How Auxin and Cytokinin Phytohormones Modulate Root Microbe Interactions
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A large range of microorganisms can associate with plants, resulting in neutral, friendly or hostile interactions. The ability of plants to recognize compatible and incompatible microorganisms and to limit or promote their colonization is therefore crucial for their survival. Elaborated communication networks determine the degree of association between the host plant and the invading microorganism. Central to these regulations of plant microbe interactions, phytohormones modulate microorganism plant associations and coordinate cellular and metabolic responses associated to the progression of microorganisms across different plant tissues. We review here hormonal regulations, focusing on auxin and cytokinin phytohormones, involved in the interactions between plant roots and soil microorganisms, including bacterial and fungi associations, either beneficial (symbiotic) or detrimental (pathogenic). The aim is to highlight similarities and differences in cytokinin/auxin functions amongst various compatible versus incompatible associations.
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INTRODUCTION

Plant–microorganism interactions have received more and more attention due to the benefits they confer to crop productivity by improving nutrient uptake, increasing plant growth and conferring biotic and abiotic stress tolerance (Yang et al., 2009; de Zelicourt et al., 2013; Grover et al., 2013). Identifying communication systems and signals that determine the beneficial or detrimental outcomes of plant–microorganism interactions is a key to improve defense responses without decreasing beneficial (e.g., symbiotic) associations.

Different symbiotic associations with plant roots exist, either with fungi or bacteria (Figure 1). These symbioses are mutualistic, leading to reciprocal exchanges between fungi or bacterial microorganisms and host plants: soil nutrients or fixed atmospheric nitrogen versus carbon skeletons generated through photosynthesis (Udvardi and Poole, 2013; Schweiger and Müller, 2015). These interactions can be established between ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi from the Basidiomycota and Ascomycota phyla and many forest trees (Anderson and Cairney, 2007; Diagne et al., 2013; Raudaskoski and Kothe, 2015), arbuscular endomycorrhizal (AM) fungi from the Glomeromycota phylum with most of land plants (Schüßler et al., 2001; Smith and Read, 2010; Foo et al., 2013; Gutjahr and Parniske, 2013), and nitrogen-fixing bacteria such as Rhizobium sp. and Frankia sp. with specific species belonging to the Rosid family, leading to the formation of new root lateral organs called nodules (Dénarié et al., 1992; Franssen et al., 1992; Soltis et al., 1995;
The schematized root represents different interactions occurring on root systems from different plant species: ectomycorrhizae, formed mainly on tree roots; arbuscular endomycorrhizae, occurring in most land plants; symbiotic nodulation in legumes (e.g., rhizobia) or actinorhizal plants (e.g., Frankia); galls formed in roots of some Brassicaceae plants. The effect of symbionts and oomycete pathogens on lateral root development is also depicted. Auxin metabolism and/or response is positively associated to ECM, AM, rhizobia and Frankia symbiotic interactions (either in relation to infections and/or to nodule organogenesis), to the interaction with several root pathogens (acting either positively or negatively on pathogenic susceptibility), and positively associated to root gall formation in response to P. brassicae. Auxins produced by different rhizospheric microorganisms stimulate lateral root formation. Cytokinin metabolism and/or response is associated to rhizobia nodulation, either positively in the cortex or negatively in the epidermis, to the interaction with several root pathogens (acting mostly positively on pathogenic susceptibility), and positively associated to root gall formation in response to P. brassicae. +, indicates a positive effect; −, a negative effect. Dark green: root hairs and epidermis; pale green, cortex; middle green, nodule primordium; blue, endodermis; yellow, pericycle; pink, stele tissues including vascular bundles.

Perret et al., 2000; Santi et al., 2013; Svistoonoff et al., 2014) (Figure 1). Unlike AM fungi and N2-fixing bacteria, ECM fungi do not enter inside plant host root tissues and cells. A mycelial mantle is formed by the fungi around short lateral roots and develops between root epidermal and cortical cells, to form a highly branched structure, called the Hartig net (Peterson and Massicotte, 2004; Anderson and Cairney, 2007; Raudaskoski and Kothe, 2015) (Figure 1).

In all symbiotic interactions, the recognition of microorganisms and plant roots as symbiotic partners is the first critical step to allow a tight beneficial metabolic association (Bonfante and Requena, 2011; Gough and Cullimore, 2011; Geurts et al., 2012; Singh and Parniske, 2012; Genre et al., 2013; Raudaskoski and Kothe, 2015). In the case of nitrogen fixing symbioses, the formation of a new organ, the root nodule, is additionally essential to generate microaerobic conditions allowing differentiated bacteria to fix atmospheric nitrogen (e.g., production of leghemoglobin proteins that chelate oxygen, preventing inhibition of the bacterial nitrogenase enzyme ensuring nitrogen fixation; Ferguson et al., 2010; Desbrosses and Stougaard, 2011; Oldroyd, 2013) (Figure 1). Exchanges of molecular signals, including on the one hand flavonoids and phytohormones produced by legume plants, and on the other hand Mycorrhization Factors or Nodulation Factors (NFs) respectively secreted by AM fungi or rhizobia, are required for the recognition of symbiotic partners. In addition, these signaling pathways participate in decreasing root defense responses, preparing root tissues for infection by the symbiont, and in the case of nitrogen fixing bacteria, initiating root cortical cell divisions leading to nodule organogenesis (Oldroyd et al., 2011; Oldroyd, 2013; Gourion et al., 2015) (Figure 1).

Foiling plant defenses is therefore critical for a successful host infection, either for symbiotic partners or pathogens (Robert-Seilaniantz et al., 2011; Pieterse et al., 2012; De...
Vleesschauwer et al., 2014). To penetrate, colonize and hijack nutrients from host plants, pathogenic bacteria and fungi that infect roots have developed different strategies, including the modification of phytohormonal responses to their advantage. Some pathogens are able to directly synthesize phytohormones affecting plant growth and development, forming new sinks in which nutrients are easily accessible (e.g., Agrobacterium tumefaciens galls, or Plasmodiophora brassicae clubroot galls; Figure 1). Therefore, the control over hormonal pools and signaling pathways is crucial for host plants to both establish beneficial microorganism interactions and prevent pathogenic invasions.

**Auxin and Cytokinin Regulations in Plant – Fungus Symbioses**

The two types of plant-fungal symbioses, AM and ECM, display different hormonal regulation requirements, notably regarding auxins and cytokinins. A production of cytokinins (isopentenylation and cis-zeatin) by ECM fungi was identified (Morrison et al., 2015). In addition, ECM fungi, such as Laccaria bicolor, Tuber borchii and T. melanosporum, produce measurable amounts of auxin (indole-3-acetic acid; IAA), resulting in morphological changes of host roots (Cistus incanus) either depending on a direct (contact) or indirect (diffusible signal) interaction with the fungus (Karabaghli et al., 1998; Felten et al., 2009; Spivallov et al., 2009). Accordingly, the presence of fungal mycelia reduces root growth and increases root branching of the host plant, as observed in C. incanus and Populus trichocarpa, and similarly as an exogenous auxin treatment does (Felten et al., 2009; Spivallov et al., 2009). Interestingly, in Arabidopsis thaliana, the ectomycorrhizal truffle mycelium also promotes root hair growth as well as lateral root formation, indicating that these may not be symbiosis-specific traits (Spivallov et al., 2009). In addition to the production of auxins, L. bicolor is able to release ethylene which activates the plant auxin synthesis pathway (Spivallov et al., 2009). The production of these two hormones is required to promote root hair growth to an equivalent level as the truffle mycelium does. Altogether, these observations suggest that ethylene production by the ECM fungi may induce auxin production in the host plant, therefore reinforcing the effect of direct auxin production on root development and notably on the promotion of lateral root formation which will then be infected by new mycelia (Figure 1). The local activation of auxin responses in the first tier of root tip columella cells of poplar and Arabidopsis in response to an indirect contact with L. bicolor has been documented using the auxin-response reporter construct DR5::GFP, and this activation was inhibited by a Polar Auxin Transport inhibitor (PAT; Spivallov et al., 2009). In addition, transcriptomic analyses in poplar roots inoculated with the ECM fungus L. bicolor revealed an increased expression of auxin-related genes such as members of the GH3 (Gretchen Hagen3) gene family involved in auxin conjugation, as well as of P. trichocarpa PtaPIN4 and PtaPIN9 auxin efflux carriers essential for PAT (Felten et al., 2009). Interestingly, L. bicolor inoculation induces lateral root formation in wild-type A. thaliana but not in the pin2 mutant (AtPIN2 is the closest Arabidopsis relative of PtaPIN9 in poplar). This result is consistent with the essential role of PAT in controlling lateral root development induced by the presence of the symbiotic ECM fungus (Felten et al., 2009).

In contrast to the ECM symbiosis, no comprehensive change was observed in auxin levels in Tropaeolum majus upon inoculation with different AM strains (Jentschel et al., 2007). Nevertheless, a role of auxins in the AM symbiosis was proposed notably in relation to the stimulating effect of the AM inoculation on lateral root formation (Fusconi, 2014). Indeed, several mutants affected in auxin-related developmental responses, such as the Pisum sativum bushy mutant that displays a lower IAA concentration in shoots and roots and the tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) diageotropica auxin-resistant and polycotyledon hyperactive PAT mutants show a reduced mycorrhizal colonization (Hanlon and Coenen, 2011; Foo, 2013) (Figure 1). However, the expression of the strigolactone (SL) biosynthetic PsCCD8 (Carotenoid Cleavage Dioxygenases 8) gene is decreased in the bushy mutant (Foo et al., 2013), suggesting that the auxin effect on AM symbiosis may be at least partly due to a decrease in the SL biosynthesis. Several auxin-responsive genes were identified as induced in AM roots, such as a specific GH3 tomato gene expressed in cells colonized by fungi (Liao et al., 2015). As the symbiotic expression of this marker could be disconnected from its auxin-induction, this suggests that an AM signaling GH3-related response may have evolved at least partially independently of auxin signaling. The expression of the DR5 auxin response reporter was additionally detected in S. lycopersicum, Medicago truncatula, and Oryza sativa root cells containing arbuscules (Etemadi et al., 2014). Finally, although no analysis of the AM colonization capacity of mutants directly affected in auxin perception or polar transport is yet available, overexpression of a microRNA (miR393) that indirectly downregulates the expression of auxin receptor genes (i.e., Transport Inhibitor Response1 and Auxin-related F-Box genes) led to the formation of underdeveloped arbuscules in S. lycopersicum, M. truncatula, and O. sativa roots, suggesting that hampering auxin perception in arbuscule-containing cells negatively affects their formation (Etemadi et al., 2014).

Cytokinins were also proposed to be involved in the AM symbiosis since an increase of cytokinin levels in roots was detected in AM infected plants (Allen et al., 1980). However, it remains unclear if the cytokinins were produced by the host plant or by the AM fungus (Allen et al., 1980; Barker and Tagu, 2000; Shaull-Keinan et al., 2002). No AM phenotype was detected in the M. truncatula cre1 (cytokinin response 1) mutant defective in a cytokinin receptor essential for symbiotic nodulation (Plet et al., 2011; Laffont et al., 2015), suggesting that at least the CRE1-dependent cytokinin signaling is not essential for the AM symbiotic interaction.

**Auxin and Cytokinin Regulations of Nitrogen-Fixing Root Nodule Symbioses**

Several studies have highlighted the involvement of auxin and cytokinin phytohormones in the regulation of the Rhizobium nitrogen-fixing symbiotic interaction. Allen et al. (1953) showed that an exogenous application of a PAT inhibitor could induce...
the formation of nodule-like structures on alfalfa roots, in the absence of Rhizobium. However, the structure of these organs can be considered as more similar to roots than to legume nodules. The inhibition of PAT was found to also induce pseudonodule formation in M. truncatula roots (Rightmyer and Long, 2011), further indicating that nodule organogenesis involved a local auxin accumulation. It was later shown that combined auxin and cytokinin exogenous treatment on pea roots led to cortical cell divisions, which occur at the onset of nodule organogenesis (Libbenga et al., 1973) (Figure 1).

The positive effect of cytokinins in the initiation of nodule organogenesis was additionally documented in different legumes where exogenous applications of cytokinins induce cortical cell divisions, amylloplast accumulation, and the expression of early nodule markers (early nodulins; Torrey, 1961; Dehio and de Bruijn, 1992; Bauer et al., 1996; Jiménez-Zurdo et al., 2000; Mathesius et al., 2000; Murray et al., 2007; Tirichine et al., 2007). In addition, the ectopic expression of a CytoKinIn oXidase/deshydrogenase gene from A. thaliana (AtCKX3), involved in the degradation of the cytokinin bioactive pool, or the downregulation of either a cytokinin activation gene from M. truncatula (MtLOG1, standing for LOney Guy 1) or an Iso-PentenyITransferase biosynthetic gene from L. japonicus (LjIPT3), lead to a reduced nodule organogenesis (Lohar et al., 2004; Chen Y. et al., 2014; Mortier et al., 2014), suggesting that endogenous cytokinins act positively on nodulation. However, a reduced nodulation is also observed when the MtLOG1 gene is overexpressed (Mortier et al., 2014) and in a L. japonicus mutant affecting the NF-induced CKX3 gene (Reid et al., 2016), indicating that a tight regulation of cytokinin levels is required and/or that a negative symbiotic function of cytokinins exists. As rhizobia can secrete bioactive auxins (Camerini et al., 2008; Bianco and Defez, 2010) and cytokinins (Phillips and Torrey, 1972; Sturtevant and Taller, 1989), it was proposed that these two hormones could contribute to the induction of nodule formation, in addition to other bacterial symbiotic signals such as NFs. Indeed, a Rhizobium nod− strain, unable to synthesize NFs and form nodules but genetically modified to secrete the trans-zeatin cytokinin, is able to induce the formation of nodule-like structures expressing nodulation markers (Cooper and Long, 1994). The secretion of cytokinins by wild-type rhizobia does not seem, however, essential for nodulation, even though it might have a minor contribution (Kisiala et al., 2013; Podlešáková et al., 2013). In agreement, van Zeijl et al. (2015) showed that cytokinins are accumulated in wild-type roots in the absence of Rhizobium following a 3 h NF treatment. This suggests that the cytokinin accumulation required for nodulation mainly originates from the host plant. Concerning auxins, the over-production of this phytohormone in Rhizobium positively regulates nodulation and nodule meristem size (Camerini et al., 2008), and auxin-response reporter DR5 and/or GH3 fusions revealed that rhizobia or NFs can locally inhibit PAT and induce a local auxin accumulation in dividing cortical cells and nodule primordia in M. truncatula, L. japonicus, T. repens, and Vicia sativa (Mathesius et al., 1998; Boot et al., 1999; Pacios-Bras et al., 2003; Breakspear et al., 2014; Ng et al., 2015) (Figure 2). Interestingly, the accumulation of auxin (Indole-3-Acetic Acid, IAA) in Rhizobium inoculated plants was found to be dependent on cytokinin signaling pathways (Ng et al., 2015).

The involvement of cytokinin signaling pathways in the regulation of nodulation was first highlighted using a RNAi approach specifically targeting different putative cytokinin receptors in M. truncatula roots, revealing that only the silencing of MtCRE1 led to cytokinin insensitive roots which developed a reduced number of nodules (Gonzalez-Rizzo et al., 2006) (Figure 2). Similarly, the lhk1/hit1 (lotus histidine kinase 1/hyperinfected 1) mutant of L. japonicus, affecting the closest homolog of MtCRE1, showed a strongly reduced nodulation capacity associated with a hyperinfection phenotype (Murray et al., 2007). Strikingly, the L. japonicus snf2 (spontaneous nodules formed 2) mutant carrying a gain of function mutation in the LHK1 cytokinin receptor led to the formation of “spontaneous nodules” in the absence of Rhizobium (Tirichine et al., 2007) (Figure 2). Altogether, these results unambiguously indicate that cytokinins and the LHK1/CRE1 pathway play a positive role in nodulation by inducing cortical cell divisions and nodule organogenesis (Figures 1 and 2). The fact that the lhk1 and crel mutants still form some nodules strongly suggest that a functional redundancy exists within the cytokinin receptor CHK family. Accordingly, mutants affecting other cytokinin receptors than crel/lhk1 also have reduced nodulation efficiencies, even though to a lesser extent (Held et al., 2014; Boivin et al., 2016). In addition, these reduced nodulation phenotypes are stronger when LHK1 or CRE1 is affected, further indicating a predominant role for CRE1/LHK1 in nodule initiation.

As previously mentioned, compatible rhizobia can locally inhibit auxin accumulation and/or PAT. Accordingly, in L. japonicus, the induction of an auxin response is observed both in Rhizobium-induced nodules and in snf2 spontaneous nodules (Suzaki et al., 2012, 2013), indicating that this response is associated at least with early node organogenesis, downstream of the LHK1 cytokinin signaling pathway (Figure 2). The inhibitory effect of a Rhizobium application on PAT in M. truncatula roots is not observed in the crel mutant, who displays an increased PAT capacity and accumulate excessively polarly localized MtPINs auxin efflux carriers (Plet et al., 2011) (Figure 2). Recently, Ng et al. (2015) showed that the nodulation defect of the crel mutant could be partially complemented by an auxin transport inhibitor, as well as by specific flavonoids able to inhibit PAT (such as naringenin, isoliquiritigenin, and kaempferol; Figure 2). Overall, these data highlight that the activation of auxin responses and flavonoid accumulation downstream of cytokinins and LHK1/CRE1 is tightly associated with dividing cortical cells and nodule primordia formation.

Type B Response Regulators (RRBs; Heyl et al., 2013) are transcription factors directly regulating cytokinin primary response genes such as the Nodulation Signaling Pathway 2 (NSP2) gene that encodes a GRAS-type transcription factor essential for early nodulation (Kaló et al., 2005), directly linking NF and cytokinin signaling pathways (Ariel et al., 2012). Other direct cytokinin signaling targets are associated to negative feedback regulations, such as the Cytokinin Oxidase/deshydrogenase 1 (CKX1) gene involved in cytokinin degradation and type A Response Regulators (RRAs) thought
to act as negative regulators of cytokinin signaling. Several RRA genes, used as markers of the activation of cytokinin responses, are associated with symbiotic nodulation in *M. truncatula*, such as *MtRRA4* that is expressed in dividing root cortical cells, nodule primordia and meristems, (Plet et al., 2011) (Figure 2) and *MtRRA9* and *MtRRA11* that are upregulated both in the root epidermis and in the root cortex in response to rhizobia or NFs (Op den Camp et al., 2011) (Figure 2). An RNAi construct targeting *MtRRA9* as well as *MtRRA4*, *MtRRA5*, and *MtRRA11* significantly reduces nodule formation in *M. truncatula*, suggesting a positive role of RRAs in nodulation (Op den Camp et al., 2011). As observed when affecting the cytokinin pool, the downregulation of the type A RR *MtRRA9* reduces nodulation, suggesting a negative function of cytokinins in nodulation (Op den Camp et al., 2011). Different versions of the “Two Component output Sensor” (TCS) reporter, used as a proxy to monitor the activation of the cytokinin primary response, revealed either an expression only in nodule primordia (TCS reporter, van Zeijl et al., 2015), in contrast to *MtRRA9* and *MtRRA11* (Op den Camp et al., 2011), or first in the epidermis and then in the cortex (TCSn reporter, Jardineau et al., 2016) (Figure 2). In *L. japonicus*, the TCS reporter expression was detected both in the infected root epidermis and in cortical cells during nodule organogenesis (Held et al., 2014), consistent with the induction of *LjRRA4*, *LjRRA6*, and *LjRRA8* genes in response to a NF treatment (Op den Camp et al., 2011), but following a different kinetic, being first expressed in the root cortex and then in the epidermis. The expression of several cytokinin biosynthesis and signaling genes, including *CRE1* and *MtRRA2*, *MtRRA8*, *MtRRA9* and *MtRRA10*, is rapidly upregulated by NFs or Rhizobium in the *M. truncatula* root epidermis and/or in isolated root hairs (Breakspear et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015; Jardineau et al., 2016) (Figure 2). Accordingly, *ProCRE1-GUS* and *ProCRE1:GUS* fusions were detected in response to *Rhizobium* both in the root epidermis and in dividing cortical cells (Held et al., 2014; Boivin et al., 2016; Jardineau et al., 2016). This epidermal expression...
correlates with the *Rhizobium* hyperinfected phenotype observed in the hit1/lhk1 mutant, suggesting that in addition to a positive role in nodule organogenesis, a negative function of cytokinins regulating epidermal infections may exist (Murray et al., 2007; Held et al., 2014) (Figures 1 and 2). Interestingly, in *M. truncatula*, the cytokinin/CRE1 pathway negatively regulates the NF-induction of the ENOD11 epidermal infection marker (Figure 2), and reducing the cytokinin pool specifically in the epidermis positively impacts nodule (Jardineau et al., 2016) (Figure 1).

Several auxin-related genes, such as members of the Auxin Response Factor (ARF16a), GH3 (GH3.1), Indole-3-Acetic Acid (IAA9) and Small Auxin Up RNA (SAUR1) families, are also induced in the root epidermis and/or root hairs by *Rhizobium* and/or NFs (Breakspear et al., 2014; Jardineau et al., 2016) (Figure 2). In agreement, the auxin-response reporter DR5 fusion was detected in *Rhizobium* infected root hairs as well as the auxin-responsive GH3.1 gene, suggesting a role for auxins in epidermal infections (Breakspear et al., 2014; Laplaze et al., 2015) (Figures 1 and 2). Accordingly, an arf16a mutant shows a reduced rhizobial infection efficiency even though the number of nodule primordia and differentiated nodules remains unchanged, suggesting a positive role of auxins in the earliest stages of the rhizobial infection. A local accumulation of auxins depending on the AUX1 influx carrier was linked to *Frankia* nitrogen-fixing bacterial infections in the *Casuarina glauca* actinorhizal host plant forming symbiotic nodules evolutionarily related to the legume nodule (Péret et al., 2007; Perrine-Walker et al., 2010). Using a dominant-negative version of an auxin signaling repressor gene expressed in actinorhizal nodules, Indole-3-Acetic Acid7 (IAA7), to specifically inhibit auxin signaling in *Frankia*-infected cells, an increased actinorhizal nodule formation was observed (Champion et al., 2015). This suggests a model where auxins, notably produced by *Frankia* symbiotic bacteria, induce the degradation of IAA7 and thus activate auxin-signaling, ultimately leading to an inhibitory negative feedback on nodulation. Finally, an activation of flavonoid pathways was also detected in the root epidermis and in root hairs in response to rhizobia and/or NFs (Breakspear et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015; Jardineau et al., 2016), pointing to potential connections with cytokinin and auxin responses as recently described in early nodule organogenesis (Ng et al., 2015). Overall, these data indicate that in addition to their roles in nodule organogenesis, auxins, cytokinins and flavonoids may also regulate symbiotic bacterial infection (Figures 1 and 2).

Cytokinins and potentially auxins additionally likely regulate later symbiotic nodule development stages such as the nitrogren-fixation metabolism, as suggested by transcriptomic analyses of laser-dissected differentiated nodule zones (Roux et al., 2014). Concerning auxins, it was recently reported that an auxin-overexpressing *S. meliloti* free-living strain showed transcriptome changes reminiscent of a differentiated nitrogen-fixing bacteroid, suggesting that auxins may affect bacteria differentiation depending on an unknown signaling pathway (Defez et al., 2016). Changes in auxin metabolism and response occurring in a wild-type *Rhizobium* strain during its differentiation within nodules however remain to be demonstrated. Concerning cytokinins, the downregulation of the *Iso-PentenylTransferase 3* (*LjIPT3*) gene in *L. japonicus* differentiated nodules, decreases nodule nitrogenase activity (Chen Y. et al., 2014). Recent studies, respectively using a ckx3 mutant or cre1 and related ck cytokinin receptor mutants, indicate that cytokinins affect nitrogen fixation efficiency both in *L. japonicus* and in *M. truncatula* (Boivin et al., 2016; Reid et al., 2016). Interestingly, a genomic clone corresponding to the closest CHK cytokinin receptor from the *Arabidopsis* non–symbiotic plant, AHK4/CRE1, is able to rescue early cre1 nodule defects (i.e., the number of nodules per plants) but not to complement the nitrogen fixation deficiency (Boivin et al., 2016). Noteworthy, three *L. japonicus* hemoglobin encoding genes (*LjGLB161, LjGLB2* and *LjGLB3-1*) are transcriptionally regulated by cytokinins (Bustos-Sanmamed et al., 2011), and in *O. sativa*, the expression of some hemoglobin genes may be directly regulated by RRB cytokinin signaling transcription factors (Ross et al., 2004). This may imply that a potential direct connection between cytokinins and the nitrogen fixation metabolism exists. Finally, it was recently proposed that cytokinins could be involved in a systemic shoot-to-root negative regulation of nodulation (Sasaki et al., 2014). More detailed analyses are therefore required to understand and integrate the various roles of auxins and cytokinins at these different symbiotic nodule stages.

**Auxin and Cytokinin Regulations in Plant–Pathogen Interactions**

In the past decades, most of the studies on plant pathogen interactions have focused on how the pathogens infect plant aerial organs (e.g., leaves). Auxins and cytokinins have been recently highlighted to act in defense responses either depending on other defense-related hormones such as Salicylic Acid and Jasmonic Acid, or independently (reviewed in Naseem and Dandekar, 2012). It should be noted that the function of auxins and cytokinins in defense and immunity responses largely depends on the plant and organ involved, probably because of their divergent developmental roles notably in shoots versus roots. It is only recently that data have been gained using root pathosystems (Chen Y.C. et al., 2014). Some root pathogens are able to synthesize auxin- and cytokinin-like molecules (Estruch et al., 1991; Argueso et al., 2009), indicating that the production of these two hormones is not restricted to either beneficial (symbiotic) or detrimental (pathogenic) microorganisms. Amongst the best described examples, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and *A. rhizogenes* are soil pathogenic bacteria targeting dicot plants (Smith and Townsend, 1907; Costantino et al., 1980). These bacteria carry a plasmid containing a Transfer-DNA (T-DNA) region that can be integrated into the plant genome (Liu and Kado, 1979; Kutáček and Rovenská, 1991; Lee et al., 2009). The *A. tumefaciens* T-DNA encodes two transcripts, named *iaaH* and *iaaM*, encoding auxin biosynthetic enzymes (Wood et al., 2001), and the *trans-zeatin synthetizing* (*tzs*) gene involved in cytokinin biosynthesis (Akiyoshi et al., 1984, 1987; Hwang et al., 2010). The integration of genes encoding these phytohormonal biosynthetic enzymes into the host plant genome leads to cell
proliferation and a gall formation in the case of *A. tumefaciens*, or to root organogenesis in the case of *A. rhizogenes* “hairy roots”. Another well studied root pathogen is *P. brassicae*, which causes the clubroot disease in cruciferous plants such as *Brassica napus* (rapeseed) and *A. thaliana* (Hwang et al., 2012). The clubroot disease is characterized by the formation of galls on infected roots (Figure 1), leading to plant premature senescence. A microarray transcriptomic analysis performed on infected *A. thaliana* plants versus non-infected plants identified amongst differentially expressed genes, phytohormone-associated genes such as members of the auxin-related *GH3* gene family, or genes involved in the cytokinin biosynthesis (*AtIPT3* and *AtIPT8*), cytokinin degradation (*AtCKX1* and *AtCKX6*), cytokinin perception (*AHK4/CRE1*) and cytokinin signaling (ARR5 and ARR10; Siemens et al., 2006). Cytokinins and auxins were additionally functionally associated with early steps of the *P. brassicae* – *Arabidopsis* interaction in relation to the re-initiation of cortical cell divisions to form root galls, since an accumulation of isopentenyladenine and an enhanced auxin and cytokinin-related gene expression were identified (Ando et al., 2006; Devos et al., 2006; Schuller et al., 2014) (Figure 1). Interestingly, a link with flavonoid metabolic pathways was additionally highlighted since an accumulation of three types of flavonoids (naringenin, kaempferol and quercetin) was detected during clubroot gall formation (Påsöld et al., 2010). Strikingly, an endophytic fungus, *Heteroconium chaetospira*, was described as a competitor for root cortical cell colonization, suppressing clubroot disease in rapeseed, and upregulating an auxin biosynthesis gene (*BnAAO1* for Ascorbic Acid Oxidase; Lahlali et al., 2014).

Amongst well-described plant pathogens infecting the root system, *Aphanomyces euteiches* is an oomycete causing strong damage to legume crops (Gaulin et al., 2007). Infected roots become brown and necrotic, leading to a reduction in water and nutrient uptake, and later, to leaf chlorosis and plant death (Gaulin et al., 2007). Interestingly, the resistance against this root pathogen is correlated with an increased capacity of the host plant to form lateral roots (Djébali et al., 2009) (Figure 1). The *M. truncatula* mutant affecting the MtCRE1 cytokinin receptor show an increased rate of survival in response to the pathogen, and this tolerance is correlated with the higher ability of this mutant to form lateral roots (Laffont et al., 2015), a developmental phenotype also observed in *Arabidopsis* cytokinin receptor mutants (Chang et al., 2013). In addition, a high-density Genome Wide Association Study (GWAS) revealed that a locus linked to *Aphanomyces* tolerance was potentially encoding an IPT cytokinin biosynthetic enzyme (Bonhomme et al., 2014), further suggesting the involvement of cytokinins in plant-pathogenic interactions (Figure 1).

*Fusarium oxysporum* is an ascomycete fungus belonging to a broad group containing non-pathogenic as well as pathogenic species. Root pathogenic strains are able to infect a wide range of plants including cotton, tomato, banana and *Arabidopsis* (Chen Y.C. et al., 2014). A microarray transcriptomic analysis performed in cotton infected roots (Dowd et al., 2004) and a RNAseq analysis performed on *F. oxysporum* infected *Arabidopsis* root tissues revealed changes in auxin-related gene expression, such as members of the *GH3*, *PIN*, IAA and ARF gene families (Lyons et al., 2015). Both in vitro and in vivo exogenous applications of auxins improve tomato root growth but also prevent *F. oxysporum* spore germination, suggesting a positive role of auxins in the plant resistance to *F. oxysporum* (Sharaf and Farrag, 2004).

Finally, one of the most famous and destructive soil-borne bacteria is *Ralstonia solanacearum*, causing a rapid vascular wilt disease to more than 200 species, including legumes, tomato, potato, tobacco, banana, and *Arabidopsis* (Genin and Denny, 2012; Peeters et al., 2013; Huet, 2014; Yuliar et al., 2015). A putative plant resistance gene to this pathogen is *WAT1* (for *Walls Are Thin1*), required for secondary cell-wall deposition in *M. truncatula* (Ranocha et al., 2013). *WAT1* is involved in auxin homeostasis in relation to vacuolar auxin transport, and the inactivation of *WAT1* confers a broad spectrum resistance to several vascular pathogenic bacteria including *R. solanacearum* and *Xanthomonas campestris* (Denancé et al., 2013). Transcriptomic and metabolomic analyses demonstrated a repression of several genes linked to auxin metabolism in *wat1* mutant roots, correlated with a decrease of a major form of auxin (indole glucosinolate) and to a reduction in the amount of the auxin precursor tryptophan. Interestingly, crossing of the *wat1* mutant with a *trp5* mutant carrying a mutation of an anthranilate synthase (ASA1) provokes an over-accumulation of tryptophan, and restores *wat1* susceptibility to *R. solanacearum*. Altogether, these results suggest a positive role of auxins in secondary wall formation, as well as in the susceptibility to pathogenic *R. solanacearum* infections. In addition to auxins, an upregulation of cytokinin response genes, such as *CKX* and a few *RRAs*, was identified in *M. truncatula* by a transcriptomic approach in response to *R. solanacearum* (Moreau et al., 2014). This notably includes the MtRRA4 Response Regulator, which is transcriptionally upregulated by both cytokinins and *Ralstonia*, depending on the MtCRE1 cytokinin receptor. Accordingly, the *cre1* mutant shows an increased resistance to *R. solanacearum*, indicating a role of cytokinins in promoting root susceptibility to the pathogen.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Rhizospheric beneficial and detrimental microbes penetrate into root systems and tissues and trigger major modifications at organ, cellular and molecular levels, notably through modifications of developmental phytohormonal balances. The Table 1 summarizes roles of auxins and cytokinins in different root–microbe interactions. A main feature is that as auxins and cytokinins are critical to regulate cell division and differentiation, these hormones are therefore tightly associated with the formation of new organs such as lateral roots, nodules on legume roots in response to rhizobia, as well as galls for example in response to *A. tumefaciens* or to *P. brassicae* infection (Figure 1; Table 1). Most of the rhizospheric microbes, either symbiotic or pathogenic, affect the root system architecture, generally by altering lateral root formation and/or root hair growth (e.g., *Laccaria bicolor* and rhizobia; Table 1). In agreement, NFs and
### TABLE 1 | Summary of known functions of auxins and cytokinins in various root-microbe interactions.

| Root symbionts | Bacterial symbionts | Root pathogens |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| **ECM fungi** | **AM fungi** | **Rhizobia** | **Frankia** | **Many root pathogens produce auxin and agrobacteria transfer DNA encoding auxin-synthesis genes** |
| **Auxins** | - Auxin production by fungi stimulates lateral root and root hair formation | - Auxin production by rhizobia; ectopic auxin overproduction promotes nodulation | - Auxin response (GH3) is associated to Frankia infections | - Auxin response (GH3) is associated to P. brassicae gall formation (in relation to flavonoid accumulation?) |
| | - Ethylene production by fungi activates plant auxin production | - Auxin response (GH3, Aux/IAA, SAUR, DR5) associated to epidermal infections and cortical cell divisions/nodule primordia | - Auxin influx (AUX1) is associated to infected cells | - Auxin application may lead to the resistance to F. oxysporum |
| | - Auxin response (DR5, GH3) and transport (PIN) associated to infections | - Auxin response (GH3, Aux/IAA, SAUR, DR5) associated to epidermal infections and cortical cell divisions/nodule primordia | - A local inhibition of PAT (and PIN gene expression) is associated to rhizobial infections | - WAT1 auxin-deficient plants are more resistant to R. solanacearum |
| | - Auxin response (GH3, DR5) associated to infections | - The symbiotic inhibition of PAT depends on flavonoids and on cytokinins (see below) | - Auxin induces the degradation of Aux/IAA (e.g., IAA7) auxin-signaling repressors and inhibits nodulation | - Many root pathogens produce cytokinins and agrobacteria transfer DNA encoding cytokinin-synthesis genes |
| | - miR393 downregulates auxin perception and negatively regulates arbuscule formation | - ARF16a positively regulates infections in the root epidermis | - Cytokinins rapidly regulate several Nod factor signaling genes | - Cytokinin response (Type A RR) and metabolism are associated to P. brassicae gall formation (in relation to flavonoid accumulation?) |
| Cytokinins | - Cytokinin production by fungi (effect on roots?) | - Cytokinins negatively regulate infections and/or Nod factor signaling | - Cytokinins negatively regulate infections and/or Nod factor signaling | - Mutation of the CRE1 cytokinin receptor promotes resistance to A. euteiches and R. solanacearum |
| | - ? (some changes in cytokinin contents are observed) | - Cytokinins also likely regulate nodule differentiation and nitrogen fixation | - Cytokinins also likely regulate nodule differentiation and nitrogen fixation | - CRE1-cytokinin signaling acts upstream of specific flavonoid accumulation and of auxin transport inhibition and response |

The columns indicate the different symbiotic and pathogenic interactions and the rows indicate the phytohormones auxins and cytokinins. ECM, EctoMycorrhiza; AM, Arbuscular Mycorrhiza; GH3, Gretchen Hagen3; DR5, Auxin-response reporter; ARF, Auxin Response Factor; Aux/IAA, Indole-3-Acetic Acid Auxin-response protein; SAUR, Small Auxin Up RNA; PIN, Pin-formed protein; PAT, Polar Auxin Transport; RR, Response Regulator cytokinin response gene; CRE1, Cytokinin Response 1 receptor; LHK1, Lotus Histidine Kinase cytokinin receptor; TCS, Two Component output Sensor cytokinin-response reporter; WAT1, Walls Are Thin1; gof, gain of function.
Myc Factors, and the associated N₂-fixing and AM symbionts, induce lateral root development as part of the symbiotic response (Oláh et al., 2005; Maillet et al., 2011) (Figure 1). However, links likely existing with hormones controlling lateral root development, and notably auxins, remain to be identified. Auxins, cytokinins, and their associated signaling pathways are also required for inducing root cortical cell divisions, either in legume plants to generate nodule primordia in response to rhizobia, or in Brassicaceae plants to form galls in response to the P. brassicae pathogen (Figure 1; Table 1). Interestingly, in these distantly related host plants, cortical cell divisions are similarly associated with the accumulation of naringenin in these distantly related host plants, cortical cell divisions as well as to rhizobia symbiotic bacteria (Table 1). Using competitors of root cortical colonization such as endophytic fungi and/or rhizobia may then be a strategy to prevent root pathogen colonization. Understanding the different pathways used by beneficial and detrimental microbes to alter root system development, invade the root cortex, and sometimes to generate new organs, is a crucial challenge to develop integrated strategies to promote crop protection without altering symbiotic capacities, in the frame of sustainable agriculture and agro-ecology practices.
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