INTRODUCTION

Retrovirus was first described in the 1970s (Temin and Baltimore, 1972), but its causal relationship with human diseases was not identified until the early 1980s when human T cell lymphotropic virus type 1 (HTLV-1) was identified as an etiologic agent of adult T cell leukemia/lymphoma (ATL; Poiesz et al., 1980; Hinuma et al., 1981; Yoshida et al., 1984). After the discovery of the link between HTLV-1 and ATL, HTLV-1 was also found to be a causal agent of HTLV-1-associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/TSP; Gessain et al., 1985; Ozawa et al., 1986) and HTLV-1 uveitis (Mochizuki et al., 1992a,b,c).

HTLV-1 uveitis, the third clinical entity of HTLV-1 infection, was established by a series of studies in the highly endemic area of southern Kyushu, Japan. Clinical case reports from this area suggested possible associations of HTLV-1 carriers with various ocular manifestations (Ohba et al., 1989). In the 1990s, the first set of evidence that indicated the causative implication of HTLV-1 in uveitis was reported by Mochizuki and colleagues. They showed clinical evidence that indicated the causative implication of HTLV-1 in uveitis (Mochizuki et al., 1992a,b; Shirao et al., 1993). Since then, it has been well established that uveitis is significantly related to HTLV-1. Here, we review historical findings that contributed to the establishment of the HTLV-1 entity and recent advancements that deepen our understanding of HU.

SEROEPIDEMIOLOGY

HTLV-1 infection is known to have unique geographic distribution and is prevalent in Japan, Melanesia, the Caribbean Islands, Central America, South America, and Central Africa. It is estimated that 20 million people carry the virus worldwide (Watanabe, 2011). This virus is etiologically linked with HU, which is one of the most common causes of uveitis in the endemic area of Japan and can be a problematic clinical entity all over the world (Yoshimura et al., 1993; Takahashi et al., 2000; Merle et al., 2002; Pinheiro et al., 2006; Miyanaga et al., 2009).

Uveitis is a sight-threatening inflammatory disorder affecting the intraocular tissues (Forrester, 1991) and is the third leading cause of blindness in developed countries. The etiology of uveitis is categorized as infectious or non-infectious and varies depending on the genetic background of the population and the prevalence of the pathogenic agent in the area. Clinically, the etiology of approximately 30% of cases could not be defined even when careful examinations were performed. A survey comparing the etiologies of uveits in different areas of Japan demonstrated that the proportion of undefined etiologies was particularly high in southern Kyushu as compared to those in northern Kyushu and Tokyo. Seroprevalence comparisons (Mochizuki et al.; 1992a;b; Shirao et al., 1993) in these highly endemic and non-endemic areas revealed that the HTLV-1 seroprevalence in patients with idiopathic uveitis was significantly higher than that in the following two control groups: patients with etiology-defined uveitis and patients with non-uveitic ocular diseases (Figure 1). This was the first clue suggesting that HTLV-1 infection is significantly related to uveitis. Uveitis is now recognized as a distinct clinical entity related to HTLV-1 and is designated as HU. The seroprevalence of HTLV-1 in the general Japanese population is known to have decreased after serological screening tests of HTLV-1 in blood donors started...
A recent report indicated that ocular disturbances may be the first clinical manifestations of HTLV-I infection to come to clinical attention, in addition to neurologic and rheumatologic signs and symptoms (Poetker et al., 2011). Therefore, all patients presenting for an initial diagnosis should be strictly screened for ocular symptoms. The major symptoms of HU at initial presentation are sudden onset of floaters, foggy vision, and blurred vision. Other symptoms are pain/burning, itching, and foreign body sensation. These symptoms appear in all geographic regions according to studies in Japan, Brazil, and Martinique (Yoshimura et al., 1993; Merle et al., 2002; Pinheiro et al., 2006). Regarding the anatomic diagnosis of uveitis according to the criteria of the International Uveitis Study Group, most patients had an intermediate degree of uveitis with moderate or heavy vitreous opacities (fine cells and lacework-like membranous opacities). The vitreous opacities were the most impressive findings and were accompanied by mild iritis and mild retinal vasculitis, but no uveoretinal lesions (Yoshimura et al., 1993). The ocular inflammation of HU was unilateral or bilateral (Yoshimura et al., 1993; Merle et al., 2002; Pinheiro et al., 2006). An association between HU and Graves’ disease has been reported, HU occurs after the onset of Graves’ disease in all cases (Yamaguchi et al., 1994). The most recent study (Miyanaga et al., 2009) reported a similar incidence of HU after Graves’ disease as that reported by Yamaguchi et al. (1994). Only a few cases of HU develop into HAM/TSP, but no literature has reported that ATL develops in patients with HU during their clinical course. Further patient-tracking research is ongoing to determine whether HU is a risk factor for the development of ATL or HAM/TSP.

**DIAGNOSIS**

Considering seroepidemiological and clinical studies, the diagnosis of HU should be based on seropositivity for HTLV-1 with no systemic evidence of HTLV-1-related diseases (such as ATL or HAM/TSP) and exclusion of other uveitis entities with defined causes. Therefore, all clinical entities of uveitis with defined causes should be excluded by careful ophthalmic and systemic examinations. Patients with HU should not have ophthalmic and systemic symptoms that are compatible with other types of uveitis such as Behçet’s disease, Vogt–Koyanagi–Harada syndrome, and sarcoidosis.

**PATHOGENESIS**

Eye research has progressed significantly in accordance with the development of modern molecular biological technology, such as the polymerase chain reaction and flow cytometry. Many fundamental findings have been obtained in the study of HU pathogenesis. The cells floating in the anterior chamber of the eye with HU consisted of lymphocytes with a small proportion of macrophages. No malignant cells or leukemic cells were detected in the aqueous humor of the patients with HU (Masuoka et al., 1995). The majority of infiltrating cells in the aqueous humor of patients with HU were CD3+ T cells (Oono et al., 1997). Analysis by polymerase chain reaction of ocular-infiltrating cells revealed that HTLV-1 proviral DNA was detected in almost all patients with HU. However, proviral DNA was not detected in patients with uveitis of other defined etiology who were seropositive for HTLV-1. These data suggest that HTLV-1-infected cells are present at the local site of HU (Oono et al., 1997). Furthermore, expression of viral mRNA was detected by...
reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction from the inflammatory cells in the aqueous humor. More direct evidence of HTLV-1 in the pathogenesis of HU has been provided by using TCC derived from intracocular tissues of eyes with HU. Proviral DNA of HTLV-1 was identified in TCC from the ocular fluid (Sagawa et al., 1995). Immunohistochemical staining showed that HTLV-1 env and gag proteins were detectable in HTLV-1 provirus-positive TCC. Furthermore, electron microscopic observation of the TCC identified HTLV-1 virus particles, the mean diameter of which was 102 nm (Sagawa et al., 1995). Most HTLV-1-infected TCC had a CD3+CD4+CD8− phenotype and had polyclonal TCR usage (Sagawa et al., 1995). The HTLV-1-infected TCC produced significant amounts of IL-1α, IL-2, IL-3, IL-6, IL-10, TNF-α, IFN-γ, and GM-CSF, which are potent cytokines capable of inducing immune reactions and inflammation at the intraocular tissue level (Sagawa et al., 1995). These data suggest that cytokine production by HTLV-1-infected T cells in intraocular tissues is responsible for intraocular inflammation, i.e., uveitis (Figure 2). In addition to this molecular biological/immunological evidence, virological research supported the pathogenicity of HTLV-1 in the eye by the following three pieces of evidence: (1) the HTLV-1 provirus load in patients with HU is significantly higher than that in asymptomatic carriers without uveitis (Ono et al., 1995); (2) the proviral load in peripheral blood mononuclear cells correlates with the intensity of intraocular inflammation (Ono et al., 1998); and (3) the proviral load in the eyes of patients with HU is significantly higher than that present in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (Ono et al., 1997). Serologic data showed that the antibody level against HTLV-1 in patients with HU was similar to that in asymptomatic carriers of HTLV-1, but was lower than that in patients with HTLV-1-associated myelopathy (Mochizuki et al., 1992b). Antibody to the virus in the aqueous humor was also detected in all tested samples from patients with HU. Flow cytometry analysis indicated that the CD34 fraction was elevated and the CD8 fraction was decreased in peripheral lymphocytes from patients with HU, thereby elevating the CD4/8 ratio in the HU group as compared with the seronegative group. Furthermore, the CD25 fraction of T lymphocytes with expression of interleukin 2 receptors was significantly elevated in patients with HU. The serum levels of soluble interleukin 2 receptors (sIL2R or sCD25) were also significantly higher in patients with HU than in seronegative healthy controls (Yoshimura et al., 1993). Taken together, these laboratory data suggest that the immune-mediated mechanism, particularly involving CD4+ T cells, plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of HU.

**THERAPY**

Immunopathogenesis studies of HU showed that the majority of ocular-infiltrating cells are inflammatory cells, but not malignant cells. Also, a series of studies showed that HU is caused by inflammatory cytokines produced by HTLV-1-infected CD4+ T cells that significantly accumulate in the eyes of the patients. Furthermore, the addition of corticosteroids in the culture medium suppressed the cytokine production (Sagawa et al., 1995). Therefore, corticosteroid treatment is effective for treating the intraocular inflammation of patients with HU by suppressing the cytokine production of HTLV-1-infected CD4+ cells. Clinical management should be performed according to the degree of ocular inflammation. HU with a mild degree of ocular inflammation can be managed by topical non-corticosteroidal or corticosteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. A sub-Tenon’s injection of corticosteroids may be used when the patients have moderate inflammatory activity in the vitreous cavity. If the vitreous inflammatory activity and the retinal vasculitis are severe, oral corticosteroids should be given, but the long-term administration of a systemic corticosteroid should be avoided. In most cases, intraocular inflammation is markedly improved with these therapies and complete remission is achieved. The visual prognosis for cases of HU is generally good with these corticosteroid treatments, although approximately 60% of patients experience recurrences of uveitis (Yoshimura et al., 1993).

**CONCLUSION**

We reviewed the seroepidemiological, clinical, molecular biological, and virological studies that established the HU entity and clarified the immunopathogenesis and the clinical management of HU. Corticosteroid is the only effective treatment for HU to suppress the cytokines produced by infiltrating HTLV-1-infected cells; however, it is unknown whether long-term corticosteroid treatment adversely affects patients with HU. Many mechanisms in HU remain unclear, such as how HTLV-1-infected CD4+ cells break down the ocular blood barrier and why the vitreous humor is the major site of inflammation (Figure 2). We may be able to find more effective treatments if we can understand the mechanisms of HU in more detail. Recent studies have shown new insights into HTLV-1 infection and pathogenesis by pursuing the molecular functions of HTLV-1 basic leucine zipper factor and Tax (Yasunaga and Matsuoka, 2011). However, few studies have been conducted to apply these new findings to HU research. Further investigation is needed to establish a specific treatment for HU. HU results from HTLV-1 infection; therefore, the most important means of preventing this disease is by spreading the knowledge about HTLV-1.
