Copper-Based Electrochemical Sensor with Palladium Electrode for Cathodic Stripping Voltammetry of Manganese
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ABSTRACT: In this work, we report on the development of a palladium-based, microfabricated point-of-care electrochemical sensor for the determination of manganese using square wave cathodic stripping voltammetry. Heavy metals require careful monitoring, yet current methods are too complex for a point-of-care system. Voltammetry offers an attractive approach to metal detection on the microscale, but traditional carbon, gold, or platinum electrodes are difficult or expensive to microfabricate, preventing widespread use. Our sensor uses palladium working and auxiliary electrodes and integrates them with a copper-based reference electrode for simple fabrication and compatibility with microfabrication and printed circuit board processing, while maintaining competitive performance in electrochemical detection. Copper electrodes were prepared on glass substrate using a combination of microfabrication procedures followed by electrodeposition of palladium. The disposable sensor system was formed by bonding a poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) well to the glass substrate. Cathodic stripping voltammetry of manganese using our new disposable palladium-based sensors exhibited 334 nM (18.3 ppb) limit of detection in borate buffer. The sensor was used to demonstrate manganese determination in natural water samples from a pond in Burnet Woods, located in Cincinnati, OH, and the Ohio River.

Monitoring manganese (Mn) in the environment has become increasingly important because of greater use in various products and better understanding of its adverse effects on health. Mn is an essential element that is critical to metabolism due to its involvement in enzyme activation; yet, it is toxic in high concentrations. Apart from industry, agricultural cultivation, fertilizer, and use of fungicides, such as maneb and mancozeb, are also potential sources for human exposure. Other concerns include the manganese-based additive methylcyclopentadienyl manganese tricarbonyl (MMT), which replaced lead (Pb) in gasoline as an antiknock agent, and Mn use in rechargeable cell phone batteries.

According to the toxicological profile for Mn issued by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, the highest acceptable level of Mn is only 50 ppb (0.91 μM) for drinking water. A recent case reported elevated biological concentration of Mn in blood, hair, and urine of a 10-year-old child; the only identified source was from the residence’s well water (1.21 ppm). Thus, there is a growing need for analytical methods that can be used to precisely monitor the concentration of Mn at low levels in a variety of media from drinking water to bodily fluids in humans.

The conventional methods for determining Mn are based on atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) or inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS). These methods provide high accuracy and sensitivity, but suffer from a number of disadvantages. The bulky instrument, severe delays in turnaround time due to shipping to a centralized lab, requirement for specialized personnel, and expensive cost all prevent these techniques from being applied in point-of-care (POC) measurements. Electrochemical techniques, such as stripping voltammetry, offer a viable option with more rapid analysis, simpler instrumentation, and lower cost, which is more suitable for POC applications. And, it is capable of limits of detection (LODs) in the sub-part-per-billion (μg/L) range. Stripping voltammetry involves a preconcentration step during which the working electrode is biased at a certain potential until an adequate amount of target analyte has been deposited at the electrode and a stripping step to remove the deposited analyte.
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from the electrode surface while generating a faradaic current that is related to the analyte concentration in the sample.

Anodic stripping voltammetry (ASV) has been considered as the most widespread, trace-level electrochemical sensing technique, which defines the preconcentration step to happen at a potential to reduce target analyte, and the stripping step scanning in the positive direction to strip the deposited analyte by oxidation giving anodic current that is measured for quantification. However, ASV is challenging for Mn determination because the very negative potential needed to reduce Mn ions does not fit the negative potential working ranges of most solid electrodes. Previous measurements of Mn using Bi or carbon nanotube (CNT) electrodes produced distorted voltammograms and obscure peaks because the Mn stripping peak occurs at a very negative potential which is close to the reduction potential of H⁺. Thus, an alternative approach to circumvent the interference from H⁺ reduction current is needed for reliable and accurate determination of Mn at the low concentrations required for practical applications.

Cathodic stripping voltammetry (CSV) is an alternative approach to ASV that offers a number of advantages for determining Mn. CSV is the electrochemical reverse of ASV and is performed by preconcentrating at a potential to oxidize aqueous ions to insoluble oxides that deposit on the electrode, followed by stripping in the negative potential direction to reduce them back to the soluble ionic form giving cathodic current that is measured for quantification. Figure 1a illustrates the key steps for CSV of Mn, with trace Mn(II) being oxidized to Mn(IV) which hydrolyzes into insoluble manganese dioxide or hydrate on the electrode surface. Compared with ASV, the CSV approach is less susceptible to oxygen and intermetallic interferences. Also, a large selection of common electrode materials is available for CSV. Apart from mercury, which is toxic and not environmentally friendly, this approach has been used with platinum, glassy carbon or carbon film, graphite, CNT, or boron-doped diamond electrodes. Despite the stable performance and low detection limits on some of these materials, miniaturization and integration of such electrodes into POC sensor systems remain challenging.

Herein, we discuss the use of the copper (Cu)-based sensor we reported recently for determination of Mn by CSV. The copper-based sensor presents a good platform for POC determination of metals, with demonstrated excellent performance for ASV determination of zinc and lead. However, the Cu working electrode (WE) is not suitable for Mn determination by either form of stripping voltammetry due to insufficient potential range. It lacks the negative potential range needed for ASV of Mn, and it is not suitable for CSV of Mn either because its oxidation at positive potentials prevents formation of Mn(IV) in the deposition step. Thus, to broaden the potential window and protect electrodes from degradation by oxidation, we electrodeposited a thin layer of palladium (Pd) on both the Cu WE and the Cu auxiliary electrode (AE) as shown in the close-up photograph of the sensor electrodes in Figure 1c. However, to simplify fabrication, we continue to use the Cu/CuCl₂ reference electrode (RE), which we previously showed to offer sufficiently stable performance in anodic stripping for disposable sensors. The Pd WE sensor exhibits favorable response for Mn in pH 9.0, 0.1 M borate buffer with a detection limit of 334 nM (18.3 ppb). Using the sensor in a standard addition approach, we successfully measured 1.74 μM (95.5 ppb) Mn in sample of water from the Ohio River. This work showcases the flexibility of the copper-based sensor design, which can be easily modified by simple coating of the working electrode. This is also the first demonstration of Mn determination by CSV on a microscale sensor. Ultimately, with additional integration of miniaturized potentiostat electronics, a portable sensor system capable of Mn determination in water samples is envisioned.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Reagents. Reagents were prepared from chemicals purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific, unless noted otherwise. Piranha solution was prepared from H₂SO₄ and H₂O₂ in 7:3 (v/v) ratio. Copper etchant was prepared from H₂SO₄, H₂O₂, and deionized (DI) water in 1:1:10 (v/v/v) ratio. Titanium etchant was prepared from HNO₃, HF, and DI water in 1:2:7 (v/v/v) ratio. Palladium electroplating solution was purchased from Technic Inc. (PALLASPEED RTU). A 1 M borate buffer was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. A 0.1 M borate buffer was prepared by dilution of the commercial buffer with DI water. Borate buffers with pH from 8.0 to 9.75 were prepared by addition of NaOH(s) to the diluted commercial buffer. Solutions containing 25–600 ppb (455 nM to 10.9 μM) Mn were prepared by diluting Mn stock solution (TraceCERT, 1000 mg/L Mn⁺⁺ in 2% nitric acid, Fluka Analytical) with borate buffer.

Sensor Fabrication. The fabrication procedures for the palladium-coated Cu electrochemical sensor include a single photolithography step, followed by two electrodeposition steps. Metal layers of 20 nm of titanium (Ti)/200 nm Cu were then evaporated (Temescal FC-1800 E-Beam evaporator) onto glass substrates cleaned in Piranha solution. Anetch mask of ~2 μm was formed using photolithography with Shipley 1818 photoresist and developer 351. The three-electrode patterns with contact pads were formed by wet etching in Cu etchant for 10 s followed by Ti etchant for 3 s, with 1 min of rinse in DI water after each etching step. A polymer well with ~9 mm diameter and 3 mm thickness was fabricated in poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) using the standard soft lithography process. It was bonded to a clean glass substrate containing the electrode patterns using plasma discharge (BD-20A, Electro-
Technic Products Inc.) after 20 s of treatment on the PDMS surface only. An interface consisting of an edge-board connector (EBC05DRAS, Sullins) and a mini-USB port were soldered on a printed circuit board to simplify and improve connection between the sensor and the potentiostat. The surface area for the WE, RE, and AE are 0.019, 0.023, and 0.139 cm², respectively. The Pd WE and AE were formed by deposition of Pd at 5 mA/cm² cathodic current for 90 s with a Pt wire AE to sustain the current. The Cu/CuCl₂ RE was fabricated by chloridizing Cu in 1 M KCl with a 3 mA/cm² anodic current for 30 s.

**Samples.** We chose two natural water samples to analyze using our sensor. The pond water sample was collected from Burnet Woods pond (Cincinnati, OH, August 9, 2013). River water sample was collected from the Ohio River (Newport, KY shore, November 30, 2012). Both samples were collected in conical tubes (Falcon 15 mL conical centrifuge tubes), at least 10 mL volume. Samples were diluted with 0.2 M borate buffer (pH 9.0) by a factor of 2, which yielded pH 8.96. Samples were analyzed immediately upon completion of dilution using the method of standard additions discussed below.

**Electrochemical Experiments.** A miniature USB potentiostat (WaveNow, Pine Instruments, Inc.) with AfterMath Data Organizer software was used for all electrochemical measurements. A sensor was inserted into the interface and connected to the potentiostat using a mini-USB cable. The sample volume was 100 μL for all the experiments in borate buffer. For the study of stability of our Cu/CuCl₂ RE, we measured open circuit potential (OCP) between the Cu/CuCl₂ RE and a double-junction Ag/AgCl RE (MI-401F, Microelelectrodes Inc.), which was used as a standard reference electrode. We performed cyclic voltammetry (CV) in pH 9.0 0.1 M borate buffer to confirm the potential window of the electroplated Pd electrode and the position of the Mn reduction peak. After a series of optimizations of CSV parameters in pH 9.0, 0.1 M borate buffer, we used the following parameters: 0.7 V as preconcentration potential with 600 s duration, stripping range from 0.7 to −0.2 V; waveform parameters of 70 ms for period, 4 mV for increment, and 25 mV for amplitude. Manganese from 455 nM (25 ppb) to 10.9 μM (600 ppb) in borate buffer was used to construct the calibration curve and calculate LOD as 3σ/slope. We used the same stripping parameters for the detection of Mn concentrations in natural water samples, while using the method of standard addition to determine the concentration of Mn in the original samples.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Novel Pd WE Sensor.** Our previous work demonstrated a miniature electrochemical sensor with a Bi WE for determination of Mn, which exhibits a very negative stripping potential. The sensor was approximately 15 × 19 mm², required only microliters of sample, and performed an analysis in less than 15 min. Although the Bi WE performed reasonably well, with LOD = 5 μM, the shape of the stripping peak was not ideal and it occurred on the shoulder of H⁺ reduction current despite optimization efforts. In addition, the fabrication procedure for these electrodes was complex and costly, requiring multiple photolithography, e-beam evaporation, and lift-off process steps.

To address the aforementioned shortcomings of the Bi WE sensors, we developed a sensor for cathodic stripping of Mn based on a Pd thin film. We used Pd due to its similarity with Pt and the ability to offer stable performance at the positive potential used for the preconcentration step in CSV of Mn. Pt offers stable performance, but the high cost and difficulties in fabrication make it less desirable for disposable devices. The commonly used Au exhibits a reduction peak that overlaps with the cathodic stripping peak of Mn. Ultimately, Pd offers a sufficiently wide potential window for CSV of Mn, and at a substantially lower cost.

Fabrication of our new Pd WE sensor is based on the Cu thin-film electrochemical cell we introduced recently specifically for POC applications. Our sensor consists of a Pd WE, a Pd AE, and a Cu/CuCl₂ RE, as illustrated in Figure 1b. To simplify fabrication, the Pd layer for the WE and AE was electrodeposited on top of a patterned Cu seed layer. In prior work we used this Cu seed layer for direct determination of metals with mildly negative stripping potentials, such as Zn. Here, however, the Cu WE proved to be inadequate for determining Mn as its potential window was simply not sufficiently negative to permit anodic stripping of Mn or sufficiently positive for cathodic stripping of Mn. For the RE, using Cu/CuCl₂ can further simplify fabrication and eliminate the additional step of electroplating silver in the fabrication of a Ag/AgCl RE. The layout of the electrode patterns was generally similar to our earlier work, with a user-friendly interface that integrated an edge-board connector and a mini-USB port to provide simplified connection and accessibility.

**Pd Auxiliary Electrode.** Since the AE in an electrochemical cell must provide stable current during both preconcentration and stripping steps, we first assessed stability of the Pd AE. In conventional electrochemical cells, AEs are fabricated from inert materials, such as Pt or graphite. Since Pd is a platinum group metal, we expected it to perform similarly to Pt. We compared these two metals using chronopotentiometry at 10 μA current, which is the typical upper limit of current we see in cathodic stripping experiments. During this experiment, we used a graphite electrode as the cathode, with Pd or Pt as the anode. As expected, the Pt electrode maintained a stable potential at about 1.3 V for the oxidation of water during the entire 60 min experiment (Figure 2a), indicating that it is an
excellent, perfectly polarizable AE. The electrodeposited Pd AE was also perfectly polarizable and sustained a potential of approximately 1.1 V. This experiment showed that a thin layer of Pd film could protect the Cu layer underneath from oxidation and ensure reproducibility of measurements with even longer preconcentration times. Next, we examined the electrochemical performance of the Cu/CuCl2 RE.

**Cu/CuCl2 Reference Electrode.** Although we demonstrated stability of the microfabricated Cu/CuCl2 RE in acidic buffer in our previous work, herein we investigated its stability under the basic buffer conditions necessary for CSV of Mn by comparing it with the commonly used Ag/AgCl RE. Excessive instability of the reference electrode can lead to difficulties in peak assignment in samples that contain mixtures of metals and give multiple peaks, or can interfere with peak quantitation if an incorrect deposition potential is applied. By monitoring the OCP against a commercially available double-junction Ag/AgCl RE in pH 9.0, 0.1 M borate buffer, we observed differences between the two REs (Figure 2b). For the Cu/CuCl2 RE, this difference compared to the commercial RE reached a stable value of 3.4 ± 9.8 mV in 306 s, while for the Ag/AgCl RE the difference was approximately 14.6 ± 3.9 mV in 68 s. This difference in the response time may be due to differences in solubility of CuCl2 and AgCl in pH 9.0 borate buffer.

Our previous results show the response times of the two REs in acetate buffer to be 28 and 46 s, respectively, which suggests that, in basic buffer, it takes longer for some of the chloride layer of the REs to dissolve and stabilize the RE. To further confirm the response time of Cu/CuCl2 RE, we evaluated the potential between WE and RE during the preconcentration step of CSV using a potentiostat and an independent multimeter and found that the potential reached the designated value within 8 s. The drift in the reference electrode potential can cause quantitative and qualitative errors in data collection and analysis beyond simple inaccuracies in electrode potential can cause quantitative and qualitative errors in the measured potential. After ~10 min, when the potentials of both REs equilibrated, we calculated the drift rate of our voltammograms were carefully examined and compared (see the Supporting Information). On the basis of these comparisons, pH 9.0 was selected because the Mn²⁺ ion is not sufficiently soluble in more basic pHs. At this pH, a flat region from 700 mV to ~0 V can be clearly observed, which indicates the potential range suitable for reduction of metals.

Adding 10 ppm Mn to the buffer illustrates the reduction peaks for Mn in the 0 to 200 mV range (Figure 3b). The Pd reduction peaks in both curves correspond to reduction of a thin layer of palladium oxide covering the surface of the Pd WE. Considering that the peaks occurred far more negative than Mn reduction, at −170, −270, and −600 mV for borate buffer, and −200, −300, and −630 mV for buffer with 10 ppm Mn, we believe they would not interfere with the stripping process of manganese oxide in CSV. The potential range of the Pd WE in different pHs was further demonstrated by CSV of 5 μM Mn (Figure 3c).

We optimized the current density used for electrodeposition of the Pd film on WE and AE before optimizing parameters for stripping voltammetry. On the basis of the earlier work on electrodeposition of Pd and the information provided by the manufacturer of the plating solution, we defined the range of deposition current from 700 μA to 1 mA (~4.4–6.3 mA/cm², since the total surface area of WE + AE = 0.158 cm²). Four values of plating current were evaluated (Figure 4a) according to the film thickness, surface morphologies, and most importantly, peak shape and amplitude of the Mn stripping voltammograms, by performing CSV in a 100 μL sample with 5 μM Mn. The average thickness of the Pd film was ~100 ± 10 nm for all four currents, while the surface roughness varied according to plating current.

Figure 3. (a) CVs of electroplated Pd WE in 0.1 M borate buffer of various pH. (b) CV of pH 9, 0.1 M borate buffer alone and with additional 10 ppm Mn; the inset illustrates close-up of the Mn reduction peak. (c) CSV of 5 μM Mn at different pHs of borate buffer, with the inset illustrating the Mn stripping peak. The red arrow marks the potential range for this Pd electrode.
Although 1 mA current generated a slightly thicker film, hydrogen embrittlement occurred causing higher surface roughness of $\sim 8.0 \pm 4.2$ nm and a burned appearance on the film.$^{32,44}$ Also, the film exhibited stress that led to curled edges that tended to peel off even with delicate handling. Thus, the Mn stripping signal was small and had a large variation (Figure 4a). The Pd film generated by 900 $\mu$A current suffered from the same issue as 1 mA, only to a smaller extent with a roughness of $2.3 \pm 1.4$ nm. The film quality improved as the current decreased to 800 $\mu$A, which no longer showed burned spots and the surface roughness was reduced to $1.4 \pm 0.8$ nm. The current of 700 $\mu$A seemed not enough since the film roughness increased to $4.0 \pm 2.2$ nm, and a faint pink color suggested only partial coverage of the Cu substrate. While the Mn peak from electrodes plated by 700 $\mu$A current provided acceptable amplitude, the variability was not favorable. The results from Mn stripping and their reproducibility in Figure 4a illustrate 800 $\mu$A ($\sim 5.1$ mA/cm$^2$) to be the optimal value of current, based on the largest signal amplitude and small variation. Figure 4, parts b and c, shows a smooth Pd film on electrodes deposited by 800 $\mu$A current. With the deposition parameter finalized, we examined the buffer condition for preconcentration of Mn.

**Optimization of CSV Parameters.** To further explore the electrochemical characteristics of the Pd WE sensor, we investigated the effect of buffer pH on the stripping of Mn. CSV was performed in 0.1 M borate buffers with pHs in the 8–9.75 range. As results in Figure 5a demonstrate, for pH = 8 buffer no detectable Mn was observed because the solution was too acidic for manganese oxide to form or remain stable. Although it was possible to measure Mn at pH 8.5, the voltammograms suffered from substantial signal noise, which led to significant variability. More basic pH $\geq 9$ provided a suitable environment for the formation of MnO$_2$, but no distinct differences could be observed from the voltammograms. Since Mn$^{2+}$ precipitates easily in buffer pH $> 10$, we chose pH 9.0 which gave the largest peak amplitude and best reproducibility.

The preconcentration step is critical in any stripping technique, and thus we optimized this step for CSV. The preconcentration potential was varied from 0.6 to 0.9 V with intervals of 0.1 V. As results in Figure 5b show a potential of 0.6 V was too negative to maximize oxidation of Mn$^{2+}$; thus, the amplitude of the manganese oxide reduction peak was rather small. The peak amplitude was improved at potentials more positive than 0.6 V, but Pd oxidation became predominant above 0.8 V, and the peak we observed on the voltammograms shifted from $\sim 300$ to 650 mV, due to reduction of a largely increased amount of palladium oxide. Thus, we chose 0.7 V as the optimum potential for generating a sufficiently large amount of manganese oxide for detection without causing problems with peak height measurement because of the interfering palladium oxide reduction wave.

We evaluated the effect of preconcentration time on sensitivity (Figure 5c) to find the minimum time for adequate sensitivity (i.e. substantial depletion of metal ion from the sample). We observed the usual increase in Mn peak amplitude with deposition time as more Mn from the sample was deposited on the WE. The coefficients of variation of the stripping peak for 5 and 10 min were both 11%, illustrating that 5 and 10 min could generate reproducible voltammograms. This high degree of reproducibility illustrates an excellent performance for a disposable sensor. But at 15 min it dramatically increased to $\sim 39\%$, suggesting a major change in the condition of the WE. We found that the thin Pd film was not sufficiently thick to protect the underlying Cu layer for such a long deposition time during which some Pd was being oxidized. We observed gradual peeling at the edges of the Pd film on both the WE and the AE which exposed Cu, making it available for oxidation at the deposition potential and disrupting Mn preconcentration. Under agitation, the Pd film was easily removed, leaving only the 20 nm of Ti seed layer to
sustain the current. This created a sudden increase in resistance that was accompanied by disintegration of the WE, and the sensor could no longer perform the experiment and had to be discarded. Consequently, we chose 10 min for the preconcentration time to maximize the stripping peak for Mn while consistently avoiding this problem with WE durability. A shorter preconcentration time may be possible in the future if agitation of the sample is improved, for example, by using an acoustic transducer.48

Optimum waveform parameters for stripping by square wave (SW) voltammetry were found to be the default Osteryoung settings45 of 25 mV amplitude, 70 ms period, and 4 mV increment. Varying the waveform parameters sometimes increased the peak height, but always tended to distort the voltammograms, broaden the peaks, or even create huge peaks in the background, making it challenging to accurately quantify the Mn peak current. Therefore, we used the default values for Osteryoung square wave voltammetry.

Calibration in Borate Buffer. Following optimization of experimental and stripping waveform parameters, a calibration curve was constructed by performing CSV in 100 μL of borate buffer (0.1 M, pH 9.0) with 25–600 ppb (455 nM to 10.9 μM) of Mn spiked, as shown in Figure 6. This range brackets the concentration range of the analyte.

The resulting calibration curve (Figure 6b) exhibited a strong linear relationship between peak current of Mn stripping and Mn concentration. The correlation equation was $I (μA) = (0.106(±0.006))(μM) + 0.102(±0.024)$ ($R^2 = 0.986$ for 7 data points). The sensor exhibited good sensitivity $0.106 μA/μM$ ($5.575 μA/μM/cm^2$ when normalized to WE area). The detection limit was calculated to be $LOD = 334 nM$ (18.3 ppb) based on $3σ/slope (n = 7)$. Measurements of Mn using bulk electrodes reported by Banks et al.4 showed a $740 nM$ LOD using ASV on a boron-doped diamond electrode, while Yue et al.5 reported 120 and 93 nM LODs for ASV and CSV using a metal catalyst free CNT electrode. Compared with these bulk electrodes, our Pd microelectrode is able to provide competitive performance and yet more convenient measurements.

Determination of Water Manganese. To demonstrate performance of our sensor in environmental samples, we chose samples of water from the Ohio River and a pond in Burnet Woods, OH as representative natural water samples. We first tested pond water and spiked it with Mn (see the Supporting Information), since water from this pond was previously examined by AAS and CSV and showed no detectable Mn.5 We spiked 73.6 ppb of Mn into the sample, measured $71.3 ± 8.7$ ppb of Mn using the standard addition method. This demonstrates accuracy of 97% with 17% precision ($n = 3$). This experiment demonstrates the capability of our Pd WE to accurately determine concentrations of Mn in a natural water sample.

On the basis of the performance in a spiked water sample, we used our sensor to determine unknown Mn concentration in a river water sample. We also diluted the sample with pH 9.0, 0.2 M borate buffer by a factor of 2X. The standard addition method was again applied, using 50, 100, and 200 ppb of additional Mn. The voltammograms in Figure 7a illustrate the peak potentials of different concentrations of Mn to be 296, 196, 156, and 184 mV, respectively, showing the RE was influenced by the water sample matrix as well. They follow the migration profile related to Mn levels as discussed in previous
Recent work by Banks et al. 4 and Locatelli and Torsi 21 with Mn due to di-reduction/oxidation potentials and are not likely to interfere.

Pb2+, and Fe3+ have no measurable e.

0.110(Mn μM) + 0.116(±0.020) (R² = 0.984 for 4 data points). We calculated the Mn concentration using the equation above while considering the dilution factor. The Mn concentration in the Mn concentration using the equation above while considering the dilution factor. M

The Mn concentration in Ohio River was determined as 1.74 μM (5.57 μA/μM/cm² normalized to WE area), and good linearity in the 455 nM to 10.9 μM range. This is a significant improvement in performance compared with our previous work. In experiments with water samples, good quality peaks were observed that can be used to quantify the concentration of Mn using the method of standard additions. Ultimately, while our miniaturized palladium-based voltammetric sensors are unable to match the precision and limits of detection of modern spectroscopic and mass spectrometry techniques, the measurements that they are able to do are in the relevant range and use low-cost materials with simple fabrication, which is more favorable for disposable sensors.

CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrated a palladium-based electrochemical sensor for CSV and demonstrated the determination of Mn in buffer and environmental water samples. Compared with ASV, CSV is a viable alternative for detecting metals whose reduction potentials are too negative for the potential window of common WE in ASV. This work also showcases the flexibility of our copper-based sensor platform, which can be easily modified by simple coating of the WE surface. To our knowledge, this is also the first demonstration of Mn determination by CSV on a microscale sensor.

Several features make this sensor ideally suited for POC applications. First, Pd is a relatively low-cost electrode material compared to Au or Pt. Though Pd is not a commonly used material for electroanalytical systems, it provides stable potential as AE and sufficient potential window for CSV of Mn as WE. We also demonstrated that the sensor with a Cu/ CuCl2 RE was sufficiently stable for CSV with a preconcentration time as long as 600 s. Thus, the sensors are qualified to be low-cost disposable sensors for POC instruments. Second, the microfabrication procedure of our palladium-based sensor is relatively simple. Microfabrication offers the potential for mass production, which can further reduce the cost of the sensor. Simple fabrication also helps to reduce the device-to-device variation, leading to relatively low 11% variability. This advantage is crucial for POC applications where disposable sensors are used, as it becomes possible to minimize errors introduced through sensor manufacturing.

Finally, the sensor offers competitive performance for electrochemical determination of Mn. By optimizing experimental parameters, the sensor exhibits LOD = 334 nM (18.3 ppb), good sensitivity of 0.106 μA/μM (S/S, μA/μM/cm² normalized to WE area), and good linearity in the 455 nM to 10.9 μM range. This is a significant improvement in performance compared with our previous work. In experiments with water samples, good quality peaks were observed that can be used to quantify the concentration of Mn using the method of standard additions. Ultimately, while our miniaturized palladium-based voltammetric sensors are unable to match the precision and limits of detection of modern spectroscopic and mass spectrometry techniques, the measurements that they are able to do are in the relevant range and use low-cost materials with simple fabrication, which is more favorable for disposable sensors.
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