Impact of respiratory distress syndrome and birth asphyxia exposure on the survival of preterm neonates in East Africa continent: systematic review and meta-analysis
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Introduction: Several kinds of researches are available on preterm mortality in the East Africa continent; however, it is inconsistent and inconclusive, which requires the pooled evidence to recognize the burden in general.

Purpose: To collect and synthesis evidence on preterm mortality and identify factors in the East Africa continent.

Methods: PubMed, Google Scholar, Hinary, Cochrane library, research gate, and institutional repositories were retrieved to identify eligible articles through Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines. The articles were selected if the publication period is between 2010-2021 G.C. Data were extracted by a standardized JBI data extraction format for mortality rate and stratified the associated factors. Then exported to STATA 14 for further analysis. I2 and Egger's tests were employed to estimate the heterogeneity and publication bias respectively. Subgroup analysis based on country, study design, year of publication, and the sample size was also examined.

Result: This meta-analysis included 32 articles with a total of 21,405 study participants. The pooled mortality rate among preterm in the East Africa continent was found to be 19.2% (95% CI: 16.0–22.4). Regarding the study design, the mortality rate was found to be 18.1%, 19.4%, and 19.7% concerning the prospective cohort, retrospective cohort, and cross-sectional studies. The pooled odds of mortality among preterm with respiratory distress syndrome decreased survival by nearly three folds [AOR (Adjusted odds ratio) = 3.2; 95% CI: 22, 4.6]) as compared to their counterparts. Similarly, preterm neonates presented with birth asphyxia were nearly three times higher in death as compared with preterm without birth asphyxia [AOR = 2.6; 95% CI: 1.9, 3.4].

Conclusion: Preterm mortality was found to be unacceptably high in Eastern Africa continent. Fortunately, the main causes of death were found to be respiratory distress syndrome and birth asphyxia which are preventable and treatable hence early detection and timely management of this problem are highly recommended to improve preterm survival.
1. Introduction

Preterm refers to a baby born before 37 weeks of pregnancy has been completed [1]. Preterm birth can be further sub-divided based on gestational age: extremely preterm (<28 weeks), very preterm (28 - <32 weeks) and moderate preterm (32 - <37 completed weeks of gestation) [2].

The Neonatal period is the most vulnerable time for a child’s survival [3]. In 2016, 2.6 million deaths, or roughly 46% of all under-five deaths, occurred during this period [4]. Neonatal mortality (NM) is a major public health challenge worldwide [5, 6]. In 2019, approximately 17 deaths per 1,000 live births had been reported worldwide [3]. Of these, approximately 70% of the neonatal mortalities in resource-limited setting predominantly in East Africa [5, 6, 7].

Worldwide, neonatal mortality due to preterm accounts for 15 to 36, percent [6]. However, in low to middle-income countries neonatal mortality contributed by preterm ranges from 34–40%, and preterm is the second leading cause of under-five mortality [9, 10].

Globally, 13 million preterm were born annually and the highest percentage resource-limited setting [11], and eastern Africa countries have a lion sharing of this burden [10, 12, 13, 14]. Besides, a global action report 2020 showed that the preterm birth rate was 11%, and millions of children died due to preterm birth before the age of 5 years. Meanwhile, preterm birth is the leading cause of death among children [15, 16]. In 2019, 47% of all under-5 deaths occurred in the newborn period, and close to 75 % dying within the first week of life due to preterm birth [17, 17]. Even though premature babies can be saved with feasible and cost-effective care [9, 18], still it is a leading cause of infant mortality in developing countries [9, 10, 19].

In Sub-Saharan Africa had the highest neonatal mortality rate in 2019 at 27 deaths per 1,000 live births, followed by Central and Southern Asia with 24 deaths per 1,000 live births [18]. Besides, in Sub-Saharan Africa, East Africa countries accounts the highest number of neonatal mortality, 2019 [19,20].

Globally, the burden of preterm birth is disproportionately concentrated in East Africa and Asia, which account for 85% of all preterm births [21]. Preterm birth is a significant challenge in developing countries due to the rapid increase in their incidence and their disproportionate contribution to increased neonatal mortality rates [22].

According to Ethiopia Demographic Health Survey (EDHS) 2019, neonatal mortality was increased to 30 death per 1000 live births from 29 per 1000 in 2016 and preterm is the second leading factor [23], which is 10 folds of the mortality rate in the developed nations [3].

Different research conducted on preterm mortality in east Africa with a huge discrepancy range from 4.4 % to 41% in Zambia and Sudan [24, 25] respectively. Besides, preterm mortality has been interlinked with different contributing factors including birth asphyxia, feeding difficulties, hypothermia, hypoglycemia, respiratory syndrome disease, jaundice, and necrotizing enter colitis [26, 27, 28]. Thus, this inconsistency, inconclusive, and uncertain requires pooled evidence to recognize the burden of Eastern African countries. In addition to this, the findings from this review will be utilized to guide the development of guidelines and to enhance the efforts of stakeholders towards the improvement of preterm survival. Therefore, the present study aimed to collect and synthesis evidence on preterm mortality and identify factors in the East Africa continent.

2. Methods

2.1. Design and search strategy

2.1.1. Review question

The review questions of this systematic review and meta-analysis were what is the pooled mortality rate among preterm neonates in the East Africa continent? In addition, what are the factors associated with preterm mortality in the East Africa continent?

![Figure 1. The PRISMA flow chart that shows the searching process in East Africa from January 2012–December 2020.](image-url)
2.1.2. Reporting

The review protocol has been sent to the PROSPERO database for registration Standard Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) checklist was used to present the results of the review (Additional file).

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Both published and unpublished cross-section, case-control, and cohort studies that reported the mortality rate or survival rate and factors associated with it among neonates in East African country context were included f. East African countries include (Sudan, South Sudan, Kenya, Uganda, Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Somalia, Tanzania, Rwanda, Burundi, Comoros, Mauritius, Seychelles, Mozambique, Madagascar, Zambia, Malawi, Zimbabwe, Reunion, and Mayotte). The articles were selected if their publication period is between 2010-2021 G.C. However, studies with no abstracts, case series, case reports, and qualitative studies were excluded from the study.

2.3. Search strategies

This review identified studies that provide information on the mortality rate or survival rate in the continent of Eastern Africa. In the searching engine, PubMed, Google Scholar, Hinary, Cochrane library, research gate, and institutional repositories were retrieved. The search linked keywords were combined with Boolean operators “AND and OR” in the context of population, exposures/intervention, comparison, and condition/outcome (PICO) format. Besides, the related articles for the references of the relevant articles were also searched and investigated. Those search terms or phrases included were: “preterm”, “term”, “neonate”, “newborn”, “small gestation age”, “low birth weight”, “mortality”, “survival”, and Eastern Africa. Using those key terms, the following search map was applied: (prevalence OR magnitude OR epidemiology) AND (predictor OR risk factor OR determine OR associated factors) AND (preterm [MeSH Term] OR term OR neonate OR newborn OR small gestational age OR low birth weight) AND (mortality [MeSH Terms] OR survival) AND (Eastern Africa) OR developing country on PubMed database. These search terms were further paired with the names of each East African country including Sudan, South Sudan, Kenya, Uganda, Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Somalia, Tanzania, Rwanda, Burundi, Comoros, Mauritius, Seychelles, Mozambique, Madagascar, Zambia, Malawi, Zimbabwe, Reunion, and Mayotte.

2.4. Study selection and screening

All titles and abstracts were screened exhaustively by independent authors (ESC and BMB.) to identify potentially relevant articles. Whenever further information is needed, we made some efforts to communicate via authors by email. The retrieved studies were exported to the citation manager (Zotero) and then duplicate articles were excluded. Disagreements were discussed during a consensus meeting with other reviewers (FAG, HSH, and TM) for the final selection of studies to be included in the systematic review and meta-analysis.

Table 1. Distribution of mortality among preterm in East Africa from January 2012-December 2020.

| First Author/Year | Country | Study design | Study type  | Sample size | Magnitude (%) | Quality status |
|-------------------|---------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| Yehuala et al. (2015) | Ethiopia | Retrospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 485 | 25 | Low risk |
| Tamene et al. (2020) | Ethiopia | cross-sectional | Hospital-based | 686 | 36 | Low risk |
| Yismaw et al. (2019) | Ethiopia | Retrospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 516 | 29 | Low risk |
| Abebe et al. (2019) | Ethiopia | cross-sectional | Hospital-based | 415 | 26 | Low risk |
| Mengist et al. (2020) | Ethiopia | Prospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 774 | 19 | Low risk |
| Chengo et al. (2020) | Tanzania | Prospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 311 | 15 | Low risk |
| Muchem et al. (2018) | Kenya | Retrospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 2080 | 6 | Low risk |
| Mmbaga et al. (2016) | Tanzania | Retrospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 1178 | 18 | Low risk |
| Sed et al. (2019) | Ethiopia | cross-sectional study | Hospital-based | 1488 | 9 | Low risk |
| Zaniga et al. (2013) | Burundi | cross-sectional | Hospital-based | 153 | 20 | Low risk |
| Shit et al. (2012) | Eritrea | cross-sectional | Hospital-based | 1502 | 6 | Low risk |
| Ndelem et al. (2016) | Burundi | cross-sectional | Hospital-based | 437 | 28 | Low risk |
| Andegio et al. (2020) | Eritrea | cross-sectional | Hospital-based | 242 | 17 | Low risk |
| Namazi et al. (2020) | Uganda | Prospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 242 | 21 | Low risk |
| Moshiro et al. (2019) | Tanzania | Prospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 241 | 21 | Low risk |
| Orsido et al. (2019) | Ethiopia | Retrospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 212 | 40 | Low risk |
| Roro et al. (2019) | Ethiopia | Retrospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 206 | 14 | Low risk |
| van den et al. (2015) | Malawi | cross-sectional study | Hospital-based | 449 | 22 | Low risk |
| Egesa et al. (2020) | Uganda | Prospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 311 | 36 | Low risk |
| Dessu et al. (2018) | Ethiopia | Retrospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 107 | 35 | Low risk |
| Opio et al. (2019) | Uganda | Prospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 128 | 8 | Low risk |
| Sania et al. (2013) | Tanzania | Retrospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 1032 | 3 | Low risk |
| Dessu et al. (2020) | Ethiopia | Prospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 216 | 8 | Low risk |
| Farah et al. (2018) | Ethiopia | Retrospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 432 | 7 | Low risk |
| Paul et al. (2020) | Uganda | Retrospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 2981 | 5 | Low risk |
| Gudaya (2012) | Ethiopia | Retrospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 1733 | 33 | Low risk |
| Endal et al. (2020) | Ethiopia | Retrospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 535 | 31 | Low risk |
| Miyoshi et al. (2019) | Zambia | cross-sectional study | Hospital-based | 1704 | 4 | Low risk |
| Kolobo et al. (2020) | Ethiopia | case-control | Hospital-based | 100 | - | Low risk |
| Salih et al. (2013) | Sudan | cross-sectional study | Hospital-based | 100 | 41 | Low risk |
| Garcia et al. (2017) | Mozambique | Retrospective Cohort | Hospital-based | 147 | 12 | Low risk |
| Nyakan et al. (2019) | Zimbabwe | cross-sectional study | Hospital-based | 262 | 14 | Low risk |
2.5. Data extraction and quality assessment

Two authors (WNA and DKM) independently and then in collaboration extracted all relevant information by using a standardized JBI data extraction format. For each included article, the following data were extracted: authors’ name, year of publications, study region, sample size, study design, and study setting. Besides, factors associated with mortality were recorded in a standardized JBI data abstraction format. Any disagreements between authors were resolved through discussions with the third and fourth authors when required. The retrieved data was crosschecked with the included papers, then modifications and editions of mistyping data were made when required.

Table 2. The pooled mortality rate of preterm from 32 studies in East Africa from January 2012–December 2020.

| Study                     | ES [95% Conf. Interval] | % Weight |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Yehuala et al. (2015)     | 25.150                  | 21.289   | 29.011 | 3.27 |
| Tamene et al. (2020)      | 36.150                  | 32.563   | 39.737 | 3.29 |
| Yismaw et al. (2019)      | 28.880                  | 24.960   | 32.800 | 3.26 |
| Abebe et al. (2019)       | 25.540                  | 21.346   | 29.734 | 3.24 |
| Mengistu et al. (2020)    | 18.600                  | 15.856   | 21.344 | 3.34 |
| Chengo et al. (2020)      | 15.110                  | 11.131   | 19.089 | 3.26 |
| Muchem et al. (2018)      | 6.440                   | 5.382    | 7.498  | 3.42 |
| Mmbaga et al. (2016)      | 18.420                  | 16.205   | 20.635 | 3.37 |
| Seid et al. (2019)        | 9.270                   | 7.800    | 10.740 | 3.41 |
| Zuniga et al. (2013)      | 20.260                  | 13.890   | 26.630 | 3.02 |
| Shah et al. (2012)        | 5.790                   | 4.614    | 6.966  | 3.41 |
| Ndelema et al. (2016)     | 27.920                  | 23.706   | 32.134 | 3.24 |
| Andegior et al. (2020)    | 16.530                  | 11.846   | 21.214 | 3.19 |
| Namazzi et al. (2020)     | 21.070                  | 15.935   | 26.205 | 3.15 |
| Moshiro et al. (2019)     | 20.750                  | 15.634   | 25.866 | 3.15 |
| Orsido et al. (2019)      | 39.620                  | 33.035   | 46.205 | 2.99 |
| Roro et al. (2019)        | 13.590                  | 8.906    | 18.274 | 3.19 |
| van den et al. (2015)     | 22.270                  | 18.428   | 26.112 | 3.27 |
| Egesa et al. (2020)       | 35.690                  | 30.359   | 41.021 | 3.13 |
| Desu et al. (2018)        | 34.580                  | 25.564   | 42.596 | 2.69 |
| Opio et al. (2019)        | 7.810                   | 3.165    | 12.455 | 3.20 |
| Sania et al. (2013)       | 3.490                   | 2.373    | 4.607  | 3.42 |
| Desu et al. (2020)        | 8.330                   | 4.645    | 12.015 | 3.28 |
| Farah et al. (2018)       | 6.940                   | 4.549    | 9.331  | 3.36 |
| Paul et al. (2020)        | 4.800                   | 4.036    | 5.564  | 3.42 |
| Gudhya (2012)             | 33.120                  | 30.905   | 35.335 | 3.37 |
| Endhalam et al. (2020)    | 31.210                  | 27.290   | 35.130 | 3.26 |
| Miyosh et al. (2019)      | 4.400                   | 3.420    | 5.380  | 3.42 |
| Salih et al. (2013)       | 41.000                  | 31.357   | 50.643 | 2.61 |
| Garcia- et al. (2017)     | 11.560                  | 6.386    | 16.734 | 3.15 |
| Nyakan et al. (2019)      | 13.740                  | 9.565    | 17.915 | 3.24 |
| D + L po ES              | 19.203                  | 16.019   | 22.387 | 100.00 |

Heterogeneity chi-squared = 1947.64 (d.f. = 30) p = 0.000.
I-squared (variation in ES attributable to heterogeneity) = 98.5%.
Test of ES = 0: z = 11.82 p = 0.000.

2.6. Statistical analysis

The authors were edited, cleaned, and checked for completeness of the extracted data in an excel sheet, then exported into STATA 14 for further analysis. Pooled overall mortality rate and classified factors associated with mortality were estimated by a random effect meta-analysis model. Heterogeneity between the studies was assessed using the I^2 statistic and the value of I^2, they show the overall variation across the studies presented by low, moderate, and high with the percentage of 25, 50, and 75%, respectively [29]. Publication bias was estimated through a funnel plot and the Egger test [30]. Moreover, subgroup analysis was done by the study country, study design, sample size, and year of publication. Sensitivity analysis was piloted to examine the effect of a single study on the overall estimation.

Figure 2. Forest plot showing the pooled estimate of mortality among preterm in East Africa, from January 2012–December 2020.
3. Results

3.1. Search result

Our search yielded a total of 3699 records, 2038 from PubMed, 71 from Cochrane Library, 41 from Hinari, 1547 from Google Scholar, 11 from CINAHL, and 06 from Scopus sources. After removal of duplicates, we screened the titles and abstracts of 922 records. Finally, a full-text review was conducted for 32 studies with a sample size of 21,405 participants included to assess the overall mortality rate among preterm births from 11 East African countries including Ethiopia, Tanzania, Kenya, Burundi, Eritrea, Uganda, Tanzania, Malawi, Uganda, Zambia, Sudan, Mozambique, and Zimbabwe (Figure 1).

3.2. Characteristics of the included articles

This meta-analysis included 32 different studies covering a total of 21,405 preterms. The studies were conducted from 2012 to 2020 among more than 11 Eastern Africa countries including 12 from Ethiopia represented by 14 studies [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44]. Tanzania [14, 45, 46, 47] and Uganda [12, 48, 49, 50] represented by 04 studies. Besides, Burundi [51, 52] and Eritrea [53, 54] represented by 02 studies. While Malawi [55], Kenya [56], Zambia [24], Sudan [25], Mozambique [57], and Zimbabwe [58] are represented by 01 studies. Regarding study design, 13 studies employed retrospective cohort [12, 14, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 56, 57], whereas 07 prospective cohort studies [31, 32, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50], 01 case-control type [36], and 11 cross-sectional design [24, 25, 33, 34, 35, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 58]. All studies included in this review were observational studies which were conducted in the hospital with sample sizes ranging from 100 participants reported from a study in Ethiopia and Sudan [25, 36], and 29811 from Uganda [12] respectively (Table 1).

3.3. Meta-analysis

The pooled magnitude of mortality: Most of the studies (n = 31) have reported a mortality rate among preterm neonates. The mortality rate was ranged from highest reported from a study in Sudan 41% [25], and the least was from a study in Zambia 4.4% [24]. The pooled mortality rate among preterm neonates in East Africa using random-effects model analysis was found to be 19.2% (95% CI: 16.0–22.4); \( I^2 = 98.5\% ; \ p < 0.001 \) (Table 2 & Figure 2).

3.4. Publication bias

Egger's regression test value showed that there is a statistically significant publication bias (p < 0.000). Besides, a funnel plot showed an asymmetrical distribution which indicated the presence of publication bias (Table 3 & Figure 3).

Sensitivity analysis: The results of sensitivity analyses using the random effect model suggested that there is no single study that

Table 3. Egger's test of the study involved 32 studies on preterm mortality in East Africa from January 2012-December 2020.

| Study ID | Std_Eff | Coef. | Std. Err. | T | P > t | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|---------|---------|-------|-----------|---|-------|----------------------|
| Yehuual et al.[2015] | .5574478 | .0524259 | 10.63 | .000 | .4502247 | .664708 |
| Bias | .3443868 | .0500503 | 6.88 | .000 | .2420225 | .4467511 |

Figure 3. Funnel plot to test the publication bias of the 32 studies, log proportion (x-axis) with a standard error of log proportion (y-axis).
influenced the overall estimation significantly. Besides, the sensitivity analysis is displayed graphically (Table 4).

### 3.5. Subgroup analysis of preterm mortality rate in Eastern Africa

The subgroup analysis was employed to estimate the pooled mortality rate by stratifying the studies into different categories. In this regard, the studies were stratified by country, study design, year of publication, and sample size. The mortality rate regarding by country including Ethiopia, Tanzania, Burundi, Eritrea, and Uganda was found to be 23.7%, 14.3%, 24.5%, 10.9%, and 17.2% respectively. Besides, the mortality rate by study design was found to be 18.1%, 19.4%, and 19.7% for a prospective cohort, retrospective cohort, and cross-sectional study respectively. Based on the year of publication, the mortality rate was

| Variable | Characteristics | Pooled prevalence % (95%CI) | I², (p-value) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Country  | Ethiopia        | 23.7 (17.2–30.3)            | 98.2% (<0.001) |
|          | Tanzania        | 14.3 (4.5–24.1)             | 98.4% (<0.001) |
|          | Burundi         | 24.5 (17.0–31.9)            | 74.1% (<0.049) |
|          | Eritrea         | 10.9 (0.4–21.4)             | 94.7% (<0.001) |
|          | Uganda          | 17.2 (3.8–30.6)             | 98.1% (<0.001) |
| By study design | Prospective cohort | 18.1 (12.0–24.2) | 93.3% (<0.001) |
|          | Retrospective Cohort | 19.4 (14.1–24.8) | 99.0% (<0.001) |
|          | Cross-sectional | 19.7 (14.3–25.1)            | 98.3% (<0.001) |
| By the year of publication | 2012-2018 | 19.2 (13.8–24.6) | 98.7% (<0.001) |
|          | 2019-2020       | 19.3 (15.0–23.7)            | 98.3% (<0.001) |
| By sample size | <350 | 19.2 (16.0–22.4) | 92.8% (<0.001) |
|          | ≥350            | 17.9 (13.9–22.0)            | 99.0% (<0.001) |

Table 4. Summary of the sensitivity on mortality rate among preterm in Eastern Africa from January 2012–December 2020.

Table 5. Summary of subgroup analysis on mortality rate among preterm in Eastern Africa by country, design, year of publication, and sample size from January 2012–December 2020.
**Figure 4.** The pooled effect of respiratory distress syndrome on the pooled estimate of mortality among preterm in East Africa, from January 2012–December 2020.

| Study            | ID | ES (95% CI) | Weight |
|------------------|----|-------------|--------|
| Yehuala et al. (2015) |    | 7.77 (4.87, 12.90) | 10.85  |
| Tamene et al. (2020) |    | 1.80 (1.32, 2.47) | 12.62  |
| Yismaw et al. (2019) |    | 3.19 (1.95, 5.21) | 11.94  |
| Cherigo et al. (2020) |    | 8.08 (4.23, 10.44) | 9.53   |
| Sheka et al. (2019) |    | 4.22 (3.02, 5.49) | 12.46  |
| Robert et al. (2019) |    | 2.41 (1.39, 4.17) | 10.47  |
| Walifu et al. (2020) |    | 2.91 (1.79, 5.72) | 8.29   |
| Worku (2012) |    | 2.89 (1.88, 3.78) | 12.46  |
| Atiku et al. (2020) |    | 1.70 (1.19, 2.42) | 12.29  |
| Overall (I-squared = 83.1%, p = 0.000) | | 3.20 (2.28, 4.36) | 100.00 |

NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis.

**Figure 5.** The pooled effects of birth asphyxia on the pooled estimate of mortality among preterm in East Africa, from January 2012–December 2020.

| Study            | ID | ES (95% CI) | Weight |
|------------------|----|-------------|--------|
| Yehuala et al. (2015) |    | 2.10 (1.39, 3.18) | 10.89  |
| Tamene et al. (2020) |    | 2.51 (1.10, 5.72) | 6.72   |
| Yismaw et al. (2019) |    | 1.60 (1.19, 2.15) | 12.12  |
| Cherigo et al. (2020) |    | 8.08 (4.23, 15.64) | 8.35   |
| Sheka et al. (2019) |    | 4.48 (3.15, 6.38) | 11.53  |
| Robert et al. (2019) |    | 1.80 (1.22, 2.67) | 11.11  |
| Tujare et al. (2018) |    | 1.80 (1.27, 2.47) | 11.53  |
| Walifu et al. (2020) |    | 2.61 (1.19, 5.72) | 7.05   |
| Dessu et al. (2018) |    | 6.11 (4.14, 9.30) | 2.55   |
| Worku (2012) |    | 1.80 (1.29, 2.52) | 11.73  |
| Abena et al. (2020) |    | 3.10 (1.31, 7.33) | 6.40   |
| Overall (I-squared = 75.9%, p = 0.000) | | 2.65 (1.98, 3.44) | 100.00 |

NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis.
found to be 19.2% in the study conducted in between 2012-2018. Similarly, the mortality rate was 19.3% in the study period from 2019-2020. Moreover, the mortality rate was found to be 19.2 and 17.9% with the sample size <350 and ≥350, respectively (Table 5).

3.6. The impact of respiratory distress syndrome on the survival of preterm neonates

Seven studies from 32 studies reported that respiratory distress syndrome has an impact on the survival of preterm [33, 35, 37, 38, 46, 47, 49]. In this regard, the pooled effect of respiratory distress syndrome on the survival of preterm neonates showed that respiratory distress syndromes were 3.2 times increase risk of death in preterm neonates as compared to preterm neonates without respiratory distress syndrome [AOR = 3.2; 95% CI: 22, 4.6] (Figure 4).

3.7. The impact of birth asphyxia on the survival of preterm neonates

Nine studies from 32 studies reported that birth asphyxia had a significant association with preterm mortality [33, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 47, 49]. In this concern, the pooled effect of birth asphyxia on the survival of preterm neonates showed that preterm presented with birth asphyxia nearly 2.6 times [AOR = 2.6; 95% CI: 1.9, 3.4] had a higher risk of death as compared to preterm without birth asphyxia (Figure 5).

4. Discussion

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, the pooled mortality rate among preterm in East Africa was found to be 19.2%. This is consistent with the studies conducted in Asia, India, and Nigeria [48, 49, 51]. However, The mortality rate reported in this study is higher than studies reported from Germany [59], Iran [60], Australian [61], China [62], Libya [63], Mexico [64], Spain [65], and France 4.9% [66]. Moreover, the mortality rate of preterm associated with respiratory distress syndrome and asphyxia in this study is much higher than studies conducted in global, western Europe, Eastern Europe & Central Asia, and North America [67, 68]. In contrast, the mortality in this study was lower than the study conducted in Ghana, Brazil, and Pakistan [9, 69, 70].

The discrepancy might be due to sampling size, study settings, study period, and/or characteristics of study participants. Moreover, in developing countries, there is low skilled care at birth, antenatal care visits, low advancement of medical care, and, delayed health-seeking behavior than industrialized countries. Moreover, few women and newborns stay in the health facility for the recommended 24 h after birth in a resource-limited-setting, which is the most critical time when complications can present [7, 71].

Subgroup analysis of mortality rate by country includes Ethiopia, Tanzania, Burundi, Eritrea, and Uganda found to be 23.7%, 14.3%, 24.5%, 10.9%, and 17.2%. This variation can be explained by socioeconomic and cultural variation between the countries, the health status of the mother or father of the neonate, sample size, and study period.

Subgroup analysis regarding the study design showed that the mortality rate was found to be 18.1%, 19.4%, and 19.7% for the prospective cohort, retrospective cohort, and cross-sectional respectively. The reason could be a cross-sectional study including prevalence and incidence, while cohort studies only considered the incidence cases. Besides, subgroup analysis based on the year of publication advocated that the mortality rate was found to be 19.2% in studies conducted from 2012-2018. Similarly, it was 19.3% from 2019-2020. Moreover, the mortality rate regarding sample size subgroup analysis was found to be 19.2% and 17.9% with sample size <350 and ≥350 respectively.

The odds of mortality were higher nearly by three folds [AOR = 3.2; 95% CI: 22, 4.6] among preterm neonates with respiratory distress syndrome as compared to preterm neonates without respiratory distress syndrome. This is also supported by the findings in other developing countries [10, 35, 37, 46].

Respiratory distress syndrome is more fatal and prevalent in preterm neonates predominantly in resource-limited settings [72]. Besides, the treatment modalities, including antenatal corticosteroids, surfactants, and advanced respiratory care of the neonate are limited in Eastern African countries [71]. The odds of mortality were increased 2.6 times among preterm with birth asphyxia when compared to their counterparts [AOR = 2.6; 95% CI: 1.9, 3.4]. Similar findings were also reported from previous studies [10, 73, 74]. Indeed, preterm neonates with birth asphyxia usually present hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy, seizures, and cerebral palsy due to hypoxia. In this regard, respiratory distress syndrome and birth asphyxia.

4.1. Limitations of the study

In this study, only quantitative observational studies published in English were included in the analysis, and case series, case reports, and qualitative findings were excluded. Besides, we determined the subgroup analysis in different strata, heterogeneity was observed in some stratified groups. Thus, these are the limitations of the studies that the reader advised to be considered.

5. Conclusion

Preterm mortality was found to be unacceptably high in Eastern Africa continent. Fortunately, the main causes of death were found to be respiratory distress syndrome and birth asphyxia which are preventable and treatable, hence early detection and timely management of this problem are highly recommended to improve preterm survival.
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