Endothelial heterogeneity in the umbilico-placental unit: DNA methylation as an innuendo of epigenetic diversity

Paola Casanello1,2, Daniela Schneider1, Emilio A. Herrera3, Ricardo Uauy2 and Bernardo J. Krause1 *

1 Division of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, School of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile
2 Division of Paediatrics, School of Medicine, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile
3 Programa de Fisiopatología, Laboratorio de Función y Reactividad Vascular, Instituto de Ciencias Biomédicas, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

The endothelium is a multifunctional heterogeneous tissue playing a key role in the physiology of every organ. To accomplish this role the endothelium presents a phenotypic diversity that is early prompted during vascular development, allowing it to cope with specific requirements in a time- and site-specific manner. During the last decade several reports show that endothelial diversity is also present in the umbilico-placental vasculature, with differences between macro- and microvascular vessels as well as arterial and venous endothelium. This diversity is evidenced in vitro as a higher angiogenic capacity in the microcirculation; or disparity in the levels of several molecules that control endothelial function (i.e., receptor for growth factors, vasoactive mediators, and adhesion molecules) which frequently are differentially expressed between arterial and venous endothelium. Emerging evidence suggests that endothelial diversity would be prominently driven by epigenetic mechanisms which also control the basal expression of endothelial-specific genes. This review outlines evidence for endothelial diversity since early stages of vascular development and how this heterogeneity is expressed in the umbilico-placental vasculature. Furthermore a brief picture of epigenetic mechanisms and their role on endothelial physiology emphasizing new data on umbilical and placental endothelial cells is presented. Unraveling the role of epigenetic mechanisms on long term endothelial physiology and its functional diversity would contribute to develop more accurate therapeutic interventions. Altogether these data show that micro- versus macro-vascular, or artery versus vein comparisons are an oversimplification of the complexity occurring in the endothelium at different levels, and the necessity for the future research to establish the precise source of cells which are under study.
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INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of the role of endothelium on vascular tone regulation at the beginning of 1980s, a countless number of studies have shown the plethora of remarkable functions that this tissue has in vascular physiology. Notably significant advances in understanding the role of endothelium have used human umbilical and placental vessels as experimental models, which is also applied to the knowledge regarding endothelial diversity. The diversity of functions that the endothelium exerts (i.e., regulation of vessel tone, angiogenesis, immune cell adhesion and migration, exchange, and haemostasis) associates with specific “zones” of the vasculature, suggesting that endothelial cells present a phenotypic heterogeneity that supports this functional diversity (Atkins et al., 2011). From the molecular point of view endothelial cells in vivo express several proteins which allow to distinguish between arterial and venous endothelial cells and some of these patterns are preserved in vitro, suggesting that long term endothelial physiology is importantly influenced by epigenetic mechanisms (Matouk and Marsden, 2008; Aird, 2012).

ORIGINS OF ENDOTHELIAL CELLS

Vasculogenesis is the process by which vessels are formed from mesenchymal-derived hemangioblasts which differentiate into endothelial cells (Demir et al., 2007). Current evidence shows that initial stages of vascular development are determined by genetic factors (Le Noble et al., 2008; Atkins et al., 2011). These processes require the expression of VEGF (Shalaby et al., 1995) and activation of downstream mitogenic effectors (Parenti et al., 1998; Shizukuda et al., 1999). However, the site from which the vascular progenitors for placental and embryo vasculogenesis emerge is still debated. It is accepted that in the embryo vascular progenitors emerge from intra- and extra-embryonic mesodermal tissues (Jin and Patterson, 2009), whilst in the placenta they arise from the extra-embryonic mesoderm (Chaddha et al., 2004). However, there is growing evidence for a crucial role of the yolk sac in embryo and placental vascular development (Freyer and Renfree, 2009). Indeed, using a sodium-calcium exchanger (Ncx-1) knockout mice which fails to initiate cardiac contraction Lux et al. (2008) showed that all the hematopoietic progenitor cells emerge from the yolk sac. The origin of placental endothelial cells ...
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could have an important impact on its vascular physiology because arterial-venous identity is early established by environmental cues which could have diverse effects depending on the localization in the embryo.

Growth and consolidation of the placental vascular tree occurs by angiogenesis. In this process single vessels are formed by endothelial precursor cells (EPCs) which differentiate into endothelial cells, and/or proliferate from endothelial cells. These vessels can spread in two ways, (1) non-branching angiogenesis, which implies an increase in the length of the villous vessels, and (2) branching angiogenesis, in which multiple short capillary loops are formed (Demir et al., 2007), increasing the vascular surface area. After these processes have taken place, the vessels mature and their structures stabilize. Additional maturation and specialization in the vascular system are influenced by environmental signals, such as blood flow, oxygen tension, oxidative stress, and epigenetic factors (le Noble et al., 2008; Atkins et al., 2011). All these factors have been implicated in the development and function of the human placenta (Fowden et al., 2008; Burton, 2009; Dennery, 2010). Thus, angiogenesis is a complex process which involves genetic, epigenetic and environmental commands in the development and establishment of the vasculature.

**EPIGENETICS OVERVIEW**

During the last decade, the study of genome-environment interactions has revealed a plethora of mechanisms that modulate short and long term cellular physiology. These mechanisms involve mainly epigenetic processes which control chromatin accessibility in a gene- and cell-specific manner. Definition of epigenetics is still under debate mainly due to the several molecular mechanisms that it comprises and the heritability of these changes in an organism and its progeny; however, a simple and broad definition considers epigenetic mechanisms as “chromosome-based mechanisms that change the phenotypic plasticity in a cell or organism” (Krause et al., 2009; Gibney and Nolan, 2010).

Epigenetic mechanisms affect chromatin structure and gene expression regulating DNA and histone interactions, and the translation and stability of mRNA. Epigenetic markers such as DNA methylation, histone deacetylation, and other repressive histone post-translational modifications (PTMs) alter the structure of the chromatin, generating regions with a “closed chromatin” conformation. Conversely, DNA demethylation (potentially driven by the oxidation of methylated cytosines and their replacement by base excision repair; Kohli and Zhang, 2013), ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling, histone acetylation (Ac), and other permissive histone PTMs, convert the closed chromatin into an “open chromatin” conformation allowing binding of transcription factors and the RNA polymerase II (Figure 1). As an additional epigenetic mechanism, the presence of non-coding RNAs can post-transcriptionally repress gene expression. Detailed reviews of the diverse epigenetic mechanisms and their effects on gene expression are available (Klose and Bird, 2006;

---

**FIGURE 1** | Epigenetic mechanisms regulating gene expression.

Epigenetic mechanisms control gene expression by increasing (green histones) or limiting chromatin accessibility (red histones). These states result from the equilibrium of modifications on the DNA and histones which reduce (left list) or promote (right list) DNA/histones interactions. Alternatively gene expression is limited by the presence of non-coding RNA. Symbols “?” denote recently reported mechanisms whose effects are currently under study.
Kouzarides, 2007; Wang et al., 2007a,b; Kaikkonen et al., 2011; Kohli and Zhang, 2013).

From a developmental perspective epigenetic mechanisms allow the generation of diverse cell phenotypes and functions of an organism from a single genome, and respond to a range of environmental fluctuations. This issue is especially evident in organs and tissues whose structure and function are under constant change across lifespan, such as the cardiovascular system (Aird, 2012). Nonetheless, placental vasculature may also be programmed by epigenetic mechanisms, which are currently under restless research.

**EPIGENETICS IN ENDOTHELIAL PHYSIOLOGY AND PATHOPHYSIOLOGY**

Vascular development, endothelial differentiation and function require a fine epigenetic tuning (Table 1). Initial steps of vascular development in the embryo seem to be influenced by both genetic and environmental stimuli which drive the emergence of two different populations of endothelial cells (Atkins et al., 2011). Differentiation of embryonic stem cells and EPCs into endothelial cells requires the participation of histone deacetylases (HDAC), lysine demethylases (KDM) and reduced DNA methylation in the promoter region of endothelial-specific genes (Rossig et al., 2005; Zeng et al., 2006; Lagarkova et al., 2008; Banerjee and Bacanamwo, 2010; Ohtani et al., 2011). Conversely, differentiated endothelial cells can be reprogrammed to a pseudo-embryonic stem cell phenotype increasing the DNA methylation status of endothelial-specific genes (Lagarkova et al., 2010). In endothelial cells, activating histone PTM, such as acetylation of H3 and H4 and methylation of H3K4, control the basal expression of vWF (Peng and Jahroudi, 2003), NOTCH4 (Wu et al., 2005), VEGF receptor 1 (Dutta et al., 2008), endomucin (Kanki et al., 2011), and eNOS (Fish et al., 2005; Gan et al., 2005).

On the other hand, HDAC activity is required for an adequate vascular integrity (Chang et al., 2006) preventing short term endothelial proliferation and angiogenesis (Ha et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2011), whilst calmodulin-lysine N-methyltransferase (KMT) activity has the opposite effect (Diehl et al., 2007). However, long term HDAC activity promotes angiogenesis in response to VEGF (Deroanne et al., 2002) and hypoxia (Kim et al., 2001) increasing the expression of VEGF (Ruchko et al., 2009) and eNOS (Rossig et al., 2002). Similarly, HDAC activity is increased in response to shear stress (Illi et al., 2003) improving cell survival (Zampetaki et al., 2010) and eNOS expression (Wang et al., 2010). Noteworthy, the epigenetic regulation of NOS3 gene has been extensively studied in endothelial and non-endothelial cells, showing that endothelial cells have a distinctive pattern of DNA methylation and histone PTMs (Fish and Marsden, 2006). Fish et al. (2007) reported that the decreased expression of eNOS in HUVEC exposed to acute hypoxia is controlled by the overexpression of a natural cis-antisense non-coding RNA called sONE, and changes in histone PTMs which occur specifically at the eNOS promoter (Fish et al., 2010). Additionally, abrogation of NOS3 promoter DNA methylation increases basal eNOS mRNA expression in vitro, and protects against hind-limb ischemic injury in vivo (Rao et al., 2011).

Several studies show that epigenetic mechanisms participate in the increased risk of developing vascular diseases. In humans, endothelial cells from atherosclerotic plaques have decreased levels of estrogen receptor β along with increased DNA methylation at the promoter region of this gene, compared with those from non-atherosclerotic plaque regions (Kim et al., 2007). Deficiency of a specific KDM, lysine-specific demethylase-1 (LSD1, KDM1a), associates with decreased expression of eNOS and NO-dependent vasodilation, as well as, salt sensitive hypertension (Pojoga et al., 2011). In newborn rats with persistent pulmonary hypertension, the increased expression of eNOS mRNA is accompanied by augmented levels of acetylated H3 and H4 in the NOS3 gene promoter (Xu et al., 2010). Alternatively, cultured endothelial cells exposed to elevated levels of homocysteine, which relates with increased cardiovascular risk, present decreased proliferation and increased levels of oxidative stress. In both cases homocysteine acts inducing specific hypomethylation of the gene promoters for the cell cycle regulator cyclin A (Jamaluddin et al., 2007) and the pro-oxidant protein p66shc (Kim et al., 2011). Additionally, high glucose-induced endothelial dysfunction requires the participation of HAT (Chen et al., 2010) and KMT (El-Osta et al., 2008), generating important epigenomic changes (Pirola et al., 2011), which can persist several days after the exposure to the noxa (El-Osta et al., 2008).

Notably, vascular physiology is also influenced by epigenetic mechanisms occurring in smooth muscle cells (SMCs). Development of vascular dysfunction is accompanied by changes in SMC phenotype, which shift from a “contractile” to a “synthetic” and

**Table 1 | Effect of DNA methylation and histone post-translational modifications (PTMs) on endothelial cell physiology.**

| Mechanism            | Process                                                                 | Reference                                      |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| DNA methylation      | In vitro and in vivo progenitor endothelial cells differentiation         | Chan et al. (2004), Lagarkova et al. (2008), Ohtani et al. (2011), Rao et al. (2011) |
|                      | Activation of tissue-specific genes                                      |                                                |
|                      | Ischemia-induced neo-vascularization                                     |                                                |
| Histone acetylation  | Hypoxia-, VEGF- and shear stress- induced angiogenesis                   | Kim et al. (2001), Deroanne et al. (2002), Rossig et al. (2002), Peng and Jahroudi (2003), Illi et al. (2005), Zeng et al. (2006), Wu et al. (2005) |
|                      | VEGF-induced progenitor endothelial cells differentiation               |                                                |
|                      | Basal endothelial cell-specific genes                                   |                                                |
| Other histone PTMs   | Progenitor endothelial cells differentiation                             | Ohtani et al. (2011), Fish et al. (2010)       |
|                      | Hypoxia induced eNOS down-regulation                                     |                                                |
“pro-inflammatory” phenotype with long term consequences in
the contractile properties of vessels (Owens et al., 2004; Orr et al.,
2010). Increasing data shows that this “phenotypic switching”
requires the participation of epigenetic mechanisms which estab-
lish an altered SMC function (Alexander and Owens, 2012).

PHENOTYPIC AND EPIGENETIC DIVERSITY IN THE
UMBILICO-PLACENTAL ENDOTHELIUM
Pioneer studies by Lang et al. (1993) demonstrated that micro- and
macrovascular umbilical-placental endothelium present different
immunoreactivity to diverse molecular markers for endothelial
cells, suggesting the presence of a phenotypic endothelial divers-
ity in the placenta. Additional evidence from cultured human
endothelial cells isolated from the placental microcirculation
(PLEC) and the umbilical vein (HUVEC) show that microvas-
cular endothelial cells express higher levels of vascular mediators
(angiotensin II, endothelin, and thromboxane; Lang, 2003). Also a
differential pattern of homeobox genes (Murthi et al., 2007, 2008)
and higher cholesterol transport capacity (Stefulj et al., 2009) in
PLEC compared to HUVEC has been shown.

Notably, studies on endothelial cells from arteries and veins
have revealed important differences between arterial and venous
cells at the same vascular level. In fact the higher mitogenic
response observed in PLEC (Lang, 2003) may reflect the combina-
tion of a high response to VEGF present in arterial PLEC (PLAEC)
and to PIGF in venous endothelial cells (PLVEC; Lang et al., 2008).
A transcriptomic analysis between PLAEC and PLVEC showed
that they have differential expression of more than 3,000 genes
(Lang et al., 2008). Similarly there is a differential expression of
eNOS, a key vascular gene, between micro- and macrovascular, and
venous and arterial endothelium (Andersen et al., 2009; Krause
et al., 2012) being more homogenous at the arterial side (Anders-
sen et al., 2009). This opens the queries about the differences
initially reported between micro- and macrovascular endothel-
ium reflecting an endothelial diversity between large and small
vessels, and whether they include variances between arteries and veins.

Several studies comparing simultaneously umbilical arterial
(HUAEC) and venous (HUVEC) endothelium support the con-
cept that these cells are not a homogenous population, and the
necessity of clarifying the precise source of cells when the term
“macrovascularity” is used. A general characterization shows that
there is a different profile of phospholipids with higher levels
of arachidonic acid-related species and heterogeneous expression
pattern of selenoproteins (Miller et al., 2002) in HUAEC com-
pared to HUVEC (Takamura et al., 1990). Alongside the classical
molecular markers for arterial endothelium, cultured HUAEC
express higher levels of PAI 1 (Gallicchio et al., 1994), Cx40 (Van
Rijen et al., 1997), 17ß-HSD2 (Simard et al., 2011), and VCAM-1
(Egorova et al., 2012); and lower levels of von Willebrand Factor
(Shahani et al., 2010) and estrogen receptors beta (ERß; Simard
et al., 2011) compared with HUVEC. On the other hand expres-
sions of pro-constrictive mediators such as angiotensin converting
enzyme (Ito et al., 2002) and ET-1 (Egorova et al., 2012) are dif-
ferent in HUVEC relative to HUAEC. Furthermore, expression
and activity of eNOS are higher in freshly isolated HUVEC than
HUAEC (Andersen et al., 2009) and this expression pattern is
also observed in cells cultured up to third passage (Krause et al.,
2012). Whether these differences reflects the physiology of umbili-
cal (and potentially placental) arteries and veins, and how they are
preserved in vitro need further examination. Two recent reports
show that the differential gene expression between HUAEC and
HUVEC is partially controlled by specific transcription factors.
Overexpression of the venous-specific nuclear receptor COUP-
TFII in HUAEC decreases the expression of arterial markers (i.e.,
Hey2, EphrinB2 and NICD4), and its down-regulation in HUVEC
increases the expression of arterial markers such as VEGF-A, Dll
and EphrinB2 (Korten et al., 2013). Moreover, in vitro simultane-
ous overexpression of eight arterial-specific transcription factors
turns the HUVEC transcriptome into a HUAEC-like pattern
(Aranguren et al., 2013).

Therefore, the phenotypic diversity in the umbilico-placental
circulation is apparently controlled, at least in part, by an
equivalent diversity in epigenetic mechanisms.

ENDOTHELIAL DIVERSITY AND ANGIOGENESIS
In terms of angiogenesis, microvascular endothelial cells present a
higher mitogenic response to VEGF, PIGF (Lang, 2003; Lang et al.,
2008), and prokineticin 1 (Brouillet et al., 2010) compared with
HUVEC, along with an increased expression of pro-angiogenic
HOX genes (i.e., TLX1, TLX2, and PHOX1; Murthi et al., 2008).
These data are in agreement with the notion that placental angi-
genesis capacity is augmented in microvascular vessels compared
to endothelial cells from larger vessels. However, it is also pos-
tible to find significant differences in the angiogenic response
in endothelial cells from umbilical arteries and veins. In vivo
VEGFR3, which is commonly expressed in lymphatic endothelium
or during active angiogenesis (Koch and Claesson-Welsh, 2012),
is absent in HUAEC but expressed in HUVEC (Veikkola et al.,
2003). Moreover, in vitro chemotaxis induced by VEVEA or FGFB is
higher in HUVEC compared to HUAEC (Barkefors et al., 2008),
and netrin-1 prevents the VEGF-induced migration in HUAEC
without effect on HUVEC (Lu et al., 2004). Further studies are
needed to address the effects and the role on placental physiology
of this increased angiogenic response observed in HUVEC.

ENDOTHELIAL DIVERSITY IN RESPONSE TO STRESS
Placental vascular and endothelial physiology, similar to adult vas-
culature, are importantly influenced by stimuli such as altered
shear stress and oxygen levels whose effects are apparently dif-
ferent between arteries and veins. Normally arterial endothelium
is exposed to higher shear stress and therefore it is plausible to
predict a stronger response to increasing stress. In fact pulsatile
shear stress increases the expression of arterial markers (i.e., Hey1,
Hey1, and ephrinB2) in HUAEC but decreases the expression of
venous markers (COUP-TFII) in HUVEC (Buschmann et al.,
2010). Laminar shear stress have similar effects on the expres-
sion of arterial-venous markers in these cells, and increases the
levels of 3-nitrosylated proteins (Hoffmann et al., 2003) endothelin-1,
VCAM, and vWF (Egorova et al., 2012) in HUAEC compared to
HUVEC. Whether these differences are observed in microvascular
endothelial cells remains to be determined.

Some evidence regarding the effects of low oxygen levels on
endothelial function in placental large and small vessels, as well
as arteries and veins, show a differential vascular response to hypoxia throughout the placenta (Krause et al., 2011, 2012). On the other hand placental endothelium is importantly exposed to low oxygen levels and oxidative stress which are negative regulator of placental angiogenesis (Burton et al., 2009). A reduction in oxygen levels from 21 to 12% O2 decreases placental venous microvascular endothelial cells viability with no effect on their arterial counterparts (Lassance et al., 2012), and PLAE exposed to 3% O2 show an increased mitogenic response to VEGFA and FGF2 compared to cells cultured at 21% O2 (Wang et al., 2009). This higher response to VEGFA and FGF2 is also observed in HUAEC exposed to physiological levels of oxygen (3–5% O2; Jiang et al., 2013). Additionally, hypoxia (1% O2) increases the expression of the pro-angiogenic factor protease-activated receptor 2 in HUVEC and this effect is higher in HUAEC (Svensson et al., 2011).

All of these data show that venous-arterial endothelial phenotypic diversity occurs among umbilical and placental vessels (Figure 2). Further studies should include control comparison between arterial and venous endothelial cells from the same branching level to rule out potential differences attributable to arteries and veins rather than micro- and macrovascular vessels. It is worth to note that most of the differences occurring among these cells types could be reverted by genetic manipulation. However, its persistence in vitro suggests that additional mechanisms controlling gene expression should be operating, arguing for a crucial role for epigenetics in this process.

EPIGENETIC AND PLACENTAL ENDOTHELIAL DIVERSITY

Compelling evidence shows the fundamental role of epigenetics controlling the endothelial-specific gene expression, however, the next frontier is to determine how epigenetic mechanisms influence the endothelial functional diversity. Two recent reports studying placental and umbilical endothelial cells suggest the presence of significant differences in the DNA methylation of gene promoters which could be responsible for the differential gene expression present in these cells.

A comparison of the genome-wide DNA methylation profile in PLAE and PLVEC show that venous endothelial cells present lower levels of global methylation compared to PLAE (Joo et al., 2013) which could reflect the immature phenotype of PLVEC (Lang et al., 2008). Further analysis show the presence of several genes which are differentially methylated between PLAE and PLVEC, and some of them present an inverse correlation between the level of methylation and the gene expression. Notably those genes are considered endothelial markers and play a key role in vascular physiology, such as eNOS, vWF, Conexin40, VEGFR1, VEGFC, and angiopoietin-1. However, there are endothelial genes whose promoters do not present any correlation between methylation levels and gene expression, such as VEGFR2, Hey2, NOTCH, EphB2, and EphB4 (Joo et al., 2013).

Conversely, the comparison of DNA methylation status of NOS3 (eNOS) and ARG2 (arginase-2) promoters by pyrosequencing in HUAEC, PLAE and HUVEC, suggest the presence of site-specific differences between these cells. Methylation status at NOS3 promoter in umbilical and placental endothelial cells showed differences in three specific CpG between arterial and venous endothelial cells (Krause et al., 2013). Two of these differentially methylated CpGs correspond to the reported hypoxia response element (−5369 and −5375; Coulet et al., 2003) which regulates the response to hypoxia and show lower methylation levels in PLAE and PLVEC and HUVEC compared to HUAEC. Whether this variation participates in the differential regulation of eNOS expression in response to hypoxia that has been reported between HUAEC and HUVEC (Krause et al., 2012) needs to be addressed. An additional differentially methylated CpG is located at −352 from the transcription starting site, showing a higher methylation pattern in arterial relative to venous cells. Moreover the methylation status at this CpG suggests an inverse correlation between DNA methylation and eNOS expression, which is higher in HUVEC (lower methylation levels) compared to HUAEC (Krause et al., 2012, 2013). It is also reported that CpG −352 is differentially methylated between HUVEC and human dermal microvascular endothelial cells (Chen et al., 2004), having the later a methylation status comparable to that found in HUAEC and PLAE, which suggest that CpG −352 might play a role in the differential regulation of basal eNOS expression in arterial and venous endothelial cells. Krause et al. (2013) compared the NOS3 promoter DNA methylation status between control and endothelial cells isolated from pregnancies with intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR). Remarkably changes in DNA methylation in IUGR cells are restricted to those CpGs that are differentially methylated in normal endothelial cells. In fact, IUGR HUAEC and PLAE present similar changes at CpGs −5375 (increased methylation) and −352 (decreased methylation) compared with normal cells,

![FIGURE 2](https://www.frontiersin.org)
and these methylation levels are comparable to that find in normal HUVEC. Conversely, changes in the DNA methylation status of DNA methyltransferase (DNMT1) in IUGR HUVEC and HUVEC are also related with the levels of mRNA for eNOS (Krause et al., 2013), reinforcing the potential importance of CpG −352 in the regulation of basal eNOS expression. Finally, analysis of methylation status of ARG2 promoter in HUVEC, PLAEC, and HUVEC show a single difference between PLAEC and HUVEC, however, it is still unknown if there is a correlation with arginase-2 expression and activity.

DNA methylation is one of the main epigenetic mechanisms that controls long-term gene expression, showing a high reproducibility after every cellular replication and this characteristic is driven by the activity of DNA methyltransferase-1 (DNMT1). In IUGR HUVEC and HUVEC DNMT1 silencing shows a differential effect, reducing and increasing basal eNOS expression, respectively (Krause et al., 2013). Silencing of DNMT1 restores to normal eNOS mRNA levels in IUGR HUAVEC and HUVEC, and this effect is not observed on arginase-2 expression where it further increases its expression in IUGR HUVEC, without any effect in IUGR HUAEC (Krause et al., 2013). This suggests that DNA methylation (Jamaluddin et al., 2007; Banerjee and Bacanamwo, 2010; Kim et al., 2011) and other epigenetic mechanisms (Kim et al., 2001; Deroanne et al., 2002; Fish et al., 2010) control gene expression in endothelial cells in a gene-specific manner.

Although the studies in PLAEC and PLVEC (Joo et al., 2013), and in HUAECC and HUVEC (Krause et al., 2013) used different approaches to analyze the DNA methylation patterns, there are some similarities in the outcomes. First, both studies show that methylation status of NOS3 proximal promoter inversely correlates with the levels of mRNA for eNOS, and this occurs in cells exposed for several days to culture conditions. Second, PLAEC and PLVEC show differential levels arginase-2 expression without differences in the DNA methylation in ARG2 promoter, whilst in control and IUGR HUAEC differences in DNA methylation are not associated to difference in arginase-2 expression. Finally, DNMT1 silencing in IUGR cells normalize eNOS expression but not arginase-2 expression.

CONCLUSION

Altogether these seminal data show that epigenetic mechanisms could be responsible for the phenotypic diversity of endothelial cells in the umbilico-placental unit, and these mechanisms would be operating in a cell- and gene-specific manner. The current research on the area is offering novel data about potential mechanisms but still further studies are required to have a comprehensive picture of the additional epigenetic mechanisms controlling the gene expression in physiological and pathophysiological conditions and its consequences in umbilico-placental functions.
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