In-Situ Synthesis of Polymer–Clay Nanocomposites: Exfoliation of Organophilic Montmorillonite Nanolayers in Poly 2-Thiozyl Methacrylamide
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Abstract: The aim of this work is the preparation and properties of poly 2-thiozyl methacrylamide-vinylbenzylidimethylchloroacetate/ammonium/montmorillonite (TMAAm-VBOA/MMT) nanocomposites. Firstly 2-thiozyl methacrylamide (TMAAm) monomer was synthesized by reacting 2-amino thiazole with methacryloyl chloride in the presence of triethylamine. Synthesis of nanocomposites were performed in three steps which are; purification and cation exchange capacity (CEC) determination of clay, preparation of organoclay and synthesis of nanocomposites. Nanocomposites with various amounts of TMAAm and VBOA/MMT were synthesized through in-situ and free radical polymerization using benzoyl peroxide as an initiator. Changes in the structure of the nanocomposites were examined through Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), X-ray diffractometry (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Additionally, thermogravimetric analysis and BET analysis were used to investigate the thermal properties and particle size distribution of the nanocomposites. The results of X-ray and SEM analysis suggest that the exfoliated structure of the new nanocomposite materials. In addition, the thermal decomposition temperatures of nanocomposites were found to be higher than that of pure organoclay and poly(TMAAm) and thermally degradation rate decreased.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, interest in polymer-layered silicate (PLS) composites or nanocomposites have rapidly been increasing at an unprecedented level, both in academia and in industry, due to their potential for enhanced chemical, physical, and mechanical properties compared to conventionally filled composites [1-19]. They have the potential of being a low-cost alternative to high-performance composites for commercial applications in both the packaging and automotive industries. The earliest motivation for the use of nanoparticles seems to have been stimulated by the Toyota research group, where the first practical application of nylon-6–montmorillonite (MMT) nanocomposite was commercialized. With only a small MMT loading (4.2 wt%), the heat distortion temperature increased by 100 °C, the tensile strength increased more than 50%, combustion heat release rate decreased by up to 63% and the modulus doubled [20]. However, in general, all the promises and claims that the addition of nanoparticles to polymer matrices will miraculously lead to exceptional mechanical properties have not been completely fulfilled because the improvements in properties seem to plateau at levels of about 4 wt%. Polymer nanocomposites are two-phase materials in which the polymers are reinforced by nanoscale fillers. The most heavily used filler material is based on the smectite class of aluminum silicate clays, of which the most common representative is montmorillonite (MMT). Montmorillonite is during the past 1/2 decades most preferred commercial layered silicates as nanofiller in the preparation of nanocomposites. However, the hydrophilic nature of montmorillonite limits its compatibility with organophilic polymers. Thus, clay has been rendered hydrophobic to make montmorillonite compatible with organophilic polymer. Due to the presence of exchangeable metal cation such as Li⁺, Na⁺, Ca²⁺, the modification of montmorillonite can be easily achieved with alkyl ammonium salts to form organo-montmorillonite [21-24]. The dispersion of clay into polymer matrix at nanometer-scale leads to significant improvements in the adsorptive, thermal, and mechanical properties of composites. MMT has been employed in many PLS nanocomposite systems because it has a potentially high-surface area and high-aspect ratio that could lead to materials which could possibly exhibit great property enhancements. In addition, it is naturally occurring, readily available in large quantities and environmentally friendly. Most of the engineering polymers are hydrophobic. Layered silicates in their pristine state are hydrophilic. Therefore, dispersion of native clays in most polymers is not easily achieved due to the hydrophobic engineering polymers and the intrinsic incompatibility of hydrophilic-layered silicates [25]. In order to have a successful development of clay-based nanocomposites, it is necessary to chemically modify a
natural clay so that it can be compatible with a chosen polymer matrix. Generally, this can be done through ion-exchange reactions that replace interlayer cations with quaternary alkylphosphonium or alkylammonium cations [26–28]. It is well established that when layered silicates are uniformly dispersed (exfoliated) in a polymer matrix, the composite properties can be improved to a dramatic extent. These improvements may include higher modulus [29,30], barrier properties [31], increased strength [32], thermal stability [33,34] and decreased flammability [33,35]. In general, the degree of dispersion of the clay platelets into the polymer matrix determines the structure of nanocomposites. Depending on the interaction between the clay and the polymer matrix, two main idealized types of polymer–clay morphologies can be obtained: namely, intercalated and exfoliated. The intercalated structure results from penetration of a single polymer chain into the galleries between the silicate layers, resulting in formation of alternate layers of polymer and inorganic layers. An exfoliated structure results when the individual silicate layers are completely separated and dispersed randomly in a polymer matrix. Usually exfoliated nanocomposites are preferred because they provide the best property improvements [36]. The preparation of clay-based nanocomposites is achieved by several methods: melt intercalation, solution exfoliation, and in situ polymerization. In the in situ polymerization method, the monomer is used directly as a solubilizer for swelling the layered silicate. Polymerization occurs in the intercalated clays [37-40].

Since the remarkable improvements in the material properties in a nylon-6/clay nanocomposite demonstrated by the Toyot a research group [41] numerous other polymers have been investigated by many researchers around the World. These include, but are not limited to, polypropylene, polyethylene, polystyrene, poly(ethylene oxide), polycaprolactone, polyimides, polyamides, poly(ethylene terephthalate), polycarbonate, polyurethane, poly(thiophene), polybenzimidazole, poly(pyrrrole) and epoxy resins [21-24, 39, 40, 42-52].

2-thiozyl methacrylamide (TMAAm) is one of the most important vinyl monomers for large polymer-add-on can be easily obtained because of the hydrophilic nature of the TMAAm [53, 54]. Poly(2-thiozyl methacrylamide), as an important industrial polymer, has some desirable properties, including, it is less toxic, polar and less expensive than other vinyl monomers [55]. Polymers based on methacrylamide with very high molecular weights have gained more and more technical attention due to the solubility in water. However, its biggest disadvantage is poor thermal and mechanical properties. Therefore, TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposites offer the especially thermal stability.

The aim of this study is to exhibit the preparation and characterization of TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposites. The nanocomposites prepared were characterized by Fourier Traansform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). Also, we investigated the pore size properties of nanocomposites by using BET analysis.

2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

2.1. Reagents

2-aminothiazole, methacryloyl chloride and triethylamine (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as received. Benzoyl peroxide (Bz2O2) (Merck) was purified by successive crystallizations from chloroform- methanol mixture. 4-vinylbenzyl chloride (Sigma-Aldrich), N,N-dimethyloctadecylamine (Sigma-Aldrich), 1,4-dioxane, N,N-dimethylformamide, dichloromethane, methanol (Merck), were analytical grade commercial products and used as received. 2-Thiozyl methacrylamide was synthesized as pursuant to the literature [41]. The sodium montmorillonite clay, with a cation exchange capacity 1.08 mol kg−1, was obtained from Reşadive (Tokat/Turkey). It was used after further purification [56]. It was named as Na-MMT.

2.2. Methods of Characterization

1H-and 13C-NMR spectra of the polymers were recorded in CDCl3 with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard using a Bruker 400 MHz NMR instrument. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were done by Perkin-Elmer spectrum two spectrometer. The microstructure of the nanocomposites were examined by a scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Leo 440, Model:JSMD5600 imaging mode. The BET surface area and porosity of the nanocomposites were determined by the BET-N2 method using a Micromeritics Gemini VII analyzer. The sample was degassed at 80 °C for 12 h. Thermal data were obtained by using a Perkin Elmer Diamond TG-DTA thermobalance in N2 atmosphere. XRD study of the nanocomposites was performed on a Rigaku Dmax2200 XRD Model X powder diffractometer, using CoKα radiation whose wavelength was 0.178901 nm, and a Ni filter was used.
2.3. Vinylbenzyldimethyloctadecyl Ammonium Chloride (VBOAC) Synthesis

N,N-dimethyloctadecylamine and 4-vinylbenzyl chloride was used for the VBOAC synthesis. In this investigation, VBOAC is synthesized through the reaction between 4-vinylbenzyl chloride and N,N-dimethyloctadecyl amine. Firstly, 20.83 g (0.070 mol) dimethyloctadecylamine was dissolved in 150 mL diethyl ether. After that, 11.5 mL (0.070 mol) 4-vinylbenzyl chloride was added to this solution for 48 h. The resulting precipitate was filtered and washed with ethyl acetate. The resulting crystals were dried at room temperature. The reaction equation is shown in Scheme 1.

2.4. Vinylbenzyldimethyloctadecylammonium/Montmorillonite (VBOA/MMT) Synthesis

VBOAC was used for the synthesis of VBOA/MMT by the well-known ion exchange method. Firstly 8.5 g (7.88 mmol) clay (Na-MMT) was dispersed in 400 mL ultra-pure water for 48 h and pH of solution was adjusted to 3 with concentrated HCl. 7.7 g (11.11 mmol) VBOAC was added to solution more than CEC value of value of Na-MMT and mixed with magnetic stirrer at 500 rpm for 24 h. After preparation of VBOA/MMT, sample was washed for several times and centrifuged at 4000 rpm. VBOA/MMT was dried at 40 °C. The reaction equation is shown in Scheme 2.

2.5 Monomer Synthesis

To a well-stirred solution of 30 mmole 2-Aminothiazole and 90 mmole of triethylamine (NR₃) in 30 ml dichloromethane, 30 mmole of methacryloyl chloride was added dropwise under cooling in ice bath (0-5 °C). After the complete addition of methacryloyl chloride, the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature, then filtered and evaporated with a rotavapour. A yellow product was obtained and recrystallized from ethanol as a yellow powder (yield 85%). The reaction equation is shown in Scheme 3.

2.6. Preparation of TMAAm-VBOA/MMT Nanocomposites

The desired amount of VBOA/MMT in distilled water was dispersed overnight. Then, at suitable concentration TMAAm and Bz₂O₂ as radical initiator (dissolved in 1 mL acetone) were added upon the dispersion. The samples were polymerized in a water bath adjusted to the polymerization temperature (80 °C). The reaction equation is shown in Scheme 4.

Scheme 1: Synthesis route of VBOAC.

Scheme 2: Synthesis route of VBOA/MMT.

Scheme 3: Synthesis route of TMAAm monomer.
In-Situ Synthesis of Polymer–Clay Nanocomposites

In the process of synthesis, TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposites were obtained by polymerizing TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposites at 80 °C for 2 h. The reaction scheme for the preparation of nanocomposites is shown in Scheme 4.

After polymerization, the obtained nanocomposites were thoroughly washed with distilled water. The nanocomposites were then dried overnight in a vacuum oven at 40 °C and weighed. The other samples were prepared by the same procedure using different concentrations of TMAAm. Codes and compositions of samples and the polymerization conditions are listed in Table 1.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. FTIR Characterization

The FT-IR spectra of TMAAm, VBOAC, VBOA/MMT and different composition of TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposites are shown in Figure 1a-b.

Both pure TMAAm and TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposites have characteristic bands of poly 2-thioyl methacrylamide: NH stretching band at 3206-3362 cm\(^{-1}\); a strong band at 3011 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to C–H in the aromatic and thiazole ring. The asymmetrical and symmetrical stretchings of methyl and methylene groups are observed at 2924, 2900 and 2860 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. C=O amide peaks at 1668 cm\(^{-1}\), and CN stretching band is at 1620 cm\(^{-1}\). Besides these bands, TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposite also has the characteristic bands of VBOA/MMT: 1040, 525 and 470 cm\(^{-1}\), which correspond to the stretching band of Si–O, the deformation band of Si–O–Al and the Si–O–Si deformation band of VBOA/MMT. These indicated that TMAAm chains stay immobilized inside and/or on the clay layers [57].

In other words, VBOA/MMT has been incorporated in TMAAm matrix.

3.2. X-Ray Diffraction Spectroscopy

By studying the XRD pattern of the nanocomposites, the presence of TMAAm in the composite can be further confirmed. XRD spectra of the pure Na-MMT and nanocomposites with different monomer contents are shown in Figure 2.

The \(d\)-spacing values \((d_{001})\) of the pure Na-MMT and nanocomposite samples were calculated by using the Bragg equation, \(d = \lambda/2 \sin \theta\), and shown beside each peak. Also, the obtained results are presented in Table 2.

On the XRD spectra, it can be seen that the \((d_{001})\) spacing of pure Na-MMT was 1.20 nm after vacuum

---

**Table 1: Codes and Chemical Compositions of Samples and Polymerization Conditions**

| Sample code          | Monomer content (wt%) \(^{a}\) | VBHA/MMT content (wt%) \(^{b}\) |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Pure Na-MMT          | -                               | 100.00                          |
| TMAAm-VBHA/MMT1      | 50.00                           | 50.00                           |
| TMAAm-VBHA/MMT2      | 66.67                           | 33.33                           |
| TMAAm-VBHA/MMT3      | 75.00                           | 25.00                           |
| Pure TMAAm           | 100.00                          | -                               |

\(^{a}\)Calculated by dividing the weight of TMAAm by the weight of (VBHA/MMT + monomer).

\(^{b}\)Calculated by dividing the weight of VBHA/MMT by the weight of (VBHA/MMT + monomer).
Figure 1: FTIR spectra of (a) TMAAm monomer, VBOAC, VBOA/MMT and (b) TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposites.

Figure 2: XRD pattern of the Na-MMT, VBOAC, VBOA/MMT and TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposites.
drying and, after polymerization process. 1 nm-thick the individual clay layers are dispersed in a continuous polymer matrix by an average distances that depends on clay loading, these results suggest that an exfoliated nanocomposite. Usually, the clay content of an exfoliated nanocomposite is much lower than that of an intercalated nanocomposite. The latter configuration is of particular interest because it maximizes the polymer-clay interactions, making the entire surface of the layers available for the polymer. This is direct evidence that polymer chains have been exfoliated in the clay layers and exfoliated TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposite has been synthesized.
3.3. Morphological Dissimilarities of Nanostructures

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used for further characterization and observes the morphology of the pure Na-MMT, VBOAC, VBOA/MMT, and TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposites. The results are illustrated in Figure 3.

Pure Na-MMT shows individual layers of the clay. In TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposite images, it can be seen that the clay layers are dispersed homogeneously in the polymer matrix and the interlayer spacing of VBOA/MMT is expanded, which is evidence for the exfoliated morphology [58]. This agrees well with XRD observations.

3.4. Thermo-Physical Property of Nanocomposites

The thermogravimetric analysis of TMAAm, VBOAC, VBOA/MMT and TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposite containing 66.67 wt% TMAAm monomer was performed and results are shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4: TGA curves of the VBOAC, VBOA/MMT and TMAAm-VBOA/MMT (66.67-33.33) nanocomposite.

Thermal degradation of TMAAm was performed by two steps. The weight loss of the first step was found to be 65% between 150-260 °C. The weight loss of the second step was found to be 18% between 260-340 °C and the total weight loss is 85% up to 500 °C. The TGA curve of VBOAC indicates that there is a one stage of decomposition. The total weight loss of 83% between 160-360 °C. The TGA curve of VBOA/MMT indicates that there are two stages of decomposition. The weight loss of the first step was found to be 28% between 200-320 °C. The weight loss of the second step was found to be 12% between 320-500 °C. The total weight loss is 40% up to 500 °C. As could be expected, the TMAAm-VBOA/MMT shows a high thermal stability. The TGA curve of TMAAm-VBOA/MMT (66.67 wt% TMAAm) nanocomposite indicates that there are two stages of decomposition. The first one is small and occurred between 190-280 °C. The second stage is the thermal decomposition of exfoliated nanocomposite. Its weight loss reaches 93% at 500 °C. With regard to the second stage, the TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposite has a higher decomposition temperature than pure TMAAm. This enhancement in the thermal stability is due to the presence of clay nanolayers with high thermal stability and the great barrier properties of the nanolayers dispersed in the nanocomposites to maximize the heat insulation [58,59]. Also, it can be attributed to the introduction of VBOA/MMT layers into the TMAAm matrix and finally intercalation with VBOA/MMT which can greatly improve the thermal stability of TMAAm. This result is consistent with the reports by many other researchers [60,61].

3.5. BET Analyses of Materials

BET analysis method was used to determine surface area and pore size distribution of VBOAC, VBOA/MMT and TMAAm-VBOA/MMT nanocomposites. Curves of N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms for the TMAAm-VBOA/MMT1 synthesized nanocomposites are shown in Figure 5.

The corrected relative pressure values (P/P₀) were obtained by continuously monitoring N₂ saturation pressure during the measurement. Where P is the equilibrium pressure and P₀ is the saturation pressure of N₂ at the measuring temperature. According to Dubinin proposal [62] and later adopted by IUPAC [63], the pore sizes can be classified: pore sizes for macropores (larger than 500 Å), mesopores (between 20 and 500 Å), and micropores of less than 20 Å. By using the BET equation [64] the Brauner-Emmet-Teller (BET) specific surface area (SBET) was calculated. Pore Size Distribution (PSD) curve derived from the desorption branch of the isotherm is likely to give a misleading picture of the pore structure in particular the size distribution will appear to be much narrower than it actually is [63]. Pore volume was measured during the analysis and PSD of vacuum getter was estimated by the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method within the pore diameter range from 1.50 nm to about 900 nm [65]. By assuming that the pores were then filled with condensed adsorptive in the normal liquid state. The
total pore volume was derived from the amount of gas adsorbed at a relative pressure close to unity.

As can be seen in Figure 5, there are two sharp increases. The first one is observed at P/P₀ of 0.060, suggesting the presence of micropores. The second abrupt increase in the adsorption capacity shown in the neighborhood at P/P₀ of 0.75. This is due to the continuous progression from multilayer adsorption to capillary condensation in which the smaller pores become completely filled with liquid nitrogen. This occurs because the saturation vapor pressure in a small pore is reduced according to Kelvin equation [63,66], by the effect of surface tension. When P/P₀ is higher than 0.94, the adsorption capacity hardly decreases and that very pores with a but diameter exist. TMAAm-VBOA/MMT (50.00 VBOA/MMT) nanocomposite vacuum getter shows a saturated adsorption capacity of 40.0 cm³(STP)/g at P/P₀=0.99. The adsorption isotherm corresponds to type IV of the IUPAC classification in the range P/P₀ of 0.14e 0.99 is observed, which represents the macroporous structural characteristic. Pore size distributions of nanocomposites vacuum getter which were calculated from both branches of the hysteresis loop by the BJH method. Also, the obtained results are presented in Table 3.

Table 3: BET Results of VBHAC, VBHA/MMT and TMAAm-VBHA/MMT Nanocomposites

| Sample No       | BET Surface Area (m²/g) | BJH Adsorption Pore Volume (cm³/g) | BJH Desorption Pore Volume (cm³/g) | Adsorption Pore Size (nm) | Desorption Pore Size (nm) |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| VBHAC           | 0.1443                  | 0.002894                          | 0.002874                           | 71.4522                   | 81.95243                  |
| VBHA/MMT        | 1.8370                  | 0.027552                          | 0.028511                           | 56.26529                  | 61.98388                  |
| TMAAm-VBHA/MMT1 | 0.9870                  | 0.020289                          | 0.026282                           | 77.28174                  | 106.25322                 |
| TMAAm-VBHA/MMT2 | 0.3634                  | 0.005227                          | 0.005401                           | 53.91921                  | 59.16581                  |
| TMAAm-VBHA/MMT3 | 0.1687                  | 0.002813                          | 0.002820                           | 60.76366                  | 65.95766                  |
Average pore diameter denoted the pores are mostly macropores. Macropores is generally useful for liquid-solid adsorption [67].

CONCLUSIONS

Vinylbenzylidimethyltetradecy lammonium montmorillonite (VBOA/MMT), a cationic surfactant with a polymerizable group was synthesized for the preparation of VBOAC and Na-MMT. This surfactant copolymerizes with 2-thiozyl methacrylamide. Exfoliated 2-thiozyl methacrylamide nanocomposites were successfully synthesized by free radical polymerization using functionalized forms of VBOA/MMT. Recent advancements in material technologies have promoted the development of various preparation strategies and applications of novel polymer–nanoclay composites. Innovative synthesis pathways have resulted in novel polymer–nanoclay composites with improved properties, which have been successfully incorporated in diverse fields such as aerospace, automobile, construction, petroleum, biomedical and wastewater treatment. These composites are recognized as promising advanced materials due to their superior properties, such as enhanced density, strength, relatively large surface areas, high elastic modulus, flame retardancy, and thermomechanical/optoelectronic/magnetic properties. There are three major synthesis procedures for polymer/nanoclay composites including the solution-blotting method, melt-blotting method and in-situ polymerization method. Until now, the most broadly applied synthesis method was the in-situ polymerization method, where the grafted amounts of organics was adjusted and the clay interlayer spacing was controlled by changing the polymerization conditions. The combination of in-situ polymerization method with efficient coupling methods, including click chemistry, radical-mediated polymerization, tandem preparation, photopolymerization and miniemulsion has enabled effective dispersion of nanoclays in the form of individual platelets in the polymer matrix, which is a significant challenge inherent to the synthesis of polymer/nanoclay composites. All these methods have been successfully implemented for the chemical modification of clay surfaces with low molecular or polymeric grafts. Approximately 75–80% of the polymer/nanoclay composites are implemented in the automotive, aeronautical, and packaging industries. Companies are investing billions of dollars per annum in developing novel polymer/nanoclay composite composites with improved reaction time, clay dispersion and composite yield. Based on the silicate dispersion data, in-situ polymerization is more effective in the formation of composites, and can bypass the rigorous thermodynamic requirements associated with the polymer intercalation process. Moreover, in-situ polymerization allows versatile molecular designs of the polymer matrix; it delivers an effective approach to the synthesis of different polymer/nanoclay composites with an expanded property range and enables the design of the interface between the nanoclays and the polymers by flexible tuning of the matrix composition and structure [6]. TMAAm–VBOA/MMT nanocomposites show a higher thermal degradation temperature than pure VBOA/MMT and poly(TMAAm). The SEM micrographs provided exfoliated polymer chains within the clay layers.
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