Computational Image Analysis of Guided Acoustic Waves Enables Rheological Assessment of Sub-nanoliter Volumes
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ABSTRACT: We present a method for the computational image analysis of high frequency guided sound waves based upon the measurement of optical interference fringes, produced at the air interface of a thin film of liquid. These acoustic actuations induce an affine deformation of the liquid, creating a lensing effect that can be readily observed using a simple imaging system. We exploit this effect to measure and analyze the spatiotemporal behavior of the thin liquid film as the acoustic wave interacts with it. We also show that, by investigating the dynamics of the relaxation processes of these deformations when actuation ceases, we are able to determine the liquid’s viscosity using just a lens-free imaging system and a simple disposable biochip. Contrary to all other acoustic-based techniques in rheology, our measurements do not require monitoring of the wave parameters to obtain quantitative values for fluid viscosities, for sample volumes as low as 200 pL. We envisage that the proposed methods could enable high throughput, chip-based, reagent-free rheological studies within very small samples.
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The visualization and characterization of acoustic waves as they propagate in media have previously been used to elucidate material properties and gain a deeper understanding of physical phenomena, including the Raman-Nath effect and Brillouin scattering.1,2 For example, it has previously been shown that wave propagation through solid media can reveal valuable information about the mechanical properties of materials3 such as local stresses, densities, and elastic moduli.4 In the case of the study of the propagation of acoustic waves in liquids, as they pass either through the bulk or across boundary interfaces and discontinuities, it is possible to measure local viscosities, thermal conductivities, and thermoelastic relaxation processes.5 In this context, it is already well established that monitoring liquids’ viscosities (for Newtonian fluids) or viscoelasticities (for non-Newtonian fluids) is of importance in industry, for example, in the formulation of paint and processed food as well as in biomedical applications such as measuring blood viscosity.6 Conventional bulk rheology measurements are usually performed by means of large benchtop viscometers and rheometers (often requiring several milliliters of sample). Recently, microrheology7,8 techniques requiring only a few tens of microliters of sample have emerged, although many of these protocols require complex sample processing, including the addition of labels or tracer particles.9 Other methods have also been reported that use nanoliter sample volumes, although these all require auxiliary equipment such as benchtop optical lasers,10 microscopes11 (e.g., atomic force microscopy),12 nanoliter droplet dispensers,13 or complex microfluidic channel designs,14 making them cumbersome and unsuitable as portable instruments.
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Guided acoustic waves have previously been used in rheological applications\(^3,14\) by measuring their attenuation. Such mechanical excitations, including those using surface acoustic waves (SAWs) and Lamb-type waves, have also been used to drive liquid actuation in microfluidic systems.\(^{15–18}\) In this work, we make use of the capability of acoustic waves to deform a subnanoliter-scale liquid volume and monitor the dynamics of its relaxation when the actuation is turned off (i.e., in contrast with all previous rheological measurement techniques there are no acoustic waves propagating in the liquid during the measurement).

Different methods have previously been implemented for gathering a better understanding of wave propagation. For instance, Schlieren imaging and interferometric systems such as laser Doppler vibrometers (LDVs) have been used for studying acoustic wave propagation and visualization of acousto-optic interactions,\(^{19}\) where light is modulated by ultrasonic waves to generate Fraunhofer diffraction patterns.\(^{3}\) However, optical aberrations (e.g., in Schlieren visualization) can often result in reduced contrast and poor fidelity,\(^{19}\) whereas in the case of LDV strong acousto-optic interaction in condensed medium can result in large measurement errors, especially when applied to liquids.\(^{20}\) Alternative methods using holography have also been used to visualize \(\sim\)1 MHz waves but these require the addition of reagents, acting as reporter particles to generate the holograms.\(^{21}\) Recently, a fast but low-resolution method for visualizing acoustic beams was proposed,\(^{22}\) where an excited region within a thin liquid layer produced an optical pattern because of the local deformation of the liquid film.

Here, we present a simple optical method for imaging small amplitude guided waves of wavelength \(\lambda\) in plates coated by a thin fluid layer of thickness \(2a\) (with \(2a \ll \lambda\)) and demonstrate its application for measuring the viscosity of liquid samples with subnanoliter volumes, without monitoring wave parameters. Using computational imaging\(^{23,24}\) of these guided waves at ultrasonic frequencies (~10 MHz), we demonstrate their visualization over a wide field-of-view (~30 mm\(^2\)) within a lens-free system. The method was validated by a direct visualization of Lamb-type waves and is supported by analytical and numerical models.

In our configuration, the liquid–air interface was first deformed using acoustic actuation and the relaxation dynamics of the deformation was monitored, once the acoustic excitation was switched off. As stated, contrary to all previous techniques, no acoustic wave is propagating when the measurement is carried out. We corroborate this by measuring the rheological properties of aqueous mixtures of glycerol and polyethylene glycol, \(M_r = 400\) (PEG400). We demonstrated the ability of the technique to investigate nanoliter-scale volumes of liquids (where other approaches require orders of magnitude larger sample volumes). Our approach does not require the addition of reagents or labels and is contactless (these being "ideal" requirements in biological studies). We envisage that in the future the device can not only be integrated with microfluidics and lab-on-chip platforms for high-throughput characterization of biomolecules but could also be used for other applications such as inspection of materials properties (e.g., industrial wafer stress-testing or rapidly investigating wafer defects by exploring deformations in a thin film of liquid).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Imaging System.** The imaging system comprised a three-dimensional (3D) printed housing to hold an array of green light-emitting diodes (LED) that can be selectively illuminated and controlled using a microcontroller. The imaging system also included an optical band-pass filter (532 nm), a 3D printed sample holder to align a disposable waveguide with the piezoelectric interdigitated transducer (IDT) and a complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) sensor with a pixel pitch of 1.67 \(\mu\)m, Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Three-dimensional printed, acoustic computational imaging system. (a) The lens-free computational imaging system integrated with an ultrasonic transducer. (b) The schematic of the device. The device contains 20 LEDs (1) with a selectable switch using an onboard microcontroller. The light from each LED was coupled into an optical fiber (2) that passes through a narrow band filter (3). The sample, illuminated from the bottom, was placed on the lens-free microscope using a detachable tray containing the SAW IDT (4). The CMOS imager (5) was placed directly on top of the sample (~1 mm high) to record the transmitted light from the sample.](image)

The 20 fiber-coupled LED array was used to illuminate the sample from below,\(^{25}\) as shown in Figure 1. It was designed to generate a set of subpixel shifted images, which were then used to digitally synthesize images with subpixel resolution.\(^{26–28}\) The disposable biochip was coupled to the IDT using a thin layer of polyethylene glycol (PEG 400) which we found to be a stable coupling agent. The transmitted light was recorded by the CMOS sensor, which was placed at \(\sim 1\) mm distance from the sample, so that the sample field-of-view was equal to the active area of the CMOS imager. A Rayleigh wave, generated on the lithium niobate (LiNbO\(_3\)) transducer, coupled into the platelike glass biochip, with finite dimensions, creating a standing Lamb-type wave, Figure 2.

This standing wave induced an affine deformation of the thin liquid layer on the substrate of thickness \(2h\) (with \(2a \ll 2h\)), which was detected using the CMOS image sensor to measure the bright and dark fringes generated by the distortion of the optical wavefronts while passing through the liquid–air interface, Figure 3.

We investigated how the liquid layer deformation, at its maximum at the standing wave antinodes and at its minimum at the standing wave nodes, could be used to create nanolensing effects. The induced deformation of the liquid’s
The surface generates an optical profile that can be modeled to a first approximation as a sinusoidal function, allowing an estimation of the deformation height using a ray-tracing model (calculated as about 150 nm, Figure 4). As a result, the light passing through the liquid—air interface can be both focused (bright fringes) and defocused (dark fringes), Figure 4b,c.

The corrugation height of the deformations in the SAW actuation of the liquid surface at the interface depends upon the frequency and amplitude of the standing wave as well as on the liquid’s physical properties. Our lens-free optical imaging configuration has unit-magnification. To quantitatively analyze the intensity of the fringes’ patterns, we developed a graphic user interface that allowed us to load a sequence of images (or a video) to analyze selected regions of interest, frame by frame. The wavelength of the periodic deformation of the liquid was calculated using a fast Fourier transform (FFT) algorithm. The temporal response of the deformation was calculated by implementing a dynamic spline fitting algorithm as shown in Figure 5.

The results from the lens-free imaging system were validated by creating a steady-state standing acoustic sinusoidal wave pattern by ultraviolet (UV) illumination of a photocurable polymer. This technique enabled us to freeze the induced deformation of the polymer thin layer, showing the spatial periodicity of the elastic waves. This induced structure was visualized by both the lens-free imaging system and a scanning electron microscope (SEM), Figure 3b. From these images, we estimated the steady-state wavelength at an excitation of 9.71 MHz to be 375 μm in the lens-free image validated by the SEM image, respectively. In the case of liquid films, the effectiveness of the lens-free imaging system was further corroborated using laser Doppler vibrometry. For example,
when measuring phase velocity there is no significant difference between the vibrometer ($2534.9 \pm 1.8 \text{ m/s}$), the lens-free system ($2503.7 \pm 21.6 \text{ m/s}$) and the analytical value ($2525.9 \text{ m/s}$).

Theoretical Analysis. We considered the analysis of small amplitude ultrasonic guided waves (with a wavenumber $k = 2\pi f / c$, where $f$ is the frequency, $c$ is the guided wave phase velocity) propagating in a nonviscous liquid–solid bilayer. The viscosity of the liquid was disregarded because its effect on the phase velocity of Lamb waves was negligible compared to that on their attenuation. The liquid layer (thickness, $2a$) was characterized using the ultrasonic wave phase velocity in the liquid, $c_F$, and the volumetric mass density, $\rho_F$. The elastic solid layer (thickness, $2h$) and volumetric mass density $\rho$ enables propagation of longitudinal and transversal ultrasonic waves with velocities $c_L$ and $c_T$, respectively. The guided waves in the liquid–solid bilayer were analyzed using the following dispersion equation

Figure 5. Graphical user interface (GUI). (a) The GUI to measure the wavelength and analyze the transient response. The GUI shows the frame-by-frame preview of the video and allows the user to select the appropriate frame range for further analysis. (b) Process flow of the image processing algorithm. For measuring the phase velocity, a 1D FFT is applied to the region of interest and the output is shown in the GUI. Upon selecting a frame, reporting lines were added to analyze multiple regions. The display outputs are (bottom left) the calculated wavelength, (bottom center) the temporal behavior of the image brightness (i.e., relaxation of the antinode) as the SAW is switched off, and (bottom right) the recovery of the dark regions (i.e., the nodes). The temporal response was calculated by implementing a dynamic spline-fitting algorithm.

Figure 6. (a) Lamb wave dispersion curves in a glass (biochip) plate of 145 $\mu\text{m}$ thickness, where the blue and red colors indicate antisymmetric and symmetric Lamb wave modes, respectively. The green circle marks the experimentally excited $A_0$ Lamb wave mode at 9.71 MHz. (b) Dispersion of antisymmetric guided wave mode versus liquid thickness $2a$ at 9.71 MHz, where blue color shows the analytical result (eq 1). The CMOS sensor resolution $r_{\text{CMOS}}$ shows that the liquid thickness variations $2\Delta a < 5 \mu\text{m}$ can be neglected in the measurement system.
16\sigma S_0 \cos \left(\frac{2a}{h} \right) + \pi^2 \rho \frac{h}{\omega^2} (d^2 \cos (2p) \sin (2q) + 4\xi^2 pq \sin (2p) \cos (2q)) - \left(2 \right) = 0 \quad (1)

where

\[ A = d^2 \sin (p) \cos (q) + 4\xi^2 pq \cos (p) \sin (q) \quad (2) \]

\[ S = d^2 \cos (p) \sin (q) + 4\xi^2 pq \sin (p) \cos (q) \quad (3) \]

Equations 2 and 3 represent the dispersion relations for the antisymmetric and symmetric guided modes (Lamb-type wave) in the free solid plate. The remaining coefficients are given by

\[ p = 2\pi \eta h \left( \frac{1}{c_i} - \frac{1}{c} \right) \quad (4) \]

\[ q = 2\pi \eta h \left( \frac{1}{c_i} - \frac{1}{c} \right) \quad (5) \]

\[ r = 2\pi \eta h \left( \frac{1}{c_i} - \frac{1}{c} \right) \quad (6) \]

\[ d = 8\pi^2 \eta h \left( \frac{1}{c_i} - \frac{2}{c} \right) \quad (7) \]

where \( \omega = 4h/c_i \) and \( \xi = 4fh/c. \)

In absence of a liquid layer (i.e., when its thickness is 0), eq 1 enabled us to calculate the dispersion curves of the Lamb waves in the free solid plate, Figure 6 (model parameters are listed in Table S1). A cutoff frequency of the higher order Lamb wave mode A1 was at 11.7 MHz. Numerical results showed that only the fundamental Lamb wave modes A0 and A1 was at 11.7 MHz. Numerical results from the guided wave of A0 decreases from \( \mu = 264.3 \) to \( \mu = 269.1 \), \( \mu \) is the damping rate, \( \epsilon \) is the amplitude of the force at frequency \( \omega \), and \( t \) is the time. An analysis of the Mathieu equation shows that the \( \epsilon = \omega \) parameter plane is divided into regions where the dispersion \( \omega(t) \) goes to zero at long times (assuming finite damping), and regions (known as resonance tongues) where it grows exponentially without bound.

A thin liquid film was deposited onto the biochip and wide area imaging of Lamb-type waves was performed. The liquid, placed on such a solid substrate, could be assumed to be subjected to an affine sinusoidal deformation, whose amplitude \( \sim 0.154 \mu \) was much smaller than the liquid thickness \( \sim 7 \mu \), with the liquid–air interface mirroring the deformation induced by the guided wave at the solid–liquid interface, as shown in Figure 4. The liquid thickness was much smaller than the guided wave’s wavelength and as the acoustic wave did not couple into the liquid, it follows that under continuous ultrasonic excitation the deformation of the liquid’s surface reached a steady-state amplitude.

Upon switching the ultrasonic actuation off, the acoustic wave energy at the solid–liquid boundary dissipated almost instantaneously (that is, \( \sim \mu \)), while the deformation of the liquid at the liquid–air interface relaxed at a much slower rate (i.e., over a few seconds). As stated, the initial deformation (at the point where the acoustic actuation is turned off) mirrored the Lamb-type wave deformations of the surface, as a single-mode pattern. The relaxation processes were analyzed as a relaxation phenomena of a single-mode small instability perturbing an overdamped harmonic oscillator. For such a system, we note that the additional approximation, neglecting the acceleration due to gravity, enables eq 8 to be solved to give a wave amplitude \( A_x(t) \) that is expected to decrease exponentially

\[ A_x(t) \propto \exp(-\zeta t) \quad (10) \]

with decay rate:

\[ \zeta \propto \frac{\nu^2}{2 \left[ \frac{c_i^2}{c} \right]} \quad (11) \]

where \( \nu \) is the kinetic viscosity of the liquid, \( c \) is the liquid–air surface tension, and \( \kappa \) is the wavelength of the initial instability.

The transient relaxation responses of different water–glycerol mixtures (differing by less than 10% in surface tension, but orders of magnitude in viscosity), as well as PEG400-water mixtures, were investigated when the excitation
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of the elastic wave was removed, Figure 7. The results show that the attenuation rate was faster and the overall relaxation time was shorter for more viscous liquids (inset). The measurements agreed with conventional bulk rheology values, Figure S2. When subjected to SAWs, highly viscous liquids (e.g., 100% glycerol) undergo rapid heating. Figure S3 shows the changes in viscosity induced by the heat generated after 5 s SAW actuation, consistent with results reported by Zha et al.47 and Shilton et al. Figure S4 also provides an example of infrared images used to evaluate the temperature of the films. The results demonstrate that SAW-induced heating only affects significantly the highest viscosity used. When the viscosity of 100% glycerol was adjusted to that of the measured temperature of 50 °C (triangle in the inset), the measured relaxation time agreed well with the theoretical prediction. Hence, there is an opportunity to use this lens-free imaging system as a low-cost chip-based tool for measuring liquids viscosities. The advantage of requiring only subnanoliter sample volume cannot be underestimated for high value products, such as those used in biological experimentation.

Finally, we highlight that the deviation from this inverse proportionality of the relaxation time for 100% glycerol ($\eta = 612$ cP) is due to the elastic wave heating effects (Figure 7) that have been observed for highly viscous liquids (i.e., pure glycerol).47,48 For low viscous liquids (i.e., for $\eta \leq 109$ cP) the proposed device does not require temperature monitoring. Nonetheless, we anticipate that future versions of the device will include temperature control capability.

CONCLUSIONS

We present a method to visualize and quantitatively measure the wavelengths of guided acoustic waves using a wide field-of-view lens-free imaging system. We extend this capability to characterize beam formation and attenuation and subsequently to study the rheological properties of thin (Newtonian) liquid layers. Glycerol–water and PEG–water mixtures having different viscosities showed excellent agreement between theoretical predictions and experimental results, enabling us to establish a relationship between the transient response of the liquid–air interface and the kinematic viscosity of such liquids.

The use of ultrasmall liquid sample volumes on disposable biochips makes this technique particularly attractive for applications where rare or high value biological liquids are employed; for example, medical diagnostics or in a quality control process of pharmaceuticals. This approach could also be readily extended to non-Newtonian liquids, demonstrating the applicability of this technique in rheology.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

SAW Device Fabrication. Interdigitated transducers (IDT) with 40 electrode pairs (10 nm Ti, 100 nm Au) were patterned on a 128° Y-cut X-propagating LiNbO$_3$ wafer of 1 mm thickness (Roditi, U.K.) using standard photolithography techniques as described previously.49 Their width and pitch were designed using the simple wavelength relationship: $f = c/\lambda$ and $\lambda = D$, where $f$ is the frequency, $c$ is the wave propagation velocity of LiNbO$_3$ and $D$ is the pitch.49 For 9.71 MHz, $\lambda$ was 410 $\mu$m. The sound propagation velocity in LiNbO$_3$ was 3992 m/s and the IDT aperture was 20 mm. The IDTs also showed further harmonics at 18.65 and 20.41 MHz, as measured using the S$_1^{-1}$ parameter from a network analyzer (ES5701C ENA, Agilent Technologies).

Lens-Free Computational Imaging. The lens-free microscope was developed and implemented using 3D printed components, as shown in Figure 1, and consisted of an illumination module, an optical band-pass filter, a sample holder attached to the SAW device and a 10 mega pixel CMOS imager (UI-1492LE-M, Imaging Development Systems). The illumination module consisted of 20 fiber coupled LEDs that were individually controlled using a microcontroller.51 Measurements were carried out using only one LED (although the presence of multiple LEDs facilitates a pixel super-resolution imaging capability).27 Imaging with a resolution below the pixel size in the future could enable studying acoustic waves at higher frequencies (shorter wavelengths).

A partially coherent light source (a fiber coupled LED, with a center wavelength of ~532 nm) was used to irradiate the sample. The interference between the directly transmitted and the scattered light from the sample was recorded at the CMOS imager as an inline hologram.52 The vertical distance between the sample and the CMOS detector plane was ~1 mm, such that the sample field-of-view was equal to the active area of the CMOS imager. These inline holograms could be used directly for analysis of the sample or, when spatial resolution is of importance, they can be rapidly reconstructed by digitally back-propagating the hologram to the object plane or by using iterative phase-recovery methods.24,53 Image reconstruction and elimination of twin-image related artifacts in the final holographic image were crucial in identifying smaller objects (~<5 $\mu$m), however it was not necessary for the detection of larger spatial features of interest as presented here. Further details of this on-chip lens-free holographic imaging system can be found in the reported work.24 Samples were prepared by spin-coating the liquid of interest on the glass biochip (Fisher Fine Premium 12-548A, Fisher Scientific). The disposable glass biochips were thoroughly cleaned in acetone (67-64-1, Fisher) overnight and then washed with ethanol (10646134, Acros Organics) and deionized water (7732-18-5, Sigma-Aldrich). After drying, the biochips were plasma-treated (PDC-32G, Harrick Plasma) to remove any organic residuals and the sample was spin coated at 12 000 rpm for 60 s (WS650HZB, Laurell). The biochips were then placed on the sample holder and coupled to the SAW device using PEG400 (25322-68-3, Sigma-Aldrich). The thin coupling film had a calculated volume of about 300 nL. The technique could also be implemented directly on the piezoelectric wafer used as a waveguide when cost and contamination issues are not critical to the target application. Wide area imaging of Lamb-type waves was performed,
Ultrasonic characterization was performed either on the LiNbO$_3$ obtained on a confocal microscope (LSM 510 Meta, Zeiss). Rheological characterization required a volume whose geometry was defined by one acoustic wavelength (~162 μm, x), averaged over 10 pixels on the CMOS sensor (~16.7 μm, y), with a thickness (z) of ~7 μm (i.e., <200 pL). To ensure samples did not evaporate, measurements were performed in a controlled humidified environment. Throughout all experiments, sample temperature was externally monitored using a thermal imager (C2, FLIR).

In all cases, the illumination module was controlled using a LABVIEW program. The image analysis was performed using a laptop (Lenovo Y480 with an Intel Core i7-3610QM microprocessor).

**Physical Characterization.** Physical characterization experiments were performed as follows: The liquid sample thickness was measured on biochips by adding 20 μM fluorescein dyes, and a z-stack was obtained on a confocal microscope (LSM 510 Meta, Zeiss). Ultrasonic characterization was performed either on the LiNbO$_3$ wafer as a Rayleigh wave, or on the glass biochip as a Lamb-type wave using a LDV (UHF-120, Polytec GmbH). An electrical network analyzer (E5701C ENA, Agilent Technologies) was used for the ultrasonic frequency characterization of the LiNbO$_3$ transducer. Ultrasonic waves “set” in cured polymer as show in Figure 3 were measured using a scanning electron microscope (Nova 600 SEM/FIB System).
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