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Abstract

Purpose: Patients with advanced or metastatic adenocarcinoma of the stomach or esophagogastric junction for whom trastuzumab therapy is being considered, assessment for tumor human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2) status is necessary. Can the HER2 status and Lauren classification of the biopsy sample truly represent the HER2 status in the gastric cancer?

Methods: Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections of 116 pair surgically resected and biopsy specimens as well as 80 pair primary and metastatic lesions of gastric cancer patients were analyzed. Protein expression was assessed using immunohistochemistry (IHC) and graded by the modified scoring criteria for gastric cancer. Gene amplification was evaluated by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) in IHC 2+ cases.

Results: The positive rate of HER2 was 11.2% in both surgical and biopsy samples. The consistent rate of HER2 expression was 91.4% (106/116) between biopsy and surgical samples, P=0.666. The positive rate of HER2 was 20.5% in primary and 15.9% in metastatic samples, P=0.1876. The consistent rate of HER2 expression was 90.9% (40/44) between primary and metastatic samples, P=0.580. The consistent rate of Lauren classification was 64.7% (75/116) between biopsy and surgical sample, and 92.5% (74/80) between primary and metastatic samples.

Discussion: For gastric cancer, HER2 expression and Lauren classification were highly homogenous in biopsy and surgical samples, primary and their corresponding metastatic samples. The high concordance observed between these two cohorts indicated that the HER2 examination and Lauren classification of biopsy samples from the primary tumor could well represent the metastatic lesions of the patients.
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Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is the second most common cause of cancer-related death worldwide [1]. The incidence of gastric carcinoma varies significantly from one part of the world to another and it is particularly common in Eastern Asia, especially in China [2].

Trastuzumab in combination with chemotherapy was approved for treatment of human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2, also known as ERBB2) positive advanced gastric or gastro-esophageal junction (GEJ) cancer [3]. Amplification, overexpression or both, of HER2, a transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinase, is present in around 6.1–23.0% of gastric cancers [3-6]. For most advanced GC or GEJ cancer, there was only biopsy sample and no surgical resection sample. We all know that gastric cancer is a heterogeneity disease. Can the HER2 expression in the biopsy sample represent the HER2 status in the surgical sample? Is there heterogeneity for the HER2 expression between the primary and metastatic lesions?

Although the Lauren classification system can date back to 1965, it is still widely accepted and employed by pathologists and physicians today. According to the Lauren classification, gastric adenocarcinomas can be divided into diffuse, intestinal and mixed type [7]. Cohesive cells that form gland-like structures characterize the intestinal type. For the diffuse type, tumor cells lack cell-to-cell interactions and infiltrate the stroma as single cell or small subgroups, leading to a population of non-cohesive, scattered tumor cells [7]. The intestinal-type is more frequent in males and in elderly patients, while the diffuse-type occurs more frequently in women and young patients [8]. Intestinal type patients have better outcomes than those with diffuse type [6, 8-11]. While HER2 positivity is more common in intestinal type gastric cancer [12]. Are the Lauren classification consistent between biopsy and surgical samples, primary and metastatic lesions?

In the present study, we try to answer the questions above by analyzing the Lauren classification and HER2 expression between biopsy and surgical samples, primary and metastatic lesions in gastric cancer patients.

Materials and Methods

Patient collection

Cohort 1: Biopsy and surgical resection samples

From January 2014 to December 2015, we collected clinical information retrospectively from gastric cancer patients who received both biopsy and surgical resection in our cancer center. All patients were categorized according to the 7th American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) Tumor-Node-Metastasis (TNM) stage. Patients included in the study met the following criteria: (1) histologically confirmed gastric adenocarcinoma patients; (2) adequate paraffin-embedded tumor tissue samples for Lauren classification analysis and HER2 examination; (3) patients received both biopsy under gastroscopy and gastrectomy; (4) full medical records with regular survival follow-up data. The exclusion criteria were: (1) age <18 years old; and (2) other malignancy within the last 5 years, except carcinoma in situ of the cervix, or basal cell carcinoma.

Cohort 2: Primary and metastatic lesion samples

From January 2000 to December 2013, we collected clinical information retrospectively from gastric cancer patients who received both biopsy of primary and metastatic lesion. Patients included in the study met the following criteria: (1) histologically confirmed gastric adenocarcinoma patients; (2) AJCC TNM 7th stage IV; (3) received biopsy from both primary and metastatic lesions; (4) full medical records with regular survival follow-up data. The exclusion criteria were: (1) age <18 years old; and (2) other malignancy within the last 5 years, except carcinoma in situ of the cervix, or basal cell carcinoma.

Lauren classification

Assignment of histological type was based on the Lauren criteria. The intestinal type was described as a tumor with glandular architecture, resembling colonic carcinoma. The diffuse type was described as a tumor composed of solitary or small clusters of cells, and lacking glandular structures. The mixed type was described as the combination of these two features. Two pathologists reviewed the original diagnostic slides to make a diagnosis of Lauren classification.

HER2 evaluation

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

For all patients, HER2 expression was detected by IHC. IHC staining was carried out using an anti-HER-2/NEU (4B5) antibody (Ventana Medical Systems, Inc. Tucson, AZ, USA) against HER2 on a Ventana Benchmark XT automatic staining system, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The amended HER2 IHC scoring system for gastric cancer proposed by Hoffmann et al. was used as the criteria for scoring [13]. Examples of IHC expression from negative to +, ++ and +++ were shown in figure 1.
Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

HER2 amplification levels were measured when the result of IHC was 2+. The PathVysion®HER2 DNA Probe kit (LSI®HER2/neu Spectrum Orange™/chromosome 7 centromere probe (CEP) ®17 Spectrum Green) was used for FISH analysis, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. A positive result from FISH was defined as a HER2:CEP17 ratio ≥2. Example of HER2 FISH positive and negative were shown in figure 2. Any case with IHC 3+ or IHC2+/FISH + was considered as HER2-positive, while cases with IHC 0 or IHC 1+ or IHC 2+/FISH − were considered as HER2- negative, according to criteria of the European Medicines Agency.

Statistical analysis

The patients’ demographic and tumor characteristics were summarized with descriptive statistics. Comparisons of categorical variables between biopsy and surgical resection lesions or primary and metastatic diseases were performed using the Chi square test, and continuous variables were compared using Student’s t test. All of statistical analyses were performed using the Intercooled Stata 13.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX). Statistical significance was set at two-sided \( P < 0.05 \).

Informed consent

All patients signed written informed consent for their information to be used for the study. The independent ethics committees at the Cancer Center of Sun Yat-sen University approved the study. The study was undertaken in accordance with the ethical standard of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

Results

Cohort 1. Biopsy and surgical resection samples

Patient demographics

There were 116 patients with pair samples (Table 1). The median age was 59 years (range: 29 to 78 years old); 80 were male and 36 were female. There were 20 stage IV patients at the time of diagnosis who received gastrectomy to relieve the symptom of obstruction or bleeding. Until December 1, 2016, 8 patients died from gastric cancer.
Lauren classification

There were 44.0% (51/116) of patients with intestinal type and 36.2% (42/116) patients with diffuse type for biopsy samples. For the surgical samples, 40.5% (47/116) of patients were intestinal type and 32.8% (38/116) were diffuse type. The consistent rate of Lauren classification between biopsy and surgical sample was 64.7% (75/116), \( P = 0.461 \) (Table 2).

HER2 expression

Table 3 showed the detailed distribution of HER2 IHC expression between biopsy and surgical samples. According to the IHC result, the consistent rate of HER2 IHC expression between biopsy and surgical samples was 62.9% (73/116). Thirteen biopsy samples and 15 surgical samples were IHC 2+ for HER2. FISH was carried out in these samples and we found that 7 biopsy samples and 6 surgical samples were FISH positive. The positive rate of HER2 was 11.2% in both surgical and biopsy samples. The consistent rate of HER2 expression was 91.4% (106/116) between biopsy and surgical samples, \( P = 0.666 \). The 10 patients who showed discordance between the biopsy and surgical samples included 4 biopsy positive, surgical negative and 6 biopsy negative while surgical positive.

Cohort 2. Primary and metastatic samples

Patient demographics

There were 80 patients with pair samples (Table 4). The median age was 58 years (range: 28 to 84 years old); 54 were male and 26 were female. The most common metastatic site was peritoneal lesion. Until December 1, 2016, 47 patients died from gastric cancer.

Table 1. Demographic feature of the 116 pair gastric cancer patients of biopsy and surgical samples.

|                         | Number of patients (N=116) | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Gender                  |                            |                |
| Female                  | 36                         | 31.03          |
| Male                    | 80                         | 68.97          |
| Age (Mean±SD)           | 57.07±11.86                |                |
| Primary site            |                            |                |
| Gastric                 | 92                         | 79.31          |
| Gastroesophageal junction | 24                        | 20.69          |
| Degree of differentiation|                          |                |
| High to moderate poor   | 38                         | 32.76          |
| Poor and signet ring cell | 78                       | 67.24          |
| TNM stage               |                            |                |
| I                       | 16                         | 13.79          |
| II                      | 31                         | 26.72          |
| III                     | 49                         | 42.24          |
| IV                      | 20                         | 17.24          |
| T stage                 |                            |                |
| T1                      | 15                         | 12.93          |
| T2                      | 18                         | 15.52          |
| T3                      | 50                         | 43.10          |
| T4                      | 33                         | 28.45          |
| N stage                 |                            |                |
| N0                      | 27                         | 23.28          |
| N1                      | 17                         | 14.66          |
| N2                      | 23                         | 19.82          |
| N3                      | 49                         | 42.24          |
| M stage                 |                            |                |
| M0                      | 96                         | 82.76          |
| M1                      | 20                         | 17.24          |
| Neurolymphatic invasion |                            |                |
| No                      | 36                         | 31.03          |
| Yes                     | 80                         | 68.97          |
| Tumor size (Mean±SD) cm | 4.45±2.75                  |                |
Lauren classification

There were 55.0% (44/80) of patients with intestinal type and 40.0% (32/80) patients with diffuse type in the primary samples. For the metastatic samples, 57.5% (46/80) of the patients were intestinal type and 37.5% (30/80) were diffuse type. The consistent rate of Lauren classification between primary and metastatic sample was 92.5% (74/80), \( P=0.947 \) (Table 2).

Table 2. Comparison of Lauren classification in pair samples of gastric cancer patients

|               | Surgical sample | Metastatic samples |
|---------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Intestinal    | Biopsy         | Mixed             |
| Diffuse       | 36             | 2                 |
| Mixed         | 8              | 4                 |
| Intestinal    | Primary sample | Diffuse           |
| Diffuse       | 43             | 2                 |
| Mixed         | 1              | 3                 |

HER2 status

Only 44 samples have enough tissues for the IHC examination of HER2. Table 3 showed the detailed distribution of HER2 IHC expression between primary and metastatic samples. According to the IHC result, the consistent rate of HER2 IHC expression between primary and metastatic samples was 81.8% (36/44). Five primary samples and 3 metastatic samples were IHC 2+ for HER2. FISH was carried out in these samples and we found that 4 primary samples and 3 metastatic samples were FISH positive. The positive rate of HER2 was 20.5% in primary and 15.9% in metastatic samples, \( P=0.1876 \). The consistent rate of HER2 expression was 90.9% (40/44) between primary and metastatic samples, \( P=0.580 \). Only 4 patients were discordant between the primary and metastatic samples including 3 primary positive, metastatic negative and 1 primary negative, metastatic positive patient.

Table 3. Comparison of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status in pair samples of gastric cancer patients

|               | Biopsy sample | Surgical sample | Metastatic samples |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Intestinal    | 0             | 0               | 0                  |
| Diffuse       | 55            | 18              | 28                 |
| Mixed         | 11            | 6               | 2                  |
| Intestinal    | Primary sample| 2               | 2                  |
| Diffuse       | 5             | 2               | 1                  |
| Mixed         | 2             | 0               | 0                  |
| Intestinal    | Metastatic    | 0               | 2                 |
| Diffuse       | 1             | 0               | 0                  |
| Mixed         | 1             | 0               | 4                  |

Discussion

The result of the phase III ToGA trial indicated trastuzumab plus cisplatin and fluoropyrimidine chemotherapy as the standard care for patients with HER2-positive advanced gastric cancer [3]. Based on this study, patients with advanced or metastatic adenocarcinoma of the stomach or esophagogastric junction for whom trastuzumab therapy is being considered, assessment for tumor HER2 overexpression using IHC is recommended. The HER2 status in advanced gastric carcinoma is usually assessed on the biopsy samples from the primary tumor because patients with metastatic disease rarely undergo surgery or biopsy from the metastatic disease. Can the HER2 status of the biopsy sample from the primary lesion truly represent the HER2 status in the gastric cancer? The issue can be further divided into 2 questions: the concordance of HER2 status between biopsy and surgical samples, between primary tumor and metastatic lesions.

Concordance of HER2 status between primary tumor and metastasis has been occasionally addressed in the literatures and the results are controversial. Bozzeotti C et al. found that discordance of FISH results was 98.5% in 68 primary and matched metastatic sites and concordance of IHC results was 94.9% in 39 paired cases [14]. Marx AH et al. also showed that HER-2 status was identical in primary and their matched lymph node metastases [15]. Kochi et al. demonstrated a HER2 concordance rate of 90.2% between the primary gastric and the corresponding lymph node metastasis in 102 patients [16]. While Gumusay O et al. reported that 16.2% of the patients had a HER2 discordance between primary lesion and the paired metastatic lesion as assessed by the SISH method [17]. Cho et al. found that discrepancies in HER2 status between primary and paired metastatic...
lymph nodes were 11% by IHC, 7% by SISH [18]. Peng Z et al. made a meta-analysis using 1,867 patients from eighteen articles and found that pooled discordance proportions between primary and metastasis lesions were 7% [95% confidence interval (CI): 5-10%] for HER2 status [19]. Pooled proportions of tumors shifting from positive to negative and from negative to positive were 17% (95% CI: 7-29%) and 4% (95% CI: 2-6%) respectively. In another study, HE2R positive was more common in liver metastasis than in the paired lymph nodes [20]. We found that the consistent rate of HER2 expression was 90.9% (40/44) between primary and metastatic samples by combining IHC and FISH examination. The high concordance rate between primary and metastatic samples indicated that in gastric cancer HER2 status remained unchanged in most cases during the metastatic process. Since all the patients in our study were synchronous metastasis, we had no idea whether the HER2 status kept unchanged for the metachronous metastasis. While for synchronous metastasis, it is not necessary to test the HER2 status from both primary and metastatic lesions basing on our results.

Concordance of HER2 status between biopsy tumor and surgical tumor has been scarcely addressed. Intratumoral heterogeneity of gastric cancer was a major challenge in HER2 biopsy samples. Kanayama K et al. found that the overall concordance rate of HER2 status between biopsy tissues and surgical specimens was 91.4% [21]. However, in another study, Yoshida H et al. showed that the concordance rate of IHC results between surgically resected specimens and the corresponding biopsy specimens was only 57.0 %, and in discordant cases, HER2 positivity in biopsies and HER2 negativity in surgically resected tumors were most common [22]. Biopsy from multiple sites was encouraged to decrease the bias caused by the intratumoral heterogeneity [23]. In our study, we found that the consistent rate of HER2 expression was 91.4% (106/116) between one-point biopsy and surgical samples. For the biopsy samples, we only carried out the HER2 test in one of the biopsies.

In this paper, we analyzed the Lauren classification between the biopsy and surgical samples as well as the primary and metastatic samples. We found that the consistent rate of Lauren classification was 64.7% (75/116) and 92.5% (74/80) between biopsy and surgical sample, primary and metastatic samples, respectively. For the biopsy and surgical paired samples, most of the discordance had mixed type and only 15 patients (12.9%) had totally different Lauren classification between the biopsy and surgical samples, one diffuse type and the other intestinal type. We did not find other reports about the concordance rate of Lauren classification in different samples of gastric cancer in the literatures.

In all, we found that HER2 expression was highly homogenous in gastric cancer. Identical HER2 status was found in biopsy and surgical samples, primary and their corresponding metastatic samples. The high concordance observed between these two cohorts indicated that the HER2 examination of biopsies from the primary tumor could well represent the HER2 status of the patients. The concordance rate of Lauren classification was higher between the primary and metastatic samples than between the biopsy and surgical samples.

Potential limitation of current study is its retrospective methodology from a single-institution experience. Moreover, we did not collect metachronous metastasis samples, therefore, we have no idea about the heterogeneity in metachronous metastatic diseases.
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