One-dimensional hexagonal boron nitride conducting channel
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Hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) is an insulating two-dimensional (2D) material with a large bandgap. Although known for its interfacing with other 2D materials and structural similarities to graphene, the potential use of hBN in 2D electronics is limited by its insulating nature. Here, we report atomically sharp twin boundaries at AA’/AB stacking boundaries in chemical vapor deposition–synthesized few-layer hBN. We find that the twin boundary is composed of a 6'° configuration, showing conducting feature with a zero bandgap. Furthermore, the formation mechanism of the atomically sharp twin boundaries is suggested by an analogy with stacking combinations of AA'/AB based on the observations of extended Klein edges at the layer boundaries of AB-stacked hBN. The atomically sharp AA'/AB stacking boundary is promising as an ultimate 1D electron channel embedded in insulating pristine hBN. This study will provide insights into the fabrication of single-hBN electronic devices.

INTRODUCTION

Hexagonal boron nitride (hBN), analogous to graphene, is a bielemental compound comprising alternating boron and nitrogen atoms. In contrast to the wide spectrum of proposed applications for graphene as an active component in nanodevices, hBN is often regarded as a passive material where the range of applications is largely confined to substrates or electron barriers for two-dimensional (2D) material–based devices due to its electrically insulating feature with a large bandgap of ~5 eV (1). Many attempts to lower the bandgap of hBN by substitutional doping (e.g., with carbon or oxygen atoms) have been mostly ineffective because of the strong covalent BN bonds and chemical inertness (2–4), while hBNC, in-planar compound of graphene and hBN synthesized by Gong et al. (5), showed semiconducting property with tunable bandgap.

Stacking boundaries or phase boundaries of few-layer 2D materials were shown to have unique properties that open new ways to control the performance of a material (6–10). For example, AB/BA stacking boundary with broad range in bilayer graphene showed insulating-like characteristics and reversible transport regimes (6), and 558 line defect formed at a stacking boundary in graphene was reported to act as a metallic wire (7). 1D twin boundaries found in molybdenum diselenide (8) also revealed bandgap changes. In the meantime, Li et al. (11) reported that grain boundaries in hBN such as the 5/7 and 4/8 configurations reduce its bandgap to ~3.4 and ~4.3 eV, respectively. However, there is no further report on few-layer hBN stacking boundaries. Although the most stable stacking structure in bulk hBN is AA’ stacking (12, 13), few-layer hBN can also exist as AB stacked, which is the next most stable configuration according to theoretical calculations (14) and has been experimentally observed in both chemically exfoliated (15) and synthesized hBN (16, 17).

Here, we report atomically sharp AA’/AB stacking boundaries of few-layer hBN grown by chemical vapor deposition (CVD). The configurations, structural stabilities, and electric properties of twin boundaries are investigated systematically by combination of transmission electron microscopy (TEM), image simulations, density functional theory (DFT) calculations, and ab initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations. In addition, the formation mechanism of atomically sharp stacking boundaries is deduced on the basis of the observations of extended Klein (EK) edges at the layer boundary of hBN.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesized few-layer films of hBN with AA’ and AB stacking structures

We synthesized few-layer hBN films on a copper substrate using CVD and transferred them onto TEM grids (see Materials and Methods for details) (18, 19). First, we performed dark-field (DF)–TEM imaging on oriented, few-layer hBN films to determine their structural characteristics. Here, we use the symbols [BN] and [NB] to represent the two different orientations of hBN and differentiate AB and AC stacking orders to classify the configurations of the stacking boundaries and the corresponding stacking structures for AA’- and AB-stacked hBN (see fig. S1 for details about the classification of high-symmetry stacking structures of hBN). Figure 1 (A and B) is DF-TEM images obtained using a second-order (Φ2) and Φ1 spot from a selected area electron diffraction pattern (inset of Fig. 1A). Triangular, multilayered hBN islands are grown on continuous monolayer hBN film with the same orientation. As explained in detail in section S2, the intensities of the islands acquired from Φ2 diffraction spot increase with the number of layers, regardless the AA’ or AB stacking structure (Fig. 1A). However, the intensity obtained from Φ1 diffraction spot is determined by the stacking structure (Fig. 1B). In Fig. 1B, some regions show brighter contrast with the increasing...
number of layers, indicating that they have the AA' stacking structure, while the darker regions despite having the same number of layers as the AA'-stacked region have the AB stacking structure. The darkest region outlined with white solid line represents an ABC-stacked region because all the diffracted waves interfere destructively and cancel out each other for this region. However, DF-TEM hardly verifies that an AA'-stacked region is not AA-stacked or that an AB-stacked (AC-stacked) region is not an AB'-stacked (AC'-stacked) region since those images have similar diffraction conditions (16).

Hence, the stacking structures were confirmed directly using high-resolution (HR)-TEM images (Fig. 1, C to E). In Fig. 1C, the AA'-stacked bilayer region shows the same hexagonal lattice contrast but brighter than the monolayer region, while the AB-stacked bilayer region reveals a triangular shape with alternating contrast (20, 21). The AA' and AB stacking structures were further confirmed by the relation of orientation of the triangular defects in two different layers. Triangular hole created by 80-kV electron-beam irradiation always shows N-terminated edges (22–24). Thus, triangular defects in adjacent layers have the same orientations in AB stacking ([BN]/[BN]) (Fig. 1D), while those in AA' stacking ([BN]/[NB]) point in opposite directions (Fig. 1E). Therefore, a combination of DF-TEM and HR-TEM clearly proves that AA' and AB stacking structures on the left and right sides of the figure. Both sides contain three layers, as confirmed by counting the etched layers after prolonged electron-beam irradiation (fig. S3, A and B). In addition, the “ABA” stacking structure on the right side instead of an “ABC” structure is proved by the contrast difference in the TEM image simulation (fig. S3, C to E). Hence, the trilayers of AA'A- and ABA-stacked hBN are stitched together perfectly, forming an atomically sharp stacking boundary along zigzag direction. Figure 2C shows the stacking boundary in a false-color image of the black-boxed region in Fig. 2A.

Transition regions that lost high-symmetry stacking structure (neither AA’ nor AB) appear dark in DF-TEM images acquired from the Φ2 diffraction spot (refer to section S3), but unexpectedly, no dark line was detected between the AA’ and AB stacking structures in our DF-TEM image in Fig. 1A (yellow dashed-line regions in Fig. 1B). This represents an abrupt change of stacking structure without any transition region between AA’ and AB stacking. We therefore studied further the stacking boundary under high magnification. Conditions on whether it forms the atomically sharp stacking boundary or broad transition region will be discussed later in the section 'Formation mechanism of atomically sharp twin boundaries and EK edges'.

**Twin boundary at the AA’ and AB stacking boundaries**

Figure 2A is an HR-TEM image taken from the white-boxed area in the false-color DF-TEM image in Fig. 2B, which has no dark line between islands having different stacking structures. The magnified atomic images on each side of the insets in Fig. 2A clearly show the AA’ and AB stacking structures on the left and right sides of the figure. Both sides contain three layers, as confirmed by counting the etched layers after prolonged electron-beam irradiation (fig. S3, A and B). In addition, the “ABA” stacking structure on the right side instead of an “ABC” structure is proved by the contrast difference in the TEM image simulation (fig. S3, C to E). Hence, the trilayers of AA’A- and ABA-stacked hBN are stitched together perfectly, forming an atomically sharp stacking boundary along zigzag direction. Figure 2C shows the stacking boundary in a false-color image of the black-boxed region in Fig. 2A.

To determine the atomic configuration at this boundary, we made a corresponding atomic model (Fig. 2D) and subtracted two “A” layers, one each from the top and bottom, leaving only the middle A’ and B layers (Fig. 2E). This shows that the boundary acts as a mirror.
plane for the A’ and B regions, i.e., a twin boundary. The deduction of the atomic configurations in Fig. 2 (D and E) starts from the four possible structures of AA’A/ABA stacking boundary along a zigzag direction (fig. S4). The corresponding four twin boundaries are denoted by (i) 6’6’-N, (ii) 44-B, (iii) 6’6’-B, and (iv) 44-N, where 6’6’ (44) represents an oblong hexagonal (rhombal) ring and -N(-B) denotes the N(B) mirror plane at the twin boundary. Two verification steps (see section S4 and figs. S4 and S5) prove that the 6’6’-N structure matches the experimental result shown in Fig. 2A. Therefore, an atomically sharp twin boundary, with N atoms as the mirror plane and oblong hexagons (6’6’), is formed at the middle layer of the AA’A/ABA stacking boundary (Fig. 2E). Inverse fast Fourier transform (IFFT) image (Fig. 2F), obtained by inversing the filtered FFT after removing the typical hBN lattice information, also shows the 6’6’ configuration.

6’6’ versus 558 configurations

The N atoms at the mirror plane of the 6’6’-N structure are doubly coordinated with one dangling bond, as expected from Fig. 2. This obviously raises a question about the stability of the structure. Using DFT calculations, we have tested the stabilities of the four possible atomic configurations at the twin boundary (Fig. 3, A to G): (i) 6’6’-N, (ii) 44-B, (iii) 6’6’-B, and (iv) 44-N. As a result, the 6’6’-N configuration changes into 558-N (two pentagons and one octagon), a fully coordinated, stable structure, with the uncoordinated N atoms bonding together (Fig. 3, A and C). There is no shift in the positions of the atoms on the left and right, except for a slight in-plane movement (<1 Å) of the uncoordinated N atoms in the middle layer. The 44-B structure (Fig. 3B) also changes to 558-N by shifting all atoms on the right side of the boundary, as indicated by the red arrow marked in Fig. 3C. Similarly, the stable form of 6’6’-B (Fig. 3D) becomes 558-B (Fig. 3F), with the uncoordinated B atoms at the mirror plane saturating each other. The structure 44-N (Fig. 3E) could not retain the original bonding because of large distortions, with the atoms kicked from the plane. The 558 structure is more stable than 6’6’ for the monolayer, bilayer, and trilayer cases.

Nevertheless, the intensity profiles along the lines at the AA’A/ABA stacking boundary in the experimental (purple), simulated 6’6’ (red), and simulated 558 (olive) images indicate that the structure observed by TEM is more likely 6’6’ configuration than 558 (Fig. 3H). There are distinctive height differences between the peaks in the experimental and simulated 558 image, whereas there is a little difference between the experimental and simulated 6’6’ images. The height differences of the peaks in the 558 configuration are thought to be due to differences in the electron densities in the pentagon and octagon regions. We performed the same analysis but in the opposite defocus, which shows that the tendency of line profile is consistent with defocus conditions.

To explain the discrepancy between the results of the DFT calculations and the experimental observations, we introduced additional external factors in DFT calculations fostering similar conditions to the TEM operating system. Applying the external electric field and/or thermal effect did not change the preference of 558 configuration to 6’6’ configuration in energetic stability. However, injecting additional electrons in hBN nanoribbon systems, which could happen under high transmitting electron beam, made a reversal of preference of 558 and 6’6’ configurations. As shown in Fig. 3I, the additional electrons in the 6’6’-N nanoribbon structure fill antibonding states weakening the N—N bonds of a five-membered ring at the twin boundary, while additional electrons in the 6’6’-N nanoribbon structure fill singly occupied molecular orbital states (Fig. 3J). When two or more electrons...
are added in the system, 6′6′ configuration becomes energetically stable than 558 configuration. The result of energy barrier calculations (fig. S6A) shows that 558 configuration easily transforms to 6′6′ configuration once it overcomes a small energy barrier of ~0.2 eV with two additional electrons in the hBN nanoribbon. We further performed AIMD simulations to directly present the transformation of 558 configuration to 6′6′ configuration. Figure 3K shows the result of AIMD simulations in N–N distance of the five-membered ring (short) and the eight-membered ring (long) along the twin boundary of the 558-N nanoribbon structure with two additional electrons at 400 K. After a few picoseconds, 558-N configuration changes to 6′6′-N configuration and maintains it with a little oscillations (see movie S1). Experimental results of IFFT images at twin boundary also show a little oscillations of 6′6′ configurations over time along the twin boundary (fig. S6, B and C). Note that the time needed for the transformation is different with temperatures (room temperature to 500 K) but the hBN nanoribbon structure always ends up with 6′6′ configuration in AIMD simulations. Therefore, we conclude that the negatively charged TEM operating system makes twin boundaries have 6′6′ configurations.

1D hBN conducting channel

There is little structural difference between the 558 and 6′6′ configurations. However, there is a notable difference in electrical properties due to the presence of free electrons (dangling bonds). Figure 4 (A and B) shows the electronic band structures calculated for both the 558-N and 6′6′-N hBN nanoribbons. The bandgap of the 558-N configuration is 3.44 eV, while the bandgap of the 6′6′-N configuration is 0 eV. N atoms along the twin boundary are fixed, the other atoms are fully relaxed through the structure, and both edges are passivated by hydrogens for the calculation of bandgap (see Materials and Methods for details). The red circles in the band structures indicate the contribution of N atoms at the twin boundary, which signifies that the nitrogen atoms at the twin boundary cause the reduction of bandgap even to 0 eV.

Furthermore, the bandgap at twin boundaries are experimentally assessed using electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) to support the calculation results. Considering the scale of the twin boundary in one-atom width and ~50-nm length, photoluminescence spectroscopy typically used for the bandgap measurement has an insufficient spatial resolution for this sample. In addition, scanning tunneling microscopy that has both high spatial and energy resolution is only applicable when the twin boundary is exposed on the surface. Meanwhile, monochromated EELS can examine the bandgap at the exact twin boundary regions combined with DF-TEM, by reading the cross point between the extrapolated line and the bottom line from the first peak after zero-loss peak (ZLP) in EELS (see Materials and Methods for details) (28). Although monochromated EELS also has the limitation...
that the first few electron volts should be subtracted because of the tail of ZLP, the bandgap can be assessed by the extrapolation line from the first peak after ZLP (28).

Figure 4C shows four EEL spectra acquired from near atomically sharp AA′/AB stacking boundary. The black EEL spectrum is from clean and pristine hBN, orange and green EEL spectra are from pristine hBN covered with hydrocarbon adsorbates on the surface, and red EEL spectrum is from the atomically sharp stacking boundary of hBN. The cross points where the extrapolated lines (blue dashed lines) meet with the bottom lines at the black, orange, and green spectra are all around 5 eV, which represent the bandgap of pristine hBN. However, the red EEL spectrum acquired from the atomically sharp stacking boundary shows a gradual decrease in the intensity of the front edge without steep falling to x axis of 2 eV. Small intensity around 2 to 4 eV turning up at the orange and green EEL spectra (blue circled region) is due to the unavoidable amorphous hydrocarbon on the surface of hBN, which can be judged by the appearance of C-K edge around 300 eV at high-energy loss region. To prevent misinterpretation of EELS signal in front of 5 eV, we carefully selected areas with small amount of hydrocarbon (see Materials and Methods for details). The signals from 2 to 4 eV in the orange and green EEL spectra are distinctive, but still, the falling slopes are not changed, and the cross points to the x intercept are 5 eV, even with the higher amount of hydrocarbon compared to that detected at the stacking boundary, which can be evaluated by the peak intensity ratio of B-K edge (~200 eV) to C-K edge (~300 eV). In contrast, the red EEL spectrum acquired at the stacking boundary shows a lower slope with gradual decrease to 2 eV. Another example of EELS line profile across atomically sharp AA′/AB stacking boundary (Fig. 4D) also shows the front of EELS edges from the twin boundary (highlighted in yellow) gradually decrease to zero-loss region from the peak of ~6 eV with a lower slope on the contrary to the other EELS edges that are steep to meet the x intercept around 5 eV.

The EELS results at the stacking boundaries could be from the 6′6′-N configurations with the bandgap of 0 eV or mixed 6′6′ and 558 configurations that are not fully charged with additional electrons. Since ZLP has been subtracted to 2 eV, the exact onset of the signal where the delayed front edge starts before 2 eV is not read. However, at least, the reduced bandgap at the atomically sharp stacking boundary was experimentally detected by EELS.

Considering that a pure hBN nanoribbon has a wide bandgap of ~5 eV, the twin boundary can act as an atomically thin electronic channel. The present observations of 6′6′ configuration at twin boundaries open a new possibility for single-hBN nanoelectronic devices. Lahiri et al. (7) have reported that this type of grain boundary in graphene can act as a metallic wire. Similarly, the AA′A/ABA twin boundary in hBN can be a 1D conducting channel embedded in the original insulating hBN, which is expected to be much more effective than a metallic wire in a conductive graphene sheet. In addition, note that trilayer AA′A/ABA stacking structure, where a twin boundary is protected by the top and bottom layers, is very stable compared to bilayer AA′/AB stacking structure, wherein a twin boundary is exposed to ambient conditions (fig. S7).
Formation mechanism of atomically sharp twin boundaries and EK edges

Atomically sharp stacking boundaries are commonly found in our hBN samples, but not always as shown in fig. S2, which has a wide transition region in an AA'/AB stacking boundary. The probability of finding stacking boundary with an abrupt change or with a transition region was about half and half (fig. S8). We elicit that the formation of atomically sharp stacking boundaries is determined by certain combinations of AA’ and AB stacking during crystal growth from observations of EK edges at monolayer/bilayer boundaries of an AB-stacked region, as shown in Fig. 5.

Figure 5A is an HR-TEM image of the hBN monolayer and bilayers with the layer boundary (1|2-layer boundary). The atomic configurations of the blue-boxed area containing the 1|2-layer boundary and the green-boxed area ([NB]-AC bilayer containing one edge of a triangular defect) are closely analyzed in Fig. 5B to confirm the edge configuration (see section S6 and fig. S9). There is no contrast in the area marked by red dotted circles at the 1|2-layer boundary, whereas the white contrast is obvious where the N atoms exist at the edges of the triangular defect. The atomic model of the 1|2-layer boundary in Fig. 5B is shown with the stacking notation (Fig. 5C), and the first layer ([NB]-A) is removed (Fig. 5D). The result shows that the edge of the second layer ([NB]-C) is not terminated by N atoms, which is also known as an EK edge (29). The EK edge was predicted as the fourth type of periodic edge, after the zigzag, reconstructed pentagon-heptagon pair, and armchair edge for graphene (30), but there has been no report of EK edge for hBN. Now, suppose that a second layer of [BN]-A’ is grown on top of the monolayer region with AA’ stacking (Fig. 5E, top). If it meets the EK edge of the second layer of [NB]-C (Fig. 5E, bottom), then a 6′6′-B twin boundary is created (see movie S2).

Note that a 6′6′-B twin boundary is formed only for the combination of AA’ and [NB]-AC stacking, as described in Fig. 6. hBN has two types of EK edges: a B-atom EK edge for [NB]-oriented hBN and an N-atom EK edge for [BN]-oriented hBN (Fig. 6, A and D). The B-atom EK edge sits on the N atoms below when the structure has [NB]-AC stacking (Fig. 6B, left), while it terminates at the hollow sites of the hexagonal lattice of the first layer when it has [NB]-AB stacking (Fig. 6C, left). If the B-atom EK edge of the second layer meets the second layer of AA’-stacked hBN, then [NB]-AC forms an atomically sharp 6′6′-B twin boundary (Fig. 6B). However, the [NB]-AB configuration makes 44-N, which cannot maintain its structure, thus expected to form a transition region at the stacking boundary (Fig. 6C). Likewise, an N-atom EK edge of [BN]-AB creates an atomically sharp 6′6′-N twin boundary, while that of [BN]-AC forms a 44-B configuration. As previously explained in Fig. 3 (B and C), transformation of 44-B to 558-N requires overall shift of all atoms of the half system as shown by red arrow in Fig. 3C by overcoming the van der Waals (vdW) force. In addition, it causes a change in the stacking order, which convinces a creation of transition region. Notably, all four pairs of stacking structures for the EK edges and the consequential 6′6′ or 44 twin boundaries coincide with the structures in fig. S4. Therefore, we conclude that atomically sharp stacking boundaries are formed only when AA’- and AB-stacked hBN meet with the certain combinations such as Fig. 6 (B and E) during crystal growth. We suggest that it may open the way to synthesis of one atomic-wide electronic channel by controlled manipulation of stacking structures.

CONCLUSION

We observed the coexistence of AA’ and AB stacking structures in CVD-grown few-layer hBN islands using atomic-resolution TEM. We found atomically sharp twin boundaries with 6′6′ configurations along a zigzag direction at the AA’/AB stacking boundaries. The results of DFT calculations suggest 558 configuration for the twin
boundary structure, but injection of additional electrons in the hBN nanoribbon explains the transformation of 558 to 6'6' configuration. While 558-N has a reduced bandgap of 3.44 eV compared to ~5 eV of pristine hBN, 6'6'N shows a conducting feature with zero bandgap. The reduced bandgap at stacking boundaries was also experimentally detected using EELS. Furthermore, we postulate that atomically sharp stacking boundaries are created from given stacking combinations of AA'/AB, which is inferred from the EK edges of hBN layer boundaries. This gives an insight for the fabrication of atomic-wide electronic channels. Ultimately, the twin boundary of AA'/AB-stacked hBN is promising as a 1D conducting channel embedded in the electronic channels. The TEM image (Fig. 2F and fig. S6) from the filtered FFT image after negative Fourier-log method using Gatan DigitalMicrograph software. To prevent misinterpretation of EELS signal in front of 5 eV, areas with small amount of hydrocarbon were carefully selected. The sample was heated up at 200°C for a few hours and vacuumed overnight in ultrahigh vacuum TEM system. In addition, EEL spectra were acquired for the minimal time of 0.00053 s per one position to minimize the deposition of hydrocarbon on the surface by intense electron beam, and overlap of the positions was avoided.

**Image processing and simulations**

Some TEM images presented in this study were processed to emphasize interesting features as described below. We applied false color for better visualization using a color look-up table (Fig. 2, B and C, and fig. S3) and performed band-pass filtering with 40- and 3-pixel filtering for large and small structures, respectively, to make the image contrast even. Contrast and brightness were adjusted using the ImageJ software. We used a Fourier filter built in Gatan DigitalMicrograph for Fig. 5 to confirm the edge configuration. We obtained an IFFT image (Fig. 2F and fig. S6) from the filtered FFT image after negatively masking all the hexagonal spots representing the hBN lattice in real space. The TEM image simulations were performed using MacTempasX under our experimental TEM imaging condition.

**Computational methods**

To explain the atomic and electronic structure of twin boundary of BN nanoribbons, we performed the DFT calculation within generalized gradient approximation (GGA) using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) (32, 33). The projector augmented wave potentials, as implemented in the VASP, were used to describe the potentials from atom centers. The energy cutoff for the plane-wave basis was set to 400 eV in GGA. Geometries were optimized until the Hellman-Feynman forces acting on the atoms became smaller time needed to achieve a complete hBN film over the entire Cu substrate was 30 min. After the growth of the film was complete, the lid of the furnace was lifted to allow rapid cooling. The hBN was then transferred onto the TEM grid using the direct transfer method (31). That is, the hBN/Cu was directly transferred onto a quantifoil TEM grid without poly(methyl methacrylate) by sticking them by isopropyl alcohol, and then, Cu was etched out in sodium persulfate overnight. The hBN transferred onto the TEM grid was rinsed and dried under ambient conditions.

**TEM analysis**

All TEM work was performed using an aberration-corrected FEI Titan Cube TEM (FEI Titan 3 G2 60-300). The microscope provides sub-angstrom resolution at 80 kV with a monochromator and ~21 ± 0.5 μm of spherical aberration (Cₐ). The DF-TEM images were taken from Φ1 and Φ2 diffraction spots of the hexagonal lattice of hBN using an objective aperture of 1.28 nm⁻¹ for 10 s of acquisition time. The HR-TEM images were obtained in 0.2 s of exposure time at electron beam densities around 5 × 10⁵ e⁻⁻ nm⁻². Individual atoms are imaged in white to get the actual atomic positions for direct interpretations of the atomic configurations, except for the image in fig. S10. The scanning transmission electron microscopy–EEL spectra were obtained in the same TEM system (FEI Titan 3 G2 60-300) with Gatan Quantum 965 dual EELS system at 80 kV. The energy spread of a monochromated ZLP was 0.15 eV in full width at half maximum at 0.01 eV/ch energy dispersion. The convergence angle was 26.6 mrad. ZLPs were subtracted to 2 eV because of the tails, and plural scattering is removed using Fourier-log method using Gatan DigitalMicrograph software. To prevent misinterpretation of EELS signal in front of 5 eV, areas with small amount of hydrocarbon were carefully selected. The sample was heated up at 200°C for a few hours and vacuumed overnight in ultrahigh vacuum TEM system. In addition, EEL spectra were acquired for the minimal time of 0.00053 s per one position to minimize the deposition of hydrocarbon on the surface by intense electron beam, and overlap of the positions was avoided.
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Section S1. The stacking structures of hBN

Section S3. A transition region at AA′/AB stacking boundary

Section S5. Stability of exposed and sandwiched 6′6′ twin boundary

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/6/10/eaay4958/DC1

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, H. Kanda, Direct-bandgap properties and evidence for

2. L. C. Li, L. Song, C. Jin, D. Jarawala, D. Wu, Y. J. Li, A. Sivastava, Z. F. Wang, K. Storr, L. Balicas, F. Liu, P. M. Ajayan, Atomic layers of hybridized boron nitride and graphene domains.

3. R. S. Singh, R. Y. Tay, W. L. Chow, S. H. Tsang, G. Mallick, E. H. T. Teo, Band gap effects of hexagonal boron nitride using oxygen plasma. Appl. Phys. Lett. 104, 163101 (2014).

4. R. Y. Tay, H. L. Li, S. H. Tsang, M. Z. Zhu, M. Loebel, L. Jing, F. N. Leong, E. H. T. Teo, Trimethylene borane: A new single-source precursor for monolayer h-BN single crystals and h-BN thin films. Chem. Mater. 28, 2180–2190 (2016).

5. Y. J. Gong, G. Shi, Z. H. Zhang, W. Zhou, J. Jang, W. L. Gao, L. L. Ma, Y. Yang, S. B. Yang, G. You, R. Vajtai, O. F. Xu, A. H. MacDonald, B. Yakobson, J. Lou, Z. Liu, P. M. Ajayan, Direct chemical conversion of graphene to boron- and nitrogen- and carbon-containing atomic layers. Nat. Commun. 5, (2014).

6. P. San-Jose, R. V. Gorbachev, A. K. Geim, K. S. Novoselov, F. Guinea, Stacking boundaries and transport in bilayer graphene. Nano Lett. 14, 2052–2057 (2014).

7. J. Lahiri, Y. Lin, P. Bokut’ev, I. L. Olevsky, M. Batzill, An extended defect in graphene as a metallic wire. Nat. Nanotechnol. 5, 326–329 (2010).

8. S. Bagha, S. Wickenburg, Z.-F. Liu, Y. Zhang, H. J. Ryu, M. M. Ugeda, Z. Hussain, Z.-Y. Shen, S.-K. Mo, E. Wong, M. B. Salmeron, W. Fang, M. F. Crommie, D. F. Ogletree, J. B. Neaton, A. Weber-Bargioni, Charge density wave order in 1D mirror twin boundaries of single-layer MoSe2. Nat. Phys. 12, 751–756 (2016).

9. M. Ghorbani-Asl, A. N. Enyashin, A. Kuc, G. Seifert, T. Heine, Defect-induced conductivity anisotropy in MoS2 monolayers. Phys. Rev. B 88, 245440 (2013).

10. N. N. T. Nam, M. Koshino, Electron transmission through a stacking domain boundary in multilayer graphene. Phys. Rev. B 91, 214113 (2015).

11. Q. C. Li, X. L. Zou, M. X. Liu, J. Y. Sun, Y. B. Gao, Q. Yi, X. B. Zhou, B. I. Yakobson, Y. F. Zhang, Z. F. Liu, Grain boundary structures and electronic properties of hexagonal boron nitride on Cu(111). Nano Lett. 15, 5804–5810 (2015).

12. R. S. Pease, Crystal structure of boron nitride. Nature 165, 722–723 (1950).

13. R. S. Pease, Crystal structure of boron nitride. Nature 165, 722–723 (1950).

14. R. S. Pease, Crystal structure of boron nitride. Nature 165, 722–723 (1950).

15. J. H. Warner, M. H. Rümmeli, A. Bachmatiuk, B. Büchner, Atomic resolution imaging and topography of boron nitride nanotubes produced by chemical exfoliation. ACS Nano 4, 1299–1304 (2010).

16. C.-J. Kim, L. Brown, M. W. Graham, R. Hovden, R. W. Havenner, P. L. McEuen, D. A. Muller, J. Park, Stacking order dependent second harmonic generation and topological defects in h-BN bilayers. Nano Lett. 13, 5660–5665 (2013).

17. P. Sutter, J. Lahiri, P. Zah, B. Wang, E. Sutter, Scalable synthesis of uniform few-layer hexagonal boron nitride dielectric films. Nano Lett. 13, 276–281 (2013).

18. R. Y. Tay, M. H. Griepp, G. Mallick, S. H. Tsang, R. S. Singh, T. Tumlin, E. H. T. Teo, S. P. Karna, Growth of large single-crystalline two-dimensional boron nitride hexagons on electropolished copper. Nano Lett. 14, 839–846 (2014).

19. R. Y. Tay, X. Wang, S. H. Tsang, G. C. Loh, R. S. Singh, H. Li, G. Mallick, E. H. T. Teo, A systematic study of the atmospheric pressure growth of large-area hexagonal crystalline boron nitride film. J. Mater. Chem. C 2, 1650–1657 (2014).

20. A. W. Robertson, J. H. Warner, Atomic resolution imaging of graphene by transmission electron microscopy. Nanoscale 5, 4079–4093 (2013).

21. R. Zan, U. Bangert, Q. Ramasse, K. S. Novoselov, Imaging of Bernal stacked and misoriented graphene and boron nitride: Experiment and simulation. J. Microsc. 244, 152–158 (2011).

22. J. Kotakoski, C. H. Jin, O. Lehtinen, K. Suenaga, A. V. Krasheninnikov, Electron knock-on damage in hexagonal boron nitride monolayers. Phys. Rev. B 82, (2010).

23. G. H. Ryu, H. Park, J. Park, J. Lee, G. Kim, H. S. Shin, C. W. Bielawski, R. S. Ruoff, S. Hong, Z. Lee, Atomic-scale dynamics of triangular hole growth in monolayer hexagonal boron nitride under electron irradiation. Nanoscale 7, 10600–10605 (2015).

24. L. C. Yin, H.-M. Cheng, R. Saito, Triangle defect states of hexagonal boron nitride atomic layer: Density functional theory calculations. Phys. Rev. B 81, (2010).

25. J.-S. Alden, A. W. Tse, P. Y. Huang, R. Hovden, L. Brown, J. Park, D. A. Muller, P. L. McEuen, Strain solitons and topological defects in bilayer graphene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110, 11256–11260 (2013).

26. B. Butt, C. Dolf, F. Niekiel, K. Weber, D. Waldmann, H. B. Weber, E. Spiecker, Dislocations in bilayer graphene. Nature 505, 533–537 (2014).

27. J. H. Lin, W. J. Fang, W. Zhou, A. R. Lupini, J. C. Idrobo, J. Kong, S. J. Pennycook, S. T. Pantelides, AC/AB stacking boundaries in bilayer graphene. Nano Lett. 13, 3262–3268 (2013).

28. J. Park, S. Heo, J.-G. Chung, H. Kim, H. Lee, K. Kim, G.-S. Park, Bandgap measurement of thin dielectric films using monochromated STEM-EELS. Ultramicroscopy 109, 1183–1188 (2009).

29. D. J. Klein, Graphitic polymer strips with edge states. Chem. Phys. Lett. 217, 261–265 (1994).

30. K. He, A. W. Robertson, S. Lee, E. Yoon, G.-D. Lee, J. H. Warner, Extended Klein edges in graphene. ACS Nano 8, 12272–12279 (2014).

31. W. Regan, N. Alem, B. Alemán, S. B. Geng, C. Girit, L. Maserati, F. Wang, M. Crommie, A. Zettl, A direct transfer of layer-area graphene. Appl. Phys. Lett. 96, 113102 (2010).

32. G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169–11186 (1996).

33. J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhol, Generalized gradient approximation made simple. Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865–3868 (1996).

34. S. Grimme, Semiempirical GGA-type density functional constructed with a long-range dispersion correction. J. Comput. Chem. 27, 1787–1799 (2006).

35. D. M. Bylander, L. Kleinman, Energy fluctuations induced by the nosé thermostat. Phys. Rev. B 46, 13756–13761 (1992).

36. S. Nose, Constant temperature molecular-dynamics methods. Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp. 103, 1–46 (1991).

37. L. Brown, R. Hovden, P. Huang, M. Wojcik, D. A. Muller, J. Park, Twinning and twisting of tri- and bilayer graphene. Nano Lett. 12, 1609–1615 (2012).

38. N. Min Young, S.-M. Lee, D. H. Kim, H. J. Chang, Dark-field transmission electron microscopy imaging technique to visualize the local structure of two-dimensional material: graphene. Appl. Microscopy 45, 23–31 (2015).
39. O. Cretu, Y.-C. Lin, K. Suenaga, Evidence for active atomic defects in monolayer hexagonal boron nitride: A new mechanism of plasticity in two-dimensional materials. Nano Lett. 14, 1064–1068 (2014).

40. H. J. Park, “Mechanism of defect formation and defect-driven growth of two-dimensional materials,” thesis, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology (2019).

Acknowledgments
Funding: This work was supported by IBS-R019-D1 and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (nos. 2018R1A2A2A05019598, 2018K1A430A01064272 (GRDC Program), 2017R1A2B2010123, and 2019R1A2C2089332) and Priority Research Center Program (2010-002007) through MOE-NRF. Author contributions: H.J.P. and Z.L. designed the experiment, performed the TEM analysis, and prepared the manuscript. J.C. and S.H. contributed to DFT calculations including the stability of twin boundary structures, and band structure, and stability of K edge structures. M.C. and N.P. contributed further DFT calculations and AIMD simulations to show the transformation of S58 to 5′ configuration by injection of additional electrons into the system. J.H.K. carried out the TEM image simulations. R.Y.T. and E.H.T.T. provided samples. Z.L. supervised the entire research project. All the authors discussed and commented on the manuscript. Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests. Data and materials availability: All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. Additional data related to this paper may be requested from the authors.

Submitted 24 June 2019
Accepted 12 December 2019
Published 6 March 2020
10.1126/sciadv.aay4958

Citation: H. J. Park, J. Cha, M. Choi, J. H. Kim, R. Y. Tay, E. H. T. Teo, N. Park, S. Hong, Z. Lee, One-dimensional hexagonal boron nitride conducting channel. Sci. Adv. 6, eaay4958 (2020).