Premature Ventricular Contractions From the Left Anterior Fascicle: Electrocardiographic and Electrophysiological Characteristics, Mapping Strategy, and Immediate and Long-Term Catheter Ablation Results
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Background: Left anterior fascicle (LAF) premature ventricular contractions (PVC) are rarely reported. We described the electrocardiographic and electrophysiological characteristics of PVCs originating from LAF and evaluated the results of catheter ablation.

Methods: The baseline AH and HV intervals were recorded during normal sinus rhythm (NSR), and the HV interval of LAF-PVC was measured during the procedure. During the index procedure, the conduction interval from the earliest Purkinje potential (PP) site to the His was labeled as time A, the conduction interval from the earliest site to the onset of the QRS as time B, then the HV interval during NSR (HV_{NSR}) is A + B, and the HV interval during PVC (HV_{PVC}) is B-A; a predicted PP time was calculated using HV_{NSR} and HV_{PVC}. The calculated formula is as follows: Predicted target PP = (HV_{NSR} + HV_{PVC})/2. During the repeat procedure, the mapping strategy only focuses on the earliest retrograde PP due to the injury or block of LAF sustained at the index procedure.

Results: Notably, 24 patients with LAF-PVC were included. The ECG characteristics of PVC exhibited right bundle branch block (RBBB) morphology with right-axis deviation (RAD) in 18 patients and only RAD in 6 patients. The QRS durations of NSR and PVC were 78.8 ± 7.9 and 106.8 ± 12.3 ms, respectively. There was no significant difference between the predicted and mapped PP site (31.5 ± 8.1 vs. 30.6 ± 7.8 ms; P = 0.17). There was a significant difference between the mean axis deviation before and after ablation (46.3 ± 25.4° vs. 18.3 ± 44.1°; P = 0.001); however, only 10 patients had a complete LAF block. Eight patients had a recurrence, the QRS morphology of LAF-PVC became narrower (95.9 ± 17.2 vs. 105.3 ± 16.9 ms, P = 0.003), and 4 patients’s PVC QRS morphology was similar to NSR. During the repeat procedure, the earliest
INTRODUCTION

Ventricular arrhythmias (VAs) arising from the left Purkinje system can be classified into left posterior fascicular, left anterior fascicular, and left upper septal (1–4). Idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia (ILVT) originating from the left posterior tachycardia is common. It can be treated with radiofrequency ablation with a high success rate, while VAs originating from the left anterior fascicle (LAF) are rare. LAF premature ventricular contractions (LAF-PVC) can be abolished by mapping using a point-to-point strategy (3) or targeting the earliest Purkinje potential (PP) (2). In this study, we investigated the following:

(1) the relationship between the predicted origin site based on our measurement and the mapped target site during LAF-PVC,
(2) electrocardiographic characteristics of LAF-PVC,
(3) the reason for recurrence and the mapping strategy during the repeat procedure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Population

From January 2011 to September 2020, 27 consecutive patients (12 men, mean age 43.5 ± 17.9 years) refractory to 1.4 ± 1.0 antiarrhythmic drugs with LAF-PVC were prospectively enrolled in this study. The PVC electrocardiogram was recorded after enrollment. No patients had evidence of episodes of ventricular tachycardia. A flowchart of the study population was presented (Figure 1). The local institutional review board approved the study protocol, and all patients provided written informed consent.

Electrophysiological Study

No patients were on amiodarone before enrollment. All antiarrhythmic drugs were discontinued for at least 5 half-lives before the procedure. An electrophysiological study was performed after overnight fasting in a mildly sedated state. In patients with LAF-PVC, one 6F quadripolar catheter (Diag, St. Jude Medical, Inc.) was positioned in the coronary sinus via the left femoral vein for endocardial reference if an EnSite Velocity System was used. An ablation catheter guided by 3-dimensional (3-D) electroanatomic mapping (EnSite Velocity System, St Jude Medical Inc., St Paul, MN, United States; or CARTO TM, Biosense-Webster Inc., Diamond Bar, California United States) was introduced into the left ventricle (LV) via a retrograde aortic approach for mapping and ablation. Intracardiac electrograms were recorded using a digital electrophysiological recording system (EP-workmate Electrophysiology, St. Jude Medical, Inc., St Paul, MN, United States or LabSystem, Bard Electrophysiology, Lowell, MA, United States) and were filtered from 30 to 300 Hz.

The baseline AH and HV intervals were recorded during normal sinus rhythm (NSR). In patients with LAF-PVC, the HV and coupled interval during PVC were measured. If LAF-PVC did not occur at baseline, then isoproterenol infusion (1–4 µg/min) was given to increase the heart rate by 30%. If the LAF-PVC was non-inducible, the case was excluded.

According to previous studies, the origin of LAF-PVC is located in the LAF at the site of the earliest retrograde PP (1, 2, 5), and the myocardial breakthrough site is adjacent to the LAF during PVC (5). The mapping strategy during the index procedure developed from our center has been previously described (1). In brief, the conduction interval from the earliest PP site to His was labeled as time A, the conduction interval from the earliest site to the onset of the QRS was delineated as time B, the HV interval during NSR (HV NSR) was A + B, the HV interval during PVC (HV PVC) was B-A, and the predicted target PP (B) was calculated from the HV NSR and HV PVC. The calculated formula is as follows: Predicted target PP = (HV NSR + HV PVC)/2. During the repeat procedure, the mapping strategy focused on the earliest retrograde PP due to the injury or block of LAF.

Mapping and Ablation

Left ventricle geometry and activation mapping via a retrograde approach were created by 3-D mapping (CARTO system, Biosense-Webster Inc., Diamond Bar, CA; or EnSite Velocity System, St Jude Medical Inc., St Paul, MN, United States). Activation mapping during PVC was performed along the LAF to identify the earliest PP by 3D activation mapping. As a result, radiofrequency ablation energy utilizing a 4-mm non-irrigated catheter was delivered at the earliest PP during PVC. The power output was titrated to 30 W with a maximum target temperature of 50–60°C for 60–120 s. If the origin site was closer to the distal left bundle branch, the power output was started at 10 W.
and titrated to 20 W to avoid left bundle branch injury. Acute procedural success was defined as the termination of the LAF-PVC during ablation and the absence of arrhythmias within 30 min of the final radiofrequency ablation application despite programmed electrical stimulation with isoproterenol infusion.

**Follow-Up**
Surface ECGs and 24-h Holter recordings were performed regularly following the procedure and then at 3 and 6 months after discharge. Furthermore, an ECG was performed anytime if patients experienced palpitations.

**Statistical Analysis**
Data were analyzed using SPSS 18.0. Continuous variables are expressed as the mean ± SD. Then, the Mann-Whitney two-sample test examined group differences for continuous data. The categorical variables were compared with the chi-square test. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Patient Characteristics**
Among the 27 patients, three patients were excluded because two patients were non-inducible, and one patient had common iliac artery dissection while introducing an ablation catheter to the LV. The remaining 24 patients (10 men, mean age 40.7 ± 17.2 years) were enrolled in this study. The mean PVC burden and coupled interval were 18.8 ± 7.7% and 503.7 ± 63.1 ms, respectively. Their mean left ventricular ejection fraction and left ventricular diastolic diameter were 64.1 ± 3.2% and 46.0 ± 3.9 mm, respectively.

All patients had clinical palpitations for a mean of 39.9 ± 43.7 months. Eleven patients had no history of using verapamil, and only six of the remaining patients (46%) were sensitive to verapamil. The ECG characteristics of PVC exhibited right bundle branch block (RBBB) morphology with right axis deviation (RAD) in 18 patients and only RAD in 6 patients (Table 1); the mean axis deviation was 46.3 ± 25.4°. The QRS pattern of the LAF-PVC was roughly shaped like qR in leads II, III, and aVF. Interestingly, the QRS pattern of the LAF-PVC in V1 was demonstrated as rs (2 cases), rS (2 cases), Rs (2 cases), rs′ (2 cases), and rsR′ (16 cases). The QRS durations of NSR and PVC were 78.8 ± 7.9 and 106.8 ± 12.3 ms, respectively. The mean ratio of QRS duration with NSR and PVC was 0.74 ± 0.06.

**Mapping and Ablation Left Anterior Fascicle-Premature Ventricular Contractions During the Index Ablation Procedure**
According to the inferior leads of ECG, all PVC patients had an origin from the LAF. The mean AH, HV interval during NSR, and HV interval during LAF-PVC were 88.6 ± 14.5 ms, 47.7 ± 6.0 ms, and 15.7 ± 12.4 ms, respectively (Table 2). There was no significant difference between the predicted and mapped values (31.5 ± 8.1 vs. 30.6 ± 8.0 ms; P = 0.17), and three patients had differences in predicted and mapped values due to unstable ABL catheters. The acute success rate was 100% during the procedure. Eight patients had a recurrence, six of
TABLE 1 | Baseline characteristics of patients with premature ventricular contractions originated from the left anterior fascicle.

| No. | Sex | Age (years) | Diagnosis | History (M) | PVC burden (%) | Morphology | LVDD | LVEF (%) | Numbers of AAD (N) | Verapamil | Follow up (M) |
|-----|-----|-------------|-----------|------------|----------------|------------|------|---------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 1   | M   | 21          | LAF-PVC   | 12         | 17.8           | RAD        | 49   | 63.7    | 1                 | +         | 96           |
| 2   | F   | 31          | LAF-PVC   | 24         | 20.0           | RBBB/RAD   | 48   | 62.1    | 1                 | –         | 87           |
| 3   | M   | 50          | LAF-PVC   | 12         | 19.8           | RBBB/RAD   | 40   | 63      | 1                 | NO        | 77           |
| 4   | F   | 15          | LAF-PVC   | 120        | 20.5           | RBBB/RAD   | 41   | 69.9    | 0                 | NO        | 77           |
| 5   | F   | 57          | LAF-PVC   | 120        | 11.0           | RAD        | 42   | 65      | 1                 | NO        | 65           |
| 6   | F   | 46          | LAF-PVC   | 14         | 17.0           | RBBB/RAD   | 43   | 62.1    | 3                 | NO        | 63           |
| 7   | M   | 48          | LAF-PVC   | 46         | 13.6           | RBBB/RAD   | 40   | 66.2    | 1                 | NO        | 62           |
| 8   | F   | 38          | LAF-PVC   | 24         | 22.9           | RAD        | 49   | 60      | 1                 | +         | 51           |
| 9   | M   | 24          | LAF-PVC   | 24         | 20.6           | RBBB/RAD   | 40   | 62.1    | 1                 | –         | 49           |
| 10  | F   | 23          | LAF-PVC   | 12         | 14.4           | RBBB/RAD   | 46   | 64      | 1                 | NO        | 49           |
| 11  | F   | 40          | LAF-PVC   | 60         | 19.9           | RBBB/RAD   | 47   | 68      | 2                 | NO        | 48           |
| 12  | F   | 51          | LAF-PVC   | 4          | 12.4           | RBBB/RAD   | 50   | 70.4    | 0                 | NO        | 46           |
| 13  | M   | 71          | LAF-PVC   | 180        | 49.0           | RAD        | 46   | 66.9    | 2                 | +         | 42           |
| 14  | M   | 19          | LAF-PVC   | 12         | 12.6           | RBBB/RAD   | 49   | 63.7    | 0                 | –         | 40           |
| 15  | M   | 27          | LAF-PVC   | 4          | 12.5           | RBBB/RAD   | 45   | 59      | 3                 | +         | 37           |
| 16  | M   | 74          | LAF-PVC   | 24         | 14.8           | RAD        | 48   | 67.4    | 4                 | +         | 37           |
| 17  | F   | 38          | LAF-PVC   | 6          | 12.8           | RBBB/RAD   | 44   | 62.1    | 1                 | NO        | 25           |
| 18  | F   | 37          | LAF-PVC   | 36         | 12.7           | RBBB/RAD   | 49   | 60.1    | 1                 | NO        | 23           |
| 19  | F   | 38          | LAF-PVC   | 3          | 22.0           | RBBB/RAD   | 41   | 60.2    | 0                 | NO        | 19           |
| 20  | M   | 75          | LAF-PVC   | 60         | 28.8           | RBBB/RAD   | 54   | 62.1    | 2                 | +         | 18           |
| 21  | F   | 59          | LAF-PVC   | 84         | 22.1           | RBBB/RAD   | 49   | 63.7    | 1                 | –         | 17           |
| 22  | M   | 20          | LAF-PVC   | 24         | 12.6           | RAD        | 49   | 69      | 1                 | –         | 16           |
| 23  | F   | 46          | LAF-PVC   | 24         | 18.2           | RBBB/RAD   | 43   | 61.2    | 2                 | –         | 15           |
| 24  | F   | 29          | LAF-PVC   | 29         | 22.9           | RBBB/RAD   | 52   | 65.9    | 3                 | –         | 3            |
| Mean|     |             |           |            |                |            |      |         |                   |           |              |
| STD |     |             |           |            |                |            |      |         |                   |           |              |

AAD, anti-arrhythmic drugs; LAF, left anterior fascicle; LVDD, left ventricle diastolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; M, months; N, number; PVC, premature ventricular contractions; NA, no available; RAD, right axis deviation; RBBB, right bundle branch block; +, sensitivity to verapamil; –, not sensitivity to verapamil.

whom recurred at an average of 1.3 ± 0.5 days after the index procedure. Three patients with different predicted and mapped values had a recurrence of PVC (Table 2); five patients with the same values had a recurrence. All patients without recurrence had the same predicted and mapped earliest PP interval, as illustrated in Figure 2. In patients with recurrence, the QRS morphology of NSR had slight changes; however, the QRS morphology of PVC became narrower than the basic pattern (95.9 ± 17.2° vs. 105.3 ± 16.9°, P = 0.003), and four patients had a similar QRS morphology of PVC compared with NSR. There was a significant difference in the mean axis deviation before and after ablation during NSR (46.3 ± 25.4° vs. 18.3 ± 44.1°; P = 0.001); however, only ten patients had a complete LAF block. Furthermore, there was no significant difference between the mean axis deviation in the successful and unsuccessful groups after the index ablation procedure (16.7 ± 46.7° vs. 32.3 ± 31.7°; P = 0.45).

Mapping and Ablation Left Anterior Fascicle-Premature Ventricular Contractions During the Second Ablation Procedure

Eight patients who had documented recurrence of LAF-PVC were recommended to undergo a repeated ablation procedure. Six patients consented to the second ablation. All eight patients had a narrower LAF-PVC QRS duration compared with the index procedure (102.0 ± 13.1 vs. 83.6 ± 8.4; P = 0.0003), and five patients had the change of LAF-PVC QRS morphology in lead V1, three patients PVC-QRS morphology changed from rs′ to rS, one from Rs to rS, one from Rs to rs; the remaining three patients had the similar morphology.

Figure 3 shows an example of the failed case in patient 5, who refused repeat ablation. During the first procedure, the AH<sub>NSR</sub>, HV<sub>NSR</sub>, and HV<sub>PVC</sub> intervals were 91, 56, and 34 ms, respectively; the axis deviation was 45°. The predicted value was 45 ms, and the earliest PP interval was also mapped with 45 ms; however, the radiofrequency energy was delivered at the distal site (32 ms), the QRS duration ratio of NSR/PVC after ablation increased from 0.68 to 0.93, and the axis deviation increased to 38°.

During the repeat procedure of the six consented patients, the earliest retrograde PP interval during PVC was mapped. Four patients had different predicted and mapped values. The earliest retrograde PP interval during PVC was mapped to the vicinity of the LAF, to a similarly located site of the index procedure in four cases. The earliest retrograde PP interval was longer than the index procedure (12.0 ± 1.9 vs. 37.8 ± 1.1; P < 0.001) (Figures 4, 5).

Figure 4 (patient 22) shows that the HV<sub>NSR</sub> interval was the same as that of the first procedure, while the HV<sub>PVC</sub> interval was 20 ms; the QRS duration after ablation decreased from 96
to 80 ms; the PVC morphology was similar to baseline. The NSR-QRS morphology slightly changed; the same site was identified, and the earliest retrograde PP with 36 ms was mapped and had successful ablation using 3-D activation mapping. A schematic diagram demonstrates slow conduction within the LAF fiber, and the electrocardiogram reveals a left anterior block after successful ablation.

Figure 5 demonstrates that in patient 8, the HV

**DISCUSSION**

**Major Finding**

This is the first report of the long-term follow-up results of LAF-PVC in a relatively large sample. Our study found that the target site can be calculated by the HV interval during NSR and PVC. Locations of recurrent PVCs in repeat procedures were anatomically close to the ablation sites during the index procedure even though the QRS morphology of the PVC is different compared with the index procedure. The QRS morphology of LAF-PVC is dependent on how slow conduction over LAF occurs in recurrence patients. If the conduction velocity is slightly slower over LAF, the PVC morphology might be similar, but the QRS duration becomes narrower; if the conduction velocity is rebalanced over both left fascicles, the PVC-QRS morphology might be similar to NSR.

**The Anatomy of the Left Anterior Purkinje System**

Basic histological studies or postmortem examination have demonstrated that the left His-Purkinje system is a fan-like structure with a bifascicular structure or three major divisions; which means left posterior fascicle (LPF) and LAF with or without left middle fascicle (6–8). Long et al. elucidated the entire left His-Purkinje system in the human heart during NSR.
Their study demonstrated that 92% of patients bifurcated into LAF and LPF divisions. Furthermore, the length of LAF was shorter than that of LPF. They also identified that the activation time of LAF was shorter than that of LPF in 24 patients, who presented preferential conduction over LAF with a single ventricular breakthrough site.

The Mechanism of Different QRS Morphology in Recurrent Patients

Left ventricular arrhythmia originating from the left posterior fascicle is common. The QRS morphology shows RBBB and left axis deviation \( (9) \). Ventricular arrhythmia with QRS morphology similar to that of NSR or incomplete RBBB was demonstrated to arise from the left upper septum \( (4) \). In our study, all patients shared small q waves and tall R waves in inferior leads, and most of the patients (74%) showed incomplete RBBB in the \( V_1 \) lead. The mechanism might be preferential conduction over LAF. In patients with proximal LAF origin, the QRS morphology of \( V_1 \) was similar to that of the NSR electrocardiogram at baseline. In the patients with recurrence, the PVC-QRS duration was narrower \( (102.0 \pm 13.1 \text{ vs. } 83.6 \pm 8.4; P = 0.0003) \), and five patients had a change in LAF-PVC QRS morphology in lead \( V_1 \). Three patients had PVC-QRS...
morphology that changed from rsR' to rS, one from Rs to rS, and one from Rs to rs. The similarity between PVC-QRS morphology during the index and repeat procedure was identified in three patients. This phenomenon might be explained as follows:

1. Ineffective ablation lesions.
2. If there was slower conduction, which means the preferential conduction was still over LAF than LPF, the PVC-QRS duration was slightly narrower with a similar morphology after the index ablation.
3. If there was further slower conduction over LAF, which led to rebalancing conduction over both left fascicles, the PVC-QRS duration was narrower, and the PVC morphology was similar to that of NSR.
4. If there was block conduction over LAF, we can speculate that the PVC-QRS morphology might show left axis deviation.

Previous studies have demonstrated changes in QRS morphology in recurrent patients with idiopathic left posterior ventricular tachycardia. Zhang et al. recently reported that upper septal ventricular tachycardia is identified in recurrent patients with the previous ablation of typical ILVT, which led to narrow QRS morphology (10). Other studies also had similar findings (4, 11). Their mechanism of recurrence is reentry or a new focal origin. However, in our study, the recurrent patients had the same origin. The change in QRS morphology depends on the conduction velocity over LAF, different from previous studies (4, 10, 11).
FIGURE 4 | An example of a failed patient with LAF-PVC who underwent a repeat ablation procedure (patient 22). (A) HV interval during NSR and PVC with mapping electrode positioned at the His bundle was 54 and 10 ms, respectively. (B) The target site was mapped with recordings of local fascicular potential-the onset of the QRS interval of 32 ms during PVC. Three-dimensional activation mapping of the left anterior area showed the target site, and a schematic diagram showed conduction within the Purkinje system. (C) During the repeat procedure, the HV$_{NSR}$ interval was the same as that during the first procedure, while the HV$_{PVC}$ interval was 20 ms; the QRS duration after ablation decreased from 96 to 80 ms, and the axis deviation increased to 21°. Note that NSR-QRS morphology had a slight change, and the QRS duration of PVC was slightly narrower than that before ablation; (D) The same site was identified, and the earliest retrograde PP with 36 ms was mapped and had successful ablation. Three-dimensional activation mapping of the left anterior area showed the target site and a schematic diagram showing the slow conduction within the LAF fiber. It is noted that the target PP was sharp during PVC, while the PP was fragmented during NSR; (E) The electrocardiogram showed the left anterior block after successful ablation. CS, coronary sinus; PP, Purkinje potential; the other abbreviations are as in Figure 2.
FIGURE 5 | Another example of failed LAF-PVC case (patient 8). (A) The HV intervals during SNR and PVC with the mapping electrode positioned at the His bundle were 46 and 12, respectively; it is noted that the predicted value was 29 ms. (B) The catheter was positioned at the target site with recordings of local anterior PP—the onset of surface ECG interval of 29 ms during PVC. Three-dimensional activation mapping of the left anterior area shows the target site. (C) The HV_{NSR} interval was the same as that of the first procedure, while the HV_{PVC} interval was 28 ms; the QRS duration ratio of NSR/PVC increased from 0.75 to 0.95; and the QRS morphology of PVC was similar to the NSR-QRS morphology. (D) The earliest retrograde PP with 38 ms was mapped and had successful ablation. Three-dimensional activation mapping of the left anterior area showed the target site and a schematic diagram showing the slow conduction within the LAF fiber, which might explain the reason for the narrower QRS. It is noted that the target PP was fragmented during PVC. CS, coronary sinus; the other abbreviations are as in Figure 2.
The Mapping and Ablation Strategy for Left Anterior Fascicle-Premature Ventricular Contractions

During the index procedure, a previous study showed a simple point-to-point mapping strategy along with the left anterior fiber in two cases. If the earliest activation time was mapped, the target site was identified. They also found that the target site’s fascicular potential to ventricle interval is identical during NSR and PVC (3). Recently, Wang et al. (2) reported a selective method in three cases. They found that the target site should be identified by the earliest presystolic PP but not the earliest ventricular activation mapping in patients with LAF-PVC. Our previous study (1) showed a straightforward method with a formula to identify the target site in a series of patients with ILVT. The calculated formula is as follows: Predicted target PP = (HV_{NSR} + HV_{PVC})/2. This study also proves this formula in patients with LAF-PVC, and the success rate was 76% (16/21). All three cases had recurrence with different predicted and mapped values during the index procedure and the repeat procedure (3/3, 100%). One of the cases had recurrence with narrower PVC-QRS morphology (Figure 3). Patients with the same predicted and mapped values who had recurrence might have poor contact.

The earliest PP is suggested in previous studies during the repeat procedure with recurrence LAF-PVC cases (10, 11). However, no study has focused on LAF-PVC or mentioned the reasons for recurrence. Our study is the first to describe the mapping strategy with the earliest retrograde PP in a series cohort of patients with LAF-PVC. This method is simple but effective for identifying the target site. The QRS morphology depends on the LAF conduction characteristics; our study showed that PVC-QRS could be similar to NSR during the repeat procedure despite the same LAF site of origin, not near the His area. However, if we focused on the His region according to narrower PVC-QRS morphology, an unnecessary third-degree atrioventricular block might occur, and the LAF-PVC would also recur. In this study, the earliest retrograde PP was mapped in the LAF region in recurrent cases, and the success rate was 83% (5/6), even though the PVC-QRS morphology differed.

The ablation endpoint in ILVT was controversial. Some studies demonstrated that a complete LPF block is associated with a high procedural success rate (12, 13); others showed that LPF block is not necessary for success (14, 15). In patients with LAF-PVC, controversy also exists. A previous study demonstrating changes in the QRS axis and morphology noted in two cases indicated proximal LAF block (3). In our study, there was a significant difference in the mean axis deviation before and after ablation (46.3 ± 25.4° vs. 18.3 ± 44.1°; P = 0.002). However, LAF block was only identified in ten cases (41.7%). Therefore, we can speculate that the LAF block was unnecessary to predict success even though there were changes in the QRS axis or morphology. The LAF block was just a byproduct following LAF region ablation.

Limitations

First, this study involved only a small number of patients due to rare cases of LAF-PVC. Second, we used the formula to predict the origin site; however, the ventricular breakthrough site may affect the HV interval during NSR, which could lead to incorrect calculation of the predicted location. Third, we did not analyze the PVC mechanism; and fourth, verapamil was prescribed in 13 patients, and verapamil sensitivity was only identified in six patients.

CONCLUSION

The target PP site for ablation of the LAF region can be calculated using the HV interval during NSR and PVC. During the repeat procedures, the earliest retrograde PP should be targeted.
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