Premature ovarian insufficiency: clinical orientations for genetic testing and genetic counseling
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Abstract

Premature ovarian insufficiency (POI) is a heterogeneous disorder diagnosed in women before 40 years old and describes a wide range of impaired ovarian function, from diminished ovarian reserve to premature ovarian failure. Genetic etiology accounts for 20% to 25% of patients. The evidence that POI can be isolated (nonsyndromic) or part of a pleiotropic genetic syndrome highlights its high heterogeneous etiology. Chromosomal abnormalities as a cause of POI have a prevalence of 10% to 13%, being 45,X complement the most common cytogenetic cause of primary amenorrhea and mosaicism with a 45,X cell line more frequently associated with secondary amenorrhea. Other X chromosome aberrations include deletions, duplications, balanced, and unbalanced X-autosome rearrangements involving the critical region for the POI phenotype (Xq13-Xq21 to Xq23-Xq27).

The identification of 2 or more pathogenic variants in distinct genes argues in favor of a polygenic origin for POI. Hundreds of pathogenic variants (including mitochondrial) have been involved in POI etiology mainly with key roles in biological processes in the ovary, such as meiosis and DNA damage repair mechanism, homologous recombination, follicular development, granulosa cell differentiation and proliferation, and ovulation.

The most common single gene cause for POI is the premutation for FMR1 gene (associated with fragile X syndrome) with alleles ranging from about 55 to about 200 CGG trinucleotide repeats. POI occurs in 20% of women with this premutation. As females with premutation or full mutation alleles are also at risk of having affected children, their genetic counseling should include the indication for prenatal diagnosis or preimplantation genetic testing after intracytoplasmic sperm injection and trophectoderm biopsy.

In conclusion, in clinical practice high-resolution karyotype and FMR1 gene molecular study should be performed as first-tier tests in the assessment of POI. In addition, array Comparative Genomic Hybridization or specific next generation sequencing panels should be considered to identify chromosomal deletions/duplications under karyotype resolution or other pathogenic variants in specific genes associated with POI. This is particularly important in patients with first- or second-degree relatives also affected with POI, improving their reproductive and genetic counseling.
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Introduction

Natural menopause is commonly defined as the time when a woman has experienced 12 consecutive months of amenorrhea without an obvious cause.\textsuperscript{1} A collaborative study reported that the average age at natural menopause across 21 studies from 10 countries ranged from 47 to 53 years, varying across ethnic groups from 48 years for women of South Asian background to 50 years for Caucasian women living in Australia and Europe, and 52 years for Japanese women.\textsuperscript{2} These results are primarily obtained from women living in high-income countries; hence, the average age at menopause for women in low- and middle-income countries may lie outside this range.

Premature ovarian insufficiency (POI), also known as primary ovarian insufficiency, is a heterogeneous disorder diagnosed in women before the age of 40 years and describes a wide range of impaired ovarian function. It starts with diminished ovarian reserve and finally reaches premature ovarian failure.\textsuperscript{3} Menopause before the age of 40 is also commonly referred to as premature menopause. Menopause that occurs between 40 and 45 years is termed early menopause.\textsuperscript{4}

The designation premature ovarian failure or premature menopause should be considered as only the final stage of POI.\textsuperscript{3} The incidence of premature menopause is about 1:10,000 in women by the age of 20 years, 1:1000 in women younger than 30 years and 1:100 in women younger than 40 years.\textsuperscript{5}

Nongenetic etiology

Although most POI cases are considered idiopathic, several risk factors have been described. Iatrogenic factors such as pelvic surgery, impairing ovary vascularization, or ovarian surgery,
with or without oophorectomy, chemotherapy, or radiotherapy for cancer are unquestionable etiologies.

Early menarche, nulliparity or low parity, cigarette smoking, and being underweight are also strong risk factors associated with premature menopause. Among the lifestyle factors studied, cigarette smoking has been the most consistently linked to earlier age at natural menopause. Smoking is associated with earlier menopause, and it has been shown that women who smoke stop menstruating 1 to 2 years earlier than comparable nonsmokers. Current smokers were at twice the risk of premature menopause and had an 80% increased risk of early menopause compared with never smokers. Some studies have shown a dose–response effect on atrophy of ovarian follicles, in that heavy smokers have an earlier natural menopause than light smokers.

**Genetic etiology**

Genetic causes account for approximately 20% to 25% of patients with POI. In spite of the great quantity of genetic studies identifying new genetic variants associated with POI, these results are very often conflicting and of uncertain clinical value namely because many of these genetic variants are of unknown significance. The complexity of interpretation and appreciation of these findings further supports the purpose of this review of establishing practical clinical orientations about etiological genetic research of POI and consequent genetic counseling.

It is possible to estimate what proportion of the etiology can be ascribed to genetic factors as opposed to environmental factors (heritability). Estimates of the heritability of a condition or trait provide an indication of the relative importance of genetic factors in its causation, so that the greater the value for the heritability the greater the role of genetic factors. The reported heritability estimate of 0.52 for age at natural menopause suggests that genetic effects explain at least half of the interindividual variation in age at natural menopause.

Because genetic factors explain a substantial proportion of the variability in age at natural menopause, family history may be an important predictor of age at menopause. Early menopause in a mother, sister, aunt, or grandmother was associated with 6-fold increased odds of early menopause and 8-fold increased risk of premature menopause.

Twin registries in the United Kingdom and Australia indicate that twins have a significantly higher prevalence of POI than the general population, with a 3-fold greater prevalence. Although the prevalence of POI in monogygotic (identical) and dizygotic (nonidentical) twins are similar, ages at menopause were more concordant among monogygotic than among dizygotic twins. If 1 twin experienced menopause before age 40, her identical sister was almost 7 times as likely to do so at the same age, confirming that the risk of POI has a strong heritable component.

Genetic anomalies in syndromic and nonsyndromic forms of the disease, such as chromosomal abnormalities and point mutations in coding regions of POI genes (autosomal and X-linked genes), have been described. Up to 90% of nonsyndromic POI cases are estimated to be idiopathic, with about 30% having an affected first-degree relative, supporting a potential underlying genetic etiological basis.

Besides the variability of the genetic factors associated with POI and the remarkable differences in frequency among different ethnic groups, several genes come out as POI candidates. However, only a small part of them has been established as causative factor and gene-gene and protein-protein interactions are not yet entirely clear.

Presence of POI as one component of a pleiotropic genetic disorder (pleiotropism: several different effects from a single gene) is also well recognized. The evidence that POI can be isolated (nonsyndromic) or part of a pleiotropic genetic syndrome highlights its high heterogeneous etiology. To date, what we know is just the tip of the iceberg of POI genetic etiology, despite the fact that causative lists are expanding.

Chromosomal abnormalities have been recognized as a cause of POI. Percentages vary widely among reported series but a prevalence of 10% to 13% seems reasonable. The most common cytogenetic cause of POI is Turner syndrome, often but not universally associated with X monosomy (45,X), which leads to ovarian dysgenesis and accelerated follicular atresia. Despite being the most common cytogenetic cause of primary amenorrhea the karyotype 45,X is a very rare finding in women with the onset of POI. Actually, X monosomy without mosaicism is much more typically found in primary amenorrhea but a small number of 45,X women have menstruations (3% of 45,X patients actually menstruated). Mosaicism 45,X/46,XX and other forms of mosaicism are associated with secondary amenorrhea.

The relative proportion of normal (46,XX) and 45,X cells will significantly influence the clinical expressivity of Turner syndrome and POI. The variable clinical expressivity can also be justified by nonidentified (hidden) mosaicism, which could be the main explanation for the rare cases of ovarian activity and for the much rarer cases of pregnancy in women with Turner syndrome.

Other X chromosome aberrations include deletions and duplications, and balanced and unbalanced X-autosome rearrangements. A region of the long arm of the X chromosome that seems critical for the POI phenotype extends from Xq13-Xq21 to Xq23-Xq27.

Hundreds of genes have been involved in POI etiology by their participation in key biological processes in the ovary, such as meiosis and DNA damage repair, homologous recombination, follicular development, granulosa cell differentiation, growth, and proliferation, and ovulation. For instance, MCMI gene pathogenic variants (formerly designated as mutations) are involved in the POI phenotype, playing an important role in chromosomal stability, homologous recombination during meiosis and DNA break repair. It has been shown that several transcription factors (eg, NOBOX and FOXL2) play key roles during female gonadal development and pathogenic variants in these genes lead to POI as also some meiotic genes have been considered important in determining the oocyte pool. Pathogenic variants have been described in a number of genes with pleiotropic syndromic phenotypes that include POI (eg, NOBOX and GDPF, respectively involved in the first stages of folliculogenesis and granulosa cell differentiation and proliferation).

The identification of at least 2 pathogenic variants in distinct genes argues in favor of a polygenic origin for POI. A high-resolution copy-number variations (CNV) analysis of the X chromosome in fertile females and in women affected with POI also supports its polygenic etiology. The authors observed a 2.5-fold enrichment for rare CNVs comprising ovary-expressed genes and genes implicated in autoimmune response, inflammatory processes, and apoptotic signaling in the affected women.
Autoimmunity may lead to increased cell death in the ovary and result in follicle depletion, causing POI. It is possible that differences observed between CNVs on the X chromosome in POI and fertile women are the consequence of deficiencies in DNA repair. A subset of women with idiopathic POI may have chromosomal instability due to defects in DNA repair, demonstrating the sensitivity of gonads to DNA injuries and oocyte depletion as a secondary cellular defense against damaged cells.

Mitochondrial inheritance is exclusively maternal and is considered to be a key determinant of female reproductive aging and infertility. Mitochondrial pathogenic variants have also been associated with POI. Defects in the POLG gene, which encodes polymerase gamma, responsible for mitochondrial DNA synthesis, have been reported in women with POI. The association of nuclear and mitochondrial genes responsible for mitochondria function with female oogenesis and fertility is not surprising as the number of mitochondria increases at least 1000-fold in human oocytes. Mitochondria are essential for multiple processes during oogenesis, such as ATP production, apoptosis, and calcium homeostasis.

Distinct from nonsyndromic POI, pleiotropic Mendelian disorders may manifest POI as part of their phenotypic spectrum. Indeed, the most common single gene explanation for POI is represented by such a disorder – premutation for fragile X syndrome. Fragile X syndrome is caused by the deficiency or absence of fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP), a widely expressed RNA-binding protein that also regulates translation. The FMRP is expressed in neurons and granulosa cells. Theoretically, the deficiency or absence of FMRP can occur through any type of deletion or inactivation mutation, but in more than 99% of cases there is an expansion of a segment of CGG repeats in the 5' untranslated region of the FMR1 gene that leads to DNA hypermethylation and inhibition of transcription.

Fragile X syndrome is the most common single gene cause of intellectual disability and autism. Clinical features include mental retardation, characteristic facial features with large ears and prominent jaw, connective tissue findings (joint hypermobility), large testes after puberty and behavioral abnormalities. Fragile X syndrome occurs in men when CGG repeats number is >200. Around 70% of women with more than 200 CGG repeats show intellectual disability. The incidence of fragile X syndrome is approximately 1:4000 in men and 1:8000 in women. The FMR1 gene has 4 types of alleles: normal, intermediate, premutation, and full mutation. Normal alleles have a range from about 5 to about 44 repeats. The most common repeat length is 29 or 30 CGG repeats. Normal alleles have no meiotic or mitotic instability (in stable, normal alleles, the common repeat length is 29 or 30 CGG repeats. Normal alleles). Fragile X syndrome does not have an expansion of a segment of CGG repeats in the 5' untranslated region of the FMR1 gene.

Another study also demonstrated that 72% of the women with POI had a repeat size length of 80 to 100 CGG triplets, similar to findings from previous studies that identified women with 80 to 100 repeats to be at the highest risk for POI compared to repeat lengths of 59 to 79 or >100.

A meta-analysis study confirmed this significant association between the FMR1 premutation and an increased risk for every stage of POI (from diminished ovarian reserve to premature ovarian failure). However, it did not find a correlation between the FMR1 CGG intermediate repeat length and the severity of idiopathic POI. Moreover, a large population-based study found no association between the length of CGG repeats in the normal allele and the risk of early menopause and also did not find an association with age at menopause. Therefore, there is no evidence to support an association between high normal and intermediate range FMR1 alleles with a risk of POI. Interestingly, ovarian function remains normal in women with full mutation range repeat alleles.

**Discussion and conclusion**

New strategies with next generation sequencing using panel-specific genes, whole exome sequencing (sequencing all the protein coding genes) or whole genome sequencing (sequencing the complete DNA of a genome) will certainly revolutionize this field and give more precise insight into the complex gene network involved in POI. Discovering the molecular basis and pathogenesis of POI is useful to understand the ovarian physiology and improve genetic and fertility counseling. Once new pathogenic variants are found, they can help predict menopause age and may help women plan their fertility. Given that a huge number of genetic variants of unknown significance will emerge, the genotype-phenotype correlation and the corresponding causative relevance and clinical appreciation will be important challenges, namely because of additional difficulties represented by incomplete penetrance and variable clinical expressivity.

The prevalence of chromosomal abnormalities as a cause of POI is about 10% to 13%. Mosaicism 45,X/46,XX is a frequent finding, being the variation in the severity (expressivity) of POI influenced by the relative proportion of X monosomic (45,X) and normal (46,XX) cells. Therefore, performing karyotype for the clinical evaluation of POI is important because karyotype is still the best genetic testing for the detection of chromosomal mosaicism. In addition, it is also important for large X chromosome aberrations, such as deletions and duplications, and balanced and unbalanced X-autosome rearrangements, involving the region of the long arm of the X chromosome from Xq13-Xq21 to Xq23-Xq27 that seems critical for the POI...
phenotype. In this context, array Comparative Genomic Hybridization could be used after a normal karyotype result to identify microscopically undetectable chromosomal deletions or duplications, mainly in patients with first- or second-degree relatives also affected with POI.

The importance of the molecular analysis of the FMR1 gene is based on the significant association between the premutation allele and an increased risk for every stage of POI, from diminished ovarian reserve to premature menopause. In fact, POI occurs in 20% of women with alleles in the premutation range of the FMR1 gene, being the risk greater when the CGG trinucleotide sequence length of the premutation allele is between 80 and 100 repeats. All at-risk family members of known carriers occurs in 20% of women with alleles in the premutation range of allele and an increased risk for every stage of POI, from premutation to full mutation allele during maternal transmission (actually, with extremely rare exceptions, the parent of origin of the expansion to the full mutation is female). As females with premutation or full mutation alleles are also at risk of having affected children, their genetic counseling should include the indication for prenatal diagnosis for all pregnancies.

Because methylation is not fully established at the time of CVS, the appearance of full mutations examined by a methylation-specific method may vary in CVS as compared with blood and amniocytes. In the minor fraction of CVS cases with a result that is ambiguous between a large premutation and a small full mutation by size criteria alone, a follow-up amniocentesis may be required. In addition, mosaicism between trophoblasts and somatic cells is theoretically possible. For this reason, when CVS results indicate a premutation, follow-up amniocentesis has been suggested to rule out mosaicism for a full mutation.

Preimplantation genetic testing for monogenic diseases after in vitro fertilization with intracytoplasmic sperm injection and trophoderm biopsy could also be done. Not allowing the direct detection of the premutation or the full mutation, preimplantation genetic testing for monogenic diseases is done by identification of linked polymorphic markers closely associated with the FMR1 gene, thus being essential that the parents are informative for these polymorphic markers.

The advanced maternal age as a consequence of a voluntary progressive delay in the childbearing decision makes it even more important to identify a premutation carrier of the FMR1 gene in an early phase of the reproductive lifespan. The reproductive and genetic counseling of women with or at risk of POI for having a premutation allele must include clear and complete information about the risks of an irreversible premature ovarian failure and about the possibilities and limits of a close monitoring of ovarian reserve. This knowledge can influence women’s decisions about the timing of procreation, eventually leading to no further delay of an ongoing procreative project and even increasing the pregnancy likelihood through the practice of assisted reproductive technologies, and also about considering oocyte or embryo cryopreservation for potential fertility preservation. This rigorous and in time reproductive counseling may prevent that the options for having children become limited to egg donation, donor embryo, or adoption.

In conclusion, there is potential for whole exome sequencing or whole genome sequencing to become an “all-in-one” test for assessment of POI. Nevertheless, as this still remains way off from coming into routine practice, high-resolution karyotype and FMR1 gene molecular study should be performed as first-tier tests in the assessment of POI. In addition, specific next generation sequencing panels and array Comparative Genomic Hybridization should be considered after normal results for FMR1 gene and karyotype, mainly in familial cases of POI. The application of these clinical orientations for genetic testing will contribute for a better understanding of the disease and will improve reproductive and genetic counseling.
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