Increase in Indoor Inhalant Allergen Sensitivity During the COVID-19 Pandemic in South China: A Cross-Sectional Study from 2017 to 2020
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Purpose: Public health measures during COVID-19 have led to an unprecedented change in social lifestyle which might have an impact on the allergen sensitization in population. We sought to explore the prevalence patterns of serum inhalant and food allergen-specific IgE (sIgE) sensitization and serum total immunoglobulin E (tIgE) level among patients with clinical symptoms of suspected allergic diseases before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in south China.

Patients and Methods: A large epidemiology study was conducted on the prevalence patterns of sIgE sensitization and serum tIgE level among 13,715 patients with allergic symptoms in south China from 2017 to 2020. Chi-square test and Fisher exact test were used to test statistical significance of allergen sensitization difference among years. Logistic regression was performed to assess the magnitudes of the differences among years by adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals.

Results: The number of hospital visits for patients with suspected allergy symptoms decreased during COVID-19. The positive rates of indoor inhalant allergens (house dust mites, German cockroach, dog dander) and tIgE increased significantly in 2020, while no significant differences were found in food allergens (egg white, milk, soya bean, shrimp) before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. The odds of sIgE positives in indoor inhalant allergens and tIgE positive for 2017 and 2020 were all larger than 1.00. After grouping by age and gender, there were significant differences in the positive rates of indoor inhalant allergens and tIgE when comparing 2020 with 2017.

Conclusion: The prevalence of sensitization increased significantly to indoor inhalant allergens but not to food allergens in south China during the COVID-19 pandemic.
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Introduction

Allergic disease is one of the most common diseases worldwide. The onset can happen at any stage of life and have a cross-life impact. Allergic diseases might result in poor sleep quality, absence from school or low work capacity, which inflict a high indirect cost of health care for the family and the society.1 Moreover, asthma elicited the outcome exacerbation of COVID-19 patients from the research of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention of the United States.2 The mechanism of allergic disorders is complex and is not fully understood, which results in the limitation of the current therapy and poses challenges in disease diagnosis and management for clinicians. Former studies demonstrated that serum immunoglobulin E (IgE) level was significantly related to allergic symptoms with asthma3 and allergic rhinitis.4
amount of the total IgE (tIgE) increases with the number and content of allergens exposed.5 The level of certain kind of allergen-specific IgE (sIgE) were the predictors of symptoms.6 The distributions and levels of tIgE and sIgE were influenced by genetic factors,7 environmental factors,8 and lifestyle.8 Hence, studying the prevalence patterns of sIgE sensitization and tIgE level could effectively prevent allergic diseases. The COVID-19 outbreak in south China on 19, January 2020 and public health measures like traffic control, mandatory mask use, social distancing, school suspension, home confinement, centralized quarantine had been carried out from late January 2020 which had led to an unprecedented change in social lifestyle changes caused by public health measures might affect the distribution of allergens, but the changes in the distribution of allergens during the pandemic were currently unclear.

Studies from the United States and the United Kingdom had shown that during the COVID-19 pandemic, the number of people suffering from asthma had decreased, but the causes were not confirmed.9–11 This might be caused by the decrease in other human-to-human respiratory virus-borne diseases, the decrease in outdoor and indoor allergens, or the reduction of air pollution. The content of serum sIgE and tIgE could indicate the exposure level of allergens. No studies have been conducted to evaluate the change in prevalence patterns of serum indoor inhalant and food sIgE sensitization and tIgE level in population before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. The study aimed to analyze the changes in the sIgE positive rate of four common indoor inhalant allergens, four common food allergens and tIgE level among patients with clinical allergic symptoms before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in south China through a 4 years’ cross-sectional study. The distribution of allergen prevalence patterns in gender and age groups among different years was then discussed. Furthermore, the factors affecting the change prevalence to allergen sensitization before and during the COVID-19 pandemic were also explored. This study could reveal the change of prevalence patterns of allergen sensitization during the pandemic. It could provide an epidemiology basis for the prevention and diagnosis of allergic diseases during the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Patients and Methods**

**Study Population**

This was a cross-sectional study. Data were obtained from the First Affiliated Hospital of the Guangzhou Medical University. We collected data on patients with suspected allergic diseases in the hospital and their results of the concentration of serum sIgE and tIgE every year to explore the distribution and changes of allergen sensitization in south China each year. In this study, data from 2017 to 2020 (February and June) were extracted. A total number of 13,725 patients were included with 2835, 3906, 4501 and 2473 from 2017 to 2020, respectively. And the concentration of serum sIgE and tIgE was tested. The data for analysis included patients’ disease type, gender, age, serum sIgE/tIgE test items and levels. Suspected allergic diseases included asthma, allergic rhinitis, eczema, catarhal otitis media or atopic dermatitis. Four indoor inhalant allergens, namely, house dust mites (*Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, Dermatophagoides farina*), German cockroach and dog dander, four food allergens, namely, egg white, milk, soya bean and shrimp and tIgE were evaluated in this study. All the allergens included in this study were common in south China.12 The study was approved by the ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University (Reference number: GYFYY-2016-73) of Guangzhou Medical University. Written informed consent was obtained from all adults and legal guardians of the participating children. This study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Allergen IgE Detection**

Patients’ serum sIgE and tIgE were collected by the nurses and detected by the chemist of the hospital laboratory using the ImmunoCAP 1000 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., California, USA). All procedures had standardized procedure guidelines. For sIgE, negative reactivity was defined as the detection content less than 0.35 kUA/L and content equal to or greater than 0.35 kUA/L was considered as positive reactivity.13 For the absolute sIgE levels, the reactivity was categorized quantitatively into six classes: class 1 (≥0.35 to <0.70 kUA/L), class 2 (≥0.70 to <3.50 kUA/L), class 3 (≥3.50 to <17.50 kUA/L), class 4 (≥17.50 to <50.00 kUA/L), class 5 (≥50.00 to <100.00 kUA/L), and class 6 (≥100.00 kUA/L). For tIgE, the detection content less than 113 kU/L was defined as negative reactivity, while equal or more than 113 kU/L meant positive reactivity.14

**Statistical Analysis**

The prevalence of positive sIgE and tIgE was shown as proportion. Chi-square test and Fisher exact test were used to test statistical significance of allergen sensitization among years. When the expected number of studied people who
were sensitive to allergen is less than 5, Fisher’s exact test is used, and the chi-square test is used in other cases. Logistic regression was performed to assess the magnitudes of the differences between the prevalence of positive sIgE and tIgE among years by adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Then, to further understand the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic on allergen sensitization in the targeted population, it was also used to estimate the adjusted odds ratios in different genders and different age groups. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals were used to assess the magnitudes of the associations and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. R studio 3.6.2 was used for data analysis.

Results

Demographic Characteristics

Table 1 shows the number of patients tested for each allergen/tIgE and the positive rate of each allergen/tIgE in 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020, respectively. Compared with previous years, the number of hospital visits for patients with suspected allergy symptoms decreased. Figure S1 and S2 showed the ratio of allergic patients with higher inhalant allergen and food allergen content (Class 4–6) to those with lower indoor inhalant allergen and food allergen content (Class 1–3) from February to June between 2017 and 2020 in south China. Among all allergic patients, the proportion of patients with higher allergen content and patients with lower allergen content did not change significantly among 2017 and 2020, except for the dog dander allergen. In each year, the overall prevalence of positive serum sIgE responses to the 8 allergens in south China from highest to lowest was house dust mites (Dermatophagoides farina, Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus), milk, egg white, German cockroach, shrimp, dog dander, soya bean. The positive rate was higher in the male population than the female population in the analyzed allergens shown in Table S1. School-age children aged 6–17 had a higher positive rate of house dust mites (Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, Dermatophagoides farina) than preschoolers and adults. In China, children at the age of 0–5, and 6–17 were defined as preschoolers and schoolers, respectively. Adult was people equal or over the age of 18. Allergies to egg white and milk were more common in preschoolers aged 0–5 shown in Table S2.

Table 1. Characteristics Background of Patients with Clinical Symptoms of Suspected Allergic Diseases from February to June Between 2017 and 2020 in South China

|          | 2017     | 2018     | 2019     | 2020     | P-value |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
|          | Total    | (%)      | Total    | (%)      | Total   | (%)      | Total   | (%)      |          |
| d1       | 1257     | 46       | 1625     | 46       | 1811    | 46       | 776     | 60       | <0.001   |
| d2       | 387      | 59       | 676      | 56       | 713     | 60       | 409     | 71       | <0.001   |
| i6       | 1141     | 16       | 1367     | 16       | 1725    | 16       | 685     | 27       | <0.001   |
| e5       | 857      | 5        | 1136     | 6        | 1241    | 4        | 341     | 11       | <0.001   |
| f1       | 923      | 25       | 1277     | 25       | 1441    | 21       | 305     | 29       | 0.0268   |
| f2       | 925      | 34       | 1297     | 34       | 1418    | 33       | 293     | 31       | 0.7291   |
| f14      | 269      | 3        | 403      | 3        | 464     | 5        | 244     | 6        | 0.4627   |
| f24      | 1011     | 13       | 1295     | 14       | 1593    | 11       | 508     | 19       | <0.001   |
| tIgE     | 2737     | 44       | 3774     | 43       | 4314    | 41       | 2371    | 51       | <0.001   |

Notes: P-value for chi-square test or Fisher exact test. Significant difference: P<0.05.

Abbreviations: d1, Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus; d2, Dermatophagoides farina; i6, German cockroach; e5, dog dander; f1, egg white; f2, milk; f14, soya bean; f24, shrimp; tIgE, total immunoglobulin E.

Serum sIgE Reactivities to Allergens and tIgE Reactivities in Patients in Different Years

Figure 1 shows the adjusted odds ratio of sIgE reactivities to allergens and tIgE reactivity among patients with clinical symptoms of suspected allergic diseases in south China in 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020. For indoor inhalant allergens, the positive rate was statistically significant different (P<0.05) in 2020 compared with 2017, while no significant differences were found when comparing 2018 and 2019 with 2017. The odds of positives in indoor inhalant allergens for 2017 and 2020 were all larger than 1.00, with 1.57 (P<0.001) for Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, 1.43 (P=0.024) for Dermatophagoides farina, 1.50 (P<0.001) for German cockroach and 2.00 for dog dander, respectively. For food allergens, the differences in positive rate of milk, soya bean, shrimp were not
significant when comparing 2018, 2019, 2020 with 2017, respectively. The positive rate of egg white had the odds of 1.42 for 2017 and 2020 which is significantly different. For the positive rate of tIgE, a significant difference was found for 2017 and 2020 with the odds of 1.27 (p<0.001).

Serum sIgE Reactivity to Allergens and tIgE Reactivities in Patients in Male and Female in Different Years

No significant changes were found in male and female in the serum sIgE positive rates of indoor inhalant allergen in 2017, 2018 and 2019, while there were increasing serum sIgE positive rates of indoor inhalant allergens both in male and female in 2017, when compared with 2020 as shown in Figure 2 and Table 2. For food allergen, slight changes of serum sIgE positive rates were shown in male and female in 2017 and 2020, but most of them were not statistically significant different except shrimp in male group. No changes in the serum sIgE positive rates of food allergens were found in male and female in 2017, 2018 and 2019. In 2017, 2018 and 2019, the positive rates of tIgE in male and female had been maintained at about 0.47 and 0.36, respectively, without much fluctuation. In 2020, the male tIgE positive rate was 0.55 and the female tIgE positive rate was 0.46. Compared with the previous three years, the positive rate of male tIgE and the positive rate of female tIgE were significantly higher in 2020 with statistically significant difference.

Serum sIgE Reactivity to Allergens and tIgE Reactivities in Patients in Age Groups in Different Years

In Figure 3 and Table 3, the positive rates of serum sIgE of indoor inhalant allergens fluctuated slightly at an average level in all age groups in 2017, 2018 and 2019, while increasing serum sIgE positive rates of indoor inhalant allergens were shown in all age groups in 2020, when compared with 2017. Compared with 2017, the positive rates of serum sIgE of Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus were significantly different in each age group in 2020. The positive rates of serum sIgE of German cockroach allergen were significantly different in the adult group, and the positive rates of serum...
sIgE of dog dander allergen were significantly different between the school-age children group and the adult group in 2020 when compared with 2017. No significant differences were found for serum sIgE positive rates in all food allergens of different age groups from 2017 to 2020. The rising positive rates were also seen in tIgE in all age groups in 2017 compared with 2020 with significant difference. When comparing 2018 and 2019 with 2017, the positive rates decreased with significant differences in the pre-school age group and no differences were found in the school-age group and adult group.

Discussion
COVID-19 could cause an asthma attack by affecting the respiratory tract and asthma elicited the outcome exacerbation of COVID-19 patients from the research of the

---

Figure 2 Positive rates of sIgE reactivities to allergens and tIgE reactivity of patients with clinical symptoms of suspected allergic diseases in different genders from February to June between 2017 and 2020 in south China.

Note: *P<0.05, **P<0.001.

Abbreviations: d1, Dermatophagoidespteronyssinus; d2, Dermatophagoides farinae; i6, German cockroach; e5, dog dander; f1, egg white; f2, milk; f14, soya bean; f24, shrimp; tIgE, total immunoglobulin E.
Table 2 Adjusted Odds Ratio of sIgE Reactivities to Allergens and tIgE Reactivity Among Patients with Clinical Symptoms of Suspected Allergic Diseases in Different Genders in South China

| Allergens            | d1  | d2  | i6  | e5  | f1  | f2  | f14 | f24 | tIgE |
|----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Gender               |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |
| Male                 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |
| 2018 vs 2017         | 1.07| 0.458| 0.80| 0.206| 1.12| 0.402| 1.38| 0.183| 1.00| 0.986| 1.05| 0.668| 1.18| 0.788| 1.23| 0.182| 0.99| 0.742|
| 2019 vs 2017         | 1.04| 0.647| 1.14| 0.460| 1.12| 0.405| 0.67| 0.148| 0.88| 0.312| 1.06| 0.619| 1.86| 0.287| 0.99| 0.932| 0.94| 0.304|
| 2020 vs 2017         | 2.20| <0.001| 1.81| 0.004| 2.17| <0.001| 1.97| 0.025| 1.21| 0.305| 0.97| 0.880| 3.15| 0.059| 1.56| 0.017| 1.39| <0.001|
| Female               |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |
| 2018 vs 2017         | 0.87| 0.277| 0.93| 0.726| 0.95| 0.796| 1.23| 0.586| 0.96| 0.823| 0.84| 0.279| 0.95| 0.930| 1.00| 0.996| 0.93| 0.340|
| 2019 vs 2017         | 0.93| 0.571| 1.06| 0.767| 1.00| 0.973| 1.12| 0.766| 0.74| 0.073| 0.77| 0.090| 0.97| 0.960| 0.86| 0.463| 0.84| 0.025|
| 2020 vs 2017         | 1.50| 0.007| 1.84| 0.084| 1.42| 0.083| 3.49| 0.002| 1.56| 0.075| 1.12| 0.650| 0.81| 0.761| 1.37| 0.211| 1.32| 0.002|

Notes: P for multivariate OR, adjusted for year, age. Significant difference: P<0.05.

Abbreviations: d1, Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus; d2, Dermatophagoides farinae; i6, German cockroach; e5, dog dander; f1, egg white; f2, milk; f14, soya bean; f24, shrimp; tIgE, total immunoglobulin E.
could also lead to a reduction in the number of hospital visits.

The interesting finding of our research was that the positive rates of indoor inhalant allergens, including house dust mites (*Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*, *Dermatophagoides farina*), German cockroach, dog dander and tIgE were not significantly different in 2017, 2018, and 2019, while the positive rates in 2020 were significantly higher than in 2017, 2018, and 2019, which might be caused by the change in behaviors and the hygiene of the home environment during the pandemic period. The increasing positive rate of house dust mite during the COVID-19 pandemic might be because people stayed at home for a long time with poor ventilation. Southern
Table 3 Adjusted Odds Ratio of sIgE Reactivities to Allergens and tIgE Reactivity Among Patients with Clinical Symptoms of Suspected Allergic Diseases in Different Age Groups in South China

| Allergens | d1   | d2 | i6 | e5 | f1 | f2 | f14 | f24 | tIgE |
|-----------|------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|------|
| Age       | OR   | P  | OR | OR | OR | OR | OR  | OR  | OR   |
| 0–5       | 2018 vs 2017 | 0.84 | 0.114 | 0.819 | 0.81 | 0.341 | 1.00 | 0.994 | 0.95 | 0.616 | 0.91 | 0.338 | NA+ | NA | 1.30 | 0.276 | 0.75 | 0.001 |
|           | 2019 vs 2017 | 0.83 | 0.081 | 1.08 | 0.737 | 0.80 | 0.299 | 0.60 | 0.160 | 0.88 | 0.263 | 0.96 | 0.666 | NA+ | NA | 0.91 | 0.693 | 0.79 | 0.008 |
|           | 2020 vs 2017 | 1.41 | 0.040 | 1.77 | 0.067 | 1.02 | 0.962 | 1.35 | 0.532 | 1.32 | 0.133 | 0.91 | 0.574 | NA+ | NA | 1.69 | 0.136 | 1.66 | <0.001 |
| 6–17      | 2018 vs 2017 | 1.19 | 0.368 | 0.50 | 0.030 | 1.02 | 0.912 | 1.65 | 0.121 | 1.24 | 0.931 | 1.66 | 0.057 | 0.20 | 0.182 | 1.44 | 0.145 | 1.01 | 0.948 |
|           | 2019 vs 2017 | 0.95 | 0.767 | 0.85 | 0.614 | 0.85 | 0.381 | 0.69 | 0.300 | 0.60 | 0.049 | 1.01 | 0.968 | 0.51 | 0.488 | 0.81 | 0.407 | 0.87 | 0.319 |
|           | 2020 vs 2017 | 1.91 | 0.005 | 1.37 | 0.396 | 1.45 | 0.076 | 2.19 | 0.035 | 1.54 | 0.149 | 1.61 | 0.138 | 0.50 | 0.579 | 1.21 | 0.531 | 1.46 | 0.026 |
| >17       | 2018 vs 2017 | 1.21 | 0.185 | 0.96 | 0.862 | 1.34 | 0.096 | 1.27 | 0.630 | 0.86 | 0.855 | NA+ | NA | 1.25 | 0.681 | 0.98 | 0.909 | 1.08 | 0.283 |
|           | 2019 vs 2017 | 1.18 | 0.241 | 0.89 | 0.580 | 1.37 | 0.057 | 1.49 | 0.410 | 1.97 | 0.343 | NA+ | NA | 1.26 | 0.649 | 1.07 | 0.692 | 0.92 | 0.233 |
|           | 2020 vs 2017 | 1.70 | 0.001 | 1.28 | 0.298 | 1.82 | <0.001 | 3.02 | 0.021 | 3.44 | 0.126 | NA+ | NA | 1.94 | 0.187 | 1.25 | 0.273 | 1.22 | 0.070 |

Notes: P for multivariate OR, adjusted for year, age. Significant difference: P<0.05.
Abbreviations: NA, not applicable; +, positive rate of allergen sensitization was 0; d1, Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus; d2, Dermatophagoides farina; i6, German cockroach; e5, dog dander; f1, egg white; f2, milk; f14, soya bean; f24, shrimp; tIgE, total immunoglobulin E.
egg white allergen sensitization were significantly different, while no differences were found when stratified by gender or age. Simpson’s Paradox could explain this phenomenon.\textsuperscript{25} In this study, data comparison among different groups was preferred as allergen sensitization was greatly influenced by gender and age. Thus, the positive rates of egg white were not significantly different before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. For shrimp allergen, a slight difference was found with stratified gender which might be related to the co-sensitization with the increasing positive rate of house dust mite\textsuperscript{26} and German cockroach.\textsuperscript{27} Overall, the positive rate of food allergens did not change significantly during the COVID-19 pandemic. The reason can be that people’s eating habits had not been changed during the pandemic. By comparing with the positive rates of food allergens remaining the same, we were more certain to believe that the hygiene of the home environment was associated with the increase in the positive rate of indoor inhalant allergens and tIgE, rather than other factors like the different characteristics of the populations and the climate change in different years.

To confirm that the increase positive rates of indoor inhalant allergens were not due to the situation that more severe asthma patients were seeking hospital care during COVID, we analyzed the ratio of allergic patients with higher allergen content (Class 4–6) to those with lower allergen content (Class 1–3) from 2017 to 2020. No significant change of these ratios was found before and during the COVID-19 except dog dander (Figure S1 and S2). It illuminated that both patients with mild allergic symptoms and patients with severe allergic symptoms decreased proportionally during the pandemic. The decrease of allergic disease visits was also found in the latest study, in which the proportions of all levels of triage acuity were similar before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States, so the delays in care-seeking behavior for lower acuity events might not be the reason for this phenomenon.\textsuperscript{10}

There were strengths in this study. Firstly, the data collected were representative as they came from the largest respiratory disease research center in Guangdong Province which was also the State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease and all data were based on real-life medical data. Secondly, it was a four-year cross-sectional study with a large sample size. The positive rate of allergens and tIgE in the targeted population did not change significantly in the first three years, while the positive rate of indoor inhalant allergens and tIgE increased significantly in the fourth year, which provided more favorable evidence for the inference of this study. Thirdly, in this study, data were from patients in the same region from February to June in each year, which excluded the influence of regional and genetic differences on allergen sensitivity and removed the climate and season influence factors as there were no major climate changes or population migration in south China in the past four years. Fourthly, studying the change in the allergens positive rate in the same area before and after the policy could better attribute the change in the positive rate to the influence of policy factors that led to the differences in people’s behavior. Because before and after the policy was conducted, other characteristics of populations, including education level, and social status did not change significantly in addition to the changes in crowd behavior. The limitation of this study was that pollen allergens and cat dander allergen were not included. Only allergens tested more frequently were analyzed in this study. The reasons that pollen allergens were less tested were that pollens were not the main allergens in south China and hospital decreased the number of tests in pollen allergens to reduce the burden on medical staff and reduce medical consumables during the pandemic. The reason for the decrease in the number of cat dander allergen tests was unknown. It might be related to the patient’s explanation that the symptoms were not closely related to the exposure on cat.

**Conclusion**

This four-year cross-sectional study conducted in south China finds that the number of hospital visits for patients with suspected allergy symptoms decreased during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, when compared with previous years. In addition, the data in this study showed that only the positive rates of indoor inhalant allergens increased, whereas the positive rates of food allergens remained stable during the COVID-19 pandemic. The increasing prevalence of sensitization to indoor inhalant allergens might be influenced by the lifestyle change during the pandemic. Staying at home for a long time without frequent ventilation and insufficient sunshine might result in these changes in positive rate. Our study could provide an evidence for deeper research about the epidemiology of allergic diseases and better prevention and diagnosis of allergic diseases during the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Data Sharing Statement**

Survey data are available from the corresponding author on request.
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