Linking Sfl1 Regulation of Hyphal Development to Stress Response Kinases in Candida albicans
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ABSTRACT Candida albicans is an important human pathogen responsible for causing both superficial and systemic infections. Its ability to switch from the yeast form to the hyphal growth form is required for its pathogenicity. Acidic pH inhibits hyphal initiation, but the nature of the mechanism for this inhibition is not completely clear. We show that acidic pH represses hyphal initiation independently of the temperature- and farnesol-mediated Nrg1 downregulation. Using a collection of transcription factor deletion mutants, we observed that the sfl1 mutant induced hyphae in acidic pH but not in farnesol at 37°C. Furthermore, transcription of hyphal regulators BRG1 and UME6 was not induced in wild-type (WT) cells but was induced in the sfl1 mutant during hyphal induction in acidic pH. Using the same screening conditions with the collection of kinase mutants, we found that deletions of the core stress response mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase HOG1 and its kinase PBS2, the cell wall stress MAP kinase MKC1, and the calcium/calmodulin-dependent kinase CMK1 allowed hyphal initiation in acidic pH. Furthermore, Hog1 phosphorylation induced by high osmotic stress also retarded hyphal initiation, and the effect was abolished in the sfl1 and three kinase mutants but was enhanced in the phosphatase mutant ptp2 ptp3. We also found functional associations among Cmk1, Hog1, and Sfl1 for cation stress. Our study results suggest that robust hyphal initiation requires downregulation of both Nrg1 and Sfl1 transcriptional repressors as well as timely BRG1 expression. Acidic pH and cationic stress retard hyphal initiation via the stress-responsive kinases and Sfl1.

IMPORTANCE Candida albicans is a commensal as well as a pathogen of humans. C. albicans is able to mount a cellular response to a diverse range of external stimuli in the host and switch reversibly between the yeast and hyphal growth forms. Hyphal development is a key virulence determinant. Here, we studied how C. albicans senses different environmental signals to control its growth forms. Our study results suggest that robust hyphal development requires downregulation of two transcriptional repressors, Nrg1 and Sfl1. Acidic pH or cationic stress inhibits hyphal formation via stress-responsive kinases and Sfl1.

KEYWORDS Candida albicans, hyphal formation, Sfl1

Candida albicans is a commensal fungus that is part of the normal human microbiota. It can also cause infection when hosts have a compromised immune system, microbial imbalance, or damaged epithelial lining (1). Candida infections can be superficial on the skin and mucosal surfaces or systemic when the fungus disseminates through the bloodstream and colonizes vital organs. While superficial infections are relatively harmless, systemic infections can be life-threatening, with mortality rates of up to 40% (2). The prevalence of C. albicans infections has resulted in an increased interest in understanding how this fungus can adapt to different host niches and colonize and infect different mucosal surfaces and organs.

Within the human host, C. albicans cells are exposed to a variety of conditions,
including various pH levels. *C. albicans* can colonize the stomach (pH 2) (3), vagina (pH 4 to pH 5.5) (4), mouth (pH 6 to 7) (5), and intestines of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract (pH 8). The pH of blood and tissues is slightly alkaline (pH 7.4). Environmental pH influences many key *C. albicans* biological functions and processes, such as filamentation (6, 7), nutrient acquisition (8, 9), white-opaque switching (10), and cell wall remodeling (11). The conserved Rim101 pathway is the major pH response pathway. Neutral-alkaline pH is sensed by receptors on the plasma membrane, leading to activation of the pH-responsive transcription factor Rim101 via a proteolytic cleavage at its C terminus (12). Mutants of the Rim101 signaling pathway show a growth defect in alkaline pH (12, 13), a defect in filamentation, and reduced virulence (14). Activation of Rim101 promotes the expression of genes for acquisition of nutrients, such as iron (14), and of cell wall genes that facilitate survival within the host (15). In addition to the Rim101 pathway, the calcineurin-dependent Crz1 pathway acts in parallel for adapting to growth in alkaline pH (7). How acidic pH inhibits filamentation is not clear. Genetic data have pointed to the possibility of repression by both Rim101 and Crz2, which acts independently of calcineurin (7).

The ability of *C. albicans* to switch between a unicellular yeast form and a filamentous form is essential to its survival within its human host (16, 17). Hyphal development has been shown to facilitate escape from the macrophages (18) and is strongly influenced by signals and growth conditions common in the host, such as temperature (19), serum (20), pH (6), hypoxia and 5% CO₂ (21–25), and N-acetylg glucosamine (26, 27). Induction of hyphal development has two phases: initiation and maintenance (28, 29). The initiation step involves transient downregulation of the transcriptional repressor Nrg1 mediated by a by temperature shift to 37°C and inoculation of overnight cells to a fresh culture. Elevated temperature (37°C) promotes the transcriptional downregulation of NRG1, and this regulation requires the cAMP/protein kinase A (cAMP/PKA) pathway (28, 30). Inoculation dilutes out the quorum sensing molecule farnesol, leading to Nrg1 degradation (30). Endogenous nitric oxide is also important for hyphal initiation via Nrg1 degradation (31). Hyphal maintenance is dependent on the growth medium and environmental conditions. Growth under nutrient-poor conditions or in the presence of serum promotes the expression and binding of transcription factor Brg1 to the promoters of hyphal genes, leading to chromatin remodeling and gene expression (28, 29, 32, 33). Hypoxic conditions combined with 5% CO₂ maintain hyphae by stabilizing the hypha-specific Ume6 transcription factor important for hyphal maintenance (23, 24, 34, 35). While Nrg1 is the most extensively studied repressor of hyphal development, several other factors have been also shown to inhibit hyphal initiation, including Sfl1. The *sfl1* mutant shows an increased trend of hyphal formation under many conditions (36, 37) and has been shown to target several hyphal transcription factors to repress hyphal formation (36, 38). However, what regulates Sfl1 is not known. In addition to growth-promoting and nutrient-sensing pathways, mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinases that response to cell wall and/or cell membrane stresses are also involved in hyphal development. These include the high-osmolarity glycerol (HOG) pathway, which allows adaptation to high-osmolarity conditions, oxidative and heavy metal stresses (39–41), and cell wall stresses (42–44). The nature of their relationship with Nrg1 or Sfl1 in hyphal initiation is not clear.

In this study, we revealed that acidic pH inhibits hyphal initiation via a mechanism that is independent of Nrg1 downregulation. By screening the transcription factor and kinase deletion collections for mutants that can filament in acidic pH, we found that deletions of *SFL1*, the core stress response MAP kinase HOG1 and its kinase PBS2, the cell wall integrity MAP kinase MKC1, and the calcium/calmodulin-dependent kinase CMK1 all resulted in hyphal initiation in acidic pH. The relationships of Sfl1 to these kinases and Nrg1 are discussed here.

**RESULTS**

**Acidic pH does not block Nrg1 downregulation when cells are inoculated into fresh medium at 37°C.** Acidic pH is known to inhibit hyphal formation (45), but the
mechanism for this inhibition is not completely understood. Hyphal initiation requires rapid removal of the transcriptional repressor Nrg1 by both transcriptional downregulation and protein degradation during hyphal induction, leading to dissociation of Nrg1 from the promoters of hyphal genes (28, 30). To determine if acidic pH inhibits hyphal initiation via blocking the removal of Nrg1 inhibition of hyphal initiation, we examined Nrg1 stability, levels of NRG1 transcription, and promoter association during hyphal initiation. Cells from overnight culture were inoculated into fresh medium at 37°C and pH 4 or pH 7. Nrg1 protein was similarly degraded under conditions of acidic pH and neutral pH (Fig. 1A), suggesting that acidic pH does not interfere with Nrg1 degradation. NRG1 transcription was also downregulated at both acidic pH and neutral pH, although the level of its downregulation was not as complete at acidic pH as at neutral pH (Fig. 1B). Consistent with the results shown in Fig. 1A and B, Nrg1 protein levels decreased during hyphal initiation under both pH conditions, but the level at acidic pH was higher than at neutral pH (Fig. 1C). Despite some differences in Nrg1 protein levels, Nrg1 dissociated from the promoter of hyphal gene HWP1 equally at pH 4 and pH 7 when cells were inoculated into fresh medium at 37°C (Fig. 1D). This suggests that Nrg1-mediated repression of hyphal transcription is not the major regulatory mechanism used by acidic pH. Acidic pH likely acts through a pathway that is different from the pathway of farnesol-mediated Nrg1 degradation or from that of temperature-induced and cAMP/PKA-dependent transcriptional downregulation of NRG1.

The sfl1 mutant undergoes hyphal initiation in acidic pH. To uncover mechanisms for acidic pH-mediated hyphal inhibition, we designed a screen to find mutants that can undergo hyphal initiation in acidic pH, but not in the presence of farnesol, and to identify only those which are not constitutively hyphal. We screened the collection of 165 transcription factor mutants (46). The sfl1 mutant was the only one able to bypass acidic pH-mediated inhibition of hyphal initiation but not the inhibition by farnesol (Fig. 2A). sfl1 cells were in yeast form before inoculation. Both the WT and sfl1
mutant strains were transformed with the HWP1p-GFP reporter, and cells from an overnight culture were inoculated into pH 4 and pH 7 media at 37°C. Yeast-to-hypha transition was monitored by assay of both morphological changes and the appearance of green fluorescent protein (GFP). In the WT strain, HWP1p-GFP was expressed at pH 7 but not at pH 4. In the sfl1 mutant, expression of HWP1p-GFP was observed at both pH 4 and pH 7 (Fig. 2A). In contrast to the results seen with acidic pH, farnesol inhibition of hyphal formation and HWP1-GFP expression was not bypassed by the sfl1 mutant. In addition to inoculation, which removes farnesol inhibition, a temperature shift to 37°C is also required for the sfl1 mutant to form hyphae in acidic pH. These observations, together with the results in Fig. 1, suggest that farnesol-mediated Nrg1 degradation, temperature-induced transcriptional repression of NRG1, and the acidic pH pathway are three independent forms of regulation that inhibit hyphal initiation. Deletion of SFL1 specifically bypasses the acidic pH inhibition.

Sfl1 has been characterized as a hyphal repressor that binds to the promoters of key hyphal regulator genes BRG1, SFL2, UME6, and TEC1 (38). Sfl1 was previously shown to repress filamentation through, at least, direct transcriptional repression of the BRG1 and SFL2 genes (38), and Brg1 and Sfl2 were found to activate hyphal development by inducing UME6 expression (29, 38). To examine if Sfl1 is responsible for acidic pH-mediated inhibition of the expression of these hyphal regulators, we examined the transcriptional levels of key hyphal regulators BRG1 and UME6 in acidic and neutral pH. In the WT strain, the expression of BRG1 and UME6 was increased at pH 7, but not pH 4, during hyphal initiation (Fig. 2B). Deleting SFL1 resulted in a higher increase of BRG1 expression during hyphal initiation at pH 7, consistent with the reported repression of BRG1 expression by Sfl1 (38). The increase in the level of BRG1 expression in the sfl1
mutant was found to be much lower at pH 4 than at pH 7, indicating additional Sfl1-independent repression of BRG1 expression by acidic pH. Inactive Rim101 might be responsible for the observed repression at pH 4 (7). In comparison to BRG1, the increases in the levels of UME6 expression were similar at pH 4 and pH 7 in the sfl1 mutant. It is possible that SFL1 deletion and temperature shift to 37°C function together to induce SFL2 expression, which leads to UME6 expression (38). Those data suggest that BRG1 expression may be represent convergent point of regulation by multiple signaling pathways. Consistent with this, overexpressing BRG1 restored hyphal initiation in acidic pH. Expressing BRG1 under the control of the MAL2 promoter, we observed the formation of hyphae when the cells were inoculated into maltose medium at pH 4 but not in glucose medium (Fig. 2C).

The hog1, mkc1, and cmk1 mutants also undergo hyphal initiation in acidic pH. To identify potential regulators and pathways that regulate Sfl1, we applied the same screening conditions to the C. albicans kinase mutant collection containing 80 homozygous protein kinase and protein kinase-related gene deletion strains (47). From the screen, we identified the following four mutants which, similarly to sfl1, could filament at pH 4 and 37°C but not in the presence of farnesol: the core stress response genes HOG1 and PBS2 (39, 40), the cell wall integrity gene MKC1 (43, 44), and the calcium/calmodulin-dependent kinase CMK1 (48). Cmk1 is also involved in the regulation of cell wall integrity and oxidative stress response in C. albicans (49). All four mutants were in yeast form before inoculation and effectively developed germ tubes in acidic pH, but not in the presence of farnesol, as evidenced by morphology and the expression of HWP1p-GFP (Fig. 3). The hog1 mutant was used as the representative for both hog1 and pbs2, as they function in the same MAP kinase pathway and show similar phenotypes. This finding suggests a potential for functional interactions between these stress response kinases and Sfl1 in the regulation of hyphal development.

Acidic pH sustains basal Hog1 phosphorylation after inoculation. Since loss of Hog1 phosphorylation rescued filamentation in acidic pH, we examined the effects of pH on Hog1 phosphorylation. Hog1 phosphorylation is regulated through either activation by the upstream MAP kinase (MAPK) kinase Pbs2 or dephosphorylation through the MAPK tyrosine phosphatases PTP2 and PTP3. We excluded the first possibility, as inoculation of log-phase cells into yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (YPD) medium at pH 4 and pH 7 for 5 min did not induce Hog1 phosphorylation. In comparison, inoculating cells into 1 M NaCl for 5 min strongly induced Hog1 phosphorylation. As expected, Hog1 phosphorylation was absent in the pbs2 mutant even in the presence of NaCl (Fig. 4A). We then examined if acidic pH could slow the dephosphorylation of Hog1. Hog1 basal phosphorylation increased during hyphal initiation but was found to have reduced to the initial basal level after 1 h at pH 7. In comparison, cells inoculated into acidic pH were slower to deplete basal Hog1 phosphorylation (Fig. 4B). This suggests that pH influences the duration of Hog1 phosphorylation.

![Morphology of WT, sfl1, hog1, cmk1, and mkc1 strains expressing a copy of HWP1p-GFP after inoculation for 1 h in YPD medium set at pH 7 or pH 4. Filamentation percentages are indicated at bottom right of DIC images.](msphere.asm.org)
ylation. Since dephosphorylation is regulated by Hog1 phosphatases, we examined their transcript levels and observed pH-dependent expression of PTP3, with its transcript elevated in neutral pH and repressed in acidic pH (Fig. 4C).

**Hog1 phosphorylation inhibits hyphal initiation.** To demonstrate that the inhibitory effect of Hog1 on hyphal initiation happens via Hog1 phosphorylation, a ptp2 ptp3 double mutant lacking both PTP2 and PTP3 phosphatases of Hog1 (32) was used to examine hyphal development at pH 7 or pH 4 in YPD medium or at pH 7 in YPD medium with 0.5 M NaCl (Fig. 4D). The ptp2 ptp3 double mutant was able to develop hyphae at pH 7 but was completely defective in forming hyphae at pH 4 or with 0.5 M NaCl. Hyphal growth was not obviously impaired in the WT in 0.5 M NaCl but was completely blocked in the ptp2 ptp3 double mutant under the same conditions. This suggests that Hog1 phosphorylation inhibits hyphal initiation. To further demonstrate that the function of Ptp2 and Ptp3 in hyphal initiation happens via Hog1 dephosphorylation, we examined a ptp2 ptp3 hog1 triple mutant (32). Deletion of HOG1 in the phosphatase double mutant completely alleviated the phenotype (Fig. 4D), affirming the role of Hog1 phosphorylation in the inhibition of hyphal initiation.

**NaCl retards hyphal initiation, and the effect is bypassed by the sfl1 mutant.** We next examined the functional relationships between Sfl1 and these kinases. If Sfl1 acts downstream of the kinases, we would expect that (i) conditions that activate the upstream kinases would be inhibitory to hyphal initiation and (ii) this inhibition could be reversed by loss of Sfl1 if it functions downstream of the kinase pathway. Hog1 is the best-studied kinase among the three, so we chose to activate Hog1 and evaluate the

---

**FIG 4** (A) Acidic pH does not induce Hog1 phosphorylation. A Phospho-Hog1 immunoblot of cells grown for 3 h to the logarithmic phase and inoculated into fresh YPD medium at pH 4, pH 7, or pH 7 with 0.5 M NaCl for 5 min is shown. A pbs2 mutant strain was induced in 0.5 M NaCl as a negative control. A parallel blot was probed with anti-PSTAIRE as a loading control. (B) Acidic pH sustains Hog1 basal phosphorylation. A Phospho-Hog1 immunoblot of overnight cells (0 h) inoculated into fresh YPD medium at pH 4 and pH 7 for 3 h is shown. Aliquots were collected every hour. At 3 h, an aliquot of cells were shifted to medium with 1 M NaCl (3N) to induce Hog1 phosphorylation. A parallel blot was probed with anti-PSTAIRE as a loading control. (C) Acidic pH inhibits PTP3 transcription. qRT-PCR of WT and pbs2 cells was performed to measure the levels of PTP3 transcript after cells were grown at pH 4 and pH 6 for 15 min. qPCR values were normalized to ACT1 for each samples, and overnight (ON) samples were set to a value of 1. (D) Hog1 phosphorylation inhibits hyphal initiation. Morphology and HWP1-GFP expression of WT, ptp2 ptp3, and ptp2 ptp3 hog1 strains expressing a copy of HWP1-GFP after inoculation for 2.5 h in YPD medium at pH 7 or pH 4 or supplemented with 0.5 M NaCl are shown.
ability of the mutant strains to rescue this effect. Cells from overnight cultures were inoculated into media containing 0.5 M NaCl, and their ability to initiate hyphal growth was monitored. At 1 h, NaCl had a negative effect on hyphal initiation based on the low percentage of WT cells with initiated germ tube morphology and on the levels of HWP1p-GFP expression (Fig. 5). The inhibitory effect of NaCl was transient, as the WT strain had initiated and grown hyphae by 2.5 h. The hog1 mutant had a significantly larger amount of germ tube morphology than the WT at 1 h. The improved filamentation was still present in the hog1 mutants at 2.5 h.

Like the hog1 mutant, the sfl1, mkc1, and cmk1 mutants were able to bypass the negative effect of NaCl in hyphal initiation. They showed significantly higher percentages of germ tube morphology than the WT at 1 h, and all the mutants showed better hyphal growth at 2.5 h (Fig. 5). In particular, the mkc1 mutant showed a stronger bypass of hyphal initiation in 0.5 M NaCl than the sfl1 and cmk1 mutants. Mkc1 is known to be activated under several stress conditions, and its phosphorylation is Hog1 dependent (43). Overall, the similar hyphal initiation phenotypes among the sfl1 and three kinase mutants under conditions of acidic pH and 0.5 M NaCl indicate that the kinase may converge on Sfl1 to regulate the expression of hyphal transcription.

**Loss of Cmk1 and Sfl1 leads to tolerance of cation stress.** There is limited information on the functions and regulation of Cmk1, and hyphal initiation represents the first evidence that places Sfl1 downstream of three stress-responsive kinases. In a phenotypic profiling of transcription factor mutants performed previously by Homann et al. (46), the sfl1 mutant was found to have altered sensitivity to the cation stress of 0.3 M LiCl. To further evaluate whether Sfl1 would be found to be functionally linked to the stress-responsive kinases in a different setting, we examined growth sensitivity to LiCl. Growth levels of the WT and mutant strains were compared on YPD medium and on YPD medium plus 0.3 M LiCl or 0.5 M NaCl. The WT strain was sensitive to 0.3 M LiCl (Fig. 6). The cmk1 mutant showed a strong tolerance of LiCl, and no growth differences were seen in the presence or absence of 0.3 M LiCl. The sfl1 mutant also showed strong tolerance of LiCl compared to the WT and was able to grow in the presence of 0.3 M LiCl (Fig. 6). The other two mutant strains, hog1 and mkc1, showed only a limited increase in LiCl tolerance in comparison to the WT. The increase in LiCl tolerance by the hog1 mutant shown here was unexpected, as the mutant is highly sensitive to NaCl stress (Fig. 6) (50). The growth tolerance of the cmk1 and sfl1 mutants, as well as of the hog1 mutant to a certain extent, in the presence of LiCl provides a
functional assay different from hyphal initiation. This suggests close relationships in function and regulation among Cmk1, Hog1, and Sfl1.

**DISCUSSION**

The process of hyphal development is important for survival of and infection by *C. albicans* in the host. On the other hand, host microenvironments regulate hyphal development (51). While it has long been known that acidic pH suppresses hyphal development, the details of how it affects hyphal initiation are still elusive. In this study, we showed that Sfl1 transcriptional repression and acidic pH inhibit hyphal initiation by retarding the expression of *BRG1* and *UME6*, key regulators of hyphal development. Sfl1 and acidic pH act independently of the published farnesol- and cAMP/PKA-regulated Nrg1 downregulation pathways (Fig. 7). Nrg1 is downregulated and dissociated from the *HWP1* promoter at both pH 4 and pH 7. The *sfl1* mutant completely bypasses acidic pH but is still sensitive to farnesol inhibition and is unable to initiate hyphae without a temperature shift to 37°C. We noticed that *BRG1* expression was not fully induced in the *sfl1* mutant at pH 4 in comparison to pH 7, indicating Sfl1-independent regulation of *BRG1* by pH. Since the pH-responsive Rim101 transcription factor is the major regulator of pH-responsive genes and contributes to the repression of hyphal development at acidic pH (7, 14, 52), the inactive Rim101 could be responsible for the observed repression of *BRG1* at pH 4 (Fig. 7). Unlike *BRG1* expression, *UME6* expression was induced similarly at pH 4 and pH 7 in the *sfl1* mutant. It is possible that *SFL1* deletion and the temperature shift to 37°C functioned together to increase *SFL2* expression, leading to *UME6* expression (Fig. 7) (38). We place Sfl2 and Brg1 together in our model of hyphal initiation because expression of both required a temperature shift to 37°C. Likewise, deletion of either *SFL2* or *BRG1* in the *sfl1* mutant similarly impaired hyphal formation (38). We suggest that *BRG1* expression may be a convergent point of regulation by Sfl1 and Rim101 under acidic pH conditions. This is consistent with a previous report by Su et al. indicating that N-acetylglucosamine, serum, or neutral pH can promote hyphal development in log-phase cells, without inoculation, by activating the expression of Brg1 (53). Also, Brg1 represses *NRG1* expression and gradually reduces Nrg1 levels after a few hours of growth, as Nrg1 and Brg1 are negative regulators of
each other (33). Unlike nrg1 cells, which are constitutively hyphae, sfl1 cells are in yeast form under many yeast growth conditions. The ability of the sfl1 deletion mutant to bypass acidic pH is specific as it is the only mutant from the transcription factor deletion collection to have been found to bypass acidic pH in our screen. Several genes that are upregulated under the sfl1 deletion conditions have promoters which are bound by Sfl1/Sfl2 (38) and are repressed by Rim101 (14). We suggest that Rim101 and Sfl1/Sfl2 may function together. Robust hyphal initiation requires downregulation of both the Nrg1 and Sfl1 transcriptional repressors (Fig. 7).

This study showed that acidic pH and NaCl inhibit or retard hyphal initiation via the activity of stress-responsive kinases and Sfl1 (Fig. 7). It is important to point out the temporal differences between the two signals that increase Hog1 phosphorylation and their effects on hyphal initiation. NaCl induced a strong and fast Hog1 phosphorylation response, leading to only a delay in hyphal initiation. Inoculating cells into fresh media induced a rise in basal Hog1 phosphorylation, and this increase in basal Hog1 phosphorylation was prolonged at pH 4, leading to inhibition of hyphal initiation. In a previous study, we found that 3 to 5 h after hyphal initiation in rich medium, basal Hog1 phosphorylation repressed the expression of BRG1 via the transcriptional repressor Sko1 and hyphal cells were converted back to yeast (32). The sko1 mutant can sustain hyphae in rich medium but cannot bypass the acidic pH inhibition of hyphal initiation. The hog1 brg1 mutant behaved like brg1 (32), placing Brg1 downstream of Hog1. The three timings of Hog1 phosphorylation have very different effects on initiation. NaCl induces a strong Hog1 phosphorylation, but the effect is short in duration. NaCl inhibited hyphal development in the ptp2 ptp3 mutant only when Hog1 phosphorylation was sustained. The effect of Hog1 phosphorylation timing on hyphal initiation supports our model for a “window of opportunity” (28, 29). Hyphal development needs a sufficient level of Brg1 during the time window when Nrg1 dissociates from the promoters of hypha-specific genes. We also showed that transient activation/phosphorylation of Hog1 in response to NaCl retards hyphal initiation and that this effect can be alleviated by Sfl1 and all three kinase mutants. Although we do not have direct evidence indicating that Hog1 regulates Sfl1 in C. albicans, studies in Saccharomyces cerevisiae have shown a functional link between Sfl1 and Hog1. Hog1 and Sfl1 are required for expression of the aquaporin gene AQP2 (54). S. cerevisiae Sfl1 (ScSfl1) is phosphorylated at Ser556 by Hog1 in response to stress (55), and the phosphor residue (Thr602) is conserved in C. albicans Sfl1 (CaSfl1). Interestingly, Hog1 is activated in response to citric acid and is important for adaption to citric acid stress (56). Cmk1 is also involved in a weak acid response, as loss of Cmk1 results in weak organic acid resistance (57). Consistent with the studies in S. cerevisiae, our data also suggest the involvement of Cmk1, Hog1, and Sfl1 in response to cation stress by C. albicans. Acidic pH, weak acids, cation stress, and osmotic stresses are likely encountered by C. albicans in hosts. Therefore, these stress-responsive kinases and Sfl1 are important in controlling hyphal development and virulence in vivo (36).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid and strain construction. The C. albicans strains used in this study are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material and primers in Table S2. To generate HWP1p-GFP-SAT1 (plasmid 1254), primers HWP1p-GFP-NAT F/R was used to PCR amplify the genomic sequence 1 kb upstream of the HWP1 transcription start site. The fragment was incorporated into the plasmid by the use of Gibson assembly (58). The resulting plasmid was linearized by digestion with AflII and was integrated into the endogenous HWP1 promoter in the different strains, and successful transformants were selected on YPD medium plus 200 μg/ml nourseothricin.

Media and growth conditions. C. albicans strains were grown in yeast extract-peptone (2% Bacto peptone, 1% yeast extract, 0.015% L-tryptophan) with 2% dextrose or maltose (for promoter shutdown assay) as a carbon source at 30°C to saturation (optical density at 600 nm [OD600] = 10 to 12, ~17 h). To induce hyphae, the saturated cultures were inoculated 1:50 into YPD media prewarmed to 37°C and supplemented with the necessary stressor (HCl to pH 4, NaCl, farnesol). Aliquots of growing cultures were collected at the indicated time point and washed once with water before viewing differential interference contrast (DIC) and fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) fluorescence with a microscope was performed. Percentages of filamentation were determined by counting a total of 300 cells per experiment, and each experiment was conducted 3 times.
Mutant collection screening. To identify mutants that filament in acidic pH, the kinase mutant collection generated by Blankenship et al. (47) and the transcription regulator mutant collection generated by Homann et al. (46) were used for screening. Mutants were grown in 96-well plates overnight in YPD medium until saturation and inoculated 1:50 into 100 μl fresh YPD medium (pH 4) prewarmed to 37°C for 90 min and viewed under a microscope to observe filamentation. Filamentous strains were confirmed first in 1 ml in 24-well plates and then in 10 ml in glass flasks shaken at 200 rpm in a 37°C water bath. Mutants that were filamentous in overnight culture were excluded from further analysis.

Stress sensitivity assay. Strains were grown at 30°C to saturation and a 5-fold serial dilution, starting with 10⁶ cells, was spotted onto YPD medium with or without 0.3 M LiCl. The plates were grown at 30°C for 36 h before imaging.

Lysate extraction and immunoblotting. For phospho-Hog1 detection, cells were grown to saturation and inoculated 1:50 into fresh medium at pH 7 or pH 4. At each time point, aliquots were collected in a 50-ml conical tube with ice and centrifuged for 3 min at 4°C, and the cell pellets were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored until cell lysis. The pellets were lysed by resuspension in kinase buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM EGTA, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 0.1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF]) with glass beads and vigorously smashed using a Fast-Prep system (FP120; Thermo Electron, Waltham, MA) for four 20-s intervals with cooling on ice for 5 min between the intervals. The crude lysate was centrifuged to separate the lysate from debris, normalized, resolved by SDS-PAGE on an 8% gel, and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Phospho-Hog1 levels were detected by blocking PBS-T (phosphate-buffered saline with Tween 20)–5% BSA (bovine serum albumin) for 1 h and probing with anti-phospho-p38 antibody in PBST plus BSA overnight at 4°C. The membranes were washed and then probed with an anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody in PBST–3% BSA overnight at 4°C. The membranes were washed and then probed with an anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary antibody in Bio-Rad.

Promoter shutdown assay. To observe the rate of degradation of Nrg1-Myc, CAI4 cells containing a copy of MAL2p-NRG1-13xMYC were grown overnight in yeast extract-peptone (YPE) medium plus 2% maltose to overexpress Nrg1-Myc and then inoculated at 1:50 into fresh YEP medium plus 2% dextrose for 1 h to shut down the activity of the MAL2 promoter. Aliquots were collected at each time point and centrifuged at 3,500 rpm; the supernatant was aspirated, and the cell pellets were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen until cell lysis. The pellets were lysed by resuspension in lysis buffer and were vigorously smashed using a Fast-Prep system (FP120; Thermo Electron, Waltham, MA) for four 20-s intervals with cooling on ice for 5 min between intervals. The crude lysate was centrifuged to separate the lysate from debris, normalized, resolved by SDS-PAGE on an 8% gel, and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Nrg1 levels were determined by probing the membrane with an HRP-conjugated secondary antibody in Bio-Rad.

Quantitative RT-PCR. RNA was extracted from yeast and hyphal cells using a Zymo Quick-RNA MiniPrep kit, and 2 μg was reverse transcribed into cDNA using a Bio-Rad iScript reverse transcription (RT) kit. Quantitative PCR was performed on a Bio-Rad iCycler using Bio-Rad SYBR green reaction mix and the corresponding primers. The cycle parameters were 95°C for 1 min and 39 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 56°C for 45 s, and 68°C for 20 s.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL
Supplemental material is available online only.

TABLE S1, PDF file, 0.1 MB.
TABLE S2, PDF file, 0.04 MB.
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