Halogen-Bridged Methylnaphthyl Palladium Dimers as Versatile Catalyst Precursors in Coupling Reactions
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Abstract: Halogen-bridged methylnaphthyl (MeNAP) palladium dimers are presented as multipurpose Pd-precursors, ideally suited for catalytic method development and preparative organic synthesis. By simply mixing with phosphine or carbene ligands, they are in situ converted into well-defined monoligated complexes. Their catalytic performance was benchmarked against state-of-the-art systems in challenging Buchwald–Hartwig, Heck, Suzuki and Negishi couplings, and ketone arylations. Their use enabled record-setting activities, beyond those achievable by optimization of the ligand alone. The MeNAP catalysts permit syntheses of tetra-ortho-substituted arenes and bulky anilines in near-quantitative yields at room temperature, allow mono-arylations of small ketones, and enable so far elusive cross-couplings of secondary alkyl boronic acids with aryl chlorides.

Introduction

Pd-mediated cross-coupling reactions have developed into indispensable synthetic methods, widely used in the preparation of pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, and functional materials on laboratory and industrial scales.[1] The use of electron-rich ligands, such as phosphines bearing tert-butyl,[2] neopentyl,[3] adamantyl[4] ferrocenyl,[5] or biaryl substituents,[6] N-heterocyclic[7] (IPr, IMes), cyclic alkyl amino carbenes (CAAC)[8] and ylide-based phosphines (YPhos),[9] has dramatically improved the scope and efficiency of catalytic couplings.[10] However, it is well documented that the Pd precursor also influences not only the activation process and thereby the initial reaction rate,[11] but also the catalyst selectivity and longevity.[12] Challenging substrate combinations close to the performance limit of a synthetic methodology only allow for a very narrow window of suitable conditions. Especially in such cases, the use of a highly efficient, broadly applicable Pd-source can make a decisive difference.

Figure 1 gives an overview of precursors used in Pd catalysis. One common approach is to employ pre-formed Pd⁰ complexes bearing excess steering ligands as precatalysts (Figure 1a).[13] However, excess ligands continuously compete with the substrates for the coordination site at the Pd center.[14] Moreover, homoleptic PdL₂ complexes tend to be rather air-sensitive.[14]

Alternatively, the catalyst is generated in situ from PdII or Pd⁰ precursors and phosphine or carbene ligands (Figure 1b). However, incomplete reduction of PdII precursors to Pd⁰ can

![Figure 1. Classes of Pd precursors used for the generation of monoligated Pd⁰ catalysts.](image-url)
to store and handle, c) reliably form monoligated Pd species upon mixing with various ligands, and d) have an efficiency that matches or exceeds that of state-of-the art systems across a wide range of catalytic applications. Following the rationale by Nolan and Hazari, we reasoned that dimeric 1- or 2-methylnapthyl palladium halide complexes should ideally fulfill these requirements (Figure 2, left). They should be accessible from methylnaphthyl bromides and chlorides, which are commercially available at low cost. Related to allyl-Pd dimers, we envisioned them to react smoothly with various phosphine and carbene ligands to give well-defined complexes. This however, requires the anticipated η1-coordination of the methylnapthyl moiety which is expected to reduce the aromatic resonance within the naphthyl system. Thus, the displacement of this ancillary ligand by substrate molecules under the conditions of a catalytic reaction may be facilitated. The steric strain induced by the bulky naphthyl moiety should further contribute to its ease of dissociation, potentially resulting in a leap forward in activity of such complexes in catalytic cross-coupling reactions.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of Methylnaphthyl Complexes

The first challenging step was to discover an expedient synthesis of the targeted methylnaphthyl complexes. After evaluating various synthetic strategies, we found that in a 1,3-divinyl-1,1,3,3-tetramethyldisiloxane-palladium (Pd(vs)) solution upon treatment with 1- or 2-(bromomethyl)napthalene or 1- or 2-(chloromethyl)napthalene, respectively, the corresponding 1- or 2-methylnaphthalene complexes ([Pd(α/β-MeNAP)X]2) form within minutes and precipitate out of the reaction medium in high purity as yellow powders (Scheme 1, for experimental details see S1.2). In order to ensure a high purity of the catalysts, we used only the first crop of the precipitate, usually around 50% yield. For Pd4(B), the reaction was scaled up to 7.2 mmol, which increased the yield to 83%. The methylnaphthyl complexes can alternatively be obtained by reaction of Pd3dba with the methyl-naphthyl halides.
The products are easily isolated by filtration and washing with acetone to remove residual vinyl siloxane. Exclusion of air and moisture is not required. The structure of one of the Pd dimers (Pd$_2$Br$_2$) was elucidated by X-ray crystallography (Figure 2). The analysis confirms the desired η$_3$-coordination of the MeNAP moiety. The bond between C1 and C2 is clearly elongated (1.41 Å vs. 1.38 Å in naphthalene)[30] giving evidence of the anticipated reduction of the aromatic resonance within the naphthyl moiety. The solid-state structure revealed a particularly long Pd–C bond in Pd$_2$Br$_2$ compared to [Pd(allyl)Cl]$_2$ (Pd–C 2.143(8) Å vs. 2.108(9) Å),[31] which should result in a rapid catalyst activation.

All four complexes were found to be sufficiently stable to allow their preparation and handling under non-inert conditions. They were stored under ambient conditions over months without visible decomposition or decrease of catalytic activity. Overall, MeNAP palladium halide dimers fulfill all prerequisites of user-friendly catalyst precursors with regard to cost and availability of reagents, stability, and ease of handling.

We next investigated the reactivity of Pd–MeNAP complexes towards phosphine and carbene ligands. All four complexes reacted within minutes with various ligands in THF or diethyl ether solution to form complexes of the type η$_3$-(MeNAP)(X)@Pd@L (Scheme 2). The products precipitate after partial evaporation of the solvent and addition of pentane and can easily be isolated. This straightforward method was successfully applied to the synthesis of various monoligated Pd–MeNAP complexes in yields up to 96%. Some of the products were recrystallized at –20°C and investigated by X-ray crystallography. In almost all reactions with NHC or phosphine ligands, both the bromide- and the chloride-substituted complexes yield products of the type η$_3$-(MeNAP)(X)@Pd@L (Scheme 2). In contrast, the reaction of Pd$_2$Cl$_2$ with IPr gave the η$_1$-coordinated dimeric complex Pd$_3$-dim$_{Cl}$ as shown by X-ray crystallography (Figure 2).

The structures of the crystallized complexes are similar to related allyl–Pd complexes (Figure 3). Pd$_3$$_{a}$Br$_{a}$ and Pd$_3$$_{b}$Br$_{b}$ have a significantly longer maximum Pd–C bond in comparison to analogous cinnamyl complexes (Pd$_3$$_{a}$Br$_{a}$ 2.299 Å vs. [Pd(cinnamyl)(IPr)Cl] 2.284 Å).[28] Among the RuPhos complexes, the methylnaphthyl bromide complex Pd$_5$$_{a}$Br$_{a}$ also bears the longest Pd–C bond with 2.388 Å. The maximum Pd–C bond lengths of selected α- and β-methylnaphthyl compounds in comparison to other allyl complexes are compiled in Table S1. These findings raised high expectations concerning the catalytic activity of the MeNAP complexes and differentiate them from classical allyl systems.

**Catalytic Studies**

In order to probe whether elongation of the Pd–C bonds indeed translates to increased catalytic activity, we inves-
tigated the complexes in various catalytic reactions and compared them with other allyl precursors. A Pd-to-ligand ratio of 1:1 was consistently used in all test reactions to ensure that preformed catalysts are comparable to those generated in situ. Moreover, stoichiometric catalyst experiments have been conducted to shed light on the activation pathway (see Section S4). We started the comparative study with the Pd-catalyzed Buchwald–Hartwig amination, which has become a standard transformation in organic synthesis. Continuous catalyst development has made this reaction extremely efficient. Still, only few systems rapidly couple aryl chlorides at low temperature.

In a comparative kinetic study of the reaction of 4-chlorotoluene with morpholine at 30°C, the MeNAP precursors were benchmarked against state-of-the-art precatalysts (Scheme S1). The results show that [Pd(α-MeNAP)Br]$_2$ and [Pd(β-MeNAP)Br]$_2$ even outperform the best known allyl chloride catalysts such as Hazari’s tert-butylindenyldenyl complexes or Nolan’s cinnamyl systems. Both systems gave full conversion with >99% yield of the desired product 3aa after 4 h. The chloride-substituted complexes were somewhat less active but still performed very well.

In a stoichiometric reaction of [Pd(α-MeNAP)Br]$_2$, with ligand, base, and excess morpholine, the naphthylmethyl amine is formed, which suggests that the catalyst is activated by amination of the ligand (see S4.1). In the amination of 4-chlorotoluene with morpholine, a TON of 2650 was reached for 0.025 mol% [Pd(β-MeNAP)Br]$_2$, which confirms the longevity of the MeNAP catalysts.

We next chose some of the most difficult substrate combinations to elucidate the effect of the Pd–MeNAP precursors on Buchwald–Hartwig aminations. Aminations of sterically demanding aryl chlorides with tert-butylamine are known to pose substantial challenges. Even with the specialized ligand 9-[2-(dicyclohexylphosphino)phenyl]-2-ethoxy-9H-carbazole (dppec), a temperature of 110°C was required to get a moderate yield of 68% (Table 1, entry 1). When performing this reaction at room temperature in the presence of Pd(OAc)$_2$, Pd(db$_3$), or Pd–allyl complexes, and commercially available RuPhos ligand, only low yields were obtained. Solely Hazari’s indenyl precatalyst proved to be effective (Table 1). However, we were pleased to find that the α-MeNAP complexes gave near quantitative yields. This is the best result ever reported for this challenging substrate combination in a room temperature coupling. The β-MeNAP complexes were somewhat less effective. Thus, the longer allylic Pd–C bonds indeed seem to translate into higher catalytic activities. Other state-of-the-art catalysts were tested as well but did not give satisfactory yields (Table S4).

We went on to investigate a set of similarly challenging substrate combinations, for example, with long-chain aliphatic amines prone to undergo β-hydride eliminations (Table 2). In all cases, excellent yields were reached. These examples illustrate how α-MeNAP complexes as Pd-sources can take catalytic aminations beyond the level that is achievable with simple Pd-precursors. We found it is safe to assume that the catalyst will be at least as effective for simpler substrate combinations and directly turned our attention to other catalytic cross-couplings.

### Table 1: Buchwald–Hartwig amination with alkylamines.

| Entry | Pd-source | Ligand | 3bb [%] |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|
| 1     | Pd(OAc)$_2$ | dppec  | 68[a]   |
| 2     | “         | “      | traces  |
| 3     | Pd(db$_3$) | “      | 21      |
| 4     | [Pd(allyl)Cl]$_2$ | “      | 6       |
| 5     | [Pd(cinnamyl)Cl]$_2$ | “      | 13      |
| 6     | [Pd(4-Bu-indenyl)Cl]$_2$ | “      | 93      |
| 7     | [Pd(α-MeNAP)Cl]$_2$ | “      | >99     |
| 8     | [Pd(β-MeNAP)Br]$_2$ | “      | >99     |
| 9     | [Pd(α-MeNAP)Br]$_2$ | “      | 88      |
| 10    | “         | “      | 82      |

[a] Conditions: 0.5 mmol of 1b, 1.1 equiv 2b, 1 mol% [Pd], 1 mol% ligand, 1.5 equiv KOrBu, 2 mL THF, rt, 12 h. Yields determined via GC-analysis using n-undecane as internal standard. [b] Literature yield obtained at 110°C for 24 h.

The Heck reaction is another widely used Pd-catalyzed transformation, and of enormous importance for the synthesis of vinyl amines and dienes. The reaction is well developed, but the conversion of sterically demanding, deactivated aryl chlorides still seems challenging.

We chose the reaction of 2-chloroquinoline with bulky tert-butylic acrylate as our model system. We had experienced this substrate combination to be extremely challenging, and to the best of our knowledge, there is no literature report of their successful coupling. We started with conditions that Zhou et al. had reported to be effective in the coupling of 1-chlorobenzaldehyde, namely a Pd(OAc)$_2$/DavePhos catalyst system combined with n-Bu$_3$NOAc as the base (Table 3, entry 1). However, low yields were obtained even at 120°C. A screening of commercial ligands revealed that n-Bu$_3$P and in particular PAd$_3$n-Bu gave satisfactory yields (Table 3, entries 1–3). However, the reaction could be brought to full conversion only by optimizing the Pd-source. With Pd$_2$dba$_3$ or Pd–allyl complexes as Pd-precursors, a step-up in the yields was observed (Table 3, entries 4–8). However, the best results were once again achieved with [Pd(α-Me-
We conducted a brief study to probe the efficiency of the new precatalyst for other challenging Heck reactions. As can be seen from the examples in Table 4, various aryl chlorides were smoothly converted, including substrates with methyl, methoxy, and even the problematic formyl group in their ortho-positions. Most of the products had never before been synthesized from chloroarenes (5ca–5ga), others only in lower yields (5ba). These results underline the advantages of MeNAP complexes as catalyst precursors also for Heck reactions.

The α-arylation of ketones is another synthetically useful, widely used Pd-catalyzed C-C bond-forming process.[42] One of the few remaining challenges is the selective monoarylation of unsubstituted alkyl ketones with aryl chlorides. The main side reaction is the formation of polyarylated products.[43] Only few ligands including Beller’s PAd$_2$$_7$-$n$-$Bu$[44] and Gessner’s YPhos[9] allow the selective monoarylation of cyclohexanones with aryl chlorides. This is why we chose the reaction of 4-chlorotoluene with cyclohexanone as the model reaction for our comparative experiments (Table 5).

The ylide-functionalized phosphine ligand $Y_{cy}$PC$_2$ (keYPhos) under the reported conditions[45] led to reasonable yield and a near-complete selectivity. However, comparative experiments with commercially available ligands gave unsatisfactory results under these conditions, including even high-performance ligands such as PAd$_2$$_7$-$n$-$Bu$. The best yields were finally obtained with RuPhos, but in combination with Pd(COD)Cl$_2$, it gave only a moderate selectivity for monoaarylation.

As the identity of the precatalyst does not only affect catalyst performance, but also markedly improved the selectivity when using allyl complexes, it is conceivable that byproducts derived from the allyl systems during the activation process play a role in the catalytic reaction. This aspect has not been investigated yet. Once again, the MeNAP systems were found to compare favorably with the other palladium precursors tested. With [Pd(α-$Me$NAP)Cl$_2$], a yield of 87% was obtained, with unwanted diarylation byproduct not even detected in traces. The chloride complexes were found to be superior to the bromide complexes, and α-$Me$NAP was more efficient than β-$Me$NAP.

A brief screening of common ketone substrates reveals that the [Pd(α-$Me$NAP)Cl$_2$]/RuPhos system is generally applicable to ketone arylation. Unwanted diarylation products were not detected, confirming that the MeNAP markedly increases the selectivity towards monoarylation (Table 6).

We next moved our attention to Pd-catalyzed Negishi couplings, another widely used tool for the construction of C-C bonds.[11-14] State-of-the-art ligands include Buchwald’s and Knochel’s dialkybiaryl phosphines[45] Gessner’s YPhos[46] and Organ’s iPent ligand.[15,49] We chose the coupling of
electronic-rich, sterically hindered 2-chloroanisole (1d) with phenyl zinc bromide (8a) as the model reaction. Such ortho-methoxy-substituted products are rare in the scope tables of state-of-the-art Pd systems. At best a 25% yield of 9da had been achieved at 70°C using a Pd\((\text{allyl})\text{Cl}\)

catalyst system described in literature (Table 7, entry 1).\(^{[43]}\) At room temperature, this ligand was ineffective with Pd\(\text{dba}\), and gave low yields with Pd\((\text{OAc})\), as precursors, even when activating the zinc reagent with TMEDA.\(^{[44]}\) (Table 7, entries 1 and 2). Comparative experiments at 8 h reaction time revealed that allyl–Pd complexes, in particular [Pd(\text{cinnamyl})\text{Cl}], are effective catalyst precursors, but the best results were obtained with [Pd(\(\alpha\)-MeNAP)\text{Cl}]. When extending the reaction time to 16 hours quantitative yields were obtained with all MeNAP complexes (Table 7, entries 8–11). After 16 h, Pd(OAc)\(_2\) still gave unsatisfactory results, whereas the indenyl system was highly effective.

We next probed [Pd(\(\alpha\)-MeNAP)Br]\(_2\), for other challenging substrate combinations, and to our delight, various sterically demanding biaryls, even 9fa bearing two methoxy groups in ortho-position, were successfully coupled (Table 8). This result shows that optimization of catalytic reactions using MeNAP complexes can take them to efficiencies substantially beyond those reachable using standard precursors.

The Suzuki–Miyaura reaction is commonly used for the synthesis of biaryl compounds.\(^{[10b,50]}\) The few remaining challenges include couplings of alkyl compounds as well as room-temperature couplings of aryl chlorides leading to the formation of sterically extremely shielded, tetra-ortho-substituted compounds.\(^{[51]}\) Nolan's protocol based on electron-rich, sterically high demanding NH-ligand IPr\(^{\text{OMe}}\) in combination with [Pd(cinnamyl)\text{Cl}], has set records in this context.\(^{[20a,52]}\) In order to evaluate if even this highly developed system would benefit from the use of the MeNAP–Pd sources, we chose the coupling of 2-chloro-m-xylene with 2,4,6-trimethylphenylboronic acid as the model reaction and evaluated various Pd-sources under the conditions reported in the literature (Table 9).\(^{[20a]}\) The only adjustment made was the use of THF rather than DME as the solvent. This was necessary due to the low solubility of the methylnaphthyl dimers in DME.

As can be seen from Table 9, cinnamyl Pd precursors were confirmed to be most effective among the known systems. Neither simple Pd\(\text{dba}\), nor other allyl–Pd complexes gave satisfactory results. However, all four MeNAP complexes compared favorably to the other complexes tested as catalyst precursors. The desired product 11fa was formed in quantitative yields within 12 hours at room temperature in all cases.

Further investigations on the scope of the catalyst system are summarized in Table 10. We were pleased to find that the [Pd(\(\alpha\)-MeNAP)Br]\(_2\)/IPr\(^{\text{OMe}}\) catalyst promotes even the extremely challenging coupling of electron-rich, sterically hindered ortho,ortho-dimethoxy-substituted aryl chloride with 2,4,6-trimethylphenylboronic acid in near quantitative yield (11fa).

In a stoichiometric reaction of [Pd(\(\alpha\)-MeNAP)Br]\(_2\), with ligand, base, and the phenylboronic acid, the phenylated product was formed, which supports an activation process via arylation of the methylnaphthyl substituent (see S4.2).

---

**Table 7: Negishi coupling of aryl zinc bromides.\(^{[44]}\)**

| Entry | Pd-source | Additive | TMEDA | 9da [%] |
|-------|-----------|----------|--------|--------|
| 1     | Pd\(\text{dba}\) | – RuPhos | 25\(^{[43]}\) |
| 2     | – TMEDA  | RuPhos   | 0      |
| 3     | Pd\((\text{OAc})\) | 25 (36) |
| 4     | [Pd(\text{allyl})\text{Cl}] | 19      |
| 5     | [Pd(2-Me-allyl)\text{Cl}] | 35      |
| 6     | [Pd(cinnamyl)\text{Cl}] | 37      |
| 7     | [Pd(bu-indeny)\text{Cl}] | 31 (99) |
| 8     | Pd(\(\alpha\)-MeNAP)\text{Cl}) | 42 (>99) |
| 9     | Pd(\(\alpha\)-MeNAP)Br\(_2\) | 36 (>99) |
| 10    | Pd(\(\beta\)-MeNAP)\text{Cl}) | 35 (>99) |
| 11    | Pd(\(\beta\)-MeNAP)Br\(_2\) | 7 (>99)  |

\([a]\) Conditions: 0.5 mmol of 1d, 1.5 equiv 8a, 2 mol% Pd, 2 mol% ligand, 2.0 equiv TMEDA, 1.5 mL THF, rt, 8 h; value for 16 h in brackets. Yields determined via GC-analysis using undecane as internal standard. [b] At 70°C.

---

**Table 8: Negishi coupling of aryl zinc bromides.\(^{[44]}\)**

| 9da | 9hb | 9db |
|-----|-----|-----|
| 97% | 56% | 73% |

\([a]\) Conditions: 0.5 mmol of 1, 1.5 equiv 8, 2 mol% [Pd(\(\alpha\)-MeNAP)Br]\(_2\), 2 mol% RuPhos, 2.0 equiv TMEDA, 1.5 mL THF, rt, 16 h, isolated yields. Substituents arising from the aryl chloride are placed on the left-hand side.
Alkyl boronic acids are prone to autooxidation, which are less subject to protodeboronation but 1.5 equiv needed.\[55\]

Moreover, the alkyl palladium species resulting from this transmetalation step tend to undergo \(\beta\)-hydride elimination/reinsertion sequences resulting in the formation of alkenes or constitutional isomers of the desired products.\[56\]

The use of free boronic acids has only been demonstrated exemplarily, for example, by Bischof using a Pt-Bu\(_3\)-ligated palladacycle.\[59\] Carrow a PAd\(_3\)-ligated palladacycle,\[60\] and Hazari using the Pr-Bu\(_3\)-Yale precatalyst.\[21\] Isomerization could only be fully suppressed using the well-defined one-component catalysts, but not when starting from in situ generated complexes.\[27,57\]

We went on to address one of the remaining challenges in Suzuki reactions, namely the coupling of branched secondary alkyl boronic acids with aryl chlorides. Only a few examples of such couplings have been reported, none of them with a full scope.\[55,58\] Alkyl boronic acids are prone to autooxidation, form boroxines upon dehydration and undergo protodeboronation at elevated temperatures.\[54\] These side reactions take place since the transmetalation to the palladium center is slower for boronic acids than that of other organometallic reagents.\[59\]

Moreover, the alkyl palladium species resulting from this transmetalation step tend to undergo \(\beta\)-hydride elimination/reinsertion sequences resulting in the formation of alkenes or constitutional isomers of the desired products.\[56\]

We further probed the catalytic activity of the \(\alpha\)-MeNAP complexes in the coupling of aliphatic boronic acids by evaluating a wide range of substrate combinations (Table 12). Both electron-rich and electron-deficient aryl chlorides give high yields in the coupling with isopropylboronic acid. Common functional groups, including nitro, ester, and amino groups, are tolerated. The successful coupling of \textit{ortho},\textit{ortho}-dimethylphenyl chloride exemplifies the high efficiency of the catalyst with regard to steric hindrance. Various alkyl boronic acids were successfully coupled with 4-chloroanisole, including linear, branched, and cyclic substrates. In none of the cases, more than trace quantities of side products arising from isomerization, deboronation, or dehalogenation were obtained.

The new catalyst precursor is thus not only able to further improve known reactions, but can be an enabling factor in the development of new methods. The direct coupling of alkylboronic acids without activation step is a significant extension of Suzuki couplings with potential applications ranging from natural product synthesis to drug discovery.
Table 12: Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of alkyl boronic acids: scope of aryl chlorides (top), boronic acids (bottom).[a]

Figure and Table Descriptions

[a] Conditions: 0.5 mmol of 1, 1.5 equiv 10, 1 mol% [Pd(κ3-MeNAP)Br]2, 4 mol% Pt-Bu3HBF4, 3.0 equiv K2CO3, 1.5 mL toluene:H2O (2:1), 80 °C, 11 h, isolated yields. 11c was prepared on a 1 mmol scale. Substituents arising from the aryl chloride are placed on the left-hand side.

Conclusion

Methylnaphthyl palladium complexes were shown to be bench-stable, easy-to-use catalyst precursors with excellent performance. They are easily accessible in one step from commercial precursors and react smoothly with various ligands to give defined complexes. This allows to reliably access highly active monoligated catalysts. The versatile applicability of the new palladium sources was demonstrated in Buchwald–Hartwig amination, Heck vinylation, α-arylation as well as Negishi and Suzuki–Miyaura coupling. In the case of Buchwald–Hartwig amination, and Suzuki–Miyaura coupling, the effect of the methylnaphthyl precursor on the catalyst activity was particularly profound. In the case of Suzuki–Miyaura coupling, it even allowed the extension of the reaction to a new substrate class. The structures generated from 1-methylnaphthyl halides are recommended as the first choice. With regard to the halide, the situation is less clear. In most cases, the bromide complex Pd(κ3-MeNAP)Br2 was most efficient, but in ketone arylation, the chloride complex Pd(κ3-MeNAP)Cl2 gave best results.
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