The Impact of Incubators on Noise Transmission Produced by High-Frequency Oscillatory Ventilator Inside the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit
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Abstract Use of HFOV is a common practice inside the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). There is a concern regarding the level of noise produced by HFOV inside the NICU. Objective: To evaluate and define the noise intensity produced by the high-frequency, oscillatory ventilator sensormedics (HFOV) and the impact of the incubator on the transmission of noise in different places inside the NICU. Methods: Using a noise analyzer, the noise produced by the HFOV sensormedics was measured in two setups: inside a quiet room, and inside the NICU during working hours. Measurements were repeated 2 times: first, inside and outside the tested incubator, second, inside and outside the next incubator. No human newborns were involved in the study; instead, a mannequin was used to simulate a newborn. The noise analyzer was placed next to mannequin mouth while inside the incubator and midway between the mannequin and the ventilator while measurements were taken outside the incubator. Each measurement was recorded against different HFOV AP and different frequency settings. Each measurement set lasted five minutes, where the highest and lowest readings were recorded every minute, and the average value of noise intensity was calculated.
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1. Introduction

HFOV sensormedics 3100 is a legendary respirator and it formulates the vast majority of the evidence-based medicine addressing HFOV in newborn infants over the last 20 years. Despite the efficiency of this respirator in ventilating the lung it is clear to those physicians came across working with this machine that it produce significant noise that can be easily recognized when approach the intensive care room.

Noise is an unattractive and unfavorable sound. Sound is a vibration in a medium, which is usually air. The loudness of sound is measured in decibels (dB). Most of our knowledge about the damage to people from noise comes from studies of people with occupational exposure to sound. The standard for a workplace is to disallow more than eight hours of exposure to 90dB, four hours to 95dB, two hours to 100dB, and no exposure allowed to continuous noise above 115dB or impulse noise above 140dB. For the protection of the public health, the US Environmental Protection Agency has proposed a DNL (Day Night average sound Level) of 55dB during working hours, and 45dB during sleeping hours in neighborhoods, and 45dB in daytime, and 35dB at night time in hospitals (1).

Noise may damage auditory functions for fetuses and newborns. Additionally, many pregnant women are exposed to noise in the workplace (2,3,4), causing the American Academy of Pediatrics to issue a statement in 1997 which reviewed accumulated evidence that updated the affects of noise on pregnant women, since the previous report was published in 1974. This new report addressed the concern that fetuses and newborns exposed to excessive noise may suffer noise-induced hearing loss and other health effects (5, 6). In one study, Surenthiran et al demonstrated that the proximity of the post-nasal space to the inner ear is enough to allow noise to be transmitted, and may cause cochlear damage, and therefore hearing loss in infants receiving the higher flow rates CPAP (7). Furthermore, Berens et al addressed concerns about the level of the noise produced by HFOV equipment inside pediatric intensive care units (8). While using HFOV is common practice inside a NICU, and despite the high noise levels produced by the HFOV machine itself (9), there is little information available on the magnitude of the noise levels produced by the HFOV within different NICU setups.

2. The Objective
To describe the noise level produced by the HFOV sen-
sormedics™ machine inside the neonatal intensive care
environment and the effects of the incubator on reducing or
augmenting the noise produced.

3. Methods

This is a non-clinical observational trial, conducted in
February 2010, and lasted one month inside the neonatal
intensive care unit of Women’s Hospital, Hamad Medical
Corporation in the State of Qatar. The intensive care unit
consists of 28 intensive cots, located inside two large halls
which are connected by a 3-meter-wide, imaginary door. The
rest of the unit is composed of three separate, large halls that
constituted intermediate care.

4. Patients

There were no human subjects involved in this trial. The
measurements were conducted in one of the large halls, and
no special arrangements for noise were taken during meas-
urements inside the NICU. While special attention was taken
inside the quiet room to limit the noise level during recording,
the noise intensity and the test was performed after working
hours.

5. Equipment

T HFOV Sensormedics 3100A Yorba, Linda California™,
Incubators: Ohmeda Omnibed Giraffe™ double walled.
Noise analyzer: Monarch™ sound level meter.
The noise analyzer measured the noise intensity (loudness)
at two different locations. Location (1) is inside one of the
NICU large halls during the weekday (9–12am). Location (2)
is inside a quiet room that was formerly the respiratory
therapy equipment room when the test was conducted after working
hours.

Noise measurements: Figure 1
A. Quiet Room: three sets of measurements were taken
before and during the HFOV:
1. Measurement 1: Inside the incubator. A microphone
was placed at the nearest point to the mouth of mannequin.
2. Measurement 2: Immediately outside the incubator
adjacent to the HFOV
3. Measurement 3: Inside the neighboring incubators
(2.5-meter distance).
B. Daytime NICU: three sets of measurements were taken
before and during the HFOV was in operation:
1. Measurement 1: Inside the incubator. The microphone
will be placed at the nearest point to the mouth of man-
nequin (Picture 3-a).
2. Measurement 2: Immediately outside the incubator,
adjacent to the HFOV.

3. Measurement 3: Inside the neighboring incubators
(2.5-meter distance).
C. HFOV Settings: The measurements were completed at
different frequencies and amplitudes, with the highest am-
plitude (delta ▲P) at 50, and the lowest frequency was 7.
D. Noise Analyzer: The analyzer measured both loudness
(dB) and frequency of noise level.
E. Two persons were included in the measurements. One
person was assigned to record the noise level and adjust the
HFOV settings. The other person was assigned to observe
and record the noise level using the noise analyzer.
F. Each Measurement lasted five minutes, and recorded
the highest and lowest readings at one minute intervals.
G. The mean noise level was considered after five minutes
of observation.

6. Results

Inside the tested incubator: The base line noise level be-
fore test start was 50dB and 45dB inside the tested incubator
in both NICU and quite room respectively. The mean noise
level recorded inside the NICU and the quiet room was
84(±5) dB and 78dB (±2) respectively. These levels were
recorded inside the tested incubator, with HFOV settings at a
frequency of 7Hz and ▲P of 50. The noise records were
progressively reduced as HFOV ▲P decreased to reach to
the lowest records (78dB inside NICU and 70dB inside quiet
room), at ▲P of 20 and a frequency of 15 inside the NICU
and inside the quiet room, respectively (Table 1) and graph 2.
Outside the tested incubator: The mean measured noise
level was 67(±2) dB in the quiet room, and 77dB (±5) inside
the NICU during a normal working day.

Neighbor incubator: The mean records inside the incuba-
tor were 56dB in a quiet room, and 63dB inside the NICU.
The mean records outside the incubator were (63dB (+-2) in
a quiet room, and 63dB (±4) inside the NICU) (Tables 1,
Figure 2 & 3).

7. Conclusions

The NICU is a place where humans and technology come
together to produce a unique and sometimes unbearable level
of noise that can cause a significant level of discomfort.
Noise has been a major concern among neonatal environ-
mentalists, who are concerned about the outcome of noise
intensity in sick, newborn infants. One of the new advanced
technologies introduced to neonatal practice is the HFOV of
different types. In this observational, in-vitro study, we
demonstrated that the noise produced by a popular HFOV
machine (sensormedics) was considerably high enough to
cause major concern (86dB) inside the NICU.

Only two papers address noise produced by HFOV, and
both were conducted in a non-NICU setup.

In this observation, we tried to document detailed informa-
tion about the noise level at different settings.
Table 1. Noise level recorded at different places. Records using different HFOV setting and data presented as mean value.

| Noise inside incubator | Outside incubator | inside next incubator | The farthest point out side incubator |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| AMP  | FRQ  | 15  | 7  | 15  | 7  | 15  | 7  | 15  | 7  | 15  | 7  |
| 50   | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU |
| 45   | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU |
| 40   | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU |
| 35   | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU |
| 30   | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU |
| 25   | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU |
| 20   | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU | Q  | NICU |

Figure 1. Measuring method of the noise.

Figure 2. Comparing highest recorded noise level before the test and during the test.
HFOV to determine a relation between noise intensity and variable levels of both amplitude and frequency of the HFOV. The noise records in different places inside the NICU demonstrate the significant effect of the incubator on the noise intensity transmitted, either through augmenting or reducing the noise level.

The fact that there was 40% rise in noise level produced by the HFOV machine may sound disturbing to several neonatal professions who are interested in a quite NICU environment. The incubators tested in this observation has a double wall, which clearly kept noise inside the tested incubator, and prevented noise from spreading outside the tested incubator, and reached up to 84dB, 79dB inside the tested incubator when the used amplitude was 7. However, the reverse occurred in the neighbor incubator, where the noise transmitted inside the incubator was significantly less, and reached up to 56dB. This implies that the infant inside the neighboring incubator should be more protected from the noise. Another area of interest was that the highest noise level was recorded when HFOV $\Delta P$ was at its peak of 50. Combining high amplitude with low frequency, however, did not add a significant difference in noise production (Table 1). There was little noise transmitted to the farthest point inside both the quiet room and NICU. The significant difference in noise recorded between the NICU and the quiet room stand for the noise inside the NICU, which is an added burden on ventilated infants.

Over the past two decades, the American Academy of Pediatrics has recommended that NICU noise amounts should not exceed 45 decibels (dB) (5, 6). More recently, new standards assert that the average noise levels inside NICU incubators should not exceed 60dB (7, 8). Subsequent work similarly recommended that NICU sound limitations of 50 to 55dB on average, with a 1-second peak of 70dB, should be implemented (5).

However, typical NICU noise frequently surpasses these recommended standards. Specifically, research indicates that normal NICU activity, including alarms sounding, incubator doors opening and closing, people tapping on incubators, and chairs scraping across floors, generates noise levels ranging from 65 to 90dB. Moreover, some researchers indicate that sound amounts in the NICU typically range from 45 to 135dB, occasionally averaging 80dB for extended intervals of time, and frequently spiking to 117dB (9, 10, and 11).

Numerous noise-measurement studies have been conducted in intensive care nurseries (12), and a few reports have measured sound frequencies of respiratory equipment (7, 8, and 9). Only two studies address the HFOV issue, but these are never measured inside NICU. These studies do not specify categories or correlate between different HFOV settings. In addition, these studies do not examine the effects of various distances from an HFOV machine, the relation to incubators, or consider neighboring incubators. In our study, we make theis data more clear, and this is an important ref-erence when an HFOV of this kind is to used, and the noise transmission to both ventilated and neighboring infants is to be considered.

One report measured sound frequencies within the ear and intranasal while the infant received nasal CPAP versus conventional ventilation, or nasal cannula. This study was the first research to report sound spectral analysis (SSA) in a tertiary-level NICU. The results indicate that high-frequency sound is a significant problem in contemporary NICUs (7). The second study compares noise levels caused by four different, high-frequency ventilators, and senormedics...
scored the highest in noise level inside the pediatric intensive care unit. Their work also documented the relationship between noise level and HFOV settings. They demonstrated that high $\Delta P$ will produce more noise, with the highest noise level at 70dB when HFOV $\Delta P$ was 70. (9). This report documents that amplitude is the main, noise-producing setting irrespective of the HFOV frequency rate.

Our study could identify the effects of the incubator on augmenting the noise within the tested incubator and reducing the noise transmitted to the neighbor incubator. The hearing status of infants subjected to HFOV is not known, as no data available. Although Hosono et al compared the hearing of infants who received HFOV using a hummingbird oscillator with a control group of infants. The results were not significant in a small sample size of babies (15). It is sound logic to reserve using HFOV sensormedics machine to critical cases until more data is available about the hearing tests of these infants. Using earmuffs on these infants may reduce the risk of exposure to high noise levels produced by the machine.

The highest noise level was recorded inside the examined incubator. The highest noise level was noted when a high amplitude was applied. The lowest noise recorded inside the NICU was inside the neighboring incubator, which acts as a protecting shield.

HFOV sensormedics machine do produce significant noise level of significant concerns. The noise was augmented within the tested incubator while reduced inside the neighbor incubator. Given the data presented, it is recommended that noise protective tools should be used for infants receiving respiratory support using this machine as well as neighboring to infants who are using a HFOV. Based on this data, we implemented a developmental bundle of actions within our NICU that included; limiting use of sensormedics to cases where no response to other commercially available high frequency machines, such infants should wear earmuffs to reduce the level of noise, and that adjacent infants to those who are using an HFOV should be nursed inside incubators and also wear ear muffs.
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