Influenza A and B outbreaks differed in their associations with climate conditions in Shenzhen, China
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Abstract
Under the variant climate conditions in the transitional regions between tropics and subtropics, the impacts of climate factors on influenza subtypes have rarely been evaluated. With the available influenza A (Flu-A) and influenza B (Flu-B) outbreak data in Shenzhen, China, which is an excellent example of a transitional marine climate, the associations of multiple climate variables with these outbreaks were explored in this study. Daily laboratory-confirmed influenza virus and climate data were collected from 2009 to 2015. Potential impacts of daily mean/maximum/minimum temperatures (T/Tmin/Tmax), relative humidity (RH), wind velocity (V), and diurnal temperature range (DTR) were analyzed using the distributed lag nonlinear model (DLNM) and generalized additive model (GAM). Under its local climate partitions, Flu-A mainly prevailed in summer months (May to June), and a second peak appeared in early winter (December to January). Flu-B outbreaks usually occurred in transitional seasons, especially in autumn. Although low temperature caused an instant increase in both Flu-A and Flu-B risks, its effect could persist for up to 10 days for Flu-B and peak at 17 °C (relative risk (RR) = 14.16, 95% CI: 7.46–26.88). For both subtypes, moderate–high temperature (28 °C) had a significant but delayed effect on influenza, especially for Flu-A (RR = 26.20, 95% CI: 13.22–51.20). The Flu-A virus was sensitive to RH higher than 76%, while higher Flu-B risks were observed at both low (< 65%) and high (> 83%) humidity. Flu-A was active for a short term after exposure to large DTR (e.g., DTR = 10 °C, RR = 12.45, 95% CI: 6.50–23.87), whereas Flu-B mainly circulated under stable temperatures. Although the overall wind speed in Shenzhen was low, moderate wind (2–3 m/s) was found to favor the outbreaks of both subtypes. This study revealed the thresholds of various climatic variables promoting influenza outbreaks, as well as the distinctions between the flu subtypes. These data can be helpful in predicting seasonal influenza outbreaks and minimizing the impacts, based on integrated forecast systems coupled with short-term climate models.
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Introduction
Seasonal influenza causes annual epidemics, leading to approximately 3–5 million cases and 250,000–500,000 deaths worldwide (World Health Organization 2018). The public is concerned about new influenza pandemics. Older people and young children, as well as those with certain health conditions (asthma, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, etc.), are at high risk of serious flu complications (Nimbalkar and Tripathi 2016; Virlogeux et al. 2015). Studies have revealed that seasonal influenza is virus type specific and climate dependent (Ye et al. 2019; Alonso et al. 2007; Tamerius et al. 2013; Lofgren et al. 2007). Influenza A (Flu-A) and influenza B (Flu-B) are presently the two major viruses circulating globally, which exhibit distinctive but intriguing dynamics of infection patterns (Tang and Loh 2016).
Generally, in temperate areas, influenza peaks once in a year during the cold season (Finkelman et al. 2007; Chong et al. 2020). But, the seasonal patterns in tropical and subtropical regions are more complicated, where influenza activity occurs year-round or with multiple epidemics in different seasons (Iha et al. 2016; Liu et al. 2018). Higher influenza activities during rainy seasons have also been found in tropical and subtropical regions (Soebiyanto et al. 2010; Moura et al. 2009). Therefore, epidemic onsets in lower latitudes are generally regarded as rather local (Du et al. 2012; Shu et al. 2010; Yu et al. 2013).

Low temperature has been detected as a major determinant for influenza, favoring both Flu-A and Flu-B under diverse climate backgrounds (Huang et al. 2017; Jaakkola et al. 2014; Soebiyanto et al. 2015; Xu et al. 2013). More specifically, in tropical and subtropical regions, there exhibits a U- or N-shaped association between Flu-A and air temperature, while Flu-B behaves differently with inconsistent patterns (Chong et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2020). In addition, absolute/relative humidity has been associated with influenza activity across temperate and subtropical climates (Soebiyanto et al. 2014). Other climate variables, including rainfall, daily temperature range, and wind velocity, are believed to affect seasonal influenza infections as well (Gomez-Barroso et al. 2017; Shaman and Kohn 2009; Shaman et al. 2011; Tamerius et al. 2013). Further, climate factors may promote influenza infections with complex interactive effects (Wang et al. 2017). For example, Tamerius et al. (2013) indicated that “cold-dry” and “humid-rainy” conditions tended to affect influenza seasonality worldwide.

Considering the geographical heterogeneity of seasonal influenza patterns in various climatic zones, the multifaceted associations of climate conditions with influenza virus subtypes still remain unclear in the transitional regions between tropics and subtropics, such as Shenzhen, China. It is the first special economic zone in southern China, where the fast economic development has led to the highest urbanization level (100%), with a permanent population exceeding 13.439 million (2019), and a large proportion of young residents (National Bureau of Statistics of China, http://tjj.sz.gov.cn/). The summer is very hot and humid, lasting for nearly 6 months, accompanied by staged heavy rainfall due to tropical cyclones or monsoon depressions. The winter lasts for less than a month but is usually affected by cold and dry air spells.

In order to supplement the researches on seasonal influenza, we introduced the distributed lag nonlinear model (DLNM), to illuminate the detailed exposure-lag-response relations between multiple climate variables and influenza subtype outbreaks in Shenzhen, based on the laboratory-confirmed positive specimens.

Materials and methods

Data source

The national surveillance of influenza-like illness (ILI) in China was launched in 2000, with year-round operations in sentinel hospitals in southern China (Feng et al. 2010). ILI is defined as patients suffer from acute respiratory infection and fever (≥ 38 °C), with cough and/or sore throat. Identified ILI cases were reported weekly through China Influenza Surveillance Information System (CISIS) by sentinel hospitals. The routine operations of CISIS, as well as the detailed procedure of sample collection and laboratory testing, have been listed in previous researches (Feng et al. 2010, 2012, 2018). Besides, the vaccination of seasonal influenza has yet to be included in the national immunization program of China (Zhang et al. 2020).

An ILI outbreak refers to the abnormal ILI increase in the same area within a short period, i.e., ten or more cases occurring within 1 week. Once an epidemic report is received, the local Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) was requested to verify the situation immediately and carry out epidemiological investigations. The respiratory tract specimens (e.g., throat swab, nasal swab, nasopharyngeal swab) should be collected within 3 days of onset. All of specimens of severe or dead patients should be included, new cases ought to be collected first. For each outbreak, at least 10 specimens (if less than 10, all are taken) were collected and sent to the influenza surveillance network laboratory. The virus’ subtypes or strains were then determined by nucleic acid detection within 24 h. If the etiological diagnosis was not clear, the sampling batch and quantity could be increased appropriately. Finally, detailed information about each outbreak was entered into CISIS of the Chinese CDC (http://www.chinaiivdc.cn/cnic/zyzx/).

A total of 1157 ILI outbreak epidemics occurred in the 10 districts of Shenzhen (i.e., Baoan, Guangming, Longhua, Nanshan, Futian, Luohu, Longgang, Yantian, Pingan, and Dapeng districts) between 1 January 2009 and 31 December 2015, with a total of 5744 specimens being tested. We collected daily laboratory-confirmed positive case data from Shenzhen CDC. The data included dates and addresses of epidemic outbreaks, the number of patients with fever and their main symptoms, details of sampling and detection, as well as the final test results. According to the dates of outbreaks, a consecutive time series (2556 days) of daily confirmed cases was built and analyzed subsequently. The analysis excluded the A/H1N1 pandemic season April 2009–April 2010, which was investigated and counted separately, to focus on seasonal influenza patterns.

Meteorological data for Shenzhen from 1 January 2009 to 31 December 2015 were obtained from the
China Meteorological Data Network (http://data.cma.cn/). Observed parameters mainly included daily mean/maximum/minimum temperatures ($T/T_{\text{max}}/T_{\text{min}}$), mean relative humidity (RH), wind velocity ($V$), daily precipitation, and sunshine duration. Moreover, the daily temperature range (DTR) was also calculated from the daily maximum and minimum temperatures.

**Statistical analysis**

To assess the potential nonlinear impacts of meteorological factors on influenza outbreaks, the generalized additive model (GAM) with quasi-Poisson family of distributions (Hastie and Tibshirani 1995) and a DLNM (Gasparrini and Armstrong 2010; Gasparrini 2011) were employed. The models were constructed separately for Flu-A and Flu-B. For the ease of comparison, all parameters and settings of confounding factors were the same for both subtypes.

In order to control long-term trend and seasonality, the GAMs were adjusted for trends by including a counter variable for each day of the time series and fitting a smoothing spline ($df = 4 \times 7$). We used 4–7 $df$ per year for the trend in the sensitivity analysis, which revealed slightly different patterns of temperature-influenza relationship; 4 $df$ per year was finally selected. Holidays and day of the week (DOW) were marked by categorical dummy variables in the GAMs.

The bi-dimensional “cross-basis” of $T/T_{\text{max}}/T_{\text{min}}$ was built in DLNMs separately. A natural cubic spline with 5 $df$ was used for temperature metrics, and a polynomial function (degree = 4) was used to capture the lags up to 14 days. It was based upon previously reported incubation period of influenza, the Akaike information criterion (AIC), as well as the stability of the revealed exposure–response relationships between temperature and influenza subtypes (Dai et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2020).

To capture the potential confounding effects of RH, DTR, and $V$, cross-basis functions were also built for each of them. Referring to a previous study (Zhang et al. 2020), the maximum lag for RH was set to 14 days, with the same $df$ as for temperature. After multiple sensitivity tests, the maximum lag of DTR and $V$ was set to 10 days to capture all effects and achieve maximum model stability, and 4 $df$ for both dimensions was selected (Zhang et al. 2020). Considering the collinearity between air temperature and solar duration or between humidity and precipitation, solar duration and precipitation were not included in our models (Table S1).

The final GAM was obtained using the following formula:

$$E(Y_t) = \sum cb(\text{climate variables, } df_1, \text{lag, } df_2) + s(\text{trend}) + \text{DOW} + \text{holiday} + \alpha$$

where $E(Y_t)$ denotes estimated daily positive influenza cases on day $t$; $cb()$ represents the cross-basis matrix of climate variables, and only one temperature metric could be introduced in each model; trend, holiday, and DOW are self-explanatory; $s()$ denotes the smoothing spline functions, and $\alpha$ is the model residuals.

Relative risk (RR), representing the risk of onset caused by a unit change of certain climate factor ($\Delta \text{Var}_i$) relative to its reference (RR = 1), was used to quantify the impact of climate conditions on influenza. RR and its 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated as follows:

$$\text{RR} = \exp(\beta_i \times \Delta \text{Var}_i)$$

$$95\% \text{CI} = \exp[(\beta_i \pm 1.96 \text{SE}) \times \Delta \text{Var}_i]$$

$\beta_i$ represents the effects of $\text{Var}_i$ on influenza subtype outbreaks, and SE is the standard error of $\beta_i$. All statistical tests were two-sided, and values of $P < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant. Further, auto-correlation and partial auto-correlation coefficients of the model residuals are in Fig. S1. The R 3.6.1 software (http://www.r-project.org) was used for model fitting. The “mgcv” and “dlnm” packages in R were employed.

**Results**

**Descriptive statistics**

A total of 3330 positive specimens were confirmed, including 1574 Flu-A specimens (47.3%) and 1756 Flu-B specimens (52.7%). Table 1 summarizes the daily positive cases and climate variables throughout 2009–2015. The annual range of mean temperature, relative humidity, DTR, wind speed, and solar duration in Shenzhen was 5.4–33 C, 19–100%, 1.3–13.8 C, 0.3–6.7 m/s, and 0.0–12.5 h, respectively (Table 1). The percentiles of all collected meteorological variables are listed in Table S2.

**Table 1** Statistical summary of confirmed influenza cases from 2009 to 2015 in Shenzhen and the corresponding meteorological variables

|                  | Mean | Median | SD  | Min  | Max  |
|------------------|------|--------|-----|------|------|
| Flu-A            | 0.616| 0      | 2.48| 0    | 33   |
| Flu-B            | 0.687| 0      | 2.50| 0    | 32   |
| $T$ (°C)         | 23.19| 24.60  | 5.60| 5.40 | 33.00|
| $T_{\text{max}}$ (°C) | 26.83| 28.10  | 5.63| 7.20 | 36.40|
| $T_{\text{min}}$ (°C) | 20.74| 22.10  | 5.70| 2.40 | 29.80|
| RH (%)           | 73.19| 75.43  | 12.95| 19.00| 100.0 |
| DTR (°C)         | 6.09 | 6.10   | 1.97| 1.30 | 13.80|
| $V$ (m/s)        | 2.20 | 2.10   | 0.80| 0.30 | 6.70  |
| Solar duration (h)| 5.29 | 5.80   | 3.79| 0    | 12.50 |
| Precipitation (mm)| 4.49 | 5.80   | 14.23| 0.00 | 187.80|
In particular, the overall wind velocity in Shenzhen was low, with the 50th and 90th percentiles at 2.10 m/s and 2.75 m/s, respectively (Table S2).

Table 2 The division of seasons in Shenzhen and corresponding climate conditions during 2009–2015

|               | Spring | Summer | Autumn | Winter |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Date of beginning | Feb. 6 | Apr. 21 | Nov. 4 | Jan. 13 |
| Length (days)  | 76     | 196    | 69     | 24     |
| Mean T (°C)    | 19.40  | 27.34  | 18.03  | 15.88  |
| Mean RH (%)    | 76.36  | 75.38  | 65.81  | 66.99  |
| Mean V (m/s)   | 2.22   | 2.19   | 2.24   | 2.14   |
| Mean DTR (°C)  | 6.25   | 5.82   | 6.33   | 7.20   |
| Precipitation (mm) | 218.9 | 1309.0 | 101.9  | 11.24  |
| Flu-A cases    | 303    | 929    | 258    | 84     |
| Flu-B cases    | 541    | 436    | 779    | 0      |

Division of seasons and temporal characteristics

Considering the specific subtropical monsoon climate of Shenzhen, the seasons were divided according to Shenzhen Meteorological Bureau standards based on climatic data from 1981 to 2010 (http://weather.sz.gov.cn/). The winter ends if the 5-day moving average temperature steadily exceeds 10 °C, and the summer starts if the 5-day moving average exceeds 22 °C. The details of seasons and corresponding climate conditions from 2009 to 2015 are shown in Table 2. Particularly, no positive Flu-B case was confirmed during the winter (Table 2).

Figure 1 shows that Flu-A usually peaked during the summer months, with the highest morbidity appearing in May and June (Table S3). Flu-A may also peak in December and January in some years (Fig. 1, Table S3). Flu-B had an annual spring peak, and its autumn peak (November to December) occurred only in some years (Fig. 1).
Correlation analysis

Table 3 presents the bivariate correlations between positive specimens and climate variables. In summer, Flu-A correlated positively with $T$, $T_{\text{min}}$, and $V$, while negatively with RH. These relationships were barely observed during other seasons (Table 3). In contrast, a significant negative relationship was found between Flu-B and temperature metrics, RH, and rainfall, except in winter (Table 3). Nonetheless, the relatively weak significance might be due to the sample size (2556 days) or interrelationship among variables.

Associations between influenza outbreaks with climate variables

DLNMs suggested apparent nonlinear cumulative associations between climate variables with outbreaks of Flu-A and Flu-B (Fig. 2). Table 4 lists the RRs of both subtypes associated with specific climatic variables at different lags. Similar overall effects of three temperature metrics on influenza activities were revealed (Fig. 2a, b). For mean temperature, the risk of Flu-A started increasing when the temperature dropped below 24.6 °C, and it peaked at 11 °C with RR of 84.95 (95% CI: 26.34–274.98). Relatively high temperature (27–30 °C) also promoted the spread of Flu-A, with another peak occurring at 28 °C (RR = 26.20, 95% CI: 13.22–51.20) (Fig. 2a). In contrast, moderately low temperature associated with higher Flu-B risk, peaking at 17 °C (RR = 14.16, 95% CI: 7.46–26.88) (Fig. 2b). Moderate–high temperature was also found to promote Flu-B activity (Fig. 2b). Table S4 compares RR of two subtypes associated with three temperature metrics.

Further, J- or V-shaped relationships between RH and risks of Flu-A and Flu-B are shown in Fig. 2a and b. RH exceeding 76% favored Flu-A infection, while more Flu-B was observed at both low (< 65%) and high (> 83%) humidity. In terms of DTR, large DTR (10 °C) exerted more Flu-A infections (RR = 12.45, 95% CI: 6.50–23.87), whereas the highest Flu-B risk was observed at DTR < 3 °C (Fig. 2a, b). Significant associations were also revealed between moderate wind (2–3 m/s) and both subtypes, relative to extreme conditions (reference = 4 m/s) (Fig. 2a, b).

The delayed associations of specific temperatures with influenza outbreaks are shown in Fig. 3. Moreover, the overall exposure-lag-response plots of temperature and RH are presented in Figs. S2 and S3. The 5th (12.8 °C), 25th (29 °C), and 95th (29.9 °C) percentiles of temperature indicated the cold, moderately hot, and very hot weathers, respectively. High risks for both subtypes appeared under low temperature from lag 0 day, while the persistence time was longer for Flu-B (10 days) than Flu-A (4 days) (Fig. 3, Table 4). Extremely high temperature (29.9 °C) had longer reaction delay for both flu subtypes compared with moderate conditions (28 °C), and the impact was especially prominent for Flu-A (Fig. 3, Table 4).

Figure 4 displays the effect of specific RH, with a unified reference of 75%. Overall, high RH promoted the spread of both subtypes in lag periods, with a higher risk being revealed for Flu-B (Fig. 4, Table 4). Low RH also increased Flu-B risk with persistent effects (Fig. 4, Table 4). The extreme RH (> 91% or < 48%) was rarely observed (Table S2), so the accuracy of time series models might be limited.

The DTR of 4 °C, 6 °C, and 9 °C represented the small (10th), moderate (50th), and large (90th) diurnal ranges of temperature, respectively (Fig. 5). Flu-A was active on lag 1–3 days after exposure to large DTR, while the effect of small DTR occurred with more delay. Flu-B was mainly sensitive to moderate and small DTRs, with 1-day lag.

With regard to the small wind velocity as well as its cumulative effect revealed in Fig. 2, RRs of influenza associated with $V = 2$ m/s are listed in Table 4.

Discussion

This study was the first to evaluate the associations between influenza subtype outbreaks and various climatic variables in Shenzhen, China, under its geographical and climatic
Fig. 2 Cumulative associations between climate variables with a Flu-A and b Flu-B in Shenzhen (the reference values (Ref) for temperatures and RH were their medians, and those for DTR and V were their lowest RR points)
### Table 4

The RRs with 95% CI of Flu-A and Flu-B associated with climatic variables at different lags in Shenzhen, from 2009 to 2015.

|       | RR                      | 0-day lag | 2-day lag    | 7-day lag    | 10-day lag   |
|-------|-------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| **T** |                         |           |              |              |              |
| Flu-A | 12.8 °C vs. 24.6 °C     | 2.69 (1.74–4.14)   | 1.59 (1.30–1.95)* | 1.04 (0.89–1.22) | 1.23 (1.08–1.41)* |
|       | 29.9 °C vs. 24.6 °C     | 0.87 (0.62–1.21)   | 1.02 (0.87–1.20) | 1.34 (1.18–1.52)* | 0.85 (0.75–0.95) |
| Flu-B | 12.8 °C vs. 24.6 °C     | 1.47 (1.11–1.95)* | 1.31 (1.14–1.50)* | 1.30 (1.16–1.46)* | 1.09 (0.99–1.19) |
|       | 29.9 °C vs. 24.6 °C     | 0.66 (0.43–1.03)   | 0.90 (0.72–1.13) | 1.16 (0.99–1.36) | 1.08 (0.92–1.26) |
| **RH**|                         |           |              |              |              |
| Flu-A | 48 vs. 75%              | 0.73 (0.58–0.91)   | 0.96 (0.85–1.09) | 1.07 (0.96–1.20) | 1.09 (0.99–1.21) |
|       | 91 vs. 75%              | 1.01 (0.81–1.26)   | 1.23 (1.09–1.39)* | 1.08 (0.98–1.20) | 1.15 (1.06–1.25)* |
| Flu-B | 48 vs. 75%              | 1.12 (0.93–1.36)   | 1.23 (1.11–1.36)* | 1.14 (1.05–1.23)* | 1.21 (1.11–1.31)* |
|       | 91 vs. 75%              | 1.29 (1.06–1.58)* | 0.96 (0.85–1.09) | 1.47 (1.32–1.63)* | 1.45 (1.31–1.60)* |
| **DTR**|                       |           |              |              |              |
| Flu-A | 3 °C vs. 7 °C           | 0.62 (0.50–0.75)   | 0.87 (0.76–1.00) | 1.56 (1.39–1.75)* | 0.94 (0.79–1.11) |
|       | 9 °C vs. 7 °C           | 1.07 (0.93–1.23)   | 1.15 (1.04–1.26)* | 0.99 (0.91–1.08) | 1.17 (1.03–1.34)* |
| Flu-B | 3 °C vs. 9 °C           | 0.93 (0.78–1.12)   | 1.46 (1.29–1.65)* | 1.03 (0.93–1.15) | 0.92 (0.78–1.09) |
|       | 6 °C vs. 9 °C           | 1.02 (0.86–1.21)   | 1.20 (1.07–1.35)* | 1.35 (1.22–1.49)* | 1.19 (1.00–1.42)* |
| **V** |                         |           |              |              |              |
| Flu-A | 2 m/s vs. 4 m/s         | 0.93 (0.74–1.17)   | 1.27 (1.08–1.50)* | 1.32 (1.16–1.51)* | 0.99 (0.80–1.21) |
| Flu-B | 2 m/s vs. 4 m/s         | 1.43 (1.15–1.78)* | 1.24 (1.08–1.43)* | 1.55 (1.38–1.75)* | 0.58 (0.47–0.71) |

*Significant results

**Fig. 3** Lag-response association at specific mean temperatures of **a** Flu-A and **b** Flu-B. The continuous bold lines represent the estimated influenza risks, and the dotted lines are the 95% confidence intervals.

**Fig. 4** Lag-response association between RH with Flu-A and Flu-B (ref = 75%, lag = 14 days). The continuous bold lines represent the estimated influenza risks, and the dotted lines are the 95% confidence intervals.
conditions. Three temperature metrics, RH, DTR, and $V$, were revealed to be associated with Flu-A and Flu-B nonlinearly by different time lags.

Flu-A had an annual peak in early summer, with a second peak occasionally occurring in winter. Flu-B was mainly detected in March and between November and December. These findings are generally consistent with previous studies, which reported the semiannual epidemic in the summer in subtropical cities like Hong Kong, Shanghai, and Singapore (Yang et al. 2018a, b; Ye et al. 2019). At mid-latitudes of China, Flu-A peaks in winter and summer, while the activity of Flu-B predominates in cold seasons (Meng et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2020). Yu et al. (2013) found that influenza circulates in southern China with a major peak occurring in January to February, and minor ones in June to August. Nevertheless, in Shenzhen, no Flu-B outbreak occurred in winter (January 13–February 5), most likely due to the short duration and specific climate characteristics.

Air temperature was significantly associated with influenza activity, with similar relations of three metrics to positive specimens. The exposure–response curves mainly indicated high cumulative risk of Flu-A during cold weather. Cold weathers were generally considered to lengthen the survival of influenza virus (Cheng et al. 2016; Liao et al. 2005; Polozov et al. 2008). High temperature also promoted Flu-A outbreaks, and similar finding was reported in Hong Kong (Chan et al. 2009). Compared with Flu-A epidemic in the subtropical city Shanghai, RR peaked at warmer weather in Shenzhen, i.e., 28 °C vs. 25.8 °C (Zhang et al. 2020). An experimental study revealed aerosol transmission was inefficient at 30 °C, and the transmission via direct contact was enhanced in tropical regions (Lowen et al. 2008). Supported by Zhang et al. (2020), extremely hot temperature did not promote the spreads of both subtypes, possible confounders including the decreased outdoor exposures, popularization of air conditioning, and decreased viral activity. Two aspects could explain the overall weak relationships between Flu-A and air temperature in seasons other than summer. On the one hand, very few positive samples were detected, adding to the uncertainty of statistical methods. On the other hand, compared to temperate zones, the annual temperature variation as well as low temperature effect was smaller in Shenzhen.

We found that Flu-B was negatively associated with temperature throughout the year, which was consistent with previous studies in subtropical China (Zhang et al. 2020; Yu et al. 2013). Flu-B was particularly active in moderate cold conditions, with the highest RR at 17 °C. Similar situations were also observed in H5N1 and H7N9, with the highest risks in 5–10 °C and 10–15 °C, respectively (Li et al. 2015). Besides, this threshold (17 °C) was obviously higher than that (5 °C) in Jiangsu Province, China (Dai et al. 2018). In short, for subtropical climate zones, moderate cold weathers seemed to have stronger effects on Flu-B virus activity than extremely low temperatures. Concerning residents living in low altitudes, relatively low temperatures may also increase their susceptibility and weaken the immunities (Lofgren et al. 2007).

Under the climate background of Shenzhen, Flu-A was mainly promoted by RH exceeding its median (75%), which was highly consistent with a recent study (Chong et al. 2020). In contrast, more Flu-B outbreaks were observed at both dry and humid conditions. Low RH has been found to dominate the airborne transmission (Sundell et al. 2016; Yang and Marr 2011). However, this effect might be weakened in humid zones. For temperate and some subtropical zones, relatively high and low humidity has been revealed to favor the spread of influenza, regardless of Flu-A or Flu-B (Lowen et al. 2007; Hemmes et al. 1960). In tropical areas, peaks of infection were also related to rainy seasons/high humidity, as reported in Western India, northern Brazil, Hong Kong, and Arizona (Soebiyanto et al. 2010; Chew et al. 1998; Moura et al. 2009). Cold and humid conditions might also greatly enhance the indoor transmissions (Chong et al. 2020). Nevertheless, wet environment was recognized to block viral replication (Tamerius et al. 2013; Lowen et al. 2007).
The air conditioning usually lowers humidity, and whether it affects host behavior, physiology, or virus survival remains unclear (Chong et al. 2020). Therefore, mechanisms between RH and influenza activity need to be further explored.

Large DTR associated with greater Flu-A risk, while small DTR promoted Flu-B activity. In the dry seasons of Hong Kong, DTR also positively associated with confirmed influenza cases, but not modified by influenza types (Li et al. 2018). Besides, more of older patients were observed to contract influenza in Beijing under large temperature variations (Lao et al. 2018). The physiological mechanisms of DTR on influenza were not elucidated up to now. Several possible reasons are listed as follows. Firstly, a large temperature range may increase respiratory vulnerability and induce the onset of a respiratory event (Imai et al. 1998). Secondly, large diurnal temperature variations could influence humoral and cellular immunity (Bull 1980). Thirdly, large DTR usually occurs in transition seasons, during which frequent fluctuations in temperature, humidity, and wind may also add influenza risk.

In addition, moderate wind speed was found to increase influenza risk in Shenzhen, which was inconsistent with previous results (Yuan et al. 2006; Firestone et al. 2012). It has been indicated that high wind may lead to increased infections of influenza, respiratory syncytial virus, and severe acute respiratory syndrome virus (du Prel et al. 2009; Firestone et al. 2012). Although large wind velocity promoted the longer travel of airborne aerosols (Sematimba et al. 2012), relatively still air might favor the stay and spread of influenza virus in the same area, thus leading to more outbreaks of influenza in schools, childcare centers, or other crowded spaces.

There are several strengths in the study. First, it is a systematic and comprehensive analysis of the lag-nonlinear relationships between multiple climate factors and influenza outbreaks in Shenzhen, which has an atypical subtropical climate and a unique social-economic status in China. This is crucial for optimizing public health decisions on vaccination strategy and healthcare resource arrangement. Second, the influenza outbreak data from Shenzhen CDC was continuous in time and in a standard format. The data had high surveillance coverage in all the ten districts of Shenzhen. Third, two influenza virus subtypes were compared in their epidemic characteristics as well as relations to climatic conditions. Finally, our findings may also be relevant to the complex transmission patterns of influenza in other countries, especially for transitional regions between the subtropics and tropics.

A few limitations also should be noted. First, the representativeness of results might be modified by age and gender, and Shenzhen has its uniqueness in age structure. Thus, detailed investigations on subgroups are needed in future. Second, the data accuracy might be affected by sample collection and processing approaches. Although reported cases of most outbreak epidemics were less than 10, slight deviation may still exist in representativeness of positive specimens due to the sampling regulation. Third, air pollutants, indoor temperature and humidity conditions, host susceptibility, as well as viral migration could also affect the transmission of influenza (Lofgren et al. 2007). The air quality monitoring was started in 2013 in Shenzhen, so the pollution data was not included in our models. Finally, the potential synergistic effects among multiple variables, e.g., T and DTR, and T and RH, need further exploration through diversified approaches.

Conclusion

Our study suggested that climate conditions had significant and multidimensional effects on influenza outbreaks in Shenzhen, China. The risky meteorological thresholds for influenza A and B were distinct with those in typical subtropical or tropical areas. The results extended our understanding of the driving effects of climate on influenza infection and might help develop an alert system to improve the response capacity to influenza epidemics, based on short-term climate predictions. Even so, the climate variables should always be used carefully due to the complexity of revealed associations.
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