Constraining the vertical structure of the Milky Way rotation by microlensing in a finite-width global disk model*
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ABSTRACT

We model the vertical structure of mass distribution of the Milky Way galaxy in the framework of a finite-width global disk model. Assuming only the Galactic rotation curve, we tested the predictions of the model inside the solar orbit for two measurable processes that are unrelated to each other: the gravitational microlensing that allows one to fix the disk width-scale by the best fit to measurements, and the vertical gradient of rotation modeled in the quasi-circular orbits approximation. The former is sensitive to the gravitating mass in compact objects, the latter to all kinds of gravitating matter. The analysis points to a small width-scale of the gravitational mass in the solar circle. The predicted high vertical gradient values in the rotation are consistent with the gradient measurements.
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1. Introduction

The Milky Way (MW) is an example of a galaxy with high vertical gradients of rotation measured at low altitudes above the mid-plane (Levine et al. 2008). It is interesting to see the effective gradients of rotation measured at low altitudes above the Galaxy (MW) is an example of a galaxy with high vertical structure. In particular, the amount of mass seen through the gravitational microlensing measurements inside the solar orbit was shown to be consistent with the dynamical mass ascertainment from the Galactic rotation that is reduced by the gas contribution that is undetectable by the microlensing (Sikora et al. 2012). In the present paper we use microlensing measurements to constrain the disk width and compare the resulting vertical gradient predictions with the gradient measurements. In our several previous studies, e.g., Jałocha et al. (2010, 2011), we modeled spiral galaxies in the approximation of an infinitesimally thin disk. In this framework, we easily obtained high values of the vertical gradient of rotation, in accord with gradient measurements. However, the model does not account for the vertical structure in the mass distribution in the direct neighborhood of the mid-plane (the z = 0 vicinity). This structure can be modeled by considering a finite-width disk with an assumed vertical profile of mass density. We presented a preliminary step towards this in Sikora et al. (2012), where a column mass density of a disk with the exponential vertical profile was identified with the surface density of an infinitesimally thin disk. But this was a simple de-projection that did not take into account the redistribution of mass required to preserve the shape of the rotation curve in the vicinity of the Galactic center.

In the next approximation considered in this paper, in Sects. 2 and 3, we find the volume mass densities of two example finite-width disks by iterations. These disks exactly account for the tabulated rotation curve of the MW and their width-scales are constrained by the gravitational microlensing measurements. In Sect. 4 we compare the predictions of the two models with the measurements of the vertical gradient of rotation.

2. Finite-width disk model

We assumed the following factorized form of a volume mass density \( \rho(r, z) = \sigma(r) f(z) \), with factors normalized so that \( \sigma(r) \) is the column mass density and the vertical profile \( f(z) \) is integrable to unity: \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z) dz = 1 \). We considered the exponential vertical profile (for its simplicity) and the Mexican hat profile (because it is frequently used in star count models that are motivated by Spitzer 1942 analysis):

\[
f(z) = \frac{1}{2h} \exp \left( -\frac{|z|}{h} \right), \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{2h} \sech^2 \left( \frac{z}{h} \right). \tag{1}
\]

The width-scale parameters \( h' \) and \( h \) can be related by equating their effective disk thicknesses: \( 2h' \approx 1.49h \), respectively, defined by the 1/e criterion:

\( h' \) and \( h \) can be related by equating their effective disk thicknesses: \( 2h' \approx 1.49h \), respectively, defined by the 1/e criterion:

\[
h' \approx 1 / \epsilon \text{ criterion as follows: the effective width-scale } h' \text{ of a vertical profile } f(z) \text{ integrable to unity is defined by comparing its mass inside a shell } |z| < h' \text{ with that of an exponential one with a scale-width } h' : \int_{-h'}^{h'} f(z) dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z) dz = 1 - \frac{1}{e}. \text{ In particular, for the Mexican hat profile we obtain } \tanh \left( \frac{h}{h'} \right) = 1 - \frac{1}{e} \text{ or } 2h' \approx 1.49h.
\]

* Appendix A is available in electronic form at http://www.aanda.org
The gravitational potential at a point \( \mathbf{X} = [R, 0, Z] \) associated with a mass element \( dm = \rho(r, z) \, r \, dr \, dz \) located at another point \( \mathbf{Y} = [r \cos \phi, r \sin \phi, z] \), is given by:

\[
\frac{\rho(r)}{k (1 - e^2)} = \frac{K(k)}{k} \quad \text{(Gradstein et al. 2007),}
\]

where \( K(k) \) is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. We use the smoothed-out MW rotation curve from Sofue et al. (1999), which adopts Galactic constants \( R_0 = 8 \) kpc and \( \Omega_0 = 200 \) km s\(^{-1}\). Its inner part, inside the solar circle, which is of interest in our paper, is relatively well determined and was obtained by simple averaging of various CO and HI tangent velocity data. The uncertainty lies mostly in the velocity parameter of the standard of rest at the Sun position, \( \Omega_0 \), and the radius of solar orbit \( R_0 \). For larger radii, outside the region of our interest, the rotation curve is less certain and even model dependent. Furthermore, as follows from the analysis in Bratek et al. (2008), the uncertainty in the external rotation curve implies some uncertainty in the internal mass determination because of a backward-interaction characteristic of flattened mass distributions. However, in Sikora et al. (2012) we found this influence to be marginal for the purpose of the present study. It is more important to reduce the uncontrolled numerical errors that arise because of singular kernels in integrals Eqs. (2) and (3). To achieve this, we applied a cubic spline interpolation to the rotation points. This way we obtain a continuously differentiable interpolating rotation curve (see Fig. 1).

We obtain a primary approximation to the column mass density, \( \sigma_0(R) \), from the interpolating rotation curve in the infinitesimally thin global disk model by iterations similar to those described in Jalocha et al. (2008), with the help of the following integral transforms, which are mutual inverses of each other (Sikora et al. 2012),

\[
\sigma_0(R) = \frac{1}{2\pi G} \int_0^\infty \frac{K[k(\chi)] - E[k(\chi)]}{1 + \chi} \frac{\varv_0^2(R\chi)}{R\chi} \, d\chi,
\]

and

\[
\frac{\varv_0^2(R)}{R} = 2G \int_0^\infty \frac{K[k(\chi)] + E[k(\chi)]}{1 + \chi} \sigma_0(R\chi) \, d\chi,
\]

with \( k(\chi) = \frac{2\chi}{1 + \chi^2} \) in both cases. The transforms relate the rotation law in the galactic mid-plane to the surface mass density in that plane. Here, \( R \) is the radial variable in the disk plane, \( K \) and \( E \) are complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind as defined in Gradstein et al. (2007), and \( \chi \) is a dimensionless integration variable. The surface mass density \( \sigma_0(r) \) obtained this way gives a primary approximation \( \rho_0(r, z) = \sigma_0(r)f(z) \) of the volume mass density, which should be close to the mass density in a finite-thickness disk (the results of Sikora et al. 2012) were based on this approximation). But evaluating integral Eq. (2) with \( \rho_0(r, z) \) substituted for \( \rho(r, z) \), gives a \( \varv_0^2(R, 0) \) different from \( \varv_0^2(R) \) by a small amount \( \Delta \varv_0^2_{\sigma_0}(R) \) measuring the discrepancy between the predicted and the observed rotation. Now, by inserting the \( \Delta \varv_0^2_{\sigma_0}(R) \) in place of \( \varv_0^2 \) in Eq. (4), we obtain a correction \( \Delta \sigma_{\varv_0}(R) \) to the column mass density. Hence, the surface mass density in the next approximation is

\[
\sigma_1(R) = \sigma_0(R) + \lambda \cdot \Delta \sigma_{\varv_0}(R) \quad \text{and gives rise to a corrected volume mass density} \quad \rho_1(r, z) = \sigma_1(r)f(z),
\]

which in turn, from Eq. (2), gives the corresponding corrected rotation velocity \( \varv_{\sigma_1}\varv_0(R, 0) \). Next, we shift all indices by +1 and repeat the previous step. This correction process can be continued recursively until it converges to the desired density profile \( \rho(r, z) \) for which the discrepancy \( \Delta \sigma_{\varv_0} \) becomes negligible.

The resulting recursion sequence of volume densities \( \rho_j(R, Z) \) is quickly converging to a limit \( \rho(R, Z) = \lim \rho_j(R, Z) \) which, on substituting to Eq. (2), yields a curve \( \varv_{\sigma}\varv_0(R, 0) \) that nicely overlaps the interpolating rotation curve; the iteration process is illustrated in Fig. 1.

In Sect. 3 we use this method of finding column mass densities \( \sigma(R) \) corresponding to various vertical profiles \( f(z) \). They all

\footnote{The free parameter \( \lambda \) can be used to control the rate of convergence of the iteration process. We used \( \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \) instead of 1.}
are distributed in the vicinity of the Galactic center. Because it is the amount of mass in compact objects scattered along various lines trace the mass distribution. This can be achieved by inferring the mass fields, called gravitational microlensing, may be used to give rise to rotation laws that overlap in the mid-plane the MW rotation curve.

3. Gravitational microlensing

The phenomenon of the gravitational light deflection in point mass fields, called gravitational microlensing, may be used to trace the mass distribution. This can be achieved by inferring the amount of mass in compact objects scattered along various lines of sight that join the observer and remote sources of light that are distributed in the vicinity of the Galactic center. Because it is not directly linked to the Galaxy dynamics, the gravitational microlensing provides an independent tool that is useful in testing Galactic models.

The original idea comes from Paczynski (1986), who suggested that gravitational lensing can be used to answer the question of whether or not the spheroidal component of the Galaxy is dominated by massive compact objects. Observations towards Great and Small Magellanic Clouds, e.g., Alcock et al. (2000); Wyrzykowski et al. (2011), indicated that the contribution from such objects cannot dominate in the standard three-component Galactic model. On the other hand, the microlensing method can also be used to estimate the distribution of compact objects in the direction towards the Galactic center. Such measurements can help to improve models of the Galactic interior, see e.g., Bissantz et al. (2004). It should be pointed out that in most of these models, the dark matter component becomes necessary only beyond some particular distance from the Galactic center. For example, in the model by Bissantz & Gerhard (2002), the dark matter halo is irrelevant for distances smaller than 5 kpc from the center.

The aim of the present work is to examine in the context of the microlensing observations a Galactic model in which the dynamics is dominated by baryonic matter distributed in direct neighborhood of the Galactic mid-plane.

3.1. Optical depth

The most important quantity to be determined in the microlensing method is the optical depth \( \tau \). It is defined as the probability of finding a compact object (a lens) on the line of sight between the observer and the source of light, when a lens is located within its Einstein radius

\[
R_E = \sqrt[4]{\frac{4GM}{c^2D_L(D_S - D_L)}}
\]

on a plane perpendicular to the line of sight. Here, \( M \) denotes the lens mass, \( D_S \) is the distance from the observer to the source, and \( D_L \) is the distance between the observer and the lens. In this particular configuration, a double image of the source is produced each time a lens passes between the source and the observer. Although the two images cannot usually be resolved because of very small deflection angles, their appearance can still be indirectly detected by measuring the associated image magnification. A microlensing event of this kind is agreed to have been occurred when the magnification exceeds a threshold value of \( \mu = 1.34 \). Because the probability of microlensing events is very low (on the order of \( 10^{-6} \)), a great number of sources (about a million) must be monitored during a period of a few years to determine the optical depth. A detailed discussion of such observations and their theoretical description can be found in Moniez (2010).

The principle result of the microlensing theory is the following integral that relates the mass density of compact objects \( \rho(x) \) and the optical depth \( \tau \):

\[
\tau = \frac{4\pi G}{c^2} \int_0^{D_S} \frac{D_L}{D_S} \rho(x(D_L)) \, dD_L.
\]

Although it is well known, we re-derived this formula for completeness in our previous paper (Sikora et al. 2012). The integration in Eq. (6) is carried out along a given line of sight between the observer’s position \( x_\odot = [R_\odot, 0, 0] \) and the source of light located at \( x_\odot = x_\odot + (1 + \chi)R_\odot \) \([- \cos b \cos l, - \cos b \sin l, \sin b]\). The angle \( l \) is the Galactic longitude, \( b \) is the Galactic latitude, and \( \chi \) is a dimensionless distance parameter, such that \( D_S = R_\odot (1 + \chi) \). Using the following parameterization of the line of sight \( x(s) = x_\odot + s(x_\odot - x_\odot) \), Eq. (6) can be rewritten such that \( \tau \) becomes an explicit function of the source position \((l, b, \chi)\) (Sikora et al. 2012),

\[
\tau(l, b, \chi) = \frac{4\pi GR_\odot^2}{c^2} \int_0^1 (1 + \chi)^2 s(1 - s) \rho(r(x), z(s)) \, ds,
\]

where \( r(x) = R_\odot \sqrt{1 + s(1 + \chi) \cos b} \) \([s(1 + \chi) \cos b - 2 \cos l]\) and \( z(s) = R_\odot s(1 + \chi) \sin b \). Given a density distribution \( \rho(r, z) \), the above formula enables one to calculate the corresponding optical depth.
3.2. Data

The model optical depth, calculated with the help of Eq. (7), must be compared with the observations. For that purpose, we used data collected by several leading collaborations, in particular MACHO (Popowski et al. 2005), EROS (Hamadache et al. 2006), OGLE (Sumi et al. 2006), and MOA (Sumi & et al. 2003). These data were collected and analysed in detail in a review (Moniez 2010).

Below, we restrict our analysis to the bright stars subsample. This is a commonly used strategy in minimizing blending processes that affect the optical depth results. A discussion of the blending effect can be found in Alard (1997) and Smith et al. (2007). The data we used are represented as a function of latitude $\tau(b)$, and for each $b$ the optical depth was averaged over the longitudinal angle in the interval $l \in (-5^\circ, 5^\circ)$. The resulting function $\tau(b)$ allows us to study the vertical structure of the Galaxy.

3.3. Previous results in view of the present study

In our previous paper (Sikora et al. 2012), we used a surface mass density $\sigma(r)$ that accounts for the Galactic rotation curve in the infinitesimally thin disk model to obtain a volume mass density $\rho(r,z)$ corresponding to $\sigma(r)$, assuming the standard exponential vertical profile $\rho(r, z) = \rho(r, 0) e^{-|z|/h}$. Following the EROS collaboration, e.g., Derue et al. (2001), we set the value of the width-scale parameter to be $h' = 325$ pc. With this $\rho(r, z)$ we showed that the resulting optical depth was consistent with the observational data at a reasonable confidence level. In addition, we investigated several problems that might influence the optical depth, among them the uncertainty of the solar Galacto-centric distance $R_s$, the problem of a precise determination of the rotation curve, the difference between a single exponential vertical profile and a double exponential profile, and the structure of the central bulge. We pointed out that the optical depth uncertainty connected with each of these factors was relatively small and could not spoil the consistency between the model predictions and the observations.

The purpose of the present work is to check the microlensing optical depth predictions within a finite-width disk model framework, assuming a spatial mass distribution derived directly from the interpolating rotation curve, as described in Sect. 2.1. In this model the disk thickness is crucial and affects the distribution of column mass density, which can change, while keeping the predicted rotation unchanged and identical with the interpolating rotation curve of Sect. 2.1. As mentioned earlier, we assumed a volume mass density of the form $\rho(r,z) = \sigma(r)f(z)$, where $\sigma(r)$ is the column mass density and $f(z)$ is either the normalized Mexican hat or exponential vertical profiles given in Eq. (1). Each of these profiles is defined by its own characteristic width-scale, $h$ or $h'$, which are free parameters. Their optimal values can be constrained with the help of microlensing measurements, as we show in the next subsection.

3.4. Microlensing in a finite-width disk model

The sources of light observed in the microlensing events are randomly distributed in the vicinity of the Galactic center. This requires some averaging in the latitudinal angle $b$. Hence, the optical depth observable (as a function of $b$) should be understood as a moving average. It is frequently assumed that the sources are located on the symmetry axis. We adopted this simplification in calculating $\tau(b)$ by substituting $l = 0$ and $\chi = 0$ in Eq. (7).

Our idea of determining $\tau(b)$ is simple. For a fixed width-scale $h$ or $h'$, we obtain a volume mass density $\rho(r,z)$ from the Galactic rotation curve, as described in detail in Sect. 2.1. With this $\rho(r,z)$ we calculate the optical depth $\tau(b)$ from Eq. (7), substituting $l = 0$ and $\chi = 0$. The results for the vertical density profiles Eq. (1) are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. The curves, corresponding to different width-scale values, are shown together with the observed data points described in Sect. 3.2. We recall that we are only interested in the bright stars subsample.

To measure the accuracy of the fitting curves obtained for various width-scales, we calculated the reduced chi-squared, $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$, that is, the chi-squared divided by the number of the degrees of freedom (the fit residuals from the bright stars subsample were taken into account). The $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ are plotted in Fig. 4 for
several width-scales \( h \) (black dots in the top panel) and \( h' \) (black dots in the bottom panel). By cubic-spline-interpolating the \( \chi^2_{\text{red}} \), we may regard it as a smooth function of the width-scale. This allows us to determine the optimum width-scale at the minimum of each \( \chi^2_{\text{red}} \). The width-scales obtained this way are \( h = 117 \) pc and \( h' = 88 \) pc for the Mexican hat and the exponential vertical profiles.

Finally, we need to verify whether the approximation of sources aligned with the symmetry axis is justified. To this end we performed a Monte Carlo simulation. We randomly chose a number of \( n = 10^4 \) pairs \((l, \chi)\) in the range \( \chi \in (-0.125, 0.125) \) (corresponding to \( \pm 1 \) kpc) and \( l \in (-5^\circ, 5^\circ) \) for each fixed latitude \( b \), with the probability weight directly proportional to \( \rho(r, z) \), simultaneously finding the corresponding \( \tau(l, b, \chi) \) as defined in Eq. (7). The resulting mean optical depth and its standard deviation are shown as functions of \( b \) in Fig. 5. The mean value is close to \( \tau(0, b, 0) \) (solid line), which proves the approximation to be quite accurate.

4. Vertical gradient of rotation

In Jałocha et al. (2010) we modeled the vertical gradient of the MW rotation in the framework of the infinitesimally thin disk model. We compared the predicted high absolute gradient values with the gradient measurements by Levine et al. (2008) and found them to be consistent. In what follows, we repeat these studies in a more accurate model that accounts for a finite disk thickness, to see the influence of the vertical structure of mass distribution on the gradient value and its behavior.

The microlensing results of Sect. 3.4 imply a width-scale of \( 117 \) pc for the disk with the Mexican hat vertical profile, and \( 88 \) pc for that with the exponential vertical profile. With the corresponding mass distributions substituted in Eq. (3), we find our prediction for the vertical gradient of rotation in the rectangular measurement region of Levine et al. (2008): \( r \in (3, 8) \) kpc and \( z \in (0, 1.8) \). Our predictions for the gradient in this region are shown in Fig. 10, where they are also compared with the predictions of the infinitesimally thin disk model and with those of finite-width disks with the exponential vertical profile.

For all finite-width disks, the gradient falls off to 0 at \( z = 0 \), owing to the smoothness and \( z \)-reflection symmetry of the mass distribution (then, the gradient is \( z \)-antisymmetric), while for the infinitesimally thin disk with a mass distribution singular on the symmetry plane, the gradient attains a finite and high value at \( z = 0 \) (this requires the gradient line to be discontinuous at \( z = 0 \)). For low altitudes, the gradient behavior is dependent on the particular structure of mass distribution decided by the parameter \( h \), which introduces a characteristic length that scales: the altitudinal extent of the “turn-overs” (seen in the gradient lines with \( h > 0 \)), their local minimum positions, and the degree of their curvatures. Another feature evident from Fig. 10 is the
Vertical gradient of rotation in finite-width disk models as a function of altitude above the mid-plane \( z = 0 \), shown at various radii: gradient for a disk with the Mexican hat vertical profile \((h = 117 \text{ pc}, \text{solid lines})\), and gradient for a disk with the exponential vertical profile \((h' = 88 \text{ pc}, \text{dashed lines})\). To emphasize the universal gradient behavior at higher altitudes for various disks of the same mass, the results for an infinitesimally thin disk model (with the surface mass density identified with the column mass density of the disk with the Mexican hat vertical profile) is shown (dotted lines).

Figure 7 shows the behavior of the gradient as a function of \( z \) at \( r = 4 \text{ kpc} \) for the Mexican hat profile with various width-scales \( h \). For comparison, the (dotted line) shows the gradient behavior in the limit of the infinitesimally thin disk model \((h \to 0)\). The convergence on that limit is point-wise continuous, although not uniform—the gradient for \( h = 0 \) is discontinuous at \( z = 0 \). For higher altitudes, the gradient behavior is universal beyond the main concentration of masses.

The measurements of the vertical gradient in the rotation of our Galaxy within the radial distance 3–8 kpc and for altitudes above the mid-plane out to 100 pc give high gradient absolute values of \( 22 \pm 6 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc} \) \( (\text{Levine et al. 2008})\). For the rotation curve used, such high gradients may still be obtained in more realistic disk models with finite thickness, provided that the widthscales of the disks are sufficiently small. Figure 7 shows that with the width-scale of \( h = 117 \text{ pc} \) for the Mexican hat vertical profile the gradient absolute value may exceed \( 20 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc} \). This width-scale is favored by the analysis of the gravitational microlensing of Sect. 3.4 for the same rotation curve, assuming \( \Omega_0 = 200 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ for the circular speed of the standard of rest at the position of the Sun. If this rotation curve, in conjunction with the finite-width disk model, correctly describes the Galactic dynamics, then the Galactic disk has a small thickness, as suggested by our analysis. However, an increase by 10% in the rotation velocity due to the uncertain value of the speed parameter \( \Omega_0 \), e.g., 239 km s\(^{-1}\) \( (\text{Bovy et al. 2009})\) or even higher, as suggested by other studies. Furthermore, a change in \( \Omega_0 \) may also result in a change in the predicted widthscales of the disk models: for a higher \( \Omega_0 \) we expect a corresponding increase to occur in the width-scale. For example, by rescaling the gradients lines in Fig. 7 by a factor >1, a fixed gradient value at a fixed altitude will be attained on a gradient line corresponding to a higher \( h \). Similarly, if the absolute gradient value reaches the higher end of the range from \( 16 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc} \) to \( 28 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc} \), which is
possible according to the Levine et al. (2008) measurements, the predicted width-scales should become accordingly larger.

5. Column mass density in the vicinity of the Sun

5.1. Rotation curve in axial symmetry

We can regard the fragment of rotation curve (Fig. 1) inside the solar radius as reliable. It is determined using a tangent point method applied to rotation data with relatively small scattering. Most importantly, no mass model was involved. Under the assumption of axial symmetry (concentric circular orbits), the tangent point method locates osculating points at extrema in the Doppler image along lines of constant Galactic longitude (the method distinguishes the observer at the Sun position). The uncertainty in the resulting rotation curve lies mainly in the free parameters $R_o$ and $\Omega_o$, which must be taken from elsewhere. For consistency with the assumptions of the method, the resulting rotation curve must be modeled under axial symmetry (non-axisymmetric features in the rotation or in the derived quantities, such as the predicted column mass density, are beyond the scope of this method).

In contrast to the situation inside solar circle, the rotation curve outside solar circle is not reliable, the rotation measurement data are characterized by large scattering and are interpreted within an assumed mass model on which the resulting external rotation curve is largely dependent.

5.2. Comparison of the local measurement with a prediction of the axisymmetric model

The locally measured column mass density from star counts in the solar vicinity (a calm and empty region) is unlikely to be representative for the entire solar circle. The real column density is not axisymmetric, mostly because of the spiral structure. This factor should be taken into account when comparing a local measurement with a model prediction. In particular, the locally measured value of the column mass density does not have to agree with that predicted at the position of the Sun in the framework of an axisymmetric disk model. This only partly explains the discrepancy between the locally determined value in vicinity of the Sun and that inferred from the rotation curve in the axisymmetric disk model.

The locally determined value of $\approx 71 \pm 67\ M_\odot\ pc^{-2}$ (all gravitating matter below $|z| < 1.1$ kpc) was inferred from solving the vertical Jeans equation for a stellar tracer population (Kuijken & Gilmore 1991). In a recent paper (Zhang et al. 2013) a similar analysis implied that the total gravitating column mass density is $\approx 67\ M_\odot\ pc^{-2}$, of which the contribution from all stars is $\approx 42\ M_\odot\ pc^{-2}$ and that from cold gas $13\ M_\odot\ pc^{-2}$. The value of $\approx 140\ M_\odot\ pc^{-2}$ inferred in disk model in this paper should be compared with the local value $\approx 70\ M_\odot\ pc^{-2}$, since the disk model describes total dynamical mass accounting for the rotation curve.

Another contribution to this discrepancy, which seems more important, may point to problems with the rotation curve outside the solar circle, as we illustrate below with the help of a toy model.

A toy model. For a flattened mass distribution, the relation between the rotation curve and the resulting column mass density is nonlocal. The local density value is strongly dependent on the local radial gradient in the rotation curve, and it also depends on the external part of rotation curve. On larger scales this relation is less important and the amount of mass counts more, like in a spherical model. In consequence of this, the local value of the surface density at the solar circle will depend on the accuracy of determining the rotation curve outside the solar circle.
related a moving average of the scattered data (the toy model rotation curve outside the solar circle, we calculated a moving average of the scattered data \((r, \sqrt{\sum N_i \Delta r_i})\) for 20 kpc \(> r > 7\) kpc (open circles in Fig. 9), taking the \(r > 8\) kpc part alone. Inside the solar circle, the rotation curve was left unchanged because it is well determined. We joined the internal and external part so as to satisfy the constraint 200 km s\(^{-1}\) at 8 kpc. For this rotation curve, the density at the solar position \(r = 8\) kpc reduces to a value \(\approx 70\) \(M_\odot\) pc\(^{-2}\).

This influence is illustrated in Fig. 9. To obtain the part of the toy model rotation curve outside the solar circle, we calculated a moving average of the scattered data \((r, \sqrt{\sum N_i \Delta r_i})\) for 20 kpc \(> r > 7\) kpc (open circles in Fig. 9), taking the \(r > 8\) kpc part alone. Inside the solar circle, the rotation curve was left unchanged because it is well determined. We joined the internal and external part so as to satisfy the constraint 200 km s\(^{-1}\) at 8 kpc. For this rotation curve, the density at the solar position \(r = 8\) kpc reduces to a value \(\approx 70\) \(M_\odot\) pc\(^{-2}\).

We see from this example that the problem of discrepancy in the density lies mainly in the rotation curve close to and outside the solar circle. It follows that to prepare a better rotation curve in the future, it may be necessary to take into account constraints from the local mass density. Clearly, the density is higher with the original rotation curve. For a less flattened curve, the local density could be made to agree with the low value of \(70\) \(M_\odot\) pc\(^{-2}\) at 8 kpc, consistent with the results of Kuijken & Gilmore (1991) and Zhang et al. (2013). With the toy model curve, the results for the vertical gradient of rotation inside the solar circle is not changed significantly in a large region, as shown in Fig. 10, even though the predicted column density at the solar circle is significantly changed, by a factor of 2. This change would also not much influence the results well inside the solar circle for quantities that are integrals over a large region, such as the integrated optical depth we modeled here.

6. Summary and concluding remarks

We obtained the mass distribution in finite-width disks in an iterative fashion from a given rotation curve. We assumed that the volume density \(\rho(r, z)\) could be factorized as \(\rho(r, z) = \sigma(r) f(z)\), where \(f(z)\) is a normalized vertical profile, with a characteristic width-scale that is a free parameter. We did not assume any constraints on the column mass density \(\sigma(r)\), so that its functional dependence was governed entirely by the shape of the rotation curve. The density effectively describes all forms of the dynamical mass inferred from the Galactic rotation, therefore, the disk-width should not be confused with the width-scale parameters measured in the vicinity of the Sun for various stellar subsystems.

Based on the gravitational microlensing measurements, it was possible within the disk model framework to determine the effective width-scales. We tested the mass distributions by comparing the model predictions for the vertical gradient of the azimuthal velocity with the gradient measurements.

The (integrated) optical depth from the microlensing measurements is influenced by the amount of mass distributed along the lines of sight towards the Galactic center, whereas the details of the distribution are less important. We inferred the optimum width-scales of the considered disks by means of finding the best fits to the optical depth measured along various lines of sight. This shows that microlensing can be used as a tool to independently constrain the mass distribution models. With these width-scales, the resulting prediction for the behavior of the vertical gradient of rotation was compared with the gradient measurements in the mid-plane vicinity. This comparison is consistent with a small disk thickness. (Interestingly enough, for these width-scales, the effective disk widths defined by the 1/e criterion are almost equal: \(2\sigma' = 176\) pc and \(\approx 1.49h = 174\) pc, respectively, for the exponential and for the Mexican hat vertical profiles).

The behavior of the vertical gradient of the azimuthal velocity and its value, when calculated at low altitudes above the mid-plane, is very sensitive to the width-scale parameter. At a given altitude in the gradient measurements region, the calculated gradient value changes significantly with the width-scale

3 Incidentally, the obtained width-scale of \(\approx 100\) pc is consistent with the distribution of massive stars in the vicinity of the Sun. However, massive stars are rare locally and do not contribute substantially to the mass for any proposed IMF.
parameter. When the parameter is too high, the absolute gradient value is too low compared with the measurements. Higher absolute gradients at low altitudes above the mid-plane suggest a smaller effective thickness of the Galactic disk. The rotation velocity is another factor that governs the gradient value. In particular, given a disk thickness and the gradient behavior, one could constrain the allowable range for the motion of the standard of rest at the position of the Sun (the width-scale could be increased for higher $\Omega_*$). Testing the vertical structure of the mass distribution with the help of the gradient measurements is thus a particularly sensitive tool, and it is therefore important to have high-accuracy measurements of the gradient at low altitudes above the mid-plane.

The column mass density of flattened mass distributions is sensitive to uncertainties in the circular velocity. This sensitivity can be observed in the approximation of infinitely thin disk model (Binney & Tremaine 1987). It is therefore important to have reliable rotation curves when studying flattened galaxies. However, Galactic rotation is relatively well known inside the solar circle, therefore, with better data, we can expect some differences in the column density to occur close to or outside the solar circle. These changes probably do not significantly influence the results for global quantities inside the solar circle, such as the predicted integrated optical depth or vertical gradient inside the solar circle. In particular, as shown in Sect. 5, with a suitably changed external part of the rotation curve, the disk model prediction for the column density at the solar circle of $\approx 140 M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$ made with the present rotation curve could be reduced to a local value of $\approx 70 M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$ inferred in the vicinity of the Sun from Jeans modeling (Kuijken & Gilmore 1991; Zhang et al. 2013).
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Appendix A

A.1. Derivation of Eqs. (2) and (3)

The kernel function $J$ defined in the introduction is singular at an isolated point ($\zeta = 0, r = R$) and is continuous elsewhere. This singularity is integrable in the principal-value sense in Eqs. (2), and (3). Function $J$ is scale-invariant. In particular, $J(r, R, \zeta) = J(r/R, R, \zeta/R)$, which means that $J$ is effectively dependent only on two variables: $r/R$ and $\zeta/R$. This property allows us to represent $J$ on a plane as in Fig. A.1.

Differentiation and taking limits can be interchanged with the integration only under particular conditions imposed on the function under the integration sign. If not said otherwise, we assume that these conditions are met. For this reason, the case of an infinitesimally thin disk (with $f(z) = \delta(z)$) must be treated separately.

The expression for $R \partial_r \Psi(R, z)$ in Sect. 2 involves an integral

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \rho(r, z) J(r, R, z - Z).$$

The integral can be written as a sum $I = \int_{-\infty}^{0} dz \rho(r, z) J(r, R, z - Z) + \int_{0}^{\infty} dz \rho(r, z) J(r, R, z - Z)$ if the two summands exist. By substituting $z \rightarrow -\bar{z}$, the first integral can be rewritten as $\int_{0}^{\infty} (-\bar{z}) \rho(r, -\bar{z}) J(r, R, -[\bar{z} + Z])$, and next, since $\rho(r, -\bar{z}) = \rho(r, \bar{\zeta})$ and $J(r, R, -\bar{z}) = J(r, R, \zeta)$, as $\int_{0}^{\infty} d\bar{z} \rho(r, \bar{z}) J(r, R, \bar{z} + Z)$.

Finally, by renaming $\bar{z} \rightarrow z$, we obtain

$$I = \int_{0}^{\infty} dz \rho(r, z) \cdot [J(r, R, z + Z) + J(r, R, z - Z)].$$

This proves Eq. (2).

The integral expression for the vertical gradient of rotation in the quasi-circular orbit approximation can be proved by performing a partial differentiation of $I$ under the integration sign:

$$\partial_z I = \int_{0}^{\infty} dz \rho(r, z) \cdot [\partial_z J(r, R, z + Z) + \partial_z J(r, R, z - Z)].$$

Now, $\partial_z J(r, R, z \pm Z) = \pm \partial_z J(r, R, z \pm Z)$, which implies that

$$\partial_z I = \int_{0}^{\infty} dz \rho(r, z) \cdot [\partial_z J(r, R, z + Z) - \partial_z J(r, R, z - Z)].$$

Integration by parts under usual conditions gives

$$\partial_z I = B - \int_{0}^{\infty} dz \partial_z \rho(r, z) \cdot [J(r, R, z + Z) - J(r, R, z - Z)],$$

where $B = \{ \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \rho(r, z) \cdot [J(r, R, z + Z) - J(r, R, z - Z)] - (\rho(r, 0^+) \cdot [J(r, R, Z) - J(r, R, -Z)]\) is a boundary term. The expression in the first round bracket in this term is zero for any finite $Z, r, \rho$, on account of the vanishing of the difference in the square bracket and the vanishing of $\rho$ in the same limit (it suffices to assume a finite support of $\rho$). The expression in the second round bracket also vanishes for finite $\rho(r, 0)$ since $J$ is an even function of the third argument. Hence, the boundary term $B$ also vanishes. Formally, one should also verify whether the integration with respect to $r$ and taking the above limit $z \rightarrow +\infty$ commute, as there is an integration over $r$ present in the expression for $R \partial_r \Psi$. When this requirement is met, we have

$$\partial_z \int_{0}^{\infty} dr \cdot I = \int_{0}^{\infty} dz \partial_z \rho(r, z) \cdot [J(r, R, z - Z) - J(r, R, z + Z)],$$

which proves Eq. (3).

A.2. A special case: the exponential vertical profile

In the case of the vertical exponential fall-off of the density profile, $\rho(r, z) = e^{-z/\alpha} \sigma(r)$, the calculation of the integrals in Eqs. (2) and (3) can be simplified. Then, $\nu^{\psi}_0(R, Z) = G \int dr \int dz \sigma(r) \frac{-z}{2\alpha} [J(r, R, z - Z) + J(r, R, z + Z)]$ and $\partial_z \nu^{\psi}_0(R, Z) = G \int_{0}^{\infty} dz \sigma(r) \frac{-z}{2\alpha} \cdot [J(r, R, z - Z) - J(r, R, z + Z)].$

Now, both $\nu^{\psi}_0(R, Z)$ and $\partial_z \nu^{\psi}_0(R, Z)$ can be expressed in terms of two integrals $I_s$ and $I_r:$

$$I_s = \frac{G}{h} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma(r) dr \int_{0}^{\infty} dz e^{-z/\alpha} J(r, R, z \pm Z).$$

Namely, for $\rho(r, z) = e^{-z/\alpha} \sigma(r)$, $\nu^{\psi}_0(R, Z) = \sqrt{I_s + I_r}$.

A.3. Qualitative properties of the vertical gradient of rotation and the presence of turn-overs

Equation (3) involves an integral $\int_{0}^{\infty} dz f'(z) [J(r, R, z - Z) - J(r, R, z + Z)]$ that equals $K = \int_{0}^{\infty} dz f'(z) J(r, R, Z - z)$, owing to the symmetry of $J$ and $f$. Now, consider $|z| > A > 0$ large enough, beyond the main mass concentration, such that $\int_{-A}^{A} f(z) dz \approx 1$ (by assumption $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z) dz = 1$). For such $z$, only a region $|z| \ll |z|$ contributes to $K$, and we can use the approximation formula $J(r, R, Z - z) - J(r, R, Z) = -z \partial_z J(r, R, Z)$ to obtain $K \approx J(r, R, Z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(z) dz - \partial_z J(r, R, Z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z f'(z) dz$. Since $f(z)$ and $zf(z)$ vanish at the infinity, integrating by parts gives $K = \partial_z J(r, R, Z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z) dz = \partial_z J(r, R, Z)$. On the other hand,
for \( f(z) = \delta(z) \) and for \( Z \neq 0 \), \( K = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \delta'(z) J(r, R, Z - z) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \delta(z) \partial_z J(r, R, Z - z) = \partial_z J(r, R, Z) \). Hence, we obtain an intuitively clear result: for all finite-width thin disks with the same column mass density \( \sigma(r) \) at high enough altitudes is universal and the same as for an infinitesimally thin disk with surface mass density \( \sigma(r) \).

Another qualitative result is obtained in the limit \( Z \to 0 \). For \( Z \neq 0 \), \( K(R, r, -Z) = -K(R, r, Z) \), thus \( \lim_{Z \to 0} K = 0 \) by continuity of \( K \) as a function of \( Z \), and the vertical gradient is zero at \( Z = 0 \), at least for the mass distributions for which the usual theorems on the continuity of functions defined by integrals Eq. (3) apply.

However, there is an exception from the above continuity behavior of the gradient lines at \( Z = 0 \). It is important to remember that the operation of taking various limits and the operation of integration are not interchangeable in general. In particular, an integral of a function sequence consisting of continuous functions with a parameter can result in a discontinuous function of that parameter. For \( f(z) = \delta_n(z) \), where \( \delta_n \) is a functional sequence representing the Dirac \( \delta \), the result of continuity of the gradient does not necessarily follow and we can have a nonzero value in the same limit, in which case the integral Eq. (3) is discontinuous at \( Z = 0 \). To give a simple example of what then may happen, consider a function sequence \( u_n(x, y) = \frac{2n^2}{\pi(x^2 + x y^2)^2} \), then

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_n(x, y) dy = g_n(x), \text{ with } g_n(x) = \frac{n^2}{(1 + x^2 + y^2)^2}.
\]

Now, consider a limiting function \( g(x) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} g_n(x) \) and see if it is continuous at \( x = 0 \). For \( x = 0 \), \( g(0) \equiv \lim_{n \to +\infty} g_n(0) = 0 \), whereas for \( x \neq 0 \), \( g(x) \equiv \lim_{n \to +\infty} g_n(x) = \frac{1}{1 + x^2} \neq 0 \), thus, \( g(0) = 0 \neq 1 = \lim_{x \to 0} g(x) \), therefore \( g(x) \) is discontinuous at \( x = 0 \).

Finally, we may try to understand the occurrence of the turnovers in the gradient lines for \( h > 0 \), such as those seen in Fig. 10 or Fig. 7. First, note that a gradient line must asymptotically converge to zero, which is the universal asymptotics property discussed earlier. Second, the gradient line starts from \( 0 \) at \( Z = 0 \), which we have also seen above. Now, we perform a mapping of the region \( 0 < Z < +\infty \) to an interval \( 0 < Z < 1 \) by means of a transformation \( Z \to \tanh Z \). Then the transformed gradient lines are continuous for \( 0 \leq Z \leq 1 \) and vanish at the boundaries. Next, we apply the Rolle theorem on continuously differentiable functions that vanish on the boundaries of a compact and simply connected interval, and we infer that there must be at least one point inside the interval where the gradient line has a local minimum, which explains the presence of a turn-over. The Rolle theorem does not apply to gradient lines of the infinitesimally thin disk, because of the discontinuity, and the analogous turnovers do not have to occur, which is the case in Figs. 7 or 10.