A Cohort Study on the Association between Psychotropics and Hip Fracture in Korean Elderly Women

To test the hypothesis that the intake of psychotropics may increase the risk of hip fracture, a cohort study was conducted upon elderly Korean women. The Korean Elderly Pharmacoepidemiology Cohort was constructed from members of the Korea Medical Insurance Corporation over 65 yr of age who were living in Busan Metropolitan City in 1993. Study participants (n=6,043) were female respondents to a self-administered question survey. Information on the intake of psychotropics was obtained from the drug prescription database, which contained all psychotropic prescriptions during any hospital admission over the two-year period between January 1, 1993 and December 31, 1994. The cohort follow-up has been conducted with information on hip fracture being collected from the Korea Medical Insurance Corporation medical treatment claims database over the four year period between January 1, 1993 and December 31, 1996. Three hundred and three subjects had received 745 psychotropics prescriptions and 56 cases of hip fracture were found. After adjusting for age, body mass index, and drinking history, it was found that the intake of psychotropics significantly increased the risk of hip fracture (adjusted odds ratio, 4.24; 95% confidence interval, 1.89-9.52). This study suggests that the intake of psychotropics might be an important risk factor for hip fracture in elderly Korean women.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study cohort was constructed from the female respondents to a self-administered questionnaire survey distributed by mail to the Korean Elderly Pharmacoepidemiology Cohort (K EPEC), which is comprised of 23,649 participants, consisting of 8,428 men and 15,221 women (20-22).

The eligibility criteria of the K EPEC were beneficiaries of the Korea Medical Insurance Corporation (KMIC) in 1993, over 65 yr of age, living in Busan city (the second largest in Korea), with no previous history of hip fracture (Fig. 2). Since 1978 the KMIC has included government employees, schoolteachers, and pensioners living with their families. The total number of beneficiaries was around 4.7 million in 1993, about 11% of the total Korean population. The age distribution of the KEPEC members enrolled in this study was very similar to that of Korean elderly people (data not shown). Busan had a population of 3.8 million in 1990 and was chosen for the size of its elderly population and the high feasibility of study population follow up for the purpose of determining clinical outcomes including hip fracture.

The number of responders to the mail questionnaire sur-
Exposure to psychotropics was defined as any psychotropic prescription during any hospital admission between January 1, 1993 and December 31, 1994, which was on the drug prescription (DP) database of the KMIC. The first day of prescription was selected as the starting day of exposure to psychotropics. Because of the lack in Korea of a database that includes information on drug prescription, the DP database was constructed directly by ourselves from the prescription claims data that were submitted to the KMIC by the medical care institutions for reimbursement. The names of the psychotropics, daily amounts, and prescription period were also secured from the DP database.

To evaluate the cumulative effects of multiple prescriptions of psychotropics, the Total Standard Exposure Index (TSEI) was created. TSEI was defined as the sum of the individual drug exposure indices, which were calculated by summing individually the total amount of each psychotropic prescribed on a daily basis (according to the kind of psychotropics prescribed during hospital admission), and dividing these by the corresponding recommended daily dose of each drug (Table 2, 6). With the TSEI median value being 5, the study population was classified into 3 categories based on their TSEIs, namely, 1) zero, 2) less than 5, 3) 5 or more.

Potential cases of hip fracture were selected on the basis of diagnostic codes in KMIC’s medical treatment claims (MTC) database, which were sent from medical care institutions whenever a beneficiary received any treatment. If an inpatient had a diagnostic code of 820 on ICD-9 or S72 on ICD-10 between January 1, 1993 and December 31, 1996, we regarded her as a potential hip fracture case. A hospital survey was then performed to confirm the diagnosis by reviewing the medical records of the potential cases.

The date of hip fracture was defined as the date of admission. The duration of observation was calculated from either January 1, 1993 or the date of becoming a KMIC beneficiary, whichever came last, until either the date of the hip fracture, the loss of beneficiaries or the end of the observation period (December 31, 1996), whichever came first.

Information on potential confounders was obtained by self-administered questionnaires. Five age groups were defined for the analysis: 1) 65-69 yr, 2) 70-74 yr, 3) 75-79 yr, 4) 80-84 yr, and 5) 85 yr or older. Body mass index was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m)$^2$, and the subjects were divided into two categories at a cut-off point of 24.0 kg/m$^2$. Alcohol and smoking status were classified into three strata based on: 1) never drank/smoked, 2) quit drinking/smoking, and 3) current drinker/smoker. Finally, all subjects were asked whether they had endocrine, cardiovascular, or musculoskeletal diseases.

Incidence density per 100,000 person-years was calculated by dividing the number of hip fracture cases by follow-up person-years and the 95% confidence interval was estimated using approximate Wald confidence limits (23). Survival analysis, by the Cox proportional hazards model, was used to identify potential confounders by calculating the relative risks and their 95% confidence intervals. The adjusted relative risk of psychotropics prescription on hip fracture was assessed, after adjustment for the effect of confounders, using the Cox proportional hazards model. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS release 6.12 (24). The population attributable risk percent (PAR %) was calculated using the adjusted relative risk and the prevalence of exposure (25).
A total of 6,043 female KEPEC members responded to the survey questionnaire. The age distribution of the subjects was similar to that of non-respondents in KEPEC (Table 1), and to that of Korean elderly people in 1995 (Fig. 3), which indicated that the age distribution of the study participants was very similar to that of the Korean population.

Three hundred and three subjects had received 745 psychotropics prescriptions during hospital admissions over a two-year period, 1993-1994 (Table 2). Antianxiety drugs were the most frequently prescribed psychotropics, and accounted for 79.3% of the total prescriptions. Fifty-six incidence cases of hip fracture were reported and confirmed from medical records over the four-year period between January 1, 1993 and December 31, 1996. Table 3 presented the incidence rate of hip fracture by age group. The incidence rate of hip fracture showed a significant increasing trend with age.

Table 4 displayed the crude relative risk (RR) of the potential risk factors for hip fracture, as estimated by the Cox proportional hazards model. Age, body mass index, and drinking history all exhibited a statistically significant association, with elder and more slender women being at increased risk for hip fracture. The association with age was especially significant (chi-square for trend=12.82; p<0.05). The group, which had quit drinking, was at a higher risk than the no-drinking group, but the habit of alcohol consumption did not show a significant association. The crude RR for psychotropic intake was 3.82 at a 95% confidence interval of 1.72-8.49.

The results of multivariate analysis for psychotropic intake were adjusted for age, body mass index, and drinking history (Table 5). This adjustment showed that women receiving psychotropics were at a 4.24-fold increased relative risk (95% CI, 1.89-9.52), and that women with a TSEI of less than 5 had an adjusted RR value of 5.77 (95% CI, 2.05-16.2), as compared with women with a TSEI of zero (Table 6).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, the prescription of psychotropics was found to be an important risk factor for hip fracture in Korean elderly women, after adjusting the results for age, body mass index, and drinking history. The adjusted RR was 4.24, which suggests a stronger association than that previously reported by Ray et al. (12-14) and by Cumming and Klineberg (26). These reports dealt with exposure to specific drugs including various types of psychotropics. Our result is compatible with that of Lichtenstein et al. (27), who found that the odds ratio for both the presence of confusion and psychotropic drug use was 5.07 (95% CI, 2.16-11.92).
attributable risk percentage (25) of psychotropic prescription in terms of hip fracture is 13.9%, which suggests that the prescription of psychotropics for elderly women in Korea should be carefully managed.

At the time of this study, Koreans could buy many medicines, but not psychotropics, at any drugstore without a doctor’s prescription. Thus, the prescriptions of psychotropics in hospitals probably approximately represent the real drug intake status. This situation does much to validate the study design, which relied heavily upon KMIC’s MTC database, for the supply of all information about psychotropic type, dosage, and prescription period, as well as details of associated medical services.

Fractures due to high-energy trauma were excluded. A hospital survey was undertaken to confirm the final diagnosis of the potential hip fracture cases, because the MTC database contains secondary data only. The primary physician’s prescription and medical care services received at the outpatient

Table 4. The crude relative risk (cRR) and its 95% confidence interval (CI) of hip fracture among study subjects, 1993-1996

| Variables                        | No. of subjects | No. | %   | cRR  | 95% CI    |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----|-----|------|-----------|
| Age (yr)                         |                 |     |     |      |           |
| 65-69                            | 2,311           | 16  | 0.69| 1.00 | 0.27-1.48 |
| 70-74                            | 1,825           | 8   | 0.44| 0.63 | 0.32-1.48 |
| 75-79                            | 1,057           | 15  | 1.42| 2.06 | 1.02-4.16 |
| 80-84                            | 601             | 15  | 2.16| 3.12 | 1.50-6.49 |
| 85+                              | 249             | 4   | 1.61| 2.32 | 0.78-6.95 |
| Body Mass Index (kg/m²)          |                 |     |     |      |           |
| <24.0                            | 4,508           | 49  | 1.09| 1.00 |           |
| 24.0≤                            | 1,535           | 7   | 0.46| 0.42 | 0.19-0.92 |
| Alcohol drinking                 |                 |     |     |      |           |
| None                             | 4,737           | 45  | 0.95| 1.00 |           |
| Quit                             | 1,044           | 3   | 0.29| 0.28 | 0.09-0.90 |
| Current                          | 161             | 4   | 2.48| 2.48 | 0.89-6.68 |
| Smoking status                   |                 |     |     |      |           |
| None                             | 4,132           | 33  | 0.80| 1.00 |           |
| Quit                             | 553             | 9   | 1.63| 1.92 | 0.88-3.76 |
| Current                          | 1,286           | 9   | 0.71| 0.79 | 0.38-1.64 |
| Endocrine disorder               |                 |     |     |      |           |
| No                               | 5,791           | 54  | 0.93| 1.00 |           |
| Yes                              | 252             | 2   | 0.79| 0.93 | 0.46-1.89 |
| Cardiovascular disorder          |                 |     |     |      |           |
| No                               | 5,478           | 53  | 0.97| 1.00 |           |
| Yes                              | 565             | 3   | 0.53| 0.89 | 0.70-1.12 |
| Musculoskeletal disorder         |                 |     |     |      |           |
| No                               | 5,345           | 50  | 0.94| 1.00 |           |
| Yes                              | 698             | 6   | 0.86| 0.99 | 0.86-1.14 |
| Psychotropic intakes             |                 |     |     |      |           |
| No                               | 5,740           | 49  | 0.85| 1.00 |           |
| Yes                              | 303             | 7   | 2.31| 3.82 | 1.72-8.49 |

*cRR: crude relative risk.

Table 5. The adjusted relative risk (aRR) and its 95% confidence interval of psychotropic intake for hip fracture among study subjects, 1993-1996

| Variables                        | aRR  | 95% C.I. |      |
|----------------------------------|------|----------|------|
| Intakes of psychotropics         |      |          |      |
| No                               | 1.0  |          |      |
| Yes                              | 4.55 | [2.03, 10.2] |  |
| Age (yr)                         |      |          |      |
| 65-69                            | 1.0  |          |      |
| 70-74                            | 0.58 | [0.25, 1.37] |  |
| 75-79                            | 1.87 | [0.92, 3.78] |  |
| 80-84                            | 2.96 | [1.41, 6.19] |  |
| 85+                              | 2.20 | [0.73, 6.62] |  |
| Body Mass Index (kg/m²)          |      |          |      |
| <24.0                            | 1.0  |          |      |
| 24.0≤                            | 0.46 | [0.21, 1.03] |  |
| Drinking Habit                   |      |          |      |
| None                             | 1.0  |          |      |
| Quit                             | 0.29 | [0.09, 0.93] |  |
| Current                          | 2.49 | [0.89, 6.90] |  |

*Adjusted relative risk for all variables.

95% confidence interval.

Table 6. Crude and adjusted relative risk and its 95% confidence interval of TSEI* for hip fracture among study subjects, 1993-1996

| Variables | No. of subject | No. of fracture | cRR | 95% CI | aRR | 95% CI |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------|-----|--------|-----|--------|
| TSEI=0    | 5,741          | 49              | 1.0 |        | 1.0 |        |
| TSEI<5    | 130            | 4               | 5.18| [1.86, 14.4] | 5.77 | [2.05, 16.2] |
| TSEI ≥ 5  | 172            | 3               | 2.84| [0.88, 9.16] | 3.17 | [0.98, 10.3] |

*TSEI (Total Standard Exposure Index) = \( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{DOSE_i}{RDD_i} \)

(DOSE: total amount of each psychotropic drug, RDD: recommended daily dose of the drug, k: kinds of psychotropics)

Crude relative risk; 95% confidence interval; *Adjusted relative risk adjusted for age, body mass index, and drinking history.
clinics could not be confirmed by hospital survey because only psychotropics prescribed during hospital admission were defined as exposure. Therefore, the result of this study might contain a dilution effect in terms of the amount of psychotropics received. Therefore, given that these results may in fact be an underestimation, they should be regarded as representing the minimum level of relative risk of hip fracture due to psychotropics among elderly Korean women.

The study subjects were restricted to female responders because there were only 11 incident cases of hip fracture during the 2-yr follow-up period among the male responders to the questionnaire survey. The female study participants demonstrated the comparatively low level of psychotropics exposure of only 5%, a level that prevented separate analysis of psychotropics by therapeutic class. These findings indicate that further confirmatory studies are needed.

A new index, TSEI, was developed in order to evaluate the dose-response relationship. However, the under 5 TSEI group exhibited a higher adjusted RR than the 5 or above TSEI group, thus producing an inverse J-pattern outcome. This result could have been caused by "confounding by indication" (28), as doctors probably would have modified the dosage of psychotropics to patients susceptible to hip fracture. An alternative explanation may be that TSEI was developed for this present study and the validation of its usefulness may require further study.

Some methodological problems may have influenced the validity of our results. Firstly, our data on psychotropics were limited to the information regarding prescription during hospital stays and some of the subjects in the unexposed group could have taken psychotropics at outpatient clinics, with a consequent underestimation of the psychotropic effects. Secondly, the response rate of the questionnaire survey was 39.7% among the KEPEC members. As shown in Table 1 and Fig. 3, the similar age distribution of the subjects to both the non-respondents and the general Korean population suggests that this low response rate might not decrease the validity of this paper. Thirdly, information on confounders was obtained from self-administered questionnaires. According to the questionnaire reliability and validity study by Park et al. (29), high reliability could be expected in terms of smoking, alcohol consumption, and anthropometrically related items. Fourthly, among the variables obtained by the questionnaire survey, the history of estrogen replacement as a known protective factor of hip fracture was not adjusted for as a confounder because it was considered that the subjects had only a rare chance of having had lifelong exposure to estrogen.

REFERENCES

1. Cummings SR, Black DM, Rubin SM. Lifetime risks of hip, Colles', or vertebral fracture and coronary heart disease among white postmenopausal women. Arch Intern Med 1989; 149: 2445-8.
2. Cumming RG, Nevitt MC, Cummings SR. Epidemiology of hip fractures. Epidemiol Rev 1997; 19: 244-57.
3. Jacobsen SJ, Goldberg J, and Miles TP, Brody JA, Stier W, Rimm AA. Hip fracture incidence among the old and very old: a population-based study of 745,435 cases. Am J Public Health 1990; 80: 871-3.
4. Jacobsen SJ, Goldberg J, Miles TP, Brody JA, Stiers W, Rimm AA. Race and sex differences in mortality following fracture of the hip. Am J Public Health 1992; 82: 1147-50.
5. Farmer ME, White LR, Brody JA, Bailey KR. Race and sex differences in hip fracture incidence. Am J Public Health 1984; 74: 1374-80.
6. Silverman SL, Madison RE. Decreased incidence of hip fracture in Hispanics, Asians, and Blacks: California hospital discharge data. Am J Public Health 1988; 78: 1482-3.
7. Nevitt MC, Cummings SR, Kidd S, Black D. Risk factors for recurrent nonsyncopal falls: a prospective study. JAMA 1989; 261: 2663-8.
8. Tinetti ME, Speechley M, Ginter SF. Risk factors for falls among elderly persons living in the community. N Engl J Med 1988; 319: 1701-7.
9. Satin RW, Lambert-Huber DA, De Vito CA, Rodriguez JG, Ros A, Bacchelli S, Stevens JA, Waxweiler RJ. The incidence of fall injury events among the elderly in a defined population. Am J Epidemiol 1990; 131: 1028-37.
10. MacDonald JB, MacDonald ET. Nocturnal femoral fracture and continuing widespread use of barbiturate hypnotics. Br Med J 1977; 2: 483-5.
11. Cumming RG, Miller JP, Kelsey JL, Davis P, Arfken CL, Birge SJ, Peck WA. Medications and multiple falls in elderly people: the St Louis OASIS study. Age Ageing 1991; 20: 455-61.
12. Ray WA, Griffin MR, Schaffner W, Baugh DK, Melton LJ III. Psychotropic drug use and the risk of hip fracture. N Engl J Med 1987; 316: 363-9.
13. Ray WA, Griffin MR, Downey W. Benzodiazepines of long and short elimination half-life and the risk of hip fracture. JAMA 1989; 262: 3303-7.
14. Ray WA, Griffin MR. Malcolm E. Cyclic antidepressants and the risk of hip fracture. Arch Intern Med 1991; 151: 754-6.
15. MacDonald JB. The role of drugs in falls in the elderly. Clin Geriatr Med 1985; 1: 621-36.
16. Prudham D, Evans JG. Factors associated with falls in the elderly: a community study. Age Ageing 1981; 10: 455-61.
17. Song KY. Policy issues for health and social services in aging. J Korean Med Assoc 1994; 37: 1147-53.
18. Hajdú S, Grænbæk M, Gottschau A, Lauritzen JB, Schroll M, and the Copenhagen Centre for Prospective Population Studies. Alcohol intake, beverage preference, and risk of hip fracture in men and women. Am J Epidemiol 1999; 149: 993-1001.
19. National Statistical Office (Korea). 1994 Annual report on the cause of death statistics-based on vital registration. Seoul: The Office: 1995.
20. Park BJ, Bae JM, Koo HW, Kim DS, Kwon JS, Jin K. Cohort study on the association between psychotropics and proximal femur fracture in the elderly people in Korea: Interim results. Korean J Clin Pharmacol Ther 1998; 6: 44-54.
21. Park BJ, Jung KO, Bae JM, Koo HW, Kim DS. Cohort study on the association between smoking and proximal hip fracture in the elderly people in Korea. Korean J Epidemiol 1998; 20: 246-56.
22. Park BJ, Jung KO, Koo HW, Bae JM. Nested case-control study on the association between alcohol and the risk of proximal hip fracture in the elderly people in Korea. Korean J Epidemiol 1999; 21: 93-103.
23. Rothman KJ, Greenland S. Modern Epidemiology. 2nd ed. Lippincott-Raven Publishers, Philadelphia 1998: 234-6.
24. SAS Institute Inc. The SAS for windows, Release 6.12. Cary, NC-27513, U.S.A. 1989.
25. Hennekens CH, Buring JE. Epidemiology in medicine. Little, Brown & Co., Boston 1987: 90-5.
26. Cumming RG, Klineberg RJ. Psychotropics, thiazide diuretics and hip fractures in the elderly. Med J Aust 1993; 158: 414-7.
27. Lichtenstein MJ, Griffin MR, Cornell JE, Malcolm E, Ray WA. Risk factors for hip fractures occurring in the hospital. Am J Epidemiol 1994; 140: 830-8.
28. Salas M, Hofman A, Stricker BH. Confounding by indication: An example of variation in the use of epidemiologic terminology. Am J Epidemiol 1999; 149: 981-3.
29. Park BJ, Kim DS, Koo HW, Bae JM. Reliability and validity study of a lifestyle questionnaire for elderly people. Korean J Prev Med 1998; 31: 49-58.