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Reduction in the neuronal surface of post and presynaptic GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors in the hippocampus in a mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease
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Abstract
The hippocampus plays key roles in learning and memory and is a main target of Alzheimer’s disease (AD), which causes progressive memory impairments. Despite numerous investigations about the processes required for the normal hippocampal functions, the neurotransmitter receptors involved in the synaptic deficits by which AD disables the hippocampus are not yet characterized. By combining histoblots, western blots, immunohistochemistry and high-resolution immunoelectron microscopic methods for GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors, this study provides a quantitative description of the expression and the subcellular localization of GABA<sub>B1</sub> in the hippocampus in a mouse model of AD at 1, 6 and 12 months of age. Western blots and histoblots showed that the total amount of protein and the laminar expression pattern of GABA<sub>B1</sub> were similar in APP/PS1 mice and in age-matched wild-type mice. In contrast, immunoelectron microscopic techniques showed that the subcellular localization of GABA<sub>B1</sub> subunit did not change significantly in APP/PS1 mice at 1 month of age, was significantly reduced in the stratum lacunosum-moleculare of CA1 pyramidal cells at 6 months of age and significantly reduced at the membrane surface of CA1 pyramidal cells at 12 months of age. This reduction of plasma membrane GABA<sub>B1</sub> was paralleled by a significant increase of the subunit at the intracellular sites. We further observed a decrease of membrane-targeted GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors in axon terminals contacting CA1 pyramidal cells. Our data demonstrate compartment- and age-dependent reduction of plasma membrane-targeted GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors in the CA1 region of the hippocampus, suggesting that this decrease might be enough to alter the GABA<sub>B</sub>-mediated synaptic transmission taking place in AD.

INTRODUCTION
Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most prevalent neurodegenerative disease in the elderly population. Alzheimer’s disease progression has been associated with a gradual damage in function and structure of the hippocampus, a vulnerable brain region involved in the memory formation and in the cognition. In particular, the CA1 hippocampal region is one of the most affected brain areas in AD, suffering a variety of neuronal alterations, including dendritic changes in pyramidal cells and pronounced loss of neurons (55, 56). The three major neuropathology hallmarks of AD are extracellular amyloid plaques containing amyloid β (Aβ) peptides derived from amyloid precursor protein (APP), neurofibrillary tangles of aggregated hyperphosphorylated tau in neurons and synapse loss (5). The progressive increase in synapse...
loss correlates with cognitive decline and is proposed to underlie learning and memory deficits in AD (21).

Amyloid β (Aβ) has been implicated in the pathogenesis of AD by creating a microenvironment that damages the dendritic spines, which represent the major postsynaptic elements of excitatory synapses in the cerebral cortex (16), and are fundamental to memory formation, learning and cognition (30). Growing evidence supports that structural plasticity impairments on excitatory synapses are linked to a gross disruption of the glutamatergic system (36, 41, 55, 58, 59). Thus, N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors activation and removal of AMPA receptors have been implicated in AD-related synaptic dysfunctions (20, 59). The neurotransmitter γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) acts through GABA_A and GABA_B receptors to inhibit neurons (3, 13). While alterations in the expression of GABA_A receptor subunits in the AD hippocampus differ (28) alterations in GABA_B receptor expression are less well understood and only recently it has been shown a direct molecular and functional link between APP and GABA_B receptors (9, 45, 47).

GABA_B receptors have modulatory actions on neuronal excitability and neurotransmitter release, and are involved in a number of physiological and pathophysiological processes (3), including AD. Two subunits, GABA_B1 and GABA_B2, are required to form functional receptors (26, 37). Autoradiographic, in situ hybridisation and immunohistochemical studies showed that the hippocampus expresses a high-density of GABA_B receptors (4, 6, 7, 12, 25, 32). Immunoelectron microscopic studies demonstrated an association of GABA_B receptors with postsynaptic and presynaptic sites at excitatory synapses in the hippocampus, with a particularly high abundance in the dendritic spines of pyramidal cells (8, 27, 32, 53). Since dendritic spines and excitatory synapses are lost in AD it is expected that both the distribution and functions of GABA_B receptors are affected. However, it remains unclear how these receptors reorganize at the surface of hippocampal neurons in AD brains. For this purpose, our work adopted transgenic mice overexpressing mutant familial AD genes [amyloid-β protein precursor (AβPP), presenilin-1 (PS1)-dE9], considered one of the most relevant animal models of AD. These animals show Aβ deposition by 4 months with a progressive increase in senile plaque number up to 12 months and cognitive impairments (14, 15).

To identify possible alterations in the expression and subcellular localization of GABA_B receptors in the APP/PS1 mice we used western blots, histoblots and high-resolution immunohistochemical techniques in combination with quantitative approaches at different ages. Here we provide evidence for a significant reduction in the plasma membrane expression of GABA_B receptors in CA1 pyramidal cells in the APP/PS1 AD mouse model.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals

The mouse line used for this study (APP/PS1; hemizygote animals) expressed Mo/Hu APP695sw. We construct in conjunction with the exon 9-deleted variant of human presenilin 1 (PS1-dE9) (46). Control mice were age-matched littermates without the transgene (wild type). Mice of all genotypes were aged to 1, 6 and 12 months before use in a battery of biochemical and morphological experiments. For each age and genotype, four mice were used for western blot, four mice were used for histoblot techniques, four mice were used for SDS-FRL techniques and three mice were used for pre-embedding immunoelectron microscopic analyses. All mice were obtained from the Animal House Facility of the University of Castilla-La Mancha (Albacete, Spain). Animals were housed in cages of 2 or more mice, maintained on a 12 hour light/12 hour dark cycle at 24°C and received food and water ad libitum. Care and handling of animals prior to and during experimental procedures were in accordance with Spanish (RD 1201/2015) and European Union regulations (86/609/EC), and the protocols were approved by the local Animal Care and Use Committee.

For western blots, the animals were deeply anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine/xylazine 1:1 (0.1 mL/kg b.w.), the hippocampus was extracted, frozen rapidly in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. For histoblotting, the animals were deeply anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine/xylazine 1:1 (0.1 mL/kg b.w.), the brains were quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. For immunohistochemistry at the light and electron microscopic levels, animals were deeply anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine/xylazine 1:1 (0.1 mL/kg) and transcardially perfused with ice-cold fixative containing: (i) 2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4) for 12 min (for SDS-FRL technique), or (ii) 4% paraformaldehyde, 0.05% glutaraldehyde and 15% (v/v) saturated picric acid made up in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB), pH 7.4 (for light microscopy and pre-embedding immunogold techniques). After perfusion, brains were removed and immersed in the same fixative for 2 h or overnight at 4°C. Tissue blocks were washed thoroughly in 0.1 M PB. Coronal sections (60 µm thickness) were cut on a Vibratome (Leica V1000).

Antibodies and chemicals

An affinity-purified polyclonal antibody against GABA_B1 (B17, aa. 901-960 of rat GABA_B1) was raised in rabbit, and used for immunohistochemical techniques at the light and electron microscopic levels. The characteristics and specificity of the anti-GABA_B1 antibody have been described elsewhere (26, 35, 53). For western blots and histoblots, a mouse monoclonal anti-GABA_B1 (sc-166408; D-2, aa 929-958 of rat C-terminus of GABA_B1) antibody was used (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA). The secondary antibodies used were as follows: goat anti-mouse IgG-horseradish peroxidase (1:2000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), goat anti-rabbit IgG-horseradish peroxidase (1:15 000; Pierce, Rockford, Illinois).
USA), alkaline phosphatase AP-goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L) and AP-goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) (1:5000; Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), goat anti-rabbit IgG coupled to 1.4 nm gold (1:100; Nanoprobes Inc., Stony Brook, NY, USA) and anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to 10 nm gold particles (1:100; British Biocell International, Cardiff, UK).

Western blots

Hippocampi were homogenized in 50 mM Tris Base, pH 7.4 and Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Thermo Scientific, Pierce, Rockford, USA) with a pestle motor (Sigma-Aldrich). The homogenized tissue was centrifuged 10 min at 1000 × g at 4°C and the supernatant was centrifuged 30 min at 12000 × g (Centrifuge 5415R, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) at 4°C, the pellet containing the membrane extracts were resuspended in 50 mM Tris Base, pH 7.4 and Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Thermo Scientific, Pierce, Rockford, USA). The protein content of each membrane extract was determined by BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific). Twenty-five micrograms of membrane protein were loaded in Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS/PAGE) using 7.5% polyacrylamide with loading sample buffer (0.05 M Tris pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 0.05% β-mercaptoethanol and 0.001% bromophenol blue). The proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes using a semidry transfer system and immunoblotted with anti-GABA_B1 (1:500) and anti-α-Tubulin (1:1000). Protein bands were visualized after application of a mouse IgG kappa-binding protein coupled to horseradish peroxidase (1:1000) using the enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) blotting detection kit (SuperSignal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate, Pierce, Rockford, USA). Blots were captured and quantified by densitometry using a LAS4000 MINI (Fujifilm, Japan). A series of primary and secondary antibody dilutions and incubation times were used to optimize the experimental conditions for the linear sensitivity range, confirming that our labeling was well below the saturation levels.

Histoblotting

The regional distribution of GABA_B1 was analyzed in rodent brains, using an in situ blotting technique (histoblot) (1, 52). Briefly, horizontal cryostat sections (10 µm) from mouse brain were apposed to nitrocellulose membranes moistened with 48 mM Tris-base, 39 mM glycine, 2% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulphate and 20% (v/v) methanol for 15 min at room temperature (~20°C). After blocking in 5% (w/v) nonfat dry milk in phosphate-buffered saline, nitrocellulose membranes were treated with DNAse I (5 U/mL), washed and incubated in 2% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulphate and 100 mM β-mercaptoethanol in 100 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.0) for 60 min at 45°C to remove adhering tissue residues. After extensive washing, the blots were reacted with affinity-purified anti-GABA_B1 antibodies (0.5 mg/mL) in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. The bound primary antibodies were detected with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibodies (52). A series of primary and secondary antibody dilutions and incubation times were used to optimize the experimental conditions for the linear sensitivity range of the alkaline phosphatase reactions. To compare the expression levels of GABA_B1 between the two genotypes (wild type and APP/PS1) and ages (1, 6 and 12-months), all nitrocellulose membranes were processed in parallel, and the same incubation time for each reagent was used for the antibody.

To facilitate the identification of brain regions, structures and cell layers, adjacent cryostat sections were stained with cresyl violet for the two genotypes (wild type and APP/PS1) and ages (1, 6 and 12-months; not shown). Digital images were acquired by scanning the nitrocellulose membranes using a desktop scanner (HP Scanjet 8300). Image analysis and processing were performed using the Adobe Photoshop software (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, USA) as described previously (10). All of the images were processed with the same equipment in the same way to allow comparison of the intensity of grayscale images between experimental groups and in different brain regions. The pixel density (arbitrary units) of immunoreactivity was measured using open circular cursors with a diameter of 0.10 mm. The cursors were placed in different brain regions identified based on the adjacent cresyl violet-stained sections (10). We used background correction to eliminate the potential differences in optical densities across different sections in different experiments. The average of eight background determinations carried out near the brain protein-containing areas of the immunostained nitrocellulose membranes was subtracted from the average pixel densities measured within the brain regions. Following background corrections, the average pixel density for the whole region from one animal counted as one ‘n’. Under these conditions, labeling performed on different days produced very consistent results. Data were analyzed and plotted using the software Analysis (GraphPad Prism, San Diego, USA).

Immunohistochemistry for light microscopy

Immunohistochemical reactions at the light microscopic level were carried out using the immunoperoxidase method as described earlier (34). Briefly, sections were incubated in 10% normal goat serum (NGS) diluted in 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4) containing 0.9% NaCl (TBS), with 0.2% Triton X-100, for 1 h. Sections were incubated in anti-GABA_B1 (1–2 µg/mL diluted in TBS containing 1% NGS) or in anti-β amyloid (1–2 µg/mL diluted in TBS containing 1% NGS), followed by incubation in biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) diluted 1:200 in TBS containing 1% NGS. Sections were then transferred into avidin–biotin–peroxidase complex (ABC kit, Vector Laboratories). Bound peroxidase enzyme activity was revealed using 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB; 0.05% in TB, pH 7.4) as the chromogen and 0.01% H2O2 as the substrate. Finally, sections were air-dried and
mounted prior to observation in a Leica photomicroscope (DM2000) equipped with differential interference contrast optics and a digital imaging camera.

**Immunohistochemistry for electron microscopy**

Immunohistochemical reactions at the electron microscopic level were carried out using the pre-embedding immunogold and the SDS-digested freeze-fracture replica labeling (SDS-FRL) methods as described earlier (33, 34, 50).

**Pre-embedding immunogold method.** Briefly, free-floating sections obtained from the two genotypes (WT and APP/PS1) and three ages (1, 6 and 12-months) were incubated in parallel in 10% (v/v) NGS diluted in TBS. Sections were then incubated in anti-GABAB antibodies (3-5 μg/mL diluted in TBS containing 1% (v/v) NGS), followed by incubation in goat anti-rabbit IgG coupled to 1.4 nm gold (Nanoprobes Inc., Stony Brook, NY, USA), respectively. Sections were postfixed in 1% (v/v) glutaraldehyde and washed in double-distilled water, followed by silver enhancement of the gold particles with an HQ Silver kit (Nanoprobes Inc.). Sections were then treated with osmium tetroxide (1% in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB), block-stained with uranyl acetate, dehydrated in graded series of ethanol and flat-embedded on glass slides in Durcupan (Fluka) resin. Regions of interest were cut at 70–90 nm on an ultramicrotome (Reichert Ultracut E, Leica, Austria) and collected on single slot pioloform-coated copper grids. Staining was performed on drops of 1% aqueous uranyl acetate followed by Reynolds’s lead citrate. Ultrastructural analyses were performed in a JEOL-1010 electron microscope.

**SDS-FRL technique.** Animals were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg, i.p.) and perfused transcardially with 25 mM PBS for 1 min, followed by perfusion with 2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB) for 12 min. The hippocampi were dissected and cut into sagittal slices (130 μm) using a Microslicer (Dosaka, Kyoto, Japan) in 0.1 M PB. Next, we trimmed hippocampal slices containing the CA region and immersed them in graded glycerol of 10%–30% in 0.1 M PB at 4°C overnight. Slices were then treated with osmium tetroxide (1% in 0.1 M phosphate buffer), block-stained with uranyl acetate, dehydrated in graded series of ethanol and flat-embedded on glass slides in Durcupan (Fluka) resin. Regions of interest were cut at 70–90 nm on an ultramicrotome (Reichert Ultracut E, Leica, Austria) and collected on single slot pioloform-coated copper grids. Staining was performed on drops of 1% aqueous uranyl acetate followed by Reynolds’s lead citrate. Ultrastructural analyses were performed in a JEOL-1010 electron microscope.

**Density gradient of GABAB along the membrane surface.** The procedure was similar to that used previously (33). Briefly, immunogold labeling for GABA_B1 was achieved from replicas containing all layers of the CA1 region, so that the laminar distribution could be compared under identical conditions for each animal and experimental group. Quantitative analysis of immunogold labeling for GABA_B1 was performed on ten different dendritic compartments of pyramidal cells in all dendritic layers of the CA1 region and in somata of pyramidal cells in the stratum pyramidale. The dendritic compartments analysed were the main dendritic shaft (apical dendrites), spiny branchlets (oblique dendrites) and dendritic spines. Oblique dendrites were identified based on their small diameter and the presence of at least one emerging spine from the dendritic shaft. Dendritic spines were considered as such if: (i) they emerged from a dendritic shaft or (ii) they opposed an axon terminal. Axon terminals were identified based on: (i) the concave shape of the P-face and the accumulation of intramembrane particles (IMPs) on the opposing exoplasmic-face (E-face) of a spine or dendrite; or (ii) the presence of synaptic vesicles on their cross-fractured portions. Non-specific background labeling was measured on E-face structures surrounding the measured P-faces. Images of the identified compartments were selected randomly over the entire dendritic tree of CA1 pyramidal cells and then captured with an ORIUS SC1000 CCD camera (Gatan, Munich, Germany). The area of the selected profiles and the number of immunoparticles were measured using our GPDQ software (33). Immunoparticle densities were presented as mean ± SEM between animals. Statistical comparisons were performed with GraphPad Prism 5 software (La Jolla, CA, USA).
Number of GABA$_{B1}$ immunoparticles in clusters along the membrane surface

To determine the number of GABA$_{B1}$ immunoparticles composing the clusters that are present in different compartments of CA1 pyramidal cells in the two genotypes (wild type and APP/PS1) and the three ages (1, 6 and 12-months), we used the same set of images for the above analysis and the GPDQ software. The software reports the number of particles, their area (as the area inside the convex hull of the particles in the cluster) or the distance to the nearest cluster of particles. By default, the software uses the minimum number of particles in a cluster fixed to three, so all clusters with one or two particles are discarded. More information in all parameters that need to be set in the software is detailed elsewhere (33). The data were expressed as the percentage of clusters with distinct number of immunoparticles per cluster, in different compartments both in wild-type and APP/PS1 mice.

Quantification and analysis of pre-embedding immunogold data

To establish the relative abundance of GABA$_{B1}$ immunoreactivity in different compartments of CA1 pyramidal cells between the two genotypes (wild type and APP/PS1) and the three ages (1, 6 and 12-months), we used 60-µm-thick coronal slices processed for pre-embedding immunogold immunohistochemistry. The procedure was similar to that used previously (34). Briefly, for each of three adult animals, three samples of tissue were obtained for the preparation of embedding blocks, thus using in total nine blocks. To minimize false negatives, electron microscopic serial ultrathin sections were cut close to the surface of each block, as immunoreactivity decreased with depth. We estimated the quality of immunolabeling by always selecting the areas with optimal gold labeling at approximately the same distance from the cutting surface. Randomly selected areas were then photographed from the selected ultrathin sections and used with final magnification between 30 000 and 50 000×. Quantification of immunogold labeling was carried out in reference areas of the CA1 region totaling approximately 2500 µm$^2$. We counted immunoparticles identified in each reference area and present in different subcellular compartments: dendritic spines, dendritic shafts and axon terminals. The data were expressed as a percentage of immunoparticles in each subcellular compartment, both in the plasma membrane and at intracellular sites.

Controls

To test method specificity in the procedures for electron microscopy, the primary antibody was either omitted or replaced with 5% (v/v) normal serum of the species of the primary antibody, resulting in total loss of the signal. For the pre-embedding technique, labelling patterns were also compared with those obtained with Calbindin (polyclonal rabbit anti-Calbindin D-9k CB9; Swant, Marly, Switzerland); only the antibodies against GABA$_{B1}$ consistently labeled the plasma membrane. To test method specificity in the procedures for western blots and histoblots, antisera against GABA$_{B1}$ was tested on hippocampal membranes and brain sections, respectively, of GABA$_{B1}$ knockout mice. In our samples, the immunolabeling signal was completely absent in the knockout mice, while a strong signal was present in wild-type mice.

Data analysis

Statistical analyses for morphological data were performed using SigmaStat Pro (Jandel Scientific) and data were presented as mean ± SEM unless indicated otherwise. Statistical significance was defined as $P < 0.05$. The statistical evaluation of the immunogold densities was performed using the two-way ANOVA test and further compared with the Bonferroni post hoc test.

RESULTS

Similar brain expression of GABA$_B$ receptors in control and APP/PS1 mice

We first determined whether the GABA$_B$ receptor expression was impaired in the brain of APP/PS1 mice at time points when Aβ deposition is observed. We selected ages with (i) no sign of pathology (1 month; Figure 1A-C), (ii) starting Aβ deposition (6 months; Figure 1D-F) and (iii) cognitive deficits, severe synapse loss and widespread Aβ deposition (12 months; Figure 1G-I). To analyse region-dependent alterations in GABA$_B$ receptor expression in the brain of APP/PS1 and age-matched wild-type mice, we used a GABA$_{B1}$ subunit-specific antibody in conventional histoblots (33).

In the brain, the overall expression of GABA$_{B1}$ revealed marked region-specific differences at all ages analysed, with very similar expression patterns in wild type and APP/PS1 mice (Figure 1A-I). In wild-type mice of the three ages analyzed, immunoreactivity for GABA$_{B1}$ was widely distributed in the brain, with strongest immunoreactivities in the cerebellum and thalamus, moderate labeling in the hippocampus, cortex and septum and weak labeling in the caudate putamen and midbrain nuclei, including the inferior and superior colliculi (Figure 1A,D,G). This expression pattern was very similar in the brain of APP/PS1 mice at 1 (Figure 1B), 6 (Figure 1E) and 12 months (Figure 1H) of age. Quantitative analyses was performed to compare the protein densities for 1, 6 and 12 months of age revealed that GABA$_{B1}$ expression levels were similar between wild-type and APP/PS1 mice (Figure 1C,F,I).

Similar hippocampal expression of GABA$_B$ receptors in control and APP/PS1 mice

We next focused on the hippocampus, considered as one of the most vulnerable brain regions affected in AD (56, 57) and explored the laminar expression pattern of GABA$_B$ receptors. Using the histoblot expression pattern of GABA$_B$ receptors. Using the histoblot expression pattern of GABA$_B$ receptors. Using the histoblot expression pattern of GABA$_B$ receptors. Using the histoblot expression pattern of GABA$_B$ receptors. Using the histoblot expression pattern of GABA$_B$ receptors. Using the histoblot expression pattern of GABA$_B$ receptors. Using the histoblot expression pattern of GABA$_B$ receptors. Using the histoblot expression pattern of GABA$_B$ receptors. Using the histoblot expression pattern of GABA$_B$ receptors.
mice, at 1, 6 and 12 months of age, GABA\textsubscript{B1} expression was moderate to strong, with the strata oriens and radiatum showing the lowest and the stratum lacunosum-moleculare showing the highest expression levels (Figure 2A,D,G). The CA3 region of wild-type mice exhibited higher GABA\textsubscript{B1} expression level than the CA1 region, with the stratum lacunosum-moleculare showing the highest expression level within the hippocampus, followed by the stratum radiatum (Figure 2A,D,G). The stratum lucidum showed weak expression level throughout (Figure 2A,D,G). In the dentate gyrus of wild type, GABA\textsubscript{B1} immunostaining was weak in the hilus and moderate in the molecular layer (Figure 2A,D,G). The stratum pyramidale of the CA1 and CA3 regions and the granule cell layer of the dentate gyrus showed the weakest GABA\textsubscript{B1} expression (Figure 2A,D,G). The expression pattern of wild-type mice was similar to that of APP/PS1 mice at 1 (Figure 2B), 6 (Figure 2E) and 12 months (Figure 2H) of age. Quantitative analyses of protein densities performed at the three ages confirmed that the expression levels of GABA\textsubscript{B1} in all subfields and dendritic layers analysed was unchanged between wild-type and APP/PS1 mice (Figure 2C,F,I).

To corroborate the above histoblot data, we further evaluated the expression of GABA\textsubscript{B1} in the hippocampus using western blot. The mouse pan anti-GABA\textsubscript{B1} subunit antibody revealed two immunoreactive products with estimated molecular masses of 130 and 100 kDa (Figure 2J), corresponding to the GABA\textsubscript{B1a} and to the GABA\textsubscript{B1b} proteins, respectively (25). Since GABA\textsubscript{B1a} and GABA\textsubscript{B1b} subunits show a differential compartmentalization in hippocampal neurons (53), both subunits were quantified. The levels of GABA\textsubscript{B1a} and GABA\textsubscript{B1b} subunit proteins were unchanged in APP/PS1 compared to age-matched wild-type mice (Figure 2J,K).
a control, to validate the specificity of the immunoreactions, GABA\textsubscript{B1} knockout (KO) mice (18) were used. The pattern of immunolabeling for GABA\textsubscript{B1} observed in the brain of wild-type mice using western blots and histoblots was completely missing in that of the corresponding GABA\textsubscript{B1} knockout mice (GABA\textsubscript{B1} -/- brains) (Figure 2L,M), thus demonstrating the specificity of the antibody.

### Similar cellular distribution of GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors in control and APP/PS1 mice

Given the similar laminar expression of GABA\textsubscript{B1} in the hippocampus at the three ages analysed, we next sought to investigate the cellular distribution of the receptor at 12 months of age, using light microscopy immunohistochemical techniques. Both in wild-type and APP/PS1 mice,
immunoreactivity for GABA_B1 in the CA1 and CA3 regions was the highest in the stratum lacunosum-moleculare and weaker in the strata oriens and radiatum (Figure 3A-H). In the dentate gyrus, immunoreactivity for GABA_B1 was strongest in the molecular layer and weakest in the hilus (Figure 3A-H). In the stratum pyramidale of the CA1 and CA3 regions and the granule cell layer of the dentate gyrus immunoreactivity for GABA_B1 was weakest in the hippocampus, but again very similar between wild-type and APP/PS1 mice (Figure 3C-H). At the cellular level, immunoreactivity for GABA_B1 was observed in somata of CA1 and CA3 pyramidal cells and granule cells in the dentate gyrus, as well as in somata of some interneurons scattered throughout the hippocampus (Figure 3C-H). The accumulation of Aβ was very high throughout the hippocampus and particularly high in the stratum lacunosum-moleculare of the CA1 region and all layers of the dentate gyrus compared to age-matched wild-type mice (Figure 3I,J). Regardless of this massive accumulation of Aβ, the cellular distributions for GABA_B1 were very similar in wild type compared to APP/PS1 mice.

Altered distribution of GABA_B1 at the plasma membrane of 6 months old APP/PS1 mice

To explore how GABA_B1 receptors are organized along the plasma membrane of pyramidal cells, as well as their possible alteration in the hippocampus of APP/PS1 mice, the subcellular localization of the receptors was investigated in the CA1 region of hippocampal sections obtained from 1, 6 and 12 months of age wild-type and APP/PS1 mice. We performed highly-sensitive SDS-FRL labeling for GABA_B1 (33) to accurately visualize the two-dimensional distribution and precisely calculate the membrane surface densities of this subunit. Consistent with previous pre-embedding immunogold labelling studies (27, 32), GABA_B1 was detected at high densities on the postsynaptic membrane of the entire somato-dendritic compartment of CA1 principal cells (Figures 4–7).

We initially performed the ultrastructural analysis at 1 month of age (Figure 4A-G), when no sign of pathology was observed in the hippocampus of APP/PS1 mice. Both in wild-type and APP/PS1 mice, immunoparticles for GABA_B1 were detected in dendritic spines, dendritic shafts and somata (Figure 4A-I). In all neuronal compartments of CA1 pyramidal cells, immunoparticles for GABA_B1 were mostly found forming clusters, defined as an aggregation of more than three gold particles and less frequently scattered or isolated single gold particle (Figure 4A-I). The subcellular distribution pattern and intensity of GABA_B1 labeling observed in wild type at 1 month of age (Figure 4A-E) was similar to that found in APP/PS1 at the same age (Figure 4F-I). Virtually no labeling was observed on the E-face or on cross-fractures (Figure 4A-I). Next, we performed a quantitative comparison of the GABA_B1 densities in 11 different somato-dendritic compartments in the CA1 region (Figure 4J). Our data revealed a similar graded increase in the density of GABA_B1 immunoparticles from the soma to the dendritic spines, but more importantly demonstrated similar GABA_B1 densities along CA1 pyramidal cells in wild-type and APP/PS1 mice (Figure 4J). Altogether, our data show that the membrane surface localization of GABA_B1 did not change in 1 month old APP/PS1 mice compared to wild type controls.

Next, we performed the ultrastructural analysis at 6 months of age (Figure 5A-H), when Aβ deposition in APP/PS1 mice is accumulating in the hippocampus. In wild-type and APP/PS1 mice, immunoparticles for GABA_B1 were detected in dendritic spines, dendritic shafts and somata, most frequently forming clusters and less frequently showing a scattered isolated distribution (Figure 5A-H). The subcellular distribution of GABA_B1 immunogold labeling observed at 6 months of age was similar in wild-type and APP/PS1 mice (Figure 5A-H). Virtually no labeling was observed on the E-faces or on cross-fractures (Figure 5A-H). Quantitative comparison of the GABA_B1 densities along the membrane surface of CA1 pyramidal neurons revealed two main findings: 1) a graded increase in the density of GABA_B1 immunoparticles from the soma to the dendritic spines, and 2) similar GABA_B1 densities along CA1 pyramidal cells in wild-type and APP/PS1 mice, with exception of the stratum lacunosum-moleculare, where the GABA_B1 density varied substantially between wild-type and APP/PS1 mice (Figure 5I). Indeed, our analysis demonstrated the labeling intensity was significantly reduced...
in oblique dendrites (oDen) and spines in APP/PS1 mice (oDen = 28.83 ± 3.45 immunoparticles/μm², n = 16 dendrites; s = 49.76 ± 8.79 immunoparticles/μm², n = 21 spines) compared to age-match wild-type mice (oDen = 64.34 ± 4.86 immunoparticles/μm², n = 16 dendrites; s = 101.58 ± 13.93 immunoparticles/μm², n = 21 spines) (Two-way ANOVA test and Bonferroni post hoc test, *P < 0.05; ****P < 0.0001) (Figure 5I). Altogether, our data at 6 months of age shows that the plasma membrane localization of GABA<sub>B</sub> in APP/PS1 is selectively altered in distal dendrites and spines located in the stratum lacunosum-moleculare.

**Reduction of GABA<sub>B</sub>, in the plasma membrane of 12 months old APP/PS1 mice**

We further investigated the membrane localization of GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors in APP/PS1 mice at 12 months of age, when Aβ deposition is large and cognitive deficits and synapse loss are severe (Figure 6A-N). Immunoparticles for GABA<sub>B</sub> were detected along the membrane surface of CA1 pyramidal cells in dendritic compartments and cell bodies in wild-type and APP/PS1 mice (Figure 6A-F). In all strata and neuronal compartments of CA1 pyramidal cells, most immunoparticles for GABA<sub>B</sub> were found in clusters, with three or more particles and less frequently scattered single gold particles.
No labeling was observed on the E-face or on cross-fractures (Figure 6A-F). In APP/PS1 mice, GABA$_B_1$ immunoparticles were observed in all strata of the CA1 region, but their distribution pattern changed significantly. Thus, both fewer immunoparticles and fewer clusters were detected along the membrane surface of CA1 pyramidal cells (Figure 6G-N).

Next, we performed a quantitative comparison of the GABA$_B_1$ densities in somato-dendritic compartments of CA1 pyramidal cells (Figure 7A). This analysis revealed a significant decrease between wild-type and APP/PS1 mice in all strata analyzed (Figure 7A). Altogether, our data shows that the membrane surface localization of GABA$_B_1$ was reduced significantly in 12-month-old APP/PS1 mice.
Finally, to determine how the reduction in GABA B1 density is taking place at the membrane surface of CA1 pyramidal cells in 12-month-old APP/PS1 mice, we analyzed clusters of GABA B1 immunoparticles in dendritic shafts and spines along the membrane surface in the different hippocampal layers. We also detected scattered immunoparticles outside the clusters. The density gradient of membrane surface GABA B1 immunoparticles in all strata of the CA1 region was analyzed in all neuronal compartments using the SDS-FRL technique and the GlutamimO method. We found that the density in the stratum oriens (4.27 ± 0.08 immunoparticles/μm²) and the stratum radiatum (6.37 ± 0.08 immunoparticles/μm²) was significantly different between wild-type and APP/PS1 mice (Two-way ANOVA test and Bonferroni post hoc test, *P < 0.05; ****P < 0.0001). Error bars indicate SEM. Abbreviations: stratum oriens (SO), stratum pyramidale (SP), stratum radiatum (SR), stratum lacunosum-moleculare (SLM), aDen, apical dendrite; oDen, oblique dendrite; s, spine.
Figure 6. The density of plasma membrane of GABA_B1 is reduced in the hippocampus of APP/PS1 mice at 12 months. Electron micrographs of the CA1 region showing immunoparticles for GABA_B1 along the membrane surface of pyramidal cells, as detected using the SDS-FRL technique. A-F. In wild type, clusters of GABA_B1 immunoparticles (blue ellipses/circles) associated with the P-face were detected in dendritic shafts, illustrated here for oblique dendrites (oDen) and dendritic spines (s) of pyramidal cells in all strata of the CA1 region. Lower density of immunoparticles for GABA_B1 was also detected scattered (purple arrows) outside the clusters. G-N. In APP/PS1, surface GABA_B1 immunoparticles were detected in the P-face of all compartments of CA1 pyramidal cells. However, GABA_B1 immunoparticles were detected at lower frequency forming clusters (blue ellipses/circles), being showing a scattered distribution (purple arrows). Fractured spine necks and other cross-fractures are indicated with asterisks (*). The E-face is free of any immunolabeling. Scale bars: A-N, 0.2 μm.
of dendrites present in each EM picture analyzed or dendritic alterations induced by AD, we performed this analysis in a similar total area (140.00 µm²) of oDen. In the proximal part of the stratum radiatum, we detected a total of 68 clusters with a range of 3 to 12 immunoparticles in APP/PS1 mice, while detected 298 clusters with a range of 3 to 29 immunoparticles for GABA B1 in wild-type mice (Figure 7B). In the distal part of the stratum radiatum, we detected a total of 57 clusters with a range of 3 to 12 immunoparticles in APP/PS1 mice, while detected 204 clusters with a range of 3 to 29 immunoparticles for GABA B1 in wild-type mice (Figure 7B). Similarly, in the stratum lacunosum-moleculare, we detected a total of 60 clusters with a range of 3 to 13 immunoparticles in APP/PS1 mice, while we detected 234 clusters with a range of 3 to 37 immunoparticles for GABA B1 in wild-type mice (Figure 7B). Altogether, the analysis showed that the number and the size of clusters of GABA B1 immunoparticles were reduced in the two dendritic layers in the APP/PS1 mice.

**Reduction in the density of presynaptic GABA B1 in 12-month-old APP/PS1 mice**

Immunoparticles for GABA B1 were not only confined to somato-dendritic domains of CA1 pyramidal cells, but also present in axon terminals, as previously reported (27, 32). Thus, we further investigated whether the localization of GABA B1 at presynaptic sites is altered in the hippocampus of APP/PS1 mice, paralleled to the decrease found in somato-dendritic compartments at 12 months of age. We performed SDS-FRL labeling for GABA B1 to unravel its membrane surface densities in the strata radiatum and lacunosum-moleculare of the CA1 region. In wild-type...
animals, immunoparticles for GABA_{B1} were detected within the active zone of axon terminals, identified by the concave shape of the P-face and the accumulation of IMPs (23) and along the extrasynaptic site of axon terminals (ax), forming clusters (blue ellipses/ circles) and also detected scattered (purple arrows) outside the clusters. D,E. In APP/PS1, fewer immunoparticles for GABA_{B1}, forming clusters (blue ellipses/circles) or scattered (purple arrows), were detected within the active zone (az, purple overlay) and along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane of axon terminals (ax). Cross-fractures are indicated with asterisks (*). F. Densities of immunoparticles for GABA_{B1} in presynaptic compartments in the sr and slm in wild-type and APP/PS1 mice. No differences were detected in densities of GABA_{B1} immunoparticles in the sr (WT: AZ = 103.31 ± 20.16 immunoparticles/µm²; Extra = 42.97 ± 4.47 immunoparticles/µm²; APP: AZ = 120.95 ± 24.58 immunoparticles/µm²; Extra = 28.08 ± 4.96 immunoparticles/µm²), but significant differences were detected in the slm (WT: AZ = 109.03 ± 21.62 immunoparticles/µm²; Extra = 60.79 ± 6.11 immunoparticles/µm²; APP: AZ = 173.2 ± 4.99 immunoparticles/µm²; Extra = 24.64 ± 5.17 immunoparticles/µm²) (Two-way ANOVA test and Bonferroni post hoc test, *P < 0.05). Error bars indicate SEM. Scale bars: A-E, 0.2 µm.
(i) AMPA receptors, known to localize in excitatory synapses in an activity-dependent manner (Supplementary Figure S1); (ii) the GluN1 subunit of NMDA receptors, which are not incorporated in an activity-dependent manner into synapses (Supplementary Figure S2); (iii) the GIRK2 subunit of G protein-gated inwardly rectifying potassium channels, which are known effector ion channels of GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors (Supplementary Figure S2); and (iv) the synaptosomal-associated protein SNAP-25, which is responsible for the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent exocytosis of neurotransmitters, thus playing a key role to normal functioning of brain (Supplementary Figure S2). Thus, using the SDS-FRL method, we determined the densities of the four proteins receptors at synapses of the stratum lacunosum-moleculare (the subfield in which we detected most alteration in the localization of GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors) in the CA1 region of hippocampal sections. In APP/PS1 mice, compared to control mice, lower density of immunoparticles for AMPA (Supplementary Figure S1) and NMDA (Supplementary Figure S2) were detected in excitatory synapses of dendritic spines, fewer immunoparticles for GIRK2 (Supplementary Figure S2) were detected in dendritic shafts and spines of pyramidal cells and fewer immunoparticles for SNAP-25 (Supplementary Figure S2) were detected in axon terminals establishing excitatory synapses with dendritic spines. These reductions, which are in agreement with the current literature, validate the effect described for GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors.

**Increase of GABA<sub>B1</sub> in the cytoplasm of CA1 pyramidal cells and axon terminals in APP/PS1 mice**

We next sought to investigate why the localization of GABA<sub>B1</sub> in the membrane surface of CA1 pyramidal cells and axon terminals is significantly reduced in the hippocampus of APP/PS1 at 12 months of age when the total amount of protein remains invariable, as shown by histoblots and western blots. To explore possible receptor internalization and accumulation in intracellular domains of CA1 pyramidal cells and axon terminals of APP/PS1 mice compared to age-matched wild-type controls, the subcellular localization of GABA<sub>B1</sub> was investigated at 1, 6 and 12 months of age. We used the pre-embedding immunogold technique and quantitative analysis on tissue blocks taken from the proximal part of the stratum radiatum and the stratum lacunosum-moleculare of the CA1 area.

At 1 month of age, immunoreactivity for GABA<sub>B1</sub> was primarily found along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane of dendritic spines and shafts of CA1 pyramidal cells and also associated at intracellular sites in dendritic spines (Figure 9A,B). Quantitative analysis performed in the strata radiatum (sr) and lacunosum-moleculare (slm) of the CA1 area showed similar proportion of postsynaptic GABA<sub>B1</sub> immunoparticles in the plasma membrane vs. intracellular in APP/PS1 (Plasma membrane: 65.52% in sr and 65.11% in slm; Intracellular: 34.48% in sr and 34.89% in slm) and age-matched wild-type mice (Plasma membrane: 64.04% in sr and 64.68% in slm; Intracellular: 35.96% in sr and 35.32% in slm) (Figure 9C).

At 6 months of age, immunoreactivity for GABA<sub>B1</sub> followed similar distribution than at 1 month, being also observed along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane and intracellular sites of dendritic spines and shafts of CA1 pyramidal cells (Figure 9D-E). However, quantitative analysis showed some differences in the plasma membrane vs. intracellular between the stratum radiatum and the stratum lacunosum-moleculare of the CA1 area. Thus, in the stratum radiatum we did not detect differences in the total amount of postsynaptic immunoparticles between wild-type and APP/PS1 mice (Plasma membrane: 63.45% in wild type and 63.46% in APP/PS1; Intracellular: 36.55% in wild type and 36.54% in APP/PS1) (Figure 9F). Consistent with this data, similar percentage of immunoparticles were observed in dendritic spines and shafts between wild-type and APP/PS1 mice (Figure 9F). However, we detected changes in the stratum lacunosum-moleculare in the plasma membrane vs. intracellular among wild-type and APP/PS1 mice (Plasma membrane: 62.06% in wild type and 41.05% in APP/PS1; Intracellular: 37.94% in wild type and 58.05% in APP/PS1) (Figure 9F).

At 12 months of age, immunoreactivity for GABA<sub>B1</sub> was again localized along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane of dendritic spines and shafts, as well as associated at intracellular sites in dendritic spines (Figure 9G-I). Quantitative analysis showed clear differences in the plasma membrane vs. intracellular both in the stratum radiatum (Plasma membrane: 58.81% in wild type and 32.60% in APP/PS1; Intracellular: 41.19% in wild type and 67.40% in APP/PS1) and the stratum lacunosum-moleculare (Plasma membrane: 54.23% in wild type and 15.19% in APP/PS1; Intracellular: 45.77% in wild type and 84.81% in APP/PS1) of the CA1 area. These differences in plasma membrane vs. intracellular were detected in dendritic shafts and spines in the two strata (Figure 9G-I). To clarify the intracellular compartments on which GABA<sub>B1</sub> is accumulated, we performed double labeling immunofluorescence with different markers (Rab4, EEA1, LAMP1 or CHOP). We found no differences in the co-localization pattern in the APP/PS1 mice compared to age-matched wild-type controls with the makers employed (Supplementary Figure S4).

Presynaptically, immunoreactivity for GABA<sub>B1</sub> was occasionally detected in axon terminals establishing asymmetrical synapses with dendritic spines (Figure 9). At 1 month of age, GABA<sub>B1</sub> presynaptic labeling was similar between wild-type and APP/PS1 animals (Figure 9C). At 6 months of age, we detected changes in the plasma membrane vs. intracellular among wild type and APP/PS1 in the stratum radiatum (Plasma membrane: 57.57% in wild type and 46.30% in APP/PS1; Intracellular: 42.42% in wild type and 53.70% in APP/PS1) and stratum lacunosum-moleculare (Plasma membrane: 62.12% in wild type and 39.25% in APP/PS1; Intracellular: 37.88% in wild type and 60.76% in APP/PS1) (Figure 9E). These differences were more pronounced at 12 months of age, detecting changes in the stratum radiatum (Plasma membrane: 60.78% in wild type and 33.33% in APP/PS1;
Intracellular: 39.22% in wild type and 66.67% in APP/PS1; and stratum lacunosum-moleculare (Plasma membrane: 65.22% in wild type and 31.25% in APP/PS1; Intracellular: 34.78% in wild type and 68.75% in APP/PS1) (Figure 9I).

Finally, to validate the described reduction of post and presynaptic GABA_B receptors in the hippocampus of APP/PS1 mice, we determined their expression levels together with other markers in human tissue. Thus, using
immunoblots we assessed the expression levels of GABA\textsubscript{B1}, GluA1, GluA2 and GluN1 in tissue lysates of the hippocampus in control and AD cases (Supplementary Figure S3A). Quantification of all these immunoreactive bands revealed that GABA\textsubscript{B1}, GluA1, GluA2 and GluN1 proteins were significantly reduced in the hippocampus of AD subjects compared to controls (Supplementary Figure S3B).

**DISCUSSION**

To understand the mechanisms that are operative in AD, it is important to appreciate that alteration of neurotransmitter receptors must be a common denominator of dendritic spines loss and synaptic impairment, two critical events in the pathophysiology of this neurodegenerative disease (42, 48, 54). We hypothesize that neurons experiencing AD neuropathology may selectively regulate neurotransmitter receptors associated with excitatory synapses, thus contributing to neuronal damage and to the cognitive decline associated with AD. However, the receptors and ion channels involved in the synaptic deficits present in pathological conditions are still poorly known. Identification of the molecular mechanisms at central synapses affected by AD may allow developing more effective therapeutic approaches for AD, for which no curative treatment is yet available. Here, we have demonstrated for the first time a compartment- and age-dependent reduction of surface GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors and a parallel intracellular increase of these receptors in CA1 pyramidal cells with progressive neuropathology in an AD mouse model, the APP/PS1 model. We additionally show that the decrease of GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors also affects in an age-dependent manner axon terminals contacting CA1 pyramidal cells. The significant reduction in GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors may contribute to the pathology and memory impairment associated with AD.

**Unchanged expression of hippocampal GABA\textsubscript{B} receptor protein in Alzheimer’s disease**

Dysfunction of the hippocampus largely contributes to the memory deficits that characterise AD (31, 44). In contrast to the large interest focused on the potential role of glutamate receptors in AD (11, 39), less information is available about the involvement of GABA receptors. In particular, GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors also play a role in learning and memory (19). Recent studies reported that GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors selectively co-purify with APP (47) and this macromolecular complex links presynaptic GABA\textsubscript{B} receptor trafficking to Aβ formation (9). In addition, GABA\textsubscript{B1a} has been identified as a receptor for secreted APP, which would regulate the function of the receptor isoform to modulate synaptic transmission and plasticity (45). For these reasons, our work adopted transgenic mice overexpressing mutant familial AD genes [amyloid-β protein precursor (AβPP), presenilin-1 (PS1), and PS2], considered one of the most relevant animal models of AD. These animals show Aβ deposition by 4 months with a progressive increase in senile plaque number up to 12 months and cognitive impairments (14, 15).

In the present study, we demonstrated with different approaches that total protein levels of GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors were not significantly changed in APP/PS1 mice with the progressive increase of Aβ levels at different ages in the hippocampus. This does not seem to be the case for other components of the GABA receptor systems, as GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors are differentially affected in AD in a region- and subunit-dependent manner. Thus, some GABA\textsubscript{A} subunits showed decrease expression in the human hippocampus, although some others remain unchanged (28). In contrast, our data also show reduction of GABA\textsubscript{B1} protein level in the hippocampus of AD patients, consistent with the reported downregulation in the gene expression of GABA\textsubscript{B1} in AD (43). This discrepancy could be due to posttranscriptional changes in the control of gene expression at the RNA level, but more likely could be the result of differences in brain organisation between humans and mice, because the APP/PS1 model does not develop neurofibrillary tangles, other typical pathologic alterations observed in AD human patients, or because the stages of AD in the patients were more severe than the AD neuropathology suffered by mice at 12 months of age.

Ultrastructural studies in the hippocampus have shown that GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors are enriched in the vicinity of excitatory synapses, supporting the idea that glutamate receptors can influence GABA\textsubscript{B} receptor signaling (27, 32, 53). Indeed, NMDA receptor activation can induce phosphorylation on the GABA\textsubscript{B1} and GABA\textsubscript{B2} subunits to promote endocytosis (17, 51). GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors can also influence the NMDA-mediated excitatory postsynaptic potentials in the CA1 region of the hippocampus (38). An autoradiography study of GABA\textsubscript{B} receptor expression in the human hippocampus of AD patients indicated fewer GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors in the molecular layer of the dentate gyrus, and the strata pyramidale and lacunosum-moleculare of the CA1 region (7). Our current knowledge of the complexity of receptor organization in central neurons demands more exacting techniques that allow precise identification and localization of individual receptor subtypes to unravel their distribution in AD. Thus, the SDS-FRL and pre-embedding immunogold techniques were the methods of choice to decipher the density of GABA\textsubscript{B1} in hippocampal neurons.

**Plasma membrane reduction of GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors in the hippocampus of Alzheimer’s disease**

One of the major research aims in this work has been to examine the possibility that, despite the unchanged protein levels in the APP/PS1 model of AD, GABA\textsubscript{B} receptors may still undergo changes in their localization along the plasma membrane vs. cytoplasmic sites with pathology progression. For that purpose we concentrated in the CA1 region of the hippocampus using the SDS-FRL technology, proved to be an excellent tool to study the high-resolution subcellular localization of surface-localized proteins (50). Using this technique, we were able
to fully map the two-dimensional distribution of GABA$_B$ receptors obtaining very accurate data about their density in different compartments of CA1 pyramidal cells in brains displaying AD pathology at a level of detail never previously attained.

Our analysis revealing that GABA$_B$ receptors are differentially localized to somato-dendritic compartments of hippocampal principal cells, both in wild type and APP/PS1 at the three ages studied is in line with results of previous immunoEM investigations performed in young adult animals (12, 27, 32, 53). More importantly, the principal and exciting finding from our results is the reduction in the density of plasma membrane-targeted GABA$_B$ receptors in an age- and compartment-dependent manner. Thus, we detected significant differences in the plasma membrane distribution of GABA$_B$ and subsequent increase at cytoplasmic sites only in the stratum lacunosum-moleculare, but found no evidence for changes in all other pyramidal cell compartments, at 6 months of age. This observation is consistent with the evidence that density of binding sites for GABA$_B$ receptors are decreased in stratum lacunosum-moleculare of the CA1 region in human hippocampus with AD (7). Since this hippocampal region is the common location for large accumulation of Aβ in AD (22), the decrease in density of surface GABA$_B$ in the stratum lacunosum-moleculare of the CA1 region may reflect changes due to entorhinal cortical pathology. Previous work demonstrated that AD pathology begins in the entorhinal cortex and spreads through synaptic connections to the hippocampus (31) and the resulting hippocampal synaptic weakening induced in the entorhinal cortex is a consequence of the pathologic increase of Aβ and p-tau (49).

At more advanced stages of AD pathology APP/PS1 mice show high-density of Aβ and severe cognitive impairments develop (2, 15). Our analysis performed at 12 months of age revealed a significant reduction in the membrane surface distribution of GABA$_B$ in all neuronal compartments. The possibility that these immunohistochemical findings in APP/PS1 are due to technical issues or storage conditions is unlikely because wild-type and APP/PS1 mice were always matched from same litters and although dystrophic dendrites were observed in these transgenic mice (2) our investigation was performed in the Aβ plaque-free regions from these animals. Following the finding on the reduction of GABA$_B$ along the membrane surface of CA1 pyramidal cells in APP/PS1 mice, we investigated potential internalization at cytoplasmic sites. Immunoelectron microscopy and quantitative analysis showed that there is an accumulation of immunoparticles for GABA$_B$ at cytoplasmic sites. Given that the total amount of GABA$_B$ protein does not change between wild-type and APP/PS1 mice, our data suggests that the internalized pool of GABA$_B$ receptors was not redirected to subsequent lysosomal degradation in AD, in contrast to the activity-dependent degradation of GABA$_B$ receptors observed in hippocampal and cortical neurons (17, 51). Similar plasma membrane-cytoplasmic redistribution of GABA$_B$ receptors has been reported in GABAergic neurons of the VTA in methamphetamine-injected mice (39).

An additional, interesting finding of the present study is that reduction of membrane surface GABA$_B$ in our model of AD was due to alteration in its clustering, with lower number of clusters and fewer immunoparticles per cluster. GABA$_B$ receptors form macromolecular signaling complexes with GIRK channels and Cav channels (40). Although no information is yet available about the alterations of these effector ion channels in AD, the decrease of GABA$_B$ receptors from the membrane surface of CA1 pyramidal cells, together with the decrease of GIRK2 channels we detected in the same compartments, can produce alteration in GABA$_B$-mediated GIRK currents (39) and this could involve a change in G protein-coupling efficiency with effector ion channels (29) or simply that fewer receptors can couple to channels. Altogether, this alteration might be enough to reduce GIRK and/or Cav channel conductance in CA1 pyramidal neurons, thus playing a potential role in the hippocampal activity dysfunction observed in AD, although this needs to be confirmed with electrophysiology.

On the presynaptic side, GABA$_B$ receptors mediate slow and prolonged synaptic inhibition by activating Cav channels, thus modulating the release of neurotransmitters (3). In the hippocampus, synaptically released GABA can inhibit excitatory neurotransmission at the Schaffer collateral synapses in CA1 acting through presynaptic GABA$_B$ receptors (24). In this study we observed immunoparticles for GABA$_B$ along the extrasynaptic membrane and the active zone or presynaptic membrane specialization of axon terminals in different layers of the CA1 region, in line with previous reports using different ultrastructural approaches (27, 53). Similarly to the decrease of postsynaptic GABA$_B$ receptors, we detected a reduction of presynaptic GABA$_B$ in APP/PS1 mice of 12 months and this reduction was more pronounced in the stratum lacunosum-moleculare. This data are in agreement with a recent study reporting that the increase in Aβ production is linked to dysfunctional axonal trafficking and reduced GABA$_B$ receptor expression in the APP/PS1 mice (9) and also consistent with the study showing that secreted APP binds to GABA$_B$ (45), an isoform preferentially localized at presynaptic sites (53), to supress synaptic vesicle release and thus modulating synaptic transmission and plasticity. One way to reduce or suppress the release of neurotransmitter from synaptic vesicles is by decreasing the number of involved receptors in the presynaptic plasma membrane, consistent with the reduction of GABA$_B$ receptors we have reported at presynaptic sites.

CONCLUSIONS

Our work explored the pre and postsynaptic alteration of GABA$_B$ receptors in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells in a mouse model of AD, providing new insights into neurotransmitter receptor redistribution at different stages of AD pathology. This work shows a severe reduction of membrane surface GABA$_B$ receptors and subsequent accumulation at
cytoplasmic sites only at those ages and in those neuronal compartments suffering a decrease from the plasma membrane. The mechanisms behind these changes in subcellular distribution and their functional implications are still not known. However, the present study and future data in human tissue are important to explore how GABA_B receptors are involved in the altered neuronal excitability associated with AD, essential information for the development of therapeutic strategies for disease improvement. One way of therapeutic intervention would be stabilizing of GABA_B receptors in the neuronal surface, controlled by heteromerization with other proteins, and by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation events, and regulated by changes in glutamatergic excitatory activity.
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SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher’s web site:

Figure S1. Reduced density of synaptic AMPA receptors in dendritic spines of APP/PS1 mice at 12 months. (A-F) Electron micrographs of the hippocampus showing immunoparticles for pan-AMPA at excitatory synaptic sites of dendritic spines of pyramidal cells in the CA1 stratum lacunosum-moleculare, as detected using the SDS-FRL technique at 1, 6 and 12 months of age. Postsynaptic membrane specializations (IMP clusters, pseudo coloured in blue for wild type and in red for APP/PS1 to aid visualization) show strong immunoreactivity for pan-AMPA (10 nm gold particles) in the wild type, while they show weaker immunoreactivity in the APP/PS1. Scale bars: A–F, 200 nm. (G,H,I) Histogram showing the distribution of densities of gold particles that label AMPA receptors in SDS-FRL replicas of individual postsynaptic membrane specializations in wild type and APP/PS1 mice. Quantitative analysis showed no changes in the densities of AMPA immunoparticles in excitatory synapses in dendritic spines at 1 and 6 months of age (panels G and H). However, the analysis showed a significant reduction in the APP/PS1 mice (205.03 ± 16.88 immunoparticles/µm²) compared to age matched wild type (423.20 ± 20.33 immunoparticles/µm²); Kruskal–Wallis test, pairwise Mann–Whitney U test and Dunn's method, ***P < 0.001) at 12 months of age. Error bars indicate SEM.

Figure S2. Reduced density of synaptic markers in APP/PS1 mice at 12 months. (A-F) Electron micrographs of the hippocampus showing immunoparticles for the GluN1 subunit of the NMDA receptors, the GIRK2 subunit of GIRK channels and SNP-25 in the CA1 stratum lacunosum-moleculare, as detected using the SDS-FRL technique at 12 months of age. (A,D) Strong immunoreactivity for GluN1 (10 nm gold particles) in the wild type, while weaker immunoreactivity was detected in the APP/PS1. (G) Quantitative analysis showing a significant reduction of in the APP/PS1 mice (68.74 ± 11.15 immunoparticles/µm2) compared to age matched wild type (198.56 ± 15.24 immunoparticles/µm²; Kruskal–Wallis test, pairwise Mann–Whitney U test and Dunn’s method, ***p<0.0001). (B,E) Immunoparticles for GIRK2 were observed along the surface membrane of dendrites and spines. (H) Quantitative analysis showing that immunoreactivity for GIRK2 was significantly reduced in oblique dendrites (oDen) and spines in APP/PS1 mice (oDen = 92.74 ± 18.12 immunoparticles/µm², n = 10 dendrites; s = 128.00 ± 12.38 immunoparticles/µm², n = 9 spines) compared to age-match wild type mice oDen = 273.10 ± 25.92 immunoparticles/µm², n = 10.
dendrites; s = 461.92 ± 59.88 immunoparticles/μm²; n = 10 spines) (Two-way ANOVA test, *P < 0.05; ***P < 0.01).

(C,F) Immunoreactivity for SNAP-25 were detected along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane of axon terminals (at) facing dendritic spines (s). (I) Our quantitative analysis showed a significant reduction in the density of SNAP-25 in the APP/PS1 mice (30.73 ± 7.58 immunoparticles/μm²) compared to age matched wild type (mean = 134.02 ± 7.46 immunoparticles/μm²; t test using Holm-Sidak method, ***P < 0.001). Scale bars: A-F, 200 nm. Error bars indicate SEM.

Figure S3. Co-localization of GABA_B receptors with marker proteins in APP/PS1 mice at 12 months. (A-H) Immunofluorescence for GABA_B (green) and Rab4 (red), EEA1 (red), LAMP1 (red) or CHOP (red) in wild type and APP/PS1 mice at 12 months of age. The overlay between GABA_B and each marker protein can be seen in yellow (A3-G3, B3-H3). Co-localization between GABA_B and the different marker proteins was detected mainly in the somata of pyramidal cells in the stratum pyramidale, but no differences in the frequency of co-localization was observed in the APP/PS1 mice compared to age-matched controls. so, stratum oriens; sr, stratum radiatum. Scale bars: A-H, 20 μm.

Figure S4. Representative western blots of different molecules in the hippocampus from control and Alzheimer’s patients. (A) Crude membrane preparations were subjected to 7.5% SDS-PAGE, transferred on to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. They were reacted with an anti-pan GABA_B antibody, which recognised both GABA_B1a and GABA_B1b subunits with estimated molecular masses of 130 and 100 kDa, respectively. The antibodies to GluA1, GluA2 detected a single predominant band at 100 kDa and NMDA detected a single predominant band at 120 kDa. (B) The developed immunoblots were scanned and densitometric measurements were averaged together to compare the protein densities between controls and AD in the hippocampus. Quantification of GABA_B1, GluA1, GluA2 and GluN1 normalised to α-tubulin and expressed as pixel density showed a significant reduction in the amount of proteins when compared AD with controls. An antibody anti α-Tubulin served as an internal control to ensure comparable protein loading showed no significant difference among AD or control groups. Data are means ± SEM of represented cases. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

Figure S5. The density of CA1 pyramidal cells is not altered in APP/PS1 mice at 12 months. (A) Hippocampal sections from WT and APP/PS1 were stained with DAPI. (B) Quantification of the number of nuclei in the pyramidal cell layer of the CA1 region. We confirmed that the number of neurons is similar between WT and APP/PS1. Scale bars: A-B, 100 μm.