Genetic diversity and phylogeographic structure of Bactrian camels shown by mitochondrial sequence variations
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The Bactrian camel includes various domestic (Camelus bactrianus) and wild (Camelus ferus) breeds that are important for transportation and for their nutritional value. However, there is a lack of extensive information on their genetic diversity and phylogeographic structure. Here, we studied these parameters by examining an 809-bp mtDNA fragment from 113 individuals, representing 11 domestic breeds, one wild breed and two hybrid individuals. We found 15 different haplotypes, and the phylogenetic analysis suggests that domestic and wild Bactrian camels have two distinct lineages. The analysis of molecular variance placed most of the genetic variance (90.14%, \(P < 0.01\)) between wild and domestic camel lineages, suggesting that domestic and wild Bactrian camel do not have the same maternal origin. The analysis of domestic Bactrian camels from different geographical locations found there was no significant genetic divergence in China, Russia and Mongolia. This suggests a strong gene flow due to wide movement of domestic Bactrian camels.
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Blood or ear samples from 92 domestic Bactrian camels (Camelus bactrianus) representing 11 domestic breeds were collected from China, Mongolia and Russia (Fig. 1, Table S1). Ear samples were collected from 19 wild Bactrian camels (Camelus ferus) and two hybrid Bactrian camel samples in the Gobi-Altai province of Mongolia (Fig. 1).

Total genomic DNA was extracted from ear samples using a standard phenol–chloroform extraction method and from blood samples using a QIAmp DNA kit (Qiagen). Detailed information on the breeds, geographic regions and sample sizes is given in Table 1. In all, 809 bp of mtDNA (nucleotides 15120–15928; accession no. NC_009628.2) from 113 individuals were sequenced in both directions with two overlapping primer pairs (Silbermayr et al. 2010) on a MegaBACE 1000 sequencing system. The amplicons were aligned to reference sequence NC_009628.2 using CODONCODE ALIGNER (version 3.0.2).

Sequences of the partial mtDNA were aligned using MEGA (version 6.0.6) (Kumar et al. 2001). To investigate the comparison research, 23 sequences of mtDNA fragment—six sequences from wild Bactrian camels (EF507800, EF507801, FJ792684, FJ792685, NC_009629 and EF212038) and 17 sequences from domestic Bactrian camels (EF507798, EF507799, EF212037, FJ792680–FJ792683, KF640722–KF640727, KF640729–KF640731 and NC_009628)—were also aligned using the MEGA program.

The parameters of the mitochondrial polymorphisms were computed using DNASP (version 5.1.0) (Librado & Rozas 2009), including nucleotide diversity (\( \pi \)), the number of haplotypes, haplotype diversity (Hd) and its standard error (SE). A median-joining network (Bandelt et al. 1999) was drawn using the program NETWORK (version 4.6.1) to investigate possible relationships among the haplotypes. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the neighbour-joining method (Saitou & Nei 1987). The analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) (ExcoYer et al. 1992) was computed using integrated software for population genetics data analysis (ARLEQUIN, version 3.5.2) (Excoffier et al. 2007).

The analysis of 809-bp mtDNA sequences in 113 Bactrian camels yielded 25 variable positions defining 15 different haplotypes (Table S2). These haplotypes included six previously described haplotypes (H1–H3, H6, H14 and H15; Silbermayr et al. 2010) and nine new ones (H4, H5, H7–H13; sequences deposited under accession nos. KX377598–KX377606). The largest haplotype group (H1) consisted of 41 individuals, and four haplotype groups (H1, H2, H3 and H14) included more than 10 individuals.

In the domestic Bactrian camel populations, the number of haplotypes detected in each domestic breed varied from two to six, and the haplotype diversity values ranged from 0.9000 ± 0.1610 in the Zhungeer to 0.5110 ± 0.1640 in the Alashan (Table 1). The level of nucleotide diversity (\( \pi = 0.00106 \)) and haplotype diversity (Hd = 0.429 ± 0.089) of all the wild Bactrian camels were both lower than that of domestic Bactrian camels (\( \pi = 0.00207, \text{Hd} = 0.739 ± 0.035; \) Table S2). The nucleotide diversity (\( \pi = 0.0072 \)) and haplotype diversity (Hd = 0.808 ± 0.025) of all the Bactrian camels in this study were calculated.

A variation was found in mtDNA among camels from China, Russia and Mongolia. The level of nucleotide diversity and haplotype diversity within Mongolia (\( \pi = 0.0026, \text{Hd} = 0.796 ± 0.048 \)) were slightly higher than that of camels from China (\( \pi = 0.0019, \text{Hd} = 0.719 ± 0.048 \)) and Russia (\( \pi = 0.0017, \text{Hd} = 0.722 ± 0.159 \)).

Furthermore, we combined previously published sequences of Bactrian camel mtDNA fragment with 23 sequences in our data. The analysis showed 25 haplotypes in all 136 sequences, and the levels of nucleotide and haplotype diversity were 0.00897 and 0.972 ± 0.013, respectively.

A neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree was constructed to investigate the relationship between the 15 Bactrian camel mtDNA haplotypes (Fig. 2). The result clearly showed that all Bactrian camels were divided into two distinct lineages.
A and B. Lineage A included 13 haplotypes from all domestic individuals, and Lineage B included two haplotypes from all wild and hybrid individuals. Furthermore, we combined previously published sequences from six wild Bactrian camels and 17 domestic Bactrian camels with our data to construct a phylogenetic tree using the dromedary camel (\textit{Dromedary camelus}) as the outgroup (accession no. NC_009849.1) (Fig. S1). The result also supported the above statement and indicated that the wild and domestic Bactrian camel did not share a recent common ancestor and may have a long-term divergence in their maternal origins. This is in agreement with a previous study that showed that the extant wild and domestic Bactrian camel had different maternal origins (Ji et al. 2009).

The two distinct lineages were also identified using a median-joining network method with 25 haplotypes from 136 sequences (Fig. S2). The 23 haplotypes of domestic Bactrian camels showed that breeds from different geographic regions intermingled. Some haplotypes were shared by individuals of different breeds from different geographical regions. In domestic Bactrian camels, all of the three main haplotypes—H1, H2 and H3—were found to comprise Chinese, Russian and Mongolian Bactrian camels. Haplotypes specific to a geographic region were also found. However, these region-specific haplotypes accounted for only a small proportion of our samples (6.2%).

We performed several AMOVA to investigate geographical structuring. AMOVA analysis placed most of the genetic variance (90.14%, \( P < 0.01 \)) between the wild and domestic camel clusters and little variance within the clusters (9.86%, \( P < 0.01 \)). This result is similar to that from a previous study (95.64% and 4.36% respectively; Silbermayr et al. 2010).

In conclusion, the domestic Bactrian camel and wild Bactrian camel evolved as two distinct lineages, and it is suggested that they do not have the same maternal origins. The wild Bactrian camels displayed lower levels of nucleotide diversity and haplotype diversity, which may be due to the extremely small effective population size of the wild Bactrian camel. In addition, there was no significant geographical structuring among domestic Bactrian camels, and these results imply that gene flow and genetic admixture may exist between these domesticated Bactrian camels from different geographic regions.
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Figure S1 Neighbor-joining tree of wild and domestic Bactrian camel haplotypes based on 809 bp of the mtDNA. Haplotypes are grouped in two main lineages (lineage A and lineage B), each showing subgrouping.

Figure S2 Median-joining network of 25 mtDNA haplotypes of domestic and wild Bactrian camels. The area of each circle is proportional to haplotype frequency.

Table S1 Details of the Bactrian camels used for mitochondrial (mtDNA) haplotypes.

Table S2 Variable nucleotides from 809-bp mitochondrial DNA camel fragments of Bactrian haplotypes compared with reference sequences from GenBank accession no. NC_009628.2.