Reversible Immunosensor for the Continuous Monitoring of Cortisol in Blood Plasma Sampled with Microdialysis
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ABSTRACT: Cortisol is a steroid hormone involved in a wide range of medical conditions. The level of the hormone fluctuates over time, but with traditional laboratory-based assays, such dynamics cannot be monitored in real time. Here, a reversible cortisol sensor is reported that allows continuous monitoring of cortisol in blood plasma using sampling by microdialysis. The sensor is based on measuring single-molecule binding and unbinding events of tethered particles. The particles are functionalized with antibodies and the substrate with cortisol-analogues, causing binding and unbinding events to occur between particles and substrate. The frequency of binding events is reduced when cortisol is present in the solution as it blocks the binding sites of the antibodies. The sensor responds to cortisol in the high nanomolar to low micromolar range and can monitor cortisol concentrations over multiple hours. Results are shown for cortisol monitoring in filtered and in microdialysis-sampled human blood plasma.
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Hormones are regulatory molecules that are transported through the body to control processes such as metabolism, growth and development, inflammation, emotions and mood, reproductive function, and sleep.1−4 Cortisol is a steroid stress hormone that fluctuates strongly and affects almost all tissues and organs in the body. Under healthy conditions, cortisol concentrations have a circadian profile with high concentrations in the morning (0.1−0.7 μM) and low concentrations during the night (0.1−0.4 μM).4,6 Elevated cortisol levels (above 0.7 μM) can result from chronic stress and relate to conditions such as heart disease, obesity, burnout, and Cushing’s syndrome.1,8,9 Monitoring cortisol−time profiles in individuals could aid in perioperative patient care and in the diagnosis and treatment of conditions with dysregulated or irregular cortisol levels such as Cushing’s syndrome.1,5,10,11

Sensors based on lateral-flow assays and electrochemical detection have been reported for the measurement of cortisol in biological fluids such as sweat, saliva, plasma, and serum.7,12−19 Cortisol−time profiles were recorded using separate sensors for every individual sample.20 In some studies, multiple samples were measured on a single sensor, as the cortisol concentration increased as a function of time.21,22 However, for measuring arbitrary cortisol−time profiles, a fully reversible sensor is needed that can record fluctuating cortisol concentrations with phases of increasing as well as decreasing cortisol concentration as a function of time.

A recently developed continuous biosensing technology called biosensing by particle mobility (BPM) is based on measuring reversible interactions between biofunctionalized particles and a biofunctionalized substrate.23−29 Previous BPM studies reported the monitoring of ssDNA, thrombin, and creatinine. Here, a BPM competition assay sensor is
demonstrated for the monitoring of cortisol, using cortisol-analogues and anti-cortisol antibodies. We report measurements with multiple cycles of increasing and decreasing cortisol concentrations. Data are shown for cortisol in buffer, cortisol in filtered blood plasma, and cortisol sampled from blood plasma using a microdialysis catheter probe, as this represents an interfacing technology that is suitable for future patient monitoring.

■ RESULTS

BPM Competition Immunoassay for Continuous Cortisol Monitoring. This study aims to develop a biosensor for continuous cortisol monitoring in plasma, using a BPM competition immunoassay (Figure 1). In BPM, thousands of particles (Dynabeads MyOne, Ø 1 μm) are functionalized with biotinylated anti-cortisol antibodies and tethered to a substrate using dsDNA with biotin and dibenzyclooctyne (DBCO) on either end. The remaining streptavidin sites are blocked with poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG)-biotin and PolyT-biotin (see the top-right inset). The dsDNA tether molecule is flexible and allows Brownian motion of the particle in the vicinity of the substrate. The substrate is coated with poly(lysine)-grafted-poly(ethylene glycol) (PLL-g-PEG) and PLL-g-PEG-azide, to which DBCO-ssDNA is covalently coupled. Analogues are immobilized on the substrate via DNA hybridization of cortisol-ssDNA conjugates (see the bottom-right inset). The cortisol in solution (blue) and the analogues on the substrate (green) bind reversibly to the antibodies on the particle.

Figure 1. Design of a sensor for continuous cortisol monitoring based on biosensing by particle mobility, with a competition assay format. Streptavidin-coated particles (Dynabeads MyOne, Ø 1 μm) are functionalized with biotinylated anti-cortisol antibodies and tethered to a substrate using dsDNA with biotin and dibenzyclooctyne (DBCO) on either end. The remaining streptavidin sites are blocked with poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG)-biotin and PolyT-biotin (see the top-right inset). The dsDNA tether molecule is flexible and allows Brownian motion of the particle in the vicinity of the substrate. The substrate is coated with poly(lysine)-grafted-poly(ethylene glycol) (PLL-g-PEG) and PLL-g-PEG-azide, to which DBCO-ssDNA is covalently coupled. Analogues are immobilized on the substrate via DNA hybridization of cortisol-ssDNA conjugates (see the bottom-right inset). The cortisol in solution (blue) and the analogues on the substrate (green) bind reversibly to the antibodies on the particle.

Figure 2. Continuous cortisol monitoring using a BPM competition assay sensor. (A) Continuous cortisol monitoring over 5 h, with the sensor signal in the top panel, and the administered cortisol concentration [CRT] (blue) in the bottom panel. The activity decreases for increasing cortisol concentrations (black) and then shows relaxation upon sensor exposure to a blank solution (gray), followed by a drop in signal in response to a high cortisol concentration, and a steady increase as the cortisol concentration decreases (green). The gray data points are fitted with an exponential decay function with characteristic time of 15 min (dashed gray line). (B) Dose-response curves of the data presented in panel A. The black and green data are similar, demonstrating good stability of the sensor. Data points are fitted with a sigmoidal curve ($y = a + (b - a) \cdot x^n/(EC_{50} + x^n)$) with $n = 1$, resulting in $EC_{50}$ values of 1.0 ± 0.3 and 0.9 ± 0.5 μM, respectively.

The sensitivity, reversibility, and stability of the sensor are demonstrated for the monitoring of cortisol, using cortisol-analogue three-dimensional structures and anti-cortisol antibodies. We report measurements with multiple cycles of increasing and decreasing cortisol concentrations. Data are shown for cortisol in buffer, cortisol in filtered blood plasma, and cortisol sampled from blood plasma using a microdialysis catheter probe, as this represents an interfacing technology that is suitable for future patient monitoring.
analyte concentration. In a BPM competition assay, the binding between the antibody and analyte-analogue should be strong enough to cause bound states of the particles that have a sufficiently long lifetime for reliable state detection. However, the binding should not be too strong because long bound-state lifetimes result in low switching activity. In addition, the affinity between the antibody and analyte should be high enough so that low analyte concentrations can be detected. Yet, the affinity should be low enough to permit reversible binding and enable the monitoring of increases as well as decreases of the analyte concentration as a function of time. After comparing different antibodies, we selected one antibody and optimized the densities of the antibody on particles and analyte-analogue on the substrate to achieve single-molecule interactions (see SI 2). This resulted in activity signals in the range of tens of mHz and sensitivity to cortisol concentrations in the range between 100 nM and 10 μM, as shown in Figure 2.

The sensor demonstrates high similarity between consecutively measured dose–response curves, allowing the monitoring of fluctuating cortisol concentrations over a period of 5 h. The measured blank signals at 0, 170, and 300 min are similar within about 10%, demonstrating the stability of the sensor. The observed time of the sensor depends on the concentration step change. In the measurement shown in Figure 2, a decrease in concentration from 30 to 0 μM cortisol shows a characteristic time of about 15 min (see the dashed gray curve), while an increase in concentration from 0 to 30 μM gives a response time of about 5 min (visible in the experiment as a rapid signal change between the last gray data point and the first green data point, at t = 170 min). The time behavior of the sensor will be further investigated in follow-up research.

**Analysis of State Lifetimes.** BPM is a single-molecule technique that allows for investigations of lifetimes of bound and unbound states by analyzing state durations between consecutive switching events. The switching events were determined using the maximum-likelihood multiple-windows change point detection method (MM-CPD). Particles were classified as bound unless the standard deviation of their x–y positions exceeded 50 nm over the duration between two switching events. The characteristic duration of antibody–analogue bonds was determined by analyzing distributions of bound-state lifetimes at different conditions, as shown in Figure 3A. First, the bound-state lifetimes in the absence of analogues were analyzed to characterize the background. The characteristic duration of bound-state lifetimes plotted against cortisol concentration [CRT], demonstrating a constant bound-state lifetime (black squares) depending on the cortisol concentration. The background bound-state lifetime (gray squares) is constant, but the fraction of short-lived bound states depends on the cortisol concentration as visualized in panel (A). The low value of the mean bound-state lifetime for 30 μM cortisol is an artifact; the lifetime is underestimated because a fraction of the particles remains unbound during the whole measurement. Particles without binding events are excluded from the data sets. (D) Distribution of bound-state lifetimes in 50 kDa filtered blood plasma, fitted with double-exponential decay functions. (E) Distribution of unbound-state lifetimes in filtered blood plasma, fitted with multiexponential decay functions. (F) Characteristic lifetimes plotted against cortisol concentration, demonstrating a constant background (4.5 ± 0.3 s, gray squares), a constant bound-state lifetime (27.3 ± 3.5 s, black squares), and unbound-state lifetimes (black circles) depending on the cortisol concentration. The low unbound-state lifetime for 30 μM cortisol is an artifact (see above).
a single-exponent decay function (CDF = $e^{-t/\tau_{bg}}$) with a mean lifetime of about 4 s (Figure 3A–C). These short-lived states represent the background signal of the experimental system, caused by a combination of nonspecific interactions and misidentified events by the algorithm. The latter relates to the finite MM-CPD window size (set to 0.3–15 s), which was used to identify switching events. Next, the characteristic duration of the single-molecular bonds was determined by analyzing the bound-state lifetimes in the presence of the analogue. To distinguish between background and specific bound-state lifetimes, the cumulative distribution was fitted using a double-exponential function (CDF = $f_1 e^{-t/\tau_{bg}} + f_2 e^{-t/\tau_{bound}}$, with $f_1 + f_2 = 1$). The fits reveal two populations of states, with mean lifetimes of $\sim$4 s and mean lifetimes of around 30 s, respectively, with the fraction of long-lived bound states ($f_2$) increasing for decreasing cortisol concentrations. The data shows that the mean bound-state lifetimes are independent of the cortisol concentration, which indicates that the sensor forms the same type of bonds over the complete concentration range. By controlling the density of binders on the particle and on the substrate, a sensor was developed that is dominated by single-molecule interactions (analogue-antibody) and not by multivalent bonds between particles and substrate (see Figure S4). The measured bound-state lifetimes correspond to an effective dissociation rate constant of specific bonds on the order of 0.03 s$^{-1}$.

Association processes between the particle and substrate are reflected in the unbound-state lifetimes. Unbound-state lifetimes are defined as the time separation between two bound states and depend on assay conditions such as analogue and antibody density. Particles that remain in the unbound state during the whole measurement duration are not included in the analysis. In the experiments, the number of particles that contribute to the unbound-state lifetimes varied from about 1000 particles for low cortisol concentration to about 500 for the highest cortisol concentration. The cumulative distribution of the unbound-state lifetimes was fitted using a multi-exponential decay function, as described by Lubken et al.$^{26,29}$ The multiexponential fitting relates to interparticle heterogeneities of binder densities, in agreement with the fact that measurements on individual particles give single-exponential decay curves; see Figure S4. The obtained mean unbound-state lifetimes increase for higher cortisol concentrations, which is attributed to the higher occupancy of antibody binding sites by cortisol.
Continuous Monitoring of Alternating Cortisol Concentrations in Filtered Blood Plasma. The sensor reversibility and sensor response time were investigated by exposing the sensor to series of large fluctuations of cortisol concentrations, in nanomolar and micromolar ranges (Figure 4). The experiments show that the BPM sensor responds reversibly to increases as well as decreases of cortisol concentrations, with a response time below 15 min, in buffer as well as in filtered blood plasma. The sensors in Figure 4 show blank signals that remain stable within about 10% during the total experiment, as is also seen in Figure 2. However, the absolute value of the signal at zero cortisol concentration differs between individual sensors, as can be seen in Figures 2 and 4. We attribute the differences to variabilities in the surface preparations, causing different areal densities of analogue molecules on the substrate. To compare experiments with different sensors, the data can be plotted with normalized signal values, as shown in Figure 4C. This comparison highlights that experiments in buffer and in blood plasma show sigmoidal curves with strong similarity.

BPM Measurement with Microdialysis Samples from Plasma. Microdialysis was selected as a continuous plasma sampling technique because cortisol is a small molecule that can pass through nanofiltration membranes (Table S1) and microdialysis probes are commercially available for use in future clinical applications. 

Preparation of Cortisol—DNA Conjugates. Cortisol 3-CMO-NHS ester (Sigma-Aldrich, H6635) was coupled to ssDNA with a 5′ amine (Amine-S′-TGG TCT TAC CCC TGC CGC AC-3′), based on Li et al., with the use of HOBt as described by Yan et al. To obtain cortisol—DNA conjugates, 45 μL of 60 mM cortisol 3-CMO-NHS ester was mixed with 4 μL of 60 mM HOBt (Sigma-Aldrich; 54802), 4 μL of 300 mM 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide (EDC) (Sigma-Aldrich; E6383), and 4 μL of N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) (Sigma-Aldrich; 387649) in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). The reaction mixture was incubated at room temperature for 15 min.

Amine-modified DNA was diluted to 10 μM in 3-(N-morpholino)-propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) buffer (50 mM MOPS (Sigma-Aldrich; M1254) and 0.5 M NaCl, pH 8.0), of which 72 μL was added to the mixture and left to react for 16 h (room temperature, 850 rpm). A fresh reaction mixture of cortisol, HOBt, EDC, and DIPEA was prepared as before, incubated for 15 min, added to the amine—DNA mixture, and left to react for 6 h. The reaction was quenched by adding 25 μL of 500 mM NH4OAc (Sigma-Aldrich; A1542).

The reaction mixture containing cortisol—DNA was dissolved in 0.15 mM NaCl in 98% ethanol, stored at −20 °C for 16 h, followed by spinning down at 17,000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. The pellet was washed a second time (0.15 mM NaCl in 98% ethanol), incubated at −20 °C for 75 min, centrifuged, and washed with 70% ethanol. After incubation at −20 °C for 75 min, it was centrifuged, and the cortisol—DNA was obtained after lyophilization. The cortisol—DNA was dissolved to 25 μM, and the conjugation was verified using gel electrophoresis with a 15% urea gel at 150 V for 90 min.

Biotinylation of Antibody. The C53 antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Catalog #MA1-83090, 2 mg/mL) was first buffer-exchanged to PBS with Zeba Spin Desalting Columns, 7 μL MWC0 (89882, Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer’s instruction. EZ-Link NHS-PEG4-biotin was dissolved in DMSO at a final concentration of 4 mM. Then, 20-fold molar excess of NHS-PEG4-biotin was added to the antibodies and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Then, excess NHS-PEG4-biotin was removed by Zeba Spin Desalting Columns, 7 μL MWC0 (89882, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the biotinylated antibodies were stored in PBS with 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) at a concentration of 1 μM.

Functionalization of Glass Slides (PLL-g-PEG/Azide). Glass slides (25 × 75 mm, #5, Menzel-Glaser) were cleaned by 30 min of
sonication in isopropanol and 30 min of sonication in Milli-Q, after which the glass substrate was dried with a nitrogen stream. The glass substrate was exposed to ozone-plasma for 1 min, followed by attaching a custom-made fluid cell sticker (Grace BioLabs). The fluid cell was filled with 20 μL of 0.45 mg/mL poly-(l-lysine)-grafted-poly(ethylene glycol) (PLL(20)-g-[3.5]-PEG(2), SuSoS) and 0.05 mg/mL azide functionalized PLL-g-PEG (PLL(15)-g-[3.5]-PEG(2)-N3, Nanosoft Biotechnology LLC) as described by Lin et al. 22 Then, 20 μL of 50 nM of 221 bp dsDNA tether (221 bp dsDNA with biotin on one side and DBCO on the other), diluted in 0.5 M NaCl/PBS, was incubated for approximately 15 h, followed by an incubation with 20 μL of 1 μM ssDNA–DBCO (DBCO-5′-GTG CCG CAG GGG TAA GAC CA-3′) for at least 48 h (RT, up to several months).

**Functionalization of Particles.** Streptavidin-coated magnetic particles (10 mg/mL, Dynabeads MyOne Streptavidin C1, 65001, Thermo Scientific) were incubated for 30 min with 2 μL of 12 nM biotinylated cortisol antibodies with a total volume of 4 μL on a rotating fin. Subsequently, 5 μL of 2 μM PolyT-biotin (Biotin-5′-TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT-T3′) was added and incubated for 30 min on a rotating fin. The particle mixture was washed with 500 μL of 0.05% Tween20 in PBS using magnetic separation, and the particles were reconstituted in 300 μL of 0.5 M NaCl/PBS. Finally, the particle mixture was sonicated with 10 pulses at 70% with a 0.5 duty cycle (Hielscher, Ultrasound Technology).

**Plasma and Cortisol Preparation.** Human blood plasma (Sigma P9523-5 mL) was reconstituted using 5 mL of Milli-Q. The 50 kDa filtered plasma was prepared by mixing it with 5 M NaCl/PBS to reach 0.5 M NaCl/plasma, which was filtered using a 50 kDa filtered plasma with 0.5 M NaCl, or full plasma, with molecular-weight cutoff centrifugal filter (UFC905008, Millipore) according to the supplier’s protocol (total centrifugation time of 20 min).

Cortisol stock was prepared by dissolving 1 mg/mL in methanol (technical grade) and diluted further in either 0.5 M NaCl/PBS, 50 kDa filtered plasma with 0.5 M NaCl, or full plasma, with concentrations ranging from 30 μM down to 123 nM.

**Sensor Assembly and Cortisol Detection.** On the day of use, 250 μL of functionalized particles was injected (Harvard pump 11 Elite, 40 μL/min withdrawal speed) into the fluid cell (Grace BioLabs). Particles were incubated for 5 min to allow particles to sediment to the substrate and attach to the DNA tethers. Thereafter, the slide was reversed to allow unentangled particles to sediment away from the functionalized surface. Second, 400 μL of 100 μM of 1 kDa mPEG-biotin (PG1-BN-Ik, Nanocs) was added, which was incubated for 30 min. During incubation, the tethered particles were measured to determine the background signal. Activation of the system was done by adding 200 μL of 250–500 pM cortisol–DNA (analogue) and incubated for 20 min. Excess analogue was removed with 200 μL of 0.5 M NaCl/PBS, after which the media containing varying cortisol concentrations were added, with 200 μL for each sample. Particle motion was recorded for 5 (Figure 2) or 10 min, in the absence of flow. Reported steps in the bottom panels of Figure 2, 4, and 5 represent start of sample injection into the cartridge. Time values of the datapoints in the top panels are positioned in the middle of the total duration of fluid injection and particle motion recording.

**Microdialysis.** A microdialysis probe (CMA/20, 20 kDa MWCO, 4 mm) was placed in a container with sample media (PBS or undiluted blood plasma), heated to 37 °C. A syringe pump (Harvard Pico Elite) was used to infuse at the rate of 2 μL/min. A 10 mL syringe (airtight) was filled with PBS, and each time, the pump was turned on to inject 30 μL when collecting samples from the media. Per injection, 27 μL of collected sample was combined with 3 μL of 5 M NaCl/PBS, pipetted into the BPM sensor fluid cell, and recorded for 10 min. Based on switching activity values obtained from calibration points (buffer and 30 μM cortisol), the a- and b-values of the sigmoidal curve (\(y = a + \frac{b - a}{1 + e^{-\frac{x}{EC_{50}}}}\)) were fitted by fixing EC\(_{50}\) = 928 nM and \(n = 1\). The cortisol concentration was calculated based on the measured switching activity, followed by correcting for sample dilution. Recovery was calculated by comparing the detected dialysate cortisol concentration with the sample concentration (recovery = \(\frac{C_{\text{calculated}}}{C_{\text{single}}\times 100\%}\) ) using linear fitting through zero.

**Image Recording and Data Analysis.** Tethered particles were tracked before, during, and after analogue binding, and after each concentration change, on a Leica Microscope (DMI5000 M with a CTR6000 light source), at a total magnification of 10X using a high-speed FLIR CMOS camera (Point Grey Research Grashopper3 GS3-U3-23S6M, 1920 × 1200, pixel format: 8 raw, Gain 10). The particle motion in a field of view of 1129 × 706 μm\(^2\) was recorded for 5–10 min at the frame rate of 30 Hz with 5 ms exposure time under dark-field illumination conditions. The localization of particles was done using phasor-based localization. 35 The analysis of particle motion and detection of switching events were done using the maximum-likelihood multiple-windows change point detection method (MM-CPD) 28 and the method described in an earlier publication. 29
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