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**ABSTRACT**

Background: Proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum* L.) was considered a self-pollinated annual crop that belongs to the family Poaceae. It was well adapted to many soil and climatic conditions. The productivity was low due to the use of poor quality seed, little or no use of fertilizers, traditional methods of cultivation, delay in nursery sowing and late transplanting. The Integrated Nutrient Supply System (INSS) approach involves the combined use of chemical fertilizers, organic manures and micronutrient fertilizers, which ensures higher crop productions and also helps to restore and sustain soil fertility. Therefore, the study was focused to manage the timely transplanting together with the suitable nutrient combination.

Methods: A field experiment was conducted to study the “Effect of seedlings age and different nutrient combinations on growth and yield of Proso Millet (*Panicum miliaceum* L.) in lateritic soils of Western Ghats” during Kharif, 2016. The experiment was laid out in a split-plot design with four main plots and five subplot treatments. The main plot treatments composed of four ages of seedlings viz. 20, 30, 40 and 50 days, and five subplot treatments of nutrient combinations viz., 100% RDF, 75% RDF + 25% N through FYM, 50% RDF + 50% N through FYM, 25% RDF + 75% N through FYM and 100% N through FYM. Thus, there were twenty treatment combinations, replicated thrice.

Result: Results revealed that the proso millet crop transplanted with 30 days old seedlings recorded significantly higher grain yield and straw yield over all other treatments. In nutrient combinations treatments, 100% RDF through chemical fertilizer recorded significantly higher mean plant height hill\(^{-1}\), number of functional leaves hill\(^{-1}\), number of functional tillers hill\(^{-1}\) and plant dry matter accumulation hill\(^{-1}\) than the other treatments and also produced significantly highest yields and yield attributing characters compared to the rest of the nutrient combinations.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum* L.) is considered a self-pollinated annual crop that belongs to the family Poaceae. It is well adapted to many soil and climatic conditions. It is found high in mountains; in the former USSR up to 1200 m and in India up to 3500 m. It requires very little water, possibly the lowest water requirement of any cereal and converts water most efficiently to dry matter per plant. This is because of its short growing season.

It is mainly cultivated for human consumption in Eastern and Central Asia and to a lesser extent in Eastern Europe (Russia, Danube region) and from Western Asia to Pakistan and India (Bihar andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra, etc.). In India, it is cultivated over an area of 0.07 million ha with a total production of 0.43 million tonnes. (Anonymous, 2013) with two-thirds share of the total recorded millet trade. Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra andhra Pradesh, Karnataka and Tamil Nadu are the main states of its cultivation in India. In India, small millet was cultivated over an area of 9.03 lakh ha with a total production of 4.45 lakh tonnes during 2014-15 (Kate, 2016).

It is cultivated by transplanting in the Konkan region. To get higher yields, the age of the optimum seedlings should be adopted with proper nutrient combinations. The productivity is low due to the use of the poor quality seed, little or no use of fertilizers, traditional methods of cultivation, delay in nursery sowing and late transplanting. To boost its yields, timely transplanting and properly fertilizing the crop is necessary. At the time of transplanting, availability of laborers is a main constraint in the Konkan region.

Integrated Nutrient Supply System (INSS) approach involves the combined use of chemical fertilizers, organic manures and micronutrient fertilizers, which ensures higher crop productions and also helps to restore and sustain the soil fertility (Kadrekar, 1993). Fertilizers and manures play
an important role in increasing productivity and improving the quality of cereals. Organic manures including FYM, compost, poultry manure and vermicompost contain all the nutrients required for the healthy growth of crops. They improve soil structure and aeration of the soil. They help in increasing the nutrient availability from the soil and applied sources (Halkatti et al., 1997).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The field experiment was conducted at the Agronomy farm, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, District Ratnagiri (M.S.) during the Khait, 2016-17. The soil of the experimental plot was sandy clay loam in texture, moderately acidic in pH and very high in organic carbon content. It was low in available nitrogen, low in available phosphorus and moderately high in available potassium. The field experiment was laid out in a split-plot design. The main plot comprised of four ages of seedlings i.e., A1-20, A2-30, A3-40 and A4-50 days old seedlings and sub-plot treatment consisted of five nutrient combinations, N1-100% RDF, N2-75% RDF + 25% N through FYM, N3-50% RDF + 50% N through FYM, N4-25% RDF + 75% N through FYM and N5-100% N through FYM and N5-100% N through FYM. Thus, there were 20 treatment combinations replicated thrice. Proso millet nursery was manured with FYM @ 250 kg 100 m² area and it was mixed thoroughly in the soil at the time of seedbed preparation. Then nursery bed of 3 m × 1 m size was prepared in a well-tilled plot. Fertilizers viz., urea and single super phosphate @ 1 kg and 3 kg 100 m², respectively were used at the time of sowing. The fungicide treated seeds were sown on the raised beds in lines at 10 cm apart and 2.5 cm depth on different sowing times across the length of the raised beds. The seed was sown shallow and thin. After sowing, the seed was covered with soil. A hand weeding of the nursery was done at 15 days after sowing (DAS) and it was top-dressed with urea @ 0.5 kg 100 m² after hand weeding. The gross plot size 4.2 m X 3.0 m and the net plot size 3.8 m X 2.7 m. The seedbed for proso millet was prepared by ploughing the land with a tractor-drawn plough, followed by a tractor-drawn rotavator for clod crushing, planking was done to bring the land with a tractor-drawn plough, followed by a tractor-drawn rotavator for clod crushing, planking was done to bring

---

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Effect of seedling age on growth and yield**

It was evident from the data presented in Table 1 that a remarkable influence of various seedlings age on the growth characters of the crop was observed during the entire growth stages. It showed significant variation in the growth and development parameters viz., plant height hill¹, number of functional leaves hill¹, number of functional tillers hill¹ and dry matter accumulation hill¹ due to the age of seedlings. The height of the plant increased with the advancement in the age of the crop and maximum height (162.67 cm) was observed in the treatment A₄. The maximum height was recorded at 30 DAT. This increase in the plant height of seedlings transplanted at 40 and 50 days age remained visible till 30 DAT. A₄ reported minimum growth attributes, which may be due to reduced photosynthesis mainly due to no sunshine hours after transplanting and inhibition of photosynthesis reaction (Amin and Haque 2009 and Chavan 1995). More number of leaves were recorded with A₄. Proper seedling’s age helped to take advantage of nutrients, moisture and environmental factors. Transplanting of A₄ produced a higher number of leaves (7.41) (Amin and Haque 2009). The mean value of the table revealed that transplanting of A₄ responded well and recorded a significantly higher number of tillers hill¹ (3.87) over others. Tiller production reduced significantly with an increase in the age of the seedlings beyond 30 days at all the stages of crop growth. The tillering period was also extended up to 50 days and it was observed that seedlings (A₃ and A₄) responded well and reached maximum level up to 90 DAT whereas, transplanting of overaged or underaged seedlings (A₁ or A₂), there were declining trends in the number of tillers due to death or non-functional tillers. The tillering dynamics of the proso millet plant greatly dependant on the age of the seedlings at transplanting. The reason for higher tillering ability with the young seedlings might be due to the completion of more number of phyllochrons during the vegetative phase compared to older seedlings and when a seedling was transplanted carefully at the initial growth stage, the trauma of root damage caused during uprooting was minimized (Amin and Haque 2009 and Sarker 2012). In general, the dry matter accumulation increased with the advancement of crop age under all the treatments and it was maximum at the ripening stage. Transplanting of A₄ recorded significantly highest dry matter production at all the stages of crop growth as compared to that of other aged seedlings transplanted. The lowest dry matter production, particularly with older seedlings at all stages, might be because the seedlings spent the greater part of their vegetative phase in the nursery itself were no proper space for proper development, shoot growth, lack of water, nutrients...
Table 1: Effect of different treatment on growth attributes of proso millet.

| Treatment | Plant height (cm) | No. of functional leaves hill$^{-1}$ | No. of functional tillers hill$^{-1}$ | Dry matter hill$^{-1}$ (g) |
|-----------|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|           | 30   | 60   | 90   | Harvest | 30   | 60   | 90   | Harvest | 30   | 60   | 90   | Harvest |
| A$_1$     |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| A$_2$     |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| A$_3$     |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| A$_4$     |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| F. test   |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| S. Em. ±  |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| C.D. at 5%|      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| Nutrient combinations |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| N$_1$     |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| N$_2$     |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| N$_3$     |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| N$_4$     |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| N$_5$     |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| F. test   |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| S. Em. ±  |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| C.D. at 5%|      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| Interaction effect |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| F. test   |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| S. Em. ±  |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |
| General mean |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |      |      |      |         |

cm: Centimetre (s); No: Numbers; hill$^{-1}$: Per hill; g: Gram; A$_1$: 20 days old seedlings; A$_2$: 30 days old seedlings; A$_3$: 40 days old seedlings; A$_4$: 50 days old seedlings; N$_1$: 100% RDF; N$_2$: 75% RDF + 25% N through FYM; N$_3$: 50% RDF + 50% N through FYM; N$_4$: 25% RDF + 75% N through FYM; N$_5$: 100% N through FYM.
and as well as solar radiation also for individual seedling resulted in poor production of carbohydrates (Rasool et al. 2016). Further it was indicated that the plant vigor was affected due to variation in the age of the seedlings at transplanting. In other words, the different physiological processes were influenced due to variation in the age of the seedlings at transplanting. Therefore, the entire yield attributes viz., number of panicles hill\(^{-1}\), length of panicle, the weight of a panicle, number of rachis panicle\(^{-1}\) and test weight (Table 2) were influenced due to variation in the age of the seedlings of proso millet crop at transplanting. It indicates that among the different ages of seedlings, the crop planted with A\(_2\) recorded significantly more number of panicles hill\(^{-1}\) as compared to others. When aged seedings of 40 and 50 days old were used for transplanting by the time the crop received fertilizer, the crop had crossed the maximum tillering phase and the late formed tillers had resulted only in non-functional tillers leading to a drastic reduction in the percent productive tillers. (Naresh 2012). Significantly maximum panicle length was recorded with the planting of A\(_3\). It must be due to the proper availability of nutrients at A\(_3\) and the high net assimilation rate. (Amin and Haque 2009). The significantly maximum weight of panicle hill\(^{-1}\) was recorded with the planting of A\(_3\). It was clear from the table that planting of early age seedlings produced maximum weight panicle\(^{-1}\) over aged seedling might be due to fact that planting of early age seedlings in the main field gets more opportunity to harness solar radiation for photosynthesis and established better source and sink relationship which turns in the highest weight of panicle\(^{-1}\) (Amin and Haque 2009). The increased number of rachis panicle\(^{-1}\) recorded from A\(_2\) used might be due to proper crop growth rate and maximum crop net assimilation rate as compared to the older seedlings followed by the attainment of physiological growth, particularly panicle initiation, flowering and asynchronous tillering (Amin and Haque 2009). The highest test weight was recorded with the planting of early aged seedings i.e., A\(_2\) which was at par with A\(_3\). Test weight was an important yield contributor that depends on genetic makeup and was affected by growing conditions, seedlings age as well as other managemental factors (Amin and Haque 2009).

The mean value of the table reveals that in general with successive advancements in the age of the seedlings from 30 to 50 days succeeding 20 days, the grain yield decreased significantly. Among different ages of seedlings, the crop planted with A\(_3\) recorded higher grain yield. Delayed planting had a significantly reduced grain yield. The higher grain yield (14.17 q ha\(^{-1}\)) obtained with A\(_3\) was due to higher dry matter production, more number of panicles hill\(^{-1}\) as compared to others. Better translocation of nutrients from source to sink due to planting in A\(_3\) as compared to others might have contributed to better grain yield. In general grain yield was directly related to the duration of a variety and its genetic

### Table 2: Effect of different treatment on yield attributes and yield (q ha\(^{-1}\)) of proso millet.

| Treatment           | No. of panicles hill\(^{-1}\) | Length of panicle (cm) | Weight of panicle (g) | No. of rachis panicle\(^{-1}\) | Test weight panicle\(^{-1}\) (g) | Grain yield (q ha\(^{-1}\)) | Straw yield (q ha\(^{-1}\)) | Harvest Index |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| A\(_1\)             | 3.17                          | 34.03                  | 8.41                  | 17.77                          | 1.60                            | 8.90                        | 21.07                        | 30.09          |
| A\(_2\)             | 3.85                          | 36.41                  | 8.48                  | 18.63                          | 1.65                            | 14.17                       | 32.30                        | 30.70          |
| A\(_3\)             | 3.56                          | 35.47                  | 7.99                  | 17.88                          | 1.63                            | 12.27                       | 29.56                        | 29.34          |
| A\(_4\)             | 3.27                          | 35.57                  | 7.89                  | 17.60                          | 1.58                            | 10.65                       | 25.37                        | 29.41          |
| F. test             | Sig                           | Sig                    | Sig                   | Sig                            | Sig                             | Sig                         | Sig                         | N.S            |
| S. Em. ±            | 0.06                          | 0.23                   | 0.10                  | 0.05                           | 0.01                            | 0.21                        | 0.36                         | 0.57           |
| C.D. at 5%          | 0.22                          | 0.79                   | 0.33                  | 0.18                           | 0.03                            | 0.71                        | 1.25                         | 1.97           |

**Nutrient combinations**

| N\(_1\)             | 4.05                          | 37.44                  | 9.01                  | 18.78                          | 1.65                            | 12.48                       | 29.80                        | 29.51          |
| N\(_2\)             | 3.75                          | 36.67                  | 8.69                  | 18.33                          | 1.64                            | 12.01                       | 28.44                        | 29.66          |
| N\(_3\)             | 3.42                          | 34.86                  | 8.25                  | 17.89                          | 1.62                            | 11.65                       | 27.44                        | 29.75          |
| N\(_4\)             | 3.20                          | 34.33                  | 7.92                  | 17.52                          | 1.60                            | 11.18                       | 26.46                        | 29.68          |
| N\(_5\)             | 2.90                          | 33.55                  | 7.09                  | 17.33                          | 1.56                            | 10.15                       | 23.23                        | 30.84          |
| F. test             | Sig                           | Sig                    | Sig                   | Sig                            | Sig                             | Sig                         | Sig                         | N.S            |
| S. Em. ±            | 0.06                          | 0.21                   | 0.12                  | 0.08                           | 0.01                            | 0.22                        | 0.59                         | 0.98           |
| C.D. at 5%          | 0.18                          | 0.60                   | 0.35                  | 0.22                           | 0.04                            | 0.65                        | 1.70                         | 2.82           |

**Interaction effect**

| F. test             | N.S                           | N.S                    | N.S                    | N.S                            | N.S                            | N.S                         | N.S                         | N.S            |
| S. Em. ±            | 0.12                          | 0.42                   | 0.24                  | 0.15                           | 0.03                            | 0.45                        | 1.18                         | 1.96           |

**General mean**

| 3.46                | 35.37                         | 8.19                  | 17.97                          | 1.61                            | 11.50                       | 27.07                        | 29.89          |

cm: Centimetre (s); No: Numbers; hill\(^{-1}\): Per hill; panicle\(^{-1}\): Per panicle; q ha\(^{-1}\): Quantal per hectare; g: Gram; A\(_1\): 20 days old seedlings; A\(_2\): 30 days old seedlings; A\(_3\): 40 days old seedlings; A\(_4\): 50 days old seedlings; N\(_1\): 100% RDF; N\(_2\): 75% RDF + 25% N through FYM; N\(_3\): 50% RDF + 50% N through FYM; N\(_4\): 25% RDF + 75% N through FYM; N\(_5\): 100% N through FYM.
makeup. The straw yield decreased linearly with the successive advancement in the age of the seedlings from 30 to 50 days succeeding 20 days. The higher straw yield (32.30 q ha\(^{-1}\)) obtained with A\(_2\) was mainly attributed to more plant height, a higher number of tillers hill\(^{-1}\), panicles hill\(^{-1}\) and dry matter production at harvest compared to other three ages of seedlings (Mohapatra 1989, Kumar et al. 2008 and Barla et al. 2013). The harvest index was not significantly influenced by the age of the seedlings. However, among different ages of seedlings, the crop planted with A\(_2\) recorded maximum harvest index compared to other seedling’s age (Mohapatra 1989 and Sarker 2012).

**Effect of nutrient combinations on growth and yield**

It was observed from Table 1 that the plant height gave significant responses to the application of different nutrient combinations at all the growth stages of the crop under study. Application of N\(_1\) recorded maximum plant height. The plant height increased significantly throughout the growth period up to 90 DAT; thereafter, there were no much changes in height up to harvest. It was generally identified that nutrients in ample quantities increase plant growth by cell elongation and cell division, both in terms of enhancing cell multiplication thereby increasing the plant height (Kumar et al. 2008 and Banerjee and Pal 2011). Application of N\(_1\) recorded significantly more number of leaves hill\(^{-1}\) due to the faster availability of nutrients from the fertilizers. The higher rate and easy availability of nutrients favored the increase in the number of leaves. The mean number of functional tillers hill\(^{-1}\) (Table 1), a significant response to nutrient fertilization was observed at all the stages of crop growth. Application of N\(_1\) recorded a significantly higher number of functional tillers hill\(^{-1}\) at all the growth stages except at 30 DAT. It might be due to the rapid availability of nutrients through chemical fertilizers helped to gain more number tillers (Singh 1999 and Singh et al. 2015). The dry matter accumulation in proso millet crops significantly increased from 30 DAT up to harvest as nitrogen levels. At the initial stage, the rate of dry matter production was rather slow. However, at 60 DAT and onwards the crop entered into a phase of a rapid rate of dry matter production and at the final stage, it lowered to some extent, following the sigmoid growth curve. This might be due to N\(_1\) application which helped in synthesizing more photosynthates resulting in higher dry matter accumulation (Kumar et al. 2008 and Banerjee and Pal 2011).

The number of panicles hill\(^{-1}\) was significantly higher in the treatment of N\(_1\). Generally, higher nutrients influenced the number of tillers hill\(^{-1}\) and it ultimately reflected into increased panicle number in proso millet crop (Singh 1999, Kumara 2007 and Banerjee and Pal 2011). Application of N\(_1\) recorded significantly higher panicle length (Singh 1999, Kumara 2007 and Chouhan et al. 2015). The data on the number weight of panicle hill\(^{-1}\) revealed that significantly maximum weight of panicle hill\(^{-1}\) was recorded with hill hill\(^{-1}\) was recorded with hill

The effect of different treatments on test weight was presented in Table 1 which revealed that N\(_1\) recorded the highest value. Test weight was an important yield contributor that depends on genetic makeup and was affected by growing conditions, nutrient management as well as other managemental factors (Banerjee and Pal 2011 and Gour et al. 2015). The maximum grain yield (12.48 q ha\(^{-1}\)) in N\(_1\) was due to the combined effect of more number of tillers, more number of panicles and more number rachis panicle\(^{-1}\) (Nigade and More 2013, Singh et al. 2015, Gour et al. 2015 and Pradhan et al. 2016). The data in respect of straw yield at harvest indicated that the straw yield of proso millet was significantly influenced due to nutrient combinations. Application of N\(_1\) produced significantly higher straw yield (29.80 q ha\(^{-1}\)). This might be because the different combinations of nutrients influenced the physiological activity of the dry matter accumulation also increased with the nutrient application and it was optimum at the maturity stage due to diversion of food material from source to sink (Kumar et al. 2008, Nigade and More 2013 and Singh et al. 2015).

**Interaction effect**

The interaction effect of seedling’s age and nutrient combinations was found to be non-significant in respect to all the characters studied.

**CONCLUSION**

The results of this study indicated that 30 days old seedlings should be used for transplanting along with the application of 100 percent RDF through chemical fertilizers to obtain higher growth and yield from proso millet.
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