ORIGIN OF THE JEZERO V LEDVICAH LAKE; A DEPRESSION IN A GUTTER-SHAPED KARSTIC AQUIFER (JULIAN ALPS, NW SLOVENIA)
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Abstract

Boštjan Rožič, Tomislav Popit, Luka Gale, Timotej Verbovšek, Ines Vidmar, Matej Dolenec & Petra Žvab Rožič: Origin of the Jezero v Ledvicah lake; a depression in a gutter-shaped karstic aquifer (Julian Alps, NW Slovenia)

The Julian Alps are composed almost exclusively of Triassic to Lower Jurassic carbonates, which results in a karstified high-alpine landscape. In such settings, large water accumulations are not expected and precipitated water drains vertically, gathers in deep, large-scale aquifers, and outflows in large karstic springs located in deeply incised valleys. Some small lakes, however, exist in high alpine areas. Most commonly, they formed above impermeable glacial sediments and are generally characterized by stagnant waters. Jezero v Ledvicah lake, which is one of the seven lakes in the Triglav Lakes Valley, is an exception, because it shows high subaqueous water inflow and outflow and occurs among highly karstified and permeable carbonates. Combining previous research with our new, detailed geological mapping of the lake surroundings and sedimentary research on the Lower Jurassic strata, we propose a hydrogeological model with the aim of explaining the extraordinary behaviour of the lake. We propose that Jezero v Ledvicah lake: A) is part of the “gutter-shaped” aquifer with perched groundwater that is situated below the floor of the Triglav Lakes Valley; B) barriers of the aquifer are structural (faults and thrust) and stratigraphic (clay interlayers in Lower Jurassic limestone); C) the lake formed in a structural, hydrogeological and morphological depression within this aquifer; D) the groundwater of the aquifer is recharged not solely from the surface directly above the aquifer

Izvleček

Boštjan Rožič, Tomislav Popit, Luka Gale, Timotej Verbovšek, Ines Vidmar, Matej Dolenec & Petra Žvab Rožič: Nastanek Jezera v Ledvicah – globel v žlebu podobnem kraškem vodonosniku (Julijske Alpe, SZ Slovenija)

Julijske Alpe skoraj v celoti sestavljajo triasni in jurski karbonati, kar se odraža v morfologiji kraške visokogorske pokrajine. V tovrstnih razmerah ni pričakovati večjih površinskih pojavov vode, saj padavinska voda pronica vertikalno in se akumulira v obsežnih globokih vodonosnikih, iz teh pa izteka v izdatkih kraških izvirih, ki so v globoko vrezanih dolinah. Kljub temu v alpskem visokogorju obstaja nekaj manjših jezer. Večina jih je nastala nad neprepustnimi ledeniškimi sedimenti in jih lahko opredelimo kot stoječe vode. Jezero v Ledvicah, ki je eno izmed sedmih jezer v dolini Triglavskih jezer, je izjema, saj ima močno podzemno napajanje in iztok (je pretočno) ter se pojavlja med močno zakraselimi in prepustnimi karbonati. Na podlagi predhodnih raziskav, izdelave nove geološke karte okolice jezera in sedimentoloških raziskav spodnjejurskih plast vlagamo strukturno-geološki in hidrogeološki model, da bi razložili nastanek in lokacijo jezera. Naše ugotovitve kažejo, da je A) Jezero v Ledvicah del žlebu podobnega vodonosnika z visečo podzemno vodo, ki se pojavlja v jurskih plasteh pod dolino Triglavskih jezer, B) da so hidrogeološke bariere vodonosnika strukturne (prelomi in nariv) in stratigrafske (plasti gline v spodnjejurskem apnencu), C) da je jezero nastalo v strukturni, hidrogeološki in morfoški depresiji znotraj vodonosnika, D) da se podzemna voda ne napaja izključno iz padavin, ki padejo neposredno na površino vodonosnika, am-
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but additionally by subterraneous inflow from the overlying Slatna Nappe aquifer; and E) groundwater outflows from the aquifer at the southern end of the Triglav Lakes Valley, where the Lower Jurassic limestone pinches out. **Key words:** high-alpine lake, karstic aquifer, Julian Alps, Triglav Lakes Valley, Jurassic limestone, Southern Alps.

**INTRODUCTION**

The bright colours of the Julian Alps are related to the range’s carbonate rock composition, in which Late Triassic limestones dominate (Buser 1987, 2009; Jurkovšek 1986; Šmuc & Rožič 2010). The geological conditions there resulted in the development of a high-alpine karst characterized by extremely deep abysses, caves and surfaces without surface water flows (Kunaver 2004; Hrvatin et al. 2015; Zini et al. 2015). At higher altitudes, springs are very rare and commonly connected to fault zones. The water from rainfall and snowmelt is drained vertically into relatively deep bodies of water that feed large karstic springs, such as the Soča, Boka, Tolminka, Savica and others (Trišič et al. 1997; Janež 2002; Brenčič 2004; Petrič 2004; Brenčič & Vreča 2016). In such settings, prominent high-altitude lakes are not common, but several small-scale surface water accumulations exist. Their rather small size notwithstanding, they are interesting owing to their mere existence and contribute to the overall beauty of the Julian Alps. In the central Julian Alps, the most celebrated are Krn Lake and the seven lakes of the Triglav Lakes Valley (TLV) (Brancelj 2004, 2015). The origin of these lakes is generally attributed to the impermeable floor composed of glacial sediments, such as tilles (Hrvatin et al. 2015), or to the filling of pores and fractures in the basement rocks with fine glacial material (Brancelj 2004). This is a fine generalization, as lakes all exist in valleys that show a clear glacial morphological overprint. There are seven lakes in the TLV, and the middle one, which is the subject of this paper, stands out for its extraordinary size among them. Owing to its shape or the shape of the boulders along its banks it is known as a Ledvička (which means little kidney) Lake or, as is commonly referred to in literature, Jezero v Ledvicah lake (which translates into “Lake inside Kidneys”). A quick overview of the Jezero v Ledvicah lake’s (JLL) banks, however, discourages a glacial-related interpretation of its origin. The eastern banks of the lake are indeed covered by tillite and post-glacial scree deposits, which could cover the underlying tillite. In contrast, the lake water on both the western as well as southern banks comes into direct contact with karstified limestone, mostly the marly and nodular limestone of the Ammonitico Rosso type. Researchers in the past have noted this fact, and it was proposed that these Jurassic beds constitute important water barrier (Gams 1962). Later, they were studied sedimentologically and described as the Prehodavci Formation (Šmuc 2005; Šmuc & Rožič 2010). Investigations showed that these nodular limestones are actually very pure, and therefore cannot be considered water barriers. A second piece of crucial information that illustrates the extraordinary nature of the JLL comes from a hydrogeological tracing experiment, which showed that the JLL has prominent inflow as well as outflow of groundwater (Urbanc & Brancelj 1999; Brancelj & Urbanc 2000). These facts encouraged further research, and a detailed mapping, sedimentological logging and mineralogical analysis were all performed, which culminated in the new solution to the origin question of the JLL presented in this paper.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

The studied area belongs to the eastern part of the Southern Alps (Fig. 1), more precisely to the Julian Nappe and Slatna Nappe (Slavša plate in Placer 2008, or Slatna tectonic outlier in Placer 2016), with the latter representing the highest (or second highest) structural unit of this part of the Southern Alps (Placer 1999, 2008; Goričan et al. 2018). The Julian Nappe is dominated by shallow-water carbonates of the Julian Carbonate Platform (Buser 1987; Jurkovšek 1986; Ogorelec & Buser 1996). This very thick succession is locally interrupted by rather thin, laterally discontinuous ladinian vulcanites and hemipelagic limestones with chert, and latest Carnian/earliest Norian deep-water Martuljek Limestone (Celarc & Kolar-Jurkovšek 2008; Celarc et al. 2013). The Julian Carbonate Platform is overlain by
a very thin layer of Middle Jurassic to earliest Cretaceous condensed succession of limestone several meters thick belonging to the Julian High pelagic plateau (Šmuc 2005, Šmuc & Rožič 2010). The Slatna Nappe consists solely of Carnian massive dolomite and limestone (Jurkovšek 1986; Buser 1987). Thrust structures are further displaced by neotectonic strike slip faults (Placer 1999; Kastelic et al. 2008; Šmuc & Rožič 2009; Goričan et al. 2018).

In the TLV, the eastern cliffs belong to the Slatna Nappe, whereas the valley floor and western cliffs consist of Julian Nappe carbonates. The thrust plane runs below the eastern cliffs and is completely covered by toe-of-slope scree deposits (Šmuc 2005, 2015). The area is characterized by the southward divergent strike-slip Zelnarice and the Špičje fault zones (Fig. 2). The area between the fault zones is characterized by an extensional setting, which is manifested in the NW-SE oriented connecting normal faults, along which southern blocks were lowered. Such a setting played a crucial role in the geomorphological shaping of the TLV (Šmuc & Rožič 2009).

**METHODS**

A detailed geological mapping of the JLL area was performed. Clay interlayers were sampled from outcrops logged in a detailed sedimentological section (1:50 scale) north of the JLL. Clays were analysed for mineralogical composition with a Panalytical PW 3830/40 XRD device, which uses a PW 1820 goniometer and a PW3830 X-ray generator, with a copper tube PW 2273/20 subject to an electric current of 30 mA and a voltage of 40 kV. Clay fractions (less than 2 microns) were separated from the bulk sample by centrifugation and mounted as an oriented aggregate mount for clay-mineral identification. The following treatments were used for the extent of d-spacing expansion and contraction indicative of certain clay minerals: air drying, glycol and glycerol hot baths, and heating to 550°C. Difractograms were analysed with use of X’pert HighScore Plus software, together with the
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**Fig. 1:** Location of the Jezero v Ledvica lake: a) position within Europe; boxed area is enlarged in Fig. 1 b; b) structural division of western Slovenia (after Placer 2016) with position of the studied area (marked by a star) between the Slatna and Julian nappes.
Fig. 2: Structural-geomorphological model of the Triglav Lakes Valley with the Jezero v Ledvicah lake area marked (modified from Šmuc & Rožič 2009): a) Triglav Lakes Valley formed in the transtensional wedge; b) simplified geological map of the Triglav Lakes Valley placed over the digital elevation model; note that Lower Jurassic and Upper Triassic (Norian-Rhaetian) limestones are not divided in this figure.
PAN - ICSD database for mineral determinations. Carbonates were also sampled for microfacies and biostratigraphic research. The extent of the aquifer was assessed by combining the data from previous mappings (Šmuc & Rožič 2009), a detailed geological map of the JLL area, and a surface analysis of a shaded digital elevation model with a spatial resolution of 1 m taken from a lidar scanning in 2014–2015. On the latter, the transition from Lower Jurassic oolithic megabed to bedded limestone (approximate aquifer boundary) is well recognizable and served to delineate the aquifer's western margin.

DESCRIPTION OF THE MESOZOIC SUCCESSION AND QUATERNARY UNITS

The oldest rocks in the mapped area are Carnian massive carbonates (dolomite and limestone) that form the eastern cliffs of the TLV and belong to the Slatna Nappe (Figs. 3 & 4) and therefore have no direct influence on the JLL. Their indirect role is manifested only as they act as the source-rock for the scree apron that covers the thrust-face and terminates at the eastern banks of the JLL (Fig. 5a).

In the Julian Nappe, a tectonic unit that directly underlies the JLL, the succession begins with very thick Dachstein Limestone, the main constituent of some impressive cliffs in the Julian Alps. It is well bedded and exhibits lofer cycles (Ogorelec & Buser 1996). In the mapped area it comprises the western flanks of the valley and is followed by a megabed composed mainly of ooidal limestone (Fig. 5b) several tens of meters thick. The onset of intense ooidal production marks the beginning of the Jurassic beds (Buser 1987, 1989; Jurkovšek 1987).

It is overlain by a Lower Jurassic succession of alternating, well-bedded micritic and fine-grained pelletal limestone (Fig. 5b) 90 meters thick, exhibiting common signs of subaerial exposure such as fenestrae and erosion surfaces marked by breccias filled with greenish marl. Thin sections made from limestones show microbial growth structures (occasionally in the form of oncocoids). Other rare facies are medium-grained peloidal and bioclastic (bivalves, gastropods) limestone. Among fossils, *Thaumatoporella* sp. is common, and foraminifers *Siphovalvulina* sp., *Earlandia* sp., *Textulariidae*, *Valvulinidae* and nodosarid *Lagenina* were detected. This species-poor assemblage is characteristic for lowermost Jurassic shallow marine carbonates all over the peri-Mediterranean area (e.g., Chiocchini et al. 1994; Boudagher-Fadel et al. 2001; Barattolo & Romano 2005; Mancinelli et al. 2005; Pomoni-Papaioannou & Kostopoulou et al. 2008; Tunaboylu et al. 2014), and also for the Lower Jurassic beds of the Julian Carbonate Platform (Jurkovšek 1987). These beds strike from the NE towards the SW corners of the mapped area and run through the central part of the investigated area along the JLL, where they even form small portions of the JLL banks. During the mapping, four thin beds (up to 15 cm thick) of green clays were discovered, logged in the detailed sedimentological section, and sampled in the outcrops at the NE margin of the investigated area (Fig. 5b – enlarged box). They occur within basal 16 m of this unit. The first bed occurs approximately 3 m above an oolithic megabed (the first two meters are covered by a scree deposit). It is 4 cm thick and composed almost exclusively of illite, subordinate K-feldspar and chlorite and traces of pyrite (Tab. 1). Upwards, after a carbonate interbed 2 cm thick a second bed follows, which is 3 cm thick and composed of carbonates (calcite and dolomite) but also contains illite (assessed to 22%) and traces of K-feldspar. A third bed occurs approximately 9 meters above the oolithic megabed, and is 15 cm thick and light green in the lower 7 cm and dark green in the upper 8 cm. The lower part displays a composition similar to the second bed, but contains less illite (15%) and no K-feldspar. In contrast, the upper part is composed almost exclusively of illite (assessed to 96%), with some chlorite and traces of dolomite and pyrite. Clays actually show a mixed layer of smectite/illite. The fourth bed occurs approximately 16 meters above the oolithic megabed and is 15 cm thick. In the lower part some thin limestone nodular beds are interlayered, whereas the upper part is composed solely of a clayey material composed predominantly of illite (67%), 30% calcite and traces of chlorite, kaolinite and pyrite.

These beds correlate with the clay interlayer reported from the northern cliff of the Mt Kanjavec ridge (Herlec et al. 2009), which closes the TLV at its northern end. Authors report a green-clay (pyroclastic) interbed located above the oolithic megabed. They analysed clays in three locations inside the TLV, one possibly the same as that in our studied section. Although they do not provide details on mineralogy, these clay layers display mixed-layer characteristics and therefore correspond to the clay mineralogy detected in our section. We propose that the clay interlayers are most likely continuous and spread at least across the area of the TLV. Here we notice that Herlec and co-authors (2009) report also other, more distal findings of similar interlayers, which occa-
Fig. 3: Schematic succession of the Triglav Lakes Valley area with detailed section containing clay interlayers.
sionally have montmorillonite clays, indicating their volcanogenic origin.

The youngest rock-unit belongs to the Prehodavci Formation (Šmuc 2005). It is several meters thick and composed of nodular, grey and red limestone of the Ammonitico Rosso type (Figs. 5c-e). At the base of the formation, ferromanganese nodules indicate stratigraphic gaps within this condensed Middle and Upper Jurassic formation. The formation formed after platform drowning, which turned into a submarine plateau known as the Julian High (Šmuc 2005). In the Julian Alps this formation is overlain by end-Jurassic Biancone Limestone, which is thin-bedded, light-coloured pelagic limestone with chert nodules. These beds outcrop sporadically in the TLV (Šmuc 2005, 2015), but were not detected in the mapped area. They are either cut off by the Slatna thrust-fault or covered by scree deposits.

Among Quaternary deposits a tillite sedimentary body was mapped on the southern banks of the JLL. It is surrounded by Holocene scree and rock-fall deposits. Scree covers eastern flanks of the entire TLV and originates from the steep upper portions of the Zelnarice Ridge. We divided scree deposits into three “generations” due to the intensity of plant coverage there. Rock-fall deposits originate from both sides of the valley, occur at several locations, and two “generations” were outlined using the same criteria as for the scree. On the SW banks of the JLL the banks are flat and covered with marsh vegetation.

| Bed   | Sample | Illite | K-Feldspar | Chlorite | Dolomite | Calcite | Kaolinite | Pyrite | Mixed layer |
|-------|--------|--------|------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| 1     | LED-8.25 | 93.9   | 1.6        | 3.1      | -        | 1.3     | -         | 0.1    | -           |
| 2     | LED-8.40 | 22.2   | 0.3        | TRACE    | 46.5     | 31.0    | -         | -      | -           |
| 3     | LED-14.35| 15.1   | -          | 3.8      | 35.0     | 49.9    | -         | -      | -           |
| 4     | LED-14.45| 95.8   | -          | 3.8      | 0.1      | -       | -         | 0.3    | 5m/III      |
| 4     | LED-20.9 | 67.5   | -          | 0.8      | 30.6     | 0.8     | 1.1       | -      | -           |

Fig. 4: Detailed geological map of the Jezero v Ledvicah lake area.
STRUCTURE OF THE JEZERO V LEDVICAH LAKE AREA

The thrust-fault of the Slatna Nappe was recognized regionally, but is completely covered in the mapped area by a scree-apron that runs along the Zelnarice Ridge. Several neotectonic strike-slip faults were mapped in the JLL area. These faults belong to a large-scale divergent strike-slip fault zones that produced extensional area in the fault-wedge. This structural setting was proposed as having played a crucial role in the origin of the TLV as well as its present geomorphology (Šmuc & Rožič 2009).

In the mapped area the easternmost fault of the Špičje fault zone (Fig. 2) was recognized in the NW part (from here below written solely as Špičje fault) and represents the most prominent structure. It separates the Dachstein Limestone on the NW from the (almost exclusively) Jurassic limestones on the SE. From the Špičje

Fig. 5: Geology of the Jezero v Ledvicah lake area: a) eastern banks (left) are covered by scree and glacial deposits, western banks (right) are in direct contact with rock basement, b) clay interlayers occur within basal 16 meters of the Lower Jurassic bedded limestone (see boxed area for close-up view), c-d) limestone of the Ammonitico rosso facies forms the western cliff, bank and floor of the lake, e) karstified contact between Lower Jurassic bedded limestone and Prehodavci Formation at the top of the western cliff.
ORIGIN OF THE JEZERO V LEDVICAH LAKE; A DEPRESSION IN A GUTTER-SHAPED KARSTIC AQUIFER (JULIAN ALPS, NW SLOVENIA)

Fig. 6: Hydrogeology of the Triglav Lakes Valley: hydrogeological map and schematic cross-sections of the Triglav Lakes Valley aquifer.
ORIGIN OF THE JEZERO V LEDVICAH LAKE

The geological structure played a crucial role in the formation of the entire TLV. It originated with a tectonic subsidence within a divergent strike-slip fault wedge. Transtensional conditions are manifested by the continuous down-throwing of blocks along the connecting faults, which can be traced throughout the entire valley. Such structure is reflected in the "stair-like" topography of the TLV floor that is still recognizable, despite the reshaping of the glacier (Fig. 2; for details see Šmuc & Rožič 2009). In the JLL area, however, this general structural trend is interrupted (Fig. 6). Namely, the central tectonic block (the basement block of the JLL) is lowered against the NE block, which is in accordance with the general trend here. But simultaneously, it is lowered also against the SW block, in opposition to the general setting. Such conditions are reflected also in specific geomorphological conditions, where the generally "stair-like" descending valley floor is interrupted by an opposite step. It could produce specific, local conditions also during glaciations, and during retreat of the glaciers the depression could be more pronouncedly filled by till. This is probably indicated in the tillite accumulation that occurs on the SE margin of the JLL (tillites are otherwise rare in this part of the TLV). However, even if the depression existed during glaciation, the lake's solely glacial origin is unlikely, as the tillites form only part of the JLL banks (and floor).

On the western and southern banks the lake water comes in direct contact with Jurassic limestone, where both Middle to Upper Jurassic condensed limestone as well as Lower Jurassic bedded limestone are present (Figs. 5c, e). It is particularly evident in the western bank, where rock-faces can be seen several meters below the water surface (Fig. 5d). Both lithostratigraphic units show very intense karstification in the entire mapped area, and in the area directly behind the banks a well-expressed grikes mimic the tectonic setting of the valley (Figs. 7a, b). Some small-scale vertical cave-shafts were also detected (Fig. 7c). Other specific karstic features are rillenkarren on the shore-face (Fig. 7d), which dendritically branch out and gain in density towards the JLL surface and are related to intense rock-dissolution in specific microclimatic conditions where near-surface moisture (condensed water) plays an important role (Herlec 2009). It is unlikely that such intensively karstified (porous) rocks would form a lake water barrier, and a more complex model (compared to existing models) is needed.

We propose a hydrogeological model of a small-scale aquifer with perched groundwater that exists below the JLL floor. The main barriers of this aquifer are of two kinds. The first are the prominent faults, which result in strong rock deformations and serve to deflect groundwater in karst systems (named as deflector faults in: Šušteršič et al. 2001; Šušteršič 2006; Čar 2018). The faults in the TLV are mostly vertical and therefore represent the lateral barriers of the aquifer. A particularly strong barrier is expected in the easterly-located Zelnarice fault (Fig. 6b), which represents a major fault in the fault wedge described above. The second kind of barrier is stratigraphic, and is represented by several clay interlayers that occur within the Lower Jurassic bedded limestones just above the thick oolithic megabed. It represents a generally vertical barrier but is, however, slightly inclined towards the E/SE as it follows the dipping of the beds. In our model, clay interlayers separate the small-scale upper aquifer from the underlying large-scale aquifer composed of a thick succession of Late Triassic limestones including the oolithic megabed. This large aquifer has predominantly unconfined characteristics, a thick vadose zone, and gathers rain and snowmelt waters towards the strong, low-altitude Savica spring (and others) that finally fill the large glacial Bohinj Lake in the Bohinj Valley (Tršič et al. 1997; Brenič & Vreča 2016). Like the large-scale aquifer below, the small upper aquifer of the JLL area is also karstic and feeds from local rainfall and snowmelt. The large- and small-scale hydrogeological systems are therefore generally similar, but the scale, characteristics and surface-interaction of the groundwater differ.

We propose that a large part of the TLV shallow
subsurface actually forms small-scale interconnected aquifers, with the aquifer the JLL area (described above) being just one of them (Figs. 6c & 8). It is more correct to describe it as a single segmented aquifer, which we call the Triglav Lakes Valley aquifer (TLV aquifer). In the TLV aquifer each particular segment is defined by boundaries of corresponding tectonic block. Due to the described structural setting, tectonic blocks (aquifer segments) are gradually lowered towards the south, together with the valley floor. The system works as a "gutter" that distributes groundwater along the axis of the valley. The main barrier of the "gutter" consists in the vertical Zelnarice fault to the east and the generally eastward-dipping clay interlayers in the remaining part of the aquifer. In this system, each lower (southern) segment is fed by groundwater from the upper (northern) segment.

An atypically lowered block at the JLL is an exception in the general setting and acts as a depression within the gutter. In this hydrogeological and morphological depression the groundwater accumulates and probably even "outcrops" in the form of the JLL. With such a model we can explain the subaqueous water inflow in the northern part of the lake and the outflow in the southern part of the lake (Urbanc & Brancelj 1999), where water re-enters the "classically shaped" gutter system. We can say that the JLL water represents the exposed part of the entire system, where the exposition is directly connected to the anomaly of the gutter of the TLV aquifer.

Our hydrogeological model is supported by a tracer test that proved the connection of the groundwater flow between JLL and the Močilec spring (Urbanc & Brancelj 1999, 2002; Brancelj & Urbanc 2000). The Močilec spring is located approximately 2 km south, very close to the Dvojno jezero Lake ("Double Lake") (Fig. 6). The spring is typically karstic, as it displays high variations in water outflow. The water springs just below one of the connecting NW-SE faults, flows along the surface for a small distance, and sinks back into a particular segment of the aquifer. Only during extreme water events (e.g., snow-melt combined with heavy rain) does it reach the Dvojno jezero Lake, which is otherwise characterized by rather stagnant water (Brancelj & Urbanc 2000; Muri & Brancelj 2002) and which is therefore a glacial lake (accumulated in the depression with the floor sealed by glacial material).

Another spring called Izvir pod Rušnato Glavo occurs 1.1 km further south. It could represent the final outflow from the "gutter" aquifer; or perhaps more ac-
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**Fig. 7: Karstification of Jurassic limestones from the western cliff of the Jezero v Ledvicah lake: a-b) grikes are oriented mainly in the N-S and NW-SE directions and mimic the tectonic structure of the area, c) entrance to the small cave-shaft (circled) just behind the cliff edge, d) rillenkarren dendritically branch out and gain in density towards the lake surface.**
Fig. 8: Hydrogeological model of the Triglav Lakes Valley aquifer with 3D view of hydrogeological map placed atop the satellite image (above; source Google Earth), without satellite image (middle) and schematic hydrogeological model (below).
HYDROGEOLOGICAL CALCULATIONS

In order to test the validity of the proposed hydrogeological model we used the water balance approach to calculate the recharge area of the TLV aquifer. For the water balance calculations, we used previously estimated discharge data and performed snow-water equivalent (SWE) modelling, since snowmelt is the main contributor to the recharge process in these high-altitude parts during the time period studied (spring/early June).

The input part of the water balance for the TLV aquifer was equated with the difference in daily SWE, modelled by a degree-day point model that uses precipitation and temperature data to model SWE (DeWalle & Rango 2008). Although less precise than an energy-balance approach, the degree-day approach requires less data but still produces results comparable to other methods (Rango & Martinec 1995). It has been reported that despite their shortcomings in terms of temporal and spatial variability accuracy, such conceptual models generally outperform energy-balance models on catchment scales (Hock 2003). In order to calculate the water balance input, several empirical parameters needed to be estimated, among them: critical temperature to determine the precipitation event type, degree-day factor and base temperature in order to determine melt rates, surface temperature factor and cold-content degree-day factor to determine rate of change in cold content, and liquid-water holding capacity to deter-
Fig. 9: Hydrogeology of purported recharge area: a) minimum recharge area covers only the gutter-shaped TLV aquifer; maximal recharge area proposes scree-covered inflow from Slatna aquifer (from areas of vertical as well as lateral contacts), b) precipitation, temperature and SWE at Kredarica station, November 1998–July 1999, c) comparison of seasonal SWE at Kredarica station 1955–2018.
mine the start of drainage from the snowpack. Daily SWE for the 1998/1999 winter season was determined using meteorological data from the Slovenian Environment Agency for the Kredarica station (ARSO 2018). The station is located approximately 6.5 km northeast of the JLL. Fig. 9 shows the proposed minimum and maximum recharge areas, the measured precipitation and temperature data, as well as the modelled SWE data for the Kredarica meteorological station from November 1998 to July 1999 (for details see Vidmar 2015; Vidmar & Brenčič 2018). The period 1998–1999 was used, as the only tracer test was performed in this period.

The water balance of the entire TLV aquifer is not known due to its complex karstic characteristics. Therefore, previously estimated discharge values from part of the aquifer were used. The results of the tracer test in June 1999 represent the only available discharge data for the TLV aquifer at the JLL location (Urbanc & Brancelj 1999). The discharge values were determined based on the estimated lake volume from older data amounting to 135,000 m³ (Gams 1962) and the measured concentrations of the tracer along the lake during the tracer test that was used to estimate the volume of fresh water that entered the lake during the two days of monitoring. According to the results, the discharge of the lake was 0.729 m³/s on 13 June 1999, and 0.822 m³/s on 14 June 1999. The tracer test confirmed the direct connection between the JLL and the Močilec spring at a distance of approximately 2 km downstream, where the tracer concentration reached its maximum 6 days after injection into the lake. Assuming similar characteristics along the entire length of the TLV aquifer, we calculated its recharge area upstream of the JLL using the modelled daily SWE difference and the measured daily discharge and aquifer storage time from the tracer test by means of the following equation:

\[ A = \frac{Q \cdot t}{\Delta SWE} \]

where:

- \( A \) is the recharge area of TLV aquifer
- \( Q \) is the discharge estimated during 1999
- \( t \) is the time step (= 1 day)
- \( \Delta SWE \) is the daily difference in modelled SWE value

Using the given equation we calculated the recharge area using the estimated discharge on a given day (e.g., 13 June 1999) and the daily SWE difference 6 days prior to the discharge estimation (e.g., 6–7 June 1999). Two discharge estimations led to two recharge area calculations: 3.00 km² for the first day, and 3.38 km² for the second day. In order to avoid the bias and uncertainty stemming from the use of specific data in a simple empirical lumped model, a third calculation was made using the average SWE differences in the period two weeks prior to the discharge estimations while also averaging the two discharge values, which resulted in a recharge area of 2.67 km².

The calculated recharge area ranges from 2.67 to 3.38 km², which correlates far better to the larger of the two proposed hydrogeological recharge areas of the TLV aquifer (Fig. 9), which have areas from 0.80 km² (minimum area) to 3.39 km² (maximum area). Regarding the results of the estimated discharge, the larger recharge area, which includes some inflow from the Slatna aquifer, is much more realistic.

**CONCLUSIONS**

High-altitude lakes in the Julian Alps are generally considered to be glacial in origin. In the Jezero v Ledvici lake, such interpretation is problematic, as its eastern banks are composed of highly karstified Jurassic limestone. We propose a hydrogeological model, where the lake is part of a karstic aquifer with perched groundwater. The lateral barriers are formed by prominent deflector faults, whereas a vertical barrier is represented by several clay intercalations that occur within the Jurassic bedded limestone there. The specific structure of the area formed a segmented “gutter-shaped” aquifer that we call the Triglav Lakes Valley aquifer. This aquifer collects and distributes water from the north down towards the southern parts of the valley. The Jezero v Ledvica lake is located inside a segment (tectonic block), which counters the general southward-lowering trend. Therefore, this segment represents a hydrogeological as well as morphological depression in which groundwater accumulates and probably even outcrops as lake water. Specifically, due to a prominent inflow in the northern part of the lake, and an outflow in the south-eastern part of the lake, we propose that the Jezero v Ledvica is actually a sub-aerially revealed part of the Triglav Lakes Valley aquifer. The final outflow from the Triglav Lakes Valley aquifer appears at the southern end of the valley, where the Jurassic rocks there finally pinch out.
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