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Abstract
Background: Despite growing interest in the public health of sexual minority, youth around the world due to the high rates of suicidal ideation and attempts in this population, few studies on the sexual orientation of Korean adolescents have been conducted. Therefore, this study investigated the relationship between the sexual orientation of Korean adolescents and their suicide-related behavior.

Methods: Raw data from the tenth Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey were analyzed by logistic regression analysis. The sample consisted of 3603 adolescents who provided selected demographic variables and reported on their experience of sexual intercourse with the same or the opposite sex, along with lifestyle and suicide-related behaviors.

Results: Rates of suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts were higher in both homosexual and bisexual than heterosexual groups. Suicidal ideation (odds ratio 95% confidence interval: 1.09–2.08), suicidal plans (odds ratio 95% confidence interval: 1.01–2.09), and suicide attempts (odds ratio 95% confidence interval: 1.28–2.88) had the strongest associations with homosexuality after multivariate adjustment. In contrast, bisexuality was only significantly associated with suicidal attempts (odds ratio 95% confidence interval: 1.01–2.97) after multivariate adjustment.

Conclusion: Effective suicide prevention interventions are required for homosexual and bisexual adolescents, in the form of targeted programs to improve their mental health status and ability to cope with stress.
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Introduction

The world sees the sexual minority as a population group; thus, the health paradigm of this group has been changed to reflect their own recognition perspective. In Korea, however, the human rights and health of the sexual minority have not yet been discussed in depth. The population of the sexual minority (1), around 3.5% of the adult population of United States, or 8 million people, are lesbian, gay, or bisexual (LGB). Because Korean society is not accepting of the sexual minority, some people find it difficult to identify as a member of this group and it is hard to establish accurate population numbers (2). In Korea, there is around 1 to 5 million in the sexual minority (3), although it is impossible to get accurate statistics (4). Despite this, according to the World Values Survey (2005–2009) (5), Korea had the second-to-lowest mean score (after Turkey) among 17 Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development countries when asked the question “Do you think that homosexuality can be justified?” and was found, as a country, to be greatly hostile toward homosexuality. Adolescence is an important development period during which teenagers experience physical sexual changes and establish their gender identity (6).
LGB adolescents are known to experience confusion, indecision, and uncertainty about whether they are heterosexual, bisexual, or homosexual. In Korean society, homosexuality (the so-called “wrong identity”) is dismissed or ignored among youth as a temporary phenomenon that occurs before the development of heterosexuality (the so-called “right identity”). Although exact population statistics cannot be determined, 7.5% of adolescents in Korea have reported experiencing problems with their gender identity (7, 8). When individuals are young, and they recognize their sexual identity for the first time, they could face psychological danger due to an increased risk of social prejudice, discrimination, and a decreased ability to address both (9, 10). In addition to typical daily stress, homosexuals are facing a particular stress, called homosexuality-related stress, caused by existing in a society focused on heterosexual love (7, 11). Homosexuals are commonly exposed to stress related to social disgrace or disadvantage. Consequently, they are more likely to perform deviant behaviors such as smoking, drinking, drug use, etc. to escape from the stress; however, these activities have negative effects on their health (8, 12-14).

The emotional pain of grade was examined 9-12 students revealed that homosexual youths were more likely to suffer from depression, self-injury, and suicidal ideation than their heterosexual counterparts (15). According to the 2011 “national school climate study” that included 8584 youth sexual minorities, 81.9% experienced unfair harassment due to their sexual orientation, and 63.5% recognized their school as an unsafe place and did not go to school (16). This negative view, coupled with bullying, and physical/verbal violence were factors that caused suicide (17-19). However, students supported by their families had more positive health results (e.g., self-respect) and they could better protect themselves from negative health results (e.g., depression, suicidal compulsion) (12, 20).

Around the world, LGB people in adolescence or early adulthood experience bullying (15, 21-23) because of heterosexuality-centered cultural norms and habituated homophobia (24). As a result, teenaged LGB people experience more severe stress and poorer mental health status than their heterosexual peers (25-27). In particular, as sexual minority youth (SMY) are more likely to experience exclusion from their family or acquaintances, and have higher rates of depression, illegal drug consumption, and infection with acquired immune deficiency syndrome or other sexual diseases (12). SMY have been reported to have a higher rate of suicidal ideation and suicide attempts than the general population (17, 28-30).

According to previous studies using small convenience samples (31, 32), rates of suicidal ideation and suicide attempts among SMY reached 20%-40%, which is 5-6 times higher than those of non-SMY (33-35). However, less is known about the full range of suicide-related behaviors, including suicidal ideation and plans, and suicide attempts among SMY (30). Knowing about the prevalence of these outcomes is crucial, as they indicate the likelihood of sustained injuries and risks for future suicide, suicide attempts, or repeated attempts (36).

The process of “coming out” to one’s family for the first time is critical to the sexual identity and a great factor of stress for homosexual youths (11). The experience of being excluded from or being unfairly treated by family, friends, and classmates causes psychological stress to Korean youth homosexuals and results in suicidal ideation (7). Moreover, it causes youth sexual minorities to have low social and psychological self-esteem and high depression levels when compared to other student groups (19, 37).

Despite increasing interest in the LGB population in connection with diverse public health-related problems around the world, there is a lack of research on the sexual orientation of youth in Korea, given the conservative views wherein sex is commonly regarded as taboo. This means that the Korean government lacks information that is applicable to the public health concern of SMY (38). Therefore, this study investigated the relationship between the Korean adolescents’ sexual orientation and suicide-related behavior to fill this gap in the literature.
The specific purposes of this study included the following: 1) to identify the associations between demographic characteristics and sexual orientation, and 2) to identify the associations between adolescents’ sexual orientation and suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts.

Materials and Methods

Design and sample
We used a cross-sectional study design to identify the associations between sexual orientation and suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts among adolescents in Korea. Raw data were sourced from the tenth Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey (KYRBWS-Ⅹ), conducted by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KCDC). The KYRBWS is an anonymous, self-administered online survey conducted to identify the health behaviors of Korean adolescents (middle school freshman to high school seniors) using a complex sample design involving stratification, clustering, and multistage sampling methods. In the KYRBWS-Ⅹ, 75149 people from 800 schools (400 middle schools and 400 high schools) were surveyed and 74167 (97.2%) people from these 800 schools returned valid responses (1). There were 15319 adolescents experienced sexual intercourse with a member of the same or the opposite sex, and 3603 of them were analyzed in this study.

Ethical considerations
The KYRBWS is a statistical survey approved by the Korean government (Approval No. 11758), and which received institutional review board deliberation from the KCDC (2014-06EXP-02-P-A).
We requested permission from the KCDC to the use the KYRBWS survey results for research purposes, and submitted a data use plan and posted a written pledge of our intentions on the KYRBWS homepage (http://yhs.cdc.go.kr). The study follows the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration, as revised in 2013.

Study variables and measures

Sexual orientation
Sexual orientation describes the tendency to be attracted emotionally and sexually to men, women, or both sexes (39). This study categorized sexual orientation into heterosexual (heterosexual relations experienced), homosexual (homosexual relations experienced), and bisexual (heterosexual and homosexual relations experienced).

Suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts
Suicidal ideation was assessed using the question “Have you ever thought about suicide seriously over the last 12 months?”; suicidal plans were assessed with the question “Have you ever planned suicide in detail over the last 12 months?”; suicide attempts were assessed with the question “Have you ever made a suicide attempt over the last 12 months?”; and medically serious suicide attempts were assessed among youth attempted suicide over the last 12 months using the question “Have you ever had medical treatment in hospital for a suicide attempt?”

Demographic characteristics
We assessed the following demographic characteristics: age, gender, body mass index (BMI), school level (middle school, high school, or vocational high school), area and size of residence (large city, medium-sized city, or country area), economic status (very high, high, moderate, low, or very low), school performance (very high, high, moderate, low, or very low), subjective health status (very good, good, moderate, poor, or very poor), subjective happiness status (“How happy are you in daily life?”, very happy, happy, moderate, unhappy, and or unhappy), sleep satisfaction (“Did you sleep enough in the last week?”, very satisfied, satisfied, moderately satisfied, dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied), awareness of depression (“Have you ever felt sadness or frustration strong enough that it stopped your daily life for 2 wk during the last year?”) and lifetime experience of drinking, smoking, and drug use.
Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Complex Sample, version 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) in a manner that reflected sampling weights and provided nationally representative estimates according to KCDC guidelines. Continuous variables (subjects’ general characteristics) are presented as mean (SE) values, whereas categorical variables are presented as percentage (SE) values. Analyses of variance and chi-square tests were used for comparison of demographic characteristics by sexual orientation. Logistic regression analyses were performed to examine the associations between sexual orientation and suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts. Odds ratios (OR) and confidence intervals (CI) were estimated after adjusting for the individual characteristics of age, gender, BMI, and perceived economic status in Model 2, and we then added the health risk behaviors of lifetime drinking experience, lifetime smoking experience, and lifetime drug use in Model 3. A P-value under .05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Differences in Korean adolescents’ demographic characteristics according to sexual orientation are shown in Table 1. Age was less strongly associated with bisexuality than with heterosexuality (P<0.001). There was a high rate of heterosexuality compared to homosexuality or bisexuality across all school levels (P<0.001).

A higher economic status was associated with a higher rate of bisexuality, and a lower economic status was associated with a higher rate of homosexuality (P<0.001). Students with either low or very high school performance had the highest rate for bisexuality, followed by homosexuality and then heterosexuality (P<0.001). Few bisexual respondents reported having a good subjective health status and many reported that they had poor health (P<0.001). The rate of respondents with a very unhappy subjective happiness status was the highest in the bisexual group, followed by homosexual and then heterosexual groups (P<0.001). Lower satisfaction with sleep was most prevalent in the bisexual group (P<0.001). Regarding awareness of depression, the homosexual group had the highest rate, followed by the bisexual and then heterosexual groups (P<0.001). The heterosexual group had the highest rate for lifetime experience of drinking and smoking. Regarding drug use, the homosexual group had the highest rate, followed by the bisexual and then heterosexual groups (P<0.001). Differences in suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts depending on the respondents’ sexual orientation are presented in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1: Associations between adolescents’ sexual orientation and suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts
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The homosexual group had the highest rate of suicidal ideation, plans, and medically serious attempts, followed by the bisexual and then heterosexual groups \((P<0.001)\). In terms of suicide attempts, the bisexual group had the highest rate, followed by the homosexual and then heterosexual groups \((P<0.001)\). The associations between adolescents’ sexual orientation and suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts are shown in Table 2.

### Table 1: Associations between demographic characteristics and sexual orientation \((n = 3603)\)

| Variable               | Classification          | n (% or Mean ± SE) | Heterosexual \((n=2930)\) | Homosexual \((n=393)\) | Bisexual \((n=280)\) | \(P\) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------|
| Age (yr)               |                         | 15.83 ± 0.03       | 15.91 ± 0.04                | 15.47 ± 0.10            | 15.31 ± 0.12           | <.001|
| Body mass index (kg/m²)| Male                    | 20.98 ± 0.05       | 21.04 ± 0.06                | 20.43 ± 0.20            | 20.75 ± 0.22           | .011 |
|                       | Female                  | 1109 (28.5)        | 27.9 (1.3)                  | 32.5 (2.7)              | 29.0 (2.8)             | .190 |
| School type (%)        | Middle school           | 1159 (30.0)        | 27.0 (1.1)                  | 42.7 (2.8)              | 44.5 (3.3)             | <.001|
|                       | High school             | 1809 (50.0)        | 51.2 (1.4)                  | 43.5 (2.9)              | 46.4 (3.4)             |      |
|                       | Vocational high school  | 635 (20.0)         | 21.8 (1.3)                  | 13.9 (1.9)              | 9.1 (1.9)              |      |
| Urban scale (%)        | Big cities              | 1518 (41.2)        | 41.2 (1.4)                  | 37.8 (2.7)              | 46.1 (3.3)             | .364 |
|                       | Medium sized cities     | 1794 (52.7)        | 52.6 (1.5)                  | 56.3 (2.7)              | 48.3 (3.4)             |      |
| Economic status (%)    | Very high               | 490 (13.9)         | 11.4 (0.6)                  | 21.7 (2.1)              | 30.5 (2.6)             | <.001|
|                       | High                    | 792 (22.0)         | 23.2 (0.8)                  | 16.5 (1.8)              | 16.0 (2.2)             |      |
|                       | Moderate                | 1333 (36.6)        | 38.9 (0.9)                  | 26.7 (2.1)              | 26.3 (2.7)             |      |
|                       | Low                     | 623 (17.1)         | 17.0 (0.7)                  | 17.8 (1.9)              | 12.4 (1.9)             |      |
|                       | Very low                | 365 (10.4)         | 9.1 (0.6)                   | 17.2 (2.0)              | 14.8 (2.0)             |      |
| School (%)             | Very high               | 522 (14.8)         | 11.9 (0.6)                  | 23.4 (2.1)              | 34.2 (3.1)             | <.001|
|                       | High                    | 641 (17.5)         | 18.1 (0.8)                  | 15.6 (1.7)              | 13.8 (2.1)             |      |
|                       | Moderate                | 834 (22.7)         | 24.2 (0.8)                  | 19.3 (2.1)              | 11.8 (2.0)             |      |
|                       | Low                     | 877 (24.4)         | 25.5 (0.9)                  | 20.5 (2.1)              | 18.2 (2.3)             |      |
|                       | Very low                | 729 (20.5)         | 20.3 (0.7)                  | 21.2 (1.9)              | 22.0 (2.4)             |      |
| Subjective             | Very healthy            | 1085 (30.6)        | 29.5 (0.9)                  | 32.5 (2.4)              | 11.7 (1.0)             | <.001|
| Health status (%)      | Healthy                 | 1390 (39.0)        | 40.3 (0.9)                  | 34.4 (2.4)              | 9.7 (0.8)              |      |
|                       | Moderate                | 767 (21.0)         | 21.6 (0.8)                  | 20.6 (2.1)              | 10.8 (1.2)             |      |
|                       | Poor                    | 295 (7.7)          | 7.6 (0.5)                   | 8.7 (1.4)               | 12.4 (1.8)             |      |
|                       | Very poor               | 66 (1.7)           | 1.0 (0.2)                   | 3.8 (0.9)               | 24.4 (5.2)             |      |
| Subjective             | Very happy              | 843 (23.5)         | 22.5 (0.8)                  | 24.9 (2.3)              | 31.8 (2.8)             | <.001|
| Happiness (%)          | Happy                   | 1208 (34.0)        | 35.2 (0.9)                  | 28.7 (2.5)              | 28.0 (2.6)             |      |
|                       | Moderate                | 1030 (28.5)        | 28.8 (0.9)                  | 30.5 (2.3)              | 21.8 (2.5)             |      |
|                       | Unhappy                 | 370 (10.0)         | 10.5 (0.5)                  | 8.7 (1.5)               | 6.3 (1.4)              |      |
| Sleep                  | Very satisfied          | 293 (8.1)          | 7.0 (0.5)                   | 12.7 (1.7)              | 13.6 (1.9)             | <.001|
| Satisfaction (%)       | Satisfaction            | 540 (15.1)         | 15.1 (0.6)                  | 15.6 (1.9)              | 14.8 (2.2)             |      |
|                       | Moderate                | 998 (27.9)         | 28.9 (0.8)                  | 26.5 (2.2)              | 19.1 (2.2)             |      |
| Dissatisfaction (%)    | 1015 (28.0)             | 29.0 (0.8)         | 22.7 (2.0)                  | 25.7 (2.7)              |      |
Table 2: Associations between adolescents’ sexual orientation and suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts (n = 3603)

|                | Suicidal ideation OR (95% CI) | Suicidal plans OR (95% CI) | Suicidal attempts OR (95% CI) | Medically serious attempts OR (95% CI) |
|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| **Model 1**    |                               |                            |                               |                                       |
| Heterosexual   | 1                             | 1                          | 1                             | 1                                     |
| Homosexual     | 2.14 (1.69-2.69)              | 3.13 (2.48-3.96)           | 3.21 (2.43-4.23)              | 3.08 (1.80-5.27)                      |
| Bisexual       | 1.70 (1.30-2.24)              | 2.65 (1.92-3.67)           | 3.64 (2.63-5.04)              | 3.03 (1.82-5.06)                      |
| **Model 2**    |                               |                            |                               |                                       |
| Heterosexual   | 1                             | 1                          | 1                             | 1                                     |
| Homosexual     | 1.58 (1.17-2.14)              | 1.77 (1.26-2.47)           | 2.23 (1.52-3.29)              | 2.63 (1.30-5.30)                      |
| Bisexual       | 1.38 (0.99-1.93)              | 1.41 (0.89-2.23)           | 1.97 (1.21-3.19)              | 1.46 (0.59-3.62)                      |
| **Model 3**    |                               |                            |                               |                                       |
| Heterosexual   | 1                             | 1                          | 1                             | 1                                     |
| Homosexual     | 1.52 (1.09-2.08)              | 1.45 (1.01-2.09)           | 1.92 (1.28-2.88)              | 1.39 (0.64-3.03)                      |
| Bisexual       | 1.34 (0.95-1.89)              | 1.21 (0.72-2.00)           | 1.73 (1.01-2.97)              | 1.36 (0.52-3.51)                      |

Model 1: univariate; Model 2: adjusted for age, gender, BMI and perceived economic status; Model 3: Model 2 and adjusted lifetime drinking experience, lifetime smoking experience and lifetime drug experience.

The unadjusted logistic regression analyses revealed that the odds of suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts were significantly associated with sexual orientation. In Model 2, where we adjusted for age, gender, BMI, and economic status, the odds of suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts were significantly associated with sexual orientation. In Model 3, where we adjusted for age, gender, BMI, economic status, and lifetime experience of drinking, smoking, and drug use, the odds of suicidal ideation, plans, and attempts were significantly associated with sexual orientation. More specifically, the unadjusted analysis showed that when the heterosexual group was set as the reference, the OR for suicidal ideation was 2.14 in the homosexual group and 1.70 in the bisexual group; the OR for suicidal plans was 3.13 in the homosexual group and 2.65 in the bisexual group; the OR for suicide attempts was 3.21 in the homosexual group and 3.64 in the bisexual group; and the OR for medically serious suicide attempts was 3.08 in the homosexual group and 3.03 in the bisexual group. In Model 2, where the respondents’ general characteristics and their health risk behaviors of lifetime experiences of drinking, smoking, and drug use were adjusted, when the heterosexual group was set as the reference. The OR of suicidal ideation was 1.58 in the homosexual group; the OR for suicidal plans was 1.77 in the homosexual group; the OR for suicide attempts was 2.23 in the homosexual group and 1.97 in the bisexual group, and the OR for medically serious suicide attempts was 2.63 in the homosexual group. In Model 3, where the respondents’ general characteristics and their health risk behaviors of lifetime experiences of drinking, smoking, and drug use were adjusted, when the heterosexual group was set as the reference. The OR for suicidal ideation was 1.52 in the homosexual group; the OR of suicidal plans was 1.45 in the homosexual group, and the OR of suicide attempts was 1.92 in the homosexual group and 1.73 in the bisexual group.

**Discussion**

In this study, Korean adolescents’ sexual orientation is associated with suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts. These results provide fundamental support for the need to improve the mental health and public health concern among Korean SMY. Korean adolescents with varying sexual orientations showed statistically significant differences in the demographic characteristics of age, school...
level, economic status, school performance, subjective health status, subjective happiness status, sleep satisfaction, awareness of depression, and lifetime experience of drinking and smoking. Homosexual and bisexual groups had poorer general and mental health status (9,18,40,41), higher rates of smoking and alcohol use (8,13), and lower economic status (10) than the heterosexual group did. In particular, LGB individuals were economically isolated and faced homophobia and discrimination every day, causing them to experience severe stress and adopt negative lifestyle choices, such as smoking and drinking (8, 14). Of the assessed health risk behaviors, tobacco and alcohol use as related to morbidity and mortality are critical public health problems (14,42). Accordingly, aside from the non-smoking and drinking programs created for the general population, it is necessary to develop campaigns and programs targeted at SMY to reduce smoking and excessive drinking in this group.

According to the analysis of differences in suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts depending on the subjects’ sexual orientation, the homosexual group had the highest rates of suicidal ideation and plans, and medically serious suicide attempts and the bisexual group had the highest rate of suicide attempts. Given that adolescents’ sexual orientation was found to be significantly associated with suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts, there were differences between the groups depending on the adjusted variables. Nevertheless, when the heterosexual group was set as the reference, the OR of variables related to suicide was largest in the heterosexual group, followed by the homosexual group and then the bisexual group. On balance, adolescents with a homosexual or bisexual orientation had the highest risk for suicide-related variables and the poorest mental health status. “LGB, compared to heterosexual, people are at higher risk of developing mental health disorders, suicidal ideation, substance misuse, and deliberate self-harm” (43).

According to the 2013 South Korean Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Intersex Community Social Needs Assessment Survey, suicide attempts among SMY reached 46%, and the frequency of deliberate self-harm was 53% (44). These figures are much higher than the 2011 general population rate of Korean adolescents’ suicide attempts (4.4%) (45). Further, the elevated odds of medically serious suicide attempts among homosexual and bisexual groups in this study indicate this is perhaps the greatest risk indicator of future suicide considering the potential associated intent (46, 47). The suicide-related behaviors of Korean SMY must be taken seriously; however, there is currently no forum for SMY to ask for help, and it is difficult to prevent self-harm, suicide, or other fatal circumstances in this group. In this sense, there is a need to raise awareness of the necessity of public policy to address issues related to suicide among SMY and enhance protection of and support for this group. LGB individuals have been found to experience more conflicts with acquaintances who reject the sexual minority gender identity, and this causes them more distress than does their sexual orientation or gender identity (7). “A hostile social environment characterized by stigma, prejudice, and discrimination may be associated with increases in individual risk factors for suicide, including depression, substance abuse, social isolation, peer conflict, and victimization” (18, 43, 48). The physical and psychological problems of adolescents who are immature, sensitive, and have yet to establish a gender identity should be of primary public concern in Korea. Therefore, we consider that the constant interest in and support for vulnerable populations as SMY will reduce their suicide-related behaviors.

This study has some limitations. First, as it was cross-sectional in design, we cannot determine the causal relationships between sexual orientation and suicidal ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts among Korean adolescents. Second, the data were collected using an anonymous, self-administered online survey; thus, some participants lied about their sexual orientation. However, the anonymous nature of the survey should reduce the likelihood of social desirability bias compared to other data collection methods, such as face-to-face interview. Moreo-
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ver, because the values and prejudices towards sexuality vary by country, it is difficult to generalize the results of this study to different countries. Despite these limitations, this study has some noteworthy strength. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to use a large, nationally representative sample to examine sexual orientation and suicide-related behaviors among Korean adolescents. Moreover, we adjusted our analyses for many covariates to minimize their potential influence.

Conclusion

SMY had a higher risk of suicide-related behaviors than heterosexual youth did, especially concerning medically serious suicide attempts. Therefore, counseling or educational programs be developed to prevent suicide and suicide attempts among SMY. In addition, it is necessary to implement public policy to control mental health problems related to adolescents’ sexual orientation or gender identity.

Ethical considerations

Ethical issues (including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, redundancy, etc.) have been completely observed by the authors.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Chung-Ang University Research Grants in 2016. The Korea Center for Disease Control and Prevention provided the data for this study. This study was not funded. The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

References

1. Gates GJ (2011). How many people are lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender? UCLA: The Williams Institute. https://escholarship.org/uc/item/09h684x2

2. Coulter RW, Kenst KS, Bowen DJ, Scout (2014). Research funded by the National Institutes of Health on the health of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender populations. Am J Public Health, 104(2): e105-e112.

3. Kang BC (2011). The effects of perceived social stigma on the life satisfaction of sexual minorities. Korean J Soc Welf Stud, 42(2): 381-417.

4. Lee MH, Park JY, Kim SS (2014). LGBTQI health research in South Korea: a systematic review. Health Soc Sci, 36:43-76.

5. World Values Survey Association (2009). World Values Survey 2005 official data file v. 20090901. http://www.worldvaluessurvey.org

6. Emmerink PM, Vanwesenbeeck I, van den Eijnden RJ, Ter Bogt TF (2016). Psychosexual correlates of sexual double standard endorsement in adolescent sexuality. J Sex Res, 53(3): 286-297.

7. Kang BC, Ha KH (2012). A qualitative study on sexual minority youths’ formation processes of sexual identity. Korean J Youth Stud, 19(2): 99-128.

8. Yoon JH, So WY (2013). Differences in lifestyles including physical activity according to sexual orientation among Korean adolescents. Iran J Public Health, 42(12): 1347-1353.

9. Cochran SD, Mays VM (2007). Physical health complaints among lesbians, gay men, and bisexual and homosexually experienced heterosexual individuals: results from the California quality of life survey. Am J Public Health, 97(11): 2048-2055.

10. Diamant AL, Wold C, Spritzer K, Gelberg L (2000). Health behaviors, health status, and access to and use of health care: a population-based study of lesbian, bisexual, and heterosexual women. Arch Fam Med, 9(10): 1043-51.

11. Harrison TW (2003). Adolescent homosexuality and concerns regarding disclosure. J Sch Health, 73(3):107-12.

12. Ryan C, Huebner D, Diaz RM, Sanchez J (2009). Family rejection as a predictor of negative health outcomes in White and Latino lesbian, gay, and bisexual young adults. Pediatrics, 123(1): 346-52.

13. Gruskin EP, Greenwood GI, Matevia M, Pollack LM, Bye LI (2007). Disparities in smoking between the lesbian, gay, and bisexual
population and the general population in California. *Am J Public Health*, 97(8): 1496-502.

14. Gruskin EP, Gordon N (2006). Gay/lesbian sexual orientation increases risk for cigarette smoking and heavy drinking among members of a large Northern California health plan. *BMC Public Health*, 6: 241.

15. Almeida J, Johnson RM, Corliss HI., Molnar BE, Azrael D (2009). Emotional distress among LGBT Youth: the influence of perceived discrimination based on sexual orientation. *J Youth Adolesc*, 38(7): 1001-1014.

16. Kosciw JG, Greytak EA, Bartkiewicz MJ, Boesen MJ, & Palmer NA. (2012). *The 2011 National School Climate Survey: The Experiences of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender Youth in Our Nation’s Schools.* Gay, Lesbian and Straight Education Network (GLSEN). 121 West 27th Street Suite 804, New York, NY 10001.

17. Russell ST, Joyner K (2001). Adolescent sexual orientation and suicide risk: evidence from a national study. *Am J Public Health*, 91(8): 1276-1281.

18. Hatzenbuehler ML (2011). The social environment and suicide attempts in lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth. *Pediatrics*, 127(5): 896-903.

19. Kang BC, Kim JH (2006). A Study of lives of sexual minority youths. *National Youth Policy Institute*. 1-159.

20. Needham BL, & Austin EL. (2010). Sexual orientation, parental support, and health during the transition to young adulthood. *J Youth and Adolesc*, 39(10):189-98.

21. D’augelli AR (2002). Mental health problems among lesbian, gay, and bisexual youths ages 14 to 21. *Clin Child Psychol Psychiatri*, 7(3): 433-56.

22. Rankin SR (2005). Campus climates for sexual minorities. *New Dir Stud Serv*, 111: 17-23.

23. Reason RD, Rankin SR (2006). College students' experiences and perceptions of harassment on campus: an exploration of gender differences. *Coll Stud Aff*, 26(1): 7-29.

24. Simone MJ, Appelbaum JS (2011). Addressing the needs of older lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender adults. *Clin Geriatr*, 19(2): 38-45.

25. Committee on Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Health Issues and Research Gaps and Opportunities: Board on the Health of Selection Population; Institute of Medicine (2011). *The health of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender people: building a foundation for better understanding.* Washington, DC. Institute of Medicine.

26. Meyer IH (2003). Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychol Bull*, 129(5): 674–697.

27. Mustanski BS, Garofalo R, Emerson EM (2010). Mental health disorders, psychological distress, and suicidality in a diverse sample of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youths. *Am J Public Health*, 100 (12): 2426-32.

28. Bagley C, Tremblay P (2000). Elevated rates of suicidal behavior in gay, lesbian, and bisexual youth. *Crisis*, 21(5): 111-7.

29. Johnson RB, Oxendine S, Taub DJ, Robertson J (2013). Suicide prevention for LGBT students. *New Dir Stud Serv*, 2013(141): 55-69.

30. Stone DM, Luo F, Ouyang L, Lippy C, Hertz MF, Crosby AE (2014). Sexual orientation and suicide ideation, plans, attempts, and medically serious attempts: evidence from local Youth Risk Behavior Surveys, 2001-2009. *Am J Public Health*, 104(2): 262-271.

31. Harry J (1983). Parasuicide, gender, and gender deviance. *J Health Soc Behav*, 24(4): 350-61.

32. Hetrick ES, Martin AD (1987). Developmental issues and their resolution for gay and lesbian adolescents. *J Homosex*, 14(1-2): 25-43.

33. Robin I., Brener ND, Donahue SF, Hak T, Hale K, Goodenow C (2002). Associations between health risk behaviors and opposite, same, and both-sex sexual partners in representative samples of Vermont and Massachusetts high school students. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*, 156(4): 349-55.

34. Wichstrom L., Hegna K (2003). Sexual orientation and suicide attempt: a longitudinal study of the general Norwegian adolescent population. *J Abnorm Psychol*, 112(1): 144-51.

35. Zhao Y, Montoro R, Igartua K, Thombs BD (2010). Suicidal ideation and attempt among adolescents reporting “Unsure” sexual identity or heterosexual identity plus same-sex attraction or behavior: forgotten groups? *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 49(2): 104-113.

36. Horesh N, Levi Y, Apter A (2012). Medically serious versus non-serious suicide attempts: relationships of lethality and intent to clinical...
and interpersonal characteristics. *J Affect Disord*, 136(3): 286-93.

37. Lee Y, Kwon B, Lee D (2012). The Relationships among Homosexual Experiences, Sexual Attitude, Sexual Homophbic, and Depression in Adolescents. *Korean J Rehabil Psychol*, 19:129-147.

38. Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, Ministry of Health and Welfare, Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2015). *The Tenth Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey*. Ministry of Education, Science and Technology, Ministry of Health and Welfare, Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

39. Association AP (2008). *Answers to your questions: For a better understanding of sexual orientation and homosexuality*. Washington, DC.

40. Case P, Bryn Austin S, Hunter DJ, Manson JE, Malspeis S, Willett WC, Spiegelman D (2004). Sexual orientation, health risk factors, and physical functioning in the Nurses’ Health Study II. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*, 13(9):1033-47.

41. Marshal MP, Dermody SS, Shultz ML, Sucato GS, Stepp SD, Chung T, Burton CM, Markovic N, Hipwell AE (2013). Mental health and substance use disparities among urban adolescent lesbian and bisexual girls. *J Am Psychiatr Nurses Assoc*, 19(5): 271-9.

42. Mertens JR, Weisner C, Ray GT, Fireman B, Walsh K (2005). Hazardous drinkers and drug users in HMO primary care: prevalence, medical conditions, and costs. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*, 29(6): 989-98.

43. King M, Semlyen J, Tai SS, Killaspy H, Osborn D, Popelyuk D, Nazareth I (2008). A systematic review of mental disorder, suicide, and deliberate self harm in lesbian, gay and bisexual people. *BMC Psychiatry*, 8: 70.

44. Chingusai KGMsHRG (2014). Key Results South Korean LGBTI Community Social Needs Assessment Survey. http://koreabridge.net/post/reading-list-key-results-south-korean-lgbti-community-social-needs-assessment-survey-kimchi-que

45. Korean Statistical Information Service (2012). *Suicide rates: aged from 15 to 19 years in Korea*. Korea Statistics. http://kosis.kr/

46. American Psychiatric Association (2003). Practice guideline for the assessment and treatment of patients with suicidal behaviors. *Am J Psychiatry*, 160 (11 Suppl): 1-60.

47. Moscicki EK (1995). Epidemiology of suicidal behavior. *Suicide Life Threat Behav*, 25(1): 22-35.

48. Silenzio VMB, Pena JB, Duberstein PR, Cerel J, Knox KL (2007). Sexual orientation and risk factors for suicidal ideation and suicide attempts among adolescents and young adults. *Am J Public Health*, 97(11): 2017-2019.