COUNTABLY INFINITELY MANY POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR EVEN ORDER BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS WITH STURM-LIOUVILLE TYPE INTEGRAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS ON TIME SCALES

K. R. PRASAD* AND MD. KHUDDUSH

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish the existence of countably infinitely many positive solutions for a certain even order two-point boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions on time scales by using Hölder’s inequality and Krasnoselskii’s fixed point theorem for operators on a cone.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of dynamic equations on time scales unifies existing results in differential and finite difference equations, and provides powerful new tools for exploring connections between the traditionally separated fields. For details refer to the books by Bohner and Peterson [6], [7], Lakshmikantham et al. [23] and the papers [1], [3], [19].

The boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions occur in the study of nonlocal phenomena in many different areas of applied mathematics, physics and engineering, in particular, in heat conduction, chemical engineering, underground waterflow, thermo-elasticity, plasma physics, [2], [10], [11], [21], [22], [27], [33], [36] and reference therein. Recently, authors established the existence of positive solutions to boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions on time scales; for details, see [9], [12], [13], [18], [26], [28], [32], [34] and reference therein.

However, to the best of our knowledge, little work has been done on the existence of positive solutions for higher order boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions on time scales. We would like to mention some results of Karasa and Tokmak [20], Li and Wang [25], Li and Sun [24], Cetin and Topal [8], and Sreedhar et al [31] which motivate us to consider the problem (1.6)-(1.7). In 2013, Karasa and Tokmak [20] established the existence of a positive solution of the following third order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions,

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(-u^{\Delta\Delta}(t)\right)^{\Delta} + q(t)f(t,u(t),u^{\Delta}(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in [0,1], \\
au(0) - bu^{\Delta}(0) &= \int_{0}^{1} g_{1}(s)u(s)\Delta s, \\
cu(1) + du^{\Delta}(1) &= \int_{0}^{1} g_{2}(s)u(s)\Delta s, \\
u^{\Delta\Delta}(1) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]  

(1.1)

by using four functionals fixed point theorem. In the same year, Li and Wang [25] studied the existence of a positive solution of the following nonlinear third order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions,

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(-u^{\Delta\Delta}(t)\right)^{\Delta} + q(t)f(t,u(t),u^{\Delta}(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in [0,1], \\
au(0) - bu^{\Delta}(0) &= \int_{0}^{1} g_{1}(s,u(s))\Delta s, \\
cu(1) + du^{\Delta}(1) &= \int_{0}^{1} g_{2}(s)u(s)\Delta s, \\
u^{\Delta\Delta}(1) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]  

(1.2)

by applying a generalization of the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem. In [24], Li and Sun studied the following boundary value problem on time scales,

\[
\begin{align*}
x^{\Delta}(t) + p(t)x^{\sigma}(t) &= f\left(t,x^{\sigma}(t)\right), \quad t \in (0,T)_{\mathbb{T}}, \\
x(0) - \beta x^{\sigma}(T) &= \alpha \int_{0}^{\sigma(T)} x^{\sigma}(s)\Delta g(s),
\end{align*}
\]  

(1.3)
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where \( x^\sigma = x \circ \sigma \), and by using fixed point index theory the existence of infinitely many positive solutions for (1.3) are obtained. In [8], Cetin and Topal investigated the existence of solutions for integral boundary value problems on time scales,

\[
[p(t)x_\Delta(t)]^\nabla + q(t)x(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in [a, b]_T,
\]

\[
\alpha x(\rho(a)) - \beta x_\Delta(\rho(a)) = \int_{\rho(a)}^b h_1(x(s))_\nabla s, \quad \gamma x(b) + \delta x_\Delta(b) = \int_{\rho(a)}^b h_2(x(s))_\nabla s,
\]

by using Schauder fixed point theorem in a cone and by the method of upper and lower solutions. In 2017, Sreedhar et al [31] considered the \( 2n^{th} \) order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions on time scales,

\[
(-1)^nu_\Delta^n(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1)_T,
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{i+1}u_\Delta(0) - \beta_{i+1}u_\Delta(0) &= \int_0^1 a_{i+1}(s)u_\Delta(1) = \int_0^1 b_{i+1}(s)u_\Delta(1) \\
\gamma_{i+1}u_\Delta(1) + \delta_{i+1}u_\Delta(1) &= \int_0^1 a_{i+1}(s)u_\Delta(1)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( n \) act as positive. By using Avery-Henderson fixed point theorem, the authors established the existence of even number of positive solutions for (1.5).

Motivated by the work mentioned above, in this paper we investigate the existence of infinitely many positive solutions for the even order boundary value problem on time scales given by

\[
(-1)^nu^{(\Delta\nabla)^n}(t) = \omega(t)f(u(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1]_T,
\]

satisfying the Sturm-Liouville type integral boundary conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{i+1}u_\Delta(0) - \beta_{i+1}u_\Delta(0) &= \int_0^1 a_{i+1}(s)u_\Delta(1) = \int_0^1 b_{i+1}(s)u_\Delta(1) \\
\gamma_{i+1}u_\Delta(1) + \delta_{i+1}u_\Delta(1) &= \int_0^1 a_{i+1}(s)u_\Delta(1)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( n \geq 1, T \) is a time scale, \( f \in C([0, +\infty), [0, +\infty]), \omega(t) \in L^p_T[0, b] \) for some \( p \geq 1 \) and has countably many singularities in \( (0, \frac{1}{2})_T \). We show that the boundary value problem (1.6)-(1.7) has countably infinitely many positive solutions by imposing suitable conditions on \( \omega \) and \( f \). The key tool in our approach is the Hölder’s inequality and Krasnoselskii’s fixed point theorem for operators on a cone.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we provide some definitions and lemmas which are useful for our later discussions; for details, see [2], [4], [5], [6], [16], [30], [35].

**Definition 2.1.** A time scale \( T \) is a nonempty closed subset of the real numbers \( \mathbb{R} \). \( T \) has the topology that it inherits from the real numbers with the standard topology. It follows that the jump operators \( \sigma, \rho : T \to T \),

\[
\sigma(t) = \inf \{ r \in T : r > t \}, \quad \rho(t) = \sup \{ r \in T : r < t \}
\]

(supplemented by \( \inf \emptyset := \sup T \) and \( \sup \emptyset := \inf T \)) are well defined. The point \( t \in T \) is left-dense, left-scattered, right-dense, right-scattered if \( \rho(t) = t \), \( \sigma(t) < t \), \( \sigma(t) = t \), \( \sigma(t) < t \), respectively.

By an interval time scale, we mean the intersection of a real interval with a given time scale. i.e., \([a, b]_T = [a, b] \cap T \) other intervals can be defined similarly.

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( \mu_\Delta \) and \( \mu_\nabla \) be the Lebesgue \( \Delta- \)measure and the Lebesgue \( \nabla- \)measure on \( T \), respectively. If \( A \subset T \) satisfies \( \mu_\Delta(A) = \mu_\nabla(A) \), then we call \( A \) is measurable on \( T \), denoted \( \mu(A) \) and this value is called the Lebesgue measure of \( A \). Let \( P \) denote a proposition with respect to \( t \in T \).

(i) If there exists \( E_1 \subset A \) with \( \mu_\Delta(E_1) = 0 \) such that \( P \) holds on \( A \setminus E_1 \), then \( P \) is said to hold \( \Delta- \)a.e. on \( A \).

(ii) If there exists \( E_2 \subset A \) with \( \mu_\nabla(E_2) = 0 \) such that \( P \) holds on \( A \setminus E_2 \), then \( P \) is said to hold \( \nabla- \)a.e. on \( A \).
**Definition 2.3.** Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ be a $\nabla$-measurable set and $p \in \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ be such that $p \geq 1$ and let $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$ be $\nabla$-measurable function. We say that $f$ belongs to $L^p(E)$ provided that either

$$\int_E |f|^p(s)\nabla s < \infty \quad \text{if} \quad p \in \mathbb{R},$$

or there exists a constant $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|f| \leq M, \quad \nabla \text{ a.e. on } E \quad \text{if} \quad p = +\infty.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ be a $\nabla$-measurable set. If $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a $\nabla$-integrable on $E$, then

$$\int_E f(s)\nabla s = \int_E f(s)ds + \sum_{i \in I_E} (t_i - \rho(t_i)) f(t_i),$$

where $I_E := \{i \in I : t_i \in E\}$ and $\{t_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathbb{N}$, is the set of all left-scattered points of $\mathbb{T}$.

For convenience, we introduce the following notation throughout the paper: For $\tau \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \mathbb{T}$,

$$\phi_i(t) := \gamma_i + \delta_i - \gamma_i t, \quad \psi_i(t) := \beta_i + \alpha_i t, \quad d_i := \gamma_i\beta_i + \alpha_i\delta_i + \alpha_i\gamma_i,$$

$$G_i(t, s) := \frac{1}{d_i} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi_i(s)\psi_i(t), \quad t \leq s, \\ \phi_i(t)\psi_i(s), \quad s \leq t, \end{array} \right. \quad A := \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^1 G_i(s, r)a_i(s)\nabla s \right] \omega(r)\nabla r,$$

$$B := \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^1 G_i(s, r)b_i(s)\nabla s \right] \omega(r)\nabla r, \quad u_i := \frac{1}{d_i} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 a_i(t)\phi_i(t)\nabla t, \quad u_i^* := \frac{1}{d_i} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 a_i(t)\psi_i(t)\nabla t,$$

$$v_i := \frac{1}{d_i} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 b_i(t)\phi_i(t)\nabla t, \quad v_i^* := \frac{1}{d_i} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 b_i(t)\psi_i(t)\nabla t,$$

$$\theta_i(t) := \frac{(1 - v_i^*)\phi_i(t) + v_i^*\psi_i(t)}{d_i((1 - u_i^*)(1 - v_i) - u_i^*v_i^*)}, \quad \zeta_i(t) := \frac{(1 - u_i)\psi_i(t) + u_i^*\phi_i(t)}{d_i((1 - u_i)(1 - v_i) - u_i^*v_i^*)},$$

$$\theta^{*}_{\tau} := \max_{t \in [\tau, 1-\tau]} \theta_i(t), \quad \theta^{**}_{\tau} := \max_{t \in [\tau, 1-\tau]} \theta_i(t), \quad \zeta^{*}_{\tau} := \max_{t \in [\tau, 1-\tau]} \zeta_i(t),$$

$$a^*_{\tau} := \int_0^1 a_i(t)\nabla t, \quad a_i(\tau) := \int_0^{1-\tau} a_i(t)\nabla t, \quad b^*_{\tau} := \int_0^1 b_i(t)\nabla t, \quad b_i(\tau) := \int_{\tau}^{1-\tau} b_i(t)\nabla t,$$

$$g_i := \int_0^1 G_i(t, t)\nabla t, \quad g_i(\tau) := \int_{\tau}^{1-\tau} G_i(t, t)\nabla t, \quad J_0 := [0, 1] \mathbb{T}.$$

We make the following assumptions throughout the paper:

(H1) there exists a sequence $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} (k \in \mathbb{N})$, $t_1 < \frac{1}{2}$, $\lim_{k \to \infty} t_k = t^* \geq 0$ and $\lim_{t \to t_0} \omega(t) = +\infty$ for all $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$.

(H2) $\omega \in L^p_c(J_0)$ for some $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ and there exists $m > 0$ such that $\omega(t) \geq m$ for all $[t^*, 1-t^*] \mathbb{T}$.

(H3) $f : J_0 \times [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ is continuous,

(H4) $a_i, b_i \in L^p_c(J_0)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, are nonnegative and $(1 - u_i)(1 - v_i) - v_i^*u_i^* > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ on $J_0$.

(H5) $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i \geq 0$ such that $d_i := \gamma_i\beta_i + \alpha_i\delta_i + \alpha_i\gamma_i > 0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The rest of the paper is organized in the following fashion. In Section 2, we provide some definitions and lemmas that provide us with some useful information concerning the behavior of solution of the boundary value problem (1.6)-(1.7). In Section 3, we construct the Green’s function for the homogeneous problem corresponding to (1.6)-(1.7), estimate bounds for the Green’s function, and some lemmas which are needed in establishing our main results are provided. In Section 4, we establish a criteria for the existence of countable number of positive solutions for the boundary value problem (1.6)-(1.7) by applying Krasnoselskii’s fixed point theorem in cones. Finally, we provide an example of a family of functions $\omega(t)$ that satisfy conditions (H1) – (H3).
3. Green’s Function and Bounds

In this section, we construct the Green’s function for the homogeneous problem corresponding to (1.6)-(1.7) and estimate bounds for the Green’s function.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \((H4), (H5)\) hold. Then for any \(h(t) \in C(J_0)\), the boundary value problem,

\[
-u^\Delta(t) = h(t), \quad t \in J_0,
\]

\[
\alpha_i u(0) - \beta_i u^\Delta(0) = \int_0^1 a_i(s)u(s)\nabla s, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,
\]

\[
\gamma_i u(1) + \delta_i u^\Delta(1) = \int_0^1 b_i(s)u(s)\nabla s, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,
\]

has a unique solution

\[
u(t) = \int_0^1 K_i(t,s)h(s)\nabla s, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n,
\]

where,

\[
K_i(t,s) = G_i(t,s) + \theta_i(t) \int_0^1 G_i(r,s)a_j(r)\nabla r + \zeta_i(t) \int_0^1 G_i(r,s)b_i(r)\nabla r,
\]

for \(1 \leq i \leq n,
\]

**Proof.** Suppose that \(u\) is a solution of (3.1), then, we have

\[
u(t) = -\int_0^t \int_0^s h(r)\nabla r \Delta s + At + B
\]

where \(A = \lim_{t \to 0^+} u^\Delta(t)\) and \(B = u(0)\). Using the boundary conditions (3.1), (3.2) we can determined \(A\) and \(B\) as

\[
A = \frac{1}{d_i} \int_0^1 [\alpha_i b_i(s) - \gamma_i a_i(s)]u(s)\nabla s + \frac{\alpha_i}{d_i} \int_0^1 [\gamma_i(1 - s) + \delta_i]h(s)\nabla s
\]

\[
B = \frac{1}{d_i} \int_0^1 [(\gamma_i + \delta_i)a_i(s) + \beta_i b_i(s)]u(s)\nabla s + \frac{\beta_i}{d_i} \int_0^1 [\gamma_i(1 - s) + \delta_i]h(s)\nabla s
\]

Thus, we have

\[
u(t) = \frac{1}{d_i} \left[ \int_0^t (\gamma_i + \delta_i - \gamma_i t)(\beta_i + \alpha_i s)h(s)\nabla s + \int_t^1 (\gamma_i + \delta_i - \gamma_i s)(\beta_i + \alpha_i t)h(s)\nabla s \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{d_i} \left[ \int_0^1 [(\gamma_i + \delta_i - \gamma_i t)a_i(s) + (\beta_i + \alpha_i t)b_i(s)]u(s)\nabla s \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{d_i} \left[ \int_0^t \phi_i(t)\psi_i(s)h(s)\nabla s + \int_t^1 \phi_i(s)\psi_i(t)h(s)\nabla s \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{d_i} \int_0^1 [\phi_i(t)a_i(s) + \psi_i(t)b_i(s)]u(s)\nabla s,
\]

from which, we obtain

\[
u(t) = \int_0^1 G_i(t,s)h(s)\nabla s + \frac{1}{d_i} \phi_i(t) \int_0^1 a_i(s)u(s)\nabla s + \frac{1}{d_i} \psi_i(t) \int_0^1 b_i(s)u(s)\nabla s.
\]

After certain computations we determined,

\[
\int_0^1 a_i(s)u(s)\nabla s = \frac{(1 - v_i)A_i + u_i^* B_i}{(1 - u_i)(1 - v_i) - v_i^* u_i^*},
\]

\[
\int_0^1 b_i(s)u(s)\nabla s = \frac{v_i^* A_i + (1 - u_i)B_i}{(1 - u_i)(1 - v_i) - v_i^* u_i^*}.
\]
From (3.7) and (3.8), (3.6) can be written as

\[
    u(t) = \int_0^1 G_i(t, s)h(s)\nabla s + \frac{1}{d_i} \phi_i(t) \frac{(1 - v_i)A_i + u_i^*B_i}{(1 - u_i)(1 - v_i) - v_i^*u_i^*} \\
    + \frac{1}{d_i} \psi_i(t) \frac{v_i^*A_i + (1 - u_i)B_i}{(1 - u_i)(1 - v_i) - v_i^*u_i^*} \\
    = \int_0^1 G_i(t, s)h(s)\nabla s + \frac{(1 - v_i)\phi_i(t) + v_i^*\psi_i(t)}{d_i((1 - u_i)(1 - v_i) - v_i^*u_i^*)} A_i \\
    + \frac{(1 - u_i)\psi_i(t) + u_i^*\phi_i(t)}{d_i((1 - u_i)(1 - v_i) - v_i^*u_i^*)} B_i \\
    = \int_0^1 G_i(t, s)h(s)\nabla s + \theta_i(t)A_i + \zeta_i(t)B_i \\
    = \int_0^1 G_i(t, s)h(s)\nabla s + \theta_i(t) \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^1 G_i(r, s)a_i(r)\nabla r \right) h(s)\nabla s \\
    + \zeta_i(t) \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^1 G_i(r, s)b_i(r)\nabla r \right) h(s)\nabla s \\
    = \int_0^1 \left[ G_i(t, s) + \theta_i(t) \int_0^1 G_i(r, s)a_i(r)\nabla r \\
    + \zeta_i(t) \int_0^1 G_i(r, s)b_i(r)\nabla r \right] h(s)\nabla s \\
    = \int_0^1 K_i(t, s)h(s)\nabla s,
\]

where \( K_i(t, s) \) is defined in (3.5). The proof is complete. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume that (H4), (H5) hold and for \( \tau \in (0, \frac{1}{2})_T \) define

\[
    \eta_i(\tau) = \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha_i\tau + \beta_i}{\alpha_i + \beta_i}, \frac{\gamma_i\tau + \delta_i}{\gamma_i + \delta_i} \right\} < 1. \]

Then \( G_i(t, s) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), satisfies the following properties:

(i) \( 0 \leq G_i(t, s) \leq G_i(s, s) \) for all \( t, s \in J_0 \),

(ii) \( 0 \leq \eta_i(\tau)G_i(s, s) \leq G_i(t, s) \) for all \( t \in [\tau, 1 - \tau]_T \) and \( s \in J_0 \).

**Lemma 3.3.** Assume that (H4), (H5) holds. Then \( K_i(t, s) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), have the following properties:

(i) \( 0 \leq K_i(t, s) \leq (1 + \theta_i^*a_i^* + \zeta_i^*b_i^*)G_i(s, s) \) for all \( t, s \in J_0 \),

(ii) \( 0 \leq \eta_i(\tau)(1 + \theta_i^*a_i(\tau) + \zeta_i^*b_i(\tau))G_i(s, s) \leq K_i(t, s) \) for all \( t \in [\tau, 1 - \tau]_T \) and \( s \in J_0 \).

**Lemma 3.4.** Assume that (H4), (H5) hold and \( K_j(t, s) \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq n \), is given in (3.5). Let \( H_1(t, s) = K_1(t, s) \) and recursively define

\[
    H_j(t, s) = \int_0^1 H_{j-1}(t, r)K_j(r, s)\nabla r, \quad \text{for} \quad 2 \leq j \leq n. \tag{3.9}
\]

Then \( H_n(t, s) \) is the Green’s function for the homogeneous boundary value problem

\[
    (-1)^nu^{(\Delta\nabla)^n}(t) = 0, \quad t \in J_0,
\]

\[
    \alpha_{i+1}u^{(\Delta\nabla)^i}(0) - \beta_{i+1}u^{(\Delta\nabla)^i}(0) = \int_0^1 a_{i+1}(s)u^{(\Delta\nabla)^i}(s)\nabla s, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n - 1,
\]

\[
    \gamma_{i+1}u^{(\Delta\nabla)^i}(1) + \delta_{i+1}u^{(\Delta\nabla)^i}(1) = \int_0^1 b_{i+1}(s)u^{(\Delta\nabla)^i}(s)\nabla s, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n - 1.
\]
**Lemma 3.5.** Assume that (H4), (H5) hold. Define \( g = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} g_j \), 

\[
\phi = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( 1 + \theta_j^* a_j^* + \zeta_j^* b_j^* \right), \quad \eta_r = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \eta_j(\tau) \left( 1 + \theta_j^* a_j(\tau) + \zeta_j^* b_j(\tau) \right), \quad g_r = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} g_j(\tau),
\]

then the Green’s function \( H_n(t,s) \) satisfies the following inequalities:

1. \( 0 \leq H_n(t,s) \leq g \phi G_n(s,s) \), for all \( t,s \in J_0 \) and
2. \( H_n(t,s) \geq \eta_r g_r G_n(s,s) \), for all \( t \in [\tau, 1 - \tau]_\mathbb{T} \) and \( s \in J_0 \).

**Proof.** It is clear that Green’s function \( H_n(t,s) \geq 0 \), for all \( t,s \in J_0 \). Now we prove the inequality by induction on \( n \) and denote the statement by \( p(n) \). From (3.5) we have

\[
H_1(t,s) = G_1(t,s) + \theta_1(t) \int_{0}^{1} G_1(r,s)a_1(r)\nabla r + \zeta_1(t) \int_{0}^{1} G_1(r,s)b_1(r)\nabla r \\
\leq G_1(s,s) + \theta_1(t) \int_{0}^{1} G_1(r,s)a_1(r)\nabla r + \zeta_1(t) \int_{0}^{1} G_1(r,s)b_1(r)\nabla r \\
\leq \left( 1 + \theta_1(t) \int_{0}^{1} a_1(r)\nabla r + \zeta_1(t) \int_{0}^{1} b_1(r)\nabla r \right) G_1(s,s) \\
\leq (1 + \theta_1^* a_1^* + \zeta_1^* b_1^*) G_1(s,s)
\]

and for \( t \in [\tau, 1 - \tau]_\mathbb{T} \),

\[
H_1(t,s) = G_1(t,s) + \theta_1(t) \int_{0}^{1} G_1(r,s)a_1(r)\nabla r + \zeta_1(t) \int_{0}^{1} G_1(r,s)b_1(r)\nabla r \\
\geq G_1(t,s) + \theta_1(t) \int_{\tau}^{1-\tau} G_1(r,s)a_1(r)\nabla r + \zeta_1(t) \int_{\tau}^{1-\tau} G_1(r,s)b_1(r)\nabla r \\
\geq \eta_1(\tau) G_1(s,s) + \theta_1(t) \int_{\tau}^{1-\tau} a_1(\tau)\nabla r \\
\quad + \zeta_1(t) \eta_1(\tau) G_1(s,s) \int_{\tau}^{1-\tau} b_1(\tau)\nabla r \\
\geq \left( 1 + \theta_1(t) \int_{\tau}^{1-\tau} a_1(\tau)\nabla r + \zeta_1(t) \int_{\tau}^{1-\tau} b_1(\tau)\nabla r \right) \eta_1(\tau) G_1(s,s) \\
\geq (1 + \theta_1^* a_1^* + \zeta_1^* b_1^*) \eta_1(\tau) G_1(s,s)
\]

Hence, \( p(1) \) is true. From (3.9), we have

\[
H_{m+1}(t,s) = \int_{0}^{1} H_m(t,r)K_{m+1}(r,s)\nabla r \\
\leq \int_{0}^{1} G_m(r,s)g \phi (1 + \theta_m^* a_m^* + \zeta_m^* b_m^*) G_{m+1}(s,s) \nabla r \\
\leq \left( \int_{0}^{1} G_m(r,s)\nabla r \right) g \phi (1 + \theta_m^* a_m^* + \zeta_m^* b_m^*) G_{m+1}(s,s) \\
\leq \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_i \right) \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} (1 + \theta_i^* a_i^* + \zeta_i^* b_i^*) G_{m+1}(s,s)
\]
and for \( t \in [\tau, 1 - \tau] \mathbb{T} \),
\[
H_{m+1}(t, s) = \int_{0}^{1} H_{m}(t, r)K_{m+1}(r, s)\nabla r
\]
\[
\geq \int_{\tau}^{1-\tau} \eta_{r}g_{r}G_{m}(r, l)\eta_{m+1}(l)(1 + \theta_{m+1}^{**}a_{m+1}(l) + \zeta_{m+1}^{**}b_{m+1}(l))G_{m+1}(l, s)\nabla r
\]
\[
\geq g_{r} \left( \int_{\tau}^{1-\tau} G_{m}(r, l)\nabla r \right) \eta_{m+1}(l)(1 + \theta_{m+1}^{**}a_{m+1}(l) + \zeta_{m+1}^{**}b_{m+1}(l))G_{m+1}(l, s)
\]
\[
\geq \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_{i}(\tau) \right) \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \eta_{m+1}(l)(1 + \theta_{i}^{**}a_{i}(l) + \zeta_{i}^{**}b_{i}(l))G_{m+1}(l, s)
\]
So, \( p(m+1) \) holds. Thus \( p(n) \) is true by induction \( \square \)

Let \( X \) denotes the Banach space \( C_{ld}(J_{0}, \mathbb{R}) \) with norm \( \| u \| = \max_{t \in J_{0}}|u(t)| \). For \( \tau \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \mathbb{T} \), define the cone \( P_{\tau} \subset X \) by
\[
P_{\tau} = \left\{ u \in X : u(t) \geq 0 \text{ and } \min_{t \in [\tau, 1-\tau] \mathbb{T}} u(t) \geq \xi_{\tau}\|u(t)\| \right\},
\]
where \( \xi_{\tau} = \frac{\nu_{2}g_{2}}{\theta_{1}0} \). For any \( u \in P_{\tau} \), define an operator \( T : P_{\tau} \rightarrow X \) by
\[
(Tu)(t) = \int_{0}^{1} H_{n}(t, s)\omega(s)f(u(s))\nabla s.
\]

Lemma 3.6. Assume that \((H1)-(H3)\) hold. Then \( T(P_{\tau}) \subset P_{\tau} \) and \( T : P_{\tau} \rightarrow P_{\tau} \) is completely continuous for each \( \tau \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \mathbb{T} \).

Proof. Fix \( \tau \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \). Since \( \omega(s)f(u(s)) \geq 0 \) for all \( s \in J_{0}, u \in P_{\tau} \) and since \( H_{n}(t, s) \geq 0 \) for all \( t, s \in J_{0} \), then \( T(u(t)) \geq 0 \) for all \( t \in J_{0}, u \in P_{\tau} \). On the other hand, by Lemma 3.5 we obtain
\[
(Tu)(t) = \int_{0}^{1} H_{n}(t, s)\omega(s)f(u(s))\nabla s
\]
\[
\leq \theta_{1}0 \int_{0}^{1} G_{n}(s, s)\omega(s)f(u(s))\nabla s,
\]
\[
\min_{t \in [\tau, 1-\tau] \mathbb{T}} u(t) = \min_{t \in [\tau, 1-\tau] \mathbb{T}} \int_{0}^{1} H_{n}(t, s)\omega(s)f(u(s))\nabla s
\]
\[
\geq \min_{t \in [\tau, 1-\tau] \mathbb{T}} \int_{0}^{1} H_{n}(t, s)\omega(s)f(u(s))\nabla s
\]
\[
= \eta_{\tau}g_{\tau} \int_{0}^{1} G_{n}(s, s)\omega(s)f(u(s))\nabla s
\]
\[
\geq \xi_{\tau}Tu(t)
\]
for all \( t \in J_{0} \). Thus \( \min_{t \in [\tau, 1-\tau] \mathbb{T}} u(t) \geq \xi_{\tau}\|Tu\| \). So, \( Tu \in P_{\tau} \) and then \( T(P_{\tau}) \subset P_{\tau} \). Next, by standard methods and the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, one can easily prove that the operator \( T \) is completely continuous. The proof is complete. \( \square \)

4. Main Results

In this section, we establish the existence of countably infinitely many positive solutions for the boundary value problem (1.6)-(1.7) by applying Krasnosel’skiǐ’s fixed point theorem in cones.

Theorem 4.1. \([14]\) Let \( B \) be a Banach space and let \( P \subset B \) be a cone in \( B \). Assume that \( \Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2} \) are open with \( 0 \in \Omega_{1}, \Omega_{1} \subset \Omega_{2} \), and let \( T : P \cap (\Omega_{2} \setminus \Omega_{1}) \rightarrow P \) be a completely continuous operator such that either

(i) \( \|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{1}, \) and \( \|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, u \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{2} \), or
Theorem 4.2. [4, 29] Let \( f \in L^p_J \) with \( p > 1 \), \( g \in L^q_J \) with \( q > 1 \), and \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \). Then
\[ f g \in L^1_J \quad \text{and} \quad \| f g \|_{L^p_J} \leq \| f \|_{L^p_J} \| g \|_{L^q_J}. \]

where

\[
\| f \|_{L^p_J} := \begin{cases} \left[ \int_J |f|^p(s) \nabla s \right]^{rac{1}{p}}, & p \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \infty, & p = \infty, \end{cases}
\]

and \( J = (a, b) \). Moreover, if \( f \in L^p_J \) and \( g \in L^q_J \). Then \( f g \in L^1_J \) and \( \| f g \|_{L^p_J} \leq \| f \|_{L^p_J} \| g \|_{L^q_J}. \)

We consider the following three cases for \( \omega \in L^p_J(J_0) : p > 1, p = 1, p = \infty \). Case \( p > 1 \) is treated in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. Assume that (H1)-(H5) hold, let \( \{ \tau_k \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \) be such that \( t_{k+1} < \tau_k < t_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \).
Let \( \{ R_k \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \{ r_k \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \) be such that
\[ R_{k+1} < \xi_{\tau_k} r_k < C r_k < R_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]

where
\[
C = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta_{\tau_k} r_{\tau_k} M_1 \int_{t_1}^{\tau} G_n(s, s) \nabla s}, 1 \right\}.
\]
Assume that \( f \) satisfies

(A1) \( f(u) \leq M_1 R_k \) for all \( t \in J_0, 0 \leq u \leq R_k \), where \( M_1 < \frac{1}{g \phi \| G_n(s, s) \|_{L^p_J} \| \omega \|_{L^q_J}} \),

(A2) \( f(u) \geq C r_k \) for all \( t \in [\tau_k, 1 - \tau_k] \), \( \xi \tau r_k \leq u \leq r_k \).

Then the boundary value problem (1.6)-(1.7) has countably infinitely many positive solutions \( \{ u_k \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \). Furthermore, \( r_k \leq \| u_k \| \leq R_k \) for each \( k \in \mathbb{N}. \)

Proof. Consider the sequences \( \{ \Omega_{1,k} \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \{ \Omega_{2,k} \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \) of open subsets of \( E \) defined by
\[
\Omega_{1,k} = \{ u \in B : \| u \| < R_k \},
\]
\[
\Omega_{2,k} = \{ u \in B : \| u \| < r_k \}.
\]
Let \( \{ \tau_k \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \) be as in the hypothesis and note that \( t^* < t_{k+1} < \tau_k < t_k < \frac{1}{2} \), for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). For each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), define the cone \( P_{\tau_k} \) by
\[
P_{\tau_k} = \left\{ u \in X : u(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{t \in \tau_k, 1 - \tau_k} u(t) \geq \xi_{\tau_k} \| u(t) \| \right\}.
\]
Let \( u \in P_{\tau_k} \cap \partial \Omega_{1,k} \). Then,
\[
u(s) \leq R_k = \| u \|
\]
for all \( s \in J_0 \). By (A1),
\[
\| Tu \| = \max_{t \in J_0} \int_0^1 H_n(t, s) \omega(s) f(u(s)) \nabla s
\]
\[
\leq g \phi \int_0^1 G_n(s, s) \omega(s) \nabla s M_1 R_k
\]
\[
\leq g \phi \| G_n(s, s) \|_{L^p_J} \| \omega \|_{L^q_J} M_1 R_k
\]
\[
\leq R_k.
\]
Since \( \| u \| = R_k \) for all \( u \in P_{\tau_k} \cap \partial \Omega_{1,k} \), then
\[
\| Tu \| \leq \| u \|. \tag{4.1}
\]
Let \( s \in \tau_k, 1 - \tau_k \). Then,
\[
r_k = \| u \| \geq u(s) \geq \min_{s \in \tau_k, 1 - \tau_k} u(s) \geq \xi \| u \| \geq \xi_{\tau_k} r_k.
\]
By (A2),

\[ \|Tu\| = \max_{t \in J_0} \int_0^1 H_n(t, s)\omega(s)f(u(s))\nabla s \]
\[ \geq \max_{t \in J_0} \int_{\tau_k}^{1-\tau_k} H_n(t, s)\omega(s)f(u(s))\nabla s \]
\[ \geq \max_{t \in J_0} \int_{\tau_k}^{1-\tau_k} H_n(t, s)\omega(s)\nabla sCr_k \]
\[ \geq Cr_k m \max_{t \in [\tau_1, 1-\tau_1]} \int_{\tau_1}^{1-\tau_1} H_n(t, s)\nabla s \]
\[ \geq \eta_{\tau_1} g_{\tau_1} mCr_k \max_{t \in [\tau_1, 1-\tau_1]} \int_{\tau_1}^{1-\tau_1} G_n(s, s)\nabla s \]
\[ \geq r_k = \|u\|. \]

Thus, if \( u \in P_\tau \cap \partial \Omega_{2,k} \), then

\[ \|Tu\| \geq \|u\|. \quad (4.2) \]

It is obvious that \( 0 \in \Omega_{2,k} \subset \bar{\Omega}_{2,k} \subset \Omega_{1,k} \). By (4.1),(4.2), it follows from Theorem 4.1 that the operator \( T \) has a fixed point \( u_k \in P_\tau \cap (\Omega_{1,k} \setminus \Omega_{2,k}) \) such that \( r_k \leq \|u_k\| \leq R_k \). Since \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) was arbitrary, the proof is complete. \( \square \)

Now we deal with the case \( p = 1 \).

**Theorem 4.4.** Assume that \((H1)-(H5)\) hold, let \( \{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \) be such that \( \tau_{k+1} < \tau_k < \tau_k \), \( k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). Let \( \{R_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \) and \( \{r_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \) be such that

\[ R_{k+1} < \xi_{\tau_k} r_k < Cr_k < R_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]

Assume that \( f \) satisfies

(B1) \( f(u) \leq M_2 R_k \) for all \( t \in J_0, 0 \leq u \leq R_k \), where

\[ M_2 < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{g\phi\|G_n(s, s)\|_{L^\infty} \|\omega\|_{L^1_b}}, C \right\} \]

and (A2). Then the boundary value problem (1.6)-(1.7) has countably infinitely many positive solutions \( \{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \). Furthermore, \( r_k \leq \|u_k\| \leq R_k \) for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

**Proof.** For a fixed \( k \), let \( \Omega_{1,k} \) be as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 and let \( u \in P_\tau \cap \partial \Omega_{2,k} \). Again

\[ u(s) \leq A_k = \|u\|, \]

for all \( s \in J_0 \). By (B1) and Theorem 4.3,

\[ \|Tu\| = \max_{t \in J_0} \int_0^1 H_n(t, s)\omega(s)f(u(s))\nabla s \]
\[ \leq g\phi \int_0^1 G_n(s, s)\omega(s)\nabla s M_2 R_k \]
\[ \leq g\phi \|G_n(s, s)\|_{L^\infty} \|\omega\|_{L^1_b} M_2 R_k \]
\[ \leq R_k. \]

Thus,

\[ \|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \]

for \( u \in P_\tau \cap \partial \Omega_{1,k} \). Now define \( \Omega_{2,k} = \{u \in B : \|u\| < r_k\} \). Let \( u \in P_\tau \cap \partial \Omega_{2,k} \) and let \( s \in [\tau_k, 1-\tau_k] \). Then, the argument leading to (4.2) carries over to the present case and completes the proof. \( \square \)

Finally we consider the case of \( p = \infty \).
**Theorem 4.5.** Assume that (H1) − (H5) hold. Let \{R_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} and \{r_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} be such that

\[ R_{k+1} < \xi_r r_k < C r_k < R_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]

Assume that \( f \) satisfies (E1) \( f(u) \leq M_3 R_k \) for all \( t \in J_0, \, 0 \leq u \leq R_k, \) where

\[ M_3 < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{g \phi \|G_n(s, s)\|_{L^p}}, \, C \right\} \]

and (A2). Then the boundary value problem (1.6)-(1.7) has countably infinitely many positive solutions \( \{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \). Furthermore, \( r_k \leq \|u_k\| \leq R_k \) for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

**Proof.** By (E1),

\[ \|Tu\| = \max_{t \in J_0} \int_0^1 H_n(t, s) \omega(s) f(u(s)) \nabla s \]

\[ \leq g \phi \int_0^1 G_n(s, s) \omega(s) \nabla s \quad M_3 R_k \]

\[ \leq g \phi \|G_n(s, s)\|_{L^p} \|\omega\|_{L^q} \quad M_3 R_k \]

\[ \leq R_k. \]

This shows that if \( u \in P_{\tau_k} \cap \partial \Omega_{1,k} \), where \( \Omega_{1,k} = \{ u \in B : \|u\| < R_k \} \), then

\[ \|Tu\| \leq \|u\|. \]

Define \( \Omega_{2,k} = \{ u \in B : \|u\| < r_k \} \) and let \( u \in P_{\tau_k} \cap \partial \Omega_{2,k} \). Then, the argument employed in the proof of Theorem 4.3 applies directly to yield

\[ \|Tu\| \geq \|u\|. \]

By the Theorem 4.1, completes the proof. \qed

5. Example

In this section, we provide an example of a family of functions \( \omega(t) \) that satisfy conditions (H1), (H2) corresponding to the cases \( p = 1 \) and \( p = 2 \). Let \( \mathbb{T} = [0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup \{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{6} \} \cup \{ \frac{5}{6}, 1 \} \) and consider the family of functions \( \omega(t, \epsilon) : \mathbb{T} \to (0, +\infty) \) given by

\[ \omega(t, \epsilon) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{[\nu_k, \nu_{k-1}]} \frac{1}{|t - t_k|^{\epsilon}} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\
\frac{1}{|t - \frac{1}{2}|^{\epsilon}} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < t < \frac{5}{6}, \\
\frac{1}{|t - \frac{5}{6}|^{\epsilon}} & \text{if } \frac{5}{6} \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases} \]

where

\[ t_0 = \frac{5}{16}, \quad t_k = t_0 - \frac{1}{(k + 2)^4}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \]

\[ \nu_0 = 1, \quad \nu_k = \frac{1}{2} (t_k + t_{k+1}), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots. \]

At first, it is easily seen that \( \omega(t, \epsilon) \geq \omega(1, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{|t - \frac{1}{2}|^{\epsilon}} = 5^{\epsilon}, \quad t_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \quad t_k - t_{k+1} = \frac{1}{(k+2)^4}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \)

and note that \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^4} = \frac{\pi^4}{90}. \)

\[ t^* = \lim_{k \to \infty} t_k = \frac{5}{16} - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(i + 2)^4} = \frac{5}{16} - \left( \frac{\pi^4}{90} - 1 \right) = \frac{21}{16} - \frac{\pi^4}{90} > \frac{1}{5}. \]
We claim that if \( \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \), then \( \omega(t, \epsilon) \in L^2_{+}[0, 1] \). Note that \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \), we have

\[
\int_0^1 \omega(t, \epsilon) \nabla t = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega(t, \epsilon) \nabla t + \int_\frac{1}{2}^1 \omega(t, \epsilon) \nabla t
\]

\[
= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi[k, \nu_{k-1}]}{|t-t_k|} \nabla t + \int_\frac{1}{2}^1 \frac{1}{|t-\frac{1}{2}|} \nabla t + \left[ \left( \frac{3}{5} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \omega \left( \frac{3}{5}, \epsilon \right) + \left( \frac{3}{4} - \frac{3}{5} \right) \omega \left( \frac{3}{5}, \epsilon \right) + \left( \frac{4}{5} - \frac{3}{4} \right) \omega \left( \frac{5}{6}, \epsilon \right) \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{\nu_{k-1}} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{1}{|t-t_k|} \nabla t + \int_\frac{1}{2}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{1}{|t-t_k|} \nabla t
\]

\[
+ \left[ \frac{1}{10} \times 3^{10} - \frac{3}{20} \times 4^{10} + \frac{1}{20} \times \left( \frac{10}{3} \right)^{11} + \frac{1}{30} \times 3^{11} \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{1}{|t-t_k|} \nabla t + \int_\frac{1}{2}^{t_{k+1}} \frac{1}{|t-t_k|} \nabla t \right]
\]

\[
+ \left[ 10^{11-1} + \frac{3}{5} \times 4^{11-1} + \frac{1}{20} \times \left( \frac{10}{3} \right)^{11} + \frac{1}{30} \times 3^{11-1} \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{t_k-t_{k+1}}{2} \right]^{1-\epsilon} + \left[ \frac{t_{k-1}-t_k}{2} \right]^{1-\epsilon} + \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{5^{1-\epsilon}} - \frac{1}{30^{1-\epsilon}} \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2^{1-\epsilon}(1-\epsilon)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{(k+2)^{4(1-\epsilon)}} + \frac{1}{(k+1)^{4(1-\epsilon)}} \right] + \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{5^{1-\epsilon}} - \frac{1}{30^{1-\epsilon}} \right]
\]

\[
= \sqrt{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} + \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \right] + \frac{1}{15} \left( 6\sqrt{5} - \sqrt{30} \right) + \frac{1}{60} \left[ 6(\sqrt{10} + 3) + \sqrt{3}(\sqrt{10} + 2) \right]
\]

\[
= \sqrt{2} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{9}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{60} \left[ 24 \sqrt{5} - 3 \sqrt{30} + 6 \sqrt{10} + 2 \sqrt{3} + 18 \right],
\]

which implies that \( \omega(t, \epsilon) \in L^2_{+}[0, 1] \).

Next, we claim that if \( \epsilon = \frac{1}{4} \), then \( \omega(t, \epsilon) \in L^2_{+}[0, 1] \). In this case, we need the Cauchy product,

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k, \quad (5.1)
\]

where

\[
c_k = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \alpha_n b_{k-n+1}. \quad (5.2)
\]

Note that

\[
\int_0^1 \omega^2(t, \epsilon) \nabla t = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi[k, \nu_{k-1}]}{|t-t_k|} \right]^2 \nabla t + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \omega^2(t, \epsilon) \nabla t, \quad (5.3)
\]
we use (5.1) and (5.2) and the fact that, if \( X \cap Y = \emptyset \), then \( \chi[X] \cdot \chi[Y] = 0 \) to simplify the integrand,
\[
\left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi[p_k, p_{k-1}]}{|t - t_k|^e} \right)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{\chi[p_n, p_{n-1}]}{|t - t_n|^e} \frac{\chi[p_{k-n+1}, p_{k-n}]}{|t - t_{k-n-1}|^e} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi[p_k, p_{k-1}]}{|t - t_k|^e} \quad \text{a.e.,}
\]
and so (5.3) may be written as
\[
\int_0^1 \omega^2(t, \epsilon) \nabla t = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{t_k} \frac{\chi[p_k, p_{k-1}]}{|t - t_k|^e} \nabla t + \int_0^1 \omega^2(t, \epsilon) \nabla t
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{v_k}^{v_{k-1}} \frac{1}{|t - t_k|^e} \nabla t + \int_0^1 \omega^2(t, \epsilon) \nabla t + \left[ \frac{3}{5} - \frac{1}{2} \right] \omega^2 \left( \frac{3}{5}, \epsilon \right)
\]
\[
+ \left( \frac{3}{4} - \frac{3}{5} \right) \omega^2 \left( \frac{3}{4}, \epsilon \right) + \left( \frac{4}{5} - \frac{3}{4} \right) \omega^2 \left( \frac{4}{5}, \epsilon \right)
\]
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k} \frac{1}{|t - t_k|^e} \nabla t \right] + \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{|t - t_k|^e} \nabla t
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{1 - 2e} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{t_k - t_{k+1}}{2} \right]_{1-2e}^{t_k - t_k} + \frac{1}{1 - 2e} \left[ \frac{1}{|t - t_k|^e} - \frac{1}{30|t - t_k|^e} \right]
\]
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{(k + 1)^2} + \frac{1}{30|t - t_k|^e} \right] + \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{|t - t_k|^e} \nabla t
\]
\[
= \sqrt{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{(k + 1)^2} + \frac{1}{30|t - t_k|^e} \right] + \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{|t - t_k|^e} \nabla t
\]
\[
= \sqrt{2} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{9}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{60} \cdot \frac{1}{|t - t_k|^e} \nabla t
\]
which implies \( \omega(t, \epsilon) \in L_{\mathbb{K}}^1[0, 1] \).
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