Molecular and Electrophysiological Characterization of Dorsal Horn Neurons in a GlyT2-iCre-tdTomato Mouse Line
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Purpose: Spinal glyciner gic neurons function as critical elements of a spinal gate for pain and itch. We have recently documented that spinal PKCγ+ neurons receive the feedforward inhibitory input driven by Aβ primary afferent. The glyciner gic neurons control the excitability of PKCγ+ neurons and therefore gate mechanical allodynia. However, a dynamic or electrophysiological analysis of the synaptic drive on spinal glyciner gic interneurons from primary afferent fibers is largely absent. The present study was aimed to analyze the synaptic dynamics between spinal glyciner gic interneurons and primary afferents using a genetic labeled animal model.

Materials and Methods: The GlyT2-P2A-iCre mice were constructed by the CRISPR/Cas9 technology. The GlyT2-iCre-tdTomato mice were then generated by crossing the GlyT2-P2A-iCre mice with fluorescent reporter mice. Patch-clamp whole-cell recordings were used to analyze the dynamic synaptic inputs to glyciner gic neurons in GlyT2-iCre-tdTomato mice. The distribution of GlyT2-tdTomato neurons in the spinal dorsal horn was examined by the immunohistochemistry method. The firing pattern and morphological features of GlyT2-tdTomato neurons were also examined by electrophysiological recordings and intracellular injection of biocitin.

Results: The GlyT2-P2A-iCre and GlyT2-tdTomato mice were successfully constructed. GlyT2-tdTomato fluorescence was colocalized extensively with immunoreactivity of glycine, GlyT2 and Pax2 in somata, confirming the selective expression of the transgene in glyciner gic neurons. GlyT2-tdTomato neurons were mainly distributed in spinal lamina II through IV. The firing pattern and morphological properties of GlyT2-tdTomato neurons met the features of tonic central or islet type of spinal inhibitory interneurons. The majority (72.1%) of the recorded GlyT2-tdTomato neurons received primary inputs from Aβ fibers.

Conclusion: The present study indicated that spinal GlyT2-positive glyciner gic neurons mainly received primary afferent Aβ fiber inputs; the GlyT2-P2A-iCre and GlyT2-tdTomato mice provided a useful animal model to further investigate the function of the GlyT22-PKCγ+ feedforward inhibitory circuit in both physiological and pathological conditions.
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Introduction

Dorsal spinal cord containing abundant inhibitory and excitatory interneurons is an imperial site for integrating diverse sensory information.1 Glycinergic interneurons are one type of inhibitory interneurons in the spinal cord using glycine as their
which regulate the function of PKCγ. In accordance with this mechanism, numerous behavioral and physiological studies have verified the primary inhibitory function of glycine-releasing neurons in gating multiple sensory modalities. For example, modulation of spinal glycine-releasing neurons by genetic or pharmacological methods significantly influences pain- or itch-related behaviors. Simultaneously, the altered sensory transmission due to the dysfunction of inhibitory interneurons can also be reversed by compensating glycine-related inhibition. Primary myelinated Aβ fibers innervating spinal deeper layers from lamina IIi to IV mainly respond to non-noxious stimulation, while myelinated Aδ fibers and unmyelinated C fibers terminating in spinal lamina I and II transmit noxious information.

Glycine transporter 2, one isoform of glycine transporter expressed on nerve terminals, is a credible neural marker for identifying glycine-releasing axons in the central nervous system. However, this isoform cannot reach detectable levels in cell bodies which make it difficult to identify using immunohistochemistry methods with antibodies. Zeilhofer’s lab constructed the GlyT2-Cre and GlyT2-GFP mice using the BAC transgenic strategy which marked spinal glycine-releasing neurons and characterized the morphological and electrophysiological properties of spinal glycine-releasing neurons. They furtherly demonstrated that dorsal horn glycine-releasing neurons function as critical elements of a spinal gate for pain and itch.

By using the Prkcg-P2A-tdTomato mice, we have recently documented that spinal PKCγ+ neurons receive the feedforward inhibition mediated by Aβ inputs. Mechanical allodynia was gated by the convergent inputs which regulate the function of PKCγ+ neurons. We further confirmed that the feedforward control on PKCγ+ neurons was predominantly glycine-releasing. Previous studies have demonstrated the importance of glycine-releasing inhibition in processing mechanosensory input in normal and neuropathic pain states. Although these studies indicated that the spinal glycine-releasing interneurons acted as the key part of allodynia gate, an electrophysiological or dynamic exploration of the synaptic inputs from primary afferent fibers to spinal glycine-releasing interneurons is largely absent. Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to investigate the synaptic transmission between spinal glycine-releasing interneurons and primary afferents using a genetic labeled animal model.

In the current work, we developed GlyT2-P2A-iCre knock-in mice using the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats-associated nuclease 9 (CRISPR/Cas9) technology. The GlyT2-iCre-tdTomato mice were then generated by crossing the GlyT2-P2A-iCre mice with fluorescent reporter mice. Using patch-clamp whole-cell recordings, we analyzed the dynamic synaptic inputs to glycine-releasing neurons in the GlyT2-iCre-tdTomato mice. We found that the majority (72.1%) of the recorded GlyT2 positive glycine-releasing neurons received primary inputs from Aβ fibers. The present study provided a useful animal model to investigate the concrete functions of the GlyT2+PKCγ+ feedforward inhibitory circuit in the spinal dorsal horn.

Materials and Methods

Animals

C57BL/6 and Kunming mice for establishing the GlyT2-P2A-iCre mice were purchased from the Beijing Vital River Laboratory (China). Ai9 Rosa26CAG-loxP-STOP-loxP-tdTomato and Rosa26floxed-mT треть-Stop-floxed-mGFP (mTmG) mice were introduced from the Jackson Laboratories. Male GlyT2-iCre-tdTomato mice (4–5 weeks old) were used for electrophysiological experiments. Animals were fed at 22–24°C (room temperature) and housed with a 12h/12h day/night cycle. Water and food were available for the mice ad libitum. The experimental procedures carried on animals were approved and reviewed by the Ethical Committee of the Fourth Military Medical University (protocol number: IACUC-20180606). All animal experiments were conducted according to the Guidelines of NIH for the welfare of the laboratory animals.

GlyT2-P2A-tdTomato Mice Generation

Design and Construction of CRISPR Small Guide RNAs (CRISPR/sgRNAs)

GlyT2-P2A-iCre mice were generated by the CRISPR/Cas9 technology. Based on the design principle of sgRNA, a total of 11 sgRNAs were designed in the target region. P2A-iCre cassette was fused into the last codon before the GlyT2 gene. The UCA kit was applied to screen CRISPR sgRNA for on-target activity. Random integrations were minimized by applying a circular donor vector. The donor plasmid containing a P2A sequences and an improved Cre recombinase (iCre) gene were flanked by 1.3 kb homolog arms. In addition, the donor vector was prepared using a plasmid DNA kit which was endotoxin-free. The acquisition to add the T7 promoter sequence to the sgRNA and Cas9 templates were performed through PCR amplification. T7-sgRNA PCR and T7-Cas9 products were then gel
purified and utilized as templates for transcription in vitro with the mMESSAGE mMACHINE® kit and MEGAscript T7 kit (Ambion, USA), respectively. Both the sgRNA and Cas9 mRNA were purified by utilizing MEGAclear kit and then eluted with water which was free of RNase.

Microinjection
The strains of KM mouse and C57BL/6 female mice were used as pseudopregnant foster mothers and embryo donors, respectively. At the one-cell stage, donor vector, Cas9 mRNA and sgRNAs of different concentrations were mixed and subsequently co-injected into the cytoplasm of fertilized eggs. After that, the oviducts were transferred by surviving zygotes of KM pseudopregnant females.

Genotyping
Genomic DNA from the tail of 7-day mice (F0) was extracted using alkaline lysis method. The genotype for GlyT2-iCre knock-in allele was verified by PCR technology and direct sequencing. Two pairs of primers were designed (Takara Bio Inc., USA) which EGE-YQL-014-WT-F and EGE-YQL-014-WT-R primers were used to detect the WT alleles, and EGE-YQL-014-WT-F and EGE-YQL-014-Mut-R were used to identify the mutant alleles.

Southern Blot Analysis
For Southern blotting, briefly, genomic DNA which was extracted from mouse tails was firstly digested by Asel or BglII (New England BioLabs, USA) and added into agarose gel (1%) for electrophoresis. After that, the DNA gel was then shifted to nylon membranes (Hybond N+; Amersham International plc, United Kingdom) which was positively charged. By using DIG Easy Hyb Granules (Roche, USA) which contains DIG labeling probe, the nylon membrane was hybridized overnight at 42°C. In order to acquire probe labeling, 3’ internally and externally DIG-labeled probes were performed by PCR which incorporates DIG-11-dUTP and utilizes Taq DNA polymerase according to the instructions of the manufacturer. The DIG Luminescent Detection kit (Roche, USA) was applied for the detection of hybridization signals.

Genetic Strategy for GlyT2-P2A-iCre Mice
As shown in the diagram of constructed GlyT2-P2A-iCre (Figure 1A), the P2A-iCre sequence was inserted into the last codon of GlyT2 gene using the CRISPR/Cas9 technique. 3’external probe (WT: 8.1kb; KI allele: 5.5kb) and the internal probe (WT: 6.4kb; KI allele: 4.7kb) were used in southern blot to identify four correctly targeted F1 pups (Figure 1B). Two pairs of primers (WT-F/WT-R and WT-F/Mut-R) used in the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were conducted to detect the genotypes of mice (Figure 1C).

Immunohistochemistry
Male GlyT2-iCre-tTomato mice (4–8 weeks) were anesthetized deeply by injecting pentobarbital sodium (50 mg/kg) intraperitoneally. The mice were immediately perfused in order with the saline and ice-cold paraformaldehyde (PFA). Then, the spinal cord tissue was extracted and post-fixed in the PFA (4%), followed by dehydrating with 20% and 30% sucrose for 24 h, respectively, at 4°C. Then, the spinal cord was cut into sections (25 μm) by Cryostat Microtome (Leica, Germany). After being washed in phosphate buffer saline (PBS), they were blocked in donkey serum (5%) for 2 h at room temperature (RT) and subsequently incubated in 2.5% donkey serum-containing primary antibodies: anti-Pax2 (rabbit, 1:500; Abcam, USA), anti-Glycine (rabbit, 1:200; Abcam, USA), anti-SP (guinea pig, 1:500; Abcam, USA), anti-CGRP (goat, 1:500; Abcam, USA), anti-PKCγ (rabbit, 1:400; Santa Cruz, USA) for 12–18 h at 4°C. After that, they were incubated with secondary antibodies which included donkey anti-rabbit IgG with Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500; Molecular Probes, USA), donkey anti-guinea pig IgG conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500; Molecular Probes, USA), donkey anti-goat IgG with Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500; Molecular Probes, USA), respectively, for 3 h at RT. Besides, some of the fluorescent spinal cord slices were directly incubated with the IB4 (1:200; Vector Laboratories, USA).

In situ Hybridization
Methods for in situ hybridization (ISH) which were related to vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (Vglut2) have been described in previous articles.10,18,19 Briefly, sections from three GlyT2-tTomato male mice were applied in this procedure. For the acquisition of tdTomato fluorescent images, slices were captured under a confocal scanning microscope installed with laser (Olympus FV1200, Japan). In situ signals of Vglut2 were obtained under transluminescent light and pseudo-green fluorescent color. The overlay between red fluorescence positive images and Vglut2 (green) images was conducted by Photoshop software (USA).
Preparation of the Sagittal Spinal Slices Attached with Dorsal Roots

Parasagittal spinal cord slices were prepared from male GlyT2-iCre-tdTomato mice. In brief, mice were intraperitoneally injected with pentobarbital sodium (50 mg/kg) and then the spinal cord was rapidly extracted. Subsequently, the lumbar part of the whole tissue with dorsal roots was carefully removed and was fixed on the platform made of agar. Parasagittal spinal slices (400–600 μm) were cut by the vibrating microtome in icy sucrose artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) composed by (in mM) sucrose 75, NaH2PO4 1.25, MgCl2 1.2, NaCl 80, KCl 2.5, CaCl2 2.5, NaHCO3 25, sodium pyruvate 3.0 and ascorbate 1.3 (osmolality at 310–320 mOsm and pH at 7.4), and were then incubated immediately in ACSF (in mM) KCl 2.5, NaCl 125, CaCl2 2, NaHCO3 26, NaH2PO4 1.25, D-glucose 25, MgCl2 1, sodium pyruvate 3.0 and sodium ascorbate 1.3 (osmolality at 310–320 mOsm and pH at 7.2) pre-oxygenated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2 at RT for 1 h.
Whole-Cell Recording and Dorsal Root Stimulation

According to the protocol utilized in our previous study,6,7,10,20 spinal cord slice was placed in the bath filled with circulated artificial cerebrospinal fluid. The GlyT2-tdTomato neurons were observed by the upright microscope which was installed with infrared differential interference contrast (IR-DIC), together with green luminescence. Filled with the internal solution containing (in mM) KCl 5, K-glucuronate 130, phosphocreatine 10, Li-GTP 0.3, Mg-ATP 4, and HEPES 10 (osmolality at 300 mOsm and pH at 7.3), the recording electrode with resistance maintained at 5–10ΩM was used to record signals from patched cells.

Recordings of the whole-cell patch were performed on the GlyT2-tdTomato neurons randomly selected in the spinal dorsal horn. The resting membrane potential (RMP) was identified initially after the establishment of whole-cell configuration in I=0 model. In I-Clamp mode, the action potential (AP) firing was confirmed through injecting step depolarizing pulses (1 s duration) with 25 pA step from the holding potential (~60 mV). The data are acquired by the pClamp 10.0 software, Digidata 1440A (Molecular Devices) and multiClamp 200B amplifier. Response signals were filtered at 5kHz by low-pass.

The procedures for dorsal root stimulation have been reported previously.6,7,10,20 0.1 to 0.5 ms graded pulses were applied by suction electrodes to stimulate the dorsal roots. The conduction velocities (CVs) of afferent fibers were calculated by the combination of latency with the conduction distance of the recorded response. Evoked excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic potentials were acquired by applying graded intensity which could recruit Aβ, Aδ and C fibers. Response threshold and CVs were combined to classify synaptic responses from primary afferent fibers (Aβ, Aδ or C). Evoked EPSPs with the absence of failures and constant latency in repetitive trials (20 Hz or 1 Hz) were judged to be mediated by monosynaptic A or C fibers.6,7 The CVs and response thresholds for the above fibers were confirmed according to our experimental conditions. Dorsal roots were stimulated orthodromically (0.5 ms for C fiber and 0.1 ms for A fiber). AP responses were acquired at its central end extracellularly. The criteria of intensities for identifying different types of fibers were 0.1 to 0.3 V (Aβ), 0.4 to 1.0 V (Aδ), and 1.4 to 6 V (C), which was accordant to our previous reports.8

To label the cells during whole-cell recording, an internal solution containing biocytin (0.5%) was essential. Spinal cord slices used for the electrophysiological experiments were post-fixed with 4% PFA and immersed with sucrose (20% and 30%) gradiently. After that, slices were washed in the Tris-Trition buffer and subsequently blocked with normal goat serum (4%). Then, the slices were immersed in a solution containing 1:500 streptavidin conjugated with Fluorescein green (Vector Laboratories, SA-5001). Confocal images were then obtained by fluorescent microscopy (Olympus FV1200, Japan).

Determination of Morphological Characteristics of GlyT2-tdTomato Neuron

The classification criteria for morphological features of GlyT2 neurons were in accordance with our previous study.10 Central cells belong to the neuron types which extended their dendrites mainly in the rostro-caudal direction with their dendrite length extended less than 200μm. Islet cells, similar to the central cells, elongated their dendrites longer than 450μm and even reach 600μm. Both of these neurons exhibited limited dendrites extension in the dorsal-ventral plane.

Data Analysis

Categorical variables are expressed as frequency (mean ± SEM %). There were no missing data in the present study. Graph figures were made using the Prism GraphPad8.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc., USA).

Results

Glycinergic Neurons Were Labeled Successfully in GlyT2-tdTomato Mice

To specifically mark GlyT2 neurons with fluorescence, we crossed the GlyT2-iCre mouse with Rosa26CAG-loxP-STOP-loxP-tdTomato mice to get the GlyT2-iCre-tdTomato mice (Figure 2). By analyzing colocalization of GlyT2-tdTomato and GlyT2mRNA probe through in situ hybridization methods, we found that 89.8 ±1.0% tdTomato neurons overlay with the GlyT2mRNA, and 93.1±1.1% GlyT2 mRNA neurons colocalized with tdTomato (Figure 3A). In order to confirm whether neurons marked by red fluorescence in GlyT2+ mice were inhibitory, the colocalization between tdTomato positive neurons and Vglut2 (the marker of excitatory neurons) positive neurons was examined.21,22 We identified that only 6.8±1.8% of tdTomato+ neurons express VGLUT2 (Figure 3B). To furtherly testify that the tdTomato labeled neurons in GlyT2-tdTomato mice were mainly glycinergic...
inhibitory neurons, we performed immunohistochemistry on transverse spinal slices from GlyT2-tdTomato mice by applying anti-Pax2 (transcription factor expressed by the inhibitory neurons of spinal dorsal horn) antibody and glycine antibody. The results showed that 78.7±3.8% GlyT2-tdTomato neurons were Pax2 positive (Figure 4A), and 77.4±5.4% GlyT2-tdTomato neurons were glycine positive (Figure 4B). Simultaneously, GlyT2-tdTomato neurons constitute 65.6±1.1% neurons marked by anti-Pax2 antibody and 60.9±2.2% neurons marked by anti-glycine antibody in lamina I–IV (Figure 4A and B). The above results indicated that tdTomato convincingly marked most glycinergic interneurons in the spinal dorsal horn.

GlyT2-tdTomato Neurons Were Mainly Located in Lamina Ili to IV of Spinal Dorsal Horn

Next, we identified the laminar distribution of the GlyT2-tdTomato neurons by observing colocalization with different laminar makers in the spinal cord. Substance P, binding to the NK1 receptor mainly expressed on the ascending projection neurons, distributed in the lamina I. We observed that only a few GlyT2-tdTomato neurons were located at the lamina I (Figure 5A). The nociceptors of C-fiber are subdivided into CGRP+ peptidergic and lectin IB4+ non-peptidergic neurons, which mainly target outer lamina II (lamina IIo) and dorsal part of inner lamina II.
Figure 4 GlyT2-tdTomato colocalized with immunoreactivity of Pax2 and glycine in spinal dorsal horn.

Notes: Immunohistochemical images depicting tdTomato positive neurons (red) with Pax2 (A) and glycine (B) marked by green fluorescence. Arrows indicated GlyT2-tdTomato neurons co-expressed with Pax2 (A) or glycine (B). Percentage of double-labeled neurons was shown under the images. n=3 mice; 3 sections of each mouse. Data were shown as mean ± SEM.
The ventral part of inner lamina II (lamina IIi–v) is mainly innervated by the Aβ fibers and low threshold C fibers and always defined by the protein kinase C γ (PKCγ). We found that there are still a few GlyT2-tdTomato neurons scatter within the lamina IIo and lamina IIi-d. GlyT2-tdTomato neurons were mainly distributed in the deeper layers from lamina IIi to lamina IV (Figure 5B–D). The laminar distribution of the GlyT2-tdTomato neurons is consistent with GlyT2-EGFP mice developed by Zeilhofer’s group.9

Figure 5 GlyT2-tdTomato neurons were mainly located in lamina III to IV of spinal dorsal horn.

Notes: GlyT2-tdTomato positive neurons were rarely located in lamina I labeled with SP (A), lamina IIo labeled with CGRP (B) and dorsal part of lamina IIi labeled with IB4 (C). Large amount of GlyT2-tdTomato positive neurons were located in the ventral part of lamina IIi labeled with PKCγ (D) and lamina III. Scattered GlyT2-tdTomato positive neurons were also seen in lamina IV (D).
GlyT2-tdTomato Neurons Mainly Showed Tonic Firing Pattern and Central Morphology

Since the firing pattern of recorded neurons could be used to clarify neuron subclass in the spinal cord, we monitored the pattern of action potentials elicited with 1 s depolarized square stimulation. The discharge patterns of GlyT2-tdTomato neurons were identified in line with previous research. Tonic firing is the one action potential that appears regularly and even shows adaptability during the stimulation. The action potentials that only appear in the early stage of current injection were categorized into initial bursting firing. Delayed firing type is identified as neurons whose action potential discharge after the first 100 ms of current injection and the delay of which will be correspondingly shortened but still shorter than 100 ms with the increase of current. We found that 80% (66 of 83), 19% (6 of 83) and 1% (4 of 83) GlyT2-tdTomato neurons showed tonic, initial bursting and delayed discharge pattern, respectively (Figure 6A–B).

Spinal inhibitory interneurons mainly showed central or islet types compared with excitatory neurons which displayed radical morphology. Based on our previous classification criteria, 78% (7 of 9) of recorded cells showed central morphology (Figure 7A and C). The rest of the recorded neurons (22%, 2 of 9) were characterized as Islet type (Figure 7B and C). Taken together, our results depicted that the physiological and morphological properties of spinal GlyT2-tdTomato neurons were consistent with features of spinal inhibitory interneurons.

GlyT2-tdTomato Neurons Mainly Receive Monosynaptic Inputs from Aβ Fibers

As the modulation of sensory information mainly depends on neural circuits composed of primary afferent fibers and
numerous interneurons, a comprehensive mastery of synaptic transmission to GlyT2-tomato neurons from the periphery will better understand its role in the modulation of sensory inputs. In order to address the concrete inputs to glycinergic neurons, we randomly selected and recorded 61 GlyT2-tomato neurons in lamina IIi and III of the spinal dorsal horn where a large number of glycinergic neurons located. Stimulation of dorsal root was applied to elicit synaptic responses in recorded GlyT2-tomato neurons. The results showed that 72.1% (44 of 61) of the GlyT2-tomato neurons were innervated by Aβ fibers, of which, 49.2% (30 of 61) neurons were evoked monosynaptic excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) (Figure 8A), while 9.8% (6 of 61) neurons were evoked polysynaptic EPSPs (Figure 8B). In addition, dorsal root stimulation also evoked Aβ fiber mediated mixed excitatory and inhibitory synaptic responses in 6.6% (4 of 61, EPSP-IPSP, Figure 8C), 4.9% (3 of 61, IPSP-EPSP, Figure 8D) and 1.6% (1 of 61, IPSP only, Figure 8E) GlyT2-tomato neurons. We also found that 19.7% (12 of 61) of the GlyT2-tomato neurons were dominated by Aδ fibers, of which, 3.3% (2 of 61) of the neurons were evoked monosynaptic EPSPs (Figure 8F), 9.8% (6 of 61) of the neurons were evoked polysynaptic EPSPs, and 6.6% (4 of 61) of the neurons were evoked EPSP-IPSP (Figure 8G). C fiber-mediated monosynaptic EPSPs were detected in 4.9% (3 of 61) of the GlyT2-tomato neurons (Figure 8H). Lastly, 3.3% (2 of 61) of the GlyT2-tomato neurons were innervated by both Aβ and C fibers (Figure 8I). Taken together, the present study indicated that glycinergic neurons in the spinal dorsal horn mainly received excitatory inputs from peripheral Aβ fibers, although Aδ and C fiber inputs were detected in some glycinergic neurons (Figure 8J).

The correlations between primary afferent types and firing patterns in GlyT2-tomato labeled neurons were analyzed. Of the total 44 neurons receiving Aβ fiber input, 39/44 of cells displayed tonic firing, 4/44 of cells displayed Initial bursting firing and 1/44 of cells displayed delay firing (Table 1). Of the total 12 neurons receiving Aβ fiber input, 10/12 of cells displayed tonic firing, 2/12 of cells displayed Initial bursting firing. Three neurons receiving C fiber input displayed tonic firing (Table 1). We also analyzed the correlations between primary afferent types and morphological features in biocytin labeled GlyT2-tomato neurons. 6 of 7 central cells received Aβ fiber input, the rest one central cell received C fiber input. 2 of 2 islet neurons received Aβ fiber input. From the above results, we can conclude that most of spinal glycinergic neurons are more likely to be tonic central cells receiving Aβ fiber input.
Figure 8 The primary afferents received by GlyT2-tdTomato neurons.

Notes: (A) Monosynaptic eEPSPs evoked by Aβ fiber stimulation. (B) Polysynaptic eEPSPs evoked by Aβ fiber stimulation. (C) eEPSPs-eIPSP and (D) eIPSP-eEPSPs mediated by Aβ fibers. (E) eIPSPs mediated by Aβ fibers. (F) Monosynaptic eEPSPs evoked by Aδ fiber stimulation. (G) eEPSPs-eIPSP mediated by Aδ fibers. (H) Mono- and Polysynaptic eEPSPs evoked by C fiber stimulation. (I) eIPSPs mediated by both Aβ and C fibers. (J) Percentage of synaptic inputs to GlyT2-tdTomato neurons from primary Aβ, Aδ, and C fibers.

Abbreviations: eEPSPs, evoked excitatory postsynaptic potentials; eIPSPs, evoked inhibitory postsynaptic potentials; mono, monosynaptic; poly, polysynaptic; exc, excitatory; inh, inhibitory.
Table 1 Correlations Between Primary Afferent Types and Firing Patterns in GlyT2-tdTomato Labeled Neurons

| Type of Primary Afferents | n   | Firing Patterns |   |
|---------------------------|-----|----------------|---|
| Aβ fiber                  | 44  | 39  | 4  | 1 |
| Aδ fiber                  | 12  | 10  | 2  | 0 |
| C fiber                   | 3   | 3   | 0  | 0 |
| Aβ+C fiber                | 2   | 1   | 0  | 1 |

Note: n, number of neurons receiving primary afferent.

Discussion

In the present study, we constructed the GlyT2-P2A-iCre mice using the CRISPR/Cas9 technique, and subsequently generated GlyT2-tdTomato mice, which allowed us to record the GlyT2-tdTomato neurons directly. We found that the majority (72.1%) of the recorded GlyT2-tdTomato neurons received primary inputs from Aβ fibers, 19.7% of the recorded neurons were innervated by Aβ fibers and only 4.9% of the recorded neurons were innervated by C fibers. Only a few neurons received mixed inputs from Aβ and C fibers. The distribution, firing patterns and morphological characteristics of GlyT2-tdTomato neurons were further illuminated. The present study provides a useful animal model for further investigation of the GlyT2-tdTomato neurons.

Although GlyT2-Cre mice have been developed by Nathaniel Heintz and Zeilhofer et al. using the BAC transgenic technology,3,9,25,26 there are some defects in BAC mice line compared with CRISPR/Cas9 mice line. As an example, the number of gene copy of early generations in BAC transgenic mice may not be identical and guaranteed. It is necessary to mate for several generations to obtain stable genetic lines with certain copy number. Also, the expression of Cre gene would increase correspondingly with the obtaining of positive mice with high copy number, but would simultaneously be at the cost of acquiring certain toxicity on the BAC mice. In addition, to avoid the circumstance in which the Cre of BAC transgenic mice locate on the same chromosome when mating with flox or reporter mice, chromosome site of BAC transgenic mice may also be needed for consideration. In our experiment, P2A-iCre was knocked into the C-terminal of the gene in which Cre and native protein could be expressed simultaneously by applying the CRISPR/cas9 technology. Our results showed that iCre gene on the positive mice could reflect the location of GlyT2 expression accurately and the copy number can be determined by simple genotype identification, suggesting that this mice line established by the CRISPR/Cas9 technique is more specific and efficient.27

Consistent with previous research which depicted that glycincergic neurons are mainly located in deep layers of the spinal dorsal horn,28,29 our results further demonstrated these cells were abundantly distributed from lamina IIi to lamina IV. In addition, the firing pattern we mainly encountered was tonic which represents large amounts of inhibitory interneurons.30 In previous studies, morphology and firing pattern of neurons were often used as criteria for neuron classification.6,7 The morphological features (important indicator for classification of neurons in dorsal spinal cord) of recorded cells meet the features of central and islet type which is consistent with the observation of Toshiharu Yasaka et al.24

Deep lamina of the dorsal horn mainly receive myelinated fibers transmitting innocuous information, such as vibration, touch and pressure.31,32 Noxious information was transmitted by unmyelinated C fibers and large subsets of Aδ fibers.33 Large-scale studies verified the important role of glycincergic neurons in the deep dorsal horn.34 Consistent with these results, we found that 72.1% of the GlyT2-tdTomato neurons were located at lamina IIi to IV of the dorsal horn, and were mainly innervated by Aβ fibers directly. Besides, some GlyT2-tdTomato neurons were also innervated by Aδ or C fibers, suggesting that glycincergic neurons may be also involved in the modulation of noxious information. The elucidation of primary afferents which glycincergic neurons received could enrich our understanding of their roles on gate circuits in the spinal cord. All the above results put further emphasis on future research about the primary afferent fibers innervating the GlyT2+ neurons allowing us to thoroughly study the synaptic plasticity of GlyT2+ neurons in normal and pathological conditions.

The neurochemical identities of spinal inhibitory neurons deserve comments. Illuminating the concrete phenotypes of glycincergic neurons could provide a basis for understanding the exquisite and complicated modulation on pain information. Previous research has categorized inhibitory interneurons in the spinal cord into four non-overlapped types according to their neurochemical identity, that is, galanin+, NPY+, NOS+ and dynorphin+ neurons.35 It was also reported that spinal glycincergic neurons, a subtype of spinal inhibitory neurons, expressed parvalbumin (PV), neuropeptide Y (NPY) and galanin (Gal).36 Neurons that express nNOS in the spinal cord were thought to be mainly glycincergic neurons instead of GABAergic neurons. Previous research showed that nNOS...
immunostaining cells co-expressed with vGAT buttons which is a marker of both glycineric and GABAergic neurons. While recent study applying ISH and single-cell sequencing method pointed out that GABA mRNA did not label nNOS neurons. Combined the results of the above studies with our work, we can conclude that GlyT2 positive neurons may be mainly nNOS neurons. However, one imperative point that should not be overlooked is that a part of nNOS neurons also express PKCγ which is a marker of excitatory interneurons. In addition, since activation of nNOS neurons could alleviate mechanical hyperalgesia, it is reasonable for us to speculate that GlyT2 positive glycineric neurons colocalized with nNOS have an imperial role in gating nociceptive signals. PV neurons are mainly located in lamina II–III of the spinal cord. Research has shown that the mechanism of PV neurons in modulating sensation is through GABAergic with glycineric inhibition. Equally important, our previous research also showed that PKCγ neurons were gated by glycineric mixed with GABAergic inhibition. In addition, most percentages of PV neurons were labeled with both glycine and GABA. Despite these neurons co-expressed with gaba14 mRNA, we could get a conclusion that PV neurons were most likely glycineric and GABAergic which can gate PKCγ neurons. Since PV neurons also act as a key element in developing hypersensitivity after peripheral nerve injury through GABAergic inhibition, future investigation should attach more importance to illuminating whether GlyT2 positive PV neurons will also have similar effects on nociceptive information.

Of course, there are still some deficiencies in the present study, due to the restriction of gene CRISPR technology. Compared with the actual expression of the GlyT2 gene, GlyT2-iCre-tdTomato mice may label more GlyT2 neurons. In addition, some GlyT2 gene may disappear in an early embryonic development. The characteristics of these neurons expressing tdTomato protein require detailed investigation. Equally important, to understand how the spinal allodynia gate works, further experiments on the changes pertaining to the excitability of the glycineric neurons in pathological condition are needed.

**Conclusion**

The present study indicated that spinal glycineric neurons mainly received primary afferent Aβ fiber inputs; the GlyT2-P2A-iCre and GlyT2-tdTomato mice provided a useful animal model to further investigate the function of the GlyT2-PKCγ feedforward inhibitory circuit in both physiological and pathological conditions.
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