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Abstract

The paper gives a comprehensive overview on the project “Varieties of Austrian German - Standard pronunciation and varieties of standard pronunciation” whose primary goal is the creation of a pronouncing dictionary of Austrian German and the creation of a large data base of audio samples for research on spoken language and different forms of pronunciation in Austria. The contents of the dictionary and the database are described in detail. The project is based on the idea that German is a pluricentric language which means that German and Swiss model speaker realisations will be also included in the database alongside with the Austrian model speakers. A corpus of 86,000 words spoken by 6 model speakers and a large number of texts will be published together with the dictionary on CD-ROM in 2003. The paper also gives an overview on the theoretical and methodological foundations of the project which is supported by the Austrian national broadcasting corporation and funded by the Austrian national bank. Finally the database and the user-interface is described in detail which allows a number of different queries and will have a built-in tool for the acoustic analysis of sound files chosen by the user.

1. Introduction

This presentation intends to give a report on the results of the project „Varieties of Austrian German – Standard pronunciation and varieties of standard pronunciation“ which started in January 2000 and will be finished during 2003. It is financed by the research fund of the Austrian national bank and supported by the national Austrian broadcasting corporation which is keen on getting reliable information about pronunciation and spoken language in Austria.

2. Goal of the paper

The main objective of the paper is give an overview on the project which is to provide Austria with a pronunciation dictionary providing reliable information about the so called „standard pronunciation“ and other common pronunciations prevailing in Austria.

The project is on the one hand a large scale codification attempt of standard forms of pronunciation in Austria - the so called „model pronunciation“ and on the other hand an attempt to differentiate this level of pronunciation from other forms which are eventually common throughout the country but not acknowledged as model pronunciation. As non-codified forms of pronunciation often function as a „standard“ in certain domains, regions or speech situations, the dictionary intends to provide reliable information on their forms and usage too, making users of the dictionary understand that in modern societies there are different levels of the so called „standard language“. This additional information is also intended to support software development in the speech recognition area as well in other areas like educational software for the pronunciation training etc.

3. The language situation of German and its impact on language studies and speech recognition

German is according to Kloss (1978) and Clyne (1984, 1995) a “pluricentric language” which describes the fact that the language is spreading over several independent countries which have norms of its own. This fact is mostly ignored as there is a strong asymmetry between the three major varieties of German which is based on the fact that 85% of all speakers of German are inhabitants of Germany. Usually, German German (GG) is therefore considered to be the “standard” and Austrian German (AG) and Swiss German (SG) as some kind of “deviation” from the GG norm. Ever since the middle of the nineteenth a change of this standpoint which is now considered untenable occurred in two areas: There were significant developments in the fields of sociolinguistics and in speech recognition which showed that a differentiation between “national” and “regional” variation has to be made in order to be able to cope with the question of “identity” associated with language and the fact that GG phonetic rules are not very efficient in speech recognition of Austrian speakers. Unlike to English were the differentiation into national varieties is an accepted fact it is only recently that this idea has been adopted in respect to German. Most research on the German language is therefore based on data from GG and ignoring the two other national varieties of German (NAVG). This has been supported by the fact that until recently there has been very little research on the specific linguistic and communicative features of AG. The research situation has also been handicapped by the lack of a representative corpus of spoken language of AG and the predominant language model still supported by many linguists which pretends that the “standard pronunciation” of German should be the identical in all German speaking countries. The presented project is to change this and other shortcomings connected with the codification of the standard pronunciation of AG. It is firmly based on the pluricentric concept which means that the other NAVG are included in the description too.

1 See Clyne (1992), Ammon (1995) and Muhr et. al. (1995, 1997),
4. Research on national varieties of pluricentric languages in linguistics and ASR

Although there are more than 20 or even more pluricentric languages in the world only the varieties of English have been dealt with extensively in linguistics and in the field of speech recognition. Other pluricentric languages which have been investigated in respect to the specific features of their NAV are Dutch, Portuguese and to some extend French (Canadian French). Due to the very recent acknowledgement of the pluricentric character of German most research has focused on so called “regional variation” within Germany or on small regional dialects in AG or SG. However the German Verbmobil-Project yielded a large number of papers and rules which describe GG regionalised everyday speech under certain controlled conditions of speech production (read language in a defined dialogue framework).

For Austria similar attempts are made in the Speech and More project of the Vienna Telecommunication Research Centre (FTW) which tries to find specific pronunciation rules for AG on the basis of the Austrian SPEECH-DAT corpus of 2000 untrained speakers which were collected via telephone or mobile phone. The corpus predominantly consists of read speech. In a sub-project, a substantial number of speakers were transcribed in a narrow transcription at the Project Austrian German (Graz) in order to get reliable data on the phonetic substance of AG which are to serve as a basis for the creation of adapted HMMs for AG.

A review on the literature about standard pronunciation of AG yielded about 35 publications dealing with this topic. They are showing some 30 observations on phonetic characteristics which the authors felt were specific to AG. However, a close look on these observations also showed that a) there is very little correspondence between the observations of different authors and b) the empirical basis for the observations are either not disclosed or the findings are not based on a controlled and sufficiently large corpus. From there it was evident that standard pronunciation of AG is not properly described and that it was necessary to create a pronouncing dictionary of AG which would be based on a controlled corpus and a sound theoretical concept taking the pluricentric character of German into account.

5. The project “Varieties of Austrian German - Standard Pronunciation and Varieties of Standard Pronunciation” in detail

5.1. The participating institutions

The project is co-ordinated by the “Austrian German Project” at the Department of German of Graz University. Partners in the project are the “Institute of Electronic Music and Acoustics” at Graz University for Musik and Arts and the ORF - Austrian National Broadcasting Company - which is interested in getting a solid basis for the orthoepical training of journalists and news readers working at the company.

The project started in 2000 and will be finished by the end of 2003.

5.2. Objectives of the project

The project is to achieve the following objectives:

1) Building a representative pronouncing dictionary of AG comprising information on AG pronunciation contrastive to the other NAV;
2) Building a phonetic database which contains: a) 86.000 sound files (2x14.000 for each NAV of German) of a selected wordlist read by 3 male and 3 female model speaker; b) 9432 sound files of a phonetically rich word list containing 393 items read by 8 additional model speakers for SG and GG and 27 for AG; c) a literary text (1014 words) read by 14 speakers and d) a news text (914 words) read by 14 speakers of the 3 NAV; e) 100 sound files, 2-3 minutes in length, containing fluent speech of both model speakers and untrained speakers speaking on Austrian radio or television. These sound files are intended to provide information on features of pronunciation of fluent speech. Altogether the phonetic database will contain about 95560 sound files and give a thorough documentation of all levels of pronunciation in Austria (and in many cases also on the pronunciation of the other German speaking countries).
3) Providing a user-friendly interface for searching the database, listening to the soundfiles and analysing the sound files with a tool for acoustic analysis.
4) Publication of the pronouncing dictionary in printed version.
5) Publication of the dictionary and the phonetic database on CD-ROM/DVD comprising additional features for research and training. The database will also be made available on Internet.
6) Creation of a handbook for the training of professional news-readers, TV-presenters and actors.
7) Improving the status and the knowledge of AG.

An important feature of the project is the consequent differentiation between “national” and “regional” variation and a strictly descriptive attitude towards the linguistic phenomena in question. Another main point is the communicative approach to the term “standard pronunciation” which means that the phonetic substance is not a priori qualified by normative demands but solely by the sociolinguistic factors of the speech situation, the pronunciation training a speaker has had and whether the utterance is based on the model of written language or not. The most important innovation is the strict pluricentric approach which means that the pronunciation of AG is contrasted with the other NAV by means of the sound files on the CD-ROM which offer the opportunity to compare speakers of different national and sociolinguistic background speaking the same texts.

---

2 e.g. Trudgill/Hannah (1994), Schneider (1997ff)
3 e.g. Burger/Draxler (1997), Beringer/Neff (2000), Beringer/Schiel (2000), Beringer et.al. (1997), Burger/Oppermann (1999) etc.
4 See Baum/Erbach/Kubin (2000). A similar project has been started by the Swiss telecommunication company. The pronunciation of the standard variety of SG is dealt with by Hove (1998).
5 Baum/Muhr/Kubin (2001)
6 Major works are Lipold (1988), Stubkjaer (1995), Moosmüller (1988, 1990), Takahashi (1996), Bürkle (1997), Muhr (2000) etc.
5.3. The theoretical foundation of the project

Linguistic descriptions on NAV have to cope with the problem to differentiate between “national” variation and “regional” variation and to find those linguistic features which are at the same time a) widespread in use, b) accepted in the respective context of situation, c) have achieved general acceptance (= are socially unmarked) and by that c) may serve as a means of social identification, and e) eventually serve as a symbol of national identity.

A major impediment to achieve this are the linguistic similarities between the standard varieties and the fact that linguistic features which are often considered to be “typical” of a NAV may have low prestige. This is particularly the case on the level of pronunciation where social, regional and situative features often interact. The description of the standard pronunciation of AG therefore needs a firm theoretical basis which should pave the ground for the selection of model speakers and appropriate texts which serve as input for the codification of the model-pronunciation (standard pronunciation) and the description of all other forms of pronunciation which are widespread in use or for some reason relevant to the speech community.

In the presented project we define “standard language” as “presentation language in the media” as this language form has gained maximum importance for the social and public life of modern industrialised societies.

Due to the high TV and radio consumption time the language of the media has also become the predominant model language for most members of language communities by being exposed to it longer than to any other variety. It seems justified to base the codification of the model pronunciation of AG primarily on this text type and the language forms connected with it.

| Table 1: A general Scheme for the Classification and Description of Pronunciation Forms and their text-types - The Theoretical Fondation of the Austrian Pronunciation Dictionary for the Selection of Model Speakers and the Control of Conditions of Speech Production |
| --- |
| **Professio-** |
| **nal/ trained** |
| Discourse domain 1 | Discourse domain 2 | Discourse domain 3 |
| Language of Distance | Language of distant proximity | Language of proximity |
| Based on written-language | Based on social context |
| **Nonpro-** |
| **fessional/ untrained** |
| speakers |
| Public - fact-directed addressed to foreign group |
| Public - half-public fact- or person directed |
| Half-public or not public - person directed - addressed to peer group |
| monologic | dialogic | monologic |
| dialogic | monologic | dialogic |
| **Reading-** |
| **Pronun-** |
| **ciation** |
| Pronunciation domain 1 |
| Read Radio and TV-news; Read lecture address, speech, sermon |
| Read theatrical dialogue; On stage theatre dialogue |
| Local news on radio, TV; Lecture to work mates |
| Read children stories |
| **Pronun-** |
| **ciation of** |
| **free speech** |
| Pronunciation domain 2 |
| Prepared, freely spoken lecture Presentation on TV, radio report |
| Discussion in front of TV-public, Interview for TV, radio interrogation hearing |
| Speech; address; formal report to an institutional peer group; instructions at the workplace |
| Shopping conversation Conversation in the service industry Formal discussion in the peer group |
| Personal story telling |
| Private discussion |
| Private conversation |
| Private chatting |
| Conversation among friends |
| Pronunciation domain 3 |
| Lang. of everyday public life; Language of social groups |
| Everyday Language; Lang of self representation |
| Private Language |
| Private everyday Language; Language of self representation |
| Private intimate Language |
| **Language** |
| **Forms (LF)** |
| Media language; Media Presentation Language |
| Media language; Media Presentation Language; Language of Institutions |
| Regional Media language Media Presentation; Language; Person- directed Language of Institutions / Groups |
| Lang. of everyday public life; Language of social groups |
| Everyday Language; Lang of self representation |
| Private Language |
| Private everyday Language; Language of self representation |
| Private intimate Language |
| © R.Muhr |
| LF 1 | LF 2 | LF 3 | LF 4 | LF 5 | LF 6 |
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“Media presentation language” and its pronunciation forms can be produced by any professionally trained speaker or untrained speaker speaking in the electronic media. This is the first distinction which has to be made in the codification process. It is based on the assumption that any individual speaker knowing that a large audience is listening will try to reproduce some kind of language form which is thought to be generally accepted. By doing so speakers are trying to reproduce their individual “model-standard”. Whether the individual pronunciation is exemplary has to be decided in a second run by hearer judgements. It is therefore necessary to distinguish between “model speakers” who are exemplary for the speech community and “other speakers” who are producing non-exemplary forms of pronunciation (which can be widespread but not considered to have model status).

This leads to the conclusion that the codification and description process has to be controlled on two sides: 1) On the side of the chosen model speakers and 2) on the side of the conditions under which a given form of pronunciation has been or is produced.

For controlling the speaker input usually only professionally trained speakers are chosen for the codification of a model-pronunciation as their standard pronunciation is closely linked to the acoustic reproduction of written language. Model speakers also have gained the necessary steadiness and control over their voice which ensures invariable pronunciations across a large corpus. (Our research shows however that there is an unexpected amount of variation in pronunciation even among professional speakers. This makes hearer judgements indispensable when it comes to the selection of model speakers.)

For the control of the conditions under which a given form of pronunciation is produced a complex scheme was developed by R. Muhr which is presented in table 1. It is based on three criteria: 1) Discourse domains (1-3) which are ranging from public to private and are linked to language forms which signal social distance or social proximity; 2) The basic interaction types monologic or dialogic; 3) The speech production types reading (bound production) or free speech (unbound production). The combination of these factors results in the definition of 3 pronunciation domains: 1) Reading pronunciation on radio and TV usually produced by professional speakers or in a more local context by speakers who show an affiliation to the region from where they come. These pronunciation forms are firmly based on written language which in most cases serves as input for the speech production in this area of media communication. 2) Domain 2) comprises the pronunciation of free speech which is produced when a lecture or a presentation or a discussion is held on radio or on TV. Contrary to the monologic speech events which are based on prepared texts, discussions and interviews usually are unprepared and completely free of a formal control by a text underlying the speech production. 3) The third pronunciation domain puts together the large field of free everyday speech which is produced spontaneously and face to face in private or semi-private situations.

For the ongoing codification of a model standard pronunciation of AG the pronunciation variants 1.1, 1.2, 2.1 and 2.2 are central. All other variants serve to distinguish written-language based pronunciation forms and nationally accepted ones from non-written language based and more regionalised forms.

5.4. The single steps of the codification process of AG pronunciation

The codification process was split into six stages: Stage 1) dealt with the selection of model speakers of AG and the other NAVG. Stage 2) was devoted to the recording and processing of the acoustic model corpus which was produced by one male and one female speaker for each NAVG. Stage 3) which is presently ongoing, is concentrating on the phonetic and acoustic description of the pronunciation forms produced by the model speakers. Stage 4) is to complete the data of pronunciation variants 2.1 and 2.2 which are gained by direct recordings from the media. The data are also completed by recordings of a phonetically rich corpus of 400 words of an additional number of professional speakers from the three NAVG. These data will serve to support or rectify the findings of the phonetic analysis of the corpuses produced by the 6 main model speakers. Stage 5) will be devoted to the transformation of the lexical entries of the pronunciation dictionary into the correct AG phonetic forms and add additional information to it when there are differences in the model pronunciation of the other NAVG. Stage 6) which has already started is dealing with the creation of the database and the programming of the user interface (see pt. 5.7).

5.5. The model-speaker selection process

The controlled selection of the model speakers is a necessary prerequisite for the codification of a standard pronunciation. This was done in four steps.

In step 1) a complex scheme about the so called “media formats” (text genres) was developed. This scheme was used for the selection of potential model speakers.

In step 2) 40 model speakers out of a potential of 500 were selected and asked to record a phonetically rich corpus of 400 words, a short text and a freely spoken short description of their curriculum vitae. The speakers were representing all relevant media formats (news, sports, culture, science, entertainment etc.) and also representing the regional structure of the Austrian national broadcasting company.

In step 3) 17 of the 40 potential speakers were chosen by the co-ordinators of the project in several rounds of close listening to the texts of the 40 speakers.

In step 4) a web based questionnaire was set up and a large number of schools, organisations, firms and institutions were contacted and asked to participate in the selection of the Austrian model speakers. The questionnaire presented short bits of utterances and single words produced by the speakers asking the participants in the poll to mark their impression on a 7-point scale. Four categories of auditive well-formedness were tested. The categories were: Whether the pronunciation sounds 1) exemplary or not, 2) natural or unnatural/artificial, 3) Austrian/native or Non-Austrian/non-native, 4) pleasant or unpleasant. A total of 450 persons across the country took part in the poll and yielded a clear and statistically highly significant preference for 2 speakers which then were asked to record the corpus of 14.000 words and 2 coherent texts.
The selection of the German and the Swiss model speakers had to be left to the nomination of “speakers in charge” at German and Swiss broadcasting corporations. This is a shortcoming which we are well aware of. However our funding did not allow a similarly complex and time consuming process of model-speaker selection for the other two NAVG too. We had to rely on the judgements of managerial staff of the broadcasting companies which were willing to co-operate with us in the project. This was the case with the Swiss radio DRS and the Südwestfunk in Stuttgart. According to the criteria named by us a male and a female speaker representing the German and the Swiss variety of media presentation language came to Graz and recorded the corpus.

5.6. The selection of the model corpus of the Austrian pronunciation dictionary

The model corpus which was read by the model speakers comprises 13343 single words and two coherent texts. Text 1) is the short story “The Sphinx” by the famous Austrian writer Ingeborg Bachmann. It is supposed to represent literary language. Text 2) is a news text taken from September 12th 2002 which was taken from the videotext pages of the ORF website. It is intended to represent the language of news as they are presented every hour on radio.

The wordlist for the audio recordings with the model speakers should fulfill several requirements: 1) It should represent the most frequent and communicative useful words to allow the AG pronouncing dictionary to be used in educational contexts both for the teaching of German as first and foreign language. 2) It should also include frequent word forms and not only citation forms. This part of the word list should allow the checking of the pronunciation of difficult words and word forms which are often neglected in traditional pronunciation dictionaries. 3) A list of the most common foreign words/loan words used in German as they usually cause a lot of difficulties for non native speakers of German.

For the selection of the wordlist several sources were used. The words of list 1) were derived from the “Österreichisches Sprachdiplom Deutsch - Lernzielkataloge Deutsch als Fremdsprache” [Austrian Language Diploma German - A catalogue of language skills for the teaching and learning of German as a foreign language] which is a catalogue of language skills and contains a list of 5500 words which were considered to be relevant in 13 thematic areas of communication. It is a “basic vocabulary list” which was selected according to communicative necessity, frequency, structural usefulness and semantic range of the lexical items. This basic list was supplemented by list 2) which came from the “Projekt Deutscher Wortschatz” [Project German Lexicon] situated at the University of Leipzig and the only one which freely available at the moment and based on a corpus of 200 mio. running words. The first 10.000 most frequent word forms were included in list 1) and all double entries removed. Finally 3000 common loan words were selected from the “Duden Fremdwörterbuch” [Duden Dictionary of Foreign words] and added to the list. Together with the phonetically rich word list the final version included 13343 words which was recorded by the 6 model speakers.

5.7. The phonetic database and the user interface

For the monitoring of the pronunciation items the software will allow to choose between several options: a) Listening to male/female speakers or a mix of both; b) Continuous listening to all words which are available on the CD; c) Selective listening according to a choice of phonetic, lexical or grammatical features; d) Selective listening plus a presentation of selected acoustic and phonetic data which are also included on the CD. This latter part will include a selected number of sonograms, data on F0 and formant characteristics, accentuation etc.

A first prototype is shown below. A more advanced version of the interface and a prototype of the database itself will be presented at the LREC conference 2002.

![Prototype of the database interface](image-url)

7 We would like to thank Mr. Witschi from Swiss radio and Mr. Klein from the Südwestfunk for the nomination of model speakers for SG and GG.
6. Conclusions

The paper presented the ongoing work for the creation of a pronouncing dictionary for Austrian German and a large phonetic database which is to supply users and researchers with a range of different speakers and different types of pronunciations. The theoretical foundations of the project are based on the sociolinguistic concept of pluri-centric languages and explained in detail. A lot of detailed work still remains to be done. This is particularly the case in respect to the analysis of the phonetic substance of the three varieties and the differences between them.
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