Caffeine Induces the Stress Response and Up-Regulates Heat Shock Proteins in Caenorhabditis elegans
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Caffeine has both positive and negative effects on physiological functions in a dose-dependent manner. C. elegans has been used as an animal model to investigate the effects of caffeine on development. Caffeine treatment at a high dose (30 mM) showed detrimental effects and caused early larval arrest. We performed a comparative proteomic analysis to investigate the mode of action of high-dose caffeine treatment in C. elegans and found that the stress response proteins, heat shock protein (HSP)-4 (endoplasmic reticulum [ER] chaperone), HSP-6 (mitochondrial chaperone), and HSP-16 (cytosolic chaperone), were induced and their expression was regulated at the transcriptional level. These findings suggest that high-dose caffeine intake causes a strong stress response and activates all three stress-response pathways in the worms, including the ER-, mitochondrial-, and cytosolic pathways. RNA interference of each hsp gene or in triple combination retarded growth. In addition, caffeine treatment stimulated a food-avoidance behavior (aversion phenotype), which was enhanced by RNAi depletion of the hsp-4 gene. Therefore, up-regulation of hsp genes after caffeine treatment appeared to be the major responses to alleviate stress and protect against developmental arrest.

INTRODUCTION

Caffeine is one of the most popular drugs in the world and its consumption has increased rapidly in modern society. Most of the caffeine consumed is from coffee. According to “caffeine informer” 2013 data, 2.6 kg coffee per capita (per person on average) is consumed in South Korea, making South Korea the 26th top coffee consuming country in the world. An optimal dose (one cup of coffee contains ~125 mg of caffeine) (Ekwall et al., 2009) has been used as an animal model to investigate the effects of caffeine on development (Min et al., 2015), suggesting that a high dose of caffeine is a developmental stressor. Several reports have demonstrated that caffeine affects C. elegans development in a dose-dependent manner. That is, low-dose (5 and 10 mM) caffeine extends life span, whereas a high-dose (30 mM) shortens life span (Sutphin et al., 2012). To understand the molecular basis leading to the developmental stress after high-dose caffeine treatment, we performed a comparative proteomic analysis in this study. Here, we report that the heat shock proteins (HSPs), stress response proteins, were highly induced after treating C. elegans with 30 mM caffeine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and maintenance of C. elegans

Maintenance and handling of C. elegans were performed as described previously (Brenner, 1974). Strain N2 was used as the wild type for all analyses. Strains SJA005: zcls4[hsp-4::GFP], SJA100: zcls13[hsp-6::GFP], and T375: gpl1[hsp-16.2::GFP] were used for the caffeine stress-induced HSP expression analysis. Worms were maintained at either 15°C or 20°C on Nematode Growth Medium (NGM) agar plates containing Escherichia coli strain OP50 supplemented with 5 μg/ml of cholesterol.

Caffeine feeding

Appropriate amounts of caffeine (Sigma, USA) were included in the NGM before autoclaving to obtain final concentrations of 10-30 mM. After E. coli strain OP50 was seeded on the plates, L1-synchronized worms were cultured on the caffeine-containing
NGM agar plates to determine the responses.

Two-dimensional (2-D) gel electrophoresis and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (MS) analyses

Synchronized L1-stage worms were cultured in NGM containing 0 or 30 mM caffeine in a shaking incubator, and the total proteome was extracted and quantified as described previously (Ahn et al., 2006). After quantification, 2-D gel electrophoresis; that is, isoelectric focusing (pI 3-10 or pI 4-7) and vertical sodium dodecyl sulfate gradient (7-13%) slab gel electrophoresis were performed as described previously (Kawasaki et al., 2013). Stained gels were scanned using an ImageScanner (Amersham Bioscience, USA), and analyzed with Melanie-4 (GeneBio, Switzerland). More than two-fold differentially expressed protein spots were analyzed by MALDI-TOF MS and publicized protein functions were cited from the wormbase (http://wormbase.org).

Real-time quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Synchronized L1-stage worms were grown on NGM agar plates with or without caffeine for 24 h. Total RNA was extracted from the worms with Trizol reagent (Sigma), purified, and reverse transcribed with M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Gibco BRL, USA) using oligo-dT primers (Promega, USA) to synthesize the first-strand cDNA. Respective cDNA products were PCR-amplified using the following primers: hsp-3 (C15H9.6) F primer, 5’-ACCATCAGGCTCTGGAAGG-3’; and hsp-3 (C15H9.6) R primer, 5’-AACCTCAATTTGTGGAACTCCG-3’; for hsp-3 cDNA; hsp-4 (F43E2.8) F primer, 5’-CATCTCGTGGAAATCCTA-3’; and hsp-4 (F43E2.8) R primer, 5’-ACTTAGTCACTGCTCTCCG-3’; for hsp-4 cDNA; hsp-6 (C37H5.8) F primer, 5’-GGATGCTGGACAAATCTCTG-3’; and hsp-6 (C37H5.8) R primer, 5’-ACCGCGATGCTATATCTCCA-3’; for hsp-6 cDNA; hsp-16.2 (Y46H3A.3) F primer, 5’-GTCACTTTACCACTATTT-3’; and hsp-16.2 (Y46H3A.3) R primer, 5’-CAACTCTCA GAAGACTCGATGG-3’, for hsp-16.2 cDNA. Primers for act-1 gene, which was used as an internal control, were the act-1 F primer, 5’-CCAGGAATTGCTGATCGTATGCAGAA-3’, and the act-1 R primer, 5’-TGGAGAGGGAAGCGAGGATAGA-3’. All PCRAs were performed in a 25 µl reaction volume using Power SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, USA). mRNA levels of each gene were averaged from triplicate measurements, normalized against that of act-1, and presented as a relative value using the 0 mM caffeine mRNA level as 1.

RNA interference (RNAi) analysis

The RNAi analysis was performed using the “RNAi-by-soaking” method, as described previously (Min et al., 2015).

Image analysis

Synchronized L1-stage worms were grown on NGM agar plates at 20°C with or without caffeine for 24 h, and heat shock (30°C for 6 h) was used as a positive control. Worms were immobilized with levamisole before mounting on 2% agarose pads for microscopic observation with a Zeiss Axioskop 2 M0T fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany). Images were acquired under the same exposure conditions. Average pixel intensity values were calculated by sampling images from several animals. We calculated the mean and maximum pixel intensity for each animal from these images using Image J software (http://rsb.info). At least 50 animals were examined for each strain/condition in each experiment. Each assay was repeated at least three times.

Aversion phenotype (food avoidance) assay

Synchronized L1-stage worms were placed at the center of 0, 10, and 30 mM caffeine-containing NGM plates where a uniform E. coli OP50 lawn was spotted. The percentage of animals that stayed outside the OP50 lawn was scored after 24 h.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were repeated more than three times for statistical analysis. Student’s t-test was used to detect differences. A P-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Proteomic analysis

Comparative proteomic analyses were carried out by comparing proteomes extracted from worms grown with or without caffeine to determine the C. elegans protein profile in response to caffeine. We reported previously that high-dose caffeine (30 mM) treatment inhibits C. elegans development and results in early larval stage developmental arrest (Min et al., 2015). Thus, proteomes were prepared from L1-stage worms grown with or without caffeine. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis was performed at a pI range of 3-10. Of the 656 spots analyzed 110 were more than two-fold differentially expressed. Thirty-six of the 110 spots were analyzed by MALDI-TOF. As a result, 20 proteins were up-regulated (Supplementary Table S1) and 15 were down-regulated (Supplementary Table S2). Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis was also performed at a pI range of 4-7 for a better separation of the worm proteins in this range.

Fig. 1. Caffeine promotes transcription of heat shock protein (HSP) genes. Relative mRNA levels of hsp genes were measured by qRT-PCR in L1 stage larval N2 worms treated or not with 30 mM caffeine. act-1 was used as an internal control. mRNA levels are present relative to the 0 mM caffeine as 1.0.
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Table 1. Differentially expressed heat shock proteins (HSPs) in worms grown with 30 mM caffeine

| Spot ID | Gene name | Protein identified | Theoretical mass (kDa)/pI | Fold changed | % match |
|---------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|
| 18471   | *hsp-4*   | HSP 70 superfamily | 76.0/6.7                  | +6.79        | 18      |
| 18486   | *hsp-6*   | HSP 70 superfamily | 72.3/5.0                  | +2.72        | 23      |
| 19229   | *hsp-16.1*| HSP 16 superfamily | 16.2/5.4                  | +2.25        | 76      |
| 694     | *hsp-16.2*| HSP 16 superfamily | 16.2/5.3                  | +2.55        | 26      |
| 18433   | *hsp-3*   | HSP 70 superfamily | 73.0/5.0                  | -4.88        | 27      |

HSPs were highly induced during caffeine treatment

High-dose caffeine treatment is a developmental stressor because it inhibits the *C. elegans* development (Min et al., 2015). Here, HSPs were the major stress responding proteins after caffeine treatment (Table 1). *hsp-4*, *hsp-6*, and *hsp-16.1* were more than two-fold up-regulated after the 30 mM caffeine treatment when the proteome was analyzed in the pH range of 3-10 (Supplementary Table S1). In addition, *hsp-16.2* was up-regulated after analyzing the proteome in the pH range of 4-7 (Supplementary Table S3), whereas *hsp-3* was down-regulated (Supplementary Table S2). These results indicate that all three stress responding pathways were activated by caffeine, including an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) chaperone (*HSP-4*), a mitochondrial chaperone (*HSP-6*), and a cytosolic chaperone (*HSP-16*).

Up-regulation of *hsp* mRNA expression after the caffeine treatment was demonstrated by the qRT-PCR analysis (Fig. 1). Although *hsp-4* showed the highest protein induction after caffeine treatment (6.79-fold increase), *hsp-6* mRNA was the most up-regulated (11.42-fold increase). In contrast, *hsp-3* protein expression decreased (4.88-fold decrease), even though the *hsp-3* mRNA level increased (1.79-fold, *p* = 0.012).

---

**Fig. 2.** (A) Heat shock proteins (HSPs) were differently induced by caffeine treatment. Worms containing respective HSP-GFP fusion transgenes were treated with 0, 10, or 30 mM caffeine and HSP-GFP expression at the L1 larval stage was observed under fluorescent microscopy. HS, heat shock. Scale bar, 50 μm. (B) Total GFP fluorescence of each L1 worm was quantified as relative pixel intensity using Image J software and images taken with a CCD camera under the same exposure conditions. Relative pixel intensity was calculated by dividing the value obtained from HSP-16.2::GFP without caffeine (0 mM), which was considered 1.0. *p < 0.05 for HSP-4::GFP, †p < 0.05 for HSP-6::GFP, #p < 0.05 for HSP-16.2::GFP.
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as shown for the other hsp genes. These findings suggest that HSPs in all three stress response pathways were up-regulated, primarily at the transcriptional level, after caffeine treatment.

**Different induction levels of the HSP-4, HSP-6, and HSP-16.2 proteins after caffeine treatment**

To confirm induction of HSPs after caffeine treatment in vivo, transgenic worms with HSP-4::green fluorescent protein (GFP), HSP-6::GFP, and HSP-16.2::GFP were examined by quantifying relative protein levels of the respective fusion proteins after caffeine treatment (Fig. 2). The transgenic worms were heat shocked to induce the proteins. As a result, caffeine induced expression of HSP-4, HSP-6, and HSP-16.2, as shown by the increase in GFP expression. The relative expression level of each protein correlated with the protein levels detected in the proteomic analysis. The highest induction level was found in HSP-4::GFP (10.77 at 10 mM and 11.28 at 30 mM caffeine) and the lowest was in HSP-16.2::GFP (2.11 at 10 mM and 3.48 at 30 mM caffeine). The 30 mM caffeine treatment resulted in the highest induction of all three HSPs compared with that of 10 mM, suggesting HSP induction by caffeine is dose-dependent.

**RNAi treatment of hsp genes showed the growth retardation**

Larval development was retarded when hsp-4, hsp-6, and hsp-16.2 were depleted by RNAi (Fig. 3). Among the three hsp genes, depleting hsp-6 resulted in the most severe retardation. That is, 71.9% of worms remained at the L1 stage after 24 h, whereas most of the worms in the mock RNAi control reached the L2 stage. Worms treated with hsp-6 RNAi were severely retarded after 72 h: 73.8% of the worms were at the L4 stage, whereas all worms in the mock RNAi control reached the adult stage (Fig. 3). Unlike hsp-4 and hsp-6 gene RNAi, hsp-16.2 RNAi retarded larval development only during the L1 to L2 stages, whereas growth of larvae in later stages was not affected (Fig. 3). These results suggest that hsp-16.2 has critical functions during early larval stages.

**The aversion phenotype was activated by caffeine and further enhanced by RNAi of the hsp-4**

The C. elegans aversion phenotype (food-avoidance behavior) is observed when worms sense a toxin and try to escape from the environmental stress (Melo and Ruvkun, 2012). Interestingly, the high-dose caffeine treatment stimulated this aversion phenotype (Fig. 4A). To examine whether the stress response proteins whose expression was induced by caffeine are involved in the aversion behavior, the hsp genes of worms were depleted with RNAi under the condition of caffeine treatment or no treatment. We hypothesized that caffeine would induce expression of HSPs to alleviate the stress response, including aversion behavior. The level of the aversion phenotype was increased by RNAi of hsp-4 but not by RNAi of hsp-6 and hsp-16.2, suggesting that HSP-4 can alleviate food-avoidance behavior of worms after caffeine treatment. Depleting the hsp-4 ER chaperone gene significantly increased the aversion phenotype compared to that of the hsp-6 mitochondrial chaperone gene or the hsp-16.2 cytosolic chaperone gene. RNAi of hsp-6 or hsp-16.2 showed either no effect or decreased the aversion phenotype after caffeine treatment, respectively. These findings suggest that the worms responded to caffeine primarily through an ER stress response.

**DISCUSSION**

Caffeine treatment has both positive and negative effects on physiological functions in a dose-dependent fashion. In general, a lower-dose results in positive effects and higher-doses result in negative effects. Therefore, understanding the mechanism of the lethal effects of high-dose caffeine treatment is important, as caffeine consumption is increasing worldwide. In this study, we performed a comparative proteomic analysis to identify caffeine-responsive proteins after treating C. elegans with high-dose (30 mM) caffeine. C. elegans is a valuable model organism to study the effects of caffeine at both cellular and behavioral levels.

Fig. 3. Depletion of hsp genes by RNAi caused growth retardation. Synchronized-L1 stage worms were treated with soaking RNAi (single or triple RNAi) to deplete hsp gene activity. The developmental stages were scored at 24 h intervals for 72 h, and the percent distribution of worms in each developmental stage is displayed as a percentage distribution graph for each RNAi treatment. About 400–900 individuals (triplicates of 130-300 individuals/plate) were scored for each RNAi treatment.
Unlike caffeine, ethanol does not induce the ER stress response or HSP-16.2, were also upregulated after caffeine treatment. Small HSPs, such as HSP-16.1 and HSP-16.2, were also upregulated after caffeine treatment. Unlike caffeine, ethanol does not induce the ER stress response, although both caffeine and ethanol induce expression of cyp genes for detoxification (Min et al., 2015; Peltonen et al., 2013). These findings suggest that the stress response to caffeine is universal among the three stress response pathways.

Many of the proteins that were up-regulated in response to caffeine have critical functions in the developmental processes (Supplementary Table S1). RNAi depletion of them causes either larval arrest or growth defects (http://wormbase.org). Among them, expression of HSP-4, HSP-6, HSP-16.1, and HSP-16.2 increased and their depletion with RNAi retarded larval growth (Fig. 3), suggesting that these stress response proteins were induced to alleviate the negative effects of high-dose caffeine that lead to larval developmental arrest. Induction of HSPs was confirmed using GFP-transgenic worms (Fig. 2). It was found that mRNA levels hsp genes were also increased (Fig. 1), suggesting that HSP expression is regulated primarily at the transcriptional level after caffeine treatment. However, in case of HSP-3, its protein level was decreased by 4.88-fold although its mRNA level was increased by 1.79-fold after caffeine treatment. This result suggests that initially increased mRNA level of hsp-3 was subsequently decreased at the post-transcriptional level, which resulted in the decreased level of HSP-3 protein, or the HSP-3 protein was susceptible to protein degradation. Although an exact mechanism is not elucidated, similar discrepancy between mRNA levels and protein levels has been also reported (Kawasaki et al., 2013). HSP-4 and HSP-3 are ER stress response proteins. Interestingly, HSP-4 protein level but not that of HSP-3 increased after caffeine treatment. In fact, the HSP-3 protein level decreased, suggesting that HSP-4 is the major stress response protein in the ER stress response to caffeine. Compensatory regulation of ER chaperones has been reported previously in C. elegans (Kapulkin et al., 2005). That is, hsp-4 expression was upregulated in the absence of hsp-3 gene expression. Therefore, the higher increase in HSP-4 expression compared to the other HSPs may be due to reduced expression of HSP-3 after caffeine treatment. HSP-6 is a mitochondrial stress response protein (Yoneda et al., 2004) and increased HSP-6 expression after caffeine treatment indicates that caffeine also induces the mitochondrial stress pathway. Small HSPs, such as HSP-16.1 and HSP-16.2, were also upregulated after caffeine treatment. Unlike caffeine, ethanol does not induce the ER stress response, although both caffeine and ethanol induce expression of cyp genes for detoxification (Min et al., 2015; Peltonen et al., 2013). These findings suggest that the stress response to caffeine is universal among the three stress response pathways.

The balance between unfolded proteins and chaperones is regulated by the unfolded protein response (UPR) (Benedetti et al., 2006). In C. elegans, several UPR pathways are independently involved to maintain the integrity of the protein-folding in the ER, mitochondria, and cytosol. In all three compartments, each has specific chaperones to promote efficient folding. During the stress condition, specific UPR pathway is activated and sends a signal to the nucleus to induce the expression of particular chaperone genes in a compartment-specific manner (Haynes and Ron, 2010). Caffeine treatment appears to induce all three responses to activate the UPR pathways responding to a developmental stressor. As previously reported, caffeine intake during pregnancy increases the risk of development defects in human (Chen et al., 2014), which may be due to the abnormal stress responses.

In addition, HSP-4 alleviated food-avoidance behavior (aversion phenotype) in C. elegans. The presence of the aversion phenotype after caffeine treatment suggests that high-dose caffeine was a stressor to worms and inhibited development. Interestingly, when genome-wide RNAi screening was performed previously to identify genes for the aversion phenotype, many genes essential for growth and development were detected (Melo and Ruvkun, 2012). This result supports that caffeine treatment may inactivate genes for growth and development, leading to the larval arrest and the aversion phenotype. This phenotype was enhanced by RNAi deletion of hsp-4 but not by RNAi of hsp-6 and hsp-16.2, suggesting that induction of hsp-4 gene expression activates a protective program for an animal survival by alleviating the aversion phenotype in response to caffeine. This idea is supported by the finding that a mutation in a mitochondrial gene causes the aversion phenotype and enhances hsp-6 gene expression (Liu et al., 2014). It remains to be determined whether the aversion phenotype stimulated by caffeine is a neuronal or non-neuronal tissue response.
Nevertheless, it is clear that expression of HSPs is critical. In summary, high-dose caffeine activated the UPR pathway and induced the expression of chaperone genes that protected worms from stress and alleviated the aversion phenotype.

Note: Supplementary information is available on the Molecules and Cells website (www.molcells.org).
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