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1. Introduction

Graph theory is an extremely useful tool in solving combinatorial problems in different areas including geometry, algebra, number theory, topology, operations research, optimization, computer science, engineering, and physical, biological, and social systems. Point-to-point interconnection networks for parallel and distributed systems are usually modeled by directed graphs (or digraphs). A digraph is a graph whose edges have directions and are called arcs (edges). Arrows on the arcs are used to encode the directional information: an arc from vertex (node) $x$ to vertex $y$ indicates that one may move from $x$ to $y$ but not from $y$ to $x$.

Presently, science and technology are featured with complex processes and phenomena for which complete information is not always available. For such cases, mathematical models are developed to handle types of systems containing elements of uncertainty. A large number of these models are based on an extension of the ordinary set theory, namely, fuzzy sets. The notion of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [1] as a method of representing uncertainty and vagueness. Since then, the theory of fuzzy sets has become a vigorous area of research in different disciplines, including medical and life sciences, management sciences, social sciences, engineering, statistics, graph theory, artificial intelligence, signal processing, multiagent systems, pattern recognition, robotics, computer networks, expert systems, decision making, and automata theory.

Fuzzy graph theory is finding an increasing number of applications in modeling real time systems where the level of information inherent in the system varies with different levels of precision. Fuzzy models are becoming useful because of their aim of reducing the differences between the traditional numerical models used in engineering and sciences and the symbolic models used in expert systems. Kauffman’s initial definition of a fuzzy graph [2] was based on Zadeh’s fuzzy relations [3]. Rosenfeld [4] introduced the fuzzy analogue of several basic graph-theoretic concepts and Bhattacharya [5] gave some remarks on fuzzy graphs. Mordeson and Nair [6] defined the concept of complement of a fuzzy graph and studied some operations on fuzzy graphs. In [7], the definition of complement of a fuzzy graph was modified so that the complement of the complement is the original fuzzy graph, which agrees with the crisp graph case. Atanassov [8] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy relations and intuitionistic fuzzy graphs. Akram et al. [9–11] introduced many new concepts, including strong intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, intuitionistic fuzzy hypergraphs, intuitionistic fuzzy cycles, and intuitionistic fuzzy trees. Wu [12] discussed fuzzy digraphs. In this paper, the intuitionistic fuzzy organizational,
neural network models, intuitionistic fuzzy neurons in medical diagnosis, intuitionistic fuzzy digraphs in vulnerability assessment of gas pipeline networks, and intuitionistic fuzzy digraphs in travel time are presented as examples of intuitionistic fuzzy digraphs in decision support systems. Algorithms of these decision support systems are also designed and implemented.

2. Preliminaries

A digraph is a pair \( G^* = (V, E) \), where \( V \) is a finite set and \( E \subseteq V \times V \). Let \( G_1^* = (V_1, E_1) \) and \( G_2^* = (V_2, E_2) \) be two digraphs. The Cartesian product of \( G_1^* \) and \( G_2^* \) gives a digraph \( G_1^* \times G_2^* = (V, E) \) with \( V = V_1 \times V_2 \) and

\[
E = \{(x, x_2) \rightarrow (x, y_2) \mid x \in V_1, x_2 \rightarrow y_2 \in E_2\} 
\cup \{(x_1, z) \rightarrow (y_1, z) \mid x_1 \rightarrow y_1 \in E_1, z \in V_2\}.
\] (1)

In this paper, we will write \( xy \in E \) to mean \( x \rightarrow y \in E \), and if \( e = xy \in E \), we say \( x \) and \( y \) are adjacent such that \( x \) is a starting node and \( y \) is an ending node.

**Definition 1** (see [1, 3]). A fuzzy subset \( \mu \) on a set \( X \) is a map \( \mu : X \rightarrow [0,1] \). A fuzzy binary relation on \( X \) is a fuzzy subset \( \mu \) on \( X \times X \). By a fuzzy relation, we mean a fuzzy binary relation given by \( \mu : X \times X \rightarrow [0,1] \).

**Definition 2** (see [12]). Let \( V \) be a finite set, \( A = \langle V, \mu_A \rangle \) a fuzzy set of \( V \), and \( B = \langle V \times V, \mu_B \rangle \) a fuzzy relation on \( V \); then the ordered pair \((A, B)\) is called a fuzzy digraph.

In 1983, Atanassov [13] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets [1]. Atanassov added a new component (which determines the degree of nonmembership) in the definition of fuzzy set. The fuzzy sets give the degree of membership of an element in a given set (and the nonmembership degree equals one minus the degree of membership), while intuitionistic fuzzy sets give both a degree of membership and a degree of nonmembership which are more or less independent from each other; the only requirement is that the sum of these two degrees is not greater than 1.

**Definition 3** (see [8]). An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) on a universe \( X \) is an object of the form

\[
A = \{ (x, \mu_A(x), v_A(x)) \mid x \in X \}, \tag{2}
\]

where \( \mu_A(x) \in [0,1] \) is called degree of membership of \( x \) in \( A \), \( v_A(x) \in [0,1] \) is called degree of nonmembership of \( x \) in \( A \), and \( \mu_A \) and \( v_A \) satisfy the following condition: for all \( x \in X \), \( \mu_A(x) + v_A(x) \leq 1 \).

**Definition 4.** An intuitionistic fuzzy relation \( R = (\mu_R(x,y), v_R(x,y)) \) in a universe \( X \times Y \) \((R(X \rightarrow Y)\) is an intuitionistic fuzzy set of the form

\[
R = \{ (x, y, \mu_A(x,y), v_A(x,y)) \mid (x, y) \in X \times Y \}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \mu_A : X \times Y \rightarrow [0,1] \) and \( v_A : X \times Y \rightarrow [0,1] \). The intuitionistic fuzzy relation \( R \) satisfies \( \mu_R(x,y) + v_R(x,y) \leq 1 \) for all \( x, y \in X \).

**Definition 5.** Let \( R \) be an intuitionistic fuzzy relation on universe \( X \). Then \( R \) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy equivalence relation on \( X \) if it satisfies the following conditions:

(a) \( R \) is intuitionistic fuzzy reflexive; that is, \( R(x,x) = (1,0) \) for each \( x \in X \);

(b) \( R \) is intuitionistic fuzzy symmetric; that is, \( R(x,y) = R(y,x) \) for any \( x, y \in X \);

(c) \( R \) is intuitionistic fuzzy transitive; that is, \( R(x,z) \geq \bigvee_y (R(x,y) \land R(y,z)) \).

**Definition 6.** Let \( Q : (X \rightarrow Y) \) and \( R : (Y \rightarrow Z) \) be two intuitionistic fuzzy relations. The max-min-max composition \( R \circ Q \) is the intuitionistic fuzzy relation defined by the membership function

\[
\mu_{R \circ Q}(x,z) = \bigvee_y (\mu_Q(x,y) \land \mu_R(y,z)) \tag{4}
\]

and the nonmembership function

\[
\nu_{R \circ Q}(x,z) = \bigwedge_y (\nu_Q(x,y) \lor \nu_R(y,z)) \tag{5}
\]

for all \( (x,z) \in X \times Z \) and for all \( y \in Y \).

**Definition 7.** Let \( Q : (X \rightarrow Y) \) and \( R : (Y \rightarrow Z) \) be two intuitionistic fuzzy relations. The max-product-min-product composition \( R \cdot Q \) is the intuitionistic fuzzy relation defined by the membership function

\[
\mu_{R \cdot Q}(x,z) = \bigvee_y (\mu_Q(x,y) \cdot \mu_R(y,z)) \tag{6}
\]

and the nonmembership function

\[
\nu_{R \cdot Q}(x,z) = \bigwedge_y (\nu_Q(x,y) \cdot \nu_R(y,z)) \tag{7}
\]

for all \( (x,z) \in X \times Z \) and for all \( y \in Y \).

Throughout this paper, we denote \( G^* \), a crisp simple digraph, and \( G \), an intuitionistic fuzzy digraph.

3. Applications of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Digraphs in Decision Support Systems

**Definition 8.** An intuitionistic fuzzy digraph of a digraph \( G^* \) is a pair \( A = \langle V, \mu_A, v_A \rangle \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in \( V \) and \( B = \langle V \times V, \mu_B, v_B \rangle \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy relation on \( V \) such that

\[
\mu_B(xy) \leq \min (\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)), \tag{8}
\]

\[
\nu_B(xy) \leq \max (v_A(x), v_A(y)),
\]

and \( 0 \leq \mu_B(xy) + v_B(xy) \leq 1 \) for all \( x, y \in V \). We note that \( B \) may not be symmetric relation.

**Example 9.** Consider a graph \( G^* = (V, E) \) such that \( V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \) and \( E = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\} \subseteq V \times V \). Let \( A \)
be an intuitionistic fuzzy set of $V$ and let $B$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy relation on $V$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_A & = (0.4, 0.6, 0.5, 0.5) \\
\gamma_A & = (0.1, 0.2, 0.1, 0.2) \\
\mu_B & = (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4) \\
\gamma_B & = (0.1, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1)
\end{align*}
$$

By routine computations, it is easy to see from Figure 1 that $G = (A, B)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy digraph of $G^*$.

The intuitionistic fuzzy digraph $G$ is represented by adjacency matrix given below:

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix}
(0.0, 1.0) & (0.1, 0.1) & (0.0, 1.0) & (0.0, 1.0) \\
(0.0, 1.0) & (0.0, 1.0) & (0.2, 0.1) & (0.0, 1.0) \\
(0.0, 1.0) & (0.0, 1.0) & (0.0, 1.0) & (0.3, 0.1) \\
(0.4, 0.1) & (0.0, 1.0) & (0.0, 1.0) & (0.0, 1.0)
\end{bmatrix}
$$

We now present several applications of intuitionistic fuzzy digraphs in decision support systems in the areas of management, marketing, medical diagnosis, gas pipeline networks, and transportation.

### 3.1. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Organizational Model

In this subsection, we explore an intuitionistic fuzzy graph model to find out the most influential person within an organization, which is called influence graph. In an influence graph, vertices represent an employee and edges represent the influence of an employee on another employee of a company. Such graphs have applications in modeling social structures, communication, and distributed computing.

We consider an organization having employees and their designation as shown in Table 1. For this organization, the set of employees is $E = \{\text{BOD, MQ, TM, MZ, AK, RB, St}\}$.

Upon some investigation, we discover the following.

(i) Mujeeb Qayyum has worked with Munib Zia for over 10 years, and he values his input on strategic initiatives.

(ii) The board of directors is chaired by a long time associate of Munib Zia. Like Mujeeb, the chair of board also values Munib.

(iii) For reorganization, the entire marketing and HR team will be very important. Rizwan Bashir will be especially important.

(iv) Tahir Mahmood and Rizwan Bashir have a history of conflict.

(v) Tahir Mahmood has great influence on the development team.

Considering the above points, an influence graph can be developed, but such a graph cannot represent the power of employees within an organization and the degree of influence of employees on each other. As the powers and influence have no defined boundaries, it is desired to represent them in the form of fuzzy set. The fuzzy digraph represents the influence of employees on each other, but there is a fair chance of the existence of nonnull hesitation part at each moment of evaluation of influence. We apply here the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy set, which is more precise about the influence and conflicts between the employees. The intuitionistic fuzzy set of the employees is as follows.

We represent the influence in the intuitionistic fuzzy digraph by an edge. The resultant intuitionistic fuzzy digraph is shown in Figure 2 and corresponding adjacency matrix is shown in Table 3.

The nodes of intuitionistic fuzzy digraph in Figure 2 represent the employee and its power in terms of degree of membership and nonmembership which can be interpreted as percentage; for example, MQ possesses 90% power within the organization (Table 2). Similarly, the edges of an intuitionistic fuzzy digraph represent the influence of one person on another person, that is, end nodes of edges. The degree of membership and nonmembership can be interpreted as the percentage of positive and negative influence; for example, 60% of the time BOD works on MZ’s opinion but 10% of the time they do not follow his opinion.

In Figure 2, it is clear that MZ has influence both on BOD and on MQ. He can influence both of them equally as the degree of membership in both cases is 0.6, that is, 60%. But in case of MQ, the degree of hesitation is 0.4; that is, $(\pi = 1 - 0.6 - 0.0)$, and in case of BOQ it is 0.3; that is,
The adjacency matrix corresponding to Figure 2 is shown in Table 3.

|     | BOD | MQ  | TM  | MZ  | AK  | RB  | St  |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| BOD | (0.0,1.0) | (0.8,0.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) |
| MQ  | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.7,0.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.2,0.3) |
| TM  | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.4,0.3) |
| MZ  | (0.6,0.1) | (0.6,0.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.3,0.3) |
| AK  | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.6,0.3) | (0.3,0.3) |
| RB  | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.2,0.3) |
| St  | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) | (0.0,1.0) |

Figure 2: Influence intuitionistic fuzzy digraph.

The intuitionistic fuzzy relation $Q(D \rightarrow S)$ is shown in Table 4.

Consider the set of patients as $P = \{\text{Fayyaz, Amir, Aslam}\}$. The intuitionistic fuzzy relation $R(S \rightarrow P)$ is given in Table 5.

The max product composition $T = R \circ Q$ is shown in Table 6.

By applying Algorithm 1 on Table 6, it is identified that Fayyaz is suffering from Dengue, Amir is suffering from Diabetes, and Aslam is a patient of Tuberculosis.

In the first portion of Algorithm 1, from lines 1–5, the parameters are set and max product composition is calculated. Each disease is ranked using $S_T$; that is, $S_T := \mu_i - \nu_i \ast \pi_i$, in a loop. The patient is suffering from the disease having maximum $S_T$. If two diseases have the same $S_T$, then their hesitation function is tested; that is, $\pi = 1 - \nu_i$. The disease having less hesitation is selected.

### 3.3. Architecture of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Neurons in Marketability

The marketability of a book can be studied based on three criteria, that is, pictures $P$, cost $C$, and examples $E$. It is known that if a book has more examples, low cost, and a large numbers of pictures, the sale of the book improves.
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Algorithm 1: IF neurons in medical diagnosis.

```plaintext
void diagnoseDisease()
{
    R = Patient symptoms;
    Q = Relation between disease and symptoms;
    T = Q ⊗ R;
    S_max = 0;
    countDisease = T.height;
    for (int i = 0; i ≤ countDisease; i++)
    {
        S_i = μ_i - μ_i * π_i;
        if (S_max == S_i)
        {
            if (degree of hesitation of S_max ≤ degree of hesitation of S_i)
            {
                S_max = S_i;
                Diagnose = disease;
            }
        } else if (S_max < S_i)
        {
            S_max = S_i;
            Diagnose = disease;
        }
    }
    print diagnose;
    if (diagnose is not accepted by the doctor)
    {
        Modify Q;
        diagnoseDisease();
    }
}
```

Table 4: Intuitionistic fuzzy relation \(Q(D \to S)\).

|        | Temperature | Insulin | Blood pressure | Blood platelets | Cough |
|--------|-------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Diabetes | (0.2, 0.8)  | (0.9, 0.1) | (0.1, 0.8) | (0.1, 0.8) | (0.1, 0.8) |
| Dengue  | (0.9, 0.1)  | (0.0, 0.8) | (0.8, 0.1) | (0.9, 0.1) | (0.1, 0.8) |
| Tuberculosis | (0.6, 0.2) | (0.0, 0.9) | (0.4, 0.4) | (0.0, 0.8) | (0.9, 0.1) |

Table 5: Intuitionistic fuzzy relation \(R(S \to P)\).

|        | Fayyaz | Amir | Aslam |
|--------|--------|------|-------|
| Temperature | (0.8, 0.1) | (0.6, 0.2) | (0.4, 0.4) |
| Insulin | (0.2, 0.6) | (0.9, 0.1) | (0.2, 0.7) |
| Blood pressure | (0.4, 0.4) | (0.1, 0.8) | (0.1, 0.7) |
| Blood platelets | (0.8, 0.1) | (0.2, 0.7) | (0.3, 0.6) |
| Cough | (0.3, 0.4) | (0.5, 0.4) | (0.8, 0.2) |

Table 6: Composition \(T = R \circ Q(D \to P)\).

|        | Fayyaz | Amir | Aslam |
|--------|--------|------|-------|
| Diabetes | (0.18, 0.06) | (0.81, 0.01) | (0.18, 0.07) |
| Dengue | (0.72, 0.01) | (0.54, 0.02) | (0.36, 0.04) |
| Tuberculosis | (0.48, 0.02) | (0.45, 0.04) | (0.72, 0.02) |

Suppose that by the “better SALE” we mean a sale of 60 percent of books and the pattern of the set of criteria \(C_r\), that is, \{examples, cost, pictures\}, in intuitionistic fuzzy set is

\[ C_r = [(0.6, 0.3) (0.1, 0.8) (0.6, 0.3)]. \]  

(12)

This set can be interpreted as about 60 percent of the books contain examples and pictures, but the cost is not very low as its degree of membership is 0.1. To determine the better sale, we present it in intuitionistic fuzzy digraph given in Figure 3 and apply Algorithm 2 to it.

The digraph in Figure 3 shows a typical three-layered architecture of intuitionistic fuzzy neuron, that is, input, hidden, and output layer. In an intuitionistic fuzzy neuron, the input, hidden, and output weights are defined in terms of degree of membership and degree of nonmembership. The aggregation, or activation, of a neuron involves the degrees of both membership and nonmembership. A node in the input layer represents the criteria \(C\) of sales. A node in the hidden layer shows the aggregation/activation of the neuron, and the output layer shows the expected sales. The relation between the input and hidden layers is

\[
IH = \begin{bmatrix}
(0.5, 0.5) & (0.5, 0.3) & (0.0, 1.0) \\
(0.1, 0.8) & (0.0, 1.0) & (0.1, 0.8) \\
(0.0, 1.0) & (0.5, 0.3) & (0.0, 1.0)
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(13)
and the relation between the hidden and output layers is

\[
HO = \begin{bmatrix}
(0.3, 0.64) \\
(0.3, 0.09) \\
(0.02, 0.64)
\end{bmatrix}.
\] (14)

The output on the hidden layer can be computed by taking composition between IH and C; that is, \( O' = C \circ IH \):

\[
O' = \begin{bmatrix}
(0.5, 0.5) \\
(0.5, 0.3) \\
(0.1, 0.8)
\end{bmatrix}.
\] (15)

Similarly, the final output is calculated by taking composition between \( O' \) and \( HO \); that is, \( O'' = O' \circ HO \):

\[
O'' = \begin{bmatrix}
(0.15, 0.32)
\end{bmatrix}.
\] (16)

The fuzzy digraph output layer in Figure 3 shows that the sale is about 15% with 53% hesitation. Algorithm 2 describes the overall scheme.

The first three lines of Algorithm 2 set the required input. At line 4, output on hidden layer is calculated by taking the composition between \( C \) and IH relation. Final output is calculated on line 5 by taking the composition between output of hidden layer and HO relation. Finally, lines 7–10 check whether the results are in the desirable limits or not. If they are not within limits, the membership and nonmembership functions are modified using back propagation.

3.4. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Digraph in Vulnerability Assessment of Gas Pipeline Networks. Vulnerability assessment of gas network can be categorized into structural components reliability, connectivity reliability, flow performance reliability, and/or interdependent reliability. These reliabilities depended on the type of pipe and fittings used, their aging, and the connection between fitting and pipe. In most cases, we do not know the exact age and condition of connectivity. We can present these factors as an intuitionistic fuzzy set. Any gas network can be represented as an intuitionistic fuzzy digraph \( G(F, P) \), where \( F \) is the intuitionistic fuzzy set of pipe fittings, presenting their ages and connectivity conditions as

(1) void marketability() {
(2) \( C = \) Criteria of sale;
(3) \( IH = \) Relation between input and hidden layer;
(4) \( HO = \) Relation between hidden and output layer;
(5) \( O' = C \circ IH \);
(6) \( O'' = O' \circ HO \);
(7) if (\( O'' \) is not expected) {
(8) Modify \( C \) using back propagation;
(9) marketability();
(10) }
(11) print \( O'' \);
(12) }

Algorithm 2: Architecture of IF neurons in marketability.
The degrees of membership $\mu_F(x)$ and nonmembership $\nu_F(x)$, and $P$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set of pipelines between fittings. In graph theoretic terms, $P$ is a set of edges (i.e., pipelines) between two vertices (i.e., fittings). The degrees of membership $\mu_F(x,y)$ and nonmembership $\nu_F(x,y)$ are calculated as

$$\mu_F(x,y) \leq \min(\mu_F(x),\mu_F(y)),$$

$$\nu_F(x,y) \leq \max(\nu_F(x),\nu_F(y)).$$

(17)

Consider the intuitionistic fuzzy set of pipe fittings:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
0.7 & 0.5 & 0.6 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\
0.1 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.4 & 0.3
\end{bmatrix}.$$  

(18)

The intuitionistic fuzzy digraph $G(F, P)$ of the gas pipeline network, shown in Figure 4, is represented by the following adjacency matrix:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix}
(0.0,1.0) & (0.5,0.3) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) \\
(0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.5,0.3) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) \\
(0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.5,0.4) & (0.3,0.3) \\
(0.6,0.2) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.5,0.4) & (0.5,0.3) \\
(0.6,0.3) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) \\
(0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0) & (0.0,1.0)
\end{bmatrix}.$$  

(19)

The overall algorithm is explained in Algorithm 3. It takes an intuitionistic fuzzy set of pipeline fittings as an input. Lines 3–6 calculate the degrees of membership and nonmembership for edges, and line 7 assigns them to an intuitionistic fuzzy set of edges and adjacency matrix is prepared in line 8. Finally, a weighted adjacency matrix is calculated in lines 9–12 using rank techniques based on the degrees of membership and nonmembership. This weighted matrix is printed in line 13 and is used for calculating vulnerability in line 14.

3.5. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Digraph in Travel Time. In many network models such as transportation, communication graphs...
are used as a natural mathematical model to identify problems and solve them. Many of these networks can be modeled using communication graphs to find the shortest/optimal paths between the endpoints, that is, vertices and nodes, of networks. The optimality criteria are often evaluated in terms of weights of arcs/edges between two adjacent vertices in the network. In case of transportation and road networks, the travel time is mostly used as weight. The travel time is a function of the traffic density on the road and/or the length of the road. The length of a road is a crisp quantity but the traffic density is fuzzy. In a road network, we represent crossings as nodes and roads as edges. The traffic density is mostly calculated on the road between adjacent crossings. These numbers can be represented as intuitionistic fuzzy numbers. Figure 6 shows a model of a road network represented as an intuitionistic fuzzy graph $R^* = (C, L)$, where $C$ is an

**Algorithm 3: IF in vulnerability assessment of gas pipeline networks.**

```c
(1) void fuzzyPipelineVulnerability() { 
(2)   $F = \text{Intuitionistic fuzzy set of pipeline fitting;}$
(3)   countFit = count(F);
(4)   $P = \text{Empty intuitionistic fuzzy set;}$
(5)   for (int $x = 0; x < \text{countFit}; x++$) { 
(6)       for (int $y = 0; y < \text{countFit}; y++$) { 
(7)         if ($F(x)$ is adjacent to $F(y)$) { 
(8)           $\mu_{P(xy)} = \min(\mu_{F(x)}, \mu_{F(y)});$ 
(9)           $\gamma_{P(xy)} = \max(\gamma_{F(x)}, \gamma_{F(y)});$ 
(10)      } 
(11)   } 
(12) } 
(13) $P = \text{Intuitionistic fuzzy set of edges;}$
(14) $G = \text{Intuitionistic fuzzy relation (adjacency matrix of } F \times F);$ 
(15) $WG = \text{Weighted relation (adjacency matrix of } F \times F);$ 
(16) noOfEdges = count(P); 
(17) for (int $i = 0; i < \text{noOfEdges}; i++$) { 
(18)     $S_i = \mu_{P_i} - \nu_{P_i} \times \pi_{P_i};$
(19)     $x = \text{Adjacent from Node of } P_i;$ 
(20)     $y = \text{Adjacent to Node of } P_i;$ 
(21)     $WG_{xy} = S_i;$ 
(22) } 
(23) print WG; 
(24) Calculate Vulnerability using $WG;$ 
(25) }
```
The intuitionistic fuzzy set of crossings at which the traffic density is calculated:

\[ C = \{ \langle C1, 0.8, 0.1 \rangle, \langle C2, 0.5, 0.3 \rangle, \langle C3, 0.6, 0.3 \rangle, \langle C4, 0.7, 0.2 \rangle, \langle C5, 0.5, 0.3 \rangle \} \]

and \( L \) is an intuitionistic fuzzy set of roads between two crossings. The degrees of membership, \( \mu_{L(xy)} \), and nonmembership, \( \nu_{L(xy)} \), are calculated as

\[
\mu_{L(xy)} \leq \min \left( \mu_{C(x)}, \mu_{C(y)} \right), \\
\nu_{L(xy)} \leq \max \left( \nu_{C(x)}, \nu_{C(y)} \right).
\]

The intuitionistic fuzzy digraph \( R \) of the road network is represented by the adjacency matrix given below:

\[
R = \begin{bmatrix}
0.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The final weights on edges can be calculated by finding the rank as \( SL_1 := \mu_{L} - \nu_{L} \cdot \pi_{L} \). The final adjacency matrix and graph are developed based on these weights as shown in Figure 7:

\[
WR = \begin{bmatrix}
0.0 & 0.44 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.31 & 0.0 & 0.44 & 0.31 \\
0.57 & 0.0 & 0.44 & 0.0 & 0.44 \\
0.56 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.31 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The above weighted adjacency matrix represents the final weighted digraph \( WR \), which can be used for finding the shortest/optimal path between two vertices by any of the known methods, including Dijkstra and A-star. Algorithm 4 generates the weighted digraph, \( WR \), for the given intuitionistic fuzzy graph \( R^* \) and uses it to calculate the optimal path from a source node.

Algorithm 4 is quite similar to Algorithm 3. This algorithm initially sets an intuitionistic fuzzy set of crossings. Lines 3–6 calculate the values of degrees of membership and nonmembership for roads, which are assigned to intuitionistic fuzzy set of edges in line 7 and then the adjacency matrix is prepared in line 8. Finally, a weighted adjacency matrix is calculated in lines 9–12 using rank techniques based on degrees of membership and nonmembership. This weighted matrix that is printed on line 13 can be used for calculating the shortest path using any known algorithm like Dijkstra or A-star in line 14.
4. Conclusions

Fuzzy digraph theory has numerous applications in modern sciences and technology, especially in the fields of operations research, neural networks, artificial intelligence, and decision making. An intuitionistic fuzzy set is a generalization of a fuzzy set. Intuitionistic fuzzy models give more precision, flexibility, and compatibility to the system as compared to the fuzzy models. We have discussed several intuitionistic fuzzy intelligent systems in this paper. The natural extension of this research work is application of intuitionistic fuzzy digraphs in the area of soft computing including neural networks, decision making, and geographical information systems. We plan to extend our research of fuzzification to (1)
application of fuzzy soft graphs in decision support systems,
(2) application of rough graphs in decision support systems,
and (3) application of bipolar fuzzy graphs in decision
support systems.

Conflict of Interests
The authors declare that they do not have any conflict of
interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment
The authors are highly grateful to the anonymous referees for
their insightful comments and valuable suggestions.

References
[1] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," Information and Control, vol. 8, no. 3,
pp. 338–353, 1965.
[2] A. Kauffman, Introduction a la Theorie des Sous-Ensembles Fous,
vol. 1, Masson et Cie, 1973.
[3] L. A. Zadeh, "Similarity relations and fuzzy orderings," Informa-
tion Sciences, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 177–200, 1971.
[4] A. Rosenfeld, "Fuzzy graphs," in Fuzzy Sets and Their Appli-
cations, L. A. Zadeh, K. S. Fu, and M. Shimura, Eds., pp. 77–95,
Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1975.
[5] P. Bhattacharya, "Some remarks on fuzzy graphs," Pattern
Recognition Letters, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 297–302, 1987.
[6] J. N. Mordeson and P. S. Nair, Fuzzy Graphs and Fuzzy
Hypergraphs, Physica, Heidelberg, Germany, 2nd edition, 1998–
2001.
[7] M. S. Sunitha and A. Vijaya Kumar, "Complement of a fuzzy
graph," Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 33,
no. 9, pp. 1451–1464, 2002.
[8] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets: Theory and Appli-
cations, Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing, Physica,
Heidelberg, Germany, 2012.
[9] M. Akram and B. Davvaz, "Strong intuitionistic fuzzy graphs,"
Filomat, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 177–195, 2012.
[10] M. Akram and W. A. Dudek, "Intuitionistic fuzzy hypergraphs
with applications," Information Sciences, vol. 218, pp. 182–193,
2013.
[11] M. Akram and N. O. Al-Shehrie, "Intuitionistic fuzzy cycles and
intuitionistic fuzzy trees, " The Scientific World Journal, vol. 2014,
Article ID 305836, 11 pages, 2014.
[12] S. Y. Wu, "The Compositions of fuzzy digraphs," Journal of
Research in Education Sciences, vol. 31, pp. 603–628, 1986.
[13] K. T. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets," VII ITKRS Session,
Deposed in Central for Science-Technical Library of Bulgarian
Academy of Sciences, 1697/84, Sofia, Bulgaria, June 1983.
(Bulgarian).