Extrapancreatic solid pseudopapillary neoplasm followed by multiple metastases: Case report
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Abstract

Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm (SPN), also known as Gruber-Frantz tumor, is a rare form of neoplasm that almost exclusively occurs in the pancreas and in young females. While the potential of malignancy is low for SPN, these tumors can mimic other diseases and require a meticulous investigation and a standard treatment by total surgical resection. We present an unusual case of SPN arising in the mesentery of a 40-year-old man with subsequent multiple metastases. Histopathological examination showed similar properties of the mesenteric neoplasm to those of SPN in pancreas. Although the mass was surgically removed, the patient died of recurrent disease 4 years after the initial presentation. We speculate that SPN originates from pancreatic progenitor cells. Further histopathological analyses are required for the prediction of SPN recurrence after resection.
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Core tip: Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm (SPN) has been recognized by World Health Organization since 2010, and classified as a low malignant potential neoplasm. Such neoplasm is characterized by the presence of a mutation in the gene that encodes β-catenin. β-catenin is an important factor in the Wnt signaling pathway (β-catenin-dependent Wnt signaling). The identification of extrapancreatic SPN, especially in the mesentery, indicates a possible endoderm link between pancreatic progenitor cells and SPN cells.
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INTRODUCTION

Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm (SPN) is a rare and indolent type of neoplasm that occurs in pancreas; SPN forms 0.3% to 2.7% of all pancreatic exocrine tumors. A large body of SPN indices are found in young female patients, and well-circumscribed. A margin negative surgical resection shows curative result in majority of cases[1-3]; recurrence after surgical resection is reported in 2% to 10% of patients[4,5]. Patients with unresectable SPN may have a long-term survival (5 years), and require complex chemo- and radio-therapy treatments; the efficacy of adjuvant therapies in the SPN treatment remains largely unknown and a clinical challenge. Thus, it is important to differentiate the risk of recurrence in SPN patients. An extrapancreatic development of SPN is a rare incident; only 16 cases of extrapancreatic SPN have been reported so far worldwide (Table 1). In the present article, we report a patient, in whom SPN was found in the mesentery; no invasion or attachments to adjacent organs was observed. To the best of our knowledge, this article is the first to report a SPN case in the mesentery.

CASE REPORT

A 40-year-old Chinese male came to hospital on November 15, 2012. His main complaint was abdominal distention that lasted over 6 mo. His physical examination revealed a 30 cm soft mass in the abdomen. An abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan exhibited solid and mixed cystic lesions, measuring > 28 cm diameter (Figure 1). Patient’s blood test results were unremarkable. On November 22, 2012, the patient underwent an exploratory laparotomy, and the tumor protruding from the mesentery was completely excised. At that time, no invasion or attachments to adjacent organs was observed. In addition, the postoperative course was uneventful. The resected specimen of the mesenteric tumor was 25 cm × 15 cm × 28 cm, and showed a multilobulated structure with rich microvasculature. Microscopic characterization of the tumor showed that the tumor formation was a mix of solid and pseudopapillary areas. There was no evidence of pancreatic tissue in the analyzed sample. Further, the specimen was positive for alpha-1-antitrypsin, vimentin, CD56 and β-catenin. It was clear that the patient was suffering from recurrence of the disease. Before the surgical operation to clean the recurrent tumors, the patient received the treatment of 60 mg cisplatin by hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC). Unfortunately, there was no response to the treatment, and the patient was transferred to the palliative care unit. Soon after the patient’s physical conditions worsened, we lost the patient on November 2016, 4 years after the initial surgery.

DISCUSSION

SPN has been recognized by the WHO classification as a low malignant potential neoplasm in 2010[3]. It was first named as Gruber-Frantz tumor and after that it had been called the pancreatic solid papillary epithelial neoplasm, pancreatic papillary cystic neoplasm, pancreatic solid cystic tumor and solid pseudopapillary tumor. The differential diagnosis of SPN may include: pseudocyst, pancreatic mucinous neoplasms, well-differentiated ductal adenocarcinoma, pancreatic endocrine neoplasm, and acinic cell carcinoma. The pathogenesis of SPN remains unclear. Likewise, genetic events that contribute to the development of SPN are yet to be discovered. There are two basic proposals for the SPN origin: (1) genital ridge-related cells and (2) pancreatic progenitor cells[1,6]. To note, an important proportion of SPN cases show mutations in the somatic β-catenin coding gene (CTNNB1) and CTNNB1 variants[7]. Such mutations can affect Wnt signaling pathways as well as self-renewal capability of stem cells[10]. SPN cells were reported to be positive for β-catenin, vimentin, alpha-1-anti-trypsin, CD10, CD56, and progesterone receptors by immunohistochemical analysis[11]; however this staining pattern fails to reveal a clear phenotypic relationship between SPN and any of the defined cell lineages of the pancreas. Thereby, it can be speculated as SPN cells show multipotential differentiation. According to the study concerned with the embryonic development of the human pancreas, dorsal and ventral pancreatic buds were reported to proliferate...
Table 1  Review of extra-pancreatic solid pseudopapillary neoplasm

| Ref.          | Age | Sex | Location         | Size (cm) | Procedure | Follow-up |
|---------------|-----|-----|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Miyazaki et al[19] | 22  | F   | Retroperitoneum  | 7         | Laparoscopy | 6 mo NED  |
| Hibi et al[20]            | 45  | M   | Omentum          | 15        | Laparoscopy | 96 mo DOD |
| Deshpande et al[21]       | 17  | F   | Left ovary       | 25.5      | Open surgery| 72 mo NED  |
|                           | 57  | F   | Right ovary      | 3         | Open surgery| NA        |
|                           | 21  | F   | Left ovary       | 14        | Open surgery| NA        |
| He et al[22]              | 39  | F   | Right ovary      | 6         | Laparoscopy | 36 mo NED  |
| Fukunaga et al[23]        | 46  | F   | Omentum          | 5         | Laparoscopy | 3 mo NED   |
| Ishikawa et al[24]        | 13  | F   | Mesocolon        | 4         | Open surgery| 36 mo NED  |
| Guo et al[25]             | 47  | F   | Retroperitoneum  | 16        | Open surgery| 14 mo NED  |
| Geng et al[26]            | 37  | F   | Retroperitoneum  | 8         | Open surgery| NA        |
| Zhu et al[27]             | 22  | F   | Retroperitoneum  | 6         | Laparoscopy | 14 mo NED  |
| Chen et al[28]            | 47  | F   | Left ovary       | 6         | Open surgery| 18 mo NED  |
| Cheuk et al[29]           | 25  | F   | Right ovary      | 16.5      | Open surgery| 144 mo NED |
| Walter et al[30]          | 32  | F   | Stomach          | 10        | Open surgery| 24 mo LWD  |
|                           | 73  | M   | Duodenum         | 14        | Open surgery| 5 mo DOD   |
| Stoll et al[31]           | 48  | F   | Left ovary       | 8         | Open surgery| 9 mo NED   |
| Present case              | 40  | M   | Mesentery        | 28        | Open surgery| 48 mo DOD  |

NED: No evidence of disease; DOD: Dead of disease; LWD: Live with disease; NA: Not available; F: Female; M: Male.

Figure 2  Histological and immunohistochemical findings of the tumor (× 200). The tumor cells are arranged in solid sheets, pseudopapillary and microcysts (A and B: Hematoxylin-eosin stain), and are immunohistochemically positive for alpha-1-antitrypsin (C), β-catenin (D: Cytoplasmic and nuclear staining), CD56 (E), whereas negative for chromogranin (F).
from gut epithelium of endoderm during the 4th week of gestation. Dorsal pancreas fuses with ventral pancreas at the 7th week of gestation due to the rotation of the stomach and duodenum development[12]. Identification of extrapancreatic SPN in the ovary, retroperitoneum and the omentum, as listed in Table 1, indicates a possible endoderm link, substantiated by the migration of pancreas during embryogenesis. We therefore believe that extrapancreatic SPN originates from pancreatic progenitor cells.

In SPN patients, tumor resection confers an 8 year survival rate in 85% of cases; nevertheless, local recurrence or distant metastases can occur in some patients[13]. Histological and clinical parameters for prediction of disease recurrence after the initial surgical operation remain a challenge as there is still no consensus in the medical community. Many clinicians and researchers have been working to determine such criteria. For example, Kang et al[14] listed: (1) a tumor size larger than 8 cm; (2) cellular atypia; (3) vascular invasion; (4) perineural invasion; (5) systemic metastasis; and (6) peritoneal seeding as significant prognostic factors for tumor recurrence in a multicenter study. A case series study conducted by Yang et al[15] showed that vascular invasion, extra-pancreatic invasion, lymph node metastasis, and Ki-67 index ≥ 4% are associated with SPN recurrence. It is important to note that a rupture of the tumor or laparoscopic biopsy may seed the tumor cells into the peritoneal cavity, and could be an etiological factor responsible for the peritoneal recurrence[16]. Nonetheless, a recurrence prediction scoring model require more investigation. Such model will help clinicians to distinguish a high-risk group from low-risk group. Likewise, there is still no consensus on the treatment strategy in patients with SPN recurrence. A previous report described a 35 years old woman relapsing 8 mo after the resection of an SPN, which ruptured preoperatively. The patient firstly underwent a complete cytoreductive surgery, but relapsed within 8 mo, and received another cytoreductive surgery combined with HIPEC (oxaliplatin and irinotecan). At 31 mo of follow-up, the patient showed no evidence of disease recurrence[17].

Thus, a complete cytoreductive surgery combined with HIPEC stands as an important treatment solution for high-risk group of SPN. Further, another report concluded that SPN are radiosensitive, and can be successfully treated by using radiation therapy[18]. Future clinical and molecular studies are required to provide more precise tools to predict the biological behavior of SPN.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Case characteristics
Abdominal distension.

Clinical diagnosis
Abdominal mass.

Differential diagnosis
Pancreatic mucinous neoplasms.

Laboratory diagnosis
All labs were within normal limits.

Imaging diagnosis
Mesenchymal neoplasm.

Pathological diagnosis
Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm (SPN).

Treatment
Complete cytoreductive surgery combined with hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy.

Related reports
Grading and staging play an important role in treatment and prognosis.

Term explanation
SPN: Solid pseudopapillary neoplasm.

Experiences and lessons
Future clinical and molecular studies are required to provide more precise tools to predict the biological behavior of SPN.
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