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Magnetite-functionalized horse dung humic acid (HDHA) has been successfully prepared by the coprecipitation method, and the as-prepared adsorbent (MHDHA) has been applied as an easy-handling adsorbent for toxic Pb(II) in artificial wastewater. The MHDHA was characterized by Fourier transform-infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), energy dispersive X-ray (EDX), and vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). The FT-IR study showed that the MHDHA had the characteristics peaks of HA and Fe-O stretching. The XRD analysis revealed that the MHDHA had the $2\theta$ characteristic for magnetite. The TEM image and EDX analysis exhibited that the MHDHA with an average size of $\sim 14$ nm was partially aggregated and contained (w/w) 9.89% carbon, 2.89% nitrogen, and 32.74% oxygen based on functional groups of HDHA. The stability improvement of MHDHA was showed by decreasing HDHA dissolved from 95% to less than 30% at pH 12 after magnetite functionalization. The post-adsorption handling improvement was evidenced by easy and quick retraction by an external magnet with a 62.95 emu/g magnetic strength value. The adsorption capacities were influenced by the pH and ionic strength, whilst the adsorption rates were well simulated by the Ho pseudo-second-order model. The removal uptake of Pb(II) ions increased when the initial concentration was increased and fitted well with the Langmuir isotherm model when the monolayer adsorption capacity was $2.78 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/g (equal to 57.64 mg/g). The value of Dubinin-Radushkevich adsorption energy ($E_{d,r}$) found in this study was 14.78 kJ/mol, which implied that ion exchange is the main mechanism involved in the adsorption process. The regeneration studies of MHDHA show that there was no significant change in composition, morphology, crystallinity, and functional group after five consecutive cycles of the adsorption-desorption process.

1. Introduction

The immoderate release of contaminants into the aquatic environment is a primary global concern. Heavy metal contaminants are a severe threat to the life and development of humankind [1]. Lead is among the most hazardous pollutants that can cause health issues in human beings because it exhibits high toxicity and potential mutagenic and carcinogenic effects [2]. Therefore, the elimination of such contaminants from water has attracted growing attention. Several methods have been developed such as adsorption, organic treatment, photocatalyst, coagulation, ion exchange, membrane separation, solvent extraction, evaporative recovery, reverse osmosis, and chemical precipitation [3, 4]. However, though membrane separation is highly efficient, it has low economic viability and high maintenance price for large-scale applications. Precipitation and organic treatment are cost affordable, but they cannot reduce the pollutant below the desirable limit and produce a high amount of sludge. Photocatalysis commonly faces photoetching issues and quick electron-hole pair recombination and aggregation catalyst ion problems [5]. Although the adsorption technology has the disadvantages of difficulties in treating and parsing the posttreatment waste, adsorption is amongst the broadly applied technology in water and wastewater remediation. This technology has been identified as the promising, efficient, and extensively used fundamental method in the wastewater remedy processes, specifically hinging on its simplicity, economic viability, technical feasibility, environmental safety, and social acceptance [3, 5].
Numerous candidates of heavy metal adsorbents have been reported to accommodate the properties mentioned above, i.e., fly ash-zeolite to adsorb Cu(II), Fe(III), Mn(II), and Zn(II) [6]; green biowaste as Pb(II) and Cd(II) adsorbents [7]; modified activated carbon (AC) for Cd(II) removal [8]; and modified humic acid (HA) for various heavy metal removal [9–18]. HA seems a promising candidate because it is cheap and highly available and has a high adsorption rate and capacity [19]. HA is reported to possibly bind with various toxic metals, organic compounds, and radionuclides because it has an abundant reactive site dominated by carboxylic and phenolic groups [20]. However, utilizing original HA for adsorbent was time-consuming and a costly process because it needs centrifugation or filtration step from water post adsorption [21]. Besides, at pH > 5, the original HA begins to dissolve in water. Therefore, the magnetite composite adsorbents have become a desirable material for separating the loaded pollutant. So, magnetite functionalized HA was started to investigate as adsorbents for removing different toxic ions in an aqueous solution. Some of these studies are adsorption for Cu(II), Cd(II), Pb(II), and Hg(II) [10]; Rhodamine B dyes [12]; methylene blue dyes [13, 14]; reductive Cr(VI) [15]; phenol [16]; As(V) [17]; and carbamazepine degradation [18]. Magnetite-functionalized HA has also claimed to have better stability and higher adsorption capacity and eliminates additional steps to recover the adsorbent after the adsorption process [10, 12–18].

Unfortunately, the functionalized HA in the reported study derives from peat soils [10, 12–18]. Whereas peat soil is not a renewable source for HA because its formation takes a long time and peat soil exploration leads to the release of greenhouse gases from stored carbon in peat [22–28], alternatively, much organic biomass considered as waste, such as chicken manure [29], organic biomass [30–33], cow dung [34, 35], and horse dung [36–38], is recently reported as a source of renewable HA. Organic waste biomass is commonly used as fertilizer, except for horse dung, due to its rough texture and dense properties [39]. In addition, the existence of horse dung has a lousy effect on the environment because it is often scattered, creates a rotten smell, and is responsible for the appearance of nuisance animals [40]. The utilization of organic waste biomass, particularly horse dung as a renewable HA source, will overcome environmental problems caused by organic waste biomass and sustain the contaminant handling through the adsorption process. Previous work on horse dung humic acid (HDHA) showed that the material has good removal uptake towards toxic heavy metal pollutants in water [36–38]. Therefore, it is fair to conduct further studies on the material. To our best knowledge, the incorporation of HDHA is still scarce. Hence, the purpose of the present study was to develop functionalized HDHA with enhanced stability, handling, and removal uptake towards toxic Pb(II) from artificial wastewater. In this study, the HDHA was incorporated with magnetite to produce magnetite-functionalized HDHA (MHDHA). Functionalization magnetite by peat soil HA has proven to enhance the adsorption handling, stability, and capacity; however, no document was found that investigated this for HA from the biological matrix as HDHA.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals. All chemicals, NaOH, HCl, HF, Pb(CH3COO)2, FeCl3, 6H2O, FeSO4·7H2O, NH3, Ba(OH)2, and Ca (CH3COO)2, were of analytical grade produced by Merck® without further purification.

2.2. Adsorbent Preparations. Horse dung humic acid (HDHA) was extracted from 1 to 3 months of age of 50 mesh dry horse dung powder by the alkaline method [41] in the ambient atmosphere. Half a kilogram of dry horse dung powder was soaked into 5 L NaOH 0.1 M, and the mixture was stirred for 24 h. The filtrate of the mixture was separated by 3000 rpm centrifugation for 10 min. The filtrate was slowly added with HCl 0.1 M until the pH is approximately 1.0 and aged for 12 h to form two layers. Crude HDHA (solid layer) was separated by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 15 min and dried at 50°C. The purification of crude HDHA was performed by soaking 10 g of dry crude HDHA powder into a 200 mL solution of 0.1 M HCl/0.1 M HF (1:3) in a polyethylene flask. The suspension was stirred for 24 h, and the solids were separated by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 15 min as purified HDHA. Similar procedures were also applied for the extraction and purification of HA from Sumatra’s peat soils as a comparison to HDHA.

The synthesis of magnetite-functionalized HDHA (MHDHA) was conducted by a coprecipitation procedure based on Liu et al. [10] by dissolving 3.05 g FeCl3·6H2O and 2.10 g FeSO4·7H2O into 25 mL distilled water and heated up to 90°C. Two solutions, 5 mL NH3 25% and 0.25 g purified HDHA, were dissolved in 50 mL of NaOH 0.1 M, which were added sequentially and rapidly. The mixture was stirred at 90°C for 1 h and then cooled to room temperature. After 24 h, the black solids of MHDHA were rinsed with distilled water to neutral pH and dried in a vacuum oven at 50°C. Also, the bare magnetite was prepared similarly without HDHA addition.

2.3. Characterizations. Characterizations of extracted HDHA were conducted by UV-Vis spectroscopy (Shimadzu UV-1700 PharmaSpec) to analyze the humification degree, the functional group analysis by FT-IR (Shimadzu Prestige 21), and the total acidity. The total acidity (sum of carboxylic and phenolic contents) of HDHA was determined by the barium indirect potentiometric titration method [41] by dissolving 50 mg of the sample into 20 mL Ba(OH)2 0.1 M. The suspension was shaken for 24 h. The filtrate was then separated from the solid titrated with standard HCl 0.5 M until the pH reached 8.4 (Vb). Simultaneously, the titration was also performed to a solution only containing 20 mL Ba(OH)2 as blank (Vb'). The total acidity (cmol/kg) was determined by [(Vb − Vb') × N × 105]/w, where Vb and Vb' were the sample and the blank titration volume (mL), respectively; N was the normality of HCl, and w was the weight of the adsorbent (mg). The carboxylic contents of HDHA were determined by dissolving 50 mg of the sample into 10 mL of Ca(CH3COO)2 0.5 M and 40 mL distilled water. The suspension was shaken for 24 h, and then, the filtrate was separated from the solid titrated with standard NaOH 0.1 M until the pH reached
9.8 (V_t). Simultaneously, the titration was also performed to a solution only containing 10 mL of Ca(CH_3COO)_2 0.5 M and 40 mL distilled water as blank (V_b). The total acidity (cmol/kg) was determined by \([ (V_t - V_b) \times N \times 10^3]/w\), where V_t and V_b were the samples and blank titration volume (mL), respectively and N was the normality of NaOH.

The functional group analysis and crystal analysis of MHDHA were performed by FT-IR and XRD (Shimadzu XRD-6000) using Cu Ka radiation in the 2θ range of 10°–70° with a scanning step length of 0.02°. The investigation of the size distribution, elemental analysis, and magnetic properties was conducted using TEM (JEOL JEM-1400), EDX (JSM-6510LA), and VSM (Oxford 1.2H magnetometer), respectively. In addition, the pH point of zero charged (pH_{pzc}) and stability of MHDHA in different pH were also evaluated with the following procedure: the pH_{pzc} of the MHDHA was determined by the solid addition method [42]. To a series of 50 mL conical flasks, 25 mL solution with various initial pH (pH_i) from 2.0 to 12.0 was transferred. pH_i of the solution was adjusted by adding 0.1 mol/L HNO_3 or 0.1 mol/L NaOH. About 20.0 mg of MHDHA was added to each flask and the mixture was agitated at 150 rpm. After 48 h, the final pH (pH_f) of the solution was measured. The pH_{pzc} was obtained by interpolating the data from the difference between pH_i and pH_f (ΔpH) vs. pH_i. The stability of MHDHA in solid form was also evaluated at the different temperature ranging 30–600°C by thermogravimetry (TGA) instruments (DTG-60 Shimadzu) and different pH with the procedure as follows: one hundred mg of MHDHA and HDHA was exposed to solutions with medium pH ranging from 2.0 to 12.0 was transferred. After stirring for 24 h, the solid was separated from the solution by magnetic attraction and weighed carefully.

2.4. Effect of pH and Ionic Strength. The effect of pH was studied by preparing a series of 25 mL of Pb(II) 25 mg/L that the pH was adjusted at 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, and 8.0 by NaOH 0.01 M or HNO_3 0.01 M addition. Into each prepared Pb(II) solution, 20 mg of the adsorbent was interacted and shaken for 2 h. After the sorbent was separated, the remaining Pb(II) in solutions was quantified with AAS. The sorbed Pb(II) was considered as the difference between the initial and final amounts of Pb(II) in the solution. The optimum pH was determined by the most adsorbed Pb(II) to the sorbent. Pb(II) analysis in the solution was conducted by atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) (PerkinElmer 3110).

The effect of ionic strength on Pb(II) adsorption was conducted by preparing a 5 series of 25 mL of Pb(II) 25 mg/L at optimum pH. Then, NaCl salt was added to each solution so that the final concentrations of NaCl were 0.005, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.5 M. After 120 min stirring, the mixture was filtered and the filtrate was analyzed with AAS to obtain the adsorbed Pb(II).

2.5. Isotherm and Kinetics Sorption Experiment. The isotherm adsorption experiment was performed by contacting 20 mg sorbent with 25 mL of artificial wastewater which has various Pb(II) concentrations (20, 40, 50, 60, 80, 100, 150, 200, and 400 mg/L) for 180 min. The kinetics adsorption experiment was conducted by contacting 20 mg of sorbent with 25 mL artificial wastewater containing 25 mg/L Pb(II) for 5 min. A similar procedure was conducted with variations in contact time: 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 70, 90, 120, and 180 min. An external magnet was used to attract MHDHA after the adsorption process. The residual Pb(II) in the solution was quantified by AAS.

2.6. Regeneration Studies. The reusability of MHDHA was checked by performing the five cycles of the adsorption-desorption process. The desorption of Pb(II) was performed using HNO_3 0.1 M as a desorbing agent. The 50 mL of Pb(II) 50 mg/L was shaken together with 50 mg MHDHA for 120 min at 298 K. The Pb(II)-loaded MHDHA adsorbent was kept in the 100 mL conical flask (shaken for 120 min) with the desorbing agent (50 mL). The HDHA adsorbent was separated by an external magnet retraction, washed with deionized water, and again repeated for five cycles of the adsorption-desorption process. Metal recovery (%) was considered by the following equation [8]:

\[
\text{Metal recovery(%) = } \frac{\text{metal ion desorbed}}{\text{metal ion adsorbed}} \times 100.
\]

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of HDHA. The successful HDHA extraction was indicated from high peaks at 250–300 nm and slopes with increasing wavelength with no specific peaks (Figure 1(a)). The smooth absorbance that decreases monotonously with the increasing wavelength between 250 and 800 nm of HDHA spectra is a characteristic spectrum of HA [43]. The steeper the slope indicates a high degree of humification [44]. There are three important regions to reveal the molecular properties of HA: at 280 nm, this indicates the transformation of lignin and quinone into HA, at 460–480 nm, this indicates organic material at the beginning of humification, and at 600–670 nm, this is an indication of a strongly humified material with a high degree of aromatic groups [45]. Then, based on the \(E_2/E_6\) (absorbance at 280 nm/664 nm; \(A_{280}/A_{664}\)), \(E_2/E_4\) (\(A_{280}/A_{372}\)), and \(E_4/E_6\) (\(A_{472}/A_{664}\)) ratios, the degree of humification can be determined. The \(E_2/E_4\) ratio reflects the relation between lignin and other materials at the beginning of the humification process; the \(E_2/E_6\) ratios represent the proportion between non-humified and mature humified materials, and the \(E_4/E_6\) ratio asserts the degree of aromatic constituents of HA. The lower ratio values of \(E_2/E_4\) and \(E_2/E_6\) indicate HA is a highly aromatic and humified organic material [46].

The high absorbance of peat soil HA (PSHA) at 250–300 nm with a sharp decrease clarifies a high degree of humification (Figure 1(a)). The lower ratio values of \(E_2/E_6\) and \(E_2/E_4\) in PSHA indicates that the peat soil is more humified than the horse dung material (Table 1) [41]. Both HDHA and PSHA have a similar ratio value of \(E_4/E_6\) and agree with the categorized \(E_2/E_6\) ratio of HA (>3.0) [41]. The higher humification degree of PSHA (lower values of \(E_2/E_6\) and \(E_2/E_4\)) was also supported by the total acidity of the purified PSHA (753 cmol/kg) that had a higher value than that of...
purified HDHA (513 cmol/kg). In addition, the purification process increases the total acidity by removing silicate/mineral impurities (evidenced by the lower ash content) of both crude PSHA and HDHA (Table 1). Meanwhile, the HDHA has a lower total acidity than PSHA but it is still in the reference range of HA from peat soil according to Stevenson [41]. Furthermore, HDHA exhibits to be more renewable, to have higher availability, to be cheaper, and to be greener than peat soil HA.

The FT-IR characterization of HDHA showed five characteristic peaks of HA, i.e., 3400 cm\(^{-1}\) could be assigned to hydrogen bonding of –OH groups; 2900 cm\(^{-1}\) is designated for the stretching of C–H aliphatic; 1720 cm\(^{-1}\) referred to C=O stretching of –COOH groups; 1625 cm\(^{-1}\) is ascribed to aromatic C=C, C=O of quinone groups, and aromatic rings formed by the hydrogen bond of O–H groups; and 1200 cm\(^{-1}\) was associated with O–H bending and C–O stretching of –COOH groups (Figure 1(b)). The Fe-O stretching vibrations of magnetite and MHDHA were significantly identified at 592 cm\(^{-1}\) [47]. In addition, the successful functionalization of HDHA on the magnetite surface was detected at \(~1625\) cm\(^{-1}\) which indicated an interaction between C=O and the iron oxide surface (Figure 1(b)) [12]. The absorption band at 1400 cm\(^{-1}\) was strongly assigned to –CH\(_2\)– scissoring, and the 1121 cm\(^{-1}\) band was assigned to the C-O stretching of –COOH [10]. The unobserved C=O stretching in MHDHA (Figure 1(b)) as free –COOH at above 1700 cm\(^{-1}\) suggested the attachment of HDHA to magnetite through ligand-exchange interaction between C=O and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) surfaces [14]. Similarly, previous investigators reported that the linear thread-like polysaccharides could be strongly adsorbed by many oxide and aluminosilicate mineral surfaces [48].

The crystal properties of synthesized magnetite and MHDHA showed characteristic peaks with strong intensities emerging at 2\(\theta\) angles corresponding to 30.1° (220), 35.4° (311), 43.1° (400), 53.7° (422), 57.0° (511), and 62.7° (411) (Figure 2(a)). The comparative diffractogram of MHDHA indicated good agreement with the standard diffractogram and spinel structures for magnetite (JCPDS 19-0629) [44, 45]. The crystallinity of MHDHA did not show any damage after being modified with HDHA although there was a decrease in peak intensity indicating low crystallinity.
The magnetic properties, which were essential to ensure the separation process, were measured by graphing the hysteresis loop of synthesized bare magnetite and MHDHA (Figure 2(b)). The saturation magnetization ($M_s$) value of MHDHA (62.95 emu/g) was lower than bare magnetite (80.25 emu/g). The $M_s$ value decreasing after magnetite functionalization was also reported by previous researchers [21, 41, 42, 44, 45]. In comparison, the $M_s$ value of synthesized MHDHA was quietly comparable with similar studies of magnetite-functionalized peat HA, which were 61.2 emu/g [12], 63.31 emu/g [16], and 68.1 emu/g [10]. These magnetic properties allow easy and fast retraction of MHDHA from solution by an external magnet that makes HDHA an easy-handling adsorbent for Pb(II) in an aqueous solution. Also, no significant color change was observed after the MHDHA was stored in water for 14 days. This asserts that the HDHA modification prohibits the oxidation of magnetite because magnetite is easily oxidized to a brown suspension.

Elemental analysis by EDX Spectroscopy revealed that the surface composition ($w/w$) was 67.07% Fe, 29.41% O, and 3.51% C for bare magnetite, whereas 53.91% Fe, 32.74% O, 9.89% C, and 2.89% N for MHDHA (Table 2).

| Element symbol/name/number | Magnetite weight concentration (%) | MHDHA weight concentration (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Fe/iron/26                 | 67.07                             | 53.91                          |
| O/oxygen/8                 | 29.41                             | 32.74                          |
| C/carbon/6                 | 3.51                              | 9.89                           |
| N/nitrogen/7               | —                                 | 2.89                           |
| Others                     | 0.01                              | 0.57                           |

The content of oxygen, carbon, and nitrogen in MHDHA was significantly higher than that in bare magnetite. This depicts that the HDHA fractions containing atom carbon and oxygen or nitrogen-based functional groups were selectively incorporated on the surface of the magnetite during the MHDHA synthesis. The TEM image shows that MHDHA existed in spherical-shaped material with aggregation in a particular region (Figure 2(c)). The typical size of MHDHA processing by free software ImageJ was distributed from 6 to 25 nm with an average size of ~14 nm (inset picture).
Tombácz reported that the synthesized Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs have a primary size of ~10 nm with nonuniform size and fractal aggregates with an average of ~120 nm in solution containing HA [49]. These findings demonstrate that the magnetite-functionalized HDHA effectively reduces aggregation.

The point zero charge at varied pH (pH$_{pzc}$) is defined as a measurement of the H$^+$ ion movement towards and upwards the sorbent surfaces [42]. At this point, it is assumed that there is no H$^+$ ion movement and the sorbent is approximated in a neutral condition. The pH$_{pzc}$ value of MHDHA was observed at pH 3.55. The change of pH medium after soaking the sorbent for 48 h is presented in Figure 3(a). At low pH (<3.55), H$^+$ ions move from the solution to the MHDHA active sites and increase the pH of the solution. However, at high pH (>3.55), H$^+$ ions move from HDHA to the solution, resulting in a negative charge on the surface of HDHA and decreasing the pH of the medium. In comparison, the pH$_{pzc}$ value of magnetite HA from the literature was 2.30 [10, 12]. The MHDHA has a higher pH$_{pzc}$ value than the reference due to the lower total acidity of HDHA compared with the HA from peat. The low pH$_{pzc}$ denotes that the magnetite has been coated with HDHA, and the surface of MHDHA has been negatively charged at the relevant environmental pH (3.6–12.0). In addition, MHDHA is quite stable in solid form in the pH range of 2.0–12.0 (Figure 3(b)) to facilitate positively charged Pb(II).

The comparison of the medium pH stability of MHDHA and HDHA in solid form is presented in Figure 3(b) (left y and bottom x). The MHDHA was relatively stable at pH < 8.0. Meanwhile, at higher pH (>8.0), the deprotonation of carboxylate and phenolic functional groups of magnetite-functionalized HDHA makes H$^+$ ions to be unable to stabilize the Fe$^{2+}$ ions in the magnetite core. In addition, the deprotonation of HDHA starts at pH 5.0 and continues until the solid dissolves at higher pH. The thermal stability of MHDHA and that of HDHA were shown in Figure 3(b) (right y, top x). The water would be evaporated first at 105°C, and then, the HDHA will be carbonated and incinerated with further increasing the temperature until about 600°C, leaving the inorganic materials. The MHDHA and HDHA powders contained about 4% and 10% water, respectively, besides the inorganic materials. The MHDHA contained about 4% water and about 57% inorganic magnetite, so the loss on ignition was about 39%, which mainly originated from HDHA. The functionalization of magnetite with HDHA obtained can improve the stability of HDHA. Furthermore, the high stability of MHDHA will support the use of MHDHA as a promising adsorbent for toxic Pb(II) and other contaminants.

### 3.2. Effect of pH and Ionic Strength on Pb(II) Adsorption

pH is essential in adsorption studies because it affects the binding of Pb(II) to MHDHA. Medium acidity can affect the surface charge of the sorbent and the structure of the sorbate species. The adsorption of Pb(II) is intimately dependent on medium pH. The Pb(II) adsorbed was increased with increasing medium pH from 2.0 to 5.0 and decreased sharply when pH was above 5.0 (Figure 4(a)). This result was caused by the formation of solid Pb(OH)$_2$ at pH > 5.0 based on the constant solubility product ($K_{sp}$) calculation [50]. At low pH (pH < pH$_{pzc}$), the adsorbed Pb(II) was relatively low. This was caused by the MHDHA surface becoming positively charged due to protonation reaction and increases the competition between H$^+$ and the Pb(II) ions as cationic species. In contrast, at high pH (pH > pH$_{pzc}$), the MHDHA surface becomes negatively charged (deprotonation) and electrostatic repulsion decreases, which enhances the positively charged Pb(II) adsorption [51]. The optimum pH of the adsorption system can be ascertained to attenuate Pb(II) in the solution to MHDHA at pH 5.0. Previous works on the...
pH optimum in Pb(II) adsorption on HA-based adsorbent, i.e., HA from peat (optimum pH 5.5) [52] and magnetic/HA chitosan (optimum pH 5.0) [53], show a similar optimum pH with this work. In percentage (%), at pH below the optimum pH, the adsorption was significantly affected by the pH. However, at pH 5.0–8.0, the % adsorption was relatively constant and more influenced by the ionic strength (Figure 4(b)). As shown, the high ionic strength has an effect of reducing the adsorption of Pb(II) on MHDHA. In literature, the low adsorption of divalent metal cations has been attributed to different factors [54]: (1) adsorbent active site blocking by salt, (2) repulsion between free positive-charge adsorbate and positively charged of adsorbent surfaces, (3) competition between positively charged species (H⁺ and Pb²⁺) and free adsorbate to the sorbent active sites, (4) lower formation of complexes/chelate with metal ions due to protonation of surface functional groups, and (5) combination of several of these factors. Considering the results, the adsorption of Pb(II) onto MHDHA seems to be affected by the first and third factors due to the ion exchange mechanisms between Pb(II) and MHDHA active sites. Previous works reported that the FT-IR spectra were applied to characterize the changes of the functional group in metal ion adsorption [55–57]. The FT-IR spectra of MHDHA before (Figure 1(b)) and after (Figure 5(c)) Pb(II) adsorption show the disappearing peaks at 1700 and 1200 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the interaction between Pb(II) and carboxylate sites of MHDHA. The similar result of the FT-IR study on the Pb(II) interaction with the HA’s active site has been reported by researchers that indicate the ion exchange mechanism [9–11].

3.3. Adsorption Isotherm Study. To optimize the performance of MHDHA, it is essential to establish the isotherm parameters of HDHA. Various isotherm models have been used to comprehend the isotherm parameters (adsorption capacity, equilibrium constant, and adsorption energy), i.e., Langmuir by plotting \( C_e / q_e \) vs. \( C_e \) (equation (2)), Freundlich by plotting \( \ln q_e \) vs. \( \ln C_e \) (equation (3)), Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R) by plotting \( \ln q_e \) vs. \( \varepsilon^2 \) (equation (4)) [58], and Temkin by plotting \( q_e \) vs. \( \ln C_e \) (equation (5)) [59].

\[
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L b} + \frac{1}{b} C_e, \quad (2)
\]

\[
\ln q_e = \ln B + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e, \quad (3)
\]

\[
\ln q_e = \ln q_D - \frac{b_T}{2} \varepsilon^2, \quad (4)
\]

\[
q_e = \frac{RT}{b_T} \ln A_T + \frac{RT}{b_T} \ln C_e, \quad (5)
\]

where the \( K_L \) (L·mol⁻¹) is the Langmuir equilibrium constant, \( E_1 \) (kJ/mol) is the monolayer adsorption energy \( [E_1 = RT \ln K_L] \), \( B \) (mol/g) is the Freundlich multilayer sorption capacity, \( n \) is the heterogeneity parameter of the adsorbent surface, \( b_T \) (J/mol), \( A_T \) (L/g) are Temkin isotherm parameters, \( R \) (8.314 J·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹) is the gas constant, and \( T \) is the absolute temperature. Furthermore, \( b \) (mol/g) is the Langmuir monolayer adsorbent-based saturated sorption capacity, \( q_e \) (mol/g) is adsorbent based on the number of metal adsorbed on the active site of the adsorbent at equilibrium. \( B_D \) (mol²·J⁻¹) relates to the free energy of sorption per mol sorbate as it migrates to the surface to the adsorbent from an infinite distance in the solution, \( \varepsilon \) (J·mol⁻¹) is the Polanyi potential \( [\varepsilon = RT \ln (1 + 1/C_e)] \), and \( q_D \) (mol/g·mol⁻¹) is the D-R isotherm constant, theoretical isotherm saturation capacity, which relates to the degree of sorbate sorption by the adsorbent surface.

Figure 6(a) shows the effect of initial concentration \( (C_0) \) on the Pb(II) uptake by MHDHA. The removal efficiency of MHDHA was found to be dependent on the \( C_0 \) of Pb(II). The adsorption of Pb(II) was increased with increasing initial concentration \( (C_0) \) from 20 to 400 mg/L (Figure 6(a)). Thereafter, the removal efficacy slowly reached adsorption.
Figure 5: Regeneration study of MHDHA and pH change in each cycle (a); diffractogram of MHDHA (b); and FT-IR spectra after the first (MHDHA-Cy1), third (MHDHA-Cy3), and fifth cycle (MHDHA-Cy5) adsorption-desorption process (c); comparison of morphology and composition by SEM-EDX of original MHDHA (d); and that of MHDHA-Cy5 (e).

Figure 6: (a) The removal uptake of Pb(II) as the function of initial concentration ($C_0$) and the linear plots of (b) Langmuir, (c) Freundlich, (d) Temkin, and (e) D-R isotherm models of Pb(II) sorption onto MHDHA.
equilibrium and there was no further adsorption of Pb(II). By correlating the $R^2$ value of the models, it can be achieved that Langmuir affords the best model for the sorption system, which stands on monolayer sorption to surfaces containing a finite number of identical active sites (Figure 6(b)). The monolayer adsorption capacity ($b$) and energy ($E_L$) of Pb(II) sorption onto MHDHA were 27.82 × 10^{-5} mol/g (equal to 57.64 mg/g) and 24.90 kJ/mol, respectively (Table 3). The interaction of Pb(II) on MHDHA in this work followed the prediction by ion exchange interaction which referred to the categorized $E_L$ value: chemical interaction ($>80$ kJ/mol), ion exchange ($<40$ kJ/mol), and physical interaction ($<80$ kJ/mol) [19, 60]. The $E_L$ value obtained in this work was $<40$ kJ/mol, which implies that ion exchange dominates the Pb(II) sorption onto MHDHA.

The comparison of the monolayer sorption capacity ($b$) of Pb(II) sorption onto MHDHA and humic/peat-based adsorbents and other low-cost sorbents is summarized in Table 4. From the list in Table 4, it is clear that the synthesized MHDHA in this work had higher monolayer adsorption capacity than other magnetite functionalized materials [21, 61–63] and low-cost adsorbent [52, 64–66]. However, the capacity of MHDHA was relatively comparable to starch HA [67] and lower than HA peats (Poland) [68] and Fe$_3$O$_4$/HA [10]. The explanation of the lower monolayer adsorption capacity of MHDHA than Fe$_3$O$_4$/peat HA [10] is that HDHA has lower total acidity (–COO$^-$ and phenolic –OH) than HA from natural peats [49–51], since –COO$^-$ and phenolic –OH are the most responsible sites in HA. Nevertheless, the MHDHA offers a greener and renewable source of HA with a relatively high adsorption capacity and easy separation by an external magnet without any additional steps after the adsorption process.

The important features of the Langmuir model can be expressed in terms of dimensionless constant sorption intensity, $R_L = 1/(1 + K_L C_0)$, in which the $R_L$ value is classified by $R_L = 0$ (irreversible), $0 < R_L < 1$ (favorable), and $R_L > 1$ (unfavorable). When the $C_0$ of Pb(II) was in the range of 2.413 × 10^{-5} to 96.530 × 10^{-5} mol/L, the calculated $R_L$ value changed from 0.639 to 0.042. This shows that the adsorption of Pb(II) on MHDHA is favorable.

### Table 3: Isotherm parameters of Pb(II) adsorption onto MHDHA.

| Isotherm models     | Isotherm parameters |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| Langmuir           | $b$ ($\times 10^{-5}$ mol/g) | $b$ (mg/g) | $K_L$ (L/mol) | $E_L$ (kJ/mol) | $R^2$ |
|                     | 27.82              | 57.64     | 23115.11     | 24.90         | 0.9936 |
| Freundlich          | $B$ ($\times 10^{-5}$ mol/g) | $B$ (mg/g) | $n$ | $R^2$ |
|                     | 465.90             | 1285.87   | 2.51         | 0.9800        |
| Temkin              | $b_T$ (L/mol)      | $A_T$ (L/g) | $R^2$ |
|                     | 234.22             | 1.59      | 0.9672       |
| Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R) | $q_D$ ($\times 10^{-5}$ mol/g) | $q_D$ (mg/g) | $B_D$ ($\times 10^{-9}$ mol/ℓ$^2$) | $E_{D-R}$ (kJ/mol) | $R^2$ |
|                     | 91.45              | 189.48    | 2.29         | 14.78         | 0.9879 |

### Table 4: Comparison of Langmuir sorption capacity ($b$) in Pb(II) adsorption by various functionalized magnetite, -HA, and other low-cost adsorbents at 298 K.

| Adsorbents of Pb(II) | $b$ (mg/g) | Optimum pH | References |
|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Fe$_3$O$_4$/peat HA  | 92.4       | 6.0        | [10]       |
| Polland peat HA     | 82.3       | 5.0        | [68]       |
| Ti(IV) iodovanadate exchanger | 63.3     | 6.0        | [69]       |
| Starch peat HA      | 58.0       | 6.0        | [67]       |
| MHDHA                | 57.6       | 5.5        | Present work |
| Magnetic coffee waste | 41.2   | 6.0        | [61]       |
| Magnetic cane biochar | 40.6   | 5.0        | [62]       |
| Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ black cumin seed | 39.7 | 5.0 | [21] |
| Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$-IP polymer | 32.6 | 4.8 | [63] |
| Plums biochar       | 28.8       | 6.0        | [64]       |
| Amberlite-IR120     | 20.7       | 6.0        | [4]        |
| Kaolinite (Chikni Mitti) | 19.7   | 6.0        | [70]       |
| Indian HA soil      | 19.2       | 6.0        | [66]       |
| SDS A Zr(IV) selenite | 18.4 | 6.0        | [71]       |
| HA peat             | 15.0       | 5.5        | [52]       |
| Apricot biochar     | 12.7       | 6.0        | [64]       |
The adsorption Pb(II) onto MHDHA was also evaluated by the Freundlich model to analyze the parameters associated with multilayer sorption. The value of $n$ found in this work (2.51) indicates that the adsorption process is relatively favorable because the $n$ value is above 1 (Table 3) [72]. The Freundlich model attributes both the homogenous and heterogeneous Pb(II) distribution on the MHDHA surface simultaneously. The Freundlich capacity $(B)$ indicates that the multilayer (or multienery) of $–\text{COOH}$, phenolic $–\text{OH}$, and all the sites together with the strongest interaction is formed first in the first layer and then Pb(II) occupies the lower energy [17, 58]. The $B$ value of Pb(II) on MHDHA was $465.90 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/g (equal to 1285.87 mg/g), about 16.7 layers compared with the monolayer adsorption. Therefore, the adsorption properties of Pb(II) onto MHDHA seem to involve more than one mechanism considering other active sites besides $–\text{COOH}$ and phenolic $–\text{OH}$.

The Temkin isotherm explains the adsorbate-adsorbent interaction based on the assumption that there is an indirect relationship between the adsorption energy and the adsorbate-adsorbent interaction [60, 61]. As exhibited in Table 3, the value of the Temkin constant, $b_T$, related to the adsorption energy was 234.22 J/mol. Correlated with the multilayer adsorption, the value of $b_T$ can be interpreted as the adsorption energy of the outer layer of Pb(II) adsorption onto MHDHA [73]. The $R^2$ value of the Temkin model which is lower than the Langmuir model indicates that the Temkin model supports the multilayer sorption description.

The D-R isotherm is possible to analyze the high degree of regularity [74]. The D-R theoretical isotherm saturation capacity, $q_{D-R}$, of Pb(II) $(91.45 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/g or equal to 189.48 mg/g) indicates that Pb(II) is saturated in a multilayer way on the active site of MHDHA. The saturated Pb(II) layer is approximately 3.89 times greater than the first monolayer. It is known that the magnitude of D-R adsorption energy, $E_{D-R}$ $(E_{D-R} = 1/(2B_{D-R})^{1/2})$ is useful for estimating the type of adsorption. If the value of $E_{D-R}$ is below 8 kJ/mol, the adsorption type can be explained by physical adsorption, between 8 and 16 kJ/mol, the adsorption type can be explained by ion exchange, and over 16 kJ/mol, the adsorption type can be explained by a stronger chemical adsorption than ion exchange [75, 76]. The values of $E_{D-R}$ found in this study was 14.78 kJ/mol (Table 3), which implied that ion exchange is the main mechanism involved in the adsorption process. This result is in line with the main interaction of Pb(II) and MHDHA obtained from $E_{L}$.

3.4. Adsorption Kinetics Study. Investigation of the rate-controlling Pb(II) adsorption onto MHDHA was studied by the Lagergren pseudo-first-order (equation (6)) [77] and Ho pseudo-second-order (equation (7)) [78] kinetics models. In addition, the correlation of adsorption rate constant $(k_a)$ and the isotherm equilibrium constant $(K)$ was investigated by the kinetics model proposed by Santosa (equation (8)) [9] and Rusdiarso-Basuki-Santosa (RBS) (equation (9)) [37, 79] kinetics models through the $K = k_a/k_d$ relationship. The nomenclatures of the symbols are $q_t$ and $q_e$ (mol·g⁻¹) which represent the amount adsorbate that adsorbed at equilibrium and time $t$ (min), respectively; $C_0$ (mol·L⁻¹) and $C_e$ (mol·L⁻¹) denote the initial concentration of sorbate and the equilibrium concentration of sorbate on sorbent in the solution, respectively; $x$ (mol·L⁻¹) and $x_e$ (mol·L⁻¹) are sorbate based on the amount of sorbate sorbed on the sorbent’s active site at time $t$ and equilibrium, respectively; $X$ (g·L⁻¹) in Santosa’s kinetics model is $w/vmn$, where $w$ (g) is the mass of the sorbent, $v$ (L) is the volume of sorption medium, and $m_n$ is the molar weight of sorbate; $C_q$ (mol·L⁻¹) is the concentration of Langmuir capacity and $C_g$ is calculated from $bw/(\nu c)\cdot k_{lag}$ (min⁻¹), $k_{Ho}$ (g·mol⁻¹·min⁻¹), $k_L$ (L·mol⁻¹·min⁻¹), and $k_a$ (L·mol⁻¹·min⁻¹) are the Lagergen, Ho, Santosa, and RBS rate constants, respectively.

$$\ln (q_t - q_f) = \ln q_e - k_{lag}t,\quad (6)$$

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_{Ho}(q_e^2)} + \frac{1}{q_e},\quad (7)$$

$$\frac{1}{C_0 - Xq_e} \ln \left( \frac{q_e(C_0 - Xq_f)}{C_0(q_e - q_f)} \right) = k_Lt,\quad (8)$$

$$\ln \left( \frac{C_0 - Xq_e}{x_e - q_e} \right) = k_a\left( \frac{C_0 - x_e^2}{C_0 x_e} \right) t - \ln \left( \frac{x_e}{C_0 - x_e} \right).\quad (9)$$

The adsorption rate of Pb(II) onto MHDHA was studied in time intervals of 5–180 min as shown in Figure 7(a). The Pb(II) ions were rapidly uptake in the first 20 min and slowly continue increasing up to 90 min reaction. The slow adsorption stage was caused by the smaller differences in concentration gradients that finally attained the equilibrium condition after 120 min. An increase in Pb(II) adsorption was observed in MHDHA due to the probability of Pb(II) occupying a vacant site on the adsorbent, where there was plenty of unsaturated vacant sites that resulted in rapid initial adsorption. However, as time continues, vacant sites get saturated thereupon. In this study, the adsorption kinetics were better described by the Ho pseudo-second-order model (Figure 7(c)), whose correlation coefficient (0.9999) were higher than those of the kinetics model. Pb(II) sorption kinetics were also better described by the Ho pseudo-second-order model (Figure 7(c)), whose correlation coefficients (0.9999) were higher than those of the kinetics model. The calculation of $q_e$ (Calc. $q_e$) of Ho $(4.89 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/g) (Table 5) was also the closest to the experimental $q_e$ (Exp. $q_e = 4.88 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/g) in this work. Based on these findings, the best model representing the empirical kinetics data was the Ho kinetics model. This indicates that the adsorption process follows the pseudo-second-order mechanism. In this mechanism, the adsorption process depends on the active site.
generates the Calc. qe model (Radsorption process [78, 80] model is more suitable than the Ho model to represent the appropriate at low Previous studies have shown that the Ho kinetics model is fi

(b) Lagergren, (c) Ho, (d) proposed Santosa, and (e) proposed RBS kinetics model.

Figure 7: (a) Profile of Pb(II) uptake onto MHDHA as a function of time and adsorption kinetics plot of 25 mg/L (0.12 mmol/L) Pb(II) onto 20 mg MHDHA by (b) Lagergren, (c) Ho, (d) proposed Santosa, and (e) proposed RBS kinetics model.

Table 5: Kinetics parameters of Pb(II) adsorption onto MHDHA.

| Kinetics model | Kinetics adsorption parameters |
|---------------|-------------------------------|
|               | Calc. \( q_e \) \((×10^{-5}\text{mol/g})\) | \( k_{\text{Lag}} \) (min\(^{-1}\)) | \( k_d \) | \( K \) | \( R^2 \) |
| Lagergren      | 0.214                         | —                             | —     | —     | 0.9441 |
| Ho             | Calc. \( q_e \) \((×10^{-5}\text{mol/g})\) | \( k_{\text{Ho}} \) \((\text{g·mol}^{-1}·\text{min}^{-1})\) | \( k_d \) | —     | 0.9999 |
| 4.89           | 33932.66                      | —                             | —     | —     |         |
| Santosa        | Calc. \( q_e \) \((×10^{-5}\text{mol/g})\) | \( k_s \) \((\text{mol/L})^{-1}·\text{min}^{-1})\) | \( k_{d_S} \) \((×10^{-5}·\text{min}^{-1})\) | \( K_S \) \((\text{mol/L})^{-1}\) | \( R^2 \) |
| —             | 221.80                        | 6.03                          | 3.68×10^{-6} | 0.9740 |
| RBS            | Calc. \( q_e \) \((×10^{-5}\text{mol/g})\) | \( k_{\text{RBS}} \) \((\text{mol/L})^{-1}·\text{min}^{-1})\) | \( k_d \) \((×10^{-4}·\text{min}^{-1})\) | \( K_{\text{RBS}} \) \((\text{mol/L})^{-1}\) | \( R^2 \) |
| 0.2664         | 47.10                         | 6.98                          | 67478.51 | 0.9743 |

of the adsorbent and the properties of the adsorbate. One of the properties is the initial concentration of adsorbate \((C_0)\). Previous studies have shown that the Ho kinetics model is appropriate at low \(C_0\). In contrast, at high \(C_0\), the Lagergren model is more suitable than the Ho model to represent the adsorption process [78, 80–84]. This work used a low \(C_0\) (0.12 mmol/L) and was in accordance with the previous findings.

The low \(C_0\) value might affect the lower \(R^2\) of the Lagergren model \((R^2 = 0.9441)\) (Table 5). The Lagergren model also generates the Calc. \( q_e \) \((0.214 × 10^{-5} \text{mol/g})\), which is quite far from the Exp. \( q_e \) \((4.89 × 10^{-5} \text{mol/g})\). Nevertheless, the adsorption rate constants of Ho \((k_{Ho})\) and Lagergren \((k_{Lag})\) are an independent parameter because there is no cross-sectional method to examine these parameters. Thus, the Santosa and RBS kinetics models have been applied to cross-examine the adsorption rate constant to the equilibrium constant through \(K = k_s/k_d\) relationship. The results showed that both the Santosa and RBS models had a lower \(R^2\) value than the Ho model. These \(R^2\) values are quite similar to the Lagergren model indicating that the Santosa and RBS models have a similar condition of \(C_0\) that is excess \(C_0\). Furthermore, from the \(K_{RBS}\) value (67478.51 L/mol), the predicted adsorption energy \((E_{RBS} = 27.55 \text{kJ/mol})\) is fairly comparable to the \(E_L\) (24.90 kJ/mol).

3.5. Regeneration Studies. The regeneration studies represent the probable reusability of MHDHA as an adsorbent. The MHDHA adsorbent was only a loss of less than 15% of % adsorption after reuse for five successive cycles (Figure 5(a)). The adsorption-desorption percentage proves that the MHDHA possesses a virtuous regeneration ability.
To strengthen the claims, the XRD (Figure 5(b)), FT-IR (Figure 5(c)), and SEM-EDX (Figures 5(d) and 5(e)) analyses show that there was no significant change in the crystallinity, functional group, morphology, and composition of MHDHA adsorbent, respectively, after five consecutive cycles of the adsorption-desorption process. The unobserved crystallinity damage implies that there is no significant change in the magnetic strength value and makes the HDHA remain easy and quick to separate by an external magnet. Therefore, the MHDHA is potentially efficient, economically effective, and easily separable adsorbent for lead-contaminated wastewater treatment.

The measurement of pH solution in each adsorption cycle showed that the pH was decreased constantly from optimum pH (pH = 5.50, before the adsorption process) to 3.29 in the fifth cycle (Figure 5(a)). A possible explanation of this result is that there is an ion-exchange mechanism between H+ of MHDHA’s active site (dominated by the carboxylic and phenolic group) and Pb(II). At the adsorption process, the H+ ions replaced by the Pb(II) ions and the moved H+ onto solution lead to increasing the acidity (decreasing in pH value). The reverse process occurred in the desorption step.

4. Conclusions

The green horse dung HA (HDHA) has been extracted and characterized by UV-Vis spectrophotometer, FT-IR, and total acidity. Functionalization of magnetite by HDHA has been successfully prepared by coprecipitation procedures based on FT-IR, XRD, TEM, SEM-EDX, TGA, and VSM characterization. The functionalization process improves the stability from 96% of original HDHA dissolved to less than 30% HDHA dissolved after magnetite functionalization at pH 12. Also, the MHDHA has only 10% weight loss compared with the original HDHA (88% weight loss) at 600°C. The MHDHA was easy to retract by an external magnet after the adsorption process within a few minutes with the 62.95 emu/g magnetic strength without additional process, i.e., centrifugation or separation by the filter paper. The adsorbed Pb(II) onto MHDHA was influenced by the pH, and the ionic strength with the adsorption rate was rapidly uptake in the first 20 min and attained equilibrium in 120 min. The kinetics data of the adsorption rate was agreed well with the Ho pseudo-second-order model. The removal uptake of Pb(II) ions increased when the initial concentration was increased and fitted with the Langmuir isotherm model. The adsorption capacity of the Pb(II) uptake was 2.78 × 10−4 mol/g (equal to 57.64 mg/g) through monolayer adsorption. The main interaction involved in the adsorption process was the ion exchange based on the value of Dubinin–Radushkevich adsorption energy, $E_{D,R}$ (14.78 k/mol). The regeneration studies of MHDHA exhibited that there was no significant chemical and physical properties change after five consecutive cycles of the adsorption-desorption process. Therefore, MHDHA can be used as a promising low-price, green, and easy-handling adsorbent for the Pb(II) uptake from aqueous solution since the MHDHA showed good performance compared with other magnetized low-cost adsorbents. Further, the research by improving and examining MHDHA to other adsorbates (dyes, organic contaminant, and other heavy metal ions) is needed to investigate the further performance of the MHDHA.
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