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Abstract

An in-depth understanding of spatiotemporal dynamic characteristics of infectious diseases could be helpful to an epidemic prevention and control. Based on the novel coronavirus pneumonia (NCP) data published on official websites, GIS spatial statistics and Pearson correlation methods were used to analyze the spatial autocorrelation and influencing factors of the 2019 NCP epidemic from January 30, 2020 to February 18, 2020. The results of the study showed that: (1) During the study period, Hubei Province was the only significant cluster area and hot spot of the cumulative cases confirmed with the NCP infection in China on the provincial scale; (2) The epidemic of the NCP infection in China on the prefecture-city scale had a very significant global spatial autocorrelation, and Wuhan had always been the significant hot spot and cluster city of the cumulative cases confirmed with the NCP infection in the whole country; (3) The cumulative cases confirmed with the NCP infection in Hubei Province on the county scale had a very significant global spatial autocorrelation, and the county-level districts under the jurisdiction of Wuhan and its neighboring Huangzhou district in Huanggang City were the significant hot spots and spatial clusters of the cumulative cases confirmed with the NCP infection; (4) Based on Pearson correlation analysis, the number of the accumulative cases confirmed with the NCP infection in Hubei Province on the prefecture-city scale and also on the county scale had very significant and positive correlations ($p < 0.01$) with the four indexes of population of registration population, resident population, regional GDP and total retail sales of consumer goods, respectively, during the study period; (5) The number of the cumulative cases confirmed with the NCP infection in Hubei Province on the prefecture-city scale also had a very significant and positive correlation ($p < 0.01$) with Baidu migration index and population density, respectively, but not with land area, whereas that in Hubei Province on the county scale had a significant and positive correlation ($p < 0.05$) with land area, but not with population density from January 30, 2020 to February 18, 2020. It is found that the NCP epidemic in Hubei Province has the distinctive characteristics of significantly centralized outbreak, significantly spatial autocorrelation and complex influencing factors and that the spatial scale has a significant effect on the global spatial autocorrelation of the NCP epidemic. The findings help to deepen the understanding of spatial distribution patterns and transmission trends of the NCP epidemic and may also benefit scientific prevention and control of epidemics such as NCP 2019.

Background

In December 2019, acute respiratory diseases caused by the 2019 novel coronavirus began occurring in Wuhan, rapidly spreading to other parts of China [1]. The sudden outbreak and spread of this novel coronavirus pneumonia (NCP) has attracted great attention from the Chinese government and the global public [2-3]. The Party Central Committee and the State Council recognized the magnitude of the outbreak. They promptly implemented comprehensive arrangements for the prevention and control of the epidemic and demanded "confidence, helping one another, scientific prevention and control, and precise implementation of policies." Chinese governments at all levels are taking urgent steps to prevent the spread of the epidemic. The World Health Organization (WHO) announced on January 31, 2020, that the NCP epidemic in China constituted a public health emergency of international concern. During the NCP epidemic, the Chinese Lunar New Year occurred, and the large numbers of people gathered intensified the transmission and spread of the novel coronavirus, posing a serious threat to the lives and health of the public. As of
March 28, 2020, a cumulative total of 82,278 confirmed NCP cases and 3,301 deaths were reported in China. There were 523,213 confirmed cases and 23,834 deaths in more than 200 countries around the world outside China, with the rapid growth of the cumulative confirmed NCP cases in North America and Europe. At present, the growth of the NCP epidemic in China has been slowing down. However, the global NCP epidemic has been accelerating, and prevention and control are paramount.

Shortly after the NCP outbreak, scholars conducted extensive research on NCP in terms of etiology, epidemiology, molecular biology, genomics, imaging, and clinical characteristics \[4-14\]. The results have offered an important scientific basis for the diagnosis and treatment of NCP and epidemic prevention and control. Some universities, research institutions, and online platforms have also launched daily epidemic dynamic information services based on epidemic maps and statistical data, which have provided essential information support for governments and the public to quickly and intuitively understand the progress of the epidemic. However, there is still a lack of research on the spatial statistical characteristics and temporal and spatial evolution of the NCP epidemic, and the spatial evolution mechanism of the NCP epidemic is still unclear. Spatial statistics can help reveal the spatiotemporal characteristics and spread of the epidemic, consequently assisting epidemic prevention and control and scientific decisions \[15\]. Previous studies investigated the transmission network \[16\], spatial correlation \[17-19\], space-time transmission dynamics \[20\], epidemic characteristics, and law of spatial-temporal transmission \[21\] of the 2003 SARS epidemic, providing a useful reference for the study of spatial statistics of the NCP epidemic. NCP is a SARS-like infectious disease \[22\] that mainly achieves human-to-human transmission through respiratory droplets, person-to-person contact, and fecal-mouth contact\[1\]. Will the NCP epidemic be as spatially correlated as the SARS epidemic? Because the NCP epidemic is still spreading, prevention and control are urgent, in particular the spatial statistical characteristics and influencing factors. Hubei Province was seriously affected by NCP, and the lack of temporal and spatial evolution analyses of the NCP epidemic at the city and county levels at the early stage caused certain difficulties in the deployment of epidemic prevention and control materials as well as in precision medical support services in some cities and counties. This study uses GIS spatial statistics and Pearson correlation analysis methods to systematically analyze the spatial autocorrelation characteristics and influencing factors of the NCP epidemic from three scales, the provincial, prefecture and county levels, in order to provide a decision-making reference for the temporal and spatial evolution of the NCP epidemic and for scientific prevention and control.

1 Data And Methods

1.1 Overview of the study area

Hubei Province is located in central China (108°21′42" 116°07′50" E, 29°01′53" 33°6′47" N) (Figure 1). The province’s land area is 18.59 × 10⁴ km², accounting for 1.94% of the country’s total land area. The terrain is mountainous in the east, west, and north and low in the central region, with an incomplete basin opening slightly to the south. Most area within the province has a subtropical monsoon humid climate, with an average annual temperature of 15 °C to 17 °C and average annual precipitation of 800 mm to 1600 mm. At present, Hubei Province has 12 prefecture-level cities (Wuhan, Huangshi, Shiyan, Yichang, Xiangyang, Ezhou, Jingmen, Xiaogan, Jingzhou, Huanggang, Xianning, Suizhou), one autonomous prefecture (Enshi Tujia and
Miao Autonomous Prefecture), three municipalities directly under the administration (Xiantao, Qianjiang, and Tianmen) and one forest area (Shennongjia forest area), collectively referred to as prefecture-level cities in the following analysis. The county-level administrative units in Hubei include 39 municipal districts, 22 county-level cities (excluding the three municipalities directly under the provincial government), 36 counties, and two autonomous counties (Figure 1). As of the end of 2018, the province's total resident population was $5.9 \times 10^7$, with an urbanization rate of 60.3%; the total road distance was $2.75 \times 10^5$ km, of which high-grade highways accounted for $3.56 \times 10^4$ km, and the total passenger traffic was $9.87 \times 10^8$. The province's total GDP in 2018 was $3.94 \times 10^{12}$ yuan with an increase ratio of 7.8%.

Wuhan, the provincial capital, is located in the eastern part of the Jianghan Plain and the middle reaches of the Yangtze River. It is known as "the thoroughfare of nine provinces" due to its national comprehensive transportation hub. The land area of Wuhan is $8.57 \times 10^4$ km$^2$. As of the end of 2019, Wuhan had a registered population of $9.08 \times 10^6$ and a floating population of $5.10 \times 10^6$. By the end of 2018, there were $6.34 \times 10^3$ health institutions in Wuhan, $9.59 \times 10^4$ beds, 7.48 hospital beds per thousand people, $10.67 \times 10^4$ health care personnel, and $3.42$ doctors per thousand people. The reported incidence rate of class A and B infectious diseases was $18/10^4$ people per year.

1.2 Data sources

Provincial and prefecture-level geographic basemap data (2015) were obtained from the Resource and Environmental Science Data Center of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and the county-level basemap data were obtained from the website of the former National Bureau of Surveying and Mapping, and some administrative areas had been edited and updated according to the latest standard map (2018, downloaded from the Hubei Natural Resources Department). The data for land area, population, regional GDP, and total retail sales of social consumer goods in prefecture-level cities and counties (districts, municipalities) in Hubei Province (2018, part of 2017) were obtained from the "Hubei Statistical Yearbook 2019," and the 2018 annual statistical yearbooks for prefecture-level cities; no relevant data for 2019 was collected. Baidu migration index data (January 17, 2020, to February 1, 2020) was obtained from Smarteye Map (Baidu). The confirmed NCP case data for Hubei Province and its 12 prefecture-level cities, one state, three directly managed cities, and one prefecture-level forest district were obtained from the official website of the Hubei Provincial Health Committee. Except for the NCP epidemic data for the counties (district, city) under the jurisdiction of Wuhan, Ezhou, and Jingzhou, the data for the counties (district, city) under the jurisdiction of the other nine prefecture-level cities in Hubei Province can be found online, and the epidemic data were obtained from the official websites of the municipal governments or the websites of the affiliated health committees. The data for confirmed NCP cases at the provincial level and the data for discharges and deaths related to NCP in prefecture-level cities in Hubei Province were compiled and provided by Meishuclass of a news agency The Paper. After the relevant data were sorted and cleaned, ArcGIS was used to establish a national (with a focus on Hubei Province) NCP epidemic geospatial database.

1.3 Research methods
This study first used spatial autocorrelation technology to test whether the number of cases in the statistical area at the provincial, prefecture and county levels and neighboring areas had significant global or local spatial autocorrelation characteristics, which was achieved using ArcGIS 10.7 spatial statistical modeling. Second, SPSS 22 was used to carry out Pearson statistical correlation analysis to detect correlations between confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture level and county level and other indicators such as population, economy and environment to explore the influencing factors.

Spatial autocorrelation is an exploratory spatial statistical analysis method that has been widely used to study the spatial distribution of populations \cite{23-24}, regional economic patterns \cite{25}, epidemic situations \cite{18, 17, 19} and urban thermal environments \cite{26} and can be divided into two methods: global spatial autocorrelation and local spatial autocorrelation. In this study, global spatial autocorrelation is a measure of the spatial characteristics of the number of cases in the entire region. It is used to analyze the overall spatial correlation and spatial differences within the region. The global Moran's $I$ index is often used to measure the global spatial correlation \cite{25}. The value range of Moran's $I$ index is $[-1, 1]$. If Moran's $I$ index is statistically positive, there is a positive correlation in the spatial distribution, and there is a spatial clustering effect; otherwise, a negative spatial correlation exists. If Moran's $I$ index is zero, there is a random distribution. A statistical $Z$-score and $p$-value need to be combined to determine statistical significance. Local space autocorrelation is commonly characterized by local Moran’s $I$ and Getis-Ord $G_i^*$ Local Moran’s $I$ is the decomposition of the global Moran’s $I$ into various regional units, also known as local indicators of spatial association (LISA) \cite{27}. ArcGIS clustering and anomaly analysis (Anselin local Moran’s $I$, ALMI) \cite{27} are used to detect the local spatial autocorrelation characteristics of NCP cases and identify the regions with high/low clustering significance. Getis-Ord $G_i^*$ is also used to identify the spatial association between hotspots and cold spots with statistical significance \cite{28} and to detect whether the number of cases in each space indicate high-value aggregation or low-value aggregation.

2 Ncp Epidemic Spatial Statistics

This section analyzes the time series variation characteristics of global spatial autocorrelation and local spatial autocorrelation (clustering and anomaly, Getis-Ord $G_i^*$ hotspots) of the NCP epidemic in Hubei Province from three scales: provincial, prefecture and county.

2.1 Spatial autocorrelation analysis of provincial NCP outbreaks nationwide

The results of the global spatial autocorrelation analysis indicated that from January 30, 2020, to February 18, 2020, there were no significant global spatial autocorrelations in the cumulative confirmed NCP cases in various provinces (municipalities and autonomous regions) nationwide. ($p>0.05, Z<1.96$).

Anselin local Moran’s $I$ (ALMI) analysis results (Figure 2, other dates omitted) revealed that from January 19, 2020, to February 18, 2020, Hubei Province was a significant high-low abnormal area of cumulative confirmed NCP cases nationwide (95% confidence) and that some surrounding provinces were significant low-high anomaly regions. Inverse distance-based hotspot (Getis-Ord $G_i^*$) analysis results (Figure 3, other dates omitted) also showed that from January 19, 2020, to February 18, 2020, Hubei Province was the only
extremely significant hotspot area (99% confidence) for cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the provincial level nationwide. The results of the local spatial autocorrelation analysis showed that Hubei Province had the most substantial NCP epidemic statistics at the province level.

2.2 Spatial autocorrelation analysis of prefecture-level NCP outbreaks

It can be seen from Figure 4 that from January 19, 2020 to January 22, 2020, there was no significant global spatial autocorrelation in prefecture-level cumulative NCP confirmed cases nationwide (p>0.05, Z<1.96), which may be related to the limited national reports of confirmed NCP cases from prefecture-level cities during this period. On January 23, 2020, there was a significant global spatial autocorrelation (p<0.05, Z>1.96). From January 24, 2020, to February 18, 2020, there was an extremely significant global spatial autocorrelation (p<0.01, Z>2.58); this indicated that the nationwide prefecture-level NCP cases had a very significant aggregation distribution feature. The Moran index in Figure 4 displayed two phases: an initial increase and then decrease around January 30, 2020, indicating that January 30, 2020, was a turning point in the global spatial autocorrelation (Moran index) of cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture level nationwide.

The ALMI results revealed that from January 19, 2020, to February 18, 2020, only the prefecture-level cumulative confirmed NCP cases in Hubei Province had significant high-high cluster areas and that some areas around Hubei Province prefecture-level cities had low-high anomaly areas. Figure 5 shows that on February 18, 2020, 13 prefecture-level cities, namely, Wuhan, Xiaogan, Huanggang, Ezhou, Huangshi, Xianning, Suizhou, Xiangyang, Jingmen, Yichang, Jingzhou, Tianmen and Xiantao in Hubei Province, were all significant high-high cluster areas for cumulative confirmed NCP cases. Xinyang in Henan Province, Changsha in Hunan Province, and Nanchang in Jiangxi Province were also significant high-high cluster areas for cumulative confirmed NCP cases. In contrast, some areas closely adjacent to these prefecture-level cities were significant low-high abnormal areas.

Inverse distance-based hotspot (Getis-Ord G*) analysis results (Figure 6, other dates omitted) indicated that in Hubei Province, from January 19 to February 18, 2020, Wuhan was an extremely significant hotspot area for cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture level (99% confidence, Figure 6a-6d). On January 24, 2020, Huanggang City became a significant hotspot area (95% confidence) due to the increase in cumulative confirmed NCP cases; it became an extremely significant hotspot area (99% confidence) on January 25, and it returned to a significant hotspot area (95% confidence, Figure 6c) on February 8. Due to a sharp increase in the number of confirmed NCP cases in Wuhan after February 12, Huanggang City became a nonsignificant area. On January 28, 2020, the cumulative increase in confirmed NCP cases in Xiaogan City made the city a significant hotspot area (95% confidence, Figure 6b); it became an extremely significant hotspot area on January 29 (99% confidence), and it further became a significant hotspot area (95% confidence) on February 11. After February 12, Xiaogan became a nonsignificant area due to the sharp increase in the number of confirmed NCP cases in Wuhan. Although other prefecture-level cities in Hubei Province also had a large number of cumulative confirmed NCP cases relative to other domestic cities, they were not significant hotspots due to the excessively high cumulative number of confirmed NCP cases in Wuhan. This suggests that Wuhan was prefecture-level city with the most intense NCP epidemic in Hubei Province and the country
and that Huanggang City and Xiaogan City were also prefecture-level cities with the most intense NCP epidemic in Hubei Province and in the country for a period of time.

**2.3 Spatial autocorrelation analysis of county-level NCP outbreaks in Hubei Province**

Because county-level NCP epidemic data were temporarily unavailable, the county-level NCP epidemic data for the most serious outbreaks in Hubei Province were collected. However, the county-level NCP epidemic data for Wuhan, Ezhou and Jingzhou of Hubei Province were not available; therefore, only their prefecture-level NCP epidemic data were collected. To eliminate excessive statistical data for Wuhan, Jingzhou and Ezhou, the cumulative confirmed NCP cases were weighted by the resident county populations (cities, districts) to perform spatial autocorrelation analysis. We selected county-level NCP data for Hubei Province from January 30, 2020, to February 18, 2020, for analysis.

The results of the global spatial autocorrelation analysis (Figure 7) illustrated that from January 30, 2020, to February 18, 2020, the cumulative confirmed NCP cases in Hubei Province at the county level had an extremely significant spatial autocorrelation ($p<0.01$, $Z>2.58$) and that the global Moran's $I$ index and Z-score increased since January 31, indicating that the county-level NCP epidemic in Hubei Province had very significant aggregation and distribution characteristics and that the spatial autocorrelation was increasingly intense. By comparing Figure 7 and Figure 4, it can be found that the overall spatial autocorrelation of the county-level NCP epidemic in Hubei Province was significantly higher than that of the prefecture-level NCP epidemic and that the trends from January 30, 2020, to February 18, 2020, were also different. This indicated that the spatial scale had a significant effect on the global spatial autocorrelation of the NCP epidemic.

The ALMI results (Figure 8, other times omitted) demonstrated that from January 30, 2020, to February 18, 2020, there were significant clusters and abnormal areas regarding the cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the county level in Hubei ($p<0.05$); Wuhan's 12 districts and neighboring Xiaonan District and Hanchuan City (Xiaogan City) were significant high-high cluster regions (Figure 8). The Wuhan Hanning District and its neighborhood Hong'an County (Huanggang City), Huarong District, and Liangzihu District (Ezhou City) were significant low-high anomaly regions, and most county-level administrative regions in southwest Hubei were significant low-low cluster regions. This indicated that the county-level NCP epidemic in Wuhan was serious during this period. Notably, Xiling District in Yichang City showed a significant high-low anomaly, from January 30, 2020, to February 9, 2020 (Figure 8), indicating that the cumulative number of confirmed NCP cases in this county was significantly higher than its surroundings. In neighboring counties and districts, the NCP epidemic was relatively serious compared to the surrounding areas; from February 10, 2020, to February 18, 2020, there were no abnormal features, which indicated that the NCP epidemic in the region had been relatively alleviated during this period.

The hotspot analysis (Getis-Ord $G_i^*$) results based on inverse distance (Figure 9) showed that from January 30, 2020, to February 18, 2020, the extremely significant hotspot areas (99% confidence) and significant hotspot areas (95% confidence) for the cumulative confirmed county-level NCP cases in Hubei Province were the core urban areas in Wuhan, Huanghua District and Jiangxia District, as well as the neighboring Huangzhou District in Huangzhou. From the perspective of time series development, there were also some changes in the hotspot areas of cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the county level in Wuhan, which will
not be described here. In short, the results of the local spatial autocorrelation analysis revealed that the districts under the jurisdiction of Wuhan were the regions with the most serious county-level NPC cases in Hubei Province. Although the number of confirmed NCP cases in other counties in Hubei Province was relatively high, they was not considered hotspots because the numbers of confirmed NCP cases among those districts in Wuhan were too high.

3 The Influencing Factors Of The Ncp Epidemic

The NCP epidemic in Hubei Province was serious, with concentrated outbreaks and a closed spatial correlation. To explore the causes of the spatiotemporal changes in the NCP epidemic and the influencing factors, we analyzed the following three aspects at the prefecture level and county level for the NCP epidemic in Hubei Province: nature (land area), society (registered population, permanent population, population density, and Baidu migration index) and economy (regional GDP and total retail sales of consumer goods).

3.1 Influencing factors of prefecture-level NCP outbreaks in Hubei Province

Table 1 Correlation results for cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture-city level in Hubei Province

| Factors                          | cumulative confirmed NCP cases | 1/30/2020 | 2/2/2020 | 2/6/2020 | 2/10/2020 | 2/14/2020 | 2/18/2020 | Mean # |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| Land area                       |                                | -0.007    | 0.004    | -0.032   | -0.049    | -0.065    | -0.070    | -0.056 |
| Population density              |                                | 0.813**   | 0.807**  | 0.819**  | 0.823**   | 0.818**   | 0.819**   | 0.820** |
| Registered population           |                                | 0.696**   | 0.709**  | 0.671**  | 0.643**   | 0.605*    | 0.598*    | 0.623** |
| Resident population             |                                | 0.837**   | 0.846**  | 0.819**  | 0.799**   | 0.770**   | 0.765**   | 0.785** |
| Baidu migration index           |                                | 0.916**   | 0.900**  | 0.912**  | 0.918**   | 0.942**   | 0.940**   | 0.931** |
| Regional GDP                    |                                | 0.949**   | 0.951**  | 0.950**  | 0.952**   | 0.951**   | 0.950**   | 0.952** |
| The total retail sales of social consumer goods |                  | 0.970**   | 0.972**  | 0.970**  | 0.970**   | 0.966**   | 0.965**   | 0.969** |

Note: ** indicates that the correlation is significant when the confidence (double test) is 0.01; * indicates that the correlation is significant when the confidence (double test) is 0.05. # Refers to the mean cumulative confirmed NCP cases for 20 days from January 30, 2020, to February 18, 2020.
NCP is a new infectious disease, and its transmission mechanism is still unclear. Analysis from the aspects of nature, society, and the environment may help provide an understanding of the influencing factors of the NCP epidemic and the transmission mechanism. The Pearson correlation analysis results (Table 1, data omitted for other dates) demonstrated that from January 30, 2020, to February 18, 2020 (20 days), the cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture level in Hubei Province had an extremely significant positive correlation with the five indicators (population density, permanent population, Baidu migration index, regional GDP, and total retail sales of consumer goods (p<0.01)) and an extremely significant positive correlation with the registered population from January 30, 2020, to February 13, 2020 (p<0.01). From February 14, 2020, to February 18, 2020, the correlation was significant and positive (p<0.05), while the correlation with land area was not significant. To eliminate the possible instability of the data, the mean cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture level in Hubei Province from January 30, 2020, to February 18, 2020 (20 days), was used for correlation analyses with the above seven indicators. Table 1 shows that this average value had an extremely significant and strong positive correlation with population density, Baidu migration index, regional GDP, and total retail sales of consumer goods (p<0.01) an extremely significant positive correlation with the resident population and the registered population (p<0.01). In contrast, the correlation with land area was not significant.

Judging from the correlation coefficients for the cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture level in Hubei Province (Table 1), the population density, Baidu migration index, regional GDP, and total retail sales of consumer goods were all greater than 0.8, an extreme correlation level, indicating that population flow and social and economic development had significant impacts on the spread of the NCP epidemic; the registered population and resident population trends were consistent (picture omitted); that is, from January 30, 2020, to February 2, 2020, there was an upward trend, and then it declined until February 18. Before February 9, 2020, the correlation coefficient for the resident population was greater than 0.8, an extreme correlation level, and then it ranged from 0.6 to 0.8, a strong correlation level. The correlation coefficient for the registered population was between 0.6 and 0.8 within the 20 days, indicating a strong correlation. This showed that the number of people was also an influencing factor that cannot be ignored in the spread of the NCP epidemic. The more mobile people are and the greater the population density is after an outbreak, the greater the risk of infection with novel coronavirus.

Figure 10 shows that the Baidu migration index for Wuhan to Xiaogan City and Huanggang City in Hubei Province was significantly higher than that for other cities, which was consistent with the current statistical results for the intense NCP epidemic in Xiaogan City and Huanggang City compared to that experienced in other cities in Hubei Province. Wuhan, as the capital city of Hubei Province and the core city of China's central city cluster, has a strong influence on surrounding cities, and its connection through traffic and economic ties with Xiaogan, Huanggang, Jingzhou and Xianning are also higher than with other cities. Consequently, there was numerous personnel and commercial contact between Wuhan and these cities, increasing the chance of transmission and the spread of novel coronavirus.

The Pearson correlation analysis results (table abbreviated) showed that from January 30, 2020, to February 18, 2020 (20 d), the correlations between the number of newly confirmed cases in prefecture-level cities in
Hubei Province and population density, permanent population, regional GDP, and total retail sales of consumer goods were positive and extremely significant (p<0.01), that from January 30, 2020 to February 3, 2020, the correlation between the number of newly confirmed cases in prefecture-level cities in Hubei Province and the registered population was positive and extremely significant (p<0.01); from February 3, 2020, to February 18, 2020, the correlation was positive and extremely strong (p<0.05). From January 30, 2020, to February 6, 2020, the correlations between the number of newly confirmed cases in prefecture-level cities in Hubei Province and the registered population was positive and extremely significant (p<0.01), becoming a positive moderately significant correlation (p<0.05) from February 7, 2020, to February 18, 2020. It had an extremely significant strong positive correlation with the Baidu migration index from January 30, 2020 to February 11, 2020 (p<0.01), and the related relationship was unstable from February 12, 2020, to February 18, 2020, which may be due to newly confirmed cases including excessive clinically confirmed cases; the correlation with land area was not significant.

From January 30, 2020 to February 18, 2020 (20 d), the correlations between the average number of newly confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture level in Hubei Province and population density, Baidu migration index, regional GDP, and total retail sales of social consumer goods were positive and extremely significant (p<0.01). The correlation between the average number of newly confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture level in Hubei Province and the resident population was positive and extremely significant, and the correlation between the average number of newly confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture level in Hubei Province and the registered population was positive and moderately significant (p<0.05); the correlation with land area was not significant.

### 3.2 Influencing factors of county-level NCP outbreaks in Hubei Province

The Pearson correlation analysis results (Table 2, data omitted so as to include other data) indicated that from January 30, 2020 to February 18, 2020, the cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the county level in Hubei Province was extremely significantly and positively correlated with the registered population, resident population, regional GDP, and total retail sales of consumer goods (p<0.01) and significantly and negatively correlated with land area (p<0.05) but had no significant correlation with population density. From the cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the county level (Table 2) and the correlation coefficients for various indicators, the cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the county level in Hubei Province was weakly correlated with land area and regional GDP and weakly correlated with the registered population from January 30, 2020, to February 3, 2020; however, it was moderately correlated from February 4, 2020, to February 18, 2020, and moderately associated with the resident population and the total retail sales of social consumer goods. As with the cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture level, the trend for the correlation between the cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the county level and the registered population was basically the same as that for the permanent population.

Table 2 shows that the mean cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the county level in Hubei Province, from January 30, 2020, to February 18, 2020 (20 d), had an extremely significant positive correlation with registered population, resident population, regional GDP, and total retail sales of consumer goods (p<0.01), had a significant negative correlation with land area (p<0.05), had no significant correlation with population
density, had a weak correlation with regional GDP, and had moderate significant correlations with registered
population, resident population and social consumer goods.

Correlation analysis of the newly confirmed NCP cases at the county level with the above five indicators
(Table omitted) did not establish a stable correlation. From January 30, 2020, to February 18, 2020 (20 d),
the average daily new confirmed NCP cases at the county level and registration population, resident
population, regional GDP, and total retail sales of consumer goods had a positive and extremely moderate
correlation (p<0.01). Additionally, the average daily new confirmed NCP cases at the county level had a
significant weak negative correlation with land area (p<0.05) and no significant correlation with population
density.

Comparing Table 2 and Table 1, it can be found that the correlation coefficients between the cumulative
confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture level and county level in Hubei Province and the resident population
were larger than that for the registered population, indicating that the resident population may have had a
more critical impact on the spread of NCP. The correlation coefficient between the cumulative confirmed NCP
cases at the prefecture level and county level in Hubei Province and the total retail sales of social consumer
goods was higher than that for the regional GDP, which indicated that the flow of social consumer goods had
a more critical impact on the spread of the NCP epidemic than did the regional GDP. The correlation
coefficients for the cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture level in Hubei Province and various
indicators were significantly higher than those for the corresponding indicators at the county level, and the
correlation with population density and land area also changed. This indicates that the influencing factors of
NCP outbreaks at different scales were not exactly the same.

Table 2 Correlation analysis results for cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the county level in Hubei
Province

| Indicator                  | Cumulative confirmed NCP cases | 1/30/2020 | 2/2/2020 | 2/6/2020 | 2/10/2020 | 2/14/2020 | 2/18/2020 | Mean# |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| Area                       |                                | -0.241*  | -0.274*  | -0.259*  | -0.253*  | -0.246*  | -0.245*  | -0.256*|
| Population Density         |                                | 0.121    | 0.167    | 0.156    | 0.166    | 0.159    | 0.147    | 0.160  |
| Registered Population      |                                | 0.329**  | 0.373**  | 0.445**  | 0.470**  | 0.486**  | 0.488**  | 0.467**|
| Resident Population        |                                | 0.401**  | 0.448**  | 0.521**  | 0.541**  | 0.549**  | 0.552**  | 0.537**|
| Regional GDP               |                                | 0.317**  | 0.312**  | 0.344**  | 0.357**  | 0.366**  | 0.377**  | 0.365**|
| The total retail sales of  |                                | 0.481**  | 0.525**  | 0.541**  | 0.569**  | 0.586**  | 0.592**  | 0.578**|
Note: ** indicates that the correlation is significant when the confidence (double test) is 0.01; * indicates that the correlation is significant when the confidence (double test) is 0.05. # Refers to the average of cumulative confirmed NCP cases for 20 days from January 30, 2020, to February 18, 2020.

4 Results And Discussion

Based on publicly available epidemic data, this study used GIS spatial analysis methods to systematically analyze the spatial autocorrelation of NCP outbreaks from the provincial, prefectural and county levels and explored the influencing factors using Pearson correlation analysis.

The study found that the spatial scale significantly affected the global spatial autocorrelation of the NCP epidemic. Cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the provincial, prefecture and county levels had significant local spatial autocorrelation and clustering characteristics, but there was no global spatial autocorrelation at the provincial level. The global spatial autocorrelation of cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the county level in Hubei Province was significantly higher than that at the prefecture level, and the trends for the rapid spread of the NCP epidemic from January 30, 2020, to February 18, 2020, were also different. Cumulative confirmed NCP cases had significant local clustering characteristics, namely, regional outbreak characteristics. This indicates that during the outbreak and spread of the NCP epidemic, it was necessary to focus on treating the most seriously affected areas, carrying out classified social management and providing medical support to achieve scientific prevention and control and precise policy implementation.

The spread of the NCP epidemic is not only related to the natural environment, population, and social and economic activities but also closely related to the prevention and control of the epidemic. Information regarding the NCP epidemic has not been publicly disclosed for long, and the correct early warning and pre-control measures were not adopted in a timely manner. The best opportunity for "early detection, early report, early isolation, and early treatment" was missed, resulting in a rapid and concentrated outbreak. The large number of people moving before the Chinese traditional Spring Festival also accelerated the spread of the epidemic. This study explored the impact of the NCP epidemic and the correlation between cumulative confirmed NCP cases and seven indicators closely related to the natural environment, population, and social and economic activities, such as land area, registered population, resident population, population density, Baidu migration index, regional GDP, and total retail sales of consumer goods. Some knowledge has been obtained, but it is not comprehensive or sufficiently in-depth. The results showed that a significant correlation among the NCP epidemic, population and economy, which deserves further consideration. This indicates that epidemic prevention and control measures that restrict population movements and control economic exchange were correct and necessary when the epidemic spread and had great significance in preventing further spread of the epidemic.

Openness, transparency, and sharing of epidemic data are essential for epidemic prevention and control and scientific research. Limited by the availability of data, the analysis of the spatiotemporal characteristics of the NCP epidemic at the county scale in this study is not comprehensive. In future studies, we will continue to update relevant data and analyze the impact mechanisms of the NCP epidemic and social, economic, and environmental indicators at provincial, prefecture and county levels (even townships and streets) in a more
comprehensive and in-depth manner. We will carry out NCP epidemic spatial-temporal simulations based on GTWR \cite{33-34} and geographical detectors \cite{35} to further study the spatial distribution pattern and the spread trend for NCP and provide more accurate and reliable decision-making references for scientific prevention and control of the novel coronavirus epidemic.
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Figure 1

Study area (location, administration and transportation). Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 2

Cluster and outlier analysis results of provincial cumulative confirmed NCP cases nationwide. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 3

Hotspots (Getis-Ord Gi*) of provincial cumulative confirmed NCP cases nationwide. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 4

Global spatial autocorrelation analysis results of cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture level nationwide
Figure 5

Cluster and outlier analysis results of cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the prefecture level in Hubei Province and surrounding areas. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 6

Hotspot (Getis-Ord Gi*) analysis results for cumulative confirmed NCP cases in Hubei Province. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 7

Global spatial autocorrelation analysis results for cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the county level in Hubei Province.

Figure 8

ALMI results of cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the county level in Hubei Province. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any
opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Figure 9

Hotspot analysis (Getis-Ord Gi*) of cumulative confirmed NCP cases at the county level in Hubei Province. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 10

Thematic map of the Baidu migration index from Wuhan to other cities in Hubei Province. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.