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Abstract:
OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the risks imposed by tobacco smoking, in particular, bidi smoking, in the development of lung cancer.

METHODS: Two hundred eighty-four histologically confirmed patients of bronchogenic carcinoma and 852 controls matched for age, sex, and socioeconomic status were interviewed according to a predesigned questionnaire. Effects of individual variables defining the various aspects of tobacco smoking, in particular, bidi smoking, were assessed using logistic regression models.

RESULTS: 81.3% cases of bronchogenic carcinoma were ever smokers as compared with 42.2% among controls. The odd ratios for ever smoking, bidi smoking, and cigarette smoking were 5.9 (confidence interval [CI] 4.3, 8.4), 6.1 (CI 4.3, 8.7), and 5.3 (CI 2.7, 10.4), respectively.

CONCLUSION: Bidi smoking poses a very high risk for lung cancer even more than that of cigarette smoking.
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Bidis or "beedis" are small, hand-rolled unfiltered cigarettes that consist of tobacco flakes rolled in a tendu leaf (Diospyros elanoxylon) and tied with thread. They are also called "beers" in countries such as Bangladesh. The tobacco rolled in bidis is different from that used in cigarettes and is referred to as bidi tobacco.[1] In India, smoking accounts for majority of total tobacco consumption (72%), and among the total smoking habits, 73% is in the form of bidi and 27% is in the form of cigarette.[2] Roughly eight bidis are sold for every cigarette.[3]

In the last couple of years, reports of increase in the prevalence of bidi consumption have emerged from other countries in Asia, as well as other parts of the world, such as USA, France, Canada, and Australia.[4-9] Bidis are exported to around 30 countries from India and account for about 10% of the total tobacco export. During the eight years from 1995–1996 to 2003–2004, bidi exports have doubled.[10] Export of bidi to the USA is also on the rise due to a demand for flavored Indian bidis among the American youth.[11] They are also marketed internationally on the Internet. Internet sales of bidi pose several global challenges, including unrestricted sales to minors; lower prices through tax avoidance and smuggling; and unfettered advertising, marketing, and promotion.[12,13] The Internet as a marketing tool is largely unregulated and any existing regulation is difficult to enforce.

The leaf-wrapped appearance of bidis may also contribute to the perception among youth that bidis are “safer, herbal” cigarette.[14,15] The bidis are known as the “poor man’s cigarettes,” as they are smaller and cheaper than cigarettes and is perhaps the cheapest tobacco smoking product in the world.[16] India accounts for more than 85% of the world’s bidi production.[17] But there are a few reports in which the association between bidi smoking and lung cancer has been specially analyzed.[18-23] The present case–control study was therefore undertaken to evaluate the risks imposed by tobacco smoking, in particular, bidi smoking, in the development of lung cancer.

Methods

All the consecutive 284 newly diagnosed and histopathologically proven patients of bronchogenic carcinoma attending the Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Charpatrai Sahuji Maharaj Medical University (formerly King George’s Medical College), Lucknow, India, for treatment were included as cases. Of 284 patients, 133 (46.8%) had squamous cell, 67 (23.6%) small cell, 51 (18.0%) adenocarcinoma, 18 (6.3%) large cell, and 15 (5.3%) had other or mixed types of carcinomas. The patients were recruited between January 1992 and December 2001. Only those in whom the diagnosis of lung cancer was confirmed on cytologic or histologic examination of the material obtained from the primary site or a metastatic lymph node/pleural fluid with obvious primary lesion in the lungs detected radiologically, were included. Three hospital
controls were selected for each patient from among the visitors and attendants of the patients. The controls were matched for age (±3 years), sex, and socioeconomic status. To exclude any respiratory disease, all the controls were subjected to clinical evaluation and chest radiography. Any control having history of past or recent onset cough, change in voice, hemoptysis, chest pain and presence of clubbing, or any significant lymph node on physical examination was excluded from the study.

Trained MD student (Tuberculosis and chest disease) interviewed the subjects in the hospital. The cases and controls were interviewed according to a pretested and validated questionnaire. The subjects were asked about identification particulars, socioeconomic parameters, and tobacco habits. Details of smoking habits were noted down carefully with regard to type (cigarette, bidi, chilam, which is a clay-pot containing a tobacco lit by fire; or hucca, which is a system where a chilam is attached to one end of a separate long wooden tube, while the other end of the tube is attached to a coconut-pot containing water and smoke thus passes over the water before it is inhaled), and amount and duration.

A smoking index was calculated as the average number of bidi or cigarettes consumed per day multiplied by the duration of smoking in years. The average number of cigarette or bidis smoked per day was calculated by summing up the smoking indices and dividing the whole by the duration of smoking in days. That is,

\[ n \cdot d_1 + n \cdot d_2 + \ldots + n \cdot d_k \]

\[ D \]

Where

- \( n \) = Average number of sticks smoked per day during life time
- \( d \) = Duration of smoking in days
- \( D \) = Total duration of smoking (total of separate \( d \)'s)

The analysis was done for bidi smokers, cigarette smokers, as well as for overall smokers. Mixed smokers and Hukka smokers were excluded from the analysis. Nonsmokers were defined as individuals having exposure of <1 cigarette or bidi per day for less than one year.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using commercially available software (STATA version 6.0; Stata Corporation, 702 University Drive East, College Station, TX, USA). Statistical tests used were Chi-square test with fisher’s exact \( P \) value, and two-sample \( t \) test for parametric and Mann–Whitney \( U \) test for nonparametric and logistic regression.

Results

One thousand one-hundred thirty-six participants were enrolled (284 cases and 852 controls) in the study, 94% of them were male and 84% were in between 41 and 70 years of age. The other sociodemographic characteristics (socioeconomic status, religion, and place of residence) were similar in both the groups.

The prevalence of overall smokers and bidi smokers in cases were approximately double than that of the control [Table 1]. It was also observed that various other types of smoking (cigarette, Hukka, or any combination) pattern were higher in cases than controls [Table 1].

The number and duration of bidi and cigarette smoking was significantly higher in cases than that of control. The mean number of sticks smoked per day (bidi and cigarette both considered separately) was approximately 19 among cases and 11 among controls. The difference was statistically significant (bidi \( P < 0.0001 \) and cigarette \( P = 0.0056 \)) [Table 2]. Duration of smoking was approximately 32 years for both cigarette and bidi smokers among cases which is higher as compared to control in which the duration was 28 years among bidi smokers and 25 years among cigarette smokers. This difference was also significantly higher than that of control (bidi \( P < 0.0001 \) and cigarette \( P = 0.0180 \)) [Table 2]. Household smoke exposure does not have much significance as the number of females is less.

To estimate the unadjusted odds ratio (OR) for developing bronchogenic carcinoma, overall smoking, only bidi smoking, only cigarette smoking, duration of bidi and cigarette smoking along with the number of times was considered in bivariate models. The odds of developing bronchogenic carcinoma among bidi smokers was 6 times more than that of nonsmokers (OR 6.1; 95% confidence interval [CI] 4.3, 8.7) [Table 3]. This was not much different from overall smokers (OR 5.9; 95% CI 4.3, 8.4) and was little higher compared with the cigarette smoker group (OR 5.3; 95% CI 2.7, 10.4) [Table 3]. We also observed that the probability of developing bronchogenic carcinoma increases with the quantity and duration of bidi smoking.

Multiple logistic regression analysis reveals that after controlling for the duration of bidi smoking, the number of bidis smoked is strongly associated with the risk of bronchogenic carcinoma (OR 3.48; 95% CI 3.7, 4.5; \( P < 0.001 \)) [Table 4], whereas after controlling for the number of bidis smoked per day, the duration of smoking was not significantly associated with the risk of bronchogenic carcinoma (OR 0.87; 95% CI 0.7, 1.1; \( P = 0.307 \)) [Table 4].

Discussion

Bidi smoking, having originated in India, is currently practiced

---

**Table 1: Prevalence of smoking habits**

| Smoking status | Cases (n = 284) | Controls (n = 852) |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| N   | %   | N   | %   |
| Nonsmokers     | 53  | 18.7 | 492 | 57.8 |
| Bidi           | 195 | 68.7 | 297 | 34.8 |
| Cigarette      | 19  | 6.7  | 33  | 3.9  |
| Hukka          | 1   | 0.3  | 1   | 0.1  |
| Mixed          | 16  | 5.6  | 29  | 3.4  |
| Smokers        | 231 | 81.3 | 360 | 42.2 |

**Table 2: Smoking habits—Number and duration**

| Smoking type | Cases | Controls | \( P \) value |
|--------------|-------|----------|--------------|
| No. of sticks (per day) | | | |
| Bidi         | 19.3 \( \pm \) 9.6 | 10.9 \( \pm \) 6.0 | 0.0000 |
| Cigarette    | 19.6 \( \pm \) 14.6 | 10.9 \( \pm \) 8.5 | 0.0056 |
| Duration (years) | | | |
| Bidi         | 32.8 \( \pm \) 11.3 | 28.0 \( \pm \) 11.6 | 0.0000 |
| Cigarette    | 31.9 \( \pm \) 8.9  | 25.0 \( \pm \) 10.3 | 0.0180 |
Table 3: Smoking habits—Number and duration

| Smoking status | Cases (n = 284) | Controls (n = 852) | OR | P value | 95% CI |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----|---------|--------|
| Non-smokers    | 53 (18.7)      | 492 (57.8)        |    |         |        |
| Total smokers  | 231 (81.3)     | 360 (42.2)        | 5.9| 0.0000  | 4.3, 8.4 |
| Cigarette smokers | 19 (6.7) | 33 (3.9)         | 5.3| 0.0000  | 2.7, 10.4 |
| Bidi smokers   | 195 (68.7)     | 297 (34.8)        | 6.1| 0.0000  | 4.3, 8.7 |
| No. of bidis smoked/day |    |                   |    |         |        |
| 1–10           | 39             | 178               | 2.0| 0.0002  | 1.3, 3.3 |
| 11–20          | 92             | 101               | 8.5| 0.0000  | 5.6, 12.9 |
| >20            | 64             | 18                | 33.0| 0.0000 | 17.6, 63.2 |
| Years of smoking bidi |    |                   |    |         |        |
| 1–20           | 36             | 88                | 3.8| 0.0000  | 2.3, 6.3 |
| 21–39          | 131            | 177               | 6.9| 0.0000  | 4.7, 10.1 |
| ≥40            | 28             | 32                | 8.1| 0.0000  | 4.3, 15.1 |

CI = Confidence interval; OR = Odds ratio

Table 4: Logistic regression of number of bidis smoked and duration of smoking on bronchogenic carcinoma

| Outcome: bronchogenic carcinoma | OR (95% CI) | P value |
|----------------------------------|------------|---------|
| Number of bidis smoked/ day (0→ NS, 1→1–10, 2→11–20, 3→>20) | 3.48 (2.7, 4.5) | 0.000 |
| Duration (years) (0→ NS, 1→1–2, 2→21–40, 3→>40) | 0.87 (0.7, 1.1) | 0.307 |

R² = 0.2033

CI = Confidence interval; OR = Odds ratio; NS = Not significant

It can be concluded that bidi smoking also poses a very high risk of lung cancer. Traditionally, tobacco control programs have focused on reducing cigarette consumption. Effective strategies are now needed to expand the focus of tobacco control programs to all types of tobacco use, including bidis.[26,29] Countries that adopted comprehensive tobacco control programs with a mix of interventions including bans on tobacco advertising, controls on the use of tobacco in indoor locations, high taxes on tobacco products, and health education and smoking cessation programs have had considerable success in decreasing the prevalence of cigarette smoking.[30] A similar policy framework with a mix of interventions have to be implemented to control bidi use in India and other Southeast Asian countries where bidi use is highly prevalent, as well as in countries, such as USA where the bidi market is relatively new and expanding.

Limitation of our study is that recall bias may be present in the cases and controls about their smoking habits.
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