Quantitative Analysis of the Effect of Iterative Reconstruction Using a Phantom: Determining the Appropriate Blending Percentage
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Purpose: To investigate the optimal blending percentage of adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction (ASIR) in a reduced radiation dose while preserving a degree of image quality and texture that is similar to that of standard-dose computed tomography (CT).

Materials and Methods: The CT performance phantom was scanned with standard and dose reduction protocols including reduced mAs or kVp. Image quality parameters including noise, spatial, and low-contrast resolution, as well as image texture, were quantitatively evaluated after applying various blending percentages of ASIR. The optimal blending percentage of ASIR that preserved image quality and texture compared to standard dose CT was investigated in each radiation dose reduction protocol.

Results: As the percentage of ASIR increased, noise and spatial-resolution decreased, whereas low-contrast resolution increased. In the texture analysis, an increasing percentage of ASIR resulted in an increase of angular second moment, inverse difference moment, and correlation and in a decrease of contrast and entropy. The 20% and 40% dose reduction protocols with 20% and 40% ASIR blending, respectively, resulted in an optimal quality of images with preservation of the image texture.

Conclusion: Blending the 40% ASIR to the 40% reduced tube-current product can maximize radiation dose reduction and preserve adequate image quality and texture.
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INTRODUCTION

Radiation doses can be reduced by lowering the tube current. However, there is a limit to tube current reduction with the currently used image reconstruction method, filtered back projection (FBP). This is because reduction of the tube current–time product increases image noise and influences the diagnostic image quality. Unlike FBP, the iterative reconstruction technique can reduce image noise even in low-dose computed tomography (CT) images, because it can be operated in raw data space and can accurately characterize data acquisition according to each phys-
Phantom

The American Association of Physicians in Medicine (AAPM) CT performance phantom (model 76-410-4130, Nuclear Associates, Carle Place, NY, USA) consisted of several modules with different inserts for the evaluation of CT performance. A water tank module consisting of a water-filled acrylic cylinder 21.59 cm in outer diameter was used for the evaluation of image noise (Fig. 1A). Spatial resolution could be assessed with resolution blocks, which consist of eight sets of five holes (1.75, 1.5, 1.25, 1.00, 0.75, 0.61, 0.5, and 0.4 mm in circumference) (Fig. 1B). All cavities of holes were filled with air, and distances between the set of holes were equal to the holes’ diameter. Holes of at least 1.0 mm needed to be differentiated by the observer to meet the adequate level of spatial resolution [window width: 300 to 400 Hounsfield unit (HU); window level: -200 to -100 HU]. In terms of low-contrast resolution, the AAPM phantom includes a solid acrylic block that has sets of two deep cavities, each with different sizes (3.2, 6.4, 9.5, 12.7, 19.1, and 25.4 mm) (Fig. 1C). The rows of the cavities are aligned on each side of the center line with the space between the holes being equal to their diameters. These cavities were filled with a solution of water and iodine contrast (Ultravist 300, Schering, Berlin, Germany) that had an attenuation value that differed by less than 10 HU compared to that of the background acrylic block. Texture analysis was also performed using the low-contrast resolution module.

CT data acquisition

All CT acquisitions were obtained with a fast-kVp switching dual-energy multi-detector CT scanner (Discovery CT 750 HD, GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA). We followed standard acquisition protocol (120 kVp, 250 mAs) for the AAPM phantom as suggested by the Korean Institute for Accreditation of Medical Image (KIAMI). Standard acquisition was set as the following: a tube current–time product of 250 mAs, a tube voltage of 120 kVp, a section thickness of 0.625 mm, a 10 mm collimation, a 1-second gantry rotation time, a standard reconstruction algorithm, axial mode, a 50-cm acquisition field of view, and a 25-cm display field of view. Reconstruction slice thickness was 10 mm with an inter-slice distance of 10 mm. Data for reduced doses were obtained by using different tube current-time products (100, 150, and 200 mAs) or different tube voltages (80 and 100 kVp). The degree of ASIR blending after image acquisition was applied at six different levels: 0%, 20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, and 100%.

Quantitative image analysis

For the quantitative analysis, image noise was evaluated by measuring the SD of the CT numbers. A 4×4-cm² square region of interest (ROI) was placed at the 6 o’clock position from the central metallic bar of the phantom, and a SD of the CT numbers (HU) was obtained (Fig. 1A). If the SD of the CT numbers was within 7 HU, the quality of image was considered acceptable. The SD of the CT numbers of each image from the different reduced radiation dose protocols and different percentages of ASIR were obtained.

To convert the acceptance limit of spatial resolution according to KIAMI more objective, the quantitative analysis tool was developed. Since 1.0-mm size holes (the acceptance level of KIAMI) were readily differentiated by all the levels of radiation doses (including the lowest dose), 0.75 mm holes were selected for the reference instead of 1.0 mm holes. Using a software program (Aquarius intuition ed-
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was the average CNR value obtained from the standard protocol of 0% ASIR. As lower tube voltages result in different HU values, due to the photoelectric effect, 80 and 100 kVp acquisition protocols were excluded from the low-contrast resolution comparison.

Texture analysis can detect subtle differences in images. There are several mathematical methods and computer software programs dedicated to texture analysis. Among them, we adopted the grey-level co-occurrence matrices (GLCM) method, and an open plug-in of GLCM texture analysis for ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/plugins/) was used. Texture analysis was performed with CT images of a low-contrast resolution module, and five consecutive axial images were loaded in ImageJ. A 130x130 pixel rectangular ROI was placed outside the cavities filled with iodine solution. Five parameters were calculated by the GLCM texture plug-in, including angular second momentum (ASM), con-

Fig. 1. American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM) CT performance phantom. Selected blocks from the AAPM phantom were used to quantify noise (A), spatial resolution (B), low-contrast resolution, and texture (C).

Fig. 2. Schematic graph of a HU graph drawn by the linear HU difference between five holes of the same size. HU, Hounsfield unit.
contrast, correlation, inverse difference moment (IDM), and entropy. The ASM and IDM represent measures of homogeneity. Contrast represents the amount of local variation present in an image. Correlation represents a measure of gray-tone linear dependencies within the image; a higher correlation value means a more consistent texture value along certain lines. Entropy represents randomness of the image; higher entropy values mean less homogeneous image textures.\textsuperscript{6,13} The average value of five consecutive images was calculated each of the different radiation dose settings and blending percentages of ASIR.

\section*{Radiation dose assessment}

The CT dose index ($\text{CTDI}_{\text{vol}}$, mGy) and dose length products (DLPs, mGy*cm) were recorded in each protocol. $\text{CTDI}_{\text{vol}}$ and DLP were obtained from the scanner console for each protocol.

\section*{RESULTS}

\subsection*{Noise}

Noise decreased with an increase in the percentage of ASIR (Fig. 3). Image noise was less than 7 HU in the majority of 60\%, 40\%, and 20\% ASIR blended images with 100-mAs, 150-mAs, and 200-mAs acquisitions, respectively. With the reduction of the tube voltage to 80 kVp or 100 kVp (while maintaining 250 mAs), the noise value was less than 7 HU in 80\% and 40\% ASIR blended images, making the appropriate ASIR percentage at least 80\% and 40\%, respectively.

\subsection*{Spatial resolution}

The average value of HU differences decreased with an increasing percentage of ASIR (Fig. 4A). With 100\% ASIR, four peaks could not be differentiated from the HU graph; therefore, the average value of HU differences were not calculated (Fig. 4B). With 20\% ASIR in the 100-mAs protocol, the average value of the HU differences was 31.8 HU, and with 40\% ASIR, the average was 29.8 HU, making 20\% the acceptable limit of ASIR blending. With the 150-mAs protocol, 40\% ASIR resulted in an average difference of 31.4 HU, and 60\% ASIR resulted in an average of 21.8 HU, making 40\% the acceptable limit of ASIR blending (Fig. 4C). With the 200-mAs protocol, the acceptable limit of ASIR blending was also 40\% (with an average HU difference of 33.4 HU). Compared to the standard protocol, 100 kVp resulted in higher HU differences without ASIR blend-
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ing; however, the limit of ASIR blending was 40% because 60% ASIR blending resulted in an average difference of 25.4 HU. The optimal blending percentage of ASIR with the 80 kVp acquisition protocol was 20%, as 20% reconstruction images showed an average HU difference of 30.6 HU and 40% ASIR reconstruction images showed an average HU difference of 22.8 HU.

Low-contrast resolution
Low-contrast resolution increased with an increasing percentage of ASIR (Fig. 5). With the 100 mAs acquisition protocol, the CNR value was 1.42 with 40% ASIR but 1.70 with 60% ASIR, making 60% the lowest ASIR required. With the 150-mAs acquisition protocol, the CNR value was 1.56 with 20% ASIR but 1.71 with 40% ASIR, making 40% the lowest ASIR required. With the 200-mAs acquisition protocol, the CNR value was 1.46 without ASIR but 1.70 with 20% ASIR, making 20% the lowest ASIR required.

Texture
Fig. 6 includes five texture analysis graphs showing the different texture feature values according to an increase in the percentage of ASIR at different acquisition protocols. With increasing percentage of ASIR, ASM, IDM, and correlation values increased, representing higher texture uniformity and homogeneity for higher percentages of ASIR (Fig. 6A, B, and C). However, contrast and entropy values decreased with increasing percentages of ASIR, representing increased uniformity of gray images and decreased randomness in the image (Fig. 6D and E). With the 100-mAs acquisition protocol, 60% ASIR resulted in images closest to the standard image texture for all five features. The 150 and 200 mAs protocols required only 40% and 20% ASIR (respectively) to obtain the closest texture feature values to that of a standard image. With the 80-kVp protocol, the appropriate percentage of ASIR varied between 60% or 80%, depending on the texture features. With the 100-kVp protocol, all of the other four features except for correlation showed similar values to standard images with 40% ASIR.

Overall assessment of quantitative analysis
The respective results of the quality of each image are summarized in Table 1. The reduced tube-current time product of 40.0% (from 250 mAs to 150 mAs) with 40% ASIR satisfied all the noise, spatial, and low contrast resolution, as well as the five parameters of image texture. The reduced tube-current time product of 20.0% (from 250 mAs to 200 mAs) with 20% ASIR also satisfied the required values of analyzed image qualities and texture parameters. The other acquisition protocols with reduced tube-current-time product or tube voltage did not fulfill image quality requirements and failed to show similar image texture to that of standard images in corresponding percentages of ASIR.

Radiation dose
The CTDI\textsubscript{vol} and DLP of standard protocol (120 kVp and 250 mAs) were 20.86 mGy and 834 mGy*cm, respectively. The CTDI\textsubscript{vol} and DLP of protocols of decreased tube current-time products were as follows: for the 100 mAs protocol, 8.34 mGy and 333 mGy*cm (60.0% reduction); for the 150 mAs protocol, 12.51 mGy and 500 mGy*cm (40.0% reduction); and for the 200 mAs protocol, 16.69 mGy and 667 mGy*cm (20.0% reduction). The CTDI\textsubscript{vol} and DLP of decreased tube voltage protocols were as follows: for the 80 kVp protocol, 7.03 mGy and 281 mGy*cm (66.3% reduction) and for the 100 kVp protocol, 13.16 mGy and 526 mGy*cm (36.9% reduction).

DISCUSSION

Our results showed that with an increase in the percentage of ASIR, noise and spatial resolution decreased, and low-contrast resolution increased. In the texture analysis, an increase in the percentage of ASIR resulted in an increase of ASM, IDM, and correlation and in a decrease of contrast and entropy. Blending 40% ASIR with a reduced tube cur-
According to previous reports, image noise can be decreased by increasing the blending percentage of ASIR, and a previous phantom study determined that noise decreased about 75% and 50% from the original image with

Fig. 6. Texture analysis graphs showing five different texture features according to an increase in the percentage of ASIR: angular second moment (A), inverse different moment (B), correlation (C), contrast (D), and entropy (E). The reference line of each graph shows the value of standard images.
Some controversy exists regarding the effect of iterative reconstruction on spatial resolution. Several studies reported that spatial resolution is not affected or increased by iterative reconstruction compared to FBP images, whereas another study reported that spatial resolution decreased after applying iterative reconstruction. In our study, spatial resolution was preserved up to certain threshold of the ASIR blending percentage with each decreased tube time-current product and tube voltage protocol. However, when ASIR was blended more than the threshold percentage, the spatial resolution did not meet either of our quantitative standards. These results might be due to the blurred change of the image which was represented as decreased HU difference between the two small cavities. The discordance between the previous studies and ours might be due to the comparison of fixed tube time-current product reductions and ASIR blending percentages in the previous studies; in contrast, we compared images of various reduced tube current-time product and tube voltages with various blending percentages of ASIR.

In terms of low-contrast resolution, most previous studies have reported unanimous results that an increase in the percentage of ASIR resulted in increased low-contrast resolution, which is consistent with our results. The CNR was calculated from the HU difference between the cavity and the background divided by the noise value. As noise decreases in proportion to the blending percentage of ASIR without changing the HU of both the cavity and the background, the CNR could only increase. Therefore, although CNR decreases with reduced tube current-time product due to noise elevation, it could be preserved with an adequate percentage of ASIR, which decreases noise. Our results also showed that when a 40% or higher percentage of ASIR is applied, 150 mAs can achieve a higher CNR value of 1.63. Therefore, we were able to quantitatively confirm that with lower tube current-time product protocols, an increased blending percentage of ASIR was necessary to achieve a CNR similar to the standard-dose protocol. However, since the CNR cannot directly represent cavity detectability and potential outcome of diagnostic performances, further investigation on this aspect should be done with the clinical data.

In quantitative texture analysis, the results met expectations. With an increase in ASIR blending percentage, image homogeneity (ASM and IDM values) and consistency in texture along certain lines (correlation) also increased, whereas the amount of local variation (contrast) and randomness in the image (entropy) decreased. These results suggest that not only image noise but also image texture parameters are affected by the blending percentage of ASIR. Previous reports have shown differences of acquired images depending on subjective methods or on quantitative methods using the SD of CT numbers. However, these methods for comparing noise texture are not sufficient for texture analysis.

---

**Table 1. Quantitative Analysis Showing the Appropriate Percentages of ASIR According to Noise, Spatial Resolution, Contrast Resolution, and Texture Features**

| Scanning protocols | Dose reduction percentage (%) | mAs | kVp | Noise* | Spatial resolution† | Low contrast resolution* | Image analysis (%) | Adequate percentage of ASIR (%) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
|                    | 60.0                          | 100 | 120 | 60     | 20                  | 60                     | 60                 | 60 60 60 60 60 NA             |
|                    | 40.0                          | 150 | 120 | 40     | 40                  | 40                     | 40                 | 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40     |
|                    | 20.0                          | 200 | 120 | 40     | 40                  | 40                     | 40                 | 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 |
|                    | 36.9                          | 250 | 80  | 80     | 20                  | NA                    | 80                 | 80 80 80 80 80 NA             |
|                    | 66.3                          | 250 | 100 | 40     | 40                  | NA                    | 40                 | 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 |

ASM, angular second momentum; IDM, inverse difference moment; COR, correlation; CON, contrast; ENT, entropy; NA, not applicable; ASIR, adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction.

*Minimum value of ASIR blending.
†Maximum value of ASIR blending.
‡Closest value to the reference image.
Other methods such as noise spectrum or histogram analysis can be used to perform texture analysis; however, these methods are often time consuming and inaccessible to radiologists. Additionally, studies have yet to indicate that these methods are sufficient for texture analysis of CT images. Therefore, although it is not a well-established analysis technology for CT images, the GLCM method, which was previously used as a purely mathematical calculation, has been used to perform texture analysis. Moreover, we hypothesized that if original images and ASIR images can be shown to have similar texture parameters through the GLCM method, the results will correctly identify the similarity of the two images.

In terms of the overall quantitative assessment in our phantom study, image noise and low-contrast resolution set the minimum limit of the ASIR blending percentage, and spatial resolution set the maximum limit. In terms of texture analysis, each quantitative parameter had the closest value to the reference image (i.e., the standard-dose CT image). In the 100-mAs protocol (dose reduction of 60.0%) and the 80-kVp protocol (dose reduction of 36.9%), there was a discrepancy between the minimum percentage of ASIR that needed to be applied to compensate for increased noise and decreased low-contrast resolution and the maximum percentage of ASIR needed to maintain adequate spatial resolution. Therefore, there was no adequate ASIR blending percentage for those two protocols. For the 100-kVp protocol (dose reduction of 66.3%), 40% ASIR performed well for both the noise and spatial resolution standards. Four of five texture parameters also manifested image textures similar to the standard-dose CT image, but the IDM showed a texture similar to that of the standard image in 20% ASIR blending. The 200-mAs (dose reduction of 20.0%) and 150-mAs (dose reduction of 40.0%) protocols satisfied the image quality standards with 20% and 40% of ASIR, respectively. Between the two quantitatively qualifying protocols, the 150-mAs protocol with 40% ASIR blending seemed to be more appropriate when considering the radiation dose reduction effect. This result was similar to that of a previous study which compared the noise spectrum curve of FBP and ASIR images in a water phantom and showed that applying 40% ASIR was considered optimal when the radiation dose is reduced to 50%.

Several limitations of our study merit consideration. First, this study was performed using a phantom. Considering that the image quality of a low-dose CT might manifest differently according to the BMI of patients, the results of our study should be confirmed with patient data in the future. Although we have not proven the diagnostic performance with patients, as long as the aim of the study was to analyze image quality that is affected by the reconstruction methods, we expect that this study can provide a quantitative method to evaluate dose reduction protocols with different reconstruction methods in future studies with patients or an anthropomorphic phantom. Second, images with similar textures to standard-dose CT images were selected for choosing the optimal ASIR blending percentage. However, a similar texture to the reference image does not directly result in better diagnostic accuracy, and the relationship between image texture and diagnostic accuracy should be confirmed in future studies.

In conclusion, both noise and spatial resolution decreased with an increase in the percentage of ASIR, whereas low-contrast resolution increased with an increase in the percentage of ASIR. In texture analysis, an increase in the percentage of ASIR resulted in an increase of ASM, IDM and correlation and in a decrease in contrast and entropy. Applying 40% ASIR to FBP images of 40% reduced time tube-current product (from 250 mAs to 150 mAs) satisfied standard image quality and texture expectations in quantitative ways.
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