Theoretical Explanation of Reactivity and Stability of Phosphorus(V) Porphyrins
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The reactivity of substituted meso-arylporphyrins towards complexation with phosphorus(V) and stability of the series of P(V) porphyrins was theoretically studied using DFT B3LYP/6-31G** calculations. The influence of both molecular geometry and electronic structure of porphyrins was estimated using calculated data: introduction of electron-withdrawing substituents into porphyrins results in lowering of complexation efficiency and in decrease of general stability of P(V) porphyrin complexes. The obtained results correlate with the previously obtained experimental data and can be used for prediction of reactivity and stability of the novel P(V) porphyrins.

Keywords: Phosphorus(V) porphyrins, DFT, electrostatic potential map.

Introduction

Porphyrins and their derivatives are very attractive molecules for various applications: building blocks in supramolecular assemblies and coordination polymers, photocatalysts and singlet oxygen photosensitizers, materials for photovoltaics and fuel cells, molecular machines etc. The coordination chemistry of porphyrins is extremely rich, i.e. there are many metal cations, which can be inserted into a porphyrin cavity. The smallest atom, which can be inserted into porphyrin in singular, is phosphorus. The chemistry of porphyrin complexes of phosphorus(V) is well
documented, whereas their phosphorus(III) analogues are unstable and cannot be isolated.\textsuperscript{[9,10]}

The size and the nature of the P(V) atom determine several unique features of the corresponding porphyrin complexes, such as a character of P–N bonds, that is much more covalent in comparison with coordination and ionic bonds formed by metal ions with nitrogen atoms of porphyrin macrocycles.\textsuperscript{[8]} Moreover, P–N bond is quite strong (for example, its energy in phosphorus nitride is 617 kJ/mol)\textsuperscript{[11]} and short (1.6 Å).\textsuperscript{[12]} The mentioned facts lead to a strong deformation of the porphyrin ring\textsuperscript{[13–15]} and, consequently, lowering of the stability of P(V) porphyrins. However, complexes with different meso- and β-substituents, as well as different axial ligands, demonstrate diverse stability: some of them can be stored at room temperature in the presence of light, other ones slowly decompose even in a fridge under argon in darkness.\textsuperscript{[16]} The same phenomena are observed for the synthetic procedures: reaction yields of the complex formation reaction vary from 13 % to almost 90 %\textsuperscript{[15]}

The first synthesis of P(V) meso-tetraphenylporphyrin derivative was described in 1977 by Carrano and Tsutsui.\textsuperscript{[16]} Approximately at the same time, the synthetic procedure towards P(V) β-octaethylporphyrin was published.\textsuperscript{[9]} Both methods are based on application of the chloride derivatives as the phosphorus source (POCl\textsubscript{3} and PCl\textsubscript{3}). However, these techniques are efficient only for the synthesis of porphyrins containing electron donating substituents, as well as different axial ligands, demonstrate diverse stability: some of them can be stored at room temperature in the presence of light, other ones slowly decompose even in a fridge under argon in darkness.\textsuperscript{[16]} The same phenomena are observed for the synthetic procedures: reaction yields of the complex formation reaction vary from 13 % to almost 90 %\textsuperscript{[15]}

Herein we present the theoretical explanation of the observed phenomena using DFT calculations. The investigation includes both optimization of the compound geometry and building up a map of the charge distribution within the porphyrin P(V) molecule. The obtained data allows to make the correlations between electronic structure of the macrocycle and its ability for phosphorus atom insertion into its cavity as well as the stability of the isolated P(V) porphyrins.

**Experimental**

The calculations were performed with Spartan’14 software (build 1.1.4, Wavefunction Inc.) under Windows 10 operating system. The structures were optimized towards the equilibrium geometry at the energy minimum. The gradient tolerance is $4.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ hartree·bohr\textsuperscript{-1} and the distance tolerance is 1.8·10\textsuperscript{-3} Å. The DFT calculations were performed with B3LYP/6-31G** level of theory. The electrostatic potential maps were plotted in high resolution.

The set of five free-base porphyrins (meso-tetraphenylporphyrin, meso-mono-, di- and tetra-p-pyridyl- as well as meso-mono-p-carboxyphenyl- and mono-p-pyridyldiphenylporphyrin (Figure 1)) was used for evaluation of their ability to coordinate a phosphorus atom into the macrocycle cavity. Two types of the described P(V) porphyrin derivatives with hydroxyl and ethoxyl axial ligands were studied (Figure 1) to estimate their stability.

**Results and Discussion**

Previously we have reported on the synthesis and investigation of the series of the phosphorus(V) meso-porphyrins with the different ratio of the phenyl and p-pyridyl substituents 1b-4b.\textsuperscript{[15,21]}

As mentioned above, the dramatic difference in their behavior was found. We observed the following tendency: increasing of number of the meso-p-pyridyl units decreased efficiency of the phosphorus(V) insertion into the cavity and the general stability of the obtained complexes. In the case of the compound 1b, the yield of complex formation reaction was 86 % and the molecule was stable in solid state under air and daylight. By contrast, the complex 4b was obtained only in yield 13 %; it should be stored under argon in the absence of light and preferably in a fridge. Nevertheless, it slowly decomposes both to the corresponding free-base porphyrin and unrecognized brownish substance, that suggests decomposition of the macrocycle. The porphyrin 5a was not described previously, hence, its P(V) complexes 5b and 5c are also unknown. The evaluation of their properties can help in its further synthesis and characterization.

The described peculiarities of compound stabilities may be related to the geometry of P(V) meso-porphyrins.
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The described peculiarities of compound stabilities may be related to the geometry of P(V) meso-porphyrins.
The crystal structures of the described complexes clearly demonstrate dramatic “ruffled” deformation of the macrocycle ring.[13] Thus, correct modelling of the complex geometry is one of the key points of the current studying. For the geometry optimization we used DFT method (see Experimental section). The obtained results correlate well with the experimental structural data. Backbones of free-base porphyrins have planar geometry, whereas their P(V) complexes are significantly distorted. Several crystal structures of the P(V) complexes were described previously.[13,15] The geometry of 1b and 2b from the experimental data is in good accordance with the corresponding calculated molecules (Figure S1).

One of the main parameters for the evaluation of the macrocycle deformation is an angle between N4 plane of the porphyrin core and C4 planes of the macrocycle periphery. Each C4 plane includes one meso-carbon and three adjacent carbon atoms. Generally, the angle is 0° (i.e. the porphyrin periphery and its inner nitrogen atoms are located within one plane).[22] However, in the case of phosphorus(V) complexes this angle reaches 32° for 1b and 2b porphyrins (Table 1). The calculated values of the angles are in good agreement with the X-ray data. Calculated and experimental values of the key parameters such as P–N and P–O bonds lengths are also similar.

In order to estimate an ability towards the complexation reaction with the phosphorus atom, the electrostatic potential maps of the studied free-base porphyrins were calculated (Figure 2). The value of the potential refers to an interaction energy of a point positive charge and the studied molecule.[23] Thus, in the case of a positive charge located somewhere, this area repulses the positive charge, i.e. the electrostatic potential is positive; in the opposite case, it is negative.

From the Figure 2 it is clear, that the presence of the electron withdrawing substituents, such as pyridyls or carboxyphenyls, leads to decrease of the electronic density on the inner nitrogen atoms of the porphyrin ring. During the metalation reaction, the macrocycle cavity accepts very small (calculated ionic radius is 38 pm)[24] and highly positive charged (+5) phosphorus atom. The usual length of P–N bond is ca. 1.6 Å[22] and the approximate diameter of the cavity is ca. 4 Å.[23] Thus, the complexation process induces the deformation of the planar porphyrin ring. Evidently, decreasing δ-charge on the central nitrogen atoms may hinder the metalation reaction. The calculated electrostatic potentials for the mentioned atoms are –155 kJ/mol (1a), –131 kJ/mol (2a), –127 kJ/mol (3a), –101 kJ/mol (4a) and –115 kJ/mol (5a). Insertion of, at least, one pyridyl unit dramatically decreases an ability towards P(V) coordination reaction, however, the influence of the second unit is not so significant. Addition of the third and fourth pyridyl meso-substituents causes the effect of the same value, as the first one. The calculated data well correlates with the previously described experimental data.[15] Metalation of 2a in comparison with 1a requires more POBr3 (40 eq. instead of 25 eq.) and more time (90 min instead of 80 min); and the reaction yields are 86 % of final product instead of 95 %. The reaction of the compound 3a with 53 eq. of POBr3 in 90 min yields 3b in 69 %, whereas in the case of 4a: 100 eq. of POBr3 during 150 min leads to 4b in 13 %. In the case of unknown 5a we can estimate efficiency of the phosphorus(V) complex formation reaction somewhere between 3a and 4a. The predicted reaction yield can be expected as the value of ~40–50 %.

The electrostatic potential maps of P(V) porphyrin complexes 1b-5b containing hydroxyl ligands in the axial positions are also of interest (Figure 3). Comparison of the calculated potentials of the free-base porphyrins (1a for example, Figure S2) and the corresponding phosphorus(V) complex 1b demonstrate dramatic change of its values within the whole molecule. Positive charge is not located exactly in the center of the complex, but it is delocalized even onto the meso-phenyl units. The electro-

Table 1. Comparison of the calculated and experimental geometry parameters for porphyrins 1b and 2b.[13,15]

| Parameter                        | 1b Crystal data | 1b Calculation | 2b Crystal data | 2b Calculation |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| P–O bonds, Å                     | 1.546(8), 1.644(8) | 1.673          | 1.605(3), 1.627(3) | 1.676          |
| P–N bonds, Å                     | 1.870(10) – 1.916(11) | 1.870 – 1.894 | 1.846(4) – 1.870(4) | 1.846 – 1.889 |
| Angle between N4 and C4 planes   | 20.75° – 32.10° | 24.83° – 26.64° | 25.46° – 32.40° | 25.78° – 28.26° |
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Static potentials of the meso-phenyl hydrogen atoms change from ca. 50–70 kJ/mol to ca. 240–290 kJ/mol. The same effect is observed for other studied compounds (Figure S2). Simultaneously, hydrogen atoms of OH-groups have potential over 450 kJ/mol. The difference with the oxygen atom is 389–391 kJ/mol, that means the “acidic” nature of the corresponding hydrogens. This fact combined with a strength of P–O bond (597 kJ/mol),\textsuperscript{[11]} explains inability to substitute hydroxyl axial ligands with an alkylation/arylation agent; pretreatment with a reactive axial ligand (like Cl– or Br–) is required.\textsuperscript{[21]} This pathway allows further modification of the P(V) porphyrin molecule.

Calculated electrostatic potential maps of diethoxy-axially substituted phosphorus(V) porphyrins are similar to their hydroxyl derivatives (Figure 3). The potential, in general, is 5–10 kJ/mol lower, meaning small compensation effect of the electron donating ethoxyl ligands onto the positively charged complex. It can lead to slight stabilization of the complex, but this effect requires further experimental investigation.

It is worth to note, that the additional reason of the low general stability of the described hydroxy-substituted phosphorus(V) porphyrins within the row 1b > 2b > 3b > 4b may be due to growth in their basicity due to increasing number of pyridyl units. Interactions between them and acidic hydrogens of OH axial ligands may involve deprotonation and further destabilization of the whole phosphorus(V) complex. The ethoxy-protected P(V) complexes may avoid this issue. However, this assumption needs further investigation.

Conclusions

In summary, our results give brief theoretical explanation on the behavior features of such unusual metalloporphyrins, as phosphorus(V) complexes. The powerful DFT technique (B3LYP/6-31G**) provides the informative data about geometry and charge distribution of the studied compounds.

The analysis of the series of similar complexes with different electron-withdrawing meso-substituents allows to determine reasons of significant difference in the efficiency of their synthesis and their general stability. The obtained data can be used for prediction of properties of the unknown P(V) complexes and suggest recommendations towards their synthesis. The described data can be used for an assessment the further synthetic projects within the field of phosphorus(V) porphyrins.
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