Electromagnetic absorption of semiconductor 2D Majorana nanowires
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Abstract
We calculate the cross section for the electromagnetic absorption of planar 2D Majorana nanowires. The electromagnetic field is described in the dipole approximation. We discuss the signatures on the cross section of a near-zero-energy mode. A low energy peak for transverse polarization, absent in the longitudinal one, reveals the presence of the Majorana-like state. This peak is relatively robust against the thermal smearing of the level occupations. We consider the influence of optical masks hiding parts of the nanowire from the radiation.
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1. Introduction
Majorana suggested in 1937 the idea of fermionic particles that are their own antiparticles [1]. In spite of many years of research, especially on neutrino-less double beta decay, the existence of elementary particles of Majorana character is still unclear. Recently, however, in condensed matter physics the concept of Majorana states has attracted much attention, partly due to the connections with particle physics and partly due to the possible applications in quantum computation [2–6].

In condensed matter systems with particle-hole symmetry a Majorana mode is a zero-energy state, usually emerging through a phase transition, whose properties resemble those of Majorana elementary particles. The existence of such quasiparticles has been predicted theoretically in several topological condensed matter systems [7, 8]. In a hybrid semiconductor–superconductor nanowire a Majorana mode is formed when the lowest energy levels collapse to zero and the fermionic states fuse in a unique state characterized by a wave function localized on the nanowire ends. Three mechanisms are needed to form these zero modes in hybrid nanowires: superconductivity, Rashba spin–orbit coupling, and a Zeeman magnetic field [9–14].

One of the reasons for the interest in Majorana modes is the fact that they are non-abelian anyons, i.e. their state changes in a non-trivial way when two such quasiparticles are interchanged. Moreover, due to their localized character Majorana modes are topologically protected against decoherence, e.g. as induced by sources of noise. It is believed that because of these features Majorana states are good candidates to be used in future quantum computers [2].

Recent measurements of the electrical conductance of hybrid nanowires have provided good evidence for the existence of Majorana states in these systems [15–19]. However, these evidences are not enough to unambiguously confirm the existence of Majorana states (discarding for instance similar physics originating from disorder, smooth confinement, the Kondo effect, or Andreev states) [20–23]. As more evidence for Majorana modes are presently being looked for in the community, it has been suggested to consider the coupling with the electromagnetic field in photonic cavities [24, 25]. In a related direction, we explore in this work the simpler electromagnetic absorption of 2D hybrid nanowires, focussing on the signatures of the existence of a near-zero energy mode in the system. We use the dipole approximation to describe the optical field and consider the linear response formalism to a weak perturbation [26]. Similar formalisms for the absorption by quasiparticles in superconductors can be found in [27–29].

The electromagnetic fields we consider in this work are in the microwave region, with wavelengths of the order of millimeters, while the nanowire dimensions are, typically, a few microns. Thus, the dipole approximation is well justified. The nanowires we have in mind are those laterally...
patterned using lithographic techniques from the 2D electron gases in semiconductors like InAs, characterized by a strong Rashba interaction [30]. A superconducting gate electrode is also required to induce superconductivity by the proximity effect [9].

We find that for field polarization parallel to the nanowire (see figure 1) the Majorana state leaves no clear signature on the cross section. By contrast, the existence of the Majorana state is indicated by a low energy peak emerging for transverse \( \hat{y} \) polarization. This low energy feature is relatively robust when the temperature is increased and many levels become thermally activated due to the change in occupations. We also considered the influence of optical masks, hiding parts of the nanowire from the optical excitation, as a probe of the localization character of the Majorana modes. Based on these results, we believe that in the characterization of Majorana states in nanowires, electromagnetic absorption experiments would be relevant.

2. Physical model

We model a semiconductor nanowire with spin–orbit Rashba coupling in the presence of a magnetic field, while a nearby superconductor induces the superconductivity effect due to proximity. The system excitations are described with a Bogoliubov–deGennes Hamiltonian:

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}} = \left[ \frac{p_x^2 + p_y^2}{2m} + V(x, y) - \mu \right] \tau_z + \Delta_B \sigma_x + \Delta_0 \tau_x + \frac{\alpha}{\hbar}(p_x \sigma_y - p_y \sigma_x) \tau_z. \tag{1}
\]

From left to right the contributions to equation (1) are: kinetic energy with \( \vec{p} \) and \( m \) the momentum and the effective mass, respectively; \( V(x, y) \) is an electric potential representing the shape of the nanowire; \( \mu \) the chemical potential; \( \vec{\sigma} \) and \( \vec{\tau} \) are vector operators for spin and isospin (in electron-hole space), respectively; \( \Delta_B \), \( \Delta_0 \) and \( \alpha \) represent the Zeeman, superconductivity and Rashba coupling energies, respectively. A sketch of the physical system is given in figure 1. We model rectangular shape potentials of lengths \( L_x \) and \( L_y \), using Fermi functions of diffusivity \( s_f \), such that the potential \( V(x, y) \) vanishes inside the nanowire and takes a (large) value \( V_0 \) outside.

A natural unit system can be determined by the coupling constants \( \alpha \), Planck’s constant \( \hbar \), and the effective mass \( m \) of the semiconductor. The Hamiltonian becomes dimensionless in the following unit system:

\[
E_{so} = \frac{\alpha^2 m}{\hbar^2}, \quad L_{so} = \frac{\hbar^2}{\alpha m}. \tag{2}
\]

In these units the constants \( \alpha \), \( \hbar \), and \( m \) are chosen equal to one. All the results of the work are given in these units, unless otherwise specified.

The superconductivity effect induces particle-hole symmetry, yielding symmetric energy eigenvalues with respect to the chemical potential. Moreover, superconductivity is responsible for an energy gap around the chemical potential. The Rashba spin–orbit coupling originates in the interaction between the electron spin and its own motion and, finally, the Zeeman term allows us to drive the system into different regimes. Successive Majorana states for each transverse mode \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) may appear when the Zeeman term overcomes critical values:

\[
\Delta_{B,n}^{(c)} = \sqrt{\mu_n^2 + \Delta_0^2} \tag{3}
\]

where \( \mu_n \) is an effective chemical potential for each transverse band. Neglecting the Rashba mixing term \( \alpha p_x \sigma_y \tau \) each transverse mode leads to an independent Majorana that may coexist with other \( n \) Majoranas for sufficiently large Zeeman fields. In the limit of a strong \( \alpha \), however, just a single zero mode effectively survives due to mode–mode interactions [31]. Besides, in a finite nanowire the scenario of sharp transition boundaries is distorted due to the finite-size effect [32, 33].

In this work we will focus on the first transition point, considering Zeeman energies from zero up to a value not much exceeding \( \Delta_{B,1} \). The reader may notice that we have not considered magnetic fields in other directions different from \( \hat{z} \). The effects of tilting the magnetic field are discussed in [34] with the so-called projection rule for a unidimensional nanowire. For magnetic fields in the \( xy \) plane the Majorana mode delocalizes when the azimuthal angle exceeds a critical value. Moreover, if the magnetic field is out of the \( xy \) plane, the formation of Majorana states is destroyed due to orbital effects [32].

2.1. Diagonalization method

We shall solve the Schrödinger equation with the above Hamiltonian:

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}} \Psi(x, y, \eta_\sigma, \eta_\tau) = E \Psi(x, y, \eta_\sigma, \eta_\tau), \tag{4}
\]

where the wave function variables are the space coordinates \( (x, y) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), the spin \( \eta_\sigma \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\} \) and isospin \( \eta_\tau \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\} \). We expand in a basis of eigenspinors for spin and isospin, \( \chi_{s_\sigma} \) and \( \chi_{s_\tau} \),

\[
\Psi(x, y, \eta_\sigma, \eta_\tau) = \sum_{s_\sigma, s_\tau} \psi_{s_\sigma, s_\tau}(x, y) \chi_{s_\sigma}(\eta_\sigma) \chi_{s_\tau}(\eta_\tau), \tag{5}
\]

with the quantum numbers \( s_\sigma = \pm \) and \( s_\tau = \pm \). It is fulfilled that:

\[
\sigma_x \chi_{s_\sigma}(\eta_\sigma) = s_\sigma \chi_{s_\sigma}(\eta_\sigma), \tag{6}
\]

\[
\tau_z \chi_{s_\tau}(\eta_\tau) = s_\tau \chi_{s_\tau}(\eta_\tau). \tag{7}
\]
Figure 2. Representation of the eight eigenvalues lying closer to zero energy as a function of Zeeman energy $\Delta_B$. We also present six different cuts (vertical lines) corresponding to different $\Delta_B$’s, showing the probability density of the lowest positive eigenvalue at the corresponding Zeeman energy. The color of each line and frame are matched to better indicate the value of $\Delta_B$ for each density. Conjugate particle-hole pairs are also plotted with the same color. Representative transitions of types I and II are indicated.

Projecting equation (4) on $|s_{x_{s}}, s_{z_{s}}\rangle$ we find the following system of equations for the components $\psi_{s_{x_{s}}, s_{z_{s}}}(x, y)$ of the wave function:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{p_x^2 + p_y^2}{2m} + V(x, y) - \mu \\
\Delta_0
\end{pmatrix}
\psi_{s_{x_{s}}, s_{z_{s}}}(x, y) + \Delta_B (\cos \varphi - is_{x_{s}} \sin \varphi) - \frac{\alpha}{\hbar} s_{x_{s}} (is_{y_{s}} p_x + p_y) \psi_{s_{x_{s}}, s_{z_{s}}}(x, y) = 0,
$$

(8)

where we use the notation $\tilde{s} = -s$. In order to solve this equation system with partial derivatives we use numerical techniques. We have discretized the space in a square lattice, where $N_x$ and $N_y$ are the number of points in each direction. The boundary conditions are simply the vanishing of the wave function at the edges of the grid. We use finite differences to describe the partial derivatives and transform equation (8) into a sparse matrix that we diagonalize with standard routines [35].

2.2. Eigenvalues and densities

In this section we are going to present the numerical solutions of the above Hamiltonian. These numerical solutions correspond to the following set of parameters. We assume a rather thin nanowire of $L_x = 25L_{so}$, $L_y = 2L_{so}$, a maximum value of the potential outside the nanowire $V_0 = 5E_{so}$, a softness of the Fermi functions $s_f = 0.1L_{so}$. The superconductivity energy is taken as $\Delta_0 = 0.25E_{so}$ and, for simplicity, the chemical potential has been taken equal to zero, $\mu = 0$. We vary the Zeeman energy in order to monitor the emergence of zero modes. We emphasize that this set of parameters will be used in the rest of the work as a representative case showing the emergence of Majorana physics.

Figure 2 shows the evolution of the eigenvalues as a function of the magnetic field. We only display the 8 eigenvalues lying closer to zero energy to avoid burdening the figure, although we include up to 32 eigenvalues in the calculations discussed below. We also present in the same graph the probability density of the lowest positive eigenvalue for selected cases in order to see how the system evolves with increasing Zeeman energies. For very low $\Delta_B$’s all the eigenvalues are nearly degenerate at two energies $E \approx \pm \Delta_0$ and the probability density is similar to that of a square well potential. When the Zeeman energy increases, the energy levels start to split, the gap becomes smaller and the first eigenfunction shows a quenched probability in the middle of the nanowire. For $\Delta_B \approx 0.42E_{so}$ the gap closes completely and the Majorana forms; this fact can be appreciated because the wave function is characterized by two probability maxima well localized on the tips of the nanowire. If we continue increasing the Zeeman energy the gap reopens, but one state remains ‘trapped’ in the middle of the gap, the Majorana state.

The fact that the Majorana state lies in the middle of an energy gap effectively protects the Majorana mode from decoherence due to noise and disorder. Increasing further the magnetic field, the localized maxima spread on the nanowire more and more and an oscillation of the Majorana energy around zero is seen in figure 2 for $1.25E_{so} < \Delta_B < 2.3E_{so}$ due to the finite size effect. For $\Delta_B \approx 2.4E_{so}$ the second transverse mode collapses and mode–mode interactions prevent the existence of the zero mode no longer.

2.3. Particle-hole symmetry

The main aim of this subsection is to provide an important result for the subsequent calculation of the cross section. For this reason, we introduce a symmetry operator $\Theta$ such that:

$$
\Theta H = -H(\Theta),
$$

(9)

where $\Theta$ is the time-reversal-plus-charge-conjugation operator (or time-charge inversion for short):

$$
\Theta \equiv -\sigma_z \tau_z \mathcal{K} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\mathcal{K}
$$

(10)

with $\mathcal{K}$ being the conjugation operator.

Particle-hole symmetry, equation (9), implies that if $|\Psi_E\rangle$ is an eigenstate with energy $E$ then $\Theta |\Psi_E\rangle$ is also an eigenstate but with energy $-E$, in agreement with the results as shown in figure 2. Now we want to prove an important property with Bogoliubov–deGennes eigenstates: the matrix element of the momentum operator with particle-hole conjugate states is zero,

$$
\langle \Psi_E | \hat{p} | \Psi_{-E} \rangle = \langle \Psi_E | \hat{p} \Theta | \Psi_E \rangle
$$

$$
= -i\hbar \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \psi_{1\uparrow}^* \psi_{1\downarrow} + \psi_{1\downarrow}^* \psi_{1\uparrow} \right) \nabla \left( \begin{pmatrix}
\psi_{1\uparrow}^* \\
-\psi_{1\uparrow}^*
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\psi_{1\downarrow} \\
-\psi_{1\downarrow}^*
\end{pmatrix}
\right) d^2r = 0.
$$

(11)
Due to boundary conditions the last integral vanishes, implying that transitions from $|\Psi_E\rangle$ to $|\Psi_{-E}\rangle$ will not contribute to the dipole absorption spectrum.

### 3. Dipole cross section formalism

We consider the action of a time-dependent perturbation of type:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{BdG}} + \frac{e}{mc} \vec{A}(\vec{r}, t) \cdot \vec{p},$$

where $\vec{A}$ represents the vector potential of an electromagnetic wave given, in principle, by a wave packet:

$$\vec{A}(\vec{r}, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A(\omega)e^{-i\omega(t-\frac{\vec{r}^2}{2c^2})} \cdot \hat{e} \, d\omega.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

In equation (13) the unit vector $\hat{e}$ indicates the polarization direction while $\hat{n}$ corresponds to the direction of the wave propagation. In the dipole approximation the latter becomes irrelevant and we may write the absorption cross section as:

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{4\pi^2}{m^2} \sum_{k,s} |D_{ks}|^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_{ks}) f_s (1 - f_k),$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $\omega_\text{F}$ is the fine structure constant, $\hbar\omega_{ks} \equiv \varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_s$ and the dipole matrix element is

$$D_{ks} = \langle k | \vec{p} \cdot \hat{e} | s \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

and $f_{s,k}$ are the occupations of levels $s,k$ as given by Fermi functions with a given temperature $T$

$$f_s = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(\varepsilon_s - \mu)/k_B T}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Equation (14) yields the cross section as a set of delta peaks at energies $\hbar\omega_{ks}$. At strict zero temperature only transitions from negative to positive BdG eigenstates are allowed. Increasing $T$, other transitions smoothly activate due to the thermal modification of the Fermi occupations in equation (14). The dipolar regime is justified noting that the wave length of the light is typically much larger than the nanowire and, therefore, the electromagnetic radiation affects homogeneously the whole nanostructure.

The numerical computation of equation (14) requires considering all possible transitions and computing the corresponding cross section matrix elements. For this we need to know all the energy levels and their respective wave functions obtained in the preceding section. The matrix elements are obtained from the grid-discretized wave functions, calculating the spatial integrals of the four components of the wave function as grid sums. The action of the momentum operator is also obtained using finite difference derivatives. The polarization direction $\hat{e}$ determines which component of the momentum operator is contributing. We characterize the polarization with the azimuthal angle $\varphi$, varying from $\hat{x}$ for $\varphi = 0^\circ$ to $\hat{y}$ for $\varphi = 90^\circ$.

In order to give more physically intuitive plots of the cross section we have replaced the delta functions by normalized Lorentzians:

$$\delta(\omega - \omega_{ks}) \rightarrow \frac{\Gamma}{2\pi} \frac{1}{(\omega - \omega_{ks})^2 + \frac{\Gamma^2}{4}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $\Gamma$ is the width of the Lorentzian. The final cross section is a smooth energy function, better showing the accumulation of absorption strength at some energies. We have assumed $\Gamma = 0.05E_{\text{so}}$, a value that is useful to distinguish the contributions of the different transitions while, at the same time, is in reasonable agreement with the experimental capabilities of measurement. With an InAs semiconductor, for which $E_{\text{so}} = 0.4$ meV, the chosen $\Gamma$ would correspond to an experimental resolution of 20 $\mu$eV, or what is the same 0.2 cm$^{-1}$. This is only slightly below the experimental resolution found in the bibliography on the far-infrared absorption of semiconductor quantum dots [36–41]. There are experiments with better resolutions, but not focused on nanostructures [42].

In practice we have to truncate the space of the eigenvalues, numerically finding only those levels whose energy in absolute value is below a certain maximum energy. As a consequence, when considering transitions from negative to positive energies we are including all the existing transitions only below a certain cut-off energy $\varepsilon_c$. In the results shown below we have included 32 eigenvalues (note that figure 2 only displays the 8 eigenvalues closer to zero energy) and the corresponding cut off is $\varepsilon_c \approx 0.65E_{\text{so}}$ for all Zeeman energies $\Delta_B$. Therefore, to avoid artifacts due to missing transitions in our space of levels we will not consider absorption energies much higher than $\varepsilon_c$.

### 4. Results

This section contains the main results of this work. We discuss the electromagnetic cross section of 2D Majorana nanowires, focussing specifically on the signatures of the presence of zero modes. Thus, our main goal is to provide guides for the detection of zero modes with optical spectroscopy.

#### 4.1. Magnetic field dependence

The cross section is characterized by transitions among the system energy levels. For very small temperatures the states at negative energies are occupied while the states at positive energies are empty. For low values of $\Delta_B$ the energy spectrum is characterized by the presence of a wide gap centered on zero energy. This gap prevents any transition for energies lower than the corresponding energy jump ($\approx 0.5E_{\text{so}}$ in figure 2). When the Zeeman energy increases, the gap diminishes and eventually closes for $\Delta_B \approx 0.42E_{\text{so}}$ when the lowest energy levels collapse into the Majorana state.

We may distinguish two types of transitions. The first group of transitions (type I) are those not involving the Majorana state and the second one (type II) is precisely those transitions from the Majorana state to the rest. Obviously,
for $\Delta_B < \Delta_{B,1}^{(c)}$ only type I transitions are present, while for $\Delta_B > \Delta_{B,1}^{(c)}$ both type I and type II are allowed.

The above scenario suggests the following criterion to infer the existence of a zero mode from experiments. The Majorana mode causes the emergence of type II transitions, with the lowest type II transition lying at an energy which is exactly half that of the lowest type I transition. Note that the lowest transition of type I coincides with the gap in the spectrum (not counting the Majorana state). In the following we explicitly calculate the transition matrix elements to ascertain this scenario for different polarizations of the external field.

4.1.1. Polarization along $\hat{x}$. We analyze first the cross section for polarization along $\hat{x}$, the long axis of the nanowire, for a low temperature ($T = 0.045$ K). In figure 3 we plot the computed cross sections for three selected Zeeman fields. Each panel also shows with thin vertical lines the positions of all possible transitions from negative to positive energy levels, not counting the forbidden transition between the $E$ and $-E$ conjugate states. Figure 3(a) is for a low value of the Zeeman energy, when there is a clear gap, and we can see that the cross section, although it is rather small, concentrates as expected in an energy region with many transitions. In figure 3(b) we can appreciate that the gap is very much reduced, as compared to the preceding panel, since transitions for lower energies are increasingly allowed. The reader should notice a peak, the first one in energy, without a corresponding vertical line. This is a temperature effect, as can be deduced from an analysis of the data. Although the temperature is rather low, when the gap closes the first and second negative energy levels become thermally activated and a transition between them can appear. For the magnetic field as shown in figure 3(c) there is Majorana state, as shown in figure 2. The manifestation in the cross section is not clear, since the lowest transition at $\omega \approx 0.12 E_{so}$ involving the Majorana state (type II) only creates a very slight low-energy shoulder on the cross section, shown as an arrow.

In figure 4 we have superimposed on the same plot different cross sections to better see how this quantity evolves. The cross section for low Zeeman energies is very small, almost flat compared with the results of high Zeeman energies. We have checked that we include enough levels in the analysis and the effects of the cut off are small. For $\Delta_B = 0.449 E_{so}$ we can see clearly that there are transitions for energies lower than the energy gap (the energy gap for type I transitions usually coincides with the highest peak of the cross section), but these type II transitions leave a minor fingerprint in the absorption spectrum as they are rapidly hidden by much higher peaks originating from transitions across the gap (type I). As the magnetic field is increased there is a tendency to decrease the energy of the peaks, due to the gap closing. There is also a clear tendency to increase the height of the absorption peaks as the gap closes. We conclude that for $\hat{x}$ polarization type II transitions are not easily visible.

4.1.2. Polarization along $\hat{y}$. Contrary to the preceding case of polarization along $\hat{x}$, the manifestations of the presence of a Majorana state can be clearly seen with $\hat{y}$ polarization. Figure 5 shows these effects. In figure 5(a) the presence of the gap forbids low energy transitions. There is a clear tendency to decrease the cross section towards high energies, well below the cut off $\epsilon_c \approx 0.65 E_{so}$. In the intermediate panel (figure 5(b)) the gap is almost closed and there are transitions at low energies. The lowest transition for this magnetic field achieves the maximum of the cross section. Figure 5(c) corresponds to the configuration having a Majorana state, and it can be clearly seen that type II transitions now yield a clear peak at low energy, shown as an arrow. The first transition is around $\omega \approx 0.12 E_{so}$, while the gap for type I transitions is at $\omega \approx 0.25 E_{so}$. This lower transition must involve the Majorana state.
It is remarkable that with $\hat{y}$ polarization, low energy type II transitions are strong enough to confirm the presence of a Majorana state. Furthermore, the cross section is such that the two groups of transitions can be clearly identified. We can also see in this third panel that around $\omega \approx 0.45 E_{so}$, there is a dense group of transitions, associated with the maximum absorption. This is again different from the case of $\hat{x}$ polarization, where the denser group is not the most absorbing.

In figure 6 we superimpose the cross sections for varying Zeeman energies in order to emphasize the variation with the closing of the gap.

Moreover, we can see from this figure that there is no peak for energies higher than $\hbar \omega \approx 0.5 E_{so}$, even though the set of eigenvalues contains transitions at such energies, as shown by the vertical lines in figure 5. We remind that all existing transitions for $\omega < \varepsilon_c \approx 0.65 E_{so}$ are included. An interesting point is that this high-energy behavior remains constant with the magnetic field, contrary to the $\hat{x}$ polarization case. We understand this as a result of the subband grouping of the states in the finite system.

Physically, the differences between the $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ polarizations can be attributed to the dipole selection rules with $p_x$ and $p_y$ operators. A 2D system with strong confinement in the $y$ direction and a lower confinement in the $x$ direction has its levels approximately organized in groups $\varepsilon_{n_x,n_y}$, such that changing $n_x$ we change the energy level within a group, while changing $n_y$ we change to another group. Therefore, when $n_y$ is changed the transition energy is higher than the corresponding change in $n_x$. The $\hat{x}$ polarization matrix elements involve $p_x$ and this operator changes the longitudinal mode of the wave function while, on the other hand, the $\hat{y}$ polarization involves matrix elements of $p_y$ and requires transitions that change the transversal modes. In conclusion, the $\hat{x}$ polarization cross section involves transitions within the same group, while the $\hat{y}$ polarization induces transitions to a different group. From this point of view it is not a surprise that the truncation of the set of eigenvalues affects differently the $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ polarized cross sections at high energies. This effect also explains that at low energies the $\hat{y}$ polarization type II transitions are not dominated by other low energy type I transitions.

Figure 4. Cross section with polarization along $\hat{x}$ and temperature $T = 0.045$ K for selected values of the magnetic field (in $E_{so}$ units).

Figure 5. Cross section for the three selected values of the magnetic field ($\Delta B = 0.202$, $\Delta B = 0.404$, $\Delta B = 0.500$), with the possible transitions explained in section 4.1. The results are for $\hat{y}$ polarization and temperature $T = 0.045$ K. The arrow in panel (c) shows the peak due to the Majorana mode.

4.2. Polarization rotation

We now present the explicit dependence on polarization direction at a constant magnetic field when the Majorana is well formed. In figure 7 we display the cross section for different values of the polarization angle $\phi$, changing continuously from the $\hat{x}$ to the $\hat{y}$ direction. We have superimposed the possible transitions in order to see which polarization activates which transition. The lowest curve, corresponding to the $\hat{x}$ polarization is the same curve presented in the third panel of figure 3. The induced transitions by $p_y$ start to grow when the polarization angle increases and the $y$ component of the polarization vector becomes larger. Eventually, the induced transitions for the $\hat{x}$ polarization fade away when the
polarization angle $\phi$

polarization along the $\hat{x}$ axis from the constant magnetic field $\Delta_1$. After that, we can change to polarization along $\hat{y}$ and, if lower transitions appear, we can infer the presence of a Majorana state.

Temperature regime. For higher temperatures the thermal occupations start to play an important role in the transitions, with the possible transitions being not only restricted from negative energy to positive energy. We shall discuss the temperature dependence starting from low temperature $T = 0.045 \text{ K}$ and increasing until $T = 4.523 \text{ K}$ for the two extreme polarizations.

4.3. Temperature dependence

In the preceding subsections we were considering a low temperature regime. For higher temperatures the thermal occupations start to play an important role in the transitions, with the possible transitions being not only restricted from negative energy to positive energy. We shall discuss the temperature dependence starting from low temperature $T = 0.045 \text{ K}$ and increasing until $T = 4.523 \text{ K}$ for the two extreme polarizations.

4.3.1. Thermal $\hat{x}$ polarization. In figure 8 we can see the temperature dependence for the $\hat{x}$ polarization. In the upper panel we can see all the spectra, while the lower one zooms in on the lowest curves. For this polarization the temperature effects are very strong with all the signatures of the low temperature cross section being washed out already for $T \approx 4 \text{ K}$. It is not a surprise that a quantum effect is weak against temperature. In the present case the situation becomes dramatic due to the large weight of the low energy transitions induced by the $p_x$ operator.

4.3.2. Thermal $\hat{y}$ polarization. A qualitatively different behavior is seen for the $\hat{y}$ polarization (figure 9). When the temperature increases in the same interval as before some low energy transitions appear, but these transitions do not cover the low temperature features of the cross section. The intensity of the zero-temperature transitions diminishes with temperature, but the absorption feature at $\omega \approx 0.15 E_{so}$ due to type II transitions remains clearly visible. This protection is again due to the $\hat{y}$ polarization not allowing low energy transitions within the same group of states. This is a remarkable result suggesting the manifestation of a Majorana state at relatively high temperatures.

4.4. Optical masks

We have considered the influence of optical masks hiding parts of the nanowire from the light. Depositing an inert optical mask
on the nanowire can be achieved, in principle, with lithographic techniques. We model the mask effect simply adding a space dependent factor to the dipole matrix element of equation (15), such that the integrand of the matrix element vanishes under the mask while it is unaffected in the rest of space. This way we want to check whether the absorption peaks, particularly the Majorana one, strongly depend on the position of the mask or not.

Figure 10 shows the evolution of the absorption with the polarization direction when the mask covers the nanowire ends (upper) and the central part (lower). The results show that the two main absorption regions for the $x$ polarization are affected in opposite ways by the mask. Covering the nanowire ends quenches the absorption at low energies, while covering the center quenches the higher energy peaks. This behavior nicely confirms the expectations that Majorana modes are excited on the ends due to their localization properties. Our results suggest that space selective optical excitation is an effective way of probing the localization of Majoranas in a nanowire.

5. Conclusions

We calculated the absorption cross section of a 2D Majorana nanowire to a dipole field, focussing on the absorption signatures of the zero mode. We suggested the emergence of low energy (type II) transitions when rotating from the $\hat{x}$ to the $\hat{y}$ polarization as a clear fingerprint of the Majorana mode. This low energy feature is relatively robust against the thermal activation of transitions that were forbidden at zero temperature. Finally, we suggested the use of optical masks to probe the localized character of the Majoranas on the nanowire ends. As extensions to the present work, we think it is of interest to consider nanowires with cylindrical geometry as well as the influence of tilted fields on the electromagnetic absorption.
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