Methylation-associated down-regulation of RASSF1A and up-regulation of RASSF1C in pancreatic endocrine tumors
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Abstract

Background: RASSF1A gene silencing by DNA methylation has been suggested as a major event in pancreatic endocrine tumor (PET) but RASSF1A expression has never been studied. The RASSF1 locus contains two CpG islands (A and C) and generates seven transcripts (RASSF1A-RASSF1G) by differential promoter usage and alternative splicing.

Methods: We studied 20 primary PETs, their matched normal pancreas and three PET cell lines for the (i) methylation status of the RASSF1 CpG islands using methylation-specific PCR and pyrosequencing and (ii) expression of RASSF1 isoforms by quantitative RT-PCR in 13 cases. CpG island A methylation was evaluated by methylation-specific PCR (MSP) and by quantitative methylation-specific PCR (qMSP); pyrosequencing was applied to quantify the methylation of 51 CpGs also encompassing those explored by MSP and qMSP approaches.

Results: MSP detected methylation in 16/20 (80%) PETs and 13/20 (65%) normal pancreas. At qMSP, 11/20 PETs (55%) and 9/20 (45%) normals were methylated in at least 20% of RASSF1A alleles. Pyrosequencing showed variable distribution and levels of methylation within and among samples, with PETs having average methylation higher than normal in 15/20 (75%) cases (P = 0.01). The evaluation of mRNA expression of RASSF1 variants showed that: i) RASSF1A was always expressed in PET and normal tissues, but it was, on average, expressed 6.8 times less in PET (P = 0.003); ii) RASSF1A methylation inversely correlated with its expression; iii) RASSF1 isoforms were rarely found, except for RASSF1B that was always expressed and RASSF1C whose expression was 11.4 times higher in PET than in normal tissue (P = 0.001). A correlation between RASSF1A expression and gene methylation was found in two of the three PET cell lines, which also showed a significant increase in RASSF1A expression upon demethylating treatment.

Conclusions: RASSF1A gene methylation in PET is higher than normal pancreas in no more than 75% of cases and as such it cannot be considered a marker for this neoplasm. RASSF1A is always expressed in PET and normal pancreas and its levels are inversely correlated with gene methylation. Isoform RASSF1C is overexpressed in PET and the recent demonstration of its involvement in the regulation of the Wnt pathway points to a potential pathogenetic role in tumor development.
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Background
Pancreatic endocrine tumors (PET) are rare neoplasms whose molecular pathogenesis is largely unknown. Silencing of the RASSFIA gene by methylation has been proposed as a crucial pathogenetic event in PET by five studies, all of which used the very same methylation-specific PCR (MSP) assay to interrogate the same region of the gene [1-5]. Two of these five papers assessed the methylation status of several candidate tumor suppressor genes and reported the methylation of RASSF1A in 75% [3] and 83% [2] of PET. This high rate of RASSF1A methylation in PETs was confirmed in the other three studies, where the rate ranged from 60% to 100% of cases [1,4,5]. However, the formal proof that the RASSF1A gene silencing by methylation in PET is associated with loss of its expression has never been reported.

In tumor types other than PET, RASSF1A involvement was assessed by a quantitative MSP assay (qMSP), analyzing a region of RASSF1A different from the one investigated by MSP in PET [6-10]. For some of these tumors methylation was associated with down-regulation of the gene expression [11-19].

Ras Association Domain Family 1 (RASSF1) is a putative tumor suppressor gene localized at chromosome 3p21.3 that has been reported to inhibit tumor growth in vitro and in vivo systems [20-23]. RASSF1 locus generates seven different transcript variants (RASSF1A-G) by differential promoter usage and alternative splicing [24]. RASSF1A has been considered a player of inhibitory functions of Ras on cell growth by acting as downstream agent and as such its inactivation is believed to result in the indirect activation of the Ras pathway. Other studies however have also assigned complex roles in cell functions to this gene [25,26].

Two CpG islands are associated with RASSF1 regulatory region: CpG island A extending in the regulatory region common to RASSF1A, D, E, F and G; CpG island C in the regulatory region of RASSF1C. Little is known about the influence of CpG islands on RASSF1B. The main RASSF1 variants that are ubiquitously expressed in normal tissues are RASSF1A and RASSF1C [24]. No information is available regarding the expression of RASSF1 isoforms in PET.

In this study, we evaluated the putative role of RASSF1A methylation as a possible tumor specific and transcription regulatory event occurring in PET. To this end, we applied both the MSP and qMSP approaches indicated above in a set of 20 PETs and matched normal pancreas together with the evaluation of RASSF1 gene expression in 13 cases. We also assessed the methylation status of each of the CpG sites encompassing a large area of the regulatory region, including the CpGs interrogated by MSP and qMSP assays, with methylation-sensitive pyrosequencing. This technique allows relative quantification of methylated CpGs in relation to unmethylated CpGs for each of the CpG sites tested.

Methods

Samples and nucleic acids extraction
Twenty primary sporadic PET (Table 1) with matched normal pancreas were studied. Samples were obtained in accordance with the Verona University and Hospital Trust Ethics Committee and PET were classified according to WHO criteria [27]. Normal pancreas was taken far away (at least 2 cm) from the neoplastic lesion and was macroscopically and histologically normal at pathological evaluation. All PET samples were negative for MEN1 gene mutation (data not shown) and there was no family history for MEN1, VHL or any other familial cancer predisposing syndrome.

Nucleic acids were prepared from 12 cryostatic sections, with tumor cellularity checked every four sections. Tumor cellularity was always over 90%. DNA was purified by QiAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen), following manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was extracted with TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer instructions, then treated with DNase I (Invitrogen) for 15 minutes at room temperature, and finally incubated at 65°C for 10 minutes for enzyme inactivation. As a control of the DNaseI efficiency, a DNA sample was

| Case | Sex | Age (years) | Tumor type               | WHO classification* |
|------|-----|------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| 1    | M   | 53         | non-functioning          | WDEC                |
| 2    | M   | 42         | non-functioning          | WDEC                |
| 3    | M   | 51         | insulinoma               | WDET                |
| 4    | M   | 44         | non-functioning          | WDET                |
| 5    | M   | 48         | non-functioning          | WDEC                |
| 6    | M   | 51         | insulinoma               | WDET                |
| 7    | F   | 66         | non-functioning          | WDET                |
| 8    | F   | 65         | non-functioning          | WDET                |
| 9    | M   | 35         | non-functioning          | WDEC                |
| 10   | F   | 70         | non-functioning          | WDEC                |
| 11   | M   | 76         | non-functioning          | WDEC                |
| 12   | F   | 40         | non-functioning          | WDEC                |
| 13   | M   | 41         | insulinoma               | WDET                |
| 14   | M   | 40         | non-functioning          | WDEC                |
| 15   | M   | 68         | non-functioning          | WDEC                |
| 16   | F   | 47         | non-functioning          | WDET                |
| 17   | M   | 48         | non-functioning          | WDET                |
| 18   | M   | 41         | gastrinoma               | WDEC                |
| 19   | M   | 70         | non-functioning          | WDEC                |
| 20   | F   | 46         | gastrinoma               | WDET                |

* WDEC: well-differentiated endocrine carcinoma; WDET: well-differentiated endocrine tumor.
subjected to DNAse I treatment at the same conditions and loaded on agarose gel.

**Cell lines and demethylating treatment**
PET cell lines CM, QGP1 and BON were grown in culture medium containing RPMI 1640 supplemented with 2 mM glutamine and 10% FBS and incubated at standard conditions (37°C with 5% CO₂). Cell lines were treated with the enzymatic inhibitor 5′-aza-2′-deoxycytidine (DAC) (Sigma-Aldrich), previously solubilized in DMSO and stored at -80°C until use. Cells treated with the inhibitor were grown in presence of 2.5 μM DAC for 6 days.

**Optimization of bisulfite treatment of DNA**
DNA was chemically modified with sodium bisulfite using MethylSeq Kit (Applied Biosystems) to convert unmethylated cytosine to uracil, while methylated cytosines resist conversion. Duration of bisulfite treatment necessary to determine complete cytosines modification was assessed by incubating DNA with sodium bisulfite for 4, 8 and 16 hours at 50°C in a heat-block. Complete conversion of cytosines occurred after 16 hours treatment and the DNA obtained was used for subsequent experimental procedures.

**RASSF1A methylation-specific PCR (MSP)**
Methylation-specific PCR (MSP) was performed according to Pizzi et al. [5]. Reference unmethylated DNA was from healthy donor peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Reference full methylated DNA was CpGenome Universal Methylated DNA (Chemicon International).

**Quantitative methylation-specific PCR**
Quantitative MSP (qMSP) was performed as described [6,8] with minor modifications reported in Additional file 1. Primers are listed in Additional file 2, Table S1. The methylation level of RASSF1A was calculated as the ratio of methylated RASSF1A to MYOD1, the latter representing the total input unmethylated DNA [28]. To classify samples as methylated, we applied the same cut-off used by Xing et al. [10]. The 20% cut-off point at qMSP analysis was chosen with a conservative assumption that all of the cells in a sample were tumor cells without normal cell contamination and without loss of heterozygosity in the RASSF1A locus. Thus, a cut-off point of 20% of total alleles for RASSF1A methylation implies that 20% of all of the tumor cells carry RASSF1A methylation, if both alleles are methylated in one cell. In reality, the tumor tissue is not 100% pure, and there may be also loss of heterozygosity in the RASSF1A locus. Therefore the 20% cut-off point of total alleles for RASSF1A methylation actually reflects the presence of a clone of more than 20% of the total number of tumor cells [10].

**Pyrosequencing of bisulfite-modified DNA**
Bisulfite-modified DNAs were evaluated by pyrosequencing [29] as recommended (Biotage AB), using primers and conditions as reported in Additional file 1 and in Additional file 2, Table S1. The degree of methylation at each CpG position was determined from the ratio of C and T by the Pyro Q-CpG Software (Biotage AB). Pyrosequencing was performed on the sense and antisense strand of RASSF1A (nucleotides -163 to +262 in chromosome 3: 50353109-50353534, NC_000003.10) and of RASSF1C (nucleotides -86 to +193 in chromosome 3: 50349706-50349985, NC_000003.10).

**Identification of alternatively spliced mRNA isoforms of RASSF1A**
Isolforms A, D, E, F and G originate from alternative splicing of RASSF1A gene. Taking advantage of their different length, isoforms A, D, E and F were identified by microfluidic chip-electrophoretic separation (DNA 1000 chip, 2100 Bioanalyzer, Agilent Technologies) of PCR products. The PCR amplification of cDNAs used primers designed in the first and last exon of the gene (NCBI Reference Sequence NM_007182). As RASSF1 lacks the last exon of RASSF1A, it was amplified separately with opportune primers. The PCR primers used are listed in Additional file 2, Table S1.

**Measurement of mRNA expression by quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)**
RNA samples were retrotranscribed to cDNA using the First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche). A reverse transcriptase minus cDNA was prepared for each sample as a control. qRT-PCR conditions and primers are reported in Additional file 1 and in Additional file 2, Table S1. The relative expression level was calculated using transcript level of RPLPO as reference gene and the standard (= 1) was the average of the levels of expression of all samples. qRT-PCR data analysis was performed according to the comparative method following the User Bulletin #2 (Applied Biosystems).

**Statistical analysis**
Pearson’s correlation (r) and Student’s t-test were used to compare mRNA expression and differentially methylated regions between groups of samples. Pairwise t-test or Spearman Rank (rho) correlation was used to compare expression in matched PET and normal samples. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
Results

The methylation status of CpG islands A and C of the RASSF1 locus (Figure 1) was assessed in a series of 20 PET and matched normal tissues (Table 1). Methylation of CpG island A was first evaluated by the qualitative methylation-specific PCR (MSP) used in papers dealing with PET [1-5] and then by a quantitative methylation-specific PCR (qMSP) applied in the study of other tumor types [6-10]. The regions of CpG island A analyzed by MSP and qMSP were further investigated by pyrosequencing, which provided the methylation status of 51 CpGs of the island A that also encompasses those explored by MSP and qMSP approaches. Analysis of the expression of the diverse RASSF1 isoforms completed the study. The analysis of CpG island C was performed by pyrosequencing analysis of 37 individual CpGs.

Methylation-specific PCR showed a high frequency of RASSF1A methylation in PET and normal pancreas

MSP detected methylation in 16 of 20 (80%) PETs and 13 of 20 (65%) normal pancreas (Additional file 3, Figure S1A). In particular, methylation was detected in 12 PETs and matched normals, was absent in both PET and matched normal in 3 cases, while in five cases it was discordantly present in either PET (4 cases) or normal (1 case).

Quantitative methylation-specific PCR showed variable RASSF1A methylation among PET and normal pancreas

All PET and normal samples showed variable levels of methylation at qMSP, but only 11/20 PETs (55%) and 9/20 (45%) normals had a methylation level in at least 20% of the RASSF1A alleles (Additional file 3, Figure S1B). The cut-off point of 20% of total alleles for RASSF1A methylation implies that 20% of cells in a sample carry RASSF1A methylation [10].

Using this cut-off, methylation in paired PET/normal cases was as follows: 6 both methylated, 6 both unmethylated, 5 methylated in PET, 3 methylated in normal. The qMSP methylation levels in PET and matched normals showed a higher degree of methylation in neoplastic tissues with respect to normals in 8 cases and a lower degree in 9 cases; the remaining 3 cases had similar methylation levels in PET and normal.

Pyrosequencing revealed that RASSF1A promoter and first exon are variably methylated within and among PETs and normal pancreas

Pyrosequencing was applied to determine the methylation level of 51 CpGs within CpG island A of RASSF1A, including 17 CpGs in the promoter and 34 CpGs in the first exon. In fact, this technique is able to provide the level of methylation of single CpGs (Figure 2). RASSF1A methylation showed a high variability in terms of distribution and level among PET and normal samples (Figure 3). Analysis of the RASSF1A antisense DNA strand confirmed the results obtained for the sense strand.

Table 2 lists the average methylation levels of promoter, first exon and of the CpG island A of RASSF1A in 20 tumors and their matched normal samples. By considering the average methylation of all 51 CpGs, methylation was higher in tumor than in normal tissue in 15/20 (75%) cases (pairwise t-test, \( P = 0.01 \) ) (Figure 3, Table 2); of the remaining 5 cases, 2 cases had similar methylation level in normal and tumor (cases 1 and 9), while 3 cases had normal showing higher methylation than tumor (cases 2, 6, 16).

By considering a threshold as for qMSP, a sample was defined as “methylated” if it had an average methylation across 51 CpGs higher than 20%. Using this threshold, 13/20 (65%) tumors and 12/20 (60%) normal samples were methylated. Among the 3 normal samples with higher degree of methylation than the neoplastic counterpart, case 2 showed the largest difference of average methylation level between PET and normal.

Pyrosequencing results overlapped those obtained by qMSP in both PET and normal pancreas when the status of the 10 CpGs included in the qMSP assay (from CpG3 to CpG12; see Figure 3) was considered; in fact, the average methylation level of these 10 CpGs by
pyrosequencing vs the level of methylation by qMSP showed a good correlation ($r = 0.78$, $P = 0.0001$).

RASSF1A is methylated in normal pancreas
Regardless of technique used, our data indicate that RASSF1A methylation occurs in normal pancreas, as previously reported by others [2,4,5,30], and presents inter-individual variability in terms of frequency and level.

RASSF1A mRNA expression in PET is lower than that of matched normal pancreas and inversely correlates with methylation level of CpG island A
Expression of RASSF1A mRNA was assessed in 13 PETs and matched normal pancreas by qRT-PCR. Expression was lower in PET than in normal in 11 of 13 cases, equal to an average difference of 6.8 fold ($P = 0.003$) (Figure 4A and Additional file 2, Table S2).
Figure 3 Methylation status of CpG island A in PET, normal pancreas and cell lines. Panel A shows the methylation level of the 51 CpGs analyzed by pyrosequencing in the 20 matched normal/tumor samples. Numbers on the left refer to normal (N) and tumor (T) samples of the 20 PET listed in Table 1. Each of the 51 CpGs, 17 in the promoter region and 34 in the first exon, is represented by a square. Numbers on top show the location of CpG dinucleotides and transcription start site is indicated (+1). Any CpG is represented by a square that has one of four grey levels according to the proportion of methylation detected, namely white, light grey, dark grey and black indicating a level of methylation of 0-20%, 20-40%, 40-60% and > 60%, respectively. Black lines on top of squares indicate location of qMSP and MSP assays spanning CpGs 3 to 12 and CpGs 21 to 34, respectively. Panel B reports the methylation status of the 51 CpGs in the three indicated PET cell lines (CM, QGP1 and BON), where C and D indicates untreated control cells and cell lines treated with demethylating agent, respectively.
The comparison between mRNA expression levels and methylation status by MSP showed that the two events were not significantly associated (Wilcoxon test, \( P = 0.07 \)). When comparing RASSF1A expression data with percent of methylation by qMSP, Spearman’s test revealed the presence of an inverse correlation (\( r = -0.35, P = 0.08 \)), which became significant when the average methylation of the 51 CpGs of pyrosequencing data was considered (\( r = -0.57, P = 0.01 \)) (Figure 5A). A major contribution to this latter association was provided by the first exon (\( r = -0.61, P = 0.007 \)) while methylation of promoter did not correlate significantly (\( r = -0.44, P > 0.05 \)) (Figure 5B).

We then searched for a role of specific CpGs in the transcription regulation of RASSF1A using pyrosequencing data (Additional file 3, Figure S2). The highest degree of correlation was found for CpG5 (inner graph in Additional file 3, Figure S2). The expression of RASSF1A inversely correlated with the methylation of this CpG (\( r = -0.78, P = 0.001 \)).

RASSF1A expression is present in PET cell lines despite a strong methylation in CpG island A and is enhanced by treatment with demethylating agents

The three PET cell lines CM, QGP1, BON showed a level of methylation above 90% by qMSP (Additional file 3, Figure S1B). Pyrosequencing analysis confirmed the high level of methylation throughout the CpG island A (Figure 3B). Interestingly, RASSF1A was always expressed at similar level in all the untreated cell lines (Figure 4B). RASSF1A was always expressed in all the untreated cell lines, but at higher level in BON compared to QGP1 and CM.

In order to evaluate the effect of methylation on gene expression of RASSF1A, the three cell lines CM, QGP1 and BON were treated with the demethylating agent 5'-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (DAC). Treatment reduced methylation by about 25% from the starting level. The main changes of methylation regarded the CpGs included in the first exon (Figure 3B). However, DAC treatment induced a significant increase of RASSF1A expression in QGP1 and CM, but not in BON cell line (Figure 4B). The mRNA expression levels and the average methylation of the 51 CpGs within CpG island A showed a significant inverse correlation (\( r = -0.73, P = 0.004 \)).

Isoform RASSF1C is highly expressed in PET at variance with the other six isoforms generated from RASSF1 locus

Seven mRNA isoforms could potentially be expressed by the RASSF1 locus and four of them (RASSF1-A, D, E and F) are generated from differential splicing of RASSF1A (Figure 1).

PCR products of RASSF1 isoforms A, D, E and F are resolved by microfluidic chip electrophoresis (Figure 6). RASSF1A was detected in all PETs while the splicing variants D, E and F were rarely seen: RASSF1F was found in one PET (T7) and two normal pancreas (N3, T10, T17).

### Table 2 Pyrosequencing analysis of 20 matched samples: average methylation values of 51 CpGs in CpG island A of RASSF1A, of which 17 CpGs in the promoter and 34 CpGs in the first exon

| Cases* | Average methylation (%) | Promoter | First Exon | CpG island A |
|--------|--------------------------|-----------|------------|--------------|
| N1     | 4                        | 2         | 3          |
| T1     | 2                        | 4         | 3          |
| N2     | 34                       | 75        | 60         |
| T2     | 20                       | 11        | 14         |
| N3     | 29                       | 14        | 19         |
| T3     | 37                       | 19        | 25         |
| N4     | 2                        | 2         | 2          |
| T4     | 23                       | 8         | 13         |
| N5     | 37                       | 22        | 28         |
| T5     | 59                       | 56        | 57         |
| N6     | 27                       | 18        | 22         |
| T6     | 29                       | 10        | 17         |
| N7     | 40                       | 35        | 37         |
| T7     | 53                       | 52        | 52         |
| N8     | 47                       | 37        | 40         |
| T8     | 77                       | 46        | 57         |
| N9     | 43                       | 32        | 35         |
| T9     | 46                       | 29        | 35         |
| N10    | 35                       | 34        | 34         |
| T10    | 49                       | 72        | 64         |
| N11    | 37                       | 38        | 38         |
| T11    | 38                       | 43        | 41         |
| N12    | 28                       | 18        | 22         |
| T12    | 42                       | 66        | 57         |
| N13    | 25                       | 34        | 31         |
| T13    | 67                       | 65        | 66         |
| N14    | 4                        | 3         | 4          |
| T14    | 49                       | 30        | 37         |
| N15    | 36                       | 28        | 31         |
| T15    | 50                       | 37        | 41         |
| N16    | 14                       | 8         | 10         |
| T16    | 10                       | 9         | 9          |
| N17    | 3                        | 2         | 2          |
| T17    | 3                        | 5         | 4          |
| N18    | 4                        | 18        | 13         |
| T18    | 32                       | 7         | 16         |
| N19    | 10                       | 6         | 8          |
| T19    | 25                       | 37        | 33         |
| N20    | 25                       | 36        | 32         |
| T20    | 43                       | 80        | 67         |

*\( N = \) normal pancreas, \( T = \) PET.
N5), RASSF1D/RASSF1E was expressed in only one sample (N5).

Of the remaining three isoforms, RASSF1G was always absent in PET and normal pancreas, while variant B was always expressed in both normal and PET with no significant difference (data not shown). Conversely, RASSF1C expression level in PETs was significantly higher than that found in normals in 11 of 13 cases (P = 0.001) (Figure 4C), having a fold average expression ratio PET/normal of 11.4.

CpG island C is never methylated
Pyrosequencing analysis revealed that none of the 37 CpGs of CpG island C is methylated in PETs and normal pancreas.

Lower expression of RASSF1A and higher expression of RASSF1C in PET with respect to normal pancreas are concomitant events
We tested the relationship between RASSF1A and RASSF1C analyzing the expression ratio PET/normal. Comparison of RASSF1A PET/normal and RASSF1C PET/normal expression ratios suggested that the lower expression of the first and the higher expression of the second are two events occurring concomitantly (r = 0.7, P = 0.01).

CpG island C is never methylated in PET cell lines and treatment with demethylating agents enhances mRNA expression of RASSF1C
No methylation was detected in 37 CpGs of CpG island C in PET cell lines using pyrosequencing and RASSF1C.
transcript was always found at similar level in untreated cells (Figure 4D). However, treatment of cell lines with DAC upregulated \textit{RASSF1C} expression significantly in QGP1 and CM, but not in BON cell line.

**Discussion**

The suggestion that \textit{RASSF1A} silencing by methylation is involved in the pathogenesis of PET has been supported by the presence of methylation in the CpG island A of the gene [1-5]. However, all studies used the same qualitative method to assess methylation and \textit{RASSF1A} expression has never been analyzed in PET.

In this study we conducted an exhaustive analysis of \textit{RASSF1} methylation status in order to define its putative role as a possible tumor specific and transcription regulatory event occurring in PET.

We first analyzed \textit{RASSF1} methylation by the qualitative MSP assay because this was the method used in previous studies on PET, and found methylation in 80% of cases, a frequency similar to that reported in those studies [1-5]. However, MSP is highly sensitive recognizing as little as 0.1% of methylated alleles [31], thus classifying a sample as methylated on the basis of a minimal proportion of methylated target. We then performed qMSP that has been previously used for the evaluation...
of methylation status of \textit{RASSF1A} in tumors other than pancreatic [6-8,10]. This assay analyzes a region of CpG island A that has never been investigated in PET. By this quantitative assay, all PET showed some degree of methylation but only 55% of cases had at least 20% of their alleles methylated.

In normal pancreas, \textit{RASSF1} methylation was found in 65% of cases by MSP, a value that fit within the range of published studies that used the same technique [2,4,5]. By qMSP assay, 45% of normals had at least 20% of their alleles methylated.

Finally, we obtained a detailed mapping of \textit{RASSF1A} methylation by pyrosequencing, assessing the level of methylation of each of 51 CpGs within CpG island A, also encompassing those explored by MSP and qMSP approaches. Pyrosequencing provided a portrait of the complexity of the methylation pattern of tumor cells, where \textit{RASSF1A} methylation showed a high variability in terms of distribution and level within and among samples. Similar to pancreas, a very complex distribution of methylation of \textit{RASSF1A} was found in breast cancer [19].

Our pyrosequencing data showed that most of normal and tumor samples had an average methylation levels of the CpG island A below 40%, with the exception of one normal (case 2) and seven tumors, having values above 40% (Table 2). To classify samples as “methylated”, we applied the same cut-off used for qMSP (an average methylation level higher than 20%). Methylated samples were 13/20 (65%) in PET and 12/20 (60%) in normal pancreas. In matched samples, the average methylation level of the CpG island A was higher in PET than in normal samples in 15/20 (75%) cases (P = 0.01). Among the 3 normal samples with higher degree of methylation than the neoplastic counterpart, case 2 showed the largest difference of average methylation level between PET and normal. We repeated the pyrosequencing analysis of this case with same results and did not find any particular features in the clinical profile of the patient to associate to the abnormal methylation data.

Although the overall CpG island A methylation level revealed by pyrosequencing was higher in PET than in normal tissue (Figure 3), normal pancreas displayed considerable methylation levels. The common occurrence of methylation of \textit{RASSF1A} in normal pancreas suggests that this epigenetic event might represent a “field defect”, consisting in widespread epigenetic changes arising early in the pancreas before tumor onset, a hypothesis previously suggested [32,33].

When comparing the three techniques employed in this study to investigate the methylation status, MSP showed different results from those obtained by both qMSP and pyrosequencing. This is consistent with the qualitative (MSP) and quantitative (qMSP and pyrosequencing) nature of these approaches. Conversely, qMSP and pyrosequencing gave comparable results (correlation r = 0.78), thus supporting the choice of using the same cut-off for both quantitative results to classify a sample as methylated.

Whatever the method used to detect methylation, the majority of cases showed concordantly methylated or unmethylated tumor/normal pairs, and, when discordant, the methylation was higher in tumor in most cases, except case 2 (Table 2). This raises the question of whether methylation affects the expression of \textit{RASSF1} gene. Indeed, none of the previous papers suggesting the inactivation of \textit{RASSF1A} in PET due to hypermethylation analyzed gene expression [2,4,5]. In the present work, we evaluated the mRNA expression of \textit{RASSF1} variants and showed that: i) all PETs and their matched normal tissues expressed \textit{RASSF1A}; ii) the average expression of \textit{RASSF1A} in PET was 6.8 times lower than that in normal tissues (see Figure 4A and Additional file 2, Table S2); iii) the overall extent of \textit{RASSF1A} methylation in PET correlated inversely with its expression and the role of methylation of the first exon seems more important than that of the promoter region (see Figure 5). Accordingly, a correlation between \textit{RASSF1A} expression and the average methylation of the 51 CpGs of island A was found in two of the three PET cell lines analyzed. In all untreated cell lines \textit{RASSF1A} was always expressed despite a strong methylation in CpG island A; in particular, BON cell line showed a higher level of \textit{RASSF1A} expression compared to QGP1 and CM. Moreover, the treatment with the demethylating agent 5’-aza-2’-deoxycytidine enhanced significantly \textit{RASSF1A} expression in QGP1 and CM, but not in BON. This difference in expression levels and response to demethylating treatment is consistent with a possibly different genetic background. Indeed, it has been recently shown that CM, QGP1, and BON harboured different gene mutations; in particular, they had mutations in \textit{FLT1/VEGFR1, FGFR3, and PIK3CA}, respectively [34].

The expression of \textit{RASSF1A} splicing isoforms \textit{D}, \textit{E}, \textit{F} and \textit{G}, and that of the major variants deriving from alternative promoter usage, \textit{RASSF1B} and \textit{RASSF1C}, has never been studied in PET. Here we report that \textit{RASSF1} isoforms \textit{D}, \textit{E}, \textit{F} were rarely expressed in PET and normal pancreas; \textit{RASSF1G} was never found; \textit{RASSF1B} was always expressed in both PET and normal pancreas, with no significant difference; \textit{RASSF1C} expression was averagely 11.4 times higher in PET than in normal tissue. Pyrosequencing analysis revealed that all the CpGs within CpG island C lacked methylation in both tumor and normal tissues. The same situation was found in PET cell lines, where CpG island C was never methylated, and \textit{RASSF1C} was always expressed. Interestingly, treatment with 5’-aza-
2’-deoxycytidine enhanced mRNA expression significantly in two of the three cell lines.

The finding of the hyperexpression of RASSF1C in PET is of great interest in the light of the recently reported role of RASSF1C in inhibiting β-catenin degradation [35]. Thus, RASSF1C overexpression may represent a pathogenic event in PET contributing in sustaining Wnt signaling that has been recently shown to regulate proliferation of pancreatic β-cells [36]. Moreover, RASSF1C has also been implicated in promoting cell migration and attenuating apoptosis in breast cancer cells [37].

Conclusions
RASSF1A gene is frequently methylated in PET and at a higher level than in normal pancreas. However, as no more than 75% of cases show RASSF1A to be more methylated in PET than normal pancreas, RASSF1 gene methylation cannot be considered a marker lesion for this neoplasm.

RASSF1A is always expressed in PET and normal pancreas and its levels are inversely correlated with gene methylation status.

Isoform RASSF1C is highly expressed in PET at variance with the other six isoforms generated from RASSF1 locus; this suggesting that RASSF1C might play a pathogenic role in tumor development, in the light of the recent demonstration of its involvement in the regulation of Wnt pathway.
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