Association Analysis of Type 2 Diabetes Loci in Type 1 Diabetes
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OBJECTIVE—To search for a possible association of type 1 diabetes with 10 validated type 2 diabetes loci, i.e., PPARG, KCNJ11, WFS1, HNF1B, IDE/HHEX, SLC30A8, CDKAL1, CDKN2A/B, IGF2BP2, and FTO/RPGRIPL.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—Two European population samples were studied: 1) one case-control cohort of 514 type 1 diabetic subjects and 2,027 control subjects and 2) one family cohort of 483 complete type 1 diabetic-case-parent trios (total 997 affected). A total of 13 tag single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from the 10 type 2 diabetes loci were analyzed for type 1 diabetes association.

RESULTS—No association of type 1 diabetes was found with any of the 10 type 2 diabetes loci, and no age-at-onset effect was detected. By combined analysis using the Wellcome Trust Case-Control Consortium type 1 diabetes data, SNP rs1412829 in the CDKN2A/B locus bordered on significance (P = 0.039) (odds ratio 0.929 [95% CI 0.867–0.995]), which did not reach the statistical significance threshold adjusted for 13 tests (α = 0.00385).

CONCLUSIONS—This study suggests that the type 2 diabetes loci do not play any obvious role in type 1 diabetes genetic susceptibility. The distinct molecular mechanisms of the two diseases highlighted the importance of differentiation diagnosis and different treatment principles. Diabetes 57:1983–1986, 2008

Type 1 and type 2 diabetes both result from the metabolic consequences of inadequate insulin effect and have similar complications but appear to be due to completely distinct pathogenic mechanisms. Type 1 diabetes results from autoimmune β-cell destruction leading to insulin deficiency (1), whereas type 2 diabetes is the end point of a progressive insulin secretory defect on a background of insulin resistance (1). Both diseases are of multifactorial etiology, in which genetic predisposition plays a critical role and behaves as a complex trait. The risk to case-siblings relative to the general population is estimated to be as high as 4- to 6-fold in type 2 diabetes and 15-fold in type 1 diabetes (2).

Despite the difference in the basic pathogenetic processes for each type, an overlap in genetic predisposition has been proposed (3) and is quite plausible. For example, not all individuals with evidence of β-cell autoimmunity will develop clinical type 1 diabetes, a situation in which the factors responsible for impaired β-cell function and survival in type 2 diabetes may tip the balance (3). The role of inflammation in type 2 diabetes is increasingly recognized (4) and suggests another common link.

Of the known type 1 diabetes-associated loci, the insulin gene (INS) has been examined, and no type 2 diabetes association was found (5). A parent-specific association of INS has been (5) but has not been replicated by another study. Recently, we (6) and others (7) examined the major type 2 diabetes gene TCF7L2 for possible type 1 diabetes association and found none. However, there has been no systematic examination of locus overlap between the two diseases; this gap in our understanding of diabetes has become more important with the proliferation of solidly replicated loci as a result of genome-wide association (GWA) studies enabled by recent technical breakthroughs. For type 2 diabetes, 11 loci have been validated involving PPARG (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ), KCNJ11 (potassium inwardly rectifying channel, subfamily J, member 11), TCF7L2 (transcription factor 7-like 2), WFS1 (Wolfram syndrome 1), and HNF1B (hepatocyte nuclear factor 1 homeobox B) and 6 novel type 2 diabetes-associated loci identified by GWA studies, i.e., IDE/HHEX, SLC30A8, CDKAL1, CDKN2A/B, IGF2BP2, and FTO/RPGRIPL (8–13). The purpose of this study was to scrutinize data from our recent GWA study of type 1 diabetes in order to search for possible evidence of associated type 2 diabetes susceptibility loci.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Subjects and genotyping. As described in our previous report (14), two European-descent samples were studied. The first consisted of 514 type 1 diabetic subjects and 2,027 control subjects (representing the addition of 969 healthy control subjects to the set described by Hakonarson et al. [14] in order to increase statistical power) and 483 complete type 1 diabetes family trios (affected child and both parents). The average age at onset of the type 1 diabetic children was mean ± SD 7.89 ± 4.05. The median age was 8 years, with lower and upper quartiles at 4.6 and 11 years, respectively. All patients were diagnosed under the age of 18 years and treated with insulin since diagnosis, and none have stopped treatment for any reason. Ethnic backgrounds were of mixed European descent. All samples were genotyped on the Illumina Infinium II HumanHap550 array (Illumina, San Diego, CA). The Research Ethics Board of the Montreal Children’s Hospital, the Research Ethics Board of the Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia, and other participating centers approved the study, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Type 2 diabetes-associated single nucleotide polymorphisms. As shown in Table 1, 13 type 2 diabetes–associated single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from the 10 type 2 diabetes loci were selected for the type 1 diabetes
TABLE 1
Type 2 diabetes–associated SNPs for the type 1 diabetes analysis

| Locus   | Chr. | rsID | HumanHap500 marker | rsID | CEU \( r^2 \) | Genotyping success (%) | MendErr | HW  | \( P \) |
|---------|------|------|--------------------|------|---------------|------------------------|---------|-----|-------|
| PPARγ2  | 3p25 | rs1801282 (15) | rs2197423 | 1.000 | 99.9 | 0 | 0.803 |
| IGFBP2  | 3q27.2 | rs4402960 (10,11,13) | rs4402960 | — | 98.7 | 0 | 0.932 |
| IDE/HHEX| 4p16 | rs10010131 (16) | rs10012946 | 1.000 | 99.6 | 0 | 0.045 |
| CDKAL1  | 6p22.3 | rs10946398 (10) | rs4712533 | 1.000 | 99.9 | 0 | 0.275 |
| CDKAL1  | 6p22.3 | rs7754840 (10,11,13) | rs7756992 | 0.978 | 99.9 | 0 | 0.270 |
| SLC30A8 | 8q24.11 | rs13266634 (9–13) | rs13266634 | — | 99.6 | 0 | 0.281 |
| CDKN2A/B| 9p21 | rs643938 (10) | rs1412829 | 0.965 | 99.9 | 0 | 0.533 |
| CDKN2A/B| 9p21 | rs10811661 (10,11,13) | rs2383208 | 1.000 | 99.9 | 0 | 0.875 |
| IDE/HHEX| 10q23.33 | rs1111875 (9–11,13) | rs1111875 | — | 100.0 | 0 | 0.552 |
| IDE/HHEX| 10q23.33 | rs7923837 (9) | rs7923837 | 0.958 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.856 |
| KCNJ11  | 11p15 | rs5215 (8,10) | rs5215 | — | 99.7 | 0 | 0.067 |
| FTO     | 16q12.2 | rs8050136 (10,11) | rs8050136 | 1.000 | 99.9 | 0 | 0.664 |
| HNF1B   | 17cen-q21.3 | rs7501939 (17) | rs7501939 | — | 99.7 | 0 | 0.599 |

HW, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test; CEU, Caucasian; MendErr, Mendelian error rate.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As shown by our analysis (Table 2), none of the 13 SNPs from the 10 type 2 diabetes loci show statistically significant association. These 13 SNPs have a minor allele frequency range from 0.116 to 0.397. The statistical power of this study to detect an association from each SNP is shown in Fig. 1. Our study had sufficient power to detect an association with OR ≥1.20 for each type 2 SNP with different allele frequencies. To further increase statistical power, we performed a combined analysis using the publicly available Wellcome Trust Case-Control Consortium (WTCCC) data (supplementary Table 1 [available in an online appendix at http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/db08-0270]). The WTCCC tested 2,000 type 1 diabetic case and 3,000 control subjects for 500 k SNPs (Affymetrix GeneChip) (8). As shown by the association analysis (Table 3), 12 of the 13 SNPs did not show statistical significance in either the WTCCC data alone or the combined analysis with our dataset. SNP rs1412829 in the CDKN2A/B locus met the significance threshold of \( \alpha = 0.00385 \) in the WTCCC data (8). OR 0.879 [95% CI 0.810 – 0.954] but not in the combined analysis (P = 0.093) (929 [0.867–0.995]). CDKN2A and CDKN2B encode two specific inhibitors of cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4), i.e., p16INK4a and p15INK4b, respectively. CDK5 and CDK4 play important roles in β-cell function and proliferation (10), and, as such, the locus is a reasonable functional candidate. Study of much larger cohorts will be needed to evaluate the possibility of a very weak effect in type 1 diabetes.

Our study suggests that the type 2 diabetes loci do not play any obvious role in type 1 diabetes genetic susceptibility. These known type 2 diabetes genes are mainly involved in two mechanisms, i.e., pancreatic β-cell function and peripheral insulin sensitivity. To explore whether these genes may promote the early onset of type 1 diabetes by impairing insulin secretion or insulin sensitivity, we also investigated the age-at-onset difference of different genotypes for each type 2 diabetes SNP marker. As shown by the one-way ANOVA test of age at onset of three genotypes for each SNP (Table 2), no SNP has an obvious effect on the type 1 diabetes age at onset. Unlike type 2 diabetes, type 1 diabetes typically has an acute onset that can be reliably defined.

Both type 1 and type 2 diabetes are complex diseases. With the rapid technological development of functional genomics, distinct molecular mechanisms of the two diseases are being recognized, establishing the basis of...
different approaches for developing novel preventive or therapeutic strategies for type 1 and type 2 diabetes. In addition, this study highlights the importance of differentiation diagnosis of adult-onset type 1 diabetes from type 2 diabetes. Because type 1 diabetes does not share common genetic susceptibility with type 2 diabetes, it is important to manage different treatment for adult type 1 diabetic patients. Some issues remain for further studies on genetic mechanisms of type 1 and type 2 diabetes. The type 1 diabetes association of $CDKN2A/B$ needs to be confirmed by an independent study with a large sample size. Assuming a multiplicative effects model, an OR of 0.929, and a minor allele frequency of 0.452, a study with 5,790 case and 5,790 control subjects has 80% statistical power to replicate the association at $\alpha = 0.05$. Both our study and the WTCCC study focused on pediatric-onset type 1 diabetes, and the possibility remains that type 2 diabetes loci may have some effect in adult-onset cases. Finally, the involvement of type 1 diabetes loci in type 2 diabetes genetics needs further investigation, the testing of which will require accurate phenotyping within the clinical spectrum of type 2 diabetes. For example, it will be interesting to investigate the statistical power of this study to detect genetic associations with different minor allele frequencies (MAFs) at $\alpha = 0.05$ level. The $PPARG$ SNP rs2197423 has an MAF of 0.116; the $CDKN2A/B$ SNP rs2383208 has an MAF of 0.176; the $SLC30A8$ SNP rs7756992 has an MAF of 0.296; the other nine SNPs, rs4402960, rs4712523, rs10012946, rs7923837, rs1412829, rs1111875, rs7501939, and rs8050136, have similar MAFs, average 0.364.

**TABLE 2**

| Name         | MA  | MAF  | Eigenstrat $\chi^2$ | $Z$ CaCo* | $Z$ TDT† | $Z$ ($P$)‡ | Age-at-onset $F$ ($P$)§ |
|--------------|-----|------|---------------------|----------|----------|--------------|-------------------------|
| rs2197423    | A   | 0.116| 0.364               | -0.604   | -0.705   | -0.912 (0.362)| 0.648 (0.523)           |
| rs4402960    | A   | 0.330| 0.354               | -0.595   | -1.732   | -1.546 (0.122)| 0.512 (0.600)           |
| rs10012946   | A   | 0.362| 0.103               | -0.321   | -0.332   | -0.458 (0.647)| 1.048 (0.371)           |
| rs4712523    | C   | 0.340| 0.898               | -0.948   | -0.045   | -0.769 (0.442)| 0.756 (0.470)           |
| rs7756992    | C   | 0.296| 0.040               | -0.201   | 0.105    | -0.091 (0.927)| 0.781 (0.458)           |
| rs13266634   | A   | 0.292| 0.624               | -0.790   | -0.249   | -0.440 (0.440)| 0.429 (0.652)           |
| rs1412829    | C   | 0.370| 1.572               | 1.254    | -0.327   | 0.775 (0.438)| 0.614 (0.542)           |
| rs2383208    | C   | 0.176| 0.312               | 1.521    | 0.445    | 1.466 (0.143)| 0.159 (0.853)           |
| rs1111875    | A   | 0.392| 0.082               | 0.287    | 0.000    | 0.224 (0.823)| 0.549 (0.578)           |
| rs7923837    | A   | 0.370| 0.035               | 0.188    | 0.617    | 0.532 (0.595)| 0.421 (0.656)           |
| rs5215       | C   | 0.347| 2.946               | 1.716    | 0.651    | 1.747 (0.081)| 0.430 (0.651)           |
| rs8050136    | A   | 0.397| 0.458               | 0.677    | 0.338    | 0.740 (0.459)| 0.255 (0.775)           |
| rs7501939    | A   | 0.396| 0.154               | 0.392    | 0.000    | 0.306 (0.759)| 0.186 (0.906)           |

*Z score of the case-control cohort. †Z score of the family cohort. ‡Combined $Z$ value and $P$ value. §One-way ANOVA test of age-at-onset difference of three genotypes. MA, minor allele; MAF, minor allele frequency.

**FIG. 1.** The statistical power of this study to detect genetic associations with different minor allele frequencies (MAFs) at $\alpha = 0.05$ level. The $PPARG$ SNP rs2197423 has an MAF = 0.116; the $CDKN2A/B$ SNP rs2383208 has an MAF = 0.176; the $SLC30A8$ SNP rs7756992 have similar MAFs, average 0.294; the other nine SNPs, rs4402960, rs4712523, rs5215, rs10012946, rs7923837, rs1412829, rs1111875, rs7501939, and rs8050136, have similar MAFs, average 0.364. x-axis, OR value; y-axis, statistical power.
study all type 1 diabetes loci in the subset of insulin-resistant, non–insulin-treated, adult-onset cases that are positive for islet autoantibodies (21).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation International, and Genome Canada. H.Q.Q. is supported by a fellowship from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

We thank all the patients, their parents, and the healthy control subjects for their participation in the study.

REFERENCES

1. American Diabetes Association: Standards of medical care in diabetes—2007. Diabetes Care 30:S4–S44, 2007
2. Florez JC, Hirschhorn J, Altshuler D: The inherited basis of diabetes mellitus: implications for the genetic analysis of complex traits. Ann Rev Genomics Hum Genet 4:257–291, 2003
3. Wilkin TJ: The accelerator hypothesis: weight gain as the missing link between type I and type II diabetes. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1084:89–117, 2006
4. Alexandraki K, Piperi C, Kalofoutis C, et al.: Inflammatory process in type 2 diabetes: the role of cytokines. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1084:89–117, 2006
5. Huxtable SJ, Saker PJ, Haddad L, et al.: Analysis of parent-offspring trios provides evidence for linkage and association between the insulin gene and type 2 diabetes mediated exclusively through paternally transmitted class III variable number tandem repeat alleles. Diabetes 49:126–130, 2000
6. Qu H, Polychronakos C: The TCPT1 locus and type 1 diabetes. BMC Med Genet 8:51, 2007
7. Field SF, Howson JM, Smyth DJ, et al.: Analysis of the type 2 diabetes gene, TCPT1, in 13,795 type 1 diabetes cases and control subjects. Diabetologia 50:121–213, 2007
8. Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium: Genome-wide association study of 14,600 cases of seven common diseases and 3,000 shared controls. Nature 447:671–678, 2007
9. Sladek R, Rocheleau G, Rung J, et al.: A genome-wide association study identifies novel risk loci for type 2 diabetes. Nature 445:881–885, 2007
10. Zeggini E, Weedon MN, Lindgren CM, et al.: Replication of genome-wide association signals in UK samples reveals risk loci for type 2 diabetes. Science 316:1336–1341, 2007
11. Scott LJ, Mohlke KL, Bonnycastle LL, et al.: A genome-wide association study of type 2 diabetes in Finns detects multiple susceptibility variants. Science 316:1341–1345, 2007
12. Steinthorssdottir V, Thorleifsson G, Reynisdottir I, et al.: A variant in CDKAL1 influences insulin response and risk of type 2 diabetes. Nat Genet 39:770–775, 2007
13. Diabetes Genetics Initiative of Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Lund University, Novartis Institutes of Biomedical Research, et al.: Genome-wide association analysis identifies loci for type 2 diabetes and triglyceride levels. Science 316:1331–1336, 2007
14. Hakonarson H, Grant SF, Bradfield JP, et al.: A genome-wide association study identifies KIAA0350 as a type 1 diabetes gene. Nature 448:591–594, 2007
15. Altshuler D, Hirschhorn JN, Klannemark M, et al.: The common PPAR-gamma Pro12Ala polymorphism is associated with decreased risk of type 2 diabetes. Nat Genet 36:79–83, 2004
16. Sandkuil MS, Weedon MN, Fawcett KA, et al.: Common variants in WFS1 confer risk of type 2 diabetes. Nat Genet 39:951–953, 2007
17. Guimondsson J, Sulem P, Steinthorsdottir V, et al.: Two variants on chromosome 17 confer prostate cancer risk, and the one in TCP2 protects against type 2 diabetes. Nat Genet 39:977–983, 2007
18. Winckler W, Weedon MN, Graham RR, et al.: Evaluation of common variants in the six known maturity-onset diabetes of the young (MODY) genes for association with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 56:685–693, 2007
19. Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, et al.: Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 38:904–909, 2006
20. Feins JL, Levin B, Cho Paik M: Determining sample sizes needed to detect a difference between two proportions. In Statistical Methods for Rates and Proportions. 3rd ed. Hoboken, NJ, J Wiley, 2003, p. 64–85
21. Groop L, Miettinen A, Groop PH, et al.: Organ-specific autoimmunity and HLA-DR antigens as markers for beta-cell destruction in patients with type II diabetes. Diabetes 37:99–103, 1988