Abstract: A systematic study of the comparative performances of different metal-impregnated HZSM-5 catalysts (Zn, Ga, Mo, Co, and Zr) for propane conversion is presented. The physicochemical properties of catalysts were characterized by means of XRD, BET, SEM, TEM, FTIR, XPS, 27Al MAS NMR, NH3-TPD and Py-FTIR. It was found that the acidities of the catalysts were significantly influenced by loading metal. More specifically, Mo-, Co- or Zr-modified catalysts showed a large metal size and low acidic density, resulting high olefin selectivity, while Zn- or Ga-modified catalysts maintained their small metal size and acidic density, and mainly reduced B/L due to the Lewis acid sites created by Zn or Ga species, resulting in high aromatics selectivity. Experimental results also showed that there is a balance between metals size and medium and strong acidity on propane conversion. Moreover, based on the different acidity of metal-modified HZSM-5 catalysts, the mechanism of propane conversion was also discussed.
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1. Introduction

The increasing energy demand and reducing fossil fuel resources make it imperative to develop new processes for the production of transportation fuels and chemicals. Catalytic conversion of light alkanes to more valuable chemicals, commodities, and fuels has attracted much attention in recent years [1–3]. Giving the scarcity of fossil fuels and suddenly increased low-cost light alkanes supplement from the shale gas revolution, propane conversion is becoming popular, and is regarded as an attractive way to meet the increasing demand for propylene and aromatics [4–6].

Propane dehydrogenation (PDH) processes was commercially applied by the Catofin process from CB&I Lummus (Chicago, USA) and the Oleflex process from UOP (New Jersey, USA). Due to their outstanding propylene selectivity and catalyst stability, Al2O3-supported PtSn (Oleflex process from UOP) and CrOx (Catofin process from CB&I Lummus) catalysts have been widely used in industrial PDH processes [7]. Despite high initial propylene yields, both processes inevitably undergo rapid catalyst deactivation and decreased propylene selectivity. Moreover, Pt is rather costly and Cr is environmentally unfriendly. Hence, the exploration of effective and stable catalysts is of great significance for industrial application.

Zeolites with ordered microporous structures and outstanding thermal stability are regarded as ideal supports materials. Sun, Q. and co-workers [8] demonstrated that the PtZn@zeolite catalysts shown the best performances for PDH conversion, which are superior to the state-of-the-art supported Pt-based catalysts. However, introducing Al into zeolites has a negative effect on the selectivity of propylene due to the resultant undesirable Brønsted acidity [9]. Nevertheless, propane feed stocks can be also converted...
to aromatics on strong-acidity zeolite through propane aromatization processes. In this process, a reaction mechanism is proposed whereby metal active sites activate reactants to produce ethylene and propylene as intermediates, and then oligomerization, cyclization of the intermediates to produce aromatics on acid sites of zeolite. According to the propane conversion reaction mechanism, the synergetic effect between metal active sites and the acid properties of zeolite is key factor in determining catalytic performance.

The accessibility, strength, distribution, and nature of zeolite acid sites play a crucial role in many catalytic reactions [10–12]. Especially for HZSM-5 with a low Si/Al ratio, the aromatization could more likely occur on its strong acid sites and the aromatics could be produced with high selectivity [13]. While increasing the Si/Al ratio of HZSM-5 could reduce the density of acid sites, so that the selectivity of light alkenes was increased [14,15]. Long F. Lin et al. [11] also concluded that, for the catalytic cracking of 1-pentene to propylene, the main reaction pathways and the molar ratio of propylene to ethene were controlled by acid strength. Moreover, the nature (type) of acid sites is also crucial factor in determining product selectivity. Yarulina et al. [16] demonstrated that Brønsted acid sites is key to the selective formation of propylene from methanol, but a large number of Lewis acid sites is favorable to increase the selectivity of aromatics [17].

Various metal oxides supported zeolite have been widely investigated for light alkane (i.e., methane, ethane, propane) conversion, including precious metal Pt [18,19] and transition metal Zn [20–25], Ga [26–30], Mo [31,32], Co [33], Zr [34–36] oxides, etc. Moreover, metal modification also has a potential effect on the acidity of ZSM-5. Chen et al. [9] found that Lewis acid sites could created by Zn species. Xiao et al. [26] also concluded that the super catalytic behavior was attributed to the synergistic effect between the strong Lewis acid sites generated by the (GaO)^+ species and the Brønsted acid sites. However, in comparison with Zn- or Ga-modified ZSM-5, Mo/H-ZSM-5 showed lower acidity and higher cracking activity with high selectivity toward methane, ethene, and ethane [37,38]. Though numerous works have studied propane conversion over various metals promoted ZSM-5 catalysts, there is no clear picture of the comparative performances of these catalysts. In this respect, a study dealing with the acidity of metal-loaded ZSM-5 on the catalytic performance would be valuable.

In this work, a series of metal (i.e., Zn, Ga, Mo, Co, and Zr) modified HZSM-5 catalysts were prepared by impregnation and used for propane conversion. The influence of acidity of metal-modified HZSM-5 on activity and selectivity in propane conversion was investigated thoroughly. Moreover, based on the different acidity of metal-modified HZSM-5 catalysts and detailed products distribution, the mechanism of propane conversion on metal-modified zeolite catalysts was also discussed. It is anticipated that the results presented here complement those of parallel contributors and provide guidance for the rational selection of highly active catalysts for propane conversion.

2. Results

2.1. Texture Properties of the Catalysts

The powder XRD patterns of the reduced samples are presented in Figure 1. All samples exhibited typical ZSM-5 zeolite structure without the appearance of any other peak, suggesting that metal dispersion was uniform on zeolite and metallic modification did not affect the framework structure. However, the peak intensity was quite different for the modified zeolites. The as-synthesized HZSM-5 has the highest crystallinity and is also selected as a reference sample (100% Crystallinity). It can be seen that the peak intensity of all the modified catalysts decreased (as shown in Table 1). This indicates that the metal modification leads to decrease in structure orderliness.
Mo/HZSM-5 87.9 1.95 328.7 270.8 0.431 0.129
Ga/HZSM-5 93.5 1.99 325.8 268.2 0.435 0.132
Co/HZSM-5 62.3 1.97 326.1 268.4 0.436 0.132
Zn/HZSM-5 73.7 1.98 326.2 268.4 0.437 0.133
HZSM-5 100.0 - 343.1 284.7 0.453 0.147

Crystallinity b (%) was determined by XRD. The mass metal loading content determined by ICP-AES. Determined by applying the multi-point BET. Determined by applying the t-plot method.

The SEM images in Figure 2a show that the HZSM-5 exhibited a clear boundary and severely agglomerated morphology with rough surface. The nano-sized crystal of HZSM-5 zeolite was irregular with a spherical or elliptical shape. The HZSM-5 sample comprised of large quantities of small crystallites with the average crystal size of approximately 115 nm (Figure S1). The morphology of catalysts has no obvious changes after metal modification. As shown in Figure S1, the crystal size of all the samples had a wide distribution (about 40–220 nm) with the average crystal size about 114.9–118.6 nm, and the crystals larger than 160 nm or smaller than 80 nm existed but there were very few. Figure 3 exhibited a partial view of the ZSM-5 crystal and metal-loaded ZSM-5 crystal. The lattice fringes of HZSM-5 zeolites was confirmed in the TEM images, suggesting the zeolite structure was not changed after the metal was modified. The size of loaded metal nanoparticles was also measured by TEM. Uniform distribution of loaded metal species could observed, while some Co nanoparticles were also observed forming aggregates (Figure 3c). Indeed, as shown in Figure S2, the average size of Co species was 8.3 nm, which was much larger than Zr (6.8 nm), Mo (7.2 nm), Ga (6.2 nm) or Zn (4.7 nm). These facts indicate that the morphology and structure was not changed after metal modification and there was a good dispersion of metal over modified HZSM-5 catalyst, which is in agreement with the XRD results.

Figure 1. X-ray diffraction patterns of the catalysts with hydrogen pre-treatment at 873 K for 2 h.
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The structural and textural properties of the reduced samples were characterized with N\textsubscript{2} adsorption-desorption. As listed in Table 1, the micropore surface area, micropore volume, external surface and mesoporous volume of the metal-modified catalysts were slightly decreased. This suggested that metallic species were loaded on the external surface of HZSM-5, but some part of metallic species also block its micropores or entered its channels [39]. Since the loading of metals was almost the same and all the loadings were small (<2 wt%), the pore volume and specific surface area of each metal-modified catalysts did not change by more than 10%, which hardly affected the shape selectivity of the channel [40].

To further understand the chemical states of loaded metals on the catalyst, the XPS was performed on the catalysts without or with treatment with H\textsubscript{2} at 873 K for 2 h. As shown in Figure S3, the Zr-HZSM-5 catalyst presented peaks at the binding energy 182.9 and 185.2 eV, corresponding to the ZrO\textsubscript{2} species. It is generally considered that ZrO\textsubscript{2} is a non-reducible metal oxide. Nevertheless, its lattice oxygen or surface OH groups can be partially removed during high temperature (>893 K) reductive treatment [5], resulting a slight peak shift of 0.1 eV towards the lower binding energies. Considering the Co or
Mo species is very easily reduced to Co\(^0\) or Mo\(^0\) at a temperature of 673 K [41], it is very interesting that there is no Co\(^0\) (778.3 and 793.3 eV) or Mo\(^0\) in the reduced catalyst. The main Co\(^{2+}\) and Mo\(^{4+}\) phases in the reduced catalysts could be taken as evidence of a strong interaction between metal species and HZSM-5 zeolite. The Zn-HZSM-5 catalyst showed binding energy at 1024.1 and 1023.4 eV, attributed to (ZnOH\(^+\)) and exchangeable Zn\(^{2+}\) species, respectively. After being reduced by H\(_2\) at 873 K, the XPS spectrum exhibited the decreased (ZnOH\(^+\)) species. The results showed a significant new peak at 1022.2 eV. The appearance of lower binding energy peak was dedicated to the (ZnH\(^+\)) species, generated by the hydrodehydroxylation of (ZnOH\(^+\)) species [42]. The binding energy for the reduced Ga-HZSM-5 (1118.6 eV) is higher than that for Ga-HZSM-5 (1118.4 eV). This increased in binding energy for the reduced Ga-HZSM-5 is related to the strong interaction between the surface highly dispersed (GaO\(^+\)) species and the framework of the ZSM-5 zeolite. The stronger interaction between metal species and HZSM-5 zeolite could promote the synergistic effect of dehydrogenation step and subsequent aromatization.

2.2. Acidity of the Catalysts

The NH\(_3\)-TPD measurement was applied to investigate the acidity of the reduced catalysts. Compared to the as-synthesized HZSM-5, metal-loaded catalysts exhibited a significant difference in acidity. As shown in Figure 4a, the NH\(_3\) desorption peaks of the metal-modified catalysts slightly shift towards a higher temperature, indicating an increase in strength for both strong and weak acid sites. Table 2 shows that the number of both weak acid sites and strong acid sites decreased with metal loading, but medium acid sites slightly increased. Compared with the HZSM-5, it seems that the amount of the medium acid sites increases markedly in the metal-modified HZSM-5 at the expense of the strong and weak acid sites. The increased medium acid sites should be ascribed to the interactions between the metal species and the intrinsic acid sites in the parent HZSM-5 zeolite. Particularly for Co-, Mo-, and Zr-modified catalysts, the medium and strong acid sites significantly decreased to 0.21 mmol·g\(^{-1}\) in comparison with Zn-HZSM-5 or Ga-HZSM-5 (0.25 mmol·g\(^{-1}\)) and as-synthesized HZSM-5 (0.26 mmol·g\(^{-1}\)).

| Samples   | Acidity by NH\(_3\)-TPD (mmol·g\(^{-1}\)) | Acidity by Py-IR (µmol·g\(^{-1}\)) |
|-----------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|           | Weak Acid | Medium Acid | Strong Acid | BAS | LAS | B/L |
| HZSM-5    | 0.28 (51.85%) | 0.08 (14.81%) | 0.18 (33.34%) | 37.07 | 19.01 | 1.95 |
| Zr/HZSM-5 | 0.20 (47.62%) | 0.09 (21.43%) | 0.13 (30.95%) | 35.84 | 19.80 | 1.81 |
| Co/HZSM-5 | 0.22 (51.16%) | 0.11 (25.58%) | 0.10 (23.26%) | 34.69 | 20.47 | 1.70 |
| Mo/HZSM-5 | 0.23 (51.11%) | 0.10 (22.22%) | 0.12 (26.67%) | 32.64 | 19.78 | 1.65 |
| Ga/HZSM-5 | 0.20 (44.45%) | 0.11 (24.44%) | 0.14 (31.11%) | 24.47 | 19.50 | 1.26 |
| Zn/HZSM-5 | 0.26 (50.98%) | 0.13 (25.49%) | 0.12 (23.53%) | 25.95 | 24.53 | 1.06 |

The Py-IR results are shown in Figure 4b. The two peaks at around 1454 and 1545 cm\(^{-1}\) were attributed to Lewis acid sites (LAS) and Brønsted acid sites (BAS), respectively. Compared to HZSM-5, the Brønsted acid sites of metal-modified catalysts were decreased. The Brønsted acid sites of Zn- or Ga-modified HZSM-5 were reduced to approximately 25 µmol·g\(^{-1}\), which was 30% lower than that of HZSM-5. However, due to the Lewis acid sites created by the Zn species, the Lewis acid sites increased to 24 µmol·g\(^{-1}\) for Zn-HZSM-5, resulting in the lowest B/L among all catalysts.

FTIR spectra in the hydroxyl stretching region provide more information about the acidic properties. As shown in Figure 4c, the large peak at 3610 cm\(^{-1}\) is associated with the framework Si(OH)Al structure, which is ascribed to Brønsted acid groups. The peak at 3656 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to an OH group on an extra-framework Al species. The intense and sharp peak at 3745 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to isolated silanol groups located on the external surface, the peak at 3728 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to free internal silanol group, and the small peak at 3686 cm\(^{-1}\) is ascribed to vicinal silanol groups located inside the micro pores. The
strong broad peak centered at 3500 cm\(^{-1}\) is generally attributed to nest silanol groups, which generally occur at crystal steps or extended defects [14]. The presence of such silanol groups is diagnostic for the presence of defect sites. Such defect sites in zeolite could promote the metallic species located in micropores of zeolites. It is interesting that although the external surface silanol groups (3745 cm\(^{-1}\)) are less affected by introducing metal species, the silanol group with IR absorption bands at 3728, 3686, and 3610 cm\(^{-1}\) are changed considerably. This indicated that metallic species also could entered its micropores channels, which is agreement with \(\text{N}_2\) adsorption results (Table 1). In particular, the vibrational intensity at 3610 cm\(^{-1}\) decreased by 26\% for Ga-HZSM-5, suggesting the consumption of protons by introducing the metal species. Such phenomena were attributed to the interaction between the internal silanol groups and metal species located in the cationic positions of HZSM-5 zeolite.

Figure 4d shows the $^{27}\text{Al} \text{MAS NMR}$ spectra of the catalysts. The intense and sharp signal at 54 ppm is dominant in all the spectra which is ascribed to the tetrahedral framework Al species, and the weak signals at 0 ppm are attributed to octahedral extra-framework Al species. As shown in Figure 4d, the spectra of all the catalysts were very similar, this indicated that the framework structure was well maintained after metal modification. More specially, there was a little difference on framework Al signal (54 ppm), particularly for the peak intensity of Zn- or Ga-modified catalysts, the framework Al signal was obviously decreased, and the extra-framework Al signal increased. This could indicate that the metallic impregnation method had little influence on framework Al species. During the impregnating process, the stable framework Al atoms were first weakened by inserted metal atoms nearby, and then, Al atoms were expelled from the zeolite framework by calcination at high temperature. Xin et al. [43] also concluded that the introduction of an appropriate amount of tetrahedral framework Ga species could facilitate the framework distortion near the next-nearest neighbor framework Al sites, which caused dealumination during calcination, as evidenced by the increase in the intensity of octahedral extra-framework Al species. The difference of Al species determined by $^{27}\text{Al} \text{MAS NMR}$ could reflect the acidity of the zeolite catalysts, which is agreement with Py-IR and \(\text{NH}_3\)-TPD results.

![Figure 4. (a) \(\text{NH}_3\)-TPD profiles, (b) Py-IR spectra, (c) FTIR spectra in hydroxyl group regions, (d) $^{27}\text{Al} \text{MAS NMR}$ spectra of the catalysts with hydrogen pre-treatment at 873 K for 2 h.](image-url)
Figure 5 clearly shown that metal loading process has different influence on their acidity. More specifically, the acidic density of different metal-modified HZSM-5 declined in the following order: HZSM-5 > Ga-HZSM-5 > Zn-HZSM-5 > Mo-HZSM-5 > Zr-HZSM-5 > Co-HZSM-5. However, the B/L of different metal-modified HZSM-5 declined in the following order: HZSM-5 > Zr-HZSM-5 > Co-HZSM-5 > Mo-HZSM-5 > Ga-HZSM-5 > Zn-HZSM-5. In general, Zn- or Ga-modified HZSM-5 mainly reduced B/L and maintained their acidic density, while Mo, Co and Zr modified HZSM-5 significantly decreased medium and strong acidic density. The different change of catalysts acidity probably has a potential influence on propane conversion, particularly for product selectivity.

Figure 6a, compared to the as-synthesized HZSM-5 with a propane conversion of 55%, the metal-loaded catalysts reduced propane conversion. Considering the low number of loaded metals (as seen in Table 1), the propane conversion decrease is mainly attributed to metal modification reducing the acid sites of the catalysts. More specifically, Zn- or Ga-modified catalysts with 0.25 mmol/g medium and strong acid sites resulted in a propane conversion of ~50%. While for Mo or Zr modified catalysts, the medium and strong acid sites were reduced to 0.22 mmol/g, and the propane conversion reduced to ~40%. Particularly for the Co-HZSM-5 catalyst with lowest medium and strong acid sites (0.21 mmol/g), propane conversion decreased to ~25%. Additionally, the propane conversion approximately followed a linear relationship with the medium and strong acid (Figure 6b), except Co-HZSM-5. It is well known that particle size and dispersion of the metal phase are key factors for achieving higher catalytic activity [25]. The Co-HZSM-5 catalyst exhibited an unexpected low propane conversion of ~25%, which is due to its large Co particle size and some Co species aggregates (Figure 3). These results suggested the existence of a balance between the acidity and metal size on propane conversion performance.

Figure 5. The influence of metallic modification on acidity of catalysts.

2.3. Propane Conversion on the Catalysts

The propane conversion performance of the catalysts was investigated in a fixed-bed reactor at 873 K under 0.1 MPa with WHSV = 2 h⁻¹. It was well known that propane conversion takes place in medium and strong acid sites of the zeolite catalyst. As shown in Figure 6a, compared to the as-synthesized HZSM-5 with a propane conversion of 55%, the metal-loaded catalysts reduced propane conversion. Considering the low number of loaded metals (as seen in Table 1), the propane conversion decrease is mainly attributed to metal modification reducing the acid sites of the catalysts. More specifically, Zn- or Ga-modified catalysts with 0.25 mmol/g medium and strong acid sites resulted in a propane conversion of ~50%. While for Mo or Zr modified catalysts, the medium and strong acid sites were reduced to 0.22 mmol/g, and the propane conversion reduced to ~40%. Particularly for the Co-HZSM-5 catalyst with lowest medium and strong acid sites (0.21 mmol/g), propane conversion decreased to ~25%. Additionally, the propane conversion approximately followed a linear relationship with the medium and strong acid (Figure 6b), except Co-HZSM-5. It is well known that particle size and dispersion of the metal phase are key factors for achieving higher catalytic activity [25]. The Co-HZSM-5 catalyst exhibited an unexpected low propane conversion of ~25%, which is due to its large Co particle size and some Co species aggregates (Figure 3). These results suggested the existence of a balance between the acidity and metal size on propane conversion performance.
The detailed product distribution for the samples is also shown in Table 3. It can be seen that the products mainly contain methane, ethane, olefins and aromatics. In comparison with HZSM-5, the light alkanes decreased on metal-modified catalysts. Additionally, the selectivity of olefins increased in Mo-, Co-, and Zr-modified catalysts, particularly for the Co-HZSM-5 catalyst, with an olefin selectivity of ~54%. While for Zn- or Ga-modified HZSM-5, the selectivity of aromatics was ~29% and ~27%, respectively, which is higher than that of other catalysts. It is well known that propane conversion on acidic ZSM-5 zeolites involves series of reactions such as dehydrogenation, cracking, oligomerization, aromatization, dealkylation and hydrogen transfer reactions. The reaction pathway and catalyst properties could be reflected by detailed product distribution. As shown in Figure 5, Mo-, Co- and Zr-modified HZSM-5 significantly decreased medium and strong acidic density. Therefore, the olefin aromatization was suppressed, resulting in a high selectivity of olefins (Figure 7), while Zn- or Ga-modified HZSM-5 maintained their acidic density, and mainly reduced B/L due to the Lewis acid sites created by Zn or Ga species. Therefore, the olefins intermediates could further convert to aromatics on Zn-HZSM-5 or Ga-HZSM-5 catalyst (Figure 7). However, HZSM-5 catalyst has both high B/L and acidic density. A large number of Bronsted acid sites could accelerate the cracking of higher hydrocarbons, and thus decreases the selectivity of aromatics.

Table 3. The detailed products distribution of the catalysts

|          | HZSM-5 | Zr-HZSM-5 | Co-HZSM-5 | Mo-HZSM-5 | Ga-HZSM-5 | Zn-HZSM-5 |
|----------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Methane (C1) | 34.76  | 25.57     | 18.24     | 28.36     | 28.02     | 28.15     |
| Ethane (C2)  | 19.47  | 13.02     | 14.35     | 12.93     | 15.43     | 14.03     |
| Ethene (C2 =) | 17.17  | 20.55     | 24.17     | 20.83     | 11.93     | 12.71     |
| Propene (C3 =) | 15.27  | 20.21     | 24.14     | 16.27     | 10.54     | 8.76      |
| Butene (C4)  | 3.71   | 6.69      | 5.38      | 2.41      | 1.43      | 0.61      |
| Butane (C4)  | 4.02   | 5.46      | 4.66      | 3.57      | 1.31      | 1.88      |
| Pentane (C5) | 0.19   | 0.21      | 0.17      | 0.21      | 0.10      | 0.14      |
| Pentene (C5 =) | 0.71   | 2.34      | 0.68      | 4.07      | 0.27      | 1.44      |
| Benzene (C6) | 0.36   | 1.72      | 2.01      | 2.07      | 5.10      | 5.62      |
| Toluene (C7) | 1.41   | 1.42      | 3.22      | 4.39      | 11.93     | 13.91     |
| Xylene (C8)  | 0.55   | 1.06      | 1.14      | 2.02      | 4.45      | 4.47      |
| Ethylbenzene (C8) | 0.08  | 0.03      | 0.06      | 0.16      | 0.39      | 0.07      |
| C9         | 0.39   | 0.54      | 0.31      | 0.53      | 1.15      | 1.43      |
| C10        | 0.11   | 0.22      | 0.16      | 0.25      | 0.84      | 0.43      |
| C>10       | 0.78   | 0.15      | 0.60      | 0.89      | 3.27      | 3.04      |
| Other liquids | 1.01  | 0.80      | 0.66      | 1.01      | 3.81      | 3.26      |

Carbon balance % 99.99 99.99 99.95 99.97 99.97 99.95

*a The data were obtained after an 8 h reaction.*
During propane conversion reaction, light alkanes mainly produced from cracking, dealkylation and hydrogen transfer reactions. As shown in Figure 8a, the light alkanes selectivity approximately followed a linear relationship with the medium and strong acidic density for Mo, Co, and Zr modified ZSM-5, except Zn- or Ga-modified ZSM-5. In particular, the medium and strong acidic density of Zn- or Ga-modified ZSM-5 was 0.026 mmol/g more than that of Mo- or Zr-modified ZSM-5, while the alkane selectivity was nearly the same (44–45%). It is well known that cracking and hydrogen transfer reactions mainly occurred in the center of Brønsted acid sites; however, the dealkylation and dehydrogenation reactions largely occurred in the center of Lewis acid sites [17]. As exhibited in Table 2, Zn- or Ga-modified ZSM-5 decreased Brønsted acid sites, so that the cracking and hydrogen transfer reactions were suppressed, resulting lower alkane selectivity than HZSM-5. However, as shown in Figure 8b, the Brønsted acid sites of Zn- or Ga-modified ZSM-5 were 19 umol/g less than that of Mo- or Zr-modified ZSM-5. For Zn- or Ga-modified ZSM-5, the cracking and hydrogen transfer reactions were obviously suppressed, but the alkane selectivity was still high. This is because dehydrogenation reactions largely occur in the center of the Lewis acid sites that are created by Zn or Ga species. A large amount of aromatics was formed via olefins intermediates (Figure 7) by dehydrogenation pathway. At the same time, it also accelerated the dealkylation and cracking of higher hydrocarbons, and thus increased the selectivity of light alkanes.

As shown in Figure 9, the reaction mechanism of propane conversion over metal-modified HZSM-5 was proposed. Generally, zeolite catalysts could activate propane to produce propene and ethene though dehydrogenation and cracking reactions, respectively. Then, oligomerization, cyclization of the propene and ethene to produce aromatics on acid sites of zeolite. The oligomerization, cracking and hydrogen transfer reactions mainly occurred in the center of Brønsted acid sites; however, the dealkylation and dehydrogenation reactions largely occurred in the center of Lewis acid sites. After metal modification, the medium and strong acid sites were reduced, particularly for Brønsted acid sites, result-
ing less propane cracking. Moreover, Mo-, Co- and Zr-modified HZSM-5 significantly decreased medium and strong acidic density. Therefore, olefin aromatization (including oligomerization, cyclization, and hydrogen transfer reactions) was suppressed, resulting in the high selectivity of olefins, while Zn- or Ga-modified HZSM-5 maintained their acidic density, and mainly reduced B/L due to the Lewis acid sites created by Zn or Ga species. A large amount of Lewis acid sites is beneficial to promote the dehydrogenation reaction, which is favorable to increase the selectivity of aromatics. However, the oligomerization and hydrogen transfer reactions will be limited due to the lack of Brønsted acid sites, which also inhibits the production of aromatic hydrocarbons.

![Diagram of the reaction mechanism of propane conversion over metal-modified HZSM-5.](image)

**Figure 9.** Diagram of the reaction mechanism of propane conversion over metal-modified HZSM-5.

### 3. Materials and Methods

#### 3.1. Catalyst Preparation

HZSM-5 zeolite used in this work was purchased from the NanKai University Catalyst Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China), and the SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratio of the zeolite was 61 (detected by ICP-AES, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The metal loaded catalysts were prepared via incipient wetness impregnation technique with the metal content of 2 wt%. Specifically, HZSM-5 zeolite was pressed, crushed, and sorted to obtain particles of 20–40 mesh, and then dried in an oven at 423 K for 6 h. Then, 50 g HZSM-5 was mixed with 50 mL aqueous solution of metal precursors at room temperature for 24 h and impregnated in a water bath at 353 K for 3 h. The impregnated zeolite was then dried at 383 K overnight and calcined in an oven at 823 K for 6 h to obtain the M/HZSM-5 samples. The metal precursors used were Zr(NO$_3$)$_4$·5H$_2$O, Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, (NH$_4$)$_6$Mo$_7$O$_24$·4H$_2$O, Ga(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O, or Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The modified HZSM-5 with 2 wt% metal was denoted as M-HZSM-5 (M = Zr, Co, Mo, Ga, or Zn).

#### 3.2. Catalyst Characterization

The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, D8 Advance, Bruker, Germany) analysis was acquired to identify the type of crystalline phase and crystallinity of the reduced catalysts. XRD used Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54439 Å) at 40 kV and 100 mA in the 2θ range of 5–50°. The crystallinity was calculated as ratio of peak area in the range of 2θ = 8.0–10.0° and 23.0–25.0° to that of the HZSM-5 sample.

The morphology of the reduced samples was measured by SEM (JSM 7001-F, JEOL, Japan). The textual properties of the reduced samples were investigated using a Micromeritics ASAP 2010 instrument. Before the measurements, the samples were heated to 493 K in a vacuum for 12 h. Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms were measured at 77 K.

The TEM images were investigated using a JEM-2100F (JEOL, Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The reduced samples suspended in ethanol solutions were dipped onto carbon-coated copper grids and then dried in ambient conditions.

The inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES, Thermo Icap 6300, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used to determine the chemical
compositions of the reduced samples. Before measurement, a 0.1 g sample was dissolved in the mixture solutions with 0.5 mL hydrofluoric acid (40 wt%) and 2 mL nitric acid (65 wt%) at 333 K.

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, AXIS ULTRA DLD, Kratos, England) was carried out using Mg Kα (λ = 1253.6 eV). The binding energies were calibrated relative to the CI5s peak from carbon contamination at 284.6 eV. The pressure of the analysis chamber was less than $2 \times 10^{-8}$ Pa.

The $^{27}$Al MAS NMR spectra were recorded on an Avance III 600 MHz spectrometer (Bruker, Switzerland). Al(NO$_3$)$_3$ solutions were used as reference of the chemical shifts for $^{27}$Al MAS NMR.

The acid properties of the reduced samples were determined by NH$_3$-TPD (Autochem II 2920 instrument, Micromeritics, USA). The sample was first activated at 823 K for 2 h in argon flow (30 mL min$^{-1}$) and then cooled to 393 K. NH$_3$ adsorption on the sample was then achieved by introducing gaseous NH$_3$ (5 vol% in argon, 30 mL min$^{-1}$) for 0.5 h. After that, the physically adsorbed NH$_3$ was removed by argon flow (30 mL min$^{-1}$) at 393 K for 2 h. To get the NH$_3$-TPD profile, the zeolite sample was then heated from 393 to 923 K with a heating rate of 10.0 K min$^{-1}$.

The spectra of the surface hydroxyl vibrations were measured on a Tensor 27 FT-IR spectrometer (Bruker, Germany). Fourier-transform infrared spectra of adsorbed pyridine (Py-IR) were also collected on Tensor 27 FT-IR spectrometer from Bruker. Specifically, the reduced sample was pressed into a pellet (diameter: 13 mm, weight: ca. 30 mg) and activated at 673 K for 90 min under vacuum of $10^{-2}$ Pa, and then the IR spectra were recorded at room temperature. Pyridine vapor was introduced into the sample cell at room temperature for 30 min, followed by evacuation at 673 K for 30 min. Then, the spectra were recorded after evacuation. The spectra of adsorbed pyridine species can be obtained by subtracting the background spectra.

### 3.3. Propane Conversion Testing

Propane conversion was evaluated in a continuous-flow, fixed-bed stainless-steel reactor (16 mm I.D.) at 873 K under 0.1 MPa. The reaction temperature was measured by a thermocouple in the center of the catalyst bed. Prior to the reaction, catalyst pellets (5 g, 20–40 mesh) were placed in the reactor. Then, the catalyst was pre-treated at 873 K for 3 h with H$_2$ flow of 100 mL min$^{-1}$. After that, propane was introduced into the reactor with the gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) of 700 h$^{-1}$. The reaction products were passed through a condenser, and then the liquid products can be obtained separately. The vapor stream was then passed through an Agilent 7890A (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and a flame ionization detector (FID) for online analysis. The liquid products were analyzed with a SHIMADZU GC-2014C gas chromatography (Shimadzu, Japan) equipped with an FID. The conversion of propane and the product selectivity were calculated following Equations (1) and (2), respectively.

$$C_{\text{propane}} = \frac{\text{Moles of propane reacted}}{\text{Moles of propane fed}} \times 100\% \tag{1}$$

$$S_{\text{product}} = \frac{\text{Moles of product formed}}{\text{Moles of propane reacted}} \times 100\% \tag{2}$$

### 4. Conclusions

The catalytic properties of a series of metal modified HZSM-5 zeolite catalysts (i.e., Zn, Ga, Mo, Co, and Zr) for propane conversion were evaluated. It was found that the loaded metals were uniform in distribution, except the Co species with some aggregates. It was also found that the balance between metal size and the acidity of zeolite existed on propane conversion. High propane conversion was achieved by decreasing metal size and increasing acidity, e.g., ZnHZSM-5 and Ga-HZSM-5, while Co-HZSM-5 exhibited low propane conversion due to its low acidity and large Co size with some aggregates.
The metal impregnation process obviously has a different influence on framework Al species and the acidity of zeolites. After metal modification, the framework Al obviously decreased, and the extra-framework Al increased, resulting in strong acid sites decreasing, particularly for Brønsted acid sites. Thus, less propane cracking occurred. Moreover, the changes of catalytic acidity had a significant influence on the reaction mechanism of propane conversion, resulting in different product selectivity. Mo-, Co- and Zr-modified HZSM-5 significantly decreased medium and strong acidic density; thus, oligomerization, cyclization, and hydrogen transfer reactions were suppressed, resulting in a high level of selectivity for olefins, while Zn- or Ga-modified HZSM-5 maintained acidic density, and mainly reduced B/L due to the Lewis acid sites created by Zn or Ga species. These increased Lewis acid sites are beneficial for the promotion of aromatic selectivity via the dehydrogenation pathway.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/catal11101138/s1, Figure S1: The particle size distribution of the catalysts from SEM images. (a): HZSM-5; (b): Zr-HZSM-5; (c): Co-HZSM-5; (d): Mo-HZSM-5; (e): Ga-HZSM-5; Figure S2: The particle size distribution of the loaded metals from TEM images. (a): Zr/HZSM-5; (b): Co/HZSM-5; (c): Mo/HZSM-5; (d): Ga/HZSM-5; (e): Zn/HZSM-5; Figure S3: The XPS spectra of the catalysts.
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