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ABSTRACT

In this study, enrichment possibility of apatite-bearing iron ore sample was investigated to obtain iron and phosphate concentrate, separately. It was determined that the raw ore contains 35.75% Fe and 5.36% P2O5. Magnetite in the ore can be enriched with low-intensity magnetic separator, and apatite can be enriched from the non-magnetic product by flotation. For this purpose, the raw ore was ground below 106 m size and then subjected to magnetic separation (with two cleaner and one scavenger), and following flotation (with three cleaner and one scavenger).

According to the experimental result, a magnetic concentrate containing 63.55% Fe and 1.65% P2O5, and phosphate concentrate containing 25.33% P2O5 and 6.45% Fe were obtained. The results show that there are still difficulties to obtain plausible iron and phosphate concentrates in terms of phosphorus and iron impurities for iron and phosphate concentrate, respectively. It is concluded that it is hard to separate the iron and phosphorus phases from each other, possibly due to complex mineralogical composition and poor mineral liberation of the ore.
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1. INTRODUCTION

With the developing industry and technology in the world, the demand for iron and steel is increasing continuously. Reserves of high-grade iron ore, that can be used directly in the iron and steel industry, are limited and are getting depleted very fast. In addition, it has become essential to prepare the iron concentrate to meet the specification of the steel industry. Physical methods such as gravity concentration, magnetic separation and flotation are standard practices to separate the gangue minerals from iron ores. However, the ores having ferromagnetic nature are suitable for being processed using magnetic separation methods [1]. For example, magnetite (Fe3O4) is the most ferromagnetic of all the naturally occurring minerals on earth, and therefore low-intensity magnetic separators are widely used to upgrade magnetite ores.

Phosphorus is an important impurity and almost all of the phosphorus in iron ore transferred directly into cast iron during metallurgical processes. It is well known that steel containing high phosphorus is brittle, and therefore dephosphorization of iron ore is an important research topic. The only option to control phosphorus in the metal is limiting the amount of phosphorus in the ore. It is generally recommended that the phosphorus content of iron ore should be less than 0.1%, though phosphorus limits vary according to steel-producing countries. Removal of phosphorus from certain iron ores has proven difficult, especially when phosphorus phases are associated with the iron phases in a very complicated manner. However, phosphorus can be removed from iron ore by physical processes (magnetic separation, flotation and selective agglomeration), chemical (leaching), thermal and bioleaching processes, as reported in the literature [2-5].

On the other hand, phosphorus is the common element in the earth’s crust and is found in all living organisms. It is known that there are more than 200 phosphate minerals in the earth’s crust, and structurally all have a (PO4) tetrahedral unit. Approximately 95% of the world phosphate rock production is consumed in fertilizer manufacturing. The primary phosphate mineral is apatite (Ca5(PO4)3(F, Cl, OH, CO3)), and found in phosphate rock as: (a) fluorapatite (Ca5(PO4)3F), found mainly in igneous and metamorphic deposits, (b) chlorapatite (Ca5(PO4)3Cl), found in igneous and metamorphic deposits, (c) hydroxyapatite (Ca5(PO4)3(OH)), found in igneous and metamorphic deposits and, (d) carbonate-hydroxyl-apatite (Ca5(PO4,CO3)3(OH)), found mainly on islands as part of bird and bat excrements (e.g. guano phosphate formations) [6-8].
Beneficiation of phosphate ores is one of the important research subjects in mineral processing studies. After size reduction and classification, the enrichment processing of the phosphate ores may include washing, desliming, magnetic separation, and flotation depending on the types of gangue minerals present. However, flotation is the most common process among them as more than 60% of the marketable phosphate in the world is produced by flotation [9]. It was reported by Nanthakumar et al. [10] that the anionic flotation of phosphate ores generally relies on the use of fatty acid surfactant-type collectors, and in the case of high iron phosphate ores, the depression of iron minerals is usually achieved using starch and the use of this polysaccharide in this role is based on the very successful application of various starches in beneficiating hematite-based iron ores [10]. However, the role of reagents utilised in isogenous phosphate ores flotation was reviewed by Guimarães et al. [11].

The igneous and metamorphic phosphate deposits such as Bingöl-Bitlis (Avnik) and Bitlis-Ünaldı ores are very complex and contain mainly magnetite and apatite, and silicates such as amphibole, epidote, diopside and chlorite, carbonates, (calcite, dolomite, siderite, and ankerite), nepheline syenite, pyroxenite, foskorite, etc [12-16]. The major problem of Bingöl-Bitlis ores is the high phosphorus content. Obtaining, both, the iron and phosphate concentrate from these deposits will significantly contribute to the valuation of such ores.

In this study, it has been aimed to obtain both magnetite and apatite concentrates by using magnetic separation and flotation, respectively.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Material

In this study, apatite-bearing iron ore sample from Bingöl region was used. The sample was initially crushed below 6.70 mm and then below 3.35 mm using laboratory jaw and roll crusher, respectively. The crushed product was ground by rod mill, and then magnetic separation and flotation tests were carried out according to the procedure shown in Fig. 1.

2.2. Methods

Magnetic separation: The magnetic separation experiments were performed using a drum type wet low-intensity magnetic separator. Drum rotation speed was selected as 25 rpm, pulp density in the mixing tank was adjusted to 10% of solids by weight. In the first magnetic separation circuit, a rougher magnetic and non-magnetic product were collected. And then, the magnetic product was subjected to two cleaner stages and a final magnetite concentrate was obtained (Fig.1).

Flotation tests: The direct anionic flotation of phosphate minerals was conducted in accordance with the flowsheet shown in Fig. 1. Flotation tests were carried out in a Denver type laboratory flotation machine with 1.5 L cell. 400 g of ground samples were used for each test. The conditioning and flotation steps were made at 45% and 27% solids concentrations by weight, respectively, while keeping the pulp stirring speed constant at 1500 rpm. An industrial-grade distilled tall oil type fatty acid was used as a collector to float phosphate minerals. Sodium metasilicate and corn starch were used as dispersant and depressant, respectively. But, it was demonstrated that sodium silicate could be used not only as a dispersant of slime but also as depressant and activator for some minerals. MIBC was used as the frother and the dosage was fixed at 30 g/t. NaOH was used to regulate and control the pulp pH. According to the flowsheet in Fig. 1, in the first part of flotation tests, apatite was floated to obtain rougher apatite concentrate. The rough concentrate was subjected to further flotation process using three cleaner stages. The sink product from rougher flotation test was then transferred to the apatite scavenger stage. In the cleaner and scavenger stages, froth product was scraped for 5 and 3 min., respectively. After the flotation process, a final apatite concentrate and a tailing from scavenger stage, and a middling product attained by combining the middlings from scavenger and cleaner stages were obtained. After carrying out the flotation tests, all products (apatite concentrate, middling, and tailing) were filtered, dried, and weighed. Chemical assays of all products were determined using XRF analysis technique. The flotation recovery was calculated based on the grade and weight of flotation products.

![Figure 1. Flowchart of the ore preparation and beneficiation tests procedure.](image-url)
measurements were performed. Particle size distribution data were automatically obtained using Mastersizer 2000 software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Characterization of the ore

It is well known that the successful applicability of the physical beneficiation methods highly depends on the mineralogical characteristics of the iron ores [1] and the phosphate flotation is strongly influenced by the mineralogical composition of the phosphate ore [7,17]. Therefore, mineralogical and chemical characterization of raw ore was carried out before beneficiation tests.

Mineralogical analysis: XRD technique which may be coupled with chemical analysis is essential for characterization study, and applied for many purposes such as ore genesis, mineralization control and mineral processing, etc. In this study, XRD analysis was performed to determine the mineral phases of the raw ore, and the results are illustrated in Fig. 2. According to XRD analysis results, major mineral phases in the ore are apatite (Ca5(PO4)3(F, Cl, OH, CO3)) (but apatite type could not be distinguished), magnetite (Fe3O4), quartz (SiO2), feldspar (NaAlSi3O8), calcite (CaCO3), dolomite (CaMg(CO3)), ilmenite (FeTiO3), barite (BaSO4), rectorite ((Na, Ca)Al3(Si, Al)2O10(OH)4·4(H2O)), muscovite (KA2(Al3Si3O10)(F, OH)2). They are common minerals of phosphate bearing iron deposits, as reported in the literature [7,13].

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** The XRD patterns of raw ore (Mnt: Montmorillonite, Ms: Muscovite, Ap: Apatite, Hbl: Hornblende, Fsp: Feldspar, Qz: Quartz, Ilm: Ilmenite, Brt: Barite, Mag: Magnetite, Cal: Calcite, Dol: Dolomite).

Chemical analysis: Chemical analysis results of the raw ore is given in Tab. 1. The raw ore contains 35.75% Fe and 5.36% P2O5. From the XRF results it can be seen that the ore sample is an iron rich ore containing phosphate and silicates as main gangue minerals. The raw ore can not be used directly in the iron-steel or fertilizer industries. Therefore, it must be enriched with suitable methods.

### TABLE 1

| Compound | Fe | P2O5 | SiO2 | Al2O3 | CaO | MgO |
|----------|----|------|------|-------|-----|-----|
| Content (%) | 35.75 | 5.36 | 27.25 | 6.12 | 10.18 | 0.68 |

**TABLE 2**

| Product | Weight (%) | Grade % | Recovery % |
|---------|------------|---------|------------|
| Feed | 100 | 35.75 | 5.36 | 100 |
| Tailing/Non-mag | 39.09 | 10.06 | 10.43 | 76.06 |
| Middling | 15.68 | 24.06 | 3.42 | 7.19 | 10.00 |
| Magnetic Conc. | 45.23 | 63.55 | 1.65 | 80.40 | 13.92 |

**TABLE 3**

| Compound | Fe | P2O5 | SiO2 | Al2O3 | CaO | MgO |
|----------|----|------|------|-------|-----|-----|
| Content (%) | 64.73 | 1.62 | 6.36 | 2.22 | 1.94 | 2.16 |
| K2O | 0.11 | 0.68 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.5 |

3.2. Beneficiation tests

Magnetic separation test results: Phosphorous is a major contaminant for the vast majority of uses of iron ores, especially all related to iron making. It is found in a variety of forms from separate phosphate minerals to intimate association with iron hydroxide phases. When phosphate minerals can be traced as the major source of phosphorus, physical separation methods are feasible. The phosphorous removal of such ores can be normally carried through magnetic separation and flotation [18].

Magnetic separation test results are presented in Tab. 2. The results show that low-grade iron ore can be enriched by magnetic separation. It can be seen that the quality of magnetite product was improved in terms of chemical composition, especially for grades of Fe% and P2O5% compared to the content of the original ore. For example, Fe grade of raw ore increased from 35.75% to 63.55% with 80.40% Fe recovery.

The full chemical analysis of the magnetite concentrate was also carried out and the results are given in Tab. 3. It can be concluded that a reasonable magnetite concentrate (can be used in iron and steel production and fed into the blast furnace) has been obtained by magnetic separation, except for the phosphate phase. However, the concentrate still contains a critical amount of phosphorus (1.65%).

Chemical analysis results are also supported with XRD and SEM-EDS images given in Fig. 3 and Fig.4. When XRD patterns of the magnetic product (or magnetite concentrate) from magnetic separation were examined, it was observed that the peak intensity of magnetite dramatically increased at around 35°2θ (Fig. 3). The predominance of magnetite peaks indicates that magnetite is more abundant in magnetic concentrate. Although other peaks such as apatite are relatively declined, they are still present in the magnetic product.

As know, the combination of scanning electron microscopy-energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (SEM-EDS) enable the chemical characterization of bulk and surface of individual particles. It can be observed from EDS spectrum of magnetic product that Fe exhibits very high distribution (95.95 wt.%).
It indicates that main mineral phase in magnetic concentrate is magnetite, but, according to SEM observations, free particles consisting of single mineral phase in the mapping images are very rare, indicating poor mineral liberation as a result of intergrowth relationship with magnetite and phosphate mineral phases (Fig. 4).

Flotation results presented in Tab. 4 clearly show that there is an improvement in $P_2O_5$ grade of the phosphate concentrate. It can be seen that the quality of concentrate was improved in terms of $P_2O_5$ and Fe grades, compared to the content of the original ore. A high-grade phosphate concentrate containing 25.33% $P_2O_5$ was obtained with 64.89% recovery. However, the relatively higher iron content (6.45% Fe) implies that Fe-bearing minerals may be present in concentrate. According to a recent study by Nakhaei and Irannajad [23], starch is a depressing and flocculating agent for various minerals but normally used as a depressant for iron oxides. However, it should be emphasized that the composition and interlocking of iron-bearing minerals are very critical in phosphate flotation. In cases where the magnetite is intimately intergrown with phosphate minerals (i.e. apatite), such particles tend to either float (i.e. going up to concentrate or middling) or sink (i.e. remaining to tailing) depending on the flotation conditions. As a result, interlocked mineral particles are the most important handicap to selective flotation, and it is very difficult to predict their behavior in flotation.

### Table 4

| Product          | Weight (%) | $P_2O_5$ Grade % | Fe Grade % | Recovery % |
|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------|
| Apatite Conc.    | 26.72      | 25.33            | 6.45       | 64.89      | 17.13      |
| Middling         | 18.39      | 9.47             | 8.49       | 16.70      | 15.52      |
| Tailing          | 54.89      | 3.50             | 12.34      | 18.42      | 67.33      |
| Feed             | 100        | 10.43            | 10.06      | 100        | 100        |

XRD pattern and SEM-EDS images of the apatite concentrate are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7, respectively. Based on attrition-direct flotation, the results of XRD analysis confirmed that apatite minerals were enriched in flotation concentrate. In the apatite concentrate, the major crystalline concentrate. An industrial-grade distilled tall oil type collector (tall oil+fuel oil, 800 g/t of dosage) was used as an apatite collector. As dispersant of slime, sodium metasilicate (Na$_2$SiO$_3$) solution (about 40%) was used to disperse silicate gangue minerals. Also, soluble corn starch ((C$_6$H$_{10}$O$_5$)$_n$) was used as depressant for iron minerals. The dosages of the sodium silicate and corn starches were 1200 and 1000 g/t, respectively. MIBC was used as the frother and the dosage was fixed at 30 g/t. NaOH was used to regulate and control the pulp pH at 9.5. Phosphate concentrate obtained by rougher flotation was subjected to three cleaning circuits to obtain high-grade phosphate concentrates.
phase is apatite, XRD intensity of which is sharply increased by flotation. However, apatite flotation causes the intensity of magnetite reflection to decrease significantly, but never completely disappear (Fig. 6).

According to EDS spectrum of the flotation concentrate in Fig. 7, the total distribution of Ca and P elements is 83.89 wt.% and much higher than other elements. It indicates that the abundant mineral phase in flotation concentrate is phosphate minerals such as apatite, but also carries less amount of silica (e.g. quartz) and other mineral phases such as iron oxides (e.g. magnetite). Although the mineral source of fluor (F) in the ore is unrecognized, it may indicate fluorapatite (Ca$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$F).

As seen in the XRD pattern of flotation tailing in Fig. 8, the peak intensities of silicate minerals dramatically increased. The highest peak by appearing at around 26.5° 2θ is the typical peak of quartz. XRD results emphasize that the tailing is mostly composed of gangue minerals, but it also includes phosphate and iron phases.

![Figure 6. XRD patterns of flotation concentrate (i.e. apatite conc.).](image1)

![Figure 7. SEM-EDS images of flotation concentrate (i.e. apatite conc.).](image2)

![Figure 8. XRD patterns of flotation tailing.](image3)

![Figure 9. SEM-EDS images of flotation tailing.](image4)

4. CONCLUSION

In this study, the concentration of apatite-bearing iron ore from Bingöl region with low Fe and high P$_2$O$_5$ contents was investigated by utilizing consecutive magnetic separation and froth flotation. The following conclusions were drawn from this study:

1) As a result of magnetic separation processes, a concentrate having 63.55% Fe and 1.65% P$_2$O$_5$ grade was obtained with an 80.40% recovery from the raw ore containing 35.75% Fe and 5.36% P$_2$O$_5$. However, the phosphorus content of the magnetite concentrate is critical for the iron and steel industry. Nevertheless, harmful impurities such as SiO$_2$, CaO, and MgO are well separated and can be used in cement production.
in order to obtain high-grade apatite concentrate with high apatite recovery, cleaning and scavenging stages are required. The batch flotation test with three cleaning stage increased the P2O5 content in the concentrate from 10.43% to 25.33% and decreased the Fe content from 10.06% to 6.45%. Unfortunately, P2O5 grade is still low for marketable phosphate concentrate, and Fe content in the concentrate was still high for the fertilizer industry.

3) The higher quality phosphate concentrate could not be obtained, probably due to mineralogical composition of the ore such as interlocking of Fe-oxides with phosphate minerals and the lack of mineral liberation.
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