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Abstract

The popularity of internet, downloading and purchasing music from online music shops are growing dramatically. As an intimate relationship presents between music and human emotions, we often choose to listen a song that suits our mood at that instant. Thus, the automatic methods are needed to classify music by moods even from the uploaded music files in social networks. However, several studies on Music Information Retrieval (MIR) have been carried out in recent decades. In the present task, we have built a system for classifying moods of Hindi songs using different audio related features like rhythm, timber and intensity. Our dataset is composed of 230 Hindi music clips of 30 seconds that consist of five mood clusters. We have achieved an average accuracy of 51.56% for music mood classification on the above data.

1 Introduction

Music, also referred as the “language of emotion” can be categorized in terms of its emotional associations (Kim et al., 2010). Music perception is highly intertwined with both emotion and the context (Bischoff et al., 2009). Due to explosive growth of information and multimedia technologies, digital music has become widely available in different forms of digital format. Thus, the management and retrieval of such music is necessary for accessing music according to their meanings in respective songs. Nowadays, people are more interested in creating music library which allows the accessing of songs in accordance with the music moods rather than their title, artists and or genre. Thus, classifying and retrieving music with respect to emotions has become an emerging research area.

The emotional meaning of the music is subjective and it depends upon many factors including culture (Lu et al., 2006). Moreover, the mood category of a song varies depending upon several psychological conditions of the Human Beings. Representations of music mood with the psychology remain an active topic for research. Apart from such challenges, there are several computational models available for mood classification. On the other hand, the collection of the “ground truth” data is still an open challenge. A variety of efforts have been made towards the collecting labeled data such as listeners’ survey, social tags, and data collection games (Kim et al., 2010).

In our present work, we have developed an automatic mood classifier for Hindi music. Hindi is the national language of India. Hindi songs are one of the popular categories of Indian songs and are present in Bollywood movies. Hindi songs make up 72% of the music sales in India. Mainly, we have concentrated on the collection of Hindi music data annotated with five mood classes. Then, a computational model has been developed to identify the moods of songs using several high and low level audio features. We have employed the decision tree classifier (J48) and achieved 51.56% of reasonable accuracy on a data set of 230 songs of five mood clusters.
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1 http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Music_of_India  
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The rest of the paper is organized in the following manner. Section 2 briefly discusses the related work available to date. Section 3 provides an overview of the data and mood taxonomy used in the present experiments while Section 4 describes the feature selection for implementing machine learning algorithm. Section 5 presents the experiments with detailed analysis of results. Finally, conclusions are drawn and future directions are presented in Section 6.

2 Related Works

Music classification has received much attention by the researchers in MIR research in the recent years. In the MIR community, Music Information Retrieval Evaluation eXchange (MIREX) is an annual competition on several important music information retrieval tasks since 2004. The music mood classification task was included into MIREX in the year of 2007. Many tasks were presented related to music classification such as Genre Classification, Mood classification, Artist Identification, Instrument Identification and Music Annotation etc. We have only surveyed the papers related to music mood classification.

Considerable amount of work has been done on the music mood classification based on audio, lyrics, social tags and all together or in a multi-modal approach as described in (Yang et al., 2008; Bischoff et. al., 2009; Kim et al., 2010). Many tasks have been done on the English music mood classification such as lyrics (Hu et. al., 2009a; Hu et. al., 2009b), audio (Lu et al., 2006; Fu et al., 2011) and both (Laurier et al., 2008; Bischoff et al., 2009). Some of the works in Chinese music have been conducted based on audio (Liu et al., 2003) and lyrics (Yang et al., 2008).

Another issue that closely related with mood classification is to identify the appropriate taxonomy for classification. Ekman (1993) has defined six basic emotion classes such as happy, sad, fear, surprise, anger and disgust. However, these classes have been proposed for the image emotion classification as we cannot say a piece of music is disgust. In music psychology, our traditional approach is to describe mood using the adjective like gloomy, pathetic and hopeful etc. However, there is no standard taxonomy available which is acceptable to the researchers.

Russel (1980) proposed the circumplex model of affect based on the two dimensional model. These two dimensions are denoted as “pleasant-unpleasant” and “arousal-sleep”. There are 28 affect words in Russell’s circumplex models and are shown in Figure 1. Later on, Thayer (1989) adapted Russell’s model using the two dimensional energy-stress model. Different researchers used their own taxonomies which are the subsets of Russell’s taxonomy. For example, Katayose et al. (1988) used all the adjectives including Gloomy, Urbane, Pathetic and Serious. Yang et al., (2008) used Contentment, Depression, Exuberation and Anxious/Frantic as mood taxonomy. MIREX (Hu et al., 2008) has five mood clusters and each cluster has more than four sub classes.

Figure 1. Russell’s circumplex model of 28 affects words

To the best of our knowledge, no work has been carried out on Hindi music mood classification. However, Velankar and Sahasrabuddhe (2012) had worked on the preparation of data for Hindustani classical music mood classification. They have performed several sessions for classifying the three Indian Ragas into 13 mood classes.
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This evaluation forum provides a standard taxonomy for mood classification and many researchers have also used this mood taxonomy (Hu et al., 2008; Cyril et al., 2009). We have also used the MIREX mood taxonomy and are shown in Table 1. Each mood cluster contains five or more moods.

We have faced several problems during the annotation of music. First problem was whether it would be better to ignore the lyrics or not. In Hindi music, we have observed several songs have different music as well as different lyrics. For example, a music having high valence consists of the lyric that belongs to the sad mood class. Hu et al. (2008) prepared the data based on music only and the lyrics of the song were not considered in their work. So, we also tried to avoid the lyrics of the song as much as possible to build a ground-truth set.

Second problem is the time frame for a song. We have considered only the first 30 seconds of the song so as to prepare our data. In this frame, some lyrics might present for some of the songs. We have only included the songs that contain lyrics of less than 10 seconds. Finally, we have collected total 230 music tracks out of which 50 tracks were considered from each of the mood clusters except the cluster 5 that contains only 30 tracks.

### Table 1. Five mood cluster of MIREX mood taxonomy

| Cluster1 | Cluster2 | Cluster3 | Cluster4 | Cluster5 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Rowdy    | Amiable/ | Literate | Witty    | Volatile |
| Rousing  | Good natured | Wistful | Humorous | Fiery |
| Confident | Sweet | Bittersweet | Whimsical | Visceral |
| Boisterous | Fun | Autumnal | Wry | Aggressive |
| Passionate | Rollicking | Brooding | Campy | Tense/anxious |
|          | Cheerful | Poignant | Quirky | Intense |
|          |          |          |         | Silly |

Exuberance is associated with fast tempo, high sound and bright timbre whereas sadness is with slow tempo, low sound and soft timbre. In our approach, we have concentrated on the features like rhythm, intensity and timbre.

**Rhythm Feature:** Rhythm strength, regularity and tempo are closely related with people’s moods or responses (Liu et al., 2003). For example, generally, it is observed that, in Exuberance cluster, the rhythm is usually strong and steady; tempo is fast, whereas in Depression cluster is usually slow and without any distinct rhythm pattern.

**Intensity Feature:** Intensity is an essential feature in mood detection and is used in several research works (Lu et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2003). Intensity of the Exuberance cluster is high, and little in Depression cluster. Intensity is approximated by the signal’s root mean square (RMS).

**Timbre Feature:** Many existing researchers have shown that mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCCs), so called spectral shapes and spectral contrast are the best features for identifying the mood of music (Lu et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2003; Fu et al., 2011). In this paper, we have used both spectral shape and spectral contrast. Spectral shape includes centroid, band width, roll off and spectral flux. Spectral contrast features includes sub-band peak, sub-band valley, sub-band contrast.

### Table 2. Feature used in mood classification

| Class     | Features                          |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|
| Rhythm    | Rhythm strength, regularity and tempo |
| Timbre    | MFCCs, Spectral shape, Spectral contrast |
| Intensity | RMS energy |

All the features used in our experiments are listed in Table 2. These features are extracted
using jAudio toolkit. It is a music feature extraction toolkit developed in JAVA platform. The jAudio toolkit is publicly available for research purpose.

5 Experiments and Evaluation

It is obvious that in order to achieve good results, we require a huge amount of mood annotated music corpus for applying the statistical models. But, to the best of our knowledge, no mood annotated Hindi songs are available to date. Thus, we have developed the dataset by ourselves and it contains 230 songs consisting of five clusters.

The mood classification has been performed using several machine learning algorithms based on the features we discussed in Section 4. We have used the API of Weka 3.7.7.5 to accomplish our classification experiments. Weka is an open source data mining tool. It presents a collection of machine learning algorithms for data mining tasks. We employed several classifiers for the mood detection problem, but the Decision tree (J48) gives the best result as compared to the other classifiers.

The features are extracted using the jAudio Feature Extractor. To get the reliable accuracy, we have performed 10 fold cross validation where the data set are randomly partitioned into 80% training and 20% for testing data. The accuracies have been calculated by the Weka toolkit and are reported in Table 3. The confusion matrix of the classification accuracy is given in Table 4. We have achieved the maximum accuracy of 55.1% in cluster 1. It has been observed that the cluster 5 has lowest accuracy and is about 46.7%. This cluster contains less music as compared to other clusters. The accuracies of cluster 2, cluster 3 and cluster 4 are 52%, 50% and 54%, respectively.

We have observed that some of the instances from each of the clusters go to its neighboring cluster. For example, some songs from cluster 2 fall under the cluster 1 as they have similar RMS energy and tempo. It is observed that the present system achieved quite low accuracy as compared to the other existing mood classification systems for English songs (Liu et al., 2003; Lu et al., 2006) and Chinese (Yang et al., 2008) songs. But, the inclusion of additional features and the feature engineering may remove such kind of biasness and improve the results.

| Class    | Accuracy |
|----------|----------|
| Cluster 1| 55.1     |
| Cluster 2| 52.0     |
| Cluster 3| 50.0     |
| Cluster 4| 54.0     |
| Cluster 5| 46.7     |
| Average  | 51.56    |

Table 3. Accuracies of each class

| Clusters | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1        | 29| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2        | 10| 27| 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 3        | 2 | 12| 25| 10| 1 |
| 4        | 2 | 3 | 12| 28| 5 |
| 5        | 12| 1 | 2 | 14|   |

Table 4. Confusion matrix for the accuracy

6 Conclusion and Future Works

In this paper, we have described a preliminary approach to Hindi music mood classification that exploits simple features extracted from the audio. Three types of features are extracted from the audio, namely rhythm, intensity and timbre. MIREX mood taxonomy has been used for our experiment. We have employed the decision tree classifier (J48) for classification purpose and achieved an average accuracy of 51.56% using the 10 fold cross validation.

There are several directions for future work. One of them is to incorporate more audio features for enhancing the current results of mood classification. Later on lyrics of the song may be incorporated for multimodal mood classification. Preparing the large audio data and collecting the lyrics of those songs may be considered as the other future direction of research.
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