Neuronal Selection Based on Relative Fitness Comparison Detects and Eliminates Amyloid-β-Induced Hyperactive Neurons in *Drosophila*

**HIGHLIGHTS**
- Expression of human Amyloid-β generates hyperactive neurons in the *Drosophila* brain
- Hyperactive neurons up-regulate the low fitness indicators, Flower\(^{LoseB}\) and Azot
- Fitness comparison between neurons targets hyperactive neurons to apoptosis
- Forced neuronal silencing improves brain fitness in Amyloid-β-flies

**1.** Amyloid-β42 induces neuronal hyperactivity

**2.** Hyperactive neurons exhibit low fitness fingerprints

**3.** Hyperactive neurons are targeted to apoptosis by fitness comparison

**4.** Forced neuronal silencing with Kir2.1 rescues neuronal fitness

---

** Elimination or silencing of Amyloid-β42-induced hyperactive neurons from brain circuits is beneficial in *Drosophila* modelling Alzheimer's**
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SUMMARY

During adult life, damaged but viable neurons can accumulate in the organism, creating increasingly heterogeneous and dysfunctional neural circuits. One intriguing example is the aberrant increased activity of cerebral networks detected in vulnerable brain regions during preclinical stages of Alzheimer’s disease. The pathophysiological contribution of these early functional alterations to the progression of Alzheimer’s disease is uncertain. We found that a unique cell selection mechanism based on relative fitness comparison between neurons is able to target and remove aberrantly active neurons generated by heterologous human amyloid-β in Drosophila. Sustained neuronal activity is sufficient to compromise neuronal fitness and upregulate the expression of the low fitness indicators FlowerLoseB and Azot in the fly. Conversely, forced silencing of neurons restores brain fitness and reduces amyloid-β-induced cell death. The manipulation of this cell selection process, which was already proved to be conserved in humans, might be a promising new avenue to treat Alzheimer’s.

INTRODUCTION

Neurons are particularly vulnerable to external and internal insults as they are post-mitotic, long-lived cells with intense vesicle trafficking. During adult life, suboptimal but viable neurons can accumulate in the organism creating increasingly heterogeneous and dysfunctional neural circuits that may originate disease (Frere and Slutsky, 2018; Coelho et al., 2019). One relevant case study is the aberrant activity of individual neurons and complex cerebral networks detected in patients and mouse models at early stages of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (Busche and Konnerth, 2015; Palop and Mucke, 2010; Stargardt et al., 2015; Zott et al., 2018). fMRI studies revealed abnormally increased activity and deactivation defects in brain circuits vulnerable to AD, mainly the hippocampus and the default mode network, which are important for memory encoding and introspective thought, respectively (Bookheimer et al., 2000; Dickerson et al., 2005; Quiroz et al., 2010; Sperling et al., 2009). These functional alterations were detected at preclinical stages, sometimes even decades before the overt onset of symptoms, in groups at risk for AD, including asymptomatic individuals with high amyloid-β burden, pre-symptomatic carriers of familial AD mutations, and subjects with genetic predisposition for late-onset AD (Filippini et al., 2009; Mondadori et al., 2006; Quiroz et al., 2010; Reiman et al., 2012; Sperling et al., 2009). In agreement, two-photon Ca²⁺ imaging showed a great abundance of hyperactive neurons in the cortex and the hippocampus of mouse models of AD, strikingly clustering near amyloid plaques (Busche et al., 2008; 2012; Grienberger et al., 2012; Rudinskiy et al., 2012).

In Drosophila, a unique mechanism of active cell selection is able to detect and eliminate suboptimal neurons based on their fitness status (Rhiner et al., 2010; Merino et al., 2013; Moreno et al., 2015). This mechanism generally known as “cell competition” has important physiological consequences for the organism such as to sculpt the visual system during development, to replace old or damaged brain tissue during aging or upon injury, and to protect long-term memory (Merino et al., 2013, 2015, 2016; Moreno et al., 2015; Coelho et al., 2018).

Neurons compare relative fitness among themselves through external clues exhibited at their membranes called “fitness fingerprints.” The different isoforms encoded by the conserved protein Flower compose these “fingerprints” and mediate the elimination of less-fit cells both in Drosophila and in humans (Madan et al., 2019; Petrova et al., 2012; Rhiner et al., 2010). We recently described that overproduction of human
amyloid-β, a pathogenic peptide forming extracellular brain plaques in AD (for abbreviation Aβ), impairs neuronal brain fitness and that Aβ-damaged neurons are removed by fitness-mediated apoptosis in Drosophila (Coelho et al., 2018). An important unsolved question in this study, and in AD research in general, is how broad expression of Aβ mounts an insult that predisposes particular neurons to death and damage, whereas other neurons are less vulnerable.

RESULTS

Amyloid-β Generates Hyperactive Neurons in the Drosophila Brain

We hypothesized that Aβ-induced damaged neurons that are eliminated by fitness comparison in Drosophila correspond to dysfunctional neurons with lower fitness status (Coelho et al., 2018). To find and characterize these neurons, we sought out to monitor neural activity with the genetically encoded Ca2+ sensor, GCaMP6s (Chen et al., 2013). We induced the expression of the human 42-aminoacid amyloid-β peptide (Aβ42) under the control of the Met2-Gal4.247 driver using the UAS-GAL4 system (hereafter referred to as MB247>Aβ42). This driver is specific for mature neurons of the mushroom body (MB), a critical center for learning and memory in flies (Aso et al., 2014). On average, we detected a higher baseline intensity of GCaMP6s fluorescence per neuron and per mushroom body calyx in two-photon images of ex vivo brains from Aβ42-flies compared with control flies (Figures 1A–1C, MB247> + = 891.4 [n = 141] versus MB247>Aβ42 = 1464 [n = 160]; and Figure S1A, MB247>++ = 1 [n = 15] versus MB247>Aβ42 = 1.38 [n = 16]). When recording videos of Ca2+ imaging in non-stimulated ex vivo brains, we registered that Aβ42-brains show neurons with augmented spontaneous activity (Figures S1B and S1C, n.er neurons with spontaneous activity: MB247> + = 1/10 neurons versus MB247>Aβ42 = 10/30 neurons; Videos S1 and S2).

To further characterize dysfunctional neurons in the fly, we employed the CaLexA bipartite system (for calcium-dependent nuclear import of LexA) (Masuyama et al., 2012), which detects increased concentrations of intracellular Ca2+ evoked by sustained neural activity (Figure 1D). We promptly recognized a very intense signal of CaLexA in discrete soma of Kenyon cells in MB247>Aβ42 adult flies at 10 days after eclosion (Figures 1E–1G, mean number of saturated pixels: MB247> + = 16.75 [n = 16] versus MB247>Aβ42 = 314.8 [n = 12]). We did not see a specific pattern in the distribution of CaLexA-activating neurons, as different Kenyon cells were randomly labeled in each brain. Taking into account our previous recordings with GCaMP6s showing increased spontaneous neuronal activity in the mushroom body of Aβ42-flies, we think that neurons strongly activating CaLexA correspond to hyperactive neurons. In addition, we confirmed that Aβ42 does not cause expression of the CaLexA sensor in immature (and thus still not active) neurons of the eye disc (Figures S2A and S2B) or pupal retinas (Figures S2C and S2D). This observation supports the idea that CaLexA expression is only induced by increased activity of neurons and not by deregulated Ca2+ fluxes between intracellular compartments, which might be a secondary consequence of Aβ42-caused toxicity.

We also found axons exhibiting high levels of CaLexA in the optic lobe of 15-day-old flies when Aβ42 production was driven by GMR-Gal4, suggesting that Aβ42 can induce hyperactive neurons in different brain regions (Figures 1H–1J). Activation of CaLexA in GMR > Aβ42-flies was accompanied by a 52% increase in the concentration of the excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate in the optic lobe, raising the hypothesis that aberrant neuronal activity promoted by Aβ42 is correlated with an excessive brain excitability (Figures S2E–S2G, mean fluorescence intensity of glutamate: GMR > lacZ = 117.8 [n = 24] versus GMR > Aβ42 = 179.1 [n = 22]).

We then investigated the fitness status of Aβ42-induced hyperactive neurons by checking the expression of the “poor fitness” membrane fingerprint, FlowerLoseB, and the expression of azot, a gene that indispensably targets less-fit cells to death (Merino et al., 2015). Approximately 12.4% of Kenyon cells activating CaLexA displayed cytoplasmic positive signal for the translational reporter FlowerLoseB::Myc (derived from a tagged genomic rescue construct, Yao et al., 2009) (Figure 1K). We also found co-localization between CaLexA and the fluorescent reporter FlowerLoseB::mCherry (produced from a knockin of mCherry into the endogenous flower locus) in the membranes of cell bodies and projections of Kenyon cells (Figure S2H). Moreover, a Azot::mCherry fusion protein was expressed in CaLexA-positive neurons, particularly when CaLexA was highly activated (Figure 1L) or when apoptosis was genetically suppressed by overexpression of UAS-p35 (Figure S2I). These data suggest that Aβ42-induced hyperactive neurons exhibit low fitness markers and might be subjected to negative cell selection via fitness comparison.
Figure 1. Amyloid-β Generates Hyperactive Neurons in the Drosophila Brain

(A and B) Two-photon images of GCaMP6s expressed in the mushroom body calyx of ex vivo brains of MB247> + flies (A) or MB247> A42 flies (B) at 1 week post eclosion. Scale bar, 15 μm.
(C) Mean intensity fluorescence of GCaMP6s (arbitrary units) measured at baseline in individual neurons of the mushroom body calyx in non-stimulated ex vivo brains of MB247> + flies or MB247> A42 flies at 1 week post eclosion. ***p value <0.001, Mann-Whitney U non-parametric test.
(D) Schematic depicting CaLexA, a bipartite system comprising the chimerical transcription factor lexA::VP16::NFAT and the responsive element lexA operator (lexAOP). Intracellular accumulation of Ca2+ leads to dephosphorylation of the transcription factor NFAT (Nuclear factor of activated T cells) and its shuttling into the nucleus. Once in the nucleus, lexA::VP16::NFAT drives the transcription of GFP downstream of lexAOP.
(E and F) CaLexA activation pattern pseudocolored fire in the mushroom body calyx of MB247> + (E) or MB247> A42 flies (F) at 10 days post eclosion. Scale bar, 10 μm.
(G) Quantification of the number of saturated pixels for CaLexA fluorescence counted per mushroom body calyx at 10 days post eclosion for the genotypes: MB247> + or MB247> A42. Pixels displaying a fluorescence intensity value above 75% of the maximum intensity value were assumed to be saturated. ***p value <0.001, Mann-Whitney U non-parametric test.
(H and I) CaLexA activation pattern (pseudocolored fire) in the optic lobe of GMR> + (H) or GMR > A42 (I) flies at 2 weeks old. Scale bar, 5 μm.
(J) Intensity profile of CaLexA fluorescence along the lines represented correspondingly in (H) (GMR> + flies) and (I) (GMR > A42 flies).
(K) CaLexA-activating Kenyon cells (green) are labeled by the low-fitness marker FlowerLoseB:Myc (red) in MB247> A42 brains (arrows). The mean percentage (± SEM) of co-localization is 12.4 ± 2.12% per mushroom (n = 14). Nuclei labeled by Elav are in blue. Scale bar, 5 μm.
(L) Kenyon cells showing strong activation of CaLexA (green) are labeled by the low-fitness marker Azot:mCherry in MB247> A42 brains (arrow). Nuclei labeled by Elav are in blue. Scale bar, 5 μm.
Data are represented as mean ± SEM. See also Figures S1 and S2, Videos S1 and S2.

Amyloid-β-Induced Hyperactive Neurons Undergo Apoptosis Mediated by Relative Fitness Comparison Using Fitness Fingerprints

To follow the temporal distribution of hyperactive neurons overtime (as done before in Busche et al., 2012 and Hahm et al., 2018), we checked CaLexA expression at three time points: 5, 10, and 15 days post eclosion. We found that the number of hyperactive neurons in the mushroom body increased with age reaching a peak at 10 days old (mean number: 8.35 ± 0.58 neurons per calyx) and decreased in older flies (mean number: 6.08 ± 0.54 neurons per calyx) (Figure 2A). This observation suggests that hyperactive neurons might be undergoing apoptosis overtime. We registered that 8.5% of CaLexA-positive Kenyon cells were marked by the anti-cleaved Drosophila Caspase Protein 1 (DCP1) antibody (Figure 2B). Furthermore, blockage of cell death by overexpression of diap1 resulted in a 47.9% increase in the number of hyperactive neurons in MB247> A42 flies at 10 days old (Figures 2C–2E, MB247> A42/+ = 100% [n = 21] versus MB247> A42/UAS-diap1 = 147.9% [n = 23]). We confirmed that overexpression of UAS-diap1 effectively suppressed apoptosis in this model (Figure 2F, MB247> A42/+ = 100% [n = 25] versus MB247> A42/UAS-diap1 = 57.9% [n = 24]). These findings exclude the possibility that increased Ca2+ levels reported by CaLexA are a consequence of cytoplasmatic Ca2+ overload following execution of the apoptotic pathway and degradation of Ca2+ channels.

To test the hypothesis that hyperactive neurons are being eliminated from the tissue by fitness-based neuronal selection, we genetically manipulated fitness machinery and used the number of hyperactive neurons marked by CaLexA as a derivative. Downregulation of flower expression is known to prevent fitness comparison and to abrogate neuronal selection in other contexts (Merino et al., 2013; Moreno et al., 2015). To knockdown flower, we used two independent RNAi lines: a Long-Hairpin that specifically silences flowerisoformA and a RNAi from the Vienna Drosophila Resource Center (VDRC) GD library that targets all flower transcripts. Overexpression of the Long-Hairpin caused a drastic 75.5% increase in the number of hyperactive neurons detected by CaLexA in MB247> A42 heterozygous flies at 10 days old (Figures 2G, 2H, and 2J MB247> A42/UAS-lacZ = 100% [n = 28] versus MB247> A42/UAS-isoformA Long-Hairpin = 175.5% [n = 34]). Overexpression of the flowerdsRNA from VDRC raised by 36.9% the number of CaLexA-positive neurons detected at the same time point (Figures 2G, 2I, and 2J MB247> A42/UAS-lacZ = 100% [n = 46] versus MB247> A42/UAS-fwe dsRNA GD = 136.9% [n = 32]). Altogether, these results indicate that preventing neuronal fitness comparison in the mushroom body partially rescues A42-induced hyperactive neurons from undergoing apoptosis.

The FlowerLoseA isoform, but not the FlowerLoseB isoform, was originally proposed to work as a Ca2+ channel essential for endocytosis of synaptic vesicles at the neuromuscular junction (Yao et al., 2009). However,
Figure 2. Amyloid-β-Induced Hyperactive Neurons Undergo Apoptosis Mediated by Relative Fitness Comparison Using “Fitness Fingerprints”

(A) Plot showing the number of hyperactive Kenyon cells as labeled by CaLexA at ages 5, 10, and 15 days post eclosion. Mean number of hyperactive cells ± SEM were as follows: 3.09 ± 0.33 (n = 22) at 5 days old, 8.33 ± 0.58 (n = 29) at 10 days old, and 6.08 ± 0.54 (n = 29) at 15 days old. ***p value <0.001, **p value <0.01, one-way ANOVA followed by Holm-Sidak’s multiple comparisons test. Note that transgenic flies quantified in (A) carried 3 GFP reporter proteins, LexAop-CD2-GFP (1 × GFP) and LexAop-CD8-GFP-2A-CD8-GFP (2 × GFP), whereas flies used in (C)–(J) carried only one reporter GFP and thus the number of detectable CaLexA-positive cells is lower in the last cases.

(B) Hyperactive neurons identified by CaLexA (green) show immunolabelling of cleaved DCP1 (in red), a marker of apoptosis execution. The mean percentage (± SEM) of co-localization is 8.3 ± 1.94% (n = 39) per mushroom body. Scale bar, 5 μm.

(C and D) Neurons activating CaLexA (green soma, arrow heads) accumulate in the mushroom calyx of MB247->Ab42 flies when general apoptosis is blocked by overexpression of UAS-diap1 (D) compared with 10-day-old matched controls (C). Scale bar, 10 μm.

(E) Quantification of neurons labeled by CaLexA in the mushroom body of MB247->Ab42/+ flies or MB247->Ab42/UAS-diap1 flies at 10 days of age. ***p value <0.001, unpaired Student’s t test with Welch’s correction.

(F) Quantification of neurons stained by anti-DCP1 antibody in the mushroom body of MB247->Ab42/+ flies or MB247->Ab42/UAS-diap1 flies at 10 days of age. *p value <0.05, Mann-Whitney U non-parametric test.

(G–I) The number of neurons labeled by CaLexA (bright green soma, arrow heads) is increased in the calyx of MB247->Ab42 brains when fitness mediated-apoptosis is suppressed by silencing of flower with a Long-Hairpin RNAi (H) or with a RNAi transgene from GD library (I), compared with the control genotype expressing β-galactosidase (UAS-lacZ, G) at 10 days post eclosion. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(J) Plot representing the percentage of neurons labeled by CaLexA at 10 days post eclosion in the mushroom body of the following genotypes: MB247->Ab42/UAS-lacZ, MB247->Ab42/UAS-flower LoseA/B Long Hairpin, or MB247->Ab42/UAS-fwe dsRNA GD line. CaLexA numbers were normalized to the number in MB247->Ab42/UAS-lacZ genotype in each experimental replicate. ***p value <0.001; **p value <0.01, unpaired Student’s t test with Welch’s correction. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

See also Figure S3.
further evidence supporting the role of Flower as a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel is lacking (Chang et al., 2018; Xue et al., 2012; Madan et al., 2019). Nevertheless, to test if increased Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels reported by CalExA in Aβ42-expressing flies were associated with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx through Flower, we performed two types of experiments using the point mutation Flower\textsuperscript{LoseAE79Q}. In this mutant, a glutamic acid substitution in the predicted Ca\textsuperscript{2+} selective motif blocks putative Ca\textsuperscript{2+} currents (Yao et al., 2009).

In the first experiment, we induced heat-shocked clones marked by GFP in the wing disc, using an act > y+>Gal4 flip-out cassette. Clones overexpressing the mutant form Flower\textsuperscript{LoseAE79Q} were progressively eliminated from the tissue overtime (Figures S3A–S3C, UAS-lacZ clone number = 11.53 [n = 15], UAS-Flowe\textsuperscript{LoseAE79Q} clone number = 6.4 [n = 14]). In addition, we detected the expression of Azot:mCherry at clone borders overexpressing Flower\textsuperscript{LoseAE79Q}, and DCP1 was more likely expressed at these clone borders than at control borders (Figures S3D and S3E % DCP1 at clone border: UAS-lacZ = 33.46% [n = 15] versus UAS-flowe\textsuperscript{LoseAE79Q} = 45.78% [n = 14]). These observations indicate that overexpression of Flower\textsuperscript{LoseAE79Q} is sufficient to target clones for elimination by fitness comparison, independently of a potential Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx. In addition, overexpression of Flower\textsuperscript{LoseA} under the control of the MB247 driver did not induce changes in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels as detected by CalExA (Figures S3F and S3G), making a solid argument against the idea that Flower working as a putative Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel mediates increased Ca\textsuperscript{2+} fluxes detected by CalExA.

**Sustained Activation of Neurons with a Thermosensitive Channel Induces Up-Regulation of the Low Fitness Indicator, Flower\textsuperscript{LoseB}, and Triggers Apoptosis**

Patients with AD are more vulnerable to epileptic seizures, which result from an excessive synchronization of neuronal networks and increased neuronal excitability (Palop, 2009; Palop and Mucke, 2010; Vossel et al., 2013, 2016). To mimic in Drosophila the excessive excitability and hyperactivity observed in patients with AD, we ectopically expressed the excitatory cation channel (TRPA1) (transient receptor potential A1) (Figure 3A). TRPA1 is a thermosensitive channel that promotes depolarization of neurons and action potential firing at elevated temperatures (>25°C) but maintains a closed conformation at lower temperatures (Figure 3A) (Hamada et al., 2008). TRPA1 was driven either under the control of a cholinergic promoter (chat-Gal4) for mosaic expression in the brain or by GMR-Gal4 for expression in the optic lobes (Figure 3B). Sustained activation of neurons with TRPA1 for 4 days at 30°C was sufficient to upregulate Flower\textsuperscript{LoseB} (Figures 3C–3F, chat > UAS-TRPA1 22°C = 86.4% [n = 30], chat > UAS-lacZ 30°C = 100% [n = 51], chat > UAS-TRPA1 30°C = 191.8% [% = 40]; Figures 3G–3J, GMR > UAS-TRPA1 22°C = 37.78% [n = 30], GMR > UAS-lacZ 30°C = 100% [n = 48], GMR > UAS-TRPA1 30°C = 139.8% [n = 50]). Increased brain apoptosis was also detected as a consequence of chronic activation of neurons with TRPA1 (Figures 3K–3M, chat > UAS-lacZ = 100% [n = 13], chat > UAS-TRPA1 = 165.7% [n = 11]; Figures 3N–3P, GMR > UAS-lacZ = 100% [n = 14], GMR > UAS-TRPA1 = 237.5% [n = 13]). This result suggests that neuronal hyperactivity is sufficient to decrease neuronal fitness levels in the fly brain, predisposing less-fit neurons to death.

**Forced Silencing of Neurons Is Sufficient to Improve Cell Fitness Markers and Reduce Amyloid-β-Induced Cell Death**

Based on the previous results, we reasoned that neuronal silencing might have a physiological benefit in the context of Aβ42-induced hyperactivity. To test this hypothesis, we expressed a kir2.1 transgene in wild-type and Aβ42-transgenic flies and measured neuronal fitness levels. Kir is an Inward-Rectifier K\textsuperscript+ channel that hyperpolarizes neurons and decreases the firing probability of action potentials (Baines et al., 2001).

First of all, we confirmed that expression of kir2.1 per se neither disturbed neuronal differentiation during development (Figures S4A and S4B) nor caused increased apoptosis in the adult brain (Figures S4C–S4E, GMR > UAS-lacZ = 100% [n = 8] versus GMR > 10XUAS-kir2.1 = 101% [n = 11]). In particular, the distribution of the neuronal markers Elav (stains nuclei) and Futsch (stains the cytoskeleton) was not affected by kir2.1 in the neuroepithelium of the eye disc in third instar larvae (Figures S4A and S4B).

Forced neuronal silencing with kir2.1 downregulated the expression of the Flower\textsuperscript{LoseB:mCherry} and Azot:mCherry reporters in the brain of GMR > Aβ42 flies compared with controls of the same age (Figures 4A–4E, %Flower\textsuperscript{LoseB:mCherry} expression: GMR > Aβ42/UAS-lacZ = 183.7% [n = 27] versus GMR > Aβ42/10XUAS-kir2.1 = 95.48% [n = 27]; Figures 4F–4I, % Azot:mCherry expression: GMR > Aβ42/UAS-lacZ = 153.8% [n = 32] versus GMR > Aβ42/UAS-kir2.1 = 75.4% [n = 27]). Moreover, silencing of neurons had no impact on Flower\textsuperscript{LoseB:mCherry} or Azot:mCherry expression measured during normal adulthood, confirming that the rescue of neuronal fitness promoted by kir2.1 is specific to Aβ42-induced hyperactivity (Figures 4A, 4B and 4E, Flowe\textsuperscript{LoseB:mCherry} expression: GMR > UAS-lacZ = 100% [n = 29] versus GMR > 10XUAS-
Figure 3. Sustained Activation of Neurons with a Thermosensitive Channel Induces Up-Regulation of the Low-Fitness Indicator, Flower\textsuperscript{\textasciitilde}B, and Triggers Apoptosis

(A) TRPA1 is a cation channel activated by heat. In the wild, the channel allows the fly to avoid noxious heat and to select for preferred ranges of temperatures. At low temperatures (22\textdegree C) TRPA1 is closed but shifts to an open conformation at higher temperatures (30\textdegree C), leading to the influx of Na\textsuperscript{+} and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and induction of action potential firing.

(B) Experimental design followed to induce sustained activation of neurons. After eclosion, flies ectopically expressing TRPA1 were either placed at 30\textdegree C to trigger action potential firing or at 22\textdegree C for TRPA1 inactivation for 4 days. An additional transgene, UAS-lacZ, was expressed at 30\textdegree C to serve as a control for expression of Gal4 at high temperatures.

(C–E) The expression of the Flower\textsuperscript{\textasciitilde}B:mCherry reporter (red) was significantly up-regulated by activation of neurons with TRPA1 (E), compared with controls expressing inactive TRPA1 at 22\textdegree C (C) or \beta-galactosidase (lacZ) at 30\textdegree C (D). GFP (green) demonstrates the domain of expression for chat-Gal4 in the optic lobe. The nuclei are in blue. Scale bars, 5\,\mu m in the insets.

(F) Quantification of the percentage of Flower\textsuperscript{\textasciitilde}B:mCherry-positive cells in the optic lobes of chat > UAS-lacZ and chat > UAS-trpA1 genotypes (placed at 22\textdegree C or 30\textdegree C). The mean number of Flower\textsuperscript{\textasciitilde}B:mCherry-positive cells in flies expressing UAS-lacZ was considered as 100%. ***p value < 0.001, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc paired comparisons.
**Figure 3. Continued**

(G–I) The FlowerLoseB:mCherry (red) reporter was significantly up-regulated by activation of neurons with TRPA1 (I), compared with controls expressing inactive TRPA1 at 22°C (G) or β-galactosidase (lacZ) at 30°C (H). GFP (green) demonstrates the domain of expression of GMR::Gal4 in the optic lobe. The nuclei are in blue. Scale bars, 5 μm in the insets.

(J) Quantification of FlowerLoseB:mCherry-positive cells in the optic lobes of the following genotypes: GMR > UAS-lacZ and GMR > UAS-trpA1 (kept at 22°C or 30°C). The number of FlowerLoseB:mCherry-positive cells in flies expressing UAS-lacZ was considered as 100%. ***p < 0.001, *p < 0.05 Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc paired comparisons.

(K and L) Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick-end (TUNEL) labeling of apoptotic cells (white) in the optic lobe of chat > UAS-lacZ (K) or chat > UAS-trpA1 (L) transgenic flies maintained at 30°C after eclosion. DAPI is in blue. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(M) Graph depicts the number of TUNEL-positive cells counted in the optic lobe of chat > UAS-lacZ or chat > UAS-trpA1 flies. The number of TUNEL-positive cells for chat > UAS-lacZ was used as reference. **p < 0.01, unpaired Student’s t test with Welch’s correction.

(N and O) TUNEL labeling of apoptotic cells (white) in the optic lobe of GMR > UAS-lacZ (N) or GMR > UAS-trpA1 (O) flies maintained at 30°C after eclosion. DAPI is in blue. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(P) Graph shows the number of TUNEL-positive cells counted in the optic lobe of GMR > UAS-lacZ or GMR > UAS-trpA1 flies. Number of TUNEL-positive cells for GMR > lacZ genotype was assumed as 100%. ***p < 0.001, unpaired Student’s t test with Welch’s correction. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

**DISCUSSION**

Building upon previous work, we found that a unique cell selection mechanism based on relative fitness comparison is able to detect and remove “excessively active neurons” generated by Aβ42 in *Drosophila*. These findings were surprising since events of non-cell autonomous selection (broadly known as cell competition) were originally described in the fly to remove slow proliferating and/or deficient cells from epithelial tissues (Igaki et al., 2009; Menéndez et al., 2010; Moreno et al., 2002; Vincent et al., 2011; Rodrigues et al., 2012; Moreno and Basler, 2004). In epithelia, genetic factors that downregulated fitness status and trigger cell selection through fitness fingerprints were already identified, including ribosomal gene mutations, low dMyc levels, scribble defects, and reduced Dpp signaling (Rhiner et al., 2010; Merino et al., 2015). Equivalent genetic modulators that initiate neuronal selection via fitness comparison are yet unknown in the neural tissue.

At the moment, it is not understood how network alterations detected in preclinical stages of AD contribute to the progression of the disease. Nevertheless, growing evidence has established a correlation between...
Figure 4. Forced Silencing of Neurons Is Sufficient to Improve Cell Fitness Markers and Reduce Amyloid-β-Induced Cell Death

(A–D) Expression of the Flower:mCherry reporter (red) in the optic lobe of heterozygous 2-week-old flies of the following genotypes: GMR > UAS-lacZ (A), GMR > 10XUAS-kir2.1 (B), GMR > Aβ42 (C), and GMR > Aβ42/10XUAS-kir2.1 (D). DAPI shows nuclei (blue). Scale bar, 10 μm.

(E) Quantification of Flower:mCherry-positive cells (red) for the indicated genotypes. GMR > UAS-lacZ was used as reference and 100% corresponds to the mean number of Flower:mCherry-positive cells counted in this genotype. **p value < 0.01, Mann-Whitney U non-parametric test.

(F–I) Expression of the Azot:mCherry reporter (red) in the optic lobes of 2-week-old flies of the following genotypes: GMR > UAS-lacZ (F), GMR > UAS-kir2.1 (G), GMR > Aβ42 (H), and GMR > Aβ42/UAS-kir2.1 (I). DAPI shows nuclei (blue). Scale bar, 10 μm.

(J) Quantification of Azot:mCherry-positive cells (red) for the genotypes listed before in (F–I). The mean number of Azot:mCherry-positive cells counted in GMR > UAS-lacZ flies was assumed to be 100%. **p value < 0.001, Ns: not significant, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc paired multiple comparisons.

(K and L) TUNEL labeling of apoptotic cells (white) in the optic lobe of GMR > Aβ42/UAS-lacZ (K) or GMR > Aβ42/UAS-kir2.1 flies (L). DAPI is in blue. Scale bar, 20 or 10 μm (inset).

(M) The number of apoptotic cells labeled by TUNEL in the optic lobe of GMR > Aβ42/UAS-lacZ or GMR > Aβ42/10XUAS-kir2.1 flies was quantified and potted as shown. **p value < 0.001, Mann-Whitney U non-parametric test.

(N) Schematic summarizing our main findings. Aβ42 generates hyperactive neurons in the Drosophila brain. These dysfunctional hyperactive neurons correspond to less-fit cells that are detected by the Flower-Azot pathway. Their elimination is beneficial against Aβ-induced degenerative phenotypes. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. See also Figure S4.

abnormally increased network activity and poor cognitive performance in risk groups for AD (Bakker et al., 2012; Kunz et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2008; Putcha et al., 2011). Work done by our group and others suggests that limiting neuronal hyperactivity by pharmacological or genetic means might have staggering beneficial effects against AD progression. Treatment with the anti-epileptic drug levetiracetam was sufficient to improve cognitive performance upon reversing network and synaptic abnormalities in the hippocampus in patients at risk to develop AD and in a mouse model of AD (Bakker et al., 2012, 2015; Sanchez et al., 2012). In a Drosophila AD model, restoring the wild-type levels of a conserved A-type K+ channel (which was targeted to degradation by Aβ) decreased neuronal excitability and was sufficient to attenuate both locomotor and learning defects and to delay neurodegeneration (Ping et al., 2015). However, the same study failed to rescue Aβ-induced neurodegenerative phenotypes by generally decreasing neuronal excitability with a EKO channel, a result that might be explained by EKO generation (Ping et al., 2015). However, the same study failed to rescue Aβ-induced neurodegenerative phenotypes by generally decreasing neuronal excitability with a EKO channel, a result that might be explained by EKO generation (Ping et al., 2015). But being a relatively weak tool to silence neurons when compared with the potent Inward-Rectifier Kir2.1 channel, counteracting Aβ42-mediated early neuronal hyperactivity promoted pre-synaptic remodeling and later inhibition of the α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor signaling (Hahm et al., 2018). Our discoveries expand this general view by revealing that neuronal death might be another detrimental consequence of Aβ42-induced hyperactivity, which was overlooked by other studies so far. We found that hyperactive neurons displaying high Ca2+ influx are targeted to cell death. Ca2+ overload has long been associated with necrotic and apoptotic neuronal death as a consequence of toxic stimulation of NMDA receptors or leaky mutant glutamate receptors (Dong et al., 2009; Esposito et al., 2013; Selimi et al., 2000; Zuo et al., 1997).

The fitness fingerprints mediating cell selection were recently proved to be conserved in humans, promoting competitive growth in cancer (Madan et al., 2019). In the future, it will be important to address the role of the human fitness machinery in the central nervous system during disease and aging since its manipulation might originate promising new therapeutic approaches.

Limitations of the Study

This study was conducted on a single organism, Drosophila melanogaster, that has critical limitations to model AD. Drosophila shows a very simple brain anatomy and homolog structures and circuits of the
human brain are not present. Moreover, complex behaviors that are impaired in AD, such as cognitive functions encoded in the hippocampus, cannot be found in the fly. The main conclusions of this study that identifies Kir2.1 as an effective repressor of Aβ42-induced neuronal death and hyperactivity need to be subjected to genetic and pharmacologic validation in mammalian systems and humans. Although the Flower protein is conserved in humans, its role as a mediator of neuronal selection in the human brain is still completely unknown. This work extensively used CaLexA expression as readout for neuronal hyperactivity. The activation of CaLexA depends on the nuclear translocation of a Ca2+-responsive transcription factor and the transcriptional activation of reporter GFP molecules; therefore, this Ca2+ indicator has a slow response dynamics and poor temporal resolution. CaLexA signal is weaker and sparser than the signal of other transcriptional reporters of neuronal activity available in Drosophila, such as TRIC (Gao et al., 2015). As a consequence, the number of hyperactive neurons detected by CaLexA in the MB247->Aβ42 model used in this work might be underrepresented. Neuronal activity was also tested with GCaMP6. Ca2+ imaging with GCaMP6 relies on rapid conformational changes and increased brightness of the modified circular GFP molecule induced by Ca2+ binding (Chen et al., 2013). GCaMP6 is characterized by high sensitivity and fast kinetics, detecting subtle changes in intracellular free Ca2+, allowing the visualization of fast neuronal activity at a very short time scale (Chen et al., 2013). Owing to all these contrasting features between the two Ca2+ sensors employed, the number of hyperactive neurons detected by each of them might seem divergent.
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Figure S1. Two-photon Ca\textsuperscript{2+} imaging of ex-vivo MB247>\textalpha B42 brains using GCaMP6s. Related to Figure 1.

(A) Mean intensity value of GCaMP6s fluorescence measured per mushroom body calyx in non-stimulated ex-vivo brains at baseline. Intensity values for MB247>\textalpha B42 brains were normalized to the intensity values of control MB247>+ brains. ***P<0.001, unpaired Student’s t-test with Welch’s correction.

(B) Plots depicting oscillations of GCaMP6s fluorescence recorded overtime by two-photon imaging for representative individual neurons in a plan of the mushroom body in MB247>+ ex-vivo brains. GCaMP6s fluorescence was acquired every 0.5s and normalized to the background fluorescence at each time point.

(C) Plots depicting oscillations of GCaMP6s fluorescence recorded overtime by two-photon imaging for representative individual neurons in a plan of the mushroom body in MB247>\textalpha B42 ex-vivo brains. GCaMP6s fluorescence was acquired every 0.5s and normalized to the background fluorescence at each time point.

Experiments represented in B and C were replicated more than 2 independent times and similar results were obtained.
Figure S2. CaLexA pattern in neuronal tissue of the larva, pupa or adult in the presence of heterologous Ab42. Related to Figure 1.

(A, B) Expression of the CaLexA system (pseudocolored fire) in the eye imaginal disc of GMR>+(A) or GMR>Ab42 (B) third instar larvae. Neuronal nuclei marked by the anti-Elav antibody are in white. Scale bar, 20µm.

(C,D) Expression of the CaLexA system (pseudocolored fire) in the pupal retina of GMR>+(C) or GMR>Ab42 individuals (D), at 42h pupation. Nuclei marked by Elav antibody are in white. Scale bar, 10µm.

(E,F) Immunohistochemistry with anti-glutamate antibody (red) in the optic lobe of GMR>Ab42 (F) or GMR>lacZ (E) flies at 15 days of age. Nuclei are in blue. Scale bar: 20µm.

(G) Graph represents the mean fluorescence intensity for anti-glutamate staining per optic lobe for the genotypes GMR>Ab42 or GMR>lacZ. ***P<0.001, unpaired Student’s t-test with Welch’s correction.

(H) Kenyon cells activating CaLexA (green) exhibit positive signal for the Flower^{LacB}::mCherry (red) fusion protein at membranes of the soma and neuropile projections (arrows).

(I) Presence of Azot::mCherry positive-foci (red) at the membrane of Kenyon cells which are inducing the expression of the CaLexA system (green) - arrows. Apoptosis of less fit neurons is prevented in these flies by driving a UAS-p35 construct.
Figure S3. Clones overexpressing the mutant form flower^{LoseA[E79Q]} are eliminated from wing discs. Related to Figure 2.

(A,B) Clones induced by heat-shock of the flip-out cassette act>y+>gal4 and marked by UAS-GFP (green) are driving the expression of UAS-lacZ (A) or UAS-flower^{LoseA[E79Q]} (B). Images show clones in the wing imaginal discs of third instar larvae at 72h ACI (after clone induction). DAPI is in blue. Scale bar: 20µm.

(C) The number of GFP clones per wing pouch was counted for each genotype at 72h ACI and plotted as shown. **P value<0.01, Mann-Whitney U test.

(D) Expression of the apoptotic marker DCP1 (red) at the borders of clones (arrows) overexpressing UAS-flower^{LoseA[E79Q]} (green), 72h ACI. DAPI is in blue. Scale bar: 10µm.

(E) Azot-mCherry reporter (red) is detected at the borders of clones (arrow) expressing UAS-flower^{LoseA[E79Q]} (green) at 72h ACI. Scale bar: 5µm.

(F,G) CaLexA activation (pseudocolored fire) in the mushroom body of MB247> + (F) or MB247>UAS-flower^{LoseA} (G) flies. Scale bar 10µm.
Figure S4. Ectopic expression of Kir2.1 reduces amyloid-β-induced cell death. Related to Figure 4.

(A-B) Staining for the neuronal markers Elav (blue) and Futsch (red) in eye imaginal discs of GMR>UASlacZ (A) or GMR>10XUAS-kir2.1 (B) third instar larva. Scale bar: 20µm.

(C,D) Expression of 10XUAS-kir2.1 under the control of the GMR-Gal4 driver does not perturb differentiation of neurons and does not cause increased apoptosis in the optic lobe. DCP1 marks dying cells (green) and Elav labels differentiated neurons (blue). Scale bar: 20µm or 8 µm in the inset.

(E) Quantification of apoptotic cells marked by DCP1 (green) in the optic lobe of GMR>UASlacZ (C) or GMR>10XUAS-kir2.1 (D) flies. Ns: no significant, Mann-Whitney U non-parametric test.

(F,G) Degenerative eye phenotype of 4–5 days old adult flies raised at 25°C for the following genotypes: GMR>Aβ42 / UAS-lacZ (F) and GMR>Aβ42 / 10XUAS-kir2.1 (G).

(H) Quantification of the number of black necrotic patches counted per eye for the genotypes indicated before in (F,G). ***P value<0.001, Krustal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post-hoc test.

(I-K) Representative images of apoptotic cells marked by DCP1 (green) in the optic lobe of GMR>Aβ42 / >UASTubGal80ts (I) or GMR>Aβ42 / UASkir2.1, tubGal80ts(J) flies and respective quantification (K). To restrict Gal4 expression to adulthood, flies were raised at 18°C and transferred to 30°C 1-2 days after eclosion. Neurons are shown in blue. Scale bar: 20µm or 6µm in the inset. ***P value<0.001, Mann-Whitney U non-parametric test.

Error bars show standard error mean.
**Transparent Methods**

**Genetics**

All experimentation was conducted on *Drosophila melanogaster*. The number of flies used was the minimum necessary to achieve the objectives and the best practices were employed. Flies were treated in a humane manner, using CO2 as anesthetic and were kept according to applicable European and Institutional guidelines.

All stocks were maintained on a standard cornmeal/molasses medium at 25°C in a 12 hr light/dark cycle, unless otherwise indicated. Male flies were used as subjects in all experiments. The following stocks were obtained from the Bloomington stock center: GMR-Gal4 (second chr, RRID: BDSC_1104); 20XUAS-GCaMP6s (second chr., BDSC #42746), UAS-diap1 (second chr., RRID: BDSC_63820); UAS-lacZ (second chr., RRID: BDSC_3955); MB247-Gal4 (third, RRID: BDSC_50742), UAS-p35 (second chr., RRID: BDSC_5072). The GMRGal4>(2x)UAS-Aβ42 model was generated for Casas-Tinto et al., 2011. The following transgenic lines were described in previous publication of our laboratory: flowerLoxP::mCherry KI (third Chr.) and azot::mCherry reporter line (third chr.) in Coelho et al., 2018; UAS-flowerLoxP::long hairpin RNAi in Merino et al., 2013; UAS-flowerLoxP in Rhiner et al., 2010. The CaLexA system whose complete genotype is w;lexAop-CD8-GFP-2A-CD8-GFP/Cyo; UAS-mLexA-VP16-NFATC(H-2), LexAop-CD2-GFP/TM6b was designed for Masuyama et al., 2012. Flies carrying the translational reporter FlowerLoxP::Myc or the point mutation UAS-flowerLoxP(1E9Q) were gifts from Hugo Bellen (Yao et al., 2009). The UAS-fwe dsRNA transgenic line was obtained from the Vienna Drosophila Resource Center (second chr, GD collection VDRC #39596, RRID: FlyBase_FBst0463114). The stock 10XUAS-kir2.1::EgFP was generated by the Gwyneth M.Card lab (von Reyn et al., 2014). Additional stocks were obtained from other research laboratories at Champalimaud: UAS-trpA1 (second chr.) was obtained from the Chiappe lab; UAS-kir2.1 inserted on the second chromosome and the recombinant lines tubGal80, UAS-kir2.1 and chat-Gal4, UAS-GFP were obtained from the Vasconcelos lab.

**Neuronal activation and neuronal silencing**

To activate TRPA1, flies were collected 1 day after eclosion and transferred to 30°C for 4 days until dissection. Control non-activated flies were kept at 22°C for the same time period.

When using the tubGal80R transgene, flies were raised at 18°C and placed at 30°C shortly after eclosion for Gal4 expression and kir2.1 induction.

When monitoring neuronal activity with GCaMP6s or CaLexA, vials from different experimental groups were always kept side-by-side to ensure all flies were subjected to same environmental stimuli prior to experiments.

**Immunohistochemistry and image acquisition**

For clone induction, larvae were given a heat shock at 37°C, 48h or 72h before dissection. For pupal dissections, white prepupae (0hr) were collected and maintained at 25°C for 42h. For adult brain dissections, males were collected 0-1 days after eclosion and maintained at 25°C until the required age. Dissections were performed in chilled PBS, samples were fixed for 30min in formaldehyde (4% v/v in PBS) at room temperature and washed for 60min with PBT 0.4% Triton. For blocking, samples were incubated for 1h at room temperature in 10% normal goat serum (Sigma Aldrich, Cat# G9023) in PBT and incubated overnight with the primary antibody diluted in the same solution at 4°C. The following antibodies were used: rat anti-Elav (1:50; Developmental Studies Hybriodoma Bank, DSHB, Cat#7E8A10, RIDD AB_528218); mouse anti-Futsch (1:200, DSHB Cat#22C10, RRID:AB_528403); polyclonal anti-Myc-tag (1:50; Cell Signaling Cat#2272; RRID:AB_10692100), cleaved DCP1 (1:100, Cell Signaling Cat#9578,
RRID:AB_2721060), chicken anti-GFP (1:500 Abcam Cat#ab13970, RRID:AB_30079813970). TUNEL staining (Roche Cat#3333574001 and Roche Cat#11093070910) was performed according to the supplier’s protocol and modified as previously (Lolo et al., 2012). Samples were mounted in Vectashield (Vectorlab Cat#H-1200) and imaged on a Zeiss LSM 880 using a 20X dry objective or a 40X oil objective.

**Calcium imaging experiments with GCaMP6**

For two-photon Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging experiments, flies expressed the Ca\(^{2+}\) indicator GCaMP6s in the mushroom body. To obtain ex-vivo preparations of the adult brain, flies were dissected in a saline solution composed of 108mM NaCl, 5mM KCl, 4mM NaHCO\(_3\), 1mM NaH2PO4, 5mM Trehalose*2H\(_2\)O, 10mM sucrose and 5mM HEPES. Isolated brains were immediately transferred to a coated Petri dish and covered with the same saline solution supplemented with 2mM CaCl\(_2\)*2H\(_2\)O and 8.2mM MgCl\(_2\)*6H\(_2\)O.

An Ultima two-photon laser-scanning microscope from Bruker (Billerica) and a Coherent Chameleon XR laser were used for imaging. Images were acquired with an Olympus BX61 microscope equipped with a 40X 0.8 NA objective. The image was zoomed to allow the selection of a region of interest (ROI) including the mushroom body calyx. No stimulation was performed. A z-stack of the mushroom body calyx was acquired for each sample at time=0s and without stimulation to obtain a measure of GCaMP6s fluorescence at baseline for each genotype. In addition, we recorded videos of the spontaneous oscillations of GCaMP6s fluorescence by acquiring images of the same ROI every 0.5s in a single plan for 30min.

**Image analysis and Statistics**

Image quantification and fluorescent intensity measurements were done with Fiji.

To determine GCaMP6s fluorescence, we delineated by hand and around the observable soma of each neuron a second ROI. We measured the baseline fluorescence per neuronal soma at time =0s and then calculated the mean intensity value at each time frame. To calculate the normalized oscillation in the relative fluorescence of GCaMP6s overtime, we divided the intensity fluorescence per each neuronal soma in the \(n^{th}\) frame by the background intensity of the same frame.

We quantified CaLexA signal on 30μm-wide maximum projections that included almost the total width of the mushroom body calyx in males at 10days (except for data in Fig.2A where males with 5, 10 or 15days were used). When acquiring images, we adjusted the gain so that the genotype with the strongest signal did not saturate the dynamic range of the PMT detector, and we imaged all the brains with the same settings and as close as possible time-wise. To quantify the number of saturated pixels for CaLexA as depicted in Fig.1, a histogram displaying the distribution of number of pixels per fluorescence intensity value was obtained for each z-projection. Pixels with intensities values above 75% of the maximum value were counted as saturated. We scored as CaLexA-activating neurons as shown in Fig2, Kenyon cells exhibiting intense signal of GFP in the soma comparing to other neurons in the vicinity. The number of CaLexA-activating neurons was scored blindly across different genotypes. The number of hyperactive neurons scored for each sample was divided by the mean number of CaLexA-positive neurons present in the control genotype of the same experimental replicate and represented as a percentage of it. In the end, results from all experimental replicates were pooled for each genotype and mean and SEM were calculated.

The number of positive cells for FlowerLoseB::mCherry or Azot::mCherry signal represented in Figures 3 or 4 was determined with the FIJI tool ‘Find Maxima’ in maximum-intensity projections of the optic lobe. Z-stacks spanned approximately 40μm of each optic lobe starting from the anterior side of the brain. Noise signal was removed.
beforehand with a Gaussian blur filter (sigma =1 or sigma=1.5). Comparisons were made between data collected either from parallel experiments, with exactly the same solutions, timeline, and imaging conditions, or from different batches of experiments normalized to a common control. For example, data for Fig. 3 were collected separately, but within each batch there was always one group of UAS-lacZ at 30°C, whose signal served as the common denominator. The mean intensity fluorescence for glutamate in the optic lobe (or for GCaMP6s in the mushroom body) was determined by measuring the mean intensity per pixel in ROIs with the same size.

In images illustrating co-localization experiments, we show single slices (Fig. 1K, Fig. 1L, Fig. 2B, Fig.S2H, Fig.S2I, Fig.S3D, Fig.S3E) while for the rest of the panels, we show maximum-projections (with the same thickness when comparing between different genotypes).

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software version 6.0 (GraphPad Software). The number of FlowerLoseB::mCherry and Azot::mCherry positive cells in the adult optic lobes (Fig. 3F, J; Fig. 4E, J) and the number of black patches in the adult eye (Fig.S4H) were analyzed with a Krustal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post-hoc paired comparisons. Mann-Whitney U non-parametric tests were performed to determine significant differences between two groups for the following variables: baseline fluorescence of GCaMP6s per neuron (Fig.1C), number of saturated CaLexA pixels (Fig.1G), number of TUNEL-positive cells in the adult optic lobe (Fig. 4M), clone number per wing pouch (Fig. S3C) and percentage of DCP-1 positive cells (Fig.2F, Fig. S4C and Fig.S4K). We compared the number of CaLexA-labelled neurons across time points with one-way ANOVA and Holm-Sidak's multiple comparisons tests (Fig.2A). An unpaired Student’s t-test with Welch’s correction was used to analyze the number of CaLexA-activating neurons (Fig.2E, J), the normalized baseline fluorescence of GCaMP6s per mushroom body (Fig. S1A), the fluorescence intensity of glutamate (Fig. S2G) number of TUNEL-positive cells in the adult optic lobe (Fig.3M, P). All the p-values are two tailed and all graphs are displayed as mean ± standard error.
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