Nitrogen Source Influences the Effect of Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) on *Macadamia integrifolia*
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Abstract: The potential of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) to improve plant growth and nutrient acquisition has received increased attention. This study investigated the synergistic effects of combining PGPR *Paraburkholderia* sp. SOS3 with the addition of inorganic N fertilizer (iN) or a combined application of inorganic N with organic manure-based fertilizer (iNoN) at low and high levels of N fertilization on *Macadamia integrifolia* seedling growth. We studied plant growth, mineral N in soil-leachate, and media physicochemical and microbial characteristics. Growth of seedlings fertilized with iNoN at high N level (iNoN 100) was enhanced by PGPR inoculation, with an increase of 32% in total biomass and 43% in N uptake, compared with uninoculated seedlings. No significant PGPR effect was observed on growth under low or high inorganic N treatments but PGPR significantly reduced N leaching after 3 weeks of fertilization. We found a positive relationship between media and plant $\delta^{15}$N and plant N uptake, and a strong increase in microbial-biomass N under the most productive fertilization treatment (iNoN 100 with PGPR), compared with the other N treatments (without or with PGPR). The results suggest that PGPR improves N acquisition by reducing mineral N loss and increasing plant N availability, but that these effects depend on the N form and N level.
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1. Introduction

Human alteration of the global nitrogen (N) cycle is one of the most important drivers of global climate change [1]. This perturbation is driven predominantly by the increased production and use of inorganic fertilizers to sustain intensive agriculture and crop production [2]. Plant uptake of fertilizer-N is a fundamental process in the global N cycle. Only 30–50% of N compounds added to crops in modern agricultural field systems can be taken up by plants and much of the remaining N is leached to groundwater, causing eutrophication, potent greenhouse gas emissions, acid rain, and detrimental effects on the ozone layer [3]. In nursery and greenhouse production, the combination of containerized plants, well-drained potting media and frequent irrigation accelerates nutrient leaching and runoff [4]. A new revolution in agricultural innovation is required to ensure efficient fertilizer use without harming the environment [5]. This paradigm shift toward sustainable agriculture is essential to support the growing global population through a changing climate.

The cornerstone goals of sustainable nutrient management in nursery and field operations are to improve N use efficiency and minimize N leaching, while improving soil quality. The addition of organic fertilizers (e.g., composts or manures) as an alternative to conventional fertilizers has attracted attention [6,7]. Organic fertilizers not only supply
nutrients to plants, but also provide the organic matter that controls the soil biogeochemical cycles and ecological processes that improve soil health [8]. However, studies that address the preferences for, and partitioning of, N forms among plants provide varying results. This is partly because direct utilization of N derived from organic fertilizers is often variable due to N immobilization and the progressive release of organically bound N in soils over time [9,10]. Microbially-mediated processes control the balance between mineralization and immobilization of nitrogenous compounds [11] and determine how much of the N is plant-available (primarily in the form of NH$_4^+$, NO$_3^-$ and free amino acids) or lost via volatilization or leaching. It is increasingly recognized that combining inorganic fertilizers and organic amendments (e.g., manure) has beneficial effects in plant growth and yield, likely due to increases in soil nutrient storage and microbial functional diversity [12–14]. Organic and inorganic N fertilizers have different long-term impacts on soil characteristics and nutrient dynamics, which lead to changes in rhizosphere microbial communities and plant-N availability [15]. Due to the N isotope fractionation and isotopic discrimination against $^{15}$N during biological N transformation processes, variations in soil and plant natural abundance of $^{15}$N (i.e., $\delta^{15}$N) and $^{15}$N pulse-chase labeling provide insights into the dynamics of N cycling processes [16,17]. Nevertheless, the dynamics of $\delta^{15}$N after organic and inorganic N additions are still unclear because $\delta^{15}$N varies across spatial and temporal scales [18].

Complex crosstalk exists between plant roots and rhizosphere microorganism communities, which can impact plant development and health [19,20]. Plants roots and their associated microbiomes are influenced by biotic and abiotic factors [21], but plants can exert control over the composition of the root microbiome [22]. For instance, limited nutrient bioavailability can trigger the release of root exudates to harness microorganisms involved in nutrient acquisition [23]. Following this principle, significant research efforts have been made to isolate and inoculate plants with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) (also referred as biofertilizers) as a direct strategy to stimulate crop growth. In addition to maximizing plant nutrient uptake, PGPR inoculation can increase biomass production [24], confer tolerance to abiotic stress [25], and induce resistance to pathogens [26]. Numerous PGPR-based formulations that contain free-living N-fixing bacteria, such as Azorarcus sp., Azospirillum sp., Azotobacter sp., Bacillus sp., Diazotrophicus sp., Gluconacetobacter sp., Herbaspirillum sp. and Paraburkholderia sp., have been developed commercially to reduce the input of inorganic fertilizers [27]. However, the use of PGPR is far from being a widespread agricultural practice; benefits range from none to large across crops, soils and climate conditions. This variability is attributed to several factors, mainly specificity in host interactions, but also to the formulation and inoculation technologies that determine shelf-life and effectiveness under field conditions [28]. The co-application of PGPR and carrier substrates has become an effective strategy to establish microbial inoculants in the rhizosphere. For instance, the use of organic amendments as carriers (e.g., manures) promotes early rhizosphere and root microbial colonization, due to the role of organic matter in enhancing microbial growth [29,30].

The development of synergistic fertilizer formulations that combine highly effective rhizobacteria with different N forms has far-reaching consequences for the efficiency of agricultural crop production and the remediation of soil health. Recently, Paungfoo-Lonhienne et al. [31,32] reported that PGPR added to a combined organic and inorganic N-fertilizer enhanced the growth and reduced N leaching of kikuyu grass (Pennisetum clandestinum) and sugar cane (Saccharum officinarum × spontaneum). Here, we further assessed whether this combination of organic and inorganic N-fertilizer benefited macadamia (Macadamia integrifolia), a putatively non-mycorrhizal flowering plant. The study of PGPR SOS3 in Australian macadamias is relevant due to the fact that sugar cane and macadamia crops usually co-exist in the same geographical area and are subject to crop succession. We investigated the effects of PGPR on macadamia seedlings, grown under low and high N additions of inorganic N fertilizer (urea) or a combined application of inorganic N with poultry manure-based fertilizer, on plant growth, mineral N in soil-leachate (NH$_4^+$-N and NO$_3^-$-N), and
media physicochemical and rhizosphere microbial characteristics. We hypothesized that inoculation with PGPR would promote growth in trees amended with combined inorganic and organic N forms, through a reduction in mineral N loss and an increase in plant N availability, but that these effects would depend on N level.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material and Growing Conditions

Seedlings of the industry-standard macadamia cultivar, 741, were used to study how macadamia responds to different N sources at low and high N levels, without or with the presence of PGPR. In February 2019, fresh macadamia cv. 741 seeds were placed individually about 3 cm deep into a 225 mL propagation tube filled with a fine seed-raising mix. Seeds were oriented with the ventral suture facing horizontally to prevent the formation of crooked stems. Another 2 cm of potting mix was added to cover the seeds. Irrigation was provided by misting for 10 min three times a day for 12 weeks. Over 95% germination was achieved. The seedlings were transplanted individually in May 2019 into 4.5 L pots containing N-free industry-standard macadamia potting mix comprised of composted pine bark, coir pith and sand (Rocky Point Pty Ltd., Woongoolba, Australia) in addition to the N-fertilizer treatments. The average (±SE) biomass of seedlings before planting (n = 5) was 1.78 g ± 0.19 g dry mass (DM) (0.91 ± 0.14 g leaf DM, 0.47 ± 0.08 g stem DM and 0.41 ± 0.04 g root DM). Their average elemental composition (n = 5) was 45.3 ± 0.3% C, 1.0 ± 0.1% N, 0.18 ± 0.02% P, 0.50 ± 0.03% K, 29.7 ± 8.0 mg Al kg⁻¹, 6.6 ± 0.3 mg B kg⁻¹, 1.8 ± 0.2 g Ca kg⁻¹, 8.5 ± 1.3 mg Cu kg⁻¹, 55.4 ± 10.3 mg Fe kg⁻¹, 1.1 ± 0.1 g Mg kg⁻¹, 16.4 ± 3.9 mg Mn kg⁻¹, 0.6 ± 0.1 g Na kg⁻¹, 1.2 ± 0.1 g S kg⁻¹, and 15.2 ± 1.1 mg Zn kg⁻¹.

This study used a plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, the SOS3 PGPR bacterium (Sustainable Organic Solutions Pty Ltd., Long Pocket, Australia; patent application number PCT/AU2016/050453), from the genus Paraburkholderia. PGPR was grown and prepared following Paungfoo-Lonhienne et al. [31], using zeolite as a bacterial carrier. Ten grams of PGPR-zeolite was applied in PGPR-inoculated treatment pots (Table 1), while no PGPR or zeolite was added to uninoculated treatment pots. Nitrogen was added as conventional urea (IN, 47.0% N) or as an N-equimolar combination of urea and poultry manure-based organic fertilizer (oN, EcoNPK™ containing 4.0% N, 1.6% P, 1.9% K with C:N 5.3:1) (Sustainable Organic Solutions Pty Ltd., Long Pocket, Australia). EcoNPK had at least 50% of N coming from poultry manure. Urea was used as an inorganic N form due to its widespread use as a fertilizer. Urea and EcoNPK were in solid form and were applied once to the potting mix before transferring plants. Three nitrogen rates of 0, 3 or 6 g N pot⁻¹ were applied in the form of urea or the combination of urea and manure to 20 uninoculated plants and 20 PGPR-inoculated plants (Table 1), with a total of 180 plants included in the experiment. Control plants were transferred into pots that contained no N other than what was present in the potting mix. Ca(H₂PO₄)₂·H₂O and K₂SO₄ were added at levels that equalized the concentrations of phosphorus and potassium across treatments to 1.8 and 4.5 g pot⁻¹, respectively, to minimize confounding effects on plant growth. Pots were supplied twice with a basal micronutrient solution containing 35 mg MgSO₄·7H₂O, 18 mg FeSO₄·7H₂O, 6 mg CuSO₄·5H₂O, 5 mg ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.4 mg Na₂[B₄O₅(OH)₄]·8H₂O and 0.02 mg Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O. The high level of N supplied corresponded to the recommended N rate for optimal macadamia growth in nurseries.

The seedlings were grown for 28 weeks under natural light in a glasshouse, with added 30% shade cloth, at the University of the Sunshine Coast (26°43′ S, 153°3′ E), Queensland, Australia. The temperature was programmed to not exceed 25 °C, although this provided average mean daily temperatures of 26.6 °C in summer, 22.2 °C in autumn, 18.1 °C in winter and 22.5 °C in spring. The pots were positioned within the glasshouse in a completely randomized design. Irrigation was provided by overhead sprinklers, with the irrigation adjusted seasonally to maintain potting media at 60% water holding capacity (WHC). The pots were saturated with water every 2 weeks. The 28 week duration of the experiment
was based on the assumed minimum time required for seedlings to develop significant differences in growth- and development-responses to N treatments, as well as the time taken for macadamia seedlings to approach sufficient height for use as rootstocks.

Table 1. Treatment compositions used for the macadamia seedling experiment. Each experimental treatment was applied to 20 replicate plants.

| Treatment | PGPR Inoculation | N Level | N Form            | N Content (g pot$^{-1}$) | PGPR (g pot$^{-1}$) |
|-----------|------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Control   | -                | Nil     | Nil               | 0                        | -                   |
| iN 50     | -PGPR Low        | Nil     | 100% Urea         | 3                        | -                   |
|           | +PGPR Low        | Nil     | 100% Urea         | 3                        | 10                  |
| iNoN 50   | -PGPR Low        | 50% Urea| 50% EcoNPK™      | 3                        | -                   |
|           | +PGPR Low        | 50% Urea| 50% EcoNPK™      | 3                        | 10                  |
| iN 100    | -PGPR High       | 100% Urea|                | 6                        | -                   |
|           | +PGPR High       | 100% Urea|                | 6                        | 10                  |
| iNoN 100  | -PGPR High       | 50% Urea| 50% EcoNPK™      | 6                        | -                   |
|           | +PGPR High       | 50% Urea| 50% EcoNPK™      | 6                        | 10                  |

2.2. Processing of Plant and Media Samples

All replicate plants were dissected carefully after 28 weeks into four samples: leaves, stems, fine roots and primary roots. Root systems were carefully removed from the potting media and then rinsed in running tap water until no trace of media particles was evident. The dry mass of each of the biomass fractions (leaves, stems, fine- and primary-roots) was determined after oven-drying the samples at 60 °C for 48 h.

Potting media samples were collected after 28 weeks to determine media physicochemical properties, including media moisture, EC, pH, and C and N concentrations. Samples for media moisture, and C and N concentrations, were oven-dried at 40 °C and 60 °C, respectively for at least 48 h, while samples for pH and EC were stored at 4 °C no longer than 24 h.

Rhizosphere media samples were collected after 12 and 28 weeks from 3 to 4 different replicate plants to analyze PGPR copy numbers and microbial-biomass N. Rhizosphere media for δ15N isotopic signature was only collected after 28 weeks of growth. For each individual tree, we collected approximately 15 g of rhizosphere media by pooling three cores (10 cm depth and 1.5 cm diameter) taken 5 cm from the base of the tree. Rhizosphere media samples were obtained by carefully shaking root systems and manually removing any remaining roots from the loose media that was attached to roots. Samples were homogenized, sieved (2 mm) and stored at −80 °C (PGPR copy number), kept at 4 °C (microbial-biomass N), or oven-dried at 60 °C for 48 h (isotopic analysis).

2.3. Analysis of Plant Growth and Physiology

The relative content of chlorophyll was measured, using an atLEAF chlorophyll meter, a leaf-clip non-invasive sensor (FT Green LLC, Wilmington, DE), before plants were harvested. This meter estimates relative chlorophyll content by measuring radiation absorbance at two wavelengths (660 nm and 940 nm). The sensor was placed over leaf mesophyll tissue and the optical density was measured at a photon flux density of 800–1200 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Three measurements were taken across leaves of similar ages and averaged to provide a single estimate per plant.

Relative biomass partitioning (shoot and root mass fractions) was calculated for each tree by dividing each of the biomass fractions by the total tree biomass. The root to shoot ratio (R:S) was calculated as root dry mass (including fine and primary roots) divided by shoot dry mass, with shoot dry mass including both stem and leaf dry mass. The fine-root mass fraction was calculated by dividing the fine-root dry mass by the total-root dry mass.
2.4. Physicochemical Analysis of Leachates, Plant Material and Potting Media

The mineral N composition of the leachates was analyzed after 3, 11 and 28 weeks to assess whether the N treatment and PGPR inoculation reduced N loss. Pots were filled to full water capacity and then leached with 150 mL of water. Leachates from four replicate trees were collected, immediately stored at −20 °C, and analyzed within 4 d of collection. The leachates were analyzed for NH$_4^+$-N and NO$_3^-$-N contents (mg L$^{-1}$), which were determined using the 2M KCl extraction and colorimetric spectrometry method [33]. Mineral N concentration (N$_{\text{min}}$, mg L$^{-1}$) was calculated combining the values of NH$_4^+$-N and NO$_3^-$-N contents at each time point.

Media moisture (%) after 28 weeks was calculated as the percentage of water contained in samples before drying approximately 150 g of potting media at 40 °C for at least 48 h.

EC and pH determination was performed by shaking 1:1 soil to water (w:v) extracts for 30 min before measuring EC and pH, using calibrated electrodes at 25 °C [34].

In order to determine N and C concentrations, subsamples of dry leaves, roots, and potting media from ten replicate trees were pulverized and analyzed by combustion, using a CHN analyzer (LECO, Saint Joseph, MI, USA). The concentrations of P and K in leaves from ten replicates were obtained by inductively coupled plasma–atomic emission spectroscopy [35] after nitric and perchloric acid digestion [36]. The plant N uptake (mg N plant$^{-1}$) was calculated by multiplying the dry mass of leaf and roots by the corresponding N concentration. The leaf P uptake (mg P g dry mass leaf$^{-1}$) and leaf K uptake (mg K g dry mass leaf$^{-1}$) were calculated by multiplying the leaf dry mass by the corresponding P and K concentrations. N retained (N$_{\text{ret}}$) in the medium was calculated by multiplying the N concentration in the medium by the estimated medium weight in each pot, assuming 50% water content.

2.5. Isotopic Analysis

The $\delta^{15}$N isotope signature was analyzed in rhizosphere media and leaf samples from three replicate trees per treatment. Oven-dried rhizosphere media and leaf samples were homogenized to a fine powder and transferred to tin capsules. $\delta^{15}$N natural abundance was obtained using an elemental analyzer (Sercon Europa EA-GSL, Sercon Ltd., Crewe, UK) coupled to a stable isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Sercon Hydra 20–22, Sercon Ltd., Crewe, UK).

2.6. Genetic Identification of PGPR SOS3 Copies

Copy numbers of the 16S rRNA gene (16S copies mg media$^{-1}$) and PGPR strain-specific gene PB_SOS3_004375 (PGPR copies mg media$^{-1}$) were quantified after 12 and 28 weeks to study how treatments impacted the level of bacterial and PGPR rhizosphere colonization. After collection, rhizosphere samples were sieved (2 mm diameter) and stored immediately at −80 °C. Rhizosphere DNA from three replicates per treatment was isolated in a double extraction from approximately 250 mg of rhizosphere media, using the DNeasy PowerSoil kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer’s protocol. The concentration of total extracted genomic DNA (gDNA) was determined using the Qubit dsDNA BR Quantification kit and a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Copies of the bacterial ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene were quantified by qPCR by amplifying the V3 and V4 regions (345 bp fragment), using the primers 27F forward (5′-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3′) and 336R reverse (5′-ACTGCTGCSYCCCGTAGGAGTCT-3′). For PGPR, we used strain-specific primers that target the PB_SOS3_004375 gene (120 bp fragment): SOS3_4375_F forward (5′-CGGACCGCCTGAAATCTAT-3′) and SOS3_4375_R reverse (5′-ATCCACGGAAATCGCTCCTT-3′). Primers were designed using online tool PrimerQuest Tool (Integrated DNA Technologies, U.S.A.). Standard curves were prepared by recovering gDNA from PGPR. All samples were analyzed in triplicate with 10 µL reactions containing 1× SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA), 250 nM of forward and reverse primers, and 10 ng of microbial gDNA template. For both primer sets, the thermocycling program (C1000 Touch Thermal Cycler, Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) was carried out with an initial denatura-
tion step of 98 °C for 3 min followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 15 s and annealing/extension at 60 °C for 30 s followed by a melting curve from 65 to 95 °C with an increase of 0.5 °C every 5 s following completion of thermocycling to verify the purity of the amplicons. The number of gene copies was divided by the exact amount of rhizosphere media used in the DNA extraction.

2.7. Assessment of Microbial-Biomass N

The microbial-biomass N of media rhizosphere samples after 12 weeks and 28 weeks (harvest) was analyzed, using a chloroform fumigation extraction method [37]. Briefly, two subsamples of fresh rhizosphere media were collected from each sample; the first 5 g subsample was fumigated with chloroform in a vacuumed chamber for 10 d, while a duplicate 5 g subsample was treated as a control and stored at 4 °C. Both subsamples were then extracted using 2M KCl, followed by a ninhydrin assay. Microbial-biomass N (mg N kg⁻¹) was calculated by multiplying the difference in ninhydrin-reactive nitrogen values of the fumigated and non-fumigated media samples with a correction factor of 3.1.

2.8. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using RStudio v. 1.2.5033 [38]. To test whether means for individual plant measures (dry mass, chlorophyll content, root parameters and nutrient uptake) differed among treatments, one-way analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were conducted, after confirming that the data met assumptions for normality and homogenous variance. The data were tested for normality and homogeneity of variance using Shapiro–Wilk and Levene’s tests, respectively. We used one-way ANOVAs due to the unbalanced design. Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) tests were performed when differences among treatment means were detected by ANOVA. The same approach was used to test for differences in treatment means for N_min, NH₄⁺-N and NO₃⁻-N concentrations in soil-leachate, potting media physicochemical properties, rhizosphere media and leaf δ¹⁵N natural abundance, and microbial-biomass N. Two-way analyses of variance were conducted to test whether means for copy numbers of 16S rRNA gene and PGPR strain-specific gene differed among treatments or time points. When the treatment × time interaction was significant, the effects of time within each treatment and treatment within time were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. The relationships between plant N uptake and media δ¹⁵N, and plant N uptake and leaf δ¹⁵N were assessed by Pearson’s correlation analysis, using three replicates per treatment. Means are presented with standard errors, and differences were regarded as significant at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Tree Growth, Root Responses and Nutrient Status

Macadamia total biomass was similar among low N treatments, while biomass production among high N treatments was higher in the combined inorganic and organic fertilizer than in inorganic N fertilizer alone (Table 2; Figure 1a,b). Macadamia growth increased with the increasing application rate of combined inorganic and organic fertilizer (iNoN 50, iNoN 100), though only when PGPR was added. No significant differences were found between N levels of the inorganic fertilizer treatments. Macadamia seedlings grown in substrate amended with the iNoN 100 treatment had 32% higher total biomass (Table 2, Figure 1b), 27% higher leaf dry mass (Figure 1b,c), 26% higher stem dry mass (Figure 1b,d) and 60% higher fine-root dry mass (Figure 1e) when inoculated with PGPR than when they were uninoculated. The chlorophyll content in leaves at the end of the experiment was approximately 17% higher in the iNoN 100 treatments than in the low and high inorganic N treatments (iN 50, iN 100), without or with PGPR inoculation (Table 2).

Significant differences in above- and below-ground biomass partitioning were only observed between N levels of the combined inorganic and organic fertilizer (iNoN 50, iNoN 100) when not inoculated with PGPR (Table 2). Uninoculated iNoN 100 trees had 14% higher shoot mass fraction and 22% lower root mass fraction than uninoculated iNoN
50 trees. In contrast, inoculated iNoN 50 and iNoN 100 trees had similar aboveground mass fractions (Table 2). Differences in fine-root mass fraction (g g root$^{-1}$) were observed between uninoculated and inoculated trees growing under the combined inorganic and organic N fertilizer at high N level (iNoN 100), with the fine-root mass fraction increasing by 19% after PGPR inoculation (Table 2).

Plant N uptake in the iNoN 100 treatment with PGPR was 43% higher than that without PGPR, approximately 100% higher than iNoN 50 without or with PGPR, and approximately 190% higher than iN 50 and iN 100 without or with PGPR (Table 2). Leaf P uptake in the iNoN 100 treatment with PGPR was over 79% greater than the iNoN 50 treatment without or with PGPR, and at least 188% higher than iN 50 and iN 100 without or with PGPR. Leaf K uptake in the iNoN 100 treatment with PGPR was 43% higher than that without PGPR, 102% higher than iNoN 50 without or with PGPR, and over 225% higher than iN 50 and iN 100 without or with PGPR (Table 2).

3.2. Mineral N in Soil-Leachate

The addition of PGPR to iN 100 reduced mineral N leaching after 3 weeks by 45% compared with uninoculated trees (Figure 2a), while mineral N leaching in the other N
treatments was not influenced significantly by PGPR. The presence of PGPR reduced the NO$_3^-$–N concentration in iNoN 100 leachate after 3 weeks by 88% (Figure 2b). Leachate NH$_4^+$–N levels after 3 weeks were over two-fold higher growing under the iN 100 treatment without PGPR than in low N treatments without or with PGPR (iN 50, iNoN 50) (Figure 2c). Mineral N, NO$_3^-$–N and NH$_4^+$–N levels decreased markedly by 11 weeks (Figure 2d–f) at which stage they were not significantly different among treatments. The concentrations of mineral N forms were below the limit of detection (<0.2 mg L$^{-1}$) at 28 weeks (data not presented).

**Table 2.** Total biomass, chlorophyll content, biomass partitioning, root traits and nutrient uptake of macadamia seedlings after 28 weeks growing under different fertilizer N sources (iN, iNoN) and PGPR inoculation (−PGPR, +PGPR).

|                           | Control | iN 50 | iN 100 | iNoN 50 | iNoN 100 | F (p) |
|---------------------------|---------|-------|--------|---------|----------|-------|
| Total biomass (g tree$^{-1}$) | 7.7 ± 0.4d | 12.4 ± 1.0cd | 13.5 ± 0.9c | 16.4 ± 0.9bc | 16.7 ± 1.1bc | 11.6 ± 0.7cd | 11.8 ± 0.9cd | 21.2 ± 1.7b | 27.9 ± 1.8a | 28.3 (><0.001) |
| Chlorophyll                | 24.1 ± 0.7d | 27.6 ± 0.6c | 27.8 ± 0.8c | 30.0 ± 0.9ab | 29.5 ± 0.8bc | 27.8 ± 0.8c | 28.0 ± 0.8c | 32.9 ± 0.9a | 32.4 ± 0.9ab | 13.2 (><0.001) |
| Shoot mass fraction (%)    | 46.7 ± 1.2d | 53.0 ± 1.6cd | 51.6 ± 1.4cd | 55.9 ± 2.0bc | 60.7 ± 1.4ab | 57.1 ± 1.6ab | 55.0 ± 1.3bc | 63.8 ± 1.5a | 61.2 ± 1.4ab | 12.4 (><0.001) |
| Root mass fraction (%)     | 53.3 ± 1.2a | 47.0 ± 1.6ab | 48.4 ± 1.4ab | 44.1 ± 2.0bc | 39.3 ± 1.4cd | 42.9 ± 1.6cd | 45.0 ± 1.3bc | 36.2 ± 1.5d | 38.8 ± 1.4cd | 12.4 (><0.001) |
| R:S                       | 1.17 ± 0.06a | 0.93 ± 0.07b | 0.97 ± 0.06ab | 0.83 ± 0.06bc | 0.67 ± 0.04cd | 0.78 ± 0.05bcd | 0.84 ± 0.04bc | 0.58 ± 0.04d | 0.65 ± 0.04cd | 12.2 (><0.001) |
| Fine-root mass fraction (g g$^{-1}$ root$^{-1}$) | 0.54 ± 0.02ab | 0.57 ± 0.02ab | 0.59 ± 0.02ab | 0.58 ± 0.02ab | 0.53 ± 0.02ab | 0.52 ± 0.02ab | 0.56 ± 0.02ab | 0.53 ± 0.02ab | 0.63 ± 0.02ab | 2.8 (><0.007) |
| N uptake $^1$ (mg N plant$^{-1}$) | 36.4 ± 2.2d | 61.5 ± 7.7cd | 63.8 ± 5.2cd | 95.7 ± 7.4bc | 95.1 ± 7.8bc | 65.3 ± 5.8cd | 66.3 ± 3.8cd | 132.5 ± 13.5b | 190.2 ± 13.5a | 31.2 (><0.001) |
| P uptake $^2$ (mg P g dry mass leaf$^{-1}$) | 24.8 ± 3.9c | 32.8 ± 6.0c | 31.2 ± 3.9c | 42.0 ± 5.1bc | 52.5 ± 5.0bc | 22.7 ± 3.4c | 31.8 ± 3.4c | 65.3 ± 8.8ab | 94.8 ± 14.2a | 11.7 (><0.001) |
| K uptake $^3$ (mg K g dry mass leaf$^{-1}$) | 39.5 ± 5.4d | 57.3 ± 8cd | 55.0 ± 6.4cd | 83.5 ± 7.1bcd | 95.9 ± 9bc | 52.3 ± 5.7cd | 60.1 ± 5.8cd | 127.3 ± 13.8b | 181.6 ± 21.2a | 19.2 (><0.001) |

Shown are mean (±SE) values from 20 replicates per treatment, except for nutrient uptake which was obtained from 10 replicates per treatment. Different letters indicate significant differences among treatments (one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc tests, $p < 0.05$). $^1$ Nitrogen (N), $^2$ phosphorus (P) and $^3$ potassium (K).

### 3.3. Potting Media Physicochemical Properties

The moisture, pH and EC of the potting media did not differ among treatments at the end of the experiment, i.e., after 28 weeks (Table S1). However, significant differences in media C:N were found in treatments amended with the combined inorganic and organic fertilizer (iNoN 50, iNoN 100), with iNoN 50 having higher media C:N than iNoN 100 regardless of PGPR inoculation (Table S1). In addition, differences in N$_{net}$ were only observed between PGPR-inoculated iNoN 100 and uninoculated low N fertilizers (iN 50, iNoN 50), with no significant differences between N addition and N-free control (Table S1).

### 3.4. $\delta^{15}$N Isotope Signatures

Significant differences in isotopic composition ($\delta^{15}$N) were found among rhizosphere media and leaves at the end of the experiment (Figure 3a). Media $\delta^{15}$N of inoculated iNoN 50 and inoculated iNoN 100 were 3.1% and 3.6% higher, respectively, than both the inoculated and uninoculated iN 100 treatments. Media $\delta^{15}$N at harvest was correlated positively with plant N uptake ($p = 0.001$, Figure S1a).

Inoculated iNoN 100 plants had significantly higher $\delta^{15}$N in leaves at harvest (2.55% ± 0.31) than the other treatments, with the exception of controls (1.29% ± 0.79). In addition, iNoN 100 plants had 1.97% higher leaf $\delta^{15}$N when inoculated with PGPR than when they were uninoculated (Figure 3b). Leaf $\delta^{15}$N at harvest was also correlated positively with plant N uptake ($p = 0.002$, Figure S1b).
fertilizer-N sources (iN, iNoN) without or with PGPR inoculation. Shown are the total number of gene copies per mg of media and leaves at the end of the experiment (Figure 3a). Media δ\textsuperscript{15}N (‰) and (b) leaf δ\textsuperscript{15}N (‰) in macadamia seedlings after 28 weeks growing under different fertilizer-N sources (iN, iNoN) without or with PGPR inoculation. Light grey bars represent uninoculated treatments; dark grey bars display treatments inoculated with PGPR. Shown are mean mineral N concentrations in soil-leachate (±SE, n = 4). Different letters above means indicate significant differences among treatments (one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc tests, p < 0.05).

3.5. Microbial Responses

The number of 16S rRNA copies in the rhizosphere was, on average, 47% higher after 28 weeks than after 12 weeks (Figure 4a). The number of 16S copies did not differ significantly among treatments. The number of PGPR copies in the rhizosphere of inoculated trees in the iN 50, iNoN 50 and iNoN 100 treatments increased at least seven-fold...
by 28 weeks compared to that at 12 weeks (Figure 4b). In contrast, the number of PGPR copies in inoculated trees under the iN 100 treatment after 12 weeks was below the limit of detection, while after 28 weeks, PGPR copies were at least two-fold lower than the other inoculated treatments.

**Figure 4.** Copy numbers of the (a) 16S rRNA gene and (b) PGPR strain-specific gene PB_SOS3_004375 from rhizosphere potting mix of macadamia seedlings after 12 weeks (light grey bars) and 28 weeks (dark grey bars) growing under different fertilizer-N sources (iN, iNoN) without or with PGPR inoculation. Shown are the total number of gene copies per mg of soil (±SE, n = 3). Asterisks and parentheses in panel (b) indicate significant differences between time points within treatments (one-way ANOVA, p < 0.05), while different lowercase letters above means indicate significant differences among treatments within a time point (one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc test, p < 0.05). ND: number of copies below limit of detection.

Rhizosphere microbial-biomass N differed among treatments after 12 weeks and 28 weeks (Figure 5), although no differences within N treatments were due to PGPR inoculation. Microbial-biomass N levels after 12 weeks were 4.5-fold higher in uninoculated iNoN 100 trees than in inoculated iN 50 and iN 100 trees (Figure 5a). Differences intensified over time, with microbial-biomass N increased up to 10-fold after 28 weeks in inoculated iNoN 100 trees when compared with the other treatments without or with PGPR, with the exception of iNoN 100 trees without PGPR (Figure 5b).

**Figure 5.** Microbial-biomass N (mg kg⁻¹) from rhizosphere potting mix of macadamia seedlings after (a) 12 weeks and (b) 28 weeks growing under different fertilizer-N sources (iN, iNoN) without or with PGPR inoculation. Light grey bars represent treatments not inoculated with PGPR; dark grey bars display treatments inoculated with PGPR. Shown are mean microbial-biomass N (±SE, n = 4). Different letters above means indicate significant differences among treatments (one-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post hoc tests, p < 0.05).

4. **Discussion**

Root inoculation with PGPR has become recognized increasingly as an effective strategy to stimulate plant growth while reducing fertilizer inputs that damage terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. We used a recently isolated PGPR from the genus *Paraburkholderia*...
that increases growth of kikuyu grass [31] to test whether N form and N level impact PGPR effects on growth, mineral N loss and plant N availability in a horticultural tree crop. We found that PGPR promoted growth of macadamia seedlings that were fertilized with urea and poultry manure at high N level (iNoN 100, 6 g N pot \(^{-1}\)) but not growth of seedlings that were fertilized with inorganic N alone (iN 50, iN 100). PGPR enhanced plant N acquisition and assimilation in the iNoN 100 treatment, which indicates that N fertilization directly impacts PGPR effects on mineral nutrient acquisition, biomass production and nutrient allocation. The synergistic effect observed after the co-application of PGPR and combined N forms is consistent with previous findings that show positive effects of PGPR in growth and nutrient acquisition [31]. Furthermore, recent studies have reported beneficial effects of other \textit{Paraburkholderia} strains on plant growth in the field [39] and under controlled conditions [40,41]. In addition, our results provide further evidence that PGPR require an N-level threshold to operate effectively [31,42]. These findings highlight that PGPR effects on N acquisition are more pronounced under a combination of inorganic and organic N forms, presumably due to greater soil quality and successive microbial N transformations. Our results extend previous investigations on the mechanisms behind PGPR growth promotion and underline the potential to directly impact plant N availability via N fixation, N-loss reduction and increased root surface area.

Strong development of root systems in planted seedlings is crucial for tree establishment and field performance after outplanting [43]. Nursery management practices often include inoculation with bacterial and fungal strains that improve root growth and consequently boost seedling health. The detection of PGPR in rhizosphere media samples from macadamia seedlings after 12 weeks confirmed that inoculation enabled PGPR to successfully colonize the rhizosphere. PGPR colonization increased over time, although abundance of the PGPR strain-specific gene compared with the 16S rRNA gene was low (relative abundance < 0.1%). However, the relationship between microbial abundance and function in the rhizosphere is unclear. Recent studies suggest that low-abundance bacterial species may be the major drivers of microbiome function, given their role in protection against pathogens and biogeochemical cycles [44]. At least two mechanisms behind PGPR-driven beneficial effects on nutrient acquisition have been described; viz. the direct increase of nutrient supply in the rhizosphere, and the indirect stimulation of root ion transport systems [45]. Furthermore, plant growth promotion by PGPR is also associated with changes in concentration, localization and signaling of plant hormones [46]. Our results indicate that macadamia trees fertilized with the N treatment in which PGPR significantly enhanced growth (i.e., iNoN 100) also had an increase in the absorptive fine-root surface area, as found in chickpea [47]. PGPRs are able to produce indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) or are able to indirectly stimulate the plant auxin pathway, increase the number or length of lateral roots [48], and stimulate root hair elongation in vitro [49] to facilitate root colonization. \textit{Paraburkholderia} spp. can modify root system architecture through the production of IAA [50]. The increase in surface area of the root system improves the plant’s capacity to intercept water and nutrients and provides physical support to establish associative interactions with microbes and fungi. PGPR might intervene in the coordination between root development and nutrition via stimulation of ion transport systems or increased access to plant-available nutrients.

The plasticity of root traits enables plants to acclimate rapidly to nutrient changes and biotic or abiotic stresses. Plants encounter a range of organic and inorganic N compounds that can be taken up, and biomass allocation depends on soil N availability and N chemical composition [51,52]. The overall greater root partitioning observed in the control and inorganic N treatments than in the combined inorganic and organic N treatments suggests nutrient deficiency. In addition, the similar total biomass under low and high inorganic N treatments suggests an initial growth-suppressing effect of high mineral-N concentration in media. The iN 100 treatment likely caused an initially high soil NH\(_4^+\) concentration, causing toxicity to the roots and growth inhibition [53]. A few weeks later, the plants overcame the growth inhibition when most NH\(_4^+\) was converted into NO\(_3^-\).
or lost. The resulting root phenotype after the co-application of inorganic and organic N forms has implications for sustainable agriculture. In the face of longer and more intensive drought periods, stimulation of drought-tolerant root traits might reduce mortality during field establishment.

We demonstrated that a two-fold increase in N addition leads to a 48% increase in mineral N leaching in the inorganic N treatment, but only a 27% increase when inorganic N is combined with manure. PGPR inoculation triggered a significant reduction in NH$_4^+$–N loss but this was only observed in the iN 100 treatment, in which the reduction in N loss did not translate into a growth increase. Moreover, our results suggest that the N form alone contributed to the reduction in N loss. Nitrogen pollution in ecosystems is generally due to the high mobility of NO$_3^-$–N, but NH$_4^+$–N can also run off into waterways after heavy rain. Urea hydrolyzes into NH$_4^+$ within a few days, stimulating the growth and activity of soil nitrifiers, which increase soil NO$_3^-$ by nitrification of urea-derived NH$_4^+$. The quantitative analysis of nitrogen acquired by the trees relative to the N added and media N retained suggests that even treatments with high yield have substantial N loss. Factors such as duration of the experiment and growing conditions may have compromised the ability to observe significant differences in N use efficiency from PGPR-inoculation. Considering that containerized plants growing under frequent irrigation are exposed to significant denitrification and N leaching [4], further studies are required under field conditions. In our study, mineral N concentration in the leachates dropped dramatically by 11 weeks, although the trees continued growing. Therefore, our study suggests that internal sinks for mobilized N, such as uptake by microbial biomass, and organically bound N in soil particles, created an effective buffer against N loss.

Organically amended soils, in contrast to conventionally managed systems, maintain steady N release, microbial diversity and structure of soil communities due to organically bound N [54,55]. Nonetheless, some organic amendments (e.g., liquid manures) are prone to leaching, sometimes to a greater extent than high inorganic N rates [55]. The co-application of inorganic and organic N substrates promotes soil biological fertility by providing resources and habitats to stimulate microbial biomass and activity. Recent studies provide evidence that the addition of manure to mineral N fertilizers reduces N leaching and increases denitrifier activity [13,56]. Moreover, microbial inoculants have shown promise in inhibiting nitrification and increasing denitrifying communities [57]. In line with this, the high content of microbial biomass-N in the iNoN 100 treatment indicates strong microbial activity in the presence of manure. However, the quantitative analysis of 16S copies, using qPCR, showed similar abundance of the bacterial population (16S rRNA) among treatments. These apparently contrasting results could be explained by an increase in fungal population in the iNoN 100 treatment due to the higher C content of manure or inter-sample variability in 16S rRNA copies among treatments. Further investigations of microbial abundance and diversity after the co-application of manure and inorganic fertilizers are required to confirm redistribution of the microbial populations.

We studied media and plant N isotope composition ($^{15}$N natural abundance) to reconstruct the trajectory of N transformations that control N availability. PGPR inoculation increased leaf $\delta^{15}$N in trees grown under iNoN 100. Despite the fact that we did not measure $\delta^{15}$N signature in the applied fertilizers, inorganic N fertilizers (including urea) have generally lower $\delta^{15}$N than organic fertilizers (including manure) [58]. Organic fertilizers can cause increased $^{15}$N values in plant tissues, with higher $^{15}$N values in plant tissues resulting from the uptake of $^{15}$N-enriched manure-derived N. In addition, the increase in N acquisition in PGPR-inoculated plants was associated with an increase in plant $\delta^{15}$N, suggesting an increase in N availability. We hypothesize that PGPR could play a key role in two mechanisms affecting N availability. Firstly, PGPR might reduce nitrification via biological nitrification inhibition (BNI) or increase denitrification [57]. A large body of research reports positive relationships between vegetation $\delta^{15}$N and N losses or land management [59,60], based on the fact that bacteria discriminate against $^{15}$N uptake during nitrification [61]. In this study, the analysis of $^{15}$N was performed at the end of the
experiment when leaching was very low, while differences in growth among treatments occurred after 11 weeks (data not shown). The increase in media $\delta^{15}$N suggests that new N entered the system: N that was also subject to loss. Secondly, PGPR could act as diazotroph bacteria involved in biological N$_2$ fixation (BNF) that could impact the plant’s N budget directly by providing N fixed from the atmosphere. Diazotrophic bacteria, including Burkholderia, were isolated from various plant crops [62,63]. Free-living N-fixing bacteria as well as denitrifying bacteria are heterotrophs; therefore, C availability is an important factor limiting their activity. Diazotrophs can access N via N fixation or through the uptake of low- and high-molecular-weight N sources [64]. In most cases, the decrease in N availability favors N$_2$ fixation, although, under N-depleted conditions, N limits nitrogenase synthesis and N$_2$ fixation. This hypothesis could explain why PGPR did not affect growth in low N level treatments. Nonetheless, the impact of N$_2$-fixation by PGPR is still debated and is rarely considered the reason for plant growth stimulation (for review, see [65]). Here, the high $\delta^{15}$N values in the iNoN 100 treatment when inoculated with PGPR suggest that the potential PGPR-induced effects on reducing N leaching might be less important than the impacts of BNF on plant N availability.

5. Conclusions

This study demonstrated that inoculation of PGPR in combination with both inorganic and organic N at a high N level significantly enhances aboveground and fine-root growth and increases N uptake in macadamia seedlings. Based on our results, we can formulate two primary hypotheses to explain the observed PGPR effect. Firstly, PGPR intervened in rhizosphere soil N transformations. Secondly, the beneficial effects of PGPR were stimulated by the presence of organic N, likely due to changes in the C and N economy of macadamia plants and media. Subsequent investigations will test the effects of PGPR inoculation and N fertilization on yield and soil properties under long-term field conditions. Future research on the interactions between PGPR and indigenous rhizosphere communities will provide a better understanding of the ecology of PGPR functional groups with the goal of promoting nutrient use efficiency in agriculture.
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