Enhanced Kerr effect in vertically aligned deformed helix ferroelectric liquid crystals
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We disclose the vertically aligned deformed helix ferroelectric liquid crystal (VADHFLC) whose Kerr constant ($K_{\text{kerr}} \approx 130 \text{ nm/V}^2$ at $\lambda = 543$ nm) is one order of magnitude higher than any other value previously reported for liquid crystalline structures. Under certain conditions, the phase modulation with ellipticity less than 0.05 over the range of continuous and hysteresis free electric adjustment of the phase shift from zero to $2\pi$ have been obtained at sub-kilohertz frequency.
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Pure phase modulation of light with conserved ellipticity is in high demand for a variety of applications. These include photonic devices such as tunable lenses, focusers, wave front correctors and correlators1–4 used as building blocks of optical information processors and displays. Nowadays microelectromechanical systems5, micro-opto-electromechanical systems6 and bimorph deformable mirrors7 are employed for the high frequency ($f > 1$ kHz) binary phase modulation with the fixed phase shift arising due to light reflection. However, the progress in the development of optical processing systems is being impeded by the lack of high performance and high-speed liquid crystal (LC) light phase modulators with continuous and hysteresis free response.8

Phase modulation of light based on the Kerr effect in polymer stabilized blue phase liquid crystals (PSBPLC) was recently explored in9,10. The largest Kerr constant, $K_{\text{kerr}}$, reported for PSBPLCs at the wavelength $\lambda = 514$ nm is 33.1 nm/V2. The electro-optical (EO) response time for such systems is limited to the millisecond range. Moreover, a pronounced EO hysteresis caused by the polymer network present in PSBPLC systems is not appropriate for applications.

An alternative approach deals with short pitch cholesteric liquid crystals (ChLCs).11 The polymer stabilized standing helix ChLC based on in-plane addressing12 shows the response times $\approx 50$ $\mu$s. But, for the $2\pi$ phase modulation, very high electric fields, $E \approx 10$ V/µm, are required.

In Ref.13, we found that the orientational Kerr effect in a vertically aligned deformed helix ferroelectric LC (VADHFLC) with subwavelength helix pitch, $p_0 \approx 150$ nm, is characterized by fast and, under certain conditions,14,15 hysteresis-free electro-optics. A typical EO response time is around $100$ $\mu$s and is almost independent of applied electric field. Though the Kerr constant13 $K_{\text{kerr}} \approx 27$ nm/V2 at $\lambda = 543$ nm is already comparable with $K_{\text{kerr}}$ of the best modern PSBPLC,10 it is feasible to increase $K_{\text{kerr}}$ of VADHFLCs further, which is of vital importance for low voltage phase modulators of light. In this letter we suggest an approach to enhance the Kerr effect and use it to drastically increase the Kerr constant of VADHFLC.

Figure 1. Ellipsoids of effective refractive indices of a short-pitch VADHFLC cell. Left: at $E = 0$, the field-free effective ellipsoid is uniaxially anisotropic with the optical axis parallel to the helix axis. Right: applying an in-plane electric field $E \parallel \hat{y}$, makes the optical anisotropy biaxial with the two optical axes rotated by the angle $\Psi_d \propto E$ about the electric field vector $E$.13,16

For the pure phase modulation, where effects of diffraction, light scattering and polarization plane rotation of the incident light are suppressed in the visible spectral
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range, the selective reflection band of the VADHFLC should be in the UV region. This can be achieved when $p_0 \ll \lambda$. For this case, the field-free ($E = 0$) refractive index ellipsoid of the VADHFLC with subwavelength pitch is uniaxial (see Fig. 1(left)), whereas applying the electric field perpendicular to the helical axis induces optical biaxiality and rotation of the optical axes (see Fig. 1(right)).\(^{13,16}\)

At $E \neq 0$, the refractive indices perpendicular ($n_{E\perp}$) and parallel ($n_{E\parallel}$) to the electric field that govern propagation of normally incident light beams can be written as follows:\(^{13}\):

$$n_{E\perp}/n_p = 1 + \frac{\epsilon_e - \epsilon_s}{\epsilon_e + \epsilon_s} \left[ \frac{\epsilon_0 \chi G}{P_s} \right]^2 E^2,$$

(1)

$$n_{E\parallel}/n_p = 1 - \frac{\epsilon_e - \epsilon_s}{\epsilon_e + \epsilon_s} \left[ \frac{\epsilon_0 \chi G}{P_s} \right]^2 E^2,$$

(2)

$$n_p = \sqrt{(\epsilon_e + \epsilon_s)/2}, \epsilon_e = \frac{\epsilon_{\parallel}\epsilon_{\perp}}{\epsilon_{\perp}\sin^2\theta + \epsilon_{\parallel}\cos^2\theta}$$

(3)

where $\epsilon_{\parallel}$ ($\epsilon_{\perp}$) is the high frequency dielectric constant measured parallel (perpendicular) to the FLC director; $\theta$ is the smectic tilt angle; $P_s$ is the spontaneous ferroelectric polarization and $\epsilon_0$ is the dielectric permittivity of free space. The dielectric susceptibility of the Goldstone mode, $\chi_G$, is given by:\(^{17}\)

$$\chi_G = \epsilon_0^{-1} \frac{\partial P}{\partial E} = \frac{P_s^2}{2 \epsilon_0 K q_0^2 \sin^2 \theta},$$

(4)

where $q_0 = 2\pi/p_0$ and $K$ is the effective twist elastic constant.

From Eqs. (1)–(4), the field-induced in-plane birefringence

$$\delta n_i = n_{E\perp} - n_{E\parallel} =$$

$$2n_p \frac{\epsilon_e - \epsilon_s}{\epsilon_e + \epsilon_s} \left[ \frac{\epsilon_0 \chi G}{P_s} \right]^2 E^2 = K_{\text{kerr}} \lambda E^2$$

(5)

can be expressed in terms of the Kerr constant given by

$$K_{\text{kerr}} = \frac{n_p \epsilon_e - \epsilon_s}{\lambda} \frac{P_s^2 q_0^4}{\epsilon_e + \epsilon_s 32\pi^2 K^2 \sin^2 \theta}$$

(6)

From Eq. (6) it is clear that an increase in $P_s$ and $p_0$ will enhance the Kerr constant $K_{\text{kerr}}$. There are, however, certain limitations on these parameters: (a) the pitch $p_0$ should be sufficiently small so as to avoid the effects of selective reflection band mentioned above; (b) an increase in $P_s$ of a chosen FLC mixture requires high concentrations of chiral molecules that will increase the rotational viscosity of the mixture $\gamma_0$, thus affecting the EO response time.\(^{18,19}\) So, there is a tradeoff between high value of $K_{\text{kerr}}$ and fast EO response. In our experiments, the optimal relationship between the parameters $\gamma_0$, $\theta$, $K$, $P_s$ and $p_0$ leading to a drastic increase of $K_{\text{kerr}}$ has been achieved with a proper choice of specially selected chemical structures of the FLC mixture components and their concentrations.

The method of mixing achiral smectic C and chiral nonmesogenic compound\(^{20}\) was employed to elaborate a FLC mixture that meets the above constraints. A peculiarity of the mixture is that it contains two chiral nonmesogenic compounds, which induce in achiral smectic C matrix spontaneous polarization of the same sign, whereas their optical twisting powers are opposite in sign.\(^{21}\) This enables the relation between $P_s$ and $p_0$ to be fine tuned while the value of $P_s$ increases.

One of these compounds is a fluorinated derivative of the p-terphenyldicarboxylic acid that exhibit extremely high twisting power\(^{22}\) (see compound A in Fig. 2). Another chiral compound is a lactate derivative of the p-terphenyldicarboxylic acid shown as compound B in Fig. 2. Achiral smectic C matrix of the mixture is the biphenylpirimidine indicated as compound C in Fig. 2.

The eutectic mixture of these compounds corresponds to the following weight concentrations: 39% of compound A, 9% of compound B, and 52% of compound C. The mixture is named FLC-618 and is characterized by $p_0 = 175$ nm and $P_s = 200$ nC/cm\(^2\) (at temperature $T = 22^\circ$C). The phase transitions sequence of this FLC at heating from preliminary obtained solid crystalline state is $\text{Cr} \rightarrow +2\text{I}^\circ \text{C} \rightarrow \text{SmC}^* \rightarrow +117^\circ \text{C} \rightarrow \text{SmA}^* \rightarrow +117^\circ \text{C}$. Iso, whereas at cooling down from isotropic phase crystallization occurs around $+6^\circ$C.

Figure 2. Top: Experimental set-up for reflectance measurements. Glan prisms were used as both polarizer and analyzer. Bottom (left): VADHFLC cell with ITO electrodes (the width is $20\ \mu\text{m}$ and the inter-electrode gap is $100\ \mu\text{m}$) and the axes of the coordinate system shown in Fig. 1; Bottom (A-C): Chemical structures of compounds used to prepare the FLC-618.

Electro-optical studies have been carried out in the reflective geometry shown in Fig. 2 with a VADHFLC layer of thickness $d_{\text{FLC}} = 18\ \mu\text{m}$ placed between either crossed or parallel polarizers at $\Psi = 45^\circ$ and $\Phi = 0$, where $\Psi$ angle is the angle between the polarization plane of in-
cident light and the $X$ axis normal to the electric field (see Fig. 1). A helium-neon laser with wavelength either 632.8 nm or 543 nm was used as a source of light. The EO response of a VADHFLC cell at $E = 1 \text{ V/\mu m}$ shown in the insert of Fig. 3 confirms good optical quality (the contrast ratio is better than 1000:1) and response time around 300 $\mu$s.

When polarizer and analyzer are parallel and $E = 0$, the intensity of light, $I_{\parallel}^{E=0}$, that after all reflections (from dielectric surfaces of the cell, beam splitter and Glan prisms used as the polarizers) is collected by the photodetector, is proportional to the laser beam intensity, $I_0$: $I_{\parallel}^{E=0} = r I_0$. In the case of crossed polarizers with $\Psi \neq 0$ and $E \neq 0$, the light intensity collected by the photodetector $I_{\perp}^{E\neq0}$ can be conveniently expressed in terms of the normalized reflectance given by

$$R = \frac{I_{\perp}^{E\neq0}}{I_{\parallel}^{E=0}} = \sin^2(2\Psi) \sin^2 \frac{2\pi \delta n_i d_{\text{FLC}}}{\lambda},$$

where $\delta n_i$ plays the role of field-induced in-plane birefringence for a light beam propagating along the normal to the cell (the $Z$ axis).

Figure 3. Dependence of the light reflectance of a 18 $\mu$m thick VADHFLC cell on square of electric field $E^2$ (filled circles). Measurements were carried out in the reflective mode with crossed polarizer and analyzer at temperature 55°C, wavelength $\lambda = 632.8$ nm, electrooptical response frequency 500Hz and $\Psi = 45^\circ$. Electric field-induced birefringence $\delta n_i$ (open circles) was evaluated as a function of $E^2$ by using Eq. (7).

Insert: Electrooptical response of the VADHFLC cell (red curve at the bottom) under the applied alternating signal (blue curve on the top).

Formula (7) can now be used to evaluate $\delta n_i$ from the measured reflectance $R$. Typical results for the electric field dependencies of $R$ and $\delta n_i$ are presented in Fig. 3. In the reflective mode, the phase retardation resulted from the electrically induced biaxiality can be expressed in terms of the Kerr constant as follows

$$\Delta \Phi_{\text{ret}} = \frac{4\pi \delta n_i d_{\text{FLC}}}{\lambda} = 4\pi K_{kerr} E^2 d_{\text{FLC}}.$$

Referring to Fig. 3, it is clear that, for $\Psi = 45^\circ$, $\Delta \Phi_{\text{ret}} = 4\pi$ at $E \approx 2.3 \text{ V/\mu m}$. It can also be seen that, owing to rapidly growing higher order nonlinearities, the Kerr-like dependence (5) is no longer valid at the phase retardation above $2\pi$ ($E \geq 1 \text{ V/\mu m}$).

![Figure 4. Temperature dependencies of Kerr constant measured in a FLC-618 cell at $\lambda = 632.8$ nm (filled circles) and at $\lambda = 543$ nm (open squares). Open circles represent the data measured in a FLC-587 cell at $\lambda = 543$ nm.](image)

The curves representing the Kerr constant, $K_{kerr}$, evaluated at different temperatures are plotted in Fig. 4. It is seen that $K_{kerr}$ initially increases with temperature, reaches the maxima and decreases at higher temperatures. This behavior of $K_{kerr}$ can be explained by the temperature dependence of $P_s$ and $p_0$ that enter Eq. (6).

In order to demonstrate how relation (6) may guide the way to enhance the Kerr constant using classical methods of FLC material science, we compare the results for two FLC mixtures: the newly developed FLC-618 and the mixture FLC-587 described in.\(^\text{13}\) The parameters of FLC-587 at temperature 60°C are: $\theta = 35^\circ$, $P_s = 210 \text{ nm}$, $P_z = 110 \text{ nC/cm}^2$. Similarly, for FLC-618 at $T = 60^\circ$C we have: $\theta = 33^\circ$, $P_s = 250 \text{ nm}$ (see insert in Fig. 5), $P_z = 160 \text{ nC/cm}^2$. These parameters combined with Eq. (6) can now be used to obtain a theoretical estimate for the Kerr constants ratio $K_{kerr}^{\text{FLC-618}}/K_{kerr}^{\text{FLC-587}} \approx 5.2$. This estimate agrees reasonably well with the experimental value of this ratio which is about 4.8 (see Fig. 4).

It should be emphasized that, at $\Psi = 45^\circ$, when the phase retardation (8) continuously changes between 0 and $4\pi$, the ellipticity of reflected light significantly varies. This was checked experimentally using the known polarimetry method.\(^\text{23}\) In other words, the phase retardation arises from the electrically controlled birefringence (5) so that both amplitude and phase modulation of light are observed. This geometry of VADHFLC is more appropriate for display devices and, as compared to other alternatives, has the important advantage of large $K_{kerr}$.

At $\Psi = 0$, the polarization plane of incident light is parallel to the plane where the optical axes lie (the $XZ$ plane in Fig. 1) and the incident light beam does not
split into ordinary and extraordinary rays. In this case, similar to B-effect in nematic LCs, the phase shift $\Delta \Phi_{\perp}$ of incident light occurs solely due to changes in the refractive index $n_{E\perp}$. This is the regime of pure phase modulation. It is not difficult to see that the phase shift at $\Psi = 0$ is equal to one-half the phase retardation at $\Psi = 45^\circ$:

$$
\Delta \Phi_{\perp} = \frac{4\pi(n_{E\perp} - n_p)d_{FLC}}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta \Phi_{\text{ret}}. \quad (9)
$$

So, at $\Psi = 0$ and $E = 2.3$ V/μm, we have the $2\pi$ phase shift.

Referring to Fig. 5, when the phase shift $\Delta \Phi_{\perp}$ changes between 0 and $2\pi$, variations of the ellipticity are ranged from 0.027 to 0.045. Experimental imperfections and small fluctuations in orientation of the optical axes that are neglected in the theory can be attributed for these variations. For practical applications, this can be regarded as a sub-kilohertz pure phase modulation of light with the conserved ellipticity.

In conclusion, based on the theoretical analysis and material optimization, we revealed an efficient strategy to enhance the Kerr effect in VADHFLCs and have achieved the large value of the Kerr constant, $K_{\text{kerr}} \approx 130$ nm/V$^2$. Further improvements in material optimization for $P_s$ and $n_0$ of the FLC material are possible to accomplish the pure $2\pi$ phase modulation at room temperature. However, these optimizations will impose tight constrains on the selective reflection limited to UV region and the optimized rotational viscosity of the FLC mixture. The proposed VADHFLC systems with a continuous, hysteresis-free $2\pi$ phase modulation at sub kHz frequencies could find application in many modern photonic and display devices demanding fast phase only modulation at low electric fields.
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