Dose–Response Analysis of the Tubular and Glomerular Effects of Chronic Exposure to Environmental Cadmium
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Abstract: We retrospectively analyzed data on the excretion of cadmium (E\textsubscript{Cd}), β\textsubscript{2}-microglobulin (E\textsubscript{β2M}) and N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (E\textsubscript{NAG}), which were recorded for 734 participants in a study conducted in low- and high-exposure areas of Thailand. Increased E\textsubscript{β2M} and E\textsubscript{NAG} were used to assess tubular integrity, while a reduction in the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was a criterion for glomerular dysfunction. E\textsubscript{Cd}, E\textsubscript{β2M} and E\textsubscript{NAG} were normalized to creatinine clearance (C\textsubscript{cr}) as E\textsubscript{Cd}/C\textsubscript{cr}, E\textsubscript{β2M}/C\textsubscript{cr} and E\textsubscript{NAG}/C\textsubscript{cr} to correct for interindividual variation in the number of surviving nephrons and to eliminate the variation in the excretion of creatinine (E\textsubscript{cr}). For a comparison, these parameters were also normalized to C\textsubscript{er} as E\textsubscript{Cd}/C\textsubscript{er}, E\textsubscript{β2M}/C\textsubscript{er} and E\textsubscript{NAG}/C\textsubscript{er}. According to the covariance analysis, a Cd-dose-dependent reduction in eGFR was statistically significant only when E\textsubscript{Cd} was normalized to C\textsubscript{cr} as E\textsubscript{Cd}/C\textsubscript{cr} (F = 11.2, p < 0.001). There was a 23-fold increase in the risk of eGFR $\leq 60$ mL/min/1.73 m$^2$ in those with the highest E\textsubscript{Cd}/C\textsubscript{cr} range (p = 0.002). In addition, doubling of E\textsubscript{Cd}/C\textsubscript{cr} was associated with lower eGFR (β = −0.300, p < 0.001), and higher E\textsubscript{NAG}/C\textsubscript{cr} (β = 0.455, p < 0.001) and E\textsubscript{β2M}/C\textsubscript{cr} (β = 0.540, p < 0.001). In contrast, a covariance analysis showed a non-statistically significant relationship between E\textsubscript{Cd}/E\textsubscript{cr} and eGFR (F = 1.08, p = 0.165), while the risk of low eGFR was increased by 6.9-fold only among those with the highest E\textsubscript{Cd}/E\textsubscript{cr} range. Doubling of E\textsubscript{Cd}/E\textsubscript{cr} was associated with lower eGFR and higher E\textsubscript{NAG}/E\textsubscript{cr} and E\textsubscript{β2M}/E\textsubscript{cr}, the β coefficients being smaller than in the C\textsubscript{cr}-normalized dataset. Thus, normalization of Cd excretion to C\textsubscript{er} unravels the adverse effect of Cd on GFR and provides a more accurate evaluation of the severity of the tubulo-glomerular effect of Cd.
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1. Introduction

Cadmium (Cd) is a toxic metal with continuing public health concern worldwide due to its toxicity to the mitochondrion, which is central to the maintenance of cell integrity and function [1–3]. Environmental exposure to Cd is inevitable for most people because the metal is present in almost all food types [4]. The prominence of Cd in wheat and rice-based products, as recorded by total diet studies undertaken at multiple sites and countries, is particularly concerning, as these food staples are consumed in some form by the majority of the world’s population [5]. The realization in the 1940s that the condition referred to as “Itaï-Itaï” disease was due to consumption of rice heavily contaminated with Cd brought into focus the real threat to health posed by this metal [5,6]. Itaï-Itaï disease is the most severe form of human Cd poisoning, characterized by severe damage to the kidneys and bones, resulting in multiple bone fractures due to osteoporosis and osteomalacia [5,6]. A protein β\textsubscript{2}-microglobulin (β\textsubscript{2}M), with a molecular weight of 11,800 Da, is synthesized and shed by all nucleated cells in the body [7]. By virtue of its small mass, β\textsubscript{2}M is
filtered freely by the glomeruli and is reabsorbed almost completely by the kidney’s tubular cells [8]. Cd has been shown to cause a reduction in the tubular maximum reabsorption of $\beta_2$M [9], and increased $\beta_2$M excretion has been used as an indicator of impaired tubular reabsorptive function for many decades. An increase in the excretion of $\beta_2$M above 300 $\mu$g/g creatinine is used as an endpoint in health risk assessments of Cd in the human diet, and urinary Cd excretion levels below 5.24 $\mu$g Cd/g creatinine have been identified as body burdens that are not associated with such an increase in $\beta_2$M excretion [10–12]. However, our previous assessment showed that $\beta_2$M excretion of 100–299, 300–999 and $\geq$ 1000 $\mu$g/g creatinine were associated with 4.7-, 6.2- and 10.5-fold increases in the risk of an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) $\leq$ 60 mL/min/1.73 m$^2$, which is commensurate with chronic kidney disease (CKD) [13]. Thus, elevated $\beta_2$M excretion does not appear to be an early sign of nephrotoxicity to Cd, and the utility of $\beta_2$M excretion as a toxicity criterion of Cd is questionable.

Current epidemiologic evidence suggests that environmental exposure to Cd may reduce the GFR at body burdens much lower than those associated with Cd excretion of 5.24 $\mu$g/g creatinine [14–17]. Reductions in GFR due to Cd nephropathy have often been attributed to glomerular injury. However, sufficient tubular injury can indeed disable glomerular filtration and ultimately leads to nephron atrophy, glomerulosclerosis, and interstitial inflammation and fibrosis [18]. Current evidence also suggests that a common practice of normalizing Cd excretion (E$_{Cd}$) to creatinine excretion (E$_{cr}$) underestimate the severity of Cd toxicity in kidneys, while normalizing E$_{Cd}$ to creatinine clearance (C$_{cr}$) could provide a more accurate measure of the nephrotoxicity of Cd [19,20].

The present study had two major aims: firstly, to ascertain the associations of Cd exposure with the risks of adverse effects on kidney glomerular and tubular function, and, secondly, to compare the impacts of normalizing E$_{Cd}$, E$_{\beta_2M}$ and E$_{NAG}$ to E$_{cr}$ and C$_{cr}$ on estimations of Cd toxicity in the kidneys. Kidney dysfunction was indicated by a reduction in eGFR and increased E$_{\beta_2M}$ and E$_{NAG}$.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Subjects

We assembled archived data from 289 men and 445 women who were drawn from Bangkok (a low-exposure area) between 2001 and 2003 and from subsistence farming areas of Mae Sot District (a high-exposure area) in Thailand between 2004 and 2005 [21]. A wide range of Cd exposure levels among the participants enabled an evaluation of the dose–response relationships. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee of Chulalongkorn University and the Mae Sot Hospital Ethical Committee. At the time of recruitment, all participants had lived at their current addresses for at least 30 years, and all gave informed consent to participate. Exclusion criteria were pregnancy, breastfeeding, a history of metalwork, and a hospital record or physician’s diagnosis of an advanced chronic disease. Smoking, diabetes, hypertension, regular use of medications, educational level, occupation and family health history were ascertained by a questionnaire. Diabetes was defined as fasting plasma glucose levels $\geq$ 126 mg/dL or a physician’s prescription of anti-diabetic medications. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure $\geq$ 140 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure $\geq$ 90 mmHg, and/or a physician’s diagnosis and prescription of anti-hypertensive medications.

2.2. Collection of Biological Specimens and Analyses

Second morning urine samples were collected after an overnight fast, and whole blood samples were obtained within 3 hours after the urine samples were collected. The simultaneous collection of blood and urine samples was required to normalize the excretion of Cd, $\beta_2$M and NAG to C$_{cr}$. Aliquots of urine, whole blood and plasma were stored at $-20$ °C or $-80$ °C for later analysis. The assay for urine and plasma concentrations of creatinine ([cr]$_u$ and [cr]$_p$) was based on the Jaffe reaction. The urinary NAG assay was based on colorimetry (NAG test kit, Shionogi Pharmaceuticals, Sapporo, Japan). The
urinary β2M assay was based on the latex immunoagglutination method (LX test, Eiken 2MGII; Eiken and Shionogi Co., Tokyo, Japan).

For the group from the area polluted by Cd, [Cd]u was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Shimadzu Model AA-6300, Kyoto, Japan). Urine standard reference material No. 2670 (National Institute of Standards, Washington, DC, USA) was used for quality assurance and control purposes. None of the urine samples from this group was found to have a [Cd]u below the detection limit.

For the group from the low-exposure area, [Cd]u was determined by inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP/MS, Agilent 7500, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) because it had the high sensitivity required to measure very low Cd concentrations. Multi-element standards (EM Science, EM Industries, Inc., Newark, NJ, USA) were used to calibrate the Cd analyses. The accuracy and precision of those analyses were ascertained with reference urine (Lyphochek®, Bio-Rad, Sydney, Australia). The Cd concentration assigned to samples with Cd below the detection limit was the detection limit of 0.05 µg/L divided by the square root of 2 [22].

2.3. Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR)

In theory, the GFR reflects the number of surviving nephrons × the average GFR per nephron, and is indicative of nephron function [23,24]. In practice, the GFR is estimated from various equations, and is reported as eGFR. We used CKD-EPI equations to calculate the eGFR values because they are considered to be the most accurate equations and have been validated with inulin clearance [25].

Male eGFR = 141 \times \frac{[\text{serum creatinine}/0.9]^y \times 0.993^{\text{age}}}{0.9 - 0.411 \text{if serum creatinine} \leq 0.9 \text{mg/dL} \text{ or } -1.209 \text{if serum creatinine} > 0.9 \text{mg/dL}}.

Female eGFR = 144 \times \frac{[\text{serum creatinine}/0.7]^y \times 0.993^{\text{age}}}{0.7 - 0.329 \text{if serum creatinine} \leq 0.7 \text{mg/dL} \text{ or } -1.209 \text{if serum creatinine} > 0.7 \text{mg/dL}}.

CKD was defined as eGFR ≤ 60 mL/min/1.73 m2, and CKD Stages 1, 2, 3a, 3b, 4 and 5 corresponded to eGFR values of 90–119, 60–89, 45–59, 30–44, 15–29 and <15 mL/min/1.73 m2, respectively [23].

2.4. Normalization of Excretion of Cd, β2M and NAG to Ecr and Ccr

E_x was normalized to E_{cr} as \frac{[x]_{u}}{[cr]_{u}}, where x = Cd, β2M or NAG; [x]_{u} = urine concentration of x (mass/volume); and [cr]_{u} = urine creatinine concentration (mg/dL). The ratio [x]_{u}/[cr]_{u} was expressed in µg/g of creatinine.

E_x was normalized to C_{cr} as \frac{E_x}{C_{cr}} = \frac{[x]_{u} [cr]_p}{[cr]_{u}}, where x = Cd, β2M or NAG; [x]_{u} = urine concentration of x (mass/volume); [cr]_p = plasma creatinine concentration (mg/dL); and [cr]_{u} = urine creatinine concentration (mg/dL). E_x/C_{cr} was expressed as the excretion of x per volume of filtrate [26]. It is noteworthy that simultaneous collection of blood and urine samples is a prerequisite for C_{cr} normalization.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed with IBM SPSS Statistics 21 (IBM Inc., New York, NY, USA). The distributions of eGFR and the excretion of Cd, β2M and NAG were examined for skewness, and those showing rightward skewing were subjected to logarithmic transformation before analysis. The departure of a given variable from a normal distribution was assessed with the one-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The Mann–Whitney U-test was used to compare mean differences between two groups. The Chi-square test was used to determine differences in percentage and prevalence data. A multivariable regression model analysis was used to evaluate the associations of Cd excretion rates and the dependent variables, which included eGFR and excretion of β2M and NAG. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to determine the prevalence odds ratio (POR) for dichotomized outcomes. The mean eGFR values adjusted for covariates and their interaction in groups of subjects were obtained by univariate/covariance analysis with Bonferroni correction in multiple
comparisons. For each analysis, p-values ≤ 0.05 for two-tailed tests were assumed to indicate statistical significance.

3. Results
3.1. Demographic Characteristics of the Study Subjects

As the data in Table 1 indicate, 27.2% of the subjects included in the present analysis were residents of a low-exposure area.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study subjects, stratified by sex.

| Parameters | All Subjects, n 734 | Males, n 289 | Females, n 445 | p     |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------|---------------|-------|
| From a low-exposure location (%) | 27.2              | 34.6        | 22.5          | <0.001|
| Smoking (%) | 42.8               | 69.6        | 25.4          | <0.001|
| Hypertension (%) | 31.7               | 29.1        | 33.5          | 0.209 |
| Diabetes (%) | 1.5                | 2.1         | 1.1           | 0.299 |
| Age, years | 48.1 ± 11.0        | 47.4 ± 13.5 | 48.6 ± 9.1    | 0.059 |
| BMI, kg/m² | 23.2 ± 3.8         | 22.4 ± 3.3  | 23.7 ± 4.0    | <0.001|
| eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m² | 91.0 ± 21.7       | 90.3 ± 22.9 | 91.4 ± 20.9   | 0.510 |
| Plasma creatinine, mg/dL | 0.85 ± 0.28       | 1.00 ± 0.30 | 0.76 ± 0.22   | <0.001|
| Urine creatinine, mg/dL | 85.59 ± 73.86     | 96.93 ± 73.34 | 78.95 ± 73.42 | <0.001|
| Urine β₂M, µg/L | 112 ± 24,510      | 98 ± 20,140 | 122 ± 26,989  | 0.401 |
| Urine NAG, U/L | 5.48 ± 9.96       | 4.97 ± 8.25 | 5.84 ± 10.86  | 0.045 |
| Urine Cd, µg/L | 2.34 ± 8.98       | 2.05 ± 10.87 | 2.54 ± 7.50   | 0.248 |
| Normalized to E₄₂ as Eₛ/E₄₂ | 130 ± 20,620      | 101 ± 16,333 | 154 ± 22,997  | 0.016 |
| E₆₂/M/E₄₂, µg/g creatinine | 6.40 ± 8.95      | 5.13 ± 4.73  | 7.39 ± 10.62  | <0.001|
| E₆₂/C₄₂, µg/g creatinine | 2.73 ± 6.61       | 2.12 ± 6.64  | 3.22 ± 6.57   | <0.001|
| Normalized to C₄₂ as Eₛ/C₄₂ | 110 ± 29,676      | 101 ± 33,060 | 118 ± 27,253  | 0.320 |
| E₆₂/M/E₄₂ × 100, µg/L filtrate | 5.42 ± 9.40      | 5.11 ± 6.36  | 5.63 ± 10.91  | 0.166 |
| E₆₂/C₄₂ × 100, µg/L filtrate | 2.31 ± 7.82       | 2.11 ± 8.36  | 2.46 ± 7.44   | 0.249 |

n, number of subjects; BMI, body mass index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; Eᵣ, excretion of x/cr, creatinine; Cᵣ, creatinine clearance; β₂M, β₂-microglobulin; NAG, N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase, Cd, cadmium; a eGFR, was determined by Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD–EPI) equations [23]; b Low eGFR was defined as eGFR ≤ 60 mL/min/1.73 m². c Eᵣ/E₄₂ = [x]ᵣ/[cr]ᵣ. d Eᵣ/Cᵣ = [x]ᵣ/[cr]ᵣ, where x = Cd, β₂M or NAG [26]. Data for age, eGFR and BMI are arithmetic means ± standard deviation (SD). Data for all other continuous variables are geometric means (GM) ± SD. Data for BMI values are from 709 subjects; data for all other variables are from 734 subjects. p ≤ 0.05 identifies statistical significance, determined by Pearson’s Chi-square test for percentage differences and the Mann–Whitney U-test for mean differences.

The mean age of men and women was similar, and the overall mean age was 48.1 years. Smoking status was classified as those who were current smokers and those who had stopped smoking for less than 10 years. The percentage of smokers was higher in men than in women (69.5%) than in women (22.5%), but the percentage of those with diabetes and low eGFR were higher in women than in men (9.4%) than in women (8.3%) with hypertension among residents of a Cd-contaminated area were all higher than in those who lived in Bangkok. None of the residents of a low-exposure area had diabetes or low eGFR. Half and 23.5% of the subjects from the high- and low-exposure areas were smokers.

3.2. Effects of Residential Location and Smoking Habit on Cadmium Excretion Levels

As the data in Table 2 indicate, mean age and the percentages of smokers and those with hypertension among residents of a Cd-contaminated area were all higher than in those who lived in Bangkok. None of the residents of a low-exposure area had diabetes or low eGFR. Half and 23.5% of the subjects from the high- and low-exposure areas were smokers,
respectively. The mean $E_{\text{Cd}}$ for non-smokers in the high-exposure area was 24.9-fold higher than that of non-smokers from a low-exposure area ($5.22 \pm 0.21 \mu g/L, p < 0.001$). The mean $E_{\text{Cd}}$ for smokers from a high-exposure area was higher than that of smokers from a low-exposure area by 18.3-fold ($5.87 \pm 0.32 \mu g/L, p < 0.001$).

### Table 2. Characteristics of study subjects, stratified by residential location.

| Parameters                              | Low-Exposure Area, n 200 | High-Exposure Area, n 534 | $p$  |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| Smoking (%)                             | 23.5                     | 50                        | <0.001|
| Diabetes (%)                            | 0                        | 2.1                       | 0.041|
| Hypertension (%)                        | 19.5                     | 36.3                      | <0.001|
| Mean age (range), years                 | 39.3 (16–60)             | 51.4 (30–87)              | <0.001|
| Mean BMI (range), kg/m$^2$              | 23.2 (13.1–39.0)         | 23.2 (12.3–38.9)          | 0.703 |
| Mean eGFR $a$ (range) mL/min/1.73 m$^2$| 105.2 (65–138)           | 85.6 (20–131)             | <0.001|
| Low eGFR $b$ (%)                        | 0                        | 12.4                      | <0.001|
| Plasma creatinine, mg/dL               | 0.79 ± 0.17              | 0.87 ± 0.30               | <0.001|
| Urine creatinine, mg/dL                | 55.0 ± 66.0              | 101.0 ± 72.6              | <0.001|
| Urine $\beta_2M$, µg/L                 | 4.2 ± 119.3              | 382 ± 28.605              | <0.001|
| Urine NAG, U/L                         | 3.68 ± 4.01              | 7.87 ± 9.77               | <0.001|
| Urine Cd, µg/L                         | 0.23 ± 0.58              | 5.54 ± 9.67               | <0.001|
| Normalized to $E_{\text{Cr}}$ as $E_x/\text{E}_{\text{Cr}}$ $^c$ | | | |
| $E_{\beta 2M}/E_{\text{Cr}}, \mu g/g$ creatinine | 7.60 ± 118    | 379 ± 24,048              | <0.001|
| $E_{\text{NAG}}/E_{\text{Cr}}, \mu g/g$ creatinine | 3.68 ± 4.01    | 7.87 ± 9.77               | <0.001|
| $E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}}, \mu g/g$ creatinine | 0.43 ± 0.44    | 5.48 ± 6.84               | <0.001|
| Normalized to $C_{\text{Cr}}$ as $E_x/C_{\text{Cr}}$ $^d$ | | | |
| $E_{\beta 2M}/E_{\text{Cr}} \times 100, \mu g/L$ filtrate | 5.97 ± 111    | 330 ± 34,630              | <0.001|
| $E_{\text{NAG}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \times 100, \mu g/L$ filtrate | 2.90 ± 3.62    | 6.86 ± 10.42              | <0.001|
| $E_{\text{Cd}}/C_{\text{Cr}} \times 100, \mu g/L$ filtrate | 0.34 ± 0.38    | 4.77 ± 8.44               | <0.001|

$n$, number of subjects; BMI, body mass index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; $E_x$, excretion of $x$; $\text{Cr}$ creatinine; $C_{\text{Cr}}$, creatinine clearance; $\beta_2M$, $\beta_2$-microglobulin; NAG, N-acetyl-$\beta$-D-glucosaminidase, Cd, cadmium;

$^a$ eGFR was determined with CKD–EPI equations [23]. $^b$ Low eGFR was defined as eGFR $\leq$ 60 mL/min/1.73 m$^2$.

$^c E_x/E_{\text{Cr}} = [x]/[\text{Cr}]_{\text{u}}$; $^d E_x/C_{\text{Cr}} = [x]/[\text{Cr}]_{\text{n}}$, where $x = \text{Cd}, \beta_2M$ or NAG [26]. Data for age, eGFR and BMI are arithmetic mean (range) values. Data for all other continuous variables are GM and SD values. Data for BMI values are from 709 subjects; data for all other variables are from 734 subjects. $p \leq 0.05$ identifies statistical significance, determined by Pearson’s Chi-square test for percentage differences and the Mann–Whitney U-test for mean differences.

The mean BMI in the low- and high-exposure groups was similar ($p = 0.703$). In contrast, the mean eGFR was 18.6% higher in the low-exposure group compared with the high-exposure group ($p < 0.001$). The means of all other measured continuous variables were higher in residents of a Cd-contaminated area than in those who lived in a low-exposure area.

### 3.3. Associations of $E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}}$ vs. $E_{\text{Cd}}/C_{\text{Cr}}$ with eGFR, $\beta_2M$ and NAG

As the data in Table 3 indicate, a set of seven independent variables, including age, $E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}}$, BMI, sex, hypertension, Type 2 diabetes and smoking, were examined for their associations with the three markers of kidney effects: eGFR deterioration, and increases in $\beta_2M$ and NAG excretion.

In the regression model analysis (Table 3), age, $E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}}$, sex, hypertension, diabetes and smoking accounted for 48.5% of the variation in eGFR ($p < 0.001$), 22.2% of the variation in $E_{\text{NAG}}/E_{\text{Cr}}$ ($p < 0.001$) and 34.7% of the variation in $E_{\beta 2M}/E_{\text{Cr}}$ ($p < 0.001$). Doubling of $E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}}$ was associated with lower eGFR values ($\beta = -0.142, p < 0.001$), higher $E_{\text{NAG}}/E_{\text{Cr}}$ ($\beta = 0.390, p < 0.001$) and higher $E_{\beta 2M}/E_{\text{Cr}}$ ($\beta = 0.499, p < 0.001$).

In an equivalent regression model analysis with the excretion data normalized to $C_{\text{Cr}}$ (Table 4), age, $E_{\text{Cd}}/C_{\text{Cr}}$, sex, hypertension, diabetes and smoking accounted for 52.9% of the variation in eGFR ($p < 0.001$), 25.7% of the variation in $E_{\text{NAG}}/C_{\text{Cr}}$ ($p < 0.001$) and 38.3% of the variation in $E_{\beta 2M}/C_{\text{Cr}}$ ($p < 0.001$). Doubling of $E_{\text{Cd}}/C_{\text{Cr}}$ was associated with lower...
eGFR values ($\beta = -0.300, p < 0.001$), higher $E_{\text{NAG}}/C_{\text{cr}}$ ($\beta = 0.455, p < 0.001$) and higher $E_{\beta 2 M}/C_{\text{cr}}$ ($\beta = 0.540, p < 0.001$).

Table 3. Associations of the markers of kidney effects with $E_{\text{Cd}}/C_{\text{cr}}$.

| Independent Variables/Factors | eGFR $^a$, mL/min/1.73 m$^2$ | $\log((E_{\text{NAG}}/E_{\text{Cd}}) \times 10^{14})$, $\mu g/g$ Creatinine | $\log((E_{\beta 2 M}/E_{\text{Cd}}) \times 10^{14})$, $\mu g/g$ Creatinine |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Age, years                    | $\beta$ Coefficient $^b$ | $p$ | $\beta$ Coefficient | $p$ | $\beta$ Coefficient | $p$ |
| Log$_2$ ([$E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{cr}}$] × 10$^3$), $\mu g/g$ creatinine | $-0.601$ | $<0.001$ | $-0.085$ | $0.038$ | $0.078$ | $0.038$ |
| BMI, kg/m$^2$                 | $-0.142$ | $<0.001$ | $0.390$ | $<0.001$ | $0.499$ | $<0.001$ |
| Hypertension                  | $-0.084$ | $0.008$ | $-0.178$ | $<0.001$ | $-0.037$ | $0.291$ |
| Smoking                       | $0.036$ | $0.202$ | $-0.180$ | $<0.001$ | $-0.091$ | $0.004$ |
| Diabetes                      | $0.070$ | $0.011$ | $-0.024$ | $0.486$ | $-0.088$ | $0.015$ |
| Smoking                       | $0.002$ | $0.954$ | $-0.043$ | $0.273$ | $-0.088$ | $0.015$ |
| Adjusted $R^2$                | $0.485$ | $<0.001$ | $0.222$ | $<0.001$ | $0.347$ | $<0.001$ |

Coding: hypertensive = 1; normotensive = 2; diabetic = 1; non-diabetic = 2; male = 1; female = 2; smoker = 1; non-smoker = 2. $^a$ eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; BMI, body mass index. $^b$ Standardized regression coefficients ($\beta$) indicate the strength of associations between each dependent variable and an individual independent variable in the first column. $p \leq 0.05$ identifies statistical significance. Adjusted $R^2$ values indicate the total variation in each effect indicator explained by a set of seven independent variables.

Table 4. Associations of the markers of kidney effects with $E_{\text{Cd}}/C_{\text{cr}}$.

| Independent Variables/Factors | eGFR $^a$, mL/min/1.73 m$^2$ | $\log((E_{\text{NAG}}/C_{\text{cr}}) \times 10^{14})$, $\mu g/L$ Filtrate | $\log((E_{\beta 2 M}/C_{\text{cr}}) \times 10^{14})$, $\mu g/L$ Filtrate |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Age, years                    | $\beta$ Coefficient $^b$ | $p$ | $\beta$ Coefficient | $p$ | $\beta$ Coefficient | $p$ |
| Log$_2$ ([$E_{\text{Cd}}/C_{\text{cr}}$] × 10$^3$), $\mu g/L$ filtrate | $-0.513$ | $<0.001$ | $-0.012$ | $0.775$ | $0.062$ | $0.100$ |
| BMI, kg/m$^2$                 | $-0.300$ | $<0.001$ | $0.455$ | $<0.001$ | $0.540$ | $<0.001$ |
| Hypertension                  | $-0.086$ | $0.004$ | $-0.334$ | $0.360$ | $-0.033$ | $0.329$ |
| Diabetes                      | $0.032$ | $0.235$ | $-0.182$ | $<0.001$ | $-0.088$ | $0.005$ |
| Smoking                       | $0.055$ | $0.036$ | $-0.040$ | $0.221$ | $-0.006$ | $0.544$ |
| Smoking                       | $-0.023$ | $0.455$ | $-0.037$ | $0.338$ | $-0.081$ | $0.021$ |
| Adjusted $R^2$                | $0.529$ | $<0.001$ | $0.257$ | $<0.001$ | $0.383$ | $<0.001$ |

Coding: hypertensive = 1; normotensive = 2; diabetic = 1; non-diabetic = 2; male = 1; female = 2; smoker = 1; non-smoker = 2. $^a$ eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; BMI, body mass index. $^b$ Standardized regression coefficients ($\beta$) indicate the strength of associations between each effect indicator and an individual independent variable in the first column. $p \leq 0.05$ identifies statistical significance. Adjusted $R^2$ values indicate the total variation in each effect indicator explained by a set of seven independent variables.

3.4. Associations of Cadmium Excretion with Elevated Risks of Nephrotoxicity

Through a logistic regression analysis (Table 5), Cd-dose-dependent effects were seen between $E_{\text{Cd}}/C_{\text{cr}}$ and the risks of low eGFR, $E_{\text{NAG}}/C_{\text{cr}} \geq 4$ units/g creatinine and $E_{\beta 2 M}/C_{\text{cr}} \geq 300$ $\mu g/g$ creatinine. A risk of low eGFR was increased by 6.91-fold when the $E_{\text{Cd}}/C_{\text{cr}}$ level rose to 5.77 $\mu g/g$ creatinine or higher ($p = 0.011$).

$E_{\text{Cd}}/C_{\text{cr}}$ 1.84–5.76 $\mu g/g$ creatinine was associated with 2.38- and 5.41-fold increases in the risk of abnormal $E_{\text{NAG}}/C_{\text{cr}}$ ($p < 0.001$) and $E_{\beta 2 M}/C_{\text{cr}}$ ($p < 0.001$), respectively.

In an equivalent logistic regression analysis with the excretion data normalized to $C_{\text{cr}}$ (Table 6), Cd-dose-dependent effects were seen between Cd and the risks of low eGFR, $E_{\text{NAG}}/C_{\text{cr}} \geq 4$ units/L filtrate and $E_{\beta 2 M}/C_{\text{cr}} \geq 300$ $\mu g/L$ filtrate. A risk of low eGFR was increased by 23-fold at $E_{\text{Cd}}/C_{\text{cr}}$ levels $\geq 44.6$ ng/L filtrate ($p = 0.002$). $E_{\text{Cd}}/C_{\text{cr}}$ 19.5–44.5 ng/L filtrate was associated with 2.69- and 2.84-fold increases in the risk of abnormal $E_{\text{NAG}}/C_{\text{cr}}$ ($p < 0.001$) and $E_{\beta 2 M}/C_{\text{cr}}$ ($p < 0.001$), respectively.
Table 5. Dose–response analysis of $E_{Cd}/E_{Cr}$ and the risk of adverse effects on the kidneys.

| Independent Variables/Factors | $eGFR \leq 60\text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2$ | $E_{NAG}/E_{Cr} \geq 4$ U/g Creatinine | $E_{\beta_2M}/E_{Cr} \geq 300$ µg/Creatinine |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                | POR (95% CI) $p$ | POR (95% CI) $p$ | POR (95% CI) $p$ |
| Age, years                     | 1.151 (1.112, 1.192) $<0.001$ | 1.022 (1.002, 1.042) 0.033 | 1.017 (0.999, 1.036) 0.069 |
| BMI, kg/m$^2$                  | 1.074 (0.987, 1.168) 0.096 | 1.012 (0.960, 1.068) 0.654 | 0.996 (0.950, 1.045) 0.880 |
| Diabetes                       | 2.211 (0.448, 10.92) 0.330 | 1.121 (0.232, 5.421) 0.887 | 0.653 (0.190, 2.241) 0.498 |
| Sex                            | 1.140 (0.588, 2.329) 0.719 | 1.653 (1.070, 2.553) 0.023 | 1.004 (0.677, 1.489) 0.986 |
| Smoking                        | 0.963 (0.469, 1.976) 0.918 | 0.926 (0.589, 1.455) 0.739 | 1.119 (0.760, 1.649) 0.569 |
| Hypertension                   | 1.641 (0.852, 3.160) 0.139 | 2.808 (1.745, 4.517) $<0.001$ | 1.420 (0.990, 2.034) 0.056 |
| $E_{Cd}/E_{Cr}, \mu g/g$ creatinine | Referent Referent Referent | Referent Referent Referent | Referent Referent Referent |
| 0.04–1.83                      | 3.271 (0.705, 15.17) 0.130 | 2.386 (1.469, 3.873) $<0.001$ | 5.407 (3.281, 8.911) $<0.001$ |
| 1.84–5.76                      | 6.911 (1.545, 30.91) 0.011 | 2.400 (1.415, 4.073) 0.001 | 7.502 (4.440, 12.68) $<0.001$ |
| 5.77–57.7                      | Referent Referent Referent | Referent Referent Referent | Referent Referent Referent |

Coding: hypertensive = 1; normotensive = 2; diabetic = 1; non-diabetic = 2; male = 1; female = 2; smoker = 1; non-smoker = 2; POR, prevalence odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. The GM (SD) values of $E_{Cd}/E_{Cr}$ 0.04–1.83, 1.84–5.76 and 5.77–57.7 µg/g creatinine are 0.51 (0.50), 3.43 (1.10) and 10.94 (7.33) µg/g creatinine with the corresponding number of subjects being 221, 239 and 249, respectively. Data were generated from logistic regression analyses relating the POR for the three indicators of adverse effects to the seven independent variables listed in the first column. $p$-values $<0.05$ indicate a statistically significant increase in the POR for adverse effects.

Table 6. Dose–response analysis of $E_{Cd}/C_{Cr}$ and the risk of adverse effects on the kidneys.

| Independent Variables/Factors | $eGFR \leq 60\text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2$ | $E_{NAG}/C_{Cr} \times 100 \geq 4$ U/L Filtrate | $E_{\beta_2M}/C_{Cr} \times 100 \geq 300$ µg/Filtrate |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                | POR (95% CI) $p$ | POR (95% CI) $p$ | POR (95% CI) $p$ |
| Age, years                     | 1.146 (1.107, 1.188) $<0.001$ | 1.043 (1.023, 1.064) $<0.001$ | 1.028 (1.009, 1.027) 0.003 |
| BMI, kg/m$^2$                  | 1.091 (1.000, 1.190) 0.051 | 1.051 (1.001, 1.104) 0.046 | 1.011 (0.965, 1.060) 0.641 |
| Diabetes                       | 2.033 (0.417, 9.913) 0.180 | 3.375 (0.407, 27.97) 0.260 | 0.684 (0.197, 2.377) 0.550 |
| Sex                            | 1.173 (0.568, 2.425) 0.666 | 0.765 (0.506, 1.157) 0.204 | 1.023 (0.691, 1.514) 0.911 |
| Smoking                        | 0.914 (0.440, 1.897) 0.809 | 1.258 (0.832, 1.904) 0.277 | 1.246 (0.844, 1.841) 0.269 |
| Hypertension                   | 1.717 (0.883, 3.338) 0.111 | 2.399 (1.606, 3.582) $<0.001$ | 1.494 (1.042, 2.142) 0.029 |
| $E_{Cd}/C_{Cr}, \mu g/g$ Filtrate | Referent Referent Referent | Referent Referent Referent | Referent Referent Referent |
| 0.3–19.4                      | 7.814 (0.957, 63.81) 0.055 | 2.689 (1.671, 4.328) $<0.001$ | 2.842 (1.780, 4.538) $<0.001$ |
| 19.5–44.5                      | 23.75 (3.107, 181.6) 0.002 | 2.016 (1.299, 3.128) 0.002 | 4.782 (3.045, 7.512) $<0.001$ |
| 44.6–800                      | Referent Referent Referent | Referent Referent Referent | Referent Referent Referent |

Coding: hypertensive = 1; normotensive = 2; diabetic = 1; non-diabetic = 2; male = 1; female = 2; smoker = 1; non-smoker = 2; POR, prevalence odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. The GM (SD) values of $E_{Cd}/E_{Cr}$ 0.04–1.83, 1.84–5.76 and 5.77–57.7 µg/g creatinine are 0.51 (0.50), 3.43 (1.10) and 10.94 (7.33) µg/g creatinine with the corresponding number of subjects being 221, 239 and 249, respectively. Data were generated from logistic regression analyses relating the POR for the three indicators of adverse effects to the seven independent variables listed in the first column. $p$-values $<0.05$ indicate a statistically significant increase in the POR for adverse effects.

3.5. Comparing eGFR Reductions and Increases in $E_{NAG}$ and $E_{\beta_2M}$ among Subjects

To further evaluate the effect of normalizing the excretion of Cd, NAG and $\beta_2M$ to $E_{Cr}$ or $C_{Cr}$, we compared the mean eGFR, mean NAG excretion and mean $\beta_2M$ excretion together with the variances (the upper and lower bounds of the 95% confidence interval for each mean) in groups of subjects stratified by $E_{Cd}/E_{Cr}$ or $E_{Cd}/C_{Cr}$ levels. We used a univariate analysis of variance to derive those figures and, with full factorial models, the means were adjusted for covariates that included age, BMI, diabetes, sex, smoking, hypertension and their interactions. Data from 709 subjects were analyzed because the BMI data for 25 subjects were missing.

$E_{Cd}/E_{Cr}$ Levels 1, 2 and 3 correspond to $E_{Cd}/E_{Cr}$ 0.04–1.83, 1.84–5.76 and 5.77–57.7 µg/g creatinine, respectively. The number of subjects with $E_{Cd}/E_{Cr}$ Levels 1, 2 and 3 was 221, 239 and 249, respectively. $E_{Cd}/C_{Cr}$ Levels 1, 2 and 3 correspond to $E_{Cd}/C_{Cr}$ 0.3–19.4, 19.6–44.5 and 44.6–800 ng/L filtrate, respectively. The number of subjects with $E_{Cd}/E_{Cr}$ Levels 1, 2 and 3 was 265, 174 and 270, respectively.

3.5.1. Cadmium-Dose-Dependent Revisions in eGFR

As Figure 1a,b indicates, the mean eGFRs for each $E_{Cd}/E_{Cr}$ level were not statistically different ($p = 0.165$). In contrast, the mean eGFR was the highest for $E_{Cd}/C_{Cr}$ Level 1,
intermediate for Level 2 and lowest for Level 3 ($p < 0.001$) (Figure 1c). This Cd-dose-related eGFR reduction was evident in men and women (Figure 1d).

![Graph](image)

**Figure 1.** Cadmium-dose-dependent reductions in eGFR. The color-coded area graphs depict eGFR means with variances in subjects with $E_{Cd}/E_{crt}$ Levels 1, 2 and 3 (a) and in subjects with $E_{Cd}/E_{crt}$ Levels 1, 2 and 3 (b) and the eGFR means in men and women with $E_{Cd}/E_{crt}$ Levels 1, 2 and 3 (d). Where appropriate, statistical comparisons are made within each sex between eGFR means in bars a and b. The GM (SD) values of $E_{Cd}/E_{crt}$ at Levels 1, 2 and 3 are 0.51 (0.50), 3.43 (1.10), and 0.94 (7.33) µg/g creatinine, with the corresponding number of subjects being 221, 239, and 249, respectively. The GM (SD) values of $E_{Cd}/E_{crt}$ at Levels 1, 2 and 3 are 5.18 (5.72), 29.6 (6.73) and 97.3 (97.7) ng/L filtrate, with the corresponding number of subjects being 265, 174 and 270, respectively.

3.5.2. Cadmium-Dose-Dependent Increases in NAG Excretion

The mean NAG excretion was highest at $E_{Cd}/E_{crt}$ Level 1, intermediate at Level 2 and lowest at Level 3 ($p = 0.001$) (Figure 2a). In men and women, the mean $E_{G2M}/E_{crt}$ was higher in those with $E_{Cd}/E_{crt}$ Level 3, compared with $E_{Cd}/E_{crt}$ Level 1 (Figure 2b). Similarly, the mean $E_{NAG}/E_{crt}$ was lowest at $E_{Cd}/E_{crt}$ Level 1, intermediate at Level 2 and highest at Level 3 ($p < 0.001$) (Figure 2c), and the mean $E_{NAG}/E_{crt}$ was higher in men and women who had $E_{Cd}/E_{crt}$ Level 3, compared those who had $E_{Cd}/E_{crt}$ Level 1 (Figure 2d).
Figure 2. Cadmium-dose-related increases in NAG excretion. The color-coded area graphs depict NAG excretion means as log\([ (E_{\text{NAG}}/E_{\text{Cr}}) \times 10^3 ] \) values with variances for subjects with \( E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) Levels 1, 2 and 3 (a) and NAG excretion means as log\([ (E_{\text{NAG}}/E_{\text{Cr}}) \times 10^3 ] \) values in men and women with \( E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) Levels 1, 2 and 3 (b) and NAG excretion means as log\([ (E_{\text{NAG}}/E_{\text{Cr}}) \times 10^4 ] \) in men and women with \( E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) Levels 1, 2 and 3 (d). Where appropriate, statistical comparisons are made within each sex between NAG excretion means in bars a and b. The GM (SD) values of \( E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) at Levels 1, 2 and 3 are 0.51 (0.50), 3.43 (1.10) and 10.94 (7.33) \( \mu g/\text{g creatinine} \), with the corresponding number of subjects being 221, 239 and 249, respectively. The GM (SD) values of \( E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) at Levels 1, 2 and 3 are 5.18 (5.72), 29.6 (6.73) and 97.3 (97.7) \( \mu g/\text{L filtrate} \), with the corresponding number of subjects being 265, 174 and 270, respectively.

3.5.3. Cadmium-Dose-Dependent Increases in \( \beta_2\text{M} \) Excretion

The mean \( E_{\beta_2\text{M}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) was highest at \( E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) Level 1, intermediate at Level 2 and lowest at Level 3 (\( p < 0.001 \)) (Figure 3a). In men, the mean \( E_{\beta_2\text{M}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) was higher in those with \( E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) Levels 2 and 3, compared with \( E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) Level 1 (Figure 3b). In women, the mean \( E_{\beta_2\text{M}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) at Level 3 of \( E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) was higher than at Level 1 (Figure 3b). Similarly, the mean \( E_{\beta_2\text{M}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) was highest at \( E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) Level 1, intermediate at Level 2 and lowest at Level 3 (\( p < 0.001 \)) (Figure 3c), and the mean \( E_{\beta_2\text{M}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) was higher in men with \( E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) Levels 2 and 3, compared with Level 1 (Figure 3d). In women, only the mean \( E_{\beta_2\text{M}}/E_{\text{Cr}} \) at Level 3 was higher than at Level 1 (Figure 3d).
4. Discussion

Normalization of urinary concentrations of excreted Cd, excreted β2M and excreted NAG to C_cr strengthened the associations of Cd with all three indicators of kidney dysfunc-
tion, decreased eGFR, and increased E_{β2M} and E_NAG. Normalization by C_cr increased the proportions of accountable variations in all three effect indicators with adjustment for a set of covariates, including age and BMI (Table 3 vs. Table 4). Because normalizing E_{Cd} and other nephrotoxic indicators to C_cr corrected for interindividual variation in the number of surviving nephrons and eliminated the variation in E_cr, the severity of Cd nephrotoxicity or the effect size of Cd could be assessed with a sufficiently high degree of statistical certainty.
In comparison, although normalization by $E_{\text{cr}}$ corrected for urine dilution, this practice introduced an additional variation that was unrelated to Cd exposure or GFR. For example, $E_{\text{cr}}$ in women was universally lower than in men due to their lower muscle mass, which is a determinant of $E_{\text{cr}}$, and $E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{cr}}$ was consequently higher in women than in men. As the data in Table 1 indicate, the mean $E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{cr}}$, the mean $E_{\beta2\text{M}}/E_{\text{cr}}$ and the mean $E_{\text{NAG}}/E_{\text{cr}}$ were all higher in women than in men. In contrast, neither of these parameters was statistically different when excretion was normalized to $C_{\text{cr}}$.

A notable effect of adding the variance in the dataset with the conventional method of normalizing $E_{\text{Cd}}$, $E_{\beta2\text{M}}$ and $E_{\text{NAG}}$ to $E_{\text{cr}}$ is demonstrable by covariance analysis. The effect on eGFR was insignificant when $[\text{Cd}]_{\text{ul}}$ was normalized to $E_{\text{cr}}$ (Figure 1a,b). In contrast, a Cd-dose-dependent reduction in eGFR was seen in all subjects, men and women when $E_{\text{Cd}}$ was normalized to $C_{\text{cr}}$ (Figure 1c,d). In addition, there was a 23-fold increase in the risk of low eGFR among subjects with $E_{\text{Cd}}/C_{\text{cr}}$ at the highest level ($\geq 44.6$ ng/L filtrate, equivalent to $\geq 0.0446$ µg/L filtrate) in a logistic regression analysis (Table 6). Only a 6.9-fold increase in the risk of low eGFR was seen among those with $E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{cr}}$ at the highest level ($\geq 5.77$ µg/g creatinine) (Table 5).

As a consequence of the normalization of $E_{\text{Cd}}$ to $E_{\text{cr}}$, the impact of Cd on GFR was not realized. In a systematic review and meta-analysis of data from 28 studies [23], a 1.35-fold increase in the risk of proteinuria was seen when comparing the highest vs. lowest category of Cd dose metrics, while an increase in the risk of a reduced eGFR was statistically insignificant ($p = 0.10$). The statistically non-significant association between $E_{\text{Cd}}$ and eGFR was likely due to a confounding effect of normalizing urinary Cd levels to the excretion of creatinine as $E_{\text{Cd}}/E_{\text{cr}}$, which was used by studies included in the meta-analysis by Jalili et al. [27]. An erroneous conclusion that Cd was not associated with a progressive reduction in GFR was also made in another systematic review by Byber et al. [28].

Our previous quantitative analysis of $E_{\text{Cd}}$ in relation to $E_{\text{NAG}}$ suggested that Cd inflicts tubular cell injury at low intracellular concentrations, and that the toxicity intensifies as the concentration of Cd rises [19]. Inflammation and fibrosis follow, nephrons are lost, and the GFR falls [19]. In a recent histopathological examination of kidney biopsies from healthy kidney transplant donors [29], the degree of tubular atrophy was positively associated with the level of Cd accumulation. Tubular atrophy was observed at relatively low Cd levels (median: 13 µg/g wet tissue weight) [29].

The results of the present study confirm an inverse association between $E_{\text{Cd}}$ and eGFR, which became apparent only after normalizing $E_{\text{Cd}}$ to $C_{\text{cr}}$, as reported previously by us [20]. They also confirm a positive association between $E_{\text{Cd}}$ and $E_{\text{NAG}}$, an indicator of the injury to tubular cells by Cd. However, the effect of Cd accumulation in tubular cells on tubular reabsorptive dysfunction, indicated by an increase in $E_{\beta2\text{M}}$, was not examined in our previous study. In effect, the present work provides evidence for the concurrent effects of chronic Cd exposure on eGFR, tubular injury and impaired tubular reabsorptive function in a Cd-dose-dependent manner.

It is noteworthy that $E_{\text{Cd}}$ has been associated with tubular dysfunction in numerous studies, but only a few studies have simultaneously considered the tubular and glomerular effects of Cd. For example, in a study of 208 Guatemalan sugarcane cutters, higher $E_{\text{Cd}}$ was associated with lower eGFR ($\beta = -4.23$) and higher excretion of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin, another marker of kidney tubular cell injury ($\beta = 2.92$) [30]. Both tubular and glomerular effects were observed in a study of Swedish women, where urinary Cd 0.67 µg/g creatinine was positively associated with $E_{\text{NAG}}$ while $E_{\text{Cd}}$ 0.87 µg/g creatinine was inversely associated with eGFR [31].

Like NAG, kidney injury molecule 1 (KIM1), which is detectable in urine, originates from the tubular cells, and its excretion is correlated with that of Cd [32]. Excretion of KIM1 ($E_{\text{KIM1}}$) has been used to quantify the injury to kidney tubular cells associated with Cd exposure [32–34]. KIM1 is found in the urine only after tubular injury has occurred [32,35]. An association between the excretion of Cd and KIM1 was noted in Taiwanese subjects with CKD after adjusting for covariates [16]. No correlation was found between excretion
of Cd and protein, thereby suggesting that urinary KIM1 levels could serve as an early warning sign of kidney injury due to low-dose Cd exposure. In a cross-sectional study of 260 men and 440 women who were residents of a Cd-contaminated area of Thailand, E\text{KIM1} was found to be more sensitive than E\text{NAG} and E\text{β2MG} for assessing the tubular effects of Cd [36].

Low environmental Cd exposure has consistently been associated with a reduction in eGFR among participants in various National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey cycles undertaken in the U.S. between 1999 and 2016 [14,37–39]. The geometric mean, and the 50th, 75th, 90th and 95th percentile values for urinary Cd levels in the representative U.S. general population were 0.210, 0.208, 0.412, 0.678 and 0.949 µg/g creatinine, and the corresponding values for blood Cd were 0.304, 0.300, 0.500, 1.10 and 1.60 µg/L, respectively [40]. According to these figures, environmental Cd exposure levels in the U.S. could be considered to be low. Cd exposure, measured as E\text{Cd}, was associated with eGFR reductions in studies from Taiwan, Myanmar and Thailand [16,17,41].

Blood Cd levels of 1.74 µg/L and 2.08 µg/L were associated with low eGFR in two Korean population studies [42,43]. These blood Cd levels were approximately fourfold higher than the blood Cd levels found to be associated with low eGFR in a representative U.S. population [37–39]. An inverse association was seen between blood Cd and eGFR in another study of 1984 Koreans aged ≥19 years [44]. Although studies from various countries report disparate levels of environmental exposure to Cd, they are broadly consistent in that they have found that the exposure levels associated with an increased risk of low eGFR do not exceed a prescribed Cd toxicity threshold level of 5.24 µg/g creatinine. This Cd toxicity threshold level was derived from a risk assessment model that assumes β2M excretion above ≥300 µg/g creatinine as an endpoint [10,11].

The data in the present study strongly argue that a Cd-induced reduction in eGFR could be a suitable nephrotoxicity endpoint for health risk calculations. This endpoint is clinically relevant and is more sensitive to Cd toxicity than E\text{β2M}. In clinical trials, successful treatment of CKD is judged by the attenuation of a decline in eGFR [23,45].

5. Conclusions

The impact of Cd exposure on GFR has long been underestimated due to the common practice of normalizing E\text{Cd} to E\text{cr}. Consequently, the effects of environmental exposure to Cd on GFR and CKD risk have not been addressed adequately. The established nephrotoxicity threshold level for Cd is outdated and is not protective of human health. As a starting point, the comparability of guidelines between populations could be improved by universal acceptance of a consistent normalization of excretion of Cd to C\text{cr} that circumvents the effect of muscle mass on creatinine excretion and gives a more accurate assessment of Cd nephropathy. It would also be beneficial if a reduction in eGFR was accepted as a critical effect for Cd toxicity suitable for health risk calculations, since reduced eGFR is more clinically relevant and more sensitive than a rise in excreted β2M.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.S.; methodology, S.S.; formal analysis, S.S.; investigation, S.S.; resources, G.C.G. and D.A.V.; writing—original draft preparation, S.S.; writing—review and editing, G.C.G. and D.A.V.; project administration, S.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study analyzed archived data taken from a published report [17]. Ethical review and approval were not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: All participants took part in the study after giving informed consent.

Data Availability Statement: All data are contained within this article.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by resources from the Kidney Disease Research Collaborative, and the Department of Nephrology, Princess Alexandra Hospital.
26. Phelps, K.R.; Gosmanova, E.O. A generic method for analysis of plasma concentrations. Clin. Nephrol. 2020, 94, 43–49. [CrossRef]
27. Jalili, C.; Kazemi, M.; Cheng, H.; Mohammadi, H.; Babaei, A.; Taheri, E.; Moradi, S. Associations between exposure to heavy metals and the risk of chronic kidney disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 2021, 51, 165–182. [CrossRef]
28. Byber, K.; Lison, D.; Verougstraete, V.; Dressel, H.; Hotz, P. Cadmium or cadmium compounds and chronic kidney disease in workers and the general population: A systematic review. Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 2016, 46, 191–240. [CrossRef]
29. Butler-Dawson, J.; James, K.A.; Krisher, L.; Jaramillo, D.; Dally, M.; Neumann, N.; Pilloni, D.; Cruz, A.; Asensio, C.; Johnson, R.J.; et al. Environmental metal exposures and kidney function of Guatemalan sugarcane workers. J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol. 2022, 32, 461–471. [CrossRef]
30. Akesson, A.; Lundh, T.; Vahter, M.; Lidfeldt, J.; Nerbrand, C.; Samsioe, G.; Strömberg, U.; Skerfving, S. Tubular and glomerular kidney effects in Swedish women with low environmental cadmium exposure. Environ. Health Perspect. 2005, 113, 1627–1631. [CrossRef]
31. Barregard, L.; Sallsten, G.; Lundh, T.; Mölne, J. Low-level exposure to lead, cadmium and mercury, and histopathological findings in kidney biopsies. Environ. Res. 2022, 211, 113119. [CrossRef]
32. Prozialeck, W.C.; Vaidya, V.S.; Liu, J.; Waalkes, M.P.; Edwards, J.R.; Lamar, P.C.; Bernard, A.M.; Dumont, X.; Bonventre, J.V. Kidney injury molecule-1 is an early biomarker of cadmium nephrotoxicity. Kidney Int. 2007, 72, 985–993. [CrossRef]
33. Zhang, Y.R.; Wang, P.; Liang, X.X.; Tan, C.S.; Tan, J.B.; Wang, J.; Huang, Q.; Huang, R.; Li, Z.X.; Chen, W.C.; et al. Associations between urinary excretion of cadmium and renal biomarkers in non-smoking females: A cross-sectional study in rural areas of South China. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2015, 12, 11988–12001. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. Pennemans, V.; De Winter, L.M.; Munters, E.; Nawrot, T.S.; Van Kerkhove, E.; Reyners, C.; Dewitte, H.; Carleer, R.; Penders, J.; et al. The association between urinary kidney injury molecule 1 and urinary cadmium in elderly during long-term, low-dose cadmium exposure: A pilot study. Environ. Health 2011, 10, 77. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
35. Prozialeck, W.C.; Edwards, J.R.; Lamar, P.C.; Liu, J.; Vaidya, V.S.; Bonventre, J.V. Expression of kidney injury molecule-1 (Kim-1) in relation to necrosis and apoptosis during the early stages of Cd-induced proximal tubular injury. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 2009, 238, 306–314. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
36. Ruangyuttikarn, W.; Panyamoon, A.; Nambunmee, K.; Honda, R.; Swaddiwudhipong, W.; Nishijo, M. Use of the kidney injury molecule-1 as a biomarker for early detection of renal tubular dysfunction in a population chronically exposed to cadmium in the environment. SpringerPlus 2013, 2, 533. [CrossRef]
37. Navas-Acien, A.; Tellez-Plaza, M.; Guallar, E.; Munter, P.; Silbergeld, E.; Jaar, B.; Weaver, V. Blood cadmium and lead and chronic kidney disease in US adults: A joint analysis. Am. J. Epidemiol. 2009, 170, 1156–1164. [CrossRef]
38. Lin, Y.S.; Ho, W.C.; Caffrey, J.L.; Sonawane, B. Low serum zinc is associated with elevated risk of cadmium nephrotoxicity. Environ. Res. 2014, 134, 33–38. [CrossRef]
39. Madrigal, J.M.; Ricardo, A.C.; Persky, V.; Turyk, M. Associations between blood cadmium concentration and kidney function in the U.S. population: Impact of sex, diabetes and hypertension. Environ. Res. 2018, 169, 180–188. [CrossRef]
40. Crinnion, W.J. The CDC fourth national report on human exposure to environmental chemicals: What it tells us about our toxic burden and how it assists environmental medicine physicians. Altern. Med. Rev. 2010, 15, 101–108. [CrossRef]
41. Satarug, S.; Gobe, G.C.; Ujjin, P.; Vesey, D.A. A comparison of the nephrotoxicity of low doses of cadmium and lead. Toxics 2020, 8, 18. [CrossRef]
42. Myong, J.-P.; Kim, H.-R.; Baker, D.; Choi, B. Blood cadmium and moderate-to-severe glomerular dysfunction in Korean adults: Analysis of KNHANES 2005-2008 data. Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health 2012, 85, 885–893. [CrossRef]
43. Chung, S.; Chung, J.H.; Kim, S.J.; Koh, E.S.; Yoon, H.E.; Park, C.W.; Chang, Y.S.; Shin, S.J. Blood lead and cadmium levels and renal function in Korean adults. Clin. Exp. Nephrol. 2014, 18, 726–734. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
44. Park, Y.; Lee, S.J. Association of blood heavy metals and renal function in Korean adults. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2022, 19, 6646. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
45. Kalantar-Zadeh, K.; Jafar, T.H.; Nitsch, D.; Neuen, B.L.; Perkovic, V. Chronic kidney disease. Lancet 2021, 398, 786–802. [CrossRef]