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**RADIS:** analysis of RAD-seq data for interspecific phylogeny
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Abstract

In an attempt to make the processing of RAD-seq data easier and allow rapid and automated exploration of parameters/data for phylogenetic inference, we introduce the perl pipeline **RADIS**. Users of **RADIS** can let their raw Illumina data be processed up to phylogenetic tree inference, or stop (and restart) the process at some point. Different values for key parameters can be explored in a single analysis (e.g. loci building, sample/loci selection), making possible a thorough exploration of data. **RADIS** relies on **Stacks** for demultiplexing of data, removing PCR duplicates and building individual and catalog loci. Scripts have been specifically written for trimming of reads and loci/sample selection. Finally, **RAxML** is used for phylogenetic inferences, though other software may be utilized.

**Availability and implementation:** **RADIS** is written in perl, designed to run on Linux and Unix platforms. **RADIS** and its manual are freely available from http://www1.montpellier.inra.fr/CBGP/software/RADIS/.

**Contact:** astrid.cruaud@supagro.inra.fr

**Supplementary information:** Supplementary data are available at Bioinformatics online.

1 Introduction

Restriction site Associated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq, Baird et al., 2008; Miller et al., 2007) is a promising tool to confidently resolve phylogenetic relationships among eukaryote species and genera (e.g. Cruaud et al., 2014; Eaton and Ree, 2013; Hipp et al., 2014; McCluskey and Postlethwait, 2015). However, analyzing RAD-seq data to infer phylogenies remains challenging as it requires many steps and decisions to process raw data into a format ready for analysis. Some steps can be achieved using a collection of well-packaged software, but others require bioinformatic skills. An examination of data is required to better analyze their quality and impact on topology/branch lengths. Assessment of the robustness of the resulting trees to the parameters chosen for loci building and loci/sample selection is required (Leaché et al., 2015) but represents a tedious and error-prone task when done manually. In an attempt to standardize processing of RAD-seq data for phylogenetic inference, allow fast and automated exploration of key options, and facilitate comparison among clustering methods to form sets of loci (e.g. Stacks Catchen et al., 2011; Catchen et al., 2013 versus PyRAD, Eaton 2014), we designed the user-friendly perl pipeline **RADIS**.

2 Description

Processing of raw data has been split up into two steps: data cleaning and data analysis (Supplementary Fig. S1). Example datasets are provided with the package (processing time < 1 min on 8-cores of a 16-core Linux, 2.9 GHz, 64 GB RAM computer). Users can choose to (i) process their raw Illumina data up to phylogenetic tree inference (**RADIS**.pl); (ii) perform only data cleaning (**RADIS_step1_data_cleaning.pl**) or (iii) perform only data analysis (**RADIS_step2_data_analysis.pl**).

**RADIS** operates on the basis of a configuration file (**RADIS.cfg**) in which users provide parameters values to be tested and paths to external software. The software comes with a fully annotated configuration file to facilitate the initialization. A correspondence
Data cleaning—Reads that do not pass Illumina’s filtering are discarded. RADIS relies on process_radtags from the software pipeline Stacks to demultiplex data. Users can choose to remove nucleotides from the 5' and 3' ends of forward and reverse reads (e.g., to remove enzyme cut sites or bad quality nucleotides). If barcodes of different sizes are used, reads are automatically trimmed to the same length. To remove PCR duplicates, RADIS then uses clone_filter (Stacks). Finally, sequence files are renamed after the sample codes. At each step of the process, files are created that provide summary statistics on the number of reads removed/kept.

Data analysis—Purified read 1’ outputs from the first step are processed individually and a set of loci is produced for each sample using ustacks (Stacks). Users can provide a list of values to be tested for M, the maximum number of nucleotides that may be different between stacks (assembly of exactly matching reads) to be merged into a single locus (http://creskolab.uoregon.edu/stacks/param_tut.php). Individual loci are then merged into a catalog of loci with cstacks (Stacks). Users can provide a list of values for the parameter n, the number of mismatches allowed between individual loci when generating the catalog. Exploring alternate parameterization allows the user to find a good compromise for merging orthogonal loci from distant species, whilst ensuring that paralogs and non-homologous loci are not merged. Users can then perform loci and sample selection to build datasets that fit with their prior knowledge of the studied species. They can fix a minimum number of loci required for a sample to be kept in the analysis, or retain only loci for which at least a given number of samples have sequences (a list of values can be provided). Users can also choose to remove loci in which paralogs and non-homologous sequences are probably merged together. Phylip-formatted files that meet the selection criteria are produced by RADIS. Finally, combined datasets (concatenation of the full sequence of each locus) are analyzed using RAxML (Stamatakis, 2006a,b) to produce phylogenetic trees. Users can delay implementation of RAxML analyses in order to increase the number of cpus to be used. Explicit names are used for output directories and files making the results obtained with different sets of parameters easily distinguished and compared (e.g., stacks_ M2n4S1L2L10000.sel.phy is the phylip-formatted combined dataset obtained when individual loci are built using M = 2 (M2, ustacks), the catalog of loci is built using n = 4 (n4, cstacks), only sample with at least 10 000 loci (L10000) and loci for which at least 12 samples have sequences (S12) are selected {.sel}. It is noteworthy that RADIS can process data from as many RAD libraries as needed.

4 Conclusion
By facilitating testing the impact of different parameter combinations, the pipeline RADIS automates and standardizes the analyses of RAD-seq data for phylogenetic inference. The program may prove useful to evaluate the robustness of the results to the options chosen to process real RAD-seq data, or to carry out simulation studies. Most importantly, RADIS could also help to assess how different clustering methods may impact tree topology (e.g., Stacks versus PyRAD).

Acknowledgements
We thank C. Kerdelhué and A. Dehne Garcia for discussions, S. McCairns for proofreading and three anonymous reviewers for their comments.

Funding
This work was funded by the division SPE of the INRA, the ANR project ‘TriPTIC’ (ANR-14-CE18-0002).

Conflict of Interest: none declared.

References
Baird,N.A. et al. (2008) Rapid SNP discovery and genetic mapping using sequenced RAD markers. PLoS ONE, 3, e3376.
Catchen,J. et al. (2013) Stacks: an analysis tool set for population genomics. Mol. Ecol., 22, 3124–3140.
Catchen,J.M. et al. (2011) Stacks: building and genotyping loci de novo from short-read sequences. G3 Genomes Genomics Genet., 1, 171–182.
Cruaud,Á. et al. (2014) Empirical assessment of RAD sequencing for interspecific phylogeny. Mol. Biol. Evol., 31, 1272–1274.
Eaton,D.A.R. (2014) PyRAD: assembly of de novo RADseq loci for phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics, 30, 1211–1212.
Eaton,D.A.R. and Ree,R.H. (2013) Inferring phylogeny and introgression using RADseq data: an example from flowering plants (Pedicularis: Orobanchaceae). Syst. Biol., 62, 689–706.
Hipp,A.L. et al. (2014) A framework phylogeny of the American oak clade based on sequenced RAD data. PLoS ONE, 9, e93975.
Leaché,A.D. et al. (2015) Phylogenomics of phytophagous lizards: conflicting signals from sequence capture versus restriction site associated DNA sequencing. Genome Biol. Evol., 7, 706–719.
McCluskey,B.M. and Postlethwait,J.H. (2015) Phylogeny of zebrafish, a “model species,” within Danio, a “model genus”. Mol. Biol. Evol., 32, 635–652.
Miller,M.R. et al. (2007) Rapid and cost-effective polymorphism identification and genotyping using restriction site associated DNA (RAD) markers. Genome Res., 17, 240–248.
Stamatakis,A. (2006a) Phylogenetic models of rate heterogeneity: A High Performance Computing Perspective. International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS 2006), Rhodes Island, Greece, pp. 8.
Stamatakis,A. (2006b) RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics, 22, 2688–2690.
Yang,Z. (1994) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic estimation from DNA sequences with variable rates over sites: approximate methods. J. Mol. Evol., 39, 306–314.