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Aziridines are an important class of nitrogen-containing heterocycles that can be found in a number of biologically active compounds and have also been synthesised by several routes. Aziridines are suitable synthetic scaffolds or intermediates for the synthesis of many kinds of organic compounds through their ring opening by different nucleophiles including cyanide, aromatic and olefinic compounds, hydride, alcohols, thiols, amines, and halogens, affording various 1,2-difunctionalised compounds. Among these 1,2-difunctionalised products, vicinal amino ethers have been obtained using different methodologies including inorganic protic or Lewis acids such as BF\(_3\)·Et\(_2\)O, Sn(OTf)\(_2\), (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)Ce(NO\(_3\))\(_6\), ionic liquids, [Ag(COD)]BF\(_4\) and also by an aprotic imidazolidium zwitterion, an N,N'-dioxide-Mg(OTf)\(_2\) complex, sulphated zirconia, Ag(i), Au(i), phosphomolybdic acid supported on silica gel, montmorillonites and ceric ammonium nitrate, but always using previously isolated aziridines. In addition, very recently, the aziridination of alkenes with subsequent ring opening using alcohols under continuous flow was reported. As far as we know, only a small number of one-pot methodologies has been reported using rhodium, iron(ii) phthalocyanine and ruthenium as the catalysts and different nucleophiles to generate \(N\)-protected difunctionalised alkenes. However, the number of \(N\)-protected \(\beta\)-amino ethers is limited.

\(N\)-Protected \(\beta\)-aminophenyl ethers are useful intermediates in the synthesis of substituted indolines, and the corresponding \(N\)-deprotected \(\beta\)-alkoxyphenethylamines have shown some interesting biological activities. For these reasons, we decided to look for a one-pot aziridination-ring opening process but using inexpensive copper catalysts and combining different styrenes, alcohols and phenyl iminiodianines.

Results and discussion

Initially, and based on a recent methodology reported by us, we decided to use styrene (1a) as a model substrate, Cu(MeCN)\(_4\)BF\(_4\) and neocuproine as the catalyst and ligand respectively, with Ph-H=\(\mathrm{N}\)-Ts acting as the nitrone source, plus methanol. In addition, we chose Mo(CO)\(_6\) as an additive to improve the yield. Using the conditions mentioned in Table 1, entry 1, \(N\)-tosyl-\(\beta\)-methoxyphenethylamine (2a) was obtained in 48% yield, while none of this desired product was formed when the copper salt was omitted under similar conditions (entry 2). Replacing Cu(MeCN)\(_4\)BF\(_4\) with Cu(BF\(_4\))\(_2\)·H\(_2\)O the yield increased slightly (entry 3). Conversely, when Cu(BF\(_4\))\(_2\)·H\(_2\)O and nitromethane were used as the catalyst and solvent, the isolated yield increased to 74% (Table 1, entry 4). Additional trials using other solvents did not further improve the yield (entries 5–9). 2,2'-Bipyridine and 1,10-phenanthroline were tested as ligands, but the yields dropped to 57 and 39% respectively (entries 10 and 11). Decreasing the catalyst loading to 5% resulted in a dramatic detriment of the yield (entry 12). When less equivalents of methanol were used (entries 15 and 16), the yields were similar to that found in entry 4. Finally, decreasing Mo(CO)\(_6\) to 0.1 or increasing neocuproine to 0.2 equivalents were found to be less efficient in promoting 2a formation (entries 17 and 18).

Using these optimised conditions, the scope of the reaction was investigated. Substrates were tested including changes in the scaffold of the styrene, as well as that of the double bond, the alcohol and the oxidant. The results showed that this is a robust methodology since it tolerates a wide assortment of modifications. Besides, complete regioselectivity was observed.
considering that only 2-alkoxy-N-protected-phenethylamines were detected.

Table 2 displays the outcomes of the reactions using different styrenes under the previously selected conditions, giving rise to products 2a–2p in yields varying from 15 to 92%. Aryl substituents (R1) of the styrene used differ not only in electronic nature, but also in their position on the aromatic ring. R1 structure included both electron-donor and electron-acceptor groups. Unmodified styrene gave 2a in 75% yield. The products from alkyl-substituted styrenes, p-methylstyrene (2b), p-t-butylstyrene (2g) and m-methylstyrene (2h) were obtained in 71, 78 and 63% yield respectively. Compound 2k was produced from m-methoxystyrene in 53% yield. Halogenated substrates tested including p-chloro, p-fluoro, and p-bromo-styrene, providing 2c (64%), 2e (69%), 2d (72%). Furthermore m-chloro, m-fluoro, and o-fluoro styrene produced 2i (47%), 2o (56%) and 2j (50%). An acetoxy substituent at the para-position was also tested, giving 2f in 92% yield. A strong electron-acceptor group like trifluoromethane at the ortho-position such as bromo, methyl and phenyl gave the products 2q, 2r and 2s in 43, 30 and 65% yield respectively. Interestingly, no steric hindrance was observed for the latter compound.

When changes in the scaffold of the double bond were made, β-methylstyrene, an internal alkene, furnished 2l in 31% yield.

On the other hand, 1H-indene, as an example containing an endocyclic double bond, generated both the (2na) and (2nb) products in 45 and 25% yield respectively, showing a slight, but not uninteresting diastereoselectivity in this addition reaction. Unfortunately, with ortho or para-methoxystyrene and unactivated olefins (including 1-hexene, 1-octene and cyclohexene) the desired products were not obtained.

Reactions with alcohols other than methanol supplied compounds 3a–3h in yields going from 71 to 41% (Table 3).
With ethanol and \(n\)-butanol as reactants and styrene, \(p\)-methylstyrene, \(p\)-t-butylstyrene and \(p\)-chlorostyrene as substrates, the results showed no apparent influence of either the structure of the alcohol or that of the styrene on the reaction yields. Thus, unsubstituted styrene afforded the ethoxy derivative 3a in 64% and the butoxy derivative 3e in 71% yield; \(p\)-methylstyrene generated the aminoethoxylated and aminobutoxylated products 3b (67%) and 3f (59%) respectively. Nevertheless, the reaction of styrene bearing the bulker \(t\)-butyl substituent showed slightly decreased yields for both the ethoxy (3d) and butoxy (3g) derivatives, 49 and 41% respectively. On the other hand, aminoalkoxylation of \(p\)-chlorostyrene with ethanol provided product 3c in 47% yield while the reaction with \(n\)-butanol gave 60% of the butoxy derivative 3h. Introduction of steric hindrance by using isopropyl alcohol, cyclohexanol and allyl methylcarbinol as reactants, caused a significant decrease in the reaction yields with styrene leading to 3i (9%), 3j (11%) and 3k (9%) respectively. The structure of 3d was unequivocally assigned by X-ray diffraction analysis (see ESI† for more details).11

The substrate scope of phenyliodinanes was then investigated. As shown in Table 4, (\(N\)-(\(o\)- and \(p\)-nitro phenylsulphonyl) imino)phenyliodinanes were used as oxidants in these reactions, affording compounds 4a to 4h. Moderately increased yields were observed when the \(N\)-(sulphonyl)imino substituent was changed from Ts (Table 2) to \(p\)-NO\(_2\)Ph to \(o\)-NO\(_2\)Ph, for the substrates under study, except for the chloro compound. The products from the reactions of the styrenes and \(o\)-NO\(_2\)Ph-, (H-), (Me-), (Cl-) and (\(t\)-Bu-)phenyliodinanes, 4a, 4b, 4c and 4d, were obtained in 84, 92, 68 and 96% yield, respectively. On the other hand, the use of \(p\)-NO\(_2\)Ph-substituted phenyliodinane as nitrogen source with different styrenes gave 4e (H-), 4f (Me), 4g (Cl-) and 4h (\(t\)-Bu-) in 80, 83, 70 and 84% yield respectively.

To show the usefulness of the new compounds as building blocks and continuing with our interest in the synthesis of substances with possible activity on neuronal targets, we decided to synthesise the \(N\)-benzylated derivatives 6a–6f.12 To achieve this goal, we initially took advantage of the acidity of the sulphonamide \(N\)–H bond present in compounds 2a, 2b, 4d, 4h and 4g which were \(N\)-benzylated using basic alkylation conditions (A) or Mitsunobu conditions (B) furnishing the corresponding \(N\)-protected-\(N\)-benzylated-\(\beta\)-methoxyphenethylamines 5a–5f in yields in the 41–96% range (Table 5).

In addition, compounds 5a–5f were subsequently deprotected using Mg in methanol with ultrasound activation (condition C) or basic 2-mercaptoethanol (condition D) for Ts or Ns groups, respectively.13,14 Thus, the desired \(N\)-benzylated \(\beta\)-methoxyphenethylamines 6a–6f were obtained in yields in the 23–87% range (Table 6).
Finally, we carried out some preliminary experiments to elucidate the role of Mo(CO)$_6$ on the reaction pathway. Tables 7 and 8 show the influence of Mo(CO)$_6$ on the nitrene transfer and aziridine ring opening respectively.

These results suggest that the aziridination reaction requires only the copper salt, but the obtained yield is low (6%). However, when both copper and Mo(CO)$_6$ are present, the yield rose to 64%, suggesting that the Mo(CO)$_6$ or some of its derivatives is a key to improve the yield under the reaction conditions (Table 7).

In contrast, for the ring opening process, it is clear that the presence of the copper salt is essential but this is not the case for Mo(CO)$_6$ (Table 8). In this sense, and to elucidate how the Mo(CO)$_6$ or its derivative(s) improve the yield of the reaction, other mechanistic studies, including synthesis and characterisation of new organometallic complexes are under way in our laboratory.

Interestingly, based on the abovementioned results, a one-pot methodology affords better yields than separated procedures under these reaction conditions.

Table 5  $N$-Benzylation of methoxysulphonamides$^a$

| R$^1$ | R$^2$ | PG | Conditions A or B |
|------|------|----|-------------------|
|      |      |    |                   |
|      |      |    |                   |

$^a$ Yields of isolated products after column chromatography of reactions carried out on a 0.5 mmol scale. Conditions: (A) $\beta$-methoxysulphonamide (1.0 eq.), NaH (1.1 eq.), DMF, benzyl halide (1.1 eq.), 0 °C to r.t., 12 h. (B) $\beta$-Methoxysulphonamide (1.0 eq.), triphenylphosphine (1.4 eq.), benzyl alcohol (1.4 eq.), DIAD (2.0 eq.), THF, 0 °C to r.t., 12 h.

Table 6  Deprotection of $\beta$-methoxy-$N$-benzyl-$N$-protected phenethyl-amines$^a$

| R$^1$ | R$^2$ | PG | Conditions C or D |
|------|------|----|-------------------|
|      |      |    |                   |
|      |      |    |                   |

$^a$ Yields of isolated products after column chromatography of reactions carried out on a 0.3 mmol scale. Conditions: (C) Mg, MeOH, ultrasound, 1 h. (D) 2-Mercaptoethanol, DBU, DMF, r.t., 12 h.

Table 7  Influence of Mo(CO)$_6$ on the nitrene transfer$^a$

| Entry | Cu(BF$_4$)$_2$·H$_2$O | Mo(CO)$_6$ | Yield$^b$ [%] |
|-------|----------------------|------------|---------------|
| 1     | +                    | +          | 64            |
| 2     | +                    | –          | 6             |
| 3     | –                    | +          | –             |
| 4     | –                    | –          | –             |

$^a$ Conditions: styrene (0.2 mmol), Cu(BF$_4$)$_2$·H$_2$O (0.1 eq.); neocuproine (0.1 eq.); Ph-I=N-SO$_2$-o-NO$_2$-Ph (1.5 eq.); Mo(CO)$_6$ (0.25 eq.); MeNO$_2$ (0.6 mL).$^b$ Yields were determined by $^1$H NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard.

Table 8  Influence of Mo(CO)$_6$ on the aziridine ring opening$^a$

| Entry | Cu(BF$_4$)$_2$·H$_2$O | Mo(CO)$_6$ | Yield$^b$ [%] |
|-------|----------------------|------------|---------------|
| 1     | +                    | +          | 23            |
| 2     | +                    | –          | 23            |
| 3     | –                    | +          | –             |
| 4     | –                    | –          | –             |

$^a$ Conditions: aziridine (0.2 mmol), Cu(BF$_4$)$_2$·H$_2$O (0.1 eq.); neocuproine (0.1 eq.); Ph-I=N-SO$_2$-o-NO$_2$-Ph (0.5 eq.); MeOH (10 eq.); Mo(CO)$_6$ (0.25 eq.); MeNO$_2$ (0.6 mL).$^b$ Yields were determined by $^1$H NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard.
Conclusions

In summary, we have devised a new one-pot copper-catalysed regioselective aminoalkoxylation of styrenes. The reaction takes place through a nitrene transfer, with subsequent opening of the aziridine ring, and proceeds under mild conditions using Cu(BF₄)₂·H₂O-neocuproine and Mo(CO)₆ as the catalytic system. Three different iminoiodanes were used as nitrogen sources as well as primary and secondary alcohols as alkoxylates. The products obtained should be of interest for the development of β-alkoxyphenethylamines as new compounds possibly acting on neuronal targets.
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