Mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes loaded with 5-Fu against cholangiocarcinoma in vitro
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Abstract. Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is an intractable malignant tumour with a high degree of malignancy that is asymptomatic in the early stages. Exosomes have been shown in numerous studies in recent years to be effective delivery vehicles for chemotherapy drugs to suppress tumour proliferation and growth in vitro and in vivo. In order to explore the inhibition of 5-fluorouracil (5-Fu)-loaded exosomes on CCA growth, the present study used human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes, as well as incubation and sonication methods for 5-Fu loading into exosomes, to treat CCA in vitro. The findings demonstrated that exosomes isolated from mesenchymal stem cells have typical exosome characteristics. Both the incubation and sonication methods successfully loaded 5-Fu into the exosomes (5-Fu-exos), with the sonication method having a higher loading efficiency than the incubation method. When compared to the free 5-Fu group, the 5-Fu-Exos group significantly inhibited the viability of CCA cells (P<0.01), indicating that 5-Fu-Exos can be an effective chemotherapy drug carrier for CCA treatment.

Introduction

Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is rare cancer, but its incidence is rising worldwide. Because CCA is frequently asymptomatic in the early stages, it is commonly diagnosed at an advanced stage, which has a significant impact on the therapeutic selection (1,2). Despite significant advances in CCA diagnosis and treatment over the last decade, there has been no significant improvement in patient prognosis with a 5-year survival of 7-20% (3-10). Surgery is one of the most primary therapeutic options for CCA. However, the vast majority of patients (~70%) are not indicated for resection when diagnosed (11). Moreover, even after surgery, the tumour recurrence rate is quite high (12).

As a result, chemotherapy remains the treatment of choice for the vast majority of CCA patients. 5-Fluorouracil (5-Fu) is one of the drugs that can be used to treat CCA. Because of its low cost, it is a type of chemotherapy drug that is widely used in the treatment of digestive system neoplasms. Nonetheless, 5-Fu has some drawbacks, including a short biological half-life (10-20 min), volatility in absorption, distribution, metabolism and pharmacokinetics. Approximately 90% of the injected dose will eventually be converted into inactive materials due to the metabolism (13), limiting its efficacy. As a result, maintaining the therapeutic serum concentrations necessitates long-term administration of a high-dose of the drug, which results in severe toxic and side effects (14). Approximately 10-20% of patients treated with standard 5-Fu exhibit a serious toxic reaction, such as myelosuppression, gastrointestinal side effects, neurologic and cutaneous adverse reaction, and others (15). Therefore, other techniques to improve the effectiveness of 5-Fu treatment are urgently needed to extend its effective time, improve its drug application efficiency, and reduce its relevant side effects.

Exosomes, a type of extracellular vesicles (EV), are now regarded as drug carriers with great potential and have been extensively studied, owing to their ability to transport therapeutic molecules such as proteins, nucleic acids, and drugs. Exosomes can be found in a variety of body fluids and tissues, including blood, saliva, urine, breast milk, cerebrospinal fluid, adipose tissue (16-18), and even plants and milk (19). Exosomes play a role in physiological and pathological processes, as well as serving as a critical link in cell-cell communication and material interchange. Exosomes also have distinct advantages, such as natural sources, excellent biocompatibility, organ propensity, and low immunogenicity. They can deliver bioactive substances and therapeutic molecules in a variety of ways and sites, as well as participate in cell modulation with target cells, which includes tissue repair, tumour diagnosis and treatment, and immunomodulation (20-24). Taking into account the properties of exosomes, this study used exosomes as a chemotherapy drug carrier, and 5-Fu was loaded into the exosomes (5-Fu-Exos) via sonication and incubation methods. The experimental results showed that 5-Fu-Exos are more effective than free 5-Fu in inhibiting CCA cell viability, implying that exosomes could be used as a new targeted chemotherapy drug carrier for CCA treatment.
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Materials and methods

Cell culture. Human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (HBMSCs) and the human CCA cell line QBc939 were obtained from Shanghai Cell Bank, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Both cell lines were grown in DMEM (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 U/ml streptomycin. HBMSCs were found to be uniformly distributed at the bottom of the culture flask and were incubated in a constant temperature incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂. When the cells reached 70-80% confluency, they were digested with trypsin digestive juice (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and passaged at a 1:4 ratio under an inverted microscope. For this experiment, cells from generations 3-5 were chosen.

Isolation of the exosomes. Ultracentrifugation was used to separate exosomes from the conditioned medium. The HBMSC medium was replaced with high-glucose DMEM containing 10% exosome-depleted FBS and cultured for 48 h. The cultured fluid was collected and centrifuged at 800 x g for 5 min. The supernatant was collected and filtered using a 0.22-µm filter. The supernatant was centrifuged at 300 x g for 10 min to collect the supernatant, 2,000 x g for 10 min to precipitate dead cells, and 10,000 x g for 30 min to remove cell debris at 4°C. Following that, the exosomes were pelleted by ultracentrifugation at 100,000 x g for 70 min and washed with PBS to remove the contaminated cells. The collected solution was then centrifuged at 100,000 x g for 70 min before being resuspended in PBS, with the supernatant removed, to obtain final exosomes, which were then stored in sub-packages at -80°C for the next experimental stage.

Exosome characterization. The morphology of the exosomes was studied using a transmission electron microscope (TEM). An amount of 10 µl exosomes was collected by ultracentrifugation, dropped onto a copper grid, and dried with filter paper after 1 min. The grid was then stained with 2% phosphotungstic acid and dried with filter paper after 1 min. Afterward, it was dried with a filament lamp before being observed and photographed under a TEM. Western blot analysis was used to identify exosome-specific proteins. To determine the concentration of proteins derived from the HBMSCs, the BCA Protein Assay Kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) was used. After dissociation by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), proteins in the gel were transferred to a PVDF membrane, sealed with dry skim milk for 1 h at room temperature, and incubated overnight at 4°C with tumor susceptibility 101 (TSG101) (dilution 1:500, cat. no. ab125011; Abcam) and CD9 (dilution 1:500, cat. no. ab236630; Abcam) monoclonal antibodies. After washing the membrane (10 min x 3 times) with Tris-buffered saline with Tween-20 (TBST), goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (dilution 1:5,000, cat. no. ab150077; Abcam) was applied for 2 h before rewashing with TBST (10 min x 3 times). Finally, the protein was coloured using a chemiluminescent ECL kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) of exosome size distribution curves was performed using Zetaview (PMX 110, Particle Metrix) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. PBS was used to properly dilute exosomes (1 mg/ml) (1:1,000). Zetaview Software 8.02.31 was used to record and analyse particle sizes and numbers from all 11 positions.

Drug entrapment. Exosomes were mixed with 5-Fu in PBS at a protein concentration of 1 mg/ml. The exosome solution (5 ml/1 mg/ml) and the 5-Fu solution (5 ml/1 mg/ml) were mixed uniformly. Two loading methods were used: direct incubation and sonication. The mixture was incubated in an incubation shaker at 37°C for 1 h for the direct incubation method. The ultrasonic probe (Ultrasonic Cell Breaker 705, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was immersed in the mixture for the sonication method. The entire procedure was carried out in an ice bath in six cycles (frequency 20 KHz, amplitude 20%, 30 sec on and 30 sec off for each cycle, 2-min interval between each cycle). The solution was sonicated and then incubated at 37°C for 30 min to recover the exosomal membrane. The two types of solutions were centrifuged and washed with PBS to collect exosomes and 5-Fu-loaded exosomes (5-Fu-Exos).

Determination of 5-Fu concentration. A high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (High-Performance
Liquid Chromatography Analyser, Agilent Technologies, Inc.) was used to determine the amount of 5-Fu loaded into exosomes. Bond Elut LRC C-18 solid-phase disposable extraction column (Varian) was loaded with 5-Fu solution and exosome dispersion containing 100 µg protein. The extract was evaporated after extraction, and the residue was mixed with 200 µl methanol. HPLC was used to determine the 5-Fu content under the following chromatographic conditions: chromatographic column (Zorbax RX-C18; Agilent Technologies, Inc.); sample volume, 20 µl; move phase, 40% water-60% methanol; detecting wavelength, 265 nm; column temperature, 30°C. PBS standard solutions with 5-Fu concentrations of 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, 10.0, and 20.0 µg/ml were prepared, and the 5-Fu standard solutions were determined by HPLC to obtain varying concentrations and their corresponding peak areas, resulting in a standard curve. The peak area in the standard curve was used to calculate the concentration of 5-Fu in the sample.

The uptake of exosomes by CCA QBC939 cells. PKH26 (cat. no. PKH26GL-1KT red, Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) was used to label exosomes that were collected. After co-culturing the stained exosomes and the QBC929 cell line for 24 h, the cell nucleus was stained with DAPI dye (cat. no C1002, Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) for 10 min. In the subsequent observation, a laser scanning confocal microscope was used.

Cytotoxicity of 5-Fu. The CCK-8 assay was used to determine the cytotoxicity of 5-Fu-Exos and free 5-Fu on the CCA QBC939 cell line. After being resuspended in PBS and medium, the QBC939 cells were counted and were seeded at a density of 5x10^4/ml in 96-well plates with 100 µl (5x10^3 cells) per well, three compound wells in each group. For 24 h, the cells were grown in complete DMEM containing 10% (v/v) FBS at 37°C. After three washes with PBS, the DMEM with 10% (v/v) exosome-depleted FBS was added. 5-Fu-Exos were filtered through a 0.22-µm aseptic filter and diluted into nutrient solutions with varying 5-Fu concentrations (0, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0 and 10.0 µg/ml) using DMEM. One hundred microliters of the above-mentioned 5-Fu-Exos at various concentrations were added to the seeded QBC939 cells. The drug control group received DMEM containing varying concentrations of 5-Fu (0, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0 and 10.0 µg/ml). The culture plate was incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Incubation was carried out for 1 h after adding 20 µl CCK-8 solvent. Following colour change, absorbance at 450 nm was detected to measure the cell viability using an enzyme labelling instrument (Bio-TekELx800 automatic enzyme labelling instrument, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Exosome toxicity against QBC939 cells was determined using the same method as described above. QBC939 cells were co-incubated with pure DMEM, pure HBMSC-Exos, and 5-Fu-Exos.

Statistical analysis. All data were statistically analysed using GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc.). The data are presented as a mean value ± standard deviation (SD). For inter-group and multiple-group comparisons, the t-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test was used with a significance level of P<0.05.
Results

Identification of the exosomes. Exosomes were isolated from the HBMSCs using ultracentrifugation, and the morphology and size of the exosomes were examined using a transmission electron microscope. Round and small vesicles with a double-layer structure were detected, with a size of approximately 100 nm (Fig. 1A), which was consistent with previous reports (25). Then, exosome-specific proteins were identified using western blot analysis. The findings revealed that CD9 and TSG101 were highly expressed (Fig. 1B). The aforementioned findings indicated that exosomes were successfully purified and separated. Exosomes from the HBMSCs had a narrow size distribution, with an average diameter of 127.8±2.3 nm, according to NTA measurements (Fig. 1C).

Uptake of exosomes. Exosomes were labelled with PKH26 and incubated with QBC939 cells before being observed using laser confocal scanning microscopy to determine the efficiency of the QBC939 cellular uptake of exosomes. Fig. 2 shows the cell nucleus stained with DAPI (blue) and exosomes labelled with PKH26 (red). Red fluorescence can be seen in the cytoplasm after confluence, revealing the QBC939 cellular uptake of the exosomes.

Efficiency of 5-Fu loaded in the exosomes. The protein content in exosomes was determined using a BCA kit, and the amount of 5-Fu encapsulated in the exosome was determined using HPLC to determine the loading efficiency of different loading methods (sonication and incubation). The following equation was used to calculate the drug loading rate (DL%): DL (%)=(Weight of drug-loaded/Weight of exosomes) x100%. Fig. 3 depicts the result. In comparison to the incubation DL of 4.10%, the DL of sonication reached 6.79%.

Effect of 5-Fu-Exos on the cell viability of QBC939 cells. The CCK-8 test was used to assess the effect of the drug-loaded exosomes on tumour proliferation. Fig. 4 depicts the cytotoxic properties of 5-Fu and 5-Fu-Exos. After 24 h of incubation with QBC939 cells, the 5-Fu-Exos group significantly inhibited tumour cell viability more than the 5-Fu group (Fig. 4A) and had a lower half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) (Fig. 4B). The cytotoxicity of the exosome control groups (DMEM) revealed that naive exosomes (Exos) had no cytotoxic effect on QBC939 cells (Fig. 4C).

Figure 4. Effect of HBMSC-derived Exo-5-Fu, free 5-Fu, DMEM and naive exosomes on the viability of CCA QBC939 cells. (A) QBC939 cells were treated with free 5-Fu, and Exo-5-Fu. The cells were further incubated for 24 h, and the viability assay was performed following CCK-8 assay protocol. (B) The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) of free 5-Fu and Exo-5-Fu, where the value was calculated according to A. (C) The naive exosomes from HBMSCs had no cytotoxic effect against QBC939 cells. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc test. Significance level: **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001, n.s., not significant. CCA, cholangiocarcinoma; HBMSCs, human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells; Exos, exosomes; 5-Fu, 5-fluorouracil.
Discussion

5-Fluorouracil (5-Fu) is currently one of the most commonly used chemotherapy drugs for cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) treatment, but its efficacy and clinical application are limited due to its dose-dependent nature. As a classic chemotherapy drug, 5-Fu promotes cell apoptosis by releasing metabolites after entering cells to disrupt RNA synthesis and the action of thymidylate synthase (26). Therefore, the apoptosis experiment was not repeated in this study. As for whether 5-Fu-Exos have any other different modulation actions, we will investigate them in future research.

Exosomes have been widely studied in targeted delivery systems in recent years due to their high biocompatibility, low cytotoxicity, and low immunogenicity. Exosomes can be loaded with a variety of therapeutic molecules to improve drug efficacy and inhibit tumor cell proliferation. According to Wei et al., loading doxorubicin (DOX) into exosomes derived from mesenchymal stem cells to inhibit osteosarcoma cell viability in vitro exhibited a better antitumor effect than free DOX (27). Exosomes are also important in the treatment of drug-resistant tumors. Liang et al. discovered that electroporation and transfection of tumor-derived exosomes loaded with miR-21 inhibitor and 5-Fu could effectively reverse drug resistance and improve therapeutic outcomes in 5-Fu-resistant colon carcinoma cells (28). In the present study, exosomes derived from human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (HBMSCs) and loaded with 5-Fu were used to treat CCA. The exosome medication group significantly increased cytotoxicity in CCA cells and decreased drug concentration when compared to free 5-Fu.

Exosomes are gaining popularity as a carrier for drug and gene therapy. However, the problem of mass production of exosome loading systems remains difficult, with drug loading being an important component. Sonication is a popular loading method because it is simple and efficient. Exosomes have been found to be capable of carrying small-molecule drugs, proteins and small nucleic acids (22). Kim et al. loaded paclitaxel into macrophage-derived engineered exosomes with a loading efficiency of 33% (29). The sonication and standard incubation methods were used to load 5-Fu in this experiment, and it was discovered that the sonication method had a higher loading efficiency. The sonication method can also be used to load small nucleic acids. According to research, the sonication approach has higher loading efficiency and less siRNA aggregation when loading siRNAs by electroporation and sonication (30). Furthermore, Thakur et al. demonstrated that sonication and microfluidic technology are more efficient than traditional incubation and electroporation methods in loading DOX into exosomes. In addition, it was discovered that the sigmoid-type microfluidic structure outperformed the linear microfluidic structure when it came to drug loading (31). As a result, further research into optimizing loading methods to improve exosome loading efficiency is warranted.

In conclusion, the present study successfully loaded the anti-cholangiocarcinoma drug 5-Fu into exosomes derived from HBMSCs using sonication and incubation methods. In terms of loading efficiency, sonication outperformed incubation, and 5-Fu-Exos had higher antineoplastic activity than free 5-Fu. Therefore, the goal of this study was to develop an exosome-based drug delivery system for the targeted delivery of 5-Fu for CCA treatment. It is expected that further research on mouse models of CCA will be conducted in the future to confirm the safety and efficacy of exosome-loading drugs in the treatment of CCA.
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