Influence of severe knee pain, meniscus surgery and knee arthroplasty on physical ability: an observational study of 114 949 adults in the CONSTANCES cohort
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ABSTRACT

Background The knee is one of the major sites of musculoskeletal pain, yet few large-scale studies have evaluated the impact of knee disorders on physical ability. Our objective was to describe this impact in a large-scale population study.

Methods We included subjects of working age from the CONSTANCES cohort, from its inception. Four groups were distinguished according to their medical history: whether they had knee arthroplasty (KA), meniscus surgery, severe knee pain, or none of these. Outcomes assessed for physical limitations were self-reported limitations in the last 6 months due to health problems, limitation on carrying 5 kg on 10 m, and a 3-metre length rapid gait speed test (for participants aged >45). Associations between knee groups and patients’ characteristics and physical limitations were analysed using logistic regression. Robust associations were deemed relevant if their ORs were higher than 2 and their p value lower than 0.0001.

Results Of the 114 949 individuals, 99 052 (86.2%) were in the ‘no pain and no surgery’ group, 14 740 (12.8%) were in the severe knee pain group, 1019 (0.89%) had meniscus surgery and 138 (0.12%) had KA. Severe knee pain and KA groups showed a similar profile (they were less at work, reported more deterioration in their health and had more limitations).

Conclusion Almost 14% of the sample had knee disorders. Subjects reporting severe knee pain or who had KA reported more important physical limitations than subjects who reported neither severe knee pain nor knee surgery.

INTRODUCTION

The knee is one of the main sites of musculoskeletal disorders or diseases which often lead to physical limitations, pain and decreased quality of life. Knee disorders are common among the general population and keep increasing but few large scale studies addressed the issue of physical limitations caused by knee disorders among the working population.

There are several treatments that are effective for relieving pain, including analgesics and sometimes surgery. Despite these treatments, the challenge is to identify patients who are significantly affected in their everyday life, whether at work or not. Indeed, when medically possible, preserving adequate physical function is essential both for patients who undergo surgery and those who do not. Meniscus surgery is often associated with good clinical outcomes (including recurrence of symptoms), as well as knee arthroplasty (KA), but those studies often focussed on selected population. Knee pain and its impact on physical ability are more heterogeneous and depend on the aetiology.

The purpose of this study was to describe physical limitations associated with different knee conditions in the working population. For this, physical limitations of almost 115 000 subjects recruited from the cohort
CONSTANCES ("Consultants des Centres d’Examens de Santé") were analysed according to four groups: KA, meniscus surgery, severe knee pain and none of these.

METHODS

Population

Our population came from the French cohort CONSTANCES which is a population-based epidemiological cohort created in 2012 in partnership with French National Health Insurance. Participants included in this cohort are randomly selected adults, aged between 18 and 69. Data are collected through a self-administered questionnaire and a health examination in affiliated health-screening centres. Those older than 45 years old have an additional health clinical examination.

Our survey included 114949 participants from CONSTANCES, restraining the participant’s age to the working population (ages 18–65). We stopped collecting the data from the inclusion questionnaire and examination report in February 2018. Data for surgery (meniscus and KA, from 2009 to 2017) were retrieved from the National Health administrative databases. Subjects who underwent surgery in the year of the survey or later were not included.

Variables of interest

From the questionnaire, we retrieved participants’ sex (man or woman), age, divided into three categories:<50 (‘young’), between 50–60 (‘middle-age’) and >60 (‘senior’) and body mass index (BMI) for three categories: normal or underweight (BMI <25 kg/m²), overweight (BMI ≥25 kg/m²) and obese (BMI ≥30 kg/m²). Occupational activities and level of education were categorised respectively as follows: currently working (yes or no), and less than secondary education or no education, secondary to bachelor, more than bachelor’s degree.

Self-reported health was categorised into three classes: 1–3 (good), 4 and 5 (intermediate), 6–8 (severe).

Three variables were used as outcomes to assess physical limitations: self-reported limitations in the last 6 months due to health problems, limitation on carrying 5 kg on 10 m and a 3-metre length rapid gait speed.

Self-reported limitations in the last 6 months due to health-related problem, and limitation on carrying 5 kg for 10 m, were coded from the questionnaire as follows: no limitation, intermediate limitation and severe limitation. For those older than 45, a 3-metre length rapid gait speed test and hand grip test were assessed and coded according to tertiles.

Using the self-reported questionnaire and the National Health administrative database, subjects were divided into four distinct categories: (1) KA (revision included); (2) meniscus surgery (but no KA); (3) severe knee pain intensity >5/10 or pain for more than a month/year (and no surgery); (4) no pain or intermediate knee pain (control group), that is, intensity ≤5/10 and pain for less than a month/year (and no surgery). In France a score ≥5 indicates severe complaints/disorders.7

The meniscus surgery group was initially chosen as a comparison group for KA, as this is a lighter operation performed on a less symptomatic population.5 The hand grip test was described here as a physical testing not directly related to knee disorder, but indirectly related to comorbidities.9

Data analysis

Univariate logistic regression was used to compare the groups with knee conditions versus the control group for each of the aforementioned variables. Age stratification was performed. Only strong associations (OR >2or<0.5 with a p value<0.0001) were considered. Analyses were performed using Statistical Analyses System V.9.4.

Patient and public involvement

This research was done without patient involvement. Patients were not invited to comment on the study design and were not consulted to develop patient relevant outcomes or interpret the results. Patients were not invited to contribute to the writing or editing of this document for readability or accuracy.

RESULTS

Of the 114949 individuals, 53630 (46.7%) were men and 61319 (53.3%) women. As reported in table 1, 99 052 (86.2%) were in the ‘no pain and no surgery’ group, 14 740 (12.8%) were in the severe knee pain group, 10 19 (0.89%) had meniscus surgery and 138 (0.12%) had KA.

An exhaustive description is presented in table 1 as well as results for each age subgroups. Thresholds separating the first and third tertiles for the 3-metre length rapid gait speed test were respectively 1.48s and 1.72s and for the hand grip test 45.5 kg and 38.3 kg (descending order).

Severe knee pain and KA groups had more severe limitation than the no/moderate pain group. Figure 1 shows the percentage of severe limitations in each knee conditions groups. Associations were approximately the same in the young, middle-aged and senior subgroups except for some strata in the <50 subgroup, which had fewer participants, and occupational status (‘currently at work’) for which significant relations were no longer found in the senior subgroup.

The severe knee pain group has a profile of physical limitations closer to the KA group than the meniscus surgery group (figure 2), even though the KA group had higher associations.

ORs for limitations in the last 6 months (severe vs no limitations) in the severe knee pain, meniscus surgery and KA groups were respectively 6.76 (95% CI, (6.47 to 7.07)), 2.49 (2.12 to 2.94) and 11.45 (7.92 to 17.44). Likewise, ORs for limitations on carrying 5 kg on 10 m (severe vs no limitations) in the severe knee pain, meniscus surgery and KA groups were respectively 7.35 (6.70 to 8.06), 3.04 (2.10 to 4.40) and 10.46 (5.59 to 19.57); ORs for the
Table 1: Characteristics of the population by age and knee conditions

| Characteristics | Young (<30 years old, n (%) | Middle-age (50-60 years old, n (%) | Senior (>60 years old, n (%)) | Total, n (%) |
|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
|                | Not operated | Operated | Not operated | Operated | Not operated | Operated | Not operated | Operated | Not operated | Operated | Not operated | Operated |
| Sex            |             |          |             |          |             |          |             |          |             |          |             |          |
| Men            | 2755 (45.09) | 24920    | 2352        | 280      | 3            | 12342    | 10389       | 1723     | 223         | 7            | 13733    | 11506     | 967       | 204         | 56        | 53630     | 46815     | 6042     | 707        | 66        |
| Women          | 33677      | 30099    | 3445        | 100      | 3            | 13769    | 11158       | 2504     | 95          | 12          | 13963    | 11079     | 2794      | 117         | 97        | 61191     | 52237     | 8695     | 312        | 72        |
|                |             |          |             |          |             |          |             |          |             |             |          |          |          |             |          |          |           |           |           |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) |       |          |             |          |             |          |             |          |             |             |          |          |          |             |          |          |           |           |           |
| <25            | 39746      | 36551    | 3001        | 193      | 3            | 12981    | 11335       | 1524     | 119         | 3            | 12035    | 10320     | 1577      | 116         | 22        | 64762     | 58206     | 6102     | 428        | 26        |
| 25-30          | 15000      | 13132    | 1718        | 168      | 3            | 11912    | 9340        | 1597     | 128         | 6            | 10753    | 8723      | 1863      | 143         | 34        | 34865     | 29236     | 5168     | 419        | 42        |
| >30            | 57579      | 56093    | 1003        | 100      | 3            | 36683    | 25900       | 1047     | 66          | 10           | 4533     | 3193      | 1229      | 57          | 54        | 17376     | 10292     | 3279     | 157        | 67        |
|                |             |          |             |          |             |          |             |          |             |             |          |          |          |             |          |          |           |           |           |
| University degree |          |          |             |          |             |          |             |          |             |             |          |          |          |             |          |          |           |           |           |
| None or before secondary | 9199 | 7425    | 1665        | 107      | 3            | 9101     | 6909        | 2034     | 148         | 53            | 11059    | 8603      | 2242      | 151         | 63        | 29545     | 23937     | 5941     | 406        | 75        |
| Secondary to bachelor | 28017 | 25157    | 2693        | 165      | 3            | 10320    | 8720        | 1494     | 97          | 33           | 9173     | 7550      | 1500      | 95          | 28        | 47510     | 41427     | 5687     | 357        | 39        |
| After bachelor | 22612      | 21167    | 1340        | 103      | 3            | 6030     | 5537        | 618      | 63          | 0            | 6967     | 5714      | 869       | 66          | 19        | 35317     | 32338     | 2867     | 232        | 20        |
| Currently at work | No | 8990      | 7855        | 1103     | 30          | 72          | 47422     | 3669       | 1014      | 51          | 8            | 21630    | 17565     | 3713      | 258         | 94        | 35362     | 29089     | 5830     | 339        | 104       |
| Yes            | 50382      | 45634    | 4514        | 1055     | 3            | 20417    | 17049       | 3096     | 261         | 11           | 4600     | 3776      | 768       | 46          | 10        | 75999     | 66318     | 8405     | 651        | 25        |
| Self-reported health | Good | 44194      | 40968       | 2964     | 260         | 18145    | 15908       | 2032     | 196         | 9            | 19276    | 16001     | 2510      | 212         | 53        | 81715     | 73477     | 7506     | 668        | 64        |
| Intermediate (4, 5) | 10209     | 9147     | 1612        | 79       | 1            | 4708    | 3432        | 1297     | 60          | 8            | 5059     | 3577      | 1363      | 64          | 35        | 23625     | 16106     | 4322     | 203        | 44        |
| Severe (6, 7, 8) | 3439      | 2439     | 984         | 23       | 3            | 1579     | 895         | 638      | 44          | 2            | 1386     | 814       | 386       | 17          | 14        | 6399      | 4160      | 2136     | 84         | 19        |
| Limitation in the last 6 months | No | 43419     | 40676       | 2503     | 240         | 0        | 15732     | 14255      | 1313      | 1844        | 3            | 16695    | 15084     | 1596      | 182         | 3        | 76046     | 70015     | 5412     | 583        | 36        |
| Intermediate (5, 6) | 9707     | 8218     | 1418        | 69       | 2            | 5206     | 4063        | 1179      | 72          | 4            | 6082     | 4318      | 669       | 69          | 3        | 21069     | 16801     | 4019     | 210        | 39        |
| Severe (10) | 6450      | 4645     | 1741        | 60       | 1            | 4298     | 2558        | 1651     | 77          | 12           | 3729     | 2412      | 1491      | 57          | 39        | 14477     | 9345      | 4883     | 194        | 55        |
| Limitation to carry 5kg along 10m | No | 56544     | 51566       | 4624     | 350         | 4        | 22956     | 19635      | 3038     | 273         | 10           | 24268    | 20391     | 3509      | 285         | 83        | 103768    | 91582     | 11171    | 908        | 97        |

Continued
Characteristics | Young (<50 years old), n (%) | Middle-age (50–60 years old), n (%) | Senior (>60 years old), n (%) | Total, n (%)
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
No pain or moderate | 2855 (4.67) | 2021 (3.68) | 813 (14.02) | 5689 (9.46)
Severe knee pain | 19 (0.33) | 149 (2.64) | 206 (3.71) | 264 (4.45)
Meniscus | 1149 (19.33) | 1149 (20.28) | 780 (13.79) | 2078 (33.68)
Knee arthroplasty | 24 (0.41) | 24 (0.41) | 3 (0.05) | 51 (0.82)

3-metres length rapid gait speed test

**Normal**
- 3725 (6.10)
  - No pain or moderate: 3314 (5.63)
  - Severe knee pain: 374 (0.64)
  - Meniscus: 37 (0.64)
  - Knee arthroplasty: 37 (0.64)

**Intermediate**
- 2736 (4.48)
  - No pain or moderate: 2388 (4.35)
  - Severe knee pain: 309 (5.33)
  - Meniscus: 38 (0.67)
  - Knee arthroplasty: 38 (0.67)

**Severe**
- 1799 (2.94)
  - No pain or moderate: 1430 (2.50)
  - Severe knee pain: 352 (0.61)
  - Meniscus: 32 (0.57)
  - Knee arthroplasty: 32 (0.57)

**Hand grip test**

**Normal**
- 4160 (6.81)
  - No pain or moderate: 3618 (6.09)
  - Severe knee pain: 490 (8.45)
  - Meniscus: 51 (0.87)
  - Knee arthroplasty: 51 (0.87)

**Intermediate**
- 2444 (4.00)
  - No pain or moderate: 2132 (3.68)
  - Severe knee pain: 281 (4.85)
  - Meniscus: 31 (0.54)
  - Knee arthroplasty: 31 (0.54)

**Severe**
- 2059 (3.37)
  - No pain or moderate: 1730 (3.00)
  - Severe knee pain: 313 (5.40)
  - Meniscus: 15 (0.27)
  - Knee arthroplasty: 15 (0.27)

**Total**
- 61112 (10.00)
  - No pain or moderate: 54929 (9.15)
  - Severe knee pain: 5977 (10.09)
  - Meniscus: 380 (0.64)
  - Knee arthroplasty: 380 (0.64)

*p<0.0001 and OR <1. †p<0.0001 and OR <0.5. ‡p<0.0001 and OR >1. §p<0.0001 and OR >2.
Participants who had severe knee pain or knee surgery reported more physical limitation than participants who had neither. To our knowledge, this is one of the only studies that provides an overview of knee disorders in a large general population-based sample that focuses on the working population. The detailed numbers allow clinicians to give information to their patients with knee disorders belonging to various age groups. Indeed, these numbers may help clinicians consider their patients’ physical ability on a larger scale and compare them according to their knee conditions, while keeping in mind that participants without knee condition also reported physical limitation (figure 1). Disparities were observed regardless of age and are consistent with other studies.\textsuperscript{10,11} We observed other associations, which are known to be associated with knee osteoarthritis: in the knee condition groups, there is a higher number of obese people, fewer people working and more people who had no secondary education.\textsuperscript{12}

Similar association trends between the severe knee pain and KA groups suggest that patients suffering from severe knee pain might benefit from similar evaluations and follow-up regardless of their operational status. Indeed,
practitioners may be less aware of the need to assess physical limitations for subjects with knee pain than for subjects who had KA.

With information available from a year at least after meniscus surgery or KA, and from 2009 to 2017, we have tried to obtain descriptions not too close and not too far from the surgery. Although pain after KA is complex, patients suffering from severe knee pain might be on the same continuum as patients suffering from chronic pain after KA. As shown in a systematic review, 8.0% to 26.5% of patients suffering from severe knee pain might be on the same continuum as patients suffering from chronic pain after KA. As shown in a systematic review, 8.0% to 26.5% of patients suffering from severe knee pain might be on the same continuum as patients suffering from chronic pain after KA.

This study has several limitations. Despite a very large number of participants, it was not possible to evaluate the young group for KA. Furthermore, this study is descriptive, without information on temporal trends for pain or surgery, and with no multivariable analyses. Thus, we cannot take into account confounding factors and study any causality or consequences. In addition, we have compared subjects who reported pain and who had surgery 3–9 years before the questionnaire. Some might have a long history of knee disorders, surgery at young age and have (or not) pain. We also did not consider many potential conditions relevant to knee or health problems (depression, trauma, static disorders). However, the large sample of an adult population, and the descriptive approach, makes us confident that we can avoid over-interpretation.

In conclusion, this study provides a global view on limitations for some knee disorders in the general population across age groups. It also suggests that people suffering from knee conditions have higher physical physical limitations than the general population, including patient suffering from severe knee pain without recent knee surgery.
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