Purpose: Turner syndrome is defined as total or partial loss of the second sex chromosome in a phenotypically female patient. Due to the possibility of hidden mosaicism of fragments of the Y chromosome and development of gonadoblastoma, we evaluated the presence of such fragments in 2 tissues with different embryonic origins, peripheral blood lymphocytes (mesoderm), and oral mucosal cells (ectoderm) using multiplex polymerase chain reaction.

Methods: DNA samples were collected from 109 patients, and primers for the SRY, TSPY, and AMELX genes were used.

Results: We found 14 patients (12.8%) with positive molecular markers for the Y chromosome. The study of tissues of different embryological origin showed the same degree of agreement, sensitivity, and specificity.

Conclusion: Oral mucosa cells have a simpler method of collection that is less invasive and requires less time for DNA extraction at a lower cost.
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Highlights

- Detection of the Y chromosome is important due to the risk of tumor development in Turner syndrome (TS).
- Karyotype is the gold standard for diagnosing TS, but it has low sensitivity to identify Y chromosome mosaicism.
- Detection of the Y chromosome in different tissues is a predictor of its presence in the gonads.

Introduction

Turner syndrome (TS) occurs in about 1 in every 2,500 female newborns and is one of the most common chromosomal disorders. It is characterized by short stature, ovarian failure, and other somatic abnormalities such as congenital lymphedema, winged neck, eyelid ptosis, and low posterior hairline implantation. The changes are related to complete or partial loss of one of the X chromosomes (40% to 60%) or presence of part of the Y chromosome (5%). It has been proposed that hidden Y fragment mosaicism occurs more frequently than described and depends on methodological approach. From a clinical point of view, detection of the Y chromosome is particularly important due to the risk of gonadoblastoma in 27%–30% of women with TS.

Cytogenetic analysis of 30 metaphases is able to detect a percentage of mosaicism greater than 10% (95% trustworthy). To detect mosaicism at lower than 10%, it is necessary to analyze a much larger number of cells, which is expensive and time-consuming. To be viable, a 45, X conceptus must contain, at some critical period during embryogenesis, another cell
line. Due to that, many women with karyotypes presenting X-chromosome monosomy are mosaics, although this might not be detected in peripheral blood but in other tissues.\textsuperscript{6)}

With the introduction of molecular techniques such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), there has been an increase in the detection of hidden Y chromosome mosaicism, which was not detected in cytogenetic examinations.\textsuperscript{7-9) The multiplex PCR (mPCR) technique is a useful and reliable tool for specific detection of small amounts of DNA in a single reaction, surpassing conventional PCR, which detects a single sequence per reaction. Due to the simplicity, speed, and cost efficiency, this technique has been used in several studies.\textsuperscript{10-13) The aim of this work was to use the mPCR technique to investigate the presence of hidden Y chromosome mosaicism in patients with TS who have X monosomy or ring chromosomes and to compare 2 tissues with different embryonic origins, peripheral blood lymphocytes (mesoderm), and oral mucosa cells (ectoderm).

Materials and methods

1. Study population

In total, 109 patients diagnosed with TS were followed at the Pediatric Endocrinology and Clinical Endocrinology Clinic of the Federal University of São Paulo. The distribution of karyotypes is shown in Table 1. The study was approved by the Medical Ethics and Research Committee (CAE: 48099115.0.0000.5505), and all participants signed an informed consent form.

2. Methods

1) Clinical assessment

The following clinical data were obtained from medical records: age at diagnosis of TS, age at sample collection for DNA extraction, number of metaphyses analyzed, and age at gonadectomy.

The mean age of the patients at diagnosis was 9.3 years (minimum of 1 month and maximum of 54 years, with standard deviation of 8.5 years), and the average age at sample collection for DNA extraction was 22 years (minimum of 1 year and maximum of 60 years, with a standard deviation of 11.7 years). Karyotyping was performed with an average analysis of 30 metaphases (ranging from 15 to 53).

2) Evaluation of Y chromosome

Blood samples (3 mL) from the patients were collected in tubes with ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid and kept at 4°C until processing. Genomic DNA was obtained using the modified Bowtell method.\textsuperscript{14) Commercial kits were used following the manufacturer’s recommendations (Gentra Puregene Blood Kit, QIAGEN, Venlo, Netherlands).

Samples of oral mucosa cells were collected using 2 sterile cytological brushes. The collection was performed after oral rinsing with filtered water following the manufacturer’s recommendations (commercial DNA isolation kit, QIAGEN) and scraping the inside of the cheeks with the brush (one for each side) using approximately 10 circular movements. The brushes were placed separately in 15-mL Falcon tubes, and 300 µL of cell lysis solution was added. The collected samples were stored at room temperature (15°C to 25°C) until extraction. The DNA of leukocytes from peripheral blood and from oral mucosa cells was stored in a freezer (-20°C) until mPCR amplification, which varied from days to months.

The primers used for the SRY, TSPY, and AMELX genes and the PCR conditions were described by Barbosa et al.\textsuperscript{13) Classical markers, such as DYZ3 and DYZ1, have been mentioned as isolated cases have shown similar or inferior results compared to the SRY and TSPY markers.\textsuperscript{15-16)}

3. Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis, the IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 20.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA), Minitab 16, and Excel Office 2013 were used. Parametric statistical tests were used based on the normality of the quantitative variables of the main outcome, as tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The chi-square test was used to verify whether 2 variables and their response levels demonstrated statistical dependence (association) or not and to assess the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic test.

Fleiss’ kappa agreement analysis was performed to describe the agreement between 2 tissues from the same patient. The value of kappa coefficient can vary between 0 and 1. The closer is the value to 1, the greater is the indication of agreement between the tissues; the closer is the value to zero, the greater is the indication of randomness (kappa <0.200 as negligible: 0.210 to 0.400 as minimal; 0.410 to 0.600 as regular; 0.610 to 0.800 as good; above 0.810 as optimal). The 95% confidence interval (CI) was adopted, and the P-value of statistical significance was <0.05.

Results

Among the 109 patients with TS, 14 (12.8%) had positive molecular markers (TSPY and SRY) in both the blood and the oral mucosa (Fig. 1, Table 2). Gonadectomy had been performed in 7 patients, and a pattern of diagenetic gonads was found:

Table 1. Karyotypes of patients with Turner syndrome selected for the study

| Karyotype                      | Frequency (n) |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 45,X                          | 101           |
| 46,X(?)                       | 1             |
| 45,X/46,X(?)                  | 5             |
| 45,X/47,X, +mar1, +mar2       | 1             |
| 45,X/47,X, +mar1, +mar2, +mar3 | 1             |
| Total                         | 109           |
Barbosa LG, et al. • Y chromosome in Turner Syndrome. Peripheral blood x Oral mucosa

a classic pattern containing fibrous connective bundles with ovarian-like stroma and no germ cells, with or without isolated inclusion cysts lined by cuboidal epithelium. Such a pattern presented no evidence of malignancy. However, the risk of developing such tumors is uncertain, as not all patients with a Y chromosome revealed by techniques other than conventional karyotyping have undergone gonadectomy. In addition, gonadectomy has been performed prophylactically, with the aim of preventing onset of gonadoblastoma or dysgerminoma. Pelvic ultrasound identified a small uterus and lack of ovaries in 80% of patients and normal kidney and urinary tract in all patients. Only 2 patients presented aortic coarctation on echocardiography. There was great variability in height as some patients had received growth hormone.

To characterize the distribution of relative frequency for qualitative covariates using the equality test of 2 proportions, there was statistical significance in the distribution of the karyotype 45, X (92.7%) and 7.3% mosaicism (P<0.001), as well as in the distribution of the molecular results, where we observed 87.2% negative results and 12.8% positive (P<0.001) (Table 3).

Fleiss' kappa analysis showed a degree of agreement of 1
Table 4. Comparative analysis between the 2 tissues (blood and oral mucosa)

| Tissues       | Blood   | Statistics |
|---------------|---------|------------|
|               | Negative | Positive | Total | P-value | Sensitivity | Specificity |
| Oral swab TSPY | Negative | 95       | 0     | 95      | <0.001     | 100%        | 100%        |
|               | Positive | 0        | 14    | 95      |            |             |             |
| Oral swab SRY | Negative | 95       | 0     | 95      | <0.001     | 100%        | 100%        |
|               | Positive | 0        | 14    | 14      |            |             |             |

(P<0.001) between the 2 tissues for the 2 molecular markers. When measuring the relationship between the results in the 2 tissues for the molecular markers, as well as the sensitivity and specificity, using the chi-square test, we concluded that, for both TSPY and SRY, the relationship between the results in the 2 tissues was statistically significant, showing maximum indexes of sensitivity and specificity of 100% (Table 4).

Discussion

This study investigated the presence of occult mosaicism of the Y chromosome in 109 patients with TS and compared 2 tissues of different embryonic origins. Fragments of the Y chromosome were detected by mPCR in both tissues of 12.8% of the patients, with 92.7% presenting with X-chromosome monosomy in the classic cytogenetic analysis. We did not observe any specific phenotypic expression that could differentiate between patients with and without markers for Y-chromosome fragments.

Karyotyping used the G-band technique in peripheral blood as a routine examination for diagnosis of TS. An important aspect to be considered in relation to the sensitivity of the karyotype in this study is the number of metaphases analyzed in the classic karyotype. According to the latest consensus for TS and in accordance with the recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics, all women with suspected TS should undergo karyotyping with analysis of at least 20 cells. However, this method has identified only 14% (95% CI) of blood mosaicism. If mosaicism is suspected, whether or not it is demonstrated in the karyotype, it is recommended that additional metaphases be analyzed or that more sensitive studies such as in situ hybridization (FISH) and PCR be performed. In the authors' experience, karyotyping of 142 patients diagnosed with TS showed 71% with karyotype 45, X among an average of 30 metaphases (ranging from 15 to 53), a percentage higher than that described in other studies, in which monosomy of X affected 40 to 50% of patients with TS.

Although karyotyping of peripheral blood is generally suitable, a second tissue, such as skin fibroblasts, oral mucosa cells, or urine sampled for bladder epithelial cells, can be examined if there is a strong clinical suspicion of TS when the karyotype is normal or shows low mosaicism. In a study carried out with the FISH technique in 12 patients with TS, peripheral blood leukocytes and oral mucosa swabs and, later, ovarian tissue, showed the same proportion of positivity. These results indicate that Y chromosomal material in these tissues can be used as a predictor of the presence of a Y fragment in the gonads, which is important for identification of women at risk of gonadoblastoma.

Detection of Y chromosome mosaicism is clinically important due to the risk of tumor development, especially gonadoblastoma, in patients with dysgenetic gonads, those presenting a karyotype with X monosomy, and/or those with a marker chromosome or ring chromosome, as in TS. Gonadoblastoma is a mixed tumor of benign undifferentiated cells that becomes a dysgerminoma or other forms of malignant germ cell tumors in 60% of cases.

In this study, molecular analysis was carried out in 2 tissues of different embryonic origins using the same markers and molecular technique (mPCR), unlike most published works, to assess the best tissue for detecting mosaicism. We found the same positivity in relation to the 2 tissues, peripheral blood leukocytes and oral mucosa swabs, with a degree of agreement of 1 and sensitivity and specificity of 100%. These data are similar to those of previous studies; however, those studies used FISH as a molecular technique or FISH and conventional PCR.

In conclusion, although classic cytogenetics is considered the best methodology for diagnosis of TS, detection of mosaicism at a degree less than 10% can be lost, requiring the use of more sensitive methods, such as the easy to perform mPCR procedure (fast, low cost, and sensitive), to detect the presence of Y chromosome derivatives. This should be coupled with analysis of tissues with different embryonic origins such as blood and oral tissue, since tissue mosaicism is variable, to predict the surgical risk of gonadoblastoma. The advantages of using oral mucosa cells over peripheral blood lymphocytes are a simpler and less invasive method of collection, less time required for DNA extraction, and lower cost.
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