Tautomeric photoswitches: anion-assisted azo/azine-to-hydrazone photochromism†
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The photoswitching properties of two easily synthesized isatin 4-nitrophenylhydrazones were investigated. Although the parent isatin 4-nitrophenylhydrazones exhibit low addressability which hampers their photochromic applications, the addition of strongly basic anions to phenylhydrazone solutions creates a new Vis–Vis photochromic system with the unusual azo/azine-to-hydrazone photo-tautomerization process as the photoswitching mechanism. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report related to the anion-assisted azo/azine-to-hydrazone photo-tautomerism.

Introduction

In addition to the traditional performance criteria for photochromic compounds, the ability to isomerize without UV light can be considered a key feature.1,2 About two decades ago, several types of all-visible (Vis) light switches based on E–Z isomerization were reported.3

Complementary to these type photoswitches, hydrazones and acylhydrazones found important application in various areas of supramolecular chemistry in the last few years, particularly as molecular switches, but also metallo-assemblies and colorimetric or fluorescent chemosensors.4–25 Tautomers are interesting for many reasons, technological as well as fundamental (signaling molecules in sensors, polymer-protecting agents, proton-transfer lasers, information storage devices at a molecular level).26–31 Interestingly, capability to establish the azo–hydrazone tautomeric equilibrium led to photochromic oscillators with extremely fast thermal back reaction (T-type photochromic azoderivatives).32–36 The azo–hydrazone tautomerization followed by rotation was also proposed as thermal isomerization mechanism in several groups of hydrazone photoswitches. However, to the best of our knowledge, direct photoswitching between azo and hydrazone tautomers was found only in 1-(cyclopropyl)diazo-2-naphthol.37 Irradiation of its azo-enol form with a narrowband source in the near-UV range generates different rotameric and tautomeric azo-enol and keto-hydrazone forms that can be interconverted at different irradiation wavelengths.

In this paper, we focused on Vis–Vis photoswitching of two isatin 4-nitrophenylhydrazones (Scheme 1). Although parent isomers exhibit only poor photoswitching (photochromic) behaviour, addition of basic anions creates a new Vis–Vis photochromic system with the unusual azo/azine-to-hydrazone photo-tautomerization process as photoswitching mechanism. Moreover, overall concept could be important in progress of photochromic behaviour of other azo–hydrazone tautomeric switches.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

Synthesis of isatin 4-nitrophenylhydrazones (isatin N2-nitrophenylhydrazones) 1 and 2 represents an educational example of kinetic vs. thermodynamic control of a chemical reaction (Scheme 2). Refluxed reaction mixture leads to

Scheme 1 Molecular structure of studied isatin 4-nitrophenylhydrazones 1 and 2.

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra02906k
thermodynamically more stable Z isomer (through its stabilization by intramolecular hydrogen bonding – Table 1), whereas stirring of reaction mixture at room temperature affords the corresponding E isomer as a kinetic product.

Thermodynamically less stable E isomers slowly isomerize at room temperature to corresponding more stable Z isomers \((\tau_{11/2(E)} = 14.9 \, h; \Delta G_{E1}^{1} = 99 \, kJ \, mol^{-1}; \tau_{12/2(E)} = 6.7 \, days; \Delta G_{E2}^{1} = 105 \, kJ \, mol^{-1}; \) determined in DMSO-\(d_6\)), whereas Z isomers are stable at room temperature (ESI Fig. S1†).

**Spectral characteristics**

Z isomers Z1 and Z2 exhibit typical feature of isatin \(N^2\)-phenylhydrazones’ light absorption: the dominant strong Vis light absorption in the blue region (\(~340 \, nm\)) related to \(\pi \rightarrow \pi^*\) electronic transition of their yellow hydrazone (keto) form, combined with the indication of weaker absorption in the yellow/orange region (\(~590 \, nm\)) due to \(\pi \rightarrow \pi^*\) absorption of their blue azo (enol) tautomeric form in highly polar solvents (Fig. S2†). As expected, the relatively strong intramolecular hydrogen bonding in the Z isomers reflects in red-shifted absorption maximum of the Z hydrazone form \((\lambda_{Z1} = 432 \, nm; \lambda_{Z2} = 425 \, nm)\) compared to the corresponding E hydrazone form \((\lambda_{E1} = 420 \, nm; \lambda_{E2} = 403 \, nm; \) all determined in DMF).

The absence of a transient absorption (related to a triplet excited-state or Z-E photoisomerization) in transient absorption spectra of Z1 and Z2 and only weak fluorescence of their solutions \((\Phi_F < 0.05)\) indicate that the \(S_1\) excited state of these isomers deactivates mainly through fast internal conversion related to intramolecular rotation of nitrophenyl ring (Fig. S3†); as it was recently supported by AIE (aggregation-induced emission) of isatin phenylhydrazone BF2 complexes.38

**Photochromic behaviour**

Irradiation of the Z1 isomer at 430 nm in both polar and apolar solvents leads to a gradual small hypsochromic shift in the absorption maximum of the yellow hydrazone form (\(~5-10 \, nm\)) due to photochemical Z-to-E isomerization around the C==N double bond (Fig. S4†); maintaining typically poor addressability (separation of the absorption maximum between the Z and E isomer); with 10% and 40% conversion in the PSS in DMSO-\(d_6\) and CDCl\(_3\), respectively (determined by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy).

The Z2 isomer does not undergo significant visible UV-Vis and/or \(^1\)H NMR spectral change related to the photochemical Z-to-E isomerization in both polar and apolar solvents (Fig. S5†). This behavior hampers the photochromic application of the both isomers.

However, addition of 1 equivalent of strongly basic \(F^-\) anion to solution of the Z isomers in DMSO-\(d_6\) leads to significant increase in the blue azo form absorption at \(~590 \, nm\), accompanied by simultaneous decrease in the yellow hydrazone form absorption at \(~430 \, nm\), and creates a new Vis–Vis photochromic system (Fig. 1, 2, S6 and S7†).

The photochromic efficiency of these systems was studied using UV-Vis and \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy. Interestingly, the excitation of the newly-formed azo forms of 1 and 2 with light of 590 nm effectively induces rapid azo-to-hydrazone photoconversion and results in almost complete photoconversion (>95% hydrazone in PSS). The quantum yield of the photoconversion was determined to be 9.5 ± 0.5% for Z1/\(F^-\) and 23.6 ± 1.1% for Z2/\(F^-\) photochromic system, respectively (Table 2). This process is accompanied by a significant solution colour change from blue to yellow. Subsequent irradiation of yellow hydrazone form with light of 470 nm wavelength (or 405 nm) leads to disappearance of its absorption band, while a previous absorption band of blue azo form grows in. Solution colour thus effectively returns to blue. The irradiation yields a PSS\(_i\) consisting of initial 49% azo form (51% hydrazone form) in the Z1/\(F^-\) and of initial 30% azo form (70% hydrazone form) in the Z2/\(F^-\) photochromic system (Table 2; Fig. 3 and 4).

Although the photoconversion of the both hydrazone forms is far from ideal (complete) and has quite poor efficiency (low photochemical quantum yield; \(\Phi_{FI-A}\) – Table 2), the both photochromic systems exhibit excellent addressability and switching amplitude in absorbance (absorbance change). The composition of the PSS\(_i\) in DMSO-\(d_6\) is thermally not stable and the amount of azo form slightly decreases to 70% of the initial value in the Z1/\(F^-\) photochromic system \((k \sim 1 \times 10^{-2} \, min^{-1}; \tau_{1/2}=70 \, min; \) Fig. S8†). The PSS\(_i\) composition is approximately 10-fold stable and the amount of azo form slowly increases to its equilibrium value in 760 min \((k \sim 9 \times 10^{-4} \, min^{-1}; \tau_{1/2}=750 \, min; \) Fig. S9†).

For a sustainable application as a molecular photoswitch, a compound must have low photochemical fatigue to allow for large number of switching cycles. The overall process related to

---

**Table 1** Calculated relative Gibbs free energies of E and Z isomers of isatin 4-nitrophenylhydrazones 1 and 2 at the M062x 6-31+g(dp) level (\(T = 298.15 \, K; \) vacuum)

|       | \(\Delta G\) [kJ mol\(^{-1}\)] |
|-------|-----------------------------|
| \(E1\) | 26.8                        |
| \(Z1\) | 0                           |
| \(E2\) | 28.8                        |
| \(Z2\) | 0                           |
the mutual yellow hydrazone and blue azo form transformation of Z1/F− and Z2/F− is reversible and switching cycles can be repeated several times in both directions, with only slight sign of photodegradation in Z1/F− photochromic system (Fig. 2 or S7†). In contrast to Z1/F−, the Z2/F− photoswitching cycles can be repeated multiple times (up to 40 cycles were tried) without any marked signs of fatigue and with clear isosbestic point at approximately 480 nm (Fig. S7†). Because only Z1 isomer undergoes Z-to-E photoisomerization, we assume that the sign of photodegradation in Z1/F− photochromic system results from the Z1-to-E1 photoprocess.

Bidirectional photoswitching between the hydrazone and the azo form is possible without using UV light and the Z1/F− and Z2/F− photochromic systems therefore can be clearly classified as Vis–Vis photoswitches, contrary to most of the common classes of photochromic compounds (with UV-Vis photochromic character).

Transient absorption spectra of the yellow hydrazone and the blue azo form generated in a nanosecond flash photolysis experiments exhibit two transient signals related to ground state depletion of the one form and corresponding production of the second form; confirming thus their photoswitching behavior (Fig. S10 and S11†). The absence of an additional

Table 2 Photochemical parameters of the studied photochromic systems Z1/F− and Z2/F− measured in DMSO-d6 solution (T = 298.15 K)*

| Photochromic system | Azo–hydrazo PSS (%) azo | Hydrazo–azo PSS (%) azo | ΦA→H (%) | ΦH→A (%) |
|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Z1/F−               | 98                       | 49                       | 9.5 ± 0.5 | 0.19 ± 0.04 |
| Z2/F−               | 96                       | 30                       | 23.6 ± 1.1 | 0.11 ± 0.02 |

* ΦA→H – photochemical quantum yield of blue azo form transformation to yellow hydrazone form; ΦH→A – photochemical quantum yield of yellow hydrazone form transformation to blue azo form.
triplet–triplet absorption signal in these spectra excludes the mutual azo-to-hydrazone photoconversion through the triplet excited states and simultaneously indicates that the reversible photoswitching occurs from the lowest excited singlet states S1 of hydrazone and azo forms.

The azo-to-hydrazone photoswitching behaviour of the Z1/F− and Z2/F− systems can be also observed in apolar CDCl3 (CHCl3) or benzene, although the switching amplitude in absorbance reaches its reasonable value only at approximately 1000 equivalents of TBAF− (Fig. S12–S14†). Thermal stability of the blue azo form of Z1/F− system in CDCl3 is practically the same as in DMSO-d6 (k ~ 1.1 × 10−2 min−1; t1/2 = 60 min). Similar photochromic behaviour can be observed also for Z2/F− in benzene (Fig. S14†). In contrast to benzene, competitive photodegradation pathways were observed for the Z2/F− in CDCl3, to a lesser extent in CHCl3 (Fig. S15†). We assume that the light initiated radical reactions could be responsible for this fast photodegradation of the Z2/F− photochromic system. However, the detailed photodegradation mechanism needs deeper investigation.

The photoswitching behaviour can be also observed in presence of other strongly basic anions, for example TBA′CH3-COO− (Fig. S16†). Interestingly, facile photochromic behavior through a large anion concentration region can be observed in the presence of less basic Cl− anion, although the amplitude of photoswitching (absorbance changes during the photoswitching cycle) decreases compared to the Z/F− photochromic system (Fig. S17 and S18†). This behavior clearly indicates the crucial role of an anion basicity in stabilization of the blue form.

It should be noted here that the azo–hydrazone (keto–enol) photochromic behaviour of Z1/F− and Z2/F− can be observed only in aprotic solvents like DMF and DMSO, but not in MeCN or MeCN/H2O mixture, because the protic solvents shifts the azo–hydrazone tautomeric equilibrium significantly to the hydrazone form side. Interestingly, addition of strongly basic F− anion to solution of the E hydrazone isomers leads to the same blue azo form as its addition to solutions of Z hydrazone isomers (Fig. S19 and S20†). Subsequent photoswitching behaviour then unexpectedly results from the mutual photochemical transformation of the above mentioned blue azo form and the Z hydrazone isomers (Fig. S21–S23†).

**Photoswitching mechanism**

The initial Z and E hydrazone isomers should afford different azo-enol (azo-enolate) conformers after basic anion addition. The Z isomer should lead to E-azo-enol (E-azo-enolate) conformer 1, whereas E-isomer should offer corresponding E-azo-enol (E-azo-enolate) conformer 2 (Scheme 3).

However, the final 1H NMR spectra of E and Z isomers in DMSO-d6 after TBAF− addition are identical (Fig. S19–S22†), although relatively slow conformational change of conformer 1 to conformer 2 is evident (Fig. S24–S27†). Only characteristic doublet signal at 8.35 ppm from isatin moiety related to E-azo-enol (E-azo-enolate) conformer 2 can be recorded in 1H NMR spectra of the both Z1 and Z2 solutions several minutes after TBAF− addition (Fig. 8 in ref. 39; signal of hydrogen “d” in Fig. S19 and S20†). Gradual hypsochromic shift of the Z1 and Z2 absorption maxima after TBAF− addition also supports the equilibrium between the conformers Fig. S28.†

As indicated, the addition of F− to initial Z hydrazone form (A) can stabilize blue azo form through hydrogen bonding (B) or can lead to deprotonation (azo anion C formation) with very similar UV-Vis spectrum (Scheme 4). Moreover, because of practically identical electron density (and thus shielding of protons) in B and C, also 1H NMR spectra of both forms B and C are almost identical. However, F− anion excess (>2 equiv.) resulting to phenylhydrazone deprotonation is accompanied by formation of stable HF2− anion with characteristic triplet at approximately 16.5 ppm with interaction constant of 120 Hz (Fig. S29†).

Interestingly, the 590 nm light irradiation of blue anionic form C in presence of significant F− anion excess (~10 equiv.) does not lead to previously observed photoswitching. Although this behaviour indicates photoswitching between hydrazone A and azo B forms, unchanged UV-Vis spectrum of C form at 590 nm irradiation could still result from fast back thermal reaction of anionic hydrazone form D. Such extremely fast back thermal reaction (isomerization) in a microsecond to a second timescale is commonly known in push–pull azobenzenes and was recently found also in push–pull azopyrimidines.† However, absence of a fast signal decay in ultrafast UV-Vis kinetics measurement after 590 nm excitation (Fig. S30†) – together with an absence of ground state depletion signal at 590 nm in transient absorption spectrum of C (Fig. S10 and S11†) – exclude this fast back thermal reaction. Furthermore, addition of an acid (few drops of H2O, MeOH or CF3COOH) in the presence of the significant F− anion excess regenerates the photoswitching behaviour of the whole system. Therefore, we

![Scheme 3](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9RA01453A)
assume that the photochromic behavior of \( Z_1/F^- \) and \( Z_2/F^- \) systems results from mutual hydrazone (keto) \( E \) and azo (enol) \( B \) form photoconversion rather than from photoswitching between the corresponding hydrazone (keto) \( D \) and azo (enolate) \( C \) anions (Scheme 4 and Fig. 5). However, the fast photoswitching of blue azo \( B \) form to yellow hydrazone (keto) \( E \) form and the presence of one isosbestic point indicate at least partial double-bond character of the C3(isatin)–N bond in the blue \( B \) form. Because structure of the blue \( B \) form can also be represented by the azine resonance form \( B' \) (Scheme 4), the photoswitching behaviour of \( Z_1/\text{anion} \) and \( Z_2/\text{anion} \) photochromic systems can be classified as an unusual azo/azine-to-hydrazone photo-tautomerization process. As expected, excess of the acid leads to yellow neutral hydrazone form (without hydrogen bonding to \( F^- \)), which does not photoswitch to corresponding blue neutral azo form.

**Polymer matrix**

Interestingly, the photochemical conversion of the yellow hydrazone form is significantly suppressed in poly(propylene carbonate) polymer matrix and prepared thin polymer film of the \( Z_1/F^- \) system exhibits T-type photochromic properties (Fig. 6 and S31†). On the contrary to apolar solvents, the blue azo/azine form is thermodynamically more stable in the polycarbonate polymer matrix and the photochemically induced
yellow polymer film (hydrazone form) returns thermally to its initial blue form with lifetime of 1.4 h at room temperature ($\Delta G_{TS, A}=95$ kJ mol$^{-1}=22.7$ kcal mol$^{-1}$; Fig. 7 and S32†). As expected, the overall process is again reversible and switching cycles can be repeated.

**Conclusions**

This paper examined the photochemical (photochromic) properties of two novel two-component photochromic systems based on the isatin 4-nitrophenylhydrazones and corresponding basic anions. The photoswitching behaviour of $Z_1$/anion and $Z_2$/anion photochromic systems can be classified as an unusual hydrazone-to-azo/azine photo-tautomerization process. Although the strongly basic $F^–/C_0$ anion with the ability of stronger hydrogen bonding stabilizes the blue azo/azine form more efficiently, its excess results in complete blocking of photoswitching behavior. The appropriate basicity of the anion, together with the suitable counter anion partner selection, will therefore play a crucial role in optimization of the photoswitching behaviour of these systems in a concrete matrix (polymer, polyelectrolyte, etc.). The necessity of optimal hydrazone/anion ratio and optimal anion selection is therefore the main disadvantage of these photochromic systems. The role of the corresponding cation remains unknown yet.
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