Large pulsar kicks from topological currents
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ABSTRACT

We show that kicks generated by topological currents may be responsible for the large velocities seen in a number of pulsars. The majority of the kicks build up within the first second of the star’s birth and generates a force about two orders of magnitude larger than a neutrino kick in the same temperature and magnetic field regime. Because of the nature of the topological currents, the star’s cooling is not affected until it reaches 10⁹ K; thereafter the current replaces neutrino emission as the dominant cooling process. A requirement for the kick to occur is a suitably thin crust on the star; this leads us to speculate that pulsars with large kicks are quark stars and those with small kicks are neutron stars. If true, this would be an elegant way to distinguish quark stars from neutron stars.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The goal of this Letter is to elaborate on a kick mechanism first discussed by Charbonneau & Zhitnitsky (2009) that may explain pulsar velocities greater than 1000 km s⁻¹. There have been a number of studies that have compiled and modelled the velocities of pulsars. Although they disagree on whether the distribution is indeed bimodal, they all agree that a significant number of pulsars are travelling faster than can be attributed to neutrino kicks. The analysis of Arzoumanian, Chernoffs & Cordes (2002) favours a bimodal velocity distribution with peaks at 90 and 500 km s⁻¹ with 15 per cent of pulsars travelling at speeds greater than 1000 km s⁻¹. Alternatively Hobbs et al. (2005) and Faucher-Giguere & Kaspi (2006) both predict a single peaked distribution with an average velocity of ~400 km s⁻¹, but point out that the faster pulsars B2011+38 and B2224+64 have speeds of ~1600 km s⁻¹. Large velocities are unambiguously confirmed with the model-independent measurement of pulsar B1508+55 moving at 1083±80 km s⁻¹ (Chatterjee et al. 2005).

Currently, no mechanism exists that can reliably kick the star hard enough to reach these velocities. Asymmetric explosions can only reach 200 km s⁻¹ (Fryer 2004), and asymmetric neutrino emission is plagued by the problem that at temperatures high enough to produce the kick the neutrinos are trapped inside the star (Sage & Schaffner-Bielich 2008). Alterations of the neutrino model that take into account only a thin shell of neutrinos require large temperatures and huge surface magnetic fields.

The kick mechanism we will discuss relies on the existence of topological vector currents of the form described by Charbonneau & Zhitnitsky (2009):

\[
\langle j \rangle = \langle \mu_L - \mu_R \rangle \frac{e \Phi}{2\pi}\, .
\]

where \(\mu_L\) and \(\mu_R\) are the chemical potential of the right- and left-handed electrons and \(\Phi\) is the magnetic flux. There are three requirements for topological vector currents to be present: an imbalance in left- and right-handed particles \(\mu_L \neq \mu_R\), degenerate matter \(\mu \gg T\) and the presence of a background magnetic field \(B \neq 0\). All of these are present in neutron and quark stars. The weak interaction, by which the star attains equilibrium, violates parity; particles created in this environment are primarily left-handed. The interior of the star is very dense, \(\mu_e \sim 100\,\text{MeV}\), and cold, \(T \sim 1\,\text{MeV}\), such that the degeneracy condition \(\mu \gg T\) is met, and neutron stars are known to have huge surface magnetic fields, \(B_s \sim 10^{12}\,\text{G}\). Interested readers are encouraged to read Charbonneau & Zhitnitsky (2009).

Kicks caused by the topological current are very similar to neutrino kicks. The helicity of the electrons and the single spin state in the lowest Landau level allow electrons to travel with a preferred direction through the neutron star. The difference between the two mechanisms is the linear momentum that the particle transfers to the star. Neutrinos have an energy close to the temperature of the star, while the electrons, which are degenerate, have an energy close to their Fermi momentum. We will discuss this critical difference in Section 5.

If the electrons carried by the current can transfer their momentum into space – either by being ejected or by radiating photons – the current could push the star like a rocket. In typical neutron stars, this is unlikely because the envelope (the region where \(\mu \sim T\)) is thought to be about 100 m thick. Once it reaches this thick crust, the current will likely travel along it and be reabsorbed into the
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bulk of the star. But if the crust is very thin, or non-existent, the electrons may leave the system or emit photons that will carry their momentum to space. The electrosphere for bare quark stars is thought to be about 1000 fm (Alcock et al. 1986; see also the recent developments by Alföldy et al. 2006). With this in mind we conjecture that stars with very large kicks, \( v \gg 200 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), are quark stars and that slow moving stars, \( v \leq 200 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), are kicked by some other means, such as asymmetric explosions or neutrino emission, and are typical neutron stars. Confirmation of this would provide an elegant way to discriminate between neutron stars and quark stars.

### 2 SIMPLE MODEL OF QUARK STARS

The kick will likely only occur in quark stars that are bare or have very thin crusts. We will consider only the existence of light quarks in the star, which attain equilibrium through the quark direct Urca processes:

\[
e^- + u (+ \bar{v}_e) \leftrightarrow d (+ \nu_e) \tag{2}
\]

\[
e^- + u (+ \bar{v}_e) \leftrightarrow s (+ \nu_e), \tag{3}
\]

where the neutrino terms only appear in the final state of the interaction. The direct Urca processes in quark matter do not have the same Fermi momentum restrictions as in neutron matter and are thus not suppressed. These processes are at equilibrium when

\[
\mu_u + \mu_e = \mu_d \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_u + \mu_s = \mu_s. \tag{4}
\]

In this balance, we ignore the neutrino chemical potential. In discussing the kick, we will focus on temperatures where the star makes the transition from being opaque to neutrinos to being transparent. We will refer to this temperature range as the translucent regime. In the opaque regime, the neutrino chemical potential is non-zero. As the star cools, the mean free path of the neutrinos becomes large enough that all neutrinos created may freely leave the star. This is precisely the temperature at which the topological current begins to flow and creates a kick. In discussing these temperatures, there is the concern that the neutrino chemical potential cannot be ignored. However, once in the transparent regime the neutrino chemical potential dissipates much faster than the time-scale of the kick; thus, we can safely ignore it.

The quark matter must also be electrically neutral:

\[
\frac{\rho}{e} = \frac{2}{3} n_u - \frac{2}{3} n_d - \frac{1}{3} n_s - n_e = 0, \tag{5}
\]

where \( \rho \) is the charge density and \( n \) are the number densities of the quarks and electrons. We will consider the simplest model where the quark masses are set to zero; thus, their Fermi momenta are equal to their Fermi energies. Unfortunately, this approximation requires the electron density to be zero to satisfy equation (5), thus removing an essential element from the star: electrons. The strange quark mass is quite large and the number density should be described using non-relativistic Fermi statistics, which re-admits electrons into the mix to conserve charge. We will follow Iwamoto (1982) by assuming that the quarks are all massless and an electron chemical potential is present to capture the contribution of the mass of the strange quark.

The Fermi momentum of the quark species can be written in terms of the baryon number density, denoted \( n_b \), as

\[
k_q = (\pi^2 n_b)^{1/3} \sim 235 \left( \frac{n_b}{n_0} \right)^{1/3} \text{ MeV}. \tag{6}
\]

For typical densities in the core of the neutron star the Fermi momentum is \( k_q \sim 400 \text{ MeV} \), which is greater than the strange quark mass.

Neglecting the mass of the strange quark is a crude approximation but works well for this calculation. We can obtain the electron Fermi momentum using the fraction of electrons to baryons, \( Y_e = n_e/n_b \), which yields

\[
k_e = (3 Y_e)^{1/3} k_q \sim 10 \left( \frac{n_b}{n_0} \right)^{1/3} \text{ MeV}. \tag{7}
\]

Because \( k_e \gg m_e \), we find that \( k_e \sim \mu_e \). Farhi & Jaffe (1984) state a typical value for the electron fraction as \( Y_e < 10^{-4} \). This value is not critical in determining the strength of the kick because we will find that the dependence on \( k_e \) cancels. However, as we will discuss in Section 5, the value of the Fermi momentum \( k_e \) when compared to the core temperature of the star \( T_{core} \) is critical in understanding how topological currents generate large kicks.

### 3 COOLING OF QUARK STARS

In order to properly determine the size of a kick, we need to understand how the core temperature of the quark star evolves with time. Unfortunately, kicks are likely to occur right after the birth of the star during the most poorly understood stage of cooling. For insight into the cooling curve of a quark star, we follow the work in two papers. The initial cooling of the star is described in Haensel, Paczynski & Amsterdamski (1991), which focuses on neutrino diffusion through the star and thermal cooling. The star then cools until the neutrinos can escape the quark star and the cooling moves into a purely radiative regime as discussed in Page & Usov (2002). The part of the cooling curve between these two well-defined mechanisms constitutes the translucent regime.

The quark direct Urca processes, which are weak interactions, create both the neutrinos responsible for cooling the star and the parity that drives the current. The topological current only flows when the mean free path of the electron with respect to the weak interaction is larger than the neutron star. As they take part in the same processes, this is also when neutrinos start to escape the star. The mean free path of the weak interaction for an electron in quark matter has been calculated in Charbonneau & Zhitnitsky (2009) and is

\[
\ell_e = 1.2 \times 10^{10} \left( \frac{T_{core}}{10^9 \text{ K}} \right)^{-5} \text{ km}. \tag{8}
\]

Equation (8) tells us that for a star of radius \( R \sim 10 \text{ km} \), the current starts to flow at \( T_{core} \sim 7 \times 10^9 \text{ K} \). At this point on the cooling curve, the temperature changes on the scale of seconds.

It is not clear how to model the short but critical cooling period that occurs in the translucent regime, as it is a very complicated process, but we assume that it must make a smooth transition from the primarilly thermal cooling of the core found in Haensel et al. (1991) to the neutrino cooling found in Page & Usov (2002). Because we are interested in an estimate of the kick and not in the exact details of the cooling, we will interpolate between these two cooling curves by assuming that the transition is smooth and exponential. We will use the cooling curve from fig. 2(a) of Haensel et al. (1991) that models the cooling of the core of the star. We then piece it together using an exponential decay to cool the star to \( 10^{10.2} \text{ K} \) and match the initialization of the cooling curve given in fig. 1 of Page & Usov (2002). By requiring that the first derivatives are equal, it is possible to find a unique exponential decay that patches these cooling curves together. Fig. 1 plots this patched region that describes the translucent region of the cooling curve.

It is possible that the cooling in the translucent regime is quite different from the exponential patch we propose. The severity of...
The total number current for electrons reaching the surface of the star is given by
\[
(j) = P_{\text{asym}} \frac{w}{\Omega} V_{\text{star}} \\
= 2.6 \times 10^{26} \left( \frac{10 \text{ MeV}}{k_e} \right) \left( \frac{B}{10 B_c} \right) \\
\times \left( \frac{T_{\text{core}}}{10^9 \text{ K}} \right)^5 \left( \frac{n_b}{n_0} \right)^{1/3} \text{MeV},
\]
where \( B_c = 4.4 \times 10^{13} \text{ G} \) is the critical magnetic field, \( T_{\text{core}} \) is the core temperature of the star and \( n_0 \) is the nuclear density. The typical density for quark matter is \( n_b \sim 10 n_0 \) but could easily be higher.
Because the current originates in the core of the star, we are interested in the strength of the internal magnetic field. Though many pulsars have a surface field of around \( 10^{12} \text{ G} \), the field in the bulk of the star is likely much stronger based on virial theorem arguments in Lai & Shapiro (1991). The scalar virial theorem for the star states that
\[
2T + W + 3\Pi + M = 0,
\]
where \( T \) is the total rotational kinetic energy, \( \Pi \) is the internal energy, \( W \) is the gravitational energy and \( M \) is the magnetic energy. Because the kinetic energies are positive, the magnetic field energy can be at most equal to the gravitational energy, \((4\pi R^3/3)(B_{\text{max}}^2/8\pi) \sim GM^2/R\), which yield possible core fields of \( B_{\text{max}} \sim 10^{18} \text{ G} \). This is an extremely large field and is unlikely as it is a strict upper bound. Based on this, we choose a value of the core magnetic field to be \( B_{\text{core}} = 10 B_c \).

The current (13) gives a number rate of electrons reaching the surface of the star. To get the momentum transferred per second we simply multiply by the momentum each electron carries, \( k_e \), which is large due to the degeneracy and is given by equation (7). The acceleration for a 1.4\,M⊙ star is then
\[
a = \frac{k_e (j(T_{\text{core}}))}{1.4\,\text{M}_\odot}.
\]
We see that all the factors of \( k_e \) cancel because \( (j) \) contains a factor of \( k_e^{-1} \). The kick is independent of the Fermi momentum of the electrons, but as we will discuss later this does not mean that the Fermi momentum is unimportant.

As seen in Fig. 2, the star quickly reaches a speed of
\[
v_{\text{max}} \sim 1600 \text{ km s}^{-1},
\]
which is big enough to account for the large kicks seen in many pulsars. This result relies on the internal magnetic field of the star being two orders of magnitude larger than what we observe on the surface of most pulsars. We have also neglected the dissipation of electrons in the electrosphere of the quark star. As plotted, the entire kick seems to happen very quickly, but the current keeps running throughout the star’s life. With a large internal magnetic field, the mechanism can account for kicks seen in young pulsars. But because the kick is constantly running, pulsars with smaller internal magnetic fields will eventually attain very large speeds very late in life.

5 How are topological kicks different than neutrino kicks?
It is important to understand the differences between neutrino kicks and topological kicks because superficially they seem very similar. In both cases, a particle is created through the weak interaction with
occupation of are very degenerate, we must use Fermi statistics. This predicts an to contribute to the kick. In the core of the star, where the electrons kicks that only a thin shell near the edge of the star is considered Landau level. This is what is seen in many neutrino kick models. On the surface of the star, where the chemical potential is low, the kick is simply the momentum per particle that escapes the star. The momentum transferred to provide the cooling of the star. The neutrinos cool the star with a luminosity \( L_\nu \sim T_w \) where the electrons cool the star with an energy current (luminosity) of \( L_e \sim k_B T_e w \). The ratio of electron cooling to neutrino cooling is

\[
\frac{L_e}{L_\nu} \sim \frac{\mu_e n_e}{\mu_\nu} = \frac{m_e^2 B}{\mu_e T B_c}.
\]

At first, the electrons cool the star at about 1/100 the rate of neutrino cooling. As the star cools, eventually \( L_e/L_\nu > 1 \) and more energy is lost due to the current than the neutrinos. This transition occurs at a temperature

\[
T_i \sim 10^{-2} \left( \frac{B}{B_c} \right) \text{ MeV} \sim 10^9 \left( \frac{B}{B_c} \right) \text{ K}.
\]

well after the kick has occurred. If electrons are escaping the star, new charged particles will accrete to maintain charge neutrality and add energy back to the star. But these will likely be much lower energy than those propelled from the star. The current may be an additional cooling mechanism to consider in stars that have cooled below \( 10^8 \) K.

6 HOW MUCH DOES THE CURRENT AFFECT THE COOLING OF THE STAR?

We want to ensure that the energy from the kick does not contribute significantly to the cooling of the star and that the neutrinos remain the dominant cooling mechanism during the kick. We find that at the beginning of the star’s life the energy from the kick does not contribute to the cooling of the star, but later in life the current could become the dominant cooling mechanism.

The reason is that only a small fraction of the electrons created in the neutron star actually escape due to the low occupation of the lowest Landau level. As discussed earlier the estimate for the neutrino kick has a similar suppression factor, but all of the neutrinos actually escape the star, even those that do not contribute towards a neutrino kick. The electrons only propagate because the asymmetry in the lowest Landau level allows the helicity states to propagate out of the star. Those electrons that do not contribute towards the kick are trapped inside the star. The helicity states that reach the surface due to the current are the only electrons that contribute to the cooling of the star. The neutrinos cool the star with a luminosity \( L_\nu \sim T_w \) and for electrons is \( F_e \sim \mu_e n_e w \). When the kick starts, the star has a temperature of only \( T \sim 1 \) MeV. The electron kick is stronger than the neutrino kick by a factor of

\[
\frac{F_e}{F_\nu} \sim \frac{\mu_e}{T}.
\]

Initially, when the star is very hot, the electron kick is an order of magnitude stronger than the neutrino kick. Furthermore, as the star cools the neutrino kick gets even weaker, while the electrons continue to have a momentum dictated by their chemical potential. This why electrons generate larger kicks than neutrinos.

7 SUMMARY

We have found that the topological currents introduced in Charbonneau & Zhitnitsky (2009) can generate kicks greater than

\[1\]

In a dense star the electrons are created with an average helicity \( \langle \lambda \rangle = -0.84 \), but for simplicity we will assume in this section that they are all left-handed.

\[2\]

Note that energy per unit time has the \( T^6 \) dependence we expect for a calculation involving neutrino luminosity.
1000 km s$^{-1}$. This may explain large pulsar kicks that are otherwise unattainable using traditional kick mechanisms. Topological kicks occur only if the electrons that make up the topological current can transfer their momentum through the star’s crust. Because neutron stars have thick crusts, it is unlikely that they will be kicked due to this mechanism, but rather by mechanisms that generate weak kicks. In contrast, bare quark stars have no crust and they may allow the electrons to escape. Because of this distinction, we conjecture that many of the fastest pulsars are quark stars.

In calculating the kick velocity, we assumed that the cooling curve of the quark stars must make a smooth transition from the primarily thermal cooling of the core found in Haensel et al. (1991) to the neutrino cooling found in Page & Usov (2002). This patched cooling curve allowed us to integrate over time the momentum transferred from the topological current and obtain the strength of the kick. We have also demonstrated that the energy lost due to the current does not change the cooling of the star during the kick. But after the star cools below $10^9$ K, the topological current becomes the dominant cooling mechanism rather than neutrino emission.

The magnitude of the kick also depends on the strength of the magnetic field inside the star. The virial theorem puts a limit on the internal field of the star that is much higher than the surface field. To generate the kick we used a field strength of $B_{\text{core}} = 10^B$, which is a reasonable estimate for pulsars that have a surface magnetic field of $B_s \sim 10^{12}$ G.
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