Feasibility of an oxygen-getter with nickel electrodes in alkaline electrolysers
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Abstract Alkaline electrolysis is the long-established technology for water splitting to produce hydrogen and has been industrially used since the nineteenth century. The most common materials used for the electrodes are nickel and derivatives of nickel (e.g., Raney nickel). Nickel represents a cost-effective electrode material due to its low cost (compared to platinum group metals), good electrical conductivity and exhibits good resistance to corrosive solutions. The steady degradation of the nickel electrodes over time is known as a result of oxide layer formation on the electrode surface. Reducing oxide layer growth on the electrode surface will increase the efficiency and lifetime of the electrolyser. Titanium has a higher affinity to oxygen than nickel so has been introduced to the electrolyser as a sacrificial metal to reduce oxide layer formation on the nickel. Two identical electrolysers were tested with one difference: Cell B had titanium chips present in the electrolyte solution, whilst Cell A did not have titanium present. SEM results show a reduction of 16 % in the thickness of the Cell B oxide layer on nickel compared to the Cell A nickel, which is supported by the large increase in oxide layer build-up on the titanium in Cell B. EDX on the same samples showed on average a 59 % decrease in oxygen on the Cell B nickel compared to Cell A. XPS surface analysis of the same samples showed a 17 % decrease in the oxygen on Cell B nickel. These results support the hypothesis that adding titanium to an alkaline electrolyser system with nickel electrodes can reduce the oxide layer formation on the nickel.
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Introduction

Hydrogen is most commonly produced from fossil fuel sources (approx. 95 %), which is neither sustainable (depletion of fossil fuel resources) and environmentally friendly (produces greenhouse gases as side products) [1–4]. Water electrolysis represents only 4 % of current hydrogen production, but is a growing area of research and the beginning of commercialisation of water electrolysers has started. The high cost can be attributed to electrical energy prices and the use of platinum group metals (PGMs) as catalysts to improve the efficiency for hydrogen generation [1, 3–6].

A high electrical potential is required to split pure water directly, so an electrolyte is added to reduce the resistance in the system. The most commonly used is a liquid electrolyte (NaOH, KOH), and there is increasing research on using solid electrolyte in the form of a polymer membrane. This only requires pure water, as well as an electrical energy input [1, 3, 7–11].

The use of caustic solution (typically 25–30 weight %) in alkaline electrolysers requires the electrodes to exhibit good electrochemical conductivity, high mechanical strength and a strong resistance to corrosion from the caustic environment. Nickel, or a derivative of nickel, is the most commonly used electrode material in commercial alkaline electrolysers, due to its high electrical conductivity...
and its robustness to prolonged exposure in caustic alkaline solution. Although nickel exhibits good electrode lifetime, this duration can be improved and a method for increasing the lifetime of a nickel electrode is investigated herein [3, 6, 9–12].

The mechanisms and characteristics of electrode degradation are a vastly researched area, especially in the field of alkaline electrolysis [8–16]. These mostly involve the reduction in electrolyte concentration and the use of electrocatalysts on the electrode surface to keep the high level electrochemical performance, whilst limiting electrode deactivation [15–19].

One potential method of degradation reduction which has not been utilised in the field of electrolysis is the use of an ‘oxygen-getter’. An oxygen-getter is titanium or an alloy of titanium in the form of either sponge, chips or bar stock. The purpose of the oxygen-getter is to provide cathodic protection to the electrode material from the corrosive medium during the electrolysis process [20].

Titanium exhibits a strong affinity to oxygen compared to nickel as it has a much lower electronegativity $\chi$; hence, it attracts electrons more freely which is why titanium has been chosen over other metals and it will protect the electrodes from cathodic attack by oxygen molecules in the solution. This enables the concentration and temperature of the aqueous solution to be increased, which in turn will increase the rate of electrolysis, without corroding the electrode itself [20].

In this case, titanium chips (10 mm $\times$ 10 mm $\times$ 1 mm) must be added (240 cm$^2$ total surface area) to ensure that the electrode material is protected from cathodic corrosion. The titanium chips will be held at the bottom of the reaction vessel under a nickel mesh to ensure they do not interact with the electrochemical reaction. The specified dimensions were chosen for this feasibility study to maximise the surface area in the small volume available at the underside of the vessel, and if proven, further research could be conducted on varying geometries and loadings of titanium in the system. A larger size of titanium chips would limit the surface area available in the vessel and the subsequent electronegativity of the titanium. It is vital that the titanium does not participate in the electrochemical reaction and is only present to attract $O^{2-}$ ions away from the nickel and to the titanium.

**Experimental materials and procedure**

Two electrolysers (Cells A and B) were built in a Perspex casing consisting of six nickel (201) electrodes (100 mm $\times$ 100 mm $\times$ 1 mm) (total electrode surface area 1,206 cm$^2$) connected in parallel with a fixed separation distance of 6 mm. There is a clearance of 20 mm from the bottom of the Perspex vessel to the bottom of the nickel electrodes. Cell A is the above-mentioned electrolyser stack without titanium [Grade I (ASTM B265)] chips, whilst Cell B has the titanium chips in the bottom of the vessel. A schematic of the electrolyser is shown in Fig. 1.

The potassium hydroxide ($\geq$85 % KOH basis, pellets) was mixed with a specific volume of Type II Deionised Water [Millipore Distilled Water Purification System (Rios Doi3)], to produce a predetermined concentration of KOH solution. The potential was controlled, and the subsequent current measured using a direct current power supply (Thurlby Thandar Instruments QPX1200SP model).

Following the electrolysis reaction, the electrodes were prepared for SEM (JEOL JSM-6610LV), EDX (Oxford Instruments Inca 300 EDS system) and XPS (Thermo Scientific K-Alpha) analysis, were washed thoroughly with Type I (18 M$\Omega$) water to remove any residual KOH solution and then subsequently dried.

**Experimental results**

This section is divided into two sections for determine the performance variation between Cell A and Cell B. The first section compares the differences in electrochemical performance, and the second section compares the surface characteristics between the two electrolysers.
Electrochemical analysis

Cells A and B underwent electrochemical analysis to characterise current flow, gas productivity and long term degradation testing to quantify loss of electrochemical performance from being subject to a caustic alkaline environment on a 2-week basis.

IV analysis and gas productivity curves

The voltage was varied and the current flow recorded to characterise the electrochemical performance of the electrolyser. This analysis shows the resistances, known as overpotentials, in the electrolyser. The standard potential of electrolysis (1.23 V) and the thermo-neutral voltage (1.48 V) must be overcome for a current to flow between the electrodes. In reality overpotentials such as activation (the energy needed to overcome the activation energy needed for the reaction to occur), ohmic (energy needed to overcome the resistance to ion transfer in the electrolyte) and bubble (energy needed to overcome the reduction in nucleation sites on the electrode due to bubble evolution), the exist and addition energy is required in the system to overcome these.

This analysis was completed for various concentrations (0.2–1 M) of KOH solution. Figure 2 shows the results for both Cells A and B which are the same, thus proving that the two electrolyser are identical in electrochemical performance and suffer the same overpotential.

Using the same methodology for 0.2–1.0 M KOH solution, the gas production rates were measured to quantify the enhancement in the conductivity of the electrolyte solution and the corresponding production of hydrogen and oxygen (HHO) gas.

Degradation testing

The aim was to test both cells for a total of 10 days (2 weeks), to mimic real-world utilisation of an electrolyser (8 h × 5 days a week). Figure 3 shows the constant voltage performance for both Cells for the 10-day duration. Note that the electrolyte solution was not changed throughout testing of each cell and were left in solution and in standby mode for 2 days between Days 5 and 6 (weekend).

Through 8 h of testing, the initial current spike quickly decreases after a few minutes before increasing again through the rise in temperature of the electrolyte as a result of the electrochemical reaction. The current reaches a constant when the temperature of the electrolyte solution reaches its maximum (dependant on the input potential), and then the current subsequently decreases, whilst the temperature remains constant, due to oxide layer formation on the electrode surface.
In Fig. 3, the general trend shows that the current reduces over time and is an expected result due to the degradation of the nickel electrodes. Throughout the testing, Cell B, the modified cell, shows degradation to a lesser extent than Cell A and the current is consistently higher. This helps to support our hypothesis that the titanium oxygen-getter concept could be used in this application to help protect the nickel electrodes due to possessing a higher affinity for oxygen from degradation.

The biggest difference that the titanium chips, in Cell B, have appeared to make is between Days 5 and 6 where the electrodes were left in the KOH solution over the weekend to further simulate industrial use. After the 2 days of no use, the Cell B current was 0.3 A higher than Cell A. This further supports the hypothesis showing that titanium has protected the electrodes even when there is no current flow.

Although in reality electrolysers operate for much longer than 2 weeks, the variation in electrochemical performance between Cell A and B is evident after 2 weeks operation. Whilst the experimental duration could be extended, the author feels that the feasibility of the principle which is being investigated here has been proven. Further study will analyse longer durations of electrolyser operation.

Surface analysis

Analysis of the nickel electrode surface is important to quantify oxide layer formation on the surface. The oxide layer coverage limits the number of nucleation sites available for bubble evolution to occur, thus reducing the efficiency of the electrolyser. The nickel and titanium from the electrolysers will undergo scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy to analyse any potential reduction in oxide layer formation as a result of introducing titanium to the electrolysis environment.

The metallic samples were split into five categories: (1) new nickel, (2) nickel from Cell A, (3) nickel from Cell B, (4) new titanium and (5) titanium from Cell B. These were each analysed by the before mentioned techniques.

SEM—nickel (1, 2 and 3)

The SEM images of the new nickel were taken to provide a control sample against which the used electrode surfaces could be compared to see how the action of the electrolysis reaction affects the nickel surface.

The vertical line patterning is believed to be caused by the cutting of the sample. The thick bright strip on the edge of the sample in Fig. 4 is believed to be the side of the sample (caused by the cutting of the large plate into chips) reflecting light, rather than an indication of the presence of any foreign substance on the surface (to be confirmed by EDX).

On the cross-sectional images of the nickel used in Cell A give very clear evidence of oxide layer formation. From observing the cross-sectional view of the sample, the oxide layer build-up is visible. Figure 5 shows a striking visual contrast between the nickel in the body of the sample and the edge of the sample.
The cross-sectional view of Cell B nickel (Fig. 6) shows a similar contrast between the nickel body and the edge of the sample to Cell A. Whilst in Cell A, the potential ‘oxide layer’ and the nickel body were different colours, in this image that is not the case, and the image is far more homogenous (Fig. 6). Despite this, it is believed that an oxide layer is evident in this image due to the strong horizontal marking on the lower side of the metal edge in both images consistent with Fig. 5 and different from the new nickel sample (Fig. 4).

There is also horizontal marking within the white region of Fig. 6, suggesting that it is laid on not all at once, but through a series of layers, very likely induced by the repeated exposure to the electrolysis reaction. The thickness of the oxide layers for Cell A and Cell B can be found in Fig. 7a, b.

The irregular build-ups seen in the middle of Fig. 7a indicate that material has been deposited, rather than the material being of homogeneous construction. In Fig. 7b, the oxide layer starts on the faint horizontal line between the anomalous deposits, which is highlighted by the thick black line in Fig. 7b. Using these markings, the oxide layer thickness measured from Fig. 7b is 84 μm. This indicates a 16% reduction in oxide layer thickness from Cell A.

**Titanium—(4 and 5) SEM**

Similarly to the nickel, a new titanium sample was imaged to have a control sample against which the used titanium could be compared. The cross-sectional view of the titanium control sample (Fig. 8) shows very clearly where the sample has been cut, exhibiting a smooth edge on the outer side, but most of the edge is made up of small peaks where the titanium has deformed during the cutting process. In terms of any potential oxide layer, there is no marking or colour change to potentially indicate that the surface of the new titanium has been compromised.

Evidence of oxide deposition is shown in the cross-sectional images of Cell B titanium on the lower magnification image (Fig. 9); there is a clear colour change between the titanium and the oxide layer, as well as there being very strong horizontal marking in the white oxide layer; this is despite the fact that there is faint vertical marking on the titanium.

These effects are more noticeable in the higher magnification image (Fig. 10). The much stronger horizontal marking in the oxide layer seem to show titanium’s greater affinity for oxygen, as does the oxide layer thickness,

---

**Fig. 7** a (Cell A) High magnification nickel cross-sectional view. b (Cell B) High magnification nickel cross-sectional view

**Fig. 8** New titanium cross-sectional view (×100 magnification)

**Fig. 9** Titanium (Cell B) cross-sectional view (×100 magnification)
which from Fig. 10 is shown to be 150 µm, nearly twice that of the corresponding nickel sample.

Table 1  EDX surface composition on nickel samples

| Sample     | Atomic%  |
|-----------|---------|
|            | Nickel | Titanium | Oxygen |
| New nickel | 0.40   | 0.00     | 18.2   |
| Cell A nickel | 0.21   | 0.00     | 31.1   |
| Cell B nickel | 0.27   | 0.01     | 25.9   |

EDX—nickel (1, 2 and 3)

For all nickel samples, analysis carried out at 500× magnification focused on the planar surface of each sample. Damage to the surface from the electrolysis process may have allowed other metals to be present on the surface and any deposits found (predominantly after use) would be investigated to see if this was a large increased build-up of oxide layer. The atomic% for nickel, titanium and oxygen for the nickel samples is shown in Table 1.

When comparing the flat surface spectra data for the control sample, Cell A and B, the main differences are the levels of nickel and oxygen. A 12.9 atomic% increase in oxygen content appears on Cell A nickel compared to the unused sample, which coincides with a 0.19 atomic% decrease in nickel content between the two samples.

There is a 5.2 atomic% decrease in oxygen content on the nickel surface of Cell B compared to Cell A showing that the presence of the titanium has reduced the quantity of oxide layer formation, helping to reduce the rate of nickel electrode degradation. This is further evident with the increase of 0.06 atomic% nickel content on the Cell B nickel relative to Cell A nickel.

EDX—titanium (4 and 5)

The EDX data for new and used titanium is shown in Table 2.

Cell B titanium shows an increase in oxygen content when compared to the control sample (4.7 atomic%). This shows that an oxygen layer is present, which is consistent with titanium having a higher affinity for oxygen than nickel. Analysis on the used titanium content of the samples shows a 0.3 atomic% decrease, consistent with the increased oxygen content on the titanium Cell B sample.

Table 2  EDX surface composition on titanium samples

| Sample     | Atomic%  |
|-----------|---------|
|            | Nickel | Titanium | Oxygen |
| New titanium | 0.00   | 0.22     | 27.5   |
| Cell B titanium | 0.01   | 0.19     | 32.2   |

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)

To support the EDX evidence provided, the samples (1–5) also underwent XPS analysis for further verification of the surface composition. Both nickel (1–3) and titanium (4–5) samples were analysed for nickel, titanium and oxygen content on the samples. The peaks were then integrated to determine the surface elemental composition in atomic%. This is shown in Table 3.

Table 3  XPS Surface analysis of nickel and titanium samples

| Sample     | Atomic%  |
|-----------|---------|
|            | Nickel | Titanium | Oxygen |
| New nickel | 0.36   | 0.01     | 15.0   |
| Cell A nickel | 0.19   | 0.02     | 29.5   |
| Cell B nickel | 0.26   | 0.02     | 24.5   |
| New titanium | 0.01   | 0.12     | 25.0   |
| Cell B titanium | 0.02   | 0.09     | 29.5   |

Table 10  Titanium (Cell B) cross-sectional view (×400 magnification)
Conclusions

The research has analysed the effect of introducing titanium to the alkaline electrolysis system as a sacrificial metal. The electrochemical performance of Cell A decreased at a faster rate than that of Cell B, specifically after a 2-day stationary period. The only variable between the cells is the titanium; therefore, this is the determining factor in the improved electrochemical performance of Cell B when compared with that of Cell A.

The conclusions drawn from the electrochemical analysis is backed up with the findings from the surface analysis. This also points towards a decrease in oxide layer formation on the nickel electrode in Cell B when compared to that in Cell A. The elemental composition of the surface of nickel and titanium from Cells A and B has shown an increased presence of oxygen on the electrode surface. The thickness in oxide layer on the nickel from Cell B is 17 % less than in Cell A. This limits the number nucleation sites on the electrode surface and links to reduced electrochemical performance in Cell A than in Cell B.

It is concluded that the presence of titanium as a sacrificial metal in an alkaline electrolysis system with nickel electrodes increases the electrical efficiency and decreases the rate of electrode degradation over 2 weeks of operation.

Future work in this field will investigate the use of different titanium geometries, for example sponges, plates and spheres, and the effect that varying titanium loadings has on the thickness of oxide layer formation on nickel electrodes in an alkaline electrolyser.
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