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Abstract

The geodesic equations are considered in static mass imbedded in a uniform electromagnetic field. Due to electromagnetic field horizon shrinks and geodesics are modified. By analyzing the behavior of the effective potentials for the massless and massive particle we study the radial and circular trajectories. Radial geodesics for both photons and particles are solved exactly. It is shown that a particle falls toward the horizon in a finite proper time slows down so that the particle reaches the singularity in longer time than Schwarzschild case. Timelike and null circular geodesics are investigated. We have shown that, there is no stable circular orbits for photons, however stable and unstable second kind orbits are exists for massive particle. An exact analytical solution for the innermost circular orbits (ISCO) has been obtained. It has been shown that the radius of ISCO shrinks due to the presence of electromagnetic field.

1 Introduction

A solution that unify the two well known solutions of General Relativity (GR), Schwarzschild (S) and Bertotti-Robinson (BR) solution [1-2], in a common metric has been obtained previously [3]. This metric represents a S mass embedded in an external em BR universe. We shall phrased hereafter the solution as the Schwarzschild Electromagnetic Black Hole (SEBH). The SEBH solution is obtained by solving the Einstein-Maxwell (EM) field equations with S and BR solutions as boundary conditions. The resulting solution is partly transformable to the Reissner-Nordström (RN) solution by Birkhoff theorem. From mathematical point of view, SEBH is nothing but the interpolation of two exact solutions of EM field equations. Recall that, considering an isolated system in a uniform external em field has been studied by many researches. To be more precise, we are concerned with the SBH with an em field [4-7].

In Newtonian gravity of flat space a chargless particle do not interact with em field. However, through the curvature of the space in GR a chargless mass do interacts with the surrounding em field. Therefore as a result of embedding S in an external em BR universe, horizon and particle geodesics are modified. The main idea of this paper is to investigate both the radial and circular geodesics in SEBH. In particular, we want to expose the effect of coupling S with BR on the trajectories of the null and timelike geodesics.
On the other hand, the geodesic structure has been studied earlier for SBH and RNBH [8], Kerr-Taub-NUT BH [9] and recently Kerr Newman-Taub-NUT [10]. The geodesics structure in S anti-deSitter BH was studied in [11-13]. The authors in Ref. [13] have found all possible motions which are allowed by the energy levels, radial and circular trajectories were exactly evaluated for both geodesics. Geodesic structure of test particle in Bardeen spacetime was studied in [14]. The study of test particle in RN geometry was investigated in [15]. Analysis of the effective potential in RN de Sitter and Kerr de Sitter spacetime were investigated in [16]. All possible geodesic motions in the extrema S de Sitter geometry was realized in [17]. Analytical solutions and applications of the geodesic equation in S (anti) de Sitter spacetimes was realized in [18]. Recently, the effect of the Rindler parameter on the trajectories of the timelike and null geodesics has been investigated [19] and compared with S geodesics. It is shown that the Rindler acceleration tends to confine the radial geodesics. Motion of massive particles around a rotating BH in a braneworld has been studied in [20]. Where in [20] they have obtain an exact analytical solution for the dependence of the radius of the innermost stable circular orbits (ISCO). Recall that the ISCO represents the minimum possible radius of stable circular orbit. It is known that for SBH the \( r_{\text{ISCO}} \) is 6M [21-22] and for Kerr spacetime is 9M. The ISCO was analysed in Kerr geometry for a spinless particle [23-24]. Whereas the ISCO for the motion of a spin test particles in S and Kerr geometries was investigated in [25].

In this work we address the study of null and timelike geodesic in the background of SEBH. We analysis the effective potentials and found all possible orbits allowed. Radial geodesics for both photon and massive particles were solved exactly. It is shown that, particles falling toward the horizon in a finite proper time in SEBH take longer than SBH case. Timelike and null circular geodesics are studied. We have shown that there are no stable circular orbits for photons and all possible circular orbits obtained are similar to the circular orbits in RNBH. Finally, we have obtained an exact analytical solution for the ISCO in SEBH.

The motivation of this paper is to expose the modified geodesics of SEBH solution which is a result of S immersed in an em universe. The timelike and null trajectories are very important to understand the various physical phenomenon i.e. perihelion shifts, gravitational redshifts, light bending and different observables like Lense-Thirriring effect, gravitational time delay etc. All are phenomenons related to the geodesics. Besides the physical motivation reasons it is also of mathematical important to derive an explicit analytical solution of the geodesics equations in the back ground of SEBH. To achieve our aim we will follow the procedure used in S metric [8], and other studies [26-31]. Our paper is organized as follows: in Sect. 2, we review of SEBH metric and derive the geodesic equations that give us a complete description of the motion of the particle with SEBH metric. In Sect. 3, the radial geodesic for null and timelike particle are solved exactly. In Sect. 4, the effective potential is analyzed and all possible motions of circular orbits for null and massive particle are determined. Also in this Sect. we have obtained an exact analytical solution for
ISCO. Finally we make concluding remarks.

2 Structure of SEBH solution and derivation of geodesic equations

We begin by recalling the SEBH metric which represents the non-linear superposition of the S solution and the BR solution [5,32]:

$$ds^2 = - f(r) dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the lapse function $f(r)$, has the following form

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{M^2}{r^2} (1 - a^2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

in which, $M$ is the S mass coupled to an external em field and $a (0 < a \leq 1)$ is the external parameter. When $a = 1$, the metric reduces to S solution. The case ($a = 0$), which is excluded, is the extremal RN which is transformable to BR metric. As a result of the coupling of S and BR, the horizon shrinks to a significant degree. The horizon is given by

$$r_h = M(1 + a).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

It is clear that $r_h \leq 2M$, since $(0 < a \leq 1)$. By using the Newman-Penrose (NP) formalism [33], we choose the null tetrad 1-form ($l, n, m, \bar{m}$) in terms of the metric functions that satisfies the orthogonality conditions, ($l.n = m.\bar{m} = 1$). Where a bar over a quantity denotes complex conjugation. We assume the null tetrad frame to be given by

$$l_\mu = dt - \frac{dr}{f(r)},$$ $$n_\mu = \frac{1}{2} f(r) dt + \frac{1}{2} dr,$$

$$m_\mu = \frac{-r}{\sqrt{2}} (d\theta + i \sin \theta d\phi),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

and

$$l^\mu = f(r) dt + dr,$$

$$n^\mu = \frac{1}{2} dt - \frac{1}{2} f(r) dr,$$

$$m^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}r} (d\theta + \frac{i}{\sin \theta} d\phi),$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
We determine the nonzero NP complex spin coefficients as,

\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho &= -\frac{1}{r}, \\
\mu &= -\frac{f(r)}{2r}, \\
\gamma &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{df}{dr}, \\
\alpha &= -\beta = -\frac{\cot \theta}{2\sqrt{2}r}.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(6)

We also obtain the Weyl and Ricci scalars

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_2 &= -\frac{Ma}{R^6} \left( a^2 r^3 + (1 - a) \left[ Ma (2 - a) r^2 + M^2 (1 - a) (1 - 2a) r - M^3 (1 - a)^2 \right] \right), \\
\Phi_{11} &= \frac{M^2 (1 - a^2)}{2R^4},
\end{aligned}
\]  

(7)

where

\[ R = ar + (1 - a) M. \]  

(8)

This metric is Petrov type-D spacetime. It is seen that metric (1) satisfies all the required limits as boundary conditions: 

\[
\begin{aligned}
BR \text{ solution} & \to (a = 0, M = 1) \to \Psi_2 = 0, \Phi_{11} = \frac{1}{2}, \\
S \text{ solution} & \to (a = 1, R = r) \to \Psi_2 = -\frac{M}{r^3}, \Phi_{11} = 0, \\
SEBH \text{ solution} & \to (0 < a \leq 1) \to \Psi_2 \neq 0 \neq \Phi_{11}.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(9)

Now we will obtain the geodesics equations which can be derived from the Lagrangian

\[
L = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\mu}{ds} \frac{dx^\nu}{ds},
\]

(10)

where \( s \) is some affine parameter along the geodesics. For SEBH the Lagrangian is

\[
2L = -f(r) \dot{t}^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)} \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \left( \dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}^2 \right),
\]

(11)

in which a dot denotes a differentiation with respect to \( s \). Since the Lagrangian is independent of \((t, \phi)\) the corresponding conjugate momenta are conserved, therefore

\[
E = \frac{dL}{dt} = g_{tt} \frac{dt}{ds} = -f(r) \frac{dt}{ds} = \text{constant}
\]

(12)

and

\[
\ell = \frac{dL}{d\phi} = r^2 \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi} = \text{constant}.
\]

(13)

Let us choose \( \theta = \pi/2 \) and \( \dot{\theta} = 0 \). This means that the motion is restricted to the equatorial plane. Since we have two constants of motion Eqs. (12) and (13) the geodesic equation reduces to

\[
\left( \frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)^2 = \frac{r^4}{\ell^2} \left( E^2 - f(r) \right) \left( \epsilon + \ell^2 \right).
\]

(14)
We can obtain the \( r \) equation as a function of \( s \) and \( t \)

\[
\left( \frac{dr}{ds} \right)^2 = E^2 - f(r) \left( \epsilon + \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} \right),
\]

(15)

\[
\left( \frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{E^2} \left( E^2 - f(r) \left( \epsilon + \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} \right) \right) (f(r))^2.
\]

(16)

The above three equations (14-16) give us a complete description of the motion of the particle. Let us note that, for timelike we choose \( \epsilon = 1 \), and for null geodesics we choose \( \epsilon = 0 \). Eq.(15) can be cast into a familiar form of equation of motion for a unit mass test particle as

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{dr}{ds} \right)^2 + V_{eff}(r) = \epsilon_{eff},
\]

(17)

with an effective energy \( \epsilon_{eff} = \frac{1}{2}E^2 \) and effective potential

\[
V_{eff}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{M^2}{r^2} (1 - a^2) \right) \left( \epsilon + \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} \right).
\]

(18)

For a real classical region, \( r \) is limited by the constraint \( \epsilon_{eff} \leq V_{eff}(r) \). As usual, we introduce \( u = 1/r \) and obtain

\[
\left( \frac{du}{d\phi} \right)^2 = \frac{E^2}{\ell^2} - \left( 1 - 2Mu + M^2 (1 - a^2) u^2 \right) \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\ell^2} + u^2 \right) = g(u).
\]

(19)

### 3 Radial Geodesics

In this section we shall study the motion of the radial geodesics in zero angular momentum (i.e. \( \ell = 0 \)) which means that the motion will remain in the \( \phi = \) constant plane and particle will move radially.

#### 3.1 Null geodesics \( \epsilon = 0 \).

In the case of a massless particle (photon) Eq. (15) simplifies to

\[
\frac{dr}{ds} = \pm E,
\]

(20)

where (-) stands for ingoing photon and (+) stands for outgoing photon. As in S case the solution of Eq. (20) is \( r = \pm E\tau + r_0 \), where \( r_0 \) corresponds to the initial position of the photon. In order to obtain the equation of motion in terms of \( t \), we use Eq.(12) and after some modification we obtain
\[
\frac{dr}{dt} = \pm \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{M^2}{r^2} (1 - a^2) \right). \tag{21}
\]

The solution is given by
\[
\pm (t - t_0) = r + M \log \left( \frac{(r - r_h)(r - M(1 - a))}{M^2 (1 - a^2) - 2Mr_0 + r_0^2} \right) + \frac{M (1 + a^2)}{a} \left[ \arctan \left( \frac{M - r}{aM} \right) - \arctan \left( \frac{M - r_0}{aM} \right) \right]. \tag{22}
\]

Where \(r_0 \) and \(t_0\) are the initial position and time of the massless particle while \(t\) is the time measured by the distance observer. It is seen that, when \(r \to r_h\), then \(t \to \infty\) as in the case of SBH.

### 3.2 Timelike geodesics \(\epsilon = 1\).

Now we will study the equations of motion of a massive particle, therefore Eq. (15) becomes
\[
\left( \frac{dr}{ds} \right)^2 = E^2 - 1 + \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{M^2}{r^2} (1 - a^2). \tag{23}
\]

Choosing \(s\) to be the proper time \(\tau\), then Eq. (23) can be rewritten as
\[
\frac{d^2r}{d\tau^2} = \frac{M^2}{r^3} (1 - a^2) - \frac{M}{r^2}. \tag{24}
\]

In radial motion the effective potential is given by
\[
V_{eff}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{M^2}{r^2} (1 - a^2) \right). \tag{25}
\]

The evolution of the effective potential for the radial particles at different values of the external parameter \(a\) is depicted in Fig. 1. We observe from Fig. 1 that for increasing the external parameter \(a\) the radial orbits become more stable therefore all radial orbits in SEBH are stable. This is because the effective potential Eq. (25) always has a minimum for any value of \(a\) except when \(a = 1\) which corresponds to S spacetime. We also note that Fig. 1 shows there is no upper bound for the radial motion of the particle which implies that particle in SEBH can escape to infinity as in the case of SBH.

Now let us consider the particle falling towards the center from a finite distance \(r_0\) with zero initial velocity where the starting distance is related to the constant \(E\) by \(E^2 = 1 - \frac{2M}{r_0} + \frac{M^2}{r_0^2} (1 - a^2)\). Making the change of variable \(r = r_0 \cos^2 \eta/2\), we obtain
\[
\left( \frac{dr}{d\eta} \right)^2 = M^2 \left( 1 - a^2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{r_0^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) + 2M \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r_0} \right). \tag{26}
\]
Therefore in terms of $\eta$ the equation to be integrated together with $\frac{dr}{d\eta} = -r_0 \sin \frac{\eta}{2} \cos \frac{\eta}{2}$ is

$$\left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 = \tan^2 \frac{\eta}{2} \left( 1 - E^2 - \frac{M^2 (1 - a^2)}{r_0^2 \cos^2 \frac{\eta}{2}} \right).$$

(27)

For infalling particles we consider

$$\frac{dr}{d\tau} = -\tan \frac{\eta}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2M}{r_0} - \frac{M^2}{r_0^2} (1 - a^2) - \frac{M^2 (1 - a^2)}{r_0^2 \cos^2 \frac{\eta}{2}}}$$

(28)

Eq. (28) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d\tau}{d\eta} = \frac{r_0 \cos^2 \frac{\eta}{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{2M}{r_0} - \frac{M^2}{r_0^2} (1 - a^2) - \frac{M^2 (1 - a^2)}{r_0^2 \cos^2 \frac{\eta}{2}}}}$$

(29)

On integration, in terms of the variable $r$ ($\eta_0 = 0$ if $r = r_0$ and $\tau_0 = 0$) this equation gives

$$\tau = \frac{2M}{R_0^{3/2}} \text{Arc tan} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{2R_0 \sin (\eta/2)}{R_0 (1 + \cos (\eta))}} \right] + \sqrt{\frac{R_0 (1 + \cos (\eta))}{2R_0}} r_0 \sin (\eta/2),$$

(30)

where

$$R_0 = \frac{2M}{r_0} - \frac{M^2}{r_0^2} (1 - a^2)$$

(31)

Note that, when we substitute the limiting case $a = 1$, the exact analytical expression Eq. (30) reduces to

$$\tau = \left( \frac{r_0^3}{8M} \right)^{1/2} (\eta + \sin \eta),$$

(32)

which coincides exactly with the S spacetime. Eq. (30) is plotted in Fig. (3) together with SBH case (see Fig. 2) for comparison. Coparing the two figures we see that particle in SEBH takes longer time than in the case of SBH. Therefore as a result of coupling the S with BR, a particle falling towards the horizon disaccelerates so that the particle takes longer time to reach the singularity.

The situation is different when we consider the equation of the trajectory in the coordinate time $t$. Using Eqs. (27) and (29) we obtain

$$dt = \int \frac{Er_0^3 \cos^2 \frac{\eta}{2} d\eta}{(r_0 \cos^2 \frac{\eta}{2} - r_h) (r_0 \cos^2 \frac{\eta}{2} - m (1 - a)) \sqrt{R_0 \cos^2 \frac{\eta}{2} - \frac{M^2}{r_0^2} (1 - a^2)}}.$$

(33)
In the limit, as $\eta \rightarrow \eta_h$, $t \rightarrow \infty$ which is consistent with the S case. We notice that the conserved energy of the particle can be found from Eq. (16), namely

$$0 = \frac{1}{E^2} \left( E^2 - 1 + \frac{2M}{r_0} - \frac{M^2}{r_0^2} (1 - a^2) \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r_0} + \frac{M^2}{r_0^2} (1 - a^2) \right)^2$$

which implies that

$$E^2 = 1 - \frac{2M}{r_0} + \frac{M^2}{r_0^2} (1 - a^2).$$

(34)

4 Circular geodesics

We shall study in this section the circular motion ($\ell \neq 0$) of mass and massless particle. The conditions of the occurrence of circular orbits are $g(u) = 0$, and $g'(u) = 0$. Applying these conditions we obtain

$$\frac{E^2}{\ell^2} - (1 - 2Mu + M^2 (1 - a^2) u^2) \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\ell^2} + u^2 \right) = 0,$$

(36)

and

$$\frac{d}{du} \left( \frac{E^2}{\ell^2} - (1 - 2Mu + M^2 (1 - a^2) u^2) \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\ell^2} + u^2 \right) \right) = 0.$$

(37)

From the above Eqs. (36) and (37) we can obtain the expressions for the energy $E$ and the angular momentum $\ell$ of the particle

$$E^2 = \frac{\epsilon (1 - 2Mu_c + M^2 u_c^2 (1 - a^2))^2}{1 - 3Mu_c + 2M^2 u_c^2 (1 - a^2)},$$

(38)

$$\ell^2 = \frac{\epsilon (M - M^2 u_c (1 - a^2))^2}{u_c (1 - 3Mu_c + 2M^2 u_c^2 (1 - a^2))},$$

(39)

where $u_c = 1/r_c$ is the circular orbit of the particle. From Eq. (38) we note that for a physical acceptable motion the constraint $1 - 3Mu_c + 2M^2 u_c^2 (1 - a^2) > 0$ must be satisfied.

4.1 Null geodesics $\epsilon = 0$.

Choosing $\epsilon = 0$ in Eq. (36) and (37) we obtain

$$\frac{E^2}{\ell^2} = \frac{4 (1 - a^2) + \sqrt{1 + 8a^2} - 3}{128M^2 (1 - a^2)} \left( 3 - \sqrt{1 + 8a^2} \right)^2,$$

(40)

We can obtain the minimum radius for circular orbits $u_{cm}$ as

$$u_{cm} = \frac{3 - \sqrt{1 + 8a^2}}{4M (1 - a^2)}.$$

(41)
The corresponding value of $r_{cm}$ is given by

$$r_c = \frac{3M + M\sqrt{1 + 8a^2}}{2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)$$

We conclude from Eq.(42) that there is only one equilibrium circular orbit for the photon with the ratio given by Eq.(40). The limiting case $a = 1$, implies $r_{cm} = 3M$ which corresponds to SBH limit. We observe that at this radius $r_{cm}$, the geodesic equations allow an unstable circular orbit. Plots of Eqs. (40) and (42) in terms of the external parameter $a$ are shown in figures 4 and 5 respectively. The figures show that for larger value of $a$ the circular orbit of the photon has larger radius but smaller value of $(E^2/\ell^2)/M^2$. Note that the maximum value of $r_{cm}$ is $3M$ which implies that the circular orbit of the photon in SEBH is smaller (closer to the singularity) compared with SBH as seen in Fig. 5.

To obtain the effective potential in the case of null geodesic we use Eq. (15) which can be rearrange as

$$\left(\frac{dr}{ds}\right)^2 + V_{eff.} = \varepsilon_{eff.},$$

(43)

where $\varepsilon_{eff.} = E^2/\ell^2$, we have replace $s = \tilde{s}$ and

$$V_{eff.} = \frac{1}{r^2} \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{M^2}{r^2} \left( 1 - a^2 \right) \right).$$

(44)

Again for stable circular orbit the conditions are $V'_{eff.} (r = r_c) = 0$ and $V''_{eff.} (r = r_c) > 0$. The effective potential is plotted in Fig. 6 which shows that the circular trajectories for photons are unstable. Therefore no stable circular orbits exist for photons.

On the other hand, the radial equation can be reduced to

$$\left(\frac{du}{d\phi}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{D^2} - \left( 1 - 2Mu + M^2 \left( 1 - a^2 \right) u^2 \right) u^2 = g(u).$$

(45)

where $D = \ell/E$ is the impact parameter. It is usual to study the null geodesics depending on the value of $D$ [34,15,16]. We note that, the quartic equation $g(u) = 0$, has four roots, at least two real roots. We shall be interested when the other two remaining roots are either complex or real. Let $D = D_c = \frac{r^2}{r^2 - 2Mr + M^2 (1 - a^2)}$, where $D_c$ denotes for which $g(u) = 0$ has double roots. Then, when $D > D_c$, we have orbits of two kinds: first kind orbits where photon coming from $\infty$ and receding back to $\infty$ and second kind orbits having two turning points. When $D < D_c$ then $g(u) = 0$, has only one real root, photon coming from $\infty$, crossing the horizon and have a turning point for a finite value of $r < r_h$. We must note that Eq. (45) is similar to the case of RN geometry with
the replacement of \((\text{the charge } Q^2 = M^2 (1 - a^2))\), therefore when \(D = D_c\) we adapt the solution for \(\phi [8]\):

\[
\pm \phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \log \left[ 2 \left( A \sqrt{A \xi^2 + M (B - 1) \xi - M^2 (1 - a^2)}\right) + 2 A \xi + M (B - 1) \right],
\]

(46)

where

\[
A = \left( \frac{3 - B}{4 (1 - a^2)} \right) B > 0, \text{ since } 0 < a \leq 1
\]

(47)

\[
B = \sqrt{1 + 8a^2}, \quad \xi = \frac{1}{u - u_{cm}}.
\]

The massless particle circular orbit for the critical \(D_c\) is given in Fig. 7 for \(a = 0.7\). It is seen that photons have unstable circular orbits which is similar to the case of RNBH where the photons just barely cross the horizon before they are terminated.

### 4.2 Timelike geodesics \(\epsilon = 1\).

Choosing \(\epsilon = 1\). in Eq. (38) and (39) we obtain

\[
E^2 = \frac{(1 - 2Mu_c + M^2u_c^2 (1 - a^2))^2}{1 - 3Mu_c + 2M^2u_c^2 (1 - a^2)},
\]

(48)

\[
\ell^2 = \frac{(M - M^2u_c (1 - a^2))^2}{u_c (1 - 3Mu_c + 2M^2u_c^2 (1 - a^2))}.
\]

(49)

For a physical acceptable motion the condition \(1 - 3Mu_c + 2M^2u_c^2 (1 - a^2) > 0\) is required. Which is exactly the same radius of the unstable circular orbit Eq. (41) as in the case of null geodesics. The radial dependence of both \(E^2\) and \(\ell^2\) of the test particle moving on circular orbits are given in figures 8 and 9 respectively. The figures show that as the external parameter \(a\) increases both the energy and the angular momentum values increase until they reach the maximum values which is when \(a = 1\) (the case of SBH). It is a consequence of the coupling of S solution and BR solution that, both values of \(E^2\) and \(\ell^2\) of the test particle moving on circular orbits having less values than the SBH case.

To exam the stability of the equilibrium circular motion of a massive particle we plot the effective potential (given in Eq. (18) with \(\epsilon = 1\)) in terms of \(r/M\) for various values of \(\ell^2/M^2\). The behavior of the effective potentials of SEBH and SBH are plotted in figures 10 and 11, respectively. We notice that both figures are almost similar. They are concave down and become asymptotically constant. Therefore, even for given larger energy than the asymptotic value of the effective potential particle in SEBH would escape to infinity as in the case of SBH. The only difference between the two figures is that the effective potential of the SEBH is steeply rising more than SBH.
Using the values of $E^2$ and $\ell^2$ given in Eqs. (48) and (49) the radial equation in timelike geodesic can be reduced to

$$\left(\frac{du}{d\phi}\right)^2 = (u - u_{cm}) \left[ M - M^2 (1 - a^2) u_{cm} - \frac{M^2}{\ell^2 u_{cm}^2} \right] u_{cm}$$

$$+ 2 \left[ M - M^2 (1 - a^2) u_{cm} \right] u - M^2 (1 - a^2) u^2. \quad (50)$$

We notice that the solution of the above equation (50) is similar to the null geodesics Eq. (46) with the change of

$$A = \left(\frac{3 - \sqrt{1 + 8a^2}}{4 (1 - a^2)}\right) \sqrt{1 + 8a^2} - \frac{M^2}{\ell^2 u_{cm}^2}. \quad (51)$$

The above solution provides us with second kind orbits. Figures 12 and 13, respectively, represent the second kind orbits associated with a stable and unstable circular orbits.

It is known that there exists a minimal radius at which stable circular motion is still possible, this orbit is called ISCO. In order to find $r_{ISCO}$ we remind that to have a stable circular orbit we must supplement the conditions $g(u) = g’(u) = 0$, with the equation $g''(u) = 0$, therefore one can easily obtain

$$4M^3 (1 - a^2)^2 u^3 - 9M^2 (1 - a^2) u^2 + 6Mu - 1 = 0, \quad (52)$$

which has the solution

$$r_{ISCO} = \frac{4M (1 - a^2)}{3 - \sqrt{1 + 8a^2} + 4a\sqrt{1 + 4a^2} - \sqrt{1 + 8a^2} - 4a\sqrt{1 + 4a^2}}, \quad (53)$$

Expansion of Eq. (53) in degrees of $(1 - a^2)$ we obtain

$$r_{ISCO} \cong 6M - \frac{3}{2} M (1 - a^2) + \frac{M}{127} (1 - a^2)^2 + \text{order of} \left( M (1 - a^2)^3 \right), \quad (54)$$

It is shown in the expansion above that the critical value of the ISCO radii is $r_{ISCO} = 6M$, which corresponds to a test particle in the S spacetime. We also note that $r_{ISCO}$ is always smaller than $6M$. To justify our claim we have plotted Eqs. (53) in Fig. 14 showing the dependence of the lower limit for the radius of ISCO around a SEBH from the external parameter $a$. It is shown in Fig. 14 that the radius of ISCO is always smaller than $6M$ and as $a$ increases the radius of ISCO increases. Therefore by decreasing $a$ from 1 to a very close to 0 (recall that $0 < a \leq 1$) the radius is shifted very close to the horizon.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have described a detailed analysis of the geodesic motion of both massless and massive particles in the spacetime of SEBH that represent a
static mass imbedded in a uniform em field. The metric of SEBH combines both S and BR solutions in a single metric via the external parameter $a$. As a result of this combination the horizon shrinks and the geodesics are modified. We have investigated the effect of coupling S with BR on the trajectories of the null and timelike geodesics. The paper is separated in two parts, radial geodesics and circular geodesics. For the radial geodesics, it is found that all radial orbits are stable since the effective potential always has a minimum for any value of $a$. The effective potential in the radial motion shows that, there is no upper bound for the radial motion of the particle which means that particle can escape to infinity. We have obtained exact analytical solutions for both proper time and coordinate time. In radial null geodesics the obtained solutions are similar to the S case. In radial timelike geodesics, it is shown that particles falling toward the horizon in a finite proper time in SEBH take longer than in the case of SBH.

The second part of our study was about circular geodesics. By analyzing the effective potential we found all possible orbits allowed. It is shown that there are no stable circular orbits for photons. However, for massive particle we have obtained second kind orbits similar to the case of RNBH. We have obtained the exact expression for ISCO which corresponds to minimal possible radius of stable circular orbits. Then we have plotted the dependence of $r_{ISCO}$ and the $r_{cm}$ on the external parameter $a$. We have shown that both radii ( $r_{cm}$ and ISCO) are shifted close to the central object. We can conclude that the coupling of SBH with em field affected all the trajectories of the null and timelike geodesics. Comparing with SBH (without coupling with em field) we can summarize the effects as, radial orbits are more stable, delay of particle falling towards the horizon in the radial motion, no stable circular orbits for photons, stable and unstable circular orbits for massive particle exist, and both the circular orbits $r_{cm}$ and the radius of ISCO shrink and become close to the central object. Finally, in future study we are going to extend our analysis to study the geodesics equations for a spinning test particle since the particle’s orbit and motion will be different than the case of discussed in this paper.
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