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ABSTRACT: We report a novel anhydride derivative, 3-acetoxy-2-methylbenzoic anhydride (AMA), obtained from the interaction of 3-acetoxy-2-methylbenzoyl chloride with 3-acetoxy-2-methylbenzoic acid. The synthesized compound was characterized by elemental analysis, IR, 1H NMR, and 13C NMR spectroscopic studies and single-crystal X-ray crystallography which revealed the crystallization of AMA as monoclinic with space group P21/c. A Hirshfeld surface analysis was performed to record various intermolecular interactions, indicating the stabilization of the AMA structure by the intermolecular weak C−H···O hydrogen bonds and π···π interactions. The title compound was screened for antibacterial and antifungal activities using a serial dilution technique under aseptic conditions. The results indicate that the title compound has significant antibacterial properties but showed no antifungal behavior.

INTRODUCTION

The carboxylic anhydride series is a very diverse class of organic compounds that serve both as a precursor for the synthesis of esters, amides, drugs, and peptides and as a versatile reagent for numerous reactions including free carboxylic acids with alcohols, silyl esters, chemoselective esterification, lactonization, and conversion of silyl esters to lactones.1−10 A functional group in an organic acid anhydride is highly reactive, making it rare in nature. Cantharidin, a terpene found in many species of blister beetles, is a naturally occurring anhydride of carboxylic acids.11 Shellfish naturally contains maleic anhydride, which is produced by the bacteria Streptomyces spiroverticillatus in the form of the natural compound tautomycin.12,13

Anhydrides are compounds with two carbonyl groups attached to the same oxygen. There are many methods for synthesizing various symmetrical and unsymmetrical anhydrides in the literature.14–16 The most common anhydrides in organic chemistry are those derived from carboxylic acids and the dehydration of carboxylic acids in the presence of dehydrating reagents like carbodiimides,17 thionyl chlorides,18 and isocyanates.19 In addition, the synthesis of anhydrides has been reported by the acylation of acyl halides and anhydrides with carboxylates.20

Carboxylic anhydrides have been used as plasticizers for PVC and other plastics, particularly where temperature stability is required, such as in wire and cable coatings. In addition, these compounds possess a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity.21 Benzoic anhydride, which is added to low-density polyethylene (LDPE) during film manufacture, can control mold growth on the surface of foods such as cheese and thus have antifungal activity.22,23 The naphthalene tetracarboxylic dianhydrides produced as precursors for the manufacture of naphthalenediimides (NDIs) have been reported to have important applications in materials science.
and artificial photosynthesis. In the present study, we used a known and common method to synthesize the title anhydride compound. The structure of the compound was characterized using elemental analyses, IR, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, single-crystal X-ray diffraction, and Hirshfeld surface analysis. The in vitro antimicrobial activity of the title anhydride was determined against six bacterial and two fungal species.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

#### Materials and Methods.

The chemicals used in the experiment were procured from commercial sources and were not further purified. The solvents were of analytical grade. A Stuart SMP 30 Advanced Digital Melting Point apparatus was used to determine the melting point of the title compound. A Bruker Vertex 80 V spectrometer was used to record FT-IR spectra. Bruker/Ultraschilt spectrometers were used to record ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. The ¹H spectrum was recorded at 300 MHz and the ¹³C spectrum at 75 MHz. Elemental analyses were carried out at ODU MARAL at Ordu University using an Elementar Vario Micro Cube (Germany) elemental analyzer.

#### Synthesis of 3-Acetoxy-2-methylbenzoic Anhydride (AMA).

Triethylamine (2.1 mL, 15 mmol) was gradually added to a solution of 3-acetoxy-2-methylbenzoic acid (15 mmol) in THF (7.5 mL), followed by the addition of 3-acetoxy-2-methylbenzoyl chloride (2.55 g, 12 mmol) dissolved (15 mmol) in THF (7.5 mL), followed by the addition of 3-acetoxy-2-methylbenzoyl chloride (2.55 g, 12 mmol) dissolved in the same solvent (7.5 mL). The resultant reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h, resulting in a white precipitate which was removed by filtration. An amount of 150 mL of deionized water was added to the resulting solution, yielding a product that was washed with deionized water to eliminate triethylamine. The product gives beautiful crystals when crystallized in ethanol. Scheme 1 shows a pictorial representation of the synthesis of the title compound. They were prepared with minor modifications according to a reported procedure. Yield: 3.33 g, 75%; mp 118°C.

#### Crystal Structure Determination.

An appropriate crystal of size 0.10 × 0.06 × 0.05 mm³ was selected to collect X-ray intensity data at 296 K (A = 0.71073 Å) with a Bruker diffractometer equipped with Mo Kα radiation. Bruker SAINT software was used to collect rotational mode (f and ω scanning mode) reflectance measurements to compute cell parameters. The integration method was used to correct for absorption (μ = 0.08 mm⁻¹). The crystal structure of the compound was solved directly using SHELXT. The non-hydrogen atom positional and anisotropic temperature parameters were refined with SHELXL, using a full-matrix least-squares method, yielding 317 crystallographic parameters. Anisotropic refinement of the non-hydrogen atom parameters was conducted, and a difference Fourier map was used to determine hydrogen atom positions. Various freely refined coordinates and U(eq)(H) values were applied. Using a threshold of I > 2σ(I) to refine the structure, R(int) = 0.0647 with 2041 reflections was observed. WinGX (version 2018.3) and Mercury software was used to plot numbers and obtain data in tables. Table 1 lists all the refinement details for the title compound.

### Table 1. Structure Refinement Details for AMA

| Parameter                  | Value            |
|----------------------------|------------------|
| CCDC                       | 2058057          |
| chemical formula           | C₂₀H₁₈O₇         |
| temperature (K)            | 296              |
| space group                | P₂₁/c            |
| crystal system             | monoclinic       |
| Mₚ                         | 370.34           |
| a, b, c (Å)                | 6.1033 (10), 4.0000 (10), 7.8576 (14) |
| α, β, γ (deg)              | 90, 111.350 (5), 90 |
| volume, V (Å³)             | 1832.2 (6)       |
| crystal size (mm)          | 0.10 × 0.06 × 0.05 |
| calculated density (Mg/m³) | 1.343            |
| F bye                      | 776              |
| μ (mm⁻¹)                   | 0.10             |
| Z                           | 4                |
| diffractometer             | Bruker APEX3 CCD |
| θ range (deg)              | 2.8 ≤ θ ≤ 25.8  |
| wavelength (Å)             | 0.71073          |
| measurement method         | ω scan           |
| absorption correction      | multiscan        |
| h, k, l max                | −8, 8           |
| k, l max                   | −54, 54         |
| l max                      | −10, 10         |
| R(int)                     | 0.046            |
| reflections collected      | 51787            |
| independent reflections    | 4571             |
| observed reflections [I > 2σ(I)] | 2041   |
| refinement method          | SHELXL18/3       |
| parameters                 | 317              |
| R²(P)                      | 0.065            |
| wR(P)                      | 0.234            |
| Goof F = S                 | 1.07             |
| Δρmax (e/Å³)               | −0.31, 0.31      |

#### Antimicrobial Activity.

The antimicrobial activity of the title compound was evaluated in 96-well microplates using the broth microdilution technique. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) method was employed to measure the antimicrobial activity. The pathogenic microorganisms tested are the Gram-positive strain (Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633; Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923; Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212) and Gram-negative strain (Escherichia coli ATCC 25922; Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC 70060; Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 15442) and were procured from commercial sources and were not further purified. The solvents were of analytical grade. A Stuart SMP 30 Advanced Digital Melting Point apparatus was used to determine the melting point of the title compound. A Bruker Vertex 80 V spectrometer was used to record FT-IR spectra. Bruker/Ultraschilt spectrometers were used to record ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. The ¹H spectrum was recorded at 300 MHz and the ¹³C spectrum at 75 MHz. Elemental analyses were carried out at ODU MARAL at Ordu University using an Elementar Vario Micro Cube (Germany) elemental analyzer.

The chemicals used in the experiment were procured from commercial sources and were not further purified. The solvents were of analytical grade. A Stuart SMP 30 Advanced Digital Melting Point apparatus was used to determine the melting point of the title compound. A Bruker Vertex 80 V spectrometer was used to record FT-IR spectra. Bruker/Ultraschilt spectrometers were used to record ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. The ¹H spectrum was recorded at 300 MHz and the ¹³C spectrum at 75 MHz. Elemental analyses were carried out at ODU MARAL at Ordu University using an Elementar Vario Micro Cube (Germany) elemental analyzer.

**Scheme 1. Synthesis of the Title Compound**

![Scheme 1. Synthesis of the Title Compound](image-url)
**results and discussion**

**vibrational frequencies.** the $\text{c}=\text{o}$ stretching vibrations were identified as distinguishing absorption bands at 1790 and 1759 cm$^{-1}$ in the ir spectra. these two bands were observed as the symmetric stretching mode at the higher frequency and the asymmetric stretching mode at the lower frequency. for the $-\text{coo}-\text{co}-$ system, the symmetric stretching band is relatively weaker with the lower asymmetric stretching. the $\text{c}=\text{o}$ stretching mode of the acetoxyl groups appeared at 1721
The aromatic and aliphatic CH vibrations were observed at 3060−2974 and 2926−2835 cm⁻¹, respectively. The aromatic strong ν(−C=O) stretch was detected at 1210 cm⁻¹, as shown in Figure 1. The stretch of the aliphatic ν(−C=O) was noticed at 1004 cm⁻¹. These findings are similar to those reported previously for comparable compounds.14,34−37

1H NMR Spectrum. The 1H NMR spectrum of the title compound was measured in deuterated chloroform. A singlet of the methyl proton was observed at 2.52 ppm (s, 3H, −OCOCH3) and linked to the ester carbonyl ring due to oxygen and carbonyl groups contained in the ester carbonyl group, whereas the methyl proton at the 2-position on the phenyl ring was observed at 2.39 ppm (s, 3H, Ar−CH3). The protons (H1−H3) of the phenyl rings were found at 7.95−7.31 ppm in the title compound, as illustrated in Figure 2. The H1 and H2 protons, which were coupled to the H3 proton and each other, resonated as quintet peaks at 7.38−7.31 ppm (q, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H). In addition, the H1 proton is identical to the H1' proton, and H2 and H3 protons are identical to H2' and H3' protons. These values appear to be consistent with values previously reported for similar compounds.14,34,35

13C NMR Spectrum. The 13C NMR spectra of the title compound, measured in CDCl3, showed 10 distinct resonances that are consistent with the target compound. The carbonyl (C=O) peak of the anhydride was detected at 169.06 ppm, while the measured carbon signal due to the ester group appeared at 162.14 ppm. The C3 aromatic carbon signal occurred at 150.19 ppm. The existence of the carbonyl group on the phenyl ring causes the C1 carbon signal to move downfield and appear at 134.52 ppm. The other aromatic ring carbons ranged from 129.67 to 126.53 ppm. The C2, C4, C5, and C6 carbons were observed at 129.67, 127.69, 129.07, and 126.53 ppm, respectively. The signal at 20.77 ppm is attributed to the methyl carbon linked to the ester carbonyl group (−OCOCH3), whereas the signal at 13.58 ppm is assigned to the methyl group bound phenyl ring, as illustrated in Figure 3. These values agree with previously reported values for similar compounds.14,34,35

Crystal Structure of AMA, C20H18O7. The molecular structure of AMA with atom numbering is shown in Figure 4. A hydrogen atom is shown as a small sphere of arbitrary radius, and the other atoms are ellipsoids with displacement probabilities of 30%. AMA is crystallized in a monoclinic space group P2₁/c with Z = 4 (Table 1). There is an independent molecule in the asymmetric unit. The title compound has nearly planar molecular geometry with a
dihedral angle of 5.26° between the planes of the C1/C6 and C7/C12 six-membered aromatic rings. Noncovalent interactions such as hydrogen bonding, van der Waals interactions, and π···π influence the molecular conformation. Two intermolecular C···H···O bonds and two π···π contacts stabilize the crystalline packing of the compound in a three-dimensional network. Table 2 summarizes various contact lengths, angles, and noncovalent interactions. As illustrated in Figure 5, the intermolecular C4···H4···O1 hydrogen bonds in crystal packing form a one-dimensional structure along the [101] direction, whereas the C14···H14···O4 hydrogen bond forms a chain along the [001] direction. In addition, the π···π interactions shown in Figure 6 also generate a chain motif along [100]. These weak π···π interactions are Cg1···Cg2 (x + 1, y, z) = 3.8453 (7) Å and Cg2···Cg1 (x−1, y, z) = 3.8453 (7) Å; Cg1 and Cg2 are the centroids of the C1−C6 and C7−C12 rings, respectively. The arrangements of O3 = C10−O7 and O4 = C11−O7 groups are not planar with respect to their carrier benzene rings (C1/C6 and C7/C12), with dihedral angles of 15.8(4)° and 19.3(3)°, respectively. The central anhydride moieties C1−C10−O3−O7 and C12−C11−O4−O7 are twisted with a dihedral angle of 34.8(2)°. The torsion angles of O3−C10−O7−C11, O4−C11−O7−C10, C2−C1−C10−O3, and C17−C12−C11−O4 are 19.7(5)°, 23.1(5)°, −17.2(5)°, and −20.1(5)°, respectively. It is clearly seen that the central anhydride group is not planar with these angle values. C−O double bond lengths are in the range of 1.184–1.199 Å. Some selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 3. When compared with the recently reported phthalic anhydride containing studies, C−O double bond lengths appear to be in agreement with the values in this study.38,39

**Hirshfeld Surface Analyses.** The Hirshfeld surface was analyzed with CrystalExplorer21 to identify various intermolecular contacts in the crystal structure.40−42 The analysis of the 3D Hirshfeld surface (HS) and 2D fingerprint plots (FPs) were performed in order to describe the molecular structure of AMA. The surfaces mapped over d_{norm} shape index, and curvedness were shown in Figure 7. Complementary pairs of triangles are seen on both surfaces of the molecule, for the shape index surface, indicating that the respective π-stacking interactions are present in the crystal packing for the title compound. In Figure 7, the π···π interactions for the compound correspond to the relatively large green flat regions on the curvedness surfaces and are evident on both sides of the rotated molecule. Like the title compound, in the 1-acylthiourea derivative recently reported, namely, 1-(2-oxo-2H-chromene-3-carbonyl)-3-(2-methoxyphenyl)thiourea, the molecule is linked by the π···π interactions.47 Similarly, the shape index surface has a connecting complementary pair of triangles, and the curvedness surface has large green flat regions. This means that the corresponding π-stacking interactions are present in the crystal packing for the given structure. The 3D d_{norm} surface is mapped to a fixed color scale from −0.1183 to 1.3829 Å, showing that the largest contribution to crystal

---

**Table 2. Noncovalent Interactions for AMA (Å, deg)**

| D−H···A | D−H | H···A | D···A | D−H···A | symmetry code |
|---------|------|-------|-------|---------|---------------|
| C4···H4···O2 | 0.93 | 2.59 (4) | 3.424 (6) | 149 (3) | (i) x, −y + 1/2, z − 1/2 |
| C14···H14···O4η | 0.93 | 2.58 (4) | 3.253 (6) | 130 (3) | (ii) x − 1, y, z − 1 |

---

**Figure 5. A view crystal packing of AMA, showing C4···H4···O2 and C14···H14···O4 hydrogen bonds.**
packing comes from interactions between H atoms, covering a significant region of the entire HS (45.5%). Figure 8 shows the fingerprint plots with two symmetrical peaks associated with O⋯H interactions (29.5%), correlating with intermolecular hydrogen bonds between C4⋯H4⋯O2 and C14⋯H14⋯O4. The asymmetric contact wings of C⋯H with a relative contribution of 12.4% can be seen on both sides of the relevant plots. C⋯O (6.2%), C⋯C (4.4%), and O⋯O (2%) are the less important interactions.

Energy framework analysis was performed by CrystalExplorer21 to obtain the intermolecular interaction energies, allowing analysis and visualization of the three-dimensional crystal topology. The interaction energy is calculated using the formula:

\[ E_{\text{tot}} = E_{\text{ele}} + E_{\text{pol}} + E_{\text{dis}} + E_{\text{rep}} \]

where \( E_{\text{ele}} \) is the electrostatic component, \( E_{\text{pol}} \) the polarization energy, \( E_{\text{dis}} \) the dispersion energy, and \( E_{\text{rep}} \) the exchange repulsion energy. The energy framework is depicted in Figure 8. Clusters of 3.8

Table 3. Selected Geometric Parameters of AMA (Å, deg)

| geometric parameters | bond lengths (Å) | bond angles (deg) | geometric parameters | X-ray | geometric parameters | X-ray |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|
| C8–O1                | 1.349 (5)        | O1–C8–O2        | 121.7 (4)            |       | C3–O1                | 1.411 (4) |
| C3–O1                | 1.411 (4)        | O7–C10–O3       | 121.6 (3)            |       | C8–O2                | 1.184 (5) |
| C8–O2                | 1.184 (5)        | O7–C11–O4       | 121.4 (3)            |       | C10–O3               | 1.199 (4) |
| C10–O3               | 1.199 (4)        | O5–C19–O6       | 122.0 (3)            |       | C11–O4               | 1.95 (3)  |
| C11–O4               | 1.95 (3)         | C3–O1–C8        | 118.3 (3)            |       | C16–O5               | 1.409 (3) |
| C16–O5               | 1.409 (3)        | C16–O5–C19      | 118.3 (2)            |       | C19–O5               | 1.357 (4) |
| C19–O5               | 1.357 (4)        | C1–C10–O7       | 111.1 (3)            |       | C19–O6               | 1.196 (4) |
| C19–O6               | 1.196 (4)        | C12–C11–O7      | 111.2 (3)            |       | C10–O7               | 1.381 (4) |
| C10–O7               | 1.381 (4)        | torsion angles (deg) |                   |       | C11–O7               | 1.393 (4) |
| C11–O7               | 1.393 (4)        | C1–C2–C3–O1     | 176.4 (3)            |       | C2–C7                | 1.509 (5) |
| C2–C7                | 1.509 (5)        | C14–C15–C16–O5  | −176.3 (3)           |       | C8–C9                | 1.499 (6) |
| C8–C9                | 1.499 (6)        | C2–C1–C10–O7    | 165.9 (3)            |       | C19–C20              | 1.485 (5) |

Figure 6. Π⋯π interactions in AMA shown along the [100] axis.

Table 3. Selected Geometric Parameters of AMA (Å, deg)
Å were formed around each molecule. The tube size used was 100 with a cutoff value of 5 kJ/mol. The thickness of the tube in Figure 9 is proportional to the values of the interaction energies. The total interaction energies are repulsion ($E_{\text{rep}} = 42.1$ kJ/mol), dispersion ($E_{\text{disp}} = -160.1$ kJ/mol), polarization ($E_{\text{pol}} = -21.6$ kJ/mol), electrostatics ($E_{\text{ele}} = -70$ kJ/mol), and total interaction energy ($E_{\text{tot}} = -209.6$ kJ/mol). The dispersion component contributed 63% and the electrostatic component 28% to lattice stabilization. The 9% contribution belongs to the polarization and repulsion energies. For the compound, the sum of dispersion energies ($-160$ kJ/mol) is greater than that of electrostatic energies ($-70$ kJ/mol). According to the result obtained from these calculated values, the superiority of the dispersion energy framework over the electrostatic energy framework is clearly seen. In this study, the dispersion energies have a significant contribution in agreement with reported values.48,49

Antimicrobial Activity. The in vitro antimicrobial activity of the anhydride compound was evaluated against Gram-strain positive and negative bacterial strains, as well as two fungal strains. The MIC values were evaluated against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria at doses of 500–1000 µg/mL. The tested compound exhibited excellent antibacterial activity against S. aureus and E. faecalis in comparison to standard amoxicillin, and it was also equally effective against K. pneumoniae and P. aeruginosa. In addition, the title anhydride showed less activity against B. subtilis and E. coli than standards and showed no activity against fungi. The antimicrobial activity of the title anhydride is given in Table 4.

CONCLUSIONS

A novel 3-acetoxy-2-methylbenzoic anhydride compound was investigated by elemental analysis, FT-IR, $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectroscopy, and single-crystal X-ray crystallography. The X-ray findings show that a molecule has a nearly planar shape with an angle of 5.26° between the planes of the six-membered aromatic rings. There are different types of noncovalent interactions involved in creating the 3D network of the compound. The Hirshfeld surface analysis findings suggest that the H⋯H (45.5%), O⋯H (29.5%), and C⋯H (12.4%) Figure 7. Hirshfeld surfaces $d_{\text{norm}}$, shape index, and curvedness for AMA. Molecule is shown in two orientations.

Figure 8. Two-dimensional fingerprint plots and HS for AMA.
interactions contribute the most to crystal packing. Although the topologies of $E_{dis}$ and $E_{ele}$ are similar in the title compound, the dispersion energy framework makes a larger contribution to the total energy framework. When compared to the amoxicillin standard, AMA demonstrated remarkable antibacterial activity against the Gram-positive strains $S. aureus$ and $E. faecalis$, as well as being equally efficient against the Gram-negative strains $K. pneumoniae$ and $P. aeruginosa$. However, it showed less antimicrobial activity than standard antibiotics in the Gram-positive $B. subtilis$ strain and in the Gram-negative $E. coli$ strain but not in fungi.
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