adult mouse prostate in conjunction with cell lineage markers and candidate stem cell antigens. We hypothesized that a critical proliferative window for maximal labeling of epithelial stem cells would be at the time of embryonic prostate bud induction since this is the time period when epithelial SCs in the urogenital sinus should proliferate to initiate prostate budding. We pulsed embryos in utero with BrdU during this phase, and then chased the cells until after birth and adulthood. We found that a significant proportion of epithelial cells in the prostate lobes retained BrdU and that the highest frequency of LRCs was to be found in the prostate ducts. LRCs were identified in both the basal and epithelial cell layers of the prostate, expressing candidate SC markers in a developmental and duct/acini-specific manner, including the novel prostate SC marker cytokeratin-7 (KRT-7). Importantly, luminal LRCs were identified to express androgen receptor (AR) and KRT-7, and to be present in decreasing frequency from ducts to secretory lobes. Current results suggest that there are separate basal and luminal prostate SC populations, and support the idea that stem-like AR-expressing luminal cells could function as cancer-initiating and relapse-initiating cells in prostate cancer.

Methods

Mouse label retention model
CD1 mice (Mus musculus) were supplied by Harlan Laboratories and bred at the central animal laboratory (CDL) of Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands. The animal study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee (DEC) at Radboud University. For generation of BrdU-labeled embryos, six pregnant CD1 mice were injected subcutaneously at embryonic days 16.5 (E16.5) and E17.5 with BrdU (50 μg/g) diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) in the posterior neck, giving rise to 29 BrdU-labeled male offspring that were euthanized 5 days postnatally (P5) or 6 months after birth (adult). Additionally, offspring from nonlabeled pregnant mice were euthanized (E18.5, P0, P5, and adult; three to twelve males per group). Prostates were then dissected out and either freshly snap frozen in OCT (Tissue-Tek, Sakura, the Netherlands) or fixed (1 h/mm tissue) in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS and embedded in paraffin according to standard procedure.

Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence
Paraffin- and OCT-embedded specimens were cut into 4-μm thick sections, mounted on SuperFrost Plus slides (Menzel Gläser, Braunschweig, Germany), and subsequently deparaffinized and rehydrated (according to standard immunohistochemical protocols), or fixed in either 4% paraformaldehyde or ice-cold methanol for 10 min (cryosections). Paraffin rehydrated specimens were subjected to antigen retrieval (boiling in 10 mM sodium citrate buffer, pH 6.0, for 10 min). For chromogenic immunohistochemistry (IHC), endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 0.3% H2O2 and 0.1% NaN3 in PBS (pH 7.4) for 20 min, and avidin/biotin-binding sites were blocked with an avidin/biotin blocking kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Vector Laboratories, CA, USA) before each single or sequential (double) staining. For BrdU detection, sections were subjected to acid treatment (10 min incubation in 1 N HCl on ice, followed by 10 min in 2 N HCl at room temperature, and then 30 min in 2 N HCl at 60 °C) followed by incubation in borate buffer (0.1 M, pH 8.5, 12 min), either before or after blocking (5% normal serum in PBS) and incubation with primary antibodies (diluted in PBS with 1% normal serum). IHC detection was performed sequentially with BrdU detection last,
whereas immunofluorescence (IF) was performed by co-incubating primary antibodies against BrdU and endogenous antigens after the acid treatment step. However, the epitope of c-kit was sensitive to the low pH of the acid treatment, and slides were therefore blocked and incubated overnight with the primary antibody prior to the acid and borate treatment. Additionally, a postincubation fixation step (4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, 10 min) was performed immediately after incubation with the primary antibody and washing (PBS). Slides were detected with primary or secondary antibodies (Additional file 1: Supplementary material and methods 1) conjugated with either Alexa-594/488 fluorescent dyes, HRP, or with biotin, followed with streptavidin-AP/HRP complexes as necessary, and developed using NBT/BCIP (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) and DAB bright (ImmunoLogic, Duiven, the Netherlands) as chromogens according to manufacturer’s instructions and standard immunohistochemical methods. Counterstaining was performed with DAPI (Life Technologies, USA) or hematoxylin (HTX; Vector Laboratories), and covered with Prolong Gold (Life Technologies, USA) or with Permount (Vector Laboratories) after dehydration. As a negative control, nonlabeled mouse prostate were used for BrdU, and primary antibodies were omitted for endogenous antigens. The kidney, colon, and testes were used as positive controls (Additional file 2: Figure S1). Additionally, preabsorption using immunizing AR peptide (sc-816 P, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, TX, USA) was performed. Slides were evaluated with light and fluorescent microscopy (Olympus AX70, Leica DC 300 F) and scanned with a high-content microscope (Leica DM1600B).

**Quantitative analysis of LRCs and cellular proliferation**

Label-retaining and proliferative indexes were calculated from adult prostates double-stained for BrdU/p63 and Ki67/p63, respectively. The mouse prostates were divided into three regions—dual, proximal, and distal—for the LRC index, and into two regions for the proliferation index—distal epithelium versus ductal-proximal epithelium. Random high-power magnification fields of epithelium from each region from at least three specimens were quantitated. At least 8000 epithelial cells were counted for each index. The fraction of total, basal, and luminal LRCs in each region was calculated by dividing the number of BrdU-positive cells by the total amount of epithelial cells, and number of double BrdU/p63-positive cells by number of p63-positive basal cells, and number of BrdU-positive but p63-negative luminal cells by the number of p63-negative luminal cells in each region. Similar calculations were performed for total, basal, and luminal fractions of proliferating cells in the two regions for Ki67- and p63-stained sections.

Statistical comparisons between groups were performed using two-tailed Z tests for two population proportions.

**Results**

**Proliferation and SC markers are inversely expressed during early prostate development**

In male CD1 mice (21 days of gestation), phenotypic prostate glandular development starts with epithelial budding into the mesenchyme at fetal day 17.5. We pulse-chased mice with the synthetic thymidine analog BrdU in order to identify the prostate SC populations. To increase the uptake of the label into the nucleic acid of SCs and to maximize the wash-out of the label in non-SCs, we posited that the label should be given at the time of induction of prostate SC proliferation and epithelial budding, and when few cell divisions have occurred in the developing organ. Additionally, we hypothesized that epithelial SC proliferation may commence prior to phenotypic budding, and hence we chose to start our 2-day label protocol 24 h before morphological budding had occurred, thus labeling animals at E16.5 and at E17.5. The presence of LRCs was then investigated in 5-day-old (P5) prostates, a developmental stage where most of the epithelial branching has occurred, and in adult animals, to investigate if LRCs are long-lived.

Since many proteins were sensitive to the harsh treatment of the BrdU detection protocol, we first screened prostates from nonlabeled embryonic and newborn animals for potential SC marker expression during early development in conjunction with the proliferative marker Ki67. The mouse prostate comprises four paired (right-left) lobes (the ventral prostate (VP), anterior prostate (AP), lateral prostate (LP), and dorsal prostate (DP); the DP and LP are often grouped together as the DLP) that are located circumferentially around the urethra, where the excretory ducts fuse with the urethral lumen, or, in the case of the DLP, with the urethral lumen and with the ejaculatory sinus (ES) (the ES drains into the urethra and is formed by the fusion of the terminal ducts of the seminal vesicles and the terminal portion of the vas deferens, the paired ejaculatory ducts). During prostate organogenesis, the principal epithelial ducts arise from these urethral periurethral structures and, in accordance with previous results, we found that epithelial buds were negative for AR expression in E18.5 prostates, whereas the mesenchyme abundantly expressed AR (Fig. 1a). During later development, the epithelial buds give rise to tributary ducts that branch further and form the arborized glandular secretory lobes. In newborn prostates (P0), basally located cells of ducts expressed the adult basal cell lineage marker p63 (Fig. 1b), and p63 was further expressed by ‘luminally’ located cells of distal epithelium of the lobes, including the VP (Fig. 1b, arrow), likely...
reflecting an undifferentiated state and the very high proliferative activity (Fig. 1c, arrow) detected in the tip epithelium (Fig. 1c–i). Expression of cytokeratin-8 (KRT-8), a marker of mature luminal cells, was stronger in luminally located cells of both ducts and distal epithelium, however (Fig. 1c, arrowhead). Detection of the proliferative marker Ki67 in P0 prostates indicated that proliferating cells were more abundant in the distal tips in all four lobes, as compared to the terminal portions of the ducts, proximal to the urethra (Fig. 1c–i). A mostly inverse relationship was observed between CD44 expression and AR expression (Fig. 1a–i). In addition, the VP expressed TROP-2 and Sca-1 abundantly in distal cells, preferentially luminally located (Fig. 1d and e), whereas the other lobes only showed a dual mesenchymal-epithelial expression pattern, i.e., stronger proximally than distally, and inverse to the epithelial expression pattern of CD44. The mesenchymal expression of c-kit was, on the other hand, restricted to the proximal periurethral mesenchyme surrounding at least the proximal part of the epithelial buds of all lobes (Fig. 1f, yellow arrowheads). CD44 expression was restricted to proximal periurethral mesenchyme (yellow arrowheads; Fig. 1g, green). Note proximal periurethral expression of CD44 in mesenchyme (yellow arrowheads; g). C-kit was expressed in rare cells, preferentially located in proximal epithelium (arrowheads; h), the majority negative for Ki67 (arrows; h). Note a single proliferating KRT-7-positive cell (arrow; i) at the proximal/intermediate border of the AP (arrowhead; proximal epithelium). Counterstaining when used was either HTX (IHC) or DAPI (IF). Scale bars = 100 μm (a, f, h–i), 200 μm (c–e g) and 500 μm (b). b is a composite image of several micrographs. In (b), the ventral lobe (VP), anterior prostate (AP), dorso-lateral prostate (DLP), ejaculatory sinus (ES), and urethra (Ur) are indicated.
stronger in distal mesenchyme as compared to proximal mesenchyme (Fig. 1h, and results not shown), with numerous proliferating cells. Epithelial c-kit expression was rare, and was preferentially located in terminal ducts rather than in distal epithelium, with only exceptional co-staining for Ki67 (Fig. 1h). At this stage, the urothelium of the urethra was largely negative (<1%) for proliferating cells (Fig. 1c–i).

**LRCs and SC markers are enriched in the prostate ducts**

Next we investigated the distribution of LRCs in postnatal day 5 (P5) prostates. The distribution of LRCs in transversal sections of P5 prostates showed a proximal-distal gradient (Fig. 2), with more numerous and more strongly labeled cells in ducts (Fig. 2a and b) than when compared to distal acinar structures of the prostatic lobes (Fig. 2a and c). Whereas many urethral and ductal LRCs would retain the label in the entire nucleus (Fig. 2b), the BrdU content of the most distal LRCs decreased to parts or speckles in the nucleus (Fig. 2e). However, rare LRCs in both the basal and luminal layer of distal acini also retained BrdU in the entire nucleus (Fig. 2c), with basal LRCs being more common than luminal LRCs.

In P5 prostates, it was observed that the expression of p63 was downregulated in most lumina located cells, and almost entirely restricted to the basal cell layer of all lobes (Fig. 2c). KRT-8 was also more highly expressed as compared to earlier in development (results not shown), and the AR was upregulated in terminal ducts (Fig. 2d), but not, or only weakly so, in distal acini (Fig. 2e). Interestingly, LRCs in the terminal ducts expressed AR (Fig. 2d). In sagittal sections (Fig. 3), it was clearly demonstrated that LRCs were more numerous and more strongly labeled in terminal draining ducts in, or close to, where the ES fuses with the urethra, whereas more distal structures contained fewer and less bright LRCs (Fig. 3h and k). This pattern was also reflected in the expression pattern of the putative SC markers Sca-1 (Fig. 3e, f, and i), TROP-2 (Fig. 3h), and KRT-7 (Fig. 3p), with strong expression in the main ducts, but with an abrupt downregulation where the ducts cross the apical border of the sphincter urethrae muscle to enter the prostate lobes (Fig. 3i and p). In the prostate lobes, these markers were more rare, and typically strongest in luminal cells (e.g., Fig. 3e). However, in the case of the VP lobes, TROP-2 expression, albeit at lower levels than ductal expression, extended some distance further into the lobes proper (Fig. 3h). CD133 remained strongly expressed in the mesenchyme surrounding the ES and the draining prostate ducts of P5 prostates (Fig. 3g), but was now mildly upregulated in the most distal epithelium of the DLP (Fig. 3g, arrow). Likewise, CD44 was highly expressed in proximal mesenchyme, but weakly (Fig. 3j and k) in mesenchyme distal to the sphincter. The inverse pattern was broadly seen for epithelial CD44 expression, i.e., higher expression in distal epithelium of the AP and DLP (Fig. 3k). However, CD44 was downregulated in the distal luminal cells of the VP, but remained strongly expressed in the basal cell layer of the VP (Fig. 3k and l). Additionally, ducts of the VP now had upregulated CD44 in the basal cell layer (Fig. 3k),

![Fig. 2 IF analysis of the distribution of LRCs and co-expression of cell lineage markers in transversal sections of postnatal day 5 (P5) mouse prostates. Paraffin sections were subjected to antibodies against BrdU (a–e; green), p63 (a–c), AR (d, e), and negative control (f). Note that both basally (p63-positive; arrowheads) and luminally (p63-negative; arrows) located LRCs were more numerous and more strongly labeled in ducts (a,b) than when compared to distal epithelium (a, c). In P5 prostates, AR was expressed in terminal ducts (d), and some of the strongest AR-expressing cells co-expressed BrdU (arrow, d). However, distal acini (e) expressed no or only low levels of AR (arrowheads indicate stromal AR expression in d, e). DAPI was used as counterstaining in a–e. Scale bars = 200 μm (a), 100 μm (f), and 50 μm (b–e).](image-url)
but with fewer CD44-positive cells where the ducts fuse with the urethra (Fig. 3j). The stromal expression of c-kit was, on the other hand, stronger distally to the sphincter (Fig. 3n and o) than proximally (Fig. 3m), and was strongly upregulated in the peri-glandular mesenchyme surrounding the epithelial cords of the DLP (Fig. 3n and o). LRCs strongly reactive for BrdU in ductal and proximal epithelium were positive for c-kit expression (Fig. 3m and o). No LRCs positive for the neuroendocrine marker chromogranin A could be identified (Additional file 2: Figure S1).

Long-lived LRCs are found in both basal and luminal epithelium

Next we wanted to investigate whether LRCs are long-lived, and phenotype their adult expression. The distribution of LRCs in the adult prostate was similar to that of the P5 prostates, with more numerous and more strongly labeled LRCs in prostatic ducts as compared to the secretory epithelium. We found that 0.48 ± 0.08% (mean ± SD) of the distal epithelium of the prostate lobes contained long-lived and slow-cycling LRCs.
increasing significantly \( p < 0.01 \) to \( 1.40 \pm 0.83\% \) in the proximal secretory epithelium of the adult prostate, and that ductal epithelium contained significantly more LRCs than proximal lobes \((3.7 \pm 0.47\% \text{ vs. } 1.40 \pm 0.83\%, \ p < 0.01)\). Importantly, both basal and luminal LRCs were detected in the secretory epithelium and ducts of the adult prostate. Basal LRCs in the adult prostate varied significantly between ducts and proximal epithelium \((7.89 \pm 0.97\% \text{ vs. } 2.64 \pm 0.81\%, \ p < 0.01)\), and between proximal and distal secretory epithelium \((2.64 \pm 0.81\% \text{ vs. } 1.17 \pm 0.42\%, \ p < 0.01)\). Likewise, significantly more luminal LRCs could be found in ducts when compared to secretory lobes \((\text{ductal } 1.82 \pm 0.31\% \text{ vs. proximal } 0.22 \pm 0.04, \ p < 0.01); \text{ and ductal } 1.82 \pm 0.31\% \text{ vs. distal } 0.15 \pm 0.02\%, \ p < 0.01)\), but not between proximal and distal epithelium (Fig. 4).

In an effort to better characterize adult basal and luminal LRCs, we co-stained LRCs for cell lineage and SC markers, and investigated SC protein expression in luminal cells (Fig. 5). In adult prostates, KRT-8 was firmly upregulated in virtually all luminal cells regardless of localization (Fig. 5b), whereas candidate SC proteins exhibited a restricted expression pattern broadly similar to postnatal day 5 prostates, with a higher expression in ducts and proximal lobes compared to distal glandular cells (Fig. 5c; TROP-2). A notable exception was CD133, which was strongly upregulated in distal luminal cells of the adult prostate (Fig. 5d). CD133 was, however, not expressed in the LRC-rich ducts and proximal segments of prostate lobes (Fig. 5d, arrows). In fact, CD133 and TROP-2 were mutually excluding at the proximal-distal border of the AP (Fig. 5e). Although TROP-2 did not differentiate between basal and luminal cells, we noted that luminal TROP-2-positive cells showed stronger expression levels in lobes and glands that were heterogeneous in their AR expression (DLP and AP, but not VP). We therefore investigated if adult LRCs expressed AR. Indeed, in ductal (Fig. 5f), proximal (Fig. 5g), and distal epithelium, luminal LRCs were found to express AR, but basal LRCs did not (Fig. 5i). Basal LRCs expressed p63, however, (Fig. 5j) and both basal and luminal LRCs in ducts were found to express CD44, which was highly upregulated in adult ducts and proximal lobes (Fig. 5k), and only rarely expressed in distal epithelium. However, like TROP-2, CD44 was often focal when present, and both markers extended some distance into the distal lobular epithelium of the VP and LP, respectively (Fig. 5c and results not shown). We then turned to KRT-7, which had a similar (Fig. 5l) but more restricted expression pattern compared to TROP-2 (Fig. 5m). KRT-7 was expressed by both luminal cells (strong) and basal cells (weak) of ducts (Fig. 5l), and, similar to TROP-2, KRT-7 expression extended some distance into the VP. However, in the lobes of the DP, LP, and AP, KRT-7 expression was restricted to rare luminal cells. Co-staining BrdU for KRT-7 revealed many basal and luminal LRCs positive for KRT-7 in the prostate ducts (Fig. 5n). However, the harsh BrdU detection protocol hindered the detection of distal KRT-7-positive LRCs, as distal cells generally expressed lower levels of KRT-7 and distal cells seemed more sensitive to the acid treatment. We also noted that ducts stained stronger for the nuclear

---

**Fig. 4** Quantitative analysis of label-retaining cell (LRC) distribution in the adult prostate. Quantification of LRCs in the adult mouse prostate showed that epithelial LRCs were significantly more numerous in prostatic ducts (green) \((3.7 \pm 0.47\%, \ \text{mean} \pm 5D)\) as compared to both proximal (blue) and distal (red) lobular epithelium, and that proximal epithelium contained significantly more LRCs than distal epithelium \((1.40 \pm 0.83\% \text{ vs. } 0.48 \pm 0.08\%). \) Importantly, both basal and luminal LRCs were detected in the secretory epithelium and ducts of the adult prostate. Basal LRCs in the adult prostate varied significantly between ducts and proximal epithelium \((7.89 \pm 0.97\% \text{ vs. } 2.64 \pm 0.81\%, \ p < 0.01)\), and between proximal and distal secretory epithelium \((2.64 \pm 0.81\% \text{ vs. } 1.17 \pm 0.42\%, \ p < 0.01)\). Likewise, luminal LRCs varied significantly between ducts and proximal/distal epithelium \((1.82 \pm 0.31\% \text{ vs. } 0.22 \pm 0.04\%, \ p < 0.01); \text{ and } 1.82 \pm 0.31\% \text{ vs. } 0.15 \pm 0.02\%, \ p < 0.01)\), but not significantly between proximal and distal epithelium. Error bars represent standard deviation (SD). \(*p \leq 0.01\)**
counterstain DAPI when compared to secretory lobes, possibly reflecting a different chromatin state between nonsecretory progenitor cells and mature epithelium. We then investigated if distal KRT-7 cells were positive for AR expression. Rare distal cells positive for KRT-7 in the DLP (Fig. 5o) and AP typically expressed higher levels of AR. Remarkably, both proximal lobes and ducts of the DLP (Fig. 5o) and the AP showed higher

![Fig. 5 IF and IHC analysis of the distribution of long-lived LRCs and expression of cell lineage and SC markers in the adult mouse prostate. a A transversal HTX stained section of an adult prostate; (b) shows KRT-8 expression (green) in a consecutive section to (a), with dotted lines marking the border between distal and proximal/ductal epithelium; the white line demarks the proximal VP, blue line demarks the proximal AP, and the DLP is demarked in red. A yellow dotted line demarks the sphincter muscle. In (c), the abrupt decrease in TROP-2 (green) at the proximal-distal border is indicated by arrows, and a representational magnification of BrdU (green) and TROP-2 (red) from the proximal AP is highlighted in the inset (arrowhead, c). In contrast, CD133 (DAB) was absent from the proximal lobes and ducts (arrow, d), but strongly expressed by luminal cells of the distal lobes (d). CD133 (green) and TROP-2 (red) expression showed some overlap at the very proximal-distal border of the AP lobes (green arrow points distally), but was mutually excluding at the cellular level (e). f, g LRCs (green) positive for AR expression (red) (arrows, f–h). The arrow in (f) highlights a basal LRC (green) that is negative for AR. Basal LRCs (green) expressed p63 (red) (arrow, j), and both basal (arrow) and luminal (arrowhead) LRCs (green) in ducts were found to express CD44 (red). I KRT-7 (green) and TROP-2 (red) were co-expressed in prostate ducts. However, KRT-7 (green) had a more restricted expression pattern in distal epithelium (m) as compared to TROP-2 (red). Basal (arrowhead) and luminal (arrow) LRCs (green) co-expressed KRT-7 (red) in prostate ducts (n). o Co-expression of KRT-7 (red) and AR (green) is seen in the dorso-lateral prostate (DLP) (arrows); distal DLP epithelium is indicated by white dotted lines, and the sphincter muscle (Sp) is demarked by a yellow dotted line. Note a rare KRT-7 and AR co-expressing cell in the distal DLP and the high frequency of co-expressing cells in the ducts and proximal DLP (o). Rare KRT-7-expressing cells (red) in the distal AP further co-expressed high levels of AR (green) (arrow, p). The expression level of c-kit was lower in adult prostate and the harsh BrdU protocol hindered proper IF detection of c-kit; however, using double sequential chromogenic detection, c-kit (NBT/BCIP)-positive LRCs (DAB) could be detected (arrow, q). r A single LRC (green) co-expressing Sca-1 is indicated in the distal VP (arrow). No LRCs (green) (arrow, s) were found positive for the proliferative marker Ki67 (red) (arrowhead, s). The majority of proliferating (Ki67; green) cells were found in the luminal cell layer (arrow, t) and were negative for p63 (red) (arrowheads; t) expression. Counterstaining when applied was either with HTX (IHC) or DAPI (IF). a–d are consecutive composite images of several individual photomicrographs. Scale bar = 500 μm (a–d), 25 μm (i, o, p, r), 50 μm (h, j, l–n, q), and 100 μm (e–g, k, s–t).]
expression of AR in KRT-7-positive cells as compared to
KRT-7-negative cells of distal segments (Fig. 5o). We
further noted that the number of c-kit-positive cells, like
the number of cells positive for other investigated SC
markers, increased in absolute numbers in the expanded
adult ducts and proximal lobes, and were either found
as single cells or small clusters of cells, with rare LRCs
expressing c-kit (Fig. 5q, arrow). Similarly, the total
number of Sca-1 cells was increased in ducts, and, like
TROP-2, Sca-1 expression extended some distance into
the distal part of the VP, where rare co-expression of
Sca-1 and BrdU could be detected (Fig. 5r). Next we in-
vestigated if adult LRCs proliferate, and if proliferative
cells are differentially distributed in the adult prostate.
However, no LRCs positive for the proliferative marker
Ki-67 could be detected (Fig. 5s), and no statistically
significant difference was found in the proliferative
index between proximal and distal cells (mean 0.46%,
range 0.29–0.49%), or between basal and luminal cells
(Additional file 3: Table S1). However, since luminal
cells are more numerous than basal cells in all prostate
lobes (p < 0.01), this suggests that luminal cells contrib-
ute in a proportionally higher degree than basal cells to
cell renewal in the adult mouse prostate (Fig. 5t).

Discussion
Label retention has previously been used to identify
slow-cycling label-retaining stem cells in various organs,
including the slow-cycling SCs of the small intestine [4,
5, 7, 10, 18, 24]. We now report the identification of
slow-cycling label-retaining cells in both the basal and
luminal epithelial cell layers of the mouse prostate, and
that they express several SC markers in a lobe and a
developmental-specific pattern during organogenesis
and maturity, including the novel prostate SC marker
KRT-7. Importantly, we found that LRCs were highly
expressed in the principal ducts fusing with the urethra
and ES, and that this mouse prostate ‘SC niche’ had in-
creased expression of several SC markers, as well as
higher expression of the AR compared to secretory epi-
thelium. In addition, both proximal and luminal cells ex-
pressing KRT-7 generally expressed AR at higher levels
than KRT-7-negative cells in the DLP and AP. Clearly,
early (E18.5) prostate epithelial SC are AR negative and
do not depend on AR expression during the budding
phase, a stage where AR expression is restricted to the
mesenchyme. Further, during postnatal development, the
AR was upregulated in the nonproliferating terminal
ducts, whereas the proliferative distal epithelium had no
or only low levels of AR, suggesting that developmental
epithelial proliferation does not depend directly on AR
activity, in agreement with previous studies [23]. To our
knowledge, this is the first time it has been reported that
the principal ducts and proximal lobes of the AP and
DLP in adult mice express higher levels of AR as com-
pared to distal epithelium. Ductal and proximal cells fur-
ther stained more strongly for the nuclear stain DAPI as
compared to distal epithelium, likely reflecting a differ-
ent chromatin structure and transcriptional state. We
hypothesize that prostate SCs express key stemness epi-
genetic chromatin-modifying enzymes allowing self-
renewal in conjunction with epigenetic modulation of
cell-lineage transcription factors, regulating their activity
as transcriptional activators or repressors and thus pre-
determining their cell fate. It is well recognized that the
AR has both growth promoting and suppressive activity
during development and maturity [25], and that addition
of androgens to PCa cell lines induce a bell-shaped pro-
iferative response, where low levels induce proliferation,
and higher levels inhibit cell division but stimulate bio-
synthesis of prostatic fluid components [6, 11]. We have
earlier shown that the AR may function directly as a
transcriptional repressor by recruiting the repressor pro-
tein REST (RE1-silencing transcription factor), and that
this complex mediates cell cycle repression, and that
REST nuclear displacement in PCa is associated with a
poor prognosis [33]. The co-expression of nuclear AR
and several SC markers found here further suggests that
the AR does not necessarily commit the cell to terminal
differentiation, or that the AR downregulates SC genes.
Rather, AR may, together with environmental signals
and chromatin modulators, mediate transcriptional ac-
tivation and repression of key genes involved in self-
renewal, differentiation, and biosynthesis. Indeed, it
has been reported that AR splice variants can upregu-
late several SC genes in PCas [19]. We propose that
AR-mediated repression in luminal progenitors is
deregulated early on in prostate carcinogenesis, leading to
increased self-renewal and generation of daughter cells.
Epigenetic drift may further give rise to clones with differ-
ent AR transcriptional programs, giving different selective
advantages during carcinogenesis and progression, and
serve as a basis for heterogeneity in PCa and prostate can-
cer stem cells [8].

The role of the expression of KRT-7 in prostate SC
biology warrants further investigations. Intermediate fila-
ment proteins and cytokeratin expression and modula-
tion have been shown to be crucial during development,
wound repair, migration, and cell remodeling, as well as
in cell division and in neoplasia. Most historical studies
have noted that immunoreactivity for KRT-7 in PCas typ-
ically occurs in rare individual cells within otherwise
nonreactive tumor areas but, due to high cut-off values
(5% or higher) in previous studies, most PCas have been
reported as negative for KRT-7 [28]. However, Goldstein
[13] investigated an unusually large number of PCAs and
frequency of KRT-7 expression, and reported that KRT-
7-positive cases increased with higher Gleason score. In-
depth studies on the exact distribution and expression of KRT-7 in primary PCa and in progressing metastatic disease may validate and extend results regarding if KRT7 alone or together with luminal (e.g., AR) or SC surface proteins may serve as a prognostic histopathological or circulating tumor cell marker.

The neuroendocrine cell type is the first cell lineage to differentiate during prostate organogenesis [2], and these cells are thought to be long-lived. By labeling slow-cycling cells, there is a risk that cells that are long-lived and that withdraw from the cell cycle and terminally differentiate during early development will retain the label, and result in ‘over-labeling’ of cells. However, in our experiments, mature neuroendocrine cells expressing chromogranin A were all negative for BrdU, suggesting that this hypothetical limitation does not apply to the current report. Alternatively, neuroendocrine cells form outside the prostate prior to our labeling experiment, and migrate into the prostate during organ formation, as previously suggested [2]. A similar caution for label-retaining assays is that cells that proliferate slowly may not proliferate during the pulse phase, and thus not incorporate the label, and result in ‘under-labeling’, or false negative number of cells—e.g., if adult (injured) organs are pulsed and transit amplifying rather than SCs are recruited for the repair process. Here, we pulsed prostate during organogenesis, and thus hypothetically during maximal tissue-specific SC proliferation. Further, depending on location, tissue-specific SCs may withdraw from the cell cycle at different time points or proliferate more slowly during development. SCs contributing to more proximally located structures in relation to the original SC source/pool may retain more label than SCs that migrate with, and continue to contribute to, structures more distally to the original SC pool during development. This was also seen in our results, explaining the presence of a gradient with stronger and more numerous LRCs more proximally than distally to the urethra, and suggesting that distal LRCs have undergone more cell divisions (‘rapidly proliferating’ SCs) than proximal LRCs. This may have consequences for carcinogenesis, since more distal SCs may have accumulated more mutations. Indeed, in the human prostate, cancer is most frequently developed in the peripheral zone [29].

Our results are consistent with previously reported data in the literature. Tsujimura et al. [36] pulsed and androgen-supplemented castrated (‘injured’) prepubertal animals with BrdU during pubertal development, and reported a proximal-distal gradient of LRCs in the murine prostate. However, they reported that about 50% of epithelial cells (25% each of basal and luminal cells) retained label in the proximal regions of the dorsal prostate, a figure much higher than in the current study. This may be due an ‘over-labeling’ in the previous study, resulting from a too prolonged pulse period in an attempt to effectively label not only transit-amplifying cells but also quiescent SCs in the mature prostate. In the current study, we further report several candidate SC markers expressed by LRCs during development, and that these change dynamically during development. The SC markers differed not only depending on developmental stage, but also on location within the lobes and tissue (proximal-distal). These results suggest that SC proteins may have different roles depending on location and developmental stage, and that caution should be given when using the presence or lack of a given SC marker as identifiers of SC based on conditions other than those investigated. CSCs, for example, are likely to encounter a different microenvironment than normal SCs, and may therefore up- or downregulate proteins reported as tissue-specific SC markers. Our results suggest that the ontogeny of adult prostate SCs and cell lineage-specific cells is a dynamic developmental process, and that not only the basal cell layer but also the luminal epithelium contains slow-cycling LRCs. However, luminal LRCs were generally less intensely labeled than basal LRCs, suggesting that either luminal LRCs are derived from basal LRCs, or that basal and luminal cells have separate progenitors to maintain their lineages, and that luminal LRCs proliferate more frequently during organogenesis. Our results, together with cell lineage tracing experiments, supports the former hypothesis [26], but as suggested by the study by Ousset et al. [26], adult prostate SCs may preferentially be monopotent.

It has previously been reported that castration-resistant cells expressing the homeobox protein Nkx3-1, and that express luminal markers including cytokeratin-18, can survive castration and re-initiate growth [12]. A crucial question is if the human prostate harbors luminal SCs and if such cells are involved in carcinogenesis and relapse of castration-resistant tumors. Notably, in long-term castrated prostate, luminal proliferation becomes more common than basal cell proliferation [38], and the authors suggested that human luminal cells may self-renew.

**Conclusions**

Our results suggest that there are separate basal and luminal stem cell populations in the prostate. In castration-resistant PCa, the AR pathway is still active despite androgen deprivation therapy [3]. Our results support the idea that AR-expressing luminal stem-like cells could function as cancer-initiating and relapse-initiating cells in castration-resistant prostate tumors, suggesting that novel strategies targeting this cell population should be considered in the treatment of prostate cancer. Additionally, our results support the notion that neuroendocrine cells are formed prior to prostate organ development.
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