Characterization of Quin-C1 for its anti-inflammatory property in a mouse model of bleomycin-induced lung injury
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Aim: To study the in vivo effects of Quin-C1, a highly specific agonist for formyl peptide receptor 2 (FPR2/ALX), in a mouse model of bleomycin (BLM)-induced lung injury.

Methods: Male ICR mice were injected intratracheally with BLM (d 0), and intraperitoneally with Quin-C1 (0.2 mg/d) or vehicle between d 1 and d 28, during which pulmonary inflammation was monitored. A similar regimen was carried out between d 5 and d 28 to differentiate anti-inflammatory from anti-fibrotic effects. During the treatment, leukocyte numbers in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) were counted, and FPR2/ALX transcripts, tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), interleukin-1β (IL-1β), the mouse keratinocyte-derived chemokine (KC), transforming growth factor β1 (TGF-β1) and C-X-C motif chemokine 10 (CXCL10) expression levels in the lung tissue were also measured. Both hydroxyproline content and histological changes were examined on d 28 to assess the severity of lung fibrosis.

Results: BLM caused a significant increase in expression levels of all the selected cytokines and chemokines, as well as a thickening of the alveolar wall. Treatment with Quin-C1 significantly reduced the neutrophil and lymphocyte counts in BALF, diminished expression of TNF-α, IL-1β, KC, and TGF-β1, and decreased collagen deposition in lung tissue. The treatment also lowered the content of lung hydroxyproline. Quin-C1 did not ameliorate lung fibrosis when the treatment was started 5 d after the BLM challenge, suggesting that the protection may be attributed to its anti-inflammatory effects. Exposure to BLM or BLM plus Quin-C1 did not change the level of FPR2/ALX transcripts (mFpr1, mFpr2, and Lxa4r) in the lung tissue.

Conclusion: The results demonstrate an anti-inflammatory role for Quin-C1 in bleomycin-induced lung injury, which may be further explored for therapeutic applications.
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pound library and identified Quin-C1 (4-butoxy-N-[2-(4-
methoxy-phenyl)-4-oxo-1,4-dihydro-2H-quinoxalin-3-yl]-ben-
zamide) as an FPR2/ALX agonist[9]. In vitro pharmacological
characterization showed that Quin-C1 stimulated neutrophil
calcium mobilization and chemotaxis but had a very low effi-
cacy in the induction of superoxide production[9]. In binding
assays, Quin-C1 was found to occupy a site on FPR2/ALX that
partially overlaps with the binding site for the potent FPR2/
ALX agonist WKYMVm[10]. These pharmacological proper-
ties indicate that Quin-C1 differs from most of the FPR2/ALX
ligands identified to date and may selectively regulate biological
functions in target cells.

In experimental animals, particularly rodents, bleomycin
(BLM)-induced pulmonary fibrosis is a well-characterized
model for investigating the pathogenesis of lung fibrosis[11-13].
BLM-induced pulmonary fibrosis results from an inflam-
matory response to lung injury, characterized by increased
production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including tumor
 necrosis factor α (TNF-α) and interleukin-1β (IL-1β), and
chemokines such as macrophage inflammatory protein 1α
(MIP-1α), the mouse keratinocyte-derived chemokine (KC)
and monocyte chemotactic protein 1 (MCP-1)[14]. Patholog-
ical changes associated with the progression to fibrosis include
increased production of TGF-β1, reactive oxygen species and
C-X-C motif chemokine 10 (CXCL10) as well as decreased gen-
eration of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and overproduction
of mature collagen fibrils[15]. These changes eventually lead to
thickening of the alveolar wall and reduction in blood oxygen
exchange capacity.

In the present study, we investigated a potential anti-inflam-
matory function for Quin-C1 using a BLM-induced lung fibro-
sis model. Our data showed that mouse lung tissue expresses
Quin-C1 receptors, and responds to Quin-C1 with reduced
inflammatory cytokine expression and leukocyte infiltration
leading to protection from BLM-induced lung injury. These
results demonstrated an anti-inflammatory property for Quin-
C1 in vivo.

Materials and methods

Animals and reagents

Male ICR mice (9–10 weeks old, 25–30 g, Shanghai SLAC
Laboratory Animals Co, Shanghai, China), widely used for
fibrosis studies, were housed at 22.7±0.8 °C with a 12/12-h
light/dark cycle. They were fed and watered ad libitum. All
animal experiments were conducted in accordance with regu-
lations and protocols approved by the Animal Care and Use
Committee, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese
Academy of Sciences. Quin-C1 was synthesized as described
previously[9].

Calcium mobilization assay

Mouse bone marrow polymorphonuclear (PMN) leukocytes
(about 50000 cells/sample with about 75% neutrophils) and a
rat basophil leukemia cell line (RBL-2H3) expressing mouse
Fpr1 (mFpr1) or mouse Fpr2 (mFpr2) cDNA (about 40000
cells/sample) were placed in 96-well microtiter plates. The
cells were incubated with FLIPR Calcium 5 reagent (Mole-
cular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) at 37 °C for 1 h and were
excited at 485 nm. Ca2+ fluorescence was detected at an emis-
ion wavelength of 525 nm, using a FlexStation II (Molecular
Devices) with an auto emission cutoff set at 515 nm.

BLM-induced lung injury and Quin-C1 treatment

Lung injury was induced with BLM, as previously described,
with minor modifications in dosage[16]. Briefly, bleomycin
hydrochloride (Nippon Kayaku, Tokyo, Japan) was dissolved
in 0.1 mL sterile saline and intratracheally injected into mouse
lungs at a dose of 3 mg/kg body weight. Control animals
received the same volume of saline. The day of BLM/saline
administration was regarded as d 0. For intraperitoneal (ip)
Quin-C1 treatment (daily from d 1 through d 28), mice were
randomly assigned to one of three treatment groups with
matching body weight: saline, BLM and BLM plus 0.2 mg
Quin-C1 (1% DMSO, 19% PEG400 in saline, 0.5 mL, daily).
A vehicle of equal volume was administered ip for compar-
ison. Quin-C1 was also tested at 0.04 mg and 1.0 mg for dose-
response information. In an additional group, Quin-C1 was
given on d 5 at the fixed dose of 0.2 mg, and mice were sacri-
cificed on d 9 for bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) analysis
to measure leukocyte numbers. Lung tissue was also exam-
ined on d 9 for cytokine expression and on d 28 for signs of
lung fibrosis, as detailed below.

Detection of the transcripts of formyl peptide receptors in mouse
lung

Animals were sacrificed on d 0, 5, 7, 9, and 28 after BLM or
saline administration and lungs were perfused with normal
saline to remove blood cells. The lung tissue was then homog-
enized using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA)
for the preparation of total RNA. The total RNA (2 µg) was
reverse transcribed, and 1 µL (1/20 of the reverse transcriptase
product) of the resulting cDNA was subjected to polymerase
chain reaction (PCR) in a 25-µL final reaction volume for ana-
lyzing levels of mouse Fpr1, Lxa4r and Fpr2 mRNA transcripts.
β-actin was used as an internal control. The amplification con-
ditions were as follows: initial step at 94 °C for 5 min, followed
by 30 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1
min. The primers and products for RT-PCR are presented in
Table 1.

BALF analysis

On d 0, 5, 7, and 9 following BLM or saline injection, the
animals were sacrificed, and the lungs were lavaged twice
with 0.8 mL PBS containing 5 mmol/L EDTA by cannulating
the trachea. The BALF was centrifuged at 1500 revolutions
per minute for 10 min at 4 °C. To facilitate cell counting, the
remaining red blood cells were eliminated with lysis buffer
(Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Haimen, China). Total
and differential cell counts (neutrophils, lymphocytes and
macrophages) in the BALF were determined with the Diff-
Quick Stain Kit (IMEB Inc, San Marcos, CA, USA).
Measurement of cytokines in lung tissue
Lung lobes were dissected from mice on d 0, 5, 7, and 9 and weighed. Samples were then homogenized on ice according to their respective weights (10% homogenate in saline). The homogenate supernatants were collected after centrifugation and assayed for levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, KC, TGF-β1, and CXCL10 using specific ELISA kits (R&D Systems Inc, Minneapolis, MN, USA). TGF-β1 (latent and active) was measured after pre-activation with acidification to pH 2–3 for 60 min, and corrected to pH 6.5–7.5 prior to ELISA assay.

Measurement of hydroxyproline contents
On d 28, all remaining animals were sacrificed, and the lung lobes were dissected and weighed. To measure the content of hydroxyproline in the lung, the tissue samples were homogenized and then hydrolyzed in 2 mol/L NaOH at 120 °C for hydroxyproline in the lung, the tissue samples were homogenized on ice according to their respective weights (10% homogenate in saline). The homogenate supernatants were collected after centrifugation and assayed for levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, KC, TGF-β1, and CXCL10 using specific ELISA kits (R&D Systems Inc, Minneapolis, MN, USA). TGF-β1 (latent and active) was measured after pre-activation with acidification to pH 2–3 for 60 min, and corrected to pH 6.5–7.5 prior to ELISA assay.

Histopathology
The right lung lobes removed from each mouse on d 28 were fixed in a 10% formalin solution and embedded in paraffin. Lung tissue sections (5-µm thickness) were cut and stained with Masson’s trichrome (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) for histological examination under a light microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Images were taken at 400×magnification. Alveolar wall thickness was determined by measuring the length of the lines drawn perpendicular to the narrowest segment of primary and secondary alveolar septa (60–80 lines per image at 100×magnification). The total number of septa counted for each group (3 mice) was 200–250, and the alveolar wall thickness was calculated using Image-Pro Plus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD, USA)[18].

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA) by one-way or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Bonferroni post hoc analysis. Data are presented as mean±SEM. The criterion for significance was a probability of less than 0.05.

Results
Agonistic effect of Quin-C1 in mouse cells
Quin-C1 was initially identified as an agonist for human FPR2/ALX[9]; however, its role in mice is yet to be established. We challenged mouse bone marrow-derived neutrophils with Quin-C1 in a calcium mobilization assay and compared the result with a WKYVM-induced calcium response in the same cell population. As shown in Figure 1A, WKYVMinvoked dose-dependent calcium mobilization up to 100 nmol/L, and further increases in its concentration (up to 1 µmol/L) did not intensify the response. Quin-C1 at concentrations from 1 nmol/L to 10 µmol/L induced dose-dependent calcium mobilization in mouse neutrophils. When used at 10 µmol/L, Quin-C1 induced calcium mobilization levels similar to those produced by 10 nmol/L of WKYVM (Figure 1B). These results are consistent with our previous finding, which demonstrated that Quin-C1 was approximately 1000-fold less potent as a ligand for FPR2/ALX than WKYVM[9].

To determine whether mFpr1 or mFpr2 is responsible for mediating the pharmacological functions of Quin-C1, we measured Quin-C1-induced calcium response in RBL cells expressing the receptors. Both mFpr1 and mFpr2 were able to mediate Quin-C1-induced calcium mobilization (Figures 1C and 1D). A better EC50 value (35 nmol/L) was obtained with cells expressing mFpr1 than cells expressing mFpr2 (166 nmol/L).

Effect of Quin-C1 on formyl peptide receptor expression in the lung tissue following BLM challenge
In mice, the formyl peptide receptor family contains at least eight genes, three of which are expressed in leukocytes[19]. In neutrophils, mFpr1 and mFpr2 are most abundant at the transcription level, whereas the mouse Lxa4r transcript (encoded by mFpr-rs1) is found at a lower level[20]. The same expression pattern was seen in lung tissue devoid of circulating neutrophils. Our data showed that the expression of these three formyl peptide receptors did not change in response to BLM (or BLM plus Quin-C1 exposure) at the mRNA level (Figure 1E).

Effect of Quin-C1 on BLM-induced leukocyte accumulation in the BALF
To determine whether Quin-C1 affects the progression of BLM-induced chronic lung inflammation and fibrosis, mice were given intratracheal BLM (3 mg/kg) alone or together with Quin-C1 (0.2 mg, ip). As a negative control, normal saline was injected intratracheally in a separate group of mice. The outbred ICR strain used in this study is prone to fibrosis, such as Schistosoma mansoni-induced hepatic fibrosis[21] and cyclophosphamide-induced lung fibrosis[22]. On the indicated

### Table 1. RT-PCR primers and products.

| Mouse gene | Forward/reverse | Primer sequence | Product (bp) |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Fpr1       | Forward         | GCACGGACCCGCCTGATT | 519          |
|            | Reverse         | CAAAAGGCGCTGGATT |              |
| Fpr2       | Forward         | GCCTGGCTAGAGAGTGTGAT | 352          |
|            | Reverse         | CAAAAGGCCACACAAGTGA |              |
| Lxa4r      | Forward         | CTTGCGGCAACTGTTGAG | 381          |
|            | Reverse         | AGTCCCTGCCCATGAAACA |              |
| β-actin    | Forward         | GGAATTTGCTGGACATCAAAG | 213          |
|            | Reverse         | ATGCCACAGGATTCCATACCC |            |

Abbreviations: RT-PCR, reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; Fpr1, formyl peptide receptor 1; Lxa4r, lipoxin A4 receptor; Fpr2, formyl peptide receptor 2.
days after BLM or saline injection, the mice were sacrificed, and their lungs were lavaged as described above for total and differential cell counts. Mice receiving BLM had markedly higher total cell counts on d 7 and 9 ($P<0.01$); however, the increase was abolished in mice receiving both BLM and Quin-C1 ($P<0.05$, Figure 2A). Differential leukocyte counts showed that the numbers of neutrophils and lymphocytes were increased in BALF from BLM-treated mice on d 5 and 9 after BLM induction ($P<0.01$, Figures 2C and 2D). Significantly fewer cells were found in BALF from mice receiving Quin-C1 for 7 or 9 d ($P<0.01$), indicating that Quin-C1 suppressed the infiltration of neutrophils and lymphocytes. The number of macrophages was also increased on d 7 and 9 in the BLM-treated group ($P<0.01$, Figure 2B); however, Quin-C1 did not reverse the increase in macrophage number ($P>0.05$).

**Effect of Quin-C1 on the expression of cytokines and chemokines in the lung tissue of BLM-challenged mice**

The expression levels of selected cytokines and chemokines were measured in lung tissue homogenates from mice sacrificed on d 5, 7, and 9 after BLM injection. As shown in Figure 3, the expression levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, and KC were sig-
significantly elevated on d 9 after BLM administration ($P<0.05$, $P<0.01$ and $P<0.01$, respectively). In addition, considerably higher levels of KC and IL-1β were detected on d 7 ($P<0.01$ and $P<0.05$, respectively). Administration of Quin-C1 reduced the expression level of these cytokines in lung tissue on d 9 ($P<0.01$, $P<0.05$, and $P<0.05$ for KC, TNF-α, and IL-1β, respectively).  

Figure 2. BLM induced changes in total and differential cell counts in the BALF and effects of Quin-C1. Mice were given intratracheal bleomycin (BLM) or saline injections (d 0), with or without daily Quin-C1 administration (0.2 mg or vehicle ip) starting on d 1. On d 0, 5, 7, and 9, mice from each group were sacrificed and the BALF was collected. Total cell count (A) was determined using a cell counter (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton CA, USA) and cell subset numbers were determined with Diff-quick staining (200 cells per animal were examined). Cell numbers for macrophages (B), lymphocytes (C) and neutrophils (D) in the BALF were calculated based on their respective percentage of the total cell count (A). Values are expressed as mean±SEM (n≥6 per group). *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$ compared to saline control; ***$P<0.05$, ****$P<0.01$ compared to BLM control.

Figure 3. BLM induced expression of cytokines and chemokines in lung tissue and effects of Quin-C1. Bleomycin (BLM)-challenged mice were treated with Quin-C1 (0.2 mg) or vehicle as described above. On d 0, 5, 7, and 9, the mice were sacrificed, and lung tissue homogenates were examined by ELISA for levels of TNF-α (A), IL-1β (B), KC (C), TGF-β1 (D), and CXCL10 (E). Values are expressed as mean±SEM (n≥5 per group). *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$ compared to saline control; ***$P<0.05$, ****$P<0.01$ compared to BLM control.
respectively) and for KC only on d 7 ($P<0.05$, Figure 3). These results suggest that Quin-C1 may partially offset the cytokine-mediated effects of BLM.

TGF-β1 plays a critical role in stimulating collagen synthesis by lung fibroblasts and contributes to the development of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis\(^{23, 24}\). The level of TGF-β1 in lung tissue homogenates was determined by ELISA. Significantly higher levels of TGF-β1 were found in mice receiving BLM on d 7 and 9 ($P<0.01$, Figure 3D). In mice receiving Quin-C1, the BLM-induced TGF-β1 production was partially inhibited ($P<0.05$ on d 7 and $P<0.01$ on d 9, compared to the levels of TGF-β1 in mice treated with BLM alone, Figure 3D). Collectively, these results indicate that Quin-C1 is capable of mitigating BLM-induced pulmonary fibrotic lesions.

### Effect of Quin-C1 on BLM-induced weight loss and collagen deposition

BLM induction causes long-term consequences that include collagen deposition into the lung tissue resulting in thickening of the alveolar wall, which directly contributes to the reduction in blood oxygen exchange\(^{21}\). BLM induction also leads to the eventual development of fibrosis, and these mice display weight loss. In the present study, mouse weight was monitored on d 0 and 28. As shown in Figure 4A, a significant ($P<0.05$) reduction in body weight was observed in mice receiving BLM compared to those receiving saline. In addition, mice receiving BLM injection showed a 47% increase in the content of hydroxyproline, which reflects collagen deposition in the lung tissue (Figure 4B). These deleterious effects were partially inhibited in mice that received both BLM and Quin-C1 ($P<0.01$ for the lung hydroxyproline content, Figure 4B) although the weight loss was not completely prevented ($P>0.05$, Figure 4A).

Histological examination of the lung tissue was conducted to evaluate the severity of BLM-induced lung fibrosis and the morphological alterations following Quin-C1 intervention. On d 28, the lung tissue from BLM-treated mice showed marked interstitial collagen deposition with a significant thickening of the alveolar septa (Figure 5B compared to 5A). In comparison, the mice that received Quin-C1 displayed a 75% reduction in BLM-induced thickening of alveolar walls (Figures 5C and 5D). Collectively, these results indicate that Quin-C1 is capable of mitigating BLM-induced pulmonary fibrotic lesions.

### Dose-response characteristics of Quin-C1

We next determined what Quin-C1 dosage was most efficacious in preventing BLM-induced lung injury. Our therapeutic protocol described above was repeated with Quin-C1 at doses of 0.04 mg, 0.2 mg, and 1.0 mg. As shown in Figure 6, increasing the Quin-C1 dose from 0.04 mg to 0.2 mg enhanced protective effects by reducing leukocyte infiltration (primarily neutrophils, Figure 6A) and pro-inflammatory cytokine production in the BALF (Figure 6B). Interestingly, no protective effect was observed when the dose of Quin-C1 was further increased to 1.0 mg. However, no obvious detrimental effect on mouse body weight was observed with this dose (1.0 mg) compared to the 0.04-mg and 0.2-mg dose groups (Figure 6C).

Reduced lung fibrosis is secondary to the anti-inflammatory effects of Quin-C1

Because BLM-induced lung fibrosis is preceded by an inflam-
Figure 6. Effects of different doses of Quin-C1 on inflammatory cell counts in the BALF, expression of cytokines and chemokines in lung tissue and body weight change in BLM treated mice. Mice were challenged with BLM or saline on d 0, with or without daily Quin-C1 administration (0.04, 0.2, or 1 mg ip) starting on d 1. On d 9, mice were sacrificed to examine the total and differential cell counts in the BALF (A), TNF-α, IL-1β, KC, TGF-β1, and CXCL10 levels in lung homogenates (B) and body weight changes (C). Values are expressed as mean±SEM (n=8 per group). *P<0.05, **P<0.01 compared to saline control; †P<0.05, ‡P<0.01 compared to BLM control.

Discussion

Results from the present study demonstrate an anti-inflammatory property for Quin-C1. Here, we describe this function in vivo in a mouse model of lung fibrosis, which is induced by an inflammatory response to BLM injury and is characterized by increased leukocyte infiltration, pro-inflammatory cytokine expression and deposition of collagen in alveolar septa. Published BLM-induced fibrosis studies showed a significant increase in TNF-α, IL-1β and TGF-β1 lung cytokine levels[14]. Inhibition of pro-inflammatory cytokine expression, such as by blocking nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) activation, reduces BLM-induced fibrosis[25-26]. Suppression of other pro-inflammatory transcriptional pathways, including the p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (p38 MAPK) pathway, also decreases lung fibrosis in response to BLM[27]. Therefore, the anti-inflammatory effects of Quin-C1 are likely responsible for the reduced pathological changes in lungs exposed to BLM. To determine further whether Quin-C1 has anti-fibrotic properties, we administered it on d 5 through d 28 following BLM challenge. Our data show that delayed administration of Quin-C1 abrogated its protective effect, indicating that Quin-C1 is incapable of reversing the fibrotic phenotype caused by the initial inflammatory response to BLM. These findings suggest that the protective effect of Quin-C1 results from its anti-inflammatory properties and that the observed anti-fibrotic effect is secondary.

In addition to an increase in pro-inflammatory cytokine expression, BLM-induced lung fibrosis is preceded by increased local synthesis of chemokines, including MCP-1, MIP-2 and KC[28]. In this study, increases in KC expression are consistent with increased neutrophil and lymphocyte infiltration in the lung tissue. Local accumulation of leukocytes, particularly neutrophils, is a contributing factor to lung fibrosis, which develops in mice affected by BLM. Indeed, previous investigations indicate that decreased pulmonary fibrosis is associated with reduced accumulation of leukocytes, including neutrophils and lymphocytes[29]. However, although neutrophil infiltration and neutrophil-endothelial cell interactions are considered important to the development of lung fibrosis (as shown in studies using mice lacking both L-selectin and intercellular adhesion molecular-1 (ICAM-1)[29], the role of T lymphocytes in BLM-induced lung fibrosis remains controversial. There is evidence in the literature to suggest that BLM-induced lung fibrosis does not require T cells[30]. However, another published study demonstrated that CD28 deficiency abolishes BLM-induced lung fibrosis, whereas adoptive transfer of wild type T cells restored this capability[31]. Thus, it is possible that one of the anti-inflammatory functions of Quin-C1 mediates the suppression of leukocyte infiltration, thereby reducing local inflammation and neutrophil-mediated tissue injury.

The attenuation of BLM-induced lung fibrosis has been observed in several studies. For example, the suppression of interferon-γ (IFN-γ) signaling abrogates BLM-induced lung fibrosis[32] and neutralizing interleukin-13 (IL-13) produces similar effects, implying that IL-13 plays an important role in the progression of chronic lung inflammation[33]. We have shown that BLM stimulates TGF-β1 expression in mice, and Quin-C1 partially reverses this phenomenon. One established function of TGF-β1 is to stimulate collagen synthesis by fibroblasts, which directly contributes to tissue fibrosis[23, 24]. Fibroblasts also respond to TGF-β1 by stimulating c-Abl kinase activity. The inhibition of c-Abl by the drug imatinib prevents TGF-β1-induced extracellular membrane (ECM) gene
expression, morphologic transformation, and cell proliferation, and reduces BLM-induced lung fibrosis[34]. Quin-C1 may target these pathways to induce its anti-inflammatory effects; however, the exact target(s) and underlying mechanisms of action remain to be elucidated. The chemokine CXCL10, which is highly expressed in BLM-induced pulmonary fibrosis, has been shown to attenuate the development of fibrosis via inhibition of fibroblast recruitment or angiogenesis[33]. Up-regulating CXCL10 is associated with a reduction in fibroblast accumulation in the lung[36]. Our findings show a significant increase in CXCL10 levels on d 7 and 9 after BLM challenge. The observation that Quin-C1 has no direct effect on CXCL10 expression implies that it was unable to modulate the CXCL10 pathway in response to BLM exposure.

Quin-C1 is identified as an FPR2/ALX-selective agonist[9]. FPR2/ALX binds to LXA4, and this receptor contributes to the potent anti-inflammatory properties of LXA4 and annexin A1. It is believed that these functions are mediated through the suppression of neutrophil accumulation, inhibition of NF-κB activation, and enhancement of pro-resolving functions such as macrophage-mediated phagocytosis of apoptotic neutrophils[5, 37]. Our results show an abundant expression of both mFpr1 and mFpr2 transcripts in mouse lung tissue, and the latter is reported to be a receptor for LXA4[38]. Moreover, Quin-C1 induces calcium mobilization in mouse neutrophils, which express mFpr1 and mFpr2 transcripts[20]. Interestingly, our data indicate that both mFpr1 and mFpr2 are able to mediate Quin-C1-induced calcium mobilization and, based on the EC_{50} value, mFpr1 is more potent. Because mFpr1 shares high sequence homology and structural features with human FPR2/ALX, it is not surprising that this receptor also interacts with Quin-C1. Therefore, either one or both receptors may be responsible for the actions of Quin-C1 observed in this study. Recently, Dufton and colleagues reported that genetic deletion of mFpr2 gene led to an increase in inflammation in several mouse models, providing genetic evidence that mFpr2 is the equivalent of human FPR2/ALX[8]. However, Chen and colleagues[29] demonstrated a reduced allergic inflammation in the absence of this receptor using mFpr2 gene knockout mice. It is also notable that mFpr1 was not previously known as a functional homologue of FPR2/ALX in terms of its anti-inflammatory properties. Therefore, follow-up work is necessary to better characterize these two receptors using genetically altered animals.

It is intriguing that a G protein-coupled chemoattractant receptor, such as FPR2/ALX, mediates both neutrophil-activating and anti-inflammatory effects in vivo. Currently, there is not a clearly identified mechanism to explain these observations, but emerging evidence suggests several possibilities. First, all identified FPR2/ALX ligands that possess anti-inflammatory properties are agonists that elicit selected functions through the receptor. For example, LXA4 stimulates guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase) through FPR2/ALX[40]. In addition, annexin A1 and its N-terminal derived peptides activate the mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (MAPK/ERK) pathways[38, 40]. However, these ligands are weak agonists compared to WKYMVm and serum amyloid A for stimulating other neutrophil actions. It is likely that the receptor is only partially activated when exposed to the ligands with anti-inflammatory properties. This introduces the second possibility, which relates to a dose effect. In our experiments, we observed protective effects of Quin-C1 in a narrow range of doses (between 0.04 to 0.2 mg). Further increasing the Quin-C1 dose to 1 mg completely abrogated the anti-inflammatory effect. Although the underlying mechanism remains unclear, it is of note that LXA4 produces anti-inflammatory effects at doses in the nanomolar range[5], whereas other properties of the ligand appear at higher concentrations[41]. Thus, it is necessary to further determine the dose-response characteristics of these ligands at the level of receptors and receptor-mediated signaling pathways. Finally, the FPR2/ALX-mediated agonistic activities of selected ligands appear to be indispensable for inducing anti-inflammatory proteins such as suppressor of cytokine signaling 2 (SOCS-2) as well as enhancing the pro-resolving functions of macrophages[42, 43]. Consistent with these findings, FPR2/ALX has been shown to mediate different functions of LXA4 in neutrophils and macrophages[44]. For example, LXA4 inhibits neutrophil infiltration and stimulates macrophage-mediated phagocytosis of apoptotic neutrophils. Complementary studies have also shown that small molecules serving as FPR2/ALX agonists possess anti-inflammatory functions in vivo[45]. The protective effect of Quin-C1 may also arise from its ability to inhibit TGF-β1 expression in BLM-treated lungs. In line with these observations, it is likely that the recently reported anti-fibrotic property of LXA4 may be due to its anti-inflammatory functions[46]. Although Quin-C1 has been pharmacologically characterized in greatest detail for its agonistic function at human FPR2/ALX[9], the identification of two mouse FPR family members as potential targets for this ligand suggests that the anti-inflammatory properties of these receptors are shared between species and can be useful for more in-depth analysis in mice. Collectively, the available experimental data suggest the feasibility for using FPR2/ALX agonists to treat certain inflammatory disorders. Additional investigations are required to determine the signaling mechanisms that underlie the observed anti-inflammatory functions of these agents.
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