The Impact of Subsyndromal Bipolar Symptoms on Patient’s Functionality and Quality of Life
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Subsyndromal symptoms have rarely been in the focus of bipolar research. This may be, in part, due to the fact that there is neither a uniform definition nor do they constitute an indication of regulatory and commercial interest. Nevertheless, they do have a decisive impact on the long-term course of bipolar disorder (BD), and the degree of functionality and quality of life (QoL) is more likely determined by their presence or absence than by acute episodes. Summarizing the literature an estimated 20–50% of patients suffer inter-episodically or chronically from subsyndromal BD. The most prominent symptoms that interfere with functionality are subsyndromal depression, disturbances of sleep, and perceived cognitive impairment, whereas anxiety negatively impacts on QoL. In the absence of evidence-based pharmacological treatments for subsyndromal BD, clinical practice adopts guidelines designed for treatment-resistant full-blown episodes of BD, supplemented by cognitive-behavioral, family focused or social-rhythm-based psychotherapies.
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INTRODUCTION

Reality has taught us that Kraepelin’s assumption of full recovery as a decisive distinction between manic-depressive illness and dementia praecox does not hold true in a fair proportion of bipolar patients. Five years after onset of bipolar disorder (BD), at least 13% of patients suffer from a chronic course without remission (1). Persisting subsyndromal symptoms of BD (SSBD) impact on patients functionality and quality of life (QoL), and put them on elevated risk of relapse (2) and an overall more detrimental course of illness with longer duration of illness episodes and more lifetime psychotic symptoms (3). Adapting the dimensional view of BD as proposed by van Os and Kapur (4) subsyndromal symptoms are not necessarily restricted to mood, but may also persist in the domains of cognition and, if present during an acute episode, positive, and negative symptoms. However, little is known about the effects of persisting psychotic symptoms in bipolar patients, the bulk of data points toward subthreshold depression, impaired cognition and disturbed circadian rhythm as the most relevant SSBD (Figure 1) (5–8). Both subsyndromal depression and impaired cognition appear to act directly and independently on functionality (5, 8), whereas there is only a moderate indirect effect of sleep disturbances on functioning mediated via residual depressive symptoms and perceived cognitive impairments (5). Whereas subsyndromal depressive symptoms
might be a crucial driver of psychosocial disability in all age
groups, subsyndromal mania may be more abundant in old age
bipolar patients than in younger patients (9).

SSBD is a topic that has been neglected for a long time in
bipolar research. This may be, in part, due to the fact that there is
neither a uniform definition and understanding what constitutes
SSBD, nor does SSBD constitute an indication eligible for a
marketing claim. However, with recent research on the nature,
impact and mostly psychological interventions it appears timely
to summarize our—still limited—knowledge.

This review focuses on three topics: Definition and frequency
of SSBD, Impact of SSBD on functionality and QoL, and
pharmacological and psychological therapies applied in SSBD.
The review is not meant to be a complete and systematic review
of the topic, it summarizes selected findings on SSBD and its
treatment to support clinicians in identifying SSBD and guiding
treatment selection. The review is based on a PubMed search
covering published articles between 1970 and 2019 with the
search terms “Bipolar disorder”, “mania”, “bipolar depression”,
combined with either “subsyndromal”, “minor”, and “chronic”.
Papers selected for this review are the authors’ subjective choice
based on perceived novelty and general interest of the findings
reported. In addition, reviewers of this article added valuable
suggestions on further reports that deserve inclusion. Subsyndromal symptoms in BD may occur as integral part of
some bipolar spectrum disorders, e.g., cyclothymia, where mood
swings do not satisfy full syndromal criteria, as precursors of a
full-blown episode or as residual symptoms after either a manic
or depressive episode, sometimes with chronicity (10—
12). In adolescents, prodromal syndromes may precede a first
episodes for years, and their duration and extent might predict

FIGURE 1 | The trias of SSBD, their interaction and impact on functionality. A hypothetical model adapted from (5). Applying a structural equation model (SEM),
Samalin et al. (5) demonstrated a significant direct effect of both residual depressive symptoms and perceived cognitive impairment on functionality. In addition,
residual depressive symptoms also have a direct effect on perceived cognitive impairment but no significant indirect effect on functioning via perceived cognitive
impairment. The direct pathway between sleep disturbances and functioning was not significant, however, the SEM confirmed the presence of a moderate indirect
effect of sleep disturbances on functioning via residual depressive symptoms and perceived cognitive impairments.

FIGURE 2 | Subsyndromal symptoms in BD may occur as integral part of some bipolar spectrum disorders, e.g., cyclothymia, where mood swings do not satisfy full syndromal criteria, as precursors of a full-blown episode (A) or as residual symptoms after either a manic or depressive episode, sometimes with chronicity (B).
DEFINITION OF SUBSYNDROMAL SYMPTOMS IN BIPOLAR DISORDER

In a past review, Bauer and colleagues identified 77 articles on subsyndromal BD published between 1987 and 2007, and virtually no common definition of subsyndromal mood symptoms was used (15). Until today, there is no uniform, generally accepted and operationalized definition of SSBD. Definitions can be based on being short of syndromal criteria for a major mood episode as defined by Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, 4th edition (DSM IV), e.g., exhibiting at least two, but less than five criteria for a major depressive episode (MDE) (“minor depression”) (16). For subsyndromal BD II depression, Benazzi (17) proposed quite restrictive criteria taking also functionality into account: (a) residual depressive symptoms between the last two consecutive MDEs (the DSM-IV longitudinal course specifier “without full interepisode recovery”), (b) residual depressive symptoms lasting more than 2 years, (c) 2–4 MDE symptoms (recorded as present or absent with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM IV Disorders – Clinician Version (SCID-CV), (d) mild to moderate impairment of functioning [Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF) score 60–70]. The definition by Benazzi et al., however, targets merely subsyndromal depression, but not mania or other domains such as cognition. More frequently, symptomatic rating scales such as the Young Mania Rating scale (YMRS), Mania rating Scale (MRS), Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS), or Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating scale (MADRS) (18–20) are used to define both manic and depressive subsyndromal states. The International Society of Bipolar Disorder (ISBD) consensus criteria defines bipolar patients with a YMRS Score 8–14 as subsyndromal manic, and with a HRDS score 8–14 as subsyndromal depressed (21), but other authors allow for a wider range (YMRS 11–20, HRDS 7–17 (20)). Finally, some publications equate subsyndromal states with “mild mania (YMRS 5–10)” or “mild depression (HAM-D 7–15)” (22).

FREQUENCY OF SUBSYNDROMAL SYMPTOMS IN BIPOLAR DISORDER

A Spanish cohort study over 5 years using ISBD criteria found SSBD in more than 20% of BD patients (23). Applying in another analysis of this study, the wider criteria of De Dios et al. (20) instead of the ISBD criteria increases the percentage of bipolar spectrum patients with subsyndromal symptoms by one-third (36% vs. 22.6%) with a clear preponderance of subsyndromal depression, independent from criteria used (19). Similar figures have been reported from other observational studies (2).

Emerging subsyndromal symptoms are even more frequent in the built-up of an acute episode. Seventy-six percent of BD patients reported subsyndromal, prodromal hypomanic symptoms, and 39% subsyndromal, prodromal depressive symptoms preceding an acute episode (24). Even higher numbers have been reported by Keitner et al. (25) in a BD I cohort study: 78% of the patients reported prodromal depressive symptoms and 87% reported prodromal manic symptoms, and more than half of the patients still exhibits residual symptoms after an acute episode (54% following an MDE and 68% after a manic episode).

However, we have to keep in mind that the definition of subsyndromal states and time criteria vary between studies. Especially, the issue of duration, i.e., how long should symptoms last to satisfy SSBD diagnosis, is critical. SSBD can be rather short if a prodromal state, and lasting in the aftermath of an acute episode. SSBD can be a cross-sectional as well as a longitudinal description of a mood state; cross-sectional if based on rating scale scores at time of examination, e.g., the ISBD criteria (21), short longitudinal if longer than 1 week (22), or lasting longer than 2 years as proposed by Benazzi et al. (17).

Residual depressive symptoms are also abundant in BD II patients, 44.9% of patients have residual symptoms after and MDE despite using restrictive criteria (17). Comparing subsyndromal depressive symptoms in remitted unipolar and bipolar patients, it appears that unipolar patients may have more residual symptoms than bipolar patients, particularly in items related to anxiety and somatic complaints (26).

But even patients who are in remission according to the Clinical Global Impression scale (CGI) are not necessarily free of symptoms and impairment, and are trapped in the gap between remission and recovery. In selected clinical samples receiving optimized treatment, such as the Stanley Foundation Bipolar Network (SFBN) (10) cohort, enduring subsyndromal symptoms, especially of depression, anxiety or physical discomfort, are observable in at least 10% of bipolar patients despite fulfilling formalized criteria of remission (11).

IMPACT ON FUNCTIONALITY

SSBD is not only a predictor of early relapse (27) but also of frequent comorbidities (22), poor functional outcome and low QoL (26, 28–30). Even after a single manic episode, only one out of three patients regains psychosocial functioning at 1-year follow-up (31), suggesting that functional outcomes in BD are impaired from the very beginning. Unfortunately, there is no uniform consensus how to measure psychosocial functioning in BD. The Task Force of the ISBD examined different definitions of psychosocial functioning but without reaching a consensus (21). The task force referred to the definition provided by the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) (32) in which functioning comprises three later service usage (13). Besides mood and subthreshold psychotic symptoms, anxiety disorders have been identified as a frequent prodromal bipolar symptom (14). The focus of this article, however, is on subsyndromal symptoms in the aftermath of an episode as they do have a pronounced effect on functionality and long-term outcome.
different components: body structures and functions; activities and participation; and personal environmental factors. Moreover, the authors of these guidelines underlined that this construct is probably too complex to be applied to BD, and that besides the ICF, the Functioning Assessment Short Test (FAST) scale (33) might constitute a more practical approach to measure functioning. Another widely used instruments to estimate global functioning is the GAF (34). However, the DSM-5 no longer encourages the use of the GAF. Instead, the use of the self-rated World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule 2.0 (WHODAS 2.0) has been recommended (35), but to date little experience exists about its usefulness and applicability in BD.

A SFBN study prospectively evaluated the association between the presence of subsyndromal depressive symptoms in 759 patients with BD and role functioning (28). Subsyndromal depression was operationalized using cut-off scores on the Inventory of Depressive Symptoms-Clinician Rated (IDS-C) and the CGI for Bipolar Disorder (CGI-BP). Patients were divided into three groups: no depression (n = 292, IDS-C score of <13 for the prior 2 weeks), subsyndromal depression (n = 291, IDS-C scores 13 to 27 for the prior 2 weeks), and syndromal depression (n = 179, IDS-C scores > 28 for the prior 2 weeks). To ensure that all subjects were not currently experiencing depressive symptoms as part of a mixed mania, a score of 1 (not ill) on the CGI-BP severity of mania item was also mandatory. Patient functioning in four role domains (work, home duties, family life, and friendships) was assessed using the Life Functioning Questionnaire (LFQ), a 5-min, 14-item, gender-neutral self-report questionnaire designed to assess role function over the preceding month (36). The subsyndromal depressed group was significantly more likely than the no depression group to report impairment at work (64% vs. 31%), with duties at home (75% vs. 38%), in their relationships with family (59% vs. 34%) and friends (56% vs. 18%), and in life functioning overall (70% vs. 32%), and across all domains of role function, the proportion of patients who were impaired were more similar in the subsyndromal and syndromal depression groups than to the no depression group. But not only persistent low mood determines functionality, but also unstable affect, both negative and positive. A study in 27 subjects with BD I examining the prospective influence of inter-episode affect dysregulation on symptoms and functional impairment, and found that mood instability in general during the inter-episode period was associated with greater impairment in home and work functioning (37).

The OPTHYMUM study (29) in 525 BD patients across France chose the converse approach. This cross-sectional study looked into the associations and consequences of low functioning, defined as a GAF score <60. These “low functioning patients” had significantly more frequent emotional subsyndromal symptoms (emotional lability and numbing), disruption of circadian rhythms, sexual disorders, and perceived more cognitive deficits. In addition, they suffered more social and family stigma, were more frequently unemployed and had increased numbers of manic episodes and psychotic symptoms.

The tight association between subsyndromal symptoms and low GAF scores has also been confirmed by McQueen et al. (22) using prospectively collected life charting data from 138 patients with BD. Looking into co-morbidities, SSBD patients were on an even higher risk of eating and anxiety disorders than syndromal patients. A post hoc analysis of prospectively collected data by Bennett et al. demonstrated in the SFBN cohort a significant interaction between attention-deficit and anxiety comorbidity and low GAF scores (38). In line with this, a prospective Dutch cohort study demonstrated that subsyndromal anxiety also been impacts on functionality in unipolar depression (39).

In youth with BD-I, a relatively long, predominantly slow-onset mania prodrome appears to be common, including subthreshold manic and depressive psychopathology symptoms (40). Little, however, has been reported about functional prdomal symptoms before a first manic/mixed episode and the diagnosis of a BD. A literature search by Faedda et al. prospectively identified subsyndromal symptoms both of mania and depression as precursors of BD that typically arose years prior to syndromal onset (14). Based on a survey conducted in members of the NDMDA, Hirschfeld and colleagues noted that in majority of patients many domains of social functioning had been already dysfunctional prior to a first BD episode (41), most likely due to subsyndromal symptoms.

In a cross-sectional study of Keitner et al. (25) more than half of the patients disclosed residual symptoms of depression (54%) and mania (68%). However, cognitive symptoms were consistently the most common symptoms reported by patients across studies, and constitute one of the three determinants of functional outcome in the model by Samalin et al. (5). Even when euthymic, compared with people without mood disorders, people with BD have cognitive impairment (42). This may, at least in part, explain why previously able people decline in their functioning (43). In recent years, more sophisticated research has been conducted to objectify the subjective reports of cognitive decline. In the domains of cognition—executive function, attention, processing speed, verbal memory and visual memory—learning memory and executive function may be more impaired than others (44–48). Looking cross-sectionally at psychosocial and occupational function, impaired cognition has a significant effect on both outcomes, whereas residual depressive symptoms seem to impact mainly on psychosocial capabilities (49).

**IMPACT ON QUALITY OF LIFE**

QoL is a broad construct taken to represent aspects of functioning and satisfaction in occupational, environmental, social, physical, and psychological aspects of life (50). QoL has not only become an increasingly important outcome parameter in clinical trials, but also a target for web-based psychoeducational self-monitoring programs (51, 52). Different scales exist to make the rather holistic and fuzzy defined term “Quality of life” measurable (53). Especially for BD, Michalak and colleagues developed the QoL in Bipolar Disorder (QoL.BD)
scale. It comprises 56 items rated from 1 to 5, evaluating the domains physical, sleep, mood, cognition, leisure, social, spirituality, finances, household, self-esteem, independence, identity, work, and education. A global score is obtained with higher scores indicating a better QoL, and score below 170 indicates poor QoL (54). A meta-analysis of 66 studies demonstrated significant differences in QoL outcomes between euthymic BD patients and healthy controls with lower QoL in the euthymic patients (55). In a cross-sectional study in 60 clinically stable Bipolar I outpatients with only mild residual symptoms, QoL correlated significantly with resilience, internalized stigma, and, again, residual symptoms of depression. No significant correlations were observed between QoL and residual manic symptoms (56).

The detrimental impact of comorbid anxiety disorders fulfilling full diagnostic criteria on BD has been well established (57). The interaction between anxiety and mood symptoms on a subsyndromal level is still poorly understood and further research is demanded. The few data available suggest that also subsyndromal anxiety has a marked impact on QoL in euthymic bipolar patients. In a cross-sectional Mexican study using the QoL.BD scale and a score of 170 as cut-off for poor QoL, anxious symptoms affected the perceived QoL more than other variables related to the course of BD, such as number of hospitalizations, and even a comorbid diagnosis of full-criteria generalized anxiety disorder (GAD). Of note, subthreshold manic (not depressive) symptoms were in this study the second parameter related to poor QoL (58). Table 1 summarizes the proven or assumed impact of different subsyndromal symptoms on functionality and QoL. As a limitation, the reader should note that the table reflects the authors’ personal views based on the results of their literature search. A full systematic review, and possibly a meta-analytic processing of the results, would be needed and desirable to validate these findings.

But also, somatic malaise has a clear impact on QoL. A large Spanish cohort study using also a cross-sectional design demonstrated that gastrointestinal and somatic symptoms, as well as genital symptoms occur more frequently in SSBD than in the general population (26).

### Table 1

| Domain of SSBD | Impact on functionality | Impact on QoL |
|----------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Depression     | +++                     | +             |
| Mania          | ++                      | ++            |
| Cognition      | +++ (+)                 | (+)           |
| Disturbed circadian rhythm | ++ | + |
| Psychosis      | (+)                     | ?             |
| Comorbid anxiety | ++                    | +++           |

Note that the table reflects the author’s personal view based on the literature cited in this review.

+++ marked impact; ++, moderate impact; +, mild impact; (+), possible impact; ?, unknown.

---

**TREATMENT**

Whereas only few treatment studies have targeted SSBD so far, there is some consensus to use similar guidance as for treatment-resistant full-blown episodes to eradicate subsyndromal symptoms (59, 60). This includes checking whether the diagnosis is correct, excluding (or treating) psychiatric comorbidities such as addiction, anxiety and personality disorders, optimization of the medication dose including therapeutic drug monitoring, augmentation and combination strategies, experimental treatments such as ketamine infusion, considering physical treatments such as electroconvulsive therapy, repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation, or sleep phase advance protocols, reviewing the effectiveness of ongoing psychotherapy, minimizing or eliminating social and occupational distress, and a careful check-up of physical health as organic factors might contribute to persistent symptoms. Some subsyndromal symptoms like anxiety might be targeted with specific drugs normally not used for bipolar core symptoms (61, 62); however, there is some controversy about this approach.

The symptom-oriented treatment approach to treat anxiety symptoms with anxiolytics or anti-anxiety drugs has been questioned by the results of a study of Bauer et al. (63). Using naturalistic data, Bauer and co-workers investigated retrospectively the relationship between the use of adjunctive anxiolytics and the time spent in episodes or with SSBD in a post hoc analysis of 310 patients with BD. Patients with BD who were taking adjunctive anxiolytics spent significantly more time ill. The authors concluded that, while the study design cannot determine causality, there is an obvious need for controlled studies of a possibly detrimental impact of adjunctive medications for anxiety on the course of BD.

For the prevention and control of subsyndromal symptoms and, by this, preventing relapse lithium levels in the high therapeutic range (0.8–1.0 mmol/l) had been more effective than low-range lithium levels (0.4–0.6 mmol/l). Patients with low-range levels had 2.6 times the risk of major affective relapse as those given lithium for high-range levels, and nearly twice the risk of developing subsyndromal symptoms (24).

The prominent role of lithium in controlling prodromal symptoms of emerging mania or depression has also been confirmed by a post hoc analysis of two controlled maintenance studies comparing lithium, lamotrigine, and placebo (64). Compared to placebo, both lithium and lamotrigine treatment significantly delayed emerging subsyndromal symptoms of either polarity and prolonged time from first subsyndromal symptoms to intervention for a full-blown episode. Further analysis showed that this was by large due to suppressing subsyndromal manic or mixed symptoms. On the other hand, lamotrigine appeared to be effective in delaying time from first subsyndromal depressive symptoms to a mood episode (18). The risk of emerging subsyndromal depression or of a MDE in lithium-treated patients might be related to changes in thyroid function. Those lithium-treated BD I patients who needed intervention for depression in these studies had a significantly higher adjusted mean TSH level than those who did not (65).
In the aftermath of an acute episode, switching from different atypical antipsychotics to aripiprazole has been reported to improve subsyndromal symptoms in an observational 24-week study (66). In part, this may also be explained by aripiprazole’s lack of anticholinergic effects and its potential antidepressant effects, thus reducing possible medication-induced cognitive and affective impairment.

Specially to overcome functional impairment, cognitive-behavioral, family focused, or social-rhythm–based psychotherapies have been shown to be effective alongside optimized pharmacological treatment (67–69). In a small study with children and adolescents, both omega-3 fatty acid supplementation (Omega3) and individual family psychoeducational psychotherapy (IF-PEP) showed medium-size effects on subsyndromal depression (70). Accumulating evidence suggest that functional remediation may have good potential to boost the recovery process in bipolar patients with subsyndromal symptoms and might be not only more effective than treatment as usual, but also than psychoeducation (71, 72). Case reports suggested that also Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR) may positively affect residual bipolar symptoms (73, 74). More recently, a small, 12-week pilot study in patients with SSBD and post-traumatic stress disorder demonstrated that EMDR has significant effects on subsyndromal manic symptoms, and to a lesser degree on subsyndromal depression (75).

DISCUSSION

As a fair estimate, SSBD affects between 20 and 50% of bipolar patients, depending on the definition applied. Especially subsyndromal depression interferes with role functioning in essential domains of normal life, such as work, duties at home and maintaining relationships. Besides residual depression, enduring cognitive impairment in a variety of domains determines psychosocial and occupational outcome. Subsyndromal depression and cognitive decline in SSBD have also been identified as two out of three main driver of low function in a structural equation model as described by Samalin and coworkers, the third one being sleep deprivation (5). Except of one study (58), subsyndromal mania, however, appears not as a main driver of low QoL and functioning, but has been much less investigated than subsyndromal depression. As far as QoL is concerned, anxiety, ranging from just symptoms to full blown comorbid disorder, and physical malaise appear to be inversely related to QoL in BD, including SSBD. However, the potential pathways that might mediate the observed relationships between SSBD and functionality and QoL are still speculative and need further investigation. But in summary, the marked impact of SSBD both on psycho-social functioning and QoL is obvious and well documented in a fair number of studies.

This is in contrast to the relative paucity of treatment studies, which is even more true for pharmacological than for psychological approaches. This may, in part, be due to the absence of an official indication approved by regulatory authorities and, as a consequence, uncertainty about eligibility for reimbursement in some health insurance systems as patients may be categorized as “euthymic”. In addition, there is an absence of a generally accepted definition of SSBD and uniform cut-off criteria, that makes it difficult to compare between the few studies available and derive recommendations. If a patient is on lithium, optimizing lithium levels appear to ameliorate subsyndromal mood and cognitive symptoms. Switching to medication that do not add to potential cognitive impairment by anticholinergic side effects might also be a strategy to consider. Recent research, however, clearly pointed out that a tailored psychotherapy might be effective in overcoming SSBD. Especially functional and cognitive remediation seems to be effective, and emerging new techniques as EMDR might add in the future to the treatment portfolio. However, although there is some evidence emerging more recently, more research focus and effort is still needed. Most studies included and mixed both subsyndromal depression and subsyndromal hypomanic patients, without further differentiation of outcomes. More studies looking into the different dimension of SSBD (mania, depression, cognition, psychosis) separately are clearly demanded. Finally, most important, a verified and generally accepted definition of SSBD and its constituents needs to be developed to allow for randomized studies with comparable inclusion/exclusion criteria.
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