Hybrid origin of European Vipers (Vipera magnifica and Vipera orlovi) from the Caucasus determined using genomic scale DNA markers
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Abstract

Background: Studying patterns of introgression can illuminate the role of hybridization in speciation, and help guide decisions relevant to the conservation of rare taxa. Vipera magnifica and Vipera orlovi are small vipers that have high conservation status due to their rarity and restricted distributions in an area of the Caucasus region where two other related species are present – V. kaznakovi and V. renardi. Despite numerous observations of hybridization between different species of small vipers, and the potential of a hybrid origin for V. magnifica and V. orlovi based on their distribution with respect to V. kaznakovi and V. renardi, hypotheses of a hybrid origin have not been formally tested. Here we generate genomic-scale data by performing next generation sequencing of double digest restriction-site associated DNA libraries, and use these multilocus data to test whether these two species are of hybrid origin.

Results: We generated over nine hundred loci for 38 specimens of six taxa, and analysed the dataset using Bayesian clustering and multivariate methods, as well as Patterson D-statistics, which can distinguish between incomplete lineage sorting and introgression as explanations for shared polymorphism. The results demonstrate a pattern of historical admixture in the two purported hybrids that is consistent with past gene flow from V. renardi into V. kaznakovi. The average admixture proportion in individuals was low (6.39 %) in the case of V. magnifica, but was higher in V. orlovi (19.02 %). We also show that the specific individual samples used in D-statistic tests can have a significant impact on inferences regarding the magnitude of introgression, suggesting the importance of including multiple individuals in these analyses.

Conclusions: Our results support the conclusion that both V. orlovi and V. magnifica had formed through a hybridization event between V. kaznakovi and V. renardi. Given a low proportion of admixture and absence of clear ecological and morphological differences V. magnifica should be treated as a marginal population of V. kaznakovi. Further studies that include analyses of ecological segregation of V. orlovi from parental taxa and search for evolutionary consequences of hybridisation would clarify if V. orlovi is a distinct hybrid species. Until this we recommend preserving the current taxonomy and protection status of V. orlovi.
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Background

Hybridization is increasingly recognized as an important evolutionary process that can have diverse outcomes with respect to biodiversity. For example, gene introgression can act to increase diversity by rapidly generating novel genetic variation upon which selection can act, potentially leading to speciation [1, 2]. In contrast, hybridization caused by human habitat disturbance may reduce biodiversity through the genetic fusion of species (reverse speciation) or the genetic dilution of a rare taxon by a more abundant one [3, 4].

In snakes, there are increased observations of hybridization between species in phylogenetically-distinct groups [4–11]. Hybridization has been widely documented for European vipers from the genus Vipera in both nature and captivity, and may be especially common in the subgenus Pseudaspis, a monophyletic group that consists of approximately 20 species of small venomous snakes found in the temperate zone of Eurasia [12]. Hybrids within Pseudaspis have been reported in a number of studies including those based on karyotype, osteological, and molecular methods [13–22, Zinenko, unpublished]. Ancient introgression has also been proposed as a factor influencing the evolution of some viper species (i.e. Vipera kaznakovi Nikolsky, 1909, V. darevskii Vedmederja, Orlov and Tuniyev, 1986, V. dinniki Nikolsky, 1913 and V. eriwanensis (Reuss 1933)), but as yet no direct evidence beyond similarities in external morphology has been provided [23].

V. renardi (Christoph 1861) and V. kaznakovi are two widely accepted complexes of viper species that have distributions that meet along the northern slope of the Caucasus [23–29]. Snakes of the V. kaznakovi complex are endemic to the Caucasian region and are found in two different habitat types: forests of the northwestern and southern slopes of the Caucasus and high altitude open meadows of alpine zones. Snakes of the V. renardi complex consist of several taxa living in lowland or dry mountain grasslands which have expanded their range in Northern Caucasus through natural or artificial corridors resulting in a zone of contact between these two groups.

V. orlovi Tuniyev et Ostrovskikh, 2001 and V. magnifica Tuniyev et Ostrovskikh, 2001 were recently described on the basis of morphological differences and are considered part of the V. kaznakovi group [30]. Both species have features such as intermediate geographic location, habitat, and morphology that suggest they could be hybrid lineages that originated due to historical and/or ongoing hybridization between V. kaznakovi and V. renardi. In addition, mitochondrial DNA haplotypes from V. magnifica and V. orlovi are shared with V. kaznakovi [29], further pointing toward hybridization.

Understanding the evolutionary origin of these species would help to understand the role that interspecific gene flow may play in the process of radiation and local adaptation in vipers. In addition, since both species are included on the IUCN list of threatened species and are locally protected [31–33], clarification of their evolutionary and taxonomic status is needed to accurately identify targets for conservation efforts.

Genetic markers traditionally used to assess hybridization between species (e.g. AFLP, microsatellites, individual nuclear genes) assay variation at few positions in the genome, can show limited levels of informative variation, and may require de novo development for use in non-model species [34–37]. The recent availability of next generation sequencing technologies has opened a door for rapidly genotyping many individuals at thousands of potentially informative loci throughout the genomes of non-model organisms [38]. This has led to the availability of more comprehensive datasets for studies of hybridization and genetic introgression. Restriction site associated DNA sequencing (RADseq) is one specific method that takes advantage of these sequencing technologies to generate data from a large number of unlinked independent loci dispersed across genome, while reducing the need for a reference genome to identify orthologs [38]. This type of genetic information is increasingly being applied for population genetic and evolutionary analyses in a wide range of organisms [39–44].

Along with advances in methodologies for generating data have come novel analytical techniques that take advantage of genomic-scale datasets. These have great potential for studies of introgression because they provide the ability to distinguish between introgression and ancestral polymorphism, which is a significant issue when evaluating potential hybridization between closely related taxa. One example is Patterson’s D-statistic, which was first developed and applied to detect hybridization between different Hominid lineages based on genomic data [45, 46]. This approach has recently been used with RADSeq data to detect gene flow and identify introgressing loci in several non-model systems [41, 43, 44, 47].

In this paper, we use the modification of RADSeq data – ddRAD (double digest restriction-site associated DNA) to investigate the evolutionary origin of the purported hybrid taxa V. magnifica and V. orlovi. We assess these questions by 1) evaluating patterns of genetic structure among these groups using genetic clustering methods, and 2) testing for patterns of genetic introgression among the groups using ABBA/BABA tests and associated Patterson D-statistics. Our results support the hypothesis that these two groups arose through hybridization between the steppe viper V. renardi and the Caucasian viper V. kaznakovi. We discuss the results as they relate to the evolutionary history of these snakes and also in the context of their relevance to the conservation of these species.
Results
Identification of loci
Approximately 3.3 x 10^6 reads were obtained for the 38 individuals sequenced. Analyses with and without the Outgroup samples resulted in the identification of 3651 and 1959 polymorphic loci, respectively. Of the 3651 polymorphic loci obtained when outgroup samples were included, 977 were genotyped in all of the samples, and were used for analyses.

Genetic variation
The four taxa of vipers analysed in this study show slightly different patterns of genetic variation at RAD loci (Table 1). V. renardi is characterized by the lowest allelic richness but the highest number of private alleles. At the same time, it is the only group with observed overall heterozygosity that is lower than expected. Both V. magnifica and V. orlovi have relatively low numbers of private alleles, but observed heterozygosity that is higher than expected when compared to V. kaznakovi and V. renardi. Finally both observed heterozygosity and allelic richness are highest in V. orlovi.

Fst values were significantly greater then zero for all pair-wise comparisons of taxa (Table 2). Pairs which included V. kaznakovi, V. magnifica and V. orlovi are equally distinct from each other with Fst values close to 0.2, whereas V. renardi is much more distinct from all three of the other taxa (pairwise Fst values for comparisons including V. renardi were between 0.64 and 0.75).

A restricted dataset of 133 biallelic loci fixed between samples of V. kaznakovi and V. renardi showed that the equivalent of genome average ancestry q [48] was lower in V. magnifica (7.5–7.9 %) and higher in V. orlovi (mean 15.5 ± 2.0 %, minimum-maximum 12.0–18.8 %). Values of inter-source population ancestry Q12 [48], which in this case is equivalent to observed heterozygosity, were lower than expected for cases of randomly mating populations or early-generation backcrosses (Fig. 1).

Phylogenetic relationships
When we analysed phylogenetic relationships using Maximum Likelihood, the best supported tree showed that individuals were grouped in two main clades, with V. berus (Linnaeus, 1758) and V. seoanei Lateste, 1879 placed in the outgroup position (Fig. 2). This is similar to relationships found in previous phylogenetic analyses [26, 28, 29]. V. orlovi and V. magnifica form a well supported clade with V. kaznakovi. V. orlovi appears paraphyletic, with some samples basal to the rest of the clade, whereas V. magnifica was nested within a sub-clade comprised of samples of V. kaznakovi. All samples of V. renardi s. l. belong to a second large and highly supported clade, with V. lotievi from Dagestan in a basal position, similar to the pattern in a recent phylogenetic reconstruction based on mitochondrial DNA [29].

Genetic cluster analyses
Bayesian clustering
STRUCTURE identified K = 2 as the best description of genetic clusters in the data based on the maximum Delta K values in Structure Harvester. Most V. kaznakovi and V. renardi samples were assigned with nearly 100 % probability to one of the two clusters (Fig. 3). In contrast, the putative hybrid samples (V. orlovi and V. magnifica) showed evidence of relatively small but significant admixture of V. renardi genes into a genetic background largely characteristic of V. kaznakovi. Specifically, the proportion of genes characteristic of V. renardi in V. orlovi and V. magnifica ranged from 0.1–10.1, and the 95 % credible regions for the proportion of V. renardi-type genes did not overlap zero in nine out of twelve V. orlovi samples (Fig. 3).

Interestingly, six out of seven V. renardi samples from territories adjacent to the Northwestern Caucasus also exhibited a low proportion (0.2 %–2 %) of V. kaznakovi genes, but the 95 % confidence interval for admixture overlapped zero in all but one of these samples. In contrast, no V. renardi samples from the populations from Elbrus Mountain in Central Caucasus or Crimea had any traces of admixture.

Multivariate analysis
Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) analysis was performed on the first eleven principal components, which accounted for 69 % of the total genetic variation. Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC) suggested that these data are best partitioned into three clusters which consisted of i) V. renardi, ii) V. kaznakovi + V. magnifica and iii) V. orlovi. V. orlovi was positioned close to the V. kaznakovi + V. magnifica group along the first two discriminant functions (DF), but was shifted towards V. renardi along the axis of the first DF (Fig. 4). If we measure the distance between centroids along the first-axis in percents, taking kaznakovi-renardi as 100 %, V. orlovi specimens had values ranging from 12.35 to 18.20 % (mean ± SD: 14.87 ± 0.42) of this distance. The distance between V. orlovi and V. kaznakovi along the

| Table 1 Average number of alleles K, allelic richness AR, number of private alleles (Priv) and observed and expected heterozygosity (Ho and He) in samples of four species of Vipers |
|-----------------|---------|-------|---------------|-------|-----|-------|
|                 | N       | K     | AR ± 0.39     | Priv  | Ho  | He  ± 0.20 |
| V. kaznakovi    | 8       | 1.58  | 1.32 ± 0.39   | 112   | 0.17 | 0.17 ± 0.20 |
| V. magnifica    | 2       | 1.29  | 1.29 ± 0.48   | 14    | 0.18 | 0.12 ± 0.20 |
| V. orlovi       | 12      | 1.77  | 1.42 ± 0.41   | 69    | 0.25 | 0.22 ± 0.20 |
| V. renardi      | 12      | 1.61  | 1.22 ± 0.29   | 399   | 0.10 | 0.12 ± 0.17 |
Values are given as means ± SD
axis of the second DF demonstrates the presence of differentiation between these two groups. However the much lower eigenvalues of the second DF (F-statistics 1680 versus 64600) shows that magnitude of this differentiation is much lower.

In summary, results from both clustering algorithms are consistent with *V. kaznakovi* and *V. renardi* representing pure parental taxa, but these analyses did not recognize differentiation of *V. magnifica* and *V. orlovi* at a comparable level. Both of these putative hybrid groups appear to be genetically most similar to *V. kaznakovi*, but also exhibit patterns consistent with introgression of genes from *V. renardi*.

**D-statistics**
Individual-based tests for introgression show mean D-statistics equal to 0.24 for *V. magnifica* and 0.50 for *V. orlovi*, supporting the hypothesis of introgression for each taxon (Table 3, Fig. 5a). Associated admixture proportions (f) were 6.39 and 19.02 % for *V. magnifica* and *V. orlovi*, respectively (Table 3, Fig. 5b), and averages for each individual varied between 6.03 and 6.75 % in *V. magnifica* and between 14.03 and 25.40 % in *V. orlovi* (Additional file 1). As expected, control test replicates representing zero gene flow (*V. kaznakovi* as P2) produced D-statistic values close to zero on average (0, 95 % CI -0.15–0.15), while those representing maximal gene flow (*V. renardi* as P2), produced high mean D-statistic values (0.91, 95 % CI 0.90–0.92, Fig. 5a; Additional file 1). D-statistics calculated with the population-based method were similar to those in the individual based tests (0.26 and 0.53 for *V. magnifica* and *V. orlovi*, respectively), and the range of D-statistics from resampled datasets did not include zero for either group (Table 3).

**Effects of variability in P1, P3 and outgroup samples on D-statistics**
To evaluate the effect that variation among samples representing the P1, P3, and the Outgroup taxa may...
have on D-statistics, we tested for bias in D-statistics as-
associated with including individual samples in P1, P3, and
the Outgroup taxa. Specifically, we obtained D-statistics
for all runs that contained a given sample in one of these
groups. We then tested whether any of the samples
representing P1, P3, or the Outgroup specimens
were associated with biased D-statistics. The choice of individual
in each reference group (P1, P3 and the Outgroup) had
a significant effect on D (p < 0.00001), though the overall
magnitude of the mean differences was generally low
and did not exceed 0.03 for the Outgroup specimens,
and 0.09 and 0.08 for P1 and P3 samples, respectively.
However, two notable outliers were V. kaznakovi
individuals Kaz17 and Kaz3 in the P1 group, which increase
difference to 0.2939 and 0.1775, respectively, demon-
strating that specimen choice can have substantial ef-
fects on the signal of introgression.

Discussion
Evaluation of introgression in Caucasus vipers
Analyses of RAD loci using both clustering methods,
explicit tests for introgression based on Patterson’s D-
statistic and direct calculation of genome average ances-
try using loci fixed for different SNP (Single-nucleotide
Polymorphism) alleles between the two parental species
all support the hypothesis that genetic introgression has
influenced the evolution of the currently-described viper
species V. orlovi and V. magnifica. The variation in
terms of patterns of heterozygosity and Fst values are
also consistent with introgression leading to the emer-
gence of hybrid populations of these two taxa.

Previous studies have demonstrated how clustering
methods and more direct approaches such as ABBA/
BABA tests can complement each other in testing for
historical introgression [i.e. 44]. For example, clustering
methods have the advantage of allowing an exploration
of the data without requiring any a priori assignment of
samples to groups. However, confidently inferring intro-
gression directly from these clustering methods can be
difficult, as any patterns of genetic admixture produced
from these methods can also arise from incomplete
lineage sorting. ABBA/BABA tests provide an explicit
way to distinguish between incomplete lineage sorting
and introgression, but require a priori hypotheses about
patterns of hybridization.

One drawback to ABBA/BABA tests is that nucleotide
site patterns useful for this test are uncommon in the gen-
ome, and even with genomic-scale SNP data, the number
of ABBA/BABA sites for a test can be limited. In these
cases, statistical power for inferring historical introgres-
sion on a sample-by-sample basis can be low (often mea-
sured with Z-statistics that are based on bootstrap
resampling of loci). In previous studies, when the number
of sites was sufficient, the authors did not include sites
which were heterozygous [41]. In contrast we used both
alleles at such sites so as not to lose any information, ran
all possible combinations of the test, and summarized the
results by averaging them. This approach both increased
the number of informative loci, and allowed for an evalua-
tion of the impact of variation among samples on D-
statistic results.

Patterson’s D-statistic is calculated for four individual
sequences at a time. When many individuals from each
of the four groups are sequenced, and there is variation
in both parental populations due to potential presence
of admixed individuals, the explicit calculations of D-
statistics for all possible combinations of sequences is
the only way to avoid bias. Our analysis showed that
for tests in which two specific V. kaznakovi samples
were included as the P1 taxon, the average D-statistics
over all P2 samples analyzed were biased as compared
to all of the remaining P1, P3, and the Outgroup sample

![Maximum likelihood tree (RAxML v 8.2.4, 1000 bootstrap replicates) obtained from the analysis of concatenated sequence of RAD loci. Bootstrap supports above 90 % are reported at nodes.](image-url)
combinations. The specific reason for this bias is not clear, but it could be due to the use of introgressed specimens or geographically isolated subpopulations of *V. kaznakovi* themselves. For example, sample Kaz17, when tested as a possible hybrid (assigned as the P2 taxon in the ABBA/BABA test) has a mean D higher than *V. magnifica* (0.35 ± 0.24) (Additional file 1). This result suggests that when possible, D-statistic tests should be evaluated with multiple samples representing each taxon in order to account for any important variability introduced with different samples representing the P1, P3, and the Outgroup categories. While population-based calculations of D-

![Fig. 3 Structure plot showing assignment probabilities for each sample at K = 2. Purported hybrid taxa *V. magnifica* and *V. orlovi* are presented between the potential parental species *V. kaznakovi* and *V. renardi.*](image)

![Fig. 4 Discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) results for all ingroup samples. Each sample is plotted based on the first two discriminant functions. Associated clustering analyses identified three groups as the optimal solution, consisting of *V. renardi*, *V. orlovi*, and a third group containing *V. kaznakovi* and *V. magnifica.*](image)
Results of Patterson’s D-statistic test for taxa of putative hybrid origin (V. magnifica, V. orlovi)

| Group | Individual based calculations | Population based calculations |
|-------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|       | Number of tests, N | Patterson D, averaged across all tests | Number of ABBA sites, averaged across all tests | Number of BABA sites, averaged across all tests | Admixture proportion, f, % | Patterson D | Number of ABBA and BABA sites |
| V. magnifica | 9968 | 0.24 ± 0.23 | 9.71 ± 2.60 | 5.99 ± 2.40 | 6.39 ± 6.05 | 0.26 ± 0.08 | 77 |
| V. orlovi  | 59859 | 0.50 ± 0.18 | 16.63 ± 3.43 | 5.56 ± 2.30 | 19.02 ± 7.24 | 0.53 ± 0.03 | 135 |

Statistics for individual-based tests are reported as the mean ± SD and range calculated across all individuals evaluated as the P2 taxon. For these tests, the P1 taxon is always represented by V. kaznakovi, and the P3 taxon is always represented by V. renardi. Values reported for the population-based analyses represent the point estimate of D, and the range of D obtained from 100 resampled datasets.

Fig. 5 D-statistics (A) and admixture proportions, f, (B) estimated for each taxon included as the P2 group in ABBA/BABA tests. Nonzero D-statistics and admixture proportions indicate a history of introgression between the P2 group and V. renardi, which was assigned as the donor P3 group in each test. Plotted values represent means and associated 95% confidence intervals.

Environmental barriers could exist leading to subdivided populations. Both proposed hybrid taxa have similar magnitudes of Fst values when compared to each of the presumed parental species: low when compared to V. kaznakovi and high in comparisons with V. renardi. According to Fst values, V. magnifica is more similar to V. kaznakovi than V. orlovi (Table 2). At the same time they both have a low number of private alleles, (Table 1). Higher values of overall Ho in these two groups is expected under a scenario of hybridization. However, Ho is lower than He in V. renardi, which indicates possible inbreeding in this group.

**Biological and ecological consequences of viper hybridization**

Most species of small vipers have allopatric distributions, and species complexes are ecologically linked to different habitat types, which together with geographic isolation lead to the development of reproductive barriers between species [23, 24, 32, 33]. There are only a few documented cases in which two or more genetically and ecologically distinct species live in sympathy, isolated by habitat preferences and altitude. These include V. berus and V. ursinii (Bonaparte, 1835) in Southern Europe [25], V. berus s. l. and V. renardi in Eastern Europe [51, personal observations], V. dinniki and V. lotiei Nilson et al. 1995 in Northern Caucasus [52], V. darevskii and V. eriwanensis in Transcaucasia [23], and V. ammodytes (Linnaeus, 1758), V. aspis (Linnaeus, 1758) and V. berus in Slovenia [53]. The contact and possible coexistence of species in an ecotone has been documented between the closely related viper species V. aspis, V. latastei Boscá, 1878, and the more distantly related V. seoanei in Spain [22], where transition areas between different habitat types are associated with random hybridization between the vipers inhabiting them. The ecological differentiation and microhabitat segregation in this case prevents high levels of gene flow [22].

Though the area occupied by V. orlovi covers a considerable geographic range, no migrants representing pure parental species were found in sympathy with the hybrids, and are unlikely to be present due to the size of the range of V. orlovi compared to the activity range of
individual snakes in vipers. In addition to this, several lines of genetic evidence argue against the occurrence of ongoing gene flow. For example, there was no pronounced clinal variation in the admixture proportion across *V. orlovi* localities, and also no evidence of a hybrid swarm in either *V. orlovi* or *V. magnifica* (Additional file 1, Fig. 3). Instead, there are rather uniform admixture proportions within both *V. orlovi* and *V. magnifica* samples, indicating little or no current hybridization with parental species (though there are traces of introgression in marginal populations of *V. renardi* and *V. kaznakovi* and the admixture proportions between the two hybrid groups did differ, with *V. orlovi* displaying more admixture than *V. magnifica* – Table 3, Fig. 5). In addition, low means of an inter-source population ancestry Q12 in *V. magnifica* or *V. orlovi* indicates that in our dataset none of individuals represented backcrosses where at least one of the parents was pure individual of *V. kaznakovi* or *V. renardi*.

In contrast to ongoing hybridization, these data broadly point to historical hybridization and introgression as factors in the evolution of *V. orlovi* and *V. magnifica*. Paleogeographic reconstructions [54] indicate a complex history involving numerous fluctuations between open and forest habitat type in the northwestern Caucasus throughout the Quaternary. This ecological instability, coupled with the proximity of northwestern Caucasus forests to the steppes of Cis-Caucasia, may have facilitated contact between the two species complexes (*V. renardi* and *V. kaznakovi*), resulting in hybridization, and/or may have established novel niches where hybrid specimens are more fit compared to parental individuals [1].

Though it appears to have happened in the past, the specific timescale for the hybridization is not clear from the data presented here. The earliest time at which hybridization likely could have occurred coincides with the time when steppe vipers first colonized the Northern Caucasus region, which was recently estimated at approximately 380,000 ybp [29]. Nevertheless, more recent hybridization is also a possibility, potentially coinciding with anthropogenic effects that have influenced habitat and reduced the effectiveness of ecological reproductive barriers. Hybridization may have resulted from a range expansion by *V. renardi* that was associated with increases in secondary open grasslands (pastures) after anthropogenic deforestation of edge territories along the range of *V. kaznakovi*. Consequently, after the displacement and expulsion of local Circassians (Adygs) by the Russian Empire in 1864 [55], forests started to recover and open grasslands had eventually declined [56], which may have resulted in the current distributions and lack of ongoing gene flow between *V. renardi* and *V. kaznakovi*. Indeed, the habitat occupied by *V. orlovi* and *V. magnifica* in the northwestern Caucasus is a mosaic of drier sub-Mediterranean xerophyte forests, meadows, and mountain steppes that has experienced significant anthropogenic impacts, and has undergone succession after a change in the prevailing type of human activity over the past two centuries [56]. Tendency towards Ho excess over He, higher allelic richness, and lower numbers of private alleles (Table 1) could be viewed as evidence consistent with more recent admixture, but without knowing baseline of these values under different historical scenarios involving hybridization we cannot draw any conclusions about the timing of admixture. Further analyses such as historical demographic modelling may help to better assess the timescale of these hybridization events.

In summary, we propose that human mediated habitat transformation in the contact zone between distant species of vipers may have resulted in novel habitats differing from those of the parental species that were colonized by a stabilized hybrid population. This population of *V. orlovi* which has originated through hybridization may possess a specific combination of parental genetic features that make it more fit in the particular environmental conditions. If this assumption is confirmed by additional ecological data and evidence of non-random introgression and fixation of adaptive alleles (adaptive divergence initiated by hybridization – [57]), *V. orlovi* may serve as an example of recent hybrid speciation initiated by habitat disturbance.

**Taxonomic and conservation issues**

*V. orlovi* is a critically endangered species according to the IUCN classification [32] and *V. magnifica* is listed as an endangered species [33], with both showing evidence of declining populations in nature. However, our results indicate that both have hybrid ancestry and are not distinct monophyletic lineages, and therefore cannot be described as taxa according to The International Code of Zoological Nomenclature [58]. In turn, because they are not species or subspecies, they should be excluded from the IUCN Red list. Instead both *V. orlovi* and *V. magnifica* may be listed as regional populations within *V. kaznakovi*, with which they share the most genetic material and contain a considerable part of the genetic diversity of this species [59, 60]. At the same time, the hybrid populations between distinct lineages of small vipers that occupy habitats unique from the parental species are important for our understanding of evolution and speciation and should be conserved as a unique natural phenomenon and treated as distinct evolutionary entities deserving conservation attention [61]. If we further assume that hybrid speciation may have occurred in this particular case of *V. orlovi*, it should be protected; this would mean that it may be recognized as a taxon of hybrid origin and that the official recommendations of The International Code of Zoological Nomenclature
regarding hybrids must be changed, as well as the more general view of species as monophyletic groups in animals. However, *V. magnifica* has a more restricted distribution, a marginal signal of admixture, and non-distinct habitat differences, making this taxon equivalent to isolated *V. kaznakovi* populations, and so we believe it therefore should be synonymised with the latter.

**Conclusions**

According to our results, a hybridization event between *V. kaznakovi* and *V. renardi* contributed to genetic diversity that currently characterizes *V. orlovi*, which occurs in an intermediate habitat type relative to the two parental species. Contact zones between grasslands, which are typical habitats of *V. renardi*, and humid forest, which are typical habitats of *V. kaznakovi*, and/or human-mediated environmental disturbance, may have facilitated the formation of this hybrid group. Relative to *V. orlovi*, a smaller amount of introgression was detected in *V. magnifica*. Given this result, and an absence of ecological specialization associated with *V. magnifica*, we suggest that from both a taxonomic and conservation perspective it may best be treated as a marginal population of *V. kaznakovi*.

**Methods**

**Sampling**

We sampled tissues from ethanol-preserved museum collection or dry shed skin obtained from alive snakes after shedding in a research collection of Tula Exotarium (Additional file 2). No additional fieldwork or experiments were carried out with snakes in nature or captivity.

Samples within the *V. kaznakovi* complex (*V. kaznakovi* and potential hybrid taxa *V. orlovi* and *V. magnifica*) were assigned to taxa based on previously described species ranges, as reliable diagnostic morphological characters are not available [30, Additional file 2]. *V. kaznakovi* samples (*N* = 8) were from low-altitude forest habitats in northwestern Caucasus just to the southeast of the range of *V. orlovi* (Fig. 6). Specimens of *V. orlovi* (*N* = 12) came from south of the type locality, within the area of the Western Caucasus occupied by *V. orlovi* according to the original description [30]. Specimens of *V. magnifica* (*N* = 2) belong

---

**Fig. 6** Approximate sampling localities of *V. renardi* (squares), *V. kaznakovi* (circles), and potential hybrid taxa *V. orlovi* (western star) and *V. magnifica* (eastern star). Distributions of the *V. renardi* and *V. kaznakovi* complexes are shown for Eastern Europe and Caucasus in dark and light gray, respectively.
to the type series (holotype and paratype) from the Sochi National Park Museum collection.

*V. renardi* s. l., including *V. lotiei* sensu Tuniev et al. [50], could be easily differentiated from all species of the *V. kaznakovi* complex, as it typically has one apical shield and a sharp snout [25]. *V. renardi* samples (*N* = 13) were collected from populations in Southern Russia and Ukraine, including five specimens of *V. lotiei* from Elbrus Mountain in Central Caucasus and one specimen from Dagestan in Eastern Caucasus (Fig. 6, Additional file 2). Samples of *V. herus* (from Russia, *N* = 2) and *V. seoanei* (from Spain, *N* = 1), which occur in a clade sister to the clade containing *V. renardi* and *V. kaznakovi* [26, 28], were used as outgroup samples in the analyses.

### Genetic analyses

DNA was extracted from blood, scale clips, shed skin, or ethanol fixed tissue using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kits (Qiagen). DNA concentrations were measured on a Qubit 2.0 fluorometer (Invitrogen) and adjusted to a working concentration of 15 ng/μL.

Double-digest RADSeq libraries were generated with the protocol described in Sovic et al. [62]. Briefly, genomic DNA was digested with EcoRI and SbfI restriction enzymes and barcoded adaptors were ligation onto the digestion products from each sample. Fragments of size 300–450 bp were then selected using gel electrophoresis, amplified using Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), and purified with streptavidin beads. Products were quantified by qPCR (Quantitative real-time PCR) using KAPA library quantification kits after 1:1500 dilution, and these qPCR measurements were used to pool individuals in equimolar concentrations for sequencing. Pooled libraries were run in single reads 50-bp runs on an Illumina sequencer. SNP identification and genotyping were performed using AftrRAD version 3.3 [63] with the following parameters: Phred quality score had to exceed 20 to retain the base call, minimum average read depth was 20 per allele, reads were considered allelic if they exhibited at least 90% sequence similarity, and paralogous loci were identified as loci with a minimum of 10 reads at a third allele in at least one individual. We retained for analysis only loci genotyped in all samples in the dataset.

### Data analyses

**Descriptive statistics of genetic variation**

Basic genetic statistics and pairwise Fst values was calculated using GENEPOP and Arelquin software [64, 65].

To assess whether presumably hybrid specimens appear to result from ongoing hybridization, we applied a method patterned after that of Gompert et al. [48]. Specifically, we used a subsample of the dataset that included biallelic loci with different alleles fixed in *V. kaznakovi* and *V. renardi*. The admixture proportion *q* (similar to those obtained in STRUCTURE) was directly calculated from these allele frequencies in each individual. Knowing the admixture proportion *q* we can calculate the proportion of heterozygous loci (denoted as Q12 or inter-source population ancestry [48]). The maximum value of Q12 will occur in a case of ongoing hybridization when one of the parents is a pure parental individual. In the case of randomly mating hybrid population, Q12 will be the expected value of heterozygosity under Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

### Phylogenetic analysis

We constructed a Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic tree using RAxML v 8.2.4 [66] using all concatenated loci and GTRCAT approximation of models for 1000 bootstrap replicates.

### Genetic clustering

Genetic clustering was performed on 34 samples (excluding outgroup taxa and one sample of *V. lotiei* from Dagestan because the entire separate clade of *V. lotiei* from Dagestan [29] was represented by only one specimen) to evaluate potential genetic admixture using two different approaches. The first was STRUCTURE [67], which uses Bayesian methods to optimally cluster individuals under a simple admixture model by maximizing Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium within groups. We also clustered samples by Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC), which uses a k-means clustering algorithm to identify groups rather than assuming Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium within groups, as implemented in the R package Adegenet 1.3–9.2 [68, 69]. Both methods can evaluate the amount of admixture of individuals either by calculating the posterior probability of assignment to parental populations (Structure) or by projecting individuals from parental and hybrid populations along axes of discriminant function scores (DAPC).

Structure was run for K values ranging from one to six, with three independent runs at each K. Each run consisted of 200000 MCMC iterations, after an additional burnin period of 20000, and allowed for admixture and correlated allele frequencies. The optimal number of clusters (*K*) was identified using Structure Harvester [70]. No prior information about group assignment of individuals was provided. For DAPC runs, the number of retained principal components was chosen based on the cumulative variance explained. The optimal number of clusters was then identified using Bayesian information criteria [71].

### D Statistics

A weakness of clustering approaches in hybridization studies is that they do not account for incomplete lineage sorting (ILS) as a cause of similarity between groups. Therefore we also applied the Patterson D-statistic (ABBA/BABA)
test [46] to more explicitly evaluate potential genetic introgression within these vipers. This test takes advantage of genomic-scale data by evaluating the subset of biallelic loci that produce incongruent gene trees with the pattern ABBA or BABA on a species tree (((P1,P2),P3),P4), where taxon P2 is a putative hybrid taxon, taxa P1 and P3 are potential parental taxa, and taxon P4 is an Outgroup (Fig. 7). In the absence of introgression, gene trees with the ABBA and BABA patterns are expected to occur in equal frequencies, while introgression causes deviations from a 1:1 ratio of these patterns. These deviations are measured by Patterson’s D, which approaches 1 if gene flow occurs from P3 to P2 [41, 43, 45, 46, 72, 73].

We assigned *V. kaznakovi* and *V. renardi* as the P1 and P3 groups, respectively, and tested for evidence of gene flow from *V. renardi* into each of the purported hybrid groups, *V. orlovi* and *V. magnifica* (P2, Fig. 7). We also calculated D statistics with *V. kaznakovi* and *V. renardi* as the P2 taxa, which served as controls representing minimum (*V. kaznakovi*) and maximum (*V. renardi*) levels of introgression. The tests in which *V. renardi* was included as the P2 group were also used to obtain the maximum potential number of introgressed sites for calculations of conservative minimum estimates of the admixture proportion $f$ for *V. magnifica* and *V. orlovi*, according to equation 8 of Durand et al. [46].

Because we had multiple samples representing each of our taxa, we explored the impact that individual variation had on values of D by generating D-statistics for all possible combinations of individuals representing the P1, P3, and Outgroup taxa for each P2 sample tested. Each combination was run twice to account for both alleles in the diploid samples. Mean D and $f$ statistics were obtained for each sample as the average over all runs for which the specific sample was included as the P2 taxon. This approach utilizes all of the information in the data, in contrast to previous treatments, which have either excluded all heterozygous loci from the analyses [41] or randomly taken one of two alleles from diploid samples [47]. The mean D and $f$ statistics were then averaged over all samples representing each P2 taxon or for each individual to draw inference regarding the occurrence of introgression, and to estimate admixture proportion.

We used combination of dstat.R script (https://gist.github.com/coleoguy/6886683) modified by us and two Perl scripts to automate the process of generation of alignments from individual sequences and calculation of D statistic for each of these alignments.

The D statistic was also calculated from population allelic frequencies according to Equation 2 in Durand et al [46]. The derived allele at each locus was defined as the least frequent allele in the Outgroup. The significance of the observed D statistic was assessed by calculating D statistics from 100 bootstrapped datasets in which derived allele frequencies were resampled from a binomial distribution. This distribution was specified by the total number of alleles sampled in the original dataset and the observed frequency of the derived allele at the relevant locus/population combination.
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