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**ABSTRACT:** _Halenia coreana_ is an endangered, endemic species that is distributed in only a few locations in Korea, such as Mt. Hwaaksan and Daeamsan. It has recently segregated from _H. corniculata_, broadly distributed in cold temperate regions that include northern Japan, the Russian Far East, northeastern China, Mongolia, and eastern Europe, where population sizes are usually large. To examine the genetic diversity of _H. coreana_ and evaluate the level of genetic differentiation of the species compared with that of _H. corniculata_, we surveyed 183 candidate simple sequence repeats (SSR) motif markers for _H. coreana_ and _H. corniculata_ from sequence data of amplified fragments of a specific length in the genome. A total of 17 genomic-SSR markers were selected to examine the levels of genetic diversity and differentiation using 17 samples of _H. coreana_ and 60 samples of three populations of _H. corniculata_. The results here suggest that the genetic diversity of _H. coreana_ is very low with a high frequency of inbreeding within its population. We found that _H. coreana_ is genetically differentiated from _H. corniculata_, supporting the recognition of the geographically isolated _H. coreana_ as a distinct species.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The discovery of new plant species has increased over the past two decades amid a global crisis in biodiversity (Donoghue and Alverson, 2000; Kim and Byrne, 2006; Cheek et al., 2020). Many of these new species show a limited distributional range. They were found from extensive fieldwork in remote areas that were previously inaccessible or rarely visited (Kim and Byrne, 2006; Sobral and Stehmann, 2009; Do et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2019; Kang et al., 2021) or from detailed molecular and morphological analyses, in which a new species was a collection of a few populations that had been recognized as part of more widely distributed species (Chung et al., 2017; Choi et al., 2019; Han et al., 2019; Nam et al., 2020). These newly described species are often endemic to a certain area with a small number of populations that are not thoroughly evaluated on conservation lists such as International Union for Conservation of Nature’s red list or a similar list at the local level (National Institute of Biological Resources, 2012). The risk of the extinction of endemic species given their small population sizes is much higher than that of widespread species due to genetic drift, inbreeding depression, and anthropogenic activities. In addition, the probability of the extinction of endemic species induced by climate change is much higher than this probability for non-endemic species (Urban, 2015) such that more attention should be directed toward narrow endemic species. Studies of genetic diversity and population structures of endemic species with narrow distributions are particularly important to implement specific conservation strategies.

_Halenia coreana_ S. M. Han, H. Won & C. E. Lim (Gentianaceae) is a recently described species endemic to Korea (Han et al., 2019). This biennial herbaceous species is only known from a few localities with a small number of individuals and is designated as an endangered species in Korea (https://species.nibr.go.kr/endangeredspecies/). Before a detailed morphological and molecular study of the species (Han et al., 2019), plants of _H. coreana_ were recognized as _H. corniculata_ (L.) Coraz widely distributed in northeastern China, Mongolia, northern Japan, Sakhalin, the Kuriles,
Kamchatka, and eastern Europe (Toyokuni and Yamazaki, 1993; Ho and Pringle, 1995; Paek, 2007). *Halenia coreana* differs from *H. corniculata* by having longer, narrower, and incurved spurs and an attenuated leaf apex (Han et al., 2019). Molecular phylogenetic analyses from the nucleotide sequences of the Internal Transcribed Spacer region, and xanthine dehydrogenase (XDH), and chloroplast *rbcL* indicate that *H. coreana* forms a distinct clade sister to the clade of populations of *H. corniculata* from China, Mongolia, Russia, and Japan (Han et al., 2019). The newly established *H. coreana* is placed under severe risk of extinction due to its extremely small population size and due to human activities. Though it is protected as an endangered species, little is known about the genetic diversity of *H. coreana* from a conservation perspective. Furthermore, the levels of genetic diversity and differentiation of the narrow endemic *H. coreana* compared to the widespread *H. corniculata* have remained unclear.

*Halenia coreana* is one of three Asian species in *Halenia* Borkh. It consists of approximately 40 species that are broadly distributed in East Asia and the Americas (Gleason and Cronquist, 1991; Ho and Pringle, 1995; von Hagen and Kadereit, 2003; von Hagen, 2007). The majority of *Halenia* species are distributed in Mexico and Central America, numbering close to 15 species, and in high alpine regions of the Andes in South America, accounting for approximately 22 species (Allen, 1933; Wilbur, 1984a, 1984b; von Hagen and Kadereit, 2003; von Hagen, 2007). It has been suggested that *Halenia* originated in East Asia and became dispersed into North America and that it diversified in Central and South Americas (von Hagen and Kadereit, 2003).

Simple sequence repeats (SSR) markers or microsatellites have been commonly used to characterize genetic diversity at the population level in various plant species owing to their co-dominant inheritance, analytical simplicity, and considerable hypervariability (Weber, 1990; Selkoe and Toonen, 2006; Lopez et al., 2015). The next-generation sequence technique facilitated the development of SSR markers (Wang et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2018). For the species of *Halenia*, SSR markers for *H. elliptica* D. Don, an Asian species widely used as a traditional medicinal herb in China, were developed (Zhang et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2018). However, genetic diversity in the species of *Halenia* has not been reported.

In this study, we developed SSR markers for *H. coreana* and *H. corniculata* via next-generation sequencing to investigate the genetic diversity of *H. coreana*. We aim to (1) evaluate the level of genetic diversity in *H. coreana*, an endangered and endemic species in Korea; (2) to compare the level of genetic diversity with that of *H. corniculata*, a widespread and sister species of *H. coreana*; (3) to evaluate the genetic distinctiveness of *H. coreana* to be recognized as a separate species; and (4) to provide implications pertaining to the conservation biology of *H. coreana*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials**

*Halenia coreana* is known in a few places in Gangwon-do, Jeju-do, and Pyeonganbuk-do (Han et al., 2019). We included 14 individuals found on Mt. Hwaaksan in Gangwon-do. Samples of other populations were not included because fresh materials were not available for analysis. It appears that certain populations, such as the Yongsil population at Mt. Hallasan in Jeju-do, which represents the southern limit of its distributional range, may be extinct, as we failed to locate such plants in repeated fieldwork. Samples of *H. corniculata* are collected in three populations in China, Japan, and Russia (Table 1). Twenty individuals from each population were included (Table 1). Voucher specimens were deposited in the National Institute Biological Resource (KB).

**Selection of SSR markers**

Total DNA was isolated from fresh leaves collected in the wild using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). To produce high-throughput sequencing data with which to develop SSR markers, we used Mt. Hwaaksan samples. A library was developed using the Illumina MiSeq platform at Macrogen (Seoul, Korea). A total of 68,996,882 raw sequence reads were obtained from the NGS analysis. To achieve loci with low copy numbers, we assembled the filtered reads using Geneious R 10.1.3 (Biomatters Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand) following the method of Cho et al. (2015). We developed 183 primer pairs for

| Table 1. Locality and voucher information of the samples of *Halenia coreana* and *H. corniculata* used in this study. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Species** | **Population (n)** | **Locality and voucher** |
|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| *H. coreana*      | Korea (14)           | Korea, Gangwon-do, Mt. Hwaaksan, *Yun & Oh* 7377 (KB 655638) |
| *H. corniculata*  | Japan (20)           | Japan, Hokkaido, Erimo, *Yun & Oh* 7417 (KB 655639) |
|                    | China (20)           | China, Jirin, Mt. Baeckdusan, *Yun* 7394 (KB 655640) |
|                    | Russia (20)          | Russia, Sahalin, *Hyun* 7400 (TUT) |

n, number of individuals sampled.
microsatellite regions on the sequence set with the primer function in the Geneious program. The forward primers were labeled with 6-FAM or Hex for multiplexing reactions (see Table 2 for the specific fluorescent dye for each locus). To assess the effectiveness of these microsatellite markers, we evaluated the primers for 14 individuals of *H. coreana*. The initially working primers were further screened using 60 individuals of *H. corniculata* (Table 1). We then performed polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification for validation and genotyping. The PCR reactions were performed under the following

Table 2. Characteristics of 17 SSR loci developed for *Halenia*.

| Locus  | Primer sequences (5'-3') | A  | Repeat motif | Allele size range (bp) | Tm (°C) | GenBank accession no. | Fluorescent label |
|--------|--------------------------|----|--------------|------------------------|---------|-----------------------|------------------|
| HC03   | F:CCAGTCGTCACGCTTCCATA  | 5  | (ATT)6       | 233-244                | 58      | OM976989              | FAM              |
|        | R:CCCAAAATGGGCAGATGAACA |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC09   | F:GGTGGGGTGGCAGATTTGGA | 8  | (TCA)5       | 309-356                | 58      | OM976990              | FAM              |
|        | R:ATCTGCTGCCCCATGCACTTA |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC11   | F:CCACGGCAGAGGAATCTATC  | 6  | (CTAG)4      | 353-385                | 59      | OM976991              | FAM              |
|        | R:GCGACACATCATACTTGCC   |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC12   | F:CCACGGCAGAGGAATCTATC  | 10 | (ATA)4       | 346-375                | 59      | OM976992              | FAM              |
|        | R:GCGACACATCATACTTGCC   |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC17   | F:GGGGCAAAATATTTGGAACACA | 3  | (AACA)6     | 325-436                | 58      | OM976993              | FAM              |
|        | R:GGCGACGCTCAAGCATTGAA  |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC19   | F:TGCACAGCTATCTTGGCT    | 3  | (TG)9        | 317-325                | 58      | OM976994              | FAM              |
|        | R:ATGCACAGACACCCCAGTT   |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC21   | F:TCTGCACCTGCACCAAATCC  | 4  | (AAAT)4      | 271-350                | 59      | OM976995              | FAM              |
|        | R:GGTTTGATGGGTTGTTGCG   |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC23   | F:TGTGACAGTGGTGTACCA    | 6  | (AT)8        | 330-392                | 59      | OM976996              | FAM              |
|        | R:TCGAAGCCAAACTGGACCA   |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC33   | F:CTCACAAGCCCAATAGTCCA  | 8  | (AAT)4       | 235-266                | 59      | OM976997              | HEX              |
|        | R:TCATTGAATTTATCTCGGTTGA|    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC36   | F:AGGCCCATTAAGCGGAAAACA| 5  | (GAT)9       | 306-333                | 59      | OM976998              | HEX              |
|        | R:CTTCTCTCTGCTTGGGGAG   |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC45   | F:AACCCCTGCTTTGACCAATTT| 5  | (TA)6        | 325-328                | 58      | OM976999              | HEX              |
|        | R:ACAGGGGTAAGACAGCAGATG |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC50   | F:ACACCTTACTTTTCTAGGAAA | 3  | (AT)12       | 181-376                | 59      | OM977000              | HEX              |
|        | R:AGCAGCACTGAGAAAGTACA  |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC51   | F:AGTGCGCACAATTTGCCTGT | 4  | (GTTGT)6     | 385-416                | 58      | OM977001              | HEX              |
|        | R:TAAGATTTCCACCTCCCTCC  |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC55   | F:TCGAATCGAGTAGCTGTGGGC| 4  | (AT)9        | 323-343                | 59      | OM977002              | HEX              |
|        | R:GTGTACAACAGATCTCCACT  |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC60   | F:ACCTCTGCGCCATCTCTCC   | 5  | (TA)9        | 330-340                | 59      | OM977003              | HEX              |
|        | R:CCGAGAACGATACACACACAT |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC71   | F:AGACACACAGTCAAGGCCACACCTAC | 2  | (AG)6       | 348-350                | 59      | OM977004              | HEX              |
|        | R:FCACGCTTCTAGGTTCAA     |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |
| HC73   | F:TTCGATGCTCTCTGTCTTCCCT | 3  | (TTC)5       | 295-269                | 59      | OM977005              | HEX              |
|        | R:TGCCACGCAATCTGAGGACA  |    |              |                        |         |                       |                  |

SSR, simple sequence repeats; A, number of alleles per locus; Tm, optimized annealing temperature.
condition: initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min; 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 20 s, annealing at 58°C for 40 s, and extension at 72°C for 1 min; and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The PCR products were analyzed on an ABI 3730XL sequencer with the GeneScan 500 LIZ Size Standard (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Allele sizes and peaks for each sample were determined with Peak Scanner Software version 2.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Genetic analysis
Basic genetic properties, i.e., the number of alleles, the expected degree of heterozygosity ($H_e$), and the observed heterozygosity ($H_o$) were calculated using GenAlEx version 6.502 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006, 2012). Deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium were estimated with GENEPOP version 4.6.9 (Rousset, 2008). The number of alleles, major allele frequency, polymorphism information content, $F$ statistics index ($F_{ST}$), and Nei’s genetic distance were calculated for each population separately. The results of the Mantel test of the association between genetic distance and geographic distance were calculated in GenAlEx. A principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was conducted to find the genetic clustering using Nei’s genetic distances in GenAlEx.

Genotype data for the SSR markers were analyzed in the model-based STRUCTURE v. 2.3.4 software (Pritchard et al., 2000) to determine the most probable number of clusters ($K$ value) and to assign individuals to different clusters. The $K$ value was determined by running an admixture and a related frequency model with $K = 1$ to 4 (ten replications per $K$ value); 1,000,000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo iterations were implemented with the burn-in period of each run set to 100,000. The website program STRUCTURE HARVESTER (Earl and vonHoldt, 2012) was used to estimate the optimal $K$ value; this program follows the $\Delta K$ method of Evanno et al. (2005).

RESULTS
We developed and selected 17 polymorphic microsatellite loci with clear, strong bands for each allele in the 74 individuals of Halenia coreana and H. corniculata from the 183 initially designed primer pairs (Table 2). These SSR markers would detect di-, tri-, tetra-, and pentanucleotide repeats (Table 2). In total, 74 alleles were found. The number of alleles ($N_a$) ranged from two (HC71) to ten (HC12), with a mean of 5.0 alleles per locus (Table 2).

The indices of genetic diversity for the sampled populations are summarized in Table 3. The average number of alleles per locus ($N_a$) is slightly smaller in H. coreana at 1.471 than in H. corniculata, where it ranges from 1.765 (China) to 1.882 (Japan). The values of observed heterozygosity ($H_o$) and expected heterozygosity ($H_e$) were lower in H. coreana compared to H. corniculata. The values of $H_o$ and $H_e$ in H. coreana were 0.038 and 0.119, respectively, in corresponding ranges of 0.035 to 0.112 (mean: 0.075) and 0.178 to 0.262 (mean: 0.227), in H. corniculata (Table 3). The inbreeding coefficient for each locus ($F_{IS}$), referring to the deviation of the actual frequency of the genotype from the theoretically expected frequency in the population, was 0.0682 in H. coreana and ranged from 0.535 to 0.865 (mean: 0.651) in H. corniculata. The values in both species show no significant differences between the two species on average, but the value of $F_{IS}$ in the Russian population was found to be highest among the sampled populations.

The properties of the diversity indices for the 17 SSR loci are provided in Table 4. Many loci developed in this study showed monomorphism in a population (Table 4). For example, 11 of the 17 loci in H. coreana were monomorphic. Some of the loci, specifically HC03, HC11, H12, HC33, and HC36, were found to be variable during the analyses of the populations of H. corniculata. For the marker HC21, only one allele was found in each of four populations, indicating that this locus is monomorphic for all populations. However, the sizes of the DNA fragments in the different populations varied. These sizes were 350 bp in the Korean population, 271 bp in Japan, 273 bp in China, and 348 bp in Russia. Thus, the locus is polymorphic when all populations are considered.

Table 3. Genetic diversity in Halenia coreana and H. corniculata for geographic population.

| Species                | Population | $N_a$ | $P$ | $H_o$ | $H_e$ | $P_a$ | $I$ | $F_{IS}$ |
|------------------------|------------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-----|----------|
| H. coreana             | Korea      | 1.471 | 35  | 0.038 | 0.119 | 0.941 | 0.194 | 0.682    |
|                        | Japan      | 1.882 | 47  | 0.079 | 0.178 | 0.765 | 0.308 | 0.554    |
|                        | China      | 1.765 | 52  | 0.112 | 0.240 | 0.765 | 0.373 | 0.535    |
|                        | Russia     | 1.824 | 58  | 0.035 | 0.262 | 1.118 | 0.405 | 0.865    |
| mean (H. corniculata)  |            | 1.824 | 53  | 0.075 | 0.227 | 0.883 | 0.362 | 0.651    |

$N_a$, number of alleles per locus; $P$, percentage of polymorphism; $H_o$, observed heterozygosity; $H_e$, expected heterozygosity; $P_a$, mean number of private alleles per locus; $I$, Shannon’s information index; $F_{IS}$, inbreeding coefficient.
The pairwise genetic differentiation coefficient ($F_{ST}$) ranged from 0.200 (HC71) to 1 (HC21). The pairwise genetic differentiation coefficient ($F_{ST}$) showed that *H. coreana* was highly differentiated from *H. corniculata* (Table 5). A similar tendency was found for Nei’s genetic distance; *H. coreana* showed relatively high values in the pairs with *H. coreana* (mean genetic distance, 1.907) (Table 5). The Mantel test using pooled data from the two species showed a weak positive correlation ($r = 0.276$, $p = 0.17$) between the geographic distance and the genetic distance (Fig. 1).

To obtain information about the population structures of the
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In the STRUCTURE analysis, the computation of Evanno’s $\Delta K$ indicated $K = 4$ as the most likely model (Fig. 2), suggesting the presence of four main groups. With 0.83 as the likelihood (Q-value) to cluster each accession in the seven clusters, a total of 74 individuals were grouped into one of four groups. The China and Russia populations showed a high proportion (Q-value > 0.8). Each population had a low admixture percentage (19%). The grouping determined by STRUCTURE was not related to the geographic distance between the populations. The results of PCoA showed that *Halenia coreana* was clearly separated from *H. corniculata* and that individuals of each population were cohesively clustered (Fig. 3).

**DISCUSSION**

It is important to conserve the genetic diversity of the natural population of a species. In particular, this is critical for endangered species with a narrow distribution range and small population size, such as *H. coreana*. This study is the first to investigate the genetic diversity and population structure of *H. coreana* and *H. corniculata* through microsatellite markers.

By genotyping the two species with SSR markers, we show the vulnerability of critically endangered species with a small population size. The genotyping data indicate that *H. coreana* has low genetic diversity compared to its sister *H. corniculata* (Table 3). Measures of genetic diversity, such as the percentage of polymorphism, the observed heterozygosity, the expected heterozygosity, and Shannon’s information index, in *H. coreana* were lower than those the three populations of China,
Japan, and Russia of *H. corniculata* (Table 3).

This pattern may be related to the breeding system, in which high inbreeding coefficient (*F*_S) values (Rousset, 2002) were found in both *H. coreana* and *H. corniculata* (Table 3). The corresponding values in *Halenia* were much higher than those reported in other species (Lee et al., 2018; Wang, 2020; Wu et al., 2020), suggesting that inbreeding frequently occurs in the two species of *Halenia*. Kim et al. (2018) found that 56% of flowers in the Mt. Hwaaksan population are cleistogamous. Cleistogamous flowers are very small (3–5 mm in diam. vs. 1.1–1.4 cm in diam. in chasmogamous flowers) having a perianth that remains closed where the stigma is pollinated from the pollen of the same flower, resulting in an inbreeding system. The frequency of cleistogamous flowers in the Mt. Daeamsan population is even higher at 70% (Kim et al., 2018). Such an inbreeding mating system may be advantageous for colonizing a new population, in which a few plants are established or pollinators are not available for the plant. However, not all seeds produced in a population are derived from inbreeding. The flowers of *H. coreana* and *H. corniculata* have long spurs in which nectar is produced (von Hagen and Kadereit, 2002), an apparatus facilitating outcrossing. A mixed mating system may have been beneficial to increase the probability of colonizing a new population and to enhance genetic diversity so as to avoid inbreeding depression.

*Halenia coreana* is genetically differentiated from *H. corniculata*, as inferred by Wright’s *F*_ST (Wright, 1978) and Nei’s genetic distance, showing that the pairwise *F*_ST values between the species are higher than those among populations within *H. corniculata* (Table 5). Interestingly, the *F*_ST values in *H. corniculata* indicate strong genetic differentiation within the species. Each of the populations included in this study is well geographically separated, and population isolation may be responsible for the high values of *F*_ST.

The results of PCoA and the STRUCTURE analysis show clear genetic differentiation between *H. coreana* and *H. corniculata* and among the populations of *H. corniculata* (Figs. 2, 3). Our SSR data demonstrate that the Korean population of *Halenia* is clearly separated from the China + Japan + Russia cluster (Fig. 3), supporting the recognition of *H. coreana* as a distinct species (Han et al., 2019). The strong geographical structuring of *H. coreana* and *H. corniculata* suggests the presence of a barrier to prevent gene flows between species and among different populations. This may explain the weak correlation between the geographical distances and pairwise *F*_ST (Fig. 1).

Ecological characteristics should be considered when conservation measures are implemented for *H. coreana*. Plants of *H. coreana* require an open habitat to survive and maintain the population (Kim et al., 2018). They grow in open places such as meadows, heliports, and roadsides in alpine areas. Because many of these areas are disturbed by human activities, such as mowing and the construction of trails, habitats for *H. coreana* have decreased, which can lead to the complete extinction of a population. Changes of vegetation in places where the species occurs, specifically developing forests with trees and shrubs, may have a more direct effect on the survival of the population, as they are not found under crowned habitats with dense taller herbaceous plants or woody plants (Kim et al., 2018). The seedlings of biennial *H. coreana* would not survive under such shady conditions. The population of the Yongsil trail in Mt. Hallasan, located at an elevation of about 1,500 m, is densely covered by perennial *Sasa quelpaertensis* Nakai, and plants of *H. coreana* are no longer found.

Habitat preference to open places is also found in *H. corniculata*. The species is currently widely distributed in high latitude areas of Japan, Sakhalin, the Kuriles, Kamchatka, China, Siberia, and eastern Europe (Toyokuni and Yamazaki, 1993). *Halenia coreana* is distributed along the southern boundary of the distributional range of *H. corniculata* such that the Korean endemic species is more vulnerable to climate changes. Conservation strategies for *H. coreana* should focus on the preservation of open habitats for the species. Restoration of populations from seeds is also recommended, as the plants produce numerous seeds per plant. With regard to in situ restoration efforts, it is very important to manage the habitat conditions preferred by the species. Many rare and threatened species and highly selfing or clonal species, such as *H. coreana*, show three important characteristics: a high degree of genetic differentiation among populations, low genetic diversity within populations, and a high degree of inbreeding (Otterwell et al., 2016).

In conclusion, our SSR data on the genetic diversity, breeding system, and genetic differentiation of *H. coreana* along with the ecological characteristics of the species will be useful to those who plan and implement conservation strategies. The present study highlights the utility of microsatellite markers for assessing and monitoring genetic diversity in an endangered species. The data produced in this study can be used as a baseline for future genetic monitoring and species recovery programs.
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