A Dark Matter Relic from Muon Anomalies
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We show that the recently reported anomalies in $b \to s \mu^+ \mu^-$ transitions, as well as the long-standing $g_\mu - 2$ discrepancy, can be addressed simultaneously by a new massive abelian gauge boson with loop-induced coupling to muons. Such a scenario typically leads to a stable dark matter candidate with a thermal relic density close to the observed value. Dark matter in our model couples dominantly to leptons, hence signals in direct detection experiments lie well below the current sensitivity. The LHC, in combination with indirect detection searches, can test this scenario through distinctive signatures with muon pairs and missing energy.

I. INTRODUCTION

The lack of an acceptable Dark Matter (DM) candidate within the Standard Model (SM) is a pressing phenomenological motivation for the existence of new physics (NP) beyond the SM. Dark Matter may very well be close to the weak scale, emerging from theories addressing the electroweak (EW) hierarchy problem of the SM. However, these theories generally predict a variety of new phenomena and particles around the TeV scale, whose existence still remains to be established experimentally. Despite the lack of a NP discovery, a few measurements are in mild tensions with SM predictions. In particular, there is a growing array of anomalies involving muons [1–4][5–7]. Moreover, DM searches at direct detection experiments strongly limit its interactions with quarks (see e.g. REF. [5]), suggesting that DM around the EW scale might preferentially couple to leptons. In this paper, we thus take a data-driven approach, entertaining the possibility that the observed muon-related anomalies are the first signals of leptophilic DM at the EW scale.

The list of anomalies involving muons starts with the long-standing puzzle of the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon, $a_\mu \equiv (g_\mu - 2)/2$. The BNL measurement [1] exceeds the SM prediction by about 3 standard deviations [6],

$$\Delta a_\mu \equiv a_\mu^{\exp} - a_\mu^{\text{SM}} = (287 \pm 80) \times 10^{-11}.$$  

(1)

More recently, the LHCb experiment reported on a series of anomalies in (semi-) lepton $B$ meson decays, which together point to a possible new source of $b \to s \mu^+ \mu^-$ transitions at short distances. The perhaps most tantalizing deviation occurs in the ratio $R_K = \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)/\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ e^+ e^-)$, which was observed about 2.6 $\sigma$ below the theoretically clean SM prediction, $R_K^{\text{SM}} \sim 1 \times 10^{-4}$ [4].

$$R_K^{\exp} = 0.745^{+0.090}_{-0.074} \text{(stat)} \pm 0.036 \text{(syst)}.$$  

(2)

Furthermore, the measured decay rate for $B^0 \to K^{\ast \pm} \mu^+ \mu^-$ was found to exceed the SM prediction in a particular region of phase space [2], a result supported by the latest LHCb data [3]. The observed decay rate for $B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ is slightly below, but compatible with the SM prediction [7]. While none of these LHCb anomalies by themselves are significant enough to claim a discovery, it is intriguing that they point to a common Lorentz structure when interpreted as a signal of NP [8–12]. A simultaneous explanation of $\Delta a_\mu$, however, requires more sophisticated model building. In this paper, we propose a simple toy model, which addresses both the $g_\mu - 2$ and the various LHCb anomalies.

Most NP interpretations of the $b \to s \mu^+ \mu^-$ anomalies postulate the existence of a new state in the range of $\Lambda \sim 1 - 10$ TeV with tree-level couplings to muons and quarks, for instance a $Z'$ gauge boson [8–13] or a scalar leptoquark [14–18]. The same interactions typically contribute to $g_\mu - 2$ at the one-loop level, but yield too small a contribution to explain the discrepancy in Eq. (1), due to the suppression by the high scale, $a_\mu \propto m_\mu^2/\Lambda^2$ [9].

A way out is to generate the coupling of $Z'$ to muon pairs only radiatively, so that contributions to $b \to s \mu^+ \mu^-$ transitions and $g_\mu - 2$ are both induced at the one-loop level by NP around the EW scale. As we argue in this work, this requires a richer NP sector with an electrically neutral state, which is stable if the tree-level $Z'\mu^+ \mu^-$ coupling is forbidden by a (spontaneously broken) symmetry. Hence, addressing the aforementioned muon-related anomalies generally yields a DM candidate, which, by construction, is mostly leptophilic. The same NP interactions dominate DM annihilation in the early universe. We will demonstrate that a minimal model with the above properties typically leads to a stable DM candidate with a thermal relic density of the order of the observed value.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. We introduce our phenomenological model in SEC. II and identify the parameter space to simultaneously accommodate the $g_\mu - 2$ and $b \to s \mu^+ \mu^-$ anomalies in SEC. III. We then discuss the implications of collider constraints in SEC. IV. The resulting DM phenomenology is analysed in SEC. V and SEC. VI. We conclude and give an outlook on future experimental tests of our model in SEC. VII.


II. A MINIMAL MODEL

We consider the extension of the SM by a new (dark) sector, consisting of heavy leptons \( L, L^c \) and quarks \( Q, Q^c \) with vector-like gauge couplings, as well as two complex scalars, \( \phi \) and \( \chi \). Interactions with the SM are mediated by a new gauge boson \( Z' \) associated with an abelian \( U(1)_X \) symmetry, under which only the particles of the dark sector are charged. The quantum numbers of all new particles are listed in TAB. I [58]. Since no chiral fermion carries \( U(1)_X \) charge, the model is anomaly free [14]. Notice that our choice of \( U(1)_X \) charges forbids tree-level \( Z' \) couplings with SM leptons. This is crucial in order to simultaneously address the dark lepton mass

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{TABLE I: New fields and their quantum numbers. All SM fields}\ &\text{are neutral under } U(1)_X. \\
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{spin} & \text{SU(3)_c} & \text{SU(2)_L} & \text{U(1)_Y} & U(1)_X \\
\hline
L, L^c & 1/2 & 1 & 2 & -1/2 & 1 \\
Q, Q^c & 1/2 & 3 & 2 & 1/6 & -2 \\
\phi & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\
\chi & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

ensures that the lightest dark-sector state is a spinless SM-singlet DM candidate.

We now turn to the new interactions in Eq. (3). The first term describes kinetic mixing between the hypercharge \( U(1)_Y \) and the dark \( U(1)_X \) field tensors, which is strongly constrained by electroweak precision measurements [20] and collider searches [21]. The second term, the scalar Higgs portal, is bounded by direct detection and collider searches [22]. Since both interactions are not relevant to our discussion, we set \( \epsilon = \lambda_X H = 0 \) [60].

The last two terms mediate interactions between the dark sector and the SM. The \( Z' \) couples with strength \( q' \) to the current \( j^\rho = j_0^\rho + \delta j^\rho \), where \( j_0^\rho \) is the tree-level \( U(1)_X \) current and

\[
\delta j^\rho = \sum_{f,f'} \frac{\Gamma_{f f'}(q^2)}{1 + \delta f f'} (f_L \gamma^\rho f_L') + \text{h.c.} \quad (7)
\]

is the part of the current induced after \( U(1)_X \) breaking. Here \( q^2 \) is the squared \( Z' \) momentum, \( f, f' = \ell, \nu, u, d \), and \( \delta f f' = 1 \) for \( f = f' \) and zero otherwise. SM quarks mix with dark quarks \( Q \) after \( U(1)_X \) breaking, while SM leptons and dark leptons \( L \) do not mix due to the different charge assignments under \( U(1)_X \) (see TAB. I). The \( Z' \) coupling to SM quarks thus arises at tree level through the mixing \( w(q Q)(\phi) + \text{h.c.} \). It is \( q^2 \)-independent and isospin universal at leading order. In contrast, the \( Z' \) coupling to leptons is radiatively induced at the one-loop level through the exchange of dark-sector fields via the interaction \( y(\bar{L}L)(\phi) + \text{h.c.} \), see FIG. I. In the limit \( m_{L\nu_L} \ll m_{\chi, L} \), which we envisage here, the lepton form factors satisfy \( \Gamma_{\ell\ell}(q^2) \approx \Gamma_{\ell\nu\nu}(q^2) \), since the isospin components of \( L = (L^0, L^-) \) are mass-degenerate at leading order. Calculating the one-loop diagrams of FIG. I in the limit \( q^2 = 0 \) yields

\[
\Gamma_{\ell\ell}(0) = \frac{|y|^2}{32\pi^2} F_{Z'}(\tau, \delta), \quad (8)
\]

where \( \tau = m_L^2/m_{\chi_0}^2 \) and the loop function \( F_{Z'} \) is given in Eq. (A2).

Notice that \( F_{Z'}(\tau, \delta) \) vanishes in the limit of unbroken \( U(1)_X \), \( \delta \to 0 \), where scalar and pseudo-scalar components are degenerate. This stems from the fact that the form factor \( \Gamma_{\ell\ell}(0) \) formally arises from the local operator \( (\phi^* D_\mu \phi)(\ell_L \gamma^\mu \ell_L) + \text{h.c.} \) after \( U(1)_X \) breaking, induced by the one-loop diagram in FIG. I with two insertions of the vev \( \langle \phi \rangle \).
As of the flavor structure of $U(1)_X$ interactions, we follow a phenomenological approach and introduce only interactions that are required to explain the observed anomalies. We thus assume that the dark sector only couples to the left-handed fermions $\nu_L, L_L$ and $b_L s_L + \bar{s}_L b_L$. The magnitude of the $Z' b_L s_L$ coupling is experimentally constrained from $B_s$ meson mixing. Allowing for a NP contribution of $\mathcal{O}(10\%)$ to the mass difference $\Delta M_{B_s}$ leads to the bound $[9, 23][61]$ 

$$|\Gamma_{bs}| \lesssim 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \left( \frac{m_{Z'}/g'}{300 \text{ GeV}} \right).$$

We do not address here the origin of these peculiar flavor structures in the lepton and quark sectors. We acknowledge, however, that explicit flavor completions of this model could lead to correlated effects in other meson-particle and leptonic observables $[14, 24,27]$. 

### III. EXPLAINING MUON-RELATED ANOMALIES

New contributions to the muon anomalous magnetic moment are induced at the one-loop level through the diagram in FIG. 2 yielding

$$\Delta a^\mu_{\text{NP}} = \frac{|y|^2 m_{\mu}^2 F_g(\tau)}{32\pi^2 m_{\chi_0}^2} F_3(\tau) \left[ 1 + A(\tau, \delta) \right],$$

with $m_{\mu}$ the muon mass and $A(\tau, \delta) \equiv F_3(\tau/(1+\delta))F_2(\tau)/F_2(\tau)$. The loop function $F_g$ is given in Eq. [A1]. For a degenerate dark spectrum, we have $F_g(1) = 1/12$ and $A(1,0) = 1$, so that the discrepancy $\Delta a^\mu_\text{NP}$ in Eq. (1) is accommodated for $m_{\chi_0} \simeq 45|y|/\text{GeV}$. As we will see, the LHCb anomalies typically require a significant scalar versus pseudo-scalar mass splitting $\delta \gg 1$, with a dark-lepton versus DM mass splitting roughly in the interval $\tau \in [1,\delta]$. Eq. (1) is then accommodated for $m_{\chi_0} \simeq 32|y|/(1+2/\delta) \text{ GeV} (\tau \simeq 1)$ or $m_{\chi_0} \simeq 55|y|/\sqrt{\delta} \text{ GeV} (\tau \simeq \delta \gg 1)$. (See APP. A for details.)

Consider now the $b \to s \mu^+ \mu^-$ anomalies. At the $b$–quark mass scale, the NP amplitude is described by the effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^\text{NP} = -\frac{\alpha G_F}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} V_{tb} V^*_{ts} \sum_i C_i \mathcal{O}_i + \text{h.c.},$$

where $\alpha$ and $G_F$ are, respectively, the fine-structure and Fermi constants, $V_{ij}$ are CKM matrix elements, and the sum runs over the operators ($\ell = e, \mu$)

$$C'_9 = -C'_3 \simeq -0.5$$

and negligible electron coefficients $C'_9, 10 \simeq 0 [62]$. In our model, $C'_9, 10$ are induced at the one-loop level through the diagram in FIG. 3 which gives

$$C'_9 = -C'_3 = -\frac{g^2}{4m_{Z'}^2} A^2_{S_M} |V_{tb} V^*_{ts}| \frac{\Gamma_{bs}\mu\nu}{4m_{Z'}^2},$$

where $\Lambda_{SM} = [2\sqrt{2}\pi/(\alpha G_F V_{tb} V^*_{ts})]^{1/2} \simeq 50 \text{ TeV}$ is the scale of the SM contributions. Accommodating the $b \to s \mu^+ \mu^-$ anomalies as in Eq. (14), while respecting the $\Delta M_{B_s}$ bound from Eq. (9), yields a lower bound on the muon form factor,

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}(m_{\phi}^2) \gtrsim 0.029 \left( \frac{m_{Z'}/g'}{300 \text{ GeV}} \right).$$
Since the $Z'$ coupling to muons is small, cf. Eq. (8), this bound is fulfilled only for a light $Z'$ boson, as well as a large mass splitting $\delta$. In a spectrum with moderate tuning, $\delta \lesssim 10$, this leads to

$$m_{Z'} \lesssim 110 - 270 \text{GeV} \left( \frac{g'}{3} \right) \left( \frac{|y|}{3} \right)^2,$$

(17)

where the two mass values correspond to $\tau \simeq \delta$ and $\tau \sim 1$, respectively. For $m_{Z'}$ around the weak scale, LHCb anomalies thus require rather large couplings $g'$ and $g''$, while a perturbative upper bound of $m_{Z'} \lesssim 8.5 \text{TeV}$ ($\tau \simeq \delta$) or $m_{Z'} \lesssim 20 \text{TeV}$ ($\tau \simeq 1$) applies for $g' = g = 4\pi$. We discuss collider constraints on such a $Z'$ in SEC. IV. To summarize, assuming perturbative couplings $g' \simeq 3$ and a mild tuning of the dark spectrum, $\delta \simeq 10$, both the $g_{\mu} - 2$ and LHCb anomalies are accommodated for parameters values interpolating between the two limiting cases

1) $\tau \simeq \delta$, $|y| \simeq 6$, $g' \simeq 3$, $\delta \simeq 10$, $m_{\chi_0} \simeq 100 \text{GeV}$, $m_{Z'} \simeq 300 \text{GeV}$,

2) $\tau \simeq 1$, $|y| \simeq 2$, $g' \simeq 3$, $\delta \simeq 10$, $m_{\chi_0} \simeq 70 \text{GeV}$, $m_{Z'} \simeq 150 \text{GeV}$.

(18)

(19)

IV. COLLIDER CONSTRAINTS

We now analyse the relevant constraints on our model from EW precision measurements at the LEP experiments, as well as from the first LHC run. First of all, our model implies sizeable radiative corrections to the $Z\mu\mu$, $Z\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $W^+\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu$ couplings from the one-loop diagram in FIG. 2. The EW gauge couplings are shifted by $(V = W, Z)$

$$\frac{\delta g}{g_{\text{SM}}} \equiv \frac{|y|^2}{32\pi^2} F_V(\tau, r_q),$$

(20)

where the one-loop function $F_V$ is found in Eq. (A4) and $r_q \equiv q^2/m_{Zq}^2$. The vertex correction is $m_{\chi_0}^2/m_{Zq}^2$ suppressed at the $V$ pole, $q^2 = m_{Zq}^2$. While the QED part of the couplings at zero momentum is protected by gauge invariance, the weak isospin part is not corrected at one-loop level, since the SM Higgs doublet does not directly couple to the dark sector [63]. Despite this parametric suppression, the lightness of the dark-sector states, $m_{\chi_0} \simeq 100 \text{GeV}$ and $m_L \simeq 100 - 400 \text{GeV}$, together with a relatively large Yukawa coupling, $y \gtrsim 2$, typically shifts the SM gauge couplings by one to a few permil, which is in mild tension ($\sim 1 - 3\sigma$) with LEP data [6]. This tension may be relieved in a more sophisticated version of the minimal model considered here.

Our model also predicts a series of signatures at hadron colliders, most notably muon pair production through a resonant $Z'$, as well as signals of large missing energy with muon pairs and/or jets. Current LHC limits on a $Z'$ resonance with SM-like couplings to fermions are around $m_{Z'} \gtrsim 3 \text{TeV}$ [29, 30]. However, $Z'$ production in our model only occurs through sea-quark $(b\bar{s} + s\bar{b})$ annihilations and is thus strongly suppressed. On the other hand, the $Z'$ dominantly decays into muon pairs and neutrinos. Given the conditions Eqs. (9) and (16) on the $Z'$ couplings to SM fermions and for $Z'$ masses that accommodate the LHCb anomalies as in Eq. (17), the branching ratios to leptons are both $\sim 40 - 50\%$, depending on the value of the Yukawa coupling $|y|$. We thus find the cross section for $Z'$ production with decay into $\mu^+\mu^-$ to be of $O(1 \text{fb})$, which is an order of magnitude below current limits at the 8 TeV LHC. The next-to-leading $Z'$ branching ratio is $\mu^+\mu^-\chi_0\chi_0$, ranging from 2 to 10% for large Yukawa couplings. Along the same lines, mono-jet signatures from the direct production of a DM pair in association with a hard jet from initial state radiation (ISR) lie at least one order of magnitude below the current LHC sensitivity [31, 32].

The EW production of $L^+L^-$ pairs leads to a signature with di-muons and missing energy, which resembles the one used in searches for smuons, the supersymmetric partners of the muon. The only difference with our signal lies in the spin of the produced particles. However, it was shown in REF. [33] that results for slepton searches in simplified models could safely be applied to the production of fermion pairs decaying into a fermion and a scalar. We use Smodels [34], a tool designed to decompose the signal of any NP model into simplified topologies, and compare the predictions to the exclusion limits set by the ATLAS and CMS slepton searches [35, 36]. We find that the 8 TeV LHC sets strong constraints on the mass of $L^-$, even stronger than for smuons, because $L^+L^-$ pair production cross sections are significantly larger. Deep-lepton masses $m_{L} \lesssim 450 \text{GeV}$ are excluded, except if the mass splitting with the DM is sufficiently small, $m_L - m_{\chi_0} \lesssim 60 \text{GeV}$. In this region, the di-muon signal is overwhelmed with SM background. Similar searches at LEP2 lead to the lower bound of $m_L \gtrsim 100 \text{GeV}$ [37].

V. DARK MATTER RELIC ABUNDANCE

The DM candidate in our model is the lightest component of the scalar $\chi$, which we assume to be $\chi_0$. It is largely leptophilic, as follows from the charge assignments in TAB. 1. For a spectrum as in Eq. (6), DM annihilation proceeds dominantly into $\mu^+\mu^-$ and $\nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu$ through $t$-channel exchange of $L^-$ and $L^0$, respectively, as shown in FIG. 4. Coannihilation processes with the other dark states are negligible for $\tau \simeq \delta \gg 1$, while annihilation into $Z'$ pairs is negligible as long as $m_{Z'} \gtrsim m_{\chi_0}$. The resulting annihilation cross section is $d$-wave suppressed in the chiral limit, $\sigma_{\bar{\nu}v} \sim v^3$ [38]. Adding up final-state muons and neutrinos, the thermal average is [65]

$$\langle \sigma_{\bar{\nu}v} \rangle = \frac{a_d}{x^2 m_{\chi_0}^2} + O(x^{-3}), \quad a_d \equiv \frac{|y|^4}{2\pi(1 + \tau)^3},$$

(21)
where $v$ is the relative DM-DM velocity, and $x \equiv m_{\chi_0}/T$, the DM mass-to-temperature ratio. For the parameter set in Eq. (18), $a_d \approx 0.02$ is a typical value. In the freeze-out approximation [39], the relic density is

$$\Omega^\mu h^2 \approx 8.5 \times 10^{-11} \left( \frac{3\alpha^3 m_{\chi_0}^2}{a_d \sqrt{g_*} \text{GeV}^2} \right) \times \left[ 1 + R_f \right]^{-1},$$

where $g_* \approx 80$ is the effective number of relativistic degrees of freedom at $T_f \approx 1 - 10$ GeV and

$$R_f \approx 2.6 \times 10^{-18} \left( \frac{\sqrt{g_*} m_{\chi_0}}{a_d \text{GeV}} \right) \frac{1}{x_f^{3/2}} \exp(x_f)$$

accounts for the reduced DM abundance at freeze-out. Since DM annihilation $(\sigma:\nu \nu) \propto x^{-2}$ is $d$-wave suppressed during freeze-out, DM decoupling is slightly delayed, which results in a larger (power of) $x_f \approx 25$ in Eq. (23) compared to $s$- or $p$-wave annihilation. In a scenario as in Eq. (18), $R_f \approx O(1)$ is a significant correction.

Without this correction and for fixed mass splittings $\tau$ and $\delta$, the relic density scales as $\Omega^\mu h^2 \propto m_{\chi_0}^2/|y|^4$, i.e. like $(\Delta a_\mu \times C^\mu_9)^{-1}$, in our model. Using Eqs. (10) and (15), Eq. (22) reads ($R_f = 0$)

$$\Omega^\mu h^2 \approx 0.01 \times I(\tau, \delta) \left( \frac{x_f}{25} \right)^3 \left( 100 \text{ GeV} / m_{Z'} / |y'| \right) \times \left( \frac{287 \times 10^{-11}}{\Delta a_\mu} \right) \left( \frac{0.5}{C_9} \right),$$

where $I(\delta, \tau) \equiv F_\delta(\tau) \left[ 1 + A(\tau, \delta) F_{Z'}(\tau, \delta)(1 + \tau)^4 \right]$. Assuming parameter values of Eq. (18) (with $\tau \gg 1$) in order to accommodate the muon anomalies yields $I(\tau, \delta) \approx 20 - 40$. Recalling that $R_f \sim O(1)$, this gives a relic abundance close to the observed value from Planck data [40]

$$\Omega^{\mu\text{obs}} h^2 = 0.1199 \pm 0.0022.$$  

However, for parameter values of Eq. (19) (with $\tau \approx 1$), $I(\tau, \delta) \approx O(1)$ and the resulting relic density is typically a factor of $5 - 10$ smaller than observed. We stress that the $d$-wave suppression of scalar DM annihilation into lepton pairs through a heavy fermion mediator plays an important role in the above prediction. Had DM annihilation been $p$-wave ($s$-wave) dominated, the same parameter space would have predicted a relic density smaller by a factor of about ten (hundred).

Since the two-body process in Eq. (21) is strongly suppressed [66], parametrically sub-dominant annihilation channels can become relevant. The leading such contribution is three-body annihilation $\chi_0\chi_0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ with an extra photon in the final state. Virtual internal Bremsstrahlung (VIB) from the dark lepton $L^+$ lifts the kinematic suppression, so that annihilation proceeds through an $s$-wave [33] [41]. The thermally averaged cross section for this process is [42]

$$\langle \sigma_{\mu \bar{\nu} \gamma} v \rangle = \frac{\alpha}{32\pi^2} \frac{|y|^4}{m_{\chi_0}^2} F_\gamma(\tau),$$

with the function $F_\gamma$ defined in Eq. (A6). Following REF. [42], we find that VIB suppresses the predicted relic density in Eq. (22) by a factor $R_{\gamma} \equiv \Omega_{\chi_0}^\mu / \Omega_{\chi_0}^{\mu\text{obs}} < 1$, which in the chiral limit and at zero relative DM velocity $v = 0$ approximates [67]

$$R_{\gamma}^{-1} \approx 1 + \frac{3\alpha}{16\pi} x_f^2 (1 + \tau)^4 F_\gamma(\tau) .$$

The function $(1 + \tau)^4 F_\gamma(\tau)$ strongly decreases with $\tau$, yielding to suppression factor of $R_{\gamma} \approx 0.5 \, (0.9)$ for $\tau \approx 1$ ($\tau \gg 1$). Therefore, VIB is a significant contribution only in particular regions of parameter space, where coannihilation in addition strongly depletes the relic density.

In order to assess the robustness of the prediction for the relic density in Eq. (24) more quantitatively, we broadly scan around the parameter sets in Eqs. (18) and (19) that accommodate the muon anomalies, varying the parameters in the following ranges

$$50 \leq m_{\chi_0} \leq 450 \text{ GeV} , \quad 200 \leq m_{Z'} \leq 1000 \text{ GeV} , \quad 1 \leq \tau \leq 20 , \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq 20 , \quad 1 \leq |y| \leq 4\pi , \quad 1 \leq |y'| \leq 5.$$  

We restrict the tuning among the scalar components to maximally 10%.[4] Furthermore, we impose the condition that the $g_B - 2$ and the LHCb anomalies quoted in SEC. [4] are explained within one standard deviation, and that the collider limits on di-muon and missing energy production from SEC. [14] are satisfied. We then compute the resulting relic density with micrOMEGAs [43]. As shown in FIG. [3] for many parameter points where the dominant DM annihilation process is $\chi_0\chi_0 \rightarrow l\bar{l}$ (dark gray points) the relic density falls within an order of magnitude of $\Omega^{\chi_0\text{obs}}$, as expected from the above discussion. However, we also find that the predicted relic density can be much lower than the observed value, if the spectrum significantly deviates from Eq. (6). First of all,
$\Omega_{\chi}$ rapidly decreases when the DM mass increases above $m_{\chi_0} \gtrsim 200 \text{ GeV}$. Satisfying $\Delta m_\nu$ with heavier DM masses requires a lighter dark lepton $L$ or, equivalently, smaller values of $\tau$, leading to a significantly stronger DM annihilation into muon(-neutrino) pairs, see Eq. (21). Secondly, when $m_{Z'} \lesssim m_{\chi_0}$, the process $\chi_0 \chi_0 \rightarrow Z' Z'$ efficiently depletes the DM relic abundance (green points in FIG. 5). Moreover, when $m_\chi \approx m_{\chi_0}$, corresponding to $\tau \simeq 1$, coannihilation processes with dark leptons (see FIG. 4) can also contribute to significantly reducing the DM density (magenta points in FIG. 5). This is particularly pronounced when $Z'$ can be produced in the final state via the coannihilation processes $\chi_0 L^\pm (L^0) \rightarrow Z' \mu^\pm (\nu_\mu)$ (cyan points in FIG. 5).

The two regions where the relic density is in agreement with the observed value closely resemble the parameter limits favored by the muon anomalies from Eqs. (18) and (19), respectively. These are

1) The heavy lepton scenario. In this region, $m_{\chi_0} \approx 120 - 250 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_L \gtrsim 450 \text{ GeV}$, corresponding to $\tau \gg 1$, because of collider constraints on di-lepton plus missing energy signals. As a result, the Yukawa coupling is strong, $|y| \gtrsim 6$, to ensure a large enough DM annihilation rate into lepton pairs.

2) The compressed scenario. This second region corresponds to $m_{\chi_0} \approx 50 - 80 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_L \approx 100 - 120 \text{ GeV}$, i.e. to $\tau \simeq 1$. It evades collider constraints because of the relatively small gap between DM and dark-lepton masses. Since DM annihilation is larger for $\tau \simeq 1$, see Eq. (21), a smaller Yukawa coupling is needed to ensure enough DM annihilation. However, as the muon anomalies request $|y| \gtrsim 2$, it is more challenging to obtain the observed relic density $\Omega_{\chi}^{\text{obs}} h^2 \sim 0.1$ in the compressed scenario.

In FIG. 5 we illustrate the range of $y$ needed to obtain various amounts of DM relic abundance $\Omega_{\chi}$, as a function of the DM mass, for the case of dominant DM annihilation into lepton pairs, $\chi_0 \chi_0 \rightarrow \ell \ell$.

VI. DARK MATTER DETECTION

The DM candidate in our model is dominantly leptophilic, which makes direct detection very challenging. Furthermore, since $Z$ and Higgs boson couplings to $\chi_0$ pairs are absent at tree level and loop-induced vector interactions are momentum-suppressed, any signal of spin-independent DM-nucleus scattering lies below the sensitivity of current direct detection experiments [63].

On the other hand, VIB in DM annihilation, discussed in SEC. V, plays an important role for indirect detection today. The suppression of tree-level DM annihilation into di-lepton states, $\sigma_{\ell\ell} v \sim v^4$, is even stronger than during freeze-out, as velocities today are around $v_{\text{halo}} \sim 10^{-3}$ in our galactic neighborhood. Photons from VIB are thus the dominant signal in gamma-ray searches of indirect detection experiments. The annihilation cross sections due to VIB in our two scenarios from Eqs. (18) and (19), integrated over the photon energy spectrum, are

$$\langle \sigma_{\mu\mu\gamma} v \rangle \approx 3 \times 10^{-27} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\langle \sigma_{\mu\ell\gamma} v \rangle \approx 3 \times 10^{-25} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s},$$

respectively. The smaller cross section in the heavy lepton scenario 1) is mainly due to the suppression

![FIG. 5: DM relic density $\Omega_{\chi}^{\text{obs}} h^2$ as a function of the DM mass, $m_{\chi_0}$, as predicted by the muon-related collider anomalies. Light gray points: excluded by 8TeV LHC and LEP2 constraints on di-lepton plus missing energy signals from $L^+ L^-$ production. Dark gray points: DM annihilation dominated by $\chi_0 \chi_0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$. Green points: additional contributions (~10% and more) from $\chi_0 \chi_0 \rightarrow Z' Z'$. Magenta and cyan points: co-annihilation through $LL \rightarrow \ell\ell$ and $\chi_0 L \rightarrow Z \ell$, respectively, accounts for more than ~10% of the total $\langle \sigma v \rangle$. Red band: 3$\sigma$ range of $\Omega_{\chi}^{\text{obs}}$ from Planck [40]. (See text for details.)](image)

![FIG. 6: Dark Yukawa coupling $y$ as a function of $m_{\chi_0}$ required to accommodate the muon anomalies within one standard deviation. Gray points are excluded by 8TeV LHC and LEP2 constraints on di-lepton plus missing energy signals from $L^+ L^-$ production. All other points correspond to dominant DM annihilation $\chi_0 \chi_0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$, i.e. to the dark gray points in FIG. 5. Colors depict different amounts of the associated DM relic abundance; $\Omega_{\chi}^{\text{obs}} h^2$ is obtained in the blue regions.](image)
FIG. 7: Thermally averaged cross section for DM annihilation into $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ in our galactic neighborhood today as a function of the DM mass, as predicted by muon-related collider anomalies. All points satisfy $0.01 \lesssim \Omega h^2 \lesssim 1$. Light gray points are excluded by 8TeV LHC and LEP2 constraints on di-lepton plus missing energy signals from $L^+L^-$ production. Points with $\tau \lesssim 2$ ($\tau > 2$) are shown in blue (dark gray). The black line denotes the Fermi LAT upper bound on photon emission in nearby dwarf galaxies, while the dashed red line represents the Fermi LAT limit on spectral features in VIB signals [44], which applies only for $1 \lesssim \tau \lesssim 2$, i.e., to the blue points.

$$\langle \sigma \mu \mu \gamma \nu \rangle \sim 1/\tau^4$$

in the limit of large mass splitting, $\tau \gg 1$.

For small mass splitting $\tau \approx 1$, the energy spectrum of VIB photons is peaked close to the endpoint $E_\gamma = m_{\chi_0} [41]$, which leaves a characteristic signature in indirect detection experiments. The Fermi satellite has performed a dedicated search for spectral features in VIB using data from space regions near the galactic center collected during Pass 7 [44]. Assuming a spectral shape characteristic for $1 \lesssim \tau \lesssim 2$, this search sets an experimental upper bound on VIB,

$$\langle \sigma \mu \mu \gamma \nu \rangle \lesssim 10^{-27} \text{cm}^3/\text{s},$$

for $m_{\chi_0} \lesssim 100 \text{GeV}$.

More inclusive analyses of Fermi data from dwarf galaxies constrain the overall gamma-ray flux emitted in DM annihilations [45] [46]. These searches are sensitive to the sum of secondary photons (from final-state radiation off muons and muon decays) and VIB. Though the cross section bounds are generally weaker than from the dedicated VIB search, they constrain $\langle \sigma \mu \mu \gamma \nu \rangle$ for scenarios with large $\tau$, which the VIB spectral search is not sensitive to.

In FIG. 7 we compare the predictions of DM annihilation through VIB in our model with the bounds from Fermi. We focus only on points in the scan range of Eq. (28), which satisfy the muon-related anomalies and yield a DM relic density of $0.01 \lesssim \Omega h^2 \lesssim 1$. The upper bound on $\langle \sigma \mu \mu \gamma \nu \rangle$ from Fermi’s VIB search was derived in REF. [44] (dashed red line). It excludes part of the compressed scenario 2) with $1 \lesssim \tau \lesssim 2$ (blue points), where VIB is particularly large (see Eq. (29)). The bound cannot directly be applied to scenarios with $\tau > 2$ (dark gray points), for which the photon energy peak is much less pronounced and the VIB search thus significantly loses sensitivity. Inclusive gamma-ray searches in nearby dwarf galaxies lead to a less stringent upper bound on $\langle \sigma \mu \mu \gamma \nu \rangle$ (black line), which we adopt from [47] under the assumption that VIB dominates photon emission in DM annihilation. Parameter space regions with $\tau > 2$ and a relic density comparable to the observed value lie at least an order of magnitude below the bound from dwarf galaxies. Notice that the Fermi bounds on the cross section are based on data from Pass 7. With the recently released Pass 8 data set [46], we expect these bounds to improve by about an order of magnitude. The inclusive gamma-ray search might thus start probing the heavy lepton scenario, as well as the part of the compressed scenario, which does not display a significant spectral feature.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

We have shown that a minimal phenomenological model featuring a new abelian force with radiative couplings to left-handed muons can simultaneously explain the observed muon anomalies in $g_\mu - 2$ and $b \to s\mu^+\mu^-$ transitions through one-loop effects of new particles charged under the associated $U(1)_X$ symmetry. The spontaneous breaking of $U(1)_X$ down to a $Z_2$ symmetry induces a sizeable mass splitting among the scalar states of the dark sector, which controls the radiative $Z'$ coupling to muon pairs and ensures that the lightest new state is a cosmologically stable neutral scalar. Hence, addressing both the $g_\mu - 2$ and $b \to s\mu^+\mu^-$ anomalies in this way typically yields a leptophilic DM candidate, which is hardly visible in direct DM detection searches.

All muon-related anomalies can simultaneously be accommodated with $Z'$ and DM masses around the EW scale and large dark couplings $g$, $g' \gtrsim 2$. While DM annihilation is governed by the same interactions that explain the muon anomalies, the large Yukawa coupling $y$ typically allows for a thermal DM relic density surprisingly close to the observed value, unless significant coannihilation is present. This result partially relies on the fact that the dominant DM annihilation into lepton pairs is $d$-wave velocity-suppressed, thus favoring larger couplings compared to scenarios with $s$- or $p$-wave annihilation. The velocity suppression furthermore depletes final-state and secondary photon emission from DM annihilation into muon pairs in our galactic neighborhood today. Signals in indirect detection experiments are therefore dominantly due to hard photons from VIB.

Another key ingredient of the model is a new vector-like lepton doublet, which in particular mediates tree-level DM annihilation to leptons. Being $Z_2$-odd, these dark leptons do not mix with SM leptons, nor do they couple to the SM Higgs field at tree level. This prevents the otherwise severe constraints from Higgs and EW precision observables, most notably oblique corrections.
only significant effect on low-energy observables lies in the $Z$ boson coupling to muon pairs or invisible states, which is in mild tension with precision LEP data at the $Z$ pole.

At high-energy hadron colliders, dark leptons around the EW scale are produced in pairs through Drell-Yan-like processes. We recast available LHC searches for muon pairs with missing energy in the context of supersymmetry and find a general lower bound on the dark lepton mass, $m_L \gtrsim 450\,\text{GeV}$. A good fit to all muon anomalies with a relic density around the observed value is still possible in two characteristic parameter regions. These are 1) the heavy lepton scenario with dark leptons above $450\,\text{GeV}$ and a DM candidate in the range $125 \lesssim m_{\chi_0^\pm} \lesssim 250\,\text{GeV}$, and 2) the compressed scenario with a light dark sector around $70\,\text{GeV}$ and a small splitting between the dark-lepton and DM masses, $m_L - m_{\chi_0^\pm} \lesssim 60\,\text{GeV}$, to which current di-muon searches are insensitive.

Accommodating the LHCb anomalies in the heavy lepton scenario typically requires a very large Yukawa coupling not far from the non-perturbative regime, which suggests a UV-completion of our model not far above the TeV scale. Weaker couplings are nevertheless possible in the compressed scenario thanks to the larger DM annihilation cross section at freeze-out in the limit of a small mass gap with the dark lepton. However, this small mass splitting induces a sharply peaked photon energy spectrum in VIB which is in mild tension with precision LEP data at the $Z$ pole.

We recast available LHC searches for muon pairs with missing energy in the context of supersymmetry and find a general lower bound on the dark lepton mass, $m_L \gtrsim 450\,\text{GeV}$.

The $g_\mu - 2$ anomaly sets an upper bound $m_L \lesssim 800\,\text{GeV}$ for couplings $y < 4\pi$. The spectrum in the compressed scenario (which is already under pressure from indirect DM detection searches) is more difficult to access through direct searches. One possibility would be to exploit the monojet signature from the direct production of dark muons in association with an ISR jet in the highly compressed region, where the dark muon decay leads to an invisible signature. Precision measurements of $Z$ boson couplings at a future $e^+e^-$ collider would give complementary indirect information about the dark sector.
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Appendix A: Auxiliary Functions

The $g_\mu - 2$ loop function from the diagrams in FIG. 2 is

$$F_g(\tau) = \frac{1}{6(\tau - 1)^4} \left[ \tau^3 - 6\tau(\tau - \log \tau) + 3\tau + 2 \right]. \quad (A1)$$

For degenerate dark (pseudo-)scalar and dark lepton masses, one has $F_g(\tau = 1) = 1/12$, while in the decoupling limit of the dark lepton the function decreases as $F_g(\tau \gg 1) \simeq 1/(6\tau)$.

For the dominant $Z'$ coupling to SM lepton pairs at zero-momentum, corresponding to the diagrams in FIG. 1, the loop function is

$$F_{Z'}(\tau, \delta) = \int_0^1 du \int_0^{1-u} dv \log \left[ 1 + \frac{v\delta}{\tau + (u + v)(1 - \tau)} \right] + \log \left[ 1 - \frac{v\delta}{\tau + (u + v)(1 - \delta - \tau)} \right]. \quad (A2)$$

For degenerate scalar and pseudoscalar masses, $F_{Z'}(\tau, 0) = 0$, while in the limit of large mass splitting of $Z'$ approximately gives

$$F_{Z'}(\tau \gg 1) \simeq \frac{1}{2} \log \delta - \frac{\tau^2(1 + \log \tau) - 3\tau + 2}{2(\tau - 1)^2}. \quad (A3)$$
Moreover, Eq. [A2] approaches a constant when both mass splittings are comparably large, \( F_\tau(\tau \sim \delta > 1) \approx 1/4 \).

The one-loop corrections to the \( V = W, Z \) couplings to SM leptons from FIG. 2 are proportional to the loop function

\[
F_\tau(\tau, r_q) = 2 \int_0^1 \frac{du}{u} \int_0^{1-u} \frac{dv}{v} \left[ \frac{1-u-v}{u+v} \log f(\tau, u+v) + \frac{1-f(\tau, u+v)}{f(\tau, u+v) - uv} \log(f(\tau, u+v) - uv) \right], \tag{A4}
\]

where \( f(\tau, x) \equiv x + (1-x)/\tau \) and \( r_q \equiv q^2/m_L^2 \), with \( q^2 \) the squared momentum of the external gauge boson. The loop function falls off at low \( q^2 \) as

\[
F_\tau(\tau, r_q \ll 1) \approx \frac{r_q^2}{36(\tau - 1)^4} \left[ (\tau - 1)(7\tau^2 - 29\tau + 16) + 6(3\tau - 2) \log \tau \right]. \tag{A5}
\]

Finally, the function controlling the approximate 3–body correction from VIB to the relic density is

\[
F_\gamma(\tau) = (\tau + 1) \left[ \frac{\tau^2}{6} - \log^2 \left( \frac{\tau + 1}{2\tau} \right) - 2 \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{\tau + 1}{2\tau} \right) \right] + \frac{4\tau + 3}{\tau + 1} + \frac{4\tau^2 - 3\tau - 1}{2\tau} \log(\tau - 1 \text{Li}_2 + 1), \tag{A6}
\]
Choosing the neutral dark lepton $L^0$ as the lightest state of the spectrum would a priori also yield a DM candidate. However, it would already have been observed in direct DM detection experiments through $Z$–boson mediated interactions with nuclei.

[60] Even if absent at the tree-level, kinetic mixing is loop-induced through $B_\mu - X_\mu$ vacuum polarization effects with exchanged dark fermions $L$ and $Q$. While for the charges assumed in TAB. I these loop contributions are logarithmically UV-sensitive [53], they can be made finite in complete models by introducing additional vector-like fermions with appropriate $U(1)_X$ and SM charges [19].

[61] This bound could be significantly weakened, if the $Z'$ also coupled to flavor-changing right-handed down-quark currents with a strength of $\mathcal{O}(10\%)$ of their left-handed counterparts [23]. We do not consider such a scenario here.

[62] A slightly better fit is obtained for a pure vector NP coupling to muon pairs, i.e. for $C_{\mu}^\nu \approx -1$ and $C_{\mu}^{\nu \bar{\nu}} \approx 0$ [28]. In scenarios, where $C_{\mu}^\nu$ is induced at the loop level (as in our model), it is difficult to achieve such a large effect. However, from a model-building perspective the solution $C_{\mu}^\nu = -C_{\mu}^{\bar{\nu} \nu} \approx -0.5$ with chiral interactions is more inviting, as it allows a natural implementation of weak isospin gauge invariance.

[63] This implies that the operator $(H^\dagger D_\nu H)\tilde{\chi}_i^T \bar{l} l + h.c.$ (relevant for the $Z\mu^+\mu^-$ coupling), as well as $H_i^\dagger \sigma \cdot W_{\mu \nu} H B^{\mu \nu}$ and $H_i^\dagger D_\nu H_i^\dagger$ (which respectively shift the $S$ and $T$ parameters [54]), are only induced at the two-loop level. Effects on $S$ and $T$ are thus sufficiently small to evade the LEP bounds.

[64] We use CalcHEP 3.4 [55] to compute the $Z'$ production cross sections and partial decay widths assuming the spectrum in Eq. (18).

[65] In our analytic discussion, we work at leading order in $v \approx 0.1 - 0.3$ at freeze-out in a non-relativistic expansion, while in our numerical analysis the relic density is computed with micrOMEGAs [43].

[66] Contributions from the finite muon mass, which induce $s$–wave annihilation into di-leptons, are of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ and thus negligible.

[67] This expression is only an estimate of the total $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ correction to DM annihilation into the final state $\mu^+\mu^-$. A complete calculation that takes account of the full DM-velocity dependence, requires to also include virtual corrections. We leave a thorough treatment of the extra-photon contribution for future implementation in micrOMEGAs.

[68] The leading contribution to spin-independent (SI) scattering arises at the two-loop level from the effective interaction $\chi_0 \chi_0 \bar{q}q$. For the spectrum in Eq. (18), we estimate its size to be $c_{SI}^\alpha \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-50})$ cm$^2$, which lies below the coherent neutrino background [56] for DM masses around the weak scale. Spin-dependent interactions are strongly velocity-suppressed in the non-relativistic limit.