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Abstract. Today, humanity faces the development of unmanned vehicles. No automated vehicle control system can be without sensors which read out data on its motion. The accuracy of unmanned vehicle motion directly depends on the instrument accuracy and applicability of sensors integrated in the automated vehicle control system. The design of such sensors should consider defects which occur during their manufacturing. The aim of this work is to study the technology component effect on the dynamic properties of a multi-axis gyroscope intended for the automated vehicle control.

1. Introduction

Transportation is highly relevant to both the population welfare and the normal functioning of the business community and the government on the whole.

Integrated navigation systems employed in the vehicle control are a well-developed up-to-date technology. The vehicle control systems have gradually become lighter, cheaper, more compact and beneficial over the past decades. The automated control for various vehicles implies the use of different sensors which determine the attitude control parameters and the dynamic properties of the object.

Motion sensors must have a low cost, small size, and the appropriate accuracy under various climate changes and mechanical perturbation impacts. Microelectromechanical (MEMS) motion sensors satisfy these requirements and underlie a composition of vehicle strapdown attitude reference and navigation systems (SARNS). The flowchart of such system is presented in Fig. 1.

The navigation management in SARNS is performed by means of self-contained aids using readings of the gyroscope, the accelerometer, and the magnetometer integrated in the inertial module [1, 2, 14]. The main disadvantage of the inertial dead-reckoning method is the error accumulation over time [3, 4, 16]. In SARNS, basic errors are produced by the inertial module and must be eliminated. Moreover, the MEMS SARNS can operate in tandem with a satellite navigation system which allows increasing the attitude control accuracy of a vehicle.

According to the marketing research conducted by the Yole Development Company [5, 15,17], the amount of multi-axis inertial motion sensors will be growing each year, and the amount of three- and less-axis motion sensors will be lowering. This is because a consumer market shifts toward multi-axis sensors which are becoming very popular in many applications which involve measuring lots of parameters. This phenomenon is linked to a rapidly growing unmanned technical and safety equipment which utilizes inertial modules as basic devices.
MEMS sensors are therefore widely used in various civil and military equipment. The current performance requirements for MEMS sensors are constantly growing due to expanding their applications with the particularly higher level of external factors (see Fig. 2).

Moreover, MEMS devices possessing the accuracy factors and other performance characteristics in the extended range of parameters are needed in such application fields as hole making navigation, military engineering, construction, transportation, railway and road-transport economies. MEMS sensors must satisfy the stability requirements, i.e. maintain the workability and accuracy factors in some very tough environments.

MEMS sensors combine mechanical and electrical components of extremely small size. They should be protected from external impacts of different origins, such as physical, chemical, and mechanical. It is notable that mechanical and electrical components in MEMS sensors are made of a common plate using the technology of forming three-dimensional structures.

The high accuracy of MEMS sensors is achieved through considering such factors as physical properties of constructional materials, instrument accuracy of manufacturing sensitive elements and operating conditions and measuring accuracy of sensors [6-9], development and improvement of MEMS technology in Russia, shortening design and production periods of MEMS sensor prototypes, comprehensive examination of projects prior to the real production process using the modern electronic devices.
There are several main routes of developing the qualitative production of inertial sensors, namely: the size reduction, increase in the number of sensors in one housing, reduction of consumption, and increase in the number of smart functions.

Manufacturing of MEMS integrated sensors is a complex production process which involves rather an expensive product line. It is therefore advisable to perform a system model-building of the whole device together with its measuring circuit. This allows a developer to reduce costs and time of the MEMS sensor production.

The aim of this work is to study the technology component effect on the dynamic properties of a multi-axis gyroscope intended for the automated vehicles control.

2. Architecture and dynamic properties

A multi-axis microgyroscope (Fig. 3) is a three-component gyroscopic module, which measures three components of the angular velocity.

![Figure 3. Silicon electromechanical sensor (SES) of three-component gyroscopic module: 1 – Y-sensor; 2 – Z-sensor; 3 – X-sensor; 4 - sensor unit](image)

SES consists of three one-component (single-axis) microgyroscopes. A Y-sensor and an X-sensor are similar and are positioned at an angle of 90 degrees relative to each other. The third one (Z-sensor) has other architecture.

Each sensor of MEMS gyroscope contains two symmetrical sensor units adjacent to each other via a spring element. The gyroscope module comprises anti-phase systems positioned along the axes of primary oscillations. Secondary oscillations will also make anti-phase movement that allows reducing the influence of acceleration on output signal. Primary oscillations of Z- and X-sensors occur along the Y axis; the Y-sensor - along the X axis. Due to base angular velocity by means of Coriolis force, secondary oscillations of the Z-sensor occur along the X axis, X- and Y-sensors occur along the Z axis. Each sensor measures the same name components of angular velocity. Angular velocities are measured by the capacitances of the silicon sensor [10-13, 18].
3. Technology component

The mainstream technology applied in the gyroscope module microfabrication is a deep anisotropic ion etching of silicon. The manufacture of moving sensing structures is provided by a silicon-on-glass technique, the schematic diagram of which is presented in Fig. 4. A silicon sensor is mounted to the glass substrate. The electrical connection is performed via metal electrodes sprayed onto the glass substrate surface.

![Figure 4. Schematic of silicon-on-glass technique: 1 – glass substrate; 2 – metal electrodes; 3 – silicon sensor](image)

The substrate is made of a 20×20×0.5 mm borosilicate glass. The MEMS sensor is made of single-crystal silicon. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of this sensor are presented in Fig. 5.

![Figure 5. Single-component microscopes of three-component gyroscopic module SEM](image)

In-process defects can occur during the photolithography and photomask fabrication and silicon etching which cause the size distortion of the silicon MEMS sensor and change its parameters. The most significant impact of in-process defects on the silicon MEMS sensor occurs due to a change in its geometry. Thus, in-process defects include ±0.1 μm size tolerance in the sensor plane (b parameter); ±0.05 μm height tolerance in the sensor plate (h parameter); vertical deviation of walls between 0–0.1 degrees (α angle). These parameters are schematically shown in Fig. 6.
Non-uniform etching causes changes in the geometry of spring elements of frames, thereby affecting the sensor eigen-frequency and, as a consequence, the scaling factor of the MEMS gyroscope. Therefore, the effect produced by the silicon etching on the gyroscope dynamic properties should be evaluated as early as at a design stage using the finite element analysis.

During the analysis of the instrument accuracy, one of the investigated parameters is changed, all other parameters being constant. A ±0.1 μm change in the linear sizes along Y- and X-axes is characteristic to b parameter depicted in Fig. 7.

Figure 8 presents a block-diagram for the in-process defects which change the silicon MEMS sensor parameters. Thus, a change in the b parameter by 100 nm modifies the eigen-frequency by 79 Hz. A change in the h parameter by 50 nm causes a 53 Hz change in the eigen-frequency. And a change in α parameter by 0.1 degrees enables the eigen-frequency to change by 103 Hz (Fig. 8).

The scaling factor of the MEMS gyroscope changes respectively by 3, 0.8 and 8 %, when changing b, h and α parameters by 100 nm, 50 nm, and 0.1 degrees, respectively. Such cases are depicted in Fig. 9.

The dependences between the scaling factors and b parameter of the silicon MEMS sensor can be approximated by the following equations:

\[ k_x(b) = 2.55 \cdot 10^{-10} - 0.00022781916 \cdot b, \]
\[ k_y(b) = 2.54 \cdot 10^{-10} - 0.00022164233 \cdot b, \]
\[ k_z(b) = 2.54 \cdot 10^{-10} - 0.00019876024 \cdot b. \]
The dependences between the scaling factors and h parameter of the silicon MEMS sensor can be approximated by the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
  k_x(h) &= 2.55 \cdot 10^{-10} - 8.65 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot h, \\
  k_y(h) &= 2.55 \cdot 10^{-10} - 4.08 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot h, \\
  k_z(h) &= 2.54 \cdot 10^{-10} - 1.22 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot h.
\end{align*}
\] (2)

The dependences between the scaling factors and \(\alpha\) parameter of the silicon MEMS sensor can be approximated by the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
  k_x(\alpha) &= 2.55 \cdot 10^{-10} + 8.91 \cdot 10^{-11} \cdot \alpha, \\
  k_y(\alpha) &= 2.54 \cdot 10^{-10} + 1.17 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot \alpha, \\
  k_z(\alpha) &= 2.54 \cdot 10^{-10} + 7.64 \cdot 10^{-11} \cdot \alpha.
\end{align*}
\] (3)

Capacitances of the electrode structures also depend on the geometry of comb electrode structures. So it is expedient to evaluate these dependences induced by the in-process defects. The block diagram in Fig. 10 indicates the impact of in-process defects on capacitances \(C_{1y}\) and \(C_{2y}\) along the axis of primary oscillations.
As can be seen from Fig. 10, the comb electrodes underetching reduces the b parameter, which causes a 0.52% reduction of capacitances. A change in the h parameter results in the reduction of capacitances by 0.15%.

The block diagram in Fig. 11 indicates the impact of in-process defects on capacitances C1y and C2y of sensing electrodes.

According to Fig. 11, a change in the b parameter by 100 nm results in the comb electrodes underetching which, in turn, causes the reduction in their size and the 0.9% reduction in capacitances. When the h parameter is changed by 50 nm, the height of comb electrodes also changes, thereby causing a 0.15% decrease in capacitances.

The block diagram in Fig. 12 indicates the impact of in-process defects on capacitances C3y and C4y along the axes of primary oscillations.

Figure 12 shows that a change in the b parameter by 100 nm results in the comb electrodes underetching which, in turn, causes the reduction in their size and 3% reduction in capacitances. When the h parameter is changed by 50 nm, the height of comb electrodes also changes, thereby causing a 0.42% decrease in capacitances.
Figure 12. Relative dependence of capacitances $C_{3y}$ and $C_{4y}$ on $b$ and $h$ parameters along the axes of primary oscillations

4. Conclusion
In conclusion, it is evident that in-process defects had a random character and depended on a certain model of instrument used in the production process. As a result of the finite element analysis, the instrument accuracy dependences were suggested for frequencies, scaling factors and capacitances. The obtained dependences were used to compensate in-process defects which affect the performance parameters of the integrated MEMS gyroscopes.

In computer simulation of the photomask, the compensation of in-process defects, which occurred during its fabrication, was achieved through rounding sharp edges and corners of diverse model elements by 1 micron. As a result, the defect was reduced down to 0.004 $\mu$m. In order to eliminate in-process defects, the model size was reduced by 0.1 microns.

When silicon was etched at a depth of 40 $\mu$m, the appeared underetching defect was eliminated by a change in the hardware configuration, i.e. we set the etching time such that etching did not exceed 0.1 $\mu$m.
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