SUMMARY

The aim of this study is to develop and approbation methods and algorithms for reducing body fat.

Material/Methods: In the research, participants were aged 35-45 years with obesity. Anthropometric parameters were analyzed with an impedance analyzer for bodyweight parameters, a somatotype profile, by the methodology Heath-Carter. Measurements were made before and after 60 days of the apparatus physiotherapy including cryolipolysis and cavitation. Twice a week a cardio training was performed.

Results: The somatotype of the contingent is Meso-morphic - endomorphic endomorphism is highest (6.63), and mesomorphic (6.36) is higher than ectomorph (1.08). In the second study, the data showed a decrease in the endomorphic index (6,15), while at the same time the greatest movement was observed in the ectomorphic index, which increased with nearly 30% to 1.38. The research contingent has positively influenced the procedures and its propensity for weight loss has increased. However, the somatotype profile remains a mesomorph-endomorph. From the data on the quality of the body composition, only the BMI (kg/m2), VFA (cm2) and MBF (kg) parameters have statistically significant decreases. The measured parameters before and after the applied procedures, with no statistically reliable changes P-value <0.05 level (Wilcoxon, t-test) observed only in the circumference of the hips. A statistically significant decrease of the results is found in the circumferences of both thighs at the measured heights P-value <0.0001 level (Wilcoxon, t-test).

Conclusion: The approbation methodology for reducing body fat has a positive effect on the contingents and leads to the modeling of the body.
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INTRODUCTION

In the United States of America, it is estimated that obesity causes an additional 111 909 to 365 000 deaths a year, while overweight in Europe accounts for 1 million (7.7%) of deaths. Obesity reduces life expectancy by an average of six to seven years: BMI of 30-35 reduces life expectancy by two to four years, while severe obesity (BMI> 40) reduces life expectancy by 10 years. Obesity increases the risk of many physical and mental illnesses. These concomitant diseases most commonly occur in metabolic syndrome, a combination of disease states that includes type 2 diabetes mellitus, high blood pressure, hypertension and ischemic heart disease, osteoarthritis, high blood cholesterol levels, and high triglyceride levels [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

The World Health Organization (WHO) predicts that overweight and obesity can soon replace more traditional public health problems such as malnutrition and infectious diseases as the most important cause of poor health [6, 7]. The latest epidemiological data from the WHO (2014) show that more than 1.9 billion adults over 18 are overweight (39%) and over 600 million have obesity (13%). According to the European Association for the Study of Obesity (EASO), 23.7% of the adult population in Bulgaria is obese, 34.5% are overweight or 58.2% of people are obese or overweight. In Bulgaria, 33.2% of the population over 20 is obese [7], which is significantly more common in males than in women (38.8% vs. 28.3%, p<0.001). With age and obesity increasing, it has grown considerably from 26% in the younger age group (20-44 years) to 38.8%, respectively 39.6% in the middle and advanced age (45-59 years and 60 years). People who live in a village are significantly more obese than those living in large cities (33.3% vs. 29.3%, p <0.001).

Tasks: Specify contingent research by identifying target groups (age, gender, degrees of obesity, etc.); to carry out preliminary research; to specify the percentage of overweight and obesity; to approve the developed methodology; to process the statistics.
amination to verify the appropriate clinical picture of the subjects being studied:

1) **Primary anthropometric research** - anthropometric measurements with an impedance analyzer for estimating body mass parameters.

2) **Anthropometric measurements to determine the somatotype profile** of a contingent by Heath-Carter [8]. It consists of measuring the following variables:

   - measurement of the following parameters: three skin folds (triceps skinfold, suprailiac skinfold); width of femur and humerus; arm and lower leg circumference; height and weight;
   - calculating the data and obtaining a numerical coefficient for each type of somatotype;
   - applying the somatogram to the values and determining the somatotype profile of the research person.

Measurements were made before and after 60 days of physical physiotherapy and cardio training. The results were processed statistically and presented graphically in GraphPad Prism 3.0.

**Method of Apparatus Physiotherapy** - Procedures are applied on schedule within 60 days. Each procedure has a time of action on the treated tissue, which requires a schedule of application to individual subjects within one week, with a minimum of 30 days per treatment for the cryolipolysis.

Each set of procedures is performed in accordance with the specified protocol.

- **Cryolipolysis** is used in the following parameters:

  - temperature (-5°C); suction power 30 kPa and application time 60 min. The interval between sessions is 30 days or a total of 60 days - 2 sessions.
  - **Cavitation** is a non-invasive method that reduces fat accumulation. The 40 kHz ultrasound waves reach and destroy the fat tissue at a depth of 1.5 to 2 cm. Treat excess fatty deposits in the problem areas, abdomen, hips, hips or thighs to reduce cellulite. Cavitation is applied once a week or altogether 8 times for the contingent examined.
  - **Cardio training** - 3 times a week, duration 45 minutes.

**RESULTS**

The results of the somatotypic profile show that at the beginning of the program, the somatotype of the contingent is Mesomorphic-Endomorphic. Endomorphism is highest (6.63) and Mesomorphic (6.36) is higher than ectomorphic (1.08). Which is an indication of the presence of more fat in the body mass than normal, and also the high muscle mass values for the mesomorphic component.

After the second study, the data showed a decrease in the endomorphic index (6,18), having almost equal values with the mesomorph (6,15), while at the same time the greatest movement was observed in the ectomorphic index, which increased 30% to 1.38. This indicates that the test contingent has been positively affected by the procedures and its propensity for weight loss has increased (Table 1). However, the somatotype profile remains a mesomorphic-endomorph.

The results we report are also confirmed by the statistical analysis of the data. As for both parameters of the somatotype profile (endo and mesomorph), a statistically significant decrease of the values was observed, with statistically significant increase in the ectomorphic (Graph 1, Fig. 1). For all three somatotype parameters, the values are statistically significant (p<0.05) (Wilcoxon, t-test).

### Table 1. Results of the somatotype profile of the subjects under study.

|                   | X     | ±SD   | CV %  |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|
|                   | begin | end   | begin | end   | begin | end   | begin | end   | begin | end   |
| **Endomorph**     | 6.63  | 6.18  | 1.46  | 1.42  | 21.95 | 22.93%|
| **Mesomorph**     | 6.36  | 6.15  | 1.74  | 1.67  | 27.40%| 27.16%|
| **Ectomorph**     | 1.08  | 1.38  | 1.3   | 1.38  | 120.87%| 79.46%|
| Values for X      | -5.62 | -4.97 | 2.54  | 2.42  | -45.14%| -48.74%|
| Values for Y      | 5.07  | 4.89  | 3.43  | 3.3   | 67.65%| 67.48%|

**Graph 1.** Comparative representation of the numerical indices of the research persons.
The values for the determination of the somatotype profile represented graphically by the X and Y parameters and plotted in somatochart, show a decrease in the numerical indexes and the average somatotype and shifts in a central somatotype profile of 4:4:4. This corresponds to the outcome we expect. the x and y values for the contingent. x=-5.62±2.54 and y=5.07±3.43 (black) for starters and x=-4.97±2.42 and y=4.89±3.30 (yellow) (Fig.1).

**Fig. 1.** Graphical representation of the x and y values for the contingent.

![Somatochart of research](image)

**Results of skin fold study after cryolipolysis, cavitation, and cardio training procedures.** They show a statistically significant decrease in measured skin folds; biceps, suprailiac, subscapular, and triceps. Measurement of subcutaneous fat by the skin thickness indexing method is informative for certain body segments, but it is also an indirect indicator of total fat reduction. Data are processed statistically and statistically, are shown graphically in

Graph 2, by a nonparametric statistical method for Wilcoxon dependent samples, a t-test at (p<0.05), and a statistically significant decrease in the four measured skin folds.

**Graph 2.** The statistically significant difference in the values (p<0.05) of the measured skin folds at the beginning and end of the applied methodology (Wilcoxon, t-test).
Segment analysis of body composition measured with the bioimpedance presented in Graphs 3 and 4. From the body composition data obtained, only the BMI(kg/m2), VFA(cm2) and MBF(kg) have a statistically significant reduction in the values. Statistically significant difference (p<0.05) for BMI values (Wilcoxon, t-test).

**Graphs 3.** Graphical representation of the data for the analyzed parameters from the segment analysis.

A similar trend was observed with MBF(kg), which is an indicator of the presence of fat mass, measured in kilograms, and there is a statistically significant decrease in the values of the subjects studied. Similar are the results for the AC(cm) abdominal circumference and fat mass (kg). It turns out that the reduction of fat mass leads to a decrease in the parameters that are directly or indirectly dependent on it. We assume that this is due to our method of reduction of fat, by physical physiotherapy and cardio training. Statistically significant difference (p<0.05) for VFA and MBF (Wilcoxon, t-test).

**Graphs 4.** Graphical representation of the data from the analyzed parameters from the segment analysis.
From the body composition data obtained, only the BMI(kg/m²), VFA(cm²) and MBF(kg) have a statistically significant reduction in the values.

A similar trend was observed with MBF(kg), which is an indicator of the presence of fat mass, measured in kilograms, and there is a statistically significant decrease in the values of the subjects studied. Similar are the results for the AC(cm) abdominal circumference and fat mass (kg). It turns out that the reduction of fat mass leads to a decrease in the parameters that are directly or indirectly dependent on it. We assume that this is due to our method of reduction of fat, by physical physiotherapy and cardio training.

A reliable difference in the values of the measured parameters before and after the applied P-value<0.0001 (Wilcoxon, t-test) is observed, with no statistically significant changes being observed in the hips circumference in P-value<0.05 level (Wilcoxon, t-test). The results are presented in Graph 5.

**Graph 5.** Comparative representation of the numerical indices of the surveyed persons.

A statistically significant decrease in the circumference of both thighs was observed, at the measured 8, 20 and 28 cm patella heights, P-value<0.0001 level (Wilcoxon, t-test). The results are presented in Graph 6 and Graph 7. A significant difference (decrease) between data of measuring in both of upper legs, before and after the procedure at the P-value < 0.0001 level (Wilcoxon, t-test).
DISCUSSION
Our results show that there is a reduction in body fat in the studied contingent following a screening procedure involving cryolipolysis, cavitation and cardio treatment within 60 days. Our results are similar to those of [7, 8, 9] of 3,111 studies identified in our reviews, 13 studies assessed lipolysis, 10 cryolipolysis and 8 considered radiofrequency. Nine studies with the same follow-up duration in three different outcome group were included in the meta-analysis. Radiofrequency showed an overall pooled estimate of 2.7 cm (95% CI; 2.3-3.1) of mean reduction in circumference of the abdomen after the intervention. The pooled estimate of the reduction in fat layer thickness was 78% (95% CI; 73%-83%) after Lipolysis and a pooled estimate of weight loss was 3.01 kg (95% CI; 2.3-3.6) after liposuction. The cost analysis revealed no significant differences between the costs of these interventions.

CONCLUSION
The approbation methodology with non-invasive interventions for reduction of body fat has a positive effect on the research contingents and leads to the modeling of the body.
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