Mechanical and Tribological Characteristics of Aluminium 2618 Matrix Composite Reinforced with Boron Carbide
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Abstract: Aluminium-based alloys are mainly used for bearing and automotive applications, resulting in more wear and tear of the material. Boron Carbide (B₄C), hard ceramic materials used as reinforcement in Al2618 matrix material, was fabricated using the stir casting method. The presence and distribution of the B₄C particles were confirmed by X-ray diffractometer (XRD) and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). Taguchi’s design of experimental approach was employed to study the wear characteristics using the L27 orthogonal array. Optimization of parameters like applied load (20, 30, and 40 N), sliding distance (400, 600, and 800 m), and sliding speed (1.25, 2.51, and 3.76 m/s) were done using Signal-to-Noise ratio analysis and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Results revealed that speed (46.77%) had more influence on wear behavior, followed by sliding distance (34.74%) and load (9.81%). SEM images of the worn-out composite specimens exhibited an adhesive type of wear mechanism with deep grooves from hard B₄C particles.
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1. Introduction

Due to the drastic advancement in material technology, selecting the materials suitable for specific applications according to requirements is necessary. Due to the low density, high thermal conductivity, high specific strength, and resistance against corrosion, Aluminium (Al) and its alloys are ideal for structural applications, and these alloys are commonly used in the aerospace and lightweight car industries because of their considerable weight reduction and fuel economy, which is an additional economic advantage [1]. However, in high-performance tribological applications, Al alloys fall short due to low hardness and wear resistance. In recent decades, there have been many substantial interests from intensive studies concentrating on strong ceramic particles, whiskers, and fiber-reinforced aluminum metal matrix composites (AMMs). Conventional aluminum alloys have restrictions on performance, hardness, durability, wear resistance, fatigue, and working conditions with high temperatures [2]. The optimum MMC characteristics and increased material efficiency must require excellent
reinforcement and processing techniques. Al alloys are extensively used in IC engines, hydraulic gear pumps, reciprocating compressors, and aircraft machinery [3, 4]. Owing to its low density, better serviceable bearing parts can be produced. Relative motion between the mated mechanical components will tend to wear, thus compromising the assembly’s structural integrity. Efforts have been made to understand the wear mechanism to mitigate the wear rate of machine elements by replacing conventional Al alloys with AMM’s for better wear-resistant and service life of the components [5]. Earlier research focused on implementing low-density ceramic materials into low-density materials such as Al and magnesium [6]. Many researchers have studied the effect of reinforcement on wear behavior; few such studies are highlighted here. Suresha et al. [7] investigated the effect of SiC and Gr on the Al matrix. They concluded that wear resistance improved in hybrid mixture due to uniform mixture of Gr and SiC enhancing the bonding strength between base alloys. However, the wear rate decreased as speed, load and distance increased. Similar studies were carried out by Basavarajappa et al. [8] and concluded that as the speed increases, the degree of subsurface deformation also increases. The graphite-free composite exhibits much subsurface deformation in comparison to hybrid composite. AA 6061 matrix and titanium carbide has reinforcement used by Gopalakrishnan and Murugan [9] to study the wear characteristics and found out that specific strength of Al-TiC composite had increased as the TiC addition increased in percentage and wear loss increased linearly with applied load. V Kumar et al. [10] explored wear behavior on the SiC reinforced Al6061 composite fabricated via the liquid metallurgy route. It showed particulate reinforced metal matrix composites exhibited improved wear resistance. The particulate reinforced composite demonstrated excellent processing capability over fiber/whiskers-based composites. The wear behavior of age-hardened Al 6061 alloy was studied by Gowrishankar et al. [11]. Results showed improved wear resistance for age-hardened alloy as compared to solutionized alloy. Sanjib Banerjee et al. [12] studied the influence on 2219 alloy by variable tin (sn) content of rolling and aged heat treatment. They showed that the impact strength and ductility were decreased up to 0.06 wt % in rolled composites, and after aging, impact strength and tensile strength increased by 0.06 wt. % Sn and decreased with further additions of Sn. Mahagundappa et al. [13] studied the aging and wore properties of hybridized Al6061- 9 % SiC & 0, 1, 3, and 5% of E-glass fiber. The result showed a positive correlation between wear resistance and aging time ranging from 1, 3, 5, and 7 hours. From the above studies, it is clear that the addition of ceramic particulate reinforcement improves the tribological properties with lesser addition of reinforcement. Little detail is rendered about Al2618’s wear properties as a reinforcing particle with a high proportion of B4C. In the present study, dry sliding wears resistance of the Al2618 matrix with 10 wt. % of B4C addition were evaluated using a design of experimental approach. The age hardening process was carried out on the composites as a secondary process to improve the tribological properties.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Material.

Al2618 alloy as the matrix and Boron Carbide (B4C) used as reinforcement (10 wt. %). The matrix material is Al–Cu–Mg alloy, and its nominal alloying composition is given in Table 1. The addition of Boron carbide as reinforcement in Al 2618 was fabricated by using a stir casting route. Al2618 alloy melted around 1 kg at 700°C. Nitrogen was used for degassing the melt. To ensure proper mixing, a zirconium-coated stainless steel stirrer with four blades was
used to prevent ferrous material diffusion into the matrix material during stirring. The stirring speed was kept constant at 600 rpm for 15-20 min, and the mechanical stirrer’s immersion was held to about two-thirds of the depth of the molten metal [14]. Before applying the reinforcement to the melt, it was preheated to 600 °C. During stirring, the preheated particles (40-50 µm) were added to the molten slurry and poured into the die. Solution heat treatment was carried out at 540 °C for 120 min and was water quenched. Subsequent aging was carried out at 200 °C for 240 min [15]. The aging temperature was restricted to 200 °C. Beyond this temperature, aging is not favorable due to the effect of recrystallization [16].

Table 1. Elemental composition of Al 2618 alloy.

| Element | Si  | Fe  | Cu  | Mn  | Mg  | Cr  | Ni  | Zn  | Ti  | B  | Ca  | Al |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|----|
| Wt. %   | 0.24| 1.30| 2.52| 0.004| 1.46| 0.02| 1.14| 0.07| 0.03| 0.003| 0.002| Balance |

2.2. Wear analysis.

Samples were machined according to ASTM G99-95 (10 mm diameter x 30 mm length) standards. Pin-on-Disc was used to carry out a wear test at room temperature, as shown in Figures 1 (a) and (b). The disc is made of EN-32 steel with a track diameter of 120 mm & thickness 8 mm. All the test specimens were ground using a series of abrasive (800-1000 grade) papers. Before conducting the wear test, the samples were weighed with an accuracy of 0.0001g using an electronic weighing scale. Acetone was used to clean the disc's surface and the samples to remove oil, grease, and other debris before and after performing the test. Brinell hardness measurements were carried out on samples according to ASTM B647-10. An average of six readings was recorded. Wear test conditions are illustrated in Table 2. By applying the weight-loss method, the sample’s weight loss was determined as an average of three runs. The wear rate was calculated with the formula [17].

\[
\text{Wear rate} = \frac{\text{Average weight loss}}{\text{density} \times \text{sliding distance}}
\]

Table 2. Control factors and their levels.

| Control factors | Levels |
|-----------------|--------|
| Load in N       | 20 2 40 |
| Speed in m/s    | 1.25 2.51 3.76 |
| Sliding distance in m | 400 600 800 |

Figure 1. (A) Pin-on-Disc setup; (B) Schematic view of Pin-on-Disc wear test.
2.3. **Statistical analysis.**

The influences of control factors and their interactions on the tribological behavior were also studied using the Taguchi method. This method involves transforming the experimental results to a signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio, and lower-the-better criteria were adopted. To corroborate for statistical significance of the interacting parameters, analysis of variance was performed, and the best possible combinations were predicted.

3. **Results and Discussion**

3.1. **Microstructure and XRD analysis.**

The microstructure of B₄C reinforced with Al2618, and the heat-treated alloy is shown in above Figure 2 (a) and (b). It is observed from Figure 2(a) that the grey region represents the Al matrix, and the white region represents the presence of the B₄C particles. The B₄C particles are segregated along the grain boundary, as seen in Figure 2(a). It also reveals good interfacial bonding between Al2618 and B₄C particles. Figure 2(b) shows that alloy’s precipitation-hardened grain size decreases than the Al2618+10 % B₄C composites. The composite’s average Brinell hardness (BHN) was 109 kg/mm² and after age-hardening, the hardness increased to 129 kg/mm². It shows that a fine grain strengthening mechanism improves the hardness of the alloy. X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern indicates the presence of Al and B₄C and the minute amount of copper and magnesium, as shown in Figure 3.

![Figure 2. SEM of the Al 2168 matrix composites with (A) 10% B₄C; (B) 10 wt.% B₄C + heat treated.](image)

![Figure 3. XRD pattern showing the presence of reinforcement B₄C particles.](image)
3.2. Evaluation of wear behavior.

3.2.1. Analysis of factors.

S/N ratios for the experimental trials are shown in Table 3. These ratios were calculated using the statistical software tool Minitab15 to evaluate the factors influencing wear rate. The delta values for specific factors were derived from S/N ratios, as shown in Table 4. The degree of affecting factors was ranked from highest to lowest. The highest is the sliding speed, followed by distance and load being the lowest. The Al composites wear rate increases with an increase in the load and the sliding velocity but decreases with distance [18, 19]. The highest wear rate value of 1.679 mm$^3$/m was obtained for 40 N, 3.76 m/s, and 400 m and the lowest value of 0.419 mm$^3$/m for 20 N, 1.25 m/s, and 800 m [20]. In this study, sliding speed has a more significant effect on wear rate due to the oxidative wear at the speed of 3.76 m/s. This type of behavior was observed by Sahin and Murphy [21]. Figures 4 (A) and (B) demonstrate the main effect and interaction plots for the wear rate of the Al2618 and reinforced Al2618. Higher the S/N ratio, the lower the variety of particular wear rates across the desired value. The response graphs made it very simple to decide the optimal testing conditions for these control variables. The graphs depict the transition in the S/N ratio as the control factor setting was shifted from one stage to the next. The graphs with the highest S/N values had the best specific wear rate. In Figure 4A, the initial optimum state for the test samples is 40 N, 3.76 m/s, and 800 m for key control factors sliding speed. Like previous research on aluminum composite materials, wear rate decreased as the magnitude of applied load and sliding speed increased [22, 23]. The interaction plots outline the effects of variables on wear rate, as shown in Figure 5. One of the features was kept constant for any given plot, and the effects of the other two remaining features (horizontal axis and legends) were plotted against the wear rate. For instance, the first row first column shows a plot for sliding speed versus wear rate at a constant distance of 400m for different load values, as shown in the legend. The effect of normal load on wear rates was highly pronounced, with wear rate increasing steeply as the load’s magnitude increased. This is a normal occurrence in most of the material. Furthermore, as the load and the friction at the pin-disc interface increased, the scale of the wear debris became larger. Consequently, the propensities of wear failure occur at higher loads. The effect of sliding speed on wear rate was most severe. As the material is exposed to sliding wear, it undergoes rapid plastic deformation at the surface and sub surfaces. Increased wear rate was observed at higher sliding speeds because of increased temperature and matrix thermal softening. Sliding distance had the least impact on wear rate, with wear rate declining as the sliding distance increased. This may be attributed to the mechanism of strain hardening.

Table 3. Experimental results for wear rate with calculated S/N ratio.

| Sr. No | Load in N | Speed in m/s | Distance in m | Weight loss in m-gm | Wear rate mm$^3$/m | S/N ratio |
|--------|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| 1      | 20        | 1.25         | 400           | 0.0012              | 0.559             | 4.89225   |
| 2      | 20        | 1.25         | 600           | 0.0014              | 0.4353            | 4.9064    |
| 3      | 20        | 1.25         | 800           | 0.0018              | 0.419             | 4.49901   |
| 4      | 20        | 2.51         | 400           | 0.0022              | 1.026             | 2.68278   |
| 5      | 20        | 2.51         | 600           | 0.0026              | 0.808             | 2.27539   |
| 6      | 20        | 2.51         | 800           | 0.0029              | 0.676             | 2.66863   |
| 7      | 20        | 3.76         | 400           | 0.0032              | 1.492             | -0.34004  |
| 8      | 20        | 3.76         | 600           | 0.0035              | 1.088             | 0.0532    |
| 9      | 20        | 3.76         | 800           | 0.0038              | 0.886             | 0.06735   |
| 10     | 30        | 1.25         | 400           | 0.0021              | 0.979             | 3.04598   |
| 11     | 30        | 1.25         | 600           | 0.0025              | 0.777             | 3.06014   |
| 12     | 30        | 1.25         | 800           | 0.0029              | 0.676             | 2.65274   |
| Sr. No | Load in N | Speed in m/s | Distance in m | Weight loss in m-gm | Wear rate mm/m | S/N ratio |
|--------|-----------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------|
| 13     | 30        | 2.51         | 400           | 0.0024               | 1.119          | 0.83651   |
| 14     | 30        | 2.51         | 600           | 0.0027               | 0.839          | 0.42912   |
| 15     | 30        | 2.51         | 800           | 0.003                | 0.699          | 0.82236   |
| 16     | 30        | 3.76         | 400           | 0.0033               | 1.539          | -2.18631  |
| 17     | 30        | 3.76         | 600           | 0.0037               | 1.15           | -1.79307  |
| 18     | 30        | 3.76         | 800           | 0.004                | 0.932          | -1.77892  |
| 19     | 40        | 1.25         | 400           | 0.0023               | 1.072          | 2.32086   |
| 20     | 40        | 1.25         | 600           | 0.0027               | 0.839          | 2.33501   |
| 21     | 40        | 1.25         | 800           | 0.003                | 0.699          | 1.92762   |
| 22     | 40        | 2.51         | 400           | 0.0026               | 1.212          | 0.11139   |
| 23     | 40        | 2.51         | 600           | 0.0031               | 0.963          | -0.29601  |
| 24     | 40        | 2.51         | 800           | 0.0033               | 0.769          | 0.09723   |
| 25     | 40        | 3.76         | 400           | 0.0036               | 1.679          | -2.91144  |
| 26     | 40        | 3.76         | 600           | 0.004                | 1.243          | -2.5182   |
| 27     | 40        | 3.76         | 800           | 0.0043               | 1.002          | -2.50404  |

**Table 4.** Response table for S/N ratios (smaller is better).

| Level | Load   | Speed  | Sliding distance |
|-------|--------|--------|------------------|
| 1     | 2.4117 | 3.2933 | -1.1065          |
| 2     | 0.5654 | 1.0697 | 1.2010           |
| 3     | -0.1597| -1.5457| 2.7229           |

Delta: 2.5714  4.8391  3.8294

Rank: 3  1  2

**Figure 4.** Main effect plots for specific wear rate of Al composites (A) S/N ratio.

**Figure 4.** Main effect plots for specific wear rate of Al composites (B) Mean.
3.2.2. ANOVA analysis.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to look at the architecture criteria that directly impacted the output characteristics. It was achieved by calculating the cumulative uncertainty of the S/N ratio, calculated as the sum of the square deviations from the total mean S/N ratio. Table 5 shows the percentage contribution of each parameter chosen individually. It shows the means analysis outcome. The degrees of freedom (DF), the sum of squares (SS), mean squares (MS), F significance, and percentage contribution (P %) of each factor on the total variance are all explained in the ANOVA Table 5. According to Table 5, the percentage contribution of sliding speed is highest (46.77%) followed by sliding distance (34.74%) and the least contribution being the applied load (9.81%) which is of less significance in the present work. As shown in Figure 6, speed and weight loss are positively correlated to wear rate from the correlation plot. The parameter speed has the highest positive correlation, and the parameter distance has the highest negative correlation. These data suggest that sliding speed and distance have a high significance level and are following the ANOVA for means.

Table 5. ANOVA for reinforced composite.

| Source     | DF  | Seq SS | Adj SS | Adj MS  | F    | P   | %P  |
|------------|-----|--------|--------|---------|------|-----|-----|
| Load       | 2   | 0.2480 | 0.2480 | 0.1240  | 11.34| 0.001| 9.81|
| Speed      | 2   | 1.1817 | 1.1817 | 0.59085 | 54.02| 0.000| 46.77|
| Distance   | 2   | 0.8778 | 0.8778 | 0.43888 | 40.13| 0.000| 34.746|
| Residual Error | 20 | 0.2187 | 0.2187 | 0.01094 |      |      | 8.667 |
| Total      | 26  | 2.5262 |        |         |      |      | 100 |
3.2.3. Regression analysis.

A second-order polynomial regression equation for wear rate was extracted for potential determinants with an R-Sq value of 97.5% to assess the wear rate in the given level of parameters through regression analysis. The mathematical model for wear rate is expressed in equation (1)

\[
\text{Wear rate} (\text{mm}^3/\text{m}) = 0.00530 + 0.0523 \times L + 0.3398 \times S - 0.0016 \times D - 0.003 \times L^2 - 0.0049 \times S^2 - 1.654 \times 10^{-5} \times D^2 + 0.0445 \times L \times S - 0.0004 \times L \times D + 1.598 \times 10^{-6} \times S \times D \ldots \ldots \text{Eq. (1)}
\]

The terms L, S, and D in the above equation represent the process parameters Load, Speed, and Distance. In the usual probability plot for wear rate, the residuals (errors) were normally distributed along the straight line, as shown in Figure 7.

3.3. Comparison between as-cast and heat-treated Al with B$_4$C sample.

From Table 3, the minimum wear ($0.419 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^3/\text{m}$) occurred at a load of 20 N, speed of 1.25 m/s and at 800 m, and maximum wear ($1.679 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^3/\text{m}$) occurred at a load of 40 N, speed of 3.76 m/s and at 400 m. These parameters were fixed to study the wear rate on samples not subjected to the age-hardening process. The results were recorded in Table 6 to compare the wear rate on age-hardened samples for the same aforesaid fixed parameters. The
experimental results were plotted and shown in Figure 8 for comparison. It is evident that the wear rate for age-hardened samples was comparatively lower than that of cast samples for the same fixed parameters. The wear rate for age-hardened samples reduced approximately by 45% for a speed of 1.25 m/s and 25% for a speed of 3.76 m/s. The improvements in wear resistance of aged samples were understood by studying worn-out samples. After conducting the wear test, the worn-out surfaces for both age-hardened and as-cast specimens were studied to evaluate the wear mechanism and compare the severity of wear using SEM. Figures 9 (a) and (c) show that the worn-out surface is mild, with light grooves, scratches, and debris visible. Due to the fine-grained microstructure and increased hardness, wear is not severe on specimens subjected to age-hardening. The formation of precipitates in age-hardened Al2618+10%B4C offers more resistance to plastic deformation, thus improving wear resistance, higher strength, and hardness. However, in Figures 9 (b) and (d), the surface showing intense grooves, cracks, and the worn-out surface is more severe than as-cast specimens. The wear rate for the age-hardened specimens was less compared to as-cast alloy (Fig. 8).

Even though the sliding distance was higher, the wear rate was less for age-hardened specimens (Figure 9a and 8c). As the sliding distance increased from 400 to 600 m, there was a decrease in wear rate, and from 600 to 800 m distance, the wear rate was almost constant for a constant load of 20 N, as shown in interaction plots Figure 5. This may be attributed to hard B4C reinforcements protruding at the interface, reducing the contact area between the sliding surfaces, leading to less wear rate. With the subsequent increase in sliding distance, these protruding reinforcements became blunt, and the overall contact surface area reduced, resulting in a constant or reduced wear rate. A similar study was carried out on Al-Si/illuminate reinforced composites via a stir casting route to study the effect of sliding distance. A constant wear rate trend was observed for distances in the range 2000 m to 3000 m [24]. The formation of a mechanically mixed layer and the simultaneous removal of the same layer was the reason behind the constant/steady-state wear rate trend. The wear mechanism differed for samples not subjected to the age-hardening process (Figures 9b and 8d). The wear mechanism is exacerbated at higher loads and speeds due to increased contact pressure at the interface between the specimen and the rotating disc (Figure 9b). An increase in frictional resistance led to the rise in temperature, softening of the matrix, plastic deformation, and hence, the wear rate was severe, as observed in Figure 9d. SEM micrograph clearly shows the severity of wear for as-cast specimens. The wear transition from abrasive to adhesive was predominant at lower and dominant at higher loads. Table 6 summarizes the findings of numerous Taguchi optimization studies.

![Figure 8. Wear rate comparisons between as-cast and Age-hardened samples.](https://biointerfaceresearch.com/)
Figure 9. The SEM microstructure of the worn surfaces of (A) and (C) Worn out the surface of age-hardened specimens subjected to L=20N; S=1.25m/s and D=800m, (B) & (D) Worn out the surface of as-cast specimens subjected to L=40N; S=3.76m/s and D=400m.

Table 6. Studies related to the Taguchi method for process optimization [25-37].

| Author                        | Year | Method                          | Material                                |
|-------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| A. Saravanakumar et al. [25]  | 2020 | Taguchi's L27 standard orthogonal array | AA2219-Gr Matrix Composite              |
| T. Satish et al. [26]         | 2020 | Taguchi's L27 standard orthogonal array | Al alloy 7050 reinforced with SiC         |
| S. Arunkumar et al. [27]      | 2020 | Taguchi's L4 standard orthogonal array | Al7075 hybrid metal matrix composite    |
| Eshan Agrawal et al. [28]     | 2020 | Taguchi's L4 standard orthogonal array | Al-TiC composite                        |
| Sadanand Sarapure et al. [29] | 2020 | Taguchi's L27 standard orthogonal array | SiC-Reinforced Al 6061/SiC Metal Matrix Composite |
| Imran Mohsin et al. [30]      | 2020 | Taguchi's L18 standard orthogonal array | 316L Stainless steel                    |
| Tan Koon Tatt et al. [31]     | 2020 | Taguchi's L41 orthogonal array    | 316L Stainless steel powder             |
| Bo Yang et al. [32]           | 2020 | Taguchi's L9 standard orthogonal array | Inconel 625                             |
| Sunil Kumar et al. [33]       | 2020 | Taguchi’s L9 standard orthogonal array | Ti alloy                                |
| Zhe Wang et al. [34]          | 2021 | Taguchi’s L9 standard orthogonal array | TC17 alloy                              |
| Ramiro J Sanchez et al. [35]  | 2021 | Taguchi’s L9 standard orthogonal array-based fuzzy logic approach | Canola Seed                             |
| Mohammad Nishat Akhtar et al. [36] | 2021 | Taguchi’s L27 standard orthogonal array | Aluminum 7075 Alloy                     |
| Emine Sap et al. [37]         | 2021 | Taguchi’s L9 standard orthogonal array | Cu/Mo-SiCr Composite                    |

4. Conclusions

The stir casting route successfully fabricated the composite. Design of experiments conducted using L27 orthogonal array on the age-hardened metal matrix composites (MMCs)
to understand some wear mechanism fundamentals. ANOVA results confirmed that sliding speed and distance are the most significant parameters for wear studies on Al2618-10 wt. % B4C MMC. Both sliding speed and applied load positively correlate with the wear rate, and sliding distance is negatively correlated. For better responses, load and speed must be kept at a low level but the distance at a high level. The wear test results indicated that the wear rate increases with increasing load and sliding speed, but the wear rate decreases with increasing sliding distance. Age hardening improved the hardness and wear resistance of the composite. SEM images show the proper distribution of reinforcement particles, and the nature of wear in age-hardened composite was mild. The reinforcement in the matrix improved the wear resistance of the composite. These observations corroborate that age-hardening enhances the wear resistance, strength, and other tribological properties owing to less material removal rate and prolonged service life of the components.
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