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Anesthesia, Sedation, and Unplanned Extubation of Tracheal Intubation in Children with Severe Pneumonia
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We randomly divided 200 children with severe pneumonia who met the indications for tracheal intubation into 2 groups in this prospective study. One group that received dexmedetomidine for sedation was recorded as the dexmedetomidine group \( (n = 100) \), and the group that received midazolam for sedation was recorded as the midazolam group \( (n = 100) \). We compared the anesthesia sedation scores, time to fall asleep, time to wake up from anesthesia, related hemodynamic parameters, and adverse reactions between the two groups of children. The failure mode and effect analysis method (FMEA) was also used to investigate the causes of unplanned extubation (UEX) of tracheal intubation in 32 children with severe pneumonia. Our conclusion is as follows: (1) Compared with midazolam, the comprehensive effect of dexmedetomidine on children with severe pneumonia undergoing tracheal intubation for anesthesia and sedation is better, it can effectively shorten the anesthesia induction time and the recovery time after stopping the drug, and there are few adverse reactions, which is worthy of application and promotion. (2) UEX is an important risk factor in the monitoring and nursing of children with severe pneumonia tracheal intubation, and the nursing method of PDCA cycle management is particularly important for them.

1. Introduction

Severe pneumonia is a more common critical illness in pediatrics, and some children often need mechanical ventilation for respiratory failure [1, 2]. Mechanical ventilation is a treatment that can effectively improve the clinical symptoms of children. However, during tracheal intubation, affected by factors such as low tolerance and emotional instability in children, it is usually necessary to take effective anesthesia and sedation for children [3]. Midazolam is one of the classic drugs for clinical anesthesia and sedation and belongs to benzodiazepines, which is suitable for pediatric surgical treatment because of its rapid onset and low toxicity [4, 5]. But it also has a certain dose-dependent effect and will cause a slight inhibition of the respiratory system, so its advantages in the treatment of children with severe pneumonia are not very significant [6]. Dexmedetomidine is a new type of sedative drug that has emerged in the past 10 years. It has an analgesic effect, inhibits sympathetic nerve activity without inhibiting respiration, has an ideal sedative effect, and is suitable for the anesthesia and sedation treatment of children with severe pneumonia [7, 8]. In view of the fact that the current application of dexmedetomidine in adults is relatively mature, but compared with traditional sedative drugs, its advantages in pediatric anesthesia and sedation are still in the exploratory stage. Therefore, we analyzed and compared the anesthetic sedation effect and safety of midazolam and dexmedetomidine for mechanical
ventilation in children with severe pneumonia, in order to provide a relevant reference for the clinical sedation of children with severe pneumonia.

In addition, considering that unplanned extubation (UEX) is an important potential risk for pediatric children with tracheal intubation [9], it refers to the patient pulling out the intubation without the consent of the medical staff or the intubation falling off due to an accident or improper operation of the medical staff [10]. UEX can lead to airway injury and aggravation of the condition of patients with tracheal intubation and, in severe cases, asphyxia and other life-threatening situations, which are not conducive to the early recovery and discharge of patients [11]. Based on this, this study also used failure mode and effect analysis (FMEA) to investigate the main risks and failure causes of children with endotracheal intubation with UEX and discussed the key points of improving nursing management based on the above reasons. See the following for details.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General Data. From January 2018 to April 2021, 200 children with severe pneumonia who met the indications for tracheal intubation were randomly divided into 2 groups. One group that received dexmedetomidine for sedation was recorded as the dexmedetomidine group \((n = 100)\), and the group that received midazolam for sedation was recorded as the midazolam group \((n = 100)\). There was no significant difference in the general information in Table 1 between the two groups of children, and they could be compared \((P > 0.05)\).

2.2. Inclusion Criteria. The inclusion criteria included the following: (1) those who met the “Expert Consensus on Clinical Practice of Severe Emergency Pneumonia in China” for the diagnosis of severe pneumonia; (2) those under the age of 14; (3) those who met the indications for tracheal intubation and were intubated through the mouth; (4) those with normal physical, cognitive, and IQ development; (5) expected intubation time greater than or equal to 48 h; (6) those whose family members had signed and agreed.

2.3. Exclusion Criteria. The exclusion criteria included the following: (1) those who had a history of allergy or addiction to the drugs in this study; (2) those who used other sedatives during the study; (3) those with bradycardia or atrioventricular blocks II and III; (4) those who had been diagnosed or suspected of having encephalitis, epilepsy, and other types of central nervous system damage; (5) those with severe liver and kidney dysfunction.

2.4. Research Methods

(1) Anesthesia and sedation methods: The two groups of children were subjected to continuous ECG, noninvasive blood pressure (MAP), heart rate (HR), and pulse oxygen saturation (SpO2) detection. On this basis, the midazolam group was first given midazolam (Zhejiang Jiuxu Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., National Drug Approval H20090248) at a loading dose of 0.3 mg/kg intravenously, followed by continuous intravenous pumping of 0.03–0.5 mg/kg/h for maintenance; in the dexmedetomidine group, dexmedetomidine hydrochloride (Jiangsu Hengrui Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., National Drug Approval H20090248) was injected intravenously with a loading dose of 0.5 µg/kg, followed by continuous intravenous pumping of 0.2–0.5 µg/kg·h for maintenance. The specific dose was adjusted according to the sedative effect and tolerance of the child.

(2) FMEA method: Set up an FMEA team, which consisted of the head nurse of the department, the head of the nursing team, the responsible nurse, and the junior nurse. All team members received systematic training on FMEA knowledge and also received medical supervision. According to the FMEA method, the operation flowchart was drawn, and the monitoring and nursing process of all children with tracheal intubation was divided into oral care, turning over and patting back, protective restraint, airway care, handover situation, irritating cough, and airbag management. The number and reasons of UEX in all children during tracheal intubation were analyzed, and the risk priority number (RPN) of the failure mode was calculated.

2.5. Observation Indicators

(1) The Ramsay scores of the 2 groups of children before \((T_0)\) and 1 h, 6 h, 12 h, 24 h, and 48 h \((T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4,\) and \(T_5)\) after treatment were compared. The Ramsay score was divided into the following grades: 1 represents restlessness; 2 represents directional ability and quiet cooperation; 3 represents lassitude and ability to obey commands; 4 represents light sleep that can be quickly awakened; 5 represents sleep states that are slow to respond to calls; 6 represents deep sleep without waking up.

(2) The time to fall asleep and wake up from anesthesia of the 2 groups of children was compared.

(3) The relevant hemodynamic parameters (MA, HR, and SpO2) at \(T_0, T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4,\) and \(T_5\) in the 2 groups were compared.

(4) The incidence of adverse reactions such as hypotension, bradycardia, and delirium in the 2 groups was compared.

(5) The number of times and causes of UEX in all children during tracheal intubation were counted. The RPN values of the failure modes of the 7 nursing procedures in this group were calculated. RPN = occurrence \((O)\) of risk × severity \((S)\) of risk × detectability \((D)\) of risk.
2.6. Statistical Methods. Using SPSS22.0 software, measurement data were expressed as \( \bar{x} \pm s \), F test was used for comparison between multiple groups, and t analysis was performed for pairwise comparison between groups. The count data were expressed in percentage (%), and the \( \chi^2 \) test was adopted. \( P < 0.05 \) indicated that the difference was statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Comparison of Ramsay Scores between the 2 Groups. At \( T_0 \), \( T_1 \), \( T_2 \), \( T_3 \), \( T_4 \), and \( T_5 \), the Ramsay scores of the midazolam group were 1.12 ± 0.39, 2.03 ± 0.54, 3.22 ± 0.69, 3.36 ± 0.64, 3.17 ± 0.67, and 3.06 ± 0.54 scores, respectively; Ramsay scores of the dexmedetomidine group were 1.09 ± 0.42, 2.60 ± 0.60, 3.03 ± 0.68, 3.19 ± 0.63, 3.35 ± 0.68, and 3.21 ± 0.57 scores, respectively. The Ramsay scores of the 2 groups at \( T_1 \), \( T_2 \), \( T_3 \), \( T_4 \), and \( T_5 \) were significantly higher than those of the same group at \( T_0 \), and \( T_2 \), \( T_3 \), \( T_4 \), and \( T_5 \) were significantly higher than those of the same group at \( T_1 \) \( (P < 0.001) \). The Ramsay scores of the two groups were not statistically different at \( T_0 \), \( T_2 \), \( T_3 \), \( T_4 \), and \( T_5 \) \( (P > 0.05) \). At \( T_1 \), the Ramsay score of the dexmedetomidine group was significantly higher than that of the midazolam group \( (P < 0.001) \) (Figure 1).

3.2. Comparison of the Time to Fall Asleep and Wake Up from Anesthesia between the 2 Groups. The time to fall asleep during anesthesia in the dexmedetomidine group (14.13 ± 2.92 min) was significantly shorter than that in the midazolam group (22.02 ± 2.54 min) \( (P < 0.001) \). The recovery time from anesthesia in the dexmedetomidine group (20.45 ± 5.58 min) was significantly shorter than that in the midazolam group (28.62 ± 6.39 min) \( (P < 0.001) \) (Figure 2).

3.3. Comparison of Hemodynamic Parameters between the 2 Groups. There was no statistical difference between the 2 groups of MAP and HR at \( T_0 \) \( (P > 0.05) \). There was no statistical difference between the 2 groups of SpO2 at the time points of \( T_0 \), \( T_1 \), \( T_2 \), \( T_3 \), \( T_4 \), and \( T_5 \) \( (P > 0.05) \). The MAP and HR of the 2 groups were significantly lower than \( T_0 \) at \( T_1 \), \( T_3 \), \( T_4 \), and \( T_5 \), and the HR of the dexmedetomidine group was significantly lower than that of the midazolam group \( (P < 0.001) \) (Figure 3).

3.4. Comparison of Adverse Reaction Rate between the 2 Groups. The incidences of hypotension, bradycardia, and delirium in the midazolam group were 2.00% (2/100), 4.00% (4/100), and 15.00% (15/100), respectively. The incidences of hypotension, bradycardia, and delirium in the dexmedetomidine group were 1.00% (1/100), 2.00% (2/100), and 3.00% (3/100), respectively. The incidence of delirium in the dexmedetomidine group was significantly lower than that in the midazolam group \( (P < 0.05) \) (Figure 4).

3.5. Analysis of the Causes of UEX in Children with Severe Pneumonia after Tracheal Intubation. After tracheal intubation of 200 children with severe pneumonia in this group, the incidence of UEX was 16.00% (32/200). Among them,
oral care, turning over and patting back, protective restraint, and airway care were the top 4 causes of UEX, respectively, accounting for 21.88% (7/32), 18.75% (6/32), 15.63% (5/32), and 15.63% (5/32) of the total (Table 2).

3.6. **The RPN Value of the Failure Modes of the 7 Nursing Procedures in This Group.** Sorted by RPN value, the RPN values of this group of protective restraint, airbag management, oral care, handover situation, turning over and patting back, irritating cough, and airway care are 280, 270, 147, 96, 72, 60, and 50, respectively (Table 3).

4. **Discussion**

Severe pneumonia is a common respiratory disease in children, and it is also one of the main causes of death in hospitalized children under 5 years of age [12]. The disease has a rapid onset, rapid changes in the condition, and a dangerous situation. It can often cause severe hypoxemia and even acute respiratory failure and other complications [13]. At this time, the child needs to be treated with artificial mechanical ventilation, which includes two methods: non-invasive and invasive. In view of the immature airway development in children, the inner wall of the lumen is rich in blood vessels, the mucosa is prone to congestion and edema, and the oxygen supply for noninvasive ventilation is limited. Therefore, it is often necessary to establish an artificial airway through the mouth or the nose and connect the ventilator. However, tracheal intubation can stimulate the respiratory tract of children, bring physical discomfort to children, and trigger different degrees of stress response [14].
compared with midazolam sedation treatment, lower than that of the midazolam group. This shows that, the HR of the dexmedetomidine group was significantly shorter than that in the midazolam group. The occurrence of delirium 

effects through the following two mechanisms: (1) It can inhibit the activation of $\gamma$-aminobutyric acid receptors, stimulate cholinergic receptors, maintain the stability of the microenvironment of the central nervous system, protect neuronal cell membranes from toxic neurotransmitters, and thereby reduce the occurrence of delirium. (2) Because benzodiazepines sedative and hypnotics have been confirmed to be related to the occurrence of delirium, dexmedetomidine may have reduced the dosage of midazolam in children by substitution, which in turn indirectly reduced the occurrence of delirium [24].

In this study, FMEA was also used to investigate the main risks and failure causes of tracheal intubation in children with UEX. Among the results, oral care, turning over and patting back, protective restraint, and airway care

| Causes                              | Number of cases (n = 32) | Incidence rate (%) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Oral care                           | 7                        | 21.88              |
| Turning over and patting back       | 6                        | 18.75              |
| Protective restraint                | 5                        | 15.63              |
| Airway care                         | 5                        | 15.63              |
| Handover situation                  | 4                        | 12.50              |
| Irritating cough                    | 4                        | 12.50              |
| Airbag management                   | 1                        | 3.13               |

| Nursing procedures      | Failure modes                        | Reason for failure                             | $O$ | $S$ | $D$ | RPN |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Protective restraint    | Insufficient protective restraint     | Lack of predictability by nurses               | 7   | 8   | 5   | 280 |
| Airbag management       | Missing monitoring                    | Unscientific method                           | 6   | 9   | 5   | 270 |
| Oral care               | Clean mouth operation                 | Insufficient experience and improper operation| 7   | 7   | 3   | 147 |
| Handover situation      | Insufficient execution of nurses      | Insufficient attention to detail and familiarity| 6   | 8   | 2   | 96  |
| Turning over and patting back | Lack of preparation                  | Insufficient experience, improper operation   | 6   | 6   | 2   | 72  |
| Irritating cough        | Incomplete assessment                 | Noncough predictive assessment                | 5   | 6   | 2   | 60  |
| Airway care             | Irregular operation process           | Insufficient inspection                       | 5   | 5   | 2   | 50  |
were the top 4 causes of UEX. Improper operation of protective restraint, airbag management, and oral care ranked the top 3 in RPN. Specific analysis of the reasons is as follows. In oral care, the lack of experience of nursing staff or improper nursing is the common reason that leads to the slip of fixed tape or the destruction of adhesive force of fixed tape and catheter prolapse. In turning over and back patting, single operation is an important factor leading to catheter accidental extraction. In protective restraint, nurses have insufficient anticipation of physical restraint in tense and uncooperative children, which easily leads to the unconscious or conscious self-extraction of the catheter in children. In airway care, the nursing staff’s inspection is not careful enough, and they fail to notice the loosening or a small amount of pulling out of the intubation in time, which may lead to the accidental shedding of the catheter after repeated accumulation.

Therefore, in order to continuously improve the problems in nursing work, improve the quality of nursing management, and reduce the occurrence of UEX, we advocate the nursing method of Plan-Do-Check-Action (PDCA) cycle management for such children [25]. It requires that during the implementation of nursing, medical staff should strengthen the psychological dredge of children and relieve the tension of children. In the operation of oral care, nursing staff should strengthen the effective fixation and observation of tape and can use sticky and tough tape cross fixation to double insurance; if it is loose, it shall be replaced in time. When changing the position of the child or turning over the back, avoid single operation and excessive pulling of the catheter. When implementing protective restraints, the foreseeability of limb restraint failures should be enhanced, soft cushions should be placed on both sides of the child’s neck to prevent head twisting, the limbs should be restrained, and at the same time, regular active and passive activities should be performed to relax muscles and prevent spasms. At the same time, the competent physician and intubator are invited to evaluate the pressure of the airbag and the intubation pipe; once the extubation indication is met, the catheter is removed in time. In addition, medical staff should also strengthen the learning progress of UEX-related knowledge, regularly carry out relevant functional knowledge training, and constantly standardize the operation of catheter nursing, so as to reduce the occurrence of UEX in children with tracheal intubation and ensure the safety of intubation in children.

5. Conclusion

Compared with midazolam, the comprehensive effect of dexmedetomidine on children with severe pneumonia undergoing tracheal intubation for anesthesia and sedation is better, it can effectively shorten the anesthesia induction time and the recovery time after stopping the drug, and there are few adverse reactions, which is worthy of application and promotion. UEX is an important risk factor in the monitoring and nursing of children with severe pneumonia tracheal intubation, and the nursing method of PDCA cycle management is particularly important for them.
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