Symmetric informationally complete positive operator-valued measurement (SIC-POVM) is one important class of quantum measurement which is crucial for various quantum information processing tasks. SIC-POVMs have the advantage of providing an unbiased estimator for quantum states with the minimal number of outcomes needed for full tomography. We present an experimental approach on a photonic quantum walk which can be used to implement SIC-POVMs on a single-qubit. The projection measurements of single-photons’ positions correspond to elements of SIC-POVM on the polarization of single-photons.

A single qubit SIC-POVM corresponds to a set of projection operators associated with the vertices of a regular tetrahedron circumscribed by the Bloch sphere. The choice for the SIC-POVM is not unique since one can always rotate the Bloch sphere but we may choose the projectors corresponding to the set of vectors \(\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^4 \in \mathbb{C}^2\), which satisfy \(\langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle = 1/\sqrt{3}\) for \(i \neq j\). A POVM generated by the above vectors \(\{1/2 \xi_i \langle \xi_i \rangle\}_{i=1}^4\) is so called a SIC-POVM, and hence \(\sum_{i=1}^4 1/2 |\xi_i\rangle \langle \xi_i| = 1\) is satisfied. In \(\mathbb{C}^2\),

\[
\xi_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}\right), \xi_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \end{array}\right), \xi_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -\sqrt{2} \end{array}\right), \xi_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \lambda \sqrt{2} \end{array}\right) \tag{1}\]

is a SIC-POVM, where \(\lambda = e^{i2\pi/3} = (-1 + \sqrt{3}i)/2\).

This single qubit SIC-POVM can be realized by using a photonic quantum walk, where the information is encoded in the horizontal and vertical polarization of photons representing the quantum coin. A SIC-POVM on the coin state can be implemented by controlling the internal dynamics of the coin state. In our experiment the the internal dynamics of the coin is controlled by site-dependent coin flipping.

Firstly we find four states which are orthogonal to the states in Eq. (1) respectively and prepare the coin states in the four states initially. Thus the algorithm starts with four coin states as following:

\[
|\psi_1\rangle = |V\rangle, \\
|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\sqrt{2}|H\rangle - |V\rangle), \\
|\psi_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\sqrt{2}|H\rangle - \lambda |V\rangle), \\
|\psi_4\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\sqrt{2}|H\rangle - \lambda^* |V\rangle), \tag{2}\]

A single step of an initially localized discrete-time quantum walk can be considered as a projective von Neumann measurement of the coin qubit for the walker is found in the position \(x = i\) corresponding to the coin being in the certain state, i.e., the projection measurement of the walker’s position corresponds to SIC-POVM on the coin state.
The initial coin state in Eq. (2) can be prepared by the half-wave plate (HWP) or quarte-wave plate (QWP) right after the polarizing beam splitter (PBS) shown in Fig. 1(a). For example, the initial coin states $|ψ_1\rangle$ and $|ψ_2\rangle$ can be prepared by a HWP with angles set to $θ_H = 45^\circ$ and $-17.63^\circ$, and the other two initial coin states $|ψ_3\rangle$ and $|ψ_4\rangle$ can be prepared by setting angle of QWP to $θ_Q = -152.63^\circ$ and $117.37^\circ$, respectively. The single-qubit rotations realized by HWP and QWP are

\[
R_{\text{HWP}}(θ_H) = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos 2θ_H & \sin 2θ_H \\
\sin 2θ_H & \cos 2θ_H
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
R_{\text{QWP}}(θ_Q) = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos^2 θ_Q + i \sin^2 θ_Q & (1 - i) \sin θ_Q \cos θ_Q \\
(1 - i) \sin θ_Q \cos θ_Q & \sin^2 θ_Q + i \cos^2 θ_Q
\end{pmatrix},
\]

respectively, where $θ_H$ and $θ_Q$ are the angles between the optic axes of HWP and QWP and horizontal direction.

The quantum walk takes place on a one-dimensional lattice and starts in the position $x = 0$. The walker’s positions are represented by longitudinal spatial modes. The down-converted photons are steered into the optical modes of the linear-optical network formed by a series of birefringent calcite beam displacers (BDs) and wave plates. One step of the split-step quantum walk consists of two sub-steps. In the $i$th step, for the first sub-step site-dependent rotation on polarization of single photon $C_2(0) \in \text{SU}(2)$ in the position $x = 0$ is achieved by HWPs and QWPs with certain setting angles placed in the optical mode $x = 0$, and identity elsewhere. The subscript 1 denotes the index of sub-step, i.e. the first sub-step. Then a polarization-dependent position shift operation

\[
T = \sum_x (x + 1) \langle x | \otimes | H \rangle \langle H | + (x - 1) \langle x | \otimes | V \rangle \langle V | \]

is applied on the photons with $|H\rangle$ ($|V\rangle$) to the right (left) by one lattice site using a BD. This is followed by the second sub-step including site-dependent rotations $C_2(x)$ in the position $x = 1$ and $C_2(-1)$ in the position $x = -1$ and identity elsewhere, and finally another position shift translation $T$. The $i$th-step quantum walk is implemented by repeating applications of the step operator

\[
U_i = T [1] \langle 1 | \otimes C_2(1) + | -1 \rangle \langle -1 | \otimes C_2(-1) \\
+ \sum_{x \neq \pm 1} |x\rangle \langle x | \otimes T [0] \langle 0 | \otimes C_2(0) + \sum_{x \neq 0} |x\rangle \langle x | \otimes 1].
\]

In this scenario a single-qubit SIC-POVM can be realized via a three-step split-step quantum walk. For the first step, the site-dependent coin operations are chosen as

\[
C_2(0) = 1, C_2(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & -1
\end{pmatrix}, C_2(-1) = σ_x.
\]
The site-dependent coin operators for the second step are
\[ C_1^2(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, C_2^2(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 1 \\ 1 & -\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \]
\[ C_2^2(-1) = \sigma_x. \]  
(7)

Whereas, for the third step, we have
\[ C_1^3(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}} & e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}} \\ e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}} & e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}} \end{pmatrix}, C_2^3(1) = 1, C_2^3(-1) = \sigma_x. \]  
(8)

Here \( \sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) is one of the Pauli operators. The site-dependent coin rotations can be realized by HWP and QWP with specific angles (show in Table I) placed in certain modes.

After three steps, the states of the walker+coin system evolve to
\[ |\varphi_4(3)\rangle = U_3 U_2 U_1 |\varphi_1(0)\rangle, \]  
(9)

where \( |\varphi_1(0)\rangle = |0\rangle |\psi_1\rangle \) \((i = 1, 2, 3, 4)\) denotes the initial state of the walker+coin system.

\[ |\varphi_1(3)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (-|4\rangle - i|2\rangle + i|0\rangle) |H\rangle, \]
\[ |\varphi_2(3)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|6\rangle - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}|2\rangle + e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}|0\rangle) |H\rangle, \]
\[ |\varphi_3(3)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|6\rangle - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{6}}|4\rangle - |2\rangle) |H\rangle, \]
\[ |\varphi_4(4)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|6\rangle - e^{i\frac{\pi}{6}}|4\rangle - |0\rangle) |H\rangle. \]  
(10)

Compared to the initial states of the walker+coin system, it is obvious that the final state \( |\varphi_1(3)\rangle \) can only be found in the positions \( x = 0, 2, 4 \) and not in \( x = 6 \). The state \( |\varphi_2(3)\rangle \) can not be found in the position \( x = 4, |\varphi_3(3)\rangle \) in \( x = 0 \) and \( |\varphi_4(3)\rangle \) in \( x = 2 \). Thus we realize all the elements \( |\xi_i\rangle \langle \xi_i|/2 \) \((i = 1, 2, 3, 4)\) of a qubit SIC-POVM.

For the site-dependent coin flipping, the challenge is how to place the wave plate in the certain optical mode and not to influence the photons in the other modes. For example, in Fig. 1(b) for the second sub-step of the first step, the polarizations of photons in the modes \( x = \pm 1 \) are rotated by a HWP with setting angle \( \theta_H = 17.63^\circ \) and \( \theta_H = 45^\circ \) respectively and the photons in those two modes interfere in the mode \( x = 0 \) at the fourth BD. Because of the small separations between the neighboring modes, it is difficult to inset a HWP in the middle mode \( x = 1 \) and avoid the photons in the neighboring modes passing through it. In our experiment, we place a HWP with \( \theta_H = 17.63^\circ \) in both modes \( x = 1 \) and \( x = 3 \) following by a HWP with same angle in the mode \( x = 3 \) and a HWP with \( 45^\circ \) in the mode \( x = -1 \). Thus the photons in modes \( x = \pm 1 \) do not suffer an optical delay and interfere with each other with a high visibility. The polarizations of photons in the mode \( x = 3 \) are not changed after two HWP s with same angle. The photons in the mode \( x = 3 \) do not interfere with those in the other modes, though there is optical delay between them. Hence no optical compensate is needed.

The conditional position shift is implemented by a BD with length 28mm and clear aperture 10mm\( \times \)10mm which is cut so that vertically polarized photons are directly transmitted and horizontal photons move up a 2.7mm lateral displacement into a neighboring mode and interfere with the vertical photons in the same mode. BDs are placed in sequence. Based on the choices of the coin operation parameters shown in Table I, only two pairs of BDs, i.e. the first and second, and the third and fourth BDs form an interferometer, respectively. Thus these two pairs of BDs need to have their optical axes mutually aligned in order to ensure that beams split by one BD in the sequence yield maximum interference visibility after passing through wave plates and the next BD in the sequence. In our experiment, we attain interference visibility of 0.992 on average. Coincident detection of trigger and heralded photons at avalanche photodiodes (7ns time window) with dark count rate of \(< 100s^{-1} \) yields data for the quantum walk shown in Table II.

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
|P(0)| & |P(2)| & |P(4)| & |P(6)| & d \\
\psi_1 & 0.3246(37) & 0.3277(38) & 0.3327(38) & 0.0149(07) & 0.0149(33) \\
\psi_2 & 0.3398(38) & 0.3135(36) & 0.3345(11) & 0.3123(36) & 0.0401(32) \\
\psi_3 & 0.3035(10) & 0.3137(36) & 0.3342(38) & 0.3104(36) & 0.0425(32) \\
\psi_4 & 0.3158(36) & 0.0329(10) & 0.3419(38) & 0.3094(35) & 0.0411(32) \\
\end{array}
\]

TABLE II: The measured probability distribution of the walker \( P(x) \) and 1-norm distance from the theoretical predictions are shown corresponding to four different initial coin states. Error bars indicate the statistical uncertainty.

The realization of a qubit SIC-POVM via split-step quantum walk in detailed are shown in Fig. 1. The measured probability distributions of quantum walk after three steps are shown in Fig. 2. The probabilities are obtained by the normalizing coincidence counts on each mode to total for the respective step. The measured probability distributions of three-step quantum walk for the four initial coin states agree well with the theoretical predictions. Using the experimental distribution for the initial coin state \( |\psi_1\rangle \) as an example, the probability of the photons are measured in the mode \( x = 6 \) is 0.0149 \( \pm 0.0007 \) and very small compared to the probabilities of the photons being measured in the other modes, which ensures that one of the elements of a qubit SIC-POVM is realized successfully. In our experiment, the inaccuracy of angles of the wave plates and the unperfect interference visibility are the dominant source of errors. We also characterize the quality of the experimental quantum walk by its 1-norm distance \( \frac{1}{2} \sum_x |P_{\text{exp}}(x) - P_{\text{th}}(x)| \) shown in Table II. In our experiment the small distances \( d < 0.043 \) demonstrate...
FIG. 2: (Color online.) Experimental data of a qubit SIC-POVM via a photonic quantum walk. Measured probability distributions of the three-step quantum walk with the site-dependent coin rotations and four different initial coin states $|\psi_i\rangle$ with $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ in (a)-(d) respectively. The blue and red bars show the experimental data and theoretical predictions, respectively.

strong agreement between the measured distribution and theoretical prediction after three steps.

Therefore we experimentally prove that discrete-time quantum walks are capable of performing generalized measurements on a single qubit. We explicitly for the first time realize a photonic three-step split-step quantum walk with site-dependent coin flipping for a qubit SIC-POVM with single photons undergoing an interferometer network. The SIC-POVM is confirmed by direct measurement and found to be consistent with the ideal theoretical values at the level of the average distance.

We use a very simple experiment to show the meaning of the idea on implementation of a generalized measurement via a discrete-time quantum walk proposed in [7]. In a quantum walk, the position shifts of the walker depend on the coin state being measured. That is, a quantum walk is based on projection measurement which in the quantum walk scheme can be extended in the evolution time. Furthermore as shown in the above experiment, the projection measurement model can naturally be extended to an arbitrary generalized measurement without the extension of the Hilbert space. Moreover our quantum walk interferometer network can be extended to higher dimensions and the coin space can be extended to a larger Hilbert space expanded by higher-dimensional qudits. This technique can be used to realize a higher-dimensional qudit SIC-POVM which is one of the flagship measurements in quantum information processing.
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