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Abstract. We establish a version of Knörrer’s Periodicity Theorem in the context of noncommutative invariant theory. Namely, let $A$ be a left noetherian AS-regular algebra, let $f$ be a normal and regular element of $A$ of positive degree, and take $B = A/(f)$. Then there exists a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable non-free maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over $B$ and those over (a noncommutative analog of) its second double branched cover ($B^\#$). Our results use and extend the study of twisted matrix factorizations, which was introduced by the first three authors with Cassidy. These results are applied to the noncommutative Kleinian singularities studied by the second and fourth authors with Chan and Zhang.

1. Introduction

Throughout let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and $S$ be a noetherian $k$-algebra. When $S = k[x_1, x_2]^G$ is the ring of invariants under the action of a finite subgroup $G$ of $SL_2(k)$, acting linearly on $k[x_1, x_2]$, then $S = k[z_1, z_2, z_3]/(f)$ is the coordinate ring of a hypersurface in affine 3-space, namely that of a Kleinian singularity. (In this case, we refer to the ring $S$ as a (commutative) Kleinian singularity as well.) The ring $S$ has finite Cohen-Macaulay type, and the indecomposable maximal (graded) Cohen-Macaulay (MCM) $S$-modules can be given explicitly; they are presented in terms of matrix factorizations in [7] (see also [12, Chapter 9, §4]). One of our achievements in this work is that we use the theory of twisted matrix factorizations from [2] to further the study of MCM modules over (the coordinate rings of) ‘noncommutative hypersurfaces’. In doing so, we obtain a noncommutative version of Knörrer’s Periodicity Theorem [10] (see also [12, Theorem 8.33]).

To obtain an explicit description of MCMs in an analogous noncommutative invariant context, commutative polynomial rings are replaced by noetherian (connected graded) Artin-Schelter (AS-) regular algebras (Definition 2.7), which possess the homological properties of commutative polynomial rings. The analog of a finite subgroup of $SL_2(k)$ acting linearly on $k[x_1, x_2]$ is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra algebra.
that acts on an AS-regular algebra \(C\) of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension 2 inner faithfully, preserving the grading of \(C\), and with trivial homological determinant. These Hopf algebras, called “quantum binary polyhedral groups”, were classified in [4]. In [3] and [5], when \(H\) is semisimple, an analog of the classical McKay correspondence was obtained: the fixed ring \(C^H\) under each of these actions was computed, and was shown to be a “hypersurface” in an AS-regular algebra of dimension 3. That is, \(C^H\) is an algebra of the form \(B = A/(f)\), where \(A\) is an AS-regular algebra of dimension 3 and \(f\) is a normal element of \(A\), and hence \(B\) may be regarded as a noncommutative Kleinian singularity. The element \(f\) associated to each \(C^H\) was given explicitly in [5, Table 3] (see Table 1 of Section 6). With a suitable definition of maximal Cohen-Macaulay (MCM) module (Definition 2.6), the following result from [3] summarizes the McKay correspondence in this setting. Note that a \(C\)-module \(M\) is called initial if it is a graded module, generated in degree 0, with \(M_{<0} = 0\).

**Theorem 1.1.** [3] Theorems A and B] Let \(C\) be a noetherian AS-regular algebra of dimension 2 that admits an inner faithful action of a semisimple Hopf algebra \(H\), preserving the grading, and with trivial homological determinant. There are bijective correspondences between the isomorphism classes of:

(a) indecomposable direct summands of \(C\) as \(C^H\)-modules;
(b) indecomposable finitely generated, projective, initial left \(\text{End}_{C^H}C\) -modules;
(c) indecomposable finitely generated, projective, initial left \(C\#H\)-modules; and
(d) simple left \(H\)-modules.
(e) indecomposable MCM left \(C^H\)-modules.

In [2] a noncommutative version of a matrix factorization was defined for hypersurfaces of the form \(S = R/(f)\), where \(R\) is not necessarily commutative, but \(f\) is a normal element of \(R\). The graded automorphism of \(R\) (the “twist”) induced by this normal element \(f\) is denoted by \(\sigma\), and \(\sigma\) can be used to produce a graded left \(R\)-module \(\sigma M\). Specifically, define \(\sigma M\) to be the graded left \(R\)-module with \(\sigma M = M\) as graded \(k\)-vector spaces, where \(R\) acts via the rule \(r \cdot m = \sigma(r)m\). Further if \(f\) has degree \(d\), we can shift the degrees in \(\sigma M\) and define \(\-tw M := \sigma M(-d)\) (the twisted module) from a graded \(R\)-module \(M\). A (left) twisted matrix factorization (Definition 3.2) is given by a pair of maps \((\varphi, \psi)\), where for finite rank free graded \(R\)-modules \(F\) and \(G\) there are graded left \(R\)-module homomorphisms \(\varphi : F \to G\) and \(\psi : \tw G \to F\) with \(\varphi \psi = \lambda_\varphi^G\) and \(\psi \tw \varphi = \lambda_\psi^F\), where \(\lambda_\varphi^G\) (resp. \(\lambda_\psi^F\)) is the map from \(F\) (resp. \(\tw G\)) given by left multiplication by \(f\) (see Notation 2.3). In particular, when \(A\) is AS-regular and \(B = A/(f)\), twisted matrix factorizations are related to maximal Cohen-Macaulay \(B\)-modules by the following generalization of a theorem of Eisenbud [6].

**Standing notation for the rest of Introduction.** Let \(A\) be a left noetherian AS-regular algebra, let \(f \in A_d\) be a normal and regular element of positive degree.
Take $\sigma$ to be the graded automorphism of $A$ induced by the normality of $f$ in $A$.

**Theorem 1.2.** \cite[Lemma 5.3, Theorem 4.2(3,4)]{2} Retain the notation above. The cokernel of $\varphi$ of a twisted matrix factorization $(\varphi, \psi)$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $B$-module. Conversely, every maximal Cohen-Macaulay $B$-module with no free direct summand can be represented as the coker $(\varphi)$ for some reduced twisted matrix factorization $(\varphi, \psi)$.

When $S = R/(f)$ is the hypersurface associated to a (commutative) Kleinian singularity, producing an explicit matrix factorization of the singularity $f$ is facilitated by use of the double branched cover $S^\# := R[z]/(f + z^2)$ of $S$. Knörrer \cite{10} (see also \cite[Chapter 8]{12}) showed how to relate MCM modules over $S^\#$ to those over $S$ by proving a relation between the matrix factorizations of $f$ over $R$ and those of $f + z^2$ over $R[z]$. We achieve a similar result in the noncommutative setting by employing the category of twisted matrix factorizations $\text{TMF}_R(f)$ of $f$ in $R$ (Definition 3.2), where $R$ is not necessarily commutative. Now our first main result is given as follows.

**Theorem 1.3** (Theorem 3.7). A Krull-Schmidt Theorem holds for elements of the category $\text{TMF}_A(f)$ that are not irrelevant (as in Definition 3.3(2)).

We proceed next to define a double branched cover in a noncommutative setting (cf. \cite[Section 8.2]{12}). Noncommutativity introduces a number of obstructions to this process, and our results require several technical assumptions on the automorphism $\sigma$ induced by the normal element $f$. Our hypotheses include that $f$ has even degree and $\sigma$ is of finite order and has a square root automorphism $\sqrt{\sigma}$ with $\sqrt{\sigma}(f) = f$ (see Hypotheses 3.9). The double branched cover

$$B^\# := A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]/(f + z^2)$$

of $B$ is then defined. We also define a graded automorphism $\zeta$ of $A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]$ that extends to $B^\#$ by mapping $z$ to $-z$, and form the skew group-ring $B^\#[\zeta]$.

**Theorem 1.4** (Theorem 4.9). Retain the notation and hypotheses above. Then, we obtain that $\text{MCM}_<(B^\#)$, the category of graded $B^\#[\zeta]$-modules that are graded MCM $B^\#$-modules, is equivalent to the category of twisted matrix factorizations $\text{TMF}_A(f)$ of $f$ in $A$.

We also relate analogs of reduced twisted matrix factorizations (Definition 3.3(5)) to those that are symmetric (Definition 3.10).

**Theorem 1.5** (Theorem 4.15). There exists a functor $\mathcal{C}$ from $\text{TMF}_A(f)$ to $\text{TMF}_{A[z;\sigma]}(f + z^2)$ so that the reduced twisted matrix factorizations in the image of $\mathcal{C}$ are precisely those that are symmetric.

Our next task is to describe the indecomposable MCM $B$-modules, which via Theorem 1.2 can be done using twisted matrix factorizations. This begins by
exploiting the correspondence between twisted matrix factorizations of \( f \) and of \( f + z^2 \) to decompose factorizations in Lemma 5.3 and then use this result to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.6** (Theorem 5.4). The algebra \( B \) has finite Cohen-Macaulay type if and only if \( B^\# \) has finite Cohen-Macaulay type.

Then we use two applications of the double branch cover construction to form the second double branched cover \( (B^\#)^\# \) (Definition-Notation 5.6), along with a change of variable (see Remark 5.7) to relate twisted matrix factorizations of \( f \) and twisted matrix factorizations of \( f + uv \). With this, we achieve our noncommutative version of Knörrer’s Periodicity Theorem below.

**Theorem 1.7** (Theorem 5.11, Corollary 5.12). There exists a bijection between the sets of isomorphism classes of nontrivial indecomposable graded matrix factorizations of \( f \) and those of \( f + uv \). Thus, there is also a bijection between the sets of isomorphism classes of indecomposable non-free MCM \( B \)-modules and indecomposable non-free MCM \( (B^\#)^\# \)-modules.

Finally in Section 6 our results above are used to present explicit matrix factorizations for the noncommutative Kleinian singularities of \([5]\) in Theorem 6.2.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains general background material and Section 3 contains the results on twisted matrix factorization that are needed in the paper. Section 4 describes the double branched cover in the noncommutative setting. The Knörrer Periodicity Theorem is discussed in Section 5 and in Section 6 these results are applied to noncommutative Kleinian singularities, where explicit matrix factorizations of the singularities found in \([5]\) are presented.

## 2. Background material

We recall for the reader background material on graded algebras, graded modules, and twisting. We also discuss noncommutative graded analogues of results on modules over commutative local rings.

We begin with a brief discussion of categories of modules over graded algebras. Let \( R \) be a graded \( \k \)-algebra and let \( M \) be a finitely generated graded (left) \( R \)-module. We also assume that \( R \) is locally finite, i.e. that each of its graded components is finite dimensional.

**Notation 2.1** (\( R\text{Mod}, R\text{GrMod}, R\text{grmod}, \sim \)). Consider the following notation and terminology.

1. We denote the category of ungraded left \( R \)-modules by \( R\text{Mod} \).
2. Since \( R \) is a graded algebra, we also consider the subcategory \( R\text{Mod} \) of \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded, bounded below, locally finite left \( R \)-modules, namely graded left \( R \)-modules, with degree 0 morphisms; this is denoted by \( R\text{GrMod} \). Morphisms in \( R\text{GrMod} \) will be called graded homomorphisms.
The functor which forgets grading will be denoted
\[ \tilde{\cdot} : R\text{GrMod} \to R\text{Mod}. \]

The subcategory of \( R\text{GrMod} \) consisting of finitely generated graded left \( R \)-modules will be denoted \( R\text{grmod} \).

We note that \( R\text{GrMod} \) is a \( k \)-linear abelian category, and if \( R \) is graded noetherian, \( R\text{grmod} \) is as well. We note that since \( R \) is locally finite, finitely generated graded \( R \)-modules are also locally finite. It follows that \( R\text{grmod} \) is \( \text{Hom-finite} \), meaning \( \text{Hom}_{R\text{grmod}}(M,N) \) is a finite-dimensional \( k \)-vector space for all \( M,N \in R\text{grmod} \). If, in addition, \( R \) is assumed to be graded noetherian, then the abelian category \( R\text{grmod} \) is a \( \text{Krull-Schmidt category} \). That is, every object of \( R\text{grmod} \) decomposes into a finite direct sum of indecomposable objects, and the endomorphism ring of any indecomposable object is a local ring [11, Lemma 5.2, Theorem 5.5]. Moreover, the decomposition is unique up to isomorphism and permutation of factors [11, Theorem 4.2]. In particular, we have the following result on the endomorphism ring of an indecomposable module in \( R\text{grmod} \).

**Proposition 2.2.** If \( M \) is a finitely generated graded indecomposable \( R \)-module, then the degree 0 endomorphism ring \( \text{End}_R(M) \) is a local ring.

Now we discuss shifts within the category \( R\text{GrMod} \). For \( M \in R\text{GrMod} \) and \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) we define \( M[n] \) to be the graded left \( R \)-module with \( M[n]_j = M_{n+j} \) for all \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \). If \( \alpha : M \to N \) is a graded homomorphism of graded left \( R \)-modules, we let \( \alpha[n] \) denote the unique element of \( \text{Hom}_{R\text{GrMod}}(M[n],N) \) such that \( \tilde{\alpha}[n] = \tilde{\alpha} \).

Next, we turn our attention to twists within \( R\text{GrMod} \). Let \( \sigma : R \to R \) be a degree 0 graded algebra automorphism of \( R \). For \( M \in R\text{GrMod} \) we define \( \sigma M \) to be the graded left \( R \)-module with \( \sigma M = M \) as graded \( k \)-vector spaces where \( R \) acts via the rule \( r \cdot m = \sigma(r)m \). If \( \varphi : M \to N \) is a graded homomorphism of graded left \( R \)-modules, then \( \varphi \) also defines a morphism \( \sigma M \to \sigma N \). To avoid confusion, we denote this morphism by \( \sigma \varphi \), but as linear maps \( \varphi = \sigma \varphi \). The functor \( \sigma(-) \) is an autoequivalence of \( R\text{GrMod} \) with inverse \( \sigma^{-1}(-) \). Note that \( M \) is a graded free left \( R \)-module if and only if \( \sigma M \) is and the functors \( \sigma(-) \) and \( (-)[n] \) commute.

**Notation 2.3** (\( \text{tw}(-), \text{tw}^{-1}(-), \lambda_f^M \)). Let \( f \in R \) be a normal, regular homogeneous element of positive degree \( d \) and let \( \sigma : R \to R \) be the graded automorphism of \( R \) determined by the equation \( rf = f \sigma(r) \). We denote the composite autoequivalence \( \sigma(-)[-d] \) by \( \text{tw}(-) \) and its inverse by \( \text{tw}^{-1}(-) \). For any graded left \( R \)-module \( M \), left multiplication by \( f \) defines a graded homomorphism
\[ \lambda_f^M : \text{tw}^M \to M. \]

Moreover, if \( \varphi : M \to N \) is a graded homomorphism of graded left \( R \)-modules, we have that \( \lambda_f^N \circ \text{tw} \varphi = \varphi \circ \lambda_f^M \).
We end this section by recalling the definitions of some graded algebras and graded module categories that important to our work: skew group rings, maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules, and Artin-Schelter regular algebras.

**Definition 2.4.** Given a graded \( k \)-algebra \( R \) and a finite subgroup \( G \subset \text{Aut}(R) \) of graded automorphisms of \( R \), we can form the skew group ring \( R\#G \) as follows. As a graded vector space, \( R\#G = R \otimes_k kG \), and multiplication is given by

\[
(r_1 \otimes g_1)(r_2 \otimes g_2) = r_1 g_1(r_2) \otimes g_1 g_2,
\]

for \( r_1, r_2 \in R \) and \( g_1, g_2 \in G \).

In particular, \( R\#G \) is a graded free \( R \)-module. Observe that \( R\#G \) is a locally finite graded \( k \)-algebra, but it is no longer connected. However, provided \(|G|\) is invertible in \( k \), the zeroth component \( (R\#G)_0 \cong kG \) is semisimple. In this case, viewing each graded component of \( R\#G \) as a \( kG \)-module, we obtain a direct sum decomposition

\[
R\#G = \bigoplus \chi N^\chi
\]

where the sum is taken over the irreducible characters of \( G \) and \( N^\chi \) is the sum of the irreducible \( kG \)-submodules of \( R\#G \) of character \( \chi \). It follows from character theory that the decomposition holds in the category of modules over the fixed subalgebra \((R\#G)^G\). We call \( N^\chi \) the weight submodule for \( \chi \).

Next, we consider the class of (graded) maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules that are homologically well-behaved, but first we need to recall the notation of depth.

**Definition 2.5.** The depth of a left (or right) \( R \)-module \( M \) is defined to be

\[
\text{depth}(M) := \inf \{ i \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(k, M) \neq 0 \}.
\]

If \( \text{Ext}_R^i(k, M) = 0 \) for all \( i \), then \( \text{depth} M = \infty \).

Here, \( \text{Ext}_R^i(-, -) \) is the derived functor of the graded Hom functor \( \text{Hom}(M, N) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\text{GrMod}(R)}(M, N[n]) \).

**Definition 2.6.** Let \( R \) be a graded left noetherian \( k \)-algebra. A finitely generated graded \( R \)-module \( M \) is called (graded) maximal Cohen-Macaulay (MCM) provided that \( \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0 \) for all \( i \neq 0 \).

Graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay \( R \)-modules form a full subcategory of \( \text{Rgrmod} \), which we denote by \( \text{MCM}(R) \). The category \( \text{MCM}(R) \) inherits the Krull-Schmidt property from \( \text{Rgrmod} \).

Moreover, we also consider the category of stable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules, which we denote \( \text{MCM}^*(R) \), to have the same objects as \( \text{MCM}(R) \), but for \( M, N \in \text{MCM}(R) \), we have

\[
\text{Hom}_{\text{MCM}^*(R)}(M, N) = \text{Hom}_R(M, N)/V
\]

where \( V \) is the subspace of morphisms which factor through a graded free \( R \)-module.
Finally, we recall the Artin-Schelter regularity condition on graded \( k \)-algebras.

**Definition 2.7.** A graded \( k \)-algebra \( A \) is called **Artin-Schelter regular (AS-regular)** of dimension \( n \) if \( A \) has global dimension \( n \), finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, and if it satisfies the **Artin-Schelter Gorenstein** condition, namely that \( \text{Ext}^i_A(k, A) = \delta_{i,n}k \).

One consequence of this assumption is that the MCM condition can be verified via the result below.

**Proposition 2.8.** [2, Lemma 5.3] Let \( A \) be a left noetherian, AS-regular, let \( f \) be a homogeneous normal element of \( A \) of positive degree, and let \( B := A/(f) \). Then for any finitely generated graded left \( B \)-module, we obtain that \( \text{pd}_A(M) = 1 \) if and only if \( \text{Ext}^i_B(M, B) = 0 \) for all \( i \neq 0 \). \([\blacksquare]\)

**Remark 2.9.** The definition of graded MCM module given in Definition 2.6 is different from the definition used in [3]. As shown in [8, Proposition 4.3] the two definitions are equivalent when the algebra \( R \) satisfies the so-called \( \chi \) condition. The \( \chi \) condition is satisfied when \( R \) is noetherian AS-regular, or is the quotient of a noetherian AS-regular algebra by a normal regular element. If \( R \) is noetherian AS-regular, every MCM \( R \)-module is graded free (see [3, Lemma 3.13]).

## 3. ON TWISTED MATRIX FACTORIZATION

The goal of this section is to provide preliminary results on **twisted matrix factorizations** as defined in [2], and as a consequence, to generalize several results on matrix factorizations in the commutative setting.

To begin, let us recall the notation from Section 2; see also Notation 2.3

**Notation 3.1.** \([R, f, d, \sigma, S, A, B]\) For the rest of the paper, let \( R \) be a noetherian, connected, \( \mathbb{N} \)-graded, locally finite-dimensional algebra over \( k \). Let \( f \in R_d \) be a normal, regular homogeneous element of positive degree \( d \), and let \( \sigma \) be the normalizing automorphism of \( f \). Let \( S \) denote the quotient algebra \( R/(f) \).

Moreover, we reserve the notation \( A \) for a noetherian Artin-Schelter (AS-)regular algebra and we let \( B := A/(f) \) for \( f \) as above.

**Definition 3.2** \((F, G, \text{TMF}_R(f), \text{TMF}(f))\). Consider the following terminology.

1. A **twisted (left) matrix factorization of** \( f \) **over** \( R \) is a pair
   \[
   (\varphi : F \to G, \quad \psi : \text{tw}G \to F)
   \]
   of graded left \( R \)-module homomorphisms, where \( F \) and \( G \) free graded \( R \)-modules of finite rank, and \( \varphi \psi = \lambda_f^G \) and \( \psi \text{tw} \varphi = \lambda_f^F \). (Note that \( \text{tw}G \) is free whenever \( G \) is.)

2. A **morphism** \((\varphi, \psi) \to (\varphi', \psi')\) of twisted matrix factorizations is a pair of graded \( R \)-module homomorphisms \((\alpha : F \to F', \beta : G \to G')\) such that \( \varphi' \alpha = \beta \varphi \); it is an **isomorphism** if \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are isomorphisms.
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(3) Using the objects in (1) and morphisms in (2), the resulting category of twisted matrix factorizations of \( f \) over \( R \) is denoted \( TMF_R(f) \), or just \( TMF(f) \).

Note that regularity of \( f \) is not required for these definitions. On the other hand, it is easy to see that \( \varphi, \psi \) are injective when \( f \) is regular.

Since \( R \) is noetherian, we may assume that if \( (\varphi : F \to G, \psi : {}^\text{tw}G \to F) \) is a twisted matrix factorization, then \( \text{rank}(F) = \text{rank}(G) \). This equality need not hold otherwise, as noted in [13, Remark 4.6].

It is straightforward to show that the category \( TMF(f) \) is preserved under both the twist and shift functors. Namely, if \( (\varphi, \psi) \) is a twisted matrix factorization of \( f \), then so is \( (\psi, {}^\text{tw}\varphi) \). Likewise, \( (\varphi, \psi)[n] := (\varphi[n], \psi[n]) \) is a twisted matrix factorization for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \).

The following twisted matrix factorizations are of interest in this work; recall Notation 2.3.

**Definition 3.3.** Take \( (\varphi : F \to G, \psi : {}^\text{tw}G \to F) \in TMF_R(f) \).

1. \( (\varphi, \psi) \) is called **trivial** if \( (\varphi, \psi) \cong (\lambda_f F, 1_\text{tw} F) \) or \( (\varphi, \psi) \cong (1_F, \lambda_f^T F) \), where \( F \) is a graded free \( R \)-module.
2. \( (\varphi, \psi) \) is called **irrelevant** if it is trivial with \( F = 0 \).
3. If \( (\varphi', \psi') \) is another twisted matrix factorization of \( f \), then the **direct sum** of \( (\varphi, \psi) \) and \( (\varphi', \psi') \) is defined as
   \[
   (\varphi, \psi) \oplus (\varphi', \psi') := (\varphi \oplus \varphi', \psi \oplus \psi'),
   \]
   which is also a twisted factorization of \( f \).
4. If \( (\varphi, \psi) \) is not irrelevant and is not isomorphic to a direct sum of non-irrelevant elements of \( TMF_R(f) \), then \( (\varphi, \psi) \) is called **indecomposable**.
5. If \( (\varphi, \psi) \) is not isomorphic to a twisted matrix factorization having a non-irrelevant, trivial direct summand, then we say \( (\varphi, \psi) \) is **reduced**.

Note that the irrelevant factorization is reduced; it is the zero object of the additive category \( TMF_R(f) \).

Let \( TMF^0_R(f) \) denote the full subcategory of \( TMF_R(f) \) consisting of factorizations \( (\varphi, \psi) \) such that \( \text{coker}(\varphi) = 0 \). Note that \( TMF^0_R(f) \) contains the irrelevant factorization. It is also closed under direct sums and grading shifts (it is an additive subcategory of \( TMF_R(f) \)), but it is not closed under \( {}^\text{tw}(-) \). Let \( TMF^1_R(f) \) denote the full subcategory of \( TMF_R(f) \) consisting of finite direct sums of trivial factorizations. The first two parts of the following Lemma show that \( TMF^1_R(f) \) is the smallest additive subcategory of \( TMF_R(f) \) that contains \( TMF^0_R(f) \) and is closed under grading shifts and \( {}^\text{tw}(-) \).

Recall from Section 2 that an additive category is called a **Krull-Schmidt category** if every object decomposes into a finite direct sum of indecomposable objects having local endomorphism rings, and that uniqueness of such a decomposition is automatic in any additive category.
Proposition 3.4. Assume $R$ is graded noetherian.

(1) $\text{TMF}_R^0(f)$ is a subcategory of $\text{TMF}_R^1(f)$.

(2) If $(\varphi, \psi) \in \text{TMF}_R^1(f)$, then $(\varphi, \psi) \cong (\varphi', \psi') \oplus \text{tw}(\varphi'', \psi'')$ where $(\varphi', \psi')$ and $(\varphi'', \psi'') \in \text{TMF}_R^0(f)$.

(3) The category $\text{TMF}_R^0(f)$ is equivalent to the category $\text{proj}(R)$ of finitely generated graded projective $R$-modules.

(4) The categories $\text{TMF}_R^0(f)$ and $\text{TMF}_R^1(f)$ are Krull-Schmidt categories.

Proof. (1) Suppose $(\varphi : F \to G, \psi : \text{tw}G \to F) \in \text{TMF}_R^0(f)$. Since $R$ is graded noetherian, we have $\text{rank}(F) = \text{rank}(G)$, as noted above. Thus the map $\varphi$ is a graded isomorphism and $(\varphi, \psi) \cong (1_F, \lambda_f^\varphi)$ via the isomorphism $(1_F, \varphi^{-1})$.

(2) This follows immediately from the definition of $\text{TMF}_R^1(f)$, the additivity of $\text{tw}(-)$, and the fact that $(\lambda_f^\varphi, 1_{\text{tw}F}) = (1_f, \lambda_f^\varphi)$.

(3) First we define a functor $\mathcal{T} : \text{proj}(R) \to \text{TMF}_R^0(f)$. Let $F, G \in \text{Rgmod}$ be graded projective. Then $F$ and $G$ are finitely generated, graded free modules. Put $\mathcal{T}(F) = (1_F, \lambda_f^\varphi)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{T}(F) \in \text{TMF}_R^0(f)$. If $\delta : F \to G$ is a degree 0 homomorphism of graded $R$-modules, then $\mathcal{T}(\delta) = (\delta, \delta) : \mathcal{T}(F) \to \mathcal{T}(G)$ is a morphism of twisted matrix factorizations.

Next we define $\mathcal{P} : \text{TMF}_R^0(f) \to \text{proj}(R)$. If $(\varphi : F \to G, \psi : \text{tw}G \to F) \in \text{TMF}_R^0(f)$, put $\mathcal{P}(\varphi, \psi) = F$, and if $(\alpha, \beta) : (\varphi, \psi) \to (\varphi', \psi')$ is a morphism in $\text{TMF}_R^0(f)$, put $\mathcal{P}(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha$.

It is clear from the definitions that $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{T} = \text{id}_{\text{proj}(R)}$. On the other hand, if $(\varphi : F \to G, \psi : \text{tw}G \to F) \in \text{TMF}_R^0(f)$, then as in the proof of (1) we have $(\varphi, \psi) \cong (1_F, \lambda_f^\varphi) = \mathcal{T}\mathcal{P}(\varphi, \psi)$ via the isomorphism $(1_F, \varphi^{-1})$. Naturality is an obvious consequence of the definition of morphism of twisted matrix factorizations, so $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{P} \cong \text{id}_{\text{TMF}_R^0(f)}$.

(4) The category $\text{proj}(R)$ is a Krull-Schmidt category and the equivalence $\mathcal{T}$ is additive, so $\text{TMF}_R^0(f)$ is a Krull-Schmidt category. The same goes for $\text{TMF}_R^1(f)$ by part (2).

Proposition 3.5. Assume $R$ is graded noetherian. If $(\varphi, \psi) \in \text{TMF}_R(f)$, then $(\varphi, \psi) \cong (\varphi', \psi') \oplus (\varphi'', \psi'')$ where $(\varphi'', \psi'') \in \text{TMF}_R^0(f)$ and $(\varphi', \psi')$ is reduced.

Proof. The statement is obviously true if $(\varphi, \psi)$ is reduced, since the irrelevant factorization is in $\text{TMF}_R^0(f)$. If $(\varphi, \psi)$ is not reduced, then there exist $(\varphi' : F' \to G', \psi' : \text{tw}G' \to F')$ and $(\varphi'' : F'' \to G'', \psi'' : \text{tw}G'' \to F'')$ such that $(\varphi, \psi) \cong (\varphi', \psi') \oplus (\varphi'', \psi'')$ and $(\varphi'', \psi'')$ is trivial and not irrelevant. In particular, $\text{rank}(F'') \geq 1$. If $\psi'' = \lambda_f^\varphi$, then $(\varphi'', \psi'') \in \text{TMF}_R^0(f)$. If $\varphi'' = \lambda_f^\psi$, then $\text{tw}(\varphi'', \psi'') \in \text{TMF}_R^0(f)$. Hence $(\varphi'', \psi'') \in \text{TMF}_R^0(f)$. Furthermore, since $R$ is graded noetherian, $\text{rank}(F') < \text{rank}(F)$ and the result follows by induction on $\text{rank}(F)$.
Proposition 3.6. A twisted matrix factorization \((\varphi, \psi) \in \text{TMF}_R(f)\) is reduced if and only if \(\text{coker } \varphi\) has no free \(S\)-module direct summand. Reduced graded matrix factorizations \((\varphi, \psi)\) and \((\varphi', \psi')\) \(\in \text{TMF}_R(f)\) are isomorphic if and only if \(\text{coker } \varphi \cong \text{coker } \varphi'\) as \(S\)-modules.

Proof. The first statement follows from Proposition 2.9 and Lemma 2.11 of [2]. The second statement follows from [2, Proposition 2.4] and the fact that minimal graded free resolutions are chain isomorphic if and only if they resolve isomorphic graded modules. \(\Box\)

Now we prove that \(\text{TMF}_A(f)\) is a Krull-Schmidt category when \(A\) is noetherian \(AS\)-regular.

Theorem 3.7 (Krull-Schmidt Theorem for \(\text{TMF}_A(f)\)). Recall Notation 3.1. If \((\varphi, \psi) \in \text{TMF}_A(f)\) is not irrelevant, then \((\varphi, \psi)\) is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of indecomposable twisted matrix factorizations with local endomorphism rings. The summands are uniquely determined up to permutation and isomorphism.

Proof. By Propositions 3.5 and 3.4, it suffices to consider the case where \((\varphi, \psi)\) is reduced.

If \((\varphi, \psi)\) is reduced, then \(M = \text{coker}(\varphi)\) is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay \(B\)-module with no free direct summands by Theorem 1.2. In particular, \(M\) is finitely generated, so by Krull-Schmidt for \(\text{Bgrmod}\), we may write \(M \cong M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n\) where each \(M_i\) is a nonzero, non-free indecomposable \(B\)-module. Since \(M\) is MCM, the same is true of each \(M_i\). By Theorem 1.2 there exist reduced twisted matrix factorizations \((\varphi_1, \psi_1), \ldots, (\varphi_n, \psi_n)\) such that \(M_i = \text{coker}(\varphi_i)\). Then \((\varphi, \psi) \cong (\varphi_1, \psi_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\varphi_n, \psi_n)\) by Proposition 3.6. Uniqueness follows from the uniqueness of the \(M_i\) and Proposition 3.6 again.

It remains to prove that the endomorphism ring of each indecomposable is local; this will be established in the next Lemma. \(\Box\)

In addition to completing the proof of Theorem 3.7, the next result explicitly describes the form of graded automorphisms of a twisted matrix factorization.

Lemma 3.8. Let \((\varphi : F \to G, \psi : \text{tw } G \to F) \in \text{TMF}_R(f)\) and let \(M = \text{coker } \varphi\).

1. If \((\varphi, \psi)\) is reduced, then there is a ring isomorphism \(E := \text{End}(\varphi, \psi) \cong \text{End}_{\text{Bgrmod}}(M)\).

2. If \((\varphi, \psi)\) is reduced and indecomposable, then \(E\) is local and every unit of \(E\) has the form \(c(\text{id}_F, \text{id}_G) + (\rho_1, \rho_2)\) where \(c \in \mathbb{k}\) is a nonzero scalar and \(\rho_1\) and \(\rho_2\) are nilpotent automorphisms of \(F\) and \(G\), respectively.

Proof. (1) Assume that \((\varphi, \psi)\) is reduced. Let \(\pi : G \to M\) denote the canonical quotient map. Given \((\alpha, \beta) \in E\), we have \(\pi \beta \varphi = \pi \varphi \alpha = 0\) since \(\text{im } \varphi = \ker \varphi\). Thus \(\pi \beta\) induces a well-defined graded endomorphism of \(M\) denoted \(\text{coker } \beta\), and we
have a map
\[ \text{End}(\varphi, \psi) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Grmod}}(M), \] given by \((\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \text{coker} \beta.\)

It is straightforward to check that this map is a ring homomorphism. We claim it is surjective. If \(\Phi : M \rightarrow M\) is a graded endomorphism, then since \(G\) is graded projective, there exists a graded module map \(\beta : G \rightarrow G\) such that \(\pi \beta = \Phi \pi.\) Moreover, \(\pi \beta \varphi = \Phi \pi \varphi = 0\) so \(\text{im} \beta \varphi \subset \text{im} \varphi.\) Thus by the graded projectivity of \(F,\) there exists a graded module map \(\beta : G \rightarrow G\) such that \(\pi \beta = \Phi \pi.\)

Moreover, \(\pi \beta \varphi = \Phi \pi \varphi = 0\) so \(\text{im} \beta \varphi \subset \text{im} \varphi.\) Thus by the graded projectivity of \(F,\) there exists a graded module map \(\beta : F \rightarrow F\) such that \(\varphi \alpha = \beta \varphi.\) Hence \((\alpha, \beta) \in E.\) Since \(\pi \beta = \Phi \pi,\) \(\text{coker} \beta = \Phi\) and the map of endomorphism rings is a surjective ring homomorphism. A graded endomorphism \((\alpha, \beta)\) is in the kernel of this homomorphism if and only if \(\pi \beta = 0,\) or equivalently, \(\text{im} \beta \subset \text{im} \varphi = \ker \pi.\)

Since \((\varphi, \psi)\) is reduced, \(\text{im} \varphi \subset R^+ G,\) where \(R^+\) is the augmentation ideal of \(R.\) Since \(\beta\) is a degree 0 homomorphism, \((\alpha, \beta)\) is in the kernel if and only if \(\beta = 0.\) This implies \(\text{im} \alpha \subset \ker \varphi = 0;\) so, \(\alpha = 0\) as well. This proves (1).

As a brief aside, we remark that any graded homomorphism from a finite rank graded free module to itself has a Jordan-Chevalley decomposition. Let \(F\) be graded free of rank \(r\) and let \(\alpha : F \rightarrow F\) be a graded homomorphism. Choose a homogeneous basis for \(F\) and write \(F = R[d_1]^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus R[d_m]^{n_m}\) where \(d_1 < \cdots < d_m.\) For each \(1 \leq i \leq m,\) change the basis of \(R[d_i]^{n_i}\) so the matrix of \(\alpha|_{R[d_i]^{n_i}}\) with respect to the new basis is in Jordan normal form. Since \(\alpha\) is a degree 0 homomorphism, the matrix \(A\) of \(\alpha\) is upper triangular. We may therefore write
\[ \alpha = \alpha_s + \alpha_n\]
where \(\alpha_s\) is the map given by the diagonal part of \(A\) and \(\alpha_n\) is the map given by the strictly upper-triangular (nilpotent) part of \(A.\)

(2) Resuming the proof, assume further that \((\varphi, \psi)\) is indecomposable. Then \(M\) is indecomposable, and hence \(E\) is local by Proposition 2.2.

Let \((\alpha, \beta) \in E.\) Since \(E\) is local and \((\alpha_n, \beta_n)\) is not a unit, \((\alpha_n, \beta_n) \in \text{rad}(E).\) Thus if \((\alpha, \beta) \in \text{rad}(E),\) we must have \((\alpha_s, \beta_s) \in \text{rad}(E).\) This implies
\[ (\text{id}_F - \gamma \alpha_s, \text{id}_G - \gamma \beta_s)\]
is a unit for all \(\gamma \in k.\) Hence \(\alpha\) has no nonzero eigenvalues and \((\alpha_s, \beta_s) = (0, 0).\) This proves
\[ \text{rad}(E) = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in E \mid (\alpha_s, \beta_s) = (0, 0)\}. \]

Now suppose \((\alpha, \beta) \in E\) is a unit. Since \(k\) is algebraically closed and \(E\) is finite dimensional, \(E/\text{rad}(E) \cong k.\) (The base field itself is the only finite-dimensional division algebra over an algebraically closed field.) Since the diagonal part of \(\alpha\) cannot be modified by elements of \(\text{rad}(E),\) we have \((\alpha_s, \beta_s) = c(\text{id}_F, \text{id}_G)\) for some nonzero scalar \(c \in k^\times.\)

□

We end this section with a discussion of the symmetric property of twisted matrix factorizations. But first we need to introduce the following standing hypothesis and notation.
Hypothesis 3.9 ($\sqrt{\sigma}$, $\tau$, $\ell$). Henceforth, we assume $\sigma$ has a square root $\sqrt{\sigma}$ in the sense that $\left(\sqrt{\sigma}\right)^2 = \sigma$ as automorphisms of $R$. We also assume that

- $|\sigma| < \infty$,
- the degree $d$ of $f$ is even, and
- $\sqrt{\sigma}(f) = f$.

(Without these assumptions the element $f + z^2$, that we analyze later in the paper, will not be normal.) Moreover, denote the functor $\sqrt{\sigma}(-)[-\ell]$ by $\tau(-)$, for $\ell := d/2$.

Thus, $\tau^2(-) = \text{tw}(-)$.

Definition 3.10 ($T$). Define the endofunctor of $TMF(f)$ as follows:

$$T : TMF(f) \to TMF(f), \quad (\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \tau^{-1}(\psi, \text{tw} \varphi) = (\tau^{-1} \psi, \tau \varphi).$$

(Then, $T^2(\varphi, \psi) = (\varphi, \psi)$ and hence $T^2(-)$ is the identity functor on $TMF(f)$.) If $(\varphi, \psi) \cong T(\varphi, \psi)$, we call the twisted matrix factorization $(\varphi, \psi)$ of $f$ symmetric. Otherwise, we call $(\varphi, \psi)$ asymmetric.

Indecomposable symmetric factorizations have the following important characterization.

Proposition 3.11. Let $(\varphi, \psi) \in TMF(f)$ be symmetric and indecomposable. Then, $(\varphi, \psi)$ is isomorphic to a twisted matrix factorization of the form $(\varphi_0, \tau \varphi_0)$ where $\varphi_0 : F \to \tau^{-1} F$ satisfies $(\varphi_0) (\tau \varphi_0) = (\lambda_f) (\tau^{-1} F)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be graded isomorphisms such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G \\
\downarrow{\alpha} & & \downarrow{\beta} \\
\tau G & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-1} \psi} & \tau^{-1} F
\end{array}
\]

commutes. Recall $\psi : \tau^2 G \to F$, so indeed $\tau^{-1} \psi : \tau G \to \tau^{-1} F$. Put

$$X := \tau \beta \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad Y := \tau^{-1} \alpha \beta.$$

Then $(X, Y)$ is an automorphism of $(\varphi, \psi)$. By Lemma 3.8 we may assume (rescaling if necessary, as $k$ is algebraically closed) that

$$(X, Y) = (\text{id}_F, \text{id}_G) + (\rho_1, \rho_2)$$

where $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$ are nilpotent automorphisms of $F$ and $G$, respectively.

Since $\rho_1 = X - \text{id}_F$ and $\rho_2 = Y - \text{id}_G$, we have

$$\alpha \rho_1 = \tau \rho_2 \alpha, \quad \beta \rho_2 = \tau^{-1} \rho_1 \beta, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi \rho_1 = \rho_2 \varphi.$$
Since \( \rho_1 \) and \( \rho_2 \) are nilpotent, we use the Taylor series for \( (1 + x)^{-1/2} \) to define
\[
(id_F + \rho_1)^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad (id_G + \rho_2)^{-1/2}.
\]
Then define
\[
\alpha' : F \to \tau G \quad \text{by} \quad \alpha' = \alpha \circ (id_F + \rho_1)^{-1/2} \quad \text{and}
\beta' : G \to \tau^{-1} F \quad \text{by} \quad \beta' = \beta \circ (id_G + \rho_2)^{-1/2}.
\]
The equations above imply
\[
\alpha' = \alpha \circ (id_F + \rho_1)^{-1/2} = \tau (id_G + \rho_2)^{-1/2} \circ \alpha \quad \text{and}
\beta' = \beta \circ (id_G + \rho_2)^{-1/2} = \tau^{-1} (id_F + \rho_1)^{-1/2} \circ \beta.
\]
Now since \((\tau \beta)(\tau (Y^{-1})) = (X^{-1})(\tau \beta)\), we obtain that
\[
(\tau \beta')\alpha' = \tau \beta (id_G + \rho_2)^{-1}\alpha = (id_F + \rho_1)^{-1}X = id_F.
\]
Similarly, \((\tau^{-1} \alpha')\beta' = id_G.

Now, put \( \varphi_0 = \beta' \varphi \). By the above, we have
\[
\varphi_0 = \beta (id_G + \rho_2)^{-1/2} \varphi = \beta \varphi (id_F + \rho_1)^{-1/2} = (\tau^{-1} \psi)\alpha (id_F + \rho_1)^{-1/2} = (\tau^{-1} \psi)\alpha'.
\]
We calculate
\[
\varphi_0(\tau \varphi_0) = \beta' \varphi (\tau \alpha') = \beta' \lambda_f^G (\tau \alpha') = (\lambda_f)(\tau^{-1} F) \beta' (\tau \alpha') = (\lambda_f)(\tau^{-1} F).
\]
Applying \( \tau (-) \) to this gives
\[
(\tau \varphi_0)(\tau \varphi_0) = \lambda_f^F.
\]
This shows \((\varphi_0, \tau \varphi_0)\) is a graded matrix factorization of \(f\).

Finally,
\[
(\tau \varphi_0)(\tau \varphi') = \psi (\tau \alpha')(\tau \varphi') = \psi
\]
and it follows that \((id_F, \beta')\) is an isomorphism \((\varphi, \psi) \to (\varphi_0, \tau \varphi_0)\). \(\square\)

4. The double branched cover in a noncommutative setting

The goal of this section is to define and study the double branched cover \(B^\#\) of a noncommutative hypersurface \(B = A/(f)\); recall Notation 3.1 and see Definition-Notation 4.1. We will compare MCM \(B\)-modules with those of \(B^\#\) by investigating the corresponding categories of twisted matrix factorizations; see Theorem 4.9 and Figure 4. We also provide a characterization of symmetric twisted matrix factorizations for the double branched cover [Theorem 4.13].

**Definition-Notation 4.1.** \((S^\#, \zeta, S^#[\zeta], N^\circ)\) Consider the following notation and terminology. Recall from Notation 3.1 that \(f \in R_d\) is a normal, regular, homogeneous element of \(R\) with degree \(d = 2\ell\) and \(S = R/(f)\).

1. Let \(S^\# = R[z; \sqrt{\alpha}]/(f + z^2)\) and we refer to this as the double branched cover of \(S\). The algebra \(S^\#\) is graded by taking \(\deg z = \ell\).
(2) The graded algebra $R[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]$ admits a graded automorphism given by

$$\zeta|_R = \text{id}_R \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(z) = -z$$

which induces a graded automorphism of $S^\#$ (also denoted $\zeta$). The automorphism $\zeta$ generates an order 2 subgroup $\langle \zeta \rangle \subset \text{Aut}(S^\#)$. For notation’s sake we denote the skew group ring $S^#[\zeta]$.

(3) If $N$ is a $S^#[\zeta]$-module, let $N^\circ$ denote the $S^#$-module obtained by forgetting the action of $\zeta$.

**Definition 4.2.** $(\theta = \theta_\zeta, \text{End}_\zeta(M))$ If $M$ is a graded $S^#$-module, we say a graded $\Bbbk$-linear endomorphism $\theta := \theta_\zeta : M \to M$ is $\zeta$-compatible if $\theta(bm) = \zeta(b)\theta(m)$ for all $b \in S^#$, $m \in M$ and $\theta^2 = \text{id}_M$. (This is equivalent to saying $\theta$ is a graded left $S^#$-module homomorphism $M \to \zeta M$ such that $\zeta\theta = 1_M$.)

We denote the set of $\zeta$-compatible graded $\Bbbk$-endomorphisms of $M$ by $\text{End}_\zeta(M)$.

Note that the free $S^#$-module $M = S^#$ admits (at least) two $\zeta$-compatible graded $\Bbbk$-endomorphisms: $\theta = \zeta$ and $\theta = -\zeta$.

**Lemma 4.3.** There is a bijective correspondence between graded $S^# [\zeta]$-modules and pairs $(M, \theta)$ where $M$ is a graded $S^#$-module and $\theta = \theta_\zeta \in \text{End}_\zeta(M)$.

**Proof.** If $N$ is a graded $S^# [\zeta]$-module, define $\theta : N \to N$ by $\theta(n) = \zeta n$. Then $(N^\circ, \theta)$ is the desired pair. Conversely, given a pair $(M, \theta_\zeta)$, one can construct a graded $S^#$-module $M$ via $(b \otimes \zeta) \cdot m = b\theta_\zeta(m)$. $\square$

**Definition 4.4.** $(\text{MCM}_\zeta(S^#))$ We say a graded $S^# [\zeta]$-module $N$ is (graded) maximal Cohen-Macaulay if $N^\circ$ is a graded MCM $S^#$-module. We denote the category of graded MCM $S^# [\zeta]$-modules by $\text{MCM}_\zeta(S^#)$.

**Notation 4.5.** $(N^+, N^-)$ Since $\zeta$ generates an order 2 cyclic subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S^#)$, a graded $S^# [\zeta]$-module $N$ has two weight $\Bbbk [\langle \zeta \rangle]$-submodules, corresponding to the trivial and sign representations of $\langle \zeta \rangle$. We denote these graded submodules $N^+$ and $N^-$, respectively.

Then, as modules over the fixed ring $R^{(\zeta)} = R$ we have $N^\circ = N^+ \oplus N^-$. (Namely, use the graded Reynolds operator; every element $n \in N$ can be written $\frac{1}{2}[(n + \sigma n) + (n - \sigma n)]$. The first summand is invariant and the second is antiinvariant.)

In the context of AS-regular algebras, these weight modules are graded free. We record this fact as a corollary of the following general observation.

**Lemma 4.6.** A graded $B^#$-module is graded MCM if and only if it is a graded free $A$-module.

**Proof.** Let $N$ be a graded $B^#$-module. We apply the (graded) change-of-rings spectral sequence for the inclusion $A \to B^#$

$$\text{Ext}^p_{B^#}(\Bbbk, \text{Ext}^q_{A}(B^#, N)) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}^{p+q}_A(\Bbbk, N).$$
Proof. Regarding $\phi$ is left $A$-linear, $\xi_n$ for all $x \in A$.

Since $B^\# = A \oplus Az \cong A \oplus A[-\ell]$ is a free $A$-module, the spectral sequence collapses, yielding

$$\text{Ext}^p_{B^\#}(k, N \oplus N[\ell]) \cong \text{Ext}^p_A(k, N).$$

It follows that $\text{depth}_A(N) = \text{depth}_{B^\#}(N)$.

Note that $A$ is isomorphic to a splitting subring of $B^\#$ in the sense of [3, Definition 4.1]. Since $A$ and $B^\#$ are AS-Gorenstein, [3, Theorem 3.8(7) and Lemma 4.3] imply $\text{depth}_A(A) = \text{depth}_{B^\#}(B^\#)$. Now it follows from the graded Auslander-Buchsbaum formula [9, Theorem 3.2] that $N$ is graded MCM over $B^\#$ if and only if it is graded MCM over $A$. Since every graded MCM $A$-module is free (see Remark 2.9), the result follows.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 4.7.** If $N$ is a graded MCM $B^\#[\xi]$-module, then $N^+$ and $N^-$ are graded free $A$-modules of finite rank.

This hints at a connection between the categories $\text{MCM}_\xi(B^\#)$ and $\text{TMF}(f)$. In fact we will next prove these categories are equivalent; see Theorem 4.9. To begin, we construct functors establishing the equivalence as follows.

**Lemma 4.8 ($\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$).** The following are well-defined functors between the categories $\text{MCM}_\xi(B^\#)$ and $\text{TMF}(f)$:

$$\mathcal{A} : \text{MCM}_\xi(B^\#) \rightarrow \text{TMF}(f)$$

$$N \mapsto (\varphi, \psi),$$

$$(\xi : M \rightarrow N) \mapsto (\xi|_{M^+}, \tau^{-1}\xi|_{M^-})$$

where $\varphi : N^+ \rightarrow \tau^{-1}N^-$ and $\psi : \tau^N \rightarrow N^+$ are graded $A$-linear homomorphisms given by multiplication by $z$ and $-z$, respectively; and

$$\mathcal{B} : \text{TMF}(f) \rightarrow \text{MCM}_\xi(B^\#)$$

$$(\varphi : F \rightarrow G, \psi : tw G \rightarrow F) \mapsto F \oplus G$$

$$[\alpha : (\varphi, \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi', \psi')] \mapsto \alpha \oplus \tau \beta.$$ 

**Proof.** Regarding $\mathcal{A}$, observe that since $N$ is a $B^\#$-module, we get that $-z^n = fn$ for all $n \in N$. Hence $\mathcal{A}(N)$ is a twisted matrix factorization of $f$ over $A$.

Moreover, if $\xi : M \rightarrow N$ is a graded $B^\#[\xi]$-module homomorphism, then $\xi(M^+) \subset N^+$ and $\xi(M^-) \subset N^-$ and $\xi$ commutes with multiplication by $z$. Thus $(\xi|_{M^+}, \tau^{-1}\xi|_{M^-})$ is a morphism $\mathcal{A}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(N)$.

For the functor $\mathcal{B}$, let $\tilde{\cdot} : A\text{GrMod} \rightarrow \text{Vect}_k$ denote the forgetful functor. Since $\varphi$ is left $A$-linear, $\bar{\varphi}(\sqrt{\sigma}(a)x) = \sqrt{\sigma}(\bar{\varphi}(x))$ for $a \in A, x \in F$. Likewise, since $\psi$ is left $A$-linear for the twisted action of $G$, $\bar{\psi}(\sigma(a)y) = \bar{\psi}(a \cdot y) = a\bar{\psi}(y)$ for $a \in A, y \in G$.

For $x \in F$ and $y \in G$ define

$$z \cdot (x, y) = (-\bar{\psi}(y), \bar{\varphi}(x)).$$
It follows from the calculations above that \( M = F \oplus \tau G \) is an \( A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \)-module. Indeed, one has:

\[
  z\sqrt{\sigma}(a) \cdot (x, y) = z \cdot (\sqrt{\sigma}(a)x, \sigma(a)y) = (-\bar{\psi}(\sigma(a)y), \bar{\varphi}(\sqrt{\sigma}(a)x)) = (-a\bar{\psi}(y), a \cdot \bar{\varphi}(x)) = az \cdot (x, y).
\]

It is straightforward to check that \( f + z^2 \) acts as zero so \( M \) is a \( B^\# \)-module. To see that this defines a graded \( B^\# \)-module structure on \( M \), observe that if \( x \in F_j \), then \( \bar{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x) \in G_j = G[-\ell]_{j+\ell} \) and if \( y \in G[-\ell]_j = G[-\ell]_{j+\ell} \), then \( \bar{\psi}(y) = \psi(y) \in F_{j+\ell} \). Since \( M \) is a graded free \( A \)-module, \( M \) is a graded MCM \( B^\# \)-module by Lemma 4.6. Finally, \( \theta(x, y) = (x, -y) \) is a \( \zeta \)-compatible graded endomorphism of \( M \), so \( \mathscr{B}(\varphi, \psi) := M \in \text{MCM}_\zeta(B^\#) \).

Next, given a morphism \((\alpha, \beta) : (\varphi, \psi) \to (\varphi', \psi')\), we have that \( \mathscr{B}(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \oplus \tau \beta \) defines a map of graded \( A \)-modules \( \mathscr{B}(\varphi, \psi) \to \mathscr{B}(\varphi', \psi') \). The map respects the action of \( z \):

\[
  (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})(z(x, y)) = (-\bar{\alpha} \bar{\psi}(y), \bar{\beta} \bar{\varphi}(x)) = (-\bar{\psi} \bar{\beta}(y), \bar{\varphi} \tilde{\alpha}(x)) = z(\tilde{\alpha}(x), \tilde{\beta}(y)).
\]

Thus \( \mathscr{B}(\alpha, \beta) \) is a morphism of graded \( B^\# \)-modules.

**Theorem 4.9.** The functor \( \mathcal{A} : \text{MCM}_\zeta(B^\#) \to \text{TMF}(f) \) is an equivalence of categories with inverse \( \mathcal{B} \).

**Proof.** For \( N \in \text{MCM}_\zeta(B^\#) \),

\[
  \mathcal{B} \mathcal{A}(N) = \mathcal{B}(\varphi : N^+ \to \tau^{-1} N^-, \psi : \tau N^- \to N^+) = N^+ \oplus N^-
\]

so \( \mathcal{B} \mathcal{A}(N)^\circ \cong N^\circ \) as graded \( A \)-modules via \((x, y) \mapsto x + y\). For \( n \in N^\circ \), write \( n = n_+ + n_- \) with \( n_+ \in N^+, n_- \in N^- \). Then \( zn = zn_+ + zn_- = -\bar{\psi}(n_-) + \bar{\varphi}(n_+) \), so \( \mathcal{B} \mathcal{A}(N)^\circ \cong N^\circ \) as graded \( B^\# \)-modules. Finally, since \( \zeta(n) = \zeta(n_+) + \zeta(n_-) = n_+ - n_- \) and \( \zeta(n_+ + n_-) = (n_+, -n_-) \), we have \( \mathcal{B} \mathcal{A}(N) \cong N \) as \( B^\#[\zeta] \)-modules.

For \((\varphi, \psi) \in \text{TMF}(f)\),

\[
  \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \psi) = \mathcal{A}(F \oplus \tau G) =: (\varphi', \psi').
\]

By definition of the \( \zeta \)-action on \( F \oplus \tau G \), we obtain that \((F \oplus \tau G)^+ = F \oplus 0 \) and \((F \oplus \tau G)^- = 0 \oplus \tau G \). Thus \( \varphi' : F \oplus 0 \to 0 \oplus G \) and \( \psi' : 0 \oplus \tau G \to F \oplus 0 \). The maps are multiplication by \( z \) and \(-z\) respectively. Since

\[
  z(x, 0) = (0, \bar{\varphi}(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad z(0, y) = (\bar{\psi}(y), 0)
\]

we clearly have \((\varphi', \psi') \cong (\varphi, \psi)\).

For a morphism \( \xi : M \to N \) of MCM \( B^\#[\zeta] \) modules,

\[
  \mathcal{B} \mathcal{A}(\xi) = \mathcal{B}(\xi|_{M^+}, \tau^{-1} \xi|_{M^-}) = \xi|_{M^+} \oplus \xi|_{M^-}.
\]

Composing with the isomorphism \((x, y) \mapsto x + y\) clearly recovers \( \xi \).

For a morphism \((\alpha, \beta)\) of twisted matrix factorizations of \( f \), recall the work above that \((F \oplus \tau G)^+ = F \oplus 0 \) and \((F \oplus \tau G)^- = 0 \oplus \tau G \). Thus

\[
  \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{A}(\alpha \oplus \tau \beta) = ((\alpha \oplus \tau \beta)|_{F \oplus 0}, \tau^{-1}(\alpha \oplus \tau \beta)|_{0 \oplus \tau G}) = (\alpha \oplus 0, 0 \oplus \beta),
\]
which is plainly isomorphic to \((\alpha, \beta)\).

Now consider the following functor.

**Definition 4.10 (coker).** We define a functor
\[
\text{coker} : TMF_R(f) \to \text{Sgrmod} \quad \text{by} \quad (\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \text{coker} \varphi.
\]
A morphism \((\alpha, \beta) : (\varphi, \psi) \to (\varphi', \psi')\) induces a morphism \(\text{coker} \varphi \to \text{coker} \varphi'\), and we take this as our definition of \(\text{coker}(\alpha, \beta)\).

There is a forgetful functor \(\text{MCM}_\zeta(B^\#) \to \text{MCM}(B^\#)\), and every graded \(\text{MCM}\) \(B^\#\)-module arises from a graded matrix factorization of \(f + z^2\). It will be useful to have a functor \(\mathcal{C}\) directly from \(TMF(f)\) to \(TMF(f + z^2)\) completing the following diagram, which is commutative up to equivalence.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
TMF_A(f) & \overset{\mathcal{C}}{\longrightarrow} & TMF_{A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]}(f + z^2) \\
\downarrow{\alpha} & & \downarrow{\text{Coker}} \\
\text{MCM}_\zeta(B^\#) & \overset{\text{Forget}}{\longrightarrow} & \text{MCM}(B^\#)
\end{array}
\]

**Figure 1.**

**Notation 4.11 (\(\tau\)).** We denote the extension of scalars functor
\[
A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_A - : A\text{GrMod} \to A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]\text{GrMod}
\]
on objects and morphisms by \(\overline{X} = A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_A X\) and \(\overline{\phi} = A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_A \phi\). We extend \(\sqrt{\sigma}\) by the identity to \(A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]\), defining \(\sqrt{\sigma}(z) = z\).

Since we extend \(\sqrt{\sigma}\) by the identity to \(A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]\), then for \(X \in A\text{GrMod}\),
\[
\overline{\tau X} = A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_A \tau X = \tau(A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_A X) = \tau \overline{X}
\]
and similarly \(\overline{\tau \phi} = \tau \overline{\phi}\).

**Definition 4.12 (\(\mathcal{C}, \Phi_\varphi, \Psi_\varphi\)).** Take a twisted matrix factorization
\[
(\varphi : F \to G, \psi : \text{tw} G \to F)
\]
of \(f\) over \(A\). We define a functor
\[
\mathcal{C} : TMF_A(f) \to TMF_{A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]}(f + z^2)
\]
by \(\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) = (\Phi_\varphi, \Psi_\varphi)\) where
\[
\Phi_\varphi : \text{tw} G \oplus \tau F \to F \oplus \tau G\]
is given by \[
\begin{pmatrix}
\overline{\psi} \\
\lambda \overline{\psi}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_2^F & -\lambda_2^G \\
-\lambda_2^\psi & \lambda_2^\overline{\psi}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
\[
\Psi_\varphi : \text{tw} F \oplus \tau \overline{G} \to \text{tw} \overline{G} \oplus \tau F\]
is given by \[
\begin{pmatrix}
\overline{\tau \psi} \\
-\lambda_2^\overline{\psi}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_2^F & \lambda_2^\overline{\psi} \\
-\lambda_2^\overline{\psi} & \lambda_2^\overline{\psi}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
If \((\alpha, \beta)\) is a morphism in \(TMF(f)\), then we define a image morphism by

\[
\mathcal{C}(\alpha, \beta) := \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\tau^\beta & 0 \\
0 & \tau^\alpha
\end{array} \right).
\]

We leave it to the reader to check that \((\Phi, \Psi)\) is indeed a graded matrix factorization of \(f + z^2\).

**Proposition 4.13.** As \(B^\#\)-modules, \(\mathcal{B}(\varphi, \psi) \cong \text{coker} \ \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)\).

**Proof.** Let \(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi, \psi)\) denote the extension of scalars functor \(B^\# \otimes_A -\). Recall that \(\mathcal{B}(\varphi, \psi) = F \oplus \tau G\) as an \(A\)-module and the \(B^\#\)-module structure is given by \(z \cdot (x, y) = (-\tilde{\psi}(y), \tilde{\varphi}(x))\) [Notation 2.1].

On the other hand, the \(B^\#\)-module \(\text{coker} \ \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) = B^\# \otimes_A [z: \sqrt{\tau}] \) coker \(\Phi\) is isomorphic to the quotient of \(\tilde{F} \oplus \tau \tilde{G}\) by elements of the form

\[
(1 \otimes \psi(v'), -z \otimes v'), \ v' \in \tau G \quad \text{and} \quad (z \otimes v, 1 \otimes \tau \varphi(v)), \ v \in \tau F.
\]

Observe that the \(B^\#\)-submodule of \(\tilde{F} \oplus \tau \tilde{G}\) generated by such elements is generated as an \(A\)-module by

\[
(1 \otimes \psi(v'), -z \otimes v'), \quad (z \otimes \psi(v'), f \otimes v') = (z \otimes \psi(v'), 1 \otimes f v')
\]

and likewise \(z \otimes \varphi(v)) = (-1 \otimes f v, z \otimes \tau \varphi(v)).\)

Now, as graded \(A\)-modules we have \(\tilde{F} = B^\# \otimes_A F = (A \oplus Az) \otimes_A F \cong F \oplus \tau F\) and likewise \(\tilde{G} \cong \tau G \oplus \tau^2 G\). We can describe \(\text{coker} \ \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)\) as an \(A\)-module under these identifications as follows. Let \(I \subset F \oplus \tau F \oplus \tau G \oplus \tau^2 G\) be the graded \(A\)-submodule generated by elements of the form

\[
(\tilde{\psi}(v'), 0, 0, -v'), \quad (0, \tilde{\psi}(v'), f v', 0), \quad (0, v, \tilde{\varphi}(v), 0), \quad (fv, 0, 0, \tilde{\varphi}(v))
\]

where \(v \in F\) and \(v' \in G\). (Note that these tuples are homogeneous if \(v\) and \(v'\) are.) Then it is clear that

\[
\text{coker} \ \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) \cong (F \oplus \tau F \oplus \tau G \oplus \tau^2 G)/I
\]

as \(A\)-modules. This extends to an isomorphism of \(B^\#\)-modules by defining a \(B^\#\)-module structure on \((F \oplus \tau F \oplus \tau G \oplus \tau^2 G)/I\) by

\[
z \cdot (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = (fv_2, -v_1, fv_4, -v_3).
\]

(It is straightforward to check that \(z^2 + f\) acts as 0 and \(zI \subset I\).) Now a direct calculation shows that

\[
(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) \mapsto (v_1 + \psi(v_4), v_3 - \tau \varphi(v_2))
\]

defines a graded \(B^\#\)-module isomorphism between \((F \oplus \tau F \oplus \tau G \oplus \tau^2 G)/I\) and \(\mathcal{B}(\varphi, \psi)\). \[\square\]
Lemma 4.14 ($\Delta, \Sigma$). Let $N$ be a graded MCM $B^#$-module and $F = A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_A N$. Then, the pair

$$
\Delta = \lambda_2^{A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \lambda_2^N : \tau F \to F \\
\Sigma = \tau (\lambda_2^{A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \lambda_2^N) : tw F \to \tau F
$$

is a twisted matrix factorization of $f + z^2$ with cokernel isomorphic to $N$. If $N$ has no graded $B^#$-free direct summand, then the factorization is reduced.

Proof. By Lemma 4.14 $F$ is a graded free $A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]$-module. By direct calculation, for any $n \in N$, we have

$$(\lambda_2^{A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \lambda_2^N)\tau (\lambda_2^{A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \lambda_2^N)(1 \otimes n)$$

where $-z^2n = fn$ holds because $N$ is a $B^#$-module. Thus $\Delta \Sigma = \lambda_{f+z^2}^F \otimes 1$. A similar calculation shows $\Sigma \Delta \Sigma = \lambda_{f+z^2}^F \otimes 1$. Now, im $\Delta$ is generated as a graded $A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]$-module by $\{z \otimes n - 1 \otimes zn \mid n \in N\}$. It follows that
coker $\Delta = (A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_A N)/\{z \otimes n - 1 \otimes zn \mid n \in N\} \cong A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] N \cong N$
as graded $A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]$-modules, and hence as graded $B^#$-modules.

Finally, if the matrix of $\Delta$ with respect to some basis contains a term $u \otimes 1$ where $u \in A[z]$ is a unit, then $u$ is a unit of $A$ and the matrix of $1 \otimes \lambda_2^N$ contains the term $u \otimes 1$. This implies $\Sigma$ contains the same term in the same position, and thus $(\Delta, \Sigma)$ contains a direct summand isomorphic to $(\lambda_{f+z^2} \otimes 1, 1 \otimes 1)$ and $N$ contains $B^#$ as a direct summand. \qed

Now we turn our attention to the symmetric condition of twisted matrix factorizations; recall Definition 3.10.

Theorem 4.15. Let $(\Phi, \Psi) \in TMF(f + z^2)$ be reduced. Then $(\Phi, \Psi)$ is isomorphic to a factorization in the image of $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $(\Phi, \Psi)$ is symmetric.

Proof. If $(\varphi, \psi) \in TMF(f)$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) = \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi & -\lambda_2^F \varphi \\
\lambda_2^F & \tau \varphi
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
tw \varphi & \lambda_2^{tw} \varphi \\
-\lambda_2^{tw} \varphi & \tau \psi
\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$T\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) = \begin{pmatrix}
\tau \varphi & \lambda_2^F \psi \\
-\lambda_2^F \psi & \psi
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
\tau \psi & \lambda_2^{tw} \psi \\
\lambda_2^{tw} \psi & tw \varphi
\end{pmatrix}$$

which are easily seen to be isomorphic via the map

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$
For the converse, let \((\Phi, \Psi) \in TMF(f + z^2)\) be symmetric and reduced. Then \(N = \text{coker} \Phi\) has no \(B^\#\)-free direct summand, and hence \((\Phi, \Psi)\) is isomorphic to the matrix factorization of Lemma 4.14 by Proposition 3.6. Thus no generality is lost by assuming \((\Phi, \Psi)\) is the factorization of Lemma 4.14.

By assumption, there exist graded \(A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]\)-module isomorphisms \(\alpha, \beta, \delta\) such that the following diagram commutes and the rows are exact. The notation \(\circ\) indicates that the action of \(z\) is twisted by \(\zeta\), as required by exactness of the bottom row.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tau(A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_A N) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_2 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \lambda_2} & A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_A N \\
\downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\
\tau(A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_A N) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \lambda_2} & A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{\pi_z} \zeta N.
\end{array}
\]

Note that \(\delta\) is also a \(B^\#\)-module isomorphism, and for \(b \in B\) and \(n \in N\), we have \(\delta(bn) = \zeta(b)\delta(n)\). The same underlying map also gives a \(B^\#\)-module map \(\delta' : \zeta N \to N\) since \(\delta'(b \cdot n) = \delta(\zeta(b)n) = \zeta^2(b)\delta(n) = b\delta'(n)\). Since \(N\) is indecomposable, arguing as in Lemma 3.8 we may assume \(\delta' = \text{id}_N + \rho\) and \(\delta'' = \text{id}_N + \rho'\) where \(\rho\) and \(\rho'\) are nilpotent. Then as maps of vector spaces, \(\rho = \rho'\).

Replacing \(\delta\) with \(\delta(1 + \rho')^{-1/2}\) and \(\delta'\) with \(\delta'(1 + \rho')^{-1/2}\) yields \(\delta'\delta = \text{id}_N\). So as a \(k\)-linear map, \(\delta^2 = \text{id}_N\) and \(\delta\) is \(\zeta\)-compatible, hence \(N \in \text{MCM}_\zeta(B^\#)\).

Now \(\text{coker} \mathcal{C}_A(N) \cong N\) by Proposition 4.13. Since \(N\) has no \(B^\#\)-free direct summand, \(\mathcal{C}_A(N)\) is reduced and hence isomorphic to \((\Phi, \Psi)\) by Proposition 3.6.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\end{array}
\]

5. Noncommutative Knörrer Periodity

The goal of this section is to establish the main result of this article: a noncommutative analogue of Knörrer’s periodicity theorem [Theorem 5.11]. Recall Notations 2.3 and 3.1 and the notation set in the previous section.

We begin by considering the following restriction functors.

**Definition 5.1** (Res, res). Let Res: \(TMF_{A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]}(f + z^2) \to TMF_A(f)\) and let res: \(MCM(B^\#) \to MCM(B)\) denote the natural restriction functors between categories of twisted matrix factorizations and MCM modules, respectively. Here, res\((M) = M/zM\) and Res\((\Phi : F \to G, \Psi : twG \to F)\) is the factorization defined by the induced maps \(F/ zF \to G/ zG\) and \(tw(G/ zG) \to F/ zF\).

Note that these functors make the diagram in Figure 2 commute up to equivalence.

Later, we compare these restriction functors with the functor \(\mathcal{C}\) [Definition 4.12] that relates twisted matrix factorizations of a regular, normal element \(f\) of an Artin-Schelter regular algebra \(A\) with that of the element \(f + z^2\) of the Ore extension \(A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]\) [Lemma 5.3]. In particular, the functor Res is not an inverse of \(\mathcal{C}\) (cf. Figure 1).
Now we prove a variation of Theorem 4.15 for Res. Recall that every graded MCM $B^\#$-module is a graded free $A$-module.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $N$ be a graded MCM $B^\#$-module. Let $\lambda_z^N : \tau N \to N$ be the graded $A$-module homomorphism representing left multiplication by $z$.

1. $(\lambda_z^N, -\tau \lambda_z^N) \in TMF(f)$ and coker $\lambda_z^N \cong N/zN$.
2. If $N$ contains no $B^\#$-free direct summand, then $(\lambda_z^N, -\tau \lambda_z^N)$ is reduced and symmetric.

We conclude that if $(\Phi, \Psi) \in TMF(f + z^2)$ is reduced, then $\text{Res}(\Phi, \Psi) \cong (\lambda_z^N, -\tau \lambda_z^N)$ where $N = \text{coker } \Phi$. In particular, $\text{Res}(\Phi, \Psi)$ is reduced and symmetric.

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.6 $N$ is a graded free $A$-module. Since $N$ is a $B^\#$-module, $-\lambda_z^N(\tw \lambda_z^N(n)) = -z^2 n = fn$. So $(\lambda_z^N, -\tau \lambda_z^N)$ is a twisted matrix factorization of $f$ with cokernel $N/zN$. This proves (1).

We also have $\text{coker } T(\lambda_z^N, -\tau \lambda_z^N) = \text{coker } (\lambda_z^N, -\tau \lambda_z^N) = N/zN$. Provided $N$ has no $B^\#$-free direct summand, $N/zN$ has no $B$-free direct summand, so statement (2) follows from Proposition 3.6.

Let $(\Phi, \Psi) \in TMF(f + z^2)$ and assume $(\Phi, \Psi)$ is reduced. Then $N' = \text{coker } \Phi$ is a graded MCM $B^\#$-module. By (1), $(\lambda_z^{N'}, -\tau \lambda_z^{N'}) \in TMF(f)$ with coker $\lambda_z^{N'} = N'/zN'$. By the commutativity of the diagram in Figure 2, coker $\text{Res}(\Phi, \Psi) = N'/zN'$. Hence by Proposition 3.6 $\text{Res}(\Phi, \Psi) \cong (\lambda_z^{N'}, -\tau \lambda_z^{N'})$.

Since $(\Phi, \Psi)$ is reduced, $N'$ contains no $B^\#$-free direct summand. The conclusion now follows from (2). \qed

Figure 3 summarizes the functors we have defined. Recall that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are inverse equivalences. The functor Res is not an inverse to $\mathcal{C}$. The next lemma explains the relationship between the two.

**Lemma 5.3.**

1. If $(\varphi, \psi) \in TMF(f)$, then $\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) \cong \tau T(\varphi, \psi) \oplus \tau (\varphi, \psi)$.
2. If $(\Phi, \Psi) \in TMF(f + z^2)$ is reduced, then $\mathcal{C} \text{ Res}(\Phi, \Psi) \cong \tau (\Phi, \Psi) \oplus \tau T(\Phi, \Psi)$.

**Proof.** For (1), we have

$$\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & -\lambda_z^G \\ \lambda_z^F & \tau \varphi \end{pmatrix}.$$
Hence
\[ \operatorname{Res} E(\varphi, \psi) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \varphi \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \text{tw} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \psi \end{pmatrix} \]

as desired.

For (2), let \((\Phi, \Psi) \in TMF(f + z^2)\) be reduced. Let \(N = \text{coker} \Phi\) and let \(\lambda_N^N : \tau N \to N\) be left multiplication by \(z\). By Lemma 5.2, we have \(\operatorname{Res}(\Phi, \Psi) \cong (\lambda_N^N, -\tau \lambda_N^N)\), and these are reduced, symmetric graded matrix factorizations of \(f\).

We have
\[ \cong \begin{pmatrix} -\tau \lambda_N^N & -\lambda_N^N \\ \lambda_N^N & -\lambda_N^N \tau \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \text{tw} \lambda_N^N & \lambda_N^N \\ -\lambda_N^N & -\text{tw} \lambda_N^N \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ \cong \begin{pmatrix} \tau (\lambda_N^N - \lambda_N^N) & 0 \\ 0 & \tau (\lambda_N^N + \lambda_N^N) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \text{tw} (\lambda_N^N + \lambda_N^N) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{tw} (\lambda_N^N - \lambda_N^N) \end{pmatrix} \]

via the isomorphism \((\alpha, \beta)\) where
\[ \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Note that these matrices define \(A\)-module isomorphisms since \(\text{char } k \neq 2\). Next, we observe that 
\((\tau (\lambda_N^N - \lambda_N^N), \text{tw} (\lambda_N^N + \lambda_N^N)) = \tau (\Delta, \Sigma)\)

where \((\Delta, \Sigma)\) is the factorization of Lemma 4.14. Thus we have shown
\[ \cong \tau (\lambda_N^N, -\tau \lambda_N^N) \cong \tau (\Delta, \Sigma) \oplus \tau T(\Delta, \Sigma). \]

The factorization \((\Delta, \Sigma)\) is reduced and \(\text{coker} \Delta = N\). Since \((\Phi, \Psi)\) is reduced, \(N\) has no \(B^\#\)-free direct summand, hence the factorization \((\Delta, \Sigma)\) is also reduced and is isomorphic to \((\Phi, \Psi)\) by Proposition 4.10. This establishes the desired decomposition.

\[ \square \]

Recall from [3, Definition 5.2] that a noetherian, bounded below, locally finite graded algebra is said to have finite Cohen-Macaulay (CM) type if it has (up to degree shift) only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable MCM modules. The following important result shows that finite CM type is preserved when
constructing the double branched cover. Note that we do not claim that $B$ and $B^\#$ have the same number of isomorphism classes of indecomposable MCM modules, but see Corollary 5.12.

**Theorem 5.4.** In the context of Notations 3.1 and 4.1, the algebra $B$ has finite Cohen-Macaulay type if and only if $B^\#$ has finite Cohen-Macaulay type.

**Proof.** It is enough to prove that $TMF(f)$ has finite representation type if and only if $TMF(f + z^2)$ does as well. Suppose that $(\phi_1, \psi_1), \ldots, (\phi_s, \psi_s)$ is a complete list of indecomposable twisted matrix factorizations. For each $i$, decompose $C_{\Phi_i}(\phi_i, \psi_i)$ as a direct sum of twisted matrix factorizations of $f + z^2$, say $(\Phi^1, \Psi^1), \ldots, (\Phi^m, \Psi^m)$. Now let $(\Phi, \Psi)$ be an arbitrary indecomposable matrix factorization of $f + z^2$. By Lemma 5.3.(2), $(\Phi, \Psi)$ is a direct summand of $C_{\text{Res}(\tau^{-1}(\Phi, \Psi))}$, hence by Theorem 3.7 it must belong to the set $\{(\Phi_{ij}, \Psi_{ij})\}$. The proof of the other direction is similar. □

We are ready to describe what happens to indecomposable twisted factorizations under the functors $\text{Res}$ and $\mathcal{C}$. These have been referred to as the “going-up” and “going-down” properties of the double branched cover.

**Proposition 5.5.** (1) Let $(\varphi, \psi) \in TMF(f)$ be indecomposable and nontrivial. Then $\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$ is decomposable if and only if $(\varphi, \psi)$ is symmetric. In this case,

$$\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) \cong (\Phi', \Psi') \oplus T(\Phi', \Psi'),$$

for a factorization $(\Phi', \Psi') \in TMF(f + z^2)$ that is indecomposable and asymmetric.

(2) Let $(\Phi, \Psi) \in TMF(f+z^2)$ be indecomposable and nontrivial. Then $\text{Res}(\Phi, \Psi)$ is decomposable if and only if $(\Phi, \Psi)$ is symmetric. In this case,

$$\text{Res}(\Phi, \Psi) \cong (\varphi', \psi') \oplus T(\varphi', \psi'),$$

for a factorization $(\varphi', \psi') \in TMF(f)$ that is indecomposable and asymmetric.

**Proof.** We first prove the decomposability statements in each part, then go back and characterize the summands.

Let $(\varphi, \psi) \in TMF(f)$ be indecomposable and nontrivial. If $(\varphi, \psi)$ is symmetric, then by Proposition 3.11 we may assume $\psi = \tau \varphi$ and $\varphi \tau \varphi = \lambda_f^{-1} F$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \tau \varphi) = \begin{pmatrix} \tau \varphi & -\lambda_z \tau \varphi \\ \lambda_z \tau \varphi & \tau \varphi \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tau \varphi & \lambda_z \tau \varphi \\ -\lambda_z \tau \varphi & \tau \varphi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \varphi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \varphi \end{pmatrix}

\cong \begin{pmatrix} \tau (\varphi - i\lambda_z) & 0 \\ 0 & \tau (\varphi + i\lambda_z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau (\varphi + i\lambda_z) & 0 \\ 0 & \tau (\varphi - i\lambda_z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau (\varphi - i\lambda_z) & 0 \\ 0 & \tau (\varphi + i\lambda_z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau (\varphi + i\lambda_z) & 0 \\ 0 & \tau (\varphi - i\lambda_z) \end{pmatrix}$$
via the isomorphism \((\alpha, \beta)\) where both \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are given by the matrix \(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix}\).

Putting \(\Phi' = \tau(\varphi + i\lambda z^T)\) and \(\Psi' = tr(\varphi + i\lambda z^T)\) we have \(\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) \cong (\Phi', \Psi') \oplus T'(\Phi', \Psi')\).

Conversely, suppose \(\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) = (\Phi', \Psi') \oplus (\Phi'', \Psi'').\) Then

\[
\text{Res}(\Phi', \Psi') \oplus \text{Res}(\Phi'', \Psi'') \cong \tau(\varphi, \psi) \oplus \tau T(\varphi, \psi)
\]

by Lemma 5.1. Since \((\varphi, \psi)\) is indecomposable, by Corollary 3.7 and Proposition 3.6 we may assume \(\text{Res}(\Phi', \Psi') \cong \tau(\varphi, \psi).\) Since \(\tau(\varphi, \psi)\) is nontrivial, \((\Phi', \Psi')\) is reduced. By Lemma 5.2 \(\tau(\varphi, \psi)\) is symmetric.

For (2), let \((\Phi, \Psi) \in TMF(f + z^2)\) be indecomposable and nontrivial. Then in particular \((\Phi, \Psi)\) is reduced. By Theorem 4.15 if \((\Phi, \Psi)\) is symmetric, then \((\Phi, \Psi) \cong \mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)\) for some \((\varphi, \psi) \in TMF(f)\), hence \(\text{Res}(\Phi, \Psi) \cong \tau(\varphi, \psi) \oplus \tau T(\varphi, \psi)\) by Lemma 5.3 (2).

Conversely, suppose \(\text{Res}(\Phi, \Psi) = (\varphi, \psi) \oplus (\varphi', \psi')\). Then we have \(\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) \cong \tau(\Phi, \Psi) \oplus \tau T(\Phi, \Psi)\) by Lemma 5.3 (2). Arguing as above, we may assume \(\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) \cong \tau(\Phi, \Psi)\), so \(\tau(\Phi, \Psi)\) is symmetric by Theorem 4.15.

To complete the proof of (1), we assume \((\varphi, \psi)\) is symmetric. By the calculation above, we have \(\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) \cong (\Phi', \Psi') \oplus T(\Phi', \Psi').\) By Lemma 5.3 (1) \(\text{Res}\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) \cong \tau(\varphi, \psi) \oplus \tau T(\varphi, \psi).\) Since this is a sum of exactly two indecomposables, \(\text{Res}(\Phi', \Psi')\) is indecomposable, hence \((\Phi', \Psi')\) is asymmetric by the first part of (2).

To complete the proof of (2), we assume \((\Phi, \Psi)\) is symmetric. As argued above, we have \(\text{Res}(\Phi, \Psi) \cong (\varphi, \psi) \oplus T(\varphi, \psi).\) By Lemma 5.3 (2) we have \(\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi) \cong \tau(\Phi, \Psi) \oplus \tau T(\Phi, \Psi).\) Since this is a sum of exactly two indecomposables, \(\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)\) is indecomposable, hence \((\varphi, \psi)\) is asymmetric by the first part of (1). \(\square\)

The stable categories of MCM modules over \(B\) and \(B^\#\) are not equivalent in general, even when \(B\) is a quotient of a commutative polynomial ring. In the setting of complete hypersurface singularities, Knörrer’s Periodicity Theorem 10 Theorem 3.1] gives an equivalence between the stable category of MCM modules over \(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(f)\) and the stable category of MCM modules over the second double branch cover. Towards a noncommutative version of that theorem, we make the following definition.

**Definition-Notation 5.6** \(((B^\#)^\#)\). Recall that we extend \(\sqrt{\sigma}\) to \(A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]\) by requiring \(\sqrt{\sigma}(z) = z\). The **second double branched cover of \(B\)** is the quotient

\[
(B^\#)^\# = A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}][w; \sqrt{\sigma}]/(f + z^2 + w^2).
\]

of the iterated Ore extension \(A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}][w; \sqrt{\sigma}].\) We extend \(\sqrt{\sigma}\) to \(A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}][w; \sqrt{\sigma}]\) by defining \(\sqrt{\sigma}(w) = w.\)

**Remark 5.7.** As in the classical case, it is convenient to consider a linear change of variables. Setting \(u = z + iw\) and \(v = z - iw\) induces an isomorphism

\[
(B^\#)^\# \cong A[u; \sqrt{\sigma}][v; \sqrt{\sigma}]/(f + uv).
\]
Here, $i$ is the square root of $-1$ in $k$ and $\sqrt{\sigma}$ acts as the identity on $u$ and $v$.

By iterating the functors $C$ and Res, we can move between categories of twisted matrix factorizations of $f$ and those of $f + z^2 + w^2$. To distinguish the two steps in this process we introduce the following notation.

**Notation 5.8 ($\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_2, \text{Res}_1, \text{Res}_2$).** Let $\mathcal{C}_1 : \text{TMF}(f) \to \text{TMF}(f + z^2)$ be the functor $\mathcal{C}$ given in Definition 4.12 and let $\mathcal{C}_2 : \text{TMF}(f + z^2) \to \text{TMF}(f + z^2 + w^2)$ be the analogue replacing $f$ by $f + z^2$. Let $\text{Res}_1 : \text{TMF}(f + z^2) \to \text{TMF}(f)$ and $\text{Res}_2 : \text{TMF}(f + z^2 + w^2) \to \text{TMF}(f + z^2)$ be the corresponding restriction functors.

Finally, we define a functor that takes a twisted matrix factorization of $f$ and produces a twisted matrix factorization of $f + uv$ directly, rather than by iterating the $C$ construction.

**Definition 5.9 ($\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}$).** Define $\mathcal{H} : \text{TMF}(f) \to \text{TMF}(f + uv)$ by

$$\mathcal{H}(\varphi, \psi) = \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} \text{tw}_u & -\lambda_u \sqrt{\sigma} \\ \lambda_u \sqrt{\sigma} & \tau \varphi \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cc} \text{tw}_v & \lambda_v^3 \sqrt{\sigma} \\ -\lambda_v^3 \sqrt{\sigma} & \tau \psi \end{array} \right) \right)$$

where the double bar denotes the extension of scalars $A[u; \sqrt{\sigma}][v; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_A \ldots$.

Via the change of variables in Remark 5.7, the functor $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to the iterated extension of scalars $A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}][w; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_{A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}]} (A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \otimes_A \ldots)$, each iteration of which was previously denoted by a single bar. Henceforth we use these two types of “double bars” interchangeably. In particular, we identify $\lambda_{z}^F$ and $\lambda_{z}^F$. For a morphism $(\alpha, \beta)$, put

$$\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta) = \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} \tau \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \text{tw}(\beta) \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cc} \tau \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \alpha \end{array} \right) \right).$$

**Lemma 5.10.** With the notations above, we obtain that

$$C_2 \circ C_1 \cong \mathcal{H} \oplus T \mathcal{H}, \quad \text{Res}_1 \circ \text{Res}_2 \circ \mathcal{H} \cong \text{tw}(\text{id} \oplus T), \quad T \circ \mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H} \circ T.$$

**Proof.** We exhibit the isomorphisms on objects only. Given these, it is not hard to verify the required isomorphisms on Hom spaces.
First,
\[
\mathcal{C}_2 \mathcal{C}_1(\varphi, \psi) = \mathcal{C}_2 \left( \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\varphi}{\psi} & -\lambda_z \bar{G} \\ \lambda_w \bar{T} & \tau \bar{T} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} tw \bar{G} & \lambda_z \bar{T} \\ -\lambda_w \bar{G} & \tau \bar{T} \end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

\[
\mathbb{R} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \frac{tw \bar{G}}{\psi} & \lambda_z \bar{T} \\ -\lambda_w \bar{G} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_w \bar{T} \\ 0 & -\lambda_u \bar{G} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} tw \bar{G} & \lambda_z \bar{T} \\ -\lambda_w \bar{G} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_w \bar{T} \\ 0 & -\lambda_u \bar{G} \end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

where the last isomorphism is \((\alpha, \beta)\) where both \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are given by the matrix
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & i \\
0 & -1 & -i & 0 \\
0 & -i & -1 & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

For the second isomorphism,
\[
\text{Res}_1 \text{Res}_2 \mathcal{H}(\varphi, \psi) = \text{Res}_1 \text{Res}_2 \left( \begin{pmatrix} tw \bar{G} & -\lambda_w \bar{G} \\ \lambda_u \bar{T} & \tau \bar{T} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} tw \bar{G} & \lambda_z \bar{T} \\ -\lambda_w \bar{G} & \tau \bar{T} \end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

\[
\cong \text{Res}_1 \left( \begin{pmatrix} tw \bar{G} & -\lambda_z \bar{T} \\ \lambda_w \bar{T} & \tau \bar{T} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} tw \bar{G} & \lambda_z \bar{T} \\ -\lambda_w \bar{G} & \tau \bar{T} \end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

\[
\mathbb{R} \left( \begin{pmatrix} tw \bar{G} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \bar{T} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} tw \bar{G} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \bar{T} \end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

and the result is clear.

Finally, the third isomorphism is given by a morphism \((\alpha', \beta')\) where both \(\alpha'\) and \(\beta'\) are determined by the matrix
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

This brings us to the main result of this article: a noncommutative version of Knörrer’s periodicity theorem.

**Theorem 5.11.** The functor \(\mathcal{H}\) induces a bijection between the sets of isomorphism classes of nontrivial indecomposable graded matrix factorizations of \(f\) and \(f + uv\).

**Proof.** Let \((\varphi, \psi) \in TMF(f)\) be a nontrivial indecomposable factorization. If \((\varphi, \psi)\) is symmetric, then \(\mathcal{C}_1(\varphi, \psi) \cong (\Phi', \Psi') \oplus T(\Phi', \Psi')\) by Proposition 5.5, where \((\Phi', \Psi')\) is indecomposable and asymmetric. Proposition 5.5 then implies that \(\mathcal{C}_2(\Phi', \Psi')\)
and \( C_2(T(\Phi', \Psi')) \) are indecomposable. Hence \( C_2 C_1(\varphi, \psi) \) is a direct sum of precisely two nontrivial indecomposable factorizations. If \( (\varphi, \psi) \) is asymmetric, then \( C_1(\varphi, \psi) \) is indecomposable by Proposition \ref{prop:asymmetric_indecomposable} and symmetric by Theorem \ref{thm:asymmetric_symmetric}. Again by Proposition \ref{prop:indecomposable}, it follows that \( C_2 C_1(\varphi, \psi) \) is a direct sum of precisely two nontrivial indecomposable factorizations. Thus in either case, \( H(\varphi, \psi) \) is indecomposable by the previous lemma.

We prove \( H \) is injective on isomorphism classes. If \( (\varphi', \psi') \) is another graded factorization such that \( H(\varphi, \psi) \cong H(\varphi', \psi') \), then by the second isomorphism in the previous lemma we have \( (\varphi', \psi') \cong (\varphi, \psi) \) or \( T(\varphi, \psi) \). Suppose \( (\varphi', \psi') \cong T(\varphi, \psi) \). By Proposition \ref{prop:asymmetric_indecomposable}, \( C_1(\varphi, \psi) \) is indecomposable and \( C_2 C_1(\varphi, \psi) \) splits into two non-isomorphic direct summands. So by the third isomorphism in the previous lemma,

\[
H(\varphi, \psi) \not\cong T H(\varphi, \psi) \cong H(\varphi', \psi') \cong H(\varphi, \psi),
\]

a contradiction. Therefore, \( (\varphi', \psi') \cong (\varphi, \psi) \).

Finally, let \( (\Phi, \Psi) \in TMF(f + z^2) \) be nontrivial and indecomposable. By the previous lemma,

\[
C_2 C_1 \text{Res}_1 \text{Res}_2(\Phi, \Psi) \cong H \text{Res}_1 \text{Res}_2(\Phi, \Psi) \oplus T H \text{Res}_1 \text{Res}_2(\Phi, \Psi)
\]

\[
\cong H \left( \text{Res}_1 \text{Res}_2(\Phi, \Psi) \oplus T \text{Res}_1 \text{Res}_2(\Phi, \Psi) \right).
\]

Note that since we extend \( \sqrt{\sigma} \) by the identity map to \( A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}] \) and \( A[z; \sqrt{\sigma}][w; \sqrt{\sigma}] \), the functor \( \tau \) commutes with \( \mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \) and \( H \). Thus we have

\[
C_2 C_1 \text{Res}_1 \text{Res}_2(\Phi, \Psi) \cong C_2 \left( \tau \text{Res}_2(\Phi, \Psi) \oplus \tau T \text{Res}_2(\Phi, \Psi) \right)
\]

\[
\cong \tau C_2 \text{Res}_2(\Phi, \Psi) \oplus \tau T \mathcal{C}_2 \text{Res}_2(\Phi, \Psi)
\]

\[
\cong \tau \mathcal{C}_2 \text{Res}_2(\Phi, \Psi) \oplus \tau T \mathcal{C}_2 \text{Res}_2(\Phi, \Psi)
\]

\[
\cong \tau \mathcal{T}(\Phi, \Psi) \oplus \tau T(\Phi, \Psi) \oplus \tau\mathcal{T}(\Phi, \Psi) \oplus \tau T(\Phi, \Psi).
\]

using Lemma \ref{lem:noncommutative} \( \tau \right) \), that \( \mathcal{T} \cong T \mathcal{C} \), Theorem \ref{thm:asymmetric_symmetric} and again Lemma \ref{lem:noncommutative} \( \tau \right) \), respectively. These two calculations, and the fact that \( \tau \) commutes with \( H \), show that \( \mathcal{T}(\Phi, \Psi) \), and hence \( (\Phi, \Psi) \), is isomorphic to a direct summand of a factorization in the image of \( H \). Now the result follows by Corollary \ref{cor:injective_on_classes}.

The following is now immediate from Theorem \ref{thm:injective_on_classes} and Theorem \ref{thm:asymmetric_symmetric}.

**Corollary 5.12.** There is a bijection between the sets of isomorphism classes of indecomposable non-free MCM \( B \)-modules and indecomposable non-free MCM \( (B^\#)^\# \)-modules.

**Remark 5.13.** Since commutative polynomial rings are AS-regular domains, we obtain a graded version of Knörrer Periodicity for even-degree hypersurfaces in the commutative setting as a special case of Theorem \ref{thm:asymmetric_symmetric}.
6. Noncommutative Kleinian singularities

In this section, we establish a fruitful application of the main results from Sections 5 and 6 for the noncommutative Kleinian singularities appearing in work of Chan-Kirkman-Walton-Zhang; see [3] Section 5. Our goal is twofold:

(Goal I) If \((C,f)\) as in Proposition 6.1. Suppose \(\tau\) is a choice of a square root in the noncommutative setting. These goals are achieved in Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 below.

The first goal provides one direction of a graded version of the classification of matrix factorizations over Kleinian singularities given in [7] to the Greuel-Schreyer [1] and Knörrer [10]. The second goal extends the well-known classification of commutative hypersurface singularities of finite CM type due to Buchweitz-Deschamps-Vasconcelos [11].

Theorem 6.1. Suppose \((C,f)\) is a (possibly noncommutative) Kleinian singularity as in [5], and suppose \(\sigma\) is the normalizing automorphism of \(f\). Then there exists \(\tau\) an automorphism of \(C\) such that \(\tau^2 = \sigma\) and \(\tau(f) = f\). Hence by Theorem 5.3 \(C[\tau;\tau]/(f + z^2)\) has finite CM type.

Proof. Cases (a), (d) \((n\) even), (e) and (f) are commutative, and in cases (c), and (d) \((n\) odd), \(f\) is central, so the result follows immediately in these cases.

In case (g), \(k\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle/\langle a_2 a_1 - q^n a_1 a_2, a_3 a_1 - q^n a_1 a_3, a_2 a_3 - q^n a_2 a_3 \rangle\) with \(f = a_2^n - q^n a_1 a_3\) where \(s = n(n-1)/2\). Then \(f\) is normal according to the identities:

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_1 f &= q^{-n^2} f a_1 & a_2 f &= f a_2 & a_3 f &= q^n f a_3.
\end{align*}
\]

There are several choices for a square root \(\tau\) of \(\sigma\), not all of which preserve \(f\). A choice \(\tau\) which does preserve \(f\) is given by choosing \(p \in k\) such that \(p^2 = q^{-n^2}\), and setting \(\tau(a_1) = p a_1\), \(\tau(a_2) = a_2\) and \(\tau(a_3) = p^{-1} a_3\). Case (b) is a special case of case (g) with \(q = -1\).

In case (h), \(k\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle/\langle a_2 a_1 - a_1 a_2 - 2a_1^2, a_3 a_2 - a_2 a_3 - 2a_2^2, a_3 a_1 - a_1 a_3 - 4a_1 a_2 - 6a_1^3 \rangle\) with \(f = a_2^3 - a_1 a_2 - a_1 a_3\). This \(f\) is normal, since

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_1 \ f &= f \ a_1 & a_2 \ f &= f \ (a_2 + 2 a_1) & a_3 \ f &= f \ (a_3 + 4 a_2 + 6 a_1).
\end{align*}
\]

The automorphism \(\sigma\) does have a square root \(\tau\), namely by setting

\[
\begin{align*}
    \tau(a_1) &= a_1 & \tau(a_2) &= a_1 + a_2 & \tau(a_3) &= 2a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3.
\end{align*}
\]

One may verify that \(\tau\) indeed fixes \(f\).
|   | \( C \)                                      | \( f \)                                      |
|---|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| (a) | Commutative Kleinian singularity            |                                            |
| (b) | \( k[a_1, a_2, a_3] \) where \( q_{12} = q_{23} = (-1)^n \) and \( q_{13} = (-1)^{n_2} \) | \( a_2^n - (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} a_1 a_3 \) |
| (c) | \( k(a_1, a_2)/( [a_1^2, a_2], [a_2^2, a_1] ) \) | \( a_1^6 - a_2^2 \)                        |
| (d) | \( n \) even \( k[a_1, a_2, a_3] \)         | \( a_1^2 - a_2^2 a_2 - (-1)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} 4a_2^{(n+2)/2} \) |
|     | \( n \) odd \( k(a_1, a_2, a_3) \)          | \( a_2^2 + a_2 a_1^2 \)                   |
| (e) | \( k[a_1, a_2, a_3] \)                      | \( a_2^{2n} - (-1)^n a_1 a_3 \)          |
| (f) | Commutative Kleinian singularity            |                                            |
| (g) | \( k[a_1, a_2, a_3] \) where \( q_{12} = q_{23} = q^n \), \( q_{13} = q^{n_2} \) | \( a_2^n - q \frac{n(n-1)}{2} a_1 a_3 \)  |
| (h) | \( k(a_1, a_2, a_3) \)                      | \( a_2^2 - a_1 a_2 - a_1 a_3 \)          |
|     | \( \begin{pmatrix} a_2 a_1 - a_1 a_2 - 2a_1^2 \\ a_3 a_2 - a_2 a_3 - 2a_2^2 \\ a_3 a_1 - a_1 a_3 - 4a_1 a_2 - 6a_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \) | \( a_2^2 - a_1 a_2 - a_1 a_3 \) |

| Table 1. Quantum Kleinian singularities \( C/(f) \), as noncommutative hypersurface singularities ([5], Table 3), with corrections to \( q_{ij} \) in cases (b) and (g) |

In [5] it was proved that the quantum Kleinian singularities in Table 1 have finite CM type. In the subsections that follow, we give matrix factorizations that represent the finitely many MCM modules over each of the noncommutative Kleinian singularities that appear in the classification of [5].

6.1. Case (c). In this case, \( C = k(a_1, a_2)/( [a_1^2, a_2], [a_2^2, a_1] ) \) is a down-up algebra with squares central, and \( f = a_1^6 - a_1^6 \), where we set \( \deg a_1 = 1 \) and \( \deg a_2 = 3 \). In [5], the authors proved there is a single non-free indecomposable MCM over \( C/(f) \). It may be represented by the matrix factorization \( \varphi : C[-4] \oplus C[-3] \to C[-1] \oplus C \) and \( \psi : C[-7] \oplus C[-6] \to C[-4] \oplus C[-3] \) given by the matrices:

\[
\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & -a_1^3 \\ -a_1^2 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & a_1^3 \\ a_1^2 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

A brief check shows that the above matrices give a matrix factorization of \( a_2^2 - a_1^6 \). Since the generators of the free modules in the source and target of \( \varphi \) are in different degrees, one may check that the only degree zero maps from \( (\varphi, \psi) \) to itself are scaling by a constant. Therefore \( (\varphi, \psi) \) is indecomposable.
6.2. Case (d), \(n\) odd. Fix \(n\) an odd positive integer. Let \(A = k[a_1, a_2]\) be the commutative polynomial ring. We view \(A\) as a graded algebra by defining \(\text{deg}(a_1) = n\) and \(\text{deg} a_2 = 4\). Let \(\tau : A \to A\) be the graded algebra automorphism determined by \(\tau(a_1) = -a_1\) and \(\tau(a_2) = a_2\). Let \(\delta : A \to A\) be the graded \(\tau\)-derivation determined by \(\text{deg}(a_1) = 4(-1)^{(n+1)/2}a_2(n+1)/2\) and \(\delta(a_2) = 0\). Let \(C = A[a_3; \tau, \delta]\), where \(a_3a = \tau(a)a_3 + \delta(a)\) for all \(a \in A\). Then \(C\) is graded by taking \(\text{deg}(a_3) = n + 2\). Since \(A\) is a domain, so is \(C\). One can check that \(a_3^2 + a_2^2a_2\) is central and homogeneous in \(C\). By [5], \(C/(a_3^2 + a_2^2a_2)\) has finite CM type with \(\frac{n+1}{2}\) indecomposable MCM modules.

The reader will note that while \(C/(a_3^2 + a_2^2a_2)\) has finite CM type, the (graded) algebra \(A/(a_3^2a_2)\) is a commutative “\(D_\infty\)” singularity of countable CM type. This example shows that a version of the theory above that considers double branched covers of the form \(A[z; \tau, \delta]\) with nontrivial derivation \(\delta\) may lead to unpredictable behavior where preservation of finite CM type is concerned.

There is one indecomposable matrix factorization \((\varphi, \psi)\) of rank 2 where

\[
\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} a_3 & a_1^2 \\ -a_2 & a_3 \end{pmatrix} : C[-2n] \oplus C[-n - 2] \to C[2 - n] \oplus C
\]

and

\[
\psi = \begin{pmatrix} a_3 & -a_1^2 \\ -a_2 & a_3 \end{pmatrix} : C[-3n - 2] \oplus C[-2n - 4] \to C[-2n] \oplus C[-n - 2].
\]

It is straightforward to check that \(\varphi \psi = \lambda a_3^2 + a_2^2 a_2\) and \(\psi \varphi[-n - 2] = \lambda a_3^2 + a_2^2 a_2\). Since \(n\) is odd, the generators of \(C[-2n] \oplus C[-n - 2]\) are always in different degrees, hence the degree-0 endomorphism ring of \((\varphi, \psi)\) is isomorphic to \(k\). This implies \((\varphi, \psi)\) is indecomposable.

Let \(m = \frac{n+1}{2}\) and \(s = \frac{a_3^2 + a_2^2 a_2}{2}\). For \(1 \leq j \leq m - 1\), define

\[
\varphi_j = \begin{pmatrix} a_3 & (-1)^s 2a_2^{m-j} \\ 0 & -a_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2^j & -a_1 \\ a_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (1)^s 2a_2^{m-j} \\ 0 & -a_3 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

When \(n > 4j\), set \(F_j = C[4j - 2n - 4] \oplus C[-n - 4] \oplus C[4j - 2n - 2] \oplus C[-n - 2]\) and \(G_j = F_j[n + 2]\). Then \(\varphi_j\) determines a degree-0 \(C\)-linear homomorphism which we also denote \(\varphi_j : F_j \to G_j\). It is straightforward to check that \(\varphi_j \psi_j[-n - 2] = \lambda a_3^2 + a_2^2 a_2\), hence \((\varphi_j, \varphi_j[-n - 2])\) is a twisted matrix factorization of \(a_3^2 + a_2^2 a_2\). Since \(n\) is odd, the degrees of the generators of \(F\) are all distinct. It follows that the graded endomorphism ring of this factorization is isomorphic to \(k\), and hence the factorization is indecomposable. The proof that \((\varphi_j, \varphi_j[-n - 2])\) is indecomposable for \(n < 4j\) is completely analogous and left to the reader.

It remains to show that \((\varphi_i, \varphi_i[-n - 2]) \not\cong (\varphi_j, \varphi_j[-n - 2])[s]\) for \(i \neq j\) and grading shift \(s\). The generators of \(F_j\) are in degrees \(2n + 4 - 4j, n + 4, 2n + 2 - 4j, n + 2\). The degree difference between the first two is \(n - 4j\), which depends
on \( j \). Since no other \( F_i \) has generators that differ in degree by this amount, there can be no invertible degree-0 homomorphism from \((\varphi_i, \varphi_i[-n-2])\) to a shift of \((\varphi_j, \varphi_j[-n-2])\).

6.3. Case (g). Let \( C = k\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle / \langle a_2 a_1 - q^n a_1 a_2, a_3 a_1 - q^n a_1 a_3, a_3 a_2 - q^n a_2 a_3 \rangle \) and let \( f = a_1 a_3 - q^\delta a_2^2 \) where \( \delta = -\binom{n}{2} \). The algebra \( C \) is graded by \( \deg a_1 = \deg a_3 = n \) and \( \deg a_2 = 2 \). The element \( f \) is normal and regular. The normalizing automorphism of \( f \) is the graded \( k \)-linear automorphism \( \sigma : C \to C \) defined by \( a f = f \sigma(a) \) for \( a \in A \). One can check that

\[
\sigma(a_1) = q^{-n^2} a_1, \sigma(a_2) = a_2, \sigma(a_3) = q^{n^2} a_3.
\]

In [2] the authors described the following family of twisted matrix factorizations of \( f \) for \( 0 \leq j < n \):

\[
\varphi_j : C[-2n+j] \oplus C[-n-j] \to C[-j] \oplus C[-n+j]
\]

\[
\varphi_j = \begin{pmatrix}
q^{-\binom{n-j}{2}} a_2^{n-j} & -q^{(n-j)(-n^2)} a_1 \\
-a_3 & q^{-\binom{j}{2}(n-j) a_2^{n-j}} 
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\psi_j : \text{tw} C[-j] \oplus \text{tw} C[-n+j] \to C[-2n+j] \oplus C[-n-j]
\]

\[
\psi_j = \begin{pmatrix}
q^{-\binom{j}{2}(n-j) a_2^{n-j}} & a_1 \\
-q^{(n-j)(-n^2)} a_3 & q^{-\binom{n-j}{2} + 2(n-j) a_2^{n-j}}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We will show that these matrix factorizations are indecomposable. To do this, we will utilize the machinery of the second double branch cover from Section 3. Denote the domain of \( \varphi \) by \( F \) and the codomain by \( G \).

Let \( \phi : C \to C \) be the graded \( k \)-linear automorphism given by \( \phi(a_1) = a_1 \), \( \phi(a_2) = q^{-1} a_2 \) and \( \phi(a_3) = q^{-n} a_3 \). Let \( \zeta = \{ \zeta_n = \phi^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \) be the left twisting system associated to \( \phi \). Let \( C^\zeta \) denote the (left) Zhang twist of \( C \) by \( \zeta \). We denote the Zhang twist of an \( C \)-module \( M \) by \( M^\zeta \). Observe that \( C^\zeta \) is a commutative graded algebra, and that \( \sigma \zeta_m = \zeta_m \sigma \) for all \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \). Also note that for any \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) we have \( \zeta_m(f) = (q^{-n})^m f \). By Theorem 3.6 of [2], the categories \( TMF_{C^\zeta}(f) \) and \( TMF_{C^\xi}(f) \) are equivalent. We will show that \( (\varphi_j, \psi_j) \) is indecomposable by analyzing its image under this equivalence, as described in the proof of [2] Theorem 3.6.

The matrices of \( \varphi^\zeta_j : F^\zeta \to G^\zeta \) and \( \psi^\zeta_j : (\text{tw} G)^\zeta \to F^\zeta \) relative to the same bases used to describe the matrix of \( \varphi_j \) and \( \psi_j \), respectively, are:

\[
\varphi^\zeta_j = \begin{pmatrix}
q^{-\binom{n-j}{2} + (n-j)(-n^2)} a_2^{n-j} & -q^{(n-j)(-n^2)} a_1 \\
q^{n^2} a_3 & q^{-\binom{j}{2}(n-j) a_2^{n-j}}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

and

\[
\psi^\zeta_j = \begin{pmatrix}
-q^{-\binom{j}{2} + n-j} a_2^j & a_1 \\
-q^{n^2 + (n-j)^2} a_3 & q^{-\binom{n-j}{2} + 2(n-j) a_2^{n-j}}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
In order to obtain these matrices, it is important to recall that the free module generators of $G$ are in homogeneous degrees $j$ and $n-j$, and the generators of $F$ are in degrees $2n-j$ and $n+j$.

Let $c = q^{-n}$. As in [2 Theorem 3.6], we consider the map $\lambda_c : \tw(G^c) \rightarrow (\tw G)^c$ given by $m \mapsto (q^{-n})^{\deg m} m$. Since $f$ is central in $C^c$, $\tw(-)$ is the identity. The matrix representation of $\lambda_c$ is

$$\lambda_c = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2n^2-nj} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-3n^2+nj} \end{pmatrix}. $$

The image of $(\varphi_j, \psi_j)$ in $TMF_{C^c}(f)$ is $(\varphi_j^c, q^{2n^2} \psi_j^c \lambda_c)$. One can check that the matrix of $q^{2n^2} \psi_j^c \lambda_c$ is

$$P_j = \begin{pmatrix} -q^{-(\frac{1}{2})}a_2^2 & q^{-n^2}a_1 \\ -q^{-2n^2-nj}a_3 & q^{-n(j+n)(-n-j)}a_2^{-n-j} \end{pmatrix}. $$

When checking that $\varphi_j^c P_j = P_j \varphi_j = \lambda_f$, the reader is reminded that these are matrices with entries in $C^c$, and that all products of homogeneous ring elements must be computed according to the rule $a \cdot b = \zeta_m(a)b$ where $b \in C_m$.

Next, let define graded $C^c$-modules isomorphisms $\alpha : F^c \rightarrow F^c$ and $\beta : G^c \rightarrow G^c$ by $\alpha = \operatorname{id}_{F^c}$ and $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-nj} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{n^2-nj+n^2} \end{pmatrix}$. Then $(\alpha, \beta)$ defines an isomorphism of matrix factorizations between $(\varphi_j^c, P_j)$ and $(\Phi_j, \Psi_j)$ where

$$\Phi_j = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-n(j+n)}-j^2 a_2^{-n-j} & -a_1 \\ a_3 & -q^{-(\frac{1}{2})+nj}a_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Psi_j = \begin{pmatrix} -q^{-n(j+n)}a_2^{-n-j} & a_1 \\ -a_3 & q^{-n(j-n)-j^2}a_2^{-n-j} \end{pmatrix}. $$

The pair $(\Phi_j, \Psi_j)$ is obviously $\mathcal{H}(-q^s a_2^{-j}, q^s a_2^{-n-j})$ where $r = -(\frac{1}{2}) + nj$ and $s = -(\frac{1}{2}) - j^2$. The graded matrix factorization $(-q^s a_2^{-j}, q^s a_2^{-n-j})$ of $q^{-\frac{1}{2}} y^n$ is clearly indecomposable and nontrivial, and different values of $j$ produce non-isomorphic factorizations. By Theorem 6.11 above, it follows that $(\varphi_j, \psi_j)$ is indecomposable and distinct values of $j$ give non-isomorphic factorizations.

6.4. Case (h). In this case, we have that $C = \mathbb{k}\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle/(a_2 a_1 - a_1 a_2 - 2a_1^2, a_3 a_2 - a_2 a_3 - 2a_2^2, a_3 a_1 - a_1 a_3 - 4a_1 a_2 - 6a_1^2)$, which is evidently an iterated Ore extension (with derivation) of the Jordan plane generated by $a_1$ and $a_2$, hence AS-regular. The hypersurface is defined by $f = a_2^3 - a_1 a_2 - a_1 a_3$, which is normal in $C$ with normalizing automorphism given by the equations in [1] as in the proof of Theorem 6.1. The authors of [3] show that $C/(f)$ admits a single indecomposable non-free MCM. If we let $F = \mathbb{C}[-1]^2$, and
let \( G = C^2 \), this MCM may be represented by the matrix factorization given by the maps \( \varphi : F \to G \) and \( \psi : twG \to F \) where

\[
\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} -a_3 & -a_1 - a_2 \\ a_2 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_1 + a_2 \\ -2a_1 - a_2 & -2a_1 - 2a_2 - a_3 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

One may verify that \( \varphi \psi = \lambda_j^G \) and \( \psi \psi \varphi = \lambda_j^F \), and that the only degree zero morphisms from \((\varphi, \psi)\) to itself are constant (even though there are generators of \( F \) and \( G \) that are in the same degree).

We summarize these factorizations in the following Theorem.

**Theorem 6.2.** The nontrivial indecomposable twisted matrix factorizations of the noncommutative Kleinian singularities given in Table 7 (in the cases where the fixed ring is noncommutative) are:

(c) \( F = C[-4] \oplus C[-3], G = C[-1] \oplus C, \) and \( \varphi : F \to G, \psi : twG \to F \) are given by

\[
\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & -a_1^2 \\ -a_1 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_1^4 \\ a_2 & a_2^4 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(d) (\( n \) odd) \( F = C[-2n] \oplus C[-n - 2], G = C[-n] \oplus C[n+2], \) and \( \varphi : F \to G, \psi : twG \to F \) are given by

\[
\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_1^2 \\ -a_3 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & -a_1^2 \\ -a_2 & a_3 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

for each \( 1 \leq j \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \) and \( s = \frac{n+1}{2} \), a matrix factorization \((\varphi_j, \varphi_j[-2])\) where \( F_j = C[4j - 2n - 4] \oplus C[-n - 4] \oplus C[4j - 2n - 2] \oplus C[-n - 2], G_j = F_j[n + 2], \) and \( \varphi_j : F_j \to G_j \) is given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix} a_3 & (1)^s2a_2^{n-j} & a_1a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -a_3 & 2a_2^{n-j} & -a_1a_2 \\ a_1 & 0 & a_3 & (1)^s2a_2^{n-j} \\ 2a_2^{n-j} & -a_1 & 0 & -a_3 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(g,b) For each \( 0 \leq j < n, F_j = C[-2n+j] \oplus C[-n-j], G_j = C[-j] \oplus C[-n+j], \) and \( \varphi_j : F_j \to G_j, \psi_j : twG_j \to F_j \) are given by

\[
\varphi_j = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-(n-j)}a_2^{n-j} & a_1a_2 \\ a_3 & -q^{-(n-j)}-a_1 a_3 \\ a_3 & q^{-(n-j)}a_2^{n-j} & a_1 \\ -q^{-(n-j)}a_3 & q^{-(n-j)}a_2^{n-j} & a_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\psi_j = \begin{pmatrix} -q^{-(n-j)} a_2^{n-j} & a_1a_2 \\ a_3 & q^{-(n-j)}a_2^{n-j} \\ -q^{-(n-j)}a_3 & q^{-(n-j)}a_2^{n-j} & a_1 \\ -q^{-(n-j)}a_3 & q^{-(n-j)}a_2^{n-j} & a_1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(h) \( F = C[-1]^2, G = C^2, \) and \( \varphi : F \to G, \psi : twG \to F \) are given by

\[
\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} -a_3 & -a_1 - a_2 \\ a_2 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_1 + a_2 \\ -2a_1 - a_2 & -2a_1 - 2a_2 - a_3 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
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