Durable Response and Good Tolerance to the Triple Combination of Toripalimab, Gemcitabine, and Nab-Paclitaxel in a Patient With Metastatic Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma
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Background: The performance of immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) monotherapy was proved to be disappointing in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). Increasing evidence has shown the promising efficacy of ICIs combined with systemic therapy in the first-line treatment in solid tumors.

Case presentation: We reported a case of a metastatic PDAC patient who had a long-term partial response and good tolerance to the combined approach of toripalimab (a novel PD-1 inhibitor) and gemcitabine plus nab-paclitaxel (GA). PD-L1 positive expression was detected in his liver metastases. Besides, we described a phenomenon of pseudo-progression of this patient during the course of therapy.

Conclusion: As the first-line treatment of metastatic PDAC patients, GA plus toripalimab may provide a novel combined approach with favorable response and manageable toxicity. Further clinical trials are needed to confirm the results. Pseudo-progression requires special attention and to be differentiated with true progression in patients undergoing immunotherapy.
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BACKGROUND

Metastatic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is one of the most fatal diseases with increasing incidence and mortality. Between 2009 and 2016, the 5-year survival rate for PDAC fluctuated <9% (1). Insufficient selections are efficacious in this refractory disease due to its poor response. Since the MPACT trial indicated prolonged overall survival in first-line treatment of gemcitabine plus nab-paclitaxel (GA) compared to gemcitabine alone (2, 3), GA has substituted gemcitabine as the standard of care at the expense of the high possibility of side effects (4). Therefore, GA was recommended as the first choice to metastatic PDAC patients with Eastern
Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG PS) 0 to 1, as well as on the condition of patients’ preference and available support system (5). Despite some attempts of novel regimen, significant improvement in clinical outcomes of PDAC patients has remained absent.

Recently, immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have been approved in patients with mismatch repair-deficient (dMMR) (6) or microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H), irrespective of which types of tumor (7). Unfortunately, the success of ICIs has not been replicated in PDAC: no objective response was observed in either anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibody or anti-CTLA-4 (cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen-4) monotherapy in any research (8, 9). Plausible explanations contributing to poor efficacy of ICIs in PDAC mainly involve the tumor cell-intrinsic characteristics, including the low immunogenicity, such as low mutational burden and fewer neoantigens, as well as the prominent desmoplastic stroma surrounding PDAC tumors, which may impede the ability of CD8\(^{+}\) T effector cells to infiltrate into the tumor to exert their killing effect.

Herein, we report a case of a metastatic PDAC patient with high PD-L1 expression who had a partial response and good tolerance to combination of toripalimab, a novel PD-1 blockade, and GA chemotherapy. We also review relevant literature about combination therapy of ICIs and chemotherapy in PDAC.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

A 58-year-old man was found with some liver lumps by abdominal ultrasonography in his regular physical check-up in May 2019. Without any symptoms before, he went to the hospital for further examination. A test of tumor markers showed that serum CA125 was 1,898 U/ml and the CA199 level was out of the upper limit of detection (>1,000 U/ml). A computed tomography (CT) scan and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the abdomen both indicated multiple liver lesions and a pancreatic tail mass at a size of 3.9 × 2.6 cm. He was referred to the Department of General Surgery and underwent a laparoscopic liver biopsy. Intraoperative findings showed multiple scattered nodules on the surface of the liver, whose diameters were <2 cm. Pathology showed metastatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Given these findings, his final diagnosis was pancreatic adenocarcinoma with multiple liver metastases (cT2N+M1, stage IV). The next-generation sequencing of his tumor showed an intermediate tumor mutation burden with 5.65 mutations/megabase and microsatellite stable (MSS) status. The immunohistochemistry (IHC) data of the tumor tissue of this patient indicated the positive expression of PD-L1 protein (30%), and the tumor proportion score (TPS) was 20% and combined positive score (CPS) was 30 (Figure 1). Additionally, deleterious alterations occurred in CDKN2A, KRAS, TP53, and VEGFA genes. There were not any applicable targeted drugs for these gene mutations.

With his content, he was eligible for a clinical trial about the combination of doublet chemotherapy (gemcitabine 1,000 mg/m\(^2\) and nab-paclitaxel 125 mg/m\(^2\)) and toripalimab (a novel PD-1 inhibitor, 240 mg) for the first-line treatment of metastatic PDAC conducted by our department. Therefore, he received gemcitabine 1,700 mg and nab-paclitaxel (Abraxane) 200 mg at FIGURE 1 | The histopathology and immunohistochemistry (IHC) of metastatic tumor tissues of this patient. (A) The H&E staining in the microscopic observation (100×). (B) Immunohistochemical staining for PD-L1 expression (400×) showed that the tumor cells were positive for PD-L1. (C) The positive control of the IHC of PD-L1 expression (200×). (D) The negative control of the IHC of PD-L1 expression (200×).
day 1 and day 8, along with toripalimab 240 mg at day 1 every 3 weeks. After 2 cycles of the combination therapy, his metastatic liver lesions almost disappeared with an evaluation of partial response (PR) by Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1 (Figure 2). Surprisingly, he did not suffer any serious side effects except mild nausea and loss of appetite (grade 1, CTCAE 3.0), which was self-cured at the interstitial period of therapy. The treatment continued and repeated CT scans after four cycles showed shrinkage of the primary lesion but an increase in the number of the liver metastases. However, the CA199 level plummeted from 8,015 to 553.7 U/ml after the first four cycles of treatment (Figure 2). He was still asymptomatic but had grade 1 myelosuppression, which was successfully treated with a recombinant human interleukin-11. Through multidisciplinary therapy (MDT) and communication with the patient, we thought that it was highly possible for him to have radiological pseudo-progression and suggested he continue the therapy regimen. As we expected, the subsequent two-cycle treatment brought new clinical benefits to this patient, which in turn confirmed the previous diagnosis of pseudo-progression. The patient's continuous PR is still ongoing at the time of this report (eight cycles after the initial of the combination therapy). Primary and metastatic lesions were significantly decreased or shrank to nearly invisible status as the last evaluation showed, and the level of CA 199 has maintained within the normal for a long period but a little increase at the last test (Figure 2). All treatment-related adverse events (TRAEs) of this patient throughout the clinical course were listed in Table 1. The most serious TRAEs he had was grade 2 leukocytopenia, which was recovered under drug intervention before the next cycle treatment. Overall, he did not suffer any grade 3 or higher toxicities and maintained good tolerance. With a history of hypertension and type II diabetes, the patient also kept his blood pressure and blood glucose under good control.

**DISCUSSION**

Many cases of exceptional or durable responses to ICIs have been reported. To our knowledge, however, this is the first report showing the striking long-term response and safety of doublet chemotherapy combined with toripalimab in the first-line treatment of PDAC. Toripalimab is the first recombinant humanized anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody which was independently developed by Chinese companies. It was approved by the National Medical Products Administration (NMPA) of China in December 2018 for locally advanced or metastatic melanoma after systemic treatment failure. It has a high binding affinity, which enables it to bind its specific antigen PD-1 receptor more firmly and compete better with PD-L1 and PD-L2 binding on tumor cells. After binding, it can induce strong endocytosis of PD-1 receptor, thus reducing the expression of PD-1 on the cell membrane surface. A study revealed the different binding orientation of toripalimab compared to other PD-1 blockade, which binds PD-1 mainly on a loop that contributes multiple interactions with PD-L1 (10). The distinct biomolecular characteristics of toripalimab might result in different properties. Recently, increasing studies about various malignancies has proven the potential superiority of toripalimab, especially good tolerability, which may provide...
TABLE 1 | Hematologic and non-hematologic adverse events in the therapeutic course presented in this patient, which were graded using CTCAE 3.0.

| Hematologic adverse events | CTCAE grades | Baseline | Maximum grade during treatment | Non-hematologic adverse events | Baseline | Maximum grade during treatment |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|
|                            | Leukocytopenia| 0        | II                            | Nausea                        | 0        | I                             |
|                            | Thrombocytopenia| I        | I                             | Pruritus                       | 0        | I                             |
|                            | Hypohemia     | 0        | I                             | Poor appetite                  | 0        | I                             |

CTCAE, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events.

TABLE 2 | Efficacy and safety of combined therapeutic approaches of immune checkpoint inhibitors and chemotherapy in pancreatic cancer.

| References         | Phase | No. of patients | Disease                      | Treatment                                         | Response | Adverse events |
|--------------------|-------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|
| Weiss et al. (18)  | Ib/II | 17              | Metastatic 1st line          | Gemcitabine + Nab-Paclitaxel + Pembrolizumab       | 25% PR; 67% SD | Any grade of TRAEs: all (100%); Grade 3 or 4 TRAEs: 12 patients (70.6%) |
| Renouf et al. (21) | II    | 11              | Metastatic 1st line          | Durvalumab + tremelimumab + gemcitabine + nab-paclitaxel | PR 8/11 (73%); DCR (100%); Median PFS 7.9 months | Grade 3 or greater TRAEs: fatigue (27%), anemia (36%), abnormal WBC (27%), hyponatremia (27%), hypoalbuminemia (45%), abnormal lipase (45%), colitis (9.1%) |
| Wainberg et al. (22)| I     | 50              | Locally advanced/ metastatic 1st line | Nivolumab + nab-paclitaxel + gemcitabine | 2% CR; 16% PR; 46% SD | Grade 3 or 4 TRAEs: 48 patients (96%) |
| Borazanci et al. (23)| II, pilot | 11           | Metastatic 1st line          | Nivolumab + nab-paclitaxel + cisplatin + gemcitabine + paraicatol | 80% PR; 100% DCR | Grade 3 or 4 TRAEs: thrombocytopenia 76%, anemia 44%, colitis 12% |
| Aglietta et al. (24) | Ib    | 34              | Metastatic 1st line          | Tremelimumab + gemcitabine                       | PR 2/19 (10.5%) | Any grade TRAEs: 12 pts (35.3%); grade 3 or 4 TRAEs: 2 pts (5.9%) |
| Kamath et al. (25)  | Ib    | 21              | Gemcitabine-naive            | Ipilimumab + gemcitabine                        | ORR 14%; PR 2/16 (12.5%) | Grade 3 or higher TRAEs: 16 pts (76%) |
| Wainberg et al. (26) | I     | 17              | 1st and 2nd line             | Arm A: Nivolumab + nab-paclitaxel (2nd line) Arm B: Same as arm A with gemcitabine (1st line) | Arm A: PR 2/9 (22.2%) SD 4/9; Arm B: PR 3/6 (50%) SD 3/6 | Grade 3/4 TRAEs: Arm A: 2/11 pts (18%); Arm B: 2/6 pts (33%) |

CR, complete response; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; PD, progressive disease; TRAEs, treatment-related adverse events; Pts, patients.

an opportunity to use concurrently with other anti-tumor drugs (11). In a phase II study, toripalimab combined with capecitabine and oxaliplatin (CapeOX) as the first-line treatment to treat patients with advanced gastric cancer, and the overall response rate (CR and PR) was 66.7% and the disease control rate (CR, PR, and SD) was 88.9%. Besides, nearly 38.9% of patients experienced grade 3 or 4 TRAEs (12). Compared to the ATTRACTION-4 trial, the encouraging efficacy of toripalimab was not inferior to Nivolumab with an ORR of 76.5%, but much more grade 3 or greater TRAEs occurred in 66.7% of patients with Nivolumab plus CapeOX (13).

This patient may not be sensitive to PD-1 blockade according to ASCO clinical practice guideline, which approved PD-1 blockade for patients with dMMR (6) or MSI-H (14). Given the predictive role of PD-L1 overexpression in PDAC was still controversial, our case suggested that PD-L1 overexpression may have the potential to select population. Moreover, emerging evidence supported combining systemic therapy on an ICIs backbone to overcome resistance due to the superior safety of ICIs (15). Theoretically, systemic chemotherapy was regarded as an immunogenic approach by stimulating anti-cancer immune effectors or inhibiting immunosuppressive factors (16). It may increase the expression or presentation of tumor-associated antigens on the surface of cancer cells, inducing signal emission to trigger immune response. As a method for priming the quiescent tumor microenvironment, chemotherapy has the
potential to potentiate immunogenicity and antigenicity of
tumors, thus enhancing the likelihood of recognition and killing
of tumor cells by immune effector (17). For example, gemcitabine
may upregulate the expression of class I human leukocyte
antigen and promote the cross-presentation of tumor antigen,
therefore selectively eliminating myeloid-derived suppressor cells
(MDSCs) to overcome the immunosuppression. Paclitaxel was
proved to stimulate antigen-presenting cells and improve the
release of granzyme B by effector cells (18). Some phase
I/II studies have confirmed the synergistic effects of cytotoxic
chemotherapy with ICIs in other types of cancer (18–20). There
are limited data about the safety and efficacy of combination
of ICIs and chemotherapy in metastatic PDAC (Table 2).
Results from a phase Ib/II study conducted in metastatic PDAC
suggested that the efficacy of combined chemo-immunotherapy
appears to be slightly improved over conventional standard
chemotherapy (27). Others have highlighted the importance of
combination therapy in the first-line treatment to obtain initial
remission. The impressive results of this case need to be further
confirmed by a large-scale randomized controlled study.

Interestingly, the patient presented rare pseudo-progression
on the CT scan in the treatment course. Pseudo-progression
is defined as temporarily enlarging lesions or the appearance
of new lesions detected by imaging tests undergoing cancer
immunotherapy (28). As the term suggests, pseudo-progression
is not a real progression of the disease, whereas it may be linked
with a durable response to immunotherapy (29). Presentation of
pseudo-progression may be explained as edema and necrosis of
tumor tissues caused by the infiltration of immune cells (30),
resulting in morphologically similar mass around the original
lesions in the imaging. Besides, with the characteristics of a late
response, immunotherapy may not induce tumor regression until
CT evaluation after the next few cycles of treatment. Instead
of treatment failure, this kind of transient tumor growth before
the onset of immune response needs to be distinguished with
the real progression. Exaggerating the occurrence of pseudo-
progression is not advisable because over-treatment may damage
life quality, especially for metastatic cancer patients whose
main purpose is to alleviate their symptoms. In this case,
the patient was found to have new liver lesions after four
cycles of treatment (Figure 3). Given he was not accompanied
by clinical deterioration and the continuously falling CA-199
level, we inferred that the emergence of new lesions may result
from the pseudo enlargement of small lesions that were
invisible on the baseline CT scan. As we expected, the newly
presented lesions disappeared and original lesions shrank after
the continuation of this therapy, which verified our diagnosis
of pseudo-progression.

Besides impressive efficacy, he also had a good tolerance
to these triple anti-tumor drugs, especially PD-1 inhibitors
whose immune-related adverse events need special attention.
After the first 2 cycles of therapy, he encountered grade
1 myelosuppression, which was successfully treated with a
recombinant human interleukin-11, along with a self-cured
gastrointestinal tract reaction. Subsequently, he experienced no
more overt toxicities and was well-tolerated to a total of 8
cycles of combination therapy. Taking concurrent anti-hypertensive
drugs and metformin with this highly intensive anti-tumor
regimen, his liver and renal function were still within the
normal range.

In summary, combined therapy of toripalimab and standard
chemotherapy is potentially effective and well-tolerated as the
first-line treatment in metastatic PDAC. Although the data are
limited to conclude, we presented a patient who had a striking
response to this combination as well as a manageable safety
profile. The favorable clinical outcome may be attributed to safer
toripalimab or the synergistic function of chemotherapy and PD-
1 blockade. Furthermore, this case also displayed the possibility
of the phenomenon of pseudo-progression under this regimen,
which needed to be taken into consideration in the design and
process of clinical trials.
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