The Athlete with Type 1 Diabetes: Transition from Case Reports to General Therapy Recommendations
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Abstract: Fear of hypoglycemia is a common barrier to exercise and physical activity for individuals with type 1 diabetes. While some of the earliest studies in this area involved only one or two participants, the link between exercise, exogenous insulin, and hypoglycemia was already clear, with the only suggested management strategies being to decrease insulin dosage and/or consume carbohydrates before and after exercise. Over the past 50 years, a great deal of knowledge has been developed around the impact of different types and intensities of exercise on blood glucose levels in this population. Recent decades have also seen the development of technologies such as continuous glucose monitors, faster-acting insulins and commercially available insulin pumps to allow for the real-time observation of interstitial glucose levels, and more precise adjustments to insulin dosage before, during and after activity. As such, there are now evidence-based exercise and physical activity guidelines for individuals with type 1 diabetes. While the risk of hypoglycemia has not been completely eliminated, therapy recommendations have evolved considerably. This review discusses the evolution of the knowledge and the technology related to type 1 diabetes and exercise that have allowed this evolution to take place.
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Introduction

Type 1 diabetes is a chronic health condition that involves the auto-immune destruction of pancreatic islet cells. This destruction results in insulin deficiency and a need for exogenous insulin. Onset of the disease typically occurs in childhood or early adulthood. As a result, individuals with type 1 diabetes develop health complications at younger ages than people without diabetes, or even those with type 2 diabetes. Complications include cardiovascular disease (the leading cause of death among individuals with type 1 diabetes), an increased risk of retinopathy, nephropathy and neuropathy, along with accelerated bone loss and muscle loss with aging. It is currently recognized that exercise and physical activity play an important role in self-care for the prevention and management of these diabetes-related complications. Recent cohort and cross-sectional studies involving individuals with type 1 diabetes have found an inverse correlation between diabetes-related complications and self-reported leisure time physical activity. Increased physical activity frequency and intensity, are associated with a lower incidence and prevalence of cardiovascular disease and cardiovascular disease risk factors. They are also associated with a decreased frequency and severity of diabetes complications, increased life expectancy and improved quality of life in this population. Unfortunately, if not properly managed
physical activity and exercise can cause large and rapid declines in blood glucose, increasing the risk of hypoglycemia during exercise, and can also cause hyperglycemia post-exercise for several hours. The introduction of this volatility in blood glucose levels may be one of the reasons that more than 60% of individuals with type 1 diabetes are physically inactive. Furthermore, women with type 1 diabetes tend to report lower physical activity levels than men with the same condition. Fear of hypoglycemia has been identified as one of the main barriers to exercise and physical activity in this population.

With improvements in insulin formulations and diabetes technologies (insulin pumps, continuous glucose monitors, closed loop systems), blood glucose levels before, during and after exercise have become much easier to monitor. In addition, a plethora of exercise and physical activity-related studies over the past 50 years have greatly improved the level of knowledge around the impacts of different activity types, durations, and intensities on blood glucose levels. These studies, however, have had a great deal of variability in their outcomes. As a result, some of the recommended insulin adjustments and carbohydrate intake for exercise and physical activity remain vague, and a relatively high level of motivation is still necessary for developing the confidence required to be habitually physically active. It is also of note that some of the types of exercise now recommended for general health in individuals with type 1 diabetes (lifting weights, high-intensity interval training) are modalities that have historically been reserved for athletes and may be approached with trepidation by those who simply wish to be physically active for health.

**Early Studies of Exercise in Diabetes**

Prior to the discovery of insulin and the development of a better understanding of diabetes pathology, early studies examining exercise in individuals with diabetes were divided on its benefits for this population. Some studies reported benefits for those with “moderate diabetes”, yet others stated that exercise seemed to do little for those with “severe diabetes”. While early studies recognized that exercise was beneficial at decreasing the amount of glucose in the urine of the patient, it was not until 1926 that R.D. Lawrence (who was both a patient and a physician) recognized the link between insulin treatment and hypoglycemia during exercise in patients with type 1 diabetes. Based on a clinical experiment with one patient and clinical experience with two others, Lawrence recommended that insulin dose be largely reduced both before and after exercise, and that food intake is increased on days where exercise is performed. In spite of substantial advancements in insulin formulations and delivery systems since then, this advice is still consistent with insulin adjustment and carbohydrate intake recommendations currently given to individuals with type 1 diabetes who undertake exercise and physical activity.

The fine-tuning of this advice has been ongoing now for decades as new insulins and insulin delivery systems have been developed. Where endogenous insulin levels would normally decline within minutes of starting exercise, the extended half-life of exogenous insulin results in higher than desired circulating insulin levels during exercise in those with type 1 diabetes. As a result, low- to moderate-intensity exercise has been associated with rapid declines in blood glucose, attributed to an insulin-induced lack of glucose production in spite of a marked increase in glucose uptake by the exercising muscle. The consistency with which low to moderate-intensity aerobic exercise leads to a decline in blood glucose when individuals with type 1 diabetes are active in the post-prandial state has been universally recognized, and attempts have been made to associate specific insulin adjustments with particular intensities of aerobic exercise. While the exact size and timing of the decrease in insulin administration vary greatly among individuals, the importance of reducing insulin dosage well in advance of exercise is almost unanimously recognized. In situations where a decrease in insulin prior to activity is not possible, carbohydrate supplementation is almost inevitable, especially if the activities last longer than 20 mins.

**The Importance of Intensity**

For many individuals with type 1 diabetes, the increase in carbohydrate intake to prevent hypoglycemia during moderate aerobic exercise decreases its potential weight and blood glucose management benefits. Efforts have, therefore, been made to find an alternative to carbohydrate consumption when exercise cannot be sufficiently planned in advance for insulin corrections, or where insulin corrections have not provided enough protection against declines in blood glucose. While counterintuitive at first, due to the greater amount of energy expended, increasing the intensity of the activities performed can have a protective effect against declines in blood glucose.

Over the last decade, there has been a substantial number of studies published emphasizing the impact of high intensity or anaerobic exercise (either in the form of
intermittent intervals or as resistance exercise) on blood glucose levels in individuals with type 1 diabetes. The increase in blood glucose that occurs with anaerobic activity had been well documented by studies of sustained high-intensity activity in participants with type 1 diabetes in the 1980s and 1990s. This phenomenon during sustained high-intensity exercise is generally attributed to a catecholamine-induced increase in the rate of glucose appearance through hepatic glycogenolysis that is disproportionate to the rate of glucose uptake by the contracting muscle.

The mechanism, while producing similar results, may be slightly different where much shorter, very intense bursts of activity are concerned. Two studies using labeled glucose to observe glucose rate of appearance and disappearance during and after a 10-s sprint in individuals with type 1 diabetes (under basal insulinaemic conditions) showed that, rather than a large increase in the rate of appearance, the increase in blood glucose concentration was in fact the result of a sharp decline in glucose uptake by the muscle after these very short, very intense bursts. Regardless of the mechanism, these data became the basis for several studies of high intensity interval exercise (where low- to moderate-intensity aerobic activity are interrupted by occasional bouts of high- to very high-intensity activity) in individuals with type 1 diabetes. Most of these studies concluded that the inclusion of high-intensity bouts was protective against declines in blood glucose during exercise, compared to exercise sessions where no high-intensity bouts were included. Similarly, weight lifting, another form of anaerobic exercise, has been associated with a protective effect against declines in blood glucose when combined with aerobic exercise. Whether or not these types of training decrease the risk of hypoglycemia in the hours after activity completion is still under dispute. It is also unclear whether or not this protective effect is diminished by undertaking high-intensity interval exercise under periods of peak insulin action. Overall, these findings have provided additional exercise safety tools for individuals with type 1 diabetes, which may serve to increase exercise confidence and uptake in this population.

**Current Physical Activity/Exercise Recommendations**

The last 3 years have led to the production of a variety of resources for exercise and physical activity in type 1 diabetes to be used by clinicians and patients alike. Both the American Diabetes Association and the American College of Sports Medicine have produced evidence-based recommendations around what type of exercise and physical activity to perform for people with type 1 diabetes, along with strategies for insulin dosage and carbohydrate intake to mitigate the risk of hypoglycemia. Similar to the exercise and physical activity guidelines for the general public, individuals with type 1 diabetes are encouraged to perform 150 minutes or more of moderate to vigorous intensity activity every week. These minutes should be spread out over at least 3 days with no more than two consecutive days without activity. It is also recommended that 2–3 sessions per week of resistance exercise be included. The evidence behind these recommendations, however, is rated as mostly B or C grade for individuals with type 1 diabetes, as there is a paucity of well-implemented randomized controlled trials of exercise in this population.

While the data supporting the long-term glycemic benefits of exercise in individuals with type 1 diabetes may be lacking, there have been several acute studies in the past 30 years examining the impact of exercise intensity, carbohydrate intake, and adjustments to insulin dosage (both using insulin pumps and multiple daily injections of insulin) in order to maximize exercise and physical activity safety. In 2017, these studies were reviewed by an expert group to produce a consensus statement entitled “Exercise Management in Type 1 Diabetes”. To date, this publication offers the most detailed exercise safety guidelines available to the athlete or active individual with type 1 diabetes, including advice for insulin dosage management, dietary management, and hydration for specific levels of blood glucose, relative to different intensities and durations of exercise. However, it should still be noted that most of the studies on which this advice is based were performed on young, fit, males, and do not take into account potential age- and sex-related differences in exercise responses. As such, it is still advisable to individually tailor exercise and physical activity recommendations to the individual.

In addition to adjusting insulin dosage and increasing carbohydrate intake, several added safety guidelines have been provided for exercise in individuals with type 1 diabetes. It is recommended that they avoid injecting insulin into muscles that are about to be used for their activity, as this can speed up the rate of absorption and subsequently increase the risk of hypoglycemia. An awareness of prior hypoglycemic events is highlighted, as having low
blood glucose can blunt the body’s counter regulatory response to rapidly decreasing blood glucose for at least 24 hrs. Temperature may also play a role, with cool conditions delaying insulin delivery and increasing the risk of hyperglycemia, while warm conditions will have the opposite effect. As outlined above, several exercise guidelines also recommend the inclusion of either resistance exercise, or high-intensity intervals, with aerobic exercise, as the combination seems to blunt the declines in blood glucose generally observed during aerobic exercise. In addition, recent studies not included in current guidelines have shown that fasting resistance exercise and high-intensity intermittent exercise may result in increases in blood glucose, where the same activity performed in the fed state later in the day could result in a decline in blood glucose levels. The same has also been found of aerobic exercise. This phenomenon has been attributed to both the lower amount of insulin in circulation from a lack of meal-related bolus insulin, as well as higher concentrations of growth hormone and cortisol, which may promote lipids as a fuel source and thereby spare blood glucose during exercise. Taken together, exercising before breakfast, may be advisable for active individuals with type 1 diabetes who are struggling with hypoglycemia, or are held back in their activities by the fear of hypoglycemia.

In spite of the availability of more detailed exercise safety advice than ever before, a one-size-fits-all set of recommendations is not currently possible. The available evidence is generally provided from studies involving small sample sizes (often fewer than 15 participants), and blood glucose responses show a great deal of variation. Overall, it can be recommended that individuals with type 1 diabetes treat their exercise like a science experiment: the key to success is making small changes to one variable at a time, while taking very detailed notes until an effective combination is found. This will include making alterations in the type, timing and intensity of exercise, along with devising strategies for insulin dosage and carbohydrate intake before, during and after exercise. Fortunately, research has provided very sound suggestions on which approaches should be tried, and, in many cases, evidence to explain the observed outcomes.

The Role of Technological Improvements

When blood glucose meters for home use were first marketed in the early 1980s they revolutionized diabetes care. Rapid progress in glucose monitoring technology ensued, with meters becoming smaller, faster and more accurate, both in the target range (4–10 mmol/L) as well as above and below it. Instead of a daily urine test providing little to no information on blood glucose excursions, individuals with type 1 diabetes had the ability to check blood glucose more frequently to provide a rough estimate of trends throughout the day. Compared to the conventional treatment of the day (one or two injections of insulin with once daily urine or blood glucose testing) the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (1983–1993) showed that an increase in insulin injections (three or more per day) and self-monitoring of blood glucose four or more times per day using the newly developed home meters improved blood glucose control and decreased diabetes-related complications (cardiovascular disease, nephropathy, retinopathy and neuropathy). Presumably, these meters also improved the ability of those with diabetes to undertake exercise and physical activity safely, as more information about pre- and post-exercise blood glucose levels was obtainable.

Just over twenty years later the first continuous glucose monitoring systems (CGM) became commercially available. For years these systems have modernized the field of exercise research for individuals with type 1 diabetes. Where previous studies were either limited to a small window post-exercise, or required substantial funding and cooperation from participants to arrange overnight hospital stays, CGM systems allowed blood glucose data points to be collected every 5 mins for days at a time. Sensors for some of the original systems were wearable for up to 3 days. Some current systems have sensors approved for up to 14 days of wear. The use of these systems in exercise studies involving individuals with type 1 diabetes has improved the level of awareness around post-exercise and nocturnal hypoglycemia associated with different types, timing, and intensity of exercise. Recent consensus recommendations on time in range for specific diabetes populations, as measured by CGM, will also make it easier to compare among exercise studies moving forward.

For many athletes and active individuals with type 1 diabetes, the combination of CGM and faster-acting insulin formulations has vastly improved exercise safety. Where insulin treatment formerly involved mostly one or two injections of medium- to long-acting insulin on a daily basis, newer insulins allow for either multiple daily injections (generally one longer acting basal insulin with shorter-acting bolus insulin for snacks, meals and corrections) or
infusion of fast-acting insulin using an insulin pump. As such, people with type 1 diabetes wishing to be more physically active are more easily able to plan ahead and aim for a lower level of circulating insulin during their physically active times, which, as previously discussed, is essential in the prevention of exercise-induced hypoglycemia. In addition, real-time CGM devices allow the exerciser to monitor changes in blood glucose through viewing both real-time blood glucose concentrations and trend arrows showing both the direction and rate of change of blood glucose levels. In one small, observational study, the use of both insulin pumps and real-time CGM over a 3-month period led to a significant reduction in mean glucose levels, and a decreased frequency of hyperglycemia in active individuals with type 1 diabetes. Another recent study of exercise in individuals with type 1 diabetes involving experiential learning for both patients and health-care providers demonstrated that CGM is a valuable tool to learn about blood glucose changes during exercise. In qualitative interviews, patients in this study also described the CGM as a safety net, and explained that it improved their ability to manage blood glucose during exercise without overcorrecting.

While some of the first studies of exercise involving CGM found that the accuracy of the sensors decreased during exercise (often attributed to sensor lag), the newer sensors seem to be performing well during both moderate aerobic and high-intensity interval exercises. As most of these devices can also be equipped with alarms set to specific blood glucose thresholds, active individuals with type 1 diabetes can be notified when their blood glucose concentration is reaching an unsafe level both during exercise and overnight while asleep. As these devices also come with the ability to download several days’ worth of data per sensor, along with providing access to online tools for assessing them, the user can make changes to their diet, insulin regime and physical activity/training schedules based on the large amount of data which is now available to them.

**Future Possibilities**

Improvements in sensor accuracy have helped in developing technologies where CGM can be directly linked to an insulin pump, allowing the real-time data from the CGM to assist individuals with type 1 diabetes in making decisions about their insulin delivery. These technologies now include insulin pumps that will suspend insulin delivery automatically when low blood glucose levels are detected, or even suspend insulin when low glucose levels are predicted. These technologies are steps along the road to creating a completely closed-loop system, or artificial pancreas, which is able to independently monitor and control blood glucose levels in individuals with type 1 diabetes. Both single hormone (insulin only, including faster-acting insulin and dual hormone (insulin and its antagonist glucagon) systems are currently being tested in an exercise context.

One such single-hormone closed-loop system has recently become commercially available. Overall, data on closed-loop systems show a clear advantage over conventional sensor-augmented insulin pumps with respect to decreasing hypoglycemia and increasing the amount of time in target blood glucose range. There are still, however, some concerns about the ability of these systems to perform adequately during exercise due to some of the current gaps in the type 1 diabetes and exercise literature. Most studies involving single hormone closed-loop systems have found similar results in sensor-augmented insulin pump therapy (where the user makes insulin delivery decisions) with respect to time in target for blood glucose concentration, and time in hypoglycemia. Conversely, dual hormone closed-loop systems (which most closely replicate pancreatic function) have shown superiority over sensor-augmented insulin pumps and single-hormone closed-loop systems in small studies (n ≤ 21 participants) of aerobic exercise. With further improvement in algorithms and sensor accuracy, the type 1 diabetes community is hopeful that fear of hypoglycemia will no longer be a barrier to exercise and physical activity, as closed-loop systems should come close to eliminating the risk entirely.

Pancreatic islet transplantation is another advanced treatment option available to select individuals with type 1 diabetes who meet rigorous eligibility criteria. This procedure, which is still considered experimental by most, involves the surgical transplantation of donor islet cells into the liver of the recipient. The procedure can restore both insulin secretion and glucagon release in the face of changes in blood glucose. One study comparing blood glucose responses to exercise in islet transplant recipients compared to matched controls without diabetes showed that while blood glucose levels still declined during exercise, the risk of hypoglycemia in the transplant recipients was no greater than in the nondiabetic control participants. A more extreme example of athletic success after islet transplantation can be seen in a case report outlining the absence of hypoglycemia in an ultra-marathon endurance runner during training and competition post-transplant. In spite of these successes, several challenges
still prevent widespread access to this treatment including the availability of donor islets (especially in light of the fact that more than one transplant is often needed to achieve exogenous insulin independence) and the need for lifelong immunosuppression post-transplant.103

Conclusion
While blood glucose management around physical activity and exercise can still be a challenge for individuals with type 1 diabetes, the availability of information, tools and resources to overcome this hurdle has vastly improved over the past 50 years. In addition to a greater awareness of the benefits of exercise and physical activity in the prevention and management of diabetes-related complications, the ability to better understand and monitor blood glucose fluctuations during and after exercise continues to ameliorate exercise and physical activity safety for individuals with type 1 diabetes. It is also likely that these advancements can be credited, in some part, for the increase in the number of elite athletes with type 1 diabetes competing in both high-level amateur and professional sports.104 The substantial advances in diabetes treatment and the understanding of physical activity and exercise are such that these are no longer viewed as risks to be avoided but rather as an essential part of health and wellness for people with type 1 diabetes.
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