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Background—Children with congenital heart disease (CHD) are thought to have low levels of physical activity (PA), but few studies have used objective measures of PA in this population.

Methods and Results—We recruited patients with mild, moderate, and severe CHD and cardiac transplant recipients, aged 8 to 19 years, from pediatric cardiology clinics throughout British Columbia and Yukon, Canada. Participants were fitted with an ActiGraph accelerometer to be worn over the right hip for 7 days. Daily means were estimated for a variety of accelerometry-derived metrics, including moderate-to-vigorous PA and percentage of sedentary time if they had at least 3 valid days of accelerometry data. Participants also completed a PA questionnaire. We included 90 participants (aged 13.6±2.7 years; 54% male), of which 26 had mild CHD, 26 had moderate CHD, 29 had severe CHD, and 9 were cardiac transplant recipients. Median daily moderate-to-vigorous PA was 43 min/day (interquartile range: 28.9–56.9 min/day), and 8% met PA guidelines of 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous PA at least 6 days a week. There were no significant differences in any accelerometry-derived metric according to CHD severity. Boys were significantly more active and less sedentary than girls. Activity declined and sedentary behaviors increased with age in both sexes. Sports participation was common, including competitive out-of-school clubs (57%). PA restrictions from cardiologists were rare (15%).

Conclusions—We found normal age–sex patterns of PA in children with CHD. There were no differences in PA by CHD severity, suggesting that sociocultural factors are likely important determinants of PA in these children. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6: e004665. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.116.004665.)
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Approximately 1 in 100 children are born with a congenital heart disease (CHD). With advances in surgical techniques and practices, survival rates and life expectancy have dramatically improved. Children with CHD, however, are at increased cardiovascular risk because traditional atherosclerotic risk factors may interact with intrinsic structural abnormalities, surgical sequelae, or disturbances in cardiac rhythm. The importance of physical activity (PA), which is defined as “any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that results in energy expenditure,” is increasingly recognized as a cornerstone of long-term cardiovascular health in these patients. It is well documented that we are confronted with a global childhood inactivity crisis, with North American countries fairing particularly poorly in terms of children’s PA behaviors. Nationally representative surveys that use objective measures of PA estimate that <1 in 10 young Canadians and US youth meet PA guidelines of at least 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA) daily. Children with CHD may be even less active than their healthy peers; however, there is conflicting evidence regarding objectively measured PA in children with CHD. Some studies reported children with severe types of CHD being significantly less active than their peers, whereas other studies that covered a broader spectrum of CHD found activity levels that were comparable to peers. These studies have inherent limitations because they were conducted in children with specific lesions or were small in number. In addition, very little is known about sedentary behavior in this population; sedentary behavior is increasingly recognized as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease, independent of PA.
The aim of this analysis was (1) to describe objectively measured PA by CHD severity, (2) to describe objectively measured sedentary behavior by CHD severity, and (3) to qualitatively describe PA and sports participation and their associations with objectively measured PA.

Materials and Methods
Sample and Protocol
We included 107 children aged 8 to 19 years who had CHD or pediatric heart transplant enrolled from British Columbia Children’s Hospital or traveling clinics across British Columbia and Yukon, Canada. We obtained institutional ethics approval, parental consent, and participant assent. Recruitment success was 80% overall. Data were collected during routine clinic visits between July 2014 and August 2016. We grouped cardiac diagnosis according to consensus guidelines as follows: (1) mild CHD (eg, atrial septal defect, mild pulmonary stenosis); (2) moderate CHD (eg, coarctation of the aorta, Tetralogy of Fallot); (3) severe CHD (eg, Fontan circulation, transposition of the great arteries); or (4) cardiac transplant recipient. Nurses measured height (0.1 cm) and weight (0.1 kg). Body mass index (BMI) was expressed as World Health Organization norms, and BMI was categorized according to International Obesity Task Force criteria. PA restrictions were obtained from the treating cardiologist.

Accelerometry
We used ActiGraph accelerometers (GT3X+, GT9X; ActiGraph LLC), which are widely used to objectively measure habitual PA in children. We fitted participants with the accelerometer to be worn over the right hip continuously for the next 7 days and to be removed only for water-based activities (including showering and swimming) and during sleep. We used ActiLife v.6.13.2 (ActiGraph LLC) for accelerometer initialization (sampling set at 30 Hz) and file download, processing, and analysis. We generated 15-second epoch .agd files from the raw .gt3x files. We identified valid accelerometry days if the device was worn for ≥600 min/day, permitting 60 minutes of ≤2 minutes of zeros to avoid discarding reasonable sedentary bouts as nonwear. Participants’ overall accelerometry data were valid if they had ≥3 valid days. We compared these criteria with more conservative wear-time criteria (≥4 valid days, composed of ≥3 weekdays and ≥1 weekend days). Fewer participants had valid data using this method (n=73 versus n=90), but neither sample characteristics nor group PA values differed; therefore, we opted to use the more liberal inclusion criteria (≥3 days).

For participants with valid files (ie, if they had ≥3 valid accelerometry days), we calculated summary values for each participant for a variety of PA metrics, defined as a daily mean value relative to participants’ number of valid accelerometry days. Specific PA metrics included (1) daily sum of axis 1 (vertical) acceleration counts (“counts”) as a measure of total PA; (2) counts per minute (CPM) as a measure of relative PA intensity; (3) time spent in PA intensities, specifically MVPA (≥2296 CPM) and vigorous PA (≥4012 CPM). We estimated sedentary time as a percentage relative to valid accelerometer wear time, where percentage of sedentary time equals daily sedentary minutes (<100 CPM) divided by accelerometer wear time (min). Adherence to PA guidelines (≥60 minutes of MVPA daily) was defined as the probability of accumulating ≥60 minutes of MVPA on at least 6 of 7 days. Probability estimates are used to maximize the sample size because typically <40% of participants in accelerometer studies achieve the possible 7 days of valid accelerometer wear. To calculate probabilities, a Bayesian approach was used to incorporate all participants with ≥3 valid accelerometry days; estimates are based on a β distribution, and participants’ observed combination of active days (≥60 min/day MVPA) and valid wear days (≥600 min/day). This analytical approach was developed for accelerometer data collected as part of the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (using a criterion of adhering to guidelines on at least 5 of 7 days), and a full description and specific analytical details are available elsewhere. To allow for comparisons with Canadian data, we applied the criteria used in the nationally representative Canadian Health Measures Survey of adhering to PA guidelines on at least 6 of 7 days.

PA Questionnaire
A subsample completed the Physical Activity Questionnaire for Children (PAQ-C) or Adolescents (PAQ-A), depending on their age (≤11 versus ≥12 years, respectively). The PAQ is a self-administered, 7-day recall questionnaire that assesses participation in different types of activities, as well as activity during physical education. Each of the 8 (PAQ-A) or 9 (PAQ-C) questionnaire items is scored between 1 (low PA) and 5 (high PA), and a mean score of all items constitutes the overall PAQ score. We demonstrated the validity of the questionnaire against accelerometry in this population (associations between PAQ score and range of accelerometry-derived PA metrics: p=0.44 to p=0.54, all P<0.001). We identified common sports/activity participation, defined as having participated ≥1 time during the previous 7 days. Participants also reported competitive sports participation (at school or outside of school).

Climate Records
We retrieved historical climate records for each participant during the PA measurement period (from http://climate.wea
ther.gc.ca/index_e.html). For each participant, we identified weather stations that were geographically closest to home (except mountaintop weather stations or stations lacking weather records), and for each measurement day, we extracted relevant weather data (mean temperature [in °C], precipitation [in mm], and snow on the ground [in cm]). We calculated mean climate values for each participant based on the same days that were included in the generation of PA summary values.

**Statistical Analyses**

Sample descriptive statistics were calculated as frequencies (%), mean±SD, or median (interquartile range [IQR]) depending on the type of data and distribution within groups. Because of the variation in PA levels between participants, for example, medians (IQRs) were calculated for the overall sample and by sex for the accelerometer-derived summary values. Between-group differences were assessed by independent t tests (normal continuous data), Mann–Whitney U ranked sum or Kruskal–Walls tests (nonnormal continuous data), the Pearson χ² test (categorical data), or a 2-sample test of proportion estimate (2-tailed). Associations were assessed by Spearman rank correlation (ρ) and visual inspection of scatter plots. Multiple linear regression analyses were used to assess the association between accelerometer-derived PA metrics—using the summary value per person across their valid measurement days as the outcome measure (eg, mean minutes of MVPA per day)—and relevant explanatory variables (sex, age, BMI z score, CHD severity, climate variables). Analyses were carried out using Stata v. 14.1 (StataCorp LLC) or SAS v. 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc; for probability estimates of adhering to PA guidelines²), and significance was set at P<0.05.

**Results**

**Sample**

We included 90 participants (aged 13.6±2.7 years, 54% male) who had sufficient accelerometry data. Excluded participants were older (aged 15.3±2.7 years) but were no different in terms of sex, CHD severity, or BMI. Cardiac diagnoses are shown in Table 1, and sample characteristics (age, sex, height, weight, BMI, disease severity, objective PA) are shown in Table 2. One quarter of children were overweight or obese, comparable to national data.¹¹ Group median MVPA was ≈43 min/day; skewed group mean MVPA was no different from national data (≈49 versus ≈50 minutes).¹¹ Adherence to PA guidelines, which was defined as accumulating ≥60 min/day MVPA on at least 6 of 7 days, was estimated at 8%. Adherence to guidelines overall and by sex (4% of girls, 9% of boys). A detailed example of a participant’s daily accelerometer data is illustrated in Figure 1.

**Table 1. Distribution and Classification of Cardiac Diagnosis in the Current Sample (n=90)**

| Cardiac Diagnosis                  | n (%) |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Mild                              | 26 (29) |
| Bicuspid aortic valve             | 6 (7)  |
| Ventricular septal defect         | 5 (6)  |
| Mild aortic stenosis              | 4 (4)  |
| Atrial septal defect              | 4 (4)  |
| Mixed aortic valve disease        | 3 (3)  |
| Mild pulmonary stenosis           | 2 (2)  |
| Mitral valve disease              | 1 (1)  |
| Small patent ductus arteriosus    | 1 (1)  |
| Moderate                          | 26 (29) |
| Tetralogy of Fallot               | 11 (12) |
| Coarctation of the aorta          | 7 (8)  |
| Moderate/severe aortic stenosis   | 2 (2)  |
| Total anomalous pulmonary venous return | 1 (1) |
| Ostium primum atrial septal defect| 1 (1)  |
| Sinus venosus atrial septal defect| 1 (1)  |
| Ebstein’s anomaly                 | 1 (1)  |
| Shone syndrome                    | 1 (1)  |
| Pentalogy of Cantrell             | 1 (1)  |
| Severe                            | 29 (32) |
| Fontan                            | 17 (19) |
| Transposition of the great arteries| 8 (9) |
| Double-outlet ventricle           | 2 (2)  |
| Valved conduit                    | 2 (2)  |
| Cardiac transplant                | 9 (10) |

Congenital heart disease severity was categorized in accordance with consensus guidelines.¹¹

**Association Between CHD Severity and Accelerometry-Derived PA**

There were no significant differences in daily MVPA by CHD severity (Figure 2). Age was inversely associated with MVPA in boys (p=−0.35, P=0.01) and in girls (p=−0.36, P=0.02) (Figure 3). Age was positively associated with sedentary time in both boys (p=0.55, P<0.001) and girls (p=0.58, P<0.001) (Figure 3). MVPA and sedentary time were closely related in both sexes (p=−0.74 and p=−0.73, respectively; all P<0.001).

In multiple linear regression analyses, age and sex were significant explanatory variables for total activity, MVPA, and
Table 2. Sample Characteristics and General Physical Activity Levels

| Participant characteristics | All (n=90) | Boys (n=49) | Girls (n=41) | P Value |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|---------|
| Age, y                      | 13.6±2.7  | 13.8±2.8   | 13.5±2.7    | 0.550   |
| Height, cm                  | 157.0±13.2| 158.9±14.9 | 154.8±10.5  | 0.139   |
| Weight, kg                  | 51.8±16.1 | 52.2±16.6  | 51.4±15.8   | 0.796   |
| BMI percentile*             | 58.6±33.8 | 56.8±33.2  | 60.7±34.8   | 0.592   |
| BMI category (underweight/normal/overweight/obese)† | 12/54/19/5 | 7/32/8/2   | 5/22/11/3   | 0.539   |
| Cardiac diagnosis (mild/moderate/severe/Tx) | 26/26/29/9 | 15/12/15/7 | 11/14/14/2 | 0.408   |
| Physical activity (accelerometry-derived)‡ | | | | |
| Valid days (≥600 minutes wear time) | 6 (5–7) | 7 (6–7) | 6 (5–7) | 0.065 |
| Accelerometer wear time, min/day | 796 (758–846) | 802 (762–849) | 783 (754–823) | 0.215 |
| Total activity, counts × 10⁷/day | 306.0 (243.1–417.9) | 357.3 (288.0–472.4) | 256.1 (201.0–346.7) | 0.003³ |
| Relative activity intensity, counts/min | 376.8 (302.6–510.2) | 433.7 (328.5–580.2) | 331.0 (252.5–432.1) | 0.005⁴ |
| Steps, count/day            | 7494 (6418–9862) | 8014 (6801–10 269) | 7194 (6087–9053) | 0.180   |
| Moderate-to-vigorous PA, min/day¹ | 42.6 (28.9–56.9) | 49.2 (33.1–67.2) | 39.2 (25.1–46.9) | 0.006⁸ |
| Of which vigorous PA, min/day¹ | 12.4 (6.1–20.7) | 16.8 (8.5–24.2) | 7.1 (3.3–13.3) | 0.001⁸   |
| Sedentary time, %/day       | 70.0 (61.2–75.9) | 65.2 (59.4–75.3) | 72.8 (66.5–77.8) | 0.045³   |
| Adherence to PA guidelines on ≥6 day/week⁴ | 8% | 12% | 4% | 0.172 |

Data are mean±SD, n, or median (interquartile range). BMI indicates body mass index; PA, physical activity; Tx, cardiac transplant recipient.

*BMI (kg/m²) percentiles calculated based on age- and sex-specific World Health Organization 2007 reference charts.¹²

International Obesity Task Force age- and sex-specific BMI weight categorization.²³

¹ActiGraph accelerometer (GT3X+ or GT9X; 15-second epoch), values are daily means based on ≥3 days with ≥600 minutes valid wear time.

²Significantly different between sexes (P<0.05).

³Based on cut points of Evenson et al (moderate-to-vigorous: ≥2296 counts/min; vigorous: ≥4012 counts/min).²⁶

⁴Adherence to PA guidelines (≥60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous PA/day)¹²,¹³ defined as probability of ≥60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous PA ≥6 day/week.¹¹

Sedentary behavior but not for vigorous PA in model 1 (Table 3). Age was inversely related to daily minutes of MVPA (P<0.01), and girls were significantly less active than boys (P<0.05). Model 2 also included CHD severity (reference: mild), which was not a significant explanatory variable. BMI z score was nonsignificant in all models. Overall, sex, age, BMI z score, and CHD severity explained relatively little of the variance in PA (~15–20%) and sedentary behaviors (~34%).

Association Between Climate and Accelerometry-Derived PA

PA was measured between July 2014 and August 2016, predominantly during spring and fall months. Participants resided all across the Canadian provinces of British Columbia and the Yukon, which encompass moderate oceanic climates in the southwest to subarctic climates in the north. Detailed location-specific climate data for individual PA measurement periods were available for all participants (proximity between home and weather station: median 6.6 km, IQR 3.9–11.5 km). Daily temperatures (9.1°C, IQR 3.5–13.6) and precipitation (1.3 mm, IQR 0.4–3.5) varied between participants according to season and location; snow cover (≥1 cm) was rare during measurement periods (n=3 participants). Few measurement days (6%) occurred on days on which temperatures fell below freezing, with PA guidelines (≥60 min/day MVPA) still met often (33% of those days). Overall, there was no significant association between MVPA and mean daily temperature (P=0.280) or mean precipitation (P=0.553). Additionally adjusting regression models (see Table 3) for mean temperature did not change the results and explained only an additional ~1% of the variance in accelerometry-derived PA metrics (data not shown).

Sports Participation

A subsample completed the PAQ (n=61; 49% male). The median score was 2.6 of 5 (IQR 2.1–3.1), which is somewhat lower than scores of ~3.1 and ~2.7 that have been reported for healthy children aged 10 and 15 years, respectively, from British Columbia.²⁹ Commonly reported activities were running (72%), soccer (40%), swimming (34%), and dancing (31%). Soccer was more common among boys than girls (52% versus 27%), whereas the reverse was true for dance (19% versus
43%; all \( P<0.05 \)). Objectively measured MVPA was higher in those who played soccer than those that did not (median 55 versus 39 min/day; \( P=0.01 \)). The same was observed for swimming (median 57 versus 42 min/day; \( P=0.01 \)) but not for running and dancing.

Most participants were attending school (95%). Objectively measured MVPA was significantly higher for those who participated in competitive sports outside of school (57%; 54 versus 39 min/day, \( P<0.01 \)), but there was no difference for competitive school sports (30%; \( P>0.05 \)).

**Discussion**

We objectively assessed PA in boys and girls aged 8 to 19 years across patients with a wide range of CHD disease severities and cardiac transplant recipients. Compared with national data, we found that the activity levels of our sample overall were broadly comparable to those of healthy Canadian boys and girls (54 versus 50 min/day MVPA) and not lower, as is commonly thought.8 We also found no significant differences between CHD disease severity for any accelerometry-derived PA metric or sedentary behavior, which is increasingly being recognized as a risk factor for cardiovascular health independent of PA.20 Although it is encouraging that our findings suggest that children with CHD are no less active than their healthy peers, the results need to be viewed in the context of alarmingly low levels of PA in children generally.9,10 In Canada, only 7% of Canadian boys and girls meet PA guidelines of at least 60 minutes of MVPA daily (versus 8% in our sample and similar prevalence in US youth)—a concerning pattern that has been observed globally and that World Health Organization global strategies and national policies have not yet been able to meaningfully address.9
and the United States provide much lower normative values for MVPA,\textsuperscript{11,12} which may have resulted in different interpretation of their findings in Fontan patients relative to healthy children.

Another small study (in Ontario, Canada) found that very young children aged 3 to 5 years with coarctation of the aorta or Tetralogy of Fallot (n=10) achieved \( \approx 72 \text{ min/day} \) MVPA on average, which was no different from healthy age-matched controls.\textsuperscript{19} These high levels of activity are likely explained by a combination of the very young age range (because PA decreases with age) and the researchers’ use of a much lower accelerometry sampling interval (3 seconds), to capture the sporadic high-intensity activity typical of young children, and a lower MVPA threshold; combined, these measures will lead to higher estimates of MVPA compared with our analytical approach. A study of Fontan patients (in Ontario, Canada; n=60) reported mean estimates of MVPA that were similar to our sample (\( \approx 50 \text{ versus } 49 \text{ min/day} \)); these data are also based on 15-second epoch, but the investigators used a different device and MVPA threshold, and it is of note that their sample was much younger than ours (6–12 years).\textsuperscript{30,31} Another small study (in Milwaukee, Wisconsin) assessed MVPA across a range of CHD severities and found high levels of MVPA overall (\( \approx 70 \text{ min/day} \)),\textsuperscript{17} despite using a lower sampling interval (30-second epoch) and higher, metabolic equivalent–based MVPA (\( \geq 3 \) metabolic equivalents) thresholds that would yield lower estimates of MVPA compared with our approach. Although their age range was similar to ours (6–19 years), the majority of children were much younger (mean age 10.6 versus 13.6 years), and the overall sample size was small (n=21). A study from Germany assessed MVPA in children and adults across a wide age range (8–52 years) after total cavopulmonary connection.\textsuperscript{32} They found their sample to be highly active (98 min/day), but it is of note that they used a different device, as well as a metabolic equivalent–based (\( \geq 3 \) metabolic equivalents) MVPA threshold. The diverse findings from these previous studies may be attributable to their evaluations of specific CHD populations and different methodologies, but it is also important to consider the importance of age, sex, and sociocultural factors in PA that have been left largely unexplored in this population.

Multifactorial Determinants of PA in Children With CHD?

We found that boys were more active and less sedentary than girls and that PA declined and sedentary behavior increased during adolescence. These age–sex patterns are as expected in healthy children\textsuperscript{11,33,34} and add to an emerging literature on this topic in CHD.\textsuperscript{18,35} In healthy children, the determinants of PA are multifactorial and include factors that are intrapersonal (attitudes, motivation, self-efficacy), sociocultural (encouragement, support, social norms), and environmental (access to opportunities and facilities).\textsuperscript{34} Several qualitative studies have identified low self-efficacy, covert fears, discomfort, and physical fatigue as limiting factors in children with CHD.\textsuperscript{36} Some children report having been bullied by peers and perceive a lack of understanding by their teachers,\textsuperscript{37} which is important to consider for school-based PA promotion in children with CHD. Environmental factors, such as seasonality, may affect PA of healthy children,\textsuperscript{38} and this has also been observed in children with CHD,\textsuperscript{39,40} along with findings that outdoor time is linked to more PA.\textsuperscript{39} Our results in the context of existing literature suggests that future research on PA determinants in children with CHD ought to be framed within a socioecological framework that addresses the complexity of contributing factors.
Table 3. Multiple Regression Analyses for Accelerometry*-Derived Physical Activity Parameters

| Model 1 | Model 2 |
|---------|---------|
|         | β  | 95% CI | β  | 95% CI |
| DV: total PA, counts×10^3/day |   |        |   |        |
| Sex (ref: male) | −94.5 | (−175.7, −13.2) | −91.6 | (−174.6, −8.7) |
| Age, y | −24.4 | (−39.4, −9.5) | −25.7 | (−40.9, −10.6) |
| BMI Z score | −12.4 | (−42.8, 18.0) | −13.9 | (−47.7, 19.9) |
| Cardiac diagnosis (ref: mild) |   |        |   |        |
| Moderate |   |        | −10.6 | (−124.3, 103.1) |
| Severe |   |        | −69.6 | (−177.5, 38.2) |
| Transplant |   |        | −5.7 | (−167.2, 155.7) |
| $r^2$ | 0.154 | 0.176 |
| DV: MVPA, min/day |   |        |   |        |
| Sex (ref: male) | −14.7 | (−25.9, −3.5) | −15.4 | (−26.7, −4.1) |
| Age, y | −3.2 | (−5.3, −1.1) | −3.3 | (−5.4, −1.2) |
| BMI Z score | −2.6 | (−6.8, 1.6) | −3.8 | (−8.4, 0.8) |
| Cardiac diagnosis (ref: mild) |   |        |   |        |
| Moderate |   |        | −2.3 | (−17.9, 13.2) |
| Severe |   |        | −11.2 | (−25.9, 3.5) |
| Transplant |   |        | −16.4 | (−38.5, 5.6) |
| $r^2$ | 0.165 | 0.203 |
| DV: vigorous PA, min/day** |   |        |   |        |
| Sex (ref: male) | −6.5 | (−13.3, 0.2) | −6.7 | (−13.6, 0.1) |
| Age, y | −0.9 | (−2.1, 0.4) | −1.0 | (−2.2, 0.3) |
| BMI Z score | −1.8 | (−4.3, 0.8) | −2.5 | (−5.3, 0.3) |
| Cardiac diagnosis (ref: mild) |   |        |   |        |
| Moderate |   |        | −2.4 | (−11.8, 7.0) |
| Severe |   |        | −7.4 | (−16.3, 1.5) |
| Transplant |   |        | −8.7 | (−22.1, 4.6) |
| $r^2$ | 0.119 | 0.119 |
| DV: sedentary, %/day†† |   |        |   |        |
| Sex (ref: male) | 5.0 | (1.6, 8.4) | 5.1 | (1.6, 8.6) |
| Age, y | 1.9 | (1.3, 2.5) | 1.9 | (1.3, 2.6) |
| BMI Z score | −0.3 | (−1.5, 1.1) | 0.1 | (−1.4, 1.5) |
| Cardiac diagnosis (ref: mild) |   |        |   |        |
| Moderate |   |        | 0.9 | (−3.9, 5.7) |
| Severe |   |        | 2.6 | (−2.0, 7.1) |
| Transplant |   |        | 3.8 | (−3.0, 10.7) |
| $r^2$ | 0.331 | 0.347 |

Model 1: association between physical activity parameters and various relevant explanatory variables; Model 2: model 1 plus inclusion of cardiac diagnosis. BMI indicates body mass index; DV, dependent variable; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous PA; PA, physical activity; ref, reference.

*ActiGraph accelerometry (GT3X+ or GT9X; 15-second epoch), based on ≥3 days with ≥600 minutes valid wear time.

†Total PA: sum of axis 1 (or vertical axis) counts/day.

‡P < 0.05.

§P < 0.01.

¶P < 0.001.

BMI (kg/m²) Z scores calculated based on age–sex-specific World Health Organization 2007 reference charts.22

MVPA: mean daily minutes of MVPA (≥2296 counts/min).26

**Vigorous PA (≥4012 counts/min).26

††Sedentary time (%): mean sedentary minutes (<100 counts/min) expressed as a percentage relative to valid monitor wear time.
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The Role of PA Restrictions

The American Heart Association recommends that children with CHD follow normal PA guidelines (≥60 min/day MVPA) because formal activity restrictions are rarely warranted in the absence of arrhythmias or significant left ventricular outflow tract pathology.8 Formal restrictions depend on individual circumstances because the evidence base for exercise restriction across the range of CHD is limited. The Association for European Paediatric Cardiology further recommends that individual PA counseling should be a priority at every clinical visit, including written recommendations for exercise (with restrictions and permissions).7 Cardiologists and parents or guardians, however, may have a tendency to restrict PA in children with CHD.36,41 In our study, PA restrictions through the cardiologist were infrequent (≈15%) and specific to intense isometric activity, typically for patients with structural abnormalities of the left ventricular outflow tract (ie, bicuspid aortic valve) or coarctation of the aorta. We found no differences in PA between children who received restrictions compared with those who did not. Encouragement of PA by care providers may be important; one study attributed patients’ high PA to the department’s active encouragement of sport and PA participation.32 Structured clinical approaches to promote PA, such as exercise training or cardiac rehabilitation, are effective in children with CHD,42 but availability and/or access to such programs is limited, and effects on lifelong PA habits have not been documented. We did not assess parental restrictions or encouragement for PA or whether children restricted their own activities based on fear of adverse events.

Do Physical Limitations Affect PA Levels?

Some patients with CHD have a reduced maximal exercise capacity,7 which may result in a reduced ability to perform optimally in endurance activities. There have been reports, however, that children with severe CHD are not limited during submaximal exercise.43 This is important, given that most sports and activities of daily living rarely require a sustained maximal effort. We know of only 1 study that related objectively measured PA to ventricular dysfunction in Fontan patients, and no association was found.15 The lack of an association between CHD severity and PA in our study supports the notion that these children may not experience appreciable PA limitations as a result of their cardiac diagnosis.

Strengths and Limitations

We used objective measures to assess PA and sedentary behavior in children with a range CHD severities, and provide context for these data through supplementary use of a validated questionnaire. We obtained detailed location- and person-specific climate records for the ≈500 accelerometry-days and were able to rule out that weather had an impactful role on our overall PA results (sex and age were important explanatory factors, CHD disease severity was not). However, it is of note that our cross-sectional study design precludes us from assessing any potential effects of seasonality within individuals. We note that the CHD classification criteria used in the present study grouped 2 participants with atrial septal defects as moderate CHD severity; however, we found no meaningful differences in any of our findings when coding these participants as having mild CHD. We did not impute missing PA data when devices were not worn (eg, during swimming), which may have slightly underestimated PA levels in some participants. We did not include physiological measures such as ventricular function or exercise capacity and cannot assess any potential effects on our findings.

Conclusion

Objectively measured PA did not differ by CHD severity but followed expected age–sex patterns, suggesting that socio-cultural factors are likely important determinants of PA in these children.
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