Tsallis entropy inspires geometric thermodynamics of specific black hole
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Abstract In this paper, we analyze the thermodynamics of five-dimensional Schwarzschild AdS black hole in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ spacetime in the presence of Tsallis entropy. Since the cosmological constant $\Lambda$ is considered as thermodynamical pressure with volume as its conjugate, but this explanation cannot be employed in AdS/CFT correspondence. In this study, we associate cosmological constant $\Lambda$ in boundary gauge theory with the number of colors $N$ and chemical potential is taken to be its thermodynamic conjugate. The two geometric parameters in the AdS black hole, $r$ and $L$ are substituted for two thermodynamic parameters in the micro-canonical ensemble, which are considered to be entropy $S$ and $N^2$. Moreover, we evaluate several thermodynamical geometry formulations, including Weinhold, Ruppeiner, and Quevedo and derive associated scalar curvatures for five-dimensional Schwarzschild AdS black hole. It is suggested that all these geometries show repulsive/attractive forces on the particles at different phases of entropy.

1 Introduction

The Hawking’s area theorem suggested that the area of a black hole (BH) is analogous to entropy. These findings confirmed the area theorem is an outcome of the second law of thermodynamics by representing that the entropy is related to the area of the event horizon. It actually paved the way for BH thermodynamics and the proportionality constant was set after the discovery of Hawking radiation. The ultimate formulation of Bekenstein entropy and Hawking temperature is as follows [1–4]

$$T_H = \frac{\hbar c^3}{8\pi G \hbar M}, \quad S = \frac{c^3 k_B A_H}{4G\hbar}.$$ (1)

Here, $\hbar$ shows Planck’s constant, the Newton’s constant is denoted by $G$, $c$ represents the speed of light, $k_B$ shows the Boltzmann constant, $A_H$ is area of event horizon and $M$ is the mass of BH.

Thermodynamics of BHs has been an intriguing discipline of study for researchers lately, explicitly after when scientists have been treating the cosmological constant as pressure $P = -\frac{\Lambda}{8\pi}$. This is when the first law of BH thermodynamics was procured. The thermodynamics of BHs began with the pioneering work of Bekenstein and Hawking on the relationship between entropy $S$ and area $A$ and temperature $T$ and surface gravity $\kappa$ of the event horizon [4–6]. While generalizing Komer’s concept of mass of asymptotically flat AdS space-times, an important feature of BH thermodynamics emerges, namely the necessity of a dynamical cosmological constant. Black holes in anti-de Sitter (AdS) space have very different thermodynamical characteristics than BHs in asymptotically flat or de Sitter space. The major explanation is because AdS space behaves as a confined cavity, allowing BHs to remain thermodynamically stable in AdS space. In the correspondence of AdS/CFT, a negative cosmological constant $\Lambda$ is associated with $N$, the degrees of freedom of the dual conformal field theory (CFT).

In the case of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and the finite temperature $N = 4$ of the superconformal Yang–Mills theory at large $N$, it seems more appropriate to view a dynamical $\Lambda$ as the varying number of colors of the dual CFT and its conjugate associated to chemical potential [7–9]. On computing the chemical potential $\mu$, conjugate to the number of colors in the frame of reference of Schwarzschild BH $AdS_5 \times S^5$, the chemical potential in the Yang–Mills theory’s high temperature phase is discovered to be negative and diminishes as temperature rises [10,11]. Also, when the temperature falls below the Hawking–Page temperature, it was observed that the chemi-
cal potential of spherical BHs in the bulk approaches to zero and the heat capacity divergence changes the sign at a temperature around that point.

Applying geometrical principles to conventional thermodynamical systems, on contrary, provides a new means of studying phase transition in such systems. Many scholars have contributed to the development of this methodology. Since BHs are thought to be thermodynamical systems, it makes sense to examine their thermodynamic geometries. Previous research suggests that the thermodynamic geometry of BHs has a structure from which scientific conclusions may be deduced [12]. In equilibrium states, Hermann [13] established a differential manifold as an interconnection of thermodynamic phase space with a natural contact structure of subspace. Weinhold [14] was the first to develop a metric based on the second derivatives of internal energy regarding entropy and other thermodynamic parameters. Ruppeiner [15] presented another metric, the negative Hessian of entropy and other extensive variables of a thermodynamic system, based on the fluctuation theory of thermodynamical equilibrium process.

In contrast to more traditional techniques, Ruppeiner geometry is a macroscopic probe that may be used to figure out the nature of interactions in a thermodynamic system [16]. Furthermore, the Weinhold metric was demonstrated to be conformal to the Ruppeiner metric [17]. However, under Legendre transformation, both the Weinhold and Ruppeiner metrics are not invariant, and occasionally contradicting findings are obtained [18]. Numerous efforts were made, with the ultimate result being a non-invariant metric under Legendre transformations [19]. Quevedo proposed that by using purely mathematical principles, both techniques may be combined into a single approach. This method is known as geometrothermodynamics, and it is a unifying technique that allows us to interpret thermodynamics in a geometric termi-

2 Tsallis entropy and thermodynamic properties

The entropy of a BH has fascinating elements that have been debated for decades and assertions have been made that the BH entropy is related to the area of its boundary rather than the BH volume. Hawking demonstrated the emission of black body radiations with a temperature by relating quantum matter fields to a classical BH. The Bekenstein–Hawking entropy can be expressed as

$$S_{BH} = \frac{k_B}{4} \frac{A_H}{G \hbar/c^3}.$$  (2)

Here, $A_H$ represents the area of event horizon and $G$ is Newton’s constant, $k_B$ appears as Boltzmann constant, $\hbar$ shows the reduced Planck constant, $c$ is the speed of light. Indeed, since the fundamental ideas of Bekenstein and Hawking, there has been a widespread recognition in the literature that the BH entropy is unconventional in the sense that it breaches thermodynamical extensivity. Thus, if the system is physically defined as (d-1)-dimensional, then the additive entropy $S_{BG}$ must be considered as its thermodynamical entropy. However, if the system is to be physically regarded as d-dimensional, $S_{BG}$ cannot be recognized as its thermodynamical entropy, and a nonadditive entropy is required to serve that role. From a historical point of view, the thermodynamical deviation associated with the area law is generally overlooked. However, there are several scientific and mathematical facts that identify such a viewpoint as anomalous. To obtain an approach to overcome the issue, for such complex systems, it is sufficient to associate the thermodynamical entropy with nonadditive entropies like $S_q$ rather than the standard Boltzmann–Gibbs–von Neumann (additive) entropy is not proportional to the volume for highly entangled systems, black holes, and systems obeying the area law in general. The thermodynamical entropy cannot be identified with the conventional one in such strongly correlated systems but with a significantly different (nonadditive) one. In order to maintain thermodynamic extensivity for nonstandard systems, entropies generalizing those of BG become essential. Tsallis and Cirto [32] demonstrated that a BH’s horizon entropy may be manipulated as

$$\frac{S_q}{k_B} \propto \left( \frac{S_{BG}}{k_B} \right)^{d/d-1} (d > 1),$$  (3)

where $S_q$ and $S_{BG}$ denote Tsallis and Boltzmann–Gibbs entropy respectively. Tsallis suggested that it can be linked to the renowned Bekenstein–Hawking entropy $S_{BH}$ such as

$$\frac{S_q}{k_B} \propto \left( \frac{S_{BH}}{k_B} \right)^{\gamma}.$$  (4)

Equation (4) may be expressed as follows

$$S_q = \Gamma A^{\delta},$$  (5)
where, $\delta$ indicates the non-additivity parameter and $\Gamma$ is an arbitrary constant. Bekenstein entropy is clearly retrieved at the specified limit of $\delta = 1$ and $\Gamma = 1/4G$ (in the system when $\hbar = k_B = c = 1$).

The Schwarzschild metric, discovered by Karl Schwarzschild in 1916, is an ultimate solution to the Einstein field equations that explains the gravitational field beyond a spherical mass under the assumption that all quantities, the universal cosmological constant, electric charge and angular momentum are zero. A static Schwarzschild BH has neither angular momentum nor electric charge. Substantial advancement in higher-dimensional space-time physics has been accomplished, following the proposal of the five-dimensional Schwarzschild BH metric. The Schwarzschild-AdS solution is the most basic example of an asymptotically AdS BH which is presented as follows [33–35]

$$ds^2 = -g(r) dt^2 + g(r)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2_3.$$  \hfill (6)

Metric function $g(r)$ is given by [36]

$$g(r) = k - \frac{8G_5 M}{3\pi r^2} + \frac{r^2}{L^2}.$$  \hfill (7)

where $L$ is length scale in $AdS_5$ space-time, with cosmological constant $\Lambda = -\frac{6}{L^2}$, $k$ is scalar curvature parameter and it can take values as $-1, 0$ or $1$. Here $M$ is the mass of BH, and $G_5$ indicates the five-dimensional Newton’s constant. Now, one must know that, in accordance with AdS/CFT five-dimensional Newton’s constant $G_5$ is also a function of $L$

$$\frac{1}{16\pi G_5} = \frac{V_{S^5}}{16\pi G_{10}},$$

where, $V_{S^5} = \pi^3 L^5$, volume of five-dimensional sphere with radius $L$, which gives $L = \frac{G_{10}}{\pi \cdot \delta}$ where $G_{10}$ is the fixed ten-dimensional Newton’s constant linked to dimensioned Planck’s length $l_p$ as: $G_{10} = \frac{1}{l_p}$. The relation between AdS radius $L$ and D-3-branes can be specified by [37]

$$L^4 = \frac{\sqrt{2Nl_p^4}}{\pi^2}.$$  \hfill (8)

In above relation, $l_p$ denotes ten-dimensional Planck length which is constant throughout. The following equation, Eq. (9) can be derived using, Eqs. (3) to (5)

$$S = \Gamma \left(\frac{S^5 r^3 L^5}{2 l^8_p}\right)^{\delta}. \hfill (9)$$

In compliance with AdS/CFT correspondence, the space-time Eq. (7) is considered as the gravity dual to $N = 4$ Superconformal Yang–Mills theory, at finite temperature with large $N$. $N$ denotes the rank of the gauge group of the $SU(N)$ super-symmetric Yang–Mills theory. In above relations, although cosmological constant $\Lambda$ is normally taken to be fixed, however, in $AdS_5 \times S^5$, it is not a priority but just a parameter as any constant in 10-dim super-gravity solutions and can be varied. In, thermodynamic energy of the boundary CFT was computed as the function of the volume $V$, temperature $T$ and $N$. While the bulk metric has only one parameter, keeping $\Lambda$ fixed and even $\Lambda$ is allowed to vary, we are left with two parameters which are not enough. It entails a presumption. The ideology here is to use entropy $S$ and $N^2$ as an alternative for the parameter congenital to the BHs such as $r$ and $L$. The mass of BH can be obtained by taking $g(r) = 0$ in Eq. (7)

$$M = \frac{3\pi^4 r^2 L^3 (k L^2 + r^2)}{8G_{10}}.$$  \hfill (10)

2.1 Mass and temperature

Substituting values from Eqs. (9) and (8) in Eq. (10), we get the mass of BH in terms of $N$ and $S$ as follows

$$M(S, N) = \frac{3\tilde{m}_p}{4\Gamma^{\frac{1}{\delta}}} \left[ kN^{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\frac{S}{\pi}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\delta}} - \frac{\Gamma^2 S^{2(1-\delta)}}{\pi^2} \right] \frac{N^{\frac{4(1-\delta)}{3\pi}}}{S^{\frac{4(1-\delta)}{3\pi}}}.$$  \hfill (11)

Here $\tilde{m}_p = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} m_p}{2\delta}$ with $m_p = \frac{l_p^7}{G_{10}}$ represents the Planck mass with 10-dimensions. The Hawking temperature follows

$$T = \left. \frac{\partial M}{\partial S} \right|_N.$$  \hfill (12)

The Fig. 1 shows the relationship between Hawking temperature and entropy. It can be seen from the graph that tem-
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\caption{The temperature with respect to entropy with $k = 1$, $l_p = 1$, $\Gamma = 1$ and $N = 3$.}
\end{figure}
temperature gets a minimum value which indicates that it does not show monotonic behavior.

- For $\delta = 1$, we get minimal temperature $T = 0.52$ at $S = 10$.
- For $\delta = 1.01$, we obtain minimal temperature $T = 0.54$ at $S = 11$.
- For $\delta = 1.02$, minimum value of temperature is $T = 0.56$ at $S = 12$.

Notably, we do not find any BH solution below this minimal temperature. There are two branches above the minimal temperature, the branch having small entropy is unstable whereas the large entropy shows thermodynamical stability.

2.2 Gibbs free energy

The Gibbs free energy is a thermodynamic quantity that may be used to measure the maximum reversible work that a thermodynamic system can accomplish at a certain temperature and pressure. The Gibbs free energy is considered to be a promising state function to use when comparing configurations in the grand canonical ensemble. The Gibbs free energy is a significant thermodynamic quantity to evaluate BH global stability. Now, the Gibbs-free energy $G$ is found by the relation \[ 38 \]

\[ G = M - TS, \]

Here $M$, $T$ and $S$ are mass, temperature and entropy of the BH respectively. Its expression for this BH becomes

\[ G(T, N^2) = \left[ \frac{3m_p}{4\Gamma^2} \right] kN^{\frac{5}{\pi}} \left( \frac{S}{\pi} \right)^\frac{2}{3} \Gamma^\frac{2}{3} \frac{S^{2(1-\delta)}}{S^{4(1-\delta)}} \]

\[ + \left( \frac{S}{\pi} \right)^\frac{2}{3} N^{\frac{11}{\pi}} \frac{S^{4(1-\delta)}}{S^{4(1-\delta)}} \]

\[ - \left[ \frac{m_p}{2\Gamma^2/\pi} \right] \left( \frac{S}{\pi} \right)^\frac{2}{3} \frac{S^{2(1-\delta)}}{S^{4(1-\delta)}} \]

\[ + 2N^{\frac{11}{\pi}} \left( \frac{S}{\pi} \right)^\frac{2}{3} S^{4(1-\delta)} \]

Figure 2 depicts the relation between Hawking temperature and Gibbs free energy. We can see that Gibbs free energy changes its sign at a point analogous to Hawking–Page transition point. Figure shows the following observations.

- For $N^2 = 4$, $G$ increases at $T = 0.66$ that is its phase transition point and decreases in the interval $[0.63, 1.15]$. However, Gibbs free energy exhibits the global stability of BH in the interval $[0.63, 1.15]$ with respect to temperature.
- For $N^2 = 9$, $G$ increases at $T = 0.6$ analogous to transition point and decreases in the interval $[0.55, 1.325]$. In this case, global stability of BH appears in the interval $[0.55, 1.325]$ for temperature.
- For $N^2 = 16$, $G$ increases at $T = 0.55$, at this point it undergoes phase transition and decreases in the interval $[0.53, 1.5]$ (where global stability appears).

2.3 Chemical potential

The chemical potential $\mu$ can be calculated by taking derivative of $M$ with respect to $N^2$. Thus,

\[ \mu = \frac{\partial M}{\partial N^2} \bigg|_S, \]

\[ \mu = \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{32\Gamma^2/\pi} \left\{ 5kN^{-\frac{19}{\pi}} \left( \frac{S}{\pi} \right)^\frac{2}{3} \Gamma^\frac{2}{3} \frac{S^{2(1-\delta)}}{S^{4(1-\delta)}} \right. \]

\[ - 11 \left( \frac{S}{\pi} \right)^\frac{2}{3} S^{-\frac{19}{\pi}} \frac{S^{4(1-\delta)}}{S^{4(1-\delta)}} \}. \tag{13} \]

$N^2$ has been taken instead of $N$, since in the boundary $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang–Mills Theory, all the fields are represented as $SU(N)$. $\mu$ is nothing but energy cost to the system by the increment of the number of colors.

Figure 3 illustrates the behavior of chemical potential with respect to entropy, while $N$ is fixed. One can see that for $\delta = 1$, chemical potential gets positive values with respect to small values of $S$ and it changes sign at 8.75 and becomes negative. Starting from $S = 0$, the chemical potential experiences positive behavior in the interval $0 \leq S \leq 8.75$ which is the stable branch and changes to negative from this point onwards in the unstable branch.

We plot Fig. 4 to examine the relation of chemical potential $\mu$ with temperature $T$. We study the behavior of chemical potential as a function of temperature in the range $[0.54–0.75]$ and get to the following conclusions.
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\[ k = \mu, \text{potential declines when } N \text{ is negative in the interval} \]
\[ \text{chemical potential has its maximum value at } N \mu \text{ potential while the chemical potential is zero in the small BH branch.} \]
\[ \text{Hawking–Page transition happens in the large BH branch, chemical potential approaches to zero. In addition, the} \]
\[ \text{It can be verified that at the Hawking–Page temperature,} \]
\[ \text{chemical potential approaches to zero. In addition, the} \]
\[ \text{Hawking–Page transition happens in the large BH branch, while the chemical potential is zero in the small BH branch.} \]
\[ \text{Figure 5 is plotted to analyze the behavior of chemical potential } \mu \text{ with respect to } N \text{ with a fixed entropy } S. \]
\[ \text{The chemical potential has its maximum value at } N \approx 2.9 \text{ and declines when } N \text{ increases. It can be seen that chemical} \]
\[ \text{potential } \mu \text{ is negative for } 1 \leq N \leq 2 \text{ and becomes positive in the interval } (2, 20). \]

2.4 Heat capacities

The specific heat is a popular merit to investigate the thermal stability. The heat capacity ought to be positive which

\[ CN^2 = T \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right)_{N^2}, \]
\[ C_{N^2} = -\frac{3S\delta(2S^2 + kN^2\pi^2 + 2\Gamma^2\pi)}{kN^2\pi^2\Gamma^2\pi(2 + 3\delta)} + S\pi^2(8 + 6\delta). \]

Taking \( \delta = 1, \Gamma = 1 \) and \( k = 1 \), the Eq. (14) reduces to the expression Eq. (3.1) of [36].

Figure 6 represents heat capacity versus entropy with \( N \) as a fixed value. Heat capacity is negative in a region where \( S \) is small and it diverges to become positive when \( S \) increases.

\- For \( \delta = 1 \), \( C_{N^2} < 0 \) in \([4, 9.5] \), \( C_{N^2} = 0 \) in \([0, 4] \) and \( C_{N^2} > 0 \) in \([11, 20] \).
\- For \( \delta = 1.01 \), \( C_{N^2} < 0 \) in \([4, 10.6] \), \( C_{N^2} = 0 \) in \([0, 4] \) and \( C_{N^2} > 0 \) in \([12, 20] \).
\- For \( \delta = 1.02 \), \( C_{N^2} < 0 \) in \([4, 11.9] \), \( C_{N^2} = 0 \) in \([0, 4] \) and \( C_{N^2} > 0 \) in \([13.6, 20] \).
The negative heat capacity indicates instability of BH system while its positive sign ensures that BH system is stable. We can see a close similarity between the divergence point of heat capacity and minimum Hawking temperature.

Also, specific heat of the system keeping chemical potential \( \mu \) fixed can be obtained as

\[
C_\mu = \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial T} \right)_\mu,
\]

where \( \phi = M - \mu N^2 \).

\[
C_\mu = -\frac{S \delta (70 S^2 \pi^2 + 19 k N^2 \pi^2 S \delta^2)}{8 (k N^2 \pi^2 \Gamma \delta (-2 + 3 \delta) + S \delta^2 \pi (-8 + 6 \delta))}.
\]  

The heat capacity as a function of entropy is plotted in Fig. 7, keeping \( \mu \) fixed. It can be seen that \( C_\mu \) decreases as \( S \) increases, also \( C_\mu = 0 \) for \( 0 \leq S \leq 1 \) and changes to negative onwards. It is suggested that BH shows stability in the range \( 0 \leq S \leq 1 \) and exhibits instability for \( S > 1 \).

### 3 Thermodynamic Geometry of the Schwarzschild AdS BH

Let us move towards the BHs thermodynamical geometry to check whether the thermodynamical curvature may disclose the singularity of heat capacities. The geometric evaluation of equilibrium phase spaces plays a key role in aspects of modern thermodynamic research.

#### 3.1 Weinhold geometry

Weinhold space-time is taken as internal energy with its second derivative in relation to entropy and other substantial quantities. Weinhold geometry is illustrated as follows [14]

\[
r_{ij}^W = \partial_i \partial_j M(S, N^2).
\]  

Fig. 7 The heat capacity with respect to entropy \( S \) by taking \( N = 3, k = 1, \Gamma = 1 \) and \( \mu = 1 \).

The line element for the Schwarzschild AdS BH can be revised as

\[
ds^2_W = M_{SS} dS^2 + M_{N^2N^2} d(N^2)^2 + 2 M_{SN^2} dS dN^2.
\]  

Using above equations, one can find out curvature scalar of Weinhold metric as

\[
R = \frac{A}{B},
\]

where \( A \) and \( B \) are as follows

\[
A = 160/3 \left( N^2 \pi^2 k \left( -554 S^{2(3/4 + 3/8)} \Gamma \pi^2 N^2 \pi^2 k \right. \right.
\]

\[
+2068 S^{2(3/8 + 5/8)} \Gamma \pi^2 \left. \right) \Gamma \pi^2 \Gamma \pi^2 k \delta^2
\]

\[
+195 S^{2(3/8 + 5/8)} \Gamma \pi^2 \left. \right) \Gamma \pi^2 \Gamma \pi^2 k \delta^2
\]

\[
+645 S^{2(3/8 + 5/8)} \Gamma \pi^2 \left. \right) \Gamma \pi^2 \Gamma \pi^2 k \delta^2
\]

\[
+2398 S^{2(3/8 + 5/8)} \Gamma \pi^2 \delta^2,
\]

\[
B = S^2 m \left( 95 S^2 N^2 \pi^4 \Gamma \pi^2 \Gamma \pi^2 k \delta - 805 S^5 \pi^2 N^2 \pi^2 \Gamma \pi^2 k \deltaight.
\]

\[
-195 S^5 N^2 \pi^2 \Gamma \pi^2 \Gamma \pi^2 k \delta
\]

\[
+150 S^5 N^2 \pi^2 \Gamma \pi^2 \Gamma \pi^2 k - 770 S^8 \pi^2 \delta + 704 S^8 \pi^2 \right)^2.
\]

We can deduce following results from Fig. 8 about Weinhold metric’s scalar curvature as:

- For \( \delta = 0.2 \), the curvature scalar has transition point at \( S = 1.3 \) and becomes positive.
- For \( \delta = 0.4 \), the curvature scalar has reached a transition point at \( S = 1.7 \) and becomes positive.
- For \( \delta = 0.6 \), the curvature scalar has transition point at \( S = 2.2 \) and turns positive.
Positive scalar curvature indicates the repulsion behavior while negative curvature scalar suggests attraction behavior. Both behaviors is observed for the present BH through Weinhold geometry.

3.2 Ruppeiner geometry

We need to analyze the Ruppeiner geometry for the above mentioned BH which is set up as a major thermodynamic potential [15]. Ruppeiner proposed that the concept of a metric on the spaces of thermodynamic equilibrium states arises when the idea of fluctuations is incorporated in the axioms of equilibrium thermodynamics. The Ruppeiner metric favors spaces of thermodynamic equilibrium states giving rise to equilibrium thermodynamics. The Ruppeiner metric can be expressed in matrix form as

$$ds^2_R = \frac{1}{T} ds^2_W.$$  

The curvature scalar of the Ruppeiner metric can be calculated as

$$Rab = \frac{1}{T} \left( M_{SS}M_{SN^2} - M_{S^2}M_{S^2N^2} \right),$$

and the corresponding curvature scalar of the above metric can be calculated as

$$R = \frac{A_1}{B_1},$$

where $A_1$ and $B_1$ are as follows

$$A_1 = kN^\frac{3}{2}\pi^\frac{3}{2}S^{1+\frac{3}{2}\pi}\Gamma^\frac{3}{2}\left(-60kN^\frac{3}{2}\pi^\frac{3}{2}S^{1}\pi\Gamma^\frac{3}{2}\right)(70 - 6038 + 5828\pi^2)$$

$$+ 445\pi^2(896 + 5\delta(-354 + 179\delta))$$

$$+ 5k^3N^\frac{3}{2}\pi^\frac{3}{2}\Gamma^\frac{3}{2}(-448 + \delta(330 + 233\delta))$$

$$+ 15k^3N^\frac{3}{2}\pi^\frac{3}{2}\Gamma^\frac{3}{2}(-140 + \delta(-128 + 359\delta))),$$

$$B_1 = (2S\pi^\frac{3}{2})$$

$$+ kN^\frac{3}{2}\pi^\frac{3}{2}\Gamma^\frac{3}{2}\delta(15kN^\frac{3}{2}\pi^\frac{3}{2}S^{1}\pi\Gamma^\frac{3}{2}(-10 + 13\delta))$$

$$- 5k^3N^\frac{3}{2}\pi^\frac{3}{2}\Gamma^\frac{3}{2}(-16 + 19\delta)$$

$$+ 22S^2\pi^\frac{3}{2}(-32 + 35\delta)),$$}

Fig. 9 The scalar curvature with respect to entropy for Ruppeiner metric by taking $N = 3$, $\Gamma = 1$, $l_\rho = 1$ and $k = 1$

The scalar curvature of Ruppeiner metric is plotted in Fig. 9. As shown in figure, it is clearly visible that scalar curvature diverges at $S \approx 3.5$. The curvature scalar of the Ruppeiner metric is positive from $0 \leq S \leq 3.5$, where it has a singularity point, and it turns negative from this point forward, as seen in the graph. It means that the trajectories exhibit the attraction behavior of particles by a BH in the range of entropy $0 \leq S \leq 3.5$, while repulsive force exerted on the particles by BH for $S > 3.5$.

3.3 Quevedo geometry

Quevedo [51–53] presented a technique to derive a thermodynamical metric using a Legendre invariant thermodynamic potential. Let $(2n + 1)-$dimensional thermodynamic phase space $T$ whose coordinates can be presented by the set $Z^A = (\phi, E^a, I^a)$, where $A = 0, \ldots, 2n$ and $a = 1, \ldots, n$. In the set of coordinates, $\phi, E^a$ and $I^a$ identify thermodynamic potential, set of extensive and intensive variables, respectively. In addition, Gibbs 1-form on the space $T$ can be presented as $\Theta = d\phi - \delta_{ab} I^a dE^b$ having $\delta_{ab} = diag(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$. The $(T, \Theta)$ is known as contact manifold [13,54] undergoing the conditions that $T$ meets the differentiability condition while $\Theta$ meets the constraint of being non-zero of $\Theta \wedge (d\Theta)^n$ factor. The set $(T, G, \Theta)$ can describe a phase manifold or the Riemannian contact manifold [55]. Because of Legendre invariance, the geometric features of metric $G$ has no effect on the choice of thermodynamic potential in its construction when $G$ serves on the space $T$ as a non-degenerate Riemannian metric. Resulting an $n$-dimensional submanifold $E$ induced by the mapping $\varphi : E \rightarrow T$, i.e., $\varphi : (E^a) \mapsto (\phi, E^a, I^a)$ satisfying the condition $\varphi^* (\Theta) = 0$. One can obtain the non-degenerate Riemannian metric as $G = (d\phi - \delta_{ab} I^a dE^b)^2 + (\delta_{ab} E^a I^b)(\eta_{cd} dE^c dI^d)$ with $\eta_{cd} = diag(-1, 1, \ldots, 1)$.
The scalar curvature of Quevedo metric may be calculated as

\[ R = \frac{A_2}{B_2}, \]  

where \( A_2 \) and \( B_2 \) are given as

\[ A_2 = 768(2^{\frac{5}{2}})(3^{\frac{5}{2}})\pi^{3} S^{2} \sum_{2}^{2} \gamma^{2} \left(108\pi^{2} S^{2} \sum_{2}^{2} \Gamma^{2} \right) \times (-744 - 3487\delta + 4824\delta^{2}) \\
+ 22(3^{\frac{5}{2}})S^{2} \{2(2406 - 7529\delta + 5304\delta^{2}) - 81(3^{\frac{5}{2}})S^{2} \Gamma^{2} \} \times (-5310 - 1037\delta + 8088\delta^{2} + (3\pi)^{2} S^{2} \Gamma^{2} \} \times (-3550 - 23774\delta + 321624\delta^{2})\),

\[ B_2 = 35(77S^{2} \sum_{2}^{2} - 57(3^{\frac{5}{2}})\pi^{2} S^{2} \sum_{2}^{2})^{2} (S^{2} \sum_{2}^{2})^{2} \pi^{2} \sum_{2}^{2} \Gamma^{2} \times (3(3^{\frac{5}{2}})\pi^{2} S^{2} \sum_{2}^{2} (-2) + 38) + S^{2} (-8 + 63\delta)^{2}.\]

The scalar curvature of Quevedo metric diverges at two different points \( S \approx 3.5 \) and \( S \approx 10 \). The divergence point \( S \approx 10 \) coincides with the zero of \( C_{\sum_{2}^{2}} \). The following results are shown in Fig. 10.

- \( R_Q < 0 \) at \( S = 0 \).
- \( R_Q = 0 \) in \( (0, 2] \cup [12, 14] \).
- \( R_Q > 0 \) in \( (2, 12] \).

Then Quevedo metric can be computed as follows

\[ g = \phi^*(G) = \left( E^{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial E^{c}} \right) \left( \eta_{abc} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial E^{a} \partial E^{b} d E^{d}} \right), \]  

(23)

The above equation can also be written as

\[ g^Q = (ST + N^2 \mu) \begin{pmatrix} -M_{SS} & 0 \\ 0 & M_{N^2N^2} \end{pmatrix}, \]  

(24)

The scalar curvature of Quevedo metric may be calculated as

\[ R = \frac{A_2}{B_2}, \]  

(25)

All the results obtained by graphical evaluation are consistent with our study.

### 4 Conclusions

This work has been devoted to discuss the thermodynamics and thermodynamic geometries of five-dimensional Schwarzschild-AdS black hole in \( AdS_{5} \times S^5 \) spacetime in correspondence with AdS/CFT in which we have treated cosmological constant \( \Lambda \) as \( N \) (which represents supersymmetric Yang–Mills theory’s number of colors at finite temperature for \( N = 4 \)). We have computed basic thermodynamic parameters in terms of \( S \) and \( N^2 \) replacing the geometric parameters \( r \) and \( L \).

- We have found the relationship between Hawking temperature and entropy. It is observed that temperature has a minimal value which implies that temperature is not a monotonic function.
- We have evaluated Gibbs free energy \( G \) associated with Hawking temperature \( T \) to find out that it changes sign in correlation with Hawking–Page transition point. Also, Gibbs free energy has shown stable behavior of BH globally.
- We have examined the behavior of chemical potential \( \mu \) which remains negative with respect to BH thermodynamics’s stable branch. Although this potential may be positive in the unstable branch.
- Chemical potential with respect to entropy shows positive behavior versus small values of \( S \) and it changes sign at \( S = 8.75 \) and becomes negative.
- The behavior of chemical potential with respect to temperature was investigated. Chemical potential gets zero value at the Hawking–Page temperature.
- With a constant entropy \( S \), we have observed the behavior of chemical potential with respect to \( N \). The chemical potential is maximum at 2.9 and decreases as \( N \) increases.
- We have also worked out the heat capacities with fixed number of colors \( N \) and with fixed chemical potential \( \mu \). The former diverges when BH experience minimum value of temperature while the latter was seen to be decreasing with the increase in \( S \).
- We have analyzed the thermodynamic geometries of Weinhold, Ruppeiner and Quevedo metric. It is suggested that all these geometries show repulsive/attractive forces on the particles at different phases of entropy. We have concluded from the interpretation of curvature scalars of all these metrics that identified divergence points for Weinhold, Ruppeiner and Quevedo metric scalar curvatures are comparable to heat capacity divergence points.
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