Origin of universality in the onset of superdiffusion in Lévy walks
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Superdiffusion arises when complicated, correlated, and noisy motion at the microscopic scale conspires to yield peculiar dynamics at the macroscopic scale. It ubiquitously appears in a variety of scenarios, spanning a broad range of scientific disciplines. The approach of superdiffusive systems towards their long-time asymptotic behavior was recently studied using the Lévy walk of order $1 < \beta < 2$, revealing a universal transition at the critical $\beta_c = 3/2$. Here, we investigate the origin of this transition and identify two crucial ingredients: a finite velocity which couples the walker’s position to time and a corresponding transition in the fluctuations of the number of walks $n$ completed by the walker at time $t$.
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Introduction. Diffusion effectively models the dynamics of many physical systems. Its hallmark property, a linear increase of the mean-square displacement (MSD) with time, famously describes the stagnant motion of a grain of pollen tumbling about in a glass of water [1]. Yet there is an ever-growing list of “superdiffusive” phenomena that fall well outside the paradigm of simple diffusion, in which perturbations propagate faster than diffusion. Notable examples include the dynamics of turbulent systems [2], spreading of perturbations and associated anomalous transport [3–8], tagged particle dynamics in disordered media [9,10], evolution of trapped ions and atoms in optical lattices [11–13], and even the behavior regarded universal as it was shown to be insensitive to the function’s short-time behavior, depending only on its heavy tail [23]. Indeed, recent results concerning anomalous transport in a class of 1D systems [24] modeled by a Lévy walk of order $\beta = 5/3$ [3,8,25] are consistent with the diffusive correction predicted in Lévy walks for $\beta > \beta_c$. This raises the exciting possibility that Lévy walks may remarkably remain a valid description of superdiffusive phenomena, even beyond the asymptotic limit. Elucidating how superdiffusive systems approach their asymptotic behavior thus carries both a theoretical appeal as well as concrete consequences for experimental and numerical investigations of superdiffusive phenomena, which are inherently limited to finite space and time [22]. Still, one pressing question remains unanswered: What is the origin of this transition?

In this Rapid Communication, we investigate the mechanism responsible for the universal transition observed in the onset of superdiffusion in Lévy walks [22]. We find it to be twofold, consisting of the finite speed $v$ which couples the walker’s position to time and a corresponding transition at $\beta_c = 3/2$ in the fluctuations $\langle n_t \rangle^2$, interpolating between a ballistic scaling for $\beta = 1$ and a diffusive scaling for $\beta = 2$. Yet going beyond the asymptotic limit reveals a transition
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in the presymptotic fluctuations \( \langle \delta n^2 \rangle \equiv \kappa_1 t + \kappa_2 t^{1-2\beta} \). For \( \beta > \beta_c \) one finds \( \langle \delta n^2 \rangle \propto t \), as expected in simple diffusion (i.e., for \( \beta > 2 \)). For \( \beta < \beta_c \), however, one instead finds a superdiffusive scaling \( \langle \delta n^2 \rangle \propto t^{1-2\beta} \). The transition in \( \langle \delta n^2 \rangle \) enters the Lévy walker’s position through its coupling to time via \( \nu \), inducing a corresponding transition in the onset of superdiffusion in the Lévy walk propagator, hereby causally tying the two transitions. Yet, clearly, these fluctuations may only affect models with local dynamics, where the distance traveled by the particle is proportional to the traveling time.

As such, we complete the picture by explicitly demonstrating the absence of a transition in the onset of superdiffusion in the Lévy flight and CTRW models, where the lack of a coupling between the particle’s position and time yields nonlocal dynamics. Besides explaining the onset of superdiffusion, the transition in \( \langle \delta n^2 \rangle \) also provides a tractable observable that can be used to probe the value of \( \beta \) by tracking the number of typical “ballistic” excursions in superdiffusive experimental and simulation data.

Evidently, the observable \( \langle \Delta n^2 \rangle \) also carries significant interest in the context of “renewal processes” [26,27], which describe physical scenarios where the time intervals between events are modeled as independent and identically distributed random variables. When these intervals happen to be drawn from a heavy-tailed distribution, with the same tail behavior \( \propto t^{-1-\beta} \) as considered above, the fluctuations in the number of events are analogous to the number of walks performed by the Lévy walker, similarly spreading as \( \langle \Delta n^2 \rangle \propto t^{1-\beta} \) for asymptotically long times. Such behavior has been linked to blinking quantum dots [28], as well as in the diffusion of particles in polymer networks [29] and on cell membranes [30]. The interest in the transition in \( \langle \delta n^2 \rangle \), which should similarly appear in such processes for \( 1 < \beta < 2 \), is thus expected to extend far beyond the context of the onset of superdiffusion in Lévy walks.

**Model.** The 1D Lévy walk of order \( \beta \) describes the evolution of a walker along an infinite line in a series of uncorrelated walks [20,31]. In each walk, the walker randomly draws a direction \( \pm 1 \) and a walk time \( \tau \) from the walk-time distribution \( \phi(\tau) \), and proceeds to walk along the chosen direction with velocity \( \pm v \) until \( \tau \) expires and the process repeats. These dynamics become superdiffusive when \( \phi(\tau) \) features a heavy tail that scales as \( \propto t^{-1-\beta} \) for large \( \tau \) and \( 1 < \beta < 2 \). In what follows we shall consider the convenient choice

\[
\phi(\tau) = \beta_0 \theta(\tau - \tau_0) \tau^{-(1+\beta)} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < \beta < 2,
\]

where the step function \( \theta(x) \) keeps \( \phi(\tau) \) normalizable on \( \tau \in [0, \infty) \) by imposing a cutoff at the minimal walk time \( \tau_0 \). For simplicity, however, we shall henceforth set \( \tau_0 = 1 \), effectively rendering \( \tau \) to be a dimensionless time.

To study the fluctuations in the number of steps \( n \) completed by the walker at time \( t \), we generalize the Lévy walk model [20] and formulate self-consistent equations for two quantities: the density per unit time \( v_n(x, t) \) of walkers leaving position \( x \) at time \( t \) after completing \( n \) walks and the density \( P_n(x, t) \) of walkers at position \( x \) at time \( t \) during the \( n \)th walk.

**Main results.** The universal transition in the onset of superdiffusion in Lévy walks [22] is shown to trace back to a corresponding transition in the fluctuations \( \langle \Delta n^2 \rangle \) of the number of walks \( n \) completed by the walker at time \( t \). These fluctuations, and the entailing transition, then enter the walker’s position through its coupling to time via \( \nu \), inducing a corresponding transition in the Lévy walk propagator. We first compute the generalized propagator \( P_n(x, t) \), from which we derive an exact expression for the walk-number distribution \( Q_n(t) \) in Laplace space in Eq. (11). The real-time distribution \( Q_n(t) \) is verified against direct numerical simulations in Fig. 2. We next explicitly evaluate the large-\( t \) walk-number
theoretical expression of Eq. (17). The insets show a logarithmic plot of the preasymptotic fluctuations in Eq. (17), finding
\[ \langle \Delta n^2 \rangle \approx \langle \Delta n^2 \rangle_0 + \langle \Delta n^2 \rangle, \] (5)
where \( \langle \Delta n^2 \rangle_0 = \kappa_0 t^{1-\beta} \) describes the asymptotic behavior while \( \langle \Delta n^2 \rangle = \kappa_1 t + \kappa_2 t^{4-2\beta} \) accounts for the preasymptotic fluctuations that, as shown in Fig. 3, undergo a transition at \( \beta_c = 3/2 \) with \( \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \) and \( \kappa_2 \) given in Eq. (18). Specifically, for \( \beta > \beta_c \) the particle’s position is proportional to the traveling duration. Nevertheless, we complete the picture by demonstrating the absence of a transition in the onset of superdiffusion in the Lévy flight and CTRW models, where the particle’s position is not coupled to time. Consequently, although a transition in \( \langle \Delta n^2 \rangle \) is found in the CTRW model, it fails to induce a corresponding transition in the onset of superdiffusion.

**Generalized propagator.** Applying a Fourier-Laplace transform to Eq. (2) for \( \rho_i(x, t) \) yields
\[ \tilde{\rho}_{n+1}(k, s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dxe^{-st} \int_0^t d\tau \phi(k, \tau) \times (e^{ik\tau} + e^{-ik\tau}) \tilde{\rho}_n(k, t-\tau), \] (6)
where we denote the Fourier transform by \( \hat{f}(k, t) = \int_0^\infty dxe^{-ikx} f(x, t) \) and the Laplace transform by \( \tilde{f}(k, s) = \int_0^\infty dxe^{-st} \hat{f}(k, t) \). Interchanging the order of integration of \( t \) and \( \tau \), i.e., \( \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^t d\tau \rightarrow \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^\infty d\tau \), lets us reduce Eq. (6) to
\[ \tilde{\rho}_{n+1}(k, s) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \hat{\phi}(s-ivk) + \hat{\phi}(s+ivk) \} \tilde{\rho}_n(k, s). \] (7)
Solving the \( n \) dependence in Eq. (7) subject to the initial condition in Eq. (2) gives
\[ \tilde{\rho}_n(k, s) = \left( \frac{1}{2} \{ \hat{\phi}(s-ivk) + \hat{\phi}(s+ivk) \} \right)^n. \] (8)
Applying the same approach to Eq. (3) for \( \tilde{P}_n(k, s) \) leads to
\[ \tilde{P}_n(k, s) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\psi}_n(k, s) \{ \hat{\psi}(s-ivk) + \hat{\psi}(s+ivk) \}. \] (9)
The formal solution for \( \tilde{P}_n(k, s) \) is obtained by combining Eqs. (8) and (9) into
\[ \tilde{P}_n(k, s) = \frac{1}{2^n \pi} \frac{1}{\hat{\phi}(s-ivk) - \hat{\phi}(s+ivk)} \{ \hat{\psi}(s-ivk) + \hat{\psi}(s+ivk) \}^{-n}. \] (10)

The generalized Lévy walk propagator \( \tilde{P}_n(k, s) \) in Eq. (10) is consistent with the known Lévy walk propagator \( \tilde{P}_{LW}(k, s) = \frac{\hat{\psi}(s-ivk) + \hat{\psi}(s+ivk)}{\hat{\phi}(s-ivk) - \hat{\phi}(s+ivk)} \) [20], which is immediately recovered when summing \( \tilde{P}_n(k, s) \) over \( n \). For large \( t \) and small \( |k| \), \( \tilde{P}_{LW}(k, s) \) was shown in Ref. [22] to asymptotically approach \( \tilde{P}_{LW}(q, t) \propto e^{-t|q|} \), where \( I(q) = (1 - \text{Re}[\phi(q)])/\partial q \text{Im}[\phi(q)] \) and \( q = ivk \). There, this nontrivial functional dependence of \( I(q) \) on \( \phi(q) \) stems from the spatiotemporal coupling by \( v \), as dictated by the distribution

**FIG. 2.** The walk-number distribution \( Q_s(t) \) for \( \beta = \beta_c \) vs the number of steps \( n \). Markers depict the simulated walk-number distribution while the dashed black lines represent the numerical inverse Laplace transform of \( Q_s(s) \) in Eq. (11) at different times. The temporal growth of the distribution’s width is described by \( \langle \Delta n^2 \rangle \).
\( \hat{\phi}(s \pm ivk) \), and ultimately yields the transition in the onset of superdiffusion in Lévy walks. While uncovering the origin of this transition, we shall see that the coupling between the walk’s position and time is, in fact, a simple, natural, and intuitive mechanism which serves to intertwine the walk’s position with the corresponding transition in the walk-number fluctuations.

*Walk-number fluctuations.* Our next task is to evaluate the walk-number fluctuations \((\Delta n_t^2)\). To this end, we first marginalize the generalized propagator \( \tilde{P}_n(k, s) \) over the walker’s position by setting \( k = 0 \). This, along with the Laplace transform \( \tilde{\psi}(s) = s^{-1}[1 - \hat{\phi}(s)] \) of \( \psi(\tau) \) in Eq. (4), yields the Laplace-transformed walk-number distribution

\[
\tilde{Q}_n(s) \equiv \tilde{P}_n(k = 0, s) = s^{-1}[1 - \hat{\phi}(s)]\tilde{\phi}(s)^n.
\]

(11)

While we here derive it from the generalized propagator \( \tilde{P}_n(k, s) \), we stress that \( \tilde{Q}_n(s) \) is a more fundamental quantity that can be obtained without considering the Lévy walker’s spatial behavior [33]. To proceed, we introduce the Laplace-space moment generating function

\[
g(s; \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda^m \tilde{Q}_n(s) = \frac{1 - \hat{\phi}(s)}{s[1 - \lambda \hat{\phi}(s)]},
\]

(12)

from which we derive

\[
\langle \tilde{n}_t \rangle = \frac{\hat{\phi}(s)}{s[1 - \hat{\phi}(s)]}
\]

(13)

\[
\langle \tilde{n}_t^2 \rangle = \frac{\hat{\phi}(s)[1 + \hat{\phi}(s)]}{s[1 - \hat{\phi}(s)]^2},
\]

(13)

noting that \( \langle \tilde{n}_t^m \rangle = \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\tau \lambda} \langle n_t^m \rangle \) is the Laplace transform of the \( m \)-th moment \( \langle n_t^m \rangle \).

To keep our discussion as general as possible, let us consider a generic walk-time distribution \( \phi(\tau) \) with an analytic short-time behavior and a tail which scales as \( \alpha \tau^{-1-\beta} \) for large \( \tau \). The Laplace transform \( \tilde{\phi}(s) = \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-s\tau} \phi(\tau) \) of such a general distribution is given by

\[
\tilde{\phi}(s) = s^\alpha \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} d_r s^r + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m s^m
\]

(14)

The singular terms \( s^\alpha \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} d_r s^r \) account for the distribution’s tail and are responsible for the divergence of the second and higher moments, while the analytic series \( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m s^m \) captures its short-time behavior. The coefficients \( \{c_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty} \) and \( \{d_r\}_{r=0}^{\infty} \) may be uniquely determined for any such walk-time distribution \( \phi(\tau) \), including the choice in Eq. (1) which was used in Figs. 2 and 3 but also for other choices [33]. We proceed to analyze the large-\( t \) behavior of \( \langle \Delta n_t^2 \rangle \) by first obtaining the small-\( s \) (i.e., large-\( t \)) behavior of \( \langle \tilde{n}_t \rangle \) and \( \langle \tilde{n}_t^2 \rangle \) in Eq. (13) and then taking the inverse Laplace transform [33]. We find

\[
\langle \tilde{n}_t \rangle \approx -\frac{t}{c_1} + \frac{d_0 t^{2-\beta}}{\Gamma[4-\beta]c_1^3} + \frac{c_2 - c_1^2}{c_1^2},
\]

(15)

and

\[
\langle \tilde{n}_t^2 \rangle \approx \frac{t^2}{c_1^2} - \frac{4d_0 t^{3-\beta}}{\Gamma[4-\beta]c_1^3} + \frac{(3c_1^2 - 4c_2)t}{c_1^4} + \frac{6d_0 t^{4-2\beta}}{\Gamma[5-2\beta]c_1^7},
\]

(16)

where higher-order terms are neglected and the normalization condition \( c_0 = 1 \) is used. The leading large-\( t \) behavior of \( \langle \Delta n_t^2 \rangle \approx \langle \Delta n_t^2 \rangle_0 + \langle \delta n_t^2 \rangle \) in Eq. (5) is thus

\[
\langle \Delta n_t^2 \rangle_0 = k_0 t^{2-\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \delta n_t^2 \rangle = \kappa_1 t + \kappa_2 t^{4-2\beta},
\]

(17)

with the coefficients \( k_0, k_1, \) and \( k_2 \) given by

\[
k_0 = \frac{2(1 - \beta) d_0}{\Gamma[4 - \beta] c_1^3}, \quad k_1 = \frac{c_1^2 - 2c_0}{c_1^3},
\]

and

\[
k_2 = \frac{d_0}{c_1^3} \left( \frac{6}{\Gamma[5 - 2\beta]} - \frac{1}{\Gamma[3 - \beta] c_1^3} \right).
\]

(18)

For the choice of \( \phi(\tau) \) in Eq. (1), one finds \( c_1 = -\frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} \), \( d_0 = -\Gamma[1 - \beta] \), and \( c_2 = -\frac{\beta}{\beta - 2} \) [33]. These walk-number fluctuations enter the Lévy walk propagator since the distance traveled by the walker is proportional to its traveling time. As such, the transition in the preasymptotic fluctuations \( \langle \delta n_t^2 \rangle \) induces a corresponding transition in the onset of superdiffusion.

The Lévy flight and CTRW models. We finally demonstrate the absence of a transition in the onset of superdiffusion in the Lévy flight and CTRW models, where the distance traveled by the particle is not proportional to the traveling time. Moreover, we explicitly show that the CTRW’s nonlocal dynamics fail to produce a transition in the onset of superdiffusion, even though the model does exhibit a transition in \( \langle \delta n_t^2 \rangle \). Explicit calculations and details are provided in the Supplemental Material [33].

In each step of the 1D CTRW dynamics, the particle waits a random time \( \tau \) and then makes a random jump \( \ell \) [20]. Superdiffusion arises when the waiting-time distribution scales as \( \omega(\tau) \propto \tau^{-1-\beta} \) for large \( \tau \) and has a finite first moment \( \langle \tau \rangle \), corresponding to \( \beta > 1 \), while the symmetric jump-distance distribution scales as \( g(|\ell|) \propto |\ell|^{\gamma-1} \) for large \( |\ell| \) and has a diverging second moment \( \langle \ell^2 \rangle \rightarrow \infty \), corresponding to \( 1 < \gamma < 2 \). Generalizing the CTRW dynamics to account for the number of steps \( n \), as in Eqs. (2) and (3) for the Lévy walk model, one obtains the generalized CTRW propagator

\[
\rho_n^{CTRW}(k, s) = s^{-1}[1 - \tilde{\omega}(s)] \tilde{\phi}(k)^n \tilde{\omega}(s)^n.
\]

(19)

Marginalizing over space gives the walk-number distribution \( \tilde{Q}_n^{CTRW}(s) = s^{-1}[1 - \tilde{\omega}(s)] \tilde{\omega}(s)^n \), which is identical to that obtained in Eq. (11) for the Lévy walk. As such, the same transition arises in the preasymptotic walk-number fluctuations \( \langle \delta n_t^2 \rangle \) at \( \beta_c \), as in Eq. (17). However, by marginalizing Eq. (19) over \( n \) and taking the long-time and large-distance limit, one finds \( \tilde{Q}_n^{CTRW}(k, s) \approx e^{-\tau |d_0| k^2 - 2 \ell |d_0| k^2 + O(k^{2+ \gamma})} \), where \( D_0 \) and \( D_1 \) depend on the details of \( \omega(\tau) \) and \( g(\ell) \). Since the leading correction to the asymptotic CTRW propagator \( \rho_n^{CTRW}(0, k, t) = e^{-\beta t |\ell|^2} \) is proportional to \( k^2 \) for any \( 1 < \gamma < 2 \), no transition arises in the onset of superdiffusion.

A similar picture is found in the 1D Lévy flight, which describes a “fliter” whose discrete evolution consists of repeatedly drawing a flight distance \( \ell \) from the distribution \( \tilde{\xi}(\ell) \) and immediately materializing at its new location. Superdiffusion appears when \( \tilde{\xi}(\ell) \)’s symmetric tails scale as \( \tilde{\xi}(\ell) \propto |\ell|^{-1-\beta} \) for large \( |\ell| \) and \( 1 < \beta < 2 \). The model’s discrete evolution is neatly contained within the generalized Lévy walk dynamics of Eqs. (2) and (3) and its known propagator \( \tilde{\rho}_n^{LW}(k) = \phi(k)^n \) is recovered from \( \tilde{v}_n(k, s) \) of Eq. (8) by
setting \( s = 0 \). For large distances and \( n \), it assumes the form 
\[
\hat{P}_n^{LF}(k) \approx e^{-n(D_0|k|^\beta-D_1|\xi_k|^2+O(k^2))},
\]
where \( D_0 \) and \( D_1 \) depend on the details of \( \xi(\ell) \). Again, no transition appears in the onset of superdiffusion.

Conclusions. In this Rapid Communication, we studied the mechanism behind the recently reported universal transition in the onset of superdiffusion in Lévy walks of order \( 1 < \beta < 2 \). It was shown to be twofold, consisting of the finite speed \( v \) which couples the walker’s position to time and a corresponding transition in the fluctuations of the number of walks \( n \) completed by the walker at time \( t \). Generalizing the Lévy walk model to account for the number of walks \( n \) allowed us to compute the walk-number distribution and its large-\( t \) fluctuations \( \langle \Delta n_2^2 \rangle \approx \langle \Delta n_2^2 \rangle_0 + \langle \delta n_2 \rangle \). A transition was demonstrated in the presymptotic fluctuations \( \langle \delta n_2^2 \rangle = \kappa_1 t + \kappa_2 t^{4-2\beta} \), showing diffusive behavior \( \langle \delta n_2 \rangle \propto t \) for \( \beta > \beta_c \) and superdiffusive behavior \( \langle \delta n_2 \rangle \propto t^{4-2\beta} \) for \( \beta < \beta_c \). This picture was completed by showing that no transition occurs in the onset of superdiffusion in the Lévy flight and CTRW models, where the particle’s position is not coupled to time.

Unlike the full propagator, which is nutritionally hard to obtain from data, the walk-number fluctuations \( \langle \Delta n_2^2 \rangle \) can be readily extracted from the dynamics by tracking the evolution of the number of typical “ballistic” excursions observed in superdiffusive systems. This study shows that this robust and accessible observable can be used to precisely predict which systems are expected to exhibit a transition in the onset of superdiffusion, be they experimental or numerical. However, besides its theoretical value in uncovering the mechanism responsible for the transition in the onset of superdiffusion [22], the transition in \( \langle \Delta n_2^2 \rangle \) can itself be used as a tool for precisely determining the value of \( \beta \). This collateral contribution is important since only a few such instruments are currently known, in spite of the well-known and often devastating difficulties posed by finite-time corrections in both experimental and numerical studies of superdiffusive phenomena [3,34–41]. This work joins the efforts detailed in Refs. [8,22,39,42,43] of establishing an understanding of the preasymptotic behavior of superdiffusive systems. In this context, it would be very interesting to test these predictions in experimental and numerical systems which are modeled by Lévy walks.
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