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Abstract

This work presents experiments about the transmission of electrons with an energy of around 15 keV with beam currents up to 20 µA through macroscopic glass capillaries. A systematic study was conducted to experimentally investigate the transmission of electrons through borosilicate glass capillaries with curve angles of 90°, 180°, 270° and 360° for the first time. The focus of the work was to identify the conditions under which the injected electron current is transmitted through the capillary. It was also shown that the transmission process in the macroscopic capillaries can be optically observed by cathodoluminescence – the interaction of electrons with the capillary surface causes locally a blue glow. Different distinctive “glow states” were observed and are found to correlate with different states of electron transmission.

Introduction

While the standard method to steer the trajectory of charged particles is by means of electrostatic and magnetic optics, insulating capillaries also show the ability to deflect and focus injected charged particle beams. This phenomenon was first observed nearly two decades ago by Stolterfoht et al. when highly charged -ions with a beam current in the nA-range were found to be guided through a PET nanocapillary foil keeping their initial charge state and energy although the capillary axis was tilted in a manner, that the incoming ions were geometrically unable to pass the capillaries in a straight line \(^1\). Since then, tests with different ion species, particle energies, capillaries ranging in size from nanocapillaries to macrocapillaries and different geometries (straight, curved, tapered), materials like ceramics, polymers and glass and energies in the range from several hundred eV to MeV have been done. For this, we refer to an extensive review paper by Stolterfoht et al. \(^2\) and some newer papers \(^3\),\(^4\). The transport of ions through the capillaries has been mostly attributed to charge patches at the inner capillary surfaces created from part of incoming ions. These patches repulse other incoming ions and prevent them from colliding with the walls. This effect has become known as “guiding”.

Similar experiments have been carried out with electrons that passed through dielectric capillaries ranging from foils with arrays of holes with nanometer sized diameters to single capillaries with lengths of several centimeters and diameters ranging from micrometers to several millimeters. However, the electron transport process is still not fully understood but believed to be based on a combination of small angle elastic and inelastic scattering of the electrons with atoms in the bulk material of the capillary walls as well as similar to ion guiding formation of charge patches in deeper layers of the capillary surface. This is based on the observation of elastic as well as inelastic transmitted electrons and a measured time delay between the injection of electrons and the transmission at the outlet of capillaries \(^5\)–\(^7\).

Several experiments on the transmission of charged electrons through curved macroscopic capillaries were carried out. Wang et al. \(^8\) conducted experiments with a 15° curved SiO\(_2\) tube and observed the transmission of electrons in the energy range of 1100 to 1500 eV. For an incident current of approx. 4.1 µA, a stable transmission in the nA-range was observed. But they did not measure the energy
distribution. Petukhov et al. 9 characterized the transmission properties of macroscopic glass and polyurethane tubes (inner diameter 4 mm, bending radius 210 mm) with curve angles of 0°, 45°, 90° and 180° in the energy range of 10 to 20 keV with currents of up to several hundred µA. The current transmission factor did not so much depend on the initial energy of the electrons but dropped from approximately 80% at 0° to below 50% at 90° bending angle. However, the electrons lost kinetic energy during the transmission process: For higher bending angles the maxima of the transmission spectrum energies dropped to lower energies, the spectrum broadened with a significant increase of low energy electrons.

With our work we focused on the transmission of electrons through curved macrocapillaries with bending angles up to 360° and currents of around 20 µA. By doing so, we significantly expanded the range in which the successful transmission of electrons has been described in the open literature. Furthermore, as we will discuss in this work, large transparent capillaries enable a new approach for the investigation of the guiding effect for electrons because the transmission process is accompanied by the local emission of light in the visual range from cathodoluminescence, indicating regions in the capillary where electrons hit the wall.

This paper is structured as follows: The next two sections describe the experimental setup and the capillary samples. Then, the experimental results are presented and discussed in a separate section. We conclude with a summary and outlook.

**Experimental Setup**

For the electron guiding experiments the glass capillaries were tested one after another in a cylindrical vacuum chamber (Ø0.9 m x L1 m) equipped with a Pfeiffer HiPace 2300 turbomolecular pump capable of providing a base pressure of 1E-6 mbar. A viewport in the chamber allowed the visual observation of the experiment.

The experimental setup consists of the capillary itself, which is mounted to a holder. An electron source is positioned in front of the capillary inlet. A target electrode is placed in a certain distance from the exit of the capillary to collect the transmitted electron current. An overview of the setup is shown in Figure 1.

A custom made hot filament electron source consisting of a v-shaped tungsten filament enclosed by a Wehnelt cylinder and a grounded acceleration electrode was used for the experiments. The filament is capable of emitting several hundreds of microamperes. The acceleration voltage is up to 16 kV.

The capillaries were mounted to an insulating holder at the inlet region. An aperture system is placed in front of the inlet. It consists of two electrodes which are electrically insulated from each other by a 3 mm thick insulating spacer. The first electrode consists of a graphite sheet and has as an aperture diameter of 4 mm (and is therefore smaller than the capillary channel). It faces towards the electron source and collects any currents emitted by the electron source which do not enter the capillary. This way the maximum electron beam divergence becomes 5 degrees in a distance of 50 mm to the electron source.
The second electrode is directly attached to the capillary inlet with an aperture diameter of 6 mm and collects the current that enters the capillary but is not transmitted. It therefore acts as “back-flow” collector. An offset voltage was created between the Wehnelt cylinder and the filament by inserting a pre-resistor in the range of 100 kOhm to 1 MOhm. This provided further control over the beam shape and the current density. The emission current from the electron source is either collected by the aperture, referred to as aperture current or injected into the capillary denoted as injection current. The fraction of the injection current that is actually transmitted and reaches the target is referred to as target current. The remaining back-flow current is collected by the back-flow electrode. The electron current balance can therefore be stated as follows:

\[ I_e = I_{ap} + I_{inj} = I_{ap} + I_{bf} + I_t \]  

(1)

The transmitted current is collected by an electrode with a graphite surface approx. 10 mm downstream of the capillary. A factor representing the transmission efficiency for the capillary can be defined as follows:

\[ \eta = \frac{I_t}{I_{inj} = I_{bf} + I_t} \]  

(2)

The currents were measured with a LabJack T7 pro based data acquisition system with a resolution of 0.1 µA and 5 Hz.

Preparatory to installing the capillaries into the setup, efforts were made to remove any residual charges on the inner and outer surface of the capillaries that may have built up during handling and storage by cleaning the surface with isopropanol.

**Capillary Samples**

For the experiments the focus lied on the transmission of electrons through macroscopic capillaries with different curve angles. To limit the amount of parameters, capillaries with different bending angles were manufactured that all shared the same inner diameter of approximately 6 mm, a wall thickness of 2 mm, a curve radius of 140 mm and a straight inlet region with a length of 150 mm. The inner diameter of the capillaries together with the straight inlet region ensured a large aspect ratio (total length of the capillary divided by its inner diameter) for all capillaries. Samples with the following curve angles were custom manufactured: 90°, 180°, 270°, 360° and their geometric properties are summarized in Table 1. Borosilicate glass was chosen due to its robustness and affordability.

**Experimental Results**

Four different capillaries were tested in sequence from the smallest curving angle (90°) to the largest (360°). Due to time constraints every capillary was tested only once.
The first test was carried out with the cleaned 90° capillary in which an electron beam with an energy of 14.8 keV was injected. To create a more narrow beam, an off-set voltage of $U_W = -45$ V was applied to the Wehnelt cylinder of the electron gun. Figure 2 depicts the development of the transmitted current over time.

The total testing duration was 4 hours to investigate long term behaviour of the guiding performance. It can be seen, that the transmitted current was very low at the beginning when about 2 µA reached the target, which is assumed to be the result of small angle scattering processes and secondary electron emission inside the capillary. At the 20 minute mark, the target current fell close to zero for a few seconds, then abruptly rose to about 94% of the maximum injected current of 15 µA and afterwards the electron transmission was stable for the next 160 minutes, as the data in Figure 2 shows. After around 2.5 h the transmission broke down suddenly and afterwards only 15% of the injected current reached the target.

When testing the 180° capillary, we faced some issues concerning the beam injection as unfortunately the capillary inlet and the electron beam were not properly aligned. Hence an adjustment was made where the electron beam was broadened by reducing the offset voltage on the Wehnelt cylinder to $U_W = -14$ V which created an injection current of about 3.3 µA. The primary energy of the electron beam was 15.5 keV and the normalized transmitted current is shown in Figure 3. Contrary to our expectations, the 180° capillary showed a behaviour similar to that of the 90° capillary despite its inlet not being properly aligned with the electron beam. After a time delay of about 10 minutes, the target current abruptly increased to a value of around 98%, which was remarkably stable for the total testing time of 120 minutes. Preliminary on-off tests were carried out in which the electron beam was alternately turned off and on for 60s each. This was repeated 3 times and afterwards the off-interval was extended to 300s, which was also repeated 3 times as depicted in Figure 4.

For the test including the 270° capillary the electron beam was narrowed again by applying an off-set voltage of $U_W = -60$ V, to create similar conditions as in the 90° capillary test. In this configuration, we injected around 10 µA with an energy of 14.5 keV into the sample for about 2.5 hours. During this whole period, the target current remained zero and no electron transport through the capillary could be observed. To examine the dependence of the beam shape on the guiding process, the electron beam then was turned off and the offset voltage was reduced to $U_W = -14$ V. After the electron beam was turned on again, instant transmission occurred through the 270° capillary with an efficiency of around 93% which slowly decreased to 87% after 30 minutes as displayed in Figure 5.

On-off tests were carried out similar to those for the 180° capillary with similar results which supports the model of charge patch assisted guiding.

In Figure 6 the data of successful electron transmission through the helix capillary with a 360° curve angle is displayed. Due to the results of the previous test, a similar beam configuration was applied here. Before injecting the current, efforts were made to free it of any residual electric charges on the inner and outer surface. Comparable to the 90° and 180° tests, there was a time delay before significant
transmission started. Interestingly, the “quiet period” was even shorter than that of the 90° and 180° capillary. But unlike in the previous tests, in which the transmitted current abruptly increased to a value of more than 90%, the guiding behaviour for the 360° capillary was clearly different. First, the efficiency of the initial electron transport was at about 33% for around 10 minutes. After that the guiding process was accompanied by an excessive noise. After passing the 44-minute mark, the transmission process reached an equilibrium and the transmitted current was somewhat stable at around 74% until the test was stopped after 90 minutes (with one major exception at 50 minutes). The results of the on-off tests for this capillary were similar to the previous ones and showed that the transmission efficiency did not change much even after turning the electron beam off for a few minutes.

**Discussion**

In the discussion of the experimental observations we want to focus on the five following aspects:

1. The successful transmission of electrons through all capillary configurations.
2. The observed time delay before the start of the transmission
3. Blocking of capillary after long-time transmission
4. Stable transmission in on-off tests
5. Visual observability of guiding process due to cathodoluminescence.

Electron transmission through all four capillary configurations was achieved in all tests with a particle energy of approximately 15 keV. This value was determined from preliminary tests. Other parameters varied: For example the currents that were injected into the capillaries varied from 3.3 µA to 20 µA and the transmission efficiency was found to be between 73% to nearly 100%. Due to a lack of a device to measure the particle energy of the transmitted electrons, it is not possible to clearly define if the particles were transported through the dielectric tubes primarily by elastic scattering, inelastic scattering or the guiding from assembled negative charge patches. Future tests will have to show if there is a minimum kinetic energy for the transmission process.

The irradiation of the capillaries showed a significant time delay before efficient guiding was achieved. One exception was the 270° capillary which was irradiated with two different beam configurations before the current was transmitted. Therefore, no exact charge up phase could be determined for this sample. The time delay before high transmission might be a clear indicator for the build-up of negative charges on the inner capillary surface assisting the electron guiding process. The build-up of a charge distribution leads to the deflection of further incoming particles towards the capillary exit. A long-term test with the 90° capillary showed a stable electron transport over the course of 2.5 hours. An interruption of the electron transport lead to a sudden breakdown of the transmitted current from over 90% to only 15% (see Figure 2). We considered possible blocking effects that might have disturbed the guiding process due to overcharging as well as sudden discharges of a negative patch within the borosilicate glass capillary as observed in [7]. The scenario of electron transmission after a quiet period has been observed in [5,6], where
electrons were guided through PET nanocapillaries. However, the current densities were much smaller compared with our experiments. Furthermore, Petukhov et al. \(^9\) mentioned no time delay for the transmission of currents through capillaries with 90° and 180°. The filament electron gun in our experiment is a source of uncertainty. A variable focus was achieved by means of the negative voltage offset created by a pre-resistor of the Wehnelt cylinder. The aperture electrode in front of the capillary inlet provides an additional collimation. But it is unclear, if the local beam current density and beam alignment were similar in all experiments and stable with time. The focus of the electron source could only be significantly changed by changing the pre-resistor, which prevented a dynamic adaption of the focus without switching the source on and off in between.

The current transmitted through the 180° sample showed a more stable behaviour and the quiet period was shorter than for the 90° capillary. It has to be noted, that in this test a much lower current and also a lower current density was injected into the capillary due to misalignment in the setup. These factors appear to have a strong influence on the guiding performance, as the following 270° test showed. Here a focused beam could not be transmitted, whereas an injection with lower current density lead to guiding. This shows that the charge distribution inside macroscopic capillaries also depends on a number of beam parameters e.g. the current density, the angle of injection and the beam divergence which affect the charge distribution on the inner capillary walls.

While a quiet period followed by an instant transmission phase was observed in the 90° and 180° samples, the data of the 360° helix capillary test show an intermediate phase in which the transmission was accompanied by strong noise. This is most likely the phase in which the transmission varies as a result of the dynamic evolution of additional negative charge patches. During the equilibrium the transmission efficiency was at around 74%, which is much lower compared to the previous samples. This might be attributed to the greater capillary length and higher aspect ratio as well as the larger bending angle but has to be examined in further studies.

An overview of parameters used in the presented tests are given in Table 2. A counterintuitive but notable factor is that the duration of the quiet period seems to scale disproportionately with the curve angle. In case of the 360°, the time delay before a significant transmission occurred was only 5 minutes, while for the 90° capillary it was four times the duration. A possible reason for the longer quiet phase inside the shorter capillary might be the usage of a narrow beam whereas a broader beam was injected into the helical capillary. However, a lot of additional work is required to investigate the influence of varying parameters on the guiding performance.

Preliminary on-off tests to investigate the durability of the capillary state were carried out. An example of the corresponding data is displayed in Figure 4 for the 180° capillary. No influence on the guiding performance was observed which indicates that the equilibrium state inside the capillary remained stable to some extent. This was not surprising as the discharge times in highly insulating materials are very high and can even reach days \(^{10}\).
Furthermore, a blueish glow of the capillaries could be observed in the course of all tests. This is most likely attributed to the cathodoluminescence of glass where impacting electrons lead to the creation of electron-hole pairs in the material which subsequently recombine and emit photons in the visible spectrum. Throughout the tests, we were able to observe different glow states which appear to correlate with the electron transport. The 90° capillary and the 360° capillary were chosen for a detailed investigation of this phenomenon. Although the bright patches at the inlet and curved parts of the capillaries could be observed with the naked eye, the photos were taken with high exposure times, which made the glow pattern in the capillaries better visible. An f-number of F/3.5, a shutter speed of 30 s and an ISO value of 100 were used. As far as we know, there are no studies concerning an optical observation of the electron guiding effect yet. In Figure 7 different glow states of the earlier mentioned 90° capillary test are displayed for certain moments after the irradiation was started.

Figure 7a shows the general setup for the 90° capillary as explained earlier including the target, the backflow electrode, the aperture and the electron gun. A narrow beam was injected into the capillary with a primary particle energy of 14.8 keV. In Figure 7b the transmission process in subdivided into different guiding stages. The initial glow state of the capillary during the first 10 minutes is depicted in Figure 7-I. It can be seen, that the whole straight section and a part of the curved section is glowing. A clear and more intense blue spot is visible at the start of the curvature, as this is the region where most of the electrons are likely to impact the capillary wall. This spot disappeared during the next minutes, as depicted in Figure 7-II. Although two smaller spots are visible, the straight entrance section and the beginning of the curvature glow mostly uniformly. This state occurred around 3 minutes before more efficient electron transmission started. The evenly glow might be attributed to the charge up of the capillary, which lead to a more uniform distribution of impacting electrons on the capillary wall. Figure 7-III displays the exciting moment when the transmission efficiency instantly rose and electron guiding occurred. The glow inside the capillary surprisingly reduced almost instantly to an intense glow only at the start of the capillary entrance with an additional very weak spot in the region where the curvature starts. This observation strongly indicates a transport of the particles through the glass capillary nearly without direct particle-wall interaction. This state remained mostly unchanged until the electron transport was interrupted. Right afterwards the glow state was very similar to the one at the beginning of the test with an intense blue spot at the curvature (Figure 7-IV). This appearance slowly reduced into a weaker uniform purple glow in the entrance section without any noticeable spots, as displayed in Figure 7-V. This might correspond to the mentioned blocking state, in which an overcharged capillary hinders further electron transport through the capillary. Afterwards, in this experimental configuration the electron transmission remained low at about 15%. Additional tests in which the primary energy of the injected electron beam was varied, showed a correlation between particle energy and intensity of the emitted light, while the colour remained seemingly unchanged. Hence, additional work is required to examine the reason for the change in colour after the guiding process collapsed.

The 360° capillary was mounted in a way that every section could be observed, as depicted in Figure 8. Before guiding was achieved, a blue glow at the entrance section and the start of the curvature was
visible (see Figure 8-I). Unlike in the 90° capillary, there was no intense spot in the bent part of the helix. Instead, the highest intensity was visible at the inlet region of the capillary, which is most likely attributed to the fact that a broadened beam was injected as explained earlier. Figure 8-II shows the change of the visible light formation, when electron transport was achieved at the 5-minute mark. While the intensity in the straight section was strongly reduced, the whole capillary glowed very weakly and evenly, which may be due to low energetic particle wall interactions. While the electron transport was somewhat stable at the beginning, it was accompanied by a growing noise during the next minutes. The strong noise in the current measurements indicated a dynamic evolution of additional negative charge patches before reaching equilibrium. In this stage the entrance region still glowed blue and multiple purple spots slowly formed visibly at different regions in the capillary which could be an optical indicator for the charge distribution. These spots were visible in the first 270 degrees of the capillary while there was no noticeable glow in the last quarter section. The blue glow at the outlet is most likely the reflection of the light in the inlet. When transmission reached equilibrium after around 42 minutes, the spot formation remained mostly unchanged. Figure 8-III shows a picture of the capillary during equilibrium.

**Summary And Outlook**

Transmission of electrons through macroscopic glass capillaries with curve angles of 90°, 180°, 270° and 360° were tested with a high energy electron beam. Overall stable electron transport of around 74% was achieved in the 360° capillary whereas a transmission efficiency of more than 90% with total currents up to 20 µA was observed in the remaining samples. We also demonstrated the characteristic time dependence of the transmission as reported in previous studies with lower energies and smaller capillaries. The observed time delay is a strong indicator that a charge deposition inside the capillary is required to create a field distribution which leads to the deflection of further incoming particles towards the capillary exit. The variation of the beam properties also revealed its influence on the guiding efficiency as the transmission of beams with lower current density was more successful.

A blueish glow of the samples was visible in all tests, which is attributed to the cathodoluminescence of borosilicate glass and is most likely a strong indicator for the interactions of the electrons with the material. The glow was visible in different regions of the capillary samples and the formation transformed depending on the guiding stage. The 360° helix clearly showed different spots, which appear to correlate with the charge distribution and the guiding state of the capillary.

Additional work is required to further examine the electron transport process through bent capillaries. To understand the guiding mechanism, investigation of the energy distribution of the transmitted beam is crucial and will be implemented in future tests. Also additional studies are necessary to investigate the influence of different parameters on the guiding performance, such as primary energy, current density, beam shape, capillary size and many more.

The cathodoluminescence of the capillary samples is an evident indicator for the current guiding stage. It is expected to be a part of future studies concerning the electron guiding through macroscopic glass
capillaries to reveal more information about the underlying mechanism of the guiding process.
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### Tables

**Table 1:** Overview of borosilicate glass capillary samples. They all have an inner diameter of 6 mm, an outer diameter of 10 mm and a curve radius of 140 mm.

| Curve Angle | Inlet Length | Total Length | Aspect ratio |
|------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| [°]        | [mm]        | [mm]         | [-]          |
| 90         | 150         | 370          | 61.7         |
| 180        | 150         | 590          | 98.3         |
| 270        | 150         | 810          | 135.0        |
| 360        | 150         | 1030         | 171.7        |

**Table 2:** Overview of the testing parameters used in the presented electron guiding experiments.
| Curve angle | Injected current | Particle energy | Quiet period | Time until equilibrium | Max. transported current |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 90°         | 15.0 µA          | 14.8 keV        | 20.5 min     | 20.5 min               | 94.2 %                 |
| 180°        | 3.3 µA           | 15.5 keV        | 10.3 min     | 10.3 min               | 97.8 %                 |
| 270°        | 12.0 µA          | 14.5 keV        | -            | -                      | 93.7 %                 |
| 360°        | 20.5 µA          | 14.0 keV        | 5.2 min      | 41.5 min               | 74.1 %                 |

**Figures**

**Figure 2**
Transmission efficiency through a 90° capillary with a primary particle energy of 14.8 keV and an injection current of 15 µA

**Figure 4**
On-off tests with a 180° capillary. The black graph depicts the transmission current whereas the blue graph represents the applied acceleration voltage of the electron gun which indicates the turn on and turn
off of the electron beam.

Figure 5

Normalized current transmitted through a 270° glass capillary with a primary particle energy of 14.5 keV
Figure 7

Photos of different glow states during the guiding test through a 90° capillary. a) General Setup b) Different guiding stages for the 90° Capillary, I: Poor transmission, II: 3 min before guiding started, III: During guiding process, IV: Guiding was interrupted, V: After guiding was interrupted.
Figure 8

360° capillary and its glow states: a) Data plot with different guiding stages, b) General setup, I) Initial glow state before guiding, II) Intermediate phase with dynamic electron transmission, III) During Equilibrium. The arrows point on visible glow spots formed inside the capillary.