Successful abdominal wall closure following collagen-based artificial dermis induced epithelialization for giant omphalocele: A case report
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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: A giant omphalocele (GO) with marked viscero-abdominal disproportion is associated with surgical difficulty and higher morbidity and mortality rates. Despite various treatment strategies, no consensus exists on optimal GO management. We report the clinical course of a neonate with a GO who was successfully treated with abdominal-wall closure through the novel application of collagen-based artificial dermis (CAD) for epithelialization.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: A female neonate (estimated gestational age, 38 weeks; birthweight, 3,047 kg) with a GO where most viscera, including the liver, were completely herniated. G-band analysis showed no chromosomal abnormality and normal karyotype. Conventional silo formation was attempted, but incomplete silo was formed due to adhesion between the portal vein and fascia, and repatirmation of the herniated viscera had not progressed. A new silo was formed using biomaterial, but it was infected and removed. Abdominal wall epithelialization using NPWT was attempted again but was interrupted by the occurrence of jejunal perforation. After incising the epithelialized part of the abdominal wall and repairing the perforated jejunum, the GO was covered and fixed using CAD. Epithelialization progressed well, and she was discharged on day 328.

DISCUSSION: In this case, the major therapeutic challenges (including formation of an incomplete silo, silo infection, and jejunal perforation) were overcome with conventional treatment except for epithelialization of the abdominal wall, which was achieved by using CAD.

CONCLUSION: The treatment with CAD for epithelialization can be considered in cases where it is extremely difficult to return the viscera in conventional management.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Omphalocele is a congenital abdominal wall defect that usually results in herniation of the abdominal viscera within a sac or peritoneum and amnion. An omphalocele occurs in 1 in 4,000–6,000 live births [1]. A giant omphalocele (GO), which lacks a strict clinical definition, is commonly described as a fascial defect > 0.05 m or which contains more than 50 or 75% of the liver within the sac and occurs in 1 in 10,000 live births [2]. A GO with marked viscero-abdominal disproportion is associated with higher rates of morbidity and mortality [3]. Hence, it often represents a major surgical challenge. Although various treatment strategies such as initial operative closure, staged closure, and several delayed closures exist, there is no consensus on the optimal management for a GO [4].

We present our experience with a neonate with GO where all abdominal organs had herniated except the duodenum, rectum, kidneys, uterus, and ovaries. There was a high degree of viscero-abdominal disproportion. However, we succeeded in closing the abdominal wall by epithelialization, utilizing a combination of previously reported negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) [5] and collagen-based artificial dermis as a novel treatment method. The complex clinical course and the complications encountered are elaborated here. This work was reported in line with the SCARE 2018 criteria [6]. Research Registry UIN is 5920.

2. Presentation of case

A Japanese female neonate was born at an estimated gestational age of 38 weeks with a birthweight of 3,047 kg. She had an intact
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Since had other abnormalities were found other than the GO.

Fig. 1. Giant omphalocele (GO) findings immediately after birth. A female neonate was born at 38 weeks estimated gestational age with a birthweight of 3047 g. She had a GO with a fascial defect measuring 6 × 6 cm, and no abnormalities were found other than the GO.

Fig. 2. Findings fixing the small size wound retractor (SWR) to the abdominal wall. Since the hepatic veins firmly adhered to the cranial fascia of the abdominal wall defect and the SWR could not be inserted the fascial defect, the SWR was fixed to the abdominal wall.

By day 65, the infection was under control, and a fibrous capsule had formed on the surface of the viscera and had stabilized them (Fig. 4a). On the other hand, the abdominal wall had become thin and fragile, making it difficult to stretch it and close the abdominal wall. Hence, we decided that it would be impossible to close the wall by reducing the siro contents and considered the idea of epithelializing the abdominal wall defect, leading to closure. We utilized the NPWT method: non-adherent wound dressings (Mepitel®, Mölnlycke Healthcare, Gothenburg, Sweden), WHITEFORM® dressings, and GRANUFORM® dressings were applied in this order, and then a clear film was applied to the entire omphalocele. The apex was cut into 1 cm squares and connected to the negative pressure system. The system was set at -25 mmHg (Fig. 4b). Using this method, epithelialization proceeded smoothly for a while. On day 71, it was discovered that a part of the epithelializing capsule had ruptured, and the jejunal had perforated (Fig. 4c).

Since the new epithelium that had formed was incomplete and fragile, and the perforated jejunum had adhered to the capsule, intestinal fluid did not leak into the abdominal cavity. Thus, the perforated jejunum was managed as a natural intestinal fistula.

As a result of absorbing the intestinal fluid discharge with gauze, and protecting the epithelial coating with an absorbent foam dressing (Mepilex®, Mölnlycke Health Care AB, Sweden) (Fig. 4d), re-epithelialization of the capsule progressed smoothly (Fig. 5a).

By day 178, the epithelial layer had advanced to the periphery of the natural intestinal fistula (Fig. 5b), and hence, an incision was made along the epithelialization area, and the intestinal fistula was drawn out and repaired. On the other hand, it was impossible to close the incision area with sutures because the abdominal wall was thin and fragile. Therefore, a collagen-based artificial dermis (Terudermis®, Olympus Terumo Biomaterials Corp, Tokyo, Japan) was cut according to the size of the incision area and fixed by suturing (Fig. 5c).

By day 247, epithelialization of the abdominal wall was almost complete (Fig. 5d), and on day 328, the patient was discharged from our hospital. She regularly visits our pediatric surgery outpatient department and was found to be well at the latest follow up, 1 year later, without postoperative complications.

3. Discussion

Although multiple management strategies have been developed for a GO, we failed to achieve abdominal wall closure with those conventional strategies in this case [1–4].
Fig. 4. a–d: Findings from the silo infection control to introducing the negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) device to the jejunal perforation and taking countermeasures.

Fig. 5. a–d: Findings from incomplete epithelialization to repairing the perforated jejunum and completing the epithelialization using a collagen-based artificial dermis.
Our patient presented with three major therapeutic challenges. One was the formation of an incomplete silo. Since our patient had a completely herniated liver, the pedicled nature resulted in vena caval compression leading to low blood pressure. Harjai [7] succeeded in visceral repatriation in a case of a GO that contained a completely herniated liver by suturing a silastic silo circumferentially over the abdominal wall defect and suspending the apex to stabilize the liver. In our case, the hepatic veins that were adherent to the fascia obstructed complete silo formation, as they interfered with the sutures that were used to fix the silo to the abdominal wall, and prevented visceral repatriation.

The second was silo infection. Pampaloni [8] reported that they successfully treated five newborns with large congenital abdominal wall defects, in whom fascial closure could not be accomplished by primary repair with a biomaterial (GORE-TEX® soft tissue patch). We used a Gore-Tex patch to form the silo, and because skin closure was impossible, we attached a sterile dressing of silver (AQUACEL®Ag Extra TM) around the silo to prevent infection.

Although the silo made of biomaterial (GORE-TEX® soft tissue patch) was fixed to the fascia and provided excellent support to the protuberant viscera, silo infection had led to the potential failure of visceral repatriation. Because drug-resistant bacteria emerged, we removed the silo instead of providing antimicrobial treatment.

The third was jejunal perforation. The strategy was changed from visceral repatriation to epithelialization of the abdominal wall utilizing the NPWT method, following which, enteral nutrition was started. However, she had to be maintained nil-per-oral again because of the occurrence of jejunal perforation. NPWT is a safe and effective treatment for GO, but NPWT may cause intestinal perforation if the GO capsule is fragile. The intestinal drainage caused delayed epithelialization, but we were eventually able to achieve closure of the jejunal perforation by swabbing the drainage with gauze and dressing the abdominal wall to minimize the delay in epithelialization. Our experience shows that jejunal perforation can be overcome, although it is a relatively rare complication of GO.

We were persistent in addressing the above-mentioned therapeutic challenges. We were able to achieve abdominal wall closure by introducing the novel idea of using artificial dermis to close the abdominal wall by epithelialization.

The abdominal wall closed by this method had a defect in the fascia, for which we have planned reconstruction of the abdominal wall in the future in two phases once the baby achieves physical maturity.

4. Conclusion

Although two-stage surgery is required, treatment with collagen-based artificial dermis for epithelialization can be considered in cases where it is extremely difficult to return the viscera to the abdomen or in cases where gastrointestinal perforation leads to difficulties in conventional management.
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