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Abstract

These two studies tested the prediction that the group practice of a procedure for the development of consciousness, the Transcendental Meditation and TM-Sidhi program, by a sufficiently large group of individuals would be sufficient to reduce collective stress in the larger population, reflected in two stress-related health indicators, infant mortality rate and drug-related fatality rate. Based on theoretical prediction and prior research, from January 2007 through 2010 (intervention period), this effect should have been measurable. Change in the rates of these two indicators during the intervention period were estimated from 2002 through 2010 data using a broken-trend (or segmented trend) intervention model with time series regression methods. Significant changes in trend for both the infant mortality rate and drug-related fatality rate were evident at the predicted time and in the predicted direction, controlling for preintervention trends, seasonality, and autocorrelation. The changes in trend were both statistically and practically significant, indicating an average annual decline of 3.12% in infant mortality rate and 7.61% in drug-related fatality rate. Diagnostic tests are satisfactory and indicate that it is unlikely that the statistical results are attributable to spurious regression. The mechanism for these collective effects is discussed in view of possible alternative hypotheses.
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This article reports results of two studies on the quality of life in the United States that extend a previous research program on consciousness and social well-being into the area of collective stress and stress-related indicators of public health, namely, infant mortality rate (IMR) and drug-related fatality rate (DFR). Previous research analyzed the impact of the same intervention on homicide and violent crime (Dillbeck & Cavanaugh, 2016) and motor vehicle fatalities and fatalities due to other accidents (Cavanaugh & Dillbeck, 2017).

In a broader context, this article expands a substantive body of research on the collective effects of changes in consciousness and quality of life. In these studies, change in the quality of societal consciousness is measured by group practice of the TM-Sidhi program, an advance practice of the Transcendental Meditation technique.¹ Previous studies have found that when practiced by a sufficient number of people, these subjective procedures are associated with an extended influence on social behavior in society, a finding that has implications for a broader understanding of consciousness.

We will first describe these procedures, then the theoretical implications for the nature of consciousness implied by previous research on these procedures, and then discuss the indicators chosen for the present studies.

The Transcendental Meditation technique is described by its founder as a simple, experiential mental procedure of “turning the attention inwards towards the subtler levels of a thought until the mind . . . arrives at the source of the thought” (Maharishi Mahesh Yogi, 1969, p. 470). “Source of thought” indicates a state of “pure consciousness” gained when the mind settles down to a mode of inner silence in which the division of knower, knowing, and known is transcended and awareness is open to itself alone (Roth, 2002).
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Meta-analysis of research on individuals practicing Transcendental Meditation has found that increased mental silence during the practice, in comparison with sitting with eyes closed, is associated physiologically with a unique state of restful alertness (higher basal galvanic skin resistance, lower breath rate, lower plasma lactate); this state of restful alertness, or reduced physiological stress, is also evident in participants outside the practice period (lower respiration rate, lower heart rate, lower plasma lactate, and fewer spontaneous skin resistance responses; Dillbeck & Orme-Johnson, 1987). Alertness is indicated by increased electroencephalogram (EEG) coherence during Transcendental Meditation practice; increased integration of brain functioning is also found longitudinally (Dillbeck & Bronson, 1981; Travis et al., 2010; Travis et al., 2009). Additional research, including reduced cortisol during the practice and more effective cortisol response to stress, indicates that the physiological changes during the practice are counter to those found during stress and support recovery from stress (Jevning, Wilson, & Davidson, 1978; MacLean et al., 1997; Walton et al., 2004).

There is a large body of research on the stress-reducing effects of this procedure for health, particularly cardiovascular health (e.g., reviewed by Schneider & Carr, 2014), and on psychological variables, which can be considered in the context of theories of human development (e.g., Alexander et al., 1990; Dillbeck & Alexander, 1989).

In the 1960s, based on the understanding of consciousness contained in the Vedic tradition of India, Maharishi predicted that even a small proportion of the society experiencing this state of pure consciousness would be sufficient to enliven greater order and positivity in the collective consciousness of society, reflected in decreased stress, resulting in decreased negative behavioral trends such as crime and violence (Maharishi Mahesh Yogi, 1977).

In 1976, Maharishi introduced the advanced TM-Sidhi program, the purpose of which is described as accelerating the integration of the inner silence experienced during Transcendental Meditation with daily activity outside of meditation (Maharishi Mahesh Yogi, 1986). In discussions with physical scientists in the light of the ancient Vedic knowledge, he formalized the prediction that when a group practices the TM-Sidhi program together, only the square root of 1% (√1%) of the population—as compared with 1% practicing Transcendental Meditation individually—would be required to create a calming and orderly influence in society (Maharishi Mahesh Yogi, 1986). The square root term is by analogy to coherent phenomena in physical systems in which the combined intensity of coherent elements can be proportional to their square (Hagelin, 1987). On that basis, it should be possible to influence very large populations: for example, the √1% of a city of 9 million is 300 individuals, and is near 1,800 for the current U.S. population.

The relatively small number of required TM-Sidhi program group participants permits quasi-experiments using a time scale of analysis finer than that of annual data. A number of published studies have used time series (TS) intervention analysis or transfer function (TF) analysis (Box & Jenkins, 1976) to evaluate effects at the city, state, or national/regional levels on indicators that reflect reduction of social stress, such as decreased crime and violence, or improvement in comprehensive quality of life indices.

For example, Dillbeck, Cavanaugh, Glenn, Orme-Johnson, and Mittlefeldt (1987) found that when the size of a group of TM-Sidhi program experts who had assembled on courses exceeded the √1% of the populations of each course location (Delhi, India; Metro Manila, Philippines; Puerto Rico), TS intervention analysis showed reduced crime independently of alternative explanations. Using TS intervention methods, similar results were found on monthly crime rates in Merseyside, United Kingdom (Hatchard, Deans, Cavanaugh, & Orme-Johnson, 1996). Two studies in Washington, D.C., using TF methodology found predicted variations between the size of a TM-Sidhi group in the city and decreased violent crime rate when the size of the group was over the predicted threshold (Dillbeck, Banus, Polanzi, & Landrith, 1988; Hagelin et al., 1999). The second study (Hagelin et al., 1999) was a prospective study with predictions registered in advance. Both studies in Washington, D.C., found no evidence for alternative hypotheses.

At both state and national levels, improvement was found using TS intervention analysis on a comprehensive index of quality of life integrating multiple monthly behavioral and health-related variables (e.g., homicide or crime, motor vehicle fatalities, cigarette consumption) during months when the size of TM-Sidhi group was larger than the required √1% in Rhode Island (Dillbeck et al., 1987) or in the United States or the United States plus Canada (Dillbeck & Rainforth, 1996). Similarly, reductions in an index of national violent fatalities (homicide, suicide, and motor vehicle fatalities) were found using TS intervention analysis over a multiple-year period during weeks when the size of a stable, large TM-Sidhi group was sufficient to predict an effect for either the United States or Canada (Assimakis & Dillbeck, 1995; Dillbeck, 1990).

A study in Israel, spanning multiple societal levels and addressing multiple outcome parameters for each, found that over a 2-month period, daily quality of life indices for Jerusalem, Israel as a whole, and the Israel–Lebanon conflict (ongoing at that time) significantly improved during days when the size of a temporary group was sufficiently large to yield theoretically predicted effects at the city, national, or regional levels (Orme-Johnson, Alexander, Davies, Chandler, & Larimore, 1988). Comparable results were found using TS intervention analysis and TF analysis. A number of alternative explanations and alternative analysis models were effectively considered both in the original article and in subsequent responses to methodological questions (Orme-Johnson, Alexander, & Davies, 1990; Orme-Johnson & Oates, 2009).

In a more detailed and comprehensive analysis of violence due to the Lebanese conflict, Davies and Alexander (2005) looked at all seven intervention periods during a
2.25-year period (1983-1985) when there were TM-Sidhi program groups of sufficient size (on temporary courses) to predict an impact upon the conflict in Lebanon either from within Lebanon, near to Lebanon, or even at some distance. Using daily event data from nine news sources that were blind coded by an independent Lebanese coder using scales derived independently for research on the conflict, TS intervention analysis indicated a significant impact of each of the seven temporary groups on reduced conflict. The analysis controlled for temperature, holidays, and weekends, and the findings were independent of alternative TS noise models. Multiple indicators of reduced conflict also replicated this effect when combining intervention periods.

In these studies, there is an implied underlying connection between individuals that would permit such far-reaching effects of stress reduction beyond that which could be explained by behavioral interactions. That is, as described by the Vedic tradition of India (Radhakrishnan, 1953), pure consciousness is proposed to have a field-like character, as opposed to the isolated quality of individual consciousness. On that basis, it is predicted that a calming or stress-reducing influence will be experienced in the broader collective consciousness of society (Maharishi Mahesh Yogi, 1986).

In extending these studies into the health area, we assume that collective stress (or its reduction) can have an impact upon some stress-related health outcomes, not only upon behavioral violence such as crime. Analyzing U.S. annual data using a state stress index that combined economic, family, and community stressors (Linsky, Bachman, & Straus, 1995; Linsky & Straus, 1986), the degree of social stress predicted violent crime rate and also maladaptive behaviors (Linsky & Straus, 1986). However, social stress so defined was less associated with mortality due to illness, illnesses whose morbidity at the individual level are exacerbated by stress. One of the possible reasons for this is the unknown and variable time lag between serious morbidity and mortality, not to mention between serious stress and morbidity (Linsky & Straus, 1986).

The health indicators selected for this study are those for which mortality is directly influenced by stress, rather than those indicative of disease states that develop over time and whose time course may be inconsistent and hard to specify. Specifically, infant mortality (IM) and deaths due to drugs (DD) were selected for investigation. The following is a rationale for each outcome variable.

IM is both a fundamental indicator of national health as well as a stress-related phenomenon in the United States. Compared with other developed countries, IM rates are high, with the United States ranking 26th among Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) countries (MacDorman, Mathews, Mohangoo, & Zeitlin, 2014). Preterm birth is one of the major causes of IM; in 2005, 36.5% of U.S. infant deaths were due to preterm-related causes, and 68.6% of all infant deaths occurred to preterm infants (MacDorman & Mathews, 2008). IM affects African American mothers much more severely than White mothers or mothers of other racial/ethnic groups, and preterm birth is substantially higher among African American mothers (MacDorman & Mathews, 2011; Mathews, MacDorman, & Thoma, 2015). Preterm birth risk has been shown to be related to perceived stress, pregnancy-related anxiety, and perception of racial discrimination (Dole et al., 2003). The causes of IM are multiple; nevertheless, stress is one major influence, as described above. The cascade of physiological/hormonal events in the mother’s body initiated by stress and their interaction with the physiology of labor initiation are described in detail by Gennaro and Hennessy (2003) and by Latendresse (2009).

DD of all types also reflect to a great degree the immediate effects of stress and are affected by the degree of conscious alertness or vigilance. The category of DD fatalities includes all deaths for which drugs are the essential cause, irrespective of whether the drug was illicit or prescription, including acute poisoning and also medical conditions arising from chronic use. DD have increased substantially since 1990, a period in which prescriptions for opioid analgesics for pain management rose dramatically. Between 1999 and 2002, deaths due to opioid analgesic poisonings rose over 90%, becoming a larger source of drug poisoning deaths than those due to heroin or cocaine (Paulozzi, Budnitz, & Xi, 2006). An investigation in 2010 found that of all drug overdose deaths, 57.7% involved pharmaceuticals, and of those, 75.2% involved opioids (either alone or in combination with other drugs; Jones, Mack, & Paulozzi, 2013).

Opioid analgesics have been increasingly prescribed as part of more aggressive pain management regimens. Chemically, the opioids influence the body in a similar way as opium-derived opiates such as morphine and heroin (Volkow, 2014). For this reason, vigilance must be maintained to avoid addiction to opioid analgesics. Chronic stress has been found to increase the vulnerability to addiction (Sinha, 2008).

By 2010, among those 35 to 54 years of age, poisoning had become the most common type of accidental death, more common than auto-related deaths (Harmon, 2010). Recent research has found that the death rate for White non-Hispanics aged 45 to 54 years actually rose from 1999 to 2013, particularly among the least educated, reversing a trend of previous decades (Case & Deaton, 2015). The authors hypothesize that this increase in mortality could be driven by factors including deaths due to the increased availability of opioid prescriptions and, in some cases where addiction had occurred, transition to heroin when opioid restriction increased. They note that financial stress and insecurity may have contributed to these results, including wage stagnation and diminished retirement prospects. Morbidity also increased in this group as indicated by self-reported level of physiological and mental health as well as increased prevalence of chronic pain. Increased DD and alcohol poisoning (as well as several other factors) also increased in other
5-year age groups (30-34, 60-64) although not enough to raise the overall mortality rate.

It is clear that the rapid rise of DD in the past two decades is a serious health concern in the American population as a whole. At the same time, it seems likely that this variable is directly affected by perceived life stress and, in the case of opioid medication, is influenced by vigilance and self-awareness to avoid addiction. It is in this context that change in DD is a potential reactive indicator of changes in the quality of collective consciousness.

The two studies reported here evaluate the effects on U.S. DD and IM associated with the rapid creation of a large Transcendental Meditation and TM-Sidhi group sufficient in size to create a hypothesized influence of reduced stress and increased alertness in the collective consciousness of the United States. The rapid establishment of this group, approximating in size a step function that could be appropriately modeled by a binary variable, offered a straightforward opportunity for quasi-experimental intervention research (Cavanaugh & Dillbeck, 2017; Dillbeck & Cavanaugh, 2016).

The hypothesis of the current research, consistent with previous studies, is that there would be a significant impact of the independent variable measured in terms of decreased rates of IM and drug-related deaths.

**General Method**

**Intervention**

To assess the predicted effect on these two fatality rates of the largest group of TM-Sidhi participants in North America, the analysis used a binary intervention variable based on the size of this group. The location of the group was in Fairfield, Iowa, at Maharishi University of Management, where students, faculty, staff, and community members assemble each day to practice the Transcendental Meditation and TM-Sidhi program together before and after school or work. The meditation halls on campus record the morning and evening daily totals.

To expand the size of the TM-Sidhi program group from under 1,000 to a number sufficient to create a predicted positive influence for the whole United States (approximately 1,725 needed for the 297 million population at that time, according to the √1% formula), a special course was held at the University beginning in July 2006 for visitors from the United States or around the world. To further increase the number of participants, starting in November 2006, a large group of several hundred visiting Indian experts in the TM-Sidhi program were hosted nearby in their own facilities. After that, the total number of TM-Sidhi participants began to exceed the predicted threshold in January 2007 and remained above or near that level throughout the intervention period of the study, 2007 through 2010. An intervention period of that length was adopted because when the first data collection began to evaluate this intervention, 2010 was the most recent data available for homicide and violent crime (Dillbeck & Cavanaugh, 2016), and this period was subsequently extended to other variables for the sake of comparison (Cavanaugh & Dillbeck, 2017). The binary intervention variable (I) was specified as 0 from July 2001 to December 2006, and 1 from January 2007 to December 2010. (Archival data of the group size was not available continuously prior to July 2001.)

**Dependent Variables**

The dependent variable data for both studies were obtained from the National Center for Health Statistics of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). For Study 1, the dependent variable is the monthly U.S. IMR within 1 week of birth per 10,000 live births. The IM monthly total was obtained from the data set “Underlying Causes of Death, 1999-2013” through the CDC WONDER Online Database (CDC, National Center for Health Statistics, 2015a). The number of live births each month was available also from CDC WONDER or the National Center for Health Statistics VitalStats system as natality public-use data in different source files depending upon the years, 1995 to 2002, 2003 to 2006, and 2006 to 2013, posted in November 2005, March 2009, and January 2015, respectively (CDC, National Center for Health Statistics, 2015b).

For Study 2, the dependent variable is the drug-related fatality rate (DFR) per 1 million population. As noted above, this category of mortality includes any type of drug and any circumstance of death, as long as drugs are cited on the death record as the essential cause of death. Data were obtained from the National Center for Health Statistics through the CDC WONDER Online Database (CDC, National Center for Health Statistics, 2012). The computation of the rate per 1 million population used U.S. Census counts for April 2000 and April 2010, with monthly linear interpolation and extension to December 2010.

**Data Analysis**

To analyze the results of the quasi-experiment, we use intervention analysis, or interrupted TS analysis, of data for 2002 to 2010 (Chelimsky, Shadish, & Orwin, 1997; Cook & Campbell, 1979; Shadish, Cook, & Campbell, 2002) to test the hypothesis that a significant reduction in trend for IMR and DFR occurred beginning with the onset of the intervention period in January 2007. TS regression analysis is used to estimate a broken-trend intervention model (Perron, 1989; Rappoport & Reichlin, 1989) to test for the hypothesized trend shifts in IMR and DFR. The intervention model in each case includes a preintervention linear trend with an exogenous structural break in the trend function at the theoretically predicted date of December 2006. The intervention component is modeled as a binary (0-1) step function that triggers a shift in the linear trend function with the onset of the intervention period.
Study 1: Results of Analysis of Monthly IMR

Plot of Monthly IMR

Panel (a) of Figure 1 displays the plot of IMR and the IMR forecast (dotted line) for 2007 to 2010 based on IMR's preintervention TS behavior (see Note 8). The sample is August 2002 through December 2010, with effective sample size $N = 101$. This sample was chosen to give the largest possible effective sample (equivalent for intervention and stationarity tests) after allowing for both first differencing and for 12 lags of first-differenced dependent variables required...
for diagnostic testing of the statistical assumption of stationarity.

In the preintervention period, the IMR trend is relatively flat or very slightly negative with irregular variation and weak seasonal variation around the trend. Beginning in January 2007 (see vertical line in the plot), IMR displays a shift to a more rapidly declining trend that continues through the end of the sample period. During the intervention period, actual IMR declines more rapidly than predicted by its prior trend and faster than the IMR forecast.

Panel (b) of Figure 1 shows the plot of the GROUP series. As noted above, the GROUP plot approximates a step function, with an average of 591 participants for the 53-month baseline period compared with 1,792 for the 48-month intervention. In January 2007, the GROUP size for the first time in the sample period rose above the theoretically predicted critical threshold of 1,725, the \% of the U.S. population.

**Regression Results for IMR**

To test the hypothesis of a decrease in the trend slope for IMR, we estimate the following broken-trend intervention model that incorporates a shift of linear trend beginning with the onset of the intervention (January 2007):

\[
IMR_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + (\beta_2 - \beta_1)D_{T_t}^j + \sum_j S_{jt}D_{jt} + \varepsilon_t. 
\] (1)

In Equation 1, \(\beta_0\) is the regression intercept, \(t\) is a linear time trend \((t = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N)\), and \(\beta_1\) is the preintervention trend slope for IMR. The variable \(D_{T_t}^j\) models the shift in trend due to the intervention with \(D_{T_t}^j = (t - t_p)I_j\), where \(t_p\) is the time of the hypothesized break in the linear trend function (December 2006) and \(I_j\) is a binary (0-1) indicator variable (step function) that takes the value zero for the preintervention period and 1.0 for the intervention period \((t > t_p)\). The regression coefficient \((\beta_2 - \beta_1)\) for \(D_{T_t}^j\) gives the change in trend slope for IMR from the preintervention value \((\beta_1)\) to the slope in the intervention period \((\beta_2)\). The hypothesis of a negative shift in trend for IMR during the intervention implies \((\beta_2 - \beta_1) < 0\).

The summation term in Equation 1 is a deterministic seasonal component to control for the monthly seasonal variation in IMR. The seasonal component consists of 11 binary (0-1) seasonal dummy variables \(D_j\) (with monthly index \(j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 11\) where January is denoted by \(j = 1\); Granger & Newbold, 1986). The seasonal regression coefficient for each month is given by \(S_{jt}\). Finally, \(\varepsilon_t\) is an independent and identically distributed, serially uncorrelated normal error with mean zero and variance \(\sigma^2\).

Table 1 reports the ordinary least squares (OLS) regression results for Equation 1. The estimated preintervention trend for IMR is small but negative and significant. The shift in trend has negative sign, as hypothesized, and is highly significant, \(t(87) = -4.50, p = 2.1 \times 10^{-5}\), effect size \(f = -0.482\), a medium to large effect, indicating an acceleration of the preintervention declining trend during the intervention period 2007 to 2010. The absolute value of the effect size measure is the square root of Cohen’s \(f^2\) for a regression variable (or set of variables), with 0.59, 0.39, and 0.14 considered large, medium, and small effects, respectively (Cohen, 1988). Panel (a) of Figure 2 graphically compares the preintervention trend slope for IMR with that for 2007 to 2010 and Panel (b) displays the estimated trend shift parameter \((-0.02292\) with 95% confidence interval \([-0.0128, -0.0330\]). Although we have a clear a priori directional hypothesis for the shift in trend, to be conservative, two-tailed tests are reported for the estimated trend shift (and all other parameters). For reasons discussed below, the results reported in Table 1 are based on \(t\) ratios that remain valid in the presence of heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation of the regression residuals (Newey & West, 1987). The trend shift remains highly significant using conventional OLS standard errors, \(t(87) = -3.32, p = .001\), but the preintervention trend is not significantly different from zero, \(t(87) = -1.88, p = .064\).

Relative to the preintervention trend, the estimated trend shift implies a total reduction of 1.100 in IMR during the 2007 through 2010 intervention period. This is a reduction of 12.47\% (or 3.12\% per year) compared with the mean preintervention monthly rate of 8.821 fatalities per 10,000 live births. The decline in IMR translates to a total of 992 averted infant deaths for 2007 to 2010, deaths projected to occur had the preintervention trend continued unchanged through 2007 to 2010. Thus, the estimated shift in trend for IMR during 2007 through 2010 has the predicted negative sign and is both statistically and practically significant. Statistical analysis (see Table 1) indicates that these results cannot be explained by seasonal variation, autocorrelation, or preexisting trends in IMR.

**Regression Diagnostics for Analysis of IMR**

Table 1 reports diagnostic tests for evaluating the adequacy of the estimated intervention model. The null hypothesis of serially uncorrelated (white noise) regression residuals at lags 1 to 6, and 1 to 12 is rejected by the Breusch–Godfrey Lagrange Multiplier (LM) test (Godfrey, 1978). Only one autocorrelation at lags 1 to 36 is statistically significant. The largest individual autocorrelations are at lag 1 \((-0.208\), which is just significant at the 5\% level, and lags 4 \((-0.196\) and 16 \((-0.224\), which are nearly significant. Because of this modest serial correlation, Table 1 reports \(t\) ratios based on SEs that are valid (consistent) in the presence of serial correlation and heteroscedasticity of possibly unknown form (Newey & West, 1987). Although some mild autocorrelation is present, the autocorrelations are all small, and thus the residual series appears to be clearly stationary. A TS is said to be covariance stationary (or weakly stationary) if its mean, variance, and autocorrelations (or, equivalently, its autocovariances) are invariant with respect to a change in time origin (Enders, 2010). Empirical support for stationarity of the IMR residual series is provided by a
Table 1. OLS Regression Analysis of Monthly U.S. IMR.

| Parameter     | Estimate | SE   | t ratio  |
|---------------|----------|------|----------|
| $\beta_0$     | 9.360    | 0.235| 39.87*** |
| $\beta_1$     | $-6.875 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.129 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-3.23**$ |
| $\beta_2 - \beta_1$ | $-2.292 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.091 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-4.50^{***}$ |
| $S_{11}$      | -0.233   | 0.288| -0.81    |
| $S_{21}$      | -0.185   | 0.219| -0.84    |
| $S_{31}$      | -0.192   | 0.265| -0.73    |
| $S_{41}$      | -0.245   | 0.303| -0.81    |
| $S_{51}$      | 0.141    | 0.236| 0.60     |
| $S_{61}$      | -0.403   | 0.219| 1.84     |
| $S_{71}$      | -0.297   | 0.261| -1.13    |
| $S_{81}$      | -0.672   | 0.275| -2.44*   |
| $S_{91}$      | -0.875   | 0.269| -3.25*** |
| $S_{101}$     | -0.371   | 0.276| -1.34    |
| $S_{111}$     | 0.178    | 0.307| 0.58     |

$F$ statistic: $F(13, 87) = 11.65^{***}$

Mean of IMR = 8.386

SE of regression = 0.501

Sum of squared residuals = 21.864

$R^2 = .635$; Adjusted $R^2 = .581$

Diagnostics

| Serial correlation test | Heteroscedasticity test |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Lags 1-6: $F(6, 81) = 3.370 (p = .005)$ | $F(15, 85) = 1.234 (p = .263)$ |
| Lags 1-12: $F(12, 75) = 1.948 (p = .042)$ | Test for ARCH |
| Normality test: $\chi^2(2) = 1.260 (p = .533)$ | Lags 1-6: $F(6, 89) = 6.803 (p = .570)$ |
| Robinson test: $t(61) = -8.177 (p < .001)$ | Test of functional form |
| Perron unit root test: $\tau_{u} = -6.612 (p < .01)$ | $F(2, 85) = 1.607 (p = .207)$ |

Note. Sample is August 2002 to December 2010, $N = 101$. OLS = ordinary least squares; IMR = infant mortality rate; BIC = Bayesian information criterion; AIC = Akaike information criterion; ARCH = autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity.

$^a$Newey–West SEs and t ratios (Newey & West, 1987).

$^b$df = 87.

$^c$Frequency domain test for nonstationarity (Robinson, 1995).

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.

Figure 2. Comparison of mortality trends during the preintervention and intervention periods.

Note. Panel (a) shows that the declining linear trend for IMR in the preintervention period (August 2002–December 2006) displays a shift to more rapidly declining trend during the intervention period (January 2007–December 2010). The IMR trend slope is the rate of change per month in monthly infant deaths within 1 week of birth per 10,000 live births. Panel (b) displays the statistically significant trend shift for IMR during 2007 through 2010 with 95% confidence interval. IMR = infant mortality rate.
frequency domain test based on the periodogram of regression residuals (Baum & Wiggins, 2000; Robinson, 1995). The Robinson test evaluates stationarity based on an empirical estimate of the order of integration of a TS, I(d), where d is the number of times the series must be differenced to induce stationarity. For example, in the case of a nonstationary random walk, the differencing parameter d = 1, and the series may be transformed to I(0), or stationarity, by first differencing. The Robinson test rejects the null hypothesis (p < .01) that the IMR residual series is nonstationary (see Table 1), supporting the conclusion that IMR is broken-trend stationary, exhibiting stationary fluctuations around a broken trend.

Stationarity of the regression residuals is required for valid statistical inferences regarding the estimated regression parameters in the intervention model (Banerjee, Dolado, Galbraith, & Hendry, 1993; Enders, 2010; Granger & Newbold, 1986). Broken-trend stationarity for IMR implies that the OLS regression estimates in Table 1 have standard distributions and thus the observed significant change in trend is unlikely to be the result of “spurious regression” (Banerjee et al., 1993; Granger & Newbold, 1986). Spurious regressions can result when time trends are fitted to nonstationary variables that contain a random walk component (“stochastic trend”). A key signature of spurious regressions is highly autocorrelated, nonstationary regression residuals. The latter violate the distributional assumptions underlying statistical inference for TS regression.

Table 1 reports a formal test for broken-trend stationarity of a TS with a known (exogenous) structural break (Perron, 1989, 2006). The Perron unit root test rejects the null hypothesis (p < .01) that the IMR TS is a nonstationary random walk with drift (Perron, 1989; Zivot & Andrews, 1992). The alternative hypothesis is that IMR is broken-trend stationary, displaying stationary fluctuations around a linear trend with a known, one-time break in the trend function in December 2006. The results of all other diagnostic tests for model adequacy are satisfactory. The null hypothesis of no autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity (ARCH) for the regression residuals is not rejected by the LM test for ARCH (Engle, 1982). Likewise, White’s general test for heteroscedasticity (White, 1980) fails to reject the null hypothesis that the regression errors are homoscedastic or, if heteroscedasticity is present, it is unrelated to the regressors. The null hypothesis that the functional form of the regression model is correctly specified is not rejected by Ramsey’s (1969) Regression Error Specification Test (RESET). The omnibus Doornik–Hansen test for normality of the regression errors (Doornik & Hansen, 2008) fails to reject the null hypothesis that the errors are drawn from a normal distribution. No regression residuals exceed 3.5 standard errors, indicating the absence of extreme outliers. Thus, the diagnostic tests for model adequacy support statistical conclusion validity for the statistical inferences reported in Table 1.

### Study 2: Results of Analysis of DFR

#### Plot of DFR

The plot of DFR in Figure 3 displays monthly seasonal fluctuations and a rising preintervention trend followed by a flattening of the trend slope in 2007 through 2010. During 2007 through 2010, the forecast of DFR (dotted line) based on preintervention data continues DFR’s preintervention upward trend, rising substantially above observed DFR (see Note 18).

#### Regression Results for DFR

We estimate the following broken-trend intervention model to test the hypothesis of a shift to a reduced trend slope for DFR during the intervention period:

\[
DFR_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 + (\beta_2 - \beta_3) DT_t + \beta_3 DFR_{t-1} + \sum_j S_j D_j + \epsilon_t.
\]

where lag 1 of DFR is included (with regression coefficient \(\beta_3\)) to model its first-order autoregressive dynamics. All other terms are defined as in Equation 1.

The OLS regression estimates of the model coefficients for Equation 2 are reported in Table 2. The DFR preintervention trend is positive and significant. The change in trend has negative sign and is highly significant, consistent with theoretical prediction.

In Table 2, the regression estimate of \(\beta_3 - \beta_1\), the change in trend, gives the initial intervention impact. The significant first-order autoregressive dynamics of DFR around the trend implies a gradual adjustment of the preintervention trend to its equilibrium, or long-run, value after onset of the intervention. If \((1 - \beta_3) \neq 0\), the total change in trend is given by \(\kappa = (\beta_2 - \beta_3) / (1 - \beta_3)\). A formal test rejects the hypothesis \((1 - \beta_3) = 0\) (p < .01) in favor of the alternative that \((\beta_3 < 1)\), indicating that the estimated model is dynamically stable and thus that the trend will converge to its equilibrium value \(\kappa\) in the long run (Banerjee, Dolado, & Mestre, 1998; Doornik & Hendry, 2013; Enders, 2010). For the DFR analysis \(\kappa = -0.0578, t(86) = -7.12, p = 3.1 \times 10^{-10},\) effect size \(f^2 = -0.449,\) a medium to large effect, and 95% confidence interval \([-0.0417, -0.0739]\), where \(\kappa\) is the coefficient on DT in the long-run equation for DFR (Hendry, 1995). In this context, “long run” denotes the mathematically expected equilibrium value and does not necessarily imply a long period of time (Hendry, 1995). The estimated cumulative lag weights for Equation 2 indicate that 50.2%, 75.25%, 87.6%, and 93.8% of the adjustment in trend after the onset of the intervention is completed within 1, 2, 3, and 4 months, respectively. Panel (a) of Figure 4 compares the equilibrium trend slopes for the preintervention and 2007 through 2010 periods and Panel (b) shows the estimated trend shift with 95% confidence interval.
Relative to the preintervention trend, the equilibrium trend shift for 2007 to 2010 implies a total cumulative decline in DFR of 2.7723 monthly fatalities per million population during the intervention period. This is a reduction of 30.42% (or 7.61% annually) compared with the mean preintervention monthly rate of 9.112 fatalities per million people. The decline in DFR translates to a projected total of 26,425 averted drug-related fatalities for 2007 to 2010. Thus, the estimated shift in trend for DFR is negative, as predicted, and both practically and statistically significant. The statistical findings reported in Table 2 suggest that these results cannot be explained by autocorrelation, seasonal variation, or preexisting trends in DFR.

**Regression Diagnostics for DFR**

All diagnostic tests reported in Table 2 for adequacy of the estimated intervention model are satisfactory. That no regression residuals exceed 3.5 standard errors indicates the absence of extreme outliers. The LM tests for autocorrelation of residuals at lags 1 to 6 and lags 1 to 12 are not significant, and no autocorrelations at lags 1 to 36 are individually significant, indicating that the regression residuals appear to be serially uncorrelated, stationary white noise. The frequency domain test (Baum & Wiggins, 2000; Robinson, 1995) rejects the null hypothesis ($\rho < .001$) that the residual series is nonstationary (see Table 2). The latter test, plus the absence of significant residual autocorrelation, lend further support to the conclusion of the Perron test (see Table 2) that DFR is likely broken-trend stationary. Thus, the diagnostic tests for adequacy of the broken-trend regression model support statistical conclusion validity for the statistical inferences reported in Table 2.

**Discussion**

The results of the two studies indicate that, as hypothesized, there was a significant and substantial reduction of the trends of IMR and DFR during the intervention period 2007 through 2010, beginning in January 2007 with the onset of the intervention. The null hypothesis of no effect of the intervention on mortality trends was strongly rejected for both mortality rate series. Diagnostic tests for the broken-trend intervention analysis indicate the appropriateness of the statistical assumptions underlying the analyses. These results are thus consistent with the conclusion that the intervention may have contributed to the observed trend shifts for both mortality rates.

The use of an intervention at the national level in a prospective quasi-experimental design is inherently stronger as a design than an ex post analysis of archival data on the relationship of interdependent factors using variants of multiple regression analysis (Glass, 1997). Nevertheless, it is necessary to consider possible threats to internal validity, namely, alternative possible causes of the reduction of the stress-related mortality variables investigated in these studies.

A major societal impact that occurred during the intervention period was the economic recession of 2008-2009 associated with the subprime mortgage crisis. However, the onset and duration of this recession (December 2007, with peak...
unemployment in October 2009) does not precisely track the intervention period. More importantly, the economic stresses associated with the recession (e.g., unemployment) would be predicted, if anything, to increase rather than decrease the stress-related health indicators examined in these studies.

One specific factor to consider in the case of drug-related deaths is that one might speculate that increased public and professional awareness of the hazards of opioid analgesics in recent years might have contributed to a reduction in their prescription during the intervention period. As outlined in the introduction, increased use of opioid drugs is considered to contribute substantially to the rise of DD. However, data indicate that opioid sales increased throughout the baseline and intervention period of this research, reaching their peak in 2011 (Volkow, 2014). Thus, the opioids were becoming more available rather than less available through the intervention period.

Because of the national scope of measurement of these studies, and the specificity of the intervention period and associated changes in mortality rates, a viable alternative explanation will require almost simultaneous implementation of a treatment or medical technology or program that would influence both the variables of these studies and disproportionately affect two different subpopulations of the United States (higher prevalence for African American women in the case of IM and for middle-age Whites in the case of DD). We are unable to think of any such plausible alternative explanation that would satisfy these two requirements. In the absence of plausible alternative explanations, the significant intervention impacts on both mortality variables found in this article suggest the possibility of some underlying connection between individuals who are not apparently behaviorally connected. As outlined in the introduction to this article, such a connection independent of behavioral interaction is described as the collective consciousness of society. More specifically, the Vedic tradition of India identifies collective consciousness as having its basis in an underlying level of pure consciousness, which is predicted to be influenced by the intervention examined here, group practice of the advanced TM-Sidhi program.

**Table 2. OLS Regression Analysis of Monthly U.S. DFR.**

| Parameter | Estimate | SE | t ratio |
|-----------|----------|----|---------|
| $\beta_0$ | 3.484 | 0.606 | 5.76*** |
| $\beta_1$ | $2.998 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.266 \times 10^{-3}$ | 4.78*** |
| $\beta_2$ | $-2.897 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.968 \times 10^{-3}$ | -4.16*** |
| $\beta_3$ | 0.498 | $9.560 \times 10^{-2}$ | 5.21**** |
| $S_{1t}$ | 0.276 | 0.146 | 1.89 |
| $S_{2t}$ | -0.417 | 0.160 | -2.61*** |
| $S_{3t}$ | 0.507 | 0.146 | 3.47**** |
| $S_{4t}$ | -0.336 | 0.170 | -1.97 |
| $S_{5t}$ | 0.305 | 0.150 | 2.03* |
| $S_{6t}$ | -0.433 | 0.165 | -2.63*** |
| $S_{7t}$ | 0.189 | 0.147 | 1.29 |
| $S_{8t}$ | -0.223 | 0.154 | -1.45 |
| $S_{9t}$ | -0.529 | 0.145 | -3.64**** |
| $S_{10t}$ | -0.091 | 0.139 | -0.65 |
| $S_{11t}$ | -0.422 | 0.141 | -2.99*** |

**F statistic:** $F(14, 86) = 102.30***$  
Mean of DFR = 9.856

**SE of regression = 0.296**  
SE of DFR = 1.152

**Sum of squared residuals = 7.523**  
Log-likelihood = -12.159

**$R^2 = .943$; Adjusted $R^2 = .934$**

**Diagnostics**

- **Serial correlation test**
  - Lags 1-6: $F(6, 80) = 0.918$ ($p = .487$)
  - Lags 1-12: $F(12, 74) = 0.823$ ($p = .627$)
- **Normality test:** $\chi^2(2) = 0.951$ ($p = .622$)
- **Robinson test:** $t(61) = -6.175$ ($p < .001$)
- **Perron unit root test:** $\tau_a = -6.477$ ($p < .01$)

**Heteroscedasticity test**

- $F(19, 81) = 1.359$ ($p = .172$)
- Lags 1-6: $F(6, 89) = 0.883$ ($p = .511$)
- Test for ARCH
  - Lags 1-6: $F(6, 89) = 0.883$ ($p = .511$)
  - Test of functional form
    - $F(2, 84) = 1.990$ ($p = .143$)

**Note.** Sample is August 2002 to December 2010, $N = 101$. OLS = ordinary least squares; DFR = drug-related fatality rate; BIC = Bayesian information criterion; AIC = Akaike information criterion; ARCH = autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity.

*aOLS SEs and t ratios.
*bdf = 86.
*cFrequency domain test for nonstationarity (Robinson, 1995).
*p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001.
An alternative hypothesis affecting generalizability of the results is whether there is selection bias in the use of members in the intervention group who were already experts in the Transcendental Meditation and TM-Sidhi program and may therefore be a special group in terms of consciousness training. From a theoretical perspective, it is only necessary that the members of the intervention group be trained in the advanced TM-Sidhi program to stimulate the hypothesized field of pure consciousness. It is understood that, as a practical necessity, the individuals who participated in this project would be those with some prior expertise/training to volunteer their time. But, in principle, for this effect to be created, any group with basic stability, such as groups of students or the military, could be employed once trained in the TM-Sidhi program. In some countries, such groups are being created and future research will be necessary to confirm their effects.

The result of these studies, if further replicated, provide government leaders with a potential means to relieve stress on a large scale in society that is independent of structural social change and benefits public health. Although social stress as a mediating variable was not directly measured, the consistency of the present results with those reported previously for reduced homicide and violent crime (Dillbeck & Cavanaugh, 2016) lends support to a hypothesis of such a mediating influence. In light of these results, it is suggested that when TM-Sidhi groups are established with government support (most easily, with existing groups, such as military personnel), the potential impact of such TM-Sidhi groups be evaluated on stress-related public health parameters.

**Authors’ Note**
Raw data may be obtained from the first author.

---

**Figure 4.** Comparison of DFR trends during the preintervention and intervention periods.
*Note.* Panel (a) shows that the positive linear trend for DFR in the preintervention period shifts to a flatter trend during the intervention period 2007 through 2010 (equilibrium trend slopes). The DFR slope is the rate of change per month of monthly drug-related fatalities per 1 million population. Panel (b) displays the statistically significant negative trend shift for DFR during the intervention with 95% confidence interval. DFR = drug-related fatality rate.
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### Notes
1. Transcendental Meditation and TM-Sidhi are service marks registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, used by Maharishi Foundation, USA, under sublicense.
2. Crime measures were daily Indian Penal Code crimes for New Delhi, weekly Philippine Crime Index totals for Metro Manila, and monthly FBI Part 1 crimes for Puerto Rico.
3. From late July to late October 2006, a second group was formed in Washington, D.C., to add to the effect of daily group practice of the TM-Sidhi program. Because the two groups were
separate and distant, the predicted effect of these two groups was added independently, that is, square root of \((n_1^2 + n_2^2)\), where \(n_1\) refers to the Iowa group and \(n_2\) to the Washington group. The Washington group was much smaller than the Iowa group, so the effect was inconsequential for the total number for those 3 months, although it was included for the sake of completeness.

4. Scholarship funding to Maharishi University of Management and its related organizations was provided by the Howard and Alice Settle Foundation.

5. Data for year 2010 are compiled from the Multiple Cause of Death File 2010, Series 20 No. 2P, 2012. Data for year 2009 are compiled from the Multiple Cause of Death File 2009, Series 20 No. 2O, 2012. Data for year 2008 are compiled from the Multiple Cause of Death File 2008, Series 20 No. 2N, 2011. Data for year 2007 are compiled from Multiple Cause of Death File 2007, Series 20 No. 2M, 2010. Data for years 2005 to 2006 are compiled from Multiple Cause of Death File 2005-2006, Series 20, No. 2L, 2009. Data for years 1999 to 2004 are compiled from the Multiple Cause of Death File 1999-2004, Series 20, No. 2J, 2007.

6. The effect size \(f\) is given by the \(t\) ratio (or square root of the \(F\) statistic) for the regression coefficient divided by the square root of the residual degrees of freedom. The unsquared effect size metric is reported, rather than squared, because unsquared measures better indicate the relative magnitude of effects across variables (Darlington, 1990). The guidelines for large, medium, and small values of \(f^2\) mentioned above are the square root of those given by Cohen for \(f^2\) (0.35, 0.15, and 0.02, respectively).

7. The estimated change in trend slope of \(-0.0229146\) (before rounding) gives the estimated reduction in the mathematically expected value of the monthly infant mortality rate (IMR) for each month of the intervention period relative to the preintervention trend. Multiplying by 48 months gives \(-1.100\) fatalities per 10,000 live births, the expected value of the cumulative total change in IMR for 2007 to 2010.

8. The preintervention data were used to generate monthly forecasts of IMR for 2007 to 2010 based on ordinary least squares (OLS) estimates of Equation 1 after deleting the trend-change variable \(DT\) from the model. The cumulative total number of fatalities averted for 2007 to 2010 \((N_{IM})\) was calculated by summing the difference between forecast and actual IM for each month:

\[
N_{IM} = \sum_{k} \left( \frac{(\text{forecast IMR}_k - \text{actual IMR}_k) \times k}{10,000} \right), k = 1,2,\ldots,48,
\]

where \(N_{IM}\) is the estimate of total prevented IM and \(b_k\) is the number of U.S. live births for month \(k\). The summation is over \(k\), the month of the intervention, with \(k = (t - t_b)\) for \(t > t_b\), where \(t_b\) is the month of the trend break.

9. Following the recommendation of Kiviet (1986) and Harvey (1990) for smaller samples, the Breusch–Godfrey and all other Lagrange Multiplier (LM) tests reported in Table 2 are given in their \(F\) statistic form rather than chi-square.

10. The bandwidth of four Newey and West (1987) lags was selected automatically by PcGive 14 using the integer part of \(4(N/100)^{0.2}\). Experimentation with a wide range of alternative bandwidths indicated that the conclusions of the trend shift analysis are not sensitive to bandwidth.

11. The Robinson periodogram test evaluates the null hypothesis that the differencing parameter \(d\) takes a nonstationary value versus the alternative of stationarity. In the theoretical framework underlying this test, both integer and fractional values of \(d\) are permitted, allowing for the possibility of fractional integration, including possible “long memory” behavior for values of \(d > 0\). The Robinson test rejects the null hypothesis that the estimated difference parameter falls in the nonstationary region of \(d > 0.5\) in favor of the alternative \(d < 0.5\) (see Table 1), thus supporting stationarity of the IMR residual series. The sample estimate of \(d = -0.2695\) indicates stationary “short memory” or “antipersistence,” presumably reflecting the predominance of negative autocorrelations among the largest residual autocorrelations.

12. As discussed in Dillbeck and Cavanaugh (2016), standard approaches to testing for nonstationarity (unit root tests) such as the augmented Dickey–Fuller test (Dickey & Fuller, 1979; Said & Dickey, 1984) and others have been shown to be biased in favor of concluding that a broken-trend stationary time series (TS) is nonstationary.

13. Following Zivot and Andrews (1992), we test for nonstationarity using the “change in growth” broken-trend model based on Equation 15 in Perron (1989, p. 1381), which is Model B in Zivot and Andrews (1992, p. 253). The test statistic has a nonstandard distribution tabulated by Perron (1989, Table V.B). The critical value for the test depends on the parameter \(\lambda = t_g / N\), the time of the break divided by total sample size. For the two variables analyzed in this article, \(\lambda = 0.51\).

14. Because of possible monthly seasonality displayed by IMR, a maximum of 12 lags of first-differenced IMR were considered for inclusion in the regression used to calculate the Perron test statistic. Because superfluous regressors inflate \(SEs\) for the estimated regression coefficients (Greene, 2011), lags that were not significant at the 20% level (lags 6-10 and 12) were deleted from the Perron test regression, thus substantially increasing the power of the Perron test.

15. Omitting the seasonal coefficients to save space, the estimated long-run equation is given by \(DFR = 6.945 + 0.0598t - 0.0578DT\), where the \(SEs\) for the regression coefficients are 0.3154, 0.00433, and 0.00811, respectively.

16. The \(SE\) for the estimate of \(\kappa\) reported by PcGive 14 software is calculated from the \(SEs\) of \(\beta_3\) and \((\hat{\theta}_2 - \hat{\theta}_1)\) in Equation 2 by numerical differentiation using a nonlinear algorithm (Bårdsen, 1989; Doornik & Hendry, 2013). As shown by Inder (1993), \(t\) tests for \(\kappa\) based on critical values from the standard normal distribution have both good power and actual \(p\) values close to their nominal level.

17. The long-run, or equilibrium, change in slope \(-0.0577561\) (before rounding) gives the estimated reduction in the mathematically expected value of drug-related fatalities per million population (DFR) for each month of the intervention period relative to the preintervention trend. Multiplying by 48 months gives the expected value of the cumulative change for 2007 to 2010: \(-2.7723\) monthly fatalities per million population.

18. Using preintervention data, the OLS estimate of Equation 2 (with trend shift variable \(DT\) omitted) was used to generate monthly ex ante (dynamic) forecasts of DFR for 2007 to 2010 (Doornik & Hendry, 2013). The cumulative total number of averted drug-related deaths during 2007 through 2010 \((N_{DFR})\) was estimated as follows:
19. The Robinson test rejects the null hypothesis that the differencing parameter $d$ falls in the nonstationary region $d > 0.5$ in favor of the stationary alternative $d < 0.5$. The sample estimate of the differencing parameter is $d = -0.0232$. All frequency domain tests were estimated in Stata 14 software employing add-on module robpr (Baum & Wiggins, 2015). The number of periodogram ordinates used in the tests for DFR and IMR was the default value $m = N^{0.9}$ ($m = 63$), where $N = 101$ is the effective sample size. The test results were not sensitive to alternative values of $m$.

20. As in the case of the Perron test for IMR, lags 1 to 12 of first-differenced DFR that were not significant at the 20% level (lags 1 and 4–10) were deleted from the regression equation for the Perron test, reducing $SE$s for the remaining regressors and increasing the power of the test.
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