Risk factors for kidney disorders in patients with type 2 diabetes at high cardiovascular risk: An exploratory analysis (DEVOTE 12)
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Abstract
Aim: To investigate risk factors associated with kidney disorders in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) at high cardiovascular (CV) risk.
Methods: In DEVOTE, a cardiovascular outcomes trial, 7637 patients were randomised to insulin degludec (degludec) or insulin glargine 100 units/mL (glargine U100), with standard of care. In these exploratory post hoc analyses, serious adverse event reports were searched using Standardised MedDRA® Queries related to chronic kidney disease (CKD) or acute kidney injury (AKI). Baseline predictors of CKD, AKI and change in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) were identified using stepwise selection and Cox or linear regression.
Results: Over 2 years, eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) decline was small and similar between treatments (degludec: 2.70; glargine U100: 2.92). Overall, 97 and 208 patients experienced CKD and AKI events, respectively. A history of heart failure was a risk factor for CKD (hazard ratio [HR] 1.97 [95% confidence interval [CI] 1.41; 2.75]) and AKI (HR 2.28 [95% CI 1.64; 3.17]). A history of hepatic impairment was a significant predictor of CKD (HR 3.28 [95% CI 2.12; 5.07]) and change in eGFR (estimate: −8.59 [95% CI −10.20; −7.00]).
Conclusion: Our findings indicate that traditional, non-modifiable risk factors for kidney disorders apply to insulin-treated patients with T2D at high CV risk.
Trial registration: NCT01959529 (ClinicalTrials.gov).
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Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a common comorbidity in type 2 diabetes (T2D), co-occurring in approximately 50% of patients. The presence of CKD is associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD), including atherosclerotic CVD and heart failure, which is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in people with diabetes. CKD is a dynamic disease that progresses at a variable rate; prior published literature has demonstrated that the rate of estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) decline is associated with hospitalisation and mortality. In patients with T2D and CKD, the natural history of CKD appears to be heterogeneous. Although there are several well-established risk factors for CKD, such as hyperglycaemia and hypertension, many are complex and not well-defined.

Acute kidney injury (AKI) encompasses a group of syndromes defined by a sudden reduction in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) that affect as many as one in five hospitalised patients. The development of AKI is associated with a wide range of poor health outcomes and increased healthcare costs. There is increasing recognition that CKD and AKI are closely linked and likely to promote one another, and patients with diabetes are at higher risk of these complications than those without diabetes. The prompt identification of patients at highest risk of CKD, AKI and their negative sequela will assist in the development of strategies to optimise their care.

In DEVOTE, a cardiovascular outcomes trial (CVOT), the cardiovascular (CV) safety of insulin degludec (degludec) was compared with that of insulin glargine 100 units/mL (glargine U100) in patients with T2D at high CV risk. In DEVOTE, degludec was non-inferior to glargine U100 with respect to the incidence of CV events (hazard ratio [HR] 0.89 [95% confidence interval [CI] 0.78–1.00]), whilst patients experienced significantly fewer severe hypoglycaemic events (rate ratio: 0.60 [95% CI 0.48–0.76]), at similar levels of glycaemic control with degludec versus glargine U100, administered once daily; in addition to standard care. Eligible patients were treated with >1 oral or injectable antihyperglycaemic agent and with glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) ≥7.0% (53 mmol/mol) or <7.0% and treated with ≥20 units/day of basal insulin. Patients were ≥50 years of age with ≥1 CV or kidney condition (moderate CKD: eGFR of 30–59 mL/min/1.73 m² per the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration [CKD-EPI] equation), or ≥60 years of age with ≥1 prespecified CV risk factors. Patients receiving haemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis or with an eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m² at screening were ineligible. DEVOTE included 214 patients with severe CKD (defined as an eGFR of <30 mL/min/1.73 m² per the CKD-EPI equation) at baseline who met inclusion/exclusion criteria at screening. The trial protocol was approved by the independent ethics committee or institutional review board at each trial centre. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient before any trial-related activities. Separate ethics approval was not required for these post hoc analyses, as there were no new studies involving animals or humans.

Outcomes

CKD and AKI were identified in investigators’ reports of serious adverse events (SAEs; defined in Supplemental Methods S1) using narrow Standardised Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities Queries (SMQ; version 19.0) adverse event (AE) reporting terms related to CKD and AKI (detailed in Supplemental Methods S2). eGFR was estimated from serum creatinine using the CKD-EPI equation. Demographic and clinical factors predicting the following outcomes were assessed: time to first CKD SAE, time to first AKI SAE and change in eGFR. Any patients that experienced a CKD or AKI SAE in DEVOTE were considered in the analyses (including those defined as having either moderate or severe CKD at baseline). It is worth noting that SAEs could include the worsening of a pre-existing medical condition if the defining criteria were met (Supplemental Methods S1). Patients that experienced both CKD and AKI SAEs were included in the analyses of both endpoints, while only the first SAE reports (e.g. first CKD SAE and first AKI SAE in the trial) for each patient were considered and any further CKD or AKI SAEs in DEVOTE were excluded. Severe hypoglycaemia (requiring assistance from another person) was self-reported in DEVOTE and adjudicated by an independent Event Adjudication Committee. Bidirectional temporal associations between...
positively-adjudicated severe hypoglycaemia and time to first kidney SAE were also investigated. In the present article, the term ‘kidney disorder’ has been used to encompass both CKD and AKI SAEs; however, these endpoints were analysed separately.

**Statistical analysis**

Significant predictors of time to first kidney disorder were identified using stepwise selection in SAS PHREG with \( p \)-value thresholds of 0.1 and 0.05 determining whether a single predictor should be added or removed from the model. Candidate covariates were available baseline demographic and clinical data (listed in Suplemental Figure S1). Randomised treatment was forced to be part of the final model to account for stratification. Final estimates were based on a Cox proportional hazard model that included randomised treatment and all significant predictors simultaneously. The relative importance of model predictors was their Chi-square contribution.

Change in eGFR from baseline to 24 months was analysed using a mixed model for repeated measures (MMRM) within patients using an unstructured covariance matrix among visits at 12 and 24 months. Interactions between visit and treatment and between visit and baseline eGFR were included as fixed effects. Baseline predictors of change in eGFR were identified by stepwise selection using a generalised linear model (SAS GLMSELECT) that was forced to include baseline eGFR, visit and randomised treatment. The relative importance of model predictors was calculated based on the \( F \)-value contribution of each variable.

Time to first kidney disorder was analysed by Cox regression with severe hypoglycaemia (Yes/No) as a time-varying covariate to compare the risk of an event (CKD or AKI) with or without having a prior severe hypoglycaemic event in different time periods.\(^1^9\) Analyses were repeated adjusting for baseline covariates including treatment, sex, age, smoking status, geographic region, diabetes duration, insulin naïve, CV risk and eGFR, in alignment with prespecified sensitivity analyses of the DEVOTE primary endpoint.\(^1^4\) Time-to-event analyses were also conducted for severe hypoglycaemia using Cox regression with first kidney disorder (Yes/No) as a time-varying covariate to compare the risk of severe hypoglycaemia with or without having experienced a prior kidney disorder event in different time periods.

In all analyses, a two-sided \( p \)-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant, no adjustment was made for multiplicity and transformations were performed by natural log (using base \( e = 2.718 \)).

**Results**

Patient demographics and baseline characteristics of the overall trial population (\( N = 7637 \)) have been described previously.\(^1^4\) Median observation time was 2.0 years. Treatment arms were well matched with respect to baseline characteristics including the use of renin-angiotensin system inhibitors.\(^1^4\)

**Demographic and clinical predictors of kidney disorders**

Overall, CKD occurred in 97 patients: 45 patients (1.2%) with degludec and 52 patients (1.4%) treated with glargine U100 (Supplemental Table S2). AKI events were more frequent than CKD events, occurring in 208 patients: 87 patients (2.3%) treated with degludec and 121 patients (3.2%) treated with glargine U100 (Supplemental Table S2). In total, 43 patients experienced both CKD and AKI events. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for patients stratified by kidney SAE status in DEVOTE are presented in Supplemental Table S3. Baseline eGFR was identified as the most important predictor for time to first AKI and time to first AKI (Table 1). Other predictors of first CKD (in order of relative importance) included a history of hepatic impairment (defined as a modified Child-Pugh score [bilirubin and albumin values only] of \( \geq 2 \)), a history of heart failure, higher systolic blood pressure (BP), a history of amputation, diabetes duration \( \geq 15 \) years, male sex, and higher HbA1c and age (a lower risk in older patients) (Table 1). For first AKI, baseline predictors (in order of relative importance) included a history of heart failure, prior insulin regimen (a higher risk in patients with more complex baseline insulin regimens) and patients treated in the US (Table 1). Furthermore, our analyses identified a lower risk of first AKI with degludec versus glargine U100 (adjusted HR 0.73 [95% CI 0.53; 1.00] \( p = 0.049 \)).

**Demographic and clinical predictors of change in eGFR**

In the overall trial population, mean (SE) eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m\(^2\)) was 67.96 (0.25) at baseline, decreasing to 65.07 (0.32) after 24 months (a mean [SE] change of \(-2.51 [0.18]\) over 2 years; Figure 1(a)). In both treatment groups, eGFR declined at a similar rate, with no significant difference between randomised treatments (estimated treatment difference: 0.22, [95% CI −0.43; 0.87] \( p = 0.50 \)) (Figure 1(b)). Predictors of eGFR decline overall were similar to those identified for CKD alongside additional baseline demographic and clinical factors with lower relative importance (Table 2). These additional factors included a more rapid decline in eGFR with a history of proteinuria, high CV risk, higher triglyceride levels, a history of peripheral artery disease and no history of stroke or angina.
Bidirectional temporal associations between severe hypoglycaemia and kidney disorders

Experiencing severe hypoglycaemia at any time during the trial was a significant predictor of subsequent first kidney disorders (CKD and AKI; both \( p < 0.05 \)) (Table 3). In the 7 days following a severe hypoglycaemic event, patients were at a higher risk of first CKD (HR 23.0 [95% CI 5.7; 93.6] \( p < 0.0001 \)) and first AKI (HR 16.3 [95% CI 5.2; 51.1] \( p < 0.0001 \)) than those without severe hypoglycaemia. Thereafter, between 8 days and the end of the trial, patients were at a higher risk of first AKI following a severe hypoglycaemic event than patients without severe hypoglycaemia (HR 2.3 [95% CI 1.5; 3.6] \( p = 0.0002 \)), while the risk of first CKD was no longer significantly higher after day 8 (HR 1.9 [95% CI 0.9; 4.0] \( p = 0.079 \)). When adjusting for baseline variables associated with general frailty in this population (e.g. age, CV risk, diabetes duration and baseline eGFR), temporal associations were modified, but significantly higher risks were still apparent following a severe hypoglycaemic event (Supplemental Table S4). The converse temporal association between

### Table 1. Baseline demographic and clinical predictors of time to first kidney disorder in patients with T2D at high CV risk.

| Event   | Baseline predictor                          | HR [95% CI]     | Relative importance | \( p \)-value | Interpretation of significant associations                        |
|---------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| CKD     | Randomised treatment (degludec vs glargine U100) | 0.95 [0.70; 1.29] | 0.0                 | 0.76         | Lower risk of event with higher baseline values              |
|         | Log-transformed eGFR                        | 0.10 [0.07; 0.14] | 63.6               | \( <0.0001 \) | 228% higher risk of event with a history                      |
|         | History of hepatic impairment (yes vs no)    | 3.28 [2.12; 5.07] | 11.1               | \( <0.0001 \) | 97% higher risk of event with a history                       |
|         | History of heart failure (yes vs no)         | 1.97 [1.41; 2.75] | 6.2                | \( <0.0001 \) |                                                                      |
|         | Systolic blood pressure                      | 1.01 [1.01; 1.02] | 4.9                | 0.0004       | Higher risk of event with higher baseline readings (1% higher for each additional 1 mmHg) |
|         | History of amputation (yes vs no)            | 2.62 [1.37; 5.01] | 3.3                | 0.004        | 162% higher risk of event with a history                      |
|         | Diabetes duration \( \geqslant \) 15 years (yes vs no) | 1.64 [1.17; 2.30] | 3.2                | 0.004        | 64% higher risk of event with a diabetes duration \( \geqslant \) 15 years |
|         | Sex (male vs female)                         | 1.57 [1.13; 2.19] | 2.8                | 0.007        | 57% higher risk of event in males                            |
|         | HbA1c squared                                | 1.01 [1.00; 1.01] | 2.5                | 0.011        | Marginally higher risk of event with higher baseline values   |
|         | Log-transformed age                          | 0.17 [0.04; 0.70] | 2.4                | 0.014        | Marginally lower risk of event with older age                 |
| AKI     | Randomised treatment (degludec vs glargine U100) | 0.73 [0.53; 1.00] | 3.3                | 0.049        | 27% lower risk of event with degludec                        |
|         | Log-transformed eGFR                        | 0.25 [0.17; 0.37] | 42.3               | \( <0.0001 \) | Lower risk of event with higher baseline values              |
|         | History of heart failure (yes vs no)         | 2.28 [1.64; 3.17] | 20.0               | \( <0.0001 \) | 128% higher risk of event with a history                      |
|         | Prior insulin regimen                        |                 | 18.0               | \( <0.0001 \) |                                                                      |
|         | Bolus vs insulin naive                       | 0.37 [0.05; 2.90] |                   |              | 178% higher risk of event with a prior basal–bolus or premix insulin regimen |
|         | Basal–bolus/premix vs insulin naïve          | 2.78 [1.49; 5.18] |                   |              |                                                                      |
|         | Basal vs naïve                               | 1.50 [0.77; 2.92] |                   |              |                                                                      |
|         | From the US (yes vs no)                      | 3.36 [1.97; 5.75] | 16.4               | \( <0.0001 \) | 236% higher risk of event in US patients                      |

AKI: acute kidney injury; CI: confidence interval; CKD: chronic kidney disease; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; glargine U100: insulin glargine 100 units/mL; HbA1c: glycated haemoglobin; HR: hazard ratio; MedDRA: medical dictionary for regulatory activities; SAE: serious adverse event.

Events of CKD and AKI were identified by searching investigator reports of SAEs (defined in Supplemental Methods S1) using narrow Standardised Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) Queries (SMQ, version 19.0). Significant demographic and clinical predictors were identified using stepwise selection in SAS PHREG (refer to Supplemental Table S1 for a list of variables considered). Randomised treatment was forced to be part of the final model to account for stratification. Time to first AKI or CKD were analysed using Cox proportional hazard regression including randomised treatment and all significant predictors simultaneously.

*The relative importance of predictors was calculated based on the Chi-square contribution of each variable.

*Defined as a score of \( >2 \) on a modified Child-Pugh criteria scale using only bilirubin and albumin values.
first kidney disorders and subsequent severe hypoglycaemic events were also significant (Supplemental Table S5). There were significantly higher risks of severe hypoglycaemia after experiencing first CKD or AKI, particularly in the 7 days following a first kidney disorder (CKD HR 15.6 [95% CI 2.2; 110.8] \( p = 0.006; \) AKI HR 8.0 [95% CI 1.1; 57.1] \( p = 0.038 \)).

Discussion

These exploratory post hoc analyses of DEVOTE data identified a history of heart failure as a shared risk factor for CKD and AKI, while both a history of hepatic impairment and raised systolic BP predicted CKD risk and eGFR decline. Furthermore, our findings indicate substantially higher risks of first CKD and AKI following a severe hypoglycaemic event and vice versa, that is, there were also markedly higher risks of severe hypoglycaemia following a first kidney disorder event.

There were modest declines in eGFR over 2 years in DEVOTE that were smaller than expected in this high-risk patient population in a clinical setting (e.g. eGFR declined twice as fast in the community-based Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities [ARIC] study). This may reflect the well-controlled and closely monitored clinical trial setting, but also supports the maintenance of eGFR over 2 years in the DEVOTE patient population using long-acting basal insulin analogues in addition to standard of care. Of course, there may be other differences between the DEVOTE and ARIC study cohorts that are associated with the differential rates of eGFR decline such as HbA1c, BP or pharmacotherapies.

Most demographic or clinical parameters found to be associated with the risk of first kidney disorders or eGFR decline in DEVOTE were consistent with the literature on the progression of kidney disease. Baseline eGFR was the strongest predictor of CKD and AKI, while data-driven selection of the log-transformed variable indicated that risk increased exponentially with poorer baseline rates. By contrast, lower baseline eGFR was associated with a smaller decline during the trial (i.e. a smaller absolute change), which may be partly due to regression toward the mean. Another strong predictor of kidney disorders in DEVOTE was a history of heart failure, which was associated with a two-fold higher risk of both first CKD and AKI. This is not surprising as heart failure and CKD share several common risk factors (e.g. age, diabetes, hypertension, obesity, dyslipidaemia and smoking) and often coexist. The pathophysiology between the heart and the kidneys is complex, bidirectional and currently not fully understood. There are several postulated mechanisms to explain this bidirectional association, including hemodynamic changes leading to increased renal venous congestion and poor forward flow, which may compromise GFR, and adverse effects of some therapies (e.g. diuretics) that may cause AKI and subsequent CKD progression. Several other hormonal or inflammatory pathways have also been implicated. A history of hepatic impairment at baseline was associated with a three-fold higher risk of both first CKD and AKI. This is not surprising as heart failure and CKD share several common risk factors (e.g. age, diabetes, hypertension, obesity, dyslipidaemia and smoking) and often coexist. The pathophysiology between the heart and the kidneys is complex, bidirectional and currently not fully understood. There are several postulated mechanisms to explain this bidirectional association, including hemodynamic changes leading to increased renal venous congestion and poor forward flow, which may compromise GFR, and adverse effects of some therapies (e.g. diuretics) that may cause AKI and subsequent CKD progression. Several other hormonal or inflammatory pathways have also been implicated. A history of hepatic impairment at baseline was associated with a three-fold higher risk of both first CKD and AKI. This is not surprising as heart failure and CKD share several common risk factors (e.g. age, diabetes, hypertension, obesity, dyslipidaemia and smoking) and often coexist. The pathophysiology between the heart and the kidneys is complex, bidirectional and currently not fully understood. There are several postulated mechanisms to explain this bidirectional association, including hemodynamic changes leading to increased renal venous congestion and poor forward flow, which may compromise GFR, and adverse effects of some therapies (e.g. diuretics) that may cause AKI and subsequent CKD progression. Several other hormonal or inflammatory pathways have also been implicated. A history of hepatic impairment at baseline was associated with a three-fold higher risk of both first CKD and AKI. This is not surprising as heart failure and CKD share several common risk factors (e.g. age, diabetes, hypertension, obesity, dyslipidaemia and smoking) and often coexist. The pathophysiology between the heart and the kidneys is complex, bidirectional and currently not fully understood. There are several postulated mechanisms to explain this bidirectional association, including hemodynamic changes leading to increased renal venous congestion and poor forward flow, which may compromise GFR, and adverse effects of some therapies (e.g. diuretics) that may cause AKI and subsequent CKD progression. Several other hormonal or inflammatory pathways have also been implicated.
Table 2. Baseline demographic and clinical predictors of change in eGFR in patients with T2D at high CV risk.

| Predictor                                          | Estimated change in eGFR (eGFR<sub>baseline</sub> minus eGFR<sub>24 months</sub>) [95% CI] | Relative importancea | p-value     | Interpretation of significant associations                      |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| eGFR                                               | −0.12 [−0.13; −0.11]                                                                    | 55.0                 | <0.0001     | A greater eGFR decline with higher baseline values              |
| Randomised treatment (degludec vs glargine U100)   | 0.24 [−0.23; 0.72]                                                                       | 0.2                  | 0.32        |                                                                 |
| History of hepatic impairment (yes vs no)<sub>b</sub> | −8.59 [−10.20; −7.00]                                                                   | 18.3                 | <0.0001     | A greater eGFR decline with a history                           |
| Systolic blood pressure                            | −0.05 [−0.06; −0.04]                                                                     | 9.1                  | <0.0001     | A greater eGFR decline with higher baseline values              |
| CV risk group (high vs medium)<sub>c</sub>         | −1.80 [−2.57; −1.03]                                                                     | 3.4                  | <0.0001     | A greater eGFR decline with high CV risk                        |
| History of proteinuria (yes vs no)                 | −1.04 [−1.66; −0.42]                                                                     | 1.8                  | 0.0009      | A greater eGFR decline with presence at baseline                |
| Triglycerides                                      | −0.23 [−0.37; −0.09]                                                                     | 1.7                  | 0.001       | A greater eGFR decline with higher baseline values              |
| Prior stroke (yes vs no)                           | 1.15 [0.45; 1.85]                                                                        | 1.7                  | 0.001       | A smaller eGFR decline with a history                           |
| History of peripheral artery disease (yes vs no)   | −0.77 [−1.30; −0.23]                                                                     | 1.3                  | 0.005       | A greater eGFR decline with a history                           |
| History of angina (yes vs no)                      | 1.06 [0.31; 1.81]                                                                        | 1.3                  | 0.006       | A smaller eGFR decline with a history                           |
| Log-transformed age                                 | −3.34 [−5.75; −0.92]                                                                     | 1.2                  | 0.007       | A greater eGFR decline with older age                           |
| Sex (female vs male)                               | −0.71 [−1.23; −0.19]                                                                     | 1.2                  | 0.008       | A greater eGFR decline with female sex                          |
| History of heart failure (yes vs no)               | −0.92 [−1.62; −0.21]                                                                     | 1.1                  | 0.011       | A greater eGFR decline with a history                           |
| Log-transformed HbA1c                              | −1.56 [−2.91; −0.20]                                                                     | 0.8                  | 0.025       | A greater eGFR decline with higher baseline values              |
| Prior antidiabetic treatment regimen               | 0.5                                                                                     | 0.5                  | 0.002       | An association between prior regimen and eGFR decline (non-significant when explored further below) |
| Long- + short-acting insulin versus none           | −1.95 [−6.79; 2.88]                                                                      | 0.43                 |             |                                                                 |
| Long-acting insulin versus none                    | −1.75 [−6.63; 3.14]                                                                      | 0.48                 |             |                                                                 |
| Short-acting insulin versus none                   | −0.97 [−5.92; 3.98]                                                                      | 0.70                 |             |                                                                 |
| Long- + short-acting insulin + OAD(s) versus none  | −0.93 [−5.75; 3.90]                                                                      | 0.71                 |             |                                                                 |
| Long-acting insulin + OAD(s) versus none           | −0.58 [−5.40; 4.24]                                                                      | 0.81                 |             |                                                                 |
| Short-acting insulin + OAD(s) versus none          | −0.46 [−5.34; 4.42]                                                                      | 0.85                 |             |                                                                 |
| OAD(s) versus none                                 | −0.10 [−4.93; 4.74]                                                                      | 0.97                 |             |                                                                 |
| Smoking status                                     | 0.5                                                                                     | 0.043                |             | A smaller eGFR decline with previous smoker status              |
| Previous smoker versus never smoked                | 0.56 [0.02; 1.09]                                                                        | 0.043                |             |                                                                 |
| Current smoker versus never smoked                 | −0.30 [−1.12; 0.53]                                                                      | 0.48                 |             |                                                                 |

(Continued)
Temporal association between severe hypoglycaemia and time to first kidney disorder in DEVOTE.

| Event       | Time period | Severe hypoglycaemia in time period prior to event | HR (yes/no) [95% CI] | p-value |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| CKD         | 0 days – end of trial | Yes | 8 | 509 | 1.57 | 89 | 14,534 | 0.61 | 2.5 [1.2; 5.2] | 0.013 |
|             | 0–7 days    | Yes | 2 | 14 | 13.95 | 95 | 15,029 | 0.63 | 23.0 [5.7; 93.6] | <0.0001 |
|             | 8 days – end of trial | Yes | 8 | 744 | 1.08 | 89 | 14,534 | 0.61 | 1.9 [0.9; 4.0] | 0.079 |
| AKI         | 0 days – end of trial | Yes | 23 | 501 | 4.60 | 185 | 14,465 | 1.28 | 3.2 [2.1; 5.0] | <0.0001 |
|             | 0–7 days    | Yes | 3 | 14 | 20.95 | 205 | 14,951 | 1.37 | 16.3 [5.2; 51.1] | <0.0001 |
|             | 8 days – end of trial | Yes | 23 | 740 | 3.11 | 185 | 14,465 | 1.28 | 2.3 [1.5; 3.6] | 0.0002 |

AKI: acute kidney injury; CI: confidence interval; CKD: chronic kidney disease; E: number of events; HR: hazard ratio; MedDRA: Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; PYO: patient-year of observation; R: rate of events per 100 PYO; SAE: serious adverse event.

Events of CKD and AKI were identified by searching investigator reports of SAEs (defined in Supplemental Methods S1) using narrow Standardised Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) Queries (SMQ, version 19.0).

Data were pooled across treatments. Risk of first kidney disorders was analysed using Cox regression with previous occurrence of severe hypoglycaemia as time-dependent covariate. Severe hypoglycaemia was defined according to American Diabetes Association criteria as an event requiring the assistance of another person to actively administer carbohydrate, glucagon, or other resuscitative actions.18

Days after a severe hypoglycaemic event.

Patients from the US were at higher risk of developing first AKI than those from other countries. While the factors underlying this association are unclear and may be stochastic, there are other lifestyle factors (e.g. diet or activity levels), lower compliance, or concomitant medications (e.g. diuretics or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs) that may not have been captured in our analysis, but may have increased the risk of first AKI in US patients. In contrast with previous reports,28 there was a lower first CKD risk in older patients in our analyses. This is likely to be an artefact reflecting the DEVOTE eligibility criteria whereby patients aged ≥50 years were required to have ≥1 coexisting CV or kidney condition, but those aged ≥60 years only had to have ≥1 CV risk factor.14 We report a 27% lower risk of first AKI with degludec versus glargine U100. While the mechanism for this is unclear, previous studies have reported associations between sustained glycemic variability and kidney dysfunction in Asian patients with diabetes.20,31 Degludec has a more stable pharmacological profile and lower hypoglycaemia risk than glargine U100,14,31,32 but whether this contributed to the lower AKI associated with a higher risk of stroke (approximately 40% higher), less is known about the potential for the reverse associations.26,27 This finding may be stochastic or it is possible that there were associations between prior stroke or angina with impaired kidney function on entry to DEVOTE, with less potential for absolute change in eGFR during the trial as a consequence.

Significant demographic and clinical predictors were identified using stepwise selection in SAS PHREG (refer to Supplemental Table S1 for a list of variables considered). Randomised treatment, baseline eGFR and visit were forced to be part of the final model. Change in eGFR (by the CKD-EPI equation) from baseline to 24-month visit was analysed using a MMRM within subjects using an unstructured residual covariance matrix among variables considered. Randomised treatment, baseline eGFR and visit were forced to be part of the final model. The model included randomised treatment, eGFR and all significant predictors simultaneously. The relative importance of predictors was calculated based on the F-value contribution of each variable.

Calculated as 100*F-value/Total F-value.

Defined as a score of >2 on a modified Child-Pugh criteria scale using only bilirubin and albumin values.

CV risk groups were categorised as follows – high: prior CV disease, medium: ≥1 CV risk factor (full description available in Supplemental Methods S3).
risk observed in the present study is unknown and may be stochastic, while this difference may not be clinically relevant. The potential for differential risks of kidney disorders between diabetes therapies warrants consideration in future clinical trials. A number of traditional risk factors for AKI have been identified that include age, common comorbid diseases (e.g., CVD and CKD) and specific exposures (e.g., sepsis and some nephrotoxic agents), although many of these traditional risk factors are not readily modifiable. Perhaps of greater interest from an interventional viewpoint are several novel risk factors for AKI that are potentially modifiable by pharmacotherapy or lifestyle changes, including obesity, anaemia and hyperglycaemia. However, as to which of these are useful targets for interventions requires further exploration.

We report substantially higher risks of subsequent first kidney disorders after experiencing severe hypoglycaemia at any time during the trial. These findings are in agreement with the results of supplementary analyses that indicate a temporal association between severe hypoglycaemia and the adjudicated first nephropathy endpoint in LEADER (Supplemental Table S6, data not published). Severe hypoglycaemia is a predictor of macrovascular events, adverse clinical outcomes and mortality in patients with T2D. Large randomised trials have reported adjusted HRs of 1.74 to 3.27 for associations with all-cause mortality and a meta-analysis of cohort studies reported an overall relative risk of CV outcomes of 2.05 [1.74; 2.42] 95% CI for patients with T2D experiencing at least one severe hypoglycaemic event versus those without. Previous studies suggest the potential for links between hypoglycaemia and kidney outcomes. For instance, in a population-based study of T2D patients, hypoglycaemia was associated with higher risks of CKD and all-cause mortality over 10 years. Moreover, AKI is a risk factor for hypoglycaemia during critical illness and this risk extends beyond hospitalisation in patients with diabetes.

It is unclear whether there are direct pathophysiological links between severe hypoglycaemia and CV or kidney outcomes, or whether severe hypoglycaemia is primarily a marker of vulnerability. We found that significant associations persisted after adjusting for baseline variables, which are expected to partly describe variation in vulnerability among patients. However, temporal associations were bidirectional, and there were similar elevated risks of severe hypoglycaemia after experiencing either first CKD or AKI; hence, our findings provide support for a shared vulnerability in this patient population. When interpreting our findings, it is important to note that they were post hoc and reported without adjustment for multiple comparisons. Kidney disorders were not adjudicated in DEVOTE, which limits results interpretation to hypothesis generation. However, all available baseline factors were considered simultaneously and a data-driven approach employed to identify relevant predictors. Strengths of the present analyses include the double-blind, active comparator-controlled trial design, characterisation of kidney status at baseline and the independent adjudication of severe hypoglycaemia.

In summary, most predictors were consistent with the literature on the progression of kidney disease and, thus, indicate that traditional, non-modifiable risk factors for kidney disorders are relevant in patients with T2D at high CV risk. Furthermore, bidirectional temporal associations identified between severe hypoglycaemia and first kidney disorders highlight that these patients may warrant additional clinical attention, particularly shortly after the AE.
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