Isospin Pomeranchuk effect in twisted bilayer graphene
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In condensed-matter systems, higher temperatures typically disfavour ordered phases, leading to an upper critical temperature for magnetism, superconductivity and other phenomena. An exception is the Pomeranchuk effect in 3He, in which the liquid ground state freezes upon increasing the temperature, owing to the large entropy of the paramagnetic solid phase. Here we show that a similar mechanism describes the finite-temperature dynamics of spin and valley isospins in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene. Notably, a resistivity peak appears at high temperatures near a superlattice filling factor of −1, despite no signs of a commensurate correlated phase appearing in the low-temperature limit. Tilted-field magnetotransport and thermodynamic measurements of the in-plane magnetic moment show that the resistivity peak is connected to a finite-field magnetic phase transition at which the system develops finite isospin polarization. These data are suggestive of a Pomeranchuk-type mechanism, in which the entropy of disordered isospin moments in the ferromagnetic phase stabilizes the phase relative to an isospin-unpolarized Fermi liquid phase at higher temperatures. We find the entropy, in units of Boltzmann’s constant, to be of the order of unity per unit cell area, with a measurable fraction that is suppressed by an in-plane magnetic field consistent with a contribution from disordered spins. In contrast to 3He, however, no discontinuities are observed in the thermodynamic quantities across this transition. Our findings imply a small isospin stiffness4,5, with implications for the nature of finite-temperature electron transport6–8, as well as for the mechanisms underlying isospin ordering and superconductivity9,10 in twisted bilayer graphene and related systems.

Low- and intermediate-temperature transport

Figure 1 shows transport measurements performed on a highly homogeneous twisted bilayer graphene device fabricated with inter-layer twist angle θ ≈ 1.12° (ref. 24; see Extended Data Fig. 1). Figure 1a shows the magnetoresistance measured at 0.4 K, plotted as a function of the magnetic field applied parallel to the out-of-plane magnetic field $B_\perp$. We determine $v_B$ from the Lorentz force, measured from the Hall density near charge neutrality, and the positions of the most prominent resistivity features at $B_\perp = 0$, which we associate with filling factors $v_B = -2, +2$ and +3. For $B_\perp$ of 1–2 T, distinct sets of quantum oscillations are observed at low magnetic fields that intersect the $B_\perp = 0$ axis at $v_B = -3, -2$ and 0 and show one-fold, two-fold, and four-fold degeneracy, respectively (see also Supplementary
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In-plane field-induced magnetization

The connection between high-temperature resistivity peaks and isospin-symmetry breaking is illustrated by the transport behaviour at 20 mK in a magnetic field $B_\parallel$ applied in the plane of the sample, which shows remarkably similar behaviour to that at elevated temperature. As shown in Fig. 2a, b, for $B_\parallel \geq 3$ T, an additional resistance peak develops for $-2 < \nu_0 \lesssim -1$, whereas the resistance peak initially at $\nu_0 = -2$ depins from this filling and decreases in magnitude as it moves to larger absolute $\nu_0$. The Hall density similarly shows the development of a new step near $\nu_0 = -1$ that is absent at $B = 0$ T (Extended Data Fig. 4). The behaviour of the resistivity peak is roughly independent of the orientation of the magnetic field, showing nearly identical trajectories for in-plane or partially out-of-plane magnetic fields (Supplementary Fig. 3). Tilted-field data (Supplementary Figs. 4 and Extended Data Fig. 5) reveal that the resistivity peak separates discrete domains of quantum oscillations: for $\nu_0 > \nu_{pk}$ (where $\nu_{pk}$ denotes the filling at the peak in $-2 < \nu_0 \lesssim -1$) the oscillation minima remain qualitatively unchanged, extrapolating to $\nu_0 = 0$, whereas for $\nu_0 < \nu_{pk}$ new quantum oscillations emerge that extrapolate to $\nu_0 = -1$ at $B = 0$ T. We interpret the resistivity peak as a $B_\parallel$-driven transition from an isospin-unpolarized (IU) paramagnetic state at low $B$ to a spin- and valley-polarized isospin ferromagnetic (IF$_2$) state at high $B$ in which electrons are polarized into three of four isospin flavours. This hypothesis is also consistent with the observation of a strong Chern insulator state with Chern number $C = \pm 1$ in this regime in an out-of-plane field$^{21,25,26}$. The additional resistivity peaks associated with $\nu_0 = -2$ and $\nu_0 = -3$ at low $T$ similarly denote boundaries between ferromagnetic phases (IF$_2$ and IF$_3$) phases with fewer occupied isospin flavours.

Near $\nu = \pm 2$, most proposed ordered ground states are expected to have finite spin polarization, making the in-plane magnetization per unit cell, $M_s$, a good proxy for isospin polarization. To determine $M_s$ we use device 2 (ref. 29) the geometry$^{30,31}$ of which enables direct
measurement of the chemical potential, $\mu$, of the twisted bilayer graphene layer (see Methods and Extended Data Fig. 1b). $M_0$ is then extracted via the Maxwell relation $\left(\partial M_0 / \partial \nu\right)_{\mu B} = -\left(\partial \mu / \partial B\right)_{\nu}$. Transport is qualitatively similar between devices 1 and 2; in particular, both devices show a $T$- or $B$-induced resistance peak near $\nu_0 = -1$ that is absent at low temperatures and field (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. 2, Extended Data Fig. 2). In addition, the slightly smaller twist angle in device 2 appears to favour a correlated state at $\nu_0 = +1$ that is absent at $B = 0$ in device 1 (Extended Data Fig. 2).

$\partial \mu / \partial B$ is shown in Fig. 2e, determined using measurements of $\mu$ acquired at 3-T intervals. The uncertainty of the repeated scans is shown in Supplementary Data 6. The integrated $M_0 = -\int_0^{\nu_0} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial B} dv$ is shown in Fig. 2f (see also Extended Data Fig. 6). Finite $M_0$ is observed at $\nu_0 = 1$ even at the lowest magnetic fields in device 2, consistent with the resistivity peak seen at the same filling being associated with an isospin ferromagnet with finite spin polarization. This build-up of magnetization near $\nu_0 = 1$ is consistent with prior observations. By contrast, near $\nu_0 = -1$ no magnetization is observed in the measurement between $B = 0$ T and 3 T; however, finite magnetization develops above 3 T, the same range of magnetic fields where the resistivity peak develops (see Supplementary Fig. 2). We thus associate the resistivity peak with the formation of an isospin-polarized state at finite magnetic field. This is consistent with the hypothesis that the anomalously resistive peak that develops under an in-plane magnetic field indeed marks the boundary between a polarized and an unpolarized phase, similar to the behaviour under an out-of-plane magnetic field in this density regime.

**Entropically driven transition at finite temperature**

The apparent duality between $B_1$ and $T$-dependent transport is suggestive of an entropically driven transition at finite temperature. In this scenario, the unpolarized Fermi liquid state has lower ground-state energy than the IF$_1$ phase near $\nu = -1$, but the fluctuating moments of the IF$_1$ state make it entropically favourable at elevated temperature. The characteristic temperature scale at which these moments begin to fluctuate strongly, giving rise to a large isospin entropy, is given by the stiffness of the collective excitations of the spin, valley and carbon sublattice degrees of freedom, which numerical calculations find to be in the few-meV range. Combined with the expectation that ground-state energies differ by similar energy scales, an entropically driven transition in the approximately 10-K regime is highly plausible. This is analogous to the well known Pomeranchuk effect in He$^4$, where the liquid transforms into a solid upon raising the temperature. In our system, the role of the liquid phase is played by the unpolarized Fermi liquid, whereas the high-temperature ‘solid’ analogue is the high-temperature extension of the IF$_1$ phase, which—though it may have only negligible net magnetization—is distinguished by the presence of local, strongly fluctuating magnetic moments.

The connection between low-$T$, high-$B_1$ and high-$T B_1 = 0$ phases is confirmed by variable temperature measurements of the longitudinal resistivity $\rho_{xx}$, shown in Fig. 3a, where we plot $\rho_{xx}$ as a function of $\nu_0$ and total magnetic field $B_{tot}$, oriented at an angle of $9.1^\circ$ relative to the sample plane, measured at 5 K and 10 K. At the higher temperatures, the resistivity peak separating the high-temperature extensions of the IU and IF$_3$ phases is visible at $B_{tot} = 0$ T, and is observed to move towards neutrality as a function of $B_{tot}$ (see also Extended Data Fig. 7)—precisely the expected behaviour if the two high-temperature phases differ in their spin polarization or magnetic susceptibilities. As shown in Fig. 3b, the resistivity peak can be used to map the boundary between the isospin-symmetric phase prevailing at lower $B$, $T$ and $|\nu_0|$, and a state of finite spin susceptibility at higher temperatures, as shown in Fig. 3b. We note that a similar behaviour of the phase boundary is both expected and observed near $\nu_0 = +1$, even when the ground state is the IF$_1$ phase, as in device 2 (Extended Data Fig. 8).
Under an out-of-plane magnetic field, $B_\parallel$, the low-temperature magnetic transition appears to be first order for at least some range of $v$ (refs. 1,24), showing sharp jumps in experimental observables and hysteretic behaviour. A key prediction of the first-order scenario is a jump in the entropy between the IU and IF$_3$ phases arising from disordering of isospins at finite temperature. We measured the total electronic entropy from the response of $\mu$ to changes in $T$ via the Maxwell relation $\partial \mu / \partial T = -(\partial S / \partial \nu)_T$, approximating $\partial \mu / \partial T$ from the finite difference of $\mu$ measurements at 4.2 K and 12 K. Figure 3c shows the experimentally determined entropy, measured relative to the entropy at the charge neutrality point, $S_0 = S_{\nu = 0}$. The uncertainty estimated from the integration process is shown in Supplementary Fig. 6. The entropy rises upon both electron or hole doping, reaching $\Delta S / k_B = 1 (k_B$ Boltzmann constant) per superlattice unit cell near $\nu_0 = \pm 1$, where it levels off or even decreases. However, we observed no jump, in effect ruling out a first-order transition. This is consistent with the absence of sharp features in either temperature-dependent or $B_\parallel$-dependent transport measurements, suggesting that the transition is either of higher order or simply a crossover.

Nevertheless, the existence of disordered isospins in the high-temperature IF$_3$ phases is supported by the measured behaviour of the entropy as a function of in-plane magnetic field. As shown in Fig. 3d, $\Delta S$ decreases as a function of $B_\parallel$ for $\nu_0 \geq 1$ and $\nu_0 \leq -1$, corresponding to the high-temperature IF$_3$ phases, but gives no experimentally detectable change in the IU phase. At temperatures of the order of the spin stiffness, the IF$_3$ phase is strongly fluctuating, leading to a spin-dependent $S = k_B$ In 2 contribution to the entropy. This entropy can be suppressed by a Zeeman energy $E_z = k_B T$. We thus expect $\Delta S / \Delta B = \mu_0 / T = 0.08 k_B T^{-1} (\mu_0$ Bohr magneton) for the $T = 8$ K temperature of our measurement. We indeed observe an entropy suppression of this scale for $\nu_0 \geq 1$, suggesting that the spin stiffness is indeed small, and supporting the picture of a spin-entropy-driven Pomeranchuk effect. A smaller entropy suppression is observed for $\nu_0 \leq -1$. This could arise from a larger spin stiffness in the IF$_3$ phase at hole doping. The discrepancy between electron and hole doping highlights the quantitative importance of the particle–hole asymmetry of the underlying single-particle wavefunctions, a problem that recent theoretical literature has only begun to address34,35,36–38.

Electronic compressibility at high temperature

Our observation of an entropically driven transition suggests that soft neutral excitations of the electron system probably have a key role in the physics of flat-band moiré systems. Although long-range magnetic order may appear only at low temperature or in the absence of a magnetic field, much of the phase diagram is dominated by the presence of large, strongly fluctuating local moments. A measurement of the compressibility $\partial \mu / \partial \nu_0$ as a function of density for a range of temperatures between 4.2 K and 96 K (Fig. 4) shows a sequence of nearly commensurate, asymmetric peaks (see also Extended Data Fig. 9). These peaks have been interpreted31,32,33 as indicating Fermi surface reconstruction, owing to a cascade of isospin-symmetry-breaking transitions. Notably, however, our measurements show that the peaks in $\partial \mu / \partial \nu_0$ survive even at temperatures well above the scale of the spin stiffness, where no magnetic order is found. The compressibility features themselves disappear only at $T = 100$ K, comparable to the scale of the Coulomb interaction2. We emphasize that in our thermodynamic measurements, the development of finite magnetization is a detectable but subtle effect that does not qualitatively impact the structure of the chemical potential (see Extended Data Fig. 6). It therefore seems probable that the peaks in $\partial \mu / \partial \nu_0$ mark the formation of local isospin moments, correlated only on length scales comparable to the moiré wavelength.
Approximately commensurate oscillations appear at \( \lesssim 2^{24} \) expected to strongly scatter electrons at these temperatures. Some observed in twisted bilayer graphene\(^5\) textures recently proposed to have a role in the superconductivity temperature,\(^6\)\(^7\)\(| T_c |, in regions of the phase diagram where isospin order is expected, either because the isospin fluctuations act as pair-breakers, or because the pairing mechanism itself requires isospin order. For example, isospin ordering is a prerequisite for the existence of skyrmion textures recently proposed to have a role in the superconductivity observed in twisted bilayer graphene\(^6\).

The presence of strongly fluctuating isospin moments in much of the phase diagram should have a profound effect on the physics of twisted bilayer graphene. The small stiffness implied by our measurements may serve as an upper bound on the superconducting critical temperature, \( T_c \) in regions of the phase diagram where isospin order is observed, either because the isospin fluctuations act as pair-breakers, or because the pairing mechanism itself requires isospin order. For example, isospin ordering is a prerequisite for the existence of skyrmion textures recently proposed to have a role in the superconductivity observed in twisted bilayer graphene\(^6\).

Thermal disordering of the internal degrees of freedom is also expected to strongly scatter electrons at these temperatures. Some portion, if not the majority, of the large high-temperature resistivity in flat-band moiré systems probably arises from such scattering. This appears consistent with the experimentally observed ubiquity of both the ferromagnetism across the flat band\(^11\)\(^22\) and also the large resistivity at intermediate temperatures\(^12\). In addition, the ‘superconducting-like’ transition observed in many moiré systems, in which the resistivity rises rapidly at temperatures of a few kelvin, probably indicates the onset (at high temperatures) of this fluctuation-moment phase. The precise temperature dependence of the resistivity is not expected to be universal, depending on the details of the collective excitations and their coupling to the itinerant conduction electrons. This is consistent with experimental observation of the strong \( \nu_0 \) dependence of \( \rho_{xx}(T) \) (see Supplementary Fig. 7).
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Methods

Device fabrication and transport measurements
In this study, we used two twisted bilayer graphene (tBLG) devices: device 1 (1.12°) and device 2 (1.06°). Both devices were fabricated using a ‘cut-and-stack’ technique, described in ref. 14. Device 1 is the same as device 5 in ref. 14 and the device studied in ref. 24. Device 2 is the same as the device used in ref. 25. Prior to stacking, we first cut the graphene into two pieces using atomic force microscopy to prevent unintentional strain in tearing the graphene. We used a poly(bisphenol A carbonate)/polydimethylsiloxane (PC/PDMS) stamp mounted on a glass slide for stacking tBLG heterostructures. The final structure of devices 1 and 2 are hBN(40 nm)–tBLG–hBN(40 nm)–graphite–graphite–hBN(30 nm)–tBLG–hBN(30 nm)–graphite, respectively, as shown in Extended Data Fig. 1 (hBN, hexagonal boron nitride). Electrical connections to the tBLG were made by CHF/O3 etching and deposition of the Cr/Pd/Au (2/15/180 nm) metal edge contacts for device 1 and Cr/Au (2/100 nm) metal edge contacts for device 2 (ref. 36).

Transport data in Fig. 1a–d (0.5, 2.5, 4.5 K) were acquired with device 1 in a top-loading cryogen-free dilution refrigerator with a nominal base temperature of 10 mK, using a probe with heavy radiofrequency filtering at an excitation current of 2 nA at a frequency of 17.777 Hz. Data in Fig. 2a–c were acquired in a different probe without filtering at an excitation current of 10 nA at a frequency of 278 Hz. Data in Fig. 1d (8 K and 20 K), and Figs. 2d, 3a were acquired using a wet, sample-in-vapour technique described in ref. 31. In this technique, an excitation current

\[ \frac{\partial S}{\partial T} = -\left( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial T} \right)_v \]  

(1)

de (v) and \( M \) can then be determined by integrating the measured right-hand sides of equation (1) with respect to \( v \). We perform the thermodynamic measurements on device 2, which consists of a 1.06°-angle tBLG separated by a 3-nm BN spacer from a Bernal-stacked bilayer flake that is separately contacted (Extended Data Fig. 1b). Transport data from this device was described in ref. 25.

To determine the chemical potential, we use the measurement technique described in ref. 21. In this technique, an excitation current (5–50 nA) with frequency \( \nu = 10 \) Hz is used to measure the four-terminal resistance \( R_{10} \), and a second frequency \( \nu = 123 \) Hz is used to modulate the top–gate voltage \( V_g \) resulting in a measurable response at frequency \( \nu = f_g \) proportional to \( dR_{10}/dV_g \). Crucially, this response vanishes at a resistivity extremum such as the charge neutrality point. A feedback loop is then used to maintain \( dR_{10}/dV_g \) to be zero as the bottom gate is changed by applying a feedback voltage to the twisted bilayer. The output voltage of this feedback loop is then equal to \( \mu/e \). In all measurements, the displacement field of the Bernal bilayer graphene is maintained at \( D = 14 \) mN m⁻².

Strictly speaking, our technique measures \( \mu_{\text{Bernal}}(v) - \mu_{\text{CNP}} \), the difference in chemical potential between the twisted bilayer and the charge-neutral Bernal bilayer detector. Although the change in \( \mu_{\text{CNP}} \) with temperature and magnetic field is small, so are differences in \( \mu_{\text{Bernal}} \). To fix the possible offset between curves measured under different conditions, we set \( d\mu/d\nu \) and \( d\mu/dD \) to be zero at \( v = 0 \). These curves are then integrated from \( v = 0 \) filling factors. We thus measure \( S_0 - S \) and \( M_0 - M_0 \) that is, the changes in \( S \) and \( M \) relative to their values at charge neutrality:

\[ S_0(v_0) - S_0 = \int_{v_0}^{0} \frac{\partial S}{\partial v} dv - \frac{\partial S}{\partial v} \bigg|_{v_0=0} \]  

and

\[ M_0(v_0) - M_0 = \int_{v_0}^{0} \frac{\partial M}{\partial v} dv - \frac{\partial M}{\partial v} \bigg|_{v_0=0} \]  

(2)

In the case of \( M_0 \), we expect that \( M_0 \) vanishes, owing to the absence of in-plane \( B \) dependence of measured quantities as well as from the flat behaviour of \( dM/dv \) in that region. However, in the case of \( S \), charge neutrality may well develop a large entropy both from excited quasi-particles at high temperature or from modes associated with the breaking of additional symmetries in the charge neutral state. However, we note that for the purposes of correlating the behaviour of transport near \( v = 1 \) with the state surrounding charge neutrality, \( S_0 - S \) is the relevant quantity.

Thermodynamic model
To describe the phase transition between the IU to the IF₃ phases, we write their free energy per moiré unit cell as:

\[ f_i(v, T) = e_1 + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_1 + \frac{1}{2}e_2 \gamma_2 \nu \nu v^2 - \frac{1}{2}\nu \nu T^2 - s_i T, \]

(3)

where \( i = 1, 2 \) corresponds to the IU and IF₃ phases, respectively, \( e \) is the elementary charge, \( e_1 \) is an offset energy, \( c_2 \) is the geometric capacitance to the gate per moiré unit cell, \( \mu \) is an offset chemical potential, \( \gamma_2 \) is the specific heat coefficient (both phases are assumed to be metallic, despite the fact that the IF₃ phase has large, fluctuating magnetic moments), and \( \kappa_1 \) is the compressibility (or quantum capacitance). \( s_i \) is a temperature-independent contribution of the entropy. The IU phase is a Fermi liquid the entropy of which is proportional to temperature, hence \( s_i = 0 \). In the IF₃ phase, the fluctuating moments give a contribution \( s_i > 0 \) to the entropy at temperatures exceeding spin stiffness.

Because the experiment is carried out at a constant gate voltage, the phase transition (assumed to be of first order in the absence of disorder) occurs when the Landau grand potential \( \Omega_i(v_0, T) = f_i(v, T) - e_2 v s_i T \) of the two phases are equal. We minimize the grand potentials of each phase with respect to \( v \), and express the grand potentials in terms of the reference filling factor, \( v_0 = \nu c_2 e_i^2 \). The transition line in the \( (v_0, T) \) plane is then given by the condition:

\[ \Omega_2 - \Omega_1 = \Delta\varepsilon(v_0) \frac{1}{2} \nu \nu T^2 - T s_i = 0, \]

(4)

where \( \Delta\varepsilon(v_0) = e_1 - e_2 - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_1 (v_0 + \mu_2 - \mu_1) v_0 \), \( \Delta Y = \nu_2 - \nu_1 \), and \( s_i = s_2 - s_1 \). Here we have used the fact that in our setup, \( e_2^2 \gg \nu c_2^2 \). And we have neglected terms that are suppressed by factors of \( \nu c_2^2 \). At sufficiently low temperature compared to the bandwidth (estimated to be of the order of 200–300 K), the quadratic term in \( T \) is much smaller than the linear term, giving a transition at:

\[ T^* = \frac{\Delta\varepsilon(v_0)}{s_i} \]

(5)
To determine the entropy $\Delta s$ from the experiment, we need an estimate of $\Delta \epsilon(v_0)$. This can be obtained by examining the magnetic field needed to trigger the transition from the IU to the IF$_3$ phase at low temperature (below the spin stiffness in the IF$_3$ phase). We consider an in-plane field, assuming that it acts primarily through the Zeeman effect. The magnetic field induces an additional term in the grand potentials equal to $-\int_0^B m_i(B')dB'$, where $m_i(B)$ is the magnetic moment per moiré unit cell. At sufficiently low temperature, where the excess magnetic entropy $s_2$ of the IF$_3$ phase is quenched, this phase is spin polarized, and its magnetization is nearly field-independent. To the magnetic moment in this phase, we assume that one isospin flavour whose spin is antiparallel to the Zeeman field is completely empty (that is, this flavour has a filling of one hole away from charge neutrality), whereas the other three flavours are equally populated. These considerations give a magnetic moment of $m = \mu_B(4 + \nu_0)/3$ in the IF$_3$ phase. By contrast, the IU phase has no magnetic moment at $B = 0$. Because the IU phase is a Fermi liquid, its magnetization is proportional to the ratio between the Zeeman energy and the bandwidth, and is much smaller than that of the IF$_3$ phase. We therefore neglect the magnetization of the IU phase, $m_1 = 0$. The field-driven transition at low temperature occurs when:

$$\Delta \epsilon(v_0) - \frac{4 + v_0}{3} B* = 0.$$  

Combining equations (5) and (6) gives:

$$\Delta s = \frac{E \epsilon(v_0)}{T}(4 + v_0)$$

We plot the expected entropy in Extended Data Fig. 10.
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | tBLG devices. a, b. Top, optical images of device 1 (a) and device 2 (b). Scale bars correspond to 5 μm. Bottom, schematic of the tBLG heterostructures for device 1 (a) and device 2 (b).
Extended Data Fig. 2 | Temperature dependence of the resistivity $\rho_{xx}$.

(a, b, d, e, $\rho_{xx}$) as a function of the nominal filling factor $v_0$ at various temperatures up to 30 K in device 1 (a, linear scale; b, logarithmic scale) and device 2 (d, linear scale; e, logarithmic scale). The traces in a, b are measured at $T = 1.5, 5, 8, 12, 17, 22$ and 30 K and the traces in d, e are measured at $T = 1.7, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25$ and 30 K. c, f. Two-dimensional map of $\rho_{xx}$ as a function of $v_0$ and $T$ in device 1 (c) and device 2 (f).
Extended Data Fig. 3 | Temperature dependence of the Hall density behaviour.

**a, c.** The Hall density $\nu_h$ expressed in electrons per superlattice unit cell as a function of $\nu_0$ up to 20 K at a fixed $B_\perp = 0.5$ T. The data of device 1 are measured with $T = 0.5, 2.5, 4.5, 8$ and 20 K (a) and the data of device 2 are measured with $T = 1.7, 4.3, 6, 10$ and 20 K (c). **b, d.** Subtracted Hall density $\nu_h - \nu_0$ as a function of $\nu_0$ at each temperature in device 1 (b) and device 2 (d). Insets, $d(\nu_h - \nu_0)/d\nu_0$ as a function of $\nu_0$ at each temperature around $\nu_0 = -1$. $d(\nu_h - \nu_0)/d\nu_0$ is calculated from $\nu_h - \nu_0$ using a 20-point moving average (b) and a 40-point moving average (d) in $\nu_0$. 
Extended Data Fig. 4 | In-plane magnetic field dependence of the Hall density in device 1. **a, b,** Hall density $\nu_H$ (a) and subtracted Hall density $\nu_H - \nu_0$ (b) expressed in electrons per superlattice unit cell, and measured with $B_{\perp} = 0.5$ T and fixed $B_{\text{tot}} = 0.5, 3, 6, 9$ and 12 T. **c,** $\nu_H - \nu_0$ as a function of $B_{\text{tot}}$ and $\nu_0$ with the magnetic field applied at an angle $\theta_B = 20.5^\circ$, measured at a nominal $T = 20$ mK. Blue and pink circles correspond to the positions of peaks of $\rho_{xx}$ and the points of maximum descent in $\nu_H - \nu_0$, respectively, and denote phase boundaries between symmetry-breaking isospin ferromagnets (IF$_1$, IF$_2$ and IF$_3$) and an isospin unpolarized state (IU).
Extended Data Fig. 5 | Landau fan diagram at the hole side in a tilted magnetic field in device 1. $\rho_{xx}$ as a function of $v_0$ and total magnetic field $B_{tot}$ oriented at an angle with respect to the plane $\theta_B$ of 4.1° (a), 9.6° (b) and 20.5° (c).

d–f, Schematics of the Landau fan diagram based on a–c, respectively. The numbered labels denote the Bloch band filling index, which encodes the number of electrons bound to each lattice unit cell.
Extended Data Fig. 6 | Thermodynamic measurements in device 2.

a, Chemical potential $\mu$ as a function of $v_0$ at $T = 4.2$ K and $B_z = 0, 3, 6$ and 9 T.
b, $\mu$ as a function of $v_0$ at $B_z = 0$ T and $T = 4.2, 12$ and 20 K. c, Inverse compressibility $d\mu/dv_0$ as a function of $v_0$ at $T = 4.2$ K and $B_z = 0, 3, 6$ and 9 T.
d, $d\mu/dv$ as a function of $v_0$ at $B = 0$ T and $T = 4.2, 12$ and 20 K. e, $d\mu/dB_z$ as a function of $v_0$ at $T = 4.2$ K, calculated from $(\mu(9 T) - \mu(6 T))/3 T$, $(\mu(6 T) - \mu(3 T))/3 T$ and $(\mu(3 T) - \mu(0 T))/3 T$. f, $d\mu/dT$ as a function of $v_0$, calculated from $(\mu(12 K) - \mu(4.2 K))/7.8$ K at $B_z = 0, 3$ and 6 T.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | High-temperature transport in a tilted magnetic field in device 1. a–d, $\rho_{xx}$ (top) and $d(\nu_H - \nu_0)/d\nu_0$ (bottom) as a function of $\nu_0$ at $T = 5$ K (a), 10 K (b), 15 K (c) and 20 K (d) at $B_{tot} = 3, 6, 9, 12$ and 15 T, oriented at an angle of 9.1° relative to the plane. $d(\nu_H - \nu_0)/d\nu_0$ is calculated from $\nu_H - \nu_0$ using a 20-point moving average in $\nu_0$. 
Extended Data Fig. 8 | Temperature and in-plane magnetic field dependence of resistive peak around $\nu_0 \approx +1$ in device 2. a, $\rho_{xx}$ as a function of nominal filling factor $\nu_0$ around $\nu_0 \approx +1$ between 1.7 and 30 K in device 2. b, The $\rho_{xx}$ peak position as a function of $\nu_0$ and $T$. c, $\rho_{xx}$ as a function of nominal filling factor $\nu_0$ around $\nu_0 \approx +1$ at $B_\parallel = 0, 3, 6, 9$ and 12 T. d, The $\rho_{xx}$ peak position as a function of $\nu_0$ and $B_\parallel$. 
Extended Data Fig. 9 | Temperature-dependent chemical potential and resistance in device 2. a, Chemical potential $\mu$ as a function of $v_0$ at 4.2, 8.0, 12, 16, 20, 26, 32, 40, 59, 76 and 96 K. $d\mu/dv_0$ in Fig. 4 is calculated by the derivative of these data. b, Inverse electronic compressibility $d\mu/dv$ as a function of $v_0$ at 4.2, 8, 12, 16, 20, 26, 32, 40, 59, 76 and 96 K. c, $\rho_{xx}$ as a function of $v_0$ at 4.3, 8.0, 12, 16, 20, 26, 31, 40, 59, 76 and 96 K.
Extended Data Fig. 10 | Entropy change per superlattice unit cell $\Delta S/k_B$ from the transport data. White triangles and black circles are phase boundaries for the Zeeman-tuned transition ($E^*/k_B$) and temperature-tuned transition ($T^*$), respectively, determined by the $\rho_{xx}$ peak near $\nu = -1$. The pink curve is $\Delta s/k_B$ as a function of $\nu_0$, determined by equation (7).