Laparoscopic Surgery for Intestinal Obstruction Caused by an Internal Paracecal Hernia
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Abstract
Paracecal hernia is rarely associated with ischemia and has seldom been reported in the few published studies in the review literature. We present a case of incarcerated paracecal hernia with intestinal obstruction that was effectively treated with laparoscopic intervention. A 64-year-old woman who had not previously undergone any intestinal surgery arrived complaining of abdominal pain and vomiting at our hospital. Abdominal computed tomography suggested intestinal obstruction. A laparoscopic emergency procedure demonstrated an incarcerated small bowel loop in the paracecal region. The confined small bowel was removed from the paracecal area. On the fourth postoperative day, the patient was discharged. This case is unusual because the patient presented with small bowel strangulation, leading to intestinal obstruction. Laparoscopic surgery is beneficial for diagnosing internal hernias and curing small-bowel obstructions caused by paracecal hernias.
**Introduction**

Internal hernia is a rare cause of intestinal obstruction, accounting for just 0.5–5.8% of all cases [1]. Internal hernias can be congenital or acquired and are categorized into six types: paraduodenal, foramen of Winslow, paracecal, intersigmoid, transmesenteric or transmesocolic, and retroanastomotic. Internal hernias have nonspecific symptoms, which may delay the diagnosis. Internal hernias may be asymptomatic or manifest with abdominal pain, vomiting, and recurrent intestinal obstruction [1, 2]. The duration of the hernia, its reducibility, and the existence of imprisonment and strangulation all contribute to symptom severity. As the pain in paracecal hernias typically begins in the right lower quadrant, it is frequently misdiagnosed as appendicitis [1].

When the mortality rate exceeds 50%, untreated intestinal obstruction may lead to strangulation [2–4]. Paracecal hernia is a rare cause of small-bowel obstruction, accounting for 0.1–6.6% of all internal hernias [2, 3, 5].

Abdominal computed tomography (CT) is critical for diagnosing and managing patients with intestinal obstruction. Practically, patients should be continuously evaluated to ensure that the treatment provided is appropriate, thereby lowering the risk of intestinal necrosis. To our knowledge, less than 30 laparoscopically managed cases have been reported in the literature [6].

Here, we report a clinical case with intestinal obstruction caused by a small-bowel hernia; paracecal hernia was successfully treated with laparoscopic surgery. We conducted a study of the literature to determine the clinical characteristics and treatment options. This case described in this case report may be helpful to clinicians in terms of surgical indications and treatment options based on the clinical features and anatomical defects.

**Case Report**

In May 2021, a 64-year-old female patient with a history of mastectomy and eight cycles of postresection radiation therapy received 4 years ago presented with severe abdominal discomfort, periumbilical area tenderness, vomiting, and 2-day bowel obstruction due to mechanical intestinal obstruction. She was afebrile (temperature 36.8°C), had a respiratory rate of 21 breaths per minute, and was hemodynamically stable (heart rate 85 beats/min, blood pressure 130/80 mm Hg). Physical examination revealed that the abdominal wall was unresponsive. The patient’s pulse, blood pressure, abdominal pain, and blood count, baseline coagulation, and blood chemistry were assessed. A nasogastric tube was inserted.

Laboratory workup showed the following: white blood cell count, 9.9 × 10⁹/L; hemoglobin, 17 g/dL; platelet count, 360 × 10⁹/L; serum albumin, 31 g/L; carbohydrate antigen 19-9, 18 U/mL; and carcinoembryonic antigen, 2.8 g/L. The patient’s electrocardiogram showed normal sinus rhythm. Chest and abdomen radiography revealed typical small-bowel obstruction features, including dilated bowel loops with multiple air-fluid levels and 30–40 mm diameter. No air was present under the diaphragm (Fig. 1).

The patient was admitted for further workup. The transitional zone was located at a cluster of inflammatory distal ileal loops near the cecum and ileocecal valve. The diameter of the small bowel loop was 37 mm, and the thickness of the intestinal wall was 5 mm (Fig. 2). Neighboring small loculated collections were also present, although there was no free air. CT of the abdomen and axial and coronal images indicated small intestinal obstruction.

Subsequently, the patient was examined laparoscopically as an emergency case. Three trocars were placed on the abdominal wall. One 10 mm-trocar was positioned around the umbilical region, another 10 mm-trocar at the left iliac region, and a 5-mm trocar in the hypogastric area.
**Fig. 1.** A routine abdominal X-ray reveals intestinal gas in the patient's left upper abdomen.

**Fig. 2.** Abdominal enhanced computed tomography (CT) scan on admission. 

- **a** An enhanced CT scan shows signs of intestinal obstruction at a paracolic location, along with proximal small bowel dilatation (orange arrow).
- **b** Location of small-bowel obstruction close to the cecum (white circle).
- **c** Axial CT scan of the small intestine reveals a stenotic lesion in the right paracolic gutter.
The abdominal cavity was inflated with CO₂ at a pressure of 12 mm Hg. Intraoperatively, we found approximately 300 mL of exudate in the abdomen, mainly concentrated in the right iliac fossa and the Douglas pouch. The small bowel was distended, with a hernial sac containing a small bowel that passed through the inferior ileocecal recess (Fig. 3). The distal bowel was collapsed, and the appendix inflamed. The small intestines inside the hernial sac were released, and the hernial sac was opened, presenting a healthy, viable bowel. Moreover, the hernia sac incision was widened to prevent hernia recurrence. Finally, the color and peristalsis of the imprisoned loop returned to normal. Ultimately, intestinal resection was unnecessary (Fig. 3).

Furthermore, we prescribed antibiotics (basultam, 2 g × 2 vials daily, Medochemie Ltd., Factory C, Republic of Cyprus, and metronidazole, 500 mg × 2 vials daily, Fresenius Kabi Bidiphar, Vietnam). After 3 days, the patient was discharged. On surgical day 4, the patient was discharged without incident. Six months after the surgery, the patient gained 3 kg without gastrointestinal difficulties.

**Discussion**

Since the 1950s, paracecal hernia has been reported in the literature. It is a relatively uncommon internal hernia, resulting in small-bowel obstruction. Thus, early detection to avoid complications is paramount. Paracecal hernia accounts for only 0.1–6.6% of all internal hernia [1, 3]. Available reports suggest that they account for approximately 0.5% of all intestinal obstructions [3, 7, 8].

Preoperative diagnosis of pericecal hernias is challenging because of their rare appearance and nonspecific clinical symptoms. Small-bowel herniation is the most common type of intestinal herniation and is associated with a high rate of mechanical obstruction and rapid progression to strangulation. CT is a critical tool for evaluating intestinal obstruction and acute abdominal illnesses. It has become the imaging technique for patients with a suspected internal hernia [5, 9, 10]. Simple radiography and barium-enhanced investigations may reveal symptoms of bowel obstruction or indirect evidence of an internal hernia. However, CT is superior and highly accurate for the diagnosis of internal hernias. In contrast, visceral internal herniation is frequently identified only after laparotomy [4, 11]. Our patient was diagnosed with intestinal obstruction before surgery. This patient has not displayed any symptoms of necrotizing intestinal obstruction, such as a slow pulse, absence of fever, mild tenderness,
peritonitis, or white blood cell count elevation. However, we could not preoperatively diagnose paracecal hernia due to the lack of hernia characteristics on CT.

In recent years, laparoscopic surgery has been increasingly popular for treating small intestinal obstructions. Chest and abdomen radiography revealed typical features of small-bowel obstruction [12]. Laparoscopic surgery is highly diagnostic and less intrusive than open surgery. To date, seven cases of pericecal hernia treated with laparoscopy have been reported [1, 2, 8, 11, 13, 14]. To our knowledge, laparoscopic management is suitable for both diagnosis and therapy and is preferred because of a shorter recovery period. Even in patients requiring bowel resection, minimally invasive laparoscopic treatment may be possible for this condition. Laparoscopic surgery is the preferred treatment approach and the best choice when uncertain diagnosis. On the other hand, open surgery should be performed without delay if the patient shows signs of shock. When intestinal ischemia is detected, the initial approach is to reduce strangling. Opening or closing the hernia orifice is required to prevent a recurrence, although whether the orifice should be left open or completed is debatable [6, 11]. Tayaran et al. [10] closed the peritoneal defect using a continuous 3/0 polydioxanone suture. We chose to widen the opening pouch because it is technically more straightforward.

Table 1. Literature review of pericecal hernia cases from 1986 to 2021

| No | Author                  | Year | Age | Sex  | History of abdominal surgery | Classification of pericecal hernia | Method            | Resection of bowel |
|----|------------------------|------|-----|------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1  | Rivkind et al. [15]    | 1986 | 25  | Male | NA                           | Paracecal                          | Laparotomy        | –                 |
| 2  | Patterson and Klassen [16] | 2000 | 59  | Male | None                         | Paracecal                          | Laparotomy        | –                 |
| 3  | Lu et al. [17]         | 2002 | 69  | Male | None                         | NA                                 | Laparotomy        | –                 |
| 4  | Omori et al. [14]      | 2003 | 90  | Female | None                       | Retrocecal                         | Laparoscopy       | –                 |
| 5  | Osadchy et al. [18]    | 2005 | 76  | Male | None                         | Retrocecal                         | Laparotomy        | –                 |
| 6  | Fu et al. [19]         | 2006 | 34  | Male | None                         | Ileocecal                          | Laparotomy        | –                 |
| 7  | Hirokawa et al. [20]   | 2007 | 74  | Male | Appendectomy                 | Retrocecal                         | Laparoscopy       | –                 |
| 8  | Kabashima et al. [8]   | 2010 | 43  | Female | NA                         | Retrocecal                         | Mini-Laparoscopy  | –                 |
| 9  | Shibuya et al. [21]    | 2010 | 63  | Male | NA                           | Retrocecal                         | Laparotomy        | –                 |
| 10 | Choh et al. [5]        | 2010 | 65  | Female | None                        | NA                                 | Laparotomy        | +                 |
| 11 | Jang et al. [22]       | 2011 | 84  | Female | None                        | NA                                 | Laparotomy        | –                 |
| 12 | Nishi et al. [23]      | 2011 | 70  | Female | None                        | NA                                 | Laparotomy        | –                 |
| 13 | Kleyman et al. [24]    | 2013 | 34  | Male | None                         | NA                                 | Laparotomy        | –                 |
| 14 | Kumar et al. [25]      | 2015 | 88  | Female | None                        | Ileocecal                          | Laparotomy        | +                 |
| 15 | Sasaki et al. [26]     | 2016 | 65  | Male | None                         | Retrocecal                         | Laparoscopy       | –                 |
| 16 | Ogami et al. [13]      | 2016 | 92  | Male | Right Cholecystectomy        | Retrocecal                         | Laparoscopy       | –                 |
| 17 | Ito et al. [9]         | 2017 | 83  | Male | None                         | Retrocecal                         | Laparotomy        | –                 |
| 18 | Inukai et al. [3]      | 2017 | 54  | Male | None                         | Pericecal hernia                  | Laparoscopy + assisted | –                 |
| 19 | Plua-Muniz et al. [11] | 2019 | 84  | Female | None                        | Pericecal hernia                  | Laparoscopy       | –                 |
| 20 | Our patient            | 2021 | 64  | Female | None                        | Pericecal hernia                  | Laparoscopy       | –                 |

NA, not available.
Recent systematic evaluations comparing laparotomy versus laparoscopy for short-bowel obstruction found that laparoscopy was associated with lower morbidity, more temporary hospital stay, and faster recovery of bowel function. The studies concluded that laparoscopy is a feasible alternative to laparotomy for acute short-bowel obstruction performed by experienced surgeons [13].

We discovered only 19 publications detailing of internal hernia in the English language in a PubMed search of the literature published from 1986 to 2021 (Table 1). Our investigation revealed that the average patient age was 62 years, ranging from 25 to 92 years. There were no discernible disparities between men and women in terms of the incidence rates. According to the analysis, 2 patients had undergone abdominal surgery. Only 3 patients required intestinal resection because of intestinal ischemia. Congestive causes most commonly cause pericecal hernia. Additionally, it is probable that pericecal hernias are acquired due to fragility associated with aging, increased pressure in the internal abdomen, retroperitoneal adhesions, and other undiscovered reasons [3].

In our patient, laparoscopic surgery was successfully performed. However, this study had some limitations. The specifics relating to paracecal hernias are not available. This is understandable, given the rarity of the condition and the primary symptoms of mechanical intestinal obstruction. Follow-up is critical to determine how well laparoscopic surgery works and if widening hernia openings reduce the risk of recurrence and intestinal adhesions, which can occur after surgery.

**Conclusion**

Early laparoscopic surgery enhanced diagnostic and therapeutic outcomes in our situation. As a result, we recommend early laparoscopy for patients with small intestinal obstruction and no history of abdominal surgery.
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