What Determine the Corporate Tax Rates During the COVID-19? Evidence From 113 Countries
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Fiscal policy implications become an important tool to soften the negative consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic. Given this backdrop, this paper analyses the drivers of corporate tax rates during the COVID-19 pandemic (i.e., in 2020 and 2021). The results from 113 advanced and developing economies show that a higher level of the COVID-19-related uncertainty is positively associated with the corporate tax rates. Similarly, the country size (measured by total population) increases the corporate tax rates. Per capita income is negatively related to the corporate tax rates, but this evidence is insufficient to consider different estimation techniques. The paper also discusses potential fiscal policy implications for the driving mechanism of corporate tax rates for the post-COVID-19 era.
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INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic has significantly affected economic indicators and societies (1). The pandemic has also created various problems in the business environment. Therefore, policymakers have put forward various measures to slow down the spread of the virus, and they have closed down public areas, restaurants, and schools (2). Most countries have implemented lockdown policies to change the spread of the COVID-19. Notably, lockdown and other closure policies have increased the level of economic uncertainty related to the pandemic. According to Altig et al. (3), Baker et al. (4, 5), the COVID-19 related uncertainty has provided major economies. Besides, the COVID-19 related uncertainty has created both demand and supply shocks (6, 7). Policymakers have implemented fiscal policy (8, 9), income policy (10), monetary policy (11), and tax policy (12) implications. These implications are also supported by stimulus packages to promote business investments and household consumption (13, 14). These stimulus packages have created cash crunch and fiscal deficit problems in some countries (15). Therefore, countries have implemented different taxes, government debts, and other fiscal operations. The fiscal deficits have also raised the interest on corporate tax rates, providing minimum corporate tax rates globally (16).

Given this backdrop, this paper aims to examine the drivers of corporate tax rates during the COVID-19 pandemic era of 2020 and 2021. Several papers show that uncertainties significantly affect the corporate tax rates [see, e.g., (17, 18)]. Previous papers also analyse the determinants of the tax policies during the COVID-19 era. For instance, Bredemeier et al. (19) illustrate that reducing labor taxes can be an effective policy tool to promote employment in the United States during the COVID-19 era. Clemens and Veuger (20) observe that the COVID-19 pandemic has caused a significant reduction in income tax collections in the United States.
At this stage, we analyse the drivers of corporate tax rates during the COVID-19 pandemic. Previous papers have generally focused on specific tax measures in developed economies, such as the United States. For this purpose, we use the sample of 113 developed and developing economies in 2020 and 2021. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first paper in the empirical literature to examine the determinants of corporate tax rates across the countries during the COVID-19 era. We find that a higher level of the COVID-19-related uncertainty is positively associated with the corporate tax rates. Similarly, the country size (measured by total population) increases the corporate tax rates. Per capita income is negatively related to the corporate tax rates, but the evidence is insufficient to consider different estimation techniques.

The remaining parts of the study are structured as follows. Section Empirical Model, Econometric Procedures, and Data provides the details of the empirical model, the econometric procedures, and the data. Section Empirical Findings reports the empirical findings, and section Conclusion concludes.

**EMPIRICAL MODEL, ECONOMETRIC PROCEDURES, AND DATA**

This paper estimates the following model in 113 advanced- and developing economies\(^1\) in 2020 and 2021:

\[
CTR_{it} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_i + \mu_i (1)
\]

In Equation (1), \(CTR_{it}\) is the corporate tax rates in 2020 and 2021, \(X_i\) is the vector for independent variables, such as the per capita income, total population, and the WPUI. \(i\) captures the country, and \(\mu_i\) represents a random error term.

We consider two econometric methods of cross-sectional data to estimate the Equation (1) for 113 countries. Country selection is based on data availability. The first is the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) method, and the second is the Kernel-Based Regularized Least Squares (KRLS) method introduced by Hainmueller and Hazlett (21, 22).

The dependent variable is the corporate tax rates, which are the rate in 2020 and 2021, and the related data are accessed from the source of KPMG (23). We also include three independent variables at the cross-country level. Specifically, we include the per capita GDP (based on the constant $ prices) and total population in millions. These data are downloaded from the World Development Indicators dataset of the World Bank (24).

In addition, we include the World Pandemic Uncertainty Index (WPUI). The WPUI measure is introduced by Ahir et al. (25), and we downloaded the data from their website (https://worlduncertaintyindex.com/data/). The WPUI measure counts the number of articles in Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU) country reports related to uncertainty due to global pandemic (the COVID-19 in our case) in different countries. Note that a higher WPUI reflects a higher uncertainty related to the global pandemic. We consider the WPUI measure in the natural logarithmic form. Note that the WPUI in 2021 is the average of the values in 2021Q1 and 2021Q2.

On the other hand, we summarize descriptive statistics (i.e., mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum values) in Table 1 for five indicators in the sample for 113 countries.

We also report the pairwise correlations in Table 2. Table 2 indicates the positive correlation between corporate tax rates in 2020 and 2021, the total population, and the WPUI. There is a negative correlation between corporate tax rates and per capita GDP. The WPUI is negatively correlated with the per capita GDP, but the WPUI and total population are positive. The correlation is negative between per capita GDP and population.

**EMPIRICAL FINDINGS**

Table 3 reports the findings of the cross-sectional OLS estimations for the corporate tax rates during the COVID-19 pandemic in 113 countries.

The columns from (1) to (3) report the results in 2020, and the columns from (4) and (6) provide the findings in 2021. Columns (1) and (4) merely include the log per capita income (LnGDPC). Columns (2) and (5) both include the log per capita income

---

\(^1\)Afghanistan, Albania, Algeria, Angola, Argentina, Armenia, Australia, Austria, Azerbaijan, Bangladesh, Belgium, Benin, Bolivia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Botswana, Brazil, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cambodia, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Colombia, Congo Repub, Congo DR, Costa Rica, Côte d’Ivoire, Croatia, Czech Repub, Denmark, Dominican Repub, Egypt, El Salvador, Ethiopia, Finland, France, Gabon, Georgia, Germany, Ghana, Greece, Guatemala, Honduras, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Korea Repub, Kuwait, Kyrgyz Repub, Latvia, Libya, Lithuania, Malaysia, Mexico, Moldova, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Myanmar, Namibia, Netherlands, New Zealand, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Norway, Pakistan, Panama, North Macedonia, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Romania, Russia, Rwanda, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Slovak Repub, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, Tunisia, Turkey, Uganda, Ukraine, the United Arab Emirates, the United Kingdom, the United States, Uruguay, Uzbekistan, Vietnam, Zambia, and Zimbabwe.
(LnGDPC) and the log total population (LnPOP). Columns (3) and (6) include the log per capita income (LnGDPC), the log total population (LnPOP), and the log World Pandemic Uncertainty Index (LnWPUI).

The findings show that LnGDPC is negatively related to the corporate tax rates and all coefficients are statistically significant at the 10% level at least. The coefficients change between −1.02 and −1.78 and meaning that a 1% increase in the per capita GDP leads to more than a 1%-point decrease in the corporate tax rates. In addition, LnPOP increases the corporate tax rates, and all coefficients are statistically significant at the 1% level. The coefficients change between 1.41 and 1.63, implying that a 1% rise in the total population yields more than a 1%-point increase in the corporate tax rates. Finally, LnWPUI is positively associated with the corporate tax rates, and all coefficients are statistically significant at the 10% level at least. The coefficients change between 5.04 and 7.42, meaning that a 1% increase in the World Pandemic Uncertainty Index leads to a more than 1%-point increase in corporate tax rates.

Furthermore, Table 4 provides the results of the cross-sectional KRLS estimations for the corporate tax rates during the COVID-19 pandemic in 113 countries. The columns from (1) to (3) provide the findings in 2020, and the columns from (4) and (6) report the results in 2021. Columns (1) and (4) only consider the log per capita income (LnGDPC). Columns (2) and (5) both include the log per capita income (LnGDPC) and the log total population (LnPOP). Columns (3) and (6) include the log per capita income (LnGDPC), the log total population (LnPOP), and the log World Pandemic Uncertainty Index (LnWPUI).

The results indicate that LnGDPC is negatively associated with corporate tax rates, but some coefficients are statistically insignificant. The coefficients change between −0.04 and −0.56 and meaning that a 1% increase in the per capita GDP leads to a less than a 1%-point reduction in the corporate tax rates. In addition, LnPOP increases the corporate tax rates, and all coefficients are statistically significant at the 1% level. The coefficients change between 0.87 and 0.99, implying that a 1% rise in the total population yields less than a 1%-point increase in the corporate tax rates. Finally, LnWPUI increases the corporate tax rates, and all coefficients are statistically significant at the 10% level at least. The coefficients change between 3.75 and 6.25, meaning that a 1% increase in the World Pandemic Uncertainty Index causes more than a 1%-point increase in corporate tax rates.

Overall, we observe that a higher log WPUI is positively related to the corporate tax rates. Similarly, the log total population increases the corporate tax rates. The per capita GDP is negatively associated with the corporate tax rates, but this result is not robust to utilize different estimation techniques, such as the OLS and the KRLS.
CONCLUSION

In this paper, we analyzed the determinants of the corporate tax rates during the COVID-19 pandemic. For this purpose, we focused on the data for 2020 and 2021 in 113 developed and developing economies. We found that a higher level of the COVID-19-related uncertainty, which the World Pandemic Uncertainty Index measures, is positively related to the corporate tax rates. Similarly, the country size, measured by the log of the total population in millions, increases corporate tax rates. However, the per capita GDP is negatively associated with the corporate tax rates, but this result is not robust to utilize different estimation techniques. Therefore, we concluded that the COVID-19-related uncertainty and the country size were the main driving mechanisms of corporate tax rates during the COVID-19 era. It seems that COVID-19-related uncertainty shocks have increased the fiscal costs, and governments have increased the corporate tax rates to finance the fiscal cost due to the pandemic. In addition, the spread ratio of the COVID-19 is generally higher in large countries than in small countries; therefore, the pandemic's economic costs should be higher. Therefore, the country size can be positively related to the corporate tax rate increases, and the evidence aligns with theoretical backgrounds. In addition, we observe that the change in corporate tax rates is not significantly associated with the per capita GDP.

At this stage, it is important to note that our results are limited by considering the corporate tax rates. Therefore, future papers should focus on the changes in other tax measures, such as the indirect tax rates and the individual income tax rates in developed and developing economies. Given that per capita income is not the main driver of corporate tax rate change, future papers can analyse determinants of tax rates changes in natural resource-abundant and natural resources scarce countries that may be a driver of the change in tax rates during the COVID-19 era.
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