Bile salt-stimulated phospholipid efflux mediated by ABCB4 localized in nonraft membranes
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Abstract ABCB4 is necessary for the secretion of phospholipids from hepatocytes into bile and for the protection of cell membranes against bile salts. Lipid rafts are plasma membrane microdomains containing high contents of cholesterol and sphingolipids, which are separated by Triton X-100 extraction or OptiPrep gradient centrifugation. In this study, we investigated the relationship between the function of ABCB4 and lipid rafts using mouse canalicular membranes and HEK293 cells stably expressing ABCB4. ABCB4 and ABCB1 were mainly distributed in nonraft membranes. The expression of ABCB4, but not ABCB1, led to significant increases in the phosphatidylcholine (PC), phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), and sphingomyelin (SM) contents in nonraft membranes and further enrichment of SM and cholesterol in raft membranes. The ABCB4-mediated efflux of PC, PE, and SM was significantly stimulated by taurocholate, while the efflux of PE and SM was much less than that of PC. This ABCB4-mediated efflux was completely abolished by BODIPY-verapamil, which hardly partitioned into raft membranes. In addition, ABCB1 and ABCB4 mediated the efflux of rhodamine 123 and rhodamine 6G from nonraft membranes. In addition, ABCB1 and ABCB4 expressed in the canalicular membranes of hepatocytes. ABCB4 is unable to export most ABCB1 substrates efficiently (19), although ABCB1 and ABCB4 have a limited number of common substrates, such as short-chain phospholipids, digoxin, paclitaxel, vinblastine, and aureobasidin A (20, 21). ABCB4 has been predicted to be a flippase that translocates phospholipids from the inner leaflet to the outer leaflet of the canalicular membrane (12–16), or a transporter that moves phospholipids for direct extraction by bile salts (11, 17). However, the molecular mechanism of ABCB4-mediated phospholipid efflux is poorly understood.

ABCB1 and ABCB4 are 76% identical and 86% similar in terms of their amino acid sequences. ABCB4 is mainly expressed in the liver, while ABCB1 is normally present in various tissues including the liver (18). ABCB1 extrudes a large number of structurally unrelated hydrophobic compounds. ABCB4 is unable to export most ABCB1 substrates efficiently (19), although ABCB1 and ABCB4 have a limited number of common substrates, such as short-chain phospholipids, digoxin, paclitaxel, vinblastine, and aureobasidin A (20, 21). Abcb4 knockout mice do not excrete any long-chain phospholipids into bile (3, 10, 11). ABCB4 has been predicted to be a flippase that translocates phospholipids from the inner leaflet to the outer leaflet of the canalicular membrane (12–16), or a transporter that moves phospholipids for direct extraction by bile salts (11, 17). However, the molecular mechanism of ABCB4-mediated phospholipid efflux is poorly understood.

ABCB4, a member of the ABC transporter family, is present in the canalicular membranes of hepatocytes and plays an essential role in the secretion of phospholipids into bile (1, 2). The function of biliary phospholipid secretion is to protect the membranes of cells facing the biliary tree against bile salts. Biliary phospholipids also play a key role in solubilizing cholesterol (Chol). The secretion of both phospholipids and Chol into bile is almost completely impaired in Abcb4 knockout mice, although Abcg5 and Abcg8 are the main transporters that secrete biliary Chol (5–6). The complexation of bile salts with phospholipids and cholesterol into mixed micelles strongly reduces the cytotoxic detergent effect of bile salts. Mutations in the ABCB4 gene result in progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis type 3 (7, 8), intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy, low-phospholipid-associated cholelithiasis, and primary biliary cirrhosis (1, 9). In vivo and cell culture studies have demonstrated that the excretion of phospholipids depends on both ABCB4 expression and bile salts (3, 10, 11). ABCB4 has been predicted to be a flippase that translocates phospholipids from the inner leaflet to the outer leaflet of the canalicular membrane (12–16), or a transporter that moves phospholipids for direct extraction by bile salts (11, 17). However, the molecular mechanism of ABCB4-mediated phospholipid efflux is poorly understood.

ABCB1 and ABCB4 are 76% identical and 86% similar in terms of their amino acid sequences. ABCB4 is mainly expressed in the liver, while ABCB1 is normally present in various tissues including the liver (18). ABCB1 extrudes a large number of structurally unrelated hydrophobic compounds. ABCB4 is unable to export most ABCB1 substrates efficiently (19), although ABCB1 and ABCB4 have a limited number of common substrates, such as short-chain phospholipids, digoxin, paclitaxel, vinblastine, and aureobasidin A (20, 21). Abcb4 knockout mice do not excrete any long-chain phospholipids into bile (3, 10, 11), even though substantial amounts of Abcb1a and Abcb1b are expressed in the canalicular membranes of hepatocytes. In the presence of bile salts, ABCB4, but not ABCB1, mediates...
the cellular efflux of long-chain phospholipids, preferentially phosphatidylcholine (PC) (11). The inhibitors of ABCB1, verapamil and itraconazole, have been shown to completely abrogate the bile salt-dependent efflux of phospholipids mediated by ABCB4 (11, 22). The functional differences between ABCB1 and ABCB4 have been suggested to be mainly due to differences in the transmembrane region (23, 24), and ABCB4 binds a subset of ABCB1 substrates or inhibitors (11, 20, 21).

Lipid rafts are small (10–200 nm) plasma membrane domains containing high levels of sphingolipids, mainly sphingomyelin (SM) and Chol, which are characterized physicochemically by tight packing and reduced fluidity leading to a liquid-ordered phase surrounded by bulk liquid-disordered membranes (25–27). Membrane raft domains are resistant to extraction in cold nonionic detergent, such as Triton X-100. Lipid rafts have been implicated in a number of cellular processes, including trafficking and signal transduction. Several lines of evidence have suggested that ABCB1 is at least partly located in lipid rafts (25, 26). On the other hand, the relationship between the function of ABCB4 and lipid rafts has not been previously reported. In the current study, we examined the distribution of ABCB4 between Triton X-100-soluble (TXS) and insoluble (TX1) membranes in HEK295 cells and the effect of ABCB4 expression on the lipid compositions of raft and nonraft membranes compared with ABCB1 expression. We also attempted to clarify whether the bile salt-dependent efflux of phospholipids was mediated by ABCB4 located in raft membranes or nonraft membranes using BODIPY®-verapamil, an inhibitor exclusively partitioning into nonraft regions. Furthermore, the efflux of nonphospholipid substrates mediated by ABCB1 or ABCB4 was investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Sodium taurocholate (NaTC) was obtained from Nacalai Tesque (Kyoto, Japan). Rhodamine 123 and rhodamine 6G were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). BODIPY®-verapamil was purchased from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR). Mouse Mdr1a (Abcb1a) membranes and Mdr1b (Abcb1b) membranes prepared from baculovirus-infected High Five insect cells were obtained from Gentest (Woburn, MA). Mouse Abcb4 recombinant protein (amino acids 352–708) produced in yeast was purchased from MyBioSource (San Diego, CA). OptiPrep was purchased from Axis-Shield (Oslo, Norway). All other chemicals used were of the highest reagent grade.

Isolation of mouse canalicular liver plasma membranes

Experiments were performed with male FVB mice at 7 weeks of age (25–29 g). Mice were fed standard chow and water ad libitum. Mouse canalicular and basolateral liver plasma membranes were isolated from the homogenate of livers from six mice according to the method for isolating rat canalicular and basolateral membranes (28, 29). All animal experiments were conducted with the approval of the Research Center for Animal Life Science at Shiga University of Medical Science.

Recombinant plasmid construction

The human ABCB1 gene was inserted into the EcoR I and Xba I sites of pcDNA3.1 (+) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) to make an expression vector, pcDNA3.1 (+)/ABCB1. The human ABCB4 gene was inserted into the Nhe I and Sma I sites of the pIREShyg3 mammalian expression vector (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) to generate the plasmid, pIREShyg3/ABCB4. pIREShyg5 contains an internal ribosome entry site, which permits the translation of two open reading frames from one mRNA. This expression system facilitates the establishment of pools of stably transfected cell lines whereby nearly all cells surviving in selective media express the gene of interest, because the hygromycin B phosphotransferase gene is expressed under the control of the same promoter (30).

Cell culture

HEK293 cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS in a humidified incubator (5% CO₂) at 37°C.

Establishment of stable transformants of ABCB1 and ABCB4

HEK293 cells were transfected with pcDNA3.1 (+)/ABCB1 or pIREShyg3/ABCB4 using Lipofectamine Reagent and PLUS Reagent (Invitrogen). Cells transfected with pcDNA3.1 (+)/ABCB1 were selected with 100 nM vinblastine, and a large number of vinblastine-resistant clones were pooled in one dish. Cells transfected with pIREShyg3/ABCB4 were selected with 400 μg/mL hygromycin, and a large number of hygromycin-resistant clones were pooled in one dish. The expression of ABCB1 or ABCB4 was examined by immunoblotting. To prepare a whole cell lysate, cells were lysed with PBS containing 1% Triton X-100 and protease inhibitors (100 μg/mL p-APMSF, 10 μg/mL leupeptin and 2 μg/mL aprotinin) and sonicated.

Preparation of nonraft and raft membrane fractions

Triton X-100 insolubility assay with HEK293 cells was performed as previously described (31). Briefly, cells were harvested, suspended in buffer A (protease inhibitors, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, and 20 mM HEPES; pH 7.4), disrupted in a Dounce homogenizer on ice and centrifuged for 10 min at 1,000 g. The supernatant was centrifuged for 1 h at 75,000 g. The high-speed pellet was resuspended in buffer A supplemented with 1% Triton X-100 for 15 min on ice, and centrifuged for 1 h at 75,000 g. After removing the supernatant (TXS fraction), the pellet (TXI fraction) was resuspended in an equal volume of buffer A containing 1% Triton X-100 and sonicated. Equal volumes of each fraction were used for immunoblot analysis. PC, phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), SM, and Chol contents in the fractions were measured by enzymatic fluorometric assays (32–34). Protein contents were determined using a BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL).

The canalicular membranes isolated from mouse livers were resuspended in buffer A supplemented with 1% Triton X-100 for 15 min on ice, and were centrifuged for 1 h at 75,000 g. After removing the supernatant (TXS fraction), the pellet (TXI fraction) was resuspended in an equal volume of buffer A containing 1% Triton X-100 and sonicated. All steps in the preparation of canalicular TXS and TXI fractions from mouse livers were carried out within a day.

Detergent-free rafts were prepared using the OptiPrep gradient method of Macdonald and Pike (35).

Immunoblotting

Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE on a 7%, 10%, or 12% polyacrylamide gel calibrated with Precision Plus Protein WesternC.
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85% and 83% similarity to those of mouse Abcb1a and Abcb1b, respectively. The monoclonal antibody C219 recognizes human ABCB1, human ABCB4, mouse Abcb1a, mouse Abcb1b, and mouse Abcb4 (37). Although the monoclonal antibody P3-II-26 has been reported to react with human ABCB4 but not human ABCB1 (38), the reactivity of P3-II-26 against mouse Abcb1a, Abcb1b, or Abcb4 has not been determined. First, we tested the specificity of the antibody P3-II-26 by Western blotting using mouse Abcb1a membranes, mouse Abcb1b membranes, and mouse Abcb4 recombinant fragment (amino acids 352–708). The results depicted in Fig. 1A show that C219 cross-reacted with mouse Abcb1a, Abcb1b, and Abcb4 as expected, and that P3-II-26 specifically detected mouse Abcb4.

Cellular lipid efflux assay

Cells were subcultured in poly-α-Lys-coated 6-well plates at a density of 2.0 × 10⁶ cells in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. After incubation for 48 h, the cells were washed with fresh medium and incubated with DMEM containing 0.02% BSA in the presence or absence of NaTC or BODIPY-verapamil for 24 h at 37°C. The medium was centrifuged to remove cellular debris, and lipids in the medium were extracted as described previously (11, 36). The lipid extracts were dissolved in 1% Triton X-100, and the amounts of PC, PE, SM, and Chol were quantified by enzymatic fluorometric assays (32–34). DMEM containing 0.02% BSA contained no detectable amount of PC, PE, SM, or Chol. The cells were dissolved in 1% Triton X-100 and sonicated, and the cell protein concentration was measured using a BCA protein assay kit.

Cellular accumulation assay

Cells were subcultured in poly-α-Lys-coated 12-well plates at a density of 4.0 × 10⁵ cells in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. After incubation for 48 h, the cells were washed with HEPES buffer (137 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 0.6 mM MgCl₂, 1.1 mM CaCl₂, 6.1 mM D-glucose, and 10 mM HEPES; pH 7.4) and incubated with HEPES buffer containing BODIPY-verapamil, rhodamine 123, or rhodamine 6G for 2 h at 37°C. The cells were chilled on ice, washed twice with cold HEPES buffer, dissolved in 1% Triton X-100 and sonicated. The fluorescence intensities of BODIPY-verapamil (excitation 485 nm, emission 538 nm), rhodamine 123 (excitation 485 nm, emission 538 nm), and rhodamine 6G (excitation 544 nm, emission 590 nm) were measured using a fluorescence microplate reader (Fluoroskan Ascent FL, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the concentration of cellular protein was measured using a BCA protein assay kit.

Statistical analysis

The statistical significance of differences between mean values was analyzed using the nonpaired t-test. Multiple comparisons were performed using the Bonferroni test following ANOVA. Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05. Unless indicated otherwise, results are given as the mean ± SE (n = 3).

RESULTS

Distribution of ABCB4 between raft and nonraft membranes

We questioned whether mouse Abcb4 is associated with the lipid raft domains of canalicular liver plasma membranes. Mouse Abcb4 has an amino acid sequence with 85% and 83% similarity to those of mouse Abcb1a and Abcb1b, respectively. The monoclonal antibody C219 recognizes human ABCB1, human ABCB4, mouse Abcb1a, mouse Abcb1b, and mouse Abcb4 (37). Although the monoclonal antibody P3-II-26 has been reported to react with human ABCB4 but not human ABCB1 (38), the reactivity of P3-II-26 against mouse Abcb1a, Abcb1b, or Abcb4 has not been determined. First, we tested the specificity of the antibody P3-II-26 by Western blotting using mouse Abcb1a membranes, mouse Abcb1b membranes, and mouse Abcb4 recombinant fragment (amino acids 352–708). The results depicted in Fig. 1A show that C219 cross-reacted with mouse Abcb1a, Abcb1b, and Abcb4 as expected, and that P3-II-26 specifically detected mouse Abcb4.
whole membrane proteins were 1.07 and 1.03 in HEK/ABCB1 and HEK/ABCB4 cells, respectively. Flotillin-1 was exclusively recovered from TXI membranes. In contrast, the majority of transferrin receptors were present in the TXS membrane fractions.

Concerns have been raised that the extraction of cells with detergent may yield clusters of raft lipids and proteins that do not exist in intact cells (35). To avoid artifacts caused by the use of detergents, we also used the OptiPrep gradient method for the isolation of detergent-free lipid rafts. In both HEK/ABCB1 and HEK/ABCB4 cells, a significant portion of the raft marker flotillin-1 was found in the lightest fractions of the gradient, although flotillin-1 was broadly distributed in the gradient (Fig. 2C), in agreement with previous results (35). The nonraft marker, the transferrin receptor was recovered primarily in fractions 4–8, indicating that fractions 1–3 corresponded to the raft domains. ABCB4 was found mainly in fractions 3–9, which was denser than ABCB1 found in fractions 1–7. The distribution ratios of raft/nonraft for ABCB1 and ABCB4 were 0.624 and 0.158, respectively. Taken together, these results suggest that ABCB1 and ABCB4 are preferentially distributed in the nonraft membranes irrespective of the use of detergents.

Effects of ABCB4 expression on lipid compositions of raft and nonraft membranes

We hypothesized that ABCB4 expression affects the lipid compositions of raft and nonraft membranes. To test
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Contents in the TXI fractions of HEK293, HEK/ABCB1, and HEK/ABCB4 cells. Interestingly, HEK/ABCB4 cells also exhibited higher PE content in the TXS fraction, but not in the TXI fraction, than HEK293 and HEK/ABCB1 cells (Fig. 3B). The expression of ABCB4 resulted in increased SM content in both TXS and TXI fractions (Fig. 3C). Although the content of Chol in the TXS fractions was similar between the three cell lines, the TXI fraction of HEK/ABCB4 cells contained more Chol than those of HEK293 and HEK/ABCB1 cells (Fig. 3D). Unlike ABCB4, ABCB1 expression induced no significant changes in the lipid contents of both membrane fractions. The ratios of PE/PC, SM/PC, and Chol/PC were determined as indices of the concentrations of these lipids in the TXS and TXI membrane fractions because PC is the most abundant lipid in these fractions. In the TXS fractions, the ratios of PE/PC and SM/PC, but not Chol/PC, were significantly elevated by the expression of ABCB4 (Fig. 3E–G). The ratios of SM/PC and Chol/PC, but not PE/PC, in the TXI fractions were markedly higher in HEK/ABCB4 cells than in HEK293 and HEK/ABCB1 cells, whereas ABCB1 expression led to a decrease in the PE/PC ratio in the TXI fractions. We also assessed the Chol/SM ratio in both membrane fractions. The Chol/SM ratio in the TXS fraction was significantly reduced in HEK/ABCB4 cells, but increased in HEK/ABCB1 cells (Fig. 3H). However, the Chol/SM ratio in the TXI fraction was not altered by the expression of ABCB1 or ABCB4.

Moreover, the partition coefficients of PC, PE, SM, and Chol between TXS and TXI fractions of HEK293, HEK/ABCB1, and HEK/ABCB4 cells were cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS at 37°C. As shown in Fig. 3A, the PC content in the TXS fraction of HEK/ABCB4 cells was significantly higher than that in the TXS fraction of HEK293 or HEK/ABCB1 cells. On the other hand, there was no significant difference in the PC contents in the TXI fractions of HEK293, HEK/ABCB1, and HEK/ABCB4 cells. Interestingly, HEK/ABCB4 cells also exhibited higher PE content in the TXS fraction, but not in the TXI fraction, than HEK293 and HEK/ABCB1 cells (Fig. 3B). The expression of ABCB4 resulted in increased SM content in both TXS and TXI fractions (Fig. 3C). Although the content of Chol in the TXS fractions was similar between the three cell lines, the TXI fraction of HEK/ABCB4 cells contained more Chol than those of HEK293 and HEK/ABCB1 cells (Fig. 3D). Unlike ABCB4, ABCB1 expression induced no significant changes in the lipid contents of both membrane fractions. The ratios of PE/PC, SM/PC, and Chol/PC were determined as indices of the concentrations of these lipids in the TXS and TXI membrane fractions because PC is the most abundant lipid in these fractions. In the TXS fractions, the ratios of PE/PC and SM/PC, but not Chol/PC, were significantly elevated by the expression of ABCB4 (Fig. 3E–G). The ratios of SM/PC and Chol/PC, but not PE/PC, in the TXI fractions were markedly higher in HEK/ABCB4 cells than in HEK293 and HEK/ABCB1 cells, whereas ABCB1 expression led to a decrease in the PE/PC ratio in the TXI fractions. We also assessed the Chol/SM ratio in both membrane fractions. The Chol/SM ratio in the TXS fraction was significantly reduced in HEK/ABCB4 cells, but increased in HEK/ABCB1 cells (Fig. 3H). However, the Chol/SM ratio in the TXI fraction was not altered by the expression of ABCB1 or ABCB4.

Moreover, the partition coefficients of PC, PE, SM, and Chol between the TXS and TXI membranes were compared in HEK293, HEK/ABCB1, and HEK/ABCB4 cells.
The expression of ABCB4 did not affect the TXI/TXS ratio for PC (Fig. 4A), but resulted in a decreased TXI/TXS ratio for PE (Fig. 4B). Although the TXI/TXS ratio for SM was not altered by ABCB4 expression (Fig. 4C), the TXI/TXS ratio for Chol was markedly higher in HEK/ABCB4 cells than in HEK293 cells (Fig. 4D). On the other hand, ABCB1 expression had no significant effect on the TXI/TXS ratios for PC, PE, SM, and Chol. Collectively, these results confirmed that ABCB4 expression leads to alterations in the contents and distributions of membrane lipids between raft and nonraft regions.

**Effect of BODIPY-verapamil on ABCB4-mediated phospholipid efflux stimulated by NaTC**

It has been previously shown that the addition of NaTC remarkably increases the efflux of choline-containing phospholipids from HEK293 cells expressing ABCB4 (11). We also recently developed enzyme-based fluorometric methods to quantify PC, PE, and SM, which are simple, rapid, sensitive, and high throughput (33, 34). Next, we analyzed the phospholipids secreted from HEK/ABCB4 cells in the presence of NaTC, using new enzymatic fluorometric assays. Strikingly, the PC efflux from HEK/ABCB4 cells was enhanced by NaTC (Fig. 5A). The addition of NaTC also significantly increased the efflux of PE and SM from HEK/ABCB4 cells (Fig. 5B, C), although the enhancement in PE or SM efflux by NaTC was less marked than that of PC efflux (Fig. 5D). The PE/PC and SM/PC ratios for NaTC-stimulated efflux from HEK/ABCB4 cells were 0.287 ± 0.042 and 0.053 ± 0.014, respectively. In contrast, the efflux of PC, PE, and SM from HEK293 or HEK/ABCB1 cells was not influenced by NaTC.

BODIPY-verapamil has been shown to be a transport substrate for ABCB1 (45). We tested the effect of BODIPY-verapamil on the ABCB4-mediated efflux of PC, PE, and SM stimulated by NaTC. The efflux of PC, PE, and SM from HEK/ABCB4 cells was decreased with increasing concentrations of BODIPY-verapamil in the presence of 0.5 mM NaTC (Fig. 6). The addition of 40 μM BODIPY-verapamil completely inhibited the efflux of PC. Subsequently, we investigated the partitioning of BODIPY-verapamil between the TXS and TXI fractions of HEK293 cells. The ratio of TXI/TXS for BODIPY-verapamil was very low (0.021 ± 0.003), indicating that BODIPY-verapamil partitions almost exclusively into the TXS membranes. Therefore, ABCB4 localized in nonraft membranes may play major roles in the efflux of phospholipids.

**Efflux of nonphospholipid substrates mediated by ABCB4**

We next examined the relationships between lipid rafts and the efflux of nonphospholipid compounds, BODIPY-verapamil, rhodamine 123, and rhodamine 6G, which are known substrates for ABCB1 (45–47). The TXI/TXS ratios for rhodamine 123 and rhodamine 6G were 0.019 ± 0.001 and 0.018 ± 0.0004, respectively, indicating that these two compounds, in addition to BODIPY-verapamil, hardly partition into the raft membranes. Drug accumulation assays are the standard methods for evaluating the export activity of ABCB1, and a reduction in the intracellular accumulation of the drug corresponds to increased export activity (43, 45, 47, 48). As expected, the accumulation of...
we investigated whether or not the ABCB4-mediated efflux of nonphospholipid substrates is further facilitated by NaTC. As shown in Fig. 8A–C, the addition of NaTC caused no changes in the accumulation of BODIPY-verapamil, rhodamine 123, and rhodamine 6G in HEK293, HEK/ABCB1, and HEK/ABCB4 cells, suggesting that NaTC has no effect on the efflux of the three compounds mediated by ABCB1 or ABCB4, or on their passive diffusion into HEK293 cells.

**DISCUSSION**

Although intrinsic membrane proteins are largely excluded from lipid rafts, a variety of proteins are selectively

---

Fig. 7. Cellular accumulation of BODIPY-verapamil, rhodamine 123, and rhodamine 6G. HEK293 (open circles), HEK/ABCB1 (filled circles), and HEK/ABCB4 (filled triangles) cells were incubated with HEPES buffer containing the indicated concentrations of BODIPY-verapamil (A), rhodamine 123 (B), or rhodamine 6G (C) for 2 h at 37°C. Each point represents the mean ± S.E. of three measurements. *P < 0.05, significant difference between HEK293 and HEK/ABCB1 cells. ‡P < 0.05, significant difference between HEK293 and HEK/ABCB4 cells. §P < 0.05, significant difference between HEK/ABCB1 and HEK/ABCB4 cells.

Fig. 8. Effects of NaTC on cellular accumulation of BODIPY-verapamil, rhodamine 123, and rhodamine 6G. HEK293 (A), HEK/ABCB1 (B), and HEK/ABCB4 (C) cells were incubated with HEPES buffer containing 20 μM BODIPY-verapamil, 20 μM rhodamine 123, or 20 μM rhodamine 6G in the absence (control; open bars) or presence of 0.5 mM NaTC (filled bars) for 2 h at 37°C. Each bar represents the mean ± S.E. of three measurements. The absence of an error bar signifies an S.E. value smaller than the graphic symbol.
membranes (Fig. 7A–C). Most of the ABCB1 substrates are which were partitioned almost exclusively into the nonraft BODIPY-verapamil, rhodamine 123, and rhodamine 6G, efficiently than ABCB1 in raft membranes.

It is conceivable that the ABCB1 substrates have been previously reported to partition into raft fractions. Thus, it is conceivable that ABCB1 in nonraft membranes exports the substrates more efficiently than ABCB1 in raft membranes.

We demonstrated that a large proportion of ABCB4 was distributed in the nonraft membranes of HEK293 cells (Fig. 2B, C). The expression of ABCB4 resulted in an increase in the PC content of nonraft fractions, but not of raft fractions (Fig. 5A). It is likely that PC molecules are recruited to nonraft membranes by the fl oprase activity of ABCB4 in the absence of bile salts. ABCB4 expression was also accompanied by increases in the contents of PE and SM in the nonraft membranes (Fig. 3B, C), and conversely, the ratios of PE/PC and SM/PC were elevated in the nonrafts by ABCB4 expression (Fig. 3E, F), implying that ABCB4 may not induce the formation of PC-enriched membranes. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that the local concentration of PC may become higher at the outer leaflet around ABCB4 protein molecules. Both SM and Chol contents in the TXI raft membranes were elevated by ABCB4 expression (Fig. 3C, D), but interestingly, the Chol/SM ratios were constant in the rafts (Fig. 3H), suggesting that the Chol/SM ratio is an important factor for the formation of membrane rafts. Consequently, ABCB4 expression may bring about further enrichment of SM and Chol in raft regions. In contrast, the Chol/PC ratios were maintained in the TXS nonraft membranes (Fig. 3G). However, at present, it is largely undetermined how ABCB4 expression leads to alterations in the membrane organization of raft and non-raft regions in the absence of bile salts.

The hepatocyte plasma membrane is functionally divided into the canalicular region adjacent to the lumen of the bile canaliculus and the basolateral region in close contact with sinusoidal blood. We also showed that mouse Abcb4 was mostly localized in the nonraft domains of the hepatocyte canalicular membranes (Fig. 1C). The contents of SM and Chol are higher in the canalicular membranes than in the sinusoidal membranes, which lead to decreased fluidity and increased resistance against the detergent effects of bile salts (52). Although SM-containing vesicles without Chol are very sensitive to micellar solubilization upon NaTC addition, the incorporation of Chol rendered SM-containing vesicles highly resistant to the detergent effects of NaTC (53). Elevations in the contents of SM and Chol in raft domains by ABCB4 expression (Fig. 3C, D) may help to protect the canalicular membranes from the micellizing effects of bile salts.

The predominant (90–95%) biliary phospholipid is PC, while SM is only present in trace amounts (54). In this study, NaTC promoted the ABCB4-mediated efflux of PC, PE, and SM (Fig. 5A–D). ABCB4 preferentially mediated the efflux of PC over that of SM, which agrees with previous results from mass spectrometry analysis of the phospholipids secreted from HEK293 cells expressing ABCB4 (11). The ABCB4-mediated efflux of PC, PE, and SM stimulated by NaTC were completely abolished by BODIPY-verapamil, which hardly partitioned into the TXI raft membranes (Fig. 6), although a small proportion of ABCB4 existed in the TXI raft membranes (Fig. 2B, C). These findings reveal that ABCB4-mediated phospholipid efflux occurs mostly in the nonraft membranes. The PE/PC ratio for NaTC-stimulated efflux (0.287 ± 0.042) was lower than the PE/PC ratio in the TXS nonraft membranes of HEK/ABCB4 cells (0.388 ± 0.018) (Fig. 3E), although PE is localized mainly on the inner leaflet of plasma membrane (55), suggesting that the efflux activity of ABCB4 is more specific for PC than for PE. Furthermore, NaTC induced only a slight increase in the efflux of SM from HEK/ABCB4 cells (Fig. 5C, D), which may be caused by the distribution of SM predominantly on the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane and/or the low specificity of ABCB4 for SM. ABCB4-mediated release from epithelial cells into the apical albumin-containing medium has been shown to be selective for fluorescent-labeled PC, but not for fluorescent-labeled PE or fluorescent-labeled SM (14). However, we cannot exclude the possibility that NaTC extracts PC preferentially over PE and SM. Our results also indicated that the efflux of rhodamine 123 and rhodamine 6G were mediated by ABCB4 in nonrafs (Fig. 7B, C), and that BODIPY-verapamil is not a transport substrate of ABCB4, but an inhibitor of ABCB4-mediated phospholipid efflux (Figs. 6, 7A). Nonphospholipid compounds may compete with PC for binding to ABCB4. Interestingly, unlike the phospholipid efflux, NaTC did not stimulate the ABCB4-mediated efflux of nonphospholipid substrates (Fig. 8C). We attribute this to the sufficient solubility of the substrates in the aqueous medium. Because the critical micelle concentration of NaTC is 2.5 mM in the medium (11), NaTC monomers (0.5 mM) can solubilize phospholipids in the aqueous medium. The ABCB4-mediated phospholipid efflux stimulated by NaTC is greater than that stimulated by the more hydrophobic bile salt, sodium cholate (11). It is noteworthy that apolipoprotein A-I or HDL cannot enhance ABCB4-mediated phospholipid efflux, despite their ability to accept phospholipids from ABCA1-expressing cells (56, 57), whereas NaTC also stimulates the phospholipid efflux mediated by ABCA1 (57). Crawford et al. (15) have observed abundant unilamellar vesicles in the bile canaliculi of Abcb4 (+/+ ) mice but not Abcb4 (−/−) mice, and proposed a model in which biliary phospholipids are secreted as vesicles. In this model, vesiculation may be induced by
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ABCB4-mediated translocation of PC to the outer leaflet of the canalicular membrane and by destabilization of the membrane by luminal bile salts interacting preferentially with the chol- and SM-poor fluid membrane microdomains composed of PC. Our results do not contradict this model.

In conclusion, we showed that ABCB4 was localized mainly to the nonraft membranes. We also demonstrated that the ABCB4-mediated efflux of PC was much greater than that of PE or SM in the presence of NaTC, and that this phospholipid efflux was completely inhibited by BODIPY-verapamil, which hardly partitions into the raft membranes, suggesting that the NaTC-stimulated phospholipid efflux is mediated exclusively by ABCB4 located in the nonraft membranes. Our findings may provide clues to understanding the cellular and molecular processes involved in the lipid efflux mediated by ABCB4 or other ABC transporters.
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