Epithelial-derived exosomes promote M2 macrophage polarization via Notch2/SOCS1 during mechanical ventilation
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Abstract. Alveolar macrophages (AMs) play an essential role in ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI). Exosomes and their cargo, including microRNAs (miRNAs/miRs) serve as regulators of the intercellular communications between macrophages and epithelial cells (Ecs), and are involved in maintaining homeostasis in lung tissue. The present study found that exosomes released by Ecs subjected to cyclic stretching promoted M2 macrophage polarization. It was demonstrated that miR-21a-5p, upregulated in epithelial-derived exosomes, increased the percentage of M2 macrophages by suppressing the expression of Notch2 and the suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOcS1). The overexpression of Notch2 decreased the percentage of M2 macrophages. However, these effects were reversed by the downregulation of SOcS1. The percentage of M2 macrophages was increased in both short-term high- and low-tidal-volume mechanical ventilation, and the administration of exosomes-derived from cyclically stretched Ecs had the same function. However, the administration of miR-21a-5p antagonir decreased M2 macrophage activation induced by cyclically stretched Ecs or ventilation. Thus, the present study demonstrates that the intercellular transferring of exosomes from Ecs to AMs promotes M2 macrophage polarization. Exosomes may prove to be a novel treatment for VILI.

Introduction

Mechanical ventilation is the main respiratory support provided for patients with lung injury or those under general anesthesia. However, ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI), involving inflammation, alveolar barrier disruption and hypertonic pulmonary edema, is a common complication. Pro-inflammatory factors (1-3) and macrophages (4) play a key role in VILI (5). Alveolar macrophages (AMs) and alveolar epithelial cells (Ecs) are the most critical cells for maintaining lung homeostasis (6,7). AMs are the major immune cells in lung tissue (8), suppressing inflammatory responses at homeostasis by releasing anti-inflammatory mediators, and play a crucial role in the inflammation progress in the lungs (9). Macrophages can be classified into pro-inflammatory M1 macrophages and anti-inflammatory M2 macrophages according to environmental stimuli (10). Alveolar Ecs represent physical barrier that protects from external harmful substances. It has been demonstrated that the maintenance of homeostasis in the lungs is largely attributed to the interaction between Ecs and macrophages (11). AMs adhere to Ecs through cd200R, Pd1 and their ligands cd 200 and PdL1 on Ecs. These protein interactions suppress the activation of AMs. In a steady state, Ecs maintain macrophages in a quiescent state. In addition, Ecs regulate the immune response and AM functions by secreting multiple mediators. Moreover, Ecs participate in the recruitment and regulation of macrophages (12,13). Ecs are also involved in mechanotransduction and the inflammatory response during mechanical ventilation (14,15). Thus, the interactions between AMs and Ecs may serve as a novel therapeutic strategy for mechanical-induced lung injury.

The interactions between Ecs and AMs include gap junctions (16), the secretion of molecules (17) and exosomes (7). Exosomes are small (20-200 nm in diameter) single-membrane
vesicles, which have been considered as a novel communication mechanism of intercellular due to transfer microRNAs (miRNAs/miRs), DNAs, lipids and proteins, and have various biological functions via autocrine and paracrine mechanisms (18). miRNAs are small non-coding RNAs that can induce the degradation or transcriptional repression of target genes (19). miRNAs play crucial roles in cell differentiation, proliferation, migration and apoptosis. Numerous studies have demonstrated that miRNAs play an essential role in lung diseases. miRNAs, such as miR-155, miR-26a, miR-21a-5p, miR-223, etc., have been shown to be involved in lung homeostasis and development (20). The inhibition of miR-221 has been found to ameliorate lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced lung injury (21), and miR-127 has been shown to be involved in VILI through the NF-κB and p38MAPK signaling pathways (22). Notably, miR-21a-5p, as one of the most crucial miRNAs, has been defined as a biomarker and therapeutic target (26). Exosomal miRNAs can mediate intercellular communications between several types of cells, which have been reported to influence biological pathways and further alter cellular functions (27). Exosomal miR-30d-5p-derived miRNAs have been reported to influence biological pathways and further cell-cell communications (28). In addition, miR-21a-5p has been shown to be involved in the occurrence and development of various lung diseases (23,24), including asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and acute lung injury (ALI). It has been demonstrated that miR-21a-5p negatively regulates the inflammatory response by interacting with immune cells in LPS-induced ALI (25). In addition, miR-21a-5p has been defined as a biomarker and therapeutic target (26). Exosomal miRNAs can mediate intercellular communications between several types of cells, which have been reported to influence biological pathways and further alter cellular functions (27). Exosomal miR-30d-5p-derived miRNAs have been shown to activate macrophages by regulating the NF-κB signaling pathway and suppressing PTEN (29). However, the role of exosomes in the epithelial-macroage interaction in mechanical ventilation has not yet been fully elucidated.

Considering the functions of exosomes, the present study, aimed to determine whether exosomes-derived from ECs subjected to cyclic stretching (CS) can regulate macroage polarization. In addition, miRNA intervention was used to reveal the specific underlying mechanisms. The findings presented herein provide novel information on the effects of EC-derived exosomal miRNAs on the polarization of macrophages, which may be used as a potential therapeutic approach for lung injury during mechanical ventilation.

Materials and methods

Ethics approval. All procedures for the animal experiments were approved (approval no. 2406) by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology (Wuhan, China).

Bone marrow-derived macrophage (BMDM) isolation. Male C57BL/6 mice (7 weeks old) were obtained from Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology. BMDMs were isolated from 7 male C57BL/6 mice (7 weeks old; weight, 21±2 g). In brief, mice were sacrificed using an overdose of 2% sodium pentobarbital (100 mg/kg) administered by intraperitoneal injection. Following sacrifice, the muscle was trimmed and the bone marrow cells were flushed from the bone shafts with Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)-1640 medium (cat. no. 11875093; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and centrifuged (500 x g, 4°C, 5 min). Subsequently, 5 ml erythrocyte lysis buffer (cat. no. BL503A, Biosharp) were added, followed by 10 ml RPMI-1640 medium. The mixture was then centrifuged (500 x g, 4°C, 5 min). The cells were filtered through a 70-µm strainer and incubated at 37°C for 7 days in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, cat. no. 10100147; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

The macrophages were incubated with macroage colony-stimulating factor (10 ng/ml, cat. no. 416-ML-050, R&D Systems, Inc.) to activate the M0 macrophages. Half the medium was replaced every 2 days.

Cells and cell culture. Raw264.7 cells (mouse macrophages) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). MLE-12 cells (mouse lung ECs) were obtained from the Department of Thoracic Surgery, Nanjing Medical University Affiliated Cancer Hospital, Cancer Institute of Jiangsu Province. The Raw264.7 cells were cultured in dMEM (cat. no. 11965092; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) with 10% FBS and the MLE-12 were cultured in DMEM/F12 (cat. no. 11330032; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) with 10% FBS. THP1 cells (human monocytes) were purchased from ATCC and cultured in RPMI-1640 with 10% FBS. All three types of cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂.

CS of cells. MLE-12 cells were seeded onto fibronectin-coated (10 µg/cm²) flexible silastic membranes (5.0x10⁵ cells/well) with 10% exosome-depleted FBS (cat. no. AB-FBS-ED-0500, ABW GmbH), and divided into the following groups: i) The non-CS group (non-CS); ii) and the CS group. In the CS group, the cells were subjected to CS for 6 h, using the Flexercell Tension Plus TM FX-5000T system (Flexcell International) set at 20% elongation with 30 cycles/min as previously described (30).

Treatment of macrophages. BMDM or Raw264.7 macrophages were seeded in 6-well plates (5.0x10⁵ cells/well), grown to 70-80% confluence, and divided into the following groups: i) The non-CS-exo (incubated with exosomes, which were isolated from non-CS group medium); and ii) the CS-exo group (incubated with exosomes isolated from CS group medium). The cells were treated with non-CS-exo or CS-exo (100 µg/ml), as previously described (28) for 12 h.

Cell transfection. Raw264.7 macrophages were transfected with miR-21a-5p mimic or negative control (NC) (100 nM, synthesized by Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd.) using HiPerFect transfection reagent (cat. no. 301707; Qiagen GmbH). The miR-21a-5p mimic/NC sequences were: miR-21a-5p mimic sense, 5'-UAG cUU AUc AGA cUG AUG UUG A-3' and anti-sense, 5'- cAG UAc UUU UGU GUA GUA AcU G-3'. Raw264.7 macrophages were also transfected with SOSC1 siRNA or NC (100 nM, synthesized by Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd.) for 21 h and then treated with Jagged-1 (JAG; 20 ng/ml, cat. no. abl09346, Abcam) for 3 h. The SOSC1 siRNA/NC target sequences were: SOSC1 siRNA, 5'-CTA
CCTGAGTCTCTTCCCC-3’; SOCS1 NC, 5’-TTCTCGAAA CGTGTACGCTT-3’. Raw264.7 macrophages were transfected with SOCS1 siRNA or NC at 37°C for 24 h. The results of the cell transfection efficiency with miR-21a-5p mimic and SOCS1 siRNA are presented in Fig. S1A and B.

Raw264.7 were transfected with miR-21a-5p inhibitor or NC (100 nM, Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd.) using HiPerFect transfection reagent (cat. no. 301707, Qiagen GmbH) 24 h before co-culturing with exosomes derived from ECs subjected to CS. Raw264.7 cells were transfected with miR-21a-5p inhibitor or NC at 37°C for 24 h. The miR-21a-5p inhibitor/NC sequences were: miR-21a-5p inhibitor, 5’-UcA AcA UcA GUc UGA UAA NC on 301707; Qiagen GmbH) at 37°C for 24 h. ThP1 cells were then transfected with miR-21a-5p mimic or NC (100 nM, Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd.) using HiPerFect transfection reagent (cat. no. 301707; Qiagen GmbH) at 37°C for 48 h.

The reactions were performed in triplicate at 95°C for 30 sec, 95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 30 sec; followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 30 sec; and 95°C for 15 sec.

The TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-10 levels in cell culture supernatants were assessed using TNF-α (cat. no. EMc102a.96), IL-1β (cat. no. EMc001b.96), IL-6 (cat. no. EMc004.96) and IL-10 (cat. no. EMc005.96) (all from Neobioscience Technology Co., Ltd.) ELISA kits following the manufacturer's protocols. The activity of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) was detected using an LDH Activity Assay kit (cat. no. E-BC-K046-M, Elabscience Biotechnology, Inc.).

Cells in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF). A total of 279 male C57BL/6 mice (8 weeks old) were obtained from Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology. They were raised in a specific pathogen-free environment at 23±2°C with a relative humidity of 40-60%, 12-h light/dark cycle. The mice were provided with free access to food and water.

A total of 63 male C57BL/6 mice (weight, 21±2 g) were randomly divided into three groups as follows: The control (ctrl), low-tidal-volume ventilation (LVt, Vt=8 ml/kg) for 2 h,
and high-tidal-volume ventilation (HtVt, Vt=30 ml/kg) for 2 h. At the end of ventilation, the mice were sacrificed using an overdose of 2% sodium pentobarbital (100 mg/kg). The lungs were exposed and BALF was collected with 1 ml pre-cooled PBS (cat. no. BL302A, Biosharp) through a tracheal cannula and repeated three times. BALF from 3 mice was collected into a 50 ml centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 1,000 x g for 5 min at 4°C to collect cells and analyzed by flow cytometry. The lung tissues were collected for further analysis.

**Exosome administration in vivo.** To explore the function of exosome in vivo, a total of 51 male C57BL/6 mice were randomly divided into three groups as follows: The control (ctrl), non-CS-exo and CS-exo. The mice were administrated with non-CS-exo or CS-exo (300 µg/mouse) (28) through a tracheal cannula. After 24 h, the animals were sacrificed with an overdose of 2% sodium pentobarbital, and the lung tissues were then collected. The cells in BALF were collected according to the methods described above and analyzed using a LSRFortessa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).

A total of 60 male C57BL/6 mice were randomly divided into two groups as follows: The CS-exo + NC and CS-exo + anti-miR-21a-5p groups. For miR-21a-5p inhibition, the miR-21a-5p antagonist (Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd.) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the mice were administered miR-21a-5p antagonist or NC (2.5 nM/mouse) 24 h prior to the challenged with CS-exo (300 µg/mouse) through a tracheal cannula.

**Animal treatment.** A total of 45 male C57BL/6 mice were randomly divided into five groups as follows: The ctrl + NC, LtVt + NC, LtVt + anti-miR-21a-5p, HtVt + NC and HtVt + anti-miR-21a-5p groups. The mice were administered miR-21a-5p antagonist or NC (2.5 nM/mouse) 24 h prior to the administration of LtVt or HtVt. Following ventilation for 2 h, the mice were sacrificed using an overdose of 2% sodium pentobarbital (100 mg/kg) and the cells in BALF were collected according to the methods described above.

A total of 60 male C57BL/6 mice were randomly divided into four groups as follows: The PBS + NC, JAG + NC, PBS + miR-21a-5p agomir and JAG + miR-21a-5p agomir groups. The miR-21a-5p agomir or NC (Guangzhou RiboBio Corporation, China) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Mice were administrated with miR-21a-5p agomir or NC (2.5 nM/mouse) 24 h and sacrificed with an overdose of 2% sodium pentobarbital, then collected the lung tissues and the cells in BALF according to the methods described above. The mice were administrated miR-21a-5p agomir or NC (2.5 nM/mouse) 24 h prior to the challenge with JAG (0.5 mg/kg) or PBS through a tracheal cannula. Following the challenge with JAG for 1 h, the mice were sacrificed as described above, and the BALF and lung tissues were collected for further analysis.

**Dual-luciferase reporter assays.** TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/vert_71/) assays were used to identify potential miR-21a-5p targets. In this website, the species ‘mouse’ was selected, the microRNA name ‘miR-21-5p’ was entered and the ‘submit’ button was then clicked. Target genes were then screened according to ‘Cumulative weighted context ++ score’ and ‘Total context ++ score’. 29T cells (obtained from ATCC) at 70-80% confluence was co-transfected with wild-type or mutant Notch2 3'-UTR (i.e., with a mutated binding site) luciferase plasmids (50 ng, pmiR-RB-m-Notch2-WT or pmiR-RB-m-Notch2-MUT, respectively; Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd.) and miR-21a-5p mimic or NC (50 nM, Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd.) using HiPerFect transfection reagent. The Firefly luciferase activity was employed as the reference. Following incubation for 48 h at 37°C, the cells were assessed using a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega Corporation) with a Glomax96 spectrophotometer (Promega Corporation). The cell transfection efficiency with miR-21a-5p mimic is presented in Fig. S2C.

**Western blot analysis.** Proteins were extracted from lung tissues or cultured cells using radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (cat. no. P0013B, Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) with protease inhibitors (1:50, cat. no. 04693159001, Roche Diagnostics) and phosphatase inhibitors (1:50, cat. no. 04906837001, Roche Diagnostics). Protein concentrations were measured with a bicinchoninic acid assay kit (cat. no. 23227, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Subsequently, 20 μg proteins were subjected to gel electrophoresis using 10% SDS-PAGE and electrophoretically transferred onto a PVDF membrane, then blocked with 5% BSA (cat. no. BS114-100g, Biospark) for 1 h at room temperature. The membranes were then incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C: Notch2 (1:1,000, cat. no. 5732, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), SOCS1 (1:500, cat. no. sc-518028, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), GAPDH (1:10,000, cat. no. AC002, ABclonal Biotech Co., Ltd.), CD9 (1:400, cat. no. sc-13118, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), CD63 (1:500, cat. no. A19023, ABclonal Biotech Co., Ltd.), CD81 (1:400; cat. no. sc-166029, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS; 1:1,000, cat. no. A0312, ABclonal Biotech Co., Ltd.), TNF-α (1:2,000, cat. no. 60291-1-lg, ProteinTech Group, Inc.), IL-6 (1:1,000, cat. no. 21865-1-AP, ProteinTech Group, Inc.), IL-1β (1:1,000, cat. no. 66737-1-lg, ProteinTech Group, Inc.), arginase 1 (Arg1; 1:5,000, cat. no. 16001-1-AP, ProteinTech Group, Inc.), CD206 (1:1,000, cat. no. 18704-1-AP, ProteinTech Group, Inc.), IL-10 (1:500, cat. no. 60291-1-lg, ProteinTech Group, Inc.), or β-actin (1:10,000, cat. no. AC026, ABclonal Biotech Co., Ltd.) and then incubated with goat anti-rabbit IgG HRP (1:5,000, cat. no. BL010A, Biospark) or goat anti-mouse IgG HRP (1:5,000, cat. no. BL008A, Biospark) antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Images were captured using the UVP EC3 imaging system (Analytik Jena US LLC Co., Ltd.) and analyzed using ImageJ v1.52v software (National Institutes of Health).

**Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP).** After the BMDMs were treated with non-CS-exo or CS-exo, Co-IP was performed. Briefly, cells were lysed in RIPA lysis buffer (cat. no. P0013B, Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology), with protease inhibitors (1:50, cat. no. 04693159001, Roche Diagnostics) and phosphatase inhibitors (1:50, cat. no. 04906837001, Roche Diagnostics) for 30 min on ice. Following centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 15 min 4°C, the 1/10 volume of protein sample was isolated...
as input, and half of the protein sample was incubated with 20 μl/ml protein A/G-beads (cat. no. 1614813, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) at 4°C for 30 min. Following centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 15 min 4°C, 1 μg Notch2 antibody or rabbit control IgG (cat. no. AC005, ABDonal Biotech Co., Ltd.) was co-incubated with the remaining sample at 4°C for 1 h with gentle rotation. Subsequently, 20 μl beads were added and incubated overnight at 4°C and the beads were then extracted and washed three times using RIPA buffer, and the bound proteins were boiled in 2X Laemmli buffer (cat. no. 1610737, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Finally, the purified proteins were examined using western blot analysis.

**Immunofluorescence.** Raw264.7 macrophages were treated with non-cS-exo or cS-exo, washed twice with pre-cooled PBS, and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C for 30 min. After blocking with 5% BSA (cat. no. BS114-100g, Biosharp) at room temperature for 1 h, the cells were incubated with SOCS1 (1:100, cat. no. sc-518028, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and Notch2 (1:100, cat. no. 5732, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) antibodies overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with Dylight 549, goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:200, cat. no. A23320, Abbkine Scientific Co., Ltd.) and Dylight 488, goat anti-mouse IgG (1:200, cat. no. A23210, Abbkine Scientific Co., Ltd.) at room temperature for 1 h on a horizontal shaker. Nuclei were stained with DAPI at room temperature for 5 min and images were captured using a fluorescence microscope (magnification, x400, Olympus Corporation).

**mRNA library construction and sequencing.** The MGISEQ2000 platform (BGI-Shenzhen) was applied to sequence the final ligation PCR products. i) RNA extraction: RNA was extracted from BMDMs treated with non-cS-exo or cS-exo using the RNeasy Mini kit (cat. no. 74104, Qiagen GmbH) according to the manufacturer’s protocols, and 1.3 μg/sample total RNA was used for the construction of the sequencing libraries. Subsequently, total RNA was qualified and quantified using a NanoDrop and Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). ii) mRNA library construction: mRNA molecules were purified with oligo (dT)-attached magnetic beads. The resulting mRNAs were cut into small sections with fragmentation reagent. First-strand cDNA was generated using random hexamer-primed reverse transcription, followed by a second-strand cDNA synthesis. The synthesized cDNA was subjected to end-repair and then was 3′ adenylated. Adapters were ligated to the ends of these 3′ adenylated cDNA fragments, and the cDNA fragments were then amplified and products were purified with Ampure XP Beads (Agenecourt, cat. no. A63882, Beckman Coulter, Inc.), and dissolved in EB solution. For quality control, the library was validated on an Agilent Technologies 2100 bioanalyzer. Following heat denaturation, the double-stranded PCR products were circularized with the splint oligo sequence. The single-strand circle DNA (ssCir DNA) were formatted as the final library. The library was amplified with phi29 to yield DNA nanoball (DNB) with a molecular copy number >300. The DNBS were loaded into the patterned nanoarray, and the method of combinatorial Probe-Anchor Synthesis (cPAS) was used to generated single end 50 (pair end 100/150) bases reads. iii) Bioinformatics workflow: Bioinformatics was completed at BGI-Shenzhen. The detailed protocols were provided and demonstrated at the BGI website: http://www.bgitechsolutions.com/). The sequencing data were filtered with SOAPnuke (v1.5.2) as follows: a) Reads containing sequencing adapter were removed; b) reads with low-quality base ratio (base quality ≤5) >20% were removed; c) reads with an unknown base (‘N’ base) ratio >5% were removed, and clean reads were then obtained and stored in FASTQ format. The clean reads were mapped to the reference genome using HISAT2 (v2.0.4) and aligned to the reference coding gene set using Bowtie2 (v2.2.5), and RSEM (v1.2.12) was then used to calculate the expression levels of genes. Essentially, differential expression analysis was performed using the DESeq2 (v1.4.5) with a Q value ≤0.05. Pheatmap (v1.0.8) was used to draw the heatmap according to the expression of gene in different samples. The obtained novel genes have been deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus Archive (accession no. GSE200932). Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (https://www.kegg.jp/) enrichment analysis of annotated differentially expressed genes was performed.

**Flow cytometry.** Cells in BALF, Raw264.7 or BMDMs were passed through a 100-μm cell strainer, resuspended in fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) buffer (0.5% FBS and 1 ml of 2 mM EDTA in PBS), followed by Fc Block (0.5 μg/ml, cat. no. 553141, BD Biosciences) at room temperature for 10 min. The cells were then stained with the following antibodies for 30 min: Fixable Viability Stain 510 (1:200, cat. no. 564406, BD Biosciences), APC-Cy7 CD45 (1:200, cat. no. 561037, BD Biosciences), V450 anti-CD11b (1:200, cat. no. 560456, BD Biosciences), phycoerythrin (PE) anti-F4/80 (1:400, cat. no. 565410, BD Biosciences), BB700 anti-CD11c (1:200, cat. no. 566505, BD Biosciences) and Alexa Fluor® 647 anti-CD206 (1:100, cat. no. 560456, BD Biosciences). The cells were incubated with antibodies at 4°C in the dark and then analyzed using a BD LSRFortessa flow cytometer. Data were analyzed using FlowJo software (v10, TreeStar, Inc.).

**Statistical analysis.** Data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Means were compared between two groups using a two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used among multiple groups followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. The expression of mRNAs or miRNAs was log-transformed. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. All calculations were performed using GraphPad Prism 7.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc.).

**Results**

**Exosomes-derived from CS-treated ECs transferred miR-21a-5p to macrophages.** Alveolar ECs are involved in mechanotransduction during mechanical ventilation (15). Thus, the present study subjected MLE-12 cells to CS for 0 and 6 h. No marked differences were observed in the levels of TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1β and LDH (Fig. S3). However, CS increased miR-21a-5p expression in MLE-12 cells (Fig. S4). Thus, CS did not result in significant changes in ECs, apart from the increase in miR-21a-5p expression in ECs.
As inflammatory cytokine levels were not significantly altered by subjecting the MLE-12 cells to CS, the medium of MLE-12 cells was collected after CS, and exosomes were isolated (Fig. 1A-C) and then labeled with PKH26. The labeled exosomes were engulfed by macrophages following incubation with macrophages for 12 h (Fig. 1D). Exosomal miR-21a-5p expression (contained within the exosomes) was also increased in the exosomes derived from CS-exo compared with non-CS group cells (Fig. 1E). miR-21a-5p was particularly upregulated compared to other miRNAs related to lung injury, such as miR-155-5p (33), miR-181a-5p (34), miR-200c-3p (35), miR-221-3p (21), miR-429-3p (36) (Fig. 1E). In addition, the levels of miR-21a-5p in macrophages was increased in the CS-exo group compared with the non-CS-exo group (Fig. 1F).
To verify whether exosomal miR-21a-5p could be taken up by macrophages, macrophages were cultured with PKH26-labeled exosomes-derived from ECs transfected with FAM-miR-21a-5p mimic prior to CS, and FAM-miR-21a-5p signals (green) were detected in the macrophages’ cytoplasm, colocalizing with PKH26 (red) (Fig. S5). These results demonstrated that ECs treated with CS could secrete exosomes carrying high levels of miR-21a-5p, and transfer this to macrophages.

Exosomes from CS-treated ECs promote M2 polarization. M1 macrophages have been implicated in several inflammatory conditions (37), while M2 macrophages exhibit anti-inflammatory or reparative functions (38,39). Distinct macrophage phenotypes contribute to lung inflammation or resolution (40). In the present study, CS did not induce notable changes in MLE-12 cells apart from the increase in miR-21a-5p expression. Exosomes were derived from CS-treated ECs (CS-exo) containing high levels miR-21a-5p, and the present study then determined whether 12 h of incubation with CS-exo induced macrophage inflammation in BMDMs. No marked differences were observed in the levels of IL-6 or TNF-α in the exosome-treated BMDMs (Fig. 2A); however, the levels of IL-10 increased in the CS-exo group compared with the non-CS-exo group (Fig. 2A). Flow cytometry revealed that the percentage of M2 macrophages (CD11c+CD206-) decreased, while that of M1 macrophages (CD11c+CD206+) increased, in the CS-exo group (Fig. 2B and C). The levels of M1 and M2 macrophage markers detected using RT-qPCR (Fig. 2D and E) were basically consistent with the flow cytometry results. In addition, the protein expression levels of M1 macrophage-related markers (iNOS, TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1β) and M2 macrophage-related markers (Arg1, CD206 and IL-10) in BMDMs in the non-CS-exo and CS-exo groups were examined using western blot analysis. The protein expression levels of iNOS, TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1β were decreased in the CS-exo group compared with the non-CS-exo group (Fig. 2A). Flow cytometry revealed that the percentage of M2 macrophages (CD11c+CD206-) decreased, while that of M1 macrophages (CD11c+CD206+) increased, in the CS-exo group (Fig. 2B and C). The levels of M1 and M2 macrophage markers detected using RT-qPCR (Fig. 2D and E) were basically consistent with the flow cytometry results. In addition, the protein expression levels of M1 macrophage-related markers (iNOS, TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1β) and M2 macrophage-related markers (Arg1, CD206 and IL-10) in BMDMs in the non-CS-exo and CS-exo groups were examined using western blot analysis. The protein expression levels of iNOS, TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1β were decreased in the CS-exo group compared with the non-CS-exo group, while the expression levels of Arg1, CD206 and IL-10 were increased in the CS-exo group (Fig. 2F-H). CS-exo also increased the M2 macrophage polarization of RAW264.7 cells compared to non-CS-exo (Fig. 2I and J). However, the percentage of M2 macrophages decreased following the inhibition of miR-21a-5p prior to co-culturing with exosomes, while the percentage of M1 macrophages increased (Fig. 2K and L). These data indicated that CS-treated EC-derived exosomal miR-21a-5p promoted M2 macrophage polarization.

Exosomal miR-21a-5p from CS-treated ECs targets Notch2 to regulate macrophage polarization. To determine the exact mechanisms by which miR-21a-5p induced macrophage polarization, TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/vert_71/) assays were used to identify potential miR-21a-5p targets, which led to the identification of Notch2. As the dual-luciferase reporter assays revealed, when wild-type Notch2 3'-UTR was used with the plasmid, miR-21a-5p overexpression decreased the relative luciferase activity (Fig. 3A); however, no significant change was observed when the miR-21a-5p binding site in the Notch2 3'-UTR was mutated. Moreover, miR-21a-5p mimic downregulated the expression of Notch2 in RAW264.7 macrophages at 24 h following transfection (Fig. 3B and C). Furthermore, exosomes from the medium of CS-treated ECs also downregulated the expression of Notch2 mRNA (Fig. 3D) and protein (Fig. 3E and F) in macrophages. However, miR-21a-5p inhibition prior to co-culture with exosomes increased the protein expression of Notch2 (Fig. 3G and H).

The present study then activated Notch2 using its ligand, JAG (20 ng/ml), for 3 h (Fig. 3I and J). Flow cytometry revealed that the proportion of M2 macrophages was decreased in the Notch2 activation (JAG treatment) group (Fig. 3K and L). RT-qPCR was used to detect M1 and M2 markers; Arg1 and CD206 (M2 macrophage markers) mRNA expression was decreased, while iNOS, TNF-α, and IL-1β mRNA expression was increased (Fig. 3M and N). Thus, Notch2 was shown to be involved in macrophage polarization.

Notch2/SOCS1 axis regulates macrophage polarization. The Notch2 intracellular domain is transported to the nucleus, and then regulates the transcription of target genes (41). In the present study, to explore the downstream mechanisms of Notch2 during mechanical ventilation, the BMDMs in the non-CS-exo and CS-exo groups were subjected to RNA-seq. The genes associated with M2 macrophage polarization are presented in Fig. 4A. KEGG analysis revealed that these genes were enriched in signal transduction (Fig. 4B). Co-IP assays revealed that Notch2 interacted with SOCS1 in macrophages (Fig. 5A). Following treatment with non-CS-exo or CS-exo, SOCS1 mRNA expression (assessed using RT-qPCR) in macrophages was increased (Fig. 5B) in the CS-exo group compared with the non-CS-exo group, as in the RNA-seq analysis. SOCS1 protein expression (assessed using western blot analysis) was downregulated in macrophages (Fig. 5C and D). There are numerous complex and varied post-transcriptional mechanisms involved in turning mRNA into protein that are not yet sufficiently well-defined to be able to compute protein concentrations from mRNA (42); this may be the reason for the poor concordance between the level of SOCS1 mRNA and protein. The inhibition of miR-21a-5p also increased SOCS1 expression that was downregulated by CS-exo (Fig. 5E and F). The results of immunofluorescence staining revealed that CS-exo also reduced the fluorescence intensity of Notch2 and SOCS1 (Fig. 5G). As Notch2 activation (using JAG) decreased the percentage of M2 macrophages compared to the control group (Fig. 5K and L), the present study then verified the regulation of macrophage polarization by Notch2/SOCS1. RAW264.7 macrophages were transfected with SOCS1 siRNA or NC prior to JAG treatment. The percentage of M2 macrophages was increased in the siRNA group compared to the NC group (Fig. 5H and I). Thus, the Notch2/SOCS1 axis is involved in the M2 polarization of macrophages.

THP1 cells were also transfected with miR-21a-5p mimic to determine whether the miR-21a-5p/Notch2/SOCS1 axis regulates macrophage polarization in human cells. The expression levels of Notch2 and SOCS1 (Fig. S6A-C) were suppressed following transfection with miR-21a-5p mimic. The results of RT-qPCR also revealed that the levels of M1 macrophage markers were downregulated and those of M2 macrophage markers were upregulated (Fig. S6D and E). These results further confirmed that the miR-21a-5p/Notch2/SOCS1 axis regulated macrophage polarization.
Figure 2. CS-exo promotes the M2 polarization of macrophages. Exosomes derived from MLE-12 cells subjected to CS for 6 h were added to BMDMs or Raw264.7 macrophages for 12 h. (A) IL-6, TNF-α and IL-10 levels in supernatant of BMDMs, assessed using ELISA (n=4). (B and C) Measurement of the expression of CD11c and CD206 on BMDMs, assessed using flow cytometry (n=4). (D and E) Macrophage markers, assessed using reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (n=7). (F-H) Protein expression levels of iNOS, TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, Arg1, CD206 and IL-10 in BMDMs following treatment with exosomes (n=4). (I and J) Measurement of the expression of CD11c and CD206 in Raw264.7 cells (n=3). (K and L) Inhibition of miR-21a-5p (using miR-21a-5p inhibitor) prior to CS-exo. Flow cytometry was used to detect CD11c and CD206 expression (n=3). Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM. *P<0.05, **P<0.001 and ***P<0.001, vs. non-CS-exo group. ns, not significant; CS, cyclic stretching; non-CS-exo, incubated with exosomes isolated from medium of cells not subjected to CS; CS-exo, incubated with exosomes isolated from medium of cells subjected to CS; BMDM, bone marrow-derived macrophage; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; Arg1, arginase 1.
miR-21a-5p regulates mechanical ventilation-induced M2 polarization through Notch2/SOCS1 in vivo. The present study then verified the function of epithelial-derived exosomal miR-21a-5p in vivo. The administration of CS-exo significantly increased the expression of miR-21a-5p in mouse lung tissues (Fig. 6A). Following treatment with CS-exo, the iNOS, TNF-α...
and IL-1β mRNA (M1 macrophage markers) expression levels were decreased (Fig. 6B), while those of Arg1, resistin like alpha (Retnla), CD206 and IL-10 mRNA (M2 macrophage markers) were increased (Fig. 6C). CS-exo also increased the proportion of M2 macrophages, and decreased the proportion of M1 macrophages in BALF (Fig. 6D and E). Moreover,
Figure 5. The Notch2/SOCS1 axis regulates macrophage polarization. (A) Bone marrow-derived macrophages in the non-cS-exo and cS-exo groups were immunoprecipitated with anti-Notch2 antibody, or rabbit control IgG, and the precipitates were examined using western blot analysis [immunoblotting (IB)] with anti-Notch2 or anti-SOCS1 antibodies (n=3). (B) SOCS1 mRNA expression in macrophages following treatment with exosomes (n=6). (C and D) SOCS1 protein expression in macrophages following treatment with exosomes (n=3). (E and F) Raw264.7 cells were transfected with miR-21a-5p inhibitor or NC prior to cS-exo treatment. SOCS1 expression in macrophages was detected using western blot analysis (n=3). (G) Immunofluorescence co-staining of SOCS1 and Notch2 in Raw264.7 macrophages following treatment with exosomes (n=3). (H and I) Proportions of M1 and M2 macrophages (determined using anti-cD11c and anti-CD206 antibodies, respectively) following treatment with SOCS1 siRNA or NC prior to JAG treatment, assessed using flow cytometry (n=6). Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM. *P<0.05, vs. non-cS-exo group; **P<0.001 and ***P<0.0001, vs. NC. NC, negative control; JAG, Jagged-1; cS, cyclic stretching; non-cS-exo, incubated with exosomes isolated from medium of cells not subjected to cS; cS-exo, incubated with exosomes isolated from medium of cells subjected to cS; SOCS1, suppressor of cytokine signaling 1.
Figure 6. miR-21a-5p regulates M2 polarization induced by mechanical ventilation in vivo. Mice were challenged CS-exo through a tracheal cannula or subjected to mechanical ventilation. Mice were administered miR-21a-5p antagonist or NC 24 h prior to treatment with CS-exo or mechanical ventilation. (A) Mice were treated with CS-exo, and the level of miR-21a-5p in lung tissue was assessed using RT-qPCR (n=8). (B and C) Macrophage markers, assessed using RT-qPCR (n=6). (D and E) The expression of CD11c and CD206 in cells in BALF was measured using flow cytometry (n=9). (F and G) Mice were administered miR-21a-5p antagonist or NC 24 h prior to treatment with CS-exo. The expression of CD11c and CD206 in cells in BALF was detected using flow cytometry (n=9). (H) The expression of miR-21a-5p in lung tissues of mice subjected to LtVt or HtVt or the ctrl procedure for 2 h was assessed using RT-qPCR (n=8). (I and J) Macrophage phenotypes in BALF of mice subjected to LtVt or HtVt or the ctrl procedure for 2 h were assessed using flow cytometry (n=12). (K and L) The expression of CD11c and CD206 in cells in BALF was detected using flow cytometry (n=9). Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM.

*P<0.05 and **P<0.01, vs. control; #P<0.05, vs. LtVt + Nc; &P<0.05, vs. HtVt + Nc. Nc, negative control; cS, cyclic stretching; non-cS-exo, incubated with exosomes isolated from medium of cells not subjected to cS; cS-exo, incubated with exosomes isolated from medium of cells subjected to cS; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription-quantitative PCR; BALF, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid; Arg1, arginase 1; Retnla, resistin like alpha; LtVt, low-tidal-volume ventilation; HtVt, high-tidal-volume ventilation.
CS-exo decreased Notch2 expression in lung tissues (Fig. S7A and B). Subsequently, miR-21a-5p antagomir (anti-miR-21a-5p) or NC was administered to the mice prior to the challenge with CS-exo. miR-21a-5p inhibition decreased the percentage of M2 macrophages in BALF (Fig. 6F and G), and increased Notch2 and SOCS1 expression in lung tissues (Fig. S7c and d).

Furthermore, mice were treated with mechanical ventilation to further validate the effects of miR-21a-5p in vivo. C57BL/6 mice were ventilated with low (8 ml/kg) or high (30 ml/kg) tidal volumes for 2 h. As shown in Fig. 6H, the expression of miR-21a-5p in the mechanical ventilated model was significantly increased. The percentage of M2 macrophages in BALF was upregulated in both the HtVt and LtVt groups compared to the control group; however, there was no difference between high- and low-tidal volume groups, while the percentage of M1 macrophages was not significantly altered (Fig. 6I and J). Mechanical ventilation also decreased the expression of Notch2 and SOCS1 in lung tissues (Fig. S7E and F). Notably, as shown in Fig. 6K and L, the proportion of M2 macrophages was significantly decreased in mice that were treated with miR-21a-5p antagomir prior to ventilation.

In addition, miR-21a-5p agomir was used to mimic the high levels of miR-21a-5p in ventilated mice. The Notch2 and SOCS1 levels were decreased after the mice were administered miR-21a-5p agomir (Fig. S7G and H), and the proportion of M2 macrophages increased (Fig. S7I and J). However, the increase in the expression of Notch2 using its ligand, JAG, reversed these effects (Fig. S7G, H, K and L). In summary, these data indicated that mechanical ventilation induced the release of exosomal miR-21a-5p from epithelial cells, which can be taken up by macrophages to promote M2 macrophages via downregulating Notch2/SOCS1 (Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

The present study demonstrated that exosomes released by CS-treated ECs were internalized by macrophages, resulting in M2 macrophage polarization. Additionally, it was demonstrated that EC-derived exosomes contained miR-21a-5p and promoted macrophage M2 polarization via the downregulation of the Notch2/SOCS1 signaling axis in the recipient macrophages in mechanical ventilation (Fig. 7).

Intercellular communication between pulmonary ECs and macrophages maintains pulmonary homeostasis under physiological conditions (7). Macrophages are activated when homeostasis is disturbed. Previous research has emphasized the emerging role of exosomes in intercellular signal transmission and exosomes, as extracellular vesicles, can transfer RNAs, DNAs, lipids, and proteins via autocrine and paracrine mechanisms (18); this provides a medium between ECs and macrophages in lung tissue. In lung tissue, exosomes can mediate macrophage polarization through multiple pathways. Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes promote macrophage polarization by inhibiting TLR4 and...
alleviating lung injury (43). Previous studies have demonstrated that alveolar epithelial-derived exosomes also mediate macrophage activation (29,44). These results indicate that exosomes play a critical role in AM activation. The present study demonstrated that exosomes derived from alveolar ECs treated with CS were internalized by macrophages and promoted M2 polarization. CS-exo also induced the release of IL-10 in macrophages, suggesting that exosomes-derived from CS-treated ECs exerted an anti-inflammatory effect.

miRNAs are the main contents transferred in exosomes, which serve as novel regulators of cellular functions by inhibiting translation or inducing mRNA degradation. Certain miRNAs may be transferred into exosomes and delivered to target cells to regulate cell functions (45). Yao et al (46) demonstrated that miR-21 in mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes promoted M2 macrophage polarization in sepsis. In addition, Lee et al (47) demonstrated that miR-21 antagonism inhibited M2 macrophage polarization and reduced inflammatory hyperresponsiveness. These findings indicated that miR-21 plays an essential role in the regulation of M2 macrophage polarization. It was also suggested that CS-treated EC-derived exosomal miR-21a-5p promoted M2 macrophage polarization, which may function as a regulator of macrophage polarization.

The specific mechanisms by which miR-21a-5p induced the polarization of macrophages remain to be further investigated. TagertScan assays were used to predict the possible targets mRNA of miR-21a-5p. When exploring miR-21a-5p protein interactions, Notch2, a highly conserved cell surface receptor, attracted our interest, as it is closely related to macrophage polarization (48-50). Notch2 is mainly expressed in stem cells and primordial cells, and also widely exists on the surface of macrophages, dendritic cells and B cells (41). Zhang et al (51) found that Notch2 signal transduction negatively regulated inflammatory cytokines and signal transduction in macrophages. Herein, a dual-luciferase reporter assay confirmed that miR-21a-5p could bind to the predicted binding site of Notch2. CS-exo containing miR-21a-5p decreased the expression of Notch2 mRNA and protein in macrophages. miR-21a-5p mimic transfection also decreased the protein expression of Notch2. CS-exo containing miR-21a-5p also resulted in M2 macrophage polarization. By contrast, the overexpression of Notch2 reversed these results. However, the inhibition of miR-21a-5p prior to CS-exo treatment decreased the M2 macrophage polarization which induced by CS-exo.

Decreased Notch signaling promotes M2 macrophage polarization (50), while SOCS3 expression is selectively associated with M1 macrophages and is essential for maintaining their properties (52). In the present study, SOCS1 protein downregulation was strongly associated with M2 macrophage polarization in the CS-exo group compared to the non-CS-exo group. However, SOCS1 mRNA expression was increased in the CS-exo group. There are several reasons for the poor concordance between the level of SOCS1 mRNA and protein. First, the process of mRNA translation into protein is complex and regulated by post-transcriptional modification, which renders it impossible to compute protein concentration from mRNA; second, protein half-life may differ in vivo (53,54). These mechanisms are ubiquitous in eukaryotic cells, which may lead to inconsistencies in the levels of SOCS1 mRNA and protein. In the present study, the Co-IP results confirmed the interaction between SOCS1 and Notch2 in macrophages. Notch2 activation decreased the percentage of M2 macrophages, while SOCS1 downregulation (using SOCS1 siRNA) prior to Notch2 activation reversed this decrease. These findings suggested that the Notch2/SOCS1 axis affected macrophage polarization.

Finally, the present study demonstrated the role of exosomal miR-21a-5p in mechanical ventilation. CS-exo administration increased the expression level of miR-21a-5p in lung tissue and promoted M2 macrophage polarization in vivo, while miR-21a-5p inhibition prior to the administration of CS-exo markedly reduced M2 macrophage activation; this indicated that miR-21a-5p contributed to M2 macrophage polarization induced by CS-exo. Furthermore, mechanical ventilation for 2 h increased the expression of miR-21a-5p and the proportion of M2 macrophages in BALF. The inhibition of miR-21a-5p (using miR-21a-5p antagonor) led to the inactivation of M2 macrophages in BALF during ventilation. These results further suggested that epithelial-derived exosomes regulated M2-type macrophage polarization during short-term mechanical ventilation.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that exosomes derived from mechanically stretched ECs mediated the polarization of M2 macrophages. Exosomal miR-21a-5p mediated the crosstalk between ECs and macrophages, and promoted M2 macrophage activation by inhibiting Notch2/SOCS1. The findings presented herein provide novel information about the effects of exosomal miR-21a-5p-derived from ECs on M2 macrophage polarization during mechanical ventilation, and may serve as a potential treatment strategy for VILI.
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