Beneficial Effect of Water-Based Exercise Training on Exercise Capacity in COPD Patients—a Pilot Study
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Background: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a common, preventable, and treatable condition, characterized by persistent airflow limitation. Exercise training is a core component of pulmonary rehabilitation in people with COPD. Water-based exercise has been studied, but it remains unclear whether water-based exercise program leads to the improvement in respiratory function, muscle strength, balance ability, and exercise capacity. We aim to study the effect of an 8-week water-based exercise program on respiratory function, muscle strength, balance ability, and exercise capacity in people with COPD.

Methods: Fourteen stable COPD participants (FEV1 56.8 ± 24.6%pred) were recruited and randomized into a water-based exercise or a land-based exercise group. Both groups were trained for 8 weeks, two sessions per week. Pulmonary function, respiratory muscle strength, peripheral muscle strength, balance ability, exercise capacity [6-min walking test (6MWT), incremental shuttle walk test (ISWT), and endurance shuttle walk test (ESWT)] were assessed at baseline and at the end of the program. ANCOVA was used to conduct between-group comparisons of outcomes after adjusting for pre-intervention values.

Results: Baseline characteristics of participants were not significantly different between the two groups (p ≥ 0.05). After the 8-week training program, participants in the intervention group achieved larger gains in ESWT (Δ663.4 ± 279.5 vs. Δ45.4 ± 93.2 s, p = 0.001). In addition, maximal inspiratory pressure (MIP) was significantly increased more in the intervention group (Δ11.1 ± 7.8 vs. Δ1.1 ± 5.7 cmH2O, p = 0.026). However, no significant differences in pulmonary function, peripheral muscle strength, balance ability variables, 6MWD (p = 0.248), and ISWT (p = 0.506) were observed between the two groups.
INTRODUCTION

The main types of NCDs are cardiovascular diseases (heart attacks and stroke), cancers, diabetes, and chronic respiratory diseases (such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma) are responsible for most deaths worldwide (1). Chronic respiratory diseases constitute a public health problem that impose a substantial burden. Especially, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a major cause of chronic morbidity and mortality. COPD is a common, preventable and treatable disease that is characterized by persistent respiratory symptoms and airflow limitation that is due to airway and/or alveolar abnormalities usually caused by significant exposure to noxious particles or gases (1, 2). Dyspnea is the most notable exercise-limiting symptom of the disease, which leads to chronic avoidance of physical activities. As a result, low physical activity levels contribute to upper and lower limb muscle deconditioning and exercise capacity reduction, which impact negatively on health related quality of life (2, 3).

Pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) including exercise training, education, nutritional intervention, and psychosocial support is an integrated and standard care option for COPD patients (3, 4). It is the most effective non-pharmacological management to improve symptoms of dyspnea and fatigue, increase exercise capacity, improve health-related quality of life, and reduce hospital admissions (3–5). The most effective strategies for the rehabilitation of COPD is land-based exercise training. However, the general demographic of people with COPD referred to PR are of an older age, and a high proportion have physical comorbidity, such as musculoskeletal abnormalities, cerebrovascular disease, osteoarthritis, and obesity, which limit their ability to participate in land-based exercise training. There is a high drop-out rate to 66% from land-based exercise training. Therefore, performing land-based exercise training may considerably reduce the effectiveness and does not find significant differences when study in this training (6).

Even though water-based exercise has been studied (6–9), it remains unclear whether water-based exercise programs lead to an improvement in endurance exercise capacity, respiratory function, muscle strength, and balance ability. In a Cochrane review conducted in 2013, the authors concluded that there is limited quality evidence that water-based exercise training is safe and improves exercise capacity and quality of life in people with COPD immediately after training (7). Therefore, a comprehensive physical evaluation has been performed in this present study. We aimed to study the effect of an 8-week water-based exercise program on endurance exercise capacity, respiratory function, muscle strength, and balance ability in people with COPD. The second objective was to investigate the correlation between the change of primary outcome (endurance exercise capacity) and all secondary outcomes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design, Data Collection, and Randomization

Participants who had confirmed diagnosis of COPD according to the Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) criteria, were in a stable phase age between 40 and 75 years old, and were referred for outpatient treatment at Thammasat university hospital were included in this study. The exclusion criteria were: Psychiatric disorders, cognitive disorders, progressive neurological or neuromuscular disorders, progressive musculoskeletal disorders, or severe orthopedic problems that impact in activity in daily life.

The participants gave written informed consent, answered the questionnaire, and gave personal data. This study was a randomized control trial with assessor blind (assessor and therapist would be different) due to the exercise interventions, it was not possible to blind the participants or therapist. Group allocation was performed by simple randomization using sealed opaque envelopes in random block sizes of four and six (order unknown to investigators) (10). They were divided into two groups: water-based exercise training and land-based exercise training (control group).

This study was approved by the Ethical Review Sub-Committee Board for Human Research Involving Sciences, Thammasat University, No.3 (COA No.110/2561). The clinical trial has been registered in Thai Clinical Trials Registry (the TCTR identification number is TCTR20210125005).

Measurement

Pulmonary function was assessed by spirometry using MicroLab MK8 (11). Maximal inspiratory pressure (MIP) and maximal expiratory pressure (MEP) were registered at the mouth by an electronic pressure transducer (MicroRPM Micomedical, UK) (12). Peripheral muscle strength was assessed using handheld dynamometer for quadriceps strength and hand grip dynamometer for hand grip strength (13). Postural balance was assessed by five time sits to stand (FTSTS) test and time

Conclusions: The water-based exercise program could be recommended to the COPD rehabilitation program for improving the endurance exercise capacity and inspiratory muscle strength.
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### Table 1: Land-based and water-based exercise program (8).

| Exercise phase | Duration | Exercise program |
|----------------|----------|------------------|
| Warm up        | 7 min    | • Breathing control  
|                |          | • Straight leg kick (stretching of lower limbs)  
|                |          | • Kicking & Punching  
|                |          | • Jogging (stationary)  
| **Lower limb exercise 1** | 10 min   | • Straight leg kick forwards, sideways and backwards  
|                |          | • High knee shuffle with opposite elbow to opposite high knee  
|                |          | • Straight leg kick with arm reach to opposite toe  
|                |          | • Straight leg walking  
| Resting        | 2 min    | • Breathing control and hydration  
| **Lower limb exercise 2** | 10 min   | • Bunny hops  
|                |          | • Single leg jumps  
|                |          | • Star jumps  
|                |          | • Seated leg cycling (stationary)  
| Resting        | 2 min    | • Breathing control and hydration  
| **Respiratory muscle stretches gymnastic** | 10 min | • Slowly breath in, gradually elevating both shoulders. After taking a deep breath, slowly breathe out and pulling back both shoulders.  
|                |          | • Place both hands on upper chest. Pull back your elbows and pull your chest up while lifting your chin and deep breath in, slowly breath out through your mouth and relax.  
|                |          | • Put your hands in front of your body at shoulder height. Slowly breathe in, move your hands forward with lean trunk flexion, stretch your back, move your hand down and slowly breath out.  
|                |          | • Hold a towel with both hands outstretched at shoulder height. After taking a deep breath, move your arms up while breathing out slowly.  
|                |          | • Hold one hand behind your head. Take a deep breath. While slowly breathing out, raising elbow as high as is possible. Repeat on the opposite side.  
| Resting        | 2 min    | • Breathing control and hydration  
| **Upper limb exercise** | 10 min | • Raise your hands in front of your body at shoulder height and bring your hand down.  
|                |          | • Extend both arms out straight to your side at shoulder height and put down on your side.  
|                |          | • Raise your hands in front of you at shoulder height and reach out to the sides of your body with both hands.  
|                |          | • Place your arms hanging by your sides, bending the hand toward the shoulder and return to starting position.  
|                |          | Use dumbbell to perform the exercise program  
| Cool down      | 7 min    | • Clasp and raise your hands over head, lateral bending your trunk to both sides.  
|                |          | • Breathing control  

### Table 2: Progression of exercise program for land-based and water-based exercise group (12).

| Exercise phase | Exercise program | Intensity |
|----------------|------------------|-----------|
| **Lower limb exercise** | • Straight leg kick forwards, sideways, and backwards  
|                | • High knee shuffle with opposite elbow to opposite high knee  
|                | • Straight leg kick with arm reach to opposite toe  
|                | • Straight leg walking  
|                | • Seated leg cycling (stationary)  
|                | • Slowly breath in, gradually elevating both shoulders. After taking a deep breath, slowly breathe out and pulling back both shoulders.  
|                | • Place both hands on upper chest. Pull back your elbows and pull your chest up while lifting your chin and deep breath in, slowly breath out through your mouth and relax.  
|                | • Put your hands in front of your body at shoulder height. Slowly breathe in, move your hands forward with lean trunk flexion, stretch your back, move your hand down and slowly breath out.  
|                | • Hold a towel with both hands outstretched at shoulder height. After taking a deep breath, move your arms up while breathing out slowly.  
|                | • Hold one hand behind your head. Take a deep breath. While slowly breathing out, raising elbow as high as is possible. Repeat on the opposite side.  
| **Upper limb exercise** | • Raise your hands in front of your body at shoulder height and bring your hand down.  
|                | • Extend both arms out straight to your side at shoulder height and put down on your side.  
|                | • Raise your hands in front of you at shoulder height and reach out to the sides of your body with both hands.  
|                | • Place your arms hanging by your sides, bending the hand toward the shoulder and return to starting position.  
|                | Use dumbbell to perform the exercise program  
|                | **Week 1st-2nd**: 10 reps  
|                | **Week 2nd-4th**: 15 reps  
|                | **Week 4th-6th**: 20 reps  
|                | **Week 6th-8th**: 25 reps  
|                | **Week 1st-2nd**: 75% 6MWT/3*  
|                | **Week 2nd-4th**: 80% 6MWT/3*  
|                | **Week 4th-6th**: 85% 6MWT/3*  
|                | **Week 6th-8th**: 90% 6MWT/3*  
|                | **Week 1st-2nd**: 1 min  
|                | **Week 2nd-4th**: 1 min  
|                | **Week 4th-6th**: 1 min  
|                | **Week 6th-8th**: 1 min  
| *average speed of the 6MWT was measured in meters per minute. This speed was used to calculate in steps per minute, which was used to dictate the “beats per minute” tempo of the metronome.*
Exercise capacity was assessed by 6-min walk test (6MWT), incremental shuttle walk test (ISWT), and endurance exercise capacity was assessed by the endurance shuttle walk test (ESWT) following the recommendations of European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society (15, 16). The primary outcome was ESWT. The secondary outcomes were pulmonary function, respiratory muscle strength, peripheral muscle strength, postural balance, and exercise capacity. Participants have been assessed at baseline and the end of the program.

**Intervention**

Water-based exercise group was performed in a hydrotherapy pool with maximum of 12 participants per session under supervision, with the water temperature at 34 degree Celsius and the water level between the clavicle and xiphisternum for each participant. The exercise program was performed at moderate intensity, and before the exercise program participants were tested to find their blood pressure, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation, peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR), dyspnea and legs fatigue scale, according to modified borg scale for dyspnea, and perceived exertion at an intensity rating of three to five. Training intensity was measured three times during each exercise session. If the intensity reported was below three, participants were encouraged to increase their intensity or to add equipment to increase the resistance of the exercise program. For the land-based exercise group, at the beginning of the training course, the physiotherapist instructed participants about the correct exercise program as closely as with the water-based exercise group. Subjects in the land-based training group exercised on their own, but closely followed the instruction given at the beginning of the course and the exercise handbook. We followed up with the land-based training group by telephone call twice a week. Both training interventions were matched as closely as possible for training intensity, duration, muscles, and also the training progression. These protocols were modified from the study of McNamara et al. (8) (Table 1) and the training progression was adapted from Felcar et al. (17) (Table 2). Both groups were trained 60 min per session, 2 sessions per week for 8 weeks.

Compliance with the training protocol was assessed by physiotherapist during the training sessions in the water-based exercise group and by telephone call in the land-based exercise group.

**FIGURE 1** | A diagram summarizing the flow of participants through the study.
Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Version 21 and G-Power version 3.1.9.2 was used to calculate the sample size based on our pilot study and the study of McNamara et al. (8). To detect a minimally clinically important difference between groups of 203 m in the ESWT distance, with a degree of certainty (statistical power) of 80% and a risk for a type 1 error (α) <5%, a total of 14 participants (7 per group) were required to complete the study. Baseline data was verified using the descriptive statistics. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to conduct between-group comparisons of outcomes. Pearson correlation was used to analyze the correlation between outcomes. Statistical significance was set as P < 0.05.

RESULTS
Between January 2019 and October 2020, 25 patients were screened for study participation. A diagram summarizing the flow of participants through the study is presented in Figure 1. The most frequent reason for non-eligibility was declined to participate (n = 11). Baseline characteristics of included patients were not significantly different between the two groups (Table 3).

Changes in Pulmonary Function, Respiratory and Peripheral Muscles Function, Balance Ability, and Functional Exercise Capacity Outcomes
Patients in the water-based exercise group exhibited significantly larger improvements in inspiratory (Δ11.1 ± 7.8 vs. Δ1.1 ± 5.7 cmH2O, p = 0.026), but not expiratory muscle strength in comparison to the land-based exercise group (p = 0.071) (Table 4). There were no statistically significant changes in pulmonary function and other muscle strength variables between the two groups. FTSTS and TUG were both improved within both groups after training with no statistically significant between-group differences. The water-based exercise group exhibited significantly larger improvements in ESWT (Δ663.4 ± 279.5 vs. Δ45.4 ± 93.2 s, p = 0.001), but not 6MWT and ISWT (p = 0.248 and p = 0.506, respectively). Moreover, there was a trend of reduction in dyspnea symptom after the ISWT and ESWT in both groups. Furthermore, ESWT was not significantly correlated with all pulmonary function, respiratory and peripheral muscles function, balance ability variables.

Both groups had a high and similar training adherence. The water-based exercise group completed 73.4 ± 17.2% of all training sessions while the land-based exercise group completed 71.7 ± 21.6% of all sessions. In addition, there was a trend of reduction in average dyspnea scale after each training sessions in both water-based exercise and land-based exercise group (-0.4 ± 0.2 vs. −0.2 ± 0.35, respectively).

DISCUSSION
This pilot study investigated the effects of water-based exercise on respiratory function, muscle strength, balance ability, and exercise capacity in people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The outcome of the water-based exercise program has not been well-characterized and not widely reported. In this study, we address this relevant topic and provide the evidence showing the benefits of the water-based exercise on the COPD patients. We include a comprehensive physical evaluation of the patients and perform the randomized control trial to strengthen our study approaches. The main findings of this study are two profound improvements in endurance exercise capacity assessed by the ESWT (primary outcome) and in the inspiratory muscle strength in the COPD patients (n = 7) who engaged in the water-based exercise program for 8 weeks. Significant differences in pulmonary function, peripheral muscle strength, balance ability variables, the 6MWD, and the ISWT were not found between the two groups. Moreover, the correlations between the improvement in ESWT and the secondary outcomes were not found in this study.

First, the endurance exercise capacity which was measured by the ESWT was dramatically enhanced in favor of the water-based exercise group. This result could be explained by the buoyancy properties of water which supports the body weight, water resistance which increases exercise intensity, and the proposed effects of warm water (34 degrees Celsius) on muscle blood flow (18). These may allow the COPD patients to exercise at a higher intensity with a likelihood of dyspnea.

### Table 3 | Baseline characteristics.

| Variables | WBE group (n = 7) | LBE group (n = 7) | P-value |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Age (year) | 66 ± 8.1 | 69 ± 4.9 | 0.310 |
| Gender (F/M) | 2/5 | 2/5 | - |
| Weight (kg) | 65.2 ± 15.9 | 55.5 ± 13.5 | 0.243 |
| Height (m) | 159.7 ± 5.3 | 160.9 ± 3.5 | 0.641 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 25.4 ± 4.5 | 21.4 ± 4.6 | 0.130 |
| mMRC | 1.7 ± 0.5 | 1.7 ± 0.9 | 1.000 |
| FEV₁ (%predicted) | 51.2 ± 17.4 | 61.6 ± 30.1 | 0.472 |
| FVC (%predicted) | 60.2 ± 24.8 | 75.0 ± 23.2 | 0.289 |
| FEV₁/FVC (%) | 58.7 ± 10.2 | 59.1 ± 11.0 | 0.516 |
| MIP (cmH₂O) | 58.1 ± 25.0 | 67.0 ± 18.7 | 0.467 |
| MEP (cmH₂O) | 98.6 ± 27.9 | 90.1 ± 36.2 | 0.634 |
| Quadriceps strength (Nm) | 128.1 ± 20.9 | 128.5 ± 23.5 | 0.553 |
| Hand grip strength (Nm) | 24.9 ± 9.0 | 22.1 ± 6.7 | 0.400 |
| FTSTS (seconds) | 13.9 ± 2.7 | 16.9 ± 7.4 | 0.345 |
| TUG (seconds) | 11.2 ± 1.3 | 16.4 ± 6.2 | 0.053 |
| 6MWT (meters) | 335.0 ± 107.2 | 290.1 ± 96.0 | 0.426 |
| ISWT (meters) | 254.3 ± 132.1 | 185.7 ± 53.8 | 0.228 |
| ESWT (seconds) | 352.3 ± 245.5 | 570.0 ± 269.4 | 0.140 |

Data are presented as mean ± SD. WBE, water-based exercise; LBE, land-based exercise; BMI, body mass index; mMRC, modified Medical Research Council dyspnea scale; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC, forced vital capacity; %predicted, percentage of the predicted normal value; MIP, maximal inspiratory mouth pressure; MEP, maximal expiratory mouth pressure; FTSTS, five times sit to stand test; TUG, timed up and go test; 6MWT, six-minute walk test; ISWT, incremental shuttle walk test; ESWT, endurance shuttle walk test.
TABLE 4 | Changes in pulmonary function, respiratory and peripheral muscles function, balance ability, and functional exercise capacity outcomes.

| Variables                          | WBE group (n = 7) | LBE group (n = 7) | Adjusted differences (95%) at post training | P-value |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------|
| **Pulmonary function**            |                  |                  |                                           |         |
| FEV₁ (%)                          | 51.2 ± 17.4      | 53.0 ± 18.4      | 61.6 ± 30.1                               | −2.6 (−26.1 to 20.9) | 0.811 |
| FVC (%)                           | 60.2 ± 24.8      | 73.8 ± 24.6      | 75.0 ± 23.2                               | 6.3 (−22.7 to 35.2) | 0.641 |
| FEV₁/FVC (%)                      | 58.7 ± 10.2      | 57.9 ± 13.4      | 59.1 ± 11.0                               | −15.8 (−32.7 to 1.2) | 0.266 |
| **Respiratory and peripheral muscles function** |                  |                  |                                           |         |
| MIP (cmH₂O)                       | 58.1 ± 25.0      | 69.3 ± 24.8†     | 67.0 ± 18.7                               | 10.1 (1.5–18.7) | 0.026* |
| MEP (cmH₂O)                       | 98.6 ± 27.9      | 131.0 ± 55.5†    | 90.14 ± 36.2                             | 29.1 (−2.9 to 61.2) | 0.071 |
| Quadriceps strength (Nm)          | 128.1 ± 20.9     | 132.8 ± 40.8     | 128.4 ± 23.5                             | 40.2 (14.8–65.5) | 0.327 |
| Hand grip strength (Nm)           | 24.9 ± 9.0       | 26.3 ± 8.0       | 22.1 ± 6.7                               | 1.5 (−2.4 to 5.4) | 0.520 |
| **Balance ability**               |                  |                  |                                           |         |
| FTSTS (sec)                       | 13.9 ± 2.7       | 12.1 ± 2.2       | 16.9 ± 7.4                               | −1.6 (−5.3 to 2.1) | 0.368 |
| TUG (sec)                         | 11.2 ± 1.3       | 9.7 ± 1.5†       | 16.4 ± 6.2                               | −0.4 (−2.7 to 2.0) | 0.741 |
| **Functional exercise capacity**  |                  |                  |                                           |         |
| 6MWT (m)                          | 335.0 ± 107.2    | 360.6 ± 103.2    | 290.1 ± 96.1                             | 25.2 (−20.3 to 70.8) | 0.248 |
| ISWT (m)                          | 254.3 ± 132.1    | 282.9 ± 120.2    | 185.7 ± 53.8                             | 16.7 (−36.8 to 70.3) | 0.506 |
| ESWT (sec)                        | 352.3 ± 245.5    | 985.7 ± 304.7†   | 570.0 ± 289.4                            | 548.8 (274.5 to 823.1) | 0.001* |

Data are presented as mean ± SD and mean (95% CI).

*P*-values are reported for between-group comparisons (analysis of covariance of post-training values adjusted for baseline values as covariates).

†A statistically significant difference within groups (p < 0.05).

WBE, water-based exercise; LBE, land-based exercise; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC, forced vital capacity; %pred, percentage of the predicted normal value; MIP, maximal inspiratory mouth pressure; MEP, maximal expiratory mouth pressure; FTSTS, five times sit to stand test; TUG, timed up and go test; 6MWT, six-minute walk test; ISWT, incremental shuttle walk test; ESWT, endurance shuttle walk test. ESWT.
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