Flap fixation in preventing seroma formation after mastectomy: an updated meta-analysis
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Abstract
Seroma formation following mastectomy is one of the most experienced complications, with a very variable incidence ranging from 3 to 90%. In recent years, many publications have been realized to define an effective technique to prevent its formation and several approaches have been proposed. Given the potential of flap fixation in reducing seroma formation, we performed a meta-analysis of the literature to investigate the role of this approach as definitive gold standard in mastectomy surgery. Inclusion criteria regarded all studies reporting on breast cancer patients undergoing mastectomy with or without axillary lymph node dissection; studies that compared mastectomy with flap fixation to mastectomy without flap fixation were selected. Papers were eligible for inclusion if outcome was described in terms of seroma formation. As secondary outcome, also surgical site infection (SSI) was evaluated. The included studies were 12, involving 1887 female patients: 221/986 (22.41%) patients experienced seroma formation after flap fixation and 393/901 (43.61%) patients had this complication not receiving flap fixation, with a significant statistical difference between the two groups (OR = 0.267, \( p = 0.001 \), 95% CI 0.153, 0.464). About, SSI 59/686 (8.6%) in flap fixation group and 67/686 (9.7%) in patients without flap fixation, with no statistical differences between groups (OR = 0.59, \( p = 0.056 \), 95% CI 0.344, 1.013).

The heterogeneity between included studies does not allow us to reach definitive conclusions but only to suggest the strong evaluation of this approach after mastectomy in seroma preventing and SSI reduction.
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Introduction
Seroma formation following mastectomy is one of the most experienced complications, with a very variable incidence ranging from 3 to 90%. It is defined as a serous fluid collection that develops under the skin flaps during mastectomy or in the axillary dead space after axillary dissection [1]; some surgeons consider it to be an unavoidable surgical nuisance and, although it is not life threatening, it can lead to patient discomfort, repeated aspirations with the risk of infection, prolonged hospital stay, delayed wound healing and delay in commencing adjuvant therapies [2]. Current literature has already stated the biological mechanisms related to seroma formation and the associated risk factors: factors including the age of the patient, obesity, the extent of axillary lymph node involvement and the type and extent of breast surgery are the most cited responsible of this complication [3, 4].

In recent years, many publications have been realized to define an effective technique to prevent seroma formation and all the authors agree that the best strategy is to reduce the dead space after mastectomy [5]; to achieve this result, several approaches have been proposed including closed-suction drainage, quilting of the skin flaps or application of adhesive tissue glue [6].

Given the potential of flap fixation in reducing seroma formation, we performed a meta-analysis of the literature to investigate the role of this approach as definitive gold standard in mastectomy surgery.
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Materials and methods

A protocol for this analysis was prospectively developed, with specific objectives, detailed criteria for study selection and evaluation of study quality, identification of the outcomes and of the statistical methods.

Literature search strategy

To identify all available studies, a systematic search was performed according to PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses) flow-chart in all electronic databases (PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, and EMBASE). We used medical subject headings (MeSH) and free-text words using the following search terms in all possible combinations: flap fixation, flap quilting, mastectomy, and breast. The last search was performed in December 2020.

According to PICO framework (Problem/Population, Intervention, Comparison and Outcome), study selection criteria was exactly defined. The main outcome measure of this review was symptomatic seroma, defined as seroma requiring any form of surgical intervention; the secondary outcome was surgical site infection (SSI). The search strategy was limited to articles written in English language.

Studies selection and data extraction

Inclusion criteria regarded all studies reporting on breast cancer patients undergoing mastectomy with or without axillary lymph node dissection; studies that compared mastectomy with flap fixation to mastectomy without flap fixation were selected. Papers were eligible for inclusion if outcome was described in terms of seroma formation.

Studies not written in English and papers regarding animal studies, such as studies involving patients undergoing direct breast reconstruction were excluded.

Two independent authors (VS, LV) analyzed each article and performed the data extraction independently. Duplicate studies were removed. Two other authors (NV, AV) further reviewed independently the eligibility of studies in abstract form and in full text by assessing if the inclusion criteria and outcome measures were met. In case of disagreement, a fourth investigator was consulted (MM). Discrepancies were resolved by consensus.

Data regarding sample size, age, Body Mass Index (BMI), smoking habit, neoadjuvant therapy, tumor stage, histological type, number of lymph node harvested, surgical site infection and seroma formation were obtained for each group (flap fixation vs no flap fixation) of all included studies.

Statistical analysis

Dichotomous variables were pooled using the odds ratio (OR) with a 95% CI. In case of zero total events trials, we used the risk difference (RD) as effect measure to maintain analytic consistency and to incorporate all available data. The overall effect was tested using Z scores and significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \). Statistical analysis was realized with using Comprehensive Meta-analysis [Version 2, Biostat, Englewood NJ (2005)].

Heterogeneity was investigated by the use of \( I^2 \) statistic. For \( I^2 \) of between 0 and 30%, heterogeneity was considered as probably not important, between 30 and 60% moderate, between 50 and 90% substantial, and between 75 and 100% considerable [7].

To be as conservative as possible, the random effect method was used for all analyses to take into account the variability among included studies.

Furthermore, we performed a meta-regression analysis to assess the possible effect of oncological (tumor stage, histological type, and number of lymph node harvested) and demographic variables (age, BMI, smoking habit, and neoadjuvant therapy) on the incidence of seroma formation and SSI. To assess the possible effect of such variables in explaining different results observed across studies, we planned to perform meta-regression analyses after implementing a regression model with incidence of seroma and SSI as dependent variable \( (y) \) and the age, BMI, smoking habit, neoadjuvant therapy, tumor stage, histological type and number of lymph node harvested as independent variables \( (x) \).

Risk of bias assessment

Publication bias was assessed by the Egger’s test and represented graphically by funnel plots for each outcome. Visual inspection of funnel plot asymmetry was performed to address for possible small-study effect, and Egger’s test was used to assess publication bias, over and above any subjective evaluation [8]. A \( p < 0.10 \) was considered statistically significant. In case of a significant publication bias, the Duval and Tweedie’s trim and fill method was used to allow for the estimation of an adjusted effect size [9].

Quality assessment

The quality of each included study was assessed. For Randomized Clinical Trial (RCT), it was evaluated according to the Cochrane Collaboration tool for assessing risk of bias: seven distinct domains were identified and evaluated as “Low risk of bias” or “High risk of bias” or “Unclear”: sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of
participants, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and other potential threats to validity (Appendix 1a in ESM).

For non-randomized studies, the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used [10]: the NOS contains eight items, categorized into three domains: (1) selection of study (four points); (2) comparability of groups (two points); (3) ascertainment of exposure and outcomes (three points) for case–control and cohort studies, respectively. A star system is used to allow a semi-quantitative assessment and researchers assign up to a maximum of nine points (Appendix 1b in ESM).

Results

After excluding duplicate results, the search retrieved 56 articles. Of these studies, 32 were excluded because they were off the topic after scanning the title and/or the abstract, and 3 because they were reviews/comments/case reports. One study was excluded after full-length paper evaluation for lack of data, five studies were excluded for language and three studies because of no full text available. Thus, 12 studies were included in the analysis [11–22] (Appendix 2 in ESM).

Studies characteristics

The included studies comparing flap fixation and no flap fixation approach to mastectomy were 12 [11–22], involving 1887 female patients, whereof 986 cases underwent flap fixation and 901 did not receive flap fixation. We identified six retrospective studies [15–20], two prospective studies [12, 13] and four randomized controlled trials [11, 14, 21, 22].

Major characteristics of included studies are shown in Table 1. The mean age varied from 71 to 44 years and the mean BMI varied from 24 kg/m² to 30.9 kg/m². Smoking habit was reported in 8 studies [11, 13, 16–20, 22] for a total of 270 smoking patients. Tumor stage was reported only in 2 studies [12, 14] for a total of 190 patients and similarly histological type was reported only by 2 authors [12, 21].

Table 1 Data from included studies

| Authors                   | Flap fixation | Patients, n | Age     | BMI     | Smoker, n | Neoadjuvant, n | Lymph node harvested | Seroma, n. (%) | SSI, n. (%) |
|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------|---------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Almond et al. 2010        | Yes           | 214         | 46 ± 9  | 40.9 ± 1.5 | 5          | –               | –                   | 37 (17.2)     | –           |
|                           | No            | 115         | 48 ± 9.6| 40.2 ± 1.3 | 10         | –               | –                   | 35 (30.4)     | –           |
| Britt Ten Walde et al. 2010| Yes          | 89          | 62 ± 14.4| 26.35 ± 6.05 | –          | 11              | –                   | 20 (2.2)      | 10 (11.2)   |
|                           | No            | 87          | 60 ± 13.6| 26.38 ± 4.38 | –          | 7               | –                   | 70 (80.4)     | 27 (31)     |
| de Rooij et al. 2020      | Yes           | 214         | 65.3 ± 13.5| 27.85 ± 5.2 | 41         | 40              | –                   | –             | –           |
|                           | No            | 115         | 64.1 ± 12.6| 27.4 ± 5.2  | 23         | 29              | –                   | –             | –           |
| Eichler et al. 2016       | Yes           | 32          | 67 ± 13  | 26 ± 7   | 0          | 4               | –                   | 8 (25)        | –           |
|                           | No            | 173         | 62 ± 14  | 27 ± 7   | 24         | 44              | –                   | 27 (15.6)     | –           |
| Granzier et al. 2016      | Yes           | 126         | 65.2 ± 12.9| 27.5 ± 4.8 | 27         | 27              | –                   | 11 (8.7)      | 14 (11.1)   |
|                           | No            | 61          | 63.2 ± 12.5| 27.1 ± 5.1 | 18         | 16              | –                   | 14 (22.9)     | 9 (14.7)    |
| Khater et al. 2015        | Yes           | 60          | 46 ± 7   | 30.5 ± 1.8| –          | –               | 19 ± 3             | –             | –           |
|                           | No            | 60          | 44 ± 8   | 30.9 ± 1.5| –          | –               | 18 ± 3             | –             | –           |
| Ouldamer et al. 2015      | Yes           | 59          | 56.8 ± 11.9| 25.6 ± 4.9 | 11         | 11              | 8 ± 3.45           | –             | 5 (8.5)     |
|                           | No            | 60          | 61 ± 14.5| 25.1 ± 5  | 8           | 15              | 7.6 ± 1.9          | –             | 2 (3.3)     |
| Rajkumar Kottayasami et al. 2013 | Yes    | 49          | 48 ± 10.5| 24.8 ± 3.1| –          | 13              | 14 ± 6             | 4 (8.2)       | –           |
|                           | No            | 101         | 50 ± 10  | 25.4 ± 4.6| –          | 17              | 30 ± 16            | 17 (16.8)     | –           |
| Sakkary et al. 2012       | Yes           | 20          | 51 ± 12.5| –         | –          | 3               | –                   | 2 (10)        | –           |
|                           | No            | 20          | 54 ± 17  | –         | –          | 6               | –                   | 3 (15)        | –           |
| Van Bastelar et al. 2016  | Yes           | 92          | 71 ± 11  | –         | 21         | –               | 33 (35.9)          | 11 (11.9)     | –           |
|                           | No            | 88          | 67 ± 13  | –         | 21         | –               | 52 (59.1)          | 14 (15.9)     | –           |
| Van Bastelar et al. 2017  | Yes           | 142         | 64.5 ± 13| –         | 33         | –               | 58 (40.8)          | 19 (13.6)     | –           |
|                           | No            | 64          | 69       | –         | 24         | –               | 52 (81.2)          | 15 (23.4)     | –           |
| Van Bastelar et al. 2019  | Yes           | 27          | 69.55 ± 12.6| 27.5 ± 5.1| 4          | 5               | –                   | –             | –           |
|                           | No            | 13          | 67.2 ± 12.2| 29 ± 7.2  | 0          | 4               | –                   | –             | –           |

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation where not differently indicated
over 160 patients; about number of lymph node harvested, it was identified in 3 studies [14, 20, 21], varying from a mean of 7.6 ± 1.9–30 ± 16 nodes. Finally, 252 patients have had neoadjuvant therapy, reported in 8 included studies [11, 12, 14–16, 19, 20, 22].

Seroma

Results about seroma formation are shown in Fig. 1a. In details, seroma was reported by all the authors, involving 614/1887 patients (32.5%); 221/986 (22.41%) patients experienced seroma formation after flap fixation and 393/901 (43.61%) patients had this complication not receiving flap fixation, with a significant statistical difference between the two groups (OR = 0.267, \( p = 0.001 \), 95% CI 0.153, 0.464) and a significant heterogeneity among studies (\( I^2 = 80.17\% \), \( p = 0.001 \)).

SSI

Secondary outcome of SSI was reported by 5 authors [11, 15, 17, 18, 20] involving 126/686 patients (18.3%), 59/686 (8.6%) in flap fixation group and 67/686 (9.7%) in patients without flap fixation, with no statistical differences between the groups (OR = 0.59, \( p = 0.056 \), 95% CI 0.344, 1.013) and no statistically significant heterogeneity among studies (\( I^2 = 41.99\% \), \( p = 0.141 \)) (Fig. 1b).

Meta-regression

We found seroma formation was influenced by neoadjuvant therapy (Z-score 4.02, \( p = 0.001 \)), BMI (Z-score – 2.98, \( p = 0.001 \)) and patients’ age (Z-score 3.67, \( p = 0.001 \)); on the other side, smoking habit (Z-score

---

**Fig. 1** a Primary outcome: seroma formation; b secondary outcome: SSI
− 1.06, p = 0.28) and harvested lymph node (Z-score − 0.81, p = 0.41) do not impact seroma rate (Fig. 2a–e).

About SSI incidence, neoadjuvant therapy (Z-score 1.36, p = 0.17), BMI (Z-score − 0.32, p = 0.74), patients’ age (Z-score 0.41, p = 0.67) and smoking habit (Z-score 1.33, p = 0.18) do not impact complication rate (Fig. 3a–d).

Discussion

Modern screening programs have drastically reduced the mastectomy approach in favor of a more conservative surgery; despite that diagnostic and operational technological advances, preventing seroma formation and its complications after mastectomy remains challenging and considerable research has been done concerning the pathophysiology [6, 23]. By this point of view, the formation and its bothersome sequelae are of clinical importance both for patient discomfort, the possibility of infections and delayed wound healing even to surgical reinterventions [24].

In the past decades, many authors identified the application of suction drainage as the only effective solution to the problem of seroma. More recently, research has focused on the approach of closing the dead space and the studies favoring flap fixation after mastectomy has shown a substantial gain; for this reason, a growing number of studies have been
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Fig. 2 Meta-regression analysis over the primary outcome: a neoadjuvant therapy, b BMI, c age, d smoking habit, and e harvested lymph node.
published evaluating the effect of this approach on seroma formation following mastectomy or modified radical mastectomy [11–22].

Particularly, Rajkumar Kottayasamy et al., [14] in the first RCT on this topic, found that the obliteration of the dead space after breast cancer surgery by suture flap fixation is a safe and easy procedure, which significantly reduces postoperative seroma formation and duration of drainage. In addition, Khater, in a randomized controlled study carried out among 120 females who were candidates for mastectomy and axillary clearance, stated that quilting of the mastectomy flaps caused a significantly lower incidence of seroma and a shorter duration till seroma resolution with a smaller volume of drainage [21].

The recent SAM trial of Granzier and colleagues [11] on a total of 187 patients demonstrated that flap fixation with either sutures or adhesive tissue glue reduces the number of seroma aspirations when compared to simple wound closure. Same results were reported in the 2020 SARA trial from de Rooji et al. [22] which stated, on 250 patients, that flap fixation omitting closed-suction drainage in mastectomy is an effective approach to reduce seroma formation.

Despite substantial heterogeneity among the 12 studies included in our analysis, the evidence that flap fixation could reduce seroma formation seems convincing. Our results underlined a significant reduction in seroma formation when patients underwent flap fixation (22.41% vs 43.61%, OR = 0.267, p = 0.001). The meta-regression analysis suggested this primary outcome was influenced by BMI and patients’ age as stated in the previous literature [25], but, for the first time, we found an impact also of neoadjuvant therapy. About this last evidence, an explanation could be found in the study of van Bastelaar on interleukin-6 (IL-6) in seroma of patients undergoing mastectomy: the authors found that high levels of IL-6 are associated with clinical seroma formation 3 months after surgery [19]. Moreover, in a recent study on patients receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy for locally advanced breast cancer, serum IL-6 levels were significantly higher before, during and after termination of chemotherapy [26]. Considering these data, our result may be related to the rise in this biomarker as possible responsible of increased seroma formation in patients who underwent neoadjuvant therapy.

We found also a reduction from 9.7 to 8.6% of SSI when flap fixation technique is applied. Britt ten Wolde [15] first described, in a study on 176 patients who underwent mastectomy and axillary lymph node dissection, a reduction of SSIs from 31.0 to 11.2% in patients receiving fixation of the skin flaps to the underlying muscles. In addition, Ouldamer et al. [20] found a quilting approach to the skin flap after mastectomy leads to a reduction in surgical site infections when compared with a conventional wound closure (2.3% vs. 11.6%). Of interest, none of the factors considered in the meta-regression analysis affects this outcome.

Fig. 3 Meta-regression analysis over the secondary outcome: a neoadjuvant therapy, b BMI, c age, and d smoking habit
Conclusions

In conclusion, the oncological radicality of mastectomy cannot ignore the objective of reducing its most frequent complication; by this point of view, the flap fixation approach has shown a good safety profile in terms of reduction of both seroma formation and SSI. Furthermore, particular attention should be paid to neoadjuvant therapy which has shown a direct impact on the main outcome of our analysis.

The major limitation of our study is represented by the heterogeneity between the selected studies, probably also due to the subjective method of reporting seroma formation and if no objective criteria are set for reporting seroma, a great variation in its incidence may result; this does not allow us to reach definitive conclusions but only to suggest the strong evaluation of this approach after mastectomy. Further studies will be needed to define a real gold standard and investigate the best methodology to obtaining an effective fixation of the flap.
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