In Vitro Evaluation of the Sensitivity of a Hyaluronic Acid PEG Cross-Linked to Bovine Testes Hyaluronidase

Nicola Zerbinati¹, Torello Lotti², Damiano Monticelli³, Virginia Martina⁴, Giovanna Cipolla⁵, Edoardo D’Este⁵, Alberto Calligaro⁶, Roberto Mocchi¹, Cristina Maccario, Sabrina Sommatis, Jacopo Lotti⁴, Uwe Wollina⁷, Georgi Tchernev⁸, Katlein França⁹

¹Universita degli Studi dell’Insubria Dipartimento di Scienze Chirurgiche e Morfologiche Ringgold standard institution, Varese, Italy; ²University G. Marconi of Rome, Dermatology and Venereology, Rome, Italy; ³Università degli Studi dell’Insubria Dipartimento di Scienza e Alta Tecnologia, Como, Italy; ⁴Matex Lab, Brindisi, Italy; ⁵Università di Pavia - Centro Medico Poli specialistico, Pavia, Italy; ⁶University of Pavia - Department of Public Health, Experimental and Forensic Medicine, Pavia, Italy; ⁷UB - CARE S.r.l. - Spin - off University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy; ⁸University of Rome “G. Marconi” - Department of Nuclear, Subnuclear and Radiation Physics, Rome, Italy; ⁹Städtisches Klinikum Dresden, Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Dresden, Sachsen, Germany; ¹⁰Medical Institute of Ministry of Interior (MVR), Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Dermatologic Surgery, Sofia, Bulgaria; ¹¹University of Miami School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, Miami, Florida, United States

Abstract

Neauvia Intense is biocompatible, injectable hyaluronic acid (HA) filler PEG cross-linked for facial soft-tissue augmentation that provides volume to tissues. The aim of the present study is to evaluate the sensitivity of Neauvia Intense in hyaluronidase from bovine testes in a time-course analysis. The test is based on the colourimetric determination of the N-acetyl – D - glucosamine (NAG) released by the hyaluronidase in standardised conditions. The in vitro conditions involve the treatment of Neauvia Intense with a known concentration of the enzyme (6080U/ml). The NAG content was determined at different times to assess the kinetics of the degradation (1h, 3h, 6h, 24h, 48h, 72h, 120h, and 168h); the Ehrlich’s reagent was used for the colourimetric quantification, by the method described by Reissing and colleagues. The intensity of the violet colour developed after the chemical reaction was proportional to the NAG present in each sample. A microplate reader at 585 nm read the absorbance. The amount of NAG released by the product was proportional to the time of incubation with bovine hyaluronidase, reaching a plateau after 168 hours.

Introduction

Hyaluronic acid (HA) is a high-molecular-mass linear anionic polysaccharide without branching side - chains, composed of 2000 - 25000 disaccharide units formed by glucuronic acid and N – acetyl – D - glucosamine (NAG), which are linked by β(1,4)-glycosidic bond, reaching 105 – 107 Da in molecular mass [1][2][3][4][5]. HA is a major component of the extracellular matrix in the human body, as it is present in the skin, synovial fluid, loose connective tissues, umbilical cord, vitreous body of the eye and cartilage [6] Several biological functions are fulfilled by this molecule, as it plays a major role in the organization and integrity of the extracellular matrix, thereby participating in the preservation of the form and the spatial arrangement of tissue components. It is also involved in numerous biological and physiological functions, such as cell motility, cell-matrix adhesion, and cell proliferation, water homeostasis of tissues or joint lubrication [7]. Thanks to the HA molecule’s...
capability to absorb a large volume of water, it can hydrate tissues and finally to maintain the moisture of the skin [8][9].

The degradation of the HA molecule occurs in the body by three mechanisms: the attack by free radicals, enzymatic processes (hyaluronidases) or thermally [10]. The hyaluronidases are endoglycosidases that cleave HA, reducing its viscosity [11]. Hyaluronidases could be classified into three groups according to their mechanism of action and end products: mammalian hyaluronidase (testis tube), leech/hookworm hyaluronidase and microbial hyaluronidase [12][13]. Mammalian and microbial hyaluronidases act on the β-1, 4- glycosidic linkages of HA, while the leech/hookworm hyaluronidase degrades the β-1, 3- glycosidic bond; all of them degrade the HA molecule and produce oligosaccharides of different chain length [14][15]. These enzymes are normally employed for several purposes, such as the prevention of tissue damage after the extravasation of different substances, for oedema reduction [16] and to prevent the diffusion of several substances injected subcutaneously [17][18][19][21].

In the last decade, HA has been considered, in aesthetic medicine, as an ideal substance to be used to augment the skin volume, being highly biocompatible, non-immunogenic and capable of binding water to a large extent [22]. In order to increase the biomechanical properties and the resistance to enzymatic break down of natural HA containing preparations, various methods have been developed for chemical modification or cross-linking of native HA into gels by covalent links, resulting in larger and more stable derivatives that retain HA biocompatibility and biodegradability in vivo [23][24].

In the HA hydrogel evaluated the crosslinking reaction occurring with cross-linking agents based on epoxides, in a strongly basic environment with the formation of ether bonds C - O - C, which is among the most solid and consequently the most resistant to degradation.

The reaction consists in the epoxide ring opening, in the simultaneous deprotonation of the hydroxyl group (in a basic environment is more nucleophilic than the carboxylic deprotonated group) and in the formation of the bond C - O - C.

The crosslinker used for this type of reaction to create Neauvia Hydrogel was PEGDE (Figure 1).

In aesthetic medicine, hyaluronidases are used for dissolving the injected hyaluronic acid filler, eliminating nodules, correcting the injection of excessive quantities of injected filler, or avoiding ischemic complications derived from this practice [25][26]. The literature reported a wide range of techniques to analyse the in vitro degradation rate of crosslinked HA hydrogels by the hyaluronidase enzyme [27][28]: change in viscosity, in water content, gel plate assay in Petri dishes or colourimetric assays for the released glucuronic acid.
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**Figure 1: Hyaluronic acid (HA) crosslinking with poly(ethylene glycol) diglycidyl ether (PEGDE)**

The aim of the present work is to evaluate the sensitivity to a bovine hyaluronidase enzyme of Neauvia Intense®(MatexLab SA, Lugano, CH), a product composed of pure hyaluronic acid of probiotic origin (Bacillus Subtilis) PEG cross-linked (polyethylene glycol) [29][30][31].

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals and instruments**

The sensitivity of Neauvia Intense®(MatexLab SA, Lugano, CH) to hyaluronidase was tested. This product is composed of pure hyaluronic acid of probiotic origin (Bacillus Subtilis) PEG cross-linked (polyethylene glycol) to obtain a 3D hydrogel matrix, that could be considered a completely biocompatible and degradable hydrogel, with volumizing effect, typical of the HA filler cross-linked polymer.

Type I - S hyaluronidase from bovine testis was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (ref. H3506, 451 Units/mg); all other chemicals were of the highest purity available.

The absorbance was measured using a Multiskan - Go (Fisher Scientific) spectrophotometer.

**Sample preparation**

Neauvia Intense® was weighed (0.2g) and placed in the bottom of glass tubes. The tubes were then centrifuged for 5min at 1000g in a refrigerated bench centrifuge (Megastar 600R, VWR) equipped with a swinging bucket rotor. At the end of the centrifugation, thin pellets firmly attached at the bottom of the tubes were obtained.

**Hyaluronidase sensitivity test**

To measure the degradation rate of the hyaluronidase on hydrogels, a Type I - S
hyaluronidase from bovine testes (Sigma Aldrich; ref. H3506, 451 Units/mg) was prepared at the concentration of 6080U/ml in an isotonic phosphate-NaCl buffer at a pH of 7.4 [2]. The glass tubes containing the gel pellets and the hyaluronidase solution were pre-incubated separately for 10min at 37°C. Then, 100 µl of the enzyme solution was added gently onto the surface of the gels and, after the incubation for 1h, 3h, 6h, 24h, 48h, 72h, 120h and 168h the enzymatic reaction was stopped by the addition of 0.1ml of a potassium tetraborate solution (0.8 mol/L, pH 9.1), followed by a stirring with a vortex mixer and heating for 3min at 100°C. The tubes were then cooled at room temperature, and the released NAG was assayed.

**Assay of the released NAG**

The measurement of the released NAG was performed according to the Reissig et al. method [1]. Briefly, a diluted 1:10 Ehrlich’s reagent (Sigma Aldrich) in acetic acid was added to the tubes. The samples were vortexed and incubated for 20min at 37°C, to develop a violet colour, proportional to the released NAG content in each sample. The tubes were centrifuged at 1000 g for 15min to remove the gel fragments and the turbidity in the reaction. Then, the samples were read with a microplate reader (Multiskan-Go, Fisher Scientific) at 585 nm wavelength against the blank prepared with the only phosphate buffer and the Ehrlich’s reagent.

**Results**

**Hyaluronidase sensitivity test**

To measure the degradation rate of Neauvia Intense, the product was weighed and incubated with a constant concentration of hyaluronidase enzyme. The amount of NaHA (mg) contained in the weighed product was reported in Table 1.

| Time (h) | Abs (585nm) | Abs per g of NaHA | Total mg of NAG released | mg NAG/g released |
|---------|-------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 1h      | 0.17±0.005  | 0.003±0.003      | 0.25±0.055               | 0.16±0.032        |
| 3h      | 0.18±0.050  | 0.003±0.008      | 0.40±0.141               | 0.25±0.057        |
| 6h      | 0.22±0.032  | 0.004±0.010      | 0.67±0.094               | 0.37±0.054        |
| 24h     | 0.31±0.063  | 0.006±0.020      | 1.60±0.315               | 0.80±0.152        |
| 48h     | 0.53±0.0105 | 0.010±0.030      | 3.40±0.150               | 3.33±0.075        |
| 72h     | 0.79±0.080  | 0.013±0.033      | 6.79±0.256               | 11.63±0.114       |
| 120h    | 0.86±0.074  | 0.015±0.032      | 9.83±0.221               | 12.52±0.107       |
| 168h    | 0.87±0.001  | 0.146±0.000      | 2.48±0.004               | 12.27±0.019       |

Results obtained after different times of incubation of Neauvia Intense® with a constant amount of hyaluronidase enzyme (Figure 2) showed an increase in the absorbance values (585 nm) concerning the blank sample.

**Figure 2:** Absorbance values obtained after the incubation of Neauvia Intense® with the hyaluronidase enzyme for different times of treatment. Gel wt= 0.2g; Vol hyaluronidase = 100µl; Conc. hyaluronidase sample= 6080 U/ml; Temp= 37°C. Each point is the mean of at least two measurements ± SD

Interpolating these data with a standard NAG curve (data not shown), the amount of NAG (µg) released per g of product was calculated (Figure 3).
It is possible to note that the amount of NAG released increased with time and eventually levelled off from 120h of incubation on, suggesting complete digestion of the initial NaHA content in the sample.

In this study, the sensitivity of the product Neauvia Intense to a hyaluronidase from bovine testes was assayed. The growing interest of the aesthetic medicine sector in the use of such enzymes to dissolve or correct the injected hyaluronic acid filler, also avoiding misplacement HA complications derived from this injection practice, has been the starting point to deepen the mechanisms of action of the hyaluronidase on the Neauvia Intense product.

In detail, the method has been set up defining a determined quantity of product that was incubated with a constant concentration of hyaluronidase (6080 U/ml) at 37°C for a period ranging from 1 h to 168 h. The amount of NAG released, evaluated by the use of the Ehrlich’s reagent, was calculated from the absorbance values measured at determined times of analysis and was considered as the factor corresponding to the degradation rate of the hydrogel caused by the enzymatic digestion process. The calculation was based on the interpolation of the absorbance values obtained from each sample with the values obtained for the standard NAG curve in µg. To this end, the centrifugation step of the final medium reaction before the absorbance measurement was necessary to remove the turbidity and small gel fragments still present in the tube [32].

The obtained results showed that the product Neauvia Intense, put in contact with a constant concentration of hyaluronidase on the enzyme in an in vitro assay.
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