Elite Haplotypes of a Protein Kinase Gene TaSnRK2.3 Associated with Important Agronomic Traits in Common Wheat
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Plant-specific protein kinase SnRK2s play crucial roles in response to various environmental stimuli. TaSnRK2.3, a SnRK2 member, was involved in the response to multiple abiotic stresses in wheat. To facilitate the use of TaSnRK2.3 in wheat breeding, the three genomic sequences of TaSnRK2.3, originating from the A, B, and D genomes of hexaploid wheat, were obtained. Sequence polymorphism assays showing 4 and 10 variations were detected at TaSnRK2.3-1A and at TaSnRK2.3-1B, respectively, yet no variation was identified at TaSnRK2.3-1D. Three haplotypes for A genome, and two main haplotypes for B genome of TaSnRK2.3 were identified in 32 genotypes. Functional markers (2.3AM1, 2.3AM2, 2.3BM1, 2.3BM2) were successfully developed to distinguish different haplotypes. Association analysis was performed with the general linear model in TASSEL 2.1. The results showed that both TaSnRK2.3-1A and TaSnRK2.3-1B were significantly associated with plant height (PH), length of peduncle and penultimate node, as well as 1,000-grain weight (TGW) under different environments. Additionally, TaSnRK2.3-1B was significantly associated with stem water-soluble carbohydrates at flowering and mid-grain filling stages. Hap-1A-1 had higher TGW and lower PH; Hap-1B-1 had higher TGW and stem water-soluble carbohydrates, as well as lower PH, thus the two haplotypes were considered as elite haplotypes. Geographic distribution and allelic frequencies indicated that the two preferred haplotypes Hap-1A-1 and Hap-1B-1 were positively selected in the process of Chinese wheat breeding. These results could be valuable for genetic improvement and germplasm enhancement using molecular marker assisted selection in wheat breeding.
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INTRODUCTION

During the processes of growth and development, plants are vulnerable to various kinds of abiotic stresses, including drought, high salinity, and extreme temperature because of their immobility. To survive, plants have evolved a number of ways to cope with versatile environmental stresses. Protein kinases and phosphatases, are major components of intracellular signal transduction, and play important roles in multi-environmental stress responses (Hong et al., 1997).
Diverse stress-inducible protein kinase families mainly include mitogen-activated protein kinase (Wrzacek and Hirt, 2001), calcium-dependent protein kinase (Ludwig et al., 2004), and sucrose non-fermenting 1 (SNF1)-related protein kinase (SnRK). Most of them are activated by abscisic acid (ABA) or environmental stimuli. SNF1 protein kinase in yeast, AMP-activated protein kinase in mammals, and plant SnRK (especially SnRK1) protein are highly conserved and play pivotal roles in growth and metabolic response to cellular stresses as energy sensors.

The SnRK family is classified into three subfamilies in plants, i.e., SnRK1, SnRK2, and SnRK3, based on sequence similarity, gene structures, and expression patterns. SnRK2 is a relatively small plant-specific subfamily, encoding serine/threonine kinases. The SnRK2s contain two typical domains, viz., an N-terminal catalytic domain which plays an important role in kinase activation, and a regulatory C-terminal region involved in protein-protein interactions and possibly in ABA signaling (Huang et al., 1996; Vlad et al., 2009). The SnRK2s were further divided into three subclasses in phylogeny according to their varied activation patterns in response to ABA (Kobayashi et al., 2004). Among them, subclass III was strongly induced by ABA, weakly for subclass II, and no activation for subclass I. Accumulated evidence indicated that SnRK2 is a merging point of ABA-dependent and ABA-independent pathways in abiotic stress responses and developmental processes in plants (Fujii et al., 2011; Kulik et al., 2011). Our target gene TaSnRK2.3 belongs to subclass II in common wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) (Zhang et al., 2016). In previous research, we cloned and characterized TaSnRK2.3 in wheat, its hetero-expression resulted in improved tolerances to multiple abiotic stresses (Tian et al., 2013).

Wheat is one of the most important cereal crops worldwide, while its growth and development is severely influenced by abiotic stresses, resulting in significant reduction in grain yield. In the scenario of climate change, mining and utilization of key genes conferring tolerances to abiotic stress is regarded as an effective way to ensure a high and stable yield in wheat. However, common wheat is a hexaploid species (AABBDD) with a very large and complex genome (17.9 × 10^9 bp), enriched in abundant repeat sequences (about 86%) (Varshney et al., 2006), hence it is still a serious challenge to directly isolate a gene and further decipher its function at the molecular level, although three genome drafts of diploid and hexaploid wheat have been constructed (Jia et al., 2013; Ling et al., 2013; International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium [IWGSC], 2014). Marker assistant selection (MAS) based on elite allele pyramiding is considered a potential approach to wheat improvement for complex traits. As the third generation molecular marker, single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) featured with high abundance and stability, cost efficiency, and high-throughput scoring, has been widely used in plant heredity and breeding (Collard and Mackill, 2008; Wang et al., 2015). With the development of high density SNPs and other molecular markers, association analysis has become an efficient tool to identify the relationship between markers or polymorphism sites of target genes and traits, and has been successfully used in Arabidopsis thaliana (Nemri et al., 2010), rice (Agrama et al., 2007), maize (Thornsberry et al., 2001; Li et al., 2010), and wheat (Zhang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016). Mining causative molecular polymorphisms and developing functional markers are fundamental to stacking superior alleles of key genes in genetic improvement of crops using MAS methods (Wang et al., 2016).

To facilitate utilization of TaSnRK2.3 in wheat molecular breeding by MAS, our research mainly concentrated on: (i) isolating and characterizing three genomic sequences of TaSnRK2.3 in common wheat, (ii) identifying polymorphism sites and developing functional markers in TaSnRK2.3-1A/1B, (iii) identifying favorable allelic variations and haplotypes for TaSnRK2.3-1A/1B by association analysis, (iv) revealing the distribution of preferred genotypes in varieties released in different years and geographical environments in China. The results can offer valuable information for wheat improvement.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Measurement of Agronomic Traits and Stem Water-Soluble Carbohydrates

Common wheat cultivar Hanxuan 10 with remarkable tolerance to drought stress was used for genomic sequence isolation of TaSnRK2.3 and gene structure analysis. Twelve accessions of various wheat species, including three A genome accessions (Triticum urartu) (UR204, UR206, and UR207), three S genome accessions (Aegilops speltoides, the putative B genome donor) (Y2003, Y2033, and Y2017), three D genome accessions (Ae. tauschii) (Y125, Y225, and AE38), and three AB genome accessions (T. dicoccoides) (DS1, PS5 and PS9) were selected for target fragment isolation and genomic origin identification.

Thirty-two accessions/genotypes with wide variation screened by SSR markers, were initially chosen to re-sequence for polymorphism analysis. Three hexaploid wheat germplasm populations were selected for different research purposes. Population 1 (262 accessions/genotypes) was firstly employed for association analysis. The accessions were mainly released in the Northern winter wheat and Yellow and Huai River valley facultative wheat zones (Zhang et al., 2015). Population 2 (157 landraces/genotypes) and Population 3 (348 modern cultivars/genotypes) were used to determine temporal haplotypes and analyze geographic distribution aiming to functionally validate TaSnRK2.3-1A/1B markers. Population 2 was mainly from the Chinese wheat mini-core collection representing more than 70% of the genetic diversity of the total Chinese germplasm collection; Population 3 came from the Chinese wheat core collection (Hao et al., 2008; Hao et al., 2011). The two populations (2 and 3) including genotypes from all the 10 Chinese wheat production zones were selected from 23, 705 accessions released or collected in China (Zhang et al., 2002; Dong et al., 2003; Hao et al., 2008).

Population 1 was planted in 10 environments (year × site × water regime combinations) including Changping (116°13’E, 40°13’N), Beijing, in 2010 and 2012, and Shunyi...
leaves by cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method. For each accession, genomic DNA was extracted from young shoots, and isolated using a genomic DNA isolation kit (http://helix.wustl.edu/dcaps/dcaps.html).

### Isolation and Sequencing of Genomic Region Surrounding TaSnRK2.3

For each accession, genomic DNA was extracted from young leaves by cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Stewart and Via, 1993). Based on known cDNA information, a primer pair GF/R (Table 1) was obtained to amplify the genome sequence of TaSnRK2.3. TransStart Fast Pfu DNA polymerase was used in PCR amplification (TransGen, Inc). PCR products were extracted and cloned into pEASY-Blunt vector, 24 clones for each sample were randomly selected for sequencing by DNA Analyzer 3730XL. To get the whole sequence of TaSnRK2.3, both M13 and three overlapping primers (Table 1) were used for sequencing walking. So the sequence of each clone was obtained by assembling five overlapping sequences with the SeqMan program in DNAStar. The genomic origin of each of the sequences were confirmed by comparing them with that from diploid and tetraploid species based on clustalW analysis with the MegAlign program.

| Primer set | Nucleotide sequence (5′ to 3′) | Experimental purpose |
|------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| GF         | GTTTGCTCTGAGTGGTGCTTCTC       | A, B, and D genomic fragment amplification |
| GR         | CAGTAATAATGTACACACGGGATAG     | A genome specific target fragment amplification |
| M13F       | TGAAAAACGAGGGCAGGT            | B genome specific target fragment amplification |
| M13R       | CAGGAAACAGCTATGACC            |                                  |
| SeqF1      | ACGTCTTTAGCGTTGTGAAAGT        |                                  |
| SeqF2      | GGGCGATTCAGTGAAGAT            |                                  |
| SeqF1      | ACTCGTTAGTCGTTTGATAAGT        |                                  |
| SeqF2      | M13R                          |                                  |
| SeqR1      | M13F                          |                                  |
| AGF        | TTCACAGTGGTCTTTGTCG           |                                  |
| BGF        | TAAGTACCGGCTTTGACATCGGTGTT    |                                  |
| AG-HhaI-F  | TACAGACTAGATCTGGATGGCAGCG     |                                  |
| AG-HhaI-R  | TCAAGCGGCGAGACACCAA           | Marker 2.3AM1 developed for SNP-1898 (C/T) |
| AG-XbaI-F  | CTTCAGGCGCACGACGCGC          | Marker 2.3AM2 developed for SNP-2905 (A/G) |
| AG-XbaI-R  | TTTTGCCTCTGCGAAAGGACTACTGC   | Marker 2.3BM1 development for SNP-2153 (C/T) |
| BG-NfII-F  | AACCTGATCGACACGGAATGCA       | Marker 2.3BM2 development for SNP-2638 (C/G) |
| BG-NfII-R  | GTGACTCTCTCGAGTTGGTACGACAC   |                                  |
| BG-AvalI-F | AAGGCGAGAACCTTTGATCATACAC    |                                  |
| BG-AvalI-R | TCCTCCTGCTCGAGGTCAGGCGGAGGTC |                                  |

1http://helix.wustl.edu/dcaps/dcaps.html
FIGURE 1 | Genomic origin identification of TaSnRK2.3s based on phylogenetic tree constructed with MegAlign program. AA, Triticum urartu; SS, Aegilops speltoides (closely related to BB); DD, Ae. Tauschii; AABB, T. dicoccoides; AABBDD, hexaploid accession Hanxuan 10.

Association Analysis
TASSEL 2.1 was used to identify significant associations between haplotypes and agronomic traits for Population 1. The general linear model (GLM) was performed using population structure Q matrix, which listed the estimated membership coefficients for each individual in each cluster. Associations were considered significant at \( P < 0.05 \). Different effects of haplotype on traits were analyzed by one-way ANOVA using SPSS 16.0 software, and followed by the least significant difference (LSD) method at \( P < 0.05 \) (even 0.01).

RESULTS
Genetic Characterization of TaSnRK2.3
Based on TaSnRK2.3 cDNA, three genomic sequences of TaSnRK2.3 were isolated from Hanxuan 10 using the primer pair GF/R flanking the open reading frame. The sequencing results indicated that the three types of sequences obtained were consistent with the previous results (Tian et al., 2013). By comparing different TaSnRK2.3 genomic sequences among the ploidy levels of different wheat species, the genomic origin was identified (Figure 1). Since TaSnRK2.3 was located on chromosome 1A, 1B, and 1D (Tian et al., 2013), we named the three copies TaSnRK2.3-1A, TaSnRK2.3-1B, and TaSnRK2.3-1D, respectively.

Multialignment (ClustalW) assays showed that TaSnRK2.3 consisted of nine exons and eight introns (Figures 2, 3). The fragment sizes of TaSnRK2.3-1A, TaSnRK2.3-1B, and TaSnRK2.3-1D were 2,999, 2,862, and 2,817 bp, and their homologies ranged from 92.5 to 94.4%.

Sequence Polymorphism Assays and Marker Development
As shown in Figure 2, for TaSnRK2.3-1A, polymorphic sites were only identified in non-exon regions in 32 accessions, and the four SNPs formed three haplotypes (Hap-1A-1, Hap-1A-2, and
phenotypic variation explained. The environments were at Changping (CP) and Shunyi (SY) under well-watered (WW) and drought-stressed (DS) conditions in 2010–2012.

**Table 2 | TaSnRK2.3-1A haplotypes associated with agronomic traits in 10 environments.**

| Environments | PH      | PLE      | LPN      | TGW     |
|--------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
|              | P-value | PVE (%)  | P-value  | PVE (%)  | P-value  | PVE (%)  |
| 2010-CP-WW   | 2.58E-06** | 13.56   | 9.11E-06*** | 12.17   | 3.33E-05*** | 10.75 |
| 2010-CP-DS   | 8.77E-07*** | 14.76   | 1.31E-06*** | 14.31   | 0.0048**    | 5.46  |
| 2010-SY-WW   | 1.29E-05*** | 11.83   | 1.17E-05*** | 11.93   | 1.40E-04*** | 9.22  |
| 2010-SY-DS   | 1.01E-06** | 14.59   | 2.86E-05*** | 10.91   | 9.85E-07*** | 14.62 |
| 2011-SY-WW   | 4.08E-07*** | 15.62   | 8.92E-06*** | 12.19   | 8.74E-06*** | 12.21 |
| 2011-SY-DS   | 8.66E-07*** | 14.78   | 2.93E-04*** | 8.41    | 8.37E-05*** | 9.75  |
| 2012-CP-WW   | 7.55E-06*** | 12.39   | 0.0037**   | 5.74    | 0.0017**    | 6.52  |
| 2012-CP-DS   | 7.13E-06*** | 12.46   | 0.0159*    | 4.21    | 0.0017**    | 6.53  |
| 2012-SY-WW   | 7.71E-07*** | 14.94   | 1.93E-05*** | 11.36   | 5.34E-04*** | 7.77  |
| 2012-SY-DS   | 2.80E-06*** | 13.48   | 0.0278*    | 3.64    | 0.0011**    | 7.00  |

PH, plant height; PLE, peduncle length; LPN, length of penultimate node; TGW, 1,000-grain weight; n.s., not significant; * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, and *** P < 0.001; PVE, phenotypic variation explained. The environments were at Changping (CP) and Shunyi (SY) under well-watered (WW) and drought-stressed (DS) conditions in 2010–2012.

**Association Analysis of TaSnRK2.3-1A Haplotypes and Agronomic Traits**

For TaSnRK2.3-1A, Hap-1A-2 was a major haplotype accounting for 71.5% frequency in the natural population, followed by Hap-1A-1 with a frequency of 22.3%, and Hap-1A-3 with the lowest percentage (6.2%). Association analysis showed that the three haplotypes were significantly associated with PH, PLE, LPN, and TGW (Table 2). Hap-1A-1 had the lowest PH, PLE, and LPN among the three haplotypes in almost all the environments, with significant difference (P < 0.01) between Hap-1A-1 and the others. The TGW of Hap-1A-1/2 was higher than Hap-1A-3 in 10 environments, and the differences were significant in seven environments (P < 0.01 or 0.05) (Figure 4). Therefore, Hap-1A-1 could be a superior allele for increasing TGW and reducing PH.

**Association Analysis between Haplotypes of TaSnRK2.3-1B and Agronomic Traits**

For TaSnRK2.3-1B, Hap-1B-1 and Hap-1B-2 were two major haplotypes, accounting for 46.1 and 53.5% frequency. Hap-1B-3 was a rare haplotype only presented in one accession, thus it was not included in subsequent statistical analysis. Significant associations were identified between TaSnRK2.3-1B haplotypes and agronomic traits, including PH, PLE, and LPN in all 10
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environments, and TGW in five environments (Table 3). Hap-1B-1 was significantly associated with lower PH, PLE, and LPN, and higher TGW (Figure 5). Therefore, Hap-1B-1 might be a favorable haplotype in terms of PH and TGW.

Association Analysis between Haplotypes of TaSnRK2.3-1B and SWSC

For TaSnRK2.3-1B, significant associations were detected between the two haplotypes and SWSC, including WSC1-2-DS, WSC2-1-DS, WSC2-2-DS/WW, and WSC2-3-DS/WW (Table 4). Hap-1B-1 had higher SWSC than Hap-1B-2 at flowering and mid-grain filling stages with significant difference (P < 0.01) (Figure 6). So Hap-1B-1 might be a potential haplotype to increase SWSC.

Geographic Distribution of Haplotypes of TaSnRK2.3-1A and TaSnRK2.3-1B in 10 Chinese Wheat Production Zones

The Chinese wheat production area is classified into 10 main agro-ecological zones based on cultivar ecotypes, growing season, and cultivar response to temperature and photoperiod (Zhang et al., 2002). Among landraces, selection pressure on haplotypes in the different zones was not as strong as expected, and the frequencies of the favored haplotype Hap-1A-1 was generally low, none was identified in zone V, VII, and IX (Figure 7A). Similar trends were also observed for TaSnRK2.3-1B (Figure 8A).

As shown in Figures 7, 8, from Chinese landraces to modern cultivars, the frequencies of the two superior haplotypes Hap-1A-1 and Hap-1B-1 increased across almost all zones except in VI (40% to 33%) and VIII (36% to 33%) of Hap-1B-1. Hap-1B-1 was the most favorable haplotype in major wheat production regions I (58%), II (49%), III (52%), and IV (83%) compared to the other six regions in Population 3. The results indicated the two haplotypes had suffered strong positive selection in Chinese wheat breeding programs.

Hap-1A-1 and Hap-1B-1 Were Positively Selected in the Process of Chinese Wheat Breeding

Three hundred and forty-eight Chinese modern cultivars were divided into six subgroups according to 10-year release intervals to evaluate changes in haplotype frequencies over time. As a whole, the proportions of the two favored haplotypes, Hap-1A-1 and Hap-1B-1, increased gradually with PH reduction and TGW enhancement in Chinese modern cultivars since pre-1950 (Figure 9), suggesting that these favored haplotypes experienced positive selection in the process of wheat breeding. Furthermore, Hap-1B-1 (up to 75.9%) was positively selected by wheat breeders,
TABLE 3 | TaSnRK2.3-1B haplotypes associated with agronomic traits in 10 environments.

| Environments   | PH     | PVE (%) | PLE     | PVE (%) | LPN     | PVE (%) | TGW     | PVE (%) |
|----------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                | P-value|         | P-value|         | P-value|         | P-value|         |
| 2010-CP-WW     | 4.83E−05 | **     | 17.10  |         | 9.39E−04 | **     | 11.21  |         |
| 2010-CP-DS     | 5.32E−05 | **     | 19.06  |         | 9.23E−04 | **     | 11.24  |         |
| 2010-SY-WW     | 1.45E−04 | **     | 10.62  |         | 0.0013  | **     | 9.51   |         |
| 2010-SY-DS     | 2.08E−04 | **     | 9.31   |         | 0.0025  | **     | 9.52   |         |
| 2011-SY-WW     | 7.16E−04 | **     | 11.74  |         | 0.0023  | **     | 9.52   |         |
| 2011-SY-DS     | 6.80E−04 | **     | 15.57  |         | 1.04E−04| **     | 15.57  |         |
| 2012-CP-WW     | 7.01E−05 | **     | 16.36  |         | 0.0235  | *      | 5.20   |         |
| 2012-CP-DS     | 3.58E−05 | **     | 17.73  |         | 0.0021  | **     | 9.67   |         |
| 2012-SY-WW     | 1.36E−05 | **     | 19.73  |         | 0.0018  | **     | 9.97   |         |
| 2012-SY-DS     | 2.96E−04 | **     | 13.49  |         | 0.0279  |         | 4.89   |         |

PH, plant height; PLE, peduncle length; LPN, length of penultimate node; TGW, 1,000-grain weight; n.s., not significant; * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, and *** P < 0.001; PVE, phenotypic variation explained. The environments were at Changping (CP) and Shunyi (SY) under well-watered (WW) and drought-stressed (DS) conditions in 2010–2012.

while Hap-1A-1 (only 55.9%) still has considerable potential for further utilization.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we obtained the three genomic sequences of TaSnRK2.3-1A, TaSnRK2.3-1B, and TaSnRK2.3-1D; however, there was no polymorphism identified in TaSnRK2.3-1D, therefore, TaSnRK2.3-1D was not included for polymorphism analysis. DNA polymorphism analysis results revealed that the variations for TaSnRK2.3-1A only occurred in non-exon regions, while the variations for TaSnRK2.3-1B occurred in both introns and exons. Further association analysis indicated both TaSnRK2.3-1A and TaSnRK2.3-1B were significantly associated with PH, PLE, LPN, and TGW, thus we speculate that the two
TaSnRK2.3-1B haplotypes associated with stem water-soluble carbohydrates (SWSC) at different grain-filling stages.

| Traits          |  |  | Traits          |  |  | Traits          |  |  |
|-----------------|---|---|-----------------|---|---|-----------------|---|---|
| P-Value         | PVE (%) | P-Value         | PVE (%) | P-Value         | PVE (%) |
| n.s.            | – | 0.0016** | 10.25  | n.s.            | – |
| 5.82E-07***     | 26.36 | 0.0012** | 10.83  | n.s.            | – |
| 2.65E-04***     | 13.69 | 0.0013** | 10.59  | n.s.            | – |
| n.s.            | – | 2.65E-04** | 13.69  | n.s.            | – |
| n.s.            | – | 0.0030** | 8.97   | n.s.            | – |
| n.s.            | – | 0.0028** | 9.12   | n.s.            | – |

WSC1-1-DS/WW, WSC1-2-DS/WW, and WSC1-3-DS/WW, WSC content of peduncle, lower stem internode and total stem under drought-stressed/well-watered at flowering stage, respectively. WSC2-1-DS/WW, WSC2-2-DS/WW, and WSC2-3-DS/WW, WSC content of peduncle, lower stem internode and total stem under drought-stressed/well-watered at mid-grain filling stage, respectively. WSC3-1-DS/WW, WSC3-2-DS/WW, and WSC3-3-DS/WW indicate WSC content of peduncle, lower stem internode and total stem under drought-stressed/well-watered at maturity stage. n.s., not significant; ** P < 0.01 and *** P < 0.001; PVE, phenotypic variation explained.

Figure 6 | Comparison of stem water-soluble carbohydrates (SWSC) associated with haplotypes of TaSnRK2.3-1B at different grain filling stages. ** indicates significance at P = 0.01. Error bars denote SE. See footnote to Table 4 for description of traits.

Figure 7 | Haplotype distribution of TaSnRK2.3-1A in 10 Chinese wheat ecological regions. (A) Distribution of TaSnRK2.3-1A haplotypes in 157 Chinese landraces. (B) Distribution of TaSnRK2.3-1A haplotypes in 348 modern cultivars. I, Northern winter wheat region; II, Yellow and Huai River valley winter wheat region; III, Low and middle Yangtze River valley winter wheat region; IV, Southwestern winter wheat region; V, Southern winter wheat region; VI, Northeastern spring wheat region; VII, Northern spring wheat region; VIII, Northwestern spring wheat region; IX, Qinghai-Tibet spring-winter wheat region; X, Xinjiang winter-spring wheat region.
genes might have similar functions. Further studies need to be performed to validate the allelic effects of these polymorphisms, such as gene expression analysis.

Stem water-soluble carbohydrates are not only a main source for grain filling, but also crucial osmolytes in regulating cell turgor under abiotic stress conditions in wheat (Yang et al., 2007). Association analysis results showed that TaSnRK2.3-1B was associated with SWSC under three WW conditions and five DS conditions, which was similar to previous studies of TaSnRK2.7-B and TaSnRK2.8-A in SWSC metabolism (Zhang et al., 2011a,b, 2013). Since TaSnRK2.3, TaSnRK2.7, and TaSnRK2.8 belong to SnRK2 subfamily, they might function similarly in carbohydrate metabolism. Moreover, SWSC under DS conditions were clearly higher than SWSC under corresponding WW conditions, which agrees with the observation that drought induced WSC remobilization increases in response to water deficit (Goggin and Setter, 2004; Rebetzke et al., 2008).

Compelling evidence demonstrates that yeast SNF1-kinase and mammalian AMPK, and plant SnRK1 participate in sugar metabolism, including starch synthesis and carbohydrate distribution (Halford et al., 2003; Schwachtje et al., 2006). Further data support that SnRK2 and SnRK3 originated by duplication of SnRK1 and then diverged rapidly during plants response to adverse stresses (Hrabak et al., 2003; Hauser et al., 2011). However, our results support that TaSnRK2.3 is involved in both abiotic stress responses and SWSC metabolism, suggesting it still maintains ancient functions, which was also observed in TaSnRK2.7 and TaSnRK2.8 (Zhang et al., 2011a).

For TaSnRK2.3-1B, the favored haplotype Hap-1B-1 had the higher TGW and higher SWSC at flowering and mid-grain filling stages, consistent with earlier results that there were significant correlations between TGW and SWSC (Zhang et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015). Li et al. (2015) indicated that SWSC can make a positive contribution to TGW under variable water conditions. High SWSC were suggested as an useful trait for improving grain weight in wheat breeding (Shearman et al., 2005; Ruuska et al., 2006). High grain yield is one of the most important breeding objectives in wheat improvement. The high heritability values of TGW have proved it is phenotypically the most stable yield component which continuously attracts the attention of breeders.

In our previous study, TaSnRK2.3-1B was mapped to a region flanked by wmc156 (2.1 cM) and p3446-183 (2.9 cM) on chromosome 1B in the DH population derived from a cross of Hanxuan 10 × Lumai 14, co-located with a quantitative trait locus controlling PH (Wu et al., 2010, 2012; Tian et al., 2013).
Here, our association data demonstrated that TaSnRK2.3-1B was significantly associated with PH, and the favored haplotypes had lower PH. Additionally, as shown in Figure 9B, PH decreased in stepwise manner over decades and was positively selected in the process of Chinese wheat breeding. Therefore, we speculate that TaSnRK2.3-1B might be a potential gene related to PH or closely linked with genes involved in PH regulation.

Among various markers, functional markers derived from polymorphic sites within target genes, are superior to conventional molecular markers such as RFLPs, SSRs, and AFLPs because of complete linkage with trait locus alleles, and are ideal for marker-assisted breeding. In the current study, functional markers were developed for genotyping based on variants in TaSnRK2.3 genes. In sum, the CAPS/dCAPS markers 2.3AM1, 2.3AM2, 2.3BM1, and 2.3BM2 were used successfully. Combinations of the two markers 2.3AM1 and 2.3AM2 for A genome formed three haplotypes that significantly affected important agronomic traits, similarly to other two markers 2.3BM1 and 2.3BM2 in B genome. These four markers are all co-dominant and allow efficient assays of large DNA samples in a simple, rapid, and low-cost procedure, which is performed in most molecular biology and/or plant breeding laboratories.

To sum up, the two elite haplotypes Hap-1A-1 and Hap-1B-1 of TaSnRK2.3s, can contribute positively to grain size enhancement and PH reduction in wheat. They could be applied in wheat breeding programs using marker assisted selection.
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