Exact form of the exponential correlation function in the glassy super-rough phase
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We consider the random-phase sine-Gordon model in two dimensions. It describes two-dimensional elastic systems with random periodic disorder, such as pinned flux-line arrays, random field XY models, and surfaces of disordered crystals. The model exhibits a super-rough glass phase at low temperature $T < T_c$ with relative displacements growing with distance $r$ as $[(\theta(r) - \theta(0))^2] \sim A(\tau) \ln^2(r/a)$, where $A(r) = 2\pi^2 - 2\pi^2 + O(r^1)$ near the transition and $\tau = 1 - T/T_c$. We calculate all higher cumulants and show that they grow as $[(\theta(r) - \theta(0))^2]^n \sim [2(-1)^{n+1}(2n)!\xi(2n - 1)\gamma^2 + O(\tau^n)]\ln(r/a)$, $n \geq 2$, where $\xi$ is the Riemann $\zeta$ function. By summation, we obtain the decay of the exponential correlation function as $\langle e^{i\theta(r)-\theta(0)} \rangle \simeq (a/r)^{\eta r} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}A(q) \ln^2(r/a))$, where $\eta(q)$ and $A(q)$ are obtained for arbitrary $q \leq 1$ to leading order in $r$. The anomalous exponent is $\eta(q) = cq^2 - q^2\gamma^2[2\gamma_E + \psi(q) + \psi(-q)]$ in terms of the digamma function $\psi$, where $c$ is nonuniversal and $\gamma_E$ is the Euler constant. The correlation function shows a faster decay at $q = 1$, corresponding to fermion operators in the dual picture, which should be visible in Bragg scattering experiments.
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I. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

The random-phase sine-Gordon model is the simplest model to describe the effect of quenched disorder on a periodic elastic system, the so-called random periodic class.1–6 It models a host of experimental systems in presence of substrate imurities, such as charge-density waves, vortex lattices,7,8 random-field XY models,9–11 and smectic liquid crystals12 in situations where topological defects are absent, or can be ignored, allowing for an elastic description. In the simplest situation, the displacement fields from the perfect periodic one-component phase field $\theta(x)$. In a one-dimensional crystal of spacing $a$ with elastic displacements $u(x)$, the phase field is $\theta(x) = G_0 u(x)$, where $G_0 = 2\pi/a$ is the smallest reciprocal lattice vector. In three dimensions, the random-phase sine-Gordon model was used to predict the existence of a Bragg-glass phase, which is a glass pinned by (weak) quenched disorder, but which also retains topological order (no dislocations) and nearly perfect translational order5,8,13–15 called quasiorder. Diffraction experiments16 measure the spatial correlations of the field $e^{i\theta(x)}$ for $q = 1$. In that case, the elastic description predicts a power-law decay of these correlations due to quenched disorder8,13,15 characteristic of a quasiordered phase and leading to divergent Bragg peaks in the experiments. At stronger disorder, the presence of free topological defects is expected to lead to an exponential decay of these correlations with distance, as quasiorder is destroyed. Quasiorder usually arises when the phase field deformations $\theta(x) - \theta(0)$ grow logarithmically with scale $x$, leading to power law scaling for the exponential field $e^{i\theta(x)}$. To probe deeper the properties of the phase field $\theta(x)$ in a quasiperiodic phase, it would be useful to predict, and to measure, these correlations for $q$ not necessarily an integer. One example with $q = 1/2$ would be a spin density wave, e.g., of $XY$ symmetry $S = A e^{i\phi}$, submitted to time-reversal invariant disorder, such as random anisotropy,9 i.e., which couples to $2\phi \equiv \theta$. Arbitrary values of $q$ would allow to probe the probability distribution of the phase deformations $\theta(x) - \theta(0)$ and to characterize the multifractal properties of the exponential field. Here, we restrict to the case of a $d = 2$ dimensional system, leaving the study of $d > 2$ for an upcoming work.18

In two-dimensional periodic systems with quenched disorder, thermal fluctuations play a more important role than in three-dimensional ones. As was discovered in the pioneering work of Cardy and Ostlund,1 they induce a phase transition at some critical temperature $T_c$ to a high-temperature phase where disorder is irrelevant. For $T < T_c$, a glass phase exists in this model, which has been investigated in a number of works.1–4,19,20 A very nice realization of this model in terms of crystal surfaces was described by Toner and DiVincenzo.2 Since it allows, in principle, to measure the exponential correlation for any $q$, we now recall the basic phenomenology of surfaces. Note that another interesting realization of the Cardy-Ostlund model was obtained recently in the context of a smectic with surface disorder.21,22

Perfect crystals are characterized by an ideal lattice. At high temperatures, the thermal motion of the atoms overwhelms the lattice potential, and the crystal melts. In the present article, we consider physical effects that occur at significantly lower temperatures. Consider the atoms at the surface of a crystal, they can more easily be displaced from their equilibrium positions by thermal fluctuations, since they reside at the boundary between the crystal and, usually, a fluid. They feel the periodic potential created by the bulk of the crystal, as well as a more uniform potential from the fluid. At low temperatures, surface atoms are not displaced significantly from their equilibrium positions determined by the bulk potential, the surface is flat, and the atoms are ideally arranged. At higher temperatures, the thermal motion of surface atoms becomes significant, and they are randomly displaced, forming a rough fluctuating surface. The periodic potential is destroyed by thermal effects, a phenomenon known as the roughening transition.23,24

On the other hand, real crystals always experience some kind of disorder that tends to diminish the infinite correlation
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length of the translational order of a perfect crystal. In such situations, atoms at the surface do not longer experience a perfect periodic potential, but rather a disordered one created by the bulk. At high temperatures, the disordered potential is washed out by thermal fluctuations and thus is unimportant for the shape of the surface—the surface is rough. On the contrary, at temperatures $T$ below a critical temperature $T_c$, surface atoms follow the disorder potential, thereby forming a surface that is even rougher. This phase transition is known as the super-roughening transition.\(^5\)

Using surface-sensitive scattering experiments, one can probe the crystal surface. One directly measures the disorder and thermally averaged correlation function

$$C(q,r) := \langle e^{iq[\theta(r)−\theta(0)]} \rangle,$$

where $\theta$ denotes the two-dimensional height field of the surface, in units of $G_0 = 2\pi/a$. The quantity $q$ denotes the component of the wave vector perpendicular to the surface.

In the high-temperature rough phase, at $T > T_c$, the correlation function $C(q,r)$ decays at large distances as a power law $C(q,r) \sim (a/r)^\eta(q)$, where $a$ is the lattice constant. The naive argument is that at high temperatures the fluctuations of the surface are effectively Gaussian, which in two dimensions always produce quasi-long-range order characterized by a power-law decay of $C(q,r)$ and $\eta(q) \sim q^2$. However, despite being an irrelevant operator at high temperatures, the lattice potential still plays an important role and produces in fact a nontrivial result for $\eta(q)$. In their seminal paper, Toner and DiVincenzo\(^2\) calculated $C(q,r)$ in the high-temperature rough phase for $T > T_c$. They obtained the decay as a superposition of power laws $C(q,r) \simeq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{2m}(a/r)^{\eta_m(q)}$ with exponents

$$\eta_m(q) = (c-b)q^2 + b([q-m]^2 + m).$$

At sufficiently large $r$, the smallest power dominates, hence they concluded that the asymptotic exponent $\eta(q)$, for $q > 0$, takes the form

$$\eta(q) = \min_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \eta_m(q) \equiv cq^2 + b([q]^2 + [q](1-2q)),$$

where $[q]$ is the integer part of $q$. The coefficients of Eq. (3) are

$$c = \frac{2T}{T_c}\left(1 + \frac{2\sigma'}{T_c}\right), \quad b = \frac{2T}{T_c}.$$  

They are given in terms of the critical temperature $T_c$ and a nonuniversal off-diagonal disorder $\sigma' \geq 0$ defined in Sec. II. While the coefficient $b$ is a universal function of the temperature, the coefficient $c$ depends on $\sigma'$ and hence is nonuniversal. (It depends on the details of the model at short scales, which can renormalize $\sigma'$.) The form of Eqs. (2) and (3) has a simple interpretation in the picture of the Coulomb gas put forward by Cardy and Ostlund\(^1\) (see also below). In that picture, perturbation theory to order $2p$ in the disorder is equivalent to inserting $p$ pairs of replica $\pm 1$ charges. The vertex operator $e^{iq[\theta(r)−\theta(0)]}$ can itself be seen as inserting a charge $+q$ at $r$ and a charge $-q$ at 0 in the same replica. For $q > 1$, it is then energetically advantageous to screen the vertex operator $e^{iq[\theta(r)−\theta(0)]}$ with 2$m$ replica charges from the disorder leading to Eqs. (2) and (3).\(^25\) As a consequence, $\eta(q)$ always grows with $q$, is quadratic for noninteger $q$, and continuous but with a cusp at integer values of $q$, see Fig. 1. An interesting possibility, suggested by the form of the perturbation theory of Toner and DiVincenzo\(^2\) (i.e., the $A_{2m}$ appear to have alternating signs) is that $C(q,r)$ is an oscillating function, changing sign at integer $q$. A simple toy model with such an oscillating behavior is given in Appendix G. Finally, it is important to note that for a perfect crystal (i.e., in the presence of commensuration only and with no disorder), one has $\eta(q) = b(q-[1/2+q])^2$, i.e., a periodic function of $q$ with cusps at half integers and minima at all integers.\(^2\)

Let us now consider low temperatures, $T < T_c$. In this super-rough phase, the surface becomes even rougher\(^2\) producing a faster decay for $C(q,r)$ as a function of the distance $r$. Although the general form for the decay of $C(q,r)$ was correctly anticipated in Ref. 26 in the context of an $N$-component extension of the random-phase sine-Gordon model, its precise expression, including the value of the exponents, has not yet been obtained. The aim of the present article is to fill this gap and determine the exact form for $C(q,r)$ in the super-rough phase, close to the super-roughening transition. We will show that it takes the form

$$C(q,r) \simeq \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{\eta(q)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}A(q)\ln^2(r/a)\right),$$

characterized by the anomalous exponent $\eta(q)$ and an amplitude $A(q)$. We obtained the exact dependence on $q$ of both quantities, leading order in $r$, the distance from the transition, defined as

$$\tau = 1 - T/T_c.$$  

For $0 < q < 1$, we find, up to terms of order $O(q^3)$,

$$\eta(q) = cq^2 + \bar{\eta}(q),$$

$$\bar{\eta}(q) = -\tau^2q^2[2\gamma_F + \psi(q) + \psi(-q)],$$

$$A(q) = 2q^2\tau^2.$$  

Here, $\psi(q)$ is the digamma function. By $\bar{\eta}(q)$ we denote the universal part of the exponent plotted in Fig. 2; it starts at $O(q^3)$ at small $q$; together with $A(q)$ they are universal. The coefficient $c$ in $\eta(q)$ is nonuniversal, within our cutoff scheme.
The amplitude integer multiples of $A$ and $A^\eta$ function, the exact Gaussian one would have the Gordon Hamiltonian. If the displacement fluctuations were recently calculated in Ref.19 to two loop accuracy, an initial guess for the amplitude may be obtained from the $q<1$. For $q>1$ and $T<T_c$, one naively expects, both for $\eta(q)$ and $A(q)$, additional resonances at wave vectors that are integer multiples of $G_0$, and that the screening mechanism, which operates for $T>T_c$ in Eq. (3), is also important there. While a preliminary study indicates that this is the case, the detailed study of $q>1$ is more complicated and deferred to a future publication. It is interesting to note that the case of integer $q$ is relevant for the system of two-dimensional free fermions in a disordered potential. This model and the present random-phase sine-Gordon model are in correspondence via bosonization. More precisely, $C(q=1,r)$ can be obtained as a four-fermion correlation function. We have performed that calculation, and found the result to agree with our expression (14) for $q=1$. A more general study of $4q$ fermion correlator that would allow us to study higher integer values of $q$ in the correlation function is in progress. The calculation and results will be presented elsewhere.

This article is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we define the model. In Sec. III, we introduce a formalism that enables us to produce a controlled expansion for the correlation function of interest. In Sec. IV, we evaluate this correlation function. This is followed, in Sec. V, by a discussion of the consequences and conclusions. The detailed calculation of several involved integrals and some other technical details are presented in the Appendixes.

II. MODEL AND THE PHASE DIAGRAM

We consider the two-dimensional $XY$ model for a real displacement field $\theta(x) \in (-\infty,\infty)$, without vortices, and in the presence of a random symmetry-breaking field. In the realization of the model as a fluctuating surface of the crystal, $\theta$ describes the two-dimensional height of the surface. We have already considered the same model in an earlier publication; here, we repeat the necessary definitions for the present study. The model is defined via its Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^2x \left[ \frac{\kappa}{2} (\nabla_x \theta)^2 - h \cdot \nabla_x \theta - \frac{1}{a} (\xi \xi + H.c.) \right].$$

(15)

where $\kappa$ is the elastic constant, $a$ the lattice constant that provides a short-length-scale cutoff, and $h(x)$ and $\xi(x)$ are quenched Gaussian random fields, the first one real and the other complex. Their nonzero correlations are given by

$$\bar{h}_i(x)\bar{h}^j(y) = T^2 \frac{\sigma}{2\pi} \delta_i^j \delta(x-y),$$

(16)

$$\bar{\xi}(x)\bar{\xi}(y) = T^2 \frac{g}{2\pi} \delta(x-y),$$

(17)

where $i,j \in \{1,2\}$ denote the components of $h$ and $T$ is the temperature. Note that the disorder $h(x)$ must be introduced as it is generated by the symmetry-breaking field under coarse graining. We denote disorder averages by an overline. Depending on the context, $x$ and $y$ will be used either to denote two-dimensional coordinates (as in the previous equations) or as their norms, i.e., $|x|$ stands either for $x$ or $|x|$. We emphasize here that the argument of the exponent in Eq. (15) should in principle be $e^{G_0 \theta}$ with $G_0$ being the smallest nonzero reciprocal lattice vector of the crystal normal to its surface under consideration. For simplicity, we have set it to unity, thus measuring the displacement field in units of $1/G_0$. We use the replica method to treat the disorder. Introducing the replicated fields $h_{\alpha,\alpha} = 1, \ldots, n$, where by greek indices $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$, we denote replica indices, the replicated Hamiltonian
reads
\[ H^{\text{rep}} = H_0^{\text{rep}} + H_1^{\text{rep}}, \] (18)
with the harmonic part
\[ \frac{H_0^{\text{rep}}}{T} = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \int d^2x \left\{ \frac{k}{2\ell} \delta_{\alpha\beta} [\nabla_\alpha \theta_\alpha]^2 + m^2(\theta_\alpha)^2 \right\} - \frac{\sigma}{4\pi} \nabla_\alpha \theta_\alpha \cdot \nabla_\beta \theta_\beta \right\}. \] (19)

The mass \( m \) is introduced as an infrared cutoff. We perform calculations with finite \( m \) and study the limit \( m \to 0 \) at the end. The system size is infinite throughout the paper. The temperature measures the distance from the critical super-roughening point, and study the limit \( \ell = -\ln m \), In terms of the scale this implies that \( \theta_\alpha \) reads

\[ \frac{H_1^{\text{rep}}}{T} = -\frac{g}{2\pi a^2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \int d^2x \cos(\theta_\alpha - \theta_\beta). \] (20)

We start by computing the correlation function for the harmonic part (19), i.e., for \( g = 0 \). One obtains
\[ G_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \langle \theta_\alpha(0) \theta_\beta(0) \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} G(x) + G_0(x), \] (21)
where \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) denotes an average over thermal fluctuations, while at small distances \( |x| \ll m^{-1} \) we obtain
\[ G(x) = -(1 - \tau) \ln [\epsilon^2 m^2 x^2 + a^2}], \] (22)
\[ G_0(x) = -2\sigma(1 - \tau)^2 \ln [\epsilon^2 m^2 x^2 + a^2]}. \] (23)
Here, we have introduced the ultraviolet regularization by the parameter \( a \) and \( \epsilon = e^{\alpha/2} \) with \( \gamma_E \) being the Euler constant. The dimensionless parameter
\[ \tau = 1 - T/T_c \] (24)
measures the distance from the critical super-roughening temperature
\[ T_c = 4\pi \kappa. \] (25)

The model studied here possesses an important symmetry, the statistical tilt symmetry (STS), i.e., the nonlinear part \( H_1^{\text{rep}} \) is invariant under the change \( \theta_\alpha(x) \to \theta_\alpha(x) + \phi(x) \) for an arbitrary function \( \phi(x) \). As discussed in many works, this implies that \( G_0(x) \) does not appear to any order in perturbation theory in \( g \) in the calculation of, e.g., the effective action.19

Let us summarize the one-loop renormalization group equations for the model (15).1,3,4,19 In terms of the scale \( \ell := -\ln m \), they read
\[ \frac{d\tau}{d\ell} = 0, \] (26)
\[ \frac{dg_R}{d\ell} = 2\tau g_R - 2g_R^2, \] (27)
\[ \frac{d\sigma_R}{d\ell} = \frac{1}{2} g_R^2, \] (28)
where the subscript \( R \) denotes the renormalized parameters that flow with the scale. Equation (26) is an exact result at all orders due to the above mentioned STS. We also see that the parameter \( \sigma_R \) does not enter any equation (again due to STS), apart from being created by \( g_R \) as one goes to larger scales. From Eq. (27), one reads off that the critical temperature is at \( \tau = 0 \). For \( T > T_c \), we have scaling of \( g_R \) to zero at large scales, which denotes the rough phase. At low temperatures \( T < T_c \), one finds a line of nonzero fixed points for \( g_R \) that determines the super-rough phase. We see from the above scaling equations that \( \sigma_R \) grows unboundedly at all scales due to the nonzero fixed-point value for \( g_R \); hence \( \sigma_R \) increases the two-point correlation function from a logarithmic behavior in the high-temperature phase to a super-rough square-logarithmic form for low temperatures.2

In Fig. 3, we show the phase diagram for the model (15). The equations at two-loop order have been derived and studied in Ref. 19. They do not quantitatively change the conclusions from the one-loop analysis, but give further insight into the two-point correlation function in the low-temperature phase.

### III. GENERATOR OF CONNECTED CORRELATIONS

The main goal of the present study is to compute the exponential correlation function
\[ C(q,r) = \langle e^{iq[(\theta(r)-\theta(0))]} \rangle_H = \langle e^{iq[(\theta(r)-\theta(0))]} \rangle_{H_{\text{rep}}} \] (29)
for the model (15) in the low-temperature phase. For actual calculations, we use the last expression in Eq. (29) that is obtained using replicas. By \( \gamma \) we denote a particular replica index.

In order to calculate the correlation function (29), it is useful to calculate the generator of connected correlations \( W(J) \). It is defined as
\[ e^{W(J)} = \int \mathcal{D}[\psi] e^{-iH(\psi)/T + J\psi}, \] (30)
where \( H(\psi)/T = H_0(\psi)/T + gV(\psi) \) is the reduced Hamiltonian. Here, \( H_0 \) is the quadratic part and \( V \) some perturbation. After performing the shift of the field as \( \psi = \chi + GJ \), one obtains
\[ H_0(\psi) - \phi(\psi) = H_0(\chi) - H_0(GJ), \]
where \( G \) is the propagator defined by \( H_0/T = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi(G^{-1}\psi) \rangle \) where we use the compact notation. Equation (30) then becomes
\[ e^{W(J)} = \int \mathcal{D}[\chi] \exp \left\{ -H_0(\chi)/T + H_0(GJ)/T - gV(GJ + \chi) \right\} = \mathcal{Z}_0 \exp \left\{ \frac{H_0(GJ)/T}{T} \right\} \langle \exp[-gV(GJ + \chi)] \rangle^T. \] (31)
Using the cumulant expansion, we obtain a perturbative expansion for \( W(J) \) that reads

\[
W(J) = \ln Z_0 + \frac{H_0(GJ)}{T} - g(V(GJ + \chi))^x + \frac{g^2}{2} (V(GJ + \chi))_x^2 + O(g^3).
\]

(32)

In the following, we calculate a perturbative expansion for the functional \( W(J) \) using the previous expression (32). Having evaluated \( W(J) \), one can immediately obtain all connected correlation functions by differentiating the left- and right-hand sides of its defining equation [equivalent to Eq. (30)]

\[
W(J) = \ln Z + \ln(\exp(J\varphi))_H
\]

(33)

an arbitrary cumulant of terms with respect to the source field \( J \) and setting \( J \) to zero at the end. For potentials that are even, i.e., when \( V(\varphi) = V(-\varphi) \), only terms with an even number of \( \varphi \) fields have nonzero correlations.

### A. Expressions for \( W(J) \)

Using the derived formula (32) and applying it to our replicated Hamiltonian (18), we obtain

\[
W(J) = \ln Z_0 + \frac{H_0^{rep}(GJ)}{T} + W_1 + W_2 + O(g^3),
\]

(34)

where the term \( W_j \) comes from the corresponding term of Eq. (32) proportional to \( g^j \). Either directly calculating or using the obtained results for the effective action from Ref. 19 and the correspondence from Appendix B, we obtain the final results for \( W_1 \) and \( W_2 \). We note that in models that have STS it was shown that the two-replica parts of the functional \( W \) and of the effective action \( \Gamma \) are identical up to the replacement \( \theta \rightarrow GJ \), see Appendix B.

The lowest order term reads

\[
W_1 = \frac{g}{2\pi a^2}e^{G(0)}\sum_{a^2} \int d^2x \cos \left\{ \int d^2y G(x - y)[J_0(y) - J_\beta(y)] \right\} = 0.
\]

(35)

The last line is obtained in the limit we need, that is \( m \rightarrow 0 \), when the power law \( e^{G(0)} = (c^2/m^2 a^2)^{1-\tau} \) compensates the logarithmic divergence of \( G(x) \) under the cosine. The second order term \( W_2 \) contains several different contributions. In Appendix A, we give a complete expression. Here, we use only the final result taken in the limit \( m \rightarrow 0 \). It reads

\[
W_2 = \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2 a^2} \sum_{a^2} \int d^2x d^2y \left[ \frac{a^2}{(x - y)^2 + a^2} \right]^{2(1-\tau)} \times \cos \left\{ \int d^2z \left[ (1 - \tau) \ln \left( \frac{(y - z)^2 + a^2}{(x - z)^2 + a^2} \right) \right] \times [J_0(z) - J_\beta(z)] \right\}.
\]

(36)

### B. Special source field

Using a source field of the form

\[
J_\alpha(x) = \frac{i q}{\pi} \delta (x - r) - \delta (x) \delta \alpha \gamma,
\]

(37)

in Eq. (33), we obtain a relation between the correlation function (29) and the functional \( W(J_\alpha) \). It reads

\[
\left\langle e^{i q \theta(\gamma - r)} J_\alpha \right\rangle_H = \frac{1}{Z} e^{W(J_\alpha)}.
\]

(38)

The left-hand side of Eq. (38) is the correlation function \( C(q,r) \), while the right-hand side of it should be evaluated. Here, we perform a perturbative calculation in the anharmonic coupling [defined in Eq. (20)] of \( W(J_\alpha) \), using the expansion (34).

For the source field (37), the lowest-order term in the expansion of \( W(J_\alpha) \) becomes

\[
W_0 = \frac{H_0^{rep}(GJ)}{T} = \sum_{a^2} \int d^2x d^2y J_\alpha(x) \frac{G_{a^2}(x - y)}{2} J_\beta(y)
\]

\[
= -q^2(1 - \tau)[1 + 2(1 - \tau)\sigma] \ln \left( \frac{r^2 + a^2}{a^2} \right).
\]

(39)

A graphical interpretation is given in Fig. 4.

The second nonzero term of \( W(J_\alpha) \) is obtained by employing the source field (37) in Eq. (36) and by making use of the summation formula over replica indices \( \sum_{a^2} \cos [A(\delta_{\alpha\gamma} - \delta_{\beta\gamma})] \), which is coded with a white and black circle, joined by a dashed line. There has to be as many black as white squares that “attract” for opposite signs, leading to a divergent contribution as their distance goes to zero. This allows for an intuitive visualization of the corresponding divergences in the perturbation expansion.
It is an even function of \(q\), and as a function of \(r/a\), provided it is convergent.

Finally, using Eqs. (34), (39), (40), and (38), as well as the result \(Z_0/Z = 1\) valid in the replica limit \(n \to 0\), we obtain the final (yet unevaluated) result for the correlation function (29),

\[
C(q,r) = \exp \left\{ -q^2 (1 - \tau) [1 + 2(1 - \tau)\sigma] \ln \left( \frac{r^2 + a^2}{a^2} \right) \right\} \times \exp \left\{ -g^2 \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^{4\tau} I(r,a,q,\tau) \right\} \exp(\mathcal{O}(q^3)). \tag{42}
\]

Equations (41) and (42) are the starting point of the evaluation of the correlation function (29). One should read them as the result of perturbation theory to leading order in the bare coupling \(g\). However, if we re-express \(g\) in terms of the renormalized one \(g_R\), we obtain the result to leading order in \(\tau\), the distance from the transition. They are connected by the relation\(^{19}\) \(g = g_R[1 + \mathcal{O}(\tau)]\). In the massless limit, equivalently, at large distances, \(r \gg a\), from the renormalization group equation (27), the renormalized coupling reaches its fixed point value \(\tau = \mathcal{O}(\tau^2)\), hence we can replace \(g \to \tau + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2)\) in the evaluation of the large distance behavior. The behavior of \(g_R\) at intermediate scales, before reaching the fixed point, causes some nonuniversal behavior. It is easy to see, however, that it is confined to subdominant terms, such as the part of \(\ln(r/a)\) that is proportional to \(q^2\). As a consequence of flow of \(g_R\) toward the fixed point, the off-diagonal disorder becomes changed at large scales. Therefore by \(\sigma'\) we denote the nonuniversal parameter that characterizes the off-diagonal disorder. We note that, to lowest order in \(g\), we have \(\sigma' = \sigma\). In order to emphasize the difference between the bare parameter \(\sigma\), defined in Eq. (16), and the effective one at large scales \(\sigma'\), we keep \(\sigma'\) in final formulas, e.g., in Eq. (10).

Thus, to leading order, we only need the integral \(I(r,a,q,\tau = 0)\), that is a function of the ratio \(r/a\) and \(q\) only. It is clear from the definition (41) that for \(\tau = 0\) this integral is convergent for any \(q\): it is ultraviolet convergent thanks to the cutoff \(a\) and infrared convergent thanks to the subtraction of 1. There are, however, ultraviolet divergences when \(a \to 0\), which lead to a logarithmic behavior, and which will be analyzed in the next section. Here we want to point out that while for \(|q| < 1\) they come from the first power law factor, there is an additional ultraviolet divergence arising from the second factor (containing \(r\)) when \(q = 1\). Hence we will mainly restrict to \(q \leq 1\), and discuss separately the cases \(|q| < 1\) and \(q = 1\). It makes physical sense that at \(q = 1\) (and in general any integer \(q\)) the correlation function changes nonsmoothly, as was already the case in high-temperature phase [see the results (3) of Toner and DiVincenzo.\(^{2}\)]

IV. EVALUATION OF THE CORRELATION FUNCTION

In this section, we evaluate the correlation function \(C(q,r)\) defined by Eq. (29), using its form (42), for general values of the parameter \(q\) in the region \(0 < q \leq 1\). To achieve this, our task is to calculate the integral of Eq. (41). Exact evaluation of that integral is rather difficult. However, we only need the most dominant term for large \(r/a\), when the expression of Eq. (41) is expected to take a universal form.

We calculate the integral using two different methods. The first one, which we term the finite-\(a\) method, works directly at \(\tau = 0\), but with a nonzero ultraviolet cutoff \(a > 0\). However, we are not able to obtain all the results using this finite-\(a\) method. Hence we also use dimensional regularization, which we term the dimensional method; it is quite powerful, although a little delicate in its interpretation. In that method, one works directly at \(a = 0\), but keeps \(\tau > 0\), which renders the integral convergent. From the poles in \(1/\tau\), one extracts the desired information. A careful comparison between the obtained results is performed at the end.

A. Finite-\(a\) method

We start the evaluation by setting \(\tau = 0\) and keeping \(a\) finite in Eq. (41). This is justified as we have \(I(r,a,q,\tau) = I(r,a,q,0) + \mathcal{O}(\tau)\), so to lowest order in the distance from the transition, we only need \(I(r,a,q,0)\), as discussed above.

For small \(q\), we expand it into a Taylor series as

\[
I(r,a,q,0) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{2j} I_j(r/a), \tag{44}
\]

where we have defined the family of integrals

\[
I_j(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2(2j)!} \int d^2 x d^2 y \frac{1}{[1 + (x - y)^2]^j} \times \ln^{2j} \left[ \frac{(x - r)^2 + 1}{(y - r)^2 + 1} \right]. \tag{45}
\]

The expansion (44) contains only even powers of \(q\), a consequence of the parity \(I(r,a,q,0) = I(r,a,-q,0)\). A rather involved evaluation of the lowest-order term \(I_1(r)\) of Eq. (44) is presented in Appendix D. At small \(q\), the result reads

\[
I(r,a,q,0) = q^2 \ln^2 \left( \frac{r}{a} \right) + q^2 \ln \left( \frac{r}{a} \right) + r(q), \tag{46}
\]

where the even function \(r(q)\) at small \(q\) starts with a term proportional to \(q^4\) and contains all the desired higher-order terms proportional to \(q^{2j}, j \geq 2\). To determine \(r(q)\) defined in Eq. (46), we begin by a numerical evaluation. In Fig. 5, we show the results for \(I_j(r)\) for \(j = 2, 3, 4, 5\) and \(I_1(r)\) appear to be on a straight line on a log-log plot, which shows that the two functions are well described by a logarithm \(\ln(r)\) with \(j\)-dependent prefactors \([2\zeta(3)j] 2(2j)\) in the present case. This leaves us with a hint that all \(I_j(r)\) for \(j > 1\) might asymptotically have a logarithmic behavior in the limit \(r \gg 1\). That would determine the anomalous exponent for the \(C(q,r)\) correlation function.

We gain further knowledge about \(I(r,a,q,0)\) by considering the special case \(q = 1\). The calculation presented in Appendix D reveals the result

\[
I(r,a,1.0) = 3 \ln^2 \left( \frac{r}{a} \right) - 2 \ln \left( \frac{r}{a} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{a^2}{r^2} \right). \tag{47}
\]
EXACT FORM OF THE EXPONENTIAL CORRELATION...

B. Dimensional method

To evaluate Eq. (41) using the dimensional method, we take the limit $a \to 0$ of the integrand, i.e., we consider

$$ I(r,a,q,\tau) = I(r,0,q,\tau) + O(a^2) $$

$$ = I(1,0,q,\tau) + O(a^2), $$

where the second line is a trivial consequence of rescaling the coordinates by $r$, provided the integral is convergent. For $\tau > 0$, the integral $I(1,0,q,\tau)$ is ultraviolet convergent as long as $|q/(1-\tau)| < 1$; it remains infrared convergent if $\tau$ is not too large ($\tau < 1/2$). We thus first discuss $|q| < 1$. From the poles of the evaluated expression in the limit $\tau \to 0$, we will infer the behavior of the integral at nonzero $a$, as is shown below. We rewrite

$$ I(1,0,q,\tau) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} J(q(1-\tau),\tau), $$

where we defined

$$ J(p,\tau) := \text{FP} \int d^2x \, d^2y \, |x-y|^{d(\tau-1)} \left( |x-e| |y-e| |x-y| \right)^{2p}. $$

Here, $e$ is an arbitrary unit vector, and FP means “finite part” in the sense of dimensional regularization [in some domain of $q$, this finite part is achieved by the subtraction 1 in Eq. (41)], however, it can be given a more general meaning in terms of analytical continuation in the parameters $q, \tau$. The evaluation of the ensuing $2 \times 2$-dimensional integral is complicated and the details are presented in Appendix C. Let us recall here the main idea, which goes back to Dotsenko and Fateev:36,37 the integral (54) can be thought of as an integral over the complex plane, both for $x$ and $y$. The integral over, say, $x$ over the complex plane can be decomposed into two real contour integrals, over $x$ and its complex-conjugate $\bar{x}$. Noting that (54) can be written in the form

$$ \int d^2x \, d^2y \, (1-x)^p y^p x^{2\tau-1} (1-y)^{2(\tau-1)} (1-xy)^{-p} \times (1-\bar{x})^p \bar{y}^{2\tau-1} (1-\bar{y})^{2(\tau-1)} (1-\bar{x}\bar{y})^{-p}, \quad (55) $$

it is suggestive that deforming the contour integrals over $x$ and $\bar{x}$ to lie on the real axis, the resulting integral will take the form

$$ J(p,\tau) = \sum_i B_i J_i'. $$

The $B_i$’s are phase factors—typically deforming a contour around a branch cut gives a sine-function of $2\pi$ times the power at the branch cut. The $J_i$ are second-generation hypergeometric functions $3F_2$, e.g., the first line of Eq. (55) will naturally lead to

$$ J_i = \int_0^1 \, dx \int_0^1 \, dy \, (1-x)^p y^p x^{2\tau-1} (1-y)^{2(\tau-1)} (1-xy)^{-p}. $$

Note that the integral above is restricted, both for $x$ and $y$, to the interval $[0,1]$, but the domains $[-\infty,0]$ and $[1,\infty]$ also contribute, the latter can then be transformed back to the interval $[0,1]$, leading to integrals of the same form, but with different coefficients. This form can be recognized in the exact result given in Appendix C, formula (C3).

The final result for $0 < q < 1$ and small $\tau$ reads

$$ I(1,0,q,\tau) = \frac{q^2}{8\pi^2} + \frac{q^2 [1-2\gamma_E - \psi(q) - \psi(-q)]}{4\pi} + O(q^0), $$

where $\psi(x) = \Gamma'(x)/\Gamma(x)$ is the digamma function. The coefficients of the poles in Eq. (56) contain all information needed for the determination of the anomalous exponent (48) and the amplitude (49). The precise method to extract the information from these poles is given in the Appendix E.1. Here we give a more intuitive derivation.

Starting from Eq. (40), which is contained in the correlation function (42), we expand $(r/a)^z I(r,a,q,\tau)$ at small $r$. Using
Eqs. (52) and (56), for $0 < q < 1$, we find the result
\[ \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^{4r} I(r, a, q, \tau) = q^2 \ln \left( \frac{r}{a} \right) + \eta(q) \ln \left( \frac{r}{a} \right) + O(\tau), \]
(57)
where the prefactor of the logarithmic term, i.e., the nontrivial part of the anomalous exponent, reads
\[ \eta(q) = q^2 \left[ 1 - 2\gamma_E - \psi(q) - \psi(-q) \right]. \]
(58)
Equation (57) could also be understood as the final expression for $I(r, a, q, \tau = 0)$ obtained via the dimensional method. At small $q$, one can notice the agreement between the two results (46) and (57) that are obtained using quite different methods.

The expression (57) should be taken with special attention. The translation of the dimensional-method result (56) by performing a naive expansion at small $\tau$ of the left-hand side of Eq. (57) would contain extra terms,
\[ \frac{q^2}{8\pi^2} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ 2q^2 \ln \left( \frac{r}{a} \right) + \eta(q) \right], \]
(59)
on the right-hand side. These two terms are divergent for $\tau \to 0$. The terms (59) formally appear only because we performed a small $a$ expansion in Eq. (52), i.e., we set $a = 0$ in the integrand. The left-hand side of Eq. (57) should be finite at $\tau = 0$, and thus the right-hand one as well. Therefore the extra terms (59) should be omitted when one relates the dimensional result (56) to the expression for $I(r, a, q, \tau = 0)$. We again emphasize that a more rigorous derivation is given in Appendix E1, while in Appendix E2 we give an illustrative example.

V. FINAL RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

Using Eqs. (42) and (57), we obtain the final result for the correlation function
\[ C(q, r) = \left( e^{q[\theta(r) - \theta(0)]} \right)_H, \]
(60)
at $q \leq 1$, for the model (15). It takes the form
\[ C(q, r) \approx \left( \frac{a}{r} \right)^{\eta(q)} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} A(q) \ln^2(r/a) \right], \]
(61)where the amplitude is given by
\[ A(q) = \begin{cases} 2q^2 \tau^2 + O(\tau^3), & q < 1 \\ 6r^2 + O(\tau^3), & q = 1. \end{cases} \]
(62)
Here and below, we use the replacement $g \to r$ as discussed in Eq. (43), i.e., the fixed point of the renormalization group.

The anomalous exponent of Eq. (61) reads
\[ \eta(q) = 2q^2(1 - \tau)[1 + 2(1 - \tau)\sigma'] + \tau^2 \eta(q) + O(\tau^3), \]
(63)where its nontrivial part determined by the anharmonic coupling reads
\[ \eta(q) = \begin{cases} q^2[1 - 2\gamma_E - \psi(q) - \psi(-q)], & q < 1 \\ -2, & q = 1. \end{cases} \]
(64)
Here, $\gamma_E$ is the Euler constant. This produces the result (7) displayed in the Introduction where we have separated the universal part, $\tilde{\eta}(q)$, of $\eta(q)$ that starts at order $q^4$, from the part proportional to $q^2$ that is nonuniversal.

The anomalous exponent $\eta(q)$ rapidly increases as $q$ increases from zero to one. Thus $C(q, r) > C(q_2, r)$ for $0 < q_1 < q_2 < 1$, meaning the correlation function decreases as $q$ increases. When $q$ approaches unity, $\eta(q) \approx 1/(1 - q)$ becomes very large and makes the correlation function $C(q, r)$ decay much faster, see Eq. (61). This is a precursor of the more drastic effect that happens at $q = 1$ where the amplitude $A(q)$ jumps from (2$q^2\tau^2$ at $q \to 1$ to $6r^2$ at $q = 1$). These dips in the correlation function $C(q, r)$ are a remarkable and unique feature of the super-rough phase. Note that near $q = 1$ there is a growing length scale
\[ \xi_q \approx a \exp \left( \frac{1}{1 - q} \right), \]
(65)below which the $\eta(q) \ln^2(r/a)$ term in the exponential in (61) is larger than the asymptotic $\ln^2(r/a)$ term.

Our result (64) can also be expanded at small $q$ as
\[ \eta(q) = q^2 + 2\zeta(3)q^4 + 2\zeta(5)q^6 + O(q^8). \]
(66)
This expansion precisely determines the prefactors for the family of integrals of Eq. (45). For $j \geq 2$, we find $I_j(r) = 2\zeta(2j - 1) \ln(r) + O(r^0)$, which explains the data of Fig. 5. This provides a confirmation that we have correctly extracted the amplitudes from the dimensional method (at least for small $q$).

Our result for the correlation function (60) enables us to calculate the leading large-distance behavior of all higher powers of the connected correlation functions in the super-rough phase, i.e., for $T < T_c$, (see Fig. 3). Using the cumulant expansion formula $\ln \langle A(r) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle A^j \rangle_c / j!$, after expanding (the logarithm of) Eqs. (60) and (61) at small $q$, one obtains
\[ \langle \theta(r) - \theta(0) \rangle^{2j-1}_c = 0, \]
(67)for $j > 1$. On the contrary, for $j = 1$ one finds
\[ \langle \theta(r) - \theta(0) \rangle^2 = 2\tau^2 \ln^2 \left( \frac{r}{a} \right) + O \left( \ln^2 \left( \frac{r}{a} \right) \right), \]
(69)Expression (69) is the well-known result\(^{4,19,20}\) for the model (15). This is yet another way of obtaining the result that produced some controversies in the past, as discussed in Ref. 4. Confirming a recently obtained correction\(^{19}\) to the prefactor of the squared logarithm in Eq. (69), using the present method would require explicit evaluation of the $O(q^3)$ term in Eq. (42), which is a formidable task beyond the scope of the present study.

Our main results directly apply to some physical systems, in particular to surfaces of crystals with quenched bulk disorder\(^2\) or to a vortex lattice confined to a plane.\(^3\) In particular, the structure factor $S(q, k) = \int d^2r \, C(q, r) \exp(i k \cdot r)$ in the super-rough phase at $k = 0$ is analytic and finite. However, we predict that it has sudden dips once the wave vector $q$ becomes
an integer multiple of the reciprocal lattice vector normal to the surface \( G_0 \) (set to unity here) of the bulk crystal; we expect it to have characteristic jumps in the amplitude and the anomalous exponent not only at \( q = 1 \) but rather at any integer \( q \).

To summarize, the main results of the present study are given by Eqs. (60)–(64), or equivalently Eq. (7). They describe the behavior of the exponential correlation function in the super-rough phase, defined by Eq. (60). We found a characteristic universal jump of the amplitude of the squared logarithmic term, at \( q = 1 \), which occurs in conjunction with the drop of the anomalous exponent. The value of the amplitude at \( q = 1 \) is in agreement with the result in the equivalent fermionic disordered model and will be published elsewhere.\(^{27}\)

It would be very interesting to perform a numerical simulation, along the lines of Ref. 20, to test the results of the present work.
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APPENDIX A: \( W_2 \) TERM

In this Appendix, we provide the complete expression for the second-order term \( W_2 \) of the generator of connected correlations, see Eq. (34). It reads

\[
W_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{g}{2\pi a^2} \right)^2 e^{-2G(0)} \times \sum_{\alpha \beta} \sum_{x} \int d^2x d^2y \left\{ \frac{1}{2} A_1(x - y) \delta_{\alpha\beta} \cos[(G J_{\alpha\beta})(x)] + A_2^\pm(x - y) \cos[(G J_{\alpha\beta})(x) - \sigma G(x)] \right\},
\]

where

\[
A_1(x) = 4[2 - e^{-G(x)} - e^{G(x)}],
\]

\[
A_2^+(x) = 1 + e^{2G(x)} - 2 e^{G(x)},
\]

\[
A_2^-(x) = 2[e^{G(x)} - 1].
\]

Here we introduced the abbreviation

\[
(G J_{\alpha\beta})(x) \equiv \int d^2 y G(x - y) [J_\alpha(y) - J_\beta(y)].
\]

The difference of propagators in the previous equation is well behaved in the limit \( m \to 0 \). Using the propagator (22), we eventually obtain the expression (36) of the main text.

APPENDIX B: CONNECTION BETWEEN THE EFFECTIVE ACTION \( \Gamma \) AND \( W \)

The final formula (32) resembles the expression (A17) of Ref. 19 for the effective action, provided in the latter, one omits all the terms that explicitly contain the propagator \( G \) and one multiplies all the terms in Eq. (A17) by a factor \(-1\) apart from the harmonic part \( S_0 \) (corresponding to \( H_0/T \) in the present work). We note that in general, in models that have STS, the two-replica parts of the functional \( W \) and \( \Gamma \) are identical up to the replacement of the form \( \theta \to G J \) and a global minus sign, see Eqs. (89) and (90) of Ref. 33.

For the model studied in the present work, we calculated the effective action \( \Gamma \) in Ref. 19; the term \( \Gamma_2 \) proportional to \( g^2 \) is given by Eq. (25) there. We notice that in Ref. 19 we used restricted summation over replica indices (all replica indices different), while in the present work we do not impose such restriction. As a consequence, if one wants to use the theorem of similarity between the functionals \( W \) and \( \Gamma \),\(^{33,35}\) one should transform the expression for \( \Gamma \) to contain summations over unrestricted replica indices only, before applying the theorem. On the contrary, if one directly uses the expression for \( \Gamma_2 \), Eq. (25) of Ref. 19, its two-replica part corresponds to the two replica part of Eq. (A1), provided one omits the linear term \(-p G(x)\) in \( \Gamma_2 \) and performs the replacement \( \theta \to G J \), or written more explicitly:

\[
\theta_\alpha(x) \to \sum_\gamma \int d^2 y G_{\alpha\gamma}(x - y) J_\gamma(y),
\]

\[
\theta_\alpha(x) - \theta_\beta(x) \to \int d^2 y [G(x - y) J_\alpha(y) - J_\beta(y)]
\equiv (G J_{\alpha\beta})(x).
\]

In the last expression, we explicitly used the fact that \((G J_{\alpha\beta})(x) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} G(x) + \hat{G}(x)\), so that only the diagonal \(\sigma\)-dependent part survives. This is one of the manifestations of STS where we explicitly see through (32) that all corrections to the correlation functions that come due to the anharmonic term (proportional to \( g \)) are \( \sigma \) independent.

APPENDIX C: INTEGRAL \( I(\tau,a = 0,q,\tau) \)

In this Appendix, we analyze and for \( \tau > 0 \) calculate the integral \( I(1,0,q,\tau) \) defined in Eqs. (41) and (52); it can be written as

\[
I(1,0,q,\tau) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} J(q(1 - \tau),\tau).
\]

The integral of the type (54) was studied in Refs. 38 and 39 in the context of correlation functions in a two-dimensional Ising model. It can be expressed in terms of the hypergeometric
functions, defined as

$$pF_q(a_1, \ldots, a_p; b_1, \ldots, b_q; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!},$$

(C2)

where $(a)_k = \Gamma(a + k)/\Gamma(a)$, while $p$ and $q$ are positive integers. In the following we use results from Ref. 39 (which are equivalent to those of Ref. 38 once one employs some identities between the hypergeometric functions). It is important to note that the integral $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^2(\pi p \tau)}{2(\pi p \tau)^2} \left( \cos(2\pi p \tau) + \cos(2\pi p + 4\pi \tau) \right) - 2B(1 - p, 1 + p) B(1 - p, 1 - 2\tau)^2$

where $B(x, y)$ is the beta function. We need a simplified form of Eq. (C3) valid at small values of $\tau$.

The excess of the hypergeometric function $3F_2(a, b, c; d, e; z)$ is defined as $d + e - a - b - c$ and for $z = 1$ it has to be positive in order for $3F_2(a, b, c; d, e; z = 1)$ to be defined by its series (C2). A naive expansion

$$3F_2(1 - p, 1 - 2\tau, 2 - 2\tau; 2, 2 - 2 - 2\tau; 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_k (1)_k (2 - 2\tau)_k}{(1 - p, 1 - 2\tau)_k (2 - 2\tau)_k} \frac{1}{k!}$$

(C4)

one obtains the following result valid at $p < 1$,

$$\frac{J(p, \tau)}{4\pi^2} = -\frac{\pi^2 p^2 [\cos(2\pi p + 4\pi \tau) + \cos(2\pi p) - 2]}{8 \sin^2(\pi p) \sin^2(\pi p + 2\pi \tau)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_k (2 - 2\tau)_k}{(1 - p, 1 - 2\tau)_k (2 - 2\tau)_k} \frac{1}{k!}$$

(C5)}
The expression (C6) has a positive excess in the hypergeometric functions under the two conditions \( \tau > 0 \) and \( p < 1 \). The main advantage, however, of Eq. (C6) is that it is more convenient for Taylor expansion around \( \tau = 0 \). We need to expand the two hypergeometric functions of Eq. (C6). The first one, \( 3F_2(1 + p, 1 - 2\tau, 2\tau; 1, 1; 1) \), can be expanded safely, since it is well-defined in the expansion limit \( \tau \to 0 \) for \( p < 1 \). One obtains

\[
3F_2(1 + p, 1 - 2\tau, 2\tau; 1, 1; 1) = 1 + \frac{1}{p}\left[ -\gamma_E - \psi(-p) + \frac{1}{p} \right]\tau + O(\tau^2). \tag{C7}
\]

We employed the Gauss relation \( _2F_1(a, b; c; 1) = \Gamma(c)\Gamma(c - a - b)/\Gamma(c - a)\Gamma(c - b) \) and used the fact that \( 3F_2 \) reduces to \( _2F_1 \) for \( \tau = 0 \), see the definition (C2). However, the expansion of the second hypergeometric function of Eq. (C6), \( 3F_2(p, 1 + p, 2\tau; p + 2\tau, 1 + p + 2\tau; 1) \), cannot be done directly, since for \( \tau = 0 \) it has zero excess. To overcome this problem, we use the following relation:\(^{41}\)

\[
3F_2(p, 1 + p, 2\tau; p + 2\tau, 1 + p + 2\tau; 1) = \frac{(1 + p)(1 + 2\tau)}{1 + p + 2\tau} 3F_2(p, 1 + p, 2\tau; p + 2\tau, 1 + p + 2\tau; 1) + \frac{p(1 - 2\tau)}{p + 2\tau} 3F_2(p, 1 + p, 2\tau; 1 + p + 2\tau, 1 + p + 2\tau; 1), \tag{C8}
\]

which connects \( 3F_2(p, 1 + p, 2\tau; p + 2\tau, 1 + p + 2\tau; 1) \) with two other hypergeometric functions that have an excess of 1 + \( \tau \) and hence are well defined for \( \tau = 0 \). One then obtains for the expansion

\[
3F_2(p, 1 + p, 2\tau; p + 2\tau, 1 + p + 2\tau; 1) = 2 + \frac{1}{p}\left[ 2\gamma_E + 2\psi(p) + \frac{1}{p} \right]\tau + O(\tau^2). \tag{C9}
\]

Combining Eqs. (C7), (C9), and (C6), one obtains the expanded form of Eq. (C3),

\[
\frac{J(p, \tau)}{4\pi^2} = \frac{p^2}{8\pi^2} + \frac{p^2}{4\pi} \left[ 2 - 2\gamma_E - \psi(p) - \psi(-p) \right] + O(\tau^0). \tag{C10}
\]

Finally, using Eqs. (C1) and (C10), we obtain the result reported in the text, see Eq. (56).

It is interesting to notice that Eq. (C3) satisfies the relation

\[
J(p, \tau) = J(p, 1/2 - \tau) \quad \text{when} \quad \tau > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1/2 - \tau > 0, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < \tau < 1/2.
\]

This significantly simplifies the Taylor expansion, since Eq. (C3) could easily and directly be expanded around \( \tau = 1/2 \), because the excess 2\( \tau \) of the hypergeometric functions is then always positive and hence they are well behaved. Performing the calculation in this way, one obtains

\[
\frac{J(p, \tau)}{4\pi^2} = \frac{p^2}{8(1/2 - \tau)^2} + \frac{p^2}{4(1/2 - \tau)} \times \left[ 2 - 2\gamma_E - \psi(p) - \psi(-p) \right] + O((1/2 - \tau)^0). \tag{C11}
\]

which is in agreement with the result (C10). This observation suggests that a more symmetric form of the expansion reads

\[
\frac{J(p, \tau)}{4\pi^2} = \frac{p^2}{32\tau^2(1/2 - \tau)^2} + \frac{p^2}{8\tau(1/2 - \tau)} \times \left[ -2\gamma_E - \psi(p) - \psi(-p) \right] + O(\tau^0(1/2 - \tau)^0). \tag{C12}
\]

2. Further study of the analytical continuation

We should notice that although Eq. (C10) is formally obtained from Eq. (C6) that is valid for \( p < 1 \), Eq. (C10) is an even function of \( p \) [as well as the starting ones of Eqs. (54) and (C3)] and therefore Eq. (C10) holds at any \( p \) by the parity transformation. Hence we can define the integral analytically continued to the whole complex plane:

\[
I_{\text{cont}}(1, 0, q, \tau) = \frac{q^2}{8\pi^2} + \frac{q^2}{4\pi} \left[ 1 - 2\gamma_E - \psi(q) - \psi(-q) \right] \tag{C13}
\]

\[
+ O(\tau^0) \quad \text{for} \quad q \notin \text{integers}.
\]

For positive integer \( q = N \), one can not expand the function \( \psi(-q(1 - \tau)) \) from Eq. (C10) at small \( \tau \) due to the divergence of \( \psi(-N) \). In Fig. 6, we show a comparison between the exact result and its small \( \tau \) expanded form for the 1/\( \tau \) divergent part of \( I(1, 0, q, \tau) \).

We finally notice that Eq. (C10) diverges, once \( p \) approaches an integer. Due to the parity of Eq. (C10) with respect to \( p \), we can restrict to the region \( p > 0 \). It is then convenient to rewrite \( \psi(p) + \psi(-p) = 2\psi(p) + \pi \cot(\pi p) + 1/p \). As a result, the divergence arises due to the cotangent term. Therefore the most divergent part of Eq. (C10) at \( \tau \to 0 \) and \( p \to N \) with \( N \) being a positive integer, is

\[
\frac{J(p, \tau)}{4\pi^2} = \frac{p^2}{8\pi^2} - \frac{p^2}{4\pi} \pi \cot(\pi p) + R(p), \tag{C14}
\]

where \( R(p) \) is the \( \tau \)-independent part in Eq. (C10), contained in \( O(\tau^0) \). Direct expansion of Eq. (C3) shows that it has a divergence of the type \( N^2/(4(p - N)^2) \) for any integer \( N \), so \( R(p) \) can be rewritten as \( R(p) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^2}{4(p - N)^2} + \tau [I_{\text{cont}}(1, 0, q, \tau) - \frac{q^2}{8\pi^2}] \).

\[
\text{FIG. 6. (Color online) Numerical evaluation of 1/\tau divergent part of } I_{\text{cont}}(1, 0, q, \tau) \text{ for } \tau = 10^{-2}. \text{ Solid line represents the data obtained using Eq. (C13), while the dots are obtained using the exact expression (C3) plugged into Eq. (C1). The plotted function is even in } q \text{ and we only show the region } q > 0.
\]
\( \ldots = L^2 \psi^{(1)} (1 - p) + \ldots \), taking into account only the most divergent terms \( \mathcal{O}[(q - N)^{-2}] \), but not the terms that diverge as \( \mathcal{O}[(q - N)^{-1}] \). Here, \( \ldots \) denotes other subleading divergent terms when \( q \to N \). Therefore

\[
\frac{J(N + h, \tau)}{4\pi^2} = \frac{(N + h)^2 + (N + h)^2}{4\tau^2}\left[ \frac{1}{h} + \mathcal{O}(h) \right] + \frac{N^2}{4h^2}[1 + \mathcal{O}(h/N)]
\]

\[
= \frac{N^2}{8\tau^2}[1 + \mathcal{O}(h/N)] - \frac{N^2}{4\tau h}[1 + \mathcal{O}(h/N)] + \frac{N^2}{4h^2}[1 + \mathcal{O}(h/N)].
\]

Using Eqs. (C1) and (C15) we recognize \( h = -N\tau \), and therefore

\[
I_{\text{cont}}(1,0,N,\tau) = \frac{N^2 + 2N + 2}{8\tau^2} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-1}).
\]

In Fig. 7, we show a numerical comparison between the obtained results.

In order to get the \( \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-1}) \) term in the previous formula, one should evaluate the \( \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-2}) \) terms in (C7) and (C9) that we have not succeeded. However, we have verified the following two results:

\[
I_{\text{cont}}(1,0,1,\tau) = \frac{5}{8\tau^2} - \frac{1}{2\tau} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^0),
\]

\[
I_{\text{cont}}(1,0,2,\tau) = \frac{5}{4\tau^2} - \frac{4}{\tau} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^0).
\]

**APPENDIX D: INTEGRAL I(r,a,q,\tau = 0)**

In this Appendix, we consider the integral (41) from the main text at \( \tau = 0 \), i.e.,

\[
I(r,a,q,\tau = 0) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int d^2x d^2y \frac{1}{[a^2 + (x - y)^2]^2} \times \left\{ \frac{(x - r)^2 + a^2 \ y^2 + a^2 \ q^2}{(y - r)^2 + a^2 \ x^2 + a^2} \right\} - 1.
\]

(D1)

and evaluate it for \( q = 1 \) and in the limit \( q \to 0 \). We notice the invariance \( I(r,a,q,0) = I(r/a,1,q,0) \) and therefore we set \( a = 1 \), recovering \( a \) in the final result.

1. The case \( I(r,a,q = 1,\tau = 0) \)

We start with the integral (D1) in the case \( q = 1 \). We represent the two-dimensional vectors \( x \) and \( y \) in polar coordinates. After performing the two angular integrations, and then the integration over \( x \), the result can be expressed in the following form:

\[
I(r,1,1,0) = \int_0^\infty dy [j_1(r,y) + j_2(y) + j_3(r,y)].
\]

(D2)

where

\[
j_1(r,y) = \frac{1}{2y(y^2 + 4)} \left[ 2 - y^2 \right. \\
\left. + \frac{(y^2 + 1)(y^2 - 2r^2 - 2)}{\sqrt{r^2 - 2y^2(y^2 - 1) + (y^2 + 1)^2}} \right],
\]

(D3)

\[
j_2(y) = -\frac{2}{(y^2 + 4)^{3/2}} \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{y^2 + 4}}{y^2 + 2} \right),
\]

(D4)

\[
j_3(r,y) = \frac{1}{y^2(y^2 + 4)^{3/2}} \left[ \frac{(y^2 + 1)(r^2y^2 + 2y^2 + 2r^2 + 2)}{\sqrt{r^2 - 2y^2(y^2 - 1) + (y^2 + 1)^2}} - 2 \right. \\
\left. \times \arctan \left( \frac{y\sqrt{y^2 + 4}}{y^2 + 2} \right) \right].
\]

(D5)

After introducing a new variable \( t = y^2 + 2 \), one easily performs the integration of \( j_1(r,y) \) and obtains

\[
\int_0^\infty dy j_1(r,y) = -\frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{r^2 + 1}{8} - \frac{3r^2 + 9}{8\sqrt{(r^2 + 1)(r^2 + 9)}} \times \ln \left( \frac{(r^2 + 5)\sqrt{r^2 + 1}(r^2 + 9) + r^4 + 10r^2 + 17}{8} \right) \right.
\]

\[
-2 \ln(r) + \frac{3}{2} \ln 2 + \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right).
\]

(D6)

The function \( j_2(y) \) is \( r \)-independent and gives a constant contribution

\[
\int_0^\infty dy j_2(y) = -\ln 2.
\]

(D7)

The remaining yet unevluated integral is \( \int_0^\infty dy j_3(r,y) \). We notice that it contains a \( r \)-independent part [the term \(-2 from
the square brackets in Eq. (D5)], which is necessary to make \( j_2(r,y) \) nonsingular at \( y \to 0 \). From the square root of the denominator of Eq. (D5), we conclude that \( j_2(r,y) \) is sharply peaked when \( y \) takes values around \( r \), with the height of the peak equal to \( \ln(r) \) in the limit of large \( r \). Therefore we split \( j_3(r,y) \) in the following form:

\[
j_3(r,y) = j_{31}(r,y) + j_{32}(r,y), \tag{D8}
\]

where

\[
j_{31}(r,y) = \frac{r^2 \text{arctanh} \left( \frac{\sqrt{y^2 + 4}}{y} \right)}{\sqrt{r^2 - 2r^2(y^2 - 1) + (y^2 + 1)^2}}. \tag{D9}
\]

In the last equation, we keep \( y \) in the denominator in order make \( j_{32}(r,y) \) decaying faster than \( 1/y \) at large \( y \). We emphasize here that the special choice (D9) for the first term of Eq. (D8) is not unique. For example, another possibility would be

\[
j_{31}(r,y) = \frac{r^2 \text{arctanh} \left( \frac{\sqrt{y^2 + 4}}{y} \right)}{\sqrt{r^2 - 2r^2(y^2 - 1) + (y^2 + 1)^2}},
\]

which is equally good, as it is integrable and describes well the function \( j_3(r,y) \) around its maximum. For simplicity, in the following we use the choice given by Eq. (D9).

The subleading part of \( j_3(r,y) \) contained in \( j_{32}(r,y) = j_3(r,y) - j_{31}(r,y) \) can be safely expanded at large \( r \), as its main contribution in the integral comes from small values of \( y \), i.e., from the region \( y \ll r \). Using Eqs. (D5), (D8), and (D9), one obtains

\[
j_{32}(r,y) = \left[ \frac{y^2 + 3}{(2y^2 + 4)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{y} \right] \text{arctanh} \left( \frac{\sqrt{y^2 + 4}}{y^2 + 2} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right), \tag{D10}
\]

therefore

\[
\int_0^\infty dy j_{32}(r,y) = -\pi^2 \frac{2}{6} - \ln 2 \frac{2}{2} + O \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right). \tag{D11}
\]

We perform the remaining integration \( \int_0^\infty dy j_{31}(r,y) \) in the following way. We first calculate

\[
J_{31}(r,y) = \int dr \frac{j_{31}(r,y)}{r} = \text{arctanh} \left( \frac{\sqrt{y^2 + 4}}{2y} \right) \ln[1 + r^2 - y^2 + \sqrt{r^2 - 2r^2(y^2 - 1) + (y^2 + 1)^2}]. \tag{D12}
\]

Then, we expand \( J_{31}(r,y) \) at large \( r \) at lowest order in \( r \) and get

\[
J_{31}'(r,y) = \frac{\text{arctanh} \left( \frac{\sqrt{y^2 + 4}}{y} \right)}{y} \ln r + \cdots, \tag{D13}
\]

where by \( \cdots \) we denote the subleading terms at large \( r \). The expansion of Eq. (D13) is a good approximation of \( J_0(r,y) \) of Eq. (D12) only for \( y \ll r \). For \( y > r \), the function \( J_0(r,y) \) sharply drops to zero, contrary to its expanded form (D13), as one can see from the expansion of \( J_{31}(r,y) \). In the vicinity of \( r \), at leading order one obtains \( J_{31}(r,y = r + \delta) = (\ln^2 r)/(1 - 1/\ln r) \) for \( \delta \ll 1 \). Such an expansion determines a very large slope \( O(1/\ln r) \) for the deviation of the function \( J_3(r,y) \) around the point \( y = r \). Therefore we integrate the expanded result (D13) over \( y \) in the interval \([0, r]\) and get

\[
\int_0^r dy J_{31}'(r,y) = -\pi^2 \frac{2}{6} - \ln 2 \frac{2}{2} + O \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \ln(r) \tag{D14}
\]

The subleading terms of Eq. (D14), denoted by \( \cdots \), originate from the subleading terms of Eq. (D13), which do not change the stated result in Eq. (D14), as one can check, e.g., numerically. Finally, assuming the following form \( \int_0^\infty dy j_{31}(r,y) = A \ln^2 r + B \ln r + C + \cdots \), one obtains

\[
\int dr \int_0^\infty dy j_{31}(r,y) = \frac{A}{3} \ln^3 r + \frac{B}{2} \ln^2 r + C \ln r + \cdots. \tag{D15}
\]

After comparing the last expression with Eq. (D14), one gets \( A = 3, B = 0 \), and \( C = \pi^2/6 \). Combining this result with Eqs. (D8) and (D11) one obtains

\[
\int_0^\infty dy j_{32}(r,y) = 3 \ln^2 r - \ln 2 \frac{2}{2} + O \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right). \tag{D16}
\]

Collecting the obtained results (D6), (D7), and (D16) and using (D2), one obtains

\[
I(r,a,1,0) = 3 \ln^2 \left( \frac{r}{a} \right) - 2 \ln \left( \frac{r}{a} \right) + O \left( \frac{a^2}{r^2} \right); \tag{D17}
\]

where we have recovered the parameter \( a \).

2. The case \( I(r,a,q \to 0, r = 0) \)

The leading order term at \( q \to 0 \) of Eq. (44) is given by \( I_1(r) \) of Eq. (45). We could evaluate it using a procedure similar to the one employed during the evaluation of \( I(r,a,1,0) \), see Appendix D. First, one performs the two angular integrations, where one employs the following nonelementary integral

\[
\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \ln(c - \cos \theta) = -2\pi \ln(2c - 2\sqrt{c^2 - 1}), \tag{D18}
\]

which can, e.g., be obtained by making use of the Jensen formula. After performing one spatial integration, one obtains the result of the form

\[
I_1(r) = \int_0^{\infty} dy \left[ \frac{y^2 + 2}{\sqrt{y^2 + 4}} \text{arctanh} \left( \frac{\sqrt{y^2 + 4}}{y^2 + 2} \right) - y \ln(y^2 + 1) \right] \tag{D19}
\]

\[
\ln \left[ \frac{r^2 + y^2 + 1 + \sqrt{r^2 - 2r^2(y^2 - 1) + (y^2 + 1)^2}}{2(y^2 + 1)} \right]. \tag{D20}
\]

For the last integral, one could use a procedure similar to the one employed above for the integral (D2). The final result reads

\[
I_1(r) = \ln^2(r) + \ln(r) + O(r^0). \tag{D21}
\]
and therefore
\[ I(r,a,q,0) = q^2 \left[ \ln^2 \left( \frac{r}{a} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{r}{a} \right) + O\left( \frac{r^0}{a^0} \right) \right] + O(q^4). \]  
(D22)

**APPENDIX E: CONNECTION BETWEEN THE FINITE-\(a\) AND THE DIMENSIONAL METHODS**

1. From poles to logarithms

Let us define \( \tilde{I}(\tilde{r},\tau) := \tilde{r}^{2\tau} I(r,a,q,\tau) \) and \( \tilde{r} = r/a \) [compare with the expression for \( W_2 \) of Eq. (40)]. Hence the limit \( a \to 0 \) is the same as \( \tilde{r} \to \infty \). The dimensional method gives, at fixed \( \tau > 0 \):
\[ \tilde{I}(\tilde{r},\tau) \approx_{\tilde{r} \to \infty} \tilde{r}^{2\tau} \left[ \frac{b_2(q)}{\tau^2} + \frac{b_1(q)}{\tau} + O(1) \right], \]  
(E1)
where \( b_2(q) \) and \( b_1(q) \) are even functions of \( q \) with a regular Taylor expansion in \( q \) at \( q = 0 \). On the other hand, from the finite-\( a \) method, we know that
\[ \tilde{I}(\tilde{r},\tau = 0) \approx_{\tilde{r} \to \infty} B_2(q) \ln^2 \tilde{r} + B_1(q) \ln \tilde{r} + O(1). \]  
(E2)
To match the two, we first observe that the integral
\[ (\tilde{r} \partial_{\tilde{r}}) \tilde{I}(\tilde{r},\tau) \]  
(E3)
is not divergent and can be calculated at \( \tau = 0 \), giving \( 2B_2(q) \) from Eq. (E2), or directly calculated from Eq. (E1) in the limit \( \tau \to 0 \) is gives \( 16b_2(q) \). Identifying the two coefficients, one gets
\[ B_2(q) = 8b_2(q), \]  
(E4)
which was used in the main text.

When \( b_2(q) \), \( B_2(q) \) are nonzero one cannot get in full generality a universal result for \( B_1(q),b_1(q) \). It is easy to see, since by simply changing the cutoff \( a \) by a finite scale, \( B_1(q) \) changes. However, in the present case, we can use the extra parameter, \( q \), and we note that \( b_2(q) = q^2 / 8 \). Hence the integral
\[ \tilde{I}(\tilde{r},\tau) = \left. \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} q^2 \tilde{r}^2 \right) \right|_{q=0} \tilde{I}(\tilde{r},\tau) = \tilde{r}^{2\tau} \frac{b_1(q)}{\tau} + O(1), \]  
(E5)
where \( b_1(q) = (1 - \frac{1}{2} q^2 \tilde{r}^2) \partial_{q} b_1(q) \) has a Taylor expansion starting at \( O(q^4) \). In the finite-\( a \) method, this new integral can have only a logarithmic divergence at large \( \tilde{r} \), namely,
\[ \tilde{I}(\tilde{r},\tau) = \tilde{B}_1(q) \ln \tilde{r} + O(1), \]  
(E6)
and applying the same reasoning as above to the finite integral \( \tilde{r} \partial_{\tilde{r}} \tilde{I}(\tilde{r},\tau) \), we obtain
\[ \tilde{B}_1(q) = 4\tilde{b}_1(q). \]  
(E7)
Hence, in conclusion, if \( b_2(q) = q^2 / 8 \) we conclude that
\[ \tilde{I}(\tilde{r},\tau = 0) \approx_{\tilde{r} \to \infty} q^2 \ln^2 \tilde{r} + \gamma (\ln \tilde{r}) \]  
(E8)
\[ + 4\tilde{b}_1(q) \ln \tilde{r} + O(1), \]  
(E9)
where \( \gamma \) is nonuniversal, as used in the text.

2. An illustrative example

In this Appendix, we study an example the connection between the two approaches used, the first one which keeps a finite ultraviolet cutoff \( a \) but uses \( \tau = 0 \), and the second dimensional method where \( a = 0 \) but \( \tau > 0 \). In order to understand the connection, let us consider the following example:
\[ K(r,a,\tau) = \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^{2\tau} \int_{|x|<L} d^2x \frac{1}{(x^2 + a^2)^{1-\tau}}, \]  
(E10)
which, although being simple, resembles the structure of the terms we encountered in Eqs. (40) and (41) and gives the essence of the difference of the two methods. The exact evaluation of Eq. (E10) is elementary and one obtains
\[ K(r,a,\tau) = 2\pi \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^{2\tau} r^{-2\tau} \left( \frac{L^2 + a^2}{2} - a^{-2\tau} \right). \]  
(E11)
Now we evaluate Eq. (E10) using the finite-\( a \) method. There, one first expands the integrand at small \( r \), followed by the integration. Doing these two steps, one obtains
\[ K_a(r,a,\tau) = \int_{|x|<L} d^2x \frac{1}{x^2 + a^2} + O(\tau) \]  
\[ = 2\pi \ln \left( 1 + \frac{L^2}{a^2} \right) + O(\tau). \]  
(E12)
In the dimensional method, one first expands the integrand at small \( a \), and then performs the integration. For \( \tau > 0 \), this procedure leads to a convergent integral, since the short-distance divergence is avoided by keeping \( \tau \) finite. In such a way, one obtains
\[ K_{a}^{\text{dim}}(r,a,\tau) = \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^{2\tau} r^{-2\tau} \left[ \frac{1}{(x^2 + a^2)^{1-\tau}} + O(a^2) \right] \]  
\[ = 2\pi \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^{2\tau} r^{-2\tau} \left( \frac{L^2}{2\tau} + O(a^2) \right). \]  
(E13)
In the final step of the dimensional method, one expands at small \( \tau \) the result (E13), finding
\[ K_{a}^{\text{dim}}(r,a,\tau) = 2\pi \left[ \ln \frac{L}{a} + \frac{1}{2\tau} + O(\tau) \right] + O(a^{-2\tau}). \]  
(E14)
The obvious discrepancy, at small \( a \), between the two results of Eqs. (E12) and (E14), is contained in the term \( \tau / \tau \) in the latter; it arises due to setting \( a = 0 \) in the integrand of Eq. (E13), which is reminiscent of setting \( a \) to zero in the last term of the exact result (E11). As a consequence, the divergence at \( \tau \to 0 \) in Eq. (E14) remains, which would have been canceled had we kept a finite \( a \) in the dimensional method. Therefore, in order to compare the two results of the finite-\( a \) and the dimensional method, one must neglect all the terms that are divergent in the limit \( \tau \to 0 \) in the final result of the dimensional method.

We note finally that expressions of the form \( a^{-2\tau} \), which appear in the integral (E10) and in similar ones, are treated differently in the finite-\( a \) method and in the dimensional one. In the former, one encounters the limit \( \tau = 0 \) and therefore \( a^{-2\tau} = 1 \). In the latter, one considers the limit \( a \to 0 \), and therefore \( a^{-2\tau} = 0 \). This difference must be taken into account if one wants to compare the results obtained by the two methods.
APPENDIX F: FROM MOMENTS TO DISTRIBUTION

In principle, the knowledge of the cumulants (67) of the relative phase displacements \( \Theta = \theta(r) - \theta(0) \) allows to learn about the probability distribution function (PDF) of \( \Theta \), which could be measured directly, e.g., in numerical simulations.

The presence of poles in \( \eta(q) \) at \( q = 1 \), and presumably other integers, as well as the (related) mechanism of screening discussed in the introduction precluded us, for now, to have a complete knowledge of \( \mathcal{A}(q) \) and \( \eta(q) \) for all \( q \), hence we are not able to fully characterize the PDF. In a more modest attempt, hopefully avoiding some of these problems, let us focus on the correlation at imaginary \( q = -ip \), for which our result reads

\[
\langle e^{ipq} \rangle \simeq \exp \left[ p^2 r^2 e^2 + \left( cp^2 - g(p) r^2 e^2 \right) \ell \right], \quad (F1)
\]

\[
g(p) = p^2 \left( 2 \gamma_E + \psi(i p) + \psi(-i p) \right), \quad (F2)
\]

where \( \ell = \ln(r/a) \) and \( g(p) \) is a positive even function, increasing for \( p > 0 \), which behaves as \( \mathcal{O}(p^2) \) at small \( p \) and as \( 2 p^2 \ln p \) at large \( p \). Note that there are no poles on the real \( p \) axis. At this stage, (F1) is just the generating function of all cumulants of \( \Theta \) and the explicit form (F1) provides a resummation of the Taylor series in the vicinity of \( p = 0 \). It is not obvious whether this equality holds more globally, i.e., whether additional nonperturbative terms are also present, as is the case along real \( q \). However, it is maybe less likely for real \( p \).

To test this, we must verify that (F1) is first of all an increasing function of \( p \). Clearly, since \( g(p) \geq 2 p^2 \ln p \), this fails at fixed \( \ell \) for some large enough \( p \). So this formula cannot extend to \( \ell^2 \ln p > c \ell + \tau^2 \ell^2 \). It is probable that for such large \( p \) one leaves the domain of validity of the (renormalized) perturbative expansion and a different calculation must be performed (such as an instanton calculation for real \( p \)).

Let us point out an interesting interpretation. Let us rewrite, to the same accuracy at large \( \ell \):

\[
\langle e^{ipq} \rangle \simeq \exp \left[ \frac{cp^2 \ell}{2} + \frac{p^2 r^2 e^2}{2} e^{-h(p) / \ell} \right], \quad (F3)
\]

where \( h(p) = g(p) / p^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(p^3) \) at small \( p \) and \( h(p) \sim 2 \ln p \) at large \( p \). From the large \( p \gg 1 \), behavior, we can rewrite

\[
\langle e^{ipq} \rangle \simeq_{p \gg 1} \exp \left[ \frac{cp^2 \ell}{2} + \frac{r^2 e^2}{2} p^2 \right], \quad (F4)
\]

i.e., indicating some deviations from the Gaussian behavior.

APPENDIX G: A TOY MODEL

Here, we give results for a toy model that shows oscillatory behavior in \( C(q) \) as a function of \( q \). We write the energy for a particle in a parabola plus a cosine, which has a random shift \( \xi \in [-1/2, 1/2] \),

\[
\mathcal{H}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 - g_0 \cos(2\pi(\theta + \xi)). \quad (G1)
\]

For simplicity, we consider the limit of \( g_0 \to \infty \). This restricts \( \theta \) to \( -\xi \) plus an integer \( m \). The expectation of \( e^{iq\theta} \), given \( \xi \) can then be written as

\[
\langle e^{iq\theta} \rangle_{\xi} \equiv \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iq(m-\xi)} e^{-m^2 \pi^2 / T} / \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-m^2 \pi^2 / T} = e^{-\frac{2\pi^2}{T} \arg \left[ \frac{\xi - iq T}{\xi - i \pi T} \right]} / \arg \left[ \frac{e^{2\pi i T}}{\xi - i \pi T} \right], \quad (G2)
\]

where \( \arg \) is the elliptic \( \theta \) function and \( \xi = -\xi \). Then

\[
C(q) = \langle e^{iq\theta} \rangle \simeq \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\xi \langle e^{iq\theta} \rangle_{\xi} \bigg|_\xi. \quad (G3)
\]

We have plotted the result in Fig. 8. For \( T \to 0 \), we can restrict the sum in Eq. (G2) to \( m = 0 \). This yields

\[
C(q) \bigg|_{T=0} = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\xi e^{iq\xi} = \frac{2}{q} \sin \left( \frac{q}{2} \right). \quad (G4)
\]

The corresponding probability distribution is

\[
P(\theta)_{T=0} = \Theta \left( -\frac{1}{2} < \theta < \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (G5)
\]

This means that \( \theta \) is uniformly distributed between \( -1/2 \) and \( 1/2 \). For higher temperatures, the distribution will be smeared out. It then reads

\[
P(\theta) = \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{T} \arg \left[ \frac{\xi - iq T}{\xi - i \pi T} \right]}}{\sqrt{2\pi \sqrt{T} \pi \theta}}. \quad (G6)
\]

This is plotted in Fig. 9.
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