Almost periodic stochastic Beverton-Holt difference equation with higher delays and with competition between overlapping generations
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1 Introduction

Hereditary systems (or systems with delays or after-effects) are widely used to model processes in biology. Stochastic difference equations of order greater than one have received less studies about them, and they are imperative in application where the state (i.e. the size of a population) after k steps depends on the previous l + 1 states (l ≥ 1). A very important aspect of the qualitative study of the solutions of stochastic difference equations is their almost periodicity.

For a study of almost periodic (or almost automorphic) sequences we refer the reader to (Bezandry and Diagana [2], Bezandry et al. [3], Corduneanu [4], Diagana [5], Diagana et al. [6], Han and Hong [8], Hong and Nunez [9]) and references therein. Almost periodicity is also of importance in the study of stochastic processes.

In this paper, we investigate the solutions of some system of stochastic Beverton-Holt equation with higher delays and with competition between overlapping generations given by

\[ X_{t+1} = \gamma_t X_t + f(t, X_{t-\tau}, X_{t-\tau+1}, \ldots, X_{t-1}, X_t), \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

where \( \gamma_t \in (0, 1) \) is the survival rate for each \( t \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), \( \tau \) is a fixed positive integer, and \( f : \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{t+1} \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) is the recruitment function given by

\[ f(t, X_{t-\tau}, X_{t-\tau+1}, \ldots, X_{t-1}, X_t) = \frac{(1 - \gamma_t)\mu K_t X_t}{(1 - \gamma_t)K_t + (\mu - 1 + \gamma_t) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{t+\tau} \beta_i X_{t-i+1} \right)}, \]

with \( K_t > 0 \) being carrying capacity, \( \beta_i \)'s are positive real numbers, and \( \mu > 1 \) is the intrinsic growth rate.

We also assume that \( K_t \) and \( \gamma_t \)'s are random and that the \( \gamma_t \)'s are independent and independent of \( X_0 \). This assumption together with Equation(1.1) imply that the sequence \( \{\gamma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \) is independent of the sequence \( \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \).
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The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall a basic theory of almost periodic random sequences on \(\mathbb{Z}_+\). In Section 3, we apply the techniques developed in Section 2 to find some sufficient conditions for the existence of almost periodic solutions to stochastic Beverton-Holt difference equation with higher delays and with competition between overlapping generations.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we establish a basic theory for almost periodic random sequences. To facilitate our task, we first introduce the notations needed in the sequel.

Let \((\mathbb{B}, \| \cdot \|)\) be a Banach space and let \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\) be a complete probability space. Throughout the rest of the paper, \(\mathbb{Z}_+\) denotes the set of all nonnegative integers. Define \(L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B})\) to be the space of all \(\mathbb{B}\)-valued random variables \(V\) such that

\[
E\|V\| := \left( \int_{\Omega} \|V(\omega)\| d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \right) < \infty.
\]

(2.1)

It is then routine to check that \(L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B})\) is a Banach space when it is equipped with its natural norm \(\| \cdot \|_1\) defined by, \(\|V\|_1 := E\|V\|\) for each \(V \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B})\).

Let \(X = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}\) be a sequence of \(\mathbb{B}\)-valued random variables satisfying \(E\|X_t\| < \infty\) for each \(t \in \mathbb{Z}_+\). Thus, interchangeably we can, and do, speak of such a sequence as a function, which goes from \(\mathbb{Z}_+\) into \(L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B})\).

This setting requires the following preliminary definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** An \(L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B})\)-valued random sequence \(X = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}\) is said to be Bohr almost periodic in mean if for each \(\varepsilon > 0\) there exists \(N_0(\varepsilon) > 0\) such that among any \(N_0\) consecutive integers there exists at least an integer \(p > 0\) for which

\[
E\|X_{t+p} - X_t\| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall \ t \in \mathbb{Z}_+.
\]

An integer \(p > 0\) with the above-mentioned property is called an \(\varepsilon\)-almost period for \(X\). The collection of all \(\mathbb{B}\)-valued random sequences \(X = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}\) which are Bohr almost periodic in mean is then denoted by \(AP(\mathbb{Z}_+; L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}))\).

The proofs of the following propositions are straightforward.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \(X = \{X_t, \ t \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}\) be an \(L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{B})\)-valued almost periodic random sequence. Then, the sequence defined by \(Y_t := X_{t-r}\) for all \(t \geq r\), for some fixed \(r \in \mathbb{Z}_+\), is almost periodic in mean.

**Definition 2.3.** An \(L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B})\)-valued random sequence \(X = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}\) is called mean Bochner almost periodic if for every sequence \(\{m_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subset \mathbb{Z}_+\) there exists a subsequence \(\{m'_{k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}\) such that \(\{X_{t+m_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}\) converges (in the mean) uniformly in \(t \in \mathbb{Z}_+\).

Following along the same arguments as in the proof of [Diagana et al. [6] Theorem 2A, p. 241], one can show that those two notions of almost periodicity coincide.

**Theorem 2.4.** An \(L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B})\)-valued random sequence \(X = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}\) is Bochner almost periodic in mean if and only if it is Bohr almost periodic in mean.

An important and straightforward consequence of Theorem 2.4 is the next corollary, which pays a key role in the proof of our main result

**Corollary 2.5.** If \(X_1 = \{X^1_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, X_2 = \{X^2_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, \ldots, X_N = \{X^N_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}\) are \(N\) random sequences, which belong to \(AP(\mathbb{Z}_+; L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{B}))\), then for each \(\varepsilon > 0\) there exists \(N_0(\varepsilon) > 0\) such that among any \(N_0(\varepsilon)\) consecutive
Deﬁnition 2.8. A $\mathbb{B}$-valued random sequence $X = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is said to be almost periodic in probability if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\eta > 0$ there exists $N_0(\varepsilon, \eta) > 0$ such that among any $N_0$ consecutive integers there exists at least one integer $p > 0$ for which

$$\mathbb{P}\{ \omega \in \Omega : \|X_{t+p}(\omega) - X_t(\omega)\| > \varepsilon \} < \eta, \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}_+. $$

Theorem 2.7. If $X$ is almost periodic in mean, then it is almost periodic in probability and there also exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}\|X_t\| \leq M$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Conversely, if $X$ is almost periodic in probability and the sequence $\{\|X_t\|, t \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is uniformly integrable, then $X$ is almost periodic in mean.

Let $k = \{k(i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ and denote $T_kX_t(\omega) := \lim_{i \to \infty} X_{t+k(i)}(\omega)$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, if it exists.

Deﬁnition 2.8. A $\mathbb{B}$-valued random sequence $X = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ satisﬁes Bochner’s almost sure uniform double sequence criterion if, for every pair of sequences $(k_i)$ and $(l_i)$, there exists a measurable subset $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ with $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_1) = 1$ and there exist subsequences $k = (k_i) \subset (k_i)$ and $l = (l_i) \subset (l_i)$ respectively, with the same indexes (independent of $\omega$) such that, for every $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$T_kT_lX_t(\omega) = T_{k+l}X_t(\omega), \forall \omega \in \Omega_1.$$

(In this case, $\Omega_1$ depends on the pair of sequences $(k_i)$ and $(l_i)$.)

Theorem 2.9. [1] The following properties of $X$ are equivalent:

(i) $X$ satisﬁes Bochner’s almost sure uniform double sequence criterion.

(ii) $X$ is almost periodic in probability.

The proof of the theorem can be seen in Bedouhene et al. [1] for instance.

Theorem 2.10. [1] If $X$ satisﬁes Bochner’s almost sure uniform double sequence criterion and the sequence $\{\|X_t\|, t \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is uniformly integrable, then $X$ is almost periodic in mean.

Let $(\mathbb{B}_1, \| \cdot \|_1)$ and $(\mathbb{B}_2, \| \cdot \|_2)$ be Banach spaces and let $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}_1)$ and $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}_2)$ be their corresponding $L^1$-spaces, respectively.

Deﬁnition 2.11. A function $F: \mathbb{Z}_+ \times L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}_1) \to L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}_2)$, $(t, U) \mapsto F(t, U)$ is said to be almost periodic in mean in $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ uniformly in $U \in \mathbb{K}$ where $\mathbb{K} \subset L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}_2)$ is a compact if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $l(\varepsilon, \mathbb{K})$ such that among any $l$ consecutive integers there exists at least one integer $p$ with the following property

$$\mathbb{E}\|F(t+p, U) - F(t, U)\| < \varepsilon$$

for each random variable $U \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}_1)$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Here again, the number $p$ will be called an $\varepsilon$-translation of $F$ and the set of all $\varepsilon$-translations of $F$ is denoted by $\mathcal{E}(\varepsilon, F, \mathbb{K})$.

Let $UB(\mathbb{Z}_+; L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}))$ denote the collection of all uniformly bounded $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B})$-valued random sequences $X = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$. It is then easy to check that the space $UB(\mathbb{Z}_+; L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}))$ is a Banach space when it is equipped with the norm:

$$\|X\|_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{E}\|X_t\|.$$

Lemma 2.12. $AP(\mathbb{Z}_+; L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B})) \subset UB(\mathbb{Z}_+; L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}))$ is a closed space.
In view of the above, the space $AP(\mathbb{Z}_+; L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}))$ of almost periodic random sequences equipped with the sup norm $\| \cdot \|_\infty$ is also a Banach space.

We now state the following composition result.

**Theorem 2.13.** [2] Let $F : \mathbb{Z}_+ \times L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}_1) \mapsto L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}_2)$, $(t, U) \mapsto F(t, U)$ be almost periodic in mean in $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ uniformly in $U \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}_1)$. If in addition, $F$ is Lipschitz in $U \in \mathbb{K}$, where $\mathbb{K} \subset L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}_1)$ is compact. (That is, there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\|F(t, U) - F(t, V)\|_2 \leq M \mathbb{E}\|U - V\|_1 \quad \forall U, V \in L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}_1), \ t \in \mathbb{Z}_+
$$

then for any almost periodic random sequence $X_i = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$, then the $L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{B}_1)$-valued random sequence $Y_t = F(t, X_t)$ is almost periodic in mean.

## 3 Main Result

In the rest of the paper we let $(\mathbb{R}_+, \| \cdot \|)$, $(\mathbb{R}^k, \| \cdot \|_k)$ be the field of nonnegative real numbers equipped with its absolute value, the $k$-dimensional space of real numbers equipped with the Euclidean norm, which is defined by $\| (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \|_k = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \ldots + x_k^2}$, for all $(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, respectively.

We begin this section with the following crucial lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Consider the function $f : \mathbb{R}^{r+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by

$$
f(t, X_{t-r}, X_{t-r+1}, \ldots, X_{t-1}, X_t) = \frac{(1 - \gamma_t)\mu K_t X_t}{(1 - \gamma_t)(\mu - 1 + \gamma_t) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \beta_i X_{t-i+1}\right)},
$$

where both $(K_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ and $(\gamma_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ belong to $AP(\mathbb{Z}_+; L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}_+))$ and $\mu > 1$. Then

(i) $f$ is Lipschitz in the following sense:

$$
\mathbb{E}\|f(t, (U_{t-r}, U_{t-r+1}, \ldots, U_{t-1}, U_t)) - f(t, (V_{t-r}, V_{t-r+1}, \ldots, V_{t-1}, V_t))\| \leq L \mathbb{E}\|U - V\|_{r+1}
$$

where

$$
L = \mu \sqrt{r + 1} \left[ 2 + \frac{1}{\beta_1} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \beta_i^2} \right]
$$

and

$$
\|U - V\|_{r+1} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \left| U_{t-i+1} - V_{t-i+1} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2},
$$

with $r$ being the time delay;

(ii) If $X$ is almost periodic in mean, then the sequence

$$\{f(t, (X_{t-r}, X_{t-r+1}, \ldots, X_{t-1}, X_t))\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$$

is almost periodic in mean.

**Proof.** To prove (i), set $A_t = (1 - \gamma_t)K_t$, $B_t = \mu - 1 + \gamma_t$, and $C_t(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \beta_i X_{t-i+1}$. Then $f$ can be written as follows:

$$
f(t, (X_{t-r}, X_{t-r+1}, \ldots, X_{t-1}, X_t)) = \frac{A_t X_t}{A_t + B_t C_t(X)}
$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

We then have
Thus, Corollary 2.5. We can then choose

\[ \mu A_t \left| \frac{X_t}{A_t + B_t C_t(X)} - \frac{Y_t}{A_t + B_t C_t(Y)} \right| \]

\[ = \mu A_t \left| \frac{A_t[X_t - Y_t] + B_t[X_t C_t(Y) - Y_t C_t(X)]}{[A_t + B_t C_t(X)][A_t + B_t C_t(Y)]} \right| \]

\[ \leq \mu A_t \left| \frac{A_t[X_t - Y_t] + B_t|X_t|}{[A_t + B_t C_t(X)][A_t + B_t C_t(Y)]} \right| + \mu A_t \left| \frac{B_t C_t(X) [X_t - Y_t]}{[A_t + B_t C_t(X)][A_t + B_t C_t(Y)]} \right| \]

\[ \leq \mu \left\{ \frac{(A_t)^2}{[A_t + B_t C_t(X)][A_t + B_t C_t(Y)]} \left| X_t - Y_t \right| + \frac{A_t B_t X_t}{[A_t + B_t C_t(X)][A_t + B_t C_t(Y)]} \right\} \]

\[ \times \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \beta_i |Y_{t-i+1} - X_{t-i+1}| \right\} + \frac{A_t B_t C_t(X) [X_t - Y_t]}{[A_t + B_t C_t(X)][A_t + B_t C_t(Y)]} \]

But

\[ \frac{(A_t)^2}{[A_t + B_t C_t(X)][A_t + B_t C_t(Y)]} \leq 1, \]

\[ \frac{A_t B_t X_t}{[A_t + B_t C_t(X)][A_t + B_t C_t(Y)]} \leq \frac{1}{\beta_1}, \]

\[ \frac{A_t B_t C_t(X) [X_t - Y_t]}{[A_t + B_t C_t(X)][A_t + B_t C_t(Y)]} \leq 1. \]

Thus,

\[ \mathbf{E}\left| f(t, X_{t-r}, X_{t-r+1}, \ldots, X_{t-1}, X_t) - f(t, Y_{t-r}, Y_{t-r+1}, \ldots, Y_{t-1}, Y_t) \right| \]

\[ \leq \mu \left\{ 2 |X_t - Y_t| + \frac{1}{\beta_1} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \beta_i |Y_{t-i+1} - X_{t-i+1}| \right\} \right\}. \]

Note that

\[ \|X_j - Y_j\| \leq \sqrt{r+1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} |X_{t-i+1} - Y_{t-i+1}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \text{ for any } 1 \leq j \leq r+1 \]

and

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \beta_i |Y_{t-i+1} - X_{t-i+1}| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \beta_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} |X_{t-i+1} - Y_{t-i+1}|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \]

Thus,

\[ \mathbf{E}\left| f(t, X_{t-r}, X_{t-r+1}, \ldots, X_{t-1}, X_t) - f(t, Y_{t-r}, Y_{t-r+1}, \ldots, Y_{t-1}, Y_t) \right| \]

\[ \leq L \mathbf{E}\| (X_{t-r}, X_{t-r+1}, \ldots, X_{t-1}, X_t) - (Y_{t-r}, Y_{t-r+1}, \ldots, Y_{t-1}, Y_t) \|_{r+1}. \]

To prove (ii), we use the fact that \( \gamma_t \), \( K_n \), and \( X_t \) are almost periodic in mean and make use of Corollary 2.5. We can then choose \( M > 0 \) such that \( \mathbf{E}|K_t| < M \) for all \( t \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists a positive integer \( N_0(\varepsilon) \) consecutive integers, there exists an integer \( p > 0 \), a common \( \varepsilon \)-almost periodic for \( \{\gamma_t\} \), \( \{K_n\} \), and \( \{X_t\} \) such that

\[ \mathbf{E}|\gamma_{t+p} - \gamma_t| \leq \frac{\varepsilon p}{4p^2M}, \quad \mathbf{E}|K_{t+p} - K_t| \leq \frac{\varepsilon p}{8p^2}, \quad \mathbf{E}|X_{t+p} - X_t| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{np} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \]

and \( \mathbf{E}\beta_i X_{t+p-i} - \beta_i X_{t-i} \leq \frac{\varepsilon p}{4(r+1)M} \) for each \( i = 1, \ldots, r+1 \) and for all \( t \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \)
Using the same notations as before, we now evaluate:

\[
|f(t + p, X_{t+p-\tau}, X_{t+p-\tau+1}, \ldots, X_{t+p}, X_{t+p}) - f(t, X_{t-\tau}, X_{t-\tau+1}, \ldots, X_{t-\tau-1}, X_{t})|,
\]

\[
= \mu \left| \frac{A_{t+p}X_{t+p}}{A_{t+p} + B_{t+p}C_{t+p}(X)} - \frac{A_t}{A_t + B_tC_t(X)} \right|
\]

\[
\leq \mu \left| \frac{A_{t+p}X_{t+p}}{A_{t+p} + B_{t+p}C_{t+p}(X)} - \frac{A_{t+p}X_{t}}{A_{t+p} + B_{t+p}C_{t+p}(X)} \right| + \mu \left| \frac{A_{t+p}X_{t}}{A_{t+p} + B_{t+p}C_{t+p}(X)} - \frac{A_{t+p}X_{t}}{A_{t+p} + B_{t+p}C_{t+p}(X)} \right|
\]

\[
\leq \mu \left| \frac{A_{t+p}X_{t+p} - X_t}{A_{t+p} + B_{t+p}C_{t+p}(X)} \right| + \mu A_{t+p}X_{t} \left| \frac{1}{A_{t+p} + B_{t+p}C_{t+p}(X)} - \frac{1}{A_{t+p} + B_{t+p}C_{t+p}(X)} \right|
\]

\[
\leq \mu \left| \frac{A_{t+p}B_{t+p}X_{t+p}(X) - C_t(X)}{(A_{t+p} + B_{t+p}C_t(X))(A_{t+p} + B_{t+p}C_t(X))} \right| + \mu \left| X_tC_t(X)(A_{t+p}B_t - A_tB_{t+p}) \right|
\]

\[
|X_{t+p} - X_t| \leq \mu \left| \frac{X_t(C_{t+p}(X) - C_t(X))}{C_t(X)} \right| + \mu \left| \frac{X_t[A_{t+p}B_t - A_tB_{t+p}]}{B_{t+p}B_tC_t(X)} \right|
\]

But

\[C_t(X) \geq \beta_t X_t.\]

Thus,

\[
|f(t + p, X_{t+p-\tau}, X_{t+p-\tau+1}, \ldots, X_{t+p}, X_{t+p}) - f(t, X_{t-\tau}, X_{t-\tau+1}, \ldots, X_{t-\tau-1}, X_{t})|.
\]

\[
\leq \mu \left\{ |X_{t+p} - X_t| + \frac{1}{\beta_t} |C_{t+p}(X) - C_t(X)| + \frac{1}{\beta_t} \left| \frac{A_{t+p}B_{t+p}}{B_{t+p}} - \frac{A_t}{B_t} \right| \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \mu \left\{ |X_{t+p} - X_t| + \frac{1}{\beta_t} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau+1} \beta_t |X_{t+p-i+1} - X_{t-i+1}| + \frac{1}{\beta_t} \left| \frac{A_{t+p}B_{t+p}}{B_{t+p}} - \frac{A_t}{B_t} \right| \right\},
\]

which in turn implies that

\[
E|f(t + p, X_{t+p-\tau}, X_{t+p-\tau+1}, \ldots, X_{t+p}, X_{t+p}) - f(t, X_{t-\tau}, X_{t-\tau+1}, \ldots, X_{t-\tau-1}, X_{t})|.
\]

\[
\leq \mu \left\{ E|X_{t+p} - X_t| + \frac{1}{\beta_t} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau+1} \beta_t E|X_{t+p-i+1} - X_{t-i+1}| + \frac{1}{\beta_t} \left| \frac{E A_{t+p}B_{t+p}}{B_{t+p}} - \frac{E A_t}{B_t} \right| \right\},
\]

We now evaluate carefully \( E \frac{A_{t+p}B_{t+p}}{B_{t+p}} - \frac{A_t}{B_t} \) using the hypothesis of independence of random sequence \( \{\gamma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \).

\[
E \left| \frac{A_{t+p}B_{t+p}}{B_{t+p}} - \frac{A_t}{B_t} \right| = E \left| \frac{(1 - \gamma_{t+p})K_{t+p}}{\mu - 1 + \gamma_{t+p}} - \frac{(1 - \gamma_t)K_t}{\mu - 1 + \gamma_t} \right|
\]

\[
= E \left[ \frac{1}{(\mu - 1 + \gamma_{t+p})(\mu - 1 + \gamma_t)} \right] (|\mu - 1|K_{t+p}K_t - |\mu - 1|K_{t+p}K_t - (\mu - 1)(|\gamma_{t+p}K_{t+p} - \gamma_tK_t| + |\gamma_{t+p}K_{t+p} - \gamma_tK_t|))
\]

\[
= E \left[ \frac{1}{(\mu - 1 + \gamma_{t+p})(\mu - 1 + \gamma_t)} \right] (|\mu - 1|K_{t+p}K_t - |\mu - 1|K_{t+p}K_t - (\mu - 1)(|\gamma_{t+p}K_{t+p} - \gamma_tK_t| + |\gamma_{t+p}K_{t+p} - \gamma_tK_t|))
\]

\[
= E \left[ \frac{1}{(\mu - 1 + \gamma_{t+p})(\mu - 1 + \gamma_t)} \right] (|\mu - 1|K_{t+p}K_t - |\mu - 1|K_{t+p}K_t - (\mu - 1)(|\gamma_{t+p}K_{t+p} - \gamma_tK_t| + |\gamma_{t+p}K_{t+p} - \gamma_tK_t|))
\]
By Lemma 3.1(ii), if 

\[-(\mu - 1)K_{t+p} [\gamma_{t+p} - \gamma_t] + \gamma_t [K_{t+p} - K_t] + \gamma_t [K_{t+p} - K_t] - [\gamma_{t+p} - \gamma_t]\]

\[\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\mu - 1}{(\mu - 1 + \gamma_{t+p})(\mu - 1 + \gamma_t)} [K_{t+p} - K_t] - \frac{\gamma_t}{(\mu - 1 + \gamma_{t+p})(\mu - 1 + \gamma_t)} [K_{t+p} - K_t] \\
- \frac{\mu - 1}{(\mu - 1 + \gamma_{t+p})(\mu - 1 + \gamma_t)} K_{t+p} [\gamma_{t+p} - \gamma_t] + \frac{(\mu - 1)\gamma_t}{(\mu - 1 + \gamma_{t+p})(\mu - 1 + \gamma_t)} K_{t+p} - K_t \right]
\end{align*}\]

\[\leq \frac{1}{\mu - 1} \mathbb{E} |K_{t+p} - K_t| + \frac{1}{\mu - 1} \mathbb{E} |K_{t+p} - K_t| + \frac{1}{\mu - 1} \mathbb{E} |K_{t+p} - K_t| \leq \frac{2\mu}{(\mu - 1)^2} M \cdot \mathbb{E} |\gamma_{t+p} - \gamma_t|.
\]

By combining, we obtain

\[\mathbb{E} [f(t + p, X_{t+p-\tau}, X_{t+p-\tau+1}, \ldots, X_{t+p-1}, X_{t+p}) - f(t, X_{t-\tau}, X_{t-\tau+1}, \ldots, X_{t-1}, X_t)].\]

\[\leq \mu \left\{ \mathbb{E} |X_{t+p} - X_t| + \frac{1}{\beta_1} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \beta_i \mathbb{E} |X_{t+p-i+1} - X_{t-i+1}| + \frac{1}{\beta_1} \left\{ \frac{2\mu}{\mu - 1} \mathbb{E} |K_{t+p} - K_t| + \frac{\mu}{(\mu - 1)^2} M \cdot \mathbb{E} |\gamma_{t+p} - \gamma_t| \right\} \right\}
\]

\[\leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon + \varepsilon + \varepsilon = \varepsilon.
\]

We now state our main result.

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that both random sequences \(\{K_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}\) and \(\{\gamma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}\) belong to \(AP(\mathbb{Z}_+; L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^+))\). Then, Eq.(1.1) has a unique almost periodic solution whenever

\[\sup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E} |\gamma_t| < \frac{1}{L + 1}\]

where \(L\) satisfies (3.1).

We now prove Theorem 3.2.

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.1(ii), if \(\varphi \in AP(\mathbb{Z}_+, L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^+))\), then \(t \mapsto f(t, \varphi(t-\tau), \varphi(t-\tau+1), \ldots, \varphi(t-1), \varphi(t))\) belongs to \(AP(\mathbb{Z}_+, L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^+))\).

Define the nonlinear operator \(\Gamma\) by setting

\[\Gamma : AP(\mathbb{Z}_+, L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^+)) \rightarrow AP(\mathbb{Z}_+, L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^+)),\]

where

\[(\Gamma \varphi)(t) := \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \prod_{\sigma<r} \gamma_s \left( f(s, \varphi(s-\tau), \varphi(s-\tau+1), \ldots, \varphi(s-1), \varphi(s)) \right).\]

It is clear that \(\Gamma\) is well defined. Now, let \(\varphi, \psi \in AP(\mathbb{Z}_+, L^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^+))\) having the same property as \(X\) defined in Eq.(1.1). Since \(\gamma_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}_+\) are independent and independent of \(\varphi\) and \(\psi\), one can easily see that

\[\mathbb{E} |\Gamma \varphi(t) - \Gamma \psi(t)| \leq \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \left\{ \prod_{\sigma<r} \mathbb{E} |\gamma_s| \right\} \times
\]

\[\mathbb{E} \left[ f(r, \psi_{r-\tau}, \psi_{r-\tau+1}, \ldots, \psi_r) - f(r, \psi_{r-\tau}, \psi_{r-\tau+1}, \ldots, \psi_r) \right],\]

\[\leq \varepsilon.
\]
and hence letting $\beta = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}[\gamma_n]$ and using Lemma 3.1(i) we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E} \left| \Gamma \varphi(t) - \Gamma \psi(t) \right| \leq \left( \frac{L\beta}{1 - \beta} \right) \times$$

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E} \| (\varphi_{t-r}, \varphi_{t-r+1}, \ldots, \varphi_{t-1}, \varphi_t) - (\psi_{t-r}, \psi_{t-r+1}, \ldots, \psi_{t-1}, \psi_t) \|_{r+1}.$$ 

Hence,

$$\| \Gamma \varphi - \Gamma \psi \|_\infty \leq \frac{L\beta}{1 - \beta} \| \varphi - \psi \|_\infty.$$

Obviously, $\Gamma$ is a contraction whenever $\frac{L\beta}{1 - \beta} < 1$. In that event, using the Banach fixed point theorem it easily follows that $\Gamma$ has a unique fixed point, $X$, which obviously is the unique mean almost periodic solution of Eq.(1.1).
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