Effect of pregnancy on breast and cervical carcinogenesis, the two most common female cancers

Abstract

Statistical reports have shown that breast and cervical malignancies are the most frequent cancers found in the general female population. As more women choose to delay childbearing, the frequency of associated breast cancer is increasing and it is now the most common pregnancy associated carcinoma. The influence of pregnancy on the risk of breast cancer is dependent on several maternal features related to reproductive, genetic and hormonal factors. The effects of pregnancy on the course of breast cancer and its prognosis are complex. It is known that breast cancer is more aggressive in younger women, but whether it is more aggressive during pregnancy in these same women is debatable. One of the reasons for which overall prognosis is diminished in pregnant women is because breast cancer is usually diagnosed at a more advanced stage during pregnancy. Ranked second as the most common malignancy in the female population, after breast carcinoma, cancer of the cervix, where HPV (Human papillomavirus) infection is a necessary cause, has a high incidence of 8.9% in all races and a death rate of 2.8%. It is the second cause of cancer death in females aged between 20 and 39 years and it is now known that pregnancy can play an important role in cervical carcinogenesis as well. The aim of this review is to see how the hormonal changes occurring during pregnancy increases the risk of both breast and cervical cancers.
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Introduction

Pregnancy-associated breast cancer (PABC) is defined as any breast malignancy diagnosed during pregnancy or up to one year postpartum. The incidence of PABC is increasing and at a reported range of 1:3,000 to 1:10,000 pregnancies, it is presently the most frequently diagnosed type of cancer during pregnancy. The average age of women with PABC is between 32 and 38 years. The diagnosis of PABC is expected to become more frequent, since the percentage of women aged 35 years and over at first birth has increased, underscoring the increasing trend for women to delay childbearing. It is noted that breast cancer diagnosed within 5 years postpartum has a 2.8 times higher risk for metastasis and 2.7 times higher mortality risk than that in nulliparous women. The mechanisms that make pregnancy a poor prognostic factor remain unclear; while some suggest that this may be associated with the hormonal changes physiological to pregnancy which possibly increases breast cancer aggressiveness, others think that this may be due to the fact that breast cancer is diagnosed at a more advanced stage in pregnancy. Along with the increased incidence of PABC, and ranked first as the most common reproductive tract malignancy associated with pregnancy, stage 1 cervical cancer is 3 times more likely to be diagnosed in pregnant women than in their non-pregnant matched controls. Based on their association with cervical cancer (CC), HPV genotypes are classified as low-risk or high-risk and it is known that persistent infection with high-risk HPV genotypes is a necessary although not sufficient cause of CC. The involvement of other factors, in addition to HPV, is therefore needed to induce cervical carcinogenesis and immune deficiency favors viral persistence and cervical cancer. Pregnancy can hasten and aggravate infection by high risk HPV (in particular, by HPV 16) and presents highly suitable conditions for HPV activation, persistence and transformation.

The effect of gestational hormones on breast carcinogenesis

Pregnancy has a double effect on breast cancer; an immediate increase in risk after childbirth followed by long-term protection, which becomes apparent after the age of 40 years. Both pregnancy and breast cancer are hormone dependent conditions which are under direct influence of estrogen, progesterone and human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG). Both hormonal and non-hormonal mechanisms have been suggested to explain the adverse effect of pregnancy on breast cancer risk. The hormonal factors associated with pregnancy play a complex role in the occurrence of PABC along with a specific genetic background. It is suspected that PABC prevalence might be higher in BRCA1 mutation carriers than in BRCA2 mutation. BRCA1 expression is induced during puberty and pregnancy and is believed to promote differentiation. Loss of BRCA1 nuclear expression is suggested to be involved in the development of breast cancer. Litwiniuk and colleagues proved that BRCA1 mutation carriers are more likely to have estrogen receptor ERα (–) breast cancer, while the expression of ERβ protein was observed in 42% of BRCA1-related tumors.

The role of breast cancer antiestrogen...
Effect of pregnancy on breast and cervical carcinogenesis, the two most common female cancers

How the hormonal changes during pregnancy favor cervical carcinogenesis

As per their association with cervical cancer (CC), HPV genotypes are classified as low-risk or high-risk. High-risk (HPV) is a small DNA tumor virus that infects the mucosal squamous epithelium and causes various malignant diseases in humans, with cancer of the cervix being one among them. HPV dependent carcinogenesis starts with the infection of HPV in episcopal form which initiates the transcription of viral gene products. This is followed by subsequent genomic integration of HPV oncogenes into the host genome. Pregnancy can hasten and aggravate infection by high-risk HPV (in particular, by HPV 16) and presents highly suitable conditions for HPV activation, persistence and transformation. This can be explained by the raised levels of estrogen and progesterone during pregnancy which brings the following changes.

The vaginal flora specifically presents an imbalance that favors the development of infectious agents, including HPV. During pregnancy, there occur anatomical modifications of the genital tract such as increased hypertrophy and congestion of the cervix followed by metaplasia. The transformation zone is maintained (TZ) on the exo-cervix (ectopic) for many years following pregnancy as a result of which this area of immature squamous metaplasia becomes more susceptible to the development of HPV infections and pre-neoplastic lesions. Under the influence of these hormones, the local immune microenvironment of the cervix is altered and this sensitizes the TZ to cervical cancer formation. The squamous epithelium of the cervix is composed of keratinocytes, which are the primary targets of HPV, and a type of immature dendrites’ cell (DC), the Langerhans cells (LC), which are important for the immuno-surveillance of the squamous epithelium. Estradiol and progesterone influence the APC (antigen-presenting cell) functions of DC, with estradiol generally suppressing APC function. Moreover, in the transformation zone, estradiol has a high rate of conversion to 16a-hydroxyestrone which covalently binds and activates ERα. ERα is necessary for the genesis and continued growth of cancer and its expression in stoma cells is essential for disease progression. It is hypothesized that the activated ERα binds to responsive elements within the LCR (Long control region) and further induce E6 and E7 transcription to maintain HPV gene activity. Hence, it is postulated that both HPV and estradiol enhance the effects of each other, either directly through functional EREs (Estrogen responsive elements) in the viral genome or indirectly encourage uncontrolled cellular proliferation and inducing G1 to S phase progression in the cell cycle.

In their study with TA2 mice, Wang and colleagues showed that concentrations of estradiol in the early stage of a primiparous pregnancy are associated with maternal risk of breast cancer and this effect may vary with age. Estradiol concentration was shown to be associated positively with the risk of breast cancer before age of 40 years.

In their study with TA2 mice, Wang and colleagues showed that the concentration of estradiol and progesterone were significantly elevated during pregnancy and slightly increased after delivery with the increase of gravidity. The same pattern was also observed for immunosuppressant. Both of these factors are partially responsible for the presence of abnormally proliferating epithelia and the escape of tumor cells from immune surveillance. Alterations in tissue-specific promoters of aromatase gene expression also lead to variations in estrogen production. As a result, the aromatase gene may act as an oncogene that initiates tumor formation in breast tissue.

How the hormonal changes during pregnancy favor cervical carcinogenesis

As per their association with cervical cancer (CC), HPV genotypes are classified as low-risk or high-risk. High-risk (HPV) is a small DNA tumor virus that infects the mucosal squamous epithelium and causes various malignant diseases in humans, with cancer of the cervix being one among them. HPV infection has shown to considerably increase the formation of such carcinogenic transformations.

Furthermore, estrgon is known to have a mutagenic activity which can be amplified by viral oncogenes. It stimulates the proliferation of human keratinocytes by promoting the expression of cyclin D2 and inducing G1 to S phase progression in the cell cycle. Estrgon also inhibits the oxidative stress-induced apoptosis in keratinocytes and promotes expression of the anti-apoptotic protein bcl-2. It can also induce direct DNA damage via its catechol metabolites and HPV infection has shown to considerably increase the formation of these potentially carcinogenic estrogen metabolites. Moreover, it is known that estrgon has the property to influence the immune system by acting on the cytokine production. Estrgon has been shown to inhibit the expression of GM-CSF in the U2OS cell line via its interaction with ERα and to decrease this production via contact with ERβ.

Along with estrogen, progesterone also acts on cytokine production to affect the immune system. It increases the production of IL-6, an inhibitor of NFkB and has an inhibitory effect on GM-CSF secretion. The immunosuppression caused mainly by the elevated progesterone, which is fundamental for maintaining a fetus during pregnancy, predisposes a woman further to the acquisition and development of lesions by HPV. Researches in molecular biology have also found an interaction between progesterone and HPV and it is now known that HPV 16 encodes a protein located in the Long control region.
in the region of E6 and E7 reading frames that joins with activated ras oncoproteins to transform primary cells. The LCR of HPV16 is reported to contain a deoxyribonucleic acid sequence that enhances response to both progesterone and glucocorticoids thereby increasing E6 and E7 transcription. E6 and E7 oncoproteins bind to p53 and Rb, respectively, and surpass the host defense system. In pregnancy, the increased circulating progesterone level enhances HPV gene expression, giving rise to larger numbers of viral copy and multiplication of virus-transformed cells. During the first trimester, the immune response to HPV is low and this accounts for the higher frequency of persistence of the virus.

In addition, HPV DNA is often integrated into the host genome and leads to the loss of E2 gene which is a modulator of HPV gene expression and induces apoptosis. It was found that E2 and E7 proteins can induce apoptosis in transformed cells and that progesterone and estrogen increase the levels of E2 and E7-induced apoptosis. However, with the loss of E2 in HPV infection, cell proliferation might increase. Also, in the absence of E2, these hormones have been found to be a possible risk factor for cervical carcinogenesis via their effects on HPV gene expression.

**Conclusion**

The increased incidence of breast and cervical cancers during pregnancy has enabled researchers to understand to some extent the mechanism through which the female sex steroid hormones act in causing these cancers. However, further knowledge about the interaction between these malignancies and the gestational hormones, at molecular level, are required before new medical therapies can be developed for managing these cancers efficiently.
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