Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.
Antiviral activities of niclosamide and nitazoxanide against chikungunya virus entry and transmission

Yu-Ming Wang a,1, Jeng-Wei Lu b,1, Chang-Chi Lin a, c, Yuan-Fan Chin a, Tzong-Yuan Wu d, e, Liang-In Lin b, f, Zheng-Zong Lai a, Szu-Cheng Kuo a, c, **, Yi-Jung Ho a, g, *

a Institute of Preventive Medicine, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC
b Department of Clinical Laboratory Sciences and Medical Biotechnology, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC
c Department and Graduate Institute of Microbiology and Immunology, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC
d Department of Bioscience Technology, Chung Yuan Christian University, Chung-Li, Taiwan, ROC
e Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan, ROC
f Department of Laboratory Medicine, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC
g School of Pharmacy, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 4 June 2016
Received in revised form 24 August 2016
Accepted 9 October 2016
Available online 11 October 2016

Keywords:
Antiviral screening
Chikungunya virus
Insect cell fusion assay
Niclosamide
Nitazoxanide

ABSTRACT

Chikungunya disease results from an infection with the arbovirus, chikungunya virus (CHIKV). Symptoms of CHIKV include fever and persistent, severe arthritis. In recent years, several antiviral drugs have been evaluated in clinical trials; however, no registered antivirals have been approved for clinical therapy. In this study, we established a high-throughput screening (HTS) system based on CHIKV 26S mediated insect cell fusion inhibition assay. Our screening system was able to search potential anti-CHIKV drugs in vitro. Using this system, four compounds (niclosamide, nitazoxanide, niflumic acid, tolfenamic acid) were identified. These compounds were then further analyzed using a microneutralization assay. We determined that niclosamide and nitazoxanide exhibit ability to against CHIKV-induced CPE. The anti-CHIKV abilities of these compounds were further confirmed by RT-qPCR and IFA. Moreover, niclosamide and niflumic acid were found to (1) limit virus entry, (2) inhibit both viral release and cell-to-cell transmission, and (3) possess broad anti-alphavirus activities, including against two clinical CHIKV isolates and two alphaviruses: Sindbis virus (SINV) and Semliki forest virus (SFV). In conclusion, our findings suggested that niclosamide and nitazoxanide were able to inhibit CHIKV entry and transmission, which might provide a basis for the development of novel human drug therapies against CHIKV and other alphavirus infections.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Chikungunya disease is caused by an infection with Chikungunya virus (CHIKV), and sporadic outbreaks have occurred in Africa and Asia for decades. In 2005, 2006, an outbreak of chikungunya disease on La Réunion Island in France resulted in one-third of residents becoming infected. During this outbreak, the virus was also transmitted to south India (Parola et al., 2006) and southern Europe, including Italy and France (Gould et al., 2010; Rezza et al., 2007). Furthermore, the Caribbean has been affected by an outbreak of chikungunya disease since December 2013, and the virus has become a public health threat in central America (Weaver and Forrester, 2015). Together, the two aforementioned outbreaks has led to hundreds of deaths and caused more than one million people to fall ill (Mavalankar et al., 2007; Weaver and Forrester, 2015). Symptoms of chikungunya disease include high fever, arthritis, arthralgia, headache, nausea, vomiting, and conjunctivitis. Some patients also suffer from severe joint pain for weeks or months (Burt et al., 2012; Weaver and Lecuit, 2015).

CHIKV is an alphavirus belonging to the family Togaviridae and has been classified as a risk group-3 (RG-3) pathogen (Staples et al., 2016).
that enters cells through receptor-mediated endocytosis (i.e. the binding phase). Upon entry, the endosome undergoes acidification, which can lead to conformational changes in CHIKV envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 (Voss et al., 2010). Envelop glycoproteins then form trimers, which cause the viral membrane and the endosome membrane to fuse together, forming a pore (i.e. the fusion phase) (van Duijl-Richter et al., 2015). The viral genome is then released into the cytosol.

The CHIKV RNA includes 49S genomic RNA and 26S subgenomic RNA. The 49S genomic RNA encodes four non-structural proteins which are responsible for viral genome synthesis (i.e. genome synthesis). CHIKV envelope glycoproteins and capsid proteins are translated by 26S subgenomic RNA in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and then are delivered into Golgi apparatus for further processing and glycosylation. Those structural proteins form the spike complexes pE2-E1, which are transported to the plasma membrane. Finally, the spike complexes are assembled with the nucleocapsid cores (Schwartz and Albert, 2010; van Duijl-Richter et al., 2015); and the viruses bud out and release to become mature virions (i.e. the release phase). CHIKV can also be spread through cell-to-cell transmission, which allows virions to efficiently avoid attacks from the host immune system (Hahon and Zimmerman, 1976).

Antiviral drugs are developed to disrupt the life cycle of virus. As indicated, the four main steps of viral multiplication include binding, fusion, genome synthesis, and transmission (including release and cell-to-cell transmission) (Abdelnabi et al., 2015; Parashar and Cherian, 2014). Virus fusion is a critical step of CHIKV infection. The fusion process is dependent on low-pH and the presence of cholesterol (Kuo et al., 2011; Kuo et al., 2012). Similar findings have been reported for the Sindbis virus (SINV) and the Semliki forest virus (SFV) (Boggs et al., 1989; Chanel-Vos and Kielian, 2004; Kempf et al., 1987; Lanzrein et al., 1993). Therefore, fusion inhibitors are potential candidates for anti-CHIKV drugs. In this report, we described the use of an CHIKV 26S mediated insect cell fusion inhibition assay that uses baculovirus-based expression as a high-throughput screening (HTS) system to search for novel anti-CHIKV drugs (Macarron et al., 2011). We also discussed the effects and mechanisms of two potential anti-CHIKV candidates, niclosamide and nitazoxanide. Our results suggested that the antiviral properties of niclosamide, and nitazoxanide made them promising compounds for further development of anti-CHIKV drugs.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell lines and viruses

BHK-21 (ATCC CCL-10, baby hamster kidney cells) cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Invitrogen, catalog # 10564–011) containing 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen, catalog # 10082–147) at 37 °C and 5% CO2. Sf21 cells were grown in SF-900 II SFM (Invitrogen, catalog # 10902–096) containing 5% FBS at 27 °C. We used BHK-21 cells to propagate CHIKV strains S27 (ATCC-VR-64, African prototype), 0611aTw (Singapore/0611aTw/2006/FJ807896, provided by the centers for disease control, ROC [TCDC]), and 0810bTw (Malaysia/0810bTw/2008/FJ807895, with 226v mutant, provided by TCDC) as well as Sindbis virus (ATCC-VR-68, original strain) and Semliki forest viruses (ATCC-VR-67, original strain), S-WT (i.e. a baculovirus constructed with the CHIKV 26S genome) and vector baculoviruses (which was used as a control) were propagated in SF21 cells (Kuo et al., 2011).

2.2. Compounds

An FDA-approved 788 drug library (Selleckchem, catalog No.L1300, 10 mM in DMSO) was purchased from Selleckchem to facilitate the CHIKV 26S mediated insect cell fusion inhibition assay. Niclosamide (Sigma, N3510), nitazoxanide (Sigma, N0290) and suramin (Sigma, S2671) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. T1105 (3-hydroxy-2-pyrazinecarboxamide, Toronto Research Chemicals (TRC), D454150) were purchased from TRC.

2.3. CHIKV 26S mediated insect cell fusion inhibition assay

SF21 cells were transduced with vAc-CHIKV 26S-Rhir-E (S-WT) (Kuo et al., 2011) at an MOI (multiplicity of infection) of 2 and held at 27 °C overnight. Vector baculoviruses (vAc-Rhir-E) were transduced at the same time and under the same conditions. To delay membrane fusion, on the second day, we replaced the old medium with fresh medium (at a pH of 6.8). On the third day, infected cells were pre-treated with 100 μM of the 788 FDA-approved drugs (pH 6.8) for 1 h. The medium was then replaced with fresh medium (pH 5.8) containing 0.1 mg/ml cholesterol and 100 μM of the 788 FDA-approved drugs, and cells were incubated for 2 h. Images of viral fusion were captured using an inverted fluorescence microscope (Olympus, IX71), and fusion area was analyzed by Image J software. The fusion index was calculated using the formula: 1 – [cell count/ (total area of fused cells/average area of single cells)] (Ho et al., 2015). Related fusion indexes of test compounds were normalized with respect to the group of S-WT at pH 5.8.

2.4. Microneutralization assay

BHK-21 cells were infected with CHIKV strain S27 at an MOI of 0.001 in the presence of compounds at indicated dosages in Fig. 2B and Fig. S1. After an incubation period of 3 days, infected cells were fixed and stained using 0.1% crystal violet solution.

2.5. Immunofluorescence assay (IFA)

Infected cells were fixed and incubated with rabbit anti-CHIKV E2 antibodies (1:200) (Kuo et al., 2011) or J2 anti-dsRNA IgG2a monoclonal antibodies (1:200; Scicoms, catalog #J2–1406) for 1 h. These pre-stained cells were subsequently washed three times with PBS and incubated with Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:500; Invitrogen, A11037), Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:500; Invitrogen, A11032) or Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:500; Invitrogen, A11029) for 1 h. After a final wash with PBS, images of cells were captured using an inverted fluorescence microscope (Olympus, IX71).

2.6. Reverse transcription–quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)

Total RNA was isolated using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, catalog # 15596–026). The QuantiTect SYBR Green RT-PCR kit (Qagen, catalog # 52906) was used to quantify viral RNA and actin RNA. (Primer sequences are listed in Table S1(Fragkoudis et al., 2007; Ho et al., 2015; Sane et al., 2012). Specifically, RT-qPCR was conducted (using the Roche LightCycler 480 System) for 30 min at 50 °C, 15 min at 95 °C, and then for forty-five additional cycles (where 1 cycle consisted of 15 s at 95 °C, 25 s at 57 °C, and 10 s at 72 °C). Relative values were calculated using the ΔΔCt method, and each experiment was performed in triplicate.
2.7. Cell viability

Cell viability was examined using the cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog #969892). For this, cells were seeded in 96-well plates. The cells were then incubated with the candidate drugs at the indicated dosages for 16 h. The CCK-8 reagent was diluted by culture medium at 1/10 (v/v) and replaced into all wells for 1–4 h of incubation. Optical density (OD) was detected using the Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multimode reader. Cell viability was determined according to the following formula: cell viability = [(OD 450 treated cells - OD 650 reference control)/(OD 450 control cells - OD 650 reference control)] × 100.

2.8. Entry assay

BHK-21 cells were infected with CHIKV strain S27 at an MOI of 0.001 and incubated with indicated concentrations of niclosamide and nitazoxanide for 1 h at 37 °C. After washing with DMEM, the infected cells were covered with medium containing 4.5 ml 1% agarose and incubated for 2 days. Finally, infected cells were fixed and stained with 1% crystal violet solution. Plaque formations were counted and normalized with respect to the virus control group. All results were obtained from at least three independent experiments.

2.9. Time of addition assay

BHK-21 cells were infected with CHIKV strain S27 at an MOI of 1 and incubated for 2 h. The viruses were removed and the medium was replaced. In addition, 2.5 μM niclosamide or 12.5 μM nitazoxanide were added to cells prior to infection (pre), during infection (co), or during post-infection (post) stages. After an 8 h incubation period, the intracellular production of CHIKV RNA in cells was determined by RT-qPCR, and the percentage of inhibition associated with each compound was normalized with respect to the virus control group (VC). The release of CHIKV progeny was collected from the supernatant and analyzed using a TCID50 assay.

2.10. Cell-to-cell transmission assay

BHK-21 cells were seeded in a 24 well plate, infected with CHIKV strain S27 at an MOI of 0.001, and incubated period of 1 h. The inoculum was subsequently removed and the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing CHIKV neutralization antibodies (Ho et al., 2015; Kuo et al., 2011) and the indicated drugs. Cells were then incubated for 16 h and then fixed and stained with J2 anti-dsRNA IgG2a monoclonal antibodies (1:200; Scicons, catalog #I2-1406) according to standard IFA protocols. Finally, nuclei were stained with DAPI so that the number of cells per focus could be counted (Ho et al., 2015).

2.11. In-vivo zebrafish assays for toxicity testing

We used a dissecting microscope (Hamlet, MSH655-T) to observe morphological anomalies in zebrafish, including chorion with attached debris, delayed development, lack of spontaneous movement, pericardial edema, yolk sac edema, bent trunk, tail malformation, and uninflated swim bladder. We also recorded hatch and survival rates, which are expressed as the number of that have hatched or dead embryos as compared with the control group.

We stored 4 h of incubation. Optical density (OD) was detected using the Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multimode reader. Cell viability was determined according to the following formula: cell viability = [(OD 450 treated cells - OD 650 reference control)/(OD 450 control cells - OD 650 reference control)] × 100.

2.12. Statistical analysis

Experimental results were analyzed using the Student’s t-test and the Kaplan-Meier test, performed in GraphPad prism software. All data were obtained from at least three independent experiments, and a p value of <0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Establishment of CHIKV 26S mediated insect cell fusion inhibition assay

CHIKV 26S subgenomic RNA encoded structural proteins (including Capsid (C) and envelope proteins (E3, E2, 6K, and E1)) which played roles in receptor binding and membrane fusion. Co-expressed CHIKV structural proteins and enhanced green fluorescence protein (EGFP) by bi-cistronic baculovirus expression system was utilized to acquire EGF-positive SF21 cells expressing CHIKV structure proteins. The EGFP-positive cells were able to characterize cell fusion (Chen et al., 2005). An illustration of CHIKV 26S mediated insect cell fusion inhibition assay protocol is shown in Fig. 1A. The fusion phenomenon was not observed when SF21 cells were transduced with control vector baculovirus (vAc-Rhir-E) whether at pH6.8 (Fig. 1B) or at pH5.8 (Fig. 1C). When SF21 cell were transduced with S-WT, the fusion phenomenon was retarded at pH6.8 (Fig. 1D) but accelerated in the presence of cholesterol at pH5.8 (Fig. 1E). Therefore, the group of S-WT at pH5.8 (Fig. 1E) was as a fusion control, all compounds were detected under this condition.

CHIKV neutralizing antibodies (Kuo et al., 2011) (Fig. 1F) was able to inhibit CHIKV 26S mediated cell fusion but not IgG (Fig. 1G). These findings suggest that the fusion phenomenon was specifically triggered by CHIKV structural proteins. Suramin has been shown to possess anti-CHIKV activities such as fusion inhibition, and was thus used as positive control (Ho et al., 2015). Conversely, T1105 was considered to be a CHIKV replication antagonist and was used as negative control. Suramin was able to disrupt cell fusion of S-WT transduction at pH5.8 (Fig. 1H), but T1105 could not (Fig. 1I). These findings provided evidence to suggest that our novel platform can be used to identify potential fusion inhibitors.

3.2. Compound screening and anti-CHIKV effects of candidate drugs

We used a CHIKV 26S mediated insect cell fusion inhibition assay to perform high-throughput screening of CHIKV fusion inhibitors (obtained from an FDA-approved 788 drug library). Afterwards, the related fusion index was normalized with respect to the group of S-WT at pH5.8 (Fig. 1E), and determined that four compounds, niclosamide, nitazoxanide, niflumic acid, and tolfenamic acid, had fusion index lower than 0.1 (red points in Fig. 2A) and the Z-scores were ~8.012, ~8.467, ~8.812, and ~8.012. Anti-CHIKV abilities were further characterized by a microneutralization assay, both niclosamide and nitazoxanide exhibited activity against CHIKV-induced CPE (Fig. 2B). The compounds with intermediate inhibitory activity (Fusion index 0.5) further tested by microneutralization assay and confirmed they did not inhibit CHIKV infection (Fig. S1). These results indicate that some fusion inhibitors (Fusion index<0.1) are potential candidates for the development of...
anti-CHIKV drugs.

To further confirm the anti-CHIKV effects of niclosamide and nitazoxanide, RT-qPCR and IFA were performed. For these tests, BHK-21 cells were infected with CHIKV at an MOI of 0.01 in the presence of various dosages of niclosamide and nitazoxanide. Intracellular RNA was extracted at 16 h p.i., and the CHIKV RNA level was normalized with actin. Percent inhibition of CHIKV RNA level was normalized with respect to CHIKV infection group to define EC50. The effects of niclosamide and nitazoxanide on BHK-21 cell viability were analyzed with a CCK-8 assay to define CC50. Niclosamide showed an EC50 value of 0.95 ± 0.22 μM and a CC50 value of >20 μM. Conversely, the EC50 and CC50 values of nitazoxanide were determined to be 2.96 ± 0.18 μM and 25 μM (Fig. 3A, Table 1).

In addition, BHK-21 cells were infected with CHIKV at an MOI of 1 in the presence of 2.5 μM niclosamide or 12.5 μM nitazoxanide and incubated for 8 h. Anti-CHIKV E2 antibodies were used in IFA to determine CHIKV replication, and both niclosamide and nitazoxanide were confirmed possessing anti-CHIKV activities (Fig. 3B). The toxicity of these compounds was also analyzed in vivo using zebrafish embryos, and neither compound was found to negatively affect survival, body length, development, or hatch rate (Fig. 3C). These findings indicated that the dosages of niclosamide and nitazoxanide we applied were safe and could be used to verify their anti-CHIKV effects.
3.3 Anti-CHIKV mechanisms of niclosamide and nitazoxanide

Niclosamide and nitazoxanide can inhibit CHIKV 26S mediated cell fusion; therefore, these compounds might also be able to suppress the early stage of CHIKV infection. To further investigate this possibility, BHK-21 cells were infected with CHIKV at an MOI of 0.01 and co-treated with niclosamide (5, 2.5, 1.25, or 0.625 \( \mu M \)) or nitazoxanide (50, 25, 12.5, or 6.25 \( \mu M \)) at 37 °C for 1 h incubation period. The inoculum was then removed, and treated cells were washed and covered with 1% agarose without any drugs to determine the effects of niclosamide and nitazoxanide on virus entry. Both compounds were found to significantly inhibit CHIKV entry in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 4).

To investigate the stage of CHIKV infection which was affected by niclosamide and nitazoxanide, a time-of-addition assay was used. For this, BHK-21 cells were infected with CHIKV at an MOI of 1 for 2 h incubation. Subsequently, the inoculum was removed and placed into fresh medium. The 2.5 \( \mu M \) niclosamide or 12.5 \( \mu M \) nitazoxanide were added prior to infection (pre), during infection (co), or post-infection (post). At 8 h p.i., cell lysate and supernatant were collected and analyzed by RT-qPCR and a TCID\(_{50}\) assay (Fig. 5A). Fig. 5B shows the percent inhibition which was observed at the intracellular CHIKV RNA level. Specifically, niclosamide and nitazoxanide presented inhibition of CHIKV attachment during infection stage (co), and these findings confirm results of the entry assay. In addition, nitazoxanide presented minor inhibition during the post-infection stage (post), which implied the inhibition of nitazoxanide in CHIKV genome synthesis. Besides, the production of CHIKV progeny was determined using a TCID\(_{50}\) assay (Fig. 5C) to analyze the release of CHIKV virions. Both compounds were able to suppress the production of CHIKV progeny during the post-infection stage (post), which indicate their inhibition in virus...
Fig. 3. Evaluation of anti-CHIKV abilities and toxicity of niclosamide and nitazoxanide. (A) Dose-dependent curves showing the anti-CHIKV activities of niclosamide and nitazoxanide (blue) and associated effects on the viability of BHK-21 cells (red). (B) IFA of niclosamide and nitazoxanide. (C) In vivo toxicity assay using zebrafish. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
release. Besides, niclosamide showed the ability to inhibit progeny production during infection stage (co), which meant niclosamide possess high efficacy during virus entry. Even though niclosamide treated only during infection, it still affects virus progeny production.

Cell-to-cell transmission is another important pathway for CHIKV transmission (Hahon and Zimmerman, 1970). In the previous finding, suramin possessed the anti-CHIKV ability not only in cell fusion but also transmission (i.e. release and cell-to-cell transmission) (Ho et al., 2015). The above results show that both niclosamide and nitazoxanide were able to inhibit CHIKV entry and disrupt the release of CHIKV virions. Therefore, we analyzed the roles that niclosamide and nitazoxanide played in cell-to-cell transmission. BHK-21 cells were infected with CHIKV at an MOI of 0.001 for 1 h incubation and then the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing CHIKV neutralization antibodies and the indicated drugs. CHIKV neutralizing antibodies were added to neutralize releasing CHIKV progeny, therefore the area of CHIKV infection was considered which was caused by cell-to-cell transmission. The infected area was determined by dsRNA staining. As shown in Fig. 6A, both niclosamide and nitazoxanide significantly reduced the area of CHIKV infection. These results also indicated that niclosamide and nitazoxanide significantly reduce cell-to-cell transmission with a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 6B).

### 3.4. Antiviral abilities of niclosamide and nitazoxanide on CHIKV and other alphaviruses in vitro

To determine the anti-viral spectrum of niclosamide and nitazoxanide, BHK-21 cells were infected with two different clinical strains of CHIKV (i.e. 0611Tw and 0810bTw, a 226V mutant strain) as well as two other alphaviruses, SINV and SFV. For this, all MOIs were 0.01, and cells were treated with various dosages of niclosamide or nitazoxanide. After 16 h of incubation, the cell lysate was used to extract intracellular RNA, which was analyzed by RT-qPCR to define EC50. For niclosamide, EC50 values were between 0.85 and 0.95 μM in different CHIKV strains, while EC50 values in SINV and SFV were 1.07 and 1.79 μM, respectively. The selectively index

| Cell line | Virus strains | Niclosamide (μM) | Nitazoxanide (μM) |
|-----------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|
|           | EC50a         | CC50b            | SIc                |
| BHK-21    | CHIKV         | 0.95 ± 0.22      | 20                |
|           | CHIKV 0611Tw  | 0.85 ± 0.12      | >20               |
|           | CHIKV 0810bTw | 0.90 ± 0.12      | >20               |
| SINV      | 1.07 ± 0.40   | >20              |
| SFV       | 1.79 ± 0.23   | >20              |
| U2OS      | CHIKV         | 0.36 ± 0.08      | >20               |

* The EC50 were determined using RT-qPCR and were presented as means ± SD (n ≥ 3).

** The CC50 were determined using a CCK-8 assay and were presented as means ± SD (n ≥ 3).

The SI (selectively index) represented the ratio of CC50 to EC50.

### Table 1

Antiviral activity of Niclosamide and Nitazoxanide against various alphaviruses in vitro.

![Fig. 4. Dose-dependent responses of niclosamide and nitazoxanide on CHIKV entry.](image-url)

(A) An entry assay showing the inhibitory effects of different niclosamide and nitazoxanide doses. (B) Quantification of entry assay. The percentage was calculated by counting the number of plaque formations and normalizing this value with respect to that of the virus control group (MOCK).
(SI) for this drug was between 11.17 and 23.53. Conversely, for nitazoxanide, EC₅₀ values were between 1.96 and 4.95 μM in different CHIKV strains, while EC₅₀ values in SINV and SFV were 1.53 and 3.56 μM, respectively. The selectively index (SI) for this drug was between 5.05 and 16.34. The cytopathic effect of BHK-21 cells infected with SINV (Fig. S2A) and SFV (Fig. S3A) were further observed but not appeared under the treatment of niclosamide or nitazoxanide. The dsRNA staining was further used to detect SINV (Fig. S2B) and SFV (Fig. S3B) infection and also confirmed the ability of anti-SINV and anti-SFV of niclosamide and nitazoxanide.

U2OS cells were a kind of human bone osteosarcoma epithelial cells and were able to be infected with CHIKV. Both niclosamide and nitazoxanide showed their inhibition of CHIKV, when U2OS were infected with CHIKV. The EC₅₀ of niclosamide and nitazoxanide were 0.36 ± 0.08 and 3.01 ± 0.61, the CC₅₀ were >20 and >25 and the SI were >55.55 and 8.31. Taken together, this evidence indicates that niclosamide and nitazoxanide possessed the potential to become anti-CHIKV drugs.

4. Discussion

CHIKV was classified into risk group-3 pathogens. However, the baculovirus-based expression system is safe and can be operated in a BSL-2 laboratory. Therefore, using a CHIKV 26S mediated insect cell fusion inhibition assay can decrease the risk of HTS to search for anti-CHIKV drugs. In this study, four of the 788 FDA approved drugs showed the ability to block cell fusion; however, only two of them, niclosamide and nitazoxanide, were confirmed to have anti-CHIKV effects (Fig. 2B and Fig. 3). An entry assay was used to verify the inhibition mechanisms of drugs during early infection. Niclosamide and nitazoxanide both showed inhibition abilities during the early stages of CHIKV infection (Fig. 4). Furthermore, these drugs were able to inhibit two CHIKV clinical isolates, and also two other alphaviruses as well (Table 1). Experimental data further indicated that both compounds possess broad anti-alphavirus abilities. The anti-CHIKV activity of niclosamide and nitazoxanide also proved using U2OS cells, which verified their potential use in human cells (Table 1).

A previous study indicates that niclosamide is a proton carrier that targets acidic endosomes and has broad antiviral effects (Jurgeit et al., 2012). Nitazoxanide is also considered to be a broad-spectrum antiviral agent that can be used to treat dengue, yellow fever, JEV, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), parainfluenza, coronavirus, rotavirus, norovirus, hepatitis B (HBV), hepatitis C (HCV), and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (Rossignol, 2014).
Furthermore, nitazoxanide has been found to inhibit the early and mid-stages of Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) infection (Shi et al., 2014). Based on these findings, we suggested that niclosamide and nitazoxanide might possess broad anti-alphavirus abilities in suppressing the early stages of CHIKV infection.

In a time-of-addition assay, cell lysate and supernatant were separately analyzed by RT-qPCR and TCID50 assay. Depending on assay design, intracellular CHIKV RNA was used to determine the effects of test compounds on virus binding (pre-infection, pre), virus entry (during infection, co) and viral genome replication (post-infection, post). Moreover, a TCID50 assay conducted during the post-infection stage was used to quantify extracellular CHIKV progeny (i.e. viral release). Niclosamide treatment during infection (co) significantly reduced the intracellular CHIKV RNA level (Fig. 5B). Moreover, the production of extracellular CHIKV progeny was significantly reduced when cells were treated with niclosamide both during and post-infection stages (Fig. 5C). Above results implied that niclosamide could affect both virus entry and release. Nitazoxanide also decreased the intracellular CHIKV RNA level when it was added during infection (co) and post-infection (post) (Fig. 5B). Besides, nitazoxanide was able to suppress the production of CHIKV progeny during the post-infection stage (Fig. 5C). Previous studies indicated nitazoxanide with the antiviral activity in post infection of influenza A virus and rotavirus (Rossignol, 2014). Nitazoxanide might affected influenza A virus assembly (Rossignol et al., 2009) and rotavirus replication (La Frazia et al., 2013). Our data also suggested that nitazoxanide might possess inhibition abilities against virus entry, genome synthesis and virus release. Virus transmission was including extracellular transmission (virus release) and intracellular transmission (cell-to-cell transmission). Cell-to-cell transmission is considered an important transmission pathway and allows viruses to avoid attacks by immune system. Previous studies indicated that CHIKV structural proteins might involve not only in virus binding and fusion but also virus release (Masrinoul et al., 2014) and cell-to-cell transmission (Lee et al., 2011). Our previous study indicated that suramin was able to inhibit virus entry, fusion, release and cell-to-cell transmission (Ho et al., 2015). The above data had proved that
Fragkoudis, R., Breakwell, L., McKimmie, C., Boyd, A., Barry, G., Kohl, A., Merits, A., Chanel-Vos, C., Kielian, M., 2004. A conserved histidine in the ij loop of the Semliki virus envelope 1 protein inhibits virus release. PLoS One 9, e0133511.

Kempf, C., Michei, M.R., Kohler, U., Kohler, H. 1987. A novel method for the detection of early events in cell-cell fusion of Semliki Forest virus infected cells growing in monolayer cultures. Arch. Virol. 95, 283–289.

Kuo, S.C., Chen, Y.J., Wang, Y.M., Kuo, M.D., Jinn, T.R., Chen, W.S., Chang, Y.C., Tung, K.L., Wu, T.Y., Lo, S.J. 2011. Cell-based analysis of Chikungunya virus membrane fusion using baculovirus-expression vectors. J. Virol. Methods 175, 206–215.

Kuo, S.C., Chen, Y.J., Wang, Y.M., Tsai, P.Y., Kuo, M.D., Wu, T.Y., Lo, S.J. 2012. Cell-based analysis of Chikungunya virus E1 protein in membrane fusion. J. Biomed. Sci. 19, 44.

La Frazia, S., Cucci, A., Arnoldi, F., Coira, M., Gianferretti, P., Angelini, M., Belardo, G., Burrow, O.R., Rossignol, J.F., Santoro, M.G., 2013. Thiazolidines, a new class of antiviral agents effective against rotavirus infection, target viral morphogenesis, inhibiting viroplasm formation. J. Virol. 87, 11096–11106.

Lanzrein, M., Kaisermann, N., Weingart, R., Kempf, C. 1993. Early events of Semliki Forest virus-induced cell-cell fusion. Virology 196, 541–547.

Lee, C.Y., Kam, Y.W., Fric, J., Mallaret, B., Koh, E.G., Prakash, C., Huang, W., Lee, W.W., Lin, C., Lin, R.T., Renia, L., Wang, C.I., Ng, L.F., Warter, L. 2011. Chikungunya virus neutralization antigens and direct cell-to-cell transmission are revealed by human antibody-escape mutants. PLoS Pathog. 7, e1002390.

Macarron, R., Banks, M.N., Bojanic, D., Burns, D.J., Cirovic, D.A., Garyantes, T., Green, D.V., Hertzberg, R.P., Janzen, W.P., Paslay, J.W., Schopper, U., Sittampalam, G.S., 2011. Impact of high-throughput screening in biomedical research. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 10, 188–195.

Marsinoul, P., Puiprom, O., Tanaka, A., Kuhwara, M., Chaihana, P., Ikuta, K., Ramasoota, P., Okabayashi, T. 2014. Monoclonal antibody targeting chikungunya virus envelope E1 protein inhibits virus release. Virology 464–465, 111–117.

Mavalankar, D., Shatri, P., Ramam, P. 2007. Chikungunya epidemic in India: a major public-health disaster. Lancet Infect. Dis. 7, 306–307.

Parashar, D., Cherian, S. 2014. Antiviral perspectives for chikungunya virus. Biomed. Res. Int. 2014, 631642.

Parola, P., de Lamballerie, X., Jourdan, J., Rovey, C., Vaillant, V., Minodier, P., Brouqui, P., Flahault, A., Raoult, D., Charron, R.N., 2006. Novel chikungunya virus variant in travelers returning from Indian Ocean Islands. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 12, 1493–1499.

Rezza, G., Nicoletti, L., Angelini, R., Roni, R., Finarelli, A.C., Panning, M., Cordioli, P., Fortuna, C., Boros, S., Marugano, F., Silvi, G., Angelini, P., Dottori, M., Ciufolini, M.G., Majori, G.C., Cassone, A., group, C.S., 2007. Infection with chikungunya virus in Italy: an outbreak in a temperate region. Lancet 370, 1840–1846.

Rossignol, J.F. 2014. Nitazoxamide: a first-in-class broad-spectrum antiviral agent. Antivir. Res. 110, 94–103.

Rossignol, J.F., La Frazia, S., Chiappa, L., Cucci, A., Santoro, M.G., 2009. Thiazolidines, a new class of anti-influenza viruses molecules targeting viral hemagglutinin at the post-translational level. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 29798–29808.

Sane, J., Kurkela, S., Levonav, L., Nikkari, S., Vaheri, A., Vapaahalti, O. 2012. Development and evaluation of a real-time RT-PCR assay for Sindbis virus detection. J. Virol. Methods 179, 185–188.

Schwartz, O., Albert, M.L., 2010. Biology and pathogenesis of chikungunya virus. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 8, 491–500.

Shi, Z., Wei, J., Deng, X., Li, S., Qiu, Y., Shao, D., Li, B., Zhang, K., Xue, F., Wang, X., Ma, Z. 2014. Nitazoxamide inhibits the replication of Japanese encephalitis virus in cultured cells and in a mouse model. Virol. J. 11, 10.

Staples, J.E., Breiman, R.F., Powers, A.M., 2009. Chikungunya fever: an epidemiological review of a re-emerging infectious disease. Clin. Infect. Dis. 49, 942–948.

van Duijl-Richter, M.K., Hoorweg, T.E., Rodenhuis-Zybert, I.A., Smit, J.M. 2015. Early events in chikungunya virus infection—from virus cell binding to membrane fusion. Virus 7, 3647–3674.

Voss, J.E., Vaney, M.C., Duggerroy, S., Vonhein, C., Girard-Blanc, C., Crublet, E., Thompson, A., Bricogne, G., Rey, F.A. 2010. Glycoprotein organization of Chikungunya virus particles revealed by X-ray crystallography. Nature 468, 709–712.

Weaver, S.C., Forrester, N.L. 2015. Chikungunya: evolutionary history and recent epidemiic spread. Antivir. Res. 120, 32–35.

Weaver, S.C., Leader, J.M. 2015. Chikungunya virus and the global spread of a mosquito-borne disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 372, 1231–1239.