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ABSTRACT
Objective  The purpose of this paper is to investigate the characteristics and determinants of inappropriate admission to hospital of elderly people in rural China.

Design  A cross-sectional study of a comparison between the elderly and non-elderly groups of people.

Setting  The survey was conducted on the largest county-level general hospitals in four counties in central and western China.

Participants  A total of 652 rural patients admitted in hospitals were surveyed, who were divided into two groups according to age: elderly group (n=230, age ≥60 years) and non-elderly group (n=422, age <60 years).

Primary measures  The Chinese version of the appropriateness evaluation protocol was used to evaluate the inappropriate admission rates. The interactive regression models based on the relationship of age (elderly and non-elderly) with other factors and binary logistic regression models were used in the analysis of the specific factors and determinants of the inappropriate admission of elderly people.

Result  The inappropriate admission rate for the rural elderly was 30%, which was lower than that of the non-elderly people (40.8%). Compared with the non-elderly group, women in the elderly group (OR=0.33, 95% CI 0.15 to 0.73) had a lower incidence of inappropriate admission, and elderly people with chronic diseases (OR=3.33, 95% CI 1.23 to 9.04) were more prone to being inappropriately admitted than non-elderly people with chronic diseases. The binary logistic regression analysis shows that county, age (OR=0.94, 95% CI 0.90 to 0.99), gender (OR=0.49, 95% CI 0.25 to 2.98), department and response to doctor’s admission request were the determinants of the inappropriate admission of elderly patients.

Conclusion  The inappropriate admission rate of elderly people in rural China was high. We found that gender and chronic disease are the specific factors that were specific to non-elderly people. County, age, gender, department and response to a doctor’s admission request had substantial influence on the inappropriate admission of the elderly in rural China.

INTRODUCTION
Ageing is a severe challenge facing the Chinese society today. At the end of 2017, China’s elderly population aged 60 years and above was 241 million, accounting for 17.3% of the total population. In recent years, the rate of hospitalisation for the elderly in rural China has increased from 12.7% in 2003 to 21.5% in 2013, which suggests that the utilisation of health services for the rural elderly population in China has increased sharply. And the inappropriate admission rate of people over 60 years in rural China has reached 23.2%. The inappropriateness of the elderly’s health demand has become a major concern in the supply of health services in China.

The inappropriate admission refers to unnecessary hospitalisation of patients, which is one of the main forms of excessive demand for hospitalisation services. Some special national conditions in China determine that the reason for inappropriate admission of elderly people in rural China differs from that in other countries. On the one hand, primary healthcare is backward in rural China, especially the family doctor system,
which remains far from perfect. Hence, elderly patients lack the correct guidance for their first visit although their cognitive levels decrease with age. On the other hand, China’s institutional endowment system remains imperfect, and hospitalisation services have become the main source of nursing services for the elderly who suffer from chronic diseases and poor health. Both of these aspects are related to the inappropriate admission behaviour of the elderly. In recent years, the Chinese government has adopted various reform measures that are aimed at guiding patients seeking correct medical care; these measures include the implementation of basic medical insurance payment reform, hierarchical medical system and family doctor contracted services. However, inappropriate inpatient service utilisation for the elderly remains a problem in rural China.

Inappropriate admission wastes health resources and further increases the economic burden of the elderly in rural areas. It also increases the risk of hospital-acquired infections in elderly people, thereby compromising patients’ safety and health. Therefore, identifying the determinants of inappropriate hospitalisation is a useful approach for the formulation of targeted interventions that can reduce inappropriate hospital admission rates and improve the efficiencies and qualities of hospitalisation services.

The international study on the inappropriate admission of the elderly is mainly focused on the evaluation of the rate, characteristics and impact factors of inappropriate admission in hospitals. Influencing factors are mainly considered from the perspective of individual characteristics and suppliers. The comprehensive consideration of supply and demand factors is often underestimated in most studies. The analysis of individual characteristics generally focuses on diseases and severity of illness in hospitalised elderly patients. Gamper et al found that elderly people with chronic diseases are more likely to be inappropriately admitted. Menand et al found that the severity of illness and mention of a cardiac disease are associated with inappropriate admission of people aged 80 years. The analysis of influencing factors from the supplier side mainly focuses on the decision-making of medical service providers and primary healthcare. Bianco et al showed that the inappropriate admission of elderly people over 65 years old in southern Italy is mainly influenced by conservative admission treatment decision, which is made by doctors or hospital managers to avoid the risk of medical accidents. Mytton et al found that, in the UK, the inappropriate admission of elderly people to the emergency departments of hospitals depends on the quality of doctor admission decisions and the capacities of community health services. Presently, research on inappropriate admission of the elderly in China and the only study covered just the descriptive analysis phase, and the effect of the factors remains unclear. We assume that the appropriateness of admission of elderly people is influenced by both the supply and the demand.

Therefore, we aim to identify the rate and determinants of inappropriate admission of elderly people to hospitals in rural China from the perspective of supply and demand, and to provide targeted strategies for the intervention and control of the hospitalisation behaviours of elderly people.

METHODS

Setting and participants

This cross-sectional study was conducted in 2017, and cluster random sampling was adopted. Four counties in central and western China, namely, Dingyuan in Anhui Province, Huining and Weiyuan in Gansu Province and Yilong in Sichuan Province, were selected as sample counties. The counties in eastern China were not included because most counties are shifting to the urban district level through rapid economic and social development. The largest county-level general hospital in each county was surveyed, and the respondents were hospitalised patients in rural households who were grouped into the elderly group (aged 60 years and older) and non-elderly group (under 60 years old).

Sampling and data collection

For the calculation of sample size, it is estimated that the inappropriate admission rate $P$ in rural areas is 25%, the absolute tolerance $\delta=0.035$ and the confidence level is 95% ($\alpha=0.05$):

$$N = \frac{Z_{\alpha/2}^2 P \left(1 - P \right)}{\delta^2} = 1.96^2 \times 0.25(1-0.25)/0.035^2 = 588$$

Through a cluster sampling method, 170 rural patients in each county hospital were randomly selected. The responses were obtained through the use of questionnaire surveys, and their medical records were collected after they were discharged. To ensure the integrity of the data for each indicator, we excluded the unqualified sample records. Of the 680 records collected, 652 valid records were finally retained, including 230 for the elderly and 422 for the non-elderly.

Measurement

With the consent of the hospital and the patients, a structured questionnaire survey was used, which included inquiries about demographic characteristics and subjective cognitive indicators. Their medical records were extracted for the collection of objective admission statuses and evaluation of the appropriateness of admission.

Variables

The outcome variable was the appropriateness of admission to the hospital, with appropriate admission=0 and inappropriate admission=1.

The independent variables mainly include demographic characteristics (eg, county, gender and age), subjective cognitive status (eg, response to doctor’s admission request [RTDAR]), disease severity, affordability of hospitalisation costs, comparison of inpatient and
outpatient reimbursement [CORR] and hospitalisation convenience) and objective admission status (eg, department, disease, health status and diagnosis of chronic diseases [DOCD]). Among the above variables, gender, age, subjective cognitive status, disease, health status and DOCD are from the demand’s perspective, while county, RTDAR, affordability of hospitalisation costs, CORR, hospitalisation convenience, department and DOCD can also directly or indirectly reflect the influence of the supplier on the inappropriate admission of the elderly.

Records appropriateness evaluation
The appropriateness evaluation protocol (AEP) is widely used in the evaluation of the appropriateness of admission. Yingchun Chen developed rural appropriateness evaluation protocol (R-AEP), which is suitable for the appropriateness of admission to hospitals operating in rural China (online additional file 1 for details).

The R-AEP criteria were used in the evaluation of the appropriateness of admission in 652 cases. The evaluation was performed by professionally trained personnel. These highly trained personnel were professionals in health policy research, all of whom received PhD degrees and committed to making fair judgements on records in a strictly standard manner. The R-AEP criteria were based on the value of the medical record. Therefore, all R-AEP-related indicators were extracted, and their actual values were compared with standard values. The record for actual indicator values that match with the standard value was considered appropriate. Medical records with all relevant values that did not meet the AEP criteria were considered as inappropriate admission. The specific case evaluation process was as follows: (1) Evaluating each medical record by two experts. (2) Comparing the consistency of the results of the two experts. (3) Cases with inconsistent results from two experts were sent to a third party and were evaluated by a third party. (4) Comparing the results of admission appropriateness made by a third party with the previous results and making the final judgement about appropriateness of patient admission.

Statistics analysis
The demographic characteristics, subjective cognitive status and objective admission status were processed via Epidata V.3.2. Diseases were categorised using the International Classification of Diseases 10th revision.

IBM SPSS Statistics V.22.0 was used for statistical analysis. The t test and Pearson’s $\chi^2$ test were used in the analysis of the distribution characteristics of inappropriate admission of the elderly. For the 652 respondents, the interactive logistic regression model based on the relationship of age (elderly and non-elderly) with other factors was adopted for the analysis of the specific factors affecting the inappropriate admission of elderly people in comparison with those affecting the inappropriate admission of non-elderly people. Taking the appropriateness of admission as the dependent variable, we directly included the main effect of the independent variable to the regression model, and the interaction effect was screened into the model by stepwise regression. Finally, the model was formed.

$$Logit (P) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{County} + \beta_2 \text{Age} + \beta_3 \text{Gender}$$
$$+ \beta_4 \text{Disease} + \beta_5 \text{Department} + \beta_6 \text{DOCD}$$
$$+ \beta_7 \text{Health status} + \beta_8 \text{RTDAR} + \beta_9 \text{Severity}$$
$$+ \beta_{10} \text{CORR} + \beta_{11} \text{Affordability}$$
$$+ \beta_{12} \text{Hospitalization convenience}$$
$$+ \beta_{13} \text{Age} \times \text{Gender} + \beta_{14} \text{Age} \times \text{DOCD} + \epsilon$$

For 230 elderly respondents, binary logistic regression analysis was used for the identification of determinants of inappropriate admission of the elderly. The patient admission appropriateness was the dependent variable, and the independent variable was selected using stepwise regression. The regression model is as follows:

$$Logit (P) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{County} + \beta_2 \text{Age} + \beta_3 \text{Gender}$$
$$+ \beta_4 \text{Department} + \beta_{10} \text{RTDAR} + \beta_{11} \text{Affordability} + \epsilon$$

Patient and public involvement
Patients were not involved in the development of the study. The results were applicable for publication in a peer-reviewed journal. There is no plan to specifically disseminate the findings to study participants.

Research ethics
Patient information was not disclosed before the analysis.

RESULTS
Selected characteristics of the study population
The study included 652 admitted patients, of which 230 were elderly patients (average age: 70.73 years, female: 52.6%) and 422 were non-elderly patients (average age: 28.33 years, female: 49.3%). The sampling rates in each hospital were 0.53% in Yilong (samplers in annual hospitalisations in 2017: 157 in 29 461), 0.28% in Dingyuan (176 in 62 096) and 1.41% in Weiyuan (166 in 11 734). The selected characteristics of the study population are shown in tables 1 and 2. Most of the elderly patients were hospitalised in internal medicine (79.1%) and were admitted because of circulatory diseases (43.9%). Only 34.9% of the elderly patients were in good health, and the majority of the patients had chronic diseases (74.3%). Older patients had a higher expectation of admission and always felt that their respective diseases were serious. The number of elderly patients who considered the reimbursement for inpatients was higher than that for outpatients accounted for only 43.9% of the elderly respondents. Only 32.2% of elderly patients thought that they can fully pay for the hospitalisation, and 49.6% considered that hospitalisation is inconvenient.

Of the 230 elderly patients surveyed, 69 were inappropriately admitted, at a rate of 30%, which was lower than that of non-elderly patients (40.8%). The inappropriate admission rate of elderly people in Dingyuan was the highest (51.8%), whereas the lowest was in Yilong.
Table 1  The distribution of inappropriate admission characteristics of patients according to medical records

| Variable                  | Elderly N (column %) | Appropriate N (line %) | Inappropriate N (line %) | P values | Non-elderly N (column %) | Appropriate N (line %) | Inappropriate N (line %) | P values |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| All                       | 230                  | 161 (70.0)             | 69 (30.0)                |          | 422                      | 250 (59.2)             | 172 (40.8)               |          |
| County                    |                      |                        |                          |          |                          |                        |                          |          |
| Yilong                    | 62 (27.0)            | 53 (85.5)              | 9 (14.5)                 | <0.001   | 95 (22.5)                | 64 (67.4)              | 31 (32.6)                | <0.001   |
| Huining                   | 61 (26.5)            | 48 (78.7)              | 13 (21.3)                |          | 92 (21.8)                | 65 (70.7)              | 27 (29.3)                |          |
| Dingyuan                  | 56 (24.3)            | 27 (48.2)              | 29 (51.8)                |          | 120 (28.4)               | 47 (39.2)              | 73 (60.8)                |          |
| Weiyuan                   | 51 (22.2)            | 33 (64.7)              | 18 (35.3)                |          | 115 (27.3)               | 74 (64.3)              | 41 (35.7)                |          |
| Gender                    |                      |                        |                          |          |                          |                        |                          |          |
| Male                      | 109 (47.4)           | 71 (65.1)              | 38 (34.9)                | 0.127    | 214 (50.7)               | 133 (62.1)             | 81 (37.9)                | 0.218    |
| Female                    | 121 (52.6)           | 90 (74.4)              | 31 (25.6)                |          | 208 (49.3)               | 117 (56.3)             | 91 (43.8)                |          |
| Age, mean±SD              | 70.73±7.841          | 70.9±7.566             | 70.20±7.305              | 0.485    | 28.33±21.34              | 33.47±19.332           | 24.33±22.600             | <0.001   |
| Department                |                      |                        |                          |          |                          |                        |                          |          |
| Internal medicine         | 182 (79.1)           | 125 (68.7)             | 57 (31.3)                | 0.155    | 149 (35.3)               | 97 (65.1)              | 52 (34.9)                | <0.001   |
| Surgery                   | 34 (14.8)            | 28 (82.4)              | 6 (17.6)                 |          | 70 (16.6)                | 62 (88.6)              | 8 (11.4)                 |          |
| Paediatric                | 0 (0.0)              | 0                      | 0                        |          | 154 (36.5)               | 59 (38.3)              | 95 (61.7)                |          |
| Others                    | 14 (6.1)             | 8 (57.1)               | 6 (42.9)                 |          | 49 (11.6)                | 32 (65.3)              | 17 (34.7)                |          |
| Disease category          |                      |                        |                          |          |                          |                        |                          |          |
| Circulatory disease       | 101 (43.9)           | 70 (39.3)              | 32 (30.7)                | 0.271    | 88 (20.9)                | 54 (61.4)              | 34 (38.6)                | 0.084    |
| Respiratory disease       | 18 (7.8)             | 15 (83.3)              | 3 (16.7)                 |          | 77 (18.2)                | 47 (61.0)              | 30 (39.0)                |          |
| Digestive disease         | 23 (10.0)            | 14 (60.9)              | 9 (39.1)                 |          | 60 (14.2)                | 33 (55.0)              | 27 (45.0)                |          |
| Urinary disease           | 5 (2.2)              | 4 (80.0)               | 1 (20.0)                 |          | 20 (4.7)                 | 15 (75.0)              | 5 (25.0)                 |          |
| Endocrinology diseases    | 16 (7.0)             | 9 (56.3)               | 7 (43.8)                 |          | 18 (4.3)                 | 12 (66.7)              | 6 (33.3)                 |          |
| Bones and muscles         | 6 (2.6)              | 3 (50.0)               | 3 (50.0)                 |          | 17 (4.0)                 | 8 (47.1)               | 9 (52.9)                 |          |
| Injury and poisoning      | 10 (4.3)             | 10 (100.0)             | 0 (0.0)                  |          | 22 (5.2)                 | 19 (86.4)              | 3 (13.6)                 |          |
| Symptoms and signs        | 38 (16.5)            | 26 (68.4)              | 12 (31.6)                |          | 62 (14.7)                | 33 (53.2)              | 29 (46.8)                |          |
| Others                    | 13 (5.7)             | 10 (76.9)              | 15 (23.1)                |          | 58 (13.7)                | 29 (50.0)              | 29 (50.0)                |          |
| Health status             |                      |                        |                          |          |                          |                        |                          |          |
| Good                      | 101 (43.9)           | 71 (70.3)              | 30 (29.7)                | 0.98     | 324 (76.8)               | 183 (56.5)             | 141 (43.5)               | 0.101    |
| General                   | 108 (47.0)           | 75 (69.4)              | 33 (30.6)                |          | 83 (19.7)                | 56 (67.5)              | 27 (32.5)                |          |
| Poor                      | 21 (9.1)             | 15 (71.4)              | 6 (28.6)                 |          | 15 (3.6)                 |                        |                          |          |
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Continued
Characteristics of inappropriate admission of the elderly

The objective admission indicators of elderly patients (Table 1) show no significant difference in the distribution of admission appropriateness among gender, age, department, disease, health status and diagnosis of chronic diseases. For the non-elderly group, a younger age corresponds to higher inappropriate admission rate ($\chi^2=222.8$, $p<0.001$). Moreover, paediatric patients with the highest inappropriate admission rate ($\chi^2=255.742$, $p<0.001$) and patients with chronic diseases are more likely to be inappropriately admitted ($\chi^2=9.092$, $p=0.003$).

The characteristics of inappropriate admission under patients’ subjective perceptions is indicated in Table 2. The inappropriate admission rate of elderly patients varies with differences in reimbursement for inpatients and outpatients ($\chi^2=211.8$, $p=0.003$). The elderly patients who think that reimbursement for inpatients is higher than that for outpatients have the highest rate of inappropriate admission (43.9%). Moreover, the affordability of older patients to hospitalisation costs was significantly associated with inappropriate admission ($\chi^2=29.52$, $p=0.009$). Elderly patients who find it difficult to afford hospitalisation cost had the lowest inappropriate admission rate (38.3%). In response to the doctor’s admission requirement, the disease severity, and hospitalisation convenience, no statistically significant difference was found in the distribution of admission appropriateness of the elderly.

In the non-elderly group, patients who decided to be admitted to hospitals on their own had a higher inappropriate admission rate than those whose decision was made by someone else ($\chi^2=214.258$, $p=0.007$). Patients who think that the reimbursement for inpatients is higher than that for outpatients had the highest rate of inappropriate admission ($\chi^2=211.542$, $p=0.003$), and the results were consistent with those in the elderly group.

Determinants of inappropriate admission to hospital for elderly people

Logistic regression based on the interaction of age (elderly and non-elderly group) and other factors shows that the interaction between age and gender and between age and chronic disease influenced patients’ inappropriate admission to hospital (Table 3). Compared with the non-elderly, the risk of inappropriate admission of the female elderly was lower (OR=0.33, 95% CI 0.15 to 0.73), and the elderly with chronic diseases were more likely to be inappropriately admitted to hospital (OR=3.33, 95% CI 1.23 to 9.04).

The results of the binary logistic regression for elderly patients are shown in Table 4. Taking Yilong as the reference group, rural elderly patients in Dingyuan had a higher risk of inappropriate admission to hospital (OR=9.74, 95% CI 3.30 to 28.41). A higher age corresponds to a lower possibility of inappropriate admission (OR=0.94, 95% CI 0.90 to 0.99). Elderly people in the surgery department are less likely to be admitted to
hospital inappropriately (OR=0.21, 95% CI 0.07 to 0.61). For the subjective cognition of elderly patients, our study showed that only patients who have doubts about the doctor’s admission requirement but decided to be hospitalised after communication with the doctor were the least likely to be admitted inappropriately (OR=0.07, 95% CI 0.01 to 0.76).

**DISCUSSION**

By descriptive analysis and logistic regression analysis, we studied the characteristics and determinants of inappropriate admission of elderly people in rural China. Our results will help us determine the reasons for inappropriate admission of elderly people in rural China from the perspective of supply and demand by discussing the determinant factors. Our study would also try to give some suggestions to the Chinese government for controlling inappropriate admission of the elderly, and provide new observations for researchers in this field.

**Inappropriate admission of the elderly in rural China**

In this study, the inappropriate admission rate of patients aged over 60 years in rural areas in China is 30%, which is generally higher than the 27% reported in the UK,18 9.8% in Italy,14 9.2% in Switzerland19 and 8.8% in France.13 Zhang et al20 found that the inappropriate admission rate of patients aged over 60 years in rural areas in China was 14.3%, which was also lower than the results of this study. This result may be attributed to the differences among the studies with regards to the health services system, geographical environment or study period. These studies were mainly concerned with elderly people of different age groups and in different admission departments. The inappropriate admission rate of elderly patients (30%) was lower than that of non-elderly patients (40.8%) probably because the body functions of elderly patients are worse than those of non-elderly patients. Moreover, an elderly person presents more obvious and serious symptoms at the onset of a disease and is thus less likely to be inappropriately admitted.

**Characteristics of elderly patients who were hospitalised inappropriately**

Significant differences were found in the distributions of inappropriate admission under the subjective perception of patients. A summary of the patients hospitalised inappropriately is shown in Table 2.

| Variable                          | Elderly                      | Non-elderly                  |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| RTDAR                             | N (column %)                 | N (line %)                   |
| No doubt                          | 63 (27.4)                    | 90 (56.3) 70 (43.8)          |
| Doubt                             | 17 (7.4)                     | 16 (59.3) 11 (40.7)          |
| Expected                          | 124 (53.9)                   | 124 (65.3) 66 (34.7)         |
| Family requirements               | 13 (5.7)                     | 8 (80.0) 2 (20.0)            |
| Others                            | 13 (5.7)                     | 12 (34.3) 23 (65.7)          |
| Disease severity                  | N (column %)                 | N (line %)                   |
| Light                             | 8 (3.5)                      | 39 (58.2) 28 (41.8)          |
| General                           | 46 (20.0)                    | 63 (56.3) 49 (43.8)          |
| Serious                           | 176 (76.5)                   | 148 (60.9) 95 (39.1)         |
| Comparison of RO and RI           | N (column %)                 | N (line %)                   |
| RO>RI                             | 62 (27.0)                    | 37 (80.4) 9 (19.6)           |
| RI>RO                             | 101 (43.9)                   | 101 (53.2) 89 (46.8)         |
| Unknown                           | 67 (29.1)                    | 112 (60.2) 74 (39.8)         |
| Affordability                     | N (column %)                 | N (line %)                   |
| Difficult                         | 73 (31.7)                    | 37 (61.7) 23 (38.3)          |
| Reluctantly                       | 83 (36.1)                    | 124 (57.9) 90 (42.1)         |
| Fully payable                     | 74 (32.2)                    | 89 (60.1) 59 (39.9)          |
| Hospitalisation convenience       | N (column %)                 | N (line %)                   |
| Yes                               | 116 (50.4)                   | 169 (60.8) 109 (39.2)        |
| No                                | 114 (49.6)                   | 81 (56.3) 63 (43.8)          |

RI, reimbursement of inpatient; RO, reimbursement of outpatient; RTDAR, response to doctor’s admission requirement.
Dingyuan had the highest rate of inappropriate admission, whereas Yilong had the lowest. This could be due to the differences in health policies, geographical environments and customs between the counties. Elderly patients who could afford hospitalisation costs with difficulty had the lowest inappropriate admission rate. This could be due to the economic difficulties that restrict utilisation of health services for elderly patients in rural areas in China.21 Our research proves that elderly people who have a correct understanding of medical insurance reimbursement have higher inappropriate admission rates, probably because the medical insurance reimbursement policy has promoted the demand for excessive release of hospitalisation services for elderly patients.22

Determinants of inappropriate admission for elderly people

Gender and chronic diseases are the specific factors affecting the inappropriate admission of elderly people. Compared with non-elderly patients, the tendency of female elderly patients for inappropriate hospitalisation was less obvious. Moreover, the risk of inappropriate admission of elderly patients with chronic diseases was higher, which is consistent with the findings of Gamper, probably because relative to non-elderly people, elderly people are less aware of the disease. Moreover, perfect chronic disease management is lacking in rural areas in China. When the symptoms of a chronic disease appear, it is easier for the elderly to misjudge the disease early and blindly choose to be admitted to a hospital.

Logistic regression shows that the risk of inappropriate admission varies across different counties in China’s rural areas. The health policy in different counties, especially the medical insurance policy, plays a key role in the inappropriate admission of elderly patients (the New Rural Cooperative Medical system [NRCMS] reimbursement policies in the survey areas in 2017 are shown in online additional file 2). A large gap exists between

| Variable | COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI)* | Variable | COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI)* |
|----------|--------------|---------------|----------|--------------|---------------|
| County (ref: Yilong)†‡ | | | Health status (ref: good) | | |
| Huining | 1.04 (0.62 to 1.72) | 1.29 (0.68 to 2.44) | General | 0.68 (0.47 to 0.98) | 0.82 (0.50 to 1.33) |
| Dingyuan | 4.03 (2.52 to 6.43) | 5.99 (3.08 to 11.68) | Poor | 0.57 (0.27 to 1.22) | 0.83 (0.33 to 2.06) |
| Weiyuan | 1.16 (0.99 to 2.61) | 1.85 (0.98 to 3.48) | RTDAR (ref: no doubt)† | | |
| Age (ref: non-elderly)†‡ | 0.62 (0.44 to 0.88) | 1.35 (0.59 to 3.10) | Doubt | 0.59 (0.29 to 1.20) | 0.6 (0.26 to 1.39) |
| Gender (ref: male)‡ | 1.01 (0.74 to 1.39) | 1.64 (1.03 to 2.63) | Expected | 0.80 (0.56 to 1.14) | 0.82 (0.53 to 1.27) |
| Disease category (ref: circulatory disease)† | | | Family requirements | 0.68 (0.27 to 1.73) | 0.73 (0.25 to 2.09) |
| Respiratory disease | 1.02 (0.61 to 1.71) | 0.83 (0.43 to 1.58) | Others | 2.39 (1.26 to 4.52) | 1.91 (0.89 to 4.09) |
| Digestive disease | 1.46 (0.86 to 2.48) | 2.84 (1.44 to 5.58) | Disease severity (ref: light) | | |
| Urinary disease | 0.60 (0.23 to 1.58) | 1.04 (0.32 to 3.39) | General | 1.05 (0.60 to 1.85) | 1.05 (0.51 to 2.15) |
| Endocrinology diseases | 1.81 (0.56 to 2.51) | 1.43 (0.60 to 3.45) | Serious | 0.88 (0.53 to 1.45) | 1.28 (0.66 to 2.50) |
| Bones and muscles | 2.08 (0.87 to 4.98) | 1.45 (0.52 to 4.06) | | | |
| Injury and poisoning | 0.20 (0.06 to 0.67) | 0.99 (0.24 to 4.13) | Rl<RO | 4.09 (2.32 to 7.21) | 2.13 (1.08 to 4.21) |
| Symptoms and signs | 1.33 (0.81 to 2.18) | 1.63 (0.91 to 2.93) | Unknown | 3.38 (1.90 to 6.02) | 1.62 (0.80 to 3.27) |
| Others | 1.57 (0.90 to 2.73) | 1.30 (0.64 to 2.64) | Affordability (ref: difficult)†‡ | | |
| Department (ref: internal medicine)†‡ | | | Reluctantly | 1.87 (1.19 to 2.94) | 1.14 (0.66 to 1.96) |
| Surgery | 0.32 (0.17 to 0.58) | 0.11 (0.05 to 0.25) | Fully payable | 1.80 (1.13 to 2.89) | 1.84 (1.05 to 3.24) |
| Paediatric | 3.28 (2.20 to 4.88) | 4.26 (1.94 to 9.35) | Hospitalisation convenience (ref: yes) | 0.89 (0.64 to 1.23) | 1.25 (0.84 to 1.88) |
| Others | 1.17 (0.67 to 2.05) | 1.16 (0.59 to 2.29) | Age × gender (ref: non-elderly × male)†‡ | 0.53 (0.34 to 0.82) | 0.33 (0.15 to 0.73) |
| DOCD (ref: no)†‡ | 1.40 (1.02 to 1.93) | 0.32 (0.16 to 0.65) | Age × DOCD (ref: non-elderly × no)‡ | 0.55 (0.30 to 1.02) | 3.33 (1.23 to 9.04) |

*Adjusted for all other covariates listed in the table.
†Univariate regression analysis p<0.05.
‡Logistic regression analysis p<0.05.
AOR, adjusted OR; COR, crude OR; DOCD, diagnosis of chronic disease; RI, reimbursement of inpatient; RO, reimbursement of outpatient; RTDAR, response to doctor’s admission request.
outpatient and hospitalisation compensation in the survey area. Especially at county-level hospitals, the general outpatient reimbursement is not covered, hence, elderly people in rural areas prefer hospitalisation to get more medical expenses reimbursement. Dingyuan has the highest risk of inappropriate admission for elderly patients, which may be caused by its high level of reimbursement policy by the NRCMS. In 2017, the reimbursement ratio of NRCMS in Dingyuan county-level hospitals was 85%, and the annual payment limitation of each inpatient could reach ¥200 000, which was higher than that of Yilong and Weiyuan. Although the reimbursement ratio of NRCMS and the annual payment limitation of each inpatient were higher than that of other studies,25 The reason is mainly because older patients have severely reduced body functions, and their diseases are more serious; hence, inappropriate admission is relatively rare.

The department was also a key factor in the inappropriate admission of elderly patients. Compared with surgery, medical patients are more prone to inappropriate admissions (the inappropriate admission rate is 31.3%), which is consistent with the results of Ferrero.26 This observation might be caused by two reasons: On the one hand, many surgery-related indicators are included in the original evaluation indicators in AEP,27 which improves the rationality of surgical patient admission. On the other hand, most elderly patients suffer from chronic diseases, making it easy to select internal medicine departments for treatment. However, the performance appraisal of Chinese hospitals is always directly linked to departmental income. The internal medicine income is significantly lower than that of surgery, which causes physicians to produce more induced demand in pursuit of departmental income.28 In addition, the hospital lacks admission standards for the severity of the disease, and the intensity of medical services required. These factors have increased the possibility of inappropriate admission to the elderly.

The response of rural elderly patients to hospital admission requirements directly affects the appropriateness of admission. Elderly patients who have doubts about the doctor’s admission requirements and have communicated to the doctor before deciding to enter the hospital have significantly less possibility of being admitted inappropriately. The influence of this factor on the appropriateness of hospitalisation in the rural elderly is mainly reflected in two points. First, Chinese rural areas have not established a perfect ‘family doctor first’ consultation mechanism, and rural elderly patients lack the guidance of the first diagnosis and the correct understanding of their disease. Cultural degree is low especially for the elderly patients in rural areas in China, which makes their judgement of the disease entirely dependent on the clinician. For doctors’ admission requirements, if elderly patients communicate with doctors effectively, understand their own disease condition and make admission decisions accordingly, the tendency of inappropriate admission of elderly patients is significantly reduced. Second, the doctor’s request for admission of the patient is based on the judgement of the patient’s basic condition and with regard to factors of medical accident risk aversion, departmental income, and so on.29 30 Proved by Aida Bianco and Mytton, doctors’ clinical decision-making has become a key point affecting the appropriateness of patients’ admission.

### Table 4 Logistic regression analysis of inappropriate admission of the elderly (n=230)

| Variable                  | COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI)* |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| County (ref: Yilong)†     |              |               |
| Huining                   | 1.60 (0.63 to 4.06) | 0.67 (0.21 to 2.07) |
| Dingyuan                  | 6.33 (2.63 to 15.25) | 9.74 (3.30 to 28.41) |
| Weiyuan                   | 3.21 (1.29 to 7.98) | 3.36 (1.08 to 10.46) |
| Age (years)‡              | 0.99 (0.95 to 1.03) | 0.94 (0.90 to 0.99) |
| Gender (ref: male)†       | 0.64 (0.36 to 1.14) | 0.49 (0.25 to 2.98) |
| Department (ref: internal medicine)† |          |               |
| Surgery                   | 0.47 (0.18 to 1.20) | 0.21 (0.07 to 0.61) |
| Others                    | 1.65 (0.55 to 4.96) | 1.49 (0.35 to 6.27) |
| RTDAR (ref: no doubt)‡    |              |               |
| Doubt                     | 0.17 (0.02 to 1.38) | 0.07 (0.01 to 0.76) |
| Expected                  | 1.29 (0.66 to 2.52) | 0.87 (0.39 to 1.96) |
| Family requirements       | 1.69 (0.49 to 5.89) | 1.48 (0.34 to 6.34) |
| Others                    | 2.32 (0.68 to 7.89) | 4.14 (0.94 to 18.24) |
| Affordability (ref: difficult)‡ |          |               |
| Reluctantly               | 2.73 (1.27 to 5.87) | 1.69 (0.68 to 4.20) |
| Complete                  | 3.09 (1.42 to 6.73) | 2.84 (1.13 to 7.17) |

Note, forward stepwise: α_{entry}=0.1 , α_{remove}=0.15

*Logistic regression analysis p<0.05.
†Adjusted for all other covariates listed in the table.
‡Univariate regression analysis p<0.05.
AOR, adjusted OR; COR, crude OR; RTDAR, response to doctor’s admission request.
CONCLUSION
This study found that the rate of inappropriate admission of elderly patients in county-level hospitals from rural China was high. We found that chronic disease was the specific factor, and county, department and response to the doctor’s admission requirements were the determinants of inappropriate admission of elderly patients. We believe that these factors are closely related to the management of chronic diseases in the elderly, regional health policies (especially the NRCMS), department management and family doctor service in rural China. Therefore, this study suggests that the government can control the inappropriate admission of the elderly from the following points. (1) The NRCMS management department should adjust the reimbursement ratio of hospitalisation and outpatients according to actual inspections and increase the ratio of outpatient reimbursement to a certain extent, reducing unnecessary hospitalisation needs. (2) Hospital departments need to establish a performance appraisal system with medical quality and patient satisfaction as the core, and admission criteria for the severity of the disease and the intensity of required medical services should also be established. (3) To improve elderly patients’ cognition of the disease, China’s rural areas need to establish a family doctor service based on the concept of health management, and provide medical consultation and guidance for elderly patients.

LIMITATION
This study has two limitations. First, the cross-sectional study design could not determine the causal relationship between unreasonable admission and related factors in elderly patients. Second, no unified identification standard exists for the inappropriate admission of elderly patients in the current studies, making the identification of inappropriate admission dependent on AEP. The AEP applicable to the entire population may not be the best tool for evaluating the inappropriate admission of elderly patients.17 33–35
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