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ABSTRACT

Brief overview: There is currently insufficient evidence to recommend quercetin supplementation as a therapy for the treatment or prevention of COVID-19. Three human clinical trials with low risk of bias suggest that oral quercetin may have a beneficial effect on the incidence and duration of respiratory tract infections in certain populations; however, further research is needed.

Verdict: Current evidence on the efficacy of quercetin supplementation in the treatment and prevention of COVID-19 is insufficient for its clinical recommendation at this time. Quercetin exhibits both immunomodulatory and antimicrobial effects in preclinical studies; however, only three human clinical trials, each with a low risk of bias rating, were identified in this rapid review. One study reported a decrease in incidence of upper respiratory tract infections following a competitive athletic event. A larger community clinical trial reported a benefit in older, athletic adults only.

© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Background

Quercetin is a polyphenolic compound, a type of flavonoid which is found in a variety of plants consumed by humans and available as a dietary supplement. Its physiologic effects include antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory and anti-pathogenic properties [1–3]. Animal and in vitro studies have demonstrated effects of quercetin on immune activity including increased neutrophil chemotaxis, macrophage phagocytosis, NK cell lytic activity and mitogen-stimulated lymphocyte proliferation. Quercetin regulates the expression of some genes related to cytokine production [2]. When added to cell cultures, quercetin exerts antiviral and antibacterial properties, including inhibition of influenza A strains H1N1, H3N2 and inhibition of H5N1 entry [4]. Quercetin supplements are used by some naturopathic doctors to support healthy immune function and response to inflammation. Research has been undertaken using quercetin supplementation in the general population and in competitive athletes as it is established that intense and prolonged exercise is responsible for a transient decrease in immune function and increase in risk of infection [2].

2. Search strategy

2.1. Research question

What is the role of quercetin in the treatment and recovery of COVID-19 and other respiratory tract infections?

2.2. Inclusion/exclusion criteria

Studies were included if reporting human prospective intervention studies sampling adults (aged 18 and over), which assessed
the effect of quercetin supplementation on the incidence or treatment of respiratory tract infections. Studies including pediatric populations were excluded.

2.3. Databases

Medline (Ovid), AMED (Ovid), CINAHL (EBSCO), EMBASE (Ovid)

2.4. Search terms (example)

[Medline (Ovid)]

((Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic/ OR randomized controlled trial)/ OR Random Allocation/ OR Double Blind Method/ OR Single Blind Method/ OR clinical trial/ OR clinical trial, phase i.pt. OR clinical trial, phase ii.pt. OR clinical trial, phase iii.pt. OR clinical trial, phase iv.pt. OR controlled clinical trial.pt. OR randomized controlled trial.pt. OR multicenter study.pt. OR clinical trial.pt. OR exp Clinical Trials as topic/ OR (clinical adj trial$).tw. OR ((singl$ or doub$ or treb$ or trip$) adj (blind$ or mask$)).tw. OR PLACEBOS/ OR placebo$tw. OR randomly allocated.tw. OR allocated adj2 random$).tw.) NOT (letter/ OR historical article/) AND ((Quercetin or Quercetol or 3,3',4',5,7-pentahydroxyflavone. af) AND ("avian influenza (HSN1)"/ or "influenza A (H1N1)"/ or Influenza A virus/ or influenza C/ or exp influenza/ or highly pathogenic avian influenza/ or Influenza B virus/ or highly pathogenic avian influenza virus/ or avian influenza virus/ or seasonal influenza/ or "Influenza A virus (H1N1)"/ or Asian influenza/ or swine influenza/ or influenza A/ or pandemic influenza/ or Influenza C virus/ or influenza B/ or avian influenza/ or Influenza virus or SARS or MERS or respir$ or Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus or severe acute respiratory syndrome/))

2.5. Screening

Titles and abstract screening and full text screening were completed by one reviewer and checked for accuracy by a second reviewer. Similarly, data extraction was completed by a single reviewer and checked for accuracy by a second reviewer. Any discrepancies were resolved by consensus.

2.6. Critical appraisal

The risk of bias (RoB) of study findings was assessed using the revised Cochrane RoB tool for randomised trials (RoB 2) https://sites.google.com/site/riskofbiastool/welcome/rob-2-0-tool/current-version-of-rob-2?authuser=0.

3. Results

The search identified 138 results, including 22 duplicates. 116 citations were screened. The citations were screened by title and abstract against the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and 112 citations were excluded. The full text of the remaining four articles were assessed for eligibility and one was excluded due to an incorrect intervention. The remaining three studies underwent extraction.

All three studies were double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized clinical trials and were conducted in outpatient settings in the World Health Organization (WHO) region of the Americas. Two of the studies involved participants who were trained athletes [1,2] (n = 40 and n = 39 respectively), while the third involved a diverse range of 1023 healthy and unhealthy individuals from the community [3]. The studies involving athlete participants delivered the intervention for 3 weeks before and 2 weeks after a competitive Athletic event in order to assess for the prevention of immune disturbance (blood and salivary immune markers) and respiratory tract infection (questionnaire).

The two studies assessing the impact on athletic-event-related immune perturbation assessed blood levels of immune components [1,2]. All 3 studies assessed the incidence and duration of respiratory tract infections using a symptom survey or checklist.

Two studies used a soft chew containing a combination of quercetin, vitamin C and nicotinamide [2,3]; one study used a total daily dose of 1000 mg quercetin [2], while the other compared doses of 500 mg and 1000 mg of quercetin to placebo [3]. The third study used a daily dose of 1000 mg quercetin powder [1].

Risk of bias, as assessed by the Cochrane Risk of Bias 2.0 tool, was low in all three studies.

4. Summary of findings

The two studies involving athletes assessed for the potential of quercetin to counter the immune perturbations that occurred as a result of participation in the competitive athletic event; however, no statistically significant difference was observed between the treatment and control groups with respect to natural killer cells, granulocyte respiratory burst activity, monocyte cell counts or salivary IgA output [1,2].

In one post-athletic event study, no statistically significant difference was found in the duration of illness, as measured by total post-race illness days [2]. In the other, a statistically significant decrease in the incidence of upper respiratory tract infection symptoms in the two-week period following the event was reported [3].

In the study that included a large community sample, no statistically significant difference was observed in the incidence, severity or duration of respiratory tract infection between the intervention and control group [3]. However, a priori sub-group analysis revealed two statistically significant differences favouring oral quercetin. Subjects older than 40 years of age who self-rated in the top half of the population for fitness level experienced a 36 % reduction in Upper Respiratory Tract Infection (URTI) severity and 31 % reduction in total URTI sick days compared to placebo when taking the 1000 mg per day dose. Of the two studies reporting statistically significant benefits, one used quercetin as a monotherapy and the other used it in combination with vitamin C and nicotinamide.

5. Clinical significance

Based on the evidence identified in this rapid review, quercetin may be an effective intervention to decrease the frequency and duration of respiratory tract infections; however, more research is needed. At this time, there is insufficient evidence to recommend the use of this supplement in the treatment or prevention of COVID-19.

6. Disclaimer

This article should not replace individual clinical judgement. The views expressed in this rapid review are the views of the authors and not necessarily from the host institutions. The views are not a substitute for professional medical advice.
| Identification | Methods | Outcomes |
|---------------|---------|----------|
| **Author and WHO Region** | **Design** | **Statistical method(s)** | **Study Population** | **Quercetin form and Dose** | **Duration of Treatment** | **Inclusion criteria** | **Exclusion criteria** | **Control or Placebo** | **Total N, N in intervention and placebo** | **Measure of Outcome** | **Outcome** |
| Henson D et al. [2] | USA, Region of the Americas | Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and Army Research Office. Product supplied by Quercegen Pharma | DBPC RCT | 2 (groups) x 2 (time points) repeated measures ANOVA, Student’s t-tests, comparison of incidence rates between groups using a Kaplan-Meier analysis | Ultra marathoners who completed 160 km race | Soft chews containing total of 1000 mg quercetin, 1000 mg vitamin C, 40 mg niacin, 80 kilocalories of sugar, carnauba wax, soy lecithin, corn starch, glycerin, palm oil, colour; 2 soft chews, BID; 1000 mg/day Quercetin | 3 weeks before and 2 weeks after race | 1. qualified for the Western States Endurance Run (completed a 160-km trail race or three 80-km races within the cutoff times, or raced 80 km in less than 11 hours or 100 km in less than 14 hours) | placebo soft chews (sugars in a carnauba wax, soy lecithin, corn starch, glycerine, and palm oil base colored with FD&C yellow #5 and #6) | 63 randomized but only those who completed race included (39) quercetin N = 18, placebo N = 21 | Heparin-derived plasma quercetin concentration of quercetin (6.6-fold increase (pre-race) compared to placebo group and 3.1-fold higher (post-race)) (p < 0.001) No difference between placebo and quercetin |
| Nieman DC et al. [1] | USA, Region of the Americas | Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) and the Army Research Office (ARO). D. C. Nieman holds a position on the science advisory board | DBPC RCT | Student’s t-tests on interaction effects, 2 (groups) x 6 (time points) repeated measures ANOVA. When Box’s M violated assumptions for univariate approach, a multivariate approach to trained male cyclists | 500 mg pure quercetin powder, tang powder and food colouring. Subjects mixed power with 8oz water BID before meals; 1000 mg/day quercetin | 3 weeks before, during 3-day intense exercise, and 2 weeks after | Male, trained cyclists (note: study does not define what "trained" entails) | placebo supplements (form not specified) | N = 40 quercetin N = 20, placebo N = 20 | Plasma quercetin levels for the intervention group were 9.2-fold higher than those in the placebo group. (p < 0.001) No difference |
### Summary of studies examining quercetin and respiratory tract infections in humans

| Author  | Country/Region | Study Design | Funding and Support | Methods | Statistical Analyses | Outcomes |
|---------|----------------|--------------|---------------------|---------|----------------------|----------|
| Heinz et al | USA, Region of the Americas | Double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial (DBPCT) | Coca-Cola, Quercegen Pharma, D.C. | Repeated measures ANOVA, Kaplan-Meier analysis | Change in incidence of colds, change in URI duration | No difference in cold incidence, no difference in URI duration, no difference in severity |

#### Methods
- **Study Population**: Adults from age 18 to 85 years, institutionalized or not.
- **Inclusion Criteria**: Adults aged 18 to 85 years, institutionalized or not.
- **Exclusion Criteria**: Pregnant or lactating women, individuals with a history of chronic respiratory infections.

#### Measures
- **Outcome Measures**: Change in cold incidence, URI duration, severity.

#### Control and Placebo
- **Control**: Placebo soft chews (20 kcal of sugars in a carnauba wax, soya lecithin, cornstarch, glycerine, color). 2 chews, BID.
- **Placebo N**: 335
- **Quercetin N**: 334

#### Treatment
- **Quercetin**: 1/20 Placebo: 9/20
- **Kaplan-Meier analysis statistic**: 8.31, *P* = 0.004

#### Measures of Outcome
- **Blood count**: Including eosinophils, lymphocytes, monocytes, neutrophils.
- **Saliva**: Salivary IgA.
- **Total days of URI illness**: 2 weeks post-exercise program.
D= two times per day.
DBPC RCT = double blind, placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial.
URTI = upper respiratory tract infection.
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