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Abstract

Background: Suction drains are routinely used after modified radical mastectomy and are an important factor contributing to increased hospital stay as the patients are often discharged only after their removal. Amongst various factors that influence the amount of post-operative drainage, the vacuum sealing drainage dressing applied to the drain has been reported to be of great significance. While negative suction drainage dressing is expected to drain the collection and increase recurrence of infections, it may also prevent the leaking lymphatics from closing and lead to increased drainage from the wound. Against this background a prospective randomized clinical study was conducted to compare the amount and duration of drainage between vacuum sealing drainage dressing and negative suction drainage dressing in patients following modified radical mastectomy. The associated post-operative morbidity was also compared between the two groups.

Methods: 40 CNB (Core Needle Biopsy) proven cases of locally advanced breast cancer were randomized. (Using randomly ordered sealed envelopes, which were opened immediately before the closure of the wound) in to 20 patients with vacuum sealing drainage dressing (pressure = 350 g/m2) and 20 cases in negative suction drainage dressing groups in The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University Ward 6 Department of General Surgery. The two groups were comparable in respect of age, weight, technique of operation, extent of axillary dissection and drainage of serous fluid. Surgery was performed by the same surgical team comprising of five surgeons (two senior and three resident surgeons) using a standardized technique with electro cautery. External compression dressing was provided over the axilla for first 48 hrs and following that patients were encouraged to do active and passive shoulder exercises. The outcomes measured were post-operative morbidity and the length of hospital stay.

Statistical methods used: Descriptive studies were performed with SPSS version 10 and group characteristics were compared using student t-test.

Results: Vacuum sealing drainage dressing were removed earlier than the negative suction drainage dressing. There was a significant reduction in the total hospital stay in patients with vacuum sealing drainage dressing systems as compared to the negative suction drainage dressing method (p<0.032) without any added morbidity. By using vacuum sealing drainage dressing on old age women, we have found that there is reduced complication of respiratory distress and cardiovascular problems, therefore it maintains the hemodynamic stability. Continuous negative suction pressure creates a negative pressure environment within the wound cavity, which makes the inner walls of the wound become tightly adherent to each other. Thus, after the removal of the VSD dressings, we found the wound cavities to be completely closed and healing very rapidly.

Conclusions: Vacuum sealing drainage dressing provide an effective solution over negative suction drainage after modified radical mastectomy and reducing the hospital stay and the post-operative morbidity including post-operatives eroma complication.
Background
Suction drainage in the management of mastectomy patients was used for the first time in 1947, and has been found in various studies superior to other methods of fluid evacuation to minimize the dead space. The mechanism proposed is that the suction helps skin flaps to adhere to the chest wall and axilla sealing off all the leaking lymphatic. This reduces the incidence of post-operative seromas, hematoma formation and flap necrosis, which are recognized complications of modified radical mastectomy. When no post-operative suction drains were used the incidence of seromas was found to be unacceptably high in various studies. Prolonged drainage on the other hand, may increase the hospital stay and increase the risk of infection by allowing retrograde migration of bacteria. Indiscriminate or premature withdrawal of post-operative drains irrespective of the amount of fluid drained may be accompanied by an increase in the incidence of axillary seromas. If kept for longer periods it has been observed that drain itself might contribute to increased risk of infection in addition to the increased hospital stay resulting in wasteful utilization of the hospital resources. The amount of post-operative drainage is influenced by various factors like the clinical profile of the patient including the body mass index, extent of axillary lymph node dissection, number of lymph nodes dissected, use of electrocautery, co-morbid conditions and also the negative pressure on the suction drain. The amount of post-operative fluid drained has been found to be significantly influenced by the vacuum sealing on the suction drainage. While the negative suction drain is logically expected to drain the fluid, a vacuum sealing drain prevents the leaking of lymphatics by sealing them off while negative pressure drain leads to prolonged drainage thus increased hospital stay. The present prospective randomized clinical trial compared the post-operative wound drainage in patients with vacuum sealing drainage and those with negative suction drainage dressing system.

The study also compared the drain volume, average hospital stay and post-operative morbidity between vacuum sealing drainage dressing and negative sealing drainage dressing groups.

Methods
The study was conducted in The First Affiliated Hospital Of Soochow University (a tertiary care center) - Department Of surgery; over a period of nine months. 40 – CNB (Core Needle Biopsy) proven cases of locally advanced breast cancer were randomized (using randomly ordered sealed envelopes, which were opened immediately before the closure of the wound) 20 cases into vacuum sealing drainage dressing (pressure = 350 g/m2) group – (A) and 20 cases into Negative Suction Drainage Dressing and drainage bag group – (B). The two groups were comparable in respect of age, weight and type of operation i.e. modified radical mastectomy (MRM). Following complete routine and metastatic work up, all patients received three cycles of Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) using TCAF regimen (Trastuzumab, Cyclophosphamide, Adriamycin, 5-Fluorouracil) and underwent Patey's modified radical mastectomy after three weeks of the last cycle. Surgery was performed by the same surgical team comprising of five surgeons (two senior and three resident surgeons) using a standardized technique with electro cautery. Axillary dissection was done up to level- III in all the cases. The boundaries of axillary dissection were defined by superior limit as the posterolateral border of the Pectoralis major muscle and axillary vein, medial limit being clavipectoral fascia or Hallstead's ligament, lateral limit as the anterior border of lattisimusdorsi and the inferior limit being the angular vein joining the thoracodorsal vein. The long thoracic and thoracodorsal nerves were identified, dissected and preserved. Two silicone tube drains (12Fr) (one axillary and pectoral) were inserted in all the patients. All resected specimens were examined and the lymph nodes dissected, counted and assessed histo-pathologically for metastasis.
patient received intra-operative blood transfusion. Both the drains were connected to a single 600 ml suction bottle (Romovac -Romson). In group A (n = 20), drainage was performed using complete vacuum negative suction (350 g/m²) and in group B (n = 20) with normal drainage dressing. The pressure was also measured by attaching a manometer to the outlet of the drainage bottle. The two groups were comparable with respect to age, weight (body mass index), type of operation; indicating the success of randomization Table 1. The drain was emptied every 24 hours to reset suction at the respective pressures and to measure the daily drain output. External compression dressing was provided over the axilla for first 48 hrs and following that the patients were encouraged to do active and passive shoulder exercises. The outcomes measured were morbidity and the length of hospital stay. The total drain output was measured and recorded daily in both the groups, the drains were removed once the output was more than or equal to 30 ml in 24 hrs and the same patients were discharged within 4-5 days who had vacuum sealing drainage dressing and some patients were discharged in 8-9 days who had negative suction drainage dressing. The mean total drain output was measured for each group and compared. The mean hospital stay for both the groups was calculated and compared. The associated morbidity in the form of seroma formation, flap necrosis and wound infection during the post-operative period was recorded and compared for both the groups.

Table 1 Statistical methods used
Descriptive studies were performed with SPSS version 10 and group characteristics were compared using student t-test (Table - 2&3).

Results

1) Vacuum sealing drainage dressing were removed earlier than negative suction drainage dressing without any significant addition to the post-operative morbidity.
2) The use of vacuum sealing drainage dressing after modified radical mastectomy reduced the hospital stay significantly without any increase in the post-operative morbidity.
3) By using vacuum sealing drainage dressing on old age women, we have found that there is reduced complication of respiratory distress and cardiovascular problems; there by maintaining the hemodynamic stability.
4) Vacuum Sealing Drainage dressing has shown certain advantages compared to other surgical drainage methods, as the Vacuum Sealing Drainage dressing entirely fills the wound cavity, which helps to eliminate bacteria and residual toxic substances.
5) Vacuum sealing Drainage Dressing would gradually decrease the volume of exudates and alleviate infection and inflammatory reaction, thus effectively controlling sepsis.
6) Exudative material such as fibronectin were quickly and effectively removed, avoiding the formation of fibers in the lacunar wall, which prevents wound healing.
7) Continuous negative suction creates a negative pressure environment within the wound cavity, which makes the inner walls of the wound become adherent to each other. Thus, after the removal of the VSD dressings, we found the wound cavities to be completely closed and healing very rapidly.
8) Continuous negative suction may increase local blood flow, promote angiogenesis and growth of granulation tissue around the wound which facilitate wound healing.
Discussion

1) Seroma formation is the most frequently observed early complication after breast and axillary surgery. The use of closed suction drainage is a common practice that has been shown to reduce the incidence of seroma formation. These drains are generally removed once the lymph production falls to less than 35–50 ml/24 hours, a level generally reached between 3–17 days after surgery.

2) The length of post-operative axillary drainage is a major cause of morbidity after axillary dissection as the patients are usually discharged once the drains are removed.

3) The patients with suction drains in situ are normally managed in the hospital (although some authors advocate discharge with the drains in situ)

4) Migration of bacteria along these drains has also observed to increase the risk of infection if the drains stay in situ for a long time.

5) Early or premature removal however has been found to be associated with an unacceptably high incidence of seroma formation and in contrast removal until fluid discharge is acceptably low leads to a prolonged stay in the hospital, which has a bearing on the cost of surgical management of breast cancer.

6) Shortening of the hospital stay has been observed to be an effective way of reducing the cost of surgeries for breast cancer. However, axillary drains are the main obstacles in achieving it.

7) To reduce the hospital stay after MRM, early discharge with the drains in situ has been reported. But discharging patients with drains in situ has an inherent difficulty faced by patients in management of drains and there is higher incidence of wound infection.

8) The other disadvantages are discomfort for the patients with difficulties undressing or using the toilet. It may be feasible with patients of higher cultural and social standing, but not all the patients have the required background. In third world countries where the patients are poor, uneducated, coming from far and remote areas with limited medical facilities; there is an added difficulty in management of the drains away from the hospital. As most of our patients come from far flung rural areas with limited education, poor medical and communication facilities they were managed indoors until the drains were removed.

9) There are other solutions proposed for prevention or reduction of fluid accumulation and early discharge after axillary dissection e.g by Patrek et al, where several parallel drains are used. Suture obliteration of axillary space under skin flaps with sutures to the chest wall, approximation of the pectoralis major and the latissimus dorsi muscle in the form of axillary padding has been suggested by some authors.

10) The incidence of seroma formation was reduced but the length of drainage was not specified in these studies. Furthermore, suture approximation of the muscles may limit movement of the arm leading to shoulder dysfunction. Harada et al used fibrin glue in rats to occlude transected lymph channels and obliterate the subcutaneous cavity.

11) The association of seroma formation with large amounts of drainage before removal of the drain has already been established. In one study it was observed that when the amount of fluid drained before removal of the catheter was less than 250 ml in three days, no seromas developed and they concluded that it is safe to remove drains if the total amount of fluid drained during the
first two post-operative days is low. Yii et al reported that removal of drains after 48 hours did not result in seroma formation if the total amount of fluid drained before removal was less than 150 ml.

**Proposed factors contributing to the increased drainage and seroma formation**

Patrek et al examined 13 factors influencing fluid drainage. Only two (a large number of positive lymph nodes and previous biopsy) predicted greater drainage.

1. **Body mass index**: A significant linear relation exists between BMI and increased seroma formation was reported by Boonman et al.
2. **Technique**: Use of electrocautery has been reported to be associated with increased incidence of seroma formation as compared to cold knife. It has also been reported that tissue ligation around the axillary vein; rather than mere transection with knife or diathermy may reduce the amount of post-operative discharge, this technique was followed in the presented study.
3. **Drains themselves encourage drainage by stimulating tissue reactions or by suction**
4. **Early shoulder exercises** have been implicated but were not observed to be a factor in the present study although early mobilization of the shoulder did not increase fluid discharge in various studies. But it was reported to be an additional factor leading to increased drainage after axillary dissection.
5. **The negative suction applied may prevent the lymphatics from closing leading to continuous leakage and discharge**.
6. **Extent of axillary dissection**: More seromas were seen when more lymph nodes were dissected from axilla. The higher lymph node yield may be an indirect measure of more extensive dissection performed. The drainage may also reflect the damage to the lymph vessels and therefore the number of lymph nodes dissected may have a bearing on the amount of drainage. In our study also, it was observed that patients with higher lymph node yield had a higher volume and duration of drainage although it was not found to be significantly different in both the groups because they were matched in all aspects except the negative suction pressure of the drainage.

**Negative suction and the drainage**

1) It is an accepted fact that negative suction prevents seroma collection and helps in the adherence of the walls of the axilla thus reducing the dead space and allowing the lymphatics to close.
2) Negative suction pressure generated by the drain can maintain lymph drainage by a negative pressure gradient. There are studies to suggest that continuous negative suction pressure creates a negative pressure environment within the wound cavity, which makes the inner walls of the wound become tightly adherent to each other. Thus after the removal of the VSD dressings, we found the wound cavities to be completely closed and healing very rapidly and reduction in seroma formation.
3) To strike a comparison between vacuum sealing drainage and negative suction drainage, VSD was used in the present study to achieve a shorter hospital stay without any increase in the rate of post-operative seroma formation.
4) The external compression dressings in the first 48 hours helped in the adherence of the flaps and reduction of dead space without compromising on the shoulder mobility. This was found to effectively reduce the Hospital stay and also did not increase the post-operative morbidity as compared to normal drainage dressing.
Conclusions

1) Vacuum sealing drainage dressings were removed earlier than the negative suction drainage dressing. There was a significant reduction in the total hospital stay in patients with vacuum sealing drainage dressing as compared to the negative suction drainage dressing method (p<0.032) without any added morbidity.

2) By using vacuum sealing drainage dressing on old age women, we have found that there is reduced complication of respiratory distress and cardiovascular problems, therefore it maintains the hemodynamic stability.

3) Continuous negative suction pressure creates a negative pressure environment within the wound cavity, which makes the inner walls of the wound become tightly adherent to each other. Thus, after the removal of the VSD dressings, we found the wound cavities to be completely closed and healing very rapidly.

4) Vacuum Sealing Drainage dressing has shown certain advantages compared to other surgical drainage method as the Vacuum Sealing Drainage dressing entirely fill the wound cavity which help to eliminate bacteria and residual toxic substances.

5) Vacuum sealing Drainage Dressing would gradually decrease the volume of exudates and alleviate infection and inflammatory reaction, thus effectively controlling sepsis.

6) Continuous negative pressure suction may increase local blood flow, promote angiogenesis and the growth of granulation tissue around the wound which facilitate wound healing.
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