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Abstract

Video captioning is the visual understanding process to generate grammatically and semantically meaningful descriptions that are of interest in the fields of computer vision (CV) and natural language processing (NLP). Recent advances in the computing power of the mobile platform have led to many video captioning applications that use CV and NLP techniques. These video captioning applications mainly depend on the encoder-decoder approach running with the internet connection, which employs convolutional neural networks (CNNs) on the encoder and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) on the decoder. However, this approach is not powerful enough to get accurate captioning results, and fast response due to online data transfer. In this paper, therefore, the encoder-decoder approach has been extended with a sequence-to-sequence model under a multi-layer gated recurrent unit (GRU) to generate a semantically more coherent caption. Visual information from image features of each video frame is extracted with ResNet-101 CNN in the encoder to feed the multi-layer GRU based decoder for caption generation. The proposed approach has been compared with the state-of-the-art approaches using experiments on the MSVD dataset under eight performance metrics. In addition, the proposed approach is embedded into our custom-designed Android application, called WeCap, capable of faster caption generation without an internet connection.
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Akıllı Telefonlar için Çok Katmanlı Kapılı Tekrarlayathan Birim Tabanlı Video Altyazılama

Öz

Video altyazılama, bilgisayarlı görüş (CV) ve doğal dil işleme (NLP) alanlarında ilgi çeken dibilligesel ve anlamsal olarak anlamlı tanımlar oluşturan bir görsel anlama işlemidir. Mobil platformun hesaplama gücüne de son gelişmeler, CV ve NLP tekniklerini kullanan birçok video altyazılama uygulamasının önünü açmıştır. Bu video altyazılama uygulamaları, çoğunlukla, kodlayıcı üzerinde erişimli sinir ağları (CNN’ler) ve kod çözücü üzerinde tekrarlayan sinir ağları (RNN’ler) kullanılan internet bağlantısıyla çalışan kodlayıcı-kod çözücü yaklaştına bağıdır. Ancak, bu yaklaşım çevrimiçi veri aktarımından dolayı dağor altyazı sonuçları ve hızlı yant alma açısından yetenece güçlü değildir. Bu nedenle, bu bildiride, kodlayıcı-kod çözücü yaklaştı anlamsal olarak daha uygun altyazı oluşturmak için çok katmanlı kapı tekrarlayahan birim (GRU) altında diziden dizeye yaklaşımı ile genişletilmiştir. Her video karesinin görüntü özellikleri görsel bilgileri, altyazı oluşturma amacıyla çok katmanlı GRU tabanlı kod çözücübesleme için kodlayıcı daki ResNet-101 CNN ile çıkarılır. Önerilen yaklaşım, sezik performans metriği altında MSVD veri kümesi üzerinde deneyler kullanarak gelişmiş yaklaşımlarla karşılaştırılmıştır. Ayrıca, önerilen yaklaşım internet bağlantısı olmadan daha hızlı altyazı üretme yeteneğine sahip, WeCap adlı, özel tasarlanan Android uygulamamızda gömülüşturmiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Erişimli sinir ağı, Kapılı Tekrarlayahan Birim, Doğal Dil İşleme, Video Altyazılama, Android Uygulama.
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1. Introduction

Video captioning aims to generate grammatically correct and human-readable descriptions of video frames using computer vision and natural language processing techniques. Video captioning has recently received increased interest due to its potential applications, such as video understanding (Gan, Yao, Yang, Yang, & Mei, 2016), video retrieval (Shen, Shen, Shi, Van Den Hengel, & Tang, 2013), and automatic video caption generation (Guo et al., 2016).

Earlier studies on captioning include the template-based approach, which uses a template to translate the semantic representation to a caption (Venugopalan et al., 2014). A compositional semantics language model splits a video description into subjects, verbs and objects, and then converts them into word vectors to capture the meaning of the content (R. Xu, Xiong, Chen, & Corso, 2015). The relationships between subjects, verbs, and objects to capture semantic hierarchies of the video content were studied in (Guadarrama et al., 2013). Deep learning based alternative approaches have recently emerged as a useful tool for generating more accurate captions due to their abilities in dealing with the complexity of videos like diverse objects, scenes, or actions (Amaresh & Chitrakala, 2019; Çaylı, Makav, Kılıç, & Onan, 2020; Keskin, Moral, Kılıç, & Onan, 2021).

Deep learning based encoder-decoder architectures combine convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks to design encoder and decoder, respectively, for feature extraction and caption generation. There are several CNN architectures such as Inception-v3 (Szegedy, Vanhoucke, Ioffe, Shlens, & Wojna, 2016), Xception (Chollet, 2017), and ResNet (Targ, Almeida, & Lyman, 2016) that are commonly used in encoder design to extract features of video frames to feed the RNN-based decoders. However, conventional RNNs suffer from vanishing and exploding gradient problems, which prevent handling long input sequences due to short-term memory. Long short-term memory (LSTM) and gated recurrent unit (GRU) networks are proposed to solve these problems with the addition of cells and gates to RNN. LSTM has three gates as input, forget and output while two vector states as hidden and memory cells. GRU consists of a hidden state and two gates: update gate and reset gate. It computes the hidden state and output vector using the input vector with the variable length.

A video captioning model designed using the encoder-decoder architecture utilizes a hierarchical recurrent neural encoder (HRNE) using a two-layer LSTM (P. Pan, Xu, Yang, Wu, & Zhuang, 2016). Temporal features of video frames are extracted with two-layer LSTM as the input of the LSTM based decoder to generate a caption. A hierarchical encoder using a time boundary-aware LSTM cell is proposed to predict the change of actions and events in the video, namely the video time boundary (Baraldi, Grana, & Cucchiara, 2017). The hidden state and memory cell of the LSTM are transferred to the next step unless a new video time boundary is detected and rebooted when the change is detected. The single-layer GRU generates video captions considering the semantic relationship between words. An end-to-end model extracts features of video frames using the average pooling of the 2D-CNN architectures and decodes video features as a sequence of words by LSTM to generate video captions (Venugopalan et al., 2014). Sequence-to-sequence video to text (S2VT) model is proposed to utilize LSTM for encoding the temporal structure of videos to fixed-length vectors both in the encoder and the decoder (Venugopalan et al., 2015).

In this paper, we propose a video captioning system with a combination of ResNet-101 CNN architecture (He, Zhang, Ren, & Sun, 2016) and multi-layer GRU to extract features of the videos on the encoder side. In order to define the visual information, the video is split into frames, and features are extracted using ResNet-101 CNN architecture. Then a multi-layer GRU is utilized to preserve the semantic information of the video and benefit more contextual information. On the decoder side, multi-layer GRU is employed to generate more accurate captions using the ability of computing more complex representations in learning sequential data. Experimental results are obtained on MSVD dataset with BLEU-n (Papineni, Roukos, Ward, & Zhu, 2002), CIDEr (Vedantam, Lawrence Zitnick, & Parikh, 2015), METEOR (Banerjee & Lavie, 2005), ROUGE-L (Lin, 2004), and SPICE (Anderson, Fernando, Johnson, & Gould, 2016). The effect of multi-layer on captioning performance is measured with these metrics, and the proposed approach is also compared with the state-of-art approaches under the same metrics.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces an encoder-decoder based sequence-to-sequence approach for video captioning and our custom-designed Android application. Section 3 presents the dataset, performance metrics and the results of the proposed approach. Concluding remarks with future research directions are given in Section 4.

2. Proposed Video Captioning Approach

This section presents a sequence-to-sequence based approach on multi-layer GRU for video captioning and our custom-designed Android application, WeCap, which runs the proposed system in offline mode.

2.1. Encoder-decoder based Sequence-to-Sequence Approach

In the sequence-to-sequence approach, the video encoder utilizes architectures based on CNN and RNN to extract features and process these features sequentially, while the video decoder employs RNN to generate meaningful captions word by word. GRU is one of the RNN architectures employed to solve the vanishing gradient problem in our approach. Figure 1 depicts our proposed video captioning approach, consisting of CNN and GRU in the encoder, while embedding, GRUs and fully connected layer are on the decoder side. As a CNN architecture, ResNet-101, composed of 101 layers, is preferred in this encoder design due to its capability to solve the degradation problem using a deep residual learning framework. In our approach, the input video is split into frames and is fed to the video encoder. The ResNet-101 extracts all features of frames as a 2048-element vector. Then, the feature vector of each frame is fed into the input vector of the multi-layer GRU to represent features as a single vector at a time for the video decoder. Each initial hidden state is initialized at the beginning. For the successive iterations, the multi-layer GRU of the encoder is fed by the updated hidden state from the previous iteration until reaching to last feature vectors. The last hidden
state of the multi-layer GRU of the encoder feeds to the decoder for caption generation. The video decoder consists of an embedding, multi-layer GRU, and a fully connected layer. Caption generation starts with the predefined start-token at \( t = 1 \) for the variable length \( t \). The embedding layer converts each token to the meaningful embedding vector, which includes the linguistic features. The embedding vector is fed to the input vector of the first GRU layer, and the output vector of the first GRU layer is fed to the next layer. This procedure is repeated \( K \)-times where \( K \) denotes the number of the layer in the GRU. The output of the multi-layer GRU feeds to the fully connected layer to compute the prediction probabilities and generate the following word in the caption. The fully connected layer generates the word-1 token to utilize in the next step, and the generation continues to \( t \)-times to reach the end token. All generated tokens are converted into corresponding words to lead a caption. The layer size of the GRU is increased from a single layer to six layers on both the encoder and the decoder side to see the effects on captioning performance.

**2.2. Android Application: WeCap**

The proposed approach is integrated with our custom-designed Android application, WeCap, to offer the benefit of video captioning to non-expert users. In WeCap, the encoder-decoder approach is embedded in the smartphone application to reduce the caption generation time, unlike similar image captioning applications (Çaylı et al., 2020; Klič, 2021; Makav & Klič, 2019), that use cloud connection. A video can be chosen from the gallery by tapping the “gallery” button on the home screen. The user can access these options by scrolling left from the page and with a voice command using the “microphone” button. The embedded encoder-decoder generates captions automatically when the video is chosen. The quantization method has been applied to the embedded encoder-decoder to remove the cloud connection and reduce the size for video captioning. The quantized model is converted to TorchScript and integrated into the application. Caption generation time is significantly reduced in offline mode that extracts features and generates captions without a cloud connection. The generated caption is in English by default and displayed on the screen along with the video. The user can also listen to the generated caption by tapping the “speaker” button. In addition, the user can change the language of the generated caption from the settings, and the Google Cloud Translation API translates the caption into other languages. The interface of the application is illustrated in Figure 2.

**3. Experimental Results**

In this section, the dataset and performance metrics that are utilized to evaluate the proposed captioning system and the experimental results are presented.

### 3.1. Dataset and Performance Metrics

To evaluate the performance of the video captioning approaches, several datasets such as M-VAD (Torabi, Pal, Larochelle, & Courville, 2015), MPII-MD (Rohrbach, Rohrbach, Tandon, & Schiele, 2015), MSR-VTT (J. Xu, Mei, Yao, & Rui, 2016), and MSVD (Chen & Dolan, 2011) have been introduced. M-VAD contains 48986 videos from 92 movies consisting of 38949 training, 4888 validation, and 5149 test videos, and also each video is described in a single sentence. The MPII-VAD is a large-scale dataset of approximately 68337 videos with one reference caption per video containing audio and movie descriptions. MSR-VTT consists of 10000 videos with different content such as news and movie descriptions. MSR-VTT consists of 10000 videos with different content such as news and sports. Each video is described in a single sentence. The MPII-VD is a large-scale dataset of approximately 68337 videos with one reference caption per video containing audio and movie descriptions. MSR-VTT consists of 10000 videos with different content such as news and sports. Each video is described with 20 reference captions. The MSVD contains 1200 training, 100 validation, and 670 test videos collected from YouTube with 40 captions for each video. In this paper, MSVD is chosen for the evaluation of our proposed video captioning system due to its large reference caption set. In M-VAD and MPII-VD, it is hard to describe a new video as each video is described with a single sentence.
The performance metrics BLEU-n (n = 1, 2, 3, 4), METEOR, and ROUGE-L, evaluate the similarity between a machine-generated caption and the reference captions in the machine translation systems. SPICE is designed to evaluate captioning tasks that compare the semantic propositional content of generated and reference captions. CIDEr is also designed for captioning, examining the average cosine similarity between the generated and the reference captions. The results are sorted based on the CIDEr metric due to its better correlation with human judgment than BLEU-n, METEOR, SPICE, and ROUGE-L.

### 3.2. Results and Discussion

In this study, an encoder-decoder based sequence-to-sequence system based on multi-layer GRU has been presented for video captioning. The ResNet-101 with multi-layer GRU was evaluated on MSVD in terms of BLEU-n, CIDEr, METEOR, ROUGE-L, and SPICE. The experimental results in Table 1 examine the effect of layer number for multi-layer GRU architecture. Regarding the six different configurations for the number of layers, 2-layer GRU architecture generally outperforms the other schemes. For BLEU-n and CIDEr metrics, 2-layer GRU architecture yields the highest performance, while 3-layer GRU architecture yields higher results in terms of CIDEr and SPICE metrics. The empirical results indicate that the performance of the captioning systems degrades after 4-layer GRU architecture. Based on the empirical results among all the compared configurations, 2-layer GRU with ResNet-101 has been integrated into the WeCap Android application. In Table 1, the proposed video captioning system has been compared with five state-of-the-art architectures on the MSVD dataset in terms of six evaluation metrics. The proposed video captioning architecture which combines ResNet-101 with 2-layer GRU outperforms the compared state-of-the-art architectures in terms of five evaluation metrics, i.e., the highest CIDEr, BLEU-4, BLEU-3, BLEU-2, and BLEU-1 scores have been achieved by this architecture. The second highest METEOR score among all the compared schemes has been obtained by the proposed architecture. In Table 3, examples of ground truth and generated captions by the proposed system for two videos have been presented. The empirical results listed in Table 2 and the captions presented in Table 3 indicate that the proposed architecture can yield promising results and can be utilized as a viable tool for video captioning.

### 4. Conclusions

In this paper, a video captioning system has been developed using an encoder-decoder based sequence-to-sequence approach. ResNet-101 CNN architecture was used to extract the features of the video frames, and a multi-layer GRU was used to process the features and generate the video caption. The evaluations on the MSVD dataset indicate that the proposed approach can generate meaningful captions with multi-layer GRU. Then, the proposed system is integrated with our custom-designed Android application, called WeCap, to bring it into practical use. Besides, WeCap extracts features and generates captions via the embedded encoder-decoder in offline mode. The empirical results indicate that the proposed architecture is capable of producing promising results and is a viable tool for video captioning. For further research, the video captioning approach will be optimized with hyper-parameters and the addition of residual connections.

| Design | # of Layers | CIDEr | BLEU-4 | BLEU-3 | BLEU-2 | BLEU-1 | ROUGE-L | METEOR | SPICE |
|--------|-------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|-------|
| ResNet-101 with Multi-layer GRU | 1 | 0.646 | 0.482 | 0.602 | 0.704 | 0.823 | 0.698 | 0.330 | 0.048 |
| | 2 | 0.698 | 0.516 | 0.621 | 0.718 | 0.831 | 0.695 | 0.329 | 0.047 |
| | 3 | 0.698 | 0.489 | 0.590 | 0.689 | 0.815 | 0.692 | 0.319 | 0.048 |
| | 4 | 0.608 | 0.433 | 0.537 | 0.645 | 0.780 | 0.675 | 0.310 | 0.042 |
| | 5 | 0.601 | 0.423 | 0.525 | 0.639 | 0.765 | 0.658 | 0.301 | 0.042 |
| | 6 | 0.594 | 0.414 | 0.515 | 0.620 | 0.754 | 0.645 | 0.299 | 0.040 |
Table 2. Comparison of our proposed system with state-of-the-art architectures on MSVD dataset

| Proposed ResNet-101 with 2-layer GRU | CIDEr | BLEU-4 | BLEU-3 | BLEU-2 | BLEU-1 | METEOR |
|-------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| (P. Pan et al., 2016)               | -     | 0.438  | 0.551  | 0.663  | 0.792  | 0.331  |
| (Baraldi et al., 2017)             | 0.635 | 0.425  | -      | -      | -      | 0.324  |
| (Yao et al., 2015)                 | 0.517 | 0.419  | 0.526  | 0.647  | 0.800  | 0.296  |
| (Yu, Wang, Huang, Yang, & Xu, 2016)| 0.658 | 0.499  | 0.604  | 0.704  | 0.815  | 0.326  |
| (Y. Pan et al., 2016)              | -     | 0.453  | 0.554  | 0.660  | 0.788  | 0.310  |

Table 3. Examples of ground truth and generated captions of video frames selected from the MSVD dataset

Reference Captions:

(1) A band of four men are playing music in an outdoor location.
(2) A band of four people are playing different instruments.
(3) A four person band is playing.
(4) Four men are playing outdoors in a marching band.
(5) Four people playing instruments are marching in place.

Generated Captions:

1-layer: two men are playing
2-layer: a band is performing on stage
3-layer: a man is playing a piano
4-layer: a man is dancing
5-layer: a man is playing a horse
6-layer: a man is riding a horse

(1) A person with a knife is slicing bread.
(2) A man is slicing some bread.
(3) The man sliced the loaf of bread.
(4) Man cutting a piece of bread with knife.
(5) Someone is slicing pieces of bread from a loaf of french bread.
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