Independent and interactive effect of sitting time and physical activity on prevalence of hyperuricemia: the Henan Rural Cohort Study
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Abstract

Background

There are few studies on the hyperuricemia (HUA) and moderate to vigorous intensity physical activity (PA) and also hardly regarding sitting time (ST). The purpose of this study was to examine the independent and interactive association of PA and ST with HUA.

Methods

A cross-sectional analysis was performed on 38,855 participants (aged 18-79) enrolled from the Henan Rural Cohort Study at baseline (2015 to 2017). PA and ST levels were assessed by using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). HUA was defined as a serum uric acid level of >7.0 mg/dL for males and >6.0 mg/dL for females. Multivariable logistic regression and linear regression models were applied to examine the independent association between PA or ST and HUA and serum uric acid level. Interaction plots were used to visualize the interaction effects of PA and ST on HUA.

Results

PA level was inversely related with serum uric acid level ($\beta$: -0.09, 95% confidence interval (CI): -0.16, -0.02), but ST was positively related with uric acid level ($\beta$: 2.04, 95% CI: 1.82, 2.26). Metabolic equivalent (MET-hour/day) was associated with decreased prevalence of HUA (odds ratio (OR): 0.98, 95% CI: 0.96, 0.99), while per hour increased for ST was associated with increased HUA (OR: 1.05, 95% CI: 1.04, 1.06). The interaction of PA and ST was significant ($P<0.001$).

Conclusion

Exposure to higher ST was independently related to increased prevalence of HUA, while vigorous PA with a decreased HUA prevalence. Meanwhile, higher daily ST might attenuate the protective effect of PA on HUA.

Clinical Trial Registration

The Henan Rural Cohort Study has been registered at Chinese Clinical Trial Register (Registration number: ChiCTR-OOC-15006699). http://www.chictr.org.cn/showproj.aspx?proj=11375

Background

Serum uric acid level and the prevalence and incidence of hyperuricemia (HUA) in the world population have been steadily rising over the past four decades [1, 2],

HUA is not only a pre-requisite for gout, but also is associated with the many chronic metabolic diseases such as cardiovascular disease (CVD) [3], diabetes [4], metabolic syndrome [5] and chronic kidney
disease [6]. The increasing prevalence of HUA has been considered as an emerging public health concern over the world [1, 2, 7, 8], study of the HUA and its risk factors has become even more important.

Lifestyle behaviors play important roles on individual health, such as physical activity (PA) and sedentary behavior [9]. The health effects of regular PA have been well documented, lack of PA is well-recognized to increase the incidence and mortality of various chronic diseases, including diabetes, stroke and cardiovascular disease [9–11]. Sedentary behavior, a unique aspect of lifestyle behavior, might not simply represent the extreme low PA level, as many studies have found that prolonged sitting time (ST) has been independently linked to human metabolism health given PA level [12]. Thus, both inadequate PA and long ST are necessarily considered as an important public health problem.

Although previous several studies have found there was a negative association between PA and prevalence of HUA [1, 13], little is known about its independent effect on HUA according to multiples of the basal metabolic rate. Moreover, the relationship of ST with HUA also remains unclear. Only a recent study conducted in Korean found that regular PA and reduced sedentary time might reduce the prevalence of HUA [14]. However, the interactive effect of PA and ST on health have not been reported, which is important for the development of guidelines in recent years [15]. Whether PA can offset the adverse effect of ST still need to be elucidated. In addition, there are high prevalence of physical inactivity and sedentary behavior [16] as well as prevalent HUA in Chinese rural areas [17]. Given these, it is necessary to conduct this study to examine the independent association of PA and ST with serum uric acid level and HUA in rural Chinese population, and further to estimate the interaction effect of PA and ST on HUA.

**Methods**

2.1 Study population

The analyses are based on data from the Henan Rural Cohort Study, which was a prospective study of chronic non-communicable diseases, the cohort profile and study population has been described in elsewhere [18, 19]. In briefly, the study was conducted in Yuzhou, Xinxiang, Tongxu, Yima and Suiping county of Henan Province, China from July 2015 to September 2017. Overall, 39,259 eligible individuals aged 18–79 years were asked to completed baseline survey. In the current study, a total of 404 individuals were excluded from the present analysis due to missing data on serum uric acid level (n = 54), and diagnosed with cancer and serious renal disease (n = 350). Finally, 38,855 participants (15,371 men and 23,484 women) were included in current analysis. The study complied with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ethics committee of Zhengzhou University. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before starting this study.

2.2 Definitions of exposure

PA and ST levels were assessed by using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) [20]. As the details have been described previously [16, 19], PA-metabolic equivalent (MET) was calculated by
using MET coefficient of activity × duration (hour per time) × frequency (times per week) [19]. Therefore, according to the categories of PA, each category of vigorous activity, moderate activity and walking was calculated with the corresponding MET values of 8, 4, and 3.3 respectively. The total value of MET was obtained by adding value of these three categories. Finally, based on the standard scoring criteria of IPAQ, three levels of PA were determined: light (physically inactive), moderate and vigorous [16]. The individual total ST every day in the past week was estimated by using the question “About how many hours in each 24-h day do you usually spend on sitting? Same as some recent studies [21], daily ST was classified by four groups: <4 h/d, 4 to 6 h/d, 6 to 8 h/d, and ≥ 8 h/d.

2.3 Definition of HUA

Blood samples were obtained from each individual after at least 8 h of overnight fasting to measure multiple biochemical indicators. Serum uric acid level was measured by ROCHE Cobas C501 automatic biochemical analyzer with enzymatic colorimetric method. HUA was defined as serum uric acid level > 7.0 mg/dL (417 µmol/L) in males and serum uric acid level > 6.0 mg/dL (357 µmol/L) in females among Chinese population [17].

2.4 Potential covariates

Potential confounders were similar to our previous study of HUA [17], including demographic covariates (age and gender), socioeconomic covariates (marital status, average monthly individual income and education level) and lifestyle factors (smoking, alcohol drinking, dietary, etc.), which were collected through face-to-face interview by trained research staff using a standardized questionnaire. Socioeconomic covariates included education level (“primary school or below”, “middle school and high school or above”), marital status (“married/ living together” or “divorced/widowed/separated/ unmarried”), average monthly income (“<500 RMB”, “500–1000 RMB” or “>1000 RMB”). Smoking status was classified into current, former, and never groups. Drinking status also was classified into current, former, and never groups. The four-cluster dietary patterns were obtained in the current study by factor analysis using the previous standard principal component analysis method [22]. Body weight and height were measured with the standard methods and body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Blood pressure was measured 3 times on the right arm at heart level sitting position. Obesity (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no), type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) (yes/no) and dyslipidemia (yes/no) also were defined as previous descriptions [17].

2.5 Statistical analysis

The differences in basic characteristics between HUA and non-HUA participants were tested using the Student’s t-test and the chi-square test. Continuous variables and categorical variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviations (SD) and counts and percentage, respectively.

The associations between PA or ST and serum uric acid level were analyzed using multiple linear regression models and presented as β values with 95% confidence intervals (CI), where the light PA and
the lowest sitting (< 4 h/day) served as the reference categories, respectively. Multivariable-adjusted logistic regression models also applied to assess associations of PA or ST and prevalence of HUA, results are presented as odds ratio (OR) with corresponding 95% CI. Similarly, the effects of MET-hour/day and per hour increased in ST on serum uric acid level or prevalence of HUA both were estimated. All potential covariates were selected based on the existing literature and three models were performed: model 1 was adjusted for PA and ST level where applicable; model 2 included ST and PA as well as age, gender, education level, marital status, average monthly income, smoking status, drinking status, dietary pattern and model 3: model 2 plus adjustment for obesity, T2DM, hypertension and dyslipidemia status. In addition, a cross-product term was incorporated into logistic regression models to evaluate the statistical significance of interaction of PA and ST on HUA. Restricted cubic spline regression was used to explore the dose–response relationship between continuous PA-MET hour and ST (h/day) and HUA.

Meantime, stratified analyses were performed to estimate the effects of PA on HUA in different ST groups. Joint association between PA and ST also was examined the by deriving a combined variable with 12 groups, where the combined light PA and lowest ST (< 4 h/day) served as the reference group. Furthermore, generalized linear models also were employed to visualize the interactive effect of ST (h/day) and PA (MET-hour/day) on HUA. Effect estimates of MET-hour/day were plotted with their 95% CI as a function of increasing ST (h/day) in an interaction plot.

All analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS V.19.0 and R 3.5.0. A two tail of P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significance.

Results

3.1 Descriptive statistics

The basic characteristics of the study participants by HUA status are summarized in Table 1. The mean age of total participants was 55.56 years (SD = 12.21 years), and more than half of the subjects were females (60.44%). Participants with HUA were younger than Non-HUA population (54.04 vs. 55.74 years), had higher education level and average monthly income, were more likely to be smoker, drinker, and with low PA and high ST (all P< 0.001). Moreover, they also were more likely to have a higher prevalence of obesity, hypertension and dyslipidemia, but a less prevalence for T2DM (all P< 0.001).
Table 1
Basic characteristics of study participants by with and without hyperuricemia (n = 38855).

| Characteristic                              | Total (n = 38855) | Non-HUA (n = 34877) | HUA (n = 3978) | P-value |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------|
| Age (year, mean ± SD)                      | 55.56 ± 12.21     | 55.74 ± 12.05       | 54.04 ± 13.43 | <0.001a |
| Gender (n, %)                              |                   |                     |                | <0.001b |
| Male                                       | 15371 (39.56)     | 13403 (38.43)       | 1968 (49.47)   |         |
| Female                                     | 23484 (60.44)     | 21474 (61.57)       | 2010 (50.53)   |         |
| Education level (n, %)                     |                   |                     |                | <0.001b |
| ≤Primary school                            | 17385 (44.74)     | 15748 (45.15)       | 1637 (41.15)   |         |
| ≥Middle school                             | 21470 (55.26)     | 19129 (54.85)       | 2341 (58.85)   |         |
| Marital status (n, %)                      |                   |                     |                | 0.690b  |
| Married/living together                     | 34872 (89.75)     | 31309 (89.77)       | 3563 (89.57)   |         |
| Divorced/widowed/separated/unmarried       | 3983 (10.25)      | 3568 (10.23)        | 415 (10.43)    |         |
| Average monthly income (n, %)              |                   |                     |                | <0.001b |
| < 500 RMB                                  | 13837 (35.61)     | 12432 (35.65)       | 1405 (35.32)   |         |
| 500–1000 RMB                               | 12795 (32.93)     | 11608 (33.28)       | 1187 (29.84)   |         |
| >1000 RMB                                  | 12223 (31.46)     | 10837 (31.07)       | 1386 (34.84)   |         |
| Smoking status (n, %)                      |                   |                     |                | <0.001b |

SD, standard deviation; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

a Student’s t-test was used to compare normal distributed continuous variables among the groups; b A Chi-square test was used to test the distributions of categorical variables among the groups.
| Characteristics                  | Total (n = 38855) | Non-HUA (n = 34877) | HUA (n = 3978) | P-value |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------|
|                                |                   |                     |                |         |
|                                | Never             | 25628 (73.48)       | 2632 (66.16)   |         |
|                                | 28260 (72.73)     |                     |                |         |
|                                | Former            | 2739 (7.85)         | 395 (9.93)     |         |
|                                | 3134 (8.07)       |                     |                |         |
|                                | Current           | 6510 (18.67)        | 951 (23.91)    |         |
|                                | 7461 (19.20)      |                     |                |         |
| **Drinking status (n, %)**     |                   |                     |                | < 0.001^b |
|                                | Never             | 27323 (78.34)       | 2695 (67.74)   |         |
|                                | 30018 (77.26)     |                     |                |         |
|                                | Former            | 1610 (4.62)         | 186 (4.68)     |         |
|                                | 1796 (4.62)       |                     |                |         |
|                                | Current           | 5944 (17.04)        | 1097 (27.58)   |         |
|                                | 7041 (18.12)      |                     |                |         |
| **Physical activity, n (%)**   |                   |                     |                | < 0.001^b |
|                                | Light             | 11077 (31.76)       | 1486 (37.36)   |         |
|                                | 12563 (32.33)     |                     |                |         |
|                                | Moderate          | 13222 (37.91)       | 1422 (35.75)   |         |
|                                | 14644 (37.69)     |                     |                |         |
|                                | Vigorous          | 10578 (30.33)       | 1070 (26.90)   |         |
|                                | 11648 (29.98)     |                     |                |         |
| **MET-Hour/day, (mean ± SD)**  |                   |                     |                | < 0.001^a |
|                                | 18.09 ± 10.11     | 18.09 ± 10.11       | 16.81 ± 10.14  |         |
| Sitting time                   |                   |                     |                | < 0.001^b |
|                                | < 4 h/d           | 10846 (31.10)       | 1017 (25.57)   |         |
|                                | 11863 (30.53)     |                     |                |         |
|                                | 4–6 h/d           | 9386 (26.91)        | 991 (24.91)    |         |
|                                | 10377 (26.71)     |                     |                |         |
|                                | 6–8 h/d           | 5798 (16.62)        | 700 (17.60)    |         |
|                                | 6498 (26.04)      |                     |                |         |
|                                | ≥ 8 h/d           | 8847 (25.37)        | 1270 (31.93)   |         |
|                                | 10117 ()          |                     |                |         |
| **Sitting time (h/day, mean ± SD)** |               | 5.59 ± 3.29         | 5.53 ± 3.26    | 6.09 ± 3.50 | < 0.001^a |

SD, standard deviation; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

^a Student’s t-test was used to compare normal distributed continuous variables among the groups; ^b A Chi-square test was used to test the distributions of categorical variables among the groups.
### 3.2 Association of PA or ST with serum uric acid level and HUA

Table 2 summarizes the linear relationship between PA level or ST with serum uric acid level. As a whole, the serum uric acid level increased with decreasing PA level or increasing ST. In model 3, compared with light PA or ST of < 4 h/d, the $\beta$s and 95% CIs for moderate and vigorous PA, ST of 4–6, 6–8 and ≥ 8 h/d were 0.88 (-0.84, 2.60) and -2.72 (-4.54, -0.90), 2.67 (0.84, 4.51), 7.98 (5.86, 10.10) and 16.70 (14.80, 18.60), respectively. The $\beta$s (95% CIs) for MET-hour/d and per hour increased were -0.09 (-0.16, -0.02) ($P$ for trend = 0.012) and 2.04 (1.82, 2.26) ($P$ for trend < 0.001). In multivariable-adjusted logistic regression analysis, PA level was inversely associated with the prevalence of HUA, while ST was positively associated with prevalence of HUA (Table 3 and Fig. 1). After adjusting for potential covariates in model 3, the $OR$s (95% CIs) for HUA comparing vigorous and moderate PA to light group were 0.95 (0.87, 1.03), 0.88 (0.81, 0.96), respectively ($P$ for trend < 0.05); the $OR$s (95% CIs) for HUA comparing ST 4–6, 6–8 and ≥ 8 h/d to < 4 h/d were 1.11 (1.01, 1.22), 1.28 (1.15, 1.42) and 1.49 (1.36, 1.64) ($P$ for trend < 0.001); when HUA was analyzed per MET-hour/day and per hour for ST increment, the adjusted $OR$s (95% CIs) were 0.98 (0.96, 0.99) and 1.05 (1.04, 1.06), respectively (Table 3). Meantime, in Fig. 1, the restricted cubic spline showed that there was a linear dose–response relationship between ST and HUA ($P$ for non-linearity > 0.05), and a non-linear dose–response relationship for PA ($P$ for non-linearity < 0.05). Finally, when the interaction term was included, a significant interaction effect of ST and PA on HUA was observed. ($P$ < 0.001).
| Physical activity level | Number | Serum uric acid level (umol/L, mean ± SD) | β (95% CI) | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
|-------------------------|--------|------------------------------------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|
| **Light**               | 12563  | 293.03 ± 82.57                           | 0 (Ref.)   | 0 (Ref.) | 0 (Ref.) |
| **Moderate**            | 14644  | 280.70 ± 77.92                           | -9.53 (-11.46, -7.60) | -1.71 (-3.50, 0.07) | 0.88 (-0.84, 2.60) |
| **Vigorous**            | 11648  | 286.73 ± 78.00                           | -1.75 (-3.80, 0.30) | -6.61 (-8.50, -4.73) | -2.72 (-4.54, -0.90) |

**MET-hour/d**

|                  |        |                                          | -0.29 (-0.37, -0.21) | -0.27 (-0.34, -0.19) | -0.09 (-0.16, -0.02) |

**P value for trend**

|                  |        |                                          | < 0.001               | < 0.001               | 0.012               |

| Sitting time      |        |                                          | 0 (Ref.)              | 0 (Ref.)              | 0 (Ref.)              |
|-------------------|--------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <4 h/d            | 11863  | 278.27 ± 77.77                           | 0 (Ref.)              | 0 (Ref.)              | 0 (Ref.)              |
| 4–6 h/d           | 10377  | 282.30 ± 78.25                           | 4.85 (2.76, 6.94)     | 3.07 (1.15, 4.98)     | 2.67 (0.84, 4.51)     |
| 6–8 h/d           | 6498   | 287.84 ± 80.75                           | 10.75 (8.34, 13.16)   | 7.81 (5.60, 10.02)    | 7.98 (5.86, 10.10)    |
| ≥ 8 h/d           | 10117  | 299.57 ± 80.81                           | 20.63 (18.48, 22.77)  | 15.98 (14.00, 17.95)  | 16.70 (14.80, 18.60)  |

**Per hour increased**

|                  |        |                                          | 2.44 (2.19, 2.68)     | 1.91 (1.68, 2.14)     | 2.04 (1.82, 2.26)     |

**P value for trend**

|                  |        |                                          | < 0.001               | < 0.001               | < 0.001               |

Multivariable model 1 adjusted for physical activity and sitting time level where applicable; model 2 included sitting time and physical activity as well as age, gender, education level, marital status, average monthly income, smoking status, drinking status, dietary pattern; model 3, model 2 plus adjustment for obesity, T2DM, hypertension and dyslipidemia status. SD, standard deviation; CI, confidence interval.
## Table 3

### Association of physical activity level and sitting time with prevalence of hyperuricemia.

|                          | Cases/Number | Prevalence (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|
|                          |              |                     | Model 1     | Model 2     | Model 3     |
| **Physical activity level** |              |                     |             |             |             |
| Light                    | 1486/12563   | 11.83 (11.26, 12.39)| 1 (Ref.)   | 1 (Ref.)   | 1 (Ref.)   |
| Moderate                 | 1422/14644   | 9.71 (9.23, 10.19)  | 0.84 (0.78, 0.91) | 0.87 (0.80, 0.94) | 0.95 (0.87, 1.03) |
| Vigorous                 | 1070/11648   | 9.19 (8.66, 9.71)   | 0.82 (0.75, 0.89) | 0.77 (0.71, 0.84) | 0.88 (0.81, 0.96) |
| MET-hour/day             |              | 0.95 (0.93, 0.97)   | 0.95 (0.94, 0.97) | 0.98 (0.96, 0.99) |
| **P value for trend**    |              | < 0.001             | < 0.001     | 0.041       |
| **Sitting time**         |              |                     |             |             |             |
| <4 h/d                   | 1017/11863   | 8.57 (8.07, 9.08)   | 1 (Ref.)   | 1 (Ref.)   | 1 (Ref.)   |
| 4–6 h/d                  | 991/10377    | 9.55 (8.98, 10.12)  | 1.13 (1.03, 1.24) | 1.12 (1.02, 1.23) | 1.11 (1.01, 1.22) |
| 6–8 h/d                  | 700/6498     | 10.77 (10.02, 11.53)| 1.29 (1.16, 1.42) | 1.26 (1.14, 1.40) | 1.28 (1.15, 1.42) |
| ≥ 8 h/d                  | 1270/10117   | 12.55 (11.91, 13.20)| 1.46 (1.34, 1.60) | 1.42 (1.30, 1.56) | 1.49 (1.36, 1.64) |
| **Per hour increased**   |              | 1.04 (1.03, 1.05)   | 1.04 (1.03, 1.05) | 1.05 (1.04, 1.06) |
| **P value for trend**    |              | < 0.001             | < 0.001     | < 0.001     |
| **P Sitting time ×**     |              | 0.006               | < 0.001     | < 0.001     |
| Physical activity        |              |                     |             |             |             |
| Multivariable model 1 adjusted for physical activity and sitting time level where applicable; model 2 included sitting time and physical activity as well as age, gender, education level, marital status, average monthly income, smoking status, drinking status, dietary pattern; model 3, model 2 plus adjustment for obesity, T2DM, hypertension and dyslipidemia status. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. |

### 3.3 Stratified analysis and joint analysis
The results from stratified analysis by ST groups were present in Fig. 2. After adjustment for confounders, only in ST < 4 h/d and 4–6 h/d groups, compared with the reference group (light PA), vigorous group had significantly decreased odds of T2DM, but in longer ST groups, no significant associations can be found (all $P > 0.05$). Meanwhile, in the joint analysis (Additional file 1: Table S1 and Figure S1), combinations of the vigorous PA and lower ST (< 4 h/d) were beneficially associated with a 25% decreased risk for HUA compared with the reference group ($OR: 0.75$, $95\% CI$: 0.64, 0.88).

### 3.4 Interaction of PA and ST on HUA

Furthermore, in order to explore the interaction of PA and ST, the effect of MET-hour/day on risk of HUA was plotted as a function of ST. Interaction plot was used to visualizes the changes of effects of MET-hour/day on HUA along with increasing ST as shown in Fig. 3. In these models, the protective effects of PA-MET (hour/day) on HUA were attenuated with increasing ST (h/day).

### Discussion

In the current study, the results suggested that PA was independently associated with decreased serum uric acid level and prevalence of HUA, while ST was independently associated with increased serum uric acid level and prevalence of HUA. In addition, as the first study to examine the combined effect of PA and ST on HUA, we found the protective effects of PA-MET (hour/day) on HUA were weaken by increasing ST with a significant interaction effect ($P < 0.001$).

A lot of previous studies have found the protective effect of PA on metabolic health including various chronic metabolic diseases [23] and risk of mortality [24]. Despite health-enhancing benefits, PA alone may not be enough to reduce the risk of multiple chronic diseases [25]. Sedentary lifestyle, such as watching TV or computer has become more and more prevalent in modern society, the total ST of a day has increased gradually in general population [26]. Emerging evidence suggests that prolonged ST was independently associated with increased risk of obesity, metabolic syndrome, T2DM, and CVD mortality after adjusting for PA [12]. However, the independent links among PA, ST, and HUA are not always well explored and there are some inconsistent results. Several cross-sectional studies conducted to investigate the prevalence and lifestyle risk factors of HUA have explored the effect of PA on HUA [1, 8, 13]. A study from the rural Northeast Chinese population found that compared with the low PA, moderate or high PA contributed to a lower prevalence of HUA in women, but not for men [1]. The results from the Chinese men and women show that PA-related lifestyle choices tend to have a beneficial effect, compared with exercise times > 6 times per week, exercise times 1–3 times or < once per week significantly increased the SUA and risk of HUA [13]. The negative associations of PA with HUA between the current study and previous studies were consistent and the magnitudes of the associations could be comparable. But in a study conducted in the general Korean population, there have no found significant association between PA and HUA [8]. The racial differences of this association may need to be further explored. For the association of ST with HUA, Cui et al. reported that prolonged sitting was an independent risk factors of HUA [27]. In addition, only a recent study found that both regular PA and reduced sedentary time were
independently associated with decreased prevalence of HUA [14], which was similar to the present results. Furthermore, our study found that combined vigorous PA and lower ST (< 4 h/d) may significantly decrease the risk of HUA, which also was supported by some related findings [28].

Although the underlying mechanisms of HUA in relation to PA or ST remain unclear, several possible biological pathways have been suggested. First, PA might decrease serum uric acid excretion and accelerate purine degradation [29], it also can increase insulin sensitivity, which may be mediated the relationship between PA and serum uric acid level [30]. Second, regular physical exercise is recommended for reducing body weight, which is generally good for abnormal metabolic factors such as serum uric acid level, and further reducing the risk of various chronic diseases including HUA and CVD [31]. In addition, contrary to regular PA, prolonged ST may reduce insulin sensitivity and increase urine volume, resulting in the increased SUA level and risk of HUA [27].

An interesting finding in this study is that the negative association of PA with HUA were gradually attenuated by increasing ST with a significant interaction effect ($P < 0.001$). In addition, in the stratified analysis, when ST > 6 h/d, the protective effect of PA on HUA became nonsignificant. To our knowledge, though there was no study explored the interaction of PA and ST on HUA, several studies have assessed the interaction effect of PA and ST on metabolic health and risk of mortality and reported inconsistent findings [15, 32, 33]. One large-scale study examined the joint associations of PA and ST and found that the association between ST and all-cause mortality risk was gradually attenuated with increased PA [15]. But another study indicated that regular participation in high levels of moderate to vigorous PA does not fully protect against the risks of mortality associated with prolonged ST [32]. Moreover, similar to our finding, a cross-sectional study among Mexican Americans reported that moderate PA is beneficial for subclinical atherosclerosis in the low-level groups of ST ($\leq 3$ h/d), but there was no significant health effect when ST exceeded 3 h/day [33]. The exact mechanism of this interaction effect still remains unclear. Sitting too much may affect the cellular processes responsible for metabolic abnormalities differently than structured exercise as previously studied in the field of exercise physiology [34]. We speculated that excessive levels of ST may appear to contribute to higher odds for HUA regardless of PA level, which offset the beneficial effect of PA. Further studies are needed to clarify the potential mechanism.

The strengths of our study include its large-scale sample based on rural Chinese population, comprehensive statistical approach, and a series of standardized measures such as detailed assessment of PA, ST and other potential covariates. Dose-response association between PA or ST and HUA was evaluated by restricted cubic splines, which was not found in other studies. In addition, this study is the first to investigate the interaction effect of PA and ST to gain a better understanding of how the combined effect of these variable on HUA.

Some limitations in the current study should also be considered. First, this study only used the baseline survey data of the cohort, which cannot establish a cause-and-effect relation among PA, ST, and HUA, because of the cross-sectional study design. Second, demographic information and lifestyle
characteristics including PA and ST were collected using a questionnaire, thus recall bias may not be avoided. Third, although we have given for many potential confounders, it is likely that some residual confounding factors such as total energy intake might have affected the estimations. Unfortunately, these data are not available in the current study. Finally, we were unable examine the associations with different specific types of PA (aerobic or resistance exercise) and ST (watching TV/movies or transportation), these detailed types are needed to be specified in future studies.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study in rural Chinese population demonstrate that regular PA may independently reduce serum uric acid level and prevalence of HUA, but prolonged ST may significantly increase serum uric acid level and HUA. In addition, excessive levels of ST (> 6 h/day) might offset the beneficial effect of PA on HUA. These findings suggest that both regular PA and reducing ST are important for preventing HUA. Moreover, interventions focused on reducing excessive ST may be a more effective strategy to decrease risk of HUA, which should be given more attention in public health.
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Figures
Figure 1

The association between physical activity-MET (hour/day) (A) or sitting time (h/day) (B) and prevalence of hyperuricemia from restricted cubic splines. Model 1: adjusted by physical activity and sitting time (h/day) where applicable; Model 2: adjusted by physical activity and sitting time (h/day) as well as age, gender, education level, marital status, average monthly income, smoking status, drinking status, dietary pattern; Model 3: model 2 plus adjustment for obesity, T2DM, hypertension and dyslipidemia status.
### Figure 2

Stratified analysis for association of physical activity level with hyperuricemia by sitting time group. Adjusted for age, gender, education level, marital status, average monthly income, smoking status, drinking status, dietary pattern, obesity, T2DM, hypertension and dyslipidemia status.
Figure 3

Regression estimates of physical effects (95% CI) on hyperuricemia as a function of sitting time by using generalized linear models. (A) model 1: Adjusted for physical activity and sitting time level; (B) model 2 included sitting time and physical activity as well as age, gender, education level, marital status, average monthly income, smoking status, drinking status, dietary pattern; (C) model 3, model 2 plus adjustment for obesity, T2DM, hypertension and dyslipidemia status.
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