**SOIL RESPIRATION**

**Soil respiration–driven CO₂ pulses dominate Australia’s flux variability**
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The Australian continent contributes substantially to the year-to-year variability of the global terrestrial carbon dioxide (CO₂) sink. However, the scarcity of site observations in remote areas prevents the deciphering of processes that force the CO₂ flux variability. In this study, by examining atmospheric CO₂ measurements from satellites in the period 2009–2018, we find recurrent end-of-dry-season CO₂ pulses over the Australian continent. These pulses largely control the year-to-year variability of Australia’s CO₂ balance. They cause two to three times larger seasonal variations compared with previous top-down inversions and bottom-up estimates. The pulses occur shortly after the onset of rainfall and are driven by enhanced soil respiration preceding photosynthetic uptake in Australia’s semiarid regions. The suggested continental-scale relevance of soil-rewetting processes has substantial implications for our understanding and modeling of global climate–carbon cycle feedbacks.

Terrestrial ecosystems drive the seasonal and year-to-year variability of the global carbon dioxide (CO₂) sink (1). Previous research has identified semiarid regions as hotspots of global CO₂ balance interannual variability (2–5) because of the strong sensitivity of photosynthetic carbon uptake to fluctuations in water availability (6, 7). The Australian continent is primarily covered with semiarid ecosystems and experiences large variations in rainfall. These conditions make Australia particularly relevant for the variability in the global carbon cycle (8–10), contributing up to 60% of yearly anomalies in the global terrestrial CO₂ sink (2).

However, attribution of global CO₂ sink variations to certain regions and mechanisms is highly uncertain, which limits our ability to model climate–carbon cycle feedbacks and project future climate (14, 15). Global process-based ecosystem models underestimate observed CO₂ flux variability across semiarid sites owing to the complexity of carbon–water cycle interactions and the diversity of ecosystem responses to water fluctuations (16, 17). The same holds true for machine learning–based models trained on local carbon flux observations (18, 19) given the scarcity of available flux measurements in low-latitude semiarid regions (20) and the inability to represent potentially important noninstantaneous carry-over effects (21). Atmospheric transport inversions based on in situ measurements of airborne CO₂ also suffer from the scarcity of observations in remote areas, and therefore the inversions cannot reliably attribute CO₂ flux variability to specific regions, despite growing monitoring capacities (22, 23). However, recent satellite observations of atmospheric column CO₂ deliver data where ground-based in situ concentration measurements and carbon flux networks are sparse, and thus, satellite CO₂ data can fill important gaps and provide new constraints on regional-scale patterns and processes (8, 24–28).

In this study, using satellite observations of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations from the Greenhouse Gases Observing Satellite (GOSAT) for the period 2009–2018, we identify a net CO₂ pulse to the atmosphere of variable magnitude that occurs over Australia at the end of the dry season in most years. We show that this pattern—which is not evident in traditional atmospheric inversions using in situ measurements only, in the FLUXCOM machine learning–based extrapolations of in situ flux measurements (18, 20), or in most process-based ecosystem models of the TRENDY initiative (29)—appears to dominate the seasonal and year-to-year variations of Australia’s CO₂ balance for that period. The few process-based TRENDY models that reproduce the CO₂ pulse pattern qualitatively suggest that it is caused by rapid respiratory carbon release with the onset of the rainy season while the increase in photosynthetic carbon uptake lags behind. This observed process is consistent with the phenomenon of respiration pulses after rewetting events discussed in the context of the “Birch effect” (30, 31). Such pulses have been described extensively in local studies of water-limited systems (32), but their large-scale relevance has remained unknown.

**Atmospheric CO₂ peak over Australia**

The GOSAT has been delivering global measurements of the column-average dry-air mole fractions (“concentrations”) of atmospheric CO₂ since its launch in 2009 (33). After subtracting the secular trend (34), the record of GOSAT concentrations for the period 2009–2018 (Fig. 1) reveals a seasonal pattern above Australia with CO₂ drawdown in March, April, and May (MAM) and a CO₂ peak of variable magnitude at the end of the dry season in October, November, and December (OND). These patterns are consistent among two retrievals independently applied to GOSAT [GOSAT/RemoTeC (35) and GOSAT/ACOS (36); table S1], and they are present in CO₂ concentrations measured by the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 (OCO-2 (37, 38), period 2015–2018; table S1) as well as in ground-based data of the Total Carbon Column Observing Network (TCCON) (39) (figs. S1 and S2).

In contrast, the atmospheric column CO₂ concentrations simulated by three inverse atmospheric transport models—CarbonTracker CT2019B (40), CAMS (41), and TM5–4DVAR (42)—underestimate the CO₂ drawdown in MAM and lack the CO₂ pulses in OND (Fig. 1). Driven by atmospheric wind data, these transport models deliver concentration fields that are optimally compatible with in situ–measured CO₂ concentrations and the a priori biogenic, oceanic, fire, and fossil CO₂ surface-atmosphere fluxes (34). However, given their sparsity in
and around Australia (compare fig. S3 and fig. S4), the in situ measurements provide only marginal constraints on the regional flux balance. Thus, the discrepancy between CO₂ concentrations from GOSAT and traditional in situ-based atmospheric inversions hints at the existence of a carbon release mechanism in Australian ecosystems that has remained undetected by the existing in situ CO₂ monitoring system.

**Australian top-down and bottom-up fluxes**

To improve upon the surface flux estimates for Australia, we fed the GOSAT CO₂ concentrations into one of the atmospheric inverse models (TM5-4DVAR) together with the in situ CO₂ measurements. We find that the recurring end-of-dry-season CO₂ concentration peaks are indeed attributed to a carbon release pattern originating from land ecosystems, which is not present in the inversions when assimilating in situ CO₂ data alone (Fig. 2A and fig. S5).

Our new estimates of Australia’s carbon balance variability based on assimilating GOSAT together with in situ data show a nearly doubled peak-to-peak amplitude of the seasonal cycle (175 ± 40 TgC/month, mean ± standard deviation over the 2009–2018 period, July-to-June peak-to-peak amplitude) compared with the in situ–only inversions (88 ± 13 TgC/month). Moreover, the end-of-dry-season CO₂ pulses found by the GOSAT inversions imply a more than fourfold greater year-to-year variability of the annual CO₂ fluxes (0.207 PgC/year, standard deviation over the 2010–2018 period) than for the in situ–only inversions (0.039 PgC/year) (fig. S6 and table S2). Fluxes obtained by assimilating OCO-2 together with in situ data for the period 2015–2018 show the same end-of-dry-season pulses and agree well with the fluxes of the GOSAT inversion (fig. S7).

To understand the origin of the CO₂ pulses, we compared the top-down inversions with bottom-up estimates from machine learning [FLUXCOM (18, 20)] and 18 process-based dynamic global vegetation models (DGVMs) from the TRENDY (v9) ensemble (42). The DGVMs also provide the component fluxes of gross primary productivity (GPP) and terrestrial ecosystem respiration (TER) enabling the attribution to variations in photosynthetic carbon uptake and respiratory carbon release. We further included fire emissions (FIRE) from the Global Fire Emission Database (GFED) as a potential factor for explaining the pattern. To compare with the top-down inversions, we calculated net biome production (NBP = TER + FIRE – GPP) by adding fire emissions from GFED to net ecosystem exchange (NEE = TER – GPP) from FLUXCOM. With this sign convention, positive fluxes correspond to carbon emissions into the atmosphere. For TRENDY, NBP was taken directly from the simulations of the DGVMs. We find that FLUXCOM+GFED-derived NBP lacks the end-of-dry-season CO₂ pulses (Fig. 2A), and its seasonal amplitude (64 ± 16 TgC/month) underestimates the one found by the GOSAT inversions by a factor of three. This could be explained by the sparsity of Australian flux tower data in the training of the FLUXCOM machine learning models (only 4 of 224 sites are located in Australia; see fig. S3), causing extrapolation errors (18), and by known weaknesses in representing certain fluctuations in response to water availability (19) or “memory” effects due to unaccounted carbon pool dynamics (43). Our analysis further suggests that local and transported fire emissions might contribute at the beginning of the
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**Fig. 1. Detrended CO₂ concentrations over Australia from satellite and models.** (A) Detrended column-average dry-air mole fractions of CO₂ measured by GOSAT (red) and simulated by inverse models assimilating in situ ground-based measurements (blue). Data are monthly averages for Australia. Red shading indicates the range of the GOSAT/RemoTeC and GOSAT/ACOS algorithms. Blue shading indicates the range of the CarbonTracker, CAMS, and TM5-4DVAR inverse models. ppm, parts per million. (B) Mean and standard deviation (shading) over the period 2009–2018.

**Fig. 2. Australian net CO₂ fluxes.** (A) Top-down estimates of the net monthly Australian CO₂ fluxes inferred by in situ CO₂ measurement–based inverse models (blue) and by TM5-4DVAR assimilating in situ measurements together with GOSAT observations (red), compared with bottom-up FLUXCOM+GFED NBP (yellow) and the TRENDY ensemble mean NBP (gray). Shading indicates the range among the various top-down data streams (in situ–based CarbonTracker, CAMS, and TM5-4DVAR in blue, TM5-4DVAR+GOSAT/RemoTeC and TM5-4DVAR+GOSAT/ACOS in red) and the standard deviation among the TRENDY ensemble (gray). (C) NBP of a subgroup of TRENDY models (black) compared with the other models (gray), with the GOSAT inversions [red, same as in (A)], and with GFED fire emissions (orange). Shading as in (A). (B and D) Mean and standard deviation (shading) over the period 2009–2018 and the mean peak-to-peak seasonal cycle amplitudes (bars). Positive fluxes correspond to carbon emissions into the atmosphere.
Fig. 3. Seasonal timing of gross CO₂ fluxes among TRENDY models. (A) Gross primary production (GPP, green) and total respiration (TER, purple) for Australia for the selection of TRENDY DGVMs that replicate the end-of-dry-season CO₂ pulses. The difference of TER and GPP is given in black in the lower part together with GOSAT-based inversion where GFED fire emissions are subtracted (dashed red line). (B) Same as (A), but for the other TRENDY models that do not replicate the end-of-dry-season CO₂ pulses. (C) Mean monthly precipitation over the entire Australian region (black) and the semi-arid part (fig. S3) of Australia (blue).

We find that the subset of DGVMs that are in good agreement with the GOSAT inversions reveal a distinctly different seasonal timing of GPP and TER than the other DGVMs. For the selected subset, the CO₂ pulses are driven by TER, which increases rapidly at the onset of the rainy season, whereas GPP picks up only a few weeks later (Fig. 3A). The pulses originate mainly from an early increase of soil respiration in semi-arid regions (figs. S9 and S10A). For the other DGVMs, TER and GPP show a mostly synchronous phasing throughout the year, yielding no CO₂ pulses (Fig. 3B and fig. S10B). The precipitation records for the semi-arid regions of Australia (Fig. 3C and fig. S3) suggest that the soil respiration–driven pulses shown by the GOSAT inversions and the selected TRENDY models are weaker or do not occur in years with anomalously strong precipitation during the dry period (Austral winter), such as in the La Niña years 2010 and 2016. This implies that the observed pulses are conditional on rewetting of dry soils and that it is through the strength of the pulses that climatic conditions exert control over Australia’s annual CO₂ balance (fig. S6).

The detected continental-scale CO₂ pulses are consistent with site-level observations of dryland ecosystems that show an asynchronous response of respiration and photosynthesis to precipitation pulses (44). The rapid response of microbial respiration to rewetting events is known as the “Birch effect” and has been described in the literature of specific sites in some semi-arid regions for many decades (30–32). After being dormant in the dry period, soil microbes are activated by the moisture supplied from rainfall. Under warm soil temperatures, the microbes quickly respire accumulated and readily available substrate and their populations grow rapidly. These dynamics of soil microbial processes cause respiration CO₂ pulses with rewetting of dry soils that are evident in Australian flux tower data (figs. S11 and S12). Photodegradation of surface litter (45) and the death of microorganisms during the dry period (46, 47) may lead to the accumulation of easily decomposable substrate available to microorganisms at the onset of rain. It remains an open question whether the respiration pulses are mainly driven by substrates accumulated during the dry period and to what extent they are fueled by mobilization and decomposition of physically protected carbon (47). These processes are not represented explicitly or in detail in the TRENDY DGVMs, and thus the DGVMs cannot resolve how the site-level mechanisms scale up to the continental-scale effect observed here. Nonetheless, a selection of models effectively captures the continental-scale CO₂ pulses by a fast response of respiration and a delayed response of photosynthesis to the onset of the rainy season. This highlights the importance of subtle differences in effective parameterizations of respiration and photosynthesis to moisture fluctuations. Associated uncertainties affect the ability of the models to accurately represent the carbon cycle of semi-arid ecosystems.

Our study demonstrates that the soil respiration–driven CO₂ pulses over Australia after the end of the dry season are of large-scale relevance and appear to dominate the variability of the continent’s carbon balance.
The GOSAT inversions have shed light on a blind spot of previous top-down and bottom-up approaches for quantifying and attributing CO2 flux variability. This is important because Australia’s semiarid regions contribute substantially to the interannual variability of the global terrestrial carbon sink and because it is the ecosystem response to the phasing of dry and wet periods that drives the seasonal mechanism behind the large interannual variability. Thus, our study calls for revisiting the dry and wet periods that drives the seasonal variability to the interannual variability of the CO2 balance and variations and for assessing implications for our ability to model climate-carbon feedbacks in semiarid regions. Only a few of the global vegetation models are able to reproduce the observed CO2 pulses, which suggests that only their respective parameterizations are able to represent the sensitivity of the underlying mechanism to changes in climatic conditions and, thus, to accurately project semiarid CO2 flux variability under a changing climate. Considering the large uncertainties associated with modeling climate-carbon feedbacks (14, 15, 48), our findings may contribute continental-scale mechanistic understanding that can help reduce these uncertainties for dryland ecosystems that are found to be particularly sensitive to climate change (49).
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