Cation substitution induced novel gehlenite Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$:Eu$^{2+}$/Ce$^{3+}$ phosphor with green/blue emission for UV-WLEDs†
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By partially substituting Ga$^{3+}$ ions at Al(2a) and Al2/Si1(4e) sites in gehlenite Ca$_2$Al$_5$Si$_2$O$_7$, novel Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$:Eu$^{2+}$/Ce$^{3+}$ phosphors with efficient green and blue emissions have been prepared for the first time. Structure and luminescence properties of these newly obtained samples have been investigated in detail together with the effect of Ga$^{3+}$ substitution which has caused a decrement of Eu$^{2+}$/Ce$^{3+}$ doped Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$ samples had broad excitation bands in the UV range, which are favourable properties for application as UV-LED phosphors. Under 350 nm irradiation, the Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$:Eu$^{2+}$ had intense emission peaking at 510 nm, while Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$:Ce$^{3+}$ emitted bright blue light. The energy migration mechanism of the Eu$^{2+}$ ions was confirmed to be electric dipole–dipole interaction in terms of the experimental results and analysis. The thermal quenching mechanism, and quantum efficiency of the composition-optimized samples have been investigated. Moreover, a white LED device has been obtained by coating our prepared phosphors with CaAlSiN$_3$ red phosphor on a UV chip. The experimental results suggested that the Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$:Eu$^{2+}$ and Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$:Ce$^{3+}$ samples might have potential value in serving as green and blue phosphors for UV-WLEDs, respectively.

1. Introduction

In recent decades, the environmental and energy issues have become more and more severe, which make the population of WLEDs (white light emitting diodes) become urgent since they have many advantages over traditional lighting, for example, good reliability, high brightness and long service time. Currently, a phosphor-converted WLED is the main approach to realize white light, in which the phosphor serves as the key material for governing the colour characteristics. In the commercial market, the most popular fabrication of WLEDs is InGaN blue-emitting chips, coating with yellow-emitting YAG:Ce. However, this combination faces the problems of low performance of WLEDs in this combination strongly depends on the emission spectra of phosphors, making the luminescence properties easy to control. In this way, it is urgently needed to search for new phosphors matching well with the commercial UV chips to meet the requirement of warm white light.

Novel emission phosphors can be sought in a variety ways, e.g., exploring new host through single-particle-diagnosis approach, utilizing energy transfer between different dopants, designing isostructural solid solutions, and adjusting the metal–ligand hybridization and crystal field splitting by cationic/anionic substitutions. The ionic substitution of host frequently employed in Ce$^{3+}$ and Eu$^{2+}$ ions doped phosphors since the energy levels of outmost 5d orbitals are easily affected by the coordination environment. Moreover, the spin-and orbit-allowed electron transition results in intense luminescence intensity which is one of the key factors for application. Li et al. had obtained different emission colours with Ba$^{2+}$ substituting for Sr$^{2+}$ in Sr$_2$Si$_2$O$_5$:Eu$^{2+}$. Won Bin Im had reported green phosphors with different CIE coordinates by...
substituting Ba$^{2+}$ for Sr$^{2+}$ ion in Sr$_5$Ce$_{0.025}$AlO$_4$F.$^{22}$ Among many kinds of inorganic materials, aluminosilicate-based phosphors have been investigated due to their relatively easy preparation, structural diversity, and good thermal stability. In 1982, M. Kimata investigated the structure of Ca$_2$Al$_2$SiO$_7$ gehlenite in detail.$^{23}$ Qiu and Wang et al. reported luminescence property of Eu$^{2+}$ and Ce$^{3+}$/Th$^{4+}$ ion in this host, respectively.$^{24,25}$ Wang et al. obtained yellowish green emission by substituting Sr$^{2+}$ for Ca$^{2+}$ ions Ca$_2$Al$_2$SiO$_7$:Eu$^{2+}$.$^{26}$ Xia et al. obtained solid solution by substituting Mg$^{2+}$-Si$^{4+}$ for Al$^{3+}$–Al$^{3+}$ in Ca$_2$Al$_2$SiO$_7$.$^{27}$ As we know that the Ga$^{3+}$ ion has similar property with Al$^{3+}$ due to their same valence states and outmost electron configurations. The Ga$^{3+}$ ions can be used to tune the emission wavelength and improve the luminescence performance of phosphors, for example, the commercial green Y$_{3}$ (Al,Ga)$_{5}$ O$_{12}$:Ce$^{3+}$ and Y$_{3}$ (Al,Ga)$_{5}$ O$_{12}$:Tb$^{3+}$ phosphors. Moreover, the gallate based oxides had been proved to be good host materials for phosphor.$^{28,29}$ In this work, by partially substituting Ga$^{3+}$ for Al$^{3+}$ ions, we firstly obtained the Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$ which can be expressed as Ca$_2$Al$_{0.5}$Ga$_{0.5}$SiO$_7$. From Fig. S1, we can see the Ca$^{2+}$ sites in the lattice are substituted by the Ga$^{3+}$ substitution. The performance of our prepared phosphors has great attraction for UV-WLEDs.

2. Experimental section

2.1 Starting materials and synthesis

Series of Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$ :xEu$^{2+}$/yCe$^{3+}$/yLi$^+$ (CGASO:xEu$^{2+}$/yCe$^{3+}$/yLi$^+$) samples had been prepared via common solid-state reactions. The starting materials CaCO$_3$ (A.R.), Ga$_2$O$_3$ (A.R.), Al$_2$O$_3$ (A.R.), SiO$_2$ (A.R.), Li$_2$CO$_3$(A.R.), CeO$_2$ (99.99%) and Eu$_2$O$_3$ (99.99%) were firstly weighed on analytical balance in accordance with the molar ratio of each element in the formula. Since the valence states between Ca$^{2+}$ and Ce$^{3+}$ are different, the addition of charge compensators becomes necessary. Thus, the Li$_2$CO$_3$ providing Li$^+$ ions were added during the preparation. Raw materials with proper ratio were well mixed by thoroughly grinding for 15 min. After that, the mixtures were sintered at 1300 °C for 3 h under reductive atmosphere (20% H$_2$ + 80% N$_2$) to form the as-prepared phosphors.

2.2 Characterization techniques

Phase identification of our as-prepared phosphors was confirmed by powder XRD analysis operated on AXS D8 (Bruker) with radiation of CuK$_\alpha$ ($\lambda = 0.15405$ nm) at 40 kV and 40 mA. The photoluminescence emission and excitation spectra were collected on Hitachi fluorescence spectrophotometer (F-7000) with excitation source being 150 W xenon lamp. Diffuse reflectance spectra measurement was performed on Hitachi spectrophotometer (U-4100-UV/Vis) using BaSO$_4$ as standard reference. For the luminescence dynamic measurement, the signals were collected on digital oscilloscope (Lecroy Wave Runner 6100, 1 GHz) with tunable laser serving as excitation source (pulse width: 4 ns, gate: 50 ns). Photoluminescence quantum yield (QY) of our prepared samples was collected on C9920-02 absolute quantum yield system (Hamamatsu Photonics K.K., Japan). The spectra at different temperatures were operated on Edinburgh Instrument spectrophotometer (FLS 920) equipped with temperature controller. The EL spectrum, CIE coordinates, colour rendering index (CRI), and colour temperature (CCT) of the fabricated LED device were measured by Starspec SSP6612.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Phase analysis

Phase purity of our prepared phosphors is checked by powder X-ray diffraction and the typical patterns have been shown in Fig. 1. The diffraction peaks of Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$ host and rare earth ions doped samples match well with the standard data of Ca$_2$Al$_2$SiO$_7$ (JCPDS#35-0755) which is shown as a comparison. The results reveal that the as-prepared samples are single phase and have same structure with Ca$_2$Al$_2$SiO$_7$. Since the ionic radius of Ga$^{3+}$ ($r = 0.47$ Å, CN = 4) is much larger than that of Al$^{3+}$ ion ($r = 0.39$ Å, CN = 4), the substitution of Ga$^{3+}$ has caused the diffraction peaks shift to lower angle as can be seen in Fig. S1 (ESI†). Considering the similar ionic radii, the doped rare earth ions are thought to occupy the site of Ca$^{2+}$ ions. Due to the different valence between Ce$^{3+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$, the doping of Ce$^{3+}$ will lead to positive charges in the host, which can affect stability and luminescence intensity of the phosphor. To solve this problem, the Li$^+$ ions serving as charge compensators were added along with Ce$^{3+}$ ions. In the host, one Ce$^{3+}$ ion and one Li$^+$ ion are considered to replace two Ca$^{2+}$ ions, which can be demonstrated as $2V_{Ca} + Ce^{3+} + Li^+ \rightarrow Ce_{Ca} + Li_{Ca}$. From Fig. 1, we can see the Ca$^{2+}$ sites in the lattice are substituted by the doped ions without causing any impurity.

Rietveld structure refinement of the Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$ host has been conducted using the crystal structure parameters of Ca$_2$Al$_2$SiO$_7$ as initial structure model. The refinement results are listed in Table 1 and the refined XRD profiles together with
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**Fig. 1** Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of typical prepared samples.

The standard data of Ca$_2$Al$_2$SiO$_7$ (JCPDS#35-0755) are shown as references.
Paper

Table 1: Final refined structure parameters of CGASO host derived from the Rietveld refinement of X-ray diffraction data

| Atom   | Site | x      | y      | z      | Frac | Uiso |
|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|------|------|
| Ca1    | 4e   | 0.3397(8) | 0.1602(2) | 0.5092(5) | 1.00 | 0.0119(7) |
| Ca2    | 2a   | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.50 | 0.02500 |
| Ga2    | 4e   | 0.14310 | 0.35690 | 0.95630 | 0.25 | 0.02500 |
| Al1    | 2a   | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.50 | 0.02500 |
| Al2    | 4e   | 0.14310 | 0.35690 | 0.95630 | 0.25 | 0.02500 |
| Si1    | 4e   | 0.14310 | 0.35690 | 0.95630 | 0.50 | 0.02500 |
| O1     | 2c   | 0.50000 | 0.00000 | 0.17590 | 1.00 | 0.02500 |
| O2     | 4e   | 0.1477(7) | 0.3523(7) | 0.2863(9) | 1.00 | 0.0164(2) |
| O3     | 8f   | 0.0881(6) | 0.1670(6) | 0.7823(8) | 1.00 | 0.0121(1) |

*a Cell parameters: a = 7.7441(2) Å, b = 7.7441(2) Å, c = 5.1039(2) Å, α = β = γ = 90°, V = 306.08(1) Å³, Z = 2, space group: P42/m (113); reliability factors: χ² = 2.649, Rwp = 7.35%, Rp = 5.63% Average ⟨Ca⟩-O bond length: 2.548(6) Å.

crystal structures are demonstrated in Fig. 2. The Ca₂GaAlSiO₇ host possesses tetrahedral crystal system and P42/m (113) space group. In the lattice, the Ca²⁺ site is coordinated by eight oxygen ions forming polyhedron, which can be substituted by Eu²⁺/Ce³⁺ ion forming emission centre. The Ga³⁺, Al³⁺ and Si⁴⁺ cations are 4-fold coordinated and form [GaO₄], [AlO₄] and [SiO₄] tetrahedrons, respectively. These tetrahedrons are further connected by sharing oxygen atoms. The 2a sites are occupied by Ga³⁺ and Al³⁺ ions with the ratio of 1 : 1, while the 4e sites are occupied by Ga³⁺ : Al³⁺ : Si⁴⁺ = 1 : 1 : 2. The fit quality of this refinement is pretty good which can be reflected from reliability results χ² = 2.649, Rwp = 7.35%, Rp = 5.63%. The cell parameters of our prepared Ca₂GaAlSiO₇ are determined to be a = b = 7.7441(2) Å, c = 5.1039(2) Å and V = 306.08(1) Å³, while the standard lattice parameters of Ca₂Al₂SiO₇ reported by M. Kimata are a = b = 7.6770(3) Å, c = 5.0594(3) Å and V = 298.18(2) Å³. The expansion of cell volume for as-prepared Ca₂GaAlSiO₇ can be ascribed to large radius of Ga³⁺ than Al³⁺ ions. Moreover, the average length of Ca–O bond was determined to be 2.548(6) Å in Ca₂GaAlSiO₇ host, which is shorter than that in Ca₂Al₂SiO₇ (Ca–O: 2.563 Å). This phenomenon can be ascribed to that the substitution of Ga³⁺ for Al³⁺ ions will increase the lattice strain due to the cation size mismatch. And thus, the anion clusters in the host will reduce this strain by shorting the cation–anion (Ca–O) distance.²⁰

3.2 Photoluminescence properties of CGASO:Eu²⁺ and CGASO:Ce³⁺,Li⁺ phosphors

Fig. 3 depicts reflection spectra of our typical prepared samples. For the CGASO host, there is a sharp drop under 230 nm caused by electron transition from valence band (VB) to conduction band (CB) of the host. For rare earth ions doped samples, the reflection spectra exhibit not only the host absorption but also absorption bands arising from 4f → 5d electronic transition of Eu²⁺ and Ce³⁺ ions. The absorption band of CGASO:0.012Eu²⁺ covers the UV and blue region (250–480 nm), while the absorption band of CGASO:0.02Ce³⁺,0.02Li⁺ is in the range of 250–400 nm with several peaks. By utilizing the following equation, an approximate band gap value can be obtained:²³

\[
[F(R_\infty)h\nu]^{-n} = A(h\nu - E_g)
\]

where \(h\nu\) represents the photon energy; \(E_g\) is the optical band gap value; \(n = 1/2\) and 2 corresponds for indirect and direct transition, respectively; \(A\) stands for a constant; \(F(R_\infty)\) stands for Kubelka–Munk function reported by Kubelka and Munk in 1931, which can be written as²²

Fig. 3 Diffuse reflection spectra of (a) CGASO, (b) CGASO:0.012Eu²⁺ and (c) CGASO:0.02Ce³⁺,0.02Li⁺ samples. The inset picture shows the relationship between \([F(R_\infty)h\nu]^2\) and \(h\nu\) of the CGASO host.
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Fig. 2 (a) Rietveld refinement pattern of CGASO host and the coordinate environment of Ca²⁺ ion. (b) Unit cell structure of CGASO viewing along c and b direction.
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in which $S$, $K$ and $R$ represent for coefficients of scattering, absorption and reflection of light energy, respectively. By making $[F(R_{\infty})VR+K/S]^{2}$ plot against energy ($h\nu$) and extrapolating to $[F(R_{\infty})VR+K/S]^{2}=0$, an intersection between the straight line and the energy axis is obtained and the value at this point is the band gap value. For CGASO host, $E_{g}$ was calculated to be 5.37 eV.

The photoluminescence emission (PL) and excitation (PLE) spectra of as-prepared Eu$^{2+}$ doped phosphors have been depicted in Fig. 4. The excitation spectrum of CGASO:0.012Eu$^{2+}$ consists a main band peaking at 350 nm and a shoulder band peaking at 290 nm, resulting from the spin- and orbit-allowed electron transition from 4f$^{7}$ ground state to 4f$^{6}$5d$^{1}$ excited state. At the irradiation of 350 nm, the phosphor exhibits a broad emission spectrum with maximum at 508 nm. According to the literatures, the energy difference between emission peak wavelength and zero phonon line equals to half of the Stokes shift approximately. Moreover, the energy of zero-phonon line can be estimated from the intersection of the emission and excitation spectra empirically. For our prepared CGASO:0.012Eu$^{2+}$ sample, the lowest excited state of $^7F_1$ multiplet of the 4f$^{6}$ configuration (zero-phonon line) is determined to be 2.85 eV utilizing the intersection point (435 nm) of excitation and emission curves. In this case, energy difference between emission peak and zero-phonon line is calculated to be 0.42 eV. Correspondingly, the Stokes shift value for CGASO:Eu$^{2+}$ sample is estimated to be 0.84 eV. The emission spectra of Ca$_2$(Al, Ga)$_2$SiO$_4$ with different Ga$^{3+}$ substitution content had been shown in Fig. S2, from which a blue shift can be observed. As discussed previously, the Ga$^{3+}$ substitution can make the Eu–O bond length become shorter since the Eu$^{2+}$ ions will occupy the Ca$^{2+}$ site in the lattice. Thus, crystal field splitting of 5d energy level of Eu$^{2+}$ should become larger since $D_0 \propto 1/R^6$. In this way, a red shift is expected other than a blue shift. However, the emission of Eu$^{2+}$ is also affected by nephelauxetic effect arising from the covalency between cation and ligands. The electronegativity of Ga (1.81) is higher than that of Al (1.61), which will enlarge the electron-cloud overlap of Ga–O. In this case, the covalency of Eu–O connected in Eu–O–Ga will decrease and finally cause the emission shift to a short wavelength. Thus, the blue shift is the result of both crystal field splitting and nephelauxetic effect due to the decrement of bond length and covalency of Eu–O.

In order to obtain the optimal doping concentration of Eu$^{2+}$ ions, CGASO:xEu$^{2+}$ phosphors with $x$ varying from 0.003 to 0.021 have been prepared and measured. From Fig. 5(a) we can see that the emission intensity value of CGASO:Eu$^{2+}$ experiences a parabolic change in the whole doping range of Eu$^{2+}$ ions and the maximum emission intensity appears at $x = 0.012$. The decrement of emission intensity with further increment of Eu$^{2+}$ content is resulting from concentration quenching effect. The relationship between emission intensity and doping content of phosphors has been depicted in Fig. 5(b) for having an intrusive view. On the basis of Dexter’s energy transfer theory, energy migration among the activators appears at high doping content and further results in the concentration quenching. Utilizing the following equation given by G. Blass, critical distance ($R_c$) for the dopants can be estimated:

$$R_c \approx 2 \left[ \frac{3V}{4\pi \chi N} \right]^{1/3}$$

In the above formula, $x_c$ stands for critical concentration, $V$ represents the unit cell volume, $N$ is the number of cation sites that activators can substitute in each unit cell. For CGASO host, $N = 4, V = 306.08$ Å$^3$ and $x_c = 0.012$. Thus, the value of $R_c$ is obtained as 23.01 Å. For oxide phosphors, multipole–multipole interaction and exchange interaction among the activators are usually responsible for non-radiative energy transfer. However, the exchange interaction can be excluded in our discussion since it only fits the energy transfer of forbidden transitions and occurs with critical distance of activators smaller than 5 Å. As a result, multipole–multipole interaction is the main reason for concentration quenching of the Eu$^{2+}$ in our prepared phosphor. According to the report of Van Uiter in 1971, the PL intensity ($I$) per Eu$^{2+}$ ion in CGASO:Eu$^{2+}$ phosphor satisfy the equation:$^{17,18}$

$$I = \frac{C_1}{x_c^{1/3} + x - x_c^{1/3}} + C_2$$

where $C_1$ and $C_2$ are constants.

\[ F(R_{\infty}) = \frac{(1-R)^2}{2R} = \frac{K}{S} \quad (2) \]

Fig. 4 Excitation and emission spectra of CGASO:0.012Eu$^{2+}$ phosphor.
\[
\frac{I}{x} = k \left[1 + \beta(x)^{3/2}\right]^{-1}
\]  

(4)

in which \(\beta\) and \(k\) are constants in a given condition; \(\theta = 6, 8\) and \(10\) stands for electric dipole–dipole (d–d), dipole–quadrupole (d–q), and quadrupole–quadrupole (q–q) interactions, respectively; \(x\) is the concentration of Eu\(^{2+}\) ions. To get a precise value of \(\theta\), the relationship between \(\log(I/x)\) and \(\log(x)\) is plotted after taking logarithm on eqn (4). Correspondingly, the value of \(\theta\) obtained from the slope of the fitting line as shown in Fig. 5(c) is found to be 6.63, revealing that the concentration quenching of Eu\(^{2+}\) ions is mainly resulted from electric dipole–dipole interaction.

The PL and PLE spectra of CGASO:0.02Ce\(^{3+}\),0.02Li\(^+\) phosphor have been shown in Fig. 6. The PLE spectrum with monitor wavelength being 410 nm covers a range from 225 to 375 nm containing three peaks at 254, 293 and 350 nm. This broad excitation band is resulted from spin- and orbit-allowed \(4f^1 \rightarrow 4f^5d^4\) electronic transition of the Ce\(^{3+}\) ions in crystal field. The emission peak of CGASO:0.02Ce\(^{3+}\),0.02Li\(^+\) locates at 410 nm. Similar with the Eu\(^{2+}\) doped samples, the substitution of Ga\(^{3+}\) for Al\(^{3+}\) ions in Ca\(_2\)Al\(_2\)SiO\(_4\) host has also caused blue shift of the emission spectra as shown in Fig. S3.† Generally, the ground state of the Ce\(^{3+}\) ions can split into two energy levels (\(2F_{7/2}\) and \(2F_{5/2}\)) owing to spin–orbit interaction. As a result, two symmetric bands with energy difference being \(\sim\)2000 cm\(^{-1}\) can be obtained by Gaussian decomposition of the emission band.\(^{39,40}\)

For our prepared CGASO:0.02Ce\(^{3+}\),0.02Li\(^+\) phosphor, wavelength numbers of the two well-separated Gaussian components are 23 180 cm\(^{-1}\) and 25 007 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to \(4F^5d^1 \rightarrow 2F_{7/2}\) and \(4F^5d^1 \rightarrow 2F_{5/2}\) transitions, respectively. The energy difference determined to be 1827 cm\(^{-1}\) agrees well with the theoretical value.

The Ce\(^{3+}\) doped samples with different doping contents had been synthesized and investigated. As shown in Fig. 7, the optimal doping concentration is \(x = 0.02\) for the Ce\(^{3+}\) doped samples. When the value of \(y\) is more than \(0.02\), the energy migration among Ce\(^{3+}\) ions has a negative effect on the emission intensity. The relation between Ce\(^{3+}\) luminescence intensity and its doping concentration has also been illustrated in Fig. 7 for a clearly view. One can also see that the emission spectra have a small red shift with the increment of Ce\(^{3+}\) content, resulting from the variation of the crystal field splitting around Ce\(^{3+}\) ions. Since the Li\(^+\) ions codoped with Ce\(^{3+}\) ions have smaller radius than Ca\(^{2+}\) ions, the doping of the Li\(^+\) ions can shrinks the CGASO crystal lattice. The variation on crystal volume makes the crystal field strength around the Ce\(^{3+}\) ions enhance and the 5d energy levels of Ce\(^{3+}\) split largely. As a result, the Ce\(^{3+}\) emission shift to longer wavelength. The luminescence spectra indicate that the Ce\(^{3+}\) doped CGASO sample is a potential UV excited blue emission phosphor.

3.3 Luminescence dynamic, thermal stability and CIE coordinates of CGASO:Eu\(^{2+}\) and CGASO:Ce\(^{3+}\),Li\(^+\) phosphors

To have an understanding on the luminescence dynamic of the phosphors, the decay curves of CGASO:xEu\(^{2+}\) (\(\lambda_{ex} = 350\) nm, \(\lambda_{em} = 508\) nm) and CGASO:yCe\(^{3+}\),yLi\(^+\) (\(\lambda_{ex} = 350\) nm, \(\lambda_{em} = 410\) nm) samples have been measured and illustrated in Fig. 8. The average lifetimes of the samples can be determined by the following equation:

\[
\tau_{avg} = \frac{\int_0^\infty I(t)dt}{\int_0^\infty I(t)dt}
\]

(5)

Fig. 7 Emission spectra of CGASO:yCe\(^{3+}\),yLi\(^+\) (\(y = 0.005\sim 0.05\)) phosphors. The inset shows the relationship between emission intensity and doping content of Ce\(^{3+}\) ion.
gradually owing to thermal quenching. At 150 °C, the luminescence intensity of CGASO:0.012Eu$^{2+}$ and CGASO:0.02Ce$^{3+},0.02$Li decreased to 50.2% and 71.8% of their initial intensity at 25 °C. Generally, there are two models to explain the reason of thermal quenching. One is the autoionization model proposed by Dorenbos, the other is configurational diagram as depicted in inset of Fig. 9. The autoionization model has some limitations and is only suitable for the phosphors that the 5d energy level of rare earth ions is close to the conduction band minimum well before the 4f–5d crossing point. The configurational diagram depicts relationship between energy and ionic degrees of freedom for both ground and excited state of a material and is extremely successful in demonstrating various luminescence processes. Here, the latter one is employed to demonstrating the thermal quenching of prepared phosphors. Under UV irradiation, the electrons of activators can be excited from ground state (point a) to excited state (point b). The excited electrons will modify the forces inside the crystal and alter the average atomic positions from $X_0$ to $X_0^*$, leading to the crossing of the 5d and 4f energy curves. At low temperature, the excited electrons will go back to ground state with light emission after dissipation some energy through phonon relaxation and the crystal will also returns to its original ground state geometry. However, at high temperature the excited electrons can absorb the phonon energy and be thermally activated to the crossing point. Finally, the electrons at crossing point decay non-radiatively to the ground state, making the thermal quenching appear. This phenomenon will be more severe with temperature increasing. Energy difference between point e and c is called activation energy ($\Delta E$) which affects the thermal stability of phosphors directly. The value of $\Delta E$ can be determined by the following modify Arrhenius equation:

$$I_T = I_0 \left[1 + A \exp \left(\frac{-\Delta E}{kT}\right)\right]^{-1}$$

where $I_0$ the initial emission intensity, $I_T$ stands for the emission intensity at different temperatures, $A$ is a constant for a given host, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant ($8.629 \times 10^{-5}$ eV K$^{-1}$).

![Fig. 9](https://example.com/fig9.png)

Fig. 9 Relative emission intensities of CGASO:0.012Eu$^{2+}$ and CGASO:0.02Ce$^{3+},0.02$Li samples at different temperatures. The inset depict the configuration coordinate diagram and plots of $\ln[(I_0/I_T) - 1]$ versus $1/kT$ for CGASO:0.012Eu$^{2+}$ and CGASO:0.02Ce$^{3+},0.02$Li$^{+}$ samples.

Quantum yield (Φ) as an important parameter for the prepared phosphor has been measured by integrated sphere method. Under 350 nm irradiation, quantum yields for CGASO:0.012Eu$^{2+}$ and CGASO:0.02Ce$^{3+},0.02$Li$^{+}$ samples are 65.0% and 65.2%, respectively. Since the value of quantum yield can be easily affected by the morphology, crystallinity and size of the phosphor, the Φ can be further improved by optimize the preparation condition and phosphor composition. Fig. 10(a) illustrates the Commission International del’Eclairage (CIE) chromaticity coordinates of typical CGASO:0.012Eu$^{2+}$ and CGASO:0.02Ce$^{3+},0.02$Li$^{+}$ determined by their corresponding PL...
spectrum. For the Eu$^{2+}$ doped phosphor, the CIE coordinates located in the green region with the value being (0.193, 0.504), which is consistent with its emission colour as can be seen from the digital photograph. The CIE coordinates of CGASO:0.02Ce$^{3+}$,0.02Li$^{+}$ was determined to be (0.156, 0.037). The luminescence properties indicate that our prepared CGASO:0.012Eu$^{2+}$ and CGASO:0.02Ce$^{3+}$,0.02Li$^{+}$ phosphors are potential UV light excited green and blue phosphors, respectively. It is known to us that white light can be obtained when mix blue, green and red light at appropriate intensity. To demonstrate the application of prepared phosphors, a white LED device has been fabricated by coating phosphors (CGASO:0.012Eu$^{2+}$, CGASO:0.02Ce$^{3+}$,0.02Li$^{+}$, and GaAlSiO$_7$:Eu$^{2+}$) on a 365 nm UV chip. The corresponding EL spectrum and digital photograph has been depicted in Fig. 10(b). The Ra and CCT values for the fabricated white LED device with CIE coordinates being (0.359, 0.320) are determined to be 82.1 and 4232 K, respectively.

4. Conclusions

To summarize, we have obtained the UV efficiently excited Eu$^{2+}$ and Ce$^{3+}$ doped Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$ phosphors firstly by cation substitution. Structure refinement by GSAS indicates that Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$ own tetrahedral crystal system and P4$_2$,m (113) space group. The Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$:0.012Eu$^{2+}$ sample emits intense green light with CIE coordinates being (0.193, 0.504) and quantum efficiency being 65.0%, while the emission of Ca$_2$GaAlSiO$_7$:0.02Ce$^{3+}$,0.02Li$^{+}$ locates in blue region with CIE coordinates being (0.156, 0.037) and quantum efficiency value being 65.2%. The substitution of Ga$^{3+}$ for Al$^{3+}$ has caused a blue shift of emission due to the decrease of cation-ligand distance and covalency. At 150 °C, the emission intensity of CGASO:0.012Eu$^{2+}$ and CGASO:0.02Ce$^{3+}$,0.02Li$^{+}$ decrease to 50.2% and 71.8% of their initial values, respectively. Moreover, a white LED device with Ra = 82.1 and CCT = 4232 K had been fabricated by 365 nm UV chip with our prepared phosphors and commercial red phosphor. The investigation suggests that the Eu$^{2+}$ and Ce$^{3+}$ activated samples may be potential green and blue phosphors for UV WLEDs.
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