Volatile Organic Compounds to Identify Infectious (Bacteria/Viruses) Diseases of the Central Nervous System: A Pilot Study
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Abstract

Background: Central nervous system (CNS) infectious diseases are common diseases in emergency rooms and neurology departments. CNS pathogen identification methods are time consuming and expensive and have low sensitivity and poor specificity. Some studies have shown that bacteria and viruses can produce specific volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The aim of this study is to find potential biomarkers by VOC analysis of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) in patients with bacterial and viral meningitis/encephalitis (ME). Methods: CSF samples from 16 patients with bacterial ME and 42 patients with viral ME were collected, and solid-phase microextraction combined with gas chromatography-mass spectrometry was used to analyze the metabolites in the CSF. Results: There are 2 substances (ethylene oxide and phenol) that were found to be different between the 2 groups. Ethylene oxide was significantly greater in the group of bacterial ME patients than in the viral ME group of patients ($p < 0.05$). In addition, phenol was remarkably increased in the group of ME patients compared with the bacterial ME patients ($p < 0.05$). Conclusions: Ethylene oxide and phenol may be potential biomarkers to distinguish bacterial ME and viral ME. VOC analysis of CSF may be used as a supporting tool for clinical diagnosis.

Background

Central nervous system (CNS) infections are a public health concern and are common diseases in emergency rooms and neurology departments [1]. CNS infectious diseases can be divided into viral infections, bacterial infections, and other infections. It has been estimated that at least 275,000 deaths from bacterial meningitis have oc-
curred among all ages in 2010 [2]. Viral meningitis is more common than bacterial meningitis [3]. Early antibiotic and antiviral therapy can improve prognosis [4–6], so it is important to identify bacterial and viral meningitis/encephalitis (ME) quickly and accurately. There are many diagnostic challenges for identifying bacterial ME versus viral ME. The clinical presentations may be varied, and symptoms such as fever, headache, neck stiffness, and meningeal irritation are often similar in adult bacterial and viral ME [3–7]. Routine testing such as cellular and chemistry parameters in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) may suggest the type of infectious diseases (eg. bacterial vs. viral); however, these parameters have poor specificity [8]. For bacterial ME, culture is useful but takes 2–5 days, and if pretreatment with antibiotics or incorrect specimen handling has rendered the sample sterile, the results may be falsely negative.

In pathological conditions, the blood-brain barrier and the blood-cerebrospinal fluid barrier are damaged and the pathogen could enter into the CNS. During the course of CNS infectious disease, metabolic changes in bacterial and viral microbiological cultures can be associated with significant volatile organic compound (VOC) release by the pathogen; hence, they provide a differential fingerprint [9]. Therefore, we hypothesized that the VOCs produced by bacteria and viruses in the CNS can be used to identify bacterial and viral ME.

There are various analytical techniques available for bacterial/viral VOC analysis, including gas chromatography, mass spectrometry, electronic nose, and ion mobility spectrometry [10]. Among them, gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) is a preferred method for the identification of pathogen markers with an appropriate sensitivity [11]. As a developing novel tool for non-invasive detection of various disease states in recent years, VOC analysis has been applied in different body fluids, such as blood, urine, and saliva [12–15], and it can also be applied to identify pathogens such as Escherichia coli, Streptococcus aureus, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Haemophilus influenzae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, rhinoviruses, influenza A virus, and others [9, 10, 16]. Although there are a large number of clinical studies on the diagnosis of CNS infection such as cellular and chemistry parameters in CSF, conventional culture for bacteria and PCR with sequencing for viral [3, 17] studies on the VOCs in the CSF have not been reported. Thus, our study used a GC-MS method combined with multivariate data analysis to discriminate the VOCs from bacterial and viral ME samples and to discover the potential biomarkers for bacterial and viral ME in CSF VOCs.

### Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study subjects

|                | Bacterial ME group (n = 16) | Viral ME group (n = 42) |
|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Age, mean ± SD | 46 (17.3)                  | 38 (14.4)               |
| Male           | 8                          | 27                      |
| Female         | 8                          | 15                      |

ME, meningitis/encephalitis.

### Methods

#### Human Subjects

This experiment is consistent with the Declaration of Helsinki [18]. This study’s protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee at the First Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University (No. 201314). Every patient who participated in the experiment signed written informed consent and agreed with participating in this experiment before entering the experiment. This study was conducted over a period of approximately 11 months (December 2013–October 2014) at the Department of Anesthesiology and Neurology in the First Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University.

This study involved a total of 58 patients with ME, including 16 patients in the bacterial ME group and 42 patients in the viral ME group. The demographic characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

All selected subjects met the entry criteria and exclusion criteria strictly established in this study. The following inclusion criteria were utilized for these individuals: (1) signing informed consent and consenting to participate in the experiment; (2) age from 18 to 70 years; and (3) culture of pathogens in the CSF was diagnosed as bacterial ME or viral ME. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) pregnant, breast-feeding, or unable to exclude women with possible pregnancies; (2) identification of known congenital diseases; (3) family history of mental illness; (4) chronic inflammatory diseases; (5) any manifestations of acute illness in the last 2 weeks; (6) history of infectious diseases; (7) patients whose CSF samples cannot be collected; (8) patients with benign or malignant tumors; (9) those taking special drugs. The experimental implementation of this experiment is similar to the experimental method of a previously published clinical trial article [14].

#### CSF Sample Collection

A CSF specimen collected by lumbar puncture was taken with a 10-mL centrifuge tube, and 2 mL of CSF was transferred to a silylated solid-phase microextraction (SPME) vacuum bottle. The test was completed within 1 h after the specimen was collected.

#### Solid-Phase Microextraction

A manual SPME holder with 75-μm-thick carboxyl/polydimethylsiloxane fibers was purchased from Supelco (Bellefonte, PA, USA). The SPME fiber was inserted into a vial and exposed to a gas sample at 40°C for 20 min. Subsequently, the SPME holder was placed in the gas chromatograph inlet for desorption.
Some typical GC-MS results of the 2 groups examined (both chromatograms and MS results for some of the major peaks in the chromatograms). b PCA score plot for CSF samples from bacterial ME versus viral ME patients (8 components, $R^2_X = 0.834$, $Q^2 = 0.632$). GC-MS, gas chromatography-mass spectrometry; PCA, principal component analysis; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; ME, meningitis/encephalitis.
GC/MS Analysis
The GC/MS (Shimadzu GC-MS QP 2010, Shimadzu, Japan) used in this study was a DB-5MS (length 30 m × ID 0.250 × film thickness 0.25 μm; Agilent Technologies, La Jolla, CA, USA) plot column. Injections were performed in splitless mode, with a 200°C injector temperature. The carrier gas was helium, with a purity of 99.999% and a constant flow rate of 2 mL min⁻¹. The column temperature was held at 40°C for 1 min to concentrate the hydrocarbons at the head of the column and increased by 5°C min⁻¹ to 200°C for 1 min, and then the temperature of the column was increased to 230°C for 3 min at a temperature increase rate of 15°C per minute. The MS was performed in full-scan mode, using a scan range from 35 to 350 amu. The ion source was maintained at 230°C, and an ionization energy of 70 eV was used for each measurement.

Extraction and Pretreatment of the GC/MS Raw Data
The original data were converted into the CDF format (NetCDF) using Shimadzu GCMS Postrun Analysis software. Subsequently, the data were processed using the XCMS toolbox. The default settings for XCMS parameters were as follows: xcmsSet (fwhm = 8, snthresh = 6, and max = 200) and retcor (method = “linear,” family = “gaussian,” and plottype = “mdevden”). The retention index of each peak was calculated from the ratio of the exact retention time of each peak and the existing retention time of the corresponding series of alkanes C₄–C₄₀. Afterward, the corresponding mass spectrum of all the compounds detected and their corresponding accurate retention time index were compared with the mass spectrogram and the retention time index in the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Library 2.0 (2011) to perform peak identification.

Statistical Analysis
Prior to statistical analysis, we performed total area normalization for each sample. Then, the normalized data were analyzed using the SIMCA-P 11.5 platform for multivariate data analysis and modeled. Principal component analysis (PCA) and orthogonal partial least-squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) were performed to observe the grouping trend and the outliers of the data. Avoiding the occurrence of overfitting, the permutation tests with 100 iterations were performed to validate the supervised model. In addition, for the purpose of determining the significance of each metabolite, the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test was performed. On account of the variable importance in the projection (VIP) values calculated from the OPLSDA model and the p values from the nonparametric test, potential metabolic biomarkers were obtained using the thresholds of 1.2 and 0.05, respectively.

Results
Bacterial ME Patients versus Viral ME Patients
GC/MS was utilized to analyze the metabolites in the CSF from 16 patients in the bacterial ME group and 42 patients in the viral ME group. Based on the ion peaks in the resulting chromatogram, a total of 361 variables were detected (Fig. 1a). In the 2-dimensional PCA score plot (8 principal components, $R^2_X = 0.834$, and $Q^2 = 0.632$), there was no clear separation trend between the 2 groups of data (Fig. 1b). The OPLSDA score plot (2 components, $R^2_X = 0.503$, $R^2_Y = 0.523$, and $Q^2 = 0.387$) demonstrated a sep-
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The potential biomarkers in both the bacterial ME group and the viral ME group were determined by the VIP value calculated from the OPLSDA model and the $p$ values from the nonparametric test. Among the significant metabolites identified using the VIP values and the $p$ values, 2 differential metabolites are annotated using the NIST 11 database with a similarity threshold of 75%.

### Potential Biomarkers

The potential biomarkers in both the bacterial ME group and the viral ME group were determined by the VIP value calculated from the OPLSDA model and the $p$ values from the nonparametric test. Among the significant metabolites identified using the VIP values and the $p$ values, 2 differential metabolites are annotated using the NIST 11 database with a similarity threshold of 75%. Two significant substances were found in the bacterial ME group and the viral ME group ($p < 0.05$, Table 2; Fig. 4): ethylene oxide and phenol. Ethylene oxide was significantly greater in the group of bacterial ME patients than in the viral ME group ($p < 0.05$). Moreover, significantly increased levels of phenol were detected in the group of viral ME patients than in the bacterial ME group ($p < 0.05$).

### Table 2. Related metabolites that exist at abnormal levels in the CSF between bacterial ME patients versus viral ME patients

| Potential biomarkers | RT   | VIP   | $p$ value | FC     |
|----------------------|------|-------|-----------|--------|
| Ethylene oxide       | 1.178328 | 12.8869 | 0.038427 | 0.306375 |
| Phenol               | 8.536144  | 1.85754  | 0.041803 | −0.416729 |

CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; ME, meningitis/encephalitis.

### Fig. 3. OPLSDA validation plot intercepts for CSF samples from bacterial ME versus viral ME patients: $R^2 = (0.0, 0.162)$ and $Q^2 = (0.0, −0.2)$. OPLSDA, orthogonal partial least-squares discriminant analysis; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; ME, meningitis/encephalitis.

### Fig. 4. Related metabolites that exist at abnormal levels in the CSF between bacterial ME patients versus viral ME patients. CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; ME, meningitis/encephalitis.
Discussion

CNS infectious diseases have a high morbidity and mortality [1]. The incidence of bacterial ME and viral ME accounts for the majority of CNS infectious diseases [2, 3]. However, typical pathogen identification methods have disadvantages of being time consuming and expensive and have low sensitivity and poor specificity [7, 8]; therefore, distinguishing bacterial ME and viral ME has been a clinical problem for a long time [3].

As a developing novel tool for the noninvasive detection of various disease states in recent years, VOC analysis has been applied in different body fluids, such as blood, urine, and saliva [12–15]. VOC analysis is important in the diagnosis of respiratory infections as an accurate and rapid method and has clinical value in guiding the use of antibiotics [19–21]. However, there are no studies to discuss the VOCs in CSF. Considering that the CSF is a type of human body fluid and is also a type of bacterial and viral microbiological culture in vivo, our study discriminated the VOCs of bacterial and viral ME samples to discover potential biomarkers for bacterial and viral ME in CSF VOCs, which may provide a detection method with greater specificity and accuracy.

Previous studies have determined that significant VOCs released by the pathogens mainly include aldehydes, alcohols, ketones, lipids, and acids [22]. In our study, a total of 361 metabolites were detected from the bacterial and viral ME group patients. There was an obvious difference in the ion peaks in the resulting chromatograms among them; however, to serve as a potential biomarker, the VIP value must be >1.2 and the p value <0.05.

Filipiak et al. [23] found that the potential volatile biomarkers produced by E. coli include an aromatic compound – benzonitrile. E. coli is a pathogen that causes bacterial ME. Raţiu et al. [24] found that the significant VOC of E. coli is indole, because it is generated at 3 time points and is also the most produced VOC. Other studies have also confirmed this finding [25–27]. Indole is an aromatic heterocyclic organic compound with the formula C8H7N. 5-Hydroxytryptamine is a monoamine neurotransmitter that is mainly decarboxylated by tryptophan in the human body. E. coli produces tryptophan decarboxylase and degrades tryptophan to indole and other compounds [28]. Karami et al. [19] found that 2,3-pentanedione, 1-decene, 1,3-dimer, 2,5-dimethylpyrazine, ethyl butyrate, and cyclohexene are specific VOCs of S. aureus in vitro. It is believed that the VOCs released by S. aureus have the ability to degrade amino acids in its growth environment [25]. A study conducted by Ratiu et al. [10] described the significant VOCs of Mycobacterium tuberculosis, which were naphthalene, 1-methyl, -cyclohexane, heptane, 2,2,4,6,6-pentamethyl benzene, 1,3,5-trimethyl-, and 4-methyl, but the specific mechanism was not yet clear. In the study by Chen et al. [29], the growth of L. monocytogenes was found to be related to 3-hydroxy-2-butanone; however, the specific mechanism was not yet clear. The studies of other bacteria causing bacterial ME should be carried out in large quantities.

Studies have shown that the mechanism underlying viral pathogenicity is the induction of antiviral activity in host cells. After the virus invades the body, it can activate the innate immune cells to secrete a large number of pro-inflammatory factors, including interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, IL-12, and tumor necrosis factor-α [30]. These factors can induce inflammation, which is characterized by the acute phase of inflammation that promotes the production of complement proteins, and the final result is the induction of apoptosis [31]. Abd et al. [9] found numerous significant VOCs in bacterial and viral microbiological cultures. Dodecane (a type of alkane) was found in the virus group, which may be related to the degradation of cell membranes by lipid peroxidation and the conversion of polyunsaturated fatty acids to volatile alkanes, but the true source is unknown.

The most common pathogens of bacterial ME are L. coli, S. aureus, and Haemophilus influenzae [3]. The major viral causes of viral ME include enterovirus, cytomegalovirus, and herpes simplex virus type 1 [3]. Through these studies, we boldly hypothesized that in bacterial ME, bacteria such as L. coli and S. aureus produce related enzymes in the CSF and degrade amino acids to produce a certain amount of ethylene oxide; in viral ME, the virus enters the CSF, activates innate immunity, and produces a certain amount of phenol through the degradation of cell membranes caused by lipid peroxidation and the conversion of polyunsaturated fatty acids to volatile alkanes. The significant VOCs are released by the pathogens [9], and every patient has different pathogens. In our study, we analyzed the VOCs of all ME patients but not those of the pathogens. The substances in microbiological cultures in vitro and in vivo are not exactly the same. In the future, we will culture the CSF of ME (especially common pathogens) and study the specificity of the significant VOCs released by different pathogens.

There are several limitations in this study. There is no specific division of the patients’ age structure, and more
accurate research needs further practice. Due to the limitations of the conditions, this study did not analyze the VOCs of healthy volunteers, which may cause some bias. Last but not least, this study is a prospective pilot study, and there are few studies discussing the VOCs produced by viral cultures; therefore, a large number of studies are required to explore and verify the results of this study. We searched relevant articles but did not find literature on whether the 2 substances have passed the blood-brain barrier. In future research, we will take this problem as the research object.

Conclusions

Ethylene oxide and phenol may be potential biomarkers to distinguish bacterial ME and viral ME. VOC analysis of CSF may be used as a supporting tool for clinical diagnosis.
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