A high-capacity cathode for rechargeable K-metal battery based on reversible superoxide-peroxide conversion
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ABSTRACT

As a promising low-cost energy storage device, the development of a rechargeable potassium-ion battery (KIB) is severely hindered by the limited capacity of cathode candidates. Regarded as an attractive capacity-boosting strategy, triggering the O-related anionic redox activity has not been achieved within a sealed KIB system. Herein, in contrast to the typical gaseous open K-O\(_2\) battery (O\(_2\)/KO\(_2\) redox), we originally realize the reversible superoxide/peroxide (KO\(_2\)/K\(_2\)O\(_2\)) interconversion on a KO\(_2\)-based cathode. Controlled within a sealed cell environment, the irreversible O\(_2\) evolution and electrolyte decomposition (induced by superoxide anion (O\(_2^\cdot\)) formation) are effectively restrained. Rationally controlling the reversible depth-of-charge at 300 mAh/g (based on the mass of KO\(_2\)), no obvious cell degradation can be observed during 900 cycles. Moreover, benefitting from electrolyte modification, the KO\(_2\)-based cathode is coupled with a limited amount of K-metal anode (merely 2.5 times excess), harvesting a K-metal full-cell with high energy efficiency (∼90%) and long-term cycling stability (over 300 cycles).

Keywords: electrochemistry, rechargeable battery, beyond Li-ion battery, long-term cycle life, high capacity cathode

INTRODUCTION

Enlarging the energy density is a universal and eternal topic for energy storage devices, especially for low-cost potassium-ion battery (KIB) technology, in which the limited specific capacities of the cathode seriously hinder its further development \([1–6]\). Due to the confined choices of cathode candidates, sluggish progress has been made on the cathode side of KIBs \([7–9]\).

Introducing the O-related anionic redox activity into the cathode reaction has been regarded as a promising way to boost the specific capacity, e.g. Li/Na-O\(_2\) batteries and Li/Na-rich layered oxide cathodes \([10–13]\). Actually, as for the potassium-based system, the non-aqueous K-O\(_2\) battery relying on O-related pure anionic redox (the conversion between gaseous O\(_2\) and KO\(_2\)) was originally introduced by Wu’s team in 2013 \([14]\), and has made remarkable progress with regard to the aspects of electrolyte stability and KO\(_2\)/electrolyte interface in the past seven years \([14–20]\). However, the K-O\(_2\) battery would inevitably suffer from the intrinsic challenges of the typical gaseous open battery system \([21,22]\). For instance, during the practical evolution from K-O\(_2\) to K-air battery, the moisture and CO\(_2\) from the air would essentially influence both the cathode reaction pathway and anode stability \([23]\), while carrying the cumbersome supplementary facility (either air purifier devices or an O\(_2\) storage cylinder) would add huge burden on improving energy density. In this case, there is still a long way to go to evolve the gaseous open battery system into a practical energy storage device, while controlling the redox among various solid phases within a typical sealed cell environment seems to be more practical \([21,22,24,25]\), e.g. interconversion among superoxide, peroxide and oxide for KIB technology. Unfortunately, so far no attempt has been reported to successfully confine the high-energy-density O-related anionic redox reaction within a practical sealed cell environment in a KIB. The pioneering realization of the K-O\(_2\) battery has demonstrated that...
KO$_2$ can be regarded as a thermodynamically stable compound for K-ion storage [14,26]. Furthermore, enlightened by the recently-arisen oxide/peroxide-based cathode reaction in lithium-related battery systems (Li$_2$O/Li$_2$O interconversion) [24,25], we propose a cathode reaction that operates via superoxide/peroxide (KO$_2$/K$_2$O$_2$) interconversion, in which a theoretical specific capacity of 377 mAh/g (based on the mass of preloaded KO$_2$) can be expected at a thermodynamic equilibrium potential of 1.92 V vs. K/K$^+$ [27]. In this proposed system, not only the traditional electrolyte stability issue (against superoxide anion, O$_2^-$) should be considered, but also the charge overpotential should be rationally controlled to restrain O$_2$ evolution (corresponding thermodynamic equilibrium potential of OER is summarized in Supporting Data).

In this work, the proposed non-O$_2$ reversible KO$_2$/K$_2$O$_2$ interconversion has been successfully achieved by embedding KO$_2$ into a catalytic ruthenium dioxide (RuO$_2$) nanoparticles-loaded reduced-graphene-oxide (rGO) matrix. Demonstrated as the culprit for irreversible O$_2$ evolution and electrolyte decomposition, the formation of a superoxide anion (O$_2^-$) has been restrained by the fabrication of a unique K-deficient K$_{1-x}$O$_2$-Ru intermediate state on the electrode/electrolyte interface during charging. As a result, the half-cell presents merely 0.2 V average round-trip overpotential and provides a reversible capacity of 300 mAh/g (based on the mass of preloaded KO$_2$) during 900 cycles. Moreover, benefitting from electrolyte modification, the corresponding full-cells deliver superior cycling stability after being assembled with a limited amount of excess K-metal anode, which is remarkably competitive with other state-of-the-art KIB systems.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to make fair comparisons with regard to cathode energy density in KIBs, the cathode candidates should be grouped into two categories (based on different pristine states): K-contained and K-deficient states (Fig. 1a and Table S1). As for the proposed KO$_2$/K$_2$O$_2$ redox process, the pristine KO$_2$ state is the K-deficient state, which has a prominent advantage over other candidates (Prussian blue cathode, etc.) [8,9,28–31]. Meanwhile, compared to other K-contained cathodes (layered oxides, etc.) [2,32,33], the corresponding K$_2$O$_2$ (K-contained discharged state) also presents large superiority on the aspect of energy density. As the cathode matrix, RuO$_2$@rGO nano-composite is prepared via a typical microwave-hydrothermal method [34], in which the RuO$_2$ nanoparticles (NPs, 2–3 nm in size, RuO$_2$: 75 wt%) are homogeneously dispersed onto the rGO sheet (Fig. S1). After sufficient ball-milling procedure, KO$_2$ is mixed with the RuO$_2$@rGO matrix (KO$_2$: 60 wt%), and KO$_2$-RuO$_2$@rGO cathode composite can be harvested, which is identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD) characterization (Fig. 1b). Visual transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images clearly show that KO$_2$ NPs (10–15 nm in size) are well dispersed and embedded within the RuO$_2$@rGO matrix (inset Fig. 1b and Fig. S2). In the bulk cathode, the stacking of 2D rGO sheets would finally lead to an interlaced 3D network, in which the conductive pathway can be well guaranteed by the enfolding mode of KO$_2$ and rGO substrate, while the tiny catalytic RuO$_2$ NPs intimately surround the KO$_2$ active materials, and sufficiently enrich their contact sites. The role of RuO$_2$ will be further interpreted subsequently.

Within a half-cell, the as-prepared KO$_2$-based cathode is coupled with a huge excess amount of K-metal (merely for cathode assessment), the electrolyte issue will be discussed in the following full-cell section. As for RuO$_2$-free KO$_2$-rGO cathode (gray trace, Fig. 1c), the discharge process is initially conducted for KO$_2$ reduction, while the subsequent oxidation/charging potential rapid climbs and then surpasses the thermodynamic oxygen evolution reaction (OER) potentials of both K$_2$O$_2$ and KO$_3$ (2.2 V and 2.48 V, respectively). A very different electrochemical behavior can be observed on the KO$_2$-RuO$_2$@rGO cathode (blue trace, Fig. 1c), in which a long flat plateau can be obtained with an average potential of 1.88 V vs. K/K$^+$ (0.06 V overpotential vs. thermodynamic potential) and a specific capacity of 355.6 mAh/g (94.3% depth-of-discharge vs. theoretical capacity). In order to control the reduction process, the cutoff voltage has been set at 1.5 V, at which the inactive RuO$_2$-rGO substrate would not participate in the electrochemical redox process (Fig. S3). During subsequent charging, a plateau can be harvested with tiny polarization during the initial depth of 300 mAh/g. While, at the end of charging, the polarization phenomenon can be ascribed to the overcharge and OER. Turning to cycling performance (Fig. 1d), after a pre-discharging procedure, the KO$_2$-RuO$_2$@rGO cathode presents long-term cycling stability with a cutoff charge depth at 300 mAh/g (to avoid undesired OER). After 750 cycles, obvious potential polarization can be observed at the end of charging, and the final charge potential touches the ‘OER redline’ (K$_2$O$_2$ OER potential: 2.2 V) at the end of the 750th cycle. During long-term cycling, the discharge/charge
Figure 1. Characterizations and half-cell performance of the KO2-based cathode for KIBs. (a) Theoretical output potential, specific capacity and energy density for the KO2 and other typical K-ion battery cathodes. (b) XRD pattern and TEM images of KO2-RuO2@rGO cathode composites. The XRD pattern of KO2-rGO is shown for comparison. (c) Galvanostatic discharge/charge curves (the initial cycle) of KO2-rGO (gray traces) and KO2-RuO2@rGO (blue traces) cathodes, respectively. (d) Typical discharge/charge curves of the KO2-RuO2@rGO cathode collected in the half-cell (coupled with large excess amount of K-metal anode). The average overpotentials (vs. thermodynamics 1.94 V) upon charging and discharging are shown in the inset for clarity. The current density is fixed at 300 mA/g.

overpotential has been effectively restrained (Fig. 1d, inset), resulting in an average round-trip efficiency of 88.9% at a large current rate of 300 mA/g. Taking comprehensive assessment aspects into consideration (current density, cycling stability, specific capacity, energy/coulombic efficiency, etc.), the performance of the currently-introduced KO2-based cathode delivers considerable improvements beyond other reported cathode candidates in KIBs (Table S2). Moreover, the mass loading of KO2 is controlled around 3.0–4.0 mg/cm2, thus, the areal specific capacity of the current cathode can reach 0.9–1.2 mAh/cm2, which is indeed competitive even compared with typical K-O2 open battery systems.

Before systematically analyzing the electrochemical redox behavior, the difference between the superoxide (KO2) and superoxide anion (O2−) should be emphasized in advance. Typically, within non-aqueous metal-O2 batteries, the O2 molecule is initially reduced to an O2− anion (oxygen reduction reaction, ORR), which would carry out a strong nucleophilic attack on organic electrolyte components, resulting in electrolyte decomposition [35,36]. After being combined with K-ion and deposit as a solid state (KO2 superoxide compound), the nucleophilic character can be essentially restrained [17,20,26]. Based on the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) observations on ether solvent (tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether, TEGDME, G4), after aging with KO2 powder for one week, no obvious decomposition can be observed (blue trace, Fig. 2a). Once trace 18-crown-6 is added to extract the K-ion, the superoxide (KO2) would dissolve and
Figure 2. The redox behavior of KO$_2$-based cathodes. (a) $^1$H NMR spectra of D$_2$O-extracted G4-based electrolyte samples. After aging with KO$_2$ powder (one week), the parasitic products are demonstrated by the comparison with corresponding KO$_2$-free blank sample (gray traces). 18-crown-6 is employed to extract the K-ion from KO$_2$, and induces the formation of a free O$_2^-$ anion. (b) Typical bulk-sensitive operando Raman spectra and (c) surface-sensitive surface-enhanced operando SHINERS spectra observed on KO$_2$-rGO and KO$_2$-RuO$_2$@rGO cathodes recorded during initial galvanostatic cycle. The capacity-dependent spectra are offset, and the related spectral contour plots are shown for clarity. (d) Galvanostatic curves during operando observation.

In this case, as for the superoxide-involved battery systems, the solubility of superoxide in the electrolyte system should be strictly controlled (Fig. S4). In other words, as an ‘Achilles’ heel’, the superoxide anion (O$_2^-$) is an unescapable discharge intermediate product in typical metal-O$_2$ batteries during ORR [37]. However, upon KO$_2$/K$_2$O$_2$ interconversion process, the production of O$_2^-$ can be reasonably eradicated, if we protect KO$_2$ against further oxidation during charging (limit the redox between KO$_2$/K$_2$O$_2$, but do not extend to O$_2$/KO$_2$). In summary, as the chief culprit for both electrolyte decomposition and irreversible O$_2$ evolution, the formation of O$_2^-$ should be critically restrained, which is the core mission for the achievement of reversible KO$_2$/K$_2$O$_2$ interconversion.

Operando Raman spectroscopy is firstly employed to reveal the electrolyte redox process of KO$_2$-based cathodes (Fig. 2b), in which the skin depth of typical Raman (0.1–5.0 μm related to electronic conductivity and magnetic permeability) can
be regarded as a bulk measurement as the particle size of KO$_2$ lies between 10–15 nm. As assigned in Fig. S5, the sharp peak located at 1146 cm$^{-1}$ indicates the O–O stretch within the KO$_2$-preloaded cathode at the open circuit voltage (OCV) state \[38\]. The spectra collected from the KO$_2$-rGO and KO$_2$-RuO$_2$@rGO cathodes share a similar variation trend upon the initial discharging processes (around 175 mAh/g), during which the reduction of superoxide peak is accompanied by the growth of the peroxo-related one (at 759 cm$^{-1}$) \[39\]. However, upon subsequent charging on KO$_2$-rGO (left group, Fig. 2b), the peroxide has not been totally oxidized, nor the superoxide restored, which indicates an irreversible redox process. As a comparison, on the KO$_2$-RuO$_2$@rGO cathode (right group, Fig. 2b), symmetrically reversible variation trends can be clearly observed for both peroxide consumption and superoxide restoration, which indicates the achievement of reversible KO$_2$/K$_2$O$_2$ interconversion. The corresponding capacity-dependence of Raman peak intensities and offset Raman spectrum are shown in Figs S6 and S7 for clarity. Strictly speaking, due to the relationship between skin depth and absorbance, operando Raman intensity variation can be regarded as a general quantification analysis, but not a rigorous one. In this case, by the combination of ‘double-check’ titrations and a gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer (GC-MS) characterization, we develop a more precise quantitative method \[25\], and the results reprove the reversible interconversion between KO$_2$ and K$_2$O$_2$ during cycling on the KO$_2$-RuO$_2$@rGO cathode (Figs S8 and S9).

Continuously, we employ in situ shell-isolated nanoparticle-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SHINERS) \[40\], a surface-sensitive operando observation (probe depth: $\sim$1.5 nm), to investigate the behaviors on the electrode surface/interface, which are essentially helpful to deeply reveal the electrochemical redox processes (Fig. 2c). Regardless of the broad peak around 1150 cm$^{-1}$ assigned to the electrolyte (consistently existing during discharge/charge), a sharp peak at 1146 cm$^{-1}$ (O–O stretch in KO$_2$) can be observed at the pristine state of each KO$_2$-preloaded cathode, which rapidly disappears at the initial stage of discharging. This indicates the surface of KO$_2$ NPs has been reduced to K$_2$O$_2$, which shields the signal from the bulk KO$_2$ core. While, from the beginning of charging, a sharp difference can be observed between KO$_2$-rGO (left pattern, Fig. 2c) and KO$_2$-RuO$_2$@rGO (right pattern, Fig. 2c) cathodes. On the surface of the KO$_2$-rGO cathode, the peak located at 1101 cm$^{-1}$ gradually increases during charging, which is assigned to the adsorbed superoxide anion species (Fig. S5) \[37\]. Simultaneously, the appearance of the carbonate-related peak (at 1063 cm$^{-1}$) can be rationally ascribed to the superoxo-induced electrolyte degradation \[41\]. While, on the KO$_2$-RuO$_2$@rGO cathode surface, a new peak located at 1137 cm$^{-1}$ appears and rapidly becomes saturated at the initial stage of charging. In principle, at the very beginning of charging, the K$_2$O$_2$ (formed upon discharging) on the surface lose a K$^+$ and are oxidized to the form of superoxo species. Without RuO$_2$, surface K$_2$O$_2$ directly evolved into free superoxide anion, which presents strongly nucleophilic properties and can easily be further decomposed. In the RuO$_2$-contained condition, the surface species can be rationally assigned to superoxide rather than superoxide anion, since it demonstrates a more combining state than a free anion state. Explained by Raman-related language, the peak located at 1101 cm$^{-1}$ (free anion state, RuO$_2$-free condition) indicates a larger O–O bond distance than the 1137 cm$^{-1}$ peak observed in the RuO$_2$-contained condition \[38,39,42,43\]. In this case, the interaction between K$^+$ cation and superoxo-anion presents much more strongly at 1137 cm$^{-1}$ (RuO$_2$-contained condition), and close to the fully-compound state (KO$_2$, 1146 cm$^{-1}$) \[37\]. In other words, rather than oxidize to a free anion state upon charging, the KO$_2$-RuO$_2$@rGO cathode can be protected by a moderate K-deficient superoxide compound state (K$_{1.9}$O$_2$ state), a more stable and mild intermediate state than nucleophilic anion (O$_2^−$). Moreover, the electrolyte decomposition and carbonate formation cannot be observed on the KO$_2$-RuO$_2$@rGO cathode during charging, which is consistent with the moderate property of K-deficient K$_{1.3}$O$_2$ state.

Based on the surface investigations and related comparison, the RuO$_2$ plays an important role for the as-obtained K-deficient superoxide state during charging. Hard X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) is employed to further investigate the role of RuO$_2$ (Fig. 3a). Compared with the K-edge Ru spectrum collected from RuO$_2$ standard, the discharged state stays on Ru$^{4+}$, since we cannot observe any obvious difference on near edge (XANES) nor extended region (EXAFS). However, at charged state, the Ru K-edge peaks shift towards higher energy, which can be attributed to the oxidation of Ru$^{4+}$ into a higher valence state. The first derivative plot of XANES region (inset Fig. 3a) clearly shows the peaks shift. Due to the negligible charge capacity contribution from Ru$^{4+}$ on KO$_2$-free RuO$_2$@rGO cathode (Fig. S3), the RuO$_2$ would not directly participate in the charge compensation, but there still exists an interaction between RuO$_2$ and surface KO$_2$, resulting in the
formation of a surface K-deficient K_{1-x}O_{2} phase. On the aspect of molecular orbital, the covalency state between 4d transition metal (e.g. Ru) and peroxo-like (O_{2})^{−2} species has been reported to enhance the stability of the O-related anionic redox process via orbital hybridization (4d-σ* remixing) and reductive coupling formation [44,45]. Moreover, a similar intermediate phase has been reported within the Li-deficient Li_{2-x}O_{2} phase, which is assumed to prevent peroxide against OER decomposition [25,46]. In this case, combining the systematical information harvested from Raman (formation of the K-deficient superoxide phase) and XAS (interaction with Ru^{4+}), we rationalize that the formation of a K-deficient K_{1-x}O_{2}-Ru surface phase prevents the further de-potassiation and O_{2}− formation, while, without the stabilization from RuO_{2}, the unstable intermediate K-deficient superoxide phase would immediately turn to anion state during subsequent charging. The electrochemical redox process is schematically illustrated in Fig. S10. Moreover, without the formation of the K-deficient K_{1-x}O_{2}-Ru surface phase, a free O_{2}− anion would either suffer from further oxidation or attack the electrolyte, resulting in the release of both O_{2} and CO_{2}, which was proven by in situ differential electrochemical mass spectroscopy (DEMS, Fig. 3b). As a comparison, O_{2} evolution cannot be observed on the KO_{2}-RuO_{2}@rGO cathode during five reversible cycles. This negative gas evolution coincides well with the reversible KO_{2}/K_{1/2}O_{2} redox process, which was previously demonstrated by both electrochemical and spectroscopic evidence.

Finally, we want to further extend the currently-introduced cathode KO_{2}/K_{1/2}O_{2} redox reaction from proof-of-concept half-cell stage into the full-cell level. As shown in Fig. 4a, after being coupled with different anode candidates (carbon and K-metal, etc.) [47–50], the full-cell energy density would suffer from an obvious drop versus corresponding cathode energy density. The related conversion and calculation procedures on full-cell energy densities are illustrated in Fig. S11. In order to boost the full-cell energy density, we employ the most challenging K-metal anode for full-cell fabrication, and assemble the full-cell with limited amount of excess K-metal as anode (Fig. 4b and c). Relatively speaking, the K-contained cathodes (layered oxides, etc.) present as being more suitable to couple with K-deficient anodes (graphitic and phosphorous materials, etc.), in which the K-ion stored in the cathode would meet the ion transfer. While, as for K-deficient cathodes (Prussian blue, TiS_{2}, organic cathodes, O_{2} cathode and KO_{2} herein, etc.), the K-contained metallic potassium would be the priority anode candidate. The electrolyte modification is remarkably important for enhancing the reversibility and efficiency of the K-metal anode. Benefitting from the positive role of classic bis[(fluorosulfonyl) imide (FSI) anion [17], we further introduce a trace amount (5 wt%) of fluoro-ether additive to strengthen the stability of the K-metal surface (e.g. solid-state-interface) in the ether-based electrolyte system, resulting in a K–K symmetric cell with 1000 hours long-term plating/stripping stability (Fig. S12) and K-Cu half-cells performing high coulombic efficiency (CE% > 99%) before ~250 cycles (Fig. S13). Herein, we do not carry out a deep investigation/characterization on the K-metal anode side, but provide the performance of fluorinated ether/carbonate candidates as a promising additive towards K-metal protection (Fig. S14). After introducing the highly-efficient K-metal system, we assemble the full-cell with merely 100% excess amount of K-metal anode (Fig. 4b). Due to the utilization of capacity-cutoff cycling mode (same cutoff depth as half cell: 300
Figure 4. Cycling performance of related full-cells assembled with limited excess amount of K-metal anode. (a) Theoretical output potential/voltage, specific capacity and energy density for various cathode candidates (hollow blocks) and corresponding full-cell systems (yellow-filled blocks). The drop of cathode energy density in the full-cell is ascribed to the coupling of the anode. (b and c) Full-cells performance assembled with limited excess amount of K-metal anodes: (b) 100% excess and (c) 250% excess. Full-cell output energy densities (fairly calculated by the mass of both KO2 within the cathode and K-metal anode) are harvested by the integration of corresponding galvanostatic discharge curves. The round-trip energy efficiency indicates an assessment of cell polarization.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, for the first time, we realized the reversible redox of superoxide/peroxide (KO2/K2O2) in potassium-ion battery cathode systems with a considerable specific capacity (300 mAh/g~KO2), tiny potential polarization (~0.2 V round-trip overpotential), high current rate (300 mA/g) and long-term cycling stability (around 900 cycles in the half-cell). By embedding the KO2 into the RuO2@rGO matrix, the reversible KO2/K2O2 interconversion was confined within O2-/O2-free region, which was proven by systematically in/ex situ quantitative/qualitative characterizations. Moreover, based on bulk/surface-sensitive spectroscopic observations, we originally demonstrated the formation of a TM-covalent K-deficient superoxide intermediate surface phase (K1-xO2-Ru), which protected the cathode against irreversible O2-/O2 evolution upon charging. In addition, benefitting from electrolyte modification, we coupled the KO2-based cathode with limited excess amount of K-metal anode, and the full-cell system also performed with high output energy density and superior cycling stability. Based on fair comparison with other cathode and full-cell candidates for KIBs, the improvements of the current system not only lie on high energy density, but also energy efficiency, high-rate performance and long-term cyclability. We believe the first successful achievement of reversible superoxide/peroxide interconversion cathode reaction in a KIB will stimulate the development of O-related anionic redox reactivity for the fabrication of high-energy-density rechargeable battery devices.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Electrolytes and cathode preparations

Tetra ethylene glycol dimethylether (TEGDME, G4), 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethyl 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoropropylether and bis(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl) carbonate (Sigma Aldrich, >99%) were dried over freshly activated 3˚A and 4˚A molecular sieves for several days. Potassium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide (KFSI, purity of >98%) and potassium bis(trifluoromethane) sulfonamide (KTFSI, purity of >98%) salts were purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., and dried by heating under a vacuum in a 80°C oven overnight. Electrolyte was prepared and stored in a glove box under Ar atmosphere. The detailed electrolyte components: 0.5 M KTFSI, 1.0 M KFSI, 5 wt% fluorinated ether/carbonate additives. The water concentration in the electrolyte measured by Karl Fischer titration was ~3 ppm.
For the RuO$_2$@rGO based matrix, graphene oxide (GO) was purchased from Nanjing XFNANO Materials Tech Co., Ltd, and the preparation procedure of rGO-supported ultrafine RuO$_2$ NPs was similar to the previously reported microwave-hydrothermal synthesis method [34]. The RuO$_2$ loading in the RuO$_2$@rGO nano-composites was estimated to be 75 wt% by thermo-gravimetric analysis. The KO$_2$-based cathode powder was prepared by high-energy planetary ball milling (Planetary Mono Mill PULVERISETTE 6 classic line, Fritsch). The mass ratio of KO$_2$ (Sigma Aldrich, >99%) and RuO$_2$@rGO was fixed at 6:4 (KO$_2$: 60 wt%). The mass ratio of grinding media (zirconia ball) to material (KO$_2$ + RuO$_2$@rGO) was fixed ~8:1. The precursors (KO$_2$-based cathode composite) were filled into the zirconia ball milling pot and sealed in the Ar-filled glove box. The rotational speed was controlled at 400 rpm for 15 min with a rest for another 3 min. The total ball milling time was around 190–200 hours. Then the as-prepared KO$_2$-based cathode composite was harvested from the gas-sealed pot within the glove box.

**Cell assembly and electrochemical measurements**

The electrodes were assembled into a 2032 coin cell (Hohsen Corp.). The half-cell was assembled by successively stacking a K-metal foil anode (thickness, ~0.4 mm), the glassy fiber filter (GF/A, Whatman) with 40–45 µL of electrolyte, the Al$_2$O$_3$ coated polypropylene and polyethylene, and the KO$_2$-based cathodic plate. For coin cell, the galvanostatic electrochemical measurements were carried out under potential control using the battery tester system HJ1001SD8 (Hokuto Denko) at 25°C. Typically, the characterizations of the cell were carried out under galvanostatic control at a current density of 300 mA g$^{-1}$ (based on the load mass of KO$_2$: 3–4 mg/cm$^2$) from the open-circuit potential unless otherwise noted. For the in situ Raman/SERS test, the electrochemical experiments were carried out under the control of a potentiostat (Potentiostat/Galvanostat PGSTAT30, Autolab Co. Ltd., Netherlands) at room temperature. The current and potential outputs from the potentiostat were recorded by a multifunction data acquisition module/amplifier (PGSTAT30 Differential Electrometer, Autolab), which was controlled by General Purpose Electrochemical Software. Cyclic voltammetry curves were collected using the HJ1001SD8 (Hokuto Denko) system. Before each electrochemical characterization, the cells were kept on open circuit for 6–8 hours. All of the potentials in this study were referenced to K/K$^+$ without further interpretation.

**Characterizations**

See Supplementary Data section for details.

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

Supplementary data are available at NSR online.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors thank the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory, Dr Haifeng Li (UIUC, US) and Prof. Jordi Cabana (UIUC, US) for their help on XAS measurements and related data analysis, and Mr Pengfei Wang (Nanjing University, China) for help on DEMS characterization and general discussion.

**FUNDING**

This work was partially supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2016YFB0100203) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21633003, U1801251). This research utilized the resources of the Advanced Photon Source, a US Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02–06CH11357.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Y.Q. and H.Z. contributed to the design of the research and performed the experimental data analysis. Y.Q. conducted the electrochemical and spectroscopic characterizations. H.D., Z.C. and X.C. performed the synthesis and characterizations of cathode substrate. H.D., H.Y. and Z.C. performed the electrolyte modification. Y.Q. and H.Z. co-wrote the manuscript. H.Z. supervised the work. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

**Conflict of interest statement.** None declared.

**REFERENCES**

1. Hosaka T, Kubota K and Hameed AS et al. Research development on K-ion batteries. *Chem Rev* 2020, 120, 6358–666.
2. Pramudita JC, Sehrawat D and Goonetilleke D et al. An initial review of the status of electrode materials for potassium-ion batteries. *Adv Energy Mater* 2017, 7, 1602911.
3. Eftekhari A, Jian Z and Ji X. Potassium secondary batteries. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* 2017, 9, 4404–19.
4. Zhang Q, Wang Z and Zhang S et al. Cathode materials for potassium-ion batteries: current status and perspective. *Electrochem Energ Rev* 2018, 1, 625–58.
5. Zhu Y-H, Yang X and Sun T et al. Recent progresses and prospects of cathode materials for non-aqueous potassium-ion batteries. *Electrochem Energy Rev* 2018; 1: 548–66.

6. Xin S, Chang Z and Zhang X et al. Progress of rechargeable lithium metal batteries based on conversion reactions. *Natl Sci Rev* 2018; 4: 54–70.

7. Zhu Y-H, Yin Y-B and Yang X et al. Transformation of rusty stainless-steel meshes into stable, low-cost, and binder-free cathodes for high-performance potassium-ion batteries. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2017; 56: 7881–5.

8. Zhang C, Xu Y and Zhou M et al. Potassium prussian blue nanoparticles: a low-cost cathode material for potassium-ion batteries. *Adv Funct Mater* 2017; 27: 1604307.

9. Zhu Y-H, Yang X and Bao D et al. High-energy-density flexible potassium-ion battery based on patterned electrodes. *Joule* 2018; 2: 736–48.

10. Liu T, Vivek JP and Zhao EW et al. Current challenges and routes forward for nonaqueous lithium–air batteries. *Chem Rev* 2020; 120: 6558–625.

11. Zhang P, Zhao Y and Zhang X. Functional and stability orientation synthesis of materials and structures in aprotic Li–O2 batteries. *Chem Soc Rev* 2018; 47: 2921–3004.

12. Assat G and Tarascon J-M. Fundamental understanding and practical challenges of anodic redox activity in Li-ion batteries. *Nat Energy* 2018; 3: 373–86.

13. Ben Yahia M, Vergnet J and Saubanère M et al. Unified picture of anionic redox in Li/Nax-ion batteries. *Nat Mater* 2019; 18: 496–502.

14. Ren X and Wu Y. A low-overpotential potassium–oxygen battery based on potassium superoxide. *J Am Chem Soc* 2013; 135: 2923–6.

15. Xiao N, McCulloch WD and Wu Y. Reversible dendrite-free potassium plating and stripping electrochemistry for potassium secondary batteries. *J Am Chem Soc* 2017; 139: 9475–81.

16. Chen Y, Jovanov ZP and Gao X et al. High capacity surface route discharge at the potassium–O2 electrode. *J Electroanal Chem* 2018; 819: 542–6.

17. Xiao N, Gourdin G and Wu Y. Simultaneous stabilization of potassium metal and superoxide in K–O2 batteries on the basis of electrolyte reactivity. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2018; 57: 10864–7.

18. Xiao N, Rooney RT and Gawirth AA et al. The long-term stability of KO2 in K2O2 batteries. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2018; 130: 1241–5.

19. Cong G, Wang W and Lai N-C et al. A high-rate and long-life organic–oxygen battery. *Nat Mater* 2019; 18: 390–6.

20. Wang W, Lai N-C and Liang Z et al. Superoxide stabilization and a universal KO2 growth mechanism in potassium–oxygen batteries. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2018; 57: 5042–6.

21. Hardwick LJ. Batteries: avoiding oxygen. *Nat Energy* 2016; 1: 16115.

22. Zhu Y and Fontaine O. When batteries breathe without air. *Nat Catal* 2019; 2: 953–4.

23. Qin L, Xiao N and Zhang S et al. From K2O2 to K-air batteries: realizing superoxide batteries on the basis of dry ambient air. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2020; 132: 10584–7.

24. Zhu Z, Kushner A and Yin Z et al. Anion-redox nanolithia cathodes for Li-ion batteries. *Nat Energy* 2016; 1: 16111.

25. Qiao Y, Jiang K and Deng H et al. A high-energy-density and long-life lithium-ion battery via reversible oxide–peroxide conversion. *Nat Catal* 2019; 2: 1035–44.

26. Xiao N, Ren X and McCulloch WD et al. Potassium superoxide: a unique alternative for metal–air batteries. *Acc Chem Res* 2018; 51: 2335–43.

27. Yan J, Hummelshøj JS and Nørskov JK. Formation energies of group I and II metal oxides using random phase approximation. *Phys Rev B* 2013; 87: 075207.

28. Chen Y, Luo W and Carter M et al. Organic electrode for non-aqueous potassium-ion batteries. *Nano Energy* 2015; 18: 205–11.

29. Jian Z, Liang Y and Rodriguez-Pérez IA et al. Poly(anthraquinonyl sulfide) cathode for potassium-ion batteries. *Electrochem Commun* 2016; 71: 5–8.

30. Wang L, Zou J and Chen S et al. TiO2 as a high performance potassium ion battery cathode in ether-based electrolyte. *Energy Storage Mater* 2018; 12: 216–22.

31. Recham N, Rousse G and Sougrati MT et al. Preparation and characterization of a stable FeSO4F-based framework for alkali ion insertion electrodes. *Chem Mater* 2012; 24: 4363–70.

32. Han J, Niu Y and Bao S-J et al. Nanocubic K2Ti6(PO4)2 electrodes for potassium-ion batteries. *Chem Commun* 2016; 52: 11661–4.

33. Nathan MGT, Naveen N and Park WB et al. Fast chargeable P2–K2/3(Ni1/3Mn2/3)O2 for potassium ion battery cathodes. *J Power Sources* 2019; 438: 226992.

34. Kim J-Y, Kim K-H and Yoon S-B et al. In situ chemical synthesis of ruthenium oxide/reduced graphene oxide nanocomposites for electrochemical capacitor applications. *Nanoscale* 2013; 5: 6804–11.

35. Wang Y, Lu Y-R and Dong C-L et al. Critical factors controlling superoxide reactions in lithium–oxygen batteries. *ACS Energy Lett* 2020; 5: 1355–63.

36. Freunberger SA, Chen YH and Drewett NE et al. The lithium-oxygen battery with ether-based electrolytes. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2011; 50: 8609–13.

37. Gao Y and Ye S. In situ study of oxygen reduction in dimethyl sulfone (DMSO) solution: a fundamental study for development of the lithium–oxygen battery. *J Phys Chem C* 2015; 119: 12236–50.

38. Hunter-Saphir SA and Creighton JA. Resonance Raman scattering from the superoxide ion. *J Raman Spectrosc* 1998; 29: 417–9.

39. Eyssel HH and Thym S. Raman spectra of peroxides. *Zeitschrift Für Anorganische Und Allgemeine Chemie* 1975; 411: 97–102.

40. Li JF, Huang YF and Ding Y et al. Shell-isolated nanoparticle-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. *Nature* 2010; 464: 392–5.

41. Gao Y, Wu S and Yi J et al. From O2•– to HO2•–: reducing by-products and overpotential in Li2O2 batteries by water addition. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2017; 56: 4960–4.

42. Kim S, Dicosimo R and Filippo JS. Spectrometric and chemical characterization of superoxide. *Anal Chem* 1973; 51: 679–81.

43. Nandy AK, Mahadevan P and Sarma DD. K2O2: the most stable oxide of K. *Phys Rev B* 2011; 84: 035116.

44. Saubanère M, McCalla E and Tarascon JM et al. The intriguing question of anionic redox in high-energy-density cathodes for Li-ion batteries. *Energy Environ Sci* 2016; 9: 894–91.

45. Vergnet J, Saubanère M and Doublet M-L et al. The structural stability of P2-layered Na-based electrodes during anionic redox. *Joule* 2020; 4: 325–40.

46. Wang Y and Lu Y-C. Isotopic labeling reveals active reaction interfaces for electrochemical oxidation of lithium peroxide. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2019; 58: 7036–40.

47. Luo W, Wan J and Ozdemin B et al. Potassium ion batteries with graphitic materials. *Natl Sci Rev* 2015; 15: 7671–7.

48. Jian Z, Hwang S and Li Z et al. Hard–soft composite carbon as a long-cycling and high-rate anode for potassium-ion batteries. *Adv Funct Mater* 2017; 27: 1700324.

49. Zhang W, Mao J and Li S et al. Phosphorus-based alloy materials for advanced potassium-ion battery anode. *J Am Chem Soc* 2017; 139: 3316–9.

50. Zhang W, Pang WK and Sencadas V et al. Understanding high-energy-density Sn4P3 anodes for potassium-ion batteries. *Joule* 2018; 2: 1534–47.