Population-Based Estimation of Dental Caries and Periodontal Disease Rates of Gravid and Recently Postpartum Women in Lilongwe, Malawi
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Abstract

Objectives The objective of this study was to determine the rate of dental caries and periodontal disease among gravid and recently postpartum women at five delivery centers within and surrounding Lilongwe, Malawi.

Study Design We partnered with obstetric specialists, community health workers, and dentists to perform dental history interviews and dental examinations during the study period from December 2012 to May 2014. Dental examinations were performed according to World Health Organization standards to assess periodontal and oral health status.

Results Among the 387 gravid and recently postpartum women, the rate of dental caries was 69.3% and the rate of composite dental disease (caries and periodontal disease) was 76.7%. The majority (69.5%) of women examined had a decayed-missing-filled (DMF) index greater than or equal to one; the average DMF Index was 2.48. The majority of women had never seen a dentist (62.8%). However, most did perform oral hygiene, two or more times per day (90.2%); most women reported brushing with toothpaste (88.1%).

Conclusion When assessing this population for dental caries and periodontal disease, the rate of dental disease was high. Therefore, this may be an ideal setting to test for impactful interventions aimed at reducing caries and periodontal disease.
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Preterm birth (PTB) is the leading cause of childhood mortality worldwide. Malawi’s PTB rate is high with estimates ranging from 7.9 to 29.7. While the etiology of PTB is multifactorial, the association of PTB with infection and inflammation is well supported by decades of data. Although most studies focus on ascending infections from the genital tract, dental caries and periodontal disease have also been associated with PTB, and in many studies have proven to be an independent risk factor for PTB. Proposed mechanisms for this relationship include the inflammation and inflammatory response associated with caries and periodontal disease and the hematogenous spread of bacteria from the oral cavity to the placenta.

Given the known association between dental caries and/or periodontal disease and PTB, our primary aim was to measure the rate of dental caries and periodontal disease in the gravid (and recently postpartum) population with detailed and highly specialized dental examinations by in-country personnel and providers. Our hypothesis was that the rate of dental caries and periodontal disease would exceed 50%. We also sought to assess willingness to accept and measure compliance with a dental intervention.

Study Design

This study was approved by the National Health Sciences Research Committee with the Malawi Ministry of Health (IRB00003905, FWA00005976, NHSRC 1030). This study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration as revised in 2013. Baylor College of Medicine in Houston, Texas has collaborated with the Malawi Ministry of Health and the College of Medicine of Malawi to expand obstetrical and antenatal care with a focus on addressing the high rate of PTB in Malawi. This project was undertaken to assess the rate of dental caries and periodontal disease among gravid and recently postpartum women and to assess whether interventions to reduce the burden of dental caries and periodontal disease would be acceptable to the community.

To determine the rate of dental caries and periodontal disease in the gravid population, we partnered with obstetrician specialists, community health workers, local midwives, clinicians, and dentists in a 50 km region surrounding Lilongwe, Malawi. Health centers at the sites of Kabudula and Area 25 (rural health centers) and the sites of Kawale and Area 18 (urban health centers) along with Kamuzu Central Hospital (KCH), regional referral hospital, were designated as study sites. Primary/community health centers are comprised of outpatient units (including antenatal clinics), holding beds, maternity units, antenatal units, postnatal beds, and holding wards. Community health workers are individuals without formal health training whose role is to educate patients and community members through prepared speeches and songs; they also serve as liaisons with the community leaders to further promote health messages. In this study, the community health workers assisted with recruiting gravid and recently delivered postpartum women for dental assessments and assisted participants with completing the dental health interviews. The midwives and clinical officers, who participated in this project, were all local to the region of study, and the local dentists at the corresponding health center performed all dental examinations.

Data related to dental health interviews and exams were collected between December 21, 2012 and May 19, 2014. Community health workers approached gravid women seeking antenatal care at four local health centers and one referral center; women were invited to participate while waiting for their antenatal clinic visit and the full interview and examination occurred after their clinical care for the day was completed. Recently postpartum women (prior to discharge from the hospital, typically 24–96 hours of postpartum) were invited to participate following an introduction by their clinical officer if they were deemed safe to leave the postpartum unit for a dental examination. Inclusion criteria included that the gravid women have either a confirmed pregnancy or have recently given birth at the hospital or health center where the studies were occurring. For the purpose of this study, there were no exclusion criteria. Verbal informed consent was obtained from each participating woman by the community health worker. During this interview, they were invited to complete a dental history and be seen in the dental clinic for a dental examination.

Following enrollment and interviews, a dental-history interview was read aloud by community health workers who also completed the forms. Participants were asked how they cleaned their teeth (e.g., toothpaste, charcoal, chewstick/miswak [a common name for twigs used for cleaning teeth]) and the frequency with which they cleaned their teeth. Other questions queried whether they had ever seen a dentist and whether they had dental symptoms, such as bleeding gums. A trained dental officer performed the dental examinations of participants using Malawi Ministry of Health guidelines, which are in accordance with the World Health Organization guidelines; the status of each tooth was evaluated for caries, gingival bleeding, dental pockets, fluorosis, erosion, and traumatic injuries, and the status of the mucosa was also noted. The decayed-missing-filled (DMF) index was calculated. A composite dental score was also created; this composite dental score was positive if any of the following were detected: (1) caries, (2) gingival bleeding, (3) pockets of 4 mm or greater, (4) dental erosion, or (5) oral mucosal abnormalities.

We also assessed women’s willingness to use various dental interventions, initially via focus groups with a different population of women (reported separately) and also during the dental history interview. Based upon our focus group results, xylitol gum was chosen as a dental intervention to pilot at the rural health centers (Kabudula and Area 25), and compliance with the dental intervention was assessed.

Data were entered in real time directly into a deidentified centralized web-based survey tool which allows for local data entry in Malawi and distant data export and analysis in the United States. (SurveyMonkey Inc., Palo Alto, CA, www.surveymonkey.com).

As this was a population-based assessment to measure the rate of dental caries and periodontal disease in Lilongwe, Malawi, and the surrounding area, no sample size calculation was performed. Descriptive statistics are reported. Chi-square,
Fisher’s exact test, and the Student’s t-test were performed where appropriate. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 25.0 (IBM Corporation. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Armonk, NY).

**Results**

During the study period from December 21, 2012 through May 19, 2014, 387 women (384 gravid and three recently postpartum) agreed to participate in the dental history interview; 384 completed the detailed dental examination (three women completed the dental interview but were discharged and had to return home prior to completing the dental examination). Follow-up examinations and interviews were completed among 200 women.

Demographic characteristics of the women participating are shown in **Table 1**. Limited demographic data were collected with the dental history. The average age of participants was 25.2 years and did not vary significantly by site designation of rural, urban, or referral center. The ethnic composition varied by site, as did the participants’ occupation, and years of formal education. The average gestational age at the time of the initial dental interview and examination was 5.93 months (self-reported in months).

The majority of women had never previously seen a dentist (62.8%). Of those who had seen a dentist, most had not seen one in 1 to 2 years. The most common method of oral hygiene was brushing with toothpaste (88.1%). Significantly less women seen at urban health centers used rinsing as a method of oral hygiene ($p = 0.026$), and only 0.5% of women reported using gum, mints, or candies for oral hygiene.

Dental data for oral health and rates of dental caries and periodontal disease are shown in **Table 2**. The majority (69.5%) of women examined had a DMF index greater than or equal to 1.

---

**Table 1** Demographic data

| Characteristic | % or mean | Rural health centers (Area 25 and Kabudula) $(n = 218)$ | Urban health centers (Area 18 and Kawale) $(n = 161)$ | Kamuzu central hospital $(n = 8)$ | p-Value |
|----------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| Total          | 100.0     |                                                        |                                                     |                                  |         |
| Age (y): mean, (SD) | 25.2 (5.8) | 24.9 (5.8)                                            | 25.4 (5.8)                                          | 28.9 (2.7)                       | 0.13    |
| Gestational age (mo): mean, (SD)$^a$ | 5.93 (1.57) | 5.97 (1.54)                                           | 5.89 (1.62)                                         | 5.63 (1.41)                       | 0.76    |
| Ethnic group (n, %)$^b$ |          |                                                        |                                                     |                                  |         |
| Chewa          | 220 (56.8) | 160 (73.4)                                            | 58 (36.0)                                           | 2 (25.0)                         |         |
| Lomwe          | 32 (8.3)   | 7 (3.2)                                                | 24 (14.9)                                           | 1 (12.5)                         |         |
| Mang’anja      | 3 (0.8)    | 2 (0.9)                                                | 1 (0.6)                                             | 0 (0)                            |         |
| Ndali          | 1 (0.3)    | 0 (0)                                                  | 1 (0.6)                                             | 0 (0)                            |         |
| Ngoni          | 53 (13.7)  | 13 (6.0)                                               | 37 (23.0)                                           | 3 (37.5)                         |         |
| Nyanja         | 3 (0.8)    | 0 (0)                                                  | 3 (1.9)                                             | 0 (0)                            |         |
| Sena           | 6 (1.6)    | 3 (1.4)                                                | 3 (1.9)                                             | 0 (0)                            |         |
| Tonga          | 5 (1.3)    | 4 (1.8)                                                | 1 (0.6)                                             | 0 (0)                            |         |
| Tumbaka        | 15 (3.9)   | 5 (2.3)                                                | 9 (5.6)                                             | 1 (12.5)                         |         |
| Yao            | 22 (5.7)   | 5 (2.3)                                                | 16 (9.9)                                            | 1 (12.5)                         | $<0.001$|
| Years in school (mean, SD) | 8.0 (4.7)  | 6.8 (4.1)                                              | 9.1 (4.9)                                           | 15 (4.0)$^c$                     | $<0.001$|
| Occupation (n, %)$^d$ |          |                                                        |                                                     |                                  |         |
| Business       | 22 (5.7)   | 0 (0)                                                  | 18 (11.2)                                           | 4 (50)                           |         |
| Education      | 5 (1.3)    | 0 (0)                                                  | 5 (3.1)                                             | 0 (0)                            |         |
| Farmer         | 68 (17.6)  | 62 (28.4)                                              | 6 (3.7)                                             | 0 (0)                            |         |
| Health care worker (any type) | 6 (1.6)    | 4 (1.8)                                                | 2 (1.2)                                             | 0 (0)                            |         |
| Housewife      | 112 (28.9) | 76 (34.9)                                              | 36 (22.4)                                           | 0 (0)                            |         |
| Laborer        | 5 (1.3)    | 1 (0.5)                                                | 4 (2.5)                                             | 0 (0)                            |         |
| Police officer | 2 (0.5)    | 0 (0)                                                  | 1 (0.6)                                             | 1 (12.5)                         | $<0.001$|

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

Note: Chi-square test or Fisher’s Exact test performed for categorical variables, t-test for continuous variables.

$^a$Gestational age was by participants’ self-report in months.

$^b$Many did not report an Ethnic Group.

$^c$Several delivering at Kamuzu Central Hospital had college or doctoral degrees.

$^d$Many did not report an occupation.
equal to 1 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 64.9–74.1%); the average DMF index was 2.48 (95% CI: 2.20–2.76). Regarding the composite dental assessment, 76.7% (95% CI: 72.5–81.0%) had composite dental disease (comprised of caries and/or periodontal disease); 69.3% (95% CI: 64.7–73.8%) of women had caries, 38.0% (95% CI: 33.1–42.8%) of women had gingival bleeding, 32.0% (95% CI: 27.4–36.7%) of women had pockets (greater than 4 mm), 1.8% (95% CI: 0.5–3.1%) of women had dental erosions, and 0.5% (95% CI: 0.0–1.2%) had mucosal abnormalities. In the rural health centers, 75.2% (95% CI: 69.5–81.0%) of women had caries and/or periodontal disease; in the urban health centers, 78.9% (95% CI: 72.6–85.2%) of women had caries and/or periodontal disease; at KCH, 75.0% (95% CI: 45.0–100%) had caries and/or periodontal disease. The rates of caries and periodontal disease did not vary by site.

### Table 2 Dental history and examination findings

| Characteristic                          | % or mean | Rural health centers | Urban health centers | Kamuzu central hospital | p-Value |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Total                                  | 100.0     | (n = 218)            | (n = 161)            | (n = 8)                 |         |
| History of never having seen a dentist (n, %) | 243 (62.8) | 147 (67.4) | 93 (57.8) | 3 (37.5) | 0.051 |
| Timing since prior dental visit         |           |                      |                      |                         |         |
| Less than 6 mo                         | 18 (4.7)  | 12 (5.5)             | 6 (3.7)              | 0 (0)                   |         |
| 6–12 mo                                | 21 (5.4)  | 11 (5.0)             | 10 (6.2)             | 0 (0)                   |         |
| 1–2 y                                  | 43 (11.1) | 19 (8.7)             | 21 (13.0)            | 3 (37.5)                |         |
| 2–5 y                                  | 30 (7.8)  | 14 (6.4)             | 16 (9.9)             | 0 (0)                   |         |
| More than 5 y                          | 32 (8.3)  | 15 (6.9)             | 15 (9.3)             | 2 (25.0)                | 0.096   |
| Method of oral hygiene (n, %)           |           |                      |                      |                         |         |
| Rinsing                                | 38 (9.8)  | 29 (13.3)            | 8 (5.0)              | 1 (12.5)                | 0.026   |
| Brushing with toothpaste               | 341 (88.1)| 185 (84.9)           | 148 (91.9)           | 8 (100)                 | 0.064   |
| Finger with toothpaste                 | 12 (3.1)  | 9 (4.1)              | 3 (1.9)              | 0 (0)                   | 0.398   |
| Chewstick/miswak                       | 25 (6.5)  | 16 (7.3)             | 9 (5.6)              | 0 (0)                   | 0.597   |
| Dental floss                           | 1 (0.3)   | 1 (0.5)              | 0 (0)                | 0 (0)                   | 0.678   |
| Ash and dirt                           | 1 (0.3)   | 1 (0.5)              | 0 (0)                | 0 (0)                   | 0.678   |
| Toothpick (wooden or plastic)          | 1 (0.3)   | 0 (0)                | 1 (0.6)              | 0 (0)                   | 0.495   |
| Gum, mint, or candy                    | 2 (0.5)   | 2 (0.9)              | 0 (0)                | 0 (0)                   | 0.459   |
| Frequency of oral hygiene (n, %)        |           |                      |                      |                         |         |
| Two or more times per day              | 349 (90.2)| 193 (88.5)           | 148 (91.9)           | 8 (100)                 |         |
| Once per day                           | 36 (9.3)  | 24 (11.0)            | 12 (7.5)             | 0 (0)                   |         |
| More than once per week                | 1 (0.3)   | 1 (0.5)              | 0 (0)                | 0 (0)                   |         |
| Less than once per week                | 1 (0.3)   | 0 (0)                | 1 (0.6)              | 0 (0)                   | 0.622   |
| Dental scores                          |           |                      |                      |                         |         |
| DMF Index ≥ 1 (n, %)                   | 269 (69.5)| 148 (67.9)           | 115 (71.4)           | 6 (75.0)                | 0.72    |
| DMF Index                              | 2.48 (2.81)| 2.27 (2.53)         | 2.79 (3.17)          | 2.13 (1.81)             | 0.19    |
| Number of decayed teeth (mean, SD)     | 1.53 (1.98)| 1.39 (1.86)         | 1.75 (2.15)          | 1.13 (1.24)             | 0.18    |
| Number of missing teeth (mean, SD)     | 0.96 (1.44)| 0.86 (1.25)         | 1.12 (1.68)          | 0.63 (0.74)             | 0.18    |
| Number of filled teeth (mean, SD)      | 0.06 (0.53)| 0.07 (0.62)         | 0.05 (0.29)          | 0.38 (1.06)             | 0.22    |
| Active caries present (n, %)           | 268 (69.3)| 148 (67.9)           | 115 (71.4)           | 5 (62.5)                | 0.70    |
| Gingival bleeding present (n, %)       | 147 (38.0)| 80 (36.7)            | 64 (39.8)            | 3 (37.5)                | 0.83    |
| Pockets present (n, %)                 | 124 (32.0)| 67 (30.7)            | 56 (34.8)            | 1 (12.5)                | 0.34    |
| Erosion present (n, %)                 | 7 (1.8)   | 3 (1.4)              | 4 (2.5)              | 0 (0)                   | 0.67    |
| Abnormal mucosa (n, %)                 | 2 (0.5)   | 1 (0.5)              | 1 (0.6)              | 0 (0)                   | 0.96    |
| Composite dental score positive (n, %) | 297 (76.7)| 164 (75.2)           | 127 (78.9)           | 6 (75.0)                | 0.703   |

Abbreviations: DMF index, decayed-missing-filled index; SD, standard deviation.
Note: Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test performed for categorical variables, t-test for continuous variables.
Regarding women's willingness to use dental interventions, we queried whether women would be willing to use xylitol-containing gum, mint, or candy with the option of choosing any or all methods that they would be willing to use. Gum was the most commonly accepted intervention (66.1%). Mint and candy were accepted by only 26.6% and 10.6% of women, respectively. We, therefore, distributed gum to the rural health centers to assess compliance. Two hundred women presented for follow-up dental examinations (88 from the rural health centers and 112 from the urban health centers). Forty-two of these women were postpartum at the time of follow-up examination. Of the women who were still pregnant at the time of the follow-up examination, the average gestational age was 6.74 months (self-reported in months). Where gum was distributed, 100% women reported using the gum at least once a day, and 92.0% women reported using the gum twice daily, as recommended.

Discussion

When performing a population-based estimation of the rate of composite dental disease of gravid and recently postpartum women at selected sites in a 50 km region surrounding Lilongwe, Malawi, the rate was 76.7%; among them, 69.5% women had a DMF index greater than or equal to one. This oral disease was mostly comprised of dental caries, but a significant number of women also demonstrated periodontal disease with gingival bleeding and pockets.

While the majority of women had not seen a dentist, most did perform oral hygiene by brushing with toothpaste (88.1%) and reported performing this hygiene twice or more daily. A minority used rinses (less in urban settings) and of the whole population, only two (0.5%) used gum, mints, or candies for oral hygiene. Our dental examination findings are congruous with those of prior investigators who estimate that 85.4% of pregnant women in Malawi have some degree of dental caries and/or periodontal disease with over 50% demonstrating caries and 23.5% having periapical infections.20–22 These investigators notably found that pregnancies with periapical infections were shorter in duration than those without such infections.21

Several studies and meta-analyses have demonstrated promise in improving perinatal outcomes, notably PTB, by treating dental caries and/or periodontal disease,25,26 although more recent studies and meta-analyses have not demonstrated clear benefit in terms of PTB.27 However, treatment does appear to improve maternal oral health, and, importantly, does not increase the risk of PTB.27–29 It may be that treatment only decreases PTB if disease improves,30 or it may be that treatment during pregnancy is too late, but treatment in the prepregnancy or interpregnancy period is beneficial.31 However, many low and middle income countries (LMICs) have a shortage of qualified dental providers to perform invasive interventions; here, we demonstrated that the majority of patients had not previously seen a dentist. Therefore, a practical, easy to distribute, and simple to use dental intervention, which did not require clean water, was sought.

Our next step was to assess whether women were willing to use such an intervention in addition to the oral hygiene, they were already using. Xylitol has been shown to decrease dental caries, the decay-missing-filled index/score (DMF index or DMFS), and decrease inflammation: traits which are partly attributed to the oral microflora’s inability to metabolize it. Through pretest focus groups, we queried whether gum, lozenges, or lollipops would be preferable, and gum was preferred.24 When this intervention was distributed, among women returning for a return dental visit, compliance was high with 92.0% of women reporting usage of twice daily. These findings suggest that, if such an intervention were utilized to target reduction in oral disease in pregnant women (and possible thereby reduce PTB), this intervention would be acceptable and compliance rates may also be acceptable.

Strengths of this study include the fact that all dental examinations were completed by trained dental officers in accordance with World Health Organization standards. Potential strengths also include the fact that compliance with the follow-up dental examination was reasonably high at 51.7%. Compliance with the intervention (xylitol-containing gum) was high as well. Thus, future studies that seek to intervene with these agents may anticipate similarly high rates of compliance.

This study has significant limitations. No obstetric data were obtained during the dental history interview; therefore, we are not able to correlate the dental findings with the participants’ obstetric outcomes. The majority of women in this study were pregnant at the time of the dental interview, thus obstetric outcomes had not yet occurred. However, the relationship between caries and/or periodontal disease and PTB has been thoroughly explored in prior studies.6,8,9,21 Other limitations include the fact that significantly more women sought antenatal care and birthed during this time period than agreed to present for the dental interview (due to location outside the area of the antenatal clinic and general maternity ward and occasionally long wait times), so our sample population may not be representative of the overall population of women delivering at these centers. Indeed, the rate of oral disease in this study is slightly lower than seen by other investigators.20–22 Other limitations include the use of the DMF index, which has been reported to underestimate the real prevalence of caries and need for treatment. Finally, the assessment of compliance was self-reported. Counting the remaining pieces of gum could improve the reliability of this assessment but does not ensure that the gum was used as directed or that other individuals were not also using the gum.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the rate of composite dental disease among sampled pregnant or recently postpartum women within and surrounding Lilongwe, Malawi, is high, at 76.7%. While the etiology of PTB is multifactorial, in this population, dental caries and periodontal disease is potentially a significant contributor. The acceptance of and compliance with the use of xylitol gum, as an intervention, was also high in this population. Therefore, Lilongwe,
Malawi, may be an ideal setting for future trials investigating the efficacy of xylitol-containing gum as an intervention to reduce PTB.
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