Inadequate uric acid referent values may cause "hyperuricemia" and overtreatment
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Abstract

Aim: The current serum uric acid upper reference limits in Croatia are seemingly too low (337 µmol/l for women and 403 µmol/l), as in a pilot study we have found much higher values (at least 390 and 488 µmol/l, respectively). As low reference standards may stimulate overdiagnosis and overtreatment, these data motivated us for a larger sample investigation.

Method: This cross-sectional, population-based study was conducted retrospectively (2013 data) in the archives of two accredited biochemistry laboratories in Split, Croatia (uricase method, Olympus analyzers). Assessed were consecutive, anonymized results from all adult individuals (≥18 years), stratified in percentiles by age and gender.

Result: Among 1,565 results (844 female, 721 male) the prevalence of hyperuricemia, defined by the actual upper reference intervals in Croatia, steadily increases with age from 2% to over 30%, amounting at 40% in both genders after the age of 80 years.

Conclusion: The actual upper reference interval limits for uric acid are too low, derived from samples that do not represent the actual southern Croatian population and do not include age stratification above 18 years. There is a need for new, higher, age and gender grounded limits of serum uric acid "normality" in a Mediterranean population.

Introduction

Uric acid is the final purine decomposition product in humans [1]. It is a biologically active molecule, one of the most powerful antioxidants [2]. Nevertheless, in atherogenesis it becomes paradoxically pro-oxidant, even at the upper third of the physiological range [3]. This paradox is further enhanced by tissue alterations, such as lowered local pH or lack of other antioxidants [4]. Indeed, the Framingham study showed that uric acid might be an atherosclerosis risk indicator because of its links with arterial hypertension, dyslipidemia and glucose metabolism disorders [4]. Lambert et al. [5] have identified hyperuricemia as an early indicator of cardiovascular disorders in obese people only. On the other hand, when the uric acid level is too low, its antioxidant role is reduced, resulting in a "U" shaped cardiovascular risk curve as described, for example, in the PIUMA study [6]. When hypertensive people decrease their uricemia below 269 µmol/l (<4.5 mg/dl) in men and below 191 µmol/l (<3.2 mg/dl) in women, a significant increase in cardiovascular risk is observed [7-10]. Some studies have shown potential benefits in starting hypouricemic therapy if other cardiovascular risk factors are combined with elevated serum uric acid levels, which is not generally accepted [3-7].

How to define hyperuricemia? The reference intervals (RIs) for serum uric acid, and other laboratory results as well, are mostly based on younger volunteer samples, and the distribution presented in terms of percentiles or arithmetic means with standard deviations, which usually labels the 5% extremes as "pathological". However, it must be kept in mind that the RIs are descriptive figures of a sampled population and should not be confused with clinical decision limits (CDLs), i.e. levels at which a therapeutic intervention is justified. Indeed, low RIs and confounding of RIs with CDLs often leads to overdiagnosis and overtreatment, converting healthy or at least asymptomatic people into patients [8]. Such mistakes are particularly relevant among the elderly since with aging the expected serum uric acid levels increase [2-4]. The current, official reference intervals (RIs) in Croatia are set at 134-337 µmol/l for women and 182-403 µmol/l for men, derived from a northern Croatian sample (Zagreb region), analyzed over 25 years ago, and with no age stratification above 20 years [9,10,11]. Regional differences in uric acid concentration may be quite large, as recently shown in China [12]. Other sources quote quite different RIs, for example the Wallach's textbook [13] sets the limits at 113-446 µmol/l (1.9-7.5 mg/dl) for women and 149-476 µmol/l (2.5-8 mg/dl) for men.

Over the last few years the authors of this report were consulting a growing number of asymptomatic patients labeled hyperuricemic according to the national RIs. Presuming that this overdiagnosis trend, favoring hasty, unwarranted medicalization was due to inadequately low upper RI limits, we have planned to assess the actual distribution of
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Material and method

This observational, cross-sectional study was designed to analyze the distribution of plasma uric acid levels noted down during 2013 in the registries of two accredited biochemistry laboratories [14] in Split-Dalmatian county, a Mediterranean region of Croatia with some 450,000 inhabitants. Both laboratories used enzyme colored quantitative method on Olympus analyzers. The photometric quantification of uric acid concentration was based on uricase, converting uric acid to allantoin and hydrogen peroxide, producing a chromophore, measured at a wavelength of 660/800 nm.

The study was approved by the Split-Dalmatian County Health Center's Ethics Committee. This board decided that individual informed consent was not necessary for this investigation since the laboratory tests were not performed for the study's own sake, common practice does not require patient consent for standard lab testing, and the data were anonymous, related to the healthcare code numbers only.

Analyzed were the laboratory records of uric acid plasma concentration from adult examinees (≥18 years; only age and sex data were available), registered from January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013. Multiple results were eliminated; only one randomly selected test result per patient was processed. The results were tabulated in Microsoft Office Excel and processed statistically with SPSS 17.0.1 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). As mentioned, the current Croatian RIs range from 134 to 337 µmol/l (2.2-5.7 mg/dl) for women and from 182 to 403 µmol/l (3.0-6.8 mg/dl) for men [1]. For the sake of this study on top of those figures we have added two higher, arbitrary limits, derived from our pilot study and literature data [2-7,9,13], set at ≥380 µmol/l for women and ≥430 µmol/l for men. Since the distribution of values significantly deviated from normal (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, P= 0.023), the χ² test or Mann-Whitney U test, as appropriate were used for statistical comparisons, and significant was considered P <0.05.

Result

As shown in the flow diagram (Figure 1) analyzed were 2,072 recorded serum samples along with sex and age data. Since 507 records were either redundant (repetitive, control measurements) or the data on age/gender were questionable or missing, analyzed were 1,565 records (75.5% of the original sample); 844 (53.9%) from women and 721 (46.1%) from men. Age range was 18 to 102 years with the median of 62 years.

Table 1 shows that the results obtained in female subjects were often above the upper national RIs (i.e. ≥337 µmol/l) and even surpassing our preset limits (i.e. ≥380 µmol/l), especially among the elderly. A steady, although uneven increase by age was observed in almost all the strata (Mann-Whitney U= 53.0; P<0.025), particularly after 50 years of age (χ²= 14.67; P< 0.001). The values obtained from the male examinees (Table 2) were even higher and often overcame not only the 403 but also the 430 µmol/l upper border. A steady, albeit less steep increase was again observed across the age subgroups (e.g. χ²= 20.67; P< 0.001 for the strata 40-49 vs. 50-59). Table 3 shows the age-related, growing prevalence of formally defined hyperuricemia. For example, among the rate of uricemia ≥337 µmol/l, increases from 2 to 46% depending on age, and in men values ≥403 µmol/l are seen in up to 39%. In most age strata the levels of uricemia are significantly higher in men (Mann-Whitney U= 52.1; P= 0.026). The observed uric acid concentrations largely surpass the current national upper RIs (χ²= 109.64; df 3; P <0.001), and even our tentative limits of 380 µmol/l for women and 430 µmol/l for men (Figure 2).

Discussion

How best to determine the most acceptable laboratory reference values ("cut-off points") to facilitate the everyday practice? There are several approaches to this problem and no one is quite satisfactory.

![Flow chart of the study](image)

![Distribution of upper plasma uric acid levels in Split,Croatia (N= 1,565): presented are some relevant percentiles. Legend: M stands for male, F for the female gender, and the numbers 50, 90, and 95 symbolize the respective percentiles. Horizontal M and F lines represent the official upper reference limits in Croatia (403 and 337 µmol/l).](image)
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Percentile distribution of uricemia by age, men (N=721).

Table 1. Percentile distribution of uricemia by age, women (N = 844)

| Age (years) | N   | Percentile |
|-------------|-----|------------|
| 18 - 29     | 50  | 80 - 102   |
| 30 - 39     | 60  | 70 - 79    |
| 40 - 49     | 86  | 60 - 69    |
| 50 - 59     | 175 | 50 - 59    |
| 60 - 69     | 200 | 60 - 69    |
| 70 - 79     | 176 | 70 - 79    |
| 80 - 102    | 97  | 80 - 102   |

**95th percentile is not shown because of the low number of subjects.**

Percentile distribution of uricemia by age, men (N=721).

Table 2. Percentile distribution of uricemia by age, men (N=721).

| Age (years) | N   | Percentile |
|-------------|-----|------------|
| 18 - 29     | 18  | 80 - 102   |
| 30 - 39     | 45  | 70 - 79    |
| 40 - 49     | 93  | 60 - 69    |
| 50 - 59     | 147 | 50 - 59    |
| 60 - 69     | 202 | 60 - 69    |
| 70 - 79     | 149 | 70 - 79    |
| 80 - 102    | 67  | 80 - 102   |

RIB = women ≥337 μmol/l, men ≥403 µmol/l; RIA = women ≥380 μmol/l, men ≥430 µmol/l.

**Statistical definition of hyperuricemia determines the boundary to healthy individuals at the level of the population sample's upper decile, 95th percentile or 99th percentile. One or two standard deviations above the calculated arithmetic mean may also be used in this sense if the obtained distribution can be considered "normal," i.e. consistent with the Gaussian dispersion. Such delimitations are, of course, descriptive, formal and voluntaristic, designating a segment of a seemingly healthy population as "sick" (and some patients with 'normal' findings as "healthy").**

Our results indicate that the overall prevalence of such, statistically defined hyperuricemia in Split is well over 20%, and more prevalent among men. Female patients show a marked increase in uricemia after menopause, which was recently confirmed by another study in southern Croatia [15]. In our population such "hyperuricemia" may be detected in more than 1 out of 5 persons below 60 years and in more than 1 out of 3 in people over 60 years of age! It is almost impossible to set a limit above which the potential health threat becomes socially or medically relevant. The limit may be determined by clinical criteria (CDLs; therapeutic, operational), based on the ratio of proven benefit vs. the expected untoward effects and cost of a presumed intervention. Additional problem concerns the nature of evidence, i.e. which sample was used to produce the data? Was it large enough and representative of all the population strata? Which approach is more appropriate? We are unable to offer a clear response, but some hints are deducible from our results: serum uric acid values in Dalmatia, i.e. in southern Croatia are significantly above the current RIs, especially among the elderly. Similar results have been obtained in other countries; for example, the upper 95% percentile RI limit in north-eastern India is estimated at 428 μmol/l for women and 488 μmol/l for men [16]. Canadian upper limits for the 13 - 79 years range have been determined at 369 μmol/l for women and at 458 μmol/l for men [17]. The mentioned Chinese study [12] has shown a remarkable regional variability in plasma uric acid upper limits: from 394 to 474 μmol/l for the female, and from 495 to 599 μmol/l for the male gender. According to these data and our results, there is a need for new RIs, reflecting actual, age and gender grounded levels in a Mediterranean population. Moreover, the RIs must not be equalized with CDLs, which are quite different, based upon discrepant presumptions: the former are descriptive, and the latter are interventional.

**Study limitations**

Our research was observational, using a convenience sample, and examined were only the available data, with no way to verify their validity or representativeness. The sample was analyzed without information about possible comorbidities and medications which could influence uric acid levels, so that the observed results may be higher than in a properly selected, randomized sample of apparently healthy individuals. Moreover, because of missing or duplicate data, we had to exclude more than 500 results (507 out of 2072 or 24.5%). On the other hand, its advantage is the large amount of all the available data, which

**Furlan SZ (2018) Inadequate uric acid referent values may cause "hyperuricemia" and overtreatment**

Blood Heart Circ, 2018 doi: 10.15761/BHC.1000138
may faithfully reflect Split population, i.e. all the persons who, with other laboratory tests, had uric acid levels determined in their plasma.

Conclusion

1. Statistical calculations of RIs in case of serum uric acid levels were often based on samples not representative of the actual population and did not include age stratification. According to our results the official Croatian upper borderline of 337 μmol/l for women and 403 μmol/l for men should be set much higher, presumably above 390 and 490 μmol/l respectively, and reflect the important impact of advancing age.

2. One of the main Hippocratic values is "first do not harm", which is particularly true for asymptomatic patients. With all the clinical data, bearing in mind valid guidelines, a positive therapeutic decision should be made if its expected gains overrule the possible shortcomings. The initial level of such intervention in case of hyperuricemia is not clearly defined but should be set markedly above the statistically defined upper reference limits.
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