Impact of Sous Vide Cooking on Nutritional Quality of Meat
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Abstract

Cooking becomes the meat more digestible, eating, and palatable. However, heat treatment can cause undesirable nutritional changes in meat, such as loss of minerals and vitamins, oxidation of lipids and changes in some segments of the protein fraction. The use of sous vide processing technology, which refers to cooking vacuum-sealed food in heat-stable plastic pouches under precisely monitored conditions, represents an interesting cooking alternative in terms of retains its natural sensory qualities of meat along with the nutritional value. In this paper, the research on the effect of sous vide cooking of meat on its nutritional quality is reviewed.
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Introduction

Meat and meat products are essential components of eating habits in developed countries. Meat is a basic part of a healthy and balanced diet due to its nutritional wealth. It contains valuable nutrients for human health [1]. It is an important source of protein (> 20% average on a wet basis) with high digestibility (> 95%). Moreover, it is also a vital source of B vitamins, zinc, selenium, heme-iron, and phosphorus, among others [2].

Meat and meat products are generally cooked before consumption. Cooking is essential to destroy foodborne pathogens and spoilage microorganisms, ensure microbial safety and achieve sensory quality in meat. Cooking becomes the meat more digestible and eating [3,4]. Generally, the consumer chooses a cooking method that produces meat products of high quality in terms of tenderness, appearance, and flavor [5]. Furthermore, cooking also has an important effect on nutritional properties [3,6]. Heat treatment can cause undesirable changes in meat, such as loss of minerals and vitamins, oxidation of lipids and changes in some segments of the protein fraction [3]. Likewise, due to the high temperatures of traditional processes (frying, roasting or grilling), numerous Maillard reaction products are created with a positive impact on the palatability of meat products, but the harmful impact on human health [7,8].

Sous vide cooking (sous vide in French means "vacuum") is presented as an alternative to traditional methods, with less drastic process conditions. This technique was originally developed for the catering industry since it allows the handling of prepared foods after heat treatment without risk of microbial contamination [9]. In sous vide cooking the food is placed in a bag, then the air is removed, and the package is sealed. The packaged foods are then cooked in a temperature range of 50 to 90 °C, with corresponding periods. Immediately after heating, the products should be cooled to 3 °C or less [9,10].

Sous vide cooking has several advantages: it increases shelf life by eliminating the risk of contamination during storage; inhibits oxidation of off-flavours and prevents evaporation losses of flavour volatiles and moisture during cooking [10,11].

For sous vide cooking of meat, the process temperature ranges from 50 °C to 90 °C and generally involves several hours of heating [11,12,13].

Several studies report the benefits in tenderness of vacuum-heated meats compared to traditional cooking methods [12,13]. As well as the nutritional benefits of this cooking method due to the less drastic process conditions and because the packaging of
the product prevents the leaching of nutrients. Despite this, few available studies quantify this superior nutritional quality of meat mentioned [10,14].

Regarding the effect of the cooking method on the vitamin content, fat-soluble vitamins, are less heat-labile than water-soluble vitamins. Although they are susceptible to destruction at high temperatures in the presence of oxygen since the cooking methods at higher temperatures cause oxidative reactions producing free radicals and oxidative products, which can hinder the nutritional properties of the fat-soluble vitamins [15]. In this sense, [16] studied the retention of the B vitamins in meat cooked by the sous vide method. They informed that this method preserved vitamins liable to oxidation better than traditional cooking, but this advantage was removed by storage and following reheating. In this report the authors compare their results with traditional methods obtained from bibliography. More recent, Ayub and Ahmad [17] informed that sous vide treatment caused lower cooking losses than traditional cooking and this parameter normally correlate with the juiciness of the meat, and consequently more retention of vitamins occurs.

On the other hand, the effect of sous vide cooking on the mineral retention of meat was studied by some researchers recently. Da Silva et al. [18] evaluated the impact of heating processes on the bioaccessibility of minerals in bovine liver samples by use of in vitro method. The authors comparing a sous vide heating with heating in water boiling. Both cooking treatments of bovine liver encourage the increase of the bioaccessibility of Ca, Cu, Fe, K and Mg, except for Zn where the effect is the contrary (the bioaccessibility decrease). Also, their results show that the sous vide method provides higher bioaccessibility of these minerals than water boiling cooking, except for K, that both methods present equivalent values.

Likewise, Modzelewksa Kapitulaa et al. [19] studied the effect of sous vide and steam cooking, on minerals (Ca, Cu, Fe, Zn, Mg, Mn, K, Na) contents and fatty acid composition in beef semimembranosus muscle from Bulls. The authors reported that steam cooked beef showed higher Ca, Fe, Zn and Cu contents and lower contents of Na and K than sous vide samples. Also, the content of Mg and Mn in steam-cooked and sous vide samples was like that noted in raw meat. Regarding fatty acids, sous vide beef had a lower proportion of polyunsaturated fatty acids, including n-3, than steam-cooked beef, but a similar n-6/n-3 ratio.

On the other hand, Bhat el al. [14] performed the in vitro gastrointestinal digestive simulation of vacuum cooked beef. The authors observed significantly higher values of some minerals such as Cu, K, Mg and S for the samples processed under vacuum compared to those cooked with the traditional method. Besides, they report increased digestibility, soluble protein, and free amino acids for sous vide cooking, inferring that more enzymatic hydrolysis and digestion had occurred in the vacuum processed samples.

Last, in sous vide cooking meat the lack of high temperatures on the surface and the absence of surface dehydration to the far lower formation of Maillard reaction compounds [7,8] This is processing technology offers the potential to reduce the risks associated with cooking of meat, due that low temperature cooking with longer times to reduce the formation of polyaromatic hydrocarbons, heterocyclic aromatic amines, and acrylamides during cooking of meat [8,20].

**Conclusion**

Sous vide cooking has the potential to reduce the quality losses in meat and meat products as compared to traditional cooling technology because of its low-temperature application. This method improves the sensory characteristics of the meat, such as texture and the juiciness, reduce the cooking losses, without impairing the quality of meat proteins and lipid oxidative stability.
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