1. Introduction

Nitrite is one of the potentially harmful pollutants, and is widely present in the ecological environment, food, industry, and physiological systems. Nitrite is an internationally recognized powerful carcinogen. After people eat foods containing excessive amounts of nitrite, the nitrite can easily combine with secondary and tertiary amines in the food under the acidic conditions of the stomach to transform into carcinogenic N-nitramine, which induces cancer. Nitrite is also regarded as the main harmful pollutant in nuclear power plant wastewater, causing serious corrosion behavior. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium are vital elements for the growth of vegetation. Most of the chemical fertilizers used in everyday crops include the above elements. If the amount of nitrogen-containing fertilizer is improperly used, it will degrade and generate a large amount of nitrite to pollute the soil. In addition, nitrite is widely used preservative because it can effectively inhibit the reproduction of microorganisms. Oolong tea, as a Chinese specialty drink, is well-known worldwide for its unique flavor and rich nutritional value. However, it is susceptible to nitrite to pollute the soil.

Eggshell is an extremely large source of domestic waste and has a huge scientific research potential because of its unique porous hierarchical structure. By converting eggshell waste into valuable functional materials, it can be recycled in many fields. Herein, we envisioned an economical and environmentally friendly conversion method for synthesizing Au nanoparticle loaded eggshell nanocomposites (defined as Au/CaCO₃ nanocomposites) for the detection of trace amounts of nitrite in oolong tea. Compared with bare electrodes, the prepared Au/CaCO₃ nanocomposite-based electrodes have obvious electrochemical enhancement behavior. A wide linear response range of 0.01 to 1.00 mM and a relatively low detection limit of 11.55 nM have been obtained in this study. The “turning waste into treasure” transformation strategy not only provides a practical and low-cost method for comprehensive utilization of eggshells as valuable functional materials, but also provides a new approach for sensitive detection of pollutants.
groups in proteins can efficiently adsorb Au nanoparticles to form a stable nanocomposite. Most importantly, eggshell ingredients as a waste are very safe and easily available from a wide range of sources in daily life. To fully develop the scientific research value of the eggshell and turn the waste into treasures, in this study, two materials (Eggshell and Au) with excellent properties were combined to construct Au/CaCO₃ composite nanomaterials and successfully were explored to complete the detection of trace nitrite in oolong tea.

2. Experimental

2.1 Reagents and instruments

Chloroauric acid (HAuCl₄, 47.8%) was purchased from Aladdin Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Disodium phosphate (Na₂HPO₄·2H₂O, 99.0%) and sodium dihydrogen phosphate (NaH₂PO₄·2H₂O, 99.0%) were purchased from Hengxing Chemical Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). Nafion® perfluorinated resin solution (5 wt% in mixture of lower aliphatic alcohols and water) was purchased from Alfa Aesar Chemical Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). The eggshells used in this work were obtained from the No. 1 Canteen of Quanzhou Normal University. Oolong tea samples were purchased from Yuting Tea Co., Ltd. (Fujian, China). Graphitized carbon black SPE cartridges were purchased from Anpu Experimental Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

Field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, Zeiss, Germany). High resolution transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM, Tecnai F30, Netherlands). Selected area electron diffraction (SAED, Tecnai F30, Netherlands). X-ray spectrum detector (EDS, Tecnai F30, Netherlands). Electrochemical workstation (CHI 660E, China). Centrifuge (5810R, Eppendorf, Germany). Ultrasonic cleaning machine (KQ-500DB, Shumei Ultrasonic Instrument Co., Ltd., China). All the water used in the experiment was ultra-pure water (18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹), and the glassware involved was washed and dried by ultrasonic cleaning and ultra-pure water.

2.2 Pretreatment of eggshell

Wash the fresh eggshell with plenty of water, then submerge it in ultrapure water for 30 min of ultrasonic vibration to remove impurities. Dry the washed eggshells in a constant temperature oven for 5 h to remove moisture, and then put the eggshells into a superfine grinder to pulverize into powder. Finally, the eggshell powder is passed through a 200-mesh sieve to remove large-particle eggshells and obtain relatively fine eggshell powder for subsequent use.

2.3 AuNPs modified eggshell powder

Added eggshell powder (0.5 g) to 10 mL of HAuCl₄ (10 mM). The mixed solution was continuously stirred on a magnetic stirrer for 12 h to fully absorb gold ions by using the porous layered structure of the eggshell. After the completion of the stirring, the suspension was allowed to stand for 1 h to precipitate the eggshell powder, the supernatant was removed with a dropper, and the eggshell fully absorbing gold ions was dried in a constant temperature oven at 60 °C for 1 h. Finally, the sample was placed in a tube furnace in a nitrogen atmosphere and calcined at 500 °C for 2 h at a heating rate of 2 °C min⁻¹. Before further analysis, the obtained composite nanomaterials were stored in microcentrifuge tubes at room temperature.

2.4 Pretreatment of oolong tea

The tea sample is ground into a powder, and then 1 g of the powder is weighed into a centrifuge tube. Then add 1 mL of nitrite standard solution of different concentrations and 9 mL of acetone solution to each centrifuge tube and shake gently for 10 s. The mixture was then sonicated for 30 min, then the sample was centrifuged for 10 min (1790 × g), and then the supernatant was passed through a Carbon-GCB SPE column to remove the pigment. Finally, pour the filtrate into a round-neck flask and use a rotary evaporator to evaporate, and add 1 mL of acetone solution for reconstitution. This solution was added dropwise to 9 mL of phosphate buffer solution for further electrochemical detection. The standard curve of electrochemical detection of nitrite was determined by different concentrations of nitrite solution to calculate the detection limit (LOD) of Au/CaCO₃.

2.5 Data analysis

The detection limit (LOD) of the Au/CaCO₃ modified electrode is calculated by the following formula:

\[
\text{LOD} = \frac{3k}{\delta}
\]

Among them, \(k\) is the relative standard deviation of the blank, and \(\delta\) is the slope of the calibration curve.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Morphology analysis of Au/CaCO₃ nanocomposite

The SEM and TEM pictures most intuitively show the surface morphology of Au/CaCO₃ nanocomposites and natural eggshells. Fig. 1a clearly shows the porous hierarchical structure on the surface of the natural eggshell. The unique porous structure can stably absorb a large amount of Au ions, and the stably adsorbed ions will be reduced to Au nanoparticles in situ by high-temperature calcination. Fig. 1b shows the surface morphology of the Au/CaCO₃ nanocomposite, in which a large number of Au nanoparticles are loaded on the surface of eggshell. Fig. 1c is an HR-TEM image of the nanocomposite material. The particle size and dispersibility of the Au nanoparticles can be further verified, and it is also an important basis for judging whether the loaded Au nanoparticles are agglomerated. In addition, Fig. 1d expresses the elemental composition of the composite nanomaterial. The material is mainly composed of Au, Ca, O and C. The element distribution is shown in the figure. It can be inferred from the mapping image that the Au nanoparticles are uniformly distributed on the surface of CaCO₃ and are very dense.
3.2 Electrochemical performance of Au/CaCO₃ nanocomposite

In order to evaluate the electrochemical performance of Au/CaCO₃ nanocomposites, some data analysis was carried out in this work. Fig. 2a shows the CV curve of the bare GCE of 100 μM nitrite ion, Au/CaCO₃-GCE and Au/CaCO₃-GCE recorded at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ in phosphate buffer (pH = 7.5). By comparison, it can be observed that Au/CaCO₃-GCE can detect obvious characteristic peaks in the phosphate buffer containing nitrite ions, but hardly any peak shape can be captured in the pure buffer. It can be concluded that the characteristic peak detected by CV might correspond to nitrite. Secondly, by comparing the detection of nitrite ions between the bare GCE electrode and Au/CaCO₃-GCE, it can be observed that the characteristic peak intensity captured by Au/CaCO₃-GCE is significantly higher than that of the bare GCE electrode. This fully shows that the Au/CaCO₃ nanocomposite material can significantly enhance the performance of the GCE electrode and effectively capture the signal of trace nitrite ions. The role of Au/CaCO₃ nanocomposites is mainly reflected in two points: first, Au/CaCO₃ can increase the surface area of the electrode to promote the adsorption of the analyte. Second, it provides enough Au active sites to accelerate electron transfer, thereby accelerating the overall reaction process.

In order to determine the effect of pH on the electrochemical detection performance of Au/CaCO₃-GCE, it is necessary to set up a set of control experiments with a variable pH. The contrast CV curve was recorded in 100 μM nitrite ions, and the pH value of the phosphate buffer was fixed at 50 mV s⁻¹ in the range of 6.0 to 8.5 during scanning. As shown in Fig. 2b, as the pH increases from 6.0 to 7.5, the corresponding oxidation peak current intensity also increases, and reaches the highest peak at pH 7.5. Subsequently, the pH further increased from 7.5 to 8.5, and the peak oxidation current intensity began to decrease. It can be judged that pH = 7.5 is the best value for detecting nitrite ions.

Then it is necessary to further determine the mechanism of the electrode reaction and explore the influence of the scan rate on the peak current intensity. Fig. 2c shows the CV curve of Au/CaCO₃-GCE in a fixed pH = 7.5 phosphate buffer. The buffer is added with nitrite ions at a concentration of 100 μM, and the corresponding scan rate interval is set to 10–100 mV s⁻¹. The figure intuitively shows that as the scanning rate increases, the intensity of the oxidation peak shows a continuous increase, and the oxidation peak potential gradually shifts. Fig. 2d shows the linear relationship between the peak oxidation current intensity and the square root of the scan rate. They show an obvious positive correlation, the correlation coefficient $R^2 = 0.9910$, the related linear regression equation can be expressed as:

$$I_{pa} (\mu A) = 326.7100 \sqrt{v} - 14.0100$$

The data can powerfully confirm that the Au/CaCO₃ nanocomposite is a typical diffusion control process for nitrite, and further proves that the nanocomposite is an ideal sensing substance for electrochemical detection of nitrite.

Since the nanocomposite can effectively detect nitrite, it is necessary to analyze the electron rate constant to further quantify its performance. Define the reaction kinetics and electrochemical performance of Au/CaCO₃-GCE, and calculate the hetero electron rate constant ($K_a$) of nitrite by the following formula:

$$K_a = 2.415 \exp \left( \frac{-0.02F}{RT} \right) D^{1/2} \left( E_p - E^{1/2}_{p/2} \right)^{-1/2} V^{1/2}$$
where $E_p$ is the peak potential, $E_{p/2}$ is the potential equal to half of the peak current, $F = 96,485$ is Avogadro’s constant (C mol$^{-1}$), $V$ is the scanning speed (mV s$^{-1}$), and $D$ is the slope (Fig. 2d). By calculation, $K_s = 4.7960 \times 10^{-2}$ cm s$^{-1}$.

### 3.3 The detection limit of Au/CaCO$_3$-GCE

The detection limit is an important indicator to measure the detection performance. In this part of the work, a series of CV detections of nitrite ions were carried out with concentration as the only variable, so as to further the detection limit of Au/CaCO$_3$-GCE. Fig. 3a presents the CV curve (1–300 μM) of Au/CaCO$_3$-GCE in a phosphate buffer with pH = 7.5 for detecting gradient concentrations of nitrite ions at a scanning speed of 50 mV s$^{-1}$. It can be visually observed in the figure that there is an obvious positive correlation between the concentration of nitrite and the intensity of the characteristic peak. The increase in concentration correspondingly increases the density of nitrite ions per unit volume, and promotes the electrochemical reaction that occurs on the surface of Au/CaCO$_3$-GCE, and the resulting potential is thereby increased, resulting in a correspondingly increased CV curve. Fig. 3b shows the linear relationship between response intensity and nitrite concentration, which can be expressed as a linear regression equation through quantitative analysis:

$$I_{pa} (\mu A) = 842.30 \mu A - 2.1430$$

The calculated slope is 842.30. Based on the standard formula (LOD = 3σ/$d$), the detection limit can be calculated to be 11.55 nM. In addition, $R^2 = 0.9930$, indicating that the data has considerable credibility.

### 3.4 Instant current analysis of Au/CaCO$_3$-GCE

By analyzing the real-time current graph, it is possible to observe the influence of the current intensity at the moment when the concentration of the analyte changes, thereby

---

**Fig. 2** (a) CV curves of bare GCE (red), Au/CaCO$_3$-GCE in 100 μM NO$_2^-$ (black) under phosphate buffer (pH = 7.5) and Au/CaCO$_3$-GCE in pure buffer (blue) recorded at a scan rate of 50 mV s$^{-1}$; (b) CV responses of Au/CaCO$_3$-GCE in 100 μM NO$_2^-$ at 50 mV s$^{-1}$ under phosphate buffer at varied pH from 6.0–8.5; (c) CV curves of Au/CaCO$_3$-GCE in PBS (pH = 7.5) including 100 μM NO$_2^-$ at varied scan rate from 10–100 mV s$^{-1}$; (d) a liner correlation between the peak current and square root of scan rate.

**Fig. 3** (a) CV response of the Au/CaCO$_3$-GCE in pH = 7.5 phosphate buffer at a scan rate of 50 mV s$^{-1}$ under consecutive addition of NO$_2^-$ (concentrations within 1–300 μM), (b) the liner correlations plot of response current versus NO$_2^-$ concentrations.
reflecting the sensitivity of the Au/CaCO₃-GCE sensor. When the concentration changes, the current intensity suddenly changes to a steady state in an important indicator of the sensitivity of the detection sensor. Fig. 4 shows the instantaneous ampere intensity change graph of Au/CaCO₃-GCE at a voltage of 1.0 V when the test substance is continuously added to the supporting electrolyte at an interval of 50 s in a 20 mL pH = 7.5 phosphate buffer solution environment. Added 10 mM nitrite to the continuously stirred buffer solution at intervals of 20, 50, and 100 µL showed a typical stepped intensity transition as shown in the figure. The electrode system can respond quickly to each nitrite addition, and the sudden change of current reaches saturation within 5 s and stabilizes quickly, fully demonstrating the excellent sensitivity of the sensor.

3.5 The actual samples testing

It has been confirmed that the Au/CaCO₃-GCE sensor can achieve good detection limits in the standard solution, but whether it can be further promoted still needs to be determined by actual sample detection. In order to evaluate the application of the material in real samples, Au/CaCO₃-GCE was used to test 4 real samples, including tap water, river water, industrial wastewater, and oolong tea extract. Ensure that the experimental conditions and parameters are the same as above, add 2 µM nitrite ions to each of the four samples, and then use electrochemical methods to detect the recovery rate. Table 1 lists the relevant recovery values of all samples, and the recovery rates of the four samples all present a fairly high level. It is worth mentioning that the detection recovery rate of industrial wastewater exceeds 100%, which shows that the industrial wastewater does contain untreated nitrite, and the rapid detection of nitrite is an urgent task for keeping the safety both environment and human. In summary, Au/CaCO₃-GCE as a sensor can effectively detect nitrite in oolong tea samples and other actual samples, thereby it might become one of valid tools for food and environmental detection.

3.6 Performance comparison

Table 2 lists several new electrochemical detection nitrite ion sensors and compares their detection limits. It can be seen intuitively from the table that Au/CaCO₃-GCE has a lower detection limit than the above-mentioned sensors, which is enough to show that the Au/CaCO₃-GCE sensor has sufficient application potential. In addition, the sensor is constructed from eggshells, giving it a deeper environmental protection significance.

4. Conclusions

In this study, the Au/CaCO₃ nanocomposite was synthesized with eggshell as template by a simple and efficient synthesis method, no toxic reagents and organic solvents were added in the process. The nanocomposite material can be combined with GCE to construct an Au/CaCO₃-GCE electrochemical sensor for trace detection of nitrite, and the detection limit can reach 11.55 nM. The fabricated Au/CaCO₃-GCE also shows good performance in the detection of actual samples, and can be applied to the detection of nitrite ions in oolong tea, tap water, industrial wastewater, and river water. The nanocomposite material has the advantages of ultra-small particle size, excellent dispersibility, abundant exposed active sites, convenience, and excellent electronic performance. In addition, the raw material of Au/CaCO₃-GCE is discarded eggshells. In the current era of advocating green environmental protection, the surplus value of eggshells can be transformed by turning waste into treasure while reducing experimental costs. It is worthy of further development and utilization in near future.
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