Mechanism of Allium Crops Bulb Enlargement in Response to Photoperiod: A Review
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Abstract: The photoperiod marks a varied set of behaviors in plants, including bulbing. Bulbing is controlled by inner signals, which can be stimulated or subdued by the ecological environment. It had been broadly stated that phytohormones control the plant development, and they are considered to play a significant part in the bulb formation. The past decade has witnessed significant progress in understanding and advancement about the photoperiodic initiation of bulbing in plants. A noticeable query is to what degree the mechanisms discovered in bulb crops are also shared by other species and what other qualities are also dependent on photoperiod. The FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) protein has a role in flowering; however, the FT genes were afterward reported to play further functions in other biological developments (e.g., bulbing). This is predominantly applicable in photoperiodic regulation, where the FT genes seem to have experienced significant development at the practical level and play a novel part in the switch of bulb formation in Alliums. The neofunctionalization of FT homologs in the photoperiodic environments detects these proteins as a new class of primary signaling mechanisms that control the growth and organogenesis in these agronomic-related species. In the present review, we report the underlying mechanisms regulating the photoperiodic-mediated bulb enlargement in Allium species. Therefore, the present review aims to systematically review the published literature on the bulbing mechanism of Allium crops in response to photoperiod. We also provide evidence showing that the bulbing transitions are controlled by phytohormones signaling and FT-like paralogues that respond to independent environmental cues (photoperiod), and we also show that an autorelay mechanism involving FT modulates the expression of the bulbing-control gene. Although a large number of studies have been conducted, several limitations and research gaps have been identified that need to be addressed in future studies.
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1. Introduction

The photoperiod is demarcated as the portion of light and dark hours in a diurnal cycle of 24 h [1]. The continual discrepancy in length of day, predominantly at better notches of latitude, is a dependable scale of the evolution of the spells and controls when the plant shifts its growing strategies andwitches forming bulbs. Arabidopsis is a facultative long-day plant, and flowers prior under long-day environments. Long days promote bulb enlargement. Nevertheless, day length does not disturb the floral switch in tomato, which is a day-neutral plant. The photoperiod is believed to interrelate to
stimulate bulbing [2,3]. Far-red light is also obligatory. In alliaceous crops, bulb initiation is exceedingly inclined by day length. Bulb swelling is attracted if the day length exceeds 12–15 h, which is liable on the cultivar [3]. Brewster [4] informed that garlic produces inadequate bulbs in warm, short-day lowland tropical areas, but in temperate zones, the bulb size is characteristically large. The photoperiod, similar to other ecological parameters, regulates the control of plants over inner indicators and alterations in hormonal profile. Endogenous gibberellins levels are enhanced with a long photoperiod, which has been shown to have a dynamic part in the bulbing of garlic and growing quantity of cloves [5]. There is variability in bulb characteristics, clove color, harvest, and aroma according to growing atmosphere and cultivar [6]. Present-day garlic cultivars are purified, and seed manufacture was testified only for a limited genotype in some sites. Garlic is frequently proliferated vegetatively, and adopting an early planting routine that is of adequate scope and eminence is decisive for accomplishing high produce yield [7]. The properties of photoperiod on progressive developments of bulbing in onion have been studied [8]. The onion cultivars of varied origin exhibited better bulbing under a long photoperiod (17 h·d\(^{-1}\)). It has been reported that the degree of bulb growth over long photoperiods increases [9]. Under short-day environments, onion plants formed new plants indeterminately deprived of bulb development, whereas at longer day lengths, bulbs were formed [10]. Photoperiods under 11 h muted bulbing in the two tropical onion varieties, and bulbing was enlarged gradually with as the day length surged [11].

The FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) gene family in Arabidopsis encrypts the transportable flowering indicator formed in the leaves that is responsible for generating bulb development in the subversive stolon, thereby providing a vital innovation about the way bulbing is controlled. Further research in onion exhibited that diverse FT genes similarly control bulb enlargement, stressing the evolutionary-focused maintenance of FT proteins as key producers of the bulb alteration. This too influences the offshoot meristems at the axillary buds and vascular cambium etches for this progressive changeover. FT-like genes switch onion bulb development; however, unlike Arabidopsis FT, it is not a long-distance indicator. These fallouts and the preceding information indicate that FT-like genes activate bulb development in Allium, proposing the turnover of all controllers of bulb advance in varied taxonomy [12]. Furthermore, expression of the LONELY GUY (LOG1) gene, encrypting a cytokinin (CK)-activating enzyme, converses axillary tomato meristems to an aptitude of de novo creation of tuber-like organs [13], recommending that CKs may have a purpose as extensive controllers of storage-organ formation in plants. This research work displays that the ectopic expression of TLOG1, which is a cytokinin-activating gene, gives axillary tomato meristems the capability to produce midair tiny tubers that reflect the involvement of cytokinin in initiating the storage organ development in a non-tuberizing plant. This makes an outline for researching tuber beginning grounded on the unexpected similarities in the parameters of tuberization among axillary meristems and tempted stolons.

Plants respond to different seasons to initiate evolving strategies at specific times of year. The photoperiod controls several evolving developments in plants. Genomic studies have revealed the fundamental responses to alterations in photoperiod and the generation of a vigorous cyclic response. Current advances in plant genome analysis have established the variation in these controlling structures in several crops. Plants are visible to a sturdy rhythm of day and night due to the rotation of the earth around its own axis. This rhythm varies throughout the year, and the more distant an area is from the Equator, the sturdier these variations are, therefore demanding the adaptation of life procedures to this altering rhythm. The adjustment sequence and thus the synchronization of frequent biological and progressive developments with the ecological sequences is understood by an inner circadian clock. Throughout evolution, a quantity of plant classes has achieved the skill of distinguishing their leaves, stems, or roots into storage organs (bulb), as observed in garlic and onion. The formation of these bulbs is attracted throughout drought and freezing conditions that pacify plant feasibility, acting as a mechanism for asexual propagation that delivers a survival strategy to the plant. As such, these bulbs are continuously dormant in soil throughout divergent cold and dry times, after which they are sown in the following term and produce a healthy plant. Early growing of the new shoot is liable on
the metabolic properties that have accumulated in these bulbs, generally in the formula of starch or soluble sugars, which makes them an outstanding caloric supplementation to the human nutritional requirements. An iterative breeding collection for bigger bulbs and form to diverse latitudes could improve the recent cultured genotypes, which is of high financial significance and tactical in equilibria of food safety. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms recycled by the plant to signal the distinction of these bulbs is a vital area to see the nutritious stresses of the increasing world population, and it is also an ultimate query in developing environmental skills.

2. Photoperiodic Control in Bulb Enlargement

A bulb is a vegetative growing point or an unexpected flowering shoot. The base is from a reduced stem, and plant growth occurs from this basal plate. Root development forms from the underside of the base and new stems and leaves form from the upper side. A long photoperiod is crucial for bulb initiation and growth in *Allium sativum* [2,3,13–17]. Although the precise structures are uncertain, the photoperiod and the mechanism for bulbing have been crucial limits for the progressive development of bulbs or the strategy of agricultural systems. A detailed understanding of the properties of ecological environments (photoperiod) on bulb growth would increase our information of the bulb developments and enable the production of a continual stock of bulbs. The photoperiod expressively impacts the propagative developments [7]. The bulbing and cloving are inclined by the day length to which the dormant cloves or budding plants are subjected before bulbing starts [18]. At large, low early temperatures followed by long days are crucial for the development of bulbs and cloves. At the same time, the struggle for resources by the parallel developing bulb regulates the providence of bulbing [19].

In onion bulbs, a healthy sink in the initial bulb growth phases pacifies the evolution and divergence of the new inflorescence with subsequent drying of the flower stem. Henceforth, it was planned that the stimulation of the photoperiod on bulb growth should be meticulous in the background of the corresponding but uncertain growth of bulbs in garlic [7] (Table 1).

Up to the present time, the result of photoperiod on bulb development has been researched for some Alliums. The bulb enlargement of onion and its subsequent development were influenced by the photoperiod, and bulbing was encouraged by long days. Furthermore, in some cultivars, bulbing only occurred once double thresholds of a least thermal period of 600-degree days and a photoperiod of 13.75 h were achieved [20–23]. However, to the best of our information, the risky conditions for bulbing and the alteration in the indicator ingredient through this development inside *Allium sativum* have attracted limited investigation reflection, apart from the research of Kamenetsky and Rabinowitch [24], Mathew et al. [7], Wu et al. [16], and Atif et al. [2]. The properties of irradiance on crop growing and interrelations among growth and the developing procedures of bulbing in onions have been studied [8]. Findings with respect to light spectral eminence have revealed that the photoperiodic switch of bulbing is a high-irradiance response of the phytochrome scheme [25]. Far-red light, which turns over phytochrome A, encourages bulbing most efficiently throughout the central portion of an 18-h inductive photoperiod, necessitating around five spells as abundant energy for the similar response at the start and finish of this photoperiod [26]. Furthermore, the lesser the red to far-red proportion, the better the raise of bulbing in a certain photoperiod [27–29]. Red light unassisted or useful proximately after separate far-red radiation repressed bulb enlargement in onion [30]. A lower red to far-red ratio rushes bulb measure at the beginning and maturity as the leaf area index rises. The light intensity, light quality, and additional features interrelate with day length to affect the bulbing response of onion cultivars. In warm weather and bright days, onions bulb at shorter day lengths than the cool and cloudy days [31,32]. Environmental factors, such as for example the day length, affect bulb enlargement and taste eminence in onion [33]. In onion, light range and quality impacts bulb formation and quality [34–41]. The bulb initiation and growth of further *Allium* species including garlic have been shown to be influenced by day length, temperature, and carbohydrates [42,43]. Earlier investigators testified that plants treated with shorter day lengths than they needed will form solitary leaves with poor bulbs [44], and in some conditions, thick bulb necks might too occur [45]. Equally, untimely bulb
Bulbing is regulated by internal signals, which can be stimulated or inhibited by the environmental conditions. It has been widely reported that phytohormones regulate the plant growth and are considered to play an important role in the formation of bulbs [5,7].

Long photoperiods are known to increase the levels of endogenous gibberellins, with consequent flower bud differentiation. Many studies have shown that gibberellic acid (GA) could partially or fully replace vernalization for some plants. Endogenous GA levels of long day or biennial plants during the process of floral induction increased. However, GA is considered to be an inhibitor of bulb formation. Exogenous GA inhibited the increase of the scape and bulb yield. It was likely that GA did not act directly on the inhibition of bulbing; instead, it enhanced the activity of a "bulbing inhibition substance" [5,7].

Abscisic acid (ABA) generally plays an important role in plant defense against biotic or abiotic stresses. It was assumed that ABA acts similarly to GA in the early stage of plant bolting. Endogenous ABA levels of Welsh onion (Allium fistulosum L.) increased significantly during flower bud differentiation and decreased dramatically after the completion of flower bud differentiation [5,7].

Indoleacetic acid (IAA) showed the opposite effect, decreasing with increases in the flower bud differentiation rate but increasing significantly during the bolting process of Welsh onion. It is reasonable to assume that IAA inhibits flower bud differentiation but improves plant bolting [5,7].

Zeatin riboside (ZR) also showed an enhancing effect on plant bolting. Cytokinin (CTK) was a bulbing initiator but had no visible effect on bulb enlargement, while IAA and ethylene improved bulb formation. However, few studies have investigated the role of abscisic acid (ABA) on garlic bolting or bulbing [5,7].

 Jasmonic acid (JA) and related compounds are widely distributed among higher plants and play important roles in the regulation of plant development. It was found that jasmonates were potent inducers of vegetative storage protein gene expression and protease inhibitors of defense proteins. It is generally believed that the bulb formation is regulated by the balance between the “bulbing hormones” and GA. By considering that bulbing was involved in the disruption of microtubules, jasmonic acid (JA) and methyl jasmonate (MeJA) were candidate bulbing hormones because of their microtubule-disrupting activities and wide distribution in higher plants [5,7].

Salicylic acid (SA) played an important role in garlic bulb formation and MeJA likely enhanced the endogenous SA content of garlic plant, thus improving bulbing [5,7].

Cultivars grown at diverse latitudes required a least day length for bulbing, and cultivars are classified on this into short-day (SD), intermediate, and long-day (LD) categories. The short-day cultivars procedure bulbs at low latitudes whenever the day length is close to 12 h, whereas intermediate ones grow bulbs at mid latitudes whenever the day length lies between 12 and 16 h, and long-day cultivars initiate bulbing at high latitudes whenever the day length is close to or above 16 h [6–11].

Numerous key genes are intricate in circadian regulation, where the clock derives the rhythmic expression of key genes FLAVIN-BINDING, KELCH REPEAT, F-BOX (FKF1), GIGANTEA (GI), and CONSTANS (CO). FKF1 and GI promote CO expression and this CO positively controls FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT). Then, the FT protein is translocated to the apical meristem through the phloem and forms a FT/FD (FLOWERING LOCUS D) complex. This compound triggers the APETALA 1 (API) and suppressor of overexpression of CONSTANS 1 (SOC1) genes, which triggers LEAFY (LFY) gene expression and causes flowering at the floral apical meristem in Arabidopsis. The expression of GI, FKF1, and ZTL homologues under short-day and long-day environments was observed using quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR), where the results presented that key genes—namely GI, CO, and FT—controlling photoperiodic flowering in Arabidopsis are conserved in Alliums, and a role for these genes in the photoperiodic control of bulb instigation is anticipated [12,13].

The FLOWERING LOCUS T gene (FT), which was first documented in Arabidopsis thaliana, has been discovered to be the main feature of the floral signal molecule florigen. FT plays a key role in the photoperiodic pathway for the initiation of flowering in the apical meristem with the help of other floral homeotic genes such as LFY. Moreover, FT is a target of CONSTANS (CO) and turns upstream of suppressor of CONSTANS overexpression (SOC1) and can act as a mobile flowering signal to encourage flowering by long-distance transport. For bulbing, as with flowering, photoperiod insight develops in the leaves, while the response is in the meristem. These inductive that a mobile signal with properties similar to FT might be involved [12,13].
3. Phytohormonal Control of Bulb Enlargement

Phytohormones are considered the most important endogenous substance for modulating physiological and molecular responses, and they are a critical requirement for plant survival as sessile organisms. Phytohormones act either at their site of synthesis or elsewhere in plants following their transport. The examination of the paraphernalia of photoperiod on bulb growth will offer vision into the mechanism of bulbing and environmental tools (adaptable photoperiods) for developing parameters. The directive of bulbing is comprised of intricate evolutions determined by an intricate system of signaling pathways. In order to improve propagation ability and bulb production through horticultural practices, regulating environmental conditions (photoperiod) and phytohormones are the most effective ways, which have a key role in the development of bulbs [7,15,47,48]. Hormonal balance has a large effect on storage organ formation and development. The role of hormones in the sprouting of garlic cloves has been demonstrated. In addition to environmental cues, such as photoperiod, bulbing is also controlled by endogenous signals, including phytohormones level and plant age [49,50] (Table 1) (Figure 1).
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Figure 1. Schematic representation of role of photoperiod, FT-like genes, and phytohormones in *Allium sativum* and *Allium cepa* bulb formation.

3.1. Gibberellic Acid

Endogenous gibberellins were found at high levels in the storage leaf during clove sprouting, and they also inhibited dormancy induction in whole tulip bulbs [51–53]. Long photoperiods are identified to improve the levels of endogenous gibberellins, with substantial flower sprout divergence [5,7]. Various experiments have revealed that gibberellic acid (GA) might partially or completely substitute vernalization [54–56]. Surge is detected in the endogenous GA levels of long-day or biennial plants throughout the development of floral initiation. However, GA is a well-organized inhibitor of bulb growth [56]. The application of exogenous GA on garlic revealed that exogenous GA subdued the rise of the bulb produce. It was likely that GA did not turn reliably on the inhibition of bulbing, in its place attracting the outbreak of a "bulbing reserve substance" [57,58]. GA3 application could increase shoot weight in carrot [59], seed yield in lettuce [60], and fruit weight in pear [61] and plum [62]. Earlier reports have revealed that the application of GA3 increased bulb weight in onion under deficit irrigation [63]. On the contrary, a few results have shown that the application of GA4 caused a decline in tuber production in potato [64]; fruit production in sweet pepper [65], grape [66], and pear [67]; and root weight in carrot [59]. In potatoes, it was testified that GA3 treatment
improved the number of tubers per plant [68]. The application of GA3 also encouraged tillering in welsh onions [69], encouraged airborne tubers development in turnip [70], and promoted shoot branching in Jatropha curcas [71]. GA was also used to progress fruit morphological characteristics (skin color and firmness) and nutritive quality in apples, bananas, plums, and sweet peppers [72–74] (Table 1).

Gibberellins are imperative phytohormones that control many evolving developments in plants [75]. According to Liu et al. [75], GA3 treatment intensely encouraged lateral bud development but repressed the growth of plants and bulbs, and lateral bud formation doubled in garlic plants treated with GA3. Bulb nutritive qualities were enhanced by applying GA3. Briefly, the number of cloves and whorls per bulb improved with a higher concentration of GA3. After application of a low concentration of GA3, the number of cloves per bulb and the soluble sugar content were expressively improved, but the mean bulb weight was significantly reduced for plants treated with a high concentration of GA3 [75]. Gibberellins (GA4) are a growth-encouraging phytohormone [76–78], and the application of GA4 might significantly increase plant weight in cauliflower and sweet peppers [65,79,80]. In addition, it has been stated that a high concentration of GA3 and high frequency of application GA3 inhibit lettuce growth [60] and decrease fruit weight in plum [81]. The application of GA3 decreased root, tuber, fruit weight, and yield in other crops as well [59,64,67]. Previous reports have revealed that the application of GA3 had a positive and negative effect on the organ nutritive eminence of potatoes, sweet peppers, and sweet cherries [65,82,83]. GA3 can significantly induce lateral bud formation and increase the clove number per bulb, which improves the reproduction efficiency in garlic. However, the physiological and metabolic changes of garlic plants under GA3 treatment are unknown [75]. Lately, many genes for lateral bud development have been specified in other plants, and future work will focus on changes in gene expression and protein interaction in garlic plants after exogenous GA3 treatment [84–87]. Liu et al. [88] also studied the effect mode and time of application of GA3 on garlic plant architecture and bulb structure. Here, they investigated the effect of both soaking seed cloves in GA3 solution and injecting plants with GA3 on plant growth and bulb development in garlic. They detected that soaking seed cloves in GA3 solution induced secondary plant (equated with tiller or lateral branch) development and expressively increased the incidence rate of secondary plants, clove numbers per bulb, and bulb weight. Clove number per bulb and bulb weight were sharply increased by the application of GA3. Exogenous GA3 induced the axillary bud formation of garlic via the changes of soluble sugar content and soluble protein content in the stem [88]. Exogenous gibberellic acid (GA3) induced axillary bud formation and promoted the growth of lateral branches in tomato [89], potato [90], cherry tree [91], Jatropha curcas [71], and welsh onion [69]. The application of exogenous GA3 not only intensely increased clove number per bulb but also changed bulb morphology [75]. Currently, the application of exogenous GA3 is considered a new means for improving propagation competence and garlic yield. These results highlight the status of exogenous GA3 as a start of axillary bud development [88]. According to Liu et al. [88], soaking seed cloves with GA3 solution and injecting plants with GA3 on plant growth and bulb development in garlic. It was expected that ABA plays an important role in the initial phase of plant bolting [92,93]. Su [94] described that endogenous ABA influences the flower shoot distinction of welsh onion (Allium fistulosum L.), which improved expressively and reduced subsequently after the flower bud variation. According to the outcomes of Wu et al. [16], ABA also exhibited a surge under the longer photoperiod. The increased endogenous ABA might accelerate the maturation process of garlic plants, which leads to the shorter growth period under longer photoperiods. However, when investigating the result of each factor and their interactions, ABA responded otherwise to the photoperiod; the ABA level was increased by
a shorter photoperiod [16]. Abscisic acid (ABA) was recommended to play a key part in the whole growing development of garlic [51]. In Dutch iris, under bulb-inducing environments, endogenous ABA levels increased [95]. ABA were related to temperature regulation in dormancy initiation [96]. ABA was also found to play a crucial part in improving plant lenience to cold, as well as inducing leaf senescence in wheat and barley [97]. A further development that is facilitated by phytohormones was found in apical dormancy control by balanced hormonal signaling between auxin, cytokinin, and the recently discovered strigolactones in grasses [98]. The role of ABA in the deep dormancy of seeds, tubers, and bulbs has been recommended in several investigations and reviews [99–102]. ABA's role in the later growth stages, the sprout regulation of potato tubers, and the effect of sucrose on its level have been proposed [102]. However, cold stress has been shown to cause the accretion of ABA in plants as part of their defense mechanism [104]. According to Rohkin Shalom [48], significantly higher ABA levels were observed in garlic cloves stored at warm versus cold temperatures, signifying that warm temperatures are a more effective sprouting inhibitor in garlic cloves (Table 1).

3.3. Indole Acetic Acid

Indole acetic acid (IAA) exhibits inconsistent results, decreasing with rising flower bud variation degree and then growing expressively throughout the bolting procedure of welsh onion [94]. It is normal to accept that IAA derivatives have been affected by environmental conditions [105]. Although IAA and ethylene enhanced bulb development, rare research reports are available discussing role of abscisic acid (ABA) on bulbing [16,106]. Auxins have long been occupied to play a regulatory role in potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) tuber growth. Endogenous auxin levels were found to be high just prior to and during stolon swelling, after which auxin levels steadily decreased [107]. Moreover, Xu et al. [56] detected earlier tuberization when IAA was applied to single node cuttings in a tuber-inducing medium. Instead, tuber formation was totally inhibited by high concentrations of IAA. In potato, only a single Aux/IAA (StIAA) [107] and ARF protein (ARF6) [107] have been designated up to the present time. StIAA expression levels increased after fungal infection, wounding, or the application of auxin [107]. Arf6 expression levels are reduced in the apical meristem of the stolon tip at the tuber beginning and development, and they are induced during meristem instigation in dormant tuber buds [107] (Table 1).

3.4. Zeatin Riboside

Zeatin riboside (ZR) was reported to have an enhancing effect on plant bolting [94]. According to Wu et al. [16], ZR levels increased as the photoperiods increased. Cytokinin (CTK) was a bulbing originator but had no noticeable impact on bulb widening [16]. Exogenous cytokinin was revealed to induce early differentiation and cell division in developing leaves [51]. Wybouw and De Rybel [108] highlighted how cytokinin influences growth and development in plants. In addition to being the main determinants of shoot development, cytokinins have also been implicated in many aspects of root development. For example, this is very clear when looking at the wide range of root-related phenotypes of biosynthesis, perception, and signaling mutants [109]. The Arabidopsis root shows clear bilateral symmetry within the vascular tissues, with a central xylem axis flanked by two phloem poles and intervening procambium cells. In this diarch setup, there is high auxin signaling in the xylem cells, whereas neighboring procambium and phloem cells display high cytokinin signaling. This bilateral character of the vasculature is a consequence of a tight interplay between auxins and cytokinins because auxin signaling in xylem cells induces AHP6, which in turn represses cytokinin signaling [110]. In addition, cytokinin signaling in procambial cells affects auxin efflux through PIN-FORMED (PIN) protein expression and localization [111]. Mathematical modeling suggests that this interplay is sufficient to achieve bilateral symmetry within the vasculature [112–115]. Cytokinins are tightly linked to vascular development because classical mutants in the signaling pathway such as wooden leg
(ahk4/cre1/wol) and ahp6 were identified because of their vascular defects. In the past few years, the heterodimeric transcription factor complex formed by the bHLH transcription factors TARGET OF MONOPTEROS 5 (TMO5) and LONESOME HIGHWAY (LHW) has emerged as an important regulator of vascular proliferation [116–119]. Cytokinins also regulate root development in a longitudinal sense. In the root, cytokinins control root meristem size by limiting auxin activity in the transition zone. Here, cytokinins repress auxin activity through the direct induction of SHY2/IAA3 by ARR1 and ARR12. SHY2/IAA3 acts as a repressor of ARF activity and negatively regulates PIN proteins, leading to auxin redistribution [120,121]. Plant–microbe interactions between plants from the Fabaceae family and nitrogen-fixating bacteria lead to the formation of specialized plant structures called root nodules, and this process is strongly linked to cytokinin signaling. In Medicago truncatula and Lotus japonica, the inoculation of nitrogen fixating bacteria leads to an increase in cytokinin biosynthesis and signaling within the affected roots [122–125] (Table 1).

3.5. Jasmonic Acid

Jasmonic acid (JA) and connected amalgams are extensively dispersed amongst higher plants and play significant parts in the directive of plant growth [126,127]. Jasmonates have been shown to be effective inducers of nonsexual storage protein gene expression [128] and proteinase inhibitors of resistance proteins [129,130]. It is usually supposed that the bulbing course is controlled by the equilibrium amid the ‘bulbing hormones’ and GA [131,132]. Regvar et al. [133] and Žel et al. [134] testified that JA improved the bulb growth in vitro in absorptions from 1 to 10 \( \mu \)M and recommended that JA could play a significant role in the development of storage tissues in plants, for instance bulbs. Nojiri et al. [135] observed that bulbing was complex in the disorder of microtubules and suggested that jasmonic acid (JA) and methyl jasmonate (MeJA) were candidate bulbing hormones due to their microtubule-disrupting activities and extensive transport in higher plants (Table 1).

3.6. Salicylic Acid

Salicylic acid (SA) also has a significant role in garlic bulb development, and MeJA probably boost the endogenous SA content of garlic plant, therefore refining bulbing. Cytokinins have also been shown to have a part in tuberization by persuading local cell propagation throughout the initial tuberization start. Tuber development can be tempted in stem node carvings cultured in media with a high absorption of sucrose in the occurrence of these hormones. There was a decrease in the number of tubers per plant in transgenic lines ectopically articulating the cytokinin oxidase (CKX) inactivating enzyme [136]. It is significant to answer what is incomparable to the potato plant and can reverse potatoes with the ability to technique underground tubers as a vegetative propagation mechanism. Curiously, ectopic expression of the tomato LONELY GUY 1 (TLOG1), a cytokinin biosynthesis gene that alters in-active sugar-coupled cytokinin into their free active formula, has been revealed to consult juvenile tomato axillary meristems with the skill to form tuber-like structures [13] (Table 1).

4. Genetic Regulation of Photoperiod

Cultivars grown at diverse latitudes required a least day length for bulbing, and cultivars are classified on this into short-day (SD), intermediate, and long-day (LD) categories. The short-day cultivars procedure bulbs at low latitudes wherever the day length is close to 12 h, whereas intermediate ones grow bulbs at mid-latitudes wherever the day length lies between 12 and 16 h, and long-day cultivars initiate bulbing at high latitudes wherever the day length close or above 16 h [137]. Bulbing erstwhile to bulb anticipation is a thoughtful flaw instigating leading yield damage [138]. In the 21st century, notable development has been done in cereals and several additional crops to recognize the homologs of the Arabidopsis diurnal clock and additional flowering linked genes. All these investigations reflect that flowering genes are preserved in monocots and dicots to control flowering paths [139,140]. There is a sum of counterparts amongst the photoperiodic switch of onion bulb enlargement and flowering [12,141]. As with flowering, day length insight perhaps happens in the
leaves, while Brewster [4] observed that the retort is in the meristem, signifying that a moveable indicator with characteristics similar to FT might be involved. For instance, as with the long-day initiation of flowering, bulbing requires the availability of light with a considerable unit of far red in the second half of the long day, concluding the dimension of the length of daylight in the evening via the chance of a daily controlled protein and its stabilization in the light involving phytochrome [4]. The financial, social, and nutritional implications of onion are a problematic topic for genetic investigation due to its incomplete genomics properties and an absence of agreed reference resources [142,143] (Table 1).

A difference among garlic cultivars in bulbing and responses to environmental indicators is predictable and is furthermore probably alike to what mutual happens in other Allium crops [4,144–146]. In alliaceous crops, bolting is dependent on ecological signs, i.e., long photoperiods (lily) or high (tulip, narcissus) or low (onion) temperatures [4,144–146]. Long photoperiods are vital for floral scape elongation. Generally, bolting-type garlic plants need 30–40 days under 0–4 °C or 50–60 days under 10 °C at the four-leaf age for vernalization. Later in that phase, a long photoperiod (≥13 h) and higher temperature (25 °C) is mandatory for the bulbing of garlic [14,15,147]. Day length consideration places a remarkable barrier on breeding agendas as the choice typescripts that initiate in onions from a specific day-length cluster cannot be moved to alternative day length collection by cross breeding, since the precise day-length response of the offspring is unidentified. Furthermore, crossing onions with different day length necessities is problematic, as the progeny will be compromised. Classifying the genes accountable for the day-length obligation of bulb enlargement will help understand the foundation of the alteration, which is significant for familiarizing new cultivars for evolution and progress at diverse latitudes. Whole genomes have been sequenced for numerous species, for example Arabidopsis thaliana [148] and the crops rice (Oryza sativa), maize (Zea mays), wheat (Triticum aestivum), and legumes such as soybean (Glycine max) and barril medic (Medicago truncatula) [149–154]. However, because of the limitations regarding evolving, maintaining, and switching genetic brands, there are low records of genetic researches for Allium development [155]. Allium bulb enlargement is reliant on day length, and it is therefore similar to the day-length retort of flowering [141]. In contrast to the information added regarding the photoperiodic regulation of flowering, relatively little is recognized about the genetic parameters of bulb development [12,141].

5. Gene Expression and Bulb Enlargement

Gene expression is the process by which information from a gene is used in the synthesis of a functional gene product. These products are often proteins, but in non-protein coding genes such as transfer RNA (tRNA) or small nuclear RNA (snRNA) genes, the product is a functional RNA [156–162]. In onion, the physiology of the bulb beginning was precisely defined by Mettananda and Fordham [163]; photoperiodic conditions mark bulb beginning, similar to the photoperiodic switch of flowering in other species [20,164]. A topical investigation discovered that the flowering genes of Arabidopsis that have elaborate day-length responses are functionally preserved with respect to those intricate in onion bulbing [141]. An investigation of several species has discovered that FT-like proteins act throughout developing courses, such as for example the termination of meristem development and tomato produce [165,166], tuberization in potato [167], termination development in poplar trees [168], plant architecture in maize [169], stomatal control [170], and multiplicative architecture in Arabidopsis [171]. Hereafter, it was meticulously possible that the genes leading photoperiodic flowering also control bulbing [12]. Although biological trials have discovered the standing of plant age, light quality, and photoperiod for the initiation of bulb formation [20,163], the “hormones” directing this process are not yet known. It has been lately stated that the FT protein, whose expression is promoted by vernalization over repressor release, panels not only flowering but also bulb formation in onion [172] and tuber formation in the Solanaceae [173]. Several key genes are involved in circadian regulation, where the clock derives the rhythmic expression of key genes such as FLAVIN-BINDING, KELCH REPEAT, F-BOX (FKF1), GIGANTEA (GI), and CONSTANS (CO). FKF1 and GI promote CO expression [174], and this CO positively regulates FLOWERING LOCUS T
Rashid et al. [189] conducted an investigation to characterize the advanced and quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR), where the results presented that key genes—namely GI, CO, and FT—controlling photoperiodic flowering in Arabidopsis are conserved in onion, and a role for these genes in the photoperiodic control of bulb initiation is projected [163] (Figures 1 and 2).

Figure 2. Schematic representation of photoperiodic responses. Model for regulation of bulbing transitions. Light entrains the circadian clock regulating CO mRNA expression. Light entertainments over an external occurrence mechanism to alleviate CO protein, which encourages or obstructs the expression FT mRNA. FT protein, form in the leaves, journeys through the phloem to distant locations of accomplishment with the apical or basal meristems or underground stolons (bulbs).

Bulbing is an alterable development, and plants grown under inductive environments promote bulb formation, but if they are moved to non-inductive condition, they quickly return to vegetative growth [8,184]. Bulb instigation can be distinct as the theme at which the “bulbing ratio”—the ratio of the maximum bulb diameter at the base to the minimum at the neck—increases to greater than two [8,185]. Bulb formation in temperate areas is photoperiod-reliant, and the leaves of the plant are the photoperiodic stimulus receptor [11,186,187]. Long-day crops are grown in temperate areas and need a minimum of 14 or more hours of light to stimulate bulb beginning, whereas short-day crops grow in additional tropical regions and need a photoperiod of only 10 h or more for bulbing [4]. The substance is more complex, as some cultivars are central and thus require 12 h or more of daylight before they will start producing the bulbs. This photoperiod-reliant bulb beginning is comparable to the photoperiodic regulation of flowering in plants [141,163]. Hence, it is assumed that the genes involved in the photoperiodic regulation of flowering in Arabidopsis are also responsible for the photoperiodic regulation of bulb formation. Both developments are induced by long days; indicator insight is in the leaf blade, response is at the meristem, and both are promoted by far-red light through phytochrome A (PHYA) [27,188]. Rashid et al. [189] conducted an investigation to characterize the advanced and
longitudinal expression of supposed photoperiodism-related genes by quantifiable gene expression analysis in different response types of onion under a variety of bulbing and non-bulbing environments so as to further comprehend their possible roles in the photoperiodic parameter of bulbing. Moreover, their research team intended to obtain a better consideration of the molecular regulation of bulbing in response to photoperiod and explicitly examine whether the molecular regulation involved genes controlling flowering by photoperiod in Arabidopsis [189] (Table 1).

Arabidopsis flowering and onion bulbing are both photoperiodically-controlled developmental events [190] that are induced by long days; signal insight lies in the leaf and response is at the top. Sepals, petals, stamens, and anthers are formed as the end produce in Arabidopsis, whereas storage-scale leaves are formed as the end produce in bulbs [191]. Arabidopsis flowering and onion bulbing can be linked via the phytochrome, and both developments are promoted by far-red light through PHYA [192]. Flowering in Arabidopsis has been considered at the molecular and genetic level and is regulated by six major separate pathways viz., photoperiodic, convergent autonomous, sucrose, gibberellin, temperature, and light quality pathways [190,193,194]. For onion, the key ecological stimuli are the photoperiod and temperature [195], but these are mostly grounded on physiological activity more than genetics analyses [196]. Rashid and Thomas [197] emphasized an independent mechanism that generates endogenous rhythms in a 24-h period in the leaf [1] and is controlled by various reaction circles on the photoperiodic pathway, which is intermediated by the circadian clock [198]. Light plays a vital part in the photoperiodic response in Arabidopsis and interrelates with the circadian clock as a fragment of the photoperiodic flowering pathway [199]. In the leaf, light is acknowledged by diverse photoreceptors, including cryptochromes in blue light, phytochromes in red/far-red light, and inputs into the circadian clock [200,201].

6. FT Gene Regulates Bulb Formation

The FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) gene was first recognized in Arabidopsis thaliana [202,203] and has been revealed to be the main factor of the floral signal molecule, florigen [175]. FT plays a key role in the photoperiodic pathway for the initiation of flowering in the apical meristem with the help of other floral homeotic genes such as LFY [204]. Moreover, FT is a target of CONSTANS (CO), turns upstream of suppressor of CONSTANS overexpression (SOC1), and can act as a mobile flowering signal to induce flowering by long-distance transportation [163,180]. For bulbing, as with flowering, photoperiod insight emerges in the leaves, while the response is in the meristem [4]. These recommend that a mobile signal with properties parallel to FT might be involved. Additionally, to the regulation of flowering, FT genes have been found to be involved in a range of physiological developments, signifying a more extensive role as a plant hormone [12]. For instance, FT promotes vegetative growth and the inhibition of bud set in poplar in response to warm temperatures and long-day photoperiods [171,205,206] in tomato and maize. In addition, FT genes have been found to function as general growth controllers [207,208]. Other than vegetative growth and flowering, FT is also involved in the short-day initiation of tuberization in potato [170]. Describing genes involved in the photoperiod requirement of bulb formation will help in understanding the basis of the difference between different photoperiod categories, which is important for acclimating novel cultivars for growth and development at diverse latitudes [189] (Table 1) (Figure 1).

The FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) gene plays a central role in integrating flowering signals in Arabidopsis, because its expression is regulated antagonistically by the photoperiod and vernalization pathways. FT encodes a mobile signaling protein involved in regulating flowering, as well as other aspects of plant development such as bulb formation [12,209]. FT is an important integrator gene and has a significant part in directing the time of evolution to the reproductive phase [202,203,210,211]. The expression of FT genes is inclined by environmental indicators such as the photoperiod, vernalization, hormones, and independent parameters [212,213]. In onion, three FT-like genes are primary groups in directing bulb formation (AcFT1 and AcFT4) and flowering (AcFT2). While flowering is authorized by vernalization and is associated with the up-regulation of AcFT2, a long-day photoperiod
is associated with the down-regulation of AcFT4 and up-regulation of AcFT1, which ratifies bulbing [12]. Although warm storage shaped garlic bulb and vegetation stems under long days (12–14 h light) after 80–90 days of growth, the plants from cold storage formed a bulb after only 30 days of growth under short-to-medium days (9–12 h light), and the floral stem was not formed. Furthermore, in nourishment of the early bulbing phenotype after cold storage, gene-expression results established a higher comparative expression of AsFT1 in garlic’s internal bud and in the storage leaf under cold as compared to warm storage. AcFT1 has been suggested to induce bulbing in Allium cepa, and the potato FT ortholog StSP6A is involved in the short-day initiation of tuberization [12,163]. AcFT2 is strongly exaggerated by day-length conditions, but the expression outlines confirmed the alterations in bulb enlargement under diverse ecological environments [4]. Manoharan et al. [214] examined that the mRNA levels of AcFT1 genes of EM (Early maturation) and LM (Late maturation) lines of onion were down-regulated when the plants were subjected to both photoperiod environments. Further, AcFT1 and AcFT4 were down-regulated in the EM line under both short-day and long-day environments near bulb maturity, and this was in distinction with the greenhouse environments. Although AcFT4 was up-regulated throughout short-day conditions in the LM line, this might be because of genetic alterations connected to the bulbing period in the two onion lines. Therefore, these fallouts offer additional indications that AcFT4 might be twisted in bulb enlargement and propose that AcFT4 movement might be dependent on internal factors. The functionality of the AcFT genes might be transformed by external factors, for example light intensity and temperature inside the growth room [215]. The LM line reaches a critical long-day length, while AcFT4 and AcFT1 transcription levels are reduced and improved individually once subjected to short-day conditions, therefore encouraging bulb enlargement [12]. Additional research will be required to recognize the instigation and inhibitory action of AcFT4 during short-day and long-day environments in bulbing [215]. Manoharan et al. [214] also anticipated that the enlarged expression of AcFT4 in short-day conditions might also be intricate in the late maturity of the LM line. Bulbing occurs mainly under long-day conditions in onion. An alike outline linked to flowering has been logical in other plants, particularly Arabidopsis, in which FT was up-regulated when plants were visible to long-day photoperiod environments [216]. Tuberization in potato is meticulous by the photoperiod response to short day [217], signifying that the genetic switch is parallel in tuberization. Preceding conclusions evidently display that FT genes are connected to flowering [216]. FT genes are well-maintained in species including rice [218], tomato [209], darnel ryegrass [5], sugar beet [219], and wheat [220].

Genes encrypting FT-like proteins show a main part in monitoring both onion bulb enlargement and flowering. A model of the roles of FT-like genes in the periodic switch of bulb crops growth specified that in juvenile plants and those grown under a non-inductive photoperiod, AcFT4 prevents the up-regulation of AcFT1. Once the plants are mature and the day length holds a risky length, AcFT4 is down-regulated and AcFT1 is up-regulated, leading to the initiation of bulb enlargement. Constant with this prototypical, constitutive expression of AcFT4, AcFT1 up-regulation and bulb enlargement are both prevented. In sugar beet, two FTs with different expression profiles and antagonistic purposes control flowering are involved [219,221]. Rashid et al. [189] conducted an inclusive set of evolving and longitudinal quantifiable mRNA expression trials to examine the expression of onion FLOWERING LOCUS T (AcFT), LEAFY (AcLFY), and GIBBERELLIN-3 OXIDASE (GA3ox1) during the bulbing response. Bulbing proportions were used to measure the response of onion plants under long-day and short-day environments. AcFT1 was expressed in a long-day environment, which influences bulb formation, while AcFT4 was expressed in a short-day environment, which inhibits bulb formation. AcFT5 and AcFT6 were expressed in a long-day environment and might also be involved in bulb formation itself. All AcFT, AcLFY, and GA3ox1 genes presented unique outlines of tissue specific expression in onion, with AcFT genes were found mainly in the locations of insight in the leaf and LFY was found in the basal tissues, which are the place of response. The outcomes are constant with AcFT1 expression being the indicator for long-day influenced bulb instigation and AcFT4 being involved in overwhelming bulbing in short-day environments. According to Rashid and Thomas, [197] onion
homologs of CO, FT, GI, and FKF1 genes exhibited diurnal forms of expression in both long-day and short-day onions. The results back their connection in the day-length regulation of bulbing through a mechanism similar to that found in Arabidopsis flowering. Two novel CO-like genes were identified from the RNA-seq library. One of these, AcCOL2, showed an expression pattern very similar to CO from Arabidopsis, which is consistent with a role in day-length regulation. The patterns of mRNA expression presented in this report back the suggestion that AcFT1 encourages bulbing in long-day onions while AcFT4 inhibits bulbing in short-day onions [12]. Furthermore, this study illustrates that these genes are expressed at different times of the day, with AcFT1 expressed in the evening and AcFT4 expressed in the morning. Lyngkhoi et al. [222] stated that in the short-day onion variety Pusa Riddhi, expression of five of the six genes evaluated (AcFT1, AcFT3, AcFT4, AcFT5, and AcFT6) was highest at the bulbing period, signifying their role in bulbing in short-day onions. The expression of AcFT2 was lowest at the bulbing point signifying that the down-regulation of this gene encourages bulbing in the short-day variety. Differing from results observed in the short-day variety, the expression of all the six genes confirmed under investigation was relatively very low at the bulbing stage in Brown Spanish.

Molecular genetic analysis in a wide collection of plants has exposed that sequence variations in mechanisms of the circadian clock [223–226] and downstream mechanisms [226], including FT genes [227,228], stimulate the difference in day length that is mandatory to influence flowering. Therefore, it is likely that the variation of bulb at the suitable time in diverse latitudes comprises similar mechanisms. Leek is a significant Allium crop that does not contain bulbs and consequently privations a photoperiodic obligation [229–235]. These landscapes let leeks be implanted during the whole season and fully grow over a wide range of latitudes. Attractively, the 35S:AcFT4 plants that do not bulb have a similar presence to leeks and sustain budding vegetatively into the winter, despite being deprived of their vegetation dying off. This recommends that the large phenotypic alterations between onion and leek might be due to an uncertain genetic variation [236–241]. Although the occurrence of enlarged bulbs is a distinct feature of onions, most members of the Allium genus produce some kind of storage organ. For instance, garlic cloves (the storage organ) are formed from inflamed bladeless internal sheaths, but distinct to onion, no storing happens in foliage leaf bases, while chive storage is in foliage leaf bases; nonetheless, the bulbs are indefinite. It will be exciting to determine the role that FT-like genes play in other Alliums and whether genetic discrepancy within FT genes, or their goals, clarifies their phenotypic difference. In short, FT genes control both bulb enlargement and floral initiation. It too enhances the growing body of indication that FT genes not only solitary control flowering, but they also play a broader part in monitoring developing selections [242–247].

7. Conclusions

Substantial progress has been made in understanding the mechanisms regulating the initiation of bulb enlargement in plants. Several genes have been identified to control bulb crops, and alterations of their expression levels confirms the regulation of bulbing. Critical detections have been the outcome that clock mechanisms and a CO-FT component connected to that testified in crops such as Arabidopsis are concerned in the day-length switch of bulb enlargement, while as high gibberellins persuade the enlargement of these tissues in types where this development has been evolutionarily stifled. Bulbs can be tempted in axillary buds of stem node models, and it is being established that phytohormones play an imperative role in axillary meristem instigation and obligation for bulb growth and enlargement. Florigen FT proteins control the axillary shoot branching, and it will be vital to evaluate if extra FT family affiliates are similarly concerned in the bulbing modification. It will be interesting to examine if bulb initiation by high-temperature frameworks demonstrate leading fundamentals with the photoperiod regimes. More importantly, bulb growth and development in geographical regions with low temperatures that pacified the cultivation of these bulb crops might be interesting. Furthermore, the main emphasis of future research should be on the identification of genes and gene products controlling the bulbing parameters under different photoperiodic environments. Identification of both host as well as photoperiodic specific protein factors regulating the synergetic association and the
key cellular and metabolic pathways under different photoperiodic conditions can be hot areas for future research. Understanding the photoperiodic-induced modulations in the bulbing mechanisms and the cross-talk mechanism triggered to regulate the bulbing performance can help improve crop productivity. Taken together, the photoperiod must be explored at all levels to further investigate their role in nature as an environmental cue for managing and improving horticultural production.

**Author Contributions:** M.J.A. collected data and wrote the manuscript. M.A.A., B.A., M.I.G., and M.A. wrote the sections of manuscript. M.A.A. and Z.C. critically review the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This project was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31772293), and Education Development Fund Project of Northwest A&F University (2017).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Jackson, S.D. Plant responses to photoperiod. *New Phytol.* 2009, 181, 517–531. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. Atif, M.J.; Amin, B.; Ghani, M.I.; Hayat, S.; Ali, M.; Zhang, Y.; Cheng, Z. Influence of different photoperiod and temperature regimes on growth and bulb quality of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) cultivars. *Agronomy* 2019, 9, 879. [CrossRef]

3. Lock, M.; Grubben, G.J.H.; Denton, O.A. *Plant Resources of Tropical Africa 2. Vegetables*; Kew Bull; Prota Foundation: Wageningen, The Netherlands, 2004; Volume 59, p. 650. ISBN 9057821478.

4. Brewster, J.L. Onions and other vegetable alliums. In *Horticulture Research International*, 2nd ed.; CABI: Wellesbourne, UK, 2008; p. 448. ISBN 97818459393999.

5. King, R.W.; Moritz, T.; Evans, L.T.; Martin, J.; Andersen, C.H.; Blundell, C.; Kardailsky, I.; Chandler, P.M. Regulation of flowering in the long-day grass *Lolium temulentum* by gibberellins and the FLOWERING LOCUS T gene. *Plant Physiol.* 2006, 141, 498–507. [CrossRef]

6. Waterer, D.; Schmitz, D. Influence of variety and cultural practices on garlic yields in Saskatchewan. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 1994, 74, 611–614. [CrossRef]

7. Mathew, D.; Forer, Y.; Rabinowitch, H.D.; Kamenetsky, R. Effect of long photoperiod on the reproductive and bulbing processes in garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) genotypes. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 2011, 71, 166–173. [CrossRef]

8. Brewster, J.L. Environmental physiology of the onion: Towards quantitative models for the effects of photoperiod, temperature and irradiance on bulbing, flowering and growth. *Acta Hortic.* 1997, 433, 347–374. [CrossRef]

9. Khokhar, K.M. Effect of temperature and photoperiod on the incidence of bulbing and bolting in seedlings of onion cultivars of diverse origin. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.* 2008, 83, 488–496. [CrossRef]

10. Brewster, J.L. Cultural systems and agronomic practices in temperate climates. In *Onions and Allied Crops*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2018; pp. 1–30. ISBN 9781351075152.

11. Wickramasinghe, U.L.; Wright, C.J.; Currah, L. Bulbing responses of two cultivars of red tropical onions to photoperiod, light integral and temperature under controlled growth conditions. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.* 2000, 75, 304–311. [CrossRef]

12. Lee, R.; Baldwin, S.; Kenel, F.; McCallum, J.; Macknight, R. FLOWERING LOCUS T genes control onion bulb formation and flowering. *Nat. Commun.* 2013, 4, 2884. [CrossRef]

13. Eviatar-Ribak, T.; Shalit-Kaneh, A.; Chappell-Maor, L.; Amselem, Z.; Eshed, Y.; Lifschitz, E. A cytokinin-activating enzyme promotes tuber formation in tomato. *Curr. Biol.* 2013, 23, 1057–1064. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Wu, C.; Wang, M.; Dong, Y.; Cheng, Z.; Meng, H. Growth, bolting and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) in response to clove chilling treatment. *Sci. Hortic.* 2015, 194, 43–52. [CrossRef]

15. Wu, C.; Wang, M.; Dong, Y.; Cheng, Z.; Meng, H. Effect of plant age and vernalization on bolting, plant growth and enzyme activity of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). *Sci. Hortic.* 2016, 201, 295–305. [CrossRef]

16. Wu, C.; Wang, M.; Cheng, Z.; Meng, H. Response of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) bolting and bulbing to temperature and photoperiod treatments. *Biol. Open* 2016, 5, 507–518. [CrossRef]

17. Bradley, K.; Rieger, M.; Collins, G. Classification of australian garlic cultivars by DNA fingerprinting. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* 1996, 36, 613. [CrossRef]
18. Bandara, M.S.; Krieger, K.; Slinkard, A.E.; Tanino, K.K. Pre-plant chilling requirements for cloving of spring-planted garlic. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 2000, 80, 379–384. [CrossRef]

19. Etoh, T.; Simon, P.W. Diversity, fertility and seed production of garlic. In *Allium Crop Science: Recent Advances*; CABI: Wallingford, UK, 2009; pp. 101–117. ISBN 0851995101.

20. Lancaster, J. Bulbing in Onions: Photoperiod and temperature requirements and prediction of bulb size and maturity. *Ann. Bot.* 1996, 78, 423–430. [CrossRef]

21. Damte, T.; Tabor, G.; Haile, M.; Mitiku, G.; Lulseged, T. Determination of beginning of bulb enlargement time in shallot, *Allium cepa* var aggregatum for managing onion thrips (*Thrips tabaci*). *Sci. Hortic.* 2017, 220, 154–159. [CrossRef]

22. Suesada, T.; Usuki, K.; Muro, T.; Higashino, Y.; Kawashiro, H.; Morita, N.; Morinaga, Y. Effect of seedling density and phosphate fertilizer using the method of local application below the seeds on yield in direct-sown seeds of *Allium cepa* L. in Central Japan. *Hortic. Res.* 2018, 17, 49–54. [CrossRef]

23. Ikeda, H.; Kinoshita, T.; Yamamoto, T.; Yamasaki, A. Sowing time and temperature influence bulb development in spring-sown onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Sci. Hortic.* 2019, 244, 242–248. [CrossRef]

24. Kamenetsky, R.; Rabinowitch, H.D. Florogenesis. In *Allium Crop Science: Recent Advances*; CABI: Wallingford, UK, 2009; pp. 31–57. ISBN 0851995101.

25. Lercari, B. Action spectrum for the photoperiodic induction of bulb formation in *Allium cepa* L. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 1983, 38, 219–222. [CrossRef]

26. Lercari, B.; Deitzer, G. Time-dependent effectiveness of far-red light on the photoperiodic induction of bulb formation in *Allium cepa* L. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 1987, 45, 831–835. [CrossRef]

27. Lercari, B. Changes in invertase activities during the photoperiodically induced bulb formation of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Physiol. Plant.* 1982, 54, 480–484. [CrossRef]

28. Lercari, B. The promoting effect of far-red light on bulb formation in the long day plant *Allium cepa* L. *Plant Sci. Lett.* 1982, 27, 243–254. [CrossRef]

29. Mondal, M.F.; Brewster, J.L.; Morris, G.E.L.; Butler, H.A. Bulb development in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in Central Japan. *Hortic. Res.* 2018, 17, 49–54. [CrossRef]

30. Veatch-Blohm, M.E.; Roche, B.M.; Sweeney, T. The effect of bulb weight on salinity tolerance of three common narcissus cultivars. *Sci. Hortic.* 2019, 660, 1158–1164. [CrossRef]

31. Yoo, K.S.; Leskovar, D.; Patil, B.S.; Lee, E.J. Effects of leaf cutting on bulb weight and pungency of short-day onions after lifting the plants. *Sci. Hortic.* 2019, 110, 144–149. [CrossRef]

32. Randle, W.M.; Lancaster, J.E. Sulphur compounds in alliums in relation to flavour quality. In *Allium Crop Science: Recent Advances*; CABI: Wallingford, UK, 2009; pp. 329–356. ISBN 0851995101.

33. Maftuch, M.; Suprastyani, H.; Sanoesi, E.; Farida, N.; Fransira, I.; Habibah, N.; Fatmawati, D.R.; Rinaldi, R.; Nisyak, I.K.; Ardiansyah, D.; et al. Effect of dayak onion (*Eleutherine palmifolia* L.) on histopathology of gills, kidney, liver and muscle of aeromonas hydrophila-infected carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Indones. Green Technol. J.* 2018, 7, 35–39. [CrossRef]

34. Randle, W.M.; Lancaster, J.E. Sulphur compounds in alliums in relation to flavour quality. In *Allium Crop Science: Recent Advances*; CABI: Wallingford, UK, 2009; pp. 101–117. ISBN 0851995101.

35. Maftuch, M.; Suprastyani, H.; Sanoesi, E.; Farida, N.; Fransira, I.; Habibah, N.; Fatmawati, D.R.; Rinaldi, R.; Nisyak, I.K.; Ardiansyah, D.; et al. Effect of dayak onion (*Eleutherine palmifolia* L.) on histopathology of gills, kidney, liver and muscle of aeromonas hydrophila-infected carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Indones. Green Technol. J.* 2018, 7, 35–39. [CrossRef]

36. Pandey, A.; Belwal, T.; Sekar, K.C.; Bhatt, I.D.; Rawal, R.S. Optimization of ultrasonic-assisted extraction (UAE) of phenolics and antioxidant compounds from rhizomes of Rheum moomroctianum using response surface methodology (RSM). *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 2018, 119, 218–225. [CrossRef]

37. Roselló-Soto, E.; Martí-Quijal, F.; Cilla, A.; Munekata, P.; Lorenzo, J.; Remize, F.; Barba, F. Influence of temperature, solvent and pH on the selective extraction of phenolic compounds from tiger nuts by-products: Triple-TOF-LC-MS-MS characterization. *Molecules* 2019, 24, 797. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Shi, P.; Du, W.; Wang, Y.; Teng, X.; Chen, X.; Ye, L. Total phenolic, flavonoid content, and antioxidant activity of bulbs, leaves, and flowers made from *Eleutherine bulbosa* (Mill.) Urb. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 2019, 7, 148–154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

39. Kamarudin, A.A.; Esa, N.M.; Saad, N.; Sayuti, N.H.; Razak, N.A. Heat assisted extraction of phenolic compounds from *Eleutherine bulbosa* (Mill.) bulb and its bioactive profiles using response surface methodology. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* 2020, 144, 112064. [CrossRef]
40. Lazare, S.; Bechar, D.; Fernie, A.R.; Brotman, Y.; Zaccai, M. The proof is in the bulb: Glycerol influences key stages of lily development. *Plant J.* 2019, 18, 577–584. [CrossRef]

41. Islam, M.N.; Nielsen, G.; Stærke, S.; Kjær, A.; Jørgensen, B.; Edelenbos, M. Noninvasive determination of firmness and dry matter content of stored onion bulbs using shortwave infrared imaging with whole spectra and selected wavelengths. *Appl. Spectrosc.* 2018, 72, 1467–1478. [CrossRef]

42. Teshika, J.D.; Zakariyyah, A.M.; Zaynab, T.; Zengin, G.; Rengasamy, K.R.; Pandian, S.K.; Fawzi, M.M. Traditional and modern uses of onion bulb (*Allium cepa L.): A systematic review. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2019, 59, S39–S70. [CrossRef]

43. Kahane, R.; Schweisguth, B.; Rancillac, M. Trophic versus environmental factors controlling in vitro bulb formation in garlic (*Allium sativum L.*). *Plant Cell. Tissue Organ Cult.* 1993, 433, 435–443. [CrossRef]

44. Wang, G.-L.; Que, F.; Xu, Z.; Wang, F.; Xiong, A.-S. Exogenous gibberellin altered morphology, anatomic and transcriptional regulatory networks of hormones in carrot root and shoot. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2015, 15, 290. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Wiles, G.C. The effect of different photoperiods and temperatures following bulb initiation on bulb development in tropical onion cultivars. *Acta Hortic.* 1994, 358, 419–427. [CrossRef]

46. González, M.I. Effect of sowing date on the production of three storage varieties of onion in the eight region of Chile. *Acta Hortic.* 1997, 433, 549–554. [CrossRef]

47. De Puydt, S.; Hardtke, C.S. Hormone signalling crosstalk in plant growth regulation. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2011, 21, R365–R373. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

48. Arguello, J.; Ledesma, A.; Bottini, R. Hormonal regulation of dormancy in garlic (*Allium sativum L.*) cv Rosado Paraguayo. *Agric. Sci.* 1991, 8, 9–14.

49. Rahman, M.H.; Haque, M.S.; Karim, M.A.; Ahmed, M. Effects of gibberellic acid (GA3) on breaking dormancy in garlic (*Allium sativum L.*). *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 2006, 8, 63–65.

50. Kamenetsky, R.; Eshel, D. Storage temperature controls the timing of garlic bulb formation via shoot apical meristem termination. *Planta* 2015, 242, 951–962. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

51. Davies, P.J. The plant hormones: Their nature, occurrence, and functions. In *Plant Hormones*; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2010; pp. 1–15. ISBN 9781402026867.

52. Rahman, M.H.; Haque, M.S.; Karim, M.A.; Ahmed, M. Effects of gibberellic acid (GA3) on breaking dormancy in garlic (*Allium sativum L.*). *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 2006, 8, 63–65.

53. Kamenetsky, R.; Okubo, H. *Ornamental Geophytes: From Basic Science to Sustainable Production*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2016; ISBN 9781461498616.

54. Guo, D.-P.; Ali Shah, G.; Zeng, G.-W.; Zheng, S.-J. The Interaction of plant growth regulators and vernalization on the growth and flowering of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. botrytis). *Plant Growth Regul.* 2004, 43, 163–171. [CrossRef]

55. Langens-Gerrits, M.M.; Miller, W.B.M.; Croes, A.F.; De Klerk, G.J. The effect of low temperature on dormancy breaking and growth after planting in lily bulblets regenerated in vitro. *Plant Growth Regul.* 2003, 40, 267–275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Li, J.; Yu, X.; Lou, Y.; Wang, L.; Slovin, J.P.; Xu, W.; Wang, S.; Zhang, C. Proteomic analysis of the effects of gibberellin on increased fruit sink strength in Asian pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia*). *Sci. Hortic.* 2015, 185, 25–36. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
62. Erogul, D.; Sen, F. Effects of gibberellic acid treatments on fruit thinning and fruit quality in Japanese plum (Prunus salicina Lindl.). Sci. Hortic. 2015, 202, 111–116. [CrossRef]
63. Wakchaure, G.C.; Minhas, P.S.; Meena, K.K.; Singh, N.P.; Hegade, P.M.; Sorty, A.M. Growth, bulb yield, water productivity and quality of onion (Allium cepa L.) as affected by deficit irrigation regimes and exogenous application of plant bio-regulators. Agric. Water Manag. 2018, 199, 1–10. [CrossRef]
64. Alexopoulos, A.A.; Akoumianakis, K.A.; Passam, H.C. The effect of the time and mode of application of gibberellic acid on the growth and yield of potato plants derived from true potato seed. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2006, 86, 2189–2195. [CrossRef]
65. Pérez-Jiménez, M.; Pazos-Navarro, M.; López-Marín, J.; Gálvez, A.; Varó, P.; del Amor, F.M. Foliar application of plant growth regulators changes the nutrient composition of sweet pepper (Capsicum annuum L.). Sci. Hortic. 2015, 194, 188–193. [CrossRef]
66. Domingos, S.; Nobrega, H.; Raposo, A.; Cardoso, V.; Soares, I.; Ramalho, J.C.; Leitão, A.E.; Oliveira, C.M.; Goulao, L.F. Light management and gibberellic acid spraying as thinning methods in seedless table grapes (Vitis vinifera L.): Cultivar responses and effects on the fruit quality. Sci. Hortic. 2016, 201, 68–77. [CrossRef]
67. Canlı, F.A.; Pektas, M. Improving fruit size and quality of low yielding and small fruited pear cultivars with benzyladenine and gibberellic applications. Eur. J. Hortic. Sci. 2015, 19, 231–239. [CrossRef]
68. Alexopoulos, A.A.; Akoumianakis, K.A.; Passam, H.C. Effect of plant growth regulators on the tuberisation and physiological age of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) tubers grown from true potato seed. Can. J. Plant Sci. 2006, 86, 1217–1225. [CrossRef]
69. Yamazaki, H.; Shiraishi, N.; Itai, A.; Honda, I. Involvement of gibberellins in the regulation of tillering in welsh onion (Allium fistulosum L.). Hortic. J. 2015, 84, 334–341. [CrossRef]
70. Nishijima, T.; Sugii, H.; Fukuino, N.; Mochizuki, T. Aerial tubers induced in turnip (Brassica rapa L. var. rapa (L.) Hartm.) by gibberellic treatment. Sci. Hortic. 2005, 105, 423–433. [CrossRef]
71. Ni, J.; Gao, C.; Chen, M.-S.; Pan, B.-Z.; Ye, K.; Xu, Z.-F. Gibberellic promotes shoot branching in the perennial woody plant Jatropha curcas. Plant Cell Physiol. 2015, 56, 1655–1666. [CrossRef]
72. Curry, E. Increase in epidermal planar cell density accompanies decreased russetting of ‘golden delicious’ apples treated with gibberelin A4(4+7). HortScience 2012, 47, 232–237. [CrossRef]
73. Huang, H.; Jing, G.; Wang, H.; Duan, X.; Qu, H.; Jiang, Y. The combined effects of phenylurea and gibberellins on quality maintenance and shelf life extension of banana fruit during storage. Sci. Hortic. 2014, 167, 36–42. [CrossRef]
74. Li, W.; Yong, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Lyu, Y. Transcriptional regulatory network of GA floral induction pathway in LA hybrid lily. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2019, 20, 2694. [CrossRef]
75. Liu, H.; Deng, R.; Huang, C.; Cheng, Z.; Meng, H. Exogenous gibberellins alter morphology and nutritional traits of garlic (Allium sativum L.) bulb. Sci. Hortic. 2019, 246, 298–306. [CrossRef]
76. Jokela, V.; Virkajärvi, P.; Tanskanen, J.; Seppänen, M.M. Vernalization, gibberellic acid and photo period are important signals of yield formation in timothy (Phleum pratense L.). Crop Sci. 2019, 59, 243–250. [CrossRef]
77. Mauromicale, G.; Ierna, A.; Cavallaro, V. Effects of vernalization and gibberellic acid on bolting, harvest time and yield of seed-grown globe artichoke. Acta Hortic. 2005, 681, 243–250. [CrossRef]
78. Claeyss, H.; De Bodt, S.; Inzé, D. Gibberellins and DELLAs: Central nodes in growth regulatory networks. Trends Plant Sci. 2014, 19, 231–239. [CrossRef]
79. Brian, P.W. Effects of gibberellins on plant growth and development. Biol. Rev. 1959, 34, 37–77. [CrossRef]
80. Fernández, J.A.; Bañón, S.; Franco, J.A.; González, A.; Martínez, P.F. Effects of vernalization and exogenous gibberellins on curd induction and carbohydrate levels in the apex of cauliflower (Brassica oleracea var. botrytis). Sci. Hortic. 1997, 57, 223–230. [CrossRef]
81. Erogul, D.; Sen, F. The effect of preharvest gibberellic acid applications on fruit quality of ‘Angelino’ plums during storage. Sci. Hortic. 2016, 202, 111–116. [CrossRef]
82. Alexopoulos, A.A.; Aivalakis, G.; Akoumianakis, K.A.; Passam, H.C. Effect of foliar applications of gibberellic acid or aminoazide on plant growth, tuberisation, and carbohydrate accumulation in tubers grown from true potato seed. J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol. 2007, 82, 535–540. [CrossRef]
83. Ozkan, Y.; Ucar, M.; Yildiz, K.; Ozturk, B. Pre-harvest gibberellic acid (GA3) treatments play an important role on bioactive compounds and fruit quality of sweet cherry cultivars. Sci. Hortic. 2016, 211, 358–362. [CrossRef]
84. Greb, T. Molecular analysis of the LATERAL SUPPRESSOR gene in Arabidopsis reveals a conserved control mechanism for axillary meristem formation. *Genes Dev.* **2003**, *17*, 1175–1187. [CrossRef]
85. Schumacher, K.; Schmitt, T.; Rossberg, M.; Schmitz, G.; Theres, K. The Lateral suppressor (Ls) gene of tomato encodes a new member of the VHIID protein family. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1999**, *96*, 290–295. [CrossRef]
86. Jiang, B.; Miao, H.; Chen, S.; Zhang, S.; Chen, F.; Fang, W. The Lateral suppressor-like gene, DgLsL, alternated the axillary branching in transgenic chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum × morifolium*) by modulating IAA and GA content. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2010**, *28*, 144–151. [CrossRef]
87. Yuan, L.-H.; Pan, J.-S.; Wang, G.; Zhu, J.; Zhang, W.-W.; Li, Z.; He, H.-L.; Yang, Z.-N.; Cai, R.; Zhu, L.-H. The Cucumber Lateral Suppressor gene (CLS) is functionally associated with axillary meristem initiation. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2010**, *28*, 421–429. [CrossRef]
88. Liu, H.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, F.; Qi, X.; Ahmad, H.; Wu, C.; Cheng, Z. Effect of the mode and time of gibberellic acid treatment on plant architecture and bulb structure in garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). *Sci. Hortic.* **2019**, *257*, 108723. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
89. Mapelli, S.; Kinet, J.M. Plant growth regulator and graft control of axillary bud formation and development in the TO-2 mutant tomato. *Plant Growth Regul.* **1992**, *11*, 385–390. [CrossRef]
90. Alexopoulos, A.A.; Akoumianakis, K.A.; Vemmos, S.N.; Passam, H.C. The effect of postharvest application of gibberellic acid and benzyl adenine on the duration of dormancy of potatoes produced by plants grown from TPS. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* **2007**, *46*, 54–62. [CrossRef]
91. Elfving, D.C.; Visser, D.B.; Henry, J.L. Gibberellins stimulate lateral branch development in young sweet cherry trees in the orchard. *Int. J. Fruit Sci.* **2011**, *11*, 41–54. [CrossRef]
92. Guo, J.Y.; Jiang, F.L.; Tian, J.; Wu, Z. The dynamic changes of main endogenous hormone content in leaves during flower bud differentiation of bolting garlic cultivars. *Acta Hortic.* **2012**, *938*, 415–422. [CrossRef]
93. Zhang, H.; Nie, Y.; Wang, D.; Xie, L. Effects of ETH and PP333 on the growth, florescence and physiological properties of bougainvillea spectabilis. *Linye Kexue* **2018**, *10*, 46–55.
94. Su, H.; Xu, K.; Liu, W. Changes of endogenous hormones during the process of flower bud differentiation of welsh onion (*Allium fistulosum* L.). *Acta Hortic.* **2007**, *34*, 671–676.
95. Okubo, H.; Uemoto, S. Changes in the endogenous growth regulators in bulbous iris in bulb-forming and nonbulb-forming aspects. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **1981**, *30*, 222–230. [CrossRef]
96. Kamenetsky, R.; Gude, H.; Chastagner, G.A.; Okubo, H. Research challenges in geophyte science: From basic science to sustainable production. *Acta Hortic. Sin.* **2018**, *57*, 189–194. [CrossRef]
97. Galiba, G.; Vágújfalvi, A.; Li, C.; Soltész, A.; Dubcovsky, J. Regulatory genes involved in the determination of frost tolerance in temperate cereals. *Plant Sci.* **2009**, *176*, 12–19. [CrossRef]
98. Kebrum, T.H.; Spielmeyer, W.; Finnegan, E.J. Grasses provide new insights into regulation of shoot branching. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2013**, *18*, 41–48. [CrossRef]
99. Foley, M.E. Seed dormancy: An update on terminology, physiological genetics, and quantitative trait loci regulating germinability. * Weed Sci.* **2001**, *49*, 305–317. [CrossRef]
100. Chope, G.A.; Cools, K.; Hammond, J.P.; Thompson, A.J.; Terry, L.A. Physiological, biochemical and transcriptional analysis of onion bulbs during storage. *Ann. Bot.* **2012**, *109*, 819–831. [CrossRef]
101. Graeber, K.; Nakabayashi, K.; Miatton, E.; Leubner-Metzger, G.; Soppe, W.J.J. Molecular mechanisms of seed dormancy. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2012**, *35*, 1769–1786. [CrossRef]
102. Sonnewald, S.; Sonnewald, U. Regulation of potato tuber sprouting. *Planta* **2014**, *239*, 27–38. [CrossRef]
103. Yamazaki, H.; Nishijima, T.; Yamato, Y.; Koshioka, M.; Miura, H. Involvement of abscisic acid (ABA) in bulb dormancy of Allium wakegi Araki I. Endogenous levels of ABA in relation to bulb dormancy and effects of exogenous ABA and fluridine. *Plant Growth Regul.* **1999**, *28*, 189–194. [CrossRef]
104. Xue-Xuan, X.; Hong-Bo, S.; Yuan-Yuan, M.; Gang, X.; Jun-Na, S.; Dong-Gang, G.; Cheng-Jiang, R. Biotechnological implications from abscisic acid (ABA) roles in cold stress and leaf senescence as an important signal for improving plant sustainable survival under abiotic-stressed conditions. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* **2010**, *30*, 222–230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
105. Nieto-Jacobo, M.F.; Steyaert, J.M.; Salazar-Badillo, F.B.; Nguyen, D.V.; Rostás, M.; Braithwaite, M.; De Souza, J.T.; Jimenez-Bremont, J.F.; Ohkura, M.; Stewart, A.; et al. Environmental growth conditions of *Trichoderma* spp. affects indole acetic acid derivatives, volatile organic compounds, and plant growth promotion. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
106. Osugi, A.; Kojima, M.; Takebayashi, Y.; Ueda, N.; Kiba, T.; Sakakibara, H. Systemic transport of trans-zeatin and its precursor have differing roles in Arabidopsis shoots. Nat. Plants 2017, 3, 17112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

107. Koda, Y.; Okazawa, Y. Characteristic changes in the levels of endogenous plant hormones in relation to the onset of potato tuberization. Jpn. J. Crop Sci. 1983, 52, 592–597. [CrossRef]

108. Wybouw, B.; De Rybel, B. Cytokinin–A developing story. Trends Plant Sci. 2019, 24, 177–185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

109. Mahonen, A.P. Cytokinin signaling and its inhibitor AHP6 regulate cell fate during vascular development. Science 2006, 317, 94–98. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

110. Schaller, G.E.; Bishopp, A.; Kieber, J.J. The yin-yang of hormones: Cytokinin and auxin interactions in plant development. Plant Cell 2015, 27, 44–63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

111. Mellor, N.; Adibi, M.; El-Showk, S.; De Rybel, B.; King, J.; Mähönen, A.P.; Helariutta, Y. A mutually inhibitory interaction between auxin and cytokinin specifies vascular pattern in roots. Curr. Biol. 2011, 21, 917–926. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

112. Van Isterdael, G.; Palovaara, J.; et al. Integration of growth and patterning during vascular tissue formation in Arabidopsis. Science 2014, 345, 1255215. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

113. Muraro, D.; Byrne, H.; King, J.; Bennett, M. The role of auxin and cytokinin signalling in specifying the root architecture of Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Theor. Biol. 2013, 317, 71–86. [CrossRef]

114. Ohashi-Ito, K.; Saegusa, M.; Iwamoto, K.; Kojima, M.; Sakakibara, H.; Fukuda, H. A bHLH complex activates vascular cell division via cytokinin action in root apical meristem. Curr. Biol. 2014, 24, 2053–2058. [CrossRef]

115. El-Showk, S.; Help-Rinta-Rahko, H.; Blomster, T.; Siligato, R.; Friml, J.; Benková, E.; Mähönen, A.P.; Helariutta, Y. Cytokinin and auxin interactions in root vascular patterning: A consensus between recent models. J. Exp. Bot. 2016, 68, 5–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

116. Chen, Y.; Chen, W.; Li, X.; Jiang, H.; Wu, P.; Xia, K.; Yang, Y.; Wu, G. Knockdown of LjIPT3 influences nodule formation in the root cortex of Lotus japonicus. J. Theor. Biol. 2013, 342, 426–437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

117. Ohashi-Ito, K.; Bergmann, D.C. Regulation of the Arabidopsis root vascular initial population by Lonesome highway. Development 2007, 24, 2053–2058. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

118. De Rybel, B.; Möller, B.; Yoshida, S.; Grabowicz, I.; Barbier de Reuille, P.; Boeren, S.; Smith, R.S.; Borst, J.W.; Weijers, D. A bHLH complex controls embryonic vascular tissue establishment and indeterminate growth in Arabidopsis. Dev. Cell 2013, 24, 426–437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

119. Dello Ioio, R.; Nakamura, K.; Moulayidin, L.; Perilli, S.; Taniguchi, M.; Morita, M.T.; Aoyama, T.; Costantino, P.; Sabatini, S. A genetic framework for the control of cell division and differentiation in the root meristem. Curr. Biol. 2008, 22, 380–384. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

120. Moubayidin, L.; Perilli, S.; Dello Ioio, R.; Di Mambro, R.; Costantino, P.; Sabatini, S. The rate of cell differentiation controls the arabidopsis root meristem growth phase. Curr. Biol. 2010, 20, 1138–1143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

121. Van Zeijl, A.; Op Den Camp, R.H.M.; Deinum, E.E.; Charnikhova, T.; Franssen, H.; Op Den Camp, H.J.M.; Bouwmeester, H.; Kohlen, W.; Bisseling, T.; Geurts, R. Rhizobium lipo-chitooligosaccharide signaling triggers accumulation of cytokinins in medicago truncatula roots. Mol. Plant 2015, 8, 1213–1226. [CrossRef]

122. Schaller, G.E.; Bishopp, A.; Kieber, J.J.; Bilyeu, K.D.; Bird, D.M.K. Cytokinin plays opposite roles in different stages of tomato root development. Plant Physiol. 2014, 165, 68–77. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

123. Moubayidin, L.; Perilli, S.; Dello Ioio, R.; Di Mambro, R.; Costantino, P.; Sabatini, S. The rate of cell differentiation controls the arabidopsis root meristem growth phase. Curr. Biol. 2010, 20, 1138–1143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

124. Van Zeijl, A.; Op Den Camp, R.H.M.; Deinum, E.E.; Charnikhova, T.; Franssen, H.; Op Den Camp, H.J.M.; Bouwmeester, H.; Kohlen, W.; Bisseling, T.; Geurts, R. Rhizobium lipo-chitooligosaccharide signaling triggers accumulation of cytokinins in medicago truncatula roots. Mol. Plant 2015, 8, 1213–1226. [CrossRef]

125. Lohar, D.P.; Schafr, J.E.; Laskey, J.G.; Kieber, J.J.; Bilyeu, K.D.; Bird, D.M.K. Cytokinin plays opposite roles in different stages of tomato root development. Plant Physiol. 2014, 165, 68–77. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

126. Chen, Y.; Chen, W.; Li, X.; Jiang, H.; Wu, P.; Xia, K.; Yang, Y.; Wu, G. Knockdown of LjIPT3 influences nodule development in Lotus japonicus. Plant Cell Physiol. 2014, 55, 183–193. [CrossRef]

127. Reid, D.; Nadzieja, M.; Novák, O.; Heckmann, A.B.; Sandal, N.; Stougaard, J. Cytokinin biosynthesis promotes cortical cell responses during nodule development. Plant Physiol. 2017, 175, 361–375. [CrossRef]

128. Faiivre-Rampant, O.; Cardle, L.; Marshall, D.; Viola, R.; Taylor, M.A. Changes in gene expression during meristem activation processes in Solanum tuberosum with a focus on the regulation of an auxin response factor gene. J. Exp. Bot. 2004, 55, 613–622. [CrossRef]
127. Herrera-Medina, M.J.; Tamayo, M.I.; Vierheilig, H.; Ocampo, J.A.; García-Garrido, J.M. The jasmonic acid signalling pathway restricts the development of the arbuscular mycorrhizal association in tomato. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 2008, 27, 221–230. [CrossRef]
128. Meyer, A.; Miersch, O.; Büttner, C.; Dathe, W.; Sembdner, G. Occurrence of the plant growth regulator jasmonic acid in plants. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 1984, 3, 1–8. [CrossRef]
129. Staswick, P.E. Novel regulation of vegetative storage protein genes. *Plant Cell* 1990, 2, 1–6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
130. Farmer, E.E.; Ryan, C.A. Interplant communication: Airborne methyl jasmonate induces synthesis of proteinase inhibitors in plant leaves. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1990, 87, 7713–7716. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
131. Farmer, E.E.; Ryan, C.A. Octadecanoid precursors of jasmonic acid activate the synthesis of wound-inducible proteinase inhibitors. *Plant Cell* 1992, 4, 129. [CrossRef]
132. Koda, Y. Possible involvement of jasmonates in various morphogenic events. *Physiol. Plant.* 1997, 100, 639–646. [CrossRef]
133. Regvar, M.; Gogala, N.; Zalar, P. Effects of jasmonic acid on mycorrhizal *Allium sativum*. *New Phytol.* 1996, 134, 703–707. [CrossRef]
134. Žel, J.; Debeljak, N.; Ucman, R.; Ravnikar, M. The effect of jasmonic acid, sucrose and darkness on garlic (*Allium sativum* cv. *Ptujski jesenski*) bulb formation in vitro. *Vit. Cell. Dev. Biol. Plant* 1997, 33, 231–235.
135. Nojiri, H.; Toyomasu, T.; Yamane, H.; Shibaoka, H.; Murofushi, N. Qualitative and quantitative analysis of endogenous gibberellins in onion plants and their effects on bulb development. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 1993, 57, 2031–2035. [CrossRef]
136. Hartmann, A.; Senning, M.; Hedden, P.; Sonnewald, U.; Sonnewald, S. Reactivation of meristem activity and sprout growth in potato tubers require both cytokinin and gibberellin. *Plant Physiol.* 2011, 155, 776–796. [CrossRef]
137. Benschop, M.; Kamenetsky, R.; Le Nard, M.; Okubo, H.; De Hertogh, A. The global flower bulb industry: Production, utilization, research. In *Onions and Other Vegetable Alliums*; CABI: Wallingford, UK, 2008; pp. 51–84. ISBN 9781845933999.
138. Boyhan, G.E.; Torrance, R.L.; Riner, C.M.; Cook, M.J.; Dollar, M.A.; Curry, M.S.; Hill, C.R.; Thigpen, D.R.; Bateman, A.G. Five-year evaluation of short-day onion varieties. *Int. J. Veg. Sci.* 2014, 20, 150–184. [CrossRef]
139. Shrestha, R.; Gómez-Ariza, J.; Brambilla, V.; Fornara, F. Molecular control of seasonal flowering in rice, arabidopsis and temperate cereals. *Ann. Bot.* 2014, 114, 1445–1458. [CrossRef]
140. Staiger, D.; Shin, J.; Johansson, M.; Davis, S.J. The circadian clock goes genomic. *Genome Biol.* 2013, 14, 208. [CrossRef]
141. Taylor, A.; Massiah, A.J.; Thomas, B. Conservation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* photoperiodic flowering time genes in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2010, 51, 1638–1647. [CrossRef]
142. Pandey, A.; Pandey, R.; Negi, K.S.; Radhamani, J. Realizing value of genetic resources of *Allium* in India. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.* 2008, 55, 985–994. [CrossRef]
143. Baldwin, S.; Revanna, R.; Pither-Joyce, M.; Shaw, M.; Wright, K.; Thomson, S.; Moya, L.; Lee, R.; Macknight, R.; McCallum, J. Genetic analyses of bolting in bulb onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 2014, 127, 535–547. [CrossRef]
144. Noy-Porat, T.; Flahshman, M.A.; Eshel, A.; Sandler-Ziv, D.; Kamenetsky, R. Florogenesis of the Mediterranean geophyte *Narcissus tazetta* and temperature requirements for flower initiation and differentiation. *Sci. Hortic.* 2009, 120, 138–142. [CrossRef]
145. Khokhar, K.M.; Hadley, P.; Pearson, S. Effect of photoperiod and temperature on inflorescence appearance and subsequent development towards flowering in onion raised from sets. *Sci. Hortic.* 2007, 112, 9–15. [CrossRef]
146. Dong, Y.; Cheng, Z.; Meng, H.; Liu, H.; Wu, C.; Khan, A. The effect of cultivar, sowing date and transplant location in field on bolting of Welsh onion (*Allium fistulosum* L.). *Bmc Plant Biol.* 2013, 13, 154. [CrossRef]
147. Benschop, M.; Kamenetsky, R.; Le Nard, M.; Okubo, H.; De Hertogh, A. The global flower bulb industry: Production, utilization, research. *Hortic. Rev.* 2010, 36, 1–115.
148. Ramin, A.A.; Atherton, J.G. Manipulation of bolting and flowering in celery (*Apium graveolens* L. var. dulce). I. Effects of chilling during germination and seed development. *J. Hortic. Sci.* 1991, 66, 709–717. [CrossRef]
149. Kaul, S.; Koo, H.L.; Jenkins, J.; Rizzo, M.; Rooney, T.; Tallon, L.J.; Feldblum, T.; Nierman, W.; Benito, M.I.; Lin, X.; et al. Analysis of the genome sequence of the flowering plant Arabidopsis thaliana. *Nature* 2000, 408, 796–815.
150. Goff, S.A. A Draft Sequence of the Rice Genome (Oryza sativa L. ssp. japonica). Science 2002, 296, 92–100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

151. Shoemaker, R.; Keim, P.; Vodkin, L.; Retzel, E.; Clifton, S.W.; Waterston, R.; Smoller, D.; Coryell, V.; Khanna, A.; Erpelding, J.; et al. A compilation of soybean ESTs: Generation and analysis. Genome 2002, 45, 329–338. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

152. Yu, J.; Hu, S.; Wang, J.; Wong, G.K.S.; Li, S.; Liu, B.; Deng, Y.; Dai, L.; Zhou, Y.; Zhang, X.; et al. A draft sequence of the rice genome (Oryza sativa L. ssp. indica). Science 2002, 296, 79–92. [CrossRef]

153. Lazare, S.; Zaccai, M. Flowering pathway is regulated by bulb size in Allium cepa L (domain of clove, onion, and garlic). Plant Signal. Behav. 2011, 6, 1040–1043. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

154. Lunde, C.F.; Morrow, D.J.; Roy, L.M.; Walbot, V. Progress in maize gene discovery: A project update. Funct. Integr. Genom. 2003, 3, 25–32. [CrossRef]

155. Young, N.D.; Mudge, J.; Ellis, T.H.N. Legume genomes: More than peas in a pod. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 2003, 6, 199–204. [CrossRef]

156. McCallum, J.; Baldwin, S.; Shigyo, M.; Deng, Y.; van Heusden, S.; Pither-Joyce, M.; Kenel, F. AlliumMap-A comparative genomics resource for cultivated Allium vegetables. BMC Genom. 2012, 13, 168. [CrossRef]

157. Ware, D.H.; Jaiswal, P.; Ni, J.; Yap, I.V.; Pan, X.; Clark, K.Y.; Teytelman, L.; Schmidt, S.C.; Zhao, W.; Chang, K.; et al. Gramene, a tool for grass genomics. Plant Physiol. 2002, 130, 1606–1613. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

158. Ueno, K.; Sonoda, T.; Yoshida, M.; Shiomi, N.; Onodera, S. Purification, characterization, and functional analysis of a novel Gd1-TEF mainly hydrolyzing neokestose from asparagus. J. Exp. Bot. 2018, 69, 4295–4308. [CrossRef]

159. Lazare, S.; Zaccai, M. Flowering pathway is regulated by bulb size in Allium cepa L (domain of clove, onion, and garlic). Plant Signal. Behav. 2011, 6, 1040–1043. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

160. Miao, Y.; Zhu, Z.; Guo, Q.; Zha, Y.; Yang, X.; Sun, Y. Transcriptome analysis of differentially expressed genes provides insight into stolon formation in tulipa edulis. Front. Plant Sci. 2017, 7, 2031. [CrossRef]

161. Gao, M.; Zhang, S.; Luo, C.; He, X.; Wei, S.; Jiang, W.; He, F.; Lin, Z.; Yan, M.; Dong, W. Transcriptome analysis of starch and sucrose metabolism across bulb development in Sagittaria sagittifolia. Gene 2018, 649, 99–112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

162. Islam, M.N.; Nielsen, G.; Stærke, S.; Jørgensen, B.; Edelenbos, M. Novel non-destructive quality assessment techniques of onion bulbs: A comparative study. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2018, 55, 3314–3324. [CrossRef]

163. Mettananda, K.A.; Fordham, R. The effects of 12 and 16 h daylength treatments on the onset of bulbing in 21 onion cultivars (Allium cepa L) and its application to screening germplasm for use in the tropics. J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol. 1997, 72, 981. [CrossRef]

164. Fordham, R. Onions and other vegetable alliums. Sci. Hortic. 1995, 62, 145–146. [CrossRef]

165. Shalit, A.; Rozman, A.; Goldshmidt, A.; Alvarez, J.P.; Bowman, J.L.; Eshed, Y.; Lifschitz, E. The flowering hormone florigen functions as a general systemic regulator of growth and termination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2009, 106, 8392–8397. [CrossRef]

166. Patil, H.B.; Chaurasia, A.K.; Azeez, A.; Krishna, B.; Subramaniam, V.R.; Sane, A.P.; Sane, P.V. Characterization of two TERMINAL FLOWER1 homologs PgTFL1 and PgCENa from pomegranate (Punica granatum L.). Tree Physiol. 2018, 38, 772–784. [CrossRef]

167. Dalvi, V.S.; Patil, Y.A.; Krishna, B.; Sane, P.V.; Sane, A.P. Indeterminate growth of the umbel inflorescence and bulb is associated with increased expression of the TFL1 homologue, AcTFL1, in onion. Plant Sci. 2019, 287, 110165. [CrossRef]

168. Krieger, U.; Lippman, Z.B.; Zamir, D. The flowering gene SINGLE FLOWER TRUSS drives heterosis for yield in tomato. Nat. Genet. 2010, 42, 459–463. [CrossRef]

169. Navarro, C.; Abelenda, J.A.; Cruz-Oró, E.; Cuellar, C.A.; Tamaki, S.; Silva, J.; Shimamoto, K.; Prat, S. Control of flowering and storage organ formation in potato by FLOWERING LOCUS T. Nature 2011, 478, 119–122. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

170. Böhlenius, H.; Huang, T.; Charbonnel-Campaa, L.; Brunner, A.M.; Jansson, S.; Strauss, S.H.; Nilsson, O. CO/FT regulatory module controls timing of flowering and seasonal growth cessation in trees. Science 2006, 312, 1040–1043. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

171. Danilevskaya, O.N.; Meng, X.; McGonigle, B.; Muszynski, M.G. Beyond flowering time. Plant Signal. Behav. 2011, 6, 1267–1270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
172. Kinoshita, T.; Ono, N.; Hayashi, Y.; Morimoto, S.; Nakamura, S.; Soda, M.; Kato, Y.; Ohnishi, M.; Nakano, T.; Inoue, S.; et al. FLOWERING LOCUS T regulates stomatal opening. *Curr. Biol.* 2011, 21, 1232–1238. [CrossRef]

173. Coelho, C.P.; Minow, M.A.A.; Chalfun-Junior, A.; Colasante, J. Putative sucrose synthase FT/TFL1 genes delay flowering time and alter reproductive architecture in Arabidopsis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2014, 5, 1–12. [CrossRef]

174. Andrés, F.; Coupland, G. The genetic basis of flowering responses to seasonal cues. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2012. [CrossRef]

175. Abelenda, J.A.; Navarro, C.; Prat, S. Flowering and tuberization: A tale of two nightshades. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2014, 19, 115–122. [CrossRef]

176. Sawa, M.; Nusinow, D.A.; Kay, S.A.; Imaizumi, T. FKF1 and GIGANTEA complex formation is required for day-length measurement in Arabidopsis. *Science* 2007, 318, 261–265. [CrossRef]

177. Jung, J.-H.; Seo, Y.-H.; Seo, P.J.; Reyes, J.L.; Yun, J.; Chua, N.-H.; Park, C.-M. The GIGANTEA-regulated microRNA172 mediates photoperiodic flowering independent of CONSTANS in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 2007, 19, 2736–2748. [CrossRef]

178. Pnueli, L. Tomato SP-interacting proteins define a conserved signaling system that regulates shoot architecture and flowering. *Plant Cell* 2001, 13, 2687–2702. [CrossRef]

179. Purwestri, Y.A.; Ogaki, Y.; Tamaki, S.; Tsuji, H.; Shimamoto, K. The 14-3-3 protein GF14c acts as a negative regulator of flowering in rice by interacting with the florigen Hd3a. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2009, 50, 429–438. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

180. Abe, M. FD, a bZIP protein mediating signals from the floral pathway integrator FT at the shoot apex. *Science* 2005, 309, 1052–1056. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

181. Taoka, K.I.; Ohki, I.; Tsuji, H.; Furuita, K.; Hayashi, K.; Yanase, T.; Yamaguchi, M.; Nakashima, C.; Purwestri, Y.A.; Tamaki, S.; et al. 14-3-3 proteins act as intracellular receptors for rice Hd3a florigen. *Nature* 2011, 476, 332–335. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

182. Wigge, P.A. Integration of spatial and temporal information during floral induction in Arabidopsis. *Science* 2005, 309, 1056–1059. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

183. Golembeski, G.S.; Imaizumi, T. Photoperiodic regulation of florigen function in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Arab. B.* 2015, 13, e0178. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

184. Nakamichi, N. Molecular mechanisms underlying the Arabidopsis circadian clock. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2011, 52, 1709–1718. [CrossRef]

185. Yoo, S.K.; Chung, K.S.; Kim, J.; Lee, J.H.; Hong, S.M.; Yoo, S.J.; Yoo, S.J.; Ahn, J.H. Constans activates suppressor of overexpression of constans 1 through Flowering locus T to promote flowering in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 2005, 139, 770–778. [CrossRef]

186. Dalvi, V.S.; Patil, Y.A.; Krishna, B.; Sane, P.V.; Sane, A.P. Identification of bulbing related genes in short day, non vernalization requiring onion. *Acta Hortic.* 2016, 1143, 269–276. [CrossRef]

187. Zhang, C.; Li, X.; Zhan, Z.; Cao, L.; Zeng, A.; Chang, G.; Liang, Y. Transcriptome sequencing and metabolism analysis reveals the role of cyanidin metabolism in dark-red onion (*Allium cepa* L.) bulbs. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, 8, 14109. [CrossRef]

188. Sobei, W.Y.; Wright, C.J. The photoperiodic regulation of bulbing in onions (*Allium cepa* L.) III. Response to red:far-red ratio and cyclic lighting. *J. Hortic. Sci.* 1987, 62, 379–389. [CrossRef]

189. Rashid, M.H.A.; Cheng, W.; Thomas, B. Temporal and spatial expression of Arabidopsis gene homologs control daylength adaptation and bulb formation in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Sci. Rep.* 2019, 9, 14629. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

190. Thomas, B. Light signals and flowering. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2006, 57, 3387–3393. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

191. Summerfield, R.J.; Roberts, E.H.; Ellis, R.H.; Lawn, R.J. Towards the reliable prediction of time to flowering in six annual crops. I. the development of simple models for fluctuating field environments. *Exp. Agric.* 1991, 27, 11–31. [CrossRef]

192. Brewster, J.L.; Salter, P.J.; Darby, R.J. Analysis of the growth and yield of overwintered onions. *J. Hortic. Sci.* 1977, 52, 335–346. [CrossRef]

193. Jack, T. Molecular and genetic mechanisms of floral control. *Plant Cell* 2004, 16, S1–S17. [CrossRef]

194. Borém, A.; Doe, J.A.; Diola, V. Molecular biology and biotechnology. In *Sugarcane: Agricultural Production, Bioenergy and Ethanol*; Academic Press: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2015; pp. 257–274. ISBN 978-0-12-802299-9.

195. Rabinowitch, H. *Onions and Allied Crops*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1990; p. 287.
196. Khokhar, K.M. Environmental and genotypic effects on bulb development in onion—A review. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.* 2017, 92, 448−454. [CrossRef]

197. Rashid, M.H.A.; Thomas, B. Diurnal expression of Arabidopsis gene homologs during daylength-regulated bulb formation in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Sci. Hortic.* 2020, 261, 108946. [CrossRef]

198. Hayama, R.; Coupland, G. Shedding light on the circadian clock and the photoperiodic control of flowering. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 2003, 6, 13−19. [CrossRef]

199. Michael, T.P. Enhanced fitness conferred by naturally occurring variation in the circadian clock. *Science* 2003, 302, 1049−1053. [CrossRef]

200. Devlin, P.F.; Kay, S.A. Cryptochromes are required for phytochrome signaling to the circadian clock but not for rhythmicity. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2002, 43, 1397−1400. [CrossRef]

201. Lin, C. Blue light receptors and signal transduction. *Plant Cell* 2002, 14, S207−S225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

202. Kardailsky, I. Activation tagging of the floral inducer FT. *Science* 1999, 286, 1962−1965. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

203. Kobayashi, Y. A pair of related genes with antagonistic roles in mediating flowering signals. *Science* 1999, 286, 1960−1962. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

204. Erskine, W.; Ellis, R.H.; Summerfield, R.J.; Roberts, E.H.; Hussain, A. Characterization of responses to temperature and photoperiod for time to flowering in a world lentil collection. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 1990, 80, 193−199. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

205. Corbesier, L.; Vincent, C.; Jang, S.; Fornara, F.; Fan, Q.; Searle, I.; Giakountis, A.; Farrona, S.; Gissot, L.; Turnbull, C.; et al. FT protein movement contributes to long-distance signaling in floral induction of Arabidopsis. *Science* 2007, 316, 1030−1033. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

206. Hsu, C.Y.; Adams, J.P.; Kim, H.; No, K.; Ma, C.; Strauss, S.H.; Drnevich, J.; Vandervelde, L.; Ellis, J.D.; Rice, B.M.; et al. *FLOWERING LOCUS T* duplication coordinates reproductive and vegetative growth in perennial poplar. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2011, 108, 10756−10761. [CrossRef]

207. Hsu, C.Y.; Liu, Y.; Luthe, D.S.; Yuceer, C. Poplar FT2 shortens the juvenile phase and promotes seasonal flowering. *Plant Cell* 2006, 18, 1846−1861. [CrossRef]

208. Danilevskaya, O.N.; Meng, X.; McGonigle, B.; Muszynski, M.G. Beyond flowering time: Pleiotropic function of the maize flowering hormone florigen. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 2011, 6, 267−270. [CrossRef]

209. Lifschitz, E.; Eviatar, T.; Rozman, A.; Shalit, A.; Goldshmidt, A.; Fornara, F.; Searle, I.; Giakountis, A.; Farrona, S.; Gissot, L.; Turnbull, C.; et al. FT protein movement contributes to long-distance signaling in floral induction of Arabidopsis. *Science* 2007, 316, 1030−1033. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

210. Lin, C. Blue light receptors and signal transduction. *Plant Cell* 2002, 14, S207−S225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

211. Teper-Bammolker, P.; Samach, A. The flowering integrator FT regulates SEPALLATA3 and FRUITFULL accumulation in Arabidopsis leaves. *Plant Cell* 2005, 17, 2661−2675. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

212. Levy, Y.Y.; Dean, C. The transition to flowering. *Plant Cell* 1998, 10, 1973−1989. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

213. Komeda, Y. Genetic regulation of time to flower in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 2004, 55, 521−535. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

214. Manoharan, R.; Han, J.; Vijayakumar, H.; Subramani, B.; Thamilarasan, S.; Park, J.-I.; Nou, I.-S. Molecular and functional characterization of *FLOWERING LOCUS T* homologs in *Allium cepa*. *Molecules* 2016, 21, 217. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

215. Brewster, J.L. Effects of photoperiod, nitrogen nutrition and temperature on inflorescence initiation and development in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Ann. Bot.* 1983, 51, 429−440. [CrossRef]

216. Lin, M.K.; Belanger, H.; Lee, Y.J.; Varkonyi-Gasic, E.; Taoka, K.I.; Miura, E.; Xoccomostle-Cézares, B.; Gendler, K.; Jorgensen, R.A.; Prat, S. The interaction of gibberellins and photoperiod in the control of potato tuberization. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 2001, 20, 377−386. [CrossRef]

217. Kojima, S.; Takahashi, Y.; Kobayashi, Y.; Monna, L.; Sasaki, T.; Araki, T.; Yano, M. Hd3a, a rice ortholog of the Arabidopsis FT gene, promotes transition to flowering downstream of Hd1 under short-day conditions. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2002, 43, 1096−1105. [CrossRef]

218. Pin, P.A.; Benlloch, R.; Bonnet, D.; Wremerth-Weich, E.; Kraft, T.; Gielen, J.J.L.; Nilsson, O. An antagonistic pair of FT homologs mediates the control of flowering time in sugar beet. *Science* 2010, 330, 1397−1400. [CrossRef]
Zhao, X.Y.; Liu, M.S.; Li, J.R.; Guan, C.M.; Zhang, X.S. The wheat TaGI1, involved in photoperiodic flowering, encodes an Arabidopsis GI ortholog. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 2005, 58, 53–64. [CrossRef]

Pin, P.A.; Nilsson, O. The multifaceted roles of FLOWERING LOCUS T in plant development. *Plant Cell Environ.* 2012, 35, 1742–1755. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Lyngkhoi, F.; Khair, A.; Mangal, M.; Gaikwad, A.B.; Thirunavukkarasu, N. Expression analysis and association of bulbing to FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) gene in short day onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed.* 2019, 79, 77–81. [CrossRef]

Turner, A. The pseudo-response regulator Ppd-H1 provides adaptation to photoperiod in barley. *Science* 2005, 310, 1031–1034. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Faure, S.; Turner, A.S.; Gruszka, D.; Christodoulou, V.; Davis, S.J.; von Korff, M.; Laurie, D.A. Mutation at the circadian clock gene *EARLY MATURITY 8* adapts domesticated barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) to short growing seasons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, 8328–8333. [CrossRef]

Matsubara, K.; Ogiso-Tanaka, E.; Hori, K.; Ebana, K.; Ando, T.; Yano, M. Natural variation in *Hd17*, a homolog of arabidopsis ELF3 that is involved in rice photoperiodic flowering. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2012, 53, 709–716. [CrossRef]

Weller, J.L.; Liew, L.C.; Hecht, V.F.G.; Rajandran, V.; Laurie, R.E.; Ridge, S.; Wenden, B.; Schoor, J.K.V.; Jaminon, O.; Blassiau, C. A conserved molecular basis for photoperiod adaptation in two temperate legumes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, 21158–21163. [CrossRef]

Tsuij, H.; Taoka, K.I.; Shimamoto, K. Regulation of flowering in rice: Two florigen genes, a complex gene network, and natural variation. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 2011, 14, 45–52. [CrossRef]

Schwartz, C.; Balasubramanian, S.; Warthmann, N.; Michael, T.P.; Lempe, J.; Sureshkumar, S.; Kobayashi, Y.; Maloof, J.N.; Borevitz, J.O.; Chory, J.; et al. cis-regulatory changes at Flowering Locus T mediate natural variation in flowering responses of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genetics* 2009, 183, 723–732. [CrossRef]

Atif, M.J.; Amin, B.; Ghani, M.I.; Ali, M.; Cheng, Z. Variation in morphological and quality parameters in garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) bulb influenced by different photoperiod, temperature, sowing and harvesting time. *Plants* 2020, 9, 155. [CrossRef]

Jin, Y.; Fei, M.; Rosenquist, S.; Jin, L.; Gohil, S.; Sandström, C.; Olsson, H.; Persson, C.; Höglund, A.S.; Fransson, G.; et al. A Dual-promoter gene orchestrates the sucrose-coordinated synthesis of starch and fructan in barley. *Mol. Plant* 2017, 10, 1556–1570. [CrossRef]

Maeda, T.; Watanabe, A.; Wambrauw, D.Z.; Osanai, S.; Honda, K.; Oku, S.; Shimura, H.; Suzuki, T.; Yamasaki, A.; Okabe, Y.; et al. Analysis of varietal differences in the fructo-oligosaccharide accumulation profile among onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cultivars grown by spring-sown cultivation. *Hortic. J.* 2017, 86, 501–510. [CrossRef]

Val luru, R. Fructan and hormone connections. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2015, 6, 180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Wei, H.; Bausewein, A.; Steininger, H.; Su, T.; Zhao, H.; Harms, K.; Greiner, S.; Rausch, T. Linking expression of fructan active enzymes, cell wall invertases and sucrose transporters with fructan profiles in growing taproot of chicory (*Cichorium intybus*): Impact of hormonal and environmental cues. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2016, 7, 1–15. [CrossRef]

Wei, H.; Bausewein, A.; Greiner, S.; Dauchot, N.; Harms, K.; Rausch, T. CiMYB17, a stress-induced chicory R2R3-MYB transcription factor, activates promoters of genes involved in fructan synthesis and degradation. *New Phytol.* 2017, 215, 281–298. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Oku, S.; Ueno, K.; Tsuruta, Y.; Jitsuyama, Y.; Suzuki, T.; Onodera, S.; Maeda, T.; Shimura, H. Sugar accumulation and activities of enzymes involved in fructan dynamics from seedling to bulb formation in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Sci. Hortic.* 2019, 247, 147–155. [CrossRef]

Tylewicz, S.; Petterle, A.; Marttila, S.; Miskolczi, P.; Azeez, A.; Singh, R.K.; Immanen, J.; Mähler, N.; Hvidsten, T.R.; Eklund, D.M.; et al. Photoperiodic control of seasonal growth is mediated by ABA acting on cell-cell communication. *Science* 2018, 360, 212–215. [CrossRef]

Abeleenda, J.A.; Bergonzzi, S.; Oortwijn, M.; Sonnewald, S.; Du, M.; Visser, R.G.F.; Sonnewald, U.; Bachem, C.W.B. Source-sink regulation is mediated by interaction of an FT homolog with a SWEET protein in potato. *Curr. Biol.* 2019, 29, 1178–1186. [CrossRef]
238. Barboza, K.; Salinas, M.C.; Acuña, C.V.; Bannoud, F.; Beretta, V.; Garcia-Lampasona, S.; Burba, J.L.; Calmarini, C.R.; Cavagnar, P.F. Assessment of genetic diversity and population structure in a garlic (Allium sativum L.) germplasm collection varying in bulb content of pyruvate, phenolics, and solids. *Sci. Hortic.* 2020, 261, 108900. [CrossRef]

239. Ayub, S.; Hayat, R.; Zainab, Z.; Akhtar, W.; Mahmood, T. OsRGLP2 promoter derived GUS expression in transgenic tobacco in response to salicylic acid, H2O2, PEG, NaCl and auxins. *Plant Gene* 2019, 19, 100190. [CrossRef]

240. Beinecke, F.A.; Grundmann, L.; Wiedmann, D.R.; Schmidt, F.J.; Caesar, A.S.; Zimmermann, M.; Lahme, M.; Twyman, R.M.; Prüfer, D.; Noll, G.A. The FT/FD-dependent initiation of flowering under long-day conditions in the day-neutral species Nicotiana tabacum originates from the facultative short-day ancestor Nicotiana tomentosiformis. *Plant J.* 2018, 96, 329–342. [CrossRef]

241. Shukla, S.; Iquebal, M.A.; Jaiswal, S.; Angadi, U.B.; Fatma, S.; Kumar, N.; Jasrotia, R.S.; Fatima, Y.; Rai, A.; Kumar, D. The onion genomic resource: A genomics and bioinformatics driven resource for onion breeding. *Plant Gene* 2016, 8, 9–15. [CrossRef]

242. Ragas, R.E.G.; Padron, F.K.; Ruedas, M.Y.A.D. Analysis of the morpho-anatomical traits of four major garlic (Allium sativum L.) cultivars in the Philippines. *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.* 2019, 17, 1143–1157. [CrossRef]

243. Moraes, T.S.; Dornelas, M.C.; Martinelli, A.P. FT/TFL1: Calibrating plant architecture. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2019, 10, 97. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

244. Yang, C.; Ye, Y.; Song, C.; Chen, D.; Jiang, B.; Wang, Y. Cloning and functional identification of the AcLFY gene in Allium cepa. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2016, 473, e1100–e1105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

245. Jie, S.; Cuicui, Y.; Xiaoou, W.; Qiaoling, Y.; Dian, C.; Dongyuan, Z.; Yong, W. Molecular cloning and functional identification of photoperiod pathway transcription factor gene AcCOL7 in Allium cepa. *Acta Hortic.* 2018. [CrossRef]

246. Liu, W.; Jiang, B.; Ma, L.; Zhang, S.; Zhai, H.; Xu, X.; Hou, W.; Xia, Z.; Wu, C.; Sun, S.; et al. Functional diversification of Flowering Locus T homologs in soybean: GmFT1a and GmFT2a/5a have opposite roles in controlling flowering and maturation. *New Phytol.* 2018, 217, 1335–1345. [CrossRef]