Effect of methyl methanesulfonate on hsp70 expression and tissue damage in the third instar larvae of transgenic Drosophila melanogaster (hsp70-lacZ) Bg⁹
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ABSTRACT
Methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) is an anti-carcinogenic drug and its toxicity has been reported in various experimental models. The hsp70s are a family of ubiquitously expressed heat shock proteins. In the recent years, hsp70 has been considered to be one of the candidate genes for predicting cytotoxicity against environmental chemicals. Nowadays emphasis is given to the use of alternatves to mammals in testing, research and education. The European Centre for the Validation of Alternative Methods (EVCAM) has recommended the use of Drosophila as an alternative model for scientific studies. Almost all living organisms possess proteins with a similar structure to that of hsp70s. In the present study, the toxicity of MMS was evaluated by quantifying hsp70 expression and tissue damage in the third instar larvae of transgenic Drosophila melanogaster (hsp70-lacZ) Bg⁹, at different doses and hours of exposure. We studied the effect of 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1.0 μl/ml of MMS at 2, 4, 24 and 48 hours of exposure by using the soluble O-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (ONPG) assay and on establishing the tissue damage by the Trypan blue exclusion assay in the third instar larvae of transgenic Drosophila melanogaster (hsp70-lacZ) Bg⁹. A dose-dependent increase in the expression of hsp70 was observed at 0.25, 0.50, and 0.75 μl/ml of MMS compared to the control. At the highest dose, i.e. 1.0 μl/ml of MMS, the activity of hsp70 was decreased due to tissue damage.
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Introduction

The hsp70s are a family of ubiquitously expressed heat shock proteins. Almost all living organisms possess proteins with structure similar to that of hsp70s. The hsp70s are an important part of the cell machinery for protein folding and they help to protect cells from stress (Tavaria et al., 1996; Morano, 2007). Members of the hsp70 family are strongly up-regulated by heat stress and toxic chemicals, particularly heavy metals such as arsenic, cadmium, copper, mercury, etc. Hsp70 was originally discovered by F.M. Ritossa in the 1960s when a lab worker accidentally boosted the incubation temperature of Drosophila (fruit flies). While examining the chromosomes, Ritossa found a “puffing pattern” that indicated elevated gene transcription of an unknown protein (Ritossa, 1996). This was later described as the “Heat Shock Response” and the proteins were termed as “Heat Shock Proteins” (hsps). The argument to use measurements of this stress response as a biomarker is based on the mode of induction of the hsp70 gene(s) and the corresponding protein class, hsp70, which so far represents the best investigated family of stress proteins. The role of hsp70 in intracellular protein folding and the transmembrane protein passage is based on their ability to bind uncoiled polypeptide chain (Kohler et al., 1998). Genes encoding heat shock proteins are highly conserved and many of their products can be assigned to families on the basis of sequence homology and molecular weight. In an un-stressed cell, hsp acts in successful folding, assembly, intracellular localization, secretion, regulation and degradation of other proteins ( Fonager et al., 2002). Under conditions in which protein folding is...
perturbed or proteins begin to unfold and denature, hsp assists in protein refolding, in protecting cellular systems against protein damage, in solubilizing aggregates to some extent, in sequestering overloaded and damaged protein to degradation machinery (Fonager et al., 2002). Under stressful conditions, all living organism respond by synthesizing heat shock proteins (HSPs) (Nover, 1984; 1991). HSPs function as molecular chaperons that prevent cellular damage (Bennett & Waters, 2000). In the recent years, hsp70 has been considered to be one of the candidate genes for predicting cytotoxicity against environmental chemicals (Bierkens, 2000; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2003; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2002; Lis et al., 1983; Siddique et al., 2011 a,b).

Methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) is a quiet stable molecule, but the presence of oxidizing agents, acids, alkali and excess heat may lead to its instability. Exposure to MMS appears to be limited to laboratory research personnel (HSDB, 2000). It is classified not only as a carcinogen but also as a mutagenic agent for bacteria and yeast (alkylating agent). It has also been reported to cause developmental toxicity (HSDB, 2000). The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH 1997) has not proposed any occupational exposure limit for MMS in workplace air and no international guidelines for MMS in drinking-water have been established (WHO, 1993). MMS methylates DNA on N7-deoxyguanine and N3-deoxyadenosine. Originally, this action was believed to directly cause double-stranded DNA breaks, because homologous recombination-deficient cells are particularly vulnerable to the effects of MMS (Lundin et al., 2005). MMS is used experimentally as a mutagen, teratogen, and brain carcinogen, as a research chemical, and also as a catalyst in chemical synthesis (IARC, 1974; Merck,1989; HSDB, 2000). Methanesulfonylic acid monoesters may be used as insect and mammalian pest chemosterilants and also as a possible human male contraceptive (IARC 1974 & 1987). Most of the chemotherapeutic agents target DNA (Cozzi et al., 2004). Therefore, the DNA repair status is of utmost importance for tumor sensitivity to drugs and at the same time for the protection of normal tissue. In humans the therapeutic application of MMS to cancer patients of total doses ranging from 2.8 to 800 mg/kg body weight over a period of up to 350 days led to significant gastrointestinal and hepatic toxic effects. MMS induced somatic and sex-linked mutations in Drosophila (Yoda et al., 1982). Nowadays the use of animals in toxicological research and testing has become an important issue for both science and ethics. As a result emphasis has been given to the use of alternatives to mammals in testing, research and education (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2003). The European Centre for the Validation of Alternative Methods (EVCAM) has recommended the use of Drosophila as an alternative model for scientific studies (Festing et al., 1998; Benford et al.,2000). The effect of various pesticides, such as hexa chlorocyclohexane (Chowdhuri et al., 1999), Chlopyrifos (Nazar et al., 2001), organophosphate compounds (Gupta et al., 2007), fungicides such as captan (Nazar et al., 2003), argemone oil (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2003), and industrial solid wastes (Siddique et al., 2005), has been studied for hsp70 expression in the third instar larvae of transgenic Drosophila melanogaster (hsp70-lacZ)Bg.

In the present study, the toxicity of different doses and hours of exposure of MMS was evaluated by quantifying the hsp70 expression and tissue damage in the third instar larvae of transgenic Drosophila melanogaster (hsp70-lacZ) Bg.

Methods

Fly strain
A transgenic Drosophila melanogaster line that expresses bacterial beta-galactosidase as a response to stress was used in the present study (Lis et al., 1983). In the said strain of flies, the transformation vector is inserted with a P-element, the line contains wild type hsp70 sequence up to the lacZ fusion point. The flies and larvae were cultured on standard Drosophila food containing agar, corn meal, sugar, and yeast at 24°C ± 1 (Nazar et al., 2003).

Experimental design
MMS concentrations at 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1.0 μl/ml of food were established. The third instar larvae were allowed to feed on them for different time intervals (2, 4, 24 and 48 hrs).

Soluble O-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (ONPG) assay
The expression of hsp70 gives the measure of cytotoxicity (Chowdhuri et al., 1996; 2001). We followed the method as described by Nazir et al. (2003). Briefly, after washing the larvae in phosphate buffer, they were put in a micro-centrifuge tube (20 larvae / tube; 5 replicates/group), permeabilized for 10 min by acetone, and incubated overnight at 37°C in 600 μl of ONPG staining buffer. Following incubation, the reaction was stopped by adding 300 μl of Na2CO3. The extent of the reaction was quantified by measuring the absorbance at 420 nm using Systronics UV/VIS Spectrophotometer 118, India.

Trypan blue exclusion test
The extent of tissue damage in larvae caused by the exposure to different concentrations of MMS was assayed
by a dye exclusion test (Krebs & Feder, 1997; Nazir et al., 2003). Briefly, the internal tissues of larvae were explanted in a drop of phosphate buffer (PB), rotated in trypan blue stain for 30 min, washed thoroughly in PB, and scored immediately for dark blue staining. A total of 50 larvae per treatment (10 larvae per dose; 5 replicates per group) were scored for the trypan blue staining on an average composite index per larva: no color, 0; any blue, 1; darkly stained nuclei, 2; large patches of darkly stained cells, 3; or complete staining of most cells in the tissue, 4 (Krebs & Feder, 1997).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out by Student’s t test using commercial software statistica Soft Inc, India (2007).

Results

The results of the present study reveals that the exposure of the third instar larvae of transgenic Drosophila melanogaster (hsp70-lacZ) Bg9 to different doses of MMS, i.e. 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1.0 μl/ml for the duration of 2 hrs did not induce significant expression of hsp70 as compared to untreated larvae (Table 1; Figure 2). The doses of 0.25 and 0.50 μl/ml MMS showed the effect of exposure duration increase over 4, 24 and 48 hrs on the activity of hsp70 expression (Table 1; Figure 2). At further higher

---

Table 1. β-galactosidase activity measured in transgenic Drosophila melanogaster (hsp70-lacZ) Bg9 third instar larvae exposed to different concentrations of methyl methanesulfonate for various time intervals.

| Treatments | After 2 hrs | After 4 hrs | After 24 hrs | After 48 hrs |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| MMS (μl/ml) | O.D (Mean±SE) | O.D (Mean±SE) | O.D (Mean±SE) | O.D (Mean±SE) |
| 0.25 | 0.2448±0.0193* | 0.2425±0.0039* | 0.2530±0.0520* | 0.2740±0.0218* |
| 0.50 | 0.2106±0.0097* | 0.3017±0.0114* | 0.3100±0.0240* | 0.3160±0.0236* |
| 0.75 | 0.2196±0.0167 | 0.2865±0.0085* | 0.2885±0.164* | 0.2740±0.040* |
| 1.0 | 0.2474±0.0088* | 0.2718±0.0177* | 0.2865±0.0325* | 0.2750±0.0382* |
| Untreated | 0.2387±0.0152 | 0.2355±0.0154 | 0.2186±0.0125 | 0.2537±0.0208 |

*Significant at p<0.05 compared to Untreated.
MMS: Methyl methanesulfonate; O.D: Optical density; SE: Standard Error.

Table 2. Regression analysis for β-galactosidase activity in the third instar larvae of transgenic Drosophila melanogaster (hsp70-lacZ) Bg9 to study the dose effect of MMS (0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1 μl/ml of MMS) for 2, 4, 24 and 48 hrs of exposure.

| S.No. | Duration (hrs) | Regression Equation | r-value | β-coefficient | SE | p-value | F-value |
|-------|----------------|---------------------|---------|---------------|----|---------|---------|
| 1     | 2              | Y=0.2284±0.00672X   | 0.981   | 0.25          | 0.014 | 0.28 |
| 2     | 4              | Y=0.2574±0.02908X   | 0.976   | 0.37          | 0.351 | 0.321 |
| 3     | 24             | Y=0.2647±0.03160X   | 0.433   | 0.43          | 0.318 | 0.462 |
| 4     | 48             | Y=0.2954±0.0156X    | −0.2410 | −0.2400       | 0.030 | 0.010 | 0.124 |

MMS: Methyl methanesulfonate; SE: Standard error

Table 3. Regression analysis for β-galactosidase activity in the third instar larvae of transgenic Drosophila melanogaster (hsp70-lacZ) Bg9 to study the duration exposure effects at fixed concentration.

| S.No. | Concentrations (μl/ml) | Regression Equation | r-value | B-coefficient | SE | p-value | F-value |
|-------|------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------|----|---------|---------|
| 1     | 0.25                   | Y=0.2407±0.00066X   | 0.981   | 0.981         | 0.002 | 0.0001 | 50.37   |
| 2     | 0.50                   | Y=0.2557±0.00148X   | 0.638   | 0.638         | 0.033 | 0.0170 | 1.375   |
| 3     | 0.75                   | Y=0.2555±0.00060X   | 0.395   | 0.396         | 0.026 | 0.0105 | 0.371   |
| 4     | 1.00                   | Y=0.2619±0.00042X   | 0.5525  | 0.261         | 0.121 | 0.0022 | 0.878   |

MMS: Methyl methanesulfonate; SE: Standard error
The exposure of third instar larvae to 1.0 μl/ml MMS resulted in the reduction of the β-coefficient, i.e. 0.261 (F = 0.878) (Table 3; Figure 10). The reduction in the value of the β-coefficient demonstrates the reduction in β-galactosidase activity at the highest dose of exposure.

Trypan blue staining was performed to study the tissue damage induced by MMS in the larval tissue exposed to different doses of MMS. About 90% of the untreated larvae were negative to trypan blue staining even after 48 hrs of the treatment. In about 80% of the larvae light staining was observed only in the midgut of the larvae exposed to different doses of MMS for 2 hrs but the larvae exposed to higher doses of MMS, i.e. 0.75 and 1.0 μl/ml, showed damage in the midgut, salivary glands, malpighian tubules and the hindgut. Figures 11–14 showed trypan blue staining for the control larvae and those exposed to 0.50, 0.75 and 1.0 μl/ml MMS for 48 hrs.

**Discussion**

The results of the present study revealed that MMS induced significantly the expression of hsp70 at 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1.0 μl/ml for 4, 24 and 48 hrs of exposure as compared to the untreated larvae but the expression of hsp70 was less as compared to the treatment of 0.50 μl/ml of MMS for 4, 24 and 48 hrs of exposure (Table 1; Figure 2). Regression analysis was also performed to study the dose effect of third instar larvae of transgenic *Drosophila melanogaster* (hsp70-lacZ) Bg9 for various durations of exposure (Table 3; Figure 3–6). The exposure to 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1 μl/ml MMS for 4 and 24 hrs was associated with the β-coefficient of 0.327 (F = 0.321) and 0.433 (F = 0.462), respectively (Table 2; Figure 4–5). However, for the exposure of 48 hrs, the β-coefficient was −0.240 (F = 0.124) (Table 2; Figure 6). The reduction in the value of the β-coefficient demonstrates the reduction in β-galactosidase activity for the longest duration of exposure. The regression analysis was also performed to study the effect of exposure durations at various doses of MMS (Table 3; Figure 7–10). The exposure of third instar larvae of transgenic *Drosophila melanogaster* (hsp70-lacZ) Bg9 to 0.25 μl/ml of MMS for 2, 4, 24 and 48 hrs of duration was associated with the β-coefficient of 0.981 (F = 50.37) (Table 3; Figure 7). Similarly, the exposure of third instar larvae to 0.50 and 0.75 μl/ml MMS for 2, 4, 24 and 48 hrs was associated with the β-coefficient of 0.638 (F = 1.325) and 0.396 (F = 0.371), respectively (Table 3; Figure 8–9). The exposure of third instar larvae to 1.0 μl/ml MMS resulted in the reduction of the β-coefficient, i.e. 0.261 (F = 0.878) (Table 3; Figure 10). The reduction in the value of the β-coefficient demonstrates the reduction in β-galactosidase activity at the highest dose of exposure.

Trypan blue staining was performed to study the tissue damage induced by MMS in the larval tissue exposed to different doses of MMS. About 90% of the untreated larvae were negative to trypan blue staining even after 48 hrs of the treatment. In about 80% of the larvae light staining was observed only in the midgut of the larvae exposed to higher doses of MMS, i.e. 0.75 and 1.0 μl/ml, showed damage in the midgut, salivary glands, malpighian tubules and the hindgut. Figures 11–14 showed trypan blue staining for the control larvae and those exposed to 0.50, 0.75 and 1.0 μl/ml MMS for 48 hrs.
compared to the untreated larvae. hsp70 expression was not significant after 2 hrs of exposure. The reduction in the activity of hsp70 at 0.75 and 1.0 μl/ml of MMS for different times of exposure may be due to a reduction in the number of viable cells after 24 and 48 hrs of exposure or to auto-repression of hsp70 once its upper limit has been achieved. The instability of the reporter gene may also be involved at the exposure to 0.75 and 1.0 μl/ml MMS for different durations that may lead to a decrease in the activity of hsp70 expression. The tissue damage caused by the exposure to the higher doses of MMS was evident by the trypan blue exclusion assay in the larvae exposed for different durations. A dose-dependent increase in the activity of β-galactosidase clearly demonstrated the dose-dependent toxic effect of MMS in transgenic Drosophila melanogaster (hsp70-lacZ) Bg9 and underlined the usefulness of hsp70 expression as bio-indicator of exposure to environmental chemicals.

MMS causes DNA damage by methylating N\(^2\)-deoxyguanine and N\(^3\)-deoxyadenine. Methylation causes double-strand DNA breaks and inhibition of replication fork movement. Apart from DNA adduct formation and methylation, MMS also leads to protein adduct formation. MMS methylates the N-terminus of valine and histidine residues in proteins and is thus classified as super clastogen (Zhang et al., 2005). Toxicological studies for MMS have been carried out in various experimental models like mice, rats, etc. According to the National Toxicological Programme guidelines, development and validation of alternative models is necessary to obtain reliable and sensitive results. For traditional toxicological studies a shift has taken place from the use of mammalian models to alternative models and in silico approaches. Drosophila, Zebra fish, C. elegans are now used as animal models in toxicological research (Avanesian et al., 2009). Drosophila has many similarities with the human genome and is easy to handle, culture, and moreover ethical problems are less serious with this model (AMBR, 2010). Genetically modified models provide reliable information about the mode of action for the test chemical. They provide exactness in toxicological research. The transgenic mouse is already in use for various carcinogenesis studies (Avanesian et al., 2009). Drosophila melanogaster has been used in genetic, behavioral and molecular biology research. Recently, Drosophila has been used as a model for disease oriented molecular screening. Drosophila as a model in pharmaceutical research has been evaluated and validated for various medical problems like aggression, sleep, pain, seizures, psychoactive drug addiction, etc. The use of the alternative Drosophila model in pharmaceutical research is thus classified as
research is time and cost effective in comparison to rodents. In the future Drosophila will be used to detect adverse drug reactions. It will also be helpful in reducing time and cost in the field of drug development processes (Avanesian et al., 2009). In the present study, transgenic Drosophila melanogaster (hsp70-lacZ) Bg⁹ strain was used to study the effect of MMS on hsp70 expression and tissue damage in the 3rd instar larvae. Animal models remain important models ranging from worms to primates that can be used for the detection of adverse effects (Avanesia et al., 2009). Although mammalian systems may represent more accurate evaluation tools of short-term and long-term safety, they are frequently laborious and costly, particularly at early stages of drug discovery and development. Application of transgenic models in assaying environmental pollution has opened a new frontier in biomonitoring. Guven et al. (1994) and Guven and de Pomerai (1995) have successfully employed transgenic Drosophila as a model organism in the recent years (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2003). Jowett (1991) showed that the transgenic fruit fly could be used to study both drug metabolism and oxidative stress. The transgenic Drosophila melanogaster line that expresses bacterial β-galactosidase as a response to stress was used in the study of Lis et al. (1983). In the said strain of flies the transformation vector is inserted with a P element; the line contains wild type hsp70 sequence up to the lacZ fusion point. Elevated levels of hsp70 expression as a measure of cellular assault have been established in the present study. Hence it is concluded that the expression of hsp70 on exposure to the effect of environmental chemicals is a potential indicator of non-target toxicity. The presented results are suggestive of the cytotoxic potential of methyl methanesulfonate to non target organisms like Drosophila. The study further supports the convenient
and inexpensive use of hsp70 expression as a bioindicator of exposure to environmental chemicals.
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