Effect of the Intensity and Spectral Quality of LED Light on Yield and Nitrates Accumulation in Vegetables
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Abstract. At present, trends exist in the production of food for the benefit of human health. The negative effect of an excessive intake of nitrates accumulated in vegetables is well known, causing worldwide concern. Light plays an important role in the accumulation of this ion. The objective of this work was to evaluate the effect of light-emitting diode (LED) spectra used in artificial lighting for horticulture on the accumulation of nitrates in leafy and root vegetables compared with the effects with white LED lights. Two independent experiments were carried out in the culture chamber. In Exp. 1, six species of nitrate accumulators were used: arugula, spinach, lettuce, endive, radish, and beetroot. In Exp. 2, four lettuce cultivars were used. In both experiments, the treatments were: two spectra—T1 = AP67 Valoya® and the control (T0) = white Roblan®—at two illumination intensities [high (H) and low (L)] with a 16/8-hour (day/night) photoperiod. The fresh biomass and the concentration of nitrates were measured at 35 days of treatment posttransplantation. An important and significant increase of 50% of the mean fresh weight was obtained in all the species when the light intensity increased. Except for spinach in the low-intensity treatment, all nitrates content values were less than the maximum limits of European regulation. The nitrates content generally decreased with increasing intensity, and this benefit was greater in the T1 treatment. T0 showed a reduction in the nitrates content compared with T1 in only one case, which was the H in beetroot. A large and significant reduction was observed in the nitrates content in T1. For L in Exp. 1, the nitrates decrease was 18%, whereas for H, it was 35%. In Exp. 2, the decrease in the nitrates content was 10% for L and 21% for H. A greater benefit was derived when using the photosynthetic spectrum in the growing chambers under low light intensity.

The concentration of nitrates accumulated in plants depends on the plant variety or cultivar (Álvaro et al., 2016; European Food Safety Authority, 2008; Urrestarazu et al., 1998), the time of year in which the plant is grown (Carrasco et al., 2006), the irradiation it receives (Blom-Zandstra, 1989; Roorda, 1984), the cultivation system used (Carrasco et al., 2006; Nicola et al., 2007), and the management practices and the nutritive ions applied (Fontana and Nicola, 2009; Urrestarazu et al., 1998). Nitrates are found in vegetables, fruits, meats, fish, grains, and in several products that are part of the basic diet of human beings (Gürkan and Altunay, 2018). According to the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (2015), nitrates is a source of carcinogenic nitrosamines via nitrates (Addiscott, 2006; Cometti and Furlani, 2009), which can seriously compromise human health (Hord, 2011). Moreover, the consumption of nitrate-accumulating vegetables in children younger than 6 years is especially worrisome according to the European Food Safety Authority (2010), because 75% of the nitrates consumed are supplied by vegetables (Hill, 1990).

Arugula, spinach, lettuce, and escarole are vegetables that are eaten raw, are species with a tendency to accumulate high concentrations in the leaves and ribs, and have no restrictions on daily intake (Cometti et al., 2011; Gent, 2016). Beetroot and radish are also considered root vegetable sources of nitrates (European Food Safety Authority, 2008).

The species considered in this work are widely cultivated in Spain, according to statistical data from the Food and Agriculture Organization and the Spanish Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food. These crops have made Spain one of the five leading vegetable-growing countries in terms of production over a period of 20 years (1993-2013). The cultivation areas are 3686, 34,862, 33,168, 2200, and 500 ha for spinach, lettuce, beet, escarole, and radish, respectively, with a corresponding production of 67,000, 930,000, 3,240,000, 59,000,000, and 5000 tons, respectively (Food and Agriculture Organization, FAOSTAT, 2016; Ministerio de Agricultura, Pesca y Alimentación, 2016).

The presence of high levels of nitrates in vegetables, especially in leaves and roots, has been well known for decades (e.g., Carrasco et al., 2006; Chan, 2011; Corré and Breimer, 1979; Hsu et al., 2009; Sanchez et al., 2005; Santamaria, 2006) and has been reported more recently (Bantis et al., 2018; Colla et al., 2018; Wojciechowska et al., 2015). As a result, since 2002, the European Union has established maximum levels for certain contaminants in food. In 2011, the European Commission updated the maximum levels as follows: 5000 and 4000 mg NO3/kg fresh weight for lettuce harvested in winter and spring, respectively; 3500 and 2000 mg NO3/kg fresh weight for spinach harvested in winter and spring, respectively; 7000 and 6000 mg NO3/kg fresh weight for arugula, which presents the highest levels of nitrates, in winter and summer, respectively; and 2500 mg NO3/kg fresh weight for iceberg lettuce (European Commission, 2002, 2006, 2011). In 2008, the EFSA published a reference report of nitrates in some vegetables as follows: escarole, with a mean of 523 mg NO3/kg fresh weight; radish, with 967 mg NO3/kg fresh weight; and beetroot, with 1379 mg NO3/kg fresh weight (European Food Safety Authority, 2008).

Plants grown hydroponically show greater nitrates levels compared with those grown in conventional systems (Beninii et al., 2002), even though they are cultivated with a controlled supplemental lighting system (Lin et al., 2013). Despite the improved cultivation practices and the current technologies associated with a strict application of these regulations, in certain regions of the European Union, it is not possible to achieve nitrates levels in lettuce and spinach that are less than the current maximum limits (European Commission, 2011).

Researchers agree on a close relationship between the accumulation of nitrates in vegetables and the intensity of light (Chadjaa et al., 1995; Cometti et al., 2011; Fukuda et al., 1999; He et al., 2015; Ohashi et al., 2007; Steingröver et al., 1986), because photosynthesis causes the uptake and assimilation of nitrates in vegetables (Cavaiuolo and Ferrante, 2014).

In recent years, effective techniques have been developed for the growth and morphological development of plants, especially those grown in a soilless system and in closed and controlled structures under LED lights (Johkan et al., 2012; Urrestarazu et al., 2016). This advance in agriculture can be beneficial for the reduction of nitrate levels in plants that accumulate the most and, above all, in plants that are most consumed in the world. Some work relates the content of nitrates in leaves and the quality of fluorescent lamps (e.g., Deng et al., 2000; Ohashi et al., 2007; Seifu, 2017), and researchers have studied the decrease of nitrate reductase in produce grown in the dark (Riens and Walter, 1991), but few studies have been conducted on the quality of LED spectra as a beneficial tool for safer food (Lin et al., 2013; Nájera et al., 2018; Wojciechowska et al., 2015), especially for hydroponic crops. Therefore, the objective of this work was to evaluate how...
LED spectra used in artificial lighting for horticulture affect the accumulation of nitrates in leafy and root vegetables compared with the effect of white LED lights.

**Materials and Methods**

The experiment was carried out in a 10 × 2.5-m controlled culture chamber located in the experimental field of practice of the University of Almeria in the province of Almeria in southern Spain (lat. 36°49'38.11"N, long. 2°24’19.31”W).

**LED treatments.** White LED lamps (L18 T8 Roblan®, Toledo, Spain) were used as a control (T0) and L18 T8 AP67 from (Valoya®), Helsinki, Finland was used as the spectrum to be evaluated (T1). Each treatment had two light intensities: T0 = 85 and 117 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, which were low and high intensities consisting of four and six lamps per square meter, respectively; and T1 = 52 and 95 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, which were low and high, respectively. Each lamp was 120 cm in length. Spectral specifications, quantitative light values, photon flux density (measured in micromoles per square meter per second) and illuminance (measured in lux) are described in a previous study (Urrestarazu et al., 2016). Photoperiods were 16/8 h (day/night) at a temperature of 28 to 18 °C (day/night) and relative humidity of 85% to 80%.

**Experimentation and plant material.** The work was divided into two experiments with different plant species. For Expt. 1, six species were used: arugula (Eruca sativa Mill. cv. Enza), spinach (Spinacia oleracea L. cv. Esmer), lettuce (Lactuca sativa L. cv. Maravilla de verano), escarole (Cichorium intybus L. cv. Cabello de angel), radish (Raphanus sativus L. cv. Redondo rojo), and beetroot (Beta vulgaris L. cv. Detroit 2). For Expt. 2, four varieties of lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) were used: Batavia (cv. Maravilla de verano), corgolo (cv. Astorga), romaine (cv. Cervantes), and iceberg (cv. California).

Seedlings were transplanted at the stage of four true leaves in 0.6-L pots filled with coconut fiber and then placed in the culture chamber and “fertirrigated” with a standard solution recommended by Sonneveld and Straver (1994).

**Harvest.** Plant biomass was measured at 35 d after transplantation, stored cold until laboratory analysis, and subsequently taken to the laboratory in zipped plastic bags inside an isothermal container at a controlled temperature. Only the edible parts were analyzed. Fresh weight was determined using a precision Balance (Atkinson, NH) with precision to four-tenths of a gram.

**Nitrate analysis.** The nitrate content was determined by the method described by Urrestarazu et al. (1998), using ion chromatography, and as described by Chang and Chang (2014), using a LAQUA Twin Nitrate (NO₃⁻) rapid response digital meter (LAQUA Twin Nitrate Meter, Spectrum Technologies, Inc.). Measurements for arugula, spinach, lettuce, and escarole were obtained from the sap of the leaves. For radish and beetroot, the bulb or root was crushed, and the sap and juice obtained were stored in 2-mL microcentrifuge tubes until analysis.

**Statistical analysis.** The experimental design was a randomized complete block design, with four repetitions for four treatments and five plants per repetition (Montgomery, 2004). The mean data were analyzed at different levels of significance. The data were subjected to analysis of variance and their means were compared by Tukey’s test using Statgraphics Centurion® (version 16.1.15; Warrenton, VA) (Statgraphics Centurion, 2018). Some data were analyzed by means of Student’s t test in Excel 2016.

**Results and Discussion**

The average increase in fresh weight was 50% in all species evaluated for all spectra (Table 1). Arugula had the greatest percentage of growth in fresh weight (66%) when the light intensity was increased and white LED lighting was used. Escarole and radish obtained the greatest benefit (both 65%) when cultivated at high intensity, with the T1 treatment corresponding to the photosynthetic spectrum. However, plant growth in the T1 treatment was 6% greater than for those plants cultivated in T0. An improvement in productivity relative to T0 was observed with T1 at low intensity; the fresh weight of the species increased between 6% and 58%, with arugula presenting the greatest vegetative growth. In the case of plants cultivated with high light intensity in T1, an average increase of 20% was seen compared with those cultivated under T0, in which the fresh weight of radish increased by 45%. A change in spectrum and intensity was shown previously to improve the parameters of vegetative growth by Yang and Park (2015); by Samuoliene et al. (2011) in radish; by Urrestarazu et al. (2016) in lettuce, tomato, and pepper; and by Burattini et al. (2017) in spinach. T1 produced a significant increase in fresh weight that varied between 12% for lettuce and 45% for radish. Working in similar conditions, Urrestarazu et al. (2016) found that the vegetative growth doubles and, in some cases, can be greater than 200%, whereas Samuoliene et al. (2011) achieved significant growth (90% more) in radish.

The behavior of the lettuce varieties (Table 2) in terms of intensity (low vs. high) and the specific spectrum (T0 vs. T1) was very similar to that of the other vegetables. The California cultivar showed greater growth than the rest of the cultivars when cultivated with increasing light intensity of white LED lighting (82%) and LED T1 (72%). Under T1, the Maravilla de verano cultivar similarly demonstrated an increase in fresh weight (by 72%) with high light intensity. When comparing the light spectra, the Astorga cultivar showed the greatest increase compared with the other cultivars, both at low and high light intensity (60% and 46%, respectively) when cultivated under T1.

**Effect of nitrate content on vegetable species: Comparison with mean values and legal maximum limits**

Figure 1 shows the resulting mean values of the nitrate content. In arugula and lettuce, nitrate levels of 16% and 60% less, respectively, than the legal limits were recorded (European Commission, 2006). In addition, the spinach cultivated under high light intensity yielded lower values (54% lower). For radish and beetroot (root vegetables), the mean content values were 13% and 10% less, respectively, than those reported by the European Food Safety Authority (2008). The nitrate content in spinach grown under high-intensity light recorded a significantly lower value (45%) than the mean values reported from the United States (Sanchez et al., 2005); for the rest of the vegetables (arugula, spinach, lettuce, and escarole), our values were greater.

![Table 1. Effect of the light intensity and spectral composition on total weight of edible part (g/plant fresh weight) of six vegetables.](image-url)

| Plant                  | Intensity | T0    | T1    | P value |
|------------------------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|
| Arugula cv. Enza       | L         | 5.5   | 13.4  | ***     |
|                        | H         | 16.5  | 28.1  | ***     |
|                        | P value   |       |       | ***     |
| Spinach cv. Esmer      | L         | 7.1   | 8.8   | **      |
|                        | H         | 12.3  | 14.7  | ***     |
|                        | P value   |       |       | ***     |
| Lettuce cv. Maravilla de verano | L | 29.6 | 33.2 | ** |
|                        | H         | 38.7  | 50.2  | **      |
|                        | P value   |       |       | ***     |
| Escarole cv. Cabello de ángel | L | 11.3 | 12.1 | NS |
|                        | H         | 26.8  | 34.7  | *       |
|                        | P value   |       |       | ***     |
| Radish cv. Redondo rojo | L | 3.5   | 2.9   | NS |
|                        | H         | 4.5   | 8.3   | ***     |
|                        | P value   |       |       | ***     |
| Beetroot cv. Detroit 2 | L | 22.4  | 36.5  | *       |
|                        | H         | 53.2  | 70.6  | *       |

L and H represent 4 and 6 lamps/m², respectively. T0 = L18 T8 Roblan®, T1 = L18 AP67 Valoya®. ns, *, **, ***Non-significant or significant at P ≤ 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001, respectively, n = 4.
Effect of light intensity on nitrate content on vegetable species

A decrease in the nitrate content was recorded with a lower irradiation intensity for the case of the T1 spectrum in beetroot (24%), escarole (15%), and arugula (13%). Moreover, in general, a decrease in the nitrate content was recorded with greater irradiation. The greatest decrease in nitrates resulting from an increase in irradiation intensity was seen in spinach and was as much as 70% in the case of T1. Similar results have been obtained in different conditions, with leaves of barley, wheat, corn, and beans (Canvin and Atkins, 1974); spinach and greenhouse lettuce (Gaudreau et al., 1995; Steingrüber et al., 1986); lettuce and spinach under supplementary light (Chadja et al., 1995; Proietti et al., 2004); and, more recently, in lettuce crops in greenhouses (e.g., Fallovo et al., 2009; Gent, 2014) and Brassica in aeroponics (Fu et al., 2017; He et al., 2015)—and all these plants obtained lower concentrations of nitrates with greater irradiance. However, Novo et al. (2008) found no significant difference in lettuce and spinach grown with 18% and 50% shade in the greenhouse. This result means that the limits of the current legislation in winter are greater than those for summer, when greater irradiation occurs (Fig. 1). This decrease in nitrate content may be because, with high light irradiation, some enzymes involved in the metabolism of nitrates to amino acids [glutamine synthetase (GS) and glutamate synthase (GOGAT)] are stimulated, and a nonessential amino acid is inhibited [asparagine synthetase (AS)] (Zhang et al., 2018), favoring the assimilation of nitrogen into carbon-rich compounds (glutamine and glutamate). Conversely, in low light, GS and GOGAT are inhibited, and AS, which is a compound rich in nitrogen and stabilizes nitrate for transport or storage, is stimulated.

In low lighting, beetroot obtained the lowest nitrate content in the product that could be used, unlike the rest of the leafy vegetables and the radishes tested. The reason for this was probably because this plant stores nitrates in the roots before transporting it to the leaves. There are few works on the variation of nitrate content in radish with which to compare. Postharvest, Lee et al. (2017) observed a decrease in the concentration of secondary metabolites containing nitrogen (glucosinolates) during cold storage from 0 to 8 weeks in darkness.

Effect of spectrum on nitrate content on vegetable species

In low light treatments, the nitrate content was less in the T1 spectrum (mean, 19%), with the exception of spinach. In the high-intensity T1 treatments, a decrease in nitrate content was recorded for lettuce and spinach (47%), radish (27%), and beetroot (20%). Ohashi et al. (2007) obtained 64% less nitrate in lettuce and spinach grown under red or blue fluorescent light vs. those cultivated in white light. Samuolienė et al. (2009)—in marjoram, lettuce, and green onion—obtained less nitrate under LED light vs. HPS light. A recent study reported that spectra of the same intensity increases the lycopene content in tomato (Nájera et al., 2018) or contributes to better growth in lettuce, pepper, and tomato plants (Urrestarazu et al., 2016) or pomegranate plants (Bantis et al., 2018). Therefore, the effect of T1 is very significant in decreasing Table 2. Effect of the light intensity and spectral composition on total weight of edible part (g/plant fresh weight) of four lettuce varieties.

| Variety                  | Intensity | T0     | T1     | P value |
|--------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Batavia cv. Maravilla de verano | L         | 14.5   | 21.0   | *       |
|                          | H         | 50.9   | 76.3   | **      |
| P value                  |           | ***    | ***    |         |
| Cogollo cv. Astorga      | L         | 6.5    | 16.6   | ***     |
|                          | H         | 13.8   | 25.8   | ***     |
| P value                  |           | ***    | ***    |         |
| Romane cv. Cervantes     | L         | 16.9   | 29.2   | ***     |
|                          | H         | 49.7   | 70.3   | ***     |
| P value                  |           | ***    | ***    |         |
| Iceberg cv. California   | L         | 5.1    | 4.7    | NS      |
|                          | H         | 29.8   | 16.9   | **      |
| P value                  |           | ***    | ***    |         |

L and H represent 4 and 6 lamps/m², respectively. T0 = L18 T8 Roblan®, T1 = L18 AP67 Valoya®. ns, *, **, ***Nonsignificant or significant at P ≤ 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001, respectively. n = 4.
nitrate content in vegetables, modifying the composition of some enzymes inside the plant when exposed to different spectra.

**Effect of nitrate content in intraspecific lettuce varieties**

Comparison with the mean values (European and U.S.) and legal maximum limits. Figure 2 shows the nitrate content results of Expt. 2 for lettuce varieties. The nitrate content data of the iceberg cv. California were less than those for the other three varieties. Although mean values of 16% were found for the California cultivar, the differences between the observed values and legal limits for the other varieties were much greater (51%). All the nitrate content values were greater than those reported by the European Food Safety Authority (2008) and the mean data in the United States (Sanchez et al., 2005).

Effect of light intensity on nitrate content in intraspecific lettuce varieties. All our data were less than the legal limits of 5000 mg·kg⁻¹ (2500 mg·kg⁻¹ for the iceberg variety), even at low light intensity (50 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹). With the exception of the Astorga cultivar, a significant average decrease of 22% in nitrate content was obtained at greater irradiation. At low intensity, only the lettuce cultivar Astorga showed a nitrate decrease (17%) when cultivated under the T1 treatment. Novo et al. (2008) found values at midlatitudes (high irradiation) that were greater than 3000 mg·kg⁻¹ when 18% shading was applied in Brazilian greenhouses. We agree with others that lower nitrate content occurs at high irradiation. For example, Cometti et al. (2011) obtained greater accumulation of nitrates in shoots of the lettuce cultivar Vera with 80% shading in the greenhouse (118 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹). The physiological explanation of the relationship between irradiation intensity and the lower nitrate content has already been described extensively in recent decades. Nitrate reductase is activated by illumination and therefore decreases the accumulation of nitrate (e.g., Blom-Zandstra et al., 1988; Huber et al., 1992).

Effect of the spectrum on nitrate content in intraspecific lettuce varieties. The nitrate content was less with the T1 treatment, with the exception of the cultivar Astorga under high-intensity light and the cultivar Cervantes under low-intensity light, for which no significant decrease was observed in nitrate content in T1 with respect to T0. The greatest reduction (by 24%) in nitrate content with the T1 spectrum was recorded at the greatest intensity (95 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) for the Summer Wonder and California cultivars, whereas a smaller reduction (11%) was found in the cultivar Astorga at low light intensity under T1. Some specific responses regarding the type of spectrum and nitrate content in leaves have been reported; along with rice cultivation, for which Deng et al. (2000) used blue, red, and white fluorescent lamps and measured nitrate content by the primary assimilation of nitrogen. They observed greater nitrate levels in plants grown for 2 to 6 h with pure blue light. Ohashi et al. (2007) obtained 63% less nitrate content in lettuce cultivated with fluorescent red lights at a photoperiod of 12 h and an intensity of 300 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹. Lin et al. (2013), in works aimed at quality studies of hydroponic lettuce (var. Capitata), used the following lighting treatments: 1) a combination of red and blue LEDs; 2) blue, red, and white LEDs; and 3) fluorescent lamps. The lowest concentration of nitrates in leaves was obtained by the LED treatment combining the three spectra. Probably, the combination LED spectra used in their most efficient treatments was similar to the spectra we used (T1). Recently, post-harvest (Seifu, 2017), fluorescent lamps have been used to delay the accumulation of nitrate content in lettuce collected for different supermarkets for transformation into minimally processed produce.

**Conclusion**

Regarding performance for the two spectra, doubling the light intensity leads to a significant improvement in productivity for all species, with values varying between 30% and 60%. The increase in light intensity had an important effect on the growth of all species studied, and in one species this effect varied between 35% and 85%.

The specific spectrum treatment at a low intensity and high intensity resulted in a significant decrease in the nitrate content (18% and 35%, respectively) for the species. For the varieties of lettuce, a significant decrease in nitrate content of 10% and 21% was observed at low and high light intensities, respectively. This same spectrum also showed the greatest decreases in nitrate content from low to high intensity of 45% and 27% for all species and within one of them, respectively. Escarole was the species with the greatest decrease in nitrate levels at low intensity (23%) under a photosynthetic spectrum; at high intensity, spinach contained 47% less nitrate than spinach grown under white LEDs.
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