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ABSTRACT. We algorithmically compute the intersection cohomology Betti numbers of any complete normal algebraic variety with a torus action of complexity one.

1. Introduction

This paper investigates the intersection cohomology for complete normal algebraic varieties equipped with a \textit{complexity-one torus action} (i.e., a torus action whose general orbits are of codimension one). We algorithmically compute the intersection cohomology Betti numbers of these varieties (see Theorem 5.22) in the language of polyhedral divisors. In particular, our main result generalizes the classical case of complete toric varieties treated in [41, 19, 22].

Let us introduce some notation in order to formulate and explain our results. We will be working with a complex torus \( \mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \) and a normal algebraic variety \( X \) over \( \mathbb{C} \) endowed with an algebraic faithful \( \mathbb{T} \)-action of complexity one. To perform our computation, we use in this article the geometric and combinatorial approach developed in [46, 2, 3, 5], which extends into our setting the well-known classification of toric varieties by their defining fans.

More precisely, this description can be briefly explained as follows (see Section 2.1 for a detailed exposition). As a first ingredient, we need to consider the smooth projective curve \( Y \) parameterizing the general \( \mathbb{T} \)-orbits, i.e., the curve \( Y \) comes with an invariant rational map \( \iota : X \dashrightarrow Y \) giving rise to an identification of function fields \( \mathbb{C}(Y) \simeq \mathbb{C}(X)^\mathbb{T} \). Then, one remarks that the local structure of the torus action restricts to the affine case (see [43, Section 3, Corollary 2]), namely, there exists a finite covering \( (X_i)_{i \in I} \) of \( X \) by affine \( \mathbb{T} \)-stable Zariski open subsets. The main result in [2] states that the coordinate ring of the affine chart \( X_i \) is built from a graded sheaf of \( \mathcal{O}_Y \)-algebras

\[ \mathcal{A}_i = \bigoplus_{u \in \sigma_i^Y \cap M} \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathfrak{D}^i(u)), \]

where \( \sigma_i^Y \) is a full-dimensional polyhedral cone living in the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space associated with the character lattice \( M \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n \) of the torus \( \mathbb{T} \). Moreover, the variety \( Y_i \) is a dense Zariski open subset of
Y and the arrow
\[ \sigma_i^\vee : \text{CaDiv}(Y_i), u \mapsto \mathcal{O}^i(u) \]
is a certain piecewise linear map (called a *polyhedral divisor*) sending a vector to a \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Cartier divisor over \(Y_i\) and satisfying some positivity conditions (see [3] Section 2 for more information). Finally, the \(\mathbb{T}\)-variety \(X_i\) is then identified with the global spectrum of the \(M\)-graded ring \(\Gamma(Y_i, \mathcal{A}_i)\).

One may additionally choose the \(\mathcal{O}^i\)'s in a such way that the relative spectra \(\text{Spec}_Y \mathcal{A}_i\) glue together into a normal \(\mathbb{T}\)-variety \(\tilde{X}\). The resulting morphism \(\pi : \tilde{X} \to X\) is called the *contraction map*. It is known that \(\pi\) is a proper birational morphism and that the total space \(\tilde{X}\) only depends on \(X\), and neither on the choice of the open covering, or on the choice of the sheaves \(\mathcal{A}_i\). Also the quotient map \(\gamma : \tilde{X} \to Y_0\) onto a dense open subset \(Y_0 \subseteq Y\) yields a resolution of the indeterminacy locus of the rational map \(\iota : X \dashrightarrow Y\). More precisely, Vollmert proved that the contraction space \(\tilde{X}\) is in fact the normalization of the graph of the rational quotient map \(X \dashrightarrow Y\) (compare [48, Section 3, Lemma 1] and see also [17]).

Note that the above geometric and combinatorial description can be reversed by defining a normal \(\mathbb{T}\)-variety of complexity one via a finite set of compatible polyhedral divisors (called a *divisorial fan*, see [29, Chapter IV]). Thus, we may expect to compute the intersection cohomology of \(\tilde{X}\) and let \(\mathcal{H}^i\) be the contraction map. Denote by \(\pi\) the contraction map. Let \(\mathcal{O}(E)\) be the set of torus orbits in \(\tilde{X}\) and let \(\mathcal{H}^i\) be the contraction map. The resulting morphism \(\pi : \tilde{X} \to X\) is called the *contraction map*. It is known that \(\pi\) is a proper birational morphism and that the total space \(\tilde{X}\) only depends on \(X\), and neither on the choice of the open covering, or on the choice of the sheaves \(\mathcal{A}_i\). Also the quotient map \(\gamma : \tilde{X} \to Y_0\) onto a dense open subset \(Y_0 \subseteq Y\) yields a resolution of the indeterminacy locus of the rational map \(\iota : X \dashrightarrow Y\). More precisely, Vollmert proved that the contraction space \(\tilde{X}\) is in fact the normalization of the graph of the rational quotient map \(X \dashrightarrow Y\) (compare [48, Section 3, Lemma 1] and see also [17]).

For a \(d\)-dimensional complex algebraic variety \(Z\), we denote by \(IC_Z\) the intersection cohomology complex with respect to the middle perversity (see Section 2.2 for more details) and by \(IH^i(Z; \mathbb{Q})\) the intersection cohomology groups. Recall that \(Z\) is a *rationally smooth* variety if for any \(x \in Z\), we have that \(H^d_x(Z; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}\) and \(H^i_x(Z; \mathbb{Q}) = 0\) for \(i \neq d\), where \(H^*_x(Z; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(Z, Z \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{Q})\) is the rational cohomology with support in \(\{x\}\). Remark that rationally smooth varieties include quotients of smooth algebraic varieties by finite group actions (see [12, Proposition A1]).

Our first result below asserts that (up to a finite group action) the local systems in the decomposition theorem for the map \(\pi\) are trivial and supported on certain torus orbits.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \(X\) be a normal complex algebraic variety with a torus action of complexity one. Let \(\pi : \tilde{X} \to X\) be the contraction map. Denote by \(E \subseteq X\) the image of the exceptional locus of \(\pi\) and let \(\mathcal{O}(E)\) be the set of torus orbits in \(E\). Then the following assertions hold.

(i) There exists a finite subgroup \(G \subseteq \mathbb{T}\) such that we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\tilde{X}/G & \xrightarrow{\pi_G} & X/G,
\end{array}
\]

where the horizontal arrows \(\pi\) and \(\pi_G\) are contraction maps and the vertical ones are the quotients by the \(G\)-actions, and with the further condition that the image \(E/G\) of the exceptional locus of \(\pi_G\) have connected stabilizers. Moreover, we have the \(\mathbb{Q}\)-vector space isomorphisms

\[ IH^j(X; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq IH^j(X/G; \mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{and} \quad IH^j(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq IH^j(\tilde{X}/G; \mathbb{Q}) \]

for any \(j \in \mathbb{Z}\).
(ii) If the stabilizers of the points of $E$ are connected, then there is an isomorphism

$$\pi_* IC_{\tilde{X}} \simeq IC_X \oplus \bigoplus_{O \in \mathcal{O}(E)} \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} (i_O)_* IC_{\tilde{O}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{[b]}$$

where the $s_{b,O} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ are 0 for all but finitely many $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $O \in \mathcal{O}(E)$. Here $\tilde{O}$ is the Zariski closure of the orbit $O$ and $i_O : \tilde{O} \to X$ is the natural inclusion.

(iii) If $\tilde{X}$ is rationally smooth, then

$$\pi_* IC_{\tilde{X}} \simeq IC_X \oplus \bigoplus_{O \in \mathcal{O}_2(E)} (i_O)_* IC_{\tilde{O}},$$

where $\mathcal{O}_2(E)$ is the set of codimension-two torus orbits in $E$.

The presence of the finite group $G$ in Theorem 1.1 (i) simply means that we are changing the ambient lattice $M$ in the combinatorics of polyhedral divisors by a smaller one of a finite index. Geometrically, the group $G$ can be chosen as the subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$ generated by the groups of connected components of the stabilizers $\mathbb{T}_x$, where $x$ runs over $E$ (this generates a finite group since $E$ has finitely many orbits, see 3.5). Moreover, note that Statement (i) in Theorem 1.1 is a reduction of our problem of computing the intersection cohomology Betti numbers to the case where the points of $E$ have connected stabilizers. This technical assumption on the connectedness of the stabilizers will be taken for the rest of the introduction when we will speak about the decomposition theorem for the map $\pi$.

We now describe the content of each section and explain how to calculate the intersection cohomology Betti numbers of any complete normal variety with torus action of complexity one. Section 2 is devoted to introduce the necessary backgrounds on $\mathbb{T}$-varieties and on intersection cohomology. We also introduce, in this section, the concept of Seifert torus bundles which plays a key role in many places of the paper. In Section 3, we exhibit a natural stratification that makes $\pi$ a topological fibration over each stratum (see Lemma 3.6). We then show that $\pi$ is a semi-small map (see Lemma 3.7). We prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 4.

As we can see, the third part of the statement of Theorem 1.1 is more explicit. This is due to the decomposition theorem for semi-small maps (see for instance [25, Theorem 8.2.36]). This result applies a priori in the case where the variety $\tilde{X}$ is rationally smooth (e.g., when $\tilde{X}$ is a surface). But most of the time, the variety $\tilde{X}$ does not satisfy this assumption. Thus, in order to bypass the difficulty of precisely determining the multiplicities $s_{b,O}$ of Theorem 1.1 (ii), in Section 5, we study the stalks of the intersection cohomology sheaves on both sides of the decomposition theorem for the map $\pi$. This determines the intersection cohomology groups of every affine normal $\mathbb{T}$-variety of complexity one with a unique attractive fixed point (see Proposition 5.6 and Example 5.7) and the ones of a relative spectrum associated with a polyhedral divisor having full-dimensional tail cone (see Theorem 5.18 and Section 2.1 for the notion of sheaf associated with a polyhedral divisor).

Note that in order to prove Theorem 5.18, we use results in [11] which rely on a sheaf realization of the relative $g$-invariants of an affine toric variety. Inspired by the techniques developed in [15, Section 7], we finally sum up all our computations in Theorem 5.22 where the $s_{b,O}$’s are obtained via an induction process on the dimension and from an inversion formula in the incidence algebra of the poset of orbits of $E$.

As an application, we treat the cases of dimensions 2 and 3. For surfaces, we recover the work of Fieseler and Kaup [21] (for rational coefficients), see Example 4.6 and Example 5.3. In their work, they describe the intersection cohomology Betti numbers of a complete normal $\mathbb{C}^*$-surface in terms of the set of elliptic fixed points. This set is in fact the image of the exceptional locus of the contraction
map. Thus, the description of the intersection cohomology for torus actions of complexity one in terms of the geometry of the contraction map might be seen as a generalization of [21] in higher dimension. For threefolds, we obtain the following result (see Theorem 5.23). We recall that the Poincaré polynomial of a complex algebraic variety \( Z \) is the polynomial \( P_Z(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{2d} b_i(Z)t^i \), where \( d = \dim Z \) and \( b_i(Z) = \dim IH^i(Z;\mathbb{Q}) \).

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( X \) be a complete normal threefold with a faithful action of \( T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \), and with defining divisorial fan \( \mathcal{E} \) over the smooth projective curve \( Y \). Let \( E \) be the image of the exceptional locus of the contraction map \( \pi: \tilde{X} \to X \). Then the Poincaré polynomial \( P_X(t) \) is given by the formula

\[
P_X(t) = ((1 - r)t^2 + 2\rho_g(Y)t + 1 - r)(t^4 + (\delta(\Sigma(\tilde{E})) - 2)t^2 + 1) + \sum_{y \in \text{Supp}(\tilde{E})} (t^6 + (\delta(\tilde{E}_y) - 3)t^4 + (\delta(\tilde{E}_y) - 3)t^2 + 1) - |\mathcal{O}_2(E)|(t^2 + 1)t^2,
\]

where for a fan \( \Sigma \) we denote by \( \delta(\Sigma) \) the number of its rays and \( \rho_g(Y) \) is the genus of the curve \( Y \). Moreover, \( \Sigma(\tilde{E}) \) (respectively, \( \text{Supp}(\tilde{E}) \)) is the fan of the general fiber (respectively, the set of the points of \( Y \) that give rise to a special fiber) of the quotient map

\[ \gamma: \tilde{X} \to Y \] and \( r = |\text{Supp}(\tilde{E})| \).

Finally, the symbol \( \tilde{E}_y \) stands for a 3-dimensional fan describing the fiber of \( \gamma \) at \( y \).

Our approach in higher dimension does not give a direct formula of the intersection cohomology Betti numbers but provides an algorithm. This was somehow philosophically expected. For instance, in the toric setting (see [19, 22]), the intersection cohomology Betti numbers are usually obtained in terms of a double induction, which compares the global and local intersection cohomologies of toric varieties of smaller dimension.

**Related works.** Independently, Laface, Liendo, and Moraga investigated in [31] the Hodge-Deligne polynomial, the cohomology ring, and the Chow ring of a smooth complete complexity-one \( T \)-variety. In relation with mirror symmetry, one may also look at the stringy invariants (see [7]) as studied by Batyrev and Moreau in [8]. Such a description (see [35, 36]) is available for complexity-one horospherical varieties [37, 38]. Finally, we want to mention that the starting point of the present work comes from the relative version of the \( h \)-invariant theory for toric varieties, see [28, 15, 16].

**Remark 1.3.** In this paper, we work over the complex numbers and with the Euclidean topology. In particular, local systems are locally constant sheaves of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector spaces with respect to the Euclidean topology and whose fibers are finite-dimensional.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Preliminaries on \( T \)-varieties.** This section is a reminder on the Altmann-Hausen’s theory for the classification of torus actions on normal algebraic varieties [2, 3, 5, 33]. Here we focus on the complexity-one torus actions and we freely use the approach of Timashev in [46]. We also refer the reader to [45, 37, 38, 34] for generalizations to other reductive group actions.

We start by introducing the usual notation from toric geometry. Let \( T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \) be an algebraic torus with character lattice \( M \) and one-parameter subgroup lattice \( N \). Throughout this article, we
will consider the duality between the lattices $M$ and $N$, and its extension

$$M_Q \times N_Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \ (m, v) \mapsto \langle m, v \rangle,$$

to the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces $M_Q := \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Z} M$ and $N_Q := \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Z} N$. Recall that a polyhedral cone $\sigma \subseteq N_Q$ is said to be strictly convex if $\{0\} \subseteq \sigma$ is a 0-dimensional face. This last condition exactly means that the dual cone

$$\sigma^\vee = \{ m \in M_Q | \forall v \in \sigma, \langle m, v \rangle \geq 0 \}$$

is full-dimensional.

A finite set $\Sigma$ of strictly convex polyhedral cones of $N_Q$ is a fan if it is stable by taking the faces of its elements and if the intersection of any two elements is a common face of both. A variety $X$ with a $\mathbb{T}$-action is toric (for the torus $\mathbb{T}$) if $X$ is normal and if the torus $\mathbb{T}$ faithfully acts on $X$ with an open dense orbit. Actually, the notion of fans mirrors the notion of toric varieties. Indeed, any face $\tau$ of $\sigma \in \Sigma$ naturally defines an open immersion $X_\sigma \rightarrow X_\tau$, where $X_\sigma = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\sigma^\vee \cap M]$ stands for the spectrum of the semigroup algebra of $\sigma^\vee \cap M$. From this, one may attach to any fan $\Sigma$ a toric variety $X_\Sigma$ (and vice versa) by gluing all the affine pieces $X_\sigma$ from the elements of $\Sigma$ (see [13, Chapter 3] for more details).

We now pass to the notion of polyhedral divisors, which are the combinatorial objects that classify, in the affine case, the complexity-one torus actions. Let us fix a strictly convex polyhedral cone $\sigma \subseteq N_Q$. A $\sigma$-polyhedron of $N_Q$ is a subset of $N_Q$ obtained as the Minkowski sum $Q + \sigma$ of a polytope $Q \subseteq N_Q$ (i.e., the convex hull of a non-empty finite set of $N_Q$) and the cone $\sigma$. For a smooth complex algebraic curve $Y$, a $\sigma$-polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}$ over $Y$ will be a formal sum

$$\mathcal{D} = \sum_{y \in Y} \mathcal{D}_y \cdot [y],$$

where each $\mathcal{D}_y$ is a $\sigma$-polyhedron of $N_Q$ and $\mathcal{D}_y = \sigma$ for all but finitely many $y \in Y$. For every $m \in \sigma^\vee$ we define the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\mathcal{D}(m)$ called the evaluation of $\mathcal{D}$ at $m$ by setting

$$\mathcal{D}(m) = \sum_{y \in Y} \min_{v \in \mathcal{D}_y} \langle m, v \rangle \cdot [y].$$

The curve $Y$ (respectively, the cone $\sigma$) is called the locus (respectively, the tail) of $\mathcal{D}$. The $\sigma$-polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}$ is said to be proper (or positive) if $Y$ is affine, or $Y$ is projective and the two the following properties are satisfied.

(i) First, the degree $\text{deg}(\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{y \in Y} \mathcal{D}_y$ must be strictly contained in $\sigma$.

(ii) Secondly, for every $m \in \sigma^\vee$ such that $\min_{v \in \text{deg}(\mathcal{D})} \langle m, v \rangle = 0$, the divisor $\mathcal{D}(dm)$ has to be principal for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

In the sequel, we write $\text{PPDiv}_\mathbb{Q}(Y, \sigma)$ (or $\text{PPDiv}_\mathbb{Q}(Y, N, \sigma)$ if one needs to emphasize on the lattice $N$) for the set of proper $\sigma$-polyhedral divisors on $Y$. If $Y$ is affine, then we make the convention that the degree $\text{deg}(\mathcal{D})$ is empty.

Note that the functor $X \mapsto \mathbb{C}[X] = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ induces an equivalence between the category of affine varieties with $\mathbb{T}$-action (and with $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant morphisms), and the opposite category of integral finite type $\mathbb{C}$-algebras with an $M$-grading (together with homogeneous $\mathbb{C}$-algebra morphisms). The following theorem, which is [2] Theorems 3.1, 3.4] applied to complexity-one torus actions, makes more precise this correspondence.
Theorem 2.1. (i) Let $\sigma \subseteq N_\mathbb{Q}$ be a strictly convex polyhedral cone and let $Y$ be a smooth complex algebraic curve. If $\mathcal{D} \in \text{PPDiv}_\mathbb{Q}(Y, \sigma)$, then the $M$-graded subalgebra
\[ A(Y, \mathcal{D}) := \bigoplus_{m \in \sigma^\vee \cap M} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{D}(m))) \otimes \chi^m \subseteq \mathbb{C}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{C}[T], \]
where $\chi^m$ is the Laurent monomial corresponding to $m \in M$, defines a normal affine $\mathbb{T}$-variety $X(\mathcal{D}) = X(Y, \mathcal{D}) := \text{Spec} A(Y, \mathcal{D})$ of complexity one with rational quotient $Y$.

(ii) Conversely, if $X$ is a normal affine variety with a faithful $\mathbb{T}$-action of complexity one, then there exists a strictly convex polyhedral cone $\sigma \subseteq N_\mathbb{Q}$, a smooth complex algebraic curve $Y$ and $\mathcal{D} \in \text{PPDiv}_\mathbb{Q}(Y, \sigma)$ such that the $\mathbb{T}$-variety $X(\mathcal{D})$ is equivariantly isomorphic to $X$.

Divisorial fans generalize polyhedral divisors in the same way as in toric geometry, when one passes from the concept of strictly convex cones to the concept of fans.

**Definition 2.2.** Let $Y$ be a smooth complex curve. A divisorial fan on $(Y, N)$ is a finite set
\[ \mathcal{E} = \{ \mathcal{D}^i | i \in I \} \]
with $\mathcal{D}^i \in \text{PPDiv}_\mathbb{Q}(Y_i, \sigma_i)$, where $Y_i \subseteq Y$ is a Zariski dense open subset and $\sigma_i \subseteq N_\mathbb{Q}$ is a strictly convex polyhedral cone, subject to the following conditions.

(i) **(stability by intersection)** We have
\[ \mathcal{D}^i \cap \mathcal{D}^j := \bigoplus_{y \in Y_{ij}} (\mathcal{D}^i_y \cap \mathcal{D}^j_y) \cdot [y] \in \mathcal{E} \]
for all $i, j \in I$, where
\[ Y_{ij} := \{ y \in Y_i \cap Y_j | \mathcal{D}^i_y \cap \mathcal{D}^j_y \neq \emptyset \} \subseteq Y. \]

(ii) **(face relations)** For all $i, j \in I$ and for any $y \in Y_{ij}$ the polyhedron $\mathcal{D}^i_y \cap \mathcal{D}^j_y$ is a common face of $\mathcal{D}^i_y$ and $\mathcal{D}^j_y$.

(iii) **(degree relations)** We have $\deg(\mathcal{D}^i \cap \mathcal{D}^j) = \deg(\mathcal{D}^j) \cap \sigma_i \cap \sigma_j$ for all $i, j \in I$ (note that $\deg(\mathcal{D}^i) = \emptyset$ whenever the locus of $\mathcal{D}^i$ is affine).

(iv) We will also ask that $Y = \bigcup_{i \in I} Y_i$.

The definition of a divisorial fan implies the existence of transition maps
\[ X(\mathcal{D}^i) \leftarrow X(\mathcal{D}^i \cap \mathcal{D}^j) \rightarrow X(\mathcal{D}^j) \]
that are open immersions. These maps allow to glue together the open sets $X(\mathcal{D}^i)$ into a normal $\mathbb{T}$-variety $X(\mathcal{E})$ of complexity one (see [3, Sections 3.4]). Note that inside $X(\mathcal{E})$ the subset $X(\mathcal{D}^i \cap \mathcal{D}^j)$ identifies with the intersection $X(\mathcal{D}^i) \cap X(\mathcal{D}^j)$ for all $i, j \in I$. Conversely, every normal algebraic variety with torus action of complexity one arises from a divisorial fan (see [3, Theorem 5.6]).

Any $\sigma$-polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}$ over $Y$ yields a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-algebras
\[ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}) = \bigoplus_{m \in \sigma^\vee \cap M} \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{D}(m)). \]

Now given a divisorial fan $\mathcal{E}$ describing the $\mathbb{T}$-variety $X = X(\mathcal{E})$, the contraction map $\pi : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ is defined by gluing the natural morphisms
\[ \text{Spec}_{Y_i} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}^i) \rightarrow \text{Spec} \Gamma(Y_i, \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}^i)) \text{ for all } \mathcal{D}^i \in \mathcal{E}. \]
It follows that the total space $\tilde{X}$ is identified with $X(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is the divisorial fan

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{E}} := \left\{ \mathcal{D}_{U_j} := \sum_{y \in U_j} \mathcal{D}_y \cdot [y] \mid \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{E} \text{ and } j \in J \right\}
$$

and $(U_j)_{j \in J}$ is any finite Zariski open affine covering of $Y$. Moreover, by [48, Section 3, Lemma 1], one sees that the pair $(\tilde{X}, \pi)$ (up to composition with an equivariant isomorphism) depends only on the $T$-variety $X(\mathcal{E})$. We will say that the $T$-variety $X(\mathcal{E})$ is contraction-free if the rational quotient map $X(\mathcal{E}) \to Y$ is globally defined, or equivalently, if the contraction map $\pi$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.3. One can recover some geometric properties of the normal $T$-variety $X(\mathcal{E})$ in terms of the combinatorics of its divisorial fan $\mathcal{E}$. For instance, the $T$-variety $X(\mathcal{E})$ is complete (respectively, contraction-free) if and only if $Y$ is complete and for any $y \in Y$ the sequence of polyhedral coefficients of all $D_i \in \mathcal{E}$ at $y$ covers $N_Q$ [3, Section 7] (respectively, the locus of each element of $\mathcal{E}$ is affine).

We finally discuss on some combinatorial objects that come from the description of Timashev for torus actions of complexity one (see [46]).

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a divisorial fan over $(Y, N)$ and assume that $Y$ is a smooth complex projective curve. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the quotient set

$$
Y \times N_Q \times \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}/\sim,
$$

where the equivalence relation $\sim$ is given by

$$(y, a, b) \sim (y', a', b')$$

if and only if $(y = y', a = a', b = b')$ or $(a = a', b = b' = 0)$.

The set $\mathcal{N}$ is usually called the hyperspace (see [47, Section 16.2]). Note that the natural map

$$
N_Q \to \mathcal{N}, \ a \mapsto (y, a, 0)/\sim
$$

allows to identify $N_Q$ with a subset of $\mathcal{N}$. Inside $\mathcal{N}$, one considers the hypercone

$$
C(\mathcal{D}) := \left( \bigcup_{y \in Y} \{y\} \times C_y(\mathcal{D}) \right) / \sim
$$

for any $\sigma$-polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{E}$. Here the subset $C_y(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq N_Q \times \mathbb{Q}$ is the Cayley cone of $\mathcal{D}$ at the point $y$, that is, the cone generated by $(\sigma \times \{0\}) \cup (\mathcal{D}_y \times \{1\})$.

For each $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{E}$ defined over the curve $Y$, a hyperface of $C(\mathcal{D})$ is a subset of the form $C(\mathcal{D}') \subseteq C(\mathcal{D})$ for $\mathcal{D}'$ a polyhedral divisor over $Y$ and such that $C_y(\mathcal{D}')$ is a face of $C_y(\mathcal{D})$ for any $y \in Y$. We set

$$
HF(\mathcal{E}) := \{C \text{ hyperfaces of } C(\mathcal{D}) \mid \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{E} \text{ and } C \cap \deg(\mathcal{D}) \neq \emptyset\}
$$

and endow it with a structure of poset by considering the hyperface relations. Observe that $HF(\mathcal{E})$ is isomorphic to the concrete poset

$$
\{\tau \text{ face of } \sigma \text{ tail of } \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{E} \text{ and } \tau \cap \deg(\mathcal{D}) \neq \emptyset\}
$$

together with the face relations.

The poset $HF(\mathcal{E})$ has the following geometric meaning. Consider the contraction map

$$
\pi : \tilde{X} = X(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}) \to X = X(\mathcal{E}).
$$
Denote by $E$ the image of the exceptional locus of the map $\pi$ and let $\mathcal{O}(E)$ be the set of $\mathbb{T}$-orbits of $E$. Then the relation $\prec$, where two orbits $O_1, O_2$ satisfy $O_1 \prec O_2$ if and only if $O_2 \subseteq \bar{O}_1$, makes $\mathcal{O}(E)$ a poset which is isomorphic to $HF(\mathcal{E})$. This follows from the results in [17] Section 16.4. The correspondence comes by seeing that an element $(y, a, b)/\sim$ of $\mathcal{N}$ naturally defines a $\mathbb{T}$-invariant discrete valuation $\text{val}_{y, a, b}$ on the function field $\mathbb{C}(X)$ via the relation

$$\text{val}_{y, a, b}(f \otimes x^m) = (m, a) + b \text{ord}_y(f),$$

where $f \in \mathbb{C}(Y)^*$ and $m \in M$. Here the symbol $\text{ord}_y(f)$ stands for the vanishing order of $f$ at the point $y$. Actually, to any $C \in H(\mathcal{E})$ we pick any valuation $\nu \in C$ living in its relative interior (i.e., in the complement of the union of the proper hyperfaces of $C$). The center in $X$ of the valuation $\nu$ is exactly an orbit closure $\bar{O}_C \subseteq E$ and does not depend on the choice of $\nu$. The correspondence is therefore given by $C \mapsto O_C$.

### 2.2. Preliminaries on intersection cohomology

In this section, we fix the notation on the intersection cohomology theory that we will use throughout the paper. Let $X$ be a complex algebraic variety and denote by $D^b_c(X)$ the constructible derived category of sheaves of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces on $X$. This is a triangulated category with shift functor $[1]$. For any object $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X)$ we write $\mathcal{H}^j(\mathcal{F})$ for its cohomology sheaves. Given a morphism of complex algebraic varieties $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we consider the functors

$$f_* : D^b_c(X) \rightarrow D^b_c(Y), \quad f_! : D^b_c(X) \rightarrow D^b_c(Y), \quad f^* : D^b_c(Y) \rightarrow D^b_c(X), \quad f^! : D^b_c(Y) \rightarrow D^b_c(X).$$

Note that we consider only, in this paper, derived functors and write $f_*$ instead of $Rf_*$, etc. We will also denote by $\mathbb{D} : D^b_c(X) \rightarrow D^b_c(X)$ the Verdier duality.

We start with a Whitney stratification

$$X = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{I}} X_\lambda.$$

Let $X_{\lambda_0}$ be the open stratum, let $i_\lambda : X_\lambda \hookrightarrow X$ be the natural inclusion and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a local system defined on $X_{\lambda_0}$. We denote by $IC_X(\mathcal{L})$ the intersection cohomology complex with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$. This constructible complex of sheaves is uniquely determined by the following conditions.

1. The open stratum condition: $i_{\lambda_0}^* IC_X(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}[\dim X]$.
2. The stalk conditions: $\mathcal{H}^j(i_\lambda^* IC_X(\mathcal{L})) = 0$ for $j \geq -\dim X_\lambda$ and $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$.
3. The costalk conditions: $\mathcal{H}^j(i_\lambda^! IC_X(\mathcal{L})) = 0$ for $j \leq -\dim X_\lambda$ and $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$.

In our convention, the intersection cohomology complex $IC_X(\mathcal{L})$ belongs to the category of perverse sheaves (for the middle perversity), that is, the heart of the category $D^b_c(X)$ with respect to the $t$-structure

$$pD^{\leq 0}(X) := \{ \mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X) | \dim \text{Supp}(\mathcal{H}^j(\mathcal{F})) \leq -j \text{ for all } j \} \quad \text{and} \quad pD^{\geq 0}(X) := \{ \mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(X) | \dim \text{Supp}(\mathcal{H}^j(\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F})) \leq -j \text{ for all } j \}.$$

The intersection cohomology groups with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$ are defined by taking the hypercohomology groups:

$$IH^i(X; \mathcal{L}) := \mathbb{H}^i(X, IC_X(\mathcal{L})[-\dim X]).$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Q}$, then we set $IC_X := IC_X(\mathcal{L})$ and $IH^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) := \mathbb{H}^i(X, IC_X[-\dim X])$ is the intersection cohomology groups with rational coefficients. Observe that if $X$ is smooth, then $IC_X = \mathbb{Q}[\dim X]$ and so $IH^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) = H^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is the usual cohomology groups with rational
coefficients.

The next result is the *decomposition theorem* due to Beilinson, Bernstein, Deligne and Gabber which allows to describe the topology of algebraic proper maps.

**Theorem 2.4.** [9, Theorem 6.25] Let \( f : X \rightarrow Y \) be a proper algebraic morphism between two complex algebraic varieties \( X \) and \( Y \). Then there exists a finite family \( (Z_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\alpha, d_\alpha) \) where for every index \( \alpha \), the subset \( Z_\alpha \subseteq Y \) is a smooth Zariski locally closed subvariety, the symbol \( \mathcal{L}_\alpha \) stands for a semi-simple local system on \( Z_\alpha \) (that is, the representation of the fundamental group \( \pi_1(Z_\alpha, y) \) on \( Z_\alpha \) corresponding to \( \mathcal{L}_\alpha \) is semi-simple) and \( d_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that we have an isomorphism

\[
f_*IC_X \cong \bigoplus_\alpha (i_\alpha)_* IC_{\bar{Z}_\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_\alpha)[-d_\alpha]
\]

in the derived category \( D_c(Y) \), where \( \bar{Z}_\alpha \) is the Zariski closure of \( Z_\alpha \) in \( Y \) and \( i_\alpha : Z_\alpha \rightarrow Y \) is the natural inclusion.

Let \( f : X \rightarrow Y \) be a surjective algebraic map and consider a smooth stratification

\[
Y = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in I} Y_\lambda
\]

with connected strata such that the maps \( f^{-1}(Y_\lambda) \rightarrow Y_\lambda \) are topologically locally trivial fibrations for any \( \lambda \in I \). We say that \( f \) is *semi-small* if for any \( \lambda \in I \) and any \( y \in Y_\lambda \) we have

\[
dim f^{-1}(y) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\dim Y - \dim Y_\lambda).
\]

In this case, we say that a stratum \( Y_\lambda \) is *relevant* if the equality

\[
dim f^{-1}(y) = \frac{1}{2}(\dim Y - \dim Y_\lambda)
\]

holds for some \( y \in Y_\lambda \).

The decomposition theorem has a more explicit form in the context of semi-small algebraic maps with rationally smooth total space.

**Theorem 2.5.** [25, Proposition 8.2.21, Theorem 8.2.36] Let \( f : X \rightarrow Y \) be a proper algebraic surjective semi-small morphism between two complex algebraic varieties \( X \) and \( Y \). Assume that \( X \) is rationally smooth. Then we have \( IC_X \cong Q_X[\dim X] \). Furthermore, there exists a canonical family \( (Z_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\alpha) \) where for every index \( \alpha \), the subset \( Z_\alpha \subseteq Y \) is a smooth Zariski locally closed subvariety, and \( \mathcal{L}_\alpha \) is a semi-simple local system defined on \( Z_\alpha \) such that

\[
f_*IC_X \cong \bigoplus_\alpha (i_\alpha)_* IC_{\bar{Z}_\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_\alpha).
\]

The data \( (Z_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\alpha) \) satisfies the following conditions.

(i) The varieties \( Z_\alpha \) are the relevant strata of a smooth stratification of \( Y \) such that the maps

\[
f^{-1}(Z_\alpha) \rightarrow Z_\alpha
\]

are topologically locally trivial fibrations.

(ii) The local system \( \mathcal{L}_\alpha \) is the local system associated with

\[
y \mapsto H^{\dim Y - \dim Z_\alpha}(f^{-1}(y); \mathbb{Q}).
\]
2.3. **Seifert torus bundles.** Let $G$ be a connected linear algebraic group and let $H \subseteq G$ be an algebraic subgroup acting on a variety $Z$. The group $H$ acts on the product by $h \cdot (g, z) = (gh^{-1}, h \cdot z)$, where $g \in G$, $h \in H$ and $z \in Z$. If there exists a geometric quotient $(G \times Z)/H$, then we set $G \times^H Z := (G \times Z)/H$ and call it the *homogeneous fiber space* over $G/H$ associated with $Z$. Note that the geometric quotient $(G \times Z)/H$ always exists if $Z$ is quasi-projective (see [39, Theorem 4.19]). In this section, we introduce the concept of Seifert torus bundles that will be used after. They form a particular class of homogeneous fiber spaces.

**Definition 2.6.** By a *Seifert torus bundle* we mean the data $(X, \mathcal{T}, \Gamma)$, where $\mathcal{T} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is an algebraic torus, $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ is a finite subgroup, and $X$ is a normal complex algebraic variety with an algebraic $\Gamma$-action admitting a covering by $\Gamma$-stable quasi-projective Zariski open subsets. In particular, there exists a geometric quotient $X \rightarrow X/\Gamma$. The *total space* of the Seifert torus bundle $(X, \mathcal{T}, \Gamma)$ is the space $V := \mathcal{T} \times^\Gamma X$, while the *base* is the quotient $B := X/\Gamma$. Finally, the *fibration* (that we also call the Seifert torus bundle) is the natural invariant projection $\varepsilon : V \rightarrow B$.

In [11], Braden and MacPherson studied the *Seifert line bundles*, which are roughly speaking fibrations that are locally the line bundles up to the action of a finite group. The following lemma justifies our terminology.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let $\varepsilon : V = \mathcal{T} \times^\Gamma X \rightarrow B$ be a Seifert torus bundle. Consider the action of $\Gamma$ on the product $\mathcal{T} \times X$ given by $g \cdot (x, y) = (g \cdot x, y)$, where $g \in \Gamma$, $x \in \mathcal{T}$ and $y \in X$. This action naturally induces a $\Gamma$-action on $V$. Then we have the isomorphism $V/\Gamma \simeq \mathcal{T}/\Gamma \times B$.

**Proof.** We have two natural maps $\varepsilon : V \rightarrow B$ and $V \rightarrow \mathcal{T}/\Gamma$ inducing a $\Gamma$-invariant morphism $V \rightarrow \mathcal{T}/\Gamma \times B$. By the universal property of the quotient, we get a morphism $f : V/\Gamma \rightarrow B \times \mathcal{T}/\Gamma$. By construction $f$ is bijective. Since $V/\Gamma$ is a normal variety, we conclude by Zariski Main Theorem that $f$ is an isomorphism. \qed

3. **Stratifications and fibers**

3.1. **Fibers of the contraction maps.** This section is dedicated to studying the geometry of the contraction map. We will use notations of the introduction. Namely $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the contraction map of our normal complexity-one $\mathcal{T}$-variety $X$, the set $E$ is the image of the exceptional locus of $\pi$ and the morphism $\gamma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y_0$ is the surjective global quotient. Note that we assume that the $\mathcal{T}$-action on $X$ is faithful. Finally, for any point $y \in Y_0$ we write $F_y = \gamma^{-1}(y)_{\text{red}}$ for its reduced fiber.

We begin by observing that the $\mathcal{T}$-variety $\tilde{X}$ has a $\mathcal{T}$-stable open subset of the form $Y' \times V$, where $V$ is the toric variety corresponding to the general fiber of the quotient map $\gamma$ and $Y' \subseteq Y_0$ is a Zariski dense open subset. On this subset, the torus trivially acts on the first factor and by the natural action on the second factor. Elements of the set $Z := Y_0 \setminus Y'$ will be called *special*. The following lemma describes the preimage of any orbit $O \subseteq E$ under $\pi$.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $O$ be a $\mathcal{T}$-orbit in $X$ contained in $E$. Denote by $\mathcal{T}_x$ the isotropy group of a point $x \in O$. Write $\mathcal{T}^0_x$ for the neutral connected component of $\mathcal{T}_x$ and $\Gamma_x$ for the finite group $\mathcal{T}_x/\mathcal{T}^0_x$. Set $\mathcal{T}_O := \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{T}^0_x$. Then the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is a smooth projective curve and we have the homogeneous fiber space decomposition

$$
\pi^{-1}(O) \simeq \mathcal{T}_O \times^{\Gamma_x} \pi^{-1}(x).
$$

Before proving Lemma 3.1, we make the following remark.
Remark 3.2. Let $G$ be a connected linear algebraic group acting on a quasi-projective variety $S$. If $f : S \to G/H$ is a $G$-equivariant morphism onto a homogeneous $G$-space, then $S$ is $G$-isomorphic to the homogeneous fiber space $G \times^H F$, where $F = f^{-1}(H/H)$ (see [10] or the comment after [47, Theorem 2.2]). Note that $f$ is a locally trivial fibration for the étale topology.

Proof. Consider the $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant morphism $\pi^{-1}(O) \to O = \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{T}_x$. Then by Remark 3.2 we have a homogeneous fiber space decomposition $\pi^{-1}(O) \simeq \mathbb{T} \times^{\mathbb{T}_x} \pi^{-1}(x)$. Moreover, observe that $\pi^{-1}(O)$ intersects the Zariski open subset $Y' \times V$ and that the fibers of $\pi$ are connected according to Zariski Main Theorem. It follows that $\pi^{-1}(O) \cap (Y' \times V)$ is a connected $\mathbb{T}$-stable Zariski closed subset of $Y' \times V$. This implies that $\pi^{-1}(O)$ is irreducible. Hence the locally closed subvariety $\pi^{-1}(O)$ must be normal (compare [47, Theorem 16.25]). Note that the fibration

$$\pi_{|\pi^{-1}(O)} : \pi^{-1}(O) \simeq \mathbb{T} \times^{\mathbb{T}_x} \pi^{-1}(x) \to \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{T}_x \simeq O$$

is locally trivial for the étale topology. So $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is normal and irreducible. Since the orbits of $\pi^{-1}(O)$ are parameterized by a curve, one concludes that $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is a smooth projective curve. In particular, the group $\mathbb{T}_x^0$ trivially acts on $\pi^{-1}(x)$. Indeed, the quotient of $\pi^{-1}(x)$ by $\mathbb{T}_x^0$ is one-dimensional and therefore the group $\mathbb{T}_x^0$ acts on $\pi^{-1}(x)$ by finite automorphisms. Now the connectedness of $\mathbb{T}_x^0$ implies that the $\mathbb{T}_x^0$-action on $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is trivial. This gives the decomposition $\pi^{-1}(O) \simeq \mathbb{T}_O \times^{\mathbb{T}_x} \pi^{-1}(x)$ and ends the proof of the lemma. □

Remark 3.3. In a former version of the present article, we claimed that $\pi^{-1}(O)$ is equivariantly isomorphic to the trivial product $Y \times O$ whenever $O \subseteq X$ is a $\mathbb{T}$-orbit of $E$. This is not true in general. Our argument was based on [2, Theorem 10.1 (ii)] which seems incorrectly stated as pointed out by the referee. One reason is that the isotropy groups on the open subset $Y' \times V$ must be connected (remember that a toric variety with faithful torus action has connected isotropy groups). Now if we have Zariski triviality, then $O$ must have connected isotropy groups as well (since $\pi^{-1}(O)$ intersects $Y' \times V$). The following example (see [3, 4]) due to the referee shows that $O$ can have disconnected isotropy groups. We thank the referee for mentioning this inaccuracy.

Example 3.4. We work with the lattice $N = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and the strictly convex polyhedral cone $\sigma = \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\}$. Consider the polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D} = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{P}^1 \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}_y \cdot [y]$ over the projective line with nontrivial coefficients

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right) + \sigma \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_\infty = \left(0, -\frac{1}{2}\right) + \sigma.$$

Let $t \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^1 \mathbb{C})$ be a rational function satisfying $\text{div}(t) = [0] - [\infty]$ and set

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{t} \chi^{(1,0)}, x_2 = \frac{1}{t} \chi^{(1,1)}, x_3 = \frac{1}{t} \chi^{(1,2)}, x_4 = \frac{1}{t} \chi^{(2,0)}, x_5 = t \chi^{(0,-2)}.$$

Then we have $A(\mathbb{P}^1 \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{D}) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_5]$ (this can be checked via [32, Theorem 2.4]) and, in particular, the corresponding $(\mathbb{C}^\ast)^2$-variety $X = X(\mathcal{D})$ is equivariantly isomorphic to

$$\{(x_1, \ldots, x_5) \in \mathbb{C}^5 \mid x_1 x_3 - x_2^2 = x_4 x_5 - 1 = 0\}$$

with torus action given by

$$(\lambda, \mu) \cdot (x_1, \ldots, x_5) = (\lambda x_1, \lambda \mu x_2, \lambda \mu ^2 x_3, \mu ^2 x_4, \mu ^{-2} x_5) \text{ for } (\lambda, \mu) \in (\mathbb{C}^\ast)^2.$$

Note that $t = \frac{x_3}{x_1 x_4}$ and the rational quotient is given by $(x_1, \ldots, x_5) \mapsto (x_3 : x_1 x_4)$. Now the orbit $E = \{(0, 0, 0, x_4, x_5) \in \mathbb{C}^5 \mid x_4 x_5 - 1 = 0\}$ is the image of the exceptional locus of the contraction map and has isotropy groups equal to $\mathbb{C}^\ast \times \mu_2(\mathbb{C})$. 


Lemma 3.6. The sequence in a special fiber of $V$ variety a Zariski closure of a set of the form $Y$.

Proof. Let us prove that the filtration with respect to these strata defines a stratification that makes the image $\tilde{\tau}$ of $\star$ a stratification. It is clear that the general orbits of $\pi^{-1}(E)$ are mapped onto $E$ via a double covering.

We will use the following lemma later.

**Lemma 3.5.** The image $E$ of the exceptional locus of the contraction map $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ has finitely many $\mathbb{T}$-orbits.

**Proof.** This follows from the fact that the torus action is of complexity one (we recall that the complexity of a torus action is the codimension of the general orbits). Indeed, let $E_1$ be an irreducible component of $E$ (which is automatically stable by the torus action). Then by [47, Theorem 5.7], we know that the complexity of the torus action on $E_1$ is 0 or 1. Let us assume that the complexity is 1. Using Lemma 3.1, the preimage $E_2$ of $E_1$ under the contraction map has complexity 2, a contradiction with loc. cit. Thus, the complexity of the torus action on $E_1$ is 0 and the finiteness of the set of orbits of $E$ follows.

3.2. **Constructing a stratification.** Next, we construct a natural stratification associated with the morphism $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$. If $n$ is the complex dimension of the torus, then for $0 \leq d \leq n + 1$ we write $\text{CS}_d(\tilde{X})$ for the set of closed subsets $S$ in $\tilde{X}$ satisfying the following conditions. The set $S$ is a Zariski closure of a set of the form $Y' \times O$, where $O$ is a $(d - 1)$-dimensional orbit of the toric variety $V$ (this class of sets is not considered if $d = 0$), or a $d$-dimensional orbit closure contained in a special fiber.

**Lemma 3.6.** The sequence $X_\bullet$ of closed subsets

$$\emptyset = X_{-1} \subseteq X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq X_{n+1} = \tilde{X},$$

where $X_d = \bigcup_{S \in \text{CS}_d(\tilde{X})} S$, defines a stratification that makes $\tilde{X}$ a pseudo-manifold in the sense of [24, Section 1.1]. In addition, the image $\pi_* X_\bullet$ of the filtration $X_\bullet$ by $\pi$ leads to a stratification on $X$, and $\pi$ is a topological fibration with respect to these strata.

**Proof.** Let us prove that the filtration $X_\bullet$ gives rise to a stratification. It is clear that $X_{n+1} \setminus X_n$ is dense in $\tilde{X}$. Furthermore, for every $d$ the subset $X_d \setminus X_{d-1}$ is the disjoint union of a finite set of orbits of dimension $d$ and sets of the form $O \times Y'$, where $O$ is an orbit of dimension $d - 1$. So $X_d \setminus X_{d-1}$ is smooth.

Assume that $X_d \setminus X_{d-1} \neq \emptyset$ for $0 \leq d \leq n + 1$. Let us fix an $x \in X_d \setminus X_{d-1}$. Then there are two possibilities.

1. The point $x$ belongs to an orbit of dimension $d - 1$, contained in the fiber $F_y$ for some $y \in Y'$. Then consider an open neighborhood of $x$ in $\tilde{X}$ as a product $V_x = V_{y,Y} \times V_{x,F_y}$, where $V_{y,Y}$ is an open neighborhood of $y$ in $Y$ such that $V_{y,Y} \cap Z = \emptyset$ and $V_{x,F_y}$ is an open neighborhood of $x$ in $F_y$. Reducing $V_{y,Y}$ and $V_{x,F_y}$ if necessary, we may suppose that $V_{y,Y} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$ and $V_{x,F_y} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{(d-1)} \times c^0(L)$ (as pseudo-manifolds), where $c^0(L)$ is the cone of a stratified space $L$ with real dimension $2(n - (d - 1)) - 1$. The last isomorphism is induced from the natural stratification of the toric variety $F_y \simeq V$ in which the strata consist of orbits of the same dimension.
(2) The point \( x \) belongs to an orbit of dimension \( d \), contained in \( F_y \) for some \( y \in Z \). Using that \( \tilde{X} \) is toroidal, we may embed \( F_y \) into a Zariski open subset of \( \tilde{X} \), which is étale to an open set of an affine toric variety. It follows from the toric structure that there is an open neighborhood \( W \) of \( x \) which is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{R}^{2d} \times c^0(L) \), where \( L \) is a stratified space \( L \) of real dimension \( 2(n + 1 - d) - 1 \).

This shows that \( X_\bullet \) defines a stratification.

The map \( \pi \) is a topological fibration since its restriction on each connected component of \( X_d \setminus X_{d-1} \) is an étale morphism or a fibration with fiber \( Y_0 \) which is locally trivial for the étale topology (see Lemma 3.1). Finally, from this one deduces that \( \pi_*X_\bullet \) also provides a stratification, proving our lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.** The contraction map \( \pi : \tilde{X} \to X \) is semi-small, i.e., the inequality \( \dim X - \dim \pi_*X_d \geq 2 \dim \pi^{-1}(x) \) holds for every integer \( d \) such that \( 0 \leq d \leq n + 1 \) and any point \( x \in \pi(X_d) \) lying in the stratum.

**Proof.** Let \( x \in X \). By Lemma 3.1, the real dimension of \( \pi^{-1}(x) \) is either 0 or 2. Suppose that the real dimension of \( \pi^{-1}(x) \) is 2 and let \( O_x \) be the orbit of \( x \). Then \( O_x \) is a connected component of a stratum of \( \pi_*X_\bullet \). Given \( y \in Y_0 \), let \( O_y \subseteq \tilde{X} \) be the orbit such that \( \gamma(O_y) = \{ y \} \) and \( \pi(O_y) = O_x \). Hence the complex codimension of \( O_x \) is \( \text{codim}_X O_x = 1 + \text{codim}_{F_y} O_y \geq 2 \) which proves that \( \pi \) is semi-small.

**Remark 3.8.** Recall that a relevant stratum of \( \pi_*X_\bullet \) is a connected component \( S_d \) of a stratum \( \pi_*X_\bullet \setminus \pi_*X_{d-1} \) such that the equality \( \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - \dim S_d) = \dim \pi^{-1}(x) \) holds for some \( x \in \pi(S_d) \). Actually, such a stratum is either the big open stratum \( \pi_*X_{n+1} \setminus \pi_*X_n \), or the codimension-two torus orbits in \( E \subseteq X \).

### 4. Decomposition Theorem

In this section, we focus on the proof of Theorem 1.1. We keep the same notations as in Section 3. Namely \( \pi : \tilde{X} \to X \) is the contraction map of our \( \mathbb{T} \)-variety \( X \), the morphism \( \gamma : \tilde{X} \to Y_0 \) is the surjective global quotient map, and \( V \) is its general fiber. We also assume that \( Y_0 \) is a complete curve.

**4.1. Local slices.** Our first aim is to describe the local structure of the contraction map (see 4.2). We start first with some preparations.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( G \) be a reductive connected linear algebraic group acting on an affine variety \( B \) and assume that the algebra of invariants \( \mathbb{C}[B]^G \) is equal to \( \mathbb{C} \). Then \( B \) has exactly one closed orbit, and if \( H \) is the isotropy group of any point of this orbit, then there exists an action of \( H \) on an affine reduced scheme \( B_0 \) of finite type over \( \mathbb{C} \) such that the following assertions hold.

1. \( B_0 \) contains exactly one closed orbit, which is a fixed point, and any orbit closure in \( B_0 \) contains this point. In particular, \( B_0 \) is connected.
2. We have a homogeneous fiber space decomposition \( B \simeq G \times^H B_0 \). In particular, if \( B \) is normal, then \( B_0 \) is normal and irreducible.

**Proof.** The proof can be found in [39, Section 6.7].

Now we apply the preceding result in the special case of torus actions of complexity one.
Lemma 4.2. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve and let $\mathfrak{D} \in \text{PPDiv}_\mathbb{Q}(C, \sigma)$. Denote by $R = A(C, \mathfrak{D})$ the associated algebra and by $B = \text{Spec} R$ the associated $\mathbb{T}$-variety. Consider the following sublattices

$$M_1 = \{m \in M \mid \{m, -m\} \subseteq \sigma'\} \quad \text{and} \quad M' = \{m \in M_1 \mid R_m \neq \{0\},$$

where $R_m$ is the graded piece of $R$ of degree $m$. Also set $N_0 = \{v \in N \mid \langle m, v \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } m \in M_1\}$. Pick a point $x$ in the unique closed orbit $O$ of $B$. Then we have the isomorphisms

$$O \simeq \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{T}x \simeq \text{Hom}(M', \mathbb{C}^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{T}_x^0 \simeq \text{Hom}(M_1, \mathbb{C}^*).$$

Moreover, since $N_0$ is saturated in $N$, we may consider a direct sum decomposition $N = N_0 \oplus N_1$ giving rises to a torus decomposition $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_{N_0} \times \mathbb{T}_{N_1}$. Then, with the notations of Lemma 3.1, we have an identification $\mathbb{T}_O \simeq \mathbb{T}_{N_1}$ and a homogeneous fiber space decomposition $B \simeq \mathbb{T}_O \times^{\mathbb{T}_x} B_1$, where $B_1$ is a normal affine $\mathbb{T}_O$-variety.

Proof. The vector space generated by $M_1$ in $M_\mathbb{Q}$ is a face of $\sigma'$. From this, one sees that $I = \bigoplus_{m \in (\sigma' \cap M) \setminus M_1} R_m$ is an ideal of $R$ whose quotient is isomorphic to $R' = \bigoplus_{m \in M'} R_m$. Using the properness conditions on $\mathfrak{D}$, one observes that each piece $R_m$ for $m \in M'$ is one-dimensional and therefore $R'$ is the algebra of regular functions of $O$. The quotient map

$$\varepsilon : B \simeq \mathbb{T} \times^{\mathbb{T}_x} B_1 \to \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{T}_x \simeq O$$

from Lemma 4.1 is actually given by the inclusion of rings $R' \subseteq R$. But also note that $R' = R_{\mathbb{T}_{N_0}}$ by the very definition of $N_0$, and so $\varepsilon$ is the global quotient for the $\mathbb{T}_{N_0}$-action on $B$. Remarking that $\varepsilon$ is $\mathbb{T}_{N_1}$-equivariant and that $\mathbb{T}_{N_1}$ transitively acts on $O$ with stabilizers isomorphic to $\Gamma_x$, one obtains that $\varepsilon$ induces the homogeneous fiber space decomposition $B \simeq \mathbb{T}_O \times^{\mathbb{T}_x} B_1$, as required. \qed

Corollary 4.3. With the same notation of Lemma 4.2, the point $x \in O$ has connected stabilizers if and only if for every $m \in \sigma' \cap M$ such that $\min_{v \in \text{deg}(\mathfrak{D})} \langle m, v \rangle = 0$, the divisor $\mathfrak{D}(m)$ is principal.

Proof. Self evident. \qed

4.2. Local structure of the contraction map. We now deal with the initial notations from the beginning of Section 3. In the sequel we will fix an orbit $O \subseteq E$ of the image of the exceptional locus of the contraction map $\pi$. For any $y \in Y_0$ we denote by $O_y$ the $\mathbb{T}$-orbit in $\pi^{-1}(O)$ mapping onto $y$ via the quotient map. Let us consider the subset

$$\tilde{X}_O := \{z \in \tilde{X} \mid O_{\gamma(z)} \subseteq \mathbb{T} \cdot z\}.$$ 

Our local structure result can be expressed as follows.

Lemma 4.4. The subset $\tilde{X}_O$ is a Zariski dense open subset of $\tilde{X}$, and an orbit $O' \subseteq \tilde{X}_O$ is closed in $\tilde{X}_O$ if and only if $O' \subseteq \pi^{-1}(O)$. There exists a homogeneous fiber space decomposition $\tilde{X}_O \simeq \mathbb{T}_O \times^{\mathbb{T}_x} \tilde{X}_1$ which gives rise to a Cartesian commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{X}_O \simeq \mathbb{T}_O \times^{\mathbb{T}_x} \tilde{X}_1 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X}_1/\Gamma_x \\
\pi \downarrow & & \pi_1 \downarrow \\
X_O \simeq \mathbb{T}_O \times^{\mathbb{T}_x} X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_1/\Gamma_x 
\end{array}$$

Here the horizontal arrows are Seifert torus bundles (see Definition 2.6) and the vertical arrows are proper morphisms. The image $X_O = \pi(\tilde{X}_O)$ is affine Zariski open in $X$ and has $O$ as unique closed orbit. Moreover, if we denote again by $x$ the image of $x$ in $X_1/\Gamma_x$, then the preimage of $x$ under $X_O \to X_1/\Gamma_x$ is $O$. 


Proof. From the definition of $\tilde{X}_O$, we remark that for general $y \in Y_0$ the set $F_y \cap \tilde{X}_O$ is an affine $\mathbb{T}$-stable Zariski open subset of the toric variety $V$ that does not depend on $y$. Let $\sigma$ be the corresponding cone in the fan of $V$. From the description of $X$ in terms of divisorial fans in [3, Theorems 5.3, 5.6], we may find a $\mathbb{T}$-stable Zariski open subset $X_0$ of $\tilde{X}$ which is the relative spectrum of a $\sigma$-polyhedral divisor $D$ with locus $Y_0$ and containing $\pi^{-1}(O)$. Strictly speaking, we choose $\mathcal{D}$ to be exactly the polyhedral divisor corresponding to the hyperface associated with the orbit closure $O \subseteq X$ in the sense of [17, Theorem 16.19] (see also the discussion at the end of Section 2.1). Using the dictionary between orbit closures and face relations from loc. cit. and the definition of $\tilde{X}_O$, we get $\tilde{X}_O = X_0$.

We prove the existence of the decomposition $\tilde{X}_O \approx \mathbb{T}_O \times^{\Gamma_x} \tilde{X}_1$. By the description of $\tilde{X}_O$ in terms of hyperfaces, one sees that the image $X_O = \pi(\tilde{X}_O)$ is open, affine and $\mathbb{T}$-stable in $X$ and has $O$ as unique closed orbit. Now applying Lemma 4.2 we get a homogeneous fiber space decomposition $X_O \approx \mathbb{T}_O \times^{\Gamma_x} X_1$ and a $\mathbb{T}_O$-equivariant map $\varepsilon : X_O \to \mathbb{T}_O / \Gamma_x$ (note that we choose a decomposition $\mathbb{T} \approx \mathbb{T}_N \times N_1$ in $\mathbb{T}$ and use the identification $\mathbb{T}_O \approx N_1$). Hence by composing we have a $\mathbb{T}_O$-equivariant map $\tilde{X}_O \to \mathbb{T}_O / \Gamma_x$ yielding the desired decomposition $\tilde{X}_O \approx \mathbb{T}_O \times^{\Gamma_x} \tilde{X}_1$ (see Remark 3.2). By construction, it follows that $X_1 = \varepsilon^{-1}(x)$ and $X_1 = \pi^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}(x))$. Hence the morphism $\pi_1 : \tilde{X}_1 / \Gamma_x \to X_1 / \Gamma_x$ is induced by restriction of the contraction map $\pi$. It is then clear that we have a Cartesian commutative diagram as in the statement. \qed

Lemma 4.5. Consider the decomposition given by Lemma 4.4, where $O$ is an orbit in the image $E$ of the exceptional locus of $\pi$. Assume that the stabilizers of $O$ are connected and set $r = \dim O$. Then we have the isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{H}^j(\pi_*IC_{\tilde{X}})_O \approx \mathcal{H}^{j+r}((\pi_1)_*IC_{\tilde{X}_1})_x \otimes Q_O.$$  

In particular, each restriction of the sheaf $\mathcal{H}^j(\pi_*IC_{\tilde{X}})$ on every orbit is constant.

Proof. The idea of the proof is inspired by the one of Bernstein and Lunts in [10, Lemma 5.15]. By Lemma 4.4 we have the Cartesian commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{X}_O & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & \tilde{X}_1 \\
\pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_1 \\
X_O & \xrightarrow{q} & X_1,
\end{array}
$$

where the horizontal arrows $p$ and $q$ are the natural projection the second factor. Indeed, the connectedness of stabilizers of the points of $O$ implies that the group $\Gamma_x$ is trivial in the description of loc. cit. Now, since $p$ is a smooth morphism with fibers of dimension $r$, we have that $IC_{\tilde{X}} \approx p^*IC_{\tilde{X}_1}[r]$. This follows from the results in [9, Section 4.2] by adapting [11, Lemma 2.4] for smooth morphisms. Using proper base change (see [20, Theorem 2.3.26]), it follows that

$$\mathcal{H}^j(\pi_*IC_{\tilde{X}})_O \approx \mathcal{H}^j(\pi_*p^*IC_{\tilde{X}_1}[r])_O \approx \mathcal{H}^j(i^*q^*(\pi_1)_*IC_{\tilde{X}_1}[r]),$$

where $i : O \to X$ is the inclusion. Finally, we remark that the composition $q \circ i$ is the projection on $O$ onto the point $x$ of $X_1$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{H}^j(i^*q^*(\pi_1)_*IC_{\tilde{X}_1}[r]) \approx \mathcal{H}^{j+r}((\pi_1)_*IC_{\tilde{X}_1})_x \otimes Q_O,$$

as expected. \qed

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (i) Geometrically, one can take for each orbit $O \subseteq E$ and each point $x \in E$ the quotient by the group $\Gamma_x \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ in the commutative diagram of Lemma 4.4. This has the effect to
change, in this diagram, the Seifert torus bundles by Zariski trivial torsors with fibers isomorphic to 
\( \mathbb{T}_O/\mathbb{T}_x \) (see Lemma 2.7). Thus the image of \( O \) in the resulting new \( \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{T}_x \)-variety \( X/\mathbb{T}_x \) have trivial stabilizers. Repeating this process for each orbit \( O \), we get the desired commutative diagram of Theorem 1.1 (i).

Algebraically, one can alternatively construct the group \( G \) of 1.1 (i) by taking a finite index
sublattice \( M_0 \) of the lattice \( M \). The lattice \( M_0 \) has the property that for any \( \sigma \)-polyhedral divisor \( \mathcal{D} \)
having complete locus in the divisorial fan \( \mathcal{D} \) defining \( X \) and any \( m \in M_0 \), we have \( \mathcal{D}(m) \) principal whenever we have \( \min_{e \in \mathcal{D}_0}(m, v) = 0 \) (see Corollary 4.3). Then we set \( G = \text{Hom}(M/M_0, \mathbb{C}^*) \) and consider the natural \( G \)-actions on \( X \) and \( \tilde{X} \).

Now for the comparison with the Betti numbers, we have, according to Kirwan [30, Lemma 2.12],
the isomorphisms
\[
IH^j(X; \mathbb{Q})^G \simeq IH^j(X/G; \mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{and} \quad IH^j(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q})^G \simeq IH^j(\tilde{X}/G; \mathbb{Q}),
\]
see also [23, Proposition 5.1] for a detailed proof communicated by MacPherson. Moreover, since the
action of \( G \) extends to the action of the connected Lie group \( \mathbb{T} \), the actions of \( G \) on the vector spaces
\( IH^j(X; \mathbb{Q}) \) and \( IH^j(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \) are trivial. Indeed, as explained in [30, Lemma 2.12], one may reduce to
the case where \( X \) and \( \tilde{X} \) are manifolds by taking equivariant resolutions of singularities and applying
the decomposition theorem (see Theorem 2.4) for these maps. Doing this reduction, the triviality of
the actions of \( \mathbb{T} \) (and therefore of \( G \)) on \( H^j(X; \mathbb{Q}) = IH^j(X; \mathbb{Q}) \) and \( H^j(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q}) = IH^j(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \)
follows by adapting [18, Proposition 6.4] for cohomology with rational coefficients. This ends the
proof of Part (i).

(ii) We assume that the stabilizers of the points of \( E \) are connected. Also we may and do assume
that the global quotient \( Y_0 \) is a complete curve (otherwise the contraction map is an isomorphism
and the theorem follows). The decomposition theorem (see Theorem 2.4) yields an isomorphism
\[
\pi_*IC_{\tilde{X}} \simeq IC_X \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I}(\iota_\alpha)_*IC_{V_\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_\alpha)[-d_\alpha],
\]
where \( I \) is a finite set, \( V_\alpha \) are irreducible smooth Zariski locally closed subvarieties, the map \( \iota_\alpha : \tilde{V}_\alpha \to X \) is the natural inclusion, \( d_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \), and \( \mathcal{L}_\alpha \) is a semi-simple local system on \( V_\alpha \). As \( \pi|_{\pi^{-1}(X\setminus E)} \)
is an isomorphism, it follows that \( (\pi_*IC_{\tilde{X}})_{|X\setminus E} \simeq IC_{X\setminus E} \) and \( V_\alpha \subseteq E \) for any \( \alpha \). This implies that
\( \tilde{V}_\alpha = \cup_{O\subseteq \tilde{O}(E)}O \cap \tilde{V}_\alpha \).

Note that \( E \) has finitely many orbits (see Lemma 3.5). This last remark implies that \( \tilde{V}_\alpha \subseteq \tilde{O} \)
for some orbit \( O \) in \( E \). Let \( O_\alpha \) be an orbit of minimal dimension in \( E \) such that \( \tilde{V}_\alpha \subseteq \tilde{O}_\alpha \). Then
\( O_\alpha \cap \tilde{V}_\alpha \neq \emptyset \). Indeed, if not, the subvariety \( \tilde{V}_\alpha \) would be contained in the orbit closure of the boundary of \( O_\alpha \) and this would contradict the minimality of \( O_\alpha \). Moreover, applying Lemma 4.5 the sheaf \( H^{-\dim V_\alpha}((\iota_\alpha)_*IC_{\tilde{V}_\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_\alpha)) \) is constant on \( O_\alpha \) and the restriction \( H^{-\dim V_\alpha}((\iota_\alpha)_*IC_{V_\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_\alpha))|_{V_\alpha} \simeq \mathcal{L}_\alpha \)
is locally constant. So the sheaf \( H^{-\dim V_\alpha}((\iota_\alpha)_*IC_{\tilde{V}_\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_\alpha)) \) is locally constant on \( V_\alpha \cup O_\alpha \). This implies that
\[
O_\alpha \subseteq \text{Supp}(H^{-\dim V_\alpha}((\iota_\alpha)_*IC_{\tilde{V}_\alpha}(\mathcal{L}_\alpha))) \subseteq \tilde{V}_\alpha.
\]
Consequently \( \tilde{O}_\alpha = \tilde{V}_\alpha \). This shows Assertion (ii) of Theorem 1.1

(iii) For the last part of Theorem 1.1 one uses the decomposition theorem for semi-small maps
(see Theorem 2.5). So we automatically assume that \( \tilde{X} \) is rationally smooth. Also remember that
\( \pi \) is a semi-small map (see Lemma 3.7). The fibers of \( \pi \) are irreducible according to Lemma 3.1 and
therefore the local systems involving in the decomposition theorem are all trivial (see Condition
Example 4.6. Complete $\mathbb{C}^*$-surfaces (see also [21]). Assume that $\dim X = 2$. Then $\tilde{X}$ is rationally smooth and $E$ is a finite set with at most two elements. From Theorem [1.1] it follows that
\[
\dim_q IH^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) = \dim_q H^i(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 3, 4 \text{ and } \dim_q IH^2(X; \mathbb{Q}) = \dim_q H^2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q}) - |E|.
\]
Note that the comparison between the intersection cohomology Betti numbers of $X$ and $\tilde{X}$ can be obtained from the comparison between the formulae in Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.4 of [21], where the set of elliptic fixed points defined in loc. cit. is precisely the set $E$.

5. Betti numbers

This section aims to establish Theorem [5.22] which describes the intersection cohomology Betti numbers of any complete normal $\mathbb{T}$-variety of complexity one. In order to do this, we will prove diverse results on intersection cohomology with torus action (see Sections [5.2], [5.3], [5.4]). Recall that for every algebraic variety $Z$ we write
\[
P_Z(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} b_i(Z)t^i, \text{ where } d = \dim Z \text{ and } b_i(Z) = \dim IH^i(Z; \mathbb{Q}),
\]
and call the polynomial $P_Z(t)$ the Poincaré polynomial of $Z$. Also note that, for the remainder of the paper, we will go on to use the notation on $\mathbb{T}$-varieties of Section [2.1]. We start with some preliminaries on the combinatorics and the topology of toric varieties.

5.1. Fans and intersection cohomology. It is known (see for instance [41], [10], [22]) that the intersection cohomology of an $n$-dimensional complete toric $\mathbb{T}$-variety $V$ with fan $\Sigma = \Sigma_V$ (defined on $N_Q$) can be expressed in terms of $h$-vectors, namely that $P_V(t)$ is determined by two relations
\[
P_V(t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} (t^2 - 1)^{n-\dim \sigma} P_\sigma(t), \text{ and } P_\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} \tau_{\leq d-1}((1 - t^2)P_{\sigma}(t)) & \text{if } d \geq 3 \\ 1 & \text{if } d \leq 2. \end{cases}
\]
Here
\[
P_\sigma(t) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \dim_q H^{j-n}(IC_V)_{x_\sigma} t^j
\]
is the local Poincaré polynomial, $d = \dim \sigma$, $x_\sigma$ is a point in the orbit associated with $\sigma$, and the corresponding affine toric variety of $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is, after removing the torus factor, an affine cone over the projective toric variety $Z_\sigma$ (for the torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$). Finally $\tau_{\leq d-1}$ stands for the truncation of polynomials to degrees $\leq d - 1$. These two formulae recursively define the $h$-numbers $h_i(\Sigma_V) := \dim_q IH^{2i}(V; \mathbb{Q})$. In particular,
\[
\dim_q IH^{2i+1}(V; \mathbb{Q}) = 0 \text{ for any } i, \text{ and thus } P_V(t) = h(\Sigma; t^2) := \sum_{i=0}^{n} h_i(\Sigma)t^{2i}.
\]

We now pass to the definition of the $g$-polynomials. The projective toric variety $Z_\sigma$ corresponds to a polytope $Q = Q_\sigma$ in the sense that the defining fan of $Z_\sigma$ is the normal fan $\Sigma_Q$ associated with $Q$. For any $1 \leq i \leq d$, we let $h_i(Q) := h_i(\Sigma_Q)$. We define the $g$-invariants of $Q$ by setting $g_0(Q) := h_0(Q)$ and $g_i(Q) = g_i(\sigma) := h_i(Q) - h_{i-1}(Q)$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor d/2 \rfloor$ and $d \geq 3$. If $d \leq 2$, then we let $g_0(Q) = 1$ and $g_i(Q) = 0$ for $i > 0$. In particular, we see from above that the $g$-polynomial $g(Q; t^2) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} g_i(Q)t^{2i}$ coincides with the local Poincaré polynomial $P_\sigma(t)$. For any face $E$ of $Q$, there is a polytope $Q/E$ such that the poset of its faces is isomorphic to the poset of faces of $Q$. Finally, the analysis of the supports comes from the study of the relevant strata in [3, 8]. This finishes the proof of the theorem.
which contain $E$ (see [11]). Moreover, according to [11] Section 2, Proposition 2, if $F$ is a face of $E$, then there exist unique polynomials $g(E, F; t^2)$ such that

$$g(\sigma; t^2) = g(Q; t^2) = \sum_{F < E < Q} g(E, F; t^2)g(Q/E; t^2),$$

where here $<$ is the face relation. Coefficients of $g(E, F; t^2)$ are denoted by $g_i(E, F)$ and are usually called relative $g$-invariants. Observe that $g(Q, t^2) = g(Q, Q; t^2)$. As there is a one-to-one correspondence between faces of $\sigma$ and faces of $Q$, a similar notation for relative $g$-invariants with respect to the faces of $\sigma$ will be used.

We now recall the main result in [1]. In this paragraph, we consider a normal $\mathbb{T}$-variety $X$ of complexity one associated with a divisorial fan $\mathcal{E}$ over $(Y, N)$. We assume moreover that $X$ is contraction-free (i.e., all the loci of elements of $\mathcal{E}$ are affine) and complete. We denote by $\Sigma(\mathcal{E})$ the smallest fan containing all the tails of each element of $\mathcal{E}$. To every point $y \in Y$ we consider the fan $\mathcal{E}_y$ of $N_\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ generated by the cones

$$C_y(\mathcal{D}) := \text{Cone}(\{\sigma_i \times \{0\} \cup (\mathcal{D}^t_i \times \{1\})))$$

for any $i \in I$. Also, let $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{E}) = \{y \in Y \mid \mathcal{D}_y \neq \sigma_i \text{ for some } i \in I\}$.

The following result determines the intersection cohomology Betti numbers in the contraction-free case. This was made possible thanks to the work of de Cataldo, Migliorini, and Mustaţă [15] on the topology of toric fibration (see [1] Theorem 1.2[1]). Remark that another way to compute it, this time in terms of the topology of the fibers of the quotient map, might be to use the results in [14].

**Theorem 5.1.** [1 Theorem 1.1] Let $X$ be a complete contraction-free normal $\mathbb{T}$-variety with defining divisorial fan $\mathcal{E}$ over the smooth complete curve $Y$. Let $\rho_g(Y)$ be the genus of $Y$ and let $r$ be the cardinality of the finite set $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{E})$. Then

$$P_X(t) = ((1 - r)t^2 + 2\rho_g(Y)t + 1 - r)\delta(\Sigma(\mathcal{E}); t^2) + \sum_{y \in \text{Supp}(\mathcal{E})} h(\mathcal{E}_y; t^2).$$

**Remark 5.2.** Note that [1 Theorem 1.1] is only stated in the case where $X$ is projective. Nevertheless, the proof of loc. cit. extends to the complete case. Indeed, the usual Betti numbers of smooth complete varieties can be described via $E$-polynomial methods. Thus, Theorem 5.1 is obtained by adapting Proposition 3.2 and Lemmata 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 of [11] in terms of $h$-invariants of complete fans.

**Example 5.3.** *Returning to the case of complete $\mathbb{C}^*$-surfaces* (see also [21]). Assume that $X$ is a complete normal $\mathbb{C}^*$-surface given by a divisorial fan over the complete curve $Y$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the divisorial fan of the contraction space $\tilde{X}$. By combining Example 4.6, Theorem 5.1 and [22] Section 1, Remark iii) we get the concrete formula

$$P_X(t) = ((1 - r)t^2 + 2\rho_g(Y)t + 1 - r)(t^2 + 1) - |E|t^2$$

$$+ \sum_{y \in \text{Supp}(\mathcal{E})} (t^4 + (\delta(\mathcal{E}_y) - 2)t^2 + 1),$$

where $\delta(\mathcal{E}_y)$ is the number of rays of the fan $\mathcal{E}_y$ and the set $E \subseteq X$ is the image of the exceptional locus of the contraction map. Actually, $|E|$ coincides with the number of polyhedral divisors in $\mathcal{E}$.

\[\text{In the statement of [11] Theorem 1.2] (Page 166, Line 1) the expression “perverse sheaves” is inappropriate and should be replaced by “constructible complexes of sheaves”}.\]
defined in the whole curve $Y$ and having maximal tail. For instance, let us take the divisorial fan $\mathcal{N}$ over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ generated by $\{\mathcal{D}^1, \mathcal{D}^2, \mathcal{D}^3\}$, where

$$\mathcal{D}^1 = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{\infty\}} \mathcal{D}^1_y \cdot [y]$$

with $\mathcal{D}^1_0 = [-1, 0]$ and $\mathcal{D}^1_y = \{0\}$ for any $y \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0, \infty\}$;

$$\mathcal{D}^2 = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathcal{D}^2_y \cdot [y]$$

with $\mathcal{D}^2_\infty = [1/2, \infty[$ and $\mathcal{D}^2_y = [0, \infty[\text{ for any } y \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{\infty\}$;

$$\mathcal{D}^3 = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathcal{D}^3_y \cdot [y]$$

with $\mathcal{D}^3_\infty = ]-\infty, -1]$, $\mathcal{D}^3_\infty = ]-\infty, 1/2]$ and $\mathcal{D}^3_y = ]-\infty, 0]$ for any $y \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0, \infty\}$. Then, denoting by $X(\mathcal{N})$ the complete $\mathbb{C}^*$-surface associated with $\mathcal{N}$, we obtain from above that

$$P_{X(\mathcal{N})}(t) = \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{t} - |E|t^2 + \sum_{y \in \{0, \infty\}} t^4 + (\delta(\tilde{N}_y) - 2)t^2 + 1).$$

Note that $\delta(\tilde{N}_0) = 5$, $\delta(\tilde{N}_\infty) = 4$, and $|E| = 2$. Therefore $P_{X(\mathcal{N})}(t) = t^4 + t^2 + 1$. The surface $X(\mathcal{N})$ is in fact isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ (compare [6, Example 2.2.3]).

5.2. Isolated attractive fixed points. For a variety $X$ equipped with an action of the torus $T$, a fixed point $x \in X^T$ is attractive if all the weights for the $T$-action on the tangent space $T_xX$ are contained in an open half space. We refer to [12, Proposition A2] for other characterizations of the notion of attractive fixed point. As a by-product, we give a formula for the intersection cohomology Betti numbers of any normal affine $T$-variety of complexity one having a unique attractive fixed point. We start with a known lemma yielding a comparison between global and local intersection cohomologies around an attractive fixed point locus.

Lemma 5.4. [19, Lemma 6.5] Let $X$ be a normal affine $T$-variety of complexity one with a unique attractive fixed point $x$. Then

$$IH^j(X; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^{j-\dim X}(IC_X)_x \text{ holds for any } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Furthermore, let $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}(\mathcal{D})$ be a normal $\mathbb{T}$-variety of complexity one defined as the relative spectrum of the sheaf of a polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D} \in \text{PPDiv},(Y,\sigma)$ over a smooth projective curve $Y$, where the tail $\sigma$ is assumed to be full-dimensional. Take $u \in N$ in the relative interior of the tail cone $\sigma$ of $\mathcal{D}$. In this way, $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\tilde{X}$ via the one-parameter subgroup $\lambda^u : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{T}$.

Choose $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ so that $\tilde{X}_d = \tilde{X}/\mu_d(\mathbb{C})$ (where $\mu_d(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^*$ acts by restriction) has stabilizers of the form $\{1\}$ or $\mathbb{C}^*$. Then

$$\tilde{X}^T \simeq \tilde{X}^{C^*}_d \simeq Y$$

and we have the isomorphisms

$$IH^j(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^j(\tilde{X}_d, \tau^*IC_{\tilde{X}_d}[-\dim \tilde{X}_d]),$$

where $\iota : \tilde{X}^{C^*}_d \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}_d$ is the inclusion.

Proof. The first assertion is a direct consequence of [15, Lemma 4.2], which is an adaptation of [19, Lemma 6.5] for complex numbers. For the second assertion, we proceed as follows. In view of [30, Lemma 2.12], we may write $\tilde{X}$ for $\tilde{X}_d$ where $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is chosen as in the statement. By assumption, the action $\mathbb{C}^* \times \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$ extends to a morphism $h : \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$ such that

$$h^{-1}(\tilde{X}^{C^*}) = (\{0\} \times \tilde{X}) \cup (\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times \tilde{X}^{C^*})$$
and the morphism
\[ \mathbb{C}^* \times \tilde{X}_0 \to \mathbb{C}^* \times \tilde{X}_0, (t, z) \mapsto (t, t \cdot z) \]
is an automorphism, where \( \tilde{X}_0 \) is the set \( \tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{X}^c \). Considering the inclusion \( j : \tilde{X}_0 \to \tilde{X} \), by
adjunction, we only need to show that
\[ \mathbb{H}^*(\tilde{X}, j_!(IC_{\tilde{X}_0})) = 0. \]

Let \( g : \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{C} \times \tilde{X} \) be defined as \( g(x) = (1, x) \) so that \( h \circ g = \text{id}_{\tilde{X}} \) and therefore the composition
\[ \mathbb{H}^*(\tilde{X}, j_!(IC_{\tilde{X}_0})) \to \mathbb{H}^*(\mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{C} \times \tilde{X}, h^*j_!(IC_{\tilde{X}_0})) \to \mathbb{H}^*(\tilde{X}, g^*h^*j_!(IC_{\tilde{X}_0})) \]
is an isomorphism. Hence it suffices to have that \( \mathbb{H}^*(\tilde{X}, h^*j_!(IC_{\tilde{X}_0})) = 0 \). Let \( a : \mathbb{C}^* \times \tilde{X}_0 \to \mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{C} \times \tilde{X} \) be the inclusion. Note that we have a Cartesian commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{C}^* \times \tilde{X}_0 & \xrightarrow{h_0} & \tilde{X}_0 \\
\downarrow a & & \downarrow j \\
\mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{C} \times \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{h} & \tilde{X}
\end{array}
\]
where \( h_0 : \mathbb{C}^* \times \tilde{X}_0 \to \tilde{X}_0 \) is induced by the \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-action. So by base change (see \([20\text{ ] Theorem 2.3.26}]\) we have \( h^*j_! \simeq a_!h_0^* \). Moreover, as \( \mathbb{C}^* \) acts on the complex of sheaves \( IC_{\tilde{X}_0} \), we get that
\[ h_0^!(IC_{\tilde{X}_0}) \simeq \text{proj}_2^!(IC_{\tilde{X}_0}) \simeq IC_{\mathbb{C}^* \times \tilde{X}_0}[-1] \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^*} \boxtimes IC_{\tilde{X}_0}. \]
Therefore it follows that
\[ h^*j_!(IC_{\tilde{X}_0}) \simeq a_!h_0^!(IC_{\tilde{X}_0}) \simeq a_!(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^*} \boxtimes IC_{\tilde{X}_0}) \simeq j^!_!(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^*}) \boxtimes j_!(IC_{\tilde{X}_0}), \]
where \( j^! : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{C} \) is the inclusion. Since \( \mathbb{H}^*(\mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{C}, j^!_!(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^*})) = 0 \), we conclude, using the K"unneth’s formula that \( \mathbb{H}^*(\tilde{X}, h^*j_!(IC_{\tilde{X}_0})) = 0 \), as required. \( \square \)

**Example 5.5.** Let us illustrate Lemma \( 5.4 \) with a concrete example. We consider the blow-up \( \tilde{X} \) of \( \mathbb{A}^2_\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^2 \) at the origin. In terms of polyhedral divisors, we are looking at \( \mathcal{D} \) over \( \mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{C} \), the lattice \( N \) is \( \mathbb{Z} \), and we have the conditions
\[ \mathcal{D} = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}_y \cdot [y] \text{ with } \mathcal{D}_y = \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \text{ if } y \neq 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_0 = \{1\} + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}. \]
The contraction map \( \pi : \tilde{X} \to X \) for \( \mathcal{D} \) is the blow-up map. If \( V \simeq \mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{C} \) is the exceptional locus, then the pair \((V, \tilde{X})\) is locally isomorphic for the Zariski topology to the pair \((\mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{C} \times \{0\}, \mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{C})\) and therefore (compare with \([19\text{ ] 5.3.1}]\), we have \( IC_{\mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{C}} \simeq IC_V \simeq IC_{\tilde{X}_{[-1]}|V}. \) Note that
\[ \tilde{X}^c = V. \]
So by Lemma \( 5.4 \) we get from the equalities
\[ \mathbb{H}^i(V, (IC_{\tilde{X}})|_V) = \mathbb{H}^{i+1}((\mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{C}, IC_{\mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{C}}), \]
the following expressions
\[ H^i(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q}) = IH^i(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{H}^{i-2}(V, (IC_{\tilde{X}})|_V) \simeq \mathbb{H}^{i-1}((\mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{C}, IC_{\mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{C}}) \simeq H^i(\mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Q}), \]
which is the expected result since \( \tilde{X} \) is the total space of the line bundle \( \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{C}}(-1) \).
Let $X = X(\mathfrak{D})$ be a normal affine $\mathbb{T}$-variety of complexity one with a unique attractive fixed point. This condition means that $\mathfrak{D}$ is defined over a smooth projective curve $Y$ and that the dual of its tail $\sigma^y$ is strictly convex. Choose $u \in \mathbb{N}$ a primitive element in the relative interior of $\sigma$ and consider the embedding $\lambda^u : \mathbb{C}^* \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ attached to it. From this, after dividing by a finite group action, the variety $X(\mathfrak{D})$ becomes an affine cone over a projective $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$-variety $X(\mathfrak{D}^u)$ that we now exhibit (see \cite[Section 5], \cite[Section 4, Remark]). Denote by $M = M' \times \mathbb{Z}$, $N = N' \times \mathbb{Z}$ the decomposition constructed by the torus complement of the image of the embedding $\lambda^u$. For any $v \in N'_Q \times \mathbb{Q}$ write $\pi_1(v) \in N'_Q$ and $\pi_2(v) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for the first and the second components, and for every $y \in Y$, consider the map $\theta_y : N'_Q \to \mathbb{Q}$ defined via the relation $-\theta_y(v) = \min \pi_2(\pi_1^{-1}(v) \cap \mathfrak{D}_y)$. The divisorial fan $\mathfrak{D}^u$ on $(Y, N')$ is the one arising from the piecewise affine map

$$N'_Q \to \text{CaDiv}(Y), v \mapsto \sum_{y \in Y} \theta_y(v) \cdot [y].$$

In other words, if $\mathfrak{D}^u = \{ \mathfrak{D}^u_i \mid i \in I \}$, then the faces of the polyhedra $\mathfrak{D}^u_i$ are loci where the map $\theta_y$ is affine. The affine property on $\mathfrak{D}^u_i$ means that there are $c_{y,i} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $m_{y,i} \in M'_Q$ such that for any $v \in \mathfrak{D}^u_i$ we have that $\theta_y(v) = c_{y,i} + \langle m_{y,i}, v \rangle$. More precisely, by \cite[Proposition 5.1]{27} the subdivision generated by $\{ \mathfrak{D}^u_i \mid i \in I \}$ is equal to the coarsest polyhedral subdivision containing all the $\pi_1(\Delta)$, where $\Delta$ runs over the faces of $\mathfrak{D}_y$. Moreover, we add the following rule. Each polyhedral divisor $\mathfrak{D}^u_i$ with maximal tail $\sigma_u,i$ and with the condition that $(\theta_y)_{|\sigma_u,i}(0)$ is principal (i.e., the sum $\sum_{y \in Y} \langle m_{y,i}, [y] \rangle$ is a principal divisor) is defined over the whole curve $Y$. This also applies for those polyhedral divisors having their tail intersecting $\deg \mathfrak{D}^u_i$ (the other being defined over an affine open subsets of $Y$).

**Proposition 5.6.** Let $X(\mathfrak{D})$ be an affine $\mathbb{T}$-variety of complexity one corresponding to a polyhedral divisor $\mathfrak{D} \in \text{PPDiv}_\mathbb{Q}(Y, \sigma)$ over a smooth projective curve $Y$. Assume that $X(\mathfrak{D})$ has a unique attractive fixed point and set $n = \dim \mathbb{T}$. Consider, as previously, a presentation where $X(\mathfrak{D})/\mu_d(\mathbb{C})$ is an affine cone over $X(\mathfrak{D}^u)$ and assume that $n \geq 2$. Then

$$P_{X(\mathfrak{D})}(t) = \tau_{\leq n}((1 - t^2)P_{X(\mathfrak{D}^u)}(t)),$$

where $\tau_{\leq n}$ is the truncation to degrees $\leq n$.

**Proof.** As $\mu_d(\mathbb{C})$ acts by restriction of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action, the global and local intersection cohomologies are unchanged by the quotient of $\mu_d(\mathbb{C})$ (see \cite[Lemma 2.12]{30}). So we may assume that $X(\mathfrak{D})$ is an affine cone over $X(\mathfrak{D}^u)$. We conclude by combining Lemma 5.4 and \cite[Lemma 2.1]{22}. \hfill $\square$

**Example 5.7.** Affine $\mathbb{C}^*$-surfaces with a unique attractive fixed point. Let $X = X(\mathfrak{D})$ be a normal affine $\mathbb{C}^*$-surface with polyhedral divisor $\mathfrak{D}$ defined over a smooth projective curve $Y$. Using the argument of the proof of Proposition 5.6, we have that

$$P_X(t) = \tau_{\leq 1}((1 - t^2)P_Y(t)) = 1 + 2\rho_g(Y)t.$$

**Example 5.8.** Affine $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$-threefolds with a unique attractive fixed point. Let $X = X(\mathfrak{D})$ be a normal affine $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$-threefold with a unique fixed point, where $\mathfrak{D}$ is a polyhedral divisor defined over the smooth projective curve $Y$. Then the variety $X(\mathfrak{D}^u)$ is a normal projective $\mathbb{C}^*$-surface and using Example 5.3 and Proposition 5.6 we have the formula

$$P_X(t) = (1 - r - |E^u|)t^2 + 2\rho_g(Y)t + 1 + \sum_{y \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{D}^u)}(\delta(\mathfrak{D}^u_y) - 3)t^2,$$
where $X(\delta^u)$ is the total space of the contraction map of $X(\delta^u)$, and the set $E^u \subseteq X(\delta^u)$ is the image of the exceptional locus. Note that we always have $|E^u| \leq 2$, and if $|E^u| = 2$, then the definition of divisorial fans imposes that one of the $\delta(\delta^u)$ is greater than 4. This ensures that the coefficient in $t^2$ of the formula is non-negative.

Let us take a concrete example. Set $\sigma := \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \subseteq N_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}^2$ and assume that $\mathcal{D}$ is the polyhedral divisor over $Y = \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by the conditions

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) + \sigma, \quad \mathcal{D}_\infty = [(0, 1), (1, 0)] + \sigma, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_y = \sigma \text{ for any } y \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0, \infty\}.$$ 

Then, in this case, the variety $X(\mathcal{D})$ for $u = (1, 1)$ is obtained by gluing the relative spectra of the sheaves associated with the polyhedral divisors

$$\mathcal{D}^{u,1} = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{D}_y^{u,1} \cdot [y] \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{D}_\infty^{u,1} = [-1, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_y^{u,1} = \{0\} \quad \text{for any} \quad y \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0, \infty\};$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{u,2} = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathcal{D}_y^{u,2} \cdot [y] \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{D}_\infty^{u,2} = [1, \infty] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_y^{u,2} = [0, \infty] \quad \text{for any} \quad y \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{\infty\};$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{u,3} = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathcal{D}_y^{u,3} \cdot [y] \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{D}_\infty^{u,3} = [-\infty, -1] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_y^{u,3} = [-\infty, 0] \quad \text{for any} \quad y \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}.$$ 

Using our formula, we arrive at $P_X(t) = 1 + (\delta(\delta^u) - 3)t^2 = 2t^2 + 1$, which can also be deduced by remarking that $X = X(\mathcal{D})$ is isomorphic to the 3-dimensional affine toric variety associated with the cone

$$\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}(1, 1, 2) + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}(1, 0, -1) + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}(0, 1, -1) + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}(0, 1, 0) + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}(1, 0, 0) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^3.$$ 

5.3. Case of a single closed orbit contained in any orbit closure. In this section, we provide a formula for the intersection cohomology Betti numbers of any normal affine $\mathbb{T}$-variety $X$ of complexity one with the condition $\mathbb{C}[X]^\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{C}$. These $\mathbb{T}$-varieties correspond to proper polyhedral divisors over smooth projective curves. First, we start with the following definition.

Definition 5.9. A polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D} \in \text{PPDiv}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y, \sigma)$ with $Y$ a smooth projective curve is said to be decomposable if for any $m \in \sigma^Y \cap M$ the divisor $\mathcal{D}(m)$ is principal whenever $\min_{v \in \text{deg}(\mathcal{D})}(m, v) = 0$.

The next lemma justifies our terminology of decomposable polyhedral divisors.

Lemma 5.10. Let $Y$ be a smooth projective curve and let $\mathcal{D} \in \text{PPDiv}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y, \sigma)$. Let $O$ be the unique closed orbit of $X(\mathcal{D})$ which is contained in any orbit closure. Assume that $\mathcal{D}$ is decomposable or equivalently that the stabilizers of the points of $O$ are connected. Then there exist a lattice decomposition $N = N_0 \oplus N_1$, a strictly convex polyhedral cone $\sigma' \subseteq N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with dimension equal to the rank of $N_0$, a polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}' \in \text{PPDiv}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y, N, \sigma')$ such that $\mathcal{D}_y' \subseteq N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for any $y \in Y$ and a $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant isomorphism $A(Y, \mathcal{D}) \simeq A(Y, \mathcal{D}')$ giving rise to an isomorphism of polyhedral divisors $\mathcal{D} \simeq \mathcal{D}'$. This latter means that there exists a group morphism $\delta : M \to \mathbb{C}(Y)^*$, an isomorphism of lattices $\psi : M \to M$ sending $\sigma^Y \cap M$ to $(\sigma')^Y \cap M$ and an automorphism $\varphi : Y \to Y$ such that

$$\varphi^*(\mathcal{D}(m)) = \mathcal{D}'(\psi(m)) + \text{div}(\delta(m)) \quad \text{for any} \quad m \in \sigma^Y \cap M,$$

where $\varphi^*$ is the corresponding pullback of $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisors.

Proof. This follows from the isomorphism $X \simeq \mathbb{T}_O \times X_1$ given by Lemma 4.2 and the result 2 Theorem 8.8].

□
**Definition 5.11.** Let $Y$ be a smooth projective curve and let $\mathcal{D} \in \text{PPDiv}_\mathbb{Q}(Y, \sigma)$ be a decomposable polyhedral divisor. Even if it is defined up to isomorphism, we denote by

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}} \in \text{PPDiv}_\mathbb{Q}(Y, N_0, \sigma)$$

the induced polyhedral divisor from the decomposition of Lemma 5.10 with ambient lattice $N_0$ and whose coefficients are equal to the ones of $\mathcal{D}'$. The polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}$ will be called an *associated pointed polyhedral divisor* of $\mathcal{D}$. We also call *corank* of the decomposable polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}$ the rank of the lattice $N_1$ in Lemma 5.10.

**Theorem 5.12.** Let $X = X(\mathcal{D})$ be an affine $\mathbb{T}$-variety, where $\mathcal{D} \in \text{PPDiv}_\mathbb{Q}(Y, \sigma)$ is a polyhedral divisor over a smooth projective curve $Y$. Let $M_0$ be a sublattice of $M$ of finite index such that for any $m \in M_0$, the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\mathcal{D}(m)$ is principal whenever

$$\min_{v \in \deg(\mathcal{D})} \langle m, v \rangle = 0.$$

Then the polyhedral divisor

$$\mathcal{D}' \in \text{PPDiv}_\mathbb{Q}(Y, \text{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(M_0, \mathbb{Z}), \sigma),$$

which is $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to the lattice $M_0$, is decomposable and we have the formula for the Poincaré polynomial

$$P_X(t) = (1 + t)^s P_{X(\mathcal{D}')} (t),$$

where $\mathcal{D}'$ is the associated pointed polyhedral divisor of $\mathcal{D}'$ and $s$ is its corank (note that $P_{X(\mathcal{D}')} (t)$ can be computed via Proposition 5.6). Moreover, for any point $x$ lying in the unique closed orbit $O$ of $X$, the local Poincaré polynomial of $X$ at $x$ is $P_{X(\mathcal{D}')} (t)$.

**Proof.** As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, the local and global intersection cohomology Betti numbers do not change if we substitute $M$ by $M_0$. From Lemma 4.2 we therefore have

$$X/G \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^s \times X(\mathcal{D}'),$$

where $G = \text{Hom}(M/M_0, \mathbb{C}^*)$ and thus by the Kunneth’s formula $P_X(t) = P_{X/G}(t) = (1 + t)^s P_{X(\mathcal{D}')} (t)$. The other assertion for the local intersection cohomology is straightforward. □

**Example 5.13.** We consider the polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}$ over $\mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{C}$ of Example 3.4 with non-trivial coefficients $\mathcal{D}_0 = (1, \frac{1}{2}) + \sigma$, $\mathcal{D}_\infty = (0, -\frac{1}{2}) + \sigma$ and tail $\sigma = \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \times \{0\}$, and the sublattice

$$M_0 = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | n \in 2\mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq M = \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Substituting $M$ by $M_0$, we get a decomposable polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}'$ such that we can take $\bar{\mathcal{D}}'$ over $\mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{C}$ with the following conditions. The tail of $\bar{\mathcal{D}}'$ is $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{D}}'$ has only one non-trivial coefficient which is the coefficient $\{1\} + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ at the origin. Therefore $X(\bar{\mathcal{D}}')$ is isomorphic to the complex plane $\mathbb{A}^2_\mathbb{C}$ and from Theorem 5.12 we get

$$P_{X(\mathcal{D})} (t) = (1 + t) P_{\mathbb{A}^2_\mathbb{C}} (t) = 1 + t.$$

5.4. **Computing with $\gamma$-invariants.** We are working with the normal affine $\mathbb{T}$-variety $\bar{X}(\mathcal{D})$ equal to the relative spectrum of the sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-algebras

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{m \in \sigma' \cap M} \mathcal{O}_Y(\mathcal{D}(m)),$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is a polyhedral divisor over a smooth projective curve $Y$ having full-dimensional tail. Our aim is now to determine the intersection cohomology Betti numbers of $\bar{X}(\mathcal{D})$ in terms of $\gamma$-invariants of certain polyhedral cones (see Theorem 5.18 for a precise statement).
For every algebraic variety $S$ over $\mathbb{C}$, we will let $\mathcal{IC}_S := IC_S[-\dim S]$ so that

$$IH^j(S; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{H}^j(S, \mathcal{IC}_S)$$

for any index $j$ such that $0 \leq j \leq 2\dim S$. We start by recalling a classical result.

**Lemma 5.14.** ([11] Sections 4,6) Let $\sigma \subseteq N_\mathbb{Q}$ be a full-dimensional strictly convex polyhedral cone and consider the associated toric variety $X_\sigma$. Let $V(\tau)$ be the orbit closure of $X_\sigma$ corresponding to a face $\tau$ of $\sigma$. Then

$$i^*_\tau \mathcal{IC}_{X_\sigma} \simeq \bigoplus_{\beta < \tau} \bigoplus_{\sigma \geq 0} (i_\beta)_* \mathcal{IC}_{V(\beta)}[-2j],$$

where $i_\beta : V(\beta) \to V(\tau)$ denotes the natural inclusion. Here the $g_j(\beta, \tau)$’s are the relative $g$-invariants associated with the pair $(\beta, \tau)$ (see Section 5.1).

Our idea is to generalize Lemma 5.14 in the context of complexity-one torus actions. We say that a constructible complex of sheaves $F \in D^b_c(Z)$ on an algebraic variety $Z$ is pure if there is an isomorphism $F \simeq \bigoplus_{i(\alpha)} \mathcal{IC}_{V_{\alpha}}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha})[d_{\alpha}]$, where $V_{\alpha}$ is an irreducible Zariski closed subvariety of $Z$, $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$ is a simple local system defined on a Zariski dense open subset of the regular locus of $V_{\alpha}$, $d_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $i(\alpha) : V_{\alpha} \to Z$ stands for the natural inclusion.

**Lemma 5.15.** Set $\Gamma := \tilde{X}(\mathfrak{D})^T$ and let $\iota : \Gamma \to \tilde{X}(\mathfrak{D})$ be the inclusion. Then $\iota^* \mathcal{IC}_{X(\mathfrak{D})}$ is pure.

Before proving Lemma 5.15, one needs some preparations.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a divisorial fan over $(Y, N)$ and assume that any element of $\mathcal{E}$ has affine locus. For any $y \in Y$, we denote by $\Sigma_y(\mathcal{E})$ the fan of $N_\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ generated by the Cayley cones

$$C_y(\mathfrak{D}) := \text{Cone}(\{(\sigma \times \{0\}) \cup (\mathfrak{D}_y \times \{1\})\}),$$

where $\mathfrak{D}$ runs over $\mathcal{E}$. Let $\phi : U_y \to V_0$ be a surjective étale morphism such that $U_y \subseteq Y$ and $V_0 \subseteq \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ are Zariski open subsets, $y \in U_y$, $0 \in V_0$, $\phi^{-1}(0) = \{y\}$ and $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{E}) \cap U_y = \{y\}$. Such a morphism $\phi$ exists since $Y$ is smooth. We denote by $\mathcal{E}(\phi, y)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{E}(\phi, y)_{\text{tor}}$) the divisorial fan over $(U_y, N)$ (respectively, $(V_0, N)$) generated by the polyhedral divisors

$$\mathfrak{D}_{|U_y} := \sum_{z \in U_y} \mathfrak{D}_z \cdot [z] \text{ respectively, } \mathfrak{D}_{\phi} := \sum_{z \in U_y} \mathfrak{D}_z \cdot [\phi(z)],$$

where $\mathfrak{D}$ runs over $\mathcal{E}$. Note that the $\mathbb{T}$-variety $X(\mathcal{E}(\phi, y)_{\text{tor}})$ is Zariski open dense inside the toric variety associated to the fan $\Sigma_y(\mathcal{E})$.

**Lemma 5.16.** We have a $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant isomorphism

$$X(\mathcal{E}(\phi, y)_{\text{tor}}) \times_{V_0} U_y \simeq X(\mathcal{E}(\phi, y))$$

and the natural morphism

$$\eta : X(\mathcal{E}(\phi, y)) \to X(\mathcal{E}(\phi, y)_{\text{tor}})$$

is an étale morphism.

**Proof.** For the first claim, one needs to prove that the divisorial fan structures are the same on both sides. We may assume that $\mathcal{E}(\phi, y)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\phi, y)_{\text{tor}}$ have only one element. Doing this reduction, one concludes by comparing the discrete $\mathbb{T}$-invariant valuations on the function field that are centered in $X(\mathcal{E}(\phi, y)_{\text{tor}}) \times_{V_0} U_y$ and in $X(\mathcal{E}(\phi, y))$ (see [36] Lemma 4.4). The last claim follows from the fact that étaleness is invariant under base change. $\square$
Proof of Lemma \[5.15\] We follow the ideas developed in \[11\] Sections 5, 6] for the toric case and adapt them for torus actions of complexity one. Let $u \in N$ be a lattice vector belonging to the relative interior of the tail cone $\sigma$. Then $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\bar{X}(\mathfrak{D})$ via the one-parameter subgroup associated with $u$. For each $y \in Y$ we consider the fan
\[
\Sigma_y = \Sigma_y^u \text{ of } N \times \mathbb{Q} \text{ with support } C_y(\mathfrak{D}) := \text{Cone}((\sigma \times \{0\}) \cup (\mathfrak{D}_y \times \{1\}))
\]
defined as follows. It is spanned by the cones of the form $\tau + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}u$, where $\tau$ runs over all faces of $C_y(\mathfrak{D})$ that does not contain $\sigma$.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a divisorial fan over $(Y, N)$ whose polyhedral divisors are defined over smooth affine curves, and such that for any $y \in Y$ the family $(C_y(\mathcal{E}'))_{\mathcal{E}' \in \mathcal{E}}$ generates the fan $\Sigma_y$. From the combinatorics of divisorial fans, one may construct a natural $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant birational proper morphism
\[
p : X(\mathcal{E}) \to \bar{X}(\mathfrak{D}),
\]
which is an isomorphism over $\bar{X}(\mathfrak{D}) \setminus \Gamma$. Note that $Z := p^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is a prime divisor of $X(\mathcal{E})$.

Let $X(\mathcal{E}(\phi, y)) \subseteq X(\mathcal{E})$ be the Zariski open subset of Lemma \[5.16\], where by construction we have $\Sigma_y(\mathcal{E}) = \Sigma_y$. Let $\alpha$ be the least common multiple of the lattice indices $[N : N \cap \text{span}(\tau) + u\mathbb{Z}]$, where $\tau$ runs through all the faces of the fans $\Sigma_z$ not containing $\sigma$, and $z$ runs through all the points of $U_y$. The lattice
\[
N + (u/\alpha)\mathbb{Z} \subseteq N \mathbb{Q}
\]
gives rise to a torus $\mathbb{T}'$. We denote by $G_\mu$ the kernel of the natural map $\mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}'$. According to \[11\] Proposition 16, the quotient $X(\mathcal{E}(\phi, y)_{\text{tor}})/G_\mu$ is a line bundle over $\eta(Z \cap X(\mathcal{E}(\phi, y)))/G_\mu$, where $\eta$ is the étale morphism
\[
\eta : X(\mathcal{E}(\phi, y)) \to X(\mathcal{E}(\phi, y)_{\text{tor}})
\]
of Lemma \[5.16\]. In particular, $Z/G_\mu$ identifies with $Z$ and the pair $(X(\mathcal{E})/G_\mu, Z)$ is locally isomorphic to $(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{C} \times Z, \{0\} \times Z)$ for the étale topology. Hence we get
\[
\eta^*\mathcal{I}C_{X(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \mathcal{I}C_{Z}\text{ where } j : Z \to X(\mathcal{E})
\]
is the natural inclusion (compare \[19\] 5.3.1]). Now consider the Cartesian commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z & \xrightarrow{q} & X(\mathcal{E}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
\Gamma & \xrightarrow{i} & \bar{X}(\mathfrak{D})
\end{array}
\]

where $q$ is the restriction of $p$ on the subset $Z$. We follow the proof of \[11\] Theorem 10. Since $p$ and $q$ are proper morphisms, by base change (see \[20\] Theorem 2.3.26]) we have that
\[
q_*j^*\mathcal{I}C_{X(\mathcal{E})} \simeq \imath^*p_*\mathcal{I}C_{X(\mathcal{E})}.
\]

By the preceding step and using the decomposition theorem (see Theorem \[2.4\]), the left-hand side of this identity is pure. Using again the decomposition theorem, this time, for the map $p$, we observe that $\imath^*\mathcal{I}C_{\bar{X}(\mathfrak{D})}$ is a summand of $\imath^*p_*\mathcal{I}C_{X(\mathcal{E})}$ (as $p$ is birational) having a complement. Finally, we conclude the proof of the lemma by using \[11\] Lemma 15, which implies that $\imath^*\mathcal{I}C_{\bar{X}(\mathfrak{D})}$ is pure. \(\Box\)

**Lemma 5.17.** There is an isomorphism
\[
\imath^*\mathcal{I}C_{\bar{X}(\mathfrak{D})} \simeq \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \left( \mathcal{I}C_{\Gamma}^{g_j(\sigma)}[-2j] \oplus \bigoplus_{y \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{D})} (i_y)_*\mathcal{I}C_{\{y\}}^{g_j(C_y(\mathfrak{D}), \sigma)}[-2j] \right),
\]
where \( \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{D}) = \{ y \in Y \mid \mathfrak{D}_y \neq \sigma \} \), \( C_y(\mathfrak{D}) := \text{Cone}((\sigma \times \{0\}) \cup (\mathfrak{D}_y \times \{1\})) \), \( \Gamma := \tilde{X}(\mathfrak{D})^\mathbb{T} \), and \( i_y : \{ y \} \to \Gamma \), \( i : \Gamma \to \tilde{X}(\mathfrak{D}) \) are the natural inclusions.

**Proof.** Since both sides are pure objects (see Lemma 5.15), by [20, Remark 2.5.3] it suffices to establish the isomorphism over Zariski open subsets that cover \( \Gamma \). We identify \( \Gamma \) with the base curve \( Y \). Let \( y \in Y \). Lemma 5.16 provides the Cartesian commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U_y & \xrightarrow{i_{U_y}} & X(\mathfrak{D}_{\mid U_y}) \\
\phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\
V_0 & \xrightarrow{i_{V_0}} & X(\mathfrak{D}_\phi)
\end{array}
\]

Consequently,

\[(i^*IC_{\tilde{X}(\mathfrak{D})})_{\mid U_y} \simeq i_{U_y}^* IC_{X(\mathfrak{D}_{\mid U_y})} \simeq i_{U_y}^* \eta^* IC_{X(\mathfrak{D}_\phi)} \simeq \phi^* i_{V_0}^* IC_{X(\mathfrak{D}_\phi)}.\]

Here the second isomorphism is a consequence of the identification

\[\eta^* IC_{X(\mathfrak{D}_{\mid U_y})} \simeq IC_{X(\mathfrak{D}_{\mid U_y})},\]

which is due to the fact that \( \eta \) is étale (see [9, Section 4.2] and [1, Lemma 2.4] for more details). The last isomorphism is obtained by remarking that we may compose the pull-back derived functors (compare [20, Remark 2.3.8]). Using Lemma 5.14 and \( X(\mathfrak{D}_\phi) \) is embedded into the toric variety \( X_{C_y(\mathfrak{D})} \) associated with \( C_y(\mathfrak{D}) \), it follows that

\[i_{V_0}^* IC_{X(\mathfrak{D}_\phi)} \simeq \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \left( IC_{\mathfrak{D}_0}^{\oplus g_j}[-2j] \oplus (i_0)_* IC_{\mathfrak{D}_y}^{\oplus g_j(\sigma)}[-2j] \right).\]

Note that the Zariski closure of the image of the fixed point locus of \( X_{C_y(\mathfrak{D})} \) for the \( \mathbb{T} \)-action by the étale map \( \eta \) is exactly the \( \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{C}^* \)-orbit closure associated with the cone \( \sigma \subseteq C_y(\mathfrak{D}) \). Again applying \( \phi^* \), we arrive at

\[(i^*IC_{\tilde{X}(\mathfrak{D})})_{\mid U_y} \simeq \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \left( IC_{\mathfrak{D}_0}^{\oplus g_j}[-2j] \oplus \bigoplus_{y \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{D})} (i_y)_* IC_{\mathfrak{D}_y}^{\oplus g_j(\sigma)}[-2j] \right)_{\mid U_y}.\]

Finally, we conclude by observing that we may cover \( \Gamma \) by Zariski open subsets of the form \( U_y \). This finishes the proof of the lemma. \( \square \)

The following result determines the Poincaré polynomials of certain relative spectra associated to a polyhedral divisor defined over a smooth projective curve.

**Theorem 5.18.** Let \( \mathfrak{D} \) be a polyhedral divisor over the smooth projective curve \( Y \) and assume that the tail \( \sigma \) of \( \mathfrak{D} \) is full-dimensional. Let \( \tilde{X}(\mathfrak{D}) \) be the relative spectrum of the sheaf associated with \( \mathfrak{D} \). Then

\[P_{\tilde{X}(\mathfrak{D})}(t) = (t^2 + 2\rho_g(Y)t + 1 - r) \cdot g(\sigma; t^2) + \sum_{y \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{D})} g(C_y(\mathfrak{D}); t^2),\]

where \( r \) is the cardinality of \( \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{D}) \), \( \rho_g(Y) \) is the genus of \( Y \), and

\[C_y(\mathfrak{D}) := \text{Cone}((\sigma \times \{0\}) \cup (\mathfrak{D}_y \times \{1\}))\]

for any \( y \in Y \). Here \( g(\sigma; t^2) \) and \( g(C_y(\mathfrak{D}); t^2) \) are respectively the \( g \)-polynomials associated with the cones \( \sigma \) and \( C_y(\mathfrak{D}) \) (see Section 5.7).
Proof. The proof of theorem follows from Lemma 5.17 (after dividing by a finite subgroup of \( \mathbb{T} \)), from the isomorphisms

\[
IH^j(\tilde{X}(\mathcal{D}); \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^j(\Gamma, i^*IC_{\tilde{X}(\mathcal{D})})
\]

for any \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \), provided by Lemma 5.4 and by the equality

\[
g(C_y(\mathcal{D}), \sigma; t^2) = g(C_y(\mathcal{D}); t^2) - g(\sigma; t^2)
\]

(see the comment after [11, Section 2, Proposition 2]). \( \square \)

5.5. Main result. In this section, we gather all our computations that we previously did in order to describe the multiplicities in the decomposition theorem for the contraction map (Theorem 5.22). Before that, we spend some times on the combinatorics of posets (see [42] for details). Let \( (\mathcal{P}, \leq) \) be a finite poset and set

\[
\text{Int}(\mathcal{P}) = \{(a, b) \in \mathcal{P}^2 | a \leq b\}.
\]

By incidence algebra \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P}) \) of the poset \( \mathcal{P} \) we mean the set of functions \( \alpha : \text{Int}(\mathcal{P}) \to \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}] \) endowed with the convolution product

\[
(\alpha \ast \beta)(a, b) = \sum_{a \leq c \leq b} \alpha(a, c) \cdot \beta(c, b).
\]

This product is associative and admits an element 1, which is the restriction of the Kronecker symbol to \( \text{Int}(\mathcal{P}) \). Observe further that (from the proof of [42, Proposition 3.6.2]) the element \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P}) \) is invertible if and only if the image of the diagonal by \( \alpha \) in \( \mathcal{P}^2 \) is contained in \( (\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])^\times \).

We will use the following inversion formula. Let \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P}) \) be an invertible element and consider two maps \( \varepsilon, \eta \) from \( \mathcal{P} \) to \( \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}] \) subject to the relation

\[
\varepsilon(a) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{P}, b \leq a} \alpha^{-1}(b, a) \cdot \eta(b) \quad \text{for every } a \in \mathcal{P}.
\]

Then \( \eta(b) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{P}, a \leq b} \alpha(a, b) \cdot \varepsilon(a) \) for every \( b \in \mathcal{P} \).

We again get back to the situation of the introduction. We have a normal variety \( X \) with a faithful \( \mathbb{T} \)-action of complexity one attached to a divisorial fan \( \mathcal{E} \) over \( Y \), the map \( \pi : \tilde{X} \to X \) stands for the contraction map, and the set \( E \subseteq \tilde{X} \) is the image of the exceptional locus of \( \pi \). We also assume that \( X \) is complete. We emphasize that since the action of the torus is of complexity one, the set \( E \) has only a finite number of orbits. We will use the poset isomorphism \( HF(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathcal{O}(E) \) discussed at the end of Section 2.1.

For every \( O \in \mathcal{O}(E) \), we have dealt in Lemma 4.4 with the open subset \( \tilde{X}_O \) and the set \( X_O := \pi(\tilde{X}_O) \), which is an affine Zariski open subset of \( X \). In terms of the combinatorial object \( HF(\mathcal{E}) \), the variety \( \tilde{X}_O \) is nothing but the relative spectrum of the sheaf associated with \( \mathcal{D}_O \), where the torus orbit \( O \in \mathcal{O}(E) \) corresponds to the hyperface \( C = C(\mathcal{D}_O) \). We now introduce certain generating functions which will play an important role later on.

**Definition 5.19.** For each pair \( (O_1, O_2) \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{O}(E)) \) (that is, \( O_2 \subseteq \bar{O}_1 \)) we set

\[
R_{O_1, O_2}(t) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{H}^i(IC_{O_1})_{x_2}t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}],
\]

where \( x_2 \in O_2 \). Note that \( R_{O_1, O_2} \) does not depend on the choice of the point \( x_2 \).
Lemma 5.20. The map
\[ R : \text{Int}(\mathcal{O}(E)) \to \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}], \quad (O_1, O_2) \mapsto R_{O_1, O_2}(t) \]
is an invertible element of the algebra \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}(E)) \). Let
\[ U_{1,2} := \bigcup_{O \text{ orbit of } O_1, O_2 \leq \widehat{O}} O \]
and denote by \( \kappa : X_{1,2} \to U_{1,2} \) the normalization map. Then \( X_{1,2} \) is affine and for any point \( x \in \kappa^{-1}(O_2) \) we have that
\[ R_{O_1, O_2}(t) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{IC}_{X_{1,2}})_x t^i. \]

Proof. The poset isomorphism \( HF(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{O}(E) \) implies that \( U_{1,2} = X_{O_2} \cap \widehat{O} \), where \( X_{O_1} \) is the affine variety defined in Lemma 5.4. Since \( U_{1,2} \) is an affine torus embedding, its normalization \( X_{1,2} \) is an affine toric variety (compare [13, Theorem 1.3.5 and Proposition 1.3.8]). Note that the toric variety \( X_{1,2} \) has a unique closed orbit \( O_{1,2} \), the preimage \( \kappa^{-1}(O_2) \) is therefore equal to \( O_{1,2} \), and the restriction \( \kappa_{O_{1,2}} : O_{1,2} \to O_2 \) is an étale morphism. Since intersection cohomology is invariant under normalization (see the proof of [25, Corollary 8.2.31]), it follows that
\[ \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{IC}_{O_1})_{x_2} \cong \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{IC}_{U_{1,2}})_{x_2} \cong \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{IC}_{X_{1,2}})_x, \]
where \( x \) is a point of \( O_{1,2} \). Indeed, take any Zariski open subset \( U \subseteq X_{1,2} \) containing \( x \) such that \( \kappa^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap U = \{x\} \). Set \( V = \kappa(U) \) (which is a Zariski open subset of \( U_{1,2} \)). Then from [25, Corollary 8.2.31] and using proper base change, we arrive at
\[ \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{IC}_{U_{1,2}})_{x_2} \cong \mathcal{H}^i(i^*_x I_{\mathcal{C}_V}) \cong \mathcal{H}^i(i^*_x \kappa_* IC_U) \cong \mathcal{H}^i(i^*_x IC_U) \cong \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{IC}_{X_{1,2}})_x, \]
where \( i_x : \{x\} \to U \) and \( i_{x_2} : \{x_2\} \to V \) are the natural inclusions. This concludes the proof of a statement of the lemma.

In order to prove that \( R \) is invertible, we need to have \( R_{O_1, O_2}(t) \in (\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])^\times \) whenever \( O_1 = O_2 \). So assume that \( O_1 = O_2 \). In this case, \( O_2 \) is the open orbit of \( \widehat{O} \). Therefore
\[ \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{IC}_{O_1})_{x_2} = \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{IC}_{O_2})_{x_2} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = -\dim O_2 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq -\dim O_2. \end{cases} \]
This gives \( R_{O_1, O_2}(t) = t^{-\dim O_2} \), finishing the proof of our lemma. □

Remark 5.21. Note that using the bijection \( HF(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{O}(E) \) and the description of torus orbits in [2, Section 10], one can explicitly describe the toric variety \( X_{1,2} \) in terms of \( \mathcal{E} \). Indeed, using Lemma 3.1 one sees that the normalization of \( X_{O_2} \cap \widehat{O} \), which is \( X_{1,2} \), is up to a finite covering the toric variety for the torus \( \mathbb{T}_{O_1} \) associated to the maximal tail of the hyperfaces of \( C_{O_2} \) in \( HF(\mathcal{E}) \) that contains \( C_{O_1} \). Here \( C_{O_1} \) is the element of \( HF(\mathcal{E}) \) associated with \( O_1 \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}) \). Therefore, according to [19, 22], the Laurent polynomial \( R_{O_1, O_2}(t) \) is a combinatorial object with respect to \( \mathcal{E} \).

Our next result summarizes our computation for determining the multiplicities of the (shifted) simple perverse sheaves involving in the decomposition theorem for the contraction map. In the statement of this theorem, we will consider the notations employed in 5.1. Note that our approach was inspired by [15, Section 7].

Theorem 5.22. Let \( X \) be a complete normal variety with a faithful \( \mathbb{T} \)-action of complexity one. Denote by \( E \subseteq X \) the image of the exceptional locus of the contraction map, and let \( \mathcal{E} \) be the
divisorial fan describing the contraction space $\tilde{X}$. Without loss of generality, we may and do assume (according to $\text{1.1}$ (i)) that the points of $E$ have connected stabilizers. Then

$$P_X(t) = ((1 - r)t^2 + 2\rho(Y)t + 1 - r)h(\Sigma(\delta); t^2) + \sum_{y \in \text{Supp}(\delta)} h(\delta_y; t^2)$$

$$- \sum_{O \in \mathcal{O}(E)} S_O(t)P_O(t)t^{\dim X - \dim O},$$

where $r$ is cardinality of $\text{Supp}(\delta)$ and $S_O(t) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} s_{b,O}t^b$ is the generating function of the multiplicities of Theorem $\text{2.1}$. The Laurent polynomial $S_O(t)$ is obtained by the following inductive procedure. Let $\mathcal{O}(E)$ be the poset of torus orbits of $E$. Let

$$\mathcal{O}(E) \to HF(\delta), \ O \mapsto C(\mathfrak{D}_O)$$

be the poset isomorphism considered at the end of Section $\text{2.1}$, where $\delta$ is a divisorial fan describing $X$. For each $O \in \mathcal{O}(E)$, the polyhedral fan $\mathfrak{D}_O$ is decomposable (see Definition $\text{5.9}$) and we write $\mathfrak{D}_O$ for the associated pointed polyhedral divisor (see Definition $\text{5.11}$). By $\text{5.18}$ we have that

$$P_{\mathfrak{D}_O}(t) = (t^2 + 2\rho(Y) + 1 - r) \cdot g(\sigma_O; t^2) + \sum_{y \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{D}_O)} g(C_y(\mathfrak{D}_O); t^2),$$

where $\sigma_O$ is the tail of $\mathfrak{D}_O$, while by $\text{5.6}$ the polynomial $P_{\mathfrak{D}_O}(t)$ is expressed in terms of the Poincaré polynomial of a combinatorially determined projective $\mathbb{T}$-variety of complexity one of smaller dimension. Finally,

$$S_{O_1}(t) = \sum_{O < O_1} R_{O,O_1}^{-1}(t) \left(P_{\mathfrak{D}_O}(t) - P_{\mathfrak{D}_{O_1}}(t)\right)t^{-\dim X}$$

for any orbit $O_1 \in \mathcal{O}(E)$, where $R_{O,O_1}^{-1}$ denotes the inverse of $R_{O,O_1}$ in the incidence algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}(E))$ of the poset $\mathcal{O}(E)$.

Proof. Our starting point is the isomorphism

$$\pi_*IC_{\tilde{X}} \cong IC_X \oplus \bigoplus_{O \in \mathcal{O}(E)} \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} (t_O)_*IC_O^{\oplus s_{b,O}}[-b]$$

of Theorem $\text{1.1}$ provided by the decomposition theorem. Taking the hypercohomology on both sides gives

$$P_X(t) = P_{\tilde{X}}(t) - \sum_{O \in \mathcal{O}(E)} S_O(t)P_O(t)t^{\dim X - \dim O}.$$

Our first formula follows from Theorem $\text{5.1}$ applied to the complete contraction-free $\mathbb{T}$-variety $\tilde{X}$. For expressing the generating function $S_O$ in our inductive process, we need to look at the stalks of the cohomology sheaves at a point lying in the image of the exceptional locus. More precisely, let $O_2 \in \mathcal{O}(E)$ and pick a point $x_2 \in O_2$. Then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^i(\pi_*IC_X)_{x_2} = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^i(IC_X)_{x_2} + \sum_{O_1 \prec O_2} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} s_{b,O_1} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^{i-b}(IC_{O_1})_{x_2}.$$

By Lemma $\text{4.4}$ there is a Zariski open subset $\tilde{X}_{O_2} \subseteq \tilde{X}$ containing $\pi^{-1}(O_2)$ such that it decomposes as the product $\mathbb{T}_{O_2} \times \tilde{X}(\mathfrak{D}_{O_2})$. Let $X_{O_1}$ be the image of $\tilde{X}_{O_2}$ by the map $\pi$. Then $X_{O_2} \subseteq X$ is also a Zariski open subset and we also have $X_{O_2} \cong \mathbb{T}_{O_2} \times X(\mathfrak{D}_{O_2})$. Therefore replacing $\tilde{X}$ by $\tilde{X}_{O_2}$ and
By virtue of Lemma 5.20, the map

\[ \left( P_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2}(t) - P_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2}(t) \right) t^{-\dim X} = \sum_{O_1 < O_2} R_{O_1,O_2}(t) \cdot S_{O_1}(t). \]

By virtue of Lemma 5.20, the map

\[ R : \text{Int}(\mathcal{O}(E)) \to \mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}], \quad (O_1, O_2) \mapsto R_{O_1,O_2}(t) \]

is invertible in the incidence algebra \( \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}(E)) \). Thus, we conclude by using the inversion formula mentioned in the beginning of Section 5.5. \( \square \)

5.6. The case of threefolds. We now illustrate Theorem 5.22 in the special case of a 3-dimensional complete normal \( \mathbb{T} \)-variety \( X \) of complexity one. Note that here \( \mathbb{T} \) is the torus \((\mathbb{C}^*)^2\) and, as before, we choose a divisorial fan \( \mathcal{E} \) over \((Y,N)\) describing our threefold \( X \). Without loss of generality, we may and do assume that the stabilizers of points of the image \( E \) of the exceptional locus of the contraction map are connected. Note that the orbits of \( E \) are of dimension \( \leq 1 \). For each orbit of dimension 1, the normalization of its Zariski closure in \( X \) is isomorphic to the projective line. Keeping this in mind, we wish to determine the corresponding Laurent polynomial \( S_O(t) \) for every element \( O \in \mathcal{O}(E) \). We consider two cases.

Case 1. Let \( O_2 \in \mathcal{O}(E) \) be a torus orbit of dimension 1. Then, in this case, \( X(\mathcal{D}_{O_2}), \tilde{X}(\mathcal{D}_{O_2}) \) are affine \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-surfaces, and by Theorem 5.18, Lemma 5.20 and Example 5.7, it follows that \( S_{O_2}(t) = 1 \). Indeed, by Theorem 5.18 one has

\[ P_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2}(t) = t^2 + 2 \rho(Y) + 1 \]

and by Example 5.7 one has

\[ P_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2}(t) = 1 + 2 \rho(Y). \]

Therefore

\[ t^{-1} = t^{-3} \left( P_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2}(t) - P_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2}(t) \right) = R_{O_2,O_2}(t)S_{O_2}(t). \]

But from Lemma 5.20 we have \( R_{O_2,O_2}(t) = t^{-1} \), whence \( S_{O_2}(t) = 1 \).

Case 2. Let \( O_2 \in \mathcal{O}(E) \) be a torus orbit of dimension 0. Using the preceding case, we have on the one hand

\[ \sum_{O_1 < O_2} R_{O_1,O_2}(t) \cdot S_{O_1}(t) = R_{O_2,O_2}(t)S_{O_2}(t) + \sum_{O_1 < O_2, O_1 \neq O_2} R_{O_1,O_2}(t) \cdot S_{O_1}(t) \]

\[ = S_{O_2}(t) + \sum_{O_1 < O_2, O_1 \neq O_2} R_{O_1,O_2}(t) = S_{O_2}(t) + \eta_{O_2}t^{-1}, \]

where \( \eta_{O_2} := |\{O_1 \in \mathcal{O}(E) \mid O_1 < O_2 \text{ and } O_1 \neq O_2\}| \). On the other hand

\[ \sum_{O_1 < O_2} R_{O_1,O_2}(t) \cdot S_{O_1}(t) = q_{O_2}(t), \]

where

\[ q_{O_2}(t) := t^{-3} \left( P_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2}(t) - P_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2}(t) \right). \]

So from Equation 1 we have \( S_{O_2}(t) = q_{O_2}(t) - \eta_{O_2}t^{-1} \).
Conclusion. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_2(E)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{O}_3(E)$) the set orbits of $E$ of codimension 2 (respectively, 3) in $X$. Our analysis shows that

$$\sum_{O \in \mathcal{O}_2(E)} S_O(t) P_O(t) t^{\dim X - \dim O} = |\mathcal{O}_2(E)| (t^2 + 1) t^2.$$ 

Moreover, using Poincaré duality, we must have from our computation:

$$\sum_{O \in \mathcal{O}_3(E)} S_O(t) P_O(t) t^{\dim X - \dim O} = \sum_{O \in \mathcal{O}_3(E)} (q_O(t) t^3 - \eta_O t^2) = 0.$$ 

Indeed, observe that each polynomial $q_O(t) t^3 - \eta_O t^2$ is of degree $\leq 2$ (use Example 5.8 and Theorem 5.18). Therefore by Theorem 5.22 and [22, Section 1, Remark iii)] we obtain the following explicit result.

**Theorem 5.23.** Let $X = X(\mathcal{E})$ be any complete normal threefold with a faithful action of $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. Considering the notation set before, we have the formula:

$$P_X(t) = ((1 - r) t^2 + 2 \rho_g(Y) t + 1 - r) (t^4 + (\delta(\Sigma(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})) - 2) t^2 + 1)$$

$$+ \sum_{y \in \text{Supp}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})} (t^6 + (\delta(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_y) - 3) t^4 + (\delta(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_y) - 3) t^2 + 1) - |\mathcal{O}_2(E)|(t^2 + 1) t^2,$$

where $r$ is cardinality of $\text{Supp}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$, the numbers $\delta(\Sigma(\tilde{\mathcal{E}})), \delta(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_y)$ are respectively the numbers of rays of the fans $\Sigma(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}), \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_y$, and $\mathcal{O}_2(E)$ is the set of orbits of $E$ of codimension 2 in $X$.

Let us give a concrete example.

**Example 5.24.** A quadric threefold with a 2-torus action. In this example, we assume that $N = \mathbb{Z}^2$. We take a divisorial fan $\mathcal{E}$ over the projective line $\mathbb{P}_1^\mathbb{C}$ from the classification of Süss in [44, Section 5]. The corresponding variety $X = X(\mathcal{E})$ is a smooth quadric threefold. The support of each polyhedral divisor of $\mathcal{E}$ is contained in the set $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is generated by four polyhedral divisors $\mathcal{D}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{D}^4$ defined over $\mathbb{P}_1^\mathbb{C}$:

1. The $\sigma_1$-polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}^1$ with

$$\mathcal{D}^1_0 = \sigma_1, \quad \mathcal{D}^1_1 = \text{Conv}((0, 0), (0, -1)) + \sigma_1, \quad \mathcal{D}^1_\infty = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) + \sigma_1$$

and $\sigma_1 = \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}(1, 1) + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}(1, -1)$;

2. The $\sigma_2$-polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}^2$ with

$$\mathcal{D}^2_0 = \text{Conv}((-1, 0), (0, 0)) + \sigma_2, \quad \mathcal{D}^2_1 = \{(0, -1)\} + \sigma_2, \quad \mathcal{D}^2_\infty = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) + \sigma_2$$

and $\sigma_2 = \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}(1, -1) + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}(-1, -1)$;
(3) The $\sigma_3$-polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}^3$ with
\[
\mathcal{D}^3_0 = \{(-1,0)\} + \sigma_3, \quad \mathcal{D}^3_1 = \text{Conv}((0,0), (0,-1)) + \sigma_3, \quad \mathcal{D}^3_\infty = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) + \sigma_3
\]
and $\sigma_3 = \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}(-1,1) + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}(-1,-1);

(4) The $\sigma_4$-polyhedral divisor $\mathcal{D}^4$ with
\[
\mathcal{D}^4_0 = \text{Conv}((-1,0), (0,0)) + \sigma_4, \quad \mathcal{D}^4_1 = \sigma_4, \quad \mathcal{D}^4_\infty = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) + \sigma_4
\]
and $\sigma_4 = \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}(-1,1) + \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}(1,1)$.

The divisorial fan is illustrated in Figure 1 where the last diagram represents the degrees of the polyhedral divisors. Clearly, from the diagrams, the $\mathbb{T}$-variety $X$ has 4 fixed points, the Euler characteristic is 4 and using Lefchetz Hyperplane Theorem, $P_X(t) = 1 + t^2 + t^4 + t^6$. Let us check this via Theorem 5.23. First, we have
\[
r = 3, \quad \delta(\tilde{E}_0) = 7, \quad \delta(\tilde{E}_1) = 7, \quad \delta(\tilde{E}_\infty) = 6, \quad \delta(\Sigma(\tilde{E})) = 4, \quad \text{and} \quad |O_2(E)| = 4.
\]

Therefore we obtain
\[
((1-r)t^2 + 2\rho_g(Y)t + 1-r)(t^4 + (\delta(\Sigma(\tilde{E}))-2)t^2 + 1) = -2(t^6 + 3t^4 + 3t^2 + 1),
\]
\[
\sum_{y \in \text{Supp}(\tilde{E})} (t^6 + (\delta(\tilde{E}_y) - 3)t^4 + (\delta(\tilde{E}_y) - 3)t^2 + 1) = 3t^6 + 11t^4 + 11t^2 + 3 \quad \text{and}
\]
\[
-|O_2(E)|(t^2 + 1)t^2 = -4(t^2 + t^4).
\]

Hence adding all the contributions gives the expected result.
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