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An isolable magnesium diphosphaethynolate complex††

Robert J. Gilliard, Jr., a,b Dominikus Heift, a,b,c Zoltán Benkő, a,d Jerod M. Keiser, a,e Arnold L. Rheingold, b,e Hansjörg Grützmacher a,b and John D. Protasiewicz b,a

The reaction of magnesium chloride with two equivalents of sodium phosphaethynolate, Na(OCP)·(dioxane)2.5 (1), yields a magnesium diphosphaethynolate complex, [(THF)4Mg(OCP)2] (3). The formation of compound 3 goes through a monosubstituted chloromagnesium phosphaethynolate Mg(OCP)Cl (2). The structure of 3 was determined via a single crystal X-ray diffraction study. For comparison, we also report the structure of a monomeric sodium phosphaethynolate complex, [Na(OCP)(dibenzo-18-crown-6)] (4).

It has been more than two decades since Becker reported the structure of lithium phosphaethynolate, [(DME)2Li(OCP)] (1) (Fig. 1).1 The highly reactive nature and limited stability of this compound discouraged detailed studies of the (OCP) anion. Subsequent reports discussed the challenging nature of phosphaethynolate chemistry which was mostly attributed to the instability and low yield of M(OCP) (M = metal) salts.1,2 We recently reported a new method for the large scale preparation of sodium phosphaethynolate, Na(OCP)·(dioxane), spawning a resurgence of interest in the synthesis, structure, and reactivity of this 3-atom organophosphorus building block.3 Notably, Na(OCP)·(dioxane), is a remarkably stable synthon that can be stored under inert atmosphere indefinitely.

The phosphaethynolate anion, (OCP)−, may be regarded as the phosphorus analogue of cyanate, (OCN).− 3 Natural Resonance Theory calculations have shown that (OCP)− has three major resonance structures: [O−C=PuP]− (51.7%), [O=C=PuP]− (40.2%) and [O=C=Pu−P]− (7.1%).4 Recently, reactivity studies involving these salts has led to the formation of novel heterocycles,5−11 main-group small molecules,12−15 transition metal complexes,4,16−19 and phosphorus derivatives of organic molecules.20,21 However, the number of O-bound phosphaethynolate salts are limited as most M2(OCP)3 compounds feature M–P instead of M–O bonds.5,11−16,18,19 Thus, the only structurally characterized examples of complexes with M–O–C=PuP functionalities are of lithium A,1 calcium B,22 sodium C,3 uranium D,17 and thorium E (Fig. 1).17 While the O=C=Pu moiety in compounds A, B, and C are stabilized via dimethoxyethane (DME) coordination, the isolation of compounds D and E relies on the coordination of tris-amidinate ligands.

Westerhausen prepared a series of alkaline earth metal phosphaethynolates.22 The synthesis of these compounds involved the reaction of alkali earth metal bis(trimethylsilyl)

**Fig. 1** O-Bound metal phosphaethynolate complexes.1,3,17,22
phosphides $\text{M}[\text{P}(	ext{SiMe}_3)_2]$ (M = Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba) with dimethyl carbonate (MeO)CO in DME to afford $\text{M(OCP)}_2$ and trimethylsilylether MeOSiMe$_3$.

However, these salts were unstable, even at low temperatures. Storage of $\text{B}$ at $-30 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ resulted in the formation of single crystals, but attempts to isolate the salt resulted in immediate decomposition. The structure of magnesium phosphoethynolate was formulated to be the cis-diphosphoethynolate $[\text{DME}]_2\text{Mg(OCP)}_2$; however, samples obtained by this method could not be isolated and characterization was limited to NMR experiments. Indeed, it has proved challenging to prepare phosphoethynolate complexes where two OCP units bind to the same metal center.

During our studies we have found that the formation and characterization was limited to NMR experiments. Indeed, it has proved challenging to prepare phosphoethynolate complexes where two OCP units bind to the same metal center. During our studies we have found that the formation and stability of $\text{M}_y(\text{OCP})_3$ salts is highly dependent on the synthetic route and the coordination environment around the metal cation. Herein, we report the synthesis, molecular structure, and computations of a magnesium diphosphoethynolate complex, $[\text{THF}]_2\text{Mg(OCP)}_2$ (3). Notably, compound 3 is a unique example of a stable metal diphosphoethynolate complex that can be isolated and stored at room temperature. The structure of compound 3 is compared to a novel $[\text{Na(OCP)}$ (dibenzo-18-crown-6)] complex (4).

The reaction of magnesium chloride with Na[OCP]: (dioxane)$_2$ (1) is extremely sensitive to the reaction conditions. Our initial attempts to synthesize magnesium diphosphoethynolate involved the addition of a DME solution containing two equivalents of 1 to a suspension of anhydrous MgCl$_2$ powder in DME at various temperatures. These reactions failed to yield the desired diphosphoethynolate complex and instead led to the formation of an insoluble gray precipitate. However, upon slow dropwise addition of a THF solution of 1 to a suspension of MgCl$_2$ vigorously stirred in a THF/toluene mixture, a slightly cloudy pink solution containing 3 was obtained (Scheme 1). Immediately after the addition was complete, the solution was filtered and concentrated to give an off-white solid which was collected by filtration. Heating the solid in refluxing THF until completely dissolved followed by slow cooling to room temperature gave colorless microcrystalline 3 in nearly quantitative yield. It is noteworthy that if 1 is added to MgCl$_2$ too rapidly, the yield of 3 is compromised and instead an insoluble dark colored precipitate is formed. Samples of solid 3 analyzed after months of storage under N$_2$ showed no evidence of decomposition by $^{31}\text{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. Interestingly, while Mg(OCP)$_2$ is stable as a THF adduct, if DME is added to the solid, some decomposition is observed (i.e., formation of a small amount of gray precipitate), thereby highlighting the importance of coordination chemistry in the synthesis, stability, and reactivity of these salts.

The X-ray structure of 3 reveals a six-coordinate magnesium atom in an octahedral geometry and the two OCP units are related by a crystallographic inversion center (Fig. 2). This differs from the square antiprismatic geometry in eight-coordinate metal complexes 4 (Fig. 3) and B (Fig. 1).

The Ca–O$_{\text{OCP}}$ bond distance in B is 2.358(2) Å, which is significantly longer than the comparable Mg1–O1 bond in 3 (2.024(16) Å). The M–O–C angle in 3 is 142.8°, which is smaller than in A (170.7°), D (170.9°), and E (176.4°), but more comparable to B (154.6°) and C (132.1°) and 4 (138.1°). In notable contrast to the monomeric potassium phosphoethynolate reported by Goicoechea which contains a K–P(eco) bond (3.383 Å), the structure of 4 features an Na–O$_{\text{OCP}}$ bond (2.290 Å).

Analysis of the reaction progress by $^{31}\text{P}$ NMR spectroscopy suggest that the formation of compound 3 goes through a monosubstituted chloromagnesium phosphoethynolate 2. Accordingly, MgCl$_2$ was reacted with various equivalents of compound 1 in THF at room temperature and the $^{31}\text{P}$ NMR spectra were recorded. The spectrum of 1 in THF shows a singlet at $\delta = -392.9$ ppm (Fig. 4a). When MgCl$_2$ is reacted with one equivalent of 1, a new peak at $\delta = -369.5$ ppm is formed and compound 1 is completely consumed (Fig. 4b). Although the structure has not been obtained, we assign this peak as the monosubstituted Mg(OCP)Cl compound (2). Significantly, compounds 2 and 3 can be observed upon addition of 1.5 equivalents of 1 to MgCl$_2$ (Fig. 4c). When two equivalents of 1 is added to MgCl$_2$, only the diphosphoethyno-
late complex 3 can be observed (Fig. 4d). Indeed, these $^{31}$P NMR chemical shifts are in the range of metal phosphoanolate complexes $\text{A}$-$\text{E}$ ($\delta = -334$ to $-398$ ppm).1,3,17,22

The differences in the $^{31}$P NMR chemical shifts for the sodium and magnesium phosphoanolate compounds prompted us to perform DFT calculations on the electronic structure of [(THF)$_2$Mg(OCP)$_2$] (3), model species [(THF)$_3$Na(OCP)] (4M) and free OCP$^-$ anion in the gas phase (5M) at the M06-2X/6-31+G* level of theory. In 4M and 5M, negative partial charges are located at the O and P atoms [4M: $q(O) = -0.79\epsilon$, $q(P) = -0.18\epsilon$; 5M: $q(O) = -0.67\epsilon$, $q(P) = -0.44\epsilon$]. In notable contrast, in 3, the C and P atoms are essentially neutral [$q(C) = -0.04\epsilon$, $q(P) = -0.01\epsilon$] and the majority of the charge is carried by the O atom [$q(O) = -0.88\epsilon$]. This data is in agreement with the experimental $^{31}$P NMR shifts which show that the magnesium salts are more deshielded (less electron density) compared to the sodium salt. While it is known that the OCP$^-$ anion can be described as a superposition of the phosphoanolate and phosphaketenide resonance structures,3 based on the charge distribution of the OCP moiety, 3 represents a rather pure phosphoanolate-type structure with an O–C single bond and a C=P triple bond. This is in stark contrast to 4M where the weighting of the phosphaketenide structure is substantial. The bonding parameters of the computed salts show a similar trend. In 5M, 4M, and 3 the oxygen–carbon bond length increases (5M: 1.199 Å, 4M: 1.219 Å, and 3: 1.238 Å; decreasing double bond character) and the phosphorus–carbon bond length decreases (5M: 1.625 Å, 4M: 1.601 Å, 3: 1.584 Å; increasing triple bond character), which supports the decreasing weight of the allenic resonance structure (see ESI† for additional computational data). The low allenic character of the OCP fragment in 3 is also reflected in the experimental IR frequency of 1759 cm$^{-1}$, which is assigned to the asymmetric stretching vibration of the OCP moiety. This value is clearly smaller than that obtained for the “interaction-free” OCP anion (1791 cm$^{-1}$)18 and A (1780 cm$^{-1}$),3 but in the range of 1 (1755 cm$^{-1}$)3 and 4 (1765 cm$^{-1}$).

We have thus described the synthesis, molecular structure, and computations of a magnesium diphosphoanolate complex (3), which has been obtained via salt metathesis reaction with Na(OCP)·(dioxane)$_{2.5}$ (1). Although alkaline earth metal diphosphoanolate compounds have been reported to be extremely reactive species, this route to complex 3 has rendered it stable such that it can be isolated and structurally characterized. Indeed, this parallels the significance of solvent choice in successfully isolating the sodium salt of the OCP anion.3 We also compare the structure of 3 to a monomeric sodium phosphoanolate (4). Notably, unlike previously reported stable phosphoanolate compounds, 3 features two OCP units per metal center. Moreover, while 3 is similarly ionic compared to Na(OCP), the P atom is less charged. The synthesis of compound 3 goes through a monosubstituted magnesium complex (2). The clean transformation to 2 by $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy may provide additional opportunities for functionalization at the metal, facilitating interesting new organophosphorus chemistry.
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