Amino Acid Residues 489–503 of Dihydropyridine Receptor (DHPR) β1a Subunit Are Critical for Structural Communication between the Skeletal Muscle DHPR Complex and Type 1 Ryanodine Receptor*
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Background: Dihydropyridine receptor (DHPR) β1a subunit is essential for muscle contraction.

Results: Deletion of residues 489–503 in the β1a C terminus prevents calcium signaling and DHPR tetrad formation.

Conclusion: β1a C terminus is critical for structural communication with the Ca2+ release channel.

Significance: The β1a C-terminal tail is as important as the DHPR α1S II–III loop for skeletal EC coupling.

The β1a subunit is a cytoplasmic component of the dihydropyridine receptor (DHPR) complex that plays an essential role in skeletal muscle excitation-contraction (EC) coupling. Here we investigate the role of the C-terminal end of this auxiliary subunit in the functional and structural communication between the DHPR and the Ca2+ release channel (RyR1). Progressive truncation of the β1a C terminus showed that deletion of amino acid residues Glu489 to Trp503 resulted in a loss of depolarization-induced Ca2+ release, a severe reduction of L-type Ca2+ currents, and a lack of tetrad formation as evaluated by freeze-fracture analysis. However, deletion of this domain did not affect expression/targeting or density (Qmax) of the DHPR-α1S subunit to the plasma membrane. Within this motif, triple alanine substitution of residues Leu496, Leu500, and Trp503, which are thought to mediate direct β1a–RyR1 interactions, weakened EC coupling but did not replicate the truncated phenotype. Therefore, these data demonstrate that an amino acid segment encompassing sequence Glu489–Trp503 of β1a contains critical determinant(s) for the physical link of DHPR and RyR1, further confirming a direct correspondence between DHPR positioning and DHPR/RyR functional interactions. In addition, our data strongly suggest that the motif Leu496-Leu500-Trp503 within the β1a C-terminal tail plays a nonessential role in the bidirectional DHPR/RyR1 signaling that supports skeletal-type EC coupling.

Excitation-contraction (EC) coupling in skeletal muscle involves a direct interaction between the L-type Ca2+ channel, 1–4-dihydropyridine receptor (DHPR) complex, located in the surface membrane and the type 1 ryanodine receptor (RyR1), Ca2+ release channel in the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) membrane (1–3). This interaction does not require Ca2+ permeation through the DHPR but instead relies on direct reciprocal communication between the two channels. Although there is compelling supporting evidence that both the DHPR-α1S (Cav1.1) and DHPR-β1a (Cavβ1) subunits are essential components for the DHPR/RyR1 communication (4–11), the mechanisms by which conformational changes of the DHPR complex translate into activation of RyR1 during skeletal EC coupling remain unclear.

Structure/function studies in cultured myotubes have revealed that the cytosolic loop linking repeats II and III (the II–III loop) of the DHPR-β1a subunit is essential for muscle contraction. 

These studies confirm that functional skeletal-type EC coupling stems from studies in β1-null mice showing that a lack of β1a expression eliminates electrically evoked Ca2+ release from the SR (9). Most revealing are recent observations on the paralyzed zebrafish mutant relaxed that lacks expression of the DHPR-β1a subunit. In muscle fibers from this fish model, the DHPR complex, although reduced in number, is appropriately targeted to the junctional SR but is not arranged in tetradets (16, 17). These studies confirm that functional skeletal-type EC coupling requires the appropriate alignment of DHPRs with RyR1 and strongly suggest that the β1a subunit is essential in this positioning. It is currently unclear whether the β1a subunit is additionally involved in transmitting the activation signal from
the DHPR to RyR1 and/or directly modulating RyR1 function. Studies on chimeric β2a/β1a and truncated β1a subunits have shown that deletion, or substitution, of 35 residues within the β1a C-terminal end produces a severe reduction in voltage-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) transient amplitude and DHPR Ca\(^{2+}\) current density (18, 19), suggesting a critical contribution of the β1a C-terminal tail to skeletal-type EC coupling signaling. However, because no structural information was obtained in these studies, it is unclear whether the effects of β1a in EC coupling are simply due to an effect on DHPR positioning. Recent in vitro studies have shown that peptides fragments from the C-terminal domain of β1a modulate RyR1 channel function, giving support to the idea of a direct functional interaction between β1a and RyR1 (20). Mutational analysis of these peptides identified the critical motif responsible for RyR1 activation in a hydrophobic pocket formed by amino acid residues Leu\(^{496}\)–Leu\(^{500}\), Trp\(^{503}\) (21). However, it is currently unknown whether the alleged β1a–RyR1 interaction mediated by this motif plays any role either in the bidirectional signaling between RyR1 and DHPR or in the DHPR/RyR1 physical linkage that supports DHPR tetrad arrays.

In this study, we examine these questions by assessing the effect on EC coupling signaling of deletions and mutations of the amino acid sequence within the Leu\(^{496}\)–Leu\(^{500}\), Trp\(^{503}\) hydrophobic pocket of mouse β1a subunit. We find that progressive truncations of β1a C-terminal tail significantly affected depolarization-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) release, retrograde signaling, and the arrangement of DHPR into tetrams. Moreover, although the disruption of motif Leu\(^{496}\)–Leu\(^{500}\), Trp\(^{503}\) weakened EC coupling, it did not prevent bidirectional signaling or DHPR tetrad formation. In summary, our data again establish a direct correspondence between DHPR positioning and DHPR/RyR1 functional interactions, revealing a key role for amino acid sequence Gln\(^{489}\)–Trp\(^{503}\) in this process. In addition, our data indicate that although the Leu\(^{496}\)–Leu\(^{500}\), Trp\(^{503}\) motif contributes to normal bidirectional communication between the DHPR and RyR1, it does not appear to constitute a critical determinant for skeletal EC coupling.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*cDNA Constructs and Virus Packaging*—Full-length cDNA of mouse β1a subunit (GenBank\(^{TM}\), NM_031173), as well as the β1a truncated constructs, were cloned into a retroviral vector by inserting the Age-NotI cloning cassette from vector pSG5T7-AgeNot(β1a) (gift from Dr. R. Coronado) into the corresponding restriction sites of the bicistronic retroviral vector pCMMP-MCS-RES-Puro carrying a Puromycin resistance gene (Addgene 36117 (22)). Truncation of the C-terminal tail of β1a subunit was performed by inserting a set of two complementary oligonucleotide primers containing the desired truncated sequence in frame into pSG5T7-AgeNot(β1a) within restriction sites BsmBI and NotI (for β2-29, β36-36 and βLLW mutant clones) or SacII and NotI (for β1a). A stop codon was engineered at the 3’ end of each primer upstream of the NotI site. All clones were confirmed by sequencing prior to use. Details of the deleted sequence and mutated residues of the clones analyzed in this study are summarized in Fig. 1. Virus production was performed with a set of three packaging vectors as described elsewhere (23).

**Cell Culture and Calcium Imaging**—Primary myoblasts from β1-null muscles (5, 9) infected with β1a cDNA-containing virions at a multiplicity of infection of 0.5 were selected with 1.5 μg/ml Puromycin for 2 weeks to obtain stably transduced myoblasts. β1a-Expressing myoblasts were then grown and differentiated in 96-well plates as described previously (24). Calcium imaging was performed 4–5 days after differentiation in myotubes loaded with 5 μM Fura2-AM (Molecular Probes, OR) in imaging buffer (125 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl\(_2\), 1.2 mM MgSO\(_4\), 6 mM glucose, and 25 mM Hepes/Tris, pH 7.4). Membrane depolarization was performed by a 5-s perfusion with 5–7 volumes of imaging buffer containing increased concentrations of KCl supplemented with or without 0.5 mM CdCl\(_2\) and 0.1 mM LaCl\(_3\). To preserve osmolarity of the depolarization buffer, the increased K\(^+\) concentration was compensated with an equivalent reduction in total NaCl concentration. Cells were imaged with an intensified 10-bit digital CCD camera (XR-Mega-10; Stanford Photonics, Stanford, CA) using a DG4 multiwavelength light source. Fluorescent emission at 510 nm was captured from regions of interest within each myotube at 33 frames per second using Piper-controlled acquisition software (Stanford Photonics) and expressed as ratio of signal collected at alternating 340/380-nm excitation wavelength.

**Immunofluorescence Labeling**—Myotubes were differentiated in μ-Slide microscopy chambers (Ibidi\(^{TM}\)) and fixed with either 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS solution or cold methanol (−20 °C) for 15 min. The cells were then washed, permeabilized (if applicable), and immunostained with anti-DHPR α1s monoclonal antibody MA3–921 (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) or anti-DHPR α1a monoclonal antibody N7/18 (Neuromab; UC Davis). The images were obtained on an Olympus IX70 microscope using a SPOT/RT3 digital camera (Diagnostic Instruments Inc.) and processed with Adobe\(^{R}\) Photoshop CS3 (version 10.0.1).

**Freeze Fracture Replicas**—The cells were grown and differentiated on Thermofax\(^{TM}\) coverslips (Nunc Inc., Naperville, IL) coated with extracellular matrix gel (25). Differentiated myotubes were washed twice in PBS at 37 °C, fixed in 3.5% glutaraldehyde in 100 mM sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2), and then infiltrated with 30% glycerol, frozen, and fractured as described previously (15, 26). Association of particles with RyR1 orthogonal arrays and frequency of tetrad formation were assessed as described (15, 27). For each truncated construct, we used digitized images from micrographs taken at a magnification of 33,900× and selected clusters that were most highly populated with particles and showed most evidence for order. We further limited the measurements within each cluster to areas that had either coherent arrays of tetrams with the same orientation or an evenly distributed set of particles. The density of DHPR particles at the peripheral coupling of each tested construct was estimated by counting all large particles that are clearly clustered and located over slightly raised mounds, which represent the peripheral coupling site.

**Measurement of Ionic Currents**—Macroscopic Ca\(^{2+}\) currents were measured using the whole cell patch clamp technique according to previously described protocols (28). Myotubes
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Amino acid sequence alignment of $\beta_{1a}$ C-terminal region. Boxes indicate relative position of conserved (SH3, GK) and variable (light gray) domains of the $\beta_{1a}$ subunit. Sequence alignment shows the $\beta_{1a}$ C-terminal truncations in each of the indicated clones. Asterisks indicate the locations of amino acid residues Leu$^{496}$, Leu$^{500}$, and Trp$^{503}$ that were mutated to alanine in clone $\beta_{1a}$ (bold letters).

Figure 1. Amino acid sequence alignment of $\beta_{1a}$ C-terminal region. Boxes indicate relative position of conserved (SH3, GK) and variable (light gray) domains of the $\beta_{1a}$ subunit. Sequence alignment shows the $\beta_{1a}$ C-terminal truncations in each of the indicated clones. Asterisks indicate the locations of amino acid residues Leu$^{496}$, Leu$^{500}$, and Trp$^{503}$ that were mutated to alanine in clone $\beta_{1a}$ (bold letters).

were voltage-clamped with the use of an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). Effective series resistance was compensated up to the point of amplifier oscillation with the Axopatch circuit, and the leak current was subtracted by using a -P/6 protocol before each sweep. The patch pipettes were coated using Sylgard and had a resistance of 1.5–2.0 MΩ when filled with the pipette solution. The patch pipette internal solution consisted of 140 mM cesium aspartate, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM Cs-EGTA, and 10 mM Hepes titrated to pH 7.2. The composition of the external bath solution was 145 mM TEA-Cl, 10 mM CaCl₂, and 10 mM Hepes titrated with TEA(OH) to pH 7.4.

Intramembrane charge movement was determined following the protocol described previously (29). The internal and external pipette solutions had the same ionic composition described above. However, the external solution was supplemented with 0.5 mM CdCl₂ and 0.1 mM LaCl₃ to block L-type Ca²⁺ currents. A 1-s prepulse to −30 mV to inactivate both sodium and transient calcium channels preceded the test pulses. The leak current and other passive components of the total current was subtracted by using a -P/6 protocol before each sweep. The patch pipettes were Sylgard-coated and had a tip resistance of 1.5–2.0 MΩ when filled with the internal solution. The serial resistance was corrected to 70% using the Axopatch circuit with cell capacitance <100 pF in most recordings. The recorded signal was filtered to 5 kHz and was digitized at 20 kHz. All experiments were performed at room temperature.

The voltage dependence of the Ca²⁺ currents was fitted to the following equation,

$$I = G_{\text{max}}(V - V_{1/2})(1 + \exp((V_{1/2} - V)/k))$$  \hspace{1cm} (Eq. 1)

where $G_{\text{max}}$ is the maximal conductance, $V$ corresponds to the test potentials, $V_{1/2}$ is the potential at which $G = 1/2$ $G_{\text{max}}$, $k$ represents a slope parameter, and $V_{1/2}$ is the reversion potential.

The voltage dependence of the charge movement was fitted to the following equation,

$$Q_{\text{on}} = Q_{\text{max}}(1 + \exp((V_{1/2} - V)/k))$$  \hspace{1cm} (Eq. 2)

where $Q_{\text{max}}$ is the maximal charge, $V$ corresponds to the test potentials, $V_{1/2}$ is the potential at which $Q = 1/2$ $Q_{\text{max}}$, and $k$ represents a slope parameter.

Data Analysis—Statistical significant differences among data sets were calculated using one-way analysis of variance (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). The data were expressed as means ± S.D. or means ± S.E.

RESULTS

C-terminal Truncation of $\beta_{1a}$ Does Not Affect Targeting of $\alpha_{1S}$ Subunit to the Plasma Membrane—To assess the role of the C-terminal domain of the $\beta_{1a}$ subunit in skeletal-type EC coupling, we constructed a series of truncated DHPR-$\beta_{1a}$ subunits bearing progressive deletions of its C-terminal tail (Fig. 1) and then confirmed their expression and ability to target the DHPR complex to the surface membrane using immunocytochemical analysis (Fig. 2). No $\beta_{1a}$ or $\alpha_{1S}$ expression was detected in $\beta_{1a}$-null myotubes (Fig. 2, A and B). In contrast, expression of wild type $\beta_{1a}$ in these myotubes restored proper targeting of the $\alpha_{1S}$ subunit to the surface membrane in discrete foci sometimes organized in a semilinear longitudinal orientation (Fig. 2, C and D). Because myotubes at this stage of differentiation have few internal Ca²⁺ release units, most of the foci represent peripheral couplings where the SR cisternae bind the plasmalemma. Overall, immunocytochemistry revealed no obvious differences in expression and distribution of the $\beta_{1a}$ constructs used in this study (Fig. 2, E–L). Noticeably, the $\beta_{1a}$ truncations that support skeletal EC coupling signaling (see below) displayed the same clustered distribution pattern of $\alpha_{1S}$ and $\beta_{1a}$ expression as those that diminish or prevent EC coupling.

Progressive Truncation of the $\beta_{1a}$ C-terminal Tail Impairs Depolarization-induced Ca²⁺ Release—The role of the $\beta_{1a}$ C-terminal tail in skeletal EC coupling was evaluated by comparing the effect of each truncation on depolarization-induced Ca²⁺ release signals (Fig. 3). Calcium release was estimated from the average peak of the Ca²⁺ transient of Fura2-loaded myotubes depolarized with increased K⁺ concentrations. Calcium signals were measured either in the presence of Cd²⁺ and La³⁺ in nominal free Ca²⁺ to measure skeletal-type EC coupling, which depends entirely on the direct DHPR-RyR interaction (Fig. 3A), or in the presence of 2 mM CaCl₂ (Fig. 3B). In the presence of Cd²⁺ and La³⁺, Ca²⁺ transients measured from $\beta_{1a}$-expressing myotubes displayed a classic sigmoidal K⁺ dose-response curves. (Fig. 3, black circles). The average peak amplitude of the Ca²⁺ transients for clone $\beta_{-14}$ (lacking 14 amino acids of the C-terminal tail) were quite similar to those restored by $\beta_{1a}$ (Fig. 3A). Construct $\beta_{-21}$ (lacking 21 amino acids of the C-terminal tail) induced a small but statistically
significant reduction in the peak Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transient amplitude, from a maximum 340/380 ratio of 1.95 ± 0.34 in β\textsubscript{1a} to 1.52 ± 0.46 in β\textsubscript{21} (approximately 22% reduction; Table 1) without a change in sensitivity to depolarization. The construct β\textsubscript{36} (lacking 36 amino acids of the C-terminal tail) elicited a severe disruption of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal rendering myotubes unresponsive to depolarization (Fig. 3, gray asterisks). On the other hand, in the presence of 2 mM extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, both β\textsubscript{1a} and β\textsubscript{21} constructs restored the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transient peak to wild type levels (Fig. 3B and Table 1). However, myotubes expressing β\textsubscript{36} did not respond to K\textsuperscript{+} treatment even in the presence of extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. This is not due to a lack of expression or mistargeting of either α\textsubscript{1S} or β\textsubscript{36} subunits because immunolabeling demonstrates a clear presence of both subunits at sites of peripheral couplings (Fig. 2). These findings confirm the critical role of the C-terminal tail of β\textsubscript{1a} subunit in supporting DHPR/

| TABLE 1 |

Average K\textsuperscript{+} EC\textsubscript{50} and peak Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transient at 60 mM K\textsuperscript{+} depolarization

The values are the means ± S.E.

| Construct | 2 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} | Cd\textsuperscript{2+}/La\textsuperscript{3+} | 2 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} | Cd\textsuperscript{2+}/La\textsuperscript{3+} |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| β\textsubscript{1a} | 21 ± 3 (30) | 22 ± 2 (35) | 2.13 ± 0.34 | 1.95 ± 0.34 |
| β\textsubscript{14} | 24 ± 4 (42) | 23 ± 5 (68) | 2.28 ± 0.86 | 1.84 ± 0.54 |
| β\textsubscript{21} | 22 ± 4 (31) | 25 ± 4 (27) | 1.99 ± 0.36 | 1.52 ± 0.46 |
| β\textsubscript{36} | NA | NA | 0.17 ± 0.04 | 0.14 ± 0.04 |
| β\textsubscript{LLW} | 29 ± 4* (34) | 33 ± 7 (52) | 1.61 ± 0.34 | 1.36 ± 0.38 |

* p < 0.001, compared with β\textsubscript{1a} one-way analysis of variance (Tukey’s test).

NA, not applicable.

significant reduction in the peak Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transient amplitude, from a maximum 340/380 ratio of 1.95 ± 0.34 in β\textsubscript{1a} to 1.52 ± 0.46 in β\textsubscript{21} (approximately 22% reduction; Table 1) without a change in sensitivity to depolarization. The construct β\textsubscript{36} (lacking 36 amino acids of the C-terminal tail) elicited a severe disruption of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signal rendering myotubes unresponsive to depolarization (Fig. 3, gray asterisks). On the other hand, in the presence of 2 mM extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, both β\textsubscript{14} and β\textsubscript{21} constructs restored the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transient peak to wild type levels (Fig. 3B and Table 1). However, myotubes expressing β\textsubscript{36} did not respond to K\textsuperscript{+} treatment even in the presence of extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. This is not due to a lack of expression or mistargeting of either α\textsubscript{1S} or β\textsubscript{36} subunits because immunolabeling demonstrates a clear presence of both subunits at sites of peripheral couplings (Fig. 2). These findings confirm the critical role of the C-terminal tail of β\textsubscript{1a} subunit in supporting DHPR/
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RyR1 communication and indicate that amino acid region encompassing sequence 489-QVQLTLSRNLSFW503, missing in clone β₋₃₆ contains a determinant of β₁₄ that is essential to support skeletal-type EC coupling.

Disruption of the Hydrophobic Motif Leu496-Leu500-Trp503 Affects EC Coupling Signaling—The motif confounded by amino acids Leu496, Leu500, and Trp503 of the β₁₄ C terminal region has been recently identified in in vitro studies as a putative region of interaction with the RyR1 (21). To test whether the absence of residues Leu496-Leu500-Trp503 in our construct β₋₃₆ is directly responsible for the failure of this truncated subunit to restore EC coupling, we designed the construct β₁₁₁₁-W (Fig. 1). In this clone, residues Leu496, Leu500, and Trp503 were substituted to alanine, a triple mutation that prevented RyR1 activation by peptides derived from the β₁₁₁₁ C-terminal tail (21). Here we expressed this mutation within the context of construct β₋₁₄ that contains only the critical sequence 489-QVQLTLSRNLSFWGGLASPR111 of the C-terminal domain but that still displays normal EC coupling. The expression of clone β₁₁₁₁ in β₁-null mouse myotubes restored strong depolarization-induced Ca²⁺ release both in the presence and in the absence of extracellular Ca²⁺. However, in comparison with wild type β₁₄ and the control clone β₋₁₄, β₁₁₁₁-expressing myotubes showed significant reduction in peak Ca²⁺ transient amplitude in response to depolarization (25–30%; Table 1) and an evident rightward shift in Ca²⁺ release sensitivity to depolarization (Fig. 3).

Truncation of β₁₄ C-terminal Tail Amino Acids Gln⁴⁸⁹-Trp⁵₀₃ Prevents Organization of the DHPR Complex into Tetrads—To correlate the effect of β₁₄ C-terminal truncations on EC coupling with the structural link between DHPR and RyR1, we also analyzed the ultrastructural organization of the DHPR complex using freeze-fracture electron microscopy. Replicas from myotubes expressing each of the β₁₄ constructs displayed numerous small and slightly domed patches of membrane containing clusters of large particles characteristic of DHPR complex (3, 15, 26, 27, 30, 31) (Fig. 4). Myotubes expressing constructs β₋₁₄, β₋₁₁₁₁, or β₁₁₁₁ displayed DHPR particles arranged in arrays of complete and incomplete tetrads that followed an overall orthogonal alignment. In contrast, DHPR particles in β₋₃₆-expressing myotubes were loosely arranged, and no tetrads were present, an arrangement similar to that seen either in dyspedic myotubes that lack RyRs (27) or in β₁₁₁₁-null zebrafish (17). Table 2 shows counts of all large particles, presumably representing DHPR subunits. Intramembranous particle distribution in the β₋₁₁₁₁-null cells is completely random. In all cells expressing β₁₁₁₁ subunits, a small raised platform caused by the apposition of a junctional SR element to the plasmalemma identifies the position of peripheral couplings. β₋₁₄, β₋₁₁₁₁, and β₁₁₁₁ rescue the formation of DHPR tetrads, whose center is indicated by a yellow dot in a duplicate of each image. Tetrads formation, even if somewhat incomplete because of a limited level of expression, indicates that the normal stereospecific association of DHPR with RyR1 is re-established. On the contrary, the few large particles representing the location of DHPRs at a peripheral coupling (indicated by arrows) in a β₋₃₆ cell are randomly disposed, indicating a lack of specific DHPR to RyR association.

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**FIGURE 4.** Effect of β₁₄ C-terminal truncations on the assembly of DHPR tetrads. Freeze-fracture replica images showing examples of particle arrangements within the plasmalemma at sites of peripheral couplings in β₋₃₆ myotubes expressing various β subunits. Intramembranous particle distribution in the β₋₁₁₁₁-null cells is completely random. In all cells expressing β₁₁₁₁ subunits, a small raised platform caused by the apposition of a junctional SR element to the plasmalemma identifies the position of peripheral couplings. β₋₁₄, β₋₁₁₁₁, and β₁₁₁₁ rescue the formation of DHPR tetrads, whose center is indicated by a yellow dot in a duplicate of each image. Tetrads formation, even if somewhat incomplete because of a limited level of expression, indicates that the normal stereospecific association of DHPR with RyR1 is re-established. On the contrary, the few large particles representing the location of DHPRs at a peripheral coupling (indicated by arrows) in a β₋₃₆ cell are randomly disposed, indicating a lack of specific DHPR to RyR association.

**TABLE 2**

Relative density of freeze fracture particle within the junctional membrane

| Construct | No. of pp/pach (mean ± S.D.) | Full tetrads/pp |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| β₁₄       | 30.0 ± 11.6                 | 0.11 ± 0.06     |
| β₋₁₄      | 29.3 ± 11.2                 | 0.13 ± 0.05     |
| β₋₁₁₁₁    | 29.0 ± 13.4                 | 0.12 ± 0.07     |
| β₋₁₆      | 14.4 ± 5.0⁰                 | 0.01 ± 0.02     |
| β₁₁₁₁     | 33.6 ± 11.6                 | 0.10 ± 0.04     |

* p < 0.05, compared with β₁₄.

β₁₄ C-terminal Truncation of Amino Acids Gln⁴⁸⁹-Trp⁵₀₃ Reduces L-type Ca²⁺ Currents—The effects of β₁₄ truncations on Ca²⁺ channel function of the DHPR complex were assessed using whole cell patch clamp analysis. Fig. 5 shows representative traces of Ca²⁺ currents and voltage dependence of the peak Ca²⁺ currents in myotubes expressing wild type β₁₄.
Ca\(^{2+}\) current measured in \(\beta_{1\alpha}\)-null myotubes expressing each of the \(\beta\)-truncated constructs. \(\beta_{1\alpha}\)-null myotubes had marginal to nondetectable whole cell Ca\(^{2+}\) currents in response to depolarization (Fig. 5, left panel). In contrast, \(\beta_{1\alpha}\)-expressing myotubes showed high density Ca\(^{2+}\) currents with slow activation kinetics and fast deactivation, characteristic of L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (1, 28, 32). Overall Ca\(^{2+}\) current density, maximal conductance (G\(_{\text{max}}\)), and other Boltzmann parameters recorded in myotubes expressing wild type \(\beta_{1\alpha}\) subunit (Table 3) were similar to those reported previously by other groups (2, 33–36).

Expression of constructs \(\beta_{-14}\), \(\beta_{-21}\), and \(\beta_{LLW}\) in \(\beta_{1\alpha}\)-null myotubes rescued high density Ca\(^{2+}\) currents similar to \(\beta_{1\alpha}\) (Fig. 5). By contrast, myotubes expressing construct \(\beta_{-36}\) displayed significantly reduced Ca\(^{2+}\) current density (Fig. 5, middle panels). Under our experimental conditions, the average peak Ca\(^{2+}\) current density displayed by construct \(\beta_{-14}\) appeared slightly higher than the one in \(\beta_{1\alpha}\)-expressing myotubes. However, further analysis revealed no significant difference in Ca\(^{2+}\) conductance (G\(_{\text{max}}\)) values between these two clones (Table 3). Likewise, longer deletion \(\beta_{-21}\) or mutation of the Leu\(^{496}\)-Leu\(^{500}\)-Trp\(^{503}\) motif (construct \(\beta_{LLW}\)) did not result in significant differences in maximal Ca\(^{2+}\) current (Fig. 5, middle and right panels) or G\(_{\text{max}}\) (Table 3) when compared with \(\beta_{1\alpha}\)-expressing myotubes. However, Ca\(^{2+}\) current density in \(\beta_{LLW}\)-expressing cells was ~25% smaller than the Ca\(^{2+}\) current recovered by cells expressing the control construct \(\beta_{-14}\) (Fig. 5, right panel). Consistently, an equivalent difference in average G\(_{\text{max}}\) was observed between these two clones (\(p < 0.01\); Table 3). Deletion of the last 36 amino acids of \(\beta_{1\alpha}\) C-terminal tail (\(\beta_{-36}\)), on the other hand, resulted in a severe reduction in peak Ca\(^{2+}\) current (Fig. 5, left and middle panels). Comparison of Ca\(^{2+}\) conductance revealed a reduction in average G\(_{\text{max}}\) of ~65% from 301 ± 14 pS/pF in \(\beta_{1\alpha}\)-expressing myotubes to 105 ± 13 pS/pF in myotubes expressing \(\beta_{-36}\) (Table 3). This G\(_{\text{max}}\) value is fully consistent with the Ca\(^{2+}\) conductance previously reported for a \(\beta_{1\alpha}\) construct lacking 35 amino acids of the C-terminal region (88 pS/pF) (18). Further analysis of the recovered Ca\(^{2+}\) current indicates that truncation of the \(\beta_{1\alpha}\) C-terminal tail or mutational substitution of the Leu\(^{496}\)-Leu\(^{500}\)-Trp\(^{503}\) motif resulted in no detectable alterations of the Ca\(^{2+}\) current kinetics (data not shown). These results suggest that a loss of depolarization-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) release signal in myotubes expressing truncation \(\beta_{-36}\) is associated with a severe reduction of retrograde signaling from RyR1, as expected for a mutant that do not support tetrad formation (Table 2).

**Truncation of \(\beta_{1\alpha}\) C-terminal Tail Does Not Affect Intramembrane Charge Movement—Immobilization-resistant intramembrane charge movement is a measure of DHPR voltage sensor density. Fig. 6 shows charge versus voltage relationships for the five tested constructs obtained by integration of the ON component. Wild type and mutant \(\beta_{1\alpha}\)-expressing myotubes presented maximal charge movement (Q\(_{\text{max}}\)) and other Boltzmann parameters (Table 3) similar to those reported by others groups (5, 18, 37, 38). The average Q\(_{\text{max}}\) values measured in myotubes

---

**TABLE 3**

Ca\(^{2+}\) conductance and charge movement parameters of myotubes expressing \(\beta_{1\alpha}\) C-terminal truncations

The values were derived by one-way analysis of variance (Tukey’s test). The data are presented as means ± S.E. of Boltzmann parameters fitted between −30 and +90 mV.

| Construct | G\(_{\text{max}}\) (pS/pF) | V\(_{1/2}\) (mV) | k (mV) | Q\(_{\text{max}}\) (pC/pF) | V\(_{1/2}\) (mV) | k (mV) |
|-----------|----------------|-------------|--------|----------------|-------------|--------|
| \(\beta_{1\alpha}\) | 301 ± 14 (31) | 17.6 ± 0.7 (31) | 5.6 ± 0.1 (31) | 4.9 ± 0.3 (11) | 13.7 ± 0.8 (11) | 12.6 ± 0.3 (11) |
| \(\beta_{-14}\) | 363 ± 23 (23) | 16.4 ± 0.9 (23) | 5.8 ± 0.2 (23) | 4.6 ± 0.9 (6) | 13.2 ± 1.2 (6) | 10.8 ± 0.7 (6) |
| \(\beta_{-21}\) | 341 ± 22 (20) | 17.8 ± 0.6 (20) | 6.0 ± 0.1 (20) | 5.4 ± 0.5 (7) | 14.1 ± 1.6 (7) | 12.7 ± 0.7 (7) |
| \(\beta_{-36}\) | 105 ± 13 (26) | 18.3 ± 0.9 (26) | 6.1 ± 0.2 (26) | 4.9 ± 0.6 (8) | 9.7 ± 1.9 (8) | 14.7 ± 0.3 (8) |
| \(\beta_{LLW}\) | 274 ± 17 (27) | 14.8 ± 0.7 (27) | 5.7 ± 0.1 (27) | 4.6 ± 0.3 (9) | 11.7 ± 1.0 (9) | 14.4 ± 0.6 (9) |

* \(p < 0.001\), compared with \(\beta_{1\alpha}\).
* \(p < 0.01\), compared with \(\beta_{-14}\).
Role of β_{1a} C Terminus in EC Coupling
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FIGURE 6. Truncation of the C-terminal tail of β_{1a} does not affect DHPR charge movement. A, representative charge movement (Q) recording in response to 20-ms depolarizing test pulses between −40 and +50 mV from a holding potential of −50 mV. Test sweeps from −30 to +46 mV are shown. B, comparison of voltage dependence of the integrated outward gating current (Q_max) recorded from β-null, Leu496-Leu500-Trp503 motif on channel conductance. Thus, our data seem to be consistent with the hypothesis that β_{1a} functions as an allosteric modulator of the α_{1S} subunit, restoring the functional conformation that enables α_{1S} subunit precludes the skeletal muscle-specific arrangement of DHPR particles into arrays of tetrads, resulting in a loss of EC coupling (16, 17). Thus, our data demonstrate that, like the II-III loop of α_{1S}, the β_{1a} C terminus constitutes an essential physical and functional link between the DHPR and RyR1.

Whether the loss of a structural link between DHPR and RyR1 by deletion of the Gln^{489−Trp^{503}} sequence of β_{1a} is the direct result of disruption of a yet unidentified β_{1a}-RyR1 interaction is unknown. Currently, the strongest evidence supporting a direct link between β_{1a} and RyR1 comes primarily from reports of in vitro interactions. Previous co-immunoprecipitation studies identified a cluster of positively charged amino acids within domain 3490−3523 of RyR1 as a β_{1a}-binding domain (41). The disruption of this region not only prevents β_{1a}-RyR1 interactions in vitro but also weakens electrically evoked Ca^{2+} release signals in cultured myotubes (41). Interestingly, β_{1a} peptides from the same C-terminal region identified in our study were found to activate native and purified RyR1 channels fused into lipid bilayers (20, 21). Moreover, microinjection of these peptides into flexor digitorum brevis fibers was reported to specifically enhance depolarization-induced Ca^{2+} release (42), further supporting the idea that the C-terminal domain of β_{1a} subunit directly interacts with RyR1 and that this interaction is involved in EC coupling signaling. More recently, extensive mutagenesis analysis has found that the active motif in these peptides are residues Leu^{496}−Leu^{500}−Trp^{503} because alanine substitutions at these positions completely eliminate the activating properties of the peptides (21). In the present study, we show that similar disruption of the Leu^{496}−Leu^{500}−Trp^{503} motif by site-directed mutagenesis did not abolish depolarization-induced Ca^{2+} release, providing strong indication that the amino acid residues Leu^{496}, Leu^{500}, and Trp^{503} are not essential for EC coupling. Nonetheless, our data indicate that alanine substitution of these residues significantly affects the efficiency and voltage sensitivity of the EC coupling signal. These changes were linked to a small but statistically significant reduction in G_{max} of the DHPR complex, suggesting an influence of the Leu^{496}−Leu^{500}−Trp^{503} motif on channel conductance. Thus, although not essential, the Leu^{496}−Leu^{500}−Trp^{503} motif appears to contribute, to some extent, to the structural determinant of β_{1a} subunit that modulates bidirectional signaling in muscle cells.

Previous studies have demonstrated a close correlation between DHPR positioning into tetrads and EC coupling (15, 26, 27), and the data from this study further confirm these findings. Nonetheless, because of the effects of C-terminal truncations of β_{1a} (β_{36}) on both DHPR current density and SR Ca^{2+} release, we cannot completely rule out a direct involvement of β_{1a} on signal transduction between the DHPR and RyR1. However, the severe reduction in G_{max} of the DHPR complex associated with β_{1a} truncation is suggestive of an important conformational alteration of the ion-conducting channel, the α_{1S} subunit. Thus, our data seem to be consistent with the hypothesis that β_{1a} functions as an allosteric modulator of the α_{1S} subunit, restoring the functional conformation that enables α_{1S} subunit precludes the skeletal muscle-specific arrangement of DHPR particles into arrays of tetrads, resulting in a loss of EC coupling (16, 17). Thus, our data demonstrate that, like the II-III loop of α_{1S}, the β_{1a} C terminus constitutes an essential physical and functional link between the DHPR and RyR1.

Whether the loss of a structural link between DHPR and RyR1 by deletion of the Gln^{489−Trp^{503}} sequence of β_{1a} is the direct result of disruption of a yet unidentified β_{1a}-RyR1 interaction is unknown. Currently, the strongest evidence supporting a direct link between β_{1a} and RyR1 comes primarily from reports of in vitro interactions. Previous co-immunoprecipitation studies identified a cluster of positively charged amino acids within domain 3490−3523 of RyR1 as a β_{1a}-binding domain (41). The disruption of this region not only prevents β_{1a}-RyR1 interactions in vitro but also weakens electrically evoked Ca^{2+} release signals in cultured myotubes (41). Interestingly, β_{1a} peptides from the same C-terminal region identified in our study were found to activate native and purified RyR1 channels fused into lipid bilayers (20, 21). Moreover, microinjection of these peptides into flexor digitorum brevis fibers was reported to specifically enhance depolarization-induced Ca^{2+} release (42), further supporting the idea that the C-terminal domain of β_{1a} subunit directly interacts with RyR1 and that this interaction is involved in EC coupling signaling. More recently, extensive mutagenesis analysis has found that the active motif in these peptides are residues Leu^{496}−Leu^{500}−Trp^{503} because alanine substitutions at these positions completely eliminate the activating properties of the peptides (21). In the present study, we show that similar disruption of the Leu^{496}−Leu^{500}−Trp^{503} motif by site-directed mutagenesis did not abolish depolarization-induced Ca^{2+} release, providing strong indication that the amino acid residues Leu^{496}, Leu^{500}, and Trp^{503} are not essential for EC coupling. Nonetheless, our data indicate that alanine substitution of these residues significantly affects the efficiency and voltage sensitivity of the EC coupling signal. These changes were linked to a small but statistically significant reduction in G_{max} of the DHPR complex, suggesting an influence of the Leu^{496}−Leu^{500}−Trp^{503} motif on channel conductance. Thus, although not essential, the Leu^{496}−Leu^{500}−Trp^{503} motif appears to contribute, to some extent, to the structural determinant of β_{1a} subunit that modulates bidirectional signaling in muscle cells.

DISCUSSION

The essential role of DHPR-β_{1a} subunit in EC coupling signaling of skeletal muscle cells is supported by compelling evidence (18, 19, 37, 39, 40), yet the molecular determinant(s) involved in this process are still undefined. In this study, using progressive truncations of the C-terminal region of mouse β_{1a} subunit, we found that the domain encompassing amino acid residues Gln^{489−Trp^{503}} plays an essential role in supporting bidirectional signaling between the DHPR complex and RyR1 in cultured myotubes. Deletion of this region resulted in a loss of depolarization-induced Ca^{2+} release signal, a severe reduction of L-type Ca^{2+} currents (retrograde signal), and the loss of DHPR organization into arrays of tetrads despite seemingly normal expression and targeting of the DHPR complex to the junctional couplings. These results are fully consistent with work from zebrafish β_{1}-null mutant model showing that the lack of expression of β_{1a} subunit precludes the skeletal muscle-specific arrangement of DHPR particles into arrays of tetrads, resulting in a loss of EC coupling (16, 17). Thus, our data demonstrate that, like the II-III loop of α_{1S}, the β_{1a} C terminus constitutes an essential physical and functional link between the DHPR and RyR1.
Recent studies in muscle cells from zebrafish $\beta_{1a}$-null mutant have reported that domain cooperativity between a conserve proline-rich motif at the C-terminal tail (464PXX467) and the Src homology 3 (SH3) domain of $\beta_{1a}$ are essential to restore the voltage sensing properties of the DHPR complex and, thus, EC coupling signaling (39). Whether similar domain cooperativity is required in mouse skeletal EC coupling is unknown. Crystal structure analysis of mammalian DHPR $\beta_{2a}$ and $\beta_{1a}$ subunits indicates that the SH3 domain would not be compatible with canonical modes of proline-rich ligand binding (43, 44), suggesting that direct interaction between the SH3 domain and $\beta_{1a}$ would be unlikely. Interestingly, all truncated $\beta_{1a}$ subunits tested in our study, including those that do not support skeletal EC coupling, had an intact 464PXX467 motif. This finding suggests that either domain cooperativity mediated by the PXXP motif is not essential for mammalian EC coupling or that in addition to the 464PXX467 motif, other determinant(s) of the C-terminal region, like the one identified in this study, would also be required for functional domain cooperativity.

Overall, our work defines the region of the $\beta_{1a}$ subunit encompassing amino acids $^{489}$QVQLTSLLRNLSFW$^{503}$ as an essential structural determinant for the physical and functional communication between DHPR complex and the RyR1. The putative $\beta_{1a}$/RyR1-interacting motif Leu$^{496}$-Leu$^{500}$-Trp$^{503}$ enclosed within this segment influences the efficiency of EC coupling signal, but it appears to be not essential for either DHPR-RyR1 bidirectional signaling or DHPR tetrad organization.
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