Hirudin Regulates Vascular Function in Chronic Renal Failure through Modulating Macrophage Polarization
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1. Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a primary public health issue with an association of expensive costs, mortality, and morbidity [1]. CKD is commonly classified into five stages based on the glomerular filtration rate (GFR): Stage 1 (eGFR > 90 + ACR > 30), Stage 2 (eGFR 60-89 + ACR > 30), Stage 3 (eGFR 30-59), Stage 4 (eGFR 29-15), and Stage 5 (eGFR < 15) [2]. Stage 5 CKD, also known as end-stage kidney disease, is mainly defined as chronic renal failure (CRF). Dialysis and transplantation are acknowledged as major therapies for CRF patients [3]. Of these, hemodialysis (HD) is a widely accepted form of dialysis, which achieves blood purification by removing waste products, harmful electrolytes, and free water from the blood [4]. Arteriovenous fistula (AVF) is the preferred vascular access during HD process, which provides sufficient blood to ensure the adequacy of HD treatment [5]. The AVF maturation phase is involved in vascular proliferation, and dilated remodeling (mainly smooth muscle cells) is required [6]. However, AVF maturation failure remains a major obstacle for the effectiveness of HD treatment [7]. Hence, the exploration of promising drugs in smooth muscle cell dysfunction may be beneficial to the successful maturation of AVF in HD, so as to improve the survival rate of CRF patients.

Macrophages are a type of white blood cell present in all body parts and deemed as vital players in development, homeostasis, tissue repair, and immunity [8, 9]. Under different environmental factors, macrophages can be transformed into a variety of functional phenotypes, of which two distinct subsets are pro- (M1) or anti-inflammatory (M2) macrophages [10]. As reported, M1/M2 macrophage homeostasis is involved in the renal microenvironment and may participate in the progression of CKD [11]. More importantly, macrophages are related to AVF wall thickening and AVF maturation [12]. Consequently, it is of great significance for
AVF maturation to abrogate the activation and differentiation of M1 macrophages or to accelerate the transformation of M1 macrophages towards M2 macrophages.

Hirudin (Hiru), a peptide naturally occurring in leeches and their salivary glands, possesses anticoagulative property [13]. Also, more attention has been paid on the anti-inflammatory effects of Hiru. A large number of researches have mentioned that Hiru decreases inflammatory response in renal interstitial fibrosis [14], diabetic nephropathy [15], and acute cardiovascular disease [16]. Meanwhile, Pang et al. have uncovered that Hiru could elevate the expression of arginase-1 (Arg-1), a functional marker of M2 phenotype in high glucose-induced diabetes [17]. Accordingly, we made a conjecture that Hiru may regulate M1 and M2 macrophage polarization phenotype in CRF.

This paper concentrated on clarifying the function of Hiru on M1/M2 macrophage polarization in CRF rat models and in rat vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs) via simulating the vascular physiology changes during AVF.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animal Model. A total of 30 eight-week-old male Wistar rats (200-220 g) were purchased from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Company Limited (Shanghai, China). Rats in the CRF group were given different doses of Hiru (10, 20, and 40 IU/kg), respectively [18]. Subsequently, rats were euthanized at Day 0 (D0), D7, D14, and D28, respectively. The blood samples and arterial tissues were collected for subsequent experiments. The animal study was approved by the Animal Research Ethics Committee of Lianyungang Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine.

2.2. Measurement of Blood Urea Nitrogen (BUN) and Serum Creatinine (Scr). After centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C, blood samples were separated to obtain serum. The levels of renal function parameters BUN and Scr were detected by commercial kits from Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute (Nanjing, China).

2.3. Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) Staining. To mimic external vascular injury, the blood vessels were compressed and then loosened in the sham group. Rat aortic tissues were labeled with anti-immunohistochemical labeling kit (MaxVision Biotechnology, Fuzhou, China). At last, iNOS and Arg-1 expressions were detected under a light microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

2.5. Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA). With the aid of ELISA Kits from Abcam, the levels of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α; ab236712), interleukin 6 (IL-6; ab234570), and interleukin 10 (IL-10; ab214566) were estimated in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The OD value was read at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Molecular Devices, USA).

2.6. Cell Culture. RAW264.7 cells were provided by the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy. Primary rat VSMCs were obtained and isolated from rat thoracic aortas [19]. All cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Corning) that was inclusive of 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco) and 1% antibiotics and kept in culture at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

2.7. Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) Assay. VSMCs (5,000 cells/well) were seeded into 96-well plates and maintained for 12, 48, or 72 h. After addition of 10 μl CCK-8 solution (Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan) at 37°C for 2 h, cell viability was observed by absorbance at 450 nm with a microplate reader (Molecular Devices, USA).

2.8. Western Blot. Cell lysis buffer (Promega, Madison, WI) was employed for the dissolution of total protein, and 10% SDS-PAGE was used for the separation of protein samples. Afterwards, proteins were loaded onto PVDF membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA) and impeded with 5% nonfat milk at 37°C for 2h. The primary antibodies specific for CD86 (Abcam, 1 μg/ml, ab112490), iNOS (Abcam, 1:1000, ab283655), CD206 (Cell Signaling Technology, 1:1000, #24595), Arg-1 (Cell Signaling Technology, 1:1000, #93668), Bcl-2-associated X (Bax; Abcam, 1:1000, ab132503), B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2; Abcam, 1:1000, ab194583), cleaved caspase-3 (Cell Signaling Technology, 1:1000, #9664), caspase-3 (Abcam, 1:2000, ab184877), matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2; Abcam, 1:1000, ab92536), alpha-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA; Cell Signaling Technology, 1:1000, #19245), and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH; Abcam, 1:1000, ab181602) were added in the membranes for overnight incubation at 4°C. After incubation with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Abcam, 1:1000, ab133470) for 1h at
room temperature, protein blots were visualized using an ECL kit (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA).

2.9. Statistical Analysis. All experiments were repeated independently in triplicate. Data were displayed as the mean ± standard deviation. Student’s t-test was applied to assess the differences when two groups were compared. Differences between multiple groups were compared with the aid of one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. All analyses were performed with the use of GraphPad Prism 8.0 (La Jolla, CA, USA). P < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Hiru Attenuates Renal Injury in a Dose- and Time-Dependent Manner and Regulates M1/M2 Macrophages in CRF Rat Models. To figure out the functions of Hiru on renal function, the levels of renal injury markers BUN and Scr were detected. It was clearly observed that BUN and Scr levels were distinctly enhanced in CRF rat models relative to the sham group. Moreover, Hiru notably led to the reduction in BUN and Scr levels in a dose-dependent manner at D7, D14, and D28 (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). Intriguingly, compared to the sham group, the protein levels of M1 markers (CD86 and iNOS) in the model group were remarkably elevated, while M2 markers (CD206 and Arg-1) were markedly declined in the model group. After administration of increasing concentrations of Hiru, CD86 and iNOS expressions were decreased whereas CD206 and Arg-1 expressions were increased (Figure 1(c)), which implied that Hiru induced M2 polarization in CRF rat models. To be summarized, Hiru mitigated renal injury, suppressed M1, and stimulated M2 polarization.

3.2. Hiru Modulates Vascular Injury and Macrophage M1/M2 Polarization in CRF Rat Models. The changes in endothelial injury and smooth muscle layers were observed through H&E staining. The experimental results manifested that the aggravated endothelial injury and the attenuated vascular smooth muscle hyperplasia in the model group were reversed by Hiru in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2(a)). Also, as depicted in Figures 2(b) and 2(c), in comparison with the model group, a decline in iNOS expression and an elevation in Arg-1 expression were observed in the Hiru groups (10, 20, and 40 IU/kg). In a word, Hiru alleviated vascular injury while accelerated M2 polarization in CRF rat models.

3.3. M1 Macrophage Enhances Inflammatory Response. To obtain the M1 phenotype, RAW264.7 cells were induced by IFN-γ and LPS for 24 h. Then, the protein levels of iNOS and Arg-1 were detected by western blot. It was discovered that the iNOS protein level was obviously enhanced while the Arg-1 protein level was greatly lessened in the presence of IFN-γ and LPS (Figure 3(a)). More importantly, the levels of inflammatory factors TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-10 were dramatically increased in RAW264.7 cells treated with IFN-γ and LPS (Figure 3(b)). In summary, M1-polarized macrophages resulted in inflammation.

3.4. Hiru Serves as a Suppressor in Macrophage towards M1 Activation and VSMC Apoptosis While Functions as a Promoter in VSMC Proliferation. After VSMCs were cultured in the conditioned medium from M1 polarized macrophages, they were treated with additional 5, 10, and 20 U/ml concentrations of Hiru. Subsequently, it turned out that the viability of VSMCs was reduced by the addition of M1-CM, which was constantly restored by increasing concentrations of Hiru (Figure 4(a)). Further, Hiru also cut down the levels of TNF-α, IL-6, and enhanced IL-10 content induced by M1-CM, indicating that Hiru reduced inflammatory response in M1 macrophages (Figures 4(b)–4(d)). Similarly, Hiru protected VSMCs against macrophage towards M1 activation and VSMC apoptosis and promoted their proliferation in a macrophage-VSMC coculture system, as evidenced by the downregulated Bax, cleaved caspase-3/caspase-3, MMP2, and iNOS protein levels, as well as the upregulated Bcl-2 and α-SMA protein levels (Figure 4(e)). To be concluded, Hiru impeded M1 macrophage-mediated inflammation and VSMC apoptosis while promoted VSMC proliferation.

3.5. Hiru Is Involved in VSMC Proliferation, Apoptosis, and Inflammation through Modulating M1/M2 Polarization. As displayed in Figure 5(a), the viability of VSMCs was slightly weakened by M1 medium while this result was countervailed by increasing concentrations of M1+Hiru medium to some extent. Conversely, the inflammatory response was ameliorated when the induction process of M1-CM was stimulated with different concentrations of Hiru, as evidenced by the decreased TNF-α and IL-6 levels, as well as increased IL-10 level in the M1+Hiru medium groups (5, 10, and 20 U/ml) relative to the M1 medium group (Figures 5(b) and 5(d)). Additionally, the addition of 5, 10, and 20 U/ml of Hiru in the cultivation process of M1 medium lessened the expressions of Bax, cleaved caspase-3/caspase-3, MMP2, and iNOS, whereas raised the expressions of Bcl-2 and α-SMA (Figure 5(e)). As shown in Figure 5(f), in comparison with the M1 medium group, CD86 and iNOS expressions were decreased while CD206 and Arg-1 expressions were increased in the M1+Hiru medium groups dependent on increasing concentrations of Hiru. Taken together, Hiru contributed to VSMC proliferation and impeded apoptosis and inflammation via mediating M1/M2 polarization.

4. Discussion

AVF is deemed as an optimal vascular access for the process of HD, which is also a widely accepted renal replacement therapy for CRF on account of its superior patency, low risk of infection, few complications, and better outcomes [23, 24]. Nevertheless, major risk factors including vascular cell proliferation and migration, extracellular matrix remodeling, complicated interactions of growth factors, adhesion molecules, inflammatory mediators, and chemokines may result in AVF maturation failure, which is likely to further improve the morbidity and hospitalization of HD patients [25, 26]. Inflammation is a protective response that eliminates the initial cause of cell injury, removes the damaged necrotic tissues and cells, and triggers tissue repair under normal
However, the excessive and persistent inflammatory response may contribute to deleterious autoimmune process, which might even be the initiation of human diseases in most cases [28]. As an example, the chronic inflammatory response is regarded as a representative comorbidity of CKD, especially in dialysis patients [29]. Moreover, maladaptive, uncontrolled, and continuous inflammation may aggravate CRF via interacting with factors that emerge during the accumulation process of uremic toxins [30]. More importantly, inflammation is an indispensable driver of AVF maturation failure through mediating vascular response [31]. As we know, IL-6 and TNF-α are proinflammatory mediators while IL-10 is a typical anti-inflammatory cytokine [32, 33]. IL-6 and TNF-α have been determined to display high expression in CKD while the abnormal expression of IL-10 is also implicated as a risk factor for kidney disease [34, 35].
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Figure 2: Hiru modulates vascular injury and macrophage M1/M2 polarization in CRF rat models. (a) The endothelial injury and vascular smooth muscle hyperplasia were measured by H&E staining. IHC assay was to detect (b) iNOS and (c) Arg-1 expression in rat vascular tissues. Scale bar, 50 μm.

Figure 3: M1 macrophage enhances inflammatory response. (a) Western blot was to analyze the protein levels of iNOS and Arg-1. (b) ELISA assay was to examine the activities of TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-10. ***P < 0.001 vs. control.
Figure 4: Hiru serves as a suppressor in macrophage towards M1 activation and VSMC apoptosis while functions as a promoter in VSMC proliferation. VSMCs were treated with additional 5 U/ml, 10 U/ml, and 20 U/ml concentrations of Hiru after they were cocultured with conditioned medium from M1-polarized macrophages. (a) CCK-8 assay was to evaluate cell viability. ELISA assay was to measure the contents of (b) TNF-α, (c) IL-6, and (d) IL-10. (e) Western blot was to analyze the protein levels of Bax, Bcl-2, cleaved caspase-3, caspase-3, MMP2, iNOS, and α-SMA. *P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001 vs. control. **P < 0.05, ###P < 0.01, and ####P < 0.001 vs. M1 medium.
Figure 5: Continued.
Figure 5: Continued.
elevated iNOS level, M1/M2 ratio, TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-10 levels, as well as the decreased Arg-1 protein level in the presence of IFN-γ and LPS. Furthermore, the coincubation of conditioned medium from M1-polarized macrophages with VSMCs distinctly abrogated cell viability while reinforced cell apoptosis and inflammation.

Hiru is a direct inhibitor of thrombin, and its role in human diseases has been highlighted by emerging reports [13]. For instance, Yu et al. have proposed that Hiru mitigates Ang II-stimulated myocardial fibroblast fibrosis through inactivating the ERK1/2 pathway. Xie et al. have proved that Hiru protects against renal tubule injury and inflammation in UUO mice [14]. Han et al. have uncovered that Hiru attenuated kidney damage by suppressing inflammation in diabetic nephropathy [15]. Furthermore, Li et al. have illuminated that paclitaxel combined with Hiru eases inflammatory reactions of human coronary artery smooth muscle cells [16]. What is more, Hiru partially reverses the downregulated Arg-1 expression in streptozotocin-induced diabetes [17]. Consistent with these findings, after the establishment of CRF rat models, Hiru was discovered to decrease the levels of renal injury markers BUN and Scr in a concentration-dependent manner, implying that Hiru relieved renal and vascular endothelial injury while boosted vascular smooth muscle hyperplasia in CRF rat models. Meanwhile, the detection of M1 markers (CD86 and iNOS) and M2 markers (CD206 and Arg-1) revealed that Hiru facilitated the differentiation and activation of M1 macrophage towards M2 macrophage in CRF rat models. Subsequently, different concentrations of Hiru were utilized to treat VSMCs after they were cocultured with conditioned medium from M1-polarized macrophages. It turned out that Hiru motivated the viability while abrogated the apoptosis and inflammation of VSMCs. These results revealed that Hiru could not only inhibit inflammation and apoptosis by a direct treatment of VSMCs but also indirectly affect VSMC damage by changing the levels of inflammatory cytokines in macrophage-conditioned medium.
5. Conclusions

To be concluded, Hiru exerted the protective role against vascular injury in CRF directly or via mediating the inflammation in M1/M2 macrophage polarization. Anyway, this finding might refer to Hiru as a valuable target for the AVF maturation to improve the survival rate of CRF patients.

Data Availability

The experimental data will be available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethical Approval

The animal study was approved by the Animal Research Ethics Committee of Lianyungang Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by The Fifth Phase of the 333 Project for Scientific Research Project of Jiangsu Province in 2020 (Grant/Award Number: BRA2020259), The Six One Project of Top-Notch Talent Item for High-Level Health Talents in Jiangsu Province in 2017 (Grant/Award Number: LGY 2017064), and The Sixth Phase of the 521 Project for Scientific Research Project of Lianyungang City in 2021 (Grant/Award Number: LGY06521202103).

References

[1] D. Y. Gaitonde, D. L. Cook, and I. M. Rivera, “Chronic kidney disease: detection and evaluation,” American Family Physician, vol. 96, no. 12, pp. 776–783, 2017.
[2] N. R. Hill, S. T. Fatoba, J. L. Oke et al., “Global prevalence of chronic kidney disease - a systematic review and meta-analysis,” PLoS One, vol. 11, no. 7, article e0158765, 2016.
[3] D. M. Wark, “Hypnosis and end-stage renal disease: review and treatment,” The American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 36–48, 2020.
[4] Y. Kashima and S. Ninomiya, “Hemodialysis efficiency management from the viewpoint of blood removal pressure,” Therapeutic Apheresis and Dialysis, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 152–159, 2021.
[5] A. Masengu and J. Hanko, “Patient factors and haemodialysis arteriovenous fistula outcomes,” The Journal of Vascular Access, vol. 18, Suppl. 1, pp. 19–23, 2017.
[6] J. Gorecka, A. Fereydooni, L. Gonzalez et al., “Molecular targets for improving arteriovenous fistula maturation and patency,” Vasc Investig Ther, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 33–41, 2019.
[7] J. H. M. Tordoir, N. Zonnebel, M. M. van Loon, M. Gallieni, and M. Hellenbeck, “Surgical and endovascular intervention for dialysis access maturation failure during and after arteriovenous fistula surgery: review of the evidence,” European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 240–248, 2018.
[8] M. Locati, G. Curtale, and A. Mantovani, “Diversity, mechanisms, and significance of macrophage plasticity,” Annual Review of Pathology, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 123–147, 2020.
[9] T. A. Wynn, A. Chawla, and J. W. Pollard, “Macrophage biology in development, homeostasis and disease,” Nature, vol. 496, no. 7446, pp. 445–455, 2013.
[10] D. Y. Vogel, J. E. Glim, A. W. D. Stavenbuiter et al., “Human macrophage polarization in vitro: maturation and activation methods compared,” Immunobiology, vol. 219, no. 9, pp. 695–703, 2014.
[11] R. Guiteras, M. Flaquer, and J. M. Cruzado, “Macrophage in chronic kidney disease,” Clinical Kidney Journal, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 765–771, 2016.
[12] X. Guo, A. Fereydooni, T. Isaji et al., “Inhibition of the Akt1-mTORC1 axis alters venous remodeling to improve arteriovenous fistula patency,” Scientific Reports, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 11046, 2019.
[13] K. Rai, X. Chu, Z. Bao et al., “Enhanced antiangiogenic activity of hirudin-i analogue co-expressed with arylsulfotransferase in periplasm of _E. coli_ BL21(DE3),” Journal of Biotechnology, vol. 323, pp. 107–112, 2020.
[14] Y. Xie, F. Lan, J. Zhao, and W. Shi, “Hirudin improves renal interstitial fibrosis by reducing renal tubule injury and inflammation in unilateral ureteral obstruction (UOO) mice,” International Immunopharmacology, vol. 81, article 106249, 2020.
[15] J. Han, X. Pang, Y. Zhang, Z. Peng, X. Shi, and Y. Xing, “Hirudin protects against kidney damage in streptozotocin-induced diabetic nephropathy rats by inhibiting inflammation via P38 MAPK/NF-xB pathway,” Drug Design, Development and Therapy, vol. Volume 14, pp. 3223–3234, 2020.
[16] H. Li, X. Wang, and A. Xu, “Effect of paclitaxel-hirudin on the TLR4-MyD88 signaling pathway during inflammatory activation of human coronary artery smooth muscle cells and mechanistic analysis,” Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 1301–1317, 2018.
[17] X. Pang, Y. Zhang, Z. Peng, X. Shi, J. Han, and Y. Xing, “Hirudin reduces nephropathy microangiopathy in STZ-induced diabetes rats by inhibiting endothelial cell migration and angiogenesis,” Life Sciences, vol. 255, article 117779, 2020.
[18] K. Yang, B. Fan, Q. Zhao et al., “Hirudin ameliorates renal interstitial fibrosis via regulating TGF-β1/Smad and NF-xB signaling in UUO rat model,” Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, vol. 2020, Article ID 7291075, 9 pages, 2020.
[19] S. Dong, W. Xiong, J. Yuan, J. Li, J. Liu, and X. Xu, “MiRNA-146a regulates the maturation and differentiation of vascular smooth muscle cells by targeting NF-xB expression,” Molecules, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 407–412, 2013.
[20] F. Fan, R. Wang, D. R. Boulbes et al., “Macrophage conditioned medium promotes colorectal cancer stem cell phenotype via the hedgehog signaling pathway,” PLoS One, vol. 13, no. 1, article e0190070, 2018.
[21] X. Qu, G. Xu, X. Hou et al., “M1 macrophage-derived IL-6 promotes the osteogenic differentiation of ligamentum flavum cells,” Spine, 2022.
[22] J. Pou, A. Rebollo, L. Piera et al., “Tissue factor pathway inhibitor 2 is induced by thrombin in human macrophages,” Biochimie et Biophysica Acta, vol. 1813, no. 6, pp. 1254–1260, 2011.
[23] P. Brunet, “Treatment of chronic kidney failure by hemodialysis,” Soins, vol. 63, no. 826, pp. 21–23, 2018.
[24] M. Nanami, K. Suemitsu, Y. Nagasawa, Y. Hasuike, T. Kuragano, and T. Nakanishi, “Current topics in vascular
access: superficialization of arteriovenous fistula,” Contributions to Nephrology, vol. 198, pp. 1–11, 2019.

[25] H. Hu, S. Patel, J. J. Hanisch et al., “Future research directions to improve fistula maturation and reduce access failure,” Seminars in Vascular Surgery, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 153–171, 2016.

[26] C. C. Lin, W. C. Yang, M. C. Chen, W. S. Liu, C. Y. Yang, and P. C. Lee, “Effect of far infrared therapy on arteriovenous fistula maturation: an open- label randomized controlled trial,” American Journal of Kidney Diseases, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 304–311, 2013.

[27] L. J. Broom and M. H. Kogut, “Inflammation: friend or foe for animal production?,” Poultry Science, vol. 97, no. 2, pp. 510–514, 2018.

[28] R. D. Cervantes-Villagra, A. R. Cervantes-Villagra, and J. M. Presno-Bernal, “Signaling mechanisms involved in resolution of inflammation,” Gaceta Médica de México, vol. 150, no. 5, pp. 440–449, 2014.

[29] O. M. Akchurin and F. Kaskel, “Update on inflammation in chronic kidney disease,” Blood Purification, vol. 39, no. 1-3, pp. 84–92, 2015.

[30] G. Cobo, B. Lindholm, and P. Stenvinkel, “Chronic inflammation in end-stage renal disease and dialysis,” Nephrology, Dialysis, Transplantation, vol. 33, suppl_3, p. iii35, 2018.

[31] B. Campos, T. Lee, and P. Roy-Chaudhury, “Arteriovenous fistula failure: is there a role for epigenetic regulation?,” Seminars in Nephrology, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 400–406, 2013.

[32] G. Landskron, M. de la Fuente, P. Thuwajit, C. Thuwajit, and M. A. Hermoso, “Chronic inflammation and cytokines in the tumor microenvironment,” Journal of Immunology Research, vol. 2014, Article ID 149185, 19 pages, 2014.

[33] T. Bedke, F. Muscate, S. Soukou, N. Gagliani, and S. Huber, “IL-10-producing T cells and their dual functions,” Seminars in Immunology, vol. 44, article 101335, 2019.

[34] D. J. Oh, H. R. Kim, M. K. Lee, and Y. S. Woo, “Profile of human β-defensins 1,2 and proinflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-6) in patients with chronic kidney disease,” Kidney & Blood Pressure Research, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 602–610, 2013.

[35] I. Sinuani, I. Beberashvili, Z. Averbukh, and J. Sandbank, “Role of IL-10 in the progression of kidney disease,” World Journal of Transplantation, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 91–98, 2013.

[36] S. C. Funes, M. Rios, J. Escobar-Vera, and A. M. Kalergis, “Implications of macrophage polarization in autoimmunity,” Immunology, vol. 154, no. 2, pp. 186–195, 2018.

[37] A. Viola, F. Munari, R. Sánchez-Rodriguez, T. Scolaro, and A. Castegna, “The metabolic signature of macrophage responses,” Frontiers in Immunology, vol. 10, p. 1462, 2019.

[38] C. Ding, F. Han, H. Xiang et al., “Probiotics ameliorate renal ischemia-reperfusion injury by modulating the phenotype of macrophages through the IL-10/GSK-3β/PTEN signaling pathway,” Pflügers Archiv, vol. 471, no. 4, pp. 573–581, 2019.

[39] D. Zhu, T. K. Johnson, Y. Wang et al., “Macrophage M2 polarization induced by exosomes from adipose-derived stem cells contributes to the exosomal proangiogenic effect on mouse ischemic hindlimb,” Stem Cell Research & Therapy, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 162, 2020.

[40] T. A. Wynn and K. M. Vannella, “Macrophages in tissue repair, regeneration, and fibrosis,” Immunity, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 450–462, 2016.

[41] Q. Cao, D. C. Harris, and Y. Wang, “Macrophages in kidney injury, inflammation, and fibrosis,” Physiology (Bethesda), vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 183–194, 2015.

[42] Y. Matsubara, G. Kiwan, J. Liu et al., “Inhibition of T-cells by cyclosporine a reduces macrophage accumulation to regulate venous adaptive remodeling and increase arteriovenous fistula maturation,” Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. e160–e174, 2021.

[43] A. Shapouri-Moghaddam, S. Mohammadian, H. Vazini et al., “Macrophage plasticity, polarization, and function in health and disease,” Journal of Cellular Physiology, vol. 233, no. 9, pp. 6425–6440, 2018.