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Abstract Emission from astronomical jets extend over the entire spectral band: from radio to the TeV $\gamma$-rays. This implies that various radiative processes are taking place in different regions along jets. Understanding the origin of the emission is crucial in understanding the physical conditions inside jets, as well as basic physical questions such as jet launching mechanism, particle acceleration and jet composition. In this chapter I discuss various radiative mechanisms, focusing on jets in active galactic nuclei (AGN) and X-ray binaries (XRB) environment. I discuss various models in use in interpreting the data, and the insights they provide.
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1 Introduction

Jets and outflows are very ubiquitous in astrophysics. They are observed in both galactic objects such as X-ray emitting binaries (XRBs) [For reviews, see, e.g., Fender (2006, 2010); Gallo (2010); Markoff (2010); Maccarone (2012)], as well as extra-galactic sources, such as active galactic nuclei (AGNs) [Begelman et al. (1984); Urry and Padovani (1995); Harris and Krawczynski (2006); Marscher (2009); Ghisellini (2012)], and on a much smaller scale, gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) [e.g., Levinson and Eichler (1993); Piran (2004); Mészáros (2006)]. Recently, the existence of jet was inferred in a tidal disruption event (TDE) of a stray star passing near a massive black hole [Burrows et al. (2011); Levan et al. (2011)]. Emission from jets in both galactic and extra-galactic sources is observed over the entire spectral range: from radio to the highest $\gamma$-rays, at TeV energies. In addition to the spectral information, in galactic sources (X-ray binaries) as well as in jets from GRBs and TDEs, a wealth of temporal information exists. Similarly, in the high energy (GeV - TeV) emission from AGNs, flaring activities on time scales
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shorter than $\sim 1$ h has been observed \cite{Kniffen1993, Buckley1996, Aharonian2007, Albert2007, Aleksic2011}. Moreover, in nearby jets from AGNs, such as Cen A, spatial information about emission from different region along the jet exist \cite{Hardcastle2009} and references therein.

While a wealth of data has existed for several decades now, a detailed theoretical understanding of emission from jets is still lacking. One potential explanation is that most work to date has focused on emission from the accreting (inflow) material, and only in the past decade or so have more advanced models of emission from the outflow (jets) emerged. One notable exception is the emission from GRB jets: as our understanding of these objects relies nearly entirely on studying emission from their jets, the theory of emission from GRB jets is likely the most advanced one to date. A second reason is the enormous complexity of these systems. As will be discussed here, although the nature of the radiative processes is well understood, as the physical conditions inside and in the vicinity of the jets are poorly constrained, the data can be interpreted in more than one way. As a result, a plethora of models exist, and a conclusive picture is still lacking.

Although different objects share the common property of having jets, there is a huge difference in scales of the observed objects. While XRBs and GRBs are stellar-size objects, with a typical mass of the central BH of $\sim$ few $M_{\odot}$, the black holes in the center of AGNs have masses of $10^6 - 10^9 M_{\odot}$. This difference in scaling results in very different scales of the resulting jets. In galactic XRBs the inferred size of the observed jets is typically 100's of AU's ($\sim 10^{14} - 10^{15}$ cm) \cite{Miller-Jones2012} while radio 'blobs' are seen on much larger, sub-pc scales ($\sim 10^{17}$ cm). In GRBs, the jet does not deposit most of its energy in the environment before reaching $\sim 10^{18}$ cm \cite{Meszaros1997, Wijers1997}, although analysis shows that emission exists from the photosphere at $\sim 10^{12}$ cm \cite{Axelsson2012}. Sizes of AGN jets extend to much larger scales, with giant radio lobes extending to hundreds of kpc, $\sim 10^{23}$ cm \cite{Alvarez2000} and references therein.

This difference in scaling implies that the physical conditions, and hence the leading radiative mechanisms inside the jets, vary with distance. Nonetheless, the basic emission processes are the same in all sources. The leading radiative processes include synchrotron emission, synchrotron self-Compton and Compton scattering of photons external to the jet - either photons originating from the accretion disk, companion star (in XRBs) or from the cosmic microwave (or infra-red) background (CMB). If hadrons (mainly protons) are accelerated to high energies in jets, they can also make a significant contribution to the emission, particularly at high energies (X and $\gamma$-ray bands). This contribution is both by direct emission (e.g., synchrotron), and indirectly, by interacting with photons and protons to produce secondaries (pions, Kaons and electron-positron pairs) which contribute to the emitted spectra. In addition, emission from the photosphere, defined here as emission that originates from regions in space in which the optical depth of photons to reach the observer is larger than unity, may play an important role.

In addition to the spectral analysis, two very important sources of information exist. The first is temporal variability which provides strong constraints on the physical conditions inside the jets, and hence on the emission processes. This played a crucial role in the development of the leading theory of emission from GRB jets (the “fireball” model). Studying the correlated variability seen in the emission at different wavelengths (radio /IR/optic and X/$\gamma$-rays) in XRBs is likely the key to
understanding of the emission from these objects [See Uttley et al. (2011), and the chapters by Gallo and Casella in this book]. The second source of information is spatial analysis, which is particularly useful when studying the largest-scale jets in AGNs. The “hot spots” frequently seen imply that the physical conditions and the radiative processes vary along the jet. Thus, a full physical picture must take into account first the dynamics of the outflow and second the radiation. Clearly, both parts are connected, and, in addition, give information about the jet launching process and the properties of the inner accretion disk.

Another important factor that needs to be considered in analyzing the emission is geometry. There are several aspects to this issue. First, as astronomical jets are mildly relativistic in XRBs ($\Gamma \gtrsim \text{few}$), often relativistic in AGNs ($\Gamma \gtrsim 10$) and highly relativistic in GRBs ($\Gamma \gtrsim 10^2 - 10^3$), relativistic Doppler effect is important when analyzing the spectrum, as the jets will rarely point directly towards us. Emission from relativistically expanding blobs can lead to an apparent motion faster than the speed of light (a phenomenon known as “superluminal motion” [Rees (1966); Mirabel and Rodríguez (1994)]). Second, jets, by definition, have spatial structure (often referred to as “structured jets”): a velocity profile exists, namely $v = v(r, \theta, \phi)$, where the angles $\theta, \phi$ are measured relative to the jet axis. Thus, a velocity gradient in the transverse direction (perpendicular to the jet propagation direction) exists, with an obvious effect on the scattering between electrons and photons, and hence on the observed spectra.

Finally, the velocity structure in the radial direction can lead to confusing definition of jets. One possibility is that the outflow is continuous (generating a smooth velocity gradient in the radial direction) in which case it will be seen as a continuous jet. Alternatively, the outflow may be fragmented: in this case, the outflow will be observed as ‘blobs’ that propagate outward, while expanding (possibly, but not necessarily, adiabatically). Of course, the observed emission from these blobs imply that the conditions inside the blobs are different than those outside. Thus, when studying emission from these blobs one needs to consider the conditions both inside the blobs and in the surrounding material. In this chapter, we will treat both emission from continuous jets as well as from the blobs.

Thus, a full description of the emission requires understanding of (1) the dynamics, (2) the geometry and (3) the various radiative processes. Clearly, I cannot possibly cover the entire physics of jet emission in one chapter. I will thus focus on key radiative processes. I will show how the basic, well-known radiative processes can lead to the wealth of spectra observed. I will also try to point to basic, unsolved questions which naturally arise when analyzing the emission. The discussion will be focused on the jet emission from XRB and AGN environments, which show several similar key properties, although having different scales. Clearly, many of the results are relevant to jets in GRBs and TDEs as well.

2 Basic radiative processes: synchrotron emission

Variable radio emission in AGNs and XRBs is conventionally interpreted as synchrotron radiation from a non-thermal distribution of relativistic electrons. Indeed, synchrotron emission, being perhaps the most straightforward emission mechanism for explaining non-thermal radiation has been extensively studied since the 1960’s
Two basic ingredients are needed: energetic particles and a strong magnetic field. Consider a source at redshift $z$ which is moving at velocity $\beta \equiv v/c$ (corresponding Lorentz factor $\Gamma = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2}$) at angle $\theta$ with respect to the observer. The emitted photons are thus seen with a Doppler boost $D = [\Gamma(1 - \beta \cos \theta)]^{-1}$. Synchrotron emission from electrons having random Lorentz factor $\gamma_{el}$ in a magnetic field $B$ (all in the comoving frame) is observed at a typical energy

$$\varepsilon_{em}^{ob} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{gB}{m_e c^2} \frac{D}{(1 + z)} = 1.75 \times 10^{-19} B_{\gamma_{el}}^2 \frac{D}{(1 + z)} \text{ erg. (1)}$$

Thus, when studying this emission, the basic physical questions are:

1. What is the origin of the magnetic field?
2. What is the mechanism that accelerates particles to high energies? Does this mechanism accelerate only electrons? Are protons being accelerated similarly, thereby contributing to the emission? What is the resulting energy distribution of the energetic particles, $n(E)dE$?
3. What is the spatial / temporal evolution of the magnetic field and particle distribution in different regions along the jet?

While significant progress has been made in the last few decades, proper understanding of any of these issues remains elusive. These questions are deeply related to the physics of the jet launch mechanism, and jet composition. While there is no direct observational test that addresses any of these phenomena, very considerable theoretical effort supported by state of the art numerical simulations, as well as indirect interpretation of existing data, all suggest that these questions are likely intimately related to each other. We discuss these questions in what follows.

**Origin of magnetic field.** Although the question of magnetic field generation is a fundamental one, little is known about the exact mechanism at work in these objects. Roughly speaking, there are two main (separated) sources of strong magnetic fields: the first is related to the accretion flow and the jet launching process. Possibly, even if the magnetic fields do not carry a large fraction of the kinetic energy, they may still play a key role in jets collimation. The second, independent source is magnetic field generation in shock waves that exist inside the outflow itself (assuming it is irregular), or when the outflow interacts with its surroundings - the interstellar medium (ISM) or intergalactic medium (IGM). A third possible source is amplification of ISM or IGM magnetic fields when compressed by the expanding shock waves, but in this case the amplified fields can at most explain the observed emission in the interaction of the outflow with its surroundings - they are much too weak to be consistent with the ones required to explain the observed properties in the inner jet regions. For a recent review on magnetic fields in astrophysical jets, see Pudritz et al. (2012).

The two leading mechanisms believed to operate for jet launching are the Blandford and Znajek (1977) and Blandford and Payne (1982) mechanisms. In the Blandford and Znajek (1977) mechanism, the source of energy is the rotational energy extracted from a rotating black hole, embedded in a strong magnetic field. The field itself must be anchored into the accretion flow [Livio et al. (1999); Meier (2001)]. In the Blandford and Payne (1982) mechanism, energy is extracted from the accretion disk by magnetic field lines that leave the disk surface and extend to large distances. This is accompanied by centrifugally-driven outflow of material.
from the inner parts of the disk, that is attached to the field lines [for further explanation see Spruit (2010), as well as the chapter on jet acceleration in this book]. Both mechanisms require a strong magnetic field attached to the disk. At larger distances along the jet, the magnetic field decays as Poynting flux is conserved.

These ideas have been recently tested and validated with state of the art numerical GR-MHD simulations [Meier et al. (2001); McKinney and Gammie (2004); McKinney (2005, 2006); Tchekhovskoy et al. (2011)]. These models imply that the magnetic field originates in the inner parts of the disk. The inner parts of the jets are strongly magnetized (Poynting-flux dominated), and the magnetic energy is gradually dissipated along the jet. The dissipated energy is then used to accelerate the particles along the jet [see, e.g., Vlahakis and Königl (2004); Komissarov et al. (2007)]. Recent observations on parsec-scale in AGNs indicate magnetic field strengths consistent with those expected from theoretical models of magnetically powered jets [O’Sullivan and Gabuzda (2009)]. However, the picture is far more complicated, since modeling the broad-band emission (radio - X-rays) on a ∼parsec scale from several AGNs show that the magnetic field must be sub-dominant, and most of the kinetic energy is carried by protons (particle-dominated jets) [Celotti and Fabian (1993); Krawczynski et al. (2002); Kino et al. (2002); Celotti and Ghisellini (2008)]. The mechanism in which magnetically-dominated outflow at the core becomes particle dominated at larger distances is far from being clear.

Independent of the question of jet launching, a second source of strong magnetic fields are shock waves that develop as a result of instabilities within the outflow. These shock waves can result, e.g., from fluctuations in the ejection process itself: if a slower moving plasma shell (or “blob”) is followed by a faster moving one, the two shells will eventually collide, producing a pair of forward and reverse shock waves propagating into each of these blobs. These shock waves may generate strong magnetic fields by two-stream instabilities [Weibel (1959); Medvedev and Loeb (1999)]. In recent years, advances in particle-in-cell (PIC) simulations enabled to study this process by tracing the instability growth modes [Silva et al. (2003); Frederiksen et al. (2004); Nishikawa et al. (2005); Spitkovsky (2008)]. The results of these works have demonstrated that strong magnetic fields are indeed created in collisionless shock waves.

The key question though, is the decay length of the magnetic field: the results discussed above also show that the generated field decays on a very short length scale, of the order of few hundred skin depths [Spitkovsky (2008)]. As observations imply that the emitting region is many orders of magnitude larger than this scale, there must be a mechanism that maintains a strong magnetic field extending to much larger scales. One suggestion is that the amplification of the magnetic field may be closely related to the acceleration of particles to high energies [Keshet et al. (2009)]. Thus, while initially the magnetic field may occupy only a small region close to the shock front, over time, as particles are accelerated to increasingly higher energies, the magnetized region expands. This suggestion is difficult to directly test, due to the numerical complexity of the problem. Another suggestion is that, due to the turbulent nature of the post-shock outflow, the magnetic field is maintained over a long distance behind the shock front [Zrake and MacFadyen (2012)]. Thus, while it is clear that magnetic fields can be generated in shock
Particle acceleration. It should be emphasized that the existence of cosmic rays, charged particles that are observed at energies as high as \( \sim 10^{20} \) eV; for a recent review, see Kotera and Olinto (2011), is a direct evidence that particle acceleration to ultra-high energies takes place in astronomical objects. However, there is no direct information on the exact nature of the cosmic ray sources, nor on the nature of the acceleration process itself. Hence the question of lepton (electrons and positrons) acceleration is inferred indirectly, by fitting the observed spectra from various objects. It is most likely that acceleration takes place in several different locations: in the nucleus, in the hot spots and possibly additional locations along the jet axis.

The most widely discussed mechanism for acceleration of particles is the Fermi mechanism [Fermi (1949, 1954)], which requires the particles to cross back and forth a shock wave. A basic explanation of this mechanism can be found in the textbook by Longair. For reviews see Bell (1978); Blandford and Ostriker (1978); Blandford and Eichler (1987); Jones and Ellison (1991). In this process, the accelerated particle crosses the shock multiple times, and in each crossing its energy increases by a (nearly) constant fraction, \( \Delta E/E \sim 1 \). This results in a power law distribution of the accelerated particles, \( N(E) \propto E^{-S} \) with power law index \( S \approx 2.0 \sim 2.4 \) [Kirk et al. (1998, 2000); Ellison et al. (1990); Achterberg et al. (2001); Ellison and Double (2004)]. Recent developments in particle-in-cell (PIC) simulations have allowed to model this process from first principles, and study it in more detail [Silva et al. (2003); Nishikawa et al. (2003); Spitkovsky (2008b); Sironi and Spitkovsky (2009, 2011); Haugbølle (2011)]. However, due to the numerical complexity of the problem, these simulations can only cover a tiny fraction (\( \sim 10^{-8} \)) of the actual emitting region in which energetic particles exist. Thus, these simulations can only serve as guidelines, and the problem is still far from being fully resolved. Regardless of the exact details, it is clear that particle acceleration via the Fermi mechanism requires the existence of shock waves, and is thus directly related to the internal dynamics of the gas inside the jet, and possibly to the generation of magnetic fields, as mentioned above.

An alternative model for particle acceleration is magnetic reconnection. The basic idea is that when magnetic field lines change their topology and form a reconnection layer, magnetic energy is released. Part of the generated energy may be used to accelerate particles to high energies [Romanova and Lovelace (1992); Lyutikov (2003); Lübrardsky and Liverts (2008); Lazarian et al. (2011); McKinney and Uzdensky (2012)]. This idea is very appealing if jets are highly magnetized (at least close to the core), as is suggested by the leading theories of jet launching. In fact, it is not clear that the conditions that enable particle acceleration to high energies in shock waves exist at all in highly magnetized outflows [Sironi and Spitkovsky (2009, 2011)], in which case the Fermi mechanism may not be at work. However, theoretical understanding of this process, and its details (e.g., what fraction of the reconnected energy is being used in accelerating particles, or the energy distribution of the accelerated particles) is still very limited.

Although the power law distribution of particles resulting from Fermi-type, or perhaps magnetic-reconnection acceleration is the most widely discussed, we point out that alternative models exist. One such model involves particle acceleration by a strong electromagnetic potential, which can exceed \( 10^{20} \) eV close to the jet.
core [Lovelace (1976); Blandford (1976); Neronov et al. (2009)]. The accelerated particles may produce a high energy cascade of electron-positron pairs. Additional model involves stochastic acceleration of particles due to resonant interactions with plasma waves in the black hole magnetosphere [Dermer et al. (1996)].

Several authors have also considered the possibility that particles in fact have a relativistic quasi-Maxwellian distribution [Jones and Hardee (1979); Cioffi and Jones (1980); Wardziński and Zdziarski (2000); Pe'er and Casella (2009)]. Such a distribution, with the required temperature ($\sim 10^{11} - 10^{12}$ K) may be generated if particles are roughly thermalized behind a relativistic strong shock wave [e.g., Blandford and McKee (1977)]. Interestingly, this model is consistent with several key observations, as will be discussed below.

**Spatial and temporal distributions.** The uncertainty that exists in both the origin of the magnetic field as well as the nature of the particle acceleration process is directly translated to an uncertainty in the spatial and temporal distributions of these two quantities, and hence on the emission pattern. If the magnetic field originates in the disk, then as the jet expands the magnetic field must decay. For example, if the cross sectional radius of the jet is $r = r(z)$ where $z$ is the direction along the jet axis, then Poynting flux conservation implies $B \propto r^{-1}$. If, on the other hand, the dominant process for magnetic field generation is two stream instability in shock waves, the magnetic field then traces the shock wave location. Thus, strong magnetic fields are expected only above a certain radius, where plasma shells collide. This is very likely the case in the spatially resolved “radio blobs” seen in XRBs, as well as in “knots” observed along AGN jets.

The magnetic field strength may also be different in the two possibilities discussed. Lacking a complete theory, it is commonly assumed that the generated magnetic field carries a constant fraction, $\epsilon_B$, of the kinetic energy dissipated by the shock wave, $B^2/8\pi = \epsilon_B U$. Here, $U$ is the (post-shock) energy density of the plasma. Estimated values for $\epsilon_B$ based on fitting the data vary from equipartition ($\epsilon_B = 1/3$; Miller-Jones et al. (2003); Cerruti et al. (2013)) to $\epsilon_B \sim 10^{-2}$, possibly even lower [Celotti and Ghisellini (2008); Santana et al. (2013)].

The spatial and temporal distribution of the energetic particles is determined by several factors. Once accelerated to high energies, the radiating particles lose their energy both adiabatically as the jet expands, and radiatively, as they radiate their energy. Thus, in order to understand their spatial distribution, one needs to know (1) the initial distribution of the energetic particles accelerated by the acceleration process (determined by the unknown nature of this process), (2) The dynamics of the plasma; and (3) the physical conditions inside the plasma, that govern the energy loss rate.

### 2.1 Spectral shape: basic considerations and Maxwellian distribution of electrons

The discussion above points towards high uncertainty in our knowledge of the initial energy distribution of particles produced by the acceleration process. It is commonly believed that the acceleration process produces a power law distribution $n(E)dE \propto E^{-S}$, with $S \approx 2.0 - 2.4$. This is based on (1) theoretical expectations from Fermi acceleration, and (2) interpretation of broad band synchrotron emission. However, a few words of caution are necessary here. First, as discussed above, it is not clear that the Fermi process is necessarily the acceleration mechanism at
work in these objects. Second, as was recently shown by Pe’er and Casella (2009) and will be discussed below, the observed data can be interpreted in a way that does not require a power law distribution of the radiating particles. Thus, evidence for the existence of a power law distribution is inconclusive.

Even if the acceleration process is indeed Fermi-type in shock waves, then the resulting power law distribution is expected to be limited to a certain region in energy space. As particles cross the shock front, they thermalize. Strong shock waves propagating at Lorentz factor $\Gamma$ into a cold material of density $n$ compress the material so that its density in the downstream region is $4\Gamma n$. The material is being heated; the energy density in the downstream region is $4\Gamma^2 n m_p c^2$. Thus, the average energy per particle in the downstream region is $\approx \Gamma m_p c^2$ (Blandford and McKee 1976). If a fraction $\epsilon_e \leq 1$ of this energy is carried by the energetic electrons, then (neglecting a possible contribution from pairs) the expected Lorentz factor of the electrons is $\gamma_{el} \approx \Gamma \epsilon_e m_p/m_e$. Note that this is the Lorentz factor associated with the random motion of the electrons as they cross the shock front, and should not be confused with the Lorentz factor associated with the bulk motion of the flow, which is of the order of $\Gamma$. Thus, even in mildly-relativistic outflows ($\Gamma \gtrsim 1$), the electrons in the downstream region may still have (random) Lorentz factor of few hundreds, provided that $\epsilon_e$ is close to equipartition.

One can thus conclude that regardless of the question of whether electrons are accelerated to a power law distribution, they are still expected to be heated to high energies (high Lorentz factors) when shock waves exist. Hence, if no further acceleration process are present, the electrons will have a Maxwellian distribution with typical Lorentz factor $\gamma_{el} \lesssim 10^3$ (assuming $\epsilon_e$ close to equipartition). In the vicinity of a magnetic field $B$, which could naturally be generated by the same shock wave, electrons at the peak of the Maxwellian distribution will emit at a characteristic energy given by Equation 1. For typical value $\gamma_{el} \sim 10^3$ and $B \sim 1$ G, Equation 1 implies a characteristic observed frequency in the optical band.

This result implies that in order to explain the observed flat radio spectra seen in many objects, there is no need to invoke a power law distribution of the accelerated particles. It is enough to consider a power law decay of the magnetic field along the jet, $B(r) \sim r^{-\alpha}$ to obtain a power law decay of the peak synchrotron frequency below the optical band, in accordance to Equation 1. A power law spectrum would be observed if the emission is not spatially resolved, but is integrated over some distance along the jet along which the magnetic field decays. This is a typical scenario for the inner parts of AGN jets, as well as for jets in XRBs.

2.2 Power law distribution of the accelerated particles

It is possible to envision a different model, in which the energy distribution of the accelerated electrons is a power law. In fact, historically this model was the first to be suggested in explaining the observed spectra (van der Laan 1966; Blandford and Konigl 1979), and is still the most widely-discussed one.

An uncertainty lies in the fraction of particles that are being accelerated: as the electrons cross the shock wave, they have a thermal distribution with typical Lorentz factor $\gamma_{el}$. As some fraction continues to cross the shock front multiple times, this fraction obtain a power law distribution. What fraction of particles are accelerated to a power law distribution above the Maxwellian is unclear. Recent
PIC simulations suggest that only a small fraction of the population, $\epsilon_{pl} \approx 1\% - 10\%$ form a power law tail at higher energies [Spitkovsky (2008)]. However, as discussed above, these conclusions are far from being certain, and it is possible that the fraction is much higher, perhaps even closer to 100%.

A theoretical limit on the maximum energy is obtained by the requirement that the acceleration time must be shorter than the minimum energy loss time (e.g., due to synchrotron radiation or Compton scattering) and the time in which the accelerated particle is confined to the accelerated region. In a plasma which moves relativistically with Lorentz factor $\Gamma$, the acceleration time in Fermi-type acceleration is [e.g., Norman et al. (1993)]

$$t_{acc} = \frac{\eta E_{ob}^0}{IZqBc}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Here, $Zq$ is the charge of the particle (the same equation holds for electrons, protons as well as heavy nuclei with $Z$ protons), and $E_{ob}^0$ is the energy of the energetic particle in the observer’s frame. The exact value of the dimensionless factor $\eta \geq 1$ depends on the uncertain details of the acceleration process: for example, in non-relativistic diffusive shock acceleration, this factor corresponds to $\eta = (20/3)\beta^{-2}$ in the Bohm limit for parallel shocks [e.g., Blandford and Eichler (1987)].

The second requirement constraints the size of the acceleration region. For typical values of parameters that govern the emission in XRBs and AGNs, it is not very restrictive. On the other hand, the requirement that the acceleration time is shorter than the radiative cooling time puts a stronger constraint on the maximum energy of the accelerated particles. The radiative cooling time of energetic electrons due to synchrotron emission and Compton scattering is

$$t_{cool} = \frac{E}{P} = \frac{\gamma_{el}m_ec^2}{(4/3)c\sigma_T\gamma_{el}^4u_B(1 + Y)} = \frac{6\pi m_ec}{\sigma_T B^2\gamma_{el}(1 + Y)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $u_B \equiv B^2/8\pi$ is the energy density in the magnetic field, $\sigma_T$ is Thomson’s cross section and $Y$ is Compton parameter. Comparing the radiative cooling time in Equation 3 to the acceleration time in Equation 2 gives a theoretical upper limit on the energy of the accelerated electrons,

$$\gamma_{max} = \left(\frac{6\pi q}{\eta B\sigma_T(1 + Y)}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Using the derived value of $\gamma_{max}$ from Equation 4 in Equation 2 gives a very interesting result: the characteristic energy of photons emitted by these electrons,

$$\epsilon_{ob max}^\gamma = \frac{240}{\eta(1 + Y)(1 + z)} \text{ MeV}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

is independent on the strength of the magnetic field. This result implies that regardless of the value of the magnetic field, if indeed particles are accelerated by a Fermi-type mechanism in shock waves, synchrotron emission is expected to be observed at all energies up to the $\gamma$-ray band. Thus, it is possible, at least from a theoretical perspective, that synchrotron photons have a significant contribution to the emission at X and $\gamma$-ray energies.
2.3 Broad band, spatially resolved synchrotron spectrum

The discussion above implies that even if synchrotron emission is the only source of radiation, and even if the magnetic field is constant, the complex distribution of the energetic particles leads to a complex observed spectrum. In addition to the two frequencies discussed, \( \nu_m = \frac{\varepsilon_m}{h} \) (see Equation 1) and \( \nu_{\text{max}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{max}}}{h} \) (the later exists only if the acceleration process produces a power law), there are additional two inherent characteristic frequencies. The first is the synchrotron self absorption frequency, \( \nu_{\text{SSA}} \), below which synchrotron photons are absorbed. This frequency can be either above or below \( \nu_m \). The exact value of \( \nu_{\text{SSA}} \) depends on the magnetic field strength and the distribution of the radiating particles [for discussion see Rybicki and Lightman (1979)]. For typical parameters, \( \nu_{\text{SSA}} < \nu_m \). However, given the uncertainty that exists in the acceleration process and the strength of the magnetic fields, it is possible to envision scenarios in which \( \nu_m < \nu_{\text{SSA}} \).

The fourth frequency is the cooling frequency, \( \nu_c \). This is the characteristic emission frequency from particles whose radiative cooling time (given by Equation 3) is equal to the characteristic plasma expansion time, \( t_{\text{dyn}} \simeq r/\Gamma c \), where \( r \) is the radius of the expanding plasma. Since the cooling time is inversely proportional to the particle’s Lorentz factor, \( t_{\text{cool}} \propto \gamma^{-1} \) (see Equation 3), energetic particles cool faster than low energy ones. Above a certain Lorentz factor, denoted by \( \gamma_c \), particles cool faster than the dynamical time. Thus, if particles are accelerated only once, \( \gamma_c = \gamma_{\text{max}} \). However, if the acceleration continuously produces a power law distribution of energetic particles, \( n(\gamma)d\gamma \propto \gamma^{-S} \), then \( \gamma_c \) marks a transition in the steady state distribution. By solving the continuity equation, it is easy to show [see, e.g., Longair] that for \( \gamma \gg \max(\gamma_c, \gamma_m) \), the steady particle distribution is \( n(\gamma)d\gamma \propto \gamma^{-(S+1)} \). If \( \gamma_c < \gamma_{\text{el}} \), then in the region \( \gamma_c \ll \gamma \ll \gamma_{\text{el}} \) the steady distribution is \( n(\gamma)d\gamma \propto \gamma^{-2} \). This break in the particle distribution is directly translated to a break in the emitted spectrum. As the synchrotron spectrum from a power law distribution of particles with power law index \( S \) is \( F_\nu \propto \nu^{-(S-1)/2} \), at frequencies above \( \nu_c \), this power law changes to \( F_\nu \propto \nu^{-S/2} \).

Thus, in Fermi-type acceleration, four breaks in the spectrum are expected (see Table 1). Even if the acceleration mechanism produces only a Maxwellian distribution of hot particles, at least two break frequencies (\( \nu_{\text{SSA}} \) and \( \nu_m \)) are unavoidable.

| \( \nu_{\text{m}} \) | Synchrotron emission frequency from electrons at typical Lorentz factor \( \gamma_{\text{el}} \) (given in Equation 1). |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| \( \nu_{\text{SSA}} \) | Self absorption frequency; Photons at \( \nu < \nu_{\text{SSA}} \) are absorbed. |
| \( \nu_c \) | Cooling frequency; A break in the spectrum caused by rapid cooling of electrons at high energies. |
| \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) | Maximum emission frequency from Fermi- accelerated electrons; no synchrotron emission is expected at higher frequencies. |

In the scenario where \( \nu_{\text{SSA}} < \nu_m < \nu_c \) the peak of the spectrum occurs at \( \nu = \nu_m \). Denoting by \( F_{\nu_{\text{max}}} \) the observed peak flux, the broad band synchrotron
Fig. 1 Broad band synchrotron spectra from a power law distribution of energetic particles in a steady magnetic field, as expected from a small jet slab. The flux and the chosen values of the break frequencies are arbitrary, and depend on the exact values of the magnetic field, particles energies and number of radiating particles. *Left:* the spectra expected when $\nu_{\text{SSA}} < \nu_m < \nu_c$ (blue) peaks at $\nu_m$. In the case $\nu_{\text{SSA}} < \nu_c < \nu_m$ (green), the spectrum peaks at $\nu_c$. These spectra were considered in the model of Pe'er and Casella (2009). *Right:* the broad band spectra in the scenario $\nu_m < \nu_{\text{SSA}} < \nu_c$ peaks at $\nu_{\text{SSA}}$. This is the scenario considered in the model of Blandford and Konigl (1979), and is commonly considered in the literature thereafter.

The spectrum is [e.g., Meszaros and Rees (1997); Sari et al. (1998)]

$$F_\nu = F_{\nu,\text{max}} \times \begin{cases} (\nu/\nu_{\text{SSA}})^2(\nu_{\text{SSA}}/\nu_m)^{1/3} & \nu < \nu_{\text{SSA}} \\ (\nu/\nu_m)^{1/3} & \nu_{\text{SSA}} < \nu < \nu_m \\ (\nu/\nu_m)^{-(S-1)/2} & \nu_m < \nu < \nu_c \\ (\nu_c/\nu_m)^{-(S-1)/2}(\nu/\nu_c)^{-S/2} & \nu_c < \nu < \nu_{\text{max}} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

If, on the other hand $\nu_{\text{SSA}} < \nu_c < \nu_m$, the peak of the emission is at $\nu_c$, and the spectral shape is

$$F_\nu = F_{\nu,\text{max}} \times \begin{cases} (\nu/\nu_{\text{SSA}})^2(\nu_{\text{SSA}}/\nu_c)^{1/3} & \nu < \nu_{\text{SSA}} \\ (\nu/\nu_c)^{1/3} & \nu_{\text{SSA}} < \nu < \nu_c \\ (\nu/\nu_c)^{-1/2} & \nu_c < \nu < \nu_m \\ (\nu_m/\nu_c)^{-1/2}(\nu/\nu_m)^{-S/2} & \nu_m < \nu < \nu_{\text{max}} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Finally, the model of Blandford and Konigl (1979) can be viewed as a model in which $\nu_m < \nu_{\text{SSA}} < \nu_c$. In this case, the peak of the emission is at $\nu_{\text{SSA}}$, and the broad band spectrum is

$$F_\nu = F_{\nu,\text{max}} \times \begin{cases} (\nu/\nu_m)^2(\nu_m/\nu_{\text{SSA}})^{5/2} & \nu < \nu_m \\ (\nu/\nu_{\text{SSA}})^{5/2} & \nu_m < \nu < \nu_{\text{SSA}} \\ (\nu/\nu_{\text{SSA}})^{-(S-1)/2} & \nu_{\text{SSA}} < \nu < \nu_c \\ (\nu/\nu_c)^{-5/2}(\nu_c/\nu_{\text{SSA}})^{-(S-1)/2} & \nu_c < \nu < \nu_{\text{max}} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

These spectra are shown in Figure 1.
2.4 Integrated spectrum: flat radio emission

The broad band spectrum considered above is developed under the assumptions that the radiating particles have a power law distribution and that the magnetic field is steady. In reality, once accelerated, or even during the acceleration the energetic particles propagate along the jet. As the magnetic field strength varies along the jet, the break frequencies \( \nu_{SSA} \), \( \nu_m \) and \( \nu_c \) (but not \( \nu_{max} \)) are different in different regions along the jet. If the jet is spatially resolved, this implies that different regions along the jet are characterized by different spectra. If the jet is spatially unresolved, as is the case in XRBs and the inner parts of jets in AGNs, then the observed spectrum is obtained by integrating over different emission regions, each characterized by different break frequencies. This integration naturally leads to the observed power law spectra, such as the flat spectra frequently observed at radio frequencies, in both AGNs (the so called “flat spectrum radio quasars”, or FSRQ), and more recently in XRBs [Hynes et al. (2000); Fender (2001, 2006), and chapters in this book by Fender, Gallo and Casella].

If the origin of the magnetic field is in the disk, it is expected to decay along the jet as a power law with distance. This decay, which results in a corresponding decrease of the break frequencies, is all that is needed to produce a power law spectrum in the radio band, in particular the flat spectrum that is typically observed. This was first noted by Blandford and Konigl (1979). In this model, a conical jet with \( B(r) \propto r^{-1} \) and steady outflow velocity resulting in a number density variation along the jet \( n(r) \propto r^{-2} \) was analyzed. Only the evolution of the self absorption frequency, \( \nu_{SSA} \) along the jet was considered. In the more general framework considered here, this is equivalent to a model in which particles are accelerated to a power law energy distribution with \( \nu_m < \nu_{SSA} \ll \nu_c \) as is presented in Figure 1 (right). In this scenario, the emission from a jet slab (in which the magnetic field is constant), peaks at \( \nu_{SSA} \). It is straightforward to show [see, e.g., Rybicki and Lightman (1979); Blandford and Konigl (1979)] that these conditions lead to a decay of the self absorption frequency along the jet, \( \nu_{SSA} \propto r^{-1} \), while the flux from a slab along the jet axis at the self absorption frequency is constant, \( dF/\nu|_{\nu_{SSA}} \propto \nu^0 \). Thus, when integrated over an unresolved distance along the jet a flat radio spectrum is obtained. This is demonstrated in Figure 2 taken from Markoff (2010).

This basic idea was extended by several authors in various aspects. For example, Marscher (1980) considered different viewing angles, while Reynolds (1982) considered different dynamical models for the outflow. In another work, Hjellming and Johnston (1988) considered a more refined jet geometry, as well as adiabatic (though, not radiative) energy losses. Several works [Falcke and Biermann (1993); Levinson and Blandford (1999); Falcke and Biermann (1999); Heinz and Sunyaev (2003); Bosch-Ramon et al. (2006)] have connected the jet properties to the disk properties, and refined the inner jet dynamics. This dynamics was used by several authors [Markoff et al. (2001), 2003, 2005; Yuan et al. (2005); Yuan and Cui (2005); Maitra et al. (2009)] to model the broad band spectra of XTE J1118+480 and GX 339-4. These works included a self consistent modeling of the emission from the radio all the way to the X-ray band. In modeling the X-ray emission, the power law assumption was used to fit both the synchrotron emission and the synchrotron self Compton (SSC) emission [Markoff et al. (2001); Gallo et al. (2007); Migliari et al. (2007); Maitra et al. (2009); see further discussion below].
Fig. 2 Along the jet, the magnetic field decays. Thus, as the radiating particles propagate along the jet, the break frequencies presented in Figure 4 decay. While the emission from each slab has the same spectrum as presented in Figure 1(right) peaking at $\nu_{SSA}$ (thin lines), when integrated over a spatially unresolved region along the jet, the observed radio spectrum is flat. The cartoon here is taken from Markoff (2010).

While these models show significant improvement in treating the dynamical properties of jets, the basic radiative mechanism discussed by Blandford and Konigl (1979) remains key to all of them. The radiative particles are assumed to have a power law distribution, and the peak of the emission is at $\nu_{SSA}$. The decrease of $\nu_{SSA}$ along the jet due to the decay of the magnetic field is the origin of the flat radio spectra.

An alternative approach was suggested by Pe’er and Casella (2009). Based on the idea of a single acceleration episode and the inclusion of particle cooling first proposed by Kaiser (2006), this model considers a scenario in which $\nu_{SSA} < \nu_m$. Thus, the peak of the emission from a given ‘slab’ is at $\nu_m$ (or $\nu_c$) rather than at $\nu_{SSA}$. As was shown in this work, as a result of the decaying magnetic field along the jet, the decay law of $\nu_m$ is identical to the decay law of $\nu_{SSA}$. Thus, a flat radio spectrum is naturally obtained in an analogous way to the Blandford and Konigl (1979) model, by integrating over emitting regions inside the jet (see Figure 3).

A conceptual difference between this model and the Blandford and Konigl (1979) model is that the former does not require a power law distribution of the accelerating electrons. As $\nu_m$ is one of the natural frequencies obtained from a Maxwellian distribution of radiating particles, a flat radio spectrum is obtained even if the acceleration process does not accelerate particles to a power law distribution. A second difference is that the change in particle distribution due to cooling is inherently considered. Thus, in a region of strong magnetic field the radiating particles rapidly cool, $\nu_c < \nu_m$, and the flux $F_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-1/2}$ (see Equation 7). This result is independent of the details of the acceleration process and the
Fig. 3 The model of Pe'er and Casella (2009) provides an alternative way to explain flat radio spectra without the need for a power law distribution of the energetic particles. Emission from a Maxwellian distribution (dashed lines) is characterized by two break frequencies, $\nu_m$ (described in the figure as $\nu_{\text{peak}}$) and $\nu_{\text{SSA}}$ (or $\nu_{\text{thick}}$). In the model considered, $\nu_m > \nu_{\text{SSA}}$, and thus the emission from a single slab peaks at $\nu_m$. As the particles propagate along the jet $\nu_m$ decays, and thus the integrated spectra resulting from a Maxwellian distribution of particles (inner set) is flat (thick blue line). The value of the magnetic field, $B = 10^{3.5}$ G is arbitrarily chosen for demonstration purposes only.

One assumption common to all jet models is that the decay of the magnetic field leads to a decay of the characteristic frequencies along the jet. Thus, although unresolved, emission at low radio frequencies is expected from distant regions along the jet. Conversely, emission at higher energies - microwave, optical X- and $\gamma$-rays - must originate from the inner parts of the jet, where the discrimination between the outflow (jet) and inflow (accretion) may be very difficult. As shown in Equation 5, at least from a theoretical perspective synchrotron photons can be observed nearly to the GeV-range, irrespective of the strength of the magnetic field.
2.5 Fragmented outflow: emission from radio blobs

The flat radio emission is a natural outcome of emission in a power law decay of the magnetic field along the jet. Thus, the above discussed models are relevant when the outflow is continuous. However, fragmented ejection of material, in the form of radio “blobs” are frequently observed in both XRBs, such as GRS1915+15 [Mirabel and Rodríguez (1994); Rodríguez and Mirabel (1999); Fender et al. (1999a); Miller-Jones et al. (2005)] as well as AGNs.

The different emission observed from these blobs with respect to their environment indicates different physical conditions inside the blobs. Particularly, it implies that the magnetic field and/or the distribution of radiating particles inside the blobs is different than outside of them. This is a natural consequence if the magnetic field and particle acceleration originate in shock waves, as discussed above. As the blobs propagate outward they expand. The expansion can be adiabatic, but not necessarily (it could be confined by, say an external magnetic field). Thus, one can deduce scaling laws for the evolution of the magnetic field and the particle distribution inside the expanding blobs. The basic model was suggested by van der Laan (1966), and extended by Hjellming and Johnston (1988); Atoyan and Aharonian (1999).

The key radiative model is similar to the Blandford and Königl (1979) model, namely that particles are accelerated to a power law distribution, and the emission peaks at $\nu_{SSA}$. However, the scaling laws are different. The basic assumption is that particles do not enter or leave a blob, which is adiabatically expanding. Conservation of magnetic flux implies a decay of the magnetic field $B \propto L^{-2}$, and adiabatic cooling implies a decline in the particles’ energy, $\gamma \propto L^{-1}$, where $L$ is the comoving size of the expanding blob. Since the emitted frequency $\nu \propto B_{\nu}^{-2}$ (see Equation 1), one can derive the scaling law of the Lorentz factor of the radiating electrons at observed frequency $\nu$ to be $\gamma_{\nu} \propto \nu^{1/2} B_{\nu}^{-1/2} \propto L$. For power law distribution $N(\gamma)d\gamma = k\gamma^{-S}$, the synchrotron flux then scales as $F_{\nu} \propto kB^{(S+1)/2}L^{3}$, where $k \propto L^{-(S+2)}$ [see van der Laan (1966); Rybicki and Lightman (1979)].

These scaling laws thus give a testable prediction, $F_{\nu} \propto L^{-2S} \sim t^{-2S}$. When confronted with observations [Rodriguez et al. (1995)], the observed decline is not as steep as the theoretical prediction. Thus, the simplified version of the theory needs to be adjusted. One natural possibility is that the expansion is not adiabatic. For example, reverse shock may play a significant role in determining the evolution of these blobs [R. Narayan, private communication].

3 Compton scattering and the origin of the X-ray spectrum

While there is a consensus that the radio spectrum originates from synchrotron radiation (although the full details of the process are uncertain), the origin of the X-ray and $\gamma$-ray emission is far more debatable. As shown above, synchrotron emission can extend up to hundreds of MeV. However, at these energies, there are alternative sources of emission. In particular, Compton scattering of low energy photons by energetic electrons is a natural, alternative way to produce emission at these bands. Due to the larger cross section, even if hadrons (protons) contribute
to the emission, their contribution to IC scattering is expected to be negligible compared to the electrons contribution.

Energetic electrons radiate their energy via both synchrotron radiation and IC scattering. The total power emitted by IC process is [Rybicki and Lightman (1979)]

$$\frac{P_{IC}}{P_{syn}} = \frac{U_{ph}}{U_B},$$

where $P_{syn}$ is the synchrotron power, $U_B$ and $U_{ph}$ are the energy densities in the magnetic and photon fields, respectively. Thus, if $U_{ph} > U_B$, most of the electrons' energy is radiated by IC scattering rather than synchrotron. However, even if $U_B > U_{ph}$, it is still possible that IC scattering is the main source of emission at a given frequency band.

In understanding Compton scattering, the basic questions are therefore:

1. What is the origin and spectral distribution of the energetic electrons? Obviously, this is a similar question to the one that lies in the heart of understanding synchrotron emission, as the same electrons radiate both synchrotron photons and IC photons.

2. What is the origin of the upscattered photon field? Do these photons originate inside the jet (e.g., by synchrotron emission), or are they external to the jet (e.g., originating in the accretion flow or CMB)?

3. Since electrons in the inner parts of the accretion flow are hot enough to emit in the X-ray band, is there a simple way to discriminate disk and jet emission by observing at this band?

The third question is particularly puzzling, and is the source of an intense debate. As discussed above, synchrotron emission from the inner parts of the jet, where the magnetic field is strongest, are expected to contribute to the observed flux at the X- and possibly also γ-ray frequencies. These regions are close to the inner parts of the inflow. Thus, discriminating between the inflow and jet as the sources of X-ray radiation is very challenging.

While IC emission from particles in AGN jets is well established, most works on X-ray emission in XRBs are focused on IC emission from the inner parts of the accretion flow. A few notable works are by [Sunyaev and Titarchuk (1980); Haardt and Maraschi (1993); Titarchuk (1994); Magdziarz and Zdziarski (1995); Esin et al. (1997); Poutanen (1998); Cadolle Bel et al. (2006); Yuan et al. (2007)], to name only a handful. As this is the subject of a separate chapter in this book, we will not discuss it here. I will point though, that there are various reasons to consider IC scattering from electrons inside the jets in XRBs. These include:

1. As the radio spectrum originates from synchrotron photons, energetic electrons exist in the jet. These electrons must upscatter low energy photons.

2. From a theoretical perspective, models in which the dominant contribution is IC emission from the inflow do not well connect to the need for strong magnetic fields required in leading jet-launching models discussed above (though a few recent accretion models may overcame this problem; see [Ferreira et al. (2006); Fragile and Meier (2009); Bu et al. (2009); Oda et al. (2010); Petrucci et al. (2010)]).

3. Detection of X-ray emitting blobs propagating outward in the inner regions of jets in several microquasars [Corbel et al. (2002)] indicate that part of the
radiation in these objects is from the jet (or interaction of the jet with the ambient medium), and not all of it originates from the accretion flow.

4. Finally, the very high energy emission ($\gtrsim 100$ GeV) observed in several microquasars (or microquasar candidates) [Aharonian et al. (2003); Albert et al. (2006, 2007)] is difficult to explain in disk models.

3.1 Origin of the seed photons.

As particles acceleration were discussed in §2 above, let us focus on the origin of the seed photons for IC scattering.

**Synchrotron self Compton.** A natural source of seed photons are the synchrotron photons emitted by the energetic electrons, namely SSC. As long as the scattering is in the Thomson regime, namely the energy of the upscattered photons is much less than the energy of the incoming electron, the outgoing photon energy is $\varepsilon_{\text{out}} \simeq 4\gamma_{\text{el}}^2\varepsilon_{\text{in}}$, where $\gamma_{\text{el}}$ is the Lorentz factor of the electron [e.g., Rybicki and Lightman (1979)]. In this case, the spectral shape of SSC emission from a power law distribution of energetic electrons is similar to that of synchrotron emission discussed above [see, e.g., Sari and Esin (2001)]. It is characterized by four break frequencies. If $\nu_m < \nu_c$, the values of these break frequencies are $\nu_{\text{SSA}}^{IC} \simeq 4\gamma_m^2\nu_{\text{SSA}}$, $\nu_{\text{m}}^{IC} \simeq 4\gamma_m^2\nu_m$, and $\nu_{\text{c}}^{IC} \simeq 4\gamma_c^2\nu_c$. If $\nu_c < \nu_m$, spectral break corresponding to the self absorption occurs at $\nu_{\text{SSA}}^{IC} \simeq 4\gamma_c^2\nu_{\text{SSA}}$, while the energy of the other breaks is not changed.

Thus, for $\nu_m < \nu_c$ the peak of the IC spectrum is at $\nu_{\text{m}}^{IC}$ while for $\nu_c < \nu_m$ it is at $\nu_{\text{c}}^{IC}$, both naturally extend up and above the MeV range. The ratio of the IC and synchrotron energy fluxes is given by $(\nu F_\nu)_{\text{peak, IC}}/(\nu F_\nu)_{\text{peak, syn}} = Y$, where $Y = (4/3)\gamma_{\text{el}}^2\tau$ is the Compton $Y$ parameter and $\tau$ is the optical depth.

In AGN jets two distinct, broad spectra components are observed. The low energy component peaks at the sub-mm to IR regime (in FSRQ) or in the UV / X-rays (in high-frequency peaked BL Lacs, or HBLs). The high energy component peaks at the MeV energies in FSRQs and GeV energies in HBLs [e.g., Fossati et al. (1998); Donato et al. (2001); Sambruna et al. (2004); Levinson (2006) and references therein]. An example of this spectra is presented in Figure 4 from Sikora et al. (1994). It is therefore natural to attribute the peak at the radio band to synchrotron emission, while that at the X-ray band to IC, as is done by many authors [Konigl (1981); Marscher and Gear (1985); Maraschi et al. (1992); Hartman et al. (2001); Finke et al. (2008); Ghisellini et al. (2009, 2010) and many more]. In fact, lacking good theoretical knowledge of the electron number density, hence of the optical depth, very often it is being determined by fitting the ratio of IC peak flux to the synchrotron peak flux. In recent years, similar fitting was done to the X-ray spectra in XRBs [e.g., Gupta et al. (2006); Gallo et al. (2007); Migliari et al. (2007); Maitra et al. (2009)].

**External seed photons.** In addition to SSC, there are other sources of seed photons. As the spectral shape of the resulting IC emission depends on the spectral shape of the incoming photons, broad band fitting of the spectra are required to determine which is the dominant field. One natural source is the photon field created by the accretion disk [Begelman and Sikora (1987); Dermer et al. (1992); Dermer and Schlickeiser (1993); Blandford and Levinson (1995)]. In XRBs, pho-
tones from the companion star can also serve as seed photons for IC scattering [Dermer and Böttcher (2006)].

Alternative source of photons is reprocessing of disk emission by the surrounding material, such as the broad emission line region in AGNs [Sikora et al. (1994); Dermer et al. (1997); see Figure 4]. Additional suggestions for seed photons include reprocessing of the synchrotron emitted photons from the jet itself by the surrounding medium before being IC scattered [Ghisellini and Madau (1996)], infrared emission from circumnuclear dust [Blażejowski et al. (2000)] or synchrotron radiation from other regions along the jet itself [Georganopoulos and Kazanas (2003)]. Clearly, these models require additional assumptions about the environment and/or the material that acts to reprocess the original emission. The addition of degrees of freedom with respect to the synchrotron-SSC model enables much better fits to existing broad band data at the price of more complex modeling of the environment.

In nearby objects such as Cen A, the extended giant radio lobes can be spatially resolved. Two distinguished spectral components, one at the radio band [Hardcastle et al. (2009)] and one at the X-ray and/or γ-rays [Feigelson et al. (1993); Kataoka and Stawarz (2005); Croston et al. (2003); Abdo et al. (2010)] are detected in these lobes. While the radio spectrum is naturally attributed to synchrotron emission, at such large distances from the core (typically hundreds of kpc) it is insufficient to provide enough photons to explain the X- and γ-ray flux observed. Instead, this is attributed to IC emission of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) or extra-galactic background (EBL) light [Davanzo et al. (2000); Celotti et al. (2001)], whose spectra are well known [Georganopoulos et al. (2008); Finke et al. (2010)]. This scenario has a great advantage, as it enables decoupling of the electrons distribution and the magnetic field. First, the electron distribution is inferred from the IC spectra and the known seed photon field, and at a second step the magnetic field strength is inferred from the synchrotron spectrum. This separation thus enables to infer the values of the magnetic fields in these regimes, which is found to be close to equipartition. Since these values are much larger than...
can be achieved by Poynting-flux conservations from the core, as well as higher than the (compressed) external field, these results point towards magnetic field generation in shock waves, as discussed above. Moreover, analyzing the spectra enables to show that the conditions in these lobes enable acceleration of particles to ultra-high energies [Pe’er and Loeb (2012)].

3.2 Separation between disk and jet photons

As much as inferring the origin of seed photons at large distances along the jet is not easy, close to the jet base the situation is far more complicated. Separating jet-based emission models from disk-based emission models is a very difficult task, as both disk-based and jet-based models can produce good fits to the data [Markoff et al. (2005)].

On the one hand, there are some indirect evidence based on correlation between emission at the X-ray band and lower energy bands (radio, IR and optic) for jet-dominated X-ray emission [Yuan et al. (2009); Russell et al. (2010)]. This interpretation is strengthen by extrapolation of the spectral energy distribution (SED) above the turn over at mid-IR bands [Gandhi et al. (2011); Rahoui et al. (2011, 2012); Russell et al. (2013); Corbel et al. (2013)]. An independent support comes from polarization measurements by INTEGRAL satellite [Laurent et al. (2011); Jourdain et al. (2012)], which show strong polarization above 400 keV in Cyg X-1, hinting towards jet origin in these energies.

In spite of these indications, it should be stressed that as of today, disk-based models for the X-ray emission are much more developed, and are favored by a central part of the community. As these models are thoroughly discussed in other chapters of this book, I will only briefly mention some aspects here. In these models, many of the X-ray properties are explained by Comptonization in hot accretion flows, including detailed X-ray spectral shape [e.g., Soolewksa et al. (2012); Qiao and Liu (2013)], spectral evolution during state transition [Del Santo et al. (2013)], and many timing properties of X-ray variability [Kotov et al. (2001); Ingram and Done (2011, 2012)]. Moreover, detailed fitting of X-ray spectra with the jet models require, in some cases, optical depth of $\tau \sim 2–3$ [Malzac and Belmont (2009); Poutanen and Vurm (2009); Droulans et al. (2010)], which put strong constraints on the jet kinetic power [Malzac et al. (2009)]. Further critical discussions about jet vs. disk models can be found in Poutanen and Zdziarski (2003); Zdziarski et al. (2003); Maccarone (2003); Veledina et al. (2013) as well in other chapters of this book.

One difference between the two scenarios is that while electrons in the inflow are expected to be continuously heated as they spiral in, it is possible that once they enter the jet region they are no longer heated. As they propagate outwards inside the jet, both the radiation field and the magnetic field decay, and thus cooling of the electrons is suppressed. During their initial propagation outward, they do though radiatively cool very rapidly. For rapidly cooling electrons, both synchrotron emission discussed above and Compton scattering produce the same spectrum: $F_\nu \propto \nu^{-1/2}$ in the range $\nu_c < \nu < \nu_m$. This spectrum is consistent with the X-ray spectra observed in many outbursts in XRBs [e.g., Hyne et al. (2000);
Fig. 5 Fitting the 2000 outburst of XTE J1118+480 (taken from Pe'er and Markoff 2012). The solid (blue) curve represent a model in which synchrotron emission is the main source of radiation, while the dashed (green) represents an IC-dominated model. Both models provide good fits to the data, and are consistent with emission from electrons in the inner part of the jet. For further details see Pe'er and Markoff (2012).

Thus, by identifying the break frequencies seen in the spectra with $\nu_m$ and $\nu_c$, it is possible to constraint the physical parameters from the emitting region. In particular, this analysis may enable to discriminate between emission from the inner parts of the jet (in which the electrons reside only a short time), and emission from the inflow, which is expected to last over a longer period, during the spiral-in. Such an analysis was carried by Pe'er and Markoff (2012), and one of its results is presented in Figure 5.

4 Hadronic contribution to the high energy spectra

The uncertainty in the nature of the acceleration process implies that protons may be accelerated as well inside the jets. Once accelerated to high energies, protons contribute to the observed spectrum. Although synchrotron emission and Compton scattering are suppressed with respect to emission from leptons due to the much lower energy, protons may play a role. Hadronic models are expected to contribute to the high energy spectra of XRBs, especially during the low/hard state, when the jet is believed to dominate the emission.

Jet dominated models are expected in XRBs during the low/hard state, where $L \sim 1\%L_{Edd}$. At higher luminosities, disk contribution is expected, and the spectral slope varies; the luminosity-dependence of the spectral index can be found in Wu and Gu (2008).

Data taken from McClintock et al. (2001); Note, though, that a different analysis of BeppoSAX [Frontera et al. (2001)] and Chandra [Reis et al. (2009)] data done in the context of disk models, resulted in a somewhat softer slope below 2 keV.

---

1 Jet dominated models are expected in XRBs during the low/hard state, where $L \sim 1\%L_{Edd}$. At higher luminosities, disk contribution is expected, and the spectral slope varies; the luminosity-dependence of the spectral index can be found in Wu and Gu (2008).

2 Data taken from McClintock et al. (2001); Note, though, that a different analysis of BeppoSAX [Frontera et al. (2001)] and Chandra [Reis et al. (2009)] data done in the context of disk models, resulted in a somewhat softer slope below 2 keV.
smaller cross section, protons may still have a significant contribution to the high energy emission. First, if the acceleration process acts in such a way that most of the energy is deposited in accelerated protons, it is possible that synchrotron emission from these protons have a significant contribution to the high energy (X- and γ-ray) flux [Aharonian (2000)]. Second, energetic protons can deposit their energy by photo-meson production, \( p\gamma \rightarrow n + \pi^+ + p + \pi^0 \) [Mannheim and Biermann (1992); Mannheim (1993)]. The created \( \pi \) mesons are unstable; the \( \pi^+ \) can radiate synchrotron emission before decaying into \( \mu^+ + \nu_\mu \rightarrow e^+ + \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_e + \nu_\mu \), while the \( \pi^0 \) decays into a pair of energetic photons. These particles thus produce a high energy electromagnetic cascade, as the created photons are energetic enough to produce a pair of electron-positron, \( \gamma + \gamma \rightarrow e^+e^- \). Emission from these secondaries may thus be responsible for the high energy (up to TeV) emission seen in AGNs (blazars) [Rachen and Mészáros (1998); Mücke et al. (2003); Murase et al. (2012)], as well as in GRBs [Pe’er and Waxman (2004, 2005a) and XRBs [Romero et al. (2005)].

In addition to photomeson production, protons can interact with photons by photopair production (\( p + \gamma \rightarrow p + e^+e^- \)), and with other protons through proton-proton (pp) collisions, producing pions and Kaons [Koers et al. (2006)]. The rate of these interactions depend on the ambient photon field, as well as the uncertain distribution of the energetic protons. Combined leptonic/hadronic models that explain the radio emission in AGNs and XRBs as due to synchrotron radiation from electrons and the high energy (up to TeV) emission as due to hadronic-originated cascade exist [e.g., Vila and Romero (2010); see Figure 6]. Although, as can be seen from Figure 6, these models are still lagging behind disk models, and can thus currently can only provide approximate fits to the observed spectra. These models suffer two main drawback: First, the inherent uncertainty in the knowledge of the accelerated proton distribution. Second, calculating the evolution of the high energy electromagnetic cascade is extremely difficult, due to the non-linearity of the process, and the fact that it is very rapid, namely, many orders of magnitude shorter than the dynamical time. Thus, it is numerically challenging. While in recent years models of cascade evolution in GRB environment exist [Pe’er and Waxman (2005b)], this field is still at its infancy.

An interesting consequence of hadronic models, is that if indeed protons are accelerated to high energies, among the secondaries produced are high energy neutrinos [for a review about neutrino production in AGN jets see Gaisser et al. (1995); for neutrino production in XRB jets, see Levinson and Waxman (2001); Christiansen et al. (2006); Zhang et al. (2010)]. Thus, such neutrinos - if detected - would be a direct proof of proton acceleration in these environments.

5 Temporal information

5.1 XRBs: temporal correlation between different spectral bands

Although the basic radiative processes are well known, the emitted spectra from jets are very complex, due to the complex nature of these systems. Emission originates from both the accretion flow, different regions along the jet where the physical conditions vary, as well as external photons that can be reprocessed (IC scattered) from particles along the jet. The physical conditions along the jet, such as the magnetic field and particle distribution and their connection to the physical
conditions in the inner parts of the inflow are uncertain. It is thus not surprising that the observed spectra can be interpreted in more than one way, and that plethora of models aimed at explaining the broad band spectra exist. An in depth discussion in some of the models appear in the chapters authored by Fender, Gallo, Casella and Körding in this book.

Thus, in order to obtain a full picture additional information is needed. In XRBs, a natural source of information is temporal analysis, since the emission pattern conveniently changes over time scale of $\lesssim$ months. As the emission changes with time, correlation between emission from the inflow and the jet at different times (the different “states”) is established. Such correlation is the switch off of jet radio emission in the high/soft state [Tananbaum et al. (1972); Fender et al. (1999)]. Others are the correlation found between the X-ray luminosity and the radio luminosity [Hannikainen et al. (1998); Corbel et al. (2003); Gallo et al. (2003)], which are found to scale as $L_R \propto L_X^{0.7}$. However, recently, it was shown that the system H1743-322 follow a different correlation, $L_R \propto L_X^{1.4}$ [Coriat et al. (2011); Gallo et al. (2012)]. Other correlations are found at different wavebands: between the X and near infrared (NIR) [Russell et al. (2006); Coriat et al. (2009); Casella et al. (2010)], and radio-optic and X-rays [Kanbach et al. (2001); Gandhi et al. (2010); Cadolle Bel et al. (2011)].

The wealth of emitting zones and radiative processes enables to interpret the observed correlations in various ways. One type of models explore the obvious (yet uncertain) connection between the properties of the inner parts of the accretion flow and the jet [e.g., Markoff et al. (2003); Heinz and Sunyaev (2003)]. Other
ideas include the obvious connection between the synchrotron radiation and IC scattering by the same population of electrons [Giannios (2005); Veledina et al. (2011); see details in the chapter by Poutannen in this book], as well as correlation between (synchrotron) emission by the same electrons as they propagate along the jet thereby occupying different regions in the jet at different times [Casella et al. (2010)].

Existence of different emission zones reflects the complex internal dynamics of the outflow. For non-steady outflow, shock waves naturally develop when two “blobs”, or shells of plasma collide. This happens once the ejection of a slower plasma blob is followed by ejection of faster moving one. Once the blobs collide, two shock waves are formed, propagating into both plasmas. By heating (and possibly accelerating to high energies) the particles, these shock waves are the initial source of radiation. This scenario was invoked to explain the complex lightcurve seen during GRB prompt emission [Rees and Meszaros (1994); Daigne and Mochkovitch (1998)]. In recent years, similar ideas were studied in the context of emission from XRBs [Kaiser et al. (2000); Jamil et al. (2010); Malzac (2013)], and TDEs [Giannios and Metzger (2011); although a structured jet model was suggested by Liu et al. (2012)].

This idea, though, is incomplete: currently, the internal shock model is lacking a predictive power about the radii at which the collisions, hence the energy dissipation takes place - these are determined by the initial conditions. Thus, overall, my personal opinion is that understanding the nature of the correlations observed is at its infancy, and that this field is a very promising path to take. Future models will inevitably combine both dynamical models and radiative models, which will mature in the coming years.

5.2 Flaring activities in AGNs

In AGNs (blazars), flaring activity is observed in the X- and γ-rays up to the highest energies, at the TeV band. This is often observed on a very short time scales, of the order of hours and in some cases even minutes [Kniffen et al. (1993); Buckley et al. (1996); Aharonian et al. (2007); Albert et al. (2007a); Aleksić et al. (2011)]. Radio observations showed that radio outburst seem to follow the γ-ray flares [Reich et al. (1993); Zhang et al. (1994)]. While significant variability in the optical band is observed as well, its correlation with the variability in the γ-band is not fully clear [Wehrle et al. (1998); Palma et al. (2011)].

The main implication of this rapid variability in the flux is constraining the size of the emitting region and the bulk motion Lorentz factor. An observed variability time $\Delta t^{\text{ob}}$ implies that the size of the emitting region cannot exceed

$$r \leq r_{\text{var}} \approx \frac{\Gamma c \Delta t^{\text{ob}} D}{1+z},$$

where $z$ is the redshift, and $\Gamma$ is the Lorentz factor associated with the bulk motion [Ghisellini and Madau (1996)]. On the other hand, the fact that TeV photons are observed implies that the optical depth to pair production with the low energy photons in the plasma cannot exceed unity. Thus, the emitting region cannot be too compact. Combined together, these two constraints imply high bulk Lorentz factor (e.g., in PKS 2155-304, $\Gamma \gtrsim 50$ was inferred by Begelman et al. (2008)). The
exact value of the constraint on the emitting region thus depend on the variability time, as well as the photon field. The variability itself reflects changing conditions within the outflow, e.g., due to the existence of internal shock waves [Spada et al. (2001)]. The fact that the constraints found on $\Gamma$ in PKS 2155-40 were found to be inconsistent with direct measurements, have led Giannios et al. (2009) to suggest a jet within a jet model for the high energy emission. In a more general form, this can be viewed as an indication for an internal structure within the jets.

6 Jet power

Estimating the total deposited energy (or power) in astronomical jets is a very tricky task. The complexity of the problem is most easily understood if one considers the different episodes of energy transfer in these systems. First, there is the kinetic energy associated with the bulk motion of particles inside the jet. Naturally, this is some fraction of the gravitational energy of the inflowing material in the accretion disk. Theoretical determination of this fraction is possible only after the theory of jet production is fully understood. Until then, it can only be estimated from observations.

The observed radiation, in turn, reveals only a small fraction of this energy. Following jet launching, the second energy transfer occurs at a certain location(s) along the jet, where particles are accelerated to high energies. This acceleration must occur on the expense of (part of) the bulk motion kinetic energy, but possibly also due to magnetic reconnection - in which case it is at the expense of magnetic energy. Finally, the accelerated particles radiate some fraction of their energy as photons, producing the observed signal. Thus, direct observation of the photon signal reveals only an unknown fraction - likely a small fraction, of the kinetic energy initially given to the particles inside the jets.

Estimating the kinetic jet power is thus difficult, and rely on several assumptions. For example, Rawlings and Saunders (1991) estimated the average kinetic power of jets in blazars by dividing the total energy stored in the form of electrons and the magnetic field energy in the radio lobes (as deduced from synchrotron theory and the equipartition assumption) by the lobe age, which was computed from spectral aging or expansion velocity arguments. Similarly, Celotti and Fabian (1993) estimated the jet power of blazer jets using the framework of the standard synchrotron self-Compton theory. As explained above, these works suffer from substantial uncertainties, due to the various underlying assumptions needed.

In an alternative approach, Allen et al. (2006); Balmaverde et al. (2008) estimated the jet kinetic power by estimating the mechanical work, $PdV$, required to inflate the observed giant X-ray cavities. Since here too there are uncertainties in estimating the size of these cavities, these translate into uncertainties in the jet power. This will further be discussed in the chapter of this book authored by Heinz.

These works found a strong correlation between the estimated jet power and the disk luminosity. Such a correlation is expected in the leading mechanisms for jet production. As material from the jet originates from the disk, such correlations are of no surprise. Additional clue may come from a correlation between the jet power (as estimated from the radio flux) and spin of the black hole, as recently reported [Narayan and McClintock (2012); Steiner et al. (2013)]. While this result
is still debatable (see Russell et al. (2013)), if confirmed it may serve as a strong clue for the mechanism that launches jets in nature. See further discussions in the chapters by McClintock, Narayan, Fender and Gallo in this book.

Thus, while various models that estimate the kinetic power of jets exist, they all suffer from uncertainties, caused both by uncertainties in the measurements, and also by the need to rely on uncertain emission models. I thus view this subject as one which is far from being matured, and will be further developed in the near future.

7 Summary and conclusions

In this chapter, I reviewed some of the basic radiative mechanisms that produce the broad band emission seen in astronomical jets. Due to the broad nature of this subject, I focused on XRBs and AGNs (mainly blazars). The main radiative processes considered are synchrotron emission, SSC, Compton scattering of external, or reprocessed photons, and hadronic contribution, via proton-synchrotron emission and electromagnetic cascade caused by secondaries produced by proton-photon (and to a lesser extent, proton-proton) interactions.

Although each of these processes is well understood, the changing conditions inside the jets lead to complex observed spectra. This leads to the fact that despite a wealth of broad-band data, no single model is commonly accepted. On the contrary, as discussed here, the same data can be interpreted in more than one way. Thus, the main “take away” message from this chapter, is that modeling emission from jets is one of the most challenging tasks.

The questions that need to be addressed when studying emission from jets extend far beyond the realm of the radiative processes involved, and require addressing questions in basic physics and astronomy. Broadly speaking, in order to fully understand the emission, one needs to understand:

1. The connection between disk and jet, and the mechanism that leads to jet launching.
2. The varying physical conditions in different regions inside the jet, such as the magnetic field along the jet.
3. The jet composition that governs the contribution of leptons and hadrons to the observed spectra.
4. The nature and details of the acceleration mechanisms that determine the energy distribution of energetic particles in different parts of the jet.
5. The internal (synchrotron) and external (accretion disk, companion star, CMB, etc.) photon fields that serve as seed photons to scattering by jet material.
6. The geometry of the jets, including velocity profile and its angle towards the observer, that determine the different scattered field, as well as the Doppler boost.
7. The dynamics of material inside the jet, that determines the spatial distribution of the radiating particles and their temporal evolution.

Addressing each of these questions is a task so challenging by itself, that despite decades of research and numerous works (unfortunately, only very few could be mentioned here) we still have only clues, but no definite answer to any of them. Moreover, these questions, while can be addressed separately, should be addressed
in the context of the different environments in which jets are observed - XRBs, AGNs, GRBs and recently also TDEs. Thus, full answer to all these questions is not expected any time in the near future. However, the wealth of current and future data - both spectral, temporal and spatial data, ensures that there is plenty of room for new ideas in the coming years.
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