INTRODUCTION

Water pollution is a crucial environmental issue that continues to occur, especially in marine waters. The primary source of marine contamination is derived from oil spills both from offshore drilling processes and from activities by ships that cross the ocean [1]. A site that gets an opportunity of an oil spill is the port. Tanjung Perak is the second most significant and active port in Indonesia after Tanjung Priok. To this day, Tanjung Perak is a port used as a trading center in eastern Indonesia. Bunkering activities in the port area or refueling diesel oil when ships are more frequently contributing waste oil spills. This activity can harm life resources, disrupt marine activities, including fishing, marine culture, and decrease seawater quality, up to human health problems [2].

There are different approaches to reduce the impact of oil contamination physically, chemically, and biologically. Biological treatment of oil spills in bioremediation techniques is the most effective and economical way. As well as having a small environmental effect, this method can significantly reduce the oil spills impacts [3]. Bioremediation works by decomposing or reducing toxic organic and inorganic waste in the environment into other harmless compounds. A SWOT analysis for bioremediation technologies showed that the bioremediation agents are predominantly bacteria (57%), enzymes (19%), fungi (13%), algae (6%), plants (4%), and protozoa [4]. Indigenous bacteria as a bioremediation agent are advised. Bacteria are one type of microorganism with a very high abundance in nature, both in terms of number and type. Bacteria that can degrade compounds contained in petroleum hydrocarbons are called hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria.

Biosurfactants are surfactants produced by microorganisms, especially bacteria. Currently, this active compound has gained significant attention as an emulsifier and oil recovery agent. It is because biosurfactants have high efficiency and selectivity. Biosurfactants can work in extreme conditions (salinity, pH, and temperature) with high biodegradability and low toxicity [5]. Several types of bacteria can produce surfactants that allow them to degrade or alter insoluble organic compounds, one of which is diesel oil. In addition, biosurfactants can increase surface area and oil solubility. Therefore, this study will discuss the potential of bacteria indigenous from the Tanjung Perak Port in producing biosurfactants by several screening methods.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Samples Collection

Water samples were collected from the port of Tanjung Perak Surabaya, 7°19’97,60’ south latitude and 112°73’18,42’ east longitude (Fig. 1). The sampling method in this study used the purposive sampling method. A sample of 1000 mL of seawater was taken from the port of
Tanjung Perak, Surabaya. The sampling site was in an area that had experienced an oil spill. In-situ water quality measurements are carried out directly at the location. Water temperature and pH were measured by a pH meter (Lutron PH-220). Other water quality parameters measured in the laboratory include salinity with a salinometer and oil content (APHA.S220 B-2017). The water sample was then placed in sterilized bottles and stored in an icebox at 4°C. It was stored for around 2 hours until arriving at the laboratory for further analysis.

Isolation of Bacteria

The pure water samples were homogenized using a water bath incubator shaker (Memmert WNB 22, Germany) at 30°C for 1 hour, 170 rpm speed shaker. Then, one ml of the sample was transferred to a tube containing 9 ml of 0.85% NaCl, followed by serial dilutions up to $10^{-5}$. Next, the isolation was carried out using the spread plate method, and 0.1 mL of water sample from $10^{-5}$ dilutions were cultured in Nutrient Agar (NA) medium + 1% diesel oil (as an additional carbon source) and incubated for 24-48 hours at 30°C [6].

Morphological Characterization of Bacteria Colony

After incubation, different bacterial colonies that appeared on the agar surface were taken and transferred for characterization. The morphological characterization of bacterial colonies was carried out macroscopically and microscopically. Macroscopic observations mark the shape, color (pigmentation), elevation, and margins in this study. While the microscopic characterization was carried out by Gram staining using a microscope with a magnification of 400x. This physical characterization was determined based on Harley-Prescott [7]. The selected colonies were purified into NA medium using the streak plate method. The pure isolates were stored in slanted agar and Nutrient Broth (NB) medium with glycerol, then stored in a refrigerator at 4°C for further analysis.

Screening of Biosurfactant-Producing Isolates

Drop collapse test

All of the screening tests in this study used Nutrient Broth as a medium. The drop collapse test was performed by dripping two microliters of diesel oil onto a parafilm surface followed by five microliters drops of 24 h culture supernatants of each isolate. After 1 minute of observation, the supernatant made the oil drop collapse and will be flat if it contains biosurfactants [8]. It scored as positive results. On the other hand, if the droplets remain spherical, the isolate was scored as negative results. These results can be compared with distilled water as a control.

Oil spreading assay

The oil spreading test was performed by pouring 50 mL of distilled water into a petri dish (9 cm diameter). Later, 50 μL of diesel oil was dropped onto the surface of the water. The 24 h culture supernatant (10 μL) of each isolate was then added to the diesel oil surface. The clear zone was observed if the biosurfactant was present, and, subsequently, the diameter of the clear area was measured after 30 s [8].

Emulsification index ($E_{24}$)

The emulsification test is used to determine the ability of biosurfactants to emulsify liquids of different polarities. The 24 h culture supernatant incubated at 30°C of each isolate was mixed with diesel oil. The test was used a test tube (16 mm diameter, 100 mm high) in a 1:1 ratio (1.5 mL supernatant mixed with 1.5 mL diesel oil). The mixture was vortexed at high speed for 2 minutes and then left for 24 hours to form a stable emulsion. Emulsification test results are expressed as the emulsification index [9]. The percentage of emulsification index was calculated following the formula:

$$E_{24}(\%) = \frac{HE}{HT} \times 100$$

Description:

HE : the height of emulsion layer (cm)
HT : total height of liquid column (cm)
Statistical Analysis
All emulsification indexes were performed in duplicate. Ms. Excel version 2008 was used for statistical analysis. Data presented are mean value ± standard deviation.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
Physicochemical Properties of Water Sample
Water samples were collected from the Tanjung Perak port area that contaminated diesel oil. In addition to seawater sampling, physical and chemical parameters were measured. The physicochemical properties of the water analyzed from the sample will affect the results of the isolated microorganisms. The results of the analysis are shown in Table 1.

| No. | Parameter   | Result  | Unit |
|-----|-------------|---------|------|
| 1   | Temperature | 31.4    | °C   |
| 2   | pH          | 6.58    | -    |
| 3   | Salinity    | 26.2    | ppt  |
| 4   | Oil Content | 2.0     | mg.L⁻¹|

Temperature can affect the rate of biochemical reactions. The reaction rate will double for every 10°C increase in temperature. At a temperature of 31.4°C, the bacteria that grow well are mesophilic. The results of the salinity measurement of 26.2 ppt indicate that the location is brackish waters. With this salinity, bacteria that can live are a group of halophilic bacteria [10].

According to the Government Regulation (PP) Number 22, in the year 2021, the detected oil concentration still fulfills the standard of port water quality. However, these are not suitable for marine biota that lives in this area [11]. The concentration of oil that pollutes the waters will also affect the number of isolates found. Hydrocarbonolytic bacteria in their life activities require carbon molecules as a source of nutrition and energy to metabolize and reproduce [12]. These bacteria can degrade oil waste by using existing carbon as a source of energy and nutrients for growth.

Isolation and Morphology Characterization of Bacteria
Twelve pure isolates were obtained from the isolation process. The results of the morphological characterization are visualized in Table 2. Based on the morphological characteristics process, from the 12 isolates, the average colony was irregular. Several isolates were circular in shape, and one isolate was punctiform. Most of the colors of the colonies were cream, while only three colonies were white. The elevation of the 12 isolates was dominated by prominent convex colonies. Only some colonies had raised elevations. Characteristics based on margin indicate entire and undulate. Meanwhile, the Gram staining results showed that 66.7% of the isolates were gram-negative bacteria, and 83.3% were rods. Similar to another study reported that gram-negative bacteria isolated from water contaminated with diesel oil is more than the gram-positive bacteria [6].

Several studies report the results of bacteria that have been isolated from waters affected by oil spills, and there are Vibrio alginolyticus [13], Bacillus cereus [14], Alcanivorax nanhaiticus and Halomonas meridiana [15], Aeromonas hydrophila, Enterobacter agglomerans, Shewanella putrefaciens, and Acinetobacter haemolyticus [3]. In addition, biosurfactants are secondary metabolite products from bacteria that can increase hydrocarbons emulsification and help facilitate the degradation process. In this study, all identified isolates were evaluated for their ability to produce biosurfactants.

Table 2. Morphology of Colony Characterization

| Isolates Code | Macroscopic | Microscopic |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|
|               | Form        | Colour      | Elevation | Margin | Gram | Shape |
| LU-1          | Punctiform  | Cream       | Raised    | Undulate | Positive | Cocci |
| LU-2          | Irregular   | Cream       | Convex    | Undulate | Positive | Rods  |
| LU-3          | Circular    | Cream       | Convex    | Entire   | Positive | Rods  |
| LU-4          | Circular    | Cream       | Raised    | Entire   | Negative | Rods  |
| LU-5          | Circular    | White       | Convex    | Entire   | Negative | Rods  |
| LU-6          | Irregular   | Cream       | Convex    | Entire   | Negative | Rods  |
| LU-7          | Irregular   | Cream       | Convex    | Undulate | Negative | Rods  |
| LU-8          | Irregular   | White       | Raised    | Undulate | Negative | Rods  |
| LU-9          | Circular    | Cream       | Convex    | Entire   | Negative | Rods  |
| LU-10         | Irregular   | White       | Raised    | Undulate | Negative | Cocci |
| LU-11         | Irregular   | White       | Convex    | Undulate | Negative | Rods  |
| LU-12         | Irregular   | White       | Convex    | Undulate | Positive | Rods  |
Biosurfactant-Producing Bacteria Screening

The results of this study confirm that the oil-contaminated area is a potential place to obtain biosurfactant-producing microorganisms. Twelve isolates with different morphologies are able to produce biosurfactants with varying ability levels (Table 3).

Table 3. Screening of Biosurfactant Producing Bacteria

| Isolate Code | Screening Biosurfactant |
|--------------|-------------------------|
|              | Drop Collapse Test      | Oil Spreading Test (mm) |
| Control      |                         |                        |
| LU-1         | +                       | ++                     |
| LU-2         | +                       | +++                    |
| LU-3         | +                       | +++                    |
| LU-4         | +                       | +++                    |
| LU-5         | ++                      | +++                    |
| LU-6         | +                       | +++                    |
| LU-7         | ++                      | +++                    |
| LU-8         | +                       | +                      |
| LU-9         | +                       | +                      |
| LU-10        | +                       | +                      |
| LU-11        | ++                      | +++                    |
| LU-12        | +                       | +                      |

*Drop collapse test (DCT) [24]:
(-) completely spherical, (+) slightly flat, and (+++) flat.

**Oil spreading test (OST) based on the diameter of the clear zone: (-) no diameter, (+) diameter < 10 mm, (+++) diameter 10-30 mm, (++++) diameter 30-50 mm and (+++++) diameter ≥ 50 mm.

Drop collapse test

The biosurfactant test using the drop collapse method is based on the destabilization of the bacterial supernatant droplets containing the biosurfactant [16]. If the droplets are round and stable, the polar water molecules will attract the water surface of the hydrophobic surface of the oil [17]. The results of the drop collapse test in this study showed that none of the isolates produced round and stable droplets as in the control. So, it was revealed that the 12 isolates were able to produce biosurfactants. Of all isolates producing biosurfactants, LU-5, LU-7, LU-9, and LU-11 showed the highest positive score with flat drops. The droplet is flat because the interfacial tension between the sample and the oil decreases.

Oil spreading test

The oil spreading test is a fast, easy and sensitive method used to detect biosurfactant activity. The results of oil spreading showed that the 12 bacterial isolates dripped onto a layer of oil and water could form a clear zone. The diameter of the clear zone indicates the activity of surfactants, which is also known as oil displacement [18]. In contrast to the control, which did not form a clear area. It was due to the absence of the addition of bacterial isolates to the test medium so that there was no bacterial activity to utilize carbon sources in producing biosurfactants [19].

The diameter of the clear zone diameter formed in isolates LU-2, LU-7, and LU-11 was greater than 50 mm. The diameter of the clear zone of the three isolates was more significant than that of the other isolates. Thus, this indicates the presence of a higher concentration of biosurfactant. Oil spreading test results were in corroborated with drop collapse test results. Strains found with positive drop collapse results were positive for the oil spreading test also. These two methods are recommended for testing biosurfactant production [20].

Emulsification index (E<sub>24</sub>)

The emulsification assay technique as the quantitative method can be used for screening of the biosurfactant producers. This method is the most promising one because they are more reliable and accurate to confirm the presence of biosurfactant [21]. The emulsification ability of the biosurfactants produced by the 12 bacterial isolates was determined based on the measurement of the emulsification index (E<sub>24</sub>). The results showed that all isolates had different emulsification index values (Fig 2).

The highest E<sub>24</sub> value was produced by isolating LU-7 and LU-11 with the same percentage of 14.81%. The LU-9 isolate also had a relatively high E<sub>24</sub> value of 12.96%. Based on the characteristic isolates LU-7, LU-9, and LU-11 were gram-negative bacteria. Similar to another study, the gram-negative bacteria have a high emulsification capacity. Gram-negative bacterium O15 isolated from seawater produced 65% of the highest emulsification and demonstrated biosurfactant production in the other biosurfactant screening method [22].

Thus, from this test, three isolates were obtained, which could be considered potential biosurfactant producers. Isolates with better emulsification value show the ability to utilize oil more effectively. It is related to the mechanism of biosurfactants in increasing oil degradation. It increases the bioavailability of the substrate through emulsification [23].
CONCLUSION

Indigenous bacteria that produce biosurfactants are found quite a lot in the waters of the Tanjung Perak Port. A total of 12 isolates were found, and all of them can produce biosurfactants with different abilities. The screening results found that the three best isolates were LU-7, LU-9, and LU-11 isolates. The discovery of biosurfactant-producing bacteria indicates that in this area can be found biosurfactant-producing bacteria that have the potential as bioremediator. However, further research is needed to identify these potential bacteria and determine their ability to degrade the oil.
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