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Neuroprotective potential of Ocimum sanctum (Linn) leaf extract in preventing and attenuating stress induced substantia nigral neuronal damage in rats
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ABSTRACT

Background: In Ayurveda; an Indian system of traditional medicine, Ocimum sanctum is said to have remedial effect on hriddaaurbalya (problems affecting the mind), aakshepayukta vikara (nervous disorders) and shiroroga (diseases of head). Hence, in Ayurvedic practice, it is profoundly used as an antistress medicine. Stress is known to affect neurons of functionally significant brain regions like substantia nigra. However, experimental evidence showing its effect on morphology of substantia nigral neurons is lacking. In addition, whether the O. sanctum treatment attenuates stress induced substantia nigral neuronal structural changes is not known.

Objectives: To know the effect of stress on morphology of substantia nigral neurons and the effect of O. sanctum fresh leaf extract (OSE) on substantia nigral neurons of stressed rats.

Material and methods: Present study included three experiments. Experiment I: To study the effect of 3 and 6 weeks of foot shock stress in rats; Experiment II- To study the effect of 3 weeks of OSE treatment on 3 week-stress undergoing rats and on 3 week-stressed rats; Experiment III- To study the effect of 6 weeks of OSE treatment in 6 week-stress undergoing rats and in 6 week-stressed rats.

Results: In experiment I, stress had significant deleterious effect on dendritic arborization of substantia nigral neurons. Experiments II and III showed prevention and attenuation of the stress induced dendritic atrophy of substantia nigral neurons in both 2 ml and 4 ml OSE treatment groups. Protective effect of OSE was more pronounced in rats which are treated for a longer duration.

Conclusions: Foot shock stress induces neuronal damage in the substantia nigra of rats. Treatment with fresh leaf extract of O. sanctum could prevent and attenuate the foot shock stress induced behavioral deficit and substantia nigral neuronal damage.

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Institute of Transdisciplinary Health Sciences and Technology and World Ayurveda Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Stress has been reported to affect the brain and behavior of humans and experimental animals of all ages. Learning and memory impairments have been observed in animals undergoing stress. Stress is known to affect neurons of brain regions like hippocampus, substantia nigra, amygdala and cerebral cortex. Stress is known to impair non-social behavior in male rats such as decrease in motor activity [1] and reduced motivation to explore the environment [2]. Many laboratory models of stress have been used in assessing the various mechanisms of stress-related disorders and to study the effect of various drugs on these disorders [3].

Substantia nigra is situated in the ventral part of the tegmentum of midbrain. Among the neurons of substantia nigra, large neurons in pars compactum are considered to be predominantly dopaminergic and functionally very relevant [4]. Stress induced dopamine dysfunction has been well documented [5]. There are reports of
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stress and depression causing substantia nigral destruction leading to Parkinson’s disease [6,7]. Further, it has been reported that foot shock stress causes consistent extensive hypersensitivity and anhedonic behavior, psychological stress and anxiety [8,9]. Hence, in the present study, foot shock stress and neurons of pars compacta of substantia nigra have been considered.

Estimation of dendritic intersections and dendritic branches is known to give an indication of length and arborization of neuronal dendrites respectively. Any increase in these measures, repercussion of substantia nigra have been considered. In the present study, foot shock stress and neurons of pars compacta of substantia nigra have been done in the present study to assess the stress induced morphological changes.

*Ocimum sanctum* has an important place in traditional as well as modern pharmacological systems of medicine. The Indian Materia Medica refers to *O. sanctum* as a plant having medicinal properties of very high value [11]. Traditionally, *O. sanctum* is believed to have curative effect on *hirداداری* (headache) and *هید* (indigestion) causing substantia nigral destruction leading to parkinson’s disease. Hence, *O. sanctum* is said to be the seat of mind as per Ayurveda. Anything that affects *hrid* and *hvā* in Ayurveda is believed to have mental weakness/structural and functional derangement of heart. It is also curative for *आक्षेपपयुक्त* (sleeplessness) disorders, mental disorders, disorders of memory, *shīnd* (diseases of head) [12]. There are reports of increase in survival time of swimming and prevention of stress-induced ulcers when stressed rats were treated with *O. sanctum* [13]. Stress-induced gastric ulcers improved partially by pretreatment with *O. sanctum* [14]. Pretreatment with *O. sanctum* prevented stress-induced decrease in levels of adrenaline, noradrenaline and monoamine oxidase enzyme and increase in levels of serotonin in brain [15]. *O. sanctum* reduced stress-induced delayed entry of rats into restrainers and struggle inside restrainers [16]. It also reduced the incidence of gastric ulcer formation in swimming endurance test in albino rats [17].

In Ayurvedic literature, though there are mentions of antistress effect of *O. sanctum*, its effect on morphology of neurons of brain regions such as substantia nigra is not reported. In addition, most of the studies are conducted with its various extracts, but, not with fresh leaf juice. Leaf juice is the most easily available and also commonly recommended effective dosage form by Ayurveda. Moreover, not many reports are available on induction of foot shock stress using serial uniform electric grid. We hypothesize that treatment with fresh leaf juice of *O. sanctum* will attenuate the structural changes in substantia nigral neurons of foot shock stress induced rats. Hence, the objective of the present study was to study the effect of *O. sanctum* fresh leaf extract (OSE) on substantia nigral neurons of the stressed rats.

2. Materials and methods

Experiments were conducted on young adult (2-3 months old) Wistar rats of both genders. Rats were bred and maintained in central animal house, of the University and all experiments were carried out with prior approval from the institutional animal ethical committee (IAEC/KMC/2002-2003 dated 04 March 2003).

**Experimental design:** Whole study was conducted under 3 experiments. Detailed experimental design is shown in Fig. 1.

**Time schedule of the experiment:** Selected rats were assigned to the corresponding groups. Stress groups were subjected to foot shock stress for 3 h/day for 3 or 6 weeks depending on the group. The rats were treated with 2 ml or 4 ml of OSE/kg/day for 3 or 6 weeks either during the period of exposure to stress or following the exposure to the stress depending on the group. Rats were then subjected to open field tests following which they were sacrificed. Body weight was monitored throughout experimental period.

### 2.1. Electric foot shock stress

Rats were stressed by giving intermittent electric foot shock for a given period in an electric foot shock apparatus. The apparatus was designed to provide electric shock at the Voltage range of 0–150 V with the frequency of 50 Hz using AC current. The maximum current output which could be provided by the apparatus was 500 mA. Electric foot shock timer was set to generate the shock at 5 min interval for 3 s (i.e. 12 foot shocks/hour). Rats were placed individually in closed (with adequate ventilation) shock grid compartments. Foot shock was given for 3 h daily for different duration as per the experimental design. In this apparatus, multiple animals could uniformly be stressed simultaneously and no animal could escape the foot shock stress.

### 2.2. Body weight gain

The rats were weighed before the commencement of experiments and also at the end of experiments to note the initial and final body weights and weight gained by rats during the experiment was calculated.

### 2.3. Fresh leaf juice extraction

Fresh juice from the *O. sanctum* leaves was extracted using *Pidana* and *Vastra Putam* method of swaras preparation. Fresh and mature *O. sanctum* plant leaves (8–10 from the growing tip excluding 4 leaves at the tip) (Fig. 2) were collected daily. Leaves were washed, air dried for 30 min and homogenized. Juice so obtained was filtered using a clean piece of cloth. A known volume (1.54 ± 0.08 ml) of juice was extracted from a given weight (5.0 g) of leaves. Since uniform soil and water conditions were maintained throughout, we could extract same volume of juice from given weight of leaves on different days. Further, we have established that, the dry weight of a given volume (1 ml) of juice prepared on different days is same (45 ± 0.0005 mg, n = 6 samples).

In the present study, enrich fractionated extraction protocols have been avoided as they involve boiling the leaves with water or ethyl alcohol or other organic solvents which may alter the structure of bioactive principles. Though there may be minor variation in daily preparations, it will be minimal as leaves of equal maturation were collected from same place on all days and by long period (6 weeks) of treatment [18].

*D. indicum* plants used in the present study were authenticated by Professor Venugopal Tantry, Formerly Professor of Botany at Vijaya College, Mulky, Karnataka, India. A voucher specimen (No.pp 531) has been maintained at the Department of Pharmacognosy, Manipal College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, India.

Plant extract was administered orally, using a capillary tube attached to a tuberculin syringe as per the experimental design (Fig. 1).

### 2.4. Behavioral performance- open field test

Open field test is one of the most commonly used behavioral tests in rats to measure their behaviors ranging from overall locomotor activity to anxiety-related emotional behaviors [19]. As intact substantia nigra is vital for normal locomotory and emotional behavior, following the stress or saline/OSE treatment, rats were subjected to open field behavioral tests to assess the effect of foot shock stress on their locomotor performance. During the 5-min test, total number of peripheral and central square entries by rat were recorded. After each test, animal was returned to its home cage and number of boli of excreta was counted. After each test,
floor was thoroughly cleaned and tests were repeated for 5 times for each rat.

2.5. Observation of gastric ulcers

Following behavioral tests, rats were deeply anesthetized with ether, abdomen was opened, stomach was opened along its greater curvature and gastric mucosa was observed under dissection microscope for the presence of ulcers.

2.6. Dendritic quantification of substantia nigral neurons [10,20,21]

Following the behavioral tests, the rats were deeply anesthetized and the brain was removed and fixed in rapid Golgi fixative. Tissue was processed for rapid Golgi staining. 120 μ thick sections were taken, and mounted on a slide. From each rat, 8 to 10 neurons from the substantia nigra compactum were traced using camera lucida and their dendritic intersections, branching points and processes were quantified. Concentric circle method of Sholl was used for dendritic quantification as reported earlier [10,20,21].

2.7. Data analysis

Data was analyzed using Student’s t-test and analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni’s post-test using Graph Pad in Stat (GPIS) software, version 1.13. P values less than or equivalent to 0.05 were considered as significant.
3. Results

3.1. Experiment I - effect of 3 and 6 weeks of foot shock stress on rats

**Body weight and gastric ulcer:** There was significant (P < 0.001) decrease in the body weight of rats of 3 and 6 week stressed groups compared to corresponding normal controls. In addition, both the stressed groups were positive for the gastric ulcers.

**Behavioral performance - Open field test:** Three week stressed rats had a significantly high ambulation compared to normal rats. Significantly (P < 0.05) more number of central squares were entered by 3 week-stressed rats than normal rats. Six week stressed animals showed significantly high ambulation and increased (P < 0.01) number of central square entries when compared to normal control rats. The time spent in the peripheral zone by both the stressed group rats was more compared to that of corresponding NC groups indicating the stress induced anxiety in these animals.

**Dendritic arborization of substantia nigral neurons:** Both 3 and 6 week-stressed rats showed a significant (P < 0.05, P < 0.01 & P < 0.001) reduction in dendritic intersections at different concentric circles when compared to respective normal control groups. There was a significant reduction in branching points at 60-80 and 80-100µm concentric zones in 3 week-stressed group and at 20-40 and 60-80 zones (P < 0.01) in 6 week stressed rats when compared to that of respective normal control groups. Significant (P < 0.05 & P < 0.01) reduction in total number of dendritic branching points is seen in both stress groups when compared to that of respective normal control groups. Total number of dendritic processes arising from soma was significantly (P < 0.001) reduced only in 3 week-stressed rats when compared to that of normal control group.

3.2. Experiment IIA – effect of 3 weeks of O. sanctum treatment on 3-week stress-undergoing rats

**Body weight and gastric ulcer:** There was significant (P < 0.01) decrease in the body weight of rats of stress + saline group when compared to the normal control group. In addition, stress + saline group was positive for the gastric ulcers. However, there was no significant difference in body weight gain between NC and stress + OSE treated groups (Table 1A).

**Behavioral performance - Open field test:** Saline treated stress undergoing rats did not show any significant difference in ambulation, number of peripheral square entries, number of central square entries and number of boli of excreta compared to normal and OSE treated stress undergoing rats (Table 1A).

**Dendritic arborization of substantia nigral neurons:** In saline treated rats, dendritic intersections were significantly (P < 0.05 & P < 0.01) decreased at certain concentric circles compared to normal control and stress + OSE treated rats. Stress+4 ml OSE treated rats showed a significant (P < 0.05 & P < 0.01) increase in dendritic intersections in most of the concentric circles when compared to stress+2 ml OSE treated rats (Table 1B; Fig. 3A). Dendritic branching points were significantly decreased in saline treated stress undergoing rats at 80-100µm concentric zone (P < 0.05) compared to normal and stress+2 ml OSE treated groups. Saline treated rats showed a significant (P < 0.05 & P < 0.01) decrease in branching points at all zones except 0-20µm zone compared to that of stress+4 ml OSE treated rats. Compared to stress+2 ml OSE treated rats, the stress+4 ml OSE treated rats had significantly (P < 0.01) more number of dendritic branching points at 60-80µm zone. Similarly, saline treated stress undergoing rats showed a significant (P < 0.05, P < 0.01 & P < 0.001) reduction in total number of dendritic branching points compared to normal control and stress + OSE treated stress undergoing rats (Table 1C; Fig. 3B). However, no significant decrease in total number of dendritic processes arising from the soma was observed in saline treated stressed animals compared to normal control and stress + OSE treated animals (Table 1B; Fig. 3C). Further, there was no significant difference between NC and stress + OSE treated groups in any of the dendritic arborization parameters (Table 1B, Table 1C; Fig. 3A, B, Fig. 3C) Representative photomicrographs of silver impregnated substantia nigral neurons and their camera lucida tracings are shown in Fig. 3D.
3.3. Experiment IIIA- effect of 3 weeks of Ocimum sanctum treatment on 3-week stressed rats

**Body weight and gastric ulcer:** There was decrease (not significant) in the body weight of rats of stress + saline group when compared to the normal control group. All the groups were negative for the gastric ulcers. There was no significant difference in body weight gain between NC and stress + OSE treated groups (Table 2A).

**Behavioral performance - Open field test:** Ambulatory movements were increased in stress + 4 ml OSE treated rats. The stress + 4 ml OSE treated rats showed a significant (P < 0.05) increase in number of peripheral square entries when compared with normal control, stress + saline and stress + 2 ml OSE treated rats. They also showed significant (P < 0.05) increase in number of central square entries when compared with normal control, stress + saline and stress + 2 ml OSE treated rats (Table 2A). Further, there was no significant difference between NC and stress + 2 ml OSE treated rats in any of the behavioral parameters (Table 2A).

**Dendritic arborization of substantia nigral neurons:** Significant (P < 0.05, P < 0.01 & P < 0.001) decrease in dendritic intersections was observed in stress + saline treated rats in all the concentric circles compared to normal control rats. The stress + saline treated rats showed a significant (P < 0.001) decrease in intersections at 3 concentric circles compared to stress + 2 ml OSE treated rats. These rats showed a significant (P < 0.01 & P < 0.001) decrease in intersections at all concentric circles except 100 μ when compared to stress + 4 ml OSE treated rats. However, there was no significant difference in dendritic intersections between NC and stress + OSE treated rats (Table 2B). Stressed saline treated rats showed a significant (P < 0.05, P < 0.01 & P < 0.001) decrease in the dendritic branching points in certain concentric zones compared to normal control and stressed OSE treated rats. Similarly, a significant (P < 0.001 & P < 0.0001) reduction in total number of dendritic branching points was observed in the saline treated rats when compared to NC and OSE treated rats. Similarly, there was a significant (P < 0.01) increase in the dendritic branching points at 0-20 μ zone in both the stress + OSE treated groups when compared to that of NC group (Table 2C). Dendritic processes arising from the cell bodies were significantly (P < 0.05) reduced in saline treated stressed rats compared to normal control and stress + 4 ml OSE treated rats (Table 2B).

3.4. Experiment IIIB- effect of 6 weeks of Ocimum sanctum treatment on 6-week stressed rats

**Body weight and gastric ulcer:** There was significant (P < 0.01, P < 0.05) decrease in the body weight of rats of S + S group when
compared to the normal control and S+4-OSE groups. However, there was no significant difference in body weight gain between NC and OSE treated groups. In addition, S+S group was positive for the gastric ulcers (Table 3A).

Behavioral performance - Open field test: Saline treated stress undergoing rats showed an increase in number of ambulations when compared to normal control and OSE treated stress undergoing rats. There was significant (P < 0.05) increase in peripheral square entries in stress + saline group when compared to that of normal control group. Number of central square entries during the test was significantly (P < 0.0001) increased in saline treated rats compared to normal control and both the stress + OSE treated groups. However, there was no significant difference in the peripheral square entries between the NC and stress + OSE treated groups indicating the normal behavior of stress + OSE treated rats (Table 3A).

Table 1C  
Effect of 3 weeks of Ocimum sanctum treatment on 3 week-stress undergoing rats- Substantia nigral neuronal dendritic branching points.

| Groups                  | n  | Concentric zones | Total dendritic branching points |
|-------------------------|----|------------------|---------------------------------|
|                         |    | 0-20 μm          | 20-40 μm           | 40-60 μm          | 60-80 μm          | 80-100 μm |                      |
| Normal Control (NC)     | 6  | 0.49             | 2.14               | 1.21              | 0.64              | 0.29       | 4.79                 |
|                         |    | ±                | ±                  | ±                 | ±                 | ±          | ±                    |
| Stress + Saline (S+S)   | 6  | 0.21             | 0.52               | 0.6               | 0.16              | 0.15       | 0.76                 |
|                         |    | ±                | ±                  | ±                 | ±                 | ±          | ±                    |
| Stress + 2 ml OSE (S+S) | 6  | 0.31             | 1.66               | 0.75              | 0.46              | 0.11*      | 3.31**               |
|                         |    | ±                | ±                  | ±                 | ±                 | ±          | ±                    |
|                        |    | 0.18             | 0.36               | 0.25              | 0.16              | 0.075      | 0.43                 |
| Stress + 4 ml OSE (S+S) | 6  | 0.59             | 1.92               | 1.15              | 0.4               | 0.39 aa    | 4.49 a               |
|                         |    | ±                | ±                  | ±                 | ±                 | ±          | ±                    |
|                        |    | 0.32             | 0.6                | 0.36              | 0.2               | 0.12       | 0.94                 |
| Stress + 2-OSE (S+S)   | 6  | 0.55             | 2.26 b             | 1.4 b             | 0.75 b c          | 0.33 bb    | 5.3 bbb              |
|                         |    | ±                | ±                  | ±                 | ±                 | ±          | ±                    |
|                         |    | 0.13             | 0.3                | 0.46              | 0.32              | 0.12       | 0.71                 |
|                         |    | ±                | ±                  | ±                 | ±                 | ±          | ±                    |
|                         |    | 1.87             | 1.96               | 2.34              | 3.22              | 0.12       | 7.95                 |
| P value                 |    | NS               | NS                 | NS                | P < 0.5           | P < 0.01   | P < 0.01             |

Each value represents Mean ± SD; n = number of rats, NS = not significant, NC vs. S+S: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01; S+S vs. S+S-2-OSE: a P < 0.05, bb P < 0.01, S+S vs. S+S-4-OSE: b P < 0.05, bb P < 0.01, bbb P < 0.001; S+S-2-OSE vs. S+S-4-OSE: c P < 0.05; (One way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s test).
Table 2A
Effect of 3 weeks of Ocimum sanctum treatment on 3 week-stressed rats- Body weight gain, gastric ulcers and Open field test performance.

| Groups            | n  | Body weight gain in grams | Gastric ulcers | Open field test |
|-------------------|----|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
|                   |    |                           |               | Peripheral squares entered | Central squares entered | No. of boli of excreta |
| Normal Control (NC) | 6  | 48.5                      | - ve          | 68.85           | 3.0              | 0.85               |
|                   |    | ±                         | ±            | ±               | ±               | ±                 |
| Stress + Saline (S + S) | 6  | 38.66                     | - ve          | 64.87           | 3.25             | 2.37               |
|                   |    | ±                         | ±            | ±               | ±               | ±                 |
| Stress+2 ml OSE (S+2-OSE) | 6  | 55.33                     | - ve          | 63.71           | 2.14             | 1.5                |
|                   |    | ±                         | ±            | ±               | ±               | ±                 |
| Stress+4 ml OSE (S+4-OSE) | 6  | 52.14                     | - ve          | 92.66 b c       | 6.33 # b c      | 1.83               |
|                   |    | ±                         | ±            | ±               | ±               | ±                 |
| F value           | 7.69                          |               |                | 17.37           | 2.8              | 2.56               |
| P value           | 1.3835                        |               |                | 2.49            | 3.14             | 0.77               |

Each value represents Mean ± SD; NS- Not significant; - ve - Gastric ulcers absent. NC vs. S + 4-OSE: #P < 0.05; S + 5 vs. S + 4-OSE: *P < 0.05; S + 2-OSE vs. S + 4-OSE: c P < 0.05; No significant difference is found between the different groups in body weight gain (One way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s test).

Table 2B
Effect of 3 weeks of Ocimum sanctum treatment on 3 week-stressed rats- Substantia nigral neuronal dendritic intersections and processes.

| Groups          | n  | Distance from soma (μ) | No. of dendritic processes |
|-----------------|----|------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                 |    | 20  | 40  | 60  | 80  | 100 |                |
| Normal Control (NC) | 6  | 3.76 | 5.26 | 5.65 | 5.11 | 4.03 | 3.3              |
| Stress + Saline (S + S) | 6  | 3.21 ** | 4.31 ** | 4.4 **** | 3.85 ** | 3.01 * | 2.9 *           |
| Stress+2 ml OSE (S+2-OSE) | 6  | 4.13 aaa | 5.58 aaa | 5.65 aaa | 4.61 | 3.73 | 3.21             |
| Stress+4 ml OSE (S+4-OSE) | 6  | 4.08 bbb | 5.23 bbb | 5.95 bbb | 4.95 bb | 3.83 | 3.28 b          |
| F value          | 11.23                         | 8.33          | 14.25 | 5.24 | 2.51 | 2.89             |
| P value          | P > 0.001                     | P < 0.001    | P < 0.001 | P < 0.01 | NS   | NS              |

Each value represents Mean ± SD; n – number of rats, NS – not significant, NC vs. S + S: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001; S + 5 vs. S + 4-OSE: a P < 0.001; S + 5 vs. S + 2-OSE: b P < 0.05, bb P < 0.01, bbb P < 0.001; (One way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s test).

Table 2C
Effect of 3 weeks of Ocimum sanctum treatment in 3-week-stressed rats- Substantia nigral neuronal dendritic branching points.

| Groups          | n  | Concentric zones          | Total dendritic branching points |
|-----------------|----|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                 |    | 0-20 μ | 20-40 μ | 40-60 μ | 60-80 μ | 80-100 μ |                  |
| Normal Control (NC) | 6  | 0.55 | 2.5     | 1.6     | 0.96    | 0.53    | 6.15              |
| Stress + Saline (S + S) | 6  | 0.36 | 1.7 ** | 0.83 * | 0.45 *  | 0.18 *  | 3.53 ***          |
| Stress+2 ml OSE (S+2-OSE) | 6  | 0.19 | 0.33    | 0.1     | 0.37    | 0.11    | 0.41              |
| Stress+4 ml OSE (S+4-OSE) | 6  | 1.0 # bbb | 2.61 bb  | 1.68 b | 0.86    | 0.33    | 6.5 bbb           |
| F value          | 11.54                        | 5.8          | 4.32    | 2.38    | 2.57    | 17.58             |
| P value          | P < 0.001                     | P < 0.001    | P < 0.05 | NS     | NS     | <0.0001           |

Each value represents Mean ± SD; n – number of rats, NS – not significant, NC vs. S + S: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001; NC vs. S + 2 OSE: & & P < 0.01; NC vs. S + 4 OSE: ##P < 0.01; S + 5 vs. S + 2 OSE: a P < 0.05, aa P < 0.01, aaa P < 0.001; S + 5 vs. S + 4-OSE: b P < 0.05, bb P < 0.01, bbb P < 0.001, bbbb P < 0.001; (One way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s test).

**Dendritic arborization of substantia nigral neurons:** Dendritic intersections were significantly (P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001 & P < 0.0001) decreased at all concentric circles in stress + saline treated rats compared to normal control and stress+2 ml or stress+4 ml OSE treated rats. The stress+2 ml OSE treated rats showed a significant (P < 0.05, P < 0.01) increase in dendritic intersections at 3 concentric circles when compared to normal control rats. The stress+4 ml OSE treated rats too had significantly decreased dendritic intersections at all concentric circles.
Each value represents Mean ± SD; NS- Not significant; - ve – Gastric ulcers absent; + ve – Gastric ulcers present; NC vs. S + S: *P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001; S + S vs. S+2-OSE: #P < 0.05; S + S vs. S+4-OSE: aaP < 0.01, aaaa P < 0.0001; bbbb P < 0.0001 (One way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s test).
dendritic intersections at 20 μm concentric circle in stress +4 OSE group when compared to NC group (Table 4B). Dendritic branching points were significantly (P < 0.05 & P < 0.001) decreased in stress + saline treated rats at 2 circles compared to normal control rats. Significant (P < 0.05 & P < 0.001) decrease in branching points was observed in stress + saline treated rats at inner 2 zones when compared to stress +2 ml OSE treated rats. Significant (P < 0.05, P < 0.01 & P < 0.001) decrease in dendritic branching points was also observed in stress + saline treated rats at all zones except 60-80 μm compared to stress +4 ml OSE treated rats. However, there was no significant difference in dendritic branching points between NC and stress + OSE treated groups in any of the concentric zones. There was a significant (P < 0.001 & P < 0.0001) reduction in total number of dendritic branching points in stress + saline treated rats compared to normal control and stress + OSE treated rats. However, there was no significant difference in total number of
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**Table 3C**

| Groups                        | n  | Concentric zones | Total dendritic branching points |
|-------------------------------|----|------------------|---------------------------------|
|                               |    | 0-20 μm          | 20-40 μm                       | 40-60 μm          | 60-80 μm          | 80-100 μm          |
| Normal Control (NC)           | 6  | 0.55             | 2.5                             | 1.6              | 0.96              | 0.53              | 6.15              |
|                               |    | ±                | ±                               | ±                | ±                 | ±                 | ±                 |
| Stress + Saline (S + S)       | 6  | 0.31             | 1.08 ****                      | 0.98 *           | 0.46 **           | 0.13 *            | 2.98 ****         |
|                               |    | ±                | ±                               | ±                | ±                 | ±                 | ±                 |
| Stress +2 ml OSE (S+2-OSE)    | 6  | 0.13             | 0.24                           | 0.17             | 0.17              | 0.081             | 0.34              |
|                               |    | ±                | ±                               | ±                | ±                 | ±                 | ±                 |
| Stress +4 ml OSE (S+4-OSE)    | 6  | 0.45             | 2.38 bbb                      | 1.58 b           | 0.93 bb           | 0.65 bb           | 6.0 bbbb          |
|                               |    | ±                | ±                               | ±                | ±                 | ±                 | ±                 |
| F value                       |    | 1.63             | 19.06                          | 3.46             | 5.78              | 4.77              | 29.64             |
| P value                       |    | NS               | P < 0.0001                     | P < 0.05         | P < 0.01          | P < 0.05          | P < 0.0001        |

Each value represents Mean ± SD; n = number of rats, NS = not significant, NC vs. S + S: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001; S vs. S+2-OSE: #P < 0.05, &P < 0.01; S vs. S+4-OSE: &&P < 0.001; S+2-OSE vs. S+4-OSE: ^P < 0.001 (One way ANOVA, Bonferroni's test).
Table 4A
Effect of 6 weeks of Ocimum sanctum treatment on 6 week-stressed rats—Body weight gain, gastric ulcers and open field test performance.

| Groups                  | n | Body weight gain in grams | Gastric ulcers | Peripheral squares entered | Central squares entered | No. of boli of excreta |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Normal Control (NC)     | 6 | 66.37                     | - ve           | 64.57                     | 3.0                    | 3.42                    |
|                         | ± | 18.53                     | ±              | ±                         | ±                      | ±                       |
| Stress + Saline (S + S) | 6 | 57.12                     | - ve           | 89.57 *                   | 12.85 **               | 0.85                    |
|                         | ± | 16.14                     | ±              | ±                         | ±                      | ±                       |
| Stress + 2 ml OSE (S+2-OSE) | 6 | 78.12 a                   | - ve           | 66.12 a                   | 2.75 aa                | 1.5                     |
|                         | ± | 18.04                     | ±              | ±                         | ±                      | ±                       |
| Stress + 4 ml OSE (S+4-OSE) | 6 | 79.75 b                   | - ve           | 59.85 b                   | 4.28 bb                | 4.14                    |
|                         | ± | 22.72                     | ±              | ±                         | ±                      | ±                       |
| F value                 |   | 2.506                     |                | 3.68                      | 6.13                   | 2.15                    |
| P value                 |   | P < 0.05                  |                | P < 0.01                  | NS                     | NS                      |

Each value represents Mean ± SD; N- Not significant; - ve = Gastric ulcers Absent. NC vs. S + S: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01; S + S vs. S + 2-OSE: a P < 0.05, aa P < 0.01; S + S vs. S + 4-OSE: b P < 0.05, bb P < 0.01 (One way ANOVA, Bonferroni's test).

Table 4B
Effect of 6 weeks of Ocimum sanctum treatment on 6 week-stressed rats—Substantia nigral neurons—dendritic intersections and processes.

| Groups                  | n | Distance from soma (μ) | No. of dendritic processes |
|-------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                         |   | 20  | 40  | 60  | 80  | 100  |
| Normal Control (NC)     | 6 | 3.45 | 5.56 | 5.83 | 5.41 | 4.68 | 3.05 |
|                         |   | ±   | ±   | ±   | ±   | ±   | ±   |
| Stress + Saline (S + S) | 6 | 3.11 | 4.43 ** | 4.66 ** | 4.33 * | 3.68 * | 2.83 |
|                         |   | ±   | ±   | ±   | ±   | ±   | ±   |
| Stress + 2 ml OSE (S+2-OSE) | 6 | 3.75 a | 5.11 a | 6.21 a | 5.28 a | 4.5 | 3.06 |
|                         |   | ±   | ±   | ±   | ±   | ±   | ±   |
| Stress + 4 ml OSE (S+4-OSE) | 6 | 4.0 # bb | 5.95 bb | 6.53 bb | 5.76 bb | 4.78 b | 3.26 bb |
|                         |   | ±   | ±   | ±   | ±   | ±   | ±   |
| F value                 |   | 5.06 | 11.54 | 16.86 | 4.56 | 3.14 | 3.85 |
| P value                 |   | P < 0.01 | P < 0.001 | P < 0.0001 | P < 0.05 | P < 0.05 | P < 0.05 |

Each value represents Mean ± SD; n – number of rats, NC vs. S + S: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01; NC vs. S + 4-OSE: #P < 0.05; S + S vs. S + 2-OSE: a P < 0.05, aa P < 0.01, aaaa P < 0.001; S + S vs. S + 4-OSE: b P < 0.05, bb P < 0.01, bbbb P < 0.001; (One way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s test).

Table 4C
Effect of 6 weeks of Ocimum sanctum treatment on 6 week-stressed rats—Substantia nigral neurons—dendritic branching points.

| Groups                  | n | Concentric zones | Total dendritic branching points |
|-------------------------|---|------------------|----------------------------------|
|                         |   | 0-20 μ | 20-40 μ | 40-60 μ | 60-80 μ | 80-100 μ |
| Normal Control (NC)     | 6 | 0.51   | 2.35    | 1.46    | 0.86    | 0.55    | 5.75    |
|                         |   | ±      | ±       | ±       | ±       | ±       | ±       |
| Stress + Saline (S + S) | 6 | 0.38   | 1.35 *** | 1.2     | 0.56    | 0.23 *  | 3.73 *** |
|                         |   | ±      | ±       | ±       | ±       | ±       | ±       |
| Stress + 2 ml OSE (S+2-OSE) | 6 | 0.56   | 2.46 aad | 1.86 a  | 0.78    | 0.5     | 6.16 aaaa |
|                         |   | ±      | ±       | ±       | ±       | ±       | ±       |
| Stress + 4 ml OSE (S+4-OSE) | 6 | 0.71 b | 2.53 bbb | 1.83 b  | 0.76    | 0.65 b  | 6.48 bbbb |
|                         |   | ±      | ±       | ±       | ±       | ±       | ±       |
| F value                 |   | 3.13   | 17.4    | 3.76    | 1.15    | 3.97    | 22.05   |
| P value                 |   | P < 0.05 | P < 0.0001 | P < 0.05 | NS      | NS      | P < 0.0001 |

Each value represents Mean ± SD; n – number of rats, NC vs. S + S: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01; S + S vs. S + 2-OSE: a P < 0.05, aa P < 0.01, aaaa P < 0.001; S + S vs. S + 4-OSE: b P < 0.05, bb P < 0.01, bbbb P < 0.001; (One way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s test).

dendritic branching points between NC and stress + OSE treated groups (Table 4C). Dendritic processes arising from cell bodies were significantly (P < 0.01) reduced in saline treated stressed rats compared to stress+4 ml OSE treated rats. However, there was no significant difference in total number of dendritic processes between NC and stress + OSE treated groups (Table 4B).
4. Discussion

In the present study, the effect of foot shock stress and antistress effect of OSE on rats’ behavior and neurons of substantia nigra were studied. In addition, presence/absence of gastric ulcers (to confirm the stress induction) and body weight gain was also recorded. Fresh extract of O. sanctum leaves was used unlike in other studies [13,14,16] to prevent any structural changes in chemical composition of plant during extraction procedure. Moreover, in traditional/folk medicine, generally crude herbs are used [21]. Further, there are reports of ethanol alone affecting brain regions like substantia nigra [22]. As there are no earlier reports on fresh OSE treatment, 2 doses (2 ml and 4 ml) of OSE were used in this study based on results of our preliminary experiments.

4.1. Attenuation of stress induced gastric ulcers by OSE treatment

Presence of gastric ulcers in all 3 experiments indicated induction of stress on these rats and is in agreement with earlier reports [23,24]. Cellular damage in substantia nigra due to stress may also be a cause of stress-induced ulceration. Bilateral lesions of substantia nigra aggravate stress induced ulcer formation in rats [25]. In the present study, stressed rats treated with OSE were protected from ulcer formation. Anti-ulcerogenic activity of OSE may be due to its ability to reduce acid and increase the mucous secretions and may also be due to its lipooxygenase inhibitory, histamine antagonistic and anti-secretory effects [26,27].

4.2. Effect of stress and OSE treatment on body weight

There was a reduction in the body weight of stressed and stress-undergoing rats of all 3 experiment groups. OSE treated stressed and stress-undergoing rats did not show any decrease in the body weight. Similar results showing body weight loss due to stress have been reported by Santos et al. [28]. Retarded body growth may be due to decreased food intake and involvement of corticotrophin releasing factor in hypothalamic region [29]. Decreased body weight loss in OSE treatment groups during and after stress in our study may be due to general health improving properties of OSE [30].

4.3. Effect of stress and OSE treatment on open field test behavior

Foot shock stress for 3 and 6 weeks resulted in the impairments in the locomotor behavior of animals in the open field test. They showed high ambulation, increased amount of time spent in the peripheral zone and frequent entry into central squares indicating stress related anxiety. Such changes in locomotion can be indicative of abnormal brain functioning which could be the result of altered neurological processes in the region like substantia nigra [31]. High ambulatory behavior seen in stressed and untreated rats is an index of low emotionality [19]. Further, stressed rats treated with OSE showed significant improvement in their behavior compared to stressed rats treated with saline. Behavioral scores of OSE treated rats were at par with that of normal control rats indicating attenuation of stress induced behavioral deficits in these rats. This may be by the activity of active principle eugenol present in OSE, which was shown to reduce stress-induced behavioral impairments in rats [16].

4.4. Effect of stress and OSE treatment on dendritic arborization of substantia nigral neurons

Foot shock stress for 3 and 6 weeks decreased dendritic length, branching points and processes of substantia nigral neurons, which is likely to affect the various functions subserved by them. It may result in altered electrical properties, accumulation of 5-HT and dopamine in extracellular region, decreased auto-inhibition and altered excitatory/inhibitory response to afferent signals that may lead to functional deficits after attaining the threshold level. Many mechanisms are involved in such stress induced neurodegenerative changes. Glucocorticoid induced dendritic atrophy can be blocked by treatment with an adrenal steroid synthesis blocker, cyanoketone indicating a role for endogenous glucocorticoids in stress-induced dendritic atrophy [32]. Stress associated neuronal damage may be a result of oxidative damage/heat shock factor expression. Stress in male rats causes oxidative damage to lipid, protein and DNA in cerebral cortex, cerebellum, hippocampus and midbrain [33]. Heat shock protein; Hsp 70i plays an important role in enhancing the survival of neurons following stress [34]. Reduction/alterations in expression of Hsp 70i in substantia nigra may be responsible for neuronal damage following stress. Zinc has been implicated in the etiology of certain neurodegenerative disorders such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis-parkinsonism dementia and Pick’s disease [35,36]. The neuronal damage observed in our study may be due to increased accumulation of zinc in substantia nigral neurons. Such an accumulation of zinc has been reported in Parkinson’s disease [37]. It is reported that zinc can act as a neurotoxic substance in substantia nigra and increase the excitability of these neurons [38]. In a stress situation, Zn++ may be accumulated initially as an antioxidant and on reaching toxic levels, it may act as a neurotoxic substance. Zinc may be released from the axon terminals in the substantia nigra during stress. It is released normally from nerve terminals following electrical stimulation or neuronal activity [39,40]. Results of present study showed a remarkable protection by OSE to the substantia nigral neurons in stressed rats. This activity of OSE may be a direct nullifying action on the probable mechanisms of stress induced neuronal damage discussed earlier, especially glucocorticoid toxicity and excitotoxicity.

It has been reported that pretreatment with O. sanctum prevents stress induced increase in serotonin levels of brain [15]. Neuroprotective activity of OSE may be because of its ability to prevent stress-induced elevations in levels of serotonin. Similarly, OSE is known to prevent stress-induced decrease in levels of excitatory neurotransmitters, adrenaline and noradrenaline [15]. High turnover of noradrenaline during stress is blocked by ethanol in substantia nigra [22]. It is possible that OSE may also act like ethanol in reducing the excitatory activity. Normalizing action of plant extract on level of adrenaline and noradrenaline may be helping the body to cope up better during stress.

Stress is known to stimulate zinc release in brain which exerts its neurotoxic effects by potentiating non-NMDA receptor mediated excitotoxic injury [41]. Neuroprotective activity of ascorbic acid against zinc-induced neurotoxicity is reported in cultured retinal neurons [42]. O. sanctum prevents stress-induced decrease in levels of ascorbic acid content in mice [13]. By preventing stress-induced decrease in ascorbic acid level, O. sanctum may protect substantia nigral neurons against glutamate and zinc toxicity. Jothie et al. have established that the anti-stress activity of OSE could be because of inhibition of release of cortisol, antagonizing the activity of CRHR1 receptor and also by inhibiting 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 and Catechol-O-methyltransferase activities [43]. The n-butanol-soluble fractions derived from O. sanctum namely Ocimumoside A and Ocimumoside B are reported to have anti-stress property which could be due to their corticosterone like effect [44].

Oxidative stress is one of the causes of neuronal damage [36]. Antioxidant role of compounds of O. sanctum like Cirsilineol, isothyminos, isothyminon and rosmarinic acid extract is well known [45]. Anti-oxidant property of two flavonoids of O. sanctum; orientein and vicenin which are known for free radical scavenging...
activity could be involved in protection of substantia nigral neurons. O. sanctum prevents stress-induced decrease in Succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) level in liver which is believed to be an indicator of energy metabolism and it increases during stressful situations [14]. This suggests that O. sanctum facilitates conservation of energy in cellular system that could help in adaptive process during stress. Increased levels of SDH in brain may have neuroprotective role, which is enhanced by the anti-stress drugs. O. sanctum may protect the neurons by its cytoprotective activity i.e. by increasing cellular metabolism in neurons thereby making them more stable to face stress-induced insults. Hence, O. sanctum has been included in the list of medicinal plants with anti-stress agents [46].

This study confirms not only the anti-stress role of OE but also its effect on dendrites of substantia nigral neurons in rats. Prevention and attenuation of deleterious effect of stress on substantia nigra by this plant extract justifies its use in treatment of stress and stress related neurological disorders. Further, correlation between anti-stress activity and effect on dendritic arborization is likely to throw more light on the mechanism of action of O. sanctum. Moreover, the effect of this plant extract on dendritic arborization observed in this study can be a good parameter to study other anti-stress drugs.

5. Conclusions

Both 3 and 6 weeks of foot shock induced neuronal damage in the substantia nigra of rats. Treatment with fresh leaf extract of O. sanctum could prevent and attenuate the foot shock stress induced behavioral deficit and substantia nigral neuronal damage.
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