Valence-Bond Order in a Honeycomb Antiferromagnet Coupled to Quantum Phonons
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We use exact quantum Monte Carlo simulations to demonstrate that the Néel ground state of an antiferromagnetic SU(2) spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg model on the honeycomb lattice can be destroyed by a coupling to quantum phonons. We find a clear first-order transition to a valence-bond-solid state with Kekulé order instead of a deconfined quantum critical point. However, quantum lattice fluctuations can drive the transition towards weakly first-order, revealing a tunability of the transition by the retardation of the interaction. In contrast to the one-dimensional case, our phase diagram in the adiabatic regime is qualitatively different from the frustrated $J_1$-$J_2$ model. Our results suggest that a coupling to bond phonons can induce Kekulé order in Dirac systems.

Exotic phases and phase transitions in quantum many-particle systems have attracted a lot of interest in the last years. A recent focus is on valence-bond-solid (VBS) phases in two-dimensional (2D) spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ antiferromagnets (AFMs) where translational symmetry is spontaneously broken via the formation of dimers between neighboring spins [1]. The proliferation of topological defects in the AFM/VBS order parameter [2–4] has been proposed to drive a continuous quantum phase transition between the two phases. The scenario of a deconfined quantum critical point (DQCP) [5, 6] is beyond the Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson paradigm where competing orders with different broken symmetries require a first-order transition. Furthermore, the interplay between the topological defects of the VBS phase and disorder is currently explored [7].

VBS order often appears in frustrated spin models, but their numerical study in 2D is usually restricted to small system sizes or approximate schemes. Large-scale quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations give exact results for a class of sign-problem free Hamiltonians called $J$-$Q$ models [8] that are specifically designed to generate the desired orders. While $J$-$Q$ models show strong evidence for a continuous AFM–VBS transition—most notably on the square lattice [8–10]—the scenario of a weak first-order transition cannot be completely ruled out [11, 12]. Recently, unconventional first-order transitions with enhanced symmetry have been reported [13]. It is of current interest to find VBS phases also in more realistic models beyond designer Hamiltonians.

In quasi-1D systems such as the organic TTF compounds [14] or the inorganic material CuGeO$_3$ [15], VBS order often arises from the spin-Peierls instability [16, 17], which is closely related to a $2k_F$ Fermi-surface instability in electronic models. A 1D Heisenberg model is unstable towards dimerization for any finite coupling to classical phonons, because the gain in magnetic energy is higher than the loss in elastic energy. However, quantum lattice fluctuations can stabilize a gapless phase with critical AFM correlations below a critical coupling. The phase diagrams of 1D spin-phonon models have been determined numerically [18–27]. For high phonon frequencies, the spin-Peierls problem maps to the frustrated $J_1$-$J_2$ model with next-nearest neighbor Heisenberg exchange [28–31]. In particular, the quantum phase transition at finite phonon frequencies is in the same universality class as in the $J_1$-$J_2$ model [27].

The relevance of spin-phonon interactions in 1D is acknowledged by the fact that—even in other contexts—the VBS state is sometimes called spin-Peierls state [2, 3, 32]. By contrast, the nature and even the existence of VBS order in 2D is still under debate and has only been explored on the square lattice. The spin-Peierls model was initially studied in the context of high-$T_c$ superconductivity as the large-$U$ limit of the Peierls-Hubbard model. Different dimerization patterns were discussed as the ground-state configurations of classical phonons [33–39], even a resonating valence-bond state was proposed [40, 41]. The stability of the spin-Peierls state was questioned because a large Hubbard repulsion favors AFM order and suppresses VBS order in 2D [42]. So far, exact numerical simulations were inhibited by the large bosonic Hilbert space and difficult phonon sampling. The only available QMC study which approached the full quantum-phonon problem did not find VBS order [43].

The honeycomb lattice has a lower coordination number than the square lattice which makes the VBS state energetically more favorable. A columnar VBS state with Kekulé order (see inset of Fig. 1) was found in a $J$-$Q$ model and its AFM–VBS transition was interpreted in terms of a DQCP [44–47]. Similar transitions appear in Dirac systems [48, 49] where the emergence of Kekulé order is a recent focus of theoretical [50–57] and experimental [58, 59] studies. Interaction effects in graphene have attracted additional interest since the discovery of superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene [60].

In this Letter, we demonstrate that spin-phonon coupling can stabilize a columnar VBS state and determine the ground-state phase diagram of the spin-Peierls model as a function of phonon frequency (see Fig. 1). Our simulations were made possible by a recently developed QMC method that solves the full quantum-phonon problem efficiently using retarded interactions [61]. The AFM–VBS transition is strongly first-order for classical phonons, but quantum lattice fluctuations can drive the transition to-
The spin-phonon coupling leads to a retarded interaction\(H_{\text{AFM}}\) between singlet projectors \(\hat{\Pi}_i\) and \(\hat{\Pi}_j\) at different times \(\tau, \tau'\) and is mediated by the free-phonon propagator \(P(\tau) = e^{-\omega_0^2(1 - e^{-\omega_0^2\beta})}\). Because \(\hat{S}_i\cdot\hat{S}_j\) is shifted by \(\frac{1}{2}\), the Heisenberg exchange \(J\) gets renormalized with \(\lambda\). Here, \(\beta = 1/T\) is the inverse temperature and \(Z_0\) includes the partition function of free phonons.

For our simulations we used a recently developed QMC method for retarded interactions \cite{61} that is based on a diagrammatic expansion of \(Z/Z_0\) in \(\hat{H}\). The method is closely related to the stochastic series expansion \cite{62} and makes use of efficient directed-loop updates \cite{63}. It only has statistical errors and is free of a sign problem for \(\lambda \leq 2\) \((J' \geq 0)\). The use of retarded interactions avoids the difficulties of direct phonon sampling which inhibited previous studies of the 2D case, but system sizes are still limited by the generically difficult sampling near a first-order transition. We use an exchange Monte Carlo method \cite{64,65} to improve simulations in the VBS phase. Phonon observables can be recovered from the perturbation expansion using generating functionals \cite{66}. Further details on our method are presented elsewhere \cite{67}.

Simulations were performed on \(L \times L\) honeycomb lattices with \(2L^2\) spins and periodic boundary conditions. We used \(\beta J = 2L\) which is suitable for detecting ground-state order of a continuous phase transition with dynamical exponent \(z = 1\) or a first-order transition.

**Results.**—The phase diagram in Fig. 1 contains AFM and VBS phases which can be identified from a finite-size analysis of the (basis-dependent) order parameters \cite{47}

\[
\hat{\Psi}_{\text{AFM}}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2L^2} \sum_\mathbf{r} (\hat{S}_{rA} - \hat{S}_{rB}) e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}, \tag{4}
\]

\[
\hat{\Psi}_{\text{VBS}}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2L^2} \sum_\mathbf{r} \sum_\mu e^{2\pi i \mu/3} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}. \tag{5}
\]

Here, \(\mathbf{r}\) is the position vector of the Bravais lattice. Each unit cell has two sites \(A, B\) and three bonds \(\mu = 0, 1, 2\) which are chosen as depicted in Fig. 1. AFM order breaks the SU(2) spin symmetry and appears at \(Q_{\text{AFM}} = (0, 0)\), whereas the columnar VBS state breaks a \(Z_3\) lattice symmetry such that spin singlets are arranged in a Kekulé pattern with \(Q_{\text{VBS}} = (2\pi/3, -2\pi/3)\), as shown in Fig. 1. We measure \(C_\alpha(q) = \langle |\hat{\Psi}_\alpha(q)|^2 \rangle\) after replacing \(\hat{S}_i \rightarrow \hat{S}_i^z\) in Eqs. (4) and (5) to calculate the correlation ratios \cite{68}

\[
R_\alpha = 1 - \frac{C_\alpha(Q_\alpha + \delta q)}{C_\alpha(Q_\alpha)} \tag{6}
\]

with \(\delta q = (0, 2\pi/L)\). When \(L \rightarrow \infty\), \(R_\alpha(L) \rightarrow 1\) in the corresponding ordered phase and \(R_\alpha(L) \rightarrow 0\) in the disordered phase. The same holds for the Binder cumulant \(U_{\text{VBS}} = 2 - \langle |\hat{\Psi}_{\text{VBS}}(Q_{\text{VBS}})|^4 \rangle /\langle |\hat{\Psi}_{\text{VBS}}(Q_{\text{VBS}})|^2 \rangle^2\).

Figure 2 shows our results for the retardation-driven AFM–VBS transition at \(\lambda = 2\). Both orders can be identified from the correlation ratios in Fig. 2(a) which indicate a sharp transition at \(\omega_{\text{AFM}}/J = 0.47\). The Binder ratio in Fig. 2(b) develops a negative peak that diverges with \(L\)—a typical finite-size effect at a first-order transition and a result of phase coexistence separated by an energy barrier \cite{69}. Further evidence is given by an emerg-
FIG. 2. Finite-size analysis of the AFM–VBS transition at \( \lambda = 2 \) as a function of \( \omega_0 \). (a) AFM/VBS correlation ratios, (b) VBS Binder ratio, and (c) free-energy derivative \( dF/d\omega_0 \). Labels in (b) also apply to (c). Open symbols and dashed lines represent data points where the tunneling times between coexisting orders are longer than our simulation times.

FIG. 3. Histograms of the VBS order parameter \( \hat{\Psi}_{VBS} \) across the AFM-VBS transition for (a) \( \omega_0/J = 0.45 \), (b) \( \omega_0/J = 0.466 \), and (c) \( \omega_0/J = 0.47 \). Here, \( L = 18 \) and \( \lambda = 2 \).

FIG. 4. Free-energy derivative as a function of \( \lambda \) for different \( \omega_0 \) and \( L \). The inset shows the jump at the critical coupling extrapolated to \( L \to \infty \). Color scheme based on Ref. [70].

We consider the modified field \( \hat{\Psi}'_{VBS} \) by replacing \( \hat{\Pi}_{\mu} \to (J + \alpha \hat{Q} \mu) \hat{\Pi}_{\mu} \) in Eq. (5) because its expectation value \( \hat{\Psi}'_{VBS} = \langle 2L^2/3 \rangle \sum_{\mu}(\hat{\Pi}_{\mu})_{MC} e^{J\hat{Q}_{VBS}\mu} \rangle \) can be easily estimated from the number of \( \hat{\Pi}_{\mu} \) per Monte Carlo configuration [8, 66]. The histogram of \( \hat{\Psi}'_{VBS} \) in Fig. 3(a) illustrates that VBS order appears at the columnar angles \( e^{2\pi j/g} \). The emergence of a central peak in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c) indicates coexisting AFM order. Moreover, the threefold anisotropy of the VBS order parameter remains robust in the coexistence region.

Figure 4 shows the free-energy derivative \( dF/d\lambda \) for different \( \omega_0 \). The critical couplings in Fig. 1 are determined from the discontinuities in \( dF/d\lambda \) and increase with increasing \( \omega_0 \). The strength of a first-order transition can be characterized by the size of the jump in its free-energy derivative. To estimate \( \Delta F_{\lambda}(L) \), we extrapolate the two branches of \( dF/d\lambda \) towards the center of the coexistence region. A final extrapolation \( L \to \infty \) leads to the jumps summarized in the inset of Fig. 4. We find that the transition is significantly weakened with increasing \( \omega_0 \).

Discussion.—The nature of the AFM to columnar VBS transition on the honeycomb lattice has been studied numerically in the \( J-Q \) model [44–47]. A finite-size analysis obtained critical exponents with logarithmic violations of scaling, consistent with the interpretation of a continuous transition on the square lattice [10]. However, instead of showing an emergent U(1) symmetry at criticality [5], as observed on the square lattice [8], \( \hat{\Psi}_{VBS}(Q_{VBS}) \) retained a 3-fold anisotropy which was interpreted in terms of near-marginal behavior of the topological defects [44]. Conformal bootstrap as well as an analysis of anomalies in corresponding field theories suggested that 3-fold monopoles are slightly relevant at criticality in SU(2) spin models on the honeycomb lattice [71–73], but that lattice sizes of \( L \leq 72 \) [44] or \( L \leq 96 \) [46] were too small to find evidence for a weak first-order transition in the \( J-Q \) model.

The spin-Peierls model studied in this Letter serves as an example where the AFM–VBS transition is clearly first-
order already on small system sizes and therefore follows the Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson paradigm. The different length scales seem to originate from the retarded nature of $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$. The first-order transition is strongest at $\omega_0 = 0$ where the nontrivial minimization of $\langle \mathcal{H}(Q_{ij}) \rangle$ in terms of the real-valued static displacements only permits certain ordering patterns [74]. Here, the interaction range in time, $P(\tau) \sim e^{-\omega_0 \tau}$, is largest, but the transition is significantly weakened with increasing $\omega_0$. In J-$Q$ models, singlet projectors $\Pi_{ij}$ interact at equal times but between different bonds of the lattice to induce VBS order.

Our numerical study is restricted to $\lambda \leq 2$ due to a sign problem, but it is worth speculating on how the phase diagram in Fig. 1 continues for $\omega_0/J > 0.5$. We expect that $\omega_0$ tunes the AFM–VBS transition towards weakly first-order, as the discontinuity of $dF/d\lambda$ in Fig. 4 tends to further decrease. A reliable extrapolation of $\Delta F_\Lambda(\omega_0)$ is out of reach, but it also seems possible that $\Delta F_\Lambda$ vanishes or that new physics arises at higher $\omega_0$. In the limit $\omega_0 \to \infty$, the spin-Peierls model maps to a Heisenberg model with AFM order only, but for small interaction ranges $1/\omega_0$ in time, the retardation in $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ effectively generates longer-range spin interactions, but also higher-order corrections [28, 29]. Such a mapping to the frustrated $J_1$-$J_2$ model successfully describes the physics of the 1D spin-Peierls model [30, 31]. The complex phase diagrams of frustrated spin chains can also be found in electron-phonon models as well as a fermion-induced quantum critical point between a Dirac semimetal and VBS order [53]. Our results in the large-$U$ limit suggest that Kekulé order and the corresponding transitions also exist at finite $\omega_0$ but the AFM–VBS transition might turn first-order for low $\omega_0$.

Conclusions & Outlook.—We demonstrated that VBS order can arise in a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg model coupled to phonons. The first-order transition from AFM to columnar VBS order disagrees with the putative DQCP scenario on the honeycomb lattice, but can be tuned towards weakly first-order when quantum lattice fluctuations become stronger. Our results establish retardation effects as an important influence on the AFM–VBS transition that was not considered in previous studies. Our recently developed QMC method for retarded interactions [61] enables future work in this direction. In particular, it seems possible to engineer different orders via an appropriate couplings to phonons and thereby extend the zoo of models that show nontrivial phases in sign-problem-free QMC simulations. While retardation is an established mechanism to induce frustrated interactions in 1D models, the columnar VBS order at $\omega_0/J < 0.5$ is in contrast to the plaquette VBS order found in the $J_1$-$J_2$ model [76–80]. It remains an open question whether the 2D spin-Peierls model displays the phases of the $J_1$-$J_2$ model or any other nontrivial physics at higher $\omega_0$. Moreover, it will be of interest to explore how thermally-generated phonon fluctuations modulate the exchange integral $J_{ij}(\hat{Q}_{ij})$ in the VBS phase and lead to a disordered phase. Finally, the possibility of finding Kekulé order in Dirac or spin systems motivates future studies of phonon coupling.
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