Perinatal Plasma Monocyte Chemotactic Protein-1 Concentrations in Intrauterine Growth Restriction
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Monocyte-chemotactic-protein-1 (MCP-1) plays vital roles in immune response, angiogenesis, and pregnancy outcome. We investigated plasma MCP-1 concentrations in 40 mothers and their 20 intrauterine-growth-restricted (IUGR) and 20 appropriate-for-gestational-age (AGA) fetuses and neonates on postnatal days 1 (N1) and 4 (N4). Maternal and fetal MCP-1 concentrations were decreased \( P < 0.01 \) and \( P = 0.018 \), respectively, whereas N1 MCP-1 concentrations were elevated in IUGR group \( P = 0.012 \). In both groups, fetal MCP-1 concentrations were lower compared to N1 and N4 ones \( P = 0.045, P = 0.012 \), respectively, for AGA, \( P < 0.001 \) in each case for IUGR. Reduced maternal and fetal MCP-1 concentrations in IUGR may reflect failure of trophoblast invasion, suggesting that down-regulation of MCP-1 may be involved in the pathogenesis of IUGR. Increased MCP-1 concentrations in IUGR neonates and higher postnatal ones in all infants may be attributed to gradual initiation of ex utero angiogenesis, which is possibly enhanced in IUGR.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Evidence is accumulating that maintenance of a normal pregnancy is dependent on a delicate interaction between the endocrine and immune systems [1]. Disturbance of this balance can result in a wide range of abnormalities including intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) [2].

Cytokines, the local effectors of the immune system, are increasingly thought to play a key role during pregnancy [1, 3]. Chemokines, a subset of cytokines, specific in their ability to attract and activate immune cells, are thought to play pivotal roles in the immune recognition, maintenance of pregnancy, and parturition [4]. In this respect, unbalanced chemokine expression may contribute to defective placentation and pregnancy failures [3].

Monocyte chemotactic protein (MCP-1) is a 76-amino acid \( \beta \) chemokine, which is secreted by a wide range of cell types, including monocytes [5], macrophages [6], lymphocytes [7], and endothelial cells [8]. It primarily serves as a chemotactic factor that attracts and activates monocytes/macrophages into sites of inflammation, by inducing leukocyte-endothelial cell adhesion and promoting transendothelial migration [6, 9]. Recently, a number of other functions have been ascribed to MCP-1, including that of an angiogenic factor [10, 11]. Moreover, MCP-1 expression has been demonstrated in maternal gestational tissues, such as decidua and myometrium, as well as in embryonically-derived ones, including chorion and placenta [4]. MCP-1 production increases during normal pregnancy, and even more during labor, suggesting that MCP-1 may play a role, which is more important as pregnancy advances, by locally modulating the immune system at the level of peripheral leukocytes [1]. However, the perinatal expression of MCP-1 has not been, up to the present, investigated in IUGR pregnancies.

In this respect, this study was based on the hypothesis that circulating concentrations of MCP-1 might differ between IUGR cases and appropriate for gestational age (AGA) controls, since the former are characterized by abnormal immune responses, leading to inappropriate cytokine secretion [12, 13], and impaired angiogenesis [14–17]. Therefore, we aimed to evaluate and compare, for the first time to our knowledge, plasma MCP-1 concentrations in IUGR and AGA mother/infant pairs at crucial perinatal time points.
and investigate the association of its circulating concentra-
tions with gender, parity, mode of delivery and, adjusted
birth weight (customized centiles).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Ethics Committee of our teaching hospital approved the
study protocol. All included mothers provided signed in-
formed consent before recruitment. Forty parturients giv-
ing consecutively birth, either to 20 AGA or 20 asymmetric
IUGR full-term singleton infants (birth weight \( \leq 3rd \) cus-
tomized centile), were included in the study. The gestation-
related optimal weight computer-generated program [18, 19]
was used to calculate the customized centile for each preg-
nancy, taking into consideration significant determinants of
birth weight, such as maternal height, booking weight, ethnic
group, parity, gestational age, and gender [18]. Gestational
age was estimated using the date of the last menstrual period
and early antenatal ultrasound. Birth weight was measured
with an electronic scale.

Nine of the 20 mothers with IUGR offspring presented
with preeclampsia [20]. The remaining 11 mothers presented
with pregnancy-induced hypertension and in addition, suf-
fered from iron-deficient anemia (3 cases), gestational dia-
betes mellitus (2 cases), hypothyroidism (3 cases), extreme
obesity (2 cases), and cardiac arrhythmias (1 case). Five of
the above women were smoking >10 cigarettes/day during
the whole duration of pregnancy.

Doppler studies were performed in the IUGR group ev-
ery 10–15 days, starting from the 32nd gestational week.
During each Doppler velocimetry evaluation, three consec-
utive measurements of the pulsatility index (PI) of the studied
vessel (uterine, umbilical, cerebral arteries) were done,
and the mean value was recorded. Concerning uterine and
umbilical arteries [21, 22], mean PI values were progressively
found to be in the upper physiological limits for the corre-
sponding gestational age in 13 cases (ranging between the
90th and the 95th percentile) while in the remaining seven
cases PI values showed increased impedance to flow, being
above the 95th percentile for gestational age. Regarding mid-
dle cerebral arteries [23], Doppler studies showed resistance
to be in the lower physiological limits for gestational age, in-
dicating the initiation of blood flow redistribution process, in
order to spare vital organs (brain, heart, and adrenals). Nev-
evertheless, amniotic fluid was diminished in all IUGR cases.
For the evaluation of the amniotic fluid, the largest fluid col-
umn on the vertical plane was assessed and was defined as
diminished, if \(< 2 \) cm. Placental weights were reduced rang-
ing from 255 to 400 g.

In the AGA group, mothers were healthy and were either
nonsmokers or abstained from smoking during pregnancy.
Placentas were normal in appearance and weight.

Tests for congenital infections were negative in all women
of both groups, and their offspring had no symptoms of in-
trauterine infection or signs of genetic syndromes. One- and
five-minute Apgar scores were in all cases and controls \( \geq 8 \).
All neonates were breastfed. Demographic data of participat-
ing subjects are listed in Table 1.

Blood was collected in pyrogen-free tubes from the fol-
lowing: (i) the mothers during the first stage of labor, or be-
fore receiving anesthesia in cases of elective caesarean sec-
tion; (ii) the umbilical cords after double clamping, reflecting
fetal state; and (iii) the neonates before feeding on postpar-
tum days 1 (N1) and 4 (N4), characterizing transition and
stabilization to extrauterine life, respectively. Plasma was sep-
arated by centrifugation and was kept frozen at \(-80^\circ C \) until
assay.

The determination of plasma MCP-1 concentrations was
performed by ELISA (MCP-1 (Human) ELISA Kit, HBT
(HyCult Biotechnology b.v) UDEN, the Netherlands). The
minimum detectable concentration, intra- and interassay co-
efficients of variation were <10 pg/mL, 6.2% and 7.7%, re-
spectively.

3. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

MCP-1 data were normally distributed (Kolmogorov-
Smirnov test); thus, parametric tests (Anova for repeated
measures, paired samples t-test with Bonferroni correction
for multiple comparisons) were applied in the analysis.
Spearman’s or Pearson’s correlation coefficients, where ap-
propriate, were used to detect any positive or negative corre-
lations. \( P < .05 \) was considered statistically significant.

4. RESULTS

Determined mean (95% confidence intervals (CI)) values of
circulating MCP-1 concentrations in both groups are shown
in Figure 1.

Maternal and fetal MCP-1 concentrations were signifi-
cantly decreased in the IUGR compared to the AGA group
after adjusting for multiple comparisons \((b: 167.018, 95\% CI:
114.511–219.525, P < .001 and b: 216.322, 95\% CI: 40.423–
392.221, P = .018, resp.)\). On the contrary, N1 MCP-1 con-
centrations were significantly elevated in the IUGR com-
pared to the AGA group after adjusting for multiple com-
parisons \(((b: −467.934, 95\% CI: −824.383−(−111.484), P =
.012)\).

In the AGA group, maternal MCP-1 concentrations were
significantly lower compared to fetal, N1 and N4 ones \((P =
.019, P = .001, and P < .001, resp.)\) while fetal MCP-1 con-
centrations were significantly lower compared to N1 and N4
ones \((P = .045 and P = .012, resp.,)\).

In the IUGR group, maternal MCP-1 concentrations
were significantly lower compared to fetal, N1 and N4 ones
\((P = .003, P < .001 and P < .001, resp.)\) while fetal MCP-
1 concentrations were significantly lower compared to N1
and N4 ones \((P < .001 in each case)\). Finally, in each
(IUGR or AGA) group, the effect of gender, mode of de-
ivery, and parity on plasma MCP-1 concentrations was not
significant.

5. DISCUSSION

During gestation, inflammatory cytokines, occasionally
more abundant than growth-promoting ones, lead via di-
rect or indirect effects to IUGR [24]. In this respect, many
Table 1: Demographic data for AGA and IUGR neonates and their mothers.

|                          | AGA Mean (SD) | IUGR Mean (SD) | P     |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------|
| Birthweight (g)          | 3356 (223)   | 2342 (229)     | <.001 |
| Birthweight centile      | 65.4 (12.6)  | 1.5 (1.5)      | <.001 |
| Gestational age (weeks)  | 38.4 (1.0)   | 37.8 (1.0)     | <.024 |
| Gender                   |              |                | NS    |
| Male                     | 11 (55%)     | 11 (55%)       |       |
| Female                   | 9 (45%)      | 9 (45%)        |       |
| Maternal age (years)     | 28 (4.0)     | 31 (5.0)       | NS    |
| Parity                   |              |                | NS    |
| Primigravida             | 10 (50%)     | 11 (55%)       |       |
| Other                    | 10 (50%)     | 9 (45%)        |       |
| Mode of delivery         |              |                | <.013 |
| Vaginal                  | 13 (65%)     | 8 (40%)        |       |
| Cesarean section         | 7 (35%)      | 12 (60%)       |       |

recent studies point to altered cytokine pattern in IUGR pregnancies, documenting up- or downregulation of several cytokines in amniotic fluid, as well as maternal and fetal circulation [2, 12, 25–30]. Thus, elevated TNF-alpha and reduced interleukin (IL)-1 beta amniotic fluid concentrations [2], as well as enhanced placental TNF-alpha secretion [31], have been implicated in vasoconstriction of the fetoplacental vascular bed, resulting in IUGR. Furthermore, elevated maternal TNF-alpha, IL-6 [2, 25], IL-8 [25], and macrophage colony-stimulating factor [26] concentrations have been observed in IUGR due to placental insufficiency. On the other hand, reduced placental IL-6 production has been associated with placental ischemia and failure of fetal growth in pregnancy-induced hypertension [27]. Similarly, reduced placental IL-10 expression has been involved in the pathogenesis of IUGR [32].

In line with these reports, the results of the present study indicate that circulating maternal and fetal MCP-1 concentrations are significantly lower in IUGR cases compared to AGA controls. Successful placentation involves the development of a highflow uteroplacental circulation, which is established by trophoblast invasion and transformation of the maternal intramyometrial portion of the spiral arterioles [33]. Asymmetric fetal growth restriction is attributed to reduced placental blood flow with subsequent impaired fetomaternal exchange of substrates, a process probably initiated by unsuccessful transformation of uteroplacental spiral arteries [34]. In this respect, Brosens et al. showed that the expected physiological changes in pregnancy are restricted in the decidual segments of the uteroplacental arteries in all hypertensive patients with IUGR offspring [35]. This failure of placentation has been attributed to inadequate decidual cellular immunity, limiting trophoblastic invasion [34]. Thus, it has been hypothesized that placental insufficiency in IUGR could be caused by an immunological phenomenon [12].

MCP-1, abundantly produced during gestation by endometrial, myometrial, placental, and trophoblast cell types [4], has been reported to play specific roles in endometrial angiogenesis, apoptosis, proliferation, and differentiation [3]. Furthermore, MCP-1 plays an essential role in maintaining pregnancy [1, 4]. Based on these facts, we speculate that MCP-1 may regulate trophoblast invasion into the placental bed and may influence placental development and function by acting via decidual and fetal macrophages, as previously stated for macrophage colony-stimulating factor [36]. Thus, the lower maternal and fetal MCP-1 concentrations in our IUGR cohort may indicate failure of trophoblast invasion, suggesting that downregulation of MCP-1 may be involved in the pathogenesis of IUGR.

Controversial data exist in the literature, regarding circulating MCP-1 concentrations in preeclampsia. Thus, a recent study demonstrated significantly elevated MCP-1 concentrations in preeclamptic patients [37], whereas other researchers found no significant differences in plasma MCP-1 concentrations between preeclamptic and normal pregnant women [38]. In the former study [37], it has been hypothesized that, in preeclampsia, the damaged endothelial cells and/or infiltrated macrophages may produce MPC-1, which probably promotes extension of the lesions. A possible explanation for our contradictory finding may relate to the fact that more than half of the women with IUGR offspring in our study presented with pregnancy-induced hypertension, which is not associated with endothelial dysfunction [39] and thus, with probably higher MCP-1 production.

On the other hand, our study demonstrated increased circulating MCP-1 concentrations in IUGR neonates compared to AGA controls, as well as higher postnatal concentrations in all included infants. MCP-1 has been reported to directly promote angiogenesis, as a consequence of leukocyte infiltration, or growth factor expression [40]. Therefore, above findings may be attributed to a gradual initiation of ex utero angiogenesis, a prerequisite for tissue growth and development [41], which is possibly enhanced in IUGR cases, due to a potential initiation of the catch-up growth process [42]. In addition, the reported higher fetal MCP-1
concentrations compared to respective maternal ones may be attributed to the fact that, in late gestation, MCP-1 is predominantly released by embryonically derived tissues, including the chorion and the placenta [4].

Finally, gender, parity, and the mode of delivery did not prove to have a significant effect on circulating MCP-1 concentrations in this study. Recently, a report documented significantly higher MCP-1 concentrations in the placenas of primigravidas [24]. Furthermore, amniotic fluid concentrations of MCP-1 reportedly increase during spontaneous labour, suggesting its potential role in this process [43].

In conclusion, the reduced maternal and fetal MCP-1 concentrations in our IUGR cohort may reflect failure of trophoblast invasion, suggesting that downregulation of MCP-1 may be involved in the pathogenesis of IUGR. On the other hand, the increased MCP-1 concentrations in IUGR neonates, as well as the higher postnatal concentrations in all included infants may be attributed to a gradual initiation of ex utero angiogenesis, which is possibly enhanced in IUGR cases. Additional studies are required to elucidate the physiological role of this chemokine in both normal and complicated pregnancies.
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