New tangles in the auxin signaling web
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Abstract
Plants use auxin to relay critical information that shapes their growth and development. Auxin perception and transcriptional activation are mediated by the degradation of Aux/IAA repressor proteins. Degradation of Aux/IAAs relieves repression on Auxin Response Factors (ARFs), which bind DNA sequences called Auxin Response Elements (AuxREs). In most higher plant genomes, multiple paralogs exist for each part of the auxin nuclear signaling pathway. This potential combinatorial diversity in signaling pathways likely contributes to the myriad of context-specific responses to auxin. Recent structures of several domains from ARF proteins have exposed new modes of ARF dimerization, new models for ARF-AuxRE specificity, and the strong likelihood of larger order complexes formed by ARF and Aux/IAA homo- and heteromultimerization. Preliminary experiments support a role for these novel interactions in planta, further increasing the potential architectural complexity of this seemingly simple pathway.

Introduction
Auxin signaling affects many vital transitions in the development of plants found throughout the green plant lineage [1]. Many of the proteins involved in converting environmental signals into auxin gradients [2–5] and translating these internal signals into growth responses [6–14] have been identified and characterized. In the past several decades, the plant biology community has made great strides in structure-function analysis of auxin signaling [15]. More recent advances have quantified interactions and dynamics within the pathway in an attempt to answer the question of how auxin mediates such a variety of responses (e.g. [16–19]). The auxin-signaling pathway has been reviewed many times in recent years [20–24] and will only be described briefly here. This review will focus on a suite of recent structural studies [25–27] that significantly revise models of auxin response.

The forward nuclear auxin signaling pathway can be reconstituted in yeast using only five plant parts [28], providing strong evidence that this circuit represents the minimal auxin-specific response machinery. These parts include ARFs, which are able to bind to promoters containing AuxREs [6,7]. In the absence of auxin, ARFs are bound to Aux/IAA repressor proteins (Figure 1B) [8]. Repression by Aux/IAAs is facilitated by recruitment of TOPELESS-type corepressors (TPLs) [29]. Auxin relieves ARFs of this repression by enhancing associations between Aux/IAAs and members of the TIR1/AFB family of auxin receptors [12]. TIR1/AFBs act as the substrate recognition subunit of Skp1-Cullin-F-box E3 ubiquitin-ligase complexes. Thus, auxin promotes ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of Aux/IAAs, relieving transcriptional repression on auxin response genes [13,14]. The mechanism by which ARFs mediate transcriptional activation is not well understood.

The Arabidopsis thaliana genome contains 6 TIR1/AFBs [30], 29 Aux/IAAs [31], 23 ARFs [32] and 5 TPLs [29]. Each of these family members offers potential for specificity in function, dynamics and localization. TIR1/AFBs and Aux/IAAs are known to form complexes of varying affinity with auxin analogs [17] and result in different degradation rates [16]. Subfunctionalization within the TIR1/AFB, Aux/IAA and ARF families through distinct expression patterns is supported by recent detailed studies of the shoot apical meristem, embryo, and root meristem [18,33].
Recent structural studies of isolated domains from ARF proteins have exposed additional potential for signaling complexity. Specifically, the structures of two ARF DNA-binding domain (DBD) dimers were solved [25], as well as two ARF C-terminal III/IV or PB1 domains [26,27]. PB1 domains are also found in Aux/IAAs [34]. The structures and accompanying experiments provide new models for specificity in ARF-AuxRE interactions and reveal the possibility of higher order complexes of ARFs and Aux/IAAs. Connecting signaling architectures to specific cellular responses is the next major challenge.

**ARFs and their targets, AuxREs**

Most ARFs contain three domains with distinct functions [35] (Figure 1A). All ARFs contain an N-terminal DNA-binding domain (DBD) related to the B3 domain family. B3 domains are found in many other plant transcription factors [36], but the regions 5' and 3' of the B3 domain are unique to ARFs and are highly conserved across the family. The DBD is followed by a middle domain mediating transcriptional repression or activation [37], and a C-terminal PB1 protein-protein interaction domain [38]. In 2014, Boer et al. published structures of the *Arabidopsis* ARF1 and ARF5 DBDs, revealing that the non-B3 portions of the DBDs contain a dimerization interface without any matches to known structures [25]. The authors also briefly mention an additional sub-domain of the ARF DBD, the ancillary domain, which is structurally related to Tudor domains. Tudor domains of many proteins have been shown to bind methylated histones [39]; however, ARF Tudor domains lack the methyl-binding site. Given the evidence of a connection between auxin and chromatin modification [40–43], further functional analysis of this domain could be important for elucidating the mechanism of ARF transcriptional activation.

In addition to the structures of ARF1 and ARF5 DBDs, Boer et al. present the crystal structure of an ARF1 DBD dimer in complex with an inverted repeat (3' ends facing...
The Boer et al. paper [25] also provided some surprises about the sequence of AuxREs and ARF-AuxRE specificity. Microarray experiments saturating for all hexanucleotides found that both ARF1 and ARF5 monomers prefer TGTCGG to the "canonical" AuxRE TGTCCTC [6,7], although this sequence was also bound strongly by ARFs in this experiment. Broad sequence-specificity was observed for both ARFs at the final two bases, consistent with previous suggestions that the core TGTC sequence might be sufficient to confer auxin-responsiveness [10,44]. Dimerization-defective mutants showed nearly identical binding specificity, demonstrating independence of the dimer and DNA binding faces of the protein. These mutants did show significantly lower affinity for inverted repeat AuxREs, demonstrating a loss of cooperative binding effects. As the DNA binding residues of ARFs are highly conserved and the most sequence divergence occurs in loops connecting the B3 and dimerization domains, the authors hypothesize that ARF dimers act as "molecular calipers" with distinct settings established by their specific DBDs. A particular DBD dimer would show specificity for certain promoter architecture, as the loops within the DBD would limit the dimer and DNA binding faces of the protein. These faces are highly conserved across most ARFs and Aux/IAAs, suggesting numerous potential combinations of homo- and heteromultimers. When conserved residues were mutated, on each face independently or on both faces, multimerization was highly abrogated. When mixing ARF7 proteins mutated on opposite faces, multimerization was inhibited and only dimers were observed [26]. This independence of the two faces (i.e. disrupting interactions on one face still leaves the opposite face functional) was further confirmed for both ARF5 and ARF7 by yeast two-hybrid assays [26,27].

Preliminary plant studies support an important role for PB1 domain multimerization in auxin signaling. The strong growth defects that result from overexpression of a stabilized form of IAA16 [49] were essentially eliminated, if mutations that eliminated dimerization on either face were also included in the transgene [26]. Mutations on inward) of AuxREs [25]. These structures and accompanying experiments reveal that DBDs mediate ARF-ARF interactions in ARF homodimers and present strong evidence for the necessity of ARF DBD dimerization for transcriptional activation. Evidence for PB1-domain-independent ARF dimerization can be traced to the earliest days of biochemical characterization of this family [10]; however, the strong effect of the PB1 dimerization domain and its connection to the Aux/IAAs overshadowed these early results. FRET-FLIM assays using wild-type and mutant forms of ARF5 confirm dimer formation in the native context. The functional importance of ARF5 DBD dimerization was demonstrated by overexpressing a dimerization-defective variant in either loss-of-function arf5/mp mutants or in wild-type plants. The mutant form of ARF5 could not rescue the deficiency in arf5/mp mutants and, in fact, acted as a dominant-negative in the wild-type background. The residues involved in dimerization are highly conserved across ARFs, but whether ARF DBD dimerization is necessary for activating all target genes, or for the function of all ARFs, remains to be determined.

The ARFs and their repressors, Aux/IAAs

Structural and biochemical studies of the PB1 domains of ARFs and Aux/IAAs raise new questions about the functional stoichiometry of auxin signaling [26,27]. Most Aux/IAAs have three recognizable domains (Figure 1A): an N-terminal EAR-motif (domain I), which interacts with the TPL corepressors; a short degron domain (domain II) required for interaction with auxin and TIR1/AFBs; and a C-terminal PB1 domain (domain III/IV) that facilitates interaction with ARFs [31]. Multimerization of ARFs and Aux/IAAs through the C-terminal domain has long been recognized [48]. Recently, plant PB1 domains were found to share significant sequence homology with PB1 domains found to mediate multimerization in many other eukaryotic signaling proteins [34].

Recognition of the III/IV domains as PB1 folds led to critical technical insights for improving expression and purification—insights that led to recent structural studies of PB1 domains from ARF7 [26] and ARF5 [27]. Isolated PB1 domains form multimers in solution, interacting in a way analogous to bar magnets, via a positively charged face on one "pole", and a negatively charged face on the opposite "pole" (Figure 1B). The positive face contains a conserved lysine and the negative face contains a conserved acidic OPCA motif (D-x-D/E-x-D-xn-D/E). These faces are highly conserved across most ARFs and Aux/IAAs, suggesting numerous potential combinations of homo- and heteromultimers. When conserved residues were mutated, on each face independently or on both faces, multimerization was highly abrogated. When mixing ARF7 proteins mutated on opposite faces, multimerization was inhibited and only dimers were observed [26]. This independence of the two faces (i.e. disrupting interactions on one face still leaves the opposite face functional) was further confirmed for both ARF5 and ARF7 by yeast two-hybrid assays [26,27].

Preliminary plant studies support an important role for PB1 domain multimerization in auxin signaling. The strong growth defects that result from overexpression of a stabilized form of IAA16 [49] were essentially eliminated, if mutations that eliminated dimerization on either face were also included in the transgene [26]. Mutations on
either side of the ARF7 PB1 domain led to a nearly twofold increase in auxin-induced expression of a reporter in protoplasts, when compared with wild-type ARF7 [27]. This reporter activation was also largely auxin-independent, although the effect of auxin could not be completely eliminated even when both interaction surfaces were mutated. Single face mutants of IAA17 and IAA19 were able to partially repress the reporter in protoplasts, while double face mutants showed a further reduction in repression ability. It will be exciting to see what emerges from detailed examination of component configuration on specific promoters during normal auxin responses.

**Untangling**

We have entered a new era in studies of auxin signaling. New models are needed to integrate structural knowledge with the rich history of molecular genetics and genomics connecting auxin nuclear signaling to plant growth and development. If higher order complexes containing various isoforms of ARFs and Aux/IAAs do exist in plants, do they have distinct functions? Answering that question will require significant work, likely drawing on the newest technologies in systems and synthetic biology. Current [18,50] and future interactome studies provide a starting place for simplifying this complex network. Interactions can then be distilled to minimal functional units using existing synthetic systems [16,17,28,51,52]. Revisiting bioinformatics studies of auxin response genes [6] may help to establish tissue- or timing-specific consensus sequences that can then be used to pull-down and identify the complexes responsible for mediating these processes. Additionally, many new tools for isolating tissue specific chromatin-state [53], methylation-state [54], expression [55] and transcription factor binding [50] information may prove useful in connecting auxin network structure to biochemical mechanisms and function.

As ARFs and Aux/IAAs belong to ancient lineages, it may prove advantageous to move to simpler model organisms to answer some of these pressing questions. The moss Physcomitrella patens [56,57] and the liverwort Marchantia polymorpha [58–60] are quickly developing as attractive models. These organisms can perform homologous recombination [61,62], facilitating rapid genome engineering. For example, reporter genes could be integrated under native promoters to directly connect the impact of multimerization mutants with a diverse set of realistically complex promoter architectures during native auxin responses. This type of gene replacement experiment could be very powerful, especially in genomes with far smaller families of auxin-related genes.

Even given the simplest repressive complex now proposed—two ARFs, two Aux/IAAs and a single corepressor—there are well over one million potential combinations of complexes. Moving forward, we will need to identify the subset of these possible complexes present in planta and understand the stoichiometry, configuration, functional specificity and dynamics of these activating or repressing complexes. These insights will have to be further integrated into models that include other regulatory mechanisms impacting auxin availability and response [63,64]. Despite adding new tangles to the auxin-signaling web, these unexpected levels of complexity may be the key to finally connecting the core auxin signaling circuit to the many distinct auxin-associated events throughout the life of the plant.
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