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Abstract
In this paper, we introduce the binomial sequence spaces $b_{0}^{(m)}(B^{(m)})$, $b_{c}^{(m)}(B^{(m)})$ and $b_{c}^{(m)}(B^{(m)})$ by combining the binomial transformation and difference operator. We prove the BK-property and some inclusion relations. Furthermore, we obtain Schauder bases and compute the $\alpha$-, $\beta$- and $\gamma$-duals of these sequence spaces. Finally, we characterize matrix transformations on the sequence space $b_{c}^{(m)}(B^{(m)})$.
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1 Introduction and preliminaries
Let $w$ denote the space of all sequences. By $\ell_{p}$, $\ell_{\infty}$, $c$ and $c_{0}$, we denote the spaces of absolutely $p$-summable, bounded, convergent and null sequences, respectively, where $1 \leq p < \infty$. A Banach sequence space $Z$ is called a BK-space [1] provided each of the maps

$p_{n}: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $p_{n}(x) = x_{n}$ is continuous for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is of great importance in the characterization of matrix transformations between sequence spaces. One can prove that the sequence spaces $\ell_{\infty}$, $c$ and $c_{0}$ are BK-spaces with their usual sup-norm.

Let $Z$ be a sequence space, then Kizmaz [2] introduced the following difference sequence spaces:

$Z(\Delta) = \{ (x_{k}) \in w : (\Delta x_{k}) \in Z \}$

for $Z \in \{ \ell_{\infty}, c, c_{0} \}$, where $\Delta x_{k} = x_{k} - x_{k+1}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Et and Colak [3] defined the generalization of the difference sequence spaces

$Z(\Delta^{m}) = \{ (x_{k}) \in w : (\Delta^{m} x_{k}) \in Z \}$

for $Z \in \{ \ell_{\infty}, c, c_{0} \}$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Delta^{m} x_{k} = x_{k}$ and $\Delta^{m} x_{k} = \Delta^{m-1} x_{k} - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which is equivalent to the binomial representation $\Delta^{m} x_{k} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^{i} \binom{m}{i} x_{k+i}$. Since then, many authors have studied further generalization of the difference sequence spaces [4–7]. Moreover, Altay and Polat [8], Başarir and Kara [9–13], Başarir, Kara and Konca [14], Kara [15], Kara and İlkhan [16, 17], Polat and Başar [18], Song and Meng [19] and many others have studied new sequence spaces from matrix point of view that represent difference operators.

For an infinite matrix $A = (a_{n,k})$ and $x = (x_{k}) \in w$, the $A$-transform of $x$ is defined by $Ax = (Ax)_{n}$ and is supposed to be convergent for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $(Ax)_{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} x_{k}$.
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For two sequence spaces $X$ and $Y$ and an infinite matrix $A = (a_{n,k})$, the sequence space $X_A$ is defined by

$$X_A = \{ x = (x_k) \in w : Ax \in X \}, \quad (1.1)$$

which is called the domain of matrix $A$ in the space $X$. By $(X : Y)$, we denote the class of all matrices such that $X \subseteq Y_A$.

The Euler means $E^r$ of order $r$ is defined by the matrix $E^r = (e_{n,k}^r)$, where $0 < r < 1$ and

$$e_{n,k}^r = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{k}(1-r)^{n-k}r^k & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}$$

The Euler sequence spaces $e_0^r$, $e_c^r$ and $e_\infty^r$ were defined by Altay and Başar [20] and Altay, Başar and Mursaleen [21] as follows:

$$e_0^r = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}(1-r)^{n-k}r^k x_k = 0 \right\},$$

$$e_c^r = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}(1-r)^{n-k}r^k x_k \text{ exists} \right\},$$

and

$$e_\infty^r = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}(1-r)^{n-k}r^k x_k \right| < \infty \right\}.$$

Altay and Polat [8] defined further generalization of the Euler sequence spaces $e_0^r(\nabla), e_c^r(\nabla)$ and $e_\infty^r(\nabla)$ by

$$Z(\nabla) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : (\nabla x_k) \in Z \right\}$$

for $Z \in \{e_0^r, e_c^r, e_\infty^r\}$, where $\nabla x_k = x_k - x_{k-1}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Here any term with negative subscript is equal to naught.

Polat and Başar [18] employed the matrix domain technique of the triangle limitation method for obtaining the following sequence spaces:

$$Z(\nabla^{(m)}) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : (\nabla^{(m)} x_k) \in Z \right\}$$

for $Z \in \{e_0^r, e_c^r, e_\infty^r\}$, where $\nabla^{(m)} = (\delta_{n,k}^{(m)})$ is a triangle matrix defined by

$$\delta_{n,k}^{(m)} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-k} \binom{m}{n-k} & \text{if } \max\{0, n-m\} \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq k < \max\{0, n-m\} \text{ or } k > n, \end{cases}$$

for all $k, n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, Başarir and Kayıkcı [22] defined the matrix $B^{(m)} = (b_{n,k}^{(m)})$ by

$$b_{n,k}^{(m)} = \begin{cases} \binom{m}{n-k}r^{m-n+k}s^{n-k} & \text{if } \max\{0, n-m\} \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq k < \max\{0, n-m\} \text{ or } k > n, \end{cases}$$
which is reduced to the matrix $\nabla^{(m)}$ in the case $r = 1$, $s = -1$. Kara and Başarır [23] introduced the spaces $e_0^r(B^{(m)})$, $e_c(B^{(m)})$ and $e_\infty^r(B^{(m)})$ of $B^{(m)}$-difference sequences.

Recently Biggin [24, 25] defined another generalization of the Euler sequence spaces and introduced the binomial sequence spaces $b_{0,n}^{a,b}$, $b_{c}^{a,b}$, $b_{n}^{a,b}$ and $b_{p}^{a,b}$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \neq 0$. Then the binomial matrix $B^{a,b} = (b_{n,k}^{a,b})$ is defined by

$$b_{n,k}^{a,b} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(a+b)^n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^k & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n, \end{cases}$$

for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $ab > 0$ we have

(i) $\|B^{a,b}\| < \infty$, 

(ii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_{n,k}^{a,b} = 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, 

(iii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_k b_{n,k}^{a,b} = 1$.

Thus, the binomial matrix $B^{a,b}$ is regular for $ab > 0$. Unless stated otherwise, we assume that $ab > 0$. If we take $a + b = 1$, we obtain the Euler matrix $E^r$, so the binomial matrix generalizes the Euler matrix. Biggin defined the following binomial sequence spaces:

$$b_{0}^{a,b} = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(a+b)^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^k x_k = 0 \right\},$$

$$b_{c}^{a,b} = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(a+b)^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^k x_k \text{ exists} \right\},$$

and

$$b_{\infty}^{a,b} = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \frac{1}{(a+b)^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^k x_k \right| < \infty \right\}.$$

The purpose of the present paper is to study the binomial difference spaces $b_{0}^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$, $b_{c}^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ and $b_{\infty}^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ whose $B^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$-transforms are in the spaces $c_0$, $c$ and $\ell_\infty$, respectively. These new sequence spaces are the generalization of the sequence spaces defined in [24, 25] and [23]. Also, we give some inclusion relations and compute the bases and $\alpha$-, $\beta$- and $\gamma$-duals of these sequence spaces.

### 2 The binomial difference sequence spaces

In this section, we introduce the spaces $b_{0}^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$, $b_{c}^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ and $b_{\infty}^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ and prove the BK-property and inclusion relations.

We first define the binomial difference sequence spaces $b_{0}^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$, $b_{c}^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ and $b_{\infty}^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ by

$$Z(B^{(m)}) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : (B^{(m)}x_k) \in Z \right\}$$

for $Z \in \{b_{0}^{a,b}, b_{c}^{a,b}, b_{\infty}^{a,b}\}$. By using the notion of (1.1), the sequence spaces $b_{0}^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$, $b_{c}^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ and $b_{\infty}^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ can be redefined by

$$b_{0}^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) = (b_{0}^{a,b})_{B^{(m)}}, \quad b_{c}^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) = (b_{c}^{a,b})_{B^{(m)}}, \quad b_{\infty}^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) = (b_{\infty}^{a,b})_{B^{(m)}}. \quad (2.1)$$
It is obvious that the sequence spaces \( b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \), \( b_c^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \) and \( b_\infty^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \) may be reduced to some sequence spaces in the special cases of \( a, b, s, r \) and \( m \in \mathbb{N} \). For instance, if we take \( a + b = 1 \), then we obtain the spaces \( e_0'(B^{(m)}) \), \( e_c'(B^{(m)}) \) and \( e_\infty'(B^{(m)}) \), defined by Kara and Başarir [23]. If we take \( a + b = 1, r = 1 \) and \( s = -1 \), then we obtain the spaces \( e_0'(\ell^{(m)}) \), \( e_c'(\ell^{(m)}) \) and \( e_\infty'(\ell^{(m)}) \), defined by Polat and Başar [18]. Especially, taking \( r = 1 \) and \( s = -1 \), we obtain the new binomial difference sequence spaces \( b_0^{a,b}(\ell^{(m)}) \), \( b_c^{a,b}(\ell^{(m)}) \) and \( b_\infty^{a,b}(\ell^{(m)}) \).

Let us define the sequence \( y = (y_n) \) as the \( B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \)-transform of a sequence \( x = (x_k) \), that is,
\[
y_n = \left[ B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}x_k) \right]_n = \frac{1}{(a + b)^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} a^{n-i} b^i m^{k-i} s^{j-k} x_kii{2.2}
\]
for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Then the sequence spaces \( b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \), \( b_c^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \) and \( b_\infty^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \) can be defined by all sequences whose \( B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \)-transforms are in the spaces \( c_0, c \) and \( \ell_\infty \).

**Theorem 2.1** The sequence spaces \( b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \), \( b_c^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \) and \( b_\infty^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \) are BK-spaces with their sup-norm defined by
\[
\|x\|_{b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})} = \|x\|_{b_c^{a,b}(B^{(m)})} = \|x\|_{b_\infty^{a,b}(B^{(m)})} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \left[ B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}x_k) \right]_n \right|.
\]

**Proof** The sequence spaces \( b_0^{a,b} \), \( b_c^{a,b} \) and \( b_\infty^{a,b} \) are BK-spaces with their sup-norm (see [24], Theorem 2.1 and [25], Theorem 2.1). Moreover, \( B^{(m)} \) is a triangle matrix and (2.1) holds. By using Theorem 4.3.12 of Wilansky [26], we deduce that the binomial sequence spaces \( b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \), \( b_c^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \) and \( b_\infty^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \) are BK-spaces.

**Theorem 2.2** The sequence spaces \( b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \), \( b_c^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \) and \( b_\infty^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \) are linearly isomorphic to the spaces \( c_0, c \) and \( \ell_\infty \), respectively.

**Proof** Similarly, we prove the theorem only for the space \( b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \). To prove \( b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \cong c_0 \), we must show the existence of a linear bijection between the spaces \( b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \) and \( c_0 \).

Consider \( b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \to c_0 \) by \( T(x) = B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}x_k) \). The linearity of \( T \) is obvious and \( x = 0 \) whenever \( T(x) = 0 \). Therefore, \( T \) is injective.

Let \( y = (y_n) \in c_0 \) and define the sequence \( x = (x_k) \) by
\[
x_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (a + b)^i \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{m + k - i - 1}{j} \binom{n}{i} a^{n-i} b^i s^{j-i} x_k
\]
for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Then we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}x_k) \right]_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(a + b)^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{-k} b^k (B^{(m)}x_k) = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = 0,
\]
which implies that \( x \in b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \) and \( T(x) = y \). Consequently, \( T \) is surjective and is norm preserving. Thus, \( b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \cong c_0 \).

The following theorems give some inclusion relations for this class of sequence spaces. We have the well known inclusion \( c_0 \subset c \subset \ell_\infty \), then the corresponding extended versions also preserve this inclusion.
Theorem 2.3 The inclusion $b_0^{a,b}(B(m)) \subseteq b_c^{a,b}(B(m)) \subseteq b_\infty^{a,b}(B(m))$ holds.

Theorem 2.4 The inclusions $e_0^{a}(B^{(m)}) \subseteq b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$, $e_0^{a}(B^{(m)}) \subseteq b_{c}^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ and $e_\infty^{a}(B^{(m)}) \subseteq b_\infty^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ strictly hold.

Proof Similarly, we only prove the inclusion $e_0^{a}(B^{(m)}) \subseteq b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$. If $a + b = 1$, we have $E^a = B^{a,b}$. So $e_0^{a}(B^{(m)}) \subseteq b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$. Let $0 < a < 1$ and $b = 4$. We define a sequence $x = (x_k)$ by $x_k = (-\frac{3}{a})^k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that

$$E^a(B^{(m)}x_k) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{m}{i} s^i r^{m-i} \left(-\frac{a}{3}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{1}{4 + a}\right)^{n} \right) \not\in c_0$$

and

$$B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}x_k) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{m}{i} s^i r^{m-i} \left(-\frac{a}{3}\right)^{i} \left(\frac{1}{4 + a}\right)^{n} \right) \in c_0.$$ 

So, we have $x \in b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)}) \setminus e_0^{a}(B^{(m)})$. This shows that the inclusion $e_0^{a}(B^{(m)}) \subseteq b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ strictly holds.

\[\square\]

3 The Schauder basis and $\alpha$, $\beta$- and $\gamma$-duals

For a normed space $(X, \| \cdot \|)$, a sequence $\{x_k : x_k \in X\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a Schauder basis [1] if for every $x \in X$, there is a unique scalar sequence $(\lambda_k)$ such that $\| x - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k x_k \| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. We shall construct Schauder bases for the sequence spaces $b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ and $b_{c}^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$.

We define the sequence $g^{(k)}(a,b) = [g_i^{(k)}(a,b)]_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$g_i^{(k)}(a,b) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq i < k, \\ (a+b)^k \sum_{j=k}^{m+i-1} \left( \binom{m+i-j-1}{k-1} \frac{(s)^{j-i}}{r^{i+1}} \right) b^{-j} (-a)^{j-k} & \text{if } i \geq k, \end{cases}$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.1 The sequence $(g^{(k)}(a,b))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis for the binomial sequence space $b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ and every $x = (x_i) \in b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ has a unique representation by

$$x = \sum_{k} \lambda_k(a,b) g^{(k)}(a,b), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\lambda_k(a,b) = [B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}x_i)]$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof Obviously, $B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}g_i^{(k)}(a,b)) = e_k \in c_0$, where $e_k$ is the sequence with 1 in the $k$th place and zeros elsewhere for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $g^{(k)}(a,b) \in b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $x \in b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we put

$$\boxed{x^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k(a,b) g^{(k)}(a,b).}$$
By the linearity of $B^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$, we have

$$B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}x_i^{(n)}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k(a,b)B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}g_i^{(k)}(a,b)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_k(a,b)e_k$$

and

$$[B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}(x_i - x_i^{(n)}))]_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq k < n, \\ [B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}x_i)]_k & \text{if } k \geq n, \end{cases}$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer $n_0$ such that

$$|[B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}x_i)]_k| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for all $k \geq n_0$. Then we have

$$\|x - \chi^{(n)}\|_{B_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})} = \sup_{k \geq n}[B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}x_i)]_k \leq \sup_{k \geq n_0}[B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}x_i)]_k < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon,$$

which implies that $x \in B_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ is represented as in (3.1).

To show the uniqueness of this representation, we assume that

$$x = \sum_k \mu_k(a,b)g^{(k)}(a,b).$$

Then we have

$$[B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}x_i)]_k = \sum_k \mu_k(a,b) [B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}g_i^{(k)}(a,b))]_k = \sum_k \mu_k(a,b)\mu_k(a,b)e_k = \mu_k(a,b),$$

which is a contradiction with the assumption that $\lambda_k(a,b) = [B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}x_i)]_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows the uniqueness of this representation.

**Theorem 3.2** Let $g = (1, 1, 1, \ldots)$ and $\lim_{k \to \infty} g_k(a,b) = l$. The set $\{g, g^{(1)}(a,b), g^{(2)}(a,b), \ldots, g^{(k)}(a,b), \ldots\}$ is a Schauder basis for the space $b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ and every $x \in b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ has a unique representation by

$$x = lg + \sum_k [\lambda_k(a,b) - l]g^{(k)}(a,b).$$  \hfill (3.2)

**Proof** Obviously, $B^{a,b}(B^{(m)}g^{(k)}(a,b)) = e_k \in c$ and $g \in b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$. For $x \in b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$, we put $y = x - lg$ and we have $y \in b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$. Hence, we deduce that $y$ has a unique representation by (3.1), which implies that $x$ has a unique representation by (3.2). Thus, we complete the proof.

**Corollary 3.3** The sequence spaces $b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ and $b_0^{a,b}(B^{(m)})$ are separable.
Köthe and Toeplitz [27] first computed the dual whose elements can be represented as sequences and defined the $\alpha$-dual (or Köthe-Toeplitz dual). Next, we compute the $\alpha$, $\beta$- and $\gamma$-duals of the sequence spaces $b_0^{ab}(B^{(m)})$, $b_c^{ab}(B^{(m)})$ and $b_\infty^{ab}(B^{(m)})$.

For the sequence spaces $X$ and $Y$, define multiplier space $M(X, Y)$ by

$$M(X, Y) = \{u = (u_k) \in w : ux = (u_kx_k) \in Y \text{ for all } x = (x_k) \in X\}.$$ 

Then the $\alpha$, $\beta$- and $\gamma$-duals of a sequence space $X$ are defined by

$$X^\alpha = M(X, \ell_1), \quad X^\beta = M(X, c) \quad \text{and} \quad X^\gamma = M(X, \ell_\infty),$$

respectively.

Let us give the following properties:

1. $\sup_{K \in \Gamma} \sum_n \sum_{k \in K} |a_{n,k}| < \infty$, (3.3)
2. $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |a_{n,k}| < \infty$, (3.4)
3. $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{n,k} = a_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, (3.5)
4. $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_k a_{n,k} = a$, (3.6)
5. $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_k |a_{n,k}| = \sum_k \lim_{n \to \infty} a_{n,k}$, (3.7)

where $\Gamma$ is the collection of all finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$.

**Lemma 3.4** ([28]) Let $A = (a_{n,k})$ be an infinite matrix. Then the following statements hold:

(i) $A \in (c_0 : \ell_1) = (c : \ell_1) = (\ell_\infty : \ell_1)$ if and only if (3.3) holds.
(ii) $A \in (c_0 : c)$ if and only if (3.4) and (3.5) hold.
(iii) $A \in (c : c)$ if and only if (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) hold.
(iv) $A \in (\ell_\infty : c)$ if and only if (3.5) and (3.7) hold.
(v) $A \in (c_0 : \ell_\infty) = (c : \ell_\infty) = (\ell_\infty : \ell_\infty)$ if and only if (3.4) holds.

**Theorem 3.5** The $\alpha$-dual of the spaces $b_0^{ab}(B^{(m)})$, $b_c^{ab}(B^{(m)})$ and $b_\infty^{ab}(B^{(m)})$ is the set

$$U_1^{ab} = \left\{u = (u_k) \in w : \sup_{K \in \Gamma} \sum_k \sum_{i \in K} (a + b)^i \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{m + k - j - 1}{k - j} \binom{i}{j} \left(\frac{-s}{n!}^{k-j} b^j(-a)^{i-j} u_k\right) < \infty \right\}.$$ 

**Proof** Let $u = (u_k) \in w$ and $x = (x_k)$ be defined by (2.3), then we have

$$u_kx_k = \sum_{i=0}^k (a + b)^i \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{m + k - j - 1}{k - j} \binom{i}{j} \left(\frac{-s}{n!}^{k-j} b^j(-a)^{i-j} u_k\right) = (G^{\alpha, b})_k$$
for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), where \( G^{a,b} = (g^{a,b}_{k,j}) \) is defined by

\[
g^{a,b}_{k,j} = \begin{cases} 
(a + b)^j \sum_{i=1}^{k} \binom{m+k-j-1}{k-j} \frac{(-s)^{j-i}}{r^{m+k-j}} b^i (-a)^{j-i} u_k & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq k, \\
0 & \text{if } i > k.
\end{cases}
\]

Therefore, we deduce that \( ux = (u_k x_k) \in \ell_1 \) whenever \( x \in b_0(B^{(m)}) \), \( b_1(B^{(m)}) \) or \( b_\infty(B^{(m)}) \), if and only if \( G^{a,b} y \in \ell_1 \), whenever \( y \in c_0, c \) or \( \ell_\infty \). This implies that \( u = (u_k) \in [b_0(B^{(m)})]^a \), \( [b_1(B^{(m)})]^a \) or \( [b_\infty(B^{(m)})]^a \) if and only if \( G^{a,b} \in (c_0 : \ell_1) \), \( G^{a,b} \in (c : \ell_1) \) or \( G^{a,b} \in (\ell_\infty : \ell_1) \) by Parts (i) of Lemma 3.4. So we obtain

\[
u = (u_k) \in [b_0^{a,b} (B^{(m)})]^a = [b_1^{a,b} (B^{(m)})]^a = [b_\infty^{a,b} (B^{(m)})]^a = \mathcal{U}_1^{a,b}.
\]

if and only if

\[
\sup_{K \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k \left| \sum_{i \in K} (a + b)^j \sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{m+k-j-1}{k-j} \frac{(-s)^{j-i}}{r^{m+k-j}} b^i (-a)^{j-i} u_k \right| < \infty.
\]

Thus, we have \( [b_0^{a,b} (B^{(m)})]^a = [b_1^{a,b} (B^{(m)})]^a = [b_\infty^{a,b} (B^{(m)})]^a = \mathcal{U}_1^{a,b} \). \( \square \)

Now, we define the sets \( \mathcal{U}_2^{a,b} \), \( \mathcal{U}_3^{a,b} \), \( \mathcal{U}_4^{a,b} \) and \( \mathcal{U}_5^{a,b} \) by

\[
\mathcal{U}_2^{a,b} = \left\{ u = (u_k) \in w: \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_k |u_{n,k}| < \infty \right\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{U}_3^{a,b} = \left\{ u = (u_k) \in w: \lim_{n \to \infty} u_{n,k} \text{ exists for each } k \in \mathbb{N} \right\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{U}_4^{a,b} = \left\{ u = (u_k) \in w: \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_k |u_{n,k}| = \sum_k \lim_{n \to \infty} |u_{n,k}| \right\},
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{U}_5^{a,b} = \left\{ u = (u_k) \in w: \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_k u_{n,k} \text{ exists} \right\},
\]

where

\[
u_{n,k} = (a + b)^k \sum_{i=k}^{n} \frac{m+i-j-1}{i-j} \binom{j}{k} \frac{(-s)^{i-j}}{r^{m+i-j}} (-a)^{i-j} u_i.
\]

**Theorem 3.6** We have the following relations:

(i) \( [b_0^{a,b} (B^{(m)})]^a = \mathcal{U}_2^{a,b} \cap \mathcal{U}_3^{a,b} \),

(ii) \( [b_1^{a,b} (B^{(m)})]^a = \mathcal{U}_2^{a,b} \cap \mathcal{U}_3^{a,b} \cap \mathcal{U}_5^{a,b} \),

(iii) \( [b_\infty^{a,b} (B^{(m)})]^a = \mathcal{U}_3^{a,b} \cap \mathcal{U}_5^{a,b} \).
Proof Let \( u = (u_k) \in w \) and \( x = (x_k) \) be defined by (2.3), then we consider the following equation:

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n} u_k x_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} u_k \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{k} (a + b)^i \sum_{j=i}^{k} \binom{m + k - j - 1}{k-j} \binom{j}{i} \frac{(-s)^{k-j}}{\ell^{m+k-j}} (-a)^{j-i} b^j y_i \right] \\
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} (a + b)^i \sum_{i-k}^{n} \sum_{j-i}^{k} \binom{m+i-j-1}{i-j} \binom{j}{i} \frac{(-s)^{j-i}}{\ell^{m+i-j}} \frac{(-a)^{j-i} b^j u_i}{\ell^{n-i+j}} y_k \\
= (U^{a,b})^n y,
\]

where \( U^{a,b} = (u^{a,b}_{n,k}) \) is defined by

\[
u^{a,b}_{n,k} = \begin{cases} (a + b)^k \sum_{i-k}^{n} \sum_{j-i}^{k} \binom{m+i-j-1}{i-j} \binom{j}{i} \frac{(-s)^{j-i}}{\ell^{m+i-j}} \frac{(-a)^{j-i} b^j u_i}{\ell^{n-i+j}} y_k & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\
0 & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}
\]

Therefore, we deduce that \( u x = (u_k x_k) \in c \) whenever \( x \in b_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell}) \) and only if \( U^{a,b} y \in c \) whenever \( y \in c_0 \), which implies that \( u = (u_k) \in [b_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell})]^\beta \) if and only if \( U^{a,b} \in (c_0 : c) \) by Part (ii) of Lemma 3.4. So we obtain \([b_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell})]^\beta = U_2^{a,b} \cap U_3^{a,b} \). Using Parts (iii), (iv) instead of Part (ii) of Lemma 3.4, the proof can be completed in a similar way.

Similarly, we give the following theorem without proof.

Theorem 3.7 The \( y \)-dual of the spaces \( b_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell}) \), \( b_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell}) \) and \( b_{\infty}^{a,b}(B_{\ell}) \) is the set \( U_2^{a,b} \).

4 Certain matrix mappings on the space \( b_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell}) \)

In this section, we characterize matrix transformations from \( b_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell}) \) into \( \ell_p, \ell_\infty \) and \( c \). Let us define the matrix \( \Theta = (\theta_{n,k}) \) via an infinite matrix \( \Lambda = (\lambda_{n,k}) \) by \( \Theta = \Lambda(B_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell}))^{-1} \), that is,

\[
\theta_{n,k} = (a + b)^k \sum_{j-k}^{\infty} \binom{m+n-j-1}{n-j} \binom{j}{i} \frac{(-s)^{n-j}}{\ell^{m+n-j}} \frac{(-a)^{n-j} b^j \lambda_{n,j}}{\ell^{n-j}},
\]

where \( (B_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell}))^{-1} \) is the inverse of the \( B_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell}) \)-transform. We now give the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1 Let \( Z \) be any given sequence space and the entries of the matrices \( \Lambda = (\lambda_{n,k}) \) and \( \Theta = (\theta_{n,k}) \) are connected with equation (4.1). If \( (\lambda_{n,k})_k \in [b_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell})]^\beta \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( \Lambda \in (b_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell}) : Z) \) if and only if \( \Theta \in (c : Z) \).

Proof Let \( \Lambda \in (b_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell}) : Z) \) and \( y = (y_n) \in c \). For every \( x = (x_k) \in b_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell}) \), we have \( x_k = ([B_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell})]^{-1} y_n)_{\lambda_{n,k}} \). Since \( (\lambda_{n,k})_k \in [b_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell})]^\beta \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), this implies the existence of the \( \Lambda \)-transform of \( x \), i.e. \( \Lambda x \) exists. So we obtain \( \Lambda x = \Lambda(B_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell}))^{-1} y = \Theta y \), which implies that \( \Theta \in (c : Z) \).

Conversely, let \( \Theta \in (c : Z) \) and \( x \in b_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell}) \). For every \( y \in c \), we have \( y_n = [B_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell})]^{-1} x_n \). Since \( (\lambda_{n,k})_k \in [b_{\ell}^{a,b}(B_{\ell})]^\beta \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), this implies that \( \Theta y \) exists, which can be proved...
in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 3.6. So we have \( \Theta y = \Theta B^{a,b}(B^m)x = \Lambda x \), which shows that \( \Lambda \in (b_c^{a,b}(B^m) : Z) \).

\[ \square \]

Lemma 4.2 ([28]) Let \( A = (a_{n,k}) \) be an infinite matrix. Then the following statement holds: \( A \in (c : \ell_p) \) if and only if

\[
\sup_{K \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{n \in K} a_{n,k} \right|^p < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty. \tag{4.2}
\]

For brevity of notation, we write

\[
t_{n,k} = (a + b)^j \sum_{i=k}^{n} \sum_{j=k}^{i} \binom{m + i - j - 1}{j} \binom{-s}{m + i - j} (-a)^{i-j} (b)^{-j} a_{n,j},
\]

\[
t'_{n,k} = (a + b)^j \sum_{i=k}^{n} \sum_{j=k}^{i} \binom{m + i - j - 1}{j} \binom{-s}{m + i - j} (-a)^{i-j} (b)^{-j} a_{n,j}
\]

for all \( n, k \in \mathbb{N} \).

By using Lemma 4.1, there are some immediate consequences with \( t_{n,k} \) or \( t'_{n,k} \) in place of \( a_{n,k} \) in Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5.

**Theorem 4.3** \( A \in (b_c^{a,b}(B^m) : \ell_p) \) if and only if

\[
\sup_{K \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{n \in K} t_{n,k} \right|^p < \infty, \tag{4.3}
\]

\( t_{n,k} \) exists for each \( k, n \in \mathbb{N} \),

\( \sum_k t_{n,k} \) converges for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
\sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{l} t'_{n,k} \right| < \infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.6}
\]

**Theorem 4.4** \( A \in (b_c^{a,b}(B^m) : \ell_{\infty}) \) if and only if (4.4) and (4.6) hold, and

\[
\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left| t_{n,k} \right| < \infty. \tag{4.7}
\]

**Theorem 4.5** \( A \in (b_c^{a,b}(B^m) : c) \) if and only if (4.4), (4.6) and (4.7) hold, and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} t_{n,k} \quad \text{exists for each} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.8}
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_k t_{n,k} \quad \text{exists}. \tag{4.9}
\]

**5 Conclusion**

By considering the definitions of the binomial matrix \( B^{a,b} = (b_c^{a,b}) \) and the difference operator, we introduce the sequence spaces \( b_0^{a,b}(B^m) \), \( b_c^{a,b}(B^m) \) and \( b_c^{a,b}(B^m) \). These spaces are the natural continuation of [18, 23–25]. Our results are the generalization of the matrix...
domain of the Euler matrix. In order to give full knowledge to the reader on related topics with applications and a possible line of further investigation, the e-book [29] is added to the list of references.
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