miR-200a-3p- and miR-181-5p-Mediated HOXB5 Upregulation Promotes HCC Progression by Transcriptional Activation of EGFR
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Background: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) remains a worldwide burden. However, the mechanisms behind the malignant biological behavior of HCC remain unclear. The homeobox (HOX) family could act as either promoters or suppressors in different kinds of malignancies. Our study discovered the role of HOXB5 in regulating HCC progression.

Methods: The HOXB5 expression was assessed by RT-PCR analysis in human HCC samples and cell lines. HOXB5 transcriptional regulation of the EGFR was verified by the luciferase reporter assay and chromatin immunoprecipitation experiment. The oncogenic role of HOXB5 in HCC progression was analyzed by CCK8, colony-forming, and transwell assays.

Results: Upregulation of HOXB5 was found in human HCC, and was strongly correlated with HCC tumor size, tumor-nodule metastasis, TNM stage, and relatively unfavorable OS and DFS. Ectopic expression of HOXB5 promoted the capacity of cell growth and clonogenicity, while the inhibition of HOXB5 decreased the proliferation and clonogenicity potential in vitro by CCK8 and colony-forming assays. In addition, HOXB5 also promoted cell migration by transwell experiment. Mechanism studies elucidated that HOXB5 triggers HCC progression via direct transcriptional activation of EGFR. The upregulation of HOXB5 is regulated by miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p. Transfection of miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p mimics blocked the cell proliferation and migration regulated by HOXB5, while overexpression of the 3’-UTR mutant HOXB5 abolished the suppressive effect of miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p, but not the wild-type HOXB5.

Conclusion: HOXB5 is a promising prognostic factor in human HCC. Targeting miR-200a-3p and the miR-181-5p/HOXB5/EGFR signaling pathway may provide new options for the treatment strategies of HCC.

Keywords: HCC, HOXB5, miR-200a-3p, miR-181-5p, EGFR
INTRODUCTION

Despite the fact that significant progress has been made in medicine and treatment, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) remains a worldwide burden due to its high morbidity and mortality, and a relatively poor 5-year survival rate (1). A wide variety of basic and clinical investigations of HCC have been made every year, and mountains of molecules and related signaling pathways had been reported every year (2, 3). Unfortunately, the mechanisms behind the malignant biological behavior of HCC remain elusive; thus, it is important to seek for such hidden mechanisms.

Elevation of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is frequently found in metastatic and recurrence tumors, especially in HCC. In recent years, anti-EGFR therapy has achieved tremendous success in solid tumors of the digestive system, such as colorectal cancer (4). Logically, targeting EGFR could be an intriguing proposal for HCC treatment, given that EGFR is extensively expressed in both hepatocytes and mesenchymal cells of the liver. However, anti-EGFR therapy failed to meet expectations in HCC treatment. So far, the underlying mechanisms remain elusive. Thus, targeting the upstream regulators of EGFR brings the attention to HCC therapy. The homeobox (HOX) superfamily, which is famous for its role in regulators of EGFR brings the attention to HCC therapy. The mechanisms remain elusive. Thus, targeting the upstream regulators of EGFR brings the attention to HCC therapy. The homeobox (HOX) superfamily, which is famous for its role in HOXB5 in HCC progression. Our study demonstrated that ectopic expression of HOXB5 in HepG2 cells promoted the capacity of cell growth and clonogenicity, while the inhibition of HOXB5 in Hep3B cells decreased the proliferation and clonogenicity potential in vitro by CCK8 and colony-forming assays. In addition, HOXB5 also promoted cell migration in vitro by transwell experiment. Mechanism studies elucidated that the upregulation of HOXB5 is regulated by miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p. Transfection of their mimics reduced the cell proliferation and migration regulated by HOXB5, while overexpression of the 3′-UTR mutant HOXB5 abolished the suppressive effect. In addition, we found that HOXB5 triggers HCC progression via direct transcriptional activation of EGFR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement

All the human samples were obtained during surgical treatment at the First Affiliated Hospital of the Xi’an Jiaotong University, which was under the supervision of the Clinical Research Ethics Committee. The patients enrolled in our research were free of other types of therapy before the surgery, and all patients who donated tissue samples provided written informed consent.

Patients and Tissue Samples

One hundred paired primary and adjacent non-tumor samples of HCC were obtained during surgical treatment at the First Affiliated Hospital of the Xi’an Jiaotong University (Xi’an, China), which was under the supervision of the Clinical Research Ethics Committee. The patients enrolled in our research were free of other types of therapy before the surgery, and all patients who donated tissue samples provided written informed consent.

Cell Culture and Treatments

The human HCC cell lines HepG2, Huh-7, and Hep3B were commercially available from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). The obtained HCC cells were cultured in DMEM (Gibco, USA) combined with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) in a standard atmosphere with 5% CO2. miR-mimics and inhibitors were obtained from GenePharma Biotechnology (Shanghai, China). For the transfection procedure, overexpression and downregulation cells of HOXB5 were generated with LV-HOXB5 and sh-HOXB5 by lentivirus vectors. The transfection of 100-μmimics or inhibitors and their corresponding negative controls was carried out using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen, USA).

Protein Preparation and Western Blot

The whole proteins of tissues and cells were treated with RIPA buffer, protease, and phosphatase inhibitors (Merck Millipore, USA). The concentrations of each protein were measured by the BCA assay kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China), and proteins were separated by SDS/PAGE at a loading concentration of 30 μg. The proteins were then transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (Merck Millipore, USA) and incubated...
with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight, followed by incubation with secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature (RT). The primary antibodies were as follows: anti-β-actin (Sigma, USA) anti-HOXB5 (Bioss, China).

**RNA Isolation and Real-Time PCR Analysis**
The total RNA was extracted from 30 pairs of fresh frozen HCC and adjacent normal tissues by TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). cDNA was obtained by reverse transcription. The expression levels of the determined mRNAs and miRNAs were measured using quantitative real-time PCR (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The mRNA values were normalized to β-actin expression before comparison, while miRNA values were normalized to U6 expression before comparison.

**In Vitro Functional Studies**
Cell proliferation studies were evaluated by the CCK8 assay and colony-forming assay. The CCK8 assay was performed by Cell Counting Kit-8 kit (Dojindo, Kumanoto, Japan), and cells were plated into 96-well plates at a concentration of 3,000 cells/well after different treatments. Then, the cells were incubated with CCK8 solution for 4 h, and cell viability was evaluated by measuring the absorbance at 490 nm every day for 5 days. The colony-forming assay was performed by seeding cells (1,000–1,500/well) into six-well plates for 14 days after different treatments. Then, the cells were fixed with absolute ethyl alcohol and dyed with 5% crystal violet. The cell migration assay was evaluated by transwell. The migration assay was performed by directly seeding cells (5 × 10⁴) into the upper Boyden chambers (Corning, USA). The upper chambers were filled with DMEM medium (containing 1% FBS), while lower chambers were filled with DMEM medium (containing 20% FBS). After culturing for 24 h, the cells adhering to the lower side of the membrane were fixed and stained with 0.5% crystal violet, and the number of cells in each well was calculated under 5 fields with an optical microscope.

**Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay**
The effects of miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p on HOXB5 activity were measured by dual-luciferase reporter assays. The HepG2 cells were transfected with either the wild-type (WT) or mutant (MUT) 3′-UTR of HOXB5, which was inserted into the pGL3 vector in 96-well plates, after co-transfecting with miR-200a-3p or miR-181-5p mimics into HCC cells using Lipofectamine 2000 for 24 h; the dual-luciferase assays were performed using the dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega, Madison, Wl, USA).

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assay**
Cells were cultured to 80%–90% confluence before being harvested. ChIP assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA samples were analyzed by real-time quantitative PCR.

**Statistical Analysis**
The statistical results were evaluated by using SPSS 22.0 software (Chicago, IL, USA). The quantitative data are presented as the mean ± SD. The Student’s unpaired t-test was used to compare the differences between the two groups, while the one-way ANOVA was used to make comparisons between the control group and several experimental groups. The paired t-test was used to assess the expression of miR-200a-3p or miR-181-5p and HOXB5 between HCC tissues and the corresponding adjacent normal tissues, and the relationship between miR-200a-3p or miR-181-5p and HOXB5 was calculated using the chi-square test. All p-values were two-sided, and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Elevated Expression of HOXB5 Is Frequently Found in HCC Patients and Positively Correlated With Unsatisfied Prognosis**
Abnormal expression of HOXB5 human cancers, including that in HCC, had been reported previously. To verify the expression status of HOXB5 in HCC, especially its important clinical implications, we identified the mRNA expression level of HOXB5 in 100 pairs of HCC tissues and adjacent non-tumor tissues. The expression of HOXB5 was higher in HCC tissues than in their adjacent non-tumor tissues (Figure 1A, Table 1). Moreover, the mRNA level of HOXB5 was significantly higher in recurrence HCC patients than in patients free of recurrence (Figure 1B). Moreover, the HOXB5 expression level was much higher in metastasis patients than in patients without metastasis (Figure 1C). Elevated HOXB5 expression was positively correlated with tumor size, tumor-nodule metastasis, and TNM stage (Table 1). Patients with higher HOXB5 expression predict a relatively unfavorable OS and DFS (Figures 1D, E). We also evaluated mRNA expression of HOXB5 in human HCC in a public database and found increased HOXB5 expression in HCC tissues compared with normal tissues (Figure 1F). In all, the results suggested that HOXB5 is upregulated in HCC and acted as a prognostic factor of HCC patients.

**HOXB5 Promotes Cell Proliferation and Accelerates Migration**
To determine the functional role of HOXB5, we generated the overexpression and downregulation cell lines, HepG2-HOXB5 and Hep3B-shHOXB5, and their corresponding control cell lines according to the expression level of HOXB5 in HepG2, Huh-7, and Hep3B cell lines (Figure 2A). Ectopic expression or silencing of HOXB5 was confirmed by RT-PCR and Western blot analysis (Figures 2B, C). We found that overexpression of HOXB5 significantly increased cell viability and clonogenicity in HepG2 cells (Figures 2D, E). Conversely, silencing of HOXB5 restricted cell viability and clonogenicity in Hep3B cells (Figure 2D). The pro-migration effect of HOXB5 was validated by transwell assay. Ectopic expression of HOXB5 accelerated cell migration in HepG2 cells, while silencing of HOXB5 restricted the ability of Hep3B cells to migrate (Figure 2E). Thus, HOXB5 exerted its oncogenic function in HCC progression via promoting cell proliferation and accelerating migration.
EGFR Is a Directly Transcriptional Target of HOXB5

Previous studies revealed that EGFR is one of the genes mainly regulated by HOXB5 in cancer progression (14). Considering that EGFR is predominantly expressed in hepatocytes, and significantly enriched when HCC occurs, we hypothesized that EGFR may be regulated by HOXB5 in HCC. To confirm our hypothesis, we first analyzed the expression of EGFR in HepG2-HOXB5 and Hep3B-shHOXB5, and their corresponding control cell lines. As expected, upregulation of HOXB5 led to increased expression of EGFR mRNA and protein expression, while EGFR expression in Hep3B-shHOXB5 cells was significantly decreased compared with control (Figures 3A, B). The luciferase reporter assay demonstrated that ectopic expression of HOXB5 increased the luciferase activity of the EGFR promoter (Figure 3C). We then examined and analyzed the direct binding of HOXB5 to the promoter region of EGFR in HepG2 cells stably overexpressing HOXB5 and control cells, and the reporter assay showed that depletion of the cis-element located between −790 and −625 significantly reduced the activity of the EGFR promoter mediated by HOXB5 overexpression, while mutation of the putative HOXB5 binding sites in this fragment decreased HOXB5-mediated activation of the EGFR promoter (Figure 3D). Furthermore, the ChIP assay further demonstrated that HOXB5 binding was indeed enriched in these regions in both HepG2-HOXB5 cell lines and human HCC tissues (Figures 3E, F). Collectively, these data indicated that EGFR is a direct transcriptional target of HOXB5 in HCC.

TABLE 1 | Correlation between HOXB5 expression and clinicopathological characteristics of HCC.

| Clinicopathological variables | Total | HOXB5 | p    |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|------|
|                              | Low   | High  |      |
| Sex                          | 0.34  |       |      |
| Male                         | 23    | 9     | 14   |
| Female                       | 77    | 22    | 55   |
| Age (years)                  | 0.79  |       |      |
| ≤50                          | 52    | 17    | 35   |
| >50                          | 48    | 14    | 34   |
| Serum AFP                    | 0.03  |       |      |
| ≤20 ng/ml                    | 35    | 11    | 24   |
| >20 ng/ml                    | 65    | 20    | 45   |
| HBV infection                | 0.04  |       |      |
| Negative                     | 37    | 15    | 22   |
| Positive                     | 63    | 16    | 47   |
| Child-pugh score             | <0.001|       |      |
| Class A                      | 79    | 24    | 55   |
| Class B                      | 21    | 7     | 14   |
| Tumor size                   | 0.04  |       |      |
| ≤5 cm                        | 74    | 20    | 54   |
| >5 cm                        | 26    | 11    | 20   |
| Tumor-nodule metastasis      | 0.01  |       |      |
| Absent                       | 31    | 17    | 14   |
| Present                      | 69    | 14    | 55   |
| TNM stage                    | <0.001|       |      |
| 1/11                         | 67    | 28    | 39   |
| II                           | 33    | 3     | 30   |

FIGURE 1 | Elevated expression of HOXB5 is frequently found in HCC patients and positively correlates with poor prognosis. (A) mRNA expression level of HOXB5 in 100 pairs of HCC tissues and adjacent non-tumor tissues was evaluated by qRT-PCR. Data are mean ± SD, n = 100. (B) mRNA levels of HOXB5 were significantly higher in recurrence patients than in patients free of recurrence. (C) The HOXB5 expression level was much higher in metastasis patients than in patients free of metastasis. (D, E) Kaplan–Meier curve of HOXB5 depicting the OS and DFS of HCC patients. (F) mRNA expression of HOXB5 in human HCC was evaluated in a public database.
HOXB5 Promotes HCC Progression by Upregulating EGFR Expression

To determine whether EGFR is involved in HOXB5-mediated HCC progression, we knocked down EGFR expression in HepG2-HOXB5 cells (Figure 4A). CCK8 and colony-forming assays showed that knockdown of EGFR expression restricted cell viability and clonogenicity in HepG2-HOXB5 cells (Figure 4B). Consistently, the transwell assay revealed that knockdown of EGFR expression dramatically reversed the migration capacity mediated by HOXB5 overexpression (Figure 4C). These studies suggest that HOXB5 promoted HCC progression by upregulating EGFR expression.

HOXB5 Expression Is Positively Correlated With EGFR Expression in Human HCC Tissues

To further validate the potential correlation between HOXB5 and EGFR, we first analyzed the mRNA expression of EGFR in tissues of human HCC. We found a significant upregulation of EGFR in HCC tissues than in their adjacent non-tumor tissues (Figure 4D). More importantly, EGFR expression is positively correlated with HOXB5 expression (Figure 4E). Furthermore, Kaplan–Meier analysis showed that HCC patients with positive co-expression of EGFR and HOXB5 showed the lowest OS and DFS times (Figure 4F). These findings suggested that HOXB5 is an important upstream regulator of EGFR in HCC patients.

miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p Upregulate HOXB5 Expression to Contribute to HCC Progression

However, the regulatory mechanism of HOXB5 upregulation in human HCC remains unclear. The aberrant expression of miRNAs has been considered to be a critical player in HCC progression (15, 16). Considering that HOXB5 is a master target of multiple cancer-related miRNAs (12, 13), we raised the question of whether certain miRNAs regulate HOXB5 expression in HCC progression. To test our hypothesis, we screened the predicted potential miRNAs that could bind with HOXB5 promoter regions. Then, we chose to further study miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p because these two miRNAs showed the most power in regulating HOXB5 expression when HepG2 cells were treated with inhibitors of certain miRNAs. The luciferase
The reporter assay confirmed that miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p mimic could successfully make a dramatic reduction in the relative luciferase activity with HOXB5 3′-UTR sequences containing WT miR-200a-3p or miR-181-5p binding sites (Figures 5A, C). To further evaluate the role of miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p in HCC progression, we tested the expression levels of miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p in 100 pairs of HCC tissues and adjacent non-tumor tissues. The expression of miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p was dramatically quenched in HCC tissues than in their adjacent non-tumor tissues (Figures 5B, D). Furthermore, miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p levels were significantly lower in recurrence patients and in metastasis patients than in patients without recurrence or metastasis (Figures 5B, D). Collectively, these data suggested that decreased miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p expression in HCC is a key regulator to upregulate HOXB5 levels to contribute to HCC progression.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we found that HOXB5 is markedly upregulated in HCC tissues compared to paired-adjacent noncancerous controls, which was consistent with other research groups (17, 18). In addition, we demonstrated that overexpression of HOXB5 was associated with tumor size, tumor-nodule metastasis, TNM stage, and relatively unfavorable OS and DFS. By using overexpression and downregulation of HCC cell lines of HOXB5, we demonstrated that ectopic expression of HOXB5 in HepG2 cells promoted the capacity of cell growth and clonogenicity, while the inhibition of HOXB5 in Hep3B cells decreased the proliferation and clonogenicity potential in vitro by CCK8 and colony-forming assays. In addition, HOXB5 also promoted cell migration in vitro by transwell experiment. Mechanism studies elucidated that upregulation of HOXB5 is regulated by miR-200a-3p and miR-
Transfection of miR-200a-3p or miR-181-5p mimics in HepG2 cells blocked the cell proliferation and metastasis regulated by HOXB5, while overexpression of the 3’-UTR mutant HOXB5 abolished the suppressive effect of miR-200a-3p or miR-181-5p. In addition, we found that HOXB5 triggers HCC progression via direct transcriptional activation of EGFR. Both the clinical data and the experimental results suggested that HOXB5 plays an important role in HCC progression.

In recent years, anti-EGFR therapy has achieved tremendous success in solid tumors of the digestive system, such as colorectal cancer (4). Previous studies provided solid evidence that anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies and EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors are promising treatment methods for HCC (19–21). However, anti-EGFR therapy failed to meet expectations in HCC treatment. So far, the underlying mechanisms remain elusive. Thus, targeting the upstream regulators of EGFR brings the attention to HCC therapy. Our study found that EGFR is a key transcriptional target of HOXB5. Knockdown of EGFR extensively suppressed HOXB5-mediated HCC progression, whereas overexpression of EGFR rescued the progression of HCC mediated by HOXB5 inhibition. Importantly, we also confirmed the strong correlation between EGFR and HOBX5 expression in human HCC tissues, and patients with positive co-expression of HOXB5 and EGFR showed the poorest OS. The ChIP assay further demonstrated that HOXB5 binding was indeed enriched in promoter regions of EGFR between −790 and −625 in both HCC cell lines and human HCC tissues. All these findings suggested that HOXB5-facilitated HCC progression partly depended on regulating EGFR expression. However, no clues were available on why HOXB5 is upregulated during HCC progression. Given that dysregulation of miRNAs is a key characteristic of HCC, and HOXB5 is also a master target of multiple cancer-related miRNAs (12, 13), we determined whether upregulation of HOXB5 in HCC is a result of dysregulation of certain miRNAs. We found that miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p were the most powerful miRNAs in regulating HOXB5 expression in HCC cells. Downregulation of miR-200a-3p frequently occurred during the HCC progress and acted as a prognostic factor for HCC patients (22, 23). The luciferase reporter assay confirmed that miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p mimics could successfully reduce the relative luciferase activity with HOXB5 3’-UTR sequences containing WT miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p binding sites. Moreover, the expression of miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p was dramatically quenched in HCC tissues compared to their adjacent non-tumor tissues. In addition, miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p levels were significantly lower in recurrence patients and in metastasis patients than in patients without recurrence or metastasis. These data suggested that decreased miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p expression in HCC are key regulators to upregulate the HOXB5 level.

Collectively, our study reported a role for HOXB5 in HCC progression. HOXB5 promoted HCC proliferation and progression by direct transcriptional activation of EGFR. In
addition, we found that miR-200a-3p and miR-181-5p upregulated HOXB5 expression to promote HCC proliferation and progression. Thus, HOXB5 is a prognostic biomarker in human HCC, and targeting miR-200a-3p or the miR-181-5p/HOXB5/EGFR signaling pathway may provide evidence for the treatment strategies for HCC.
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