The current situation and environmental conditions of green mussel farming in the gulf of Thailand
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Abstract. This study investigated the current situation and environmental conditions of green mussel Perna viridis farming in Surat Thani, Phetchaburi and Samut Prakan provinces where were located in the gulf of Thailand. Samples were collected during April, August and November in 2014. Based on the results of this study, there were three major types of mussel culture patterns in Thailand: bouchot culture, longline culture (rope culture), and raft culture. Mussel farmers encountered problems on decreasing of larvae mussel in the water column, water quality degradation, high production cost and farmer’s lack of negotiation power to control the price. The water quality of the mussel farms, such as dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, salinity, electrical conductivity (EC), fecal coliforms bacteria and the total coliforms bacteria met the standard for aquaculture. However, the nutrient, such as ammonium (NH₄-N), nitrite-nitrogen (NO₂-N), nitrate-nitrogen (NO₃-N) and soluble reactive phosphorus (PO₄-P) were higher than the value of marine aquaculture standard. The results of the contamination of Salmonella spp. bacteria were not found in the mussel’s tissue, however Escherichia coli was found at very low level.

1. Introduction
Green mussel is widely distributed in the coastal waters of the Asia-Pacific region [1]. It is one of the most valuable mariculture organisms produced with the largest quantity and profitable products for the country [2,3]. The culture of green mussel has been carried out in Thailand for more than 60 years. Green mussels aquaculture farms are located at intertidal areas and they are usually close to estuaries. Therefore, the chance of exposure to many contaminants from land-based activities through the riverine system as well as sea-based sources is high [4]. The aim of this study is to investigate the current situation and environmental condition in the mussel farming in the gulf of Thailand.

2. Methodology
The thirteen green mussel farms were from three provinces: Surat Thani, Phetchaburi and Samut Prakan provinces, located in the gulf of Thailand. Research samples were collected in April, August and November in 2014. Water quality, including dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, salinity and electrical conductivity (EC) was measured in the field by YSI multi-probe meter model Horiba U-52G. Water samples were collected using water sampler and put into plastic bottles and an ice compartment before being transported to the laboratory for further analysis. In the laboratory, the water samples were
filtered with GF/C filter paper for total ammonia (TAN), nitrite nitrogen (NO$_2$-N), nitrate nitrogen (NO$_3$-N) and soluble reactive phosphorus (PO$_4$-P) analysis. The green mussels were washed externally with a brush and distilled water to minimize contamination of tissue. Then, they were immediately put into a plastic bag and an ice compartment before transportation to the laboratory for further analysis. In the laboratory, the samples were stored frozen until the analysis was undertaken. Before dissection, the mussel samples were thawed at a room temperature (28 ± 1°C) with the posterior margin facing downwards in order to allow excess water to drain away. About 25-30 mussels from each sampling site were selected and dissected by removing the byssus and the shell before analyses. Contamination of the total coliforms and fecal coliforms bacteria in sea water and *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* spp. bacteria in mussel’s tissue was investigated by using most probable number method (MPN Method).

3. Results and Discussions

There are three major types of green mussel culture patterns in Thailand: bouchot or bamboo poles culture (figure 1a-b), longline culture or rope culture (figure 1c-d) and raft culture (figure 1e). Thai mussel farmers encountered problems in decreasing larvae mussel in the water column, problems of water quality degradation, high production cost and farmers’ lack of negotiation power to control the price.

**Figure 1.** Types of green mussel culture patterns in Thailand. Bouchot or bamboo poles culture (figure 1a-b), longline culture or rope culture (figure 1c-d) and raft culture (figure 1e).
The results of water quality in green mussel farms including dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, salinity and electrical conductivity (EC) were 6.30-9.80 mg/L, 6.79-8.88, 20.0-31.5 ppt and 34.2-50.7 ms/cm, respectively. The range of TAN concentration in April, August and November were 0.68-1.61, 1.32-13.88 and 1.80-6.25 mgN/L, respectively (table 1). The highest average of NO2-N and NO3-N concentrations were in August (1.16 mgN/L) and in April (1.50 mgN/L) at Samut Prakan province. The results showed that almost all nutrient concentrations were higher than the value of marine aquaculture standard in Thailand (table 1). The total coliforms bacteria concentrations in sea water were lower than 100 MPN/100 mL in all stations except in August at Phetchaburi and Samut prakan, which were 1600 and higher than 16000 MPN/mL, respectively. The concentration of fecal coliforms bacteria in the sea water samples were lower than the value of marine aquaculture standard in Thailand (70 CFU/mL), in all stations (table 2). Escherichia coli and Salmonella spp. bacteria were not found in the mussel’s tissue and the level in the mussel’s tissue from all stations was ver low (table 2). These results showed that green mussel were safe from fecal coliforms, Salmonella spp. and E.coli bacteria.

Table 1. Water qualities in sea water of green mussel farms in Surat Thani, Phetchaburi and Samut Prakan during April, August and November, 2014.

| Water qualities     | Surat Thani       | Phetchaburi       | Samut Prakan      |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Total Ammonia       | April 0.81-1.01   | 0.79-1.43         | 0.68-1.61         |
| (mgN/L)             | August 1.32-1.52  | 2.48-4.76         | 4.69-13.88        |
| STD: 0.1 mgN/L      | November 1.80-2.20| 3.23-4.37         | 4.25-6.25         |
| NO2-N               | April 0.11-0.13   | 0.09-0.15         | 0.08-0.11         |
| (mgN/L)             | August 0.02-0.4   | <0.002-0.004      | 0.49-1.16         |
| STD: 0.05 mgN/L     | November <0.002-0.004 | <0.002-0.002 | <0.002-0.036 |
| NO3-N               | April 0.53-0.83   | 0.39-0.83         | 0.26-1.50         |
| (mgN/L)             | August 0.08-1.03  | 0.73-1.45         | <0.02-0.65        |
| STD: 0.06 mgN/L     | November <0.20   | <0.5             | <0.20-0.23        |
| PO4-P               | April <0.05       | <0.05-0.07        | 0.07-0.08         |
| (mgP/L)             | August <0.03     | 0.05-0.12         | <0.03-0.15        |
| STD: 0.045 mgN/L    | November 0.09-0.11| <0.05-0.07        | <0.05-0.13        |
| TSS                 | April 15.45-30.85 | 27.70-111.80      | 20.55-64.30       |
| (mg/L)              | August 24.80-104.85| 38.75-79.63       | 16.15-138.00      |
|                     | November 15.80-37.33| 18.25-35.80   | 17.25-22.47       |

Table 2. Bacterial contamination in sea water and green mussel’s tissue in Surat Thani, Phetchaburi and Samut Prakan during April, August and November, 2014.

| Bacterial contamination | Surat Thani | Phetchaburi | Samut Prakan |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Total coliforms bacteria in sea water (MPN/100ml) | April <1.1 | <1.1 | <1.1 |
|                         | August <1.8 | <1.8-1600 | >16000 |
|                         | November 17-70 | <1.8-23 | <1.8-20 |
| Fecal coliforms bacteria in sea water (CFU/ml) | April <1.8 | <1.8-6.8 | <1.8-4.0 |
|                         | August ND | <1.8-23 | <1.8 |
|                         | November 4.5-13 | <1.8-4.5 | <1.8 |
| Salmonella spp. in mussel’s tissue | April ND | ND | ND |
In addition, the heavy metals contamination in the green mussel farms should be investigated as the green mussel’s aquaculture farms are located in intertidal areas and they are usually close to estuaries. Therefore, the chance of exposure to many contaminants and heavy metals in sea water and mussel’s soft tissue is high [5,6,7].

4. Conclusions
The results indicate that the concentration of the nutrients in sea water was high. However, green mussel farming in the gulf of Thailand is safe from fecal coliforms, Salmonella spp. and E.coli bacteria.
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