In vitro culture of the Ural endemic Gypsophila uralensis Less. (Caryophyllaceae)
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Summary. The culture of loose light green callus tissue from seedlings Gypsophila uralensis Less. was obtained using Murashige-Skoog (MS) and Woody Plant Medium (WPM) with the addition of BA 1.0 mg / l + IAA 0.1 mg / l (where BA = 6-benzylaminopurine and IAA = Indolyl-3-acetic acid). Callus had a high morphogenic activity for four passages. The percentage of viable callus varied from 82 % to 94 %. The average number of fragments per callus was 6.2 ± 0.4 on MS and 4.5 ± 0.4 on WPM. With prolonged cultivation (more than eight weeks), the callus darkened and died. The transition from callus proliferation to organogenesis was noted when the MS medium changed to Silene cretacea Saratov (SCS) medium. Further induction of morphogenesis took place on SCS medium with a complex set of growth regulators (BAP 0.2 mg / l + IAA 0.5 mg / l + CIN 1.0 mg / l + HA 1.0 mg / l). Up to 90 % of the callus switched to the formation of adventitious shoots after four to six weeks of cultivation. 2 to 13 shoots developed with a height of up to 2 cm with 2-4 pairs of well-developed green leaves depending on the size of the callus. Rhizogenesis was observed only on the WPM nutrient medium with the addition of IAA auxins from 0.1 mg / l to 1.0 mg / l and IAA 0.2 mg / l + IBA 0.5 mg / l (where IBA = indolylbutyric acid). The beginning of the formation of roots was observed after three to four weeks. The proportion of rhizogenesis was 51–53 %, i. e. both an increase in the concentration of IAA and the addition of IMA to the medium didn’t lead to an increase in the number of microturns with roots. The possibility of obtaining regenerated plants in the callus culture of Gypsophila uralensis was shown.
Introduction

Gypsophila uralensis Less. is a high-mountain endemic of the Urals (Gorchakovskij, 1963). The species is especially widespread in the southern part of the Ural range; however, it becomes rare to the north and is subject to protection (Gorchakovskij, 2008; Puchnina, 2008; Teteryuk, 2009; etc.). The relic locations of G. uralensis in the European Northeast of Russia and in the northern part of the Urals are associated with the Late Pleistocene cover glaciations (Andreicheva, 2002). The species is found as part of a relict petrophytic floristic complex in the basins of the Shchugor, Kozhim, Lenva and Kaimah rivers on the western macro slope of the Northern and Subpolar Urals. Two small fragments of the G. uralensis range are preserved in the taiga zone – in the Arkhangelsk Region (in the valleys of the Pinega, Sotka, Soyana and Northern Dvina rivers) and in the Komi Republic (Middle Timan: in the valleys of the Myla, Pechorskaya Pizhma and Svetlaya rivers). Gypsophila uralensis Less. subsp. pinegensis (Perf.) Kamelin (= G. pinegensis Perf.) (Perfiliev, 1941) is described from samples of the Arkhangelsk region. It is included in the Red Book of the Russian Federation (Kamelin, 2008). Timan populations of a species are also genetically differentiated (Teteryuk et al., 2018). The vulnerability of relic populations of G. uralensis is associated with their small size, ecological specificity and low competitiveness of the species, as well as the destruction of its natural habitats. Territorial protection of the species is carried out in the national park “ Yugyd Va”, Pechora-Ilychsky Reserve, and reserves “Pizhemsky”, “Mylsky” and “Svetyly”. An implementation of the species into culture and the development of approaches to the reconstruction of its small populations are the next step for the successful conservation of G. uralensis and in the future – other species of relict petrophytic floristic complex.

Biotechnological approaches (Sathe et al., 2013; Kritskaya et al., 2016; Ivashuk et al., 2017; Ambros et al., 2018; Erst et al., 2018; Ivashchuk et al., 2018; Del Vecchio et al., 2019; Erst et al., 2019) including micropropagation are used with great success in Russia and abroad for conservation, reproduction and studying rare plant species. Works on micropropagation of G. uralensis are absent in the literature. The most effective method in micropropagation is the method of culture of apical meristem. It is the main mass reproduction method, surpassing traditional vegetative reproduction. The disadvantages of this method include the need for targeted cultivation of mother plants, which is almost impossible with rare plant species, and a limited number of apexes on it. This problem can be overcome by using another method of micropropagation – induction of morphogenesis in callus tissue. The main problem of this approach is possible mutations in tissue culture. Therefore, there are several requirements when using this method: preservation of genetic stability and high regenerative ability of transplantation callus with repeated passages. Picea abies, Pinus pinaster, and P. canariensis (Kataeva, Butenko, 1983; Kalashnikova, Rodin, 2004) are successfully propagated by callus genesis.

The aim of our study is to identify the prospects of using the cell culture method to obtain and accelerate reproduction of the endemic Ural G. uralensis by callus genesis.

Materials

The work was done in the Botanical Garden Department of the Institute of Biology of the Komi SC UrB of RAS in 2017–2018.

Objects. The object of the study was G. uralensis seeds collected in places of natural growth (02.08.2012, Subpolar Ural, Kozhim River, Eagle Nest tract). To obtain primary callus, seedlings with
removed roots were used as explants. The number of explants (n) – 10, the number of repetitions – 3.

Reagents. Soap solution of household soap domestic bleach Domestos (LLC Unilever Rus), ethyl alcohol 95 % (ZAO ROK), Hexadecylpyridinium chloride monohydrate (Sigma–Aldrich) and Ethyl-mercury chloride (Sigma–Aldrich) were used for preparation of diacide. It was used to disinfect the surface of the seeds. Vitamins (Sigma–Aldrich) and phytohormones (Sigma–Aldrich), sucrose (Neva-Reaktiv), agar-agar (Himreaktivsnab) were used to prepare a nutrient medium.

Equipment. Disinfection of dishes and tools was carried out in a UFE 400 oven, a sterilization of nutrient media was achieved in a steam sterilizer model 2540 ML, and acidity of the nutrient media was measured on a Ph meter (Ph-150 MI). All work was performed in a laminar box (Laminar-C), and aseptic conditions were required for each step. The ultraviolet-bactericidal air recirculator (Dezar) was used to destroy vegetative and spore forms of pathogenic and non-pathogenic microorganisms in the laboratory.

Statistical data processing was accomplished using Microsoft Excel 2007. Analysis of the results was performed using analysis of variance. The Duncan test was performed with an average value of \( P = 0.05 \).

Method execution

Preparation and sterilization of nutrient media and instruments was carried out according to the recommendations (Butenko, 1964; Kataeva, Butenko, 1983; Kalinin et al., 1992; Kalashnikova, Rodin, 2004). Surface sterilization of seeds was carried out in two stages. At the first stage, seeds were soaked in septic conditions in a soap solution for 20 minutes, then washed in running water and kept in 10 \% Domestos for 5 minutes. At the second stage, the seeds were treated under the aseptic conditions of the laminar box with 70 \% ethyl alcohol for 20 seconds and kept in 0.1 \% diacide for 5 minutes. Seeds (10 pcs.) were placed in glass jars (in triplicate). This sterilization scheme resulted in 100 \% sterility and explant survival. Nutrient media was used for cultivation as described by Murashige and Skoog (MS) (Murashige et al., 1962), medium WPM (Lloyd et al., 1980), and also medium SCS (Kritskaya et al., 2015). This medium with a set of growth regulators (BA 0.2 mg / l + GA\textsubscript{3} 1.0 mg / l + KIN 1.0 mg / l + IAA 0.5 mg / l) is used as a nutrient medium for the rare calcephilic plants reproduction obtained by direct organogenesis in an in vitro culture (Kritskaya et al., 2015, 2016). Vitamins and amino acids were added as prescribed by MS. Sucrose was used at a concentration of 2 \% in WPM and SCS medium as a carbon nutrition source, in MS medium – 3 \%; in other medium - agar 0.8 \%. The pH was adjusted to 5.8 (MS) and 5.9–6.0 (WPM and SCS) in nutrient media using 0.1 N NaOH prior to autoclaving. IAA and IBA were used as auxins, BA and CIN were used as cytokinins, gibberellic acid (GA\textsubscript{3}) as gibberelin. Nutrient media of 20 ml were poured into 100 ml pre-sterilized glass jars, covered with food foil on top and sterilized in a model 2540 ML steam sterilizer for 10 minutes at a pressure of 1 atm. and a temperature of 120 °C. Banks with a sterile culture medium were kept at room temperature for 3 days before use to detect latent infection. Tweezers, disposable eye scalpels and Petri dishes were used for sowing seeds, picks and division callus. Tweezers and glassware were pre-sterilized in a heating oven at 110 °C for 4 hours. Work in sterile conditions was carried out in a microbiological box; before the work, to kill any infection in the laboratory, procedures included using an irradiator-recirculator of air ultraviolet-bactericidal for two hours. The light source was cold white fluorescent lamps with an intensity of up to 3000 lux for 16 hours a day. Relative air humidity was maintained at 60–70 \%, air temperature was 24 ± 2 °C.

The seeds were injected on nutrient media according to the prescriptions of MS and WPM without adding hormones. Seed germination was 80 \% on the eighth day of cultivation in both variants of the experiment (Fig. 1). The seedlings had a main root up to 2.5 cm long and cotyledons. Sprouts with removed roots were transferred to fresh nutrient media MS and WPM supplemented with BA 1.0 mg / l, IAA 0.1 mg / l, BA 0.2 mg / l after 20 days and cultured for four to eight weeks. A loose light-green callus which was actively growing and did not lose its morfogenic activity for a long time was formed at the base of the shoot in all explants. Callus culture was characterized by morphological characteristics (consistency and color). Tissue growth and viability were evaluated visually. Subsequently, passivated callus, reached a diameter of 2–4 cm, were divided into parts with a scalpel. Then the callus fragments were transferred to the same, but fresh nutrient media under sterile conditions of the laminar box. Sub-culture was performed 4 times. The number of fragments per callus was taken as the number of parts received from one callus per passage. Passage of fragment callus was performed four times; on aver-
The volume of callus tissue increased more than 2.5 times during this period. The frequency of callus formation was high and varied from 82 to 94%. The average number of fragments per callus was 6.2 ± 0.4 on MS and 4.5 ± 0.4 on WPM (Table 1).

Callus darkened and died during long-term cultivation (more than 8 weeks). The transition from callus proliferation to organogenesis was observed only when the MS medium was changed to SCS. The grafts were transferred to SCS medium with a complex set of growth regulators (BA 0.2 mg/l + IAA 0.5 mg/l + 1 + CIN 1.0 mg/l + 1 + GA$_3$ 1.0 mg/l) for further induction of morphogenesis, up to 90% of the callus mass was morphogenic. Adventive micro shoots formed on a transfer callus from 2 to 13 pieces up to 2 cm tall, with 2–4 pairs of well-developed green leaves, depending on its size, after four to six weeks of cultivation on passivated callus (Fig. 3). There was a shoots elongation and a roots formation on the WPM medium with the addition of auxins (Fig. 4, 5). WPM nutrient medium was used with addition of IAA auxins from 0.1 mg/l to 1.0 mg/l and IAA 0.2 mg/l + 0.5 mg/l IBA for rhizogenesis. The beginning of the formation of roots was observed after three to four weeks. The roots had a white color, were numerous, thin and brittle. When 0.1 mg/l IAA was introduced into a medium, the proportion of rhizogenesis was 53% (Table 2). Both an increase of IAA concentration up to 1 mg/l and adding IBA in medium did not lead to the increase of number of shoots with roots. Regenerants were ready to land in five weeks from the start of cultivation.

Loose light-green calluses broke up into separate fragments, a denser callus was divided with a scalpel (Fig. 2).

Fig. 1. *Gypsophila uralensis* implementation in *in vitro* culture on the harmonious MS medium (14th cultivation’s day).

Fig. 2. Callus tissue *Gypsophila uralensis* formation on the MS medium with the addition of BA 1 mg/l and IAA 0.1 mg/l. Callus contains fragments used for further subculture.

Fig. 3. Micropropagation *Gypsophila uralensis* on the SCS medium.

*G. uralensis* callus tissue cells are competent because they have a different morphogenetic response to the action of auxins and cytokinins. When auxins were introduced into the nutrient medium, roots were formed, and when cytokinins, gibberellins and auxins were added together, shoots were formed. The simultaneous differentiation of unrelated micro shoots and roots from fragment callus was noted.
No visible morphological differences between intact plants and regenerants were noted. Genetic studies have not been conducted due to insufficient material.

Fig. 4. Shoots elongation of *Gypsophila uralensis* on WPM medium with an IBA 0.5 mg / l + IAA 0.2 mg / l.

Fig. 5. *Gypsophila uralensis* regenerates, ready for planting in the ground (culture age is 45 days; medium WMP + IAA 1 mg / l).

### Table 1

The percentage of viable callus and the number of fragments per callus of *Gypsophila uralensis* callus culture, depending on the mineral composition of the nutrient medium and the content of growth regulators

| № passage | Growth regulators, mg / l | MS | WPM |
|-----------|--------------------------|----|-----|
|           | % explants that formed callus | number of fragments per callus, pcs. | % explants that formed callus | number of fragments per callus, pcs. |
| 1         | BA 1.0 + IAA 0.1          | 93.6 ± 5.0 | 7.1 ± 1.7 | 83.8 ± 4.4 | 5.1 ± 0.6 |
| 2         | BA 0.2                   | 83.8 ± 8.0 | 6.1 ± 2.4 | 85.6 ± 4.4 | 4.7 ± 0.6 |
| 3         | BA 1.0 + IAA 0.1          | 90.5 ± 3.0 | 8.1 ± 1.1 | 87.6 ± 5.3 | 6.4 ± 1.6 |
| 4         | BA 0.2                   | 83.4 ± 4.0 | 5.4 ± 1.1 | 85.4 ± 4.8 | 3.6 ± 0.7 |
|           | BA 1.0 + IAA 0.1          | 87.2 ± 5.8 | 5.8 ± 1.0 | 81.6 ± 4.2 | 3.8 ± 0.6 |
| 4         | BA 1.0 + IAA 0.1          | 86.4 ± 5.0 | 5.6 ± 0.6 | 86.0 ± 4.4 | 4.1 ± 0.6 |

### Table 2

The efficiency of *Gypsophila uralensis* regeneration by callusogenesis WPM medium

| Growth Regulators, mg / l | The share of shoots with roots, % | Shoot length, sm | The number of pairs of leaves, pcs |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| IAA 0.1                   | 52.9 ± 3.9a                      | 1.7 ± 0.2a       | 3.9 ± 0.2bc                       |
| IAA 1.0                   | 50.8 ± 4.8a                      | 2.3 ± 0.2a       | 4.7 ± 0.2b                       |
| IAA 0.2 + IBA 0.5         | 50.8 ± 6.3a                      | 2.8 ± 0.1a       | 5.7 ± 0.2a                       |
| The control (without hormones) | 9.6 ± 2.0c                      | 1.3 ± 0.1d       | 3.3 ± 0.1c                       |

a-d Significance indicators of differences in the Duncan test (P = 0.05), where different letters after the average value in the columns show that the differences are significant, the same letters – no differences.
Conclusion

Thus, we showed the possibility of obtaining a cell culture (callus from a seedling) and reproduction of *G. uralensis* by callus genesis. Primary and transfer calluses have a high morphogenic activity and a high multiplication factor for four passages. Callus cells have different competencies on nutrient media of different composition. The roots are formed when auxins are added into the nutrient medium; shoots are formed by the addition of gibberellins, cytokinins and auxins. Changing the nutrient medium from MS to SCS with a complex set of growth regulators helps to obtain a conglomerate of adventitious buds. 90% of the buds germinate and produce normally developed shoots. The rhizogenesis share is 53% on the WPM medium with the addition of IAA auxins from 0.1 mg/l to 1.0 mg/l. The production of regenerated plants takes 110–150 days from the start of cultivation.
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