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1. Introduction

The correspondence between supersymmetric gauge theory and quantum integrable system was discovered, in an example, in [1], further explored in [2],[3], where it was speculated that such a correspondence should be a general property of a larger class of gauge theories in various space-time dimensions, and finally formulated and explained in [4][5][6]. Prior to [1] a connection of two dimensional pure Yang-Mills theory with massive matter to spin and many-body systems systems with long-range interaction appeared in [7],[8] (the embedding of pure two dimensional Yang-Mills theory into the supersymmetric theory was discussed in [9]). The three dimensional lift of that gauge theory describes the relativistic interacting particles [10], while the four dimensional theories lead to elliptic generalizations [11].

In [4][5][6] the correspondence, called the Bethe/gauge correspondence, between the two dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories and quantum integrable systems was formulated in full generality. The novelty of this correspondence is the identification of the Planck constant of the quantum integrable system with a twisted mass parameter on the gauge theory side. The dictionary further identifies the Yang-Yang function of the quantum integrable system with the effective twisted superpotential $\tilde{W}_{\text{eff}}$ of the gauge theory, which is a function of the Coulomb moduli, taking values in the Cartan subalgebra of the Lie algebra of the gauge group of the theory. The twisted superpotential can be computed exactly (given by one-loop formula). It allows to describe all the supersymmetric vacua of physical gauge theory in finite volume, i.e. on the space-time with the cylinder geometry, assuming all the matter fields are massive. The vacua correspond to the generalized critical points of $\tilde{W}_{\text{eff}}$, which are the critical points of the shifted effective twisted superpotential

$$\tilde{W}_{\text{eff}}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_N) = 2\pi i \sum_{a=1}^{N} n_a \sigma_a$$

(1.1)

In Bethe/gauge correspondence these equations are Bethe equations of corresponding quantum integrable system. In [4][5][6] explicit formulas for $\tilde{W}_{\text{eff}}$ for a very large class of theories were given, including the properly two dimensional theories, three dimensional theories compactified on a circle, and four dimensional theories compactified on the two-torus or subject to the two dimensional $\Omega$-deformation [12]; the interested reader can consult these papers for details. Our notations follow mostly the conventions from these papers.
The effective action of the gauge theory contains, in addition to the twisted F-terms determined by \( \tilde{W}^{\text{eff}} \), the effective dilaton interaction \( \tilde{U}^{\text{eff}}(\sigma)\mathcal{R}^{(2)} \), describing the response of the theory to the background gravitational field.

The effective gravitational interaction and its rôle in the Bethe/gauge-correspondence is the subject of the present short note.

2. The gauge theory

Before we turn to the topologically twisted theories, we give a brief review of the relevant physical gauge theories, following the notations of [4], [5].

2.1. Gauge theories with four supercharges

We study two dimensional \( \mathcal{N} = (2, 2) \) supersymmetric gauge theory with some matter. The matter fields are generally in the chiral multiplets which we denote by the letters \( Q, \tilde{Q}, \) and \( \Phi \) (sometimes we use \( X \) to denote matter fields without reference to their gauge representation type), the gauge fields are in the vector multiplet \( V \). We also use the twisted chiral multiplets \( \Sigma \), as e.g. the field strength \( \Sigma = \mathcal{D}_+ \bar{\mathcal{D}}_- V \) is in the twisted chiral multiplet.

\[
V = \theta^- \bar{\theta}^-(A_0 - A_1) + \theta^+ \bar{\theta}^+ (A_0 + A_1) - \sqrt{2} \sigma \theta^- \bar{\theta}^+ - \sqrt{2} \sigma \theta^+ \bar{\theta}^- + 2i \theta^- \theta^+ (\bar{\theta}^- \lambda_+ + \bar{\theta}^+ \lambda_-) + 2i \bar{\theta}^+ \bar{\theta}^- (\theta^+ \lambda_+ + \theta^- \lambda_-) + 2 \theta^- \theta^+ \bar{\theta}^- \bar{\theta}^+ H ,
\]

where we use a notation \( H \) for the auxiliary field (in most textbooks it is denoted by \( D \)).

\[
X = X(y) + \sqrt{2} (\theta^+ \psi_+(y) + \theta^- \psi_-(y)) + \theta^+ \theta^- F(y)
\]

where

\[
y^\pm = x^\pm - i \theta^\pm \bar{\theta}^\pm ,
\]

and the twisted chiral multiplet \( \Sigma \):

\[
\Sigma = \sigma(\bar{y}) + i \sqrt{2} \left( \theta^+ \bar{\lambda}_+(\bar{y}) - \bar{\theta}^- \lambda_-(\bar{y}) \right) + \sqrt{2} \theta^+ \bar{\theta}^- (H(\bar{y}) - i F_{01})
\]

where \( F_{01} = \partial_0 A_1 - \partial_1 A_0 + [A_0, A_1] \) is the gauge field strength, and

\[
\bar{y}^\pm = x^\pm \mp i \theta^\pm \bar{\theta}^\pm
\]
2.1.1. Lagrangians

The action of the corresponding two dimensional quantum field theory is a sum of three types of terms - the $D$-terms, the $F$-terms and the twisted $F$-terms:

$$A = \int d^2x d^4\theta (\text{tr} (\Sigma \overline{\Sigma}) + K(e^{\nabla/2} X, \overline{X} e^{\nabla/2})) + \int d^2x d\theta^+ d\theta^- (W(X) + \text{c.c.)})$$

$$+ \int d^2x d\theta^+ d\overline{\theta^-} (\overline{W}(\Sigma) + \text{c.c.)}) \quad (2.5)$$

2.1.2. Global symmetries and twisted masses

The typical $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ gauge theory has the matter fields $X$ transforming in some linear representation $R$ of the gauge group $G$. Let us specify the decomposition of $R$ onto the irreducible representations of $G$:

$$R = \bigoplus_i M_i \otimes R_i \quad (2.6)$$

where $R_i$ are the irreps of $G$, and $M_i$ are the multiplicity spaces. The group

$$G_{\text{max}}^{\text{f}} = \times_i U(M_i) \quad (2.7)$$

acts on $R$ and this action commutes with the gauge group action. The actual global symmetry group $G_\text{f}$ of the theory may be smaller then (2.7): $G_\text{f} \subset G_{\text{max}}^{\text{f}}$, as it has to preserve both $D$ and the $F$-terms in the action.

The theory we are interested in can be deformed by turning on the so-called twisted masses $\tilde{m}$ [13], which belong to the complexification of the Lie algebra of the maximal torus of $G_\text{f}$:

$$\tilde{m} = (\tilde{m}_i), \tilde{m}_i \in \text{End} (M_i) \cap G_\text{f} \quad (2.8)$$

The superspace expression for the twisted mass term is [14], [15]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \int d^4\theta \text{tr}_R X^\dagger \left( \sum_i e^{\tilde{\nu}_i} \otimes \text{Id}_{R_i} \right) X \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\tilde{\nu}_i = \tilde{m}_i \theta^+ \overline{\theta^-} \quad (2.10)$$

The twisted masses which preserve the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry will be denoted by $\mu$, and the ones which break it down to $\mathcal{N} = 2$, by $u$. 

3
2.2. Microscopic theories

Assuming the theory is two dimensional at high energies, \( \Lambda \to \infty \), the two-observable part of the topological theory action is determined by the theta angle and the Fayet-Iliopoulos term of the microscopic theory:

\[
\tilde{W}^{uv} = \sum_i t_i \, \text{tr} \, N_i \sigma_i
\]  

(2.11)

where we assumed the gauge group to be the product of the \( U(N_i) \) factors, and

\[
t_i = \frac{\vartheta_i}{2\pi} + i r_i
\]

(2.12)

Finally, if the microscopic theory is a four dimensional \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) theory, with complexified couplings \( \tau_i \),

\[
\tau_i = \frac{\vartheta_i}{2\pi} + \frac{4\pi i}{g_i^2}
\]

(2.13)

subject to a two-dimensional \( \Omega \)-deformation with the parameter \( \varepsilon \), then the two-observable is given by:

\[
\tilde{W}^{uv} = \sum_i \frac{2\pi \tau_i}{\varepsilon} \, \text{tr} \, N_i \sigma_i^2
\]

(2.14)

2.3. Effective theory

When the twisted masses are turned on in the generic fashion, the matter fields are massive and can be integrated out. As a result, the theory becomes an effective pure \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) gauge theory with an infinite number of interaction terms in the Lagrangian, with the high derivative terms suppressed by the inverse masses of the fields we integrated out. Of all these terms the \( F \)-terms, i.e. the effective superpotential, or the twisted \( F \)-terms, i.e. the effective twisted superpotential, can be computed exactly. In fact, these terms only receive one-loop contributions. Let \( \tilde{m} \) denote collectively the set of the twisted masses of the fields we are integrating out. One can write:

\[
\tilde{W}^{\text{eff}} = \tilde{W}^{uv} + \tilde{W}_{\text{matter}} + \tilde{W}_{\text{gauge}}
\]

(2.15)

The subscript “matter” in \( \tilde{W}_{\text{matter}} \) in (2.15) stresses the fact that it only includes the loop contribution of the matter fields:

\[
\tilde{W}_{\text{matter}} = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} \frac{\Lambda_{uv}^s}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t^2} \, t^s \, \text{tr} \, \mathcal{R} \, e^{-t(\sigma + \tilde{m})}
\]

(2.16)
If the theory is properly two dimensional, that is $\mathcal{R}$ is finite dimensional, then (2.16) can be evaluated to give:

$$\tilde{W}_{\text{matter}}^{2d} = \text{tr} \mathcal{R} (\sigma + \tilde{m}) \left( \log \left( \frac{\sigma + \tilde{m}}{\Lambda_{\text{uv}}} \right) - 1 \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.17)

The ultraviolet scale $\Lambda_{\text{uv}}$ is absorbed in the renormalized Fayet-Illiopoulos term.

If the theory is three dimensional, compactified on a circle of radius $R$, then the representation $\mathcal{R}$ is the space of periodic functions $\psi(z)$, $z \sim z + 2\pi R$, valued in some finite dimensional representation $\mathcal{R}_3$ of the gauge group $G$. The trace $\text{tr} \mathcal{R}$ involves the sum over the Kaluza-Klein modes, $\tilde{m} \rightarrow \tilde{m} + \frac{in}{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The sum forces the “proper-time” $t$ in (2.16) to be quantized: $t = 2\pi kR$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, leading to:

$$\tilde{W}_{\text{matter}}^{3d} = \text{tr} \mathcal{R}_3 \text{Li}_2 \left( e^{-2\pi R (\sigma + \tilde{m})} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.18)

In [4][5][6] one can find the expressions for the effective twisted superpotentials of the four dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory, compactified on a torus $T^2$ or the four dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory subject to a special $\Omega$-background. These formulas are much more complicated and we shall not write them here.

There are other massive fields which can be integrated out on the Coulomb branch. For example, the $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}$-components of the vector multiplets (where $\mathfrak{g}$ denotes Lie algebra corresponding to Lie groups $G$ and $\mathfrak{t}$ is its Cartan sub-algebra), the $W$-bosons and their superpartners. Their contribution to the effective twisted superpotential is rather simple: in two dimensions

$$\tilde{W}_{\text{gauge}}^{2d} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \langle \alpha, \sigma \rangle \left( \log \langle \alpha, \sigma \rangle - 1 \right) = -2\pi i \langle \rho, \sigma \rangle$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.19)

where

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.20)

is half the sum of the positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. In three dimensions,

$$\tilde{W}_{\text{gauge}}^{3d} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \text{Li}_2 \left( e^{\langle \alpha, \sigma \rangle} \right) = -2\pi i \langle \rho, \sigma \rangle - \frac{h_{\mathfrak{g}}^\vee}{24} \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.21)

It may appear that the expressions (2.19)(2.21) are inconsistent with the gauge invariance, however the effective interaction (2.19) is gauge invariant (in the three dimensional case this has to do with the effective Chern-Simons term and the celebrated shift $k \rightarrow k + \frac{h_{\mathfrak{g}}^\vee}{24}$, see also the Eq. (3.7) below).
2.3.1. Superpotential deformations and twisted masses

The supersymmetric field theories also have the superpotential deformations, which correspond to the $F$-terms in (2.5). The superpotential $W$ has to be a holomorphic gauge invariant function of the chiral fields, such as $\Phi, Q, \bar{Q}$. It may be not invariant under the maximal symmetry group $G_t^{\text{max}}$, thus breaking it to a subgroup $G_t$. For example, the so-called complex mass of the fundamental and anti-fundamental fields comes from the superpotential $W_m = \sum_{a,b} m^b_a \bar{Q}_b Q^a$, which breaks the $U(n_f) \times U(n_f)$ group down to $U(1)^{\min(n_f,n_f)}$.

In all cases discussed in this paper, in spacetime dimensions two, three and four, one can consider more sophisticated superpotentials, involving the fundamental, anti-fundamental, and adjoint chiral fields:

$$W_{m,s} = \sum_{a,b} \bar{Q}^a m^b_a (\Phi) Q_b = \sum_{a,b,s} m^b_{a;s} \bar{Q}^a \Phi^{2s} Q_b$$

(2.22)

3. Effective action and topological theory

The supersymmetric theory can be topologically twisted, in a number of ways, so as to preserve some supersymmetry even on the curved backgrounds.

This is accomplished by identifying the $U(1)$ Lorentz symmetry with a diagonal subgroup in the product of the Lorentz $U(1)$ and one of the R-symmetry $U(1)$'s. The vector multiplet fields become, after the twist, a gauge field $A$, a one-form fermion $\lambda$ in the adjoint representation, two scalar fermions $\eta, \chi$ both in the adjoint, and the complex scalar $\sigma, \bar{\sigma}$.

The twist in the matter sector is defined ambiguously, the choice being the additional twist in the flavor group. The only requirement is that the superpotential $W$ after the twist becomes a $(1,0)$-form on the world sheet $\Sigma$. This is always possible to achieve if the superpotential is quasi-homogeneous with respect to some R-symmetry.

Let us denote the topological supercharge, i.e. the supercharge of the original theory, which becomes, upon twisting, a Lorentz scalar, by $Q$:

$$Q^2 = 0$$

(3.1)

One can associate three topological theories to the given physical supersymmetric gauge theory with a choice of twist. Namely, the original microscopic theory, the effective gauge
theory, which is obtained from the microscopic theory by integrating out the matter multiplets, and the effective abelian gauge theory, which is obtained from the effective gauge theory by integrating our the massive vector multiplets. The advantage of the topological field theory formalism is the possibility of throwing away the non-local terms, generated by the higher loops of the integrated out fields, as long as one can show that these are \( Q \)-exact.

At every scale \( \Lambda \) the action functional of the resulting topological theory can be written as:

\[
S^\Lambda = \int_\Sigma \left( \frac{\partial W^\Lambda}{\partial \sigma^a} F^a + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 W^\Lambda}{\partial \sigma^a \partial \sigma^b} \psi^a \wedge \psi^b + U^\Lambda(\sigma) R^{(2)} \right) + Q(\ldots) \tag{3.2}
\]

which is the sum of the two-observable constructed out of some gauge-invariant (modulo little subtleties) function \( W^\Lambda(\sigma) \) of the complex adjoint scalar \( \sigma \) in the vector multiplet, and the zero-observable \( U^\Lambda(\sigma) \), integrated against the Euler density \( R^{(2)} \). The scalar fields \( (\sigma^a) \) parametrize the Lie algebra of the gauge group for \( \Lambda \) above the scale of the mass of the \( W \)-bosons. For \( \Lambda \) below that scale the scalars \( (\sigma^a) \) parametrize the Cartan subalgebra of the gauge group. The two-observable part of the topological theory action is directly related to the effective action of the physical theory:

\[
W^\Lambda(\sigma) = \tilde{W}^{\text{eff}}(\sigma; \Lambda) \tag{3.3}
\]

where in the right hand side we made explicit the scale dependence.

We have discussed the ultraviolet expressions for \( \tilde{W}^{uv} = W^\infty \), in the previous section. There is one subtlety in the case the theory is the three dimensional theory compactified to two dimensions on a circle.

Indeed, suppose the microscopic theory is three dimensional, with \( N = 2 \) supersymmetry. It cannot be topologically twisted on a general three-manifold. However, on manifolds with an isometry, it has a twist, producing a supercharge \( Q \) which squares to the translation along the isometry. The theory can be deformed by Chern-Simons couplings

\[
S_{\text{CS}} = \sum_i \frac{k_i}{4\pi} \int \text{tr}_{N_i} \left( A_i \wedge dA_i + \frac{2}{3} A_i \wedge A_i \wedge A_i \right) \tag{3.4}
\]

which can be completed to a \( Q \)-closed interaction if accompanied by the term [16]:

\[
\sum_i k_i \int \text{tr}_{N_i} (\varphi_i F_{A_i} + \lambda_i \lambda_i) \wedge dz \tag{3.5}
\]
Now suppose the theory is compactified on a circle $S^1$ of radius $R$, $z \sim z + 2\pi R$, then the two dimensional field $\sigma_i$ is the sum of the real adjoint scalar $\varphi_i$ and the component $i\partial_z A_i$ of the gauge field along the circle

$$\sigma_i = i\partial_z A_i + i\varphi_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

In this case the two-observable part of the topological theory action is determined by the Chern-Simons levels:

$$\tilde{W}^{uv} = \sum_i 2\pi k_i R \text{tr} N_i \sigma_i^2$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

3.1. BPS equations

The path integral of the topological field theory obtained by the twist of the gauge theory reduces to the finite-dimensional integral over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$ of solutions to a system of partial differential equations over the Riemann surface $\Sigma$:

$$D_{\bar{z}}X^i = -G^{ij}\frac{\partial W_z^{\bar{z}}}{\partial X^j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.8)

$$F_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} + \mu(X, \overline{X}) = 0$$

considered up to the action of gauge group.

Here $X^i$ denote the holomorphic coordinates on the space of scalar components of the chiral matter multiplets, and

$$G_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\partial^2 K(X, \overline{X})}{\partial X^i \partial \overline{X}^j}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

is the Kähler metric defined by the kinetic term (2.5), $\mu(X, \overline{X})$ is the $g^*$-valued moment map including the Fayet-Illiopoulos terms, associated with the symplectic form

$$\omega = \sum_{i,j} G_{i\bar{j}}dX^i \wedge d\overline{X}^j$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.10)

Finally, $W_z$ denotes the superpotential $W(X)$ (not to be confused with the twisted superpotential), cf. (2.5). The subscript $z$ in $W_z$ signifies the fact that upon the $A$-type twist, the kind of twist we use in this paper, the superpotential becomes a $(1,0)$-form on the world sheet.

For example, in the theory with the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ fundamental hypermultiplet $(Q, \tilde{Q})$ and the adjoint chiral multiplet $\Phi$, with the superpotential (2.22)

$$W = \tilde{Q}\Phi^{2s}Q$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.11)
the symmetric twist makes $\Phi$ a $(1, 0)$ form, while $(Q, \tilde{Q})$ become the $(\frac{1}{2} - s, 0)$-forms. The more general twists make $\Phi$ a $(u, 0)$-differential, the $Q$ an $(\frac{1}{2} - s \cdot u + t, 0)$-differential, and $\tilde{Q}$ an $(\frac{1}{2} - s \cdot u - t, 0)$-differential. As a result, the superpotential (3.11) is the $(1, 0)$-differential.

The moduli space (3.8) can be deformed, by scaling the superpotential down to zero, to the moduli space of stable Higgs pairs $(E, X)$, where $E$ is a holomorphic $G_C$-bundle on $\Sigma$, and $X$ is the holomorphic section of the vector bundle

$$\mathcal{R}_{E, \rho} = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{M}_{i, \rho} \otimes \mathcal{R}_i$$

(3.12)

associated with $E$ and the representations $\mathcal{R}_i$ of $G$, and the homomorphism $\rho$ from $U(1)_\Sigma$ to $G_f$. For the example (3.11) the bundle $\mathcal{R}_{E, \rho}$ is the sum

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{E}, \rho} = (E \otimes E^* \otimes K^\Sigma_{\Sigma}) \oplus \left( E \otimes K^{su-t+\frac{1}{2}}_\Sigma \right) \oplus \left( E^* \otimes K^{su+t+\frac{1}{2}}_\Sigma \right)$$

(3.13)

where $E$ is a holomorphic rank $N$ vector bundle on $\Sigma$.

The moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is acted upon by the subgroup $G^\rho_f$ of $G_f$, commuting with the image of $\rho$. Generically, $G^\rho_f$ has the same maximal tori as $G_f$. Let us denote it by $T_f$. Let us denote the image of the canonical generator of $U(1)_\Sigma$ in the corresponding Cartan subalgebra $\text{Lie} T_f$ by $S$.

The path integral of the topological field theory computing the correlation functions of the $Q$-invariant observables reduces to the $T_f$-equivariant integral of the corresponding cohomology classes on $\mathcal{M}$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \ldots \mathcal{O}_p \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \Omega_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \Omega_p$$

(3.14)

3.2. Effective action from the index theorem

The effective twisted superpotential $\mathcal{W}$ and the effective dilaton $\mathcal{U}$, determining the topological theory action (3.2) can be computed from the equivariant Atiyah-Singer, or Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck index theorem [17].

3.2.1. The matter contribution

The idea is to consider the two maps: the projection

$$p : \mathcal{M} \to \text{Bun}_{G_C}$$

(3.15)
which sends the pair \((\mathcal{E}, X)\) to \(\mathcal{E}\), and the inclusion

\[
i : \text{Bun}_{G_C} \to \mathcal{M}^\circ
\]

which sends the bundle \(\mathcal{E}\) to the pair \((\mathcal{E}, 0)\). The latter need not be stable, therefore the target \(\mathcal{M}^\circ\) of that map is somewhat larger than \(\mathcal{M}\), and is, likely, singular. We shall ignore this subtlety in what follows.

The cohomology classes (3.14) get pushed forward to \(\text{Bun}_{G_C}\):

\[
p_*(\Omega_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \Omega_p) = \frac{i^*(\Omega_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \Omega_p)}{\text{Euler}(\mathcal{N})}
\]

where \(\mathcal{N}\) is the normal bundle to the locus \(X = 0\) in \(\mathcal{M}\) and \(\text{Euler}\) denotes the equivariant Euler class.

From (3.17) we derive:

\[
\int_{\Sigma} O^{(2)}_{\text{W matter}} + c_1(\Sigma) O^{(0)}_{\text{U matter}} = \\
\left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} \frac{\Lambda_{uv}^s}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t^2} t^s \int_{\Sigma} Td^t_{\Sigma} \wedge \text{tr}_R e^{-t(\sigma + \psi + F + \tilde{m})}
\]

where

\[
Td^t_{\Sigma} = 1 + \frac{t}{2} c_1(\Sigma)
\]

and \(\tilde{m}\) is a background twisted chiral superfield, associated to the flavor symmetry. Somewhat confusingly, the term \textit{twisted} applies to \(\tilde{m}\) in two ways. First of all, in the physical supersymmetry, the flavor symmetry can be weakly gauged, producing a background vector multiplet \(\mathcal{V}_t\). To this multiplet on associates the background twisted chiral multiplet \(\mathcal{M}_t = \mathcal{D}_+ \mathcal{D}_- \mathcal{V}_t\). Upon the topological twist the components of this superfield further change spin, producing the superfield \(\tilde{m}\). We are interested only in the bosonic components of \(\tilde{m}\), which are the scalar \(\tilde{m}\) (the matrix of twisted masses), and the two form \(S \cdot c_1(\Sigma)\), which is the matrix of spins \(S\) of the formerly scalar components of the chiral matter multiplets, times the two-form of the worldsheet curvature, representing the first Chern class \(c_1(\Sigma)\) of the tangent bundle to \(\Sigma\).
3.2.2. The gauge contribution

Analogously to the computation of $\tilde{W}_{\text{matter}}$, $W_{\text{matter}}$, $U_{\text{matter}}$, the effects of the massive gauge multiplets, the $W$-bosons and their superpartners, can be interpreted using index theory. The idea is to consider the inclusion

$$j : \text{Bun}_{TC} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{GC}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.20)

which is defined at the level of the moduli stacks of holomorphic principal bundles on a Riemann surface $\Sigma$. The moduli spaces of stable bundles, or unitary flat connections, usually considered by physicists, would not do here. One then applies the corresponding version of the Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck formula (here the contribution of Grothendieck is crucial). In physics language this corresponds to the idea, exploited in [18][19][20], that one can choose (generically) a gauge $\sigma(x) \in \mathfrak{t}$, thereby reducing the gauge group locally from $G$ to $T$. In this way the non-abelian localization of [9] becomes the usual localization with respect to the infinite dimensional gauge group of $T$-valued gauge transformations.

At any rate, the calculation of the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle to $\text{Bun}_{TC}$ in $\text{Bun}_{GC}$ reduces to the RRG formula:

$$\text{Euler}(TB\text{Bun}_{GC}/TB\text{Bun}_{TC}) = Ch(H^1(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t})) = -\int_{\Sigma} Td\Sigma Tr_g/t e^\sigma$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.21)

where we assumed the vanishing of the zero’th cohomology, $H^0 = 0$. From (3.21) one computes:

$$\int_\Sigma O^{(2)}_{W_{\text{gauge}}} + c_1(\Sigma)O^{(0)}_{U_{\text{gauge}}} =$$

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left| \frac{\Lambda_{uv}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t^2} t^s \int_{\Sigma} Td^2_{\Sigma} \wedge tr_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}} e^{-t(\sigma + \psi + F)} \right|_{s=0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.22)

In the case of the three dimensional theory, we are interested not in the Euler class of the normal bundle, but in that of the exterior algebra:

$$\text{Euler} \left( \bigwedge y (TB\text{Bun}_{GC}/TB\text{Bun}_{TC}) \right) =$$

$$\exp \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{y^n}{n} Ch(\psi^n H^1(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t})) = \exp \int_{\Sigma} Td\Sigma Tr_g/t \log (1 - ye^\sigma)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.23)

The Kaluza-Klein resummed (3.22) becomes:

$$\int_\Sigma O^{(2)}_{W_{3\text{d}}_{\text{gauge}}} + c_1(\Sigma)O^{(0)}_{U_{3\text{d}}_{\text{gauge}}} =$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \int_{\Sigma} Td^2_{\Sigma} \wedge tr_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}} e^{-2\pi in(\sigma + \psi + F)}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.24)

resulting in the Eqs. (3.33) and (3.35) below.
3.2.3. The cutoff dependence

We need to say a few words about the $\Lambda_{uv}$-dependence. Clearly, Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck formula does not have the ultraviolet cut-off in it. It is easy to compute the $\Lambda_{uv}$-dependence of (3.18):

$$\log \Lambda_{uv} (\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M} - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Bun_{GC})$$

so we get a factor of $\Lambda_{uv}$ per fermionic zero mode, the usual conversion between the topological and physical supersymmetric theory [21].

3.2.4. More examples of effective twisted superpotentials

The formulas (2.15)(2.17)(2.18)(2.19)(2.21) give the examples of effective twisted superpotentials of the two and three dimensional theories. These formulas, as well as the formulas for the four dimensional $\mathcal{N}=1$ theories compactified on the two-torus can be found in [4][5].

Another interesting example comes from the $\Omega$-deformed four dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory, studied in [6]. The four dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theory can be deformed in the background with $U(1) \times U(1)$ isometry generated by the vector fields $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$, $[\Omega_1, \Omega_2] = 0$,

$$\Omega_a = (x^{2a-1} \partial_{x^{2a}} - x^{2a} \partial_{x^{2a-1}}), \quad a = 1, 2$$

by, roughly speaking, promoting the adjoint Higgs field to the differential operator:

$$\sigma \longrightarrow \sigma + \epsilon_1 \nabla_{\Omega_1} + \epsilon_2 \nabla_{\Omega_2}$$

The $\mathcal{N}=2$ super-Poincare super-algebra of flat Minkowski background is broken, by the $\Omega$-deformation, to the small superalgebra generated by a complex supercharge $Q$ with the commutation relation $Q^2 = \text{rotation}$ generated by the complex vector field $\epsilon_1 \Omega_1 + \epsilon_2 \Omega_2$.

We shall use the gauge theory partition function,

$$Z(a, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; m, \tau)$$

i.e. the supersymmetric partition function of the theory on $\mathbb{R}^4$ with appropriate boundary conditions at infinity, with the adjoint Higgs approaching the conjugacy class $a$ at infinity:

$$\sigma (r \to \infty) \longrightarrow a = \text{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_N)$$
with the masses $m$ corresponding to the flavor symmetries and the complexified gauge couplings $\tau$. The $Z$-function contains the information, among other things, about the properties of the two dimensional effective theory obtained by the $\Omega$-deformation in two dimensions, i.e. with $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = (\epsilon, 0)$.

The effective twisted superpotential of the effective two dimensional theory is the sum of two terms: the universal part, which captures the ultraviolet gauge dynamics

$$W^{\text{univ}}(\sigma = a, m, \epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon_2 \to 0} \epsilon_2 \log Z(a, \epsilon_1 = \epsilon, \epsilon_2; m, \tau) \quad (3.30)$$

and the infrared one-loop part, which depends crucially on boundary conditions at infinity in the plane, transverse to the two-dimensional space-time [22]. Here the parameter $\epsilon$ of the $\Omega$-background is one of the twisted masses, it corresponds to the flavor symmetry of the two dimensional theory, which is the rotational symmetry of the four dimensional theory, corresponding to the rotations transverse to the two dimensional space-time.

### 3.2.5. Gravitational couplings

Just like the effective twisted superpotential splits as a sum (2.15) of the tree-level part, the one-loop contribution from the matter fields and the one-loop contribution from the massive $W$-bosons, so does the effective gravitational coupling

$$U^{\text{eff}} = U^{\text{uv}} + U^{\text{matter}} + U^{\text{gauge}} \quad (3.31)$$

Now, in (3.18) the scalar component of $m$ is equal to the twisted mass matrix $\tilde{m}$ while the two-form is equal to $c_1(\Sigma)$ times the matrix $S$ of spins of the lowest components of the matter field after the twist (see [4][5][6]). In two dimensions, i.e. for finite dimensional $R$ we conclude:

$$U^{\text{matter}} = \text{tr} R \left( \frac{1}{2} - S \right) \log \left( \frac{\sigma + \tilde{m}}{\Lambda_{uv}} \right) \quad (3.32)$$

The massive gauge supermultiplets contribute:

$$U^{\text{gauge}} = \sum_{\alpha} \log \left( \frac{\langle \alpha, \sigma \rangle}{\Lambda_{uv}} \right) = \log \frac{\Delta^2(\sigma)}{(-\Lambda_{uv}^2)^{\text{dim}(g/t)/2}} \quad (3.33)$$

the logarithm of the Vandermonde determinant, or the rational Weyl denominator.

In three dimensions, the sum over the Kaluza-Klein modes of matter fields gives (cf. (3.23)):

$$U^{3d}_{\text{matter}} = \text{tr} R_3 \left( \frac{1}{2} - S \right) \log \left( 1 - e^{-2\pi R(\sigma + \tilde{m})} \right) \quad (3.34)$$
The contribution of the massive vector multiplets in three dimensions sums up to (cf. (3.23)):

\[ U^{3d}_{\text{gauge}} = \sum_{\alpha} \log \left( 1 - e^{-2\pi R(\alpha, \sigma)} \right) \]  

which is essentially the Weyl denominator, or trigonometric Vandermonde determinant.

Observe that in two and three dimensions the matter contribution to the effective gravitational coupling \( U_{\text{matter}} \) is determined by the effective twisted superpotential:

\[ U_{\text{matter}}(\sigma; \tilde{m}, S) = \left( \frac{1}{2} - S \right) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{m}} \mathcal{W}(\sigma; \tilde{m}) \]  

Let us also give the expression for the gravitational coupling of the two dimensional theory, obtained from the four dimensional \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) theory by the two dimensional \( \Omega \)-deformation with parameter \( \epsilon \):

\[ U(\sigma = a, m, \epsilon) = \text{Coeff}_{\epsilon_2} \log \mathcal{Z}(a, \epsilon_1 = \epsilon, \epsilon_2; m, \tau) \]  

at \( \epsilon_2 \to 0 \). Here the relation like (3.36) is spoiled by the non-perturbative effects of four dimensional instantons.

Now let us discuss the contribution of the massive vector fields. In two dimensions,

4. Topological partition function

Once \( \mathcal{W}^0 \) and \( \mathcal{U}^0 \) are calculated, the generating function of correlators of 0-observables of the chiral ring generators \( O_A(\sigma) \) on the Riemann surface \( \Sigma \) of genus \( g \) is given by the sum over the generalized critical points of the effective twisted superpotential:

\[ Z_g(t) = \sum_B \mathcal{H}(\sigma_B)^{g-1} e^{-\sum_A t_A \sigma_A(\sigma_B)} \]  

where \( \sigma_B \) are the solutions of the vacuum equations (Bethe equations)

\[ B : \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{W}^0(\sigma)}{\partial \sigma^i} = n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \]  

and \( \mathcal{H}(\sigma_B) \) is computed from \( \mathcal{W} \) and \( \mathcal{U} \) as follows:

\[ \mathcal{H}(\sigma) = e^{-2\mathcal{U}^0(\sigma)} \cdot \text{Det} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{W}^0}{\partial \sigma_i \partial \sigma_j} \right\| \cdot \Delta^{-2}(\sigma) \]  

and \( \Delta(\sigma) \) is the Vandermonde determinant:

\[ \Delta(\sigma) = \prod_{\alpha > 0} \langle \alpha, \sigma \rangle. \]  

The second step is the analysis of the two dimensional Yang-Mills theory, which follows that of [9].
4.1. Topological metric and the handle gluing operator

Recall that the two dimensional topological field theory defines a commutative and associative algebra \( \mathcal{A} \). The generators of this algebra as of the vector space over \( \mathbb{C} \) are the linearly independent operators \( \mathcal{O}_A \) in the cohomology of the operator \( \mathcal{Q} \). They multiplication defines the structure constants:

\[
\mathcal{O}_A \cdot \mathcal{O}_B = C_{AB}^C \mathcal{O}_C + \{ \mathcal{Q}, \ldots \}
\]  

(4.5)

Let us assume that the identity operator \( \mathcal{O}_0 = 1 \) belongs to the algebra (this is an assumption of a version of compactness of the target space). The three-point function on the sphere defines a related 3-tensor:

\[
\langle \mathcal{O}_A(0)\mathcal{O}_B(1)\mathcal{O}_C(\infty) \rangle_{S^2} = C_{ABC}
\]  

(4.6)

Namely, let us define the topological metric

\[
\eta_{AB} = C_{AB0},
\]  

(4.7)

which we assume to be invertible, \( \eta^{AB} \eta_{BC} = \delta^A_C \), then

\[
C_{AB}^C = \eta^{CD} C_{ABD}
\]  

(4.8)

The following element of the algebra is canonically defined:

\[
\mathcal{H} = \eta^{AB} C_{AB}^C \mathcal{O}_C = \eta^{AB} \mathcal{O}_A \cdot \mathcal{O}_B \in \mathcal{A}
\]  

(4.9)

It is called the handle gluing operator. Its significance is due to the following simple fact:

\[
Z_g = \text{tr}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{H}^{g-1}
\]  

(4.10)

The application of this formula to the three dimensional theory, obtained by deforming the minimally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory by the Chern-Simons observable produces an alternative derivation of Verlinde formula, cf. [19][23][17][16]. Of course the physical derivation skips various subtleties, which are by now thoroughly addressed in [24][25].
4.2. Handle gluing operator in Landau-Ginzburg theory and in Gromov-Witten theory

A prototypical example of the topological field theory is the Landau-Ginzburg theory of some chiral fields \( X^i, i = 1, \ldots, n \) with the superpotential \( W(X) \) and the volume form

\[
\Omega = \Omega(x) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^n.
\]

It is a B model, with the chiral ring being the local ring of \( W \):

\[
\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[X]/\langle \partial_1 W, \ldots, \partial_n W \rangle
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.11)

The three point function on the sphere given by:

\[
\langle O_{f_1} O_{f_2} O_{f_3} \rangle = \oint_{\Gamma} \Omega \left( \frac{f_1(X) f_2(X) f_3(X)}{\Omega^{-1}(x) \partial_1 W \ldots \partial_n W} \right)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.12)

The handle gluing operator is given by, in this case (cf. [26])

\[
\mathcal{H} = \text{Det} \left( \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial X^i \partial X^j} \right) \Omega(x)^{-2}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.13)

The Gromov-Witten theory is the A type topological sigma model with some target space \( V \) coupled to topological gravity. The (twisted) chiral ring \( \mathcal{A} \) is the cohomology \( H^*(V) \), with the deformed multiplication, called the quantum multiplication. The topological metric \( \eta_{AB} \) is actually the classical intersection pairing. The three point function on the sphere given by:

\[
\langle O_{\omega_1} O_{\omega_2} O_{\omega_3} \rangle = \sum_{\beta \in H_2(V, \mathbb{Z})} e^{-\int \beta \int_{M_{0,3}(V, \beta)} ev_1^* \omega_1 \wedge ev_2^* \omega_2 \wedge ev_3^* \omega_3}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.14)

4.3. The handle gluing operator in the topological gauge theory

We have effectively computed the operator \( \mathcal{H} \) in the topological gauge theories related to the Bethe/gauge correspondence
4.4. Implications for Gaudin conjecture

There is an interesting basis in the twisted chiral ring coming from the Bethe/gauge correspondence:

\[ \mathcal{O}_A \leftrightarrow \Psi(x; \sigma) \quad (4.15) \]

where \( \Psi(x; \sigma) \) is the wave function of the Bethe state \( |\sigma\rangle \) of the quantum integrable system [27]. In other words this is the function on some space with the coordinates \( x \), possibly discrete, solving the eigenvalue problem for the commuting quantum integrals of motion:

\[ \hat{H}_{i,x} \Psi(x; \sigma) = E_i(\sigma)\Psi(x; \sigma) \quad (4.16) \]

where we indicated that the quantum Hamiltonians \( \hat{H}_{i,x} \) act in the \( x \)-space. Their eigenvalues depend on the spectral parameters \( \sigma \), which are the scalars in the vector multiplet on the gauge side of the correspondence. Of course (4.16) does not fix the normalization of \( \Psi(x; \sigma) \). It is remarkable that there exists a natural normalization where the topological metric \( \eta^{AB} \) acts as the PT-conjugation (Hermitian conjugation on the real slice):

\[ \eta^{AB} \mathcal{O}_B \leftrightarrow \Psi^*(x; \sigma) \quad (4.17) \]

so that the handle gluing operator becomes:

\[ \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\sigma) = \sum_x \Psi^*(x; \sigma)\Psi(x; \sigma) \quad (4.18) \]

The formula (4.3) then becomes the statement of Gaudin’s conjecture on the norm of the Bethe states, proven by V. Korepin in many interesting examples [28] (see also e.g. [29]).
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