Residual apoptotic activity of a tumorigenic p53 mutant improves cancer therapy responses
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Abstract

Engineered p53 mutant mice are valuable tools for delineating p53 functions in tumor suppression and cancer therapy. Here, we have introduced the R178E mutation into the Trp53 gene of mice to specifically ablate the cooperative nature of p53 DNA binding. Trp53R178E mice show no detectable target gene regulation and, at first sight, are largely indistinguishable from Trp53−/− mice. Surprisingly, stabilization of p53R178E in Mdm2−/− mice nevertheless triggers extensive apoptosis, indicative of residual wild-type activities. Although this apoptotic activity suffices to trigger lethality of Trp53R178E,Mdm2−/− embryos, it proves insufficient for suppression of spontaneous and oncogene-driven tumorigenesis. Trp53R178E mice develop tumors indistinguishably from Trp53−/− mice and tumors retain and even stabilize the p53R178E protein, further attesting to the lack of significant tumor suppressor activity. However, Trp53R178E tumors exhibit remarkably better chemotherapy responses than Trp53−/− ones, resulting in enhanced eradication of p53-mutated tumor cells. Together, this provides genetic proof-of-principle evidence that a p53 mutant can be highly tumorigenic and yet retain apoptotic activity which provides a survival benefit in the context of cancer therapy.
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Introduction

The TP53 gene, encoding the tumor-suppressive transcription factor p53, is mutated in about half of all human cancers. The presence of TP53 mutations correlates in many cancer types with enhanced metastasis and aggressiveness, reduced responses to chemotherapeutic drugs, and, thus, a poor prognosis (Robles et al, 2016; Sabapathy & Lane, 2018). More than 85% of all amino acid positions were found to be mutated in cancer patients, generating a “rainbow” of > 2,000 distinct missense variants (Sabapathy & Lane, 2018). Mutations cluster in the central DNA binding domain (DBD), suggesting that tumorigenesis selects against p53’s DNA binding function (Muller & Vousden, 2014; Stiewe & Haran, 2018). In support of this, mutant frequency was found to directly correlate with loss of transcriptional activation (Kato et al, 2003). However, TP53 mutations show a remarkable preference for missense mutations, although DNA binding can be disrupted equally well by nonsense or frameshift mutations. Furthermore, missense mutants are unstable in normal unstressed cells, but become constitutively stabilized in tumors by Hsp90 which protects mutant p53 from degradation by Mdm2 and CHIP (Terzian et al, 2008; Alexandrova et al, 2015). The preferential selection of missense mutants together with their excessive stabilization therefore points at additional mechanisms that promote tumor development beyond a mere loss of DNA binding activity: Missense mutants exhibit dominant-negative effects on remaining wild-type p53 and display neuromorphic properties that—like an oncogene—actively drive tumor development to a metastatic and drug-resistant state (Freed-Porter & Prives, 2012; Muller & Vousden, 2014; Kim & Lozano, 2018; Stiewe & Haran, 2018). Missense mutations therefore enhance tumor development and progression in three ways: the loss of wild-type-like DNA binding activity (loss of function, LOF), dominant-negative effects on wild-type p53, and the gain of new tumor-promoting oncogenic properties (gain of function, GOF) (Stiewe & Haran, 2018).

p53 missense mutants are broadly classified as either “structural” or “DNA contact” mutants (Bullock & Fersht, 2001). Structural mutants destabilize the inherently low stability of the DBD resulting in its denaturation at body temperature and therefore likely affect also those non-transcriptional functions which are mediated by the DBD through, for instance, protein–protein
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interactions. In contrast, DNA contact mutants affect single DNA-interacting residues and retain an intact native fold (Bullock & Fersht, 2001). We and others have previously described a new class of non-structural mutations affecting the DBD surface residues E180 and R181 which form a reciprocal salt bridge between two adjacent p53 subunits in the tetrameric DNA-bound complex (Klein et al., 2001; Kitayner et al., 2010). This salt bridge is essential for p53 to bind DNA in a cooperative manner so that mutations at these sites are referred to as cooperative mutations (Dehner et al., 2005; Schlereth et al., 2010a). Despite being no mutational hot-spots, cooperativity mutations at residues E180 and R181 are estimated to account for 34,000 cancer cases per year (Leroy et al., 2014). Importantly, distinct cooperativity mutations reduce p53 DNA binding to different extents without changing the overall DBD structure determined by NMR spectroscopy (Dehner et al., 2005). Of all mutations of the double salt bridge, the R181E mutant disrupts formation of the intermolecular salt bridge most effectively (Schlereth et al., 2010a). Although R181E retains a native fold, it is entirely DNA binding deficient as assessed by electrophoretic mobility shift assays and genome-wide chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis (Dehner et al., 2005; Schlereth et al., 2010a, 2013). In R181E, the double salt bridge residues 180 and 181 are both glutamic acid (E), so that we refer to this mutant in short as “EE”.

Mutations engineered into the mouse Trp53 gene locus are valuable tools to delineate in vivo tumor suppressor functions in tumorigenesis and cancer therapy (Biegling et al., 2014; Mello & Attardi, 2018). Besides mutations derived from cancer patients, especially non-naturally occurring mutations of post-translational modification sites (Sluss et al., 2004; Sree et al., 2010; Li et al., 2012) or functional domains (Toledo et al., 2006; Brady et al., 2011; Hamard et al., 2013; Simeonova et al., 2013) have yielded substantial mechanistic insight into the pathways required for tumor suppression. To explore the relevance of DNA binding cooperativity for p53’s anti-tumor activities, we therefore generated the “EE” mouse carrying the human R181E-equivalent R178E mutation at the endogenous Trp53 gene locus. Cistrome and transcriptome analysis confirms the EE mutant as DNA binding deficient in vivo. Phenotype analysis demonstrates a knock-out-like appearance characterized by undetectable p53 target gene regulation and widespread, early-onset tumorigenesis, indicating that DNA binding cooperativity is essential for DNA binding and tumor suppression in vivo. Surprisingly, the EE mutation—different from the p53-knock-out—does not rescue the embryonic lethality of the Mdm2 knock-out and triggers massive apoptotic cell death providing support for residual cytotoxic activities upon constitutive stabilization. An essential role of caspases, localization of EE to the mitochondria, and sensitization to mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization point toward the intrinsic apoptosis pathway as the cause of cell death. Importantly, apoptosis was also triggered in vitro and in vivo by DNA-damaging chemotherapy of tumor cells expressing constitutively or pharmacologically stabilized EE. This translated into improved survival under chemotherapy. Similar results were obtained with the human R181L cooperativity mutant, which has been recurrently identified in cancer patients. Together, these findings highlight that mutant p53, in principle, can retain residual apoptotic activities that are insufficient to prevent tumorigenesis and not efficiently counter-selected during tumor evolution. Stabilization of such a p53 mutant in combination with chemotherapy is capable to trigger mutant p53-mediated cytotoxicity resulting in improved anti-cancer responses and increased survival.

**Results**

p53EE is deficient for DNA binding and target gene activation

We previously showed that the DNA binding cooperativity mutant p53R181E (EE) fails to bind p53 response elements in vitro and when exogenously expressed in p53-null cells (Schlereth et al., 2010a, 2013). To address how ablation of DNA binding cooperativity affects p53 functions in vivo, we generated a conditional knock-in mouse, carrying the R178E (EE) mutation in exon 5 of the endogenous mouse Trp53 gene locus (Fig EV1A–D). DNA binding deficiency of the EE mutation in the context of the mouse p53 protein was confirmed by electrophoretic mobility shift assays using nuclear extracts of homozygous p53EE mice (Figs EV1E and EV1I). Next, DNA binding was assessed genome-wide by sequencing chromatin immunoprecipitated with a p53 antibody from MEFs under untreated conditions and following p53 stabilization with the Mdm2 inhibitor Nutlin-3a (Nutlin) (ChiP-seq, Fig 1A). We identified a total of 468 p53-specific peaks in Nutlin-treated p53+/−/− MEFs (Figs 1A and EV1F). Validating the quality of the ChiP-seq, these peaks were strongly enriched for the p53 consensus motif at the peak center and significantly annotated with multiple Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) gene sets related to p53 function (Fig 1B and G). Only 3 peaks were identified in Nutlin-treated p53EE/EE MEFs that were, however, also called in p53−/− MEFs and therefore considered non-specific (Fig EV1F). Thus, the p53 binding pattern observed in p53EE/EE MEFs was indistinguishable from p53−/− MEFs, irrespective of Nutlin treatment, and therefore validated the p53EE mutant expressed from the endogenous Trp53 gene locus to be DNA binding deficient in cells.

When global gene expression was profiled by RNA-seq, Nutlin exerted a significantly stronger effect on global gene expression in p53+/−/− versus either p53EE/EE or p53−/− MEFs, while Nutlin effects on p53EE/EE and p53−/− cells showed no significant difference (Fig EV1H). Furthermore, we observed in p53+/−/− but not in p53EE/EE or p53-null MEFs a strong Nutlin-inducible expression of a p53 signature including both bona fide p53 pathway genes (MSigDB HALLMARKS_P53_PATHWAY) and non-canonical targets previously identified to be critical mediators of tumor suppression (Figs IC and EV1I) (Brady et al., 2011). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) showed a highly significant enrichment of a p53 target gene signature (MSigDB P53_DOWNSTREAM_PATHWAY) in p53+/−/− cells compared to both p53EE/EE or p53−/− MEFs, but no enrichment in p53EE/EE versus p53−/− MEFs (Fig 1D). The same was observed for multiple other p53-related gene sets (Fig 1D). The lack of p53 target gene activation in p53EE/EE MEFs was confirmed also under conditions of DNA damage induced with doxorubicin (Fig 1F). Western blots revealed increased p53 expression in p53EE/EE versus p53+/−/− MEFs, which was further augmented by Nutlin or doxorubicin—yet in the absence of detectable expression of the p53 targets p21 and Mdm2 (Fig 1G and H). We conclude from these data that the murine p53EE mutant lacks detectable sequence-specific DNA binding and p53 target gene activation.
**Figure 1.**

The figure demonstrates the effects of Nutlin and DMSO on various biological pathways and gene expression in the context of p53 regulation.

### A. Protein Expression
- **A**: De novo motif discovery (MEME) showing CWTGCCYGGCGTG E = 1.1e-1072.
- **B**: TF motif enrichment analysis:
  - MA0106.2_TP53_Ens_Mm_79 E = 4.8e-34
  - MA0525.1_TP63_Ens_Mm_79 E = 1.6e-28

### B. Pathway Interaction Analysis
- **C**: Heatmap of pathway interactions with NES values:
  - NES = 0.986
  - NES = 2.442 P < 0.001
  - NES = 2.253 P < 0.001

### C. Gene Expression
- **D**: Heatmap showing mean normalized expression for genes such as Cdkn1a, Mdm2, Ccng1, and Puma.

### D. Statistical Analysis
- **E**: Enrichment score heatmap for pathways like P53_DOWNSTREAM_PATHWAY, P53_BASAL_REGULATED_BOUND_GENES, and others.

### E. Protein Band Analysis
- **F**: Western blot analysis of Cdkn1a, Mdm2, Ccng1, and Puma with bands for Control and Doxo.

### F. Western Blot Analysis
- **G**: Western blot analysis of p53, mdm2, and p21 with bands for Nutlin and DMSO conditions.

### G. Western Blot Analysis
- **H**: Western blot analysis of p53, mdm2, and p21 with bands for Doxo and Control conditions.

**Conclusion:** The figure illustrates the differential effects of Nutlin and DMSO on gene expression and protein levels, highlighting the importance of p53 in cellular response to these agents.
p53EE fails to induce apoptosis, cell cycle arrest, and senescence

In response to various types of stress, wild-type p53 elicits cell cycle arrest and senescence mediated by transcriptional activation of target genes, such as Cdkn1a/p21. Consistent with the inability of p53EE to induce target genes, p53EE/EE and p53-null MEFs comparably failed to undergo cell cycle arrest in response to doxorubicin-triggered DNA damage (Fig 2A) or to enter senescence upon enforced expression of oncogenic Ras (Fig EV2A) or in vitro passaging (Figs 2B and EV2B).

Besides cell cycle arrest, p53 is capable of inducing apoptotic cell death upon severe DNA damage. While immortalization with adenoviral E1A.12S strongly sensitized p53+/-/- MEFs to apoptosis, E1A-expressing p53EE/EE and p53-null MEFs remained refractory to apoptosis induction by genotoxic damage or Nutlin (Fig 2C). Likewise, p53EE/EE thymocytes were as resistant as p53-null cells to apoptosis triggered by ionizing radiation, despite retaining the ability to undergo p53-independent apoptosis thereby excluding a general failure of the apoptosis ionizing radiation, despite retaining the ability to undergo p53-indepen-

dent apoptosis thereby excluding a general failure of the apoptosis

Constitutive p53EE stabilization triggers
ROS-dependent senescence

When passaging p53EE/EE MEFs for longer time periods, we noted that —unlike p53+/-/- MEFs—the proliferation rate of p53EE/EE MEFs eventually declined and the cells started to express the senescence marker SA-beta-galactosidase (Fig EV3A and B). This was accompanied by a progressive increase in p53EE protein levels, but without the increased expression in p53 target genes that was detectable in p53+/+ MEFs (Fig EV3B). This suggests that p53EE inhibits Nrf2 activity similar as other p53 mutants (Terzian et al., 2017; Merkel et al., 2017; Merkel et al., 2017). We therefore postulate that the Nrf2-inhibitory activity of p53EE could explain an increased ROS production (Fig EV3I). Instead, we observed a somewhat reduced oxidative ATP production under basal conditions and significantly impaired spare respiratory capacity upon mitochondrial uncoupling, suggesting an inhibitory effect of p53EE on mitochondrial functions (Fig EV3I). In line, the mitochondrial DNA content of p53EE/EE MEFs was significantly decreased, especially at late passages (Fig EV3J).

A common regulator of ROS defense, oxidative phosphorylation, and mitochondrial biogenesis is the transcription factor Nrf2 (nuclear respiratory factor 2) (Dinkova-Kostova & Abramov, 2015). Basal and ROS-triggered expression of anti-oxidative Nrf2 target genes was diminished in p53EE/EE MEFs (Fig EV3K and L), strongly suggesting that p53EE inhibits Nrf2 activity similar as other p53 mutants (Waltery et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017; Merkel et al., 2017). We therefore postulate that the Nrf2-inhibitory activity of p53EE sensitizes to ROS-induced senescence.

p53EE is unable to rescue the lethality of Mdm2-null mice

In light of the senescence induced by progressive accumulation of p53 upon long-term cultivation (Fig EV3), we further explored
whether p53EE exerts anti-proliferative or cytotoxic activities when constitutively stabilized by Mdm2 knock-out. Genetic ablation of Mdm2 in mice causes early embryonic lethality due to massive apoptosis initiated as early as E3.5, whereas simultaneous disruption of the Trp53 gene rescues the lethal phenotype (Jones et al., 1995; Montes de Oca Luna et al., 1995; Chavez-Reyes et al., 2003).

![Figure 2](image-url)
Embryonic lethality of Mdm2 knock-out animals is also rescued by p53 missense mutations such as Trp53\R^2498 and Trp53\R^172H that mimic human hot-spot mutants p53\R^2489 and p53\R^172V, respectively (Lee et al., 2012). Moreover, the apoptosis-deficient mutant p53\R^172H also rescued Mdm2-/- embryos, but the newborn born showed severe developmental defects and died soon due to ROS-dependent hematopoietic failure (Abbas et al., 2010). Surprisingly, we observed a clear deviation from the expected Mendelian distribution in inter-crosses of double heterozygous Trp53\R/;Mdm2\R/- animals. Notably, no Trp53\R/E;Mdm2\R/- pups were born alive, indicating that those embryos died in utero (Fig 3A). In contrast, upon breeding Trp53\R/-;Mdm2\R/- mice as controls, viable double homozygous offspring was obtained as expected (Fig 3B). Trp53\R/E;Mdm2\R/- embryos displayed severe developmental defects starting at days E9.5-10.5 (Fig 3C and D), and no living Trp53\R/E;Mdm2\R/- embryos were recovered after day E12.5 (Fig 3D). Immunohistochemical analysis of tissue sections revealed strong accumulation of p53 protein in Trp53\R/E;Mdm2\R/- embryos compared to Trp53\R/E;Mdm2\R/- controls accompanied by high levels of apoptosis (Fig 3E). We conclude that Trp53\R/E;Mdm2\R/- embryos survive approximately 1 week longer than Trp53\R/-;Mdm2\R/- embryos which further underlines the functional defect of p53EE. Importantly, the failure of p53EE to completely rescue Mdm2-deficient embryos from lethality distinguishes p53EE from the p53 knock-out and reveals residual cytotoxic activities of p53EE with severe biological consequences in vivo.

However, the lethality of Trp53\R/E;Mdm2\R/- embryos contrasted with the lack of any detectable cytotoxic activity of the Mdm2 inhibitor Nutlin in p53\R/E/EE MEFs (Fig 2C). Of note, Nutlin specifically disrupts the interaction between p53 and Mdm2 leading to p53 stabilization, but does not inhibit many other Mdm2 functions, such as its p53-independent metabolic role in ROS detoxification (Riscal et al., 2016). As the p53EE-mediated senescence in late passage cultures was linked to both p53 accumulation and ROS (Fig EV3), we hypothesize that the lethality of Trp53\R/E;Mdm2\R/- embryos also results from the combination of p53EE stabilization and increased ROS, which are both consequences of Mdm2 ablation.

Pharmacological inhibition of Mdm2 unleashes cytotoxic activities of p53EE

This prompted us to investigate whether accumulation of p53EE caused by loss of Mdm2 sensitizes cells to doxorubicin whose cytotoxicity involves ROS- and DNA damage-dependent mechanisms (Trachootham et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2011). Because of the embryonic lethality, we could not establish Trp53\R/E;Mdm2\R/- MEFs and used Trp53\R/-;Mdm2\R/- (DKO) MEFs ectopically expressing p53EE from a tetracycline-activated promoter instead (DKO-tetEE). As expected, in the absence of tetracycline the DKO-tetEE MEFs were as resistant to doxorubicin as the parental DKO cells but showed significantly elevated levels of apoptosis in response to doxorubicin treatment following induction of p53EE expression (Fig 4A). In compliance with the DNA binding deficiency of p53EE, this was not accompanied by transcriptional activation of key pro-apoptotic p53 target genes (Fig 4B).

Next, we tested whether pharmacological inhibition of Mdm2 with Nutlin has a similar effect on E1A-MEFs with endogenous expression of p53EE. While Nutlin or doxorubicin alone had no or minimal effects on p53\R/E/EE MEFs, combined treatment of p53\R/E/EE cells with Nutlin and doxorubicin caused significant, p53-dependent reduction in proliferation and survival (Fig 4C and D). Similar cytotoxic activity was also observed when doxorubicin was combined with other Mdm2 inhibitors (Fig 4E), excluding toxic off-target effects of Nutlin as an explanation. Cell death involved cleavage of Parp and caspase-3, but upregulation of p53 target genes was not detectable (Fig 4F and G).

The Hsp90 inhibitor ganetespib, which targets mutant p53 for proteosomal degradation, efficiently degraded p53EE in E1A-MEFs, highlighting a role for Hsp90 in p53 stability (Fig 4H). p53EE degradation itself was not associated with apoptosis, indicating that E1A-MEFs are not dependent on p53EE. In line with the role of Mdm2 for ganetespib-induced mutant p53 degradation (Li et al., 2011), p53EE degradation by ganetespib was prevented when administered simultaneously with Nutlin (Fig 4H). Pre-treatment with ganetespib, however, led to p53EE degradation and efficiently counteracted the apoptosis induced by sequential treatment with Nutlin plus doxorubicin, thereby validating stabilized p53EE as the mediator (Fig 4H).

As wild-type p53 exerts direct, non-transcriptional, pro-apoptotic effects at the mitochondria (Mihara et al., 2003; Chipuk et al., 2004; Leu et al., 2004; Le Pen et al., 2016), we tested for mitochondrial localization of p53EE (Fig 4I). Remarkably, mitochondrial fractions of untreated p53\R/E/EE MEFs contained p53 at levels similarly high as p53\R/- cells following doxorubicin treatment. The amount of mitochondrial p53EE increased even further under doxorubicin treatment. The increased amount of p53EE at the mitochondria could therefore provide a plausible explanation for the sensitivity of p53\R/E/EE MEFs to apoptotic stimuli even in the absence of p53 target gene activation.
Residual apoptotic activities of mutant p53 in human cancer cells

To test whether p53EE is also capable of inducing apoptosis in human cancer cells, we generated a human p53-null H1299 lung cancer cell line with stable Tet-inducible expression of the human p53<sup>R181E</sup> (p53EE) mutant. Overexpression of p53EE rendered H1299 cells, which express only barely detectable levels of Mdm2, sensitive to doxorubicin, and addition of Nutlin further augmented this effect (Fig 5A). Cell death was inhibited by Q-VD-OPh, a blocker of caspase-dependent apoptosis, but not by the ferroptosis inhibitor ferrostatin as a control (Fig 5B). Annexin V staining revealed a p53-dependent increase in apoptotic cells under combined doxorubicin and Nutlin treatment, confirming the observed cell death as apoptotic (Fig 5C). p53EE-mediated apoptosis in H1299 cells occurred in

**Figure 3. Lethality of p53EE embryos in the absence of Mdm2.**

A Observed and expected genotype distribution of newborn offspring from mating Mdm2<sup>+/−</sup>:Trp53<sup>EE+/−</sup> mice (total number of pups n = 185; contingency test P = 0.0029).

B Observed and expected genotype distribution of newborn offspring from mating Mdm2<sup>−/−</sup>:Trp53<sup>EE−/−</sup> mice (total number of pups n = 435; contingency test P = 0.912).

C Representative picture of an E9.5 Mdm2<sup>−/−</sup>:Trp53<sup>EE+/−</sup> embryo (right) displays characteristic phenotypic abnormalities compared to an Mdm2<sup>−/−</sup>:Trp53<sup>EE−/−</sup> embryo (left).

D Phenotype analysis of Trp53<sup>EE−/−</sup> embryos with different Mdm2 genotypes reveals developmental defects in Mdm2<sup>−/−</sup>:Trp53<sup>EE−/−</sup> embryos starting from E9.5.

E IHC for p53 and cleaved caspase-3 shows strong accumulation of p53EE protein and massive apoptosis in Mdm2<sup>−/−</sup>:Trp53<sup>EE−/−</sup> compared to Mdm2<sup>−/−</sup>:Trp53<sup>EE+/−</sup> control embryos.
Figure 4.
the absence of detectable p53 target gene activation (Fig 5D). Proliferation of MEFs with indicated genotypes was analyzed by live-cell imaging in the presence of doxorubicin (Doxo) and Nutlin as detected by flow cytometry for annexin V. 

**Figure 4. Pharmacological inhibition of Mdm2 unleashes cytotoxic activities of p53EE.**

A. Mdm2−/−Trp53−/− (double knock-out, DKO) MEFs were transduced with pincer20-p53EE lentivirus to enable tetracycline (Tet)-inducible expression of mouse p53EE. Induction of p53EE sensitized cells to apoptosis induced by 24-h treatment with 1 μg/ml doxorubicin (Doxo) as detected by flow cytometry for annexin V.

B. mRNA expression of p53 target genes was measured in cells (A) relative to β-actin by RT-qPCR following treatment with Tet ± 1 μg/ml Doxo. Expression of the pro-apoptotic p53 target genes Bbc3 (Puma) and Bax is not significantly induced. n = 6.

C. Proliferation of MEFs with indicated genotypes was analyzed by live-cell imaging in the presence of 10 μM Nutlin-3a (Nutlin) and/or 0.05 μg/ml doxorubicin (Doxo). Shown is the median confluence ± SEM (n = 12).

D. E. Cell viability assays for MEFs with indicated genotypes treated with Mdm2 inhibitors ± 0.4 μg/ml Doxo. n = 3.

F. Western blot of E1A-MEFs with indicated genotypes treated with 10 μM Nutlin ± 0.4 μg/ml Doxo for 18 h reveals induction of apoptosis (cCasp-3, cleaved caspase-3; cParp, cleaved Parp) in double-treated p53EE/EE MEFs in the absence of p53 target gene (p21, Mdm2) activation. **P < 0.4 μg/ml Doxo, ***P < 0.2 μg/ml Doxo.

G. mRNA expression of p53 target genes was measured in E1A MEFs of indicated genotype relative to β-actin by RT-qPCR following combined treatment with 10 μM Nutlin and 1 μg/ml Doxo. n = 12.

H. Western blot of p53EE/EE E1A-MEFs with indicated genotypes treated for 18 h with 10 μM Nutlin, 0.4 μg/ml Doxo, and 30-100-500 nM ganetespib as indicated. Comb, combined treatment with 3 drugs for 18 h. Pre, pre-treatment with ganetespib for 24 h followed by combined treatment with Nutlin and doxorubicin for 18 h.

I. Western blot of cellular fractions from unstrressed and Doxo-treated MEFs of indicated genotype identifies p53EE protein in the mitochondrial fraction. PCNA and Tom20/Bak are shown as nuclear and mitochondrial markers proteins.

Data information: All data are shown as mean ± SD unless indicated otherwise. Significance was tested between the two cell lines or treatments. P values were calculated by 2-way ANOVA with Sidak’s multiple comparisons test.

**p53EE fails to suppress tumor development**

To investigate the role of DNA binding cooperativity for tumor suppression, we aged cohorts of EE mutant mice. Surprisingly, despite evidence for residual apoptotic activity of p53EE (Figs 3–5), homozygous p53EE/EE and hemizygous p53EE/−/− mice developed tumors very rapidly resulting in a short median survival of 150 and 128 days, respectively (Fig 6A). This was not significantly different from p53−/− animals. Heterozygous p53EE/+ showed an intermediate median survival of 519 days that was not significantly altered as compared to p53−/− mice (Fig 6A). The lack of a difference in survival between p53EE/+ and p53−/− mice, despite evidence for a dominant-negative activity of p53EE in overexpression studies (Schlereth et al, 2010a), is reminiscent of mice heterozygous for the hot-spot mutants R172H and R270H and consistent with the hypothesis that dominant-negative effects might require prior mutant p53 stabilization (Lang et al, 2004; Olive et al, 2004).

As previously reported for p53−/− animals, the tumor spectra of p53EE/EE and hemizygous p53EE/−/− were dominated by thymic lymphoma (Fig 6B; Appendix Table S1). Additional tumor types were B-cell lymphomas, sarcomas, and testicular tumors (Appendix Table S1). The tumors of p53EE/−/− were similar to those of p53−/− mice and mostly non-thymic lymphomas, sarcomas, and several types of carcinoma (Fig 6B, Appendix Table S2).

Unlike what has been reported for the p53 hot-spot mutants R172H or R270H, we did not observe an increase in metastatic tumors in p53EE/EE and p53EE/−/− compared to p53−/− and p53EE/−/− mice (Appendix Table S1), suggesting a lack of GOF properties of the EE mutant. For hot-spot mutants, constitutive stabilization was identified as a prerequisite for GOF effects (Terzian et al, 2008). Similar as described for tumors in R172H-mutant mice (Terzian et al, 2008), we noted varying levels of p53EE expression in spontaneous tumors arising in p53EE/EE or p53EE/−/− mice, with a high fraction (67%) of p53EE/EE tumors exhibiting p53EE stabilization comparable to p53-mutated human cancer samples (Figs 6C and EV4 and EV5). This indicates that the absence of a pro-metastatic GOF cannot be explained by a lack of constitutive p53EE stabilization and suggests that p53EE, similar to p53 mutants like R246S or R245H, can be converted into a dominant-negative form and act to suppress tumor development.
G245S (Lee et al., 2012; Hanel et al., 2013), lacks the pro-metastatic GOF activity described for R172H and R270H (Olive et al., 2004). To explore whether p53EE can counteract oncogene-induced tumorigenesis in a genetically more defined setting, we crossed p53EE animals with E\textsubscript{l}\textsuperscript{-}Myc mice which serve as a well-characterized model of Burkitt-like B-cell lymphoma (Adams et al., 1985). Loss of p53 is known to strongly accelerate lymphoma development in this model (Schmitt et al., 1999). We observed that E\textsubscript{l}\textsuperscript{-}Myc;p53EE/+ mice survived markedly shorter than E\textsubscript{l}\textsuperscript{-}Myc;p53+/+ but not significantly longer than E\textsubscript{l}\textsuperscript{-}Myc;p53+/C0 mice.

Figure 5.
Figure 5. Residual apoptotic cytotoxicity of p53−/− lymphoma cells to MAF treatment, induction of apoptosis started in p53EE cells only after 6 h and gradually increased up to significantly elevated levels of 60–70% at 24 h, whereas p53-null lymphoma cells showed no response (Fig 7A). To test whether the increased sensitivity of p53EE lymphoma cells is directly p53EE-mediated, the lymphoma cells were transduced with a Tet-inducible, red fluorescence protein (RFP)-coupled shRNA to knockdown p53EE expression. RFP-positive, i.e., p53 shRNA-expressing, lymphoma cells became significantly enriched under MAF treatment in a dose-dependent manner, identifying the enhanced cytotoxic response of p53EE lymphoma cells as directly p53EE-mediated (Fig 7B). In support of a non-transcriptional mechanism, the cytotoxic response was not preceded by an upregulation of p53 target genes (Fig 7C).

Next, we transplanted primary lymphomas into syngeneic recipients. After lymphomas became palpable in the peripheral lymph nodes, each cohort was divided into two groups—one was subjected to a single injection of 300 mg/kg CTX, and the second was left untreated (Fig 7D). Independent of p53 genotype, the disease progressed rapidly with similar kinetics in untreated control mice, whereas all treated animals responded well to CTX therapy and went into clinical remission. In line with previous studies (Schmitt et al, 1999, 2002b), p53−/− lymphomas rapidly relapsed in all mice, which resulted in a very modest median survival benefit of 19 days. In contrast, all mice transplanted with p53+/− lymphomas remained in complete remission during the whole period of observation (90–180 days after treatment). Importantly, the chemotherapy was also effective for treatment of p53EE lymphoma, provided a median survival benefit of 30 days, and—even more compelling—yielded a complete tumor-free remission in 36% of animals (Fig 7D and E). To monitor the therapy response quantitatively, we measured residual disease in the spleen with a sensitive qPCR copy number assay for the Eμ-Myc transgene of moribund lymphoma mice and non-transgenic littermates as positive and negative controls, respectively (Fig 7F). Tumor load in mice with p53+/− lymphoma decreased by >3 orders of magnitude within 24 h after treatment and dropped below the detection limit by 7 days, consistent with the uniform long-term clinical remission in this cohort. In line with the delayed apoptotic response of p53EE lymphoma cells in vitro (Fig 7A), we observed only a slight, but significant, decline in tumor load at 24 h in p53EE compared to p53−/− lymphoma
**Figure 6.**

A. Survival analysis for different lymphoma and malignancy subtypes. Various lines represent different conditions, and the survival data is shown in a Kaplan-Meier plot. The table to the right details median survival days for different groups. The symbols indicate statistical significance, with P < 0.05 and not significant noted.

B. Pie charts illustrating the distribution of lymphoma subtypes among different genotypes. The labels indicate the relative proportions of thymic lymphoma, splenic lymphoma, other lymphoma, sarcoma, carcinoma, and other malignancy.

C. Representative images of thymic lymphoma, splenic lymphoma, and sarcomas, highlighting the morphological differences.

D. Survival analysis for EmuMyc lymphoma. The graph shows the survival rates over time for EE/+ (n=23), +/- (n=35), and +/- (n=39) groups. The median survival days are also indicated in the table below the graph.

E. Survival analysis for acute myeloid leukemia. Similar to the lymphoma analysis, the graph and table provide survival data for EE/+ (n=23), +/- (n=35), and +/- (n=39) groups.

F. Immunohistochemical staining for p53 in thymic lymphoma and EE/EE lymphoma. The images show the staining patterns and levels of p53 expression.

G. Immunohistochemical staining for p53 in AML. The images compare the expression levels in +/- AML and EE/EE AML.
mice. By 7 days, however, tumor cells had become undetectable in 3 of 5 p53EE lymphoma mice, indicating molecular remission, whereas p53-/- lymphoma cells remained detectable in all animals of the p53-/- group.

To validate in a second independent model that p53EE enhances the chemotherapy response in vivo, we transplanted syngeneic recipient mice with A29/Nras-driven acute myeloid leukemia cells with different p53 genotypes and monitored disease progression by bioluminescence imaging (Fig 7G). p53-/-/- leukemia cells responded well to a standard chemotherapy protocol with cytarabine (AraC) combined with doxorubicin, which yielded a significant survival benefit (Fig 7G and H). p53-/-/- leukemia rapidly killed the transplanted animals irrespective of therapy. In striking contrast, chemotherapy controlled progression of p53EE/EE leukemia remarkably well, which translated into a significantly improved survival (Fig 7G and H).

Discussion

Our results obtained with the R178E cooperativity mutation in different mouse cancer models illustrate that a p53 mutant can be as inefficient as a p53 knock-out allele in preventing tumor development and yet retain residual apoptotic activity. Taken together, this indicates that residual apoptotic p53 functions on their own are unable to counteract tumorigenesis, are therefore not efficiently counter-selected, and can be retained by mutant p53 during tumor evolution. Importantly, these residual cytotoxic activities can be triggered by chemotherapeutics to induce a survival benefit, especially when mutant p53 is constitutionally or pharmacologically stabilized. Superior chemotherapeutic responses in p53-mutated versus p53-deficient tumors challenge the current view that a p53 missense mutation invariably signals a worse prognosis than a gene deletion.

p53WT is capable of inducing cell death through multiple pathways including apoptosis, necrosis, and ferroptosis. The cytotoxic activity of p53EE is apoptotic in nature as shown by caspase cleavage (Figs 3E and 4F and H), phosphatidylserine externalization (Figs 4A and 5C and H), and blockage by caspase inhibitors, but not ferroptosis inhibitors (Fig 5B). p53WT induces apoptosis by transcriptional upregulation of pro-apoptotic target genes such as PUMA, NOXA, and BAX in combination with non-transcriptional mechanisms mediated through protein–protein interactions with Bcl-2 family members, which lowers the threshold for engaging the mitochondrial apoptosis pathway (Mihara et al, 2003; Chipuk et al, 2004; Leu et al, 2004; Le Pen et al, 2016). The lack of detectable DNA binding and target gene regulation by p53EE suggested that its pro-apoptotic activity relies primarily on the non-transcriptional pathway, and we demonstrate that p53EE efficiently localizes to the mitochondria (Figs 4I and 5E and F), interacts with Bcl-2 family members (Fig 5F), and primes cells to mitochondrial depolarization by BH3 peptides (Fig 5G). In addition, we provide evidence that p53EE, similar to other p53 mutants, interferes with Nrf2 transcriptional activity, which is critical not only for ROS defense, but also for the respiratory function of mitochondria, their biogenesis, and integrity (Dinkova-Kostova & Abramov, 2015). It is therefore tempting to speculate that direct activities of p53 at the mitochondria and indirect effects through Nrf2-inhibition synergistically prime the mitochondria and lower the apoptotic threshold. Nevertheless, we cannot formally exclude that p53EE under conditions of maximal stimulation induces pro-apoptotic target genes to a degree that is below our detection limit. As embryonic development is very sensitive to deregulated p53 activity, residual transcriptional activity of p53EE could in principle explain the Trp53EE/EE:Mdm2-/-/- embryonic lethality. And indeed, it was shown that a single hypomorphic Trp53<sup>neo</sup> allele (Trp53<sup>geo/-</sup>) that shows ~7% activity is compatible with Mdm2<sup>-/-</sup> embryonic development, whereas Trp53<sup>geo/neo</sup> homozygosity, yielding ~16% activity, already triggers embryonic lethality (Wang et al, 2011). However, deregulated p53 can cause embryonic lethality by multiple pathways including apoptosis, ferroptosis, or cell growth arrest (Jiang et al, 2015; Moyer et al, 2017). Whether Trp53<sup>geo/neo</sup>:Mdm2<sup>-/-</sup> embryos die by apoptosis was not reported. In general, low p53 levels or reduced p53 DNA binding cooperativity primarily activates homeostatic survival functions, while only strongly elevated and prolonged expression levels shift the cellular response to apoptosis (Chen et al, 1996; Vousden & Lu, 2002; Vousden & Lane, 2007; Schlereth et al, 2013; Timofeev et al, 2013). This is mechanistically explained by higher affinity and torsionally more flexible p53 response elements in target genes regulating, for example, cell proliferation (Murray-Zmijewski et al, 2008; Riley et al, 2008; Schlereth et al, 2010b, 2013; Jordan et al, 2012) and a higher target gene expression threshold for triggering apoptosis (Kracikova et al, 2013). If p53EE regains residual transcriptional activity upon massive stimulation, it would be difficult to envision why this resulted in apoptosis instead of cell cycle arrest (Figs 3–5). Last but not least, the charge inversion associated with the arginine to glutamic acid substitution in p53EE might affect protein–protein interactions that are responsible for its pro-apoptotic activity. However, the same pro-apoptotic activity is seen for the charge-neutralizing R181L mutation (Fig 5H), excluding the negatively charged glutamic acid residue as the underlying cause. Altogether, we therefore favor the hypothesis that p53EE triggers apoptosis primarily through non-transcriptional mechanisms.

From a clinical perspective, it will be interesting to investigate which p53 mutants in cancer patients display residual apoptotic activity, as p53EE is not a naturally occurring mutation. Since
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However, just like with p53EE, genotoxic doxorubicin treatment apoptosis defect (Ludwig et al., 2010a). Here, we have examined the R181L mutant that has been identified as both a somatic and germline mutation in cancer patients. Consistent with previous reports that R181L has a selective apoptosis defect (Ludwig et al., 1996; Schlereth et al., 2010a), we failed to detect apoptosis upon R181L overexpression (Fig 5H). However, just like with p53EE, genotoxic doxorubicin treatment revealed substantial pro-apoptotic activity, supporting the concept that a tumorigenic p53 mutant like R181L can retain residual apoptotic functions and support chemotherapy responses.

Intriguingly, the R175P mutant, which resembles the R181L mutant in its selective loss of pro-apoptotic target gene regulation (Ludwig et al., 1996; Rowan et al., 1996; Liu et al., 2004), failed to enhance apoptosis under identical conditions of doxorubicin treatment (Fig 5H). A possible explanation is that R175 is crucial for the structural integrity of the L2-L3 loop which interacts not only with the minor groove of DNA response elements but also with Bcl-2 family members (Cho et al., 1994; Bullock et al., 2000; Mihara et al., 2003; Tomita et al., 2006; Hagn et al., 2010). In contrast, R181 is solvent-exposed and R181 mutations do not affect the DBD 3D structure (Dehner et al., 2005). It is therefore tempting to speculate that in particular, cooperativity mutants of the H1 helix, which interfere with DNA binding but do not affect the interaction interface with DNA and Bcl-2 family members, have retained non-transcriptional apoptotic activity. A more detailed systematic analysis of the more than 2,000 different cancer mutants is needed to validate this hypothesis and possibly reveal further cancer mutants with a similar phenotype.

Recent studies have revealed that tumors can be addicted to pro-survival GOF activities of mutant p53 and respond to mutant p53 depletion with tumor regression (Alexandrova et al., 2015). Mutant p53 destabilizing drugs, such as the Hsp90 inhibitor ganetespib, are therefore considered a promising approach for treatment of p53-mutated tumors, and a first clinical trial combining chemotherapy with ganetespib for p53-mutated ovarian cancer patients has been initiated (Alexandrova et al., 2015; Bykov et al., 2018; Sabapathy & Lane, 2018). However, mouse models for the R246S (equivalent to human R249S) and humanized G245S mutants have shown that p53 mutations can be highly tumorigenic without exhibiting appreciable GOF activity (Lee et al., 2012; Hanel et al., 2013). The p53EE mutant does not significantly decrease the latency of tumor development or enhance the incidence of metastatic tumors compared to p53 knock-out mice (Fig 6A; Appendix Tables S1 and S2). There was a trend for increased incidence of non-lymphoid tumors in homozygous p53EE mice, but this was not statistically significant (Fig 6B), leading us to conclude that p53EE does not exhibit the strong GOF activities observed for some hot-spot mutants. When tumors are not dependent on a mutant p53 GOF, its destabilization is expected to be therapeutically ineffective. More importantly, however, our data
imply that a combination of chemotherapy with mutant p53 destabilizing drugs could even turn out counterproductive or dangerous when chemotherapy-sensitizing effects are abolished by removal of the p53 mutant. In support of this, degradation of p53EE by gancetispib did not result in tumor cell death by itself and effectively counteracted the pro-apoptotic effect of combined doxorubicin and Nutlin treatment (Fig 4H).

Instead, our data suggest that tumors which contain a p53 mutant with residual apoptotic activity might require the opposite strategy. Chemotherapy responses of p53EE cells were enhanced in combination with Nutlin-3a or other Mdm2 inhibitors (Fig 4D and E). Enforced overexpression of the human p53EE (R181E) or the cancer mutant R181L strongly sensitized human lung cancer cells to chemotherapy-induced apoptosis, and this was further boosted by Nutlin-3a (Fig 5H). Similarly, lymphoma and leukemia cells with massive constitutive stabilization of p53EE displayed superior chemotherapy responses to p53-deficient tumor cells resulting in improved animal survival (Fig 7). Mutant p53-stabilizing therapies with Mdm2 inhibitors might therefore support chemotherapeutics to trigger the residual cytotoxic activities that have not been efficiently counter-selected during tumorigenesis. In fact, the first clinical studies with Mdm2 inhibitors have observed clinical responses also in a few patients with p53 mutations (Andreeff et al., 2016). Even though Mdm2 inhibitors were originally designed for patients with wild-type p53 tumors, they might eventually offer therapeutic benefit also for some p53-mutated cancer patients. Of course, a caveat to the treatment with mutant p53 stabilizing compounds is that pro-metastatic or pro-survival GOF activities might be boosted. The most promising therapy strategy for p53-mutated tumor patients will therefore strongly depend on the functional properties of the p53 mutant. Although it remains to be seen, which and how many p53 mutants have retained apoptotic activity during tumor evolution, our data call for more comprehensive investigations into the functional diversity of p53 mutations to make p53 mutation status more useful for clinical decision making.

Materials and Methods

Animals

For generation of the Trp53<sup>LSL-R178E</sup> knock-in mouse, we used the targeting vector for the Trp53<sup>LSL-R172H</sup> mouse, which was kindly provided by Tyler Jacks (Olive et al., 2004). The vector was modified by QuikChange Multi Site-Directed Mutagenesis (Stratagene) to carry only the mutation GCC->CTC in exon 5 of Trp53, resulting in a Arg->Glu substitution at codon 178 (Fig EV1A). The complete targeting vector was verified by Sanger sequencing. The linearized targeting vector was transfected by electroporation of 129/SvEv (129) embryonic stem cells. After selection with G418 antibiotic, surviving clones were expanded for PCR analysis to identify recombinant ES clones and verify the presence of the R178E mutation by Sanger sequencing. ES cell clones were further validated by Southern blot for correct 5′ and 3′ homologous recombination using SspI digest in combination with the 3′ probe and XbaI digest with the 5′ probe (Fig EV1B). A correctly targeted ES cell clone was microinjected into C57BL/6 blastocysts. Resulting chimera with a high percentage agouti coat color were mated to wild-type 129/SvEv mice to generate F1 heterozygous offspring. Tail DNA was analyzed as described below from pups with agouti coat color (Fig EV1C and D). Additional mutations were excluded by sequencing of all exons and exon-intron boundaries in the Trp53 gene. ES cell cloning, blastocyst injection, and breeding of chimeras for germline transmission were done at inGenious Targeting Laboratory (Stony Brook, USA). Knock-in mice were kept on a pure 129Sv background.

In 129S/Sv-Trp53<sup>LSL-R178E</sup> mice, expression of the Trp53 gene is blocked by a transcriptional stop cassette, flanked by loxP sites (loxo-stop-lox, LSL). The homozygous Trp53<sup>LSL-R178E/LSL-R178E</sup> mice lack p53 protein expression. Trp53<sup>LSL-R178E/LSL-R178E</sup> mice, and embryonic fibroblasts isolated from these mice, were used as isogenic p53-null (Trp53<sup>−/−</sup>) controls in all experiments. For generation of knock-in mice expressing the p53EE mutant protein, we crossed heterozygous Trp53<sup>+/LSL-R178E</sup> mice with Prm-Cre transgenic animals (129S/Sv-Tg (Prm-cre)580G/J; Jackson Laboratory). In double transgenic males, the Prm1-Cre allele mediates excision of the LSL cassette in the male germine. These males were used for breeding with wild-type 129/Sv females to obtain constitutively recombined Trp53<sup>+/R178E</sup> mice, which were then intercrossed to generate homozygous knock-in and wild-type Trp53<sup>+/+</sup> (control) animals. In aging cohorts, mice were monitored on the daily basis and sacrificed when they reached objective criteria for a humane endpoint that were defined before the onset of the experiment.

To study lethality of Mdm2 knock-out, we used heterozygous Mdm2<sup>−/−</sup> (Mdm2<sup>−/tm1.2Mep+</sup>) mice that lack exons 7–9. These mice were obtained from the NCI Mouse Repository (Frederick, USA) and crossed with Trp53<sup>EE/EE</sup> homozygotes to obtain double heterozygous Trp53<sup>+/R178E</sup>, Mdm2<sup>−/−<sup>A0</sup>−/−</sup> animals for intercrossing. Genotypes of mice, isolated embryos, and embryonic tissues were identified by PCR. Primer sequences are listed in Table EV1.

All mouse experiments were performed in accordance with the German Animal Welfare Act (Deutsches Tierschutzgesetz) and were approved by the local authorities.

Murine lymphoma model

For generation of Burkitt-like B-cell lymphoma, heterogeneous females with different p53 genotypes were crossed to transgenic B6.Cg-Tg(IghMyc)22Bri/J males (Jackson Laboratory; Adams et al., 1985). Primary lymphomas were obtained from Eμ-Myc mice with p53<sup>+/−</sup>, p53<sup>−/−</sup>, and p53<sup>−/−</sup> genotypes, and 500,000 cells were transplanted into syngeneic recipients via tail vein as described in the literature (Schmitt et al., 2002a). After lymphomas became palpable as enlarged peripheral lymph nodes, mice were separated into two groups—one group was treated with a single intraperitoneal dose of 300 mg/kg cyclophosphamide (Cell Pharm, Hannover, Germany), and another group was left untreated. Samples were collected 6 h, 24 h, and 7 days after therapy. In both groups, mice were monitored on the daily basis for up to a maximum of 4 months and sacrificed when they reached objective criteria for a humane endpoint that were defined before the onset of the experiment.

Murine acute myeloid leukemia (AML) model

Primary AML with different p53 genotypes was generated as described (Zuber et al., 2009). In brief, fetal liver cells were isolated from p53<sup>+/−</sup>, p53<sup>−/−</sup>, and p53<sup>−/−/EE</sup> embryos at E14-16. Retroviral
plasmids encoding the AML1/ETO9a fusion oncogene (co-expressed with GFP) and NRasG12D oncogene (co-expressed with firefly luciferase) were kindly provided by J. Zuber (Zuber et al., 2009). Recombinant retroviruses were packaged in Platinum-E cells (Cell Biolabs). After four rounds of infection with the two retroviral vectors mixed 1:1, transfection efficiency was analyzed by flow cytometry. 24–48 h after last infection, 1 million cells were transfected intravenously into lethally irradiated (7 Gy) 129X1/SvJ albino recipients. F1 hybrids from breeding 129X1/SvJ/albino X C57B6/albino mice were used as secondary recipients for chemotherapy. After primary recipients developed advanced leukemia with accumulation of malignant myeloid progenitors in bone marrow and infiltration of extramedul- lary tissues such as spleen, AML cells were isolated, immunopheno typed, and transplanted into sublethally irradiated (3.5 Gy) secondary recipients. Disease development was monitored by bioluminescence imaging (BLI). BLI was performed using an IVIS 100 Imaging System (Xenogen) under isoflurane anesthesia, 5 min after intraperitoneal injection of 200 μl D-luciferin (15 mg/ml in PBS, BioVision). After first detection of clear signal in bones and initial spleen infiltration, mice were separated into control and therapy groups and the latter was subjected to a standard chemotherapy protocol containing cytarabine (Cell Pharm, Hannover, Germany) and doxorubicin (Cell Pharm, Hannover, Germany): 3 days of cytarabine 100 mg/kg + doxorubicin 3 mg/kg intraperitoneally, followed by 2 days of cytarabine only. Mice under therapy were provided with drinking water containing 120 mg/l ciprofloxacin (Bayer) and 20 g/l glucose (Sigma). Therapy response was moni- tored by BLI. Mice were monitored on the daily basis and sacrificed when they reached objective criteria for a humane endpoint that were defined before the onset of the experiment.

**Cell culture and gene transfer**

Human lung cancer cell lines (H1299, H460) were obtained from the American Tissue Collection Center (ATCC) and authenticated by short tandem repeat analysis at the Leibniz Institute DSMZ—German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, Braunschweig, Germany. Cells were maintained in high-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Sigma-Aldrich), 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Life Technologies), and 1 μg/ml amphi- tericin B (Sigma) at 37°C with 5% CO2. Primary MEFs were isolated from E12.5-13.5 mouse embryos and amplified under low oxygen conditions (3% O2); their gender is not available. Eqi-Myc lymphoma cell lines were maintained in B-cell medium: 1:1 mixture of DMEM and Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium (IMDM) supplemented with 20% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin, 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol, and 1 ng/ml mIL-7 (ImmunoTools). Cells were cultured on a feeder layer of 30 Gy-irradiated NIH 3T3 cells. Nutlin-3a (Sigma) was used at 10 μM, RG7112 (MedChemExpress) at 5 μM, RG7388 (MedChemExpress) at 8 μM, MI773 (Sel- leckchem) at 10 μM, and Mafosfamide (Santa Cruz) at 1–5 μg/ml as indicated. Hydrogen peroxide (Sigma) was used at 50–800 μM.

**Plasmids and gene transfer**

Transfections and viral infections were performed as described (Timofeev et al., 2013). For production of lentiviruses, helper plasmids pMD2.g (Addgene plasmid #12259) and psPAX2 (Addgene plasmid #12260) were used. For Tet-inducible gene knockdown with shRNAs, we used the retroviral vector TRMPVIR (Addgene plasmid #27995), and for Tet-inducible gene expression, we used the lentiviral vector pnducer20 (Addgene plasmid #44012). For expression of mutant p53, the cDNA for murine or human p53 was cloned into pnducer20 using the Gateway® System (Invitrogen). MEFs were immortalized by retroviral transduction with pMSCVhygro-E1A.12S or pMSCVneo-E1A.12S (Timofeev et al., 2013). For studies on Ras-induced senescence, MEFs were transduced with MSCVhygro-HRasG12V (Timofeev et al., 2013).

**CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing**

For the generation of p53 knock-out cells using CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing, oligos encoding sgRNAs targeting the mouse Trp53 gene or GFP as a control were annealed and cloned by Golden Gate cloning into Bsmbl-digested lentiCRISPR vector (Addgene plasmid #49535). The presence of indels in the targeted Trp53 gene locus was confirmed by T7 endonuclease assay. In brief, infected cells were collected, genomic DNA was isolated, and the fragment of interest was PCR-amplified using primers flanking the predicted CRISPR Cas9 cleavage site. The PCR product was purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen), DNA was denatured, reannealed in 1× NEB2 buffer (NEB), digested with 5 U T7 endonuclease I (NEB) for 20 min at 37°C, and analyzed on a 2% agarose gel. Knock-out of p53 in the pool of cells was confirmed by p53 immunofluorescence staining, Sanger sequencing of the targeted Trp53 region, and InDel analysis using the TIDE algorithm (Brinkman et al., 2014). sgRNA and primer sequences are listed in Table EV1.

**Cell viability and proliferation assays**

Viability of cells after irradiation or drug treatment was assessed using CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega) as described (Timofeev et al., 2013). For quantitative real-time monitoring of cell proliferation, we performed automated time-lapse microscopy using the Incucyte S3 (Essen BioScience). Cells were seeded in triplicates on 96-well plates to reach a starting conflu ence of 10–30% after 24 h. Following treatment with Nutlin ± doxorubicin, the plates were imaged for 48 h with a time interval of 2 h. Confluence was calculated using the instrument’s Incucyte Zoom 2017A software. For assessment of long-term proliferative capacity of primary MEFs (Fig EV4A), we used a modified 3T3 protocol: 200,000 cells from passage 1–2 were plated to each well of a 6-well plate. Every 3 days, cells were harvested and counted and 200,000 cells were replated. When the total number of cells was lower than 200,000, all cells were replated. Population doubling was calculated as the log2 of the ratio Npx+1/Npx, where Npx is the total number of cells at passage X. For proliferation assay with CRISPR-Cas9-edited MEFs (Fig EV3E) and for proliferation at normal (21%) and low (3%) oxygen conditions (Fig EV3H), 500,000 cells were replated every 3 days on 10-cm cell culture dishes. Under these conditions, proliferation of p53EE/EE MEFs declined more rapidly than in Fig EV4A and eventually resulted in deterioration of cell cultures.
Cellular fractionation and western blotting

For immunoblotting, cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris–HCl at pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Na-deoxycholate) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). To prepare nuclear and cytosolic fractions, cells were collected and resuspended in 2–3 volumes of Buffer A (10 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol) supplemented with protease inhibitors, and incubated for 10 min on ice before adding 10% NP-40 to a final concentration of 0.25%. Cells were passed through a 27-G needle 5–10 times using a 1-ml syringe. Nuclei were pelleted by centrifugation (500 g, 10 min). The cytoplasmic fraction was collected and re-centrifuged for 10–15 min. Nuclear fractions were washed three times in 5–10 volumes of Buffer A and pelleted by centrifugation. Nuclei were lysed with 1–2 volumes of Buffer C (20 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 400 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol) and soluble fraction collected by centrifugation (10,000 g, 10 min). Mitochondrial fractions were isolated using Mitochondria Isolation Kit for Cultured Cells (Thermo Scientific) as described by the manufacturer. For Western blotting, 20–50 μg of total protein was resolved on 4–12% NuPAGE polyacrylamide gels (Invitrogen). After wet transfer to Hybond P nitrocellulose membrane (GE Healthcare), antigens were detected using the following antibodies: anti-cleaved caspase-3 (9661, Cell Signaling, 1:500), anti-p53 (NCL-p53-505, Leica Microsystems, 1:2,000), anti-DM2 (SMP14, sc-965, Santa Cruz Biotechnology [SC], 1:200), anti-PCNA (PC10, sc-56, 1:1,000), anti-Bak (At8B4, Abcam, 1:10,000), anti-H-Ras (C-20, sc-520, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:100), anti-Bak (At8B4, Abcam, 1:10,000). Detection was performed by incubation with secondary anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (GE Healthcare, 1:500), anti-H-Ras (C-20, sc-520, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:100), anti-E1A (M-73, sc-25, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:500), and anti-β-actin (AC-15, #ab6276, Abcam, 1:1,000). Detection was performed with secondary anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (GE Healthcare, 1:5,000) and SuperSignal ECL Kit (Thermo Fisher).

Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence

For histology and immunohistochemistry (IHC), formalin-fixed samples were embedded in paraffin and 5-μm sections were mounted to glass slides and processed as described (Timofeev et al., 2013). Apoptosis was detected using the DeadEnd Colorimetric TUNEL System (Promega) or antibodies against cleaved caspase-3 (#9661, Cell Signaling, 1:100). Other antibodies used for IHC were anti-p53 (NCL-p53-505, Leica Microsystems, 1:1,000) and anti-BrdU (BU1/75(ICR1), #OBTO030G, 1:100).

Proximity ligation assays

In situ interactions were detected by the proximity ligation assay kit Duolink (DPLA probe anti-rabbit minus, DPLA probe anti-mouse plus (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA); Detection Kit Red, Sigma-Aldrich). The DPLA probe anti-rabbit minus binds to the p53 antibody, whereas the DPLA probe anti-mouse plus binds to the antibody against the probable interaction partner, respectively. The Duolink proximity ligation assay secondary antibodies generate only a signal when the two DPLA probes have been bound, which only takes place if both proteins are closer than 40 nm, indicating their interaction. Paraformaldehyde-fixed FFPE sections were pre-incubated with blocking agent for 1 h. After washing in PBS for 10 min, primary antibodies were applied to the samples. Incubation was done for 1 h at 37°C in a pre-heated humidity chamber. Slides were washed three times in PBS for 10 min. DPLA probes detecting rabbit or mouse antibodies were diluted in the blocking agent in a concentration of 1:5 and applied to the slides followed by incubation for 1 h in a pre-heated humidity chamber at 37°C. Unbound DPLA probes were removed by washing two times in PBS for 5 min. The samples were incubated with the ligation solution consisting of Duolink Ligation stock (1:5) and Duolink Ligase (1:40) diluted in high-purity water for 30 min at 37°C. After ligation, the Duolink Amplification and Detection stock, diluted 1:5 by the addition of polymerase (1:80), was applied to the slides for 100 min. Afterward, the slides were incubated with DAPI for the identification of nuclei. After the final washing steps, the slides were dried and coverslips were applied. Quantification was done with the Duolink Image Tool v1.0.1.2 (Olink Bioscience, Uppsala, Sweden). The signal threshold was adjusted to 100 and the pixel size for spot detection to 5 pixels for each picture.

Flow cytometry

For flow cytometry analysis, an Accuri C6 Flow Cytometer (BD Biosciences) was used. Labeling of S-phase cells with BrdU and processing for FACS were done as described (Timofeev et al., 2013) using anti-BrdU Alexa Fluor 488 antibodies (BD Biosciences #347580). For analysis of apoptosis, annexin V-APC (MabTag) and CaspGLOW Staining Kit (BioVision) were used according to manufacturer’s protocols. Cell cycle profiles and viability of cells were assessed using staining with propidium iodide (PI) in permeable and non-permeable conditions as described earlier (Timofeev et al., 2013). To analyze mitochondrial ROS levels, cells were stained for 15 min on plates with 3 μM MitoSOX free radical sensor (Thermo Fisher) in HBSS buffer (Sigma), collected with trypsin, washed with PBS, and analyzed by flow cytometry.

Embryonic stem cells were transduced with TtRMPV/IR retroviral vectors (Zuber et al., 2011) expressing a p53-targeting (sph53.814, Dickins et al., 2005) or non-silencing control shRNA coupled to RFP. Knockdown of p53 was induced with 1 μg/ml doxycycline. After 48 h of doxycycline pre-treatment, cells were treated with 1–5 μg/ml mafosfamide (Santa Cruz) for 24 h, washed, and left to recover for 48 h. Enrichment of RFP-expressing cells, i.e., cells efficiently expressing shRNA, in treated versus untreated cultures was analyzed with flow cytometry.

Metabolism analysis

Mitochondrial metabolism was assessed using the Seahorse XF Cell Mito Stress Test Kit (Agilent) on the Seahorse XFp96 instrument according to manufacturer’s protocols. Cells were treated for 24 h with 0.5 μg/ml doxycycline to induce p53 expression. A total of 20,000 cells were plated in 96-well XF cell culture microplates and let to adhere for 5 h before measurements were performed. Data were analyzed using MS Excel templates provided by the manufacturer.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were performed in 20 μl reaction volume containing 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.8), 0.5 mM
EDTA (pH 8.0), 6 mM MgCl2, 60 mM KCl, 0.008% Nonidet P-40, 100 ng anti-p53 antibody (Pab2421), 1 mM DTT, 120 ng salmon sperm DNA, 1 µl glycerol, 20,000 cpm of [32P]-labeled double-stranded oligonucleotide, and either 5 µl of in vitro translated protein or 5 µg of nuclear extracts from Nutlin-treated MEFs. After 30 min incubation at room temperature, reaction mixtures were subjected to electrophoresis on a 3.5% native polyacrylamide gel (37.5:1 acrylamide/bisacrylamide) in a Tris-borate-EDTA buffer at 125 V for 90 min at room temperature. 10 pmoles of competitor were added to control the specificity of DNA binding. For supershift analysis, 1 µg of anti-p53 antibody (FL393, Santa Cruz) was added. DNA–protein complexes were revealed with X-ray films (Kodak).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation

Primary MEFs were treated for 16 h with 10 µM Nutlin or 0.1% DMSO as control. Cells were fixed on plates with 0.88% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 10 min at room temperature and quenched by adding glycine to a final concentration of 54 mM for 5 min. Cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and scraped off the plate with PBS supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche). Cell pellets were lysed in SDS lysis buffer (1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.1) supplemented with protease inhibitors (2 × 107 cells/ml lysis buffer) and sonicated using the Bioruptor® Sonication System (Diagenode) to obtain 300–1,000 bp DNA fragments. The shearing efficiency was controlled using agarose gel electrophoresis. After centrifugation (10,000 g, 10 min, 20°C), 100 µl sheared chromatin was diluted 1:10 with dilution buffer (0.01% SDS, 1.1% Triton X-100, 1.2 mM EDTA, 16.7 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.1, 167 mM NaCl) and pre-cleared for 1 h with 50 µl Protein G Sepharose (50% slurry in 20% ethanol) beads (GE Healthcare) at 4°C. The p53 protein was immunoprecipitated overnight at 4°C with 2.5 µg anti-p53 antibody (FL393, Santa Cruz), and normal rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz) was used as the control. The protein complexes were pulled down for 4 h at 4°C with 50 µl Protein G Sepharose beads. Beads were washed with Low Salt Immune Complex Wash Buffer (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.1, 150 mM NaCl), then with High Salt Immune Complex Wash Buffer (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.1, 500 mM NaCl), with LiCl Immune Complex Wash Buffer (0.25 M LiCl, 1% IGEPEL-CA630, 1% deoxycholic acid (sodium salt), 2 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.1), and finally twice with TE (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.1, 1 mM EDTA). Protein/DNA crosslinks were eluted twice for 15 min at 20°C in 100 µl elution buffer (0.1 M NaHCO3, 1% SDS). 1% input stored at −20°C was treated in the same way. Crosslinking was reverted upon overnight incubation at 65°C in elution buffer supplemented with 200 mM NaCl followed by RNase A digestion at 37°C for 30 min, addition of 40 mM Tris–HCl pH 6.5 and 10 mM EDTA, proteinase K digestion for 2 h at 55°C, and inactivation of proteinase K at 99°C for 10 min. DNA was purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen), and DNA concentration was measured with the Qubit dsDNA HS reagent (Molecular Probes).

ChIP-seq and RNA-seq

ChIP-seq libraries were prepared from purified ChIP DNA with the MicroPlex Library Preparation Kit (Diagenode) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For RNA-seq, RNA quality was assessed using the Experion RNA StdSens Analysis Kit (Bio-Rad). RNA-seq libraries were prepared from total RNA using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA LT Kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quality of sequencing libraries (for ChIP-seq and RNA-seq) was controlled on a Bioanalyzer 2100 using the Agilent High Sensitivity DNA Kit (Agilent). Pooled sequencing libraries were quantified with digital PCR (QuantStudio 3D, Thermo Fisher) and sequenced on the HiSeq 1500 platform (Illumina) in rapid-run mode with 50 base single reads.

ChIP-seq data analysis

Reads were aligned to the Mus musculus genome retrieved from Ensembl revision 79 (mm10) with Bowtie 2.0.0-beta7 using the default parameter settings (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012). Lanes were deduplicated to a single duplicate read, keeping only these effective reads for further analysis. Peak calling was performed individually for each sample using a mixed input as background using MACS 1.4.0rc2 with default parameters for all samples (Zhang et al., 2008). To reduce the amount of false positives, peaks were filtered to those peaks showing a strong enrichment over background, i.e., peaks with a minimum of 50 effective foreground reads, not more than 50 effective reads in background, and showing at least a threefold increase in the normalized read counts compared to background. To enable comparison between the samples, tag counts were calculated and normalized to one million mapped reads (tags per million, TPM). The foreground-background ratio used to filter reported peaks was calculated on basis of TPMs in foreground versus TPMs in background. To compare samples, filtered ChIP-seq peaks from the Nutlin-treated p53+/+ sample were taken as the basis. Of these 472 peaks, we removed 4 peaks that overlap with non-specific peaks in Nutlin-treated p53−/− MEFS, yielding 468 p53WT peaks. 2,000 bp spanning regions around all of these 468 peak regions were centered to the summit of the p53+/+ Nutlin signal (TPM). To allow comparability of the lanes, TPMs were plotted as heatmap for all lanes, and the maximum value was fixed to the 95th percentile of the p53+/+ Nutlin signal. To obtain a list of target genes, we annotated the gene with the closest corresponding transcription start site to each peak. Hypergeometric enrichment was performed using Fisher’s exact test, based on MSigDB gene sets as reference and our target genes as query.

Motif identification: For de novo binding motif search, peaks from Nutlin-treated p53+/+ MEFs (filtered as stated above) were trimmed to 150 bp (peak middle +/−75 bp). These 468 regions were used for analysis with MEME-Chip (version 4.12.0) using the default parameters (Machanick & Bailey, 2011). To identify motifs of known binding sites, CentriMo (version 4.12.0) analysis was performed on the same 150 bp regions, using the JASPAR CORE 5 (vertebrates) and UniPROBE (retrieved August 2011) databases as reference (Berger et al., 2006; Bailey & Machanick, 2012; Mathelier et al., 2014).

RNA-seq data analysis

Reads were aligned to the Mus musculus genome retrieved from Ensembl revision 79 (mm10) with STAR 2.4. Tag counts were calculated and normalized to one million mapped exonic reads and gene length (FPKM). To generate the set of expressed genes, only genes with a minimum read count of 50 and a minimum FPKM of 0.3 were
For PCR genotyping, tail tips or tissues were lysed overnight at 55°C in PBND buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.45% NP-40, 0.45% Tween-20) supplemented by 8 U/ml proteinase K (AppliChem) and afterward inactivated at 95°C for 10 min. For LOH and residual disease detection in Eμ-Myc lymphoma samples, crude lysates were prepared as above and 50 ng of genomic DNA purified with peqGOLD Tissue Mini Kit (peqLab) was used as template for qPCR with primers specific for the EμMyc transgene and a control locus for normalization.

For reverse transcription–quantitative PCR (RT–qPCR), RNA was isolated from cells or tissue samples using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) and cDNA was generated with the SuperScript VILO cDNA Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen). Gene expression was analyzed on a LightCycler 480 (Roche) using the ABSolute QPCR SYBR Green Mix (Thermo Scientific). Data were evaluated by the ΔΔCt method with β-actin as a housekeeping gene for normalization.

For mtDNA content analysis, genomic DNA was analyzed by quantitative PCR for the mitochondrial genes mt-Nd4, mt-Co1, mt-Cyb, and mt-Nd2, and Ct values were normalized to the nuclear gene Trp53.

Statistical analyses

Statistical differences between experimental groups analyzed at different time points or under different treatment conditions were calculated using one- or two-way ANOVA test, while correcting for multiple comparisons using Sidak’s multiple comparisons test. A significance level of \( P < 0.05 \) was used throughout the study. Kaplan–Meier curves representing survival analyses were compared by the log-rank (Mantel–Cox) test. Multiple survival Kaplan–Meier curves were compared by ordinary ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. Statistical analyses were performed using the Prism software package (GraphPad).

Data availability

The datasets produced in this study are available in the following databases:

- RNA-seq data: EBI ArrayExpress E-MTAB-6774
- ChIP-seq data: EBI ArrayExpress E-MTAB-6793
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