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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Patients with advanced gastrointestinal cancer must cope with the negative effects of cancer and complications.

AIM
To evaluate psychological distress, quality of life, and coping strategies in patients with advanced colorectal cancer compared to non-colorectal cancer based on sex.

METHODS
A prospective, transversal, multicenter study was conducted in 203 patients; 101 (50%) had a colorectal and 102 (50%) had digestive, non-colorectal advanced cancer. Participants completed questionnaires evaluating psychological distress (Brief Symptom Inventory-18), quality of life (EORTC QLQ-C30), and coping strategies (Mini-Mental Adjustment to Cancer) before starting systemic cancer treatment.

RESULTS
The study included 42.4% women. Women exhibited more depressive symptoms, anxiety, functional limitations, and anxious preoccupation than men. Patients with non-colorectal digestive cancer and women showed more somatization and physical symptoms than subjects with colorectal cancer and men. Men with colorectal cancer reported the best health status.

CONCLUSION
The degree of disease acceptance in gastrointestinal malignancies may depend on sex and location of the primary digestive neoplasm. Future interventions should specifically address sex and tumor site differences in individuals with advanced digestive cancer.
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surgery can affect the emotional distress and quality of life in individuals with a gastrointestinal neoplasm. In a Spanish prospective series, people with pancreatic-biliary cancer expressed more somatic complaints, depression, and anguish than those with colorectal cancer, whereas participants with gastroesophageal neoplasms suffered higher rates of depression, psychological distress, and hopelessness than those with colorectal cancer[11].

The relevance of contemplating sex and its influence on study outcomes is stated in the SAGER guidelines (Sex and Gender Equity in Research) designed to inform authors in preparing their manuscripts[12,13]. Sex, understood as going beyond its biological concept (chromosomal assignment) and founded on the basis of the roles and relationships established throughout the person’s lifetime, is a sociodemographic variable that can give rise to differences in the evolution as well as the clinical and psychological aspects of cancer[14]. This is particularly relevant in cancers such as digestive neoplasms that have a higher incidence in men and in which there may be an underrepresentation of women who may experience a different evolution and coping style that call for specific approaches[15,16].

In the case of advanced gastroesophageal tumors, women have been seen to be less likely to receive systemic treatment with chemotherapy when the histology is adenocarcinoma with no difference among patients with squamous cell tumors. This impacts survival, in as much as men display increased OS in esophageal adenocarcinomas with no differences in survival rates by sex in cases of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in some studies, while others attribute a higher incidence of cancers having an unfavorable and more aggressive histology among women[17-20]. As for pancreatic cancer, differences in the efficacy and toxicity of chemotherapy have been observed between men and women[21,22]. Women receiving FOLFIRINOX are older at the time of diagnosis and exhibit higher OS rates than men, despite requiring an earlier dose decrease due to early toxicity, which is possibly attributable to worse tolerance to systemic treatment. No study has investigated whether this poor tolerance is influenced by psychological factors[23].

Other clinical trials have revealed a trend toward higher progression-free survival and OS in women, although these findings were not statistically significant[23,24]. In individuals with localized colorectal cancer, a large-scale German study examined sex and found that the women were older than the men, had a more advanced stage at the time of diagnosis, and received a lower dose intensity of chemotherapy, despite having greater disease-free survival and OS[25]. The more advanced stage of disease among women might be due to the greater acceptance of endoscopic screening by men, among other reasons[26-28]. Therefore, women with digestive cancers are usually diagnosed at older ages than men and regardless of a lower rate of chemotherapy administration and greater toxicity in general, they display better survival rates without any study having been conducted to probe the cause behind such differences and whether psychological factors may play a role.

Three key psychological factors in cancer patients are psychological distress[6], quality of life[29-31], and coping[32,33]. Earlier studies have reported that up to 54% of people with colorectal cancer suffer anxiety and 27% suffer from depression[34-36] with higher incidences among women[37]. One study performed in subjects with gastrointestinal cancer in Spain reported that men with colorectal cancer have a worse quality of life, associated with physical performance and emotional and cognitive functioning[38]. Oppegaard et al[39] carried out a descriptive study focusing on sex differences in coping strategies and noted that women scored higher on positive reframing, religion, and instrumental support, while men scored higher for mood. Nevertheless, the question has never been studied as to whether these differences are due to the person’s biological sex or if there are sex characteristics (acquired) or other biopsychosocial variables that modulate coping, emotional stress, and quality of life.

Objectives
The aim of our study was to analyze whether there were differences between colorectal and non-colorectal digestive cancer in sociodemographic and/or clinical conditions and compared mental health status, quality of life, and coping between colorectal and non-colorectal digestive cancer patients depending on sex. We believe that these results may be useful to design specific preventive programs for each group.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design
NEOetic is a multi-institutional (22 Spanish hospitals), prospective, observational study and is part of a cancer patient research program funded by the Spanish Society of Medical Oncology. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of each institution and by the Spanish Agency of Medicines and Medical Devices (identification code: ES14042015).

Participants
The study was a cohort study, and participants were 18 years of age or older with unresectable, locally advanced, or metastatic cancer and were candidates for systemic antineoplastic treatment. For the purposes of this analysis, subjects with digestive cancers were regarded and grouped as being colorectal
(colon and rectum) and non-colorectal digestive (esophagus, stomach, pancreas, biliary tract, liver, anal canal).

**Setting**

Patients were invited to participate in the study at the first visit with the oncologist where they were informed of the treatment alternatives for their cancer. Participation was voluntary, anonymous, and did not affect patient care. All patients included in the study signed an informed consent for their inclusion. The study was undertaken according to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines[40].

We screened 245 patients; 203 were eligible for this analysis and 42 were excluded (10 failed to meet the inclusion criteria, 13 met the exclusion criteria, and 19 had incomplete data at the time of analysis).

**Variables and measures**

Demographic and clinical data (age, sex, marital status, educational level, employment status, tumor location and stage, and treatment) were obtained and updated by the medical oncologist directly from the patients and from their records. The oncologist explained the questionnaires to the participant who completed them at home during the 1st month following diagnosis of advanced disease and prior to starting cancer treatment. The questionnaires used are validated and are described below.

**Data sources/measurement**

The Brief Symptom Inventory consists of 18 items divided into three dimensions (somatization, depression, and anxiety) as well as a total score, the Global Severity Index, which summarizes the respondent’s overall emotional adjustment or psychological distress over the last 7 d[41]. Each item is rated on a 5-point Likert scale and Cronbach’s alpha ranged between 0.81 and 0.90[42].

The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30) contains 30 items comprising four subscales: functioning, symptoms, health status, and global quality of life[43]. The response choices range from 1 (not at all) to 4 (very much), except for the health status scale, where responses range from 1 (very poor) to 7 (excellent). All scale scores are linearly transformed into a 0-100 scale. Higher scores on the functioning scales and global quality of life scale represent a higher level of functioning or quality of life. For the symptom scales, the higher the score, the greater the symptom burden. In this sample α = 0.85[44].

The Mini-Mental Adjustment to Cancer is a 29-item scale that assesses cancer-specific coping strategies as being adaptive (cognitive avoidance, fighting spirit, and fatalism) or maladaptive (helplessness and anxious preoccupation)[45]. When studying the psychometric properties of the Spanish translation of the scale, a 4-factor structure is found and used in this study; it includes helplessness, anxious preoccupation, and cognitive avoidance as well as a new subscale, positive attitude, that combines fighting spirit and fatalism[46]. Each item is rated on a 4-point Likert scale and Cronbach’s alpha coefficients for each domain ranged from 0.62-0.88[46].

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used for demographic data and survey responses. Absolute frequencies were used for categorical data and mean and standard deviation for quantitative data. Additional descriptive analyses were performed, grouping patients by cancer type. We conducted bivariate χ² and t tests to examine differences between colorectal cancer and non-colorectal digestive cancer patients in terms of sociodemographic, clinical, and psychological characteristics.

A general linear model was created for each dependent variable (psychological distress, quality of life, and coping) with the different cancer type (colorectal and non-colorectal digestive); the effect of sex was probed, in addition to the interaction effect between sex and cancer type. All post-hoc tests were subjected to Bonferroni correction. All analyses were complemented with the corresponding effect size statistic. Reference values were established as 0.01, 0.06, and > 0.14 for small, medium, and large sizes, respectively, for the partial eta-square (ŋ²p). Statistics were generated using a standard statistical software package IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States).

**RESULTS**

**Participants**

The study admitted 203 patients recruited during 2021. There were 101 (50%) colorectal cancer sufferers, and 102 (50%) had a non-colorectal digestive malignance.

**Descriptive data**

The sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of both groups are displayed in Table 1. Of the total study population, 115 (56.7%) were men and 88 (43.3%) were women. The percentage of men vs women
### Table 1 Patients’ baseline characteristics, n = 203

| Characteristic                          | Total          | Colorectal, n = 101 | Non-colorectal digestive cancer, n = 102 | P value | λ  |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|---------|----|
|                                        | n  | %    | n  | %    | n  | %    |            |         |    |
| Sex                                    |    |      |    |      |    |      |            |         |    |
| Men                                    | 115| 56.7 | 60 | 59.4 | 55 | 53.9 | 0.622     | 0.026   |
| Women                                  | 88 | 43.3 | 41 | 40.6 | 47 | 46.1 |           |         |    |
| Age                                    |    |      |    |      |    |      |            |         |    |
| ≤ 65 yr                                | 85 | 41.9 | 50 | 49.5 | 35 | 34.3 | 0.028     | 0.081   |
| > 65 yr                                | 118| 59.1 | 51 | 50.5 | 67 | 65.7 |           |         |    |
| Number of Elixhauser comorbidities (%)|    |      |    |      |    |      | 0.344     | 0.033   |
| ≤ 4                                    | 81 | 39.9 | 37 | 36.6 | 44 | 43.1 |           |         |    |
| > 4                                    | 122| 60.1 | 64 | 63.4 | 58 | 56.9 |           |         |    |
| ECOG                                   |    |      |    |      |    |      | 0.029     | 0.086   |
| 0                                      | 56 | 27.6 | 35 | 34.7 | 21 | 20.6 |           |         |    |
| 1                                      | 138| 68.0 | 62 | 61.4 | 76 | 74.5 |           |         |    |
| 2                                      | 9  | 4.4  | 4  | 4.0  | 5  | 4.9  |           |         |    |
| Marital status                         |    |      |    |      |    |      |           | 0.883   | 0.001|
| Married/partnered                      | 167| 86.6 | 87 | 86.2 | 92 | 87.0 |           |         |    |
| Not partnered                          | 36 | 13.4 | 24 | 13.8 | 24 | 13.0 |           |         |    |
| Children                               |    |      |    |      |    |      |           | 0.370   | 0.029|
| Do not have children                   | 35 | 17.2 | 15 | 14.9 | 20 | 19.6 |           |         |    |
| Have children                          | 168| 82.8 | 86 | 85.1 | 82 | 81.4 |           |         |    |
| Educational level                      |    |      |    |      |    |      | 0.521     | 0.010   |
| Primary                                | 112| 55.2 | 58 | 57.4 | 54 | 52.9 |           |         |    |
| High school or higher                  | 91 | 44.8 | 43 | 42.6 | 48 | 47.1 |           |         |    |
| Histology                              |    |      |    |      |    |      |           | 0.001   | 0.129|
| Adenocarcinoma                         | 180| 88.7 | 98 | 97.0 | 82 | 80.4 |           |         |    |
| Others                                 | 23 | 11.3 | 3  | 3.0  | 20 | 19.6 |           |         |    |
| Metastasis                             |    |      |    |      |    |      |           | 0.001   | 0.218|
| Locally advanced                       | 32 | 15.8 | 1  | 1.0  | 31 | 30.4 |           |         |    |
| Metastatic disease                     | 171| 84.2 | 100| 99.0 | 71 | 69.6 |           |         |    |
| Biomarker                              |    |      |    |      |    |      |           | 0.001   | 0.196|
| No                                     | 161| 79.3 | 66 | 65.3 | 95 | 93.1 |           |         |    |
| Yes                                    | 42 | 20.7 | 35 | 34.7 | 7  | 6.9  |           |         |    |
| Estimated survival                     |    |      |    |      |    |      |           | 0.001   | 0.776|
| < 18 mo                                | 108| 53.2 | 14 | 13.9 | 94 | 92.2 |           |         |    |
| ≥ 18 mo                                | 95 | 4.8  | 87 | 86.1 | 8  | 7.8  |           |         |    |
| Treatment modality                     |    |      |    |      |    |      |           | 0.001   | 0.339|
| Chemotherapy                           | 139| 68.5 | 45 | 44.6 | 94 | 92.2 |           |         |    |
| Combined                               | 64 | 31.5 | 56 | 54.4 | 8  | 7.8  |           |         |    |

ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.
in those with colorectal and non-colorectal digestive tract malignances was 60 (59.4%) to 41 (40.6%) and 55 (53.9%) to 47 (46.1%), respectively. The median age was 65.7 years (range: 34-88, standard deviation = 9.6).

**Outcome data**

Colorectal cancer patients tended to be younger than those with non-colorectal, gastrointestinal cancer (P = 0.028, λ = 0.081). Additionally, colorectal cancer patients had a better Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status than non-colorectal digestive cancer patients, 34.7% vs 20.6%, respectively (P = 0.029, λ = 0.086). Most participants were married or partnered (86.6%) with children (82.2%) and had a primary level of education (55.2%). All subjects were either retired or unemployed.

Of the patients with non-colorectal digestive cancer, the most common primary tumor site was the pancreas (54.9%, n = 56), followed by the stomach (22.5%, n = 23), esophagus (8.8%, n = 9), biliary tract (6.9%, n = 7), liver (4.9%, n = 5), and anus (2%, n = 2). Individuals with colorectal cancer were diagnosed with metastatic disease more often than unresectable, locally advanced cancer (P = 0.001, λ = 0.218); biomarkers to guide treatment options were more often available in these subjects (P = 0.001, λ = 0.196), and most received combined, systemic treatment with chemotherapy and a targeted drug (P = 0.001, λ = 0.339). The estimated 18-mo survival rate was 86.1% in colorectal cancer patients compared to 7.8% in patients with non-colorectal digestive cancer (P = 0.001, λ = 0.776).

**Psychological distress**

The general linear model results indicated significant differences in the levels of somatization (F(1,202) = 5.0244, P = 0.026, ηp2 = 0.025), depression (F(1,202) = 15.747, P = 0.001, ηp2 = 0.073), and anxiety (F(1,202) = 19.697, P = 0.001, ηp2 = 0.090). The post hoc test showed significant differences in mean scores by sex, i.e. women manifested more depressive symptoms (ηp2 = 0.073) and anxiety (ηp2 = 0.061) than men. Patients with non-colorectal digestive cancer (ηp2 = 0.020) and women (ηp2 = 0.025) displayed more somatization than subjects with colorectal cancer and men. The model parameters and significant categories of each predicted variable are presented in Table 2 and Figure 1.

**Quality of life**

Again, the general linear model results revealed significant differences on the functional (F(1,202) = 19.697, P = 0.001, ηp2 = 0.090) and symptom (F(1,202) = 8.154, P = 0.005, ηp2 = 0.039) scales. The post hoc test indicated that women presented more functional limitations than men (ηp2 = 0.090). Participants with non-colorectal, gastrointestinal cancer (ηp2 = 0.030) and women (ηp2 = 0.039) had more symptoms than those with colorectal cancer and who were men. The results revealed a significant effect of sex on symptom control. A statistically significant association between tumor type and sex in health status levels was observed, and men with colorectal cancer reported the best health status (ηp2 = 0.025) (Table 2 and Figure 1).

**Coping strategies**

In coping strategies, positive attitude and cognitive avoidance were the most widely used strategies by all patients included, and hopelessness was the least used (Table 2 and Figure 2). Differences were observed in the estimated mean scores for anxious preoccupation (F(1,202) = 6.722, P = 0.010, ηp2 = 0.033) and positive attitude (F(1,202) = 4.389, P = 0.037, ηp2 = 0.022). Post hoc tests showed that women presented more anxious preoccupation (ηp2 = 0.033) and less positive attitude (ηp2 = 0.022) than men.

**DISCUSSION**

**Key results**

In this study we analyzed the differences in emotional distress, quality of life, and coping by digestive tumor type and sex. Women displayed more depressive symptoms, anxiety, functional limitations, and anxious preoccupation than men. Individuals with non-colorectal digestive cancer and women exhibited more physical symptoms and somatization than patients with colorectal cancer and men, whereas men with colorectal cancer reported better health status. By type of cancer, participants with colorectal cancer are younger, treatment is more often adjusted by biomarkers, they receive more combined chemotherapy and a biological agent, their estimated survival is higher, and they have better general status at the time of diagnosis than subjects with non-colorectal digestive cancer.

**Interpretation**

As for coping strategy, women exhibited more anxious preoccupation and men exhibited positive attitude. These results might explain why women present more symptoms and worse functional status than men. Oppegaard et al.[39] observed that women scored higher on denial, which has previously been associated with worse oncological outcomes, given the delay in seeking care, which in turn entails a diagnosis made at more advanced stages, with worse general status, and lower survival rates[47].
### Table 2 Univariate general linear model for predicting psychological distress, quality of life, and coping strategies by tumor and sex

| Scales                  | Colorectal cancer, n = 101 | Non-colorectal digestive cancer, n = 102 | ANOVA results, F |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------|
|                         | Men, mean (SD)             | Women, mean (SD)                         |                  |
|                         |                           |                                          |                  |
| Psych. distress (BSI)
  | Somatization              | 62.0 (6.3)                              | 63.9 (6.7)       | 66.3 (8.1)       | 0.021 | 3.963 | 5.044 |
|                         | Depression                 | 60.3 (6.6)                              | 60.1 (5.5)       | 62.9 (7.5)       | 0.923 | 1.423 | 15.747 |
|                         | Anxiety                    | 61.9 (7.8)                              | 65.8 (8.3)       | 61.9 (6.8)       | 0.030 | 0.011 | 12.818 |
| Quality of life (EORTC-QLQ-C30)$^1$ | Functional scale           | 79.6 (17.4)                             | 62.3 (23.0)      | 70.8 (22.6)      | 60.8 (24.0) | 1.427 | 2.781 | 19.697 |
|                         | Symptom scale              | 24.4 (22.9)                             | 29.8 (19.4)      | 28.6 (17.8)      | 40.0 (22.1) | 1.044 | 6.067 | 8.154 |
|                         | Health status scale        | 67.6 (22.6)                             | 53.7 (27.9)      | 51.9 (23.5)      | 55.1 (32.8) | 5.185 | 3.547 | 2.059 |
| Coping with cancer (M-MAC)$^2$ | Helplessness               | 24.0 (22.9)                             | 25.0 (21.9)      | 25.9 (22.8)      | 28.6 (25.6) | 0.069 | 0.696 | 0.288 |
|                         | Anxious preoccupation      | 46.2 (24.4)                             | 51.4 (18.8)      | 43.8 (19.0)      | 54.4 (23.6) | 0.800 | 0.008 | 6.722 |
|                         | Positive attitude          | 83.4 (16.5)                             | 76.8 (18.1)      | 79.2 (15.8)      | 74.9 (22.9) | 0.186 | 1.364 | 4.389 |
|                         | Cognitive avoidance        | 66.6 (27.3)                             | 60.6 (21.6)      | 64.3 (24.5)      | 60.2 (27.1) | 0.036 | 0.192 | 1.758 |

$^1$T score.

$^2$Scale from 0 to 100.

ANOVA: Analysis of variance; BSI: Brief Symptom Inventory; EORTC-QLQ-C30: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire; M-MAC: Mini-Mental Adjustment to Cancer; SD: Standard deviation.

Furthermore, Oppegaard et al.[39] demonstrated that women scored higher on self-distraction as a detachment coping strategy, which had already been associated with a decreased sense of meaning of life in both women and men with cancer[48]. In our study, women suffered more psychological distress in the form of anxiety and depression compared to men, and women with non-colorectal digestive cancer displayed more somatization than men and than women with colorectal cancer. Most studies have encountered similar results. Aminisani et al.[49] evaluated psychological distress in a cohort of 303 colorectal cancer survivors from Iran. One-third of the study population presented depression and more than half of them exhibited anxiety; both conditions were more common among women than men. Sex differences in psychological distress have been reported by Gonzalez-Saenz de Tejada et al.[50], revealing that men had less depression and anxiety. In The Netherlands, Braamse et al.[51] found that women had higher levels of depression but not anxiety. Mols et al.[30] observed that men suffered less anxiety and depression over time. Linden et al.[52] examined anxiety and depression in a large cohort of oncological patients (n = 10153), including breast and gynecological cancer, and detected that women had more anxiety and depression than men, similar to our findings. Women have already been reported to exhibit greater acceptance of cancer of the reproductive organs compared to patients with gastrointestinal neoplasms[53]. Shapiro et al.[54] published similar levels of depressive symptoms in individuals of both sexes with advanced cancer, and Goldzweig et al.[15], in a cohort of 339 subjects with stage I-III colorectal cancer, reported greater psychological distress and impotence in men than in women, without knowing the reason for this disparity with respect to other studies. One of the most relevant points of our work is that it focuses specifically on gastrointestinal neoplasms and reveals that not only women (in general) but specifically those with non-colorectal digestive cancer are the ones who exhibit greater psychological distress.

Trinchinato et al.[55] appraised quality of life in Brazilian patients with colorectal cancer undergoing chemotherapy and reported that chemotherapy negatively impacted men and women differentially. In their study, they found that cognitive function led to worse quality of life in men compared to women and that symptoms varied according to sex. Men had worse quality of life, due to sexual impotence and fecal incontinence, while in women, poor quality of life was associated with body image, abdominal pain, and dry mouth. Similarly, the women in our study had more functional limitations and more symptoms compared to men, with the corresponding impact of sex on the type of symptoms.
Individuals with advanced colorectal cancer have a better prognosis than those with non-colorectal digestive cancer\[^2\], and better patient-perceived quality of life might correlate with better acceptance of the disease, given the better prognosis and the presence of fewer symptoms. In our study, the participants with non-colorectal digestive cancers had more somatization symptoms than those with colorectal cancer, which may be attributable to the unfavorable prognosis. In a cohort of 378 individuals with colorectal, gastric, and pancreatic cancer, Czerw et al\[^56\] reported that those with colorectal cancer
displayed an active behavior coping strategy compared to the subjects with non-colorectal cancer, who demonstrated a maladaptive coping behavior. In contrast, in our series, the strategies most widely used by the participants with advanced digestive tract cancers were positive attitude and cognitive avoidance, although the non-colorectal cancer group exhibited higher levels of helplessness, in line with outcomes observed by Czerw et al[56] in pancreatic cancer.

Colorectal cancer has the best prognosis among the main digestive tumors. However, neither the causes nor the prognostic differences in digestive cancers according to sex are well established. Colorectal cancer in women is located more often in the right colon than in men, which is a location associated with worse prognosis[57-59]. That being said, Schmuck et al[25] observed that the women included in a study of a cohort of people over 50 years of age had a better OS than men. The authors consider that these outcomes may have to do with the protective effect of women hormones against colorectal cancer, although there may be other causes for these prognostic disparities across sexes[60, 61]. In a sample of 13391 patients from a Norwegian cancer registry, men with gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma were more often assigned to potentially curative treatment compared to the women and had higher 5-year survival rates[16]. Kim et al[21] detected greater survival in women with advanced pancreatic cancer with worse tolerance of chemotherapy that has been linked to less clearance of cytotoxic drugs, such as 5-fluorouracil[62] and irinotecan[63-65], and with greater toxicity in women compared to men[66].

**Generalisability**
There are no data concerning sex differences in cancer perception among patients with advanced gastrointestinal malignancies, and previous studies that included patients with metastatic colorectal cancer and non-colorectal digestive cancer have not reported specific data in this regard[56, 67]. Women have been underrepresented in gastrointestinal cancer research, and men have been underrepresented in cancer-associated psychosocial assessment[68]. The relevance of assessing sex differences stems from the fact that men and women have specific social, psychological, and physical characteristics that might compromise coping strategies and perceptions of quality of life.

**Limitations**
The present study has several limitations. First, the underrepresentation of some non-colorectal digestive cancer subtypes and the heterogeneity of the data could bias the overall estimates. Second, the questionnaires were completed during the appointment prior to beginning antineoplastic treatment, which does not capture the variation of parameters over time nor the causal relationship between variables. Third, the study only included patients from Spain and advanced stage cancers; thus, these results should be confirmed in patients from other countries and with cancers of other stages.

**CONCLUSION**
In conclusion, this study has detected differences in psychological distress, quality of life, and coping with cancer between women and men and between patients with colorectal and non-colorectal digestive cancers. Women and patients with non-colorectal gastrointestinal tract malignances have more physical symptoms and somatization, and women suffer more psychological distress. These findings, if confirmed, suggest that sex and location of the primary digestive neoplasm should be considered in individualized communication with the patient to achieve a suitable approach to their psychological situation. Future studies should factor in sex and primary tumor site differences in advanced gastrointestinal cancer patients.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

**Research background**
Patients with advanced gastrointestinal cancer must cope with the negative effects of cancer and complications. Sex is a sociodemographic variable that can give rise to differences in the evolution as well as the clinical and psychological aspects of cancer.

**Research motivation**
To analyze whether there are differences between colorectal and non-colorectal digestive cancer in sociodemographic and/or clinical conditions and coping depending on sex.

**Research objectives**
To evaluate psychological distress, quality of life, and coping strategies in patients with advanced colorectal cancer compared to non-colorectal cancer based on sex.
Research methods
This was a multi-institutional prospective, observational study that evaluated patients with advanced digestive cancers; 203 patients were eligible for this analysis. Demographic and clinical data were obtained and the association between psychological distress, quality of life, and coping strategies and the role of sex and primary tumor site were analyzed.

Research results
Women exhibited more depressive symptoms, anxiety, functional limitations, and anxious preoccupation than men. Non-colorectal digestive cancer patients and women showed more somatization and physical symptoms than colorectal cancer patients and men.

Research conclusions
Disease acceptance in patients with advanced cancer of the digestive tract may be sex dependent.

Research perspectives
Future interventions should evaluate primary tumor site and sex differences in patients with gastrointestinal malignancies.
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