Physical Characteristics and Proximate Composition of Three Commercial Shrunken 2 Varieties of Sweet Corn in the Philippines as Influenced by Harvest Maturity
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ABSTRACT - The physical and proximate characteristics of three commercial varieties of shrunken 2 sweet corn as affected by harvest maturities (18, 20 and 22 days after 100% silking) were evaluated. As sweet corn, there was a significant increase in length in SC1 and SC2. On the other hand all varieties ear diameter increased with maturity. The three varieties have significant differences in ear length and diameter. Lightness of kernels was not affected by maturity and varietal differences. Increasing a* and b* values suggested that the kernels became more red and yellow as they matured on the plant due to carotenogenesis. The a* and b* values of SC1 and SC2 were significantly affected by maturity while SC3 was not. In terms of chroma, only SC2 was significantly affected by harvest maturity, with an increased in color vividness as it matured in the plant. While hue values of the three varieties were not affected by harvest maturity. SC1 and SC2 kernel percentage moisture decreased significantly as sweet corn matures in the plant. Mean energy content was not significantly affected by harvest maturity. On the other harvest maturity affected SC1 and SC2 percentage protein, but not their fiber content. The percentage fat and nitrogen free extract of SC1 kernel decreased as the plant matures. Percentage ash content was not significantly affected by harvest maturity. The three varietal differences.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Corn is considered as the second staple food next to rice and the second most important crop in the Philippines. Furthermore, production of special types of corn such as glutinous corn, popcorn, high lysine/tryptophan corn, and sweet corn is found to be a secure source of livelihood to farmers. Compared to growing corn for grain, sweet corn is easier to grow, less predisposed to insect infestation, labor-saving and more profitable (Gutierrez et al., 2003). Corn can also be processed into high valued products, such as cornstarch, corn syrups, corn oil, gluten and snack foods. Corn plant can be subdivided into various groups differing in character of the seeds. These group types are dent, flint, popcorn, flour, waxy and sweet. Sweet corn (Zea mays L.) has become of importance (Jugenheimer, 1976). Sweet corn is known to be a good source of vitamin E and A and unsaturated fats that are needed to attain a healthy lifestyle. Fresh sweet corn arrived at the market for consumer use (Szymanek et al., 2006).

Corn can be classified in seven agricultural groups which is an artificial classification that is not indicative of any natural relationships. These are dent, flint, popcorn, flour, waxy and sweet. Sweet corn (Zea mays L.) is a variety of corn with high sugar content. Sugar is converted into starch during the maturation process. Sweet corn must be consumed fresh as poor storage can result to tough and starchy sweet corn kernels. Sweet corn is now also being marketed as a new age super diet for health conscious individuals (Johari and Kaushik, 2016).

Both qualitative and quantitative losses in vegetables can have a great impact on their acceptability. Those are the reasons why harvesting and handling, which should be done carefully, are important in agricultural operations to maintain...
quality vegetables and lessen damage to crops. Quality of vegetables is determined by various physicochemical parameters such as color, shape, size, gloss, firmness, total soluble solids (TSS), pH, dry matter (DM), and acidity which can involve laborious laboratory techniques that are destructive in nature and in need of trained personnel.

As sugar in sweet corn is readily converted to starch during the maturation process and upon harvest, it must be consumed or process immediately as it cannot withstand poor or long period of storage conditions. Determining physicochemical characteristics will allow further development of post-harvest timing and methods while determining proximate compositions can be used in value additions of sweet corn processing. Nowadays, many developed countries have emerged as a major hub of agricultural export for many developing countries thus stringent quality evaluation methods must be developed and maintained to attain acceptable quality level to end users or consumers.

The objectives of the research is to determine differences in proximate composition and physicochemical characteristics of existing commercial varieties of shrunken-2 sweetcorn in the Philippines as affected by harvest maturity.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample preparation and collection

In this study, seeds were sown on experimental fields of Hortanova Research Center. Seeds were sown on July 11, 2016 and ten-day old seedlings were planted on their designated plots with 0.75 meter spacing between bed centers and 0.50 m between blocks. Plants were enriched with the equivalent of complete, ammonium sulfate, potash, and calcium nitrate. Furrow was the irrigation used while hilling up and hand weeding was done. Sweet corns were hand harvested at three different maturities; eighteen (18), twenty (20) and twenty-two (22) days after 100% silking (DAS). Husked sweet corn were evaluated in terms of cob diameter, length, mass, color, and proximate composition.

2.2 Determination of physicochemical characteristics and proximate composition

The mass of sweet corn (g) was measured by using digital balance with the sensitivity of 0.01.

Diameter (D) (mm) and Length (L) (cm) of sweetcorn were measured by using manual caliper (accuracy of 0.01).

Chromatic properties, CIE-L*a*b* coordinated were measured using portable spectrophotometer CAPSURE™ (RM-200). Three points (top, middle, bottom) at both sides were carried out for each ear. Color values were recorded as “L” (0, black; 100, white), “a” (+, greenness, -, redness), “b” (+, blueness, -, yellowness). The chroma and hue angle values were computed using the formula:

\[ \text{Chroma} = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \]
\[ \text{Hue} = \tan^{-1} \frac{b}{a} \]

Official methods of analysis of the AOAC 1995 16th edition was used in proximate composition analysis.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

Analysis was performed using R Statistical Package software (R: 3.1.1 and R Studio: 1.0.44). The R Statistical Package can be used for statistical analysis, simulation modeling and advanced data analysis. The data on physicochemical analysis and sensory evaluation were subjected to two factor Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and pairwise t-tests.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Physical properties of sweet corn

Three commercial varieties of sweet corn belonging to shrunken2 (sh2) phenotype were used in this study namely: SC1, SC2 and SC3 to determine the effect of harvest maturity to physicochemical and proximate characteristics. SC1 had a medium green husk color and bigger cylindrical cob. SC2 has the greenest husk and slimmer cob. It is well adapted to rainy season and has strong plant vigor that allow it to withstand fungal attacks. SC2 plant has a strong root system that tolerate heavy rains and wind. Lastly, SC3 is designed for Malaysia market and neighboring countries. It is known to be tolerant of both drought and rain. SC3 has a greener husk compared to SC1 (https://agrosifu.wordpress.com. 2014).
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Table 1: Physical characteristics of sweet corn as affected by harvest maturity

| Variety | Harvest Maturity (days) | L*  | a*    | b*  | Chroma | Hue  | Length (cm) | Diameter (mm) |
|---------|--------------------------|-----|-------|-----|--------|------|-------------|---------------|
| SC1     | 18                       | 75.48 | 8.47*** | 52.57*** | 53.39 | 1.67 | 17.5*a*** | 50.97***       |
|         | 20                       | 75.09 | 9.96b*** | 54.22ab*** | 55.24 | 1.35 | 18.67b*  | 54.7b*        |
|         | 22                       | 75.52 | 11.31a*** | 56.15b***  | 56.10 | 1.48 | 19.99c*  | 55.5b*        |
| SC2     | 18                       | 74.86 | 8.31b*** | 51.17a*** | 51.92** | 1.49 | 18.5*a** | 29.11***       |
|         | 20                       | 75.14 | 7.17a*** | 50.62a***  | 51.20** | 1.34 | 20.26b*  | 52.06**       |
|         | 22                       | 75.33 | 10.46c*** | 54.83b***  | 55.88** | 1.36 | 20.24b*  | 53.32b*       |
| SC3     | 18                       | 77.05 | 9.27  | 51.69 | 52.58  | 1.07 | 15.46     | 52.2***        |
|         | 20                       | 76.24 | 8.65  | 54.64 | 55.47  | 1.17 | 16.56     | 51.32***       |
|         | 22                       | 75.5  | 10.99 | 53.49 | 54.75  | 1.25 | 18.36     | 55.99***       |

Numeric description of color using L*a*b* CIELAB color space. L* (lightness or darkness) ranges from black (0) to white (100); a* color direction in red (a* > 0) or green (a* < 0); b* color direction in yellow (b* > 0) or blue (b* < 0). Chroma (saturation or vividness) is as chromaticity increases, a color becomes more vivid; as it decreases, a color becomes more dull. Hue (tint of color) is an angular measurement in which 0 = red and 90 = yellow. *Means having different letter in a column are significantly different at P < 0.01. **Means having different letter in a column are significantly different at P < 0.05.

Ear length and diameter are quality factors being evaluated in sweet corn for marketing. According to Philippine National Standards on sweet corn, the three shrunken varieties are classified under medium size in terms of length as it ranges from 15-20 cm. SC1 and SC2 varieties are found to be affected by harvest maturity with P value <0.01. As the sweet corn matures there is an increase in length. Sweet corns harvested at 22 DAS were the longest cob regardless of variety. On the other hand SC3 ear length was not affected by harvest maturity. Ear length is significantly different among the three varieties at P value <0.01. The ear length of SC1, SC2 and SC3 are 18.71 cm, 19.67 cm and 16.79 cm respectively. SC2 has the longest ear followed by SC1 and SC2.

Ear diameters of the three varieties are affected by harvest maturity, SC1 and SC2 at P value <0.01 while SC3 at P value =0.01. Same with length, as the sweet corn matures there is an increase in diameter with those harvested at 22 DAS having the highest diameter. This can be attributed to continuous maturation of corn cob prior to harvest. Ear diameter is significantly different among the three varieties at P value <0.01. SC1 was found to be of highest diameter measurement of 53.72 cm followed by SC3 and SC2 with 53.17 cm and 44.83 cm respectively.

Food color is known to be the first quality criteria evaluated by consumers. Color spaces are used to determine quantitative color. CIE L*a*b* color space is generally used in food color due to its uniform color distribution and closeness to human eye color perception. On the other hand, the problem with using this color space is that commercial color meters only measure dozens of square centimeters of food item and is not representative for most heterogeneous materials (Markovic et al., n.d.).

The chromatic properties examined in this study were lightness (L*), redness (a*), yellowness (b*), chroma (C*), and hue angle (h*). The L* values of the three varieties were not affected by harvest maturity. This suggested that lightness of kernels was not affected by harvest maturity and varietal differences. Similarly with the study done by Alan et al. (2014), sweet corn studied for 2 different years showed that L* values were not significantly affected by varietal differences. The a* value of CIELAB color system measures red and green coloration of the samples. This is found to be related to lycopene content of the vegetables or fruits being studied. Table 1 shows that SC1 and SC2 varieties a* values were significantly affected by harvest maturity with P values of <0.01 and =0.01, respectively. In SC1 variety, a* values increased with harvest maturity. Harvest at 18 DAS had the lowest value of 8.47 followed by harvest 20 and 22 DAS with 9.96 and 11.31, respectively. At 20 DAS, SC2 variety had the lowest a* value of 7.17 followed by 8.31 and 10.46 for 18 and 22 DAS,
respectively. Increasing a* values suggest that the kernels become more red than green as it matures in the plant. This can be attributed to commencement of carotenogenesis as sweet corn matures as chlorophyll is being degraded (Eskin, 1989).

The b* values of SC1 and SC2 varieties were affected by harvest maturity at P values of 0.01 and 0.05, respectively while SM variety was not. This means that as harvest maturity increased, sweet corn kernel became more yellow in color. Those harvested at 20 and 22 DAS were found to be more yellow in color compared to the one harvested at 18 DAS. In the maturation of fruits and some vegetables, change in color was very much evident. As sweet corn carotenoid is synthesized, chlorophyll is lost (Eskin, 1989). As the vegetables remain intact with the parent plant, carotenogenesis continue to occur (Rodriguez-Amaya, 1997).

Chroma (saturation or vividness) is known to be dependent on b* value (yellowness). Chroma values of SC2 variety were significantly affected by harvest maturity at P value = 0.01. Harvest at 22 DAS, which has the highest value among three harvest maturities, was significantly different from harvest 18 and 20 DAS. Study done by Ugur and Maden (2015) showed that the cultivation period, varieties, and cultivation type of sweet corn have an effect statistically on kernel chroma values. Sweet corn varieties’ chroma values varied ranged from 64.37 to 74.71 which is of greater value compared to what was obtained from this study. The saturation color decreased as the kernel matured. This contradicted the result of the study wherein no significant difference in the chroma values were observed with SC1 and SC3 while there was an increasing chroma values for SC2.

On the other hand, hue value is the indication of color change between yellow and red (Ugur and Maden, 2015). Hue value increased (red hue) with delayed sowing and planting time in sweet corn. Considering the maturation period, hue values were found higher as a result of the increase of starch in outer shell color. Once again the results of study done by Ugur and Maden (2015) was not of the same case with this study wherein the hue values of the three varieties were found to be not significantly different with harvest maturity and storage period regardless of the variety. On the other hand, in the study done by Alan (2014) using different varieties of sweet corn, it was reported that in the first year of the experiment, there was no significant differences in the kernel hue values. However on the 2nd year of study, hue values were significantly affected by variety (P<0.05).

3.2 Proximate Composition of shrunken 2 sweet corn

Table 2: Proximate composition of three varieties, percent dry weight basis

| VARIETY | HARVEST | % MC   | % ASH | % PRO | % FIBER | % FAT | % NFE | ENERGY |
|---------|---------|--------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|--------|
| SC1     | 18      | 76.08** | 3.40* | 11.87** | 5.98* | 5.13 b* | 73.63 a* | 92.84 a* |
|         | 20      | 73.88** | 4.00* | 10.29 a** | 6.82* | 3.89 a* | 75.00 a* | 98.25 b* |
|         | 22      | 74.32** | 3.55* | 10.58 a** | 5.10 a* | 3.79 a* | 76.98 b* | 98.72 b* |
| SC2     | 18      | 78.90 b* | 3.98 a | 13.08 a | 5.76 a | 3.20 a | 73.98 a | 79.57 a* |
|         | 20      | 76.55 a* | 4.08 a | 12.17 a | 5.70 a | 5.78 a | 72.27 a | 91.33 b* |
|         | 22      | 75.60 a* | 3.56 a | 12.29 a | 5.65 a | 4.07 a | 74.42 a | 93.58 b* |
| SC3     | 18      | 73.54 a* | 3.08 a | 10.53 b*** | 3.42 b** | 3.42 a | 77.51 a | 79.57 a** |
|         | 20      | 74.25 a* | 3.71 a | 11.24 c*** | 4.15 c** | 4.15 a | 75.29 a | 91.33 b*** |
|         | 22      | 74.05 a* | 3.06 a | 11.27 a** | 2.49 a** | 2.49 a | 76.56 a | 93.58 c*** |

*Means are significantly different at P<0.01
**Means are significantly different at P=0.01
***Means are significantly different at P=0.05

NFE – nitrogen free extract

The proximate analyses of three varieties of sweet corn at different harvest maturity in dry basis were summarized in Table 3.2.1. Huang and Fu (1992) (as cited by Cao et al., 2008) stated that as the seed develops, nutrients slowly accumulated inside the seeds and morphological and physiological changes happen until the seed reach maturation and high vigor. Percentage moisture was significantly different with harvest maturity in SC1 and SC2 varieties with decreasing trend from 76.08% to 74.32% and 78.90% to 75.60%, respectively. For both varieties, harvested sweet corn at 18 DAS,
was significantly different from 20 and 22 DAS. Sweet corn harvested at 18 DAS had higher percentage moisture, 76.08%, 78.90% and 73.54% for varieties SC1, SC2 and SC3, respectively. It continued to decrease as harvest period was delayed. Similarly, in a study done by Campbell and Mckerlie (1967), the moisture content of sweet corn decreased as the harvest season advanced. As sweet corn ripens, there was a decrease in kernel turgor as the consistency of parenchyma walls change. This caused the decrease in moisture content of sweet corn (Szymanek et al., 2006). On the other hand moisture content of sweet corn was an acceptable standard index of maturity while its value is associated with other indices (Campbell and Mckerlie, 1967). Olson (2000) reported that moisture percentage was correlated very closely to postharvest grade evaluation of sweet corn (as cited by Szymanek, 2009). It was also noted that there was a decrease in moisture content with maturation that consequently resulted to less tender and juicy pericarp (Ugur and Maden, 2015). The % moisture of SC3 was not significantly different with maturity and had the lowest value among the three varieties for all harvest dates except at 20 DAS. Moisture content of sh2 sweet corn upon harvest ranged from 76% to 79%. It loses about 0.25% moisture per day at the optimum level (Matz, 1991). It was reported that the conversion of sugars into starch was also related to decreasing moisture content of the kernels (Szymanek, 2009). In a study done by Wong (1994), sh2 sweet corns harvested at 20 days after pollination had varied moisture content thereby highly suggesting that different hybrids had different rates of ear maturation. Among the studied sh2 hybrids (Wong et al., 1994), kernel moisture content also decreased as harvest period was delayed, from 5.2% (20 DAP) to 0.6% per day (29 DAP). Similarly, results of this study showed consistent decreased in percent moisture content as harvest maturity increased.

Percent ash content of the three varieties were not significantly different with harvest maturity. Percent ash content ranged from 0.81% to 1.71% in all varieties of different maturity. Result agreed with Jalal (2003) as cited by Ullah et al., (2010) that the range of 0.7% to 1.3% were range for ash content of different corn. The ash content were found to decline as the grain matured (Sanderson et al., 1979). Although this was not true with the result of this study as harvest maturity did not have an effect with the ash content. Evers in 2001 (as cited by Ullah et al., 2010) reported that the heavy ash were known to contain greater amount of non-endosperm material. Ash values were analyzed to determine level of non-endosperm components present. Endosperm stored the carbohydrates of sweet corn. Decrease in endosperm size result in smaller, lighter kernels (Goldman and Tracy, 1994). Sh2 sweet corns in this study harvested at three-harvest maturities had insignificant difference in ash values thus non-endosperm content were the same regardless of harvest maturity. Percentage fiber of SC1 and SC1 were not affected by harvest maturity while SC1 had a significant decreased in fiber content as the plant matures. The fiber components of sweet corn were hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin (Johari and Kaushik, 2016).

Percent protein is the second largest chemical component of the kernel. Percent protein content is found in the range of 10.29-13.08%. Sweet corn kernels contain albumins, globulins, prolamins and glutelins. While the embryo contains the globulin and endosperm, the major site for protein storage (Ullah et al., 2010). Percent protein content was significantly different (P<0.01) with harvest maturity in SC1 and SC3 varieties with P value < 0.01 and P value = 0.05, respectively while it was not significant with SC2. In SC1, percent protein at 18 DAS had the highest percentage protein value while SC3 harvested at 18 DAS had the lowest value. As the sweet corn ripens, the protein content decreases from the surface of the kernel toward its center. Protein increases until the wax ripeness phase then it progressively decreases. The proximate composition of various cultivars and various degree of ripeness are variable (Szymanek et al., 2006). Experiment done by Alan et al., (2014) shows that the protein content of sweet corn planted at the first year of the study is affected significantly (P<0.01) by variety only while at second year of the study the effects of variety and treatment variety interaction on protein content were found significant (P<0.01). Also the average results over the two years fresh, frozen and canned sweet corn kernels had similar protein contents. It has been reported that sweet corn is one of the most important sources of dietary protein among vegetables because of its relatively high protein concentration (3.5 g/100 g edible portion) (Goldman and Tracy, 1994). Protein content results from this study were 2.75, 2.86, and 2.87 g/100 g edible portion for variety SC1, SC2 and SC3 respectively are slightly lower than what Goldman and Tracy reported.

Nitrogen free extract (NFE) is primarily composed of sugars, starch, phytoglycogen, and hemicellulose. Percent NFE shows consistent increase in values in SC1 variety as it matured from 73.63% to 76.98% while for variety SC2 and SC3, values were variable. Significant increase in NFE is only evident in SC1 variety wherein sweet corn harvested at 22 DAS had significantly highest value. It is similar with the results of study done by Szymanek et al. (2015) in which sh2 harvested at different maturities shows increasing carbohydrates values harvested at 3rd stage of maturity having the highest amount. Similarly, study done by Dodson and Tracy (2013) suggested that harvest dates were significant for moisture content and other components. It was also found out that genotypes significantly affect the moisture and carbohydrate content of sh2 sweet corn (Dodson and Tracy, 2013). As Szymanek et al. (2015) reported that there were significant difference between genotypes, and stage of maturity for carbohydrates, and moisture concentration while carbohydrates per kernel were equal between cultivars. Variation in the concentrations of individual and total sugars is significant among the sh2 hybrids during one season of study done by Szymanek in 2015. While increased in harvest maturity affected the changes in moisture, sugar and starch concentrations where decreased in moisture and sugars led to increase in the starch concentrations (Szymanek et al., 2015). This range of inconsistency among the sh2 hybrids indicates that allelic variation at other loci is affecting sucrose and total sugar levels in freshly harvested sweet corn (Wong et al., 1994).
Compared to other cereals, sweet corn is comparatively rich in oil for 90% is deposited in the germ (Szymanek et al., 2006). Percent fat content of sweet corn ranges from 2.49% to 5.78% in all varieties in different maturities. SC1 percent fat content were found to be significantly different (P<0.01%) with harvest maturity harvest at 22 DAS with the lowest values. There is a decrease in fat content as the sweet corn matures. Eskin (1989) reported that the genotype of sweet corn dictates the oil content and composition. Sweet corn is a good source of polyunsaturated fatty acids. Lipid fractions tend to increase at day 23 to 29 after pollination (Eskin, 1989). On the other hand, primary fatty acids present in sweet corn are palmitic, stearic, oleic, linoleic and linoleic (Eskin, 1989). Sweet corn with high oil content has larger germ size and tend to have higher protein levels of protein (Weber, 1978). Contrary to the result of the study, Dagla et al. (2015) reported that there was a medium positive association with oil content and harvest maturity. Increasing harvest maturity also increased oil content in grains.

Mean energy content were significantly different with harvest maturity in all three varieties at P value < 0.01 (SC1 and SC2) and P = 0.05 (SC3). There was an increasing trend in mean energy content as maturity increased. This can be attributed to decrease in moisture content leading to concentration and therefore increase in proximate composition. The difference in the energy level was due to differences in the proximate composition of the varieties. Results showed that these varieties of sweet corn are rich source of energy.

4. CONCLUSION

Harvest maturity affected the sweet corn quality. As sweet corn matures there was a significant increase in length of SC1 and SC2. On the other hand all varieties ear diameter increased with maturity. The three varieties have significant difference in ear length and diameter. This implies that varietal differences play a role in ear length and diameter affecting the marketability and acceptability of the three varieties of shrunken-2 sweet corn.

Lightness of kernels is not affected by maturity and varietal differences. Increasing a* and b* values in suggest that the kernels become more red and yellow as they mature in the plant and this can be due to carotenogenesis. The a* and b* values of SC1 and SC2 were significantly affected by maturity while SC3 was not. In terms of chroma, only SC2 was significantly affected by harvest maturity, with an increased in color vividness as it matures in the plant. While hue value of the three varieties was not affected by harvest maturity.

Percentage moisture was significantly different with harvest maturity for SC1 and SC2 varieties with decreasing trend. For both varieties, harvested sweet corn at 18 DAS, is significantly different from 20 and 22 DAS. Sweet corn at 18 DAS has higher moisture content. The percentage moisture of SC3 is not significantly different with harvest maturity and has the lowest value among the three varieties for all harvest dates except at 20 DAS. Percent ash content of the three varieties are not significantly different with harvest maturity. Percent protein content is significantly different with harvest maturity in SC1 and SC3 varieties while it is not significant with variety SC2. It decreases as the sweet corn matures in the plant. For SC1, percent protein at 18 DAS is significantly higher than at 20 and 22 DAS while it is higher at 22 DAS with SC3. Percent nitrogen free extract shows consistent increase in values SC1 variety as it matures while for variety SC2 and SC3, values are variable. Varieties SC1 and SC3 percent fat content are found to be significantly different with harvest maturity harvest at 22 DAS with the lowest values. There is a decrease in fat content as the sweet corn matures. Lastly, there is an increasing trend in mean energy content as maturity increases.

From the conclusions mentioned, harvest maturity and varietal differences affect the physical and proximate composition of the three commercial varieties of shrunken-2 sweet corn in the Philippines. Understanding physicochemical characteristics and proximate compositions of commercially available sh2 sweet corn will allow producers, farmers and food processors in development of post-harvest methods and possibility of value added processing of sweet corn.
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