COUNTING CONICS ON SEXTIC 4-FOLDS

YALONG CAO

Abstract. We study rational curves of degree two on a smooth sextic 4-fold and their counting invariant defined using Donaldson-Thomas theory of Calabi-Yau 4-folds. By comparing it with the corresponding Gromov-Witten invariant, we verify a conjectural relation between them proposed by the author, Maulik and Toda.

0. Introduction

Let $X$ be a smooth sextic 4-fold in $\mathbb{P}^5$ and $M_\beta$ be the moduli scheme of one dimensional stable sheaves on $X$ with Chern character $(0, 0, 0, \beta, 1)$. We are interested in the counting invariant $[M_\beta]^{\text{vir}} \in H_2(M_\beta, \mathbb{Z})$.

And we may use insertions to define counting invariants: for a class $\gamma \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$, let

$$\tau(\gamma) := \pi_{M_\beta}^*(\pi_X^*\gamma \cup \text{ch}_3(\mathcal{E})) \in H^2(M_\beta, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\pi_X, \pi_M$ are projections from $X \times M_\beta$ onto corresponding factors and $\text{ch}_3(\mathcal{E})$ is the Poincaré dual to the fundamental class of the universal sheaf $\mathcal{E}$.

The degree matches and we define DT$_4$ invariants as follows

$$\text{DT}_4(\beta \mid \gamma) := \int_{[M_\beta]^{\text{vir}}} \tau(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since the definition of the virtual class involves a choice of orientation on certain (real) line bundle over $M_\beta$, the invariant will also depend on that (see Sect. 2.1 for more detail).

Another obvious way to enumerating curves on $X$ is by GW theory. More specifically, for $\gamma \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$, the genus 0 Gromov-Witten invariant of $X$ is

$$\text{GW}_{0,\beta}(\gamma) := \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,1}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}} \text{ev}^*(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Q},$$

where $\text{ev} : \overline{M}_{0,1}(X, \beta) \to X$ is the evaluation map.

In a previous work [4], the author, Maulik and Toda proposed a conjectural relation between DT$_4$ invariants for one dimensional stable sheaves on $X$ and genus zero GW invariants of $X$ (see Conjecture 2.3 for details). The main result of this note is to verify this conjecture for degree two curve class on a smooth sextic 4-fold.

**Theorem 0.1.** (Theorem 2.4) Conjecture 2.3 is true for degree one and two classes of a smooth sextic 4-fold $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$, i.e. for the line class $l \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and any $\gamma \in H^4(X)$, we have

$$\text{GW}_{0,l}(\gamma) = \text{DT}_4(l \mid \gamma),$$

$$\text{GW}_{0,2l}(\gamma) = \text{DT}_4(2l \mid \gamma) + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \text{DT}_4(l \mid \gamma),$$

for certain choice of orientation in defining the RHS.

The proof of the above result relies on the study of the Hilbert scheme of conics on $X$. By the deformation invariance of DT$_4$ and GW invariants, we may assume $X$ to be a generic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^5$, so that $M_{2l}$ is smooth of expected dimension whose closed point is the structure sheaf of a smooth conic or a pair of distinct intersecting lines (i.e. there is no double line) (ref. Proposition 1.4, 2.2). A parallel study of the moduli space of stable maps shows that it consists of two components, one corresponding to the embedding of smooth or ‘broken’ conics, another one corresponding to the double cover from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to lines on $X$. From this, we can conclude the above result.
1. Geometry of moduli spaces of conics on sextic 4-folds

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth sextic 4-fold, i.e. smooth degree 6 hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^5$. By the adjunction formula, $X$ is a smooth projective Calabi-Yau 4-fold \cite{13}. We are interested in the moduli space of conics (degree two curves) in $X$. To be precise, let $I_1(X, 2)$ be the moduli scheme of ideal sheaves on $X$ with Chern character $(1, 0, 0, −2, −1)$, which is isomorphic to the Hilbert scheme of one dimensional closed subschemes in $X$ with Hilbert polynomial $\chi(t) = 2t + 1$. The inclusion $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$ induces a closed embedding

$$I_1(X, 2) \hookrightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^5, 2t + 1) = \{\text{subscheme } C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5 \text{ with Hilbert polynomial } 2t + 1\}$$

into the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^5, 2t + 1)$ of conics in $\mathbb{P}^5$.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let $S$ be the tautological rank three subbundle of $\text{Gr}(3, 6)$. Then there exists an isomorphism

$$\text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^5, 2t + 1) \cong \mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2(S^*))$$

to a $\mathbb{P}^5$-bundle $\mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2(S^*))$ over $\text{Gr}(3, 6)$.

Let $\pi : \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^5, 2t + 1) \to \text{Gr}(3, 6)$ be the natural projection. Then the rank 13 vector bundle $\mathcal{E} = \pi^*\text{Sym}^6(S^*)/(T \otimes \pi^*\text{Sym}^4(S^*))$ has a section whose zero locus is isomorphic to the moduli space $I_1(X, 2)$ of conics in $X$. Here $T$ is the tautological line bundle over $\mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^2(S^*))$.

**Proof.** As any conic in $\mathbb{P}^5$ is contained in a unique plane $\mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$, so we have the desired isomorphism (see e.g. \cite{1} Lemma 2.2.6).

The description of $I_1(X, 2)$ comes from a similar one for quintic threefold (\cite{1} Theorem 3.1), which we briefly recall as follows. An equation for $X$ induces a section of $\text{Sym}^2(S^*)$ hence a section of $\pi^*\text{Sym}^6(S^*)$. At a conic $C \subseteq \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^5, 2t + 1)$, the section represents a plane sextic cut out by the plane supporting $C$. The conic lies in $X$ if and only if $X$ factors into $C$ and a quartic. Such quartics globalize to the vector bundle $T \otimes \pi^*\text{Sym}^4(S^*)$ which is a subbundle of $\pi^*\text{Sym}^6(S^*)$. We consider the quotient bundle $\mathcal{E} = \pi^*\text{Sym}^4(S^*)/(T \otimes \pi^*\text{Sym}^4(S^*))$. Then $I_1(X, 2)$ is exactly isomorphic to the zero locus of the section of $\mathcal{E}$ induced by the defining equation of $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$.

Let $P := \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(6))) \cong \mathbb{P}^{461}$ be the projective space of sextics in $\mathbb{P}^5$. For a ‘generic’ choice of sextic $X$ in $P$ (i.e. generic sextics means all sextics except those parameterized by a proper subvariety of $P$), the moduli space of conics in $X$ is smooth. To prove that, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.2.** For any conic $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$, the restriction map

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(6)) \to H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(6))$$

is surjective.

**Proof.** Given a conic $C$, we have an exact sequence

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(6)) \to H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(6)) \to H^1(\mathbb{P}^5, I_C(6)) \to 0,$$

where $I_C$ is the ideal sheaf of $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$. We only need to prove $H^1(\mathbb{P}^5, I_C(6)) = 0$.

Let $\mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$ be the unique plane containing $C$. By diagram chasing, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to I_{\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{P}^5} \to I_C \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2) \to 0,$$

where $I_{\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{P}^5}$ is the ideal sheaf of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$. By taking $R\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^5, −)$ and its cohomology, we have

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}^5, I_{\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{P}^5}(6)) \to H^1(\mathbb{P}^5, I_C(6)) \to 0.$$

So we are left to show $H^1(\mathbb{P}^5, I_{\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{P}^5}(6)) = 0$. Similarly, from a short exact sequence

$$0 \to I_{\mathbb{P}^3/\mathbb{P}^5} \to I_{\mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{P}^5} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \to 0,$$

we are further reduced to show $H^1(\mathbb{P}^5, I_{\mathbb{P}^3/\mathbb{P}^5}(6)) = 0$. By induction, it is reduced to the obvious vanishing $H^1(\mathbb{P}^5, I_{\mathbb{P}^4/\mathbb{P}^5}(6)) = 0$.

**Proposition 1.3.** For a generic sextic $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$, the moduli space $I_1(X, 2)$ of conics in $X$ is a smooth projective curve.

In particular, the Euler class of vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \to \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^5, 2t + 1)$ in Lemma \cite{4} satisfies

$$ι_*[I_1(X, 2)] = PD(ι(\mathcal{E})) \in H_2(\text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^5, 2t + 1), \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $ι : I_1(X, 2) \hookrightarrow \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^5, 2t + 1)$ is the closed embedding.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the incidence variety

$$\mathcal{I} = \{(C, X) \in \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^5, 2t + 1) \times P \mid C \subseteq X\}$$

with projections $\pi_1 : \mathcal{I} \to \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^5, 2t + 1)$, $\pi_2 : \mathcal{I} \to P$.

Given a conic $[C] \in \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^5, 2t + 1)$, $\pi_{1}(C)$ is the set of all sextics containing $C$. By Lemma 1.2, $H^0(\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(6)) \to H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(6))$ is surjective, hence $\pi_1$ is smooth. Therefore, $\mathcal{I}$ is irreducible, smooth of dimension 462.

By the generic smoothness (ref. [7 Corollary 10.7, pp. 272]), there exists a non-empty open subset $U \subseteq P$ such that $\pi_2 : \pi_2^{-1}(U) \to U$ is a smooth morphism. Hence a generic fiber of $\pi_2$ (i.e. $I_1(X, 2)$ for a generic $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$) is smooth of dimension one.

Next, we show that a generic sextic 4-fold contains at most a finite number of broken conics and no double lines.

**Proposition 1.4.** A generic sextic $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$ contains at most a finite number of broken conics (i.e. pairs of distinct intersecting lines), and no ‘double’ lines.

**Proof.** Any conic in $\mathbb{P}^5$ is contained in a unique plane $\mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$. It is either smooth, a pair of distinct intersecting lines, or a ‘double’ line (see e.g. [6 Lemma 2.2.6]). By Proposition 1.3, a generic sextic 4-fold parametrizes a smooth one dimensional family of conics.

We first show generic sextics do not contain double lines. Let

$$I_2 = \{2l \in \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^5, 2t + 1) \mid l \text{ is line}\}$$

be the 11 dimensional variety of ‘double’ lines in $\mathbb{P}^5$, and

$$C_2 = \{(2l, F) \in I_2 \times \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(6))) \mid 2l \subseteq F^{-1}(0)\}.$$

The subspace of sextics (inside $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(6)))$) containing one such double line 2l has dimension $h^0(\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(6)) - 1 - h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(6)) = 448$. Hence $C_2$ has dimension 459. Thus a generic sextic can not lie in the image of $\pi_2 : C_2 \to P$ as $P$ has dimension 461.

Let

$$I_1 = \{(l_1, l_2) \mid l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{P}^5, l_1 \cap l_2 \neq \emptyset\}$$

be the 13 dimensional variety of pairs of distinct intersecting lines in $\mathbb{P}^5$, and

$$C_1 = \{(l_1, l_2, F) \in I_1 \times \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(6))) \mid l_1 \cup l_2 \subseteq F^{-1}(0)\}$$

with projections $\pi_1 : C_1 \to I_1$, $\pi_2 : C_1 \to P$.

The subspace of sextics (inside $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(6)))$) containing one such intersecting lines $(l_1, l_2)$ has dimension $h^0(\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(6)) - 1 - h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(6)) = 448$. As in Proposition 1.3, $C_1$ is irreducible, smooth of dimension 461. The generic smoothness theorem implies that for a generic sextic $F$, $\pi_2^{-1}([F])$ is smooth of dimension zero. □

## 2. Counting conics on sextic 4-folds

There are many ways to count conics on a sextic 4-fold $X$. For instance, we can use DT invariant counts one dimensional unstable sheaves supported on conics inside $X$, as well as Gromov-Witten invariants count stable maps to conics on $X$. In this section, we compare them and verify a conjectural relation [4] between GW invariants and DT invariants for one dimensional stable sheaves in this setting.

### 2.1. Review of DT invariants

We first review the framework for DT invariants. We fix an ample divisor $\omega$ on $X$ and take a cohomology class $v \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

The coarse moduli space $M_\omega(v)$ of $\omega$-Gieseker semistable sheaves $E$ on $X$ with $\text{ch}(E) = v$ exists as a projective scheme. We always assume that $M_\omega(v)$ is a fine moduli space, i.e. any point $[E] \in M_\omega(v)$ is stable and there is a universal family

$$E \in \text{Coh}(X \times M_\omega(v)).$$

In [12], under certain hypotheses, the authors construct a DT virtual class

$$[M_\omega(v)]^{vir} \in H_{2-\chi(v,v)}(M_\omega(v), \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\chi(-, -)$ is the Euler pairing. Notice that this class will not necessarily be algebraic.

Roughly speaking, in order to construct such a class, one chooses at every point $[E] \in M_\omega(v)$, a half-dimensional real subspace

$$\text{Ext}_h^2(E, E) \subset \text{Ext}^2(E, E)$$
of the usual obstruction space Ext^2(E, E), on which the quadratic form Q defined by Serre duality is real and positive definite. Then one glues local Kuranishi-type models of form

$$\kappa_+ = \pi_+ \circ \kappa : \text{Ext}^1(E, E) \to \text{Ext}^2_+(E, E),$$

where $\kappa$ is a Kuranishi map of $M_\omega(v)$ at $E$ and $\pi_+$ is the projection according to the decomposition $\text{Ext}^2(E, E) = \text{Ext}^2_+(E, E) \oplus \sqrt{-1} \cdot \text{Ext}^2_-(E, E)$.

In [2], local models are glued in three special cases:

1. when $M_\omega(v)$ consists of locally free sheaves only;
2. when $M_\omega(v)$ is smooth;
3. when $M_\omega(v)$ is a shifted cotangent bundle of a derived smooth scheme.

And the corresponding virtual classes are constructed using either gauge theory or algebro-geometric perfect obstruction theory. The general gluing construction is due to Borisov-Joyce [11], based on Pantev-Töen-Vaquié-Vezzosi’s theory of shifted symplectic geometry [12] and Joyce’s theory of derived $C^\infty$-geometry. The corresponding virtual class is constructed using Joyce’s $D$-manifold theory.

The moduli space considered in this note is smooth of expected dimension, so the virtual class (up to sign) is simply the usual fundamental class of the moduli space (see Prop. [22]).

**On orientations.** To construct the above virtual class (2.2) with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ (instead of $\mathbb{Z}_2$), we need an orientability result for $M_\omega(v)$, which is stated as follows. Let

$$L := \det(\mathcal{R}Hom_{\pi_M}(E, \mathcal{E})) \in \text{Pic}(M_\omega(v)), \quad \pi_M : X \times M_\omega(v) \to M_\omega(v),$$

be the determinant line bundle of $M_\omega(v)$, equipped with a symmetric pairing $Q$ induced by Serre duality. An orientation of $(L, Q)$ is a reduction of its structure group (from $O(1, \mathbb{C})$) to $SO(1, \mathbb{C}) = \{1\}$; in other words, we require a choice of square root of the isomorphism

$$Q : L \otimes L \to \mathcal{O}_{M_\omega(v)}$$

to construct virtual class (2.2). An existence result of orientations is proved in [8] Theorem 2.2] for CY 4-folds $X$ such that $\text{Hol}(X) = SU(4)$ and $H^{2\text{nd}}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ [1]. Notice that, if orientations exist, their choices form a torsor for $H^0(M_\omega(v), \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

2.2. DT$_4$ virtual class for stable sheaves supported on conics. Fix $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^0(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and

$$v = (0, 0, 0, \beta, 1) \in H^0(X) \oplus H^2(X) \oplus H^4(X) \oplus H^6(X) \oplus H^8(X),$$

we set

$$M_\beta = M_\omega(0, 0, 0, \beta, 1)$$

to be the Gieseker moduli space of semi-stable sheaves with Chern character $v$.

**Remark 2.1.** Note that $M_\beta$ is the moduli space of one dimensional sheaves $E$’s on $X$ satisfying the following: for any $0 \neq E' \subseteq E$, we have $\chi(E') \leq 0$. In particular, it is independent of the choice of $\omega$ and is a fine moduli space.

Since $\chi(v, v) = 0$ in this case, we have

$$[M_\beta]^{\text{vir}} \in H_2(M_\beta, \mathbb{Z}).$$

To define invariants, we need insertions: for a class $\gamma \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$, let

$$\tau(\gamma) := \pi_M^*(\pi_X^* \gamma \cup \text{ch}_3(\mathcal{E})),\quad (2.5)$$

$$\text{DT}_4(\beta \mid \gamma) := \int_{[M_\beta]^{\text{vir}}} \tau(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}.\quad (2.6)$$

Here $\pi_X$, $\pi_M$ are projections from $X \times M_\beta$ onto corresponding factors, and $\text{ch}_3(\mathcal{E})$ is the Poincaré dual to the fundamental class of the universal sheaf $\mathcal{E}$.

For degree two class in a smooth sextic 4-fold $X$, the moduli space and its DT$_4$ virtual class can be described as follows.

---

1For instance, smooth sextic 4-folds satisfy this assumption.
Proposition 2.2. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$ be a generic sextic 4-fold and $\beta = 2l \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be the degree two class. Then the moduli space $M_{2l}$ of one dimensional stable sheaves on $X$ has an isomorphism

$$M_{2l} \cong I_1(X, 2)$$

to the Hilbert scheme of conics in $X$.

Furthermore, the DT$_4$ virtual class

$$[M_{2l}]^{\text{vir}} = [M_{2l}] \in H_2(M_{2l}, \mathbb{Z})$$

is the usual fundamental class for certain choice of orientation in defining the LHS.

Proof. By Proposition 1.3, we may assume $X$ contains smooth and broken conics only.

For $E \in M_{2l}$, $\chi(E) = 1$ implies $h^0(E) \geqslant 1$, so there exists a nontrivial section $s : O_X \to E$. If the image $\text{Im}(s) \subseteq E$ is a proper subsheaf, then $\chi(\text{Im}(s)) \leqslant 0$ by the stability of $E$. Note that $\text{Im}(s)$ is the structure sheaf of some one dimensional subscheme whose fundamental class is $l$ or $2l$, so $\chi(\text{Im}(s)) \leqslant 0$ cannot happen. Thus $s$ is surjective and $E \cong O_C$ for some smooth or broken conic in $X$. Conversely, when $C$ is smooth, $O_C$ is obviously stable. As for a broken conic $C = l_1 + l_2$, to test the stability of $O_C$, we take a saturated (i.e. $E_2$ is pure) extension

$$0 \to E_1 \to O_C \to E_2 \to 0,$$

we may assume $\text{supp}(E_i) = l_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) without loss of generality. Then $E_i \cong O_{l_i}(a_i)$ for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to O_{l_i}(-1) \to O_C \to O_{l_2} \to 0,$$

we have

$$0 \to \text{Hom}(O_{l_i}, O_{l_i}(-1)) \to \text{Hom}(O_{l_i}, O_C) \to \text{Hom}(O_{l_1}, O_{l_2}) = 0.$$ 

Hence $\text{Hom}(O_{l_i}, O_C) = 0$. So $a_1 \leqslant -1$, which implies the stability of $O_C$.

So we have a bijective morphism

$$\theta : I_1(X, 2) \to M_{2l}, \quad I_C \mapsto O_C.$$ 

Next, we compare their deformation-obstruction theory. For a conic $C \subseteq X$, there is a distinguished triangle

$$O_C \to I_C[1] \to O_X[1],$$

which implies the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{R} \Gamma(O_X)[1] & \xrightarrow{\text{R} \Gamma(O_C)[1]} & \text{R} \Gamma(O_X)[1] \\
\text{R} \text{Hom}(I_C, O_C) & \xrightarrow{\text{R} \text{Hom}(I_C, I_C)[1]} & \text{R} \text{Hom}(I_C, O_X)[1] \\
\text{R} \text{Hom}(I_C, I_C)_0[1] & \xrightarrow{\text{R} \text{Hom}(O_C, O_X)[2]} & \text{R} \text{Hom}(O_C, O_X)[2],
\end{array}$$

where the horizontal and vertical arrows are distinguished triangles. By taking cones, we obtain a distinguished triangle

$$(2.7) \quad \text{R} \text{Hom}(I_C, O_C) \to \text{R} \text{Hom}(I_C, I_C)_0[1] \to \text{R} \text{Hom}(O_C, O_X)[2].$$

Combining with distinguished triangle

$$\text{R} \text{Hom}(O_C, O_C) \to \text{R} \text{Hom}(O_X, O_C) \to \text{R} \text{Hom}(I_C, O_C),$$

and $h^{i>1}(O_C) = 0$, we obtain canonical isomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}^1(O_C, O_C) \cong \text{Ext}^1(I_C, I_C),$$

$$\text{Ext}^2(O_C, O_C) \cong \text{Ext}^2(I_C, I_C).$$

By Proposition 1.3, for a generic sextic 4-fold $X$, $I_1(X, 2)$ is smooth of dimension one. So $\text{Ext}^1(I_C, I_C) \cong \mathbb{C}$, and $\text{Ext}^2(I_C, I_C) = 0$ by Riemann-Roch formula. Thus $\theta$ is an isomorphism and $M_{2l}$ is smooth of expected dimension. So the DT$_4$ virtual class of $M_{2l}$ is its usual fundamental class for a choice of orientation. □
2.3. GW invariants and GW/DT conjecture. As the virtual dimension of the moduli space \( \overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta) \) of genus zero, \( n \)-pointed stable maps is \( 1 + n \), we need insertions to define GW invariants. For \( \gamma \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) \), the genus 0 Gromov-Witten invariant of \( X \) is defined to be

\[
GW_{0,\beta}(\gamma) := \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,1}(X, \beta)]_{\text{vir}}} \text{ev}^*(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Q},
\]

where \( \text{ev}: \overline{M}_{0,1}(X, \beta) \to X \) is the evaluation map.

The following conjecture is proposed in [4] as an interpretation of Klemm-Pandharipande’s Gopakumar-Vafa type invariants [10] on CY 4-folds in terms of DT4 invariants of one dimensional stable sheaves.

**Conjecture 2.3.** ([H Conjecture 1.3]) We have the identity

\[
GW_{0,\beta}(\gamma) = \sum_{k|\beta} \frac{1}{k^2} \cdot \text{DT}_4(\beta/k \mid \gamma),
\]

for certain choice of orientation in defining the RHS.

We verify this conjecture for a smooth sextic 4-fold \( X \) and degree one and two classes in \( H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \).

**Theorem 2.4.** Conjecture 2.3 is true for degree one and two classes of a smooth sextic 4-fold \( X \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \), i.e. for the line class \( l \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \) and any \( \gamma \in H^4(X) \), we have

\[
GW_{0,l}(\gamma) = \text{DT}_4(l \mid \gamma),
\]

\[
GW_{0,2l}(\gamma) = \text{DT}_4(2l \mid \gamma) + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \text{DT}_4(l \mid \gamma),
\]

for certain choice of orientation in defining the RHS.

**Proof.** We only prove for the degree two curve class as the proof for line class is a simpler version of the same approach. As all invariants involved are deformation invariant, we could assume the sextic 4-fold \( X \) to be generic so that the space \( I_1(X, 2) \) of conics in \( X \) is smooth of dimension one and consists of smooth conics and at most a finite number of broken lines (as in Proposition 1.3 [14]).

The moduli space \( \overline{M}_{0,k}(X, 2l) \) of \( k \)-pointed stable maps is the disjoint union of two connected components \( \overline{M}_{0,k}(X, 2l)_{\text{emb}}, \overline{M}_{0,k}(X, 2l)_{\text{cov}} \), which parametrizes the embedding of smooth or broken conics into \( X \) and double cover from \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) to lines in \( X \) respectively.

We have a forgetful map

\[
\phi: \overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 2l)_{\text{emb}} \to \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, 2l)_{\text{emb}} \cong I_1(X, 2),
\]

\[
\phi: (f: C \to X, p \in C) \mapsto (f: C \to X) \mapsto I_{f(C)},
\]

and a natural embedding

\[
i = (\phi, \text{ev}): \overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 2l)_{\text{emb}} \hookrightarrow I_1(X, 2) \times X,
\]

\[
i(f: C \to X, p \in C) = (I_{f(C)}, f(P)),
\]

whose image is the universal curve \( \mathcal{Z} \subset I_1(X, 2) \times X \). Note that \( \mathcal{Z} \) is an irreducible variety of dimension 4, so the virtual class of \( \overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 2l)_{\text{emb}} \) is its usual fundamental class.

For \( \gamma \in H^4(X) \), we have

\[
\int_{[\overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 2l)_{\text{emb}}]_{\text{vir}}} \text{ev}^*(\gamma) = \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 2l)_{\text{emb}}]} i^*(1 \cup \gamma)
\]

\[
= \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 2l)_{\text{emb}}]} \gamma
\]

\[
= \int_{I_1(X, 2) \times X} (\text{PD}([\mathcal{Z}]) \cup \gamma)
\]

\[
= \text{DT}_4(2l \mid \gamma),
\]

where the last equality is by Proposition 2.2.

As for component \( \overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 2l)_{\text{cov}} \), it can be identified as \( \overline{M}_{0,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l) \times \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l) \) by

\[
\overline{M}_{0,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l) \times \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l) \cong \overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 2l)_{\text{cov}},
\]

\[
(t : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1, P \in \mathbb{P}^1; f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X) \mapsto (f \circ t : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X, P \in \mathbb{P}^1).
\]

Note that \( \overline{M}_{0,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l) \) is smooth of dimension 3. For a generic sextic 4-fold \( X \), lines in \( X \) have normal bundle \( O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1, 0) \) and the moduli space \( \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l) \cong I_1(X, 1) \) is isomorphic to the
(smooth) Fano scheme of lines (see [11] Thm. 4.3, pp. 266 and Ex. 4.5 pp. 269]), which is one dimensional. The obstruction space of \(\overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 2l)_{\text{cov}}\) at \(g : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X\) is

\[ H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, g^*TX) \cong H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, g^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1)) \cong H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, g^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1)). \]

As \(g\) varies, \(H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, g^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1))\) forms a rank two bundle which is the pull-back of an ‘obstruction’ bundle \(O_b \to \overline{M}_{0,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l)\) (its fiber over \(f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1\) is \(H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1))\)). Hence under the isomorphism \([2, X]\), the virtual class satisfies

\[ [\overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 2l)_{\text{cov}}]_{\text{vir}} = \text{PD}(e(Ob)) \otimes [\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l)] \in H_2(\overline{M}_{0,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l)) \otimes H_2(\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l)). \]

We define

\[ \pi : \overline{M}_{0,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l) \times \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l) \to \overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l) \times \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l) \times X, \]

\[ \pi(t : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1, P \in \mathbb{P}^1, f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X) = (t : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1, f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X, f \circ t(P)). \]

By base change (e.g. [5] pp. 182]), the obstruction bundle \(O_b \to \overline{M}_{0,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l)\) is the pullback of an obstruction bundle \(O_b \to \overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l)\) via the forgetful map \(F : \overline{M}_{0,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l) \to \overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l)\). Then for \(\gamma \in H^0(X)\), we have

\[ \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 2l)_{\text{cov}}]_{\text{vir}}} e^\ast(\gamma) = \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l) \times \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l)]} \pi^\ast (e(Ob) \cup \gamma) \]

\[ = \int_{\pi^{-1}[\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l) \times \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l)]} e(Ob) \cup \gamma \]

\[ = \left( \int_{F_\ast[\overline{M}_{0,1}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l)]} e(Ob) \right) \cdot \left( \int_{[\mathbb{P}^1]} \gamma \right) \]

\[ = 2 \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, 2l) \times \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l) \times X]} e(Ob) \cdot \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l) \times X]} (\text{PD}(\mathcal{C}) \cup \gamma) \]

\[ = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^3} \cdot DT_4(l \mid \gamma), \]

where \(\mathcal{C} \subseteq \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l) \times X\) is the universal line under the identification \(\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l) \cong I_1(X, 1)\), and the last equality is by the Aspinwall-Morrison formula (e.g. [8] Lemma 27.5.3, pp. 547]) and identification of virtual classes

\[ [M_1]_{\text{vir}} = [I_1(X, 1)]_{\text{vir}} = [I_1(X, 1)] = [\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l)]_{\text{vir}} = [\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, l)], \]

which can be obtained by a similar argument as in Proposition 2.2.

To sum up, we obtain

\[ GW_{0,2}(\gamma) = \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 2l)_{\text{emb}}]_{\text{vir}}} e^\ast(\gamma) + \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,1}(X, 2l)_{\text{cov}}]_{\text{vir}}} e^\ast(\gamma) \]

\[ = DT_4(2l \mid \gamma) + \frac{1}{4} \cdot DT_4(l \mid \gamma), \]

i.e. Conjecture 2.3 is true for degree two class. \(\square\)

**Corollary-Definition 2.5.** Let \(X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5\) be a smooth sextic 4-fold and \(H\) be its hyperplane class. Then the number of lines, conics incident to 4-cycle \(H^2\) is

\[ DT_4(l \mid H^2) = 60480, \quad DT_4(2l \mid H^2) = 440884080, \]

for certain choice of orientation in defining the LHS.

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.4 for certain choice of orientation, we have \(DT_4(kl \mid \gamma) = n_{0,kl}(\gamma)\) for \(k = 1, 2\), where \(n_{0,kl}(\gamma)\) are Klemm-Pandharipande’s genus zero GV type invariants defined using multiple cover formula and GW invariants. \(n_{0,kl}(\gamma)\) are computed in [10] Table 2, pp. 33] by Picard-Fuchs equations and mirror principle of Lian-Liu-Yau and Givental. \(\square\)
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