Dietary patterns begin in early childhood and can continue into adulthood. Thus, the early years are crucial for nutrition interventions and habit formation. Infants have a natural affinity to sweet foods overall, and pre- and postnatal exposures of added sugar are important. Genetic, environmental and cultural influences can increase preferences for sugary foods in children. However, there is a lack of high-quality research data on the dietary intake of sugars among young children, especially among infants and toddlers. Given that cardiometabolic risk markers may begin to emerge in children as young as 3 years of age, it is important to understand patterns of sugar intake and explore associations between intake of sugar and cardiometabolic risk markers (including anthropometric measures) in early life. This information can help inform policy development and programs for behaviour change intervention focused on early prevention.

Adverse effects of excessive sugar intake are a cause for global public health concern in all age groups. Overconsumption of sugar has been associated with increased risk of excessive weight gain, dental decay, poor diet quality and nutritional inadequacy in children and adolescents younger than 19 years. Excessive sugar intake has also been implicated in the development of cardiometabolic risk markers.

### Dietary sugar intake among preschool-aged children: a cross-sectional study

Anisha Mahajan MPH RD, Jessica Yu BSc, Jaimie L. Hogan BSc, Kira Jewell MSc RD, Alex Carriero BSc, Angela Annis MSc, Adam Sadowski MMath, Gerarda Darlington PhD, Andrea C. Buchholz PhD RD, Alison M. Duncan PhD RD, Jess Haines PhD RD, David W.L. Ma PhD; for the Guelph Family Health Study

#### Abstract

**Background:** Excessive intake of sugar in young children is a public health concern. Our study objectives were to examine intakes of total, free and added sugar among preschool-aged children and to investigate their associations with body weight, body mass index Z-scores, percent fat mass and waist circumference.

**Methods:** The cross-sectional cohort study included preschool-aged children between 1.5 and 5 years of age, enrolled in pilot studies of the Guelph Family Health Study, Guelph, Ontario, from 2014 to 2016. Daily intake of total sugar was determined using a food processor software; daily intakes of free and added sugar, and food sources were determined through manual inspection of 3-day food records. Anthropometric measures were completed by trained research staff. We used linear regression models with generalized estimating equations to estimate associations between sugar intakes and anthropometric measures.

**Results:** We included 109 children (55 girls and 54 boys) in 77 families. Mean daily intakes were 86 (standard deviation [SD] 26) g for total sugar, 31 (SD 15) g for free sugar and 26 (SD 13) g for added sugar. Of participants, 80% (n = 87) had intakes of free sugar greater than 5% of their daily energy intake. The most frequent food sources of free and added sugar were bakery products. A weak inverse association between free sugar intake (kcal/1000 kcal) and waist circumference (cm) ($\beta = -0.02$, 95% confidence interval $-0.04$ to $-0.0009$) was found, but no significant associations were noted between sugar intake and other anthropometric measures.

**Interpretation:** Most of the preschool-aged children in this study had free sugar intakes greater than current recommendations; overall, their total, free and added sugar intakes were not associated with the anthropometric measures. This study can be used to inform policy development for sugar intake in young children and apprise early intervention programs.

**Competing interests:** Alison Duncan is a member of the board of directors for the Canadian Nutrition Society, and David Ma is a former president of the Canadian Nutrition Society. David Ma and Jess Haines have received funding from Danone Institute North America and Danone Institute International. David Ma is a co-investigator of a study assessing nutrition knowledge among students that is funded by the Canadian Sugar Institute; he received no remuneration for his participation. No other competing interests were declared.

This article has been peer reviewed.

**Correspondence to:** David Ma, davidma@uoguelph.ca

CMAJ Open 2021 September 14. DOI:10.9778/cmajo.20200178
high blood pressure and lipid abnormalities in children, which can lead to earlier presentation of chronic diseases in children than seen in previous generations. In most studies, sugar-sweetened beverages are the primary source of dietary sugar intake among children and adolescents. One study found that consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages in the first year of life was associated with a 13% increase in the risk of being overweight at 8 years of age. Recently, a study found that higher intakes of sugar-sweetened beverages and 100% fruit juices were associated with increased risk of cardiometabolic risk factors in preschool-aged children. However, there are studies that have found no significant associations between intake of sugar-sweetened beverages and body weight or body mass index (BMI) Z-scores in preschool-aged and school-aged children.

There are multiple definitions in the research literature for added, free and total sugar. The World Health Organization (WHO) and Health Canada define added sugar as any type of dietary sugar that is added in processing or preparation of foods (e.g., sugars added when manufacturing sugar-sweetened beverages and sweet treats such as lollipops, candies and fruit snacks). The term “free sugar” is a broader definition that includes added sugar and sugars that are naturally occurring in honey, syrups, fruit juices and fruit juice concentrates.

Our study objectives were twofold. First, we sought to examine the daily intakes of total sugar, free sugar and added sugar, and the key food sources (by category) of free and added sugar among a sample of preschool-aged children. Our research study adopted the definitions from WHO and Health Canada for free and total sugar. However, the present study used a more common definition of added sugar used in the research literature, which includes sugars added during manufacturing, honey and syrups. Our second objective was to explore cross-sectional associations between intakes of total, free and added sugar, and anthropometric measures, including body weight, BMI Z-scores, body weight, waist circumference and percent body fat. We hypothesized that intake of free and added sugar in preschool-aged children would be positively associated with anthropometric measures.

Methods

Study design

This study is a cross-sectional secondary data analysis of a sample of preschool-aged children from the Guelph Family Health Study (GFHS). This research investigates the amount of total, free and added sugar intake, the associations with anthropometric measures and the key food sources of free and added sugar intake.

Setting and participants

The GFHS is a family-based lifestyle and obesity-prevention study, initiated in 2014 at the University of Guelph in Guelph, Ontario. The current cross-sectional cohort study includes data gathered from preschool-aged children from the GFHS pilot studies at baseline from 2014 to 2016. The purpose of the pilot studies was to test the feasibility and acceptability of our study methods.

Families with at least 1 child aged 1.5 to 5 years, who were not planning to move within the next year, were recruited from the Guelph–Wellington area through the Guelph Family Health Team, Guelph Community Health Centre and Ontario Early Years Centres. Our recruitment strategies were targeted and far-reaching, and included the use of study Facebook posts that were widely shared by community partners, and University of Guelph and GFHS websites. Posters and rack cards were displayed at the above community agencies and also at local recreation centres, libraries and other locations that provide services to young families. The participants completed an eligibility screener either online or over the phone with the study coordinator. Appendix 1, available at www.cmajopen.ca/content/9/3/E855/suppl/DC1, provides more details on the ongoing GFHS. A gift card was provided to participants to acknowledge the time required.

Study measures

Intake and sources of sugar

Intakes of total, free and added sugar were assessed from the analysis of 3-day food records (2 weekdays and 1 weekend day). Parents were given paper food record forms, on which they recorded their child’s food and drink consumption, including amount and occasion of consumption, brand names of products and recipes for mixed foods. Food record data were entered into the ESHA Food Processor software for analysis of 3-day average nutrient intakes (version 11.6.441, 2015). Intake of total sugar was analyzed by the ESHA Food Processor; however, intakes of added and free sugar were determined through manual calculations and review of product and SmartLabel websites.

We adapted the algorithm of Louie and colleagues, which included a standardized, objective and stepwise approach to calculate content of added sugar (including honey and syrups) and free sugar (including foods with added sugar and 100% fruit juice and concentrates). This calculation has been used in other studies that investigated intake of free sugar among preschool-aged children. These calculations were performed by 2 data analysts to assure data quality. The data analysts followed a standard operating procedure to complete the calculation and worked closely together to ensure data consistency. There are limitations in the research literature, such as inconsistent definitions of free and added sugar and limited food composition databases that calculate amounts of added and free sugar. Intakes of total, free and added sugar were normalized to kcal per 1000 kcal/d for ease of comparison in the regression analysis.
To identify sources of free and added sugar, we adapted the categorization system used by Bernstein and colleagues.19 About 17 broad categories of foods with free and added sugar were used to classify the sources consumed by our study population.19 The food records were reviewed to classify combined or stand-alone food items into food categories with free and added sugar. Our data analysts did not note any items with free sugar and added sugar in the food records for the vegetables or fish and seafood categories.

The following are examples of the items included in the prominent food categories seen in our study. Bakery products included items such as all breads and sweet breads, muffins, granola bars, pancakes and cookies. Beverages included both sweetened and unsweetened beverages such as juices and sweetened milk. Cereals and grain products included both hot and cold cereal options. Dairy products and substitutes included sweetened yogurts. Desserts included ice cream, frozen popsicles and Jell-O. Sugars and sweets included marshmallows, candies, syrups, fruit candies and sweetened jams.

Anthropometric measures
Anthropometric outcome variables included waist circumference, height, body weight, percent fat mass and BMI Z-scores. Repeated anthropometric measures were completed by trained research staff. Participants were instructed to remove any footwear and outer garments before measurements were taken. Waist circumference (cm) was measured at the top of the iliac crest of participants’ bare abdomen, using a non-elastic tape measure (Gulick II, Country Technology, Inc.). Height (cm) was measured using a child stadiometer. Height and waist circumference were measured in duplicate; if the values were within 0.5 cm, then a mean value was calculated. Otherwise, a third measurement was taken and the mean of the 2 closest values was calculated. Body weight (kg) was measured using an electronic weighing scale. BMI Z-scores were calculated using the R package zscorer.

Percent fat mass was assessed using supine tetrapolar bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA). Participants were instructed to avoid food or drink and vigorous physical activity for 30 minutes before the BIA assessment. Percent fat mass was calculated using Kushner and colleagues’ total body water formula20 and Fomon and colleagues’ hydration constants.21 Inter- and intrarater reliability of the BIA were previously determined in a sample of 6 children within the GFHS.22 The interrater coefficient of variation and reliability coefficient were 1.8 and 0.79, respectively.22 Moreover, the intrarater coefficient of variation for the first observer was 0.05 and for the second observer was 0.15.22 The intrarater reliability coefficients for the first observer was 0.05 and for the second observer was 0.15.22

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed using SAS University Edition version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc.). We used descriptive statistics to summarize children’s sugar intake. Linear regression models using generalized estimating equations were fitted to estimate associations between sugar intake and anthropometric measures.

We used generalized estimating equations to account for any dependence between sibling participants23 and to attain 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for categories of sugar sources. The variables age and sex were identified as potential confounders and controlled for in the models that explored associations between sugar intake and children’s body weight, fat mass and waist circumference.

Ethics approval
The study was approved by the University of Guelph Research Ethics Board (REB No. 14AP009).

Results
A total of 117 participants (83 families) were enrolled in the pilots. Participants were excluded from analyses if food records were incomplete (n = 4) or if breastfeeding replaced a meal, or exceeded 50 minutes or 625 mL of breastmilk per day (n = 4). Thus, our final analytic study sample included 109 preschool-aged children (55 girls and 54 boys) from 77 families.

Among our sample of 109 children, the mean age was 3.7 (SD 1.2) years, and 84% (n = 92) of the participants were White. A total of 61% (n = 47) of parents reported that their annual household income was greater than $80,000, and 41% (n = 32) had completed postgraduate training or degrees (Table 1). The following were the means noted for the anthropometric measures: body weight 16.2 (standard deviation [SD] 3.6) kg, BMI Z-score 0.6 (SD 1.0), waist circumference 50.8 (SD 4.6) cm and percent fat mass 29.8% (SD 5.2%).

Sugar intake amounts and percent of total energy
Mean daily intakes were 86 (SD 26) g (21.5 teaspoons) for total sugar, 31 (SD 15) g (7.8 teaspoons) for free sugar and 26 (SD 13) g (6.5 teaspoons) for added sugar (Figures 1 and 2). Of participants, 80% (n = 87) had intakes of free sugar that exceeded 5% of their daily energy intake (Figure 1).

Food sources of free and added sugar intakes
We noted that 100% (n = 109) of the children in our study sample were consuming free and added sugar from bakery products, 77% (n = 84) from sugars and sweets, and 72% (n = 77) from cereals and grain products (Table 2). For free sugar intake, the top food sources were bakery products (9.1, 95% CI 8.0 to 10.2, g), sugars and sweets (7.5, 95% CI 6.3 to 8.7, g), and similar consumption of cereals and grain products (4.2, 95% CI 3.2 to 5.1, g) and beverages (10.5, 95% CI 8.0 to 12.9, g). For added sugar, the top food sources were bakery products (9.1, 95% CI 8.0 to 10.2, g), sugars and sweets (7.5, 95% CI 6.3 to 8.6, g), and cereals and grains (4.2, 95% CI 3.2 to 5.1, g) (Table 3).

Cross-sectional associations between sugar intake and anthropometric measures
Overall, children’s total, free and added sugar intakes were not associated with the anthropometric measures explored (Table 4). Our study did find a significant but weak inverse
The average added sugar intake of 6.5 teaspoons per day in our sample exceeds the recommendation of the American Heart Association (AHA) Scientific Statement, which recommends less than 6 teaspoons per day for children older than 2 years, and limiting or avoiding added sugar for children younger than 2 years.27 The World Health Organization recommends reducing free sugar intake to less than 10% of total energy intake but suggests further reducing this limit to below 5%.6 Health Canada recommends the 10% limit.11 These recommendations are also endorsed by the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada.26 Furthermore, 20% of children had added sugar intake that exceeded the 10% limit as recommended by the AHA Scientific Statement25 and the 2015–2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans (Appendix 1).27

The Institute of Medicine recommends a 25% upper limit of total energy intake for added sugars.28 Kranz and colleagues analyzed added sugar consumption among 2- to 5-year-old American children through the Continuing Survey of Food Intake by Individuals.29 In this study, most participants consumed less than 25% of energy from added sugar, similar to our study sample.29 Among this US sample, the top food sources were fruit drinks, high-fat desserts and soft drinks,29 whereas in our sample bakery products were the top food source. Data from population-based surveys in Canada and the United States suggest that intake of sugar-sweetened beverages has decreased over the past 10 years, which may explain the differences in top food sources of sugar intake in our study versus earlier studies with preschool-aged children.30,31

A total of 80% of preschool-aged children in our study had free sugar intakes that exceeded the 5% recommendation set by WHO, and 32% had intakes that exceeded the 10% recommendation.6 These results are similar to research by Devenish and colleagues, who analyzed free sugar intake among 2-year-old children in Australia.18 In this study, 71.1% of children exceeded the 5% of total energy recommendation, and 38% exceeded the less than 10% recommendation.18 The main sources of free sugar found in their sample were fruit juice, biscuits, cakes, desserts and confectionery, which were similar to the main sources of free sugar we found in our study.18

Examination of the cross-sectional associations between total, free and added sugar and anthropometric measures showed a small but significantly negative association between free sugar and waist circumference (Table 4). This result was normalized per 1000 kcal for each participant and therefore may appear small and not clinically relevant. Considering a clinical scenario, the estimated mean difference in waist circumference for 2 children differing in free sugar intake by 2 SDs (100 kcal), assuming a 1000 kcal diet, would be −2 cm with a CI that goes as low as −4 cm (−2.21, 95% CI −4.32 to −0.09, cm). In this manner, a 2-cm decrease in waist circumference is clinically interpretable; however, there is no research to date that suggests consuming more sugar results in a reduction in waist circumference. Interpreting these data within the context of other measures in this study, including nonsignificant associations for fat mass, BMI Z-scores and body weight, and the speed of gait. The data also suggest that the highest free sugar intake was not associated with the parents’ education level (Table 1).
suggests that effects of free sugar on waist circumference are not likely plausible. Nevertheless, given the cross-sectional nature of these analyses, the data do not rule out potential long-term effects that require further follow-up.

Research studies linking sugar intake with weight gain and obesity in children are conflicting, as seen in systematic reviews. For instance, The Dortmund Nutritional and Anthropometric Longitudinally Designed (DONALD) Study
of 216 participants (age 0.5 to 7 yr) found that a high intake of added sugar in the first 2 years of life was associated with an increased BMI by the age of 7 years. By contrast, using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (1971–1975 and 1988–1994) from children aged 1 to 18 years, Song and colleagues found no association between total and

| Food source category* | Type of sugar | % of free and added sugar intake† | No. (%) of children consuming the category‡ |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Bakery products       | Free sugar    | 29.6                             | 109 (100)                                |
|                       | Added sugar   | 34.9                             | 109 (100)                                |
| Sugars and sweets     | Free sugar    | 18.8                             | 84 (77)                                  |
|                       | Added sugar   | 22.1                             | 84 (77)                                  |
| Cereals and grain     | Free sugar    | 9.4                              | 77 (72)                                  |
| products              | Added sugar   | 11.1                             | 77 (72)                                  |
| Beverages             | Free sugar    | 23                               | 77 (71)                                  |
|                       | Added sugar   | 10.5                             | 51 (47)                                  |
| Dairy products        | Free sugar    | 6.4                              | 63 (58)                                  |
| and substitutes       | Added sugar   | 7.5                              | 63 (58)                                  |
| Snacks                | Free sugar    | 1.4                              | 48 (44)                                  |
|                       | Added sugar   | 1.7                              | 48 (44)                                  |
| Sauces, dips and      | Free sugar    | 2.1                              | 44 (40)                                  |
| condiments            | Added sugar   | 2.5                              | 44 (40)                                  |
| Desserts              | Free sugar    | 4.1                              | 29 (27)                                  |
|                       | Added sugar   | 4.7                              | 28 (26)                                  |
| Mixed dishes, sides   | Free sugar    | 1.2                              | 21 (19)                                  |
| and entrees           | Added sugar   | 1.3                              | 20 (18)                                  |
| Meats, eggs and       | Free sugar    | 0.3                              | 17 (16)                                  |
| substitutes           | Added sugar   | 0.3                              | 17 (16)                                  |
| Fruits                | Free sugar    | 1.5                              | 12 (11)                                  |
|                       | Added sugar   | 1.7                              | 12 (11)                                  |
| Other foods and       | Free sugar    | 1.1                              | 10 (9)                                   |
| beverages             | Added sugar   | 1.3                              | 10 (9)                                   |
| Nuts and seeds        | Free sugar    | 0.1                              | 7 (6)                                     |
|                       | Added sugar   | 0.1                              | 7 (6)                                     |
| Fats, oils and        | Free sugar    | 0.1                              | 5 (5)                                     |
| vinegars              | Added sugar   | 0.2                              | 5 (5)                                     |
| Soups                 | Free sugar    | 0.3                              | 5 (5)                                     |
|                       | Added sugar   | 0.3                              | 5 (5)                                     |
| Vegetables            | Free sugar    | 0                                | 0 (0)                                     |
|                       | Added sugar   | 0                                | 0 (0)                                     |
| Fish and seafood      | Free sugar    | 0                                | 0 (0)                                     |
|                       | Added sugar   | 0                                | 0 (0)                                     |

*The 17 categories were adapted from Bernstein et al.†Calculation for free and added sugar was completed by calculating the average of added/free sugar (grams) for 3 days for each participant in the specific category divided by total added/free sugar (grams) times 100. ‡Percent of children consuming the category: this is a calculation of the percent of participants who were included in each category. Total n = 109 preschool-aged children.
This study suggested that total energy intake, rather than a specific nutrient, had a greater impact on children’s BMI. There is a paucity of literature on sugar intake and anthropometric measures in preschool-aged children. Although overall, the research examining dietary sugar intake has been mixed in children, evidence shows intake of sugar-sweetened beverages is associated with cardiometabolic risk factors that include increased waist circumference and BMI Z-scores in preschool-aged children. This is likely due to the increased energy density in the overall dietary pattern with intake of sugar-sweetened beverages. Our findings indicated a significant negative association between free sugar intake and waist circumference (which is not clinically relevant owing to the small regression coefficient estimate) and do not suggest any other associations of sugar intake with anthropometric measures.

**Limitations**

Some limitations should be considered when interpreting our results. Our study sample consisted of 84% White participants, and more than 61% of participants had an annual household income greater than $80 000. Thus, our results may not be generalizable to children from diverse ethnic or low socioeconomic populations. A sample size calculation was not needed for the study, as the data were from pilot studies designed to address feasibility and all the available data were analyzed. Owing to the small sample, there is a risk of type 2

### Table 3: Key food source categories* of contribution to free and added sugar intake in preschool-aged children in the Guelph Family Health Study pilot studies

| Food source category | Type of sugar | n  | Mean intake (95% CI), g | Mean intake (95% CI), kcal |
|----------------------|---------------|----|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Bakery products      | Free sugar    | 109| 9.1 (8.0 to 10.2)       | 36.4 (36.0 to 40.8)       |
|                      | Added sugar   | 109| 9.1 (8.0 to 10.2)       | 36.4 (36.0 to 40.8)       |
| Sugars and sweets    | Free sugar    | 84 | 7.5 (6.3 to 8.7)        | 30.0 (25.2 to 34.8)       |
|                      | Added sugar   | 84 | 7.5 (6.3 to 8.6)        | 30.0 (25.2 to 34.4)       |
| Cereals and grain products | Free sugar | 77 | 4.2 (3.2 to 5.1)        | 16.8 (12.8 to 20.4)       |
|                      | Added sugar   | 77 | 4.2 (3.2 to 5.1)        | 16.8 (12.8 to 20.4)       |
| Beverages            | Free sugar    | 77 | 10.5 (8.0 to 12.9)      | 42.0 (32 to 51.6)         |
|                      | Added sugar   | 51 | 6.5 (4.7 to 8.3)        | 26.0 (18.8 to 33.2)       |
| Dairy products and substitutes | Free sugar | 63 | 3.4 (2.8 to 4.0)        | 13.6 (11.2 to 16.0)       |
|                      | Added sugar   | 63 | 3.4 (2.8 to 4.0)        | 13.6 (11.2 to 16.0)       |
| Snacks               | Free sugar    | 48 | 1.0 (0.6 to 1.4)        | 4.0 (2.4 to 5.6)          |
|                      | Added sugar   | 48 | 1.0 (0.6 to 1.4)        | 4.0 (2.4 to 5.6)          |
| Sauces, dips and condiments | Free sugar | 44 | 1.6 (1.2 to 1.9)        | 6.4 (4.8 to 7.6)          |
|                      | Added sugar   | 44 | 1.6 (1.2 to 1.9)        | 6.4 (4.8 to 7.6)          |
| Desserts             | Free sugar    | 29 | 4.7 (3.6 to 5.9)        | 18.8 (14.4 to 23.6)       |
|                      | Added sugar   | 28 | 4.8 (3.6 to 6.0)        | 19.2 (14.4 to 24)         |

Note: CI = confidence interval.
*Key food sources were defined based on those categories that were consumed by at least 25% of the preschool-aged children in the pilot studies.

### Table 4: Cross-sectional associations between added, free and total sugar intakes and anthropometric measures, including body weight, BMI Z-scores, waist circumference and percent fat mass (n = 109)

| Type of sugar* | Body weight (kg)† | BMI Z-scores | Waist circumference (cm)† | Fat mass (%)† |
|---------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|
|               | β (95% CI)       | β (95% CI)  | β (95% CI)               | β (95% CI)   |
| Added sugar   | −0.01 (−0.03 to 0.007) | −0.005 (−0.01 to 0.002) | −0.02 (−0.05 to 0.008) | −0.001 (−0.03 to 0.03) |
| Free sugar    | −0.011 (−0.02 to 0.002) | −0.004 (−0.008 to 0.0006) | −0.02 (−0.04 to −0.0009) | −0.003 (−0.03 to 0.02) |
| Total sugar   | −0.004 (−0.01 to 0.006) | −0.001 (−0.005 to 0.002) | −0.009 (−0.02 to 0.007) | 0.0009 (−0.02 to 0.02) |

Note: BMI = body mass index, CI = confidence interval.
*Units for added sugar, free sugar and total sugar are normalized to kcal/1000 kcal.
†Data have been presented controlling for age and sex for body weight, waist circumference and percent fat mass.
error (false negatives), and thus, there is a need for replication in larger cohorts. Parent-reported food records were used to collect dietary data, which may lead to underreporting of children’s sugar intake data owing to social desirability bias or errors in the reporting of children’s intake.

In Canada, it is not required that companies identify the proportion of added and naturally occurring sugars on nutrition labels. This meant that for some products, such as infant formula, we were unable to differentiate the free and added sugars in the product. Our definition of added sugar was adapted from Louie and colleagues\textsuperscript{14} and includes honey and syrups. Although this definition is aligned with other research in this field,\textsuperscript{13,14} it has been debated\textsuperscript{15,16} as it is not consistent with current WHO and Health Canada definitions.\textsuperscript{6,13}

**Conclusion**

Our study found that young children are exceeding current Canadian and WHO recommendations for dietary sugar intake. Preschool-aged children are consuming free and added sugar from many food sources. Overall, there was no association with dietary sugar intake and anthropometric measures in our study participants. The study findings support current recommendations that preschool-aged children should limit free and added sugar intake to establish healthy dietary patterns early in life. Although our study does not provide evidence linking sugar intakes and anthropometric measures, most children exceeded the WHO recommendations for free sugar intake.

This study can inform health care professionals and policymakers about the current consumption patterns of sugars in young children, as there is currently limited research available for this age group. Our data regarding key food and beverage sources of sugar intake among preschool-aged children can be used to guide dietary and policy advice for preschool-aged children. Given the limited research examining sugar intake among young children and the impact on cardiometabolic risk factors in early years, further investigation of dietary sugar intake (amount and sources) and longitudinal associations with anthropometric measures are warranted in preschool-aged children.
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