Freely decaying turbulence in two-dimensional electrostatic gyrokinetics
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In magnetized plasmas, a turbulent cascade occurs in phase space at scales smaller than the thermal Larmor radius (“sub-Larmor scales”) [Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 015003 (2009)]. When the turbulence is restricted to two spatial dimensions perpendicular to the background magnetic field, two independent cascades may take place simultaneously because of the presence of two collisionless invariants. In the present work, freely decaying turbulence of two-dimensional electrostatic gyrokinetics is investigated by means of phenomenological theory and direct numerical simulations. A dual cascade (forward and inverse cascades) is observed in velocity space as well as in position space, which we diagnose by means of nonlinear transfer functions for the collisionless invariants. We find that the turbulence tends to a time-asymptotic state, dominated by a single scale that grows in time. A theory of this asymptotic state is derived in the form of decay laws. Each case that we study falls into one of three regimes (weakly collisional, marginal, and strongly collisional), determined by a dimensionless number $D_s$, a quantity analogous to the Reynolds number. The marginal state is marked by a critical number $D_c = D_0$ that is preserved in time. Turbulence initialized above this value become increasingly inertial in time, evolving toward larger and larger $D_s$; turbulence initialized below $D_0$ become more and more collisional, decaying to progressively smaller $D_s$.

PACS numbers: 52.30.Gz, 52.35.Ra, 52.65.Tt

I. INTRODUCTION

Plasma turbulence plays important roles in fusion devices and various space and astrophysical situations, where it is an essential phenomenon underlying transport of mean quantities and particle heating [1]. For these collisionless or weakly collisional plasmas, such turbulence requires a kinetic description in phase space, especially at small scales where dissipation takes place.

Turbulence theory in kinetic phase space is more than a simple extension of Navier-Stokes turbulence into higher dimensions as velocity space is not exactly equivalent to position space: For instance, there is no translational symmetry (i.e. small velocities are not equivalent to large velocities), and there is always some large-scale velocity dependence imposed by the background distribution function (e.g. on the scale of the thermal velocity for a Maxwellian background); see also Ref. [12], Sec. 2.1. However, in some simplified cases, classical fluid dynamical theories [13, 14] can be naturally extended into phase space [11, 15, 19]. In magnetized plasmas, the gyrokinetic (GK) theory [20–23] provides the minimal kinetic description of the low-frequency turbulence.

In electrostatic gyrokinetics, nonlinear interactions introduce a cascade of perturbed entropy (which is proportional to the perturbed free energy at the sub-Larmor scales) to smaller scales both in position and velocity space [11, 15–19]. When the turbulence is restricted to two position-space dimensions, the system has two collisionless invariants. One of them is the free energy or entropy which is also an invariant in three dimensions (3D), and another, approximately related to kinetic energy in the long wave-length limit, is particular to two dimensions (2D). In this regard, 2D gyrokinetic turbulence is analogous to 2D fluid turbulence, and indeed, reduces to it in a particular long wave-length limit [16, 24]. These two invariants cannot share the same local-interaction space in a Kolmogorov-like phenomenonology, which leads to a dual cascade (forward and inverse cascades) [3, 14, 25–27]. As the nonlinear term of 2D gyrokinetics is identical in form to that of 3D gyrokinetics, the understanding of the nonlinear interaction in purely 2D system will serve as a foundation for understanding general 3D magnetized plasmas [19].

In this paper we focus on the freely decaying turbulence problem for the electrostatic 2D GK system. We first introduce the GK equation briefly and describe its basic nature in Sec. II. We also review basic characteristics of the nonlinear phase mixing at sub-Larmor scales that are reported in Refs. [17, 18, 28]. Sections III and IV are the main contents of the paper. In Sec. III we describe a phenomenological theory of the dual cascade in freely decaying turbulence based on the theory first developed for 2D fluid turbulence [29]. There are three regimes for the turbulence: These correspond to weakly collisional, marginal, and strongly collisional cases. In the strongly collisional case, dissipation acts strongly and the system decays to become more and more dissipative. In the marginal case, the collisional dissipation balances with nonlinear (inertial) turnover and the system is preserved in this state. For weakly collisional cases, the turbulence is, in a sense, able to escape the effects of dissipation, becoming less and less collisional in time, and tending asymptotically to a state of zero electrostatic energy decay. Section IV presents
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the results of numerical simulation of the freely decaying turbulence. We demonstrate the inverse (forward) transfer of energy (entropy) in the direct numerical simulation and investigate the time-asymptotic decay laws of the two collisionless invariants, comparing with the theory developed in Sec. III. We conclude with a summary of our results in Sec. V.

II. PHASE-SPACE TURBULENCE

A. Gyrokinetic equations and invariants

We first introduce the gyrokinetic (GK) model briefly [20–23]. Since we are concerned with turbulence in magnetized plasmas, the dynamics of interest is much slower than particle gyromotion. The gyromotion is thus averaged over, eliminating gyroangle dependence from the system. The GK system has 3 spatial coordinates \( x, y, z \), and 2 velocity coordinates \( (v_\perp, v_\parallel) \), where \( \perp \) and \( \parallel \) denote perpendicular and parallel directions to the background magnetic field, respectively. We assume the background plasma and magnetic field are uniform in space and time. It is necessary to distinguish between the particle coordinate \( r \) and the gyrocenter coordinate \( R \). These coordinates are connected by the Catto transform [20]

\[
R = r + \frac{\nu \times e_z}{\Omega},
\]

where \( e_z \) is the unit vector along the background magnetic field and \( \Omega \) is the gyrom frequency.

We further reduce the GK equation to 2D in position space, or 4D in phase space [20], by ignoring variation along the mean field \( (k_\parallel = 0) \). This not only reduces the dimension of the system but also removes one of the mechanisms of creating velocity-space structure — linear parallel phase mixing (Landau damping), a much more familiar and better understood phenomenon than the nonlinear perpendicular phase mixing. The change in our system. In Refs. [17, 18] total perturbed entropy (or free energy), \( W_{\text{tot}} = W + E \) (see Eq. (3.9) of Ref. [16]), is used in order to make the connection to thermodynamics. Here we use the quantity \( W \) for the sake of simplicity [16]. In fact, \( g^2 \) averaged over \( R \) is itself conserved (that is, it is conserved for each value of \( v_\perp \)) [16,33]. However, it is sufficient for the purposes of this paper to consider only the integrated quantity \( W \). One can adapt the arguments of Ref. [14] to show that the presence of conserved quantities \( W \) and \( E \) implies a dual cascade (i.e., both forward and inverse cascades) [19].

B. Nonlinear phase mixing

Due to the neglect of the parallel streaming term, the creation of velocity space structure originates solely from the

FIG. 1. (Color online) Schematic view of the nonlinear phase mixing: when the fluctuation scale \( \ell \) is comparable to or smaller than the Larmor radius \( \rho \), the gyroaverage of the electric field induces a decorrelation of the distribution function at the velocity-space scale corresponding to the difference in Larmor radii \( \ell = \delta v_\perp/\Omega \sim \ell \). This figure is reproduced with permission from Ref. [17]. Copyright (2009) by the American Physical Society (http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v103/e015003).

Bessel function of the first kind, \( b = k_\parallel^2 \rho_\parallel^2/2, \) \( \rho \) is the ion thermal Larmor radius, \( q \) is the charge, \( n_0 \) and \( T_0 \) are the density and temperature of the background Maxwellian \( F_0 \), and \( i \) and \( e \) are the species indices. One may use \( \tau = -q_e T_0/\delta q_0, e \) for Boltzmann-response (3D) electrons or \( \tau = 0 \) for no-response (2D) electrons [16]. Hereafter we use the no-response electrons as in Refs. [17, 18] because formally, the electrons cannot contribute to the potential if \( k_\parallel = 0 \) exactly [28]. This choice is not very important as it only introduces minor differences in various prefactors.

The 2D electrostatic GK system possesses two quadratic positive-definite collisionless invariants [16],

\[
W = \sum_k \int T_0 \frac{|\hat{g}(k)|^2}{2 F_0} dv, \quad (4)
\]

\[
E = \frac{n_0 q_i^2}{2 T_0} \sum_k (1 - \hat{\nu}_0) |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2. \quad (5)
\]

There are various ways to choose two independent invariants in our system. In Refs. [17, 18] total perturbed entropy (or free energy), \( W_{\text{tot}} = W + E \) (see Eq. (3.9) of Ref. [16]), is used in order to make the connection to thermodynamics. Here we use the quantity \( W \) for the sake of simplicity [16]. In fact, \( g^2 \) averaged over \( R \) is itself conserved (that is, it is conserved for each value of \( v_\perp \)) [16,33]. However, it is sufficient for the purposes of this paper to consider only the integrated quantity \( W \). One can adapt the arguments of Ref. [14] to show that the presence of conserved quantities \( W \) and \( E \) implies a dual cascade (i.e., both forward and inverse cascades) [19].

B. Nonlinear phase mixing

Due to the neglect of the parallel streaming term, the creation of velocity space structure originates solely from the
advection of the distribution function by the gyroaveraged $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift [the nonlinear term in Eq. (2)]. For small-scale electric fields, particles with different gyroradii execute different $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ motions because they “see” different effective potentials; this leads to nonlinear phase mixing and other novel phenomena [11, 15, 28, 34]. As the turbulence cascades through phase-space, the excitation of fluctuations at spatial scale $\ell$ induces velocity structure of scale $\delta v_\perp$ in the perpendicular velocity space which corresponds to the difference of the Larmor radii $\ell_v = \delta v_\perp/\Omega \sim \ell$ (see Fig. 1 and Refs. [11, 15, 18]). In other words, when the spatial decorrelation scale is $\ell$, two particles with Larmor radii separated by $\ell_v$ become decorrelated, since the gyroaveraged potentials these two particles feel are different. This nonlinear phase-space mixing effect was first pointed out by Dorland and Hammett [28].

In Refs. [17, 18] numerical simulations focused on the forward cascade of entropy, and showed a simultaneous creation of structures in position and velocity space in accordance with the theoretical prediction [11, 15, 16]. Figure 2 shows a normalized, time-averaged spectral density of entropy

$$\hat{W}(k_\perp, p) = \sum_{|k|=k_\perp} p|\hat{g}(k, p)|^2,$$  

(6)

in a forward cascade simulation, where the $v_\perp$ structure is characterized by the Hankel transform [16, 35]

$$\hat{g}(k, p) = \int J_0 (pv_\perp) \hat{g}(k, v_\perp, v_\parallel) dv,$$  

(7)

and $\hat{\cdot}$ denotes the Fourier-Hankel coefficients.

In analogy with the Reynolds number in fluid turbulence, we may introduce an amplitude-dependent dimensionless number $D$ [17], the ratio of collision time to nonlinear decorrelation time measured at the thermal Larmor radius, which characterizes the smallest scales created in both position and velocity space by $D^{-3/5}$. Thus, $D$ quantifies both how “inertial” the turbulence is (in the sense of the Reynolds number) and how “kinetic” it is, because it measures the nonlinear turnover at the thermal Larmor radius, which marks the beginning of the “nonlinear phase-mixing range.” The degree of freedom, corresponding to computational problem size, may also be characterized by $D$, and scales as $D^9/5$ in three phase-space dimensions, consisting of two position-space and one velocity-space dimensions.

We note here that the statistical description of our phase-space turbulence requires a 2D spectral space $(k_\perp, p)$, in contrast to isotropic fluid turbulence, which requires only the scalar wave number. We will refer to this 2D spectrum $\hat{W}$ in the following sections.

III. THEORY OF FREELY DECAYING TURBULENCE

A. Dual cascade

With the use of the Hankel transform (7) for velocity space and the conventional Fourier decomposition for position space, we may discuss the evolution of Fourier-Hankel modes of $g$ in $(k_\perp, p)$ space. Figure 3 depicts the simplest example of the evolution of $\hat{W}(k_\perp, p)$ in the freely decaying turbulence.

By applying the definition (7) to Eq. (3), we find that the Fourier-Hankel modes $\hat{g}(k, p)$ with $k_\perp \rho \neq pv_\parallel$ (purple shaded regions marked by “no $E$” in Fig. 3) give no contribution to $\hat{\phi}(k)$ due to the orthogonality of the Bessel functions. In these regions, therefore, fluctuations associated with this part of $\hat{W}$ can in principle cascade to small scales with no
effect on the spectral distribution of $E$.

On the other hand, the spectrum of $E$ satisfies \[ \hat{E}(k_{\perp}) := \frac{n_0 q^2}{2 T_0 v_h} \sum_{|k| = k_{\perp}} |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 \]

\[ = \frac{T_0 v_h}{2 n_0 (1 - T_0)^2 k_{\perp} \rho} \hat{W} \left( k_{\perp}, \frac{k_{\perp} \rho}{v_h} \right), \] \tag{8}

where $\hat{W}$ is given by Eq. (6), i.e., while $W$ is the sum over the entire $(k_{\perp}, p)$-plane, the second invariant $E$ is only composed of the “diagonal” $k_{\perp} \rho = p v_h$ components (dashed line in Fig. 3). In the small-scale limit ($k_{\perp} \rho \gg 1$), we may approximate $T_0 \approx 1/(k_{\perp} \rho)$, so Eq. (8) implies

\[ \hat{E}(k_{\perp}) \propto \frac{1}{k_{\perp}} \hat{W} \left( k_{\perp}, \frac{k_{\perp} \rho}{v_h} \right), \] \tag{9}

which is indicated for the diagonal components in Fig. 3.

As we will see, the decaying turbulence evolves to a state of local cascade. As a consequence of Eq. (9), this state is characterized by an inverse cascade of $E$ and forward cascade of $W$, which can be explained as follows [19].

The energy of a diagonal Fourier-Hankel mode (indicated by the red circle) cascades towards small scales in position and velocity space according to the forward cascade of $W$ (blue dotted arrows). Nonlinear interaction conserves both $W$ and $E$, so the forward cascade must be accompanied by the excitation of larger-scale modes on the diagonal (green solid arrow), which corresponds to the inverse cascade of $E$. Simulation results reported in Sec. IV indicate that this is the universal property of the time-asymptotic state of freely decaying turbulence. However, there are other interactions possible in the transient and/or driven cases (for more details on such processes, see Refs. [12, 19]).

### B. Decay laws

In this section, we derive scaling laws for the decay of collisionless invariants based on some simple phenomenological arguments.

The underlying assumptions are as follows. First, we assume that collisionless invariants are dominated by a single scale $l_*$, in the same way energy in fluid turbulence is dominated by the “energy-containing scale.” Then, in terms of the amplitude at this scale,

\[ W \sim \frac{T_0 v_h}{n_0} g_*^2, \quad E \sim \frac{n_0 q^2}{T_0} \varphi_*^2, \] \tag{10}

where $g_*$ and $\varphi_*$ are the rms values of the distribution function and potential associated with the scale $l_*$. Second, we assume that the evolution of $l_*$ is governed by the inverse cascade along the diagonal $k_{\perp} \rho = p v_h$, so defining $k_* := 1/l_*$, we have

\[ W \sim k_* \rho E. \] \tag{11}

Both assumptions are found to be valid in the numerical simulations described in Sec. IV.

Depending on the strength of collisions compared to the turbulence dynamics, we may derive different scaling laws. In order to quantify the collisionality, we characterize the instantaneous turbulent state via a sub-Larmor version of the dimensionless number introduced in Ref. [17]: It is the ratio of the collision time to the nonlinear decorrelation time $\tau_*$ at the scale $l_* [36],

\[ D_* = \frac{1}{\nu k_*^2 \rho^2 \tau_*} \sim \frac{\Omega E}{\nu (n_0 T_0 W)^{1/2}}. \] \tag{12}

We have taken the collisional decay rate to scale as $\nu k_*^2 \rho^2$ because the GK collision operator is second order in velocity and spatial derivatives [31, 32] and $k_* \rho \sim p v_h$. Note that in going from the second to the third expression in Eq. (12), we used Eq. (11) and

\[ \tau_*^{-1} \sim \frac{c}{\rho^2 \nu B_0} k_*^{3/2} \varphi_*, \] \tag{13}

valid in the $k_* \rho \gg 1$ regime from the form of the convective derivative $(c/B_0) \nabla (\varphi_R \cdot \nabla)$. Note that the factor of $(k_* \rho)^{-1/2}$ in Eq. (13) is introduced due to the large argument expansion of the Bessel function associated with the gyroaverage of $\varphi_*$. In analogy with the microscopic Reynolds number [29], the initial value and the time evolution of $D_*$ provide a natural way to classify various physical regimes.

In the following paragraphs, we describe three different decay laws, classified using Eq. (12). They are the weakly collisional ($D_* \gg D_0$), marginal ($D_* \sim D_0$) and strongly collisional ($D_* \ll D_0$) cases. Here the constant $D_0$ denotes the value of $D_*$ that divides these three regimes, which corresponds to the kinetic version of the “critical Reynolds number” in Ref. [29]. $D_0$ is a universal constant by conjecture, which applies to the asymptotic state of the freely decaying turbulence as we explain below (see also Sec. IV and Fig. 8).

#### a. Weakly collisional case

In the asymptotic limit where collision frequency becomes negligible ($\nu \to 0$), the second invariant $E$ does not decay at all as it is transferred to larger scale where dissipation is inactive. On the other hand, the first invariant $W$ is transferred to smaller scales, and is dissipated by small but finite collisions there. The decay rate of $W$ is determined by the rate of its transfer to small scales, thus

\[ \frac{dE}{dt} \sim 0, \quad \frac{dW}{dt} \sim -W / \tau_*. \] \tag{14}

where we used $\tau_*$ for the characteristic time of nonlinear transfer at scale $l_*$ [see Eq. (13)]. Then from $\tau_* \sim t$ and $E \propto \varphi_*^2 \propto t^0$, we obtain

\[ E \sim \text{const.}, \quad W \propto k_\ast \propto t^{-2/3}, \] \tag{15}

where we used Eqs. (11) and (13). In this limit Eq. (12) implies that $D_*$ increases in time as $D_* \propto t^{1/3}$. 


b. Marginal case As collision frequency becomes large, collisional damping at scale \( l_1 \) becomes important. When it balances with the nonlinear transfer, the two terms in

\[
\frac{dW}{dt} \sim -\frac{W}{\tau_s} - \nu k_s^2 \rho^2 W,
\]

(16)

become comparable, where we have taken the collisional decay rate to scale as \( \nu k_s^2 \rho^2 \). From Eqs. (10), (13) and \( \tau_s \sim t \), we obtain the following decay laws of collisionless invariants

\[
E \propto k_s \propto t^{-1/2}, \quad W \propto t^{-1}.
\]

(17)

Substitution of Eq. (17) into Eq. (12) immediately leads to a decay law of the second invariant also decays by collisions in a consistent manner:

\[
\frac{dE}{dt} \sim -\nu k_s^2 \rho^2 E.
\]

(18)

c. Strongly collisional case In this case we may regard the turbulence to be fairly dissipative. We find that \( W \) and \( E \) do not individually satisfy decay laws as powers of \( t \). However, Eq. (18) applies, as does the analogous equation describing the collisional decay of \( W \),

\[
\frac{dW}{dt} \sim -\nu k_s^2 \rho^2 W.
\]

(19)

These equations imply (with the help of Eq. (11)) decay laws for both \( k_s \) and the ratio \( E/W \):

\[
k_s \propto t^{-1/2}, \quad E/W \propto t^{1/2}.
\]

(20)

In this case we can deduce that \( D_s \) decays in time. It is noted that although Eq. (20) holds for both marginal and strongly collisional cases, the individual decay laws for \( W \) and \( E \) may vary with \( D_s \) [i.e. Eq. (17) is not satisfied here]; however, the decay law for the ratio \( E/W \) is robust.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section we show the results of numerical simulations performed using the MPI-parallelized nonlinear gyrokinetic code AstroGK [37]. All simulations are made in two spatial dimensions \( (x, y) \) and two velocity dimensions (energy \( \varepsilon = v^2 \) and pitch angle \( \lambda = v_2 / \varepsilon \)) [30]. The system size is restricted to \( L_x = L_y = 2\pi \rho \), so as to focus on the sub-Larmor regime. Time is normalized by the initial turnover time

\[
\tau_{init} = \frac{2\pi B_0}{\delta k_0^2 ||(\varphi_{init})R||},
\]

(21)

where \( ||(\varphi)R|| = \int |(\varphi)R|^2 F_0 dR \, dv |^{1/2} \), and \( k_0 \) is the wave number at which initial spectrum is peaked [see Eqs. (22) and (24) below]. Our biggest run (Run D in Table I) used 9,216 processor cores for about 50 wall-clock hours.

### A. Initial conditions

In order to investigate the freely decaying turbulence, we prepared initial conditions peaked at a high wave number \( k_0 \) \((k_0 \rho \gg 1)\) and made a series of simulations for varying initial wave number \( k_0 \) and collision frequency \( \nu \). Six runs were made for two kinds of velocity distribution functions (described below) and are listed in Table I. The different initial velocity distributions allow us to vary the initial ratio of invariants. For each initial velocity distribution, we made weakly and strongly collisional simulations.

a. Coherent velocity distribution (Runs A–D) The first type of the initial velocity distribution is Bessel-like, with a Maxwellian envelope \( F_0 \),

\[
\hat{g}(k, v_\perp, v_\parallel) = g_0 \exp \left[ -\frac{(k_\perp - k_0)^2}{k_0} \right] J_0 \left( \frac{k_\perp v_\perp}{\Omega} \right) F_0,
\]

(22)

where the width of the wave-number peak is \( k_\omega \rho = 1 \). From quasi-neutrality [Eq. (4)], it can be deduced that small-scale velocity oscillations whose period in velocity space is comparable to \( \varepsilon_B(k_0 \rho) = \Omega / k_0 \) are needed to produce a finite potential (see the discussions in Sec. III A). Indeed, such oscillatory structure can be found in the eigenmodes of the entropy potential (see the discussions in Sec. III A). The Fourier-Hankel spectral density corresponding to Eq. (22) is concentrated at \( k_\perp \rho = p \varepsilon_B = k_0 \rho \) as plotted in the left panel of Fig. 4 corresponding to \( t/\tau_{init} = 0 \), and represented by the red spot in Fig. 3. For Eq. (22) the initial ratio of the invariants is

\[
\frac{W_{init}}{E_{init}} \sim k_0 \rho,
\]

(23)

which we note is the same as what is predicted for the time-asymptotic state, given by Eq. (11).

b. Random velocity distribution (Runs E and F) The second type is a random velocity distribution that represents velocity scales \( \delta v / \varepsilon_B \gtrsim 1/(k_0 \rho) \),

\[
\hat{g}(k, v_\perp, v_\parallel) = g_0 \exp \left[ -\frac{(k_\perp - k_0)^2}{k_0} \right] \times \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (2\delta_j - 1) \sqrt{f_j \varepsilon_B} J_0 (p_j v_\perp) F_0,
\]

(24)

Table I. Index of the runs described in Sec. IV.

| Run   | \( \nu \tau_{init} \) | \( k_0 \rho \) | \( N_x \times N_y \) | \( N_x \times 2N_y \) | init. cond. |
|-------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| A     | \( 1.7 \times 10^{-3} \) | 15             | 64^2          | 48^2          | Eq. (22)   |
| B     | \( 4.2 \times 10^{-4} \) | 25             | 128^2         | 96^2          | Eq. (22)   |
| C     | \( 3.3 \times 10^{-4} \) | 25             | 128^2         | 96^2          | Eq. (22)   |
| D     | \( 5.2 \times 10^{-5} \) | 40             | 256^2         | 192^2         | Eq. (22)   |
| E     | \( 4.2 \times 10^{-4} \) | 40             | 256^2         | 192^2         | Eq. (24)   |
| F     | \( 3.8 \times 10^{-4} \) | 25             | 256^2         | 192^2         | Eq. (24)   |

TABLE I. Index of the runs described in Sec. IV.
where the width of the wave-number peak is $k_w \rho = 1$, $p_j v_{th} = (k_0 + k_w) p_j \eta_j$ and $\delta_j$ are homogeneous random numbers in $(0, 1)$, and $N = 50$ is the number of random modes for each $k_{\perp}$. The factor of $\sqrt{p_j v_{th}}$ is introduced to cancel the same factor of the Bessel function in the asymptotic regime $(p_j v_{th} \gg 1)$. As is shown in the left panel ($t/\tau_{init} = 0$) of Fig. 3 Eq. (24) corresponds to a high-density band parallel to the $p$ axis in the $(k_{\perp}, p)$ spectral space. In this case the initial ratio of the invariants is

$$\frac{W_{init}}{E_{init}} \sim k_0^2 \rho^2.$$  

(25)

Note that while Eq. (22) is used to represent the velocity structure of a coherent mode, the distribution (24) is designed to mimic the random nature of the velocity space that develops from forward cascade (see Ref. [17]).

### B. Spectral evolution

The time evolution of the 2D spectrum $\hat{W}(k_{\perp}, p)$ [see Eq. (6)] for Runs D and F (Table 1) is shown in Figs. 4 and 5 respectively.

In Fig. 4, the spectrum is concentrated around $k_{\perp} \rho = p v_{th} = 40$ at $t = 0$ [see also Eq. (22) and Table 1]. The spectral density is transferred diagonally to the lower-left corner of the $(k_{\perp}, p)$ space. As the high-$(k_{\perp}, p)$ components suffer strong collisional dissipation, the upper-right energy content damps quickly. The remaining lower-left transfer dominates after $t/\tau_{init} \gtrsim 20$, and inverse cascade follows.

Nonlinear transfer of the invariants may be directly monitored as is done for the forward cascade simulation in Refs. [18, 19]. Following Ref. [40], we define a shell filtered function by

$$\varphi_K(r) := \sum_{k \in K} \hat{\varphi}(k) e^{i k \cdot r},$$

(26)

$$g_K(R) := \sum_{k \in K} \hat{g}(k) e^{i k \cdot R},$$

(27)

where $K = \{k : K \rho - 1/2 \leq |k| \rho < K \rho + 1/2\}$. Then the evolution of energy in shell $K$ is described as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\nu_0 q^2}{2T_{0i}} \sum_{k \in K} (1 - \Gamma_{0})^{1/2} |\hat{\varphi}(k)|^2 = \sum_Q T^{(E)}(K, Q) - \text{collisions},$$

(28)

where we introduced an energy transfer function

$$T^{(E)}(K, Q) := -\frac{c_q}{B_0 V} \int \int \langle \varphi_K \rangle R \{ \langle \varphi_Q \rangle R, g \} dR dv,$$

(29)

which measures the rate of energy transferred from shell $Q$ to shell $K$. Here $V$ denotes the spatial volume of the domain. The entropy transfer function is defined in a similar manner in Ref. [18] and is recaptured here in the present notation:

$$T^{(W)}(K, Q) := -\frac{c_q}{B_0 V} \int \int \frac{g_K \langle \langle \varphi \rangle \rangle R, g_Q}{F_0} dR dv.$$  

(30)

Note that the shell filtering is performed on $\varphi$ and $g$ in Eqs. (29) and (30), respectively, so that $T^{(E)}$ and $T^{(W)}$ both satisfy antisymmetry under exchange of $K$ and $Q$.

Snapshots of the normalized energy transfer function $T^{(E)}(K, Q)/E$ and entropy transfer function $T^{(W)}(K, Q)/W$ at $t/\tau_{init} = 77$ are shown for the simulation of coherent initial condition (Run D) in Fig. 6. At this time the peak of the wave-number spectra (not shown) is located at $k_{\perp} \rho \approx 4$. Figure 6(a) shows that the energy transfer is (1) well localized along the diagonal (meaning local-scale interaction), (2) has strong positive values at $(K \rho, Q \rho) = (3, 4), (2, 4), (2, 3)$, (3) has corresponding negative values at $(K \rho, Q \rho) = (4, 3), (4, 2), (3, 2)$, and (4) disappears rather quickly at high wave-number shells; namely, the energy is transferred from large wave-number shells (small scales) to small wave-number shells (large scales) around the spectral peak, showing clear evidence of the inverse cascade of $E$. This inverse transfer of $E$ creates the peaked, high-density region at the diagonal of $(k_{\perp}, p)$ space, which propagates along the diagonal toward the small $(k_{\perp}, p)$ regime as seen at $t/\tau_{init} = 19$ and 96 of Fig. 4. At an initial transient stage, however, we observe differences including nonlocal transfer, which is discussed elsewhere [18, 19]. Note that as the contribution to $E$ only comes from the $k_{\perp} \rho = p v_{th}$ component of the distribution function, the transfer in velocity space proceeds in conjunction with that of position space, effectively “unwinding” fine structure in position and velocity space simultaneously. This is a striking feature of the phase-space cascade.

On the other hand, from Fig. 6(b), the entropy transfer (1) is well localized along the diagonal (meaning local-scale interaction), (2) has a positive peak at $(K \rho, Q \rho) = (5, 4)$ and corresponding negative peak at $(K \rho, Q \rho) = (4, 5)$, (3) extends to larger wave-number shells contrary to the transfer of $T^{(E)}$; namely, the entropy is mostly transferred from small wave-number shells (large scales) to large wave-number shells (small scales), showing clear evidence of the forward cascade of $W$. This forward transfer of $W$ creates the broad off-diagonal spectra seen at $t/\tau_{init} = 19$ and 96 of Fig. 4 similar to the forward cascade simulation [17, 18] (see also Fig. 4). However, it is interesting to note the reversed coloring around $(K \rho, Q \rho) \simeq (2, 5)$ of Fig. 6(b), which is located outside of the closest diagonal grids that show forward cascade of $W$. This denotes the inverse transfer of $W$ associated with the strong inverse cascade of $E$; however, its magnitude is less than $1/3$ of the peak of the forward transfer of $W$ [note also the difference of the scale on the color bar in Fig. 6(a) and (b)].

In the case of random initial condition, the initial random velocity distribution (24) is characterized by a vertical band in $(k_{\perp}, p)$ space (see Fig. 5), covering $p v_{th} \lesssim 25$ (note that $k_0 \rho = 25$ as shown in Table II Run F). Most of the energy in the band is transferred to higher wave number and then dissipated by strong collisions as it is not associated with $E$ (recall the discussions in Sec. III A). For larger scales $(k_{\perp} \rho < 25)$, excitation of Fourier-Hankel modes is concentrated about the diagonal component $(k_{\perp} \rho = p v_{th})$, as seen at $t/\tau_{init} = 13$ and 65. As can be expected from the time evolution of the
FIG. 4. (Color online) Time evolution of the 2D spectra $\log_{10}[\hat{W}(k, p)/W]$ for Run D [see Eq. 6 and Table I]. Diagonal components ($k_\perp \rho = pv_{th}$) are indicated by dotted lines.

FIG. 5. (Color online) Time evolution of the 2D spectra $\log_{10}[\hat{W}(k, p)/W]$ for Run F [see Eq. 6 and Table I]. The diagonal ($k_\perp \rho = pv_{th}$) is indicated by dotted lines.

2D spectra (compare Figs. 4 and 5), random-initial-condition cases and the coherent-initial-condition cases show similar transfer after the transient phase (that is, the transfer functions resemble those of Fig. 6).

Figure 7 shows several slices of Fig. 5. These slices are taken at the peak of the 2D spectra at each time. Except at $t/\tau_{init} = 65$, the diagonal component $pv_{th} \simeq k_\perp \rho$ is excited spontaneously with a nearly Gaussian form, which is in contrast to the initial $p$-spectrum consisting of a broad band occupying $pv_{th} \lesssim 25$. Thus we conclude that the peaked excitation about the diagonal is not merely a reflection of a similarly peaked initial $p$-spectrum. Furthermore, the peaking of the spectrum around $pv_{th} = k_\perp \rho$, combined with Eq. 6, justifies the approximation given in Eq. 11. On the other hand, the Gaussian peak is surrounded by a fairly broad spectrum of an order of magnitude smaller amplitude, which is due to the excitation of random velocity fluctuation arising from the small-amplitude forward cascade [see Fig. 6(b)]. Note that at $t/\tau_{init} = 65$, the spectrum has a fairly broad peak because the scale of the peak is approaching the system size (due to a background Maxwellian with the thermal velocity $v_{th}$).

In both cases, the spectra share some qualitative features with the runs reported in Ref. [18] (see also Fig. 7). At large $k_\perp$ and $p$, the spectral density is broadly distributed about the diagonal, which is expected from the forward cascade of $W$. However, in each case there is a highly peaked diagonal component (whose scale is denoted by $l_*$ in Sec. III B) that tends to be the dominant contribution to the total value of the invariants at the later stage. This is the component generated by the inverse cascade and is discussed in more detail in the following sections.

C. Decay laws

The time evolution of the dimensionless number $D_*$ is shown for each of the runs in Fig. 8. Depending on the long-time behavior, we may classify the runs into strongly colli-
sional, marginal and weakly collisional cases as described in Sec. III B. Run B corresponds to the marginal case as $D_*$ approaches a constant ($D_0 \approx 12$) in $t/\tau_{\text{init}} \gtrsim 30$. Runs A and E are strongly collisional as $D_*$ decreases in time and Runs C, D and F are weakly collisional as $D_*$ increases in time. The evolution of $D_*$ differs among weakly collisional runs but approaches the theoretical limit $D_* \propto t^{1/3}$ as $D_*$ increases.

Figure 2 shows the time evolution of the ratio of two collisionless invariants for strongly collisional (Runs A and E) and marginal (Run B) cases. Initially, the ratio is of the order of $1/(k_{\text{0D}} \rho)$ or $1/(k_{\text{0D}}^2 \rho^2)$ depending on the initial velocity distributions [see Eqs. (23) and (25)]. Coherent cases show an initial phase of constant ratio ($t/\tau_{\text{init}} \lesssim 6$ for Run A and $t/\tau_{\text{init}} \lesssim 8$ for Run B), which stems from the fact that the initial condition (22) is almost monochromatic with high-$(k_{\perp}, p)$, and that both collisionless invariants initially decay at the same rate due to the collisional damping of the distribution function at the scale $k_{\text{0D}}^{-1}$. The decay law (20) seems consistent with both strongly collisional and marginal cases at the later stage. The case with the random initial condition tends to take a longer time to approach the theoretical line as the initial ratio, $E/W \sim 1/(k_{\text{0D}}^2 \rho^2)$ [see Eq. (25)], is much smaller than the asymptotic ratio $1/(k_* \rho)$. A slight deviation from the power law is observed at the last stage of the simulation for Runs A and B, which is due to the fact that the cascade has reached the largest wave length of the system around $E/W \gtrsim 0.2$, due to the finite size of the simulation box.

The time evolution of individual collisionless invariants are shown in Fig. 10 for the marginal (Run B) and weakly collisional (Run D) cases. The marginal run (B) shows a reasonable agreement with the theoretical expectation (17). The weakly collisional run shows a significantly slower decay than the marginal case, but still faster than the asymptotic ($\nu \rightarrow 0$) limit (15). Computational resources beyond those available for this study will be needed to unequivocally confirm the asymptotic decay laws (15).

D. Self-similarity of spectra

The self-similarity of the spectrum may be investigated with the approach of Chasnov for 2D fluid turbulence [29]. Chasnov defined an instantaneous length scale of the decay-
length in position and velocity space, respectively, determined by [see Eq. (11)],

\[ k_\ast = \frac{W}{\rho E}, \quad p_\ast = \frac{W}{\nu_{th} E}, \]  

(34)

the wave number \( k_\perp \) and velocity wave number \( p \) are normalized to \( k_\ast \) and \( p_\ast \),

\[ \tilde{k} = \frac{k_\perp}{k_\ast}, \quad \tilde{p} = \frac{p}{p_\ast}, \]  

(35)

and the wave-number and velocity-space spectra are defined by

\[ \tilde{W}(k_\perp, t) = \sum_{|k|=k_\perp} \int \frac{T_{0i}|\hat{\omega}(k)|^2}{2F_0} dv, \]  

(36)

\[ \tilde{W}(p, t) = \sum_{k} p|\hat{\omega}(k, p)|^2, \]  

(37)

and Eq. (8).

When we apply the normalization (31)–(33) to simulation results, one would expect a good coincidence for the same value of \( D_\ast \). Namely, if the theory is correct, the normalized spectra at different times should collapse in the time-asymptotic regime of the marginal case (Run B, see also Fig. 8).

We first show the normalized spectra defined by Eqs. (31)–(33) in Fig. 11 for Run B (see Table I). As one can easily expect from the 2D spectra (Fig. 11 and the ratio (Fig. 9), the spectral peak moves towards larger scales [to smaller \( (k_\perp, p) \)] and we may expect self-similar spectra in the later stage of the simulation (From Figs. 8–10 we see that the asymptotic regime is attained in the range \( 30 \lesssim t/\tau_{init} \lesssim 150 \)). After the ratio \( E/W \) approaches the power-law behavior \( (t/\tau_{init}) \sim 50 \), we see that the asymptotic regime is attained in the range \( 30 \lesssim t/\tau_{init} \lesssim 150 \). After the ratio \( E/W \) approaches the power-law behavior \( (t/\tau_{init}) \sim 50 \), we see that the asymptotic regime is attained in the range \( 30 \lesssim t/\tau_{init} \lesssim 150 \). After the ratio \( E/W \) approaches the power-law behavior \( (t/\tau_{init}) \sim 50 \), we see that the asymptotic regime is attained in the range \( 30 \lesssim t/\tau_{init} \lesssim 150 \).

As \( D_\ast \) becomes large, the spectral slope becomes shallower and the forward cascade spectra with the slope \(-4/3\) for \( W \) and \(-10/3\) for \( \tilde{E} \) (see Ref. [17]) is expected to be recovered. Figure 12 shows the normalized spectra for Run D. Comparison with Fig. 11 clearly indicates that the spectral slopes in Fig. 12 are indeed closer to the theoretical expectation of the forward cascade, for both spectra of \( \tilde{W}_k \) and \( \tilde{E}_k \). Collapse of the spectra is as good as Fig. 11. However, as \( D_\ast \) continues to grow for \( t/\tau_{init} \gtrsim 30 \) (see Fig. 8), the spectral

FIG. 9. (Color online) Time evolution of the invariants for the strongly collisional (Runs A and E) and marginal (Run B) cases. The decay law (20) is drawn for comparison.
slope does change slightly, gradually approaching the theoretical prediction ($\tilde{W}_k \sim \tilde{k}^{-4/3}$ and $\tilde{E}_k \sim \tilde{k}^{-10/3}$) as shown in Fig. 12. At $t/\tau_{\text{init}} = 380$ the spectral peak has reached the system size and the spectra become offset.

V. SUMMARY

We presented theoretical and numerical investigations of electrostatic, freely decaying turbulence of weakly-collisional, magnetized plasmas using the gyrokinetic model in 4D phase space (two position-space and two velocity-space dimensions). Landau damping was removed from the system by ignoring variation along the background magnetic field. Nonlinear interactions introduce an amplitude-dependent perpendicular phase mixing of the gyrophase-independent part of the perturbed distribution function, which creates structure in $v_\perp$ comparable in size to spatial structure (see Fig. 1).

Since our 2D (in position space) system possesses two collisionless invariants [entropy, or free energy, see Eq. (4); and energy, see Eq. (5)], a dual cascade (forward and inverse cascades) takes place when the initial condition consisted of small-scale fluctuations in position as well as in velocity space such as in Eqs. (22) and (24). As the dual cascade proceeds, the peak of the spectra moves towards large scales in both position and velocity space as shown in Figs. 4–5. Nonlin-
ear transfer is diagnosed by the direct numerical simulation, which shows a clear evidence of inverse (forward) transfer of energy (entropy) (see Fig. 6). In the inverse cascade, the velocity space spectrum is highly focused due to the fact that energy comes from coherent structure in the velocity space [see Eq. (9) and Fig. 7], which is in contrast to the broad distribution of velocity scales excited at each wave number in the forward cascade [17, 18].

Following an example from 2D Navier-Stokes turbulence [29], a phenomenological theory of decay is presented (Sec. III B), as well as the numerical simulation (Sec. IV C). Several types of asymptotic decay have been identified in numerical simulation, which match up well with the phenomenological theory using a classification based on the kinetic dimensionless number $D_*$ [see Eq. (12)]. When $D_*$ takes a marginal value, decay laws of both invariants are identified [see Eq. (17), Figs. 8 and 10]. In the weakly collisional regime the invariants decay more slowly [see Fig. 10]; and in the asymptotic limit where the collision frequency becomes negligible (but finite), the entropy (or free energy) decays as $t^{-2/3}$ while the energy stays constant [see Eq. (15)].

In this paper we focused on the time-asymptotic regime of the freely decaying turbulence. Although there is a range of behavior depending on the strength of dissipation, the cases are unified by some common features. The most prominent of these features is the dual cascade, whereby the invariant $E$ cascades inversely to large scales while the invariant $W$ cascades to small scales. The transient and driven cases, hosts to a broader range of phenomena, have recently been explored in other works [12, 19].
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Appendix A: Collision operator

The collision operator that we use in our numerical simulations is a linearized model operator described in Refs. [31,32]. It consists of pitch-angle scattering and energy diffusion, augmented by a few correction terms introduced for conservation of momentum and energy. Symbolically it is written as

$$C = L + D + U_L + U_D + E,$$

where $L$ and $D$ denotes the diffusion-type operator in pitch angle and energy, respectively. $U_L$ and $U_D$ are momentum restoring terms associated with $L$ and $D$, respectively, and $E$ is the energy restoring term associated with $D$.

Specifically,

$$L = \frac{\nu_D}{2} \left( 1 - \xi^2 \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right),$$

and

$$D = \frac{1}{2 \nu_L^2} \left( v_{\parallel} \nu_L^4 F_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right),$$

where $\nu_D$ and $\nu_L$ are collision frequencies and $\xi = v_{\parallel}/v$ is the pitch angle. It is noted that $L$ handles the smoothing in $\xi$ space while $D$ does it for energy, so with both of them we can cover the smoothing in the whole two-dimensional plane of $v_{\parallel}$ and $v_{\perp}$. The detailed expression of collision frequencies and conservation terms are shown in Refs. [31,32].
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