Irrigation of Maxillary Sinus through Middle Meatus versus Inferior Meatus: A Randomized Controlled Trial
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Abstract

Objective: To stimulate interest in irrigation of maxillary sinus through middle meatus and to compare this new technique of irrigation with antral wash through the inferior meatus regarding its efficacy and complications.

Methods: A total of 40 patients of chronic maxillary sinusitis or unresolved acute maxillary sinusitis were selected, 20 for middle meatus and 20 for inferior meatus irrigation, patients were randomly selected, middle meatal puncture was done by Karl Storz antrum sucker nozzle using 0 degree telescope and inferior meatus by Litwiz antrum trocher and cannula. Any difficulties or complications were noted.

Results: The age of the patients ranged from 12-55 years, with mean age 34.9 years in middle meatal group and 38.7 years in inferior meatal group. There were 25 male and 15 female patients with male female ratio 1.67:1. No difficulties or any complications were noted in middle meatus group whereas mispuncture, failure of puncture, haemorrhage and check swelling were noted in some patients of inferior meatus group.

Conclusion: It is concluded that this new technique of puncturing the middle meatus is a safe, easy and effective procedure of irrigation of the maxillary sinus instead of antral wash out via the inferior meatus for the treatment of chronic maxillary sinusitis
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Introduction

The term sinusitis is now changed to rhino sinusitis as the mucosa of the paranasal sinuses are in direct contact with the nasal cavity [1]. Rhinosinusitis is a relatively common
disease affecting 6-15% of the population each year [2]. CRS is defined by the American Academy of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery as 12 weeks, or longer, of two of a defined group of symptoms/signs: mucopurulent drainage, nasal congestion, facial pressure/pain, or decreased sense of smell and inflammation documented by one of the following: purulent mucus on endoscopy, polyps in the nasal cavity, or radiographic imaging showing inflammation [3]. Over the past decade, patients with CRS have been frequently subdivided into two main categories based on their specific pathophysiology: CRS with nasal polyps (CRSwNP) vs. CRS without nasal polyps (CRSsNP) [4]. There are many factors which can cause or influence the severity of chronic rhinosinusitis including asthma, aspirin exacerbated respiratory disease (AERD), cystic fibrosis and immunodeficiency [5]. Medical treatment of chronic rhinosinusitis usually commences with nasal saline irrigations, topical nasal steroids, nasal decongestant, oral antibiotics, and possibly oral steroids [3]. However, medical management often times fails to sufficiently alleviate the patient’s symptoms, and, for these patients, surgical intervention may be considered to be a logical next step in their treatment. Surgical management is needed for two main subcategories: chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) and recurrent acute rhinosinusitis (RARS). For chronic maxillary sinusitis there are four surgical options which include antral wash out, intranasal antrostomy, Cald Well Luc operation and FESS. Osteomeatal complex block causing chronic sinusitis can be treated with simple middle meatal antrostomy (MMA) and anterior ethmoidectomy (Mini FESS) but in extensive polypoid diseases with sinusitis a more complete fronto-spheno-ethmoidectomy (full house FESS) is needed [2]. In recent years a minimally invasive options such as balloon sinus dilatation are now available [6].

**Materials and Methods**

This prospective randomized study was done at Maleka Nursing Home and Matin Specialized Hospital Hospital, Bogura and CDM Hospital Rajshahi from January 2001 to December 2015. All patients of radiologically confirmed chronic maxillary sinusitis and acute maxillary sinusitis not responding to medical treatment were included. The patients were selected considering exclusion and inclusion criteria. The procedure were done under local or general anaesthesia along with septoplasty operation in some cases. For middle meatal puncture Karl Storz antrum sucker nozzle and 0 degree telescope with or without TV monitor are used and for inferior meatal puncture, antrum trocher and cannula and killian’s speculum are used (Figure 1). For irrigation of maxillary sinus through middle meatus five minutes after giving 10% xylocaine spray to the middle meatus, antrum sucker nozzle is gently pushed above the inferior turbinate towards the maxillary sinus through the uncinate process (uncinotomy). Once the cannula is in the maxillary sinus, it is irrigated with normal saline with the help of 50 cc syring (Figure 2). Irrigation is continued until return fluid is clear. Antral wash was done to other group as usual way through the inferior meatus. Any difficulties or complications were noted in each group.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data collected was analyzed using SPSS version 20 and depicted using descriptive statistics

**Inclusion Criteria**

Patients with unilateral or bilateral chronic maxillary sinusitis and acute maxillary sinusitis not responding to medical treatment Septal deviation patients with maxillary sinusitis undergoing septoplasty operation.
Exclusion Criteria

Chronic maxillary sinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP)

Results

Age of the patients ranged from 12-55 years with a mean age 34.9 years in middle meatal group and 38.7 in inferior meatal group (Table 1). There were 25 male and 15 female patients with male female ratio of 1.67:1 (Table 2). Any difficulties or complications of both procedures were noted in (Table 3).

| Age  | Middle meatal group | Inferior meatal group |
|------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Min  | 12                  | 18                    |
| Max  | 55                  | 50                    |
| Mean | 34.9                | 38.7                  |

Table 1: Age distribution (N-40).

| Sex       | Middle meatal group | Inferior Meatal group |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Male      | 13                  | 12                    |
| Female    | 10                  | 5                     |
| M:F ratio | 1.67:1              |                       |

Table 2: Sex distribution of patients (N-40).

| Observation                  | Antral wash through inferior meatus | Middle meatal puncture |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Fail to puncture            | 2                                   | None                   |
| Feeling pain                | moderate to severe                  | mild or no pain        |
| Mispuncture                 | 1                                   | None                   |
| Haemorrhage                 | 3                                   | None                   |
| Check swelling              | 1                                   | None                   |

Table 3: Any difficulties or complications of the two procedures.

Discussion

In adults, the maxillary sinuses are most commonly affected with acute and chronic sinusitis. Most of these cases can be managed with medications alone. When medical management failed to control the symptom of chronic maxillary sinusitis surgical intervention is frequently considered. Although functional endoscopic sinus surgery is becoming more popular by doing middle meatal antrostomy in the treatment of chronic maxillary sinusitis, irrigation of the maxillary sinuses or antral wash is still a gold standard of practice done by many of the ENT Surgeons. Although the more generally accepted method of irrigating the maxillary sinus is by the way of the inferior meatus using antral trocar and cannula this paper is presented primarily to stimulate interest in irrigation of the maxillary sinus through the middle meatus using Karl Storz antral sucker nozzle to puncture the middle meatus without telescope. I adopted this procedure out of interest when I faced some difficulties puncturing the inferior meatus with trocar and cannula like bleeding, failed to puncture or check swelling which are the recognized complications of antral wash out using trocar.

From that experiences I started doing irrigation through the middle meatus using antral sucker nozzle and I found this procedure very easy, effective and painless procedure without any complication. My aim of presenting this procedure is to encourage doctors who are still doing antral wash through the inferior meatus, so that they can adopt this technique. By searching internet it is found that the first paper of irrigation of the maxillary sinus through the middle meatus was presented by Mervin C Meyerson MD to the American Laryngologic, rhinologic and otological society in California, USA on 19 January 1958. Later he published this paper in the Laryngoscope Vol. 68, no 8 in 1958 [7] It has been noted by Mervin that it is easier to enter the maxillary sinus by the way of the middle meatus in children than adult.

Advantages

(a) Easy to puncture
(b) Can be done without anaesthesia
(c) No fail to puncture
(d) No complications like bleeding, check swelling
(e) Easy to puncture in children

However we should also remember the pathophysiology behind some CRSsNP and many RARS patients is more often associated with an anatomic abnormality or localized obstruction rather than a pervasive inflammatory process [8,9]. For example, odontogenic sinusitis accounts for approximately 10–12% of RARS and typically presents when a dental abscess or periodontal disease infiltrates the maxillary sinus, resulting in localized sinusitis [10]. By relieving these local obstructions, such as the infected tooth, or other anatomic abnormalities, such as concha bullosa, infra orbitalethmoid cells (Haller cells), and accessory ostia, the disease process can often be halted with minimal surgical intervention.

Conclusion

It is concluded that this new technique of puncturing
the middle meatus is a safe, easy and effective procedure of irrigation of the maxillary sinus instead of antral wash out via the inferior meatus for the treatment of chronic maxillary sinusitis
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