Calcitriol exerts an anti-tumor effect in osteosarcoma by inducing the endoplasmic reticulum stress response
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Osteosarcoma is the most common type of primary bone tumor, and novel therapeutic approaches for this disease are urgently required. To identify effective agents, we screened a panel of Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved drugs in AXT cells, our newly established mouse osteosarcoma line, and identified calcitriol as a candidate compound with therapeutic efficacy for this disease. Calcitriol inhibited cell proliferation in AXT cells by blocking cell cycle progression. From a mechanistic standpoint, calcitriol induced endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, which was potentially responsible for downregulation of cyclin D1, activation of p38 MAPK, and intracellular production of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Knockdown of Atf4 or Ddit3 restored cell viability after calcitriol treatment, indicating that the ER stress response was indeed responsible for the anti-proliferative effect in AXT cells. Notably, the ER stress response was induced to a lesser extent in human osteosarcoma than in AXT cells, consistent with the weaker suppressive effect on cell growth in the human cells. Thus, the magnitude of ER stress induced by calcitriol might be an index of its anti-osteosarcoma effect. Although mice treated with calcitriol exhibited weight loss and elevated serum calcium levels, a single dose was sufficient to decrease osteosarcoma tumor size in vivo. Our findings suggest that calcitriol holds therapeutic potential for treatment of osteosarcoma, assuming that techniques to diminish its toxicity could be established. In addition, our results show that calcitriol could still be safely administered to osteosarcoma patients for its original purposes, including treatment of osteoporosis.
mouse model. Based on the resultant mechanistic insights, we propose that calcitriol could be applied to the treatment of osteosarcoma in the clinical setting if its toxicity can be successfully managed.

Materials and Methods

**Cell culture.** AXT cells, as well as Saos2, U2OS, and SJS1 human osteosarcoma cells (American Type Culture Collection) were maintained under 5% CO2 at 37°C in IMDM (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS.5

**Reagents.** Calcitriol and simvastatin were obtained from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and Combi-Blocks (San Diego, CA, USA), respectively. Adriamycin was purchased from Kyowa Hakko Kirin (Tokyo, Japan). Calcitriol was reconstituted in ethanol at a stock concentration of 10 mM. Akt inhibitor X and p38MAPK inhibitor (BIRB796) were purchased from Merk Millipore (Darmstadt, Germany). Thapsigardin and N-acetylcysteine (NAC) were from Sigma-Aldrich (Munich, Germany).

**Cell proliferation assay.** Cell viability was measured as previously described.5,6 Live and dead cells were identified with Trypan blue staining (Sigma-Aldrich).

**Tumor xenograft model.** All animal care and procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines of Hoshi University. To establish tumor xenografts, AXT cells (5 × 10^5) suspended in 100 μL of IMDM were injected subcutaneously and bilaterally into the flanks of 6-week-old female syngeneic C57BL/6 mice on day 0 (SLC, Shizuoka, Japan). The mice were then injected intraperitoneally with calcitriol once a day at a dose of 6.27 × 10^-2 μg/mouse (31.4 μg/kg) or 2.09 × 10^-2 μg/mouse (1 μg/kg) on days 4, 6, 9, 13, 16, 18, and 20. Calcitriol was diluted with normal saline in a volume of 100 μL. Twenty-one days after cell inoculation, the mice were euthanized with a lethal dose of pentobarbital sodium.

---

![Fig. 1.](image-url) Calcitriol inhibits cell proliferation in AXT cells. (a) Viability of AXT, U2OS, Saos2 and SJS1 cells treated with calcitriol for 2 days at the indicated concentrations. (b) Immunoblot analysis of vitamin D_3_ Receptor in indicated cells. (c, d) Number of viable AXT cells, identified by Trypan blue exclusion, was counted after exposure to 5 μM calcitriol for 2 days. Ratio of dead AXT cells is shown in (d). (e, f) Flow cytometric analysis of AXT cells treated with 10 μM calcitriol or 10 μM simvastatin for 24 h, and then stained with annexin V and propidium iodide. The corresponding percentages of annexin V+ cells were also determined (f).
(Tokyo Kasei Kogyo, Tokyo, Japan), and the tumors were subjected to analyses.

**Serum calcium concentration.** Serum was collected from mice, and calcium concentration was evaluated using the Calcium Detection Kit (Abcam, Cambridge, UK).

**Immunoblot analysis.** Cell lysate was prepared with 2× Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) supplemented with β-mercaptoethanol. Immunoblot analysis was performed according to standard procedures using antibodies against the phosphorylated and total forms of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), AKT, mTOR, ERK1/2, S6, and eIF2α (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), as well as antibodies against PERK, IRE1α, ATF4, CHOP, vitamin D₃ receptor (Cell Signaling

**Fig. 2.** Calcitriol induces cell cycle arrest. (a, b) Flow cytometric analysis of DNA content in AXT cells treated with 1 or 10 μM calcitriol for 24 h. The size of each fraction of cells is shown in (b). (c) Immunoblot analysis of cyclinD1 in AXT cells treated with the indicated concentrations of calcitriol for 14 h. (d) RT and real-time PCR analysis of Ccnd1 mRNA in AXT cells treated with 5 μM calcitriol for 12 h. Data are normalized against the amount of Actb mRNA, and are means ± SD of triplicates. (e–h) Flow cytometric analysis of DNA content in Saos2 (e) or U2OS (g) cells treated with 10 μM calcitriol for 48 h. The size of each fraction of cells is also shown in (f, h).
Technology), cyclin D1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA) and α-Tubulin (Sigma-Aldrich). α-Tubulin was used as a loading control. The antibody against phosphorylated form of PERK (Thr980) or (Thr982) was from Thermo Scientific or Abcam, respectively.

**Detection of apoptotic cells by flow cytometry.** Cells were collected, washed with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), suspended in PBS, and stained with propidium iodide and DAPI. AXT cells treated with 10 μM calcitriol for 8 h were subjected to reverse transfection on days 1 and 3 with siRNAs for Ddit3 or Atf4 and the respective control siRNA, the cells were scored for apoptosis by flow cytometry on a FACSVerse (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). Data were analyzed with the FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR, USA).

**Detection of ROS.** After cells were treated with calcitriol, CellRox deep Red or Mitosox reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wallingham, MA, USA) was added to monitor the level of total or mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS), respectively. ROS level was evaluated by flow cytometry on a FACSVerse. At least 10 000 live cells were analyzed for each sample.

**DNA damage.** Cells were collected, fixed with 70% ethanol for 48 h at −20°C, washed twice with ice-cold PBS, and stained with PBS containing 10 μg/mL propidium iodide and 20 μg/mL RNase. The cell cycle profile of 20 000 singlet cells was then analyzed by flow cytometry.

**Reverse transcription (RT) and real-time PCR analysis.** Total RNA extraction, RT, and real-time PCR analyses were performed as previously described using NucleoSpin RNA and primer set RTase (Takara, Shiga, Japan).(5,6) The sequences of PCR primers are provided in Table S1.

**Detection of γH2AX positive cells.** DNA damage was monitored using Alexa Fluor 647–conjugated γH2AX antibody and an isotype control antibody (Cell Signaling Technology). At least 10 000 live cells were analyzed by flow cytometry for each sample.

**Cell cycle analysis.** Cells were collected, fixed with 70% ethanol for 48 h at −20°C, washed twice with ice-cold PBS, and stained with PBS containing 10 μg/mL propidium iodide and 20 μg/mL RNase. The cell cycle profile of 20 000 singlet cells was then analyzed by flow cytometry.

**Gene expression profiling.** AXT cells were treated with 10 μM calcitriol for 11 h, and total RNA was subjected to gene expression analysis using the 3′D-DNA chip (Toray, Tokyo, Japan). To prepare RNA from tumors, AXT cells (1 × 10⁶) were injected into mice on day 0 as described above. The mice were injected intraperitoneally with calcitriol at a dose of 6.25 × 10⁻¹ μg/mouse on days 5, 8, 11, 13, 15, 18, 20, and 22. Twenty-three days after cell inoculation, tumors were excised and subjected to RNA collection using a BioMasher (Nicpe, Tokyo, Japan) and NucleoSpin RNA. RNA mixtures derived from four control mice or five calcitriol-treated mice were used for gene expression analyses.

**Statistical analysis.** All assays were performed in triplicate, and quantitative data are expressed as means ± SD relative to the control value unless indicated otherwise. Data were analyzed with Student’s t-test, and a P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.005; ***, P < 0.0005; NS, not significant).

**Results**

**Calcitriol inhibits cell proliferation of AXT cells.** To identify effective therapeutic drugs for osteosarcoma, we screened 1164 US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)–approved compounds all at the same concentration (1.92 μM), using a novel humanoid robot (Robotic Biology Institute, Tokyo, Japan).(7) Calcitriol decreased the viability of AXT cells by 44% of the control level (data not shown). Calcitriol (1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol) is an active metabolite of vitamin D that is critically involved in bone metabolism.(11,16) Because osteosarcoma is an osteoblastic tumor, we investigated the therapeutic potency of calcitriol against this disease.

Calcitriol inhibited cell viability of AXT cells in a dose-dependent manner, but the effects were less pronounced in the human osteosarcoma lines U2OS, SJSA1, and Saos2 (Fig. 1a). Notably, expression of vitamin D₃ receptor could be detected at the protein level in both AXT and human osteosarcoma cells (Fig. 1b), indicating that the differences in the anti-tumor effect of calcitriol among these lines cannot be attributed to the differences in the expression levels of vitamin D₃ receptor.

To determine whether inhibition of AXT cell growth by calcitriol was caused by cell death, we counted live and dead cells using the Trypan blue exclusion test. After treatment with calcitriol, the number of live cells was markedly reduced, whereas the increase in the number of dead cells was less prominent (Fig. 1c,d). Consistent with these findings, apoptosis was not induced by calcitriol treatment (Fig. 1e,f). By contrast, the level of apoptosis is increased by simvastatin treatment.(7)

Together, these results indicated that calcitriol inhibits proliferation of AXT mouse osteosarcoma cells, but the effect was completely dependent on cell context.

**Calcitriol inhibits cell cycle progression in AXT cells.** Because calcitriol suppressed the growth in AXT cells, we next examined cell cycle status. Treatment of calcitriol induced accumulation of cells in G1, and significantly decreased the S-phase fraction, in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2a,b). The sub-G₁ fraction was slightly increased by treatment with 10 μM calcitriol, indicating that calcitriol can induce cell death at high concentrations. The protein level of cyclin D1 was decreased

---

**Fig. 3.** Activation of p38 MAPK by calcitriol treatment in AXT cells. (a) Immunoblot analysis of total or phosphorylated (p-) forms of p38MAPK in AXT cells treated with the indicated concentrations of calcitriol for 14 h. (b) Immunoblot analysis of total or phosphorylated (p-) forms of Akt in AXT cells treated with the indicated concentrations of calcitriol for 14 h. (c) Immunoblot analysis of total or phosphorylated (p-) forms of Erk1/2 in AXT cells treated with the indicated concentrations of calcitriol for 22 h. (d) Immunoblot analysis of total or phosphorylated (p-) forms of mTOR, Akt, and S6 in AXT cells treated with 5 μM calcitriol for the indicated times. (e) Immunoblot analysis of total or phosphorylated (p-) forms of AKT and S6 in Saos2 and U2OS cells treated with 5 or 10 μM calcitriol for 19 h. (f–i) Flow cytometric analyses of intracellular (f) or mitochondrial ROS (h) in AXT cells after treatment with 10 μM calcitriol for 24 h or 21 h, respectively. Quantitation of ROS levels in each case, as geometric means of triplicates, is shown in (g, i). (j, k) Representative histograms (j) and quantitation (k) of AXT cells strongly or weakly positive for γ-H2AX, as determined by flow cytometric analyses, after treatment with 10 μM calcitriol or 100 ng/mL adriamycin for 24 h.
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by calcitriol treatment, but the mRNA level was not affected (Fig. 2c,d), suggesting that this effect was not mediated by transcriptional regulation. In Saos2 or U2OS cells, calcitriol increased the G1 fraction, as in AXT cells, but the effect was much more modest (Fig. 2e–h). Thus, calcitriol blocks cell cycle progression in AXT cells, but its ability to induce cell death is much weaker.

**Accumulation of reactive oxygen species and activation of p38 MAPK in AXT cells upon calcitriol treatment.** To investigate the intracellular events caused by calcitriol treatment, we evaluated several pathways, including kinase activation, by immunoblotting. Calcitriol induced activation of p38 MAPK in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 3a). Likewise, the level of the phosphorylated form of AKT was elevated upon treatment with calcitriol, whereas the levels of phospho-Erk1/2 were not affected (Fig. 3b,c). Intriguingly, phosphorylation of S6, an indicator of translational processing of mRNA, was markedly attenuated by calcitriol treatment, whereas activation of mTOR was unaffected (Fig. 3d). None of these cellular events were observed in human cell lines (Fig. 3e).

The production of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) induces activation of p38 MAPK.\(^\text{19,20}\) The intracellular ROS level was significantly elevated in AXT cells after calcitriol treatment (Fig. 3f,g). In addition, the mitochondrial ROS level was elevated by calcitriol, suggesting that at least some fraction of intracellular ROS was derived from mitochondria (Fig. 3h,i). Because excessive production of intracellular ROS might induce DNA damage, resulting in anti-tumor activity,\(^\text{20,21}\) we examined the amount of phosphorylated histone H2AX (γ-H2AX), a marker of DNA damage.\(^\text{22}\) The proportion of AXT cells that were strongly positive for γ-H2AX, as determined by flow cytometry, was elevated after treatment with adriamycin (Fig. 3j), used as a positive control for DNA damage. By contrast, the proportions of strongly and weakly positive cells did not differ significantly between calcitriol-treated and control cells (Fig. 3j,k). These findings suggested that ROS production induced by calcitriol does not cause DNA damage. To further evaluate the influence of activation of p38 MAPK and elevation of ROS, we performed combined treatment of calcitriol with a p38 MAPK inhibitor or NAC. Both reagents failed to recover the viability attenuated by calcitriol (Fig. 5).

Therefore, activation of p38 MAPK or ROS production is not responsible for growth inhibition by calcitriol in AXT cells.

**Calcitriol induced endoplasmic reticulum stress in AXT cells.** To acquire further insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying inhibition of cell growth by calcitriol, we performed gene expression analyses. For this purpose, we treated AXT cells with or without 10 μM calcitriol for 11 h. Among the genes whose expression was upregulated more than fivefold in the calcitriol-treated cells, we identified 14 genes that were also upregulated after administration of calcitriol in vivo (Table 1). One of these was Cyp24a1, which encodes a mitochondrial protein involved in the degradation of calcitriol\(^\text{8–10}\) (Table 1 and Fig. 4a). Notably, the expression of Ddit3, which encodes Chop, a key molecule induced during endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, was markedly elevated after calcitriol treatment. Ddit4 and Gadd45a, which are also implicated in the ER stress response, were likewise upregulated (\(\text{25–29}\) Table 1). These findings prompted us to focus our investigation on the ER stress pathway. Expression of Atf4 and Ddit3/Chop was increased by calcitriol treatment at both the mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 4b–d). In addition, calcitriol treatment increased expression of Ire1α and phosphorylation of eIf2α (Fig. 4d), both of which are indicators of the ER stress response.\(^\text{23,24,30}\) As the upstream event of these molecules, phosphorylation of Perk was assessed. Phosphorylation level at Thr980 of Perk was not strongly elevated by calcitriol compared to thapsigargin, a well-known inducer of ER stress,\(^\text{25}\) although phosphorylation level was clearly enhanced after 30 min treatment of calcitriol and ATF4 expression was equally induced by calcitriol and thapsigargin (Fig. 4e). Notably, Thr982 of Perk was strongly phosphorylated by calcitriol treatment. Treatment of calcitriol or thapsigargin might induce phosphorylation of Perk at different residues. Thus, calcitriol treatment induced ER stress in AXT cells.

By contrast, although the expression of Ddit3 was significantly increased by calcitriol treatment in Saos2 or U2OS cells, the upregulation was less prominent in human osteosarcoma cells than in AXT cells, consistent with the magnitude of growth inhibition (Fig. 4f). Atf4, which is induced by ER stress, acts in a cell context-dependent manner to promote transcription of downstream target genes involved in recovery from ER stress or induction of apoptosis.\(^\text{23,24,30,31}\) To clarify the role of Atf4 in AXT cells, we knocked down Atf4 using siRNA (Fig. 4g,h). Treatment with siRNA sequence 1 (s1), which exerted a potent knockdown effect, significantly restored cell viability attenuated by calcitriol. Knockdown of Ddit3 also slightly but significantly restored cell viability; the small magnitude of the rescue effect may have been a consequence of the low efficiency of the knockdown (Fig. 4i,j).

Collectively, these findings show that calcitriol treatment induced the ER stress response in AXT cells, leading to suppression of cell viability.

**Anti-tumor effect of calcitriol in vivo.** To determine whether calcitriol might possess antitumor activity against AXT cells in vivo, we injected these cells into syngeneic C57BL/6 mice, and then treated the animals with calcitriol by intraperitoneal injection. Calcitriol was administered at 1 or 31.4 μg/kg, seven

---

**Table 1. Comparative gene expression analysis in AXT cells with or without calcitriol treatment**

| Gene symbol | Gene name | In vitro Fold | In vivo Fold |
|-------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|
| Cyp24a1     | Cytochrome P450, family 24, subfamily a, polypeptide 1 | 127.50 | 3.02 |
| Akr1b7      | Aldo-keto reductase family 1, member B7 | 13.89 | 1.97 |
| Avil        | Adavillin | 13.54 | 1.19 |
| Gpdp5       | Glycophosphodiester phosphodiesterase domain containing 5 | 10.56 | 3.74 |
| Ddit3       | DNA-damage inducible transcript 3 | 10.40 | 1.62 |
| Vdr         | Vitamin D receptor | 7.61 | 2.71 |
| Ddit4       | DNA-damage-inducible transcript 4 | 7.59 | 1.14 |
| Csn3        | Casein kappa | 7.34 | 1.15 |
| Gpr141      | G protein-coupled receptor 141 | 7.21 | 1.03 |
| Tmem140     | Transmembrane protein 100 | 5.93 | 2.32 |
| Gadd45a     | Growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible 45 alpha | 5.69 | 1.68 |
| Plek        | Pleckstrin | 5.64 | 1.45 |
| Vtrh15      | Vomeronasal 1 receptor, H15 | 5.20 | 1.40 |
| Gdf15       | Growth differentiation factor 15 | 5.14 | 1.14 |

© 2017 The Authors. Cancer Science published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Japanese Cancer Association.
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Fig. 4. Induction of ER stress by calcitriol treatment. (a–c) RT and real-time PCR analysis of Cyp24a1, Ddit3, or Atf4 mRNA in AXT cells treated with 5 μM calcitriol for 12 h. Data are normalized against the amount of Actb mRNA, and are means ± SD of triplicates. (d) Immunoblot analysis of Ire1α and total or phosphorylated (p-) forms of elf2α, Atf4 and Chop in AXT cells treated with 5 μM calcitriol for the indicated times. (e) Immunoblot analysis of total or phosphorylated (p-) forms of Perk and Atf4 in AXT cells treated with 5 μM calcitriol for the indicated times or with 1 μM thapsigargin (Thap) for 1.5 h. (f) RT and real-time PCR analysis of DDIT3 mRNA in Saos2, SJSA1, and U2OS cells treated with 5 μM calcitriol for 12 h. Data are normalized against the amount of ACTB mRNA, and are means ± SD of triplicates. (g) RT and real-time PCR analysis of Atf4 in AXT cells transfected with control siRNA and Atf4-targeted (si1, si2) siRNAs. Data are normalized against the amount of Actb mRNA, and are means ± SD of triplicates. (h) Cell viability of siRNA-transfected AXT cells as in (f) treated with calcitriol for 24 h. (i) RT and real-time PCR analysis of Ddit3 in AXT cells transfected with control siRNA and Ddit3-targeted (si1, si2, si3) siRNAs. Before RNA collection, cells were exposed to 5 μM calcitriol for 8 h. Data are normalized against the amount of Actb mRNA, and are means ± SD of triplicates. (j) Viability of siRNA-transfected AXT cells as in (h) treated with calcitriol for 24 h.
times in total, and both treatment protocols induced significant decreases in primary tumor weight (Fig. 5a,b). Cyp24a1 was upregulated in a dose-dependent manner in tumors from mice subjected to calcitriol treatment (Fig. 5c), suggesting that calcitriol acted directly on tumors. Atf4 was significantly upregulated in tumors from mice receiving the high-dose regimen (Fig. 5d). Calcitriol also upregulated Ddit3, but the effect was not statistically significant (Fig. 5e). Thus, as in the in vitro experiments, calcitriol induced ER stress in AXT cells in vivo. Mice treated with 1 μg/kg or with 31.4 μg/kg calcitriol exhibited body weight loss of 15.5% and 30.9%, respectively, relative to control mice (Fig. 5f), and the serum calcium level was increased by calcitriol treatment (Fig. 5g), suggesting that these doses were toxic to some extent; however all mice were alive and showed no signs of exhaustion.

Collectively, these findings demonstrate that calcitriol exerts an anti-osteosarcoma effect in vivo, and could represent a potential therapeutic option if its toxicity could be effectively managed.

Discussion
In this study, we performed a multidrug screen in AXT cells, which identified calcitriol as a candidate therapeutic agent against osteosarcoma. Calcitriol exerted a strong anti-proliferative effect in AXT cells, whereas its efficacy in human
osteosarcoma cells was less potent. Notably, the effect of calcitriol was not correlated with the expression level of vitamin D3 receptor (Fig. 1b). The expression level of CYP24A1, which is transcriptionally upregulated by calcitriol, (8–10) in human osteosarcoma cells; Saos2, SJSA1 and U2OS, was extremely low even after calcitriol treatment (data not shown), although all of the cells express a substantial level of vitamin D3 receptor (Fig. 1b). Therefore, the intensity of signals via the vitamin D3 receptor might not be correlated with its expression level. A previous study reported that expression of vitamin D receptor varies among human osteosarcoma specimens, but is not significantly correlated with tumor grade. (32)

Previous studies of various malignancies showed that calcitriol exerts its anti-tumor effect by directly inducing cell death, including apoptosis, or by modulating the tumor environment in vivo. (8-10,33-35) By contrast, the anti-proliferative effect in AXT cells by calcitriol was mainly attributable to induction of cell cycle arrest rather than cell death (Fig. 2). Despite the growth inhibition, phosphorylation of AKT was elevated in AXT cells upon calcitriol treatment (Fig. 3). PI3K and AKT are activated in hematopoietic cells during differentiation in response to calcitriol. (36,37) A previous report suggested that AKT activation accompanied by ER stress could block the induction of apoptosis mediated by CHOP. (38) Notably, combined treatment of calcitriol and an AKT inhibitor to AXT cells slightly but significantly increased Annexin V-positive apoptotic cells, although each reagent alone did not induce apoptosis significantly (Fig. S2a,b). In addition, AXT cells were more sensitive to the AKT inhibitor under the presence of calcitriol (Fig. S2c). Therefore, induction of CHOP after treatment of calcitriol might work to attenuate viability of AXT cells, while at the same time AKT activation might counteract the effect. AXT cells lack p16^Ink4a/p19^Arf and harbor mutant p53 (2, data not shown). These findings suggest that the response to calcitriol is completely dependent on the molecular background in malignant cells.

Calcitriol induced ER stress in AXT cells (Fig. 4). Endoplasmic reticulum stress has not been extensively characterized as a mechanistic cause of the cytotoxicity of calcitriol, although a recent study described this phenomenon in breast cancer. (39) Endoplasmic reticulum stress results in inhibition of protein translation via phosphorylation of eIF2α (23,34,30,31,40) causing rapid downregulation of cyclin D1 at the protein level and inhibition of the G1-to-S transition. (41) In addition, the ROS production and activation of p38 MAPK detected in AXT cells after calcitriol treatment (Fig. 3) could also be initiated by ER stress. (42,43) Our further investigations indicated that the ROS were derived at least in part from mitochondria and were not associated with DNA damage (Fig. 3). Therefore, all of the cellular events in AXT cells resulting from calcitriol treatment might be attributable to ER stress.

Endoplasmic reticulum stress can exert opposing effects on malignant cells, either promoting tumor growth or inducing cell death. (23,24,30,31) However, because knockdown of Atf4 or Ddit3 in AXT cells restored cell viability, we conclude that the ER stress response accompanying the induction of ER stress by calcitriol is responsible for the drug’s anti-proliferative effect in AXT cells. In human osteosarcoma lines, Ddit3 was slightly upregulated by calcitriol, consistent with the more modest inhibition of proliferation in comparison with AXT cells (Figs 1a,4). Therefore, the strength of ER stress induced by calcitriol treatment might serve as an index of the anti-osteosarcoma effect, and the levels of Ddit3 or Atf4 expression might be used as biomarkers of the efficacy of calcitriol in osteosarcoma.

Calcitriol also exerted an anti-tumor effect in vivo by inducing ER stress (Table 1, Fig. 5d). However, the drug’s toxicity, reflected by body weight loss and hypercalcemia (Fig. 5f,g), is a problem that cannot be ignored in a clinical context. Therefore, an accurate monitoring system capable of extracting appropriate clinical cases, as well as techniques that enable the activation of ER stress by calcitriol in a tumor-specific manner, would be required for the use of calcitriol to treat human osteosarcoma. If these obstacles could be overcome, the drug could represent a potent therapeutic option. In addition, given that our results showed that the progression of osteosarcoma was not promoted by calcitriol, the drug could still be safely used for its original pharmaceutical purposes (e.g., treatment of osteoporosis) in osteosarcoma patients.
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