The Effects of Scapular Alignment Exercise and Nerve Mobilization on Pain and Muscle Activity in Subjects with Scapular Depression Alignment
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Background Scapular depression syndrome is associated with weakness or elongation in the upper trapezius and a lower pressure pain threshold.

Purpose This study aimed to compare the pressure pain thresholds of the upper trapezius and median nerve trunks and muscle activity during shoulder flexion before and after scapular alignment exercises and nerve mobilization in subjects with scapular depression alignment.

Study design Two-group pre- and post-test design

Methods The subjects were 30 men with scapular depression alignment aged 20–40 years old. Thirty subjects were randomly divided into the nerve mobilization group (n=15) and the scapular alignment exercise group. The scapular alignment exercise group repeated the scapular setting exercise 15 times a session while maintaining 1 min per trial, and the nerve mobilization group performed five sets of nerve mobilization, with set including 10, 12, 14, 16 and 20 times. The subjects were measured in terms of the pressure pain threshold and muscle activity during shoulder flexion. The pressure pain threshold was measured in the median nerve and upper trapezius. Muscle activity was measured in the upper trapezius, lower trapezius, and serratus anterior muscles during 120° shoulder flexion.

Results There was a significant increase in the pressure pain threshold of the upper trapezius before and after intervention in the scapular alignment exercise group (p<0.05). A significant increase in the muscle activity of the serratus anterior before and after intervention was observed in the scapular alignment exercise group (p<0.05), and a significant difference was found in the muscle activity of the serratus anterior between the two groups according to the intervention (p<0.05).

Conclusions The scapular alignment exercises enable subjects with scapular depression alignment to reduce the mechanical sensitivity of muscles and nervous tissues and bring immediate activation in muscle activity of serratus anterior. This study recommend that scapular alignment exercise could help scapular depression syndrome as a therapeutic exercise method in clinical field.
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INTRODUCTION

Scapular dyskinesia is defined as the position and movement of the scapula relative to the thoracic cage, and it is a general term that reflects the loss of normal control of scapular movement. Subjects with scapular dyskinesia have a high rate of shoulder joint disorders, such as rotator cuff injuries, glenohumeral instability, and labral tears. Moreover, the pathological condition of neck pain is associated with scapular dysfunction.
Scapular depression syndrome is associated with weakness or elongation in the upper trapezius and a low pressure pain threshold. Lee et al. found that the scapular depression alignment group had a lower pressure pain threshold in the upper and middle trapezius, and that the muscle activity of the upper trapezius was delayed during the shrug movement. The decrease in the pressure pain threshold reflects an increase in muscle tissue sensitivity, which is a response to the persistent strain of the upper trapezius held in an excessively elongated position with shoulder depression alignment. Owing to this posture, the elongated and suppressed gastric triceps and scapulae cause cervical stiffness, cervical myofascial tenderness, and pain. Scapular depression alignment increases the tension of the C5 and C6 vertebral nerves, the lateral cord of brachial plexus, and the median nerve in the forearm. Elevation of the shoulder girdle in this alignment can alleviate these stressors and potentially lead to "decompressing" the thoracic outlet.

The muscles connected to the scapula and the neck in the scapular depression alignment continuously pull the neck downward, increasing the pain sensitivity above the joint between the cervical processes. The scapular depression alignment can cause prolonged and repetitive stress and pressure in the brachial plexus, sensitize nervous tissue, and cause neck-arm pain symptoms. The neurodynamic test and the pressure pain threshold above the peripheral nerve trunk of the arm assess the sensitivity of the nervous tissue to this improper alignment. A recent study identified high pain and lower pressure pain thresholds over the nerve tissue and the upper trapezius in apophyseal joints of the cervical vertebrae during neurodynamic examination in the scapular depression alignment compared with normal scapular alignment without symptoms. This indicates that the subjects with scapular depression alignment had very high mechanical sensitivity to the nerve tissues of the neck and arms.

Neurodynamics is used not only for evaluation but also for treatment. Systematic reviews have emphasized that nerve mobilization is an effective method for improving flexibility, function, pressure pain threshold, and disability and for reducing pain. However, there is no study yet on mechanical sensitivity after neural mobilization in subjects with scapular depression alignment. Scapular alignment exercises passively bring the scapula to normal alignment and maintain it with the assistance of the examiner. Therefore, maintaining the scapula in an upward rotational position through alignment exercise is a useful exercise method because it reduces the pressure in the costoclavicular space and reduces the symptoms of thoracic outlet syndrome. Other researchers have confirmed that the scapular posture alignment strategy elicited the activity of the lower trapezius typing in sitting positions in patients with neck pain.

However, the change in muscle activity and nerve sensitivity after applying the scapular alignment exercise has not yet been evaluated, and studies comparing this exercise with nerve mobilization are insufficient. Thus, this study compared the pressure pain thresholds of the upper trapezius and median nerve trunks and the muscle activity during shoulder flexion before and after scapular alignment exercises and nerve mobilization in subjects with scapular depression alignment.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

The subjects were 30 men with scapular depression alignment aged 20–40 years old. Necessary sample size was calculated a priori for a power of 0.80, and effect size of 0.80, and alpha level of 0.05 by G*Power software (version 3.1.2). This calculation indicated that the necessary sample size was 14 subjects for the study. The scapular depression alignment was defined as an alignment in which the superior angle of the scapula and the lateral board of the acromion are located under the 2nd spinous process of thoracic spine. Thirty subjects were randomly divided into the nerve mobilization group (n=15) and the scapular alignment exercise group by coin toss. All subjects were recruited voluntarily after receiving sufficient explanation about the purpose and experimental procedure of this study prior to participation and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Those who had an orthopedic or neurosurgery history of the arm or neck within the previous year were excluded. The general characteristics of the subjects are presented in Table 1.

**Procedure**

The static scapular posture evaluation was performed by the examiner in an upright position with both arms as

| Table 1. Comparison of subject characteristics |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Characteristics | SAE group (N=15) | NM group (N=15) | p  |
| Age (years)     | 32.6±1.55        | 33.93±2.28      | 0.07 |
| Body mass (kg)  | 71.93±5.96       | 74.67±9.09      | 0.34 |
| Height (cm)     | 174.27±3.01      | 175.27±4.13     | 0.46 |

Data are expressed as mean ± SD.

SAE, scapular alignment exercise; NM, nerve mobilization.
comfortable as possible. The examiner marked the superior angle of scapular (SAS), the acromion (A), and spinous process of 2nd thoracic spine (ST2) with a pen. If SAS and A are located below ST2, they were selected as subjects for scapular depression alignment (Figure 1). Thirty subjects were randomly divided into the nerve mobilization group (n=15) and the scapular alignment exercise group. The subjects in both groups were measured in terms of the pressure pain threshold and muscle activity during shoulder flexion. Then, each group performed scapular alignment exercises and nerve mobilization for 20 min. After the intervention, the pressure pain threshold and muscle activity during shoulder flexion were repeatedly measured.

**Pressure pain threshold**

Pressure pain threshold was measured with a commander algometer (JTECH Medical, USA). The algometer probe was applied vertically to the skin at a rate of 1 kg/cm²/s, and the measurement was measured three times at each location, with a 30 s rest time taken between measurements. The test subjects were instructed to speak when pressure was perceived as pain and aimed at the point where they first felt pain and to express the pain without holding back. The pressure pain threshold was measured in the median nerve and upper trapezius.

The median nerve was confirmed by palpation at the inner part of the cubital fossa adjacent to the tendon of the biceps brachii muscle in the supine position and the elbow joint extended position. The measurement position of the upper trapezius was taken as the middle point between the lateral board of the acromion and the C7 spinous process in the sitting position.

**Muscle activity**

A wireless surface EMG device (TeleMyo DTS, Noraxon Inc., AZ, USA) was used to measure the muscle activity of the upper trapezius, lower trapezius, and serratus anterior muscles during shoulder flexion. A disposable single-pole surface electrode made of silver and silver chloride was used as the electrode, and the distance between the centers of the electrode was fixed at 2 cm. After obtaining consent from the subjects and exposing the body part to which the electrode would be attached, hair removal was performed using a disposable razor to reduce skin resistance to the signal of the surface EMG. The skin of the attachment site was removed by rubbing with sandpaper 3–5 times. Skin fat was removed with rubbing alcohol.

Electrodes were attached to the muscle belly of the serratus anterior (on the midaxillary line of the right fifth rib), upper trapezius (in the region of the trapezius insertion at the midpoint between the C7 spinous process and the right acromioclavicular joint), and lower trapezius (position of the diagonal downline approximately 5 cm from the root of the spine of the scapula). Shoulder flexion was performed after sitting on a chair with an adjustable height, adjusting the shoulder blade height to the same point, measuring the 120° flexion, and marking it with a bar to reduce the height deviation between individuals (Figure 2). The collected analog signals of the surface EMG were filtered and processed by a personal computer. The sampling rate of the EMG signal was 1,000 Hz, and the frequency bandwidth was filtered using a 20–400 Hz band pass filter and a 60 Hz notch filter to remove noise.

To quantify all collected EMG signals, the root mean square was processed. The collected signals of each muscle were normalized as a percentage (%maximal voluntary isometric contraction, %MVIC). To standardize the activity of the muscles, the maximum isometric contraction of each muscle was confirmed in the posture of the manual muscle test. The average value was used three times for 5 s, and the average number of EMG signals for 3 s excluding the first and last 1 s was collected. At least 1 min of rest was provided between measurements to reduce the likelihood of...
Nerve mobilization was performed in the following order: 1) fixation of shoulder girdle, 2) shoulder joint abduction, 3) wrist/finger extension, 4) forearm supination, 5) shoulder joint external rotation, and 6) elbow joint extension. Nerve mobilization was applied using the “sliders” technique, which was found to be safe and has a large sliding range. In nerve mobilization, the elbow joint is extended, the lateral flexion of the neck and head to the ipsilateral side occurs, and the neck and head are lateral flexion to the opposite side when returning to the original position (Figure 3). Five sets of nerve mobilizations were performed, with a 30 s rest time between sets. In addition, 10 times of nerve mobilizations were performed in the first set, 12 times in the second set, 14 times in the third set, 16 times in the fourth set, and 20 times in the fifth set. The gradual increase in the number of times per set was to minimize the negative reactions to the subject’s adaptation threshold and movement. At each frequency, nerve mobilization is performed to the point where the subject reported symptoms or feels resistance by the examiner. After holding it for 1 s at the end range, it returns to its original position, and the movement is completed for 2 s.

Scapular alignment exercise

The test subjects stand upright and relax their arms at their sides. The examiner stands next to the subject, holds the subject’s medial and lateral board of the scapular with one hand, and gently wraps the acromion and coracoid process in the palm of the other hand. The scapula, which is manually controlled by the examiner, is elevated, slightly rotated upward (10°–15°), and posteriorly tilted so that the superior angle of the scapula and the lateral surface of the acromion are parallel to the same height as the spinous process of 2nd thoracic spine. In addition, the scapula maintains the medial board of the scapula at 7.5 cm from the spinous process of thoracic spine, and after keeping it close to the thoracic cage so that the medial board of the scapula does not rise, it is rotated 30° anterior to the frontal plane (Figure 3). The examiner instructs the subject to actively maintain this position and...
confirms that the normal alignment of the scapula is maintained continuously. The application of the exercise was maintained for 1 min each time for a total of 15 repetitions, and 10 s of rest was given between repetitions.

**Statistical analysis**

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test for a normal distribution. A paired \( t \)-test was performed for the number of changes before and after intervention in both groups, and an independent \( t \)-test was performed to compare the values of changes between the two groups after intervention. The level of statistical significance was set to \( p<0.05 \). The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 23.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software was used for the statistical analysis.

**RESULTS**

There was a significant increase in the pressure pain threshold of the upper trapezius before and after intervention in the scapular alignment exercise group (\( p<0.05 \)). No significant difference was found between the two groups according to the intervention (\( p>0.05 \)) (Table 2). No significant difference was observed in the pressure pain threshold of median nerve before and after intervention in either group (\( p>0.05 \)) (Table 2).

No significant difference was observed in the muscle activity of the upper and lower trapezius before and after intervention in either group (\( p>0.05 \)). There was a significant increase in the muscle activity of the serratus anterior before and after intervention in the scapular alignment exercise group (\( p<0.05 \)), and a significant difference was found in the muscle activity of the serratus anterior between the two groups according to the intervention (\( p<0.05 \)) (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

As a result of this study, there was a significant increase in the pressure pain threshold of the upper trapezius in the scapular alignment exercise group after performing the scapular alignment exercise and nerve mobilization, but no significant difference was found between the two groups. Lee et al. compared the normal scapular alignment group and the scapula depression alignment group and found a significant decrease in the pressure pain threshold in the scapular alignment exercise group. No significant difference was observed in the muscle activity of the upper and lower trapezius before and after intervention in either group (\( p>0.05 \)).

**Table 2. Comparison for pain pressure threshold (unit: kg)**

|                      | Pre     | Post    | Change  | \( t \)  | \( p \)  | \( t \)  | \( p \)  |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| **Median nerve**     |         |         |         |          |          |          |          |
| SAEG (n=15)          | 4.55±1.42a | 4.68±1.17 | 0.13±0.83 | -0.61    | 0.55     | -0.32    | 0.75     |
| NMG (n=15)           | 4.19±0.71  | 4.42±1.17 | 0.24±0.97 | -0.95    | 0.36     |          |          |
| **Upper trapezius**  |         |         |         |          |          |          |          |
| SAEG (n=15)          | 3.97±0.98  | 4.35±0.83 | 0.38±0.47 | -3.16    | 0.01*    |          |          |
| NMG (n=15)           | 3.83±1.3   | 3.91±1.13 | 0.08±0.72 | -0.44    | 0.67     |          |          |

SAEG, scapular alignment exercise group; NMG, nerve mobilization group.

* \( p<0.05 \).

**Table 3. Comparison for scapular muscle activity during shoulder flexion (unit: %MVIC)**

|                      | Pre     | Post    | Changes | \( t \)  | \( p \)  | \( t \)  | \( p \)  |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| **Upper trapezius**  |         |         |         |          |          |          |          |
| SAEG (n=15)          | 15.28±9.79a | 16.65±11.82 | 1.37±3.58 | -1.48    | 0.16     | 1.71     | 0.98     |
| NMG (n=15)           | 11.55±7.14  | 10.8±6.87 | -0.75±3.2 | 0.91     | 0.38     |          |          |
| **Lower trapezius**  |         |         |         |          |          |          |          |
| SAEG (n=15)          | 17.21±8.38  | 18.36±9.15 | 1.15±2.93 | -1.52    | 0.15     | 0.97     | 0.34     |
| NMG (n=15)           | 18.23±7.72  | 18.11±8.41 | -0.12±4.15 | 0.11     | 0.91     |          |          |
| **Serratus anterior**|         |         |         |          |          |          |          |
| SAEG (n=15)          | 33.76±9.48  | 38.35±12.49 | 4.59±4.98 | -3.57    | 0.00*    | 3.76     | 0.00*    |
| NMG (n=15)           | 31.93±12.43 | 30.01±10.74 | 1.92±4.49 | 1.66     | 0.12     |          |          |

SAEG, scapular alignment exercise group; NMG, nerve mobilization group.

* \( p<0.05 \).
upper and middle trapezius. The increase in muscle tissue sensitivity, expressed as a low pressure pain threshold, has been described as a response to the sustained elongation of the upper trapezius muscle maintained in an excessively elongated position due to scapular depression alignment. Therefore, the scapular alignment exercise can lead to decreased tenderness by reducing the continuous elongation acting on the upper trapezius.

Watson et al. found that the manual correction of the scapula establishes the diagnosis of thoracic outlet syndrome, and that it is a very useful clinical indication of whether the rehabilitation strategy focuses on the strengthening of the scapula stabilizer and whether the change in scapula posture in the movement and resting state would be successful. If the alignment exercise is maintained for 1 min, traction force can be relieved in the brachial plexus. Therefore, the slight increase in the pressure pain threshold on the median cutaneous process of the second spine. As a result, the upper and lower trapezius showed a slight increase, and the serratus anterior showed a significant increase. It is considered that the scapula's elevation, upward rotation, and posterior tilt caused the muscle activation of the upper trapezius, lower trapezius, and serratus anterior.

The muscles in the prolonged elongated position experience over-stretch weakness, and a mild form of strain occurs in the state in which eccentric contraction is not performed against the load. If this is not corrected immediately after a change in muscle length occurs, these conditions can develop into painful muscle strains. Therefore, it is important to improve muscle performance by shortening the muscle to a more normal length as a strategy for correction. The scapular alignment exercise improves muscle performance by keeping the stretched muscles at a more ideal length, thus causing a normal movement of the scapula and shoulder joints.

Lee et al. compared the muscle latency time of the upper and middle trapezius during shrug movement in the scapular depression alignment group and the normal alignment group and found a significant increase in the upper trapezius. The delayed muscle contraction timing in the scapular depression alignment group was the result of the adaptation of the upper trapezius to other supply/recruitment models to maintain the stability of shrug motion. Moreover, small changes in the underlying posture during movement alter the overall pattern of muscle recruitment. Moreover, if the muscle is in a constantly elongated position, cross-bridge structures in the skeletal muscle that are compressed or stretched become limited and affected by the ability to produce force, and the rate of muscle contraction is primarily limited by the rate of cross-linking at the sarcomere level.

In this study, the nerve mobilization group did not show any significant change in muscle activity after intervention. Several studies support the results of this study. Romero-Moraleda et al. divided the subjects with delayed-onset muscle soreness into the nerve mobilization group and the foam roller group to compare pain, rectus femoris muscle strength, and muscle activity, and both groups were found to have reduced pain. However, only the foam roller group showed an increase in muscle strength and activity of the rectus femoris. In healthy people, a change in grip strength was observed by applying nerve mobilization and general stretching, and there was no significant change between the two groups. The researchers argued that nerve mobilization applied to healthy subjects was not an effective method for increasing grip strength. Therefore, nerve mobilization did not cause immediate change in muscle performance, and the change in muscle activity of the muscles around the scapula...
was considered to be achieved by scapular alignment exercise.

This study has some limitations. First, when determining the scapular depression alignment, we did not distinguish between the anterior tilt and the downward rotation of the scapula. Second, time, intervention period, and number of repetitions may be insufficient for intervention methods to correct scapular depression alignment to immediately affect the study results. In future studies, the alignment between scapula depression, anterior tilt, and downward rotation should be distinguished, and not only scapular alignment exercise and nerve mobilization should be considered but also an intervention method combining scapular alignment exercise and nerve mobilization in people with pain.

CONCLUSIONS

The scapular alignment exercises enable subjects with scapular depression alignment to reduce the mechanical sensitivity of muscles and nervous tissues and bring immediate activation in muscle activity of serratus anterior. This study recommend that scapular alignment exercise could help scapular depression syndrome as a therapeutic exercise method in clinical field.

Key Points

**Question** Do scapular alignment exercises and nerve mobilization have an immediate effect on pain and muscle activity in subjects with scapular depression alignment?

**Findings** There was a significant increase in the pressure pain threshold of the upper trapezius and the muscle activity of the serratus anterior before and after intervention in the scapular alignment exercise group.

**Meaning** The scapular alignment exercises enable subjects with scapular depression alignment to increase pressure pain threshold of the upper trapezius and activate the serratus anterior muscle.
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