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Abstract
The global ecosystem has been significantly disrupted on various spatiotemporal scales over the last three decades due to human activities. Geospatial technology can quickly, effectively, and quantitatively to evaluate the spatiotemporal change of eco-environmental quality (EEQ). The present study is focused on novel approach of Remote Sensing based Ecological Index (RSEI), using Landsat Imagery data to assess environmental conditions and changes pattern. Four ecological indicators were prepared in the year 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2020 of Kolkata urban agglomeration (KUA) to evaluate the ecological environmental condition. The principal component analysis (PCA) and spatial autocorrelation analysis can relate all indicators with each other’s and RSEI. Out study indicated, greenness and wetness have a positive effect on EEQ of the province, but both dryness and heat have a negative effect. However, it should be noted that greenness has a greater impact on the eco-environment than the other three indicators. Based on the RSEI values, we have categorized the environmental standards of the study area into four groups—very good (0.81−1.00), good (0.61−0.80), acceptable (0.41−0.60), poor (0.21−0.40), and very poor (0.00−0.20), where high values indicate that environmental quality is stable and healthy for living organisms and low values indicate relatively unstable and threatening conditions of the environment. The status of RSEI showed that 9.02%, 12.29%, 12.79% and 37.23% of an area was under poor to very poor condition in the year of 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2020 respectively. Good to very good condition of RSEI values was increased from 19.12% to 34.07% during 1990 to 2010, but declined of RSEI value 9.47% during 2010 to 2020 due to urban expansion. Here, Moran’s I values fund that 0.265, 0.543, 0.396 and 0.367 in the year 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2020 respectively. The result of Moran’s I values indicate that clustering nature. The present study can helpful for the decision making of ecological management guided by planners and policy makers.
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Introduction
The protection of environment and sustainable development are two major attention and highly valuable topic at the contemporary studies. The eco—environmental quality is a term that represent the symbiosis of nature, society and economy. Therefore, good quality of ecological environment is necessity not only for human survival and human wellbeing but also for other organisms. The deterioration of the quality of eco—environmental
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processes involves both human as well as natural processes that hampered human life in many ways like- soil erosion, land degradation, water and forest resource deterioration, disaster and economy problem (Gazi and Mondal 2018; Huang and Cadenasso 2016; Khan and Chatterjee 2016; Talebmorad et al. 2021; Wu and Zhang 2021).

The worldwide studies on eco – environmental quality assessment can separate into two broad categories. The studies of first category focus on ecological impact assessment and risk assessment, whereas second categories focus a comprehensive assessment of the present ecological environmental condition. Derakhshania et al. 2020; Das et al. 2020; Han et al. 2015 used pressure-state-response (PSR) model to assess the ecological risk under different ecosystem e.g., water, wetland and urban ecosystem. But this model was mainly focused on the study of health risk of eco-environmental quality. Later, the application of remote sensing was established to study the comprehensive evaluation of eco-environmental condition (Chang and Qin 2017; Du et al. 2013; Shan et al. 2019; Jing et al. 2020). This method was pixel-based classification which showed more accurate result. Therefore, remote sensing-based assessment of eco – environmental quality has gain worldwide attention in studies (Shan et al. 2019; Jing et al. 2020; Wu and Zhang 2021). In Kolkata urban agglomeration researchers are focus to studied on the ecosystem health risk assessment (Nath and Acharjee 2013; Ghosh and Das 2019) due to land use land cover change (Sahana et al. 2018) and its impact on ecosystem services (Das et al. 2021a, b) but comprehensive assessment of ecological environmental condition has not assessed.

Ongoing rapid acceleration of urbanization and industrialization has amplified ecological and environmental complications (Aronson et al. 2014; Li et al. 2012; Reisi et al. 2019), land use land cover (LULC) pattern (Cui and Zang 2013; Du and Huang 2017; Gao et al. 2017; Sharma et al. 2015) and loss of natural capitals (Huang and Zhao 2016). Such changes transformed natural ecosystem to man-made ecosystem, which destroy the ecosystem structure, functions and energy flows (Shao et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2017). In recent times, most of the world’s ecosystems are confronted by multiple anthropogenic threats and consequent environmental disparity more than ever before (McDonnell and MacGregor-Fors 2016). With the advancement of human civilization, the development of human activity has impact on expansion of built-up land, as a result, the natural ecosystem is being significantly disrupted at various spatiotemporal scales (Williams et al. 2009). Disruption of large-scale ecosystems and its significant impact on the universal carbon cycle (Baldocchi 2008) have been reflected with various magnitudes and it also intensifies global climate change (Xu et al. 2019). The intensity, magnitudes, durations and frequency of human-induced environmental disturbances are displayed at different rates in different ranges in terms of duration (Levin 1992) and it should be monitored and quantified respectively. In this situation, the environmental sustainability and regulatory capacity are under great threats leads to degradation of EEQ.

The Remote Sensing based Environmental Index (RSEI) is a newly developed aggregate indicator that can quickly detect changes in the ecological conditions of a province over time using only remotely perceived data and Landsat datasets at the pixel level. Eco-Environmental quality (EEQ) assessment plays an important role in considering the contribution of inadequate ecological standards and many other environmental factors for complex urban characterization as well as the evaluation of an ecosystem. Adopting the benefit of the same data source for all indices of EEQ assessment, RSEI is shown as different spatiotemporal scales, visible and comparable, and it can avoid changes or errors in the definition of weight due to individual characteristics (Kerr and Ostrovsky 2003). For this reason, RSEI plays an important role in the EEQ assessment of any complex urban area and it recognized for its use in assessing the status of urban ecological environment, by incorporating natural environmental factors like- greenery, humidity, dryness, and heat. Researchers will be tasked with providing better advice to relevant policymakers on the formulation of environmental protection measures. Therefore, the reliability of such assessments can be improved based on RSEI approach, where the impact of RSEI indicators on the eco-environment has been tested and considered.

The rapid growth of urbanization as well as industrialization in Kolkata urban agglomeration (KUA) converting vegetation, wetland, and agricultural land to built-up land. The Vegetative and wetland ecosystem transformation has negative impact on different dimensions like biodiversity loss, safe water crisis, urban heat islands and micro climate change (Filho et al. 2021). At present, it is need to bring out EEQ in KUA. The advancement of RS technology and geographic information systems (GIS), rapid and real-time monitoring of large surface area ecosystem, ecological services and the spatiotemporal status of ecosystems are possible (Qiu et al. 2017; Willis 2015; Jing et al. 2020). Various methodologies were involving in the assessment of EEQ, such as—index for rapid assessment (IRA), EEQ status index (EI), remote sensing based ecological index (RESI). Ghetti (2007) proposed IRA method for Mediterranean environment based on the Rhodophyceae and Chlorophyceae ratio. The EI method was proposed by the Ministry of Environmental Protection of China in 2016 based on widely applicable biological abundance index, plant cover index, water network density, land degradation and environmental quality index (Zhu et al. 2017; Chenget al. 2008; Li et al. 2013). Scholars have encountered many difficulties in the practical application and specification (Ye et al. 2009). However, RSEI was based on RS information technology that quantitatively evaluates environmental...
quality at variations scale which was provides more accurate results (Xu and Tang 2013; Lin and Pan 2014). It can able to quickly monitor as well as assess environmental quality for study areas that have specific practical significance with high reliability. However, the present study assesses spatiotemporal changes of eco-environment quality by computer-based ecological index (RESI) using remote sensing techniques.

**Study area**

The KUA is also identified as the Kolkata Metropolitan Area (KMA), also known as the largest urban area in eastern India and the third-largest city in India has 14.72 million population with a population density of 7950 persons per sq. kilometres according to the 2011 Census. It has annual population growth rate was 1.8% in between 2001 – 2011 and population would be projected to rise 20 million and 21.1 million in the year 2021 and 2025 respectively (Census of India 2011; KMDA 2011). The study area covers an area of over 1851.41 sq.km extended in between 22°0′19″ N to 23°0′01″ N Latitudes and 88°0′04″ E to 88°0′33″ E Longitudes (Fig. 1). The region in discussible is a communicative linear urban prototype in the lower Gangetic delta along both the east and west banks of River Hooghly, known as lifelines of South Bengal. The agglomeration is spreading by a ring-shaped rural vegetated hinterland that acts as a green belt around the municipalities and municipal corporations (MCs) (KMC 2015). The 3 MCs namely Kolkata, Howrah, and Chandannagar, 38 municipalities, 77 Census Towns (CT), 16 outgrowths and 445-g panchayats (Rural village) exists in KUA that represents a complex set of administrative entities. Analysis of land use of KUA has revealed the rate of vegetation decline ranging from 33.6% (1980) to 7.36% (2010). In 2010, the built-up area of Kolkata urban area was 8.6%, water bodies were 3.15%, while other sections were 80.87% (Ramachandra and Aithal 2014). The economic development of the KUA
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has been accelerated by a large influx of population as well as the transformation of many agricultural lands into impenetrable built-up areas. Therefore, it can be said that KUA is a suitable study area for assessing and predicting EEQ.

Materials and methods

Data sources and pre-processing

This current study uses four Landsat images (Landsat TM and Landsat OLI / TIRS) downloaded from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS, http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov) (Table 1). The paths/rows of those satellite images were 138/44 and 138/45. For the farther application, the data were pre-processed with the help of ERDAS imagine 14 software. During pre-processing of the dataset, the grey value of the multispectral band or digital number (DN), conversion of the reflective values of the sensor, Fast Line-of-sight Atmospheric Analysis of Spectral Hyper-cubes (FLAASH) etc. are visible and close to each episode of the satellite image as atmospheric correction of infrared bands is essential (Goward et al. 2002; Xu 2013a, b; Kilic et al. 2016; Song et al. 2016). In the present study, images of different periods were modified using 0.5 pixels in the nearest pixel method with two polynomial and root mean square error (RMSE), and finally, the part clipped in the remote sensing image was included within the boundaries of the study area.

Calculation of the remote sensing-based ecological index (RSEI)

The present paper, four indicators of RSEI, namely- greenness, humidity, dryness, and heat, have been included that can reflect on environmental quality, which in turn are closely related to human survival. People can be perceived directly and above all that are used to assess EEQ (Gupta et al. 2012; Huimin et al. 2019; Hu and Xu 2018; Wang et al. 2008; Yunping et al. 2020). Furthermore, the RSEI is composed of the above four indicators through the Thematic Remote Sensing Indexes; it has represented as:

\[ RESI = f(NDVI, WET, NDSI, LST) \]  

where, RESI denotes remote sensing ecological, function of four indicator- NDVI signifies greenness, WET represents wetness, NDSI is represented by dryness, LST refers heat.

Normalized differential vegetation index (NDVI)

Vegetation is the valuable subtle or delicate significant indicator for determining the EEQ at regional level. Greenness refers to the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) and it reflect the measurement of biomass, leaf area index (LAI), vegetation coverage, vegetation bio-shield mass estimation, vegetation health and leading ecosystem proxy variables in any region (Rouse et al. 1973; De Araujo Barbosa et al. 2015). Furthermore, modern researchers recommend that NDVI is more sensitive and low-density vegetation in the urban landscape, which is suitable for high-density built-up lands. (Wang and Ding 2015; Liu and Hao 2017). The determination of NDVI is needed for analysing the proportion of vegetation (PV) and emissivity (ε) of any region. After the conversion of the digital numbers (DN) to reflectance, the NDVI is expressed by using the following equation proposed by Rouse et al. (1973), Tucker (1979), Jeevalakshmi et al. (2017), Giannini et al. (2015), Hanquinet et al. (2019):

\[ NDVI = \frac{(\rho_{NIR} - \rho_{RED})}{(\rho_{NIR} + \rho_{RED})} \]  

where, \( \rho_{NIR} \) and \( \rho_{RED} \) represent the DN values from the Near Infrared band 5 (0.85 – 0.88 μm) and red band 4 (0.64 – 0.67 μm) of Landsat 8 (OLI / TIRS) image, respectively, and Near Infrared band 4 and red band 3 of Landsat TM image. The value of NDVI is basically between—1.0 and + 1.0, where negative values indicate waterlogging (water bodies) and snow cover while positive values greater than 0.5 indicate dense vegetation cover (Ozelkan et al. 2005; Zare et al. 2019).

Wetness (WET)

The Tasseled Cap Transformation (TCT) is a technique commonly or extensively used in land cover mapping as well as ecological monitoring studies specifically for brightness, greenness and wetness (depending on coefficient used) of the physical aspects of the earth’s surface (Zawadzki et al. 2016; Yunqing et al. 2020). It converts the original orthogonal

Table 1 Detailed information of Satellite Images

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Spacecraft ID | Sensor ID |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------------|-----------|
| 1990 | 14  | 09  | 23  | 17  | 09  | 23  | 17  | 09  | 23  | 17  | 09  | 23  | Landsat 5 TM  | Landsat 5 TM |
| 2000 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | Landsat 5 TM  | Landsat 5 TM |
| 2010 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | Landsat 5 TM  | Landsat 5 TM |
| 2020 | 17  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | Landsat 8 OLI/TIRS | Landsat 8 OLI/TIRS |
multispectral data into a new axis set related to physical significance (Baig et al. 2014). TCT also represents soil moisture for the purpose of understanding the significant properties of soil and plant moisture (Kauth and Thomas 1976; Huang et al. 2002). The researchers (Crist 1985; Goward et al. 2002; Huang et al. 2002 Baig et al. 2014; Quanlong et al. 2015) were used to calculate the moisture content of Landsat-5 TM:

\[
WET_{TM} = 0.1446 \rho_{Blue} + 0.1761 \rho_{Green} + 0.3322 \rho_{NIR} + 0.3396 \rho_{SWIR1} - 0.6210 \rho_{SWIR2}
\] (3)

where, \( \rho_{Blue} \), \( \rho_{Green} \), \( \rho_{Red} \), \( \rho_{NIR} \), \( \rho_{SWIR1} \), and \( \rho_{SWIR2} \) are the corresponding surface reflectance bands of Blue (Band 1), Green (Band 2), Red (Band 3), Near Infrared (NIR, Band 4), short-wave infrared 1 (SWIR1, Band 5), and short-wave infrared 2 (SWIR2, Band 7) bands of the TM, respectively. The same procedure was applied to calculate the moisture content of Landsat-8 OLI / TIRS satellite image using the equation given by Baig et al. (2014), Jing et al. (2020):

\[
WETO_{OLI} = 0.1511 \rho_{Red} + 0.1972 \rho_{Blue} + 0.3283 \rho_{Green} + 0.3407 \rho_{NIR} + 0.7117 \rho_{SWIR1} + 0.4559 \rho_{SWIR2}
\] (4)

where, \( \rho_{Blue} \), \( \rho_{Green} \), \( \rho_{Red} \), \( \rho_{NIR} \), \( \rho_{SWIR1} \), and \( \rho_{SWIR2} \) are the corresponding surface reflectance bands of Blue, Green, Red, NIR, SWIR1, and SWIR2 bands in the OLI / TIRS respectively.

**Normalized difference soil index (NDSI)**

The NDSI denotes a dry index proxy (Xu et al. 2017; Li et al. 2020a, b) which is the average of the sum of equation no. 6 of the Soil Index (SI) and equation no. 7 of the Index-based built-up index (IBI). The equation is given in below:

\[
NDSI = (SI + IBI)/2
\] (5)

\[
SI = ((\rho_{SWIR1} + \rho_{Red}) - (\rho_{Blue} + \rho_{NIR})) / ((\rho_{SWIR1} + \rho_{Red}) + (\rho_{Blue} + \rho_{NIR}))
\] (6)

\[
IBI = [((2 \times \rho_{SWIR1})/(\rho_{SWIR1} + \rho_{Red}) - \rho_{NIR}) / (\rho_{NIR} + \rho_{Red}) + \rho_{Green}/(\rho_{Green} + \rho_{SWIR1})] /
((2 \times \rho_{SWIR1})/(\rho_{SWIR1} + \rho_{NIR}) - \rho_{NIR}) /
(\rho_{NIR} + \rho_{Red}) + \rho_{Green}/(\rho_{Green} + \rho_{SWIR1})]
\] (7)

where, \( \rho_{Blue} \), \( \rho_{Green} \), \( \rho_{Red} \), \( \rho_{NIR} \), and \( \rho_{SWIR1} \) are the corresponding surface reflectance bands of Blue, Green, Red, NIR, and SWIR1 bands in the TM and OLI / TIRS sensors, respectively.

**Land surface temperature (LST)**

LST is a proxy for the heat index obtained by a single-channel algorithm (Xu et al. 2017; Jimenez-Munoz et al. 2009). The ideal method for retrieving LST from a raw Landsat dataset is to convert the TIRS band and TM bands (Bands 10 and 11 in Landsat 8; Band 6 in Landsat 5) from the DN value to the Satellite spectral radiative activity value (\( \lambda \)) (Chander et al. 2009; Xu et al. 2009; USGS 2016b; Zare et al. 2019). Which is calculated using Satellite Brightness Temperature (BT), assumption of unity emissivity (\( \varepsilon \)), and Pre-Launch Calibration Constants (Chander et al. 2009; Xu et al. 2009; USGS 2016b). In this present study, we used the thermal band 6 of Landsat 5 and the thermal bands 10 and 11 of Landsat 8 to restore the satellite spectral radiative activity values of the dates mentioned. And \( \lambda \), BT, \( \varepsilon \), and LST are calculated according to these references by converting the DN value of the TIRS and OLI / TIRS bands to spectral brightness and top of atmosphere (TOA) planetary reflection (Xu et al. 2009; Estoque and Murayama 2017), the equations used to convert from a satellite temperature to ground surface temperature as well as from DNA to radiative activity are as follows (USGS. Landsat 8 Data users Handbook 2016a):

\[
\lambda = ML \times Qcal + AL
\] (8)

where, \( L_{\lambda} \) denote the spectral radiation (Watts/ (m²*sr*μm)), \( M_{i} \) denote the Band specific multiplicative rescaling factor from the metadata, (RADIANCE_MULT_BAND_\( n \)), Where ‘\( n \)’ denotes the band number (10 or 11 for Landsat 8 and 6 for TM), \( Q_{cal} \) = Level 1 value in DN or Corresponds to band 10 &11, and \( A_{i} \) is Band specific additive rescaling factor from the metadata, (RADIANCE_ADD_BAND_\( n \)), Where ‘\( n \)’ is the band Number 10 or 11 (OLI/TIRS) and 6 (TM). The conversion of TIRS data from spectral radiance to brightness temperature (BT) occurs according to the following equation:

\[
BT_{i} = [(K2/In) \times (K1/L\lambda) + 1] - 273.15(\text{in}°C)
\] (9)

where, \( BT_{i} \) is top of atmospheric (TOA) brightness temperature in Kelvin or Degree Celsius for TIRS band i (is 10 &11 for TIRS and 6 for TM), \( K_{i} \) = Band specific coefficients are thermal conversion constant from the metadata. (K1_CONSTANT_BAND_\( n \), K2_CONSTANT_BAND_\( n \)), Where ‘\( n \)’ is the band Number 10 or 11 for Landsat -8 and 6 for TM (Table 2), \( K_{2} \) = Band specific thermal conversion constant from the metadata. (K2_CONSTANT_BAND_\( n \)), Where ‘\( n \)’ is the band Number (10 & 11 for Landsat 8 and 6 for TM). The result of this process is the temperature in Celsius, and the radiant temperature is the absolute zero temperature is about—273.15°C.
Table 2 The values of λ, Quantized calibration pixel and Spectral radiance for Landsat bands

| Satellite     | Band | λ (μm) | Thermal conversion constants (Parameter) |
|---------------|------|--------|-----------------------------------------|
| Landsat – 5/4 (TM) | 6    | 11.45  | 607.76 1260.56                          |
| Landsat – 8 (OLI/TIRS) | 10   | 10.895 | 774.885 1321.0789                       |
| Landsat – 11 | 11   | 12.005 | 480.888 1201.1442                       |

Source: NASA (2013), USGS (2015)

The same method was implemented for the Band 11, from the equation we can get Band 10 radiance and Band 11 Radiance as an output.

Pv has been calculated with the help of NDVI value obtained from Equation No.2. This shows the approximate area under each land cover type. The amount of surface vegetation and empty soil from NDVI of clear pixels is known (Zaitunah et al. 2018). The values of NDVI and NDVI are 0.5 and 0.2, respectively, for high-resolution data in cultivated fields, the value of NDVI can reach between 0.8—0.9 (Wang and Ding 2015). The ratio of mixed pixels to plants is determined using the following equation (Zaitunah et al. 2018):

\[
P_V = \left( \frac{N_{DVI} - N_{DVI_{min}}}{N_{DVI_{max}} - N_{DVI_{min}}} \right)^2
\]

where, \( P_V \) is the Proportion of Vegetation, \( NDVI \) is the DN values from NDVI Image, NDVI minimum and maximum value is minimum and maximum DN values from NDVI Image, respectively.

LST largely depends on the roughness of the surface, the nature of the vegetation, and so on (Mallick et al. 2008). This is the average emissivity (\( \varepsilon \)) of a component of the Earth’s surface calculated from the NDVI value. Van de Griend and Owe (1993) were proposed the calculation formula of average emissivity (\( \varepsilon \)) that can mentioned in below:

\[
\varepsilon = 0.004 \times P_V + 0.986
\]

When, \( NDVI < 0 \) theine is 1 (the emissivity in water body is close to 1). Plant coverage is very low when the value of NDVI is 0—0.157 and \( \varepsilon \) is 0.92 (Qin et al. 2004).

LST is the radiative temperature used to calculate the TOA brightness temperature (BT), and the emitted radiance wavelength, which is calculated using the following equations (Orhan and Yakar 2016):

\[
LST = \left[ BTi/\left[ 1 + (\lambda \times BT)/C2 \right] \right] \times In(\varepsilon)
\]

where, BT is TOA brightness temperature (°C), \( \lambda \) = Wavelength of emitted radiance (Table -2), \( C_2 = h*c/s = 14,387.685 \mu m K; \)

\( h = \text{Planck’s constant} = 6.626 \times 10^{-34} J/s; \)

\( s = \text{Boltzmann constant} = 1.38 \times 10^{-23} J/K; \)

\( c = \text{Velocity of light} = 2.998 \times 10^8 m/s. \)

Establishment of RSEI

Instead of the conventional heavy aggregation method, RSEI is calculated by integrating four indicators (f) through Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The data range and units of the four indices are different that were normalized in between 0 to 1 through the PCA. Therefore, innovative ecological indicators are obtained on the basis of the results of PCA transformations (Li et al. 2015; Hanqiu et al. 2019; Xisheng and Hanqiu et al. 2018; Jing et al. 2020). This can usually be expressed as the following equation:

\[
RESI0 = PCA \left[ f(NDVI, WET, NDSI, LST) \right]
\]

where, PCA is a spatial principal component strategy. RSEI values are again normalized from 0 to 1, so that 1 can be compared to very good EEQ and 0 indicates extremely poor EEQ (Xu 2013b; Hanqiu et al. 2019; Jing et al. 2020). Normalized RSEI values are divided into five levels, such as very good (0.8—1), good (0.6—0.8), acceptable (0.4—0.6), poor (0.2—0.4) and very poor (0—0.2) (Xu 2013b; Xu et al. 2018; Yue et al. 2019; Hanqiu et al. 2019; Jing et al. 2020) (Table 5).

Spatial auto-correlation analysis

The spatial auto-correlation analysis als two parts- Global Spatial Autocorrelation (Global Moran’s I Index) and the Local Indicator of Spatial Autocorrelation (LISA) used to explore spatial correlations of EEQ. It tested whether the indicator’s attribute value of an element is significantly related to the attribute value of its neighbouring areas, which exhibits the correlation of attribute eigenvalue between the spatial reference unit and its neighbouring space unit.

Global spatial auto-correlation (Moran’s I index)

The Global Moran’s I coefficient reveals a pattern of interrelationships between the attribute’s values of the properties of the spatial neighbouring unit, i.e. evaluates whether it is clustered, scattered, or random. Whose absolute value is about 1, and the spatial auto-correlation of the unit is stronger. This indicator has been used to verify the spatial relationship of an element in the present study area, the diagnostic equation is as follows (Gong et al. 2014; Jing et al. 2020):

\[
I = \frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} W_{ij} (X_i - \overline{X})(X_j - \overline{X})}{S^2 \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} W_{ij}}
\]
\[ S^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where, \( xi \) is the attribute value of the neighbouring space \( (i) \); \( n \) is the total number of grids in the area; \( \bar{X} \) is the average of the \( x_i \) over the \( n \) space; \( w_{ij} \) is the weight of the matrix; \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n \). When \( i \) and \( j \) are neighbouring, \( W_{ij}=0 \). This indicator exact ranges from +1 to -1, where +1, 0 and -1 means positive spatial autocorrelation i.e. opposite of dispersion, nonexistence of spatial auto-correlation i.e. perfect randomness, and negative autocorrelation i.e. perfect dispersion, respectively (Cliff and Ord 1981; Yan 2014).

**Local Indicators of Spatial Autocorrelation index (LISA index)**

It can effectively reflect a local spatial association by analysing the values of Global Moran’s I in terms of the correlation of neighbourhood values around a particular spatial location (Gong et al. 2014). It again determines the amount of spatial non-stationery and clustering that exists in the data, i.e. it makes important analyses about the LISA. It can also analyse is there any spatial heterogeneity when global spatial autocorrelation exists. The equation for determining which consists as follows (Anselin 1995; Gong et al. 2014):

\[ I = \frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{S_i^2} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} w_{ij} (x_j - \bar{x}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

\[ S_i^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} W_{ij} - \bar{X}^2}{n - 1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where, \( I_i \) is spatial clustering of similar values i.e. high or low values around the local unit, negative \( I_i \) is the spatial clustering between dissimilar values, \( x_i \) is an attribute for \( i \), \( \bar{X} \) is the mean of corresponding attribute, \( w_{ij} \) is the spatial weight between \( i \) and \( j \), \( n \) equating to the total number of features.

**Results**

**Combination of the indicators**

The quantification of EEQ assessment is guided through scientific procedures and computation of index. Therefore, the bands of satellite images are integrated to produce normalized indexes i.e., greenness, wetness, dryness and heat (Fig. 2), which were used to get the result of PCA (Table 3).

Table 3 showed that the contribution rates of the four main indicators of the first principal component (PC1) reached nearly 54.36%, 56.22%, 53.36%, and 69.40% during the four decades of 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2020, respectively. The other three indexes other than LST were relatively stable during the period observed, meaning that most of the features of the four indices were concentrated in the first principal component. Here PCA1 state that the NDVI (from 1990 – 2020), WET (from 1990 – 2020), NDSI (in the year 1990) and LST (in the year 1990) values are positive and NDSI and LST values negative in the year 2000, 2010 and 2020. The positive values indicate a positive role and the negative values indicating a negative role in relation to EEQ. The values of other major components such as PC2, PC3, and PC4 are unstable, which makes it difficult to explain the eco-environmental nature of the region in question. For that reason, we have formulated RESI by integrating four indicators through PC1 in the present study area.

We have evaluated the correlation between RSEI and the four indicators i.e. greenness, humidity, dryness, and heat, for a comprehensive representation of the RSEI model in Fig. 3, which represents the correlation between themselves and correlation between each corresponding indicator with RSEI. The figure shows that in 1990 the correlation between humidity and heat with dryness and humidity with heat again was good, the values were—0.97, 0.63, and—0.59, respectively, and the correlation of each index with RSEI was good, i.e.0.67, – 0.89, 0.86, and 0.68; Similarly, in 2000, the correlation between humidity and heat with dryness was -0.94 and 0.59, respectively, as well as the correlation between greenness and heat with RSEI, which was 0.95 and – 0.68, respectively.

We noticed that, the correlation between humidity and heat with dryness was good in 2010, at – 0.95 and 0.56, respectively. And in the year 2020 the correlation between humidity and heat with dryness and humidity with heat was good; which are – 0.81, 0.52 and – 0.63 respectively. The correlation between RSI and green has been good over the last two decades, with a value of 1.0 in both cases. Wetlands are an important source of water in any place, which is affected by the exchange of heat and water vapour around the river (Hugli River) and other water bodies, and its absence or degradation can reduce its capacity and EEQ. In the city of Calcutta in discussible, carbon contamination, dust, and floating dust in the atmosphere, as well as smoke, often create a smog breeze, which is detrimental to plant growth. In conclusion, the effect of humidity on the EEQ of the study area is significant. Therefore, more attention should go to protecting wetland sites and vegetation cover in KUA.
Fig. 2 Spatio–temporal distribution of RSEI indices from 1990–2020 (a) NDVI, (b) WET, (c) NDSI and (d) LST
Assessment of eco-environmental quality in the study area

In addition to comparing the EEQ of the region in discussible, the quality of the four indicators for assessment has also been normalized. Table 4 analyses the EEQ of the KUA for the years 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2020 on the basis of the average normalized. Table 4 analyses the EEQ of the KUA for the years 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2020 on the basis of the average normalized.

Table 3 Results of principal component analysis (PCA)

| Year | Indicators | PC1   | PC2   | PC3   | PC4   |
|------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1990 | NDVI       | 0.5718| 0.7979| 0.1881| 0.0432|
|      | WET        | 0.0423| 0.0675| 0.2140| 0.9455|
|      | NDSI       | -0.6283| -0.3190| -0.6319| 0.3226|
|      | LST        | -0.4717| -0.5079| 0.7207| -0.0092|
|      | Eigenvalue | 0.0089| 0.0058| 0.0016| 0.0000|
|      | % eigenvalue| 54.36| 35.70| 9.79| 0.15|
| 2000 | NDVI       | 0.8966| 0.3558| 0.2540| 0.0714|
|      | WET        | 0.0144| -0.3578| 0.1935| 0.9134|
|      | NDSI       | -0.1951| 0.7548| -0.4813| 0.4007|
|      | LST        | -0.3974| 0.4192| 0.8163| -0.0025|
|      | Eigenvalue | 0.0131| 0.0084| 0.0017| 0.0001|
|      | % eigenvalue| 56.22| 36.10| 7.49| 0.20|
| 2010 | NDVI       | 0.9893| -0.1029| 0.0854| 0.0583|
|      | WET        | 0.1012| -0.3062| 0.1673| 0.9317|
|      | NDSI       | -0.1007| 0.8362| -0.4030| 0.3581|
|      | LST        | -0.0301| 0.4432| 0.8957| -0.0185|
|      | Eigenvalue | 0.0100| 0.0074| 0.0013| 0.0000|
|      | % eigenvalue| 53.36| 39.63| 6.76| 0.24|
| 2020 | NDVI       | 0.9714| 0.0750| -0.2093| 0.0840|
|      | WET        | 0.0077| -0.1695| 0.2825| 0.9442|
|      | NDSI       | -0.2326| 0.4948| -0.7744| 0.3186|
|      | LST        | -0.0482| 0.8490| 0.5261| -0.0046|
|      | Eigenvalue | 0.0089| 0.0033| 0.0006| 0.0000|
|      | % eigenvalue| 69.40| 25.93| 4.65| 0.03|

Spontaneous changes of eco-environmental quality

After formulating the RESI, we categorized the RESI scores into the following five distinct categories e.g. Very Good (0.81−1.00), Good (0.61−0.80), Acceptable (0.41−0.60), Poor (0.21−0.40), and Very Poor (0.00−0.20). This classification is described in Table 5, which shows a high standard of EEQ quality for living organisms in the environment indicates stable and healthy, and on the other hand, a low value indicates a relatively unstable and threatening state. Fig. 5 showed the spatiotemporal distribution of RSEI, indicate that EEQ was slight improved in the Baruiupur, Singur, Srirampur Uttarpara municipality area in between 1990–2000 but after that in was decreased. Overall, EEQ degradation was spreading from Kol-kata MC to surrounding municipal areas from the study period. The result of RSEI status shown that 9.02%, 12.29%, 12.79% and 9.47% area was under poor and very poor status of RSEI respectively in the year 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2020 and good to very good condition of RSEI area was continuously decreased 19.12%, 30.33%, 34.07% and 9.47% respectively in the year 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2020. The description of the status of RSEI classes has been given in Table 6.

Change detection of RSEI

Figure 6 shows that the EEQ has improved slightly in 2020 in the study area that had the worst conditions in 1990. For such results in the field of the present study area can be largely attributed mainly to the policies adopted and implemented at the state and national levels, where emphasis has been placed on the conservation of cultivated lands, forests and wetlands instead of industrialization and urbanization with emphasis on EEQ degradation. The description of RSEI change detection was given in (Table 7). Human activities have reduced forest and wetland resources restoration. There for EEQ has degraded in 03 municipal corporation, 38 municipalities, and 77 Census towns (CT) of the study area in 2020. The human activates in this study area has great impact on eco-environment and become more friable as anti-eco-environmental threat has sensitive impact on mankind. This blind economic development disturbs sustainable development and permanent welfare of environment (Wang et al. 2016; Jing et al. 2020).
Discussion

Impact of urbanization on ecological environmental quality

The urbanization in KUA has historical perspective. However, in the study period, we found rapid increase in population as well as built-up land. According to census of India data the population growth rate was 66% (during 1991–2011), 55.41% (during 1991–2001) and 6.81% (during 2001–2011). It has showed that in between 1991–2001 rapid population growth was occurred but after that it started to declining population growth. Sahana et al. (2018) investigated that built-up land was increased by 45.14% during 1990–2015 period. The process of urbanization was linked with ecosystem transformation and degradation. The changes of natural ecosystem to man-made ecosystem effects on the EEQ in KUA. Our analysis also investigated that EEQ also deteriorated during that the observed period.

Spatial auto–correlation analysis

Global spatial auto-correlation of RESI

The Moran scatter plots for 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2020 (Fig. 7) indicate fluctuations trend when compared to

| Year | NDVI | WET | NDSI | LST | RSEI |
|------|------|-----|------|-----|------|
|      | Mean | SD  | Mean | SD  | Mean | SD  | Mean | SD  | Mean | SD  |
| 1990 | 0.449 | 0.121 | 0.935 | 0.018 | 0.423 | 0.102 | 0.398 | 0.097 | 0.520 | 0.112 |
| 2000 | 0.627 | 0.16  | 0.853 | 0.037 | 0.443 | 0.111 | 0.216 | 0.101 | 0.607 | 0.157 |
| 2010 | 0.526 | 0.147 | 0.815 | 0.051 | 0.497 | 0.109 | 0.415 | 0.074 | 0.558 | 0.149 |
| 2020 | 0.455 | 0.136 | 0.769 | 0.044 | 0.324 | 0.060 | 0.473 | 0.075 | 0.437 | 0.135 |
their previous time period. The values of Moran’s I in 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2020 were 0.265, 0.543, 0.396 and 0.367, respectively, which are relatively low except 2000. The findings show that the distribution pattern of the RSEI in the study region has obvious clustering, indicating a clear positive correlation. The Moran’s I of RSEI was increased from 1990–2000 but declined from 2000–2020 which indicated that the clustering nature of the RSEI declining slowly.

Local spatial auto-correlation of RESI

The LISA index and LISA cluster map shown for KUA in Fig. 8 subconsciously reflect the spatial distribution of high-high (HH), low-low (LL), low-high (LH), and high-low (HL). Where, HH areas are mainly concentrated in the vicinity of water bodies, LL zones were distributed in the built-up land and peripheral areas of the study landscape. The HL zones were distributed randomly and some were concentrated near LL zones, showing that transitional landscape processes were already operative. The HL tracts were mainly concentrated near the HH zones and their values have gradually increased.

Figure 9 shows that the high-High region basically expanded to significant levels of 0.05 in 2000 and 2010, and a significant level of 0.01 is mainly located in the low-low and high-high regions, which has revealed a strong correlation to the EEQ. On the other hand, the significant level of 0.05 is mainly located along the perimeter of the main urban area where the significant level of 0.01 has expanded. Similarly, the high-High region has basically expanded to significant levels of 0.01 in 2000 and 2010.

Policy implementation

To assess and understand the spatio – temporal change of eco-environmental quality 1647 sample points was collected form each image. Here, 1 km × 1 km grid was used to resample of images based on the nature of landscape pattern. Adequate sampling points as well as precise methods of analysis can ensure objectivity representativeness of the results of regression analysis in the study area.

Positive indicators (greenness and dryness), negative indicators (humidity and heat) and RSEI samples are projected in three-dimensional space (Fig. 10) to analyse the relationship between each indicator and RSEI. The upper and lower parts of the scatterplot represent excellent eco-environment (ecological high) with high humidity and high vegetation coverage area, while the lower part of the scatterplot represents a weak eco-environment with high heat, low vegetation coverage area and arid region. Figure 10 showed the step-by-step regression of greenness, humidity, dryness, and heat as independent variables with the dependent variable RSEI. The following are the regression models that all extended the level of significance to 99% of the study area:

| Normalized RSEI value | Quality Level | Status of Quality |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| 0.0 – 0.20            | Very poor (Level – 1) | The Urban Agglomeration ecosystem has been in degraded condition with the entirely destroyed physical landscape, very poor functions of the ecosystem, and very higher human population pressure |
| 0.21 – 0.40           | Poor (Level – 2)   | The Urban Agglomeration ecosystem has been in degraded condition with the semi fragmented landscape, poor (unhealthy) functions of the ecosystem, and relatively higher human population pressure |
| 0.41 – 0.60           | Acceptable (Level – 3) | The Urban Agglomeration ecosystem has a basic maintenance system due to some changes in the urban landscape, the effectiveness of deteriorating ecosystems, and the greater human population pressure |
| 0.61 – 0.80           | Good (Level – 4)   | The Urban Agglomeration ecosystem has a sustainable and stable state, where the ecosystem works goodly due to the less human population pressure |
| 0.81 – 1.00           | Very Good (Level – 5) | The Urban Agglomeration ecosystem has a sustainable and stable state, where the ecosystem works very goodly due to the very low human population pressure |
Fig. 5  Spatio-temporal distribution of RSEI in KMA in the year 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2020
As can be seen from coefficient value of the respective variable, only the coefficients of NDVI are positive for all study years and have a positive impact on the eco-environment, while the remaining indicators i.e., WET, NDSI and LST are fluctuated year by year, and which was fluctuated negative and positive effects on eco-environmental quality. It has consistent with the results of the first component of PCA. The sum of the coefficient of positive indicators NDVI is larger and has greater impact than negative indicators i.e. WET, NDSI, and LST. The effect of greenness is greater than that of humidity, dryness, and heat, indicating that greenery has a greater effect than other indicators.

It is shown that with the increase of urbanization in Kolkata urban agglomeration area, the vegetation is also decreasing and consequently the eco-environment quality is deteriorating day by day. Therefore, in order to improve the eco-environmental quality of the study area, subsequent urban planning proper control of impermeable surface proportions, and the investment and construction of urban green space by increasing urban forest parks and protection of wetlands should emphasized to maintain balance ecological environmental quality (Bardhan et al. 2016; Coutts et al. 2016; Paul and Patra 2020).

### Table 6  The description of the status of RSEI classes in KMA

| Level of RSEI   | 1990 Area (Sq. Km.) | % | 2000 Area (Sq. Km.) | % | 2010 Area (Sq. Km.) | % | 2020 Area (Sq. Km.) | % |
|-----------------|---------------------|---|---------------------|---|---------------------|---|---------------------|---|
| Very Poor       | 61.29               | 3.31 | 12.95               | 0.70 | 60.62               | 3.27 | 81.97               | 4.43 |
| Poor            | 105.63              | 5.71 | 214.61              | 11.59 | 176.29              | 9.52 | 607.24              | 32.80 |
| Acceptable      | 1330.42             | 71.86 | 507.00              | 27.39 | 798.53              | 43.13 | 986.85              | 53.30 |
| Good            | 354.05              | 19.12 | 1016.40             | 54.90 | 787.99              | 42.56 | 171.50              | 9.26  |
| Very Good       | 0.03                | 0.00  | 100.45              | 5.43  | 27.98               | 1.51  | 3.85                | 0.21  |
| Total area      | 1851.41             | 100.00 | 1851.41             | 100.00 | 1851.41             | 100.00 | 1851.41             | 100.00 |

\[
RESI_{1990} = 0.062 \otimes NDVI - 0.194 \otimes WET + 0.508 \otimes NDSI + 0.381 \otimes LST + 0.108(R^2 = 1) \\
RESI_{2000} = 0.832 \otimes NDVI + 0.013 \otimes WET - 0.181 \otimes NDSI + -0.369 \otimes LST + 0.235(R^2 = 1) \\
RESI_{2010} = 0.996 \otimes NDVI - 0.102 \otimes WET + 0.101 \otimes NDSI + -0.030 \otimes LST + 0.075(R^2 = 1) \\
RESI_{2020} = 0.940 \otimes NDVI - 0.007 \otimes WET - 0.225 \otimes NDSI + +0.047 \otimes LST + 0.066(R^2 = 1) \\
\]

As can be seen from coefficient value of the respective variable, only the coefficients of NDVI are positive for all study years and have a positive impact on the eco-environment, while the remaining indicators i.e., WET, NDSI and LST are fluctuated year by year, and which was fluctuated negative and positive effects on eco-environmental quality. It has consistent with the results of the first component of PCA. The sum of the coefficient of positive indicators NDVI is larger and has greater impact than negative indicators i.e. WET, NDSI, and LST. The effect of greenness is greater than that of humidity, dryness, and heat, indicating that greenery has a greater effect than other indicators.

### Table 7  Change detection of remote sensing ecological index (RESI) level in 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2020

| Change          | Level | Level Area Sq.Km | Change Area Sq.Km | In Percentage |
|-----------------|-------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Degeneration    | -5.00 | 0.00             | 807.55            | 43.62         |
|                 | -4.00 | 0.00             | 521.21            |               |
|                 | -3.00 | 221.21           |                   |               |
|                 | -2.00 | 562.97           |                   |               |
|                 | -1.00 | 23.36            |                   |               |
| Invariability   | 0.00  | 878.00           | 878.00            | 47.42         |
| Improvement     | 1.00  | 0.00             | 165.86            | 8.96          |
|                 | 2.00  | 1.95             |                   |               |
|                 | 3.00  | 37.25            |                   |               |
|                 | 4.00  | 123.30           |                   |               |
|                 | 5.00  | 3.36             |                   |               |
| Total           | 1851.41 | 1851.41          | 100.00            |               |

![Fig. 6 Remote sensing ecological index (RSEI) change detection of the Kolkata Urban Agglomeration](image)
Fig. 7  Moran’s I scatter plot in the year of 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2020

Fig. 8  Local spatial auto-correlation (LISA) Cluster Map in Kolkata Urban Agglomeration in 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2020

Fig. 9  LISA significance Map in Kolkata Urban Agglomeration in 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2020
Conclusion

In the current study, degradation of EEQ in the KUA was major concern of the present study due to shrinkage of wetlands, green space and rapid urbanization. The study determined the following outcomes as given below:

1. The paper used Landsat 5 Thematic Mapper (TM) and Landsat 8 operational land imager (OLI / TIRS) data for the extraction of greenness, wetness, heat, and dryness, which integrated to produce remote sensing ecological index in KUA. The greenness and wetness in the study area and the dryness and heat showed a positive and negative effect on EEQ, respectively. The green space among the four indices has had a major impact on the quality of the EEQ in the study area.

2. The result of the present study illustrates that 9.02% of area residing under poor to very poor category of EEQ in 1990 was increased to 37.23% in 2020. On the opposite good to very good condition of EEQ was continuously decreasing from 19.12% to 9.47% in between 1990–2020.

3. The values of Moran’s I were 0.265, 0.543, 0.396 and 0.367, respectively in the year 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2020, which was relatively low except 2000. The findings show that the distribution pattern of the RSEI in the study region has obvious clustering, indicating a clear positive correlation. The Moran’s I of RSEI was increased from 1990–2000 but declined from 2000–2020 which indicated that the clustering nature of the RSEI declining slowly.

4. We prepare LISA Cluster map by diving area into four groups- High-Highs (HH), Low-Low (LL), Low–High (LH) and High-Low (HL). It found that water body areas have HH category, represent significant correlation with EEQ. And the LL zones were distributed in the built-up land and peripheral areas of the study landscape. The HL zones were distributed randomly and some were concentrated near LL zones, showing that transitional landscape processes were already operative. The HL tracts were mainly concentrated near the HH zones and their values have gradually increased.

The indicators for the present study precisely described the ecological environmental quality will further improve by adding subsequent research. The researchers need to consider economic, social, and culture indicator for the setting development goals of ecological environmental quality. The study can also further be improved by incorporating land resource, water resource, condition of waste and air quality data (Yan et al. 2017) but did not assess in this paper. Besides these indicators, the present study can contribute to the management of ecological environmental condition and improvement of eco-environmental quality.
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