Differential Expression of Insulin-Like Growth Factor 1 and Wnt Family Member 4 Correlates With Functional Heterogeneity of Human Dermal Fibroblasts
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Although human dermis contains distinct fibroblast subpopulations, the functional heterogeneity of fibroblast lines from different donors is under-appreciated. We identified one commercially sourced fibroblast line (c64a) that failed to express α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA), a marker linked to fibroblast contractility, even when treated with transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1). Gene expression profiling identified insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) as being expressed more highly, and Asporin (ASPN) and Wnt family member 4 (WNT4) expressed at lower levels, in c64a fibroblasts compared to three fibroblast lines that had been generated in-house, independent of TGF-β1 treatment. TGF-β1 increased expression of C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 1 (CXCL1) in c64a cells to a greater extent than in the other lines. The c64a gene expression profile did not correspond to any dermal fibroblast subpopulation identified by single-cell RNAseq of freshly isolated human skin cells. In skin reconstitution assays, c64a fibroblasts did not support epidermal stratification as effectively as other lines tested. In fibroblast lines generated in-house, shRNA-mediated knockdown of IGF1 increased α-SMA expression without affecting epidermal stratification. Conversely, WNT4 knockdown had no consistent effect on α-SMA expression, but increased the ability of fibroblasts to support epidermal stratification. Thus, by comparing the properties of different lines of cultured dermal fibroblasts, we have identified IGF1 and WNT4 as candidate mediators of two distinct dermal functions: myofibroblast formation and epidermal maintenance.
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INTRODUCTION

Mammalian dermis contains fibroblasts that arise from different developmental lineages and have different functions (Sorrell and Caplan, 2004; Driskell et al., 2013; Rinkevich et al., 2015; Lichtenberger et al., 2016; Lynch and Watt, 2018; Philippeos et al., 2018; Shook et al., 2018; Tabib et al., 2018; Guerrero-Juarez et al., 2019; Korosec et al., 2019). In mouse dorsal skin, there are at least
three distinct lineages, giving rise to the Sox2+ dermal papillae of awl/auchene hair follicles and the cells of the upper and lower dermis (Driskell et al., 2013; Rognoni and Watt, 2018). The upper lineage gives rise to Sox2- dermal papillae, arrector pili muscles, and papillary fibroblasts, while the lower lineage forms the reticular dermis and dermal adipocytes. The upper dermal lineage is required for epidermal maintenance and hair follicle reconstitution, while the lower dermal lineage is the first to repopulate full thickness wounds and gives rise to cells expressing α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA).

Attempts to define human skin fibroblast subpopulations based on mouse–human conservation of specific cell surface markers have met with limited success, despite conservation of specific gene signatures characteristic of Wnt signalling, cytokine signalling, and extracellular matrix (ECM) remodelling (Philippeos et al., 2018). Single-cell RNAseq of fibroblasts isolated directly from human dermis has yielded markers of different fibroblasts (Philippeos et al., 2018; Tabib et al., 2018; Guerrero-Juarez et al., 2019; Solé-Boldo et al., 2020; Reynolds et al., 2021). While some of the cell surface markers that distinguish fibroblasts in vivo can be used to select subpopulations by flow cytometry, the expression of the markers is often rapidly decreased in culture. Nevertheless, in both mouse and human, and analysis protocols.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human Tissue

Full thickness surgical waste skin from healthy adult volunteers was obtained with appropriate ethical approval (REC 14/NS/1073) from the Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, St George’s Hospital, London.

Cell Culture

Normal human dermal fibroblasts (NHDF) were either isolated directly from surgical waste skin as described previously (Philippeos et al., 2018) or purchased, cryopreserved at passage

2, from PromoCell (C-12302). Supplementary Table 1 lists all of the fibroblasts studied. The selection of particular in-house lines for specific experiments was based on two criteria: availability of the lines at the time when the commercial cells were being characterised; and matching commercial and in-house lines in terms of passage number and age of donor.

The PromoCell lines were generated from fibroblasts that had been flow sorted for CD90 expression prior to plating. The PromoCell lines were from donors aged 19y (NHDF-c19, Lot: 4032503.1), 24y (NHDF-c24, Lot: 4081903.2), 64y (NHDF-c64a, Lot: 4012203.1), or 64y (NHDF-c64b, Lot: 3012301.3). Human keratinocytes (Km strain) from neonatal foreskin were isolated and cultured on a 3T3 J2 feeder layer as described previously (Philippeos et al., 2018). The culture conditions are described in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

STR profiles (Supplementary Table 2) were generated for the commercial fibroblast lines and the in-house lines used in the microarrays using PowerPlex assays (Promega; performed by Source BioScience, Nottingham, United Kingdom). The following loci were tested: AMEL, CSF1PO, D13S317, D16S539, D18S51, D21S11, D3S1358, D5S818, D7S820, D8S1179, FGA, Penta D, Penta E, TH01, TPOX, and vWA.

RNA Extraction and qPCR

RNA was isolated using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). The QuantiTect reverse transcription kit (Qiagen) was used to generate cDNA. cDNA was loaded into quadruplicate wells of a 384-well PCR plate (Bio-Rad). Reactions were run using TaqMan fast universal PCR master mix and TaqMan qPCR gene expression probes. Results are presented as quantitation cycle (Cq) values normalised using reference gene Cq values and displayed as ΔCq or ΔΔCq expression (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The TaqMan assays are listed in Supplementary Table 3.

Agilent Gene Expression Microarray and Fluidigm 96:96 TaqMan qPCR

Normal human dermal fibroblasts were seeded into six-well microplates at 40,000 cells/well. NHDF-F22Br (female 22y breast skin), M50F (male 50y face skin), F60Br (female 60y breast skin), and PromoCell NHDF-c64a were cultured in complete DMEM for 4 days. NHDF were equilibrated in low (1%) serum DMEM for 24 h and treated with or without transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) (10 ng/ml) in low serum DMEM for a further 12 or 24 h. Cells were lysed and RNA was extracted using the RNeasy mini kit protocol. RNA concentration and integrity were measured using a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent) and RNA 6000 Nano kit (Agilent) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The one-colour Quick-Amp labelling kit (Agilent) was used to derive cyanine 3-cytidine triphosphate (Cy3-CTP) labelled cRNA, and the spike-in kit (Agilent) was used to monitor sample amplification and labelling efficiency. RNA was converted to cDNA using the cDNA mix kit (Quick-Amp). See Supplementary Materials and Methods for hybridisation and analysis protocols.
Computational Analysis
The single-cell RNA sequencing dataset from Tabib et al. (2018) was reanalysed using R1 and the “simpleSingleCell” package (Lun et al., 2016).

shRNA-Based Gene Knockdown
One control shRNA plasmid (Sigma–Aldrich, SHC016-1EA), and four target shRNA plasmids (Sigma–Aldrich) were commercially sourced and purified using the Nucleobond Xtra midi plus EF kit (Thermo Scientific). Plasmids were introduced into HEK293 cells using jetPRIME transfection reagent (Polyplus Transfection). After 48 h, the medium was passed through a 0.45-µm filter (Corning), mixed with 1X Lenti-X concentrator (Clontech Laboratories), and stored at 4°C for 48 h. NHDF were transduced in polybrene (1:1000; Sigma–Aldrich) for 16 h, cultured in complete DMEM (DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 450 µg/ml L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin) for 8 h and then selected in 1 µg/ml puromycin (Thermo Scientific) for 3 days.

Skin Reconstitution on De-Epidermised Dermis (DED)
The epidermis was removed from pieces of surgical waste skin and discarded. The dermis was subjected to repeated freeze-thaw cycles followed by gamma irradiation, as described previously (Philippeos et al., 2018). De-epidermis dermis (DED) was placed in six-well microplate Millicell hanging inserts (Millipore). 5 × 10^5 NHDF were resuspended in 30 µl complete DMEM and injected into the upper dermis using a 0.3 ml insulin syringe and needle (Becton Dickinson). NHDF-containing DED was cultured submerged in 3 ml/well complete DMEM for 24 h. 1 × 10^6 keratinocytes (strain Km) were resuspended in 30 µl complete FAD, seeded onto the DED, and cultured in 2 ml/well complete FAD above the air–liquid interface for 2 weeks. The histological analysis is described in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Data Availability
Datasets related to this article can be found in the Gene Expression Omnibus2, hosted by National Center for Biotechnology Information, under accession number: GSE140962.

RESULTS
Identification of a Human Fibroblast Line That Does Not Express α-SMA in Culture
Four strains of commercially sourced (PromoCell) adult, NHDF were compared: c19, c24, c64a, and c64b (numbers refer to age of each donor). These cells had been isolated based on expression of the pan-fibroblast marker cluster of differentiation (CD)90 (THY1; Hagood et al., 2005; Hu and Barker, 2019) and were studied between passages 6 and 10. Cells from this commercial supplier have been injected into patients and evaluated therapeutically (Petrof et al., 2013).

We used a high content imaging system (Operetta, Perkin Elmer) to phenotype the lines (Supplementary Figure 1). Cells were cultured under standard conditions [DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS)], followed by low (1%) serum DMEM (24 h serum-starved) alone or in combination with TGF-β1. The rationale for the switch to low serum was that FBS not only contains high levels of latent TGF-β1 (Oida and Weiner, 2010) but contains a range of mitogens that could mask the stimulatory effect of TGF-β1 on proliferation. Cells were also treated with TGF-β1 together with the inhibitor RepSox, which targets ALK5 and thus blocks the Activin/BMP/TGF-β pathway (Ichida et al., 2009). Figure 1 shows data from three biological replicates; each individual experiment from Figure 1 is shown in Supplementary Figures 2A–L. The statistical analysis in Figure 1 compares each cell line individually to c64a under the same conditions (hash signs) and control (untreated) versus treatment for each line (asterisks). One cell line, c64a, showed reduced cell number under control conditions or when treated with RepSox or RepSox and TGF-β1 (Figure 1A). We speculate that this reflects reduced cell adhesiveness on plating compared to the other lines.

Consistent with earlier studies (Clark et al., 1997; Liu et al., 2012), TGF-β1 treatment resulted in a modest increase in EdU incorporation in all cell lines, which was not statistically significant when biological replicates were combined (Figure 1C), but was significant in the case of at least one cell line in each individual experiment (Supplementary Figures 2A–L). TGF-β1 treatment also led to a reduction in spindle-shaped cells (Figure 1D). Fibroblasts tend to have a spindle/elongated morphology whereas myofibroblasts are more highly spread and round (Hinz et al., 2001). We trained the high content imaging software to determine “spindle” vs “round” populations, based on: morphology cell area (µm²); morphology cell roundness; and morphology cell ratio width to length. The analysis pipeline is presented in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Three of the lines contained 10–20% α-SMA+ cells, regardless of culture conditions (Figure 1B). In contrast, the c64a line did not contain any α-SMA+ cells (Figure 1B) and had more spindle-shaped shaped cells than the other cell lines following TGF-β1 treatment (Figure 1D and Supplementary Figure 2). α-SMA is expressed by myofibroblasts, which are associated with cell and ECM contractility during normal wound healing and abnormal collagen deposition in scarring and fibrosis (Grinnell, 1994; Hinz et al., 2001; Tomasek et al., 2002; Klingberg et al., 2014; Lynch and Watt, 2018). There was a trend towards an increase in α-SMA+ cells on treatment with TGF-β1, except in the case of c64a cells (Figure 1B and Supplementary Figure 2). Figure 1E illustrates the morphological appearance of the four cell lines.

Supplementary Figures 2M–P show a comparison of c24 and c64a cells—two of the commercial lines—with two non-sorted lines generated in-house, F27Ab (from abdominal skin of a female 27-year-old donor) and F48Ab (from abdominal skin of a female 48-year-old donor). The data are from a single experiment and the error bars therefore show technical rather than biological replicates, in contrast to Figure 1. In Supplementary Figure 2, the line with the highest α-SMA expression in the absence

---

1https://www.r-project.org/
2https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/
of TGF-β1, c24, showed a significant increase in response to TGF-β1. **Supplementary Figure 2** also shows that c64a α-SMA expression was low compared to the two in-house lines, as well as to the commercial lines in **Figure 1B**.

In conclusion, we have identified a commercial line of human skin fibroblasts that did not express α-SMA even following TGF-β1 treatment. This line therefore represents a unique tool with which to explore fibroblast heterogeneity.

**Fibroblast Gene Expression Profiling**

To explore the characteristics of c64a cells in more depth, the gene expression profile of the c64a line was compared with three non-sorted fibroblast lines that were isolated in-house and assayed at passage 7-10: F22Br (from breast skin of a female 22-year-old donor), M50F (from facial skin of a male 50-year-old donor), and F60Br (from breast skin of a female 60-year-old donor). Cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS for 4 days, then transferred to low (1%) serum DMEM for 24 h, and treated with or without TGF-β1 for a further 12 or 24 h prior to RNA extraction.

Microarray data were generated from triplicate experiments. Data from each experiment were normalised within experiments using GeneSpring and analysed using RStudio. **Supplementary Table 4** shows the genes that were significantly up or downregulated in all four fibroblast lines on TGF-β1 treatment. A list of significantly differentially expressed genes in c64a cells compared to the other cell lines (**Supplementary Table 5**) was used to form heatmaps (**Figure 2**) as well as for Gene Ontology (GO) terms and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA). **Figure 2** shows genes whose expression was significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased (red) or decreased (blue) with a log fold change (logFC) of ±2 in c64a fibroblasts compared to the other lines in the absence (**Figure 2A**) or presence (**Figure 2B**) of TGF-β1.

**Supplementary Figure 3** shows the top 10 GO terms for non-treated and TGF-β1-treated c64a cells compared with the other cell lines, F22Br, M50F, and F60Br. In the case of non-treated cells, the most significant processes that distinguished c64a cells included those related to development, differentiation, morphogenesis, and proliferation. Similar terms were found in the GO terms for TGF-β1-treated cells, with the additional term “Immune System Process.” c64a cells were selected by flow cytometry on the basis of CD90 expression prior to culture, whereas F22Br, M50F, and F60Br were not. Nevertheless, M50F and c64a cells expressed similar levels of CD90 mRNA whether cultured in the presence or absence of TGF-β1 (**Supplementary Figure 4**).

When we compared the genes that were increased in expression in all four lines in response to TGF-β1, the genes that were more than fivefold increased were KN motif and ankyrin repeat domains 4 (KANK4) and early growth response 2 (EGR2) (**Supplementary Table 4**). KANK4 expression is associated with fibroblast contractility (Haydont et al., 2019), which is stimulated...
by TGF-β1 (Vallée and Lecarpentier, 2019), while EGR2 is a known transcriptional target of TGF-β1 (Fang et al., 2011). These observations suggest that the lack of α-SMA+ c64a cells was not due to a defect in TGF-β1 responsiveness.

IPA (Supplementary Figure 5) shows the top network for c64a differentially expressed genes under non-treated conditions and following TGF-β1 treatment. ERK1/2 was at the centre of the c64a specific signalling network in both cases. Under non-treated conditions, there was significant downregulation of WNT signalling, while when c64a cells were treated with TGF-β1, there was notable upregulation of several chemokines, including C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 1 (CXCL1)-3 and CXCL6.

In summary, by comparing the gene expression profiles of the c64a line with three in-house fibroblast lines, we could conclude that the unique properties of c64a cells did not reflect a defect in TGF-β1 responsiveness and were unlikely to be due to selection of c64a cells by CD90 expression prior to culture. These findings led us to examine the differentially expressed genes in more detail.

Mapping of Differentially Expressed Genes

The most highly differentially expressed genes in non-treated and TGF-β1 treated c64a fibroblasts are shown in Supplementary Table 5. Insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) was the gene with the greatest increase in expression, while Wnt family member 4 (WNT4) was one of the genes most decreased in expression compared to other fibroblast lines, in the presence or absence of TGF-β1. Asporin (ASPN) is an endogenous TGF-β1 inhibitor that was significantly decreased in expression in both datasets. CXCL1 expression increased in TGF-β1-treated c64a, but not in the other lines, which is of interest given the differential expression of chemokines in mouse and human fibroblast subpopulations (Philippeos et al., 2018; Tabib et al., 2018).

To determine whether ASPN, CXCL1, IGF1, and WNT4 were differentially expressed in human fibroblasts isolated directly from skin, we mapped their expression onto the single cell RNAseq dataset of Tabib et al. (2018). There was no single cluster of freshly-isolated fibroblasts with the characteristics of high IGF1 and CXCL1 and low ASPN and WNT4 expression (Figure 3), and the markers did not map specifically to the SFRP2 or FMO1/LSP1 fibroblast subsets described previously (Tabib et al., 2018). There were very few WNT4+ fibroblasts, and they mapped to a distinct population of CD90- fibroblasts (Figure 3). Other fibroblast markers—DPP4 (CD26), ENTPD1 (CD39), CD36, and ACTA2 (α-SMA)—are shown for comparison. The α-SMA+ fibroblast population lacked CXCL1, IGF1, and WNT4 expression, but included some ASPN+ cells (Figure 3).

We conclude that the most highly differentially expressed genes in c64a cells are ASPN, CXCL1, IGF1, and WNT4. These markers do not define a distinct population of fibroblasts freshly isolated from human skin but provide a tool for functional analysis of the unique properties of c64a cells.

Functional Effects of IGF1 and WNT4 Knockdown

To validate the gene expression profiling data, we performed Fluidigm TaqMan qPCR on the non-treated and TGF-β1-treated fibroblast lines that were used for the microarray analysis (Figures 4A–D). Consistent with the microarray data, ASPN and WNT4 were decreased and CXCL1 and IGF1 were increased in c64a fibroblasts compared to F22Br, M50F, and F60Br fibroblasts.

To determine the functional significance of the genes identified by microarray analysis, we introduced shRNAs into fibroblasts via lentiviral infection (Supplementary Figure 6). The degree of knockdown varied. With shIGF1 and shWNT4, a reduction in expression was observed in all infected primary cells (6/6 lines tested). Cells in which there was knockdown of CXCL1 (4/6 lines tested) could not be expanded in culture, and ASPN was not pursued further because the effects of knockdown were inconsistent (i.e., achieved in 4/6 lines tested). IGF1 knockdown
(shIGF1) led to an increase in α-SMA expression, both at mRNA (qPCR, Figure 4E) and protein levels (immunofluorescence labelling, Figure 4G) in the commercial c19 and c24 fibroblast lines, even though the degree of knockdown was low in c19 cells (Supplementary Figure 6C). shWNT4 knockdown decreased the proportion of α-SMA+ cells in the c19 line, both in the presence and absence of TGF-β1; in contrast, it had the opposite effect in c24 cells (Figure 4H). These findings implicate a role for high IGF1 expression in preventing myofibroblast formation, although this was not tested in c64a cells.

To assay the effects in a skin reconstitution assay, control and knockdown fibroblasts were introduced into de-epidermised human dermis and cultured at the air-liquid interface with human keratinocytes. Epidermal stratification and differentiation could readily be assessed by H&E staining (Figure 5). Immunolabelling with an antibody to keratin 14 stained all the viable epidermal layers See comments in supplementary, while immunolabelling with an antibody to vimentin labelled fibroblasts. The papillary (upper) and reticular dermis can be distinguished in H&E stained sections because of the relative absence of mature collagen fibres in the papillary dermis (Philippeos et al., 2018). The distribution of fibroblasts was not confined to the upper dermis See comments in supplementary. Introduction of F22Br, M50F, or F60Br fibroblasts led to an increase in epidermal thickness compared to cultures that lacked any fibroblasts, as already reported (Wang et al., 2012; Philippeos et al., 2018). In contrast, there was no significant difference between the thickness of epidermis cultured on de-cellularised dermis lacking fibroblasts and dermis containing c64a fibroblasts (Figure 5).

We next examined the effect of knocking down IGF1 and WNT4 in four in-house fibroblast lines: F22Br, F36Br, F44Br, and F60Br (Figure 6). Knockdown fibroblasts were cultured on tissue culture plastic with puromycin selection for 3 days prior to injection into DED and were not exposed to puromycin during skin reconstitution. When the data were combined from all four lines, there was no significant effect of IGF1 knockdown on epidermal thickness, although in two of the lines (F22Br and F36Br), there was a trend towards increased thickness (Figure 6B). In contrast, knockdown of WNT4 led to an increase in epidermal thickness in all four lines, whether analysed individually (Figure 6B) or combined (Figure 6C).

In summary, we were able to confirm differential expression of ASPN, CXCL1, IGF1, and WNT4 in c64a cells and obtain evidence that IGF1 and WNT4 contribute to two distinct dermal functions: myofibroblast formation and epidermal maintenance, respectively (Figure 7).

**DISCUSSION**

In the past 5 years, we have isolated fibroblasts from surgical waste skin of multiple adult donors and observed differences in cell growth and morphology that we could not account for simply based on the age, sex, or body site of the donors (Philippeos et al., unpublished). Studies...
in mice demonstrate that even within a single body site, dermal fibroblasts have different embryological origins and functions (Driskell et al., 2013; Rinkevich et al., 2014, 2015). In addition, single-cell RNAseq of fibroblasts isolated directly from human dermis reveals the existence of multiple fibroblast populations with different functions (Philippeos et al., 2018; Tabib et al., 2018; Solé-Boldo et al., 2020; Reynolds et al., 2021). These observations prompted us to explore whether or not we could use differences between NHDF lines that had been established in culture for six or more passages as a novel approach to identify candidate regulators of fibroblast function (Figure 7).
By comparing four different fibroblast lines obtained from a commercial supplier, we identified one (c64a) that failed to express α-SMA in the presence or absence of TGF-β1. We identified four genes (ASPN, CXCL1, IGF1, and WNT4) as being most highly differentially expressed in the c64a line: c64a cells expressed higher levels of CXCL1 and IGF1 and lower levels of ASPN and WNT4 than the other three lines (Figure 7). We showed that knockdown of IGF1 in six independent adult human fibroblast lines (two commercial, four in-house) increased α-SMA expression. Although the degree of knockdown achieved varied between lines, this did not correlate with the increase in α-SMA expression. We also found that knockdown of WNT4 in four in-house fibroblast lines led to improved epidermal reconstitution.

Although changes in fibroblast gene expression and function with ageing have been described extensively (Schulze et al., 2010; Lago and Puzzi, 2019), we could not account for the unusual features of c64a cells on that basis because in our assays c64a cells were distinguishable from age-matched fibroblasts from other donors. In contrast to our in-house lines, the commercial lines were flow sorted on the basis of CD90 expression prior to plating and expansion in culture. Although Korosec et al. (2019) have reported that CD90 is a marker of reticular fibroblasts, c64a cells differed from both CD90-sorted (commercial) and unsorted (in-house) lines.

All four differentially expressed genes identified in our screen are implicated in skin homeostasis. CXCL1 promotes neutrophil infiltration during wound healing (reviewed by Gillitzer and Goebeler, 2001). CXCL1 expression is increased in keloids and promotes keratinocyte migration (Gillitzer and Goebeler, 2001). ASPN expression is increased in fibroblasts of tumour stroma, and loss of ASPN prevents self-renewal of mesenchymal stromal cells (Hughes et al., 2019).

Insulin-like growth factor 1 production is reduced in aged skin fibroblasts, which has a negative impact on the epidermal response to UV-mediated DNA damage (Kemp et al., 2017). All-trans retinoic acid increases IGF1 expression and IGF receptor signalling, one of the mechanisms by which all-trans retinoic acid improves the properties of photo-aged human skin by upregulating prolidase-dependent collagen synthesis (Shim et al., 2012).

Wnt4 is induced by hypoxia and is increased in expression during wound healing in mouse skin (Carre et al., 2018). Wnt4 expression is increased upon TGF-β1 treatment of mouse fibroblasts (Colwell et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2020). Wnt4 expression is increased in post-natal wound healing and hypertrophic scars, inhibiting TGF-β1-induced myofibroblast differentiation and α-SMA expression (Colwell et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2020). However, TGF-β1 treatment had no consistent effect on WNT4 expression in the human cell lines we tested, either increasing, decreasing, or having no effect on levels, depending on the line (Figure 4). ERK1/2 was at the centre of the signalling pathways that were upregulated in c64a cells, consistent with the finding that Wnt4 treatment can block ERK phosphorylation (Liu et al., 2020).

The genes that distinguish c64a cells from other skin fibroblasts do not map neatly onto a distinct subpopulation of cells in vivo, leading us to propose that their properties have evolved in culture, potentially by a combination of selection and the effect of culture on gene expression (Philippeos et al., 2018). In re-analysing the dataset of Tabib et al. (2018), cells with high levels of ASPN, IGF1 and CXCL1 and low levels of WNT4 map to the major CD90+ cluster and are relatively low in SFRP2 and high in FMO1/LSP1. SFRP2+ dermal fibroblasts are reported to be small, elongated cells, in contrast to FMO1+/LSP1+ cells, which are associated with inflammation and matrix deposition.
The different subsets do not have a distinct spatial distribution (Tabib et al., 2018), in contrast to other subpopulations which map specifically to papillary (CD39) or reticular (CD36) dermis (Philippeos et al., 2018). Nevertheless, the cluster with highest α-SMA (ACTA2) is low in IGF1, as would be predicted from our functional studies. It is striking that ACTA2 does not emerge as a marker of any of the major fibroblast subsets recently identified by single cell RNA sequencing (Solé-Boldo et al., 2020; Reynolds et al., 2021), reinforcing the observation that the properties of fibroblasts change significantly in culture (Philippeos et al., 2018).

A detailed characterisation of human dermal fibroblast subpopulations offers considerable potential to develop novel strategies to treat conditions such as fibrotic scarring or epidermal thinning (Lynch and Watt, 2018). A transient decrease in IGF1 expression might improve cell and ECM contractility without the long-term consequences of excess myofibroblast accumulation (Tomasek et al., 2002). Inhibiting WNT4 could have a beneficial effect on epidermal maintenance. Nevertheless, it is intriguing that even though c64a fibroblasts expressed low levels of WNT4 they were very ineffective at promoting epidermal stratification (Figure 5). This suggests that additional analysis...
of the c64a gene expression profile and functional validation of additional candidate genes such as ASPN and CXCL1 would be worthwhile.

CONCLUSION

Although the c64a cell line does not appear to correspond to a known fibroblast subpopulation in vivo, it has, nevertheless, demonstrated the utility of exploring differences between fibroblasts cultured from different donors. Further studies involving IGF1 and WNT4 overexpression in cultured human fibroblasts would clearly be of interest. It would be valuable to see whether the reduced ability of c64a cells to support epidermal stratification can be rescued by simultaneously knocking down IGF1 and overexpressing WNT4 as this would reveal whether there is a direct connection between IGF1 and WNT4 in epidermal maintenance. Furthermore, in vivo wounding experiments with dermal specific IGF1-KO or WNT4-KO mice would be useful to more conclusively address the functional roles of IGF1 and WNT4 as they would enable measurement of α-SMA+ myofibroblast transition with granulation tissue formation, speed of epidermal closure, and any effect on the extent of fibrosis in the repaired tissue.
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