Influence of Façade Configuration on Thermal Performance of Residential Unit of Typical Walk-up Flats in Surabaya
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Abstrak—Flat design has evolved and taken many shapes and forms. Most of the arrangements are arranged in a double-load layout where the units are positioned face to face along the corridor. Another type is two single-loaded flats that are configured to face the courtyard. Building façade also varies from those with shaded surfaces to those with minimum sun protection. Modification in design clearly has an impact on the thermal performance of the buildings. The aim of the study is to investigate the façade configuration on the thermal performance of the building. This study uses simulation software, namely, Design Builder, to predict the thermal performance of the buildings. Several variants of window areas, sun shading, balconies are arranged to explore their effects on thermal performance. Flat with yard selected as a reference model. The results showed that the smaller the window area and the greater coverage given to the window with a shading device, combined with the balcony, can provide a decrease in air temperature up to 0.57 °C, with a reduction in cooling degree hours percentage of 36.97% comparing to the reference model.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, large windows are popular in flats. Large windows are considered to be able to give a broad impression and a better living environment. On the other hand, the greater the transparent field in the facade has the worse effect of thermal performance of space. Nowadays, the shade function of sunscreen devices and balconies is diminishing, compared to previous flats. Therefore needed a passive control strategy with solar control of the facade elements on the thermal performance of space. Several previous studies regarding the investigation of facade elements on thermal performance have been conducted. These studies discuss the solar control strategy of the facade elements [1-3]. WWR (window to wall ratio) also plays an important role in significant energy savings (up to 50%) for the heating, cooling and lighting loads of buildings. The research conducted by F. Goia et.al presents the most optimal percentage of the transparent area that is 35-45% for office buildings [4]. The research uses an air conditioning system with HVAC, located in temperate oceanic climates. Other similar studies with multilevel residential building objects have been carried out in tropical climates. One of them is research by S. Tong et al, on experimental studies with measurements in the field in residential buildings [5]. The building has current residential characteristics, with a large window area. This research aims to evaluate the temperature of the air around the facade area due to the influence of the building facade design.

Sunscreen or shading devices can also affect the thermal performance of space. One of the researches about sunscreen was done by S. Liu et. al [6]. This study aims to propose a sunscreen device and evaluate the potential for energy savings. The study was conducted in summer conditions with the help of a simulation program. This research focuses on sunscreens for opaque facade areas, not for shading in the window area. The following studies also discuss the effectiveness of sunscreen configuration [7-8].

Studies on the combination of WWR and sunscreen have been implemented, with solar control strategies for building energy acquisition. In L. S.-L. Rendona et.al which supports the most effective recovery to increase energy savings, as well as natural lighting balance and visibility [9]. The variables reviewed consisted of the self-shading facade, shading devices, window-to-wall ratios and building installations. Development Strategy which is implemented in accordance with the area for subtropical and temperate zones, another research in A. Ghosh et.al a warm and humid climate in Kolkata, India, discuss the influence of geometric factors such as the ratio of windows to walls (WWR), hence, uses of external sunscreen devices to reduce the annual energy consumption of buildings [10].
The balcony is one of the facade elements that can be used as an object of a passive design control strategy. The balcony located in the front area of the opening is considered to have a cooling effect on the space inside. It occurs by relying on the physiological influence of the movement of the wind, according to S. Omrani, V. et.al, discuss thermal comfort in naturally ventilated buildings using balconies [11]. The aim is to investigate the effect of balconies on the natural ventilation performance and thermal comfort of residential buildings. On the other hand A. L. S. Chan et.al, states that the balcony can act as a shade provider, in terms of saving electrical energy from the room’s air conditioning system [12]. The study was conducted in Hong Kong, with the object of a living room balcony. The variables studied were in the form of building orientation and window glass material. The results obtained are flats with various orientations that are more energy efficient with the shading effect from the balcony.

Research on solar control strategies on building facade elements, in particular, WWR and sun shading, Many studies have been conducted to investigate thermal performance. Facade elements in flats in Surabaya continue to experience growth and change. Changes to the facade configuration affect the heat entering the space. It is still rare research that discusses the influence of typical changes in the current design of the facade of the current apartment, which is combined with some facade elements from previous types of flats. Facade elements studied are sunscreen configurations, balconies and comparison of different WWR areas. This study aims to evaluate the effect of facade configuration on the thermal performance of residential units in apartment buildings.

II. METHOD

Analysis and completion, also the proposed method of the study is carried out using simulations namely Design Builder to see the facade configuration that is most effective against the thermal performance of space. Design Builder uses the thermal equilibrium method to estimate the thermal performance of buildings. Previous studies have used experimental methods with simulations to find the best performance from thermal performance and building energy acquisition[6][10]. The independent variables used in this study are WWR configuration, sunscreen configuration, and balcony configuration. Dependent variables measured include air temperature, humidity, and wind speed.

A. Building Description

The object of research is the Siwalankerto flats located on
East Siwalankerto V Mulyosari road, Wonocolo Sub-district, Surabaya, East Java 60236. The Siwalankerto 2 flats unit is a type of studio unit with an open plan system, can be seen in the figure. 1. The object of research is on the 5th floor, with an area of 4.5 m x 5.25 m. The layout of the space in the layout of the apartment unit is following the typology study, which has a balcony on the side. But for the location of the kitchen is not front of the balcony area but adjacent to the entrance. The front facade of the flat consists of one large window, measuring 115 cm x 180 cm divided into two types of windows. The first window is at the top with the type of awning window measuring 115 cm x 90 cm, while at the bottom is a window with the same size of fix glass. While the facade in the corridor has a door and window with awnings, measuring 40 cm x 150 cm. The building facade has sunscreen, namely horizontal and 1 vertical overhang with a length of 0.4m show in Fig. 2.

**B. Experiments and Simulation**

The simulation procedure is carried out with the first step which is to determine the location of the simulation and enter local climate data. The research location is in the city of Surabaya with an ordinate point of 2.71°S and 112.715°E. The second step is to make the simulation model building according to the reference model. Furthermore to do the settings for simulation data input, ranging from activities, construction, and natural ventilation systems. Then enter the thermal simulation stage to find the value of air temperature, humidity and wind speed in the space being tested. Data from simulation results taken in the form of an average of every hour for 24 hours.

The existing building is used as a reference for the reference model in research. The building model in the simulation is made similar to the facade of the reference model which has a 40 cm long overhang, with a horizontal overhang type and 1 vertical overhang side. The building plan of the model has an area of 4.5 x 5.25 m it can be seen in Figure 3. The components of the simulation data input in Table 1.

Wind speed in space can be known from the CFD simulation results, by looking at the wind distribution of each reference point on the floor plan as in Figure. 4. Configuration of facade elements used as simulation models of 4 models, and 1 reference model. The arrangement of facade element components in the facade configuration model can be seen in Table 2.

Equation (1) represents to get a neutral temperature (Tn) from a range of acceptable comfort conditions that range from (Tn - 2.5) °C to (Tn + 2.5) °C [13]. The range limit of Tn can be used as a reference for the base temperature on CDH:

\[ Tn = 17.6 + (0.31 \times T_o\text{average}) \]  

Where

- Tn = Neutral temperature
- T_o = Average outdoor temperature

Equation (2) represents to get a cooling degree hour, which can be calculated by adding up the difference between dry-bulb temperature or the hourly indoor temperature and the standard reference temperature (base temperature)[13].

\[ \text{CDH} = \sum (T_i - T_b) \]
Whe re
N = Number of hours in a day,
Tb = The base temperature at which degree-days are calculated,
Ti = Average temperature per hour.

Equation (3) represents to get the minimum wind speed requirements in certain temperature and humidity conditions [14]:

\[ W_{Sc} = 0.15(DBT-27.2) + 0.56(RH-60)/10 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where
WSc = Required wind speed (m/s)
To = Average temperature (°C)
RH = Humidity (%)

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study was simulated using weather data from the city of Surabaya, Indonesia, which has a humid tropical climate. Previous climate data in 2019 can be used as a reference in research. The average air temperature is 28.1°C, with an average humidity of 76%. The average wind speed ranges from 6.4 to 7.8 m / s, with the dominant wind direction towards the East. The hottest month is November with an average temperature of 30.2°C, and the maximum temperature reaches 34.6°C. However in this hottest month, the humidity has decreased with a value of only 60%. The coldest month occurred in March with an average temperature of 27.7°C and humidity of 74.5%.

A. Influence of facade configuration on temperature profiles, humidity and wind speed

The temperature profile represented in Fig. 5. The air temperature in the room shows quite different results between the facades configuration 1 and 2. At the time of the hot peak during the day that is at 15:00, the air temperature in the facade configuration models 1, 3 and 4 have quite a large difference to the reference model. Facade configuration 1 model has a temperature difference of 0.91°C, facade configuration model 3 has a difference of 0.50°C and facade configuration 4 model has a difference of 0.57°C lower than the reference model. As for the facade configuration 2 model, it experiences an increase in air temperature when compared to the reference model with a difference of 0.33°C. This is in line with the significant increase in temperature outside the building and reaches its peak at 12.00 PM 32.33°C.

The humidity graph show in Figure 6. Humidity starts experiencing a decrease in fluctuations in the morning until the afternoon, and experiences the lowest peak at 14.00. The difference in the humidity of each facade configuration model compared to the reference model is quite significant during the decline period. The maximum difference value is 3.65% and the minimum difference is 1.24% for the reference model. Humidity in the room is inversely proportional to air temperature. This is in line with the significant increase in temperature outside the building and reaches its peak at 12.00 PM 32.33°C.

Wind speed at each measuring point in space show in Figure 7. The highest measuring points, F and G, are the closest point to the opening area. The highest value in the reference model is 0.2 m / s, which is lower than that of the figures.
The thermal performance of the room on the cooling degree hours values reference model by the facade configuration 2 is 19.14 higher than the outdoor conditions is always lower than the reference model. The value of cooling degree hours obtained from facade configuration 2 model is equal to 0.099m/s; conversely, the lowest value obtained by the facade configuration 1 model, which has an average value of 29.57°C. As for the underperformance obtained the facade configuration 2 model, which has an average value of 29.33°C higher than the reference model of 29.14°C.

Figure 10 presents the experimental results of the influence of the physiological effects of the wind on thermal performance. The average value of the highest wind speed obtained by facade configuration 2 model is equal to 0.099m/s; conversely, the lowest value obtained by the facade configuration 1 model, as much as 28.57°C. However, when compared to the condition of outdoor wind speeds reaching 4.47m/s, the condition of wind speeds is still large enough to be reduced while in the room.

B. Influence of facade configuration on thermal performance

Regarding the comfort threshold required for thermal performance, the results of the Tn calculation from outdoor temperatures are 26.07°C, with a lower limit of 24.07°C and an upper limit of 28.07°C. The experimental results show that conditions that enter the comfort range only at certain times. Calculation of cooling degree hours are obtained from equation (2). Figure. 8 discusses the cooling degree hours analysis conducted to determine the amount of difference between the comfort limit temperature and the temperature in the experimental model. The value of cooling degree hours in outdoor conditions is always lower than the reference model. The lowest cooling degree hours are obtained by facade configuration 1 of 6.48. Instead, the highest value obtained by the facade configuration 2 is 19.14 higher than the reference model of 15.46. Facade configurations 3 and 4 have cooling degree hours values that are not much different at 10.32 and 9.80. The thermal performance of the room on the acquisition of cooling degree hours is better if it has a smaller value.

Fig. 9 shows the duration of comfort obtained based on the average value of indoor air temperature. Facade configuration 1 model has a longer duration of a comfort compared to other models, which reaches 14 hours. Two models that have a similar duration of comfort namely facade configuration 3 and 4 for 10 hours. Another case in facade configuration 2 model has a shorter duration of a comfort compared to the reference model that is only for 8 hours, the average value of Ti for the lowest duration of comfort obtained facade configuration 1 model, as much as 28.57°C. As for the underperformance obtained the facade configuration 2 model, which has an average value of 29.33°C higher than the reference model of 29.14°C.

C. Performance Configuration of Facade Elements

The experimental results of four different facade configuration models, three of which have experienced a decrease in temperature compared to the conditions of the reference model show in Figure. 11. The highest reduction in air temperature is obtained from the facade configuration 1 model, with a reduction in temperature reaching 0.57°C. Subsequently followed by facades configuration models 3 and 4, each experiencing a decrease in temperature of 0.32°C and 0.36°C. Based on the results of this experiment, only the facade configuration 2 model experienced an increase in indoor air temperature of 0.19°C.
Figure. 12 shows the performance of the facade configuration to the percentage decrease in cooling degree hours for 1 day. A decrease in cooling degree hours can indicate a reduction in the amount of cooling required by the building. The largest decrease in cooling degree hours can be achieved by the facade configuration 1 model of 58.09% compared to the reference model. On the contrary, the poor performance was obtained by facade configuration 2, which experienced an increase in the percentage of cooling degree hours of the reference model by 23.80%. Facade configurations 3 and 4 have a not-so-different percentage decrease in cooling degree hours. Facade configuration 3 has a value of 33.25%, while the facade configuration 4 is 36.61%.

D. Discussion
Based on the results of experiments performed on facade configuration models, the best results were obtained by facade configuration 1 models on thermal performance. In case facade configuration 1 model has a difference in temperature reduction of 0.57°C compared to the reference model. The highest percentage decrease in cooling degree hours by facade configuration 1 is 58.09%. On the other hand, the underperformance of thermal performance in terms of obtaining an increase in indoor air temperature is obtained by case in facade configuration 2 model, which experiences an average rise in temperature of 0.19°C compared to the reference model. In addition, the percentage increase in cooling degree hours was also obtained by the facade configuration 2 model of 23.80%. The facade configuration 2 model has a wider WWR of 30%, which is responsible for the amount of heat gain in the room. This is according to V. Szokola, which states that the transparent element is a material that can transmit solar heat recovery both by convection and radiation into and outside the building [13]. However, when viewed from the side of wind speed in space, the facade configuration 2 model has the highest average wind speed reaching 0.1 m/s. The results of experiments using more extensive WWR can increase wind speed, in line with the theory put forward by F. Allard, [15]. Besides the use of balcony elements with an open type and a depth of only 10% also increases the speed of airflow in the room. This is since the air entering through the facade field is not directly reduced according to the research by S. Omrami, V et.all [11].

Facade configuration models 3 and 4 also have a fairly better performance against the thermal performance of the room. Facade configuration 3 model has a difference in the decrease in air temperature to the reference model of 0.32°C, facade configuration 4 has a difference in the decrease in air temperature to the reference model of 0.36°C. The percentage decrease in cooling degree hours was obtained by facades configurations 3 and 4 of 33.25% and 36.61%. Although the WWR area in the facade 3 configuration model is larger, the use of other shade elements such as a vertical overhang 0.6m long and a semi-enclosed balcony with a depth of 20% can provide adequate shade this corresponds to V. Szokolay, Steven, which states an external sunscreen device is the most effective tool for controlling sun penetration [13].

IV. CONCLUSION
The facade configuration model has a better influence on the thermal performance of the flat units. The best facade configuration model for the thermal performance of buildings is the facade configuration 1 model which can provide a decrease in air temperature of up to 0.57°C, with a reduction in the percentage of cooling degrees of 58.09%. Lower performance is produced by facade configuration 2 which has an increase in air temperature of 0.19°C. The results of this study indicate thermal performance can be obtained through a decrease in temperature, an increase in the percentage of decrease in cooling degree hours, and a comfortable duration period. Meanwhile the effect of the physiological effects of airflow velocity has not shown significant results.
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