Universality and properties of neutron star type I critical collapses
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Abstract
We study the neutron star axisymmetric critical solution previously found in the numerical studies of neutron star mergers. Using neutron star-like initial data and performing similar merger simulations, we demonstrate that the solution is indeed a semi-attractor on the threshold plane separating the basin of a neutron star and the basin of a black hole in the solution space of the Einstein equations. In order to explore the extent of the attraction basin of the neutron star semi-attractor, we construct initial data phase spaces for these neutron star-like initial data. From these phase spaces, we also observe several interesting dynamical scenarios where the merged object is supported from prompt collapse. The properties of the critical index of the solution, in particular, its dependence on conserved quantities, are then studied. From the study, it is found that a family of neutron star semi-attractors exist that can be classified by both their rest masses and ADM masses.

PACS numbers: 04.25.D--, 04.40.Dg, 95.30.Sf, 97.60.Jd

1. Introduction

Critical phenomena in gravitational collapse were discovered by Choptuik almost a decade ago [1]. Since then, there has been much development in establishing the theory in its mathematical aspects. Past studies have considered the assumptions of both spherically symmetric and axisymmetric systems. Several matter models, e.g., perfect fluids, real scalar fields, 2D Sigma models, SU(2) Yang–Mills and Skyrme models, as outlined in a comprehensive review by Gundlach and Martín–García [2], as well as neutron stars (NSs) [3–5] and black holes (BHs) [6, 7], were considered. The NS models studied in [4, 5] employ spherical symmetry. The first axisymmetric simulations of NS critical gravitational collapses involving two colliding non-rotating NSs were performed by Jin and Suen [8]. The axisymmetry in these studies enables high-resolution finite differencing that facilitates the observation of
the fine structure of critical phenomena. In [8], the central densities, the boost velocities, the separations and the polytropic index of the equation of state (EOS) of the colliding NSs initial data are varied. Varying solely the central densities of the NSs corresponds to varying the baryonic masses of the NSs. Configurations with supercritical baryonic masses result in BHs with finite masses, while those with subcritical baryonic masses result in NSs. The system is carried away from the critical solution by its one unstable mode. The time of departure from the critical solution scales with respect to the constant powers of the distance of the initial data from the critical threshold. With the presence of an overall length scale in this system, and consequently the formation of BHs with finite masses, the critical phenomena exhibited are classed as type I.

However, as noted in the review by Gundlach and Martín–García [2], the families of NS initial data constructed by varying the boost velocities, initial separations and the adiabatic indices of the polytropic EOS lie very close to each other and are within the perturbative region of the NS critical solution. As such, the universality of the NS critical solution with respect to different families of initial data is still considered unclear. In the current paper, we show this universality using more general NS-like systems as initial data. Specifically, we construct the axisymmetric packets of matter with Gaussian baryonic density distributions with various parameters that can be varied. At the initial time, these packets are characterized using the polytropic EOS $p = \kappa \rho^\Gamma = \rho \epsilon (\Gamma - 1)$, with $\rho$ being the baryonic density, $\epsilon$ the specific internal energy density of the fluid, $\Gamma = 2$ and $\kappa = 0.0298c^2/\rho_n$, where $\rho_n = 2.3 \times 10^{14} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ is the nuclear density. Such an EOS would produce a NS that is less stiff than the Lattimer–Swesty EOS with a lepton to baryon ratio of 0.1 [9]. Since all parameters and variables in our simulations are set in geometric units, i.e. $G = c = M_\odot = 1$, with the aforementioned EOS, $\kappa$ has the dimensions of $\rho^{-1}$ and the above-mentioned value corresponds to $\kappa = 80$ in the simulation. With $\kappa \neq 1$, the system considered here possesses a fundamental length scale, similar to that considered in [8]. The maximum baryonic mass allowable by this EOS for a non-rotating equilibrium NS is 1.61 in the above-mentioned units. During the evolution, similar to [8], only the ideal gas EOS $p = \rho \epsilon (\Gamma - 1)$ is used, thus allowing for shock heating. As in [8], the packets here too collide head-on with each other at an imposed boost velocity.

From our simulations, the NS critical solution found in [8] is observed to attract the evolutions of these different initial data. Seen from a dynamical systems’ point of view, the NS critical solution lives on the threshold plane separating the basin of a NS and the basin of a BH in the solution space of the Einstein equations. It attracts the evolutions of these various initial data via infinite decaying modes along the direction of the threshold plane and repels them from the threshold plane via its one unstable mode. Therefore, in the dynamical systems’ picture, the NS critical solution is a semi-attractor. A natural question that follows is then: if it is a semi-attractor, what is the extent of the attraction basin of the NS critical solution? Also, what are the properties of its critical index, in particular, its dependence on the conserved quantities of the system?

We outline the paper as follows. Section 2 details the methods used in our numerical simulations, and presents the universality of the NS critical solution found in [8] with respect to the above-mentioned families of initial data. In section 3, initial data phase spaces of both the NS systems studied in [8] and the new NS-like systems introduced in our current paper are constructed to provide further information on the properties of the NS critical solution in the solution space of the Einstein field equations. Using these initial data phase spaces, we determine the extent of the attraction basin of the NS critical solution in terms of several initial data parameters. In section 4, we study the properties of the critical index of the NS critical solution. A main feature of this section is the investigation of the dependence of the critical indices of both NS systems and NS-like systems on the conserved quantities of the systems,
i.e. their rest masses and ADM masses. This property of the critical index will be able to shed light on the classes of NS semi-attractors that could exist. Section 5 sums up the findings of the paper.

2. Universality

We present in this section new initial data where the matter field consists of packets of matter whose baryonic densities are characterized by axisymmetric Gaussian distributions and a polytropic EOS described in the previous section. The baryonic density distribution of each packet of matter follows the following relation:

\[ \rho(r_{iso}) = Pe^{r_{iso}^2/2Q}, \]  

where \( r_{iso} = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{1/2} \), and \( P \) and \( Q \) can be freely adjusted to produce various 1-parameter families of initial data that lie outside the perturbative region of the NS critical solution. For the test 3-metric of each of the matter packets, we use a similar Gaussian function that is asymptotically flat, and whose heights and widths can be similarly adjusted to yield different initial spacetimes. These height and width parameters of the spacetime and matter configuration constitute additional degrees of freedom for the Gaussian packet system as compared to the NS system. This is due to the fact that the NS configuration is uniquely defined by the central densities of the two NSs via the Tolman–Oppenheimer–Volkoff (TOV) equations, whereas the Gaussian packet configuration is not.

The numerical setup used here is similar to that in [8] . On a thin slab with a width of 5 grid points along the \( xz \)-plane of a Cartesian grid, we construct two of the aforementioned matter packets with the same mass separated at a distance from each other along the \( z \) direction. To do this, symmetry conditions are applied using the Cartoon method [10]. The matter packets are boosted toward each other in a head-on collision along the \( z \) direction. Employing York’s formulation [11], we solve the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints to obtain the initial data. Specifically, the 3-metric and extrinsic curvature of the two boosted Gaussian packets of matter are superimposed in the same manner as in [12]. Setting the extrinsic curvature scalar to zero, the resulting matter and momentum distributions, the conformal part of the metric and the transverse traceless part of the extrinsic curvature are then input into York’s procedure to solve for the initial data. The initial data obtained satisfy the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints to an accuracy of \( 10^{-14} \). A computational grid of \( 323 \times 5 \times 323 \) points is used to cover a computational domain of \( (\pi r^2 \times z) = (\pi \times 38.5^2 \times 77.0) \). Using the \( \Gamma \)-freezing shift and \( l + \log \)-slicing in a 3 + 1 Baumgarte–Shapiro–Shibata–Nakamura (BSSN) formulation (detailed in [13]), the evolution of this Gaussian packet system and the behavior of the axisymmetric object formed are then observed.

The system is in a non-equilibrium state at the initial time, and a single Gaussian packet is found to oscillate about its corresponding equilibrium TOV configuration. However, we check that this oscillation time scale is much larger than the time scale of the merging of two such Gaussian packets and their collapse, such that the effect of the non-equilibrium nature of the initial data can be considered negligible. Furthermore, we note that the non-equilibrium state of the Gaussian packet system reinforces the point of universality we seek to address in this work. Stated differently, even systems in non-equilibrium states are attracted to the same critical solution as that of the NS system.

Table 1 and figure 1 show the configurations that are constructed and their baryonic density distributions along the direction of head-on collision, respectively. Figure 2 shows the phase trajectories for these configurations using several evolution variables that form bounded orbits in the attraction basin on the right part of the phase space. In particular, we note that
Figure 1. Baryonic density distributions for NS and Gaussian packet systems along the direction of head-on collision. The Gaussian distributions are constructed so as to maintain the baryonic mass of the system as a constant. Therefore, when the Gaussian heights are increased, their widths are correspondingly decreased. Configurations 1 and 2 are less compact distributions, whereas those of 3 and 4 are more compact.

Table 1. Initial data configurations for NS and Gaussian packet systems that exhibit critical collapse. $M_B$ is the total baryonic mass, $\rho_c$ is the NS central baryonic density/height of the Gaussian baryonic density distribution at $t = 0$, $d$ is the center-to-center separation between the NSs/Gaussian packets and $v_z$ is the boost velocity of the NSs/Gaussian packets along the $z$-direction of the grid.

| Configuration | $M_B$ | $\rho_c$ | $d$ | $v_z$ |
|---------------|-------|----------|-----|-------|
| NS            | 1.64  | 0.000566 | 27.6| 0.153 |
| 1             | 1.49  | 0.000387 | 29.6| 0.120 |
| 2             | 1.52  | 0.000392 | 29.6| 0.100 |
| 3             | 1.60  | 0.000555 | 27.6| 0.116 |
| 4             | 1.59  | 0.000649 | 27.6| 0.116 |

the correlation between the 3-momentum density of a fluid element away from the center of collision and the baryonic matter density at the center of collision resembles the Newtonian correlation between the position and momentum of a simple pendulum. The phase trajectories for the Gaussian packet configurations are compared with that for the NS configuration in [8]. We observe that these configurations are all attracted to the same attraction basin in the phase diagrams as that of the NS critical solution. We propose this as a preliminary suggestion that the NS critical solution is universal with respect to various 1-parameter families of initial data, and that the NS critical solution constitutes a semi-attractor.

We next measure the power of gravitational radiation emission throughout the dynamical process leading to the semi-attractor, as well as after the formation of the semi-attractor itself. Figure 3 shows the power that is calculated using the quadrupole formula [14]

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{1}{5} Q_{ij}^{(3)} Q_{ij}^{(3)},$$

(2)
Figure 2. Evolution phase diagrams for NS and Gaussian packet systems. The trajectories of all the configurations start on the left part and are attracted to the basin on the right part. \( K \) denotes the trace of the extrinsic curvature at the coordinate \((2.1,0.06,0.06)\) and \( S_z \) denotes the \( z \)-component of the 3-momentum, \( S_z \), of the fluid element on the \( 7.5 \times 10^{-3} \) baryonic density contour along the \( z \)-axis. The natural logarithm is taken of the baryonic density at the center of the grid, i.e. \( \ln \rho_c \), so as to distinguish more clearly the different starting points of the trajectories in the phase diagrams. The trajectories in the \( S_z - \ln \rho_c \) phase diagram start at these different points, but overlap on the \( S_z = 0 \) line up till reaching the basin on the right.

where \( Q_{ij} = \int \rho_e (x^i x^j - \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ij} r^2) \, d^3 x \) and \( \rho_e = \rho(1 + \epsilon) \) with \( \epsilon \) being the specific internal energy density. From this figure we see that the power radiated gradually decreases as the system approaches the formation of the semi-attractor at \( t \approx 110 \), which is the approximate time of merge of the two NSs. The power remains at values very close to zero until \( t \approx 165 \) when it jumps up to positive values that increase with time. These sharp jumps may be due to noise created by finite-differencing the time derivatives of \( Q_{ij} \) [15]. The average of the power throughout the self-attractor dynamics remains very close to zero. This suggests that the semi-attractor is undergoing oscillations that are not damped via gravitational radiation, and thus are likely radial or spherically symmetric pulsations.
To further affirm the sphericity of the NS semi-attractor in a slicing-independent way, we construct a diagnostic spacetime after each successive time interval during the oscillation phase of the critical solution. The diagnostic spacetime is constructed by setting the lapse function to unity, the shift vector to zero, and either the 11- or 33-component of the 3-metric to unity depending on whether physical variables along the \(x\) or \(z\) axis are observed [16]. With these settings, the diagnostic spacetime ensures that the hypersurface is perpendicular to the timelike normal unit vector along either the polar or equatorial direction in the axisymmetric spacetime, and thus being able to eliminate the slicing effect on the oscillations of the object in question along these directions in its spacetime.

Figures 4 and 5 show the baryonic density and specific heat energy density profiles along the polar and equatorial directions, i.e. \(x\) and \(z\) directions, on such a diagnostic spacetime at different evolution times during the oscillation phase of the NS critical solution. From figure 4, we observe an almost full overlap of the baryonic density profiles along the polar and equatorial directions throughout the oscillations of the merged object of the NS critical solution. Figure 5 shows a similar overlap in the specific heat energy density profiles, with the exception of the first panel where there exists a peak in the \(z\)-profile outside of an otherwise approximate full overlap of both profiles. At \(t = 100\), the specific heat energy density is reflecting a shock wave traveling outward in the \(z\) direction during the merge of the NSs and thus showing that the system has not fully settled into the semi-attractor state. Aside from this, the approximate full overlap of the profiles along the polar and equatorial directions in the succeeding panels indicates the near-sphericity of the oscillating NS semi-attractor. As a spherical configuration whose quasi-periodic oscillations are undamped for a relatively long period of time, it is likely a universal configuration in a quasi-stationary state.

3. Phase space properties

In this section, we probe the extent of the NS attraction basin using initial data phase spaces. We note that the newly constructed Gaussian packet initial data possess several additional
degrees of freedom as compared to those available for the NS initial data. These degrees of freedom correspond to the height and width parameters of both the Gaussian density distributions and their 3-metric functions, which can be freely adjusted to yield different initial data when solving the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints.

We first construct an initial data phase space by maintaining the baryonic mass of the system, and varying the ADM masses, the heights and correspondingly the widths of the Gaussian density distributions. For the system with baryonic mass $M_B = 1.6389$ and $d = 27.6$, we observe the range of ADM masses and central baryonic densities at which the system exhibits critical collapse (figure 6). We find that there is a turning point in this phase space at approximately $(\rho_c, M_{\text{ADM}}) = (0.0009, 1.56)$, which corresponds to the NS critical solution. An initial data configuration to the left of the critical surface collapses to a BH and one to the right results in an NS-like object. This is a somewhat surprising behavior since it implies that with all other parameters fixed, when the compactness of the Gaussian packets is increased past the threshold, the system is supported from collapse to a
BH and instead forms an NS-like object. Looking into the dynamics, the configuration with higher compactness produces more shock heating than the one with lower compactness. This increased shock heating is related to the fact that during the merging process, the matter of the more compact packets spreads out across the outer regions of the merger site more than the less compact packets.

On the left side of figure 6, the two Gaussian packets are too diffuse to fit within the computational domain used for this phase space. Therefore, the endpoints on the left side of the critical surface here are a direct consequence of the finiteness of the computational domain. However, beyond the top, bottom and right edges of the critical surface, no critical collapse behavior is observed. Therefore, this critical surface also shows the extent of the NS critical solution attraction basin in terms of ADM masses and central baryonic densities at a certain baryonic mass with a polytropic EOS as mentioned in the introduction. To further explore the extent of the attraction basin, we construct another phase space using the boost velocity and the separation distance between the Gaussian packets. Figure 7 shows two critical surfaces.
Figure 6. The ADM mass–central baryonic density phase space. $M_{\text{ADM}}$ denotes the ADM mass and $\rho_c$ denotes the central baryonic density. BH represents the black hole basin and NS represents the neutron star-like basin. This basin representation holds for all the following phase spaces. The dotted line represents the critical surface that serves as a threshold between the BH and NS basins in this phase space.

Figure 7. The separation distance–boost velocity phase space. $d$ is the center-to-center separation distance between the two Gaussian packets and $v_z$ denotes the boost velocity along the direction of the head-on collision of the Gaussian packets. The dashed and dotted-dashed lines represent two different critical surfaces that serve as the NS–BH threshold and the BH–NS threshold, respectively, as the boost velocity increases.

for the system with $M_B = 1.6389$ and $\rho_c = 8.935 \times 10^{-4}$. The critical surface on the left involves the system being at a fixed separation distance passing the threshold from the NS-like phase into the BH phase and passing back into the NS-like phase through the right critical
surface. This indicates that when the system is given enough kinetic energy, its collapse is also delayed, similar to what is mentioned in the previous paragraph.

Both the critical surfaces end at $d/2 \approx 14$ at the top of the phase space. The bottom extent of the right critical surface is limited when the separation distance between the two Gaussian packets decreases to the point where the packets merge to become one single packet. The total baryonic mass of this single packet exceeds the maximum baryonic mass of an equilibrium TOV configuration with the same EOS with $\kappa = 80$ and $\Gamma = 2$. Consequently, the system collapses into a BH even at zero implosion velocity. We hereafter set the baryonic mass of the system at 1.6, below the maximum baryonic mass. In addition, it is known that the maximum point of the baryonic mass-central baryonic density relation for equilibrium TOV star configurations is not a critical point. Therefore, a study on how the transition occurs across the boundary of the NS critical solution attraction basin is warranted. To do this, we increase the central baryonic density of the Gaussian packets so that it approaches the maximum point, i.e. $\rho_c \approx 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$. For each increase of the central baryonic density, this and all other parameters are then fixed while the implosion velocity is varied. In this transition, the critical point is seen to move monotonically to lower values of the implosion velocity. The oscillations of the critical solution are also seen to decrease in amplitude. The average of the oscillations in the central lapse function, $\alpha_{\text{avg}}$, shifts up, whilst that of the central baryonic density, $\rho_{\text{avg}}$, shifts down, approaching resemblance to the normal mode oscillations of a stable equilibrium TOV star configuration.

At $\rho_c \approx 1.833 \times 10^{-3}$, the threshold between the BH and NS-like phases approaches the point of disappearance. At central densities beyond this value, the system oscillates at the normal mode frequencies of its corresponding stable equilibrium TOV star configuration, for all implosion velocities from $v = 0$ up to $v = 0.8$, a velocity very near the speed of light. However, we observe that the transition point across $\rho_c \approx 1.833 \times 10^{-3}$ itself is characterized by a critical solution between the BH phase and the NS-like phase. Specifically, with all other parameters fixed, when the central baryonic density exceeds this threshold value, the single Gaussian packet settles into an oscillating state about a corresponding stable equilibrium TOV star configuration. When the central baryonic density is less, it collapses into a BH. This behavior is similar to what is observed earlier in figure 6.

We also construct a phase space using the baryonic mass and the ADM mass to compare with the critical surface found for the NS system (figure 8). In this phase space, both systems are set at $d = 27.6$. A turning-point behavior, similar to that seen in figure 6, is observed in both the critical surfaces. We hereby label the ADM mass value where this turning point occurs for the Gaussian critical surface as $M_t$. Another important feature is the existence of a common baryonic mass range but a different and overlapping ADM mass range. The ADM mass of both systems here possesses a one-to-one correspondence with the boost velocity of the two colliding Gaussian packets or NSs. Since the choice of gauge or coordinate system affects the value of the boost velocity, we see that the ADM mass changes when a different choice of gauge is used. The discrepancy in the ADM mass ranges is thus due to the fact that the Gaussian packet system possesses a coordinate system that differs from the NS system, where the Gaussian packet system employs a Gaussian function as the 3-metric of its spacetime and the NS system employs the TOV metric.

However, we observe that a critical surface with a common ADM mass range can also be obtained, indicating that the coordinate system of the Gaussian packet system can be freely adjusted using the additional degrees of freedom mentioned earlier in this section. In fact, a family of critical surfaces can be obtained for the Gaussian packet system in the baryonic mass–ADM mass phase space with a common baryonic mass range. These surfaces also possess $M_t$’s that lie within the ADM mass range indicated in figure 8 by the NS critical
surface, i.e. between 1.56 and 1.6. As baryonic mass is conserved, the overlap in the baryonic mass range of both critical surfaces confirms that Gaussian packet/NS-like systems evolve toward the same critical solutions as the NS system.

4. Critical index properties

Following [8], the critical index, $\gamma$, is calculated using the construct of the departure time of evolution variables for near-critical solutions. When the percent difference between the evolution variable of a near-critical solution and that of the critical solution itself reaches 5%, 20%, etc, we take the time as departure time, $T_{0.05}$, $T_{0.2}$, etc, respectively. For the critical index calculations presented here, we take the lapse at the center of collision as the evolution variable. For each critical point, we find that $T$ is linearly proportionate to $\ln |p - p^*|$, where $p$ is any initial data parameter that we choose to vary while fixing the rest, and $p^*$ is the initial data parameter for the critical solution. In the case of figure 9, the initial data parameter varied is the boost velocity of the Gaussian packets. Straight best fit lines are obtained and the standard deviations of their slopes are plotted as error bars in the figures 10–12. Other errors that affect the numerical results include the error caused by the finite differencing scheme and the error of the exponential law of near-critical solutions leaving the critical solution.

Before going into the properties of the critical index, we check its convergence with respect to the finite-differencing resolution $dx$. Figure 10(a) shows the critical indices for different departure thresholds (defined in the figure 9 caption) at three different resolutions for a sample Gaussian system. At the departure threshold of 20%, figure 10(b) shows an approximate first-order convergence with respect to the resolution, which is in line with
Figure 9. Critical index, $\gamma$, extraction for a Gaussian packet system. The critical index is calculated as the slope of a $T$ versus $\ln|p-p^*|$ line. $5\%$, $20\%$ in the legend label the lines obtained when $T$ is read at departure thresholds, $|\langle p-p^* \rangle|/p^* \times 100\% = 5\%$, $20\%$, respectively. The fact that the two lines in this figure are parallel implies that the critical index value for this system is within the convergence regime with respect to departure thresholds from $5\%$ up to $20\%$.

Figure 10. (a) Variation of the critical index, $\gamma$, with respect to departure threshold at different grid resolutions, $d_x$, for a Gaussian packet system. The critical indices converge to constant values in approximately the same departure threshold range at all three grid resolutions shown. (b) Approximate first-order convergence of the critical index, $\gamma$, with respect to grid resolution for departure threshold $20\%$.

The order of convergence expected for the high-resolution shock capturing total-variation-diminishing (TVD) hydrodynamics scheme being used in our simulations (as detailed in [13]). The grid boundary used for the simulations with resolution $d_x = 0.16$, i.e. 41.0, is slightly larger than that with $d_x = 0.12$ and $d_x = 0.24$, which is at 38.5, due to the restrictions on the number of grid points imposed by the multigrid initial value problem solver. It should be
noted that due to this, the convergence law may not appear as clean as when the grid boundary is strictly fixed.

Now we consider figure 11(a) which shows the baryonic mass dependence of the Gaussian packet critical index compared with that of the NS. Here, we observe that the critical indices for both the Gaussian packet and NS systems increase with the baryonic masses of the systems. This indicates that the time scale of departure from the critical solution decreases as the baryonic mass of the system increases. The overlap of the critical indices of both the Gaussian and the NS systems shows that the NS semi-attractor is universal at least with respect to these two different types of initial data. Figure 11(a) specifically shows that for the same baryonic mass and within a small interval of ADM masses, the Gaussian system produces critical indices that lie within the error bars of those produced by the NS system.

With this observation, we next attempt to determine whether the critical index has a 1- or 2-parameter dependence. In particular, we determine whether it solely depends on the baryonic mass, or whether it depends on both the baryonic mass and the gravitational energy present in the system. Taking note of the fact that the critical surface in the ADM mass-central baryonic density phase space (figure 6) is obtained by fixing the baryonic mass of the system, we study how the critical indices change along this surface. Figure 11(b) shows the continuous variation of the critical index along this critical surface with respect to the ADM mass while fixing the baryonic mass at 1.6389. The solid line is a fit of the averages of the indices obtained at departure thresholds 5% and 20%. In addition, at $M_{\text{ADM}} \approx 1.5525$, the critical surface in figure 6 exhibits a slight turn upward. The ‘scatter’ in the critical index values into a separate branch on the left of figure 11(b) reflects this slight turn upward of the critical surface. With this separate branch, the Gaussian packet system that undergoes critical collapse possesses the same ADM mass for two different compactness values. In other words, we see a direct one-to-one correspondence between the critical index and the compactness of the system.

Figure 11. (a) Comparison of the critical indices, $\gamma$’s, between the NS and Gaussian packet systems at different baryonic masses. The stars and boxes respectively indicate critical index values calculated for the Gaussian packet system at the departure thresholds mentioned in the legend, as for figures 11(b)–12. The solid line is a fit of the baryonic mass dependence of the critical index for the NS system. (b) Variation of the critical index, $\gamma$, with respect to ADM mass for baryonic mass 1.6389. The solid line is a fit of the averages of critical indices calculated for the two departure thresholds indicated by the legend.
It is important to note that this variation of the critical index with respect to the ADM mass for a fixed baryonic mass is also manifested in the NS system. Taking the baryonic mass of 1.65, we extract the critical index for the critical points on both branches 1 and 2 of the NS system’s critical surface in figure 8. In accordance with figure 11(b), we find a discrepancy between these critical indices that is bigger than their error bars. On branch 1 of the critical surface with this baryonic mass, the critical index obtained is $10.9 \pm 0.1$ at departure threshold 5% and $10.84 \pm 0.08$ at departure threshold 20%, whilst on branch 2, the critical index is found to be $10.26 \pm 0.06$ at departure threshold 5% and $10.24 \pm 0.07$ at departure threshold 20%. Described differently, the critical points on branches 1 and 2 of the critical surface represent two different phase thresholds, respectively. These observations in both the Gaussian packet and NS systems, of the dependence of the critical index on the gravitational energy while fixing the baryonic mass, suggest that the critical index has a 2-parameter dependence.

To provide further verification of this 2-parameter dependence, we consider ruling out the scenario where for the same baryonic mass, the Gaussian packet systems possess the same gravitational energy at late time when the semi-attractor state has been reached. It is important to consider this scenario since it is commonly known that equilibrium configurations, such as TOV stars, with the same baryonic mass and EOS, possess at most two different gravitational energies, one for the stable configuration and the other for the unstable configuration. We check the values of the baryonic mass and the gravitational energy of the Gaussian packet system after the formation of the merged object for two configurations on the ADM mass–central baryonic density phase space. For the configurations with $M_{\text{ADM}} = 1.5530$ and $M_{\text{ADM}} = 1.5868$, we look at the variation of these values with respect to grid resolution. The ADM masses of these two configurations do not correspond to that of any pair of stable–unstable equilibrium TOV configurations for the polytropic EOS with $\kappa = 80$ and $\Gamma = 2$.

For the purpose of checking late-time values, we take the averages of the gravitational masses, $\langle M_G \rangle$, measured by

$$\langle M_G \rangle = \left( \int \rho^2 + \frac{1}{15\pi} \left( \lambda_{ij} \lambda^{ij} - \frac{2}{3} K^2 - 4 \gamma \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\gamma} \, d^3 x,$$

on the finite computational grid over the period of two oscillations in the lapse function at the center of collision. In this equation, $\Psi = e^\phi$ with $\phi$ being the conformal factor in the $3 + 1$ BSSN split, and $\lambda_{ij}$, $\lambda^{ij}$, $K$, $\gamma$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ are standard spacetime evolution variables in the $3 + 1$ BSSN formalism.

At the highest resolution used in this paper, i.e. at $\Delta x = 0.1$, we observe that the $\langle M_B \rangle$ values for the configurations reach to $1.634 \pm 1 \times 10^{-4}$ and $1.635 \pm 2 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively. Since the baryonic masses of the initial data have been set to the same value up to the 11th decimal, we expect the $\langle M_B \rangle$’s to converge to a small value band with a width on the order of 0.001. The baryonic mass is conserved to the level of less than 1% up to the time of formation and first two oscillations of the merged object. In addition, we note the randomness of the variations beyond the 11th decimal in the baryonic masses of the different initial data. Also, the small variations in the $\langle M_B \rangle$ values as the resolution is increased do not follow any systematic trend. On the other hand, the $\langle M_G \rangle$ value for the more compact configuration (with ADM mass 1.5530) reaches to $1.38 \pm 0.001$, whilst for the less compact configuration reaches to $1.41 \pm 0.001$. With the $\langle M_G \rangle$’s we clearly see a systematic trend when the resolution is increased, where the $\langle M_G \rangle$ for a more compact configuration is consistently and markedly smaller than that for a less compact configuration.

The convergence studies of the critical indices are then performed to determine the robustness of the 2-parameter dependence of the critical index in terms of numerical accuracy. We test the convergence with respect to grid resolution and boundary location for the critical
indices of two different configurations on the phase space in figure 6. Both grid resolution and boundary location affect the Hamiltonian and momentum constraint violations during the evolution in our simulations. Hence, convergence tests are carried out for grid resolution and boundary location separately so as to isolate the numerical errors caused by each of these factors.

For the same two configurations mentioned in the previous paragraph, figure 12(a) shows the convergence of the critical indices with respect to grid resolution at a fixed boundary location. Within the capacity of the computational resources available to us, the grid resolutions of $d_x = 0.1$, $d_x = 0.12$ and $d_x = 0.24$ are used in this figure to ensure that the boundary location is fixed at $x = 38.5$. They converge to different values, similar to the $\langle M_G \rangle$’s, in roughly a first-order manner, in line with the order of convergence with respect to grid resolution seen earlier in figure 10(b). Since the fit lines in figure 12(a) exhibit a diverging character when resolution tends to infinity, we expect that in the limit of infinite resolution, the critical indices for different ADM masses deviate significantly beyond their error bars.

Figure 12(b) shows the convergence of the critical indices for two configurations with ADM masses 1.5530 and 1.5536, with respect to boundary location at the resolution of $d_x = 0.12$. These are two neighboring configurations on the figure 6 phase space that possess density distributions covered by grid sizes within the computational capacity available to us. The critical indices for even these two neighboring configurations exhibit convergence to values that differ beyond their error bars. This is indicated as $x$ increases from approximately 38 onward, the critical indices averaged from the 5% and 20% departure threshold calculations, together with their corresponding errorbars, show an approximate asymptotic behavior at different values.

Putting aside the ambiguous physical meaning of $\langle M_G \rangle$, the above observations imply that when a large portion of numerical errors are eliminated, the critical index depends on both the baryonic mass of the system and its gravitational energy with its corresponding binding energy present in the physical system. This indicates that NS semi-attractors can be labeled
by both the baryonic mass and the gravitational energy of the system. Since the oscillating NS semi-attractor is a state that theoretically lasts for an indefinite amount of time, there is a possibility that it is in a type of time-dependent equilibrium. With multiple ADM masses for each baryonic mass in this probable equilibrium state, a type of self-gravitating object previously unknown could exist. However, further work will be needed to rigorously ascertain that the NS semi-attractor is indeed in such time-dependent equilibrium.

5. Conclusions

In this work, we confirm that the NS critical solution constitutes a semi-attractor for 1-parameter families of NS-like initial data. In measuring the power of the gravitational radiation emission throughout the critical collapse dynamics, and using a sphericity diagnostic spacetime, the object undergoing the oscillations is found to be indeed very nearly spherical, thus reinforcing the notion that it is a universal configuration.

The phase space properties of the NS critical solution are then analyzed, and interesting behavior is found. We employ the additional matter and spacetime degrees of freedom available in the new NS-like configurations, to construct phase spaces that otherwise are not possible for the NS system. This is due to the unique characterization of NSs merely by their central baryonic densities via the TOV equations. In these phase spaces, we find turning points with interesting two-threshold features, indicating that when the kinetic energy exceeds a certain threshold, the collapse into a BH is delayed and an NS-like object is formed. This support from collapse is also seen when the compactness exceeds a threshold.

Apart from these surprising observations, the extent of the critical surfaces in these phase spaces gives an indication of the size of the attraction basin of the NS critical solution. For a certain baryonic mass, we determine the range of central densities and ADM masses of configurations that exhibit critical gravitational collapses. This will help give an approximation of the range of NS or NS-like configurations that can exhibit critical phenomena.

Additionally, this work explores the boundaries of the attraction basin of the NS critical solution by constructing a phase space using the separation distance and the ADM mass of the new NS-like system. In decreasing the separation distance, we move toward an initial configuration that consists of a single NS-like object with a varying implosion velocity. Fixing the baryonic mass at a slightly lower value than the maximum baryonic mass allowable for a single equilibrium TOV configuration with $\kappa = 80$ and $\Gamma = 2$, we find that there exists a boundary, where the threshold approaches the point of disappearance when we increase the central baryonic density toward the maximum baryonic mass point.

Finally, via the analysis of the critical index of the NS critical solution, we find that the time scale of departure from the critical solution depends almost proportionately on the baryonic mass of the system. With the support of a series of convergence tests, the interesting observation is that the critical index of the NS critical solution exhibits a dependence on both the baryonic mass and the ADM mass of the system, i.e. a 2-parameter dependence. This might be a hint of the existence of a type of time-dependent equilibrium with multiple ADM masses for each baryonic mass. Further work to prove such time-dependent equilibrium in NS critical gravitational collapses will be required.
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