MtbHLH1, a bHLH transcription factor involved in Medicago truncatula nodule vascular patterning and nodule to plant metabolic exchanges
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Summary

• This study aimed at defining the role of a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor gene from Medicago truncatula, MtbHLH1, whose expression is upregulated during the development of root nodules produced upon infection by rhizobia bacteria.
• We used MtbHLH1 promoter::GUS fusions and quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction analyses to finely characterize the MtbHLH1 expression pattern. We altered MtbHLH1 function by expressing a dominantly repressed construct (CRES-T approach) and looked for possible MtbHLH1 target genes by transcriptomics.
• We found that MtbHLH1 is expressed in nodule primordia cells derived from pericycle divisions, in nodule vascular bundles (VBs) and in uninfected cells of the nitrogen (N) fixation zone. MtbHLH1 is also expressed in root tips, lateral root primordia cells and root VBs, and induced upon auxin treatment. Altering MtbHLH1 function led to an unusual phenotype, with a modified patterning of nodule VB development and a reduced growth of aerial parts of the plant, even though the nodules were able to fix atmospheric N. Several putative MtbHLH1 regulated genes were identified, including an asparagine synthase and a LOB (lateral organ boundary) transcription factor.
• Our results suggest that the MtbHLH1 gene is involved in the control of nodule vasculature patterning and nutrient exchanges between nodules and roots.

Introduction

Legumes play a crucial role in both ecological and agricultural systems by their capacity to establish a symbiosis with nitrogen-fixing bacteria called rhizobia. This process involves the formation of a specific organ, the root nodule and relies on the mutual recognition of both partners via molecular signals and activation of a plant symbiotic program.

The root nodule provides rhizobia with a carbon (C) source derived from photosynthesis and an appropriate cellular environment allowing the bacterial nitrogenase to fix atmospheric nitrogen (N). In temperate legumes, represented by the model legume Medicago truncatula, the nodule is a highly structured organ with an indeterminate growth, resulting from the activity of an apical meristematic region (also called zone I) and the differentiation of several peripheral and central tissues.

The peripheral tissues include the nodule cortex, the nodule endodermis and the nodule parenchyma in which the nodule vascular bundles (VBs) are located; in indeterminate nodules, the VBs are connected at their proximal end to the root vasculature and are open at the distal end. The nodule VBs are composed of xylem and phloem vessels in parenchyma cells surrounded by a pericycle cell layer and a vascular...
endodermis, which constitutes an apoplastic barrier between the VB and the nodule central tissues (Schubert, 2007).

The central nodule tissues comprise an infection zone II where *Sinorhizobium meliloti* bacteria are released from transcellular infection threads (ITs) and where coordinated differentiation of both plant and bacterial cells takes place, accompanied by several cycles of endoreduplication in infected plant cells. This leads to the formation of the fixation zone III, followed at its proximal part by the senescence zone IV where both symbionts degenerate (Vasse et al., 1990). The fixation zone III occupies the largest region of mature nodules and is composed of two types of cells: large infected cells (ICs), in which N fixation is carried out by terminally differentiated bacteroids, and smaller uninfected cells (UCs), which are interspersed between the ICs and whose function is still unclear. The nodule is a root organ with metabolite fluxes playing an essential role both inward, with photosynthates brought by the phloem providing a C source and energy for the N fixation and assimilation processes, and outward, with the ensuing nitrogenous compounds which are transported by the xylem. In determinate nodules, UCs are specifically involved in synthesis and transport of ureides, the major fixed N product transported from these nodule types. In indeterminate nodules, no specific role has yet been assigned to UCs in the transport of asparagine, the main product of N fixation (for review, Vance, 2002). The universal presence of UCs in the infected tissue of determinate and indeterminate nodules with ITs suggests, however, that they have an important role in nodule functioning (Sprent & James, 2007).

In the past two decades, genetics and molecular approaches, using the model legumes *Lotus japonicus* and *M. truncatula*, have led to the identification of plant transcription factor (TF) genes involved in the initial symbiotic stages associated with Nod factor perception and signal transduction (Stougaard, 2000; Oldroyd & Downie, 2008; Libault et al., 2009). However, very few regulatory host genes have been associated with the structural development of the functional nodule, and particularly VB development. One notable exception is the Krüppel-like zinc finger TF gene, *Mszpt2-1*, in *Medicago sativa*, which is strongly induced in the nodule VB upon *S. meliloti* infection. Plants expressing an antisense *Mszpt2-1* construct develop nonfixing nodules, in which bacterial invasion and differentiation of the central fixation zone is arrested (Frugier et al., 2000). More recently class-III homeodomain-leucine zipper (HD-ZIPIII) genes have been described to be expressed in root and nodule vascular bundles as well as in the nodule zone I and II (Boualem et al., 2008). Overexpressing MIR166, which targets these genes, reduced the number of nodules and lateral roots, and strongly modified the vascular bundle development in roots (Boualem et al., 2008), but nodule vascularization was not examined.

Basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH) proteins constitute one of the largest TF families, widely distributed in all eukaryotes (Stevens et al., 2008), and involved in a variety of signalling and developmental processes in plants (Heim et al., 2003; Toledo-Ortiz et al., 2003; Li et al., 2006; Carretero-Paulet et al., 2010). The bHLH signature motif is 60 amino acids long and composed of a basic region of 15–20 residues, followed by the HLH region composed of two amphipathic helices consisting of hydrophobic residues linked by a more divergent loop region. The HLH region is a protein–protein interaction domain and the basic regions of two homodimerized or heterodimerized bHLHs are able to bind DNA at a specific recognition sequence, known as the E-box (5′-CANNTG-3′). Among 133 bHLH genes described initially in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, 113 were shown by reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) to be expressed in at least one out of 12 tissues or conditions tested, most of them showing a broad expression pattern, and only two exhibited a root specific expression (Heim et al., 2003). In legumes, no bHLH survey has yet been published, although > 100 bHLH sequences are present in the *M. truncatula* gene atlas data base (MrGEA) (Benedito et al., 2008). Only two legume bHLH genes associated with root development or nodulation have been studied so far: *GmSAT*, originally described as encoding a soybean ammonium transporter (Kaiser et al., 1998; Marini et al., 2000), and *LjRHL1* involved in *L. japonicus* root hair development (Karas et al., 2009).

Here we present the characterization of a *M. truncatula* bHLH gene, *MtbHLH1*, which is specifically expressed in roots and nodules. Based upon various functional data we propose that this gene is involved in nodule vasculature patterning and in the control of nutrient exchange between nodules and the rest of the plant.

### Materials and Methods

#### Plant growth, bacterial strains

*Medicago truncatula* Gaertn. cv Jemalong A17 was used as the wild-type reference for all the experiments. Surface-sterilized seeds were placed on inverted agar plates in the dark for 3 d at 8°C and 1 d at 20°C. For hormone and Nod factor (NF) treatments, germinated seeds were grown on Farhaeus medium agar plates covered with growth pouch paper, at 25°C with a photoperiod of 16 h light: 8 h dark.

Following transformation, composite plants with transgenic roots were transferred in growth pouches for rhizobial inoculations (Verniè et al., 2008). For root phenotype studies they were transferred onto 21 cm² Farhaeus agar plates containing 1 mM NH₄NO₃ (to avoid N starvation), covered with growth pouch paper. Wild-type *S. meliloti* RCR2011 pXLD4 (GMI6526) and *S. meliloti* RCR2011 exoA pXLD4 (GMI3072) were grown as described by Vernié et al. (2008).
Hormone and Nod factor treatments

10 mM stock solutions were prepared in 0.1 M KOH for benzyl-aminopurine (BAP), and in 50% water-50% ethanol for IAA, ABA (Sigma-Aldrich) and purified NF from S. meliloti. The 10 μM hormone and 1 mM NF working solutions were then prepared in water. Aliquots of 2 ml of these solutions were applied with a pipette onto roots of 10 5-d-old A17 seedlings, placed on Farhaeus agar plates covered with growth pouch paper. Thirty roots per time-point (0, 2, 4, 8 and 24 h after treatment) were cut and frozen before RNA extraction. Three biological repetitions were done for each of these treatments. RNA extraction and quantitative (q)RT-PCR were performed as described in Combier et al., 2006).

Plasmid constructs and A. rhizogenes transformation

The T3 primer and two nested primers 5′-CAATCTTC-ATAAGTTGTCCCTGG-3′ and 5′-GGTAATTGTGGTGGTCCATTGTG-3′ designed from the initial 601 bp SSH (suppression subtractive hybridization) fragment, MdD19113 (Godiard et al., 2007) were used to amplify the lacking 5′ cDNA region by primer extension in a lambda-Zap cDNA library of M. truncatula 4-d-old nodules (Gamas et al., 1996). A 921 bp DNA fragment overlapping 176 bp of the initial SSH DNA fragment was cloned and sequenced, resulting in a full size 1389 bp MtbHLH1 cDNA fragment.

To generate the P35S::Mt16KOLIPlus-EAR construct, we first introduced the EAR domain in the pPex vector (Combier et al., 2006). The EAR domain was obtained by fusing two oligonucleotides corresponding to the EAR sequence (Hiratsu et al., 2003) carrying a BamHI and a XbaI restriction sites at the 5′ and 3′ site, respectively: 5′-GATCCCTGATCTGGACATGATGTGGA-A TTCGCT-3′ and 5′-CTAGAGCGAATCCAAGTCTC-3′ (Invitrogen). The resulting DNA fragment was introduced in the pPex vector between BamHI and XbaI sites, resulting in the pPex-EAR vector. We amplified the complete MtbHLH1 coding sequence from the node cDNA library (Gamas et al., 1996) using Pfx polymerase (Invitrogen) and primers 5′-TACTCGAGATGGCTCTTGAACTGTGG-3′ and 5′-GCGGATCCATTATGTTGATAAGCAGGTTC-3′ and inserted it into pPex-EAR between the XbaI and BamHI sites. We checked by sequencing that the Mt16KOLIPlus-EAR plasmid was inserted in the pPex vector. We amplified the complete MtbHLH1 coding sequence from the nodule cDNA library (Gamas et al., 2006) between XhoI and BamHI sites. The amplified DNA fragment was inserted into the pPex vector between the XhoI and BamHI sites. We checked by sequencing that the Mt16KOLIPlus-EAR plasmid was inserted in the pPex vector.

To generate the PMt16KOLIPlus::GUS construct, we amplified a 1463-bp fragment (3903-5365) from the MTH2-155M7 genomic BAC clone using Pfx polymerase and primers 5′-CGGGGTACCACCGTGTTCACGAACGAGAT-3′ and 5′-CATGCGATTGTTATTATATTATTTGCTGACT-ATCAT-3′ and inserted it between the KpnI and Ncol sites of the pPex-GUS vector (Combier et al., 2006).

All the constructs were checked by sequencing, introduced into A. rhizogenes strain Arqua1 by electroporation and used for M. truncatula root transformation (Boisson-Dernier et al., 2001). Transgenic roots were selected on Farhaeus agar plates supplemented with 25 μg ml−1 kanamycin and were checked for fluorescence resulting from the expression of a DsRed gene present on the T-DNA. The DsRed negative roots were eliminated as soon as they were detected.

Histochemical staining and microscopy studies

Histochemical glucuronidase (GUS) staining (using X-Gluc, 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronic acid; MP Biomedicals, Europe, Illkirch, France), preparation and observation of nodule or root sections (100 or 50 μm), or thinner sections (10 μm) embedded in Technovit 7100 resin, were performed as described in Combier et al., (2007).

Before clearing with Hoyer’s solution (Bougourd et al., 2000), the nodules were detached from roots and fixed in a 1:5 glutaraldehyde solution in phosphate buffer 0.1 M, pH 7, rinsed three times in the same buffer and briefly (< 1 min) treated with 1% sodium hypochlorite until the nodule cell walls became translucent, and finally washed three times in water. They were placed on a glass slide, superficially dried with pure ethanol and rapidly immersed in the Hoyer’s solution. The cleared entire nodule content could be observed 3 d later.

Microarray studies and qRT-PCR analyses

RNA was extracted and amplified from P35S::Mt16KOLIPlus-EAR and control nodules as previously described (Vernié et al., 2008). Mt16KOLIPlus microarray hybridizations and analyses were performed as indicated in Vernié et al. (2008). Validation of microarray results were performed by qRT-PCR on 384-well plates with a Lightcycler LC480 (Roche) using first-strand cDNA obtained from 500 ng of nonamplified total RNA extracted at 24 h post-inoculation (dpi) from either P35S::Mt16KOLIPlus::GUS or control pPex-DsRed isolated nodules, from three independent experiments. The primers used (Supporting Information Table S1) were designed with Primer Express v2.0 Software (Applied Biosystems France, Sainte Geneviève des Bois, France).

Accession numbers

All data files for Mt16KOLIPlus microarrays are available through the ArrayExpress database (ArrayExpress;
http://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/; array accession number E-TABM-719). The MtbHLH1 gene name and sequence have been registered at the Genbank database (accession number FR697055).

Results

MtbgHLH1-encoded protein has a typical bHLH transcription factor domain

MtbgHLH1 was initially identified from a SSH cDNA library made from whole-root systems of the supernodulating M. truncatula surn-2 mutant inoculated with S. meliloti. The corresponding expressed sequences tag (EST) (termed MtD19113) was found to encode a bHLH transcription factor domain, and shown to be upregulated in M. truncatula 4-, 10- and 14-d-old nodules (Godiard et al., 2007). We found with the Legoo knowledge data base (http://www.legoo.org) that MtD19113 corresponds to MTG17-TC84416, described to be upregulated by S. meliloti as early as 12 h post-inoculation (Lohar et al., 2006), and to Mtr.10993.1.S1_at, that shows a maximal expression in roots and nodules among a large range of tested organs and conditions (MgGeA, Benedito et al., 2008).

The 5′ cDNA region, absent from the MtD19113 EST clone, was amplified by primer extension from a cDNA library (see the Materials and Methods section). The resulting 1389 bp cDNA fragment corresponded to the size of the MtbgHLH1 mRNA detected by Northern blot (data not shown) and was thus considered to be full size. This transcript is predicted to encode a 321 amino acid protein, showing the typical basic helix–loop–helix motif found in plant bHLH proteins (Carretero-Paulet et al., 2010) at amino acids 121 to 181 (Fig. S1). In the basic region predicted to bind DNA, MtbgHLH1 has five basic amino acids and His-Glu-Arg-Arg (H-E-R-R) residues at positions 9, 13, 16 and 17 shown to be critical for DNA binding in several bHLH proteins (Brownlie et al., 1997). These conserved residues classify MtbgHLH1 as a putative G-box (5′-CACGTG-3′) binder, which is a specific type of E-box (Brownlie et al., 1997; Atchley et al., 1999; Carretero-Paulet et al., 2010). Highly hydrophobic residues are conserved in helix 1 and 2 at every position reported to be involved in protein–protein interactions, including notably the Leu27 residue in helix 1 and the Leu73 in helix 2, which are reported to be required in the dimerization process and in DNA–protein complex stability (Brownlie et al., 1997; Massari & Murre, 2000).

The MtbgHLH1 bHLH domain therefore fulfills the consensus sequence criteria and contains all the amino acid residues described as important for DNA binding or protein–protein interactions, suggesting that MtbgHLH1 is likely to be functional as a bHLH TF.

To take advantage of functional information available for some of the 155 described A. thaliana bHLH genes (Heim et al., 2003; Toledo-Ortiz et al., 2003), the A. thaliana bHLH proteins exhibiting the highest conservation with MtbgHLH1 were searched. The best score was obtained with AtbHLH906 bHLH protein (AT1G72210), which presents 48% identity, and 61% similarity with MtbgHLH1 (expected value = 2e-76) (Fig. S1). An alignment of both protein sequences shows that the best conserved regions are the bHLH motif and adjacent amino acids as well as a region of c. 80 amino acids near the C-terminus. An alignment of the MtbgHLH1 cDNA and genomic (Medtr3g150170.1) sequences indicated that the MtbgHLH1 gene has two introns of, respectively, 892 bp and 99 bp, the position of which is conserved in AtbHLH906 gene (Fig. S1). The expression data available for AtbHLH906 reveal that it is transcribed in many conditions and organs, including roots (Heim et al., 2003). More detailed functional data are available for another closely related AtbHLH protein, FAMA (=AtbHLH097; 43% identity, 54% similarity) which is required in the first cell divisions establishing the stomatal guard cell lineage (Ohashi-Ito & Bergmann, 2006; MacAlister et al., 2007).

MtbgHLH1 gene is expressed in roots and induced by auxin treatment

To precisely determine the tissue localization of MtbgHLH1 transcripts, we generated a transcriptional fusion between a 1.44 kbp MtbgHLH1 promoter fragment and the GUS reporter gene. The expression pattern of this PmtbgHLH1::GUS construct was examined in A. rhizogenes-transformed M. truncatula roots. The highest nonsymbiotic expression was found in the root meristematic region, with a signal strongly diminishing in the root elongation zone (Fig. 1a). MtbgHLH1 expression was also detected in lateral root primordia, where it was first confined to the dividing pericycle cells while it was undetectable in the adjacent endodermis or cortical cell layers (Fig. 1b). At a later stage of development, most internal root primordium cells were intensely stained for GUS activity (Fig. 1c). When the lateral root began to emerge, GUS staining was largely restricted to the regions at the top and surrounding the differentiating root vascular bundle (Fig. 1d). On elongated lateral roots, GUS activity was observed in the pericycle layer delimiting the central vascular tissue (Fig. 1e) and in the cortical cells of the main root at the site of emergence of the lateral root (Fig. 1e, arrowheads). Finally, GUS staining was also detected in several cell layers in the lateral root meristematic zone (Fig. 1f), as in the main root (Fig. 1a).

Such an expression pattern is reminiscent of that exhibited by auxin-induced genes, notably in M. truncatula (van Noorden et al., 2007; Mathesius, 2008). We thus decided to test whether MtbgHLH1 expression could be upregulated by
exogenous application of IAA on M. truncatula roots. A qRT-PCR analysis revealed that MtbHLH1 was indeed significantly induced (fivefold) as early as 2 h after 10 \( \mu \)M IAA treatment, while maximum induction (28-fold) was reached 4 h post-treatment (Fig. 2). The emergence of numerous IAA-induced lateral roots was observed \( c. 3 \) d after MtbHLH1 induction by IAA. Similar experiments conducted with an analogue of cytokinin, BAP, ABA (both at 10 \( \mu \)M) or purified Nod factors (NF, \( 10^{–9} \) M) did not show any strong and reproducible MtbHLH1 induction.

**MtbHLH1** is expressed in nodule vascular bundles and in uninfected cells of the fixation zone from early to late nodulation stages

Upon inoculation by wild type (WT) S. meliloti, PMtbHLH1::GUS activity was detected in the dividing pericycle and endodermis cell layers of young nodule primordia, while a lower expression was revealed in the adjacent cortical cells (Fig. 3a). During nodule primordium growth, GUS staining was then restricted to the peripheral cell layers of the developing nodule (Fig. 3b). Indeed, at this stage, no expression was detected in the nodule central zone infected by S. meliloti bacteria (Fig. 3b), or in infected root hairs (data not shown), indicating that MtbHLH1 expression is not associated with bacterial infection. We then examined empty nodules induced by an infection-defective exoA mutant of S. meliloti (Yang *et al.*, 1994). A very clear PMtbHLH1::GUS expression was detected in 6-d-old exoA nodules (Fig. 3c), while MtbHLH1 induction was found to be statistically significant in 10-d-old exoA nodules by microarray analysis (adjusted \( P \)-value of 0.04, Moreau *et al.*, 2011), confirming that MtbHLH1 expression takes place during nodule development but is not dependent upon S. meliloti infection *per se*.

In longitudinal sections of fully developed nodules (24 dpi, Fig. 3e), PMtbHLH1::GUS expression was absent from the meristematic zone I and infection zone II but was detected in nodule VBs and fixation zone III. Interestingly
both longitudinal (Fig. 3e) and transverse (Fig. 3d) nodule sections revealed that GUS expression was only detected in the uninfected cells (UCs). In addition, observations of nodule transverse sections showed that PMtBLH1::GUS was expressed in VBs, in the nodule peripheral parenchyma and in a network of UCs that seemed to be connected to the VBs (Fig. 3d). Longitudinal sections also revealed a strong GUS expression at the nodule base, in the region connecting the nodule to the root VB, particularly in the cell layers surrounding the VBs (Fig. 3f). Closer observations indicated that PMtBLH1::GUS expression was restricted to the pericycle cell layers of nodule VBs, and completely absent from the root and nodule endodermis (Fig. 3g,h).

Transgenic roots expressing CRES-T impaired MtbtBLH1 fusions produce nitrogen-fixing nodules with vascular defects

As the search for MtBLH1 mutants in M. truncatula Tilling populations was unsuccessful, we decided to use the CRES-T approach (Chimeric REPessor Silencing Technology, Hiratsu et al., 2003) to investigate the role of MtBLH1. The principle of this approach is to fuse a 12 amino acid repression domain (EAR domain) to the transcription factor tested, thus allowing the transcription of its specific target genes to be suppressed, even in the presence of functionally redundant transcription factors. The CRES-T approach has been used successfully in A. thaliana to convert various TF types into dominant repressors and to study their function in planta (Matsui et al., 2005; Koyama et al., 2007; Mitsuda et al., 2007). We expressed the MtBLH1::EAR fusion under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter, whose expression pattern in nodules is particularly well suited for MtBLH1 reverse genetics studies. Indeed, Auriac & Timmers (2007) have shown that the P35S::GUS leads to a high level of GUS expression in all root tissues and in most nodule cells except the meristematic zone and the invaded cells of zone III (tissues where no PMtBLH1::GUS expression was found). Importantly, this study indicated a high level of P35S activity in the nodule vascular system and in uninvaded cells of zone III, that is, the tissues showing maximal MtBLH1 expression.

Fig. 3 Localization of MtBLH1 gene expression in Medicago truncatula nodules. (a–h) Localization of PMtBLH1::GUS activity in dividing pericycle and endodermis cells of young nodule primordia (a, arrows), in the peripheral cell layers of the developing nodule (b, arrows), in 6-d-old nodules induced by an infection-defective exoA mutant of Sinorhizobium meliloti (c), in vascular bundles (VB), peripheral parenchyma (pa) and uninfected cells (arrows) of fixation zone III of mature 24-d-old nodules as shown in transverse (d) and longitudinal sections (e–h), and more precisely, in the cell layers surrounding the VBs at the nodule base (f), and in the pericycle cell layers (pe) of nodule VBs, but completely absent from the root and nodule endodermis (en) (g,h). (a,b,f–h) Thin root sections (5 μm) embedded in Technovit before GUS staining, dark-field observations, GUS activity in pink/red. (c–e) 100-μm thick root sections, GUS activity in blue. Bars: (a–f) 100 μm; (g,h) 50 μm.
Uninoculated P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR transgenic roots grown in the presence of N (1 mM ammonium nitrate) did not show developmental or growth defects compared with control transgenic roots (empty vector-transformed). They actually showed a statistically significant increase in the total number of roots per plant (Welch t-test P-value = 0.0038; mean ± 1.44 (standard error) (n = 56) for P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR roots vs 15 ± 1.18 (n = 50) for control roots, 14 d after selection of transformed roots (dpi)). They also showed an increase in growth, as estimated by the longest root length (Welch t-test P-value = 6.234e-05; mean ± 0.54 (n = 56) for P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR roots vs 5.84 ± 0.38 (n = 50) for control roots) (see box plots in Fig. S2). The dry weight of the corresponding aerial parts was also determined for 14 dps and 16 dps plants and did not show a statistically significant difference (Mann–Whitney test, n = 16, 14 dps and n = 19, 16 dps).

Upon S. meliloti root inoculation, the nodules produced on P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR transgenic roots appeared, on average, with a delay of 3 d compared with transformed control roots (8.7 ± 2.7 dpi to obtain 50% of nodulated plants compared with 5.7 ± 1.2 dpi in transformed control plants) and remained generally smaller than control nodules, even though some of them became elongated and clearly pink, which was indicative of the synthesis of leghaemoglobin (Fig. 4a). The number of nodules produced on P355S::MtbHLH1-EAR transgenic roots was not significantly different from the number observed in transformed control roots at 24 dpi (Mann–Whitney test, n = 28 plants) (Fig. 4b). However the untransformed aerial parts of P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR nodulated plants were not as vigorous as control transformed plants (Fig. 4a) and exhibited a 40% reduction in dry weight (statistically significant in a Mann–Whitney test, P < 0.01, n = 15) (Fig. 4c). Interestingly, this was not caused by an inability of P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR nodules to fix N as they were Fix+, as determined by an acetylene reduction assay performed on nodulated plants at 21 dpi (production of 78.3 ± 9.2 ppm ethylene per plant per h compared with 31.9 ± 8.2 ppm for control plants, n = 8 plants). Moreover electron microscopy observation of bacteria from the P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR nodule fixation zone showed normal type IV bacteria, as in control plants (data not shown). The fact that the plant aerial part could not fully benefit from symbiotic N fixation taking place in P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR nodules suggested a defect in the transfer of reduced N from nodules to the rest of the plant.

We then investigated whether the P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR nodule structure was normal by examining longitudinal sections. Surprisingly, this revealed frequent alterations in the VB structure, which exhibited a nonsymmetrical organization rarely observed in control nodules. By contrast, uninoculated roots did not reveal any difference with control roots in VB organization, as determined from semi-thin longitudinal and cross-sections of roots by light microscopy (data not shown). To be able to observe the whole vascular system, which is not possible with nodule sections, we cleared the cell content from whole nodules with Hoyer’s solution (Bougourd et al., 2000), which allowed the lignified cell walls and VBs to be visualized. This method considerably facilitated the comparative analysis of the VB structure of P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR and control nodules. Two main organization types were observed: (1) A normal organization with generally two opposite VBs showing well...
separated connections with the root VB, with angles to the root VB close to 60° (Fig. 5a,b); an additional VB was sometimes visible in a perpendicular plane to the first ones (Fig. 5b) and in all cases nodule VBs followed the nodule outer cortex borders. (2) An abnormal organization, with either a single, generally branched, VB (Fig. 5c) or two to three VBs that originate very close to each other at the base of the nodule (Fig. 5d), with variable angles to the root VB and variable growth patterns (Fig. 5c,d). The first type was found in 97% of transformed control nodules (n = 68, 15 plants), compared with only 44% in P35S::MtBH1L1-EAR nodules (n = 42, 12 plants); conversely the second type was frequent (56%) in P35S::MtBH1L1-EAR nodules but rare (3%) in control nodules (Fig. 5d). This result suggests that impairing MtBH1L1 function leads to an alteration of the developmental pattern of nodule VBs.

Possible targets of the MtBH1L1 Transcription Factor revealed by transcriptome analyses

To look for possible direct or indirect MtBH1L1 target genes in nodules, we performed microarray analyses of isolated nodules harvested at 24 dpi from P35S::MtBH1L1-EAR or control vector transformed roots. We used Mt16KOL1Plus microarrays carrying 16 470 M. truncatula 70-mer oligonucleotide gene probes (Kuster et al., 2007). Genes were considered as candidate differentially expressed genes when the difference in expression showed a P-value < 0.001, in three independent experiments. Only a small number of candidate genes was found, with 24 downregulated and seven upregulated in P35S::MtBH1L1-EAR compared with control nodules (Ratio (R) > 1.5, Table S2). Among these seven gene probes were two 70-mer oligonucleotides corresponding to different parts of the MtBH1L1 gene itself.
(MT012710, \( R = 4.8 \) and MT013931, \( R = 5.7 \)), indicating its overexpression in \( P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR \), as expected. Twelve candidate differentially expressed genes (11 downregulated and one upregulated) showing potentially interesting homologies were then tested by qRT-PCR and confirmed for differential expression (Table 1). The genes validated as downregulated in \( P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR \) comprised a set of genes associated with the differentiation of nitrogen-fixing nodules, namely a leghaemoglobins protein (LGB2), two late nodulins, a nodule-cysteine-rich protein (NCR072) (Mergaert et al., 2003) and a glycine-rich protein (GRP3B) (Kevei et al., 2002). They also included genes encoding an asparagine synthase, which catalyses the synthesis of the primary product of N assimilation in Medicago (Shi et al., 1997), an ABC transporter, an unknown function BURP domain protein and a putative transcription factor (gene probe MT000746). The last of these belongs to the lateral organ boundaries domain (LOB or LBD) protein family (Shuai et al., 2002). This \( M. \) truncatula sequence, represented by Mtr.4306.1.S1_s_at in the MtGEA, encodes a typical class II LOB protein with a CNGCRVLRKGCSENC consensus sequence and a Pro111 conserved residue (Shuai et al., 2002). It is highly homologous (55\% identity, 88\% similarity) to the \( A. \) thaliana ASYMMETRIC LEAVES 2-like protein (AS2, also called LBD41) (Semiarti et al., 2001). We then tested by qRT-PCR whether this \( MtLOB-AS2like \) gene could be induced in \( M. \) truncatula roots treated by IAA, similarly to \( MtbHLH1 \). We found that \( MtLOB-AS2like \) was indeed induced by auxin with a maximal induction ratio of 3.5 at 8 h post-treatment, 4 h after the observed maximal induction of \( MtbHLH1 \) (Fig. 7). This was consistent with a possible control of \( MtLOB-AS2like \) by \( MtbHLH1 \) TF.

Having a promoter sequence available in seven out of the 12 validated putative \( MtbHLH1 \) target genes, we searched for possible E-boxes (\( 5'\)-CANNTG-\( 3'\)), and especially the palindromic ones, or G-boxes (\( 5'\)-CACGTG-\( 3'\)), described to be bound by bHLH proteins in, respectively, the 600 bp or the 1500 bp fragment upstream of the coding sequence start (Table 1). All promoter fragments tested have one to seven palindromic E-boxes and two of them, corresponding, respectively, to the late nodulin Mtr.13105.1.S1_at and to the asparagine synthase gene, carry an additional G-box, suggesting that they might be direct target genes of \( MtbHLH1 \).

**Discussion**

The bHLH family is one of the largest transcription factor families in plants, with members described to be involved in a variety of signalling and developmental processes (Carretero-Paulet et al., 2010). No bHLH gene family has yet been analysed in legumes, whereas this has been done for several other plants whose genome is fully sequenced such as Arabidopsis, poplar, rice and moss (Physcomitrella patens) and five algae (Heim et al., 2003; Toledo-Ortiz...
yet, until now, very few bHLH genes have been characterized in legumes and found to play a role in symbiotic interactions (Kaiser et al., 1998; Libault et al., 2009). Here we describe a M. truncatula gene, MtbHLH1, with such a function and which encodes a protein exhibiting the hallmarks of bHLH proteins in its predicted DNA binding and protein–protein interaction region. The closest A. thaliana homologues of MtbHLH1, such as AtbHLH096, belong to one of the 12 land plant-specific bHLH subfamilies (32 subfamilies in total), which greatly expanded after the split between green algae and land plant species, and led to the establishment of most of the diversity of DNA-binding and protein motifs of plant bHLH proteins (Carretero-Paulet et al., 2010).

The cellular expression pattern of MtbHLH1 is quite unusual among the nodule-induced genes that have been studied to date. MtbHLH1 is expressed in the VB pericycle cells from early to late nodule stages, and it is also expressed in the UCs of the fixation zone III. Finally MtbHLH1 is induced in the root by external auxin treatment while its expression pattern as determined by promoter::GUS fusion analysis is consistent with auxin-induction in roots. MtbHLH1 expression is first observed in pericycle cells of lateral roots and nodule primordia, which represent the cells where initiation of these organs takes place (Timmers et al., 1999). Nodule organogenesis and lateral root formation are similar in the sense that they both require auxin for primordia establishment and vasculature differentiation (de Billy et al., 2001; Mathesius, 2008). When MtbHLH1 was fused to the EAR repressor domain (CRES-T approach (Hiratsu et al., 2003)), we observed two nodulation phenotypes: a modified pattern of nodule VB development, with most VBs having a unique or two to three VBs originating very close to each other instead of two or three well-spaced VBs as in WT nodules; and a reduced growth of aerial parts of the plant, even though P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR nodules were able to fix atmospheric N.

In view of the MtbHLH1 expression pattern in roots, it is intriguing that we did not detect defects in root growth and development (including VB structure) in P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR roots in the presence of exogenous N. By contrast, root growth even seemed to be improved by this construct. However, the fact that a same construct has distinct or opposite effects in root and nodule development has already been observed with several genes, notably the auxin-related M. truncatula CDC16 gene (Kuppusamy et al., 2009). The role or the mechanism of action of MtbHLH1 is thus likely to be different in roots and in nodules. For example MtbHLH1 could act within transcriptional repressor complexes in roots, which might not be altered by a fusion to the EAR repressor domain, vs transcriptional activator complexes in nodules. Indeed, MtbHLH1 is likely to interact with other bHLH or TF proteins, like many members of the bHLH family (Ramsay & Glover, 2005), the nature of which may depend on whether a root or a nodule is being formed. In any case, the absence of root defects in plants bearing the P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR construct indicates that the reduced plant growth observed under symbiotic conditions is very likely caused by altered nodule structure and/or function.

Many bHLH proteins are involved in the formation of different lateral organs in plants (Takeda et al., 2003; Gallavotti et al., 2004). Studies on two Arabidopsis mutants perturbed in pericycle differentiation, lonesome highway (lhw) and impaired vasculature development (iavd), have revealed an intimate correlation between pericycle cell fate and vascular organization of the nascent root. lhw encodes a noncanonical bHLH TF able to interact with different bHLH proteins (Ohashi-Ito & Bergmann, 2007) and is required to establish the normal diarch pattern of root vasculature tissue (Parizot et al., 2008). The P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR nodule phenotype suggests that MtbHLH1 is involved in determining the symmetrical organization of nodule vasculature, and the delay of nodule appearance observed at early time-points could be a consequence of an altered vascularization.

The development of nodule vasculature is poorly documented and MtbHLH1 is one of the first TF described to affect this process. Imaizumi-Anraku et al. (2000) have described a L. japonicus fix− mutant, alb1, that forms empty nodules with only one VB differentiating at the proximal nodule end, whereas in WT L. japonicus nodules VBs bifurcate from the root stele to surround the central infected zone. The gene corresponding to the alb1 mutation has not been identified but the alb1 phenotype appears more severe and broader than the one observed in P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR nodules, with no bacterial release and poor ENOD40 induction (Yano et al., 2006).

More mutants affected in VB formation or patterning have been described in leaves and roots, the characterization of which has shown a major role played by auxin-related genes (for reviews see Rolland-Lagan, 2008; Peret et al., 2009). The so-called canalization hypothesis proposed for leaf veins, states that auxin transport through cells promotes their
differentiation into veins and thereby increases their capacity to transport auxin. It is very likely that patterning of nodule VBs also involves local auxin fluxes, and it should be recalled that expression of MiLAX genes encoding auxin influx proteins correlates with VB formation in nodule primordia (de Billy et al., 2001). MtbHLH1 may therefore be involved in the localization of auxin maxima or in auxin-regulated events. The position of auxin maxima probably varies depending on whether a root or a nodule is being formed, leading either to a single central VB (in roots) or several peripheral VBs (in nodules). MtBLH1 expression is efficiently induced by auxin but we have no evidence that MtbHLH1 controls auxin transporters and thereby contributes to a positive feedback loop as proposed in the canalization hypothesis. However, we found that MtbHLH1 controls the expression of a gene encoding a protein very similar to the LOB transcription factor AS2 described to regulate leaf venation. Thus, in A. thaliana, an as2 mutant exhibits asymmetrical venation and disconnected or insufficiently connected veins (Semiarti et al., 2001), while ectopic AS2 expression leads to an altered vein patterning (Lin et al., 2003). Moreover Zgurski et al. (2005) have shown that the as2 phenotype is correlated with asymmetric auxin response. The MiLOB-AS2like gene thus represents an attractive candidate to mediate MtbHLH1 role on VB development. Interestingly, the A. thaliana LOB gene AT5G63090, which is expressed in a band of cells at the base of all lateral organs (Shuai et al., 2002), encodes a protein that has been shown to interact directly with members of the bHLH family (Husbands et al., 2007).

We interpret the reduced growth of the aerial part of P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR composite plants as an alteration of the nodule capacity to deliver products of symbiotic N fixation to the plant, as plant growth was found to be similar to WT in the presence of ammonium nitrate (without S. meliloti inoculation). The reduced growth could be caused by altered VBs or changes in the functioning of the cells where MtbHLH1 is expressed, that is, VB pericycle cells and/or zone III UCs. It should be recalled that pericycle cells play a critical role for nutrient exchange with nearby tissues, and have been shown in different legume genera to exhibit an intense metabolic activity (Pate et al., 1969), and that Abd-Alla et al. (2000) have reported that UCs from Vicia faba indeterminate nodules build up a symplasmic network through frequent plasmodesmata. Thus c. 30 times more plasmodesmata were counted between UCs, and between UCs and infected cells (ICs) than between ICs, suggesting a role for UCs in metabolite transport. Such a role has already been established in determinate nodules where UCs are specifically involved in synthesis and transport of ureides, the major product of N fixation transported in determinate nodules (Vance, 2002). In Vicia faba indeterminate nodules, uptake experiments with protoplasts isolated either from UCs or ICs, have led to the proposition that UCs are involved in bringing sugar from the phloem sap to the infected cells and transferring amino acids symbiotically produced by ICs to the peripheral vascular system (Peiter & Schubert, 2003; Peiter et al., 2004). It is then tempting to propose that MtbHLH1 contributes to controlling nutrient exchange between nodule and root cells, that is, between ICs (source) and the rest of the plant (sink). Some of the genes, like the asparagine synthase or the ABC transporter genes, that showed reduced expression in P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR nodules may be involved in this process. Only a few differentially expressed genes were found in P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR nodules at 24 dpi compared with control nodules. Several of them were validated by independent qRT-PCR experiments and could be direct or indirect target genes of the MtbHLH1 TF. MtbHLH1 is likely to be a G-box DNA binder, as indicated by the presence of key amino acids (H-E-R-R) in the basic region of the bHLH domain, found in 44% of 638 plant bHLH proteins (Carretero-Paul et al., 2010). The MtbHLH1 protein, once dimerized, could physically bind to the G-box found in the promoters of some of the putative target genes revealed by transcriptome analyses, such as the asparagine synthase and a late nodulin genes, and directly induce their transcription. In the bean legume, a bHLH protein carrying H-E-R-R amino acids in the basic region has been shown to bind to the G-box motif of a gene encoding a seed-storage protein, the β-phaseolin (Kawagoe & Murai, 1996).

As far as early symbiotic stages are concerned, Complainville et al. (2003) have shown that M. truncatula nodule initiation induces symplasmic continuity between the root phloem and nodule initials such as the pericycle cells and immature sieve elements that will give rise to vascularization. The symplasmic field created precedes nodule cell division and allows the transport of macromolecules between root phloem and nodule (phloem unloading). MtbHLH1 might be involved in contributing to such cell to cell communications during early symbiotic stages, which could also explain the delay in nodulation observed in P35S::MtbHLH1-EAR roots. It would be very interesting in the future to analyse more specifically the transcriptome of nodule primordia cells and nodule UCs, for example by taking advantage of laser microdissection, to avoid dilution problems and thereby have a better understanding of their role and the consequences of MtbHLH1 alteration.
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