Secondary Leiomyosarcoma of the Lower Limb Following Uterine Smooth Muscle Tumor of Uncertain Malignant Potential
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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: This report presents uterine smooth muscle tumors of uncertain malignant potential course with an unpredictable clinical behavior and late metastases. Metastases have been described to the humerus, lung, and peritoneum.

CASE PRESENTATION: Hereby we present the case of a 71-year-old woman with a past surgical history of hysterectomy and bilateral adnexectomy due to a smooth muscle tumor of unknown malignant potential, who was evaluated 6 years later after the appearance of a mass in the proximal third of the right lower limb. The mass was diagnosed as a G1 epithelioid leiomyosarcoma and was surgically removed with immediate reconstruction with a tendinous transfer to the tibialis posterior muscle to maintain foot dorsiflexion.

CONCLUSION: Patients diagnosed with smooth uterine muscle tumors of uncertain malignant potential should be closely followed up given the possibility of recurrence and late metastases, bearing in mind uncommon locations as well, such as the lower limb.
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Introduction

Smooth uterine muscle tumors of uncertain malignant potential (STUMPs) comprise a group of mesenchymal tumors that cannot be clearly categorized as benign or malignant lesions.¹ They present an unpredictable clinical course, usually presenting with clinical benign features but late recurrence, and frequently, with distant dissemination.² We present the case of a patient diagnosed with a leiomyosarcoma of the lower limb 6 years after a hysterectomy due to a smooth muscle tumors of unknown malignant potential.

Case Report

Hereby we present the case of a 71-year-old woman with a past surgical history of a hysterectomy and bilateral adnexectomy in December 2011 as a consequence of a smooth muscle tumor of unknown malignant potential of 16 × 11 cm². Histologically, this uterine tumor was conformed by a highly cellular proliferation of mesenchymal cells disposed in a fascicular growth pattern. The cells were fusiform with zones of epithelioid morphology (20% of the cellularity). The mitotic count per 10 high power fields was of 5%. There were zones with moderate atypia and coagulative necrosis. There was not infiltrative border or vascular invasion. In 2017, the patient was evaluated for a 3-month evolution mass in the proximal third of the right lower limb, and the patient remained asymptomatic. On physical examination, a soft, nonpainful, fixed to deep structures nodule was observed.
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Figure 1. STIR FSE coronal MRI showing a soft tissue tumor in the proximal third of the right leg with fusiform morphology. FSE indicates fast spin echo; STIR, Short-TI Inversion Recovery; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
Ultrasound studies showed a solid and heterogeneous (with cystic features) lesion of $110 \times 40 \times 40 \text{ mm}^3$. The lesion had well-defined borders and was located in the anterior intramuscular compartment of the right lower limb. It showed increased venous and arterial vascularization. On magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), malignant features were detected, with a vast infiltrative component of the adjacent neurovascular structures, suggestive of sarcoma of undetermined characteristics (Figure 1).

Surgical removal of the lesion was accomplished in March 2017 through an anterior approach, resecting the muscles from the aforementioned compartment, and with immediate reconstruction with a tendinous transfer of the tibialis posterior muscle, so the patient would be able to retain foot dorsiflexion (Figure 2). The pathology report described a $13 \times 5 \times 5 \text{ cm}^3$ pearl-colored mass with multiple cystic areas that exhibited elastic characteristics. These areas were not in contact with the surgical margins (Figure 3).

Histologically, the mass showed a hypercellular atypical proliferation of mesenchymal cells, disposed in a fascicular and storiform pattern and displayed an epithelioid morphology with zones of fusocellular morphology (Figure 4). Immunohistochemistry was positive for vimentin, cytokeratin AE1-AE3, desmin, calponin, caldesmon and weak for estrogens receptors (Figure 5). Proliferation rate measured by Ki67 was of 5%, counting 5 mitosis in 10 fields under high magnification and a 15% necrosis rate. With all these characteristics, the lesion was classified as an epithelioid leiomyosarcoma G1. The patient did not receive adjuvant treatment and remains asymptomatic, ambulating and without the need of an anti-equinus splint.

**Discussion**

Uterine mesenchymal smooth muscle tumors comprise the most common gynecological neoplasms. These can be divided into benign, malignant, and uterine mesenchymal smooth muscle tumors of uncertain malignancy.

It is crucial to correctly diagnose this type of tumors given its prognosis and clinical course. High-grade leiomyosarcomas frequently present with an aggressive evolution, early recurrence, and metastases. On the contrary, STUMPs exhibit a slower growth rate and its recurrence is often delayed from its initial diagnosis.3

Smooth uterine muscle tumors of uncertain malignant potential are uncommon, and the true incidence of these
tumors remains unknown. To aid with diagnosis, the Stanford Criteria resumed 3 main factors, which consist of the presence of cytologic atypia, mitotic index, and tumor necrosis.4

Recurrence rate of STUMP's has been estimated between 8.7% and 11%, with the potential to present as a leiomyosarcoma. Survival rate is higher than that in low recurrence rate of leiomyosarcomas. Till date, no consensus has been established as to what histological features, demographic variables, or serum markers will predict recurrence rate or the clinical course of these lesions.3 The importance of translational research to improve the understanding of the biology of this disease must be underlined.5

Humerus, liver, and peritoneum have been described as the most common metastatic sites of STUMP's.6,7 The singularity of the case presented is that it affected musculature of the lower limb as opposed to the most commonly described distant locations.

Serrano et al8 describe a pulmonary metastasis in the form of leiomyosarcoma with immunohistochemical positivity for estrogens and progesterone receptors following and STUMP also with positivity for estrogens and progesterone receptors, low mitotic rate, the absences of necrosis, and focal atypia. Our case shows a similar morphology of the STUMP and also shows positivity for estrogens receptor, but the metastasis in our case was in the lower limb.

Treatment for distant lesions consists of surgical excision that can be further aided with radiotherapy, chemotherapy, or hormone therapy; however, as in the case presented, surgery as the sole treatment has been reported with favorable outcomes. Nevertheless, close monitoring of the patient is imperative.

Conclusions
Patients diagnosed with smooth muscle tumors of uncertain malignant potential should be closely followed-up given the possibility of recurrence and late metastases, bearing in mind uncommon locations as well, such as the lower limb.
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**Figure 5.** Immunohistochemistry: caldesmon, actin, calponin, and desmin diffuse positive, which confirms smooth muscle origin and the diagnosis of leiomyosarcoma. Nuclear positivity to estrogen receptor suggests a metastatic gynecological origin; in this case, a STUMP. STUMP indicates smooth uterine muscle tumors of uncertain malignant potential.
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