Deterioration of Pre-Existing Hemiparesis Brought About by Subsequent Ipsilateral Infarction-A Case Report
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ABSTRACT

Reorganization of the central neural system is the main mechanism of post-stroke recovery. Several evidences suggest that ipsilateral uncrossed corticospinal tracts play an important role in the recovery of motor function after stroke. A 68-year-old woman has right-sided hemiparesis because of her left basal ganglia cerebral infarction. However, she presented with abrupt deterioration of her right-sided hemiparesis due to subsequent infarcts in the right basal ganglia cerebral infarction half year later. Therefore, we speculate the subsequent right basal ganglia cerebral infarction may have damaged the uncrossed tract, thereby causing the pre-existing hemiparesis to deteriorate even further. This suggests that the uncrossed corticospinal tracts participate in the recovery of the right-sided hemiparesis after stroke. Hemiplegic limb motor function in stroke patients would get better after rehabilitation therapy. However, the mechanism of this phenomenon is still poorly understood. We report a case where cortical reorganization of the unaffected hemisphere may have played a significant role in motor function in stroke patients, but where this partial recovery of hemiparesis appears to have been damaged by a subsequent ipsilateral basal ganglia cerebral infarction half year later.

Case Report

Figure 1:

a) Images indicating previous stroke.
b) Images indicating secondary strokes.
c) The bilateral lateral cerebral infarction lesions one year later.
A 68-year-old woman was admitted to our hospital because her limbs activity is not flexible and dysphagia, especially for the deterioration in pre-existing right hemiparesis. The patient had a history of left basal ganglia cerebral infarction (Figure 1A) half year earlier, and she had a mild right hemiparesis after about six months of rehabilitation therapy. Neurological examination showed that the preexisting left hemiparesis had deteriorated, and the function of her limbs on the left side was moderately affected. Cranial magnetic resonance diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) showed a small high intensity area in the left basal ganglia, which was shown to be cerebral infarction (Figure 1A). However, a new lesion on the right side of the basal ganglia was diagnosed as an infarct by DWI half year later (Figure 1B). Old cerebral infarction lesions were shown in the bilateral lateral ventricle side by magnetic resonance image one year later (Figure 1C).

**Discussion**

When an initial stroke results in extensive damage to motor function, a subsequent stroke contralateral to the first stroke sometimes causes deterioration in the ipsilateral pre-existing hemiparesis, as well as producing contralateral motor dysfunction. Some cases involving the deterioration of preexisting hemiparesis brought about by a subsequent ipsilateral corona radiata infarction were also reported, and were similar to our case [1,2]. This mechanism involved is thought to be functional reorganization of the ipsilateral hemisphere. Some studies suggested that latent ipsilateral motor projections are activated by disruption of the contralateral corticospinal projections in stroke patients [3,4]. In addition, functional recovery due to the concentration of dopamine increasing in the hemisphere contralateral in the site of stroke [5]. A series of studies have shown that hemisphere contralateral to the lesion site contributes greatly to the functional recovery after a focal stroke of the somatosensory cortex [6-8]. However, most studies on ipsilateral motor projections have reported negative results for motor function [9,10]. It is said that enhancement of the ipsilateral motor projections to the paretic side might contribute to generation of an abnormal motor pattern leading to poor motor ability after stroke [11]. Therefore, some studies have shown that peripheral regions of brain injury would play a role in functional compensation [12,13]. The contralateral hemispheric activation would facilitate motor recovery [14].

This case report showed that the motor function recovery was due to the hemisphere cortex compensation of the ipsilateral. Therefore, it is hard to decide for us to enhance function compensate whether by increasing the ipsilateral or contralateral cortex after stroke. The current theory shows that stroke patients display an interhemispheric balance where the contralesional cortex no longer inhibits the ipsilesional hemisphere cortex and the ipsilesional side appears to inhibit the contralesional [15], and lead to functional decline. Therefore, for the transcranial magnetic stimulation treatment, we usually inhibit the ipsilesional cortex and excite contralateral cortex. Mohapatra found that inhibitory TMS of the ipsilesional M1 significantly increased the motor function for stroke patience [16]. In this case, the cortical reorganization of the unaffected hemisphere may have played a significant role in motor function recovery. However, if we inhibit the ipsilesional hemisphere cortex then what would happen to the functional recovery. We Speculate the function might be relatively poor. How should we deal with such patients? We may not always apply this theory; inhibit the ipsilateral cortex and excite contralateral cortex. Therefore, diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) could provide a powerful vehicle for investigating motor recovery mechanisms. Diffusion tensor imaging can evaluate the degree of fiber damage in stroke affecting the corticospinal tracts [17]. If the degree of fiber were damaged seriously in contralateral cortex, we can excite the ipsilateral cortex and strengthen the role of compensatory in the ipsilateral cortex, like this case. Otherwise, we can inhibit the ipsilateral cortex and excite contralateral cortex.
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