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Abstract

The paper deals with the impact of the product distribution and information technology sectors on energy resource use, carbon emissions and economic growth by examining the long-run equilibrium relationships and Granger causal relationships among these variables in South Korea. The quarterly time series data from the first quarter of 1970 to the third quarter of 2010 (163 observations) are collected and retrieved from the Bank of Korea database. The paper examines the long-run equilibrium relationships using cointegration techniques and Granger causality using vector error correction models. Test results indicate a long-run equilibrium relationship exists among these variables. In testing directional causality, both the product distribution and the information technology sectors show direct effects on economic growth but only marginal effects on carbon emissions.
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1. Introduction

Since the early 1970s, South Korea has built up a remarkable record of economic growth and integration in the high-tech modern and knowledge economy. A competitive education system, a highly skilled and dedicated workforce and advances in information communications technology are widely acclaimed as key factors driving this knowledge economy. In recent years, however, a rapidly ageing population, international pressure for environmental sustainability initiative and widening gaps between and within industries are becoming increasingly apparent. Finding best solutions to these problems is one of the greatest challenges faced by policy makers of South Korea today.

Carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions are those stemming from the burning of fossil fuels and the manufacture of cement. They include carbon dioxide produced during consumption of solid, liquid and gas fuels and gas flaring. According to the International Energy Agency data from the World Energy Outlook 2009 report released in November 2010, CO2 emissions by South Korea grew by 113% between 1990 and 2007 the largest growth among the 34 member nations of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. In comparison, during the 17-year period, global CO2 emissions grew by 38%. South Korea ranked as the world’s ninth largest CO2 emitter with 488.7 million metric tons of CO2 in 2007, which is equivalent to about 10 metric tons per capita.

An annual publication of the Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center (Boden et al., 2011) reported that between 1970 and 2007 South Korea experienced phenomenal growth in fossil-fuel CO2 emissions with a growth rate that averaged 11.5% annually. Initial growth in emissions was owed to coal consumption, which still accounts for 46.9% of the fossil-fuel CO2 emissions of South Korea. Since the early 1970s oil consumption has been a major source of emissions. South Korea is the world’s fifth largest importer of crude oil. Natural gas also became a significant source of CO2 emissions after 1987 as South Korea increased imports of liquid natural gas. All these data are likely to put greater pressure on South Korea to commit to aggressive CO2 cuts ahead of the United Nations Climate Change Talks.

Reuters News (2011) reported that South Korea’s ruling and opposition parties had agreed to approve an emissions trade bill in an upcoming parliamentary review, expected later in 2011, according to the chairperson of the Presidential Committee on...
Green Growth. The chairperson also said that South Korea would implement a carbon tax and raise power prices as current prices drive excessive demand. After strong opposition from industry, in April 2011 the government finalized the draft of the carbon emissions trading scheme bill by increasing free carbon allowances and softening penalty rules for non-compliance. Green growth is a central policy of the administration of South Korean President Lee Myung-Bak, and the government aims to reduce greenhouse gas emissions voluntarily by 30% by 2020 from projected levels. On top of the emission trading scheme, the government would implement a carbon emission tax to cut pollution while also raising power prices. The government has planned on spending 27.5 trillion Korean won (equivalent to US$ 25.4 billion) over the next two decades on smart grids to make electricity distribution more efficient, reduce CO2 emissions and save US$ 26 billion in energy imports.

Economic growth is the increasing ability of a nation to produce more goods and services. Growth can occur in many different ways: for example, the increased use of land, labor, capital and business resources and increased productivity of existing resources. The use of information communications technology can facilitate the production of goods and services more efficiently and rapidly. The information communications technology infrastructure provides the framework for the efficient distribution of goods and services, improve communications between firms and spread to other industries. This further suggests the impact of the information communications technology sector in contributing to the economic growth of a country. The increased economic importance of information communications technology raises new questions for governments regarding the best policy frameworks to adopt in order to encourage information communications technology-led green growth.

This paper therefore considers sustainable and green growth prospects for South Korea. Does the growth of the information communications technology sector affect economic growth? Does the growth of the information communications technology sector result in an increase in CO2 emissions? Does the growth of the product distribution sector lead to economic growth? Does the growth of the product distribution sector cause an increase in CO2 emissions? These are vital questions if we are explicitly to disentangle the impact of the information communications technology and product distribution sectors on both energy resource use and green growth in the economy. Policy decisions will be more effective if they recognize these contextual relationships and how they relate and adapt to the existing country realities.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Economic Development, Energy Resource Use and Carbon Emissions

Is there a relationship between carbon emissions and economic development? The question is whether or not emission levels are connected with development levels. This line of research argues that the relationship between economic activity and carbon emissions may change as the economy moves through different stages of development. Economic activity may be a key driver of carbon emissions. Economic growth is often associated with high carbon emissions per unit of output. Many studies have examined the time-series dynamics between economic growth and CO2 emissions to infer the direction of causality cross-country (Coondoo & Dinda, 2008; Lee & Lee, 2009; Luzzati & Orsini, 2009). The general consensus of these studies is that there is a close correlation between economic growth and environmental degradation: when an economy grows, the quality of the environment declines.

However, a theory of how economic growth does not always contribute to environmental degradation is proposed. Grossman and Krueger (1995) propose the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis that postulates that the relationship between economic development and the environment resembles an inverted U-curve. Many researchers provide extensive reviews and empirical studies of the hypothesis (Dinda, 2004; Martinez-Zarzoso & Bengochea-Moranco, 2004; Soytas & Sari, 2009; Stern, 2004), especially in the United States (Soytas et al., 2007), in Turkey (Akbolstanci et al., 2009), in India (Managi & Jena, 2008), and in the BRIC countries (Tamazian et al., 2009). However, the empirical evidence remains mixed and is still inconclusive to date because a higher national income does not necessarily warrant greater efforts to contain the emissions of pollutants.

Empirically, the effect of energy resource use on economic growth has been established through the analysis of causality between energy consumption and GDP. The majority of these studies reveal a causal relationship between energy resource use and growth, especially in OECD countries (Lee et al., 2008), in G7 countries (Narayan & Smyth, 2008), in African countries (Akinlo, 2008; Wolde-Rufael, 2009), in South America (Yoo & Kwak, 2010), in the Middle East (Al-Iriani, 2006; Narayan & Smyth, 2009), in Asian countries (Chen et al., 2007; Lee & Chang, 2008), in the Commonwealth of Independent States (Apergis & Payne, 2010), in European countries (Ciarreta & Zarraga, 2010), in developing countries (Lee, 2005; Sari & Soytas, 2007), and in developed and developing countries (Chontanawat et al., 2008; Mahadevan & Asafu-Adjaye, 2007).
They find that economic growth exerts a causal influence on energy resource use in the long run, and energy resource use points to output growth in the short run. Though the general consensus of these studies is that there is a positive correlation between economic growth and energy resource use, some results have been mixed. For example, Huang, Hwang, and Yang (2008) and Costantini and Martini (2009) argue that the causal relationship between economic growth and energy consumption is mixed depending on the functional form adopted and the sample of countries analyzed.

More recently, some researchers have examined the time-series dynamics between income and CO2 emissions to infer the direction of causality. This question has been formulated in terms of causality and different econometric techniques have been used to examine the possible causal linkages between these two variables, for example, for the Commonwealth of Independent States (Apergis & Payne, 2010), for a panel of 109 countries (Lee & Lee, 2009), for a panel of 43 developing countries (Narayan & Narayan, 2010), and in developed countries (Coondoo & Dinda, 2002). However, the empirical results of the relationship between economic growth and CO2 emissions are mixed.

In this regard, many researchers employ a combined approach by examining the dynamic relationships between economic growth, energy resource use, and CO2 emissions together, especially in the EU (Keppler & Mansanet-Bataller, 2010), in Asian-Pacific countries (Niu et al., 2011), in the BRIC countries (Pao & Tsai, 2010), in France (Ang, 2007), in India (Ghosh, 2010), and in China (Zhang & Cheng, 2009). They find that economic growth is closely related to CO2 emissions, especially in the recent decade in emerging economies. The results of those studies show that there are different causal links between economic growth, energy resource use, and CO2 emissions at different stages of economic development (Dinda & Coondoo, 2006; Soyatas & Sari, 2009). In sum, it is expected that higher economic growth may require greater energy resource use and thus result in higher CO2 emissions. Given all these existing findings, the following hypothesis is considered:

Hypothesis 1: Economic growth is related to CO2 emissions.

2.2. Information Communications Technology, Economic Growth and CO2 Emissions

Economic growth reflects the ability of a nation to produce more goods and services. The use of information communications technology infrastructure enables goods and services to be produced and provided more efficiently and rapidly (Lam & Shiu, 2010). The information communications technology infrastructure provides a framework for the efficient delivery of goods, improves communications between firms, and spreads to other industries, thereby contributing to overall economic growth (Kourtroupis, 2009). They ease in the trade of goods and services and the creation of new businesses and jobs (Arvanitis & Loukis, 2009; Carayannis & Popescu, 2005). Hence, the development of information communications technology in many countries continues to attract the interest of their governments in view of its potential to contribute to economic growth.

There has been a considerable amount of empirical work on the economic impact of the information communications technology sector on overall economic growth. The broad conclusion of these studies is that a positive and significant link exists between the information communications technology sector and economic growth on the country level. For example, many studies have reported that the information communications technology sector is one of the main drivers of better economic growth or sustainable growth (Daveri & Silva, 2004; Lipsey & Carlaw, 2004) and higher productivity (Laursen, 2004; Pfeips, 2002). Thompson and Garbacz (2007) reported that the development of information communications technology has a significant positive impact on productivity growth for the world as a whole by improving the efficiency of how it and other resources are used.

Many studies have reported that information communications technology development plays an important role in regional economic growth, in particular, in industrialized countries (Colecchia & Schreyer, 2002; Dutta, 2001; Oliner & Sichel, 2000), in the United States (Cronin et al., 1993; Cronin et al., 1991; Wolde-Rufael, 2007) and in South Korea (Yoo & Kwak, 2004). They find that although causality is generally in both directions, the information communications technology sector more strongly precedes the economic growth of the nation. To conclude, this study expects that the information communications technology sector will play an important role in economic growth.

The relationship between economic growth, information communications technology, and energy resource use can be jointly determined because higher economic growth and information communications technology development require more energy resource use. Moreover, the relationship between energy resource use with the particular sectors of the economy and environmental degradation has not gained much attention. The information communications technology sector is one of them. So far, there is no systematic time series that analyzes the relationship between the information communications technology sector, CO2 emissions and economic growth. The development of information communications technology infrastructure and the use of information communications technology products can contribute to economic growth while leading to an increase in energy resource use resulting in higher CO2 emissions. For example, the increased use of information communications technology products and the development of information communications technology infrastructure result in higher demand for energy at
various functions which leads to environmental degradation. Furthermore, an investigation of the relationship between the information communications technology sector, energy resource use, and environmental degradation should be of interest to both policy makers and practitioners. Hence, the following hypotheses are formulated:

Hypothesis 2: The growth of the information communications technology sector leads to economic growth.

Hypothesis 3: The growth of the information communications technology sector is related to an increase in CO2 emissions.

2.3. Product Distribution, Resources Use and Environmental Issues

Product distribution is the process of making a product or service available for use or consumption by a consumer or business user. Wholesale and retail distribution remains the most significant channel to the market for manufacturers and the most important supply chain for customers. Thus, supply chain management usually takes into consideration issues of minimizing end cost, efficient logistical aspects, and timely delivery of goods (Cox, 1999). At the beginning of the twenty-first century, however, a shift in focus has been observed. For example, business chain partners were formed to participate in implementing environmentally friendly practices that reduce waste and pollution. Terms such as green or environmental purchasing (Min and Galle, 1997; Walton et al., 1998), green value chain practices (Handheld et al., 1997), spectrum of environmental management programs (Beckman et al., 2001), and green supply (Bowen et al., 2001) are used to characterize environmental aspects of supplier arrangements; all of these implicitly or explicitly focus on improved environmental performance through better supply chain management.

A number of authors have recognized the link between environmental management issues and supply chains (Corbett & Klassen, 2006; Khoo et al., 2002). Lamming and Hampson (1996) draw parallels between environmental management practices and supply chain management practices. Hall (2002) argues that there are elements such as product and operational life-cycles, which relate to supply chain and environmental issues. Green et al. (1996) argue that green supply, the way in which innovations in supply chain management and industrial purchasing can be considered in the context of the environment, has greater potential to address environmental concerns than any other operational functions. Bowen et al. (2001) find that supply management capabilities impact on product-based initiatives directed toward improving environmental performance. Green et al. (1998) stress the influence that service firms, particularly in the retail sector, can exert on the environmental practices of manufacturers.

In a similar vein, Florida and Davison (2001) argue that close relationships across the production chain facilitate the adoption of advanced manufacturing practices, creating new opportunities for joint improvements in productivity and environmental outcomes. Hampson and Johnson (1996) argue that environmental issues can be related to overall business efficiency. They also note that interest in environmental supply chains is based on increased awareness of environmental issues, the increasingly strategic importance of purchasing and trends toward cooperation and partnership approaches between customers and suppliers. In sum, an investigation of the relationship between the growth of the product distribution sector, economic growth, and environmental degradation should be of interest to both policy makers and practitioners. Hence, the following hypotheses are formulated:

Hypothesis 4: The growth of the product distribution sector results in an increase in CO2 emissions.

Hypothesis 5: There is a long-run equilibrium relationship between the information communications technology sector, the product distribution sector, economic growth and CO2 emissions.

3. Data

This section describes data and outlines the methodology used in the development or selection of indicators and the normalization of data.

CO2. CO2 emissions are those stemming from the burning of fossil fuels and the manufacture of cement. They include carbon dioxide produced during consumption of solid, liquid and gas fuels and gas flaring. The data used in the model are presented on a quarterly basis, transformed from the annual data reported by International Energy Agency.

GDP. GDP is used to measure economic growth. GDP represents gross domestic product at market prices. These statistics are collected and published quarterly by the Bank of Korea.

Information Communications Technology. Information communications technology represents the economic output of information communications technology industry at market prices, including communication and publishing, broadcasting, film and information services. These statistics are collected and published quarterly by the Bank of Korea.

Product Distribution. Product distribution represents the economic output of the product distribution sector at market prices, including the wholesale and retail trade, restaurants and hotels, transport and storage. These statistics are collected and published quarterly by the Bank of Korea.

All these three economic series data are collected and re-
retrieved from the Bank of Korea Economic Statistics System (http://ecos.bok.or.kr) database published by the Bank of Korea. The sample is restricted to those periods for which quarterly data are available, from the first quarter of 1970 to the third quarter of 2010 (163 observations). Normalization of the data is necessary before any aggregation can be made. It is important to transform the values to the same unit of measurement as CO2 emissions are expressed as metric tons whereas the other indicators are expressed as billion Korean won. Therefore, transformation into a natural log mitigates possible distortions of the dynamic properties of the series. Table 1 displays descriptive statistics along with various summary statistics for the time series. Table 2 displays the results of Pearson correlation analysis among the time series.

**Table 1** Descriptive Statistics of Sample Series

|        | GDP     | CO2²    | Distribution | ICT¹  |
|--------|---------|---------|--------------|-------|
| Mean   | 108,307 | 65.5    | 9,103        | 3,091 |
| Median | 91,567  | 57.3    | 8,718        | 1,124 |
| Maximum| 262,433 | 128.8   | 19,164       | 11,292|
| Minimum| 12,807  | 13.1    | 1,305        | 51    |
| Std. Dev.| 77,069 | 39.8    | 5,606        | 3,613 |
| Observations | 163   | 163     | 163          | 163   |

¹ ICT refers information communications technology
² CO2 is in million metric tons. All units are in billion Korean won.

**4. Methodology**

**4.1. Unit Root Test**

It is recognized in the literature that the data generating process for many economic variables is characterized by stochastic trends that might result in spurious inference if the time series properties are not carefully investigated. A time series is said to be stationary if the auto covariances of the series do not depend on time. The formal method for testing the stationarity of a series is the unit root test. There are several well-known tests for this purpose based on individual time series. They are the augmented Dickey-Fuller (1979), Phillips-Perron (1988), GLS-detrended Dickey-Fuller (Elliot et al., 1996), Elliott-Rothenberg-Stock's Point Optimal (Elliot et al., 1996), and Ng and Perron's (2001) unit root tests. The unit root tests described above test the null hypothesis: a series has a unit root (non-stationary). Kwiatkowski et al. (1992) propose a different approach from the unit root tests described above in that the series is assumed to be stationary under the null hypothesis.

Table 3 reports the results of unit root tests. All the test equations were tested by the least squares method. The automatic lag length selection was based on the Schwarz information criterion and the optimal lag in the tests was automatically selected based on the Schwarz information criterion. The bandwidth for the tests was selected based on the Newey-West (1994) estimator using the Bartlett kernel function. The null hypothesis of a unit root cannot be rejected in the level of the series, but all null hypotheses of a unit root are rejected in the first difference of the series. The results in Table 3 confirm that all series are integrated in the order of one.

**Table 3** Results of Unit Root Test

| Methods   | GDP(0) | CO2(0) | Distribution(0) | ICT(0) |
|-----------|--------|--------|-----------------|--------|
| ADF test  |        |        |                 |        |
| -2.206    | -2.513 | -1.574 | -2.457          |        |
| -3.576*** | -4.727*** | -4.872*** | -3.931***      |        |
| -2.379    | -2.254 | -2.508 | -2.453          |        |
| PP test   |        |        |                 |        |
| -48.222*** | -14.564*** | -23.498*** | -16.560***      |        |
| 0.823     | 1.125  | 1.791  | 0.795           |        |
| DF-GLS    |        |        |                 |        |
| -2.481**  | -3.685*** | -0.077*** | -3.566***      |        |
| 3.764     | 3.830  | 3.243  | 6.543           |        |
| NP test   |        |        |                 |        |
| -2.632**  | -5.853*** | -2.280** | -4.896***      |        |
| 1.571***  | 1.549*** | 1.576*** | 1.576***       |        |
| KPSS test¹ | 0.331  | 0.313  | 0.347           | 0.336  |

¹ In the KPSS test, probability values for rejection of the null hypothesis are based on Kwiatkowski, Phillips, Schmidt and Shin (1992) LM statistic p-values (***, p-value < 0.01 and **, p-value < 0.05).
4.2. Cointegration Test

Engle and Granger (1987) point out that a linear combination of two or more non-stationary series may be stationary. If such a stationary linear combination exists, the non-stationary time series are said to be cointegrated. The stationary linear combination is called the cointegrating equation and may be interpreted as a long-run equilibrium relationship among the variables. There are several tools for testing for the presence of cointegrating relationships among non-stationary variables in a multivariate setting. They are the Johansen (1991) cointegration test, and the Engle-Granger (1987) and Phillips-Ouliaris (1990) residual-based cointegration tests.

The Engle-Granger and Phillips-Ouliaris tests obtain only single cointegration relationship based on ordinary least squares, whereas it is possible to obtain more than one cointegration relationship with the Johansen test, which is a maximum likelihood-based test. The Johansen procedure uses two ratio tests, a trace test and a maximum eigenvalue test, to test the number of cointegration relationships. Both can be used to determine the number of cointegrating vectors present, although they do not always indicate the same number of cointegrating vectors. If trace statistics and maximum eigenvalue statistics yield different results, the result of the maximum eigenvalue test is preferred because of the benefit of carrying out separate tests on each eigenvalue.

Table 4. Results of Johansen Cointegration Test

| Number of cointegration (r) | Trace statistic | Maximum eigenvalue statistic |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| r = 0                      | 74.507***      | 34.790***                   |
| r ≤ 1                      | 39.716***      | 26.345***                   |
| r ≤ 2                      | 13.371         | 8.515                       |
| r ≤ 3                      | 2.855          | 2.855                       |

Note: The probability value for rejection of the null hypothesis of no cointegration is based on the MacKinnon-Haug-Michelis (1999) p-values (***, p-value < 0.01 and **, p-value < 0.05).

4.3. Granger Causality Test

The conventional modeling techniques for testing the direction of Granger causality in a multivariate setting employ vector autoregressive and vector error correction models. Engle and Granger (1987) and Granger (1988) report that if two or more variables are cointegrated, there always exists a corresponding error correction representation in which the short-run dynamics of the variables in the system are influenced by the deviation from equilibrium. The vector error correction model is a technique that captures both the dynamic and the interdependent relationships of regressors, and corrects a disequilibrium that may shock the whole system. The vector error correction model implies that changes in one variable are a function of the level of disequilibrium in the cointegrating relationship as well as changes in the other explanatory variables. Therefore, a vector error correction model can be constructed as shown in Equation 1 and 2.

\[
\Delta \ln \text{GDP}_t = \alpha_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \beta_{1i} \Delta \ln \text{GDP}_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \beta_{2i} \Delta \ln \text{ICT}_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \beta_{3i} \Delta \ln \text{Distribution}_{t-i} + \gamma_{11} \Delta \ln \text{GDP}_{t-1} + \gamma_{21} \Delta \ln \text{\text{CO2}}_{t-1} + ECT_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t
\]

(1)

\[
\Delta \ln \text{CO2}_t = \alpha_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \beta_{4i} \Delta \ln \text{GDP}_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \beta_{5i} \Delta \ln \text{ICT}_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \beta_{6i} \Delta \ln \text{Distribution}_{t-i} + \gamma_{12} \Delta \ln \text{CO2}_{t-1} + \gamma_{22} \Delta \ln \text{\text{GDP}}_{t-1} + ECT_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t
\]

(2)

where \( t \) represents 1, 2, 3... \( n \) observations; \( \Delta \) is the difference operator; \( \alpha \) is the deterministic component (constant); \( \beta, \gamma \) and \( \theta \) are the parameters of regressors; \( ECT_{t-1} \) is the error correction term obtained from the cointegrating vectors.

The vector error correction model can distinguish between short-run and long-run Granger causality because it can capture both the short-run dynamics between the time series and their long-run equilibrium relationship. The long-run causality is implied through the significance of the t-statistics of the lagged error correction terms. The short-run Granger causality in the vector error correction model can be tested by the Wald test. The Block exogeneity Wald test in the vector error correction system...
provides Chi-squared statistics of coefficients on the lagged endogenous variables, which are used to interpret the statistical significance of coefficients of the regressors. The hypothesis in this test is that lagged endogenous variables do not Granger-cause the dependent variable.

Table 5 reports the results of Granger causality tests using vector error correction models. In Table 5, the numeric values in the cells are the coefficients of the regressors, which represent the short-run elasticity, and are followed by standard errors in parenthesis, which are used to interpret the statistical significance of the parameters. In testing Hypothesis 1 that economic growth is related to an increase in CO2 emissions, Table 5 shows that this is supported and statistically significant at the 0.05 level. The results indicate that there exists an inverse relationship between CO2 emissions and economic growth. For example, a 1% increase in CO2 emissions contributes to economic growth by -0.377% whereas economic growth only marginally affects an increase in CO2 emissions. This finding confirms the environmental Kuznets inverted U-curve hypothesis that when rising incomes pass beyond a turning point environmental pollution levels begin to decline as higher national income warrants greater efforts to contain the emissions of pollutants. It appears that South Korea might have been on the right path to environmental sustainability while continuing sustainable economic growth.

In testing Hypothesis 2 and 3, i.e. whether there is a causal relationship from the information communications technology sector to economic growth and CO2 emissions, Table 5 shows that the information communications technology sector has a positive relation with economic growth but an insignificant impact on the increase in CO2 emissions. It suggests that a 1% increase in the information communications technology sector increases economic growth by 0.136% and contributes to CO2 emissions by -0.003%. It appears that the information communications technology sector might have been on the right path to environmental sustainability while continuing sustainable economic growth.

Hypothesis 4 testing whether there is a causal relationship from the growth of the product distribution sector to CO2 emissions is supported and statistically significant at the 0.01 level. Table 5 shows that the growth of the product distribution sector has a positive effect on economic growth but an inverse relation with CO2 emissions. It suggests that a 1% increase in the product distribution sector output increases economic growth by 0.486% and contributes to CO2 emissions by -0.134%. It appears that the production distribution sector might have been on the right path to environmental sustainability while reasonably managing environmental sustainability and the green growth initiative.

5. Discussion and Policy Implications

The results of this study show that the product distribution sector (including wholesale and retail distributors, transport and storage operators) in South Korea is a leader in using information technology to reduce low value-added labor activities and at the same time improving clean low-carbon supply chain activities. There are a variety of information communications technology-enabled distribution solutions, such as cutting-edge bar codes, point-of-sale systems, advanced data collection, radio-frequency identification compliance systems, automated labeling systems, and enterprise mobility computing technology. The build-out of cellular wide area networks across the country and the advances in mobile computing applications have moved route accounting and direct store delivery to the forefront of business practices. Combining leading edge technology such as wide area network cellular networking, global positioning systems and navigation solutions, as well as the software to centralize them all, information communications technology-enabled distribution solutions help product distribution organizations to facilitate more effective planning, scheduling and operation of their product distribution and transportation fleet. All these information communications technology-enabled distribution solutions help distributors to consolidate efficiencies across their entire supply chain by empowering their partners with accurate and real-time data. This improves productivity while reducing unnecessary expenses, giving the distributors the edge they need to stay ahead of the competition. This may have considerable bearing on why productivity improvement in production distribution has consistently exceeded the overall business sector in South Korea.

The information communications technology sector lends itself
to new models of profitable services so businesses will find it lucrative to innovate in this space. The green use of information communications technology, coupled with innovative business models and progressive policy making, will play a critical role in the reduction of CO2 emissions. The offerings of information communications technology may address environmental sustainability concerns in ways that can also be aligned with social and economic goals. There is a growing role for information communications technology in the pursuit of green goals because the government and local authorities are increasingly expected to focus on environmental sustainability and green growth. The roles of information communications technology can facilitate smart information management and lead to the creation of new services that benefit companies, society and the government. As an important contributor to the sustainable growth of South Korea, the information communications technology sector seems to have played a leading role in fostering environmental and economic sustainability both within its own sector and as an industry wide infrastructure. The information communications technology sector in South Korea would be an important enabler of sustainable and green growth in such a context. Its unique function as a key element of infrastructure for efficient industries and a critical productivity enhancer is crucial for sustaining growth and laying foundations for the economy that should be competitive in the long term.

Green growth is a term to describe a path of economic growth that uses natural resources in a sustainable manner, and makes a cleaner low-carbon economy compatible with growth. It can be used globally to provide an updated concept of sustainable development. The challenges of sustainable development have convinced many countries that a different kind of economic growth is needed. In response, many governments are putting in place measures aimed at green growth. Together with innovation, going green can be a long term driver for economic growth: for example, investing in renewable energy, improved efficiency in the use of energy and materials, and improved productivity of industrial sectors. According to a publication of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2011), a green growth strategy is centered on mutually reinforcing aspects of economic and environmental policy. It takes into account the full value of natural capital as a factor of production and its role in growth. It focuses on cost-effective ways of attenuating environmental pressures to effect a transition toward new patterns of growth that will avoid crossing critical local, regional and global environmental thresholds. Innovation and information communications technology will play key roles in the path.

In the meantime, in business today, companies cannot ignore environmental issues. Increasing government regulation and stronger public mandates for environmental accountability have brought these issues into the executive suite and onto strategic planning agendas. At the same time, companies are integrating their supply chain processes to lower costs and better serve customers. These two trends are not independent; companies must involve suppliers and purchasers to meet and even exceed the environmental expectations of their customers and their government. Two additional themes which emerge from this research are the importance of the industry’s commitment to environmentally friendly supply chains, and the need to move beyond environmental compliance to achieve a proactive environment-friendly supply chain. This is a natural outgrowth of industrial ecology, with its holistic view of material and energy flows and the concomitant aim to reduce the environmental impact of products from cradle to grave.

The discussion above implies that actors and actor coalitions are important and that there is increasing evidence of multi level patterns of governance and industry-wide networks of influence on environmental issues. Green growth strategies need to encourage greener behavior by firms and consumers, facilitate smooth and just reallocation of jobs, capital and technology toward greener activities and provide adequate incentives and support to green innovation. Misguided government policies, market constraints and distortions all lead to or arise from market failures, which means there is often a gap between private returns from economic activity and the overall benefits that accrue to society. Green growth policies should aim to close that gap and raise returns on green investment and innovation. They must also aim to minimize the distributional consequences of change for the least advantaged groups of society and manage any negative economic impacts on firms while retaining incentives for improved economic performance. Emphasis should be placed on sectors with high potential for green job creation or employment that contributes to protecting and preserving the environment. It is critical to identifying how these activities can accelerate the transition to green growth.

From a policy viewpoint, governments must design strategies that will allow the nations to move from their present processes of growth and development onto sustainable development paths. This will require policy changes in many countries, with respect both to their own development and to their impacts on other nations’ development possibilities. Therefore, critical objectives for environment and development policies that follow the concept of sustainable development include reviving growth, changing the quality of growth, and integrating the environment and economics into decision making. Of course, countries will take different approaches in designing policies to shift to a green economy, depending on their policy settings and institutions, level of development and resource endowments, and the particular environmental challenges they face. A common consideration must ensure that green economy measures are cost-effective and pro-
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mote new ways of addressing environmental problems through innovation.

Alongside the wide range of measures to promote the transition to a green economy, some countries have used market mechanisms such as taxes and tradable permit schemes to put a price on pollution or overexploitation of natural resources. These instruments have helped guide consumers and producers toward decisions that result in less pollution or at least slower depletion of natural resources. They have also given firms incentives to find innovative ways of tackling environmental challenges. Some countries have also relied on environmental requirements to improve the efficiency of resource use and to reduce pollutants by setting technical specifications to improve energy efficiency or emission performance. Many countries have relied on government support to foster innovation and the deployment of green technologies. Green economy measures such as those that foster a low carbon economy or promote green consumers and producers could be used as an accelerator to ensure that open trade continues to support the efforts to bring about a green economy.

Recognizing the difficulty and limitations of trying to directly control their domestic economies in an increasingly open and globalized economy, governments may try to pursue economic growth through strategic policies. These policies are designed to increase access to foreign markets, encourage foreign investment inflows and maintain national competitiveness. In this respect, economic growth is often associated with specialization in a few sectors with high CO2 emissions per unit of output such as the manufacturing and heavy industries. As a consequence, as the economy expands, demand for and supply of energy and of energy-intensive goods also increases, pushing up CO2 emissions. Therefore, new policies must be different from those pursued in the past as they not only have to lead to high growth rates but must also lead to sustained employment generation and structural changes in the industries of the economy. In order to implement such an approach, empirical analyses are needed to estimate future associated emissions and the current and future mitigation potential of development actions. This would provide an initial guide for ranking sustainable development actions. Policy makers can then weigh the emissions reduction potential against other sustainability aspects of the action in choosing the appropriate policy to implement.

6. Conclusions

This study investigated a long-run equilibrium relationship and Granger causality between the information communications technology sector, the production distribution sector, CO2 emissions and economic growth in South Korea. The results indicate that both the information communications technology and production distribution sectors have played a leading role in fostering environmental and economic sustainability both within their own sector and within the overall economy of South Korea and at the same time acted as an important contributor to the green growth of the economy. On the other hand, economic growth may drive technological change, increase efficiency and foster the development of institutions and preferences more conducive to environmental protection and emissions mitigation. Comparing the trends in the efficiency of energy use by the information communications technology sector and the efficiency of information communications technology use by the product distribution sector and CO2 emission change per unit GDP in South Korea, this study demonstrates the importance of technological progress in terms of energy saving and CO2 emission reduction, thereby enabling useful policy decisions for green growth.

The results of this study should be interpreted with caution as only two selected industries are included in the model and data for only a single country are investigated. Work to date suggests that environmental and resource productivity has been rising, although there are significant differences between industries. Growth of GDP and other measures of output tend to outstrip the growth of environmental inputs into the production system. Improved environmental productivity, however, has not been accompanied by absolute decreases in environmental pressure or the sustainable use of certain natural assets. In this context, indicators that measure the green economy also need to be interpreted carefully. Judged simply by the size of the industries involved in the production of cleaner low-carbon environmental goods and services, today’s green economy is relatively small. Economic opportunities, entrepreneurship and innovation in conjunction with green growth can arise in all sectors, however, so an assessment based on green industries underestimates the economic importance of environmentally related activities.
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