The Future of Virology is Synthetic
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ABSTRACT The virosphere (i.e., global virome) represents a vast library of unknown genes on the planet. Synthetic biology through engineering principles could be the key to unlocking this massive global gene repository. Synthetic viruses may also be used as tools to understand "the rules of life" in diverse microbial ecosystems. Such insights may be crucial for understanding the assembly, diversity, structure, and scale of virus-mediated function. Viruses directly affect resilience, stability, and microbial community selection via death resistance cycles. Interpreting and clarifying these effects is essential for predicting the system’s ecology, evolution, and ecosystem stability in an increasingly unstable global climate. A "silent looming pandemic" due to multidrug-resistant microbes will directly impact the global economy, and synthetic virology could provide a future strategy of treatment using targeted viral therapy. This commentary will discuss current techniques for manipulating viruses synthetically, contributing to improved human health and sustainable agriculture.
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WHAT IS SYNTHETIC VIROLOGY? Synthetic virology is a subdiscipline of virology that applies molecular, computational, and synthetic biology principles from the fundamentals obtained from naturally occurring viruses to engineer viruses. The first virus assembled from synthetic oligonucleotides was poliovirus (1), followed by the phiX174 bacteriophage (i.e., phage) (2). Synthetic viruses are built upon a previously sequenced genome, and then oligonucleotides are ordered and assembled synthetically (e.g., Gibson) (2). Synthetic virions should be evaluated morphologically using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) or atomic force microscopy (AFM) to ensure viral genome packaging within particles (i.e., complete virion assembly) before host validation as a quality control step. Computational tools can predict hosts of various viruses via a variety of methods (3). If the host is available, an infection could be confirmed from a synthetic virus. If successful, it would enable a user to construct model systems directly from sequencing data (Fig. 1).

In the early 1950s, it was unknown what molecule drove heredity, whether it was nucleic acids or protein. Finally, Hershey and Chase (4), using T2 phage, confirmed it was DNA, using synthetic radiolabeled phage proteins (35S) and DNA (32P). Thus, viruses established the first rule of life that nucleic acids, not protein, was the molecule of inheritance. Therefore, synthetic viruses were used to establish the first rule of life; now, viruses can be used to understand the assembly, diversity, structure, and scale of virus-mediated influence.

Synthetic biology represents a significant opportunity for economic advancement, including an estimated $11.4 billion market by 2021 (5). Synthetic viruses could even be engineered to perform specific tasks and may have broad applications in agriculture, medicine, climate change, and, potentially, carbon capture (Fig. 1).

Citation White RA, III. 2021. The future of virology is synthetic. mSystems 6:e00770-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00770-21.
Copyright © 2021 White. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.
Address correspondence to rwhit101@uncc.edu.

This commentary is to illustrate that viruses are more friend than foe. If a person is inspired that they can create disruptive technologies from viruses or viral-host interactions. The goal of this commentary is to inspire future innovation using viruses.
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ESTIMATING THE LARGEST GENETIC REPOSITORY ON EARTH—THE VIROSHERE

The virosphere, which is a collection of all of Earth’s viruses, represents the most abundant biological entities (6, 7). The virosphere is estimated at an abundance $10^{31}$ virus-like particles (VLPs) called the “Hendrix product.” (6) This Hendrix product is larger than a mole of atoms ($6.022 \times 10^{23}$, Avogadro’s number), more numerous than stars in the observable universe ($10^{21}$) and greater than the number of all the cells in the human body ($10^{13}$) (6–8).

The human body also contains a vast abundance of viruses. The oral virome is $10^{11}$ VLPs (assuming $10^8$ ml $^{-1}$ in 1.5 liter of saliva), the stool virome is $4.5 \times 10^{11}$ VLPs (assuming $10^9$ g $^{-1}$ in 454 g of stool), and the urine virome is $7 \times 10^9$ VLPs (assuming $10^7$ g $^{-1}$ in 700 ml of urine) (9, 10). The numbers of VLPs in stool and oral viromes, at $10^{11}$, are equivalent to the number of stars in the Milky Way galaxy. A diverse virome is interacting through life and death struggles daily within the human body.

Viral abundances can be measured directly via staining nucleic acids or indirectly via measuring nucleic acids. For indirect measurement, a priori information is required about the viral genome, and then a PCR-based diagnostic (e.g., digital PCR) (11) can be used to estimate viral genome equivalents. A random nucleic acid stain is used (e.g., SYBR), and then VLPs are counted with epifluorescence microscopy (12) or flow cytometry (13). Dyes such as SYBR are double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) specific and fail to stain single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) and ssRNA effectively (7). Giant viruses are filtered out and thus rarely counted (7). A single RNA virus pandemic (e.g. severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 [SARS-CoV-2]) can reach numbers $>10^{17}$ (14); hence, the Hendrix product must be larger to account for giant viruses and RNA and ssDNA viruses. Advances in viral nucleic acid data storage and mineralizing viromes into carbonates to phage/mycoviral therapies.
in viral counting are needed, including (i) measurement of intact particles, (ii) new dyes to differentiate nucleic acid types and strand types (RNA versus DNA, single versus double), (iii) particle size measurement, and (iv) an increase in overall throughput. AFM and TEM must be further explored for viral abundance measurements.

Massive parallel sequencing (MPS; formally NextGen) has elucidated vast numbers of new viral genomes; however, we cannot unlock the functions of the enormous library of unknown genes. We currently struggle to provide functional gene validation to even highly studied organisms such as *Escherichia coli*, in which 35% of the genome has no functional validation (15). The virosphere harbors an immense gene repertoire of ~10^{12} genes (if we assume ~10 genes per virus with the Hendrix product). Viruses fair worse than *E. coli* in functional validation, with ~50% to 70% of the genes lacking functional validation. Such numbers, if validated, would provide a massive library of genes for synthetic biology.

**VIRUSES TO TACKLE CLIMATE CHANGE WHILE PROVIDING SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE**

The impact of viruses on global biogeochemical cycles is noted and described broadly elsewhere (16). The roles of viruses manipulating biogeochemical cycling have been documented via viral auxiliary metabolic genes (vAMGs) (16). vAMGs are involved in many biogeochemical processes, from photosynthesis to carbon and phosphorus metabolism (16).

Photosynthetic engineering could increase carbon capture and storage within the lithosphere, relieving the climate crisis via photosynthesis-induced alkalinity to precipitate carbonate minerals (17). Viruses carrying vAMGs can remodel carbon metabolism in cyanobacteria (16), which could be further engineered with synthetic viruses to increase carbonate precipitation. Viral lysis of cyanobacteria induces calcium carbonate mineral precipitation (7). Engineering viruses via direct lysis or via vAMG could enhance this process, leading to long-term carbon capture within minerals. Synthetic viruses themselves could be mineralized and then trap carbon on geological time scales.

How vAMGs partition microbial metabolisms within the terrestrial ecosystems, including the rhizosphere relating to carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and plant productivity, is unknown. Phages carry a vAMG homolog to *phoH* (phosphate starvation-inducible protein) and *pstS* (phosphate-binding protein), both activated under phosphorus starvation (16). While the function of *phoH* and *pstS* in phages is unknown, should these vAMGs be shown to enhance microbial metabolism related to phosphorus, this could provide plant growth-promoting effects. Therefore, viruses should be screened for plant growth-promoting processes beyond pathogen control within the rhizosphere microbiome.

Engineered viruses could be trained to eliminate pesticides, antibiotics, and fungicides. In addition, viral cocktails could provide a targeted treatment directly toward a pathogen within the field or feedlot. These viral cocktails could be designed to kill, reprogrammed to remove pathogen virulence genes, or made to push cells into dormancy.

**TEACHING AN OLD TECHNOLOGY NEW TRICKS TO TACKLE THE “SILENT PANDEMIC”**

WHO predicts that 10 million people will die from a drug-resistant microbial infection (DRMI) by 2050 (18). Currently, at least 700,000 people die each year from DRMIs (18). We are running out of drugs for microbial resistance, for which many now have no treatment, causing a “silent pandemic.” Antibiotics are classically used as growth promoters in feedstocks (e.g., chickens, pigs, etc.) but increase DRMIs in a variety of bacterial pathogens (e.g., *E. coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*) (19). Because antibiotics are bacteriostatic (nonlethal), microbes can escape via resistant mutations. The significant use of antibiotics in agriculture and medical overuse for nonbacterial infection increase the likelihood of DRMI spread.

Phage therapy is not a new idea; Felix d’Herelle proposed it at the beginning of the 20th century. Phage therapy offers targeted bactericidal (lethal) treatment for bacteria. Phage counterparts, mycoviruses, have been used as biocontrol agents to treat fungal infections (20). As with phage, mycoviruses could be engineered for better biocontrol.
of fungal pathogens. As mentioned above, they could be designed, engineered, and tailored as cocktails for a specific pathogen. Also, viruses could be combined with antibiotics to make them effective again by adding back antibiotic-sensitive genes (21) or via an evolutionary approach (22). Evolutionary approaches to phage therapy combined with antibiotics are modeled and described elsewhere (22).

Questions remain about the use of phage and mycoviral therapy in human patients, including safety, resistance, specificity, and biofilm penetration. Phages can degrade biofilms via depolymerase (23), which can be engineered to be highly specific. The benefit of phage therapy, especially if tailored toward specific pathogens, is that it could be effective and safe, even for immunocompromised patients; however, further clinical studies are needed (24). Less is known on mycoviral therapy for patients, as only viral-like particles, but no viruses, have been isolated for common human fungal pathogens, including *Candida albicans* (20). In addition, bacteria and fungi can develop resistance to viral therapy; however, using multiviral cocktails of >5 viruses or more limits this resistance (25).

Viruses are commonly very specific to single hosts; however, they can be polyviralulent and infect many members of the same species. It has not been widely observed that viruses jump into different phyla, and they rarely jump beyond the class of their original host. The main issue is specificity, which can be combated by cocktail design or viral entry engineering.

## CONCLUSION

Viruses have been the catalysts for molecular biology, synthetic biology, and the genome sequencing revolution. Viruses have elucidated cellular mechanisms essential to regulating our microbiome and are fundamental to Earth’s carbon cycle. Viral genes should be used creatively in research; to solve your questions, learn from them and use these technologies to solve global issues ranging from climate change to the silent pandemic. Viral-based mechanisms (e.g., CRISPR) can be disruptive technologies that are massive innovations. While the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the pivotal role of viruses in our daily life, remember that viruses are more friend than foe, and they are the future via synthetic biology.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Thulani P. Makhalanyane for the nomination for this special issue, Brendan P. Burns for helpful discussions, and Laura Newcomb for proofreading, edits, and suggestions. I also thank Floré for sponsoring this special collection in *mSystems*. R. A. White III is supported by a UNCC Bioinformatics and Genomics start-up package.

## REFERENCES

1. Cello J, Paul AV, Wimmer EJ. 2002. Chemical synthesis of poliovirus DNA: generation of infectious virus in the absence of natural template. Science 297:1016–1018. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1072266.

2. Smith HO, Hutchison CA, Pfannkoch C, Venter JC. 2003. Generating a synthetic genome by whole genome assembly: phiX174 bacteriophage from synthetic oligonucleotides. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:15440–15445. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2237126100.

3. Coclet C, Roux S. 2021. Global overview and major challenges of host prediction methods for uncultivated phages. Curr Opin Virol 49:117–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2021.05.003.

4. Hershey A, Chase M. 1952. Independent functions of viral protein and nucleic acid in growth of bacteriophage. J Gen Physiol 36:39–56. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.36.1.39.

5. Bueso YF, Tangney M. 2017. Synthetic biotech in the driving seat of the bioeconomy. Trends Biotechnol 35:373–378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2017.02.002.

6. Mushegian AR. 2020. Are there 10^{31} virus particles on Earth, or more, or less? J Bacteriol 202:e00052-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00052-20.

7. White RA, III, Visscher PT, Burns BP. 2021. Between a rock and a soft place: the role of viruses in lithification of modern microbial mats. Trends Microbiol 29:204–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2020.06.004.

8. Sender R, Fuchs S, Milo R. 2016. Revised estimates for the number of human and bacteria cells in the body. PLoS Biol 14:e1002533. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002533.

9. Hoyles L, McCartney AL, Neve H, Gibson GR, Sanderson JD, Heller KJ, van Sinderen D. 2014. Characterization of virus-like particles associated with the human faecal and caecal microbiota. Res Microbiol 165:803–812. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2014.10.006.

10. Brussaard CP. 2004. Optimization of procedures for counting viruses by flow cytometry. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:1506–1513. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.3.1506-1513.2004.

11. White RA, III, Quake SR, Curr K. 2012. Digital PCR provides absolute quantitation of viral load for an occult RNA virus. J Virol Methods 179:45–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2011.09.017.

12. Brown JW, Love CE. 2019. The total number and mass of SARS-CoV-2 virions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 116:2024815118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2024815118.

13. Ghatak S, King ZA, Sastry A, Palsson BO. 2019. They-one deconjugation methods for uncultivated phages. Curr Opin Virol 49:117–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2021.05.003.

14. Sender R, Bar-On YM, Gleizer S, Bernshtein B, Flamholz A, Phillips R, Milo R. 2021. The total number and mass of SARS-CoV-2 virions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118:e2024815118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2024815118.

15. Breitbart M. 2012. Marine viruses: truth or dare. Annu Rev Mar Sci 4:245–267. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142805.
17. Jansson C, Northen T. 2010. Calcifying cyanobacteria—the potential of biomineralization for carbon capture and storage. Curr Opin Biotechnol 21:365–371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2010.03.017.
18. Strathdee SA, Davies SC, Marcelin JR. 2020. Confronting antimicrobial resistance beyond the COVID-19 pandemic and the 2020 US election. Lancet 396:1050–1053. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32063-8.
19. Manyi-Loh C, Mamphweli S, Meyer E, Okoh A. 2018. Antibiotic use in agriculture and its consequential resistance in environmental sources: potential public health implications. Molecules 23:795. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23040795.
20. van de Sande WWJ, Lo-Ten-Foe JR, van Belkum A, Netea MG, Kullberg BJ, Vonk AG. 2010. Mycoviruses: future therapeutic agents of invasive fungal infections in humans? Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 29:755–763. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-010-0946-7.
21. Edgar R, Friedman N, Molshanski-Mor S, Qimron U. 2012. Reversing bacterial resistance to antibiotics by phage-mediated delivery of dominant sensitive genes. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:744–751. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.05741-11.
22. Rodriguez-Gonzalez RA, Leung CY, Chan BK, Turner PE, Weitz JS. 2020. Quantitative models of phage-antibiotic combination therapy. mSystems 5:e00756-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00756-19.
23. Tian F, Li J, Nazir A, Tong Y. 2021. Bacteriophage – a promising alternative measure for bacterial biofilm control. Infect Drug Resist 14:205–217. https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S290093.
24. Borysowski J, Górski A. 2008. Is phage therapy acceptable in the immunocompromised host? Int J Infect Dis 12:466–471. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2008.01.006.
25. Wandro S, Ghatbale P, Attai H, Hendrickson C, Samillano C, Suh J, Pride DT, Whiteson K. 18 January 2021. Phage cocktails can prevent the evolution of phage-resistant Enterococcus. bioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.27.426553.