Lifetimes and electromagnetic transition strength in $^{124}$Ba
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Abstract. Lifetimes of excited states of $^{124}$Ba were measured by the Recoil Distance Doppler Shift (RDDS) technique. The $\gamma$-ray coincidence data were analysed by the Differential Decay Curve method (DDCM). The trend of the experimentally deduced normalized $B(E2)$ values reveals a drop at the $8^+_1$ state, which may be caused by structural changes in the backbending region.

1 Introduction

Barium isotopes are subject of considerable interest, because of a variety of structural phenomena observed in them. Backbends have been reported in $^{126}$Ba [1], $^{128}$Ba [2] and $^{130}$Ba [3] at the spin of $J^\pi=10^+$. In some cases, two “superbands” [1, 3] have been observed, and interpreted to arise from the particle aligned states involving protons or neutrons in the $h_{11/2}$ orbital. In addition to high-spin phenomena, $\gamma$-bands have been also observed. Their notable feature is the strong staggering of the excitation energies.

The light Barium isotope $^{124}$Ba belongs to the transitional region between spherical and axially deformed nuclei. The level scheme of this nucleus was established through several spectroscopic measurements using heavy-ion reactions [4–8]. Several bands were established up to very high spin states. At spin 10$^+$ the ground state band of $^{124}$Ba splits into two bands due to alignment of a pair of protons and neutrons in the $h_{11/2}$ orbitals at rotational frequencies 0.37 and 0.41 MeV, respectively. Possible configurations of these bands were discussed earlier using the cranked shell model [5, 7, 8]. It was suggested that the proton and neutron aligned bands are built on the $[550]1/2^-$ and $[523]7/2^-$ Nilsson orbitals, respectively. The proton band shows a second crossing at rotational frequency 0.49 MeV with an alignment gain of 3.2$h$ due to the alignment of the neutron $h_{11/2}$ orbitals. Thus, the configuration changes from the zero quasiparticle ground-state band (g.s.b.) to a two-quasiparticle configuration [g.s.b. - $\pi(h_{11/2})^2$] and then to a four quasiparticle structure [g.s.b. - $\pi(h_{11/2})^2$ - $\nu(h_{11/2})^2$] above the rotational frequency $\hbar\omega = 0.49$ MeV.

The present work is focused on the low-lying levels in the yrast band of $^{124}$Ba. Their energies suggest the presence of $X(5)$ [9] features in the investigated nucleus (see Fig. 1). The $X(5)$ model provides parameter free prediction for the level scheme and $B(E2)$ transition strengths for nuclei at the critical point of the transition from spherical to axially deformed shape. The decisive experimental signatures for $X(5)$ behavior is the evolution of $B(E2)$ transition strengths with increasing the spin [9]. Therefore we have performed Recoil Distance Doppler Shift (RDDS) measurements in order to determine lifetimes of the yrast band states in $^{124}$Ba isotope, from which to calculate the respective $B(E2)$ values.

2 Experimental details

Excited states in $^{124}$Ba were populated via the $^{105}$Pd($^{23}$Na,1p3n) reaction. The beam with an energy of 93 MeV was provided by the FN Tandem accelerator of the Institut für Kernphysik at the University of Cologne. The target consisted of self supporting 0.65 mg/cm$^2$
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Figure 2. (Color online) The Doppler-shifted and unshifted peaks of the 421.1 keV $4^{+}_1 \rightarrow 2^{+}_1$ transition, observed in backward and forward detectors at multiple distances. The gate is applied on the shifted component of the $6^{+}_1 \rightarrow 4^{+}_1$ transition.

3 Data analysis and preliminary results

The Recoil Distance Doppler Shift (RDDS) method is a well known technique for the determination of picosecond lifetimes of excited nuclear states (for more details see e.g. Ref. [11] and references therein). Using this method we measured lifetimes of yrast states in $^{124}$Ba. For each level of interest the properly normalized intensities of the shifted ($I_s$) and unshifted ($I_u$) components of the deexciting transition were determined from coincidence spectra with the shifted component of a transition directly populating the state for each distance. In this way problems with unobserved sidefeeding are eliminated. In addition, by gating only on the shifted component of a feeding transition the effect of nuclear deorientation does not influence the results of the lifetime analysis [12]. Normalization was done to account for the different number of the observed
decays for each distance. The lifetimes were extracted employing the Differential Decay Curve method (DDCM), presented in [13, 14].

According to the DDCM, if the level of interest is populated by transition B and it is depopulated via transition A, in the special case of gating on the Doppler-shifted component of the direct feeding transition (B), the mean lifetime \( \tau \) can be derived for each target-to-stopper distance by applying the following equation:
\[
\tau_a(x) = \frac{I_{u, \text{ss}}^{B,A}(x)}{v \cdot \frac{d}{dx} I_{u, \text{ss}}^{B}(x)},
\]
where \( v \) denotes the recoil velocity. The quantities \( I_{u, \text{ss}}^{B,A}(x) \) and \( I_{u, \text{ss}}^{B}(x) \) denote the normalized, measured intensities of the unshifted (u) and shifted (s) components of the depopulating \( \gamma \) transition A in coincidence with the shifted component of a populating \( \gamma \) transition B.

### Table 1. Preliminary mean lifetimes and reduced electromagnetic transition probabilities in the yrast band of \(^{124}\text{Ba}\) derived in this work. The values shown in the table are the average from the results for forward and backward rings.

| \( E_{\text{ex}} \) [keV] | \( I^\gamma \) | \( E_{\gamma} \) [keV] | \( \tau \) [ps] | \( B(E2) \) [e^2b^2] |
|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|-----------------|
| 651.7          | 4^+     | 421.1          | 9.1 (8) | 0.664 (58)      |
| 1228.4         | 6^+     | 576.5          | 2.1 (2) | 0.6 (1)         |
| 1923.3         | 8^+     | 694.7          | 1.2 (4) | 0.425 (148)     |

The data was analyzed by using the computer program NAPATAU [15] developed at the Institut für Kernphysik at University of Cologne. The derived values of \( \tau \) (the \( \tau \)-curve) should not depend on the distance at which they have been determined and correspondingly should be constant when plotted versus distance. A deviation from such behavior immediately indicates the presence of systematic errors in the analysis. For each level the lifetime was extracted for both forward and backward angles and only the points in the region of sensitivity were used.

The state \( 4^+_2 \) has energy of 651.7 keV, and decays by a 421.1 keV transition to the \( 2^+_1 \) state. Gating on the \( 6^+_1 \rightarrow 4^+_2 \) transition (which directly feeds this state) produces the \( \gamma \)-coincidence spectra shown as an example in Fig. 2. The preliminary mean value for the lifetime derived from these spectra via DDCM is \( \tau(4^+_2) = 9.1(8) \) ps. An example of DDCM analysis for the \( 4^+_2 \) state for backward angles using the program NAPATAU is shown in Fig. 3.

The \( 6^+_1 \rightarrow 4^+_2 \) transition has a level energy of 576.5 keV. Gates were applied on the shifted component of the populating \( 8^+_1 \rightarrow 6^+_2 \) transition at 694.7 keV observed both under forward and backward angles. They resulted in spectra with low background and good statistics similar to those for the 421.1 keV transition. The preliminary mean value for the lifetime of the \( 6^+_1 \) state is \( \tau(6^+_1) = 2.1(2) \) ps.

The lifetime of the \( 8^+_1 \) state was analyzed in the same way, by gating on the shifted component of the populating \( 10^+_1 \rightarrow 8^+_2 \) transition at 764.4 keV. The preliminary lifetime for the \( 8^+_1 \) state is \( \tau(8^+_1) = 1.2(4) \) ps, without Doppler Shift Attenuation (DSA) corrections.

The current analysis of the RDDS data yields 3 preliminary lifetimes in the yrast band of \(^{124}\text{Ba}\), summarized in Table 1.

The comparison of the normalized \( B(E2) \) values (calculated from the deduced lifetimes) with the theoretical predictions of the vibrator, rotor and X(5) models, are shown in Fig. 4. The figure shows that for \( 4^+_2 \) there is a good agreement with the predicted by X(5) value. However, the \( B(E2) \) value of the \( 6^+_1 \rightarrow 4^+_2 \) transition deviates from X(5) and is getting closer to that expected for a rotor nucleus. At spin \( 8^+_1 \), there is even bigger deviation that may be due to deep structural change as the nucleus enters the backbending region.

### 4 Summary

In the present work RDDS lifetime measurements were carried out. For the data analysis, the Differential Decay
Curve method was employed. The lifetimes of several states in the g.s. band of $^{124}$Ba were determined. The trend of the experimentally deduced normalized $B(E2)$ strengths reveals a drop at the $8^+_1$ state. This might be an indication for structural changes such as crossing with a two-quasiparticle configuration with different deformation. The DSA effects will be taken into account for determination of the final lifetimes.
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