Development of Novel Products from Agro-Wastes (Rice Husks) and Characterization in Kenya
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Abstract: Rice growing is a popular agricultural activity in some areas in Kenya. The challenge is in the disposal of RH (Rice Husks). Rice production results in 20% RH as byproduct. Simple incineration of RH results in RH ash which is a source of valuable chemical products. The ash is 87-97% silica, highly porous and light weight, with a very high external surface area. The end product of RHA (Rice Husk Ash) after chemical treatment, sodium silicate, is a precious commodity that has myriad applications especially in detergent manufacture and soap production. The objective was to determine the best conditions to synthesize silica from agro-wastes (RH) in Kenya. The yield (% SiO₂) of the HCl (Hydrochloric Acid) leached RH at 500 °C was the highest at 99.2%. Overall these conditions were the best in producing silicate. Percentage (%) removal of each metal is different due to its chemical form in RH. The removal percentage of K is especially remarkable. And 0.5 M HCl leaching followed by thermal treatment of 600 °C gave the best increase in % silica dioxide. The same process removed the most metallic impurities (P₂O₅, K₂O, CaO, Mn and Zn). Leaching with 0.5 M HCl followed with thermal treatment of 500 °C gave the best overall yield in % silica dioxide. An increase in temperature from 600 °C slightly reduced the % silica dioxide content. The 0.5 M HCl used in these trials was able to produce 99.17% silica content from the Mwea RH. The SiO₂ obtained with the water washed RH sample is 97.37% as compared 99.17% (HCl-washed) and 99.02% (H₂SO₄ (Sulphuric Acid)-leached). The FTIR (Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy) spectra indicates HCl leaching avails more silica content than H₂SO₄ leaching.
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1. Introduction

Rice is a grass seed from Oryza sativa (Asian rice) species or Oryza glaberrima species also known as the African rice. Rice is grown widely across the globe and is usually a staple food for many people [1]. It is key to food security in many parts of the world mainly in Caribbean, Asia and Africa. According to Atera, et al. [2] and Majiwa, et al. [3] there are four main rice mills across Kenya and their capacities varies. For example, Western Kenya rice mills have a milling capacity of up to 3 metric tons, LBDA (Lake Basin Development Authority) has a milling capacity of 3.5 metric tons, Tana Delta with 3 metric tons and Mwea NIB (National Irrigation Board) mills up to 24 metric tons. Moreover, there are quite a number of rice traders across the country. The major traders consist of government owned NCPB (National Cereals and Produce Board), LBDA through their rice mills in Ahero, Mwea and Kibos processes and supplies milled rice to local supermarkets and retailers, NIB, Dominion Farms and Capwell Industries, Mwea
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According to Gewona [4], about 95% of rice grown in Kenya is irrigated. Private irrigated farms exist like Dominion Farms, Yala swamp region near Lake Victoria, LBDA as well as Mwea in central Kenya that has 78% of all irrigated farms. As a matter of fact, rice farming plays a vital role in poverty alleviation. Therefore, there is increased acceptance that rice and its products are a strategic commodity to fuel economic growth and contribute towards hunger and poverty reduction in Kenya. As a result, Kenya has embarked on an ambitious program to boost rice production capacity.

Rice has an outer layer known as the RH (Rice Husk) (or Hull). The RH is normally separated from the rice grains during the process of milling. About 20% of paddy weight is husk. Previously, Gummert and Rickman [5] indicated how RH has been largely considered as a waste product that has been often dumped or burned on landfills. However, RH is nowadays considered as an economic viability product and also as a product that helps in controlling environmental pollution in many countries. Therefore, some enterprising companies are turning it into various products not only for the eco-conscious market place but also for the industrial sector [6].

In Kenya, the production of rice has resulted in an increased production of RHs which have been in many cases with wastes considered [1, 7]. Additionally, RH management in the country has not been viable and sustainable and has majorly been via open incineration which has led to environmental pollution as well as release of greenhouse gases. Further, there is a proposition by UNEP (United Nations Environmental Program) for countries to recover valuable materials from the waste stream so as to enhance waste to wealth conversion. The UNEP suggestion is in tandem with the Vision 2030 that also aspires Kenya to move into an industrialized nation which can solely be supported through small and micro enterprises [2, 7].

Njogu, et al. [8] state that RH ones incinerated produce RHA (Rice Husk Ash) that contains high heat content that can be processed and utilized for industrial purposes. Literature has also pointed out that RHA has 80% silica content that can be converted to sodium silicate and other silica related products [9]. The end product of RHA, sodium silicate, is a precious commodity that has myriad applications especially in detergent manufacture and soap production. In addition, RH also helps in the production of activated carbon. There is a big market for the products of this study. It is hoped that the excess heat and chemical products generated in the project will be used for electricity generation, treatment of environment and in the production of detergents among other economic products.

1.1 Study Area and Population

The target population was all the rice growers in Mwea in Kenya. These included the rice milling areas in Mwea NIB which has 24 metric tons of rice production. The target population is concentrated in the two main towns of Wanguru and Ngurubani. The population is estimated to be over 200,000. There has been an influx of people to the towns. There has been growth in various agro-industries in the towns especially rice milling. Agriculture has developed rapidly and among other crops grown are tomatoes, onions, French beans and other horticultural crops.

Rice milling leads to the production of RHs which are unwanted by-product that can create environmental pollution problems. So as to help in curtailing the danger that RH may subject humans to and control environmental pollution, it is important to explore ways of generating chemical materials from RHs.

2. Methods

2.1 Sample Collection

About 20 kg of RHs were collected from (1) Mwea rice millers (Kirinyaga County), (2) Euro rice Millers (Kirinyaga County), (3) Jkuat bright project (Kiambu
Sample collected were divided into two portions and under-went different thermal treatments after being acid leached.

2.1.1 Un-leached Samples Preparation
The samples were washed for 10 min with tap water to remove soils adhering to the husks and rinsed with distilled water. Drying of the samples was done initially at room temperature and subsequently at an air oven (Memmert UF110) at 110 °C for 24 h.

2.1.2 Leached Samples Preparation
The samples were further divided in two portions and separately leached with general purpose acid reagents (GPR) namely (0.5 M HCl) Hydrochloric Acid and (0.5 M H2SO4) Sulphuric Acid. This was done by mixing the sample and acid at 60 °C for 30 min with constant stirring. The acid solution was removed by washing with water, rinsing with distilled water until free from acids. Litmus paper was used to ensure the acidity was removed. The leached samples were then filtered and then air-dried for 24 h at room temperature. Final drying was then done for 2 h at 110 °C in an oven (Memmert UF110).

The acid leached and unleached samples were then charred in a combustion chamber for 30 min to remove carbonaceous matter and subsequently thermally treated at an electronic muffle furnace (Advantec KL-420) at 400 °C, 500 °C and 600 °C for 30 min.

Fernandes, et al. [10] have determined that a pretreatment like acid or alkaline leaching followed by thermal treatment increases the amount of silica produced by reduction of carbonaceous materials. Their results also showed that it is possible to produce silica from RHA using simple methods, and that the produced silica has purity above 98%.

The samples were analyzed for silica content using an Brukers X-ray Fluorescence S1 Titan instrument. Since XRF is a non-destructive technique, it is ideal for analyzing and sorting incoming material, finished goods and in-process production parts.

2.2 Sample Treatment and TXRF (Total X-Ray Fluorescence) Analysis

2.2.1 Rice Ash Prepared from RH
Acid leaching was done by treating the RRH (Raw RH) with dilute HCl (1:1) in distilled water for 1 h. It was then washed thoroughly with distilled water. The process was repeated with dilute H2SO4. The treated husk was then taken out and washed thoroughly in distilled water followed by drying in air for 24 h. About 5 g samples of RRH and ARH (Acid-Treated Rice Husk) were then taken in separate cylindrical alumina crucibles and introduced into a muffle furnace for pyrolysis at different temperatures varying from 400, 500 to 700 °C at an interval of 100 °C for 2 h soaking time in static air.

2.2.2 Sodium Silicate Solution from Rice Ash
RH was burnt openly and the ash leached with water. The leached samples were treated with different concentrations of NaOH to find the optimum amount needed to produce the best quality sodium silicate solution.

RH was also thermally treated, charred in a combustion chamber for 30 min to remove carbonaceous matter and subsequently ashed at an electronic muffle furnace (Advantec KL-420) at 400 °C, 500 °C and 600 °C for 30 min.

The samples were then leached with distilled water. Sixty (60) g of leached RHA was mixed with 300 mL of 1 M, 2 M, 3 M NaOH solution in a pyrex 500 mL beaker. The solution was boiled at 100 °C for 1 h with constant mixing. The solutions were then decanted into sample bottles and analyzed by using an XRF S1 TITAN.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 % SiO2 Yields with Three Treatments

The HCl leached sample produced more % SiO2 as shown in Fig.1. Acid leaching is an efficient method of removing metallic impurities before combustion.
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Fig. 1  SiO\textsubscript{2} (g/g) content after leaching (0.5 M HCl, 0.5 M H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}), after thermal treatment.

Fig. 2  SiO\textsubscript{2} (g/g) content of the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} treated samples at three temperatures.

and incinerating the RH. Results have showed that, the percentage yield of silica is high when acid washed RHA is used as compared with un-leached RHA [10].

Fig. 2 shows significant % content differences between the un-leached (W) and acid leached samples (U/L).

Statistical analysis of the two data sets from Fig. 2 at the three different temperatures produced the above results. \( F = 0.023978 \) less F critical one implies there is significant difference between the values at 95% confidence level. This implies acid leaching causes a significant difference to the SiO\textsubscript{2} content at the three temperatures. Yal\c{c}in and Sevinc [11] demonstrated that incineration of RH at between 600 °C and 800 °C preceded by chemical and thermal pretreatment produces high purity silica. The authors used different chemical and thermal treatment approaches, but reported that acid leaching pretreatment followed by oxygen-rich incineration and combustion of RH produced the highest silica content. One of the reasons why it is more difficult to obtain silica with purity above 97% from RH by direct incineration is the presence of metallic contaminants, especially potassium and sodium oxides [12]. These compounds affect the surface of silica particles,
increasing their surface area and reactivity, and thereby increase silica crystallization rate. Acid leaching followed by thermal treatment induced the production of silica with the lowest carbon level [1, 13]. The fact is that acid leaching prevents the formation of black particles, since it removes potassium, the main agent responsible for the presence of unburnt carbon in ash.

Fernandes, et al. [14] found that a pretreatment strategy to remove metallic contaminants using acid leaching results in high purity silica with particles of larger specific surface area. A study done by the authors shows that it is possible to produce silica from RHA using simple processes. The various production methods tested resulted in silica with purity above 98%, especially acid leaching followed by thermal treatment. Using 0.5 M HCl as a leaching agent gave the best result.

Acid leaching followed by thermal treatment induced the production of silica with the lowest carbon level (0.09%). Atera, et al. [2] and Krishnarao, et al. [13] explained that acid leaching prevents the formation of black particles, since it removes potassium, the main agent responsible for the presence of unburnt carbon in ash. The authors showed that potassium works as catalyst in the crystallization of silica and, when temperature rises above the dissociation temperature of K₂O (approximately 347 °C), the surface of ash particles begins to melt, blocking the transportation of oxygen and CO₂ and increasing the amount of unburnt carbon.

3.2 % Elemental Content Resulting from the Treatments

At 500 °C F crit (3.5545) is slightly greater than F (2.12) this implies the treatments do not differ significantly in their effect. The yield (% SiO₂) of the HCl leached RH at this temperature was the highest at 99.2%. Overall these conditions were the best in producing silicate.

The results suggest that the removal degree of each metal is different due to each of its chemical form in RH. The removal percentage of K is especially remarkable.

Muhammad [16] has described how thermal decomposition of raw RH starts at about 230 °C which is quite late compared to ARH (200 °C). Moreover, the ARH undergoes greater mass loss. In case of ARH, commencement of thermal decomposition at lower temperature can be ascribed to two factors: (i) acid leaching of partially oxidized carbohydrates and (ii) activated amide groups in RH such as -NH₂ and -CN. Authors like Riveros and Garza [17], Chakraverty, et al. [18] and Krishnaraoa, et al. [19] have concluded that preliminary simple acid leaching of RH before thermal treatment is highly effective in substantially removing most of the metallic impurities and producing silica ash with a high specific surface area (< 250 m²/g) and small particle sizes (< 5 nm). There are several leaching agents that can be used in the extraction of inorganic impurities from RH such as HCl, H₂SO₄ and HNO₃. Using H₂SO₄ leads to formation of metallic sulphates (CaSO₄), some of which are not easily soluble in water. HCl is cheaper and more effective [15]. The authors also found that:

The silica content of husk ash can be increased from 88.03% to 99.70% by HCl treatment before calcination process.

The amount of element removed after both acid treatments at different thermal temperatures is calculated from the previous Tables 1-3 and tabulated below.

From the results it is apparent that 0.5 M HCl leaching followed by thermal treatment of 600 °C (Table 5) gave the best increase in % silica dioxide. The same process removed the most metallic impurities (P₂O₅, K₂O, CaO, Mn and Zn). Leaching with 0.5 M HCl followed with thermal treatment of 500 °C (Table 4) gave the best overall yield in % silica dioxide. An increase in temperature from 600 °C (Table 6) slightly reduced the % silica dioxide content.
Table 1  % Elemental content of un-leached and acid leached RHA at 500 °C.

| % Element | Un-leached | HCl-leached | H2SO4-leached |
|-----------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| SiO2      | 97.372 ± 1.489 | 99.173 ± 1.325 | 99.020 ± 1.348 |
| MgO       | *          | *           | *             |
| Al2O3     | 0.172 ± 0.431 | 0.117 ± 0.382 | *             |
| P2O5      | 0.430 ± 0.070 | 0.204 ± 0.049 | 0.247 ± 0.052 |
| K2O       | 1.177 ± 0.034 | 0.141 ± 0.015 | 0.161 ± 0.015 |
| CaO       | 0.582 ± 0.025 | 0.235 ± 0.016 | 0.290 ± 0.017 |
| Mn        | 0.088 ± 0.013 | 0.030 ± 0.007 | 0.037 ± 0.008 |
| Fe        | 0.125 ± 0.011 | 0.082 ± 0.008 | 0.095 ± 0.009 |
| Zn        | 0.011 ± 0.003 | 0.004 ± 0.002 | 0.006 ± 0.002 |
| Cl        | 0.027 ± 0.027 | *           | *             |
| Na2O      | *          | *           | *             |
| S         | 0.045 ± 0.024 | 0.027 ± 0.020 | 0.113 ± 0.025 |

* Not detectable;

% Elemental Content of 0.5 M HCl-Leached, 0.5 M H2SO4-Leached and Un-leached RH Samples at 500 °C.

Table 2  % Elemental content of un-leached and acid (0.5 M HCl & 0.5 M H2SO4) leached RHA at 600 °C.

| % Element | Un-leached | HCl-leached | H2SO4-leached |
|-----------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| SiO2      | 95.933 ± 1.464 | 98.899 ± 1.330 | 98.237 ± 1.332 |
| MgO       | *          | *           | *             |
| Al2O3     | *          | 0.402 ± 0.390 | 0.506 ± 0.388 |
| P2O5      | 0.622 ± 0.085 | 0.252 ± 0.052 | 0.256 ± 0.054 |
| K2O       | 2.172 ± 0.052 | 0.107 ± 0.015 | 0.185 ± 0.015 |
| CaO       | 0.817 ± 0.029 | 0.245 ± 0.016 | 0.418 ± 0.019 |
| Mn        | 0.138 ± 0.016 | 0.027 ± 0.007 | 0.059 ± 0.008 |
| Fe        | 0.093 ± 0.010 | 0.133 ± 0.012 | 0.127 ± 0.009 |
| Zn        | 0.016 ± 0.004 | 0.005 ± 0.002 | 0.006 ± 0.002 |
| Cl        | 0.048 ± 0.039 | *           | *             |
| Na2O      | *          | *           | *             |
| S         | 95.933 ± 1.464 | 98.899 ± 1.330 | 98.237 ± 1.332 |

* Not detectable;

% Elemental Content of 0.5 M HCl-Leached, 0.5 M H2SO4-Leached and Un-leached RH Samples at 600 °C.

Table 3  % Elemental content of un-leached and acid leached RHA at 700 °C.

| % Element | Un-leached | HCl-leached | H2SO4-leached |
|-----------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| SiO2      | 96.266 ± 1.40 | 98.999 ± 1.358 | 98.647 ± 1.332 |
| MgO       | *          | *           | *             |
| Al2O3     | *          | 0.243 ± 0.387 | 0.073 ± 0.389 |
| P2O5      | 0.563 ± 0.075 | 0.242 ± 0.051 | 0.295 ± 0.056 |
| K2O       | 1.936 ± 0.042 | 0.142 ± 0.015 | 0.227 ± 0.017 |
| CaO       | 0.773 ± 0.027 | 0.251 ± 0.017 | 0.477 ± 0.020 |
| Mn        | 0.130 ± 0.015 | 0.086 ± 0.008 | 0.058 ± 0.010 |
| Fe        | 0.119 ± 0.012 | 0.120 ± 0.009 | 0.108 ± 0.009 |
| Zn        | 0.014 ± 0.003 | 0.005 ± 0.002 | 0.008 ± 0.002 |
| Cl        | 0.024 ± 0.033 | *           | 0.002 ± 0.032 |
| Na2O      | *          | *           | *             |
| S         | *          | *           | *             |

* Not detectable;

% Elemental Content of 0.5 M HCl-Leached, 0.5 M H2SO4-Leached and Un-leached RH Samples at 700 °C Thermal Treatment.
Kurama, H., and Kurama, S. K. [15] found that the silica percent of RH does not show any linear relationship with molarities of acid used during the treatment. However, the silica content of ash is increased from 88.02% to 99.50% for 2 M HCl concentration. The 0.5 M HCl used in these trials was able to produce 99.17% silica content from the Mwea RHs. The SiO₂ obtained with the water washed RH sample is 97.37% as compared with 99.17% (HCl-washed) and 99.02% (H₂SO₄-leached).
3.4 Characterization of the Samples (Silica) Using FTIR (Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy)

The acid leached/un-leached samples were pressed in a hydraulic press between smooth stainless steel dies (at 10 tons pressure for 2 min) to give a clear KBr disk. The samples were then read using the FTIR spectrophotometer IRTracer-100. The results are as displayed below.

The four main characteristic absorption bands indicate presence of an inorganic compound. Broad absorption bands at above 3,000 cm$^{-1}$ imply an unsaturated C-H possibly from the hydrocarbons of the soap used. The moderate to intense bands at 1,600-1,300, 1,200-1,000 and 800-600 cm$^{-1}$ also indicate presence of a hydroxyl compound. The relatively narrow absorption at 1,626 cm$^{-1}$ indicates olefin unsaturation and aromatic compound possibly of the detergent used. The multiple bands between 1,000-880 cm$^{-1}$ indicate C-H out-of-plane bending. The absorption at 1,100-900 cm$^{-1}$ is a marker for the presence of silicate ion. An e-FTIR (Fourier Transform Infra-Red) software scan gave the following report.

FTIR spectra of pure silica typically exhibit peaks in two distinct regions (Fidalgo and Ilharco, [20]; Swan and Patwardhan: [21] peaks at $>$ 2,500 cm$^{-1}$ and $<$ 1,300 cm$^{-1}$). The first region corresponds to $\text{−OH}$ (Hydroxyl) stretching from absorbed or molecular water, while the second region occurs due to several silica modes. While containing a number of peaks, the silica region can be primarily separated into three peaks at: a broad peak at $\sim$1,100 cm$^{-1}$ and $\sim$450 cm$^{-1}$, $\sim$800 cm$^{-1}$. The absorption at $\sim$450 cm$^{-1}$ has been assigned to rocking motion of oxygen atoms. The symmetric vibrations of silicon atoms in a siloxane bond occur at $\sim$800 cm$^{-1}$. The largest peak observed in a silica spectrum is present at $\sim$1,100 cm$^{-1}$.

The average FTIR instrument records spectra from an upper limit of around 4,000 cm$^{-1}$ down to 400 cm$^{-1}$.
as defined by the optics of the instrument (commonly based on potassium bromide, KBr).

4. Conclusions

The FTIR spectra also indicate HCl leaching avails more silica content than H2SO4 leaching. Washing with soap and leaching with HCl seems to decrease silica content. There is less transmittance when RH is initially leached with HCl. The results suggest that the removal degree of each metal is different due to each of its chemical form in RH. The removal percentage of K is especially remarkable.

From the results, it is apparent that 0.5 M HCl leaching followed by thermal treatment of 600 °C gave the best increase in % silica dioxide. The same process removed the most metallic impurities (P2O5, K2O, CaO, Mn and Zn). Leaching with 0.5 M HCl followed with thermal treatment of 500 °C gave the best overall yield in % silica dioxide. An increase in temperature from 600 °C slightly reduced the % silica dioxide content.

The 0.5 M HCl used in these trials was able to produce 99.17% silica content from the Mwea RH.

The SiO2 obtained with the water washed RH sample is 97.37% as compared with 99.17% (HCl-washed) and 99.02% (H2SO4-leached).
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