Impact of high-intensity interval training on cardio-metabolic health outcomes and mitochondrial function in older adults: a review
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Abstract
Exercise being a potent stimulator of mitochondrial biogenesis, there is a need to investigate the effects of high-intensity interval training (HIIT) among older adults. This review explores and summarizes the impact of HIIT on mitochondria and various cardio-metabolic health outcomes among older adults, healthy and with comorbid conditions. Electronic databases were scrutinized for literature using permutations of keywords related to (i) Elderly population (ii) HIIT (iii) Mitochondria, cell organelles, and (iv) cardio-metabolic health outcomes. Twenty-one studies that met the inclusion criteria are included in this review. HIIT is an innovative therapeutic modality in preserving mitochondrial quality with age and serves to be a viable, safe, and beneficial exercise alternative in both ill and healthy older adults.
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Introduction
The number of mitochondria in the skeletal muscles varies depending on the fiber type. Type I fibers have the highest mitochondrial content; type IIx fibers, on the other hand, have a lower mitochondrial content. High mitochondrial content increases the oxidative ability and endurance in the muscles [1,2]. Mitochondrial content is the equilibrium between mitochondrial growth (biogenesis) and degradation (mitophagy) [3].

Low cardio-metabolic health (poor physical activity, decreased cardiorespiratory fitness, adiposity, dyslipidemia, insulin resistance, and impaired glucose tolerance) is attributed to a decrease in mitochondrial elements and function as people age. Furthermore, it contributes to a reduction in the skeletal muscle ability to synthesize in response to factors inducing mitochondrial biogenesis [4,5]. A possible decrease in cardio-metabolic health and a gradual decrease in muscle mass among older adults are closely associated with reduced mitochondrial content [6]. Mitochondrial dysfunction displays declined mRNA expression, protein synthesis, and protein abundance. The main mitochondrial proteome is coded by nuclear DNA, while being unique in that it contains genetic substance and the ability to synthesize protein [7-9]. Protein import machinery (PIM) strictly regulates mitochondrial and nuclear genomes inside the organelle. In contrast, organelle-specific unfolded protein response (UPRmt) regulates the homeostasis of proteins inside the mitochondria [10,11]. This UPRmt successfully prevents dis-assembly and misfolding of proteins, which can induce proteotoxic stress in mitochondria.
to ensure improper functioning [12]. In addition, the dysfunctional organelles trapped in the mitochondrial network are culled and recycled by mitophagy to maintain the organelles’ quality.

Training at high-intensity intervals (HIIT) is a time-efficient strategy that significantly induces biogenesis and serves as a potential remodeling technique [3,12-14]. The exact definition of “HIIT” varies across different studies [15]. Traditionally HIIT is defined as an exercise that includes short, intermittent outbreaks of vigorous activity determined by 85%-95% heart rate reserve (HRR), or maximal heart rate (MHR) or at about 70-90% VO2peak (<100%) interspersed with active rest periods [16-18]. These rest periods or intervals between activities contribute in sufficient recovery, allowing for the continuance of many rounds of activity [15].

**Training regimens**

HIIT does not have an official well-defined training regimen. [15] However, different permutations have been tested among various studies [19-23] by altering the duration, intensity, the work / rest ratio [24], the exercise modality (especially for the elderly with musculoskeletal conditions and for those at a higher risk of falling) and by using subjective measures to prescribe the exercise intensity (i.e. rating of perceived exertion or dyspnoea on exertion) [25]. Recent exercise trends aim to obtain benefits with the lowest and shortest workload [15]. Matsuo et al. define a “Japanese high-intensity aerobic training (J-HIAT) protocol for sedentary and healthy adults [19]. The regimen constitutes of 3 sets of high-intensity training. The first and the second set are performed at an intensity of 85-90% VO2max whereas the intensity reduces to 80-85% VO2max in the third set. The duration of the work interval is about 3 minutes per set. Each set is followed by a phase of active rest at 50% VO2peak between each set. Cycling was used as the preferred choice of training modality [19]. Similarly, an elderly Japanese high-intensity aerobic training (EJ-HIAT) protocol for sedentary elderly men aging between 60 to 69 years [20]. Participants had to perform 3 sets of work intervals (first set: 85%VO2peak, second set: 80%VO2peak, third set: 75% VO2peak) interspersed with 1-2 minutes of active rest at 50% VO2peak. (first set: 2 minutes rest, second set: 1-minute rest) on a cycle. Alvarez et al. [21,22] developed a protocol for overweight and obese women with type 2 diabetes mellitus. The training interval comprised of 8 intervals of jogging or running for approximately 30 seconds interspersed with 120 seconds of low-intensity walking. At the 12th week follow-up, the high-intensity interval duration was increased by 7 to 10% with a 4% decrease in recovery interval duration, every 2 weeks [21,22].

The 4 × 4 min [17,26] or 10 × 1 min protocol has been common in practice. The 4 x 4 min, known as the clinical protocol [23] is the most widely used and can be performed using the cycle ergometer, arm ergometer, or treadmill with handrails and comprises 4 minutes of work duration at 85-85% MHR interspersed with 3 minutes of recovery at 60-70% MHR. The conventional protocol comprises 60 seconds of HIIT at intensity > 90% HRR for 60 seconds followed by 60 seconds of rest or active rest interval. Some of the training modalities commonly used are cycle ergometer, treadmill, track, body weight, or resistance exercises [23]. In addition, the Tabata and Wingate protocol has been classified under sprint interval training (SIT). Tabata is performed at 170% VO2max for about 20 seconds followed by 10 seconds of rest or a very low-intensity recovery interval. The Wingate protocol is the most popular comprising of 30 seconds work interval performed at all-out intensity against a constant force with 4 minutes of active recovery at low intensity [23].

Lack of time is the most cited perceived barrier to physical activity. All the above protocols thus stand advantageous to overcome these barriers due to their brief bursts of activity, which aids in reducing the time commitment to exercise, thus increasing participation [23]. A major disadvantage of these protocols may be that they may not be suitable for all population groups, especially the sedentary population [27]. Authors recommend that initial training or familiarization to exercise is necessary as such activities may be perceived as too difficult and extremely demanding by the individual [28]. This may lead to the development of negative feelings towards the activity, aversion towards participation, leading to increased dropouts. Furthermore, it may not be safe, tolerable, or attractive for everybody [18].

**Advantages and disadvantages of HIIT**

One of the major advantages of HIIT is that it requires less time compared to traditional continuous training [23]. Thus, it is a highly time-efficient strategy that may aid individuals to adhere to training. HIIT has been shown to effectively improve body composition and, aerobic capacity (VO2_{max}) [29-33]. It has also been shown to improve overall cardiovascular and metabolic health [33] by improving cardio-metabolic markers in older adults, thus improving the health-related Quality of Life (HRQOL) [32,34-37]. Furthermore, studies also report significant improvement in brain function among the elderly [38].

Due to the paucity of data for the elderly population, there is a potential need for medical screening and clearance before participation in HIIT [27]. Pre-participation health screening, as recommended by the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM), can be
considered before beginning of exercise [25,39]. Secondly, these individuals may be consuming their regular prescribed pharmacotherapy due to multimorbidity which may modulate their heart rate response to exercise. Thus, prescribing the exact exercise intensity may be challenging in these individuals due to over-or underestimation of their VO$_{2\text{peak}}$ [25,39]. Therefore, the technique of HIIT may need to be modified to adjust to these factors and to facilitate adherence to HIIT among the elderly. The authors also recommend initial supervision for non-trained individuals and assessment of physical activity behavior as it requires a good level of motivation and program adherence [40]. Supervised HIIT programs applied to different populations have been proven safe, with minimal risk [41-44]. Thus, individuals must weigh the pros and cons of training before participation. However, it was noted that the pros outweigh the cons with its established efficacy and cardio-metabolic health benefits.

**Potential risks involved in training**

In terms of its safety and efficacy, HIIT is a well-established training paradigm [27]. However, older individuals may usually appear with numerous comorbidities, frailty, as well as other age-related liabilities such as balance and cognitive impairment [25]. A study reported an increased risk of falls among older adults during their partaking in HIIT [45]. However, a recent study [27] contradicts this finding and reports a lower number of falls during HIIT sessions. A study evaluating the effect of HIIT in metabolic syndrome adopted the 4 x 4 minute protocol, where the participants performed 4 x 4 min intervals at 90% of maximal heart frequency (Hf$_{\text{max}}$), interspersed with 3-min active recovery at 70% of Hf$_{\text{max}}$ for a total exercise time of 40 min. They reported two knee injuries in extremely overweight patients [46]. Additionally, among coronary artery disease patients, Rognmo and colleagues examined the risk of cardiovascular events during planned HIIT and moderate continuous training (MCT). They reported 1 fatal cardiac arrest during moderate-intensity exercise and 2 non-fatal cardiac arrests during HIIT. No incidence of myocardial infarctions was reported [15,25]. Similarly, Levinger et al, in their systematic review, discovered that the incidence of adverse reactions during or 24 hours after HIIT, was about 8% in patients with cardio-metabolic disorders [15]. Thus, these studies conclude that the risk of a cardiovascular event was low with HIIT in a cardiovascular rehabilitation setting [15,25].

HIIT is one of the futuristic therapeutic modalities for maintaining mitochondrial function with age [47]. Therefore, HIIT’s role in improving and restoring mitochondrial efficiency through improvements in PIM, UPRmt, and mitophagy is affected by aging and thus, needs to be explored (Figure 1).

---

**Figure 1.** Reduction in mitochondrial protein quality with age is successfully reversed by HIIT mainly via reserving the protein import machinery (PIM), upregulating unfolded protein response (URR), and increasing mitophagy.
Physiology

Protein import machinery

It is a well-acknowledged fact that high-intensity interval training or exercise is a strong stimulus that can induce dynamic adaptations in mitochondria [48]. HIIT in the aged population has effectively induced the expression of key PIM components with increased protein translocation rate in mitochondria [49]. By upregulating PIM components (Tom20, Tom22, and Tom23), cytosolic and mitochondrial chaperones (Hsp90, Hsp60, Hsp70, MSF, Cpn10), HIIT actively induces mitochondrial biogenesis in the elderly [50,51]. This was also proved in a preclinical mice model wherein three days followed by nerve transection; mice deficient in BAX/BAK (pro-apoptotic proteins) showed defective PIM with elevated levels of reactive oxygen species [52]. This impairment was seen to be reversed in mice with high-intensity wheel running training.

Unfolded protein response in mitochondria

As the longevity or the lifespan of an organism is tightly linked to the maintenance of mitochondrial quality, dysfunctional features in mitochondria are often associated with aging and disorders [53]. Many preclinical studies using drosophila and mice have confirmed that a positive correlation exists between UPRmt and longevity [54,55]. Studies using the HIIT program have confirmed the upregulation of UPRmt during the early stages of training [56]. Thus, the activation of UPRmt is preceded by potential influences on mitochondrial biogenesis and autophagy, which induces positive adaptations [57].

Mitophagy

An ample amount of evidence suggests that selective degradation of dysfunctional mitochondria (i.e., mitophagy) is a key component of exercise-mediated adaptations [58]. In the muscle, the localization of Parkin to the mitochondrion was increased due to HIIT [59,60]. HIIT also activates AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) via ULK1 phosphorylation, resulting in the induction of the autophagy system [60]. The initial increase in mitophagy flux was also encountered after HIIT which was confirmed via the expression of p62, LC3-II, and localization of ubiquitin to a mitochondrial fraction [61]. Based on the studies by Chen et al., the PINK1/Parkin pathway of inducing mitophagy is assumed to play a pivotal part in the mechanisms of mitochondrial remodeling since HIIT induced mitophagy response was blunted in Parkin knockout animals [62]. Activation of mitophagy followed by HIIT remains unclear due to limitations in the studies published. However, available data suggest that HIIT increases mitophagy induction nullifying the need for a more robust mitophagy response. This is due to considerable improvement in the quality of mitochondrial function elevated through the HIIT paradigm [63].

Hence, in corroboration with established literature, we aimed to explore and summarize the impact of HIIT on mitochondrial biogenesis and its effect on cardio-metabolic health outcomes in older adults.

Methods

Data sources and search strategy

The online databases (PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, Web of Science, ProQuest, Embase) were searched, covering January 2000 to January 2020. Additionally, we reviewed the reference lists of included research. Keywords used were (i) population: elderly, aged, aging, older adults, older person, older people, elder, older, older women, older men, geriatric, senior (ii) HIIT: High-intensity interval training, High-intensity intermittent training, concurrent training, periodic training, interval training, HIIT. (iii) Mitochondria: Mitochondria, Mitochondrial biogenesis, mitochondrial metabolism, molecular, cellular, cell organelles, cellular organelles, organelles. The Boolean operators used were “AND” and “OR” (Figure 2).

Eligibility criteria

- Older adults, 60 years or older, either gender
- Randomized controlled trials (RCTs), quasi-RCT, and pre-post study design
- Studies including intervention(s) as high-intensity interval training, high-intensity intermittent training, Interval/intermittent training
- Published in English.
- Human Subjects
- Published posters or platform presentations were excluded.

Outcomes of interest

Molecular effects of HIIT on mitochondrial function and aging, cardiorespiratory endurance, adiposity indices, muscle function, lipid profile, HOMA-IR, HRQOL, blood lactate, and glucose metabolism.

Study selection and quality assessment

The first two authors conducted an independent search and selection of eligible studies. Disputes were resolved by consultation with the third author. The first two authors retrieved the data from the included studies on a data extraction sheet. The information related to the authors, setting, characteristics of the participants (age, gender, body mass index, inclusion criteria, the severity of the disease, sample size), details of interventions (High-intensity interval training- laboratory-based, real-world based, or combination of both), comparison groups, outcomes assessed, follow-up period, and the adverse effects were recorded. Quality assessment of the included studies was performed using the quality index score [64].
Results and Discussion

A total of 1596 articles were screened after the deletion of duplicates. Of these, 21 studies were included in this review (Table I and Table II) [29-32,34-36,65-78]. HIIT has surfaced as an emergent reservoir of evidence promoting a positive impact on cardio-metabolic health outcomes in the elderly. This is due to the attention received in preventing age-related decline in mitochondrial biogenesis and improving cardio-metabolic parameters in older adults, particularly body composition, lipid regulation, and insulin sensitivity [79].
Table I. Characteristics of included studies: HIIT and Healthy Older Adults.

| Author, year | Population | Intervention | Control or comparison group and exercise intensity | Duration (weeks) | No. Of sessions/ week | Outcomes | Results | Quality index score |
|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|
| Nunes et al, 2019 [29] | Post-menopausal obese women; Baseline body fat percentage >40%; Occupation: housewives No history of physical training | HIIT: n=13 | Warm-up of 5 minutes walking Cool-down of 3 minutes walking at 60% of MHR Type of exercise: Stair climbing (Stop height: 16cm) plus 30 seconds of free bodyweight squats. Total session length: 28 minutes (10 sets of 60 seconds of high-intensity exercises, 80% of MHR, or adapted Borg scale >6 steps) and recovery period of 60 seconds of low-intensity exercise at 60% of MHR or adapted Borg scale at <5. Progression of the intervention: Weekly | 12 weeks Supervised session | 3 Session per week | Bodyweight (KG) BMI Body fat % FM(KG) VAT(KG) | In the HIIT group a reduction in VAT was observed when compared to CT (time vs group effect; P<0.05). No statistical differences between groups were observed for the other body composition and the anthropometric variables | 29 |
| Pinillos et al, 2017 [30] | Active Older adults (n=90) EG: (n=47) Mean(SD): 73.50 (5.58) Mean BMI (SD): 28.97 (5.33) Male:Female(n)= 13:34 CG: (n=43) Mean(SD): 72.09 (5.78) Male:Female(n)= 13:30 | EG | High-intensity circuit training with High-intensity interval endurance training. Next order of exercise: strength-endurance strength. | 12weeks Supervised session | 3 sessions per week on non-consecutive days | Bodyweight (KG) BMI Muscle mass% Fat mass% 30-s Chair test (reps) HS test | The experimental group experienced significant improvements in body mass, fat mass, muscle mass (in kg), and BMI (p < 0.001), whereas the control group did not experience significant changes in any variable (p > 0.05). Within-group comparison (group x time) reported differences in EG (p < 0.001), with significant improvements in both CST and HS tests, whereas the CG did not experience significant changes in CST and HS test (p > 0.05). | 28 |
| Buxinx et al, 2019 [31] | Sedentary obese older adults (n=30) P20−: (n = 15) Male: Female(n)= 7:8 Mean(SD) age: 68 ± 3.7 years | P20−: 5 min warm-up 5 min cool-down A 20-min HIIT of multiples 30-sec sprints at a high intensity (80-85% MHR or Borg’ scale > 17) alternating with sprints of 90 s at a moderate-intensity (65% MHR or Borg scale score 13–16). | 12weeks Supervised session | Three times per week in non-consecutive days | Body composition: BMI FM(%) Functional capacities: dynamometer, upper limb strength: arm curl lower limb strength: lower limb strength: 30s sit to stand. Cardiorespiratory fitness: 6MWT Gait: TUG Balance: one leg standing | A 12-week HIIT program improves functional capacities as well as body composition in obese older adults in both P20− and P20 + group (p < 0.05). | 29 |
| Garcia IB et al, 2019 [32] | Older women(n=54) HICT: (n=18) Mean (SD): 66.3 ± 5.71 years Mean BMI (SD): 30.4 (4.13) MICT: (n=18) Mean (SD): 70 ± 8.76 years Mean BMI (SD): 30.1 (3.08) CG: (n=18) Mean (SD): 67.4± 5.71 years | Warm-up Cool-down The exercise program was divided into a 2-week familiarization period and four 4-week mesocycles period HICT: Exercise intensity: High; 14–18 points of RPE MICT: Exercise intensity: moderate; 9–14 points of RPE | 18 weeks Two times per week (1h/session) | Non-exercise control group | BMI and handgrip strength: dynamometer, upper limb strength: arm curl lower limb strength: 30s sit to stand. Cardiorespiratory fitness: 6MWT Gait: TUG Balance: one leg standing | In lower limb strength, gait/dynamic balance, and cardiorespiratory fitness, both HICT and MICT were statistically better than the CG. | 28 |
| Grace F et al, 2017 [65] | Aging male (n=39) SED: (n=22) Mean (SD): 62.7±5.2 years LEX: (n=17) Mean (SD): 61.±5.4 years | SED (n=22): HIIT (6 x 30 s sprint) Block 1: 6 weeks of supervised aerobic pre-conditioning exercise endorsed by ACSM guidelines for older adults. Block 2: HIIT with 6 x 30 s sprints at 50% of peak power output interspersed with intervals of 3 min active recovery against a low (0.50 W) resistance and self-selected cadence LEX (n=17): Block 1: continued habitual exercise training regimen. Block 2: HIIT with 6 x 30 s sprints at 50% of peak power output interspersed with intervals of 3 min active recovery against a low (0.50 W) resistance and self-selected cadence | 6 weeks | 5 | MET capacity | HIIT accelerates the improvement in MET capacity in both SED and LEX, thus improving cardiovascular health. | 25 |
| Author, year | Population | Intervention | Control or comparison group | Duration (weeks) | No. Of sessions/ week | Outcomes | Results | Quality index score |
|--------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------|---------------------|
| Hwang C L et al, 2016 [66] | Healthy sedentary older adults (n=51); HIT (n = 17); Mean (SD) age: 64.8 ± 1.4 years; Mean BMI (SD): 28.0 ± 1.1 | HIT: Arm and leg exercise on a non-weight-bearing all-extremity air-braked ergometer. Warm-up: 10 minutes; Cool-down: 5 minutes. Exercise dose: 4×4 minute intervals at 90% of HRpeak interspersed by 3×3-minute active recovery periods at 70% of HRpeak. Total duration: 25 min | CONT: no exercise | 8 weeks | 4 days/week | VO2max BMI Body fat% blood lipids HOMA-IR Habitual physical activity | VO2peak improved by 11% in HIT, while no changes were observed in MICT and CONT. Insulin resistance decreased only in HIT by 26%. Body composition and lipids were unaffected (P<0.1). | 29 |
| Scuthorpe N F et al, 2017 [67] | Sedentary ageing male (n=33); INT (n = 22); Mean (SD) age: 62.3 ± 4.1 years; CON (n = 11); Mean (SD) age: 61.6 ± 5.0 years | INT: Supervised “preconditioning” exercise for 6 weeks (training block 1) HIT (training block 2): 5 minutes of warm-up 6x 30 s sprint at 50% peak power on cycle ergometer each interspersed with 3 min interval of active recovery. | CON: Remaining inactive | 12 weeks | | Body fat% PPO | Following LfHIIT, the reduction in body fat accelerated with a concomitant increase in LBM. 6 weeks of LfHIIT exercise shows improvements in absolute and relative PPO significantly | 27 |
| Robinson M et al, 2017 [68] | Younger and older adults (n=27); HIT (n=15, 9 younger, 6 older); Older adults Mean (SD) age 69 (4) years; Older adults Mean BMI (SD): 25.9 (3); SED: Older adults Mean (SD) age: 69 (4) years; Older adults Mean BMI (SD): 25.9 (4) | HIT: The exercise was performed on a cycle ergometer. 3 days per week of 4×4 minute intervals at 90% VO2peak with 3 minutes of rest between intervals and 2 days per week of walking on a treadmill at 70% VO2peak for 45 minutes | SED: No structured exercise was given | 12 weeks | | | Brain glucose metabolism was improved. VO2peak was increased for all participants after HIT and did not change with SED | 27 |
| Knowles A M et al, 2015 [54] | Ageing men (n=44); LEX (n=19); Mean (SD) age 61± (5) years; SED (n=25); Mean (SD) age 63± (5) years | SED (n=25): Block 1: 6 weeks of supervised aerobic preconditioning exercise Block 2: HIT with 6 x 30 s sprints at 40% of peak power output | LEX (n=19): Positive control group | 6 weeks | | | HOMA-IR VO2peak Brain glucose metabolism | HRT increases perceptions of HRQOL, aerobic capacity in older adults, to varying degrees, in both SED and LEX groups | 29 |
| Ballin M et al, 2019 [69] | Community-dwelling old men and women (n=77); Mean (SD) age 70.7 ± (02) years; Mean (SD) body mass index (BMI) 29.2 ± 3.3 kg/m² | Intervention group: Warm-up and dynamic stretching for 10 min, followed by vigorous interval training work-to-rest ratio - 40/20 s. Progression: Weekly Vigorous-intensity of 7 on Borg CR10 scale | Control group: Participants were asked to maintain their daily living and routines throughout the trial. | 10 weeks | | | | The intervention resulted in significant effects on the SF-36, total cholesterol, and LDL-cholesterol when compared to the control group. | 29 |
| Klonizakis et al, 2014 [70] | Postmenopausal women aged ≥50 years (n=22); HIT (n=12); Mean (SD) age 64 (7) years; CT (n=10); Mean (SD) age 64 (4) years | HIT: The exercise consists of ten 1-minute exercise sessions with 3-minute rest intervals at 100% of peak power output separated by 1 minute of active recovery | CT: 40 minutes of continuous cycling at 65% of peak power output | 2 weeks | | Peak oxygen uptake | | | | 27 |
| Hunt et al, 2019 [71] | Adults aged from 50-81 years (N=36); HIT (n=18); Mean (Range) age: 61.9 (50-81); CON (n=18); Mean (Range) age: 62.8 (50-74) | HIT: Warmup: Combination of upper- (bent over row, shoulder press), lower- (squat, split squat), and full-body (power clean and press, step, and press, pulldown to squat, pull-up), each 4 reps of each HIT (4sets) each followed by 3 min passive rest at >90% of MHR. | CON: No exercise | 12 weeks | 2 sessions/ week | HRQOL Leg extensor muscle power Cardiorespiratory fitness | HIT improved dominant Leg strength, cardiorespiratory fitness, and HRQOL. | 29 |

Abbreviations: BMI: Body Mass Index; CT: combined training; CG/ CONT/CON: Control Group; CST: chair stand test; EG: Exercise group; FM (%): Total fat mass; GDF11: Growth differentiation factor 11; HIT: High intensity interval training; HIT: high intensity training; HIICT: High intensity concurrent training; HOMA-IR: Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance; HRQOL: health related quality of life; HS: Handgrip strength test; INT: intervention group; LDL: Low density lipoprotein; LEX: Lifelong exercisers; LfHIIT: Low frequency high intensity interval training; LBM: total lean body mass; MICT: Moderate Intensity continuous training; MHR: Maximum Heart rate; PPO: Peak power output; P20+/: > 20 g protein in each meal; P20-: < 20 g protein in at least one meal; pQCT: Peripheral Quantitative Computed Tomography; RM: Repetition maximum; SED: Sedentary Ageing; SF-36: short form- 36; TUG: Timed Up and Go Test; ULMS: Maximum voluntary upper limb muscle strength; VAT: visceral adiposity tissue; VO2max: maximal oxygen uptake; VO2peak: peak oxygen consumption; 6MWT: 6 Minute Walk test.
Table II. Characteristics of included studies: HIIT and Older Adults with Comorbidities.

| Author, year | Population | Intervention | Control or comparison group and exercise intensity | Duration (weeks) | No. Of Sessions/week | Outcomes | Results | Quality index score |
|--------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------|---------|---------------------|
| Gaitan et al, 2019 [72] | Obese adults with diabetes (N=22) | HIIT: (n=11) | 5.10 | 50 5% HRpeak for the entire 60 min | 2 weeks supervised session | Body Mass (kg) | Body mass reduced and VO2peak increased more in the intervention group compared to the control group. High-intensity INT training enhances fat oxidation during the same relative intensity exercise in people with diabetes. No Significant change were observed in WC | 27 |
| Terada et al, 2013 [73] | Older treated hypertensive individuals (N=44) | HIIT: (n=15) | 6 | 12 weeks supervised session | 5 sessions per week | Body composition | Body fat percent, Leg fat, and subcutaneous fat width were significantly reduced in both groups. HbA1c did not change from baseline. VO2peak did not change in either group. HI-IE training did not negatively impact exercise adherence and retention compared to MI-CE. Therefore, HIIE training can be a feasible intervention in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus. | 28 |
| Jaureguizar et al, 2016 [74] | Postmenopausal women with T2DM (N=17) | HITT: (n=8) | 8 | 16 weeks | 2 days/ week | Body composition | HITT showed significant loss of total abdominal and visceral FM. HITT program in postmenopausal women appeared to be more effective for reducing central obesity than MICT | 29 |
| Izadi et al, 2017 [75] | Older treated hypertensive individuals (N=44) | HIIT: (n=15) | 5 | 6 weeks | 3 times/ week | VO2peak | High-intensity interval training resulted in a significantly greater increase in VO2 compared with MCT. VT 1 increased by 21% in HIIT and 14% in MCT. Significant increases in the 6-minute walk distance in HIIT group compared with MCT group. Both training protocols improved the quality of life. HIIT reported a greater increase in functional capacity compared with MCT without any increase in cardiovascular risk. No adverse events were reported in either of the groups. | 28 |
### Table 1: Study Characteristics and Results

| Author, year | Population | Intervention | Control or comparison group and exercise intensity | Duration (weeks) | No. Of sessions/ week | Outcomes | Results | Quality index score |
|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|---------|----------------------|
| Martini et al, 2018 [76] | Older postmenopausal women (N=28) 6 discontinuated at follow-up in each group. | HBWWT: 60 seconds of high-intensity exercise (>85% MHR/Borg scale at 7-8/10) interspersed by a recovery period of 60 seconds of low-intensity exercise (light walk). High-intensity exercise: 30 seconds of stepping up and down on a 16 cm step and 30 seconds of bodyweight squat with 90-degree knee flexion. Progression: Week 1-4: 60 s of high-intensity exercise ’s recovery period of 4 min of low-intensity exercise (4 sets) Week 4-12: 60 s high-intensity exercise x recovery of 60 s of low-intensity exercise (10 sets) | COMT: 30 min walk on the flat floor of moderate-intensity (70% of HRmax/Borg scale at 5-6/10) on following by 8-12 reps of 5-resistance exercise at 70% 1RM (45-degree half squat, bench press, leg curl, rowing machine and unilaterial legextension). Progression: Week 1: walk at 70% of HRmax or Borg scale at 5-6 (15 min) and one set of 5 resistance exercises (8-12 repetitions at 70% of 1RM) Week 2: 20 min walk and two sets, with a 1.5 min rest period between sets. Week 3: 25 min walk and two sets. Week 4 to 12: 30 min walk and three sets. | 12 weeks | 3 days per week (no consecutive days) | Body composition | Muscle function (1-RM test) Blood samples 6MWT | HBWWT showed effects similar to COMT. Muscle mass index (MMI) increased in both groups. Muscle strength increased only in the COMT group. 6MWT increased in both groups. Fasting glucose, glycated hemoglobin, Insulin, HOMA-IR were decreased in both groups. | 28 |
| Taylor et al, 2014 [77] | Participants with T2DM (N=18) to 69 years (N=21) HIGH (n=11) MOD (n=10) | HIGH: Resistance Training: Intensity: 100% of 8-RM Volume: 4 sets of up to 8 repetitions Frequency: 2 days per week Rate of Progression: Resistance increased based on a prescribed percentage of the weekly established 8-RM. Aerobic Training: Intensity: 50 – 65% of heart rate reserve Volume: 20 minutes Volume: 20 minutes Frequency: 3 days per week Rate of Progression: Treadmill speed or the grade increased as tolerated each training day, based on the prescribed percentage of HRRA. | MOD: Resistance Training: Intensity: 75% of 8-RM Volume: 4 sets of up to 8 repetitions Frequency: 2 days per week Rate of Progression: Resistance increased based on a prescribed percentage of the weekly established 8-RM. Aerobic Training: Intensity: 30 – 45% of HRRA. Frequency: 3 days per week Rate of Progression: Treadmill speed or grade increased as tolerated each training day, based on the prescribed percentage of HRRA. | 12 weeks | 5 days per week | Muscle strength | Physical function (Patient-Specific Functional Scale questionnaire) Exercise Capacity | Participants in both the MOD group and HIGH group demonstrated significant and similar gains in muscle strength, exercise capacity, and physical function. | 29 |
| Kamilla Munch Winding et al, 2017 [78] | Individuals with type 2 diabetes (N=26) HIT (n=13) END (n=12) MOD (n=10) | HIT: Warm-Up: 5-minute cycling at 40% of Wpeak 20 min cycling with 1 min at 95% Wpeak and 1 minute of active recovery (20% Wpeak) END: Warm-Up: 5-minute cycling at 40% of Wpeak 40 min cycling at 50% of peak workload | END: Warm-Up: 4 min cycling at 40% of Wpeak | 3 days per week HIT: 75 min per week END: 135 min per week | Body composition | Blood samples (plasma glucose, lipids, HbA1c, C-peptide and insulin) VO2peak | HIIT was proven as a time-efficient treatment for individuals with type 2 diabetes. Whole-body and android fat mass decreased in HIIT compared with CG. Visceral fat mass, HbA1c, fasting glucose, postprandial glucose, glycemic variability, and HOMA-IR decreased after HIIT. HIIT resulted in better improvements in physical fitness, body composition, and glycemic control compared to END. VO2peak increased in HIIT compared with END despite lower total energy expenditure and time usage during the training sessions. | 29 |
| Da Silva et al, 2019 [36] | Older men and women (N=39) | HIT: Strength: 2 sets of 8-15 repetitions, with a recovery interval of 1 to 2 minutes (20minus/ session) HIT: Participants ran for 3 minutes, with the intensity of 80-90% HRmax, 3 times/session and walking for 3 minutes with an intensity of 55-65% HRmax, 2 times/ session Flexibility: static stretches (10-15 sec hold, 1-2 reps for large muscle group) | CON: No formal exercise | 12 weeks | 3 sessions/ week | HRQOL | High-intensity interval training improves health-related quality of life in adults and older adults with diagnosed cardiovascular risk. | 29 |

**Abbreviations:** BMI: Body mass index; CG/CON: Control Group; COMT: combined training; CT: concurrent training; END: Endurance Training; HbA1c: glycosylated hemoglobin; HIIT: High-intensity interval training; HIGH: High-intensity training; HI-IE: High-intensity intermittent exercise; HBWWT: High-intensity Bodyweight training; HRMax: Maximum Heart rate; HRPeak: peak heart rate; HR: Heart Rate Reserve; HRQOL: health-related quality of life; IG: intervention group; MICT: Moderate Intensity Continuous training; MI-CE: Moderate-Intensity continuous exercise; MOD: Moderate Intensity training; MHR: Maximum Heart rate; NR: Not reported; RM: Repetition maximum; SF-36; short form- 36; T2DM: type 2 diabetes mellitus; VO2peak: peak oxygen consumption; VO2R: VO2 reserve VT1: aerobic threshold; WC: waist circumference; 6MWT: 6 Minute Walk Test.
Physiology

Impact of HIIT on cardio-metabolic health markers

1. Body composition and aerobic fitness

An individual’s body composition is subject to change as one ages, due to a considerable increase in fat mass with a decrease in muscle mass and bone mineral density [80,81]. Despite the discordance in the available literature, HIIT has been shown to efficiently improve body composition within 2 to 18 weeks [29-32,72,74]. An improvement in whole-body fat oxidation with significant reductions in fat mass, and waist and hip circumferences were some of the prominent findings observed. [67,72,74] Furthermore, a single session of HIIT of lower frequency (LfHIIT) has shown a considerable reduction in augmented body fat with an increase in Lean Body Mass (LBMI) [67,82]. Additionally, when combined with circuit training (HIICT), there is a reduction in Body Mass Index (BMI) in less than 18 weeks of training [32]. This disparity in responses is explained by the lack of uniformity in training protocols and the unparalleled training volumes and duration [83]. Training protocols varied in intensity and duration, from 25-30-minute exercise sessions above 80% of maximum heart rate (HR_{max}) to shorter sessions of around 90% peak heart rate (HR_{peak}) [30-32,38]. In addition, some interventions investigated the effects by interspersing mesocycles of concurrent training [32]. Studies ranged from about 2 to 18 weeks with most interventions lasting for about 12 weeks [29-32,72,74]. Furthermore, nutrition has shown to play a key role in any form of alterations in body composition with HIIT [31].

In terms of cardiovascular fitness, aerobic capacity (VO_{2max}), conveyed as peak oxygen consumption (VO_{2peak}), is a well-established unbiased prognosticator of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular health [66]. As we know, aging results in a drop in maximal aerobic capacity, gradually progressing over the lifespan [15]. HIIT has been shown to serve as a time-efficient and feasible exercise intervention to improve VO_{2peak} among older adults [34,69,71,72,84,85]. The mechanism, although unclear, hypothesized it to be either due to central [85] or peripheral adaptations [66,86]. Central adaptations have been shown to partly contribute to aerobic capacity through its small improvement in systolic function observed with HIIT [25,87]. Correspondingly, reduced peripheral vascular resistance and improvement in endothelial function were identified to contribute to peripheral adaptations [81]. Moreover, some of the acute physiological responses observed after HIIT was an increase in the concentration of epinephrine, norepinephrine, growth hormone, interleukin 6, free cortisol, adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), Adenosine triphosphate (ATP), phosphocreatine (PCr), and glycogen stores. These hormones play a significant role in lipolysis [23]. In addition, a decrease in the parasympathetic reaction was also observed which was attributed to increased sympathetic activity and accumulation of metabolites during training. This resulted in an increased fat loss, thus improving aerobic fitness. Another study [88] showed an increase in lactate concentration following 5 weeks of HIIT. Higher lactate concentration was shown yielding higher anaerobic energy, thus leading to better performance [89]. Furthermore, these changes were attributed to an increment in the skeletal muscle oxidative capacity due to an expansion of the mitochondrial processes [70,72].

2. Cardiovascular health

Altered lipoprotein levels are significant risk markers for cardiovascular disease and mortality in all age groups [90]. The literature recommends aerobic exercise in older adults to improve lipid parameters due to its feasibility in terms of low cost and to avoid the need for pharmacological intervention [91]. High intensity interval training has shown to escalate muscle oxidative capacity and regulate triglycerides (TG) and low-density lipoproteins (LDL) by improving mitochondrial biogenesis markers and functioning markers in less than 6 sessions among both healthy and ill individuals [69]. In addition, a study among youth observed substantial reductions in total cholesterol (TC), LDL, and TG, within just 12 weeks of training, thus eliciting a prominent improvement in lipid profile [92]. Currently, it is difficult to explain the diverse nature of these findings due to the paucity of quality evidence. There is a lack of standardization of the measurement methods, mixed training protocols, and difference in population parameters like age, gender, etc [69]. Evidence highlights the need for amalgamation of dietary modification to help regulate lipids.

HIIT also showed a marked reduction in blood pressure. This could be because HIIT improves endothelial function through increases in apelin in nitric oxide. These two factors significantly improve vascular function through endothelial vasodilatation [23].

3. Metabolic health

Older adults are at greater risk of developing insulin resistance in association with a sedentary lifestyle and increased adiposity. The glucose metabolizing capacity of the skeletal muscle decreases, and insulin resistance increases [93]. The underlying mechanism is associated with a sequence of changes occurring in the skeletal muscle with aging [91]. HIIT showed remarkable improvement in whole-body insulin levels [38,68,94] with a 26% decline in insulin resistance in older adults [23,65]. An increase in skeletal muscle adaptation causing fatty acid oxidation and glycolytic enzymes, and muscle glucose uptake after HIIT has shown a significant decrease in insulin resistance and improved insulin sensitivity [23]. Insulin sensitivity is also said to improve as a result of improved body composition [23].

Normal aging also contributes to the global decline
in glucose uptake and metabolism in the human brain, the justifications for which remain poorly understood [95]. These improvements in insulin profile led to a better fasting brain glucose uptake. [38,68,95,96] The caudate nucleus, parietal and temporal lobes were subject to glucose uptake after HIIT [68]. Glucose is the primary source of fuel for the brain. Increased glucose uptake in these areas indicated robust improvement in their respective brain functions, thus reducing the risk for degenerative or cognitive disorders [98]. Though small, gains are vital and thus are consistent with the fact that HIIT has the potential to prevent the development of degenerative brain diseases [68,98]. Another mechanism that could explain this increment is that HIIT also led to a decrease in insulin concentration [38]. Increased insulin concentration above fasting levels is reported to impair brain glucose uptake [38,68]. Thus, in substantiation with the above findings, it can be concluded that HIIT improves brain metabolism and may offer exercise-induced improvement in insulin production or secretion [38].

4. Neuromuscular benefits

HIIT has been shown to positively impact skeletal muscle strength. 12-weeks of low volume HIIT has demonstrated remarkable improvements in upper and lower body strength [30,37,71]. Furthermore, HIIT has also been proven as an effective training modality to increase lower limb muscle strength in sedentary aging men [67]. Though trivial, HIIT when implemented in weight training, has proven to amplify gains over a brief period when applied with lower repetitions and rest time [99].

Good lower limb strength accounts for good postural stability. Aging results in deterioration in postural control due to low bone mineral density, strength, and flexibility, further contributing to the increased risk of falls in the elderly [100]. Postural control in gait is a highly pertinent variable used to assess falls. Thus, the timed up & go test (TUG) was employed by most studies to assess dynamic balance following HIIT. Even the slightest significant improvement noticed in a TUG is of utmost relevance to detect dynamic balance and to prevent falls [101]. Thus, when we assessed the literature for the same, inconsistent findings were observed. HIIT resulted in temporary improvements wherein long-term benefits could not be commented upon. Although temporary, it was reported that HIIT can improve dynamic balance [30,32]. Increase in lower limb strength and nervous system adaptation may contribute to this. The performance of exercise in standing could precisely contribute to the neural adaptations and thus transmit a positive impact onto the dynamic balance, gait, and lower limb strength [32]. However, in terms of static balance, no improvements were observed [67]. These inconsistencies in literature, due to the dearth of quality evidence, currently make it difficult to comment on its practicability.

5. Health-related Quality of Life (HRQOL)

Despite the discrepancies in literature in terms of body composition, lipids, and postural control, HIIT has successfully promoted a positive impact on HRQOL among older adults [32,34-37]. HRQOL is vital for an individual’s insight into healthy aging [69]. Despite the ambiguity in mechanism, improvement in HRQOL could be hypothesized as a result of changes in body composition, elevation in mood due to the release of endorphins and monoamines [32].

Physiological effects of HIIT on mitochondrial function

A decline in nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+) among aging skeletal muscle is evident due to an upsurge in its breakdown, suggesting that aging may hinder mitochondrial biogenesis [102]. Consequently, skeletal tissue is extremely prone to age-related alterations in response to day-to-day nutrient consumption, physical inactivity, and hormonal influences [80]. The response of HIIT on metabolism in skeletal muscle increases the oxidative capacity of mitochondria [6]. The experimental work of Karlsson and Saltin has examined the molecular effects of HIIT within the skeletal muscle. They observed that while exercising, oxygen consumption and lactate levels increased significantly, but interestingly lactate values did not increase as the exercise load progressed after the first exercise burst [103]. Production of lactic acid and plasma glucose is usually higher following HIIT compared to MICT [104-106]. The need for oxygen in the tissue temporarily increases during HIIT. The rest period restores the oxygen deficit by the local metabolic capacities like CP, ATP and mitochondrial enzymes so that the accumulation of lactic acid is slower, and the onset of fatigue can be delayed [102]. Also, the energy demand of HIIT can activate AMPK, and activation of AMPK enhances cellular metabolism in skeletal muscle, liver, and adipose tissue. Furthermore, AMPK integrates signaling circuits between peripheral tissues and the hypothalamus to regulate food intake and whole-body energy expenditure [102,106,107].

In addition, Robinson et al. investigated the effect of aging and HIIT on isolated mitochondria (derived from human skeletal muscle biopsy sample) by measuring maximum mitochondrial oxygen consumption rate (using high-resolution respirometry) during both resting and fasting. The study revealed that HIIT significantly increased the maximal absolute mitochondrial respiration in both young and older individuals [47]. Collectively, these data suggest that changing or decreasing the content of mitochondrial proteins directly affects the respiratory capacity of mitochondria with aging and HIIT could contribute significantly to this capacity gain. As per available research, HIIT substantially enhanced
platelet citrate synthase (CS) activities and succinate dehydrogenase (SDH), with elevation in respirations related to Complex I and II [108,109]. Followed by HIIT, the oxygen-consuming rate (OCR) in platelets increased, which implies improvements in mitochondrial capacity and increased ATP production rate [110,111].

Conclusion

This review offers various perspectives on the effects of HIIT on mitochondrial biogenesis and its impact on cardio-metabolic health outcomes in older adults. This analysis also sparks new concepts, studies, and advancements in this area of study. Therefore, we contribute to the growing body of literature that HIIT is a safe, time-efficient, and feasible exercise strategy for improving cardio-metabolic risk markers among the elderly. Furthermore, it has also shown to effectively prevent or delay the onset of comorbidities, thus efficiently contributing to the reversal of functional decline with aging.

References

1. Psilander N. The effect of different exercise regimens on mitochondrial biogenesis and performance. Inst för fysiologi och farmakologi/Dept of Physiology and Pharmacology; 2014 Nov 21.
2. Min Chul L, Sung Ki L, Suk Yool J, Hyung Hoon M. New high of high-intensity interval training on physiological adaptation with brain functions. J Exerc Nutrition Biochem. 2018;22:1-5.
3. Huertas JR, Casuso RA, Agustín PH, Cogliati S. Stay Fit, Stay Young: Mitochondria in Movement: The Role of Exercise in the New Mitochondrial Paradigm. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2019;2019:7058350.
4. Kim Y, Triolo M, Hood DA. Impact of Aging and Exercise on Mitochondrial Quality Control in Skeletal Muscle. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2017;2017:3165396.
5. Chrosi KM, Dohlmann TL, Søgaard D, Hansen CV, Dela F, Helge JW, et al. Mitochondrial adaptations to high intensity interval training in older females and males. Eur J Sport Sci. 2020;20:135-145.
6. Short KR, Bigelow ML, Kahl J, Singh R, Coenen-Schimke J, Raghavakaimal S, et al. Decline in skeletal muscle mitochondrial function with aging in humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102:5618-5623.
7. Morgenstern M, Stiller SB, Lübbert P, Peikert CD, Dannenmaier S, Dreyer F, et al. Definition of a High-Confidence Mitochondrial Proteome at Quantitative Scale. Cell Rep. 2017;19:2836-2852.
8. Zhang Y, Oliveira AN, Hood DA. The intersection of exercise and aging on mitochondrial protein quality control. Exp Gerontol. 2020;110:0824.
9. Calvo SE, Clauser KR, Mootha VK. MitoCarta2.0: an updated inventory of mammalian mitochondrial proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44:D1251-D1257.
10. Wiedemann N, Pfanner N. Mitochondrial Machineries for Protein Import and Assembly. Annu Rev Biochem. 2017;86:685-714.
11. Callegari S, Dennerlein S. Sensing the Stress: A Role for the UPRmit and UPRmit in the Quality Control of Mitochondria. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2018;6:31.
12. Hetz C, Papa FR. The Unfolded Protein Response and Cell Fate Control. Mol Cell. 2018;69:169-181.
13. Granata C, Oliveira RS, Little JP, Renner K, Bishop DJ. Training intensity modulates changes in PGC-1α and p53 protein content and mitochondrial respiration, but not markers of mitochondrial content in human skeletal muscle. FASEB J. 2016;30:959-970.
14. Granata C, Jamnick NA, Bishop DJ. Training-Induced Changes in Mitochondrial Content and Respiratory Function in Human Skeletal Muscle. Sports Med. 2018;48:1809-1828.
15. Ito S. High-intensity interval training for health benefits and care of cardiac diseases - The key to an efficient exercise protocol. World J Cardiol. 2019;11:171-188.
16. Wisloff U, Stoylen A, Loennechen JP, Brulvold M, Rognmo Ø, Haram PM, et al. Superior cardiovascular effect of aerobic interval training versus moderate continuous training in heart failure patients: a randomized study. Circulation. 2007;115:3086-3094.
17. Rognmo Ø, Hetland E, Helgerud J, Hoff J, Slordahl SA. High intensity aerobic interval exercise is superior to moderate intensity exercise for increasing aerobic capacity in patients with coronary artery disease. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2004;11:216-222.
18. Gibala MJ, Little JP, Macdonald MJ, Hawley JA. Physiological adaptations to low-volume, high-intensity interval training in health and disease. J Physiol. 2012;590:1077-1084.
19. Matsuo T, Saotome K, Seino S, Shimoita A, Iemitsu M, et al. Effects of a low-volume aerobic-type interval exercise on VO2max and cardiac mass. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2014;46:42-50.
20. Osuka Y, Matsubara M, Hamasaki A, Hiramatsu Y, Ohshima H, Tanaka K. Development of low-volume, high-intensity, aerobic-type interval training for elderly Japanese men: a feasibility study. Eur Rev Aging Phys Act. 2017;14:14.
21. Alvarez C, Ramirez R, Flores M, Zúñiga C, Celis-Morales CA. Effect of sprint interval training and resistance exercise on metabolic markers in overweight women. Rev Med Chil. 2012;140:1289-1296.
22. Alvarez C, Ramirez-Campillo R, Martinez-Salazar C, Mantilla R, Flores-Opazo M, Cano-Montoya J, et al. Low-Volume High-Intensity Interval Training as a Therapy for Type 2 Diabetes. Int J Sports Med. 2016;37:723-729.
23. Santos DJ, Boenynam HA. The benefits and physiological changes of high intensity interval training. Universa Medicina. 2019;38:209-216.
24. Kavaliouskas M, Aspe RR, Babraj J. High-Intensity Cycling Training: The Effect of Work-to-Rest Intervals on Running Performance Measures. J Strength Cond Res.
25. Guiraud T, Nigam A, Gremaux V, Meyer P, Juneau M, Bosquet L. High-intensity interval training in cardiac rehabilitation. Sports Med. 2012;42:587-605.

26. Helgerud J, Engen LC, Wisløff U, Hoff J. Aerobic endurance training improves soccer performance. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2001;33:1925-1931.

27. Biddle SJ, Batterham AM. High-intensity interval exercise training for public health: a big HIT or shall we HIT it on the head? Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2015;12:95.

28. Hardcastle SJ, Ray H, Beale L, Hagger MS. Why sprint interval training is inappropriate for a largely sedentary population. Front Psychol. 2014;5:1505.

29. Nunes PRP, Martins FM, Souza AP, Carneiro MAS, Orsatti CL, Michelin MA, et al. Effect of high-intensity interval training on body composition and inflammatory markers in obese postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. Menopause. 2019;26:256-264.

30. Garcia-Pinillos F, Laredo-Aguilera JA, Muñoz-Jiménez M, Latorre-Román PA. Effects of 12-Week Concurrent High-Intensity Interval Strength and Endurance Training Program on Physical Performance in Healthy Older People. J Strength Cond Res. 2019;33:1445-1452.

31. Buckinx F, Gaudreault P, Marcangeli V, Boutrous GEH, Dulac MC, Morais JA, et al. Muscle adaptation in response to a high-intensity interval training in obese older adults: effect of daily protein intake distribution. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2019;31:863-874.

32. Ballesta-García I, Martínez-González-Moro I, Rubio-Arias FM. Impact of low-volume, high-intensity interval training on functional capacity in sedentary older people. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2019;31:863-874.

33. Kilpatrick MW, Jung ME, Little JP. High-intensity interval training: a review of physiological and psychological responses. ACSM’s Health & Fitness Journal. 2014 Sep 1;18(5):11-6.

34. Knowles AM, Herbert P, Easton C, Seulthorpe N, Grace FM. Impact of low-volume, high-intensity interval training on maximal aerobic capacity, health-related quality of life and motivation to exercise in ageing men. Age (Dordr). 2015;37:25.

35. Jaureguizar KV, Vicente-Campos D, Bautista LR, de la Peña CH, Gómez MJ, Rueda MJ, et al. Effect of High-Intensity Interval Versus Continuous Exercise Training on Functional Capacity and Quality of Life in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease: A RANDOMIZED CLINICAL TRIAL. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev. 2016;36:96-105.

36. Silva M, Baptista L, Neves R, França E, Loureiro H, Rezende M, Ferreira V, Veríssimo M, Martins R. High intensity interval training improves health-related quality of life in adults and older adults with diagnosed cardiovascular risk. Journal of Physical Education and Sport. 2019 Mar 31;19(01):611-8.

37. Jiménez-García JD, Martínez-Amat A, De la Torre-Cruz MJ, Fábrega-Cuadros R, Cruz-Diaz D, Aibar-Almazán A, et al. Suspension Training HIIT Improves Gait Speed, Strength and Quality of Life in Older Adults. Int J Sports Med. 2019;40:116-124.

38. Søgaard D, Lund MT, Scheuer CM, Dehlbaek MS, Didierksen SG, Abildskov CV, et al. High-intensity interval training improves insulin sensitivity in older individuals. Acta Physiol (Oxf). 2018;222:e13009.

39. American College of Sports Medicine. ACSM’s exercise testing and prescription. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2017 Dec 26.

40. Bartlett JD, Close GL, MacLaren DP, Gregson W, Drust B, Morton JP. High-intensity interval running is perceived to be more enjoyable than moderate-intensity continuous exercise: implications for exercise adherence. J Sports Sci. 2011;29:547-553.

41. Piepoli MF, Corrà U, Adamopoulos S, Benzer W, Bjarnason-Wehrens B, Cupples M, et al. Secondary prevention in the clinical management of patients with cardiovascular diseases. Core components, standards and outcome measures for referral and delivery: a policy statement from the cardiac rehabilitation section of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation. Endorsed by the Committee for Practice Guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2014;21:664-681.

42. JCS Joint Working Group. Guidelines for Rehabilitation in Patients with Cardiovascular Disease (JCS 2012)–Digest Version–. Circulation Journal. 2014;CJ-66.

43. Madsson E, Moholdt T, Videv D, Wisløff U, Hegbom K, Wiseth R. Coronary atheroma regression and plaque characteristics assessed by grayscale and radiofrequency intravascular ultrasound after aerobic exercise. Am J Cardiol. 2014;114:1504-1511.

44. Moholdt T, Aamot IL, Granoen I, Gjerde L, Myklebust G, Walderhaug L, et al. Long-term follow-up after cardiac rehabilitation: a randomized study of usual care exercise training versus aerobic interval training after myocardial infarction. Int J Cardiol. 2011;152:388-390.

45. Warburton DE, McKenzie DC, Haykowsky MJ, Taylor A, Shoemaker. P, Ignaszewski AP, et al. Effectiveness of high-intensity interval training for the rehabilitation of patients with coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol. 2005;95:1080-1084.

46. Moholdt TT, Amundsen BH, Rustad LA, Wahta A, Lovø KT, Gullikstad LR, et al. Aerobic interval training versus continuous moderate exercise after coronary artery bypass surgery: a randomized study of cardiovascular effects and quality of life. Am Heart J. 2009;158:1031-1037.

47. Robinson MM, Dasari S, Konopka AR, Johnson ML, Manjunatha S, Esporda RR, et al. Enhanced protein translation underlies improved metabolic and physical adaptations to different exercise training modes in young and old humans. Cell Metab. 2017;25:581-592.

48. Durcan TM, Fon EA. The three 'P's of mitophagy: PARKIN, PINK1, and post-translational modifications. Genes Dev. 2015;29:989-999.

49. Hood DA, Tryon LD, Vainshtein A, Memme J, Chen C,
Physiology

64. Exercising and the regulation of mitochondrial turnover. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci. 2015;135:99-127.

50. Takahashi M, Chesley A, Freyssenet D, Hood DA. Contractile activity-induced adaptations in the mitochondrial protein import system. Am J Physiol. 1998;274:C1380-C1387.

51. Joseph AM, Ljubicic V, Adhihetty PJ, Hood DA. Biogenesis of the mitochondrial Tom40 channel in skeletal muscle from aged animals and its adaptability to chronic contractile activity. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2010;298:C1308-C1314.

52. Zhang Y, Iqbal S, O’Leary MF, Menzies KJ, Saleem A, Ding S, et al. Altered mitochondrial morphology and defective protein import reveal novel roles for Bax and/or Bak in skeletal muscle. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2013;305:C502-C511.

53. Mottis A, Jovaisaitė V, Auwerx J. The mitochondrial unfolded protein response in mammalian physiology. Mamm Genome. 2014;25:424-433.

54. Durieux J, Wolff S, Dillain A. The cell-non-autonomous nature of electron transport chain-mediated longevity. Cell. 2011;144:79-91.

55. Higuchi-Sanabria R, Frankino PA, Paul JW 3rd, Tronnes SU, Dillain A. A futile battle? Protein quality control and the stress of aging. Developmental cell. 2018;44:139-163.

56. Houtkooper RH, Mouichroud L, Ryu D, Moullan N, Katsyuba E, Knott G, et al. Mitonuclear protein imbalance as a conserved longevity mechanism. Nature. 2013;497:451-457.

57. Ogbon DI, McKay BR, Crane JD, Parise G, Tarnopolsky MA. The unfolded protein response is triggered following a single, unaccustomed resistance-exercise bout. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2014;307:R664-R669.

58. Nguyen TN, Padman BS, Lazarou M. Deciphering the molecular signals of PINK1/Parkin mitophagy. Trends Cell Biol. 2016;26:733-744.

59. Vainshtein A, Desjardins EM, Armani A, Sandri M, Hood DA. PGC-1α modulates denervation-induced mitophagy in skeletal muscle. Skelet Muscle. 2015;5:9.

60. Chen CCW, Erlich AT, Hood DA. Role of Parkin and endurance training on mitochondrial turnover in skeletal muscle. Skelet Muscle. 2018;8:10.

61. Laker RC, Garde C, Camera DM, Smiles WJ, Zierath JR, Hawley JA, et al. Transcriptomic and epigenetic responses to short-term nutrient-exercise stress in humans. Sci Rep. 2017;7:15134.

62. Chen CCW, Erlich AT, Crilly MJ, Hood DA. Parkin is required for exercise-induced mitophagy in muscle: impact of aging. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2018;315:E404-E415.

63. Ju JS, Jeon SI, Park JY, Lee JY, Lee SC, Cho KJ, et al. Autophagy plays a role in skeletal muscle mitochondrial biogenesis in an endurance exercise-trained condition. J Physiol Sci. 2016;66:417-430.

64. Downs SH, Black N. The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1998;52:377-384.

65. Grace F, Herbert P, Elliott AD, Richards J, Beaumont A, Sculthorpe NF. High intensity interval training (HIIT) improves resting blood pressure, metabolic (MET) capacity and heart rate reserve without compromising cardiac function in sedentary aging men. Exp Gerontol. 2018;109:75-81.

66. Hwang CL, Yoo JK, Kim HK, Hwang MH, Handberg EM, Petersen JW, et al. Novel all-extremity high-intensity interval training improves aerobic fitness, cardiac function and insulin resistance in healthy older adults. Exp Gerontol. 2016;82:112-119.

67. Sculthorpe NF, Herbert P, Grace F. One session of high-intensity interval training (HIIT) every 5 days, improves muscle power but not static balance in lifelong sedentary ageing men: A randomized controlled trial. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017;96:e6040.

68. Robinson MM, Lowe VJ, Nair KS. Increased brain glucose uptake after 12 weeks of aerobic high-intensity interval training in young and older adults. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2018;103:221-227.

69. Ballin M, Lundberg E, Sörlén N, Nordström P, Hult A, Nordström A. Effects of interval training on quality of life and cardio-metabolic risk markers in older adults: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Interv Aging. 2019;14:1589-1599.

70. Klonizakis M, Moss J, Gilbert S, Broom J, Tew GA. Low-volume high-intensity interval training rapidly improves cardiopulmonary function in postmenopausal women. Menopause. 2014;21:1099-1105.

71. Hurst C, Weston KL, Weston M. The effect of 12 weeks of combined upper-and lower-body high-intensity interval training on muscular and cardiorespiratory fitness in older adults. Aging Clin Exp Res. 2019;31:661-671.

72. Gaithán JM, Eichner NZM, Gilbertson NM, Heiston EM, Weltman A, Malin SK. Two weeks of interval training enhances fat oxidation during exercise in obese adults with prediabetes. J Sports Sci Med. 2019;18:636-644.

73. Terada T, Friesen A, Chahal BS, Bell GJ, McCargar LJ, Boulé NG. Feasibility and preliminary efficacy of high intensity interval training in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2013;99:120-129.

74. Maillard F, Rousset S, Pereira B, Traore A, de Pradel Del Em, Petersen JW, et al. Novel all-extremity high-intensity interval training (HIIT) improves resting blood pressure, metabolic (MET) capacity and heart rate reserve without compromising cardiac function in sedentary aging men. Exp Gerontol. 2018;109:75-81.

75. Izadi MR, Ghodrati Afousi A, Asvadi Fard M, Babaei Bigi MA. High-intensity interval training lowers blood pressure and improves apelin and NOx plasma levels in older treated hypertensive individuals. J Physiol Biochem. 2018;74:47-55.

76. Martins FM, de Paula Souza A, Nunes PRP, Michelin MA, Murta EFC, Resende EAMR, et al. High-intensity body weight training is comparable to combined training in changes in muscle mass, physical performance, inflammatory markers and metabolic health in postmenopausal women.
at high risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus: A randomized controlled clinical trial. Exp Gerontol. 2018;107:108-115.

77. Taylor JD, Fletcher JP, Mathis RA, Cade WT. Effects of moderate- versus high-intensity exercise training on physical fitness and physical function in people with type 2 diabetes: a randomized clinical trial. Phys Ther. 2014;94:1720-1730.

78. Winding KM., Munch GW, Iepsen UW, Van Hall G, Pedersen BK, Mortensen SP. The effect on glycemic control of low-volume high-intensity interval training versus endurance training in individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism, 2018;20(5), 1131-1139.

79. Coswig VS, Barbalho M, Raiol R, Del Vecchio FB, Ramirez-Campillo R, Gentil P. Effects of high vs moderate-intensity intermittent training on functionality, resting heart rate and blood pressure of elderly women. J Transl Med. 2020;18:88.

80. Boss GR, Seegmiller JE. Age-related physiological changes and their clinical significance. West J Med. 1981;135:434-440.

81. St-Onge MP, Gallagher D. Body composition changes with aging: the cause or the result of alterations in metabolic rate and macronutrient oxidation? Nutrition. 2010;26:152-155.

82. Chin EC, Yu AP, Lai CW, Fong DY, Chan DK, Wong SH, et al. Low-Frequency HIIT Improves Body Composition and Aerobic Capacity in Overweight Men. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2020;52:56-66.

83. Fleg JL. Aerobic exercise in the elderly: a key to successful aging. Discov Med. 2012;13:223-228.

84. Elliott BT, Herbert P, Sculthorpe N, Grace FM, Stratton D, Hayes LD. Lifelong exercise, but not short-term high-intensity interval training, increases GDF11, a marker of successful aging: a preliminary investigation. Physiol Rep. 2017;5:e13343.

85. Cao M, Quan M, Zhuang J. Effect of high-intensity interval training versus moderate-intensity continuous training on cardiorespiratory fitness in children and adolescents: a meta-analysis. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16:1533.

86. Størene Ø, Helgerud J, Sæbø M, Støa EM, Bratland-Sæbø M, Unhjem RJ, et al. The Effect of Age on the V’O2max Response to High-Intensity Interval Training. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2017;49:78-85.

87. Huang YC, Tsai HH, Fu TC, Hsu CC, Wang JS. High-intensity interval training improves left ventricular contractile function. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2019;51:1420-1428.

88. Trapp EG, Chisholm DJ, Boutcher SH. Metabolic response of trained and untrained women during high-intensity intermittent cycle exercise. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2007;293(R2370-R2375).

89. Sperllich B, Zinner C, Heilemann I, Kjendlie PL, Holmberg HC, Mester J. High-intensity interval training improves VO2(peak), maximal lactate accumulation, time trial and competition performance in 9–11-year-old swimmers. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2010;110:1029-1036.

90. Ettinger WH, Wahl PW, Keller LH, Bush TL, Tracy RP, Manolio TA, et al. Lipoprotein lipids in older people. Results from the Cardiovascular Health Study. The CHS Collaborative Research Group. Circulation. 1992;86:858-869.

91. Kelley GA, Kelley KS, Tran ZV. Exercise, lipids, and lipoproteins in older adults: a meta-analysis. Prev Cardiol. 2005;8:206-214.

92. Khammassi M, Ouerghi N, Hadji-Tatieb S, Feki M, Thivel D, Bouassida A. Impact of a 12-week high-intensity interval training without caloric restriction on body composition and lipid profile in sedentary healthy overweight/obese youth. J Exerc Rehabil. 2018;14:118-125.

93. Petersen KB, Befroy D, Dufour S, Dziura J, Ariyan C, Rothman DL, et al. Mitochondrial dysfunction in the elderly: possible role in insulin resistance. Science. 2003;300:1140-1142.

94. Honkala SM, Johansson J, Motiani KK, Eskelinen JJ, Virtanen KA, Löyttyniemi E, et al. Short-term interval training alters brain glucose metabolism in subjects with insulin resistance. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2018;38:1828-1838.

95. Shou J, Chen PJ, Xiao WH. Mechanism of increased risk of insulin resistance in aging skeletal muscle. Diabetol Metab Syndr. 2020;12:14.

96. Tuuluri JJ, Karlsson HK, Hirvonen J, Hannukainen JC, Buccin M, Helmiö M, et al. Weight loss after bariatric surgery reverses insulin-induced increases in brain glucose metabolism of the morbidly obese. Diabetes. 2013;62:2747-2751.

97. Moro T, Tinsley G, Bianco A, Gottardi A, Gottardi GB, Faggian D, et al. High intensity interval resistance training (HIIRT) in older adults: Effects on body composition, strength, anabolic hormones and blood lipids. Exp Gerontol. 2017;98:91-98.

98. Hirvonen J, Virtanen KA, Nummenmaa L, Hannukainen JC, Honka MJ, Buccini M, et al. Effects of insulin on brain glucose metabolism in impaired glucose tolerance. Diabetes. 2011;60:443-447.

99. Granacher U, Muehlbauer T, Gruber M. A qualitative review of balance and strength performance in healthy older adults: impact for testing and training. J Aging Res. 2012;2012:708905.

100. Rath R, Wade MG. The two faces of postural control in older adults: stability and function. EBioMedicine. 2017;21:5-6.

101. Donath L, Kurz E, Roth R, Hanssen H, Schmidt-Trucksäss A, Zahner L, et al. Does a single session of high-intensity interval training provoke a transient elevated risk of falling in seniors and adults? Gerontology. 2015;61:15-23.

102. Torma F, Gomboz B, Jokai M, Takeda M, Mimura T, Radak Z. High intensity interval training and molecular adaptive response of skeletal muscle. Sports Medicine and Health Science. 2019 Dec 1;1(1):24-32.

103. Karlsson J, Saltin B. Oxygen deficit and muscle metabolites in intermittent exercise. Acta Physiol Scand. 1971;82:115-122.

104. Marliss EB, Vranic M. Intense exercise has unique effects on both insulin release and its roles in glucoregulation: implications for diabetes. Diabetes. 2002;51 Suppl
1. S271-S283.

105. Peake JM, Tan SJ, Markworth JF, Broadbent JA, Skinner TL, Cameron-Smith D. Metabolic and hormonal responses to isoenergetic high-intensity interval exercise and continuous moderate-intensity exercise. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2014;307:E539-E552.

106. Kristensen DE, Albers PH, Prats C, Baba O, Birk JB, Wojtaszewski JF. Human muscle fibre type-specific regulation of AMPK and downstream targets by exercise. J Physiol. 2015;593:2053-2069.

107. Casuso RA, Plaza-Díaz J, Ruiz-Ojeda FJ, Aragón-Vela J, Robles-Sanchez C, Nordsborg NB, et al. High-intensity high-volume swimming induces more robust signaling through PGC-1α and AMPK activation than sprint interval swimming in m. triceps brachii. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0185494.

108. Mazure NM, Brahimi-Horn MC, Pouysségur J. Hypoxic mitochondria: accomplices in resistance. Bull Cancer. 2011;98:40-46.

109. Wu LH, Chang SC, Fu TC, Huang CH, Wang JS. High-intensity interval training improves mitochondrial function and suppresses thrombin generation in platelets undergoing hypoxic stress. Sci Rep. 2017;7:4191.

110. Schönenberger MJ, Kovacs WJ. Hypoxia signaling pathways: modulators of oxygen-related organelles. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2015;3:42.

111. Tsai HH, Chang SC, Chou CH, Weng TP, Hsu CC, Wang JS. Exercise training alleviates hypoxia-induced mitochondrial dysfunction in the lymphocytes of sedentary males. Sci Rep. 2016;6:35170.