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Abstract
Quantum versions of the hydrogen atom and the harmonic oscillator are studied on non Euclidean spaces of dimension \(N\). \(2N - 1\) integrals, of arbitrary order, are constructed via a multi-dimensional version of the factorization method, thus confirming the conjecture of Riglioni (2013 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 46 265207). The systems are extended via coalgebra extension of \(\mathfrak{sl}(2)\) representations, although not all integrals are expressible in these generators. As an example, dimensional reduction is applied to four-dimensional systems to obtain extension and new proofs of the superintegrability of known families of Hamiltonians.
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1. Introduction
A maximally superintegrable (MS) system in classical mechanics is an integrable \(N\)-dimensional (ND) Hamiltonian system which is endowed with the maximum possible number \((2N - 1)\) functionally independent integrals of motion. The study and classification of superintegrable systems is of central importance in mathematical physics. On the one hand they are a source of exactly solvable models which over the years have found applications in many areas of physics such as in condensed matter physics as well as atomic, molecular and nuclear physics see e.g. [1–3] and reference therein. On the other hand the symmetry algebras defined by their constants of the motion are of interest in the field of group theory and their representations. The most well-known example of superintegrable systems, the hydrogen atom and the harmonic oscillator, are in correspondence with the Lie algebra \(\mathfrak{so}(N + 1)\). More recently the discovery of superintegrable systems with constants of the motion of arbitrary order in the momentum have been found to be in correspondence with new type of polynomial algebras. Moreover MS Hamiltonian systems are conjectured to be exactly
solvable. Their eigenfunctions can be described in terms of either some class of orthogonal polynomials or for scattering states in terms of some special functions. MS systems with quadratic constants of the motion have been completely classified for two-dimensional (2D) Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian spaces [4]. Example of MS systems with constants of the motion of order higher than two are indeed much rarer in literature since a systematic classification of these systems go through the solution of nonlinear differential equations whose complexity increase with the order of the integrals [5]. However some interesting examples of MS systems with constants of the motion of arbitrary high order have been found as a deformation of systems admitting quadratic integrals of motion. Two remarkable examples are given by the 2D rational Calogero systems [6] with oscillator and Kepler potential which are also known as Tremblay–Turbiner–Winternitz (TTW) [7] or Post Winternitz (PW) [8] systems. A superintegrable generalization of these two systems to non Euclidean spaces with constant scalar curvature has been also provided in the context of classical mechanics [9]. As was remarked in a recent paper [10], the possibility of obtaining higher order MS systems from 2D ones can be understood in terms of coalgebra symmetry. For a review of superintegrable systems see [5].

To be self contained, let us recall briefly how to extend systems in higher dimensions by using the coalgebra operators. We consider the superintegrable extension of the hydrogen atom on a space of constant scalar curvature. The Hamiltonian for the system in 2D is given by

\[ H = 2k^2\Delta - \frac{as_1}{\sqrt{s_1^2 + s_2^2}}, \]

\[ \begin{cases} s_1 = \sin \theta \cos \phi, \\ s_2 = \sin \theta \sin \phi, \\ s_3 = \cos \theta. \end{cases} \]

Transforming to conformal coordinates via

\[ x_1 = \frac{1}{k} \cot (\theta/2) \cos (\phi), \quad x_2 = \frac{1}{k} \cot (\theta/2) \sin (\phi), \quad \mu = -\frac{ka}{2}, \]

gives the following radial form of the Hamiltonian

\[ H = \frac{1 + k^2(x_1^2 + x_2^2))}{2} (p_1^2 + p_2^2) - \mu \frac{1 - k^2(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}}. \quad (1) \]

The trajectories for bounded motion of (1) at regular points in phase space are closed, as an effect of its superintegrability.

As mentioned above, the system (1) is characterized by a coalgebra symmetry, namely it is possible to express the system (1) via a sympletic realization of the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}(2) \)

\[ D_2(J_-) = x_1^2 + x_2^2, \quad D_2(J_x) = p_1^2 + p_2^2, \quad D_2(J_z) = x_1 p_1 + x_2 p_2, \]

(2)

with central element

\[ D_2(C) = (x_1 p_2 - x_2 p_1)^2, \]

(3)

and (Poisson) bracket

\[ [f, g]_{PB} = \sum_i \partial_i f \partial_i g - \partial_i g \partial_i f. \]

(4)

To keep the notation succinct, we drop the subscript PB for the remainder of the paper. As a reminder, the generators for the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}(2) \) satisfy

2
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
J_3, J_+ = 2J_+,
J_3, J_- = -2J_-,
J_-, J_+ = 4J_3,
\end{bmatrix}
\]
with Casimir element
\[
C = J_+ J_- - J_3^2.
\]
The representation (2) can be constructed from a coalgebra of the Poisson realization of \(\mathfrak{sl}(2)\); namely, the coproduct is given by
\[
\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1, \quad \Delta(J) = J \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes J,
\]
which preserves the algebra relations
\[
\left[ \Delta(J), \Delta(J) \right] = \Delta\left[ \left[ J, J \right] \right].
\]
Taking repeated coproducts leads to a 2nd phase space realizations for \(\mathfrak{sl}(2)\) given by
\[
J_-(N) \equiv D_N(J_-) = \Delta(D_2(J_-))^{N-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2, \\
J_+(N) \equiv D_N(J_+) = \Delta(D_2(J_+))^{N-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2, \\
J_3(N) \equiv D_N(J_3) = \Delta(D_2(J_3))^{N-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i p_i.
\]
The main point is that it is possible to express (1) as:
\[
H \equiv \frac{(1 + k^2 J_-^{(2)})^2}{2} J_+^{(2)} - \mu \frac{1 - k^2 J_+^{(2)}}{\sqrt{J_-^{(2)}}},
\]
and hence, using the coproduct, the higher dimensional realization of the Hamiltonian system can be generalized in a manner preserving its integrability properties. In particular, each of the intermediate Casimir elements will commute with the generators
\[
\left[ J_k^{(N)}, C^{(m)} \right] = 0, \quad m \leq N; \ k = +, -, 3.
\]
Therefore any 2D system \(H(J_+^{(2)}, J_-^{(2)}, J_3^{(2)})\) can be generalized to the ND system \(H(J_-^{(N)}, J_+^{(N)}, J_3^{(N)})\) which will have by construction \(N\) constants of the motion given by the set \(\{H, C^{(m)}\}, 1 < m \leq N\). To be precise, the intermediate Casimir operators \(C^{(m)}\) are defined on the \(2n\)-dimensional phase space via
\[
C^{(m)} = \Delta^n(C) \otimes (\otimes N^{-m} id).
\]
The algebra generators \(J_k^{(m)}\) can be similarly defined. Note that since each successive \(C^{(m)}\) includes a new variable, \(x_m\) not appearing in the previous Casimirs, the set will be functionally independent.

Furthermore, there will exist another set of mutually commuting integrals obtained by embedding the operators \(\Delta^n(C)\) in the opposite way, namely defining
\[ \frac{dp_r(t)}{dt} = 0 \]

Figure 1. \( k = -0.04; \mu = 60. \)

\[ C_{(m)} \equiv \left( \otimes^{N-m}\text{id} \right) \otimes \Delta^m (C), \]  

(6)
gives an additional set of commuting integrals. As an example, consider the two-fold coproduct, the resulting operators are

\[
\begin{align*}
J^{(1)}_1 &= x_1^2, & J^{(2)}_2 &= x_1^2 + x_2^2, & J^{(3)}_3 &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2, \\
J^{(1)}_+ &= p_1^2, & J^{(2)}_+ &= p_1^2 + p_2^2, & J^{(3)}_+ &= p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2, \\
J^{(1)}_3 &= x_1 p_1, & J^{(2)}_3 &= x_1 p_1 + x_2 p_2, & J^{(3)}_3 &= x_1 p_1 + x_2 p_2 + x_3 p_3, \\
C^{(1)} &= 0, & C^{(2)} &= (x_1 p_2 - x_2 p_2)^2, & C^{(3)} &= (x_3 p_2 - x_2 p_3)^2,
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
C^{(3)} = (x_1 p_2 - x_2 p_2)^2 + (x_1 p_3 - x_3 p_3)^2 + (x_3 p_2 - x_2 p_3)^2.
\]

Notice that whereas the \( \{ J_i \}_{(m)} \) are linearly dependent on the set \( J^{(m)} \), the Casimirs are not.

Indeed the set of \( 2N - 3 \) functions \( \{ C^{(m)}, C_{(m)}, C^{(N)} \} \) for \( 1 < m < N \) are functionally independent as stated in the work papers of Ballesteros et al \[11, 12\] and references therein.

The inclusion of the Hamiltonian will not change the functional dependence since it depends non-trivially on the radial coordinate \( r = \sqrt{J^{(N)}_+} \), while the other \( 2N - 3 \) functions depend only on the angular coordinates. Therefore the total number of constants of the motion is in general \( 2N - 2 \) making any Hamiltonian \( H(J_I) \) a quasi MS system by construction.

The crucial point is that the coalgebraic structure of a given Hamiltonian is not invariant under a canonical change of variables that intertwines some of the coordinates. This implies that we have the possibility of constructing new coalgebraic systems by applying a change of variable to a 2D quadratically superintegrable system and then extending to ND. For the sake of concreteness let us consider the representation \( (2) \) in polar coordinates

\[
\begin{align*}
J^{(2)}_+ &= r^2, & J^{(2)}_3 &= r \theta, & J^{(2)}_+ &= p_\theta^2 + \frac{p_r^2}{r^2},
\end{align*}
\]

By changing the winding number of the trajectory \( (1) \) using a canonical change of variable in \( \theta \).
the new system will close its trajectory after $k$ revolutions (see e.g. figure 2). The change of variable does not affect the superintegrability of the system, however it induces a new coalgebraic Hamiltonian, where the Casimir operator has been scaled by a factor of $\beta^2$: \[
H_\beta = \frac{1 + k^2J^{(2)}_r}{2} \left( \frac{J^{(2)}_r}{J^{(2)}_s} + \frac{C^{(2)}}{\beta^2 J^{(2)}} \right) - \mu \frac{1 - k^2 J^{(2)}}{\sqrt{J^{(2)}}}. \]

Conversely, we can directly observe that multiplying the Casimir function by a constant will preserve any integrals of motion. However, it may result in a system defined on a new different manifold.

Indeed these two systems can be connected through a change of variables only in dimension $N = 2$. This becomes evident if the kinetic energy part of the Hamiltonian is considered. In particular, the metric of the original kinetic energy part \[
T \to ds^2 = \frac{1}{(1 + k^2 J^{(2)}_s)^2} \left( dr^2 + r^2 \, d\Omega^2 \right), \]
will be transformed to a new metric \[
T_\beta \to ds^2 = \frac{1}{(1 + k^2 J^{(2)}_s)^2} \left( dr^2 + \beta^2 r^2 \, d\Omega^2 \right), \]
and the corresponding change in the scalar curvature is given by \[
R = -4N(N - 1)k^2 \to \\
R_\beta = (N - 2)(N - 1) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2} \right) \frac{(1 + k^2 r^2)^2}{r^2} - 4N(N - 1)k^2, \]
which coincide only if $N = 2$. However both of the systems defined by the Hamiltonians $H$ and $H_\beta$ are still characterized by the closed orbit trajectory in any $N$ since they are...
algebraically equivalent if projected on the 2D plane which contains the orbit. This is a very strong clue about the maximal superintegrability of these classical systems in any dimension \( N \). Indeed, in [10], higher-order integrals for arbitrary \( \beta \) were constructed and the MS was explicitly proven in [13] for \( N = 3 \).

In this paper, we consider the quantum case. Namely, instead of a symplectic representation of \( \mathfrak{sl}(2) \) we use the canonical quantization to obtain a function space representation

\[
J_{-} = x^{2}, \quad J_{+} = -\hbar^{2} \partial_{x}, \quad J_{3} = -i\hbar \left( x \partial_{x} + \frac{1}{2} \right).
\]

(10)

The resulting radial Hamiltonian will be similar to the one given in (8), except with a factor of \( \hbar^{2} \) in front of the kinetic energy term,

\[
H = \frac{\left( 1 + k^{2} J_{3}^{(N)} \right)^{2}}{2} \left( J_{3}^{(N)} \right)^{-2} \left( J_{1}^{(N)} + i\hbar \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{\beta^{2}} C^{(N)} - \mu \left( J_{2}^{(N)} \right)^{-2} \left( 1 - k^{2} J_{3}^{(N)} \right).
\]

(11)

We note that it is possible to interpret inverses and roots as Taylor series in the enveloping algebra of the representation for \( \mathfrak{sl}(2) \) which will converge away from \( r^{2} = J_{3}^{(N)} = 0 \).

This quantum system was introduced in [10], where also the superintegrability of (11) for some specific values of \( \beta \) was shown. However it was not possible to prove the superintegrability for any \( \beta \) since the method of the paper was via direct computations of the commutations relations for each realization of the operators for a fixed \( \beta \).

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the superintegrability of (11) by providing a coalgebraic analysis at the level of the spectrum generating algebra which characterizes the radial system. A similar analysis has also been introduced in [14] to enlarge the superintegrability properties of some families of superintegrable quantum systems involving spin interaction. In this paper we will show how that formalism provide a natural and straightforward way to prove the MS also for scalar systems. Additionally, we discuss the action of coupling constant metamorphosis (CCM) for the radial systems and finally, as an example, give yet another proof of the MS of the TTW system via a restriction of the integrals for the radial system.

The paper is organized as follows, in section 2 we give the extension of the system into \( ND \) generated by the coalgebra structure along with the additional, non-radial integrals. The systems are then deformed either by the addition of a winding parameter \( \beta \) or via CCM. The MS of each system is demonstrated explicitly. In section 3, we perform dimensional reduction on the four-dimensional (4D) system to construct non-radially symmetric systems in 2D which as MS. Section 4 contains concluding remarks.

2. Spectrum generating algebra and superintegrability

As remarked in the introduction, one of the main properties of superintegrable systems is their exact solvability. In quantum mechanics we can define the exact solvability as the possibility of obtaining, through algebraical methods, the entire spectrum and the set of its eigenfunctions. Such a property is generally exhibited by those Hamiltonian operators which can be solved by factorization method. This method consists in the possibility of factorizing the Hamiltonian \( H = a^\dagger a \), where \( a^\dagger \) and \( a \) act as ladder operators, namely they connect different eigenfunctions. The existence of differential operators mapping eigenfunctions of \( H \) into other eigenfunctions of \( H \) is indeed typical of superintegrable systems. Let us consider an Hamiltonian \( H \) describing an \( ND \) quantum system, which admits a set of \( N \) constants of the motion in involution \( A_{i}, 0 < i \leq N \)
The eigenfunctions of $H$ can be expressed by means of the quantum numbers $a_i$ and the energy $E_j$

$$A_i \psi_{a_j} = a_i \psi_{a_j}, \quad H \psi_{a_j} = E_j \psi_{a_j}.$$ 

If the system is superintegrable then there exists an extra integral of motion $B$ such that

$$[B, H] = 0, \quad [B, A_i] \neq 0. \quad (12)$$

The equation (12) implies that any integral $B$ maps an eigenfunction $\psi_{a_j}$ in a linear combination of isoenergetic eigenfunctions of $H$

$$B \psi_{a_j} = \sum c_i \psi_{a_{j'}},$$

Thus, it may be possible to use the ladder operator coming from the spectrum generating algebra of a given Hamiltonian to define operators which fix the energy eigenspaces of the Hamiltonian and which therefore commute with $H$. As a concrete example let us consider the $\beta = 1$ version of (11) which we report here as a function of the angular momentum operator

$$H(L) = \frac{1 + k^2 r^2}{2} \left( \frac{p_r^2}{r^2} - i \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{L}}{r^2} + \frac{L^2}{r^2} \right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{r} - k^2 r \right). \quad (13)$$

where

$$p_r = -i \hbar \partial_r, \quad L = -i \hbar \partial_{\phi}.$$ 

The Hamiltonian (13) exhibits the algebraic structure typical of the shape invariant systems. Namely a factorization type formula

$$\left( L + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \right)^2 H(L) = A^\dagger A + G, \quad (14)$$

$$\left( L + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \right)^2 H(L + \hbar) = AA^\dagger + G \quad (15)$$

which results in $A$ and $A^\dagger$ acting as raising and lowering operators for the angular momentum in the Hamiltonian

$$AH(L) = H(L + \hbar)A, \quad A^\dagger H(L + \hbar) = H(L)A^\dagger. \quad (16)$$

The operators $A$, $A^\dagger$, and $G$ are defined as

$$A^\dagger = \frac{L + \frac{\hbar^2}{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( - (1 + k^2 r^2) p_r + i (L + \hbar) \left( \frac{1}{r} - k^2 r \right) \right) - i \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (17)$$

$$A = \frac{L + \frac{\hbar^2}{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( - (1 + k^2 r^2) p_r - i L \left( \frac{1}{r} - k^2 r \right) \right) + i \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$G = 2k^2 L(L + \hbar) \left( \frac{L + \hbar}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{2}.$$ 

Note that the operators $A$ and $A^\dagger$ are mutual adjoints with respect to the metric (9). Since the operators $A^\dagger$, $A$ act as raising (lowering) operators on the angular momentum $L$, we look for a new couple of operators to balance out this action. The necessary operators are given by
\( L^+ = e^{i\phi} \), \( L^- = e^{-i\phi} \)

which have the required action

\[ L^+ L = (L - \hbar)L^+, \quad L^- L = (L + \hbar)L^- . \]  

**Theorem 1.** The operators \( L^+ A \) and \( A^+ L^- \), defined via (17) and (18) commute with the Hamiltonian (13).

**Proof.** Taking into account (14) and (19) it is straightforward to prove that

\[ L^+ AH(L) = L^+ H(L) + \hbar A = H(L)L^+ A . \]

For the remainder of the paper we drop the argument of \( H(L) \) except where necessary. A similar computation holds for \( A^+ L^- \) and so,

\[ [ H, L^+ A ] = [ H, A^+ L^- ] = 0 . \]

Thus, the operators commute with the Hamiltonian. QED

As a consequence, we obtain the following two Hermitian constants of the motion for \( H(L) \)

\[ R_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( L^+ A + A^+ L^- \right), \quad R_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( L^+ A - A^+ L^- \right) \]

which close to form the following quadratic symmetry algebra

\[ [ R_+, R_- ] = -i\hbar L \left( 2H - k^2 \left( 8L^2 + \hbar^2 \right) \right) , \]

\[ [ R_+, L ] = -i\hbar R_- , \]

\[ [ R_-, L ] = i\hbar R_+ . \]

Note that, in the flat case \( k = 0 \), the algebra is isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{so}(3) \) as the 2D hydrogen atom.

### 2.1. Coalgebraic extension of the radial systems

Following the steps outlined in the introduction we can generalize the dimension of the superintegrable system (13) to an arbitrary \( N \) by replacing the quantum mechanical representation of \( R_+(-i\hbar \partial_{x_i} x_i) \), \( R_-(-i\hbar \partial_{x_i} x_i) \), \( L(-i\hbar \partial_{x_i} x_i) \), \( H(-i\hbar \partial_{x_i} x_i) \), whose dimension is fixed, with functions of coalgebra generators \( J^{(N)}_i, J^{(N)}_+, J^{(N)}_- \), thereby constructing a quantum mechanical Hamiltonian in a space of arbitrary dimension \( N \).

Using the \( N \)-fold coproduct of the representation for \( sl(2) \) given by (10) the \( H \) is defined in ND as

\[ H \equiv \frac{(1 + k^2 J_-)}{2} J_+ - \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{J_-}} \left( 1 - k^2 J_- \right) \]

\[ = \frac{(1 + k^2 J_-)}{2} \left( \frac{1}{J_-} (J_3 + i\hbar)^2 + \frac{L^2}{J_-} \right) - \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{J_-}} \left( 1 - k^2 J_- \right) , \]
where the Casimir element is related to \( L^2 \) via
\[
C = \frac{1}{2} \left( J_+ J_- + J_- J_+ \right) - J_0^2,
\]
or equivalently
\[
C = J_+ J_- - \left( J_0 + i\hbar \right)^2 - \hbar^2.
\]

As in the previous equations, we drop the superscript \((N)\) except where it is important to emphasize the dimension of the underlying space.

We shall now express the operators of the previous section in terms of the coalgebra generators with the ultimate aim of extending into higher dimensions. The operators \( L^2, \mathcal{H}, A, A^\dagger \) can be expressed in terms of the coalgebra generators as
\[
L^2 \equiv C + \hbar^2,
\]
\[
A^\dagger \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \left(-L + \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) \frac{1 + k^2 J_-}{\sqrt{J_-}} (J_3 + i\hbar) + \frac{L + \hbar}{\sqrt{J_-}} \left( L + \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) \left( 1 - k^2 J_- \right) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \mu \right),
\]
\[
A \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \left(-L + \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) \frac{1 + k^2 J_-}{\sqrt{J_-}} (J_3 + i\hbar) - \frac{L}{\sqrt{J_-}} \left( L + \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) \left( 1 - k^2 J_- \right) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \mu \right).
\]

Note that when \( N = 2, L^2 \) is a perfect square and so the square roots are defined as simply the generators of rotation, which is formally self-adjoint with respect to the flat metric. However, in higher dimensions, the Casimir operators will not be a perfect square and so this will be addressed in detail later.

The final operators necessary for the construction of the generalize Runge–Lenz vectors \( R_+ \) and \( R_- \) in ND are the operators \( +L \) and \( -L \). However the generators of \( \mathfrak{sl}(2) \) cannot be used to define these non-radial operators. In order to overcome this difficulty let us enlarge the coalgebra \( \mathfrak{sl}(2) \) as described in the introduction, by including not just the generators for the 2D representation but also the 1D representation. Using these, we can inductively recover the Heisenberg algebra generated by \( x_j \) and \( p_j \) i.e. \( x_j = \sqrt{J_0^{(j)}} - J_0^{(0-j)} \) and \( p_j = \sqrt{J_0^{(j)}} - J_0^{(n-j)} \). The commutation relations are
\[
\left[ J_3^{(m)}, J_3^{(n)} \right] = 2i\hbar J_+^{(\ell)}, \quad \left[ J_+^{(m)}, J_+^{(n)} \right] = -2i\hbar J_-^{(\ell)}, \quad \left[ J_-^{(m)}, J_-^{(n)} \right] = 2i\hbar J_0^{(\ell)}, \tag{26}
\]
\[
\left[ J_0^{(n)}, J_+^{(m)} \right] = 4i\hbar J_+^{(\ell)}, \quad \left[ J_+^{(n)}, F \left( J_0^{(m)} \right) \right] = \pm 2i\hbar J_+^{(\ell)} F \left( J_0^{(m)} \right), \quad \left[ J_-^{(m)}, J_-^{(n)} \right] = 2i\hbar J_0^{(\ell)} F \left( J_0^{(m)} \right), \tag{27}
\]
where \( \ell = \min (m, n) \). The commutation relations for the \( x_j \) and \( p_j \) are identically 0 if \( n < j \) and, otherwise, as follows
\[
\left[ J_+^{(n)}, x_j \right] = -2i\hbar p_j, \quad \left[ J_+^{(n)}, p_j \right] = 0, \quad \left[ J_-^{(n)}, x_j \right] = 0, \quad \left[ J_-^{(n)}, p_j \right] = 2i\hbar x_j, \quad \left[ J_0^{(n)}, x_j \right] = -i\hbar x_j, \quad \left[ J_0^{(n)}, p_j \right] = i\hbar p_j, \quad \left[ p_j, x_j \right] = -2i\hbar x_j \tag{28}
\]
The operator \( L^2 = \frac{n}{\pi} \pm \frac{i\kappa}{\pi} \) can be expressed as a vector if we redefine \( L^2 = e^{\pm i\phi} \rightarrow e^{\pm i\phi} L \). In fact, in a three-dimensional space \( x_2 L \) can be regarded as the first component of the vector.
product \((0, y, 0) \times (0, 0, L) = (yL, 0, 0)\) or in the language of the algebra
\[ x_2L = x_1J_3^{(2)} - J_{-2}^{(2)}p_1 + i\hbar x_1. \]  
(29)

This leads to the coalgebraic definition of the operators \(L^\pm\) in 2D as
\[ L^- \equiv \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{J_{-2}^{(2)}}} L - \frac{i}{\sqrt{J_{-2}^{(2)}}} \left( x_1J_3^{(2)} - J_{-2}^{(2)}p_1 + i\hbar x_1 \right), \]  
(30)
\[ L^+ \equiv \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{J_{-2}^{(2)}}} L + \frac{i}{\sqrt{J_{-2}^{(2)}}} \left( x_1J_3^{(2)} - J_{-2}^{(2)}p_1 \right). \]  
(31)

The advantage of this form is that it accommodates an extension to higher dimensions, namely the corresponding operators in ND are chosen as
\[ L^-_j \equiv \frac{x_j}{\sqrt{J_{-2}^{(N)}}} L - \frac{i}{\sqrt{J_{-2}^{(N)}}} \left( x_jJ_3^{(N)} - J_{-2}^{(N)}p_j + i\hbar x_j \right), \]  
(32)
\[ L^+_j \equiv \frac{x_j}{\sqrt{J_{-2}^{(N)}}} L + \frac{i}{\sqrt{J_{-2}^{(N)}}} \left( x_jJ_3^{(N)} - J_{-2}^{(N)}p_j \right), \]  
(33)
where \((L^+_j)^t = L^-_j\). Note that in 2D, \(L^- = L^-_1\) and \(L^+_2 = iL^-_1\), \(L^-_2 = -iL^+_1\).

**Theorem 2.** The operators \(L^\pm_\tilde{j}\) defined via (32) and (33) satisfy
\[ \left[ L^\pm_\tilde{j}, L \right] = \mp\hbar L^\pm_\tilde{j}. \]

Notice, \(L^\pm_\tilde{j}\) is exactly the ND extension of the operators \(L^\pm\). Using the coalgebra extension and the commutation relations (28), we see that
\[ \left[ L, L^\pm \right] = \mp\hbar L^\pm \Rightarrow \left[ L, L^\pm_\tilde{j} \right] = \mp\hbar L^\pm_\tilde{j}. \]

The identity also holds for other \(m\) by permutation symmetry. A direct proof of theorem 2 is given in the appendix.

For these quantum systems, a problem arises when the dimension is greater than 2 in the definition of \(L\). According to the definition given in (25), \(L\) is defined as
\[ L = \sqrt{C^{(N)} + \hbar^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i<j<N} L_{ij}^2 + \hbar^2(N^2 - 2)} / 4, \]  
(34)
\[ L_{ij} \equiv x_ip_j - x_jp_i. \]

Such a problem can be formally solved by defining \(L\) as follows
\[ L = -\frac{i}{2} \left( \gamma_jL_{ij} + i\hbar (N - 2) \right), \]
so that
\[ L^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( J_{+2}^{(N)}J_{-2}^{(N)} + J_{-2}^{(N)}J_{+2}^{(N)} \right) - \left( J_3^{(N)} \right)^2 + \hbar^2, \]  
(35)
where the $\gamma_i$ are anticommuting objects obeying to the algebra

$$\gamma_i \gamma_j + \gamma_j \gamma_i = 2\delta_{ij},$$

$$[\gamma_i, J_{-3, -3}] = [\gamma_i, p_i] = [\gamma_i, x_i] = 0.$$ 

It is with this form of the operators $L$ that the identities for the ladder operators are proven in the appendix.

From these concrete realizations of the ladder operators $L^\pm$ it is possible to construct the ND extension of the Hermitian operators $R_+$ and $R_-$ as in (20) with $L^\pm$ chosen as $L^\pm_1$, for example,

$$R_+(\{J^{(N)}\}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (L_1^+ A + A^i L_i^-), \quad R_-(\{J^{(N)}\}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (L_1^+ A - A^i L_i^-). \quad (36)$$

We therefore have constructed enough functionally independent integrals of the motion to assert that $H$ as defined in (24) is MS.

**Theorem 3.** The Hamiltonian defined as in (24) has $2N - 1$ algebraically independent integrals of the motion.

**Proof.** The intermediate Casimir elements generated by angular momentum operators $L_{jk}$ will commute with the Hamiltonian giving $2N - 3$ algebraically independent second-order integrals. The additional operators $R_+$ and $R_-(36)$ commute with the Hamiltonian

$$[R_-(\{J^{(N)}\}), H(\{J^{(N)}\})] = [R_+(\{J^{(N)}\}), H(\{J^{(N)}\})] = 0, \quad (37)$$

and are algebraically independent in dimension $N = 2$ and so will be when embedded into ND. Thus, the Hamiltonian has $2N - 1$ integrals of motion and is maximally superintegrable. QED

To obtain scalar integrals, it is possible to simply observe that the operator $L^+ A$ is linear in the vector $L$

$$L^+ A = A \left(\{J\}, x_i, p_i\right) + LB(\{J\}, x_i, p_i), \quad (38)$$

where $A$ and $B$ are generic scalar functions of the set of coalgebra generators $\{J\}, x_i, p_i$. Then $L^+ A$ commutes with the scalar Hamiltonian

$$[H, A(\{J\}, x_i, p_i)] = [H, A(\{J\}, x_i, p_i)] + L[H, B(\{J\}, x_i, p_i)] = 0.$$ 

Thus, each of the components of the vector $L^+ A$ must individually commute with the Hamiltonian, i.e.

$$[H, A(\{J\}, x_i, p_i)] = [H, B(\{J\}, x_i, p_i)] = 0, \quad (39)$$

and analogously for $A^i L_i^-$. Thus, it is possible to build integrals of motion that do not depend on the anticommuting $\gamma_i$. In particular, for $L^+ A$, the function $B(\{J\}, x_i, p_i)$ turns out to be the quantum generalization of the Runge–Lenz vector for a Coulomb system on a constant curvature space in agreement with the results previously obtained in [10] that were obtained without the ladder operator formalism.
2.2. Canonical transformation and new coalgebraic systems

As we underlined in the introduction, any point canonical transformation has no effects on the integrability properties of the 2D system. However, the system in the new variables can induce a new coalgebraic system which is intrinsically a different system when realized in higher dimensions. To be concrete let us apply the angular change of variables (7) to the quantum system (13)

\[ H_\beta = \frac{1 + k^2r^2}{2} \left( p_r^2 - \frac{i\hbar}{\beta r} + \frac{L^2}{\beta^2 r^2} \right) - \frac{1 - k^2r^2}{r}, \]

where \( \beta = m/n \) with \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \). The same transformation can be applied to the radial ladder operators \( A_\beta, A_\beta^\dagger \) (17) to give the more general intertwining relations:

\[ \left( \frac{L}{\beta} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \right)^2 H_\beta(L) = A_\beta A_\beta + G_\beta(L), \quad \left( \frac{L}{\beta} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \right)^2 H_\beta(L + \hbar) = A_\beta A_\beta^\dagger + G_\beta(L), \]  

(40)

which imply

\[ A_\beta H_\beta(L) = H_\beta(L + \hbar)A_\beta, \quad A_\beta H_\beta(L + \hbar) = H_\beta(L)A_\beta^\dagger. \]  

(41)

Here \( A_\beta, A_\beta^\dagger, G_\beta \) are now defined as

\[ A_\beta^\dagger = \frac{L}{\beta} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \left( -\left( 1 + k^2r^2 \right)p_r + i\left( \frac{L}{\beta} + \hbar \right) \left( \frac{1}{r} - k^2r \right) \right) - i\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}, \]

\[ A_\beta = \frac{L}{\beta} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \left( -\left( 1 + k^2r^2 \right)p_r - i\frac{L}{\beta} \left( \frac{1}{r} - k^2r \right) \right) + i\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}, \]

\[ G_\beta(L) = 2k^2\frac{L}{\beta} \left( \frac{L}{\beta} + \hbar \right) - \frac{\mu^2}{2}, \]

which induce the following coalgebraic objects

\[ A_\beta^\dagger \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\frac{L}{\beta} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) \frac{1 + k^2J^-}{J^-} (J_3 + i\hbar) + i\frac{L}{\beta} \frac{\hbar}{J^-} \left( \frac{L}{\beta} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) \left( 1 - k^2J^- \right) - i\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}, \]

\[ A_\beta \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\frac{L}{\beta} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) \frac{1 + k^2J^-}{J^-} (J_3 + i\hbar) - i\frac{L}{\beta} \frac{\hbar}{J^-} \left( \frac{L}{\beta} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \right) \left( 1 - k^2J^- \right) + i\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}, \]

including the Hamiltonian

\[ H_\beta \equiv \frac{1 + k^2J^-}{2} \left( \frac{1}{J^-} (J_3 + i\hbar) \right)^2 + \frac{L^2}{\beta^2J^-} - \frac{\mu}{J^-} \left( 1 - k^2J^- \right), \]  

(42)

already referenced in the introduction (11). The intertwining relations in (41) together with the assumption that \( \beta = m/n \) entail the following ‘integer shift’ if we consider the power
\( A^n, (A^\dagger)^n \) instead of \( A, A^\dagger \) defined to be
\[
(A_\beta)^n = A_\beta(L + \hbar (n - 1)\beta) \cdots A_\beta(L + n\hbar\beta)A_\beta(L),
\]
(43)
\[
(A_\beta^\dagger)^n = A_\beta^\dagger(L) \cdots A_\beta^\dagger(L + n\hbar\beta(n - 2)) A_\beta^\dagger(L + n\hbar\beta(n - 1)).
\]
(44)

With this definition, the ladder operators have the appropriate action on the Hamiltonian functions
\[
(A_\beta)^n H_\beta(L) \equiv H_\beta(L + \hbar m)(A_\beta)^n,
\]
\[
(A_\beta^\dagger)^n H_\beta(L + \hbar m) \equiv H_\beta(L)(A_\beta^\dagger)^n,
\]
which hold according to the ladder relations (41). Analogous to what we have seen in the previous sections, it is possible to balance the action of \( A^n, (A^\dagger)^n \) by using the counteraction of \( L_L^\perp, L_L^\parallel (30), (31) \). So we can define the new constants of the motion for \( H_\beta(L) \) as follows:
\[
R_{+, \beta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( (L_L^\perp)^m (A_\beta)^n + (A_\beta^\dagger)^n (L_L^\parallel)^m \right).
\]
(45)
\[
R_{-, \beta} = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}} \left( (L_L^\perp)^m (A_\beta)^n - (A_\beta^\dagger)^n (L_L^\parallel)^m \right).
\]
(46)

It should be stressed that unless we are in the case of dimension 2, where \( L_L^\perp \) admit a zeroth-order representation as a differential operator, the objects (45) are higher order vector constants of the motion of order \( m + 2n \) which can be reduced to scalar constants of order \( m + 2n - 1 \) as described in (39). These results hold for all values of \( \beta \in \mathbb{Q} \) and define a infinite class of superintegrable systems with higher order constants of the motion in spaces of arbitrary dimension \( N \).

### 2.3. Spectrum generating algebra for superintegrable systems obtained through the application of the CMM

The CCM [15–17] is a transformation which puts in correspondence two classes of superintegrable systems. In particular such a transformation can be used as an algorithm to generate the constants of the motion of one superintegrable system given the symmetries of its CCM partner. It is known that all the superintegrable systems on the 2D Darboux spaces (which are the only ones with a nonconstant scalar curvature admitting second order constants of the motion) can be generated through a CCM transformation applied to a system defined on a space of constant curvature [18]. The main goal of this section is to show how the structure of the spectrum generating algebra given by the ladder operators \( A, A^\dagger \) is preserved by the application of the CCM transformation. CCM can be briefly described as an algebraic transformation whose net effect is to exchange the role of the energy and the coupling constant of the system

\[
H\psi = (T - \mu V)\psi.
\]
(47)
\[
\hat{H}\psi \equiv \mu \psi = \frac{1}{V}(T - H)\psi.
\]
(48)
Such a transformation applied to the system (24) returns the following new Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_\beta = \frac{\sqrt{J_+^2} \left( 1 + k^2 J_- \right)^2}{2 \left( 1 - k^2 J_- - 4\delta \sqrt{J_-} \right)} \left( \frac{1}{J_-} (J_3 + i\hbar)^2 + \frac{L^2}{\beta^2 J_-} \right) + \frac{\hat{\beta} \sqrt{J_-}}{2 \left( 1 - k^2 J_- - 4\delta \sqrt{J_-} \right)}.$$

where the transformation has been done using as potential $V = \frac{i}{\tau} - k^2 \tau - 4\delta$. It is straightforward to see from (47) that the two Hamiltonians $H, \hat{H}$ share the same eigenfunctions except for the exchange $\mu \leftrightarrow H$. This implies that the ladder operators defined for the eigenfunctions of $H$ work also for the eigenfunctions of $\hat{H}$ provided that $\mu \rightarrow \hat{H}$ is well defined as a differential operator. So we arrive to the following definition for $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{A}^\dagger$ which we will provide directly in terms of coalgebraic elements:

$$\hat{A}_\beta \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\frac{L}{\beta} + \hbar \right) \frac{1 + k^2 J_- (J_5 + i\hbar)}{\sqrt{J_-}} + i \frac{\hat{L}}{\beta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{J_-}} \left( \frac{L}{\beta} + \hbar \right) \left( 1 - k^2 J_- \right),$$

$$\hat{A}_\beta^\dagger \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\frac{L}{\beta} + \hbar \right) \frac{1 + k^2 J_- (J_5 + i\hbar)}{\sqrt{J_-}} - i \frac{\hat{L}}{\beta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{J_-}} \left( \frac{L}{\beta} + \hbar \right) \left( 1 - k^2 J_- \right) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{L} (L) \hat{H} (L) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

fulfilling the intertwining relations

$$\hat{A}_\beta \hat{H}_\beta (L) = \hat{H}_\beta (L + \hbar \beta) \hat{A}_\beta,$$

$$\hat{A}_\beta^\dagger \hat{H}_\beta (L + \hbar \beta) = \hat{H}_\beta (L) \hat{A}_\beta^\dagger,$$

which, analogously to (45), determine the following set of vectors for $\hat{H}$ for any $k = 1 \ldots N$

$$\hat{R}_{\beta,+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \left( L_\beta^+ \right)^m (\hat{A}_\beta)^n + \left( \hat{A}_\beta^\dagger \right)^n (L_\beta^-)^m \right),$$

$$\hat{R}_{\beta,-} = \frac{1}{i \sqrt{2}} \left( \left( L_\beta^+ \right)^m (\hat{A}_\beta)^n - \left( \hat{A}_\beta^\dagger \right)^n (L_\beta^-)^m \right).$$

Here again, the $m$-fold product of the ladder operators requires a shift in $L$ after each application and is defined as in (43) and (44).

3. Dimensional reduction and curved superintegrable extensions of the TTW/PW systems

As an example, we consider the algebraic Hamiltonians (24), (49) in 4D. In this case the two Hamiltonians depend on the representation of $sl_2$ in 4D, i.e. on the operators

$$J^{(4)}_\psi = -\hbar^2 \left( \hat{a}_1^2 + \hat{a}_2^2 + \hat{a}_3^2 + \hat{a}_4^2 \right),$$

(50)
\[ J_{-}^{(4)} = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2, \]
\[ J_{+}^{(4)} = -i\hbar \left( x_1 \partial_x + x_2 \partial_y + x_3 \partial_z + x_4 \partial_\theta \right) - 2i\hbar. \]

It is possible to reduce this representation to one in two variables, as in [19, 20], by using a bipolar coordinates system:
\[ x_1 = n_1 \cos \phi_1; \quad x_2 = n_1 \sin \phi_1, \]
\[ x_3 = n_2 \cos \phi_2; \quad x_4 = n_2 \sin \phi_2, \]
so that the operators become
\[ J_{-}^{(4)} = n_1^2 + n_2^2, \quad J_{+}^{(4)} = -i\hbar \left( n_1 \partial_{n_1} + n_2 \partial_{n_2} + 2 \right), \]
\[ J_0^{(4)} = -\hbar^2 \left( \partial^2_{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_1} \partial_{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_1^2} \partial^2_{\phi_1} + \partial^2_{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_2} \partial_{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_2^2} \partial^2_{\phi_2} \right). \]

Since the generators do not depend on the new angular variables \( \phi_1, \phi_2, \) it is possible to get rid of the two degrees of freedom coming from these variables and to obtain a new two dimensional system which inherits the properties of the original 4D one. Let us show in a few algebraic steps how to perform the reduction for the quantum case. Consider the matrix coefficients of a Hamiltonian
\[ \langle \phi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) | H_{4D} | \psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \rangle = \int n_1 n_2 \phi^* H_{4D} \psi \, dn_1 \, dn_2 \, d\phi_1 \, d\phi_2. \] (54)

Separating the wave function as \( \psi = \phi \psi_\phi \), and equivalently for \( \phi \), transforms (54) to
\[ \int \phi(n_1, n_2)^* H_{2D} \psi(n_1, n_2) \, dn_1 \, dn_2, \] (55)
where the reduced (self-adjoint) operator is defined by:
\[ H_{2D} = \sqrt{n_1 n_2} \left( \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int e^{-i\phi_1} e^{-i\phi_2} H_{4D} e^{i\phi_1} e^{i\phi_2} \, d\phi_1 \, d\phi_2 \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1 n_2}}. \] (56)

The new Hamiltonian can be now written in terms of the reduced form of the generators
\[ J_{-}^{(4)} \rightarrow J_{-}^{(2)} = n_1^2 + n_2^2 = J_{-}^{(2)}, \]
\[ J_{+}^{(4)} \rightarrow J_{+}^{(2)} = -i\hbar \left( n_1 \partial_{n_1} + n_2 \partial_{n_2} + 1 \right) = J_{+}^{(2)}, \]
\[ J_0^{(4)} \rightarrow J_0^{(2)} = -\hbar^2 \left( \partial^2_{n_1} + \frac{1 - 4l_1^2}{4n_1^2} + \partial^2_{n_2} + \frac{1 - 4l_2^2}{4n_2^2} \right) \]
\[ = J_0^{(2)} + \hbar^2 \frac{b_1^2}{n_1^2} + \hbar^2 \frac{b_2^2}{n_2^2}, \quad b_1 = l_1^2 - \frac{1}{4}, \quad b_2 = l_2^2 - \frac{1}{4}. \] (59)

After the reduction, the 4D representation coincides with the 2D one except for the generator \( J_+ \) which has an additional centrifugal term depending on the quantum numbers.
$l_1$, $l_2$ coming from the degrees of freedom we had cut off previously. The representation (57)–(59) can also be regarded as a non-radial generalization of (2). In particular the representation (57)–(59), together with the change of variables

$$n_1 = r \cos \frac{\theta}{\beta}, \quad n_2 = r \sin \frac{\theta}{\beta},$$

turns the system (42) into

$$\tilde{H}_\beta = \frac{(1 + k^2 r^2)^2}{2} \left( \Delta_{R^2} + \frac{b_1^2}{r^2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{\beta}} + \frac{b_2^2}{r^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{\beta}} \right) - \frac{1 - k^2 r^2}{r}. \quad (60)$$

This system can be interpreted as a generalization (identical for $k = 0$) of the PW system [8] on a space of constant curvature, which has been obtained as a reduction of a system on a space of non-constant curvature $\beta \neq 1$.

Along the same direction it is straightforward to obtain a generalization of the TTW system to a non-flat Riemannian space of Darboux type. Let us consider the system (49) in 4D and apply the same dimensional reduction along with the following change of variables

$$n_1 = r^2 \cos \frac{2\theta}{\beta}, \quad n_2 = r^2 \sin \frac{2\theta}{\beta}.$$  

See, [8] for CCM in polar coordinates, including an explanation for the different definitions of $r_1$ and $r_2$. The resulting Hamiltonian is an extension of the TTW system (identical at $k = 0$) given by the following Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H}_\beta = \frac{(1 + k^2 r^4)^2}{8 (1 - k^2 r^4 - 4\delta r^2)} \left( -\hbar^2 \Delta_{R^2} + \frac{b_1^2}{r^2 \cos^2 \frac{2\theta}{\beta}} + \frac{b_2^2}{r^2 \sin^2 \frac{2\theta}{\beta}} \right) \right.$$  

$$+ \frac{\mu r^2}{2 (1 - k^2 r^4 - 4\delta r^2)}. \quad (61)$$

It is now well established that both of these systems are superintegrable when $k = 0$ for rational values of $\beta$. Clearly, both of these systems are integrable, associated with separation of variables in polar coordinates. In the following section, we shall see how the integrals for the system in 4D can be reduced to give an addition integral for the 2D system, thus proving that both systems (60) and (61) are MS. Thus we obtain an additional proof of the superintegrability of the TTW system via dimensional reduction.

### 3.1. Integrals of the motion for the reduced systems

As the Hamiltonian itself is reduced, so too can its integrals of the motion be obtained by a proper reduction of the integrals belonging to the 4D versions (24)–(49). As we showed in (57)–(59) the elements $J_+^0, J_0, J_-$ can be easily reduced to 2D differential operators, however in order to obtain also the integrals of the motion we need to reduce also the ladder operators $L_+^0, L_0^-, L_-^0$ and $L$. The differential operators $L_+^0, L_0^-, L_-^0$ are linear in $x_\mu, p_\mu$ therefore their square turns out to be linear in the coalgebraic elements $\{x_\mu^2, p_\mu^2, x_\mu p_\mu\}$ and hence the linear combination $(L_+^0)^2 + (L_0^-)^2 + (L_-^0)^2$ are respectively functions of

$$(L_+^0)^2 + (L_0^-)^2 + (L_-^0)^2$$
\begin{align}
\{ J^{(a)}, J^{(b)}, (x_1 \gamma_1 + x_2 \gamma_2), (p_1 \gamma_1 + p_2 \gamma_2) \}, \quad (62) \\
\{ J^{(a)}, J^{(b)}, (x_3 \gamma_3 + x_4 \gamma_4), (p_3 \gamma_3 + p_4 \gamma_4) \}. \quad (63)
\end{align}

The first two sets of elements can be reduced by means of the change of variable (53) whose application makes the elements (62), (63) independent on the variables $\phi_1, \phi_2$, however the elements depending in the matrices $\gamma$ cannot be so easily reduced. However we can finalize the reduction by introducing a proper similarity transformation. To be concrete let us introduce the following basis for the $\gamma$ matrices

\begin{align*}
\gamma_1 &\equiv \sigma_1 \otimes 1, & \gamma_3 &\equiv \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1 \\
\gamma_2 &\equiv \sigma_2 \otimes 1, & \gamma_4 &\equiv \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2
\end{align*}

and let us also define the following the following gauge matrix

\begin{align*}
R = \begin{pmatrix}
e^{-i \left( \phi_1 + \phi_2 \right)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{-i \left( \phi_1 - \phi_2 \right)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{i \left( \phi_1 - \phi_2 \right)} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i \left( \phi_1 - \phi_2 \right)}
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}

The above gauge turns the reduction (56) into

\begin{align*}
I \to \tilde{I} = \sqrt{\text{tr}_E} \left( \frac{1}{4 \pi^2} \int e^{-i \phi_1 \phi_2} e^{-i \phi_3 \phi_4} R^{-1} I R e^{i \phi_1 \phi_2} e^{i \phi_3 \phi_4} \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \phi_4 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{tr}_E}} \right), \quad (64)
\end{align*}

by means of which we obtain the following reduced operators. Terms that are linear in the gamma matrices reduce to

\begin{align*}
x_1 \gamma_1 + x_2 \gamma_2 &\rightarrow \eta \gamma_1, & x_3 \gamma_3 + x_4 \gamma_4 &\rightarrow \eta \gamma_3, \\
\gamma_1 p_1 + \gamma_2 p_2 &\rightarrow \gamma_1 p_2 + \frac{\hbar}{\eta} \gamma_2, & \gamma_3 p_3 + \gamma_4 p_4 &\rightarrow \gamma_3 p_4 + \frac{\hbar}{\eta} \gamma_4.
\end{align*}

and the coalgebraic generators become

\begin{align*}
J_- &\rightarrow \eta^2 + r_2^2, \\
J_3 &\rightarrow \eta p_1 + r_2 p_2 - i \hbar, \\
J_+ &\rightarrow p_1^2 + p_2^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{r_1^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{r_2^2} + i \frac{\hbar}{r_1} \gamma_1 + i \frac{\hbar}{r_2} \gamma_2, \\
L &\rightarrow -i \eta \left( \eta p_2 - r_2 p_1 \right) - i \hbar \left( \frac{1}{\eta} \gamma_1 \gamma_2 + \frac{1}{\eta} \gamma_3 \gamma_4 - \frac{1}{\eta} \gamma_1 \gamma_3 + \frac{\hbar}{\eta} \gamma_2 \gamma_4 \right).
\end{align*}

Let us emphasize that the action of this reduction has turned the scalar operator $J_+$ into the following diagonal operator

\begin{align*}
\begin{pmatrix}
J_+ (l_1 - 1, l_2 - 1) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & J_+ (l_1 - 1, l_2) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & J_+ (l_1, l_2 - 1) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & J_+ (l_1, l_2)
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
where \( J_\ell (l_1, l_2) \) is defined as follows
\[
J_\ell (l_1, l_2) \equiv pr_{11}^2 + pr_{22}^2 + \frac{\hbar^2 l_1 (l_1 + 1)}{r_1^2} + \frac{\hbar^2 l_2 (l_2 + 1)}{r_2^2}.
\]

As a consequence the Hamiltonian operators (24) and (49) have the following matrix form
\[
H_{ij} = \hbar^2 F(r) \left( -\Delta_{ij}^{\beta \beta} + \frac{l_1 (l_1 + \delta_\beta \jmath^{(1)})}{\beta^2 r^2 \sin^2 \beta \theta} + \frac{l_2 (l_2 + \delta_\beta \jmath^{(2)})}{\beta^2 r^2 \cos^2 \beta \theta} \right) + V(r),
\]
where
\[
\epsilon_\beta^{(1)} = (-1, -1, 1, 1); \quad \epsilon_\beta^{(2)} = (-1, 1, -1, 1)
\]

\( r_1 = r \cos \beta \theta; \quad r_2 = r \sin \beta \theta \)

and
\[
F(r) = \frac{(1 + k^2 r^2)^2}{2}, \quad V(r) = -\frac{\mu}{r} \left( 1 - k^2 r^2 \right),
\]
for the system (24) and
\[
F(r) = \frac{r (1 + k^2 r^2)^2}{2 \left( 1 - k^2 r^2 - 4 \delta r \right)}, \quad V(r) = -\frac{\mu r}{(1 - k^2 r^2 - 4 \delta r)}
\]
for the system (49).

From the above analysis we conclude that it is possible to reduce all the elements of the spectrum generating algebra, but we have to pay as a price that the reduced ladder operators acting on \( L \) can shift \( L \) by steps of \( 2 \hbar \) instead of \( \hbar \) since their reduced version is given by quadratic combination of the original operators, defined as
\[
L_{r1}^+ \equiv (L_{r1}^z)^2 + (L_{r2}^z)^2, \quad L_{r2}^+ \equiv (L_{r3}^z)^2 + (L_{r4}^z)^2.
\]

The integrals of the motion for the reduced version of (24), (49), under the representation (64), are constructed via
\[
R_{\beta, +} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \left( L_{r1}^+ \right)^{\beta} (A_\beta)\, \left( L_{r2}^+ \right)^{\beta} \right),
\]
\[
R_{\beta, -} = \frac{1}{i \sqrt{2}} \left( \left( L_{r1}^+ \right)^{\beta} (A_\beta)\, \left( L_{r2}^+ \right)^{\beta} \right),
\]
where \( \beta = \frac{m}{2} \) and \( m' = m, \, n' = n \) for even \( m \) and \( m' = 2m, \, n' = 2n \) for odd \( m \). The constant of the motion for the extension of the TTW system, can be constructed analogously with \( \hat{A}_m \) and \( \hat{A}_m^{\dagger} \). Recall, that repeated application of the ladder operators \( A \) and \( A^{\dagger} \) are defined via (44) and (43).

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have shown that the coalgebra formalism is an effective method for extending Hamiltonians into higher dimensions while preserving integrals of the motion, even
those integrals that are not expressible entirely in terms of the co-algebraic generators. In particular, we discuss the quantum extension of the Coulomb and oscillator type systems on the ND extension of the so-called ‘Bertrand spaces’, in their conformally flat form as introduced in [21]. We prove that such extensions are MS by constructing directly $2N - 3$ intermediate Casimir operators from the coalgebra generators as well as two additional higher-order integrals of motion from ladder operators. Thus, we have proven the superintegrability of the systems proposed in [10]. Note that because the techniques employed are based in the coalgebra structure, the classical analog of the integrals can be obtained directly from the Possion co-algebra introduced in the introduction.

As an example, we have also considered the system in 4D and its dimensional reduction. In order to construct integrals of motion that reduce appropriately, we have introduced a non-trivial gauge leading to a vector of partner Hamiltonians with vector integrals of the motion. As a consequence, we have given on the one hand an alternative proof of the superintegrability of the TTW, on the other hand a generalization of TTW on Darboux spaces. For earlier proofs, see also [22–25].

We conclude by highlighting a few of the more unique methods employed to construct the integrals. As remarked above, the co-algebra structure was used to extend the system, as well as many of the integrals, to higher-dimensions. However, even the non-radial operators such as $L_k^z$ were able to be extended by casting them in appropriate form as in (32). Additionally, we have used (formally) a vector form of the angular momentum operator $L$ in order to express the Hamiltonian in factorized form. Usually, the factorization method is applied only for 1D Hamiltonians and extended to higher-dimensions by separation of variables, see e.g. [26–28]. In this paper, the factorization method is applied to the entire 2D and, by extension, ND Hamiltonian. In the vector form, the algebra of the integrals has a straightforward representation as a quadratic extension of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ (21)–(23) when $\beta = 1$. However, the algebra generated for other values of $\beta$ as well as those which survive the dimensional reduction are of interest and will be studied in the future. Finally let us remark that the spectrum and the eigenfunctions of the systems discussed in this paper have been solved explicitly in [10] where these systems where introduced without proving their maximal superintegrability for a general $\beta$.
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Appendix

In this appendix, we prove the asserted forms of the ladder operators for total angular momentum in dimension $N$.

The total angular momentum operator is defined, formally, as a vector via

$$L = \frac{\hbar(N - 2)}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} y_{jk} \hat{L}_{jk}. \quad (A.1)$$
Theorem 4. The square of the angular momentum vector is the scalar total angular momentum.

Proof. The square of the proposed vector is

\[ L^2 = \frac{\hbar^2(N-2)}{4} - \frac{i\hbar(N-2)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \gamma_{jk} L_{jm} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j,k,l,m} \gamma_{jk} \gamma_{kl} L_{jm} L_{ln}. \]  

(A.2)

The third term of the right-hand side of (A.2) can be decomposed into three cases, all 4 indices are distinct, exactly two coincide, or two pairs coincide. Recall that \( j = k \) and \( m = n \) terms are 0, i.e. \( L_{jj} = 0 \) by definition. In the first case, we have

\[ \sum_{j,k,l,m} \gamma_{jk} \gamma_{kl} L_{jm} L_{lm} = \sum_{a<b<c<d} \gamma_{ab} \gamma_{cd} \left( 4L_{ab}L_{cd} - 4L_{ad}L_{bd} + 4L_{ad}L_{bc} \right). \]

However, as can be directly verified from the definition of the \( L_{jk} \), the sum is zero for all \( a < b < c < d \), i.e.

\[ L_{ab}L_{cd} - L_{ad}L_{bd} + L_{ad}L_{bc} = 0, \quad a \neq b \neq c \neq d. \]  

(A.3)

For the second case, namely when \( j = m \) or \( k = m \) or \( l = m \), the sum restricts to

\[ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k,m} \gamma_{jm} L_{jk} + \gamma_{jm} L_{km} = i\hbar \sum_{k,m} \gamma_{jm} L_{km} \sum_{j \neq k,m} 1. \]

For the second identity, the commutator of \( L_{jk} \) and \( L_{km} \) is used

\[ \left[ L_{jk}, L_{km} \right] = -i\hbar L_{jm}. \]

These terms will exactly cancel the linear terms in (A.2). The last non-zero cases are those where \( j = l, k = m \) or \( j = m, k = l \) which give

\[ -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j,k} \gamma_{jk} L_{jk}^2 + \gamma_{jk} \gamma_{kl} L_{jk} - \sum_{j<k} L_{jk}^2. \]

Thus, the square of \( L \) as in (A.2) reduces to

\[ L^2 \equiv \frac{\hbar^2(N-2)}{4} + \sum_{j<k} L_{jk}^2. \]  

(A.4)

QED

Next, we construct the ladder operators for \( L, L^+ \) and \( L^- \). Along the way, we collect some facts.

Lemma 5. The multidimensional analog of \( x_2 L = x_1 J^{(2)}_3 - J^{(2)}_3 P_1 + \frac{i}{2} x_1 \) holds. Namely,

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j L_{mj} = x_m J^{(N)}_3 - J^{(N)}_3 P_m + \frac{i\hbar N x_m}{2} \equiv R_m. \]  

(A.5)

Proof. A direct calculation shows that the left-hand side of (A.5) is

\[ x_m J^{(N)}_3 - J^{(N)}_3 P_m + \frac{i\hbar N x_m}{2} = -i\hbar x_m \left( \sum_{j=0}^{N} x_j \partial_j + \frac{N}{2} \right) + i\hbar \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j^2 \partial_m + \frac{i\hbar N x_m}{2}. \]

After simplifying this expression, we see that the right-hand side is simply \( \sum_{j=0}^{N} x_j L_{mj} \) and so the lemma is proved. QED
Lemma 6. The following identity holds

\[
[x_m L, L] = \frac{\hbar (N - 2)}{2} [x_m, L] - i\hbar R_m - i \sum_{j \neq m} x_j [L_{mj}, L]. \tag{A.6}
\]

Proof. First, we compute

\[
[x_m, L] = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N (\gamma_m y_j - \gamma_j y_m) x_j. \tag{A.7}
\]

Thus, left-hand side of (A.6) becomes

\[
[x_m L, L] = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N (\gamma_m y_j - \gamma_j y_m) \left( \frac{\hbar (N - 2)}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{\ell=1}^N \gamma_k y_{\ell} L_{k\ell} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{\hbar (N - 2)}{2} [x_m, L] + \frac{i \hbar}{2} \sum_{j \neq m, k, \ell} \gamma_j y_k x_j L_{k\ell}. \tag{A.8}
\]

As above, we separate the sum into cases. The first case is \(j \neq k \neq \ell \neq m\). Ordering the indices sequentially \(a < b < c\) gives

\[
\sum_{j \neq k \neq \ell} \gamma_j y_k y_j x_j L_{j\ell} = \sum_{a < b < c} \gamma_a y_b y_c \left( 2x_a L_{bc} - 2x_b L_{ac} + 2x_c L_{ab} \right).
\]

Again, by direct computation, we see that the sums inside the parentheses are identically 0,

\[
x_a L_{bc} - x_b L_{ac} + x_c L_{ab} = 0, \tag{A.9}
\]

and so the terms of the original sum with all distinct indices are 0. Of course, the cases where \(k = \ell\) are identically 0 from the definition of \(L_{k\ell}\) and so the sum reduces to the terms with \(k = j\) or \(\ell = m\) and with \(k = m\) or \(\ell = m\), which give

\[
\sum_{j \neq m, k, \ell} \gamma_m y_j y_k x_j L_{k\ell} = 2 \sum_{j \neq m} \sum_{k=1}^N \left( -\gamma_m y_k x_j L_{kj} + \gamma_j y_k x_j L_{km} \right).
\]

The terms of this sum with \(k = m\) are exactly the right-hand side of (A.5) and so can be expressed in terms of the radial operators and \(s_m\), i.e. as the component \(R_m\). The remaining terms can be reorganized as follows

\[
\sum_{j \neq m} x_j [L_{mj}, L] = \hbar \sum_{k \neq m, j \neq m} \gamma_k y_m x_j L_{jk} + \hbar \sum_{j \neq m, k \neq j} \gamma_j y_k x_j L_{mk}.
\]

So, finally the commutator is computed as

\[
[x_m L, L] = -\frac{\hbar^2 (N - 2)}{4} [x_m, L] - i\hbar R_m - i \sum_{j \neq m} x_j [L_{mj}, L].
\]

QED
Lemma 7. The following identity holds

\[ [R_m, L] = i\hbar x_m L - \frac{i\hbar^2(N - 2)}{2} x_m + \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j \left[ L_{mj}, L \right]. \tag{A.10} \]

Proof. The necessary computation is

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j L_{mj}, L = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( x_j, L \right) L_{mj} + x_j \left[ L_{mj}, L \right]. \]

The first terms can be simplified to

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j L_{mj} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( x_j, L \right) L_{mj} + \sum_{j<k}^{N} 2 \gamma_j \gamma_k L_{mj} \]

\[ -\hbar \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_j L_{mj} \sum_{a<b} \gamma_a \gamma_b \left( x_a L_{mb} - x_b L_{ma} \right) \right). \tag{A.11} \]

The second set of terms in (A.11) can be simplified via (A.9) leading to

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j L_{mj} = i\hbar \left( x_m \sum\limits_{j,k} L_{mk} \gamma_k \right) \]

\[ = i\hbar x_m L - \frac{i\hbar^2(N - 2)}{2} x_m. \]

Thus, final expression (A.10) is obtained. QED

We are now in a position to prove asserted ladder operations as given in theorem 2.

Proof of theorem 2. By lemmas 6 and 7, the commutators are

\[ [L_m^+, L] = \frac{\hbar(N - 2)}{2} [x_m, L] - i\hbar R_m - i \sum_{j \neq m} x_j \left[ L_{mj}, L \right] \]

\[ + i \left( i\hbar x_m L - \frac{i\hbar^2(N - 2)}{2} x_m + \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j \left[ L_{mj}, L \right] \right) - \frac{\hbar(N - 2)}{2} \left[ x_m, L \right] \]

\[ = -\hbar L_m^+. \]

The above relations can be straightforwardly extended also to \( L_m^- \) by considering its adjoint property. QED
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