Left bundle branch area pacing - restoring the natural order

A Case Report
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Abstract

Introduction: Recent studies have shown that His-bundle pacing could be an alternative in patients requiring cardiac resynchronization therapy as it is comparable or better in terms of amelioration of ventricular activation, narrowing of the QRS complex, or clinical outcomes. However, in case of high threshold at the level of His-bundle or inability to correct conduction through a diseased His-Purkinje system other option should be searched like left bundle pacing.

Patient concerns: A 77-year-old man presented to the Emergency Department for dizziness and lightheadedness due to an intermittent 2:1 atrioventricular block with a QRS complex morphology of a major left branch block.

Diagnosis: Given the documented symptomatic 2:1 AV block, according to the European Guideliness the patient was considered to have a class I indication of permanent double chamber cardiotimulation.

Interventions: A lead delivery system with a C315 His catheter and a Select Secure 3830 69 cm pacing lead were placed at the His bundle area with important narrowing of the QRS complex but with an unacceptable high threshold. The delivery system was moved towards the apex 1.5 cm and the lead screwed deep into the septum until capture of the left bundle branch was achieved with complete normalization of the conduction troubles.

Outcomes: At 3 month follow-up the patient was asymptomatic and the pacing and sensing thresholds remained at same values as during implantation: 0.75/0.4 ms and 14 mV respectively.

Conclusion: Left bundle-pacing represents the next step of His-Purkinje system pacing to overcome all difficulties related to His-bundle pacing.

Abbreviations: AV = atrioventricular, CRT = cardiac resynchronization therapy, LBBB = left bundle branch block.
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1. Introduction

In patients that require cardiac pacing His bundle pacing is a far superior option to right ventricular pacing as it demonstrated lower mortality, reduction in heart failure hospitalizations and upgrade to CRT. The 2018 AHA Guidelines included His-bundle pacing for the management of patients with bradycardia and conduction delay. It recommends His-bundle pacing in patients with an ejection fraction of 36 to 50% (class Ila) and to patients with AV block at the supraventricular level (class IIb). Recently studies have shown that His-bundle pacing could be an alternative in patients requiring cardiac resynchronization therapy as it is comparable or better in terms of amelioration of ventricular activation, narrowing of the QRS complex, or clinical outcomes. However in case of high threshold at the level of His-bundle or inability to correct conduction through a diseased His-Purkinje system other option should be searched. In our report we describe the case of a patient requiring permanent pacing in whom left bundle pacing was implemented to overcome technical difficulties related to His-bundle pacing.

2. Case report

A 77-year-old man presented to the Emergency Department of the Brasov County Emergency Clinical Hospital for dizziness and lightheadedness due to an intermittent 2:1 atrioventricular block with a QRS complex morphology of a major left branch block (QRS duration = 140 ms).
The lab test showed no pathological aspects, and echocardiography revealed a non-dilated left ventricle with preserved systolic function, but important intra and interventricular dyssynchronism due to LBBB as well as moderate mitral and tricuspid valvular regurgitation.

Given the documented symptomatic conduction trouble at the level of AV node, according to the European Guidelines the patient was considered to have a class I indication for permanent dual-chamber cardiac pacing. The first attempt was to stimulate the His bundle using the technique already described in literature. Using a left axillary venous access and a 7-French sheath placed inside the vein, a C315 His sheath (Medtronic Minneapolis MN) was advanced over a guidewire inside the right atrium and placed near the tricuspid annulus. A SelectSecure 3830 – 69 cm pacing lead (Medtronic Minneapolis, MN) was introduced inside the sheath and mapping of the His bundle region in the unipolar mode was performed until a distal His bundle electrogram was recorded. Pacing at that level showed a significant narrowing of the QRS, but due to high pacing threshold (5 V/1ms) the position was considered unacceptable. So using the His bundle as reference in Fluoroscopy, the delivery system (sheath and lead) was moved approximately 1.5 cm towards the apex of the right ventricle and the lead was deeply screwed into the interventricular septum with continuous monitoring of the stimulated QRS complex, until the complex QRS narrowed significantly (QRS duration = 100 ms). A QR morphology was obtained in lead V1 during unipolar stimulation, a sign that the probe was near the left ventricular endocardium and the left branch was captured distal to the block level (Fig. 1). The lead was rotated passively while monitoring the impedance and QRS duration, and the final place showed an excellent pacing threshold: 0.75 V / 0.4 ms and detection 14 mV (Figs. 2 and 3). The sheath was then removed and the lead was anchored to the pectoral muscle. Secondary, an atrial lead was placed in the right atrial appendage. Echocardiography revealed the presence of the ventricular lead tip deep into the septum just under the left endocardium (Fig. 4). Furthermore, echocardiography showed complete resolution of the inter and intraventricular dyssynchronism with an ejection fraction of 60%. At 3 months follow-up, the patient was asymptomatic and the pacing and sensing thresholds remained at the same values as during implantation: 0.75 V/0.4 ms and 14 mV, respectively.
In the last decade, the "physiologic stimulation" of the conduction system has been intensively studied and 2 techniques were implemented: His-bundle stimulation and Left bundle-stimulation. In patients with left bundle branch block the level of the block might be at the His bundle or in the proximal portion of the left branch. Therefore, capturing the conduction system beyond the site of block might normalize conduction of the electrical impulse through the remaining His-Purkinje system.

Huang et al. demonstrated that in patients with LBBB and nonischemic cardiomyopathy, His bundle-pacing normalizes the QRS complex in up to 80% of patients. However in 25% of patients with LBBB, the His bundle-pacing cannot correct the conduction disease, probably due to a more distal level of block requiring very high amplitudes, which was also the case of our patient. It has been demonstrated that pacing the left branch distal to the site of the conduction block is technically feasible and might associate a lower pacing threshold. In our reported case, the level of block was probably more distal, at the proximal level of the left bundle branch, therefore His-bundle pacing could not correct it with low pacing energies. However, by pacing the left bundle branch, the QRS complex become narrow with absence of intra or interventricular dyssynchronism. The 2 methods can be used as an alternative to each other: if the attempt to stimulate the His-bundle fails (inability to obtain a narrow QRS complex, increased pacing threshold, atrial or ventricular undersensing), deep septal stimulation can be used, aiming to capture the left bundle area, using the same C315 sheath and SelectSecure 3830 lead.

Many articles and systematic reviews recommend His-bundle pacing as a valuable technique. Similarly, left bundle-pacing represents the advancement of His-Purkinje system pacing to overcome all difficulties related to His-bundle pacing. Nevertheless, left bundle-pacing which is more recently introduced in clinical practice needs to be carefully investigated by future studies with emphasis on cardiac function improvement, safety and long-term performance of the pacing lead.

In summary, left bundle branch area pacing represents the next step of His-Purkinje system pacing to overcome all difficulties related to His-bundle pacing in achieving cardiac electrical resynchronization therapy.
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