High-pressure annealing driven nanocrystal formation in Zr\textsubscript{50}Cu\textsubscript{40}Al\textsubscript{10} metallic glass and strength increase
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Pressure-induced structural changes in metallic glasses have been of great interest as they are expected to open new ways to synthesize novel materials with unexpected properties. Here, we investigated the effect of simultaneous high-pressure and high-temperature treatment on the structure and properties of a Zr\textsubscript{50}Cu\textsubscript{40}Al\textsubscript{10} metallic glass by in situ X-ray structure measurement and property analysis of the final material. We found the unusual formation of Cu-rich nanocrystals at high pressure and temperature, accompanied by significant strength and hardness enhancement. Based on reverse Monte Carlo modeling and molecular dynamics simulations, the structure of the metallic glass changed to a densely packed, chemically uniform configuration with high short-range and medium-range ordering at high pressure and temperature. These results show that high-pressure annealing processes provide a new way to improve and control properties without changing their composition.
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Metallic glasses (MGs), including “bulk MGs (BMGs)”, have attracted considerable interest in the field of materials science for scientific research and industrial requirements because of their excellent properties, such as high corrosion resistance, high strength, large elastic elongation, and good magnetic softness. High pressure has received attention as a useful tool to modify the random atomic configuration of MGs, which influences on the glass transition ($T_g$), crystallization ($T_c$) temperatures, and physical properties.

The discovery of pressure-induced structural changes in MGs attracted the great interest of scientists in the field of condensed matter physics as a peculiar phenomenon. An excellent example of a structural change in MGs is a pressure-induced amorphous-to-amorphous transition, i.e., polymorphism. The pressure-induced polymorphism was first reported in a Ce$_{53}$Al$_{47}$ MG by Sheng et al., using the synchrotron X-ray diffraction technique. Subsequently, similar polymorphic transitions have been observed in other lanthanide-based MGs. This transition has been explained by the delocalization of 4f electrons in lanthanide elements. However, the polymorphic transition of the lanthanide-based MGs induced by room-temperature compression is a reversible process and the high-pressure structure formed after the polymorphic transition is unquenchable to the ambient-pressure condition.

Dmowski et al. proposed that liquid-to-liquid transition occurs in Zr-based MGs, when they are heated to the supercooled liquid state under high-pressure conditions (4–8 GPa). Notably, the high-pressure structure reported in Dmowski et al. is quenchable to room-pressure condition. The discovery of the quenchable high-pressure and high-temperature amorphous structure in Zr-based MGs is expected to open a new way to synthesize new MG materials, with unexpected structural and physical properties. However, the previous study focused on the nature of the liquid-to-liquid transition and did not investigate the influence of structural change from the viewpoint of quenchable materials properties. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations predicted that high-pressure annealing of the Zr$_{50}$Cu$_{40}$Al$_{10}$ MG causes better plastic performance compared to the as-cast state, and larger strength and stiffness compared to the glass treated by conventional ambient-pressure annealing. In fact, we confirmed that the quenchable high-pressure and high-temperature structure in Zr$_{50}$Cu$_{40}$Al$_{10}$ BMG proposed by Dmowski et al. has improved strength and ductility with the ultra-high density. Therefore, previous high-pressure and high-temperature studies suggest that high-pressure annealing provides potential for producing a new type of BMGs with exceptional mechanical properties, which cannot otherwise be achieved by conventional synthesis methods at an ambient pressure. However, because the pressure and temperature conditions of previous studies were limited, the effects of high-pressure annealing, particularly the behavior of BMGs at higher temperatures, mechanical properties, and crystallization process, as well as structures, are still not clearly understood.

In this study, we utilize in situ synchrotron X-ray diffraction by combined it with reverse Monte Carlo (RMC) modeling, a MD simulation, and transmission electron microscope observations and analyze the mechanical properties of Zr$_{50}$Cu$_{40}$Al$_{10}$ BMG treated at high pressure and temperature, in order to investigate the effect of high-pressure annealing on the structure and properties of the BMG. We found the unusual formation of densely distributed Cu-rich nanocrystallized Zr$_{50}$Cu$_{40}$Al$_{10}$ BMG above the temperature of the structural change at a high pressure of 5.5 GPa. The RMC modeling and MD simulation showed that the high-pressure and high-temperature treatment increases the number of Zr-Cu pairs in Zr$_{50}$Cu$_{40}$Al$_{10}$ BMG, resulting in structural changes to a relatively chemical-uniform configuration with high short-range and medium-range orderings. The Cu-rich nanocrystal may be nucleated based on the orderings formed by the structural change at high pressure and high temperature. The nanocrystallization significantly improved the hardness and strength of the Zr$_{50}$Cu$_{40}$Al$_{10}$ BMG, which cannot be achieved by conventional ambient-pressure nanocrystallization process. High-pressure and high-temperature annealing process provides a great potential for creating unusual textures in MGs, leading to new procedures for the improvement and control of properties without changing the composition.

**Results**

**In situ structure measurement at high pressures.** Figure 1 shows the experimental pressure and temperature conditions, and the states of the Zr$_{50}$Cu$_{40}$Al$_{10}$ BMG sample. This was investigated via in situ structure measurement under high-pressure conditions using multi-angle energy dispersive X-ray diffraction (EDXD) technique at the beamline 16-BM-B in the Advanced Photon Source, USA. At 1.0 GPa (Run-1), the structure factors, S(Q), of the Zr$_{50}$Cu$_{40}$Al$_{10}$ BMG show the typical amorphous feature with no notable change until the crystallization temperature of 800 K.

On the contrary, we observe a considerable change in S(Q) at 5.5 GPa (Run-2). The S(Q) up to 850 K is comparable to that of the as-cast glass (Fig. 2b), whereas the broad peaks of S(Q) become significantly sharper and clear at 900 K, with the appearance of oscillations $>7$ Å$^{-1}$ (Fig. 2b). The sharpening of the broad peaks of S(Q) is generally linked to the ordering of the disordered amorphous structure. Sharp peaks from crystals are observed by further heating the sample to 950 K. To confirm the repeatability of the change in S(Q) and possible quench ability of the sample after the change at 900 K, we conducted an additional experiment at 5.5 GPa up to 870 K (Run-3). Similar to Run-2, we do not observe any major changes in S(Q) up to 850 K and the
Based on a previous study\textsuperscript{17}, the two glass exhibits the double-peak feature of the ambient-pressure and room-temperature condition (uppermost the Fourier transform of the 5.5 GPa (Fig. 3). The change of the previous study\textsuperscript{14} (Fig. 1). Hereafter, we denote the glass between those of the liquid-to-liquid phase transition proposed by the and temperature conditions of the change are consistent with remains at low-temperature conditions <700 K at 5.5 GPa, (~3.1 Å) correspond to the first neighbor distances of Zr–Cu and Zr–Zr atomic pairs, respectively. The double-peak feature remains at low-temperature conditions <700 K at 5.5 GPa, whereas it changes to the single-peak feature at 800 K and 5.5 GPa (Fig. 3). We also observe similar g(r) at 830 and 850 K at 5.5 GPa (Fig. 3). The change of the first peak of g(r) from the double-peak feature to the single-peak feature, and the pressure and temperature conditions of the change are consistent with those of the liquid-to-liquid phase transition proposed by the previous study\textsuperscript{14} (Fig. 1). Hereafter, we denote the glass between 800 and 850 K at 5.5 GPa as a high-pressure quenched (HPQ) glass, as per the previous study\textsuperscript{14}.

In addition, we found that g(r) changes considerably by further heating to 870 K. The first (~3 Å), second (~5 Å), and third (~7.5 Å) broad peaks become significantly sharper, accompanied by an increase in those intensities, and the small subpeaks at ~6 Å and ~9 Å become distinct (Fig. 3). The feature of g(r) is preserved even after depressurization and cooling to ambient-pressure and room-temperature condition (uppermost g(r) in Fig. 3).

To the best of our knowledge, the S(Q) observed at 5.5 GPa and 870 and 900 K (Fig. 2b, c), with the high-intensity relatively sharp peaks without sharp Bragg’s peaks from the crystals, has not been reported by previous ambient-pressure studies. We also did not observe such unique S(Q) and g(r) patterns at 1.0 GPa, regardless of the same heating step as the experiments at 5.5 GPa (50 K steps; Fig. 2a). Therefore, the high pressure of 5.5 GPa enables us to observe the Zr$_{50}$Cu$_{40}$Al$_{10}$ sample showing the unique S(Q) and g(r) patterns that are hardly obtainable at ambient and low pressures, such as 1.0 GPa. We denote the glass between 870 and 900 K at 5.5 GPa as the post HPQ (pHPQ) glass.

**Fig. 2 Structure factors S(Q) of Zr$_{50}$Cu$_{40}$Al$_{10}$ BMG at high pressures.** a S(Q) at 1.0 GPa (Run-1). b S(Q) at 5.5 GPa up to the temperature where sharp peaks from crystal were observed (Run-2). c S(Q) at 5.5 GPa below the temperature where sharp crystal peaks were observed in Run-2 and after decompression (Run-3). Temperature values indicate synthesized temperature conditions. The data are shifted by 1.0 in a and c, and 0.7 in b for clarity.

marked change in S(Q) appears again at 870 K and 5.5 GPa (Fig. 2c). We found that the S(Q) at 870 K and 5.5 GPa remains at ambient-pressure and room-temperature condition (uppermost S(Q) in Fig. 2c), indicating that it is quenchable.

Figure 3 shows the pair distribution functions, g(r), obtained by the Fourier transform of the S(Q) shown in Fig. 2c. The as-cast glass exhibits the double-peak feature of the first peak in g(r). Based on a previous study\textsuperscript{17}, the two first peaks (~2.8 Å and ~3.1 Å) correspond to the first neighbor distances of Zr–Cu and Zr–Zr atomic pairs, respectively. In addition, the annular dark-field (ADF)-STEM image shows no contrast, indicating no typical ordering at the 100-nm scale in the pHPQ sample (Fig. 4). In contrast, the high-magnification BF-STEM image of the sample reveals the existence of a nanometerscale ordering and a disordered random region in the pHPQ sample (Fig. 5a). The typical size of the nano-ordered region is <5 nm. We estimated the typical intervals in the nano-ordered region and obtained the first, second, and third-neighbor distances of ~3.0 Å, ~5.0 Å, and ~7.6 Å, respectively (Fig. 5b). Interestingly, the neighbor distances are consistent with the enhanced peak positions of g(r) after the change to the pHPQ sample (Fig. 5c). Therefore, we consider that the change to the pHPQ sample at 5.5 GPa and 870 K is caused by the nanometer-scale ordering.

In addition, the annular dark-field (ADF)-STEM image (Fig. 6a) shows a compositional inhomogeneity in the pHPQ sample at the nanometer scale. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) mapping analyses reveal that Zr and Cu have compositional fluctuations (Fig. 7). The bright region in the ADF-STEM image has a Zr-poor and Cu-rich composition, while the dark region has a Zr-rich and Cu-poor composition (Fig. 7). Even though it is difficult to accurately perform a quantitative analysis due to the small scale of the bright and dark regions (<10 nm), the bright region in the ADF-STEM image consists of ~33 at.% Zr, 59 at.% Cu, and 8 at.% Al.

The high-magnification STEM images (Fig. 6b) also show lattice-fringe-like contrast in the Cu-rich area (the bright region
in the ADF-STEM image and the dark region in the annular BF (ABF)-STEM image), corresponding to the nano-ordered region in Fig. 5. In addition, the Cu-rich area (e.g., the dotted square region in Fig. 6b) appears to consist of a few ordered domains with different directions. This indicates that the size of each ordered domain is only a few nanometers. The mapping experiment of nanobeam electron diffraction with a probe size of 2 nm (full-width at half-maximum) shows weak, but clear diffraction spots in the Cu-rich area (Fig. 6c). On the contrary, the Zr-rich area (the dark region in the ADF-STEM image and the bright region in the ABF-STEM image) does not exhibit the clear lattice-fringe-like contrast (Fig. 6b), and we do not observe the diffraction spots in this area. Therefore, we considered that the Cu-rich area is nanocrystallized in the pHPQ sample, while the Zr-rich area has the amorphous structure.

The ADF-STEM image shows another remarkable texture of the pHPQ sample. The Cu-rich bright region is densely distributed and appears to form a network. This means that the nanometer-size residual Zr-rich amorphous regions are isolated and surrounded by the Cu-rich nanocrystallized walls (Fig. 6). The volume fraction of the Cu-rich area, i.e., the Cu-rich nanocrystal, is broadly estimated to be 60–70% from the ADF-STEM image (Fig. 6a). The STEM observations show that the pHPQ sample is a densely distributed nanocrystallized sample with the Cu-rich nanocrystals.

Effect of high-pressure annealing on mechanical properties.
We tested the mechanical properties of the Zr₅₀Cu₄₀Al₁₀ samples synthesized at high temperatures at 5.5 GPa. Figure 8 shows the effects of the high-pressure annealing at 5.5 GPa on the density, hardness, shear and bulk moduli, and compressive strength of the Zr₅₀Cu₄₀Al₁₀ BMG, along with results of ambient-pressure annealing. The densities of the HPQ and pHPQ samples are higher than those of the amorphous samples, and lower than those of the crystallized samples (Fig. 8a), which is consistent with the recent work. The same trend is observed for the shear modulus (Fig. 8b).

The HPQ sample synthesized at 840 K and 5.5 GPa shows a marked densification (Fig. 8a); however, the Vickers hardness and bulk modulus do not show any significant change (Fig. 8c, d). In contrast, although the densities of the pHPQ samples are similar to that of the HPQ sample (Fig. 8a), the Vickers hardness and bulk modulus of the pHPQ sample synthesized at 880 K and 5.5 GPa exhibit significant increases of ~30% and 10%, respectively, compared to the as-cast values, which are even higher than those of the crystallized samples (Fig. 8a), which is consistent with the recent work. The same trend is observed for the shear modulus (Fig. 8b).

The compressive strength and Young’s modulus of the Zr₅₀Cu₄₀Al₁₀ BMG increase significantly for the pHPQ sample (Fig. 8e). The compressive strength and Young’s modulus of the pHPQ sample synthesized at 880 K and 5.5 GPa are 2210 MPa and 126 GPa, respectively, while those of the as-cast sample are 1760 MPa and 95 GPa, respectively. The values of the pHPQ sample are also higher than those of the HPQ sample: 2074 MPa and 112.1 GPa, respectively.
Discussion

Based on the EDS analyses, Cu$_{10}$Zr$_7$ (58.8 at.% Cu and 41.2 at.% Zr)\textsuperscript{18}, Cu$_2$Zr Laves phase (66.7 at.% Cu and 33.3 at.% Zr)\textsuperscript{19}, and AlCu$_2$Zr (25 at.% Al, 50 at.% Cu, and 25 at.% Zr)\textsuperscript{20} may be good candidates for the Cu-rich nanocrystal (~33 at.% Zr, 59 at.% Cu, and 8 at.% Al) observed in this study in terms of composition, although the exact crystal phase of the Cu-rich nanocrystal in this study is not clear. However, it is important to note that the primary phase of crystallization in Zr–Cu–Al MGs has been known to be a Zr-rich phase, such as Zr$_2$Cu, ZrCu, and the $\tau_3$ phase\textsuperscript{20–23}, rather than Cu-rich phases. Furthermore, for achieving partial ordering and partial nanocrystallization in Zr–Cu–Al MGs at
Fig. 7 EDS mapping analyses of the pHPQ sample. a ADF-STEM image of the pHPQ sample synthesized at 5.5 GPa and 880 K. Mapping of b Zr, c Cu, and d Al in the same area as a. Composition in yellow square in a is ~33 at.% Zr, 59 at.% Cu, and 8 at.% Al in the bright area. Line profiles of e ADF, f Zr, g Cu, and h Al in the red A-B area.

Fig. 8 Effect of high-pressure annealing on mechanical properties of the Zr$_{50}$Cu$_{40}$Al$_{10}$ BMG. a Density, b shear modulus, c Vickers hardness, and d bulk modulus as a function of synthesized temperature. Results of the ambient-pressure annealing are shown for comparison. Solid and open symbols denote the data at a synthesis pressure of 5.5 GPa and ambient pressure, respectively. Black and gray symbols denote the amorphous and crystallized samples, respectively. Purple and blue symbols denote the data of the HPQ and pHPQ samples, respectively. Open diamond denotes the data of the as-cast sample. Dashed and dotted lines are to guide the eyes. Errors are determined as two sigma of the standard deviation. The error bars fall within symbols if those do not appear. e Stress-strain curves of the as-cast (black) and pHPQ (blue) samples. The nonlinear behavior of the stress-strain curve of the pHPQ sample ~0% strain is probably due to the barreled shape of the sample after the high-pressure experiment. The compressive strength and Young’s modulus of the pHPQ sample synthesized at 880 K and 5.5 GPa are 2210 MPa and 126 GPa, respectively, while those of the as-cast sample are 1760 MPa and 95 GPa, respectively.
ambient pressure, minor elements, such as Pd, Au, and Nb must be added to Zr–Cu–Al MGs to enhance the nucleation rate of crystals24–27 because the primary phase of Zr2Cu or ZrCu has a small nucleation rate22,28. Thus, the nucleation of Cu-rich crystals in the pHPQ sample as the primary phase and the formation of the densely distributed nanocrystallized texture without the addition of other elements do not agree with the understanding of crystallization in Zr–Cu–Al MGs at ambient pressure.

A previous study on high-pressure annealing5 showed that Zr2Cu was crystallized as the first phase by annealing the Zr55Al10Ni5Cu30 BMG at 5 GPa, which is generally the same crystallization process as that at ambient pressure, but it is conflicting with our observations. The major difference between Zhang et al.6 and this study is the annealing temperature employed to obtain the crystallized sample. This study annealed the Zr50Cu40Al10 BMG at 870–900 K, while the previous study6 annealed the Zr55Al10Ni5Cu30 BMG <800 K that may be below the temperature of the structural change at high pressures. We consider that the high-temperature annealing of the HPQ glass after the structural change may be a key to the unusual formation of the Cu-rich nanocrystals in the Zr50Cu40Al10 BMG at high pressure and high temperature.

To investigate the change in atomic configurations at high pressure and high temperature more closely, we employed RMC modeling. The RMC modeling method has the advantage that a three-dimensional atomic configuration can be obtained using the experimental data. However, there is uncertainty in the atomic configuration of Al and Cu, when only the X-ray diffraction data set is used because the X-ray scattering intensities from these atoms are smaller than that from Zr. Therefore, we also conducted MD simulations to confirm the validity of a result of the RMC modeling. The RMC modeling was carried out by fitting to the S(Q) data of the as-cast sample, and the samples before (700 K and 5.5 GPa) and after the structural change (850 K and 5.5 GPa). The RMC models well reproduced the experimentally obtained S(Q) (Supplementary Fig. 1). The MD simulation also showed a similar structural change at high pressure and high temperature to the experimental results (Supplementary Fig. 2). The positions of the first maximum of partial pair distribution functions, gij(r), the in the as-cast sample, rCu–Cu = 2.55 Å, rZr–Cu = 2.75 Å, and rZr–Zr = 3.15 Å in the RMC modeling, and rCu–Cu = 2.50 Å, rZr–Cu = 2.79 Å, and rZr–Zr = 3.18 Å in the MD simulation, were generally in agreement with the data for Zr–Cu glasses reported by the previous studies17,29–32. For both the RMC modeling and MD simulation, gij(r) shows that the Zr–Zr distance decreases slightly after the change to the HPQ glass, whereas the Zr–Cu distance increases (Supplementary Fig. 3). The Cu–Cu distance is almost constant. These results indicate that the change of the first peak of g(r) from the double-peak feature to the single-peak feature observed by X-ray diffraction experiments (Fig. 3) is caused by the distances becoming similar between Zr–Zr and Zr–Cu distances.

The RMC model of the HPQ glass exhibits fewer and smaller cavities compared to the glasses before the change to the HPQ glass (Supplementary Fig. 4), suggesting the dense packing structure of the HPQ glass. This is consistent with the density measurement (Fig. 8a). The average coordination numbers (CNs) around the Zr and Cu atoms in the Zr50Cu40Al10 obtained by the RMC modeling and the MD simulation are shown in Supplementary Table 1. We discuss only the Zr-centered and Cu-centered CNs because Al is the minor element in the Zr50Cu40Al10 glass. There are no significant changes in the total Zr-centered (CNZr) and Cu-centered (CNCu) CNs before and after the change to the HPQ glass. In contrast, partial CNs show small changes. The CNs of Zr around Zr (CNZrZr) and Cu around Cu (CNCuCu) slightly decrease, while the CNs of Cu around Zr (CNZrCu) and Zr around Cu (CNCuZr) slightly increase via the change.

Based on the CNs obtained by the RMC modeling and MD simulation, the local chemical order can be quantified by the Cargill–Spaepen short-range order parameter13 η, which is defined as:

$$\eta_{AB} = CN_{AB}/CN_{AB}^* - 1,$$

$$\eta_{AA} = -\eta_{AB}(x_\alpha CN_{B}/x_\beta CN_{A}),$$

$$\eta_{BB} = -\eta_{AB}(x_\alpha CN_{B}/x_\beta CN_{B}),$$

with CN_{AB} = x_\alpha CN_{A}/CN_{A}/(CN_{A}+CN_{B}) and (CN_{A} = x_\alpha CN_{A} + x_\beta CN_{B} = x_\alpha (CN_{AA} + CN_{AB}) + x_\beta (CN_{BB} + CN_{AB}), where CN_{i} is the CN of type i around type j and x_i is the fraction of type i in the sample. $\eta_{AB} = 0$ for complete chemical disorder, i.e., A and B atoms distribute randomly; $\eta_{AB} < 0$ for chemical preference against AB nearest-neighbor pairs, i.e., A and B atoms have a tendency to form clusters with each atom; $\eta_{AB} > 0$ for preferential chemical ordering between AB nearest-neighbor pairs, i.e., A and B atoms distribute more uniformly. As shown in Supplementary Table 1, in both cases of the RMC modeling and MD simulation, $\eta_{ZrCu}$ is always positive, and it increases after the change to the HPQ glass. On the contrary, $\eta_{ZrZr}$ and $\eta_{CuCu}$ are negative. Although the absolute values of CN and η are different between the RMC modeling and MD simulation, two different methods show similar relative change at high pressure and temperature. These results indicate that the structure of Zr50Cu40Al10 BMG changes to the dense packed structure and relatively chemical-uniform configuration after the change to the HPQ glass. It is generally considered that uniform mixing of large size Zr and small size Cu atoms easily achieves a dense packing structure. Thus, our results from the RMC modeling and MD simulations are consistent from the qualitative perspective. Such a preference for the Zr–Cu nearest-neighbor pairs at high pressure and high temperature may take place to achieve energy stabilization under high-pressure and high-temperature conditions, owing to the large negative mixing heat of $-23$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ between Zr and Cu.$^{34}$

Furthermore, we also observed intensity enhancements of atomic distances of ~3, ~4.7, and ~5.9 Å in g(r) after the change to the HPQ glass (Fig. 9a). The enhancement of the atomic distance of ~3 Å, R1, which is at the first peak of g(r), indicates that both Zr–Zr and Zr–Cu distances approach ~3 Å, and the short-range ordering with ~3 Å increases in the first coordination shell. In addition, the distances of ~5.9 and ~4.7 Å at the second peak of g(r) are close to values of 2R1 (~6 Å) and $\sqrt{8/3}$R1 (~4.9 Å), respectively. 2R1 and $\sqrt{8/3}$R1 orderings are related to the connections between the first coordination shells (polyhedra) formed by atoms that are R1 away from the central atom$^{35}$. 2R1 corresponds to the share of one atom between neighboring polyhedra, and $\sqrt{8/3}$R1 corresponds to the share of three atoms. Enhancements of those positions suggest that the specific orderings (vertex and face sharing) are formed between neighboring first coordination polyhedra. The longer ordering with length of ~7.2 Å also appeared at the third peak of g(r) (Fig. 9a).

Based on the above results, we propose the scenario of the structural change to the HPQ glass as follows. Zr and Cu (probably and Al) atoms are rearranged to form the dense packed structure by increasing the number of the nearest Zr–Cu pairs at high pressure and high temperature, resulting in the formation of the chemically uniform configuration. Simultaneously, each first coordination polyhedron also forms the specific orderings with neighboring polyhedra. Thus, the structural change to the HPQ glass is caused by formations of the medium-range ordering in the second- and third-neighbor distances, as well as the
At 5.5 GPa and between 870 and 850 K at 5.5 GPa. a

Because the free volume than the as-cast glass, indicating that the atomic mobility and long-range diffusion are suppressed. Because the free volume than the as-cast glass, indicating that the atomic mobility and long-range diffusion are suppressed. Therefore, the densely distributed Cu-rich nanocrystallized texture could be formed in the Zr_{50}Cu_{40}Al_{10} BMG without the addition of other elements.

The partial nanocrystallization in BMGs has been reported to enhance mechanical properties by ambient-pressure studies. However, as shown in Fig. 10, the pHPQ sample in this study shows significant enhancements of the Vickers hardness, Young’s modulus, and fracture strength of the Zr_{50}Cu_{40}Al_{10} BMG compared to partially nanocrystallized glasses by compositional controls at ambient pressure. The values of the pHPQ Zr_{50}Cu_{40}Al_{10} sample are considerably higher than the reported maximum values of nanocrystallized Zr-based BMGs, regardless of the similar volume fraction of nanocrystals. Such excellent mechanical properties of the pHPQ Zr_{50}Cu_{40}Al_{10} sample may be caused by the small size of nanocrystals in the pHPQ sample. Inoue et al. reported that the typical grain size in nanocrystallized Zr–Cu–Al–M (M=Pd, Au, Nb, Ag, or Pt) MGs is ~10 nm. In contrast, the grain size of the Cu-rich nanocrystals in the pHPQ Zr_{50}Cu_{40}Al_{10} sample is estimated to be at least <5 nm (Fig. 6). Such a small nanocrystal grain size in the pHPQ Zr_{50}Cu_{40}Al_{10} sample may also be caused by the low atomic mobility under the high-pressure conditions. Even though further investigations are required to identify the mechanisms of the excellent mechanical properties of the pHPQ sample, high-pressure annealing enables us to improve the mechanical properties of Zr–Cu–Al BMGs without adding other elements, which has never been achieved at ambient pressure.

In conclusion, we found the unusual formation of densely distributed nanocrystallized Zr_{50}Cu_{40}Al_{10} BMG through annealing at a high pressure of 5.5 GPa. The Cu-rich nanocrystals were nucleated in the Zr_{50}Cu_{40}Al_{10} BMG via the pressure- and temperature-induced structural change to the ultra-dense HPQ glass that has the relatively chemically uniform configuration, with high short-range and medium-range orderings. The mechanical properties tests revealed that the densely distributed nanocrystallization in the Zr_{50}Cu_{40}Al_{10} BMG at high pressure and high temperature significantly enhances hardness and strength. In the present study, we synthesized the nanocrystallized Zr_{50}Cu_{40}Al_{10} BMG with a high nanocrystal volume fraction of ~60–70% through annealing at 880 K for 2 min at 5.5 GPa. However, it would be possible to change the volume fraction and size of nanocrystals by varying the synthesis temperature, pressure, and duration. High-pressure annealing opens a window to establish new procedures to create anomalous nanocrystallization textures, leading to the improvement and control of the properties of BMGs without a change in composition.

**Methods**

**Sample preparation.** An alloy ingot with the nominal composition of Zr_{50}Cu_{40}Al_{10} was prepared through arc melting in an argon atmosphere. The glassy Zr_{50}Cu_{40}Al_{10} alloy with a diameter of 4 mm and a height of 100 mm was obtained via melt spinning. The thermal properties of the glassy alloy were examined using a differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) under a heating rate of 20 K min^{−1} (the T_{g} of 703 K and the T_{f} of 790 K).

The high-pressure and high-temperature syntheses for STEM observations (Figs. 4–7), and mechanical properties measurements (Fig. 8) were carried out using a 300-ton belt-type high-pressure apparatus installed at National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), Japan. First, the pressure was increased to 5.5 GPa, and then the temperature was increased to a target temperature at a heating rate of 20 K min^{−1}. The sample was heated at the target temperature for 2 min and then quenched. The cooling rate was ~100 K s^{−1}. We used boron nitride (BN) as the capsule. The sample was 4 mm in diameter and 3 mm in height. Heat treatment at

![Fig. 9 Differences of experimentally obtained g(r) between 850 and 700 K at 5.5 GPa and between 870 and 850 K at 5.5 GPa. a Difference of g(r) before (700 K) and after (850 K, HPQ) the structural change at 5.5 GPa. b Difference of g(r) between the samples treated at 870 K (pHPQ) and 850 K (HPQ) at 5.5 GPa. Arrows indicate enhanced distances at high temperatures at 5.5 GPa.](image-url)
ambient pressure was performed using DSC at a heating rate of 20 K min⁻¹, a duration of 2 min, and a cooling rate of ~300 K min⁻¹.

The states of synthesized samples, i.e., amorphous, crystallized, or pHPQ in Fig. 8, were identified by utilizing a Cu Kα X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku MiniFlex 600) installed at NIMS. A sample was classified as a crystallized sample if sharp X-ray diffraction peaks were observed and a pHPQ sample, if the intensity of the broad first and second peaks increased without sharp peaks (Supplementary Fig. 5). As the HPQ structure could not be identified by the Cu Kα X-ray diffractometer due to the minor change in XRD patterns, the HPQ sample in Fig. 8 was identified from the high-pressure conditions based on the synchrotron X-ray investigations (Fig. 1).

High-pressure structure measurement by synchrotron X-ray diffraction. High-pressure structure measurements were carried out using a Paris–Edinburgh (PE) large-volume press at beamline 16-BM-B, High Pressure Collaborative Access Team at the Advanced Photon Source36. A pair of cup-shaped tungsten carbide anvils with cup diameters of 12 mm and bottom diameters of 3 mm were used to generate high pressures. The cell assembly used in this study is shown in Supplementary Fig. 6. The sample, with dimensions of ~0.5 × 0.5 × 0.3 mm, was placed at the center of the BN capsule. The thickness of the sample parallel to the X-ray was 0.3 mm. We used pyrolytic BN as the X-ray pass inside the BN capsule to minimize the diffraction peaks from the BN capsule in the XRD measurement. A MgO ring positioned adjacent to a graphite heater was used as the pressure target temperature at high pressure. We repeated this procedure up to the highest temperature.

STEAM observations. The STEAM observations were performed using a Titan3 G2 60-300 Probe Corrector (Thermo Fisher Scientific) operating at 300 KV installed at Tohoku University (Figs. 4 and 5), and the Titan3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) operating at 300 K operated at NIMS (Fig. 6, a). The mapping experiment of the nanobeam electron diffraction (Fig. 6c) was performed by utilizing Titan3 at NIMS. Diffraction patterns were obtained for an area of 87 × 87 nm² at 1.5 mm intervals (3364 points). The probe profile of the mapping experiment of the nanobeam electron diffraction was limited by diffraction aberration because of the small convergence semi-angle (0.5 mrad). The probe size was estimated to be 2 nm in full-width at half-maximum. EDS analyses (Fig. 7) were performed by employing the Titan3 (200 K) at NIMS. We estimated the volume fraction of nanocrystals from ADF-STEM imaging (Fig. 6a) by using the image processing software "Image J" (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

Density, hardness, and shear and bulk moduli measurements, and compression test. Density was measured based on Archimedes’ method using purified water. Vickers hardness was measured using a Vickers hardness tester (Matsuzawa MV-1S) at a load of 9.8 N with a dwell time of 10 s. The elastic moduli of the samples were estimated from the sound velocities of the samples obtained by employing the pulse-echo overlap technique39 using a waveform generator (Tektronix AWG2041) and a digital oscilloscope (Hewlett Packard 54522 A) installed at NIMS. The samples were mirrored on both surfaces. Longitudinal (L) and shear (T) wave signals were generated and received by a 10° Y-cut LiNbO₃ transducer with a thickness of 0.1 mm. An electrical sine wave was formed by the waveform generator, and ultrasonic echoes were observed using the digital oscilloscope. Frequencies of 37 and 20 MHz were used for L and T waves, respectively. The longitudinal velocity, Vₗ, and transversal velocity, Vₜ, were obtained by dividing the sample thickness by the travel times of the L and T waves, respectively, where the sample thickness was measured by a micrometer. The shear modulus, G, and bulk modulus, K, were calculated using the equations $G = \rho Vₜ^2$ and $K = \rho (Vₗ^2 - (4/3) Vₜ^2)$, respectively, where ρ is the density obtained using Archimedes’ method.

Compression tests were performed using the INSTRON 5982 compression tester installed at Tohoku University. Plastic strain was calculated from the strain at the deviation point of the linear region in the stress–strain curve to that at the final strain. Young’s modulus was estimated from the linear region in the stress–strain curve.

Reverse Monte Carlo modeling. The standard RMC algorithm38 was used for fitting the synchrotron X-ray diffraction data. The RMC models were constructed in cubes with periodic boundary conditions starting from random atomic configurations. The total number of atoms was 10,000, i.e., Zr, 5000; Cu, 4000; and Al: 1000. The density of the as-cast sample was calculated from the measured bulk density, while the densities for the synchrotron X-ray diffraction data obtained under 5.5 GPa were estimated from the first peak position of S(Q), Q₀, assuming $V = 1/Q₀^2$ reported by Zeng et al.41. The closest allowed distances for Zr–Zr, Zr–Cu, Zr–Al, Cu–Cu, Cu–Al, and Al–Al pairs were set to be 2.5, 2.3, 2.1, 2.2,
and 2.3 Å, respectively, based on a previous study\(^\text{17}\). The \(r\) spacing of the partial pair distribution functions \(g(r)\) was set to be 0.1 Å. The cut off distances, \(r_{\text{cut}}\), for calculating CNs were determined from the maximum ranges of the first shell distribution in each \(g(r)\).

**Molecular dynamics analysis of the effects of a thermal process and hydrostatic pressure on the internal structure.** Using a MD framework, we constructed Zr\(_{50}\)Cu\(_{40}\)Al\(_{10}\) models by the melt-quenching process and the subsequent thermal loading process under hydrostatic pressure. The number of atoms in each model was 50,000. We used interatomic potentials developed for Zr\(_{50}\)Cu\(_{40}\)Al\(_{10}\) alloys\(^\text{22}\). First, we constructed an as-cast model by quenching from 2000 to 300 K with a constant cooling rate of 10\(^{3}\) K s\(^{-1}\) under a constant pressure of 0 GPa. Subsequently, the thermal loading process under hydrostatic pressure was applied to the as-cast model at 300 K. After a compressive 5.5 GPa pressure was applied to the as-cast model at 300 K, the model was heated to 850 K at a constant heating rate of 10\(^{3}\) K s\(^{-1}\) under a pressure of 5.5 GPa. Next, the model was relaxed at 850 K for 5 ns and cooled again to 300 K at a constant cooling rate of 10\(^{3}\) K s\(^{-1}\) under the 5.5 GPa pressure condition. Finally, the pressure was reduced to 0 GPa at 300 K. The model shape was altered so as to adjust the pressure condition during the entire thermal loading process. The \(r\) spacing of partial pair distribution functions \(g(r)\) was set to 0.01 Å.
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