Gradients of Strain to Increase Strength and Ductility of Magnesium Alloys
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Abstract: A strain gradient was produced in an AZ31B magnesium alloy through a plastic deformation of pure torsion at a torsional speed of \( \pi/2 \) per second. Compared with the base material and with the alloy processed by conventional severe plastic deformation, the magnesium alloy provided with a strain gradient possesses high strength preserving its ductility. Microstructural observations show that strain gradient induces the formation of an inhomogeneous microstructure characterized by statistically stored dislocation (SSD) density gradient and geometrically necessary dislocation (GND). GNDs and dislocation density gradient provide extra strain hardening property, which contributes to the improvement of ductility. The combination of SSD density gradient and GND can simultaneously improve the strength and ductility of magnesium alloy.
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1. Introduction

Magnesium alloys are a product in great demand in the automotive field due to their high strength-to-weight ratio [1,2]. The poor ductility limits the room temperature formability of magnesium alloys and the relatively low strength leads to the large dimension structure. Magnesium alloys with high strength and high ductility can be in demand in engineering. High strength can be achieved in magnesium alloys by means of well-known methods, e.g., grain refinement [3], element addition [4,5], and work hardening [6]. Due to the insufficient number of operative slips in hexagonal close packed (HCP) crystal structure [7], improving the strength usually accompanies with the poor ductility in magnesium alloys. Strength and ductility are contradictory in magnesium alloys. Trading off the strength and ductility of magnesium alloys is a promising research direction in materials science.

Severe plastic deformation (SPD) has been proved to be an effective method for improving the mechanical properties of magnesium alloys [8–10]. Equal channel angular pressing (ECAP) [11], high pressure torsion (HPT) [12], and accumulative roll bonding (ARB) [13] are widely employed SPD techniques. Ultrafine-grained magnesium alloys ZK60 and AZ31 were respectively produced by one-step ECAP and two-step ECAP [14,15]. Superplastic ductility at the temperature of 473 K was achieved in magnesium alloy by means of HPT [16]. ARB was used to process magnesium alloy AZ31 with the mean grain size of 3 \( \mu \)m [17]. Magnesium alloys with a bimodal grain size distribution were obtained by SPD [18,19]. A modified ECAP called C-shape ECAP was developed to improve the hardness of AZ31 [20]. Another modified ECAP combining ECAP and twist extrusion was developed to improve the strength and elongation of magnesium alloys AZ61 [21]. Magnesium alloy AZ91 with high mechanical properties was processed by ECAP and subsequent low temperature rolling [22]. The strength and fatigue performance benefit strongly in magnesium alloy ZX40 by warm ECAP and subsequent room temperature rotary swaging [23]. Recently, inhomogeneous microstructures were...
introduced into magnesium alloys to improve their mechanical properties. Nanoparticle addition was used to change the recrystallization behavior during extrusion, which improves the strength of magnesium alloy [24]. Combining machining and extrusion, Tekumalla et al. strengthened magnesium alloy AZ91 without compromising ductility by producing fine-grained structures and SPDed grains [25].

The former works focus on the effect of uniform prior plastic strain on the mechanical properties of magnesium alloys. However, this study shows that prior plastic strain gradient can result in gradient hierarchical microstructure in steel [26]. The gradient microstructures inspire us to investigate the role of strain gradient in improving the mechanical properties of magnesium alloys. Here, magnesium alloy AZ31B was respectively processed by ECAP without strain gradient and pure torsion with strain gradient. Compressive tests were conducted to illustrate the difference of mechanical property between AZ31B with strain gradient and without strain gradient. Microstructural characterizations and theoretical models were employed to reveal the effect of the strain gradient on the mechanical properties.

2. Materials and Methods

An AZ31B magnesium alloy bar with the diameter of 4.8 mm was used. The bar whose chemical composition is shown in Table 1 was annealed at the temperature of 618 K for 60 min to obtain a homogeneous coarse grain (CG) microstructure. To reveal the microstructure of the base material, the bar was mechanically polished and then etched in a 5 g picric acid + 10 mL water + 10 mL acetic acid + 100 mL ethanol solution for ~10 s. The etched surface was observed by the optical microscope (Olympus BX51M, Tokyo, Japan). Figure 1 shows the initial microstructure and grain size distribution of the base material. The mean grain size of initial workpiece is ~50 μm.

![Figure 1. (a) Initial microstructure of AZ31B; (b) grain size distribution of initial AZ31B.](image)

The initial AZ31B bar was deformed by using two types of deformation mode. Figure 2 shows the schematics of the two deformation modes. In Figure 2a, the initial AZ31B bar was processed by means of equal channel angular pressing (ECAP) where the inner angular \( \Phi \) is and the outer angular \( \varphi \) is \( \pi / 3 \). Using the strain estimation Equation [11], the effective plastic strain of 0.6 was imposed in workpiece after one pass of ECAP. In Figure 2b, the initial AZ31B with the length of 150 mm was torqued by the radian of \( 10\pi \). The effective plastic strain is calculated by \( 200\pi r / 3 \sqrt{3} \), where \( r \) is the distance departing from the center of bar. Figure 2b illustrates the effective plastic strain distribution in the cross-section. The strain gradient during torsion is \( 200\pi r / 3 \sqrt{3} \) 1/m.

| Elements | Mg | Al | Zn | Mn | Si | Cu | Ca |
|----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Wt%      | balance | 3.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.04 |

Table 1. Chemical composition of magnesium alloy AZ31B.
The initial workpiece, the specimen processed by ECAP, and the sample after torsion were tested by using material test system. All samples were prepared with a gauge length of 9 mm and a diameter of 4.5 mm. Each test was repeated at least three times for statistical analysis. Quasi-static compressive tests were conducted on Instron E10000 (ITW, Boston, MA, USA) with a strain rate of $5 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$. The stress strain curves were recorded at the frequency of 10 Hz until the samples fractured. In order to reveal the effect of strain gradient on the microstructural evolution, transmission electron microscope (TEM) observation was carried out on JEM 2010 (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) with the operating voltage of 200 kV. The samples for TEM were polished down to $\sim 70$ µm. To get thin area, the solution of 30 mL nitric acid and 70 mL alcohol was employed at the current of 130 mA and the temperature of 243–253 K. Along the radius direction, three zones were chosen for TEM observation, i.e., the center of bar, the middle zone and the outer zone. The corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern was obtained during TEM observation. Based on the TEM images, the dislocation density of the observed region was measured by means of image analysis technology. The detailed descriptions on the measurement of dislocation density are given in Appendix A.

3. Results

3.1. Mechanical Property

Figure 3 shows stress-strain curves of AZ31B under compressive loading. The 0.2% yield strength of AZ31B processed by ECAP or pure torsion is higher than that of initial workpiece. The ductility of AZ31B processed by ECAP is less than that processed by pure torsion. Compared with the initial workpiece, AZ31B processed by pure torsion possesses higher yield strength but no sacrifice of ductility. The comparisons of mechanical properties are shown in Figure 4. The 0.2% yield strength, ultimate strength and fracture strain of initial workpiece are respectively 105 MPa, 433 MPa and 15.5%. For AZ31B processed by ECAP, yield strength increases by 72.4%, but ultimate strength and fracture strain respectively decrease by 6.7% and 35.5%. As for AZ31B processed by pure torsion, yield strength increases by 71.4%, but ultimate strength and fracture strain are nearly the same as those of initial workpiece.
3.2. Microstructural Observation

The bright-field TEM images of AZ31B are illustrated in Figures 5–8. As shown in Figure 5, few dislocations appear in initial workpiece. There is no distinct difference for TEM images at position b, c and d in Figure 5. After ECAP, severe plastic deformation was imposed on AZ31B. Statistically stored dislocations (SSDs) resulting from plastic strain accumulate in AZ31B. SSDs are observed in Figure 6b–d. Due to the homogeneous shear deformation during ECAP [11], dislocation densities are the same in different regions. High density dislocations are visible at positions b–d. There is no gradient of dislocation density in AZ31B after ECAP. The microstructures in Figure 6 were characterized by high density dislocations and sub-boundaries. These dislocations were blocked and piled up at sub-boundaries, contributing to the strengthening. This clearly explains the improvement of 0.2% yield strength in AZ31B after ECAP (Figure 3).
Figures 7–8 present the bright field TEM micrographs of AZ31B magnesium alloy processed by pure torsion. Because of strain gradient in pure torsion, plastic deformation increases from the center to the outer side. Small plastic deformation results in low density dislocations in Figure 7b. Medium density dislocations accumulate between center and outer zone (Figure 7c). High density dislocations are observed in outer region (Figure 7d). Detailed TEM images in Figure 8 show that dislocation networks and dislocation glides are the typical microstructures in outer region of AZ31B bar processed by pure torsion. Dislocation networks help to improve the yield strength. Dislocation glides resulting from strain gradient can suppress the localization of plastic deformation. The combination of dislocation networks and dislocation glide explains the high yield strength and high ductility of AZ31B processed by pure torsion (Figure 3).
4. Discussion

The dislocation density and strain hardening rate are directly related with the mechanical properties of metallic materials. As for metals undergoing plastic deformation, the evolution of dislocation density is determined by Kocks–Mecking–Estrin (KME) model [27–30]:

\[
\frac{d\rho}{d\varepsilon} = M \left( \frac{1}{b\Lambda} + k_1 \sqrt{\rho} - k_2\rho \right). \tag{1}
\]
In Equation (1), $\rho$ is the dislocation density, $\varepsilon$ is the effective plastic strain, $\Lambda$ is typically in the order of the grain size, $M$ is the Taylor factor, $k_1$ and $k_2$ are, respectively, the dislocation storage rate and dynamic recovery rate. The initial dislocation density for $\varepsilon = 0$ is assumed to be $\rho_0$, and then the dislocation density in AZ31B after ECAP $\rho_E$ is determined by integrating Equation (1) from 0 to 0.6. The TEM images in Figure 6 indicate the accumulation of dislocation density $\rho_E$. According to Taylor Equation [31,32], the yield stress of AZ31B after ECAP $\sigma_E$ is given by:

$$\sigma_E = \sigma_0 + \beta MG b \sqrt{\rho_E},$$

where $\sigma_0$ is the yield strength of initial workpiece, $\beta$ is a constant of dislocation interaction, $b$ is Burgers vector, and $G$ is the shear modulus of initial workpiece. According to Equation (2), the additional dislocation density $\rho_E$ improves the yield strength of AZ31B after ECAP. Equation (2) explains the improvement of yield strength in Figure 3.

In the case of pure torsion, the strain along radius direction is a variable:

$$\varepsilon(r) = \frac{200\pi r}{3\sqrt{3}},$$

where $r$ is the distance departing from the center of bar. The SSD $\rho_S(r)$ resulting from plastic strain $\varepsilon(r)$ is a variable:

$$\rho_S(r) = \int_0^{\varepsilon(r)} M \left( \frac{1}{b\Lambda} + k_1 \sqrt{\rho} - k_2 \rho \right) d\varepsilon.$$

The mean SSD $\rho_{TS}$ in the crossing section after pure torsion is calculated by:

$$\rho_{TS} = \int_0^{d/2} \frac{8\rho_S(r)}{d^2} dr,$$

where $d$ is the diameter of AZ31B bar.

The strain gradient is $200\pi/3 \sqrt{3}$ 1/m during torsion, so that geometrically necessary dislocation (GND) density $\rho_G$ is determined by [33]:

$$\rho_G = \frac{200\pi}{3 \sqrt{3} b}.$$

Based on the experimental observations in Figures 7 and 8, both SSDs and GNDs appear in AZ31B processed by pure torsion. The total dislocation density is sum of SSD density and GND density. Referring to Taylor Equation [31,32], the yield stress is determined by the total dislocation density. Using Equations (5) and (6), the yield stress of AZ31B after pure torsion is given by [33]:

$$\sigma_T = \sigma_0 + \beta MG b \sqrt{\rho_{TS} + \rho_G}.$$

In Equation (7), the additional SSD density $\rho_{TS}$ and GND density $\rho_G$ improve the yield strength of AZ31B after pure torsion. By deriving Equation (7) by strain $\varepsilon$, the strain hardening rate $\Theta$ of AZ31B after pure torsion is composed by two parts:

$$\Theta = \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_T}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)_{SSD} + \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_T}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)_{GND}.$$

The term $\left( \frac{\partial \sigma_T}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)_{SSD}$ in Equation (8) is forest dislocation hardening. The other term $\left( \frac{\partial \sigma_T}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)_{GND}$ in Equation (8) results from back stress hardening. According to the experimental observations [34], some sub-boundaries can be crucified to geometrically necessary boundary (GNB) which plays as obstacles to dislocation-slip like a grain boundary. GNBs help to the improvement of strength. Because the constraint and mechanical incompatibility [35] between different layers are produced in AZ31B
with gradient dislocation density, the GNDs are associated with the back stress hardening [36]. The additional strain hardening property delays the deformation localization during tensile deformation. This explains the enhanced fracture strain of AZ31B after pure torsion (Figure 3).

Substituting the values of material parameters (Table 2), referred to [19,37], into Equations (1)–(6), the dislocation density distributions for AZ31B processed by different deformation modes can be obtained. The effective plastic strain field is homogeneous distribution in AZ31B bar processed by pure torsion. Equation (1) illustrates that SSD density of AZ31B processed by ECAP [11]. Using Runge-Kutta method [38], ordinary differential equation (ODE) (1) can be solved in the following forms:

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_{i+1} &= \rho_i + \frac{h}{6} \left(K_1 + 2K_2 + 2K_3 + K_4\right) \\
K_1 &= M \left(\frac{1}{h^2} + k_1 \sqrt{\rho_i - k_2 \rho_i}\right) \\
K_2 &= M \left(\frac{1}{h^2} + k_1 \sqrt{\rho_i + \frac{hK_i}{2}} - k_2 \left(\rho_i + \frac{hK_i}{2}\right)\right) \\
K_3 &= M \left(\frac{1}{h^2} + k_1 \sqrt{\rho_i + \frac{hK_i}{2}} - k_2 \left(\rho_i + \frac{hK_i}{2}\right)\right) \\
K_4 &= M \left(\frac{1}{h^2} + k_1 \sqrt{\rho_i + hK_i^2} - k_2 \left(\rho_i + hK_i^2\right)\right)
\end{align*}
\]

In Equation (9), \( h \) is the increment step size of effective plastic strain. \( N \) is defined as the number of total calculation steps. Variable \( i \) ranges from 1 to \( N - 1 \). For ECAP, \( \varepsilon_1 = 0, \varepsilon_N = 0.6, \rho_1 = \rho_0, \) and \( \rho_N = \rho_E \). Here, \( N \) was chosen to be 10,000 to reduce the calculation error. The increment step size of effective plastic strain \( h \) is equal to \( 6 \times 10^{-5} \). Based on Equation (9) and the initial values, the dislocation density of AZ31B processed by ECAP \( \rho_E \) is shown as blue dash line in Figure 9.

According to Equation (3), the effective plastic strain is related with the distance departing from the center of bar. The radius of 2.4 mm is assumed to be divided into \( n - 1 \) equal parts. Variable \( j \) ranges from 1 to \( n \). \( r^1 \) and \( r^n \) are, respectively, equal to 0 and 2.4 mm. Then, variable \( r^j \) is equal to 2.4 \( (j - 1) / (n - 1) \). Based on Equation (3), the effective plastic strain \( \varepsilon^j \) in the position with the radius of \( r^j \) is as follows:

\[
\varepsilon^j = \frac{160\pi(j - 1)}{\sqrt{3}(n - 1)}.
\]

(10)

It is derived from Equation (10) that \( \varepsilon^1 = 0 \) and \( \varepsilon^n = 160\pi / \sqrt{3} \). The effective plastic strain field is gradient distribution in AZ31B bar processed by pure torsion. Equation (1) illustrates that SSD density after pure torsion is directly determined by the effective plastic strain \( \varepsilon^j \). Runge–Kutta method [38] was employed again to solve Equation (1) in the condition of the effective plastic strain \( \varepsilon^j \). The number of total calculation steps \( N \) is also 10,000. For pure torsion, \( \varepsilon_1^j = 0, \varepsilon_N^j = \varepsilon^j, \rho_1^j = \rho_0, \) and \( \rho_N^j = \rho_5(r) \). The corresponding increment step size of effective plastic strain \( h^j \) is given by:

\[
h^j = \frac{160\pi(j - 1)}{\sqrt{3}(n - 1)N}.
\]

(11)

The SSD density in AZ31B bar processed by pure torsion can be calculated by the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_{i+1}^j &= \rho_i^j + \frac{h^j}{6} \left(K_1^j + 2K_2^j + 2K_3^j + K_4^j\right) \\
K_1^j &= M \left(\frac{1}{h^j} + k_1 \sqrt{\rho_i^j - k_2 \rho_i^j}\right) \\
K_2^j &= M \left(\frac{1}{h^j} + k_1 \sqrt{\rho_i^j + \frac{hK_i^j}{2}} - k_2 \left(\rho_i^j + \frac{hK_i^j}{2}\right)\right) \\
K_3^j &= M \left(\frac{1}{h^j} + k_1 \sqrt{\rho_i^j + \frac{hK_i^j}{2}} - k_2 \left(\rho_i^j + \frac{hK_i^j}{2}\right)\right) \\
K_4^j &= M \left(\frac{1}{h^j} + k_1 \sqrt{\rho_i^j + hK_i^j^2} - k_2 \left(\rho_i^j + hK_i^j^2\right)\right)
\end{align*}
\]

(12)
In Equation (12), $i \in [1,N]$ and $j \in [1,n]$. Combining Equations (11) and (12), SSD density in AZ31B, processed by pure torsion $\rho_S(r)$, is obtained by calculating $\rho_N^i$. $\rho_S(r)$ plus $\rho_G$ gives the dislocation distribution in AZ31B processed pure torsion, which is illustrated by the red line in Figure 9. The dislocation density of AZ31B processed by ECAP is higher than that of the initial workpiece. This explains the higher yield strength in Figure 3. As for AZ31B processed by pure torsion, the strain gradient induces the gradient of dislocation density. Compared with the initial workpiece, AZ31B processed by pure torsion possesses higher mean dislocation density and the dislocation density gradient. The high yield strength and high ductility of AZ31B in Figure 3 are attributed to the combination of high dislocation density and dislocation density gradient.

### Table 2. Material parameters for AZ31B.

| Parameters                  | Notation | Value     | Source                  |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Shear modulus               | $G$      | 17 GPa    | Literature from [19]    |
| Initial dislocation density | $\rho_0$ | $5 \times 10^{13}$ m$^{-2}$ | Literature from [19]    |
| Taylor factor               | $M$      | 3.06      | Literature from [19]    |
| Magnitude of Burgers vector | $b$      | $1.29 \times 10^{-10}$ m | Literature from [19]    |
| Dislocation storage rate    | $k_1$    | $1.4 \times 10^8$ m$^{-1}$ | Literature from [19]    |
| Dynamic recovery rate       | $k_2$    | 8.6       | Literature from [19]    |
| Grain size of workpiece     | $\Lambda$| 50 µm     | Experiment in Figure 1a |
| Yield stress of workpiece   | $\sigma_0$| 105 MPa | Experiment in Figure 3 |
| Diameter of bar             | $d$      | 4.8 mm    | Materials in experiments|
| Coefficient in Equation (2) | $\beta$  | 0.5       | Literature from [37]    |

**Figure 9.** Dislocation density distribution along radius direction for AZ31B processed by different deformation modes.

The beginning of deformation localization under compression is predicted well by the work hardening coefficient $\gamma$ [39]:

$$\gamma = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \varepsilon},$$

(13)

where $\sigma$ is the compressive true stress and $\varepsilon$ is the compressive true strain. If $\gamma > 1$, deformation localization happens during the compression loading process. Based on the experimental data in Figure 3, the compressive true stress vs. compressive true plastic strain curves are shown in Figure 10a and the corresponding work hardening coefficients are illustrated in Figure 10b. The strain for flow
The beginning of deformation localization under compression is predicted. Microstructural observations show that the high strength and high ductility of AZ31B processed by ECAP possess higher yield strength with no sacrifice of ductility. However, AZ31B processed by pure torsion has a higher yield strength with an inhomogeneous microstructure.

Theoretical analyses reveal that plastic deformation leads to the accumulation of statistically stored dislocations (SSDs) and geometrically necessary dislocations (GNDs) result from strain gradient during pure torsion. SSDs help to improve yield strength and GNDs can enhance the ductility by introducing extra work hardening. The combination of SSD and GND can simultaneously improve the strength and ductility of magnesium alloy.

5. Conclusions

In this work, magnesium alloy AZ31B bars were processed by two types of plastic deformation technology, i.e., equal channel angular pressing (ECAP) at the effective plastic strain of 0.6 and pure torsion at the radian of $10\pi$. The mechanical properties of AZ31B bars processed by different deformation modes were compared. The microstructures were characterized to reveal their effects on the mechanical properties. The following conclusions can be summarized:

(1) Compared with the initial workpiece, AZ31B processed by ECAP possesses higher yield strength but less ductility; however, AZ31B processed by pure torsion has a higher yield strength with no sacrifice of ductility.

(2) Microstructural observations show that the high strength and high ductility of AZ31B processed by pure torsion can be attributed to the inhomogeneous microstructures, i.e., the gradient of dislocation density combined with dislocation glide and dislocation networks.

(3) Theoretical analyses reveal that plastic deformation leads to the accumulation of statistically stored dislocations (SSDs) and geometrically necessary dislocations (GNDs) result from strain gradient during pure torsion. SSDs help to improve yield strength and GNDs can enhance the ductility by introducing extra work hardening. The combination of SSD and GND can simultaneously improve the strength and ductility of magnesium alloy.

Figure 10. (a) Compressive true stress vs. compressive true plastic strain curves for AZ31B processed by different deformation modes; (b) work hardening coefficient vs. compressive true plastic strain curves for AZ31B processed by different deformation modes.
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Appendix A

Here, a method based on the literature [40] was used to measure the dislocation density. The projected length of dislocation lines ($l_p$) in TEM image was measured by means of image analysis technology. Assuming the dislocations are randomly oriented, the dislocation density is given by:

\[
\rho = \frac{4l_p}{At} \tag{A1}
\]

In Equation (A1), $\rho$ is dislocation density, the volume of observed region is determined by multiplying the area ($A$) by the sample thickness ($t$). In TEM image of Figure A1a, at least five different regions were chosen to measure the dislocation density. Substituting the length of dislocation lines ($l_p$) measured in Figure A1c into Equation (A1), the dislocation density was obtained. The mean dislocation density of at least five different regions was calculated to determine the dislocation density of Figure A1a.

Figure A1. (a) Bright-field TEM micrograph of AZ31B where several regions were chosen to measure dislocation density; (b) magnification of the area in (a) delimited by a black square; (c) the same micrograph as in (b), where the black lines define the path considered for determination of $l_p$.
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