Valley Polarization by Spin Injection in a Light-Emitting van der Waals Heterojunction
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ABSTRACT: The band structure of transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) with valence band edges at different locations in the momentum space could be harnessed to build devices that operate relying on the valley degree of freedom. To realize such valleytronic devices, it is necessary to control and manipulate the charge density in these valleys, resulting in valley polarization. While this has been demonstrated using optical excitation, generation of valley polarization in electronic devices without optical excitation remains difficult. Here, we demonstrate spin injection from a ferromagnetic electrode into a heterojunction based on monolayers of WSe2 and MoS2 and lateral transport of spin-polarized holes within the WSe2 layer. The resulting valley polarization leads to circularly polarized light emission that can be tuned using an external magnetic field. This demonstration of spin injection and magnetoelectronic control over valley polarization provides a new opportunity for realizing combined spin and valleytronic devices based on spin-valley locking in semiconducting TMDCs.
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While most electronic devices are based on the manipulation of the electric charge, alternative schemes based on the manipulation of spins in spintronic devices1 or valleys in valleytronic devices are desirable. The use of the valley index for information processing was initially proposed in valleytronic devices.2 The valley index is expected to be protected from scattering by smooth deformations and long-wavelength phonons because intervalley mixing would require simultaneous spin flipping and scattering from phonons.2,3 This gives the possibility of using the valley index as the information carrier in valleytronic devices.

Establishing valley polarization using optical excitation with circularly polarized light4−14 has already been demonstrated while the valley state can also be electrically detected via the valley Hall effect,15−17 However, achieving and controlling the valley polarization without the use of optical excitation,18 desirable for realizing complex valleytronic devices and circuits, remains difficult. Because charge carriers at band edges in the K and K′ valleys in TMDCs carry opposite spins, this spin-valley locking17 gives the opportunity to interchangeably address the spin and valley degrees of freedom and to achieve valley polarization by injecting spin-polarized charge carriers into TMDC materials. Alternative approaches involve possibly exploiting the anisotropy of the Fermi pockets and/or electron−hole overlap in two-dimensional (2D) TMDCs, even upon current injection11 or using ac electric fields.14,15 While valley polarization by spin injection can be realized in a vertical geometry by using a p-type magnetic semiconductor Ga(Mn)As,25 the use of a magnetic substrate for spin injection limits possible device geometries and further development of integrated valleytronic devices and circuits. For this, spin injection using local electrodes and spin transport in lateral direction needs to be achieved.

We demonstrate here that spin-polarized charge carriers can indeed be injected into monolayer WSe2 using local permalloy...
electrodes and transported inside the 2D semiconductor in lateral direction. We realize a light-emitting diode to demonstrate spin injection by integrating WSe₂ with MoS₂ to form a vertical p–n junction capable of electroluminescence. This geometry allows us to keep the device simple because we do not need to use additional local gate electrodes to induce a lateral p–n junction. We can control the circular polarization of emitted light by injecting spin-polarized carriers with a permalloy electrode. On Figure 1a, we show an optical micrograph of our device based on a van der Waals heterostructure composed of monolayers of MoS₂ and WSe₂, forming an atomically sharp vertical p–n junction. It is assembled by transferring MoS₂ on top of WSe₂ exfoliated on a SiO₂ substrate. Electrical contacts to both 2D semiconductors are fabricated using electron-beam lithography. We show the band alignment diagram for our device on Supplementary Figure 1. Au is used for injecting electrons into MoS₂, while WSe₂ is contacted using ferromagnetic permalloy (Ni/Fe alloy in an 81:19 ratio, 75 nm thickness) with the aim of injecting spin-polarized holes into MoS₂. Previous polarization-dependent photoluminescence studies have shown that valley polarization can be optically induced in monolayer WSe₂ with excitons being formed in K and K′ valleys. The optically active heterojunction then serves as a spin-valley detector. In graphene-based devices, the high mobility of the material and low contact resistances require using tunnel barriers at contacts in order to encapsulate the device and improve its stability. In order to verify spin injection in our heterostructures, we also fabricate a set of nonmagnetic devices with a Pd/Au electrode in place of permalloy.

Under the application of a positive bias voltage to the permalloy electrode, holes are injected from it into WSe₂ while electrons are injected from the gold electrode into MoS₂, Figure 1b. At forward bias, the heterojunction p–n diode can emit light with the highest emitted light intensity at the edge of the heterojunction (Supplementary Figure 3). Figure 1c shows a representative electroluminescence (EL) spectrum acquired from the device at 4.2 K for a forward current of 4 μA. We do not observe any light emission or appreciable current for a reverse bias (Supplementary Figure 3), which is indicative of a p–n junction. The EL spectrum is related to the direct band gap of WSe₂ and not MoS₂ or the interlayer band gap of the MoS₂/WSe₂ heterostructure, indicating that the recombination process is governed by the band structure of WSe₂. This is due to the band alignment between MoS₂ and WSe₂ as well as the smaller band gap of WSe₂ which results in a conduction band offset of ΔE_c = 0.67 eV and a valence band offset of ΔE_v = 1 eV. Because of this, the injection barriers for holes from MoS₂ into WSe₂ are higher than for electrons from MoS₂ into WSe₂ and the recombination is more likely to occur in WSe₂.

We assign the dominant feature to the negatively charged X⁻ trion, composed of two electrons and a hole, due to an excess of electrons because of n-type doping induced by the HfO₂ passivation layer. At low injected current densities, the impurity bound exciton X²⁻ and the neutral exciton X₀ can also be resolved. Their relative contributions however decrease as the injected current is increased (Supplementary Figure 2). Previous polarization-dependent photoluminescence studies have shown that valley polarization can be optically induced in monolayer WSe₂ with excitons being formed in K and K′ valleys. Because the holes at the valence band edges at the two valleys have opposite spins, using a ferromagnetic electrode to inject spin-polarized holes into WSe₂ allows us to break the valley symmetry and simultaneously induce spin and valley polarization without the use of polarized optical excitation. The optically active heterojunction then serves as the spin-valley detector. In graphene-based devices, the high mobility of the material and low contact resistances require using tunnel barriers at contacts in order to achieve efficient spin injection and to mitigate the impedance matching problem encountered in the realization of semiconductor-based lateral spin valves. In the case of TMDC materials, the relatively high contact resistance could be a solution to the problem of conductivity mismatch and the already present Schottky barriers could allow for efficient spin injection without artificially introducing tunnel barriers, thus simplifying device fabrication. Instead of fabricating a spin valve, we use a light-emitting heterostructure. It presents a convenient way of demonstrating spin injection into semiconductors because the detection mechanism does not require a second, less-than-ideal ferromagnetic electrode, that is, in the case of spin valves,

---

**Figure 1.** Light generation in monolayer WS₂/MoS₂ heterojunction diodes. (a) Optical image of the device. Monolayer WSe₂ is contacted using a ferromagnetic electrode (permalloy). MoS₂ is transferred on top of the MoS₂ channel, forming a heterojunction diode. (b) Schematic drawing of the device. Under the application of a positive bias voltage to the permalloy electrode, holes are injected from the permalloy electrode and recombine in the junction with electrons injected from the MoS₂ side, resulting in light emission. (c) Electroluminescence spectrum, showing light emission dominated by the X⁻ trion resonance. Insets: current–voltage characteristic of the device and the band diagram of the device under forward bias.
which would result in the accumulation of losses in spin injection, transport, and detection. In Figure 2, we illustrate the principle of valley polarization detection in the electro-luminescent device. Figure 2a shows the four configurations of $X^-$ charged excitons in WSe$_2$ that can emit light. Blue and red lines denote spin-up and spin-down polarized conductance and valence band edges in the K and K’ valleys. The polarization of the emitted photon is determined by the valley index of the electron–hole pair that can recombine. (b) Application of an external magnetic field results in the magnetization of the permalloy electrode and injection of spin-polarized holes. In a positive (negative) external magnetic field, a majority of spin-up (spin-down) holes is injected on the WSe$_2$ side of the device. This results in valley symmetry breaking and enhanced emission of $\sigma_+$ ($\sigma_-$) polarized light.

Figure 2. Principle of valley polarization by spin injection and its detection in a spin-valley LED. (a) Configurations of $X^-$ charged excitons in WSe$_2$ that can emit light. Blue and red lines denote spin-up and spin-down polarized conductance and valence band edges in the K and K’ valleys. The polarization of the emitted photon is determined by the valley index of the electron–hole pair that can recombine. (b) Application of an external magnetic field results in the magnetization of the permalloy electrode and injection of spin-polarized holes. In a positive (negative) external magnetic field, a majority of spin-up (spin-down) holes is injected on the WSe$_2$ side of the device. This results in valley symmetry breaking and enhanced emission of $\sigma_+$ ($\sigma_-$) polarized light.

EL spectra for different values of the external magnetic field acquired from the device at 4.2 K are shown on Figure 3. The device is driven at an injection current $I = 4.4$ $\mu$A and the resulting electroluminescence spectra are acquired simultaneously for the $\sigma_+$ and $\sigma_-$ circular polarizations with the use of a calcite beam displacer$^{22}$ that excludes possible artifacts that could be related to time-dependent fluctuations in the device output. At zero field, $\sigma_+$ and $\sigma_-$ components are overlapping with a small difference of $\sim 3\%$ due to the alignment accuracy of the calcite beam displacer. As the field is increased, the permalloy contact is magnetized and is injecting spin-polarized holes into the heterostructure. The spin-imbalance of holes breaks the valley symmetry resulting in the emission of circularly polarized light. At $-5T$, the emission of light with $\sigma_+$ polarization, corresponding to interband transitions in the K valley, is suppressed, while EL for $\sigma_-$ (transitions in the K’ valley) is enhanced. As the field direction is reversed, emission of $\sigma_+$ polarized light is enhanced and $\sigma_-$ is suppressed. This is the first demonstration of spin injection and resulting valley polarization in a heterostructure based on 2D semiconductors.

Figure 3. Electroluminescence spectra from a spin/valley LED for different values of magnetic fields, acquired for $\sigma_+$ and $\sigma_-$ polarizations.
We extract the magnitude of spin polarization from the EL spectra by fitting them to three Lorentzian curves, corresponding to $X^-$, $X^+$ and $X^1$ transitions, Supplementary Figure 4. We concentrate on the dominant peak, attributed to the $X^-$ charged exciton and neglect other features in the spectra since the extraction of their intensities would be much more strongly dependent on the fit quality than in the case of the dominant $X^-$ transition. We define the degree of circular polarization for the $X^-$ transition as $P_{\text{circ}}(B) = (I_+ - I_-)/(I_+ + I_-)$ where $I_+$ and $I_-$ correspond to peak intensities of the $X^-$ exciton for the $\sigma_+$ and $\sigma_-$ polarizations. On Figure 4a, we show the magnetic field dependence of circular polarization for the $X^-$ component by plotting $\Delta P_{\text{circ}}(B) = P_{\text{circ}}(B) - P_{\text{circ}}(B = 0)$, where $P_{\text{circ}}(B = 0) = 3\%$ is the systematic error due to the alignment accuracy of the calcite beam displacer. Because the external magnetic field is aligned along the hard magnetic axis of the 75 nm thick permalloy electrode, the magnetic response of circular polarization shows only a very small amount of hysteresis.

In addition to valley polarization due to the injection of spin-polarized holes, the circular polarization of the light emitted by our devices with a ferromagnetic contact also contains a contribution due to valley polarization in WSe$_2$ induced by the external magnetic field.\textsuperscript{40,41} In order to distinguish between these two contributions, we also fabricate a device with nonmagnetic electrodes (Supplementary section 4). In contrast to the device with permalloy electrodes, the control device shows a much smaller change in the degree of circular polarization as the external magnetic field is swept (Figure 4a and Supplementary Figure 6) on the order of $\sim$0.5%/T. For a magnetic field of 5 T, we reach a total $\Delta P_{\text{circ}} \approx 20\%$. After subtraction of the contribution from the valley polarization in WSe$_2$ due to the magnetic field of $P_{\text{circ}} \approx 2.5\%$ at 5 T, the contribution to circular polarization due to the spin polarization of charge carriers $P_{\text{spin}} = P_{\text{circ}} - P_{\text{circ}} \approx 17.5\%$ (Supplementary Figure 7), showing that the permalloy electrode can efficiently inject spin-polarized charge carriers. Future contact optimization could result in even higher levels of spin polarization.

While the injection of spin-polarized charge carriers from the magnetized electrode can induce valley polarization, that is, cause an imbalance in the charge distributions in K and K' valleys, the application of a magnetic field in the Faraday geometry also lifts the degeneracy via the valley Zeeman effect,\textsuperscript{41,42} previously seen in photoluminescence experiments on TMDC monolayers. Here, we also observe the valley Zeeman effect via electroluminescence and in heterostructures. It gives rise to splitting between the $X^-$ transition peaks in $\sigma_+$ and $\sigma_-$ electroluminescence spectra. Figure 4b shows the magnetic field dependence of the splitting $\Delta_{K-K'}$ after subtraction of $\Delta_{K-K'}(B = 0) = 4.2$ meV due to the alignment accuracy of the calcite displacer. A slope of $0.47 \pm 0.08$ meV/T$^7$ is found, reflecting high charge densities in our device due to doping induced by the deposition of the HfO$_2$ passivation layer. Because the valley Zeeman effect is due to a difference in magnetic-field induced shifts of the conduction and valence bands in the TMDC monolayer and does not require the injection of spin-polarized charge carriers, the control device with nonmagnetic electrodes shows a similar valley Zeeman effect as the device with the permalloy electrode, Figure 4b.

We have used a light-emitting diode based on the van der Waals heterostructure geometry to demonstrate spin injection and resulting valley polarization in a 2D semiconductor. Circularly polarized electroluminescence from the LED shows that spin-polarized charge carriers can be injected across the Schottky barrier between a ferromagnetic electrode and WSe$_2$ and transported inside the 2D semiconductor, resulting in valley polarization due to spin-valley locking in TMDC materials and in a device geometry that can enable more complicated structures in future. The degree of spin/valley polarization can be manipulated by controlling the magnetization of the injecting electrode. Our demonstration of spin injection into a 2D semiconductor-based heterostructure and a spin-valley LED tunable via an external magnetic field proposes a way to control the valley polarization in TMDC materials without optical excitation and could allow a host of new valleytronic devices based on electrical and magnetic control of valley polarization in 2D semiconductors.

**Materials and Methods.** Single layers of MoS$_2$ (SPI supplies) and WSe$_2$ (2Dsemiconductors.com) are exfoliated from bulk crystals onto silicon substrates covered by a 270 nm thick layer of thermal oxide. Monolayer samples are identified by optical microscopy.\textsuperscript{14} Once identified, monolayer MoS$_2$ is transferred onto WSe$_2$ using a polymer stamp transfer.
technique. Electrical contacts are fabricated using e-beam lithography and thermal evaporation of 75 nm thick permalloy, capped with 25 nm of gold in order to prevent oxidation in air. Light-emitting diodes are characterized at 4.2 K in an optical cryostat with a built-in superconducting magnet (Oxford Instruments). The emitted radiation was collected and analyzed using a grating spectrometer (Andor Shamrock 500i) equipped with a thermoelectrically cooled CCD camera (Andor Newton 970). Electrical measurements were performed using a DAQ card (National Instruments NI-PXI 4461) and a current amplifier (Stanford Research SR570). Spectra for $\sigma$ and $\pi$ polarizations are simultaneously acquired using a calcite beam displacing prism.
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