Subcutaneous Toxicity of Agmatine in Rats

Öz

Amaç: Bu çalışmanın amacı sıçanlarda ilk kez tekrarlayan agmatin uygulamasının sensorimotor kapılama sistemi üzerine etkilerini incelemekti, ancak subkütan uygulamada karşımıza çıkan beklenmedik ülseratif nekrotik lezyonlar bu konuya odaklanmamıza neden oldu.

Gereç ve Yöntemler: İlk grup deneylerde, erkek Wistar albino sıçanlara 14 gün süreyle subkütan yoldan agmatin (40, 80 ve 160 mg/kg) veya salin (kontrol grubu) enjeksiyonu yapıldı (n=8 her bir grup). Uygulamaların üçüncü gününden itibaren agmatin verilen gruplarda ülseratif nekrotik deri lezyonları gözlandı. Bu etkilerin dermal bir reaksiyona bağlı olup olmadığını anlamak için ayrı bir denek grubuna aynı protokolle agmatin (80 mg/kg) intraperitoneal yoldan verildi (n=8 her bir grup).

Bulgular: Bulgularımız subkütan yoldan verilen agmatinin geç dönemde ortaya çıkan dermal reaksiyonu neden olurken, intraperitoneal uygulamanın böyle bir etki oluşturmadığını gösterdi. Lezyonlar subkütan grupların içinde nekrotik ve aseptik nekroz saptanırken, bu deneklerin kan testleri normal bulundu.

Sonuç: Bu bulgu sıçanlarda uzun süreli subkütan agmatin uygulamasının deri reaksiyonu neden olabilmesi riski olduğunu göstermektedir. Bu bulgu insanlarda oral yoldan reçete olarak alınabilen agmatinin bazı potansiyel riskleri olabileceği konusunda sorular ortaya çıkmaktadır.

Anahtar kelimeler: Agmatin, deri reaksiyonu, subkütan yol, toksisite, sıçan

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of repetitive agmatine administration on sensorimotor gating in rats first but, as unexpected, ulcerative necrotic cutaneous lesions appeared, thus, the study was directed primarily to clarify these results.

Materials and Methods: In the first set of experiments, we administered agmatine (40, 80 and 160 mg/kg) and saline (control group) subcutaneously to male Wistar albino rats (n=8 for each group) for 14 consecutive days. Ulcerative necrotic cutaneous lesions appeared following the third day of agmatine administration. We decided to explore the potential toxic dermal effects of agmatine and conducted second set of experiments with two groups (n=8) to compare the effects of subcutaneous vs. intraperitoneal agmatine (80 mg/kg) injection to understand if the injection route determines the toxicity.

Results: Our results showed that prolonged subcutaneous but not intraperitoneal administration of agmatine leads to a delayed dermal reaction in rats. Histopathologic examination of skin samples revealed cutaneous aseptic necrosis at the injection site whereas blood tests were found to be normal.

Conclusion: This finding is important to point out the risks of prolonged subcutaneous administration of agmatine to rats within the concept of animal welfare. In addition, the results raise questions about the possible risks of over-the-counter use of agmatine among humans although the agent is taken via oral route.
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INTRODUCTION

Agmatine is a polyamine that is produced through decarboxylation of L-arginine by the enzyme arginine decarboxylase. It interacts with various receptors and has been accepted as a new neurotransmitter in brain. In experimental studies, agmatine exhibited neuroprotective, anticonvulsant, antinociceptive, anxiolytic and antidepressant-like actions in central nervous system (CNS). It also generates some favorable effects on cerebral damages and withdrawal syndromes involved in addictive drugs.\(^1\(^-\)\(^6\)\)

Agmatine binds \(\alpha_2\)-adrenoceptors and imidazoline receptors and blocks ligand-gated cation channels like cholinergic, nicotinic, serotonergic and NMDA receptors.\(^6\) One of the important psychiatric disorders is schizophrenia. Schizophrenia is a severe mental disorder regarding impairment of sensorimotor gating system.\(^7\) In some studies, a single dose of 160 mg/kg agmatine by ip route was found to disrupt the prepulse inhibition (PPI) of acoustic startle reflex in rats.\(^8\) Disruption of PPI is related to sensorimotor gating deficits. In addition, plasma levels of agmatine in patients with schizophrenia were found to be almost three-fold elevated compared to healthy subjects.\(^9\) Because PPI is an available tool for sensorimotor gating deficits, agmatine may have some toxic effects on sensorimotor system which is not proven yet.

Although various studies on experimental animals indicated that agmatine has some beneficial pharmacological effects\(^5\(^-\)\(^6\)\) several polyamines such as putresin, spermine and spermidine which are also metabolites of agmatine elicit some toxic effects in both animals and human.\(^15\(^-\)\(^16\)\) It is well-known that fermented foods contain high levels of polyamines such as cheese, fermented fish and meat, wine, beer, and fermented vegetables and some non-fermented foods such as fish, meat, fruits, juices, and vegetables have a considerable amount of polyamines.\(^27\) Some bioamines are also considered as an indicator of microbial contamination in foods.\(^16\) Agmatine which is produced especially during the fermentation of alcohol (wine and beer in particular) is known to increase the histamine toxicity in humans.\(^18\(^-\)\(^20\)\)

Although polyamines may have possible toxic effects, some polyamines such as agmatine is used as over the counter medications or dietary supplement products. Agmatine has been used as a dietary supplement for promoting the functions of both the peripheral and CNS.\(^21\(^-\)\(^22\)\) There are several agmatine containing commercial products that are marketed as nutraceuticals.

In our laboratory, investigating the effects of repetitive (14 days) administration of different doses of agmatine on sensorimotor gating were decided in rats. After we started the test protocol with 160 mg/kg/day alternating intraperitoneal/subcutaneous injections of agmatine, we noticed severe skin lesions of rats after subcutaneous injections. These lesions appeared in all subjects at the second or third day of drug administration. The same lesions were also seen in other agmatine groups but not in the subjects of saline treated control group. In this context, we organized a second set of experiments to investigate this skin reaction in detail. Because this statement may have important public health relevance, the main goal of the present study if agmatine administration by subcutaneous route has some unpredictable harmful effects in rats. Here we report the injection site reaction (ISR) after subcutaneous administration of agmatine in rats and discuss the possible toxic effects of agmatine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and laboratory conditions

In this study, adult male Wistar albino rats were used as the test animals. The animals were obtained from Üsküdar University Experimental Research Unit (ÜSKÜDAB, İstanbul, Turkey). All experiments were performed in Neuropsychopharmacology Application and Research Center of Üsküdar University. Animals were kept under controlled conditions (temperature, 22±2°C; humidity, 50±5%; and a 12 h light/dark cycle, lights on from 07:00 to 19:00 h). The animals had free access to tap water and standardized pellet food throughout the procedures. All experiments were performed at the same time of day and during the light period. All the experiments were conducted according to the ethical rules in Helsinki Declaration and Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals as adopted by the National Institutes of Health, USA, which was published and released in 1996. In addition, this study was approved by the Local Ethic Committee of the Uskudar University on 20.10.2015 with a decision number 2015-07. The ethical permissions included in additional tests.

Study protocol

The test animals assigned into several groups randomly (n=8 for each group). The study had two sets of experiments that began with four groups (Table 1). Agmatine sulfate (Sigma Chemicals Co, USA) was dissolved in 1 mL saline and administered 160, 80 and 40 mg/kg/day to animals for 14 consecutive days in two times a day. In order to prevent the risk of peritonitis, the drug was injected intraperitoneally in the morning and subcutaneously in the evening. Saline was injected as a vehicle two times a day to the control group. At the third day of agmatine treatments, skin lesions were appeared almost in all animals. After 14 days of agmatine administration, all animals were decapitated under anesthesia (xylazine plus ketamine) and both blood samples and skin biopsies were collected. Complete blood count, renal and liver function tests were performed to assess metabolic function. The skin biopsies were evaluated by an experienced pathologist.

After the experiments were terminated, second set experiments were performed with two new groups of animals (n=8 for each group) to determine whether the lesions were related to the route of administration of agmatine. Agmatine 80 mg/kg/day was given intraperitoneally to one group and subcutaneously to the second group for one week. Post-mortem examination of the abdominal cavity and organs following the termination of experiments revealed any obvious abnormalities. Saline
injections were done by the same routes of agmatine in all experiments.

RESULTS

Skin lesions
At the second or third day after the initiation of injections, most of the dorsal skin of the animals of agmatine 160 mg/kg/day group was observed to turn black without any hair loss. One or two days after this observation, annular hemorrhagic ulcerative lesions appeared on the dorsal skin with prominent hair loss over it. The lesion sites were limited to injections sites and around. All animals had one or more lesions with diameter of 1.5 cm to 2.5 cm (Table 1). During the 14 days period, old lesions healed with crust formation resulting hair loss over it but new lesions continue to emerge with ongoing drug administration. Only 6 animals in the agmatine 80 mg/kg/day group showed similar lesions with diameter of 1 cm to 2 cm (Table 1). On the other hand, similar lesions within the same range of diameters were observed in the 5 animals of the agmatine 40 mg/kg/day group (Table 1). There were no skin lesions in the saline treated group. The general health of all animals was good and vital signs were between normal ranges.

In the second set of experiments, no skin lesions were observed in the animals of intraperitoneal agmatine 80 mg/kg/day treated group. On the other hand, all animals of the subcutaneous agmatine 80 mg/kg/day treated group developed the similar skin lesions with a diameter of 1.5-2.5 cm on the second day which continue to progress and the number of the lesions were between one and three (Table 1). A skin lesion at the fifth day of agmatine administration is shown in Figure 1. These experiments revealed that subcutaneous administration of agmatine may have toxic effects on rats.

Blood tests
There were no prominent differences between control and agmatine groups in terms of complete blood count, C-reactive protein, renal and liver function tests (for liver and kidney function test results see Table 2). Blood tests were not performed during second set of experiments.

Biopsies
In half of the cases, there were changes secondary to bacterial infection (purulent exudate collection, bacteria cluster, prominent dermal edema and neutrophil infiltration). These changes were also present in subcutaneous tissue. Non-complicated cases showed subcutaneous vascular thrombosis, epidermal and dermal necrosis, hyalinization in the dermal collagen, mild perivascular lymphocyte infiltration, and basal epidermal separation in the epithelia that located around the ulcer (Figure 2). The histopathologic examination of lesions was compatible with injection site cutaneous aseptic necrosis.

![Figure 1. A lesion at the back skin of a subject in group 5](image)

| Experiments | Groups n=8 | Agent | Total Dose | Total given volume* | Route | Duration (days) | Animals with skin reactions (n) | Number of skin lesions (n) | Lesion size (cm) | Blood tests | Biopsies |
|-------------|------------|-------|------------|---------------------|-------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------|---------|
| First set of experiments | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Agmatine | 160 mg/kg/day | 1 mL/day | Alternate i.p./s.c.** | 14 | 8 | 1 or 2 | 1.5-2.5 | + | + |
| 2 | Agmatine | 80 mg/kg/day | 1 mL/day | Alternate i.p./s.c. | 14 | 6 | 1 or 2 | 1-2 | + | + |
| 3 | Agmatine | 40 mg/kg/day | 1 mL/day | Alternate i.p./s.c. | 14 | 5 | 1 | 1-2 | + | + |
| 4 | Saline | - | 1 mL/day | Alternate i.p./s.c. | 14 | - | - | - | + | - |
| Second set of experiments | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Agmatine | 80 mg/kg/day | 1 mL/day | s.c. | 7 | 8 | 1 or 3 | 1.5-2.5 | - | - |
| 6 | Agmatine | 80 mg/kg/day | 1 mL/day | i.p. | 7 | - | - | - | - | - |

*Agmatine was dissolved in saline and given as 0.5 mL solution to subjects two times a day.
**ip.: Intraperitoneal; s.c.: Subcutaneous
Table 2. Liver and kidney function test results of rats

| Group | Rat | AST U/L | ALT U/L | BUN mg/dL | Creatinine mg/dL |
|-------|-----|---------|---------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1     | 1   | 156.6   | 69.3    | 11.8      | 0.2             |
|       | 2   | 180.1   | 61.5    | 13.6      | 0.2             |
| Agmatine 160 mg/kg/day | 3   | 236.5   | 83.9    | 13.3      | 0.2             |
|       | 4   | 181.5   | 61.8    | 13.4      | 0.2             |
|       | 5   | 156.6   | 67.9    | 12.1      | 0.2             |
|       | 6   | 146.0   | 47.7    | 12.0      | 0.2             |
|       | 7   | 211.7   | 124.9   | 14.2      | 0.2             |
|       | 8   | 239.5   | 82.6    | 12.1      | 0.2             |
| 2     | 1   | 118.3   | 79.8    | 19.1      | 0.2             |
|       | 2   | 134.6   | 81.5    | 16.8      | 0.2             |
| Agmatine 80 mg/kg/day | 3   | 142.2   | 97.8    | 20.0      | 0.3             |
|       | 4   | 114.6   | 89.9    | 17.2      | 0.2             |
|       | 5   | 116.5   | 87.1    | 16.2      | 0.2             |
|       | 6   | 130.8   | 81.6    | 16.4      | 0.2             |
|       | 7   | 111.5   | 69.5    | 16.8      | 0.2             |
|       | 8   | 111.7   | 92.3    | 18.5      | 0.3             |
| 3     | 1   | 109.3   | 68.1    | 19.4      | 0.3             |
|       | 2   | 141.0   | 75.0    | 20.8      | 0.3             |
| Agmatine 40 mg/kg/day | 3   | 108.6   | 76.1    | 17.8      | 0.2             |
|       | 4   | 113.7   | 81.7    | 17.0      | 0.3             |
|       | 5   | 108.0   | 75.6    | 22.1      | 0.3             |
|       | 6   | 129.7   | 71.6    | 19.8      | 0.2             |
|       | 7   | 131.2   | 98.9    | 23.1      | 0.2             |
|       | 8   | 131.4   | 82.4    | 19.6      | 0.3             |
| 4     | 1   | 241.6   | 74.3    | 18.8      | 0.5             |
|       | 2   | 226.8   | 60.4    | 14.9      | 0.2             |
| Saline | 3   | 142.7   | 60.0    | 14.7      | 0.2             |
|       | 4   | 321.3   | 96.7    | 18.9      | 0.3             |
|       | 5   | 187.3   | 68.5    | 19.6      | 0.5             |
|       | 6   | 142.0   | 69.5    | 16.2      | 0.3             |
|       | 7   | 178.7   | 64.4    | 14.8      | 0.2             |
|       | 8   | 219.9   | 62.7    | 14.8      | 0.3             |

**DISCUSSION**

Our results clearly showed that subcutaneous agmatine administration to rats caused a serious skin reaction with prominent lesions. This is the first observation reported in literature that demonstrates the toxic effects of repetitive agmatine administration to rats. This unexpected and harmful effect of agmatine seems to be related to the route of administration due to the lack of similar observation by intraperitoneal route. In addition, we detected multiple lesions with cutaneous and subcutaneous changes by histopathological methods, thus this unexpected effect seems to be a regular ISR.

Polyamines are positively charged molecules that are prevalent among living organisms and derived from ornithine, methionine, arginine and lysine. They bind to several negatively charged molecules in cells to conduct vital processes. They have various roles in cell function as cell growth and proliferation, regulation of ion channels, protein and nucleic acid synthesis, regulation of gene expression, protection of cell components and anti-oxidative effects. On the other hand, polyamine levels are under critical control to prevent its potential toxic effects. It is indicated that beside direct effects of excess polyamine levels, some reactive aldehydes and reactive oxygen species that are produced with the catabolism of polyamines may also lead to toxicity. In a tissue culture study, it was shown that accumulation of polyamines may result in an apoptotic process where hydrogen peroxide is overproduced. The neurotoxicity, nephrotoxicity, hepatotoxicity, inflammatory effects can occur because of polyamine oxidation.

Agmatine, a polyamine, is a widely-used workout supplement especially among body builders. The use of agmatine as an ergogenic aid is based on its nitric oxide modulating, cardio protective and hypoglycemic effects. Beside this, it was reported that agmatine has potentiating effect on morphine-induced analgesia in mice. Therefore, analgesic features of agmatine which encourage people to push the sports limits made this polyamine very popular among athletes. The agmatine sulfate powders or pills generally contain 250-750 mg agmatine sulfate per serving where daily intake can be up to 7500 mg/day. There are limited studies or case reports which stated that long-term agmatine use is safe in humans. In one case, a daily dose of 2.67 g oral agmatine sulfate for 5 years was reported to be safe without evidence of any adverse effects. The authors indicated that the periodic physical examinations and laboratory analyses revealed no adverse reactions. In another randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical study including patients with lumbar disc-associated radiculopathy, the same dose of oral agmatine (2.67 g) were given for 14 days. In this study, only three patients had mild-to-moderate diarrhea and nausea as adverse effects. On the other hand, limited animal studies also introduced evidence about the safety of agmatine. In an animal study, oral agmatine sulfate administration with a daily dose of 100 mg/kg/day of adult Wistar albino rats for 95 days was reported to be also safe. In other two studies performed on Sprague-Dawley rats agmatine sulfate were administrated at 40 mg/kg dose intraperitoneally as once daily injections for 42 and 17 consecutive days. No
skin lesions were reported. From the available evidence, it appears that oral and intraperitoneal administration of agmatine does not lead to a major toxicity in rodents and human beings. On the contrary, we observed severe skin lesions with agmatine administration in rats. Adverse cutaneous drug reactions are skin and/or mucosa reactions with various morphologies which are triggered with systemic administration of drugs in appropriate doses. These reactions may be classified as non-immunologic and immunologic drug reactions. Non-immunologic drug reactions have not immunologic processes and sensitization is not required for this kind of reactions. However immunologic drug reactions have type 1, type 2, type 3 or type 4 immunologic processes in sensitive individuals.

The adverse drug reactions triggered with subcutaneous administration of drugs are called ISRs. These may include mild reactions like erythema and induration or may progress to ulcerations as in our study. The possible immune reaction that underlies the ISR is type IV (delayed) hypersensitivity which is mediated by antigen-specific effector T cells and becomes apparent 48-72 h after antigen exposure. Although immuno-histochemical analysis was not performed, delayed onset of lesions (48-72 hours after administration of agmatine) and histopathologic results suggest that this process could be associated with type IV hypersensitivity reaction.

Delayed hypersensitivity reactions of skin are often induced by dermal or intradermal exposure to small lipophilic chemicals (haptens) with a molecular weight less than 500 Daltons. Haptens are molecules that can not elicit an immune response due to their small molecular weight often less than 1 kDa and need to bind to a protein to become antigenic. Probably agmatine sulfate served as a hapten with its small molecular weight (228 Da) and lipophilic structure and bind to the dermal proteins to form neoantigens. Although the sensitizing potential of a hapten can not be reliably determined by its chemical structure, it was shown that most haptens react with amine (NH2) or thiol (SH) groups of skin proteins. Another possible mechanism can be the metabolization of the potential haptens to reactive metabolites by cutaneous enzymes, however agmatine metabolizing enzymes are absent in cutaneous tissue. Also, one can point out the potential toxic effect of sulfate component of agmatine, but there are several well-known drugs that are used safely via subcutaneous injection such as morphine sulfate and heparin sulfate in humans. To our knowledge, the repeated subcutaneous administration of polyethylene-glycol modified (pegylated) interferon-α-2b, interferon beta-1b, and enoxaparine sodium. Nevertheless, the overall rate of skin ulcers among ISRs is relatively rare. On the other hand, agmatine administration elicited severe lesions in a dose-dependent manner in most of the animals in our study, which may be specific to rats for sure. The lack of immuno-histochemical evaluation of skin samples is the major limitation of this study. Even so, this study is important because although agmatine is reported to be safe in mammals, we showed its potential toxic effects in rats when administrated subcutaneously.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our results suggest that subcutaneous injection of agmatine cause harmful skin reaction in rats. As subcutaneous administration is one of the commonly used delivery routes among animals, researchers should be cautious with this route while using agmatine. Further research is needed to reveal the underlying mechanism of agmatine related cutaneous/subcutaneous toxicity via using immunohistochemical methods. Although this study doesn’t provide any data related to the potential toxicity of agmatine among humans and agmatine is commonly used via oral route, we suggest that health professional need to be attentive to possible uncommon routes of administration of agmatine.
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