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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The objective of the current study was to formulate a sustained release (SR) formulation for pravastatin. Pravastatin is a lipid lowering, biopharmaceutical classification class-III agent.

Materials and Methods: SR tablets of pravastatin were prepared using variable amounts of hydroxy methyl propyl cellulose (HPMC) K4M and sodium carboxy methyl cellulose in various proportions by direct compression in a 3² factorial design. The amounts of the polymers HPMC K4M and sodium carboxy methyl cellulose required to obtain prolonged release of drug were chosen as independent variables, X₁ and X₂, respectively, whereas times taken for 10%, 50%, 75%, and 90% drug release were chosen as dependent variables.

Results: Nine formulations were developed and were checked using pharmacopoeial tests. The results showed that all the factorial batches were within the standard limits. The dissolution parameters of all formulations were subjected to kinetic fitting and various statistical parameters were determined. Polynomial equations were developed and verified for dependent variables. Formulation F₅, containing 25 mg of HPMC K4M and 25 mg of sodium carboxy methyl cellulose, was the formulation most similar (similarity factor f₂=89.559, difference factor f₁=1.546) to the marketed product (Pravachol).

Conclusion: The best formulation (F₅) follows Higuchi's kinetics and non-Fickian diffusion zero order kinetics (n=1.083).
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INTRODUCTION

Enteral delivery is an effective, popularly used mode of administration for both immediate and new drug delivery systems. In the case of chronic therapy, immediate release dosage forms are administered in a repetitive manner, resulting in more problems. The majority of these drugs undergo the first pass effect or presystemic elimination, which results in poor bioavailability and shorter activity.

Sustained release (SR) formulations show constant C_{ss} levels for a prolonged period, decreased dosing frequency, and patient compliance. Zero-order drug release from the formulation will aid the C_{ss} constantly for a longer period. Zero-order kinetics is one of the aims of SR forms.

Polymers were utilized for achieving sustained drug release. The literature reveals that utilization of polymers plays a key role in pharmaceutical product development.

Natural polymers remain preferred due to their numerous advantages. Extensively used natural gums include xanthan gum, guar gum, tragacanth gum, and alginate. Cellulosics like hydroxy methyl propyl cellulose (HPMC), hydroxy propyl cellulose, carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC), and sodium (S) CMC belong to the semisynthetic category and have been extensively studied in SR tablet formulations.

Direct compression is a widely used manufacturing method for the preparation of tablets. The current research experimentation focuses on the design of a SR formulation for pravastatin.

Pravastatin, a potent hypolipidemic agent, belongs to biopharmaceutical classification class-III. It is a specific inhibitor (competitive) of HMG CoA. Pravastatin is useful for the effective management of atherosclerotic vascular disease. It undergoes an extensive first pass effect in the liver. Its bioavailable fraction is 0.17, about 50% of protein binding (plasma proteins). The elimination half-life for pravastatin is 1.5-2 h and it is eliminated from the body via feces and urine. Hence, research work was planned to formulate and evaluate SR tablets for pravastatin as a model drug and had the objective that the optimized formulation trial should show desired SR of the drug by means of an enhanced dissolution rate.

Response surface methodology (RSM) with a polynomial equation has been extensively applied in the design and development of pharmaceutical products. Variations of RSM include 3^2 factorial design, central composite design, and Box-Behnken design. RSM is applied when only a few significant factors are involved in the optimization procedure. The advantage of this method is less experimentation and time, the results are more effective, and it is more cost effective than tradition experimentation models.

Hence an attempt was made in the present research work to formulate SR tablets of pravastatin using HPMC K4M and SCMC. Instead of a heuristic method, a standard statistical tool design of experiments was used to study the effect of formulation variables on the release properties. A 3^2 factorial design was used to study the effect of polymers on the drug release profile (effect of independent variables or factors), i.e. the quantity of HPMC K4M and SCMC, on the dependent variables (t_{10%}, t_{50%}, t_{75%}, t_{90%}).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials used in the research were procured from various sources. Pravastatin was a gift sample from Konis Pharma Ltd, Baddi, India. HPMC K4M, SCMC, and lactose were obtained from Meditech Pharma Ltd, Solan. Magnesium stearate, talc, and lactose obtained from Loba Chemie Pvt. Ltd, Bombay.

Formulation and development of SR pravastatin tablets

Quantities required for the HPMC K4M and SCMC for the preparation of SR pravastatin tablets were selected as independent variables. t_{10%}, t_{50%}, t_{75%}, and t_{90%} were selected as dependent variables. Polynomial equations were developed for dependent variables as per backward stepwise linear regression analysis.

The 3 levels of X_1 (HPMC K4M) were 7.5%, 12.5%, and 17.5%. The 3 levels of X_2 (SCMC) were 7.5%, 12.5%, and 17.5% (with respect to average weight of tablet). Nine SR pravastatin tablet formulations were designed using selected combinations of X_1 and X_2, and checked for the selection of the optimum composition required to meet the primary objective of the study.

Preparation of SR pravastatin tablets

All the ingredients were procured and weighed accurately. They were mixed uniformly in a poly bag for 10-15 min. The resulting mix was subjected to screening (#44). Lubricant was added, followed by mixing well and then compression using a tablet compressor. The resulting tablets were checked in terms of pharmacopoeial limits. The tablets were packed in well-closed air-tight containers.

Experimental design

The experimental design used in the current research was a 3^2 factorial design; the quantity of HPMC K4M was labeled X_1 and the quantity of SCMC was labeled X_2 and they are presented in Table 1. The 3 levels chosen for both X_1 and X_2 were coded as -1=7.5%, 0=12.5%, and +1=17.5%. The formulations for the factorial trials are presented in Table 2.

Evaluation of SR pravastatin tablets

Hardness

This test was performed with the help of a Monsanto hardness tester.

Friability

This test was carried out in a Roche friabilator. The initial weight (W_0) of 20 tablets was noted and then they were dedusted in a drum with a speed of 25 rpm for 4 min and weighed (W) again. Percentage friability was calculated using the following equation. The weight loss should not be more than 0.8%.

Friability (%)=([W_0-W]/W)×100

Assay

This test was carried out by taking a fixed number of samples (20) and subjecting them to pulverization. From that above resultant
mixture powder equivalent to 100 mg was dissolved in 100 mL of solvent (6.8 buffer) and sonicated if necessary followed by filtration. The absorbance of the resultant solution was measured using a ultraviolet (UV)-Visible spectrophotometer at 239 nm.9-14

Thickness
This test was performed with the help of vernier calipers.

In vitro dissolution study
Dissolution tests were performed using the USP Apparatus 2. The specifications were followed as per official methods such as dissolution medium for initial 2 h is 900 mL of pH 1.2 buffer followed by pH 6.8, at 50 rpm and 37±0.5°C. Samples were collected at fixed time intervals by a pre-filter connected syringe and replacement of fresh fluid was done simultaneously. The absorbance of samples was measured at 239 nm using a Labindia UV-3200 UV-Visible spectrophotometer (n=3).9,12,14

Kinetic modeling of drug release
The kinetic data were subjected to statistical modeling, i.e. zero order, first order, Higuchi, and Korsmeyer-Peppas kinetics.22,23 The study did not require ethics committee approval or patient informed consent because it did not focus on any clinical parameter and did not utilize any humans/animals for the processing of work.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
SR tablets of pravastatin were formulated with the help of a 3² factorial design for identifying the optimized composition of polymers (HPMC K4M and SCMC) and to obtain prolonged/sustained drug release from the formulation. The experimental design is presented in Table 1. The 2 factors involved in the design of formulations are quantity of HPMC K4M and SCMC, which were labeled as independent variables (X₁, X₂), while kinetic parameters were labeled as dependent variables (t₁₀%, t₅₀%, t₇₅%, t₉₀%). Nine factorial batches were designed and all trials had 40 mg of pravastatin as a SR tablet dosage form by direct compression technique as per the formulae given in Table 2.

All final batches were subjected to various final product quality assurance tests like mean hardness, mean thickness, friability, weight variation, and drug content, and the results are summarized in Table 3. Hardness for finished batches was in the range of 3.47±0.3-4.10±0.5 kg/cm². Thickness for finished batches was in the range of 2.45±0.15-2.86±0.14 mm. Results for the friability test were less than 0.51%. Drug content for finished batches met the acceptance criterion. Drug release studies were performed for finished batches using pH 1.2 buffer for an initial 2 hour followed by phosphate buffer pH 6.8 as operated under a standard set of conditions at 50 rpm (paddle), 37±0.5°C. Dissolution plots are presented in Figures 1-4 (kinetic plots) and the statistical parameters are summarized in Table 4. % percentage cumulative drug release for finished batches F₁-F₉ at 12 hour was 88.88-99.61%. The result revealed that the release rate of drug was inversely proportional to the quantity of polymers. Hence the desired drug release was achieved by manipulating values of independent variables. A difference was seen in dependent variables due to change in proportions of X₁ and X₂. Formulation coded F₂ containing 25 mg of HPMC K4M and 25 mg of SCMC produced desirable release characteristics (t₁₀%=0.459 h, t₅₀%=3.025 h, t₇₅%=6.040 h, t₉₀%=10.045 h), which was probably due to variation in the viscosity of the polymer matrix. An increase in the viscosity of the stagnant layer results in a corresponding decrease in drug release (due to thicker gel layer formation).24 The dissolution profiles of SR pravastatin tablets were subjected to kinetic modeling. The results are presented in Table 4 and Figures 1-4. The results reveal that all formulation batches best fitted zero order kinetics and r² was in the range of 0.995-0.999. They also fitted Higuchi’s kinetics; r² was in the range of 0.941-0.968. The Peppas treatment revealed that all batches follow a non-Fickian diffusion path (n values 1.046-1.397). Polynomial equations were developed for all dependent variables by linear stepwise backward regression analysis with the help of PCP Disso software and response morphological plots were constructed using SigmaPlot V13. The response morphological plots are presented in Figures 5-8 for t₁₀%, t₅₀%, t₇₅%, and t₉₀% using X₁ and X₂ on both axes to show the effects of independent variables on the dependent variables. Kinetic parameters for the trials (F₁-F₉) are presented in Table 5.

The polynomial equation for the 3² full factorial design was as follows:

Y=b₀+b₁ X₁+b₂ X₂+b₁₂ X₁ X₂+b₁₁ X₁²+b₂₂ X₂²...

Y= dependent variable, b₀= mean response of 9 trials, b₁-estimated coefficient for X₁, b₂-estimated coefficient for X₂, b₁₂- interaction term, X₁² and X₂²- coefficients for nonlinearity.

Validity of the derived equations was evaluated by formulating 2 counter check batches of intermediate quantities (C₁, C₂).

Table 1. Experimental design layout
| Name of ingredients | Experimental design |
|---------------------|---------------------|
|                     | F₁                 | F₂                 | F₃                 | F₄                 | F₅                 | F₆                 | F₇                 | F₈                 | F₉                 | C₁                 | C₂                 |
| X₁                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 0                  | 0                  | -1                 | -1                 | -1                 | -1                 | -0.5               | +0.5               |
| X₂                  | 1                  | 0                  | -1                 | 1                  | 0                  | -1                 | 1                  | 0                  | -1                 | -0.5               | +0.5               |

Table 2. Formulation of SR pravastatin tablets
| Name of ingredients | Quantity of ingredients per tablet (mg) |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                     | F₁| F₂| F₃| F₄| F₅| F₆| F₇| F₈| F₉|
| Pravastatin         | 40| 40| 40| 40| 40| 40| 40| 40| 40|
| HPMC K4M            | 35| 35| 35| 35| 35| 35| 35| 35| 35|
| SCMC                | 35| 25| 15| 35| 25| 15| 35| 25| 15|
| Lactose             | 82| 92| 102| 92| 102| 112| 102| 112| 122|
| Talc                | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Magnesium stearate  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Total weight        | 200| 200| 200| 200| 200| 200| 200| 200| 200|

SR: Sustained release, HPMC: Hydroxy methyl propyl cellulose, SCMC: Sodium carboxy methyl cellulose
The equations for dependent variables developed as mentioned below,

\[
Y_1 = 0.514 - 0.012 X_1 - 0.094 X_2 - 0.038 X_1 X_2 + 0.055 X_1^2 + 0.0171 X_2^2 \quad (\text{for } t_{10\%})
\]

\[
Y_2 = 3.393 - 0.078 X_1 - 0.612 X_2 - 0.250 X_1 X_2 + 0.363 X_1^2 + 0.112 X_2^2 \quad (\text{for } t_{50\%})
\]

\[
Y_3 = 6.79 - 0.155 X_1 - 1.222 X_2 - 0.507 X_1 X_2 + 0.722 X_1^2 - 0.225 X_2^2 \quad (\text{for } t_{75\%})
\]

\[
Y_4 = 11.280 - 0.260 X_1 - 2.01 X_2 - 0.840 X_1 X_2 + 1.21 X_1^2 + 0.371 X_2^2 \quad (\text{for } t_{90\%})
\]

Batch (F5) is the identical product.

The \(^{+ve}\) sign for the coefficient of \(X_1\) in \(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \text{ and } Y_4\) signifies that as the amount of \(X_1\) increases all independent variable values also increase. In other words the data demonstrate that both \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) affect \(t_{10\%}, t_{50\%}, t_{75\%}, \text{ and } t_{90\%}\). From the results it can be concluded that an increase in the amount of polymer leads to a decrease in release rate of the drug and the drug release pattern may be altered by changing the quantities of \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) to appropriate levels. The dissolution parameters predicted from the polynomial equations and those actually observed from the experimental results are summarized in Table 6. Closeness of results was seen between actual values and predicted values. This proves that the polynomial equation developed was valid and confirms the validity of the derived equations. The response surface/surface morphological plots were presented to show the effects of \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) on dependent variables. The final best (optimized, based on desirability factor above 0.999) formulation (F5) is an identical product showing a similarity factor (f2) of 89.559, difference factor (f1) of 1.546, and \(t_{cal} < 0.05\) when compared with the marketed product (Pravachol).

**CONCLUSION**

The current research work focused on the utility of macromolecules (polymers) such as HPMC K4M and SCMC in the formulation of SR tablets for pravastatin using a 3² factorial design. The results revealed that the amount of polymers was inversely proportional to the rate of drug release from the formulation. Utilization of polymers in the formulation was beneficial for obtaining prolonged release of the active moiety. Formulation F5 follows zero order release and a non-Fickian diffusion mechanism. F5 may be administered for the effective management of hypercholesterolemia and atherosclerotic vascular disease and to reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease. The best formulation shows good retaining...
Figure 1. Comparative zero order plots

Figure 2. Comparative first order plots

Figure 3. Comparative Higuchi plots

Figure 4. Comparative Korsmeyer-Peppas plots

Figure 5. Response surface plots for $t_{10\%}$

Figure 6. Response surface plots for $t_{50\%}$

Figure 7. Response surface plots for $t_{75\%}$
characteristics. It also avoids the first pass effect, which will ultimately improve the clinical response.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The author would like to thank the Management & Staff of MAM College of Pharmacy, Kesanupalli, Narasaraopet, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India for providing support for successful completion of the research study.

**Conflicts of interest:** No conflict of interest was declared by the authors. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

**REFERENCES**

1. Gunda RK, Kumar JNS, Babu CA, Anjaneyulu MV. Formulation development and evaluation of lamotrigine sustained release tablets using 3^2 factorial design. Int J Pharm Sci Res. 2015;6:1746-1752.

2. Bankar GS, Rhodes CT. Eds. Sustained and controlled drug delivery system. Modern Pharmaceutics (3rd ed). New York; Marcel Dekker Inc; 1996:668-669.

3. Lachmann L, Lieberman HA, Kanig JL. Sustained release dosage forms. The Theory & Practice of Industrial Pharmacy (3rd ed). Bombay; Varghese Publishing House; 1991:430-441.

4. Gunda RK. Formulation development and evaluation of rosiglitazone maleate sustained release tablets using 3^2 factorial design. Int J Pharm Tech Res. 2015;8:713-724.

5. Prakash P, Porwal M, Saxena A. Role of natural polymers in sustained release drug delivery system: application and recent approaches. Int Res J Pharmacy. 2011;2:6-11.

6. Rhodes CT, Robinson JR. Sustained and controlled drug delivery system. Modern Pharmaceutics (4th ed). New York; Marcel Dekker Inc; 2003:503-505.

7. Garg Y, Pathak K. Design and in vitro performance evaluation of purified microparticles of pravastatin sodium for intestinal delivery. AAPS Pharm Sci Tech. 2011;12:673-682.

8. Nilesh K, Komal JC, Abhijeet SK. Formulation and evaluation of self emulsifying drug delivery system of pravastatin sodium. Int J Inn Pharm Sci Res. 2015;3:527-550.

9. Maurya SK, Bali V, Pathak K. Bilayered transmucosal drug delivery system of pravastatin sodium: statistical optimization, in vitro, in vivo and stability assessment. Drug Delivery. 2012;19:45-57.

10. Shidhaye SS, Thakkar PV, Dand NM, Kadam VJ. Buccal drug delivery of pravastatin sodium. AAPS PharmSci Tech. 2010;11:416-424.

11. Boyong LI, Nangia A, Dely A, Wong D. Stable pharmaceutical compositions containing pravastatin. WO Patent. 03/000239; 2003.

12. Ramanathan A, Sreeja Sreekumar G. Development of chronomodulated drug delivery system of pravastatin sodium for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia. Der Pharm Sin. 2014;5:36-41.

13. Kapse Vidya N, Chemate SZ, Dharashive VM. Formulation development and solubility enhancement of rosuvastatin calcium tablet prepared by complexation with β-cyclodextrin by kneading method. Int J Pharm Sci Res. 2016;7:4882-4892.

14. Puttegowda VD, Karki R, Goli D, Jha SK, Mudagal MP. Formulation and pharmacokinetic evaluation of microcapsules containing pravastatin sodium using rats. Scientifica (Cairo). 2016;7623193.

15. Kumar JNS, Gunda RK. Design, formulation and evaluation of pravastatin fast dissolving tablets. Pharm Met. 2018;9:16-23.

16. Shende MA, Marathe RP, Khetmalas SB, Dhabale PN. Studies on development of sustained release diltiazem hydrochloride matrices through jackfruit mucilage. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2016;7:4882-4892.

17. Schwartz JB, O’Connor RE. Optimization techniques in pharmaceutical formulation and processing. Drug Pharm Sci. 1996;72:727-752.

18. Gunda RK, Kumar S.J.N. Formulation development and evaluation of zidovudine sustained release tablets using 3^2 factorial design. Der Pharm Sin. 2015;6:59-67.
19. Kharia AA, Hiremath SN, Singhai K, Omray K, Jain K. Design and optimization of floating drug delivery system of acyclovir. Indian J Pharm Sci. 2010;72:599-606.

20. Gunda RK, Manchineni PR, Dhachinamoorthi D. Design, development, and in vitro evaluation of sustained release tablet formulations of olmesartan medoxomil. MOJ Drug Des Develop Ther. 2018;2:164-169.

21. Gunda RK, Manchineni PR, Thangavel V. A statistical study on the formulation development of sustained release tablets for valsartan sodium. MOJ Drug Des Develop Ther. 2018;2:217-222.

22. Higuchi T. Mechanism of sustained-action medication. Theoretical analysis of rate of release of solid drugs dispersed in solid matrices. J Pharm Sci. 1963;51:1145-1149.

23. Peppas NA. Analysis of Fickian and non-Fickian drug release from polymers. Pharm Acta Helv. 1985;60:110-111.

24. Dortunc B, Gunal N. Release of acetazolamide from swellable HPMC matrix tablets. Drug Dev Ind Pharm. 1997;23:1245-1249.