A GENERALIZATION OF PUISEUX’S THEOREM AND LIFTING CURVES OVER INVARIANTS
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Abstract. Let $\rho : G \to \text{GL}(V)$ be a rational representation of a reductive linear algebraic group $G$ defined over $\mathbb{C}$ on a finite dimensional complex vector space $V$. We show that, for any generic smooth (resp. $C^M$) curve $c : \mathbb{R} \to V/\!/G$ in the categorical quotient $V/\!/G$ (viewed as affine variety in some $\mathbb{C}^n$) and for any $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $t \mapsto c(t_0 \pm (t - t_0)^N)$ allows a smooth (resp. $C^M$) lift to the representation space near $t_0$. ($C^M$ denotes the Denjoy–Carleman class associated with $M = (M_k)$, which is always assumed to be logarithmically convex and derivation closed).

As an application we prove that any generic smooth curve in $V/\!/G$ admits locally absolutely continuous (not better!) lifts. Assume that $G$ is finite. We characterize curves admitting differentiable lifts. We show that any germ of a $C^\infty$ curve which represents a lift of a germ of a quasianalytic $C^M$ curve in $V/\!/G$ is actually $C^M$. There are applications to polar representations.

1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a reductive linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\rho : G \to \text{GL}(V)$ be a rational representation on a finite dimensional complex vector space $V$. The algebra $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$ of invariant polynomials is finitely generated. Choose generators $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$, and consider the mapping $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) : V \to \mathbb{C}^n$. We may identify $\sigma : V \to \sigma(V)$ with the morphism $\pi : V \to V/\!/G$, where $V/\!/G$ is the categorical quotient and $\pi$ is defined by the embedding $\mathbb{C}[V]^G \to \mathbb{C}[V]$. The mapping $\sigma$ induces a bijection between $\sigma(V)$ and the closed orbits in $V$.

Let $c : \mathbb{R} \to V/\!/G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smooth curve, i.e., smooth as a curve in $\mathbb{C}^n$. A curve $\tilde{c} : \mathbb{R} \to V$ is called a lift of $c$ to $V$, if $c = \sigma \circ \tilde{c}$ and if the orbit $G.\tilde{c}(t)$ is closed for each $t$. It is natural to ask whether $c$ admits lifts $\tilde{c}$ which are regular (of some kind). This question is independent of the choice of generators of $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$, as any two of them differ just by a polynomial diffeomorphism.

Suppose that $G = S_n$ is acting on $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ by permuting the coordinates. The elementary symmetric functions $\sigma_j(z) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq n} z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_j}$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, generate $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}^n]^S_n$. By Vieta’s formulas, a curve in $\mathbb{C}^n/\!\!/S_n = \sigma(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{C}^n$ can be interpreted as a curve of monic univariate polynomials $P(t)(z) = z^n + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^j a_j(t) z^{n-j}$, and, in this picture, a lift over $\sigma$ represents a parameterization of the roots of $P$.

This special case is studied in [11] and [12] (see also references therein). It is proved that a generic smooth curve of polynomials $P$ admits a locally absolutely continuous parameterization of its roots. Actually, any continuous choice of roots is locally absolutely continuous. By generic we mean that no two of the continuously chosen roots meet of infinite order of flatness. Simple examples show that one cannot expect more than absolute continuity. This result follows from the proposition that
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for any $t_0$ there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $t \mapsto P(t_0 \pm (t - t_0)^N)$ admits smooth parameterizations of its roots near $t_0$.

The main result of the present paper (theorem 3.3) is a generalization of this Puiseux type theorem to the framework of representations $\rho : G \to \text{GL}(V)$. We show that, for any generic smooth (resp. $C^M$) curve $c : \mathbb{R} \to V/\!\!/G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ and for any $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists an integer $N$ such that $t \mapsto c(t_0 \pm (t - t_0)^N)$ allows a smooth (resp. $C^M$) lift to $V$ (where $C^M$ denotes the Denjoy–Carleman class associated to $M = (M_k)$, cf. (2.0)). Similarly, for any holomorphic $c : \mathbb{C} \to V/\!\!/G$ and any $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists an integer $N$ such that $z \mapsto c(z_0 + (z - z_0)^N)$ allows a holomorphic lift. The genericity condition for $c$ is normal nonflatness 2.5 which, roughly speaking, means that $c$ does not meet lower dimensional isotropy type strata of $V/\!\!/G$ with infinite order of flatness. It is automatically satisfied if $c$ belongs to any quasianalytic class $C^M$. In section 4 we show a global formulation of theorem 3.3.

As a first consequence of the main result we prove in section 5 that any generic smooth curve $c : \mathbb{R} \to V/\!\!/G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ admits a locally absolutely continuous lift. If $G$ is finite, then any continuous lift of $c$ is locally absolutely continuous. In general we cannot expect a better regularity than absolute continuity. However, it is an open question whether non-generic smooth curves $c$ have locally absolutely continuous lifts.

In section 6 we characterize, for finite $G$, those curves in $V/\!\!/G$ which admit differentiable lifts to $V$. Roughly speaking, curves must meet lower dimensional isotropy type strata of $V/\!\!/G$ with sufficient order of flatness.

We show in section 7 that, for finite $G$, any germ of a $C^\infty$ curve which represents a lift of a germ of a quasianalytic $C^M$ curve in $V/\!\!/G$ is actually $C^M$.

In section 8 we give applications to polar representations.

In this paper we concentrate on path lifting. The problem of lifting mappings in several variables was studied in 9 and lately in 13.

We thank the referee for pointing out that 9 has recently used holomorphic liftings of this type to prove the Nash conjecture for quotient surface singularities.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. The setting: Representations of reductive algebraic groups. Cf. 13. Let $G$ be a reductive linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\rho : G \to \text{GL}(V)$ be a rational representation on a finite dimensional complex vector space $V$. It is well-known that the algebra $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$ of $G$-invariant polynomials on $V$ is finitely generated. Let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ be a system of homogeneous generators of $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$ with positive degrees $d_1, \ldots, d_n$. We may consider the categorical quotient $V/\!\!/G$, i.e., the affine algebraic variety with coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$, and the morphism $\pi : V \to V/\!\!/G$ defined by the embedding $\mathbb{C}[V]^G \to \mathbb{C}[V]$. Then we can identify $\pi$ with the mapping $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) : V \to \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ and $V/\!\!/G$ with $\sigma(V)$ (which we shall do consistently). Each fiber of $\sigma$ contains exactly one closed orbit. If $v \in V$ and the orbit $G.v$ is closed, then the isotropy group $G_v = \{ g \in G : g.v = v \}$ is reductive.

2.2. Luna’s slice theorem. We state a version 14 of Luna’s slice theorem 8. Recall that $U$ is a $G$-saturated subset of $V$ if $\pi^{-1}(\pi(U)) = U$ and that a map between smooth complex algebraic varieties is étale if its differential is everywhere an isomorphism.

**Theorem** (8, 14 5.3). Let $G.v$ be a closed orbit, $v \in V$. Choose a $G_v$-splitting of $V \cong T_v V$ as $T_v(G.v) \oplus N_v$ and let $\varphi$ denote the mapping

$$G \times_{G_v} N_v \to V, \quad [g, n] \mapsto g(v + n).$$
There is an affine open $G$-saturated subset $U$ of $V$ and an affine open $G_v$-saturated neighborhood $S_v$ of $0$ in $N_v$ such that

$$\varphi : G \times_{G_v} S_v \to U \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\varphi} : (G \times_{G_v} S_v) / G \to U / G$$

are étale, where $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the map induced by $\varphi$. Moreover, $\varphi$ and the natural map $G \times_{G_v} S_v \to S_v / G_v$ induce a $G$-isomorphism of $G \times_{G_v} S_v$ with $U \times_{U / G} S_v / G_v$.

**Corollary** ([8], [14, 5.4]). Choose a $G$-saturated neighborhood $S_v$ of $0$ in $S_v$ (classical topology) such that the canonical mapping $S_v / G_v \to U / G$ is a complex analytic isomorphism, where $U = \pi^{-1}(\tilde{\varphi}((G \times_{G_v} S_v) / G))$. Then $U$ is a $G$-saturated neighborhood of $v$ and $\varphi : G \times_{G_v} S_v \to U$ is biholomorphic.

A slice representation of $\rho$ is a rational representation $G_v \to \text{GL}(V / T_v(G.v))$, where $G.v$ is closed.

### 2.3. Luna’s stratification

Cf. [8], [14], and [18]. Let $v \in V$ and let $G_v$ be the isotropy group of $G$ at $v$. Denote by $(G_v)$ its conjugacy class in $G$, also called an isotropy class. If $(L)$ is a conjugacy class of subgroups of $G$, let $(V / G)(L)$ denote the set of points in $V / G$ corresponding to closed orbits with isotropy group in $(L)$, and put $V_{(L)} := \pi^{-1}((V / G)(L))$. Then the collection $\{(V / G)(L)\}$ is a finite stratification of $V / G$ into locally closed irreducible smooth algebraic subvarieties. The isotropy classes are partially ordered, namely $(H) \leq (L)$ if $H$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $L$. If $(V / G)(L) \neq \emptyset$, then its Zariski closure is equal to $\bigcup_{(M) \geq (L)} (V / G)(M) = \pi(V^L)$, where $V^L$ is the set of $v \in V$ fixed by $L$. There exists a unique minimal isotropy class $(H)$ corresponding to a closed orbit, the principal isotropy class. Closed orbits $G_v$ with $G_v \in (H)$ are called principal. The subset $(V / G)(H) \subseteq V / G$ is Zariski open. If we set $V_{(H)} := \{v \in V : G.v \text{ closed and } G_v = H\}$, then $\pi$ restricts to a principal $(N_G(H)/H)$-bundle $V_{(H)} \to (V / G)(H)$, where $N_G(H)$ denotes the normalizer of $H$ in $G$.

### 2.4. Lifting curves over invariants

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. Let $c : I \to V / G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smooth curve, i.e., smooth as a curve in $\mathbb{C}^n$. A curve $\tilde{c} : I \to V$ is called a lift of $c$ to $V$, if $c = \sigma \circ \tilde{c}$ and if the orbit $G.\tilde{c}(t)$ is closed for each $t \in I$. Lifting smooth curves over invariants is independent of the choice of generators of $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$, as any two of them differ just by a polynomial diffeomorphism (cf. [5, 2.2]).

### 2.5. Normal nonflatness

Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by $A_s$ the union of all strata $(V / G)(L)$ of $V / G$ with $\dim(V / G)(L) \leq s$, and by $I_s$ the ideal of $\mathbb{C}[V / G] = \mathbb{C}[V]^G$ consisting of all polynomials vanishing on $A_{s-1}$. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval. Let $c : I \to V / G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smooth curve, $t \in I$, and $s = s(c, t)$ minimal integer such that, for a neighborhood $J$ of $t$ in $I$, we have $c(J) \subseteq A_s$. The curve $c$ is called normally nonflat at $t$, if there is an $f \notin I_s$ such that $f \circ c$ is nonflat at $t$, i.e., the Taylor series of $f \circ c$ at $t$ is not identically zero. We say that $c$ is normally nonflat, if $c$ is normally nonflat at each $t$.

Normal nonflatness is a condition which depends on the representation $\rho$. We shall also deal with a condition which guarantees normal nonflatness, but is independent of $\rho$. That condition is that $c : I \to V / G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ belongs to a quasianalytic Denjoy–Carleman class (cf. [2.3]).

### 2.6. Denjoy–Carleman classes

See [16] or [7] and references therein. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^1$ be open. Let $M = (M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a non-decreasing sequence of real numbers with
Denote by $C^M(U)$ the set of all $f \in C^\infty(U)$ such that for every compact $K \subseteq U$ there are constants $C, \rho > 0$ with
\[
|\partial^\alpha f(x)| \leq C\rho^|\alpha| |f|_{M,\rho} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^q \text{ and } x \in K.
\]

We call $C^M(U)$ a Denjoy–Carleman class of functions on $U$. If $M_k = 1$, for all $k$, then $C^M(U)$ coincides with the ring $C^\omega(U)$ of real analytic functions on $U$. In general, $C^\omega(U) \subseteq C^M(U) \subseteq C^\infty(U)$.

We assume that $M = (M_k)$ is logarithmically convex, i.e.,
\[
M^2_k \leq M_{k-1}M_{k+1} \quad \text{for all } k.
\]

Hypothesis (2.6.2) implies that $C^M(U)$ is a ring, for all open subsets $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^q$. Note that definition (2.6.1) makes sense also for mappings $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$. Then (2.6.2) guarantees stability under composition and taking the inverse (i.e., the inverse function theorem holds in $C^M$).

We shall also require that $C^M$ is stable under derivation, which is equivalent to
\[
\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}} \left( \frac{M_{k+1}}{M_k} \right)^\frac{1}{k} < \infty.
\]

By the standard integral formula, stability under derivation implies that $C^M$ is closed under division by a coordinate (i.e., if $f \in C^M(U)$ vanishes on $\{x_i = a_i\}$, then $f(x) = (x_i - a_i)g(x)$, where $g \in C^M(U)$).

We say that $C^M$ is quasianalytic if, for connected open subsets $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^q$, the Taylor series homomorphism $C^M(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[x]]$, $f \mapsto \hat{f}_a(x)$ is injective for any $a \in U$.

Suppose that $M$ is logarithmically convex. Then, by the Denjoy–Carleman theorem, $C^M$ is quasianalytic if and only if
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_k}{(k+1)!M_{k+1}} = \infty \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_k}{(k+1)M_{k+1}} = \infty.
\]

**Definition.** By a DC-weight sequence we mean a non-decreasing sequence $M = (M_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers with $M_0 = 1$ which satisfies (2.6.2) and (2.6.3). We say that a DC-weight sequence $M$ is quasianalytic if (2.6.4) is fulfilled.

From now on $M$ will always denote a DC-weight sequence.

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^q$ be open and let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a complex valued function. Then we say that $f \in C^M(U, \mathbb{C})$ if $(\text{Re} f, \text{Im} f) \in C^M(U, \mathbb{R}^2)$. If $M$ is quasianalytic, then clearly each $f \in C^M(U, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying $\partial^\alpha f(a) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^q$ is identically 0 near $a \in U$.

Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval. A curve $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_n) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ belongs to $C^M(I, \mathbb{C}^n)$, if each coordinate function $c_i \in C^M(I, \mathbb{C})$. Since $C^M$ is stable under composition, we may consider $C^M$ curves $c \in C^M(I, V)$ in vector spaces $V$.

**2.7. Absolutely continuous functions.** Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. A function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called absolutely continuous, or $f \in AC(I)$, if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} (b_i - a_i) < \delta$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^{N} |f(b_i) - f(a_i)| < \epsilon$, for all sequences of pairwise disjoint subintervals $(a_i, b_i) \subseteq I$, $1 \leq i \leq N$. By the fundamental theorem of calculus for the Lebesgue integral, $f \in AC([a, b])$ if and only if there is a function $g \in L^1([a, b])$ such that
\[
f(t) = f(a) + \int_{a}^{t} g(s)ds \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b].
\]

Then $f' = g$ almost everywhere. Every Lipschitz function is absolutely continuous.

Gluing finitely many absolutely continuous functions provides an absolutely continuous function: Let $f_1 \in AC([a, b])$, $f_2 \in AC([b, c])$, and $f_1(b) = f_2(b)$. Then
\[
f(t) = f_1(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b],
\]
\[
f(t) = f_2(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in [b, c].
\]

The resulting function $f$ is then absolutely continuous on $[a, c]$. This demonstrates that the class of absolutely continuous functions on an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is closed under the following operations:

1. If $f_1, f_2 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are absolutely continuous, then $f_1 + f_2 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is also absolutely continuous.
2. If $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is absolutely continuous and $c \in I$, then $f : I \rightarrow f(c)$ is also absolutely continuous.
3. If $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is absolutely continuous and $c \in I$, then $f : I \rightarrow f(c)$ is also absolutely continuous.
4. If $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is absolutely continuous and $c \in I$, then $f : I \rightarrow f(c)$ is also absolutely continuous.
3.2. Let $f : [a, c] \to \mathbb{C}$, defined by $f(t) = f_1(t)$ if $t \in [a, b]$ and $f(t) = f_2(t)$ if $t \in [b, c]$, belongs to $AC([a, c])$. Similarly for more than two functions.

Let $\varphi : I \to I$ be bijective, strictly monotone, and Lipschitz continuous. If $f \in AC(I)$ then also $f \circ \varphi \in AC(I)$. Furthermore (cf. [1, Lemma 2.5]):

**Lemma.** Let $r > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. Let $f \in AC([0, r])$ (resp. $f \in AC([-r, 0])$) and set $h(t) = f(\sqrt[2n]{t})$ (resp. $h(t) = f(-\sqrt[2n]{t})$). Then $h \in AC([0, r^n])$ (resp. $h \in AC([-r^n, 0])$).

**Proof.** There exists a function $g \in L^1([0, r])$ such that

$$f(t) = f(0) + \int_0^t g(s)ds$$

for all $t \in [0, r]$. The function $(0, r^n) \to (0, r], t \mapsto \sqrt[2n]{t}$, is smooth and bijective, so

$$\int_0^{r^n} |g(\sqrt[2n]{s})|ds = \int_0^r |g(s)|ds$$

and $t \mapsto g(\sqrt[2n]{t})(\sqrt[2n]{t})'$ belongs to $L^1([0, r^n])$. Thus $h(t) = f(\sqrt[2n]{t})$ in $AC([0, r^n])$.

For the second statement consider the absolutely continuous function $f \circ S|_{[0, r]}$, where $S : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, t \mapsto -t$. By the above, $h_S(t) = (f \circ S|_{[0, r]})(\sqrt[2n]{t})$ is in $AC([0, r^n])$, and so $h(t) = h_S(S^{-1}|_{[-r, 0]}(t)) = f(-\sqrt[2n]{t}) = f(-\sqrt[2n]{t})$ in $AC([-r^n, 0])$. □

A curve $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_n) : I \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is absolutely continuous, or $c \in AC(I, \mathbb{C}^n)$, if each coordinate function $c_i \in AC(I)$. Since absolute continuity is invariant under linear coordinate changes, we may consider absolutely continuous curves $c \in AC(I, V)$ in vector spaces $V$.

3. **An analogue of Puiseux’s theorem**

3.1. **Removing fixed points.** Let $V^G$ be the subspace of $G$-invariant vectors, and let $V'$ be a $G$-invariant complementary subspace in $V$. Then $V = V^G \oplus V'$, $\mathbb{C}[V^G] = \mathbb{C}[V^G] \otimes \mathbb{C}[V']$, and $V / G = V^G \times V' / G$. The following lemma is obvious.

**Lemma.** Any smooth (resp. $C^M$) lift of a smooth (resp. $C^M$) curve $c = (c_0, c_1)$ in $V^G \times V' / G \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ has the form $\bar{c} = (c_0, c_1)$, where $c_1$ is a smooth (resp. $C^M$) lift of $c_1$ to $V'$.

If $c = (c_0, c_1)$ is a normally nonflat curve in $V^G \times V' / G$, then $c_1$ is a normally nonflat curve in $V' / G$, since $(V^G \times V' / G)(\bar{L}) = V^G \times (V' / G)(\bar{L})$ (cf. [1] Proposition 3.5).

3.2. **Multiplicity.** For a continuous real or complex valued function $f$ defined near 0 in $\mathbb{R}$, let the multiplicity (or order of flatness) $m(f)$ at 0 be the supremum of all integers $p$ such that $f(t) = t^p g(t)$ near 0 for a continuous function $g$. Note that, if $f$ is of class $C^n$ and $m(f) < n$, then $f(t) = t^{m(f)} g(t)$ near 0, where now $g$ is $C^{n-m(f)}$ and $g(0) \neq 0$. Similarly, one can define the multiplicity of a function at any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

3.3. **Theorem.** Assume that $M$ is a $DC$-weight sequence. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and let $t_0 \in I$. Let $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_n) : I \to V / G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be smooth (resp. $C^M$). Suppose that $c$ is normally nonflat at $t_0$. Then there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $t \mapsto c(t_0 \pm (t-t_0)^N)$ allows a smooth (resp. $C^M$) lift $\bar{c}$ to $V$, locally near $t_0$.

Note that $c$ is automatically normally nonflat at $t_0$ if $M$ is quasianalytic.

**Proof.** We may assume without loss that $t_0 = 0$. Let $v \in \sigma^{-1}(c(0))$ such that $G.v$ is a closed orbit. We show that there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $t \mapsto c(\pm t^N)$ has a smooth (resp. $C^M$) local lift $\bar{c}$ with $\bar{c}(0) = v$. Let us use the following:
Algorithm. (1) If \(c(0) \in (V\!/G)_{(H)}\) is principal, then, for each \(v \in V_{(H)}\), a smooth (resp. \(C^M\)) lift \(\hat{c}^\pm\) of \(t \mapsto c(\pm t)\) to \(V_{(H)}\), with \(\hat{c}^\pm(0) = v\), exists, locally near 0, since \(V_{(H)} \to (V\!/G)_{(H)}\) is a principal \((N_G(H)/H)\)-bundle (see [23]).

(2) If \(V^G \neq \{0\}\), we remove fixed points, by lemma 3.4.

(3) If \(V^G = \{0\}\) and \(c(0) \neq 0\) is not principal, we consider the slice representation \(G_v \to GL(N_v)\). By Luna’s slice theorem [22] the lifting problem reduces to the group \(G_v\) acting on \(N_v\). As \(V^G = \{0\}\), \(G_v\) is a proper subgroup of \(G\). Closed \(G_v\)-orbits in \(N_v\) correspond to closed \(G\)-orbits in \(V\). The stratification of \(V\!/G\) in a neighborhood of \(c(0)\) is naturally isomorphic to the stratification of \(N_v\!/G_v\) in a neighborhood of 0. Since the notion of normal flatness is local, the reduced curve is normally nonflat at 0 as well.

If \(N^G_v \neq \{0\}\) we continue in (2), otherwise in (4).

(4) If \(V^G = \{0\}\) and \(c(0) = 0\), we have \(m(c_k) \geq 1\) for all \(1 \leq k \leq n\). If \(c = 0\), we lift it by \(\hat{c} = 0\) and are done. Otherwise, put

\[
m := \min \left\{ \frac{m(c_k)}{d_k} : 1 \leq k \leq n \right\}.
\]

(Recall \(d_k = \deg \sigma_k\).) Then \(m\) is well-defined and finite, since \(c\) is normally nonflat at 0. Let \(d\) be the minimal integer such that \(dm \geq 1\). Then, the multiplicity of \(t \mapsto c_k(\pm t^d)\) (for \(1 \leq k \leq n\)) satisfies

\[
m(c_k(\pm t^d)) = dm(c_k) \geq dmd_k \geq d_k.
\]

Hence, near 0, we have \(c_k(\pm t^d) = t^{d_k}c^\pm_{(1),k}(t)\), where \(c^\pm_{(1),k}\) is smooth (resp. \(C^M\)), for all \(k\). Consider the smooth (resp. \(C^M\)) curve

\[
c^\pm_{(1)}(t) := (c^\pm_{(1),1}(t), \ldots, c^\pm_{(1),n}(t))
\]

which lies in \(V\!/G = \sigma(V)\), by the homogeneity of the generators \(\sigma_k\). It is easy to see that \(c^\pm_{(1)}\) is normally nonflat at 0 (cf. [1] Proposition 3.5]). If \(t \mapsto c^\pm_{(1)}(t)\) admits a smooth (resp. \(C^M\)) lift \(t \mapsto \tilde{c}^\pm_{(1)}(t)\), then \(t \mapsto t\tilde{c}^\pm_{(1)}(t)\) is a smooth (resp. \(C^M\)) lift of \(t \mapsto c(\pm t^d)\).

Note that \(m(c^\pm_{(1),k}) = dm(c_k) - d_k\) (for \(1 \leq k \leq n\)), and, thus,

\[
m(1) := \min \left\{ \frac{m(c^\pm_{(1),k})}{d_k} : 1 \leq k \leq n \right\} = dm - 1 < m,
\]

by the minimality of \(d\).

If \(m(1) = 0\) there exists some \(k\) such that \(c^\pm_{(1),k}(0) \neq 0\), and we feed \(c^\pm_{(1)}\) into step (1) or (3). Otherwise we feed \(c^\pm_{(1)}\) into step (4).

Each of the steps (1) – (3) either provides a required lift or reduces the lifting problem to a ‘smaller’ space or group. Since \(m(1)\) is of the form \(p/d_k\), for some \(1 \leq k \leq n\) and \(p \in \mathbb{N}\), and by (3.3.1), also step (4) is visited only finitely many times. So the algorithm stops and it provides a positive integer \(N\) and a smooth (resp. \(C^M\)) lift \(\hat{c}^\pm\) of \(t \mapsto c(\pm t^N)\) near 0 with \(\hat{c}^\pm(0) = v\).

Carrying out the obvious modifications in the proof of theorem 3.3 we obtain:

3.4. Theorem. Let \(U \subseteq \mathbb{C}\) be open and connected, and let \(z_0 \in U\). Assume that \(c : U \to V\!/G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n\) is holomorphic. Then there exists a positive integer \(N\) such that \(z \mapsto c(z_0 + (z - z_0)^N)\) allows a holomorphic lift \(\hat{c}\) into \(V\), locally near \(z_0\).

3.5. Remark. Note that theorem 3.4 is a generalization of Puiseux’s theorem [10] (see also [2] for a modern account).
4. Smooth lifts

4.1. Exceptional points. Let \( I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) be an interval. For a curve \( c : I \to V / G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \) let \( E(c) \) denote the set of exceptional points, i.e., the set of all \( t \in I \) such that \( f(c(t)) = 0 \) for all \( f \in I_s \) (where \( s = s(c,t) \) is defined in [2]).

**Lemma.** If \( c \) is normally nonflat, then \( E(c) \) is discrete.

**Proof.** Let \( t \in E(c) \). Let \( s \) be minimal such that \( c(J) \subseteq A_s \) for a neighborhood \( J \) of \( t \). By assumption, there exists a \( f \in I_s \) such that \( f \circ c \) is nonflat at \( t \). Since \( t \in E(c) \), we have \( f(c(t)) = 0 \). The mean value theorem implies that \( t \) is an isolated zero of \( f \circ c \) (see e.g. [11, Lemma 3.2] for details). So \( t \) is isolated in \( E(c) \).

4.2. Lemma. Let \( c : I \to V / G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \) be a smooth (resp. \( C^M \)) curve which is normally nonflat at \( t_0 \in I \). Suppose that \( \bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2 : J \to V \) are smooth (resp. \( C^M \)) lifts of \( c \) on an open subinterval \( J \subseteq I \) containing \( t_0 \). Then there exists a smooth (resp. \( C^M \)) curve \( g \) in \( V \) defined near \( t_0 \) such that \( \bar{c}_1(t) = g(t) \bar{c}_2(t) \) for all \( t \) near \( t_0 \).

**Proof.** The proof is essentially the same as in [11, 3.8].

Without loss \( 0 \in I, t_0 = 0 \), and \( \bar{c}_1(0) = \bar{c}_2(0) := v \). Consider the projection \( p : G/S_v \cong G \times G, S_v \to G/G_v \) of the fiber bundle associated to the principal bundle \( G \to G/G_v \). Then, for \( t \) near \( 0, p \circ \bar{c}_1 \) and \( p \circ \bar{c}_2 \) admit smooth (resp. \( C^M \)) lifts \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) to \( G \) with \( g_1(0) = g_2(0) = e \), and \( t \mapsto g^{-1}_j(t) \bar{c}_j(t) \) form smooth (resp. \( C^M \)) lifts of \( c \) lying in \( S_v \). This reduces the problem to the group \( G_v \). If \( c(0) \) is principal, then \( G_v \) acts trivially on \( N_v \), and the two lifts coincide. Otherwise, we may remove fixed points (by \( \bar{g} \)) and assume \( c(0) = 0 \) and \( V^G = \{0\} \).

If \( c = 0 \) identically, the statement is trivial. Otherwise, \( m(\bar{c}_1) = m(\bar{c}_2) = r < \infty \), since \( c \) is normally nonflat at \( 0 \). (Here we use the obvious notion of multiplicity for a curve in a vector space.) Then \( t \mapsto t^{-r} \bar{c}_j(t) \) are smooth (resp. \( C^M \)) lifts of the smooth (resp. \( C^M \)) curve \( c_{(r)}(t) := \sigma(t^{-r} \bar{c}_j(t)) = (t^{-d_1} \bar{c}_1(t), \ldots, t^{-d_n} \bar{c}_n(t)) \).

If we find \( g(t) \in G \) taking \( t^{-r} \bar{c}_1(t) \) to \( t^{-r} \bar{c}_2(t) \), then we also have \( \bar{c}_1(t) = g(t) \bar{c}_2(t) \). Since \( c_{(r)}(0) \neq 0 \), we are done by induction.

4.3. Notation. Let \( I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) be an open interval, \( s \in I \), and \( N \in \mathbb{N}_{>0} \). We denote by \( \psi_{s,I}^{N,\pm} \) the mapping

\[
\psi_{s,I}^{N,\pm} : \{t \in \mathbb{R} : s \pm (t-s)^N \in I\} \to I : t \mapsto s \pm (t-s)^N.
\]

4.4. Theorem. Assume that \( M \) is a non-quasianalytic DC-weight sequence. Let \( I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) be an open interval. Let \( c : I \to V / G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \) be smooth (resp. \( C^M \)) and normally nonflat. For each compact subinterval \( J \subseteq I \), there exist a neighborhood \( I_1 \) of \( J \) and finitely many \( s_1, \ldots, s_m \) and \( N_1, \ldots, N_m \) such that \( c \circ \psi_{s_1,I_1}^{N_1,\pm} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_{s_m,I_m}^{N_m,\pm} \) admits a global smooth (resp. \( C^M \)) lift (for each choice of signs), where \( I_{i+1} = (\psi_{s_i,I_i}^{N_i,\pm})^{-1}(I_i) \) for \( i \geq 1 \). (By construction, \( I_1 \) is covered by the images under \( \psi_{s_1,I_1}^{N_1,\pm} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_{s_m,I_m}^{N_m,\pm} \) of the domains of \( c \circ \psi_{s_1,I_1}^{N_1,\pm} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_{s_m,I_m}^{N_m,\pm} \).)

**Proof.** The algorithm in [5,3] shows that \( c \) admits smooth (resp. \( C^M \)) lifts near each \( t \in E(c) \).

Let \( J \) be fixed. By Lemma [4,1] the set \( E(c) \cap J \) is finite; let \( t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_m \) denote its elements. Let \( I_1 \) be an open neighborhood of \( J \) such that \( E(c) \cap J = E(c) \cap I_1 \). By theorem [5,3] there is an \( N_1 \in \mathbb{N}_{>0} \) such that \( c \circ \psi_{t_1,I_1}^{N_1,\pm} \) admits a smooth (resp. \( C^M \)) lift near \( t_1 \).
If $N_1$ is odd, it suffices to consider $\psi^{N_1-}_t$. If $N_1$ is even, then $\psi^{N_1-}_t$ maps onto $I_1 \cap (\infty, t_1]$, $E(c \circ \psi^{N_1-}_t) = \{t_1\}$, and $c \circ \psi^{N_1-}_t$ admits smooth (resp. $C^M$) lifts near every point in its domain. So it is no restriction to just treat $c \circ \psi^{N_1-}_t$, which admits smooth (resp. $C^M$) lifts near each $t < t_1 + (t_2 - t_1)^{1/N_1} =: s_2$. By theorem 3.3 there is an $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that $c \circ \psi^{N_1-}_t \circ \psi^{N_2-}_{s_2}$ admits a smooth (resp. $C^M$) lift near $s_2$.

Repeating this process $m$ times, we obtain a family of curves of the required form each of which admits local smooth (resp. $C^M$) lifts near any point in its domain. The local lifts can be glued to a global lift, using lemma 4.2 as in [14.1]. (Here we use that, if $M$ is non-quasianalytic, then $C^M$ cutoff functions exist.)

5. Absolutely continuous lifts

5.1. Theorem. Let $G$ be finite. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and let $c : I \to V/\!\!/G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be continuous. Then there exists a continuous lift $\tilde{c} : I \to V$ of $c$.

Proof. Without loss we may assume $V^G = \{0\}$ (cf. 3.4).

We use induction on $|G|$. If $G = \{e\}$ put $\tilde{c} := c$. So let us assume that the theorem is proved for complex finite dimensional representations of proper subgroups of $G$.

Let $c : I \to V/\!\!/G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be continuous. The set $c^{-1}(0)$ is closed in $I$ and, thus, $c^{-1}(\sigma(V) \setminus \{0\})$ is an at most countable disjoint union of open subintervals $J \subseteq I$ which are maximal with respect to not containing zeros of $c$.

Let one subinterval $J$ be fixed. Since $V^G = \{0\}$, for all $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ we have $G_v \not\cong G$. By induction hypothesis and by 2.2 we find local continuous lifts of $c$ near any $t \in J$ and through all $v \in \sigma^{-1}(c(t))$. Suppose that $c_1 : J \supseteq J_1 \to V \setminus \{0\}$ is a local continuous lift of $c$ with maximal domain $J_1$, where, say, the right endpoint $t_1$ of $J_1$ lies in $J$. Then there exists a local continuous lift $\tilde{c}_2$ of $c$ near $t_1$, and there is a $t_0 < t_1$ such that both $c_1$ and $\tilde{c}_2$ are defined near $t_0$. Since $\sigma(c_1(t_0)) = \sigma(\tilde{c}_2(t_0))$, there must exist a $g \in G$ such that $c_1(t_0) = g\tilde{c}_2(t_0)$. But then $\tilde{c}_1(t) := \tilde{c}_1(t)$ for $t \leq t_0$ and $\tilde{c}_2(t) := g\tilde{c}_2(t)$ for $t \geq t_0$ is a continuous lift of $c$ defined on a larger interval than $J_1$. We can conclude that there exist continuous lifts of $c$ on $J$.

Let $\tilde{c}$ be a continuous lift of $c$ on $I \cap c^{-1}(0)$ and extend it to $I$, by putting $\tilde{c}(t) := 0$ for $t \in c^{-1}(0)$. It remains to show that $\tilde{c}$ is continuous on $c^{-1}(0)$. Let $t_0 \in c^{-1}(0)$ and $I \ni t_0 \to t_0$. Since $G$ is finite, each neighborhood of $0$ contains a $G$-invariant neighborhood of $0$. So $\sigma(\tilde{c}(t_0)) = c(t_0) \to c(t_0) = 0$ implies $\tilde{c}(t_0) \to 0$.

5.2. Remark. We do not know whether paths are continuously liftable in general (but consider theorem 5.4). The proof in 5.1 does not work, since in general we cannot expect that each neighborhood of $0$ contains a $G$-invariant neighborhood of $0$. This is shown by the following example: Let $G = \mathbb{C}^*$ act on $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ by $g.(x, y) = (gx, g^{-1}y)$. Then $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$ is generated by $\sigma(x, y) = xy$ and $V/\!\!/G \cong \mathbb{C}$. For each $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ the fiber $\sigma^{-1}(z)$ represents a principal orbit. The fiber $\sigma^{-1}(0)$ consists of three orbits: the closed orbit $\{0\}$, $G.\{1, 0\} = \mathbb{C}^* \times \{0\}$, and $G.\{0, 1\} = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^*$. However: Each path $c$ in $V/\!\!/G$ has a continuous lift $\tilde{c} = (\sqrt{c}, \sqrt{c})$ to $V$. If $c$ is sufficiently smooth, then $\tilde{c}$ is even locally absolutely continuous. This example is polar. We shall see in section 5 that for polar representations paths are liftable.

5.3. Lemma. Let $G$ be finite. Choose some norm $\|\|$ on $V$. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and let $c : I \to V/\!\!/G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be continuous. If there is a Lipschitz lift of $c$, then any continuous lift of $c$ is Lipschitz.

Proof. Let $\hat{c}$ and $\tilde{c}$ be continuous lifts of $c$, and suppose that $\hat{c}$ is Lipschitz on $I$. Let $t < s$ be in $I$. There is a $g_0 \in G$ such that $\hat{c}(t) = g_0.\tilde{c}(t)$. Now let $t_1$ be the maximum of all $r \in [t, s]$ such that $\hat{c}(r) = g_0.\tilde{c}(r)$. If $t_0 < s$ then $\hat{c}(t_1) = g_1.\tilde{c}(t_1)$ for some
Let \( h_n \to h \neq 0 \) in \( C^n \). Then, for \( n \geq 1 \), there exists a neighborhood \( V \) of \( h \) in \( C^n \) such that \( f(\cdot + x) \) is regular for all \( x \in V \). Moreover, there exist \( g_1, \ldots, g_m \in \mathcal{M}_d \) such that \( f(\cdot + x) = f(\cdot) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} g_j(x) \), where \( g_j(0) = 0 \) for all \( j \). Let \( g(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} g_j(u) \). Then \( g \in \mathcal{M}_d \) and \( g(0) = 0 \). 

**Proof.** Consider \( f(\cdot + x) \) for \( x \in V \). By the definition of \( f \), there exist \( h_1, \ldots, h_k \) in \( \mathcal{M}_d \) such that \( f(\cdot + x) = f(\cdot) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} h_j(x) \), where \( h_j(0) = 0 \) for all \( j \). Let \( g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} h_j(x) \). Then \( g \in \mathcal{M}_d \) and \( g(0) = 0 \). Hence, \( f(\cdot + x) = f(\cdot) + g(x) \). 

5.5. **Remark.** The conclusion in theorem 5.4 is best possible. In general there is no lift with first order derivative in \( L^p_{\text{loc}} \) for any \( 1 < p \leq \infty \). A counter example is the curve of polynomials 

\[ P(t)(z) = z^n - t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \]

which is a curve in \( C^n / S_n = C^n \). If \( n \geq \frac{p}{p-1} \), for \( 1 < p < \infty \), and if \( n \geq 2 \), for \( p = \infty \), no parameterization of the roots (lift) of \( P \) has first order derivative in \( L^p_{\text{loc}} \).

6. **Differentiable Lifts**

6.1. **Notation.** The set \( A_n \) (cf. 2.5) is Zariski closed. Let \( J_{s+1} \) be an ideal in \( \mathcal{C}[V / G] = \mathcal{C}[V]^G \) defining \( A_n \). Since the action of \( G \) commutes with homotheties, we can assume that \( J_{s+1} \) is generated by homogeneous elements.
Let $d = d(\rho)$ be the maximum of all $d_i = \deg \sigma_i$, where $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ is a minimal system of homogeneous generators of $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$. Let $D = D(\rho)$ be the maximum of all $d(\rho')$, where $\rho'$ is any slice representation of $\rho$. There are only finitely many isomorphism types of such $\rho'$.

6.2. Lemma. Let $G$ be finite. Assume that $V^G = \{0\}$. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval containing 0. Let $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_n) : I \rightarrow V/\!/G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be $C^d$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $m(c_k) \geq d_k$, for all $1 \leq k \leq n$.
2. $m(f \circ c) \geq \deg f$, for all homogeneous $f \in J_1$.

Proof. Since $V^G = \{0\}$, we have $A_0 = V(J_1) = \{0\}$.

1. $\Rightarrow$ (2) The continuous curve $c(1) := (t^{-d_1}c_1(t), \ldots, t^{-d_n}c_n(t))$ admits a continuous lift $\bar{c}(1)$ (by theorem 5.1). Then $t \mapsto t\bar{c}(1)(t)$ is a continuous lift of $t \mapsto c(t)$, and (2) follows from the homogeneity of $f$.

2. $\Rightarrow$ (1) Since $J_1$ is generated by homogeneous elements, (2) implies $c(0) = 0$. So there exist positive integers $m_k$ and continuous $c_{m,k}$ such that $c_k(t) = t^{m_k}c_{m,k}(t)$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Assume, for contradiction, that $m_k = m(c_k) < d_k$ for some $k$. Then

$$m := \min \left\{ \frac{m_1}{d_1}, \ldots, \frac{m_n}{d_n} \right\} < 1.$$ 

For $t \geq 0$, consider

$$c_{(m)}(t) := (t^{m_1-d_1m_{1,1}}c_{1,1}(t), \ldots, t^{m_n-d_nm_{n,n}}c_{n,n}(t)),$$

which is a continuous curve in $V/\!/G = \sigma(V)$, and hence has a continuous lift $\bar{c}_{(m)}$ (by theorem 5.1). Then $t \mapsto t^{m}\bar{c}_{(m)}(t)$ is a continuous lift of $t \mapsto c(t)$. Let $f \in J_1$ be homogeneous. By (2),

$$m(f \circ c_{(m)}) = m(f \circ c) - m \deg f \geq (1 - m) \deg f > 0.$$ 

So $c_{(m)}(0) = 0$ (by the same arguments as above). But that is a contradiction for those $k$ with $m(c_k) = m_k = d_k m$.

6.3. $1$-flatness. Let $G$ be finite. For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, put $A_s := \pi^{-1}(A_s)$. Then $J_{s+1} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[V]^G$ is a defining ideal of $A_s$. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval. Let $c : I \rightarrow V/\!/G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be continuous and let $\bar{c} : I \rightarrow V$ be a continuous lift of $c$. Let $t \in I$ and let $r = r(c,t)$ be the minimal integer such that $c(t) \in A_r$. The lift $\bar{c}$ is called $1$-flat at $t$ if the multiplicity of $f \circ \bar{c}$ at $t$ is $\geq \deg f$ for all homogeneous $f \in J_{r+1}$.

Assume that $0 \in I$ and $c : I \rightarrow V/\!/G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is $C^D$. Choose $v \in \sigma^{-1}(c(0))$. Let $r_1, \ldots, r_m$ be a minimal system of homogeneous generators of $\mathbb{C}[N_v]^G$ with degrees $d_1', \ldots, d_m'$, and consider $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_m) : N_v \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$.

Lemma. The following conditions are equivalent:

1. There is a continuous lift $\bar{c}$ with $\bar{c}(0) = v$ and differentiable at 0.
2. There is a continuous lift $\bar{c}$ with $\bar{c}(0) = v$ and $1$-flat at 0.
3. The curve $c$, considered as curve in $\tau(N_v)$ with $c(0) = 0$, is of the form $c(t) = (t^{d_1}c_{1,1}(t), \ldots, t^{d_m}c_{1,m}(t))$ near 0, where $c_{1,k}$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, is continuous.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $r = r(c,0)$ and $f \in J_{r+1}$ be homogeneous. Then $f(\bar{c}(0)) = 0$. By assumption, $\bar{c}(t) = t\bar{c}(t)$ such that $\bar{c}$ is continuous. Thus $m(f \circ \bar{c}) \geq \deg f$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) As $G$ is finite, $N_v = V$. So we may consider $\bar{c}$ as lift of the curve $c$ in $N_v/\!/G_v = \tau(N_v)$ with $\bar{c}(0) = 0 \in N_v$. Then $\bar{c}$ is still $1$-flat at 0 by 2.2 since the notion of $1$-flatness is local. We may also assume that $N_v^G = \{0\}$ by 5.1. So lemma 6.2 implies (3).
Suppose that \( c(t) = (t^{e_1}c_{(1)}, \ldots, t^{e_m}c_{(m)}) \) near 0 with continuous \( c_{(k)} \), for \( 1 \leq k \leq m \). Then \( c_{(1)} = (c_{(1,1)}, \ldots, c_{(1,m)}) \) is a continuous curve in \( \tau(N_0) \) which allows a continuous lift \( \bar{c}_{(1)} \). It follows that \( \bar{c}(t) := tc_{(1)}(t) \) is a continuous lift of \( c \) near 0 which is differentiable at 0.

6.4. Differentiable lifts. We say that \( c \) is 1-flat at 0 if one of the equivalent conditions in lemma 6.3 is satisfied. Similarly for any \( t \in I \). The curve \( c \) is called \( 1\)-flat, if it is 1-flat at each \( t \).

**Theorem.** Let \( G \) be finite. Let \( I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) be an open interval and let \( c : I \rightarrow V/\sigma(G) = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \) be \( C^B \). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. There exists a global differentiable lift \( \tilde{c} \) of \( c \).
2. \( c \) is 1-flat.

**Proof.** (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) is clear by lemma 6.3.

(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (1) We use induction on \( |G| \). There is nothing to prove if \( G \) is trivial. So let us assume that the statement of the theorem holds for representations of proper subgroups of \( G \).

We may suppose that \( V^G = \{0\} \). Consider the set \( F := c^{-1}(0) \). Then \( F \) is closed and its complement \( I \setminus F \) is a countable union of open subintervals whose boundary points lie in \( F \).

Let \( J \) denote one such interval. We claim that there exists a differentiable lift \( \tilde{c} \) of \( c \) on \( J \). For each \( t_0 \in J \) and each \( \sigma \in \sigma^{-1}(c(t_0)) \), we have \( |G_\sigma| < |G| \), since \( V^G = \{0\} \). By induction hypothesis and 2.2 we find differentiable lifts of \( c \), locally near any \( t_0 \in J \). Let \( \bar{c} \) be a differentiable lift of \( c \) defined on a maximal subinterval \( J' \subseteq J \). Suppose for contradiction that the right (say) endpoint \( t_1 \) of \( J' \) belongs to \( J \). Then there exists a differentiable lift \( \tilde{c} \) of \( c \), locally near \( t_1 \), and there is a \( t_0 < t_1 \) such that both \( \bar{c} \) and \( \tilde{c} \) are defined near \( t_0 \). Let \( (t_m) \) be a sequence with \( t_m \rightarrow t_0 \). For each \( m \) there exists a \( g_m \in G \) such that \( \bar{c}(t_m) = g_m\tilde{c}(t_m) \). By passing to a subsequence (again denoted by \( (t_m) \)) we obtain \( \bar{c}(t_m) = g\tilde{c}(t_m) \) for a fixed \( g \) and for all \( m \). Then \( \bar{c}(t_0) = \lim_{t_m \rightarrow t_0} \bar{c}(t_m) = g.(\lim_{t_m \rightarrow t_0} \tilde{c}(t_m)) = g.\tilde{c}(t_0) \) and

\[
\bar{c}^\prime(t_0) = \lim_{t_m \rightarrow t_0} \frac{\bar{c}(t_m) - \bar{c}(t_0)}{t_m - t_0} = \lim_{t_m \rightarrow t_0} \frac{g.\tilde{c}(t_m) - g.\tilde{c}(t_0)}{t_m - t_0} = g.\tilde{c}^\prime(t_0).
\]

Hence, we can extend the lift \( \bar{c} \) by \( g.\tilde{c} \) for \( t \geq t_0 \) beyond \( t_1 \). This contradicts maximality.

Let us extend \( \tilde{c} \) to the closure of \( J \), by setting it 0 at the endpoints of \( J \). Let \( t_0 \) denote the right (say) endpoint of \( J \). By lemma 6.3 there exists a local continuous lift \( \tilde{c} \) of \( c \) near \( t_0 \) which is differentiable at \( t_0 \). Let \( (t_m) \) be a sequence with \( t_m \not\rightarrow t_0 \). By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that \( \bar{c}(t_m) = g.\tilde{c}(t_m) \) for a fixed \( g \in G \) and for all \( m \). Then \( \lim_{t_m \not\rightarrow t_0} \bar{c}(t_m) = g.(\lim_{t_m \not\rightarrow t_0} \tilde{c}(t_m)) = g.\tilde{c}(t_0) = 0 \) and

\[
\lim_{t_m \not\rightarrow t_0} \frac{\bar{c}(t_m)}{t_m - t_0} = \lim_{t_m \not\rightarrow t_0} \frac{g.\tilde{c}(t_m)}{t_m - t_0} = g.\tilde{c}^\prime(t_0).
\]

It follows that the set of accumulation points of \( \bar{c}(t)/(t-t_0) \), as \( t \not\rightarrow t_0 \), lies in the \( G \)-orbit through \( \bar{c}(t_0) \). Since this orbit is finite, lemma 6.5 below implies that the limit \( \lim_{t \not\rightarrow t_0} \bar{c}(t)/(t-t_0) \) exists. Thus the one-sided derivative of \( \bar{c} \) at \( t_0 \) exists.

For isolated points in \( F \) we can apply a fixed transformation from \( G \) to one of the neighboring differentiable lifts in order to glue them differentiably (by the arguments in the previous paragraph). So we have found a differentiable lift \( \bar{c} \) of \( c \) defined on \( I \setminus F' \), where \( F' \) denotes the set of accumulation points of \( F \).

Let us extend \( \bar{c} \) by 0 on \( F' \). Then \( \bar{c} \) is a global differentiable lift of \( c \), since any lift is differentiable at points \( t' \in F' \). For: It is clear that the derivative at \( t' \) of any differentiable lift has to be 0. Let \( \bar{c} \) be a local continuous lift which is
differentiable at \( t' \), provided by lemma 6.3. As above we may conclude that the set of accumulation points of \( \tilde{c}(t)/(t-t') \), as \( t \to t' \), lies in \( G.\tilde{c}(t') = G.0 = \{0\} \). □

**Remark.** If \( \rho : G \to O(V) \) is a real finite dimensional representation of a compact Lie group \( G \), then condition (2) in theorem 6.4 is automatically satisfied. Moreover, \( D = d \) by [5] Lemma 2.4. So any \( C^d \) curve \( c \) in the orbit space \( V/G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) admits a global differentiable lift to \( V \). That case is treated in 4.

6.5. **Lemma** ([3], Lemma 4.3). Let \( X \) be a compact metric space and let \( c : (a,b) \to X \) be continuous. Then the set of all accumulation points of \( c(t) \) as \( t \searrow a \) is connected.

7. Smooth lifts of quasianalytic \( C^M \)-curves are \( C^M \)

We prove an analogue of [17, Theorem 2].

7.1. **Theorem.** Let \( G \) be finite. Assume that \( M \) is a quasianalytic DC-weight sequence. Let \( c : \mathbb{R},0 \to V/G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \) be a germ of a \( C^M \) curve. If \( \tilde{c} : \mathbb{R},0 \to V \) is a \( C^\infty \) germ such that \( \sigma \circ \tilde{c} = c \), then \( \tilde{c} \) is a \( C^M \) germ.

**Proof.** Choose a basis in \( V \) and identify \( V = \mathbb{C}^n \). Denote by \( \text{pr}_i \) the projection to the \( i \)-th coordinate. Set

\[
P(x)(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{g \in G} (z - \text{pr}_i(g.x)) = z^{|m[G]|} + \sum_{j=1}^{m[G]} (-1)^j a_j(x)z^{m[G]-j}.
\]

By construction the coefficients \( a_j \) (for \( 1 \leq j \leq m[G] \)) of \( P \) belong to \( \mathbb{C}[V]^G \). Thus there exist polynomials \( p_j \) such that \( a_j = p_j \circ \sigma \) for all \( j \). It follows that \( P \circ \tilde{c} \) is a polynomial, whose coefficients are \( C^M \) germs at \( 0 \in \mathbb{R} \) and which admits a \( C^\infty \) parameterization \( \text{pr}_i(g.\tilde{c}) \) (for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \) and \( g \in G \)) of its roots. By [17, Theorem 2], each \( \text{pr}_i(g.\tilde{c}) \) is actually \( C^M \), hence, \( \tilde{c} \) is \( C^M \). □

7.2. **Remark.** If \( G \) is not finite the theorem is false: For any \( C^M \) curve germ \( c \) in \( V/G \) of the example in 6.2 admitting a \( C^M \)-lift \( \tilde{c} \) we may find a \( C^\infty \) curve germ \( g \) in \( G \) such that \( g.\tilde{c} \) is not \( C^M \). But we may ask whether a \( C^M \) curve germ admitting a \( C^\infty \) lift admits also a \( C^M \) lift.

It seems to be unclear whether the multivariable version of [17, Theorem 2] and thus of theorem 7.1 is true. But in the real analytic case we have the following analogue of [15, Theorem 2].

7.3. **Corollary.** Let \( G \) be finite. Let \( f : \mathbb{R}^q,0 \to V/G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \) be a germ of a \( C^\omega \) mapping. If \( \tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^q,0 \to V \) is a \( C^\infty \) germ such that \( \sigma \circ \tilde{f} = f \), then \( \tilde{f} \) is a \( C^\omega \) germ.

**Proof.** If \( q = 1 \) this is a special case of theorem 7.1. A smooth mapping which is \( C^\omega \) along \( C^\omega \) curves (affine lines suffice) is \( C^\omega \) (e.g. [15, Theorem 1]). □

8. Generalization to polar representations

8.1. **Polar representations.** Cf. [3]. Let \( G \) be a reductive linear algebraic group defined over \( \mathbb{C} \) and let \( \rho : G \to \text{GL}(V) \) be a rational representation on a finite dimensional complex vector space \( V \). Let \( v \in V \) be such that \( G.v \) is closed and consider the subspace \( \Sigma_v = \{x \in V : g.x \subseteq g.v\} \). Then for each \( x \in \Sigma_v \) the orbit \( G.x \) is closed. The representation \( \rho \) is called **polar** if there is a \( v \in V \) with \( G.v \) closed such that \( \dim \Sigma_v = \dim \mathbb{C}[V]^G \). Such \( \Sigma_v \) is called a **Cartan subspace**. Any
two Cartan subspaces are conjugated. All closed orbits in $V$ intersect $\Sigma_v$. The generalized Weyl group

$$W(\Sigma_v) = \{ g \in G : g \Sigma_v = \Sigma_v \} / \{ g \in G : g.x = x \text{ for all } x \in \Sigma_v \}$$

is finite. The restriction map $f \mapsto f^{|\Sigma_v}$ induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[V]^G \to \mathbb{C}[\Sigma_v]^W(\Sigma_v)$. So we have $V/G = \sigma(V) = \sigma(\Sigma_v) = \Sigma_v/W(\Sigma_v)$.  

8.2. Theorem. Let $p : G \to \text{GL}(V)$ be polar, $\Sigma$ a Cartan subspace, and $W = W(\Sigma)$. Let $D = D(p)$ be as in [27]. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and consider a curve $c : I \to V/G = \sigma(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$. Then:

1. If $c$ is continuous, then there exists a continuous lift $\tilde{c} : I \to \Sigma \subseteq V$ of $c$.
2. If $c$ is smooth and normally nonflat, then any continuous lift $\tilde{c}$ of $c$ to $\Sigma$ is locally absolutely continuous.
3. If $c$ is $C^D$, then there exists a differentiable lift of $c$ to $\Sigma$ if and only if $c$ is 1-flat with respect to $W \to \text{GL}(\Sigma)$.
4. Let $M$ be a quasianalytic $DC$-weight sequence. If $c : \mathbb{R}, 0 \to V/G$ is a germ of a $C^M$ curve and $\tilde{c} : \mathbb{R}, 0 \to \Sigma$ is a $C^{\infty}$ germ such that $\sigma \circ \tilde{c} = c$, then $\tilde{c}$ is a $C^M$ germ.

Proof. Apply the results for finite group representations to the $W$-module $\Sigma$. □

8.3. Remark. The conclusion in (4) is wrong if $\tilde{c}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ germ in $V$ which lies not in a Cartan subspace. See remark [5.2] and [7.2].
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