Transcription factor-mediated intestinal metaplasia and the role of a shadow enhancer
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Barrett’s esophagus (BE) and gastric intestinal metaplasia are related premalignant conditions in which areas of human stomach epithelium express mixed gastric and intestinal features. Intestinal transcription factors (TFs) are expressed in both conditions, with unclear causal roles and cis-regulatory mechanisms. Ectopic CDX2 reprogrammed isogenic mouse stomach organoid lines to a hybrid stomach–intestinal state transcriptionally similar to clinical metaplasia; squamous esophageal organoids resisted this CDX2-mediated effect. Reprogramming was associated with induced activity at thousands of previously inaccessible intestine-restricted enhancers, where CDX2 occupied DNA directly. HNF4A, a TF recently implicated in BE pathogenesis, induced weaker intestinalization by binding a novel shadow Cdx2 enhancer and hence activating Cdx2 expression. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated germline deletion of that cis-element demonstrated its requirement in Cdx2 induction and in the resulting activation of intestinal genes in stomach cells. dCas9-conjugated KRAB repression mapped this activity to the shadow enhancer’s HNF4A binding site. Altogether, we show extensive but selective recruitment of intestinal enhancers by CDX2 in gastric cells and that HNF4A-mediated ectopic CDX2 expression in the stomach occurs through a conserved shadow cis-element. These findings identify mechanisms for TF-driven intestinal metaplasia and a likely pathogenic TF hierarchy.
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Differential enhancer usage defines cell identities. Enhancer activity requires nucleosome displacement [Felsenfeld 1992, Polach and Widom 1995], and “pioneer” TFs “open” inaccessible chromatin [Iwafuchi-Doi and Zaret 2016]. A few tissue-restricted TFs, including pioneer factors, together regulate any cell’s unique complement of cis-elements, commonly co-occupy active enhancers, and regulate each other’s expression [Saint-André et al. 2016]. Other “shadow” enhancers lie within open chromatin and become active only in special circumstances [Cannavò et al. 2016]. aberrant enhancer access or ectopic TF expression can cause developmental defects, cancer, and other disorders [Lee and Young 2013; Lin et al. 2016].

The absence of CDX2 disrupts intestinal specification in mouse embryos [Stringer et al. 2008; Gao et al. 2009] and causes intestinal failure in adults [Verzi et al. 2010, 2013]. TFs such as HNF4a, HNF4y, and GATA4 cooperate with CDX2 within a core intestinal network [Beuling et al. 2011; San Roman et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2019]. Barrett’s esophagus (BE) and gastric intestinal metaplasia...
Intestinal TFs induce cellular metaplasia and intestinal enhancers in mouse stomach organoids

The adult mouse gastric antrum is histologically similar to the mouse cardia [Barker et al. 2010] and to the source of human BE [Lavery et al. 2014]. In RNA analyses of single human cells, the cardia and antrum/pylorus [Busslinger et al. 2021b, Nowicki-Osuch et al. 2021] show overlapping profiles [Supplemental Fig. S1A,B], especially among undifferentiated stem-like cells [Supplemental Fig. S1C,D], and a recent study also identified strong similarities between the cardia and antrum [Busslinger et al. 2021]. Organoids cultured from adult mouse antrum [Mahe et al. 2013; Miyoshi and Stappenbeck 2013] can therefore serve as a model to replicate IM and, unlike the cardia, the antrum avoids the risk of contamination from adjoining squamous epithelium.

CDX2 is abundantly expressed in BE and GIM [Liu et al. 2007; Lee et al. 2012; Singh et al. 2021], and HNF4A, a TF expressed at low levels in adult stomachs, is up-regulated in both conditions [Kojima et al. 2006; Nowicki-Osuch et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2021]. Transcriptional profiling of BE identifies CDX2 and HNF4A as prominent candidate regulators [Supplemental Fig. S2A,B], similar to findings in recent single-cell RNA analyses [Nowicki-Osuch et al. 2021]. Moreover, CDX2 specifies the mouse embryonic intestine [Stringer et al. 2008; Gao et al. 2009], and some of its adult functions occur in conjunction with HNF4A [Verzi et al. 2010; San Roman et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2019]. To ask whether these TFs intestinalize stomach epithelium, we generated isogenic antral organoid lines with stable expression of GFP [controls], HNF4A, or CDX2. Lentivirus-driven CDX2 levels were similar to those in intestinal epithelium; HNF4A levels were lower than those in the intestine but increased above basal gastric expression [Fig. 1A,B].

Both TFs activated molecular markers specific to the major intestinal cell types, such as alkaline phosphatase [ALPL, enterocytes] and lysozyme [Paneth cells], which are absent or negligible in stomach cells or organoids, and induced crypt-like outpouchings in 1%–10% of stomach organoids [Fig. 1B,C]. Compared with GFP+ gastric organoids, independent HNF4A+ and CDX2+ organoid lines activated hundreds of genes [DESeq2, log2 fold difference ≥1, q < 0.05] [Supplemental Table S1] that overlapped significantly with each other [representation factor 29.3, P < 3.575 × 10–193], with genes expressed in intestine-derived organoids, with genes specific to mouse intestines compared with other tissues, and with intestinal microvillus and membrane functions [Supplemental Fig. S2C–E]. Small differences in RNA levels of intestinal genes among organoid lines may reflect differences in ectopic TF levels [Fig. 1B], but intestinal features were in every case significant compared with isogenic GFP controls and less robust with HNF4A than with CDX2. Genes induced by CDX2 were highly enriched for functions related to its known transcriptional control of brush border, cytoskeletal, and apico–basal polarity genes [Supplemental Fig. S2E, Gao and Kaestner 2010] and resembled RNA profiles of human BE and GIM [Fig. 1D,E, Supplemental Fig. S2F]. Thus, our model system recapitulates significant aspects of these disorders, making it useful to investigate molecular mechanisms of gastric intestinalization likely common to both conditions.

ATAC-seq analysis of CDX2+, HNF4A+, and control [intestinal and GFP+ gastric] organoid lines [Supplemental Table S2] revealed that distant cis-elements (>2 kb upstream or >1 kb downstream from transcription start sites [TSSs]) specific to intestinal or GFP+ gastric organoids were associated with expression of nearby intestine- or stomach-restricted genes, respectively, and enriched
for motifs of TFs corresponding to that tissue [Supplemental Fig. S3A]. Thus, ATAC-seq identified bona fide enhancer activity in organoids. Compared with control GFP gastric organoids, CDX2+ and HNF4A+ organoids showed increased chromatin accessibility [DESeq2, log2 fold difference ≥1, q < 0.01] at 2898 and 391 enhancers, respectively [Figs. 1F, 2B, 3B]. Most HNF4A-induced enhancers [258 of 391] were also induced by CDX2, and nearly all enhancers rendered accessible in CDX2+ gastric organoids were open in intestinal organoids [Figs. 1F, 3B]. These sites were enriched for CDX2 and other intestinal TF motifs [Fig. 1F] and located near activated genes [Supplemental Fig. S3B]. Thus, whereas absence of CDX2 affects developing intestinal epigenomes only until midgestation [Banerjee et al. 2018], ectopic CDX2 activates an intestinal program in adult stomach cells by enabling chromatin access at many, but not all, intestinal enhancers.

**Direct CDX2 binding at responsive intestinal enhancers**

Enhancer activation may reflect direct or indirect TF binding to DNA. We therefore applied CUT&RUN [CnR], a sensitive method by which TF antibodies and protein A-tagged micrococcal nuclease map TF occupancy at nucleotide resolution [Hainer and Fazzio 2019], followed by “footprint” analysis to infer direct and indirect DNA binding [Zhu et al. 2019]. Knowing CDX2’s role in adult intestinal functions [Gao and Kaestner 2010; Verzi et al. 2010], we first mapped its binding in mouse duodenal villus epithelium. CDX2 occupancy in independent CnR replicates [Supplemental Fig. S3C] overlapped with sites previously identified by ChIP-seq [Saxena et al. 2017], and the absence of CnR signals in Cdx2−/− villus cells confirmed site specificity [Fig. 2A]. Motif-centered footprints, indicative of direct DNA binding [Zhu et al. 2019], were present at 10,573 sites. In contrast, 18,626 sites lacked an overt footprint [Fig. 2A], implying that CDX2 does not occupy the latter sites by sequence-specific DNA contacts but indirectly; i.e., through other protein contacts. Chromatin access and H3K27ac marking did not distinguish the two classes of sites [Fig. 2A], indicating that direct or indirect CDX2 occupancy does not define enhancer activity per se.

Of the 10,573 CnR sites bound directly in intestinal cells in vivo, 3438 sites [32.5%] were occupied in CDX2+ gastric organoids [Fig. 2B, C]. In contrast, only 831 regions bound indirectly in the intestine were occupied [4.5%; χ²
statistic 44.924, $P < 0.00001$), even though chromatin was inaccessible at baseline at most directly bound and accessible at most indirectly bound intestinal enhancers (Fig. 2B). Another 7099 CDX2-occupied sites revealed faint intestinal binding (not called as peaks) and equally deep motif-centered footprints in stomach organoids (Fig. 2C), suggesting direct TF contact with DNA. Thus, whereas CDX2 occupies enhancers both indirectly and by direct sequence-specific DNA contact in intestinal cells, all binding in heterologous stomach cells appeared direct, and activation of intestinal enhancers was selective (Fig. 2D).

The stomach epigenome is selectively sensitive to CDX2

To ask whether CDX2-mediated intestinalization is stomach-specific, we generated esophageal organoid lines coexpressing CDX2 and GFP, then used GFP flow cytometry to isolate CDX2-expressing esophageal cells. Open chromatin profiles were nearly identical in CDX2+ and GFP+ esophageal organoids, with only 39 differentially accessible sites ($\log_2$ fold difference >1, $q < 0.05$) (Fig. 3A,B). Whereas highly intestine-restricted loci such as Isx and Atoh1 (Yang et al. 2001; Choi et al. 2006) were extensively reprogrammed in CDX2+ stomach organoids, with
proportional increases in mRNA, these and other intestinal genes were unaffected in CDX2+ esophageal organoids (Fig. 3C; Supplemental Fig. S3D). Noting that CDX2 increased expression of several intestinal TFs in gastric organoids (Supplemental Fig. S3E), we asked whether it might activate intestinal genes alone or in concert with other TFs. We revisited motif analysis of open chromatin in CDX2+ gastric organoids, limited to ATAC-seq sites that showed features of direct TF binding: a deep central footprint or increased chromatin accessibility flanking the motif (Baek et al. 2017). CDX1 and CDX2 were the most enriched motifs, with additional significant enrichment only for other homeobox (which resemble that for CDX2, a homeodomain TF) and NFAT/NFATC family motifs (Fig. 3D). Thus, gastric epithelium is uniquely sensitive to the presence of CDX2 and is reprogrammed predominantly by CDX2, with possible contributions from NFAT family and other homeobox TFs such as ISX.

**Intestinal TF-mediated stomach reprogramming occurs independent of SOX2**

On a background of increased intestinal genes, the presence of intestinal TFs was associated with reduced
expression of stomach-specific transcripts (Fig. 3E). In agreement with this finding, chromatin access was reduced (log2 fold change $\geq 1$, $q < 0.05$) at 924 gastric enhancers, and classic gastric loci showed extensive attenuation of enhancers in CDX2+ lines that were variably attenuated in HNF4A+ lines (Fig. 4A; Supplemental Fig. S4A). Partial gains and losses of intestinal and gastric enhancer activity, respectively, in antral organoids mirror the mixed

Figure 4. CDX2 and HNF4A reprogram stomach organoids independent of SOX2. (A) ATAC-seq signals on stomach-specific cis-elements that lose chromatin access in CDX2+ stomach organoids. Access is reduced to lesser degrees in HNF4A+ organoids. ATAC-seq data tracks at the stomach-restricted Muc6 locus show reduced chromatin access at the promoter and 5′ enhancers in CDX2+ organoids. CDX2 (CnR, red) does not bind to gastric enhancers that lose access (ATAC-seq, blue) in CDX2+ stomach organoids, indicating that suppression of the stomach state occurs indirectly. (B) Sox2 mRNA is reduced and the SOX2 motif is significantly enriched at stomach-specific enhancers that show diminished accessibility in CDX2+ stomach organoids. (C) Comparative analysis of superenhancer (SE) and motif enrichment between normal tissues identifies SOX2 and CDX2 among candidate master TFs for stomach and intestinal epithelia, respectively. SE-bearing TF loci were identified, enrichment of the respective motifs at their own SEs (inward binding) and all other SEs (outward binding) were calculated, and the graph depicts the difference between stomach and intestinal scores for inward and outward binding for each TF. Unexpressed TFs are not scored; e.g., inward and outward binding scores $= 0$ for CDX2 in the stomach. (D) TF and control (Actin) immunoblots of GFP+, HNF4A+, and CDX2+ stomach organoid lines expressing WT or lacking [null] SOX2. Exposure of organoids to adenoviral Cre depleted SOX2. Of note, SOX2 is reduced in CDX2+ organoids. (E) SOX2 depletion does not impact global mRNA profiles of control, HNF4A+, or CDX2+ antral organoids, as judged by sample–sample correlations between RNA-seq data. (F) ATAC-seq profiles of SOX2-proficient and SOX2-null control, HNF4A+, and CDX2+ stomach organoid lines showing no change in stomach- or intestine-specific enhancers, defined and arrayed as in Supplemental Figure S3A.
stomach–intestinal states described in human BE [Van De Bovenkamp et al. 2003; Lavery et al. 2014] and GIM [Tsukamoto et al. 2006; Correa et al. 2010; Singh et al. 2021]. Notably, CDX2 CnR revealed no binding at the gastric enhancers attenuated in stomach organoids [Fig. 4A]. This finding implies that, unlike CDX2 activity at intestinal enhancers, loss of stomach enhancers is a secondary effect, likely reflecting suppression of gastric TFs. Indeed, among TFs whose DNA motifs were enriched at attenuated gastric enhancers, mRNA encoding SOX2, a foregut master TF [Que et al. 2007; Arnold et al. 2011], was notably reduced in CDX2+ gastric organoids [Fig. 4B].

In the stomach mucosa, the Sox2 locus carries a super-enhancer [Supplemental Fig. S4B], as expected from its defining role in foregut tissue identities [Que et al. 2007]. Moreover, the SOX2 motif is enriched in the Sox2 and other stomach-restricted superenhancers [Fig. 4C], a characteristic of master TF genes [Saint-André et al. 2016], and a previous study proposed that the balance between SOX2 and CDX2 dictates fetal stomach versus intestinal identity [Raghoebir et al. 2012]. To test whether loss of SOX2 accentuates TF-mediated gastric reprogramming, we cultured antral organoids from conditional Sox2fl/fl mice [Sarkar et al. 2016], expressed intestinal TFs using lentiviral delivery, and then depleted SOX2 by treating organoids with adenoviral CRE delivery over two sequential passages. Immunoblots confirmed SOX2 loss [Fig. 4D], which elicited no material effect on global organoid RNA profiles [Fig. 4E] or on CDX2- or HNF4A-responsive genes [Supplemental Fig. S4C]. ATAC-seq revealed no changes in open chromatin at intestine- or stomach-restricted enhancers compared with SOX2-proficient CDX2+ or HNF4A+ stomach organoids [only 33 regions were significantly increased in SOX2-null CDX2+ organoids] [Fig. 4F]. Thus, the absence of SOX2 does not augment intestinal TF effects on gastric reprogramming.

Pioneer-like CDX2 activity in stomach organoids

Although CDX2 is intestine-restricted in adults, other tissues express it during development and have different transcriptional targets. CDX2 occupancy in gastric organoids correlated uniquely with intestine-specific sites, compared with its binding in epiblast [Amin et al. 2016], neuronal [Mazzoni et al. 2013], or embryonic endodermal [Mahony et al. 2014] cells [Fig. 5A]; binding at a 3′ Cdx2 and intronic Mapk14 enhancers illustrate the specificity. Thus, the native stomach epigenome distinctly favors CDX2 binding at intestinal sites. Critical examination of organoid ATAC-seq data at the 3438 cis-elements with direct CDX2 binding shared with native intestines revealed previously accessible chromatin at <1000 sites (28%); this group was enriched for promoters [Fig. 5B], which in contrast to enhancers are generally accessible across tissues [Heintzman et al. 2009]. Importantly, >71% of sites lacked prior chromatin access, became accessible in the presence of CDX2, and were associated with increased expression of nearby intestinal genes [Fig. 5B]. In contrast, the ~7100 sites that CDX2 occupies in gastric organoids but not in stomach organoids—described in human BE [Van De Bovenkamp et al. 2003; Lavery et al. 2014] and GIM [Tsukamoto et al. 2006; Correa et al. 2010; Singh et al. 2021]. Notably, CDX2 CnR revealed no binding at the gastric enhancers attenuated in stomach organoids [Fig. 4A]. This finding implies that, unlike CDX2 activity at intestinal enhancers, loss of stomach enhancers is a secondary effect, likely reflecting suppression of gastric TFs. Indeed, among TFs whose DNA motifs were enriched at attenuated gastric enhancers, mRNA encoding SOX2, a foregut master TF [Que et al. 2007; Arnold et al. 2011], was notably reduced in CDX2+ gastric organoids [Fig. 4B].

In the stomach mucosa, the Sox2 locus carries a super-enhancer [Supplemental Fig. S4B], as expected from its defining role in foregut tissue identities [Que et al. 2007]. Moreover, the SOX2 motif is enriched in the Sox2 and other stomach-restricted superenhancers [Fig. 4C], a characteristic of master TF genes [Saint-André et al. 2016], and a previous study proposed that the balance between SOX2 and CDX2 dictates fetal stomach versus intestinal identity [Raghoebir et al. 2012]. To test whether loss of SOX2 accentuates TF-mediated gastric reprogramming, we cultured antral organoids from conditional Sox2fl/fl mice [Sarkar et al. 2016], expressed intestinal TFs using lentiviral delivery, and then depleted SOX2 by treating organoids with adenoviral CRE delivery over two sequential passages. Immunoblots confirmed SOX2 loss [Fig. 4D], which elicited no material effect on global organoid RNA profiles [Fig. 4E] or on CDX2- or HNF4A-responsive genes [Supplemental Fig. S4C]. ATAC-seq revealed no changes in open chromatin at intestine- or stomach-restricted enhancers compared with SOX2-proficient CDX2+ or HNF4A+ stomach organoids [only 33 regions were significantly increased in SOX2-null CDX2+ organoids] [Fig. 4F]. Thus, the absence of SOX2 does not augment intestinal TF effects on gastric reprogramming.

Pioneer-like CDX2 activity in stomach organoids

Although CDX2 is intestine-restricted in adults, other tissues express it during development and have different transcriptional targets. CDX2 occupancy in gastric organoids correlated uniquely with intestine-specific sites, compared with its binding in epiblast [Amin et al. 2016], neuronal [Mazzoni et al. 2013], or embryonic endodermal [Mahony et al. 2014] cells [Fig. 5A]; binding at a 3′ Cdx2 and intronic Mapk14 enhancers illustrate the specificity. Thus, the native stomach epigenome distinctly favors CDX2 binding at intestinal sites. Critical examination of organoid ATAC-seq data at the 3438 cis-elements with direct CDX2 binding shared with native intestines revealed previously accessible chromatin at <1000 sites (28%); this group was enriched for promoters [Fig. 5B], which in contrast to enhancers are generally accessible across tissues [Heintzman et al. 2009]. Importantly, >71% of sites lacked prior chromatin access, became accessible in the presence of CDX2, and were associated with increased expression of nearby intestinal genes [Fig. 5B]. In contrast, the ~7100 sites that CDX2 occupies in gastric organoids but not in
normal intestines (Fig. 2C) showed minimally increased chromatin access, comparable with the low levels seen in intestinal organoids [Supplemental Fig. S4D]. Overall, chromatin access increased most at intestine-restricted elements [Fig. 5C]. Together, these findings imply that in stomach cells CDX2 contacts DNA directly to activate ~2500 intestinal enhancers where chromatin was previously closed. As these enhancers are not affected in a previous esophageal organoids [Fig. 3B], only select cell types accommodate this pioneer-like CDX2 activity.

HNF4A and CDX2 in mouse stomach organoids and human tissues

In light of ectopic CDX2 expression [Eda et al. 2003; Phillips et al. 2003; Rogerson et al. 2019; Singh et al. 2021] and likely functions in human IM, we asked how stomach cells, which normally lack CDX2, might activate this TF gene. Mouse Cdx2 is controlled in part by an upstream (~8.5-kb) enhancer that binds HNF4A and other intestinal TFs [Benahmed et al. 2008; Saandi et al. 2013], a downstream repressive element has been described in the liver [Watts et al. 2011], without evidence for a role in the luminal gut. Although the basis for aberrant CDX2 expression in heterologous tissues is unknown, several observations together nominate HNF4A as a candidate activator. First, CDX2 levels increase in HNF4A+ mouse [Figs. 1A,B, 6A] and human [Nowicki-Osuch et al. 2021] stomach organoids. Second, HNF4A is expressed in normal mouse [see Fig. 1A,B] and human (Fig. 6B) stomachs at considerably lower levels than the intestine, and is substantially increased in BE [Fig. 6B]. To assess whether this increase is associated with CDX2 expression, we immunostained human BE and GIM (n = 5 specimens each) for both TFs. Low baseline HNF4A levels in normal stomach epithelium were considerably increased in both metaplasias, and CDX2 was present only in cells with elevated HNF4A [Fig. 6C,D; Supplemental Fig. S5]. Compared with normal stomach mucosa, ChIP-seq analysis of human BE specimens [Singh et al. 2021] also showed markedly increased levels of the active histone mark H3K4me2 across both the CDX2 and HNF4A loci [Supplemental Fig. S6A,B]. In contrast, GATA4 and GATA6 showed similar enhancer features in normal stomach and BE specimens [Supplemental Fig. S6C]. Third, recent evidence points to HNF4A-driven transcription in human BE [Nowicki-Osuch et al. 2021]. CnR identified significantly more binding in HNF4A+ than in GFP+ organs (5401 vs. 321 called peaks) [Supplemental Table S3], but these sites barely overlapped with known intestinal enhancers [Supplemental Fig. S6D]. The marked contrast to CDX2-bound enhancers [Fig. 2C] suggests that HNF4A might intestinalize stomach cells by activating Cdx2.

Although ATAC signals were reproducibly increased at the Cdx2 promoter in HNF4A+ gastric organoids, in keeping with increased mRNA levels, CnR did not reveal promoter HNF4 occupancy; instead, HNF4A occupied a downstream region that is accessible in control gastric organoids but bound only when HNF4A is overexpressed, even modestly [Fig. 6E]. This region is 92% similar to the homologous human region, including an identical HNF4A consensus motif, and the chromatin is accessible in human stomach epithelium [Fig. 6F]. Furthermore, ATAC-seq analysis of Lgr5+ stem cells freshly isolated from mouse duodenum or stomach antrum [Supplemental Table S4] showed accessible chromatin in stem cells from both sources; however, H3K27ac indicative of enhancer activity was absent in stomach epithelium and restricted to intestinal cells, where the element is part of a locus-wide superenhancer [Fig. 6E]. Together, these findings identify a conserved candidate shadow enhancer [Cannavo et al. 2016] in the Cdx2 locus.

HNF4A activates ectopic Cdx2 expression through a shadow 3′ enhancer

As increased HNF4A dosage in gastric organoids results in ectopic CDX2 expression and binding to this 3′ cis-element, we postulated that HNF4A may mediate IM by activating Cdx2. To test this hypothesis, we used CRISPR/Cas9 editing to generate a mouse strain (ΔEnh) with a 1447-bp deletion of the shadow enhancer while preserving coding sequences, including the full 3′ untranslated region [Fig. 7A]. Heterozygote crosses yielded wild-type, heterozygous, and ΔEnh/ΔEnh mice in Mendelian ratios and homozygotes were overtly normal, with preserved intestinal expression of CDX2 [Fig. 7B,C; Supplemental Fig. S7A,B]. To test for Cdx2 induction, we forced HNF4A expression in cultured stomach antrum organoids from wild-type, +/ΔEnh, and ΔEnh/ΔEnh adult littermates. Cdx2 mRNA and protein were readily induced in wild-type organoids, as expected, but were attenuated in +/ΔEnh and totally abrogated in ΔEnh/ΔEnh organoids, despite comparable levels of HNF4A expression in all cases [Fig. 7D,E; Supplemental Fig. S7C]. Compared with HNF4A+ organoids with wild-type enhancer, +/ΔEnh and ΔEnh/ΔEnh stomach organoids had reduced expression of 119 and 155 genes, respectively [log2 fold change > 1, q < 0.05] [Supplemental Table S5], with an overlap representation factor of 73.2 [P = 5.8 × 10−171] [Supplemental Fig. S7D]. Down-regulated genes were enriched for those that constitute the HNF4A transcriptional response in wild-type stomach organoids [Supplemental Fig. S7E]; thus, enhancer-deleted organoids have a muted transcriptional response to HNF4A overexpression [Supplemental Fig. S7F], including key intestinal genes and likely direct CDX2 targets [Supplemental Fig. S7G]. These findings establish the necessity of the 3′ shadow enhancer in HNF4A-mediated ectopic CDX2 activation and, because genetic perturbation was confined to that cis-element, they indicate that intestinalization by HNF4A occurs through Cdx2.

HNF4A binding occurs at a single site within an ~200-bp region with a consensus HNF4A motif in the center of this 3′ enhancer [Fig. 7F]. To investigate this subregion, we directed enzymatically inactive CAS9 fused with the KRAB transcriptional repressor [dCAS9-KRAB] [Rosenbluh et al. 2017] to the target site using guide RNAs complementary to the HNF4A binding site [e6], a control guide [e1] that targets the enhancer outside this HNF4A binding site, or a nontargeting control guide
complementary to extraneous regions (Fig. 7F). In HNF4A+ stomach organoids with the shadow 3′ enhancer intact, we used lentiviruses to generate isogenic organoid lines. Compared with each control guide RNA, the e6 guide reduced Cdx2 selectively and significantly (Fig. 7G). Thus, HNF4A-mediated Cdx2 induction in stomach epithelium depends on the HNF4A binding site within the 3′ shadow enhancer (Fig. 7H).

Figure 6. Tightly correlated HNF4A and CDX2 expression in gastric organoids and tissue. (A) Forced HNF4A expression in gastric organoids induces Cdx2, as shown by normalized RNA read counts. Protein levels (see Fig. 1A) also match this induction. [B, left] HNF4A expression in human endoderm-derived tissues [highest in the liver, lowest in the stomach] taken from the genotype-tissue expression (GTEx) consortium [Melé et al. 2015]. [Right] HNF4A is up-regulated in human BE compared with normal stomach [data from Owen et al. 2018]. (C, D) Sequential tissue sections from primary human BE (C) and GIM (D) reveal perfect concordance of HNF4A up-regulation with CDX2 expression. Nonmetaplastic stomach epithelium [dotted area in C, asterisk in D] shows low basal HNF4A and no CDX2, whereas areas of IM with goblet cells [arrowheads; see also the corresponding hematoxylin and eosin-stained sections in Supplemental Fig. S5A] show high HNF4A and CDX2. Additional examples are in Supplemental Figure S5. (E, top) ATAC-seq data tracks on isogenic organoid lines showing increased ATAC activity at the Cdx2 promoter in HNF4A+ compared with control GFP+ gastric organoids. HNF4A binding, assessed by CUT&RUN (CnR), is not detected at the promoter but at a previously accessible area immediately downstream from the 3′ untranslated region [blue box]; GFP+ organoids lack HNF4A binding at this candidate cis-element. [Bottom] ATAC-seq tracks from primary mouse gastric and intestinal Lgr5+ stem cells and H3K27ac ChIP-seq tracks from mouse stomach and intestinal epithelium. The 3′ Cdx2 enhancer is accessible [ATAC+] but inactive [H3K27ac−] in the stomach and encompassed within a superenhancer in the intestine. [F] The novel 3′ Cdx2 shadow enhancer is homologous in mice and humans, a representative 42-bp region within the HNF4A CnR peak [red] shows one base mismatch and shared HNF4A motifs. Pooled (pseudo-bulk) scATAC-seq data from all epithelial cells in an intestinal and two independent human stomach samples (Singh et al. 2021) show chromatin accessibility in both tissues, centered on the HNF4A motif [asterisk].
Discussion

IM arises on backgrounds of chronic tissue injury from acid and bile (proximal stomach) or *H. pylori* infection (distal stomach), progressing in some cases to dysplasia and cancer. Like the cancers they may spawn (Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network 2017), BE and GIM are phenotypically similar (Piazuelo et al. 2004; Singh et al. 2021) and both metaplasias arise from native stomach cells (Nowicki-Osuch et al. 2021; Singh et al. 2021).

Figure 7. HNF4A activates endogenous Cdx2 in gastric organoids through the shadow 3’ enhancer. (A) Design and validation of genetically modified mice with enhancer deletion by CRISPR–Cas9. Location of the enhancer downstream from the Cdx2 3’ UTR, targeting short guide [sg] RNAs, and primers used for PCR validation are shown; a maroon box delineates the 1447-bp enhancer deletion in the principal founder strain, confirmed by Sanger sequencing. (Bottom) Genotyping PCR for the enhancer-deleted (Δenh) strain. (Left) Differentiation of monoallelic from biallelic deletion [primers: CDX2e_F and CDX2e_WT_Rv]. (Right) Enhancer deletion [primers: CDX2e_F and CDX2e_Del_Rv]. (WT) Wild type. (B, C) Heterozygous and homozygous Δenh mice showed no loss of intestinal Cdx2 by qRT-PCR (B) or changes in intestinal histology (C; representative images of duodenum) in three independent mice of each genotype. (D, E) HNF4A overexpression failed to induce Cdx2 in gastric organoids cultured from enhancer-deleted homozygous mice, as shown by RNA-seq (D, confirmed by qRT-PCR) [Supplemental Fig. S7C] and immunoblots (E). Cdx2 induction was also blunted in heterozygote organoids. (F) Design of two guide RNAs, e1 and e6, compatible with a repressive dCas9-KRAB. e1 is located at +363 bp, within the enhancer but outside the CnR-defined HNF4A binding site (Fig. 6D), whereas e6 is located at +781 bp within the HNF4A binding site [red box]. (G) HNF4A+ organoids with an intact Cdx2 enhancer (+/+) were transduced with lentivirus encoding dCas9-KRAS and e6, e1, or a nontargeting guide. Compared with the latter, the guide targeting the HNF4A binding site [e6] reduced Cdx2 mRNA expression, while the control e1 guide did not. (H) Model for increased HNF4A levels activating the 3’ shadow Cdx2 enhancer, hence inducing expression of CDX2, the TF that activates intestinal genes in stomach cells.
evolution has been studied extensively (Stachler et al. 2015; Huang et al. 2018), but it is unclear how adult stomach cells first adopt intestinal properties. Both metaplasias express intestinal TFs (Kojima et al. 2006; Liu et al. 2007; Lee et al. 2012; Rogerson et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2021), but it is unclear whether HNF4A and CDX2 are simply lineage markers or drive altered cell identity. CDX2-induced intestinal heterotopia in developing mouse stomachs (Silberg et al. 2002; Mutoh et al. 2004) likely reflects stage-limited fetal plasticity (Banerjee et al. 2018), and previous expression of CDX2 did not internalize adult gastric organoids (Simmini et al. 2014), possibly owing to low CDX2 levels. We show that forced CDX2 activity at physiological levels in organoids cultured from adult gastric antrum induces the partial intestinalization and hybrid gastric–intestinal identity characteristic of BE and GIM. Although a previous study showed that CDX2 could intestinalize transitional epithelial cells at the squamo-columnar junction (Jiang et al. 2017), esophageal squamous organoids resisted CDX2-mediated intestinalization. Transitional junction cells are one postulated source for BE, but recent data strongly favor a gastric origin (Nowicki-Osuch et al. 2021; Singh et al. 2021). Our antral organoid model represents both partial intestinalization and suppressed gastric states seen in clinical metaplasia.

In stomach organoids, CDX2 did not occupy its indirect intestinal target sites, even though chromatin was accessible at most of them, nor did it bind the sites it engages in embryonal tissues. Rather, it bound a fraction of the sites it normally occupies in adult mouse intestinal cells, where chromatin was inaccessible at baseline. This pioneer-like CDX2 activity in stomach cells reflected direct DNA contact, activated intestine-restricted genes, and was not evident in esophageal squamous cells, which are also foregut-derived. Together, these findings imply that a cofactor or some epigenome feature other than accessible chromatin helps direct CDX2 to intestinal enhancers in stomach cells and that such factors or features are absent in esophageal cells. Further investigation may uncover the basis for differential sensitivity of foregut epithelia to CDX2-driven metaplasia and for only partial activation of the intestinal enhancer repertoire.

Modest overexpression of HNF4A in stomach organoids activated endogenous Cdx2 and a CDX2-dependent transcriptional program, revealing a TF network that underlies adult foregut plasticity. Less-robust intestinalization in HNF4A+ versus CDX2+ stomach organoids may reflect low CDX2 induction than we achieved with lentiviral organoids (Simmini et al. 2014), possibly owing to low CDX2 levels. We show that forced CDX2 activity at physiological levels in organoids cultured from adult gastric antrum induces the partial intestinalization and hybrid gastric–intestinal identity characteristic of BE and GIM. Although a previous study showed that CDX2 could intestinalize transitional epithelial cells at the squamo-colum

**Materials and methods**

**Generation and culture of mouse gastric and esophageal organoids**

Organoids from the gastric antrum were generated as described previously (Mahe et al. 2013). After dissection, the antrum was rotated for 25 min at room temperature in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) plus 10 mM EDTA. Glandular epithelium was separated from the stroma and muscularis by gentle scraping, washed in PBS, and plated in Matrigel (Corning 356234) supplemented with Glutamax, HEPES, N2, B27, and 65% conditioned media containing Wnt3, Rspo1, and Noggin (Miyoshi and Stappenbeck 2013). The esophagus was opened and rinsed, first with Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) supplemented with antibiotic–antimycotic ( Gibco 15240112) and then with ice-cold PBS. The epithelium was isolated with tweezers and minced with fine scissors, and tissue fragments were digested in 1 mL of collagenase solution (Life Technologies 17100017) for 10 min at 37°C. Culture and passage details are in the Supplemental Material.

**Generation of TF-expressing organoids**

We used Gibson modular assembly (Akama-Garren et al. 2016) to modify the lentiviral vector lentilCas9-Blas (Addgene 52962) to replace the Cas9 module with a Puro cassette, GFP, and TF cDNAs, separated by P2A and T2A cleavage sites, respectively. We cloned the EF1a-KRAB-dCas9-HA cassette from pLX_311-KRAB-dCas9 (Addgene 96918) into a lentiviral backbone containing a U6-gRNA-tracr_v2 cassette and a P2A-Blas cassette. Virus was harvested 1 and 2 d after transfection of 293T cells and concentrated by ultracentrifugation at 76,755g for 2.5 h at 4°C. Viral pellets were resuspended in 150 µL of Opti-MEM medium (Gibco), stored overnight at 4°C, and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Organoids dissociated to near-single cells by digestion in trypsin
were exposed to lentivirus by spinfection at 600g for 1 h at 32°C in the presence of 10 µg/mL polybrene. Infected cells were selected 48 h later in 1.5 µg/mL puromycin, and TF-expressing and control organoid lines obtained from the lowest viral titer were expanded. To avoid cells that may have silenced the TFS, we isolated RNA and nuclei from GFP+ cells collected by flow cytometry.

Organoid histology
We embedded Matrigel drops containing organoids directly into Tissue-Tek OCT compound (Sakura Products) and froze the blocks, and then cut 10-µm thick tissue sections. Alkaline phosphatase staining [Reprocell 000055] was performed using the manufacturer’s protocol. Lysozyme immunostaining used polyclonal rabbit Ab [1:100, Dako A0099] and Alexa Fluor 546-conjugated goat antirabbit IgG [Invitrogen A11035].

Immunohistochemistry
Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections (4 µm) were baked overnight at 37°C, deparaffinized, and rehydrated (100% xylene four times for 3 min each, 100% ethanol four times for 3 min each, and running water for 5 min). Sections were treated with 1.5% H2O2 in methanol for 10 min, washed under running water for 5 min, and placed in a pressure cooker (Biocare Medical) at 120°C in target retrieval solution (pH 6.1 citrate buffer) for 10 min at 4°C. After cooling and transfer to Tris-buffered saline, consecutive sections were incubated for 40 min at room temperature with anti-CDX2 mouse monoclonal antibody (mAb, 1:150, BioGenex clone CDX2-88) or anti-HNF4A rabbit mAb [1:250, Cell Signaling clone C11F12], followed by secondary antibody [Envision+ mouse or rabbit [DAKO]] for 30 min. Stains were developed using 3,3′-diaminobenzidine [brown product] and counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin.

RNA-seq and ATAC-seq
Organoids were removed from Matrigel using cell recovery solution [Corning 354253] and treated with Trizol [Thermo 10296010] to isolate RNA or dissociated to single cells in 0.05% trypsin with manual trituration for ATAC-seq. RNA was extracted using the Qiagen RNA minikit with on-column DNase treatment. Libraries were prepared using a PolyA mRNA library preparation kit (New England Biolabs). For ATAC-seq, 35,000 single viable cells were sorted on a Sony SH800z cell sorter using DAPI as a viability marker, followed by OMNI-ATAC [Corces et al. 2017]. Briefly, a crude nuclear preparation was made by pelleting FACs-sorted cells at 1000g for 5 min at 4°C and resuspending them in 50 µL of ice-cold ATAC resuspension buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl2, 0.1% NP-40, 0.1% Tween-20, 0.01% digitonin) for 3–5 min. Cells were washed in the same buffer containing 0.1% Tween-20 and nuclei were isolated by centrifugation at 1000g for 10 min at 4°C. Nuclear pellets were resuspended in 50 µL of transposition mix (25 µL of 2× TD buffer [Illumina 15027866], 2.5 µL of transposase [Illumina 15027865], 16.5 µL of PBS, 0.5 µL of 1% digitonin, 0.5 µL of 10% Tween-20, 5 µL of water) and incubated for 30 min at 37°C, followed by elution of DNA using the Mini-Elute PCR purification kit [Qiagen 28004]. Libraries were prepared as described [Buenrostro et al. 2013]. RNA and ATAC libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq-X instrument [llumina] to generate paired-end 150-bp reads [Novogene]. Computational analysis of ATAC-seq and RNA-seq data is described in the Supplemental Material.

CUT&RUN
Single-cell suspensions were prepared from intestinal villi as described [Saxena et al. 2017] and from organoids as described above. Single viable cells [3 × 106 to 5 × 107] were isolated on a Sony SH800z cell sorter using DAPI as a viability marker and CUT&RUN was performed as described [Hainer and Fazzio 2019]. Briefly, cells were pelleted at 1000g for 5 min at 4°C and resuspended in nuclear extraction buffer followed by immobilization of nuclei on Concanaavalin A beads [Polysciences 86057-3]. Immunobilized nuclei were incubated with blocking buffer containing 2 mM EDTA to prevent aberrant MNase activation, then rotated overnight with CDX2 Ab [1:50, Cell Signaling Technology D11D10] at 4°C, and finally rotated with protein A-conjugated micrococcal nuclease [pA-MNase, 143 µg/mL stock diluted 1:500, a kind gift from S. Henikoff] for 1 h at 4°C. Samples were equilibrated in an ice bath for 5 min and pA-MNase was activated using 2 mM CaCl2 for 1 h, followed by enzyme inactivation and extraction of DNA with phenol and chloroform. Libraries were made using Next Ultra II kit (New England Biolabs E7645S) with minor modifications to enrich for small fragments likely bound to a TF [Liu et al. 2018; Liu 2019]. Libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq-X instrument [llumina] to generate paired-end 150-bp reads [Novogene]. Computational analysis is described in the Supplemental Material.

Access to Data
All ChIP, ATAC, and mRNA data are deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus [GEO] under accession number GSE160264.
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