First-principles study of lithium-doped carbon clathrates under pressure
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Abstract
We present a theoretical study of the behavior under pressure of the two hypothetical C46 and Li8C46 type-I carbon clathrates in order to obtain new information concerning their synthesis. Using ab initio calculations, we have explored the energetic and structural properties under pressure of these two carbon based cage-like materials. These low-density metastable phases show low negative pressure transitions compared to diamond, which represent a serious obstacle to their synthesis. However, we show that a minimum energy barrier can be reached close to 40 GPa, suggesting that synthesis of the Li-clathrate under extreme conditions of pressure and temperature may be possible. The electronic band structure with related density of states behavior under pressure, as well as the dependence of the active Raman modes with pressure are also examined.

1. Introduction

Hypothetical carbon analogues to silicon clathrates are predicted to exhibit promising properties of fundamental and technological interest. This low-density class of cage-like materials, related to the zeolite topology, were first investigated by Nesper et al. They allow the intercalation of guest atoms inside the cages and therefore display unique properties. Exceptional values for mechanical properties or superconductivity at high temperature are predicted within the framework of ab initio calculations. Also the low work function of the metallic Li4C46 clathrate would be interesting for the design of potential electron-emitting devices. Contrary to the other group-14 clathrates (Si, Ge and Sn), the synthesis of carbon clathrates remains a challenge, although the deposition of C20 or C36 networks, the basic units of which have a comparable size to those of the carbon clathrates, have been prepared. Type-I semiconducting carbon clathrates consist of the assembly of face-sharing C20 and C24 nano-cages, resulting in a cubic structure (SG: Pm\textbar 3n, No 223) (figure 1). They would represent a low-density fourfold diamond-like sp3 configuration. Intercalation with Li and Be small atoms inside each cage of the type-I carbon clathrate has been suggested. Ab initio calculations indicate that upon efficient doping carbon clathrates would turn metallic. Furthermore, large values of the electron–phonon interaction potential allow us to envisage carbon clathrates as possible candidates for high-temperature superconductivity. Recently, Zipoli et al have calculated a large value of electron–phonon coupling for the clathrate FC34, leading to a superconducting temperature close to 77 K. In addition, Blase et al have shown that the minimum strength of the carbon clathrates should be larger than that of diamond. Carbon clathrates have also been predicted to have extraordinary mechanical properties, such as a bulk modulus close to that of diamond or even high strength limits under tension and shear beyond those of diamond.

The silicon type-I clathrate Ba8Si46 can be synthesized from a precursor consisting of a stoichiometric mixture of BaSi2 and Si at 3 GPa and 800°C. An attempt was
made by Yamanaka et al to prepare a carbon clathrate using high-pressure (HP) and high-temperature (HT) conditions from the polymerization of C₆₀ but no evidence of a clathrate-like phase analogous to Si-clathrates was found [15]. Another possible HPHT route has been envisaged using a Li–graphite intercalation compound, where the Li atoms could frustrate the graphite–diamond conversion and promote the formation of the endohedrally doped nano-cages [13, 16]. This idea is supported by the observation of the half conversion of the sp² bonds into sp³ bonds at 17 GPa when graphite is pressurized [17].

In this work, we investigate the high-pressure properties of the C₆₀ and Li₈C₆₀ carbon clathrates within the framework of density functional theory as a way to obtain new information concerning their synthesis. The diamond–clathrate transition is discussed as well as the prospect of a reaction path leading to Li-intercalated carbon clathrates. The electronic band structure properties of C₆₀ and Li₈C₆₀ under pressure are also examined. We have calculated the active Raman modes of Li₈C₆₀ and studied their pressure dependence which could contribute to the identification of carbon clathrate crystals if synthesized for instance in a diamond anvil cell.

2. Computational details

Our ab initio calculations were performed with the VASP code [18], using the projector augmented waves (PAW) [19] pseudopotentials supplied with the package [20], within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) for the exchange–correlation energy [21]. This choice is justified, because for sp³ carbon based materials GGA gives a better evaluation of the sp³–sp² distance [22, 23]. A plane-wave energy cut-off of 650 eV together with a (4×4×4) Monkhorst–Pack grid corresponding to 10 k-points in the irreducible part of the Brillouin zone were used for the C₆₀ and Li₈C₆₀ compounds. Our k-mesh was enough to reach convergence due to the large clathrate cells. Thus, convergence of 2 meV/atoms in the difference of total energies and 0.2 GPa in pressure was reached. The cell and internal parameters were fully relaxed in order to obtain forces over the atoms lower than 0.005 eV Å⁻¹. The resulting energies as a function of the volume were fitted using a Murnaghan equation of state (EOS) [24] to obtain the equilibrium volume, the bulk modulus and its pressure derivative at zero pressure. The calculated pressure values are in excellent agreement with those provided from the fit. The pressure derivative B' of the bulk modulus B obtained after relaxation of the forces.

### Table 1. Structural and cohesive parameters of diamond (C₂), C₆₀ and Li₈C₆₀. The equilibrium volume V₀ and cell parameter a₀ are obtained after relaxation of the forces. The bulk modulus B₀ and its pressure derivative B₀' are obtained with the Murnaghan EOS fit. The relative atomic volume V/V₀ and enthalpy ΔH₀ with respect to the diamond phase are also given.

| Structure       | a₀   | V₀   | V/V₀ | B₀   | B₀'  | ΔH₀  |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| C₂              | 3.576| 11.4 | 1.000| 437  | 3.22 | 0.00 |
| C₆₀             | 6.696| 300.2| 1.145| 371  | 3.44 | 0.09 |
| Li₈C₆₀          | 6.833| 319.0| 1.035| 356  | 3.13 | 1.48 |

a Experimental value from [26].
b Experimental value from [25].

3. Results

### 3.1. Structural properties

Figure 2 shows the calculated energy–volume (E–V) curves of the carbon phases considered, i.e. diamond (C₂) which is included for comparison and the C₆₀ and Li₈C₆₀ clathrates structures. The diamond phase has the lowest energy and is the most stable at zero pressure. In table 1, the results for the three studied structures are given from our calculations together with existing experimental values. The internal parameters of the equilibrium volume of C₆₀ and Li₈C₆₀ are shown in table 2. Our calculated values for the lattice...
Figure 2. Calculated energy–volume curves for diamond (C2), C46 and Li8C46. \( V_0^{dia} \) is the atomic volume of the diamond structure at equilibrium.

Table 2. Internal parameters of the C46 and Li8C46 clathrates at their equilibrium volume.

| Atoms     | Wyckoff positions | Internal parameters \((x, y, z)\) |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| C46, SG: Pm\(\bar{3}\)n, No 223, \(Z = 1\) |                   |                                |
| C (1) 6c  | 0.25              | 0.5                             |
| C (2) 16i \((x, x, x)\) | 0.1845 | 0.1845 | 0.1845 |
| C (3) 24k \((0, y, z)\) | 0 | 0.3056 | 0.1187 |
| Li8C46, SG: Pm\(\bar{3}\)n, No 223, \(Z = 1\) |                   |                                |
| C (1) 6c  | 0.25              | 0.5                             |
| C (2) 16i \((x, x, x)\) | 0.1854 | 0.1854 | 0.1854 |
| C (3) 24k \((0, y, z)\) | 0 | 0.0345 | 0.1213 |
| Li (1) 2a | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Li (2) 6d | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0 |

parameter and the bulk modulus of diamond are in excellent agreement with the experimental works [25, 26]. The resulting equilibrium lattice parameter and bulk modulus for C46 \((a = 6.696 \, \text{Å}, \, B_0 = 371 \, \text{GPa})\) are close to those from previous LDA and GGA studies [5, 12]. The intercalation of Li atoms at the center of the C20 and C24 cages leads to an expansion of the lattice parameter \((a = 6.833 \, \text{Å})\) as well as a higher compressibility \((B_0 = 356 \, \text{GPa})\). The atomic volume has been slightly expanded by 14.5\% and 3.5\% for the C46 and the Li8C46 phases, respectively, with respect to diamond. We find an energy difference of 92 meV/atom for C46 relative to diamond, in good agreement with Nesper et al (90 meV/atom) [1] who also used the PAW method. Other \textit{ab initio} methods give much higher energy differences of 144–210 meV/atom [27–30]. Despite the discrepancies found from all the mentioned calculations, it is remarkable that these binding energies, relative to diamond, are considerably lower than that of the fullerenes C60 (430 meV/atom) [31]. However, according to our calculations, filling the voids inside the C46 clathrate dramatically increases the enthalpy at zero pressure to 1.48 eV/atom.

Figure 3. Variation of volume as a function of pressure for diamond (C2), C46 and Li8C46.

The compressibility of the C46 and Li8C46 clathrates is close to that of diamond until \(\sim 8 \, \text{GPa}\) (figure 3). Then C46 and Li8C46 become slightly more compressible than diamond, the pure clathrate being less compressible than the filled clathrate. This contrasts with the observations in Si-clathrates [32] in which intercalation trends to shift the bulk modulus towards the Si-diamond phase. The pressure-evolution of the C–C and Li–C distances in the cages of the two clathrates are displayed in figure 4. The insertion of lithium inside each cage of C46 leads to a slight extension of the cages and produces a general stiffening in the pressure-evolution of the C–C distances. The Li–C and C–C distances decrease smoothly with pressure. There is no sudden drop corresponding to a reduction in cell volume as has been experimentally observed in the Ba–Si and Si–Si distances of Ba8Si46 [33, 34].

3.2. Stability under pressure

The diamond structure is the most stable phase studied in this work for positive pressures (figure 5). Enthalpy curves of C46 and Li8C46 are found to be lower than that of diamond at \(-19\) and \(-187 \, \text{GPa}\), respectively. Our C2 \(\rightarrow\) C46 pressure transition agrees reasonably with the one calculated by Perottoni and Da Jornada within a Hartree–Fock approximation [30]. Negative pressure values are expected in those kinds of transition, implying expanded phases compared to diamond. Although, in absolute values, they are much larger than those calculated in the case of the Si2 \(\rightarrow\) Si46 (\(-6 \, \text{GPa}\)) [30] and Ge2 \(\rightarrow\) Ge46 (\(-2.4 \, \text{GPa}\)) [35] transitions. In the case of the intercalated type-I carbon clathrates with Na \((P_T = -77 \, \text{GPa})\) [30] or Li, huge negative pressure transitions relative to diamond seem to be systematic and render observation of the doped clathrate phases difficult.

In order to estimate the phase stability of Li8C46, we considered the following reaction:

\[
8\text{Li} + 23\text{C}_2 \rightarrow \text{Li}_8\text{C}_{46},
\]

involving a stoichiometric ratio of metallic Li and diamond (C2) to obtain the Li8C46 compound. Thus, we studied the
variation of enthalpy of the previous reaction, defined as follows:
\[ \Delta H(Li_8C_{46}) = H(Li_8C_{46}) - 8H(Li) - 23H(C_2). \] (2)

Figure 6 shows the variation of \( \Delta H(Li_8C_{46}) \) as a function of the pressure. \( \Delta H(Li_8C_{46}) \) is always positive, indicating that the considered reaction (equation (1)) is not favorable in the pressure range studied. However, we have found a minimum corresponding to an energy barrier of 1.9 eV around 38–39 GPa.

3.3. Electronic properties under pressure

We have calculated the electronic band structure of pure and doped carbon clathrates choosing the same path along the same high-symmetry directions of the Brillouin zone as in reference [41]. The band structure of the semiconducting C_{46} at zero pressure and at 51.3 GPa is provided in figures 7(c) and (d). We find a quasi-direct band gap of approximately 3.88 eV at zero pressure between \( \Gamma - X \), which is in good agreement with previously reported results [5, 36]. The band gap value decreases with pressure, reaching 3.69 eV at 51.3 GPa, and becomes direct from 2.8 GPa. We can check in figure 7(a) that the intercalation of lithium in each cage of C_{46} leads to metallic behavior with the filling of the conduction band by Li 2s electrons. A maximum in the density of states at the Fermi level \( E_F \) is observed, suggesting interesting superconducting properties as previously remarked [2, 4, 5, 11]. No dramatic changes were found at 55 GPa in the band structure of Li_8C_{46}, especially in the conduction band in the vicinity of \( E_F \) (figure 7(d)). In this regard, a band crossing over the Fermi level in the conduction band of Ba_8Si_{46} near the R-point, ascribed to an electronic topological transition, has been calculated recently [34]. In Li_8C_{46}, the Fermi energy is found to increase with pressure, corresponding to a shift of \( \Delta E_F \approx 1.7 \) eV between 0 and 55 GPa. This indicates that the pressure is a valuable parameter for tuning the charge transfer in these guest–host systems. We point out that the density of states around \( E_F \) present a maximum at 55 GPa.

3.4. Dynamical properties of Li_8C_{46} under pressure

We used the direct approach based on the small displacements to calculate the eigenvalues of the dynamical matrix at the \( \Gamma \)-point of the Brillouin zone [39, 40]. In order to achieve...
Figure 7. Band structure and density of states associated with Li$_8$C$_{46}$ at 0 GPa (a) and at 55 GPa (b) and for C$_{46}$ at 0 GPa (c) and at 51.3 GPa (d). In the case of the Li$_8$C$_{46}$ metallic compound, the Fermi energy is taken as reference. In the semiconducting C$_{46}$, the top of the valence band is chosen as the energy reference.

Table 3. Raman active modes of Li$_8$C$_{46}$ (point group: O$_h$) for the equilibrium volume (at the Γ-point). The frequency at 870 cm$^{-1}$ consists of the combination of a Raman (R) and an infra-red (IR) mode. These frequencies and their representation were calculated with the PHONON program [37].

| Representation | Frequency (cm$^{-1}$) | Activity |
|----------------|----------------------|----------|
| T$_{2g}$       | 247                  | R        |
| E$_g$          | 310                  | R        |
| T$_{2g}$       | 387                  | R        |
| T$_{2g}$       | 425                  | R        |
| E$_g$          | 550                  | R        |
| T$_{2g}$       | 649                  | R        |
| E$_g$          | 654                  | R        |
| A$_{1g}$       | 674                  | R        |
| E$_g$          | 690                  | R        |
| T$_{2g}$       | 766                  | R        |
| E$_g$          | 804                  | R        |
| T$_{2g}$       | 813                  | R        |
| T$_{2g}$       | 864                  | R        |
| A$_{1g}$ + T$_{1u}$ | 870              | R + IR   |
| T$_{2g}$       | 921                  | R        |
| E$_g$          | 944                  | R        |
| T$_{2g}$       | 959                  | R        |
| E$_g$          | 976                  | R        |
| T$_{2g}$       | 1049                 | R        |
| A$_{1g}$       | 1080                 | R        |

accurate results, we relaxed the cell and the internal parameters to obtain forces over the atoms below 0.0001 eV Å$^{-1}$. We performed our study with the PHON [38] and PHONON [37] programs using a (1 × 1 × 1) supercell. In order to obtain well-converged results we tested different small displacements, and a (4 × 4 × 4) $k$-mesh was used to reach convergence of the phonon frequencies lower than 3–4 cm$^{-1}$.

In order to link our theoretical results with possible future Raman spectroscopy measurements, if synthesized, we present a list of the active Raman modes of the Li$_8$C$_{46}$ clathrate corresponding to the equilibrium volume in table 3, which could be helpful as a reference for the experimental study.

Figure 8 shows the evolution with pressure of the calculated Raman frequencies obtained with the PHON program [38]. We point out that a typical discrepancy of

Figure 8. Pressure-evolution of the calculated Li$_8$C$_{46}$ Raman frequencies with the PHON program [38]. The continuous lines represent a polynomial fit (see table 4).
Table 4. Coefficients of the pressure dependence of Li₈C₄₆ Raman frequencies shown in figure 8. A second-order polynomial function was used to fit the calculated frequencies.

|      | T₂g | E₁g  | E₂g  | T₂g |
|------|-----|------|------|------|
| a (cm⁻¹) | 263.28 | 322.83 | 686.84 | 1067.68 |
| b (cm⁻¹ GPA⁻¹) | 1.69 | 3.18 | 3.68 | 3.87 |
| c (cm⁻¹ GPA⁻²) | 1.39 × 10⁻² | 1.95 × 10⁻² | 2.26 × 10⁻² | 1.45 × 10⁻² |

15 cm⁻¹ between the eigenvalues calculated from the two programs phon and phonon was observed. This value can be considered as an average uncertainty of our calculated frequencies. The pressure dependence of some frequencies can be reproduced with a second-order polynomial fit as shown with the continuous lines in the figure. For the other frequencies, a more complicated behavior is observed. In that case, we can distinguish three regimes with different effective slopes.

4. Summary and conclusions

In this work we have presented an ab initio theoretical study of the behavior under pressure of the C₄₆ and Li₈C₄₆ carbon clathrates. We have analyzed the phase stability of these two compounds with respect to the diamond phase and their structural and electronic properties under pressure. We have also calculated the active Raman frequencies as well as their pressure dependence as a milestone for future experiments. First, our calculations confirmed the interesting structural properties of these clathrates. In particular, these structures are of low compressibility but are still more compressible than diamond. As a result, no collapse of cell volume with increasing pressure was evidenced as occurs in silicon clathrate in the same studied pressure range. Our calculations for the C₄₆ clathrate are in good agreement with previous studies. In the case of Li₈C₄₆, we find a large enthalpy at zero pressure related to diamond. Furthermore, the Li-intercalated clathrate becomes the stable phase relative to diamond near ~187 GPa, pointing to a difficulty in synthesizing this compound. We have also proposed a reaction path involving stoichiometric ratios of diamond and lithium to obtain the Li₈C₄₆. Although this reaction seems not be favorable, we have calculated a minimum corresponding to an energy barrier of approximately 1.9 eV around 38–39 GPa, an easily accessible pressure-window with the diamond anvil cell. Hence, even if the phase stability of Li₈C₄₆ appears to be a serious obstacle, our result leads us to suppose that HPHT conditions are well suited to obtaining this compound. Ab initio cannot provide a synthesis route; future attempts may allow us to find it. Further ab initio calculations on intercalated clathrates considering other chemical species or with different structures will be valuable in order to reduce the cohesive energy.
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