High-temperature behavior of Sr-doped layered cobaltites Y(Ba$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$)Co$_2$O$_{5.5}$: phase stability and structural properties
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Abstract

In this paper we present a neutron diffraction in situ study of the thermal evolution and high-temperature structure of layered cobaltites Y(Ba$\text{Sr}$)Co$_2$O$_{5.5}$. Neutron thermodiffractograms and magnetic susceptibility measurements are reported in the temperature range 20 K $\leq T \leq$ 570 K, as well as high-resolution neutron diffraction experiments at selected temperatures. Starting from the as-synthesized samples with $\delta \approx 0.5$, we show that the room temperature phases remain stable up to 550 K, where they start losing oxygen and transform to a vacancy-disordered structure with tetragonal symmetry. Our results also show how the so-called ‘122’ structure can be stabilized at high temperature (around 450 K) in a sample in which the addition of Sr at the Ba site had suppressed its formation. In addition, we present the structural and magnetic properties of the resulting samples with a new oxygen content $\delta \approx 0.25$ in the temperature range 20 K $\leq T \leq$ 300 K.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

The layered cobaltites RbCo$_2$O$_{5+\delta}$ (R being a rare earth or yttrium) are a family of magnetic oxides which present a very rich variety of phenomena which are being intensively studied [1–12]. The major attraction of this system is that many of its physical properties may be tuned by the interplay of a number of factors: the R cation size, the oxygen non-stoichiometry affecting the Co valence state, the vacancies structural order, and—as we have shown recently [13, 14]—the disorder introduced by doping with different cations, such as Ca and Sr.

The crystal structure of layered cobaltites is always composed of different possible combinations of a main lattice related to a basic perovskite by doubling the cell along the $c$ axis due to the stacking sequence of [CoO$_2$–[BaO]–[CoO$_2$–[RO$_\delta$] planes. In the limiting case $\delta = 1$ [15], all Co atoms present an octahedral oxygen coordination and a mixed valence state 3+/4+. The oxygen vacancies when $0 \leq \delta < 1$ are always located in the rare earth planes [RO$_\delta$]. Therefore, the structure for $\delta = 0$ consists of all Co atoms in a pyramidical oxygen coordination [16, 17] with a mixed valence state 2+/3+. In between, a series of superstructures may form, the most studied one being the so-called ‘122’ superstructure, consisting of an ordered array of 50% Co atoms in octahedral oxygen coordination and 50% in a pyramidical environment and a valence 3+. This array results in a doubling of the cell along the $b$ axis, breaking the tetragonal symmetry found in the other cases, but it only occurs for $\delta$ values very close to 0.5 [18]. It is worth mentioning that, in the literature on layered cobaltites, the notation ‘122’ is indicative of the lattice...
dimensions in terms of a simple perovskite cell parameter ($a_p$), i.e. ‘122’ corresponds to a cell with approximate lattice parameters $a_p \times 2a_p \times 2a_p$, in contrast with other oxides’ notations in which it denotes the cationic formulation.

In a recent study we have shown that, when $R = Y$, layered cobaltites present phase separation and their ground state corresponds to the coexistence of two distinct antiferromagnetic (AFM) phases [13, 14]. We arrived at this picture after a systematic study of the effects of doping with Ca and Sr, which strongly stabilize each of the two competing phases in the system: a phase with orthorhombic symmetry which adopts a ferrimagnetic state with spin-state order (ferri-SO) and a phase with tetragonal symmetry which adopts a G-type AFM state. The phase separation scenario has been confirmed in the last couple of years [8, 9, 19, 20], and the complexity of the magnetism and transport properties still calls for further investigation.

Although the most intensively studied aspects of these systems remain the low-temperature properties and their attractive underlying fundamental physics, during the last few years interest has also emerged in the field of cathodes for intermediate temperature solid oxide fuel cells [21–28]. The ordered nature of layered cobaltites improves transport for intermediate temperature solid oxide fuel cells [21–28]. Few years interest has also emerged in the field of cathodes during the last couple of years [8, 9, 19, 20], and the complexity of the magnetism and transport properties still calls for further investigation.

In this work we extend our study of cobaltites $\text{YBa}_2\text{Co}_2\text{O}_5+\delta$, $\text{Y}(\text{Ba}_0.95\text{Sr}_{0.05})\text{Co}_2\text{O}_5+\delta$ ($x_{\text{Sr}} = 0.05$) and $\text{Y}(\text{Ba}_{0.90}\text{Sr}_{0.10})\text{Co}_2\text{O}_5+\delta$ ($x_{\text{Sr}} = 0.10$), turning to their high-temperature behavior. We have used neutron diffraction techniques to follow the evolution of the different phases in the temperature range $20 \, K \leq T\leq 570 \, K$. During the experiment the oxygen content was not kept fixed, which gave us the opportunity to study the same sample with different $\delta$ values. In the following, we describe the sequence of phases observed on warming and cooling in samples with $x_{\text{Sr}} = 0$ and 0.05—allowing for oxygen loss—and in sample $x_{\text{Sr}} = 0.10$ avoiding oxygen loss. Magnetic susceptibility measurements are presented and discussed in light of the neutron diffraction results and a consistent description is given as a function of dopant concentration, temperature and oxygen content.

2. Experimental methods

The polycrystalline samples used in the present work correspond to those reported in [13] for $x_{\text{Sr}} = 0$ and [14] for $x_{\text{Sr}} = 0.05$ and 0.10. Between the previous and present experiments, samples were stored in a dessicator containing silica gel, at room temperature (RT) and ambient pressure.

Neutron diffraction data were obtained in different experiments at the High Flux Reactor of the Institut Laue Langevin ILL, Grenoble, France. High-temperature thermodiffraction was performed with the high-intensity two-axes diffractometer D20. For samples $x_{\text{Sr}} = 0$ and 0.05 we used a wavelength of 1.31 Å in the range $300 \, K \leq T \leq 570 \, K$. Data were collected on warming and on cooling with programmed ramps of 1.2 K min$^{-1}$. Samples were kept for 30 min at 570 K to stabilize the structures. In a second experiment, a wavelength of 2.42 Å was used to study samples $x_{\text{Sr}} = 0.05$ and 0.10 also at low temperature, in the range $20 \, K \leq T \leq 570 \, K$. Sample $x_{\text{Sr}} = 0.05$ was measured on warming from 30 to 305 K with a ramp of 0.8 K min$^{-1}$. Sample $x_{\text{Sr}} = 0.10$ was heated from 20 to 305 K at 0.8 K min$^{-1}$, then up to 525 K at 1 K min$^{-1}$. The cooling ramp was performed at 2 K min$^{-1}$ down to 20 K and finally heated to RT at 0.8 K min$^{-1}$. In all cases the collected diffractograms were saved every 2 min. Sample holders consisted of vanadium cylinders that were not sealed, placed inside the furnace and measured under a vacuum of $10^{-7}$ bar.

In addition, high-resolution data were collected with the Super-D2B diffractometer of ILL both before and after the experiment with D20, i.e. after being heated to 570 K. A wavelength of $\sim 1.594$ Å was used to obtain patterns at selected temperatures using the high-intensity configuration. Details are described in [13]. The neutron diffraction patterns were processed with the full-pattern analysis Rietveld method, using the program FULLPROF [29] for refining the crystal and magnetic structures. The strategy for the Rietveld refinement was as follows. For the heating ramps, the starting points were the structures reported previously [14] obtained from high-resolution D2B data, then used to refine sequentially the neutron thermodiffractograms obtained from D20. Temperature scans were divided into different ranges according to the structural and magnetic order, and for each range the corresponding atomic positions and occupations obtained from D2B were kept fixed (except for oxygen at the adequate sites), while lattice parameters, temperature factors and magnetic moments were allowed to vary. The cooling ramps were refined based on the high-resolution data from D2B collected after the high-temperature experiments.

Magnetization measurements were performed using a VSM and SQUID magnetometers at low temperature ($5 \, K < T < 310 \, K$) and a home-made Faraday balance at high temperature ($300 \, K < T < 600 \, K$), under a 5 kOe field, at a warming/cooling rate of 0.7 K min$^{-1}$ in a 1.33 × $10^{-3}$ bar air atmosphere. Electrical resistivity measurements were performed between 80 and 300 K under zero applied magnetic field using the standard four-probe technique with gold contacts sputtered onto the samples to reduce contact resistance.

3. Results

3.1. Initial room temperature structures

In the first place, let us briefly summarize the starting point for the three samples to be studied at high temperature. The parent compound, $x_{\text{Sr}} = 0$, has been intensively studied so far; and different structures as a function of temperature and oxygen content have been reported [1, 4, 7, 9, 18, 19, 30, 31]. Above RT it is in the orthorhombic $Pmmn$ space group with a ‘122’ cell (O122 phase, figure I(a)). However, the substitution with Sr
Figure 1. Schematic view of the structure for (a) the O$_{122}$ phase with $\delta = 0.5$ and complete order of oxygen vacancies resulting in a larger cell and (b) the T$_{112}$ phase. The apical oxygen partially colored in the graph (middle plane) indicates that such a site is partially occupied, leading to a random distribution of oxygen vacancies. The crystallographic sites where oxygen vacancies distribute are also indicated, following the notation used in our previous papers [13, 14].

at the Ba site gradually favors the stabilization of a tetragonal $P4_{2}/mm$ phase with ‘112’ structure, i.e. with no ordering of oxygen vacancies [14]. For sample $x_{Sr} = 0.05$ the RT state corresponds to a mixture of 80 at.% O$_{122}$ phase and 20 at.% tetragonal ‘112’ (T$_{112}$ phase, figure 1(b)). Sample $x_{Sr} = 0.10$ is already completely tetragonal, showing no significant traces of O phase either in the neutron diffraction experiments or in its magnetization as a function of temperature. In all cases, the initial oxygen content refined from D2B data at and below RT is $\delta = 0.47 \pm 0.02$.

3.2. Evolution of phases upon heating

3.2.1. Samples $x_{Sr} = 0$ and 0.05. In figure 2(a) we present the thermodiffractogram of sample $x_{Sr} = 0$ collected on heating from RT to 570 K together with the corresponding projection to the $2\theta$–$T$ plane, for a selected region showing the Bragg reflections $(040)_O$ and $(200)_O$ collapsing into the $(200)_T$ reflection. This corresponds to a structural phase transition from the $Pmnm$ to the $P4_{2}/mm$ space group occurring at $\sim 530$ K. A similar orthorhombic to tetragonal high-temperature transition has been reported in this family of compounds by Streule et al [32] for $R = Pr$, but occurring at a higher temperature, namely 776 K. That transition corresponds to a disordering of vacancies, given that their measurements were performed in sealed sample holders filled with an inert gas preventing any oxygen loss during the experiment. As we will show below, in our experiment the transition is driven by oxygen loss and rearrangement of oxygen vacancies.

The sample with $x_{Sr} = 0.05$ shows a similar evolution with temperature, as shown in figure 2(b). The transition here occurs at a lower temperature ($\sim 510$ K), which is consistent with the fact that Sr addition already helps to stabilize the tetragonal structure. However, we observe a slight but noticeable increase in the O$_{122}$-phase fraction with increasing temperature between 350 K $\leq T \leq 400$ K, which can be interpreted as the gradual stabilization of the O$_{122}$ phase due to oxygen mobility and its tendency to become ordered, until the oxygen loss leads to the complete transformation to the vacancy-disordered T$_{112}$ phase. The evolution of the phase fractions for samples $x_{Sr} = 0$ and 0.05 is presented in figure 3. At the end of the temperature ramp, both samples were kept at 570 K for 30 min and then cooled down to RT with a controlled ramp. The transformation to the T phase was already complete and irreversible. This was expected considering that the furnace was sealed and with a vacuum of $10^{-7}$ bar so that oxygen was irreversibly lost.

To study the evolution of oxygen vacancies during the heating–dwelling–cooling process, we analyzed the
occupancies of the relevant crystallographic sites occupied by oxygen atoms, obtained from the refinement of D20 data. In the notation adopted for the present work, the sites O3/O3’ of the Pmmm space group (O122 phase) are occupied/unoccupied by oxygen atoms located in the [YOδ] plane. They correspond to the apical oxygens which define octahedra and pyramids (Wyckoff positions 1g and 1c, respectively) and they are indicated in figure 1(a). In the ideal ‘122’ structure the site O3 is completely occupied (50% octahedra) and site O3’ is completely empty (50% pyramids), although there is frequently found a small degree of disorder even at low temperatures [6, 13]. With the present refinements, we have allowed for disorder in this array by setting these occupancies as free parameters. The results are presented in figure 4(a) for the xSr = 0 and 0.05 samples. The elevated degree of order among pyramids and octahedra remains unaltered just until the transition at 530 K. At this temperature, we observe for the sample xSr = 0 a sudden rearrangement of vacancies, leading to both sites having the same occupancy (0.5), in agreement with the transition to the T112 phase in which pyramids and octahedra are distributed randomly. For the sample xSr = 0.05 the same rearrangement occurs, although it is not so neatly observed: it should be noticed that in this sample there is already a fraction of T phase in coexistence blurring the results.

After the transition, data were refined in the tetragonal P4/mmm space group. In this description, oxygen vacancies are still located in the [YOδ] plane defining the apices of the octahedra, but as there is no particular order there is only one crystallographic site for apical oxygen in this structure. In the present notation it is called the O2 site (Wyckoff position 1b). When the O2 site is completely occupied then δ = 1, or when completely unoccupied δ = 0. Figure 4(b) shows the evolution of the O2 site occupancy in the T phase after the transition. It can be observed that the samples lose oxygen during the final steps of the heating ramp, decreasing the occupancy of the O2 site, and they continue to do so during the 30 min dwell at 570 K. The bottom panel (figure 4(c)) corresponds to the evolution of the δ value for both samples. It has been calculated by combining data on site occupancies (Occ) and phase fractions (f), following the equation

$$\delta = f_O \times \frac{(Occ_{O3} + Occ_{O3'})}{2} + f_T \times Occ_{O2}.$$

We observe in figure 4(c) a smooth transition from the initial value of 0.47 to a final value of 0.24 in both samples. The same final value for the oxygen content was also obtained from the refinement of RT high-resolution data collected after the D20 experiments, even after a further structural transition occurs during the cooling ramp, as we will show in the following section, giving consistency to the results. Certainly, a different oxygen content would be attained by varying the dwell time, until an equilibrium is reached at 570 K under the conditions of vacuum used in the experiment. A thermogravimetric analysis was performed on the parent compound, under a nitrogen flow of 60 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$ and with a heating rate of 2° min$^{-1}$ from 298 to 773 K. Figure 5 shows that the oxygen loss under nitrogen flow begins at around 550–600 K, in good agreement with [18]. In such conditions, the sample ends with a value of δ close to 0.14 under the assumption that the starting oxygen content corresponds to that obtained from the neutron diffraction experiments and that all the weight lost corresponds to oxygen atoms. Note that this final oxygen content is stable from ≈700 K. Moreover, it is expected that the cobaltites present a larger oxygen loss under
Figure 5. Thermogravimetric curve for a sample of YBaCo$_2$O$_{5.47}$ performed under nitrogen flow. The initial and final states are indicated.

nitrogen than under oxygen flow [33], so the final $\delta$ values in both experiments seem consistent. For the objectives of the present work, the irreversible oxygen loss in our neutron diffraction experiment was exploited to compare the parent compound and the 5% Sr-substituted samples for an oxygen content much lower than $\delta = 0.5$. The thermal treatment mimics the one performed during the experiments at the Faraday balance (described in the following) and help us understand the behavior observed after cooling again to RT.

3.2.2. Sample $x_{Sr} = 0.10$. As we mentioned above, the initial state for this sample corresponds completely to the T$_{112}$ phase although the initial oxygen content is the same as in the other samples. This means that pyramids and octahedra in the structure are still in a ratio close to 1:1 but, due to the disorder introduced by Sr replacement, they are not any longer ordered along the $b$ axis. However, we observed at high temperature the unexpected formation of the ordered O$_{122}$ phase around 425 K. To illustrate this, the diffraction data collected on warming projected to the $2\theta$–$T$ plane, for the Bragg reflection (2 0 0)$_T$, are presented in figure 6(a). The arrow indicates the onset of the O$_{122}$ phase, showing up as rather diffuse shadows (actually, the (0 4 0)$_O$ and (2 0 0)$_O$ Bragg reflections of the O$_{122}$ phase) at each side of the major (2 0 0)$_T$ reflection. As in the former samples, this small fraction of O phase begins to transform to a T phase at $\sim$500 K but the transformation could not proceed because the maximum temperature reached by the furnace this time was 525 K for technical reasons. This can be observed in figure 3 for the phase fraction of the O phase. The warming ramp ends with a sample which is 85% in the T phase and 15% in the O phase. But, most importantly, there occurs no oxygen loss because heating stops before reaching the onset of desorption and we immediately start the cooling ramp without a dwell. In figure 6(b), where the data collected on cooling are presented, we can see how this phase is retained until the typical phase distortion occurs near RT (at the metal–insulator transition temperature) indicated by the arrow in (b) and discussed in section 3.3.

3.3. Structures retained on cooling

3.3.1. Samples $x_{Sr} = 0$ and 0.05 with $\delta = 0.24$. Samples $x_{Sr} = 0$ and 0.05 were kept for 30 min at 570 K and then cooled at the same rate ($1.2\,\text{K min}^{-1}$) down to 343 K ($x_{Sr} = 0$) and 313 K ($x_{Sr} = 0.05$). No transformations were observed upon cooling for the $x_{Sr} = 0$ sample. On the other hand, the onset of a magnetic ordering was observed in the $x_{Sr} = 0.05$ sample which was cooled to a lower temperature. This magnetic transition above RT corresponds to a G-type AFM ordering as observed in YBaCo$_2$O$_5$ [34]. It is illustrated in figure 7 where we present in panel (b) a projection of the family of magnetic reflections \{$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} 1$\}. In panel (c) the intensity of this magnetic peak is plotted normalized to the most intense nuclear reflection (1 1 2). The data presented below RT and the projection in figure 7(a) correspond to the second experiment performed in D20 but with a wavelength of 2.42 Å. We can see a smooth transition between both datasets and interpolate them to estimate the magnetic transition temperature.
Figure 7. (a), (b)) Projection of a selected section of the thermodiffractograms of sample $x_{Sr} = 0.05$ showing the major magnetic family of reflections \{(1/2 1/2 1)\}$_{AFM-G}$. Data in (a) were collected from D20 with $\lambda \sim 2.42$ Å in the temperature range 30–300 K after the high-temperature experiment. Data in (b) were collected during the high-temperature experiment, on cooling, with a $\lambda \sim 1.31$ Å. (c) Thermal evolution of the intensity of the most intense magnetic peak \{(1/2 1/2 1)\}$_{AFM-G}$ relative to the most intense nuclear reflection (1 1 2)$_T$, in the sample $x_{Sr} = 0.05$.

transition also occurs in our parent compound sample, but unfortunately we could not reach the transition temperature on cooling. However, the high-resolution diffractogram collected at D2B after the experiment confirms that there is a G-type AFM order in the structure. It has been reported by Vogt et al [34] that the magnetic transition is accompanied by a tetragonal to orthorhombic distortion. We have therefore refined the high-resolution data for samples $x_{Sr} = 0$ and 0.05 in the orthorhombic $Pmnm$ space group (of course as $\delta = 0.24$, the cell is now ‘112’) and using a G-type AFM magnetic model for $T = 100, 250$ and 300 K. In figure 8 we show one such refinement for sample $x_{Sr} = 0.05$ at 100 K. The most intense magnetic and nuclear reflections are indicated. In table 1 the structural details of the $Pmnm$ phase in our samples (for $\delta = 0.24$) are presented, obtained from the refinement of high-resolution data.

3.3.2. Sample $x_{Sr} = 0.10$ and $\delta = 0.47$. After reaching a maximum temperature of 525 K, the sample with 10% Sr doping was immediately cooled down to 20 K at 2 K min$^{-1}$. The stabilization of the $O_{122}$ phase observed in the heating ramp was not reversed and the sample kept this 17% $O_{122}$ phase during the rest of the experiment, as shown in figure 12. Upon cooling we observed a series of transitions, which were successfully identified with those expected for the coexistence of the two phases, in the same way as reported in our previous work [14]. The first transition on cooling appears at 285 K...
and corresponds to the distortion of the O$_{122}$ phase occurring at the metal–insulator transition temperature [13, 14]. Below this temperature, the O$_{122}$ phase is expected to present a ferrimagnetic order in a narrow temperature range as in YBaCo$_2$O$_{5.5}$ and YBa$_{0.95}$Sr$_{0.05}$Co$_2$O$_{5.5}$ [13]. It is also possible that a concomitant structural transition occurs to a monoclinic P112/a space group below the metal–insulator transition temperature, as recently proposed by Malavasi et al [35] and Padilla-Pantoja et al [31] based on high-resolution synchrotron x-ray diffraction data. Our current data do not allow a refinement of the ferrimagnetic phase, but an increase in the diffracted intensity is observed at the 2θ position where the most intense magnetic reflection is to be expected, as shown in figure 9. The figure presents the intensity of the most intense magnetic peak (corresponding to the families $\{11\}_{I\text{mm}}$ and $\{1\frac{1}{2}1\}_{\text{AFM-G}}$) normalized to the most intense nuclear reflection. The numbers in the figure correspond to the sequence of thermal treatments applied to the sample. Number 1 corresponds to data collected on warming of the original sample. Number 2 corresponds to data obtained on cooling after the sample had been heated to 525 K and 3 corresponds to the subsequent warming to RT. The curve measured before the high-temperature treatment indicates that there is only one magnetic phase in the sample, corresponding to the AFM-G order in the T phase, which is consistent with the monophasic nature of the sample at RT. However, after having been heated to 525 K, there appears a small bump in the intensity between 220 and 285 K which is consistent with the ferrimagnetic order in the O phase. The remaining 83% T phase in the sample transforms to AFM-G below 200 K, in excellent agreement with the behavior described in our previous work [14].

The thermal effects on the magnetic behavior of the parent compound are also reflected in the susceptibility measurements. Figure 10 shows the temperature dependence of the inverse susceptibility of the parent compound during and after three different thermal treatments. In panel (a) the original sample was warmed to 473 K and immediately cooled to RT in the Faraday balance. The inverse susceptibility data on warming and cooling do not show any change above 300 K, but when the low-temperature magnetization was measured again after the experiment, the resulting curve was different. The low field magnetization (inset in figure 10(a)) suggests the presence of a second magnetic phase. Given that we do not expect any significant oxygen loss when heating to 473 K, this result points to a rearrangement of oxygen vacancies and again highlights the competition of phases. It is clear that

| $x_{0.05}$ | $x_{0.05}$ | $x_{0.05}$ |
|------------|------------|------------|
| $T = 300$ K | $T = 250$ K | $T = 100$ K |
| Co | z | 0.259(1) | 0.2584(4) | 0.2584(6) |
| O2 | z | 0.311(8) | 0.3167(5) | 0.3110(5) |
| O3 | z | 0.2964(8) | 0.2963(5) | 0.2997(6) |
| O4 | $\alpha_{cc}$ | 0.24(1) | 0.24(1) | 0.23(1) |
| $a$ (Å) | 3.8752(6) | 3.8731(6) | 3.8745(7) |
| $b$ (Å) | 3.8777(6) | 3.8763(5) | 3.8717(28) |
| $c$ (Å) | 7.4992(44) | 7.4993(2) | 7.4910(7) |
| $\mu_b$ (Å) | 0.70(5) | 0.76(5) | 0.96(5) |
| $R_{b}$ | 10.2 | 5.6 | 8.5 |
| $R_{mag}$ | 18 | 8.5 | 17 |
| $\chi^2$ | 1.4 | 2.9 | 3.1 |

**Table 1.** Structural parameters of the O$_{122}$ phase refined from the high-resolution D2B data for the compound YBaCo$_2$O$_{5.5}$ at $T = 300$ K and YBa$_{0.95}$Sr$_{0.05}$Co$_2$O$_{5.5}$ at $T = 100$, 250 and 300 K. Atomic fractional coordinates correspond to space group $Pmnm$ in the following Wyckoff positions: Y (1b) = ($\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{4}$); Ba, Sr (1f) = ($\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, 0); Co (2q) = (0, 0, z); O1 (1a) = (0, 0, 0); O2 (2s) = ($\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, z); O3 (2r) = (0, $\frac{1}{2}$, z); O4 (1c) = (0, 0, $\frac{1}{2}$).
Figure 10. Evolution of the inverse susceptibility at 5 kOe in YBaCo$_2$O$_5$ under different thermal treatments. (a) The as-synthesized sample was heated in a Faraday balance up to 473 K under vacuum and slowly cooled. (b) The as-synthesized sample was heated in a Faraday balance under vacuum up to 573 K and slowly cooled. (c) The as-synthesized sample was heated in the D20 diffractometer at ILL up to 570 K and slowly cooled. The inverse susceptibility was subsequently measured in a SQUID magnetometer. In all cases, the inset shows the low-temperature magnetization before and after the thermal treatments.

Panel (b) shows the results of a similar experiment, but this time the sample was heated up to 573 K in the Faraday balance. As we have seen in our neutron diffraction experiments, at such temperature we do expect some oxygen loss. Effectively, although the sample was immediately cooled, the inverse susceptibility curve measured on cooling is different to the one measured on warming. The magnetization curve also changes dramatically after the thermal treatment, and indicates a new $\delta$ value of $\sim$0.40–0.45 [1] which agrees very well with the loss of mass of our sample. Moreover, the RT symmetry results are tetragonal instead of orthorhombic as determined by x-ray diffraction (data not shown) in line with the work by Akahoshi [1]. In the inset of figure 10(c) we present the magnetization curves collected before and after the experiment at ILL, where the sample was heated to 570 K and kept there for 30 min before cooling. The magnetization curve agrees well with data from [1] for the refined $\delta$ value of 0.24.

Finally, we include here a brief comment on transport properties. It has been shown that the metal–insulator transition is only observed in the O phase, i.e. for $\delta$ values very close to 0.5 [2]. The T phase, on the other hand, presents a higher resistivity above RT and behaves as a semiconductor. To illustrate this, we present in figure 11 the resistivity curves under zero-applied magnetic field collected for samples with $x_{Sr} = 0$ and 0.10 with $\delta = 0.47$, for the samples before being heated to high temperatures. It must be emphasized that, even though this is called a metal–insulator transition, the behavior above RT of the O phase is not actually metallic, but also semiconductor-like with a lower activation energy. On the other hand, it has been shown for GdBaCo$_2$O$_{5+\delta}$ that the high-temperature T phase is metallic [22] so further work on transport properties is in progress to study the role of vacancies.

To sum up, the series of phases and transformations observed in our whole set of experiments for the Sr-substituted samples are shown in figure 12. The column on the left represents the evolution on warming, while the column on the right corresponds to the subsequent cooling. Once again, it is striking how complex the phase diagram is for $\delta \simeq 0.5$ when compared to lower $\delta$ values. However, this complexity is quite consistent among the different samples and the phase separation scenario is clearly confirmed. For the O phase to stabilize in this system, it is necessary to get very close to
Figure 12. Schematic diagram of the observed phases in the current experiment, for samples with $x_{Sr} = 0$ (a), $x_{Sr} = 0.05$ (b) and $x_{Sr} = 0.10$ (c). The column on the left corresponds to the sequence of nuclear and magnetic phases observed on warming, while the column on the right indicates the sequence on cooling. For samples $x_{Sr} = 0$ (a) and $x_{Sr} = 0.05$ (b), there is a difference in the oxygen content ($\delta$) between both columns, whereas for the sample $x_{Sr} = 0.10$ (c) there is no oxygen loss.

$\delta = 0.5$: a value of $\delta = 0.45$ already presents tetragonal symmetry. The cation disorder at the Ba site also favors the T phase. In contrast, an increase in temperature favors the stabilization of the O phase probably due to oxygen mobility, but the sample needs to be heated in an adequate atmosphere to prevent oxygen loss, otherwise it will transform to the T phase. As we have shown above, these transformations may have profound implications for the transport properties, as they certainly have them on the magnetic behavior. Therefore the importance of building a complete phase diagram to finally correlate all these variables is emphasized.

4. Conclusions

The layered cobaltites RBaCo$_2$O$_{5+\delta}$ have received increasing attention in recent years, and there is still much to be studied to understand and systematize all the variables involved in their physical properties. In this paper, a study has been presented of the high-temperature stability and structure of the two major phases in the $\delta = 0.5$ system: the vacancy-ordered (orthorhombic) 122 phase and the vacancy-disordered (tetragonal) 112 phase. By performing in situ thermal treatments in the D20 diffractometer we could follow structural and magnetic transitions in real time. Further structural analysis as a function of temperature will be reported in a separate paper. In the present work, we have focused on the combined effect of temperature and dopant concentration, as well as that of different oxygen contents as a result of the experimental conditions, to obtain a mapping of these variables over the system’s phase diagram. We observe that the range of stability of the O phase lies very close to $\delta = 0.5$ and $x_{Sr} = 0$: the cation disorder at the Ba site favors the T phase, as well as the vacancy disordering due to oxygen loss at high temperature. In contrast, an increase in temperature could favor the stabilization of the O phase in a case in which vacancies were initially disordered, but oxygen loss must imperatively be prevented, otherwise the system will transform to the T phase. The fact that samples with both $x_{Sr} = 0$ and 0.05 show the same final $\delta$ value after a similar thermal treatment confirms our initial assumption that the substitution with Sr (or Ca) has no influence on the oxygen incorporation or desorption, but only the synthesis conditions and thermal history do. The landscape of phases outlined in the present work may be useful for the design of compounds with tuned physical properties. The study of these fascinating cobalt oxides is continuously in progress and further work is necessary to clarify the intimate relationships between structure, transport and magnetism.
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