Is femoral artery calcification a sign of mortality in elderly hip fractures?
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Abstract

Objectives: It is important to determine the risks of mortality in elderly patients with hip fractures. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of femoral artery calcification on mortality risk in patients with cemented partial hip prosthesis.

Methods: The study included 145 patients (≥65-years-old) with cemented partial hip prosthesis operated following hip fracture. Patients were divided into two groups: Group (1) included those without femoral artery calcification, and Group (2) with femoral artery calcifications observed on direct radiography. Age, gender, duration of hospitalization, time of death and follow-up duration, ASA score, anesthesia type, fracture type, complication and time of operation for patients were compared between two groups.

Results: After exclusion of certain patients due to lack of necessary information in their documents, a total of 116 patients were investigated. The mean age of patients was 81.52±6.82. Mean follow-up duration was 35.39 (range: 0–76) months. Three out of 116 patients died after some complications during surgery. Mean time of death after surgery was 21.21 months in Group 1 and 23.86 months in Group 2 (p=0.628).

Conclusion: The results of this study showed that femoral artery calcification in patients with cemented prosthesis due to hip fracture at advanced age had no effect on mortality. However, there is a need for advanced studies with larger patient groups.
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cemented hemiarthroplasty were included in the study. After excluding patients with no available medical history or radiography, a total of 116 patients were included in the study. Patient information was retrospectively assessed. Direct hip AP radiographs were evaluated by two orthopedists together. Group 1 (n=90) was defined as patients without femoral artery calcification, while Group 2 (n=26) was defined as patients with femoral artery calcification (Figure 1). Differences in terms of parameters such as age, sex, duration of admission, operation time, follow-up duration and death time between the groups were investigated. Additionally, information on American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score, comorbid diseases, complications, type of anesthesia, fracture type, operation within the first 48 hours or not and whether the patient survived was evaluated. All patients had cemented partial hip prosthesis operation performed with anterolateral (Watson-Jones) approach in supine position.

Statistical analysis was performed by Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS for Windows, version 21.0, Chicago, IL, USA). Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test were used for comparison of categorical data. Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality analysis was made for comparison of numerical variables. The survival analysis of exitus patients were investigated with the Kaplan-Meier test.

Results
A total of 116 patients were included to the study (mean age: 81.52±6.82). Of these patients, 49 were males (42.2%) and 67 were females (57.8%). Mean hospital stay was 11.77±6.81 days. Mean time to operation of patients was 4.95±3.5 days. While 31 patients (26.7%) were taken for operation in the first 48 hours, 85 patients (73.3%) had operation after the first 48 hours. Mean follow-up duration was 35.39 (range: 0–76) months. According to ASA scoring, the distribution of patients was as follows: ASA I: 4 patients, ASA II: 56, ASA III: 51 and ASA IV: 5 patients. In total, 98 patients were operated under general anesthesia, while 18 patients under spinal anesthesia. 73 patients had fractures in the femur intertrochanteric region, while 43 patients had femur neck fractures. Complications were observed in 3 out of 116 patients (2 cases with infection, 1 with implant failure).

There were no differences observed between the groups in terms of gender, ASA score, anesthesia type, fracture type, complications, and surgery within the first 48 hours (Table 1). Additionally, there were no differences between the groups in terms of age, duration of admission, and operation time. With similar follow-up durations, there was no significant difference observed in the mean death duration between the groups (Table 2).

68 patients died during the follow-up period of our study (Figure 2). Fifty of these patients - 4 males (35.29%) and 26 females (38.23%) - were in Group 1 (73.52%); 18 patients - 10 males (14.70%) and 8 females (11.76%) - were in Group 2 (26.47%). Deaths were related to advanced age and existing comorbidities. There was no correlation between death and arterial calcification between the groups. At least one or more chronic comorbidities were seen in 90%.

Discussion
The strongest aspect of this study is the presentation of mean 32-month follow-up outcomes of cases with hip fracture both with and without femoral artery calcification. Arterial calcification, cardiovascular diseases and osteoporosis were reported to be related to each other in previ-
However, these studies included assessment of aortic calcification. Our study is important in terms of evaluating patients with femoral artery calcification on direct hip radiographies in terms of mortality.

The main effect of vascular calcification in bone is osteoporosis and fragility fracture due to reduced bone turnover. Aortic calcification is not only associated with lower bone mass but also a higher incidence of new osteoporotic fractures. Peripheral artery calcification is associated with low bone volume and coronary artery calcification. No statistically significant difference in mortality rates between two groups was found in our study.

The effect of implant choice for hip fracture on mortality rates of patients was previously investigated by early studies. Cemented or cement-free partial hip prosthesis or proximal femoral nail (PFN) may be used in patients with intertrochanteric fracture. The present study included patients that have been treated with cemented hemiarthroplasty, whereas patients treated with the others were excluded. Internal fixation may also be appropriate for elderly patients with impaired mobility, but further studies are required on this group before definite conclusions can be made.

Another superior aspect of our study is the length of the follow-up duration. Mean mortality time of patients in both groups was more than twenty months. The mortality in the first year varies from 10–30% being the most common period. In this study, there was no statistically significant difference in mortality rates after partial hip prosthesis among patients with femoral artery calcification compared to the control group. There were also no statistically significant differences observed between the groups when compared in terms of sex, ASA score, anesthesia type, fracture type, complications and time to surgery between the groups. Advanced age, male sex, cognitive impairment, psychiatric illness, living with a caregiver, having more than two comorbidities, cardiovascular disease, renal disease or any malignancy have been suggested to be associated with high mortality rates in hip fracture patients. In our series, the mean age of the patients was over 80 years (Table 2). Especially for such elderly patients with hip fractures, it is necessary to well define factors that are associated with increased mortality rates to predict life expectancy and to prevent

### Table 1
Mortality risk factors compared between groups.

| Variables                  | Category               | Absent (Group 1) (n=90) | Present (Group 2) (n=26) | p-value |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
|                            |                        | Count | Row N % | Count | Row N % |
| Gender                     | Males                  | 37    | 75.51   | 12    | 24.49   | 0.647 |
|                            | Females                | 53    | 79.10   | 14    | 20.90   |
| ASA                        | 1                      | 2     | 50.00   | 2     | 50.00   | 0.098 |
|                            | 2                      | 45    | 80.36   | 11    | 19.64   |
|                            | 3                      | 41    | 80.39   | 10    | 19.61   |
|                            | 4                      | 2     | 40.00   | 3     | 60.00   |
| Type of anesthesia         | General                | 76    | 77.55   | 22    | 22.45   | 0.983 |
|                            | Spinal                 | 14    | 77.78   | 4     | 22.22   |
| Fracture type              | Intertrochanteric      | 55    | 75.34   | 18    | 24.66   | 0.45  |
|                            | Femur neck             | 35    | 81.40   | 8     | 18.60   |
| Complication               | None                   | 87    | 77.68   | 25    | 22.32   | 0.781 |
|                            | Infection              | 2     | 66.67   | 1     | 33.33   |
|                            | Revision               | 0     | 0.00    | 0     | 0.00    |
|                            | Other                  | 1     | 100.00  | 0     | 0.00    |
| Operation in first 48 hours| Yes                    | 27    | 87.10   | 4     | 12.90   | 0.138 |
|                            | No                     | 63    | 74.12   | 22    | 25.88   |

### Table 2
Comparison of patient information in Group 1 (n=90) and Group 2 (n=26) patients.

|                          | Group 1 Means±SD | Group 2 Means±SD | p-value |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Age (years)              | 80.95±6.93       | 83.5±6.14        | 0.094   |
| Duration of admission (days) | 11.65±6.2     | 12.19±8.72   | 0.771   |
| Operation time (days)    | 5±3.78           | 4.8±2.29        | 0.806   |
| Death time (months)      | 21.2±18.52       | 23.86±23.17     | 0.628   |
| Follow-up duration (months) | 36.19±23.04     | 32.63±24.46    | 0.495   |
complications. This study is important in terms of showing that femoral artery calcification has no effect on increased mortality risk in elderly with hip fractures. Nevertheless, this study has some limitations. Among these are the retrospective nature of the study and the rather limited number of the patient group.

Conclusion
This study showed that femoral artery calcification in elderly patients with cemented prosthesis has no effect on mortality. However, there is a need for advanced studies with larger patient groups.
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