Nano-materials as anode electrocatalysts for microbial fuel cells
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Abstract

Recent progresses made in our laboratory on nano-materials as electrocatalysts for microbial fuel cells are summarized in this review. Compared to the cathode electrocatalysts for oxygen reduction reaction, which are similar to those used for other fuel cells such as proton exchange membrane fuel cells, our interest is mainly focused on the anode electrocatalysts with the aim to accelerate the charge transfer process on anodes. Various nano-materials have been developed in our laboratory as anode electrocatalysts, based on the concept that the charge transfer kinetics between anode and substrates is highly dependent of the bio-compatibility that favors the formation of biofilm on anode, and electrocatalytic activities towards the oxidation reactions of the redox couples in bacteria and the intermediates fermented by bacteria.

Introduction

While rechargeable lithium battery that was invented three decades ago is becoming more and more popular in today’s market of electrochemical energy storage and conversion, hydrogen fuel cell, which has an over one hundred years’ history and is believed to be the best technology for energy exploitation, has been being under its way to challenge the tough issues faced in the preparation, transportation and storage of hydrogen [1-6]. The difficulties presenting in the wide application of hydrogen fuel cell initiate researchers to find out alternatives to hydrogen fuel cell [1-4]. Among the alternative fuel cells that have been proposed, microbial fuel cell (MFC) is most attractive because it can use complicated compounds (substrates) such as waste as fuel to generate power [1].

The principle of MFC is the rearrangement of the digestion process of nutritive organic compounds (substrates) by organisms into a galvanic cell where the oxidation decomposition reaction proceeds on the bacteria-containing anode and the oxygen reduction reaction takes place on cathode [5-10]. Unfortunately, the power output of MFC is far smaller than other fuel cells, which restricts the application of MFC in large scale. The power output of MFC mainly depends on the charge transfer kinetics of anode and cathode and therefore electrocatalysts are necessary for both electrodes. Therefore, designing electrode electrocatalysts is one of the most important topics in the field of fuel cells. Compared to the cathode electrocatalysts for oxygen reduction reaction, which are similar to those used for hydrogen fuel cell and metal/air batteries [1-3] investigations are mainly focused on preparation and performances of the anode electrocatalysts of MFC.

In this review, recent research progresses that have made in our laboratory on the preparation and performances of nano-materials as anode electrocatalysts for MFC are summarized [11]. With the aim to accelerate the charge transfer kinetics on anode, we design the nano-materials based on the concept that the charge transfer kinetics between anode and organic substrates is highly dependent of the bio-compatibility that favors the formation of biofilm on anode, and electrocatalytic activities towards the oxidation reactions of the redox couples in bacteria and the intermediates fermented by bacteria.
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A pure β-molybdenum carbide (Mo₂C) was prepared by solution derived precursor and used as anode electrocatalyst of MFC based on *K. pneumoniae*. It is found that the maximum power density of single-cube MFC with 6.0 mg/cm² Mo₂C loading reaches 2.39 W/m², which is comparable to that of the MFC using 0.5 mg/cm² Pt (3.64 W/m²). With this encouraging result, the performances of Mo₂C were further improved by introducing the secondary components. Firstly, metal nickel was introduced. The MFC using Ni/β-Mo₂C as anode electrocatalyst delivers a higher power density than the MFC using β-Mo₂C as anode electrocatalyst. Then, carbon nano-tubes were introduced. The Mo₂C/CNTs composite was synthesized by using microwave-assisted method with Mo(CO)₆, as a single source precursor and its electrocatalytic activity was evaluated in the MFC based on *Escherichia coli*. It is found that the carbon felt electrode with 16.7 wt % Mo loading exhibits a comparable electrocatalytic activity to that with 20 wt % platinum as anode electrocatalyst. The superior performance of the developed platinum-free electrode can be ascribed to the bifunctional electrocatalysis of Mo₂C/CNTs for the conversion of organic substrates into electricity through bacteria. The composite facilitates the formation of biofilm, which is necessary for the electron transfer via c-type cytochrome and nanowires. On the other hand, the composite exhibits the electrocatalytic activity towards the oxidation of hydrogen, which is the common intermediate formed by bacteria. It is also found that MoO₃ can improve the electrocatalytic activity toward the oxidation of the intermediates fermented by bacteria [4].

A composite, polyaniline (PANI)/mesoporous tungsten trioxide (m-WO₃), was developed as a platinum-free and biocompatible anodic electrocatalyst of MFC. The m-WO₃ was synthesized by a replicating route and PANI was loaded on the m-WO₃ through the chemical oxidation of aniline [4]. It is found that the composite exhibits a unique electrocatalytic activity, which can be ascribed to the combination of m-WO₃ and PANI. The m-WO₃ has good biocompatibility and PANI has good electrical conductivity. Most importantly, the combination of m-WO₃ and PANI improves the electrochemical activity of PANI for proton insertion and de-insertion, which are beneficial for the oxidation of the intermediates fermented by bacteria. The nano-materials that exhibit electrocatalytic activity for mixed bacteria culture rather than a single bacterium were also considered. Iron carbide nanoparticles dispersed in porous graphitized carbon (Nano-Fe₃C@PGC) was synthesized by a direct pyrolysis of ferrous gluconate and a following removal of free iron and used as anode electrocatalyst [4]. Equipped with Nano-Fe₃C@PGC, the MFC based on a mixed bacteria culture yields a power density of 1856 mW m⁻². This excellent performance is attributed to the large electrochemical active area and the high electronic conductivity that porous graphitized carbon provides and the enriched electrochemically active microorganisms and the enhanced activity towards the redox reactions in organisms by Fe₃C nanoparticles.

Coast-modified molybdenum dioxide nanoparticles highly dispersed on nitrogen-doped carbon nanorods (Co-MoO₂/NCNDD) was also developed as anode electrocatalyst for mixed bacteria culture. Molybdenum dioxide nanoparticles dispersed on carbon nanorods (MoO₂/CND) are first synthesized by annealing MoO₂(C₆H₈N)₂·2H₂O nanorods under a control of argon flow rate, and the Co-MoO₂/NCNDD is obtained by a hydrothermal reaction of MoO₂/CND with cobalt acetylacetonate and the subsequent N-doping by dicyandiamide. Physical characterizations indicate that the as-synthesized Co-MoO₂/NCNDD is composed of molybdenum dioxide nanoparticles modified with cobalt oxide and highly dispersed in nitrogen-doped carbon nanorods that
inhibit the precursor morphology. The electrochemical measurements demonstrate that the MFC using this anode electrocatalyst in phosphate buffer solution containing 1 g L⁻¹ sodium acetate delivers a maximum power density of 2.06 ± 0.05 W m⁻², which is strikingly higher than the bare carbon felt anode (0.49 ± 0.04 W m⁻²). This improved performance is ascribed to the large surface area and the electronically conductive path that nitrogen-carbon nanorods provide and the enhanced electrocatalytic activity of molybdenum dioxide by cobalt modification toward redox reactions in bacteria.

Conclusion

The power output of microbial fuel cells is mainly restricted by the sluggish charge transfer kinetics on anode and cathode. On the anode, the charge transfer kinetics is highly dependent on the bio-compatibility and the electrocatalytic activity of the anode. The bio-compatibility of the anode can be improved by delicately designing surface morphology of carbon materials, especially their pore structure, introducing some metal oxides such as titanium oxide, and using the binders that exhibit strong interaction with bacteria. On the other hand, some transition metal carbides or oxides such as molybdenum, tungsten and iron carbides exhibit electrocatalytic activity towards the oxidation reactions of redox couples in bacteria and the intermediates fermented by bacteria, which is comparable to metal platinum. The performances of these materials can be further improved when they are used in highly dispersed nanoparticles.
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