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COMUNET: a tool to explore and visualize intercellular communication
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Abstract

Motivation: Intercellular communication plays an essential role in multicellular organisms and several algorithms to analyse it from single-cell transcriptional data have been recently published, but the results are often hard to visualize and interpret.

Results: We developed COMUNET (Cell cOMmunication exploration with MUltiplex NETworks), a tool that streamlines the interpretation of the results from cell-cell communication analyses. COMUNET uses multiplex networks to represent and cluster all potential communication patterns between cell types. The algorithm also enables the search for specific patterns of communication and can perform comparative analysis between two biological conditions. To exemplify its use, here we apply COMUNET to investigate cell communication patterns in single-cell transcriptomic datasets from mouse embryos and from an acute myeloid leukemia patient at diagnosis and after treatment.

Availability and Implementation: Our algorithm is implemented in an R package available from \url{https://github.com/ScialdoneLab/COMUNET}, along with all the code to perform the analyses reported here.

Contact: antonio.scialdone@helmholtz-muenchen.de

Supplementary information: Supplementary data are available at \textit{Bioinformatics} online.

1 Introduction

Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) has been extensively used in the last few years to analyse intercellular communication in tissues (see, e.g., (Camp et al., 2017; Puram et al., 2017; Zepp et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2017; Cohen et al., 2018; Halpern et al., 2018; Skelly et al., 2018; Vento-Tormo et al., 2018; Kumar et al., 2018; Schiebinger et al., 2019; Bonnardel et al., 2019; Sheikh et al., 2019; Caruso et al., 2019; Wang, 2020)). Several algorithms to perform these analyses have been published (for instance, (Vento-Tormo et al., 2018; Rieckmann et al., 2017; Boisset et al., 2018; Ramlowski et al., 2015; Caruso et al., 2019; Wang, 2020; Efremova et al., 2020)) and they all start from a database of interacting molecular partners (e.g., ligand and receptor pairs) to infer, from their expression patterns, a list of potential communication pathways between cell types.

While the results of these analyses can reveal important insights into the functioning of complex tissues composed of many different cell types, their interpretation can still be challenging with the existing algorithms. For example, the standard visualization strategies based on graphs or heatmaps, are often busy, poorly interpretable and can hinder data-driven hypothesis generation. Given the sheer number of potentially communicating cell types and interacting partners, it can become challenging to identify all the molecules that could mediate the communication between a given set of cell types. Moreover, to understand the biological relevance of specific interacting molecular partners and the cellular communication they generate, it is desirable to be able to quantitatively compare cellular communication across different datasets.

Here we present COMUNET (Cell cOMmunication exploration with MUltiplex NETworks), a new tool to visualize and interpret cell-cell communication that is based on multiplex networks and addresses all the challenges mentioned above. More specifically, COMUNET allows (i) unsupervised clustering of interacting partners (eg, ligand-receptor pairs), (ii) search for specific patterns of communication and (iii) comparison between two biological conditions, aiding the interpretability of the results and the identification of promising candidate molecules to follow up on. In this paper, we show how COMUNET can perform these tasks on two scRNA-seq datasets from mouse embryos and from the bone marrow of an acute myeloid leukemia patient. COMUNET can be easily installed and
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run, since it is available as an R package from https://github.com/ScialdoneLab/COMUNET.

2 Algorithm

2.1 Multiplex networks

As a first step, COMUNET uses a multiplex network to represent all significant interacting partners (consisting of, e.g., pairs of ligands and receptors) that can mediate cell-cell communication identified by an algorithm of choice. For the sake of definiteness, in the examples below we use CellPhoneDB (Efremova et al., 2020) to find significant interacting partners. However, COMUNET can work with any algorithm (e.g., ImmProt (Riekmann et al 2017) or any of the other algorithms cited in the Introduction) that provides a quantification of the strength/statistical significance of the communication between cell types mediated by a given pair of interacting partners. This information can be represented in the form of a matrix (see Figure 1), which from now on we will refer to as the weight matrix. The input of COMUNET is a set of weight matrices, one for each pair of interacting partners (Figure 1).

Each weight matrix is interpreted as an adjacency matrix of a directed weighted graph, where the entries are the edge weights. For each node (representing cell types), the difference between the weighted in- and out-degree, $\Delta$, is calculated and encoded in the color of the node.

If the pair of interacting partners is a ligand-receptor pair, a positive value of $\Delta$ indicates that the node (cell type) is mostly communicating by producing and secreting the ligand ("sending" node); conversely, a negative $\Delta$ marks nodes that communicate mainly by receiving signals through the receptor ("receiving node"). The arrows of the graph start at the sending nodes (which expresses the ligand) and point to the receiving nodes (which expresses the receptor), while the thickness of an edge indicates the edge weight.

In case no directionality is specified for the pair of interacting partners A and B (i.e., as for adhesion molecules), the arrows start at the node expressing partner A and points to the node expressing partner B. The graphs built from the matrices are then stacked together as layers of a multiplex network (Figure 1).

2.2 Dissimilarity measure

Once the pairs of interacting partners are represented as layers in a multiplex network, COMUNET calculates pairwise dissimilarities between them.

Given two layers $\alpha$ and $\beta$, their dissimilarity $d^{\alpha,\beta}$ is defined as:

$$d^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{1}{|E^{\alpha} \cup E^{\beta}|} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} s_{i,j}^{\alpha,\beta}$$

with

$$s_{i,j}^{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} \frac{|w_{i,j}^{\alpha} - w_{i,j}^{\beta}|}{w_{i,j}^{\alpha} + w_{i,j}^{\beta}} & \text{for } w_{i,j}^{\alpha}w_{i,j}^{\beta} \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $w_{i,j}^{\alpha}$ is the weight of the directed edge between nodes $i$ and $j$ in the layer $\alpha$, $N$ is the total number of nodes, $E^{\alpha}$ is the set of edges in the layer $\alpha$, $|E^{\alpha} \cup E^{\beta}|$ is the cardinality of the union of all edges in layers $\alpha$ and $\beta$. This definition of dissimilarity can be seen as a modified version of the Jaccard similarity index (Jaccard, 1912) between the sets of edges in the two layers that also takes into account the weights and directionality of the edges.

Throughout this work, we use CellPhoneDB as algorithm of choice. However, COMUNET can work with any algorithm (e.g., ImmProt (Riekmann et al, 2017)) or any of the other algorithms cited in the Introduction that provides a quantification of the strength/statistical significance of the communication between cell types mediated by a given pair of interacting partners. This information can be represented in the form of a matrix (see Figure 1), which from now on we will refer to as the weight matrix. The input of COMUNET is a set of weight matrices, one for each pair of interacting partners (Figure 1).

If the pair of interacting partners is a ligand-receptor pair, a positive value of $\Delta$ indicates that the node (cell type) is mostly communicating by producing and secreting the ligand ("sending" node); conversely, a negative $\Delta$ marks nodes that communicate mainly by receiving signals through the receptor ("receiving node"). The arrows of the graph start at the sending nodes (which expresses the ligand) and point to the receiving nodes (which expresses the receptor), while the thickness of an edge indicates the edge weight.

In case no directionality is specified for the pair of interacting partners A and B (i.e., as for adhesion molecules), the arrows start at the node expressing partner A and points to the node expressing partner B. The graphs built from the matrices are then stacked together as layers of a multiplex network (Figure 1).

2.3 Clustering of interacting partners

In this step, COMUNET identifies pairs of interacting partners with similar patterns of intercellular communication and organizes them into clusters (Figure 1).

This is done by performing hierarchical clustering of the dissimilarity matrix of layers (by default, the “hclust” R function with the “average” agglomeration method is used) and the results can be visualized as a heatmap or a UMAP plot (McInnes et al., 2018). The number of clusters is estimated using the “cutreeHybrid” R function (package dynamicTreeCut, version 1.63-1 (Langfelder et al., 2008)) with “deepSplit” equal to 0 and default “minClusterSize” equal to 6. For each cluster, a graph that represents the “average” pattern is built by averaging...
Fig. 2. Application of COMUNET to datasets from mouse embryos and cancer. A. We used COMUNET to identify clusters of interacting partners in published scRNA-seq data from E6.5 mouse embryo. The dataset includes 5 cell types: extraembryonic visceral endoderm (exVE), trophectoderm (TE), mesoderm (Mes), embryonic visceral endoderm (emVE), and epiblast (EPI). Eight clusters of interacting partners were identified, each corresponding to a specific communication pattern, whose average representation is depicted in the squares. In particular, Cluster 3 represents communication from the emVE to EPI and Mes, Lefty1:Tdgf1 being a representative ligand:receptor pair included in this cluster. B. As an example of pattern search, using the same scRNA-seq data of panel A, we searched for the interacting partners showing the pattern of communication depicted in the top left; i.e., a signal originating from the extraembryonic visceral endoderm and received by all other embryonic tissues, which corresponds to the adjacency matrix shown in the top right. COMUNET returned a list of interacting partners sorted by increasing dissimilarity with the specified pattern (bottom left). The bottom right panel illustrates the graphs corresponding to some selected pairs of interacting partners. C. We applied COMUNET to a published scRNA-seq dataset from the bone marrow of an AML patient at diagnosis (d0) and after treatment (d29) to find differences in communication patterns between the two time points. TNFSF13:FAS and CCL5:CCR5 are examples of interacting pairs with a dramatic change of communication patterns between d0 and d29.

3.2 Human Acute Myeloid Leukemia dataset

There is growing evidence that communication between different cell types in a tissue is altered in diseases like cancer. For instance, it is known
the adjacency matrices and the $\Delta$ (see section 2.1) of the nodes of all the graphs in the cluster. (Figure 1, Figure 2A).

2.4 Cell-cell communication pattern search

For a more supervised analysis, it is useful to retrieve all pairs of interacting partners that show a specific communication pattern. To this aim, our algorithm classifies the pairs of interacting partners based on their similarity to a user-specified intercellular communication pattern.

A pattern of interest is defined with a binary adjacency matrix, $1$ indicating the presence of an edge and $0$ the absence. The adjacency matrices of the layers are also binarized based on the presence/absence of the edges and then the dissimilarities with the user-specified adjacency matrix are calculated (see section 2.2). The output is a list of pairs of interacting partners sorted by increasing dissimilarity with the user-specified pattern. (Figure 1, Figure 2B, Suppl Table 2)

2.5 Comparative analysis

Given the results of a cell-cell communication analysis of two datasets including the same cell types, COMUNET can estimate the differences in the intercellular communication patterns between corresponding interacting partners in the two datasets. First, the results are represented as multiplex networks, as described above; then, pairwise dissimilarities between the shared layers (e.g., layers representing the same pairs of interacting partners) of the two datasets are calculated (see Section 2.2). The pairs of interacting partners are then sorted by decreasing dissimilarity, i.e., from those that change the most across the two datasets to those that remain unaltered. (Figure 1, Figure 2C, Suppl Table 3).

3 Results

Below, we apply COMUNET to scRNA-seq datasets from two different publications to show how the clustering, the pattern search and the comparative analysis can help extract biologically relevant information from a cell-cell communication analysis performed with CellPhoneDB (Vento-Tormo et al., 2018; Efremova et al., 2020). In the first example, we used COMUNET to characterize the cellular communication patterns in a mouse embryo dataset, and in the second example we found the changes in cellular communication in the bone marrow of a leukemia patient between time of diagnosis and after treatment.

3.1 E6.5 mouse embryo

We took scRNA-seq data from a mouse embryo at the E6.5 stage from (Nowotschin et al., 2019) (Figure 2A, Suppl Fig 1). Around this stage gastrulation is starting, with signalling between cell types that begin to define where and when the first organs will arise (Tam and Loebel, 2007). As an example, the external layer of cells called visceral endoderm, in addition to being responsible for nutrient uptake and transport, determines the direction of the anterior-posterior axis and the region of epiblast where mesodermal cells will differentiate, through some mechanisms and signalling pathways that are still not fully understood (Stower and Srinivas, 2018). On this dataset, including 5 different cell types, we ran CellPhoneDB and then the clustering algorithm of COMUNET, which finds 8 clusters of interacting partners (see Figure 2A and Suppl. Table 1). These clusters classify the interacting partners according to the pattern of communication they might generate between the different cell types and offer an overview of the main communication patterns present in the data.

As an example, if we consider the ligands/receptor pairs in cluster 3, they are responsible for signalling that originates preferentially from the embryonic visceral endoderm (emVE) and is directed to other cell types (Figure 2A, Suppl Fig 1). Indeed, this cluster includes LEFTY1-TDGF1 (Figure 2A, Suppl Fig 1, Suppl Table 1), which are part of the Nodal signalling that regulates expression of mesodermal genes in the epiblast (Tam and Loebel, 2007).

In addition to this unsupervised analysis, all interacting partners having a specific communication pattern can be identified. For example, in Figure 2B and Suppl Table 2, we show how a list of all interacting partners that might be responsible for signalling from the extra-embryonic visceral endoderm to all the other cell types can be easily obtained. At the top we found Igf2, which is known to promote embryonic growth (DeChiara et al., 1991), and its receptor Igf2r, which attenuates Igf2 signalling (Brown et al., 2009).
that in healthy bone marrow, hematopoietic stem cells stay in close contact with niche cells, including endothelial cells, megakaryocytes and adipocytes (Asada et al., 2017; Behrmann et al., 2018), which regulate their proliferation state (Bruns et al., 2014; Itkin et al., 2016; Ambrosi et al., 2017). In hematological malignancies as well as solid cancers, the normal control mechanisms executed by the niche cells are disrupted, such that a novel tumor-specific communicational landscape dominates the tissue. Tumor cells can actively recruit the niche cells from their environment (e.g. fibroblasts, mesenchymal stem cells, T-cells, or macrophages) and receive growth factors secreted by the niche, to evade from the immune response or to metastasise (Behrmann et al., 2018; Yuan et al., 2016). In order to investigate the alteration of communication patterns in cancer, it is crucial to have a tool that can perform the communication comparison between conditions, as before and after treatment.

In this example, we used the data from (van Galen et al., 2019) to show how COMUNET can find differences in communication patterns between two datasets. This publication includes a scRNA-seq data of a bone marrow sample of a 74 years old newly diagnosed female patient with Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) (#328) at diagnosis (day 0) and after Azacitidine-Venetoclax-C1D27 treatment (day 29). At both timepoints, the bone marrow of this patient contains both normal hematopoietic cells, as well as tumor cells (HSC-like, progenitor-like, monocyte-like, and cDC-like) (Suppl Fig 2). We compared the communication patterns in the two samples and revealed that 6 pairs of interacting partners dramatically change their pattern of communication upon treatment. Among those we found TNFSF13: FAS and CCL5:CCR5, two pairs of ligands/receptors that are known to play a role in AML and other cancers (Chappellier et al., 2019; Gmeiner et al., 2015; Brenner et al., 2016; Mollica Poeta et al., 2019; Aldinucci and Colombatti, 2014) (Figure 2C, Suppl Fig 2, Suppl Table 3). In AML, TNFSF13, secreted by normal myeloid cells, supports proliferation and apoptosis resistance of tumor cells (Chappellier et al., 2019). In solid cancers, inflammatory chemokines such as CCL5 together with their receptors have been shown to attract neutrophils and monocytes at the tumor site and reprogram them, leading to altered immune response (Mollica Poeta et al., 2019). This signaling might be relevant for the immune response and survival of a subset of tumor cells in this patient after treatment.

4 Discussion

In this paper, we introduced COMUNET, a powerful tool for visualization and analysis of intercellular communication. Starting from the output generated by any algorithm that estimates the likelihood or strength of cellular communication, COMUNET represents the pattern of cell communication mediated by each pair of interacting molecules as layers in a multiplex network and calculates the dissimilarity between them. Based on this dissimilarity, COMUNET clusters the pairs of interacting partners based on the pattern of intercellular communication that they can mediate. This facilitates the visualization of the results and gives an overview of the cell types that are involved in the communication, in addition to the molecules that could be responsible for it. Furthermore, COMUNET can prioritise the molecules that are more likely to mediate the communication between a set of specific cell types.

We showed how COMUNET can perform these two tasks in a dataset from mouse embryos, where we classified the communication patterns in eight different clusters and we were able to easily retrieve a list of potential mediators for signalling originating from extra-embryonic visceral endoderm.

A comparison of cellular communication between two different conditions is often necessary to understand the role of signalling molecules.

COMUNET can perform such a comparison, to identify the changes in communication and the molecules that are responsible for them. We showed this in a dataset from an acute myeloid leukemia bone marrow before and after treatment and we were able to single out molecules that were previously linked with important processes like apoptosis resistance and immune response in cancer.

These examples show how COMUNET significantly increases our ability to go beyond a simple descriptive study of cellular communication and helps generate hypotheses on the role of communication and identify candidate genes for validation.

Another feature of COMUNET, is the possibility to use it on the output generated by any algorithm that quantifies intercellular communication. This is particularly important given the rapid pace at which new, refined algorithms for cellular communication are being developed and holds true irrespective of the kind of data used to infer communication, including, for instance, single-cell proteomics data (Labib and Kelley, 2020), which can be even more informative on cellular communication than RNA-seq.

5 Data and code availability

COMUNET is available as an R package from https://github.com/ScialdoneLab/COMUNET. In this GitHub repository, a comprehensive tutorial is provided with all the code and the data to reproduce the analyses shown in this paper.

Authors’ contributions

MS and AS designed research; MS implemented the method; MS and AS interpreted the results; MS and AS wrote the paper; AS supervised the study.

Acknowledgements

We thank Jonathan Fiorentino, Gabriele Lubatti and Frank Ziemann for useful discussions and comments on the tutorials.

Conflict of Interest: none declared.

References

Aldinucci,D. and Colombatti,A. (2014) The inflammatory chemokine CCL5 and cancer progression. Mediators Inflamm., 2014, 292376.
Ambrosi,T.H. et al. (2017) Adipocyte Accumulation in the Bone Marrow during Obesity and Aging Impairs Stem Cell-Based Hematopoietic and Bone Regeneration. Cell Stem Cell, 20, 771–784.e6.
Asada,N. et al. (2017) Complexity of bone marrow hematopoietic stem cell niche. Int. J. Hematol., 106, 45–54.
Behrmann,L. et al. (2018) Acute Myeloid Leukemia and the Bone Marrow Niche: Take a Closer Look. Front. Oncol., 8, 444.
Boisset,J.-C. et al. (2018) Mapping the physical network of cellular interactions. Nat. Methods, 15, 547–553.
Bonnardel,J. et al. (2019) Stellate Cells, Hepatocytes, and Endothelial Cells Imprint the Kupffer Cell Identity on Monocytes Colonizing the Liver Macrophage Niche. Immunity, 51, 638–654.e9.
Brenner,A.K. et al. (2016) A Subset of Patients with Acute Myeloid Leukemia Has Leukemia Cells Characterized by Chemokine Responsiveness and Altered Expression of Transcriptional as well as Angiogenic Regulators. Front. Immunol., 7, 205.
Brown,J. et al. (2009) Keeping IGF-II under control: lessons from the IGF-II-IGF2R crystal structure. Trends Biochem. Sci., 34, 612–619.
Bruns,I. et al. (2014) Megakaryocytes regulate hematopoietic stem cell quiescence through CXCL4 secretion. Nat. Med., 20, 1315–1320.
Camp,J.G. et al. (2017) Multilineage communication regulates human liver bud development from pluripotency. Nature, 546, 533.
Caruso,F.P. et al. (2019) A Map of tumor-host interactions in glioma at single cell resolution. bioRxiv, 827758.
Chappellier,M. et al. (2019) Arrayed molecular barcoding identifies TNFSF13 as a positive regulator of acute myeloid leukemia-initiating cells. Haematologica,
M. Solovey et al.

104, 2006–2016.
Cohen, M. et al. (2018) Lung Single-Cell Signaling Interaction Map Reveals Basophil Role in Macrophage Imprinting. Cell, 175, 1031–1044.e18.
DeChiara, T.M. et al. (1991) Parental imprinting of the mouse insulin-like growth factor II gene. Cell, 64, 849–859.
Efremova, M. et al. (2020) CellPhoneDB: inferring cell-cell communication from combined expression of multi-subunit ligand-receptor complexes. Nat. Protoc., 15, 1484–1506.
van Galen, P. et al. (2019) Single-Cell RNA-Seq Reveals AML Hierarchy Relevant to Disease Progression and Immunity. Cell, 176, 1265–1281.e24.
Gmehlen, W.H. et al. (2015) Thymidine death in T10-treated AML cells occurs via lipid raft depletion and Fas/Fasl co-localization in the plasma membrane with activation of the extrinsic apoptotic pathway. Leuk. Res., 39, 229–235.
Halperrn, K.B. et al. (2018) Paired-cell sequencing enables spatial gene expression mapping of liver endothelial cells. Nat. Biotechnol., 36, 962–970.
Itkin, T. et al. (2016) Distinct bone marrow blood vessels differentially regulate haematopoiesis. Nature, 532, 323–328.
Jaccard, P. (1912) THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE FLORA IN THE ALPINE ZONE.1. New Physiol., 11, 37–50.
Kumar, M.P. et al. (2018) Analysis of Single-Cell RNA-Seq Identifies Cell-Cell Communication Associated with Tumor Characteristics. Cell Rep., 25, 1458–1468.e4.
Labib, M. and Kelley, S.O. (2020) Single-cell analysis targeting the proteome. Nature Reviews Chemistry, 4, 143–158.
Langfelder, P. et al. (2008) Defining clusters from a hierarchical cluster tree: the Dynamic Tree Cut package for R. Bioinformatics, 24, 719–720.
Mclanes, L. et al. (2018) UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection. JOSS, 3, 861.
Mollica Poeta, V. et al. (2019) Chemokines and Chemokine Receptors: New Targets for Cancer Immunotherapy. Front. Immunol., 10, 379.
Nowotschin, S. et al. (2019) The emergent landscape of the mouse gut endoderm at single-cell resolution. Nature, 569, 361–367.
Puram, S.V. et al. (2017) Single-Cell Transcriptomic Analysis of Primary and Metastatic Tumor Ecosystems in Head and Neck Cancer. Cell, 171, 1611–1624.e24.
Ramilowski, J.A. et al. (2015) A draft network of ligand-receptor-mediated multicellular signalling in human. Nat. Commun., 6, 7866.
Rieckmann, J.C. et al. (2017) Social network architecture of human immune cells unveiled by quantitative proteomics. Nat. Immunol., 18, 583–593.
Schiebinger, G. et al. (2019) Optimal-Transport Analysis of Single-Cell Gene Expression Identifies Developmental Trajectories in Reprogramming. Cell, 176, 928–943.e22.
Sheikh, B. et al. (2019) Systematic Identification of Cell-Cell Communication Networks in the Developing Brain. iScience, 21, 273–287.
Skelly, D.A. et al. (2018) Single-Cell Transcriptional Profiling Reveals Cellular Diversity and Intercommunication in the Mouse Heart. Cell Rep., 22, 600–610.
Stower, M.J. and Srinivas, S. (2018) Chapter Fourteen - The Head’s Tale: Anterior-Posterior Axis Formation in the Mouse Embryo. In, Plussa, B. and Hadjantonakis, A.-K. (eds), Current Topics in Developmental Biology. Academic Press, pp. 365–390.
Tam, P.P.L. and Loebl, D.A.F. (2007) Gene function in mouse embryogenesis: get set for gastrulation. Nat. Rev. Genet., 8, 368–381.
Vento-Tormo, R. et al. (2018) Single-cell reconstruction of the early maternal-fetal interface in humans. Nature, 563, 347–353.
Wang, Y. (2020) talkDr uncovers ligand-receptor mediated intercellular crosstalk. bioRxiv, 2020.02.01.930602.
Yuan, Y. et al. (2016) Role of the tumor microenvironment in tumor progression and the clinical applications (Review). Oncol. Rep., 35, 2499–2515.
Zepf, J.A. et al. (2017) Distinct Mesenchymal Lineages and Niches Promote Epithelial Self-Renewal and Myofibrogenesis in the Lung. Cell, 170, 1134–1148.e10.
Zhou, J.X. et al. (2017) Extracting Intercellular Signaling Network of Cancer Tissues using Ligand-Receptor Expression Patterns from Whole-tumor and Single-cell Transcriptomes. Sci. Rep., 7, 8815.