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Abstract

Achieving good performance on few-shot or zero-shot datasets has been a long-term challenge for NER. The conventional semantic transfer approaches on NER will decrease model performance when the semantic distribution is quite different, especially in Chinese few-shot NER. Recently, prompt-tuning has been thoroughly considered for low-resource tasks. But there is no effective prompt-tuning approach for Chinese few-shot NER. In this work, we propose a prompt-based Parent and Child BERT (PCBERT) for Chinese few-shot NER. To train an annotating model on high-resource datasets and then discover more implicit labels on low-resource datasets. We further design a label extension strategy to achieve label transferring from high-resource datasets. We evaluated our model on Weibo and the other three sampling Chinese NER datasets, and the experimental result demonstrates our approach’s effectiveness in few-shot learning.

1 Introduction

NER is a fine-grained sequence labeling task, a slight change in each token will significantly impact the model results. A big challenge of NER is to enhance the performance in low-resource scenarios. There are some prior works (Yang et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2017; Abhishek et al., 2017) that demonstrate that transfer learning can improve the model performance. However, they all rely on similar semantic distribution between source and target datasets, and both datasets should contain rich annotated data. A significant difficulty of few-shot or zero-shot NER is the lack of annotated labels in practical application. Another challenge of Chinese NER is the implicit word boundary, which makes it difficult for the model to distinguish the entity boundary. The lexicon-based approach is a standard solution to solve the above issue. But the performance of traditional lexicon-based models in Chinese few-shot NER is still unsatisfactory.

Recently, prompt-tuning (Lester et al., 2021) on the pre-trained language models (PLMs) has been thoroughly considered for low-resource scenarios because the prompt-tuning process is highly consistent with the target task. Previous work (Cui et al., 2021; Ma et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2021) has demonstrated that prompt-tuning can more effectively enhance the model performance on few-shot NER compared with fine-tuning. However, when the semantic distribution is quite different, using prompt-tuning for semantic transfer learning will decrease model performance, which implies the semantic transfer is unsuitable for NER in the above situation. Besides, the implicit boundaries of Chinese words make the size of the prompt template uncertain and require a higher ability to judge its boundary. Moreover, using inappropriate prompt construction engineering on Chinese few-shot NER datasets cannot improve model performance effectively but increases training time.

In this work, we introduce an enhanced lexicon feature and a prompt-based label transfer approach to address the above issues. We leverage the lexicon feature to enhance Chinese word boundary distinction ability in few-shot NER datasets. We further design a label extension strategy to achieve label transferring from high-resource datasets. We propose a Parent and Child BERT (PCBERT) model powered by a label lexicon adapter and a prompt-tuning component to integrate the lexicon feature and the implicit label feature. And it is worth noting that our implementation with a transformer encoder is more efficient than some decoding template-based approaches. We evaluated our model on Weibo (Peng and Dredze, 2015) and the other three samplings of Chinese NER datasets, and the experimental result demonstrates our approach’s effectiveness in few-shot learning. Our model outperforms other related work in all experiments and achieves state-of-the-art F1 scores on Weibo.
The contributions of this work can be summarized as follows:

1. We introduce a label extension strategy to implement the label transfer learning in few-shot NER, which can effectively enhance the model performance.

2. We propose a new PCBERT model consisting of a P-BERT component and a C-BERT component to integrate the lexicon feature and the implicit label feature.

3. Experimental results verify that our approaches are suitable for Chinese few-shot NER transfer learning and achieve excellent performance on few-shot learning.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Problems of Few-shot NER

In the few-shot NER tasks, given the high-resource source domain dataset \( S = \{P_S, L_S\} \), where the \( P_S = \{(X_S^1, Y_S^1), \ldots, (X_S^m, Y_S^m)\} \) is the set of input text and corresponding labels, and \( L_S = \{l_1, \ldots, l_m\} \) is the set of entity label categories with size \( m \). Then given the low-resource target domain dataset \( T = \{P_T, L_T\} \), the task aims to enhance the model performance in the target domain dataset by utilizing the resources of the source domain dataset. However, the traditional NER transfer learning approaches face two main challenges: the semantic distribution difference between the source and target domains; the same category labels have different definitions in different datasets.

2.2 Label Extension Strategy

Formally, we denote \( D_P(X) \) to represent the semantic space of input text \( X \), and \( D_E(L) \) represent the semantic distribution that contains label \( l \in L \). The correlation between model performance \( p \) and the semantic distribution can be explained as:

\[
p \propto \frac{D_P(X_S) \cap D_P(X_T)}{D_P(X_S) - D_P(X_T)} \tag{1}
\]

\[
p \propto \frac{D_E(L_S) \cap D_E(L_T)}{D_E(L_S) - D_E(L_T)} \tag{2}
\]

when the semantic space gap between the source domain and the target domain is large, the semantic intersection of \( S \) and \( T \) is quite limited compared with the semantic difference between \( S \) and \( T \) (i.e., \( D_P(X_S) \cap D_P(X_T) \ll D_P(X_S) - D_P(X_T) \)). The semantic deviation makes the pre-trained model more difficult to fine-tune than the uniform distribution model and even decreases performance in the target domain. Therefore, it is tough to carry out cross-domain semantic migration on few-shot NER datasets.

In this work, we use label extension to enrich the label features in \( T \). As shown in Equation 2, \( D_E(L_S) \cap D_E(L_T) \) represents the semantic distribution range that implicitly contains the intersection of \( L_S \) and \( L_T \). It may include entity labels from \( S \) and does not exist in \( T \), making label extension reasonable as \( T \) is a low-resource dataset with fewer labels. The label extension can be implemented with an annotation model with fully supervised training on \( S \) and annotating on \( T \). However, some issues may impact the label extension accuracy. One is the annotation model performance; another is that the same category labels may explain the different meanings between \( S \) and \( T \). These issues can be treated as label noise that affects the target task performance. To address the above issues, we adopt a prompt-based approach with a label fusion layer in our proposed model to reduce the influence of label noise.

3 Method

In this paper, we propose a two-stage model named PCBERT for Chinese few-shot NER, which consists of the Parent and the Child component. Both components are implemented with BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), and we defined them as P-BERT and C-BERT, respectively. The overall model structure of PCBERT is illustrated in Figure 1. The P-BERT is a prompt-based model to extract the implicit label extension features in the target dataset; the C-BERT is a lexicon-based model inspired by the LEBERT (Liu et al., 2021a) and further incorporates multi-label features of each lexicon. In the first stage, the P-BERT pre-trains on the label extension dataset. Then the P-BERT is set to be frozen in the second stage, providing label extension features to fine-tune the C-BERT. The structure and functionality are described in the following.

3.1 P-BERT

The primary function of P-BERT is prompt-tuning on the label extension dataset and providing prompt features for C-BERT. The label extension dataset is constructed by the method mentioned in Section 2.2. The inspiration for prompt-tuning comes from models like GPT-3 (Brown et al., 2020), and T5 (Raffel et al., 2020), which transform the tar-
get task into text-to-text form and directly model text using PLMs. In this work, our prompt-tuning approach is designed toward the target task, consisting of a template function $TP(X,Y)$ that converts the raw input to prompt input. The label in the template input is a textual string instead of an entity category index, which helps leverage implicit knowledge from PLMs and reduces the influence of label noise in the label extension dataset.

We use vanilla BERT as P-BERT, each input $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ in the label extension dataset is converted into prompt input $X_{prompt}$ with the $TP(X,Y)$. The prompt input consists of the following parts:

$$X_{prompt} = [CLS] X [SEP] TP(X, Y)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where the first part of $X_{prompt}$ is the origin input $X$, and the second part is label templates computed by the $TP(X,Y)$. $[CLS]$ and $[SEP]$ are the special token of BERT. Each label template follows the form as “[Index] is [Z]”, where the index slot [Index] indicates each token position in $X$, and the label slot [Z] is the Chinese word that represents the label $Y$. Each label template is concatenated with a comma. Then, the label slot is padded to the same size by the tokenizer to adapt parallel training better and locate the output features. During prompt-tuning, the label slot of each input will be masked with the [MASK] token, and its task goal is to restore the masked label tokens. Then the loss function can be defined with the cross-entropy loss:

$$L_{prompt} = - \sum_i z_i \log (p(\tilde{z}_i \mid X))$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $z_i \in Z$ and $\tilde{z}_i$ is the corresponding predicted token.

### 3.2 C-BERT

Chinese NER tasks are more challenging because the word boundary of sentences is not explicit. Many works (Sui et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020; Zhang and Yang, 2018) have demonstrated that leveraging lexicon information can effectively enhance the model performance. In few-shot NER, the lexicon information is vital in promoting the model to understand token-level semantic information. For each input sequence $X$, we construct a lexicon tree following the method of (Liu et al., 2021a). As shown in Figure 2, the lexicon set of token $x_i$ can be embedded as $\omega_i = \{\omega_{i1}, \ldots, \omega_{im}\}$, where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times H}, \omega_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times H'}$, $H$ is the hidden dimension of each token and $H'$ is the hidden dimension of each word. Moreover, we further introduce a label set for each word. In this work, we adopt a BERT classifier model pre-trained on the high-resource dataset to predict top-k labels embeddings $L_{ij} = \{L_{ij1}, \ldots, L_{ijk}\}$ for $\omega_{ij}$, where $L_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times H^*}$, $H^*$ is the hidden dimension of a
Figure 2: Each token $x_i$ corresponds to a lexicon set, and each lexicon corresponds to a label set.

label string. It is worth noting that each lexicon comes from the external dictionary and is a subset of the input.

A variant of LEBERT is designed to serve as C-BERT in our implementation. As shown in Figure 1, C-BERT’s word embedding is the sum of the P-BERT and its word embeddings. We propose a Label Lexicon Adapter (LLA) after the first encoder layer in C-BERT to leverage the lexicon and corresponding labels information. Figure 3 displays the detailed structure of C-BERT, where $H^o_1 = \{h^o_1, \ldots, h^o_n\}$ is the set of original output hidden states in the first encoder layer, where the $n$ is the length of the input sequence. In the LLA, the input contains the hidden states $H^o_1$ from the first encoder layer; the lexicon set $\omega_i$ in each token position and corresponding top-k label embedding $L^i = \{L^i_1, \ldots, L^i_m\}$.

We use label attention to compute the relevance between multi-label and lexicon context features, and $\xi_{ij} = [h^o_i; \omega_{ij}]$ is the concatenation between word $\omega_{ij}$ and the hidden state $h^o_i$ in position $i$. Then we transform the multi-label features to align the lexicon context features:

$$\tilde{L}_{ij} = W^L_1 \left( \tanh \left( W^L_1 L^T_{ij} + b^L_1 \right) \right) + b^L_2$$

where $W^L_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{(H' + H) \times H'}$ and $W^L_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times (H' + H)}$ are weight matrices; $b^L_1, b^L_2$ are biases. The label attention score can be calculated as:

$$\alpha_{ij} = \text{softmax} \left( \xi_{ij} W^\omega_{\text{attn}} \tilde{L}_{ij} \right)$$

where $W^\omega_{\text{attn}} \in \mathbb{R}^{(H' + H') \times (H' + H)}$ is the label attention weight matrix. The multi-label features can be further computed by the weighted sum:

$$F^L_{ij} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{l=1}^k \alpha_{ij} \tilde{L}^l_{ij}$$

We fuse features of lexicons with the corresponding label sets to enhance the lexicon representation, and the multi-label features can effectively alleviate the label noise from P-BERT:

$$F^\omega_{ij} = [\omega_{ij}; F^L_{ij}]$$

The computed lexicon features $F^\omega_{ij}$ are directly injected into the BERT following (Liu et al., 2021a) with the word attention, the lexicon information is calculated by:

$$\tilde{\omega}_{ij} = W^\omega_2 \left( \tanh \left( W^\omega_1 F^\omega_{ij}^T + b^\omega_1 \right) \right) + b^\omega_2$$

$$\beta_{ij} = \text{softmax} \left( h^o_i W^\omega_{\text{attn}} \tilde{\omega}_{ij} \right)$$

$$F^X_{ij} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_{ij} \tilde{\omega}_{ij}$$

where $W^\omega_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times (H' + H')}$, $W^\omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times H}$ are weight matrices; $W^\omega_{\text{attn}} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times H}$ is the word attention weight matrix; and $b^\omega_1, b^\omega_2$ are biases.

Finally, the fusion features of each token are computed by:

$$H^\prime_1 = H^o_1 + F^X$$
3.3 Interactive Training

During fine-tuning, the primary function of P-BERT is to provide label extension features for C-BERT. We intercept the label templates part of the P-BERT output, and the label extension features $F_i^P = \{f_1, \ldots, f_d\}$ are the label slot part corresponding to each label template, where $d$ is the max size of the label string. Then the prompt feature for each token is computed as:

$$P_i = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} f_j$$

We use a bidirectional LSTM (BiLSTM) model to enhance the timing information of C-BERT output:

$$H^B = \text{BiLSTM}(H^N)$$

where $H^N = \{\tilde{h}_1, \ldots, \tilde{h}_n\}$ is the C-BERT output hidden states.

To further mitigate the impact of the potential label noise, an interactive attention mechanism is applied to calculate the relevance between the output hidden states of BiLSTM $H^B = \{\hat{h}_1, \ldots, \hat{h}_n\}$ and the prompt features $P$:

$$\gamma_i = \text{softmax}(\hat{h}_i W_{\text{attn}}^P P_i^T)$$

$$\tilde{P}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i P_i$$

where $W_{\text{attn}}^P \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times H}$ is the interactive attention weight matrix, and the fusion features $\varphi$ can be calculated as:

$$\varphi_i = [\hat{h}_i; \tilde{P}_i]$$

Finally, fusion features are taken into a Conditional Random Field (CRF) layer and predict the label for each token. And the loss function of fine-tuning can be defined by minimizing the negative likelihood loss as:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_i \log(p(Y_i | X_i))$$

4 Experiments

4.1 Datasets

We investigate the effectiveness of our model on four Chinese NER datasets. Including Weibo (Peng and Dredze, 2015), Ontonotes 5.0 (Weischedel et al., 2011), Resume (Zhang and Yang, 2018) and MSRA (Levow, 2006). The statistics of the target datasets are shown in Table 1, and we randomly sample a small train set from each original dataset during training to simulate the few-shot scene.

Besides, we construct a high-resource dataset to implement the label extension. The high-resource dataset is integrated with multiple datasets, including CLUENER (Xu et al., 2020), CNERTA (Sui et al., 2021), RenMinRiBao (Xia et al., 2005), and datasets from unknown sources. The high-resource dataset covers plenty of data and labels, and it can accurately support the label expansion on the low-resource datasets. The statistics of the high-resource dataset are shown in Table 2.

4.2 Experimental Settings

We implement the PCBERT based on the Transformers (Wolf et al., 2020) BERT with 12 layers of transformer in this work. The encoder hidden dimension H of P-BERT and C-BERT is 768; the word embedding dimension of the lexicon $H'$ and label string $H^*$ are both set as 200.

We use the Adam optimizer in all experiments. Before training all the target datasets, we first train a pre-labeled model on the high-resource dataset to annotate the extension entity labels for each train set and generate the label extension train set. Then our P-BERT is trained on the label extension train set. The learning rate of prompt-tuning is set as 1e-4. During fine-tuning on the original train set, the P-BERT is set as frozen, and we use an initial learning rate of 1e-5 for the C-BERT and 1e-2 for other parameters. We sample the same size from all datasets for few-shot learning, the max sequence length of each training set is 120.

Table 1: The statistics of the target datasets.

| Dataset  | Train | Dev  | Test  | Entity Types |
|----------|-------|------|-------|--------------|
| Weibo    | 1.4k  | 0.27k| 0.27k | 8            |
| Ontonotes| 15.7k | 4.3k | 4.3k  | 4            |
| Resume   | 3.8k  | 0.46k| 0.48k | 8            |
| MSRA     | 46.4k | -    | 4.4k  | 3            |

Table 2: The statistics of the high-resource dataset.

| Subset    | Train | Dev  | Test  | Entity Types |
|-----------|-------|------|-------|--------------|
| CLUENER   | 10.7k | 1.34k| 1.34k | 10           |
| CNERTA    | 38.5k | 4.44k| 4.44k | 5            |
| RenMinRiBao| 50.7k| 4.63k| 4.63k | 4            |
| Others    | 27.0k | 2.83k| 2.83k | 10           |
| Sum       | 126.9k| 13.2k| 13.2k | 18           |
length is set as 150, and we train a maximum epoch number of 20 in all datasets.

To evaluate our proposed PCBERT, we compare it with the following approaches:

**BERT.** (Devlin et al., 2019) The BERT model with a token classifier is the baseline of the BERT-based NER approach.

**BERT-LC.** Based on the vanilla BERT, we further add a BiLSTM-CRF layer behind the BERT output layer to better compare with our proposed PCBERT.

**Lattice LSTM.** (Zhang and Yang, 2018) A lexicon-based Chinese NER approach is implemented with a lattice-structure LSTM model.

**FLAT.** (Li et al., 2020) An enhanced lattice-structured NER approach. By constructing a flat structure Transformer to fully leverage the lattice information and utilize the parallelism of GPUs.

**LEBERT.** (Liu et al., 2021a) A lexicon enhanced the Chinese sequence labeling model. Integrating external lexicon knowledge into BERT with a Lexicon Adapter layer.

**LEBERT-LC.** Based on the vanilla LEBERT, we further add a BiLSTM layer behind the BERT output layer in LEBERT to better compare with our proposed PCBERT.

### 4.3 Overall Results

We randomly sample different samples from the dataset in Table 1 to simulate NER in the few-shot scenario. The train set sampling sizes K are 250, 500, 1000, and 1350 (the max size of Weibo is 1350), respectively. We use the standard F1-score evaluation metrics to compare the performance.

Table 3 illustrates the experimental results of the Chinese few-shot NER. Our model outperforms all related approaches when K is 250 and achieves the best result on all the samples of Weibo and Ontonotes. Besides, our model performance in Weibo at K=250 outperforms other approaches at K=1350, demonstrating that our approach achieves excellent performance on the few-shot dataset.

The experimental results also indicate that all models’ performance in different datasets is quite different even under the same sample size. We speculate that it is related to the semantic environment quality of the dataset rather than the number of entity types. Furthermore, our PCBERT shows more significant advantages on Weibo and Resume datasets with worse semantic environment quality.

### 4.4 Analysis and Discussion

#### Ablation Study

We analyze the impact of each module in our PCBERT by designing several experiments. Table 4 presents the performance comparison between PCBERT and other ablation models. First, we observe a performance decline when removing the P-BERT component, demonstrating that P-BERT plays a vital role in model performance. We then observe that its results outperform LEBERT and LEBERT-LC on Weibo and Ontonotes when K is less than or equal to 500, which verifies that multi-label features can improve the model performance in the few-shot scenario. Moreover, after removing the label extension strategy (LEA) by using the original annotated dataset to train the model, the performance also decreases, indicating that the label extension strategy is effective in our approach.

To further analyze the impact of the label extension strategy, we replace the label extension dataset with the high-resource dataset to train the P-BERT (LEB). The results in Table 4 show a severe model performance decrease when directly adopting the high-resource dataset for prompt-tuning. Furthermore, the phenomenon becomes more prominent when the sample size K becomes smaller. And we observed there are different decrease degrees in
Table 4: Results of the Ablation Study on Chinese Few-shot NER.

| Dataset | Methods | K=250  | K=500  | K=1000 | K=1350 |
|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Weibo   | PCBERT  | 73.52  | 73.49  | 76.58  | 77.88  |
|         | -P-BERT | 67.28  | 71.85  | 70.02  | 72.66  |
|         | -LEA    | 67.06  | 70.31  | 71.88  | 72.73  |
|         | -LEB    | 61.95  | 67.01  | 68.62  | 69.33  |
| Ontonotes| PCBERT  | 74.42  | 75.62  | 78.33  | 81.52  |
|         | -P-BERT | 72.94  | 72.42  | 72.55  | 74.66  |
|         | -LEA    | 69.13  | 72.10  | 74.24  | 72.62  |
|         | -LEB    | 62.23  | 66.07  | 68.86  | 70.09  |
| Resume  | PCBERT  | 93.42  | 94.01  | 94.96  | 95.97  |
|         | -P-BERT | 91.18  | 92.99  | 94.41  | 95.41  |
|         | -LEA    | 91.28  | 94.33  | 94.96  | 95.55  |
|         | -LEB    | 87.17  | 91.64  | 92.97  | 93.96  |
| MSRA    | PCBERT  | 81.08  | 85.25  | 87.88  | 89.72  |
|         | -P-BERT | 80.59  | 85.50  | 86.95  | 87.88  |
|         | -LEA    | 82.77  | 84.32  | 86.20  | 84.32  |
|         | -LEB    | 79.09  | 81.36  | 83.61  | 84.75  |

Figure 4: t-SNE visualization of each sampled train set and the high-resource dataset.

Impact of Feature Injection

The tables in Table 3 and Table 4 have demonstrated that the injected lexicon and multi-label features in C-BERT can effectively enhance the model performance. We speculate that multi-type lexicon or multi-label features injection can improve the model’s perception of fine-grained information and judgment of entity boundaries. Moreover, we further adopt LEBERT with random initial lexicon embeddings (LEBERT-RW) to compare the original LEBERT on four datasets. As shown in Table 5, the performance of LEBERT-RW is similar to LEBERT, which indicates that the boundary information introduced by feature injection is more critical to the model than the semantic distribution of the word embeddings.

Table 5: Comparison between LEBERT with random initial lexicon embeddings (LEBERT-RW) and original LEBERT.

| Dataset | Methods     | K=250  | K=500  | K=1000 | K=1350 |
|---------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Weibo   | LEBERT      | 65.83  | 67.12  | 70.34  | 69.12  |
|         | LEBERT-RW   | 64.08  | 67.16  | 68.89  | 70.42  |
| Ontonotes| LEBERT     | 69.48  | 69.01  | 73.78  | 74.84  |
|         | LEBERT-RW   | 66.65  | 71.41  | 73.93  | 75.96  |
| Resume  | LEBERT     | 89.15  | 92.56  | 94.02  | 95.19  |
|         | LEBERT-RW   | 92.71  | 93.44  | 94.77  | 95.68  |
| MSRA    | LEBERT     | 79.11  | 83.18  | 87.77  | 89.35  |
|         | LEBERT-RW   | 79.34  | 83.83  | 88.74  | 88.59  |

Impact of Label Extension

To further analyze the impact of the label extension strategy, we evaluate the PCBERT performance when each extension label is removed from the label extension train set of Weibo (K=1350). Figure 6 illustrates the results, sorted in descending order according to each metric. We can conclude that, in most cases, removing an extension label will cause the model performance to decrease. It also shows that in the Weibo dataset, introducing any extension label will bring the final performance improvement in prompt-tuning, which indirectly indicates that our prompt-based PCBERT can effectively suppress the label extension noise.

Sentence Length

Figure 5 shows the F1-score trend of all baselines and PCBERT on the four datasets in Table 1 with the sampling size of 250. As shown in the results, we discover that PCBERT significantly improves performance in all sentence length intervals of the Weibo and Ontonotes datasets. Comparing the results of LEBERT and LEBERT-LC, it can be observed that adding the BiLSTM layer improves performance in the sampled Weibo and MSRA datasets. One potential reason is that the BiLSTM has a better awareness of directionality and short-distance information. To achieve more stable performances, we add the BiLSTM layer behind the
Figure 5: F1-scores against the sentence length.

Figure 6: F1-score, Precision, and Recall comparison of PCBERT on the Weibo dataset when removing each extension entity label, where NULL indicates the original label extension train set.

C-BERT.

5 Related Works

Chinese NER

NER is a fine-grained sequence labeling task. With the advent of PLMs, the benchmark of Chinese NER has been dramatically improved. Pre-trained models based on large-scale corpus (Devlin et al., 2019; Lewis et al., 2020; Radford et al., 2019) provide excellent semantic representation for Chinese NER and are used by many works. Some work adds a softmax on PLMs (Yang, 2019) and achieves significant performance; others (Peters et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2021; Nan et al., 2021) take PLMs as the backbone model to further enhance the original model performance.

Despite the remarkable achievements of PLMs, most existing models still need to be improved in judging Chinese word boundaries. Lexicon-based approaches (Zhang and Yang, 2018; Ma et al., 2020; Gui et al., 2019; Zhao et al , 2020) can effectively alleviate this issue. In particular, many lexicon-based works like Lex-BERT (Zhu and Cheung, 2021) need a high-quality vocabulary with entity-type information. (Zhang and Yang,
2018) proposed the Lattice LSTM approach to leverage all potential words in each segment and only need word vectors, which provided great inspiration for the later work. Recently many works (Xiao et al., 2019; Sperber et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019a,b) presented lattice-based transformers to promote parallel computing performance and fuse the PLMs representation into the model. However, most lattice-based transformers only fuse dictionary features in external input sequences without integrating them into the PLM structure. (Liu et al., 2021a) proposed LEBERT integrates lexicon knowledge into BERT layers and achieved state-of-the-art performance in multiple Chinese NER datasets.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a Parent and Child BERT for Chinese few-shot NER tasks and achieve state-of-the-art results on the Weibo dataset. Our model consists of P-BERT and C-BERT, where P-BERT is a prompt-based model for providing richer semantic information, and C-BERT is a lexicon-based model. The experimental results demonstrate that our PCBERT effectively improves the performance on the Chinese few-shot NER task. In the future, we will further analyze the performance improvement of label extension strategy in domain-specific datasets.
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