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Abstract

Background

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has spread widely throughout the world and become a pandemic disease. In this study we decided to investigate the chest computed tomography (CT) findings in COVID-19 patients in Hamadan, west of Iran.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted on 101 patients with confirmed COVID-19 infection from February to March 2020. Demographic, clinical, laboratory and chest CT information of identified COVID-19 patients were assessed.

Results

Totally, 101 patients diagnosed with COVID-19 were included. The mean age of the patients was 55.21 ± 14.08 years and 54% (53.47%) of them were male. With regards to clinical manifestations, 82.18%, 72.28% and 54.46% of COVID-19 patients had dry cough, dyspnea and fever, respectively and 44.5% had lymphopenia. The right lower lobe was the most common (69%) and severe involved lobe followed by left lower lobe, right middle lobe, and lingual; however, anterior segment of upper lobes showed least involvement with abnormality in the late course of disease. The most common pattern is ground glass opacity, but atypical patterns such as round pneumonia, moderate to severe plural effusion and segmental lobar collapse consolidation was seen without evidence of mediastinal adenopathy, cavitation or nodule. Chest X ray (CXR) was not sensitive method as a first line imaging because 34.65% of them were normal.

Conclusion

CXR is not sensitive method as first line imaging too (34.65% normal first CXR), but Chest CT is very sensitive and nonspecific modality for diagnosis of COVID – 19. Lower lobe and posterior basal predominance involvements were seen in most cases. About 12% showed atypical chest CT features.

Background:

An outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection was first expanded in December 2019 in Wuhan, the capital of Hubei province of China and is being exported to a growing number of countries (1). Alongside severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS), COVID-19 is another common type of coronavirus that infect humans (2). The transmission way of
COVID-19 is direct contact from human to human, mainly through respiratory droplets, and also through contact by the same mechanism as other common cold or influenza viruses (3).

The symptoms of COVID-19 infection appear after an incubation period, that studies so far suggest a mean incubation period of five days (range: 0–24 days) (4). The most common presenting clinical symptoms of COVID-19 are fever, dry cough, dyspnea, muscle soreness, and fatigue (5, 6). Some of the patients reported have headache or hemoptysis and some have relatively asymptomatic (7, 8). Approximately 80% of COVID-19 infections are mild or asymptomatic, 15% are severe infection requiring oxygen and 5% are critical infections requiring ventilation and mortality is approximately 3% (9). The older patients are more likely to have respiratory failure due to severe alveolar damage (10). The old age, male sex, and presence of comorbidities are high risk factors for poor prognosis of the disease (1).

Real time- polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) technique has high false negative rate and needs at least 48 hours for determination, while chest CT has high sensitivity and gives the results in the small fraction of the time (11, 12). Therefore, chest CT plays an important role in early detection of chest abnormality in suspected case. These features of image CT help physicians for rapid management, planning, initiation of treatment, isolation of patients and determine severity of disease. Previous studies showed that imaging plays an important role in follow up of COVID-19 patients (13). There are few studies on imaging of COVID-19 patients and majority of them belong to China, therefore we conducted this study to observe the imaging characteristics of the COVID-19 patients in Iran.

Methods:

This cross-sectional study was performed in March 2020 in Hamadan Province, the west of Iran. The number of 101 patients with confirmed COVID-19 infection identified in all hospitals in the province affiliated to Hamadan University of Medical Sciences were enrolled in the study. Hamadan province with an area of 19493 square kilometers in extent is located in west of Iran and had a population of 1,758,268 people; according to the national census held in 2016 by Statistical Center of Iran: http://irandataportal.syr.edu/census/census-2016

The Ethics Committee of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences was approved the study. Patients with positive RT-PCR were considered as inclusion criteria and were assessed. It should be noted that these patients have not been reported in any other submission by us or anyone else.

A checklist contains demographic, clinical, laboratory findings and chest CT examination of identified COVID-19 patients were used for collecting data. Demographic and clinical data including signs, symptoms and underlying diseases history, were asked directly from the patients and when the patient was unable to respond due to a bad physical condition, the information was taken with the physician or referring to patient medical records. Biochemical blood test results were gathered by refer to the patient’s medical record.
For each patient chest images were reviewed independently. All hospitalized patient underwent chest computed tomography (CT Scan) using a multi-detector CT scanner with Somatom Emotion 16 slice channels (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) obtained in supine position and deep inspiration state without injection of contrast media. Acquisition parameters was as following characteristics: 170–200 MAs; 110–120 kVp; collimation 0.625–5 mm and pitch, 1.2. Images were reconstructed with slice thickness 0.625–5 mm and reconstruction interval 1–3 mm sharp reconstruction kernel all images viewed with lung (width, 1500 HU; level, −600 HU) and mediastinal window (width, 400 HU; level, 40 HU).

Two expert radiologists (with 11 and 20 years of experience in chest imaging, respectively) analyzed and reviewed all the chest radiographs and CT images on a picture archiving and communication system (PACS Marco) by consensus on controversial images. All images were evaluated for this parameters: presence of lesion, location and pattern of lung abnormality (ground glass opacity, patchy mix consolidation reticular interlobular septal thickening segment and lobe involvement, mostly and minimally involved lobe presence or absence of plural and pericardial effusion, lymphadenopathy cavitation, underlying lung disorder, presence or absence of reverse halo sign and crazy paving appearance. Ground glass opacity is defined as increased lung density without obscuration of vascular and bronchial margin due to partial filling of air space (14). Consolidation is increased density with obscuration of bronchial and vascular structure (14). Reverse halo sign of at all sign is described as partial ring or rim consolidation around the focal ground glass opacity. Crazy pacing appearance is defined as interlobular septal thickening in the background of ground glass opacity. Peripheral is defined as one third of periphery of lung in trans axial plane (14).

For data analysis we used descriptive statistics including: mean (SD) for describe the continuous variables and counts and percentage for describe the categorical variables arranged in frequency table and chart. Data were analyzed using Stata 14 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Results:

The total number of 101 COVID-19 patients with the mean age of 55.21 ± 14.08 years (range: 27–87 years) were investigated. Fifty-four (53.47%) were male. The age distribution of the patients is shown in Fig. 1.

Less than half had underlying diseases (36 patients [35.64%]): including cardiac disorder, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma and hypertension in 15.84%, 8.91%, 3.96% and 2.97% of cases, respectively (Fig. 2).

The main clinical symptoms at the early stage of illness were dry cough in 83 cases (82.18%), dyspnea in 73 cases (72.28%) and fever in 55 cases (54.46%). In regards of laboratory results, 45 (44.5%) had lymphopenia and in vast majority of them CRP were 2 plus. Clinical and laboratory findings of investigated patients are presented in Table 1.
Table 1
Clinical and laboratory findings in the COVID-19 patients

| Variables   | Characteristics | N (%) |
|-------------|-----------------|-------|
| Signs and symptoms | Fever          | 55 (54.46) |
|              | Dry cough       | 83 (82.18) |
|              | Sore throat     | 4 (3.96)   |
|              | Dyspnea         | 73 (72.28) |
| Laboratory  | Lymphopenia     | 45 (44.5)  |
|              | Thrombocytopenia| 8 (7.92)   |
|              | Anemia          | 17 (16.83) |
| CRP         | Negative        | 18 (17.82) |
|              | +               | 61 (60.40) |
|              | ++              | 17 (16.83) |
|              | +++             | 5 (4.95)   |

Among total cases, common CT features were ground glass opacity (GGO), inter lobular septal thickening and patchy consolidation in 64.35%, 33.66% and 26.73% cases, respectively. Other CT features is shown in Fig. 3.

Findings at initial chest CT examination in the COVID-19 patients are presented in Table 2. Of the 101 patients, 99 patients (98.02%) had bilateral involvement, but two of them had unilateral. Ninety-six patients (95.05%) had asymmetrical laterality and the right lobe was involved in 68.32% of them. At the first chest CT of the patients, involvements were seen in the right upper, middle and lower lobes, 39 (32.67%), 13(12.67%) and 69 (68.32%), respectively. However, the left upper and lower lobes were involved in 33 (38.61%) and 58 (57.43%), respectively. The most and least involvements were seen in the right lower lobe and right middle lobe in 58 (57.43%) and 30 (29.70%) of cases, respectively. In regards of CXR, 35 (34.65%) patients had normal CXR at the first day of diagnosis. In relation to other radiographic features of the patients it can be noted that 10 (9.9%) cases had mild plural thickening, 2 patients (1.98%) had pneumothorax, but 6 of them (5.94%) had mild to moderate plural effusion and 2 (1.98%) patients with severe plural effusion.
Table 2
Imaging characteristics at the initial chest CT in the COVID-19 patients

| Variables Characteristics | N (%)   |
|---------------------------|---------|
| **Lung involvement**      |         |
| Unilateral                | 2 (1.98) |
| Bilateral                 | 99 (98.02) |
| **Laterality**            |         |
| Symmetrical               | 5 (4.95) |
| Asymmetrical              | 96 (95.05) |
| **Involved lung**         |         |
| Both lobe                 | 5 (4.95) |
| Right lobe                | 69 (68.32) |
| Left lobe                 | 27 (26.73) |
| **Lung lobes involvement**|         |
| Left Lower Lobe           | 58 (57.43) |
| Left Upper Lobe           | 33 (38.61) |
| Right Upper Lobe          | 39 (32.67) |
| Right Middle Lobe         | 13 (12.87) |
| Right Lower Lobe          | 69 (68.32) |
| Lingual                   | 12 (11.88) |
| All                       | 52 (51.49) |
| **The most involvement**  |         |
| None                      | 1 (0.99) |
| Left Lower Lobe           | 29 (28.71) |
| Left Upper Lobe           | 6 (5.94) |
| Right Upper Lobe          | 9 (8.91) |
| Right Middle Lobe         | 6 (5.94) |
| Right Lower Lobe          | 58 (57.43) |
| Lingual                   | 3 (2.97) |
| **The least involvement** |         |
| None                      | 6 (5.94) |
| Left Lower Lobe           | 9 (8.91) |
| Left Upper Lobe           | 12 (11.88) |
| Right Upper Lobe          | 18 (17.82) |
| Right Middle Lobe         | 30 (29.70) |
## Discussion:

COVID-19 is a novel coronavirus disease caused by SARACov2 that spread in the world. In the present study, 101 patients were enrolled. The average age of the patients was 55.21 years with ranging from 27–87 years. Thirty-six percent had underlying or coexisting medical disorders including diabetes, cardiac disease, hypertension, COPD, asthma and end stage renal disease. This is different from influenza pneumonia which involved older patients with further underlying disorders (15, 16).

The most common symptom was dry cough (83%) followed by dyspnea (73%). Only 55% of the patients had fever and lymphopenia, anemia and thrombocytopenia were seen in 45%, 17% and 8% respectively. Moreover, chest CT scan had only 3.9% misdiagnosis of COVID-19 and should be considered as a rapid diagnosis tool.

In the study of Shi et al. showed the presence of ground glass opacities in 15 asymptomatic patients and suggested CT scan is a sensitive modality even in patient with false negative RT-PCR results and highly suspicious clinical findings (17). So according to high false negative rate of RT-PCR (18, 19) and high sensitivity of CT scan (up to 98%) (20) chest CT scan is accepted as a first screening tool for diagnosis of Covid-19 pneumonia. Another imaging modality is chest x ray however, chest x ray is not as sensitive as chest CT in evaluation of lung abnormality as first line of diagnostic tool in suspected cases. In the present study about 35% of the patients showed normal CXR as first imaging modality and 65% showed some nonspecific abnormal findings. According to Soon Ho Yoon et al. study, the most of Korean COVID-19 patients had normal chest x ray or ambiguous findings (21) and in Chinese COVID-19 patients chest radiographic abnormalities were seen in only in 60% of patients. Soon Ho Yoon et al.(21) also emphasized that findings of imaging is milder than two other similar viral infection (SARS and MERS-Cov pneumonia), and 33% of the COVID-19 cases had abnormal initial CXR while in the SARS and MERS-Cov infection 78.3–82.4% and 83.6% of initial CXR were abnormal, respectively (21). So, all of clinician should be familiar to limitation of CXR as a first line imaging tool in evaluation of COVID-19 pneumonia.

Another study on 121 chest CT by Adam Bernhiem et al. 56% of the patients in the early stage (0–2 days after symptom onset) had normal chest CT scan (2). In this study, chest CT scan that was performed in 2–4 days after symptom onset, lung abnormality was seen in the entire chest CT scan (100% of cases). CT findings of the patients were generally consistent with those of COVID-19 pneumonia patients in China (13).

In the present study, two patients had unilateral right lung disease (only in right lower lobe) and 98.02% showed bilateral lung involvement and in 95.05% of them asymmetrical involvement was seen. The
predominant lung involvement was right lung (69%), but in 27% of the patients, left lung involvement was
dominant and 5% of them showed symmetrical abnormality in both lungs. In the study of Bernheim et al.
reported that 20 (17%) patients had unilateral lung involvement, but left and right lungs involvement were
separately seen in 7 and 13 patients, respectively (2).

The hallmarks of novel coronavirus infection on imaging are bilateral GGO mostly peripheral, followed by
mixed patchy multifocal opacity and/or consolidation. However, in the study of Adam Bernheim et al.
22% of patients had no ground-glass opacity and no consolidation (2), while in our study 55% of patient
showed ground-glass opacity and 36% showed mixed ground glass opacity and patchy consolidations. In
the pictorial review study by Zheng Ye et al. pure ground glass opacity between 14–96% and mixed
ground glass opacity and consolidation between 19–59% were reported (22).

In this study predominant abnormality was seen in right lower lobe, which is the first involvement.
Posterior, peripheral and subpleural abnormalities were dominant features and anteriorly located
segments and lobes such as right middle lobe, an lingula and anterior segment of upper and lower lobes
showed less frequent, and less severe abnormality and often this lobes and segments abnormality was
seen in severe cases and later in the course of disease. In the another systematic review of imaging
findings of COVID-19 pneumonia, GGO is seen mainly in the lower lobe and less frequently in the middle
lobe (23). However, in the present study, right lower lobe abnormality is often early and the first radiologic
finding in COVID-19 pneumonia. In the study of Wu J et al. (24) the most frequent pattern was GGO (91%)
followed by consolidation (63%) and interlobular septal thickening (59%).

In fact, this peripheral GGO with or without mixed patchy consolidation is not characteristics for COVID-
19 pneumonia. Patchy ground glass opacity and consolidation with a predominantly subpleural and/or
peribronchial distribution and lower lobe predominance are the most common imaging features in
organizing pneumonia in CXR and chest CT scan however can affect all lung zone (25, 26), so the
involvement of lungs in COVID-19 pneumonia is categorized as organizing pneumonia.

Most pulmonary lesion in the viral infection was seen with peripheral and posterior lung predominant
distribution and this is not a characteristic finding for COVID-19 pneumonia. Distribution of SARS and
H1N1 is also peripherally located (27, 28). In the another study of Yan Li et al. on 53 patients, 51 patient
confirmed case of COVID-19 (with Nucleic acid testing) and two proved case of adenovirus CT findings
were similar to COVID-19 cases and showed ill-defined patchy GGO with segmental and subpleural
distribution (29). Yan Li et al. (29) were noted the ground glass pattern and coalescence in to
consolidation with predominance of lung periphery are similar to SASR and MERs infection and some
other studies emphasizes the non-specificity of chest CT finding.

According to study of Koo HJ et al. influenza pneumonia affected the lung with lower lobe predominance
(30). Wang et al. also emphasized that prominent and dominant distribution of H7N9 influenza
pneumonia is the right lower lobe (15). This is nonspecific pattern of organizing pneumonia as immune
reaction and corticosteroids might suppress and disappear this type of lung abnormality. However, there
is difference between influenza and COVID-19 pneumonia in comparison with influenza pneumonia
complete consolidation in COVID-19 pneumonia is less common. In the study by Wang Q et al. most patients with H7N9 pneumonia showed complete consolidation (15).

Another point about the pattern of lung involvement is that chest CT scan manifestation is altered with the course of disease and severity (18–19). In the present study, in mild cases and in the early stage of disease, GGO was predominant pattern and later with the progression of disease, the pattern followed by crazy paving and consolidation in chest CT. In addition, Shi et al.’s study (31) showed progression of GGO to bilateral diffuse consolidation at first to second weeks of infection after symptom onset and peripheral and posterior lung involvements were seen 78% and 67% of cases, respectively. In addition, the most of lesions were ill defined, composed of consolidation and ground glass opacities, and lower lobe predominance was also seen and less common nodular pattern was along the broncho vascular bundles. In the study on 121 chest CT by Adam Bernhiem et al. (2) there was a correlation between onset of clinical symptoms and chest CT scan and bilateral typical peripheral involvements in 0-2days: early, 3–5 days: intermediate and 3–12 days: late was observed in 28, 78 and 88% of patients, respectively.

Reticular thickening as interlobular septal thickening is seen due to interstitial lymphocyte infiltration (18). This is the second frequent lesion in COVID-19 pneumonia (24, 31). In the present study, 33% of patients showed interlobular septal thickening. Another atypical and less common finding was plural changes that as plural thickening and plural effusion were seen. The former is more frequent, 32% versus 5% (31).

In this study, plural thickening was seen in 10% and mild to moderate plural effusion was seen in 6%. Two cases in the end stage of disease showed moderate to severe plural effusion. Reverse halo sign is seen in 5% of the patients. In the study of Bernheim et al. and Yoon et al. reported reverse halo sign in 2 and 3% of COVID-19 patients (2, 21). This sign first described as specific finding in cryptogenic organizing pneumonia; however, recently believed this sign might have indicated an organizing pneumonitis due to some viral or fungal infection such as COVID-19 pneumonia (32).

In this study, other less frequent and atypical findings were seen as follow: 5% of the cases showed crazy paving appearance mostly in severe cases, 5% of patients had only one lobe of segment involvement, with segmental or lobar collapse consolidation appearance, one case with peribroncho vascular infiltration, one case with typical round pneumonia, mid generalized ground glass opacity in the entire lung was seen in one patient.

There was no case with significant lymph adenopathy, cavitation or nodular lesion or bronchectasia. Air bronchogram only was seen in case of consolidation, pericardial effusion was seen in 5%, and also 5% had hyperaeration and in two case mild unilateral pneumothorax was seen.

There are some limitations in this study such as retrospective design and no follow up chest CT scan after recovery of patients. Therefore, it is recommended more research in the future for better evaluation of lung involvement and proper management, and follow up chest CT after completing treatment should be considered. In the study of Fei shan et al. reported that automatically quantify infected lobes and segments and followed up CT scan every 3–5 day during management (12). In a study by Kay et al.
(33) recommended that focus on the encouraged researchers to evaluate other patterns of lung involvement for accurate diagnosis and atypical features of lung involvement in chest CT.

**Conclusion**

CXR is not sensitive method as a first imaging in diagnosis of COVID-19 pneumonia (35% normal CXR). Chest CT scan is very sensitive but is nonspecific and is not characteristic. Right lower lobe, mostly posterior basal segment is the most common lobe which is involved and the right middle lobe showed minimally and least involvement. Ground glass opacity, predominantly in lower posterior and peripheral distribution, was the most imaging feature which is compatible with non-specific organizing pneumonia and about 12% showed atypical imaging pattern.

**Appendix Files**

1. A COVID 19 positive patient, presenting fever and dry cough for 3 days, a CXR at admission was normal, bilateral GGO in both lower lobes with patchy
2. A COVID 19 positive patient, presenting with fever and dyspnea from 3 days ago. CT scan shows ground glass and crazy paving appearance in left lower lobe and focal reticular density in the right lower lobe and right anterior pneumothorax
3. A COVID 19 positive patient, with severe cough and dyspnea for 5 days’ chest x ray shows severe generalized ground glass opacity and consolidation in both lung (white lung)
4. A COVID 19 positive patient, with presenting with dry cough and dyspnea from 3 days ago CT scan shows typical patchy peripheral and posterior predominant opacity scattered in the both lung

**Abbreviations**

COVID-19
Coronavirus Disease 2019
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Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome
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Middle East Respiratory Syndrome
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