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Abstract

Background: Thoracic SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated tumors (SMARCA4-UT) are aggressive neoplasms. Data linking BAF alterations with tumor microenvironment (TME) and efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) are contradictory. The TME of SMARCA4-UT and their response to ICI are unknown.

Materials and Methods: Patients diagnosed with SMARCA4-UT in our institution were included. Immunostainings for tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS), immune cell markers, and checkpoints were assessed. Validation was performed using an independent transcriptome dataset including SMARCA4-UT, non–small cell lung cancers (NSCLC) with/without SMARCA4 mutations, and unclassified thoracic sarcomas (UTS).

Results: Nine patients were identified. All samples but one showed no TLS, consistent with an immune desert TME phenotype. Four patients received ICI as part of their treatment, but the only one who responded, had a tumor with a TLS and immune-rich TME. Unsupervised clustering of the validation cohort using immune cell scores identified 2 clusters associated with cell ontogeny and immunity (cluster 1 enriched for NSCLC independently of SMARCA4 status (n = 9/10; P = .001); cluster 2 enriched for SMARCA4-UT (n = 11/12; P = .005) and UTS (n = 5/5; P = .0005). SMARCA4 loss-of-function experiments revealed interferon-induced upregulation of CXCL9 and PD-L1 expression in the NSCLC cell line with no effect on the thoracic fibroblast cell line.

Conclusion: SMARCA4-UT mainly have an immune desert TME with limited efficacy to ICI. TME of SMARCA4-driven tumors varies according to the cell of origin questioning the interplay between BAF alterations, cell ontogeny and immunity.
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Implications for Practice

Thoracic SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated tumors harbor mostly an immune desert TME but, as in soft-tissue sarcomas, immune-rich tumors characterized by TLS do exist and respond to ICI. The TME of SMARCA4-driven tumors varies according to the cell of origin highlighting the need to explore the interplay between alterations of BAF complexes, cell ontogeny and immunity.
Background

Thoracic SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated tumors (SMARCA4-UT) are a rare type of neoplasm, characterized by inactivating SMARCA4 mutations leading to protein loss. SMARCA4-encoded protein, BRG1 is one of the ATPase subunits part of the BRG1/BRM-associated factors (BAF) chromatin remodeling complex, also known as the mammalian SWItch/sucrose Non-Fermenting (mSWI/SNF) ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complex. The BAF complexes, composed of multiple subunits, regulate transcription and recent studies revealed their critical roles as tumor suppressors. Gene profiling analyses revealed that SMARCA4-UT are related to other BAF complex-deficient tumors (ie, SMARCB1-inactivated malignant rhabdoid tumors (MRT) and SMARCA4-mutated small-cell carcinomas of the ovary, hypercalcemic type (SCCOHT)), but differ from SMARCA4-deficient non–small cell lung cancers (NSCLC) and not otherwise specified (NOS) NSCLC. Histologically SMARCA4-UT are poorly differentiated tumors with rhabdoid or epithelioid features and harbor a specific “immunohistochemical signature”. Indeed, in the fifth edition of World Health Organization classification of Thoracic Tumors, the community of pathologists recognized this newly described entity but changed its name from SMARCA4-deficient thoracic sarcomas to SMARCA4-UT. Clinically, the majority of SMARCA4-UT shared an aggressive phenotype despite a low tumor mutational burden (TMB). The first-line setting is mainly anthracycline-based chemotherapy. However, to our knowledge, the immune landscape of SMARCA4-UT remains unknown and there is no series describing patient’s response to ICI.

Herein, we report the first comprehensive analysis on SMARCA4-UT immune infiltrate, based on a retrospective cohort of 9 cases from a single institution and validate our findings in an independent cohort. We also describe the response of 4 patients to ICI and performed a temporal comprehensive genomic as well as immune tumor profiling of an exceptional responder with complete and lasting response to ICI. Finally, CXCL9 chemokine and PD-L1 expressions were assessed in NSCLC and thoracic fibroblast cell lines, treated with/without interferon gamma (IFNG).

Materials and Methods

Patients and Samples

Patients of Strasbourg University hospital were identified prospectively during the period of 2016 to 2019. The main clinicopathological data and outcomes were recorded. Sample collection for further research analysis was approved by an Ethical Committee (“Comité de Protection des Personnes Est IV”), Strasbourg, France and the study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Immunohistochemistry and Immunofluorescence

Immunostainings carried out on diagnostic purposes were performed by the local expert sarcoma pathologist, according to routine practice (Supplementary Table S1). Immunostainings for immune cell markers (CD3, CD8, CD20, and CD68) and immune checkpoints (PD1, PD-L1, and TIM3) were performed and evaluated according to the methodology detailed in the Supplementary Appendix and in the list of antibodies (Supplementary Table S2).

Tumors were considered TLS positive when a CD3 aggregate was found juxtaposing a CD20 aggregate, as previously described. TLS with surface above 60,000 μm², containing at least 700 cells were considered as mature when a network of follicular dendritic cells was detected in B cell follicle on haematoxylin and eosin slides. In lymph node metastases, TLS were only taken into account if the sample was invaded by more than 85% of tumor cells and without any residual lymph node tissue. PD-L1 was quantified using a score from 0 to 2 for both immune and tumor cells; 0 when no staining or less than 5% of positive cells, 1 between 5% and 50%, and 2 over 50% of positive cells.

For 7 patients, studied samples were either from the primary tumor (n = 2) or from distant metastasis (n = 5); for 2
patients, samples were available in both the primary tumor and matched distant metastasis.

**Comprehensive Genomic Profiling**
A FoundationOne Heme test was performed for 2 distinct samples in patient no. 3. This available commercial genomic profiling test from Foundation Medicine sequences DNA and RNA to detect cancer-related genomic alterations in more than 400 genes and around 250 gene fusions. Microsatellite status and TMB were also assessed.

**Gene Expression Analysis**
Publicly available fastq files of gene expression profiling from SMARCA4-UT (n = 12), SMARCA4-deficient NSCLC (n = 4), NOS NSCLC (n = 10), and unclassified thoracic sarcoma (UTS; n = 5) were downloaded from Sequence Read Archive accession SRP052896. Briefly, raw reads were aligned using STAR v2.5.3a with the “--quantMode TranscriptomeSAM” argument and by providing the GFF file from ENSEMBL v75, gene expression level was then calculated using RSEM v1.3.3. Gene expression data were processed using R v3.6.3. The TME composition of each sample was inferred using the microenvironment cell populations (MCP)-counter v1.2.0, providing abundance score for 8 immune populations and 2 stromal populations (fibroblast and endothelial cells) based on analysis of specific transcriptomic markers expressed by each cell population.16 We removed the fibroblast signature since sarcomas highly expressed this signature because of their mesenchymal origin, in order to better see differences in the other signatures. Samples were clustered based on their MCP-counter analysis using an unsupervised hierarchical clustering on the metagene Z-score for the 8 immune populations, with complete linkage using the hclust function and visualized as a heatmap with the pheatmap package v1.0.12.

Gene signatures for the functional orientation were computed as the geometric mean expression of the following genes: immunosuppression (CXCL12, TGFBI, TGFBI3, and LGALS1), T-cell activation (CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL16, IFNG, and IL15), T-cell survival (CD70 and CD27), regulatory T cells (FOXP3 and TNFRSF18), major histocompatibility complex class I (HLA-A, HLA-B, HLA-C, HLA-E, HLA-F, HLA-G, and B2M), myeloid cell chemotaxis (CCL2), tertiary lymphoid structures (CXCL13). Expression of genes related to immune checkpoint were also computed (PD1, PD-L1, PD-L2, CTLA4, TIM3, and LAG3).

**In vitro Analyses**
Two commercially available cell lines were purchased from ATCC: the CCD_19Lu derived from human thoracic fibroblasts and the Calu-1 derived from NSCLC pleural metastasis. CCD_19Lu cells were grown in EAGLE medium supplemented with 10% FCS and gentamicine, and Calu-1 in MEM alpha medium with ribonucleosides and deoxyribonucleosides supplemented with 10% FCS and gentamicine. In the setting of this study, each cell line was transfected transiently with siRNAs either targeting SMARCA4 or non-targeting control for 6 hours, followed by either addition of DMSO as a control or human recombinant IFNG (20 ng/mL, Peprotech 500-P32). Cells were collected after 24 hours for RNA and protein extractions.

RNA isolation was performed according to standard procedure (NucleoSpin RNA Plus Kit). RT-qPCR was carried out with SYBR Green I (Roche) and SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and monitored using a LightCycler 480 (Roche). The mean of ACTB gene expression levels was used to normalize the results. Primer sequences for each cDNA were designed using Primer3 Software and are available upon request.

Whole cell extracts were prepared by the standard freeze-thaw technique using LSDB 500 buffer (500 mM KCl, 25 mM Tris at pH 7.9, 10% glycerol (v/v), 0.05% NP-40 (v/v), 16 mM DTT, and protease inhibitor cocktail). Cell lysates were subjected to SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and proteins were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies in 5% dry fat milk and 0.01% Tween-20 overnight at 4 °C. The membrane was then incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch) for 1 hour at room temperature, and visualized using the ECL detection system (GE Healthcare). The antibodies used are as follows: SMARCA4 (ab110641, Abcam), VCL (V4505, Sigma-Aldrich), and PD-L1 (13684, Cell Signaling).

**Statistical Analysis**
Expression of analysis profiles was performed using Fischer’s exact test for categorical variable using Prism v7.0. Overall survival was analyzed with Kaplan-Meier estimates. All tests were 2-sided with a significative P-value defined <.05.

**Results**
**Population Characteristics**
We studied the immune landscape of 11 SMARCA4-UT samples (total of 9 patients), including 4 primary thoracic tumors (2 biopsies and 2 surgical specimens) and 7 distant metastases: bone (n = 1), lymph node (n = 4), brain (n = 1), and jaw (n = 1). For 2 patients (no. 3 and no. 5), tumor specimens were available from paired primary and distant metastasis, and at different timepoints for patient no. 3. Patient and sample characteristics are described in Table 1. The median age was 60 years (range, 39-73 years) and most of them were male (67%) with a poor performance status. Primary tumor locations were well balanced with 5 and 4 tumors located in the mediastinum and lungs, respectively. Median tumor size was 8.5 cm (range, 4.2-16.9). All patients displayed metastatic disease at diagnosis with 78% and 45% of them with lymph node and bone metastasis, respectively. As first-line treatment, 5 patients (55%) received chemotherapy and 2 patients received ICI (one received nivolumab alone and the other one the association nivolumab-ipilimumab), whereas 2 received only best supportive care. There was no statistical difference between median progression-free survival of patients treated by chemotherapy (2 months) versus ICI (0.5 months) (P = .12). The median OS was 1.8 months (range, 0.2-not reached) (Fig. 1). Eight patients died of the evolution of their disease and only one is still alive without evidence of disease.

**Characterization of SMARCA4-UT Immune Infiltrate**
Pathological features were faithful to the known morphological description and “immunohistochemical signature” (Fig. 2a). Analyzed samples to assess the tumor immune infiltrate by immunostainings were those available at the diagnosis, prioritizing the primary tumor sample when possible (Fig. 2b). Eight over the 9 patients had an immune-low
### Table 1. Clinical characteristics of a cohort of patients with a thoracic SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated tumor.

| Pt | Age (years) | Sex | Primary tumor site (size) | Comorbidity | Presenting symptoms (OMS) | Specimen type (specimen site) | Specimen type | Treatment | Outcome | Time to death (months) |
|----|-------------|-----|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------|---------|----------------------|
| 1  | 63          | M   | Lung (7.5 cm)             | Smoking     | DGC, pain from bone mets (2) | Core biopsy (bone mets)       | Liver, AG, bone | CT no response on 2 regimens, TT no response | DOD        | 7                    |
| 2  | 73          | F   | Mediastinum (10 cm)       | Diabetes    | DGC, chest pain, dysphagia (3) | Core biopsy (primary mediastinal tumor) | Lung, bone, LN | BSC       | DOD      | 1                    |
| 3  | 39          | M   | Lung (NA)                 | Smoking     | DGC, jaw mass (2) | Surgical specimen (jaw mets) + surgical specimen (primary lung tumor) | Jaw | Surgery of jaw mets, CT no response on 2 regimens, ICI response, surgical resection of residual lung mass, adjuvant ICI | Alive     | NA                   |
| 4  | 54          | M   | Lung (NA)                 | Smoking     | Neurologic disorders (2) | Surgical specimen (brain mets) | Brain | CT no response | DOD      | 1                    |
| 5  | 40          | M   | Mediastinum (4.8 cm)      | Smoking     | Dyspnea, chest pain (2) | Core biopsy (LN mets) + surgical specimen (primary mediastinal tumor) | LN | ICI no response | DOD      | 1.2                  |
| 6  | 56          | M   | Mediastinum (9.5 cm)      | Smoking, CLL | DGC, dyspnea (2) | Core biopsy (primary mediastinal tumor) | Lung, LN | CT no response | DOD      | 1.5                  |
| 7  | 60          | F   | Mediastinum (16.9 cm)     | Smoking     | DGC, dyspnea (3) | Core biopsy (LN mets) | Bone, LN, AG | BSC       | DOD      | 0.2                  |
| 8  | 66          | F   | Mediastinum (NA)          | Smoking     | DGC, cervical mass (3) | Surgical specimen (LN mets) | Bone, LN, AG | ICI no response | DOD      | 2.2                  |
| 9  | 69          | M   | Lung (4.2 cm)             | Smoking, Lung cancer | Hemoptysis (2) | Fine needle aspiration (LN mets) | LN | CT no response, ICI no response | DOD      | 6.5                  |

Abbreviations: AG, adrenal glands; BSC, best supportive care; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; CT, chemotherapy; DGC, deterioration of general condition; DOD, dead of disease; F, female; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; LN, lymph nodes; M, male; mets, metastasis; NA, not available; Sync., synchronous; TT, targeted therapy; yrs, years.
profile tumor with low CD3-positive T-cells density [median at 33.3 per mm²; range (1.1-187.3)], low CD8-positive T-cells density [median at 15.6 per mm²; range (0.6-142.7)], and low CD20-positive B-cells density [median at 0.3 per mm²; range (0-10.9)]. In these 8 tumors, CD68-positive macrophage-cells were the most represented cell type [median at 427.4 per mm²; range (140.4-1014.3)] and 5 out of them displayed TIM3 positivity in tumor cells (>100 cells per mm²). These 8 tumors were also lowly infiltrated by efficient T cells, defined by CD8-positive PD1-negative cells [median at 15.3 per mm²; range (0.1-137.7) and none contained any TLS; only one tumor had a weak PD-L1 expression on tumor cells (no. 7) (Fig. 2c, d). Conversely, one tumor (no. 3) was characterized by high immune cell densities (CD3+ at 654.2 per mm²; CD8+ at 244.4 per mm², almost all PD1 negative; CD20+ at 37.3 per mm²), presence of TLS aggregates, and weak PD-L1 expression on both tumor cells and macrophages.

Analysis of the Immune Infiltrate in an Independent Cohort

To validate our observation, we analyzed an independent transcriptome dataset. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering using immune scores for different immune cell types identified 2 clusters namely C1 and C2; while C1 was characterized by immune rich TME, C2 was immune desert (Fig. 3a). Notably, C1 was enriched for NSCLC independently from SMARCA4 status (n = 9/10; 90%) (P = .001), while C2 was enriched for SMARCA4-UT (n = 11/12; 91.7%) (P = .005) and UTS (n = 5/5; 100%) (P = .0005). Similarly, gene signatures associated with immunosuppression, T-cell activation and survival, regulatory T cells, major histocompatibility complex class I, and myeloid cell chemotaxis were downregulated in SMARCA4-UT and UTS relative to NSCLC (Fig. 3b). Similarly, we observed lower lymphoid-structures-associated B-cell-specific chemokine CXCL13 in C2-enriched sarcomas cluster. Finally, we observed lower expression of immune checkpoints genes in the majority of C2-enriched sarcomas cluster relative to C1-NSCLC enriched cluster (Fig. 3c).

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier overall survival (OS) curves for all 9 patients.

Figure 2. Pathologic features and immune infiltrate of SMARCA4-UT. (a) Hematoxylin and eosin staining showing tumor cells with epithelioid/rhabdoid features (×40). Immunohistochemical profile (×40): BRG1 and BRM, both diffusely lost in tumor cells with internal control in inflammatory cells; SALL4, focally expressed in tumor cells; CD34 and SOX2, diffusely expressed in tumor cells. (b) Low immune infiltrate on immunohistochemical profile: very few CD3 and CD8-positive T cells, whereas the CD68-positive macrophage cells are the most represented cell type in the TME with some TIM3-positive but PD-L1-negative tumor cells. Immunofluorescent assays: global visualization for CD8 and PD1 staining with autofluorescence from red blood cells in green, with a zoom in the very few double-positive cells in the white square. (c) Histogram representing immune cells densities (cells/mm²) shows low densities of immune infiltrate on primary tumor (p) or metastasis (m) by immune cells type (arrow indicates individual cell density for sample no. 3m from patient with the outlier response to ICI). (d) Histogram representing immune cells densities (cells/mm²) in tumor cores by specimen: primary tumors on the left and metastasis on the right. Abbreviations: SMARCA4-UT, SMARCA4-deficient thoracic sarcoma; TME, tumor microenvironment.
Patient’s Response to Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors and Immune Infiltration Correlate

Among our patients, 4 received ICI as one of their anticancer treatment. Their characteristics and outcomes are detailed in Fig. 4. Two of them (no. 5 and no. 8) died quickly within 10 weeks after the diagnosis and had ICI as their only treatment (Fig. 4a). The third one (no. 9) died within 6 months and received chemotherapy as first line and ICI as second line. Those 3 patients had immune-low tumor profile (Fig. 4b). For the patient no. 5 matched distant metastasis was also available and, in contrast with the primary tumor, weak PD-L1 expression (Fig. 4c) and mature TLS were found in the lymph node metastasis (Fig. 4d).

The only alive patient of the cohort was patient no. 3, a 40-year-old man who was referred for the onset of a rapidly growing mass of the lower jawbone in the setting of weight loss (Fig. 5a, b). After initial surgery of the jaw metastasis and the failure of 2 lines of chemotherapy, the patient showed rapid and partial long-term response following combination of ipilimumab and nivolumab, followed by nivolumab maintenance and surgical resection of lung residual tumor. Resection was complete leading to a complete remission status, and nivolumab was kept as maintenance treatment for almost 2 years after the initial diagnosis. Nowadays, while being on follow-up, patient is fully active and able to carry on all pre-disease performance without restriction. Regarding his tumor’s immune profile, the first tumor specimen presented a TLS aggregate even although it was not a mature one, whereas no TLS nor aggregate were observed in the second tumor specimen after several months on ICI treatment (Fig. 5c-e). Whereas CD3-positive cells density was similar in both specimens, CD20-positive cells density was higher in the specimen collected before any systemic anticancer treatment than in the residual one collected after the different treatments. We thus investigated double-positive (CD8+PD1+) cells, which showed low density in the jaw specimen, but had a tenfold increase in the residual lung tumor. In addition, PD1-positive but CD8-negative cells density was also significantly higher in the residual lung tumor compared with the jaw lesion. Puzzlingly, PD-L1 intensity staining was higher in the residual tumor. Overall, the immune infiltrate in the sample collected after ICI showed clear signs of exhaustion.

As this case was an outlier, we performed a comprehensive genomic profiling on the 2 samples collected before and after ICI. On the first sample, SMARCA4 Q183* mutation was found along with HRAS (G13V), TP53 (G245V), and BLM (D107fs*3) mutations. Tumor was not considered as microsatellite instable and TMB profile was considered as high (29 mutations per megabase). On the second sample, no additional somatic mutation was observed. However, we observed JAK1 and JUN amplification, suggesting a role of the copy number variation in genes related to IFNG pathway in resistance and immune exhaustion.

Figure 3. SMARCA4-UT exhibits strongly different TMEs than NOS NSCLC regardless of SMARCA4 mutation status. This figure refers to the Le Loarer et al cohort (n = 31). (a) Unsupervised clustering of samples was performed based on the metagene Z-score for the included populations of MCP-counter. (b) Expression of gene signatures related to the functional orientation of the immune TME. (c) Expression of genes related to immune checkpoints. Abbreviations: MCP, microenvironment cell population; NK cells, natural killer cells; NOS NSCLC, not other specified non–small cell lung cancer; SMARCA4-UT, SMARCA4-deficient thoracic sarcoma; TME, tumor microenvironment; UTS: undifferentiated thoracic sarcoma.
Figure 4. Sub-population treated by immune checkpoint inhibitor (n = 4). (a) Swimming plot of the received treatment: patient no. 3: L1: doxorubicin/ifosfamide; L2: actinomycin D/dacarbazine/cisplatin; L3: ipilimumab/nivolumab; L4 nivolumab; patient no. 5: L1: ipilimumab/nivolumab; patient no. 8: L1: nivolumab; patient no. 9: L1: carboplatin/paclitaxel; L2 nivolumab. (b) Immune cells densities (cells/mm²) in tumor cores by specimen. (c) PD-L1 staining on primary tumor (p) and metastasis (m) of patient no. 5. (d) Representation of mature TLS in the lymph node metastasis of patient no. 5 with: hematoxylin and eosin stain; IHC CD20 pink stain for B cells (red arrow) and CD3 green for T cells (black arrow); IHC CD68-positive cells for macrophages; very few PD-L1-positive cells (orange arrow); absence of TIM3-positive cells; immunofluorescent with CD8 (red) and PD1 (green) stain.

Abbreviations: BSC, best supportive care; CT, chemotherapy; DOD: dead of the disease; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; IHC: immunohistochemical.

Figure 5. Focus on patient no. 3 with the outlier response to ICI. (a) Timeline of his medical history reporting the evolution of his weight and the SOM on computed tomography (CT) scans. (b) Images features of the evolution of the main target lesions: I: Cervical MRI-enhanced gadolinium T1-weighted axial sections of the bulky jaw metastasis; II: Thoracic CT-scan axial section showing the initial lesion in the right upper pulmonary lobe in September 2017; III: massive progression of the lesion at the beginning of the first line of chemotherapy in November 2017; IV: progressive lesion at the beginning of the ICI combination with a superior vena cava syndrome in February 2018; V: positron emission tomography-scan frontal sections showing partial response of the lesion after 5 cycles of combination of ipilimumab with nivolumab and 10 cycles of maintenance with nivolumab in September 2018, with a hypermetabolism at the periphery of the right apical solid-cystic pulmonary mass predominantly apical (SUV max 17.6). (c) PD-L1 IHC (×40) and (d) TLS presence before (1) and after ICI (2). Before ICI, one aggregate CD20 (IHC pink stain)-CD3 (IHC green stain)-positive, no longer seen after ICI (in yellow-brown, hemosiderin deposit). (e) Comparison of cells densities (cells/mm²) between tissues before (dark gray) and after (light gray) ICI.

Abbreviations: ADC, actinomycin D/dacarbazine/cisplatin; AI, doxorubicin/ifosfamide; C, cycle; CT, computed tomography; IHC: immunohistochemical; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; L, line; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SOM, sum of diameters of target lesions.
In Vitro Analyses of knockdown of SMARCA4 in Cell Lines

To investigate whether TME changes observed are linked to cell ontogeny, we checked in vitro if SMARCA4 loss of function could induce gene expression changes of CXCL9 chemokine and PD-L1 in NSCLC and thoracic fibroblast cell lines, treated with/without IFNG. Strikingly, we observed a significant increase of CXCL9 expression levels in NSCLC cell line following SMARCA4 knockdown with ($P < .05$) and without ($P = .05$) IFNG stimulations, while no effect was observed in the lung fibroblast cell line (Fig. 6a, b). In addition, SMARCA4 knockdown induced an upregulation of PD-L1 expression in NSCLC cell line, but only with a significant increase in the cells without IFNG stimulation ($P < .001$), with no effect in the lung fibroblast cell line (Fig. 6c).

Discussion

To our knowledge, our study is the first one to report a comprehensive analysis of the immune infiltrate in SMARCA4-UT, by analyzing the immune cell infiltrates, the presence of TLS and PD-L1 expression in tumor and macrophage cells. Our study showed that SMARCA4-UT are mainly immune-desert tumors, differing from NSCLC but similar to most STS subtypes. This might explain limited efficacy of ICI in this setting, although we did observe one responder in our cohort.

Regardless of the tumor types, immune cell infiltrates, TLS, PD-L1 expression in tumor cells or immune infiltrating cells, TMB, IFN signature and DNA mismatch-repair deficiency status have been shown to represent potential biomarkers of response to ICI in several cancer subtypes. In our cohort, SMARCA4-UT without TLS-positive tumor did not respond to ICI and had a very poor OS. Among them, one patient died of local progression of his thoracic TLS-negative tumor in less than 2 months despite mature TLS in his lymph node metastasis. The outcome of this patient raises questions about the heterogeneity of the TLS status between the different tumor sites and the relevance of the evaluation of predictive factors of response to treatment at a metastatic site, while the local progression of the primary tumor is the main prognosis factor. The only surviving patient is the one who responded dramatically to ICI and had a TLS aggregate in his primary tumor. Sample from the surgery of the residual tumor after ICI showed the loss of TLS, reinforcing the correlation between TLS and response to ICI. This loss was never previously reported as a secondary resistance mechanism but might explain the clone resistant’s immune infiltrate. With this loss, immune cells could not be educated any more to recognize new tumor antigens which could thereby lead to their exhaustion. Our observation is consistent with the previous report that STS showing a class E immune-high subgroup characterized by the presence of TLS have a high response rate to ICI regardless of their histologic subtypes. The results of PEMBROSARC, the first clinical trial investigating the efficacy of ICI in TLS-positive STS, were recently presented. Briefly, TLS-positive STS were found in 48 of the 240 patients screened and among them 35 were included and 30 were eligible for efficacy. While no patient had a complete response in the TLS-positive STS, 8 patients had a partial response and 5 a stable disease. With a 6-month non-progression rate of 40% in TLS-positive STS while it was only of 4.2% in all comers, this study corroborated that TLS status is an efficient approach to tailor ICI in STS patients.

In our cohort, in addition to having TLS, the only high immune infiltrate tumor also had a high TMB which might be another explanation to the outlier response to ICI. Similarly

![Figure 6](https://academic.oup.com/oncolo/article/27/6/501/6547700)

**Figure 6.** In vitro analyses on the impact of SMARCA4 loss on the response to interferon gamma treatment in 2 different cell lines (Calu-1: human lung carcinoma; CCD_19Lu: human lung fibroblasts). PD-L1 and CXCL9 chemokine relative expression in Calu-1 cell line (a) and in CCD_19Lu cell line (b). (c) PD-L1 protein expression according to SMARCA4 deficiency status and interferon treatment in the 2 cell lines. Abbreviations: DMSO, dimethylsulfoxid; IFNG, interferon gamma; ns, no significate; VCL, vinculin; $^{*}P < .05$, $^{**}P < .001$. 


to the change in the TLS status between samples, a JAK1 amplification appeared on the lung residual tumor. Intriguingly, JAK1 loss-of-function mutations or deletions have been previously described as a mechanism of ICI resistance. JAK1/2 loss of function leads to loss of IFNG signaling, preventing PD-L1 expression and thus making ICI futile. In our case, we could hypothesize that the JAK1 amplification might be responsible for the increased PD-L1 expression and contributed to immune cells exhaustion.

Interestingly, despite previous promising results in different cancer cohorts suggesting that BAF-deficient tumors might be more sensitive to ICI with improved clinical outcomes, our conflicting results among others 22-24,30 enforced the hypothesis that wider genomic contexts than just BAF deficiency and cell's ontogeny account for the efficacy of ICI. Indeed, in our cohort, efficacy of ICI was limited and restricted to a tumor with specific immune TME, in contrast to previous published data. The immune infiltrate does not seem to be dependent on SMARCA4-deficiency itself. Indeed, in vitro analyses reinforced the hypothesis than cell ontogeny may play a central role on the effect of SMARCA4 loss of function. Calu-1 cell line was chosen as a NSCLC cell line, whereas the human thoracic fibroblasts cell line CCD_19Lu had to replace the non-existing SMARCA4-UT cell line. These experiments tend to show that SMARCA4 loss upregulated PD-L1 expression in the NSCLC cell line, whereas there was no difference of its expression in thoracic fibroblasts. Even after a strong induction of the IFN pathway through IFNG treatment, PD-L1 expression tends to only be slightly downregulated by SMARCA4 loss in fibroblasts. CXCL9 expression was also assessed since CXCL9 has been described as one of the chemokine reflecting the most IFN pathway activation and has also been suggested as a predictor of ICI response. Similarly to PD-L1 expression, we did find an upregulated CXCL9 expression in the NSCLC cell line with SMARCA4 knockdown with/without IFNG stimulation, whereas there was no difference seen in the thoracic fibroblast cell line. Though the underlying mechanisms are not yet understood, SMARCA4-dependent activation of IFN signaling did differ from one cell line to the other. Moreover, in our work, immune deconvolution using an independent transcriptome dataset demonstrated a distinct immune profile between NOS NSCLC and sarcomas regardless of the SMARCA4 mutational status. Another example is SCCOHT, previously described as immune-high tumors as opposed to SMARCA4-UT. Like other mutations or deficiencies, tumor cell of origin may change the driver mutation pathogenesis and clinical outcomes.

As already mentioned, in the latest edition of WHO classification of thoracic tumors, the pathology community chose not to use anymore the term “sarcoma” to describe this entity, instead the term SMARCA4-UT was preferred to better reflect their possible epithelial histogenesis. In a useful way our study highlighted SMARCA4-UT similarities with other subtypes of thoracic sarcomas, but also their distinct immune profile from SMARCA4-deficient NSCLC. Therefore, these 2 tumor subtypes appear to have different characteristics, that should encourage specific research into the clinical implications of this distinction.

It is important to mention herein that our study has several limitations including the low number of cases explored, mainly due to the extreme rarity of the disease. Among the potential biomarkers of response to ICI, immune infiltrates, TLS, and PD-L1 expression were studied for all samples. However, the genomic comprehensive analysis, allowing to assess TMB and DNA mismatch-repair deficiency status, was only performed on the samples of the responder.

**Conclusion**

Overall, our data demonstrate that SMARCA4-UT are mainly immune desert tumors similarly to most STS subtypes with limited efficacy to ICI. The detailed analysis of the tumor landscape of the long-term responder highlights the relevance of TLS as predictive markers to ICI efficacy in SMARCA4-UT as in STS. Finally, our data suggest that TME of SMARCA4-driven tumors varies according to the cell of origin. Further studies are needed to understand the interplay between alterations of BAF complexes, cell ontogeny and immunity.
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