INTRODUCTION

CIE is a rare, acute, reversible, and self-limiting neurologic complication of various angiographic procedures due to contrast exposure irrespective of the osmolarity or ionic state of the contrast agent. Manifestations include visual disturbance, encephalopathy, motor, and sensory deficits, ophthalmoplegia, aphasia, and seizures. Symptoms typically begin within minutes to hours of contrast administration and resolve within one to two days. The pathophysiology may involve disruption of the blood–brain barrier leading to direct neurotoxicity and cerebral edema. Previous studies identified multiple risk factors for CIE including renal dysfunction, previous stroke, male gender, hypertension, and large volumes of contrast. Recent advancements in coronary angiography reduced the complication rate of diagnostic procedures to less than 2% with a mortality less than 0.08%. Head CT and brain MRI are important diagnostic tests to exclude hemorrhage, thromboembolic processes, residual contrast enhancement, cerebral edema, or diffuse cortical hyperattenuation. Treatment strategies vary from corticosteroids, intravenous fluids hydration, and close observation immediately following the procedure. Here, we present a case of delayed onset CIE as evident by altered mental status, aphasia, and tremors four days after coronary angiography.

CASE REPORT

A 76-year-old Caucasian male patient presented to the emergency department (ED) with reported altered mental status by his family. The patient had been displaying confusion and aggressive behavior since earlier in the day. On arrival, the patient was afebrile with a blood pressure of 187/123 mmHg, a heart rate of 82 bpm, respiratory rate of 20 breaths/minute, and oxygen saturation of 96% on ambient air. Laboratory results were remarkable for a blood glucose of 202 mg/dL and a magnesium of 1.2 mg/dL. During bedside assessment, the patient adamantly stated that there was “nothing wrong” with him; however, he was unable to verbalize full sentences and did not follow commands on questioning. The National Institute of Health Stroke Scale score was 6. A new, slow, coarse, bilateral wrist tremor was also noted at rest, which
worsened with limb manipulation, and was more pronounced on the right. Computed tomography (CT) of the head without contrast showed no acute abnormalities (Figure 1). Neurology was consulted and concluded that the abnormal upper extremity movement was likely due to a rubral tremor.

The patient’s past medical history was significant for non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, coronary artery disease (CAD) with a history of seven cardiac catheterizations and placement of four drug-eluting stents. His wife denied any history of alcohol, tobacco, or illicit drug use. Family history was non-contributory. She also denied any recent travel or exposure to sick contacts or toxins. She did, however, state that the patient had experienced intermittent chest discomfort one month prior for which he underwent nuclear stress testing that showed moderate probability reversible apical lateral ischemia. Four days before his arrival to the ED, the patient had a diagnostic coronary angiography performed which revealed a left ventricular ejection fraction >60% and moderate to severe, diffuse multivessel CAD within the left anterior descending artery (in-stent restenosis <50%), left circumflex artery, and a dominant right coronary artery. The patient was referred to cardiothoracic surgery for evaluation for coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) and prescribed daily oral doses of aspirin 81 mg, atenolol 100 mg, lisinopril 20 mg, clopidogrel 75 mg, rosuvastatin 5 mg, and spironolactone 25 mg. His wife reported that he did not take at least two doses of clopidogrel. Differential diagnosis for this patient included acute ischemic stroke, subarachnoid hemorrhage, reperfusion syndrome, hypertensive emergency, and an evolving cerebral infarction. The patient was admitted to the hospital for continued monitoring, and cardiology was consulted for further evaluation. An electrocardiogram showed an accelerated junctional rhythm, left axis deviation, and inferior infarct, age undetermined. CT angiography of the head and neck showed no stenosis of the carotid or vertebral arteries. Further testing including urine analysis, urine drug screen, blood cultures, cerebrospinal fluid analysis, coagulation studies, and serum heavy metal concentrations were all unremarkable. A magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain without contrast showed atrophy with white matter changes, however, no acute abnormality (Figure 2). A transthoracic echocardiogram showed normal systolic function with moderate left ventricular hypertrophy and trace mitral, tricuspid, and pulmonic regurgitation. On the sixth day of admission, the patient developed dystonic movements. A repeat head CT was performed and continued to show no acute abnormalities (Figure 3). Neurology ordered an electroencephalogram, which showed no epileptiform activity.

The patient’s hypertension resolved with hydralazine; his magnesium was repleted; and his blood glucose was treated with subcutaneous insulin. Conversely, his cognitive status worsened to where he became combative and required restraints. The patient began to display increased lucidity on Day 10, and on Day 16,
he showed persistent cognitive improvement and the rubral tremors resolved without pharmacologic therapy. After excluding other possible etiologies, contrast-induced encephalopathy (CIE) was concluded as the final diagnosis. At a 3-month follow-up with his cardiologist, the patient denied any further symptom recurrence, reported medication compliance, and declined any further evaluation from cardiothoracic surgery for a possible CABG.

3 | DISCUSSION

CIE is a transient neurologic complication following coronary angiography that presents with various clinical effects including motor and sensory deficits, cortical blindness, aphasia, and seizures. CT findings may be normal, or present with cortical/subcortical contrast enhancement and vasogenic edema on MRI. In our case, a non-contrast CT and brain MRI performed on admission showed no intracranial pathological findings. Although large volumes of contrast are a known risk factor, minimal administration of contrast has also been reported to cause CIE. Male gender, diabetes mellitus, and hypertension were important risk factors for CIE in our patient. Hypertension can impair the blood–brain barrier and serves as a predisposing factor for contrast extravasation. Of note, our patient did not have any prior adverse reaction to iodinated contrast. A previous report showed that re-exposure to contrast media may precipitate a recurrence of CIE despite premedication with corticosteroids and antihistamines.

Rubral tremors with normal brain imaging after cardiac catheterization have been demonstrated in prior cases. The initial cardiac catheterization in this patient followed by acute onset of the tremor demonstrated a strong cause-and-effect relationship, as the tremor improved in conjunction with the patient’s mental status. Rubral tremor presents as involuntary shaking during rest that is exacerbated by voluntary movement. It is a specific clinical syndrome that may involve lesions of the superior cerebellar peduncle, midbrain tegmentum, or posterior part of the thalamus. In our case, the patient’s rubral tremor required neurologic consultation and resolved without requiring pharmacotherapy.

The prognosis of CIE is excellent with supportive management. However, recovery time in our patient was prolonged compared to a prior retrospective analysis of 9 patients with CIE, which showed a median time to resolution of symptoms was 14 h.

4 | CONCLUSION

CIE is a diagnosis of exclusion, occurring as a complication of contrast exposure with variable symptom onset and duration, where hyperosmolar contrast may disrupt the blood–brain barrier. Radiologic findings may mimic a subarachnoid hemorrhage or may be unremarkable, as in this case. Despite its challenging diagnosis, CIE carries a good prognosis with supportive care focused primarily on adequate hydration.
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