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Abstract

The organic fertilizers such as urban wet-waste compost and vermicompost can improve the physicochemical properties of soil and have a favourite effect on plants growth due to the high nutrient content, high water holding capacity, plant growth regulators, and beneficial microorganisms. In this regard, this study was conducted to evaluate the organic fertilizer mixture on the physiological and morphological indices of tomato seedlings under greenhouse conditions. The experiment was done as factorial in a completely randomized design with 3 replications. The evaluated factors included the vermicompost fertilizer (0, 10, 20, 30 wt %), and the urban wet-waste compost (0, 10, 20, 30 wt %). In evaluation of the simple-effects of vermicompost and urban wet-waste compost the results showed that the 30 wt % level compared to the control sample increased the leaf area (+12.28% and +9.33%). It also increased the number of leaves (+17.5% and +22.9%), dry weight of root (+17.3% and +16.9%), chlorophyll-b content (+4.9% and +12.3 %), carotenoids (+2.9% and +7.9 %), and the total chlorophyll content (+23.7% and +13.8%). Results of evaluating the treatments showed that the vermicompost and urban wet-waste compost mixture in 30 wt % level (V1C4) caused significant increase in the plant height, leaf dry weight, root length, relative water content, cell membrane stability coefficient, efficiency of photochemical performance of PSII and the chlorophyll-a content (compared to other treatments especially low levels of organic fertilizer consumption). According to the final results of this study, using the vermicompost and urban wet waste compost mixture in 30 wt % level is recommended to improve the morphological and physiological traits of tomato in greenhouse conditions.
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Introduction

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. syn. *Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is one of most important cultivated plant in the world, and is one of most important greenhouse vegetables (Ejaz *et al.*, 2011). It is too an important source of antioxidants (such as Carotenoids and Lycopene), polyphenols and organic acids (Giovanelli and Paradiso, 2002). Meanwhile the regular consumption of tomato leads to the reduction of the cardiovascular disease, prostate cancers, and maintaining the balance of acid and alkali in the body (Ejaz *et al.,* 2011).
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2011), what’s more its consumption is recommended for the digestive system enhancement, patients with arthritis and rheumatism particularly due to its high vitamin and mineral contents (Xie et al., 2007). Tomato production in Iran is estimated at 5 million and 800,000 tons annually which is 4.3% of the global production. In recent years, the average yield of tomato in Iran was about 25 tons ha⁻¹ which is lower than the global average (Sajadi Nik et al., 2011). The most important reason for the reduction of the tomato production in Iran is related for the improper use of soil, inability to properly control pests and diseases, the absence of a suitable template and guide for consumption of organic fertilizers, and finally the recent water shortages in the country.

Using of organic fertilizers such as urban wet-waste compost and vermicompost is an appropriate method to increase and maintain the soil organic matters, improvement of depleted soil, and supplying the nutrients required by the plants (Mylavarapu and Zinati, 2009; Amiri et al., 2017). Composting is the method to convert the urban wet-waste to usable material that is produced by aerobic process and the organic matter recycling (Ahmadpour and Hosseinzadeh, 2017). The vermicompost is also produced by an earthworm from Lumbricidae family, during the non-thermal process and is used as the most important purifier and modifier of soil in agriculture and greenhouse cultures. This is due to its high-water holding capacity and humus materials (Hosseinzadeh et al., 2016). The humus material (humic acid and fulvic acid) are formed the 70% of soil organic matter, and have many nutritional elements that lead to increase the plants nutrients availability and their growth and functional properties (Cimrin and Yilmaz, 2005). The organic fertilizers such as compost and vermicompost play an important role in production of plant growth regulators such as auxin and cytokinin by increasing the microbial communities in the soil and the microorganisms activity. During their growth, these materials cause to increase the height, number of lateral branches, leaf number, flowering, and production (Atiyeh et al., 2001; Amiri et al., 2017). Furthermore, the organic fertilizers lead to increase the stability of agriculture production because they have positive effects on the soil’s physical properties including the permeability increasing, specific gravity (density) reduction, increasing the water holding capacity, improving the microbial activity and increasing the nutrient content in soil (Marinari et al., 2000; Huerta et al., 2010). In the study of the morphologic and functional properties of tomato, it is reported that vermicompost increased the soil enzymes activity especially phosphatase and urease. This increase will ultimately play an important role in yield and production improvement of tomato by increasing the available nutrient content (Yanga et al., 2015). In an experiment, researchers reported that adding an appropriate rate of vermicompost to the soil as well as by increasing the nutrient contents (especially nitrogen) caused to significant increase in the morphologic properties of beans, corn and peas (Samiran et al., 2010; Amiri et al., 2017). In the case of experimenting on the greenhouse cucumber, it was similarly reported that adding the urban wet-waste compost at all levels to the soil caused to significant increase of bush height, leaf number, and flower and fruit number in this plant (Dolgen et al., 2007). In the study of tomato, lettuce and pepper, it was observed that the 8 and 10% levels of urban-waste compost caused to increase the growth (bush height, root length, leaf number and lateral branch) and yield (dry weight of bush and produce) in these plants (Wilson et al., 1989). Several studies on the urban-waste compost believe that it included a significant content of micro-elements which could form the organic chelates with organic matters which subsequently caused an increase in the solubility and absorbency of nutrients in soil (Lakhdar et al., 2009).

Organic fertilizers (compost and vermicompost) are the most appropriate alternative for chemical fertilizers and fungicides. These are considered as the best treatments in organic agriculture with the aim of producing the non-chemical productions. Considering the nutritional and economic value of tomato and whereas that choosing the substrate of this plant in greenhouse has special importance. This study was conducted to evaluate the organic matters effects on most important physiologic (i.e. relative water content, leaf cell membrane stability, chlorophyll a, b, carotenoids, total chlorophyll, and efficiency of photochemical performance of PSII) and morphologic (i.e. plant height, leaf number and area, leaf dry weight, root length and root dry weight) parameters. The main aim of this study was therefore the selecting the appropriate fertilizer to culture this plant in the greenhouse condition.
Materials and Methods

In order to evaluate the organic fertilizers effects on tomato morpho-physiologic indices, an experiment was conducted as factorial based on a completely randomized design (CRD) with three replications in Behbahan Khatam Aliaa University of Technology. The studied treatments included: a) vermicompost and soil in four levels, 0:100 (V₁), 10:90 (V₂), 20:80 (V₃), and 30:70 (V₄) Wt % (respectively, was equivalent to 0:2500 g, 250:2250 g, 500:2000 g, and 750:1750 g). b) the different ratios of urban wet waste compost and soil in 4 levels 0:100 (C₁), 10:90 (C₂), 20:80 (C₃), and 30:70 (C₄) Wt % (respectively, was equivalent to 0:2500 g, 250:2250 g, 500:2000 g, and 750:1750 g). The properties of applied soil and fertilizers are shown in Table 1.

| Sample    | EC (ds m⁻¹) | pH  | C/N | P (%) | Ca (%) | K (%) | Fe (%) | Total N (%) | Mg (%) |
|-----------|-------------|-----|-----|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------------|--------|
| Vermicompost | 1.3        | 7.1 | 12.8 | 1.5   | 4.5    | 1.6   | 0.5     | 1.9         | 0.5    |
| Soil | 0.4 | 7.5 | 15.1 | 0.03 | 1.2    | 0.4   | 0.012   | 0.5         | 0.01   |
| Compost | 2.5 | 7.9 | 21.1 | 1.3   | 5.5    | 1.5   | 0.6     | 1.5         | 1.3    |

The compost and vermicompost was prepared from Arman Karan Zobde CO in Tehran. Each pot with 2.5 Kg capacity of soil was considered as an experimental unit in which the tomato culture was done along with preparation of mentioned fertilizers and soil ratios. The used tomato variety was the Mobil cultivar and was provided from Pakan Bazr CO. from the city of Isfahan. The 300 homogeneous and monotonous seeds were selected and placed in a petri dish that was covered with filter paper, after 4 days, the seeds germinated and the basis of germination was emerging the radical (embryonic root) and hypocotyl (young shoot) from seeds. The embryo (little seedlings) having a duration of 4 days lifetime (the two-leaf embryos was selected equally) was transferred to the 48 pot, and places in the greenhouse conditions (25 °C in days and 20 °C in nights, 12 hours light and 12 hours darkness). Irrigation of plants was then carried out once every two days by weighing the pots at the field capacity level until the end of the experiment. In addition to regular irrigation of the pots they were all fed weekly with 150 ml Hoagland solution for 4 weeks in order to increase the strength and proper establishment of seedlings. Since the main aim of this experiment was to study the physiological and morphological properties, which are the basis of yield and plants functional components, the harvesting of plants was thus done 50 days after culturing.

Measurement of morphological parameters: after harvesting the plants, the number of leaves in each pot was counted. To measure the height of the bush, a measuring ruler was used. To measure the dry weight of root and leaf, the samples were dried in an oven for 48 hours and 72 °C, and then the dry weight was measured by using a digital scale (with precision 0.001). The leaf area was determined by leaf area measurement device as portable and the second, third and fourth leaves were selected for measurement (KR3000; Leaf Area Meter; Korea Tech Inc Suwon., Korea).

Measurement of relative water contents, cell membrane stability, and PSII efficiency (Fᵥ/Fₘ)

In order to measure the relative water contents, the Bian and Jiang (2008) method was applied. The 0.5 g leaf was harvested from each treatment and was soaked in water for 48 hours. Then leaves were brought out from the water and their surfaces were dried using a tissue paper and then their weight was noted. After that, these leaves were dried in an oven for 48 hours after which they were weighted again. By measuring the above weight indices, via equation (1), this characteristic was determined for each treatment.

\[ RWC = \frac{FW - DW}{TW - DW} \times 100 \]

In this equation, the RWC is relative water content, FW is fresh weight of leaf, DW is dry weight of leaf, TW is the weight of leaf in complete turgescence.
In order to measure the leaf cell membrane stability a 0.2 g leaf was harvested from each treatment, and placed in two groups of test tube containing 10 ml of distilled water. One group of the test tube was placed in 40 °C water bath (Model WNB 14, Memmert Instrument, Germany) for 30 minutes and another group was placed in 100 °C water bath for 10 minutes. After reducing the tubes temperature to ambient temperature, the samples electrical conductivity is determined by electric conductivity meter (Model RS232, AZ Instrument Corp, Taiwan), and then according to the equation (2), this index was calculated by Sairam and Saxena (2001) method.

\[
MSI = 1 - \frac{EC_{water\ in\ 40\ ^\circ C}}{EC_{water\ in\ 100\ ^\circ C}}
\]

The photochemical efficiency of PSII (F\textsubscript{v}/F\textsubscript{m}), was determined by Chlorophyll fluorometer (Pocket PEA, Hansatech, Instruments Ltd., King’s Lynn, Norfolk, England). The measurement was done by using special clips of the device that puts the leaves surface in darkness condition for 20 minutes. After this time, the F\textsubscript{v}/F\textsubscript{m} was read automatically 6 times for each treatment, by connecting the interface of device to special clips and the total mean was recorded as a desired amount.

**Measure of photosynthetic pigments**

To measure the photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, b and carotenoid), the Lichtenthaler and Welburn method (1983) was applied. In order to observe the monotonously condition for all treatments, the third and fourth leaves of the seedlings were selected. The 0.1 g leaf was then pulverized in a mortar with 4 ml acetone 80%. The obtained solution was then centrifuged in 3000 rpm for 5 minutes, and then in order to determine the chlorophyll and carotenoid, the absorption of supernatant was read by spectrophotometer (SPEKOL 2000; Analytic Jena, Germany) in 647, 664, 470 nm wavelength. To reset the device, acetone 80% was used. The total content of chlorophyll, chlorophyll a and b, and carotenoid was calculated by equations (1) to (4):

\[
\text{Equation (1)} \quad Chl\textsubscript{a} = 12.21(A_{664}) - 2.79 (A_{647})
\]
\[
\text{Equation (2)} \quad Chl\textsubscript{b} = 21.21(A_{647}) - 5.1 (A_{664})
\]
\[
\text{Equation (3)} \quad \text{Carotenoid} = \frac{1000A_{470} - 1.8Chl\textsubscript{a} - 85.02 Chl\textsubscript{b}}{198}
\]
\[
\text{Equation (4)} \quad Chl\textsubscript{T} = Chl\textsubscript{a} + Chl\textsubscript{b}
\]

All data were statistically analysed using factorial ANOVA test (MSTAT-C Version 4). Duncan’s multiple range tests by using MSTAT-C software was performed to confirm the variability of results and for the determination of significant (P≤0.05) difference between the treatment groups.

**Results and discussion**

**Morphological traits**

The data analysis of variance showed that the compost and vermicompost as organic fertilizers had separately significant effect on all the studied morphologic traits. The interaction of these two treatments on plant height, dry weight of leaf, and root length was significant in confidence level of 95% (Table 2). The compare means of compost and vermicompost effects on bush height indicated that by increasing the levels of compost and vermicompost, the plant height also increased significantly, so that the V\textsubscript{4}C\textsubscript{4} and V\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{4} had the most value of plant height, except V\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{3} and V\textsubscript{4}C\textsubscript{3} levels, this parameter increased significantly in all levels of C and V. The lowest value of plant height was observed in V\textsubscript{1}C\textsubscript{1} (102 cm) and had no significant difference with V\textsubscript{1}C\textsubscript{2} and V\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{1} (106 and 103.7 cm, respectively) (Table 5). The results on Table 3 showed that the leaf area with vermicompost treatments (10, 20, and 30 wt %) had significant increase compared to control. The levels
of the urban wet-waste compost, the 20 and 30 wt% levels, increased leaf area significantly in compared with control and 10 wt% level (Table 4). The results of compare relates to the simple effects of vermicompost on leaf number in which showed that 20 and 30 wt% levels (20 and 20.5 leaves number, respectively) had significant effect on this parameter compared to control and 10 wt% level (Table 3). In comparison of the studied compost levels, the results showed that 30 wt% treatments had the highest number of leaves (20.33) which had the most significant increase in comparison with control and 10 wt% treatments (Table 4). In studying the interaction of compost and vermicompost on dry weight of leaf the results showed that V,C4 treatment had most dry weight of leaf with 2.63 g weight, and had no significant difference with V,C3 with 2.40 g weight, but had significant increase compared to other studied treatments. The lowest value of dry weight of leaf was dedicated to V,C1 treatment (1.10 g), and had significant decrease in comparison with other levels except V,C2 and V,C1 (Table 5). The data compare mean of root length showed that V,C4 and V,C4 treatments increased the root length significantly compared to other treatments; also, the lowest root length was belonged to V,C1 treatment (12.80 cm) and had no significant difference in comparison with V,C2, V,C3, V,C4 and V,C1 treatments (Table 5). The results of the compare means regarding the simple effects of vermicompost on root dry weight showed that studied vermicompost treatments in this experiment (10, 20, and 30 wt %) caused to significant increase of this parameter in comparison with control, so that between vermicompost treatments, treatment of 30 wt% increased the root dry weight significantly compared to treatments of 10 and 20 wt% (Table 3). In evaluation of simple effects of urban-wet waste compost, the results also showed that treatments of 10, 20, and 30 wt% increased the root dry weight (4.19, 4.38, and 4.66 g, respectively) significantly compared to control (Table 4).

### Table 2. Analysis of variance of morphological features of tomato in different levels of vermicompost and compost fertilizers

| S.O.V    | Degree of freedom | Plant height Mean Square | Leaf area Mean Square | Number of leaves Mean Square | Leaf dry weight Mean Square | Root length Mean Square | Root dry weight Mean Square |
|----------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Vermicompost | 3 | 296.965* | 3703.833** | 43.500* | 1.164* | 31.876** | 1.318** |
| Compost   | 3 | 275.410* | 2055.389** | 55.556* | 1.701* | 14.745** | 1.328** |
| V×C      | 9 | 6.132 ns | 35.963 ns | 2.907 ns | 0.049* | 1.078* | 0.070 ns |
| Error     | 32 | 8.583 ns | 63.917 ns | 3.521 ns | 0.022 | 0.480 ns | 0.051 ns |

*ns: non-significant, * and ** significant at P ≤ 0.05 and P ≤ 0.01, respectively

### Table 3. Effects of vermicompost fertilizer on morphological features of tomato

| Vermicompost treatments | Leaf area (cm²) | Number of leaves | Root dry weight (g) |
|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Control                 | 264.8 c        | 16.50 b         | 3.85 c              |
| 10%                     | 280.1 b        | 17.25 b         | 4.26 b              |
| 20%                     | 299.8 a        | 20.25 a         | 4.32 b              |
| 30%                     | 301.9 a        | 20 a            | 4.66 a              |

Difference between data of each column followed by the same letter was not statistically significant (p<0.05)

### Table 4. Effects of compost fertilizer on morphological features of tomato

| Compost treatments | Leaf area (cm²) | Number of leaves | Root dry weight (g) |
|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Control            | 274.9 c        | 15.67 c         | 3.87 c              |
| 10%                | 277.5 c        | 18 b            | 4.19 b              |
| 20%                | 291.1 b        | 20 ab           | 4.38 b              |
| 30%                | 303.2 a        | 20.33 a         | 4.66 a              |

Difference between data of each column followed by the same letter was not statistically significant (p<0.05)
Table 5. Effects of vermicompost and compost fertilizer on morphological features of tomato

| Vermicompost treatments | Compost treatments | Plant height (cm) | Leaf dry weight (g) | Root length (cm) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Control (V₁)            | Control (C₁)      | 102              | 1.10 h              | 12.80 h         |
| 10% (V₂)                | 10% (C₁)          | 106 fg           | 1.30 fg              | 13.37 gh        |
| 20% (V₃)                | 20% (C₂)          | 111.3 cde        | 1.53 def             | 14.03 efg       |
| 30% (V₄)                | 30% (C₃)          | 115.7 bcd        | 1.63 de              | 13.97 fg        |
| Control (V₁)            | Control (C₁)      | 103.7            | 1.16 gh              | 13.47 gh        |
| 10% (V₂)                | 10% (C₂)          | 109.7 ef         | 1.40 efg             | 14.41 efg       |
| 20% (V₃)                | 20% (C₃)          | 110.3 def        | 1.73 d               | 14.83 def       |
| 30% (V₄)                | 30% (C₄)          | 116.3 bc         | 2.10 c               | 15.30 cde       |
| Control (V₁)            | Control (C₁)      | 112.3 cde        | 1.56 def             | 14.43 efg       |
| 10% (V₂)                | 10% (C₂)          | 115.3 bcd        | 1.53 def             | 15.77 cd        |
| 20% (V₃)                | 20% (C₃)          | 120 ab           | 2.13 c               | 16.53 bc        |
| 30% (V₄)                | 30% (C₄)          | 122 a            | 2.30 bc              | 17.30 b         |
| Control (V₁)            | Control (C₁)      | 115 bcde         | 1.66 de              | 15.03 def       |
| 10% (V₂)                | 10% (C₂)          | 115.3 bcd        | 1.70 d               | 16.33 bc        |
| 20% (V₃)                | 20% (C₃)          | ab 119 ab        | 2.40 ab              | 18.80 a         |
| 30% (V₄)                | 30% (C₄)          | 123.3 a          | 2.63 a               | 18.70 a         |

Difference between data of each column followed by the same letter was not statistically significant (p<0.05)

Increasing the plant height indicates that the plant steam capacity is increased as secondary source to store the photosynthetic material, and increasing the leaf area shows the more photosynthetic capacity (Amiri et al., 2017). Organic fertilizers especially vermicompost have humic acid, fulvic acid and other organic acids that are produced by microorganisms and can stimulate the growth and germination of plants (Hosseinzadeh and Ahmadpour, 2018). On the other hand, several studies have reported that adding compost and vermicompost to the soil has caused to produce a substance similar to auxin (Bender Ozenç, 2006; Archana et al., 2009; Beyk Khurmizi et al., 2016). These researchers state that organic fertilizers are rich in nutritious elements including zinc and this element plays important role in structure of Tryptophan Amino Acid (the main precursor to the auxin synthesis). Therefore, by increasing several of the growth indices such as plant height, leaf area, leaf number, and dry weight of leaf affected by using the vermicompost and urban wet-waste compost can be attributed to the presence of plant growth regulators such as auxin. The main role of auxin is acidic growth increasing in cell walls that finally leads to increase the longitudinal growth of the plant. The other role of auxin hormone includes a delay in leaf and stem senescence, reproductive stage regulation especially the seeds and fruits development, accelerating the lateral roots formation that play an important role in increasing the water and nutrients absorption in the plant (Ahmadpour and Hosseinzadeh, 2017; Amiri et al., 2017). In other studies of other plants such as radish (Raphanus sativus), cabbage rapa (Brassica rapa), tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) and cucumber (Cucumis sativus), it was observed that the use of organic fertilizers (such as vermicompost and compost) had a positive and significant effect on the growth indices (such as bush height, leaf area, root area and bush dry weight) (Bender Ozenç, 2006; Dolgen et al., 2007; Archana et al., 2009; Warman and AngLopez, 2010). Due to the direct relationship between photosynthesis and dry matter yield (Rahbarian et al., 2011), increasing the leaf and root dry weight at high levels of urban-wet waste compost and vermicompost seems logical. In this field, other researches also reported increasing the bush height, root length, and dry weight of cucumber (Sallaku et al., 2009), strawberry (Arancon et al., 2004), and oat (Atiyeh et al., 2001) if using vermicompost. In the study of the effects of urban wet waste compost on tomato, lettuce, pepper (Wilson et al., 1989) and lentil (Ahmadpour and Hosseinzadeh, 2017), it was observed that the different levels of studied compost resulted in growth increasing (plant height, root length, leaf number) and yield (dry weight of the bush, and product) in these plants.
Relative water content (RWC), membrane stability index (MSI) and photochemical performance of PSII (Fv/Fm)

The data analyses of variance showed that simple and interaction effects of treatments (compost and vermicompost) were significant on the plant’s RWC, MSI and Fv/Fm (Table 6). The compare means of data showed that most value of RWC was dedicated to V1C1 treatment and had no significant difference with V1C4, V2C4, V2C3 treatments. The lowest value of this parameter was observed in V1C1 treatment, which had no significant difference with V2C3, V1C3 and V2C1 treatments (Table 7). The results that related to the interactions between the use of urban wet-waste compost and vermicompost on MSI indicated that V1C4 had highest-value of MSI that had significant increase in comparison with other treatments except V1C5. The V1C1 treatment had lowest value of MSI, and statically had no significant difference with V1C3, V2C2, V2C3 treatments (Table 7). The results showed that Fv/Fm had significant increase when compost and vermicompost levels were increased. The V1C4, V2C3, and V2C4 had most value of Fv/Fm, statically, that compared to other treatments this increase was significant. By decreasing the compost and vermicompost levels (V1C1, V1C2, V2C1) the value of Fv/Fm reduced significantly (Table 7).

Table 6. Analysis of variance of physiological features of tomato in different levels of vermicompost and compost fertilizers under water stress

| S.O.V       | Df | Relative water content (%) | Membrane stability index (%) | Fv/Fm | Chl a | Chl b | Carotenoid content |
|-------------|----|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------|
| Vermicompost| 3  | 0.009*                     | 0.008*                      | 0.007*| 2.586*| 0.021*| 0.014*            |
| Compost     | 3  | 0.007**                    | 0.007**                     | 0.009*| 0.492*| 0.060*| 0.087**            |
| V×C         | 9  | 0.0003                     | 0.001**                     | 0.004*| 0.046*| 0.003*| 0.001**            |
| Error       | 32 | 8.583                      | 0.00002                     | 0.0001| 4.82  | 0.001 | 0.004              |

*: non-significant, † and ‡ significant at P ≤ 0.05 and P ≤ 0.01, respectively

Table 7. Effects of vermicompost and compost fertilizer on physiological features of tomato

| Vermicompost treatments | Compost treatments | Relative Water Content (%) | Membrane Stability index (%) | Fv/Fm | Chl a (mg/g FW) |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------------|
| Control (V1)            | Control (C1)       | 0.733 h                    | 0.537 jk                    | 0.686 g| 2.20 h         |
|                         | 10% (C2)           | 0.739 h                    | 0.538 jk                    | fg 0.699| 2.41 g         |
|                         | 20% (C3)           | 0.748 gh                   | 0.540 jk                    | 0.717 ef| 2.45 gh        |
|                         | 30% (C4)           | 0.765 cdg                  | 0.549 hj                    | 0.714 ef| 2.52 fg        |
| 10% (V2)                | Control (C1)       | 0.737 h                    | 0.535 k                     | 0.702 fg | 2.43 gh        |
|                         | 10% (C2)           | 0.762 fg                   | 0.556 gh                    | ef 0.722 | 2.83 de        |
|                         | 20% (C3)           | 0.782 dej                  | 0.565 fg                    | 0.756 bc | 2.97 cdc       |
|                         | 30% (C4)           | 0.790 cd                   | 0.582 g                     | 0.761 b  | 3.04 cd        |
| 20% (V3)                | Control (C1)       | 0.751 fgh                  | 0.551 h                     | 0.727 de | 2.76 ef        |
|                         | 10% (C2)           | 0.781 dfe                  | ef 0.568                    | 0.730 dc | 3.19 bc        |
|                         | 20% (C3)           | 0.812 ab                   | 0.621 bc                    | 0.750 bcd| 3.49 a         |
|                         | 30% (C4)           | 0.825 a                    | 0.612 c                     | 0.782 a  | 3.42 ab        |
| 30% (V4)                | Control (C1)       | 0.768 efk                  | 0.546 hij                   | 0.734 cde| 3.35 ab        |
|                         | 10% (C2)           | 0.806 bc                   | de 0.578                    | 0.737 cde| 3.46 ab        |
|                         | 20% (C3)           | 0.825 a                    | 0.628 ab                    | 0.781 a  | 3.46 ab        |
|                         | 30% (C4)           | 0.827 a                    | 0.637 a                     | 0.785 a  | 3.54 a         |
The relative water content is considered as the most important physiological indices in greenhouse plants. In fact, the more value of this parameter indicates the leaf ability to maintain the more water content, photosynthetic process, and transferring the material in aerial organs or shoots (Sanchez-Rodriguez et al., 2010). Improvement of physical properties of soil such as high-water holding capacity, cation exchange capacity, increasing the organic matter (humic and fulvic acids), and creating suitable conditions for photosynthesis are of considerable properties of organic fertilizers (Ahmadpour and Bahrami, 2016; Amiri et al., 2017). Humic acid and fulvic acid play an important role in increasing the plant physiological properties (relative water content and membrane stability), by increasing the beneficial microorganisms activity, increasing the enzymatic activity, releasing the nutrients, improvement the root growth by amending the physical structure of the soil directly, and by improvement soil properties such as aggregation, ventilation, permeability and transmission of micro-elements indirectly (Tan, 2003; Hosseinzadeh et al., 2016). Using these fertilizers causes to relative increase of water potential in cell roots and maintaining the water transmission path in the xylem from the root to the shoots, in addition to increase the available water in the root (Chanda et al., 2011; Amiri et al., 2017). In recent studies of plant Eco-physiology studies, $F_v/F_m$ has been considered as a rapid, sensitive, and non-destructive method and is suitable index to measure the plant photosynthetic efficiency (Rasti Sani et al., 2014). The several studies about compost and vermicompost showed that using of these fertilizers can be effective in maintain the photosynthetic activity and PSII performance (as a first light system involved in the photosynthetic electron transfer chain) (Bender Özenç, 2006; Hosseinzadeh et al., 2016). These researchers demonstrated that adding the organic fertilizers (such as compost and vermicompost) to soil causes to less stomata closure, CO$_2$ increasing inside the cell, maintaining the passive transmission in xylem, increasing the carboxylase activity of Rubisco enzyme, by increasing the water holding capacity, root growth, and nutrient elements.

*Photosynthetic pigments*

The effect of urban wet-waste compost and vermicompost on chlorophyll (Chl) a, b, carotenoids and total chlorophyll content was significant, but the interaction effect of these treatments only had significant effect on chlorophyll a content (Table 6). The data compare means that the interaction effects of compost and vermicompost on Chl a had the highest value of Chl a observed in V$_4$C$_4$ treatment that had no significant difference in comparison with V$_3$C$_3$, V$_3$C$_4$, V$_1$C$_3$, and V$_1$C$_4$ treatments. The lowest value of this parameter was observed in V$_1$C$_1$ treatment which had a significant difference with other treatments except the V$_2$C$_1$, V$_1$C$_2$ and V$_1$C$_3$ treatments (Table 7). In evaluation the simple effects of vermicompost (Table 8) the results showed that applying the 20 and 30 wt% of vermicompost caused to significant increase of Chl b compared to control and 10 wt% of vermicompost. Among the studied compost levels (Table 9), the results showed that increasing the used levels of urban wet-waste compost from 10 to 30 wt% led to significant increase in this parameter compared to control. The compare means of leaf carotenoid content indicated that this parameter had significant increase in 30 wt% of vermicompost in comparison with control and 10 wt% treatments (Table 8). In studying the simple effects of urban wet-waste compost, the results showed that leaf carotenoid content had significant increase affected by 20 and 30 wt% of compost compared to control and 10 wt% (Table 9). The compare means results about the simple effects of vermicompost on total leaf Chl content (a + b) meanwhile showed that the highest and lowest values were dedicated to 30 wt% of vermicompost and control, respectively, that were significant compared to each other and other treatments (Table 8). Among the levels of urban wet-waste compost, the 30 wt% treatment had most value of total Chl that had no significant difference compared to 20 wt% treatment. The lowest value of total chlorophyll was dedicated to control and had significant difference with other treatments (Table 9).
Table 8. Effects of vermicompost fertilizer on physiological features of tomato

| Vermicompost treatments | Chl b (mg/g FW) | Carotenoid (mg/g FW) | Total Chl content (mg/g FW) |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Control                 | 1.215 b        | 2.301 b              | 3.609 d                   |
| 10%                     | b 1.219        | 2.300 b              | 4.033 c                   |
| 20%                     | 1.297 a        | 2.338 ab             | 4.516 b                   |
| 30%                     | 1.278 a        | 2.372 a              | 4.734 a                   |

Table 9. Effects of compost fertilizer on physiological features of tomato

| Compost treatments | Chl b (mg/g FW) | Carotenoid (mg/g FW) | Total Chl content (mg/g FW) |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Control            | 1.167 d        | 2.236 b              | 3.850 c                   |
| 10%                | 1.230 c        | 2.285 b              | 4.207 b                   |
| 20%                | 1.281 b        | 2.360 a              | 4.367 ab                  |
| 30%                | 1.332 a        | 2.372 a              | 4.468 a                   |

Difference between data of each column followed by the same letter was not statistically significant (p<0.05)

The leaves photosynthetic pigmentation has an important role in the electron excitation in the photosynthetic electron transport chain and the producing the high-energy molecules (including ATP and NADPH) by taking the sunlight energy (Hosseinzadeh et al., 2016). Maintaining the photosynthetic process is dependent on receiving the energy and producing the high-energy molecules (Keles and Onsel, 2004). Therefore, in evaluating the different treatments on greenhouse plants in order to increase the yield and production, these parameters have more important. Several studies have shown that increasing the microorganism’s activity by adding the compost and vermicompost to soil has main role in nitrogen fixation (Davison, 1988; Amiri et al., 2017). According to the nitrogen structure of porphyrin rings of Chl a and b, by increasing the chlorophyll content seems logical which includes using high levels of compost and vermicompost. Another advantage of terrestrial microorganisms’ activity is that they lead to release the plants required elements such as zinc, iron, manganese, magnesium (main role in chlorophylls structure) and etc. by weathering the rocks and minerals that makes them available for plants (Ahmadpour and Hosseinzadeh, 2017).

In another study on the photosynthetic pigments, it is observed that chlorophylls are sensitive to light oxidation and inhibition, while the carotenoids role is as antioxidant and protector of chlorophylls. The chlorophyll content is usually proportional with carotenoid content that protects chlorophylls (Loggini et al., 1999). Hence, by increasing the total chlorophyll content in applied levels of organic fertilizers that compared to control can be attributed to the increase in the carotenoid’s contents within these levels. Generally, it can be stated that adding the organic fertilizers such as compost and vermicompost to root ambient have a decisive role in maintaining the transfer water-soluble nutrients from the root to the leaf (through passive transmission in the xylem). This can be accomplished by increasing the macro-elements (Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Calcium, Magnesium) and micro-elements (Iron, Zinc, Copper and Manganese), which in addition to the nourishment the leaf because of the leaf morphologic indices increasing (e.g. increasing the area and number of leaf), also have basic role in stability of photosynthetic indices increasing (via involving in the chlorophylls structure) and photosynthetic system (as a prosthetic group in activating some enzymes and proteins) (Mylavarapu and Zinati, 2009; Hosseinzadeh et al., 2016; Amiri et al., 2017).

Conclusions

The results of this study in association with simple effects of treatments on tomato showed that by using the vermicompost in 30 wt% level caused a significant increase of leaf area (+12.28%), leaf number in bush
(+17.5%), root dry weight (+17.3%), Chl b content (4.9%), carotenoid (+2.9%), and total Chl a+b (+23.7%). Among the levels of used urban wet-waste compost, 20 and 30 wt% levels had also significant increase in leaf area (+5.5%, +9.33%, respectively), leaf number in bush (+21.6%, +22.9%), root dry weight (+11.6%, +16.9%), Chl b content (+8.8%, +12.3%), carotenoid (+5.2%, +7.9%), and total Chl a+b (+11.8%, +13.8%) compared to control. In evaluating the interaction effects of treatments, the results showed that the vermicompost and urban wet-waste compost mixture in 30 wt% level (V3C4) had the highest value of plant height, leaf dry weight, root length, RWC, MSI, Fv/Fm and Chl a content which had no significant difference with V3C3 treatment in all studied parameters. Considering that evaluated morphologic and physiologic characteristics in this experiment are the base of functional parameters, so increasing the mentioned properties can play an important role to increase the yield and production of the tomato. According to the results of this study, applying the vermicompost and urban wet-waste compost in 30 wt% level is recommended. This is recommended since it improves the morphologic and physiologic characteristics of the tomato in greenhouse conditions.
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