Production of Lightweight Bricks Using Saw Dust
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Abstract

Clay bricks are widely used for building construction in Bangladesh. Due to rapid urbanization, use of clay bricks is increasing exponentially which leads to air pollution, as well as huge degradation of topsoil from the agricultural lands. Besides, clay bricks increase dead load of structure as they are heavy. In order to minimize these problems, techniques must be innovated for production of low-cost lightweight and eco-friendly bricks. In this study, an attempt was taken to assess the potentiality of locally available saw dust instead of clay to produce lightweight eco-friendly bricks. Total 16 different ratios of cement, saw dust and sand have been taken to prepare samples of saw dust bricks. Compressive strength, unit weight, water absorption rate, fire sensibility and cost of production per brick were analyzed for each type of bricks and compared. The result shows that the compressive strength of bricks was satisfactory for lower percentage of saw dust. Unit weight of saw dust bricks were reduced by 2 – 42.8 % than that of clay brick and water absorption rate was very low. There was no significant difference between strength of burnt and the unburnt saw dust bricks. Price of saw dust bricks is not higher than that of clay bricks.
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INTRODUCTION

Brick is one of the oldest construction materials that were first found in Southern Turkey and around Jericho (a Palestinian city in the West Bank) back to 7000 BC and the first brick was manufactured with mud by sun drying. More recent examples like ruins of Harappa, Buhen and Mohenjö-Daro also exist. The Romans first successfully introduced kiln fired brick around the Roman Empire [1]. In accordance to the ancient legacy even now construction without bricks is nearly impossible. Different types of bricks are now available, such as burnt clay bricks, sand lime bricks, concrete bricks, fly ash clay bricks and mechanical bricks etc. [2].

Due to geographic position and geological condition of Bangladesh, bricks are more popular than stone in construction field. Bangladesh lies in the deltaic plain of Ganges and Brahmaputra. Thus, there is no significant source of stone in Bangladesh. In most cases stones are imported from nearby countries. It requires huge transportation and other cost. Considering this high expense, brick is the effective alternative of rock in Bangladesh. Normally kiln burnt clay bricks are used in Bangladesh which causes many environmental hazards during their manufacturing process.

Because of the popularity of brick, a huge number of brick fields are developed in Bangladesh. Around 4500 brick kilns are operational in Bangladesh and about 9 billion bricks are produced every year [3]. Current technology for brick production consumes a large quantity of fuel such as coal, firewood and other biomasses [4]. About 1000 brick kilns are located in six nearby districts of Dhaka, which emit 23300 tons of PM₂.₅ (particulate matter), 15500 tons of sulfur dioxide (SO₂), 302000 tons of carbon monoxide (CO), 6000 tons of black carbon, and 1.8 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO₂) to produce 3.5 billion bricks per year [5]. Another study showed that, carbon dioxide emission from brickfields was the highest in Chittagong [6].

Because of the huge production of clay bricks, every year a large amount of fertile topsoil is excavated to collect clay from a depth of about 1-2 meters of agricultural lands, which leads to land degradation [7]. If it continues, scarcity of cultivable lands will be severe at the near future.

A clay brick weighs 3 to 3.5 kg. Usually the unit weight of bricks is considered as 120 pcf (1924 kg/m³) in structural analysis [8], which is huge. Because
of this heavy weight, it certainly increases the dead load on the structure as well as inertia force [9], which have adverse effect during earthquake. Heavy weight also increases the material cost. Considering this heavy weight and environment pollution, the present study was conducted to manufacture light weight bricks using different ratios of cement, saw dust and sand.

About 3.34 million tons of wood waste is generated per year during wood conversion from 4800 sawmills in Bangladesh, where 0.99 million tons of waste is saw dust [10]. This huge amount of saw dust has no significant use except a small portion as fuel for cooking. So, use of saw dust as brick component would be beneficial from the point of waste minimization too.

Some works have been found which are like the field of this study. Kayali [11] conducted a study for manufacturing bricks using fly ash. The manufacturing process, technique and equipment were used as for clay bricks. The manufactured bricks were found 28% lighter than clay bricks and compressive strength was found higher than 40 MPa.

Turgut and Algin [12] concluded in their study that compressive strength, flexural strength, unit weight, ultrasonic pulse velocity (UPV) and water absorption values of manufactured bricks from limestone dust and wood sawdust satisfy the relevant international standards. They had also shown that the compressive strength is inversely related with amount of wood saw dust.

Kadir and Mohajerani [13] assessed potential of constructing fired clay bricks from cigarette butts. The result explores that the density of fired bricks reduced by 8- 30% depending on the percentage of cigarette butts. The compressive strength of brick was found to be 12.57, 5.22 and 3.00 MPa for 2.5, 5.0 and 10% of cigarette butts respectively.

A study [14] was conducted to produce lightweight bricks using natural wastes like orange peels and coconut wastes. It was found that the compressive strengths of such kind of bricks were gradually decreased with relative increment of waste materials. Figure- 1 shows the variation of strength with respect to waste used.

Mahzuz HMA, Ahmed IU, Singha KK, Sharmin R [15] used styrofoam to produce lightweight bricks in his research work. Styrofoam bricks decreases dead load on structures, but styrofoam is highly fire sensitive (melting point is 210-249°C).

**MATERIALS AND METHOD**

**Materials**

The study was conducted in Sylhet city of Bangladesh. The materials that have been used in this study are cement, saw dust and sand. Saw dust was collected from the nearby sawmills of Sylhet. Portland Limestone Cement (Clinker 65-79%, Limestone 21-35%, Gypsum 0-5% and BDS EN 197-1:2003 CEM II/B-L 42.5 N) was used as binding material. After collecting the materials basic physical properties were examined. The physical properties of saw dust and sand are given bellow in Table-1.

**Table-1: Physical properties of saw dust and sand**

| Material   | FM | Unit Weight         |
|------------|----|---------------------|
| Saw dust   | 2.37 | 187.56 kg/m³     |
| Sand       | 2.30 | 1570 kg/m³       |

Based on volume of materials (cement, saw dust and sand) 16 different mix ratios were considered to prepare specimens, among them 5 ratios were without sand and other 11 ratios were with sand. So, samples were divided into two categories:

- **Type-1**: Bricks made by saw dust and cement (cement: saw dust)
- **Type-2**: Bricks made by saw dust, cement and sand (cement: saw dust: sand)

The mix ratios are shown in Table- 1 and Table-2. The Water- Cement Ratio (w/c) of 0.485 was used for all mix ratios.
**Table- 2: Different mix ratios of type- 1 saw dust bricks (sand excluded)**

| Mix Ratio No. | Mix Ratio (cement: saw dust: sand) |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1             | 1:1:0                             |
| 2             | 1:1.5:0                           |
| 3             | 1:2:0                             |
| 4             | 1:2.5:0                           |
| 5             | 1:3:0                             |

**Table- 3: Different mix ratios of type- 2 saw dust bricks (sand included)**

| Mix Ratio No. | Mix Ratio (cement: saw dust: sand) |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| 6             | 1:3.9:1.3                         |
| 7             | 1:3.3:1.3                         |
| 8             | 1:3:1.4                           |
| 9             | 1:2.7:1.4                         |
| 10            | 1:2.5:1.4                         |
| 11            | 1:2.3:1.4                         |
| 12            | 1:1.9:1.4                         |
| 13            | 1:1.8:1.3                         |
| 14            | 1:1.7:1.3                         |
| 15            | 1:1.3:1.3                         |
| 16            | 1:1:1.5                           |

**Mixing and molding**

Proper mixing and measurement of the materials are very important to obtain the desired strength of the specimen. Mixing of material was conducted manually and the consistency of the mixing was maintained. Wooden molds were used, dimension of which was 241.3 mm × 114.3 mm × 69.85 mm, as for conventional clay bricks in Bangladesh. Three samples for each ratio have been made. The manufactured bricks were kept in a room temperature for 2 days before unmolding. Figure- 2 shows the bricks after unmolding.

**Curing**

Curing was done after unmolding the bricks as cement was used as binding material. 28 days long curing process was conducted in room temperature. During the curing time, weight of the bricks was measured after 7, 14, 21 and 28 days to determine their water absorption rate.

**Compressive strength test**

Compressive strength has been tested in two phases: one was before burning the bricks and another was after burning. Compressive strength test was done according to the ASTM standard C67-03a [16]. 3 brick samples were tested from each mix ratio and then the corresponding results were averaged to obtain the final compressive strength. The readings were taken after formation of cracks on the brick surface. Some failure modes are shown in figure- 3.
Water absorption test

After unmolding, the dry weight of the sawdust bricks was measured. Then the sawdust bricks were submerged into a curing tank. Using the recorded weights of the sawdust bricks after 7, 14, 21, and 28 days, the absorption rates were determined for all 16 mix ratios according to ASTM C20 method [17].

Fire sensibility test

As wood is a flammable material, Digital Muffle Furnace was used to check fire sensitivity of the bricks. Ignition temperature of wood placed in an oven is 700°F (371.1°C). At this temperature wood catches fire almost immediately. At oven temperatures of 450°-500°F (232.2°C-260°C), the wood gradually chars and usually ignites after several hours [18]. Considering these facts, temperature at muffle furnace was kept at 350°C. The inner textures of the burnt and unburnt bricks are shown in figures 4 and 5 respectively.

Brick standards

For comparing the results of different tests some standards for bricks are discussed here. There are two standards available in Bangladesh: one is Standard of Local Government Engineering Department of Bangladesh (LGED Standard, 2005) and another is Bangladesh Standard (BDS 208:2009). The standard of LGED is given below in table 4.
Table-4: LGED standard of bricks

| Type of bricks                  | Minimum compressive strength of brick (MPa) | Maximum water absorption rate (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| First class                    | 13.7                                       | 20%                              |
| Picket (Jhama)                 | 16.7                                       | 15%                              |
| First-class machine-made bricks | 20.6                                       | 10%                              |
| Perforated                     | 20.6                                       | 12%                              |
| Clinker                        | 55.1                                       | 15%                              |

According to Bangladesh Standard there are three grades of bricks: S Grade, A Grade and B Grade, minimum compressive strengths of which are 24.13 MPa, 15.17 MPa and 10.34 MPa respectively [19]. ASTM C62 (2006) refers the standard of building bricks for three weather conditions: Severe Weather (SW), Moderate Weather (MW) and Normal Weather (NW). Table- 5 presents the ASTM classification of brick. Brick standard according to IS 1077:1992 (IS, 1992) is given in table- 6.

Table-5: ASTM C62 classification of bricks [20]

| Grade of bricks | Minimum Compressive Strength (MPa) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
|                 | Average of five bricks | Individual |
| SW              | 20.7                  | 17.2        |
| MW              | 17.2                  | 15.2        |
| NW              | 10.3                  | 8.6         |

Table-6: IS standard of bricks [21]

| Classification of bricks | Average strength (MPa) | Maximum water absorption (%) |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| 35                       | 35                     | 20% (12.5- 15% for higher classes) |
| 30                       | 30                     |                              |
| 25                       | 25                     |                              |
| 20                       | 20                     |                              |
| 17.5                     | 17.5                   |                              |
| 15                       | 15                     |                              |
| 12.5                     | 12.5                   |                              |
| 10                       | 10                     |                              |
| 7.5                      | 7.5                    |                              |
| 5                        | 5                      |                              |

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

An overview of the total findings is given in figure- 6.
Compressive strength and unit weight

Table- 7 shows the comparison of compressive strengths of burnt and unburnt type-1 bricks and their unit weights. As predicted, the compressive strength as well as the unit weight for both burnt and unburnt type-1 bricks decreases gradually with the increase of the percentage of saw dust. The strength of burnt bricks is lesser than the unburnt bricks, but the variation of strengths are not as high as anticipated.

Table-7: Comparative compressive strength and unit weight of burnt and unburnt type-1 bricks

| Mix Ratio no. | Compressive strength (MPa) | Unit weight (kg/m³) |
|---------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
|               | Unburnt | Burnt | Unburnt | Burnt | Unburnt | Burnt | Unburnt | Burnt | Unburnt | Burnt | Unburnt | Burnt |
| 1             | 11.65   | 11.01 | 1327    | 1327   |
| 2             | 8.65    | 7.57  | 1243    | 1243   |
| 3             | 7.07    | 6.97  | 1230    | 1230   |
| 4             | 5.57    | 4.57  | 1153    | 1153   |
| 5             | 3.18    | 3.15  | 1129    | 1129   |

Table- 7 shows that the compressive strength of Ratio- 1 satisfies the ASTM C62 (minimum- 10.3 MPa), BDS 208:2009 (minimum- 10.7 MPa) and IS 1077 (minimum- 5 MPa) standards. Ratios 2, 3 and 4 satisfy the IS 1077 standard. Generally, unit weight of bricks varies from 1500 – 2000 Kg/m³. The result reveals that type-1 saw dust brick is lighter than the normal clay brick.

Table-8: Comparative compressive strength and unit weight of burnt and unburnt type-2 bricks

| Mix Ratio no. | Compressive strength (MPa) | Unit weight (kg/m³) |
|---------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
|               | Unburnt | Burnt | Unburnt | Burnt | Unburnt | Burnt | Unburnt | Burnt | Unburnt | Burnt | Unburnt | Burnt |
| 6             | 3.16    | 3.08  | 1250    | 1250   |
| 7             | 3.28    | 2.42  | 1292    | 1292   |
| 8             | 1.85    | 1.56  | 1313    | 1313   |
| 9             | 2.06    | 1.56  | 1328    | 1328   |
| 10            | 2.42    | 1.98  | 1344    | 1344   |
| 11            | 3.71    | 3.21  | 1380    | 1380   |
| 12            | 7.29    | 5.14  | 1406    | 1406   |
| 13            | 6.14    | 5.85  | 1391    | 1391   |
| 14            | 6.86    | 5.00  | 1359    | 1359   |
| 15            | 10.93   | 7.57  | 1505    | 1505   |
| 16            | 10.29   | 10.15 | 1547    | 1547   |

For Ratio- 6 to 11 the unit weight of type-2 bricks is lesser than the unit weight of normal clay bricks, but the strength does not satisfy the standard. Strength of saw dust bricks satisfy IS-1077 standard in case of ratios- 12 to 16. Generally, ratios 15 and 16 satisfy ASTM C62, BDS 208:2009 and IS-1077 standards, but the unit weight is nearly like minimum unit weight of normal clay bricks. From the table- 8 it was also observed that the strength of type-2 burnt bricks is lesser than the unburnt bricks, although there are no massive differences.

Water absorption rate

The water absorption rate varies for different mix ratios. Figures- 7 and 8 show the water absorption rate of type-1 and type-2 saw dust bricks after 7, 14, 21 and 28 days respectively.
The water absorption rate of saw dust bricks varies from 0.42% to 5.99% where the absorption rate of conventional clay bricks varies from 15% to 20% [23]. The experiment indicates that the water absorption rate of saw dust brick is significantly lower. Among 16 different ratios, ratio-3 shows the lowest absorption rate and ratio-5 shows the highest. No specific pattern was observed in case of water absorption rate for different mix ratios.

Saw dust bricks were kept under water in curing tank for 28 days. After that some of them were kept in normal weather of laboratory for a long period. But no visible decomposition was observed.

Material cost

For calculation of material cost of saw dust bricks local market prices (Sylhet region) for year 2019 were considered. Figure-9 shows the material cost of all ratios in US Dollar (1 USD = 84.73 BDT on 01/12/19). The cost for ratios 1 to 5 is in decreasing order and from ratios 6 to 16 is in increasing order.

The maximum and minimum cost of saw dust bricks were 0.152 and 0.057 USD respectively. Average wholesale price of conventional bricks at local market was found 0.128 USD. So, except for ratio-1, prices of saw dust bricks were less than conventional clay bricks.
CONCLUSIONS

Compressive strengths of 9 (out of 16) mix ratios were found satisfactory according to different standards. Table-9 gives an overview on their different acceptable properties.

| Mix ratio nos. | Ratio (cement: saw dust: sand) | Compressive strength of unburnt bricks that satisfied standards: | Unit weight (Kg/m³) | Water absorption rate (%) | Cost comparison |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| 1              | 1:1:0                          | ASTM, IS, BDS                                                 | Less than clay bricks |                           | As clay bricks |
| 2              | 1:1.5:0                        | IS and ASTM (for individual brick)                           | Less than clay bricks |                           | less than clay bricks |
| 3              | 1:2:0                          | IS                                                            | Less than clay bricks |                           | less than clay bricks |
| 4              | 1:2.5:0                        | IS                                                            | Less than clay bricks |                           | less than clay bricks |
| 12             | 1:1.9:1.4                      | IS                                                            | Less than clay bricks | Below 6%, which is much less than clay bricks | less than clay bricks |
| 13             | 1:1.8:1.3                      | IS                                                            | Less than clay bricks |                           | less than clay bricks |
| 14             | 1:1.7:1.3                      | IS                                                            | Less than clay bricks |                           | less than clay bricks |
| 15             | 1:1.3:1.3                      | ASTM, IS, BDS                                                 | Like minimum value of clay bricks |                           | less than clay bricks |
| 16             | 1:1:1.5                        | ASTM, IS, BDS                                                 | Like minimum value of clay bricks |                           | less than clay bricks |

It is seen that bricks of ratios- 1, 15 and 16 satisfy all the standards for strength, although bricks of ratios- 15 and 16 are not lighter than clay bricks. They absorb water much less than clay bricks and their costs are also similar or less than clay bricks. Bricks of ratios- 2, 3, 4, 12, 13 and 14 have less compressive strength, but satisfactory according to IS standard. They are lighter and cheaper than clay bricks; absorb water much less than clay bricks.

According to the study, it can be concluded that

- Saw dust bricks have satisfactory compressive strength. So, these bricks can be used for non-load bearing walls or lightly loaded other members.
- Compressive strength of saw dust bricks varies for different mix ratios. It decreases with the increase of saw dust.
- There were no significant differences between the strengths of burnt and unburnt saw dust bricks.
- Saw dust bricks with satisfactory compressive strength are usually lighter than clay bricks. So, use of these bricks in building can reduce the dead load.
- Water absorption capacity of saw dust bricks are much less than clay bricks. These bricks will absorb less moisture if are used in building. It can reduce possibility of damping, as well as increasing extra dead load. Cost of saw dust bricks is similar or less than clay bricks.
- Use of saw dust bricks can reduce air pollution and depletion of fertile topsoil. On the other hand, it is a way of saw dust waste management.
- Further study can be conducted on long term durability of saw dust bricks.
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