Salinomycin enhances doxorubicin sensitivity through reversing the epithelial-mesenchymal transition of cholangiocarcinoma cells by regulating ARK5
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Abstract

Chemotherapy response rates in patients with cholangiocarcinoma remain low, primarily due to the development of drug resistance. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) of cancer cells is widely accepted to be important for metastasis and progression, but it has also been linked to the development of chemoresistance. Salinomycin (an antibiotic) has shown some potential as a chemotherapeutic agent as it selectively kills cancer stem cells, and has been hypothesized to block the EMT process. In this study, we investigated whether salinomycin could reverse the chemoresistance of cholangiocarcinoma cells to the chemotherapy drug doxorubicin. We found that combined salinomycin with doxorubicin treatment resulted in a significant decrease in cell viability compared with doxorubicin or salinomycin treatment alone in two cholangiocarcinoma cell lines (RBE and Huh-28). The dosages of both drugs that were required to produce a cytotoxic effect decreased, indicating that these two drugs have a synergistic effect. In terms of mechanism, salinomycin reversed doxorubicin-induced EMT of cholangiocarcinoma cells, as shown morphologically and through the detection of EMT markers. Moreover, we showed that salinomycin treatment downregulated the AMP-activated protein kinase family member 5 (ARK5) expression, which regulates the EMT process of cholangiocarcinoma. Our results indicated that salinomycin reversed the EMT process in cholangiocarcinoma cells by inhibiting ARK5 expression and enhanced the chemosensitivity of cholangiocarcinoma cells to doxorubicin. Therefore, a combined treatment of salinomycin with doxorubicin could be used to enhance doxorubicin sensitivity in patients with cholangiocarcinoma.
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Introduction

Cholangiocarcinoma is a highly malignant tumor of the bile duct that arises from epithelial cells (1,2). It is the second most common primary hepatic carcinoma and accounts for 10–20% of primary liver cancers (1,2). Due to its high malignant potential and rapid development, as well as the absence of associated chronic liver disease, early diagnosis of cholangiocarcinoma remains difficult (3). Therefore, many patients are at the advanced or terminal stage when diagnosed. For those patients who are able to undergo radical resection, the risk of recurrence remains high, with a 5-year survival rate of less than 30% (4–7). While some recent breakthroughs in liver transplantation have occurred, few cholangiocarcinoma patients are suitable for, or have access to this expensive treatment option (8–10). In addition, liver transplantation is not the best treatment method for cholangiocarcinoma, as it does not prevent recurrence.

In this respect, chemotherapy may provide more sustained benefits in cholangiocarcinoma patients. However, the response rate to chemotherapy response remains low, primarily due to the development of drug resistance (chemoresistance) (11). Therefore, novel strategies to overcome chemoresistance are urgently required.

Many studies have shown that epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is involved in the development of chemoresistance (12–16). For example, the chemotherapy drug doxorubicin was shown to induce EMT in different types of cancer cells, including breast cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), and pancreatic cancer (17–19), although the mechanism of doxorubicin-induced EMT is unclear.
The EMT process has also been shown to be involved in maintaining cancer stem cell (CSC) properties, such as the ability for self-renewal and differentiation (20). Therefore, the role of CSCs as tumor-initiating cells and in recurrence following chemotherapy has attracted attention.

Salinomycin is an ionophore antibiotic that can selectively kill CSCs, and thus may be useful in cancer chemotherapy (21–23). Salinomycin may also target the EMT process in cancer cells (23). Indeed, this antibiotic was previously shown to suppress ZEB1, an important activator of EMT, and to reverse the EMT process in mantle cell lymphoma (24). It is also important to note that poor prognosis of cholangiocarcinoma is related to high expression of ZEB1, likely due to its ability to activate EMT (25). Furthermore, salinomycin treatment was previously shown to suppress the proliferation, invasion, and metastasis of mesenchymal-type endometrial CSCs (26). Based on these data, we hypothesized that salinomycin may be useful in cholangiocarcinoma therapy.

In this study, we aimed to investigate the efficacy of salinomycin in the treatment of cholangiocarcinoma, and to determine if it could reverse chemoresistance to doxorubicin.

Material and Methods

Cell culture
Two cholangiocarcinoma cell lines, RBE and Huh-28, were purchased from the Chinese Academy of Science Cell Bank (China). RBE cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco), while Huh-28 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Gibco) with 10% FBS (Gibco).

Chemical reagents
Doxorubicin and salinomycin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). E-cadherin, Vimentin, ARK5, and GAPDH primary antibodies for western blotting were purchased from Abcam (USA). ARK5 siRNA was obtained from Santa Cruz (USA). The anti-CD133 antibody (FITC) was purchased from eBioscience (USA). The anti-GAPDH primary antibodies for western blotting were purchased from Abcam (USA). ARK5 siRNA was obtained from Santa Cruz (USA). The anti-CD133 (FITC) antibody used for flow cytometric analysis was purchased from eBioscience (USA).

Cell viability assays
Cells were seeded onto 96-well plates at a density of 3000 cells/well, allowed to adhere for 24 h, and then treated with different concentrations of doxorubicin (0, 0.0625, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, or 1 μg/mL) or salinomycin (0, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, or 40 μM) for 48 h. Cell viability was subsequently measured at 3 h using the Cell Counting Kit-8 (Dojindo Laboratories, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Absorbance at 450 nm was measured by an MRX II microplate reader (Dynex, USA).

Flow cytometric analysis of CD133 expression
RBE cells were trypsinized and analyzed by flow cytometry (Cytomics FC500, Beckman Coulter, USA) using the anti-CD133 antibody (FITC).

Statistical analysis
Prism 5.0 software was used for statistical analysis. The experimental data were assessed by a two-tailed Student t-test and are reported as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was accepted if P < 0.05.

Results
Salinomycin increased doxorubicin chemosensitivity in cholangiocarcinoma cells
First, we investigated whether salinomycin could increase the sensitivity of cholangiocarcinoma cells to doxorubicin chemotherapy using a cell viability (CCK-8) assay. When using doxorubicin alone, the IC_{50} for RBE and Huh-28 cells were 3.703 and 1.841 μg/mL, respectively (Table 1). Salinomycin was not effective at inhibiting the viability of cholangiocarcinoma cells unless high doses were used; the IC_{50} was 132 μM for RBE cells and 80.31 μM for Huh-28 cells. However, combined salinomycin with doxorubicin treatment for 48 h resulted in a significant decrease in cell viability compared with doxorubicin or salinomycin treatment alone in RBE and Huh-28 cells (Figure 1). In addition, the combination index values for these two cholangiocarcinoma cell lines after 48 h were 0.261 and 0.43, respectively, indicating that doxorubicin and salinomycin displayed synergism when used together (Table 1). Therefore, salinomycin treatment increased the sensitivity of cholangiocarcinoma cells to doxorubicin.
Salinomycin reversed doxorubicin-induced EMT of cholangiocarcinoma cells

To investigate the influence of salinomycin on the EMT process induced by doxorubicin treatment, we examined morphological changes and the expression of epithelial and mesenchymal markers in cholangiocarcinoma cells before and after doxorubicin treatment. Initially, both the RBE and Huh-28 cells were closely connected, polarized epithelial cells. However, after treatment with doxorubicin, both RBE and Huh-28 cells transformed into a diffuse fibroblast-like morphology. However, when treated with salinomycin alone, both RBE and Huh-28 cells maintained their original morphology. Furthermore, salinomycin treatment converted the diffuse fibroblast-like morphology observed with doxorubicin back to the closely connected, polarized morphology (Figure 2).

We monitored the expression of EMT markers in RBE and Huh-28 cells via western blotting. Expression of the epithelial marker E-cadherin was lower when cells were treated with doxorubicin. However, when salinomycin was combined with doxorubicin treatment, E-cadherin expression increased. Similarly, doxorubicin treatment upregulated the expression of the mesenchymal marker vimentin in RBE and Huh-28 cells compared to the untreated control, whereas salinomycin reversed the doxorubicin-induced expression changes of vimentin (Figure 3A). Finally, we showed that after doxorubicin treatment, the expression of CD133 (a marker of CSCs) on RBE cells was increased, and when doxorubicin was combined with salinomycin, CD133 expression on RBE cells decreased (Figure 3B). Therefore, salinomycin reversed the doxorubicin-induced EMT of cholangiocarcinoma cells.

To further confirm that salinomycin could increase doxorubicin sensitivity toward cholangiocarcinoma cell lines through reversing EMT progress, we used twist siRNA to interfere in RBE and Huh-28 cells first, then treated both cells with doxorubicin or doxorubicin + salinomycin combination. We found that there was no significant difference between the two treatment methods (Figure 3C).

Salinomycin reversed doxorubicin-induced EMT through regulating ARK5

Overexpression of the AMP-activated protein kinase family member 5 (ARK5), a novel human AMP-activated protein kinase family member (27), was previously shown to decrease the sensitivity of HCC cells to doxorubicin. ARK5 promotes doxorubicin resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma via epithelial–mesenchymal transition (28). Therefore, we examined the expression of ARK5 in RBE and Huh-28 cells treated with doxorubicin, doxorubicin plus salinomycin, or salinomycin alone for 48 h. Doxorubicin treatment significantly upregulated expression of ARK5 in both cell lines, while combined doxorubicin with salinomycin treatment decreased ARK5 expression.

Table 1. Results of the cell viability assay (IC$_{50}$ values) following treatment with doxorubicin (DOX) and/or salinomycin (SAL) in RBE and Huh-28 cell lines.

| Cell line | IC$_{50}$ of SAL (µg/mL) | IC$_{50}$ of DOX (µg/mL) | Combination index |
|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
|           | SAL SAL+DOX DOX SAL+DOX |
| RBE       | 132 4.772* 3.73 0.838# 0.261 |
| Huh-28    | 80.31 1.968* 1.841 0.3452# 0.430 |

Data are reported as the mean. * P<0.05 vs SAL; # P<0.05 vs DOX. Statistical analysis was carried out with the two-tailed Student t-test.

Figure 1. CCK-8 assay detection of the viability of RBE and Huh-28 cells following doxorubicin (DOX) and/or salinomycin (SAL) treatment. Salinomycin enhanced the effects of doxorubicin treatment on the cell viability of cholangiocarcinoma cells.

Figure 2. Salinomycin enhances doxorubicin-induced EMT of cholangiocarcinoma cells.

Salinomycin reversed doxorubicin-induced EMT of cholangiocarcinoma cells

To investigate the influence of salinomycin on the EMT process induced by doxorubicin treatment, we examined morphological changes and the expression of epithelial and mesenchymal markers in cholangiocarcinoma cells before and after doxorubicin treatment. Initially, both the RBE and Huh-28 cells were closely connected, polarized epithelial cells. However, after treatment with doxorubicin, both RBE and Huh-28 cells transformed into a diffuse fibroblast-like morphology. However, when treated with salinomycin alone, both RBE and Huh-28 cells maintained their original morphology. Furthermore, salinomycin treatment converted the diffuse fibroblast-like morphology observed with doxorubicin back to the closely connected, polarized morphology (Figure 2).

We monitored the expression of EMT markers in RBE and Huh-28 cells via western blotting. Expression of the epithelial marker E-cadherin was lower when cells were treated with doxorubicin. However, when salinomycin was combined with doxorubicin treatment, E-cadherin expression increased. Similarly, doxorubicin treatment upregulated the expression of the mesenchymal marker vimentin in RBE and Huh-28 cells compared to the untreated control, whereas salinomycin reversed the doxorubicin-induced expression changes of vimentin (Figure 3A). Finally, we showed that after doxorubicin treatment, the expression of CD133 (a marker of CSCs) on RBE cells was increased, and when doxorubicin was combined with salinomycin, CD133 expression on RBE cells decreased (Figure 3B). Therefore, salinomycin reversed the doxorubicin-induced EMT of cholangiocarcinoma cells.

To further confirm that salinomycin could increase doxorubicin sensitivity toward cholangiocarcinoma cell lines through reversing EMT progress, we used twist siRNA to interfere in RBE and Huh-28 cells first, then treated both cells with doxorubicin or doxorubicin + salinomycin combination. We found that there was no significant difference between the two treatment methods (Figure 3C).

Salinomycin reversed doxorubicin-induced EMT through regulating ARK5

Overexpression of the AMP-activated protein kinase family member 5 (ARK5), a novel human AMP-activated protein kinase family member (27), was previously shown to decrease the sensitivity of HCC cells to doxorubicin. ARK5 promotes doxorubicin resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma via epithelial–mesenchymal transition (28). Therefore, we examined the expression of ARK5 in RBE and Huh-28 cells treated with doxorubicin, doxorubicin plus salinomycin, or salinomycin alone for 48 h. Doxorubicin treatment significantly upregulated expression of ARK5 in both cell lines, while combined doxorubicin with salinomycin treatment decreased ARK5 expression.
Salinomycin treatment showed no obvious effects when used alone. We then investigated whether ARK5 is involved in the doxorubicin-induced EMT process. We used ARK5-siRNA to downregulate ARK5 expression in RBE and Huh-28 cells, and then monitored the expression of the EMT markers, E-cadherin and vimentin. In both cells lines, the epithelial marker E-cadherin was upregulated while expression of the mesenchymal marker vimentin decreased significantly (Figure 5A). Thus, salinomycin treatment may reverse doxorubicin-induced EMT by decreasing ARK5 expression.

Figure 2. Morphological changes that occur when RBE and Huh-28 cells are cultured with doxorubicin (DOX) in the presence or absence of salinomycin (SAL) observed under bright field microscopy. Salinomycin reversed the effects of doxorubicin treatment on the morphology of cholangiocarcinoma cells.

Figure 3. Salinomycin (SAL) reversed doxorubicin-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition in cholangiocarcinoma cells. A, Western blot detection of E-cadherin and vimentin expression in control, doxorubicin- (DOX), doxorubicin plus SAL-, or SAL alone-treated cholangiocarcinoma cells. GAPDH was used as an internal control. B, Expression of CD133 detected by flow cytometry in RBE cells treated with DOX in the presence or absence of SAL. C, CCK-8 assay of the viability of RBE and Huh-28 cells following DOX and/or SAL treatment after twist siRNA interference.
Furthermore, in order to prove that ARK5 is involved in doxorubicin resistance, we downregulated the ARK5 expression with ARK5 siRNA in both cells, then treated with doxorubicin. The results showed that in RBE cells, the IC\textsubscript{50} for doxorubicin was 1.820 mg/mL after ARK5 siRNA interference, which was significantly lower compared to negative siRNA interference (P < 0.05). Similarly, the IC\textsubscript{50} for doxorubicin in Huh-28 cells was 0.485 mg/mL with ARK5 siRNA interference, which was also significantly down regulated compared to negative siRNA interference (P < 0.05; Figure 5B).

Discussion

Cholangiocarcinoma remains difficult to detect in its early stages, which leaves patients with limited treatment options due to the late diagnosis and high rates of metastasis. In addition, response rates to chemotherapy in cholangiocarcinoma patients remain low, primarily due to the development of drug resistance (11). Chemoresistance (e.g., to doxorubicin) remains a big problem in the clinic, and may result from the induction of EMT in cancer cells. Indeed, the EMT process has previously been
associated with invasion, metastasis, and chemoresistance in many malignancies, including cholangiocarcinoma (29–31). Likewise, our present study showed that treatment with doxorubicin induced the transformation of epithelial type cholangiocarcinoma cells into a mesenchymal type. Therefore, understanding how to reverse this EMT process at a mechanistic level to avoid chemoresistance is crucial for improving the outcome for cholangiocarcinoma patients.

Previous studies have reported that salinomycin could be useful in cancer chemotherapy (21–23), with one study indicating that it participates in the EMT process of cancer cells (23). Here, we demonstrated that treating cholangiocarcinoma cells with a combined therapy of doxorubicin and salinomycin enhanced the effect of the chemotherapy. The dosages of both drugs that were required to produce a cytotoxic effect decreased, indicating that these two drugs have a synergistic effect. In addition, salinomycin could reverse doxorubicin-induced EMT, as shown morphologically, as well as through the detection of EMT markers on cholangiocarcinoma cells. Moreover, we found that twist knockdown could block the synergistic effect of salinomycin and doxorubicin. Twist is considered an important transcription factor involved in EMT progress in cholangiocarcinoma (32), which is also essential in doxorubicin-induced EMT (33). These results suggest salinomycin could enhance the effects of doxorubicin chemotherapy through reversing the EMT process.

As further evidence, we examined the effects of salinomycin and doxorubicin on ARK5 expression. ARK5 has previously been proven to be associated with invasion, metastasis and poor prognosis in breast cancer, colorectal carcinoma, non-small cell lung cancer, and cholangiocarcinoma (34–37). We found that after treatment with doxorubicin, cholangiocarcinoma cells had higher expression of ARK5, while salinomycin treatment could reserve this effect. Furthermore, ARK5 appears to regulate the expression of the EMT-related markers E-cadherin and vimentin in cholangiocarcinoma cells; in particular, downregulation of ARK5 increased the expression of E-cadherin and decreased the expression of vimentin.

In conclusion, our study indicated that ARK5 expression may influence doxorubicin sensitivity through regulating the EMT process in cholangiocarcinoma cells. Furthermore, salinomycin could reverse the EMT process in cholangiocarcinoma cells by inhibiting ARK5 expression. Therefore, a combined treatment of salinomycin with doxorubicin could be used to enhance doxorubicin sensitivity in patients with cholangiocarcinoma.
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