Licorice (*Glycyrrhiza glabra* L.)-Derived Phytochemicals Target Multiple Signaling Pathways to Confer Oncopreventive and Oncotherapeutic Effects
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**Abstract:** Cancer is a highly lethal disease, and its incidence has rapidly increased worldwide over the past few decades. Although chemotherapeutics and surgery are widely used in clinical settings, they are often insufficient to provide the cure for cancer patients. Hence, more effective treatment options are highly needed. Although licorice has been used as a medicinal herb since ancient times, the knowledge about molecular mechanisms behind its diverse bioactivities is still rather new. In this review article, different anticancer properties (antiproliferative, antiangiogenic, antimetastatic, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory effects) of various bioactive constituents of licorice (*Glycyrrhiza glabra* L.) are thoroughly described. Multiple licorice constituents have been shown to bind to and inhibit the activities of various cellular targets, including B-cell lymphoma 2, cyclin-dependent kinase 2, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, c-Jun N-terminal kinases, mammalian target of rapamycin, nuclear factor-xB, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3, vascular endothelial growth factor, and matrix metalloproteinase-3, resulting in reduced carcinogenesis in several in vitro and in vivo models with no evident toxicity. Emerging evidence is bringing forth licorice as an anticancer agent as well as bottlenecks in its potential clinical application. It is expected that overcoming toxicity-related obstacles by using novel nanotechnological methods might importantly facilitate the use of anticancer properties of licorice-derived phytochemicals in the future. Therefore, anticancer studies with licorice components must be continued. Overall, licorice could be a natural alternative to the present medication for eradicating new emergent illnesses while having just minor side effects.
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**Introduction**

Since ancient times, humankind has strongly relied on medicinal plants and herbs in the treatment of diverse health conditions, including both benign neoplasms as well as malignant tumors.¹⁻³ Moreover, according to the World Health Organization (WHO) reports, about 80% of the worldwide population still depend on plant-derived drugs today, whereas several modern medicines have been originally isolated from medicinal plants.⁴ It is especially the case for anticancer drugs, of which more than 60% of clinically-approved drugs are directly or indirectly derived from plant kingdom.⁵⁻⁶ One of the oldest and most frequently described herbs in India, China, Southern Europe is licorice (*Glycyrrhiza glabra* L.), as its roots have been utilized for alleviating pain and treating gastrointestinal and respiratory symptoms already for centuries.⁷⁻⁹
This plant is believed to have originated in Iraq, being widespread in China, India, Iran, Afghanistan, Spain, Kazakhstan, Tajikistan, Kyrgyzstan, and Russia. The extract prepared from licorice roots is very sweet and used worldwide as a flavoring agent in tobacco products, food, cosmetics and herbal remedies, with an estimated annual consumption of about 1.5 kg/person. The earliest written evidence about the use of licorice date back to 2100 BC, when this plant was recommended for its health-promoting and life-enhancing properties. Today, we know that the roots of licorice contain more than 20 triterpenes and about 300 flavonoids, many of which have been described to exert various pharmacological effects, including different chemopreventive and anticancer bioactivities. Considering a continuous increase in the global incidence of new cancer cases, identification of novel efficient remedies to manage this dreadful disease is imperative.

Although several comprehensive review articles have been published about chemopreventive and anticancer activities of licorice and its bioactive phytocompounds in the recent years, none of them analyze anticancer properties of its structurally different constituents (eg, triterpenes glycyrrhetinic acid and glycyrrhetic acid; chalcones isoliquiritigenin, licochalcone A and licochalcone E; and isoﬂavone isoangustone), describing both molecular mechanisms as well as bioavailability of these bioactive components. Moreover, the present review article is focused on anticancer action of the major ingredients of licorice not only as separate agents but also in combination with approved chemotherapeutic drugs, administered as free compounds or encapsulated into nanoformulations to target the low bioavailability generally characteristic to natural substances. Therefore, this review represents an integrated contemporary overview, bringing together all the aspects we currently know about anticancer action of licorice, also providing modern solutions to the present bottlenecks associated with cancer prevention.

**Literature Search Strategy and Selection Criteria**

The electronic databases PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science were searched for studies published up to 1st June, 2022, assessing the association between licorice and cancer prevention. The major key words used were licorice bioactive components and cancer cell apoptosis or cell cycle arrest or anti-inflammation or antioxidation or antiangiogenesis or antimetastasis. A manual search for additional references was also executed by referring to the reference lists of retrieved articles. The researchers completed blind double-checks, to exclude irrelevant literature by discussing with co-authors. In present review, we searched 656 articles and included 282 publications on anticancer actions of licorice constituents.

**Licorice in Food and Medicine**

Licorice is a sweetener that can be found in a variety of soft drinks, foods, snacks, and herbal medicines. Its sweet flavor makes it appealing to many manufacturers to mask the bitterness of many products. Licorice based snacks, Egyptian drink “erk soos”, Belgian beers, pastis brands, and anisettes are all widely consumed. Tobacco product manufacturers utilize licorice as a flavoring and sweetening agent. Herbal and licorice-flavored cough mixtures, licorice tea, throat pears, licorice-flavored diet gum, and laxatives are all examples of health items that contain licorice.

Licorice is also utilized in a wide range of medical conditions. Licorice extracts have been utilized as herbal treatments in China and Japan for a long time. The biggest challenge with licorice dosing is that it comes in a variety of forms, including snacks, soft drink and health supplements with varying concentration. It has been observed that production of such health supplements is not strictly controlled. European Union established a temporary upper limit of 100 mg/day for glycyrrhizin consumption (about the amount found in 60–70 g licorice). Based on data from studies involving human volunteers, recognized food committees confirmed a daily maximum of 100 mg in April 2003. Due to limited human toxicity reports food committees are unable to conclude a specific intake dose of GA and ammonium glycyrrhizinate.

Glycyrrhizin is found in roughly 10–20% of licorice fluid extracts; typical doses of 2–4 mL yield 200–800 mg. According to a study, approximately 2% of frequent users ingest more than 100 mg of glycyrrhizinic acid on a daily basis. Walker and Edwards showed in 1994 that daily oral consumption of 1–10 mg glycyrrhizin, equivalent to 1–5 g licorice, is considered a safe amount for most healthy adults.

Licorice has long been used as an antidote to counteract the toxicity of chemotherapeutic treatment. Licorice is classified as “Rasayana” in Ayurveda (Indian traditional medicine), which indicates it has nourishing, renewing, and strengthening properties. Recent research has shown its significance in a variety of biological functions in the human
body, including antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties, as well as a protective effect on several organs.\textsuperscript{30,31} Licorice’s Generally Recognized as Safe accreditation allows it to be used in a wide range of foods at usual concentrations. Licorice’s sweet flavor makes it appropriate for a variety of uses in foods, such as confectionery and sauces, with the rhizomes and roots being the most commonly utilized plant parts. For example, licorice is used in the flavoring of London drops (candy brand) and Red Vines\textsuperscript{36}. Licorice powder is commonly used to add a unique flavor to sweet chilli sauce and soy sauce in condiments. Glycyrrhiza has been used to cure a variety of diseases in traditional medicine and clinical practice across cultures.\textsuperscript{32} However, according to the extraction process,\textsuperscript{33} geographical origin,\textsuperscript{34,35} drying method,\textsuperscript{36} and harvesting period,\textsuperscript{37} the observed biological activity of Glycyrrhiza can vary.

Major Bioactive Constituents of Licorice
Glycyrrhizinic Acid

The main sweet-tasting ingredient of \textit{G. glabra} (licorice) root is glycyrrhizin (or glycyrrhizinic acid or GA). It is a pentacyclic triterpene saponin with a structure that is employed as an emulsifier and gel-forming agent in foods and cosmetics (Figure 1). Enoxolone is its aglycone. It is a glycyrrhetinic acid-containing triterpene glycoside with a wide spectrum of pharmacological and biological properties.\textsuperscript{38,39} It comes in the forms of ammonium glycyrrhizin and mono-ammonium glycyrrhizin when isolated from the plant. GA is an amphiphilic molecule, with the glucuronic acid residues representing the hydrophilic region and the glycyrrhetic acid residue representing the hydrophobic region. The chemical form of GA is C\textsubscript{42}H\textsubscript{62}O\textsubscript{16}, with a molecular weight of 822.92 g/mol.\textsuperscript{40}

Glycyrrhetic Acid (GLA)

GLA, also known as glycyrrhetinic acid (Figure 1), is a β-amyrin ursane-type pentacyclic triterpenoid derivative obtained by hydrolysis of GA, which comes from the herb licorice.\textsuperscript{41} GLA is a glycyrrhizin aglycone.\textsuperscript{42} The chemical form of GLA is C\textsubscript{30}H\textsubscript{46}O\textsubscript{4}, with a molecular weight of 470.7 g/mol. Many bioactive pharmaceuticals are synthesized using GLA as a precursor compound.

![Figure 1](https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S366630)
Isoliquiritigenin (ILG)

Licorice root contains isoliquiritigenin (ILG) (Figure 1), a phenolic chemical component. ILG belongs to the trans-chalcone hydroxylated at the C-2′, C-4, and C-4′ class of chalcones. Chalcones are characterized chemically as α, β-unsaturated biphenyl ketones. In several plants, ILG is a precursor to numerous flavanones. ILG is a biosynthetic precursor and isomer of flavanone liquiritigenin (LG), as well as a number of other flavonoids synthesized through the phenylpropanoid pathway. Furthermore, investigations show that ILG and LG are interchangeable by temperature and pH. The chemical form of ILG is C_{15}H_{12}O_{4}, with a molecular weight of 256.25 g/mol.

Isoangustone A (IAA)

IAA (Figure 1) is a flavonoid compound found in the root of licorice. IAA belongs to the isoflavanones family. The chemical form of IAA is C_{25}H_{26}O_{6}, with a molecular weight of 422.5 g/mol.

Licochalcone A (LicoA)

LicoA (Figure 1) is a phenol chalconoid derivative found in and isolated from the roots of the Glycyrrhiza species G. glabra (licorice) and G. inflata. LicoA is a flavonoid that belongs to the oxygenated retro-chalcones group. Two phenolic hydroxyl groups, a methoxyl group, and an isoprene side chain replace the chalcone nucleus in LicoA. It is one of the main important active components of licorice root. The chemical form of Lico A is C_{21}H_{22}O_{4}, with a molecular weight of 338.4 g/mol.

Licochalcone E (LicoE)

LicoE (Figure 1) is a retrochalcone isolated from the root of G. inflate and possesses numerous biological and pharmacological properties. The chemical form of LicoE is C_{21}H_{22}O_{4}, with a molecular weight of 338.4 g/mol.

Absorption and Metabolism Studies of Major Licorice Bioactive Compounds

With the high associated toxicity encountered by cancer patients, there is an urgent need to investigate novel ways to protect patients from the side effects of traditional drug delivery systems. Licorice is commonly utilized as an ingredient in many traditional medicinal systems, such as traditional Chinese medicine in China, Ayurveda and Siddha in India, and Unani in Southern Europe, and it has been studied for its numerous pharmacological properties, including its anticancer capabilities. Pharmacokinetic studies are required to comprehend the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) features of bioactive substances. The ADME properties of licorice bioactive compounds vary because of the natural product class they belong to such as tri-terpenoids and flavonoids as evidenced in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Cellular Targets of Licorice Constituents in Cancer

Anti-Inflammatory and Antioxidant Potential

Inflammation that occurs in response to physical, chemical or biological stimuli plays a substantial role in preventing or promoting carcinogenesis through immune surveillance. Inflammatory mediators, such as growth factors, cytokines and chemokines, are released by immune cells such as macrophages, neutrophils and lymphocytes. ROS, on the other hand, can play a role in activating the inflammation related transcriptional factors (eg, NF-κB and STAT-3) and contribute to the carcinogenesis processes, including genomic instability, resistance to apoptosis, cellular proliferation, angiogenesis, invasion and metastasis. Licorice is known to have significant anti-inflammatory activity and its use in the treatment of inflammatory diseases dates back to ancient times. Many studies have shown that licorice triterpenes, such as glycyrrhizin and glycyrrhetinic acid, and flavonoids, such as dehydroglyasperin C, echinatin, glabridin, glyurallin B, isoangustone A, isoliquiritigenin, licochalcone A-E, licoricidin and licorisoflavan A, have significant anti-inflammatory effects. Glycyrrhizin, which has an anti-inflammatory effect similar to the glucocorticoids and mineralocorticoids, can inhibit inflammatory factors and promote the healing of mouth and stomach ulcers. Sun and coworkers have reported that glycyrrhizin suppresses lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced inflammatory responses via blocking the high mobility group protein box 1 (HMGB1)-Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4)-NF-κB pathway. Moreover, glycyrrhizin and 18β-glycyrrhetinic acid have been defined by different
### Table 1 ADME Profile of Triterpenoids (GA and Glycyrrhetic Acid) of Licorice

| Compound          | Pharmacokinetic Parameters | Results                                                                                                                                   | Reference |
|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| **GA**            | Absorption                 | Oral intake: $C_{\text{max}} = 1.3 \mu g/mL$ after a dose of $50 \text{ mg/kg}$; $\text{AUC} = 7.3 \pm 1.8 \mu g. \text{h/mL}$ Intraperitoneal: $C_{\text{max}} = 238.9 \mu g/mL$ after a dose of $50 \text{ mg/kg}$ with a mean bioavailability rate of 80% Intravenous: Decreased exponentially after administration Fructose containing molecules hinder the uptake of glycyrrhizin | [210]     |
|                   | Distribution               | Volume of distribution ranges from $37–64 \text{ mL/kg}$ to $59–98 \text{ mL/kg}$ Does not bind with any plasma proteins | [212]     |
|                   | Metabolism                 | Conversion to 18-glycyrrhetic acid 3-O-monoglucuronide and glycyrrhetic acid by intestinal bacteria Upregulated CYP3A4 mRNA and protein expression via Pregnane X receptor activation Inhibition of CYP7A1 enzyme due to increased expression of small heterodimer protein | [39,214] |
|                   | Excretion                  | Excretion profile of 3β-monoglucuronyl-18β-glycyrrhetinic acid was measured as glycyrrhizin is completely metabolized to this by-product Average $t_{\text{max}}$ was found to be 23.9 h Value of $C_{\text{max}}$ was found to be $0.49$ and $2.69 \mu g/mL$ | [216]     |
| Glycyrrhetic acid | Absorption                 | Inhibitor of P-glycoprotein which affects multidrug resistance Inhibits uptake of sodium and copper ions when co-administered | [217]     |
|                   | Metabolism                 | Kaempferol and berberine significantly affect bioavailability at certain time intervals Inhibits metabolism of cortisone and cortisol causing increased half-life and $C_{\text{max}}$ Inhibits CYP3A4 enzyme Major route of elimination via glucuronide conjugation in a rapid way | [219]     |

**Abbreviations:** AUC, area under curve; $C_{\text{max}}$, maximum serum concentration; CYP3A4, cytochrome P450 3A4; GA, glycyrrhizinic acid; $t_{\text{max}}$, time to peak drug concentration.

### Table 2 ADME Profile of Flavonoids (Isoliquiritigenin, Isoangustone a, Licochalcone A) of Licorice

| Compound          | Pharmacokinetic Parameters | Results                                                                                                                                 | Reference |
|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Isoliquiritigenin | Absorption                 | After intraperitoneal injection: $t_{\text{max}} = 60 \text{ min}$ Rapid absorption from GI tract                                                                 | [223]     |
|                   | Distribution               | After intraperitoneal injection biodistribution was found to be: Liver > kidney > spleen > blood > lung > brain > heart Organ biodistribution achieved at 120 min | [223]     |
|                   | Metabolism                 | Hydroxy metabolite is a potent antioxidant but a weaker anti-apoptotic agent Metabolite butein formed by liver microsomes is a potent antioxidant Increases CYP1A1 levels Major route of elimination by glucuronidation | [224]     |

(Continued)
investigators as significant inhibitors of inflammatory factors, such as cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), HMGP 1, inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-10, tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), TGF-β, prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), myeloperoxidase (MPO) and nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB).67–72 Dehydroglyasperin C has been reported to suppress the production of ROS and singlet oxygen radicals, and it exerts anti-inflammatory activities by reducing the DNA binding activity of NF-κB, increasing the expression of MKP-1 and heme oxygenase-1, and inhibiting COX-2 expression via blocking the MKK4 and PI3K pathways.73–75 Although echinatine, licochalcone A and licochalcone B inhibit IL-6 and PGE2 in LPS-induced macrophage cells, licochalcones B and D reduce the production of TNF-α and monocyte chemotactic protein 1 (MCP-1).76–78 Moreover, licochalcone C inhibits the NF-κB pathway by reducing the expression of iNOS, ICAM-1 and VCAM-1. Licochalcone E also shows anti-inflammatory activity by suppressing NF-κB and AP-1 and reducing the expression of iNOS and COX-2.79,80 In addition, glabridin, one of the most studied anti-inflammatory flavonoids isolated from licorice, suppresses inflammatory responses in different cell lines via the NF-κB pathway and inhibition of the expression of various cytokines and chemokines.81 It has been reported by different researchers that glabridin suppresses the expression of C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 5 (CXCL5), IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-12, IL-22, IL-23, interferon (IFN)-α/β, iNOS, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), nitric oxide (NO), TNF-α, PGE2, COX-2, MPO and lipoxygenase (LOX) and inhibits the activation of the p38 MAPK, ERK, NF-κB and AP-1 signaling pathways (Figure 2).81 A natural chalcone called isoliquiritigenin, isolated from licorice, also has significant anti-inflammatory activity via inhibition of caspase-1, COX-2, IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, iNOS, TNF-α, NF-κB ligand RANKL and eotaxin-1, and suppression of the NF-κB, MAPK, AP-1 signaling pathways and NLRP3 inflammasome activation.45,82–87 Furthermore, isoliquiritigenin and isoliquiritin inhibit inhibitory κBα (IκBα) phosphorylation and degradation, and increase the expression of nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) and heme oxygenase-1 in LPS-induced macrophage cells.88

The phenolic ingredients, such as chalcones, coumarins, flavonoids, isoflavones and methylated isoflavones, seem to be responsible for the antioxidant activity of licorice (Figure 2). ROS has a high affinity for DNA and other biomolecules. This can result in DNA damage and oncogenic mutations being incorporated into normal cells leading to genomic instability, and finally cancer.89 Due to the phenolic hydroxyl structure of chalcones, they act as proton donors and combine with a radical to prevent oxidative damage.90,91 The chalcones isolated from licorice, such as echinatin, isobavachalcone, isoliquiritigenin, and licochalcones A-D, have been reported as powerful antioxidant agents.76,92,93 Although isobavachalcone and licochalcones A-D suppress NADPH induced lipid peroxidation, echinatin and licochalcone A and B possess strong radical scavenging activity. Licochalcone A and C elevate the expression of antioxidant enzymes, such as catalase, glutathione

| Compound          | Pharmacokinetic Parameters | Results                                                                 | Reference |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Isoangustone A    | Metabolism                | Major metabolic routes include hydroxylation, glucuronidation and sulfation | [227]     |
| Licochalcone A    | Distribution              | Well distributed in liver and mammary tissues                            | [226]     |
|                   | Metabolism                | Downregulates the activity of CYP2C19, CYP2C8, CYP2C9 and CYP3A4 proteins | [228]     |
|                   |                           | Time dependent inhibition of CYP3A enzymes                               | [229]     |
|                   |                           | Liver microsomal pathway of biotransformation                            | [230]     |
|                   |                           | Slow metabolism by phase I pathway as compared to rapid elimination by    |           |
|                   |                           | Phase II pathways                                                        |           |
|                   |                           | Metabolites formed were mainly from oxidation, glucuronidation and        |           |
|                   |                           | glutathione pathways                                                    |           |
|                   |                           | Inhibition of CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein transporter caused nifedipine      | [231]     |

Abbreviations: ADME, absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion; GI, gastrointestinal.
Figure 2. Anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities of licorice and its constituents.

Abbreviations: AP-1, activator protein 1; COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2; CXCL5, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 5; HMGB1, high mobility group protein box 1; IFN, interferon; IL, interleukin; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase ICAM-1, intercellular adhesion molecule 1; IκBα phos, nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells inhibitor, alpha phosphate; LOX, l-oxyl oxidase; LPL, lipoprotein lipase; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; MCP-1, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1; MKK4, mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 4; MPO, myeloperoxidase; NF-κB, nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B; NO, nitric oxide; PGE2, prostaglandin E2; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; RANKL, receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand; ROS, Reactive oxygen species; TGF-β, transforming growth factor-β; TLR4, Toll-like receptor 4; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; VCAM-1, vascular cell adhesion molecule 1.
peroxidase and superoxide dismutase.\textsuperscript{94,95} Moreover, dihydroisoliquiritigenin inhibits glutamate-induced oxidative stress in neuronal cells, and glypallichalcone acts as an inhibitor of LPL oxidation.\textsuperscript{96,97} Similar to the chalcone derivatives, coumarin compounds in licorice have significant radical scavenging activity.\textsuperscript{98,99} Moreover, saponins and polysaccharides isolated from licorice have been reported to exert antioxidant activities.\textsuperscript{100–102} Consequently, it seems that the triterpenes, chalcones, coumarins, flavonoids, isoflavones and methylated isoflavones have significant antioxidant activity inhibiting the processes of carcinogenesis, and these contents of licorice have great potential as novel anticancer agents.

### Apoptosis and Cell Cycle Arrest

Cancer is one of the leading diseases affecting human life and is caused by various reasons, such as environmental pollution and unhealthy lifestyle.\textsuperscript{103–106} Apoptotic cell death is known to regulate cancer cell proliferation, invasion and survival. Intrinsic and extrinsic apoptosis are the two major pathways induced by chemotherapeutics to inhibit tumor proliferation (Figure 3). Inhibition of apoptosis is considered to be an important mechanism toward drug resistance. In recent years, the interest has shifted to exploring apoptotic phytochemicals for cancer treatment and overcoming drug-resistance with lower side-effects.\textsuperscript{56,107–111} The anticancer properties of licorice were studied in MCF-7 (breast cancer) and HepG2 (liver cell carcinoma) cell lines where licorice root extract was used to synthesize gold nanoparticles (AuNPs). It was observed that 50 \( \mu \)g/mL and 23 \( \mu \)g/mL of the synthesized AuNPs could successfully inhibit the growth of MCF-7 and HepG2 cell lines, respectively.\textsuperscript{112} Similar results were obtained in a study conducted by Vlaisavljević et al\textsuperscript{113} where different cancer cell lines, such as SiHa, HeLa (cervical), T47D, MDA-MB-361, MDA-MB-231, and MCF7 (breast), and A2780 (ovarian), were treated with licorice extract and it was found that 30 \( \mu \)g/mL extract induced apoptosis or necrosis in the cells, inhibiting tumor growth.

Licorice contains various flavonoids, such as glabridin, glycyrrhetinic acid, Lico, GA, ILG, and liquiritin.\textsuperscript{114} The glabridin exhibits anticancer activities as it activates the caspase cascade and mitochondrial apoptotic pathway leading to apoptosis in cancer cells.\textsuperscript{115} It regulates various signaling pathways, such as signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT-3), nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-\( \kappa \)B), mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (ERK) to inhibit proliferation of various cancer cells, and induces apoptosis of these cells. Glabridin induced apoptosis in Huh7 liver cancer cells by cleaving caspase-9 levels.

**Figure 3** Suggested apoptotic mechanisms of bioactive metabolites of licorice. They are known to initiate apoptotic cell death in cancer via intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms.

**Abbreviations:** Bax, Bcl-2-associated X protein; BCL-2, B-cell lymphoma 2; DISC, death-inducing signaling complex; DR4, Death receptor; Fas (L), Fas ligand; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; NF-\( \kappa \)B, nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B; ROS, reactive oxygen species; STAT-3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; tBID, truncated BID; TRAILR, TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand.
and increasing the release of cytochrome c (cyt. c), and in SK-BR-3 breast cancer cells by cleaving caspase-9, caspase-8, and caspase-3, and increasing the concentration of PARP. Further, in addition to the caspase cascade, glabridin induced apoptosis in HL-60 acute myeloid leukemia cells by activating the JNK1/2 and p38 MAPK signaling pathways. The glycyrrhetic acid and its derivatives induce mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis in cancer cells, as it was found in a study conducted by Lin et al where apoptosis was induced by the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) when NTUB1 (human bladder cancer cells) were exposed to glycyrrhetic acid. Another derivative of glycyrrhetic acid, 18β-glycyrrhetic acid (GRA) caused apoptosis in MCF-7 by activating the mitochondrial death cascade, caspase-9 and release of cyt. c. GRA had no inhibitory effect on the MCF-10 A (normal mammary epithelial cells). In another study, it was found that GRA was capable of inducing cell cycle death in HepG2 cells by arresting cell growth in the G1-phase and inducing apoptosis at a higher concentration. Another triterpene compound exhibiting antitumor properties is glycyrrhizin which is isolated from the roots of licorice. The antitumor activity of glycyrrhizin has been demonstrated in DU-145 and LNCaP human prostate cancer cells. Glycyrrhizin induces apoptosis in both cell lines in a concentration-dependent and time-dependent manner. Further, the GA, another flavonoid extracted from the roots of licorice also induces apoptosis in both cell lines and causes cell death in these cancer cells. It has also been observed that GA, another flavonoid extracted from the roots of licorice also induces apoptosis in both cell lines and causes cell death in these cancer cells. The glycyrrhetinic acid and its derivatives induce mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis in cancer cells, as it was found in a study conducted by Lin et al where apoptosis was induced by the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) when NTUB1 (human bladder cancer cells) were exposed to glycyrrhetic acid. Another derivative of glycyrrhetic acid, 18β-glycyrrhetic acid (GRA) caused apoptosis in MCF-7 by activating the mitochondrial death cascade, caspase-9 and release of cyt. c. GRA had no inhibitory effect on the MCF-10 A (normal mammary epithelial cells). In another study, it was found that GRA was capable of inducing cell cycle death in HepG2 cells by arresting cell growth in the G1-phase and inducing apoptosis at a higher concentration. Another triterpene compound exhibiting antitumor properties is glycyrrhizin which is isolated from the roots of licorice. The antitumor activity of glycyrrhizin has been demonstrated in DU-145 and LNCaP human prostate cancer cells. Glycyrrhizin induces apoptosis in both cell lines in a concentration-dependent and time-dependent manner. Further, the GA, another flavonoid extracted from the roots of licorice also induces apoptosis in both cell lines and causes cell death in these cancer cells. The glycyrrhetinic acid and its derivatives induce mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis in cancer cells, as it was found in a study conducted by Lin et al where apoptosis was induced by the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) when NTUB1 (human bladder cancer cells) were exposed to glycyrrhetic acid. Another derivative of glycyrrhetic acid, 18β-glycyrrhetic acid (GRA) caused apoptosis in MCF-7 by activating the mitochondrial death cascade, caspase-9 and release of cyt. c. GRA had no inhibitory effect on the MCF-10 A (normal mammary epithelial cells). In another study, it was found that GRA was capable of inducing cell cycle death in HepG2 cells by arresting cell growth in the G1-phase and inducing apoptosis at a higher concentration. Another triterpene compound exhibiting antitumor properties is glycyrrhizin which is isolated from the roots of licorice. The antitumor activity of glycyrrhizin has been demonstrated in DU-145 and LNCaP human prostate cancer cells. Glycyrrhizin induces apoptosis in both cell lines in a concentration-dependent and time-dependent manner. Further, the GA, another flavonoid extracted from the roots of licorice also induces apoptosis in both cell lines and causes cell death in these cancer cells.
| Type of Cancer | Cell Lines | Anticancer Effects | Mechanisms | Concentration | Reference |
|---------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|
| Melanoma      | SK-MEL-28 and SK-MEL-5 | Induced apoptosis | ↓G1 phase, ↓cyclin D1, ↓cyclin E, ↓p-Akt, ↓p-GSK3β, ↓p-JNK1/2, ↑P3K, ↑MKK4, ↑MKK7 | 10–20 µM | [46] |
|                | HT-29      | ↑Antitumor activity, ↑cell number, ↑differentiated morphology, ↑reversion of tumor cells to the normal differentiated cells, ↑topoisomerase II | | 1, 10, 25, 50, and 100 µM | [232] |
| Glioma        | C6         | Suppressed cell proliferation | ↑Cell viability, ↑cytotoxicity towards cancer cells, ↑anti-tumor activity, ↑cell number, ↑differentiated morphology, ↑reversion of tumor cells to the normal differentiated cells, ↑topoisomerase II | | |
| Nasopharyngeal | C666-1 cells | IncRNA-regulated mechanism | ↑IncRNA, AK027294, ↑production of EZH1, ↑caspase-3, ↑caspase-8, ↑caspase-9 | 0.25, 0.5, and 1 mg/mL | [233] |
| Nasopharyngeal | C666-1 | Induced apoptosis | ↑Antiproliferative properties, ↑apoptosis rate, ↑percentage of down-regulated amino acids and lipids leading to decreased metabolic disorders, ↑cell proliferation, ↑cell viability, ↑caspase-9 protease activities, ↑caspase-3 | | [234] |
| Pharyngeal squamous | FaDu | Induced apoptosis | ↑Cytotoxicity towards cancer cells, ↑number of dead cancer cells, ↑chromatin condensation, ↑Fas, ↑cleaved caspase-8, ↑Bax, ↑apoptotic protease-activating factor 1, ↑caspase-9, ↑p53, ↑Bcl-2, ↑cleaved caspases-3, ↑cleaved PARP | 0,12,5,25 and 50 µg/mL | |
| Adenoid cystic | ACC-2 and ACC-M | Induced autophagic and apoptotic cell death | ↓mTOR, ↓appearance of membranous vacuoles, ↓formation of acidic vesicular organelles, ↓punctate pattern of LC3 immunostaining, ↓autophagic flux, ↓apoptosis, ↓LC3-III/LC3-I ratio, ↓cleavage of LC3, ↓autophagic flux, ↓caspase-3, ↑Bax/Bcl-2 ratio, ↑PARP-cleavage, ↑phosphorylated-S6 (downstream target of mTOR) | 5–20 µM | [235] |
| Colon         | HT-29      | Induced apoptosis | ↓Proliferation, ↓viability of cells, ↓cell death of cancer cells, ↓HSP90 | 50,100,150, and 200 µg/mL | [236] |
| Non-small cell lung cancer | H1975 A549 | Inhibited metastasis | G0/G1 growth phase cycle arrest, ↑number of cells at both G0/G1 growth phase and G2/M growth phase, ↓cyclin B1 and cyclin A2, ↓p21 (CDK inhibitor), ↑CDK2, ↑ESR1, ↑PARG, ↑ESRR, ↑PRKACA, ↑CXCL8, ↑PLAA, ↑RXRB, ↑MAPK14 levels of CDK4, ↓cyclin D1, ↓CDK4-Cyclin D1 complex | 200,400,600 and 800 µg/mL | [237] |
| Gastric       | MKN28      | Inhibited metastasis | ↓Proliferation and metastasis, ↓migration and invasion, ↓LC3III/LC3-I ratio, ↑Beclin 1, ↑p62, ↑p-Akt, ↑p-mTOR | 0.5,10,15 and 20 µM | [238] |
| Hepatocellular | MHCC97-L, LO2, and SMMC7721 | Induced autophagy and autophagy | ↓Cell viability and proliferation, ↓apoptosis frequency, ↓Bax, ↑cleaved-caspase-3, ↑cleaved PARP, ↑endogenous LC3-II, ↑Bcl-2, ↑p62, punctate LC3, ↑p-Akt, ↑p-Pi3K, ↑p-mTOR | 12.5, 25, and 50 µM | [239] |
| Hepatocellular | HuH7 and HepG2 | Induced autophagy | ↑Autophagosome number, ↑ROS generation, ↑TSC1/2 complex, ↑PRAS40, ↑CTMP, ↑PP2A, ↑PDK1 and ↑Rubicon ↑LC3 II, ↑cleaved-PARP, ↑cleaved-caspase-3 | 10,20,50,100 µM | [240] |
| Renal         | Caki       | Induced apoptosis | ↑Cleavage of caspase-9, caspase-7 and caspase-3, and PARP, ↑Bax, ↑Bcl-2, ↑Bcl-xL, ↑cyst. c release, ↑p53, ↑MDM2, ↑ROS levels, ↑STAT3, ↓cyclin D1 and D2, ↑p-JAK2 | 0.5,10,20 and 50 µM | [138] |
| Breast        | MDA-MB-231 triple negative | Induced apoptotic and autophagic-mediated apoptosis | ↓Cell cycle progression, ↓cyclin D1, ↓sub-G1 phase population, ↓Bcl-2, ↑Bax, ↓caspase-3, ↑PARP, ↑p-mTOR, ↑p-JAK1, ↓cathepsin B, ↑p62, ↑Beclin1, ↑LC3, ↑caspase-8 | 10, 25 and 50 µM | [241] |

(Continued)
Table 3 (Continued).

| Type of Cancer | Cell Lines | Anticancer Effects | Mechanisms | Concentration | Reference |
|----------------|------------|---------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|
| Breast         | MDA-MB-231 | Induced apoptosis   | ↓Cell migration, ↓cell proliferation, ↑mitochondrial membrane potential, ↑DNA damage, ↓oxidative stress, ↓cleaved-caspase-3 and 9, ↓Bcl-2 expression, ↑E-cadherin ↓Vimentin, ↑N-cadherin, ↑release of cytochrome c from the mitochondria into the cytoplasm, ↑ROS | 30–40 µM | [242] |
| Breast         | MDA-MB-231 | Exerted anti-inflammatory and antitumorigenic effects | ↓NO production, ↓iNOS, ↓LPS/IFN-γ, ↑NF κB, ↑ERK, ↑miR-155, ↑bound p50 and p65 | 10,50,100 and 200 µM | [243] |
| Breast         | MCF-7      | Induced apoptosis   | ↑Cell viability and proliferation, ↑apoptosis frequency, ↑TFF1 (p52), ↑CTSD, ↑CDKN1A (an effector of p53), ↑RSK6KA (RSK; MAPK-related) ↑NRIP1 (RIP140, AP-1-related), ↑TP53I11 (p53- related), ↑PRKCD ↓ARHGDIA (a Ras super-family gene) | 0.1–100 µM | [245] |
| Murine mammary | 4T1 and MCF-10A | Inhibited metastasis | ↑Tumor growth, cell proliferation, ↑VEGF-A, ↑CD31 ↓HIF-1α, ↓iNOS, ↓COX-2 | 0.1, 2.5 and 5.0 µg/mL | [246] |
| Bladder        | T24        | Induced apoptosis   | ↑Nuclear condensation, ↑ nuclear fragmentation, ↑apoptotic ratio, ↑ decrease in the ΔΨ, ↑Bax, ↑Bim, ↑Apaf-1, ↑caspase-9-3, ↑caspase-3, ↓Bcl-2, ↑CDK2 | 10, 20, 20.40-5, 60.70 and 80 µg/mL | [247] |
| Bladder        | T24        | Induced apoptosis   | ↑Proliferation, ↑ROS; ↑apoptosis frequency, ↑mitochondrial dysfunction, ↑caspase-3, ↑PARP cleavage, ↑ER stress; GRP 78, ↑growth arrest, ↑DNA damage-inducible gene 153/CEBP homology protein (GADD153/CHOP) expression, ↑caspase-12 | 20, 40, 60, 80, or 100 µM | [248] |
| Endometrial    | HEC-1A, Ishikawa, and RL95-2 | Inhibited metastasis | ↑Survival rate of cancer cells, ↑N-cadherin, ↑E-cadherin | 1, 5, 10, and 20 µM | [182] |
| Endometrial    | Ishikawa, HEC-1A, and RL95-2 cells | Induced apoptosis and autophagy | ↑Viability of cancer cells, ↑sub-G1 or G2/M phase arrest, ↑DNA damage, ↑cell cycle arrest, ↑apoptotic cell death, ↑cleaved caspase-3, ↑cleaved PARP, ↑caspase-7/LC3BII, ↑p-ERK, ↑LC3-II, ↑SQSTM1/p62 levels | 5, 10, 25, 50, 75, and 100 µM | [249] |
| Prostate       | PC-3       | Induced apoptosis and autophagy | ↑Proliferation, G0/G1 cell cycle arrest, ↑apoptotic effect, ↑necrosis percentage, LC3B-II protein, ↑LC3B-II/LC3B-I ratio, ↑LC3A, ↑mRNA level ULK1 and AMBRA1, ↑NBR1 and p62 | 3–200 µg/mL glycyrrhiza extract + 3–100 nM Adriamycin | [250] |
| Ovarian        | OVCARS and ES-2 | Induced apoptosis | ↑Viability of cancer cells, ↑G2/M phase arrest, ↑cleaved PARP, ↑cleaved caspase-3, ↑Bax/Bcl-2 ratio, ↑LC3B-II, ↑Beclin-1 | 1, 5, 10, 20, 25, 50, 75, and 100 µM | [251] |
| Cervical       | SiHa, HeLa, HK 2 | Induced autophagy and apoptosis | ↑Viability of cancer cells, ↑cleaved-caspase-3, ↑cleaved caspase-9, ↑cleaved-PARP, ↑Bcl-2, ↑LC3-II, ↑Beclin1, ↑AgS, ↑Ag7, ↑Ag12, ↑P38 (p85), ↑p-Akt (ser473), ↑p-mTOR (ser2448), ↑p-mTOR (ser2481) | 10, 30, and 50 µM | [252] |
**Table 3 (Continued).**

| Type of Cancer | Cell Lines | Anticancer Effects | Mechanisms | Concentration | Reference |
|----------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|
| Osteosarcoma   | Saos-2     | Induced apoptosis  | ↓Proliferation, ↓cell migration, ↓cyclin D1, ↑p53, ↑p21, ↑p27, ↑Bcl-2, ↑Bax, ↓level of ATP-synthesis, ↓PI3K/Akt signaling, ↓MMP2, ↓MMP9 | 0.3, 10, 30, and 100 μM | [253] |
| Osteosarcoma   | U2OS       | Induced apoptosis  | ↓Proliferation, ↓invasion and migration, ↓apoptosis, ↓Bax, ↑active caspase-3, ↑Bcl-2, ↓p-Akt, ↓p-mTOR, ↓PI3K/Akt signaling pathway | 5, 10 and 20 μM | [254] |

**Abbreviations:** AMBRA1, activating molecule in Beclin-1-regulated autophagy protein 1; AP-1, activator protein 1; Apaf-1, apoptotic protease activating factor-1; ARHGDIR, Rho GDP dissociation inhibitor alpha; Atg, autophagy related; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; Bax, BCL2 associated X; BCL-2, B-cell lymphoma 2; CD11, cluster of differentiation 11; CDK, cyclin dependent kinase; CDKN1A, cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 1A; CHOP, CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein (C/EBP) homologous protein; CIDEA, cell death activator; COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2; CTMP, carboxyl-terminal modulator protein; CTSD, cathepsin D; CXCL, chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand; DNA, deoxyribonucleic acid; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; ESR1, estrogen receptor 1; ESRRA, estrogen related receptor alpha; EZH-1, enhancer of zeste 1 polycomb repressive complex 2 subunit; GADD, growth Arrest and DNA damage inducible protein 153; GRP78, glucose-regulating protein; HIF-1α, hypoxia inducible factor 1α; IFN-γ, interferon-γ; IL, interleukin; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; LC3, microtubule-associated protein 1A/1B-light chain 3; InRNA, long non-coding RNA; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; mI, microRNA; MAPI, mitogen-activated protein kinase; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; NBR1, neighbour of BRCA1 gene; NF-κB, nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; NO, nitric oxide; NRIP1, nuclear receptor-interacting protein 1; p-Akt, phospho-Akt; PARP, poly (adenosine diphosphate-ribose) polymerase; PDK1, phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1; p-Erk, phospho-extracellular signal-regulated kinase; p-GSK3β, phospho-glycogen synthase kinase-3β; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; p-JNK, phospho-c-Jun N-terminal kinase; PLAA, phospholipase A2-activating protein; PP2A, protein phosphatase 2A; PPARG, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma; PRAS, proline-rich Akt substrate; PRKACA, protein kinase CAMP-activated catalytic subunit alpha; PRKCD, protein kinase C delta; RIP1-40, receptor-interacting protein 140; ROS, reactive oxygen species; RPS6KA, p90 ribosomal S6 kinase-3; RSK, ribosomal S6 kinase; RXRB, retinoid X receptor beta; SHC1, Src homology 2 domain containing protein 1; SQSTM1, sequestosome 1; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; TFF1, trefoil factor 1; TSC, tuberous sclerosis complex; ULK1, Unc-51-like autophagy activating kinase 1; VEGF-A, vascular endothelial growth factor A; ΔΨ, mitochondrial membrane potential.

**Table 4 In vivo Anticancer Effects and Mechanistic Insight of Licorice Bioactive Phytocompounds**

| Type of Cancer | Animal Models | Antitumor Effects | Observed Changes | Dosage | Duration | Reference |
|----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|----------|-----------|
| Melanoma       | BALB/c nu/nu mice xenografted with SK-MEL-28 cells | Induced apoptosis | ↓Tumor growth, ↓tumor volume, ↓tumor weight | 2 or 10 mg/kg | 35 days | [46] |
| Adenoid cystic carcinoma | BALB/c nude mice xenografted with ACC-M cells | Induced autophagic and apoptotic cell death | ↓Tumor frequency, ↑LC3, ↑autophagic responses, ↑apoptosis, ↑mTOR, ↑Atg5 expression | 0.5 g/kg or 1 g/kg/ | 30 days | [235] |
| Colon          | Male BALB/c mice injected with CT-26 cells | Inhibit tumor metastasis | ↓Tumor weight, ↓spleen index, improve the physical condition of the tumor bearing mice, ↓formation of tumor necrotic foci through recruiting inflammatory cells, no infiltrated cancer cells were found in the lungs, ↑gut friendly bacteria | 500 mg/kg | 15 days | [238] |
| Colon          | BALB/c mice bearing CT-26 cells | Induces apoptosis | ↓Tumor growth, ↓immune organ index, ↓CD4+, ↓CD8+ immune cells population, ↓IL 2, ↓IL 6, ↓IL 7, ↓TNF-α | 500 mg/kg | 14 days | [255] |
| Colon          | CT 26         | Induces apoptosis | ↓Proliferation of cancer cells, ↓IL-7 gene, ↓tumor growth, ↓CD4+ and CD8+ immune cells population, ↓IL 2, IL 6, IL 7, ↓TNFα | 1–100 μg/mL, 500 mg/kg, increase daily | 14 days | [255] |
| Non-small cell lung | C57BL/6 mice injected with LLC cells | Anti-metastatic | ↑PD-L1, ↑CD8+, ↑antigen presentation | 200 mg/kg | 19 days | [237] |

(Continued)
Table 4 (Continued).

| Type of Cancer  | Animal Models                                                                 | Antitumor Effects                                                                 | Observed Changes                                                                 | Dosage     | Duration | Reference |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| Hepatocellular | BALB/c-<sup>nu</sup>/nu mice xenografted with Hep3B cells                      | Inhibit metastasis and induces apoptosis                                          | ↑Cyclin D1, ↑PI3K/Akt signaling pathway, ↓Vimentin, ↓N-cadherin, Bcl-2            | 50 mg/kg   | 18 days  | [256]     |
|                | Hep3B                                                                         | Inhibit metastasis and induces apoptosis                                          | G1/S cell cycle arrest, migration and metastasis of cancer cells, ↑cyclin D1, ↑p21, ↑p27, ↓cell cycle transition, ↑PI3K/Akt pathway, ↑E-cadherin, ↓Vimentin, ↓N-cadherin, Bcl-2 | 30,40,50,60 µM 50 mg/kg | 3 weeks  | [256]     |
| Hepatocellular | BALB/c nude mice xenografted with SMMC7721 cells                              | Induces apoptosis and autophagy                                                   | ↑Tumor growth, ↑tumor volume, ↑body weight, ↑tumor weight, ↑cleaved-PARP, ↑cleaved-Caspase-3, ↑Bax, ↑LC3I, ↓mTOR, ↓p-Akt, ↓p-mTOR, ↓Bcl-2 | 50 mg/Kg   | 24 days  | [235]     |
| Breast         | Nude-Foxn1nu mice xenografted with MDA-MB-231 cells                           | Induce apoptotic and autophagic-mediated apoptosis                                 | ↑Tumor weight, ↑volume, ↑caspase-3, ↑Ki-67, ↑p62, ↑VEGF                         | 2.5 and 5.0 mg/kg | 14 days  | [237]     |
| Breast         | Athymic nu/nu mice xenografted with MDA-MB-231 cells                          | Anti-inflammatory and anti-tumorigenic                                            | ↑Tumor weight, ↑tumor outgrowth, ↓iNOS, ↓J-N, ↓inflammation, ↑JAK2/STAT3         | 10 mg/kg   | 28 days  | [239]     |
| Endometrial    | Nude mice (CaN/N. Cg-Foxn1Nu/CrlNarl xenografted with HEC-1A-LUCCells         | Inhibit metastasis                                                               | ↑Cancer cell migration, ↑tumor metastasis, ↓peritoneal dissemination and serum level of TGF-β1, ↑N-cadherin, ↓p-Smad2/3, ↓TWIST1/2, ↓E-cadherin | 10 mg/k    | 28 days  | [182]     |
| Murine mammary | BALB/c mice xenografted with 4T1 cells                                         | Inhibits metastasis                                                              | ↓p-p65NFkB, ↓MMP-9, ↓ICAM-1, ↓VCAM-1, ↓VEGF-A, ↓metastatic lung nodules, ↓infiltration of macrophages, ↓CD31, ↓VEGF-receptor(r)2, ↓LYVE-1, ↓VEGF-C, ↓VEGF-R3 | 2 or 4 mg/ kg  | 21 days  | [246]     |
| Endometrial    | Athymic nude mice by subcutaneous injection of HEC-1A-LU                       | Induces apoptosis and autophagy                                                  | ↑Tumor growth, ↓PCNA, ↓caspase-7, SQSTM1/p62, ↓LC3B                            | 1 mg/kg    | 46 days  | [249]     |
| Cervical       | Athymic BALB/c mice xenografted with SiHa cells                               | Induces autophagy and apoptosis                                                  | ↑↓Body weight, ↑cleaved-PARP, ↑cleaved-caspase-3, ↑LC3-II, ↓Ki-67              | 10 and 20 mg/kg | 28 days  | [252]     |
| Osteosarcoma   | NOD-SCID mice xenografted with Saos-2 cells                                   | Induces apoptosis                                                                | ↓PCNA, ↓MMP2, ↓MMP9, ↓caspase-3, ↓p-PI3K, ↓p-Akt                              | 50 mg/kg   | 56 days  | [253]     |

**Abbreviations:** Agg, autophagy related; Bax, BCL2 associated X; BCL-2, B-cell lymphoma 2; CD, cluster of differentiation; ICAM, intercellular adhesion molecule; IL, interleukin; iNOS, inducible nitric oxide synthase; JAKL, Janus Kinase 1; LC3, microtubule-associated protein 1A/1B-light chain 3; LC3, microtubule-associated protein 1A/1B-light chain 3; LYVE-1, lymphatic vessel endothelial hyaluronan receptor 1; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; PARP, poly (adenosine diphosphate-ribose) polymerase; PCNA, proliferating-cell nuclear antigen; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; p-Smad 2/3, phosphorylated small mothers against decapentaplegic 2/3; SQSTM1, sequestosome 1; STAT-3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; TGF-β1, transforming growth factor-β1; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; TWIST 1/2, Twist family basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor 2; VCAM, vascular cell adhesion molecule; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

formation by endothelial cells as well invasion and migration via modulating the expression of MMP-9, VEGF-A and plasminogen activators. In a study, Jiang et al. reported that glabridin, a potent constituent of *G. glabra*, has anticancer potential as it inhibits the migration, invasion and angiogenesis of human breast cancer cells by modulating the FAK/Rho signaling pathway. The aqueous extract of *G. glabra* blocks the in vitro and in vivo proliferation of Ehrlich ascites tumor cells.
According to Kim et al.\textsuperscript{157} Glycyrrhizin isolated from the roots of *G. glabra* inhibited the metastasis and survival of tumor by modulating the levels of onco-suppressor p53 gene, MAPK, ERK and EGFR which led to apoptosis and showed antiangiogenic effect.

**Antimetastic Activities**

Metastasis is a multistep process that contributes to the spread of cancer cells to distant organs of the body through blood or the lymphatic system, resulting in death in cancer patients.\textsuperscript{158–163} Targeting metastasis is an attractive strategy in the management of progression and development of cancer (Figure 5).\textsuperscript{164–166} According to literature, various in vitro and in vivo models...
showed that natural bioactive compounds, including those from *G. glabra*, have antimitastasis potential including Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), such as MMP-2, and MMP-9, and urokinase plasminogen activator (uPA) play a significant role in the metastasis process by degrading extracellular matrix (ECM) of cancerous cells as well as modulating the mechanism of angiogenesis in the maintenance of tumor cell survivability. MMPs are degradation enzymes that modulate numerous physiological processes, such as cell growth, differentiation and apoptosis. However, overexpression of MMP-2 and MMP-9 is linked with prooncogenic events, such as neoangiogenesis, tumor cell proliferation, and metastasis. Furthermore, once cancer cells develop a more invasive nature, they can enter blood and spread to distant regions, resulting in metastasis. Tumor cells that have moved to a secondary site can either go into metastatic dormancy or stimulate angiogenesis and start growing new blood vessels. Hence, to control the mechanism of metastasis, targeting oncogenic molecular pathways by natural phytoconstituents is an important therapeutic approach.

Glabridin, a major chemical constituent of licorice, significantly blocks the migration/invasion of various HCC cells, namely Huh7 and Sk-Hep-1, by modulating the expression levels of MMP-9 and the phosphorylation processes of ERK1/2 and JNK1/2 markers. This inhibitory effect was linked with an upregulation of tissue inhibitor of MMP-1 and a downregulation of the transcription factors NF-κB and activator protein 1 signaling pathways. Wang et al reported that GA has the potential to suppress breast tumor outgrowth and pulmonary metastasis by modulating the p38 MAPK-AP1 signaling pathway. In vitro experimentation revealed that LicE decreased the expression of specificity protein 1 (Sp1) in MCF-7 and MDA- MB-231 cell lines, resulting in regulation of the cell cycle as well as inhibiting the process of carcinogenesis and tumor metastasis. Isoliquiritigenin, an isolated component of licorice, inhibited the process of tumor metastasis via upregulating E-cadherin and downregulating the N-cadherin, p-Smad2/3, and TWIST1/2 protein expression in HEC-1A, Ishikawa, and RL95-2 xenograft animal model.

### Synergistic Actions of Licorice Phytochemicals with Anticancer Agents

Licorice is extensively used as an herbal medicine in traditional Chinese as well as Indian medicine to treat gastric, liver, and respiratory problems and different types of cancers, and to reduce the toxicity caused by other herbs. Licorice and its flavonoids show more potential effect against various cancers when used in conjunction with other anticancer drugs. Numerous studies have been conducted to investigate the role of licorice and other anticancer drugs individually in various cancers. These chemotherapeutic drugs showed great potential in the treatment of a diverse range of cancers, on the other hand, they also exert side effects to the normal cells and induce toxicity. But when the researchers used anticancer drugs, such as paclitaxel, cisplatin, and gemcitabine in combination with licorice, it inhibited the side effects by protecting the normal cells from toxicity along with enhancing anticancer potential. Tables 5 and 6 show the synergistic effects of licorice with other anticancer drugs both in vitro and in vivo.

### Table 5 In vitro Synergistic Action of Licorice Phytocompounds with Various Anticancer Drugs

| Licorice Metabolites | Anticancer Drugs | Type of Cancer | Cell Lines | Mechanisms | Reference |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Liquiritigenin       | Cisplatin        | Melanoma       | B16F10     | ↓PI3K/Akt, ↓MMP-2, ↓MMP-9 | [257]     |
| Glycyrrhizin         | Cisplatin        | Hepatocellular carcinoma | Huh7 | ↑MRP2, MRP-3, MRP-4, MRP5 m-RNAs | [258]     |
| Licocalcone-A        | Paclitaxel and vinblastine | Leukemia, breast cancer | MCF-7 and HL-60 | ↓Bcl-2 and Bcl-2/Bax | [258]     |
| Licocalcone-A        | Geldanamycin     | Ovarian cancer  | OVCAR-3 and SK-OV-3 | ↑Caspase-8- and Bid-dependent pathways and the mitochondria-mediated apoptotic pathway | [259]     |
| Isoliquiritigenin    | Cyclophosphamide | Cervical cancer | U14        | ↑Proliferation | [260]     |

**Abbreviations:** Bax, BCL2-associated X; BCL-2, B-cell lymphoma 2; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; MRP, multidrug resistance associated proteins; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase.
Nanotechnology Studies of Bioactive Constituents of Licorice in Cancer

Nanotherapeutics (1–100 nm) have been shown to overcome the shortcomings of conventional treatments, such as unwanted side effects on rapidly growing healthy cells, non-specific targeting and distribution, dose-dependent toxicity, and multi-drug resistance. They possess enhanced target-specificity, increased permeability and retention time of the drug in the cancer cells, improved biocompatibility, and decreased dose of the drug which together contribute to reduced toxicity. Romberg et al and Cheng et al pointed out that recently developed nanoparticles possess various limitations, thereby shifting the focus of formulation sciences to natural compounds-based nanoparticles which would increase targeting efficiency to cancer cells and lower the rate of clearance. This is further supported by various advantages, such as increased patient compliance (with peroral administration), less extensive metabolic by-products and subsequent higher bioavailability. As summarized in Tables 7 and 8, various nanoformulations containing licorice and its bioactive compounds were developed and tested against specific cancer types and results from these studies have been listed. Various cell line studies, as evidenced by Table 7, have focused on hepatic carcinoma due to the abundance of glycyrrhetinic acid receptors which are over-expressed on hepatocytes making it a viable targeting options. These have been explored due to the limitations of conventional therapies as mentioned above. The results from cell line studies need to be tested in animal models to confirm the efficacy and safety of the drug or formulation under study. The studies listed

| Metabolites of Licorice | Anticancer Drugs | Type of Cancer | Model | Antitumor Effects | Mechanisms | Reference |
|------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------|-------------------|------------|-----------|
| Liquiritigenin          | Cisplatin       | Melanoma      | Female C57 BL/6 black mice | Suppressed cell migration and cell invasion | ↓PI3K/Akt | [257]     |
| Licoricidin             | Gemcitabine     | Osteosarcoma  | Female BALB/c nude mice    | Enhanced cytotoxicity | ↓Akt and NF-κB | [261]     |
| Licochalcone A          | Cisplatin       | Colon carcinoma | BALB/c mice | Suppressed cell proliferation | ↓DNA synthesis | [262]     |
| Isoliquiritigenin       | Cyclophosphamide| Cervical Cancer | KM mice | Suppressed cell proliferation | DNA strand break | [260]     |

Abbreviations: NF-κB, nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase.

### Table 6 In vivo Synergistic Effect of Licorice with Various Anticancer Drugs

| Metabolites of Licorice | Anticancer Drugs | Type of Cancer | Model | Antitumor Effects | Mechanisms | Reference |
|------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------|-------------------|------------|-----------|
| Liquiritigenin          | Cisplatin       | Melanoma      | Female C57 BL/6 black mice | Suppressed cell migration and cell invasion | ↓PI3K/Akt | [257]     |
| Licoricidin             | Gemcitabine     | Osteosarcoma  | Female BALB/c nude mice    | Enhanced cytotoxicity | ↓Akt and NF-κB | [261]     |
| Licochalcone A          | Cisplatin       | Colon carcinoma | BALB/c mice | Suppressed cell proliferation | ↓DNA synthesis | [262]     |
| Isoliquiritigenin       | Cyclophosphamide| Cervical Cancer | KM mice | Suppressed cell proliferation | DNA strand break | [260]     |

### Table 7 In vitro Studies of Nanoformulations of Bioactive Compounds of Licorice

| Formulation Type       | Drug Used               | Cell Line | Main Results                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reference |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| GA-alginate nanogel    | Doxorubicin + Glycyrrhizin (20 mg/mL) | Murine macrophage cell line (RAW 264.7) | Activation and invasion by macrophages averted due to the presence of glycyrrhizin Cells retained the normal morphology, less nitric oxide production Reduced IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor-α expression Reduced phagocytosis of drug | [263]     |
|                        |                         | Hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells | Confirmed pathway of endocytosis and active liver targeting which increased nanogel particle phagocytic intake Decreased cell viability and increased cell toxicity, apoptosis due to reduced efflux activity of p-glycoprotein, upregulation of caspase-3 mRNA and a high Bax/Bcl-2 ratio | (Continued) |
Table 7 (Continued).

| Formulation Type | Drug Used | Cell Line | Main Results | Reference |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| PEGylated nano-liposomes | Silibinin (25% w/v) + GA (75% w/v) (IC₅₀ = 48.67 μg/mL) | Human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells and fibroblast cells | Decreased IC₅₀ value and increased cytotoxicity (10x) than respective free drugs | [264] |
| Nano-micelles formulated as solid dispersion using tannic acid and disodium glycyrrhizin | Camptothecin (0.0145 μg/mL) | Human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells | Increased cell inhibition and cell apoptosis activity compared to free drug | [265] |
| Glycyrrhizin Conjugated Dendrimer and Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes | Doxorubicin (Dendrimer IC₅₀ = 2 μM) (Nanotubes IC₅₀ = 2.7 μM) | Human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells | Reduction in IC₅₀ value of the drug compared to formulations without glycyrrhizin and free drug | [266] |
| GA-conjugated human serum albumin nanoparticles | Resveratrol (IC₅₀ = 62.5 μg/mL) | Hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells | Concentration dependent uptake | [267] |
| Valerate-conjugated chitosan nanoparticles surface modified with glycyrrhizin | Ferulic acid (IC₅₀ = 60 μg/mL) | Hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells | Increased cytotoxicity due to glycyrrhizin receptor mediated intake of drug | [268] |
| Glycyrrhetinic acid-modified hyaluronic acid nanoparticles | Adenine (0.25 mg/mL) | Human HepG2 cells, L02, Bel-7402 and MCF-7 cells | Absorption into HepG2 in a time dependent manner | [269] |
| Glycyrrhetinic acid-modified hyaluronic acid nanoparticles | Docetaxel (IC₅₀ = 1.6 μg/mL) | HepG2 cells and Human breast cancer MCF7 cells | More uptake by HepG2 than MCF7 cells | [270] |
| Hyaluronic acid-glycyrrhetinic acid conjugated nanoparticles | Doxorubicin (IC₅₀ = 5.75 μg/mL) | Hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells | Increased cleavage in presence of glutathione | [271] |

(Continued)
Table 8 (Continued).

| Formulation Type                                      | Drug Used                  | Cell Line                          | Main Results                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reference |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Glycyrrhetic acid-modified curcumin supramolecular hydrogel | Curcumin (IC$_{50}$ = 10.7 μM) | Hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 and Mouse fibroblast 3T3 cells | Reduced IC50 values Greater targeting efficiency Higher cellular uptake due to pro-gel formulation approach                                                                                               | [272]     |
| Glycyrrhetic Acid Functionalized Graphene Oxide       | Doxorubicin (0.5 μg/mL)    | Human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells, normal human hepatic L02 cells, and rat cardiac muscle H9c2 cells | Targeting efficiency: HepG2>L02>H9c2 Taken via endocytosis and delivered to mitochondria Decreased the potential difference of mitochondrial membrane which in turn opened up mitochondrial permeability transition pore to initiate a series of responses and leads to caspase-3 activation necessary for apoptosis | [273]     |
| Glycyrrhetic acid-functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticles | Curcumin (2 mg/mL) | Hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells | Higher cytotoxicity compared to curcumin loaded mesoporous silica nanoparticles Receptor mediated endocytosis intake of drug Increased rate of apoptosis | [274]     |
| Dual-functional (modified with glycyrrhetic acid and L-histidine) hyaluronic acid nanoparticles | Doxorubicin (5 μg/mL) | Hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells | Decrease in IC50 values Increased drug distribution in cytoplasm and nuclear regions Receptor mediated endocytosis intake of drug | [275]     |
| Nano-suspension                                       | Isoliquiritigenin (0.18 μM) | A549 lung cancer cells             | Increased apoptosis at 7.5 to 10-fold Less cytotoxic to healthy cells                                                                                                                                         | [276]     |
| Isoliquiritigenin-iRGD nanoparticles                  | Isoliquiritigenin (50 μM)  | Human breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB231 and MCF7) and mouse breast cancer cell line (4T1) | MCF7 cells showed better inhibition than free drug but not better than isoliquiritigenin nanoparticles MDA-MB231 and 4T1 showed better inhibition than isoliquiritigenin nanoparticles formulation and free drug Increased apoptosis compared to free drug and nanoparticles due to high rates of cellular drug uptake | [277]     |
| Isoliquiritigenin loaded nanoliposomes                | Isoliquiritigenin (<12.5 μM) | HCT116, SW620 and HT29 colorectal cancer cell lines | Better inhibition compared to free drug Increased rate of apoptosis Decreased uptake of glucose and lactic acid Reduced oxygen consumption led to reduced adenosine triphosphate synthesis Decreased Akt/mTOR expression which is important for tumor progression | [278]     |

Abbreviations: Bax, BCL2-associated X; BCL-2, B-cell lymphoma 2; GA, glycyrrhizinic acid; IC$_{50}$, half maximal inhibitory concentration; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; w/v, weight/volume.

in Table 8 represent the intratumor studies conducted thereby helping to uncover the tremendous potential possessed by these nanoformulations in the chemotherapeutic field. Our thorough search revealed that although there were in vitro and in vivo studies carried out for isoangustone A$_1$, licochalcone A$_{198-203}$ and licochalcone E$_{155,202,203}$ as anticancer molecules, there were no studies conducted for these molecules in the nanotherapeutics domain. The difficulties
encountered during the manufacturing of these medications as nanotherapeutics could be one of the factors limiting their usage as anticancer moieties. The findings suggest that these compounds could be developed into viable anticancer nanomedicines in the future. As a result, the findings can be extended, implying that they have a lot of potential for future clinical research. More research is needed to overcome the problems of nanoformulations and generate reliable medicines with few adverse effects.

### Table 8 In vivo Studies of Nanoformulations of Bioactive Compounds of Licorice

| Formulation Type                                      | Drug Used                  | Model Used                        | Main Results                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Reference |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Glycyrrhizic acid-alginate nanogel                     | Doxorubicin + glycyrrhizin (2.5 mg/kg) | Male Sprague-Dawley rats          | Higher blood concentrations of therapeutic agent Increased distribution half-life by 7.5 folds Decreased elimination rate Glycyrrhizic acid inhibited multidrug resistance protein-1 in hepatoma cells enhancing the availability of drug and subsequently anti-tumor activity Less systemic toxicity with no body weight loss | [263]     |
|                                                        |                            | H22 tumor bearing Kunming mice     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |           |
| Nano-micelles formulated as solid dispersion using tannic acid and disodium glycyrrhizin | Camptothecin (5 mg/kg)      | Male Sprague-Dawley rats          | Improved bioavailability compared to free drug                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | [265]     |
|                                                        |                            | HepG-2 tumor-bearing BALB/c nude mice | Highest distribution at 8h with maximum amount concentrated at tumor site Increased tumor inhibition activity Maintained body weight Tumor cells displayed increased interstitial spaces, large necrotic area and decreased nuclear chromatin |           |
| GA-conjugated human serum albumin nanoparticles       | Resveratrol (5 mg/kg)      | H22 tumor bearing male Kunming mice | Better and concentrated biodistribution to liver                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | [267]     |
| Glycyrrheticin acid-modified hyaluronic acid nanoparticles | Adenine (10 mg/kg)         | Kunming mice                      | Faster biodistribution within 1 hour in mice compared to free drug Reduced tumor volume effectively compared to control and placebo groups Decreased proliferating cell nuclear antigen levels Increased apoptotic cell count                                                                                                                                 | [267]     |
| Hyaluronic acid-glycyrrhelic acid conjugated nanoparticles | Doxorubicin (4 mg/kg)     | H22 tumor bearing Kunming mice     | Improved biodistribution with liver tumor targeting efficiency Decreased tumor volume No significant weight loss                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | [271]     |
| Glycyrrheticin Acid Functionalized Graphene Oxide     | Doxorubicin (2 mg/kg)      | HepG2 cells bearing BALB/c nude mice | Increased Bax:Bcl2 ratio confirmed mitochondrial permeability transition pore opening and activation of caspase 3.7 and 9 Decreased tumor size significantly                                                                                                                                                                                                        | [273]     |
|                                                        |                            | H22 tumor bearing mice             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |           |
| Dual-functional (modified with glycyrrhetinic acid and L-histidine) hyaluronic acid nanoparticles | Doxorubicin (5 mg/kg)      | H22 tumor bearing mice             | Increased liver targeting capacity Higher tumor inhibition efficiency                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | [275]     |
| Isoliquiritigenin-IRGD nanoparticles                   | Isoliquiritigenin (25 mg/kg) | 4T1 bearing female nude mice       | Mean tumor volume reduced Higher mitotoc bodies indicate reduced cell viability                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | [277]     |

Abbreviations: Bax, BCL2-associated X; BCL-2, B-cell lymphoma 2.
Safety Studies of Licorice

In general, licorice products are considered to have no hazard to the public and are utilized widely in food (ice cream, candies, chewing gums, and beverages), cosmetics (toothpaste) and tobacco as flavoring and sweetening agents. However, before licorice extract or any of its individual components can enter into clinical oncological practice, due to their strong pharmacological activities, their safety must be verified thoroughly and systematically, paying special attention to the dosage and duration of the treatment. Several studies have indeed warranted for the toxicity of licorice depending on its dosage and duration. Actually, chronic licorice intake was shown to induce a condition comparable to that found in primary hyperaldosteronism, while licorice overconsumption resulted in hypermineralocorticoidism characterized by salt and water retention, hypertension, hypokalemia, metabolic alkalosis, and suppression of the renin-aldosterone system. Biochemical evidence suggests that licorice and its phytochemicals, particularly glycyrrhizinate, can reversibly block the cortisol-inactivating enzyme, 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase, thereby producing hypermineralocorticoid-like effects. In addition, based on a case report, excessive consumption of licorice may also lead to toxic consequences in the form of thrombocytopenia. Therefore, health care providers should be aware of the hazardous consequences related to chronic and excessive intake of licorice extracts to be able to prevent worsening of these symptoms when detected early. Furthermore, caution must be exercised when using licorice during pregnancy, as heavy licorice consumption has been associated with lower gestational age and preterm delivery in humans. Accordingly, the main challenge in exploiting the promising anticancer activities of licorice constituents in clinical settings primarily lies on its appropriate dosing, besides targeted delivery to malignant sites, inducing minimal adverse reactions in normal healthy tissues. It is highly expected that future experimental studies with nano-sized carriers will provide a strong base for overcoming these challenges by virtue of modern nano-technological methods.

Clinical Trials

Several clinical trials conducted with licorice products have also reported glycyrrhizin-related complications, such as elevated blood pressure due to increasing extracellular fluid volume and large artery stiffness, and reduced serum potassium levels. However, other clinical trials (mostly on the gastrointestinal disorders) have suggested diverse healing properties of licorice preparations without exerting any observable adverse effects. A clinical stage II preliminary trial revealed that licorice root extract in combination with docetaxel works in treating patients with hormonal therapy resistant metastatic prostate tumors (NCT00176631). Similarly, licochalcone A and paclitaxel have been shown to increase natural cell death and apoptosis in OSCC tumors (NCT03292822).

Conclusions and Perspectives

Our present review describes anticancer potential of the phytoconstituents of *G. glabra* along with synergistic chemotherapeutic insight. Traditionally, licorice has been utilized as a sweetening and flavoring agent for food items. Roots of licorice are reported to possess strong therapeutic potential to reduce inflammation and cancer progression. Among the reported phytoconstituents, the flavonoids and terpenoids are the major therapeutically active molecules. The in vitro and in vivo data presented in the current review article clearly show the strong potential of licorice-derived phytochemicals from the classes of triterpenes, chalcones and isoflavones in the fight against different types of cancer. Despite potential therapeutic importance of these effects, several obstacles, such as toxic reactions observed with excessive consumption, have impeded moving on with clinical trials. It is highly expected that surpassing these bottlenecks by using modern nanotechnological methods might lead us to expansion of the current anticancer arsenal. In addition, as licorice constituents possess a wide range of molecular targets in cancer, they might be helpful in preventing drug resistance. Therefore, synergistic mechanistic insight of licorice-derived phytoconstituents and conventional chemotherapeutic drugs should be further explored. There are few human studies available and more randomized controlled trials are needed to measure the effectiveness of licorice-based cancer treatment. The story of licorice reflects a fascinating example of how an ancient herbal medicine can be introduced as a drug into clinical settings, after intensive efforts in elucidating its constituents and molecular mechanisms behind their various bioactivities.
Author Contributions
All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding
This research received no external funding.

Disclosure
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Petrovská BB. Historical review of medicinal plants’ usage. Pharmacogn Rev. 2012;6(11):1–5. doi:10.4103/0973-7847.95849
2. Yang Z, Xu Y, Bi Y, et al. Immune escape mechanisms and immunotherapy of urothelial bladder cancer. J Clin Transl Res. 2021;7(4):485.
3. Kashyap D, Garg VK, Goel N. Intrinsic and Extrinsic Pathways of Apoptosis: Role in Cancer Development and Prognosis. 1st ed. Elsevier Inc; 2021:73–120
4. Sen T, Samanta SK. Medicinal plants, human health and biodiversity: a broad review. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol. 2014;147:59–110.
5. Friedlender M, Kapulnik Y, Kolhai H. Plant derived substances with anti-cancer activity: from folklore to practice. Front Plant Sci. 2015;67:99.
6. Sak K. Anticancer action of plant products: changing stereotyped attitudes. Explor Target Anti Tumor Ther. 2022;3(4):423–427.
7. Wu Y, Wang Z, Du Q, et al. Pharmacological effects and underlying mechanisms of licorice-derived flavonoids. Evidence Based Complement Altern Med. 2022;2022:9253071.
8. Yang R, Wang L, Lui Y. Antitumor activities of widely-used Chinese herb—licorice. Chinese Herb Med. 2014;4(4):274–281. doi:10.1016/S1674-6384(14)60042-3
9. Hasan MK, Ara I, Mondal MSA, Kabir Y. Phytochemistry, pharmacological activity, and potential health benefits of Glycyrrhiza glabra. Heliyon. 2021;7(6):e07240.
10. Bode AM, Dong Z. Chemopreventive effects of licorice and its components. Curr Pharmacol Report. 2015;1(1):60–71. doi:10.1007/s40495-014-0015-5
11. Wang ZY, Nixon DW. Licorice and cancer. Nutr Cancer. 2001;39(1):1–11. doi:10.1207/S15327914nc391_1
12. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality Worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209–249. doi:10.3322/caac.21660
13. Tuli HS, Sharma AK, Sandhu SS, Kashyap D. Cordycepin: a bioactive metabolite with therapeutic potential. Life Sci. 2013;93(23):863–869. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2013.09.030
14. Kashyap D, Sharma A, Tuli S, Punia S, Sharma A. Ursolic acid and oleanolic acid: pentacyclic terpenoids with promising anti-inflammatory activities. Recent Pat Inflamm Allergy Drug Discov. 2016;10(1):21–33. doi:10.2174/1872213X1666106711143904
15. Kumar G, Mittal S, Sak K, Tuli HS. Molecular mechanisms underlying chemopreventive potential of curcumin: current challenges and future perspectives. Life Sci. 2016;148:313–328. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2016.02.022
16. Tuli HS, Aggarwal V, Kaur J, et al. Baicalin: a metabolite with promising antineoplastic activity. J Clin Transl Res. 2021;7(4):279–287. doi:10.4103/0973-7847.95849
17. Kashyap D, Garg VK, Goel N. Intrinsic and Extrinsic Pathways of Apoptosis: Role in Cancer Development and Prognosis. 1st ed. Elsevier Inc; 2021:73–120
18. Wahab S, Annadurai S, Abullais SS, et al. Glycyrrhiza glabra (Licorice): a comprehensive review on its phytochemistry, biological activities, trends and advancements. Recent Pat Inflamm Allergy Drug Discov. 2016;10(1):21–33. doi:10.2174/1872213X1666106711143904
19. Wang Z, Xu Y, Bi Y, et al. Immune escape mechanisms and immunotherapy of urothelial bladder cancer. J Clin Transl Res. 2021;7(4):485.
20. Kashyap D, Garg VK, Goel N. Intrinsic and Extrinsic Pathways of Apoptosis: Role in Cancer Development and Prognosis. 1st ed. Elsevier Inc; 2021:73–120
21. Hussain H, Ali I, Wang D, et al. Glycyrrhetinic acid: a promising scaffold for the discovery of anticancer agents. Expert Opin Drug Discov. 2021;16(12):1497–1516. doi:10.1080/17460441.2021.1956901
22. Su X, Wu L, Hu M, Dong W, Xu M, Zhang P. Glycyrrhizin and its derivatives: molecular insights. Pharmacol Res. 2022;178:106138. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2022.106138
23. Kashyap D, Garg VK, Goel N. Intrinsic and Extrinsic Pathways of Apoptosis: Role in Cancer Development and Prognosis. 1st ed. Elsevier Inc; 2021:73–120
24. Alagawany M, Elnesr SS, Farag MR. Use of liquorice (Glycyrrhiza glabra) in poultry nutrition: global impacts on performance, carcass and meat quality. Worlds Poult Sci J. 2019;75(2):293–303. doi:10.1017/S0043939319000059
25. Kitagawa I. Licorice root. A natural sweetener and an important ingredient in Chinese medicine. Pure Appl Chem. 2002;74(7):1189–1198. doi:10.1351/pac200274071189
26. Murphy SC, Agger S, Rainey PM. Too much of a good thing: a woman with hypertension and hypokalemia. Clin Chem. 2009;55(12):2093–2096. doi:10.1373/clinchem.2009.127506
27. Fallows S. Scientific committee on food. Nutr Food Sci. 2000;30(6):72–75.
28. Omar HR, Komarova I, Abdelmalak HD, et al. Licorice abuse: time to send a warning message. Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab. 2012;3(4):125–138. doi:10.1177/2042818812453422
29. Walker BR, Edwards CRW. Licorice-induced hypertension and syndromes of apparent mineralocorticoid excess. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am. 1994;23(2):359–377. doi:10.1016/S0098-8529(18)30102-6
30. Kao TC, Wu CH, Yen GC. Bioactivity and potential health benefits of licorice. J Agric Food Chem. 2014;62(3):542–553. doi:10.1021/jf404939f
31. Chauhan P, Sharma H, Kumar U, Mayachari A, Sangli G, Singh S. Protective effects of Glycyrrhiza glabra supplementation against methotrexate-induced hepato-renal damage in rats: an experimental approach. J Ethnopharmacol. 2020;263:113209. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2020.113209
32. Fiore C, Eisenhut M, Ragazzi E, Zanchin G, Armanini D. A history of the therapeutic use of liquorice in Europe. J Ethnopharmacol. 2005;99(3):317–324. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2005.04.015
33. Asan-Ozusaglam M, Karakoca K. Evaluation of biological activity and antioxidant capacity of Turkish licorice root extracts. Rom Biotechnol Lett. 2014;19(1):8994–9005.
34. Karahan F, Ayvar C, Ozyigit II, Berber I. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of medicinal plant Glycyrrhiza glabra var. glandulifera from different habitats. Biotechnol Biotechnol Equip. 2016;30(4):797–804. doi:10.1080/1312818X.2016.1179590
35. Statti GA, Tundis R, Sacchetti G, Muzzoli M, Bianchi A, Menichini F. Variability in the content of active constituents and biological activity of Glycyrrhiza glabra. Fitoterapia. 2004;75(3–4):371–374. doi:10.1016/S0366-2553(03)00122-4
36. Li YH, Li YN, Li HT, Qi YR, Wu ZF, Yang M. Comparative study of microwave-vacuum and vacuum drying on the physicochemical properties and antioxidant capacity of licorice extract powder. Powder Technol. 2017;320:540–545. doi:10.1016/j.powtec.2017.07.076
37. Chee J, Tůmová L, Areche C, et al. Variations in the chemical profile and biological activities of licorice (Glycyrrhiza glabra L.), as influenced by harvest times. Acta Physiol Plant. 2013;35(4):1337–1349. doi:10.1007/s11738-012-1749-9
38. Cirillo G, Curcio M, Parisi OI, et al. Molecularly imprinted polymers for the selective extraction of glycyrrhizic acid from liquorice roots. Food Chem. 2011;125(3):1058–1063. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.09.077
39. Pastorino G, Comara L, Soares S, Rodrigues F, Oliveira MBPP. Liquorice (Glycyrrhiza glabra): a phytochemical and pharmacological review. Phytother Res. 2018;32(12):2323–2339. doi:10.1002/ptr.6178
40. Song NR, Lee E, Byun S, et al. Isoangustone A, a novel licorice compound, inhibits cell proliferation by targeting PI3K, MKK4, and MKK7 in human breast cancer cells. J Agric Food Chem. 2021;69(11):3735–3743. doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.1c00232
41. Chen HR, Sheu SJ. Determination of glycyrrhizin and glycyrrhetinic acid in traditional Chinese medicinal preparations by capillary electrophoresis. J Chromatogr A. 1993;653(1):184–188. doi:10.1016/0021-9673(93)80041-7
42. Baltina LA, Flekhter OB, Putieva ZM, Kondratenko RM, Krasnova LV, Tolstikov GA. Hydrolysis of β-glycyrrhizic acid. J Ethnopharmacol. 2013;144:210–226. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2013.05.017
43. Chen M, Christensen SB, Blom J, et al. Licochalcone A, a novel antiparasitic agent with potent activity against human pathogenic protozoan species of Leishmania. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1993;37(12):2550–2556. doi:10.1128/AAC.37.12.2550
44. Simmler C, Jones T, Anderson JR, et al. Species-specific standardisation of licorice by metabolomic profiling of flavanones and chalcones. J Ethnopharmacol. 2014;154(2):378–388. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2014.09.025
45. Chen HR, Sheu SJ. Determination of glycyr rhizin and glycyrrhetic acid in traditional Chinese medicinal preparations by capillary electrophoresis. J Chromatogr A. 1993;653(1):184–188. doi:10.1016/0021-9673(93)80041-7
46. Baltina LA, Flekhter OB, Putieva ZM, Kondratenko RM, Krasnova LV, Tolstikov GA. Hydrolysis of β-glycyrrhizic acid. Pharm Chem J. 1996;30(4):263–266. doi:10.1016/S0369-1101(96)80032-X
47. Nerya O, Vaya J, Musa R, Izrael S, Ben-Arie R, Tamir S. Glabrene and isoliquiritigenin as tyrosinase inhibitors from licorice roots. J Agric Food Chem. 2003;51(5):1201–1207. doi:10.1021/jf020935u
48. Liu X, Sun R, Liu R. Natural products in licorice for the therapy of liver diseases: progress and future opportunities. Pharmacol Res. 2019;144:210–226. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2019.04.025
49. Yoon G, Do JY, Cheon SH. Cytotoxic allyl retrochalcone from the roots of Glycyrrhiza inflata. Chem Pharm Bull. 2005;53(6):694–695. doi:10.1248/cpb.53.694
50. Manu KA, Shammugam MK, Li F, et al. Simvastatin sensitizes human gastric cancer xenograft in nude mice to capecitabine by suppressing nuclear factor-kappa B-regulated gene products. J Mol Med. 2014;92(3):267–276. doi:10.1007/s00109-013-1095-0
51. Nasyer MM, Abadi B, Poormoghadam D, et al. Curcumin delivery mediated by bio-based nanoparticles: a review. Molecules. 2020;25(3):689. doi:10.3390/molecules25030689
52. Zhang Z, Yang L, Li X, Zhi T, Liu R. Molecules underlying the anticancer activities of licorice flavonoids. J Ethnopharmacol. 2021;267:113365.
53. Tuli HS, Kashyap D, Bedi SK, Kumar P, Kumar G, Sandhu SS. Molecular aspects of metal oxide nanoparticle (MO-NPs) mediated pharmacological effects. Life Sci. 2015;143:71–79. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2015.10.021
54. Tuli HS, Joshi R, Kaur G, et al. Metal nanoparticles in cancer: from synthesis and metabolism to cellular interactions. J Nanostructure Chem. 2022;2022:1–28.64–66. doi:10.2105/jncb.66.1.64
55. Lu X, Shi H, Que Q, Qi S. Research progress in immunotherapy of advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Trends Immunother. 2021;5(2.1):58–64. doi:10.24294/tni.v.5.2.1.1367
56. Shrihari TG. Dual role of inflammatory mediators in cancer. Ecancermedicalscience. 2017;11. doi:10.3332/ecancer.2017.721
57. Gareen FR, Grivennikov SI. Inflammation and cancer: triggers, mechanisms, and consequences. Immunity. 2019;51(1):27–41. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2019.06.025
58. Morgan D, Garg M, Tergaonkar V, Tan SY, Sethi G. Pharmacological significance of the non-canonical NF-kB pathway in tumorigenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta - Rev Cancer. 2020;1874(2):188449. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2020.188449
59. Garg M, Shammugam MK, Bhardwaj V, et al. The pleiotropic role of transcription factor STAT3 in oncogenesis and its targeting through natural products for cancer prevention and therapy. Med Res Rev. 2020;41(3):1291–1336. doi:10.1002/med.21761
61. Dai X, Ahn KS, Kim C, et al. Ascorchlorin, an isoprenoid antibiotic inhibits growth and invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma by targeting STAT3 signaling cascade through the induction of PIAS3. Mol Oncol. 2015;9(4):818–833. doi:10.1016/j.molonc.2014.12.008

62. Raghunath A, Sundarraj K, Arfuso F, Sethi G, Perumal E. Dysregulation of Nrf2 in hepatocellular carcinoma: role in cancer progression and chemoresistance. Cancers. 2018;10(12):481. doi:10.3390/cancers10120481

63. Yang R, Yuan BC, Ma YS, Zhou S, Liu Y. The anti-inflammatory activity of licorice, a widely used Chinese herb. Pharm Biol. 2017;55(1):5–18. doi:10.1080/13880290.2016.1225775

64. Yang R, Wang LQ, Yuan BC, Liu Y. The pharmacological activities of licorice. Planta Med. 2015;81(18):1654–1669. doi:10.1055/s-0035-1557893

65. Kageyama Y, Suzuki H, Saruta T. Role of glucocorticoid in the development of glycyrrhizin-induced hypertension. Clin Exp Hypertens. 1994;16(6):761–778. doi:10.3109/106496994090708024

66. Sun X, Zeng H, Wang Q, et al. Sun S. Glycyrrhizin ameliorates inflammatory pain by inhibiting microglial activation-mediated inflammatory response via blockade of the HMGBl-TRAF-NF-kB pathway. Exp Cell Res. 2018;369(1):112–119. doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2018.05.012

67. Wang CY, Kao TC, Lo WH, Yen GC. Glycyrrhizin acid and 18β-glycyrrhetinic acid modulate lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammatory response by suppression of NF-kB through PI3K and p105/6 inhibitors. J Agric Food Chem. 2011;59:7726–7733. doi:10.1021/jf1013265

68. Luo L, Jin Y, Kim I-D, Lee J-K. Glycyrrhizin attenuates kainic acid-induced neuronal cell death in the mouse hippocampus. Exp Neurobiol. 2013;22(2):107–115. doi:10.5607/en.2013.22.2.107

69. Fu Y, Zhou E, Wei Z, et al. Glycyrrhizin inhibits the inflammatory response in mouse mammary epithelial cells and a mouse mastitis model. FEBS J. 2014;281(11):2543–2557. doi:10.1111/febs.12801

70. Ni YF, Kuo KM, Lu ZF, et al. Glycyrrhizin treatment is associated with attenuation of lipopolysaccharide-induced acute lung injury by inhibiting cyclooxygenase-2 and inducible nitric oxide synthase expression. J Surg Res. 2011;165(1):e29–e35. doi:10.1016/j.jss.2010.09.004

71. Bhattacharjee S, Bhattacharjee A, Majumder S, Majumdar SB, Majumdar S. Glycyrrhizin acid suppresses cox-2-mediated anti-inflammatory responses during Leishmania donovani infection. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;67(8):1905–1914. doi:10.1093/jac/dks159

72. Ishida T, Miki I, Tanahashi T, et al. Effect of 18β-glycyrrhetinic acid and hydroxypropyl γcyclodextrin complex on indomethacin-induced small intestinal injury in mice. Eur J Pharmacol. 2013;714(1–3):125–131. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2013.06.007

73. Kim J, Kim J, Shim J, et al. Licorice-derived dehydroglucyrasin C increases MKP-1 expression and suppresses inflammation-mediated neurodegeneration. Neurochem Int. 2013;72(3):634–640. doi:10.1016/j.neuint.2013.09.013

74. Kim HJ, Lim SS, Park JS, Lim JS, Seo JY, Kim JS. Neuroprotective effects of dehydroglucyrasin C through activation of heme oxygenase-1 independent of Nrf2 activation. J Mol Med. 2014;92(2):195–206. doi:10.1007/s00109-013-1338-3

75. Furusawa JI, Funakoshi-Tago M, Mashino T, et al. Glycyrrhiza inflata-derived chalcones, Licochalcone A, Licochalcone B and Licochalcone D, suppress SOCS3 expression in H9c2 cells in response to LPS stimulation. Mol Carcinog. 2013;55(5):447–456. doi:10.1002/mc.22302

76. Lee JH, Kim JE, Jang YJ, et al. Dehydroglucyrasin C suppresses TPA-induced cell transformation through direct inhibition of MKK4 and PI3K. Exp Mol Med. 2017;49(1):181–185. doi:10.1038/s41419-016-0023222

77. Chu X, Jiang L, Wei M, et al. Attenuation of allergic airway inflammation in a murine model of asthma by Licochalcone A. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2014;55(2):552–562. doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2012.04.003

78. Franceschelli S, Pesce M, Ferrone A, et al. Biological effect of licochalcone A on the regulation of PI3K/Akt/eNOS and NF-κB/eNOS signaling pathways in H9c2 cells in response to LPS stimulation. Int J Mol Sci. 2017;18(4):690.

79. Li CX, Li TH, Zhu M, Lai J, Wu ZP. Pharmacological properties of glabridin (a flavonoid extracted from licorice): a comprehensive review. J Funct Foods. 2021;85:104638. doi:10.1016/j.jff.2021.104638

80. Wang D, Liang J, Zhang J, Wang Y, Chai X. Natural chalcones in Chinese materia medica: licorice. Evidence Based Complement Altern Med. 2020;16. doi:10.1155/2020/3821248

81. Zhu L, Wei H, Wu Y, et al. Licorice isoliquiritigenin suppresses RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis in vitro and prevents inflammatory bone loss in vivo. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2012;44(7):1139–1152. doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2012.04.003

82. Honda H, Nagai Y, Matsunaga T, et al. Glycyrrhizin and isoliquiritigenin suppress the LPS sensor Toll-like receptor 4/MD-2 complex signaling in a different manner. J Leukoc Biol. 2012;91(6):967–976. doi:10.1189/jlb.0112038

83. Honda H, Nagai Y, Matsunaga T, et al. Isoliquiritigenin is a potent inhibitor of NLRP3 inflammasome activation and diet-induced adipose tissue inflammation. J Leukoc Biol. 2014;96(6):1087–1100. doi:10.1189/jlb.3A0114-005RR

84. Nakamura S, Watanabe T, Tanigawa T, et al. Isoliquiritigenin ameliorates indomethacin-induced small intestinal damage by inhibiting NOD-like receptor family, pyrin domain-containing 3 inflammasome activation. PLoS One. 2013;8(5):e63973. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063973

85. Wang R, Zhang CY, Bai LP, et al. Flavonoids derived from liquorice suppress murine macrophage activation by up-regulating heme oxygenase-1 independent of NFκB signaling. Int J Mol Sci. 2015;28:917–924. doi:10.3390/ijms1503040

86. Kirtonia A, Sethi G, Garg M. The multifaceted role of reactive oxygen species in tumorigenesis. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2020;77(22):4459–4483. doi:10.1007/s00018-020-03536-5

87. Jaiswal R, Narang A, Sethi G, Garg M. The multifaceted role of reactive oxygen species in tumorigenesis. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2020;77(22):4459–4483. doi:10.1007/s00018-020-03536-5

88. Jaiswal R, Narang A, Sethi G, Garg M. The multifaceted role of reactive oxygen species in tumorigenesis. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2020;77(22):4459–4483. doi:10.1007/s00018-020-03536-5

89. Jaiswal R, Narang A, Sethi G, Garg M. The multifaceted role of reactive oxygen species in tumorigenesis. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2020;77(22):4459–4483. doi:10.1007/s00018-020-03536-5

90. Jaiswal R, Narang A, Sethi G, Garg M. The multifaceted role of reactive oxygen species in tumorigenesis. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2020;77(22):4459–4483. doi:10.1007/s00018-020-03536-5
93. Haraguchi H, Ishikawa H, Mizutani K, Tamura Y, Kinosita T. Antioxidative and superoxide scavenging activities of retrochalcones in Glycyrrhiza inflata. Bioorganic Med Chem. 1998;6(3):339–347. doi:10.1016/S0968-0896(97)0034-7
94. Chen X, Liu Z, Meng R, Shi C, Guo N. Antioxidative and anticancer properties of Licohcalone A from licorice. J Ethnopharmacol. 2017;198:331–337.
95. Franceschelli S, Pesce M, Vinciguerra I, et al. Licohcalone-C extracted from glycyrrhiza glabra inhibits lipopolysaccharide-interferon-γ inflammation by improving antioxidant regulation and inducing inductive nitric oxide synthase expression. Molecules. 2011;16(7):5720–5734. doi:10.3390/molecules16075720
96. Liu H, Wang J, Zhou W, Wang Y, Yang L. Systems approaches and polypharmacology for drug discovery from herbal medicines: an example using licorice. J Ethnopharmacol. 2013;146(3):773–793. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2013.02.004
97. Asano T, Ishihara K, Morota T, Takeda S, Aburada M. Permeability of the flavonoid liquiritigenin and its glycosides in licorice roots and dauidigenin, a hydrogenated metabolite of liquiritigenin, using human intestinal cell line Caco-2. J Ethnopharmacol. 2003;89(2–3):285–289. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2003.09.009
98. Zang Y. Pharmacological activities of coumarin compounds in licorice: a review. Nat Prod Commun. 2020;15(9):1–17.
99. Fylyaktikidou K, Hadijipavlov-Litina D, Litinas K, Nicolaides D. Natural and synthetic coumarin derivatives with anti-inflammatory / antioxidant activities. Curr Pharm Des. 2005;11(30):3813–3833. doi:10.2174/1381612043382710
100. Nizioł-łukaszewska Z, Bujak T. Saponins as natural raw materials for increasing the safety of bodywash cosmetic use. J Surfactants Deterg. 2018;21(6):767–776. doi:10.1002/jsde.12168
101. Simayi Z, Rozi P, Yang X, et al. Isolation, structural identification, biological activity, and application of Glycyrrhiza polysaccharides: systematic review. Int J Biol Macromol. 2021;183:387–398.
102. Cerulli A, Masullo M, Montoro P, Piacenti S. Licorice (Glycyrrhiza glabra, G. uralensis, and G. inflata) and Their Constituents as Active Cosmeceutical Ingredients. Cosmet. 2022;9(1):7. doi:10.3390/cosmetics9010007
103. Hani U, Yasmin Begum M, Wahab S, et al. Review of current perspectives on novel drug delivery systems and approaches for lung cancer management. J Pharm Innov. 2021;24:1–24.
104. Ahmad MF. Ganoderma lucidum: a rational pharmacological approach to surmount cancer. J Ethnopharmacol. 2020;260. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2020.113047
105. Tuli HS, Kumar G, Sandhu SS, Sharma AK, Kashyap D. Apoptotic effect of cordycepin on A549 human lung cancer cell line. Turkish J Biol. 2015;39(2):306–311. doi:10.3906/biy-1408-14
106. Kashyap D, Sharma A, Tuli HS, et al. Apigenin: a natural bioactive flavone-type molecule with promising therapeutic function. J Funct Foods. 2018;48:57–471.
107. Wu C-P, Ohnuma S, Ambudkar V. Discovering natural product modulators to overcome multidrug resistance in cancer chemotherapy. Curr Pharm Biotechnol. 2011;12(4):609–620. doi:10.2174/138920111795163887
108. Liu C, Ho PCL, Wong FC, Sethi G, Wang LZ, Goh BC. Garcinol: current status of its anti-oxidative, anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer effects. Cancer Lett. 2015;362(1):8–14. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2015.03.019
109. Prasannan R, Kalesh KA, Shanmugam MK, et al. Key cell signaling pathways modulated by zerumbone: role in the prevention and treatment of cancer. Biochem Pharmacol. 2012;84(10):1268–1276. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2012.07.015
110. Tuli HS, Mistry H, Kaur G, et al. Gallic acid: a dietary polyphenol that exhibits anti-neoplastic activities by modulating multiple oncogenic targets. Anticancer Agents Med Chem. 2021;22(3):499–514. doi:10.2174/18715206216661119085834
111. Guo X, Hu H, Jin Q, Li H, Cheng Q. Studies on the proliferation inhibition effects of tsa from actinidia chinesis radix on lung cancer xenografts in nude mice and its preliminary mechanism. J Ethnopharmacol. 2011;129(2):186–188. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2011.09.007
112. Bosseizadeh H, Nassiri-Asl M. Pharmacological effects of glycyrrhiza spp. and its bioactive constituents: update and review. Phytother Res. 2015;29(12):1868–1886. doi:10.1002/ptr.5487
113. Li X, Guo R, Zhang X, Li X. Extraction of glabridin using imidazolium-based ionic liquids. Sep Purif Technol. 2012;88:146–150.
114. Çevik D, Kan Y, Aklan Güzelen C, Durmaz I, Çetin-atalay R, Kirmızıbekmez H. Bioactivity-guided isolation of cytotoxic secondary metabolites from the roots of Glycyrrhiza glabra elucitation of their mechanisms of action. Ind Crop Prod. 2018;124:389–396. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.08.014
115. Hossenizadeh H, Nassiri-Asl M. Pharmacological effects of glycyrrhiza spp. and its bioactive constituents: update and review. Phytother Res. 2015;29(12):1868–1886. doi:10.1002/ptr.5487
116. Bosseizadeh H, Nassiri-Asl M. Pharmacological effects of glycyrrhiza spp. and its bioactive constituents: update and review. Phytother Res. 2015;29(12):1868–1886. doi:10.1002/ptr.5487
117. Li X, Guo R, Zhang X, Li X. Extraction of glabridin using imidazolium-based ionic liquids. Sep Purif Technol. 2012;88:146–150.
118. Çevik D, Kan Y, Aklan Güzelen C, Durmaz I, Çetin-atalay R, Kirmızıbekmez H. Bioactivity-guided isolation of cytotoxic secondary metabolites from the roots of Glycyrrhiza glabra elucitation of their mechanisms of action. Ind Crop Prod. 2018;124:389–396. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.08.014
119. Zhu K, Li K, Wang H, Kang L, Dang C, Zhang Y. Discovery of glabridin as potent inhibitor of epidermal growth factor receptor in SK-BR-3 cells. Pharmacology. 2019;104(3–4):113–125. doi:10.1159/000496798
120. Huang HL, Hsieh MJ, Chien MH, Chen HY, Yang SF, Hsiao PC. Glabridin mediate caspases activation and induces apoptosis through JNK/1 and p38 MAPK pathway in human promyelocytic leukemia cells. PLoS One. 2014;9(6).
121. Lin KW, Huang AM, Hour TC, Yang SC, Pu YS, Lin CN. 18β-Glycyrrhetinic acid derivatives induced mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis through reactive oxygen species-mediated p53 activation in NTU1B1 cells. Bioorg Med Chem. 2011;19(14):4274–4285. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2011.05.054
122. Sharma G, Kar S, Palit S, Das PK. 18β-glycyrrhetinic acid (concur) induces apoptosis through modulation of Akt/FOXO3a/Bim pathway in human breast cancer MCF-7 cells. J Cell Physiol. 2012;227(5):1923–1931. doi:10.1002/jcp.22920
123. Satomi Y, Nishino H, Shibata S. Glycyrrhetinic acid and related compounds induce G1 arrest and apoptosis in human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2. Anticancer Res. 2005;25(6B):4043–4047.
124. Thaugnaram S, Xu L, Ramaswamy K, Gnanesekar M. Glycyrrhizin induces apoptosis in prostate cancer cell lines DU-145 and LNCaP. Oncol Rep. 2008;20(6):1387–1392.
125. Lin SC, Chu PY, Liao WT, et al. Glycyrhizic acid induces human MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell death and autophagy via the ROS-mitochondrial pathway. Oncol Rep. 2018;39(2):703–710. doi:10.3892/or.2017.6123
126. Wang H, Ge X, Qu H, et al. Glycyrhizic acid inhibits proliferation of gastric cancer cells by inducing cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. Cancer Manag Res. 2020;12:2853–2861. doi:10.2147/CMAR.S244481
125. Katsori AM, Hadjipavlou-Litina D. Recent progress in therapeutic applications of chalcones. Expert Opin Ther Pat. 2011;21(10):1575–1596. doi:10.1517/13543776.2011.596529
126. Tang ZH, Li T, Tong YG, et al. A systematic review of the antitumor properties of compounds isolated from licorice (gancao). Planta Med. 2015;81(18):1670–1687. doi:10.1055/s-0035-1588227
127. Cho JJ, Chae JI, Yoon G, et al. A natural chalconoid isolated from Glycyrrhiza inflata root induces apoptosis via Sp1 and Sp1 regulatory proteins in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Int J Oncol. 2014;45(2):667–674. doi:10.3892/ijo.2014.2461
128. Xiao XY, Hao M, Yang XY, et al. Licochalcone A inhibits growth of gastric cancer cells by arresting cell cycle progression and inducing apoptosis. Cancer Lett. 2011;302(1):69–75. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2010.12.016
129. Fu Y, Hsieh TC, Guo J, et al. Licochalcone-A, a novel flavonoid isolated from licorice root (Glycyrrhiza glabra), causes G2 and late-G1 arrests in androgen-independent PC-3 prostate cancer cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2004;322(1):263–270. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.07.094
130. Wu WI, Wu GJ, Chen RJ, et al. Licochalcone A attenuates glioma cell growth in vitro and in vivo through cell cycle arrest. Food Funct. 2018;9(8):4500–4507. doi:10.1039/C8FO00728D
131. Yuan X, Li T, Xiao E, et al. Licochalcone B inhibits growth of bladder cancer cells by arresting cell cycle progression and inducing apoptosis. Food Chem Toxicol. 2016;94:245–251. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2013.12.030
132. Kang TH, Yoon G, Kang IA, Oh HN, Chae JI, Shim JH. Natural compound licochalcone B Induced extrinsic and intrinsic apoptosis in human skin melanoma (A375) and squamous cell carcinoma (A431) cells. Phytother Res. 2017;31(12):1858–1867. doi:10.1002/ptr.5928
133. Ngameni B, Touaibia M, Patnam R, et al. Inhibition of MMP-2 secretion from brain tumor cells suggests chemopreventive properties of a furanoceumarin glycoside and of licochalcone B isolated from the twigs of Dorstenia turbina. Phytochemistry. 2006;67(23):2573–2579. doi:10.1016/j.phytochemistry.2006.09.017
134. Si L, Yan X, Hao W, et al. Licochalcone D induces apoptosis and inhibits migration and invasion in human melanoma A375 cells. Oncol Rep. 2018;39(5):2160–2170. doi:10.3892/or.2018.6329
135. Wang P, Yuan X, Wang Y, Zhao H, Sun X, Zheng Q. Licochalcone C induces apoptosis via B-cell lymphoma 2 family proteins in T24 cells. Mol Med Rep. 2015;13(5):7623–7628. doi:10.3892/mmr.2015.4346
136. Pandawate PR, Subramaniam D, Jensen RA, Anant S. Targeting cancer stem cells and signaling pathways by phytochemicals: novel approach for breast cancer therapy. Semin Cancer Biol. 2016;40:192–208. doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2016.09.001
137. Zhou Y, Ho WS. Combination of liquiritin, isoliquiritin and isoliquiritigenin induce apoptotic cell death through upregulating p53 and p21 in the A549 non-small cell lung cancer cells. Oncol Rep. 2014;34(1):299–304. doi:10.3892/or.2013.2849
138. Kim DH, Park JE, Chae IG, Park G, Lee SY, Chun KS. Isoliquiritigenin inhibits the proliferation of human renal carcinoma Kak cells through the ROS-mediated regulation of the Jak2/STAT3 pathway. Oncol Rep. 2017;38(5):573–583. doi:10.3892/or.2017.5677
139. Hsia SM, Yu CC, Shih YH, et al. Isoliquiritigenin as a cause of DNA damage and inhibitor of ataxia-telangiectasia mutated expression leading to G2/M phase arrest and apoptosis in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Head Neck. 2016;38:E360–E371. doi:10.1002/hed.24001
140. Kwak AW, Choi JS, Lee MH, et al. Retrochalcone echinatin triggers apoptosis of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma via rosan ER stress-mediated signaling pathways. Molecules. 2019;24(22). doi:10.3390/molecules24224055
141. Pauty J, Usuba R, Cheng IG, et al. A vascular endothelial growth factor-dependent sprouting angiogenesis assay based on an in vitro human vessel model for the study of anti-angiogenic drugs. EBioMedicine. 2018;27:225–236. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2017.12.014
142. Kim C, Lee SG, Yang WM, et al. Formononetin-induced oxidative stress abrogates the activation of STAT3 signaling axis and suppresses the tumor growth in multiple myeloma preclinical model. Cancer Lett. 2018;431:123–141. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2018.05.038
143. Siveen KS, Ahn KS, Onh TH, et al. γ-tocotrienol inhibits angiogenesis-dependent growth of human hepatocellular carcinoma through abrogation of AKT/mTOR pathway in an orthotopic mouse model. Oncotarget. 2014;5(7):1897–1911. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.1876
144. Yang Y, Ren L, Yang H, et al. Research progress on anti-angiogenesis drugs in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Plus. 2021;3(2).
145. Kashyap D, Mondal R, Tuli HS, Kumar G, Sharma AK. Molecular targets of gambogenic acid in cancer: recent trends and advancements. Tumor Biol. 2016;37(10):12915–12925. doi:10.1007/s13277-016-5194-8
146. Aggarwal V, Kashyap D, Sak K, et al. Molecular mechanisms of action of tocotrienols in cancer: recent trends and advancements. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(3). doi:10.3390/ijms20030656
147. Sharma A, Kashyap D, Sak K, Tuli HS, Sharma AK. Therapeutic charm of quercetin and its derivatives: a review of research and patents. Pharm Pat Anal. 2018;7(1):15–32. doi:10.1155/2017-0030
148. Yadav P, Jaswal V, Sharma A, et al. Celastrol as a pentacyclic triterpenoid with chemopreventive properties. Pharm Pat Anal. 2018;7(4):155–167. doi:10.1155/2017-0035
149. Hoseinkhani Z, Norooznezhad F, Rastegari-Pouyani M, Mansouri K. Medicinal plants extracts with antiangiogenic activity: where is the link? Adv Pharm Bull. 2020;10(3):370–378. doi:10.24137/apb.2020.045
150. Tuli HS, Sak K, Iqubal A, et al. STAT signaling as a target for intervention: from cancer inflammation and angiogenesis to non-coding RNAs modulation. Mol Biol Rep. 2022;49:8987–8999. doi:10.1007/s11033-022-07399-w
151. Ma Z, Wang LZ, Cheng JT, et al. Targeting hypoxia-inducible factor-1-mediated metastasis for cancer therapy. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2021;34(18):1464–1497. doi:10.1089/ars.2019.7935
152. Wang X, Zhou QM, Lu YY, Zhang H, Huang S, Su SB. Glycyrrhetic acid potently suppresses breast cancer invasion and metastasis by inhibiting the p38 MAPK-AP1 signaling axis. Expert Opin Ther Pat. 2015;19(5):577–587. doi:10.1517/14728222.2015.1012156
153. Sheela ML, Ramakrishna MK, Salimath BP. Angiogenic and proliferative effects of the cytokine VEGF in Ehrlich ascites tumor cells is inhibited by Glycyrrhiza glabra. Int Immunopharmacol. 2006;6(3):494–498. doi:10.1016/j.intimm.2005.07.002
154. Kim YH, Shin EK, Kim DH, Lee HH, Park JHY, Kim JK. Antiangiogenic effect of licochalcone A. Biochem Pharmacol. 2010;80(8):1152–1159. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2010.07.006
155. Kwon SJ, Park SY, Kwon GT, et al. Licochalcone E present in licorice suppresses lung metastasis in the 4T1 mammary orthotopic cancer model. Cancer Prev Res. 2013;6(6):603–613. doi:10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-13-013
156. Zhang F, Mu J, Wang X, et al. The repressive effect of miR-148a on TGF-β-SMADs signal pathway is involved in the glabridin-induced inhibition of the cancer stem cells-like properties in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. PLoS One. 2014;9(5).
157. Kim M, Park SC, Lee DY. Glycyrrhizin as a nitric oxide regulator in cancer chemotherapy. Cancers. 2021;13(22):5762.
158. Shanmugam MK, Rajendran P, Li F, et al. Ursolic acid inhibits multiple cell survival pathways leading to suppression of growth of prostate cancer xenograft in nude mice. *J Mol Med*. 2011;89(7):713–727. doi:10.1007/s00109-011-0746-2

159. Manu KA, Shanmugam MK, Ramachandran L, et al. First evidence that γ-tocotrienol inhibits the growth of human gastric cancer and chemosensitizes it to capcetabine in a xenograft mouse model through the modulation of NF-κB pathway. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2012;18(8):2220–2229. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-2470

160. Kashyap D, Garg VK, Tuli HS, et al. Fisetin and quercetin: promising flavonoids with chemopreventive potential. *Biomolecules*. 2019;9(5):174. doi:10.3390/biom9050174

161. Kashyap D, Tuli HS, Sharma AK. Ursolic acid (UA): a metabolite with promising therapeutic potential. *Life Sci*. 2016;146:201–213. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2016.01.017

162. Kashyap D, Pal D, Sharma R, et al. Global increase in breast cancer incidence: risk factors and preventive measures. *Biomed Res Int*. 2022;2022:1–16. doi:10.1155/2022/9605439

163. Kashyap D, Tuli HS, Sak K, Garg VK, Goel N, Punia S. Role of reactive oxygen species in cancer progression. *Curr Pharmacol Rep*. 2019;5:79–86. doi:10.20516/cpr/2019.00171-y

164. Zhang J, Ahn KS, Kim C, et al. Nimbolide-induced oxidative stress abrogates STAT3 signaling cascade and inhibits tumor growth in transgenic adenocarcinoma of mouse prostate model. *Antioxidants Redox Signal*. 2016;24(11):575–589. doi:10.1089/ars.2015.6418

165. Kim SM, Lee JH, Sethi G, et al. Bergamottin, a natural furanocoumarin obtained from grapefruit juice induces chemosensitization and apoptosis through the inhibition of STAT3 signaling pathway in tumor cells. *Cancer Lett*. 2014;354(1):153–163. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2014.08.002

166. Luna J, Sotoca A, Fernández P, Miralles C, Rodríguez A. Recent advances in early stage lung cancer. *J Clin Transl Res*. 2021;7(2):163.

167. Kang SY, Hwang D, Shin S, et al. Potential of bioactive food components against gastric cancer: insights into molecular mechanism and therapeutic targets. *Cancers*. 2021;13(18):4502.

168. Monisha J, Roy NK, Padminavi G, et al. NGAL is downregulated in oral squamous cell carcinoma and leads to increased survival, proliferation, migration and chemoresistance. *Cancers*. 2018;10(7):228. doi:10.3390/cancers10070228

169. Kashyap D, Tuli HS, Sethi G, et al. Functional characterization of selective exosite-binding inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinase-13 (MMP-13) - Experimental validation in human breast and colon cancer. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem*. 2016;80(11):2122–2131. doi:10.1008/901684516.2016.1200456

170. Tay K-C, Tan LT-H, Chan CK, et al. Formononetin: a review of its anticancer potentials and mechanisms. *Front Pharmacol*. 2019;10. doi:10.3389/fphar.2019.00820

171. Ko JH, Um JY, Lee SG, Yang WM, Sethi G, Ahn KS. Conditioned media from adipocytes promote proliferation, migration, and invasion in melanoma and colorectal cancer cells. *J Cell Physiol*. 2019;234(10):18249–18261. doi:10.1002/jcp.28456

172. Jung YY, Lee JH, Nam D, et al. Anti-myeloma effects of icariin are mediated through the attenuation of JAK/STAT3-dependent signaling cascade. *Front Pharmacol*. 2018;9:531.

173. Tsai JP, Hsiao PC, Yang SF, et al. Licochalcone a suppresses migration and invasion of human hepatocellular carcinoma cells through downregulation of MKK4/JNK via NF-κB mediated urokinase plasminogen activator expression. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(1). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086537

174. Dai X, Wang L, Deviasigammi A, et al. A novel benzimidazole derivative, MBIC inhibits tumor growth and promotes apoptosis via activation of ROS-dependent JNK signaling pathway in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(8):12831–12842. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.14606

175. Ong PS, Wang LZ, Dai X, Tseng SH, Loo SJ, Sethi G. Judicious toggling of mTOR activity to combat insulin resistance and cancer: current evidence and perspectives. *Front Pharmacol*. 2016;7:95.

176. Ko JH, Lee JH, Jung SH, et al. 2,5-Dihydroxyacetophenone induces apoptosis of multiple myeloma cells by regulating the MAPK activation pathway. *Molecules*. 2017;22(7):1157. doi:10.3390/molecules22071157

177. Fares J, Fares MY, Khachfe HH, Salhab HA, Fares Y. Molecular principles of metastasis: a hallmark of cancer revisited. *Signal Transduct Target Ther*. 2020;5(1):1–17. doi:10.1038/s41392-019-0089-y

178. Siveen KS, Nguyen AH, Lee JH, et al. Negative regulation of signal transducer and activator of transcription-3 signalling cascade by lupeol inhibits growth and induces apoptosis in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Br J Cancer*. 2014;111(7):1327–1337. doi:10.1038/bjc.2014.422

179. Mirzaei S, Zarrabi A, Hashemi F, et al. Regulation of Nuclear Factor-KappaB (NF-κB) signaling pathway by non-coding RNAs in cancer: inhibiting or promoting carcinogenesis? *Cancer Lett*. 2021;509:63–80. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2021.03.025

180. Hsieh MJ, Lin CW, Yang SF, Chen MK, Chiou HL. Glibradin inhibits migration and invasion by transcriptional inhibition of matrix metalloproteinase 9 through modulation of NF-κB and AP-1 activity in human liver cancer cells. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2014;171(12):3037–3050. doi:10.1111/bjp.12626

181. Bozorgi A, Kazehai S, Khademi A, Kazehai M. Natural and herbal compounds targeting breast cancer, a review based on cancer stem cells. *Iran J Basic Med Sci*. 2020;23(8):970–983. doi:10.22038/iжmb.2020.43745.10270

182. Chen HY, Chiang YF, Huang JS, et al. Isoliquiritigenin reverses epithelial-mesenchymal transition through modulation of the tgf-β/smad signaling pathway in endometrial cancer. *Cancers*. 2021;13(6):1–20.

183. Dehshahri A, Ashrafizadeh M, Ghasemipour Afshar E, et al. Topoisomerase inhibitors: pharmacology and emerging nanoscale delivery systems. *Pharmacol Res*. 2020;151:104551. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2019.104551

184. Paskeh MDA, Asadi S, Zabolian A, et al. Targeting cancer stem cells by dietary agents: an important therapeutic strategy against human malignancies. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2021;22(21):11669. doi:10.3390/ijms222111669

185. Srivani G, Peela S, Alam A, Nagaraju GP. Gemicatbine for pancreatic cancer. *Cancers*. 2021;13(6):1–20. doi:10.3390/cancers13070228

186. Senapati S, Mahanta AK, Kumar S, Maiti P. Controlled drug delivery vehicles for cancer treatment and their performance. *Cancers*. 2018;10(7):228. doi:10.3390/cancers10070228

187. Carrasco-Esteban E, Dominguez-Rullán JA, Barrionuevo-Castillo P, et al. Current role of nanoparticles in the treatment of lung cancer. *J Clin Transl Res*. 2021;7(2):140.

188. Mirzaei S, Gholami MH, Zabolian A, et al. Caffeic acid and its derivatives as potential modulators of oncogenic molecular pathways: new hope in the fight against cancer. *Pharmacol Res*. 2021;171:105759. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2021.105759
189. Ashrafizadeh M, Ahmadi Z, Kota NG, et al. Nanoparticles targeting STATs in cancer therapy. Cells. 2019;8(10):1158. doi:10.3390/cells8101158
190. Romberg B, Hennink WE, Storm G. Sheddable coatings for long-circulating nanoparticles. Pharm Res. 2008;25(1):55–71. doi:10.1007/s11095-007-9348-7
191. Cheng Z, Li M, Dey R, Chen Y. Nanomaterials for cancer therapy: current progress and perspectives. J Hematol Oncol. 2021;14(1):85. doi:10.1186/s13045-021-01096-0
192. Enrico C. Nanotechnology-based drug delivery of natural compounds and phytochemicals for the treatment of cancer and other diseases. In: Studies in Natural Products Chemistry. Elsevier B.V; 2019:91–123.
193. Li Y-L, Zhu X-M, Liang H, Orvig C, Chen Z-F. Recent advances in asialoglycoprotein receptor and glycyrrhetinic acid receptor-mediated and/or pH-responsive hepatocellular carcinoma-targeted drug delivery. Curr Med Chem. 2020;28(8):1508–1534. doi:10.2174/0929867726620050085756
194. Seon MR, Park SY, Kwon SJ, et al. Hexane/ethanol extract of Glycyrrhiza uralensis and its active compound isogluconast A induce G1 cycle arrest in DU145 human prostate and 4T1 murine mammary cancer cells. J Nutr Biochem. 2012;23(1):85–92. doi:10.1016/j.jnutbio.2010.11.010
195. Lee E, Son JE, Byun S, et al. CDK2 and mTOR are direct molecular targets of isogluconast A in the suppression of human prostate cancer cell growth. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2013;272(1):12–20. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2013.04.030
196. Huang W, Tang S, Qiao X, et al. Isoangustone A induces apoptosis in SW480 human colorectal adenocarcinoma cells by disrupting mitochondrial functions. Fitoterapia. 2014;94:36–47. doi:10.1016/j.fitote.2014.01.016
197. Tang S, Cai S, Ji S, et al. A induces autophagic cell death in colorectal cancer cells by activating AMPK signaling. Fitoterapia. 2021;152:104935. doi:10.1016/j.fitote.2021.104935
198. Kim JS, Park MR, Lee SY, et al. Licochalcone A induces apoptosis in KB human oral cancer cells via a caspase-dependent FasL signaling pathway. Oncol Rep. 2014;31(2):755–762. doi:10.3892/or.2013.2929
199. Lin RC, Yang SF, Chiu HL, et al. Licochalcone A-induced apoptosis through the activation of p38MAPK pathway mediated mitochondrial pathways of apoptosis in human osteosarcoma cells in vitro and in vivo. Cells. 2019;8(11):1441. doi:10.3390/cells8111441
200. Liu C, Zhang YS, Thakur K, et al. Licochalcone A from licorice root, an inhibitor of human hepatoma cell growth via induction of cell apoptosis and cell cycle arrest. Food Chem Toxicol. 2018;120:407–417. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2018.07.044
201. Wu P, Yu T, Wu J, Chen J, Chen J. Licochalcone A induces ROS-mediated apoptosis through trxr1 inactivation in colorectal cancer cells. Biomed Res Int. 2020;2020:1–11.
202. Yu SJ, Cho IA, Kang KR, et al. Licochalcone-E induces caspase-dependent death of human pharyngeal squamous carcinoma cells through the extrinsic and intrinsic apoptotic signaling pathways. Oncol Rep. 2017;37(5):3662–3668. doi:10.3892/or.2017.5865
203. Zhang Q, Zhang J, Liu B, Wei J. Licochalcone E inhibits trxR1 expression, alters Nrf2/STAT6 signal, and induces antitumor effects in vitro against human SH-SY5Y and SK-N-BE(2) neuroblastoma cells. Environ Toxicol. 2022;37(5):1173–1184. doi:10.1002/tox.23474
204. Farese RV, Biglieri EG, Shackleton CHL, Irony I, Gomez-Fontes R. Licorice-Induced Hypermineralocorticidism. N Engl J Med. 1991;325(17):1223–1227. doi:10.1056/NEJM199110243251706
205. Isbrucker RA, Burdock GA. Risk and safety assessment on the consumption of Licorice root (Glycyrrhiza sp.), its extract and powder as a food ingredient, with emphasis on the pharmacology and toxicology of glycyrrhizin. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. 2006;46(3):167–192. doi:10.1016/j. RTP.2006.06.002
206. Celik MM, Karakus A, Zeren C, et al. Licorice induced hypokalemia, edema, and thrombocytopenia. Hum Exp Toxicol. 2012;31(12):1295–1298. doi:10.1177/0960327112446483
207. Strandberg TE, Järvenpää AL, Vanhanen H, McKeigue PM. Birth outcome in relation to licorice consumption during pregnancy. Am J Epidemiol. 2001;153(11):1085–1088. doi:10.1093/aje/k153.11.1085
208. Strandberg TE, Andersson S, Järvenpää AL, McKeigue PM. Preterm birth and licorice consumption during pregnancy. Am J Epidemiol. 2002;156(9):803–805. doi:10.1093/aje/kwf130
209. Kwon YJ, Son DH, Chung TH, Lee YJ. A review of the pharmacological efficacy and safety of licorice root from corroborative clinical trial findings. J Med Food. 2020;23(1):12–20. doi:10.1089/jmf.2019.4459
210. Yamamura Y, Santa T, Kotaki H, Uchino K, Sawada Y, Iga T. Administration-route dependency of absorption of glycyrrhizin in rats: intraperitoneal administration dramatically enhanced bioavailability. Biol Pharm Bull. 1995;18(2):337–341. doi:10.1248/bpb.18.337
211. Jiang B, Qu H, Kong H, et al. The effects of sweet foods on the pharmacokinetics of glycyrrhizic acid by icELISA. J Nutr Biochem. 2012;23(1):305–308. doi:10.1016/j.jnutbio.2011.05.023
212. Nabe‌kura T, Yamaki T, Ueno K, Kitagawa S. Inhibition of P-glycoprotein and multidrug resistance protein 1 by dietary phytochemicals. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2008;62(5):867–873. doi:10.1007/s00280-007-0676-4
213. Cao S, Zu Y, Zhang L, Huang Y, Shang X. Effect of oral administration of glycyrrhetinic acid on six metal elements in rat serum. Zhongguo Zhongyao Zazhi. 2012;37(4):490–494.
214. Zhou N, Zou C, Qin M, Li Y, Huang J. A simple method for evaluation pharmacokinetics of glycyrrhetinic acid and potential drug-drug interaction between herbal ingredients. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):11308. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-47880-4
215. Lin D, Sun W, Wang Z, et al. The effect of glycyrrhetinic acid on pharmacokinetics of cortisone and its metabolite cortisol in rats. J Biomed Biotechnol. 2012;2012(0001447641):856324. doi:10.1155/2012/856324
221. Li HY, Xu W, Su J, Zhang X, Hu LW, Zhang WD. In vitro and in vivo inhibitory effects of glycyrrhetinic acid on cytotoxicity P450 3A activity. *Pharmacology*. 2010;86(5–6):287–292. doi:10.1159/000320956

222. Lu Y, Jings J, Ren W, et al. Biliary excretion of glycyrrhetic acid: glucuronide-conjugate determination following a pharmacokinetic study of rat bile. *Phytother Res*. 2014;28(12):1887–1889. doi:10.1002/tr.21709

223. Han B, Chen W, Zheng Q, et al. Determination of isoliquiritigenin and its distribution in mice by synchronous fluorescence spectrometry. *Anal Sci*. 2011;27(11):1115–1119. doi:10.2116 анал. sci. 21.115

224. Guo J, Liu D, Nikolic D, Zhu D, Pezzuto JM, Van Breecken RB. In vitro metabolism of isoliquiritigenin by human liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2008;36(2):461–468. doi:10.1124/dmd.107.018721

225. Vaya J, Mahmood S, Goldblum A, et al. Inhibition of LDL oxidation by flavonoids in relation to their structure and calculated enthalpy. *Phytochemistry*. 2003;62(1):89–99. doi:10.1016/S0031-9422(02)00445-4

226. Wang S, Dunlap TL, Huang L, et al. Evidence for chemopreventive and resilience activity of licorice: glycyrrhiza glabra and G. Inflata extracts modulate estrogen activity in MCF-7 cells. *Cancer Prev Res*. 2018;11(12):819–830. doi:10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-18-0178

227. Van De Schans MGM, Bovee TFF, Stoopen GM, Lorist M, Gruppen H, Vincenck JP. Prenylation and backbone structure of flavonoids and isoflavonoids from licorice and hop influence their phase I and II metabolism. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2015;63(49):10628–10640. doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.5b04703

228. He W, Wu JJ, Ning J, et al. Inhibition of human cytochrome P450 isoforms by licorice A, a naturally occurring constituent of licorice. *Toxicol Vitr*. 2019;25(7):1569–1576. doi:10.1039/di.v2015.06.014

229. Zhang F, Liu W, Huang J, et al. Inhibition of drug-metabolizing enzymes by Jingyin granules: implications of herb–drug interactions in antiviral therapy. *Acta Pharmacol Sin*. 2022;43(4):1072–1081. doi:10.1038/s41401-021-00697-2

230. Huang L, Nikolic D, van Breecken RB. Hepatic metabolism of licorice A, a potential chemopreventive chalcone from licorice (Glycyrrhiza inflata), determined using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Anal Bioanal Chem*. 2017;409(30):6937–6948. doi:10.1007/s00216-017-0642-x

231. Choi JS, Choi JS, Choi DH. Effects of chalcone A on the bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of nifedipine in rats: possible role of intestinal CYP3A4 and P-gp inhibition by chalcone A. *Bipharm Drug Dispos*. 2014;35(7):382–390. doi:10.1002/bdd.1905

232. Goel B, Sharma A, Tripathi N, et al. In-vitro antitumor activity of compounds from Glycyrrhiza glabra against C6 glioma cancer cells: identification of natural lead for further evaluation. *Nat Prod Res*. 2021;35(23):5489–5492. doi:10.1080/14786419.2020.1786830

233. Zhang B, Yan M, Zhang W, Ke ZY, Ma LG. Glycyrrhiza glabra suppresses nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell proliferation through inhibiting the expression of IncRNA, AK027294. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem*. 2020;84(2):314–320. doi:10.1080/09168451.2019.1673695

234. Zheng C, Han L, Wu S. A metabolic investigation of anticancer effect of G. glabra root extract on nasopharyngeal carcinoma cell line, C666-1. *Mol Biol Rep*. 2014;41(4):3857–3864. doi:10.1007/s11033-013-3082-1

235. Chen G, Hu X, Zhang W, et al. Mammalian target of rapamycin regulates isoliquiritigenin induced autophagic and apoptotic cell death in adenoid cystic carcinoma cells. *Apoptosis*. 2012;17(1):90–101. doi:10.1007/s10495-011-0658-1

236. Nourazarian SM, Nourazarian A, Majidinia M, Roshaniasl E. Effect of root extracts of medicinal herb Glycyrrhiza glabra on HSP90 expression and apoptosis in the HT-29 colon cancer cell line. *Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev*. 2016;16(18):8563–8566. doi:10.7314/APJCP.2015.16.18.8563

237. Zhu J, Huang R, Yang R, et al. Licorice extract inhibits growth of non-small cell lung cancer by down-regulating CDK4-Cyclin D1 complex and increasing CD8+ T cell infiltration. *Cancer Cell Int*. 2021;21(1):1–18. doi:10.1186/s12935-021-02223-0

238. Xiu-Rong Z, Shi-Yao W, Wen S, Chao W. Isoliquiritigenin inhibits proliferation and metastasis of MKN28 gastric cancer cells by suppressing the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway. *Int J Mol Med*. 2018;41(5):2601–2608. doi:10.3892/ijmm.2018.3499

239. Lin PH, Chiang YF, Shieh TM, et al. Dietary compound isoliquiritigenin, an antioxidant from licorice, suppresses triple-negative breast tumor growth via apoptotic death program activation in cancer cells and xenograft animal models. *Antioxidants*. 2020;9(3). doi:10.3390/antiox9030228

240. Huang W-C, Su-H-H, Fang L-W, Wu S-J, Liou C-J. Licochalcone A inhibits cellular motility and MAPK signaling in breast cancer. *Cells*. 2019;8(3):218. doi:10.3390/cells8030018

241. Jiang YX, Dai YY, Pan YF, et al. Total flavonoids from radix Glycyrrhiza exert anti-inflammatory and antitumorigenic effects by inactivating iNOS signaling pathways. *Evidence Based Complement Altern Med*. 2018;2018:1–10. doi:10.1155/2018/6714282

242. Bortolotto LFB, Barbosa FR, Silva G, et al. Cytotoxicity of trans-chalcone and licochalcone A against breast cancer cells is due to apoptosis induction and cell cycle arrest. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2017;85:425–433. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2016.11.047

243. Dong S, Inoue A, Zhu Y, Tanji M, Kiyama R. Activation of rapid signaling pathways and the subsequent transcriptional regulation for the proliferation of breast cancer MCF-7 cells by the treatment with an extract of Glycyrrhiza glabra root. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2007;45(12):2470–2478. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2007.05.031

244. Park SY, Kwon SJ, Lim SS, Kim JK, Lee KW, Park JHY. Licoricidin, an active compound in the hexane/ethanol extract of Glycyrrhiza uralensis, inhibits lung metastasis of 4T1 murine mammary carcinoma cells. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2016;17(6).

245. Yuan X, Li D, Zhao H, et al. Licorice A-induced human bladder cancer T24 cells apoptosis triggered by mitochondria dysfunction and endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Biomed Res Int*. 2013;2013:1–9. doi:10.1155/2013/474272

246. Wu CH, Chen HY, Wang CW, et al. Isoliquiritigenin induces apoptosis and autophagy and inhibits endometrial cancer growth in mice. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(45):73432–73447. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.12369

247. Gioti K, Papachristodoulou A, Benaki D, et al. Glycyrrhiza glabra-enhanced extract and adriamycin antiproliferative effect on PC-3 prostate cancer cells. *Nutr Cancer*. 2020;72(2):330–332. doi:10.1080/01635381.2019.1632357

248. Chen HY, Huang TC, Shieh TM, Wu CH, Lin LC, Hsia SM. Isoliquiritigenin induces autophagy and inhibits ovarian cancer cell growth. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2017;18(10).
252. Tsai JP, Lee CH, Ying TH, et al. Licochalcone A induces autophagy through mTOR/C/PTK inactivation and autophagy suppression enhances Licochalcone A-induced apoptosis of human cervical cancer cells. *Oncotarget*. 2015;6(30):28851–28866. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.4767

253. Li C, Zhou X, Sun C, Liu X, Shi X, Wu S. Isoliquiritigenin inhibits the proliferation, apoptosis and migration of osteosarcoma cells. *Oncol Rep.* 2019;41(4):2502–2510. doi:10.3892/or.2019.9698

254. CHEN J, Liu C, Yang QQ, et al. Isoliquiritigenin suppresses osteosarcoma U2OS cell proliferation and invasion by regulating the PI3K/Akt signalling pathway. *Chemotherapy*. 2018;63(3):155–161. doi:10.1159/000490151

255. Ayeka PA, Bian Y, Mwitari PG, et al. Immunomodulatory and anticancer potential of Gan cao (Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch.) polysaccharides by CT-26 colon carcinoma cell growth inhibition and cytokine IL-7 upregulation in vitro. *BMC Complement Altern Med.* 2016;16(1). doi:10.1186/s12906-016-1174-4

256. Huang Y, Liu C, Zeng WC, et al. Isoliquiritigenin inhibits the proliferation, migration and metastasis of Hep3B cells via suppressing cyclin D1 and PI3K/AKT pathway. *Biosci Rep.* 2020;40(1).

257. Shi H, Wu Y, Wang Y, et al. Liquiritigenin potentiates the inhibitory effects of cisplatin on invasion and metastasis via downregulation MMP-2/9 and PI3K/AKT signaling pathway in B16F10 melanoma cells and mice model. *Nutr. Cancer.* 2019;67(5):761–770. doi:10.1080/01635581.2015.1037962

258. Wakamatsu T, Nakahashi Y, Hachimine D, Seki T, Okazaki K. The combination of glycyrrhizin and lamivudine can reverse the cisplatin resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma cells through inhibition of multidrug resistance-associated proteins. *Int J Oncol.* 2007;31(6):1465–1472.

259. Kim YJ, Jung EB, Myung SC, Kim W, Lee CS. Licochalcone A enhances geldanamycin-induced apoptosis through reactive oxygen species-mediated caspase activation. *Pharmacology*. 2013;92(1–2):49–59. doi:10.1159/000351846

260. Zhao H, Yuan X, Li D, et al. Isoliquiritigenen enhances the antitumour activity and decreases the genotoxic effect of cyclophosphamide. *Molecules*. 2013;18(8):8786–8798. doi:10.3390/molecules18087886

261. Wang Y, Wang S, Liu J, Yu L, Li D. Licorocidin enhances gemcitabine-induced cytotoxicity in osteosarcoma cells by suppressing the Akt and NF-κB signal pathways. *Chem Biol Interact.* 2018;290:49–54. doi:10.1016/j.cbi.2018.05.007

262. Lee CK, Son SH, Park KK, et al. Licochalcone A inhibits the growth of colon carcinoma and attenuates cisplatin-induced toxicity without a loss of chemotherapeutic efficacy in mice. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2008;103(1):48–54. doi:10.1111/j.1742-7843.2008.00238.x

263. Wang QS, Gao LN, Zhu XN, et al. Co-delivery of glycyrrhizin and doxorubicin by alginate nanogel particles attenuates the activation of macrophage and enhances the therapeutic efficacy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Theranostics*. 2019;9(21):6239–6255. doi:10.7150/thno.35972

264. Ochi MM, Amoabediny S, Rezayat SM, Akbarzadeh A, Ebrahimi B. In vitro co-delivery evaluation of novel pegylated nano-liposomal herbal drugs of silibinin and glycyrrhizinic acid (Nano-phytosome) to hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Cell J*. 2016;18(2):135–148. doi:10.22074/cellj.2016.4308

265. Zhang Q, Feng Z, Wang H, et al. Preparation of camptothecin micelles self-assembled from disodium glycyrrhizin and tannic acid with enhanced antitumor activity. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm.* 2021;164:75–85. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2021.04.012

266. Chopdey PK, Tekade RK, Mehra NK, Mody N, Jain NK. Glycyrrhizin conjugated dendrimer and multi-walled carbon nanotubes for liver specific delivery of doxorubicin. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol.* 2015;15(2):1088–1100. doi:10.1166/jnn.2015.9039

267. Wu M, Lian B, Deng Y, et al. Resveratrol-loaded glycyrrhizin acid-conjugated human serum albumin nanoparticles wrapping resveratrol nanoparticles: preparation, characterization, and targeting effect on liver tumors. *J Biomater Appl.* 2017;32(2):191–205. doi:10.1177/0885328217713357

268. El-Marakby EM, Hathout RM, Taha I, Mansour S, Mortada ND. A novel serum-stable liver targeted cytotoxic system using valerate-conjugated chitosan nanoparticles surface decorated with glycyrrhizin. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2017;12:525:123–138. doi:10.2147/ijnmn.2017.03.081

269. Wu F, Xue H, Li X, et al. Enhanced targeted delivery of adriamycin to hepatocellular carcinoma using glycyrrhetic acid-functionalized nanoparticles in vivo and in vitro. *Biomed Pharmacother.* 2020;131(1):110682. doi:10.1016/j.bph.2020.110682

270. Xue H, Qin L, Zhang L, et al. Preparation of docetaxel-loaded, glycyrrhetic acid-modified nanoparticles and their liver-targeting and antitumor activity. *Exp Ther Med.* 2021;22(4):1144. doi:10.3892/etm.2021.10578

271. Mezghrani O, Tang Y, Ke X, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma dually-targeted nanoparticles for reduction triggered intracellular delivery of doxorubicin. *Int J Pharm.* 2015;478(2):553–568. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2014.10.041

272. Chen G, Li J, Cai Y, et al. A glycyrrhetic acid-modified curcumin supramolecular hydrogel for liver tumor targeting therapy. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):44210. doi:10.1038/srep44210

273. Zhang C, Liu Z, Zheng Y, et al. Glycyrrhetic acid functionalized graphene oxide for mitochondria targeting and cancer treatment in vivo. *Small*. 2018;14(43):1703306. doi:10.1002/smll.201703303

274. Lv Y, Li J, Chen H, Bai Y, Zhang L. Glycyrrhetic acid-functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticles as hepatocellular carcinoma-targeted drug carrier. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2017;12:4361–4370. doi:10.2147/IJN.S135626

275. Tian G, Sun X, Bai J, et al. Doxorubicin-loaded dual-functional hyaluronic acid nanoparticles: preparation, characterization and antitumor efficacy in vitro and in vivo. *Med Mol Rep.* 2019;19(1):133–142. doi:10.3892/mmr.2018.9687

276. Qiao F, Zhao Y, Mai Y, et al. Isoliquiritigenin nanosuspension enhances cytostatic effects in A549 lung cancer cells. *Planta Med.* 2020;86(8):538–547. doi:10.1055-a-1343-3378

277. Gao F, Zhang J, Fu C, et al. iRGD-modified lipid–polymer hybrid nanoparticles loaded with isoliquiritigenin to enhance anti-breast cancer effect and tumor-targeting ability. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2017;12:4147–4162. doi:10.2147/IJN.S134148

278. Wang G, Yu Y, Wang YZ, Yin PH, Xu K, Zhang H. The effects and mechanisms of isoliquiritigenin loaded nanoposphates regulated AMPK/mTOR mediated glycolysis in colorectal cancer. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol.* 2020;48(1):1231–1249. doi:10.1080/21691401.2020.1825092
