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ABSTRACT

Objective: We investigated the safety of a novel interlobar fissure division technique using the da Vinci vessel sealing system in robot-assisted pulmonary lobectomy.

Methods: The medical records of patients who underwent robotic pulmonary lobectomy with node dissection for primary lung cancer between 2018 and 2020 were reviewed. The inclusion criteria were fulfilled by 111 patients, whose perioperative factors and postoperative results were compared with those previously reported. Furthermore, the new robotic lung interlobar division technique using the da Vinci vessel sealing system without a robotic stapler was evaluated in patients with low-grade incomplete fissure. We considered the Craig and Walker classification of lung fissures grades 1 and 2 as a good adaptation for the vessel sealing system interlobar fissure division.

Results: The vessel sealing system group had shorter mean operative and console times (P = .03 and P = .01, respectively) and lesser median intraoperative blood loss (20 mL vs 50 mL; P = .01). The vessel sealing system group had lower surgical complication rates (2.2% vs 20.0%; P = .01). The incidence of persistent postoperative air leak was lower (0% vs 10.0%; P = .06), and fewer robotic stapler cartridges were used during surgery (3.4 vs 5.6; P < .001) in the vessel sealing system group than in the stapler group.

Conclusions: We report the safety of using the da Vinci vessel sealing system as an alternative to the use of robotic staples for interlobar fissure division in robot-assisted pulmonary lobectomy. This technique seems easy and feasible though limited to the low-grade incomplete fissure. (JTCVS Techniques 2022;13:211-6)

CENTRAL MESSAGE

The new robotic lung interlobar division using the da Vinci vessel-sealing system without a stapler seems feasible in limited patients with low-grade incomplete fissure.

PERSPECTIVE

Safe fissure division is crucial in surgical treatment of lung cancer. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to apply the VSS fissure division in RATS lobectomy. We observed a shorter operative time in the VSS group, with a decrease in complications and a tendency for reduced air leak. It should be noted that this study was limited to patients with low-grade incomplete fissure.

See Commentary on page 217.
da Vinci staplers and vessel sealing systems (VSS) have been developed. However, the surgical techniques using these devices need more exploration. In RATS, the console surgeon can perform the procedure with excellent maneuverability provided by the robot arms. However, it is difficult for the assistant to perform procedures blocked by the robotic arms, thereby lacking the control of the video camera even when compared with VATS. To address this shortcoming, we performed solo surgery by the operator (RATS lobectomy), which has lesser dependence on assistants in the surgical field.

In lobectomy, the interlobar fissure is usually divided with mechanical staplers or monopolar electrocautery, whereas few reports have described an interlobar fissure division technique with VSS. Many studies indicate that RATS is more expensive than VATS or thoracotomy, and reducing the number of mechanical staplers used is an easy way to reduce the cost of RATS lobectomy. To reduce the assistant’s stapler use, we used the da Vinci VSS for fissure division in selected patients with low-grade incomplete fissure.

We hypothesized that the use of da Vinci VSS for fissure division in selected patients would be safe and would not increase the air leakage. Therefore, in this study we evaluated the perioperative outcomes of our novel method of RATS lobectomy for lung cancer using the da Vinci VSS.

METHODS

Patients and Study Design

Our institutional review board approved the study protocol and waived the need for written informed consent (number 322-265, approval date: February 4, 2021). A retrospective analysis was conducted on 111 patients between April 2018 and June 2021. All patients underwent pulmonary lobectomy with node dissection for non–small cell lung cancer. Patients who underwent sublobar resection, including segmentectomy, were excluded from the study. Medical records of the patients were reviewed and the following characteristics were recorded: age, sex, body height, body mass index, tumor location, extent of node dissection, smoking status, interlobar fissure completeness, number of stapler cartridges, p stage, intraoperative blood loss, operative time, chest tube drainage duration, length of postoperative stay, and morbidity for the entire study period. The fissures were prospectively graded by the surgeon at the time of surgery. In our surgical database, we record the information about the lobe fissure completeness withCraig and Walker classification. We have used these data in this study.

To further elucidate the effectiveness of interlobar fissure division with VSS in RATS lobectomy, we divided the 76 patients into 2 groups after excluding the patients who had thick incomplete fissure with Craig and Walker classification grades of 3, 4, and 1 who did not need interlobar division (Table 1). After port placements, the completeness of a fissure was evaluated. They were grouped into 4 stages according to Craig and Walker classification: grade 1, complete fissure with entirely separate lobes; grade 2, complete visceral cleft but parenchymal fusion at the base of the fissure; grade 3, visceral cleft evident on part of the fissure; and grade 4, complete fusion of the lobes with no evident fissure line. The 2 groups were as follows: the VSS (n = 46) and stapler (n = 30) groups. We then compared patient characteristics and outcomes.

The indications for RATS lobectomy were clinical T1 to T3, N0 to N1, and M0. All patients underwent preparative assessments comprised of chest computed tomography (CT) imaging, standard hematology and blood chemistry, cardiological examination, pulmonary function test, brain magnetic resonance imaging or CT, and bronchoscopy. Positron emission tomography (PET)/CT was performed in almost all patients, and patients who did not undergo positron emission tomography/CT and abdominal ultrasonography underwent bone scanning. We evaluated the perioperative outcomes of our novel method to divide the interlobar fissure using the da Vinci VSS in RATS lobectomy.

| Abbreviations and Acronyms |
|---------------------------|
| CT                        | computed tomography |
| LN                        | lymph node |
| RATS                      | robot-assisted thoracic surgery |
| VATS                      | video-assisted thoracic surgery |
| VSS                       | vessel sealing systems |
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Table 1. Demographic characteristics of patients with Craig and Walker grade 2 fissure (N = 76)

|                         | VSS (n = 46) | Staple (n = 30) | P value |
|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age, y                  | 70 (66-74)   | 70 (61-74)      | .510    |
| Sex, male/female        | 22/24        | 18/12           | .352    |
| Height, cm              | 161 (155-167)| 164 (159-168)   | .164    |
| BMI                     | 23.2 (21.2-25.5) | 23.3 (20.7-25.5) | .392     |
| Smoking status          |              |                 | .806    |
| Ever                    | 32           | 20              |         |
| Never                   | 14           | 10              |         |
| VC, %                   | 111 (105-121)| 114 (104-124)   | .820    |
| FEV1, %                 | 74.7 (71.3-80.7)| 74.0 (68.7-78.1)| .411    |
| COPD                    | 7 (15.2)     | 9 (30.0)        | .154    |
| ILD                     | 4 (8.7)      | 3 (10.0)        | .447    |
| Tumor location          |              |                 | .161    |
| RUL                     | 13           | 15              |         |
| RML                     | 3            | 3               |         |
| RLL                     | 15           | 3               |         |
| LUL                     | 10           | 6               |         |
| LLL                     | 5            | 3               |         |

Data are presented as mean (interquartile range), n (%), or n, except where otherwise noted. VSS, Vessel-sealing system; BMI, body mass index; VC, vital capacity; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ILD, interstitial lung disease; RUL, right upper lobe; RML, right middle lobe; RLL, right lower lobe; LUL, left upper lobe; LLL, left lower lobe.

Surgical Procedure

At our institution, RATS is usually performed via a single utility incision (30-40 mm) at the level of the fourth intercostal space and 4 ports. Surgery was performed with patients under general anesthesia with selective lung ventilation, and lobectomy was performed basically using 4-arm robotic techniques as described by Cerfolio. The assistant surgeon was positioned on the ventral side of the patient. The pulmonary veins, larger pulmonary arteries, and bronchus were divided by the robotic stapler by the operator in all cases. In initial cases, we used the handheld staplers to divide the bronchus, which was performed by the assistant. Using the vessel sealer, the small pulmonary artery branch and lymph node (LN) dissection areas were sealed in all cases. Our VATS technique is similar to an open
resection (through the fissure first, and dissecting along the pulmonary artery). After ports placements, the surgeon prospectively graded the fissures. In limited patients who had almost complete fissure, the interlobar fissure was divided by the vessel sealer (Intuitive Surgical). In our methods, the interlobar division line is placed on the resected lobe at 1 to 2 mm away from the actual interlobar line (Figure 1, Video 1). The method cannot be used when no interlobar line is observed, and the tumor is located near the interlobar fissure. Even in such cases, we used the VSS for the remainder of the surgery. Thick incomplete fissures were divided by the robotic staplers or a combination of staplers and the da Vinci VSS as deemed appropriate (these patients constituted the stapler group). Considering safety, it was necessary to change to stapler when sealing was incomplete, and there was a concern about air leakage when using VSS. We emphasize that the decision regarding the use of VSS versus stapling was at the discretion of the console surgeon. Bertolaccini and colleagues reported that fissure division with LigaSure (Medtronic) was safely performed in VATS lobectomy with no cases of mortality or major complications in 50 consecutive patients. Therefore, we used the LigaSure for fissure division in VATS lobectomy. Therefore, we decided to apply this technique to RATS lobectomy. We considered the Craig and Walker classification of lung fissures grades 1 and 2 as a good adaptation for the VSS interlobar fissure division. We had no previous experience of surgery with the da Vinci S; therefore, this study was limited to surgery with the da Vinci Xi. Mediastinal node dissection was performed at a lobar-specific station. Upper and lower mediastinal LN dissection were performed in cases N1 node metastasis was confirmed by frozen section diagnosis. At the end of the surgery, air leakage was checked by submerging the resected lung in sterile warm saline, followed by inflation with a pressure of 20 cm H2O. Air leak was controlled with suturing, soft coagulation, or fibrin glue reinforcement. Postoperative analgesia was administered using an epidural catheter.

**FIGURE 1.** Lung interlobar fissure division using the vessel sealer in a Craig and Walker classification grade 2 case during right upper lobectomy. **Black dotted line** indicates the division line. **White arrows** indicate the actual interlobar fissure.

**VIDEO 1.** Lung interlobar fissure division using the vessel sealer in Craig and Walker classification grade 2 case during left lower lobectomy. The interlobar division line is placed on the resected lobe at 1-2 mm away from the actual interlobar line. Video available at: https://www.jtcvs.org/article/S2666-2507(22)00125-0/fulltext.
Surgery-Related Outcomes of Patients With Fused Fissure

Table 3 shows a summary of the surgery-related outcomes of patients with fused fissures. The mean operative time and console time were shorter in the VSS group (200 minutes vs 225 minutes \( P = .030 \) and 132 minutes vs 158 minutes \( P = .012 \), respectively). The median intraoperative blood loss was reduced in the VSS group (20 mL vs 50 mL; \( P = .111 \)). The surgical complication rates were also reduced in the VSS group (2.2% vs 20.0%; \( P = .013 \)). Other surgery-related outcomes were as follows: mean chest tube duration, 1.4 days versus 1.8 days \( P = .076 \); incidence of persistent air leak, 0% versus 10.0% \( P = .058 \); intervention for air leak control, 2.2% versus 6.7% \( P = .558 \) in the VSS and stapler groups, respectively.

Cost-Related Outcomes of Patients With Fused Fissure

Table 2 shows a summary of the cost-related outcomes of patients with fused fissures. The median postoperative stay were not different between 2 groups, 9 days versus 10 days \( P = .321 \). The total number of robotic staple cartridges used during surgery were reduced in the VSS group, 3.4 versus 5.6 \( P < .001 \). Regarding the medical cost, material cost, and total cost were reduced in the VSS group ($4241 vs $4867 \( P < .001 \) and $16,275 vs $16,955 \( P < .01 \), respectively).}

**DISCUSSION**

Thoracoscopic lung resection for lung cancer has shown improved perioperative outcomes similar to those of open thoracotomy.\(^{10,11}\) The major advantages of RATS are the unobstructed field of view provided by high-precision 3D images and the excellent operability provided by the robot arms, which enable precise movement in a narrow surgical field.\(^2\) These features of RATS allow thorough LN dissection, which is technically demanding, relatively easy. However, limited and conflicting perioperative outcomes have been reported for different cohorts.\(^2\) We compared our surgical results with those of previous studies on robotic lobectomy for lung cancer.\(^{12-16}\) We had no conversion to thoracotomy in this study (previous studies: 7.5%-15.8%; this study: 0%). This might have been affected because this study consists of our initial experience with robotic surgery. Our results also showed the lowest morbidity among these studies (previous: 10.5%-28.3%; this study: 9.9%). We confirmed that robotic lobectomy for lung cancer could be performed safely. Although the duration of hospital stay might appear to be long for lung resection, it is shorter than 15.5 days, which was reported by the 2020 Japanese Hospital Intelligence Agency database.\(^17\) In Japan, the National Health Insurance covers almost 90% of the cost of a patient’s hospital stay for lung cancer resection. The duration of hospitalization gradually decreased according to the national medical cost reduction policy.

While using the handheld stapler in robotic lobectomy, the assistant experienced considerable difficulty when the operative field was blocked by the robotic arms. These difficult and time-consuming procedures can be eliminated with RATS solo lobectomy. Considering the da Vinci camera, it
is not completely flexible compared with the VATS scope, which could be inserted at every port or via minithoracotomy and it can obtain a field of view with no blind spots without any restrictions.

Furthermore, tunneling and stapler insertion can be technically demanding in some cases according to the length of the stapler. Therefore, if the VSS division does not increase technically demanding in some cases according to the length of the stapler. Therefore, if the VSS division does not increase the stapler. Therefore, if the VSS division does not increase in some cases according to the length of

Sakuragi and colleagues18 used another vessel-sealing technology (BiClamp bipolar coagulation forceps; Erbe Elektromedizin GmbH) for dividing the lung parenchyma in 60 patients with fused fissures during VATS lobectomy and compared them with stapler-divided cases. In that study, the rates of prolonged air leak and pneumonia were similar different between both groups (6.9% and 3.4% in the stapled group vs 10.6% and 9.1% in the BiClamp group). They divided the interlobar fissure straight, and the division line might include the part of the lobe that should be preserved. In our novel method, using VSS, the interlobar division line is placed on the resected lobe at 1 to 2 mm away from the actual interlobar line. This might have reduced the complications and the tendency for reduced air leak in the VSS fissure division.

We have also reported that VSS for lung cancer resection could decrease chest tube duration, blood loss, and incidence of chylothorax in VATS lobectomy.19 To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to apply the VSS fissure division in RATS lobectomy. In this study, we observed a shorter operative time in the VSS group, with a decrease in complications and a tendency for reduced air leak. Moreover, the number of stapler cartridges used was reduced by approximately 2 cartridges in the VSS group. Both of these could contribute to reducing the cost of robot-assisted surgery. We performed simple cost analysis and the material cost was lower by approximately $626 for the VSS group, probably because of the lesser number of stapler cartridges used (5.6 vs 3.4); it should be noted that stapler cartridges are expensive in Japan (approximately $250). The total cost for the VSS group was lower by approximately $680.

This study has some limitations. First, the results were on the basis of retrospective data obtained by reviewing medical records; prospective randomized studies are required to further validate application of robotic pulmonary resections. Second, we divided the almost complete fissure using VSS and chose the stapler for thick incomplete fissure. However, the discretion of the surgeon regarding the use of VSS or stapler could be detrimental for each procedure. Therefore, the inherent selection bias cannot be eliminated. Third, the robotic data in our series included the initial experience, which could skew the outcome. Prospective randomized studies at experienced centers are required to further validate the application of RATS lobectomy.

CONCLUSIONS

We report herein the safety of the use of da Vinci VSS as an alternative to robotic staples for interlobar fissure division in RATS pulmonary lobectomy in selected patients. This interlobar fissure division technique seems easy and feasible, although it is limited to the patients with low-grade incomplete fissure. We also confirmed that robotic lobectomy for lung cancer could be performed safely and effectively.
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