Relationship of Weight and Body Mass Index with Bone Mineral Density in Adult Men from Kosovo

Rexhep Hoxha, Hilmi Islami, Hasime Qorraj-Bytyqi, Shpetim Thaçi, Elton Bahtiri

Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Prishtina, Kosovo
Department of Physiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Prishtina, Kosovo

Corresponding author: Elton Bahtiri, MD PhD candidate. Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Prishtina, Kosovo. Email: elton.bahtiri@uni-pr.edu

ABSTRACT

Background and objective: Body weight and body mass index (BMI) are considered strong predictors of osteoporotic fractures, though optimal BMI levels remain unsettled. There are several studies conducted on women about the relationship between BMI and bone mineral density (BMD), and just a few so far on men. Therefore, the objective of current study was to analyze the relationship between weight and BMI and BMD measured in lumbar spine (L1-L4), femur neck and total hip in 64 men from Kosovo.

Methods: This cross-sectional study included a population of 64 men divided into three BMI groups. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) measurements were done in all the study participants.

Results: Pearson’s correlation analysis showed a significant positive correlation between weight and BMI and BMD in femur neck and in total hip, and a significant negative correlation between age and femur neck BMD. Age-adjusted linear regression analysis showed that weight and BMI had a significant positive association with BMD levels.

Conclusion: Although the results show significant relationship between BMI and BMD, the negative relationship between age and femur neck BMD may serve as guidance to initiate early assessment of the BMD in this region as well as preventive measures of osteoporosis and fractures among ageing men population
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1. INTRODUCTION

Fractures accompanying osteoporosis have become serious health problem (1, 2). There are many modifiable factors influencing bone mineral density (BMD), which is recognized as a surrogate marker of bone strength (3). Among them, body weight and body mass index (BMI) are considered strong predictors of osteoporotic fractures (2). Optimal BMI levels remain still unsettled, though a BMI of 26-28 kg/m² has been suggested (4). Overweight and obesity have been considered protective to bone health, while higher BMI levels to be associated with higher BMD score (5-10). Beyond the simple mechanism of mechanical load related to body weight on bone density (2, 10), there are some other assumed mechanisms not yet fully elucidated of interaction between adipose tissue and skeleton through several adipokines (6, 11, 12, 13). However, recent studies have questioned this traditional view concluding non-linear relationship between BMI and BMD (10, 11). Some studies reported no association or even lower BMD (17) or increased risk of fractures, sometimes site dependent, in obese patients (18-20).

The objective of this study was to analyze the relationship between body mass index (BMI) and weight and bone mineral density (BMD) measured in lumbar spine (L1-L4), femur neck and total hip in a population of 64 men from Kosovo. The interest of several studies has been focused on women, but there are only few recent studies worldwide focused on this issue on men and, to our best

|            | Total subjects (n=64) | Normal weight (n=23) | Overweight (n=26) | Obesity (n=15) |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Age (years)| 42.17±14.86          | 36.30±15.81          | 42.92±13.90       | 49.87±11.59    |
| BMI (kg/m²)| 26.69±4.97           | 21.87±2.06           | 27.36±1.36**      | 33.09±4.29**   |
| Height     | 1.76±0.71            | 1.77±0.69            | 1.74±0.07         | 1.76±0.07      |
| Weight     | 82.37±16.36          | 68.48±7.69           | 82.80±7.06**      | 102.92±15.89   |
| L1-L4 vertebrae BMD (g/cm²) | 0.995±0.136 | 0.983±0.143 | 0.996±0.117 | 1.012±0.164 |
| Femur Neck BMD (g/cm²) | 0.888±0.151 | 0.848±0.154 | 0.901±0.120 | 0.927±0.191 |
| Total Hip BMD (g/cm²) | 0.991±0.154 | 0.936±0.145 | 1.007±0.109 | 1.048±0.289 |
| Osteoporosis | 4 (1.56)          | 1 (1.56)             | 1 (1.56)          | 2 (3.12)       |
| Osteopenia  | 12 (18.75)           | 12 (18.75)           | 6 (9.37)          | 5 (7.94)       |

Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of the study subjects. BMD bone mineral density, BMI body mass index. *p <0.05, **p<0.01 compared to normal weight, $p <0.05, §§ p<0.01 compared to overweight
knowledge, this is the first one undertaken among Kosovo men.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Study population

The study population consisted of 64 men who were divided into three groups according to standard World Health Organization (WHO) Body Mass Index (BMI) classification: Normal Weight group (n=23), Overweight group (n=26) and Obese group (n=15). Subjects were not included in the study if they had a history of diseases that might affect bone metabolism or had taken drugs that might influence BMD (glucocorticoids, bisphosphonates). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and the study protocol was approved by local Ethical Committees. The research was conducted in accordance to guidelines in Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Anthropometric and bone mineral density measurements

Height (m) and weight (kg) were measured in light clothing and no shoes before performing DXA, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated as the ratio of subjects’ weight to height squared (kg/m²). According to WHO criteria, participants were categorized into three BMI groups [21]: normal weight (BMI<24.9 kg/m²), overweight (BMI=25.0-29.9 kg/m²) and obese (BMI>30 kg/m²). Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA; Hologic QDR-4500, USA) was used to measure bone mineral density (BMD) in lumbar vertebrae (L1-L4), femoral neck and total hip in all study participants. DEXA was calibrated daily using a phantom provided by the manufacturer. The diagnosis of osteoporosis and osteopenia was done according to WHO T-score criteria (osteoporosis if T-score ≤ -2.5 SD, osteopenia if T-score between -2.5 SD and -1 SD, and normal BMD if T-score > -1SD).

2.3. Statistical analysis

SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. All p-values in statistical analyses were two-tailed with a significance level of 0.05. Continuous variables are expressed as the means ± standard deviations (SD), while categorical variables as percentages. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey test for Post Hoc Multiple Comparisons for continuous variables and Chi square test for categorical variables were used to compare characteristics of the three groups created on the basis of BMI. Person’s correlation test was performed to assess correlation between age, weight, BMI and BMD. Age-adjusted linear regression analysis was calculated with BMI and weight as independent variables and BMD in L1-L4 vertebra, femoral neck and total hip as dependent variables.

| Variable                  | Weight (Kg) | Body mass index (kg/m²) |
|---------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| L1-L4 vertebrae BMD       | 0.005*      | 0.308*                 |
| Femur Neck BMD            | 0.005**     | 0.563***               |
| Total Hip BMD             | 0.005***    | 0.540***               |

Table 3. Age-adjusted linear regression analysis for BMD with weight and BMI as predictors. *p <0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001

3. RESULTS

Descriptive characteristics of the study population in total and according to BMI groups are summarized in Table 1. The recruited study subjects consisted of 64 males with a mean age of 42.17±14.86 (SD). There were 23 subjects (36.3±15.81 years) in normal weight group, 26 subjects (42.92±13.9 years) in overweight group and 15 subjects (49.87±11.59 years) in obese group. Most men in this study were overweight or obese (n=41 or 64%).

Comparison of BMI groups by using one way ANOVA found no statistically significant difference for L1-L4 BMD, femur neck BMD and total hip BMD levels (p>0.05). Despite the fact that a statistically significant difference was not observed among BMI groups regarding BMD levels, Pearson’s correlation analysis shown in table 2 reveals a significant positive correlation between weight and BMI and BMD in femur neck (r=0.445, p<0.01) and in total hip (r=0.502, p<0.01). No statistically significant correlation was shown between weight and BMI and L1-L4 BMD, while a significant negative correlation was observed between age and femur neck BMD (r=-0.308, p<0.05).

Age-adjusted linear regression analysis showed that weight and BMI as explanatory variables had a significant positive association with L1-L4 BMD, FN BMD and TH BMD levels (Table 3). When weight was compared to BMI, it was observed a tendency of differences in L1-L4 BMD and FN BMD (β=0.308 vs. 0.305 and β=0.563 vs. β= 0.559), whereas BMI showed greater difference in total hip BMD (β=0.577 vs. 0.540). Weight and BMI had highest squared R values for femur neck BMD, where these variables can explain 38.6% and 35.4% of the variation in FN BMD (R²=0.386 and R²=0.354, respectively).

4. DISCUSSION

Body weight and body mass index as potentially modifiable factors are generally considered essential determinants of bone mineral density (10). Several studies demonstrated positive association of elevated body weight and/or BMI with bone mineral density or even protective effect against osteoporosis and fractures (2, 13, 22). Principal explanation of this association was heavier mechanical loading on bones with subsequent bone remodelling to resist this loading (2, 10). Some of other putative mechanisms are increased estrogen synthesis (in women), increased secretion of insulin and increased plasma levels of leptin (13). However, there are other published studies focused on the impact of fat mass on BMD that demonstrated negative association of obesity with BMD; the assumed mechanisms are secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines that stimulate bone resorption (22), decreased levels of adiponectins and increased parathormone levels (6, 12, 13).

In accordance with results of Dogan A et al. (12), Salamat M et al. (9) and Lloyd J et al. (22) results of this cross-sectional
study demonstrate a positive correlation of weight and body mass index with both femur neck BMD and total hip BMD in all male subjects included in the study, but, in contrary to them, compared to normal weight, BMD levels of overweight and obese groups were not significantly different; the lack of significant difference between BMI groups might be as limitation of the small sample size. Contrary to results of our study and above mentioned studies, Greco et al. (14) reported low lumbar BMD in obese patients, while a recent study by Emaus N et al. (4) demonstrated a nonlinear relationship between body mass index and bone mineral density. Every unit increase in BMI was associated with an increase of 0.008 g/cm² in L1-L4 BMD, 0.017 g/cm² in femur neck BMD and 0.018 g/cm² in total hip BMD. Interestingly, age was negatively correlated only with femur neck BMD in all subjects.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we demonstrated a highly significant relationship between body mass index and bone mineral density in femur neck and total hip in this sample of men. Negative relationship between age and femur neck BMD may serve as guidance to focus the evaluation of the bone mineral density in this region and to initiate early preventive measures of osteoporosis and fractures among aging male population.
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