Traffic jam regulates the function of the ovarian germline stem cell progeny differentiation niche during pre-adult stage in *Drosophila*
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Stem cell self-renewal and the daughter cell differentiation are tightly regulated by the respective niches, which produce extrinsic cues to support the proper development. In *Drosophila* ovary, Dpp is secreted from germline stem cell (GSC) niche and activates the BMP signaling in GSCs for their self-renewal. Escort cells (ECs) in differentiation niche restrict Dpp outside the GSC niche and extend protrusions to help with proper differentiation of the GSC daughter cells. Here we provide evidence that loss of large Maf transcriptional factor Traffic jam (Tj) blocks GSC progeny differentiation. Spatio-temporal specific knockdown experiments indicate that Tj is required in pre-adult EC lineage for germline differentiation control. Further molecular and genetic analyses suggest that the defective germline differentiation caused by *tj*-depletion is partly attributed to the elevated *dpp* in the differentiation niche. Moreover, our study reveals that *tj*-depletion induces ectopic En expression outside the GSC niche, which contributes to the upregulated *dpp* expression in ECs as well as GSC progeny differentiation defect. Alternatively, loss of EC protrusions and decreased EC number elicited by *tj*-depletion may also partially contribute to the germline differentiation defect. Collectively, our findings suggest that Tj in ECs regulates germline differentiation by controlling the differentiation niche characteristics.

The *Drosophila* ovaries continually generate mature eggs in adulthood due to a stable population of self-renewable ovarian germline stem cells (GSCs). GSC progeny differentiation which ultimately generates a terminally differentiated egg is a stepwise process involving both cell-autonomous mechanisms and intercellular communications between GSC progeny and the surrounding ovarian somatic cells. The adult *Drosophila* females are born with a pair of ovaries, each of which contains 16–20 strings of ovarioles. The anterior-most structure of each ovariole is the gerarium, which is followed by progressively maturing egg chambers. At the tip of gerarium, 2–3 GSCs are supported by GSC niche, including terminal filament (TF), cap cells (CpCs) and the most anterior escort cells (ECs). GSC divides asymmetrically to maintain self-renewal and produce a cystoblast (CB) simultaneously. Dpp and Gbb derived from GSC niche cells activate pMad (phosphorylated *Mother against daughter*) in GSCs, which transcriptionally represses *bam* (*Bag of marbles*). CB exits the GSC niche with attenuated BMP signaling activity, leading to the de-repression of *bam* transcription. Then the CB undergoes an incomplete mitotic division, which produces a 2-cell cyst interconnected by the germline specific organelle called fusome. Proper GSC progeny differentiation is also controlled extrinsically by a differentiation niche containing somatic ECs, which encapsulate GSC progeny with protrusions. The physical interaction between ECs and germline is essential for germline differentiation. Vice versa, differentiated GSC progeny might support the formation of EC protrusions.
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The EC-mediated molecular mechanisms to extrinsically modulate GSC progeny differentiation are under extensive study. Based on previous studies, suppression of Dally and expression of Tkv in ECs are necessary to restrict diffusion of GSC niche-derived Dpp within the range of one-cell-diameter. It has been shown that Dally suppression in ECs is modulated by MAPK signaling and Eggless. Interestingly, Wnt signaling in ECs regulates the Tkv expression without repressing Dally and Dpp. On the other hand, LdI, Pwp, Cot99/F, Hh and H1 have been reported to control GSC progeny differentiation through repressing Dpp expression in ECs. Moreover, Rho1 and Bre1/SET1 function in ECs to inhibit both Dpp and Dally production. Hh signaling antagonizes JAK/STAT activity to maintain an optimum Dpp expression and PRC1 represses the transcription of dpp-RB isofrom in ECs. In addition, Engrailed (En) has been shown to activate transcription of dpp in CPs. Besides all the above, the detailed molecular mechanisms for suppressing BMP ligands production in the differentiation niche still remain to be explored.

Traffic jam (Tj), also known as dMaf, is the only large Maf transcription factor in Drosophila melanogaster, whose homologs play pivotal roles in vertebrate development. tji mRNA becomes detectable from late embryonic stage 12 onward in somatic gonadal precursor cells (SGPs). Tj protein has already been distributed in the nuclei of SGPs of embryonic gonads at stage 15–16. And the expression is persisted in germline-associated somatic cells during ovarian development and oogenesis, including intermingled cells (ICs), CPs, ECs and follicle cells (FCs). tj mutant ovaries are malformed and lack of ovariole subdivision. Tj-mediated suppression of E-cadherin expression is required for SGPs to become ICs. Moreover, Tj in CPs inhibits the TF cell fate. Considering Tj expression in ECs, we wonder if Tj plays essential extrinsic roles in controlling female germline differentiation.

Here we report evidence that Tj controls CB differentiation in a non-cell-autonomous manner during the pre-adult stage. tji-deficient pre-adult ECs produce ectopic En, which promotes dpp transcription and subsequently upregulates BMP signaling activity in CBs, thereby causing differentiation defect. We further show that Tj is required in pre-adult ECs to maintain EC protrusions and establish a proper EC number. This study suggests new mechanisms by which Tj preserves the properties of differentiation niche to promote proper germline differentiation.

Results

Tj regulates germ cell differentiation in Drosophila ovaries. It has been reported that loss of Tj blocks germ cell differentiation in Drosophila testis. This prompted us to investigate a potential role of Tj in regulating female germline differentiation. We first assayed aberrant germ cell differentiation in tji mutant ovaries by immunofluorescence. Beginning at late third larval instar (LL3), primordial germ cells (PGCs) -derived germ cell differentiation initiates and proceeds in Drosophila female gonads, producing differentiating germ cells including CBs and actively dividing cysts. Those germ cells at different developmental stages can be morphologically distinguished by the fusome, a germline specific cytoplasmic organelle which appears spherical in PGCs or GSCs and grows new branches after each cyst division. At white pre-pupal stage (120 h AEL), germ cell differentiation in control gonads is evident by the presence of germline cysts containing branched fusomes, as visualized by staining with anti-α-spectrin antibody (4 out of 20 gonads examined, Fig. 1a,d, white arrow). Compared with the control, differentiating germline cysts were detected at a smaller percentage in the gonads transheterozygous for tji and tji-1 (1 out of 20 gonads examined). The vast majority of germ cells in tji and tji-1 gonads also harbored a spherical fusome (Fig. 1b,d). Notably, PGCs formed a cluster and were surrounded by a layer of somatic cells labeled by anti-Zbx-1, as previously reported. At early pupal stage (EP, 144 h AEL), the wild type gonads have a spherical fusome. Notably, PGCs formed a cluster and were surrounded by a layer of somatic cells labeled by anti-Zbx-1, as previously reported. At early pupal stage (EP, 144 h AEL), the wild type gonads have a spherical fusome. In contrast, about 80% primordial ovarioles of tji mutant EP gonads lacked germline cysts with branched fusomes, but exhibited spectrosome-containing germ cells accumulation (152 primordial ovarioles, Fig. 1d,d, white arrowheads). CPs were disc-shaped and arranged in a single row similar to TF cells (Fig. 1d, white open ovals and yellow dashed lines). These results presented a similar phenotype to the observation of Panchal, et al. In addition, the ovaries from adult tji and tji-1 were small and lacked mature germ cells, as previously reported.

As Tj is expressed in IC/EC lineage in developing female gonads, we sought to determine its extrinsic effects on germ cell differentiation in the ovaries. For this purpose, we knocked down the expression of tji specifically in somatic cells using either UAS-tji RNAi-1 or UAS-tji RNAi-2 driven by somatic specific tji-GAL4. Prior to the test, the RNAi knockdown (KD) efficiency was evaluated at the protein level using antibody against Tj in LL3 gonads. As previously reported, Tj protein is concentrated in ICs since L3. Our results revealed that these two independent RNAi lines driven by tji-GAL4 reduced the Tj protein levels substantially in somatic cells during ovarian development and oogenesis, including intermingled cells (ICs), CPs, ECs and follicle cells (FCs). The vast majority of germ cells in tji and tji-1 gonads also harbored a spherical fusome (Fig. 1b,d). Notably, PGCs formed a cluster and were surrounded by a layer of somatic cells labeled by anti-Zbx-1, as previously reported. At early pupal stage (EP, 144 h AEL), the wild type gonads have a spherical fusome. In contrast, about 80% primordial ovarioles of tji mutant EP gonads lacked germline cysts with branched fusomes, but exhibited spectrosome-containing germ cells accumulation (152 primordial ovarioles, Fig. 1d,d, white arrowheads). CPs were disc-shaped and arranged in a single row similar to TF cells (Fig. 1d, white open ovals and yellow dashed lines). These results presented a similar phenotype to the observation of Panchal, et al. In addition, the ovaries from adult tji and tji-1 were small and lacked mature germ cells, as previously reported.
Mosaic Analysis with a Repressible Cell Marker) clones homozygous for \( \text{tjPL3} \) to further analyze the phenotype in adulthood. The control (\( \text{FRT40A} \)) and the \( \text{tj} \) mutant (\( \text{FRT40A, tjPL3} \)) clones were induced in parallel (see Methods). The ovaries were stained for GFP as a positive clone marker and for \( \alpha \)-spectrin to label the fusome. In control germaria (Fig. 1g), most of ECs were labeled with GFP. Control EC clones almost never exhibited UGC accumulation, with only one exception that contained 5 UGCs (1 out of 233 germaria). Although the percentage of germaria with clonal EC was similar to the control group, only small clones of ECs mutant for \( \text{tj} \) were recovered in this condition (Fig. 1h). There was 6.23% of the germaria (17 out of 273 germaria with clonal EC and without clonal TF and CpCs) exhibiting excess UGCs (Fig. 1i). Lower penetrance is potentially owing to the smaller clone size. Taken together, these data indicate that Tj is required to regulate GSC progeny differentiation in \( \text{Drosophila} \) ovarian development.

Tj functions mainly in pre-adult ECs to control proper germ cell differentiation. To characterize the developmental stage-specific requirements for Tj in GSC progeny differentiation control, \( \text{CS87-GAL4} \) combined with \( \text{tub-GAL80}^\circ \) (\( \text{CS87}^\circ \) for short) was employed to control \( \text{tj} \) RNAi expression at a particular stage. Available \( \text{UAS-tj RNAi} \) transgenic lines and control \( \text{UAS-mcherry RNAi} \) were crossed to \( \text{CS87ts} \) and the progeny were subjected to time-course analysis. Flies were maintained at the permissive temperature (18 °C) until a given developmental stage and then shifted to the restrictive temperature (29 °C) for several days in order to knock down \( \text{tj} \) specifically during developmental or adult stage. First, the newly eclosed females (<1-day old) with \( \text{tj} \) KD were examined. Shifting the animals of \( \text{tj} \) KD to 29 °C at either LL3 (Fig. 2a) or EP (Fig. 2b) stage resulted in a striking expansion of UGC number. We subsequently examined the 10-day old...
Figure 2. GSC progeny differentiation defects due to tj KD predominantly arise in EC lineage during pre-adult stage. (a–d) Germaria from C587ts & tj RNAi-1 flies are stained for α-spectrin (red), to mark spectrosomes and fusomes, Vasa (green), to mark germline. C587ts-driven other tj RNAi lines present similar phenotype. (a,b) Germaria from newly eclosed flies (<1-day old), which are raised at 18 °C up to LL3 (a) or EP stage (b) and then maintained at 29 °C till eclosion, display UGC accumulation. (c,d) Germaria from 10-day-old females, which are raised at 18 °C up to eclosion and then shifted to 29 °C (c) or still maintained at 18 °C (d), present normal procedure of germline differentiation. (e,f) Graph shows the UGC number in germaria of indicated genotypes. Error bars are shown as Means ± S.D. of each genotype. Scale bar is shown in panel (a). Anterior is always to the left.
females shifted to 29 °C immediately after eclosion (Fig. 2c). However, we did not find UGC phenotype with statistical significance upon adult-specific depletion of tj, in which only about 1% germaria exhibited 5 UGCs. As a control group, animals were raised at 18 °C until eclosion, and then kept at 18 °C for 10 days. Ovaries from these females exhibited a normal number of CB (Fig. 2d). These data demonstrate that tj is critically required during developmental stage, rather than adulthood, for proper germline differentiation (Figs 2e and S3a).

It is known that C587-GAL4 is active in most of the somatic lineage in developing female gonads44, which will differentiate into more specialized cell types in germaria during subsequent development, such as TF, CpC, IC/EC and early FCs45. To determine tj functions in which cell types, hh-GAL4 combined with tub-GAL80° (h° for short) was used to express tj RNAi exclusively in TF and CpCs since their specification39. Flies were initially kept at 18 °C and shifted to 29 °C since LL3. Interestingly, the tj KD germaria from newly eclosed females (<1-day old) displayed greatly diminished tj antibody staining in CpCs and normal germ cell differentiation (Figs 2f and S3b–g). However, about 50% of the germaria still exhibited detectable expression of tj in 1–2 CpCs. Thus, we could not exclude the possibility that the remaining amount of tj is enough to maintain normal germline differentiation. Taken together with the observation that germaria bearing tj mutant EC clones presented UGCs accumulation, we argue that tj is predominantly required in pre-adult ECs for regulating *Drosophila* ovarian germ cell differentiation.

**Tj is required in pre-adult ECs to promote CB differentiation by restricting BMP signaling.** Previous findings suggest that ectopic BMP signaling activated in germline differentiation zone results in an expansion of GSC/CB-like cells10,13,29,46. To determine if tj is required extrinsically for restricting the BMP signaling activity outside the GSC niche, we examined the BMP signaling activity by various reporters in flies expressing tj RNAi by C587-GAL4. In these experiments, crosses were performed and the resulting progeny were raised at 25 °C, and newly eclosed females (<1-day old)-were dissected for detection. We first evaluated BMP signaling activity with antibody against phosphorylated Mad (pMad). In wild type germaria, pMad expression is restricted to the GSCs (Fig. 3a). Unexpectedly, in tj KD germaria, the pMad was barely detectable in UGCs (Fig. 3b,c). GFP reporter labeling the activity of the *bami* transcription, *bamP-GFP*42, was highly visible in all UGCs in tj KD germaria (Fig. 3e,f). Whereas it is normally absent in GSCs and first become detectable in CBs and upregulated in mitotic cysts (Fig. 3d). Next, we checked the enhancer trap *dad-lacZ*27 which had been reported as a low threshold reporter of BMP signaling1. *dad* (daughters against dpp) is a target gene for BMP signaling and the expression of *dad-lacZ* in germline is confined to GSCs and intermediate CBs in control germaria (Fig. 3g,h). In contrast, the number of *dad-lacZ*-positive germ cell was significantly expanded in germaria of C587 > tj RNAI females (Fig. 3h–j). These results indicate that tj depletion in pre-adult ECs causes an up-regulation of BMP signaling outside GSC niche. Moreover, the UGCs are blocked at a late CB stage.

To gain more evidence to support our observation, we further overexpressed *dpp* with C587-GAL4, which has been demonstrated to result in the formation of the accumulated GSC-like cells10,13,29,46. First the crosses were set up and the resulting progeny were raised at 18 °C until eclosion and then kept at 29 °C for 2–3 days. As expected, the accumulated UGCs in germaria exhibited robust pMad staining (Fig. 3k) and were devoid of *bamP-GFP* expression (Fig. 3l). As a second strategy for overexpression, the crosses were set up and the resulting progeny were raised at 18 °C until EP stage. And then the flies were shifted to 25 °C for a moderate overexpression of *dpp* in pre-adult ECs, as *tub-GAL80°* is not fully functional at this temperature49. The newly born ovaries of those females exhibited an expansion of UGCs devoid of pMad staining (Fig. 3m) and positive for *bamP-GFP* (Fig. 3n). These results indicate that a moderate elevation of *dpp* in pre-adult ECs results in an accumulation of CB-like germ cells. Taken together, we argue that depletion of tj in pre-adult ECs may induce an elevation of *dpp* outside the GSC niche.

**Tj is required extrinsically to control germline differentiation by repressing dpp expression.** To further elucidate mechanisms underlying expanded BMP signaling elicited by tj KD, quantitative RT-PCR was conducted to determine the *dpp* expression at the transcriptional level. Due to the limitation of the technique, we were not able to purify the ECs from ovaries. We isolated ovary RNAs of virgins carrying *tj-GAL4 > tj RNAI* or *tj-GAL4 > mcherry RNAI*, as the ovaries of each genotype were morphologically similar and contain few egg chambers, which made the comparison more valid. Notably, *dpp* mRNA was elevated in *tj-GAL4*-mediated tj RNAI ovaries compared with the control group (Fig. 4a), suggesting that tj mediates the repression of *dpp* transcription. To visualize the changes of *dpp* expression in germaria, two recently published enhancer trap lines, *dpp2.0-lacZ*30 and *P4-lacZ*31, were employed to trace the *dpp* transcription in vivo. Both reporters were evaluated when expressing tj RNAI using C587-GAL4 at 25 °C. In the wild type germaria, expression of *dpp2.0-lacZ* was faithfully recapitulates *dpp* expression in germlarium30, is strongly visible in CpCs (Fig. 4b,b', open ovals) and occasionally found in 1–3 ECs (about 12% of total control germaria, n = 640, Fig. 4a,d, white arrowhead) as reported. Remarkably, C587-GAL4 driven expression of *tj RNAI* caused a more frequent *dpp2.0-lacZ* expression in ECs (Fig. 4c–d, white arrows). On the other hand, tj-depleted ECs also showed an ectopic P4-lacZ activity, which is typically expressed in TF and CpCs in wild type germia (Fig. 4b–e). Here, ECs could be distinguishable from other somatic cells by their position and nuclear morphology. Cps were identified with round- or disc-shaped nuclei upon DAPI staining. ECs were identified with triangle-shaped or flattened and curved spindle-shaped nuclei upon DAPI staining. It is noteworthy that CpCs adopted a stalk-like or branched organization (Figs 4b–d and 4b–d, white broken ovals and yellow dashed lines) and GSC number decreased (Fig. 4f,g) in germaria expressing tj RNAI by C587-GAL4, which are similar to previous findings37. Thus, the ectopic *dpp-lacZ*-expressing cells might not be a mislocalization of CpCs. Meanwhile, TFC and CpC number were not affected by C587-mediated tj RNAI, as manifested by *DL-lacZ* (Fig. 5a,b) and *hh-lacZ* respectively (Fig. 5c–d). Furthermore, C587 > Flp-mediated generation of CpC clones during niche formation resulted in similar clone size of CpCs between control and tjulk mutant mosaic germaria (Fig. 5e–g’). These results implicate that tj-depletion does not induce expansion of TFCs or CpCs, and the ectopic *dpp-lacZ*-expressing cells are ECs that display a characteristic feature of CpCs.
Figure 3. Loss of Tj in ECs results in expanded BMP signaling. (a–c,k & m) Germaria are stained for pMad (green), to indicate the BMP pathway activity, α-spectrin (red), to mark spectrosomes and fusomes. In germaria from newly eclosed flies (<1-day old), the control group (a) displays dense pMad staining in GSCs. The C587 > tj RNAi-1 (b) and C587 > tj RNAi-2 (c) groups display excess UGCs negative for pMad. (d–f, l & n) Germaria are stained for α-spectrin (red) to mark spectrosomes and fusomes. The bamP-GFP/+ (green) is introduced to indicate the transcriptional activity of bam. In germaria from newly eclosed flies (<1-day old), the control group (d) displays the absence of bamP-GFP in GSCs but upregulation in CBs. The C587 > tj RNAi-1 (e) and C587 > tj RNAi-2 (f) groups display bamP-GFP-positive UGCs. (g–i') Germaria from newly eclosed flies (<1-day old) are stained for α-spectrin (red), to mark spectrosomes and fusomes. The dad-lacZ/+ is introduced to indicate BMP pathway activity (marked by β-gal, green). The control group (g,g') presents high level of dad-lacZ activity in GSCs and intermediate CBs. The C587 > tj RNAi-1 (h,h') and C587 > tj RNAi-2 (i,i') groups present dad-lacZ-positive UGCs outside the GSC niche. (j) Graph shows the percentage of germaria that contain the indicated number of dad-lacZ-positive germ cells for each genotype. (k,l) C587ts > UAS-dpp flies are maintained at 18°C up to eclosion and then shifted to 29°C for 2–3 days before dissection. The germaria are full of pMad-positive UGCs (k) and bamP-GFP-negative UGCs (l). (m,n) Germaria from newly eclosed flies (<1-day old) of C587ts > UAS-dpp, which are maintained at 18°C up to EP stage and then maintained at 25°C till eclosion, exhibit excess pMad-negative UGCs (m) and bamP-GFP-positive UGCs (n). Scale bar is shown in panel (a). Anterior is always to the left.
Then we tested whether excess Dpp signaling outside the GSC niche is responsible for accumulated UGC in tj KD germaria. To this aim, the UGC number was assessed in germaria of flies expressing tj RNAi by C587-GAL4. Heterozygotes of either dpp alleles (dpphr4 or dppe90) in the presence of tj KD exhibited a partial suppression of UGC accumulation. Likewise, C587-mediated double knockdown of dpp and tj led to a significant reduction of the UGC number (Fig. 4e–p). Furthermore, similar results were obtained with a pupal-specific tj dpp double knockdown with C587ts (Fig. S6a,b). Taken together, our results support the idea that Tj in pre-adult ECs regulates CB differentiation at least partly by restricting dpp expression. The incomplete rescue could be attributed to the incomplete knockdown of dpp or an unidentified mechanism independent of dpp repression.

Figure 4. Ectopic dpp partly contributes to the germline differentiation defect in C587-mediated tj KD germaria. (a) Result of qRT-PCR shows that the dpp mRNA is increased by 1.53 ± 0.06 fold in tj > tj RNAi-1 ovaries and 2.66 ± 0.14 fold in that of tj > tj RNAi-2. Data are shown as Means ± S.D. of three independent experiments. (b–d’) Germinaria from newly eclosed flies (<1-day old) are stained for Vasa (red), to mark germline. The dpp2.0-lacZ is introduced to indicate dpp transcription activity (marked by β-gal, green). DAPI is blue. Yellow dashed lines indicate TFs. White broken ovals indicate CpC clusters. The control group (b,b’) exhibits β-gal staining only in cap cells. The C587 > tj RNAi-1 (c,c’) and C587 > tj RNAi-2 (d,d’) groups show ectopic dpp2.0-lacZ activity in some ECs, as indicated by white arrows. (e–n) Germinaria from newly eclosed flies (<1-day old) are stained for α-spectrin (red), to mark spectrosomes and fusomes, Vasa (green) to mark germline. The C587 > tj RNAi-1 group (e) exhibits excess UGCs. The C587 > tj RNAi-1; dppe90/+(f), C587 > tj RNAi-1; dppRNAi-1/+(g), C587 > tj RNAi-1 + dpp RNAi-1 (h) and C587 > tj RNAi-1 + dpp RNAi-2 (i) groups exhibit reduced UGC accumulation. (j–n) The C587 > tj RNAi-2 (j) group exhibits excess UGCs. The C587 > tj RNAi-2; dppRNAi-1/+(k), C587 > tj RNAi-2; dppRNAi-2/+(l), C587 > tj RNAi-2 + dpp RNAi-1 (m) and C587 > tj RNAi-2 + dpp RNAi-2 (n) groups exhibit reduced UGC accumulation. (o,p) Graphs show the percentage of germlaria that contain the indicated number of UGC for each genotype. Scale bar is shown in panel (b). Anterior is always to the left.
Engrailed misregulation partly contributes to the germline differentiation defect in tj KD ECs. (a–c') Germaria from newly eclosed flies (<1-day old) are stained for Vasa (green), to mark germline, En (red), to mark TF and CpCs. Yellow dashed lines indicate TJs and white broken ovals indicate CpC clusters. The control group (a,a') displays specific En expression in TF and CpCs. The C587 > tj RNAi-1 (b,b') and C587 > tj RNAi-2 (c,c') group show ectopic En staining in some ECs, as indicated by white arrowheads. (d–k) Germaria from newly eclosed flies (<1-day old) are stained for α-spectrin (red), to mark spectrosomes and fusomes, Vasa (green), to mark germline. The C587 > tj RNAi-1; en7/+ (d), C587 > tj RNAi-1; en7/+ (e), C587 > tj RNAi-1 + en RNAi-1 (f) and C587 > tj RNAi-1 + en RNAi-2 (g) groups exhibit a reduced UGCs accumulation, compared with Fig. 4e. The C587 > tj RNAi-2; en7/+ (h), C587 > tj RNAi-2; en7/+ (i), C587 > tj RNAi-2 + en RNAi-1 (j) and C587 > tj RNAi-2 + en RNAi-2 (k) groups exhibit a reduced UGCs accumulation, compared with Fig. 4e. (l, m) Graphs show the percentage of germlaria that contain the indicated number of UGC for each genotype. Scale bar is shown in panel (a). Anterior is always to the left.

En dysregulation in ECs with tj depletion contributes to the dpp expansion in germlaria. One recent study confirmed that Engrailed (En), a homeodomain-containing transcription factor, regulates dpp expression in CpCs by binding to a 2.0 kb fragment 5’ enhancer region of dpp. And forced expression of En in ECs causes UGC accumulation as well as ectopic expression of dpp2.0-lacZ. These results raise a possibility that the ectopic En could induce UGCs accumulation in tj KD germlaria through regulating dpp transcription. To test this hypothesis, we investigated whether reduced expression of tj causes an aberrant change in En expression in germlaria. The crosses were performed and the resulting progeny were raised at 25°C, and newly eclosed females (<1-day old) were dissected for detection. Immunostaining revealed that En is specifically expressed in the nuclei of TF and CpCs in control germlaria (Fig. 5a,a'). In contrast, some ECs in the anterior portion of the germlaria exhibited ectopic En expression when tj was knocked down (Fig. 5b–c', white arrowheads). As expected, we also found the deformed TF and CpC clusters similar to that have been previously reported (Fig. 5b–c', white broken ovals and yellow dashed lines). And ECs were distinguishable from other somatic cells by their position and nuclear morphology, as stated above. Similarly, ectopic En expression in ECs was caused by pupal-specific, but not adult-specific tj expression (Fig. 5a–c', white arrows and data not shown). To link the ectopic En expression with the UGC accumulation elicited by tj KD, the effect of heterozygous en allele or UAS-en RNAi lines on the tj RNAi differentiation phenotype was examined. Phenotypical analysis showed that compromised en expression significantly suppressed germline differentiation defects induced by tj RNAi (Fig. 5d–m). Similarly, pupal-specific tj en double knockdown with C587ts significantly repressed the tj KD UGC phenotype (Fig. 5a,b). These results indicate that Tj acts in pre-adult ECs for germ cell differentiation control partially through negative regulation of En.

Given that En regulates dpp transcription in the GSC niche, we reasoned that ectopic En expression induced by tj KD might upregulate dpp transcription. To verify this assumption, we tested whether attenuation of en expression in tj KD germlaria reduces the ectopic dpp expression. As expected, heterozygous en allele or en RNAi partially suppressed elevated dpp expression in tj KD germlaria, as indicated by a significant decline in the number of EC positive for dpp2.0-lacZ (Fig. 6a–h). On the contrary, the ectopic En staining in tj KD ECs remained statistically unchanged when heterozygous dpp allele or UAS-dpp RNAi lines were present (Fig. 6a–h, white arrow heads). These results support the idea that Tj functions in ECs to control GSC progeny differentiation partly by En-regulated restriction of dpp expression.
**Figure 6.** Tj represses *dpp* expression in ECs partially through En. (a–f') Germaria from newly eclosed flies (<1-day old) are stained for Vasa (red) to mark germline. The *dpp2.0-lacZ/+* is introduced to indicate *dpp* transcription activity (marked by β-gal, green). DAPI is blue. White broken ovals indicate CpC clusters. The *C587 > tj RNAi-1; en/+* (a,a'), *C587 > tj RNAi-1 + en RNAi-1 (b,b')* and *C587 > tj RNAi-1 + en RNAi-2 (c,c')* groups exhibit relieved expression of *dpp2.0-lacZ* in ECs, compared with Fig. 4(c,c'). The *C587 > tj RNAi-2; en/+* (d,d'), *C587 > tj RNAi-2 + en RNAi-1 (e,e')* and *C587 > tj RNAi-2 + en RNAi-2 (f,f')* groups exhibit relieved expression of *dpp2.0-lacZ* in ECs, compared with Fig. 4(d,d'). (g,h) Graphs show the percentage of germaria that contain a given number of EC with ectopic *dpp2.0-lacZ* expression of indicated genotypes.

**Tj regulates EC protrusions cell-autonomously in an En-independent context.** Abundant studies have demonstrated that EC protrusions-mediated interactions with germ cells are important for proper germline differentiation\[10,12,21,23-25,46\]. Given that Tj participates in the interaction between ICs and PGCs\[33\], we further determined if *C587* mediated *tj* depletion impairs EC protrusions. To test this, UAS-mCD8-GFP combined with *C587-GAL4* was used to visualize the EC protrusions. The crosses were set up and the progeny were maintained at 25 °C and newly eclosed females (<1-day old) were dissected for detection. In control germaria, EC protrusions were detected to encapsulate the germ cells outside the GSC niche (Fig. 8a, white arrows). Interestingly, some of the ECs lost protrusions and left germ cells unwrapped in *tj* KD flies (Fig. 8b,c, white arrowheads). Similar results were obtained for pupal-specific *tj* KD with *C587m* as visualized by anti-Coracle staining, ECs in germlaria from newly
eclosed females failed to penetrate into the germ cells (Fig. S8a–c'). However, no evidence of significant impairment in EC protrusions was found in C587ts-mediated adult-specific tj KD germaria. As the vast majority (about 99%) of the germaria exhibited normal EC protrusions (Fig. S8d–f '). These results are consistent with Tj mainly functioning in the pre-adult stage to prevent the formation of supernumerary UGCs. In addition, the MARCM analysis displayed small protrusions of tj clonal ECs (Fig. S8g–i, white arrows), which is consistent with the results from previous studies that wild type neighbors stabilized mutant EC extensions to encapsulate germ cells15. Thus, we conclude that Tj is required for proper EC protrusions, helping to facilitate germline differentiation.

To further support our conclusion, we took an advantage of hs-bam transgene, in which bam expression is under the control of the heat-shock inducible promoter, to induce germline differentiation in tj KD germaria. The heat shock was conducted at the pupal stage (see Methods) and the newly eclosed females (<1-day old) were dissected for detection. Heat-shock induced GSC differentiation resulted in differentiating cysts, instead of GSCs, occupied the tip of germaria in control groups, whereas the EC protrusions remained extended and wrapped the germ cells underneath (Fig. 8d, white arrows). Similarly, C587 > tj RNAi germaria were occupied with multiple cell-cysts under

Figure 7. En acts upstream of Dpp. (a–f') Germaria from newly eclosed flies (<1-day old) are stained for Vasa (green), to mark germline, En (red) to mark TF and CpCs. Yellow dashed lines indicate TFs and white broken ovals indicate CpC clusters. The C587 > tj RNAi-1; dpp<sup>+/+</sup> (a,a'), C587 > tj RNAi-1; dpp<sup>+/+</sup> (b,b'), C587 > tj RNAi-1 + dpp RNAi-1 (c,c'), C587 > tj RNAi-2; dpp<sup>+/+</sup> (d,d'), C587 > tj RNAi-2; dpp<sup>+/+</sup> (e,e') and C587 > tj RNAi-2 + dpp RNAi-1 (f,f') groups exhibit ectopic En expression in ECs, as indicated by white arrowheads. (g,h) Graphs show the percentage of germaria containing ectopic En expression in ECs of indicated genotypes. Scale bar is shown in panel (a). Anterior is always to the left.
heat-shock induced bam expression. However, no restoration of EC protrusions was observed (Fig. 8e,f, white arrowheads). In short, forced differentiation of UGC was not able to suppress the EC morphology defect induced by tj-depletion (Fig. 8m). Altogether, we conclude a cell-autonomous contribution of Tj in regulating EC protrusions.

To test whether Tj regulates EC protrusions through En, en RNAi and tj RNAi were coexpressed using C587-GAL4 at 25 °C. No restoration of EC morphology defects was observed following co-expression of en RNAi in newly eclosed (<1-day old) females, suggesting that En is dispensable for tj-mediated regulation of EC protrusions (Fig. 8g–l,n).
Tj regulates the establishment of a proper EC number in an En-independent context. Previous studies have also shown that UGC accumulation caused by extrinsic EC defects is always coupled with severe loss of ECs10,24–26,28,46, indicating that a certain number of EC is required for non-cell autonomous control of germline differentiation. To determine whether Tj controls EC number, the enhancer trap line PZ144452,53, which labels both CpCs and ECs, was used to directly quantify the EC number in germaria. The crosses were set up at 25 °C and newly eclosed females (<1-day old) were dissected for detection. In germaria of control group (Fig. 9a), PZ1444 is expressed higher in CpCs than in ECs. ECs also differ from CpCs by location and the nuclear morphology. In contrast, C587-mediated tj KD led to a significant reduction of β-gal positive ECs (Fig. 9b–d). Furthermore, the lacZ expression was dampened in ECs upon tj-depletion. Pupal-specific tj KD with C587 ts induced consistent phenotype (Fig. S8j). Surprisingly, no significant reduction in EC number was detected in the adult-specific regimen for C587ts-mediated tj KD (Fig. S8k). Together with observations on the small EC clone size for tj mutant (Figs 1g,h and S8g–i), these pieces of evidence lead to a conclusion that Tj is required in pre-adult stage for the establishment of a proper EC number, which may contribute to proper GSC progeny differentiation.

To test whether Tj regulates EC cell number through En, en RNAi and tj RNAi were coexpressed using C587-GAL4 at 25 °C. No rescue of EC number was observed following co-expression of en RNAi in newly eclosed (<1-day old) females, suggesting that En is dispensable for tj-mediated regulation of EC number (Fig. 9e–j).

Figure 9. Tj regulates EC number independent of En. (a–c,e–h) Germaria from newly born (<1-day old) females are stained for α-spectrin (red), to mark spectrosomes and fusomes. The PZ1444/+ is introduced to indicate ECs and CpC (marked by β-gal, green). White broken ovals indicate CpC clusters. The control group (a) exhibits a normal EC number. The C587 > tj RNAi-1 (b) or C587 > tj RNAi-2 (c) groups exhibit a reduction of EC number. (d) Graph shows the ECs number in germaria of indicated genotypes. Error bars are shown as Means ± S.D. of each genotype. The C587 > tj RNAi-1 + en RNAi-1 (e) and C587 > tj RNAi-1 + en RNAi-2 (f) groups show a similar EC number to C587 > tj RNAi-1 (b). The C587 > tj RNAi-2 + en RNAi-1 (g) and C587 > tj RNAi-2 + en RNAi-2 (h) groups show a similar EC number to C587 > tj RNAi-2 (c). (i,j) Graphs show the ECs number in germaria of indicated genotypes. Error bars are shown as Means ± S.D. of each genotype. Scale bar is showed in panel (a). Anterior is always to the left.
Discussion

Results from earlier studies and ours have shown that Tj absence as induced by a strong loss-of-function allele has deleterious effects on ovariolar formation and maintenance. Here we generate a hypomorphic condition of Tj knockdown using C87-GAL4-mediated tj RNAi, and show that Tj is required in pre-adult ECs for CB differentiation control. In our hands, the GSC progeny differentiation process was not disrupted upon hh-mediated tj KD, though it could be due to an incomplete Tj depletion in CpCs. However, our molecular and genetic studies reveal that tj KD drives UGC formation, possibly through an En-dependent mechanism of repressing dpp transcription outside the GSC niche. Tj also intrinsically maintains EC protrusions and EC number in pre-adult stage independent of En. Therefore, we propose that Tj functions to safeguard against the acquisition of GSC niche cell characteristics by immature ECs and promote pre-adult EC to adopt the identities of differentiation niche cells.

Strikingly, UGCs forming upon tj depletion express bamP-GFP, which is similar to some previous studies. Furthermore, bamP-GFP-positive UGCs are considered as CB tumors, and in a recent study they are believed to have been already escaped niche signal. Remarkably, our data support the idea that the accumulated CB-like cell is probably resulting from an ectopic BMP activity sustained at a certain level outside the GSC niche, as evident by the supernumerary pMad-negative dad-lacZ-positive UGCs in C87-mediated tj RNAi germlaria. Meanwhile, the elevated dpp transcription in tj-depleted ECs was also confirmed with two distinct enhancer traps, dpp2.0-lacZ and P4-lacZ. As a consequence of ectopic BMP activity, the amount of Bam protein failed to reach the critical level for 2-cell cyst formation. Taken together with the observation that hs-bam rescued tj differentiation phenotype, we suggest a direct link between CB-like cell accumulation and deregulated BMP activity.

What motivates the ectopic BMP activity outside the GSC niche? Several correlative studies suggest complex mechanisms, in which a population of ECs is capable of expressing Dpp as well as other niche cell markers under certain conditions. Together, they reveal that tightly regulated dpp transcription is required to maintain homeostasis of adult ovaries. Our study highlights the role of Tj required for modulating dpp transcription activity partly through repressing En expression during ovarian development. This suggests that, in wild-type developing ovaries, the enhancer element dpp2.0 is maintained in an inactive state in most of the ECs by Tj-mediated repression of En, an activator of the enhancer element. How disrupted Tj expression leads to upregulation of En remains an open question. Meanwhile, how the enhancer element P4 participate in the Tj-involved regulation of dpp transcription remains to be uncovered. Thus, further studies investigating the regulatory network of dpp transcription would be of great interest.

Our observation that tj-depleted ECs exhibit a dual identity, simultaneously harboring features of CpCs and ECs, particularly recalls the previous observation that Tj functions in CpCs for TF fate repression. Thus, it is reasonable that different expression levels of Tj can be instructive for the cellular differentiation status of TF, CpCs and ECs. Meanwhile, these observations also explain why the immunostaining of En in C87 > tj RNAi CpCs or tjP3 clonal CpCs (Fig. S5j–j”) appeared to be visibly unchanged. It is still possible that the upregulated En expression has been below our detection threshold.) Due to adoption of TFC fate, tj-depleted ECs exhibit comparable En staining to TFCs, in which En staining is normally indistinguishable from CpCs. And here, we consider Tj as a factor that regulates the balance between stem cell and differentiation niches so as to coordinate the behavior or GSC and GSC progeny during development.

On the other hand, slightly extra UGCs without inappropriate En expression were uncovered by MARCM analysis, probably owing to the small clone size of tj mutant. Accordingly, we argue that Tj helps to establish a certain number of ECs. Consistently, PZ1444 reporter analysis with pupal-specific tj KD gave the similar conclusion. Meanwhile, we found altered clonal EC morphology in the MARCM analysis (Fig. S8g–i, white arrows). Notably, RNAi based pupal-specific depletion of Tj caused a severe loss of EC protrusions. These results are consistent with the previous study that the presence of wild-type ECs is sufficient to partially rescue the phenotype induced by woc or stat mutant ECs. The tight association between germline and ECs is indispensable for proper germline differentiation. Tj has been reported to participate in the interaction between PGCs and ICs. Here we further identify that Tj is critical for protrusions of ECs in a cell-autonomous manner. As EC morphology was not regained when germline was forced to differentiate in tj KD germlaria. Together with the observation that tj phenotype of EC number and cellular protrusion were not rescued upon tj en double knock down, our data strongly suggest that Tj controls germline differentiation via multiple types of mechanism, including the ones dependent and independent of En.

Besides, Tj appears to function primarily during the developmental stage rather than adulthood. As the En-dependent and independent roles of Tj in CB differentiation control are mainly played during the pupal stage. In this context, a better understanding of hormones, developmental cues and chromatin accessibility is essential for understanding the normal roles of Tj in development.

Methods

Fly strains and genetics. All Drosophila strains were maintained at 25°C on standard cornmeal media supplied with live yeast unless otherwise stated. The following fly stocks were used in this study: y, w (BDSC 6598), tjD3 (null allele, gift from Dorothea Godt), tjP3 (null allele, BDSC 4987), y, w, hs-Flp, UAS-mCD8-GFP; tub-P-GAL80, FRT40A; tub-P-GAL4 (BDSC 42725), FRT40A, Ubi-GFP (BDSC 5189), FRT40A (BDSC 8212), C87-GAL4 (gift from Yu Cai), hh-GAL4 (gift from Xinhua Lin), tj-GAL4 (DGERC 104034), tub-GAL80 (BDSC 7017, 7019), C87-GAL4, UAS-Flp (gift from Cai Yu), tj RNAi-1 (BDSC 25987), tj RNAi-2 (BDSC 34595), other tj RNAi lines in Supplementary (YDRC 30525, 30526, 108255), dpp RNAi-1 (BDSC 25782), dpp RNAi-2 (BDSC 31530), en RNAi-1 (BDSC 105678), en RNAi-2 (BDSC 33715), ncherry RNAi (BDSC 35785), UAS-dpp (BDSC 1486), dad-lacZ (gift from Yu Cai), bamP-GFP (gift from Dennis M. McKearin), dppP2 (gift from Ting Xie), dppP2 (gift from Ting Xie), dpp2.0-lacZ (gift from Yu Cai), P4-lacZ (gift from Rongwen Xi), enR (BDSC 1817), enR (BDSC 1820), hh-lacZ (BDSC 5330), Di-lacZ (D4301, BDSC 11651).
Larval and pupal staging. Staging of larvae and pupae was performed as reported. In short, synchronized eggs were collected in a fresh vial within 2 hours. After the parents were removed, the offspring were cultured with optimal nutrition and uncrowded conditions. For flies without tub-Gal80, the vials were kept at 25 °C all the time. Under these conditions, the middle of larval third instar (ML3) is at 96 h after egg laying (AEL), the late of larval third instar (LL3) is between 114–116 h AEL, and larval-to-pupal transition (LL3 to white pre-pupa) is at 120 h AEL. The early pupal (EP) is at 144 h AEL. For flies that carry tub-Gal80, vials were kept at 18 °C until temperature shift. Under these conditions, the LL3 is 9 days AEL, and the EP is 12 days AEL.

Heat shock and clone generation. MARCM clone of tj mutant and control were generated by crossing FRT40A, tjP3 or FRT 40 A with y, w, hs-Flp, UAS-mCD8-GFP; tub-GAL80, FRT 40 A; tubP-GAL4. The resulting larvae were heat shocked at 37 °C with three 60 min pulses at 5 h intervals each day since ML3 to EP. After heat shock, the flies were kept at 29 °C until dissection. Newly born (1–5 day-old) females were dissected and stained with the appropriate antibodies.

Cap cell clones were generated by crossing FRT40A, tjP3 or FRT 40 A with CS87-GAL4, UAS-Flp; FRT40A GFP at 25 °C. The resulting larvae were kept at 29 °C until eclosion. Newly born (1–5 day-old) females were dissected and stained with the appropriate antibodies.

Flies carrying hs-bam were heat shocked at 37 °C for 60 min at the 8th day AEL. Newly born (1–5 day-old) females were dissected and stained with the appropriate antibodies.

Antibodies and immunofluorescence. The staining of ovaries or larval gonads or pupal gonads was carried out as described previously. In brief, females were dissected in PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma) in PBS for 30 min, rinsed with 0.3% PBST [PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (Bio-Rad)] three times, permeated with 1.0% PBST for 1 h, blocked with 10% goat serum (Life Technology) in 0.3% PBST for 2 h, and stained overnight at 4 °C with primary antibodies. Ovaries or gonads were then washed three times in 0.3% PBST and incubated with secondary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature and stained with DAPI for 10 minutes. Finally, ovaries were rinsed four times with 0.3% PBST and mounted.

The following primary antibodies were used in this work: Mouse anti-α-spectrin (1:20, DSHB 3A9), rabbit anti-Vasa (1:200 Santa Cruz), rat anti-Vasa (1:10 DSHB), mouse anti-β-gal (1:1000, Cappel), guinea pig anti-β-gal (1:1000, gift from D. Godt), rabbit anti-Zhli (1:1000, gift from R. Lehmann), rabbit anti-pMad (1:1000, gift from E. Laufer), rabbit anti-GFP (1:1000, Life Technology), mouse anti-Engrailed (1:10, 4D9 DSHB), mouse anti-Lamin C (1:10, LC28.26 DSHB), mouse anti-Coracle (1:10, C615.16 DSHB). Secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488, 546 or 647 (Life Technology) were used at 1:1000 dilutions. DAPI (Life Technology) was used to visualize the nuclei. Confocal images were captured on Leica TCS SP8 laser confocal microscope and obtained using the Leica AF Lite system. Images were processed in Adobe Photoshop CS6.

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR. RNA was isolated from newly eclosed female ovaries of tj-GAL4 > mcherry RNAi, tj-–GAL4 > tj RNAi-1 and tj-GAL4 > tj RNAi-2. And rp49 was served as normalization control. To exclude the interference of the vitellarium region of ovariole, we chose the female eclosed within 2 h for dissection. RNA was isolated using TRIzol (Invitrogen) and then subjected to reverse transcription. ReverTra Ace® qPCR RT Master Mix with gDNA Remover (TOYOBO) was used for cDNA synthesis according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on Bio-Rad CFX96 PCR system by using SYBR green qPCR Master Mix (TOYOBO).

The primers used for amplifying dpp and rp49 mRNA are as follows:

\[ \text{dpp forward: } \text{AGCGGATGAAAGAGCTCTAGC} \]
\[ \text{dpp reverse: } \text{ATGTCGTAGACAAAGACCTTTGTA} \]
\[ \text{rp49 forward: } \text{TCCACCCAGTTCAAGATGAC} \]
\[ \text{rp49 reverse: } \text{CACGTTTGACCAGAACT} \]

Relative concentration was determined using the 2^(-ΔΔCt) method in Bio-Rad CFX Manager Software Ver 3.0.

Statistical analysis. All statistical data were recorded in Excel (Microsoft) and graphed in Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software). The Chi-square (and Fisher’s exact) test and the two-tailed Student’s t-test were used to calculate the p-values.

Data Availability. All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its Supplementary Information files).
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