A Modified Method for Purifying Gallbladder Epithelial Cells Using Fluorescence-activated Cell Sorting
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Abstract. Background: We have previously reported a procedure for isolating and culturing biliary epithelial cells (BECs). The aim of this study was to reconsider the method for obtaining pure BECs using the mouse gallbladder. Materials and Methods: Cells that were obtained from the gallbladder alone were sorted by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) for purifying based on the expression of the epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM). The viability rate was measured based on the negative expression of 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD). Results: More than 75% of cells from the gallbladder were determined to be pure BECs. An analysis of the EpCAM revealed that 73.3% of the cells were 7-AAD-negative. Finally, the 0.82×10⁶ pure BECs that survived were obtained and seeded on a collagen gel plate. However, these pure BECs showed almost no proliferation. Conclusion: Pure BECs could be accumulated using FACS. However, the number of BECs was insufficient for the culturing process.

The performance of basic cell experiments of the liver or biliary tract requires high-purity biliary epithelial cells (BECs). Thus far, BECs have been isolated and cultured from different parts of the biliary tract of humans (1, 2) and rodents (3, 4). We have previously reported a procedure for isolating and culturing BECs from hamsters; however, the procedure had a disadvantage due to the mechanical and enzymatic damage that occurred during the preparation of the biliary tree and potential contamination by non-epithelial cells (e.g. fibroblasts) that could occur during the isolation process (5).

To overcome these problems, we hypothesized that mechanical and enzymatic damage, which was unavoidable during the preparation of the biliary tree, could be prevented if gallbladder cells were used alone. In addition, fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) is considered to be useful for purifying contaminated cell populations. The mouse is the most commonly used animal model for studying human diseases. The genetic characteristics of mice closely resemble those of humans, and many symptoms of human conditions can be replicated. Thus, mice were used instead of hamsters in the present study.

The aim of this study was to reconsider the method for obtaining pure BECs using the mouse gallbladder and to evaluate the effectiveness of FACS in the purification of BECs.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Male C57BL/6J mice (age: 8-10 weeks) were supplied by the Shizuoka Laboratory Animal Center (Shizuoka, Japan) and were kept under standard laboratory conditions in the Laboratory Animal Center for Biomedical Research, Nagasaki University School of Medicine. The animals had ad libitum access to a standard diet and water. This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. The protocol was approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal Experiments of Nagasaki University. In the present study, mice were used to obtain gallbladder fragments; the biliary tract – including the intrahepatic and extrahepatic bile ducts – was not used.

The culturing of gallbladder fragments and the isolation of cells. Six mice were used for the collection of gallbladders in a one-time experiment. The gallbladder was resected from the liver and divided into 3–4 fragments. These gallbladder fragments were embedded directly on a collagen gel plate with 3 ml of culture medium. A
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Collagen gel plate was made using 60-mm petri dishes with 3 ml of collagen solution for incubation at 37˚C. The collagen solution was made using a 0.3% acid solution of collagen (Cellmatrix Type I-A, Nittazeratin, Osaka, Japan), Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution 10x (HBSS, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 0.8 N NaOH (8:1:1) under ice-cold conditions. The culture medium was composed of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium/HamF12 medium (DMEM/Ham F12, Wako, Tokyo, Japan) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen). The culture media were usually changed every 2-3 days. The spreading of cells from the fragment was detected and showed a cobblestone appearance (Figure 1A-B). After 5-7 days of culturing, the fragment was removed with the attached collagen gel under a phase-contrast microscope using forceps (Figure 2). The majority of the BECs that had spread on the gel could be obtained by this process because the epithelial cells expanded in a horizontal direction on the gel, while the fibroblasts expanded into the gel (6). The remnant cells were detached from the collagen gel by digestion for 40–50 min with 0.05% collagenase (Wako, Tokyo Japan) in HBSS (Sigma-Aldrich) and were collected by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 5 min. After washing once with PBS by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 5 min, the cells were dissociated in 0.05% trypsin-EDTA (Invitrogen). After incubation for 5 min at 37˚C, digestion was discontinued by adding culture medium. The cells that were obtained were analyzed by FACS.

**Fluorescence-activated cell sorting.** The cells were prepared for use with FITC anti-mouse CD326 (epithelial cell adhesion molecule: EpCAM) antibodies (Biolegend, San Diego, CA, USA) and FITC Rat IgG2a,k Isotype Ctrl antibodies (Biolegend). The viability rate of the EpCAM-positive cells was measured based on the negative expression of 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) Staining Solution (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA, USA). All samples were pre-incubated for 15 min (the light was shaded during this time). The cells were analyzed and sorted using a FACS Aria II cell sorter (BD Biosciences).

**Results**

**Isolation and cultivation of EpCAM-positive BECs.** A total of 1.5x10^6 cells, which were obtained from the gallbladder alone, were analyzed by FACS. More than 75% of the cells from the gallbladder were pure BECs; they were separated from non-epithelial cells based on their expression of EpCAM (Figure 3). In addition, 73.3% of the EpCAM-positive cells were 7-AAD-negative (Figure 4). Finally, 0.82x10^6 cells were obtained. These surviving pure BECs were seeded on a collagen gel plate. However, the pure BECs that were separated did not survive for more than 2 days and showed almost no proliferation.

**Discussion**

BECs have phenotypes with functional heterogeneity that varies in the different regions of the biliary tree. As a part of the biliary tree, the gallbladder could be a more readily-available surgical specimen from which BECs can be obtained in comparison to the intrahepatic or extrahepatic bile duct, even in the clinical setting. Monolayer culturing
on a collagen-coated culture dish has since long been used for culturing epithelial cells from the gallbladder of humans and experimental animals (6-8). Furthermore, a method for isolating and cultivating pure BECs using the mouse gallbladder has already been reported (3). We previously reported a procedure for isolating and culturing BECs from the biliary tree of hamsters; however, our method was associated with some disadvantages. First, the cultivation of BECs is highly influenced by mechanical and enzymatic damage, which occurs during the preparation of the biliary tree. In addition, contamination by non-epithelial cells can occur during the isolation process (5). We attempted to overcome these disadvantages and obtain high-purity BECs for reliable in vitro culture studies. We next considered using mice instead of hamsters in the present study. The genetic characteristics of mice closely resemble those of humans, and many symptoms of human conditions can be replicated. Furthermore, mice are relatively inexpensive and can be bought in large quantities for research purposes from commercial producers. Lastly, if BECs are to be applied to cancer research or regenerative medicine, it will be necessary to establish a method for isolating high-purity BECs in large quantities. For these reasons, we reconsidered our previous method for obtaining pure BECs using only the gallbladder of mice and attempted to use FACS to purify the contaminated cell population.

In our modified procedure, the process was divided into four steps: preparation, isolation, purification and culture. We only used the gallbladder for preparation which did not cause mechanical damage. This procedure is simple and involves less cellular damage than the previous method that required the biliary tree (5). The isolation process was performed according to our previous method. However, the contamination of non-epithelial cells was assumed to be unavoidable in this situation; we, therefore, attempted to use FACS to purify the contaminated cell population.

The main advantages of FACS-based sorting include the ability to choose between biased and unbiased isolation, the high level of accuracy and the ability to perform high-throughput single-cell isolation (9). The use of FACS for purification in the present study offered some advantages. First, pure BECs could be obtained within a relatively short period in comparison to our previous method. In our previous report, some areas of the BEC sheet, which contained BECs and no contaminating cells, were selectively cut with scissors under a microscope and placed on other collagen gels as a secondary explant for subculture; this also required 2 weeks for primary culturing, with passaging performed every 3 weeks (5). In our modified procedure, it was possible to obtain pure BECs within 7 days. Most of the expanded cells could be used without waste because the isolation process only required the removal of the fragment with the attached collagen gel for FACS. The reason for this

**Figure 3.** More than 75% of the cells retained the expression of EpCAM. The EpCAM-positive BECs were successfully separated from non-epithelial cells.
was that BECs could be accurately separated from remnant cells, including BECs and other cells, during the sorting process using the specific markers for the cell type of a targeted sample. In this study, EpCAM antibodies were used as a marker of BECs. EpCAM is a cell-surface glycoprotein that is expressed on some normal as well as neoplastic epithelial cells (10, 11). In the adult liver, it is reported to be expressed on BECs, but not hepatocytes (12). Furthermore, it has been assumed—based on the sorting results—that some degree of contamination with non-epithelial cells might have occurred if we had not adequately removed the contaminated cell area under phase-contrast microscopy using forceps, as was performed in our previous method.

The BECs that were acquired in the present study showed almost no proliferation; however more than 70% of the cells were found to be viable based on the results of the 7-AAD analyses. This might be because the number of purified BECs that could be collected from an individual mouse might have been too small to achieve sufficient proliferation. FACS requires a large number of cells in suspension as a starting material, which might affect the yield with respect to cell subpopulations with low abundance (13). To ensure that the cell volume is sufficient for an analysis in a single experiment, we should investigate the duration that is appropriate for primary culturing and the number of gallbladder fragments that should be used in a future study.

In conclusion, pure BECs could be accumulated using FACS. However, the preparation of a significant number of BECs was required for the culturing process. In addition, it might be necessary to improve the method to maintain the cellular function and proliferative ability. Further studies should be performed to obtain BECs that have the ability to proliferate.
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