Investigation of the Longitudinal Relaxation Time of Rat Tibial Cortical Bone Using SWIFT
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Sweep imaging with Fourier transform (SWIFT) method has been developed to image tissues with very short T2 values, such as cortical bone. The purpose of this study was to measure the T1 value of the rat cortical bone. It was approximately 120 ms on 7.04T. This result could thus be useful for studying bony tissue according to the SWIFT method in the future.
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Introduction

Various imaging methods including X-ray, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and radioisotope examinations are used to diagnose musculoskeletal disease. MRI is a particularly non-invasive diagnostic imaging method that detects the protons of tissues with high contrast resolution, making it a useful tool for evaluating musculoskeletal disease. X-rays and CT are also valuable imaging methods for assessing diseases involving changes in cortical bone, such as osteoporosis, in which conventional MRI is not helpful. This is because the protons of cortical bone have a very short transverse relaxation time (T2) value (< 1 ms),1-3 which causes the signals from the protons in cortical bone to rapidly decay, thus making it difficult to detect these signals and image cortical bone on conventional MRI sequences.

In recent years, sweep imaging with Fourier transform (SWIFT) method and ultra-short echo time (UTE) imaging method have been developed to image tissues with very short T2 values, such as cortical bone.4-8 Using the SWIFT method, the echo time (TE) can theoretically be reduced to nearly zero; therefore, almost all proton signals in the cortical bone may be detected, thus making such imaging possible. It is important to investigate the longitudinal relaxation time (T1) of normal cortical bone to determine the MRI parameters for the cortical bone and to make it possible to diagnose various bone diseases clinically. Indeed, the variable flip angle SWIFT (VFA-SWIFT) method has been used to accurately determine the T1 of iron oxide nanoparticle suspensions9 and osteochondral specimens.10 For these reasons, it is hoped that the VFA-SWIFT method can allow for accurate measurement of the T1 of the cortical bone.

The purpose of this study was to measure the T1 value of the cortical bone in rat tibias using the VFA-SWIFT method.

Materials and Methods

Measurement of the phantom T1 values

The uniformity of the B1 field by 0.1 mM manganese chloride (MnCl2) was measured using the fast spin echo method with a transmit/receive surface coil (4 x 3 cm in diameter) and a high magnetic field MRI unit used for animal experiments (Varian 7.04 Tesla [T] MRI system; Agilent Technologies Inc., Palo Alto, California, USA). A columnar container measuring 1.5 cm in diameter including 0.1 mM MnCl2 was placed in the center of the surface coil under a 22°C room temperature. The uniformity of the B1 field was tested in a preliminary experiment using 0.1 mM MnCl2 and the uniformity was 95.4% calculated by the National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) equation (Eq.).11 Thus, the B1 field variation in the container was confirmed to be negligible.

The T1 values of 6.0 mM MnCl2 were measured according to the VFA method using the gradient echo (GRE)
and the SWIFT method with the same surface coil and the same MRI unit. A columnar container measuring 0.5 cm in diameter including 6.0 mM MnCl$_2$ was placed in the center of the surface coil under a room temperature of 22°C. The GRE three-dimensional method was subsequently carried out under conditions of a changing flip angle (FA) with 5 to 90° (changing every 5°) using the following parameters: repetition time (TR), 12.5 ms; TE, 1.39 ms; average, 4; dummy scans, 0; matrix, 128 × 128 × 128; field of view (FOV), 80 × 80 × 80 mm$^3$; resolution, 0.625 × 0.625 × 0.625 mm$^3$; bandwidth, 100 kHz; radio frequency (RF) pulse width, 1,000 μs; acquisition time, 1.28 ms; and imaging time, 13 min 39 sec. The region of interest (ROI) in the shape of a circle was set to include 75% of the whole phantom area around the center of the phantom. The mean signal intensity (SI) in the ROI was measured.6

The SI on spoiled GRE imaging and SWIFT is determined from the $T_1$ and $T_2$ values of the proton signal, TR, TE and FA and represented by the following equation:

$$\text{SI} \propto \exp \left(-\frac{\text{TE}}{T_1}\right) \left\{1 - \exp \left(-\frac{\text{TR}}{T_1}\right)\right\} \left[\sin \left(\text{FA}\right) / \left\{1 - \cos \left(\text{FA}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\text{TR}}{T_1}\right)\right\}\right]$$

Equation (1)

The SWIFT method was carried out under conditions of changing FA with 5 to 90° (changing every 5°) using the following parameters: TR, 12.5 ms; spirals, 16; matrix, 256 × 256 × 256; views, 8,192; average, 1; dummy scans, 512; FOV, 80 × 80 × 80 mm$^3$; resolution, 0.313 × 0.313 × 0.313 mm$^3$; bandwidth, 62.5 kHz; acquisition time, 4.096 ms; imaging time, 27 min 25 sec; and pulse type, hyperbolic secant pulse. The ROI was set in the same manner as that described for the GRE method. The mean SI in the ROI was measured.

The SI on spoiled GRE imaging is expressed by Eq. 2 referred to Eq. 1 if TE is nearly equal to zero.

The SI determined according to the SWIFT method is expressed by Eq. 2 referred to Eq. 1 because SWIFT is not influenced by transverse relaxation:

$$\text{SI} = M_0 \times \sin \left(\text{FA}\right) \times \left\{1 - \exp \left(-\frac{\text{TR}}{T_1}\right)\right\} / \left\{1 - \exp \left(-\frac{\text{TR}}{T_1}\right) \times \cos \left(\text{FA}\right)\right\}$$

Equation (2)

where $M_0$ is spin density.

In the SWIFT method, the FA for the maximal SI under the conditions of a fixed TR was regarded as $\alpha_E$ and depends on the $T_1$ value. It is expressed by Eq. 3:

$$\cos \alpha_E = \exp \left(-\frac{\text{TR}}{T_1}\right)$$

Equation (3)

The SI obtained from 6.0 mM MnCl$_2$ was linearized according to Eq. 2 and 3. The horizontal axis was $\text{SI} \times \cos \left(\text{FA}\right) / \sin \left(\text{FA}\right)$ and the vertical axis was $\text{SI} / \sin \left(\text{FA}\right)$ expressed by Eq. 4.

$$\text{SI} / \sin \left(\text{FA}\right) = \exp \left(-\frac{\text{TR}}{T_1}\right) \times \left\{\text{SI} \times \cos \left(\text{FA}\right) / \sin \left(\text{FA}\right)\right\}$$

Equation (4)

Curve fitting was performed using the fittype (ax+b) function of the MATLAB software program (MathWorks; Natick, Massachusetts, USA). The $T_1$ value of 6.0 mM MnCl$_2$ in each voxel was calculated from the fitted slope, $\exp \left(-\frac{\text{TR}}{T_1}\right)$, and the $T_1$ map was made. The $T_1$ values were obtained from Eq. 4.

6.0 mM MnCl$_2$ was collected at 7T using an AVANCE III NMR spectrometer (Bruker Biospin, Baden-Württemberg, Germany) with a 10-mm bird-cage RF coil. A one ml solution of MnCl$_2$ was placed in a 10-mm NMR tube. The temperature was maintained at 22°C. The $T_1$ value of the MnCl$_2$ solution was measured by the inversion-recovery (IR) pulse sequence (RD - 180° - TD - 90° - acquisition of FID), where RD is the relaxation delay of 3 sec, 180° RF pulse is 69 μs of block-pulse, TD is variable delay, 90° RF pulse is 34.5 μs of block-pulse, and FID is free induction decay. The SI was gathered from 1 ms to 300 ms, and the $T_1$ value was measured. In addition, the SI was set at 3000 ms and Mo was measured. The $T_1$ values were calculated based on the SI at VD (Mo) using Eq. 5, as follows:

$$\log \left\{\left(\text{Mo} - \text{Mv}\right) / \left(2\text{Mo}\right)\right\} = \frac{1}{T_1} \times \text{VD}$$

Equation (5)

where Mo is the SI obtained with a VD of 3000 ms.

**Measurement of the $T_1$ value of the cortical bone in the rat tibias**

This study evaluated five lower thighs obtained from five 12-week-old female Sprague-Dawley wild-type rats (Shimizu Laboratory Supplies; Kyoto, Japan) raised in an animal house at our institution in accordance with the policies and procedures set out in the “Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals” issued by the National Institutes of Health. This study was approved by the ethics review board for animal experiments at our institution.

The right tibias of the rats were extracted as a single lump together with the surrounding tissues and used as specimens. Each specimen was placed in a columnar container measuring 1.5 cm in diameter to take phantom images. The specimens were then immersed in a fluorine-based inert liquid (Fluorinert FC-3283®, Sumitomo 3M, Tokyo Japan) to attenuate the artifacts with susceptibility. Imaging was subsequently carried out using the MRI unit and the surface coil as described for obtaining the phantom images. The container with the specimen inside was set in the center of the surface coil to avoid RF non-uniformity. The specimen was installed in the same direction to maintain the FA. Regarding the imaging conditions of the SWIFT method, the parameters were fixed at TR = 12.5 ms under conditions of a changing FA ($n = 5$) with 5 to 90° (changing every 5°). These settings were provided under the common imaging parameters used to obtain phantom images except for FOV and resolution. FOV was set at 40 × 40 × 40 mm$^3$ and resolution was 0.156 × 0.156 × 0.156 mm$^3$.

Upon imaging, six ROIs, including all areas of the cortical bone, were set in the cortical bone in the diaphysis of the tibia with the same transected image (Fig. 1). Subsequently, the mean SI in the ROIs was measured, and the mean value was obtained.
Curve fitting was performed using the MATLAB software program, and the $T_1$ map of the cortical bone was made as well as 6.0 mM MnCl$_2$. The $T_1$ values were obtained from Eq. 4. The mean SI and the mean $T_1$ value of five cortical bones were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation.

Results

**Measurement of the phantom $T_1$ values**

When using the GRE method, the FA for the SI of 6.0 mM MnCl$_2$ reached a maximum at 55° (Fig. 2a). The $T_1$ value was 23.9 ms obtained from Eq. 4.

When using the SWIFT method, the FA for the SI of 6.0 mM MnCl$_2$ reached a maximum at 60° (Fig. 2b). The $T_1$ value was 20.8 ms obtained from Eq. 4. The $T_1$ value obtained using the SWIFT method was slightly smaller than those obtained with the GRE method.

The $T_1$ value was 26.1 ms obtained by means of the spectroscopic IR method.

The $T_1$ value on the map closely corresponded to the $T_1$ value obtained from Eq. 4 according to the SWIFT method (Fig. 2c).

**Measurement of the $T_1$ value of the cortical bone in the rat tibias**

Under the conditions of a changing FA, the cortical bone SI reached a maximum with an FA of 25° in all cases (Fig. 3). The mean cortical bone SI was $(9.8 ± 0.45) \times 10^6$ at FA = 25° and TR = 12.5 ms. As shown in Fig. 4 for a case, the signals from the bony tissues were imaged and a clear contrast was obtained. The mean $T_1$ value of five cortical bones was $117.6 ± 7.25$ ms obtained from Eq. 4. The $T_1$ value on the map closely corresponded to the $T_1$ value obtained from Eq. 4.

![Fig 1.](image1.png)

**Fig 1.** The set ROI surrounded by white line. Six ROIs, including all areas of the cortical bone, were set in the cortical bone in the diaphysis of the tibia with the transected image by SWIFT method. ROI: region of interest, SWIFT: sweep imaging with Fourier transform.
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**Fig 2.** Changes in the SI of the 6.0 mM MnCl$_2$ based on the changes in the FA based on the GRE (a) and SWIFT (b) methods and the $T_1$ map obtained using the SWIFT method (c). The FA for the SI of 6.0 mM MnCl$_2$ reached a maximum at 55° using GRE (a) and 60° using SWIFT (b). The $T_1$ value on the map obtained according to the SWIFT method closely corresponded to the $T_1$ value obtained from Eq. 4 (c). SI: signal intensity, FA: flip angle, GRE: gradient echo, SWIFT: sweep imaging with Fourier transform.
Discussion

It is expected that signals from connective tissues may be non-invasively rendered using the SWIFT method. Obtaining an accurate measurement of the cortical bone SI is necessary for performing accurate cortical bone $T_1$ measurements, and it is important to clearly acquire cortical bone images. In this study, rats commonly used in basic research on bone disease were assessed. To the best of our knowledge, there are no past reports of the cortical bone $T_1$ values in rats. It is difficult to obtain the robust SI for the cortical bone of rats in vivo because the animals are very small. The water content of cortical bone, muscle and fat in humans is approximately 15%, 70% and 90%, respectively, with the water content of cortical bone being overwhelmingly less than that of muscle and fat. The $T_1$ value of cortical bone depends on bone water status. Therefore, fresh tibias surrounded by muscle and fat as one lump were imaged ex vivo to prevent dryness of the bony tissues and obtain a good cortical bone SI in addition to better tissue contrast. Future studies are needed to identify the best conditions for achieving a higher contrast of cortical bone in small specimens in vivo using the SWIFT method.

The $T_1$ value can be measured using the IR method, the saturation recovery method or the VFA method. The imaging time is longer for the IR method and the saturation recovery method but shorter for the VFA method, as it only measures the SI at two FAs across the Ernst angle. However, the SI was measured for multiple FAs and using a long TR to obtain a more accurate $T_1$ value for MnCl$_2$ and cortical bone in this study, because the first and basic study assessed the cortical bone $T_1$ value using the VFA-SWIFT method. Therefore, the scan time in this study was too long for in vivo and clinical applications.

![Graph showing changes in the SI of the tibial cortical bone based on the changes in the FA. The cortical bone SI reached a maximum when the FA was 25° in all cases. The mean cortical bone SI was $(9.8 \pm 0.45) \times 10^6$ at FA = 25° and TR = 12.5 ms. SI: signal intensity, FA: flip angle, TR: repetition time.]

![Images of SWIFT transected (a) and the $T_1$ map (b) of the lower thigh tissue of a rat. Signals from the bony tissue are shown, and a clear contrast was obtained. The $T_1$ value on the map closely corresponded to the $T_1$ value obtained from Eq. 4. SWIFT: sweep imaging with Fourier transform.]
Reducing the number of FAs and/or shortening the TR is a simple and straightforward way of reducing the scan time. It was noted that the cortical bone SI reached its maximum with an FA of 25° in all cases in this study. The cortical bone SI should therefore be measured at FAs across 25°, such as from 15° to 36° (changing every 3°), to measure the T1 value of cortical bone. Moreover, future studies must ensure that a better SI is obtained for a shorter TR with the VFA-SWIFT method.

The higher the magnetic field, the longer the T1 value of the tissues becomes. However, the T1 value of cortical bone on 7.04T could not be found based on extensive reading of previous reports and the calculated values could not be compared with the results of this study. The T1 value of both 6.0 mM MnCl2 obtained from the SWIFT method closely corresponded to that obtained from the GRE and spectroscopic IR method. However, the T1 value of 6.0 mM MnCl2 was slightly shorter according to the SWIFT method than that obtained using the GRE and the spectroscopic IR method. This could be because more signals, especially low signals due to short T2, are obtained from the phantom using the SWIFT method versus the GRE and spectroscopic IR method. The GRE and spectroscopic IR method are affected by transverse relaxation. In the SWIFT method, the TE is set to nearly zero, and swept radiofrequency excitation and signal acquisition are performed at almost the same time; therefore, SWIFT minimizes the effects from signal decay due to transverse relaxation, and the T1 values of various tissues having short T2 values, including cortical bone, can be measured easily and exactly. Springer et al. reported that the T1 value of the human tibial cortical bone is approximately 80 ms using the VFA method on 3T MRI. On the other hand, Du et al. reported that the T1 value of the human tibial cortical bone is approximately 230 ms using the saturation recovery method on 3T MRI. The T1 value of the rat tibial cortical bone was found to be 118 ms according to the VFA method in this study. This result is partially consistent with the findings of past reports considering that a higher magnetic field was used and there was a longer T1 value for the tissues although the species were different. The cortical bone water status, upon which the T1 value depends, may differ based on the condition, and measuring the T1 value of cortical bone remains challenging.

This study is associated with various limitations. First, the measurement accuracy was limited due to the small size of the specimens, the low SI of cortical bone, affected the T1 value accuracy. Future studies are needed to investigate the cortical bones of large animals. Second, bullseye artifacts are generated in the center in order to radially supplement the k-space and the SI of this site cannot be measured. However, bullseye artifacts can be avoided by having the measured site be slightly off center, thereby achieving a good ROI setting. Third, a surface coil with considerable B1 field variation in space and ex vivo specimens were used due to the MRI system conditions. T1 measurements obtained using the VFA-SWIFT method are sensitive to B1 field inhomogeneity and FA error. However, with regard to the SWIFT method, there have been only a few reports in which images of an ex vivo specimen were examined primarily, and such studies are still in the preclinical stage. If optimal imaging conditions for assessing cortical bone with the SWIFT method using volume coil and the Look-Locker method can be determined, such results may facilitate in vivo studies and obtain a more accurate T1 value of cortical bone. We believe that our present data help to support the use of this method and provide evidence that obtaining better resolution is also possible.

The SWIFT method gradually changes the magnetic field gradient pulse; therefore, this method is advantageous in that the sound during the examination is quiet and the TE is substantially zero with little motion or flow artifacts. Although SWIFT is associated with some problems such as a high RF power and a specific absorption rate limitation for in vivo studies, the clinical application of this modality is nevertheless anticipated.

Conclusion
We herein investigated the T1 value of the rat tibial cortical bone using the SWIFT method. The T1 value of the rat tibial cortical bone was approximately 120 ms on 7.04T MRI. This result could be useful for studying bony tissue using the SWIFT method in the future.
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