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Generation of transgenic ducks by crispr/CAS9-mediated gene insertion combined with the sperm-mediated gene transfer (SMGT)
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Aim. To edit the duck genome by HDR-directed integration of the EGFP gene into the duck host genome in combination with SMGT using CRISPR/Cas9.

Methods. HDR-mediated gene of green fluorescent protein (EGFP) was carried out by the combined action of four plasmids. The pX330 contained the Cas9 gene. Two plasmids contained sgRNA genes: pBR322-sgRNA1 and pBR322-sgRNA2. The pBR322-HDR-EGFP plasmid was constructed to contain the DNA vector with left homologous sequence part(LHP), the EGFP gene coding sequences and the right homologous sequence part(RHP). The DNA sequence data for designing the HDR-EGFP-insert and sgRNA 1 and sgRNA 2 were taken from the genome DNA sequence of Anas platyrhynchos Spindlin 1 (SPIN1) gene. Twenty four ducks (13 males and 11 females) of the Shaoxing breed were used for this experiment. The sperm transfection was performed using Lipofectamine 2000.

Results. Thirty one ducks were obtained, 19 of which carried the EGFP gene. F2 analysis revealed that 16 ducks (F1) (14 females and 2 males) transmitted the transgene DNA to their offsprings. Thus 27.6 % (56/203) of F2 descendants were positive for the transgene DNA construct.

Conclusions. Exogenous DNA was successfully inserted into the duck genome.
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Introduction

The bird has been used for a long time as a model of the embryonic development [1]. The bird egg is also a potential bioreactor for heterologous production of protein, especially for the production of recombinant therapeutic proteins in biopharmaceutical industry [2–5]. Birds have several advantages compared with other species: the short generation interval, high protein eggs, and good sperm production. Therefore, in the last 30 years there have appeared numerous studies focused on the transgenic poultry because it was expected to increase profitability and quality of commercial poultry stocks considerably [6].

The vast majority of studies on the transgenic poultry are focused on chickens (Gallus gallus) [4] and quails (Coturnix japonica) [7]. The eggs of duck (Anas platyrhynchos) are larger than the chicken and quail eggs [8], and have an advantage over the latter due to a possibility of usage as bioreactors. However, Anas platyrhynchos has not been studied thoroughly compared with other poultry, and there are no reported studies on a reliable genome engineering technique in the duck. Therefore, such work is of high significance for further use of ducks as a model of waterfowl species. It is necessary to pay a special attention to the egg-laying duck breeds characterized by a high level of egg productivity, rather than to the meat duck breeds.

The limiting factor of technologies of genetic engineering in case of poultry, especially waterfowl, is often a high cost of generating transgenic birds primarily due to the relatively low efficiency of transgenesis. The development of site-specific nuclease techniques, namely zinc finger nuclease (ZFN) [9], transcription activator-like effector nuclease (TALEN) [10], and clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated protein 9 (Cas9) provides a new solution of this problem [11, 12]. CRISPR/Cas9 is easier in use, much cheaper and more efficient than ZNF and TALEN, thus it becomes a prevalent tool of molecular genetics and genetic engineering. CRISPR/Cas9 system uses a guide RNA to direct the Cas9 nuclease to the targeted DNA and produces double-strand-breaks (DSBs) [11]. The DSBs at the genomic locus of interest stimulate the genome DNA editing via non-homology-end-joining (NHEJ) or homology-directed repair (HDR). The number of studies on genome engineering of domestic animals, poultry and waterfowl with the CRISPR/Cas9 system is increasing. The CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome engineering has been successfully used in chickens. However, there are no reports on applying this system in ducks.

Many techniques have been used to deliver transgenes into the poultry genome successfully, although their efficiency and reliability still pose a problem in poultry transgenesis. Nevertheless, several techniques made considerable progress. The techniques presently applied in poultry transgenesis include: retroviral infection of chicken embryos [13–15], in ovo electroporation of chicken embryos [16], microinjection of the liposome/foreign DNA complex into early stages of chicken embryos [17], and introduction of transfected primordial embryonic cells (PGCs) into the circulation for their re-colonization in gonad [4]. SMGT has also been successfully used to gen-
erate transgenic chickens. Various combinations of methods of DNA binding to sperm were used to increase the effectiveness of exogenous DNA penetration into the oocyte during fertilization: incubation of native DNA with sperm [2, 18], with a liposome complex [18–24], with dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) or with N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMAc) [2], electroporation [18], testis-mediated gene transfer (TMGT) using DNA/cationic polymer complex, transplanting of transfected spermatogonial stem cells (TTSSCs) using electroporation [25], spermatogonial stem cells (SSCs) with liposome/DNA complex [26], and SSCs incubation with DNA/cationic polymer complex to produce transgenic duck [25].

In this study, we used the CRISPR/Cas9 system to mediate the HDR directed EGFP gene integration into the duck’s host genome combined with the SMGT.

Materials and Methods

Experimental animals: 24 Shaoxing ducks (13 males and 11 females) were selected for reproductive performance principle. The drakes aged ten months were selected with normal sperm of milky white or slightly yellowish, cream-looking texture, without flakes; concentration of ≥ 3 x 10^9 sperm cells per 1 ml; the activity of sperm > 70 % (activity and motility of sperm cells were determined by the number of active sperm cells with rectilinear motion). The ducks aged ten months were selected for ≥ 90 % egg production and fertility after artificial inseminations ≥ 90 %. The ducks were maintained in individual cages in the laboratory of the Zhuji Guowei Poultry Development Co, Ltd, P.R. China, which belongs to the Scientific Platform of Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Science, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences (Hangzhou, China). The protocol for animal use was approved by the Committee of bioethics of Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Science. All procedures with adult animals, ducklings and embryos were carried out according to the requirements of bioethics.

Collection and preparation of sperm for experimental transfection: The semen was taken from a drake by massaging the lumbar spine [27]. The sperm was collected into conical, polystyrene cups with the following dilution to 1:1 with the OPTI-MEM medium (Invitrogen, USA) and transportation to the laboratory (within 15–20 min after collection) for evaluation of quality and transfection. The sperm motility and concentration were evaluated with the standard procedure under the optical microscope.

Preparation of the DNA construct: The homology-directed repair (HDR)-mediated EGFP gene insertion was achieved by four plasmids. The pX330 plasmid, which contained Cas9 gene driven by a CMV promoter, was purchased at Addgene (http://www.addgene.org/42230/). Two sgRNA constructs were used to assist in generation of the DNA lesions at the proper genomic locus: pBR322-sgRNA1 and pBR322-sgRNA2. The expression of both sgRNAs was driven by the U6 promoters. The pBR322-HDR-EGFP plasmid was constructed to contain the left homologous sequence part, the EGFP gene coding sequences and the right homologous sequence part. The DNA sequence data for designing HDR-EGFP-insert and guide 20-nucleotide long parts of sgRNA 1 and sgRNA 2 were taken from the DNA sequence data of «Anas platyrhynchos Spindlin 1
(SPIN1), mRNA» (NCBI Reference Sequence: XM_005016235.3). The EcoRI restriction site was inserted in the end of our HDR-EGFP-insert DNA to linearize the pBR322 vector with HDR-EGFP-insert DNA prior to [the] transfection process with EcoRI restriction enzyme.

Preparation of the mixture of DNA-liposome complex with drake’s semen cell for artificial insemination: to prepare the sperms for transfection procedure, 300 μl of plasmid DNA (25 ng/ml of each vector) were mixed with 1 ml of OPTI-MEM medium. Meanwhile, 300 μl of Lipofectamine® 2000 (Invitrogen, USA) were mixed with 1 ml of OPTI-MEM medium. After the two solutions were incubated for 5 min at room temperature, they were combined and incubated at room temperature for another 20 minutes. Sperm fluid was centrifuged twice (1000 rpm, 10 min), supernatant was removed, and the 1:1 dilution of precipitated sperm cells by the OPTI-MEM medium was made. The DNA–Lipofectamine® 2000 complex was added to sperm cells after the second extraction and supernatant removal, then it was mixed and incubated at room temperature for an hour. After this preparation, the sperm motility was again evaluated to determine the sperm quality. The sperm cells prepared for transfection were used for deep artificial inseminations [28]. Five hundred million sperm cells were taken for one insemination. After insemination of ducks with the prepared for transfection sperm cells, the eggs were collected and incubated in the temperature regulated chamber for 10 or 28 days, afterwards the embryos were isolated or ducklings were grown.

Blood sampling, feather pulp and embryos: The feathers were plucked from each duck with blood in a pulp and put into individual tubes, then they were frozen at -20 °C and stored until the DNA was extracted. Ducks’ blood samples were collected from the Vena cutanea ulnaris, 2 ml of blood were collected into the vacuum collection tube with EDTA. Genomic DNA was extracted from each blood sample. The embryos samples were collected from incubated eggs. 500 μl of PBS solution were added, homogenized and pipetted to the isolated embryos, and then 100 μl of homogenate were collected into individual tubes and frozen at -20 °C until DNA was extracted.

Polymerase Chain Reaction: The existence of transgene DNA was verified by PCR method. Two primers located outside the EGFP gene were used: the forward primer (5` GTGTACGGTGGAGGTC 3`) and reverse primer (5` AAATGTGGTATGGCTGATTATG 3`). The polymerase chain reaction program consisted of the initial step at 94°C for 3 min, then the reaction was denatured at 94°C for 15 s, and further annealed at 55°C for 15 s and elongated at 72°C for 30 s for 35 cycles. At the last stage the PCR product was elongated at 72°C for 3 min. The generated PCR product was 903 bp in size and was sequenced to confirm the correct amplification. The PCR and sequencing experiments were carried out by Genery Biotechnology Company.

Results
As a result of the experiment we obtained 31 F1 birds (12 males and 19 females), 19 species of which had transgenic insertion, determined by PCR method (Figure 1) in: blood - A63253, G60874, G61665; feathers – A63253; their embryos – 2617, A62783, G60874; ejaculate - A62783, 1221. Therefore the exogenous DNA insertion efficiency was 61.3 % (19/31).
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Figures 2 and 3 show the variation of fertility level of females (F1) and transgene DNA transmission to descendants (F2) with respect to the day the eggs were laid and the descendants from F1 were born afterwards.

Discussion

During this study we used the sperm mediated gene transfer to generate transgenic ducks. The sperm cells were subsequently used to inseminate the female ducks. In 31 offsprings we obtained the following results: 19 ducks were positive for the EGFP transgene determined by PCR reaction. Although some F1 birds were negative for the transgene in their blood, feather, and semen samples, the genome of their gametes could still contain the transgene, and the transgene was transmitted to their offsprings. In total F2 ducks had 203 offsprings with 56 ducks (27.6%) were positive for the EGFP gene. Moreover, two female and two male ducks with detected transgene DNA in the blood did not pass it on to their descendants; and 7 ducks with not detected transgene DNA in the blood, passed it on to their descendants.

Figures 2 and 3 show the variation of fertility level of females (F1) and transgene DNA transmission to descendants (F2) with respect to the day the eggs were laid and the descendants from F1 were born afterwards.

Discussion

During this study we used the sperm mediated gene transfer to generate transgenic ducks. The sperm cells were subsequently used to inseminate the female ducks. In 31 offsprings we obtained the following results: 19 ducks were positive for the EGFP transgene determined by PCR reaction. Although some F1 birds were negative for the transgene in their blood, feather, and semen samples, the genome of their gametes could still contain the transgene, and the transgene was transmitted to their offsprings. In total F2 ducks had 203 offsprings with 56 ducks (27.6%) were positive for the EGFP gene. Moreover, two female and two male ducks with detected transgene DNA in the blood did not pass it on to their descendants; and 7 ducks with not detected transgene DNA in the blood, passed it on to their descendants.
of 19 female birds were mosaic for transgene. The research on all animals’ feathers as a result of transfection with sperm did not show the presence of transgenic constructs. Sperm analysis showed the presence of constructs in two drakes, which did not give an offspring, but had the transgenic construct found in the blood. 2 other males with no construct in the blood, also did not give a transgenic offspring. According to the results of the analysis of the offsprings, in 9 out of 12 males sperm and blood were transgenic. Only 4 out of 12 birds gave offsprings where 2 of them gave transgenic and 2 gave non transgenic offsprings. Only 1 out of 5 males with the construct found in the blood produced a transgenic offspring. Transgenic offsprings were obtained from one drake with no transgene in the blood. Thus, all transgenic males were mosaic. These results indicate that some of embryonic cells lost the modified genomic locus during the embryonic development, but it was apparently remained in a portion of sperm cells.

After the F1 founder birds were obtained, they were crossed to produce F2 descendants. The results showed that 27.6 % of F2 descendents were positive for the transgene construct. This result suggested that the transgene DNA was integrated into the host genome rather than in the episome. However, the transgene construct was transmitted primarily to daughters of the transgenic birds. 78.6 % of F2 transgenic descendents were female. We pondered that the exogenous DNA fragment had been integrated into the W chromosome of female founders. This hypothesis can explain the fact that the female F1 founders primarily transmitted the transgene to their daughters. However it is still difficult to explain the fact that males also primarily transmitted the transgene to their daughters.

The table 1 shows the creation of transgenic poultry by transfection of sperm. The authors obtained successful results from the first generation embryo to the second generation of adult birds. The efficiency of transgene insertion ranged from 3.7 % to 89.5 %.

Comparison of the effectiveness of the methods used for introduction of the transgene DNA construction suggests that liposomes provide the most successful results.

Up to date numerous cases of the CRISPR/Cas9-genome-edited animals have been observed [29]. The fact of mosaicism while creating multicellular transgenic animals of different species was described by means of different vector systems for transgene delivery [30, 31]. There were considered various factors which affect the level of mosaicism as a result of operations with the CRISPR/Cas9 [29]. The effect of concentration of the construct in embryos’ survival and the success of editing the genome [32] were shown. The researches showed that an increase in concentration of the construct caused embryos’ death [33]. It could be expected that after insemination with sperm, which contained lipofectamine and the construct, there will be a change in the time of success of the construction or insemination, because the complex of lipofectamine-spermatozoon-transgene was present in the female genital tract within 7 days. However in our studies we analyzed the survival of embryos after transfection and it should be noted that we found no connection between the day of egg laying and the embryo mortality at a certain period of its development or a level of mosaicism, neither between the presence of trans-
genic constructs in different tissues. We also revealed no effect out of the presence of transgenic constructs in the blood during the transmission of transgenic constructs to descendants. It means that the presence of a transgenic construct in the blood neither leads to mandatory transmission of this construct to the descendants of a transgenic animal nor affects the reproductive abilities of an animal in this case; we could not show an indirect connection between the level of mosaicism of the descendants received and the DNA degradation [33]. The mechanisms of DNA-mosaicism are associated with the lag of the genome editorial division from cell division [34, 35]. The delay in the construction of the structure occurs due to involvement of the transcription system in its work at the stage of division of blastomeres.

DNA-mosaicism depends on 1) the species of organism in which the genome was edited; 2) targets in the genome; 3) the concentration of the transgene DNA; 4) the period of cell cycle during which the construction was introduced [29].

**Table 1. Creation of transgenic poultry by transfection of sperm**

| Species       | Approach                | Method      | Plasmid                        | Latest stage transgenes found | Results                  | Reference |
|---------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Chicken       | Liposome-like           | Liposome    | P(CX-EGFP)                     | F1 9.5% (2/21) F1           |                          | 20        |
| (Gallus gallus)| Liposome               |             |                                | 3.7% (2/53) F1              |                          |           |
| Chicken       | Cationic polymer        | TMGT        | P(EGFP-N1)                     | F2 56.5% (13/23) F1         |                          | 25        |
|               |                         |             |                                | 50.0–66.7% F1 Embryo        |                          |           |
|               |                         |             |                                | 52.9% (9/17) F2 Embryo      |                          |           |
|               |                         |             |                                | 46.7–57.4% F2 Embryo        |                          |           |
| Chicken       | Electroporation         | TTSSCs      | P(EGFP-N1)                     | F1 11.1% (2/18) F1          |                          |           |
|               |                         |             |                                | 12.5% (8/64) F1 Embryo      |                          |           |
| Duck          | Cationic polymer        | SSCs        | P(EGFP-N1)                     | F1 54.8% (17/31) F1         |                          |           |
| Chicken       | Liposome                | SMGT        | P(eGFP)                        | F2 89.5% (17/19) F1         |                          | 21        |
|               |                         |             |                                | 75% (6/8) F2                |                          |           |
| Chicken       | DMSO                    | DMA         | DNA incubation                 | SMGT P(EGFP-N1) F1 38% (31/66) F1 | 38% (31/66) F1 | 2         |
|               |                         |             |                                | 19% (5/23) F1               | 5% (4/81) F1             |           |
|               | Liposome                | SMGT        | P(H2K-S)                       | Embryo 26.0% F1 Embryo      |                          | 19        |
| Chicken       | Liposome                | SMGT        | P(IRES EGFP2)                  | Embryo 3.4% (1/29) F1 Embryo|                          | 22        |
|               | Liposome                | SMGT        | P(βact-Luc-SV40poly-A signal)  | Embryo 33.0% (2/6) F1 Embryo| 33.0% (3/9) F1 Embryo   | 24        |
|               | Liposome                | SMGT        | P(IRES EGFP2)                  | Embryo 37.5% (3/8) F2 Embryo|                          |           |
| Chicken       | DNA Incubation          | Electroporation | Liposome | SMGT P(βact-Luc-SV40poly-A signal) | Embryo 46.7% (14/30) F1 Embryo  | 18        |
|               |                         |             |                                | 22.6% (7/31) F1 Embryo      | 63.0% (34/54) F1 Embryo  |           |
| Chicken       | Liposome                | SSCs        | pcDNA3.0(EGFP-MMx)             | F1 10.5% (4/38) F1          |                          | 26        |
| Chicken       | Liposome                | SMGT        | pUC18                          | F1 40% (4/10) F1            | 50% (3/6) F1            | 23        |

433
It is possible that the editing process of the genome lags behind a rapid rate of the blastomeres formation in the early stages of development (blastula). Thus, only certain cells are the carriers of resulting mutations. In our studies we determined the efficiency of transgene DNA insertion by the presence of PCR product in DNA isolated from animals of the first generation (blood, sperm, feathers) and their offsprings (adults and embryos). Apparently, the analysis of our results indicates that all the animals we obtained were mosaic.

Conclusion
In this study we used CRISPR/Cas9 system combined with the sperm mediated gene transfer to introduce a transgenic DNA construct into the duck genome. 61.3 % of the F1 ducks were positive for the transgene in the experiment. The F1 ducks transmitted the gene to the next generation at a comparable transmission rate of 27.6 %. Therefore the exogenous DNA was successfully inserted into the duck genome. Meanwhile the transgene was transmitted primarily to the daughters of transgenic birds and as a result 78.6 % of the transgenic F1 descendants were female.
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Генерація трансгенних уток шляхом CRISPR / CAS9-опосередкованої вставки генов в поєднанні з сперматозоїд-опосередкованим перенесенням генів (SMGT)

О. Коновал, П. Король, П. Табака, С. Костенко, Л. Лу, А. Чепіга, М. Дорошенко, М. Драгулян, Х. Бу, Ц. Хуанг, Л. Ли

Мета. Редагувати геном качки методом HDR-спрямованої інтеграції гена EGFP в геном господаря в поєднанні з SMGT з використанням CRISPR / Cas9.

Методи. Вставка HDR-опосередкованого гена зеленого флуоресцентного білка (EGFP) була досягнута шляхом використання CRISPR/Cas9. Для цього використовували чотири плазмиди: pX330, pBR322-sgRNA1 і pBR322-sgRNA2. Четвертая плазміда pBR322-HDR-EGFP була сконструйована так, щоб вона містила ген Cas9. Для встановлення HDR-EGFP вставки і 20-нуклеотидних направленних sgRNA був використаний геном качки Anas platyrhynchos Spindlin 1 (SPIN1) в базі NCBI.

Результати. Було отримано 31 утку, з яких 19 містили ДНК-трансгенну вставку, яка була визначена методом ПЦР. Аналіз потомств (F2) показав, що всього 16 уток (F1) передали трансгенну ДНК своїм потомкам. Результати показали, що 27,6 % (56/203) потомків F2 мали позитивними результати, які свідчать, що екзогенна ДНК була успішно вставлена в геном качки.
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Генерація трансгенних уток путем CRISPR / CAS9-опосредованой вставки генов в сочетании со сперматозоид-опосредованным переносом генов (SMGT)

О. Коновал, П. Король, П. Табака, С. Костенко, Л. Лу, А. Чепига, М. Дорошенко, М. Драгулян, Х. Бу, Ц. Хуанг, Л. Ли

Цель. Редактировать геном утки методом HDR -направленной интеграции гена EGFP в геном хозяина в сочетании с SMGT с использованием CRISPR/Cas9.

Методы. Внедрение HDR-опосредованного гена зеленого флуоресцентного білка (EGFP) было достигнуто совместным действием четырех плазмид. Первая плазміда pX330 містила ген Cas9. Ще дві плазмиди містили спейсери sgRNA: pBR322-sgRNA1 і pBR322-sgRNA2. Четвертая плазміда pBR322-HDR-EGFP була сконструйована так, щоб вона містила вектор ДНК з лівою частиною гомологічної послідовності (LHP), кодуючою послідовністю гена EGFP і правою частиною гомологічної послідовності (RHP). Для конструювання HDR-EGFP-вставки і 20-нуклеотидних направленных sgRNA було використано 24 утки (13 самцов и 11 самок) породы Шаосин. Трансфекцию сперматозоидов осуществляли с использованием реагента Lipofectamine 2000.

Результаты. Было получено 31 утку, из которых 19 имели в геноме трансгенную ДНК (EGFP), которая была определена методом ПЦР. Анализ потомков (F2) показал, что всего 16 уток (F1) передали трансгенную ДНК своим потомкам. Результаты показали, что 27,6 % (56/203) потомков F2 были позитивными в отношении конструкции трансгенной ДНК.

Выводы. Результаты свидетельствуют, что экзогенная ДНК была успешно вставлена в геном утки.

Ключевые слова: CRISPR/Cas9, EGFP, SMGT, Трансгенная птица, Утка
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