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Intracellular signal transduction proteins typically utilize multiple interaction domains for proper targeting, and thus a broad diversity of distinct signaling complexes may be assembled. Considering the coordination of only two such domains, as in tandem Src homology 2 (SH2) domain constructs, gives rise to a kinetic scheme that is not adequately described by simple models used routinely to interpret in vitro binding measurements. To analyze the interactions between tandem SH2 domains and bisphosphorylated peptides, we formulated detailed kinetic models and applied them to the phosphoinositide 3-kinase p85 regulatory subunit/platelet-derived growth factor β-receptor system. Data for this system from different in vitro assay platforms, including surface plasmon resonance, competition binding, and isothermal titration calorimetry, were reconciled to estimate the magnitude of the cooperativity characterizing the sequential binding of the high and low affinity SH2 domains (C-SH2 and N-SH2, respectively). Compared with values based on an effective volume approximation, the estimated cooperativity is 3 orders of magnitude lower, indicative of significant structural constraints. Homodimerization of full-length p85 was found to be an alternative mechanism for high avidity binding to phosphorylated platelet-derived growth factor receptors, which would render the N-SH2 domain dispensable for receptor binding.

Intracellular signal transduction networks, under the control of activated cell surface receptors, govern cell functional behaviors such as proliferation, migration, differentiation, and programmed cell death (1). Proper communication between signaling proteins is generally contingent upon noncovalent, intermolecular interactions, mediated by well conserved protein domains. A key feature of these domains is their modular nature, which has facilitated the extensive characterization of their binding affinities and specificities in vitro, as well as the construction of “synthetic” signaling proteins with prescribed function (2). The prototypical and best characterized interaction domains in signaling are the Src homology 2 (SH2) domains, which direct interactions of proteins with receptor tyrosine kinases and other tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins (3). Receptors of the receptor tyrosine kinase family, which engage growth factor ligands such as platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), are activated through ligand binding, receptor oligomerization, and autophosphorylation on multiple intracellular residues, which then serve as a scaffold for recruitment of proteins containing SH2 and analogous domains (4, 5).

Signaling proteins typically contain three or more modular interaction domains of various types, and therefore the diversity of interactions that might take place in the cell is staggering (6). Further complicating the problem is the avidity effect, which tends to promote the cooperative association of different domains with binding partners in the same multimolecular complex or subcellular compartment. Other mechanisms of binding cooperativity might also depend on the modification of signaling proteins at multiple sites (7). This context-dependent diversity of interactions is a prime example of what has been called combinatorial complexity (8). Although kinetic modeling has emerged as a powerful tool in the analysis of signal transduction networks (9–11), the very large number of potential state variables that can arise even for combinations of a handful of proteins has prohibited detailed modeling of signaling interactions. The recent development of rule-based modeling tools (12) has enabled modeling of more complex systems; in previous work, we used this approach to analyze the function of the protein-tyrosine phosphatase Shp2 (13), demonstrating the application of rule-based modeling at the level of modular protein domains.

In this paper, we present mathematical models and analysis focused on the interactions between tandem SH2 domains derived from signal transduction proteins and peptides or proteins bearing two phosphotyrosine-binding sites. Such interactions have been characterized in vitro by a variety of biochemical methods (14–18), but the various types of complexes that can form between multivalent binding partners cannot be resolved, making the measurements potentially difficult to interpret. Although dual SH2 domains are found in a number of signaling proteins, including isoforms of phospholipase C, the aforementioned Shp2, and the nonreceptor tyrosine kinases PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; SPR, surface plasmon resonance; ITC, isothermal titration calorimetry.
The cooperativity parameter characterizing the reversible binding of two species to form one (Fig. 1A). At equilibrium, it is only the ratio of these rate constants that matters, with \( K_p = k_{off}/k_{on} \), given in units of molar concentration; incidentally, we used the same realistic value of \( k_{off} = 1 \mu M^{-1} s^{-1} \) for all interactions and models, and \( k_{off} \) values were specified according to the corresponding \( K_p \).

**Tandem SH2 domains**, such as in the p85 regulatory subunit of PI3K, engage cognate bisphosphorylated peptides and proteins in a cooperative manner, with binding of one SH2 domain facilitating the binding of the other through a ring closure transition (Fig. 1B). These interactions are characterized by a first order association rate constant that is the product of the corre-
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sponding $k_{on}$ and a cooperativity parameter $\chi$, which is the effective concentration of each free binding site within the same molecular complex (13, 26), assumed to be the same value for all ring complexes. If such a site were able to freely search a characteristic volume of 100 nm$^3$ (within a 3-nm radius), that concentration would be $\sim 20$ mM. A more conservative estimate would account for the flexibility of the peptide and other structural constraints within the complex (27), and hence we varied $\chi$ between 1 mM and 1 mm and evaluated its effect on the overall binding avidity and other aspects of complex formation. As the value of $\chi$ is increased, the ring closure interactions become increasingly favorable, and the overall binding avidity of the complex is enhanced. The reverse, ring opening rate constant is given by the corresponding $k_{off}$. The assumption that only the forward rate constant is modified affects the binding kinetics but not the equilibrium.

The kinetic equations were integrated numerically for sufficient time to achieve steady state ($10^4$ s, typically). All of the model codes are available upon request.

**Model 1: Immobilized Phosphopeptide**—In the simplest model, the bisphosphorylated peptide is immobilized to a surface or solid matrix, and the tandem SH2 construct binds from solution. It is assumed that the immobilized peptide is present at a sufficiently low density, such that bound complexes are comprised of only one peptide and either one or two tandem SH2 molecules. The peptide is present at an arbitrarily low concentration (10 pM was used) so that the tandem SH2 domain is far in excess, with its free concentration approximately equal to the total. Each of the peptide phosphorylation sites (Y1 or Y2), if unoccupied, may reversibly bind tandem SH2 from solution (both SH2 domains must be unoccupied) via C-SH2 or N-SH2; these four combinations constitute separate rules (Fig. 1A). A peptide-SH2 complex with Y1 or Y2 unoccupied may engage in reversible ring closure transitions (four separate rules shown in Fig. 1B). As a result, there are 12 distinct species in this model, the two unbound molecules and 10 distinct peptide-SH2 complexes; the complexes are classified as Type I, II, or III depending on their structure (Fig. 1C).

**Model 2: Immobilized Phosphopeptide with Competition**—This model is the same as the previous except that the system also includes soluble, bisphosphorylated peptide as a competitive inhibitor with respect to tandem SH2 binding to the surface, which allows several types of extended structures to form (Fig. 1D). Although complexes may contain only one immobilized peptide molecule, any species containing an unoccupied SH2 domain can combine with any other having an unoccupied competitor peptide site, and thus molecular chains with more than one bisphosphorylated peptide molecule may be formed. Chains comprised of one tandem SH2 and two peptide molecules are classified as Type IV complexes, and chains comprised of four or more molecules are classified as Type V complexes. Ring structures containing four or more molecules can also form; these are classified as Type VI complexes. To simplify matters, the immobilized peptide is only monophosphorylated (on Y1, corresponding to Tyr(P)$^{731}$ of PDGF $\beta$-receptor), matching the conditions of the published experiments (17). Thus, there are only two rules for C-SH2 or N-SH2 binding to the surface, four rules for binding of two species containing unoccupied SH2 and competitor peptide sites, and four rules for unimolecular ring closure involving unoccupied SH2 and competitor peptide sites. In BioNetGen 2, it is possible to set the maximum number of each molecule type in the generated species. Thus, cross-linking of immobilized sites was prohibited here by setting the maximum number of immobilized peptide molecules in a complex to 1, and the potentially infinite sizes of the chain and ring structures were truncated at a maximum number of $N$ molecules each of the tandem SH2 and bisphosphorylated competitor peptide per complex. Values of $n$ = 2, 3, and 4 were used and found to give nearly identical results. These models vary in complexity as $N$ is increased, yielding 68, 272, and 1,075 distinct species, respectively.

**Model 3: Solution Phase Binding**—In this model, both the tandem SH2 construct and bisphosphorylated peptide are in solution, as in ITC measurements. The binding rules are the same as in the immobilized phosphopeptide with competition model, except that the immobilized peptide is absent. Thus, for the same value of $N$ as described for Model 2, there are correspondingly fewer distinct species in Model 3 (37, 145, and 629 species for $n$ = 2, 3, and 4, respectively). As with Model 2, these values of $N$ produced nearly identical results.

**Model 4: Immobilized Phosphopeptide with p85 Dimerization**—This model is a modification of Model 1, in which p85 has an additional domain that mediates p85 dimerization (Fig. 1E), with six additional rules. Two of these are for dimerization, one for when at least one of the p85 molecules binds from solution and another for when both p85 molecules are bound to the same peptide; in the latter case, the value for dimerization, $\chi_{dimer}$ is distinguished from that of 1:1 ring formation (Type II complex), called $\chi_{SH2}$. To satisfy the principle of detailed balance, $\chi_{dimer}$ also applies to the ring closure of peptide-p85-p85 chains via either of the unoccupied SH2 domains in the second p85 molecule. The network for this model is comprised of 35 distinct species.

RESULTS

Cooperativity of Tandem SH2/Phosphopeptide Binding as a Key Determinant of Complex Avidity, Stoichiometry, and Equilibration Time—The simplest model is one in which the phosphopeptide is immobilized, such that complexes contain only one peptide molecule (Model 1) (Fig. 2). This scenario simulates SPR and other solid phase binding assays and is analogous to p85 recruitment to the plasma membrane. For simplicity, the two phosphotyrosine sites are assumed here to be equivalent, and the C-SH2 and N-SH2 domains are assigned single-site $K_D$ values characteristic of PI3K p85 (50 nM and 1.5 $\mu$M, respectively) (16–18, 28). Each SH2 domain by itself exhibits the expected hyperbolic binding isotherm, with half-maximal binding at a SH2 concentration equal to its $K_D$ and a stoichiometry of 2:1 SH2 molecules/peptide at saturation. By comparison, the binding isotherm of the tandem construct is altered relative to that of the higher affinity C-SH2, depending on the value of $\chi$. As expected, the change is dramatic when $\chi = \sim 10$ $\mu$M or greater, exceeding the $K_D$ of the low affinity N-SH2 domain (Fig. 2A). At tandem SH2 concentrations below the C-SH2 $K_D$, overall binding is enhanced because of the cooperativity of the SH2 domains in forming stable, Type II ring structures (Fig. 1C), the effective $K_D$ for these structures being given by Equation 1.
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Effective $K_D$ values for p85 tandem SH2 binding to the Tyr(P)$_{740}$/Tyr(P)$_{751}$ bisphosphorylated peptide have been reported to lie in the vicinity of 1 nM (16, 18); for the single-site $K_D$ values assumed here, an order of magnitude estimate of $\chi = \sim 30$ $\mu$M is obtained. A somewhat lower estimate ($\chi = \sim 10$ $\mu$M) is obtained if $K_{DC1}$ and $K_{DC2}$ are allowed to adopt different values spanning the range of 10–100 $\mu$M.

In contrast, at tandem SH2 concentrations above the $K_D$ of C-SH2, overall binding is diminished because the ring structure reduces the overall stoichiometry of SH2 binding. Indeed, as the value of $\chi$ is increased, there is an apparent saturation of binding at 1:1 stoichiometry, and increasingly higher tandem SH2 concentrations are needed to shift the equilibrium from Type II rings to Type III chain structures with 2:1 stoichiometry (Fig. 2B).

Another consequence of cooperative tandem SH2 binding is slower binding kinetics (Fig. 2C). For a simple receptor/ligand system with 1:1 binding stoichiometry, it is well known that the characteristic time constant for approaching equilibrium is the inverse of $k_{off}(1 + [L]/K_D)$, where $k_{off}$ is the dissociation rate constant, and [L] is the free ligand concentration (29). Formation of the Type II ring structure effectively increases the dwell time of the tandem SH2 molecule on the peptide, thus reducing the overall off rate and slowing the approach to steady state. Indeed, with the highest values of $\chi$, the $t_{1/2}$ for approaching steady state at low concentrations is greater than 5 min, compared with $t_{1/2} = \ln 2/k_{off} = 14$ s for C-SH2 alone.

Analysis of Tandem SH2/Phosphopeptide Interactions in Competition Binding Experiments Establishes a Lower Limit on the Cooperativity Parameter $\chi$—To further characterize the cooperativity of tandem SH2/phosphopeptide binding, we analyzed the data of Harpur and colleagues (17), who assessed the ability of Tyr(P)$_{740}$, Tyr(P)$_{751}$, and Tyr(P)$_{740}$/Tyr(P)$_{751}$ peptides to inhibit the binding of various p85 constructs (C-SH2, tandem SH2, as well as full-length) to a SPR chip bearing Tyr(P)$_{751}$; this experiment is recapitulated in our Model 2 (Fig. 3). In the relatively simple case of C-SH2 and monophosphorylated peptide as the competitor, the fractional occupancy of the immobilized peptide sites, assumed to be small in number compared with the SH2 molecules, is given by Equations 2–5.

Equations 2–5 show that the free SH2 concentration, $S_{free}$, depends on the total concentrations of both SH2 ($S_T$) and the peptide competitor ($C_T$) and the $K_D$ of C-SH2 binding to the competitor site ($i = 1$ for Tyr(P)$_{740}$, $i = 2$ for Tyr(P)$_{751}$). It was assumed that $S_T$ was chosen to yield $\sim 50\%$ surface occupancy in the absence of competitor. Hence, good agreement with the C-SH2 inhibition data were found with $S_T = K_{DC1} = 10$ $\mu$M and $K_{DC2} = 75$ $\mu$M (Fig. 3A), and those parameter values were kept the same in Fig. 3B, described below.
Models of Tandem SH2 Domain Interactions

![Graph A](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**FIGURE 3. Evaluation of competition binding experiments.** The calculations were performed using Model 2 (see "Experimental Procedures"). A, inhibition of monovalent p85 C-SH2 domain binding to Tyr(P)\(^751\) of PDGF β-receptor by different competitor peptides as indicated. Fig. 6A of Ref. 17 was recapitulated with \(S_0 = K_{D1} = 10 \text{ nM}\) and \(K_{D2} = 75 \text{ nM}\), where \(S_0\) is the total concentration of C-SH2. B, inhibition of p85 tandem SH2 construct by different competitor peptides as indicated. In the case of the bisphosphorylated competitor, the value of \(\chi\) is varied as indicated. Fig. 6 (B and C) of Ref. 17 compares favorably with these results when \(\chi > 10 \mu\text{M}\).

The experiments also showed that whereas each of the monophosphorylated competitor peptides inhibits C-SH2 and tandem SH2 binding with roughly the same potency, the bisphosphorylated competitor peptide is more potent toward tandem SH2 and full-length p85 binding, indicative of the cooperative formation of ring structures; the inhibition curve also exhibited a much steeper dose response (17). Those results are matched nicely by the Model 2 calculations when the value of \(\chi\) is much greater than the N-SH2 affinity (\(\chi = \sim 10 \mu\text{M}\) or greater; Fig. 3B). Interestingly, the shape of the inhibition curve is not attributable to the multivalent nature of the competitor binding but rather to the near stoichiometric avidity of the interaction. When the binding avidity is arbitrarily high, the fractional occupancy of immobilized peptide (Equations 2–5) is closely approximated by taking \(S_{\text{free}} \approx S_\chi - C_T\), or \(S_{\text{free}} \approx 0\) when the competitor is in excess (\(C_T > S_\chi\)), which produces the characteristic steepness of the inhibition curve. A dramatic reduction of \(S_T\), to a value well below the effective \(K_D\) of ring formation (Equation 1), eliminates this feature (results not shown); however, doing so would reduce the fractional occupancy on the surface in the absence of competitor, perhaps to an unacceptably low level for SPR detection.

Analysis of Tandem SH2/Phosphopeptide Interactions in ITC Measurements Establishes an Upper Limit on the Cooperativity Parameter \(\chi\). ITC experiments provide information about molecular interactions through measurements of heat liberated upon serial injections of one solution into another (30). O’Brien and colleagues (18) performed such experiments with full-length p85, injecting increasing amounts of bisphosphorylated Tyr(P)\(^7342\)/Tyr(P)\(^751\) peptide into the calorimeter; the net energy change required to maintain the system at constant temperature with each injection was plotted as a function of the increasing molar ratio of peptide/p85. Two distinct changes in the heat/injection were observed, one starting at a molar ratio of \(\sim 0.5\) and another, more dramatic reduction induced at a molar ratio of \(\sim 1.0\); at a molar ratio of 2.0, the heat release was near 0, indicating saturation of the SH2 domains. Based on those molar ratios, a conceptual model was proposed in which the predominant complex at lower peptide concentrations is the 2:1 chain (Type III complex), whereas a 1:1 complex (depicted as a Type II ring) dominates for molar ratios approaching 1.0 (18).

Our calculated results (Model 3), which allow us to resolve the various types of complexes, shed additional light on those conclusions and provide further evidence for the magnitude of \(\chi\) (Fig. 4). Based on a concentration of 10 \(\mu\text{M}\) p85 in the calorimeter initially and given that 1.5 nmol of peptide was introduced per injection, achieving a molar ratio of 2.0 after 16 injections of 15 \(\mu\text{l}\) each (18), the total concentrations of p85 and peptide after each injection were determined. Thus, the total peptide concentration increases from 1.2 \(\mu\text{M}\) after the first injection up to 16.7 \(\mu\text{M}\) at the end; the p85 is diluted in the process, with a final concentration of 8.3 \(\mu\text{M}\). Based on those concentrations, and using the same default \(K_\chi\) values from Fig. 2, we determined the net changes in the amounts of complexes after each injection. Changes in these amounts are related to changes in enthalpy and thus the amount of energy required to maintain constant temperature after each injection. For the sake of simplicity, we adopt a thermodynamic model in which the enthalpy change (\(\Delta H\)) is a weighted sum of the numbers of bonds formed with C-SH2 and N-SH2 (\(n_{\text{CSH}2}\) and \(n_{\text{NSH}2}\), respectively), regardless of the structures of the complexes formed.

\[
\Delta H = \Delta H_{\text{CSH}2}n_{\text{CSH}2} + \Delta H_{\text{NSH}2}n_{\text{NSH}2}
\]  
(Eq. 6)

This is equivalent to assuming that the induced proximity effect that distinguishes ring closure from chain extension equilibria is attributed to a difference in conformational entropy.

At lower values of \(\chi\) (1 and 10 \(\mu\text{M}\)), the calculated numbers of high affinity C-SH2 bonds formed with each injection show the characteristic plateau at low molar ratios, thereafter yielding to formation of N-SH2 interactions, whereas for higher values of \(\chi\) (100 \(\mu\text{M}\) and 1 \(\text{mM}\)), the plateau is absent (Fig. 4A). Using Equation 6 to calculate the heat release/injection and varying the ratio of specific enthalpies (\(\Delta H_{\text{NSH}2}/\Delta H_{\text{CSH}2}\)), only \(\chi = \sim 10–30 \mu\text{M}\) correctly recapitulates the experimentally observed hump in the heat/injection at molar ratios between
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To What Extent Can Dimerization of p85 Stabilize p85 Binding to Bisphosphorylated Peptide?—It has been shown that purified PI3K p85 dimerizes in vitro via a Src homology 3 (SH3) domain/proline-rich sequence interaction, estimated to be of micromolar affinity, perhaps aided by a second, lower affinity interaction (17, 31, 32). These domains are not present in truncated, p85-derived tandem SH2 constructs, but in the context of full-length p85, we were curious as to how p85 dimerization might affect p85 interactions with the bisphosphorylated Tyr(P)^740/Tyr(P)^751 peptide (Fig. 5). In the corresponding model, Model 4, p85 dimerization is treated as a single interaction with $K_D = 1 \mu M$ in solution. As in Model 1, the phosphopeptide is assumed to be immobilized at low density. Here, the structural constraints governing the formation of Type II rings are distinguished from those governing ring formation via dimerization of p85 molecules attached to the same peptide chain, characterized by distinct values of $\chi$ ($\chi_{SH2}$ and $\chi_{dimer}$, respectively). The principle of detailed balance dictates that $\chi_{dimer}$ also applies to the cyclization of ring structures via one of the two occupied SH2 domains of a p85 molecule already dimerized with another, peptide-bound p85 molecule.

Assuming a value of $\chi_{SH2} = 10 \mu M$, consistent with the analysis in the previous sections, the calculations show that p85 dimerization can improve binding avidity at low nanomolar concentrations, but only when rings involving dimers are not subject to significant constraints; $\chi_{dimer}$ must be in the millimolar range (Fig. 5A). With low values of $\chi_{dimer}$ comparable with $\chi_{SH2}$, the binding avidity is not substantially enhanced beyond what is achieved through Type II ring formation (compare with Fig. 2A). Ring structures with dimerized p85 molecules are found in proportion to the free p85 concentration squared, which is manifest in the steepness of the binding isotherm at low p85 concentrations. At p85 concentrations that are far in excess of the dimerization $K_D$ complexes with stoichiometry approaching 4:1 (two p85 dimers/peptide) are found. This model was also adapted to examine the binding of a p85 variant with the N-SH2 domain deleted (Fig. 5B). Here, the only ring structure that can form is the 2:1 complex with the p85 molecules dimerized. Comparing the isotherm at low p85 concentrations, it is apparent that such rings are the predominant structure at low concentrations of p85 if $\chi_{dimer}$ is sufficiently high. Under those conditions, the N-SH2 domain is dispensable for binding to the bisphosphorylated motif.
DISCUSSION

In vitro measurements using purified components are predicated on the notion that they are indicative of interactions in cells, and they afford obvious advantages. However, when an interaction involves more than one discrete step, and especially when complexes of varying stoichiometry can form, the interpretation of the measurements can be challenging and perhaps misleading. Reconciling data obtained using different assay designs and platforms only adds to that challenge; here, we used kinetic, rule-based models to accomplish this goal. Interactions between the tandem SH2 domains of PI3K p85 regulatory subunit and its bisphosphorylated binding site in PDGF β-receptor were analyzed in detail, and the cooperativity of the SH2 domains in forming a high avidity ring complex was evaluated in terms of the concentration factor, χ. Analysis of SPR and ITC measurements, which differ with respect to peptide configuration (immobilized versus soluble) and species concentrations (nanomolar versus micromolar), yielded a consistent order of magnitude estimate of χ = ~10 μM. Significantly lower values do not yield the effective $K_D$ values reported for tandem SH2 binding to Tyr(P)^740/Tyr(P)^751 (16, 18), nor do they give the extent of inhibition observed in competition binding assays (17). Significantly higher values promote ring formation even when one of the components is in micromolar excess, in clear disagreement with ITC measurements (18).

The estimate of χ obtained for p85 tandem SH2 binding is 3 orders of magnitude lower than the value anticipated based on simple search volume considerations, indicating significant structural constraints. Consistent with this conclusion, a worm-like chain model of peptide binding shows that consideration of the peptide flexibility alone can yield χ values in the low micromolar range (27). However, in experiments in which the length of the peptide spacer sequence between Tyr(P) sites was varied, peptide stimulation of PI3K kinase activity in vitro was apparently able to tolerate a reduction of the spacing to 6 residues (33); based on this assertion, the worm-like chain model produces a significantly higher estimate of χ = ~10 mM (27). It seems clear that factors other than peptide flexibility, such as the conformational dynamics of the tandem SH2 construct (34) and the nature of the peptide residues flanking the Tyr(P) sites (35) and other peptide residues, must contribute to the structural constraints of the interaction.

Although still sufficient to enhance the binding of the tandem SH2 construct, the cooperativity of bisphosphorylated peptide recognition is deemed to be relatively weak, which has a number of implications for PI3K interactions with PDGF receptors in cells. Absent from experiments with receptor-derived peptides are the activities of the receptor tyrosine kinase and nonreceptor tyrosine kinases that associate with activated receptors. That is significant because p85 is tyrosine-phosphorylated in cells stimulated with PDGF, on a site that engages the N-SH2 domain (36, 37). Although it is presently unclear whether or not that interaction is intramolecular (which might lend further insights into the conformational dynamics of the p85 SH2 domains), what is clear is that the role of the interaction is to relieve the autoinhibition of PI3K catalytic activity. In our previous analysis of Shp2, which is regulated by its N-SH2 domain in a related fashion, it was shown that Shp2 phosphorylation and intramolecular N-SH2 binding gives rise to a receptor binding avidity that lies between two extremes; one of these is the case in which phosphorylation does not occur, and the other is the case where the N-SH2 is completely buffered from receptor binding (13). The modest value of χ for p85/receptor binding might represent a compromise between a need for selective recognition of activated PDGF receptors, as PI3K is recruited from the cytosol, and a need for displacement of N-SH2 from the receptor after p85 is phosphorylated.

If the above is true, then the implication is that the N-SH2 domain does not contribute to PDGF receptor binding in cells to the same extent as it does to binding of bisphosphorylated peptide in vitro. Indeed, it has been shown that removing the N-SH2 domain of p85 does not alter its binding to PDGF receptors, but intriguingly, neither does mutation of the phosphorylation site (36, 37), suggesting that the N-SH2 domain is dispensable for receptor binding. PI3K and PDGF receptor bind...
extraordinarily tightly (38), and PI3K signaling stimulated by PDGF is saturated at much lower concentrations than is PDGF receptor phosphorylation (39, 40), suggesting that interactions other than C-SH2 binding to the receptor are required to stabilize the complex. Our model calculations show that p85 dimerization, whether by SH3 domain/proline-rich sequence or N-SH2/phosphotyrosine interactions, could carry out this function, in a manner that renders the N-SH2 domain dispensable. In the context of PDGF receptor binding in cells, it is important to consider also the dimerization of PDGF receptors. This configuration might contribute parallel binding sites for the C-SH2 domains of two dimerized p85 molecules, such that the complex is less structurally constrained than in the case of binding to a single peptide or receptor molecule. Of course, interactions of the SH3 and proline-rich motifs with other molecules (31), not to mention those of the catalytic subunit with substrate and possibly other binding partners, could also contribute to the stability of PI3K recruitment in cells.
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