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Abstract. Let $J$ be a graded ideal in a not necessarily commutative graded $k$-algebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots$ in which $\dim_k A_i < \infty$ for all $i$. We show that the map $A \to A/J$ induces a closed immersion $i : \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A/J \to \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A$ between the non-commutative projective spaces with homogeneous coordinate rings $A$ and $A/J$. We also examine two other kinds of maps between non-commutative spaces. First, a homomorphism $\phi : A \to B$ between not necessarily commutative $\mathbb{N}$-graded rings, induces an affine map $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} B \supset U \to \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A$ from a non-empty open subspace $U \subset \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} B$. Second, if $A$ is a right noetherian connected graded algebra (not necessarily generated in degree one), and $A^{(n)}$ is a Veronese subalgebra of $A$, there is a map $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A \to \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A^{(n)}$; we identify open subspaces on which this map is an isomorphism. Applying these general results when $A$ is (a quotient of) a weighted polynomial ring produces a non-commutative resolution of (a closed subscheme of) a weighted projective space.

1. Introduction

Following Rosenberg [8] and Van den Bergh [13], a non-commutative space $X$ is a Grothendieck category $\text{Mod} X$. A map $g : Y \to X$ between two spaces is an adjoint pair of functors $(g^*, g_*)$ with $g_* : \text{Mod} Y \to \text{Mod} X$ and $g^*$ left adjoint to $g_*$. The map $g$ is affine [8, page 278] if $g_*$ is faithful and has a right adjoint. For example, a ring homomorphism $\phi : R \to S$ induces an affine map $g : Y \to X$ between the affine spaces defined by $\text{Mod} Y := \text{Mod} S$ and $\text{Mod} X := \text{Mod} R$.

We say that $g : Y \to X$ is a closed immersion if it is affine and the essential image of $\text{Mod} Y$ in $\text{Mod} X$ under $g_*$ is closed under submodules and quotients.

This paper concerns maps between non-commutative projective spaces of the form $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A$. We recall the definition.

Let $k$ be a field. An $\mathbb{N}$-graded $k$-algebra $A$ is locally finite if $\dim_k A_i < \infty$ for all $i$. The non-commutative projective space $X$ with homogeneous coordinate ring $A$ is defined by

$$\text{Mod} X := \text{GrMod} A/F\text{dim} A$$

(see Section 2), and

$$\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A := (\text{Mod} X, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

where $\mathcal{O}_X$ is the image of $A$ in $\text{Mod} X$. Thus $\text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A$ is an enriched quasi-scheme in the language of [13]. Let $Y$ be another non-commutative projective space with homogeneous coordinate ring $B$. A map $f : \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} B \to \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A$ is a map $f : Y \to X$ such that $f^* \mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$.

When $A$ is a commutative $\mathbb{N}$-graded $k$-algebra we write $\text{Proj} A$ for the usual projective scheme. We will always view a quasi-separated, quasi-compact scheme.
X as a non-commutative space by associating to it the enriched space \((\mathcal{Qcoh}X, \mathcal{O}_X)\).

The rule \(X \mapsto (\mathcal{Qcoh}X, \mathcal{O}_X)\) is a faithful functor.

The main results in this paper are Theorems 3.2, 3.3, 4.1, and Proposition 4.8.

Theorem 3.2 shows that a surjective homomorphism \(A \twoheadrightarrow A/J\) of graded rings induces a closed immersion \(i : \text{Proj}_{nc} A/J \rightarrow \text{Proj}_{nc} A\). The functors \(i^*\) and \(i_*\) are the obvious ones. It seems to be a folklore result that \(i^*\) is left adjoint to \(i_*\) but we could not find a proof in the literature so we provide one here; we also show that \(i_*\) is faithful, that its essential image is closed under subquotients, and that it has a right adjoint (this is what we mean by a “closed immersion”). Several people have been aware for some time that this is the appropriate intuitive picture but, as far as I know, no formal definition has been given and so no explicit proof has been given.

If \(A\) is a graded subalgebra of \(B\), the commutative results suggests there should be a closed subspace \(Z\) of \(Y = \text{Proj}_{nc} B\) and an affine map \(g : Y \setminus Z \rightarrow \text{Proj}_{nc} A\). In fact, that result is set in a more general context, namely a homomorphism \(\phi : A \rightarrow B\) of graded rings. Corollary 3.4 then says that if \(\phi : A \rightarrow B\) and \(B\) is a finitely presented left \(A\)-module, then there is an affine map \(g : \text{Proj}_{nc} B \rightarrow \text{Proj}_{nc} A\). This is a (special case of a) non-commutative analogue of the commutative result that a finite morphism is affine.

If \(A\) is a quotient of a commutative polynomial ring, and \(A^{(n)}\) is the graded subring with components \((A^{(n)})_i = A_{ni}\), then there is an isomorphism of schemes \(\text{Proj} A \cong \text{Proj} A^{(n)}\). Verevkin [12] proved that \(\text{Proj}_{nc} A \cong \text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(n)}\) when \(A\) is no longer commutative, but is connected and generated in degree one. Theorem 4.1 shows that when \(A\) is not required to be generated in degree one, there is still a map \(\text{Proj}_{nc} A \rightarrow \text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(n)}\), and Proposition 4.8 describes open subspaces on which this map is an isomorphism.

The results here are modelled on the commutative case, and none are a surprise. In large part the point of this paper is to make the appropriate definitions so that results from commutative algebraic geometry carry over verbatim to the non-commutative setting. Thus we formalize and make precise some of the terminology and intuition in papers like [2] and [7].

In Example 4.9 we show how our results apply to a quotient of a weighted polynomial ring to obtain a birational isomorphism \(\text{Proj}_{nc} A \rightarrow X = \text{Proj} A\) where \(X\) is a commutative subscheme of a weighted projective space. It can happen that \(X\) is singular whereas \(\text{Proj}_{nc} A\) is smooth. Thus we can view \(\text{Proj}_{nc} A \rightarrow \text{Proj} A\) as a non-commutative resolution of singularities.

We freely use basic notions and terminology for non-commutative spaces from the papers [9], [10], and [13].
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2. Definitions and preliminaries

Throughout this paper we assume that \(A\) is a locally finite \(\mathbb{N}\)-graded algebra over a field \(k\). Thus \(A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots\), and \(\dim_k A_i < \infty\) for all \(i\). The augmentation ideal
m of A is $A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots$. If $A_0$ is finite dimensional and $A$ is right noetherian, then it follows that $\dim_k A_i < \infty$ for all $i$ because $A_{\geq i}/A_{\geq i+1}$ is a noetherian $A/m$-module. We write $\text{GrMod} A$ for the category of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded right $A$-modules and define

$$\text{Tails} A := \text{GrMod} A / \text{Fdim} A,$$

where $\text{Fdim} A$ is the full subcategory consisting of direct limits of finite dimensional $A$-modules. Equivalently, $\text{Fdim} A$ consists of those modules every element of which is annihilated by a suitably large power of $m$. We write $\pi$ for the quotient functor $\text{GrMod} A \to \text{Tails} A$ and $\omega$ for its right adjoint.

The projective space with homogeneous coordinate ring $A$ is the space $X$ defined by $\text{Mod} X := \text{Tails} A$. We write $\text{Proj}_{\mathbb{Q}} A = (\text{Mod} X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ where $\mathcal{O}_X$ denotes the image of $A$ in $\text{Tails} A$.

A closed subspace $Z$ of a space $X$ is a full subcategory $\text{Mod} Z$ of $\text{Mod} X$ that is closed under submodules and quotient modules in $\text{Mod} X$ and such that the inclusion functor $i_* : \text{Mod} Z \to \text{Mod} X$ has both a left adjoint $i^*$ and a right adjoint $i^!$. A map $\alpha : Y \to X$ of non-commutative spaces is a closed immersion if it is an isomorphism from $Y$ onto a closed subspace of $X$.

The complement $X \setminus Z$ to a closed subspace $Z$ is defined by

$$\text{Mod} X \setminus Z := \text{Mod} X / T,$$

the quotient category of $\text{Mod} X$ by the localizing subcategory $T$ consisting of those $X$-modules $M$ that are the direct limit of modules $N$ with the property that $N$ has a finite filtration $N = N_n \supset N_{n-1} \supset \cdots \supset N_1 \supset N_0 = 0$ such that each $N_i/N_{i-1}$ is in $\text{Mod} Z$. Because $T$ is a localizing category, there is an exact quotient functor $j^* : \text{Mod} X \to \text{Mod} X \setminus Z$, and its right adjoint $j_* : \text{Mod} X \setminus Z \to \text{Mod} X$. The pair $(j^*, j_*)$ defines a map $j : X \setminus Z \to X$. We call it an open immersion.

We sometimes write $\text{Mod}_Z X$ for the category $T$ and call it the category of $X$-modules supported on $Z$.

Following Rosenberg [8, pg. 278], a map $f : Y \to X$ is affine if $f_*$ is faithful and has both a left adjoint $f^*$ and a right adjoint $f^!$. If $f_*$ is faithful the counit $\text{id}_Y \to f^! f_*$ is monic and the unit $f^* f_* \to \text{id}_Y$ is epic.

**Watt’s Theorem for graded modules.** Let $A$ and $B$ be $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $k$-algebras.

We recall the analogue of Watt’s Theorem proved by Del Rio [3, Proposition 3] that describes the $k$-linear functors $\text{GrMod} A \to \text{GrMod} B$ that have a right adjoint.

A bigraded $A$-$B$-bimodule is an $A$-$B$-bimodule

$$M = \bigoplus_{(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} pM_q$$

such that $A_{i,p} M_q B_j \subset i+pM_{q+j}$ for all $i, j, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $\otimes$ for $\otimes_k$. If $L$ is a graded right $A$-module we define

$$L \otimes_A M = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} (L \otimes_A M)_q$$

where $(L \otimes_A M)_q$ is the image of $\bigoplus_p (L_{-p} \otimes_k M_q)$ under the canonical map $L \otimes M \to L \otimes_A M$. This gives $L \otimes_A M$ the structure of a graded right $B$-module; it is a $B$-module direct summand of the usual tensor product $L \otimes_A M$. If $N$ is a graded right $B$-module we define $\text{Hom}_B(M, N)$ to consist of those $B$-module homomorphisms
that vanish on all except a finite number of \(_p M_s\) and send each \(_p M_q\) to \(N_q\). This is made into a graded right \(A\)-module by declaring that

\[
\text{Hom}_{Gr}(M, N)_p = \text{Hom}_{Gr}(\_p M_s, N).
\]

Then

\[
\text{Hom}_{Gr}(L \otimes_A M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_{Gr}(L, \text{Hom}_{Gr}(M, N)). \tag{2.1}
\]

**Theorem 2.1** (Del Rio). Let \(A\) and \(B\) be graded \(k\)-algebras, and \(F : \text{GrMod}\, A \to \text{GrMod}\, B\) a \(k\)-linear functor having a right adjoint. Then \(F \cong - \otimes_A M\) where \(M\) is the bigraded \(A\)-bimodule

\[
M = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} F(A(p))
\]

with homogeneous components \(_p M_q = F(A(p))_q\).

If \(F\) also commutes with the twists by degree, then \(F\) is given by tensoring with a graded \(A\)-\(B\)-bimodule, say \(V = \oplus_n V_n\). The corresponding \(M\) in this case is \(M = \oplus_n V(p)\) with \(_p M_q = V(p)_q\).

The left \(A\)-action on \(M\) is given by declaring that \(x \in A\), acts on \(_p M_s\) as \(F(\lambda_x)\), where \(\lambda_x : A(p) \to A(p + i)\) denotes left multiplication by \(x\).

### 3. Maps induced by graded ring homomorphisms

Throughout this section we assume that \(A\) and \(B\) are locally finite \(\mathbb{N}\)-graded algebras over a field \(k\).

We consider the problem of when a homomorphism \(\phi : A \to B\) of graded rings induces a map \(g : \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A \to \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} B\) and, if it does, how the properties of \(g\) are determined by the properties of \(\phi\).

Associated to \(\phi\) is an adjoint triple \((f^*, f_*, f^!\) of functors between the categories of graded modules. Explicitly, \(f^* = - \otimes_A B\), \(f_* = - \otimes_B B\) is the restriction map, and \(f^! = \oplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{Gr}(B(-p), -)\). We wish to establish conditions on \(\phi\) which imply that these functors factor through the quotient categories in the following diagrams:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{GrMod}\, B & \xleftarrow{f^*} & \text{GrMod}\, A \\
\pi' \downarrow & & \pi' \downarrow \\
\text{Tails}B & \cong & \text{Tails}A
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{GrMod}\, B & \xleftarrow{f^!} & \text{GrMod}\, A \\
\pi' \downarrow & & \pi' \downarrow \\
\text{Tails}B & \cong & \text{Tails}A
\end{array}
\]

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \(A\) and \(B\) be Grothendieck categories with localizing subcategories \(S \subset A\) and \(T \subset B\). Let \(\pi : A \to A/S\) and \(\pi' : B \to B/T\) be the quotient functors, and let \(\omega\) and \(\omega'\) be their right adjoints. Consider the following diagram of functors:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\pi} & B \\
\pi' \downarrow & & \pi' \downarrow \\
A/S & \cong & B/T
\end{array}
\]

(1) If \(F(S) \subset T\), then there is a unique functor \(G : A/S \to B/T\) such that \(\pi' F = G \pi\).

(2) If \(H : B \to A\) is a right adjoint to \(F\), then \(\pi H \omega'\) is a right adjoint to \(G\).

(3) If \(H\) is a right adjoint to \(F\) and \(G'\) is a right adjoint to \(G\), then \(H(T) \subset S\) if and only if \(G' \pi' \cong \pi H\).
Proof. (1) The existence and uniqueness of a functor \( G \) such that \( \pi^*F = G\pi \) is due to Gabriel [6, Coroll. 2, p. 368].

(2) To show that \( G \) has a right adjoint it suffices to show that it is right exact and commutes with direct sums. If \( M_\lambda \) is a collection of objects in \( A/S \), then each is of the form \( M_\lambda = \pi M_\lambda \) for some object \( M_\lambda \) in \( A \). Both \( \pi^* \) and \( F \) commute with direct sums because they have right adjoints, so \( G\pi \) commutes with direct sums; \( \pi \) also commutes with direct sums. Therefore

\[
G(\oplus M_\lambda) = G(\oplus \pi M_\lambda) \cong G\pi(\oplus M_\lambda) \cong \oplus G\pi M_\lambda = \oplus G M_\lambda.
\]

Thus \( G \) commutes with direct sums.

To see that \( G \) is right exact consider an exact sequence

\[
0 \to \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N} \to 0
\]

in \( A/S \). By Gabriel [6, Coroll. 1, p. 368], (3-1) is obtained by applying \( \pi \) to an exact sequence \( 0 \to \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N} \to 0 \) in \( A \). Both \( \pi^* \) and \( F \) are right exact because they have right adjoints, so \( \pi^*F \mathcal{L} \to \pi^*F \mathcal{M} \to \pi^*F \mathcal{N} \to 0 \) is exact. In other words \( G\mathcal{L} \to G\mathcal{M} \to G\mathcal{N} \to 0 \) is exact.

Hence \( G \) has a right adjoint, say \( G' \). It follows that \( \omega G' \) is a right adjoint to \( G\pi \). But \( G\pi = \pi^*F \) has \( H\omega' \) as a right adjoint so \( \omega G' \cong H\omega' \). Since \( \pi\omega \cong \text{id}_{A/S} \), \( G' \cong \pi H\omega' \). Since a right adjoint is only determined up to natural equivalence \( \pi H\omega' \) is a right adjoint to \( G \).

(3) If \( H(T) \subset S \), then \( \pi H \) vanishes on \( T \) so, by Gabriel [6, Corollaire 2, page 368], there is a functor \( V : B/T \to A/S \) such that \( V\pi^* = \pi H \). Thus \( V \cong \pi H\omega' \), and this is isomorphic to \( G' \) by (2). Hence \( G'\pi^* \cong \pi H \). Conversely, if \( G'\pi^* \cong \pi H \), then \( \pi H(T) = 0 \), so \( H(T) \subset S \).

□

Warning. The functor \( H \) in part (2) of Lemma 3.1 need not have the property that \( H(T) \) is contained in \( S \). An explicit example of this is provided by taking \( B = A \), \( F = H = \text{id}_A \), \( S = 0 \), and \( T = B \).

Theorem 3.2. Let \( J \) be a graded ideal in an \( \mathbb{N} \)-graded \( k \)-algebra \( A \). Then the homomorphism \( A \to A/J \) induces a closed immersion \( i : \text{Proj}_{nc} A/J \to \text{Proj}_{nc} A \).

Proof. Write \( X = \text{Proj}_{nc} A \) and \( Z = \text{Proj}_{nc} A/J \). Write \( m = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots \).

Thus \( \text{Mod}X = \text{GrMod}A/\text{Fdim}A \). We write \( \pi : \text{GrMod}A \to \text{Mod}X \) for the quotient functor and \( \omega \) for a right adjoint to it. Similarly, \( \pi' : \text{GrMod}A/J \to \text{Mod}Z \) is the quotient functor, and \( \omega' \) is a right adjoint to it. See [12] and [1, Section 2] for more information about this.

Let \( f_* : \text{GrMod}A/J \to \text{GrMod}A \) be the inclusion functor. It has a left adjoint \( f^* = - \otimes_A A/J \), and a right adjoint \( f' \) that sends a graded \( A \)-module to the largest submodule of it that is annihilated by \( J \).

By [6, Coroll. 2, p. 368] (=part(1) of Lemma 3.1), there is a unique functor \( i_* \) such that

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{GrMod}A/J & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \text{GrMod}A \\
\pi' \downarrow & & \pi \\
\text{Mod}Z & \xrightarrow{\omega'} & \text{Mod}X \\
\end{array}
\]

commutes, and \( i_* \) is exact because \( f_* \) is [6, Coroll. 3, p. 369]. Thus \( i_*\pi' = \pi f_* \).
By Lemma 3.1(2), \( i_* \) has a right adjoint, namely \( i^! := \pi' f^! \omega \). It is clear that \( f^! \) sends \( FdimA \) to \( FdimA/J \), so \( \pi' f^! \cong i^! \pi \) by Lemma 3.1(3).

It is clear that \( f^* \) sends \( FdimA \) to \( FdimA/J \), so by [6, Coroll. 2, p. 368], there is a functor \( i^* : \text{Mod}X \to \text{Mod}Z \) such that \( \pi' f^* \cong i^* \pi \). Since \( f_* \) is right adjoint to \( f^* \), it follows from Lemma 3.1(2) that \( \pi f_* \omega' \) is a right adjoint to \( i^* \). But \( \pi f_* \omega' = i_* \pi' \omega' \cong i_* \). Hence \( i^* \) is left adjoint to \( i_* \).

We now show that \( i_* \) is faithful. Since \( i_* \) has a left and a right adjoint it is exact, so it suffices to show that if \( i_* M = 0 \), then \( M = 0 \). Suppose that \( i_* \pi' M = 0 \) for some \( M \in \text{GrMod}A/J \). Then \( \pi f_* M = 0 \), and we conclude that \( M \) is in \( FdimA \), and hence in \( FdimA/J \); therefore \( \pi' M = 0 \). Hence \( i_* \) is faithful.

We will show that \( i_* \) is full after establishing the following fact.

**Claim:** \( i_* \pi f_* \omega = i_* \pi' \omega' \). **Proof:** Let \( M \in \text{GrMod}A/J \), let \( \pi M \) denote the largest submodule of \( M \) that is in \( FdimA/J \) (equivalently, in \( FdimA \)), and set \( \bar{M} = M/\pi M \). Then \( \pi' M = \pi^! \bar{M} \) and \( \pi f_* \bar{M} = \pi f_* \bar{M} \), so the two functors take the same value on \( \bar{M} \) if and only if they take the same value on \( \bar{M} \). Hence we can, and will, assume that \( M = \bar{M} \); i.e., \( \tau M = 0 \).

We must show that \( \omega \pi M = \omega' \pi' M \). By definition \( \omega \pi M \) is the largest essential extension \( 0 \to M \to \omega \pi M \to T \to 0 \) such that \( T \in FdimA \). The definition of \( \omega' \pi' M \) is analogous (although \( T \) is then required to belong to \( FdimA/J \)), so it suffices to prove that \( \omega \pi M \in \text{GrMod}A/J \). The surjective map \( \omega \pi M \otimes_A J \to (\omega \pi M) J \) is such that the composition \( \omega \pi M \otimes_A J \to \omega \pi M \otimes_A J \to (\omega \pi M) J \) is zero, so there is a surjective map \( T \otimes_A J \to (\omega \pi M) J \). However, \( T \otimes_A J \) belongs to \( FdimA \) because \( T \) does, so \( (\omega \pi M) J \in \text{GrMod}A \). This implies that \( M \cap (\omega \pi M) J \in \text{Fdim}A \). But \( \tau M = 0 \), so \( \omega \pi M \in \text{GrMod}A/J \), and it follows that \( \pi f_* \omega' \pi' M = 0 \) because \( M \) is essential in \( \omega \pi M \). In other words, \( \omega \pi M \in \text{GrMod}A/J \). This completes the proof of the Claim. □

We have \( f^* f_* \cong \text{id}_{\text{GrMod}A/J} \) and \( \pi' \omega' \cong \text{id}_{\text{Mod}Z} \), so

\[
i^* i_* \cong (\pi f^* \omega)(\pi f_* \omega') \cong \pi' f^* f_* \pi' \omega' \cong \text{id}_{\text{Mod}Z}.
\]

It follows from this that \( i_* \) is full.

To see that \( i_* \) is a closed immersion, it remains to check that \( i_* (\text{Mod}Z) \) is closed under submodules and quotients in \( \text{Mod}X \). Let \( M \in \text{Mod}Z \) and suppose that \( 0 \to L \to i_* M \to N \to 0 \) is an exact sequence in \( \text{Mod}X \). There is an exact sequence \( 0 \to \omega L \to \omega i_* M \to \omega N \to R^1 \omega L \) in \( \text{GrMod}A \). Let \( N \) denote the image of \( \omega i_* M \) in \( \omega N \). Then \( L \cong \pi \omega L \) and \( N \cong \pi N \) because \( \pi \) is exact and \( R^1 \omega L \) in \( \text{Fdim}A \). Now \( M = \pi' M \) for some \( M \in \text{GrMod}A/J \), so \( \omega i_* M = \omega i_* \pi' M = \omega \pi f_* M \cong f_* \pi' \omega' M \) from which we conclude that \( \omega i_* M \) is annihilated by \( J \). Therefore \( \omega L \) is also annihilated by \( J \), so is of the form \( f_* L \) for some \( L \in \text{GrMod}A/J \); hence \( L \cong \pi \omega L \cong \pi f_* L \cong i_* \pi' L \in i_* (\text{Mod}Z) \). Since \( N \) is a quotient of \( \omega i_* M \) it is also annihilated by \( J \), and a similar argument shows that \( N \in i_* (\text{Mod}Z) \).

To retain the notation of the theorem.

Because \( i_* \) is fully faithful, we often view \( \text{Mod}Z \) as a full subcategory of \( \text{Mod}X \) and speak of \( Z = \text{Proj}_{nc} A/J \) as a closed subspace of \( X = \text{Proj}_{nc} A \) and call it the zero locus of \( J \).

It is not the case that every closed subspace of \( \text{Proj}_{nc} A \) is the zero locus of a two-sided ideal in \( A \). For example, if \( A = k_q[x,y] \) is the ring defined by the relation \( xy = qxy \) where \( 0 \neq q \in k \), then \( \text{Proj}_{nc} A \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \), but the closed points of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) are
not cut out by two-sided ideals when \( q \neq 1 \): for example, \((\alpha x + \beta y)A\) is not a two-sided ideal when \( q \neq 1 \) and \( \alpha \beta \neq 0 \). This is essentially due to the fact that the auto-equivalence \( M \rightarrow M(1) \) of \( \text{Mod}_X \) induced by the degree shift on \( A \) does not generally send \( \mathbb{Z} \)-modules to \( \mathbb{Z} \)-modules.

A more difficult question is whether every closed subspace of \( \text{Proj}_A \) is the zero locus of a two-sided ideal in some homogeneous coordinate ring of \( \text{Proj}_A \). We do not know the answer to this question.

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that \( \phi : A \rightarrow B \) is a map of locally finite \( \mathbb{N} \)-graded \( k \)-algebras. Write \( X = \text{Proj}_A \) and \( Y = \text{Proj}_B \). Let \( m \) be the augmentation ideal of \( A \), and let \( I \) be the largest two-sided ideal of \( B \) contained in \( \phi(m)B \). Let \( Z \subset Y \) be the zero locus of \( I \). If \( B\phi(m)^n \subset \phi(m)B \) for some integer \( n \), then \( \phi \) induces an affine map

\[
g : Y \setminus Z \rightarrow X.
\]

**Proof.** The category of modules over \( Y \setminus Z \) is \( \text{Mod}Y / \text{Mod}_Z \). This is equivalent to the quotient category \( \text{GrMod}B / \text{T} \) where \( \text{T} \) consists of those modules \( M \) with the property that every element of \( M \) is killed by some power of \( I \). Let \( \pi^* : \text{GrMod}B \rightarrow \text{GrMod}B / \text{T} \) be the quotient functor. We have functors \( (f^*, f_*, f^! \circ \pi) \) between the graded module categories and a diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{GrMod}B & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{GrMod}A \\
\pi^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
\text{Mod}Y \setminus Z & \rightarrow & \text{Mod}X.
\end{array}
\]

To check that \( f^* \) sends \( \text{Fdim} \) to \( \text{T} \) it suffices to check that \( f^*(A/m) \in \text{T} \) because \( f^* \) commutes with direct limits and with the degree twist (1). However, \( f^*(A/m) = B/\phi(m)B \) is in \( \text{T} \) because \( I \subset \phi(m)B \). Hence there is a unique functor \( g^* : \text{Tails}A \rightarrow (\text{GrMod}B) / \text{T} \) satisfying \( g^* \pi = \pi f^* \).

To check that \( f_* \) sends \( \text{Fdim} \) to \( \text{GrMod}A \) it suffices to check that \( f_*(B/I) \) is in \( \text{Fdim} \). However, \( (B/I)_m = B\phi(m)^n + I / I \); the hypothesis that \( B\phi(m)^n \subset \phi(m)B \) ensures that \( B\phi(m)^n \subset I \), so \( (B/I)_m = 0 \). Hence there is an exact functor \( g_* : \text{GrMod}B / \text{T} \rightarrow \text{Tails}A \) such that \( g_* \pi = \pi f_* \).

By Lemma 3.1(2), \( g_* \) has a right adjoint \( g^! = \pi f^! \).

To show that \( g_* \) is faithful we must show that if \( M \) is a graded \( B \)-module such that \( g_* \pi^* M = 0 \), then \( M \in \text{T} \). Since \( \pi f_* M = 0 \), as an \( A \)-module \( M \) is a direct limit of \( M_\lambda \) where each \( M_\lambda \) is a finite dimensional \( A \)-module. There is an epimorphism

\[
\operatorname{lim}_\rightarrow (M_\lambda \otimes_A B) \cong (\operatorname{lim}_\rightarrow M_\lambda) \otimes_A B \cong M \otimes_A B \rightarrow M
\]

of graded \( B \)-modules. Since \( M_\lambda \otimes_A B \) equals \( f^* M_\lambda \), it is in \( \text{T} \); but \( \text{T} \) is closed under direct limits and quotients, so \( M \) is in \( \text{T} \). Thus \( g_* \) is faithful. \( \square \)

The following consequence of the theorem slightly extends a result of Van den Bergh [13, Proposition 3.9.11].

**Corollary 3.4.** Let \( \phi : A \rightarrow B \) be a homomorphism of graded rings such that \( B \) becomes a finitely presented graded left \( A \)-module. Then \( \phi \) induces an affine map \( g : \text{Proj}_A \rightarrow \text{Proj}_A \).

**Proof.** If we apply \( A/m \otimes_A \) to a finite presentation of \( B \) as a left \( A \)-module, we see that \( B/\phi(m)B \) has finite dimension. Thus, as a right \( A \)-module \( B/\phi(m)B \) is
annihilated by \( m^n \) for some \( n \gg 0 \). Equivalently, \( B\phi(m)^n \subset \phi(m)B \). Thus the hypotheses of the theorem are satisfied. It remains to show that \( Z \) is empty.

Let \( I \) denote the right annihilator in \( B \) of \( B/\phi(m)B \). We have already observed that \( \phi(m)^n \subset I \). Since \( A/m^n \) is finite dimensional, so is \( A/m^n \otimes_A B \cong B/\phi(m)^nB \). Thus \( B/I \) is finite dimensional. Hence the zero locus of \( I \) in \( \text{Proj}_{nc}B \) is empty. \( \square \)

**Remark.** If, in Theorem 3.3, \( B_A \) is finitely presented, then we have the useful technical fact that \( g^t \pi = \pi' f^t \). This follows from Lemma 3.1(3) once we show that \( f^t \) sends \( \text{Fdim}A \) to \( \text{Fdim}B \). Let \( M = \varprojlim M_{\lambda} \) be a direct limit of finite dimensional \( A \)-modules. If \( B \) is a finitely presented right \( A \)-module, then \( \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}A}(B, -) \) commutes with direct limits, so \( \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}A}(N, \varprojlim M_{\lambda}) = \varprojlim \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr}A}(B, M_{\lambda}) \); this is a direct limit of finite dimensional \( B \)-modules because \( B_A \) is a finitely generated. Hence \( f^t(\text{Fdim}A) \subset \text{Fdim}B \).

4. The Veronese mapping

Throughout this section \( A \) is a locally finite \( \mathbb{N} \)-graded \( k \)-algebra and \( n \) is a positive integer. The \( n \)th **Veronese** subalgebra \( A^{(n)} \) is defined by

\[
A_i^{(n)} := A_{ni}. 
\]

It is a classical result in algebraic geometry that if \( A \) is a finitely generated commutative connected graded \( k \)-algebra generated in degree one, then \( \text{Proj} A \cong \text{Proj} A^{(n)} \). This isomorphism is implemented by the Veronese embedding.

Verevkin proved a non-commutative version of this result when \( A \) is noetherian and generated in degree one [12, Theorem 4.4].

Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.8 show what happens when \( A \) need not be commutative and need not be generated in degree one.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( A \) be a right noetherian locally finite \( \mathbb{N} \)-graded \( k \)-algebra. Fix a positive integer \( n \). There is a map \( g : \text{Proj}_{nc} A \to \text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(n)} \). Furthermore, \( g_* \) has a right adjoint.

We will use the notation \( X := \text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(n)} \) and \( X' := \text{Proj}_{nc} A \).

We need two preliminary results before proving the theorem. First we explain how the functors defining the map \( g : \text{Proj}_{nc} A \to \text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(n)} \) in the theorem are induced by functors between the categories \( \text{GrMod}A \) and \( \text{GrMod}A^{(n)} \).

If \( L \) is a graded \( A \)-module we define the graded \( A^{(n)} \)-module \( L^{(n)} \) by

\[
L_i^{(n)} := L_{ni}. 
\]

The rule \( L \mapsto L^{(n)} \) extends to give an exact functor

\[
f_* : \text{GrMod}A \to \text{GrMod}A^{(n)}. 
\]

The functor \( f_* \) is not faithful when \( n \geq 2 \) because \( f_*(\mathcal{A}/(m)(1)) = 0 \).

The next result shows that \( f_* \) has a left adjoint \( f^* \) and a right adjoint \( f^t \).

**Proposition 4.2.** Let \( A \) be a locally finite \( \mathbb{N} \)-graded \( k \)-algebra. Fix a positive integer \( n \). Let \( W \) and \( W' \) be the spaces with module categories

\[
\text{Mod}W = \text{GrMod}A^{(n)} 
\]

and

\[
\text{Mod}W' = \text{GrMod}A.
\]

Then there is a map \( f : W' \to W \) with direct image functor given by \( f_* L = L^{(n)} \).
Proof. It is clear that $f_*$ is an exact functor commuting with direct sums. By the graded version of Watt’s Theorem, $f_* \cong - \otimes_A M$ where

$$M = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} A(p)^{(n)}$$

with components $pM_q = (A(p)^{(n)})_q = A(p)_{nq}$. The right action of $A^{(n)}$ is given by right multiplication, and each $A(p)^{(n)}$ is a right $A^{(n)}$-submodule. The left action of $A$ is given by left multiplication whereby $a \in A_i$ acts by sending $A(p)_nq$ to $A(p+i)_{nq}$.

Define $f^* : \text{GrMod}A^{(n)} \to \text{GrMod}A$ by $f^* N = N \otimes_{A^{(n)}} A$ with the usual right action of $A$, and grading given by

$$(N \otimes_{A^{(n)}} A)_s = \sum_{ni+j=s} N_i \otimes A_j.$$ 

It is not hard to show that $f^*$ is a left adjoint to $f_*$. Therefore $f^* \cong - \otimes_{A^{(n)}} Q$ where

$$Q = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} f^*(A^{(n)}(p)) \cong \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} (A(np));$$

multiplication $A^{(n)}(p) \otimes_{A^{(n)}} A \to A(np)$ gives an isomorphism of graded right $A$-modules. Thus $pQ_* \cong A(np)$ with its usual grading. One can verify directly that $f_* \cong \text{Hom}_{A^{(n)}}(Q, -)$.

The right adjoint to $f_*$ is the functor $f^! = \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr} A^{(n)}}(M, -)$. If $N$ is a graded right $A^{(n)}$-module

$$(f^! N)_i = \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr} A^{(n)}}(-, M_*) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr} A^{(n)}}(A(-i), N).$$

If $N$ is a graded $A^{(n)}$-module, then $f_*f^*(N) = N$ so $f_*f^*$ is naturally equivalent to $\text{id}_X$. \hfill \Box

Let $\pi' : \text{GrMod}A \to \text{Tails}A$ and $\pi : \text{GrMod}A^{(n)} \to \text{Tails}A^{(n)}$ be the quotient functors. To prove Theorem 4.1, we must find functors $g^*$, $g_*$, and $g^!$ making the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{GrMod}A & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & \text{GrMod}A^{(n)} \\
\downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\
\text{Tails}A & \xrightarrow{g^*} & \text{Tails}A^{(n)}. \\
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{GrMod}A & \xleftarrow{\pi'} & \text{GrMod}A^{(n)} \\
\downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\
\text{Tails}A & \xleftarrow{g^!} & \text{Tails}A^{(n)}. \\
\end{array}$$

Since $f_*$ sends $\text{Fdim}A$ to $\text{Fdim}A^{(n)}$ there is a functor $g_* : \text{Tails}A \to \text{Tails}A^{(n)}$ such that $g_* \pi' = \pi f_*$. To ensure that $f^*$ and $f^!$ induce functors between the quotient categories we must impose a noetherian hypothesis. Although there is no noetherian hypothesis in Proposition 4.2, in Theorem 4.1 it is assumed that $A$ is right noetherian. This hypothesis ensures that $f^*$ sends $\text{Fdim}A^{(n)}$ to $\text{Fdim}A$.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $A$ be a locally finite $\mathbb{N}$-graded $k$-algebra.

1. $f_*$ sends noetherian $A$-modules to noetherian $A^{(n)}$-modules;
2. if $A$ is right noetherian so is $A^{(n)}$, and $A$ is a finitely generated right $A^{(n)}$-module.
3. if $A$ is left noetherian, then $f^*$ sends $\text{Fdim}A^{(n)}$ to $\text{Fdim}A$;
(4) If $A$ is left and right noetherian, then there is a functor $g^* : \text{Tails} A^{(n)} \to \text{Tails} A$ such that $g^* \pi = \pi f^*$;

(5) If $A$ is left noetherian, then $f^!$ sends $\text{Fdim} A^{(n)}$ to $\text{Fdim} A$;

(6) If $A$ is left and right noetherian, then there is a functor $g^! : \text{Tails} A^{(n)} \to \text{Tails} A$ such that $g^! \pi = \pi f^!$;

Proof. (1) Let $M$ be a right noetherian graded $A$-module. If $N$ is a submodule of $M^{(n)}$ then $N = NA \cap M^{(n)}$. Hence any proper ascending chain of submodules in $M^{(n)}$ would give a proper ascending chain of submodules of $M$ by multiplying by $A$. Since $M$ contains no such chain, neither does $M^{(n)}$.

(2) Applying (1) to $M = A$ shows that $A^{(n)}$ is right and left noetherian. If $N = A \oplus A(1) \oplus \cdots \oplus A(n-1)$, then $N^{(n)} \cong A$ as a left and as a right $A^{(n)}$-module, so applying (1) to $N$ gives the rest of the result.

(3) If $N$ is a finite dimensional right $A^{(n)}$-module, then $N \otimes A^{(n)} A$ is a finite dimensional $A$-module because $A$ is a finitely generated left $A^{(n)}$-module.

(4) This follows at once from (3).

(5) We must show that if $N$ is a finite dimensional graded right $A^{(n)}$-module, then $\text{Hom}_{A^{(n)}}(M, N)$ is finite dimensional, where

$$M = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} A(p)^{(n)}.$$ 

The degree $-p$ component of $\text{Hom}_{A^{(n)}}(M, N)$ is $\text{Hom}_{\text{Gr} A^{(n)}}(A(p)^{(n)}, N)$, and it suffices to show that this is zero for almost all $p$ and is always finite dimensional. By the noetherian hypothesis, $A(p)^{(n)}$ is a finitely generated $A^{(n)}$-module, so $\text{Hom}_{\text{Gr} A^{(n)}}(A(p)^{(n)}, N)$ has finite dimension. We must show that $\text{Hom}_{\text{Gr} A^{(n)}}(A(p)^{(n)}, N)$ is zero if $|p|$ is sufficiently large.

Fix $p$. Now

$$A(p + nj)^{(n)} \cong A(p)^{(n)}(j)$$

so

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Gr} A^{(n)}}(A(p + nj)^{(n)}, N) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr} A^{(n)}}(A(p)^{(n)}, N(-j)).$$

Since $A(p)^{(n)}$ is finitely generated and $N$ is finite dimensional, when $|j|$ is sufficiently large $\text{Hom}_{\text{Gr} A^{(n)}}(A(p)^{(n)}, N(-j))$ is zero.

It therefore follows that $\text{Hom}_{\text{Gr} A^{(n)}}(A(p)^{(n)}, N)$ is zero for $|p|$ sufficiently large. This completes the proof of (5), and (6) follows from this.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Lemma 4.3 there are functors $g^*, g_*, g^!$ between the categories $\text{Mod Proj}_{nc} A^{(n)} = \text{Tails} A^{(n)}$ and $\text{Mod Proj}_{nc} A = \text{Tails} A$ satisfying

$$g^* \pi = \pi f^*, \quad g_* \pi' = \pi f_*, \quad g^! \pi = \pi f^!.$$ 

Applying Lemma 3.1 to $f^*$ we see that $g^*$ has $\pi f_* \omega'$ as a right adjoint. But this is naturally equivalent to $g_*$, so $g_*$ is a right adjoint to $g^*$. Similarly, $g^!$ is a right adjoint to $g_*$. Since $f^* A^{(n)} = A$, $g_* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(n)}} = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}_{nc} A}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

In the next result $\text{Proj} A$ is the usual commutative scheme viewed as a non-commutative space with module category $\text{Qcoh}(\text{Proj} A)$.

Corollary 4.4. If $A$ is a finitely generated commutative connected graded $k$-algebra, there is a map $g : \text{Proj}_{nc} A \to \text{Proj} A$. Furthermore, $g_*$ has a right adjoint $g^!$. 


Proof. For some sufficiently large \( n \), \( A^{(n)} \) is generated in degree one so
\[
\text{Tails} A^{(n)} \cong \text{Tails} A \cong \text{Qcoh} \text{Proj} A.
\]
Therefore Theorem 4.1 gives the result. \( \square \)

**Remarks.** 1. Since \( g_* \) has both a left and a right adjoint it is exact, and hence its right adjoint \( g^! \) preserves injectives. There is therefore a convergent spectral sequence
\[
\text{Ext}_{\text{Proj} A}^p(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R}^q g^! \mathcal{N}) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{Proj} A^{(n)}}^{p+q} (g_* \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})
\]
for \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \mathcal{N} \) modules over \( \text{Proj} A \) and \( \text{Proj} A^{(n)} \) respectively.

2. If \( J \) is a two-sided ideal of \( A \), then the natural map \( A \to A/J \) induces an isomorphism \( A^{(n)}/J^{(n)} \to (A/J)^{(n)} \), so there is a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Proj} A/J & \cong & \text{Proj} A^{(n)} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \ \ g \\
\text{Proj} A^{(n)}/J^{(n)} & \cong & \text{Proj} A^{(n)}
\end{array}
\]
where the horizontal maps are the natural closed immersions.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let \( A \) be a right noetherian, locally finite, \( \mathbb{N} \)-graded \( k \)-algebra. Suppose that \( A \) is prime and \( \text{Fract}_{\text{gr}} A \) contains a copy of \( A(n) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then
1. \( \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A \) and \( \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A^{(n)} \) are integral spaces in the sense of [10], and
2. \( g : \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A \to \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A^{(n)} \) is a birational isomorphism in the sense that it induces an isomorphism between the function fields.

Proof. That \( X = \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A \) is an integral space is proved in [10, Th. 4.5]. It is also shown there that the function field of \( X \) is
\[
\text{Fract}_{\text{gr}} A := \{ ab^{-1} \mid a, b \in A \text{ homogeneous of the same degree and } b \text{ is regular} \}.
\]
It is clear that \( \text{Fract}_{\text{gr}} A^{(n)} \subset \text{Fract}_{\text{gr}} A \), and the reverse inclusion follows from the observation that \( ab^{-1} = ab^n b^{-n} \). \( \square \)

**Remarks.** 1. If \( A \) is prime noetherian and has a regular element of degree \( d \) for all \( d \gg 0 \), then \( \text{Fract}_{\text{gr}} A \) contains a copy of \( A(n) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), so the previous result applies.

2. If \( z \) is a normal regular element, then the complement to the zero locus of \( z \) in \( \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A \) is \( \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A[z^{-1}] \); the category of modules over this is \( \text{GrMod} A[z^{-1}] \); if \( d \) is the smallest positive integer such that \( A[z^{-1}] \) has a unit of degree \( d \), this is an affine space with coordinate ring
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
R_0 & R_1 & \cdots & R_{d-1} \\
R_{-1} & R_0 & \cdots & R_{d-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
R_{-d+1} & R_{-d+2} & \cdots & R_0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
where \( R = A[z^{-1}] \).

3. In the previous result, if \( s \) and \( t \) are homogeneous regular elements of relatively prime degrees in \( A \), and \( st \) is normal, then \( A[(st)^{-1}] \) has a unit of degree one, so the open complement to the zero locus of \( st \) in \( \text{Proj}_{\text{nc}} A \) is the affine space with coordinate ring \( A[(st)^{-1}]_0 \). This ring is equal to \( A^{(n)}[(st)^{-n}]_0 \), so the open
complement is isomorphic to the open complement to the zero locus of \((st)^n\) in \(\text{Proj}_{nc}A^{(n)}\).

4. If \(\text{Fract}_{gr}A\) fails to contain a copy of \(A(n)\) for all \(n\), the map \(\text{Proj}_{nc}A \to \text{Proj}_{nc}A^{(n)}\) need not be a birational isomorphism. For example, take \(A = k[x]\) with \(\deg x = 2\).

**Example 4.6.** If \(A\) is not generated in degree one, then \(g_*\) need not be faithful.

Let \(A = k[x, z]\) be the polynomial ring with \(\deg x = 1\) and \(\deg z = n \geq 2\). The image under \(\pi\) of \(M = A/(x)\) is a simple module \(\mathcal{O}_p\) in \(\text{Proj}_{nc} A\). We have \(\mathcal{O}_p(1) \neq 0\), but \((M(1))^{(n)} = 0\), so \(g_*(\mathcal{O}_p(1)) = 0\).

One might anticipate that \(g : \text{Proj}_{nc} A \to \text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(n)}\) is an isomorphism on suitable open subspaces: in the previous example, \(g\) restricts to an isomorphism from the complement to the zero locus of \(x\) in \(\text{Proj}_{nc} A\) to the complement to the zero locus of \(x\) in \(\text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(n)}\). We prove a general result of this type in Proposition 4.8. First we need a lemma.

For each integer \(r\), define

\[ A^{(n)+r} := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{nj+r}. \]

Obviously, \(A^{(n)+r}A^{(n)+s} \subset A^{(n)+r+s}\) so each \(A^{(n)+r}\) is an \(A^{(n)}\)-\(A^{(n)}\)-bimodule, and these bimodules depend only on \(r \mod n\). Define

\[ I_r := A^{(n)+r} A = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{nj+r} A \]

and

\[ I := \bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} I_r = I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \cdots \cap I_n. \]

Although \(I_r\) is in general only a right ideal of \(A\), \(I_r^{(n)}\) is a two-sided ideal of \(A^{(n)}\). Since \(A_q I_r \subset I_{q+r}\), \(I\) is a two-sided ideal of \(A\).

Notice that \(A^{(n)+r}A^{(n)+r} = I_r^{(n)}\).

**Lemma 4.7.** With the above notation, \(I^{2n} \subset I^{(n)} A\).

**Proof.** From the containment

\[ I^2 \subset I_r I = A^{(n)+r} A \subset A^{(n)+r} I_{n-r} = A^{(n)+r} A^{(n)+n-r} = I_r A, \]

it follows that

\[ I^{2n} \subset I_1^{(n)} I^{2n-2} \subset I_1^{(n)} I_2^{(n)} I^{2n-4} \subset \cdots \subset I_1^{(n)} \cdots I_n^{(n)} A. \]

But this last term is contained in

\[ (I_1^{(n)} \cap \cdots \cap I_n^{(n)}) A = I^{(n)} A, \]

which completes the proof.

**Proposition 4.8.** With the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1, the map \(g\) restricts to an isomorphism \(g : \text{Proj}_{nc} A \setminus Z' \to \text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(n)} \setminus Z\) where \(Z'\) and \(Z\) are the zero loci of \(I\) and \(I^{(n)}\) respectively.
Lemma 3.1 gives \( \alpha \)
first consider the natural transformation \( h \)
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Because \( f \)
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to $A_{n-r} + A_{2n-r} + \cdots$ for some $r \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then, if $b \in A_{n_j + r}$ for some $j$, then
\[
\left( \sum_i m_i \otimes a_i \right) b = \sum_i m_i \otimes a_i b = \sum_i m_i a_i b \otimes 1 = 0.
\]
Thus $(\sum_i m_i \otimes a_i) I_r = 0$. Hence the kernel is annihilated by $I$, so belongs to $T$. The cokernel is annihilated by $I$, so belongs to $\mathbb{T}$. Hence $I$ annihilates the cokernel.

Since the kernel and cokernel of $f^* f_* M \to M$ is $M/M^{(n)} A$. If $r \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then $M_{n_j - r} I_r \subset M^{(n)} A$. Hence $I$ annihilates the cokernel.

An interesting case to examine in some detail is $X' = X \times X$. We therefore recover Verevkin’s result $X \cong X'$ when $A$ is generated in degree one over $A_0$.

Example 4.9. Let $A$ be a weighted polynomial ring. That is, $A = k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ where $\deg x_i = q_i \geq 1$. Write $Q = (q_0, \ldots, q_n)$. Then $\mathbb{P}^n_Q := \text{Proj} A$ is called a weighted projective space. It is isomorphic to the quotient variety $\mathbb{P}^n/\mu_Q$, where $\mu_Q = \mu_{q_0} \times \cdots \times \mu_{q_n}$. There is a large integer $d$ such that $A^{(d)}$ is generated in degree one. Hence
\[
\mathbb{P}^n_Q = \text{Proj} A = \text{Proj} A^{(d)} \cong \text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(d)}.
\]

By Theorem 4.1, there is a map $g : \text{Proj}_{nc} A \to \text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(d)} \cong \mathbb{P}^n_Q$.

This is an isomorphism on an open subspace by Proposition 4.8. Since $A$ has global homological dimension $n + 1$, $\text{Proj}_{nc} A$ has global homological dimension $n$. We therefore think of $\text{Proj}_{nc} A$ as a smooth space of dimension $n$ and the map $g$ as a non-commutative resolution of $\mathbb{P}^n_Q$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n_Q$ be the closed subscheme cut out by an ideal $J$ in $A$. Then there is a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Proj}_{nc} A/J & \cong & \text{Proj}_{nc} A \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\
X & \cong & \mathbb{P}^n_Q
\end{array}
\]
in which $f$ is a birational isomorphism and $i$ is a closed immersion. It can happen that $\text{Proj}_{nc} A/J$ is smooth even when $X$ is singular. Thus $\text{Proj}_{nc} A$ is a “non-commutative resolution” of $X$. An interesting case to examine in some detail is that where $X$ is an orbifold of a Calabi-Yau three-fold.

If $A = k[x]$ with $\deg x = 2$, and $n = 2$, then $\text{Proj}_{nc} A \cong \text{Spec} k \times k$ and $\text{Proj} A \cong \text{Spec} k$. Furthermore, $Z' = X'$ and $Z = X$. This is a special case of the next result, the truth of which was suggested by Darin Stephenson.
Proposition 4.10. Let $A$ be a locally finite $\mathbb{N}$-graded $k$-algebra such that $A_i = 0$ whenever $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Then $\text{Proj}_{nc} A$ is isomorphic to the disjoint union of $n$ copies of $\text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(n)}$.

Proof. Let $p_r : \text{GrMod} A \to \text{GrMod} A$ be the functor defined by

$$p_r(M) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{r+i}$$

on objects, and $p_r(\theta) = \theta|_{p_r(M)}$ whenever $\theta \in \text{Hom}_{\text{GrMod}}(M, N)$. The hypothesis on $A$ ensures that each $p_r(M)$ is a graded $A$-submodule of $M$, so $p_r$ is indeed a functor from $\text{GrMod} A$ to itself. It is clear that $\text{id}_{\text{GrMod} A} = p_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus p_{n-1}$, where this direct sum is taken in the abelian category of $k$-linear functors from $\text{GrMod} A$ to itself; essentially, this is the observation that $M = p_0(M) \oplus \cdots \oplus p_{n-1}(M)$, and that any map $\theta : M \to N$ of graded $A$-modules respects this decomposition. Furthermore, each $p_r$ is idempotent and the $p_r$-s are mutually orthogonal. It follows from this that there is a decomposition of $\text{GrMod} A$ as a product of categories, each component being the full subcategory on which $p_r$ is the identity.

It is clear that the shift functor (1) cyclicly permutes these subcategories, so they are all equivalent to one another and $(n)$ is an auto-equivalence of each component. However, any one of these categories together with its autoequivalence $(n)$ is equivalent to $\text{GrMod} A^{(n)}$ with its auto-equivalence (1). Thus $\text{GrMod} A$ is equivalent to the product of $n$ copies of $\text{GrMod} A^{(n)}$.

Finally, this decomposition descends to the Tails categories. \hfill \square

5. An Ore extension and an example

The morphism

$$p : \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \{(0, \ldots, 0, 1)\} \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$$

$$(\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_n) \mapsto (\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$$

is called the projection with center $(0, \ldots, 0, 1)$. This section examines a non-commutative analogue of this basic operation.

Consider a connected graded $k$-algebra $R$ and a connected graded Ore extension

$$S = R[t; \sigma, \delta]$$

with respect to a graded automorphism $\sigma$ and a graded $\sigma$-derivation $\delta$ of degree $n \geq 1$. Thus $S = \oplus_{n=0}^\infty R t^n$ and $tr = r^\sigma t + \delta(r)$ for all $r \in R$. Since $\delta(R_i) \subset R_{i+n}$ for all $i$, by setting $\deg t = n$, $S$ becomes a connected graded algebra.

One expects that the inclusion map $R \to S$ induces a map $\text{Proj}_{nc} S \to \text{Proj}_{nc} R$. Indeed, the projection map above can be obtained as a special case of this.

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the augmentation ideal of $R$. Since $\delta(\mathfrak{m}) \subset \mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{m} S$ is a two-sided ideal of $S$. Furthermore, $S/\mathfrak{m} S \cong k[t]$ as graded rings.

Proposition 5.1. With the above notation, let $Z$ denote the zero locus of $\mathfrak{m} S$ in $Y$.

1. $Z \cong \text{Spec } k^{\times n}$.
2. There is an affine map $g : \text{Proj}_{nc} S \setminus Z \to \text{Proj}_{nc} R$.

Proof. (2) The existence of $g$ is a special case of Theorem 3.3. That proposition applies because $S\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m} S$. 
(1) The quotient ring $S/mS$ is isomorphic to the polynomial ring $k[t]$ with $\deg t = n$, so this follows from Proposition 4.10. 

We think of $\text{Proj}_{nc} S$ as a “cone over $\text{Proj}_{nc} R$ with vertex $Z$”. It would be interesting to describe the “fibers” of the map $g$.

When $\deg t > 1$, the Ore extension $S = R[t; \sigma, \delta]$ is not generated by its elements of degree one. This sometimes causes technical problems; however, if $R$ is generated in degree one, then the $n$th Veronese $S^{(n)}$ is generated in degree one. We can then combine Theorems 3.3 and 4.1 to analyze the space with homogeneous coordinate ring $S$ as follows.

**Proposition 5.2.** The inclusion of the $n$-Veronese subalgebras of $S$ and $S/mS$ gives a commutative diagram of rings and an induced commutative diagram of spaces as in the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Spec } k \cong v \quad \leftarrow \quad Z' \cong \text{Spec } k^{\times n} \\
S^{(n)} \quad \leftarrow \quad S \\
R^{(n)} \quad \leftarrow \quad R \\
\text{Proj}_{nc} S^{(n)} \quad \leftarrow \quad \text{Proj}_{nc} S \\
\text{Proj}_{nc} S^{(n)} \{ v \} \quad \leftarrow \quad \text{Proj}_{nc} S \setminus Z' \\
\text{Proj}_{nc} R^{(n)} \quad \leftarrow \quad \text{Proj}_{nc} R
\end{array}
$$

**An application.** In [11], a family of three-dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebras $A$ is constructed and studied. Although the algebraic properties of $A$ are quite well understood, our understanding of the corresponding geometric object $\text{Proj}_{nc} A$ is rudimentary. The algebras are of the form $A = R[t; \sigma, \delta]$ with $\deg t = 2$ and $R$ a two-dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebra generated in degree one. It is well-known that $R$ and its Veronese subalgebras are (not necessarily commutative) homogeneous coordinate rings of $\mathbb{P}^1$. By Proposition 5.2, there is a commutative diagram of spaces and maps

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Spec } k \quad \leftarrow \quad Z' \cong \text{Spec } k^{\times n} \\
\text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(n)} \quad \leftarrow \quad \text{Proj}_{nc} A \\
\text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(n)} \{ v \} \quad \leftarrow \quad \text{Proj}_{nc} A \setminus Z' \\
\mathbb{P}^1 \quad \leftarrow \quad \mathbb{P}^1.
\end{array}
$$
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