Nuclear bodies are protein- and RNA-containing structures that participate in a wide range of processes critical to genome function. Molecular self-organization is thought to drive nuclear body formation, but whether this occurs stochastically or via an ordered, hierarchical process is not fully understood. We addressed this question using RNAi and proteomic approaches in Drosophila melanogaster to identify and characterize novel components of the histone locus body (HLB), a nuclear body involved in the expression of replication-dependent histone genes. We identified the transcription elongation factor suppressor of Ty 6 (Spt6) and a homologue of mammalian nuclear protein of the ataxia telangiectasia–mutated locus that is encoded by the homeotic gene multiset comb (mxc) as novel HLB components. By combining genetic manipulation in both cell culture and embryos with cytological observations of Mxc, Spt6, and the known HLB components, FLICE-associated huge protein, Mute, U7 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein, and MPM-2 phosphoepitope, we demonstrated sequential recruitment and hierarchical dependency for localization of factors to HLBs during development, suggesting that ordered assembly can play a role in nuclear body formation.

Introduction

Nuclear organization is critical for genome function and the maintenance of genome integrity. Important to this organization are the macromolecular assemblies of protein- and RNA-containing particles, collectively called nuclear bodies, that participate in essential genome functions, including transcription, RNA processing, and DNA repair (Dundr and Misteli, 2010). The full composition of nuclear bodies, their mechanism of assembly, and how they contribute to nuclear processes, including gene expression, are not fully understood. Nuclear bodies are dynamic structures whose formation is governed by the self-organization of individual components (Misteli, 2001). However, a major unresolved question is whether self-organization occurs stochastically or via a hierarchical process in which the recruitment of certain components into the body depends on the prior recruitment of other components (Matera et al., 2009; Dundr and Misteli, 2010). Our ability to address this question for any particular nuclear body would benefit from the discovery of the complete suite of body components and a genetic system to mechanistically dissect how these components contribute to body assembly and function. We have begun to address this issue by examining the Drosophila melanogaster histone locus body (HLB), an evolutionarily conserved nuclear body associated with replication-dependent histone genes.

The bulk of histone protein synthesis is restricted to the S phase of the cell cycle by tightly coupling histone mRNA accumulation with DNA synthesis (Marzluff et al., 2008). This regulation is achieved through the action of cell cycle–regulated transcription and pre-mRNA–processing factors that produce histone mRNAs ending in an evolutionarily conserved stem loop rather than a poly(A) tail (Dominski and Marzluff, 2007). The unique histone mRNA 3′ end is formed by an endonucleolytic cleavage that requires both the stem loop and a sequence downstream of the cleavage site termed the histone downstream element. These cis-acting elements direct the recruitment of...
factors that stimulate pre-mRNA processing, including the U7 small nuclear RNP (snRNP), which binds directly to the histone downstream element, and the stem loop–binding protein (SLBP), which binds the stem loop (Dominski and Marzluff, 2007).

Cytological studies revealed that U7 snRNP accumulates in nuclear bodies that associate with replication-dependent histone genes. These bodies were first described in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes (Wu and Gall, 1993) and subsequently in mammalian cells (Frey and Matera, 1995). They were initially thought to be a subset of Cajal bodies (CBs), which are ubiquitous nuclear bodies that participate in snRNP assembly and that are identified by the presence of coilin protein (Handwerger and Gall, 2006; Matera et al., 2009). In *Drosophila* cells, foci of U7 snRNP also associate with histone genes, and in many tissues, these U7 snRNP foci are distinct from CBs (Liu et al., 2006, 2009). Consequently, the *Drosophila* U7 snRNP foci were termed HLBs to distinguish them from CBs (Liu et al., 2006). In mammalian cells, both nuclear protein of the ataxia telangiectasia–mutated locus (NPAT), a substrate of the G1-S regulatory kinase cyclin E/Cdk2 involved in histone gene expression (Ma et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2000; Wei et al., 2003; Ye et al., 2003), and FLASH, a protein that interacts with U7 snRNP and is essential for histone pre-mRNA processing (Yang et al., 2009), are found in nuclear bodies lacking coilin that localize to histone genes (Bongiorno-Borbone et al., 2008; Ghule et al., 2008). Thus, mammalian cells contain nuclear bodies analogous to *Drosophila* HLBs.

The mechanism of HLB assembly and how HLBs function in histone mRNA biosynthesis are not known. To address these questions, we took advantage of a reagent that marks “active” HLBs during the S phase of *Drosophila* cells. The MPM-2 monoclonal antibody, which was raised against human mitotic phosphoproteins (Davis et al., 1983), labels nuclear foci in *Drosophila* cells when cyclin E/Cdk2 is active (Calvi et al., 1998). We recently demonstrated that these foci correspond to HLBs (White et al., 2007). We therefore used MPM-2 in two complementary approaches to identify novel HLB components. We first used mass spectrometry to identify proteins that immunoprecipitate with MPM-2 antibodies, either directly or indirectly. Because MPM-2 detects epitopes on many proteins, this approach could identify both HLB proteins and proteins that play no role in HLB assembly, regulation, or function. We therefore also developed an RNAi screen to identify genes required for the formation of MPM-2–positive HLBs. Together, these approaches identified two novel HLB components that, when analyzed in combination with previously identified HLB components, provide evidence for hierarchical self-organization during HLB formation.

**Results**

**Identification of novel HLB components required for histone mRNA biosynthesis**

A proteomic approach identifies suppressor of Ty 6 (Spt6) as an HLB protein. We used an immunoprecipitation (IP)/mass spectrometry approach as an initial strategy to identify MPM-2–reactive HLB proteins. Proteins that immunoprecipitated from S phase–arrested S2 cell nuclear extracts with MPM-2, but not with control anti-HA antibodies, were identified by mass spectrometry (Fig. 1 A). The MESR4 (misexpression suppressor of ras 4), Hcf (host cell factor), Spt6, and CG2247 proteins were unambiguously identified in three independent experiments. MESR4 has been implicated in RAS1 signaling, but its precise function is not known (Huang and Rubin, 2000). Hcf is part of the *Drosophila* ATAC (Ada2A containing) histone acetyltransferase complex that promotes nucleosome sliding by chromatin remodeling complexes (Guelman et al., 2006; Suganuma et al., 2008). Spt6 stimulates the movement of RNA polymerase II past nucleosomes (Andrulis et al., 2000; Kaplan et al., 2000; Ardehali et al., 2009). CG2247 has not been previously studied.

We investigated whether Hcf, Spt6, and CG2247 localize to HLBs. All three proteins display nuclear localization (Fig. 1, B–E), but only Spt6 is present in nuclear foci that colocalize with MPM-2 foci (Fig. 1, B and C) and the U7 snRNP–specific proteins Lsm10 and Lsm11 (not depicted). Anti-Spt6 staining was also observed throughout the nucleus as described previously (Fig. 1, B and C; Kaplan et al., 2000). We confirmed that Spt6 is specifically immunoprecipitated by MPM-2 and that phosphatase treatment of the extract disrupts this interaction (Fig. 1 F). We cannot discern from this experiment whether Spt6 is directly recognized by the MPM-2 antibody, or is part of a complex recognized by the MPM-2 antibody. These data identify Spt6 as an HLB component, and the requirements for Spt6 localization to HLBs are explored in a subsequent section.

A genome-wide RNAi screen identifies HLB proteins, including the NPAT homologue multisex comb (Mxc). To identify additional HLB proteins, we developed a screen based on a high throughput microscopy assay capable of quantifying, in a population of synchronized *Drosophila* S2 cells, the percentage of nuclei containing at least one focus of MPM-2, a metric we designated the MPM-2 index. We hypothesized that double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) targeting an mRNA encoding (a) an MPM-2–reactive protein, (b) a protein required for the localization or phosphorylation of an MPM-2–reactive protein, or (c) a protein required for HLB formation would reduce the MPM-2 index.

To screen genome wide, S2 cells were transferred to 174 96-well plates containing a library of 15,680 dsRNAs targeting annotated *Drosophila* genes. The high throughput microscopy algorithm automatically determined MPM-2 indices by analyzing 30–400 S phase–arrested cells for each dsRNA treatment (Fig. 2 A). We identified 140 genes for which dsRNA treatment reduced the MPM-2 index to at least three standard deviations below the mean MPM-2 index of each plate (Fig. 2 B and Table S1). We then selected 95 genes from this original 140 to validate by rescreening in quadruplicate using a set of dsRNAs that target a different region of each gene than in the primary screen. To select these 95 genes, we eliminated many (e.g., those encoding ribosomal proteins) whose depletion is known to arrest the cell cycle outside of S phase (Table S1; Björklund et al., 2006). Because we expected the list of 95 genes to be enriched for potential positives, we used an MPM-2 index of 1.5 standard deviations below a control set of dsRNAs to verify positives.
By this rescreening criteria, we identified eight genes that reduced the MPM-2 index in every replicate treatment (Table I, ++; and Table S2) and 18 genes that reduced the MPM-2 index by 1.5 standard deviations in at least one replicate (Table I, +; and Table S2).

The RNAi screen should identify proteins (either resident in HLBs or not) required for HLB assembly and/or for production of the MPM-2 epitope. Because our primary goal was to identify HLB proteins, we focused on proteins known to localize to Drosophila HLBs or that are homologous to proteins known to localize to mammalian HLBs. CG12124 repeatedly scored strongly in our screens and is the previously described gene called mxc. mxc encodes a protein of 1,837 amino acids with an N-terminal lissencephaly homology (LisH) domain that is 51% identical to the LisH domain of human NPAT (see Fig. 5 A). Although the overall identity between Mxc and NPAT is only 12–15%, the NPAT LisH domain is more similar to the Mxc LisH domain than to any other LisH domain in the Drosophila genome. We therefore hypothesized that Mxc might be the Drosophila equivalent of mammalian NPAT.

To determine whether Mxc localizes to HLBs, we raised specific antibodies to the C-terminal 169 amino acids of Mxc and also expressed N- or C-terminal Venus-tagged Mxc in S2 cells. Mxc localizes to HLBs in the early embryo (Fig. 2, C and D), and both forms of Venus-tagged Mxc localize to HLBs in S2 cells (Fig. 2, E and F). Mxc colocalizes with the known HLB proteins FLASH and muscle wasted (mute; Fig. 2, C and D), which were both also identified in our RNAi screen (Table S1). In both human and Drosophila cells, FLASH localizes to HLBs (Fig. 2 C; Barcaroli et al., 2006a,b; Yang et al., 2009). Mute was recently discovered in a screen for genes required for embryonic muscle development (Bulchand et al., 2010). The mute locus encodes long (Mute-L) and short (Mute-S) proteins produced from separate transcripts, and both Mute isoforms localize to HLBs (Fig. 2, D–F; Bulchand et al., 2010). The molecular function of the Mute protein is unknown. Thus, our genome-wide RNAi screen identified HLB proteins, including the novel component Mxc. The RNAi approach did not identify other known HLB components (e.g., U7 snRNP and Symplekin; Wagner et al., 2007), suggesting that these are not critical for HLB integrity. In addition, the HLB proteins identified by RNAi were not identified by IP/mass spectroscopy, likely because of their relatively low abundance in cells.

Mxc is MPM-2 reactive. We hypothesized that MPM-2 might directly recognize one of the HLB proteins identified by our approaches (i.e., Spt6, FLASH, Mute, and Mxc). Although Mute and Mxc were not identified in the IP/mass spectrometry experiments, MPM-2 antibodies precipitate Mxc and both forms of Mute protein from S phase–arrested S2 nuclear extracts (Fig. 3, A and B). Mute-S is also precipitated by antibodies that react only with Mute-L, indicating that these isoforms are part of a complex (Fig. 3 C). In contrast, we did not detect FLASH in MPM-2 IPs, although we confirmed the presence of Spt6 (Fig. 3 D). This result indicates that FLASH is not an MPM-2–reactive protein. Pretreatment of nuclear extracts with λ phosphatase greatly reduces the amounts of Mxc, Mute, and Spt6 precipitated by MPM-2,
Figure 2. Genome-wide RNAi screen for MPM-2-negative HLBs. (A) Overview of the screen. Bar, 5 µm. (B) Graph of the MPM-2 indices from a representative screen plate. Note the mean MPM-2 index of the plate (cyan +), three standard deviations from this mean (green lines), the cyclin E (CycE)-positive control (orange circle), and a hit (red circle). (C and D) w¹¹¹⁸ syncytial blastoderm (cycle 13) embryos stained with MPM-2, α-Mxc, DAPI, and α-FLASH or α-Mute-LS. Arrows indicate HLBs. Bars, 10 µm. (E and F) S2 cells expressing Venus-Mxc or Mxc-Venus with the Actin5C promoter stained with MPM-2 and α-GFP (green), which recognizes Venus, α-Mute-LS, and DAPI. Arrowheads indicate HLBs. Two HLB foci arise from unpaired homologous chromosomes. Bars, 2 µm. ConA, concanavalin A; HU, hydroxyurea; SK, pBluescript.
Spt6-FLAG did not react with MPM-2 on Western blots (Fig. 3 F). Similarly, we do not detect any MPM-2 reactivity of Venus–Mute-S (Fig. 3 G). These data indicate that the HLB protein Mxc contains an MPM-2 epitope and suggest that Spt6 and Mute-S do not. Spt6 and Mute-S may precipitate with MPM-2 because they interact with phosphorylated Mxc and/or another MPM-2–reactive HLB protein. Because MPM-2 recognizes a cyclin E/Cdk2-dependent epitope in Drosophila HLBs, Mxc may be a cyclin E/Cdk2 substrate, as is human NPAT (Zhao et al., 1998; Ma et al., 2000).

**Mxc is required for histone mRNA biosynthesis.**

NPAT interacts with proteins necessary for histone H4 and H2b transcription and is thought to be a regulator of histone gene expression (Ye et al., 2003; Zheng et al., 2003; Miele

---

### Table I. Summary of HLB assembly and histone pre-mRNA processing requirements

| Gene transcript targeted by RNAi | Reduced MPM-2 index | Reduced Mxc index | Reduced FLASH index | Reduced Mute index | Scored positive with histone misprocessing reporter |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| mxc                             | ++                   | ++                | ++                 | ++                | Yes\(^a\)                                        |
| FLASH                           | ++                   | +                 | ++                 | +                 | Yes                                            |
| int6                            | ++                   | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| CSN3                            | ++                   | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| CSN4                            | ++                   | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| CSN5                            | ++                   | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| CG4849                          | ++                   | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| CG9769                          | ++                   | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| CG7597                          | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| Tbp-1                           | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| HLH106                          | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| RpII18                          | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| elf-3p66                        | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| alien                           | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| CG17841                         | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| lfi                             | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| Fer1HCH                         | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| CG11985                         | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| CG18591/SmE                      | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | Yes\(^a\)                                        |
| MBDR2                           | +                    | –                 | ++                 | +                 | Yes\(^a\)                                        |
| CG18787/18789                    | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| CG9121                          | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| CG5844                          | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| CG5147                          | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| mfrn                            | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| CG14111                         | +                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| Mute                            | –                    | –                 | –                  | ++                | No                                              |
| Nnp-1                           | –                    | –                 | –                  | +                 | No                                              |
| raptor                          | –                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | No                                              |
| MCR51                           | –                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | Yes                                            |
| CG31111                         | –                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | Yes\(^a\)                                        |
| CG8142                          | –                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | Yes\(^a\)                                        |
| DMAP1                           | –                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | Yes\(^a\)                                        |
| Dgt1                            | –                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | Yes\(^a\)                                        |
| CG9772/SmE                       | –                    | –                 | –                  | –                 | Yes\(^a\)                                        |

The table lists those genes of the 95 selected for validation from the primary screen that scored in at least one of the five secondary assays: anti-MPM-2, -Mxc, -FLASH, or -Mute HLB labeling and activation of the histone pre-mRNA misprocessing reporter. A score of a double plus sign, a single plus sign, or a negative sign was assigned when the spot index fell ≥1.5 standard deviations from the control mean (i.e., −1.5 z score) in each, at least one, or no validation replicate, respectively (also see Table S2). Note that Mxc, FLASH, and Mute all strongly reduced their own index, indicating successful RNAi-mediated depletion. Although the eight genes listed at the bottom of the table did not produce a −1.5 or less z score in any of the MPM-2 validation replicates, they were included because they either activated the misprocessing reporter or affected the Mute index.

\(^a\)Newly identified genes involved in histone pre-mRNA processing.
was originally defined by an allelic series of EMS and x-ray–induced mutations that cause a variety of phenotypes depending on allele strength. These phenotypes include lethality, poor cell proliferation, and homeotic transformations that mimic the ectopic gain of function of BX-C and ANT-C genes (Santamaría and Randsholt, 1995; Saget et al., 1998; Remillieux-Leschelle et al., 2002). A recent entry on FlyBase by N. Randsholt (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, France) reported that mxc mutations are alleles of the gene originally annotated as CG12124. To directly test whether mxc mutations disrupt the function of CG12124, we generated transgenic flies expressing a GFP-Mxc fusion protein under the control of the constitutive ubiquitin promoter. GFP-Mxc localizes to embryonic HLBs and rescues the lethality caused by the mxcG48 allele (Fig. 5, A and B). We identified mutations predicted to disrupt CG12124 protein function by sequencing the mxcG48, mxcG46, mxc22a-6, mxc16a-1, and mxcG43 mutants (Fig. 5 A). S. Landais and L. Jones have obtained identical results for mxcG48, mxc22a-6, and mxcG43 (personal communication). mxcG48 is reported to be genetically null or nearly null (Santamaría and Randsholt, 1995; Saget et al., 1998), and our sequence data indicating a splice site acceptor mutation in exon 2 is consistent with this interpretation (Fig. 5 A).

To assess what roles Mxc might play in histone mRNA biosynthesis, mxc mutations would be expected to result in severe cell and developmental defects in vivo. The mxc locus on the X chromosome was originally defined by an allelic series of EMS and x-ray–induced mutations that cause a variety of phenotypes depending on allele strength. These phenotypes include lethality, poor cell proliferation, and homeotic transformations that mimic the ectopic gain of function of BX-C and ANT-C genes (Santamaría and Randsholt, 1995; Saget et al., 1998; Remillieux-Leschelle et al., 2002). A recent entry on FlyBase by N. Randsholt (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, France) reported that mxc mutations are alleles of the gene originally annotated as CG12124. To directly test whether mxc mutations disrupt the function of CG12124, we generated transgenic flies expressing a GFP-Mxc fusion protein under the control of the constitutive ubiquitin promoter. GFP-Mxc localizes to embryonic HLBs and rescues the lethality caused by the mxcG48 allele (Fig. 5, A and B). We identified mutations predicted to disrupt CG12124 protein function by sequencing the mxcG48, mxcG46, mxc22a-6, mxc16a-1, and mxcG43 mutants (Fig. 5 A). S. Landais and L. Jones have obtained identical results for mxcG48, mxc22a-6, and mxcG43 (personal communication). mxcG48 is reported to be genetically null or nearly null (Santamaría and Randsholt, 1995; Saget et al., 1998), and our sequence data indicating a splice site acceptor mutation in exon 2 is consistent with this interpretation (Fig. 5 A).

To assess what roles Mxc might play in histone mRNA biosynthesis in vivo, we examined total H3 mRNA accumulation in mxcG48 mutants and in S2 cells treated with Mxc dsRNA. In both situations, we observe a reduction in total histone H3 mRNA but no detectable misprocessed H3 mRNA (Fig. 5, C and D). In contrast, knockdown of Lsm11 or FLASH results in...
The RNAi screen identifies factors involved in histone pre-mRNA processing. We also used S2 cells to ask whether the 95 hits selected from the primary MPM-2 screen play a role in histone pre-mRNA processing. Cells containing a stably integrated histone mRNA misprocessing reporter driven by the Act5C promoter (Fig. S1 B) were treated

substantial accumulation of misprocessed polyadenylated histone mRNA (Fig. 5, C and D). Thus, mutation of mxc results in failure to accumulate histone mRNA, which is consistent with a role for Mxc in histone gene expression. Whether this molecular phenotype is a cause or a consequence of the poor proliferation of mxc mutant cells cannot be discerned.

Figure 4. GFP histone mRNA misprocessing reporter analysis. Dmel-2 cells stably transfected with the indicated GFP histone mRNA misprocessing reporter were treated for 5 d with dsRNAs directed against control (Con; PTB), SLBP, Mxc, Mute, or Spt6 dsRNAs. (A) Representative epifluorescence and bright-field images. Bars, 60 µm. (B) Quantification of GFP fluorescence/cell for each experiment. SLBP was set as 100 for each reporter. Error bars indicate standard deviations. Between 200 and 800 cells were quantified per experiment.
Mxc and FLASH are required for HLB assembly. We also used antibodies to Mxc, FLASH, and Mute to assess HLB formation in S2 cells after dsRNA depletion of each of the 95 top hits from the primary MPM-2 screen (Table I and Table S1). For each dsRNA treatment, we measured the percentage of cells containing at least one nuclear focus for each marker (e.g., an Mxc, FLASH, or Mute index). We next determined how many standard deviations these measurements were from the mean index for each marker in control dsRNA treatments (Table S2, z score). The results are summarized in Table I. Although control cells contain robust HLBs as assessed by each marker, all other HLB proteins as well as the MPM-2 epitope fail to form nuclear foci in Mxc-depleted cells (Fig. 6, A–F). Similar results were obtained with FLASH (Table I), suggesting that Mxc and FLASH are critical for HLB assembly.

Our data support the idea that some aspects of HLB assembly are hierarchical. First, knockdown of FLASH and Mxc impaired assembly of Mute, whereas Mute knockdown had no effect on the assembly of FLASH and Mxc. Second, dsRNAs targeting the uncharacterized genes CG7597 and CG7597 reduce detection of Mute and MPM-2 in HLBs but not of Mxc or FLASH. Because Mute, CG9769, and CG7597 are required for the localization and/or MPM-2 reactivity of only a subset of known HLB factors, we suggest that ordered recruitment of individual factors might contribute to HLB assembly (Fig. 6 K).

To extend these observations in vivo, we analyzed HLB assembly in the embryos of late-stage mxCG8 homozygous mutant embryos. Anti-Mxc staining of HLBs is dramatically reduced in stage 15 mxCG8 embryos but is not absent, likely a result of perdurance of maternal Mxc protein and/or mRNA (Fig. 6, G–J). Nonetheless, we did not detect HLB localization of Mute in mxCG8 embryos (Fig. 6, G and H), and FLASH staining is substantially reduced (Fig. 6, I and J). These data are consistent with Mxc being necessary for proper HLB assembly. Moreover, because all examined HLB markers failed to form nuclear foci in embryos or S2 cells after depletion of Mxc, our data suggest that HLBs do not form in the absence of Mxc.

HLB assembly occurs independently of histone gene expression. Our biochemical and genetic screening data provided us with two new HLB components (Mxc and Spt6) that together with MPM-2, FLASH, Mute, and U7 snRNP allowed us to further explore the requirements for HLB assembly. We first addressed the relationship between histone gene expression and HLB localization of Mxc, Mute, FLASH, and Spt6. If HLB assembly requires histone gene

Developemental and genetic evidence in support of hierarchical HLB assembly

Mxc and FLASH are required for HLB assembly.
expression, HLBs should not form in G1-arrested cells, which do not express histone mRNA. To assess this, we took advantage of the known cell cycle program of Drosophila embryogenesis. The first 16 cycles occur with constitutive cyclin E/Cdk2 activity (Sauer et al., 1995) and lack G1 phase, which first appears during the 17th embryonic cycle after cyclin E/Cdk2 is down-regulated (Knoblich et al., 1994). The HLB MPM-2 epitope, which is dependent on cyclin E/Cdk2 activity, is continuously present in interphase nuclei during cycles 14–16 but is absent from epidermal cell nuclei arrested in G1 of cell cycle 17 (Fig. 7, A–D). Like MPM-2, the Mxc, Mute, and FLASH proteins localize to HLBs of all cells in cycles 14–16, but unlike MPM-2, these proteins also localize to HLBs in G1 of cell cycle 17 (Fig. 7, A–C). This result indicates that Mxc, Mute, and

Figure 6. HLB formation is disrupted in Mxc-depleted cells. (A–F) S2 cells treated with control (A, C, and E) or mxc (B, D, and F) dsRNAs were stained with MPM-2 (A, B, C, and D), α-Spt6 (C and D), or α-Mute-LS (E and F) and DAPI. Bars, 2 μm. Note that DAPI staining is not uniform, and under the conditions of imaging the entire nucleus is not always visible. (G–J) Sibling control (G and I) and mxcG48 mutant (H and J) embryos stained with α-Mxc, DAPI, and α–Mute-LS (G and H) or α–FLASH (I and J). Arrows indicate HLBs, and arrowheads indicate an incomplete HLB. Bars, 10 μm. (K) Hierarchical model of Drosophila HLB assembly. Tier 1 and tier 2 are independent of histone gene expression, and HLB localization of tier 2 factors depends on tier 1 factors. Components above the horizontal dotted line are recruited to the HLB in response to particular signals: e.g., transcription for Spt6 and cyclin E/Cdk2 activity for the MPM-2 epitope. Signals that recruit coilin to the HLB are not known. The vertical dotted lines indicate no known interdependency between these factors for HLB localization. The line connecting MPM-2 and Mxc indicates that MPM-2 antibodies bind Mxc.
HLBs remain partially assembled throughout mitosis. Some nuclear bodies disassemble during mitosis and reform in the subsequent interphase, possibly in response to the resumption of gene expression (Dundr and Misteli, 2010). We previously observed that foci of MPM-2 and Lsm11 disappear at the metaphase–anaphase transition and reappear in the following interphase (White et al., 2007). To determine whether FLASH, Mxc, Mute, and Spt6 undergo a disassembly/assembly cycle, we used antibodies to detect these proteins in domains of mitotic cells of embryonic cell cycle 14 (Fig. 9 and Fig. S2).

Similar to Lsm11 and the MPM-2 epitope, Mute foci are present in prophase and some metaphase cells but are not detectable in anaphase cells (Fig. 9 A and Fig. S2 A). Spt6 foci are observed in prophase cells but not in metaphase or anaphase cells (Fig. 9 B and Fig. S2 B). Strikingly, both Mxc and FLASH foci are associated with chromosomes throughout all phases of mitosis (Fig. 9, C and D; Fig. S2, C and D; and Fig. S3). Frequently, we observe three or four small DNA-associated foci of Mxc and FLASH in metaphase and anaphase cells, which is consistent with the detection of individual chromatids. Because Mxc is recognized by MPM-2, the disappearance of MPM-2 foci during mitosis likely represents Mxc dephosphorylation. The close association of Mxc and FLASH with chromosomes...
and FLASH would be among the first proteins to localize to HLBs during early development. To test this, we examined the timing of appearance of foci of HLB components in the syncytial cycles of early embryos using nuclear density to accurately stage the embryos with respect to each cycle. There are no HLBs in the early syncytial cycles, and MPM-2 and Lsm11 foci are first detected during cycle 11 (Fig. 10), which is precisely when zygotic histone gene expression begins (Edgar and Schubiger, 1986). During nuclear cycle 10, the embryo acquires competence for transcriptional activation of the zygotic genome during mitosis is not exclusive to early, rapid embryonic cell cycles lacking G1 phase, as Mxc remains associated with chromosomes during mitosis in the canonical cell cycles of post-embryonic neuroblasts (Fig. S3, A–D) and in embryonic epidermal mitosis 16, which precedes G1 arrest (Fig. S3, E–H).

Sequential localization of HLB components in the early embryo. Our observation that Mxc and FLASH are chromosome associated throughout the cell cycle suggests that one or both proteins may directly interact with chromatin at the histone locus. A prediction of this hypothesis is that Mxc and FLASH would be among the first proteins to localize to HLBs during early development. To test this, we examined the timing of appearance of foci of HLB components in the syncytial cycles of early embryos using nuclear density to accurately stage the embryos with respect to each cycle. There are no HLBs in the early syncytial cycles, and MPM-2 and Lsm11 foci are first detected during cycle 11 (Fig. 10), which is precisely when zygotic histone gene expression begins (Edgar and Schubiger, 1986). During nuclear cycle 10, the embryo acquires competence for transcriptional activation of the zygotic genome.

Figure 8. Spt6 localizes to HLBs when histone genes are transcribed. (A) Postblastoderm Spt6-EGFP embryo entering mitosis in cell cycle 14 stained with MPM-2, α-GFP (green), and DAPI. Prominent Spt6 foci form in late G2 cells (arrows) but not in early G2 cells (arrowheads). Single late and early G2 cells are shown in B and C, respectively. Bars, 10 µm.
Figure 9. Mxc and FLASH remain chromosome associated during mitosis. (A–D) Postblastoderm w^{118} embryos in cell cycle 14 stained with α–P-Tyr, α–PH3 (marks condensed, mitotic chromatin), DAPI, and α–Mute-LS (A), α–GFP (B), α–Mxc (C), or α–FLASH (D). Prophase (pro; white arrows), metaphase (meta; white arrowheads), anaphase (ana; yellow arrowheads), and telophase (telo; yellow arrows) cells are indicated. Bars, 10 µm. (A’–D’) Single prophase, metaphase, anaphase, and telophase cells are shown in A’, B’, C’, and D’. Bars, 5 µm. Note that the mouse α–PH3 used in D stains interphase cells weakly.
Figure 10. **HLB assembly begins during nuclear cycle 10.** (A–C) *w[11]s* syncytial blastoderm embryos stained with MPM-2 (left), α-Mxc (middle), α-FLASH (right), and DAPI. (D–F) *w[11]s* syncytial blastoderm embryos stained with MPM-2 (left), α-Mute-LS (middle), α-Mxc (right), and DAPI. Insets show a single nucleus. Interphase of nuclear cycles 9–11 is shown. Yellow arrows indicate a nucleus containing foci of Mxc and FLASH (B) or Mute (E) lacking a MPM-2 focus. Nuclei with one (white arrows) or two (white arrowheads) HLBs are indicated. txn, histone transcription. Bars, 10 µm.
or another HLB protein. Involves a specific interaction with a phosphorylated form of Mxc that another mechanism for Spt6 HLB localization might in-volve. MPM-2 in a phosphorylation-dependent manner, suggesting this criterion, HLBs would be considered activity dependent.

Discussion
Determining the mechanisms of nuclear body assembly and function is important for understanding how genomes express and maintain genetic information. In this study, we identify a total of 35 factors that when depleted by RNAi either disrupt HLB assembly, histone pre-mRNA processing, or both (Table I). Using several cytological and genetic assays, we conclude that (a) essential components of Drosophila HLBs form bodies independently of histone gene expression, and (b) Drosophila HLBs form by hierarchical recruitment of components.

Drosophila HLBs form independently of histone gene expression
Nuclear bodies are categorized as activity independent or activity dependent (Dundr and Misteli, 2010). In many cases, transcription and processing of RNAs are the associated activities, suggesting that nuclear body formation can be coupled to gene expression. What is striking about Drosophila HLBs is their persistence in cells that are not replicating and, thus, not expressing histone genes. At least four components, Mxc, Mute, FLASH, and U7 snRNP, are present in HLBs in Drosophila embryonic cells that have exited the cell cycle. Liu et al. (2006) reached a similar conclusion for U7 snRNP by examining HLBs in post-embryonic cells. Similarly, mammalian HLBs associated with histone gene expression. Determining the mechanisms of nuclear body assembly and function is important for understanding how genomes express and maintain genetic information. In this study, we identify a total of 35 factors that when depleted by RNAi either disrupt HLB assembly, histone pre-mRNA processing, or both (Table I). Using several cytological and genetic assays, we conclude that (a) essential components of Drosophila HLBs form bodies independently of histone gene expression, and (b) Drosophila HLBs form by hierarchical recruitment of components.

Drosophila HLBs form independently of histone gene expression
Nuclear bodies are categorized as activity independent or activity dependent (Dundr and Misteli, 2010). In many cases, transcription and processing of RNAs are the associated activities, suggesting that nuclear body formation can be coupled to gene expression. What is striking about Drosophila HLBs is their persistence in cells that are not replicating and, thus, not expressing histone genes. At least four components, Mxc, Mute, FLASH, and U7 snRNP, are present in HLBs in Drosophila embryonic cells that have exited the cell cycle. Liu et al. (2006) reached a similar conclusion for U7 snRNP by examining HLBs in post-embryonic cells. Similarly, mammalian HLBs associated with histone gene cluster persist in serum-starved cells as judged by NPAT foci (Ye et al., 2003; Ghule et al., 2008).

In contrast, our data show that Spt6 localizes to HLBs only when histone genes are transcribed. Consequently, by this criterion, HLBs would be considered activity dependent. Recruitment to HLBs of Spt6 and possibly other members of the transcription or processing machinery might reflect local accumulation at sites of high transcriptional activity, which occurs at the heat shock locus in response to a heat shock stimulus (Saunders et al., 2006). We show that Spt6 precipitates with MPM-2 in a phosphorylation-dependent manner, suggesting that another mechanism for Spt6 HLB localization might involve a specific interaction with a phosphorylated form of Mxc or another HLB protein.

Consistent with the notion that Drosophila HLBs are not strictly activity dependent, Mxc and FLASH first colocalize into foci during syncytial nuclear cycle 10, one cycle before zygotic histone transcription begins. Their association might be required for subsequent activation of histone gene expression. Mxc and FLASH also persist as colocalizing foci through all stages of mitosis, a time in the cell cycle when transcription is terminated and nascent transcripts are aborted (Shermoen and O’Farrell, 1991). This observation differs from previous studies in mammalian cells that report the disappearance of NPAT foci during mitosis (Ma et al., 2000; Zhao et al., 2000; Ghule et al., 2008).

Multiple mechanisms contribute to Drosophila HLB assembly
The prevailing model of nuclear body biogenesis invokes a process of self-organization of components, but whether such self-organization is entirely stochastic or involves a hierarchical relationship among components is a matter of debate (Misteli, 2001, 2007; Matera et al., 2009; Dundr and Misteli, 2010; Rajendra et al., 2011). Our data suggest that aspects of both mechanisms participate in Drosophila HLB formation. If stochastic self-organization of individual components is sufficient for body formation, the absence of any one component should not affect the assembly of any other component into a body. If hierarchical self-organization plays a role in body formation, the localization of some factors will depend on the presence of others. We previously showed that loss of the variant histone H2aV prevents formation of Lsm11 foci but not of MPM-2 foci (Wagner et al., 2007). Here, we show that depletion of Mxc or FLASH prevents Mute and Lsm11 from forming nuclear foci. These genetic data suggest that stochastic self-organization alone is an incomplete description of Drosophila HLB formation and that hierarchical self-organization plays a role.

In the early embryo, Mxc/FLASH nuclear foci appear during cycle 10, whereas Mxc/FLASH/U7 snRNP/Mute/MPM-2 foci appear in cycle 11. We cannot definitively conclude that the foci in cycle 10 give rise to the foci in cycle 11. Thus, one interpretation of these observations is that two different nuclear bodies are forming, possibly by different mechanisms. However, given the correlation to the timing of the onset of zygotic histone transcription in cycle 11, we consider most parsimonious an interpretation in which the formation of Mxc/FLASH foci represents an early step in HLB assembly that is necessary for subsequent recruitment of other HLB components. The persistence of Mxc/FLASH foci during mitosis while Spt6, Mute, Lsm11, and MPM-2 foci disappear is consistent with this interpretation.

We therefore propose that Drosophila HLB formation involves hierarchical tiers of assembly (Fig. 6 K). Mxc and FLASH form the foundation of this hierarchy, and their assembly into HLB foci is not affected by the removal of other components: Mxc and FLASH colocalize into HLBs after loss of Mute in S2 cells and in Lsm11 mutant flies (Burch et al., 2011). Some observations of mammalian HLBs fit this model. Coillin localization to HLBs is disrupted in the absence of NPAT (Ye et al., 2003). FLASH is required for formation of NPAT foci and vice versa, and in cells in which coillin colocalizes to HLBs with NPAT and FLASH, coillin knockdown does not disrupt HLBs (Barcaroli et al., 2006b). Similarly, Drosophila coillin is present in the HLB of some but not all cells, and coillin mutants do not disrupt HLB formation (Liu et al., 2006, 2009).

By tethering individual components of CBs or HLBs to a specific chromosomal location in mammalian cells, Kaiser et al. (2008) and Shevtsov and Dundr (2011) provide data in support of the stochastic self-organization model of nuclear body formation. These results are not necessarily in conflict with our results. First, the tethering strategy indicates what can happen, not what does happen normally. Perhaps HLB assembly is normally hierarchical and involves some order of assembly, but upon manipulation, the order of assembly can change because the properties of...
stochastic self-organization of individual components can stimulate body assembly from multiple starting points. MPM-2 and Lsm11 form nuclear foci in Drosophila in the absence of histone genes, and this might reflect self-organizing properties resulting from stochastic interactions (White et al., 2007). FLASH can bind itself (Kiriyama et al., 2009), and we found that the two forms of Mute interact. Thus, we propose a model in which hierarchical aspects of HLB assembly are driven by the set of possible interactions of individual molecules in a given genotype (i.e., wild type vs. mutant/knockdown) and during particular times in development and cell cycle progression. In a recent study, the tethering of paraspeckle protein components to a chromosome did not result in full paraspeckle formation. Rather, active transcription of the Men αβ noncoding RNA is proposed to “seed” paraspeckle formation (Mao et al., 2011). Similarly, Mxc/FLASH may seed HLB formation.

Mxc: a novel participant in HLB assembly and histone mRNA biosynthesis

Our data suggest that Mxc is the functional equivalent of human NPAT. We find that Mxc likely participates in both histone gene transcription and pre-mRNA processing and could possibly function to coordinate these processes. The essential role we describe for Mxc in HLB assembly supports the idea that HLBS are important for histone mRNA synthesis.

One of the more provocative findings of our study is that the mxc locus encodes a key HLB protein. mxc was named for leg bristle duplications displayed by hypomorphic mutants and is considered a member of the polycomb group of regulators that repress homeotic gene expression during development (Santamaría and Randsholt, 1995; Saget et al., 1998). The ectopic expression of homeotic genes (e.g., Ubx) in mxc mutants (Saget et al., 1998) may result from a failure to synthesize sufficient replication-dependent histones, which are needed to form repressive chromatin (Ner et al., 2002). The cell-autonomous defect in cell proliferation caused by mxc-null mutations is also consistent with a role for Mxc in histone expression (Docquier et al., 1996; Remillage-Leschelle et al., 2002). Hypomorphic mxc alleles also cause hyperplasia of certain blood cell types that is suppressed by loss of Toll pathway signaling (Remillage-Leschelle et al., 2002). Such phenotypic pleiotropy suggests that Mxc may regulate genes other than those encoding histones. These issues and the mechanisms of HLB assembly will benefit from further analysis of Drosophila Mxc.

Materials and methods

RNAi screening

In a typical culture of asynchronously proliferating S2 cells, the MPM-2 index is 51% (n = 298 cells). To enhance our ability to detect reduction of the MPM-2 index after dsRNA treatment, we enriched for S phase by incubating cells with aphidicolin and hydroxyurea for 24 h, which increases the MPM-2 index to >90% (n = 53 cells) because cells arrest in S phase with active cyclin E/Cdk2. Under these conditions, a typical cyclin E dsRNA treatment results in an MPM-2 index of 18% (n = 519) compared with 89% (n = 532) for cells treated with control dsRNA. For primary screening, 25 × 10⁶ cells/well were incubated in serum-free media (SF-900 II SFM; Invitrogen) in 96-well plates containing 1 µg dsRNA/well from a library of 15,680 dsRNAs (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 6 d at 25°C and treated with 10 µM aphidicolin and 1 µM hydroxyurea during the last 24 h. Cells were transfected for 4–6 h to tissue culture-grade plastic 96-well plates (primary screen) or to Whatman 96-well glass-bottom plates (secondary screens) pretreated with concanavalin A to induce spreading. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, extracted with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 15 min, and blocked with 5% normal goat serum for 30 min. Validation/secondary screen dsRNAs were purchased from the Drosophila RNAi Screening Center (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA). For controls, each plate contained one well with cyclin E dsRNA, two wells with dsRNA from pBridge (called sk in Fig. 2, A and B), and one well with thread dsRNA (Fig. 2 B, first yellow diamond), which induces apoptosis and was used to assess whether dsRNA-mediated knockdown occurred.

Imaging

Cells were imaged at 25°C in 96-well plates using a high content screening platform (Cellomics Arrayscan VTI [Thermo Fisher Scientific]; 20x/0.4 NA Plan-Neofluor [Carl Zeiss] with a camera; Orcar2 ER [Hamamatsu]) and Spotdetector plate protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Confocal images were obtained at 25°C at a zoom of 1.0–8.0 with a 63×, NA of 1.40, Plan Achromat objective on a laser-scanning confocal microscope (510; Carl Zeiss). Histone pre-mRNA misprocessing reporter images of live cells in serum-free media (SF-900 II) were obtained at 25°C using a UPlanFL N 10x/0.30 Ph1, NA of 0.3, objective on an inverted fluorescence microscope (IX81-ZDC; Olympus) with a camera (ORCA-R2; Hamamatsu) and Velocity acquisition software (PerkinElmer).

Analysis of primary MPM-2 screen data

The Spotdetector plate protocol was optimized to discriminate a nucleus containing MPM-2 foci from a nucleus containing diffuse MPM-2 staining (often observed in cells lacking MPM-2 foci). Cells were defined as objects by DAPI staining, and within each object (i.e., nucleus), the algorithm identified fluorescent spots and quantified the percentage of objects containing at least one fluorescent spot (MPM-2 or spot index). Data were acquired for ≥400 objects for each well when possible, and wells containing fewer than 200 objects were not scored. MPM-2 indices were plotted for each plate and normalized based on the mean MPM-2 index of the plate. A well with an MPM-2 index of more than three standard deviations (i.e., z score of -3.0) below the plate mean was considered a positive. To select candidates for validation, images corresponding to the top scoring 150 dsRNAs were visually confirmed as lacking MPM-2 nuclear foci to eliminate false positives. Genes previously reported to be required for cell cycle progression (Björklund et al., 2006), which when depleted would reduce the MPM-2 index without necessarily encoding an HLB protein, were not selected for further analysis.

Analysis of secondary screen data

For the 95 selected candidates from the primary MPM-2 screen data (Table S1), dsRNAs that targeted different mRNA regions than the dsRNAs used in the primary screen were obtained from the Drosophila RNAi screening center. For anti-Mxc, anti-FLASH, and anti-Mute staining, data acquisition and analysis were performed in the same manner as in the primary MPM-2 screen, except that spot indices were adjusted to the mean score of 6–12 control wells lacking dsRNA rather than to the plate mean. Spot index z-scores for each dsRNA treatment were calculated as the standard deviation from the control mean score (Table S2). For instance, a z score of −1.5 indicates a mean spot index value <1.5 standard deviations, i.e., below the control mean.

Protein identification by mass spectrometry

Proteins were immunoprecipitated from 10.4 mg of S phase–arrested S2 nuclear extract diluted to 1 mg/ml in NET buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 400 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, and 1% NP-40) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors with 25 µg MPM-2 or anti-HA antibodies precross-linked to 50 µl protein G beads. Immunocomplexes were washed four times, boiled in SDS-PAGE sample buffer, and run on a 4–15% Tris-HCL minigel (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Proteins were stained with Coomassie G-250 (Invitrogen) overnight at 4°C. Proteins of interest were excised from the gel, digested with trypsin, and analyzed by a mass spectrometry analyzer [4800 MALDI TOF/TOF; Applied Biosystems] at the University of North Carolina Michael Hooker Proteomics Center.

Molecular biology

All gene products were expressed in cell culture or as transgenes using Gateway-compatible vectors (Carnegie Institution). Two overlapping PCR fragments for each gene were cloned into pCR4-TOPO (Invitrogen) and transformed into One Shot TOP10 (Invitrogen) chemically competent E. coli cells. The resulting plasmids were sequenced to confirm correct gene structure, and the gene products were expressed in cell culture or as transgenes using Gateway-compatible vectors (Carnegie Institution). Two overlapping PCR fragments for each gene were cloned into pCR4-TOPO (Invitrogen) and transformed into One Shot TOP10 (Invitrogen) chemically competent E. coli cells. The resulting plasmids were sequenced to confirm correct gene structure, and the gene products were expressed in cell culture or as transgenes using Gateway-compatible vectors (Carnegie Institution).
by sequencing, and recombined with pAWV (Actin5C promoter and N-terminal GFP) and pAWG (Actin5C promoter and C-terminal GFP). pENTR-Mxc was also recombined with pAFW (Actin5C promoter and N-terminal Flag), pAWG (Actin5C promoter and C-terminal Flag), and pUGW (ubiquitin promoter and N-terminal GFP). pUGW was a gift from S. Nowotarski and M. Peifer (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC). ActpSp6FH (Actin5C promoter and C-terminal Flag/His) was a gift from J. Lis (Cornell University, New York, NY; Andrulis et al., 2002). S2 cells were transfected in 6-well plates using a nucleofector apparatus (Lonza) and Nucleofector V kit (Lonza). Stable cell lines were generated by cotransfection with pcOHygro (Invitrogen) and growing cells in 250 µg/ml hygromycin B (Invitrogen) for >14 d. Northern blotting was performed as previously described (Godfrey et al., 2006).

IPs

Nuclear extracts were made as follows: cells from 100 ml culture were pelleted at 3,000 rpm for 5 min, washed once with PBS, resuspended in hypotonic buffer A (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM KCl, and 0.5 mM DTT), and incubated on ice for 30 min. The cells were then lysed with 40 strokes of a dounce homogenizer, and nuclei were pelleted by spinning at 3,000 rpm for 5 min, washed once with PBS, resuspended in 100 µl low salt buffer C (20 mM Hepes, pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.02 M NaCl, and 25% glycerol). An equivalent volume of high salt buffer C (20 mM Hepes, pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM DTT, 1.2 M NaCl, and 25% glycerol) was then added in 10 µl increments, and nuclei were lysed by incubating on a stir plate with a micro stir bar on ice for 30 min. The resulting nuclear extracts were then spun at 13,500 rpm for 5 min to remove nuclear envelopes and chromatin (Sullivan et al., 2009). Antibodies were covalently cross-linked to protein G beads with dimethyl pimelimidate (Rogers et al., 2009). Nuclear extracts were incubated with antibody-coupled beads overnight at 4°C in NET buffer supplemented with 0.5 µg/ml leupeptin, 0.25 µg/ml pepstatin A, 1.5 µg/ml aprotonin, 575 µM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride, 1 µM microcystin-LR, and 312.5 nM okadaic acid.

Drosophila strains

mxc alleles and the PPTT-GA/Spt6/Cytoplasmic protein trap line containing an in-frame GFP insertion between exons 2 and 3 of Spt6 were previously described (Santamaria and Randsholt, 1995; Buszczak et al., 2007). Mxcd transgenic flies were created by injecting w1118, Bbaclv1-actp-Spl6/KV00033 embryos (BestGene, Inc.) with a cDNA1-compatible vector containing GFP::Mxc antibody expressed from the ubiquitin promoter in pUGW.

Antibody staining

For larval brain and embryos, the following primary antibodies were expressed from the embryos (BestGene, Inc.) with a complete list of antisera and antibodies used: rabbit anti-Mxc (1:2,000), and affinity-purified clonal guinea pig anti–Mute-LS (long and short; 1:1,000), affinity-purified rabbit anti-Ser/Thr-Pro protein trap line containing an in-frame GFP insertion between exons 2 and 3 of Spt6 were previously described (Santamaria and Randsholt, 1995; Buszczak et al., 2007). Mxcd transgenic flies were created by injecting w1118, Bbaclv1-actp-Spl6/KV00033 embryos (BestGene, Inc.) with a cDNA1-compatible vector containing GFP::Mxc antibody expressed from the ubiquitin promoter in pUGW.

Antibody staining

For larval brain and embryos, the following primary antibodies were used: monoclonal mouse antibody against N-terminal GFP (1:1,000; Millipore), monoclonal mouse anti-phospho-histone H3 [Ser10; 1:1,000; Millipore], polyclonal rabbit anti-phospho-histone H3 [Ser10; 1:1,000; Millipore], monoclonal rabbit anti-phosphorylated RNA polymerase (RDR), and monoclonal rabbit anti-GFP (1:1,000; Abcam), affinity-purified polyclonal rabbit anti-FLASH (1:1,000), polyclonal guinea pig anti–Mute-LS (long and short; 1:1,000), affinity-purified rabbit and guinea pig anti-Mxc (1:2,000), and affinity-purified polyclonal guinea pig anti-Spl6 (1:1,000; gift from W. Fison, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; Kaplan et al., 2000). For S2 immune-staining, the primary antibodies were monoclonal mouse anti-Ser-Thr-Pro MPM-2 (1:1,000; Millipore), affinity-purified polyclonal rabbit anti-FLASH (1:5,000; Yang et al., 2009), polyclonal guinea pig anti–Mute-LS (1:1,000; gift from S. Bulchand and B. Chia, Temasek Life Sciences Laboratory, National University of Singapore, Singapore), polyclonal guinea pig anti-Mxc (1:1,000), and polyclonal rabbit anti-H3 (1:2,000; gift from J. Workman, Stowers Institute for Medical Research, Kansas City, MO; Guelman et al., 2006). The secondary antibodies used (1:1,000) in all experiments were goat anti-mouse IgG labeled with Oregon green 488 (Invitrogen), Cy3 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.), or Cy5 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.); goat anti-rabbit IgG labeled with Rhodamine red (Invitrogen) or Cy2 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.); goat anti-anti-rabbit IgG labeled with Cy3 and Cy3 anti-anti-rabbit IgG labeled with Cy5; and goat anti-guinea pig IgG labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen), Cy3, or Cy5. DNA was detected by staining embryos with DAPI (1:1,000 of 1 mg/ml stock; Dako) for 2 min. Embryos were dechorionated, fixed in a 1:1 mixture of 5% formaldehyde/hepame for 20 min, and incubated with primary and secondary antibodies each for 1 h at 25°C or overnight at 4°C. Brains were dissected from third instar larvae in Schneider’s medium, fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 20 min, and permeabilized in 1% Triton X-100 for 1 h before immunostaining.

IPs

Nuclear extracts were made as follows: cells from 100 ml culture were pelleted at 3,000 rpm for 5 min, washed once with PBS, resuspended in hypotonic buffer A (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM KCl, and 0.5 mM DTT), and incubated on ice for 30 min. The cells were then lysed with 40 strokes of a dounce homogenizer, and nuclei were pelleted by spinning at 3,000 rpm for 5 min, washed once with PBS, resuspended in 100 µl low salt buffer C (20 mM Hepes, pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.02 M NaCl, and 25% glycerol). An equivalent volume of high salt buffer C (20 mM Hepes, pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM DTT, 1.2 M NaCl, and 25% glycerol) was then added in 10 µl increments, and nuclei were lysed by incubating on a stir plate with a micro stir bar on ice for 30 min. The resulting nuclear extracts were then spun at 13,500 rpm for 5 min to remove nuclear envelopes and chromatin (Sullivan et al., 2009). Antibodies were covalently cross-linked to protein G beads with dimethyl pimelimidate (Rogers et al., 2009). Nuclear extracts were incubated with antibody-coupled beads overnight at 4°C in NET buffer supplemented with 0.5 µg/ml leupeptin, 0.25 µg/ml pepstatin A, 1.5 µg/ml aprotonin, 575 µM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride, 1 µM microcystin-LR, and 312.5 nM okadaic acid.

Drosophila strains

mxc alleles and the PPTT-GA/Spt6/Cytoplasmic protein trap line containing an in-frame GFP insertion between exons 2 and 3 of Spt6 were previously described (Santamaria and Randsholt, 1995; Buszczak et al., 2007). Mxcd transgenic flies were created by injecting w1118, Bbaclv1-actp-Spl6/KV00033 embryos (BestGene, Inc.) with a cDNA1-compatible vector containing GFP::Mxc antibody expressed from the ubiquitin promoter in pUGW.
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