Multiple Sex-Associated Regions and a Putative Sex Chromosome in Zebrafish Revealed by RAD Mapping and Population Genomics
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Abstract

Within vertebrates, major sex determining genes can differ among taxa and even within species. In zebrafish (Danio rerio), neither heteromorphic sex chromosomes nor single sex determination genes of large effect, like Sry in mammals, have yet been identified. Furthermore, environmental factors can influence zebrafish sex determination. Although progress has been made in understanding zebrafish gonad differentiation (e.g. the influence of germ cells on gonad fate), the primary genetic basis of zebrafish sex determination remains poorly understood. To identify genetic loci associated with sex, we analyzed F2 offspring of reciprocal crosses between Oregon *AB and Nadia (NA) wild-type zebrafish stocks. Genome-wide linkage analysis, using more than 5,000 sequence-based polymorphic restriction site associated (RAD-tag) markers and population genomic analysis of more than 30,000 single nucleotide polymorphisms in our *ABxNA crosses revealed a sex-associated locus on the end of the long arm of chr-4 for both cross families, and an additional locus in the middle of chr-3 in one cross family. Additional sequencing showed that two SNPs in dmrt1 previously suggested to be functional candidates for sex determination in a cross of ABxIndia wild-type zebrafish, are not associated with sex in our AB fish. Our data show that sex determination in zebrafish is polygenic and that different genes may influence sex determination in different strains or that different genes become more important under different environmental conditions. The association of the end of chr-4 with sex is remarkable because, unique in the karyotype, this chromosome arm shares features with known sex chromosomes: it is highly heterochromatic, repetitive, late replicating, and has reduced recombination. Our results reveal that chr-4 has functional and structural properties expected of a sex chromosome.
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Introduction

The process of sex determination specifies an animal’s sex and activates developmental pathways that cause gonads to differentiate into ovaries or testes. The mechanisms of animal sex determination, however, are remarkably diverse. Some animals utilize genetic sex determining mechanisms (GSD) while others rely on environmental cues for sex determination (ESD). GSD systems can be either chromosomal, and involve a master sex-determining gene on a sex chromosome, such as Sry in mammals [1], or can be polygenic and involve several genes on multiple chromosomes (e.g. [2]). In ESD, the environment plays a decisive role, such as temperature in turtles and alligators [3–6]. GSD and ESD can interact in some species; for example, in Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes), which has an XX/XY genetic system, high temperatures can cause female-to-male sex reversal [7–13].

Zebrafish (Danio rerio) provides a model to understand vertebrate development, physiology, and behavior, but the primary genetic mechanisms that determine zebrafish sex remain elusive. Zebrafish do not have obvious sexually dimorphic chromosomes [14–18]. Specific cross designs can yield predictable sex ratios [19–22] and genetic loci associated with sex have been identified [23], supporting a role for GSD in this species. Environmental factors such as hypoxia, high temperatures, and crowding can also influence sex ratio in zebrafish [21,24–27], as expected for ESD. Thus, both GSD and ESD appear to function in this species. Because sex ratio can vary widely between crosses, stocks, and generations, sex determination in zebrafish seems to be rather plastic and easily pushed in one direction or the other by genetic or environmental factors [28]. We do not understand, however, the primary genetic mechanisms that underlie these events nor how the environment might perturb them.
Although the genes and primary genetic mechanisms that initiate sex determination in zebrafish remain unknown, downstream genes in the sex determination pathway appear to be highly conserved with other taxa and a general model of sex determination in these fish has been proposed [29]. As juveniles, all zebrafish develop gonads containing immature oocytes [30] and express genes appropriate for the development of both testes (e.g., amh, sox9a, cyp11b) and ovaries (cyp19a1a and foxl2) [31–34]. Around the fourth week after fertilization, gonads extinguish expression of one or the other set of genes. In some juveniles, oocytes die and the fish become males, but in others, oocytes survive and the fish develop as females [20,35]. Interestingly, in zebrafish lacking germ cells, somatic cells of the gonad express testis-specific genes, and animals develop exclusively as males [34,36–39]. The presence of germ cells, however, is insufficient to feminize the gonads, suggesting that the presence of oocytes progressing through meiosis is essential to support female development in zebrafish [32,33,40,41]. This shows that post-recombination meiotic zebrafish oocytes provide an as yet unidentified signal to the soma that supports female development [32]. We propose that in zebrafish, genetic and environmental factors act directly or indirectly to regulate the strength of the meiotic oocyte-derived factor [32]. Outstanding questions remain: what are those factors and how do they work?

A better understanding of the molecular genetic basis for sex determination in a species like zebrafish with a delicately balanced sex determining mechanism might lend insight into the increasing rates of human reproductive diseases, such as testicular dysgenesis syndrome (TDS) and polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) [42,43], and provide practical benefits for studies that employ this widely used animal model. To help better understand the genetic mechanisms underlying zebrafish sex determination, we surveyed for sex-associated loci in zebrafish.

In principle, studies comparing the genomes of zebrafish males and females should identify genetic elements that are associated with sex. Quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping approaches in various fish species have identified chromosome regions containing loci with effects on sex determination [2,44–46]. A recent investigation that mapped sex in a cross between the AB and IN (India) wild-type zebrafish stocks (the ABxIN cross) [23] identified loci on chr-5 and chr-16 (loci here referred to as sex-associated region chr-5 [sar5] and sar16); furthermore, the ABxIN study detected variants in two nearby candidate genes, dmt1l in sar5, a paralog of which is associated with sex determination in medaka, chickens, and frogs [9,10,47,48], and cyp21a2 in sar16 (steroid 21-hydroxylase), some mutant alleles of which cause virilization in humans [49]. Additional studies are necessary, however, because sex determination mechanisms can vary even among strains or populations of fish, for example, in poeciliid fish and ciclids [2,50], and the same may be true in zebrafish.

Here, we analyzed the F2 offspring of reciprocal crosses between Oregon *AB (star AB) fish and NA (Nadia) wild-type zebrafish stocks to map sex using over 5,000 polymorphic Illumina sequencing based RAD-tag markers [51–53] that we genotyped in 231 fish distributed in two families. We observed sex-associated loci on the end of the long arm of chr-4 for both of two *ABxNA families and in the middle of chr-3 for one of the two families, but did not recover the loci found to segregate with sex in the ABxIN cross [23]. Population genomic analyses of genetic differentiation between sexes at more than 30,000 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) per cross family confirmed the mapping results. Furthermore, two SNPs in dmt1l previously proposed as functional candidates [23] failed to associate with sex in our fish. We conclude that sex determination in zebrafish is likely to be polygenic and genes that influence sex development may be strain or environment specific. Furthermore, the sex-associated locus on chr-4 (sar4) occupies the only chromosome arm in the zebrafish karyotype that is late-replicating, repeat-rich, and heterochromatic [14,16,18], properties that characterize mature sex chromosomes in many other species. This result raises the possibility that chr-4 is a sex chromosome in zebrafish.

### Materials and Methods

#### Ethics Statement

The University of Oregon Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all animal work (Animal Welfare Assurance Number A-3009-01, IACUC protocol # 11-07).

#### Stocks and Cross Design

Nadia and *AB* (star AB) fish were obtained from the zebrafish facility at the University of Oregon. *AB* stocks were derived in 1991–1992 from George Streisinger’s original AB stocks [54] that had been maintained at the University of Oregon since the 1970s (http://zfin.org/action/genotype/genotype-detail?zdbID = ZDB-FISH-960809-7). Nadia stocks originated from wild populations of zebrafish north of Kolkata (Calcutta), India, and have been in culture at the University of Oregon since 1999 (http://zfin.org/action/genotype/genotype-detail?zdbID = ZDB-GENO-0930115-2). All fish were reared as previously described [55]. The University of Oregon Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all animal work (Animal Welfare Assurance Number A-3009-01, IACUC protocol # 11-07).

Reciprocal single-pair matings of *AB* and Nadia fish generated F1 individuals. Full-sib single-pair matings of F1 fish produced F2 individuals used for mapping. Two F2 families were used, one from the initial F0 cross between a Nadia female and *AB* male (Family A) and the other from the reciprocal F0 cross between a *AB* female and a Nadia male (Family B). Family A consisted of 86 female and 103 male F2 siblings. Family B consisted of 56 female and 96 male F2 siblings. The sex of F0 and F1 individuals was assessed by the examination of sexually dimorphic external characters and the types of gametes each fish produced. The sex of F2 individuals was assessed by external characters and confirmed by the dissection and direct observation of the gonads, classifying them as either ovaries or testes. Fish that could not be confidently sexed after gonad dissection were excluded from further analysis.

**RAD Genotyping**—RAD (Restriction site Associated DNA) libraries were created as previously described [51,52]. In brief, genomic DNA was extracted from muscle using a Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit and digested with high-fidelity SfiI restriction enzyme (New England Biolabs). Barcoded adapters were ligated to each sample. Barcodes were 6 nucleotides (nt) long and differed from each other by at least 2 nt to facilitate unambiguous identification of each sample following sequencing. Samples were pooled, sheared, and the 200–500 base pair (bp) size fraction purified from an agarose gel after electrophoresis. Following ligation of a second adaptor, fragments containing both adaptors were PCR amplified (12 cycles) and the 200–500 bp size fraction isolated as above. RAD libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 using 100 bp single-end reads. We RAD-sequence all F0 and F1 fish used to produce Families A and B. 167 F2 siblings from Family A, and 128 F2 siblings from Family B. Sequences are available online at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (http://trace.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/sra/sra.cgi; accession number SRA049620.1).
Sequence data were processed using the `process_radtags` component of the Stacks pipeline (http://creskolab.uoregon.edu/stacks/) [56], which removes reads of low quality and bins data by barcode. Sequences were then aligned to the zebrafish genome (Zv9) using Bowtie 0.12.5 [37]. Sequences that aligned to more than one place in the genome or that aligned to unassembled scaffolds were excluded from further analysis. RAD-tag markers were identified in the F$_1$ parental fish from each cross and scored in F$_2$ individuals in Stacks. Conservatively, a minimum read-depth of 20× coverage per marker per individual was required for a sequence to be identified as a RAD-tag in Stacks. Genotypes, which were formatted for map type CP (outbred full-sib family), were then exported from Stacks and used for genetic map construction.

Genetic Map Construction, Linkage Analysis, and Recombination Rates

Genetic maps for Family A and Family B were generated in JoinMap 4.1 (Wageningen, The Netherlands), using the Kosambi mapping function and a multipoint maximum likelihood mapping algorithm for cross type CP [58]. Maternal and paternal markers were used to construct separate male- and female-specific linkage maps for each chromosome to facilitate identification of improbable double recombination events and incorrect marker order. Genotypes associated with double recombination events, as identified by JoinMap, were verified or corrected in Stacks (as in [52]). Marker order was initially based on positional data from Zv9 and manually refined. New male- and female-specific maps were generated using corrected genotypes and refined marker orders and analysis was reiterated until suspicious genotypes and double recombination events were minimized. Consensus maps were then generated for each chromosome. Markers with significant segregation distortion (P<0.01), unlinked at LOD<6, or with excessive missing data were excluded from analysis. Individuals with read depth lower than 20 reads for more than 20% of the markers for Family A and more than 30% for Family B were also excluded. Residual improbable double recombination events were identified and treated as above. Genotype data were exported from JoinMap and recoded for analysis as a phase-known 4-way cross in R/qtl using a custom python script (Supporting Text S1). Linkage analysis to identify loci associated with sex was performed in R/qtl [59] using interval mapping and a model appropriate for the analysis of a binary trait. Statistical significance for linkage to sex was determined using a permutation test (10,000 permutations).

We calculated sex-specific recombination rates in Family A from marker positions in the final male- and female-specific maps (cM, above) and marker position in Zv9 (Mb) using a sliding window of 3 markers (moving 1 marker per estimate).

In previous work, numbers were assigned to zebrafish linkage groups (LGs) based on genetic length [60,61]; these LGs are called ‘chromosomes’ in the Zv9 version of the zebrafish genome at Ensembl, and we abbreviate them here as ‘chr’. Subsequently, LGs were assigned to physical chromosomes [18] that cytogeneticists had previously numbered based on physical length [15,16,62-64]. In this paper, we use the designation ‘chr’ to mean ‘Linkage Group’ rather than cytogenetically identified physical chromosomes, in agreement with zebrafish nomenclature conventions. Thus, chr-4 herein (Linkage Group 4) is cytogenetic Chr-3 and chr-3 (Linkage Group 3) is cytogenetic Chr-3 [18].

SNP Genome Scan

We also tested the association of sex with genotypes at individual single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) across the genome in the F$_2$ individuals. Starting with the sequence data aligned to the reference genome with Bowtie (from above), we assigned a diploid genotype at each nucleotide position in each individual using a modification of the maximum likelihood genotyping method of [53]. This method assigns a diploid genotype if a likelihood ratio test between the two most likely genotypes is significant (here at $\alpha = 0.01$), and does not assign a genotype if the test is not significant, based on a multinomial sampling model of read counts for alternative nucleotides. This method implicitly results in a minimum threshold for sequencing depth, depending on the ratio of read counts for alternative nucleotides, because low-coverage sites will not result in a significant likelihood ratio test. Thus we did not explicitly filter for sequencing depth in this analysis. We modified this method to include a bounded uniform prior distribution on the per-nucleotide sequencing error rate $\hat{\alpha}$ from 0.001 to 0.1; that is, the likelihood for each possible genotype was calculated as the maximum only within this range of $\hat{\alpha}$ (see equation 1 in [53]). This approach reduces the error rate of assigning a homozygous genotype when the true genotype is heterozygous but with uneven or biased read counts, as occurs in Illumina-based RAD sequencing (Hohenlohe, unpublished data). Within each family, we filtered out SNPs that were genotyped at fewer than 20 male or 20 female individuals. We then conducted a G-test for significant genetic differentiation between males and females at each SNP [65], and we calculated the false discovery rate within each family using the algorithm of Benjamini & Hochberg [66].

Partial Sequencing of dmrt1

The partial sequencing of dmrt1 from one male and one female in the ABxIN cross identified two potentially functional sex-specific SNPs: dbSNP ss184964113 and ss184964140 [23]. To determine whether these SNPs are associated with sex in our AB fish stocks, we sequenced from 12 males and 12 females, 628 bp of dmrt1, including exon-5 and part of the 3¢UTR and both potentially functional sex-specific SNPs using forward primer 5¢CCCAAACCCAGATTACGGTCTGGCA and reverse primer 5¢TGCGATCATGAAGGTCGGGG. Heterozygous base positions were identified by Genious v5.5 [67] and verified by manual inspection.

Genomic Analysis of chr-4

To address the question of whether chr-4 has, and is unique in having, characteristics of a sex chromosome, we downloaded the locations of genes in the zebrafish genome assembly Zv9 from Ensembl65 using Biomart (http://www.ensembl.org/biomart/ martview/). Protein coding genes were analyzed according to their Ensembl entries. Repeat elements for Zv9 were downloaded from the Table Browser of the UCSC Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgTables?org = zebrafish). Genomic distribution of genes and other elements was visualized using the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV 2.0.34) [68].

Chromosome spreads were obtained from fibroblast tissue cultures from caudal fin clips [16,69], from 4 male and 4 female individuals. For replication banding, 5-bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) (30 µg/ml final concentration) was added to the cultures 6–8 hours before harvesting the cells. Colchicine (0.02 µg/ml final concentration) was added 1–2 hours before harvesting the cells. Collected cells were treated in a hypotonic solution of 0.075 M KCl for 20 minutes at room temperature. The cell suspension was fixed by four washes in 3:1 methanol-acetic acid. Cells were spread onto cleaned slides and air-dried. For replication banding, we immersed dry slides for 30 min in Hoechst 33258 (1 µg/ml), rinsed in distilled water, and covered them with a thin layer of 2× SSC before exposure to a 15 W Sylvania G15T8 germicidal lamp.
at a distance of 10 cm for 60 min. After incubating slides in 0.5×SSC at 60°C for 60 min, we rinsed slides in distilled water and stained in 4% Giemsa for 20 min. We investigated more than 10 different metaphases per individual.

Results

Sequences, SNPs, and Genetic Maps

To identify loci linked to sex, we constructed F2 mapping populations from two distantly related zebrafish strains. We sequenced Sbf1-associated RAD-tags in 167 offspring from Family A (*AB grandsire and Nadia granddam) and 128 offspring from Family B (the reciprocal cross, Nadia granddam and *AB grandsire) (Table 1). RAD-tag sequencing identified about 46,000 tags, each 100 nucleotides long, associated with Sbf1 cut sites genome wide (Table 1). Approximately 37% of all sequenced tags contained at least one SNP in the F2 parents for each cross; of these, 5180 tags were genotyped in a minimum of 90% of individuals in Family A. In Family B, 6357 tags were genotyped in at least 70% of the F2 offspring. These polymorphic markers were exported from Stacks for use in genetic map construction. Following the exclusion of markers and individuals due to missing data and segregation distortion criteria (see methods), genetic maps of length 2346 cM (centiMorgans) for Family A (145 individuals) and 2333 cM for Family B (86 individuals) were constructed based on 4796 or 5794 markers respectively (Table S1). The genetic and physical positions of mapped markers can be found in Tables S1 and S2. Overall, fewer than 10% of the polymorphic markers were excluded during genetic map construction. In Family B, nearly all markers on chromosome 14 exhibited significant segregation distortion but were retained for analysis.

Linkage Analysis

Linkage analysis identified one sex-associated region on chr-4 in both cross families and an additional locus on chr-3 linked to sex in Family B (Figure 1). Hereafter, we refer to the chr-4 locus as sar4 for sex-associated region-4, and the chr-3 locus as sar3. In Family A, sar4 peaks at 92 cM (LOD 28.75, marker ID29464 near Zv9 physical position 4:61,768,809 bp (base pairs); 1.5 LOD drop interval from 91.0 cM (marker ID29449 near 4:60,837,953 bp) to the end of chr-4 at 62,094,675 bp). In Family B, the sar4 peak is at 98.4 cM (LOD 7.90, marker ID32525 near physical position 4:61,422,807 bp, 1.5 LOD drop interval from 96.7 cM (marker ID32485 near physical location 4:60,837,953 bp) to the end of chr-4 at 62,094,675 bp). In Family B, sar4 resides at 43.3 cM (LOD 7.87, marker ID29552 near physical position 3:20,676,406 bp; 1.5 LOD drop interval from 44.1 cM to 49.9 cM (physical positions marker ID29370 near physical position 3:15,234,176 to marker ID29830 at 3:29,193,306 bp)). These peaks exceed genome-wide significance for linkage to sex in their respective crosses (Figure 1).

The marker at the peak of sar4 in Family A contains one SNP (C/G). All 70 individuals homozygous for the C allele at this SNP were male (one or both C alleles inherited from the homozygous C/C grandsire and the other C inherited from the heterozygous G/C granddam), whereas 84% (63/75) of C/G heterozygotes were female, the G allele having passed from the NA granddam through the heterozygous C/G granddam and the C inherited either from the grandsire or the granddam (Figure 2A, Table S3). While it might be tempting to interpret this relationship between haplotype and phenotype as evidence of a ZW/ZZ mode of sex determination, it must be noted that not all adjacent markers, which were also statistically associated with sex in this analysis, support this interpretation. Thus, until the causative genetic factor in sar4 is identified or more closely mapped, it is premature to ascribe an XX/XY or ZW/ZZ role to sar4 (likewise for sar3 below).

In Family B, relationships between haplotype and sex were more complex; some combinations of haplotypes on chr-3 and chr-4 were found in both males and females but others were found exclusively in either males or females (Figure 2B, Table S4). All 16 individuals with the grandsire-derived ACA/CCG alleles for sar4 were male independent of their genotype at sar3, and all 18 fish with the granddam-derived genotype CCG/CTA or homozygous for CCG (one copy originating from each grandparent), were female if they were heterozygous AG/CC at sar3. These results show that multiple genes can influence sex determination in zebrafish and that genes affecting sex determination may differ among strains.

SNP Genome Scan

A total of 36,860 SNPs in Family A and 36,370 SNPs in Family B were genotyped in 20 or more individuals of each sex in each family. We tested association of genotype with sex at each of these SNPs using a G-test [65], corrected for false discovery rate within each family [66]. Information about the significant sex-associated SNPs can be found in Tables S5 and S6. Individual SNPs significantly associated with sex (Figure 3) closely matched genomic regions sar3 and sar4 identified in the linkage analysis (Figure 1). In Family A, SNPs on chr-4 at physical locations 62,076,809 bp and 62,076,876 bp exhibited the strongest association; additional highly significant SNPs (−log10(p)<4.0) clustered between 60,613,763 bp and the end of chr-4. In Family B, highly significant SNPs (−log10(p)<10) occurred between physical positions on chr-4 at 60,762,591 bp and 61,934,267 bp. On chr-3, an additional broad cluster of significant SNPs in Family B matched the peak observed in the linkage analysis. In addition, a small cluster of SNPs representing just two RAD-tags in both families was significantly associated with sex in a 24 kb region on chr-14, between physical locations 37,844,124 bp and 37,868,461 bp. These two markers fell in two adjacent predicted genes (CABZ01075275.1 and CABZ01075273.1) that Ensembl scores as having 226 paralogs, most of which are on the long arm of chr-4 (likewise for sar3 below).

Table 1. Characteristics and outcomes of *ABxNA crosses, RAD-tag sequencing, and genetic mapping.

|                        | Family A | Family B |
|------------------------|----------|----------|
| Grand sire             | *AB      | Nadia    |
| Sex ratio (male:female)| 1.2:1    | 1.7:1    |
| # Mapped individuals   | 145 (82, 63) | 86 (48, 38) |
| Sequenced RAD tags     | 46,425   | 46,313   |
| Polymorphic tags       | 5180     | 6357     |
| Mapped markers         | 4796     | 5794     |
| Genetic map size (cM)  | 2346     | 2333     |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040701.t001

Sex-Associated Loci and Chromosomes in Zebrafish
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Figure 1. Mapping results for the F2 of reciprocal crosses between *AB and NA wild type stocks. (A) Family A, *AB grandsire. (B) Family B, NA grandsire. Sex is associated with a locus on chr-4 in both families, and a locus on chr-3 in Family B. LOD scores are plotted in order of marker position in cM. Significance thresholds were determined by permutation testing and are indicated by horizontal lines (red = 5%, gray = 10%).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040701.g001

Figure 2. Relationships between sex phenotype and haplotype on chr-3 and -4. (A) In Family A, all individuals homozygous for the C allele at Marker ID29464 chr-4:61,176,889 bp were male and 84% of individuals heterozygous at this marker were female. (B) In Family B, loci on chr-3 and -4 appear to interact to influence sex (marker ID32525 chr-4:61,422,807bp; marker ID29552 chr-3:20,676,406bp). Individuals homozygous for grandsire-derived alleles at the chr-4 locus developed as males independent of their chr-3 genotype and animals homozygous for granddam-derived alleles were all or nearly all female without regard to their chr-3 genotype. On the other hand, individuals that were homozygous for the grandsire-derived alleles at the chr-3 locus were male independent of their genotype at the chr-4 locus.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040701.g002
chr-14 SNPs we identify here may also belong on chr-4q like the other originally misassembled BACs.

Partial Sequencing of \( dmrt1 \)

In the ABxIN cross, \( dmrt1 \) from one male and one female fish, each homozygous for alternative male- and female-associated alleles, was resequenced, and found to differ by 162 SNPs, 28 indels, and two simple tandem repeats [23]. Two of these SNPs within \( dmrt1 \) were suggested to be functional candidates [23]. To test whether these SNPs were associated with sex in our AB fish, we sequenced a 628 bp region of \( dmrt1 \) in 12 female and 12 male AB fish. All 24 fish in our study, including both males and females, were homozygous for C at ss184964113 (a C/A polymorphism causing a nonsynonymous (D259E) substitution in Dmrt1) [23]. At ss184964140, an A/G SNP in what is thought to be the \( cis \)-regulatory motif of the 3¢UTR [71,72], our 24 AB fish were either homozygous for A, or A/G heterozygotes, but the genotype at this SNP was not associated with sex (Fisher’s exact test \( P = 0.21 \)). We observed polymorphisms at 7 additional positions (Text S2), but none were associated with sex. Rather, both males and females were either homozygous throughout this region, or heterozygous at all eight polymorphic sites. We conclude that the candidate functional SNPs in the ABxIN cross [23] are not associated with sex in our AB stocks and thus may not function as sex determinants in all strains of zebrafish.

Recombination Across the Genome

A major feature of sex determining chromosomal regions is the suppression of recombination [73–76]. To investigate genome-wide recombination rates, we performed a sliding window analysis of the ratio of genetic map distance in cM per Mb of the Zv9 assembly of the zebrafish genome for both the male genetic map and the female map. Results showed first, that in general, the recombination rate is reduced in males compared to females (Figure 4A, B), thus corroborating earlier results for a genetic map based on male meiosis [77]. Second, results showed that in general, for both males and females, recombination rates tended to be higher towards the telomeres on most chromosomes, also confirming prior findings for a sex-averaged map [23]. Third, the comparison of the rates of recombination across chr-4 in males and females showed that recombination was greatly reduced in males around the sex-associated region at distal chr-4q (Figure 4C, D). This type of recombination suppression is expected of chromosome regions containing sex-determining factors [73–76].

The Physical Nature of chr-4q

To investigate whether chr-4 is unique in the genome in possessing features commonly found in sex chromosomes, we compared the distribution of various genetic elements across the genome (Figure 5, Table 2). First, chr-4q is the only arm in the zebrafish genome that is heterochromatic. Chr-4 (as designated at Ensembl and originally defined as Linkage Group-4 [60,61]) is the third largest cytogenetic chromosome in zebrafish [18] and is used as a standard for cytogenetic comparisons because of its unique heterochromatic long (right) arm (chr-4q) [14–16,64]. The heterochromatic region on chr-4 in genome assembly Zv9 begins at about 20 Mb and contains \( sar4 \) at about 61–62 Mb. This region comprises about 2.26% of the entire genome assembly. Zebrafish centromeres have been mapped [61,78–80], showing that the microsatellite marker Z10280 (GenBank accession G39774) lies near the centromere of chr-4 at 20.3 Mb in Zv9 (Figure 5B). Some of the repetitive elements of the heterochromatatic region mentioned below extend into the euchromatic region at the end of chr-4q.
Chr-4q has accumulated numerous special genetic elements, including 5S RNA motifs, miRNA repeats (especially mir-430 see below), a number of repetitive gene families, snRNA genes, tRNA genes, the transposable element Kolobok-1, and pseudogenes. Cytogenetic studies showed that 5S rRNA probes hybridize to chr-4q [62–64] and this is confirmed by the distribution of the 5s rRNA sequence motif in Zv9, with a strong enrichment on chr-4q (Table 2, Figure 5A, B row 1). The centromere-proximal portion of the heterochromatic region includes a cluster of 55 members of the miRNA family mir-430 at about 28.0 Mb. Only one mir-430 gene is found outside this cluster, on chr-10 (Table 2, Figure 5A, B, row 2).

Chr-4q is enriched for multiple copies of genes belonging to several specific Ensembl protein families. Figure 5A and B (rows 3–6) illustrate four gene families that have 189 to 436 copies spread throughout the genome, with substantial concentrations (28–65% of the copies) located in the heterochromatic region of chr-4q (Table 2). These include a zinc-finger fragment family and others of uncharacterized nature. Notably, chr-4q is enriched in additional multigene families with lower copy numbers (Table 2), such that these families are found nearly exclusively in the heterochromatic region of chr-4q.

Small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs) are enriched on chr-4 (Table 2, Figure 5A, B row 7). This enrichment is due specifically to the abundance of snRNAs U6 and U1. Nearly half of all tRNAs in the zebrafish genome are clustered in the heterochromatic region of chr-4q. (Table 2, Figure 5A, B row 8). While chr-4q is not enriched for transposons in general, it is enriched for copies of the transposon Kolobok 1, a member of the autonomous Kolobok transposon family [Figure 5A, B and S2] [B1]. Other members of the Kolobok family are not highly represented on chr-4 (Figure S2), but 14% of all Kolobok 1 copies in zebrafish are found within the approximately 34 Mb long heterochromatic region of chr-4q (Table 2).

Many sex chromosomes are enriched in pseudogenes. In the zebrafish genome, 12% of all Ensembl annotated pseudogenes reside in the heterochromatic region of chr-4q (Table 2, Figure 5A,B row 10).

The X-chromosomes of mammalian female somatic cells are late replicating [B2]. The incubation of zebrafish cells with bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) revealed that chr-4q is also late replicating in zebrafish (Figure 5C,D). Results were consistent in all metaphases analyzed, and no major differences were observed.
between the male and female karyotypes. Figure 5 (C and D) illustrates a typical karyotype from a female individual.

We conclude that chr-4 possesses a number of properties generally associated with mature sex chromosomes, not the least of which is a sex-associated locus.

**Discussion**

The primary genetic mechanisms that control gonad fate and determine sex in zebrafish remain poorly understood. This gap in our understanding persists despite several decades of intense investigation on zebrafish development and genetics. Developmental studies based on candidate genes show that the zebrafish sex determination pathway shares many genes in common with gonad developmental pathways in other vertebrate taxa. These approaches, however, have not yet identified the master genetic factors that initiate sex determination. To advance our understanding of sex determination in zebrafish, it is necessary to identify these factors. To help identify genes associated with sex in zebrafish, we genotyped 231 F2 offspring from reciprocal crosses in our ABxNA cross, more than one locus was associated with sex (Figure 1, 3, 6). The sex associated loci in the ABxIN cross ([23] loci referred to herein as sar5 and sar16), and classical breeding experiments in zebrafish [22] further support the polygenic nature of zebrafish sex determination. Polygenic sex determination mechanisms have been observed in several fish. For example, gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata), red top zebra cichlid (Metriaclima zebra), and platyfish (Xiphophorus maculatus) all have polygenic sex determination systems [2,46,83].

The genetic basis of sex determination in zebrafish appears to differ among strains or environmental conditions. We identified two loci associated with sex in zebrafish. The sar4 locus was identified in all crosses and was associated with sex in both Family A (*AB grandsire) and Family B (NA grandsire). The sar3 locus, however, was sex-associated only in Family B. Two additional sex-associated loci were identified in the ABxIN cross (IN grandsire) [23]. The three crosses studied to date differ in that grandparents (*AB, NA, IN) and granddams (NA, AB, and its derivative *AB, see methods) from different strains were used in each. Thus, one explanation for the observation of different sex-associated loci in these crosses is that zebrafish harbor intraspecific variation for genes influencing sex determination.

**Multiple Loci Influence Sex Determination in Zebrafish**

In some taxa, including mammals and medaka, a single gene of large effect controls sex determination (Sry and Dmrt1 by respectively). We found, however, that in one of the F2 families of our *ABxNA cross, more than one locus was associated with sex (Figure 1, 3, 6). The sex associated loci in the ABxIN cross ([23] loci referred to herein as sar5 and sar16), and classical breeding experiments in zebrafish [22] further support the polygenic nature of zebrafish sex determination. Polygenic sex determination mechanisms have been observed in several fish. For example, gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata), red top zebra cichlid (Metriaclima zebra), and platyfish (Xiphophorus maculatus) all have polygenic sex determination systems [2,46,83].

| Genetic Element | Ensembl Family Name (molecular function) | # in Genome (Zv9) | expected in heterochromatic region | # in heterochromatic region | % in heterochromatic region |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| ENSFM00500000269617 | – | 417 | 9.4 | 185 | 44.4 |
| ENSFM00610000952917 | – | 147 | 3.3 | 97 | 66.0 |
| ENSFM00250000000002 | – (zinc finger) | 189 | 4.3 | 54 | 28.6 |
| ENSFM00500000273896 | ms4a17a | 16 | 0.4 | 15 | 93.8 |
| ENSFM00600000925039 | – | 12 | 0.3 | 11 | 91.7 |
| ENSFM00600000923389 | – | 13 | 0.3 | 8 | 61.5 |
| miRNA | miR-430 | 56 | 1.3 | 55 | 98.2 |
| snRNA | U6 | 706 | 16 | 460 | 65.2 |
| tRNA | U1 | 382 | 8.6 | 224 | 58.6 |
| Kolobok 1 | 4,445 | 100 | 635 | 14.3 |
| Pseudogenes | 200 | 4.5 | 24 | 12.0 |

1. Expected number in the heterochromatic region of chr-4 (covering 2.26% of the Zv9 genome assembly) if elements were uniformly distributed in the genome.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040701.t002

**Figure 5. Repetitive nature of the hetetochromatic region of chr-4 compared to the whole zebrafish genome.** (A) Genome wide distribution (chr-1 to – chr-25 across the top of the figure) of genetic elements enriched in the heterochromatic region of chr-4. Rows listed from bottom: 5S RNA motif, micro RNAs (miRNAs), four different Ensembl multigene families (ENSMF), small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs), transfer RNAs (tRNAs). The other genetic elements are found in the heterochromatic region (green) delineated by the mir-430 cluster (light blue) at the centromeric side and sar-4 (red) near the telomere of the p arm. (B) Schematic of chr-4 with locations of the centromere, heterochromatic region and sar-4. (D) Replication banding of a cell culture showing the late replication of chr-4q (arrows), unique among the 50 zebrafish chromosome arms. (E) Enlargement of the chr-4 pair; note heterochromatic chr-4q.
Recent population genetic analyses show that wild populations of zebrafish are variable and divergent and that the AB laboratory strain differs markedly from outbred wild populations [84–86]. While both IN and NA originate from India, the IN strain originates from Darjeeling and the NA strain comes from Nadia north of Kolkata; thus, it is possible that these strains are genetically divergent. The AB strains used in the two different laboratories had a common origin in the initial AB strains established in Oregon by Streisinger and collaborators [54] and are separated by only a few tens of generations. Different strategies for stock maintenance or genetic drift may have left the two derivative strains with different sex determination systems. It has recently been shown that different zebrafish mating pairs can repeatedly produce widely different sex ratios, from about 5% to about 97% males, consistent with variation in sex determining genes [22].

An alternative explanation for finding different sex associated loci in different experiments is that the environmental conditions under which the ABxIN and *ABxNA crosses were grown differed in subtle, unknown ways important for sex determination. It has recently been shown that different zebrafish mating pairs can repeatedly produce widely different sex ratios, from about 5% to about 97% males, consistent with variation in sex determining genes [22].

Four sex-associated regions have now been identified in zebrafish. Three of these regions (sar3, sar5, sar16) contain genes known to influence sex development, genes we might a priori consider to be candidate genes for sex determination (Figure 6, Table S7). Bradley et al. [23] considered two candidate genes, dmrt1 (sar5), and cyp21a2 (sar16) in their study. They identified compelling, sex-specific mutations in these genes that could suggest mechanisms for sex determination in zebrafish; to our knowledge, however, no reports yet confirm the causative roles of these genes and polymorphisms in sex determination in zebrafish. Regardless, additional strong candidate genes reside within sar5 and sar16 that could underlie sex determination in the ABxIN cross, including fanc, which lies closer to the peak of sar5 than dmrt1 (Figure 6, Table S7). Zebrafish that would otherwise have become females develop as sex-reversed males when homozygous for mutations in Fanconi anemia genes (fanc genes) [32,33], which are essential to repair DNA damage by homologous recombination [94]. fanc and other genes already known to function in sex development, should be explored along with dmrt1 and cyp21a2.
efforts to identify the genetic elements underlying the associations between in sar5 and sar6 and sex.

The sex-associated loci we identified, sar3 and sar4, suggest important avenues for future functional investigations. The 1.5 LOD drop interval of sar3 contains about 315 annotated protein-coding genes in Zv9. Within this interval lies kdsL7b1, which encodes the enzyme hydroxysteroid (17α-estradiol dehydrogenase-1 (Figure 6). Hsd17b1 converts low-activity estrone to high-activity androgens [96,97]. In zebrafish adult ovaries, hsd17b1 is expressed in Stage I follicles and peaks at Stage II, in parallel with the expression of cyp19atal aromatase [31,98,99]. The expression of kdsL7b1 has not yet been investigated during the times at which sex determination occurs in zebrafish. Our model for zebrafish sex determination is that a signal from meiotic oocytes developing in gonads of the juvenile hermaphroditic stage of all individuals causes the soma to maintain the production of estrogen, which preserves ovary development and female differentiation; this suggests the hypothesis that differential regulation of kdsL7b1 is important in zebrafish sex determination. In humans, mutations altering the Hsd17b3 enzyme, which performs a similar reaction in the production of testosterone and is expressed in the mammalian testis and in vitiellogen ovarian follicles in zebrafish, cause reduced masculinization in childhood but virilization in adulthood; women (lacking testes) are asymptomatic [100].

The association between sex and the end of chr-4 (sar4) was observed in both Family A and Family B. Clearly, the identification of the molecular genetic basis of sar4 is an important research goal, as is the discovery of the way in which it works to influence gonadal development. Unfortunately, an examination of the annotated genes in sar4 does not provide an obvious strong candidate for a major sex determination gene (Figure 6 and Table S7). Within the 1.5 LOD drop region around sar4 lie four 5S rRNA genes, three other ncRNA genes, and 56 annotated protein-coding elements. Most of the annotated protein-coding genes are members of large families, especially zinc finger domain families. Only nine protein-coding genes in sar4 are annotated in Ensembl as orthologs of specific human genes: annotated as PSMB10, PTCHD3 (2 of 3), gdbk, ttd1, pacsu2, arggagp3, KBIP, CKAP4, brfa1, and CCT2 (1 of 2). In mouse, Ptchd3 is expressed specifically in meiotic spermatocytes [101], but the others, as far as is known, are not specifically expressed in gonads.

Is chr-4 a Sex Chromosome?

Sex chromosomes represent a pair of originally homologous chromosomes that diverged during evolution due to the origin of a sex determining locus on one member of the pair [50,102–105]. Sex chromosomes diverge by restricting recombination, initially in the region containing the sex determining locus (e.g., in medaka [73]), and then gradually spreading along the chromosome; eventually, the chromosome accumulates transposable elements and pseudogenes [74–76].

We identified a sex-associated locus on zebrafish chr-4, which shares characteristics with sex chromosomes in other species. A key feature of chr-4 that is shared with known sex determining chromosomal regions is suppressed recombination [73–76]. We found that recombination near sar4 is substantially reduced in males relative to females (Figure 4). Because recombination between sex chromosomes is usually repressed in the heterogametic sex, this result might indicate that males are the heterogametic sex in zebrafish. Interestingly however, it has been proposed that sex determination in zebrafish is controlled by female-dominant genetic factors, as if zebrafish had a ZW/ZZ not an XX/XY sex-determining system [19]. The polygenic nature of sex determination in zebrafish [herein and [23]], might explain this apparent conflict. While chr-4 may be a sex chromosome, sar4 is not the only sex-associated locus in zebrafish. Genes at sar3, sar4, sar5, and sar6 may differ in whether they are female-dominant or male-dominant as is seen in sex-determining genes in cichlids [2]. Additional work must be done to determine how each of the 4 known zebrafish sex-associated regions influence sex and how they interact.

Chr-4 is also similar to known sex chromosomes in that it is late replicating, highly heterochromatic, and repeat rich (Figure 5, Table 2). Chr-4q contains a heterochromatic region that is approximately 34 Mb long. This region is enriched for genetic elements, including 5S RNA motifs, miRNA repeats, a number of repetitive gene families, snRNA genes, tRNA genes, the transposable element Kolobok-1, and pseudogenes. Sex chromosomes often accumulate transposable elements [74–76,106]. Interestingly, chr-4 is not enriched for transposable elements per se, (see Figure S2), but contains multiple copies of Kolobok 1, a member of the autonomous Kolobok transposon family [81]. Chr-4 is also unique in having more than twice the average percentage of its chromosome length associated with copy number variant elements [84].

One interesting repetitive element on chr-4 is the cluster of 55 members of the mir-430 family at about 28.0 Mb. Similar mir-430 clusters are found in other teleost genomes, e.g. on medaka chr-4 and stickleback chromosome-IV. Mir-430 helps to limit Nanos1 and TDRD7 proteins to primordial germ cells (PGCs) in zebrafish [107] and similar results have been found for medaka [108]. Mir-430 also regulates the production of Sdf1a, a ligand secreted by somatic tissues that specifies the path along which primary germ cells (PGCs) migrate to the site of the future gonad during gastrulation [109]. Inhibiting the regulation of sdf1a leads to the mis-localization of germ cells, with fewer germ cells migrating to the gonad. In several species of fish, germ cell number appears to be an important variable distinguishing the development of an ovary (more PGCs) from a testis (fewer PGCs), and the strength of the PGC homing process could control the number of germ cells in the gonad [110–112].

According to our genomic analysis, chr-4q appears to be a mature sex chromosome, despite the fact that sar4 is not as strong of a sex determinant as is Sry in mammals or dmrt1by in medaka. Thus, we speculate that chr-4q is an aging sex chromosome and that zebrafish is evolving new loci, like sar3, sar5, or sar6 that may be variously emerging as new, young sex determinants. It is urgent to examine species closely related to the zebrafish Danio rerio to test this hypothesis.

Conclusions

Our results reveal that zebrafish has a polygenic sex determining system with an important determinant occupying a short 2 Mb region at the tip of chr-4q, the only arm in the zebrafish genome that shares many features of sex chromosomes. Although several loci have been identified that affect zebrafish sex determination, as yet no specific genes have been shown to be responsible for the sex-associated effects at any of the loci. Identification of these genes represents an important research agenda.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Genetic maps for Family A and Family B. Each vertical column represents one of the 25 zebrafish chromosomes.
Each horizontal bar represents the location of a RAD-tag marker on the genetic map. Red rectangles approximate the location of sex-associated regions.

**Figure S2** The distribution of repetitive elements and Kolobok transposons in the zebrafish genome and on chr-4 specifically. A. Distribution of all repeats and Kolobok elements across the entire genome. B. Distribution of all repeats and Kolobok elements across chr-4. C. Ideogram showing the location of the miRNA-430 gene cluster, the heterochromatic region of chr-4, and sar4. D. Number of Kolobok elements in the genome (# genome), in the heterochromatic region of chr-4 (# het region Dre4), and % of each Kolobok element in the heterochromatic region of chr-4 (% het region).

**Table S1** Characteristics of polymorphic RAD-tag markers used for genetic mapping in Family A.

**Table S2** Characteristics of polymorphic RAD-tag markers used for genetic mapping in Family B.

**Table S3** Counts of female and male F2 offspring in each haplotype category for sar3 (Table S4, Family B), and sar4 (Tables S3 and S4, Family A and Family B). These data were used to calculate the percent male values presented in Figure 2 A, B.

**Table S4** Counts of female and male F2 offspring in each haplotype category for sar3 (Table S4, Family B), and sar4 (Tables S3 and S4, Family A and Family B). These data were used to calculate the percent male values presented in Figure 2 A, B.

**Table S5** Details for SNPs found at significantly different frequencies in females and males including chromosome, position in the Zv9 genome assembly, G-test statistic and associated p-value, observed alleles (alleles 1 and 2), the numbers of females and males of each genotype, and the total numbers of individuals scored.

**Table S6** Details for SNPs found at significantly different frequencies in females and males including chromosome, position in the Zv9 genome assembly, G-test statistic and associated p-value, observed alleles (alleles 1 and 2), the numbers of females and males of each genotype, and the total numbers of individuals scored.

**Table S7** Genomic locations of candidate genes for sex determination in the zebrafish genome (genome assembly Zv9, Ensembl version 65).

**Text S1** A Fixer.py custom script that recodes genotypes from JoinMap family type CP for analysis as a phase-known 4-way cross in R/qtl.

**Text S2** Partial sequence of dmrt1 from Danio rerio. Heterozygous positions noted using IUPAC ambiguity code. Orange boxes indicate sequence associated with s184964113 and s184964140 in the dbSNP database at NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/), the SNPs identified as potentially functional by Bradley et al. (2011).

**Acknowledgments**

We are grateful to Joy Murphy, Amber Stars, Amanda Rapp, Catherine Wilson, Marcy McFadden, Rebecca Montgomery, Tim Mason, and the University of Oregon Zebrafish Facility for providing animals and excellent fish care. We thank Cristian Cañestro and Levi Simonson for help with DNA analyses; Nick Stiffler and Doug Turnbull for help with Illumina sequencing, Amanda Kenney for help in recording data for R/qtl, and Cristian Cañestro, William Cresko, Susan Baslam and Julian Catchen for thoughtful suggestions and discussions.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: JLA ARM IB JHP. Performed the experiments: JLA ARM AA IB PH PB. Analyzed the data: JLA AA IB PH JHP. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: PH PB. Wrote the paper: JLA JHP.

**References**

1. Sinclair AH, Berta P, Palmer MS, Hawkin JS, Griffiths BL, et al. (1990) A gene from the human sex-determining region encodes a protein with homology to a conserved DNA-binding motif. Nature 346: 240–244.

2. Ser JR, Roberts RB, Kocher TD (2010) Multiple interacting loci control sex determination in Lake Malawi cichlid fish. Evolution 64: 496–501.

3. Bull JJ, Vogt RC (1979) Temperature-dependent sex determination in turtles. Science 206: 1186–1188.

4. Ferguson MW, Jaenisch R (1982) Temperature of egg incubation determines sex in Alligator mississippiensis. Nature 296: 850–853.

5. Creses D, Wibbles T, Gutzke WH (2001) Action of sex steroid hormones on temperature-induced sex determination in the snapping turtle (Chelydra serpentina). Gen Comp Endocrinol 76: 159–166.

6. Kim Y, Capel B (2006) Balancing the bipotential gonad between alternative organ fates: a new perspective on an old problem. Dev Dyn 235: 2292–2300.

7. Picos C, Dorizzi M, Richard-Mercier N (1999) Temperature-dependent sex determination and gonadal differentiation in reptiles. Cell Mol Life Sci 55: 817–900.

8. Baroller-JF, Guinney Y (2001) Endocrine and environmental aspects of sex determination in gonochoristic fish. ENS: 177–201.

9. Nanda I, Kondo M, Horning U, Asakawa S, Winkler C, et al. (2002) A duplicated copy of DMRT1 in the sex-determining region of the Y chromosome of the medaka, Oryzias latipes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 11778–11783.

10. Matsuda M, Nakamura H, Shionoya A, Sato T, Matsuda C, et al. (2002) DMRT is a Y-specific DM-domain gene required for male development in the medaka fish. Nature 417: 559–563.

11. Creses D (2003) Sex determination: where environment and genetics meet. Evol Dev 5: 50–55.

12. Sato T, Endo T, Yamahagi K, Hamaguchi S, Sakaizumi M (2005) Induction of female-to-male sex reversal by high temperature treatment in medaka, Oryzias latipes. Zoolog Sci 22: 985–988.

13. Barske LA, Capel B (2006) Blurring the edges in vertebrate sex determination. Curr Opin Genet Dev 18: 499–505.

14. Pijnacker LP, Ferwerda MA (1995) Zebrafish chromosome banding. Genome 30: 1052–1055.

15. Daga RR, Thode G, Amores A (1996) Chromosome complement, C-banding, Ag:NOR and replication banding in the zebrafish Danio rerio. Chromosome Res 4: 29–32.

16. Amores A, Postlethwait JH (1999) Banded chromosomes and the zebrafish karyotype. Methods Cell Biol 60: 323–330.

17. Traut W, Winking H (2001) Meiotic chromosomes and stages of sex chromosome evolution in fish: zebrafish, platyfish and guppy. Chromosome Res 9: 659–672.

18. Phillips RB, Amores A, Morasch MR, Wilson G, Postlethwait JH (2006) Assignment of zebrafish genetic linkage groups to chromosomes. Cytogenet Genome Res 115: 155–162.

19. Tong SK, Hsu HJ, Chung BC (2010) Zebrafish monosex population reveals female dominance in sex determination and earliest events of gonad differentiation. Dev Biol 344: 499–506.

20. Uchida D, Yamashita M, Kitano T, Iguchi T (2002) Oocyte apoptosis during the transition from ovary-like tissue to testes during sex differentiation of juvenile zebrafish. J Exp Biol 205: 711–718.

21. Pelegri F, Schulte-Merker S (1999) A gynogenesis-based screen for maternal-effect genes in the zebrafish, Danio rerio. Methods Cell Biol 60: 1–20.

22. Liew WC, Barfai R, Lim Z, Sreenivasan R, Siegfried KR, et al. (2012) Polygenic sex determination system in zebrafish. PLoS ONE 7: e43497.
23. Bradley KM, Breyer JP, Melville DB, Bromwan KW, Kaapik KW, et al. (2011) An SNP-based linkage map for zebrafish reveals sex determination loci. G3 1: 1–9.

24. Chan STH, Yeung WNB (1983) Sex control and sex reversal in fish under natural conditions. In: Hoar WS, Randall DJ, Donaldson EM, editors. Fish Physiology. New York: Academic Press. 171–222.

25. Uchida D, Yamashita M, Kato T, Ishguchi T (2004) An aromatase inhibitor or high water temperature induce oocyte apoptosis and depletion of P450 aromatase activity in the gonads of genetic female zebrafish during sex-reversal. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol 137: 11–20.

26. Wang EH, Yu RM, Wu RS (2006) Hypoxia affects sex differentiation and development, leading to a male-dominated population in zebrafish (Danio rerio). J Fish Biol 62: 895–906.

27. Kirsch HR, Lane PA, Mauser PA, Wittenberg A (2010) The role of the germ line in zebrafish sex differentiation. Methods Cell Biol 105: 461–490.

28. Siegfried KR (2010) In search of determinants: gene expression during gonadal sex differentiation. J Fish Biol 76: 1079–1902.

29. Rodriguez-Mari A, Postlethwait JH (2011) The role of Fanconi anemia/BRCA genes in zebrafish sex determination. Methods Cell Biol 105: 461–490.

30. Maack S, Segger H (2003) Morphological development of the gonads in zebrafish. J Fish Biol 62: 895–906.

31. Wang XG, Orban L (2007) Anti-Müllerian hormone and its developmental origins. Rev Endocr Metab Disord 8: 127–141.

32. Rodríguez-María A, Canestro C, BreMiller RA, Nguyen-Johnson A, Asakawa K, et al. (2010) Sex reversal in zebrafish fancl mutants results from Tp53-mediated germ cell apoptosis. PLoS Genet 6: e1001034.

33. Lima-Carrilho MC, Wilson C, Tobias T, Castelo B, Remulla RA, et al. (2011) Roles of brca2 (brca2) in oocyte nuclear architecture, gametogenesis, gonad tumors, and genome stability in zebrafish. PLoS Genet 7: e1001357.

34. Siegfried KR, Nusleová-Volárová C (2008) Germ line control of female sex determination in zebrafish. Dev Biol 324: 122–129.

35. Wang X, Barfitt R, Slepsova-Friedrich I, Orban L (2007) The timing and extent of ‘juvenile ovary’ phase are highly variable during zebrafish testis differentiation. J Fish Biol 70: 33–44.

36. Slanschek K, Scholler J, de la Cuerva-Mendez G, Rax E (2005) Development without germ cells: the role of the germ line in zebrafish sex differentiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 4074–4079.

37. Draper BW, McCallum CM, Moens CB (2007) nanoL is required to maintain oocyte production in adult zebrafish. Dev Dyn 236: 1329–1339.

38. Rodriguez-Mari A, Castelos C, BreMillar RA, Nguyen-Johnson A, Asakawa K, et al. (2010) Sex reversal in zebrafish fancl mutants results from Tp53-mediated germ cell apoptosis. PLoS Genet 6: e1001034.

39. Considine JR, Saha SP, Dupont S, Acheson DJW, James DT, et al. (2011) Incretin effects of a low-carbohydrate high-fat diet. N Engl J Med 366: 388–397.

40. Shive HR, West RR, Embree LJ, Azuma M, Sood R, et al. (2010) Genomic silencing in zebrafish. Cell 129: 69–82.

41. Wang J, Wang J, Wang Y, Wang X, Wang Y, et al. (2011) Quantitative trait loci involved in sex determination and body growth of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Genes Genom 33: 107–114.

42. Cnaani A, Lee BY, Zilberman N, Ozouf-Coastaz C, Hulata G, et al. (2008) A microRNA signature is required for oocyte development and piRNA stability in zebrafish. Nat Cell Biol 10: 1–10.

43. Drummond AJ, Ashton B, Buxton S, Chengng S, Cooper A, et al. (2011) Population genomics of parallel adaptation in threespine stickleback using de novo genome assemblies. Genome Res 21: 1121–1132.

44. Yoon J, Ohgawara S, Imai Y, Yamanaka N, Seki T, et al. (2011) Characterization of zebrafish primordial germ cells using in situ and fluorescence hybridization with 18S rRNA genes in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Genomics 103: 1435–1452.

45. Hawkins P, Soltani B, de la Cuerva-Mendez G, Rax E (2005) Development without germ cells: the role of the germ line in zebrafish sex differentiation. J Fish Biol 62: 895–906.

46. Alberts B, Johnson A, Lewis J, Raff M, Roberts K, et al. (2010) Molecular biology of the cell. 6th edition. New York: Garland Science.

47. Smith CA, Roeszler KN, Ohnesorg T, Cummins DM, Farlie PG, et al. (2009) Genome evolution and meiotic maps by massively parallel DNA sequencing: spotted gar, an outgroup for the teleost genome duplication. Genetics 188: 1–10.

48. Hohenlohe PA, Basham S, Eteor PD, Stiffer N, Johnson EA, et al. (2011) Population genomics of parallel adaptation in threespine stickleback using sequenced RAD tags. PLoS Genet 6: e1000662.

49. Baumgartner-Parzer SM, Schulze E, Waldhauer W, Pauschenwein S, Rondot P, et al. (2011) Centromere-linkage analysis and consolidation of the zebrafish genetic map. Genetics 142: 1277–1288.

50. Van Ooijen JW (2006) JoinMap 4, Software for the calculation of genetic linkage maps in experimental populations. Wageningen, Netherlands: Kyazma BV.

51. Broman KW, Wu H, Sew S, Churchill GA (2003) R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental crosses. Bioinformatics 19: 889–890.

52. Grabowska J, Shiver AL, Atwood TS, Currey MC, Shiver AL, et al. (2008) Rapid microsatellite markers for linkage groups 3, 4, 6, 7, 13, and 20 of zebrafish (Danio rerio). Genetics 175: 955–957.

53. Mohideen MA, Moore JL, Cheng KC (2000) Centromere-linked microsatellite markers for linkage groups 3, 4, 6, 7, 13, and 20 of zebrafish (Danio rerio). Genetics 150: 1075–1080.

54. Peichel CL, Ross JA, Matson CK, Dickson M, Grinnood J, et al. (2004) The master sex-determination locus in threespine sticklebacks is on a nascent Y chromosome. Curr Biol 14: 1416–1424.

55. Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B, Marais G (2005) Steps in the evolution of sex chromosomes. Heredity 95: 118–128.

56. Genetics 142: 1277–1288.

57. Genetics 143: 1435–1452.

58. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 330: 950–957.

59. Methods Cell Biol 105: 461–490.

60. FEBS J 278: 1020–1026.

61. FEBS J 278: 1020–1026.

62. Herpin A, Nakamura S, Wagner TU, Tanaka M, Scarpel M (2009) A highly conserved cis-regulatory motif directs gonadal sexual differentiation of zebrafish (Danio rerio). Biochem Biophys Res Commun 370: 337–341.

63. en/18513673.html.

64. De novo genome assembly and fluorescence hybridization with 18S rRNA genes in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Genomics 103: 1435–1452.
through copy number variant analysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109: 529–534.

Engeszer RE, Patterson LB, Rao AA, Parichy DM (2007) Zebrafish in the wild: a review of natural history and new notes from the field. Zebrafish 4: 21–40.

Whiteley AR, Bhat A, Martins EP, Mayden RL, Arunachalam M, et al. (2011) Population genomics of wild and laboratory zebrafish (Danio rerio). Mol Ecol.

Abozaiz H, Weselsky S, Horsten-Schwark G (2012) Elevated temperature applied during gonadal transformation leads to male bias in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Sex Dev [Epub ahead of print]: DOI: 10.1159/000336297.

Winge O (1930) On the occurrence of XX males in Lebistes. J Genet 23: 69–76.

Nayudu PL (1979) Genetic studies of melanic color patterns, and atypical sex determination in the guppy, Pseudecia reticulata. Copelia 2: 225–231.

Kondo M, Nanda I, Hornung U, Schmid M, Schartl M (2004) Evolutionary origin of the medaka Y chromosome. PLoS Genet 5: e1000391.

Davidson WS, Huang TK, Fujiki K, von Schalburg KR, Koop BF (2009) The sex determining loci and sex chromosomes in the family salmonidae. Sex Dev 3: 78–87.

Kee Y, D’Andrea AD (2010) Expanded roles of the Fanconi anemia pathway in vertebrate sex and sex chromosomes. Annu Rev Genet 42: 565–586.

Tóth CA, Hoffman M, Wengel D, Dreyer C (2009) Linkage analysis reveals the independent origin of Poeciliid sex chromosomes and a case of atypical sex inheritance in the guppy (Pseudecia reticulata). Genetics 182: 365–374.

Nanda I, Vellff JN, Weiss S, Korting C, Froeschauer A, et al. (2008) Amplification of a long terminal repeat-like element on the Y chromosome of the platyfish, Xiphophorus maculatus. Chromosoma 109: 173–180.

Mishima Y, Giráldez AJ, Takeda Y, Fujiwara T, Sakamoto H, et al. (2006) Differential regulation of germine mRNAs in soma and germ cells by zebrafish miR-430. Curr Biol 16: 2135–2142.

Skaletsky H, Kuroda-Kawaguchi T, Minx PJ, Cordum HS, Hillier L, et al. (2003) The male-specific region of the human Y chromosome is a mosaic of discrete sequence classes. Nature 423: 825–837.

Matsubara K, Tanii H, Toriba M, Yamada K, Nishida-Umehara C, et al. (2006) Evidence for different origin of sex chromosomes in snakes, birds, and mammals and step-wise differentiation of snake sex chromosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 18190–18195.

Marshall Graves JA (2008) Weird animal genomes and the evolution of vertebrate sex and sex chromosomes. Annu Rev Genet 42: 565–586.

Charlton K, Hoffman M, Wengel D, Dreyer C (2009) The independent origin of Poeciliid sex chromosomes and a case of atypical sex inheritance in the guppy (Pseudecia reticulata). Genetics 182: 365–374.

Nanda I, Vellff JN, Weiss S, Korting C, Froeschauer A, et al. (2008) Amplification of a long terminal repeat-like element on the Y chromosome of the platyfish, Xiphophorus maculatus. Chromosoma 109: 173–180.

Mishima Y, Giráldez AJ, Takeda Y, Fujiwara T, Sakamoto H, et al. (2006) Differential regulation of germine mRNAs in soma and germ cells by zebrafish miR-430. Curr Biol 16: 2135–2142.

Skaletsky H, Kuroda-Kawaguchi T, Minx PJ, Cordum HS, Hillier L, et al. (2003) The male-specific region of the human Y chromosome is a mosaic of discrete sequence classes. Nature 423: 825–837.

Staton AA, Knaut H, Giráldez AJ (2011) miRNA regulation of Sdf1 chemokine signaling provides genetic robustness to germ cell migration. Nat Genet 43: 49–58.

Mishima Y, Giráldez AJ, Takeda Y, Fujiwara T, Sakamoto H, et al. (2006) Differential regulation of germine mRNAs in soma and germ cells by zebrafish miR-430. Curr Biol 16: 2135–2142.

Tani S, Kasakabe R, Naruse K, Sakamoto H, Inoue K (2010) Genomic organization and embryonic expression of miR-430 in medaka (Oryzias latipes): insights into the post-transcriptional gene regulation in early development. Gene 449: 41–49.

Stanton AA, Knaut H, Giráldez AJ (2011) miRNA regulation of Sdf1 chemokine signaling provides genetic robustness to germ cell migration. Nat Genet 43: 49–58.

Lewis ZR, McClellan MC, Postlethwaite JH, Cresko WA, Kaplan RH (2008) Female-specific increase in primordial germ cells marks sex differentiation in three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus). J Morphol 269: 909–921.

Quirk JG, Hamilton JB (1973) Number of germ cells in known male and known female gonads of vertebrate embryos (Oryzias latipes). Science 180: 963–964.

Kobayashi T, Matsuda M, Kajiwara-Kobayashi H, Suzuki A, Saito N, et al. (2004) Two DM domain genes, DM1 and DM1, involved in testicular differentiation and development in the medaka, Oryzias latipes. Dev Dyn 231: 518–526.