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The decarbonisation of residential heating is crucial if the net-zero target in the United Kingdom is going to be achieved. This paper describes methods to produce data to quantify the impacts of residential heat decarbonisation on the energy supply infrastructure across England and Wales. For the year 2018, annual heat demand for a range of dwellings was estimated for almost 35,000 local areas (known as Lower Layer Super Output Areas: LSOAs). Energy savings through implementing the potential energy efficiency measures and the indicative costs of the energy efficiency measures were quantified. Profiles were synthesised for heat production and energy demand of selected heating technologies using average daily temperature and data from trial projects. These profiles were created to study the impacts of different types of heating technology in each LSOA under user-defined heat decarbonisation pathways. Data describing the dwelling stock, heating technologies, annual heat demand for each LSOA, indicative costs of energy efficiency improvements for each local authority and the profiles for each technology were created.

Background & Summary
Decarbonising heat is a major challenge facing UK energy policy. In 2018, residential space heating and hot water were responsible for 394 TWh of the final energy consumption of the UK. This is approximately 41% of total UK final energy consumption across all sectors (excluding transport). This is the equivalent of more than 78 MtCO₂e (calculated using carbon emissions factors). Currently, residential heating in the UK is mostly reliant on natural gas. In 2018, 302 TWh of natural gas was consumed for space heating and hot water. To achieve its net-zero target by 2050, the UK residential heat sector is expected to undergo a radical change to significantly increase the installation of heat pumps and hydrogen boilers. However, there are two key questions regarding the decarbonisation of the residential heat sector: (1) What are the suitable technological options for heat decarbonisation? and, (2) what are the impacts of various heat decarbonisation pathways on the local and national energy supply infrastructure? See Table 1 for a glossary of terms and acronyms used in this paper.

Technological options for low carbon heating. The pathways for heat decarbonisation presented in the literature focus on national-level changes and trends. These studies use national and regional energy models to suggest a mix of heating technologies for a given pathway and to calculate the impacts of these heating technologies on the energy system. The two heat decarbonisation pathways that have been identified are ‘electrification’ and ‘hydrogen’. In the ‘electrification’ pathway, heat demand is met mainly by air-source and ground-source heat pumps, and by resistive heating. In the ‘hydrogen’ pathway, heat demand is primarily met from hydrogen boilers. The national-level heat decarbonisation pathways can provide insights into what the future of heat could look like, and they indicate the scale of changes that are required to be undertaken by the energy system. These pathways support the creation of national-level strategies, policy actions and relevant incentives for heat decarbonisation. However, the uptake of suitable and practical heating technologies in local areas depends on local circumstances.

Impacts of heat decarbonisation on energy supply infrastructure. Several studies have been carried out to estimate the future heat demand of the UK. These studies provide many useful insights into the temporal characteristics of aggregate heat demand (e.g., peak and variation of heat demand) for the whole of Great Britain. However, the shape and magnitude of the heat demand profiles could be significantly different from one local area
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to another, depending on the characteristics of the buildings and the mix of heating technologies. To quantify the impacts of different heat decarbonisation pathways on the energy supply infrastructure, at local as well as national scales, it is crucial to consider spatial and temporal data for heat demand.

In this work, we have created a database that includes data such as dwelling type, heating system, dwelling energy efficiency, annual heat demand, normalised half-hourly heat production and energy demand of heating technologies for each LSOA in England and Wales.

Figure 1 gives an overview of the methods that have been used to produce the three datasets forming this database. The annual heat demand for different type of dwellings before and after considering energy efficiency improvements (dataset 1) was derived from publicly available information about the energy performance of dwellings. Dataset 2 is an extension of dataset 1 and includes data about the costs of implementing these energy efficiency improvements. Dataset 3 was created using synthesised half-hourly heat production and energy demand of four heating technologies, as follows: air-source heat pumps (ASHPs), ground-source heat pumps (GSHPs), gas boilers (both natural gas and hydrogen boilers) and resistance heaters. These profiles were created using machine learning models that were trained using datasets from trial projects where the heat production and/or energy demand of these four heating technologies were recorded.

Methods

Annual heat demand of dwellings. The building stock data and energy performance information of dwellings (obtained from EPCs) were used to estimate the annual heat demand of dwellings in a LSOA. The annual heat demand of the building stock, with and without energy efficiency improvements, was calculated for all of the LSOAs in England and Wales for 16 dwelling categories. In this study, a dwelling category is the combination of a dwelling type (i.e., detached, semi-detached, terraced, or flat) and a heating system (i.e., natural gas boiler, resistance heater, biomass boiler or oil boiler).
Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs) were used to estimate the annual heat demand of the 16 dwelling categories within a local area for a typical year. Figure 2 shows an EPC for a detached house with a current energy efficiency rating of 45 (band E ∈ [39,54]) and a potential energy efficiency rating of 69 (band C ∈ [69,80]) on a scale from 1 (band G ∈ [1,20]), the worst, up to 100 (band A ∈ [92,100]), the best. The space heating and hot water demand considering current and potential energy efficiency ratings are also estimated in an EPC. The annual heat demand based on potential energy efficiency ratings considers that all of the recommended energy efficiency measures impacting heat demand in the EPC have been implemented.

The EPC database for all local authorities (with spatial resolution at postcode level) in England and Wales was downloaded from the open data communities platform7. The data were cleaned, and outliers and duplicates were removed. The cleaning process entailed:

---

**Fig. 2** Example of an energy performance certificate for a detached house7.
1. Only keeping the most recent EPC for dwellings with multiple EPCs. This was done by filtering the database using the building reference number and the date of the EPC.

2. Removing the EPCs where the potential heating cost is more than 10% higher than the current heating cost. For a dwelling, the energy efficiency measures recommended on an EPC can decrease the heat gains from appliances (i.e., switching to LED lights) increasing the heat demand to be supplied by the heating system and thus the heating cost.

3. Using 15 kWh/m² as the minimum threshold for the heat consumption of a dwelling, which is equivalent to a passivHus requirement.

4. Using 400 kWh/m² as the maximum threshold for the heat consumption in a dwelling.

5. Removing the dwellings with an unspecified number of rooms.

6. Grouping the EPCs into dwelling categories. The dwellings’ postcodes were also used to link them to an LSOA, MSOA and LA using a lookup table published by the ONS.

Figure 3 illustrates the methodology that was used to calculate the residential annual heat demand of an LSOA using the cleaned EPC database, which includes the following steps:

1. The average annual heat demand was calculated for each dwelling category from the information displayed on the EPC.

2. Given that not all the dwellings in a LSOA have an EPC, when the number of EPCs for a dwelling category was too low to estimate the average heat demand, the average heat demand for this dwelling category was calculated by running steps 1 to 3 for an extended geographical area (i.e., MSOA, LA, and Country).

3. The annual heat demand of each dwelling category was calculated.

4. The residential heat demand of a LSOA was calculated by aggregating the annual heat demand of all the dwelling categories in this LSOA.

Hereafter, this will be referred to as the EPC-based method in this paper.

**Half-hourly heat production and energy demand of individual heating technologies.** XGboost, which is an extreme gradient boosting algorithm, was used to create the machine learning models to synthesise half-hourly heat production and half-hourly energy demand for each heating technology, as follows:

- Because their coefficient of performance (COP) changes with the outside air temperature (OAT), two models were created for ASHPs: the first to synthesise half-hourly heat production and the second to synthesise half-hourly electricity demand.

- Because their COP changes with the temperature of their heat sources which is linked to the OAT, two models were created for GSHPs: the first to synthesise half-hourly heat production, and the second to synthesise half-hourly electricity demand.
A single model was created for gas boilers, which synthesised half-hourly gas demand. The half-hourly production was derived from the half-hourly gas demand by considering a constant average efficiency of the heating systems of 84%.

A single model was created for resistance heaters, which synthesised half-hourly electricity demand for heating. The half-hourly production was derived from the half-hourly gas demand by considering a constant efficiency of the heating systems of 100%.

The models were trained using time series data from residential heating trial projects (introduced in Section 0) considering the most influential variables, including time and OAT.

**Input data to the machine learning models.** A dataset of half-hourly aggregated average heat production and energy demand from dwellings for each of the four heating technologies was used to test and train the machine learning models.

**Collection of the data.** Four datasets from trial projects were used in this study. These datasets include records from heat production and electricity demand data of ASHPs, GSHPs and resistance heaters, as well as the gas demand data of gas boilers. Table 2 give the information for the trial datasets the number of dwellings recorded, the geographic area and the duration of the metering.

**Creation of the half-hourly profiles.** For resistance heaters, some preliminary steps were required to calculate the half-hourly electricity demand for heating. The Energy Demand Research Project published electricity demand at half-hourly resolution for 14,000 dwellings from early-2008 to the end of 2010. No information was provided regarding the type of heating system in each household. Hence, to determine if a household was using resistance heaters or not, the average daily electricity demand in summer and winter were compared. If the demand in winter was at least twice that in summer, then the household was considered to be electrically heated. Two separate sub-datasets were created: the first was a dataset for electrically heated households (3,367 dwellings) and the second was a dataset for households that used energy carriers other than electricity for heating (10,952 dwellings). The difference between the average aggregated half-hourly electricity demand of these sub-datasets was used to represent a half-hourly profile of the electricity used by resistance heaters for heating. For the ASHPs, GSHPs and gas boilers, the datasets were directly used to create aggregated average half-hourly heat production and energy demand of these heating technologies. The UK daily average outside air temperature (OAT) data from the BMRS website was also added to the profiles.

**Cleaning the half-hourly profiles.** In terms of cleaning procedure, the aggregated average profiles for ASHPs and GSHPs were cleaned using a rolling z-score on the heat production data with a window size of 24. Entries with an absolute z-score above 3 were removed from the dataset. Further data was removed manually. For ASHPs, 338 entries were removed from the training dataset. For GSHPs, 146 entries were removed from the training dataset. For gas boilers and resistance heaters, no procedure was performed to clean the data. Table 3 shows the number of entries in the final datasets.

**Testing and training the models.** Figure 4 shows an overview of the steps that were followed to create the models. It includes:

1. Pre-processing of measured data from the trial projects (see Section 0).
2. Selection of independent variables that have a significant influence on the target variable (heat production or energy demand).
3. Creation of the model and calibration of the hyperparameters of the model using a cross-validation procedure to improve accuracy using the original trial datasets. In machine learning, a hyperparameter is a parameter whose value is used to control the learning process of the model. The hyperparameters that are used for all the models are shown in the Table 4. Cross-validation is a testing procedure that is used to test and validate the effectiveness of a machine learning model. The most common approach, which is also used in this study, consists of splitting the original dataset into five partitions, with each partition carrying 20% of the data. Data in four of the partitions is used to train the model and the data in the remaining partition is used to test it. For each combination of training/testing partitions, the performance of the model is calculated and assessed. In this step, the model's predictions for heat production and energy demand were compared to the data from Section 0.

4. Testing the performance of the model.

The variables common to all the models created in this study were:

- base_score = 0.5
- n_estimators = 200
- booster = 'gbtree'
- n_jobs = 1
- colsample_bylevel = 1
- nthread = -1
- colsample_bynode = 1
- objective = 'reg:squarederror'
- colsample_bytree = 0.9
- random_state = 0
- gamma = 0.3
- reg_alpha = 0
- importance_type = 'gain'
- reg_lambda = 1
- learning_rate = 0.1
- scale_pos_weight = 1
- max_delta_step = 0
- seed = None
- max_depth = 4
- silent = None
- min_child_weight = 4
- subsample = 0.9
- missing = None

Table 3. Number of entries in the datasets for each heating technology. Note: The final datasets are based on the aggregation of profiles from individual dwellings; thus, it can be assumed that these profiles embed the after diversity maximum demand (ADMD). This is also the case of the models trained using these datasets in this study and the profiles published.

| Heating technologies | Number of entries in the dataset |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| ASHPs                | 24,430                           |
| GSHPs                | 17,393                           |
| Gas boilers         | 21,936                           |
| Resistance heaters  | 18,192                           |

Table 4. List of the hyperparameters and their values used to run the XGboost models.

Fig. 4 Flow diagram showing the steps to create the machine learning models of this study.
Fig. 5 Process to produce half-hourly electricity demand and heat production for HPs with two distinct models $HP_{\text{heat}}$ and $HP_{\text{electricity}}$.

Fig. 6 Flow chart of an example model which combines the predictions of two sub-models to improve the accuracy in predicting peaks. $Q_t$ is the predicted value of a model for time $t$ with $t = 1, 2, \ldots, H$.

- The half-hour of the day,
- The day of the week,
- The month of the year,
- The daily average OAT,
- The target variable (the half-hour heat production or energy demand).

For the case of heat pumps (HPs), for which the COP is impacted by the OAT, the approach shown in Fig. 5 was used to synthesise the energy demand profile. A first model ($HP_{\text{heat}}$) was created to synthesise the heat production profile (block 1) using the list of common variables described previously. The heat production profile produced with $HP_{\text{heat}}$ model was used as an additional variable of a second model ($HP_{\text{electricity}}$) (block 2) to synthesise the electricity demand profile. Besides this additional feature, the $HP_{\text{electricity}}$ model was created following the same process as the $HP_{\text{heat}}$ model.

When producing the data, if the COP is below 1, then the electricity prediction is set to be equal to the heat prediction divided by the average daily COP of the data from Section 0, 2.6 for ASHPS and 2.75 for GSHPS.

In this study, an ensemble approach was used where the predictions from different sub-models were combined to improve the accuracy of the final predictions. Figure 6 shows an example of this approach with two
Table 5. Percentage errors in the total heat produced, or energy consumed (Metric 1) and in the coincidental peak values (Metric 2) of the synthesised data of the models selected for each technology compared to the original data. The models selected to synthesise the dataset produced with this article are highlighted in bold.

| Models          | Metrics | Main model | Main model + Model 99 | Main model + Model 95 | Main model + Model 90 | Main model + Model 95 + Model 90 | Main model + Model 95 + Model 99 |
|-----------------|---------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ASHP<sub>heat</sub> | Metric 1 | 1%         | 1%                    | 1%                    | 1%                     | 1%                              | 1%                              |
|                 | Metric 2 | −11%       | −11%                  | −10%                  | −9%                    | −9%                             | −10%                            |
| ASHP<sub>electricity</sub> | Metric 1 | 1%         | 1%                    | 1%                    | 1%                     | 1%                              | 1%                              |
|                 | Metric 2 | −9%        | −8%                   | −6%                   | −6%                    | −5%                             | −6%                             |
| GSHP<sub>heat</sub>   | Metric 1 | −1%        | −1%                   | −1%                   | −1%                    | −1%                             | −1%                             |
|                 | Metric 2 | −10%       | −9%                   | −8%                   | −6%                    | −7%                             | −8%                             |
| GSHP<sub>electricity</sub> | Metric 1 | 0%         | 0%                    | 0%                    | 0%                     | 0%                              | 0%                              |
|                 | Metric 2 | −8%        | −7%                   | −6%                   | −5%                    | −5%                             | −6%                             |
| GasBoiler<sub>gas</sub> | Metric 1 | 0%         | 0%                    | 1%                    | 1%                     | 1%                              | 1%                              |
|                 | Metric 2 | −6%        | −5%                   | −4%                   | −2%                    | 0%                              | −1%                             |
| ResistanceHeater<sub>electricity</sub> | Metric 1 | −1%        | −1%                   | 0%                    | 0%                     | 0%                              | 0%                              |
|                 | Metric 2 | −17%       | −16%                  | −13%                  | −11%                   | −11%                            | −13%                            |

sub-models: a Main model and an additional model, Model 99. These sub-models were used to predict the values of a target variable, either heat production or energy demand. The Main model was trained on the entire dataset. Meanwhile, Model 99 was trained on a subset of the dataset that only included the target variable values above the 99th percentile, other values were set to zero. When the predictions from the Main model were lower than the predictions from Model 99, they were replaced by the predictions from Model 99. This was done to improve the predicted peak heat production and peak energy demand. The results are referred to as combined predictions.

When using the Main model by itself, the synthesised heat production and energy demand of the heating technologies showed an accurate estimate of the total heat production or energy demand (with less than 1% error) but the peak was underestimated by 6% to 17% (see Table 5). To improve the performance of the method to predict peak heat production and energy demand, five other sub-model combinations were also tested following the approach described in Fig. 6:

1. Main model + Model 99;
2. Main model + Model 95;
3. Main model + Model 90;
4. Main model + Model 95 + Model 90; and,
5. Main model + Model 95 + Model 99.

Model 95 refers to a model trained with heat production values above the 95th percentiles, while Model 90 refers to a model trained with values above the 90th percentiles.

The performance of the models when used to synthesise heat production and the models when used to synthesise energy demand for each technology were analysed using two metrics calculated during the cross-validation procedure:

- The first metric is total heat produced, or energy consumed (the area under the curve).
- The second metric is the coincidental peak for values above the 99% percentile. This error is calculated by comparing the model values in the synthesised dataset with values in the original trial dataset at the same timesteps. The timesteps are drawn from the values of the original dataset above the 99th percentile.

We selected those models with the lowest errors on the two metrics. Table 5 shows the errors on the two metrics for the combinations of models considered. The models selected to synthesise the dataset produced with this article are highlighted in bold red for each technology.

The final synthesised half-hourly heat production and energy demand were normalised. For example, the sum of the values of the synthesised heat production over a year was equal to 1, while the synthesised energy demand was normalised based on the synthesised heat production profiles to maintain the conversion efficiency factor of each technology.

Data Records
All of the data is available for download on the UK Research Energy Centre platform.

The data is provided in three datasets:

1. Annual heat demand LSOA dataset, which contains information about dwelling categories and residential heat demand of LSOAs.
2. Energy efficiency improvements costs LA dataset, which contains estimated costs to implement energy efficiency measures.
3. **Half-hourly profiles of heating technologies** dataset, which contains half-hourly profiles of average heat production and energy demand of four individual heating technologies.

The **Annual_heat_demand_LSOA** dataset includes the following information for all LSOAs in England and Wales:

- The number of units by dwelling categories in 2018. The number of residential electricity meters at LSOA level\(^1^{12}\) was used as a proxy to project the number of dwellings from 2011 (from 2011 Census\(^1^{13}\)) to 2018, assuming that the share of each dwelling category had remained unchanged.
- Average annual heat demand before considering energy efficiency measures of each dwelling category calculated using the EPC-based method. To clean the dataset from outliers, only the EPCs of dwellings with a minimum of 15 kWh/m\(^2\)/year for space heating were used as an input to the EPC-based method. This corresponds to the EnerPHit standard, which is the passive house standard for refurbished dwellings. In the final dataset for England and Wales, the outliers above the 99\(^{th}\) percentile were replaced by the 99\(^{th}\) percentile value.
- Average annual heat demand after considering energy efficiency measures of each dwelling category calculated using the EPC-based method.
- Total residential heat demand before energy efficiency measures based on the number of dwellings in 2018.
- Total annual residential heat demand after energy efficiency measures based on the number of dwellings in 2018.
- Maximum length of heat networks based on the length of the road. The length of the road in each LSOA was calculated from the OS open road data\(^1^{14}\), excluding motorways and roads classified as A roads, which was combined with the boundaries of the LSOAs using GIS software.
- Area of the LSOA.
- Rurality, which is based on urban/rural classification from the census data\(^1^{13}\). There are three possible values: “Urban”, “Village, Town and Fringe” and “Hamlet & Isolated Dwellings”.
- Local authority name based on classification from 2011 and 2019 from the Office for National Statistics (ONS).
- LSOA code based on the 2011 classification\(^1^{13}\).

Figure 7 shows two maps of England and Wales built using this dataset illustrating the total residential annual heat demand for a typical year at LSOA level before and after energy efficiency measures.

Figure 8 shows the magnitude of the heat demand savings in more detail by considering energy efficiency measures and the number of LSOAs impacted.

The **Energy_efficiency_improvements_costs_LA** dataset includes for each local authority:

- Average cost to improve the energy efficiency of each dwelling category. For each local authority, this was calculated using the recommended energy efficiency measures displayed on EPCs and considering their indicative costs. See Table 6 for further details of the measures included and their costs.
- The number of units by dwelling category in 2018.
Total cost to implement the energy efficiency measures of all of the dwellings at local authority level based on the average cost of each dwelling category and the number of dwellings in 2018.

Local authority name based on classification from 2011 and 2019 from the ONS.

Figure 9 shows the costs of energy efficiency improvements of the local authorities in England and Wales.

Table 6. The costs (£) of energy efficiency measures considered by dwelling types that were used to estimate the costs of energy efficiency improvements in England and Wales.

- Total cost to implement the energy efficiency measures of all of the dwellings at local authority level based on the average cost of each dwelling category and the number of dwellings in 2018.
- Local authority name based on classification from 2011 and 2019 from the ONS.

Figure 9 shows the costs of energy efficiency improvements of the local authorities in England and Wales.

The Half-hourly_profiles_of_heating_technologies dataset includes:

- The normalised half-hourly heat production and energy demand of ASHPs, GSHPs, resistance heaters and natural gas/hydrogen boilers for a typical UK year. The heat production profiles were normalised such that the sum of the values of the profiles over a year was equal to 1. The energy demand profiles were normalised on the heat production values to keep the conversion of the efficiency of the technologies. A correction factor was applied to these profiles to account for the difference in OAT between the annual heat demand from the EPC-based method and the daily average OAT profile from 2013. The designed OAT of HPs was assumed to be \(-3.2 ^\circ C\). This means that for an OAT of \(-3.2 ^\circ C\) and above, 100% of the heat demand was supplied by the HPs.
- The daily average UK OAT for the year 2013, which had 1,982 heating degree days, the minimum daily average OAT was \(-0.8 ^\circ C\) and the maximum 23.2 \(^\circ C\).
Figure 10 shows the normalised profiles for the ASHP technology. The upper profile (blue) shows the normalised heat production of a pool of ASHPs across a year. The lower profile (orange) is the normalised electricity demand required by these ASHPs to supply the heat shown in the upper profile, which accounts for the COP of the ASHPs that change with OAT.

**Technical Validation**

**Comparison with the average residential heat demand by fuels from a study by the centre for sustainable energy.** The Centre for Sustainable Energy (CSE) studied the energy usage of different dwellings in Great Britain in 2014 based on 32,700 housing surveys. The outputs of this study included average annual heat demand by heating fuels: gas, electricity and non-metered fuels (e.g., oil and biomass)\(^1\). Figure 11 shows a
A comparison of the average annual heat demand shows that the values are in the same range. The largest discrepancy was observed for the non-metered heated dwellings, where the annual heat demand from the CSE study is 2% higher than the output of the EPC-based method applied to non-metered dwellings in England and Wales. There are two main reasons that might explain this difference: first, the accuracy of the heat demand estimated in the EPC of non-metered buildings; and second, the assumptions for the efficiency rating of the heating technologies.

Comparison with gas demand data. Data on gas consumption in the residential sector at LSOA level in England and Wales is published on the Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy’s (BEIS’s) website. This data was used to estimate heat production by gas boilers, and was compared with heat demand from gas boilers produced by the EPC-based method for all LSOAs in England and Wales.

The BEIS gas consumption data is calculated from gas meter readings and is “weather desensitised” using adjustment factors provided by the gas industry. To align it with the methods used to produce EPC, which is based on models using OAT profiles from the Standard Assessment Procedure (SAP) guidelines, the BEIS gas data at LSOA level was weather corrected using the following steps:

1. Original gas consumption data (before “weather desensitisation”) was calculated using the ratio between the original gas consumption data and the “weather desensitised” gas consumption data for domestic gas demand in England and Wales published by BEIS; and,

2. Weather was corrected using the difference in degree days (using 15.5 °C as a base temperature) between the SAP OAT profile for the UK, which is equivalent to 2,062 degree-days, and the number of degree days in 2018, which is 1,687 and is calculated from the daily average OAT profile from the BMRS report.

The energy consumption statistics published by BEIS show that 97.5% of residential gas consumption is used for space heating and hot water. A report from Delta EE suggested an average efficiency of gas boilers of 84%. Hence, for each LSOA, gas consumption data was used to estimate annual heat demand through (Eq. 1):

\[
\text{Heat from gas}_{\text{LSOA}} = \text{BEIS gas consumption}_{\text{LSOA}} \times 97.5\% \times 84\% 
\]

Figure 12 shows the difference between the heat demand in dwellings supplied by gas in 2018 derived from the gas consumption data from BEIS and the EPC-based method of the LSOAs in England and Wales for three levels of rurality. In the LSOAs in “Urban” and “Village, Town and Fringe” areas, the median difference is −8%. This shows a good agreement between the two methods in LSOAs with high density of dwellings. In contrast, the median difference is −61% for LSOAs in the “Hamlet & Isolated Dwellings” area. This large difference is
explained by the low density of dwellings connected to gas networks and the low annual heat demand of these LSOAs, which accentuate the differences between the two methods.

Overall, the values of the EPC-based method are mostly greater than the results produced from BEIS data. These differences might be explained by the decrease in residential gas consumption, which has been happening almost continuously since 2010. This is due to a combination of factors, including economic recession, increase in prices, and changes in building stock and household composition, which can be seen in the data from BEIS\textsuperscript{12}. This decrease might not be fully reflected in the procedure used to create EPCs and thus may not be captured in the EPC-based method. In addition, BEIS pointed out there was missing or unallocated data, which could result in an underestimation of the gas consumption in LSOAs\textsuperscript{21}.

Figure 13 shows that in terms of spatial distribution, the LSOAs in the category of “Hamlet & Isolated Dwellings” are mostly located in Wales, the West and North of England. It represents one percent of the dwellings in England and Wales. The LSOAs in the category of “Village, Town and Fringe” are distributed over all of England and Wales.

Although there are some possible limitations to the EPC-based method in estimating heat from gas in areas with a low density of dwellings, the difference with the BEIS data in more urban areas is on average less than 10%.

Validation of the estimated coefficient of performance of air-source heat pumps and ground-source heat pumps. The daily average COP of ASHPs and GSHPs were estimated by calculating the ratio of the half-hourly heat production to the electricity demand of ASHPs/GSHPs synthesised in this study and then taking the daily average values. They were validated by comparing them to the values from four sources, as follows:

1. A field test of 23 ASHPs and 49 GSHPs installed in retrofitted dwellings in the UK with data recorded from April 2009 to April 2010. The results\textsuperscript{22} were published by the Energy Saving Trust (EST) for the UK Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC).
2. The time series of the COP of the ASHPs and GSHPs using radiators as a heat sink for Great Britain, which is published on the Open Power System Data platform\textsuperscript{23}.
3. A field test of 21 ASHPs installed in retrofitted dwellings in Germany and recorded for the years 2007 and 2008\textsuperscript{24}.
4. A field test of eight ASHPs installed in retrofitted dwellings in Scotland\textsuperscript{25}.

For sources 1, 2 and 3, there was no information regarding the relationship between the OAT and the COP of the ASHPs/GSHPs, thus a constant daily average COP was assumed. For source 4, the data was only provided for the range of $-5\,^\circ\text{C}$ to $+15\,^\circ\text{C}$. Only sources 1 and 2 have information for GSHPs.

Figure 14 shows a comparison of the daily average COP of the ASHPs and GSHPs for OAT from $-5\,^\circ\text{C}$ to $+20\,^\circ\text{C}$. The crosses represent the daily average COP of ASHPs and GSHPs of this study. For ASHPs, the maximum is $\sim 2.6$ and it reaches $\sim 2.3$ at $0\,^\circ\text{C}$. For GSHPs, the maximum is $\sim 3$ and it reaches $\sim 2.7$ at $0\,^\circ\text{C}$. When the OAT is over $+12\,^\circ\text{C}$, the daily average COP starts to decrease. This may be explained by the ASHPs and GSHPs starting to work part-load as heating demand decreases, which decreases their efficiency\textsuperscript{26}.

Overall, the daily average COP values of this study are in the same range as the values that have been published from the external sources for ASHPs and GSHPs, although the GSHP’s COP value from Source 2 is much...
Higher than the values from this study. The authors of the Source 2 report that they used a correction factor to increase the validity of their datasets based on a comparison with the COP of HPs from a field test in Germany. Some of these differences may be explained by the impact of the choice of the heat sink (e.g., underfloor heating or radiators) on the efficiency of the system23, the OAT when the data was recorded or the OAT profile used for the modelling. For an OAT above +15 °C, the decrease in efficiency due to the HPs working part-load was not captured by the other studies.

Usage Notes

The following subsections discuss the applicability and the limitations of the dataset.

Applicability. The three datasets of this study were intended to allow researchers and other stakeholders interested in heat decarbonisation in England and Wales to:

• Develop heat decarbonisation pathways for local areas.
• Produce an estimate of the annual heat energy production and peak energy demand at half-hourly/daily/monthly resolution.
• Study the viability of district heating schemes.

Figure 15 shows an example of how the database was used to synthesise profiles for a decarbonisation pathway in an area. This entailed three main steps:

1. Describing assumptions regarding the uptake of heating technologies. For instance, will all the dwellings using gas boilers in 2018 use hydrogen boilers? or, will they use GSHPs, ASHPs?
2. Calculating the annual heat needed to be produced by each heating technology.
3. Distributing the annual heat demand over the year using the normalised half-hourly heat production and energy demand of each technology.

Limitations. The limitations of the database will be discussed in this section.

Annual heat demand. The assumptions that were used to project the number of dwellings from 2011 to 2018 may not accurately capture the share of each dwelling category in 2018. On average, each LSOA has seen its number of dwellings increase by 9% between 2011 and 2018. However, no information was found to estimate the increase in each dwelling category.

There are known limitations to EPCs because of the methods used to produce them and the quality of the recorded data27. Furthermore, EPCs assume a standard occupancy of the dwellings, and thus do not consider potential differences in the behaviour of people and other socio-economic factors. There are also limitations due to the number of EPCs available. In this study, around 6 million EPCs were used, whereas there were ~25 million dwellings in England and Wales in 2018. These factors can impact the accuracy of the estimated annual heat demand of the dwellings shown in the database.

The estimated annual heat demand is an average of the values from all of the EPCs belonging to the same dwelling category. Consequently, there could be a large variation between different individual dwellings in the same dwelling category within an LSOA, which is not captured in the database.

Half-hourly heat production and energy demand profiles. The data from the trial projects that were used to train the machine learning models for each technology may not provide a good representation of how the heating technologies are controlled or of the behaviour of the occupants regarding heating. This is particularly true for...
the ASHPs and GSHPs datasets, which are based on relatively small samples of only social housing dwellings (<700 in total).

A better parametrisation of the XGboost algorithm or other type of model (e.g., artificial neural networks, support vector machines, etc.) may provide better accuracy when representing the peaks in the profiles compared to the current models.

**Peak energy demand.** There are three main uncertainties in using the data produced in this study to estimate the peak energy demand of a system: the timing, the magnitude, and the impact of the diversity factor.

The peak energy demand of a system needs to be calculated using a robust methodology that may consider parameters such as extreme weather events, time of the year (e.g., holidays, day of the week, etc.) and potential behavioural change (e.g., people staying at home because of lockdowns).

The timing of the peak energy demand may not be accurately reflected in the profiles of this study. These profiles were created based on the daily average OAT profile of the year 2013, which only includes a few days with daily average OATs below 0 °C and the minimum daily average OAT observed was −0.8 °C. Hence, it does not provide information regarding what the shape of the profiles would be for a more extreme event, such as 1-in-20 peak day.

The diversity factor represents the number of units of a system that are running at the same time. It is defined as shown in Eq. 2:

\[
D = \frac{\text{Actual energy demand}}{\text{Maximum energy demand if all the units are running at the same time}}
\] (2)

The amount of diversity at each time step was embedded in the profiles of this study because the original training datasets that were used to train the models were calculated based on the average demand/production of many units. However, if there is a need to calculate the peak energy demand of a LSOA where there are few units installed of a kind of technology (e.g., ASHPs, GSHPs, etc.), then there may be limited diversity and thus the profiles that are used may not adequately represent the shape of the demand. In these cases, it may be better to adopt a more conservative approach and consider no diversity, and instead calculate the peak demand as the number of units in the area multiplied by their rated capacity.

The link between the number of units installed and the amount of diversity to be considered will vary between the heating technologies and the type of user. For example, Love *et al.* used the heat pump datasets from this study and showed that the diversity would not change significantly when more than ~200 units are considered together\(^6\).

**Code availability**

The Python code that we used to produce the datasets presented in this paper is published at [https://github.com/AlexandreLab/UKERC-data](https://github.com/AlexandreLab/UKERC-data).
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