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ABSTRACT

We investigate the effect of curvature on the energy and stability of domain wall configurations in curved cylindrical nanotubes and nanowires. We use micromagnetic simulations to calculate the phase diagram for the transverse wall (TW) and vortex wall (VW) states in tubes, finding the lower energy configuration and the metastability region where both types of walls can exist. The introduction of curvature shifts the range for which the TW is the ground state domain wall to higher diameters and increases the range of metastability. We interpret this behavior to be primarily due to the curvature-induced effective Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya term in the exchange energy. Furthermore, we demonstrate qualitatively the same behavior in solid cylindrical nanowires. Comparing both tubes and wires, we observe how while in tubes curvature tends to suppress the transformation from the TW to VW, in wires it promotes the transformation of the VW containing the Bloch point into the TW. These findings have important implications in the fundamental understanding of domain walls in 3D geometries and the design of future domain wall devices.

© 2021 Author(s). All article content, except where otherwise noted, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0050872

There has been a recent push in nanomagnetism toward extending the quasi-2D geometry of strips to three-dimensional (3D) structures. 3D nanomagnetism introduces new physics, controlling and enhancing the magnetic properties by changing the system’s geometry. In this regard, cylindrical nanowires (NWs) and nanotubes (NTs) have been proven to be fascinating systems. Due to the change in topology, magnetic domain walls (DWs) in these systems can have properties distinct from their 2D counterparts. They can be massless, moving without intrinsic pinning and remaining stable even at speeds exceeding the Walker limit. The advancement of nanofabrication and computational methods makes studying increasingly more complex geometries possible. An important geometrical quantity is curvature, which has been shown to have a strong effect on the magnetic energy landscape, producing curvature-induced anisotropy and chirality via an effective Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interaction (DMI). In cylindrical nanowires, the introduction of curvature has been shown to, among other things, lead to curvature-induced chiral pinning potentials and the controllable DW oscillation.

With promising prospects for magnetic domain walls in 3D systems for novel and enhanced devices as well as interesting physical properties, obtaining a detailed understanding of the influence of curvature on the domain walls is key. Here, we determine the effect of curvature on domain walls in nanotube and nanowire structures. We reveal that the introduction of curvature can change the stability of domain walls and their preferred configuration, leading to spontaneous topological transformations in a 3D conduit.

There are many common features in the configuration and dynamics of domain walls in NWs and NTs, despite them being...
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We study the relative stability of the DW types at zero temperature by plotting the diagram of the difference in the total magnetic energy density between the TW and the VW \( (E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{TW}} - E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{VW}}) \) for a range of diameters and curvatures [Fig. 2(a)]. Considering the energy density instead of the total energy allows us to directly compare the wires of different diameters. Moreover, the difference in energy densities will only depend on the domain wall, as the magnetization configuration is the same in other parts of the wire.

We start by considering the simple case of straight wires, which we denote by the infinite radius of curvature \( R_C = \infty \) [see the upper section of Fig. 2(a)]. We observe three main regions. First, for diameters \( d < 20 \) nm (blue region), the TW is energetically favorable \( (E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{TW}} < E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{VW}}) \). Second, in NTs of larger diameter, the VW is preferred, while TW exists in a metastable state \( (E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{VW}} < E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{TW}}) \). Third, for diameters larger than 42 nm, the TW is no longer metastable and collapses to the VW, which is now the only stable DW configuration (gray region).

The observed influence of the diameter on the energies of TW and VW in NTs agrees with the previous analytical and numerical studies\(^{17,18,24}\), and can be understood as a competition between the exchange and magnetostatic energies. The VW configuration minimizes the magnetostatic energy as the magnetization is tangential to the surface of the wire. On the other hand, the TW has a lower exchange energy as the spins in each cross section are largely parallel.

To systematically initialize metastable states in different geometries, we perform coordinate transformations on the initial mesh and corresponding transformations on the magnetization (see the supplementary material, S1). The initial model is meshes with GMSH\(^{23}\) with the maximum mesh element size set to 2.1 nm. This is significantly lower than the Permalloy dipolar exchange length of \( \ell = 2A/\mu_0M_s^2 = 5.7 \) nm and ensures that the node spacing stays below \( \ell \) after the transformations (see the supplementary material, S2). We let the magnetization relax in the new geometry before calculating the energy of the final state. In particular, we map a range of NT diameters \( d = 14 - 50 \) nm by scaling the structure uniformly in the radial direction while keeping the relative thickness constant \( (d^{\text{in}} / d = 0.8) \). We further introduce curvature by bending the tube in the middle by an angle \( \phi_h = 130^\circ \), creating a region of constant radius of curvature \( R_C \) ranging from 40 to 200 nm [Fig. 1(c)]. This procedure of reusing the same mesh allows us to efficiently generate a large number of data points with different curvatures and diameters.

We introduce curvature by bending the tube in the middle by an angle \( \phi_h = 130^\circ \), creating a region of constant radius of curvature \( R_C \) ranging from 40 to 200 nm [Fig. 1(c)]. This procedure of reusing the same mesh allows us to efficiently generate a large number of data points with different curvatures and diameters.

FIG. 1. Domain wall initialization in magnetic nanotubes. (a) A transverse wall (TW) and (b) vortex wall (VW) are initialized in straight tubes. Colors indicate the magnetization component along the tube axis. Insets show the cross-sectional schematic of the tube in the center of the DW. (c) The mesh of the straight tube with diameter \( d_0 \) is bent by an angle \( \phi_h = 130^\circ \) and squeezed to get a tube with radius of curvature \( R_C \) and diameter \( d \) while preserving the total length. The magnetization is smoothly transferred to the curved geometry. We show the resulting transferred magnetization that is, then, used as the initial state for further relaxation in the new geometry.
where the TW is no longer metastable (cyan line), shift toward higher diameters. Notably, for a minimum considered radius of curvature $R_C = 20 \text{ nm}$, the critical diameter between the two DW configurations moves from $20 \text{ nm}$ for straight wires to $35 \text{ nm}$, a significant change in behavior. Furthermore, for radii of curvature $R_C < 90 \text{ nm}$, we find that the TW can exist in a metastable state for the full range of diameters investigated.

To understand the shift of boundaries as a function of curvature, we consider the evolution of the total energy density ($E_{\text{tot}} = E_{\text{ex}} + E_{\text{damping}}$) and the exchange energy density $E_{\text{ex}}$ for a NT with diameter $d = 24 \text{ nm}$ [Fig. 2(b)]. We first consider the exchange energy in Fig. 2(b) (top plot). In the case of the TW, introducing curvature reduces the wall exchange energy since the total rotation angle between the two domains is smaller [see Fig. 2(c) top]. This is often understood as the chiral symmetry breaking due to the effective DMI terms in the exchange energy in curvilinear coordinates. On the other hand, the rotational symmetry of the VW means that both the curvature preferred and unpreferred transitions are present, and the magnetization rotates against the curvature on one side of the wall [bottom panel of Fig. 2(c)]. For both VW and TWs, the total energy density [bottom graph in Fig. 2(b)] closely follows the trend of the exchange energy density $E_{\text{ex}}$, implying that the impact of curvature can be primarily understood by examining its effect on $E_{\text{ex}}$.

As well as the relative energies of domain wall types, the stability—and in particular, the likelihood of an abrupt transformation between DW types to occur—is key to understanding these systems. The large area of the diagram (both red and blue regions), where both walls are metastable, implies the existence of an energy barrier for the transformation between the two configurations. Here, we observe that the curvature affects this barrier by extending the range of diameters where the TW can exist [red and blue regions in Fig. 2(a)].

We examine the metastability in more detail by considering the dynamic transformation process where an initialized TW state collapses to the lower energy VW. For this, we run additional simulations for a wire in the gray region ($R_C = 130 \text{ nm}$, $d = 46 \text{ nm}$) with a realistic damping $x = 0.02$ and study the time evolution of the magnetic state during the DW transformation process (Fig. 2). We observe how the two diametrically opposed magnetic charges of the TW attract each other magnetostatically [Fig. 3(a)], releasing spin waves as they move, visible as oscillations between a and b in Fig. 3(d) (see the supplementary material, S5). The topological defects represent a vortex–antivortex pair with opposite polarization and, therefore, cannot smoothly annihilate with one another requiring the formation of a magnetization singularity—the Bloch point. As a result, the transformation faces a topological barrier. Due to limitations in the simulation of magnetization singularities with micromagnetic simulations (discussed later), the exact location of the energy barrier is subject to a small uncertainty; however, it is clear that the threshold exists. However, approaching one another requires a more abrupt spin rotation along with the azimuthal direction that comes at a cost of increased $E_{\text{ex}}$ [region between b and c in Fig. 3(d)]. For NTs in the red region of the diagram where TW is metastable, the increased $E_{\text{ex}}$ creates a barrier to the annihilation of magnetic charges. This makes the TW metastable over a wide range of tube diameters, collapsing only when the gain in magnetostatics can overcome the exchange barrier. As discussed earlier, introducing curvature further increases the exchange energy required to create the vortex state, raising the barrier for the motion of magnetic charges and significantly extending the range of TW metastability, as observed in the diagram. It is worth noting that although the simulations have been performed at zero temperature, the comparison between the absolute values of the DW energies also holds for systems at finite temperatures. However, thermal
activation of the metastable state can surmount the energy barrier, reducing the region of metastability.\textsuperscript{30}

After studying the effect of curvature on NTs, we perform a similar investigation in solid NWs. Even though they share many of the same features, the solid NWs are topologically different from NTs.

The effect of the topology is most apparent in the case of the VW, which in NWs forms the Bloch point, a micromagnetic singularity at the center of the wire (see the supplementary material, S3).\textsuperscript{19}

A fundamental assumption of the micromagnetic theory is the homogeneous saturation magnetization. At the vicinity of the BP, this assumption is broken as the magnetization is strongly inhomogeneous at the atomistic scale [Fig. 4(a)]. It has been shown that micromagnetic simulations can nevertheless describe the structure and many dynamic features involving BPs, matching well with experiments.\textsuperscript{19,31} However, the micromagnetic exchange energy density diverges at the singularity, requiring a careful analysis to prevent discretization-induced artifacts.\textsuperscript{32}

The full treatment of the problem necessitates the use of an atomistic model in the vicinity of the BP,\textsuperscript{6,33} or an adaptation of the continuum theory to allow changes in the saturation magnetization.\textsuperscript{34–37} Another common way to address the issue of singularities is to run the simulations with different mesh element sizes and extrapolate the computed values to an infinite mesh density.\textsuperscript{31,32,38,39} The energy obtained in this way converges to the continuum theory, removing the dependence on the underlying mesh. We employ the latter method [Fig. 4(b)] to demonstrate a similar curvature dependence of DWs in solid cylinders as in tubes. It is important to keep in mind that the nonphysical divergence of the exchange energy density is still present in the continuum theory, which can shift the overall energy of the VW containing the BP. However, as the singularity is embedded in the volume, the shift only depends on the material parameters and not the external geometry, allowing for a valid geometrical comparison as performed here.

To understand the energetics of both types of walls in NWs, we focus first our discussion on the particular case of a nanowire with $d = 60$ nm [Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)]. We plot $E_{\text{tot}}$ as a function of curvature [Fig. 4(c)] observing a similar behavior to the one found for NTs [bottom plot in Fig. 2(b)]. As the radius of curvature decreases, the energy cost of the VW steadily increases, while the TW energy sharply.

**FIG. 4.** Domain wall curvature dependence in solid cylinders. (a) Cross section of a curved cylinder ($R_c = 100$ nm, $d = 40$ nm) colored by the local exchange energy density and the magnetization direction denoted by blue arrows. The area of high exchange energy in the middle of the wire corresponds to the region where the Bloch point singularity is positioned. (b) Dependence of the total energy density of the system on the mesh element size, for a wire with 60 nm in diameter and hosting a VW. The convergence to the continuum theory is acquired by extrapolating to infinite mesh density. (c) Total energy density of the VW with the Bloch point and the TW, for different radii of curvature $R_c$, in the case of the $d = 60$ nm wire. (d) Full phase diagram of the energy density difference $E_{\text{TW}} - E_{\text{VW}}$, showing three distinct regions. In regions 1 and 2, both domain walls can exist, with TW being favorable in the former (blue region with $E_{\text{TW}} < E_{\text{VW}}$) and VW in the latter (red region with $E_{\text{VW}} < E_{\text{TW}}$). In the gray region 3, the VW is unstable and collapses to the lower energy TW state.
drops for very curved wires. This is unsurprising, as the same interpretation as for the NTs, with curvature-induced DMI and anisotropy promoting the TW state, is still valid on the outer sections of the cylinder.

Having discussed a particular case that demonstrates the similarity between NTs and NWs, we now simulate the full phase diagram of TWs and VWs in curved NWs [Fig. 4(d)]. We initialize the wires and calculate the DW energy following the same procedure as in NTs, consisting of transforming the mesh and magnetization from the straight geometry. To be able to reliably initialize both types of DW, we use $d_0 = 50$ nm for the initial diameter. As explained before, for the VWs with BPs, we further run the simulations with multiple mesh sizes, interpolating the energy to infinite mesh density [Fig. 4(b)]. Furthermore, the continuum extrapolation is not significantly affected by the mesh transformations (see the supplementary material, S4).

When compared to the case of tubes, we observe in NWs an increase in the energy of the VW over the TW, leading to the TW being the preferred state over a larger range of diameters. For straight wires, the TW is a favored state up to 45 nm, a significant increase from 24 nm in the tubes [green line in Fig. 4(d)]. Additionally, for curvature radii below $R_C = 90$ nm, the TW is the lower energy state for the full range of investigated diameters. Furthermore, while for tubes the TW was unstable at high diameters with the spontaneous collapse to the VW being suppressed by the curvature, the contrary happens in NWs. The presence of a BP, thus, plays a key role in the range of metastability of the VW in wires. Here, the VW collapses to a TW for diameters below $d = 24$ nm in straight wires, further increased by curvature to $d = 35$ nm at $R_C = 70$ nm [orange line in Fig. 4(d)].

The transformation of VWs into TWs in NWs due to curvature and the inhibition of the inverse transformation in NTs are particularly relevant when considering these systems as domain wall conduits for sensing and memory devices. For instance, in the case of NWs described last, while the VW containing a BP can be metastable in a wire with $d = 30$ nm, it will be energetically favorable to spontaneously collapse to the TW state when located within a region, such as a corner with radius of curvature $R_C \leq 140$ nm. The resulting TW is the local minimum and remains metastable even at significantly higher diameters, making it hard to induce an inverse transformation. Additionally, our results indicate how curvature can play a key role for the control of the stability and pinning of DWs, tuning their stochastic behavior in proposed neuromorphic devices.

To summarize, we demonstrate the effect of curvature on the energy and stability of magnetic domain walls in cylindrical and tubular nanowires. We map the phase diagram of the relative energies of transverse and vortex domain walls for varying diameters and curvatures. We identify the presence of a region of metastability where both walls can exist as well as the boundary where the transition between the ground DW states takes place. In both geometries, introducing curvature increases the energy of the vortex wall while decreasing the energy of the transverse wall. This promotes the stability of the transverse wall, making it a favorable state for a larger range of diameters, inhibiting its collapse in NTs, and making the collapse of the VW more likely in NWs. This behavior is understood to be mainly due to the curvature-induced effective DMI driven by the exchange energy. These results are key for the fundamental understanding of domain walls in curved geometries and for device applications.

See the supplementary material for the technical information about mesh generation and spin waves released during the DW collapse.
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