Jet substructure measurements in heavy-ion collisions with ALICE
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Jet substructure, defined by observables constructed from the distribution of constituents within a jet, provides the versatility to tailor observables to specific regions of QCD radiation phase space. This flexibility provides exciting new opportunities to study jet quenching in heavy-ion collisions and to ultimately help reveal the nature of the quark-gluon plasma. The ALICE detector is particularly well-suited to jet substructure measurements in heavy-ion collisions due to its high-precision tracking system. In these proceedings, we report several new jet substructure measurements in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV with ALICE. These include the first fully corrected measurements of the groomed jet momentum splitting fraction, $z$, and the groomed jet radius, $R_g = R_g / R$, as well as N-subjettiness and the fragmentation distribution of reclustered subjets. These measurements are compared to theoretical calculations and provide new constraints on the physics underlying jet quenching.
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1. Introduction

At the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC), high-energy nuclear collisions produce hot, dense droplets of a phase of matter known as the quark-gluon plasma (QGP), consisting of deconfined quarks and gluons [1]. While the interactions between the quarks and gluons in the QGP are too weak to bind them into nucleons, they remain sufficiently strong to form a strongly coupled liquid. The microscopic structure of this liquid remains unknown, and it is not clear whether quasiparticle degrees of freedom emerge at some scale. Understanding the fundamental degrees of freedom of this strongly coupled system and how they arise from a quantum field theory is one of the major outstanding questions in quantum chromodynamics (QCD).

In order to investigate the microscopic structure of the QGP, one needs to probe it at a variety of length scales. Jets are well suited for this task, since not only can their transverse momentum span a wide range of values but also the internal pattern of particles within jets, known as jet substructure, enables the design of observables to target specific regions of QCD phase space [2]. The study of jet modification in heavy-ion collisions compared to proton-proton collisions, known as jet quenching, has established that jet-medium interactions result in a suppression of jet yields and an excess of soft, wide angle radiation [3–5]. There remain many unknowns in jet quenching theory, however, including the roles of color coherence, medium response, and the space-time picture of the parton shower. Measurements of suitably chosen jet substructure observables aim to test these aspects of jet quenching models, and ultimately provide a path to determine the microscopic nature of the QGP using global fits (see e.g. Ref. [6]).

In these proceedings, we highlight a selection of recent jet substructure results from the ALICE experiment [7]. While ALICE has performed a variety of measurements in proton-proton collisions, which explore the transition from the perturbative to the nonperturbative regimes (see e.g. Ref. [8]), here we focus on measurements in heavy-ion collisions. We emphasize observables that are directly comparable to theoretical models, meaning that they are (i) analytically calculable in pQCD, and (ii) corrected for detector effects and underlying event fluctuations. All results presented utilize jets reconstructed from charged particles at midrapidity using the anti-$k_T$ algorithm [9].

2. Groomed jet observables: $z_g$ and $\theta_g$

Jet grooming techniques have been applied to heavy-ion collisions to explore whether jet quenching modifies the hard substructure of jets [10–20]. The Soft Drop grooming algorithm identifies a single splitting within a jet from a grooming condition $z > z_{\text{cut}} \theta^\beta$, where $z$ is the fraction of transverse momentum carried by the sub-leading prong, $\theta$ is the relative angular distance between the leading and sub-leading prong, and $\beta$ and $z_{\text{cut}}$ are tunable parameters [21–23]. The groomed splitting is then characterized by two kinematic observables: the groomed momentum fraction, $z_g$ [24], and the (scaled) groomed jet radius, $\theta_g$ [25]. By using strong grooming conditions [26], ALICE measured the $z_g$ and $\theta_g$ distributions in heavy-ion collisions. Figure 1 (left) shows that the $z_g$ distribution is not significantly modified in heavy-ion collisions, suggesting that medium-induced radiations are not sufficiently hard to pass the grooming condition. On the other hand, Fig. 1 (right) shows a narrowing of the $\theta_g$ distributions in Pb–Pb collisions relative to pp collisions [27]. These measurements are compared to a variety of jet quenching models [10, 12, 14–16, 28–33].
most of which capture the qualitative narrowing effect observed. This behavior is consistent with models implementing an incoherent interaction of the jet shower constituents with the medium, but is also consistent with medium-modified quark/gluon fractions with fully coherent energy loss – presenting the opportunity for future measurements to disentangle them definitively.

3. Sub-jet fragmentation

In heavy-ion collisions, measurements of reclustered sub-jets have been proposed as sensitive probes of jet quenching [34–36]. We first inclusively reconstruct charged-particle jets with the anti-$k_T$ jet algorithm and jet radius $R$, and then recluster the charged jet constituents with the anti-$k_T$ jet algorithm and sub-jet radius $R < R$. We consider the fraction of transverse momentum carried by the sub-jet compared to the initial jet: $z_r = p_{T, \text{subjet}} / p_{T, \text{jet}}$. Figure 2 (left) shows the distribution of leading sub-jets with $r = 0.1$ for $R = 0.4$ jets in both pp and Pb–Pb collisions. The distributions are compared to theoretical predictions [28–30, 34, 37] that accurately reproduce a mild rising trend of the ratio with $z_r$, which can be attributed to jet collimation. The ratio then falls as $z_r \rightarrow 1$, which may be due to the large quark/gluon fraction at $z_r \rightarrow 1$. These measurements offer an opportunity to probe higher $z$ than hadron fragmentation measurements, and are an important ingredient for future tests of the universality of in-medium jet fragmentation functions.

4. N-subjettiness

Semi-inclusive hadron-jet correlations are well-suited to statistical background subtraction procedures in heavy-ion collisions, which allows jet measurements at low $p_T$ and large $R$ [38, 39]. Recently, ALICE measured the N-subjettiness $\tau_N$ [40, 41] of jets recoiling from a high-$p_T$ hadron
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Figure 2: Left: ALICE measurements of leading sub-jet fragmentation in pp and Pb–Pb collisions, compared
to theoretical predictions [28–30, 34, 37]. Right: Measurements of the N-subjettiness ratio distribution $\tau_2/\tau_1$
in Pb–Pb collisions [42] compared to PYTHIA [43].

[42]. Figure 2 (right) shows the distribution of per-trigger semi-inclusive yields of the $\tau_2/\tau_1$ ratio
in Pb–Pb collisions compared to PYTHIA [43]. There is no significant modification observed in the
pronginess of jets in heavy-ion collisions. This suggests that medium-induced emissions are not
sufficiently hard to produce a distinct secondary prong, in line with the lack of modification of the
observed $z_g$ distributions [27].

5. Conclusion

We have presented several new ALICE measurements of jet substructure in heavy-ion collisions,
which are producing an emerging picture of jet quenching phenomenology: hard splittings are not
strongly modified, as evidenced by $z_g$ and $\tau_N$, but there is a strong collimation or filtering effect
of wide jets, as evidenced by $\theta_g$. The medium-induced soft splitting responsible for this filtering
may be exposed in regions dominated by quark jets, as suggested by high-$z_r$ sub-jet fragmentation.
Together, these observables present opportunities for future high statistics and/or multi-differential
measurements in LHC Run 3 to achieve increasingly precise constraints on jet quenching models,
and offer prospects to constrain physical properties of the QGP using global analyses.
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