Cell Biology of Mating in *Candida albicans*
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It was recently demonstrated that strains homozygous for either of the mating type-like loci *MTLa* and *MTLaα* of *Candida albicans* undergo white-opaque switching and that expression of the opaque-phase phenotype greatly enhances mating between strains. Exploiting the latter property to obtain high-frequency mating, we have characterized the cell biology of the mating process of *C. albicans*. Employing continuous videomicroscopy, computer-assisted three-dimensional reconstruction of living cells, and fluorescence microscopy, we have monitored the mating-associated processes of conjugation, tube formation, fusion, budding, septum formation, and daughter cell development and the spatial and temporal dynamics of nuclear migration and division. From these observations, a model for the stages in *C. albicans* mating is formulated. The stages include shmooing, chemotropism of conjugation tubes, fusion of tubes and nuclear association, vacuole expansion and nuclear separation in the conjugation bridge, asynchronous nuclear division in the zygote, bud growth, nuclear migration into the daughter cell, septation, and daughter cell budding. Since there was no cytological indication of karyogamy, genetic experiments were performed to assess marker segregation. Recombination was not observed, suggesting that mating takes place in the absence of karyogamy between naturally occurring, homozygous *a* and *α* strains. This study provides the first description of the cell biology of the mating process of *C. albicans*.

*MATERIALS AND METHODS*

**Strain maintenance and growth.** All strains used in this study (Table 1) were maintained in 20% glycerol at −80°C. For experimental purposes, cells were plated on agar containing modified Lee’s medium, an amino acid-containing defined medium supplemented with zinc and arginine (2). The agar also contained, per ml, 5 μg of phloxine B, which differentially stains opaque-phase cells and colonies red (1). Opaque-phase cells were obtained from red colonies, and the unique opaque-phase cell phenotype (1, 30, 33) was verified microscopically.

**Mixing of *MTLa* and *MTLaα* cells.** Cells from 5- to 7-day colonies were isolated into modified Lee’s medium and grown for 12 h at 25°C in suspension. Cells were then pelleted and resuspended in fresh modified Lee’s medium. Approximately 10^5 cells from each of two strains were mixed in a standard manner in a final volume of 1 ml of medium in a plastic 15-ml Falcon tube, and the tube was incubated at 25°C at a rotation speed of 250 rpm in a water bath shaker. In some cases, mixed cultures were then transferred to agar containing sporulation medium (1% [wt/vol] potassium acetate, 2% agar) (29).

**PCR and Southern blot analyses.** DNA was prepared (28) and resuspended in water for PCR. One nanogram of total genomic DNA was used as a template with the primer set 5’-CATACCCCAAACTCTTATTTGGG-3’ and 5’-CACCC ACCTCCAACCTCCTGTTTTTC-3’ for the amplification of *MTLa* and with the primer set 5’-CACACTGAGGACACTCTTTG-3’ and 5’-GGTGCTT TTTGAGATACGGA-3’ for the amplification of *MTLaα* by using Taq DNA polymerase as recommended by the manufacturer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif.). After an initial denaturation step of 10 min at 95°C, the reaction conditions consisted of 40 cycles of the following program: 94°C for 1 min, 42°C for 2 min, and 68°C for 3 min. The final elongation step was 10 min at 68°C.

**Continuous videorecordings and 3D-DIAS reconstruction of fusion.** After 2 h, cells from mixed cultures were dispersed on the glass wall of a Dvorak-Stotler chamber (Lucas-Highland Inc., Chantilly, Va.) and positioned on a Zeiss ICM405 microscope equipped with differential interference contrast (DIC) optics. For two-dimensional time-lapse sequences of fusion, cells were continuously videorecorded onto digital videotape at a ×630 magnification. Images were selected by using Adobe Premiere software (Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, Calif.). For 3D-DIAS reconstructions of fusion, two cells that appeared to be

*Candida albicans* is a diploid organism that, until recently, was believed to have no sexual cycle. However, in 1999 Hull and Johnson (13) demonstrated that *C. albicans* strain CAI4 contained *MTLa* on one chromosome and *MTLaα* and *MTLa2* on the homolog. Soon after, fusion was genetically demonstrated to occur between *MTLa* and *MTLaα* strains (α/α and α/−, respectively) in vivo (14) and in vitro (20). Recently, Miller and Johnson (21) demonstrated that the homozygous *MTLa* and *MTLaα* strains engineered from strain CAI4 underwent white-opaque switching and that when both strains were in the opaque phase, mating occurred 10^6 times more efficiently than when one or both strains were in the white phase. Lockhart et al. (17) subsequently demonstrated that approximately 3% of 220 clinical isolates of *C. albicans* representing the major clades worldwide were homozygous for the mating type locus (i.e., either *MTLa* or *MTLaα*), that all tested clinical strains that underwent white-opaque switching were homozygous for *MTLa*, that most clinical strains that were homozygous for *MTLa* underwent the white-opaque transition, and that heterozygotes did not undergo white-opaque switching. Together, these recent results demonstrate that the mating type locus regulates switching and that switching facilitates mating.

To visualize the mating process of *C. albicans*, we took advantage of the recent discovery that mating is facilitated by the opaque-phase phenotype (21). Using information obtained from videomicroscopy, computer-assisted dynamic three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction techniques (31, 35, 36), and fluorescence microscopy, we describe here for the first time the cell biology of mating-associated fusion and zygote formation in *C. albicans*.
TABLE 1. Strains used in this study

| Strain | Origin          | MTL genotype | Clade | Reference |
|--------|-----------------|--------------|-------|-----------|
| WO-1   | bloodstream     | α            | II    | 30        |
| 19F    | vagina          | α            | I     | 18        |
| P78048 | mouth           | α            | I     | 24        |
| L26    | vagina          | a            | I     | 17        |
| 1C     | mouth           | a            | I     | 18        |
| P37005 | mouth           | a            | I     | 17        |
| P75063 | bloodstream     | a             | SA    | 24        |
| 3153A  | lab             | αα           | I     |           |

* By using the Ca3 probe and Southern blot hybridization, C. albicans has been separated into five major clades: group I, group II, group III, group SA, and group E (3, 17, 23, 24).

RESULTS

MTL genotypes and switch phenotypes. Four homozygous MTLα strains (L26, 1C, P37005, and P75063), three homozygous MTLα strains (WO-1, 19F, and P78048), and one heterozygous MTLα/MTLα strain (3153A) were used in crosses (Table 1). The MTL genotype of each strain was verified by PCR analysis (17). Each strain was also characterized for white-opaque switching. The four MTLα and three MTLα strains all switched reversibly between white and opaque at frequencies of approximately 10⁻². As previously described (1, 30, 33), white-phase cells were round and formed round daughter cells while opaque-phase cells were elongate, bean shaped, and larger than white-phase cells and formed elongate daughter cells. The heterozygous strain 3153A did not undergo the white-opaque transition, remaining fixed in the white-phase phenotype.

Tube formation in mixed cultures of homozygous MTLα cells and homozygous MTLα cells. When opaque-phase cells from single homozygous MTLα and MTLα strains were grown in suspension cultures at 25°C in modified Lee’s medium, they continued to multiply exclusively with the opaque budding phenotype (Fig. 1A and B, respectively). When mixtures of opaque-phase cells from two MTLα strains or from two MTLα strains were grown in suspension in modified Lee’s medium, cells also continued to multiply exclusively with the opaque budding phenotype (Fig. 1C and D, respectively). Even after 48 h of incubation, no tubes were seen in opaque-phase cultures. However, when mixtures of opaque-phase cells from an MTLα strain and an MTLα strain (50% MTLα cells, 50% MTLα cells) were grown in suspension in modified Lee’s medium, cells stopped multiplying and after 1.5 h formed evaginations that elongated into tubes (Fig. 1E and F). Evaginations formed from the sides as well as the ends of the elongate opaque-phase cells. As is the case in the formation of hyphae (32), the junctions between mother cells and evaginations were not constricted (Fig. 1G through J). However, in contrast to what occurs in hypha formation, there was no indication of septation or compartmentalization along the tubes, even when tubes reached lengths greater than the diameters of the mother cells (Fig. 1K and L). In the process of hypha formation, the first septum forms along the tube on average 2 μm from the junction of the mother cell and the tube, the approximate diameter of the mother cell (22, 32).

Tubes that formed in crosses of homozygous MTLα cells and homozygous MTLα cells grew to lengths up to six or seven times the diameters of their mother cells. Mother cells frequently became round during tube growth. In some cases, tube growth terminated in the formation of an apical bud in a fashion similar to that recently reported for the unique tubes formed by Candida glabrata (15). Together, these results demonstrate that only mixtures of MTLα and MTLα opaque-phase cells formed conjugation tubes, that these conjugation tubes are architecturally different from hyphae, and that the majority of cells in a 50:50 mixture of MTLα and MTLα opaque-phase cells, and hence with cells of both mating types, form tubes.

**Fusion in mixed cultures of homozygous MTLα cells and homozygous MTLα cells.** When mixed cultures of homozygous MTLα cells and homozygous MTLα cells in the opaque phase (50% MTLα cells, 50% MTLα cells) were incubated in suspension for more than 2 h in Lee’s medium, the cells formed large clumps within which they underwent fusion. Fusion occurred at a very low frequency in water and 1 M sorbitol and did not occur at all in Saccharomyces cerevisiae sporulation medium (29). Clumping was facilitated by adhesion between tubes. In Fig. 2, images from 5-min intervals of a fusion event videorecorded through DIC optics are shown. In this case, MTLα (P37005) cells and MTLα (WO-1) cells were mixed in Lee’s medium, incubated for 2 h in suspension, and then dispersed on a glass slide and immediately videorecorded. In this particular example, two cells made contact and then sent out protrusions at the point of contact. The protrusions elongated and at 65 min fused at the point of contact. The fused projections expanded between 65 and 95 min into a conjugation bridge, which continued to elongate. Focusing through the z axis revealed that no septum had formed between the two cells and that the cytoplasms were contiguous. Five additional fusions were monitored in a similar fashion. In all cases, the evagina-
FIG. 1. Tube formation occurs exclusively in mixtures of $MTLa$ and $MTL\alpha$ cells. Cells were imaged through DIC optics. (A) Homogeneous culture of strain L26 ($MTLa$) opaque-phase cells; (B) homogeneous culture of WO-1 ($MTL\alpha$) opaque-phase cells; (C) mixture of L26 ($MTLa$) and 12C ($MTLa$) opaque-phase cells (50:50); (D) mixture of WO-1 ($MTL\alpha$) and 19F ($MTL\alpha$) opaque-phase cells (50:50); (E) mixture of P37005 ($MTLa$) and WO-1 ($MTL\alpha$) opaque-phase cells (50:50); (F) mixture of L26 ($MTLa$) and P78048 ($MTL\alpha$) opaque-phase cells; (G to J) higher-magnification images of incipient tube formation in mixtures of $MTLa$ and $MTL\alpha$ opaque-phase cells; (K and L) tube formation in mixtures of $MTLa$ and $MTL\alpha$ opaque-phase cells. Scale bars, 5 μm.
tions from opposing cells extended toward each other in a manner that suggested a chemotrophic mechanism. The accuracy of the fusion process is evident in 3D-DIAS reconstructions of one such fusion (Fig. 3). In this particular example, the two cells (cell 1 and cell 2) were in a clump of seven cells. Cell 1 is color-coded red, and cell 2 is color-coded green. Cell 1 was above the substratum (grid) since it was atop three other cells that were not reconstructed in 3D and are, therefore, invisible in Fig. 3. At the start of the time series (0 min), each of the two cells had already extended a projection toward that of the other cell (Fig. 3). The accuracy of tube extension was quite remarkable. Between 18 and 20 min, the ends of the two extensions, which had become perfectly juxtaposed, made contact and fused (Fig. 3). The zygote is color-coded orange.

Proof that only cells of opposite mating types fuse in mixed cultures. To prove that only cells of opposite mating types fuse in mixed cultures, before being mixed, cells from strain P37005 (MTLa) were stained with the vital dye FITC-ConA, which stained cells green (Fig. 4A), and cells from strain WO-1 (MTLα) were stained with the vital dye rhodamine-ConA, which stained cells red (Fig. 4B). Four hours after being mixed, cells were fixed and further stained with calcofluor, which stained entire cell walls blue (Fig. 4C). If fusions occurred only between cells of opposite mating types, then fusants would be made up exclusively of one cell body stained green and another cell body stained red. No fusants would include green-green or red-red combinations. In Fig. 4, three examples of fusants are presented. In each fusion, one cell stained green (MTLa) and one cell stained red (MTLα) (Fig. 4F, I, and L). In each case, new cell wall growth and the conjugation tube were devoid of green or red stain (Fig. 4F, I, and L), staining exclusively blue with calcofluor (Fig. 4E, H, and K). Of 100 zygotes analyzed by fluorescence microscopy, 100% included one green and one red parent cell; 0% included two green or two red parent cells. These results demonstrate that only opaque-phase cells of opposite mating types fuse in a mixed culture.

To demonstrate that this conclusion can be generalized, opaque-phase cells of 12 different combinations of MTLa and MTLα strains were mixed and assayed for fusion. In all cases, fusions (zygotes) were observed (Table 2). In five different crosses in which opaque-phase cells from two different MTLa strains were mixed and in three different crosses in which
opaque cells from two different *MTLα* strains were mixed, no fusions were observed (Table 2). In three crosses in which opaque-phase cells from three different *MTLα* strains were mixed with *MTLα/MTLα* cells and in three crosses in which opaque cells from three different *MTLα* strains were mixed with *MTLα/MTLα* cells, no fusions were observed (Table 2). Finally, when either or both strains were white in an *MTLα × MTLα* cross, no fusions were observed (Table 2). These results provide cytological evidence that the genesis of zygotes occurs only between opaque-phase cells of a homozygous *MTLα* strain and a homozygous *MTLα* strain.

**Fusion results in a single daughter cell.** After fusion, the conjugation bridge swelled and from this tube a bud formed (Fig. 5). Calcofluor staining revealed first a faint septum at the interface between the daughter cell and the conjugation bridge (Fig. 5A). Daughter cells could be either round, like white-phase cells, or elongate, like opaque-phase cells, but the majority were elongate. However, secondary daughter cells that formed off the original daughter cell were all round (see Fig. 7J, L, N, and O), suggesting a reversion to the white phase. When daughter cells matured, septa stained more intensely (Fig. 5B through D). No septa formed at the junctions of the conjugation tubes and either of the parent cells (Fig. 5A through D). Zygotes, therefore, possessed only one septum, positioned at the junction of the daughter cell and the tube. This landmark could be used to distinguish the daughter cell

---

**FIG. 3.** 3D-DIAS reconstruction of fusion between two cells in a clump of cells from a mixture of *MTLα* (P37005) and *MTLα* (WO-1) cells. The red cell (cell 1) was high up in the clump of cells while the green cell (cell 2) was at the bottom of the clump. Nonfusing cells in the clump were not reconstructed. After fusion occurred, the zygote was color-coded orange. Reconstructed cells are viewed from angles of 5° (A) and 35° (B) relative to the substratum (grid). deg, degree.
from the two parental cells in a fusion complex. With a fiber-optic needle and micromanipulation, the mature daughter cell could easily be separated from the conjugation bridge, but the two parental cells of the zygote were not viable following separation from the bridge.

**Tube formation and nuclear behavior.** Cells formed tubes only in mixed cultures of homozygous \( MTLa \) and homozygous \( MTL\alpha \) opaque-phase cells (Fig. 1). When the proportion of one of the mating types was skewed (e.g., 80% \( MTLa \) cells and 20% \( MTL\alpha \) cells or 20% \( MTLa \) cells and 80% \( MTL\alpha \) cells), the proportion of cells with long tubes increased while the proportion of fusions (zygotes) decreased (data not shown). This observation suggested that tubes grew longer and the number of fusions decreased when the chance of finding a cell of the opposite mating type decreased. These mating-induced tubes differed from traditional hyphae by the absence of septation and compartmentalization (Fig. 1E, F, J, K, and L). These tubes also differed from traditional hyphae in the behavior of the nuclei. In traditional hyphae, nuclei usually migrate to the junctions of the mother cells and the tubes, where they divide when the hyphae attain a length equal to or greater than the diameters of the mother cells (34). On occasion, nuclei will migrate into the tubes and divide midway down the tubes. In the conjugation tubes formed in mixed cultures of homozygous \( MTLa \) cells and homozygous \( MTL\alpha \) cells, single-cell nuclei exited the mother cells and migrated to the apical ends of the
through D were scrutinized. A septum then formed at the apex of the tube, the nucleus divided at the tube-bud junction (Fig. 6D). One daughter nucleus entered the apical bud, and the other nucleus migrated back through the tube-bud junction (Fig. 6D). One daughter nucleus entered the apical bud, and the other nucleus migrated back through the tube-bud junction (Fig. 6D). One daughter nucleus entered the apical bud, and the other nucleus migrated back through the tube-bud junction (Fig. 6D). One daughter nucleus entered the apical bud, and the other nucleus migrated back through the tube-bud junction (Fig. 6D). One daughter nucleus entered the apical bud, and the other nucleus migrated back through the tube-bud junction (Fig. 6D). One daughter nucleus entered the apical bud, and the other nucleus migrated back through the tube-bud junction (Fig. 6D). One daughter nucleus entered the apical bud, and the other nucleus migrated back through the tube-bud junction (Fig. 6D). One daughter nucleus entered the apical bud, and the other nucleus migrated back through the tube-bud junction (Fig. 6D). One daughter nucleus entered the apical bud, and the other nucleus migrated back through the tube-bud junction (Fig. 6D). One daughter nucleus entered the apical bud, and the other nucleus migrated back through the tube-bud junction (Fig. 6D). One daughter nucleus entered the apical bud, and the other nucleus migrated back through the tube-bud junction (Fig. 6D). One daughter nucleus entered the apical bud, and the other nucleus migrated back through the tube-bud junction (Fig. 6D).

**TABLE 2. Demonstration that only opaque-phase cells of opposite mating types undergo fusion**

| Mating types | Strains | Cell types | Fusion |
|--------------|---------|------------|--------|
| MTLa × MTLa | WO-1 × L26 | Opaque × opaque | + |
| MTLa × MTLa | WO-1 × 12C | Opaque × opaque | + |
| MTLa × MTLa | WO-1 × P37005 | Opaque × opaque | + |
| MTLa × MTLa | WO-1 × P75063 | Opaque × opaque | + |
| MTLa × MTLa | 19F × L26 | Opaque × opaque | + |
| MTLa × MTLa | 19F × 12C | Opaque × opaque | + |
| MTLa × MTLa | 19F × P37005 | Opaque × opaque | + |
| MTLa × MTLa | 19F × P75063 | Opaque × opaque | + |
| MTLa × MTLa | P78048 × 12C | Opaque × opaque | + |
| MTLa × MTLa | P78048 × P75063 | Opaque × opaque | + |
| MTLa × MTLa | P78048 × P75063 | Opaque × opaque | + |
| MTLa × MTLa | WO-1 × 19F | Opaque × opaque | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | WO-1 × P78048 | Opaque × opaque | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | 19F × 19F | Opaque × opaque | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | L26 × 12C | Opaque × opaque | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | L26 × P37005 | Opaque × opaque | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | L26 × P75063 | Opaque × opaque | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | 12C × P75063 | Opaque × opaque | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | 12C × P75063 | Opaque × opaque | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | L26 × 3153A | Opaque × white | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | P37005 × 3153A | Opaque × white | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | 12C × 3153A | Opaque × white | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | 12C × 3153A | Opaque × white | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | WO-1 × 3153A | Opaque × white | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | 19F × 3153A | Opaque × white | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | P78048 × 3153A | Opaque × white | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | P78048 × 3153A | Opaque × white | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | WO-1 × L26 | Opaque × white | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | 19F × 12C | White × opaque | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | 19F × 12C | White × opaque | – |
| MTLa × MTLa | 19F × WO-1 | White × opaque | – |

a In this case, fusion in cultures was assessed microscopically 24 and 96 h after cells were mixed.

b Mixed cultures were grown for at least 4 days and then analyzed microscopically for fusions. For each culture, 20 fields of cells comparable to those in Fig. 1A through D were scrutinized. +, fusion occurred; –, no fusion occurred.

tubes without dividing (Fig. 6A to C). In cases in which a bud formed at the apex of the tube, the nucleus divided at the tube-bud junction (Fig. 6D). One daughter nucleus entered the apical bud, and the other nucleus migrated back through the tube (Fig. 6E) to the mother cell (Fig. 6F). A septum then formed at the tube-daughter cell junction (Fig. 6F).

**Nuclear behavior in the mating process.** Preparations of mixed cultures of homozygous MTLa cells and homozygous MTLa cells in modified Lee’s medium were stained with the nuclear dye Hoechst 33342, and nuclear behavior was interpreted by correlating nuclear staining patterns with the stages of zygote development and daughter cell growth. After evagination, homozygous MTLa cells and homozygous MTLa cells extended tubes toward each other until the tips met. Close to the time the tips met, but prior to fusion, the nuclei of the mating cells relocated to the ends of the conjugation tubes (Fig. 7A). Immediately after fusion, the two nuclei localized next to one another at the fusion site along the conjugation bridge (Fig. 7B and C). As the conjugation bridge swelled, the nuclei returned to the junctions of the tube and the parent cell bodies (Fig. 7D to F). The separation of the nuclei correlated with the expansion of a vacuole in the conjugation bridge (Fig. 7D to F). Close to the time of bud formation along the tube, the two nuclei divided asynchronously so that zygotes contained three to four nuclei on average, one in the swelled tube and two to three associated with the parent cell bodies (Fig. 7G to I). As a daughter cell matured, one nucleus in the majority of cases and two nuclei in the minority of cases migrated into the daughter cell (Fig. 7J through O). After daughter cell maturation, the original fusion complex, consisting of the MTLa and MTLa parent cell bodies and the conjugation bridge, contained two (Fig. 7J, K, N, and O) or more (Fig. 7L and M) nuclei. After daughter cells matured, they formed apical buds, which grew into new daughter cells that in turn budded apically (Fig. 7N and O). Each of these secondary daughter cells became nucleated during maturation (Fig. 7O). This interpreted sequence of stages is diagrammed in Fig. 8.

Several caveats to the interpreted scheme must be noted. First, there was no indication of nuclear fusion in the conjugation tubes—i.e., no zygotes which contained only one nucleus midway along the tube soon after fusion were observed. This finding, however, does not rule out the possibility of rapid nuclear fusion and subsequent nuclear division. Second, we could not discern whether each nucleus returned to its original parent cell. Likewise, we could not discriminate which of the two nuclei first divided and, hence, the origin of the nucleus.
that entered the daughter cell. Third, in daughter cells containing two nuclei, we could not discriminate between the migration of two nuclei into the daughter cell and the migration of one nucleus followed by nuclear division. In some cases, we observed two nuclei through several daughter cell generations. In one colony generated from a binucleate daughter cell, one-third of the daughter cells were still binucleate after at least eight generations.

Mixing of markers from mating partners. Since *C. albicans* is diploid, karyogamy would generate a tetraploid, which may be reduced to a diploid through a reduction division, although meiosis has not been reported for this species. To test for possible nuclear fusion, meiosis, and recombination, strain WO-1 (*MTLα*) was mated with strain P37005 (*MTLa*) and the inheritance of three markers was analyzed in the progeny of the daughter cell formed from the conjugation bridge. While WO-1 exhibited one *NIK1* band (NIK1-1) on a Southern blot, P37005 exhibited two (NIK1-1 and NIK1-2), and while WO-1 exhibited two *URA3* bands on a Southern blot (URA3-1 and URA3-2), P37005 exhibited one (URA3-1) (Fig. 9; Table 3). *URA3* resides on chromosome 3, *NIK1* resides on chromosome 7, and *MTL* resides on chromosome 5 (Candida Genome Database).

Six mature daughter cells from WO-1 × P37005 zygotes were individually isolated on a YPD agar plate by using a glass needle attached to a micromanipulator. After growth, progeny from each were in turn clonally plated, and 12 were picked for analysis. Of the six fusion daughter cells analyzed, three produced only *MTLα* progeny, suggesting that only one *MTLα* nucleus entered each of these daughter cells; two produced only *MTLa* progeny, suggesting that only one *MTLa* nucleus entered each of these daughter cells; and one produced a mixture of three *MTLa* and nine *MTLα* progeny, suggesting that one *MTLa* and one *MTLα* nucleus entered this daughter cell (Fig. 9; Table 3). In all cases, *MTLa* progeny exhibited two *NIK1* bands and one *URA3* band (URA3-1), the patterns of the original *MTLα* parent strain (Table 3; Fig. 9). This was true for the nine *MTLα* and three *MTLa* progeny obtained from the single daughter cell 4-5 (Table 3). These results demonstrate that although mating occurred in each case, nuclear fusion did not. These molecular results are consistent with the absence of cytological evidence of nuclear fusion in zygotes (Fig. 7).

**Sporulation medium does not induce karyogamy.** In *S. cerevisiae*, rich medium supports mating but not meiosis (4). Transfer to sporulation medium supports meiosis. To test whether karyogamy and meiosis occurred in sporulation me-
FIG. 7. Nuclear configurations during the different phases of mating. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342. Fluorescent images of nuclei (blue) are superimposed on DIC images. Arrows point to nuclei. B, bud; DC, daughter cell (after septation). Scale bar, 5 μm.
dium, MTLα and MTLα opaque-phase cells were mixed in modified Lee’s medium, incubated until fusion, but not daughter cell growth, was maximal, and then transferred to agar containing sporulation medium (29). Crosses were performed between P37005 (MTLa) and 19F (MTLa), P37005 (MTLa) and WO-1 (MTLa), P75063 (MTLa) and 19F (MTLa), P75063 (MTLa) and WO-1 (MTLa), P75603 (MTLa) and 12C (MTLa) and WO-1 (MTLa), and L26 (MTLa) and WO-1 (MTLa). In every case, conjugation bridges contained multiple nuclei rather than a single fused nucleus. In the cross between L26 and WO-1, all fusion complexes (parent cells and conjugation bridges) lysed, leaving daughter cells intact. Twenty percent of the resulting daughter cells contained two or more nuclei (Fig. 10).

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we provide for the first time a cytological description of the mating process of *C. albicans* that had been previously detected by genetic methods (14, 20, 21). For *S. cerevisiae*, mixing MATa and MATα cells causes them to extend mating projections that fuse at their ends to form a connecting tube, referred to as a conjugation bridge (19). The extension of a wide, unconstricted projection, a process referred to as shmooing, is stimulated in each mating type by a pheromone released by the opposite mating type (6, 16). Nuclei arrested in G1 by the pheromones (11) migrate into the extensions and fuse very soon after cellular fusion (5). A daughter cell then forms from the zygote. The nucleus divides, and the daughter cell receives one of the two diploid nuclei. The daughter cell then multiplies in the diploid state in rich medium. Zygote meiosis follows only when the culture is transferred to starvation medium (4). The cytological changes in mixed MTLa and MTLα cultures and the early steps in the mating process in *C. albicans* (Fig. 8) paralleled those in mixed MATa and MATα cultures of *S. cerevisiae*. Cells of opposite mating types extended tubes. Video analysis and 3D reconstruction revealed that tubes in close proximity grew toward each other, indicating chemotro-
pism. In many cases, tubes grew quite long and then budded apically, suggesting recovery when a tube failed to fuse to another tube extended by a cell of the opposite mating type. In the process of tube fusion, nuclei migrated from the parent cell bodies to the tube apices. Upon cellular fusion, the two nuclei were localized side by side in the conjugation bridge but there was no indication of nuclear fusion (i.e., one nucleus in the bridge). The conjugation tube formed a vacuole, which appeared to separate the nuclei to the junctions of the conjugation bridge and the original parent cell bodies. Just prior to daughter cell formation, one of the two nuclei divided. As the daughter bud grew from the conjugation tube, one or two of the nuclei entered it. After septum formation, the majority of the daughter cells contained one nucleus and a minority included two nuclei. The fusion complex of parental cells and conjugation bridge contained two to four nuclei after the septum formed between the conjugation tube and the daughter cell, suggesting that nuclear division continued in the complex.

After the daughter cell budded and the bud matured, nuclear division resulted in one or more nuclei in the daughter cell and one nucleus in the secondary bud. This general sequence of stages (Fig. 8) was observed in crosses between strain WO-1 (\textit{MTLa}) and either strain P37005 (\textit{MTLa}) or strain L26 (\textit{MTLa}) and between strain P78048 (\textit{MTLa}) and strain L26 (\textit{MTLa}). Interestingly, the mating process generated a transient heterokaryon that was heterozygous for \textit{MTLa} and \textit{MTL\alpha}.

In both the original demonstrations of mating in vivo (14) and in vitro (20), the mating event was demonstrated by identifying cells that acquired the prototrophic markers of both the homozygous \textit{MTLa} strain and the homozygous \textit{MTL\alpha} strain. In the subsequent demonstration that the opaque-phase phenotype facilitated mating, Miller and Johnson (21) demonstrated again the acquisition of both prototrophic and genetic markers by single cells. Hull et al. (14) noted that cells that had acquired markers from both parents were mononucleate but contained more DNA than the parental cells and hence were either tetraploid or somewhere between tetraploid and diploid. Meiosis was not demonstrated. Here, we picked individual daughter cells of zygotes formed between strains WO-1 (\textit{MTLa}) and either strain P37005 (\textit{MTLa}) or strain L26 (\textit{MTLa}) and tested progeny for mixing and segregation of mating types and associated genetic markers. The three marker genes resided on different chromosomes. We found that five of six individually picked daughter cells produced progeny that were exclusively \textit{MTLa} (Table 3) or exclusively \textit{MTL\alpha} and that one produced progeny that were either \textit{MTLa} or \textit{MTL\alpha}. In all six cases, the markers originally associated with \textit{MTLa} or with \textit{MTL\alpha} in the parent strains were still associated with the alternate mating types in the progeny. Hence, in the cross analysis, no segregation of markers was observed. Presumably, five of the six daughter cells received a single nucleus derived from either the \textit{MTLa} or \textit{MTL\alpha} parental cell and hence all of their progeny exhibited the genotype of one or the other parent. The sixth daughter cell that was analyzed produced progeny that were either \textit{MTLa} or \textit{MTL\alpha}, demonstrating that it had received at least one nucleus from each parent cell. These results appear to contradict the model proposed by Miller and Johnson (21), in which fusion gives rise to karyogamy and a tetraploid state. Since our results were

### TABLE 3. Genotypes of the progeny of daughter cells from a cross between strains WO-1 (\textit{MTLa}) and P37005 (\textit{MTLa})

| Parent strain or daughter cell | Isolates | \textit{MTL} mating type | Band |
|-------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|------|
|                               |          |                         | \textit{NIK1} | \textit{NIK1} | \textit{URA3} | \textit{URA3} |
| Strains                       |          |                         | 1-1   | 1-2   | 1-3   | 1-4   |
| P37005                        | a        | +                       | +     | +     | +     | +     |
| WO-1                          | \alpha   | +                       | +     | +     | +     | +     |
| Daughter cells                |          |                         |       |       |       |       |
| 3-13                          | 1-12     | a                       | +     | +     | +     | +     |
| 3-16                          | 1-12     | a                       | +     | +     | +     | +     |
| 4-6                           | 1-12     | a                       | +     | +     | +     | +     |
| 2-8                           | 1-12     | \alpha                   | +     | +     | +     | +     |
| 3-21                          | 1-12     | \alpha                   | +     | +     | +     | +     |
| 4-5                           | 7, 9, 12 | a                       | +     | +     | +     | +     |
| 1-6, 8, 10, 11                | \alpha   | +                       | +     | +     | +     | +     |

*a Daughter cells from fusions were picked with a micromanipulator and grown; 12 cells from each clone were in turn cloned, grown, and analyzed by Southern blot hybridization. Cells were analyzed for mating type and for the presence (+) of the two \textit{NIK1} bands and the two \textit{URA3} bands (Fig. 9).

![FIG. 10. Multinucleated cells from a cross between strain L26 (\textit{MTLa}) and strain WO-1 (\textit{MTLa}), of which the mixture was first incubated in modified Lee’s medium until fusion occurred (but in which daughter cells did not have a chance to form) and then incubated overnight in sporulation medium. Under these conditions, 20% of the daughter cells were multinucleated. (A to C) Examples of cells with two nuclei; (D) example of a cell with four nuclei. Scale bar, 5 µm.](image-url)
obtained from crosses between natural MTL-homozygous strains in the absence of selection, while those of previous studies (14, 20, 21) were obtained from crosses of engineered hemizygous strains containing auxotrophic markers, it is quite possible that tetraploidy in the latter cases was the result of selective forces. In addition, there was no indication in the previous studies that nuclear fusion occurred before daughter cell formation.

The absence of any cytological or genetic indication of nuclear fusion between natural homozygous a and α strains suggests heterokaryon incompatibility. Although previous studies have demonstrated the combining of selectable markers from MTLα and MTLα strains after mixing in vivo (14) and in vitro (20, 21), no study has demonstrated subsequent segregation of markers or a reduction division, in this case from tetraploid to diploid. Our results are consistent with heterokaryon incompatibility, which is common in filamentous ascomycetes (27). In ascomycetes, incompatible strains may undergo karyogamy but the result is cell death. In our studies, fusion between MTLα and MTLα strains did not result in nuclear fusion even though the mating process localized nuclei of opposite mating types to the center of the conjugation bridge, where fusion normally occurs in S. cerevisiae (5). Because progression through subsequent stages paralleled the mating process of S. cerevisiae and led to a viable daughter cell, heterokaryon incompatibility, as it is known from filamentous ascomycetes, cannot be an explanation for the events we have described. Since there is no obvious advantage to mating without karyogamy and recombination, aside from the exchange of the small amount of genetic information contained in the mitochondrial genome, we have entertained the possibility that if incompatibility is at play in the crosses that we have examined here, it is manifested simply by the absence of karyogamy, not by the cessation of subsequent stages in the mating process. In S. cerevisiae, nuclear fusion is relatively complex. Mutations in a variety of genes (KAR1–5, KAR8, CIK1, CIK2, BIK1, TUB2, KAR71, SEC63, SEC72, CDC4, CDC28, CDC34, and CDC37) (26) result in daughter cells with two nuclei. Dutcher and Hartwell (8, 9) have demonstrated that the products of these genes in fact prepare nuclei for fusion. It is therefore possible that such mutations have accumulated in C. albicans, which exhibits a primarily clonal population structure (10, 25), and that at least one strain in each of the pairs of strains tested in this study for mating carried such a mutation. Even so, it has been demonstrated with S. cerevisiae that in heterokaryons generated by crossing the mutant kari1-1 strain and the wild-type KARI1 strain, internuclear transfer of chromosomes occurs in the absence of karyogamy (7). Hence, recombination may occur in C. albicans without karyogamy. Alternatively, the conditions that we have used to test for mating and meiosis may have been conducive for the former but not the latter. Indeed, while rich medium supports the mating of S. cerevisiae cells, it does not support meiosis (4). This may not, however, be analogous to our results, since while nuclei fuse during the mating of S. cerevisiae cells in rich medium, they do not fuse during the mating of C. albicans cells. Hence, the block in rich medium for S. cerevisiae is at meiosis, while the block for C. albicans is at karyogamy. To test whether the absence of karyogamy was due to incompatibility or to the conditions in our mixing experiments, we are now in the process of performing all possible crosses between the homozygous MTLα strains and the homozygous MTLα strains identified in a large collection of characterized clinical isolates and testing a wide variety of media and incubation conditions for cytological and genetic demonstrations of karyogamy, meiosis, and recombination.
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