Identification of Unanticipated and Novel N-Acyl L-Homoserine Lactones (AHLs) Using a Sensitive Non-Targeted LC-MS/MS Method
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Abstract

N-acyl L-homoserine lactones (AHLs) constitute a predominant class of quorum-sensing signaling molecules used by Gram-negative bacteria. Here, we report a sensitive and non-targeted HPLC-MS/MS method based on parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) to identify and quantitate known, unanticipated, and novel AHLs in microbial samples. Using a hybrid quadrupole-high resolution mass analyzer, this method integrates MS scans and all-ion fragmentation MS/MS scans to allow simultaneous detection of AHL parent-ion masses and generation of full mass spectra at high resolution and high mass accuracy in a single chromatographic run. We applied this method to screen for AHL production in a variety of Gram-negative bacteria (i.e. B. cepacia, E. tarda, E. carotovora, E. herbicola, P. stewartii, P. aeruginosa, P. aureofaciens, and R. sphaeroides) and discovered that nearly all of them produce a larger set of AHLs than previously reported. Furthermore, we identified production of an uncommon AHL (i.e. 3-oxo-C7-HL) in E. carotovora and P. stewartii, whose production has only been previously observed within the genera Serratia and Yersinia. Finally, we used our method to quantitate AHL degradation in B. cepacia, E. carotovora, E. herbicola, P. stewartii, P. aeruginosa, P. aureofaciens, the non-AHL producer E. coli, and the Gram-positive bacterium B. subtilis. We found that AHL degradation ability varies widely across these microbes, of which B. subtilis and E. carotovora are the best degraders, and observed that there is a general trend for AHLs containing long acyl chains (>10 carbons) to be degraded at faster rates than AHLs with short acyl chains (<6 carbons).

Introduction

Quorum sensing (QS) is a widespread form of cell-to-cell communication that allows bacteria to sense their surrounding population density and coordinate the production of secondary metabolites and virulence factors, bioluminescence, and biofilm formation [1]. Bacterial QS involves production of signaling molecules known as autoinducers that are released into the extracellular environment [2]. In Gram-negative
bacteria, the most prevalent QS system is the LuxI/LuxR QS system, which is based on the production of N-acyl L-homoserine lactone (AHL) signals [3–6]. In this system, LuxI protein homologs synthesize AHLs; once these signals reach a threshold concentration in a given environment (corresponding to a “quorate” population of cells), they productively bind to their cognate intracellular LuxR-type receptor/activator proteins to induce expression of QS regulated genes. AHLs are small diffusible signaling molecules characterized by a five-membered lactone ring and linear acyl tail of variable length (typically 4 to 14 carbons), which can have an oxo or hydroxyl group at the C-3 position (S1 Table). Over two dozen such AHL have been characterized to date, and there are likely more to be discovered [7–9].

The LuxI/LuxR QS system was initially discovered in the marine bacterium *Vibrio fischeri* [10,11]. Since then, AHL-dependent communication has been reported in many other gram-negative bacteria [12–18]. Screening for the presence of AHLs is commonly done with the aid of biosensor strains, which lack the LuxI homolog but contain an active LuxR-type receptor [19]. Upon binding of AHLs to the LuxR-type receptor, transcription of a reporter gene is induced, resulting in a phenotypic change (e.g., bioluminescence, β-galactosidase production, etc.). Recently, GFP-based biosensors in conjunction with thin-layer chromatography have resulted in improved sensitivity of AHL detection [20]. However, such biosensor strains can present challenges for novel AHL detection. Since they rely on the specificity of a given LuxR-type receptor for a particular AHL, biosensors are likely to miss detection of AHLs with different or novel structures. Additional limitations include their (i) failure to detect AHLs at concentrations below the activation threshold of the biosensors and (ii) general inability to provide accurate AHL quantitation. Therefore, more encompassing and sensitive methods beyond biosensor strains are needed to permit the detection and quantitation of the full set of AHLs produced by bacteria.

Liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectroscopy (HPLC-MS) can be a powerful technique to detect AHLs. For example, targeted LC-MS methods have been used to identify AHLs in *Nitrosomonas europaea*, *Pseudomonas aureofaciens*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, and *Pantoea stewartii* [15,21–24]. Furthermore, MS/MS analyses have proven useful in the characterization of AHLs with novel tail structures, such as an unsaturated aliphatic tail in *Methylobacterium extorquens* and *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* or the branched aliphatic tail in *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* [17,25,26]. However, despite the greater intrinsic sensitivity of MS, previous LC-MS methods utilized for AHL characterization have been targeted methods that rely on selected reaction monitoring (SRM) and therefore were not designed to screen for novel AHLs [24,27–29].

In the current study, we report a sensitive and non-targeted HPLC-MS/MS method based on parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) to identify and measure known, unanticipated, and novel AHLs in microbial samples. Making use of a hybrid quadrupole-orbitrap mass analyzer, the analytical method presented here integrates full-scan MS with successive all-ion fragmentation (AIF) MS/MS scans to allow parallel detection of AHL parent-ion masses and full mass spectra at high resolution and high mass accuracy within a single chromatographic run. We applied this method to screen for AHL production in a variety of Gram-negative bacteria under different growth conditions. In nearly all of the bacteria examined, we observed unanticipated production (i.e., not previously reported in the literature) of known AHLs. We also utilized this new MS-based method to investigate and quantitate AHL degradation capabilities across diverse bacteria.

**Results**

**Development of a non-targeted LC-MS/MS method for detection of unanticipated and novel AHLs**

**Quantitation of native and non-native AHLs by LC-MS.** As the initial component of a non-targeted method for the identification of unknown AHLs, we first developed a sensitive
non-targeted LC-MS method for detection and quantitation of native and non-native AHL standards. We used ultra-high-pressure reverse-phase liquid chromatography coupled to a high-resolution mass spectrometer operating in full-scan mode. HPLC was coupled to MS via positive electrospray ionization (+ESI). Under these conditions, the dominant pseudo-molecular ion observed for all AHL standards was $[M + H]^+$. Identification of each AHL was established based on its retention time and the exact mass of its singly protonated species.

We used a combination of 23 native and non-native AHL standards to determine limits-of-detection (LOD) and chromatographic retention times (S1 Table). Non-native AHLs contained structurally diverse variations to the basic AHL structure, such as aryl or branched aliphatic tails, and were included to broaden the scope of our method to AHLs with unconventional structures. In addition, we also included in our analyses a compound (mBTL) with close structural similarity to AHLs but containing a thiolactone ring instead of a lactone ring (S1 Table) [30].

Our chromatographic method yielded good separation and sharp/defined peaks for nearly all of the AHL standards (Fig 1). In Table 1, we summarize the results of the LC-MS method validation. The mass accuracy for all standards was within 3 ppm (parts per million). The median LOD was 2.3 nM, with 19 AHL standards having a LOD of less than 15 nM. The LOD was established as the lowest concentration of the standard at which signal was at least 3 times higher than background noise. Linearity was examined over a 100-fold concentration range; the median $R^2$ value was $>0.99$, indicating a good linear response (S1 Fig). The quantitative reproducibility (intra-day), tested at a median compound concentration of 0.1 uM, had a median value of ~7% (Table 1).

**AHL fragmentation spectra library.** We next generated a MS/MS fragmentation spectra library to serve as the basis for non-targeted detection of AHLs. Based on analyses of fragmentation spectra of native and non-native AHLs standards, we identified four characteristic fragments originating from the lactone ring in AHLs (Fig 2A). The masses for these species were 102.055, 84.045, 74.061, and 56.050 m/z. The 102.055 m/z fragment corresponds to the lactone...
ring itself, while the rest were characteristic fragments arising from fragmentation of the lactone ring. In addition to these fragments, we identified other common fragmentation patterns in AHL standards. As shown in Fig 2B and 2C, these fragmentation patterns differed depending on whether or not an oxo group was present at the third carbon in the acyl chain of AHLs. Fragmentation spectra of selected AHL standards are shown in Fig 2D (the full set of spectra is shown in S2 Fig).

As shown in Fig 3, all of the native AHL standards, and nearly all of the non-native AHL standards, displayed a prominent 102.055 m/z fragment. The second and third most prominent fragments were lactone fragments, present also in nearly all AHL standards, with 74.061 m/z and 56.050 m/z, respectively. The compound mBTL, a non-AHL standard with a thiolactone ring, produced a 118 m/z fragment, corresponding to the thiolactone ring and analogous to the 102.055 m/z species originating from the lactone ring in AHLs.

**LC-MS/MS detection of unanticipated and novel AHLs.** Our next goal was to develop a non-targeted method for AHL detection based on the characteristic fragmentation patterns of the lactone ring. We designed our method to perform an MS1 full-scan (100 to 510 m/z, no

### Table 1. Limits of detection and retention times of AHL standards.

| Standard* | Property | nM ** | pg | Theoretical mass *** | Observed mass | Retention time (min) | R² | RSD |
|-----------|----------|-------|----|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|----|-----|
| C4-HL     | Native   | 11.3  | 7.81 | 172.097              | 172.097       | 1.9                  | 0.996 | 3.043 |
| C6-HL     | Native   | 2.0   | 1.56 | 200.128              | 200.128       | 5.0                  | 0.999 | 7.900 |
| 3-oxo-C6-HL | Native | 0.9   | 0.78 | 214.107              | 214.107       | 3.4                  | 0.997 | 8.416 |
| C7-HL     | Native   | 0.6   | 0.55 | 214.144              | 214.144       | 5.6                  | 0.999 | 6.944 |
| C8-HL     | Native   | 8.6   | 7.81 | 228.159              | 228.159       | 6.0                  | 0.999 | 6.881 |
| 3-oxo-C8-HL | Native | 8.1   | 7.81 | 242.139              | 242.138       | 5.3                  | 1.000 | 8.830 |
| C10-HL    | Native   | 0.6   | 0.64 | 256.191              | 256.190       | 6.7                  | 0.999 | 7.666 |
| 3-OH-C10-HL | Native | 229.6 | 250 | 272.186              | 272.185       | 6.2                  | 1.000 | 5.025 |
| 3-oxo-C10-HL | Native | 14.5  | 15.63| 270.170              | 270.170       | 6.1                  | 1.000 | 5.506 |
| C12-HL    | Native   | 219.9 | 250 | 284.222              | 284.222       | 7.3                  | 0.959 | 5.966 |
| 3-oxo-C12-HL | Native | 2.6   | 3.13 | 298.201              | 298.201       | 6.8                  | 0.987 | 8.899 |
| 9,10-cis-C14-HL | Native | 805.8 | 1000 | 310.238              | 310.237       | 7.5                  | 0.911 | 6.062 |
| 3-oxo-7,8-cis-C14-HL | Native | 385.5 | 500 | 324.217              | 324.217       | 7.0                  | 0.981 | 6.167 |
| 3-oxo-11,12-cis-C16-HL | Native | 2838.9 | 4000 | 352.248              | 352.248       | 7.5                  | 0.947 | 3.818 |
| 9,10-cis-C18-HL | Native | 10.7  | 15.63| 366.300              | 366.300       | 9.6                  | 0.976 | 9.186 |
| C1        | Non-native | 0.9    | 0.78 | 220.097              | 220.097       | 4.5                  | 0.999 | 6.186 |
| C2        | Non-native | 0.8    | 0.78 | 238.087              | 238.087       | 4.7                  | 0.997 | 7.199 |
| C10       | Non-native | 0.6    | 0.78 | 345.994              | 345.993       | 5.6                  | 0.998 | 5.347 |
| C13       | Non-native | 0.7    | 0.78 | 265.082              | 265.082       | 4.5                  | 1.000 | 7.313 |
| S2        | Non-native | 2.7    | 3.13 | 284.222              | 284.222       | 6.9                  | 0.995 | 8.293 |
| S4        | Non-native | 1.0    | 0.78 | 198.112              | 198.112       | 4.3                  | 1.000 | 7.120 |
| R5        | Non-native | 0.7    | 0.78 | 292.154              | 292.154       | 6.4                  | 0.999 | 7.214 |
| Ctrl 6    | Non-native | 0.8    | 0.78 | 248.128              | 248.128       | 5.6                  | 1.000 | 7.127 |
| mBTL      | Non-AHL   | 0.5    | 0.78 | 358.011              | 358.010       | 6.3                  | 1.000 | 7.863 |

* See S1 Table for molecular structures.
** Using a 4 μL injection volume.
*** Theoretical mass corresponds to the pseudo-molecular ion [M + H]+.
R²: correlation coefficient for linearity of AHL measurements over a 100-fold concentration range (see also S1 Fig).
RSD: Relative standard deviation (intra-day), tested at a median compound concentration of 0.1 μM.
fragmentation) together with a series of MS/MS scans (all-ion fragmentation) that divided the m/z range into partially overlapping windows of 40 m/z each. The MS1 full-scan provides data on [M + H]⁺ pseudo-molecular ions, while the MS/MS scans provide corresponding (matched by retention time) fragmentation spectra, all obtained within a single chromatographic run.

Using this method, identification of known AHLs is based on their retention time, the exact mass of their [M + H]⁺ pseudo-molecular ions, and their characteristic MS/MS fragmentation pattern. In turn, the identification of unanticipated/novel AHLs is performed by identifying characteristic fragments of the lactone ring in MS/MS scans. The lactone ring fragment...
and at least one other characteristic lactone ring fragment have to be present and co-elute for a molecule to be considered as a potentially novel AHL. Candidates of the parent ion of the putative AHL can then be identified from the MS full-scan. Final confirmation is then performed by an additional chromatographic run where potential parent ions are isolated and analyzed via parallel reaction monitoring (PRM).

AHL quantitation can be performed using either the signal (extracted ion chromatogram peak area) of the \([M + H]^+\) pseudo-molecular ion obtained from the MS1 scan or using the signal from the lactone ring fragment (102.055 m/z) obtained from the MS2 scan. While nearly all standards could be quantitated based on the exact masses of their pseudo-molecular ion without any significant interference, we did observe a low-level contaminant with the same mass and retention time as 3-OH-C10-HL that interfered with accurate quantitation. Our HPLC-MS/MS method allowed for identification of 3-OH-C10-HL and its differentiation from the contaminant based on its AHL characteristic fragments. Therefore, 3-OH-C10-HL could be quantified based on its lactone ring fragment (102.055 m/z) rather than its \([M + H]^+\) pseudo-molecular ion.

| Standard       | 102.055 | 84.045 | 74.061 | 56.050 |
|---------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| C4-HL         | 100     | -      | 35     | 5      |
| C6-HL         | 95      | -      | 35     | 5      |
| 3-oxo-C6-HL   | 100     | 5      | 15     | 15     |
| C7-HL         | 100     | -      | 35     | 5      |
| C8-HL         | 100     | -      | 35     | 5      |
| 3-oxo-C8-HL   | 100     | 3      | 20     | 19     |
| C10-HL        | 100     | -      | 34     | 5      |
| 3-OH-C10-HL   | 100     | 5      | 15     | 5      |
| 3-oxo-C10-HL  | 100     | 4      | 29     | 20     |
| C12-HL        | 60      | -      | 20     | 4      |
| 3-oxo-C12-HL  | 100     | 5      | 31     | 23     |
| 9,10-cis-C14-HL | 100   | -      | 10     | -      |
| 3-oxo-7,8-cis-C14-HL | 100 | -      | 10     | 15     |
| 3-oxo-11,12-cis-C16-HL | 100 | -      | 8      | 12     |
| 9,10-cis-C18-HL | 90   | -      | 8      | -      |
| C1            | 75      | 2      | 18     | 2      |
| C2            | 80      | 2      | 24     | 3      |
| C10           | 100     | 5      | 30     | 5      |
| C13           | 100     | 5      | 44     | 8      |
| S2            | 11      | -      | 10     | 3      |
| R5            | 7       | -      | 1      | -      |
| Ctrl-6        | 15      | -      | 5      | -      |

Fig 3. Relative abundance of characteristic lactone ring fragments obtained from MS/MS spectra of AHL standards.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163469.g003

(102.055 m/z) and at least one other characteristic lactone ring fragment have to be present and co-elute for a molecule to be considered as a potentially novel AHL. Candidates of the parent ion of the putative AHL can then be identified from the MS full-scan. Final confirmation is then performed by an additional chromatographic run where potential parent ions are isolated and analyzed via parallel reaction monitoring (PRM).
Detection of known and unanticipated AHLs in microbial samples

Quantitation of AHLs in bacterial samples. To test our non-targeted LC-MS/MS method, we followed AHL production under different growth conditions (e.g., different carbon sources) in a variety of Gram-negative bacteria: *Burkholderia cepacia, Edwardsiella tarda, Erwinia carotovora, Erwinia herbicola, Pantoea stewartii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Pseudomonas aureofaciens,* and *Rhodobacter sphaeroides.* All bacteria tested were known AHL producers [15,17,22,31–36]. AHL quantitation was performed by using two non-native AHLs, S2 and S4 (S1 Table), as internal standards that were added to spent media samples before performing liquid to liquid extraction (see Materials and Methods section).

Table 2 displays a summary of our results. In addition to detecting previously reported AHLs [4,13,15,17,22,31–39], we detected many unanticipated AHLs in all of the bacteria tested. For example, while *B. cepacia* is known to only produce C6-HL and C8-HL [10], we observed eight additional AHLs: C4-HL, C7-HL, 3-oxo-C8-HL, 3-oxo-C10-HL, C10-HL, 3-oxo-C10-HL,

| Bacterium                        | Expected AHL | Observed AHL (unanticipated in bold)  |
|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| *Burkholderia cepacia AMMO*      | C8-HL        | C8-HL                                 |
|                                  | C6-HL        | C6-HL                                 |
|                                  |              | 3-oxo-C8-HL                           |
|                                  |              | 3-oxo-C10-HL                          |
|                                  |              | C7-HL                                 |
|                                  |              | C4-HL                                 |
|                                  |              | 3-OH-C8-HL                            |
| *Edwardsiella tarda*             | C4-HL        | C8-HL                                 |
|                                  | C6-HL        | C6-HL                                 |
|                                  | C7-HL        | 3-Oxo-C6-HL                           |
|                                  |              | 3-oxo-C6-HL                           |
| *Erwinia carotovora*             |              | 3-oxo-C8-HL                           |
|                                  |              | 3-oxo-C10-HL                          |
| *Erwinia herbicola LS005*        | C4-HL        | C4-HL                                 |
|                                  |              | 3-oxo-C10-HL                          |
| *Pantoea stewartii*              | 3-oxo-C6-HL  | 3-oxo-C6-HL                           |
|                                  |              | 3-oxo-C8-HL                           |
|                                  |              | 3-OH-C8-HL                            |
| *Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA01*    | 3-oxo-C12-HL | 3-oxo-C12-HL                          |
|                                  | C4-HL        | C4-HL                                 |
|                                  | C6-HL        | C6-HL                                 |
|                                  | C8-HL        | C8-HL                                 |
|                                  | 3-OH-C10-HL  | 3-OH-C10-HL                           |
|                                  | 3-OH-C12-HL  |                                     |
|                                  | 3-oxo-C6-HL  |                                     |
| *Pseudomonas aureofaciens 30–84* | C6-HL        | C6-HL                                 |
|                                  |              | 3-OH-C8-HL                            |
| *Rhodobacter sphaeroides 2.4.1*  | 7,8-cis-C14-HL| 7,8-cis-C14-HL                        |
|                                  |              | C14-HL                                |
|                                  |              | 3-oxo-C14-HL                          |
|                                  |              | 3-OH-C14-HL                           |

*AHL concentrations are shown in S2 Table.
†Previously unreported AHLs in each bacterium are shown in bold.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163469.t002
3-OH-C10-HL, and 3-oxo-C12-HL. Similarly, *E. carotovora* and *P. stewartii* are known to only produce 3-oxo-C6-HL [4], but we observed the production of six and seven additional AHLs in these bacteria, respectively. These findings indicate that many AHLs are yet to be recognized even in microbes that have been previously screened for AHLs. Further, these results highlight the sensitivity of our non-targeted LC-MS/MS method.

We found that AHL production levels varied considerably within and across microbes (S2 Table). Measured AHL concentrations were as high as ~50 μM (for C4-HL in *P. aeruginosa*) to as low as ~1 nM (for C7-HL in *P. stewartii*). While most of the unanticipated AHLs were produced at low concentrations, explaining at least in part why they may not have been detected previously, a few of them were produced at higher levels than previously reported AHLs. For example, *E. carotovora* produced three unanticipated AHLs: 3-oxo-C10-HL, 3-oxo-C8-HL, and C8-HL, in higher amounts (~1, 4, and 10 μM, respectively) than the previously reported
3-oxo-C6-HL signal (~0.2 μM) [4]. Similarly, in *P. aureofaciens*, C4-HL, an unanticipated AHL for this bacterium, was produced at higher levels (~5 μM) than C6-HL (~10 nM), the previously reported native AHL signal [22].

**Detection of 3-oxo-C7-HL in *P. stewartii* and *E. carotovora***. Our method was developed for non-targeted analysis of AHLs, and it is therefore capable of identifying unknown AHLs. We sought to test this capability. Accordingly, we screened for the presence of novel AHLs in spent media samples (from all of the bacteria listed in Table 2) by identifying co-eluting characteristic fragments of the lactone ring (e.g., 102.055, 84.045, 74.061, and 56.050 m/z) in MS/MS scans. Our first criteria for the identification of putative novel AHLs was the presence of a prominent 102.055 m/z peak in MS/MS scans and at least one other characteristic lactone ring fragment that could not be matched to the pseudo-molecular ion mass (given by MS1 scans) and retention time of any known AHLs.

Although we did not identify AHLs with novel structures in any of the bacteria that we screened, we detected production of a rare AHL, 3-oxo-C7-HL, in *E. carotovora* and *P. stewartii*. Production of 3-oxo-C7-HL has only been previously reported in *Serratia plymuthica* and *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* [40–42]. We used the detection and MS/MS characterization of this uncommon AHL as an example of how our method would work at identifying novel AHLs. MS1 scans identified 228.123 m/z as the putative parent ion corresponding to 3-oxo-C7-HL. To show that this [M + H]⁺ pseudo-molecular ion corresponded to 3-oxo-C7-HL, we performed an additional targeted MS/MS fragmentation analysis on this ion that corroborated the appearance of the characteristic lactone ring fragments 102.055 and 74.061 m/z. The exact mass of the [M + H]⁺ pseudo-molecular ion, 228.123 m/z, can be matched to a single molecular formula: C₁₁H₁₈NO₄, which corresponds to 3-oxo-C7-HL, an AHL with a tail of seven carbons and an oxo group at carbon-3 position.

Confirmation of the molecular formula and structure of 3-oxo-C7-HL was based on MS/MS fragmentation spectra in combination with ¹³C-carbon and ¹⁵N-nitrogen labeling (Fig 4). When *P. stewartii* and *E. carotovora* were grown on uniformly labeled ¹³C-glucose as its sole carbon source, the mass of the putative AHL (3-oxo-C7-HL) shifted from 228.123 to 239.160. This represented a difference of 11.037 mass units that confirmed the presence of 11 carbons in this molecule. Similarly, when cells were grown on ¹⁵N-ammonia, we observed a mass shift from 228.123 to 229.120, a difference of 0.997 mass units that confirmed the presence of a single nitrogen. We used the MS/MS spectra obtained in non-labeled, ¹³C-labeled, and ¹⁵N-labeled media to corroborate the structure of this AHL. The MS/MS spectra in non-labeled media displayed a dominant 102.055 peak corresponding to the lactone ring and another prominent characteristic lactone ring fragmentation peak: 74.061. Based on their exact mass and the mass shifts observed in ¹³C- and ¹⁵N-media, we deduced molecular formulas and structures for the rest of the fragments in the mass spectrum. In agreement with our AHL fragmentation library, the rest of the peaks in the spectrum corresponded to the expected AHL fragments originating from the tail alone or from the tail plus a fragment of the lactone ring. The identity of all MS/MS peaks was further confirmed by the spectra obtained from ¹³C-labeled and ¹⁵N-labeled samples, which respectively corroborated the number of carbons and the presence of nitrogen in each fragment (Fig 4C).

Since a standard for 3-oxo-C7-HL was not available, we estimated its concentration based on the average response factors of 3-oxo-C6-HL and 3-oxo-C8-HL. Based on this approximation, 3-oxo-C7-HL production was ~240 nM and ~10 nM in *E. carotovora* and *P. stewartii*, respectively.

Comparison of spectra of 3-oxo-C7-HL against AHLs with similar structures (3-oxo-C6-HL, 3-oxo-C8-HL, and C7-HL) revealed that, as would be expected, 3-oxo-C7-HL had a fragmentation pattern more similar to 3-oxo-C6-HL and 3-oxo-C8-HL than to C7-HL (S4
AHL degradation by diverse bacteria at 24h:

| Standard       | B. cepacia | B. subtilis | E. carotovora | E. herbicola | E. coli | P. stewartii | P. aerofaciens | P. aeruginosa |
|----------------|------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|---------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| C4-HL          | -          | -           | -              | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |
| C6-HL          | -          | +           | -              | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |
| 3-oxo-C6-HL    | -          | -           | ++             | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |
| C7-HL          | -          | -           | -              | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |
| C8-HL          | -          | -           | -              | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |
| 3-oxo-C8-HL    | ++         | +           | -              | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |
| C10-HL         | +          | +++         | ++             | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |
| 3-oxo-C10-HL   | -          | +++         | +              | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |
| C12-HL         | +          | +++         | ++             | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |
| 3-oxo-C12-HL   | ++         | +++         | ++             | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |
| 3-oxo-7,8-cis-C14-HL | +  | +++       | ++             | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |
| C1 (non-native AHL) | -   | -           | -              | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |
| C2 (non-native AHL) | -   | -           | -              | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |
| C10 (non-native AHL) | -  | -           | -              | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |
| C15 (non-native AHL) | -  | -           | -              | -            | -       | -            | -              | -            |

Fig 5. AHL degradation by bacteria. (A) AHL standards were added at 1 μM concentration to exponentially growing cultures and AHL degradation was measured after 24 hours. The amount of AHL degradation at 24 hours is shown as: ++++, >90% degradation; ++ 60–90% degradation; +, 30–60% degradation; -, less than 30% degradation. (B) Dynamic AHL degradation in selected bacteria. AHLs (10 μM each) were added to exponentially growing cultures and AHL degradation was monitored over time. Data represents the average of three biological replicates.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163469.g005

For example, 102.055 m/z corresponded to the largest peak in the spectra of 3-oxo-C6-HL, 3-oxo-C7-HL, and 3-oxo-C8-HL, while a non-lactone ring fragment was the predominant peak in C7-HL.

**AHL degradation**

**Detection of hydrolyzed AHLs.** Degradation of AHLs by AHL-lactonase enzymes, which hydrolyze the lactone bond to produce corresponding N-acyl homoserines, is an important and debated mechanism of “quorum quenching” in bacteria [3,19,43–53]. We considered that our non-targeted LC-MS/MS method could also be used for monitoring this type of enzymatic
degradation. To evaluate this, we tested our method on our set of AHL standards after they were hydrolyzed by incubation in 1 M NaOH.

We found that hydrolysis of the lactone ring can be readily assessed by our method without any modifications (S5 Fig). AHL hydrolysis could be determined by: i) an increase in the mass of the [M + H]⁺ pseudo-molecular ion by 18.011 mass units (corresponding to water addition), ii) the appearance of a characteristic 120.065 m/z peak in the MS/MS spectrum that corresponded to the hydrolyzed lactone ring fragment, and ii) a small shift (~10 s) towards a shorter retention time. Interestingly, we found that all hydrolyzed AHLs still displayed a prominent 102.055 peak and other characteristic lactone ring fragment peaks in their mass spectra, which we attribute to loss of water and reconstitution of the lactone ring during fragmentation in the mass spectrometer.

We observed that AHL hydrolysis occurs naturally in some of the bacterial cultures that we analyzed (i.e., *B. cepacia* and *R. spheroides*). Specifically, *B. cepacia* cultures displayed significant hydrolysis of C6-HL during stationary phase (up to ~40% hydrolysis). Similarly, significant hydrolysis of 7,8-cis-C14-HL (~70% hydrolysis) was observed in stationary phase *R. spheroides* cultures.

**AHL degradation by bacteria.** Finally, we used our LC-MS/MS method to investigate AHL degradation by different AHL producers: *B. cepacia*, *E. carotovora*, *E. herbicola*, *P. stewartii*, *P. aureofaciens*, and *P. aeruginosa*; and in two non-AHL producing bacteria: the Gram-positive bacterium *B. subtilis* and the Gram-negative bacterium *E. coli*. Many of these bacteria are reported to contain AHL degrading enzymes, such as AHL lactonases or AHL acylases, that cleave the lactone ring or the AHL amide, respectively [47,54]. To test the AHL degradation capabilities of these bacteria, we added a mix of 15 AHL standards (both native and non-native at 1 μM each) to mid-exponential cultures and monitored AHL degradation over time. To discriminate between degradation of endogenously produced AHLs vs. degradation of added AHL standards, all AHL producers were grown in media with 15N-labeled ammonia, which labeled all endogenously produced AHLs. Media without cells was used as a control to correct for spontaneous degradation.

We observed wide variability in the AHL degradation abilities of the tested bacteria (Fig 5A). By 24 hours, we observed significant AHL degradation by *B. cepacia*, *B. subtilis*, *E. carotovora*, *P. aureofaciens*, and *P. aeruginosa*. Interestingly, *E. herbicola*, *P. stewartii*, and *E. coli* did not appreciably degrade any AHLs. Among the bacteria that displayed AHL degradation capabilities, we observed a general preference towards the degradation of long tail AHLs vs. short tail AHLs (Fig 5A). For example, only *E. carotovora* was capable of degrading the short tail 3-oxo-C6-HL; and none of the tested bacteria were capable of degrading C4-HL, C6-HL, C7-HL, or C8-HL. In contrast, several bacteria were capable of degrading longer tail AHLs such as C10-HL, 3-oxo-C10-HL, 3-oxo-C12-HL, C12-HL, and others. Interestingly, none of the microbes were capable of degrading any of the non-native AHLs tested (Fig 5A). We did not find a measurable accumulation of hydrolyzed AHLs in any of these experiments, indicating that AHL degradation proceeds beyond simple hydrolysis of the lactone ring in all degrading bacteria.

**Fig 5B** shows a detailed AHL degradation profile for some of the best degraders (i.e., *B. subtilis*, *E. carotovora*, *P. aureofaciens*, and *P. aeruginosa*). AHLs against which these microbes displayed the best degradation were added at 10 μM concentrations (non-native AHL C2 was added as a negative control). All of these microbes, with the exception of *E. carotovora*, displayed different degradation rates for different AHLs. For example, *B. subtilis* degraded 3-oxo-7,8-cis-C14-HL, C12-HL, and 3-oxo-C12-HL, at higher rates than 3-oxo-C8-HL; *P. aeruginosa* degraded C12-HL faster than 3-oxo-7,8-cis-C14-HL or C10-HL. In contrast *E. carotovora* degraded AHLs at nearly the same rate.
We were interested in correlating the observed AHL degradation capabilities of AHL degrading bacteria with the presence of known AHL degrading enzymes (i.e., AHL-lactonases and AHL-acylases) [47]. *P. aeruginosa* is known to contain at least two AHL-acylases: PvdQ and QuiP [55,56]. PvdQ and QuiP are both reported to preferentially degrade AHLs with long acyl-chains, which agrees well with our results [54,55]. Additionally, *P. aeruginosa* contains genes for two other putative acylases, *pa1893* and *pa0305*. While *pa0305* encodes a highly efficient acylase that has activity against long-chain AHLs, not much is known regarding the function of *pa1893* [57]. *B. subtilis* is known to possess an AHL-lactonase, AiiA, which was shown to have activity against various AHLs [49,58,59]. AHL degrading enzymes have not been identified in *B. cepacia*, *E. carotovora*, or *P. aureofaciens*. A genome search revealed that *B. cepacia* possesses a homologue gene (Genbank accession CP003775) with 74% similarity to AttM, an AHL-lactonase from *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* [60]. In *P. aureofaciens*, we found a homologue gene (Genbank accession WP_041984058) with 58% similarity to *P. aeruginosa*’s PvdQ [55]. We did not find any lactonase or acylase homologues in *E. carotovora*, despite the AHL degradation activity displayed by this microbe.

**Discussion**

We report herein a non-targeted HPLC-MS/MS method for detecting and quantitating AHLs. This method identifies AHLs based on the characteristic MS/MS fragmentation pattern of the lactone ring and can therefore be employed towards screening bacterial samples for novel AHLs. As we have shown, our method can also be used to investigate AHL degradation by bacteria. The limits of detection for most AHLs are in the low nanomolar range, which is lower than typical levels of AHLs found in bacterial samples (0.1–10 μM) [22]. This threshold provides confidence that our method will detect, in a non-biased manner, most of the AHLs produced by any given bacteria, provided that the right growth conditions for AHL production are fulfilled. Although we used liquid-liquid extraction prior to AHL measurement in most of the experiments shown here, our method is sensitive enough that quantitation of predominant AHLs can be performed directly from a small volume (10 μL) of spent media without the need for extraction or sample concentration.

We note that other methods have been reported recently that utilize LC-MS/MS for quantitation of AHLs; however, they have been SRM-based methods that do not easily allow for the non-targeted identification of AHLs [24,27–29]. The method reported here also delivers a higher confidence in AHL identification relative to these prior methods by providing high-resolution AHL mass spectra. Even though our method was developed using a hybrid quadrupole/orbitrap-mass-analyzer, it can be readily implemented on other commonly available platforms such as hybrid quadrupole/time-of-flight mass spectrometers. As such, we believe that it could be adopted in a range of research settings.

**Detection of AHLs in bacterial samples**

We applied our non-targeted LC-MS/MS method to quantitate AHL production in a variety of Gram-negative bacteria under different growth conditions. In addition to detecting AHLs previously known to be produced by each of these bacteria, we also identified unanticipated AHLs in most of them (Table 2). One possible reason for detecting numerous unanticipated AHLs may be the high sensitivity of our method, which allows for the detection of AHLs even when present at low concentrations. Also, a non-targeted screening such as the one used in this study has not been performed before in many of the tested bacteria, and therefore such diversity of AHL production was not previously observed [4,13,15,17,22,31–39]. Based on these findings, we consider likely that many Gram-negative bacteria produce a larger number of AHLs than...
reported so far in the literature. Our results also showed that for any given microbe, the same set of AHLs was produced across all growth conditions tested; variations in AHL levels were correlated to final cell density across all media conditions. The ability of most of the unanticipated AHLs that we report here at activating biosensors has been previously demonstrated [19,31,44,61–63].

Along with these unanticipated AHLs, we identified production of a rare AHL, 3-oxo-C7-HL, in P. stewartii and E. carotovora. We confirmed the structure of this uncommon AHL based on its MS/MS fragmentation spectra (in combination with 13C- and 15N-isotope labeling). AHLs usually have even number of carbons in the side-chain as they are constructed by LuxI-type enzymes from fatty acid-derived building blocks (i.e., as acylated acyl carrier proteins), but this AHL has an odd number of carbons. Further studies are required to determine the origins and physiological relevance/function of this type of AHL in these and other bacteria. Only few bacteria have been reported to produce AHLs with side-chains consisting of odd number of carbons [38,40–42,64,65].

**AHL degradation**

As the last stage of our study, we investigated degradation of 15 AHLs, both native and non-native, in eight different bacteria using our MS method. Five of these bacteria (i.e., B. cepacia, B. subtilis, E. carotovora, P. aeruginosa, and P. aureofaciens) were found capable of degrading AHLs, and each degraded a different set of AHLs at distinct rates. E. coli, E. herbicola, and P. stewartii, in contrast, did not degrade any AHLs. In general, long-chain AHLs were preferentially degraded vs. short-chain AHLs in all degrading microbes, and none were found to degrade non-native AHLs. The latter result bodes well for the use of such non-native AHLs as chemical probes to study QS processes in these bacteria [66]. Of the five bacteria with AHL degradation activity, only B. subtilis and P. aeruginosa are known to contain AHL degrading enzymes. P. aeruginosa was shown to degrade long-chain AHLs (including 3-oxo-C12-HL), but not short-chain AHLs, which conforms to our results [54–56]. B. subtilis and few other Bacillus sp. contain AHL lactonase that has activity against many AHLs, regardless of length of AHL [58,59]. This is in contrast to our results, as we observed no activity against short-chain AHLs in B. subtilis.

To close, we predict the LC-MS/MS method developed in this study should prove useful for non-targeted identification and quantitation of known AHLs and the discovery of novel AHLs produced by bacteria; the increasing availability of hybrid quadrupole/mass spectrometers should widen and facilitate its implementation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals and AHL standard mix**

The AHL standards N-heptanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (C7-HL) and N-3-(oxododecanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone (3-oxo-C12-HL) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The naturally occurring AHLs N-3-(oxotetradecanoyl)-7,8-cis-L-homoserine lactone (3-oxo-7,8-cis-C14-HL), N-3-(oxohexadecanoyl)-11,12-cis-L-homoserine lactone (3-oxo-11,12-cis-C16-HL), N-9,10-cis-octadec-L-homoserinelactone (9,10-cis-C18-HL), N-9,10-cis-tetradec-L-homoserine lactone (9,10-cis-C14-HL), N-3-hydroxydecanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (C4-HL), N-octanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (C8-HL), N-3-(oxodecanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone (3-oxo-C10-HL), N-hexanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (C6-HL), and N-dodecanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (C12-HL) were purchased from Cayman. The naturally occurring AHLs N-3-(oxohexanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone (3-oxo-C6-HL), N-3-(oxo-octanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone (3-oxo-C8-HL), and N-decanoyl-L-homoserine lactone
(C10-HL) and the non-native AHL derivatives C1, C2, C10, C13, R5, S2, S4, mBTL, and ctrl6 were synthesized as previously described using standard solution-phase amide coupling reactions [67,68]. The chemical structures of all AHLs are listed in S1 Table. HPLC-grade acetonitrile, methanol, and ethyl acetate were purchased from Fluka. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. HPLC-grade water was purchased from Fisher Scientific. Acetic acid was purchased from Chem-Impex International. Initial stocks were made by dissolving AHL standards into DMSO and further dilutions were done in HPLC-grade water.

Bacterial strains and growth

*Burkholderia cepacia* AMMO, *Erwinia carotovora*, *Erwinia herbicola* LS005, *Pantoea stewartii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 was provided by Helen Blackwell at UW-Madison. *Edwardsiella tarda* was provided by Federico Rey at UW-Madison. *Bacillus subtilis* 168 was provided by Jade Wang at UW-Madison. *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* was provided by Timothy Donohue at UW-Madison.

Screening of AHL production by *B. cepacia*, *E. carotovora*, *E. herbicola*, *P. stewartii*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *B. subtilis* was performed in six different carbon sources (1% w/v glucose, 10 mM citrate, 0.5% w/v glycerol, 0.2% w/v acetate, 0.2% w/v mannitol, or 10 mM succinate) using two different salt compositions (AB or M9). AB medium contained 0.4 g/l \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4\), 1.2 g/l \(\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4\), 3 g/l \(\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4\), 3 g/l \(\text{NaCl}\), 0.1 mM \(\text{CaCl}_2\), 1 mM \(\text{MgCl}_2\), and 3 \(\mu\text{M}\) \(\text{FeCl}_3\). M9 medium contained 12.8 g/l \(\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4\).7\(\text{H}_2\text{O}\), 3 g/l \(\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4\), 0.5 g/l \(\text{NaCl}\), 1 g/l \(\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}\), 2 mM \(\text{MgSO}_4\), and 0.1 mM \(\text{CaCl}_2\). Bacterial cultures were started by inoculating a single colony from an LB plate into 5 mL of LB media and grown for 24 hours at 28°C. Next, a 1/1000 dilution of the LB overnight culture was done into minimal media and grown aerobically at 28°C with constant shaking (200 rpm). Spent media samples for AHL quantitation were collected at various time points during growth (i.e., exponential and stationary phase) via centrifugation (14000 rpm, 10 min) and stored at -80°C until further analysis. Samples for AHL quantitation in *E. tarda* and *R. sphaeroides* were collected similarly with the following exceptions: *E. tarda* was grown anaerobically in mega media at 37°C as previously described [69]. *R. sphaeroides* was grown anaerobically in SIS media under incandescent light illumination using succinate as carbon source as previously described [70]. All samples for AHL quantitation were collected at stationary phase in triplicate from three parallel cultures.

Sample preparation

For HPLC-MS analysis, liquid-to-liquid extraction was performed on spent media. After thawing, 250 \(\mu\)L of spent media was extracted three times with 500 \(\mu\)L of ethyl acetate acidified with 0.5% acetic acid. The ethyl acetate phase was collected (total volume 1.5 mL), dried under nitrogen gas, and re-suspended in 250 \(\mu\)L of 20% acetonitrile. Prior to liquid-to-liquid extraction, non-native AHLs S2 and S4 were spiked into samples at concentrations of 3.518 nM and 0.2524 nM, respectively, to correct for extraction efficiency and to serve as internal standards for quantitation. AHLs concentrations were obtained using external calibration curves (S1 Fig) or calculated using internal standards S2 and S4. S3 Table shows the response factors of AHLs against the internal standards S2 and S4 used for quantitation. S3 Fig displays the correlation between AHL quantitation using external calibration curves vs. internal standards.

HPLC-MS/MS analysis

Aliquots (4 \(\mu\)L) of extracted sample in 20% acetonitrile were subjected to HPLC-MS/MS analysis. HPLC was performed on a Dionex UltiMate 3000 XRS system (Thermo Scientific) using a
C18 reverse-phase column (1.7 μm particle size, 2.1x50 mm; Acquity UPLC BEH). Solvent A consisted of 10:90 methanol:water with 5 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid, and solvent B was 100% methanol. The gradient profile for chromatography was as follows: 100% solvent A for 1 min, linear increase in solvent B to 90% over 4 min, isocratic 90% solvent B for 5.5 min, and then equilibration with 100% solvent A for 2 min. The flow rate was constant at 0.2 ml/min.

Compounds separated by HPLC were detected by heated electrospray ionization coupled to high-resolution mass spectroscopy (HESI-MS) (QExactive; Thermo scientific). Analysis was performed under positive ionization mode. Settings for the ion source were: 10 aux gas flow rate, 35 sheath gas flow rate, 1 sweep gas flow rate, 4 μA spray current, 4 kV spray voltage, 320°C capillary temperature, 300°C heater temperature, and 50 S-lens RF level. Nitrogen was used as nebulizing gas by the ion trap source. The MS/MS method was designed to perform an MS1 full-scan (100 to 510 m/z, no fragmentation) together with a series of MS/MS scans (all-ion fragmentation) that divided the m/z range into partially overlapping windows of 40 m/z each. The MS1 full-scan provides data on [M + H]+ pseudo-molecular ions, while the MS/MS scans provide corresponding (matched by retention time) fragmentation spectra, all obtained within a single chromatographic run. MS/MS scans (all-ion fragmentation) were centered at 160, 210, 245, 280, 315, 350, 385, 420, 455, 490 m/z using an isolation width of 40.0 m/z. Fragmentations were performed at 17.5, 35, and 52.5 NCE (normalized-collision energy). Mass resolution was set at 35000, AGC target was 1E6, and injection time was 40 ms. Data analysis was performed using the MAVEN software [71] and Thermo Xcalibur software (Thermo scientific).

**AHL hydrolysis of standards**

To hydrolyze the lactone ring, AHL standard mix was incubated in 1 M NaOH for 12 hours at room temperature. Hydrolyzed samples were prepared using liquid-to-liquid extraction prior to HPLC-MS analysis as described above.

**Experimental design for AHL degradation**

Aliquots (5 mL) of LB broth were inoculated with an isolated colony from an LB plate. After 24 hours, the LB culture was used to start inoculation into 5 mL AB minimal medium with 1% glucose at 1/100 dilution. The AB minimal used in all AHL degradation experiments contained 15NH4 as the single nitrogen source, this allowed us to differentiate degradation of endogenous AHLs (which are 15N-labeled) vs. externally added AHLs. After growing microbes to mid-exponential phase, a variable cocktail mix of AHL standards was added (Fig 5). Media without cells was included as a control in each experiment. Spent media was collected at different time-points, followed by liquid-to-liquid extraction and HPLC-MS/MS analysis as described above. Results from non-inoculated medium and added AHL standard mix were used to correct for spontaneous AHL degradation.

**Genomic search for AHL degrading enzymes**

Based on reported lactonases, we collected sequences for the AiiA homologue from *Bacillus* sp. 24B1, Ahld homologue from *Arthrobacter* sp., AhlK homologue from *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and AttM homologues from *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. For known acylases, we collected sequences for AiiD from *Ralstonia eutropha*, PvdQ and QuiP from *P. aeruginosa*, AhlM from *Streptomyces* sp., and AiiC from *Anabaena* sp. These sequences of known acylases and lactonases were compared against the genomes of *B. cepacia, B. subtilis, E. carotovora, P. aeruginosa*, and *P. aureofaciens* using BLAST searches.
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