The Impact of Culture and Belief in So-Called Honour Killings A Comparative Study between Honour Murders and other Perpetrators of Violence in Germany
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Abstract
Although the experts assume that, in addition to general psychosocial stress, culture-specific and migration-related factors play a part in the criminal tendencies of migrants, there has not been much research done to date on the special situation of those persons committing honour killings. This study starts from the premise that these perpetrators have a patriarchal-religious mind-set and have developed a conception of "honour". In connection with biographical stresses they are more willing to injure or kill other people if the values and standards they believe in are not respected. We interviewed 41 men with a Turkish background between 18 and 35 in Germany who had killed other persons who they thought had violated their concept of honour and who then had been convicted of murder or manslaughter ("honour killers"). The two control groups comprised 44 criminals with an ethnic Turkish background who were in prison on charges of using violence without causing death and 40 prisoners who had been convicted of murder or manslaughter for other reasons. To ascertain the respective motives for their actions, we used semi-standardised interviews in jails. Compared to the control groups, the group of honour killers revealed significant differences as regards ethnicity and socialisation, structural violence in their country of origin and stresses within the family. We found a correlation between the parameters "reinforcement through the social milieu" and "cost-benefit considerations", "ancestry and socialisation" and "structural violence". In the case of the honour killers we found strong patriarchal-religious cognitions with structural violence which triggered action-oriented and target-oriented aggression when the person concerned felt that the standards and values which he believed in, had been infringed.

Introduction

According to the 2010 United Nations Population Fund Activities (UNPFA) at least 5,000 women and girls worldwide are killed annually in the name of honour. These so-called honour killings are not a religious but rather a social phenomenon: It is true that they frequently occur in Islamic countries, yet they are not confined to these ([1,2]. Women and girls in at least 14 countries are affected, including Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Brazil, Germany, Ecuador, Italy, Jordan, Palestine, Pakistan, Turkey, Iran, Iraq, Lebanon [3,4].

Above all, so-called honour killings occur in traditional societies whose members have a patriarchal-archaic notion of honour linked to religious elements [5]. In traditional societies with patriarchal values and norms, women embody honour in a narrower sense. The expression of a women’s honour, and therefore the family’s honour, is sexual integrity, i.e. chastity and being faithful in marriage. The whole family, represented by the head of the household as the boss, is responsible for the maintenance of women’s honour [6]. In patriarchal societies honour is closely connected to sexuality. Extramarital sexual activity endangers the social order: This is why Islam gives clear instructions on how men and women are to behave within and outside marriage [7].

The top priority – and the men’s task – is the protection and preservation of honour. As head of the household the father must ensure that the family’s honour is protected in public. The sons see themselves – and are brought up in this way – to be their sisters’ protectors, protectors of their honour, which could be violated, for example, by their relationship with a man [8,9]. This constant fear and insecurity results in the brothers’ exercising control over the young girls and sometimes even monitoring their movements—particularly in an environment which is differently oriented from a religious-cultural point of view. This can have a considerable influence on the relationships between the siblings and in the whole family [10]. Since there is a homogeneous set of values in traditional village communities, such controls are not as necessary as in other environments, and breaches of the rules are quite rare.

In the case of so-called honour killings, for example the German Criminal Code does not explicitly refer for example to human rights, but rather discusses morality and legality, and can classify them as deviating values of a cultural minority, e.g. "honour killings". Moral beliefs of the perpetrator that contradict those of the majority are just as irrelevant in criminal law as ideas that overlap with those of the majority. Honour killings are seen as murders and sentenced according to the German Penal Code [11].

In many other countries, the so-called honour killings still were not treated as a violation of human rights but were treated as normal...
crimes by the respective national legislators. It is only the pressure from numerous human rights organisations during the last years which has brought about a greater readiness to view this issue from the perspective of human rights [12]. For example, over the last ten years in Turkey some civil rights movements have dealt more intensively with the topic. On 08.03.2005 the Turkish newspaper Hürriyet reported on the work of KAMER, the women’s centre in Diyarbakir, and that up to then some 6,902 women had been to the centre to report violence in the immediate or in the extended family. According to information provided by the centre, 100% of these women were suffering from mental health problems; some 58% had suffered physical violence and 13.7% had experienced rape. More than 65 women with strong suicidal tendencies had sought help at the centre, 63 of these are being accommodated in an unknown and safe place because of the fear that they could be killed on account of the possible violation of honour and blood vengeance. In a study conducted by the University of Diyarbakir in 2005, 430 people were asked about the topic of honour killing. 78% men and 22% women were randomly chosen from one of the more affluent parts of the town. They were asked what should be done if a woman enters into an extramarital affair with a man. 37.4% advocated having the woman killed. However, 16% called for only a punishment. The punishment under consideration was physical injury and mutilation e.g. cutting the ears or the nose. Regarding the question as to who should inflict this punishment, 64% voted for the husband. 25% of those interviewed advocated divorce through the proper legal channels [13].

Such honour killings are not only carried out in the home country, but also in the country of migration. According to a Federal Criminal Police (2011), between 1996 and 2005 in Germany alone, at least 55 such murders and attempted murders took place, with a total of 70 victims, of which 48 were female and 22 male. 36 women and 12 men were killed [14]. The killers considered that the female victims had had an extramarital affair, either before marriage (virginity) or with a man of a different religion. The male victims, as a rule, were murdered by members of the woman’s family or other close family members of the woman with whom they had had an affair. There are isolated cases of female killers. They kill for the same reasons, namely what they believe to be a violation of honour [15,16] and other investigations show how important it is to include action-theoretical concepts in order to make criminal acts of violence more understandable [26-28].

In the scientific literature, criminal acts of violence are understood as a sub-group of violent actions. Violent actions in turn can be understood as a sub-section of aggressive actions. The border between aggression and violence is not clear; the concept of “violence” tends to be reserved for extreme forms of aggressive actions, that is, for aggressive actions leading to the greater probability of significant injuries to the victim [29]. As a sub-group of violent actions, criminal acts of violence comprise violent actions forbidden by the state under the threat of punishment. In criminology literature, a “criminal act” is frequently referred to simply as a “crime”. According to [30], crime is an extremely valueless and socially deviant kind of behaviour. The various forms of crime are defined in legal terms in the criminal code (StGB); this investigation is oriented towards the legal definitions contained in the code.

The reasons for committing a criminal offence are many, for migrants and indigenous people alike. In various studies, cultural and migration-related stress has also been discussed [31]. The deprivation theory as an explanatory approach focuses in particular on the migrants’ socio-structural situation. The argument goes that they are often disadvantaged since they more rarely have secondary education qualifications and are employed in the low-paid sector. This is not only a problem because they earn below-average wages but also because their jobs are less secure. For this reason migrants are more often affected by unemployment and receive social security benefits.

They are not able to reach their personal targets by their own means. This causes frustration and is compensated for by acquiring the necessary means in a different way, among other things by robbery and theft [32]. The theory assumes that migrants are more conspicuous and attract attention because of their marginalised social situation. In the same situation German people would probably behave in exactly the same deviant way.

Cultural explanations augment this point of view. They concentrate not only on the marginalised economic situation but also on specific orientations within the migrant groups. According to the sub-culture theory and the theory of cultural conflict, not all the norms and values of a society are valid to the same degree in all social circles. This can be amply illustrated by considering the understanding of honour, as discussed earlier. Migrants do not simply drop such cultural convictions when they come to Germany. To date there have been no scientific studies on the perpetrators who have killed...
so-called honour killers

|            | Violence not resulting in death | So-called honour killers | Murder or manslaughter for other reasons |
|------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Age        | 23.5 (SD 5.1)                   | 34.4 (SD 11.4)           | 29.4 (SD 9.2)                            |
| Years at school | 6.1 (SD 4.6)                   | 5.1 (SD 3.5)             | 5.7 (SD 4.7)                             |
| Migration (years resident in Germany) | 19.1 (SD 8.2)                 | 21.3 (SD 7.5)            | 21.7 (SD 8.7)                            |
| Perpetrators had history of mental illness | 2 (x%)                      | 0                        | 3 (x%)                                   |

The group murder or manslaughter for other reasons gave robbery and deception (60%) and uncontrolled aggression (40%) as the motive for their crime.

The mean age of the ethnic Turkish group of honour killers was 34.4 years (standard deviation = 10.4); the youngest person was 18, the oldest 40. The mean age of the murder or manslaughter for other reasons group was 29.4 years (SD = 9.2); the youngest was 20, the oldest 36. The violence not resulting in death group had an average age of 23.5 years (SD = 5.1); the youngest person was 20, the oldest 33.

The honour killers group had attended school for an average of 5.1 years and the group violence not resulting in death for 8.1 years. The honour killers group had been living in Germany for an average of 21.3 years, the murder or manslaughter for other reasons group for 21.7 years and the violence not resulting in death group for 19.1 years. In the group violence not resulting death 2 Person and in the group murderoder manslaughter 3 Persons had a history of mental illness without any effect on their judgment or live in prison (Table 1). The court files gave information on the type and method of killing and the relationship of the perpetrator to the victim. The violence not resulting in death group had no family ties to the victim and the instruments were so varied and numerous that we could not adequately compare them with the other two groups.

The data on which the analysis is based was gathered between May 2018 and May 2019. This time span was necessary in order to locate the so-called honour killers via the courts and prisons, to overcome the many legal hurdles and to obtain the perpetrators’ consent to being interviewed (Table 2). Test persons for the group to be investigated were found by means of media research, contact to

Table 2: Relationship of perpetrator to victim and method of killing.

| Relationship to victim | Honour killers (n = 41) | Murder or manslaughter for other reasons (n = 40) |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Husband/Partner        | 49%                    | 14%                                           |
| Other relative         | 20%                    | 19%                                           |
| Father                 | 14%                    | 5%                                            |
| Brother                | 17%                    | 5%                                            |
| No relation            | 5%                     | 53%                                           |
| Method                 |                        |                                               |
| Gun                    | 39%                    | 51%                                           |
| Knife                  | 34%                    | 19%                                           |
| Other object           | 15%                    | 16%                                           |
| Strangulation          | 5%                     | 0%                                            |
| Other physical violence without using an instrument | 10% | 15% |
Table 3: The 14 Quasi Scales and their Thematic Areas.

| Quasi-interval scale | Theme |
|----------------------|-------|
| 1. Origin and Socialisation | Religiousness, patriarchal family structure, upbringing |
| 2. Structural violence | Violence which is embedded in a social, societal system and which is legitimised as a form of education used in a target-oriented way as a means to an end (e.g. the systematic use of violence in the family, school, army, religious institutions etc.). War, ethnic and religious conflicts which favour violence. |
| 3. Family burden | Structural incompleteness due to divorce, death of a parent, time spent in a home, abuse, addiction at the core of the family, religiousness |
| 4. Psychosocial anomalies | Educational anomalies, breaking off job training, addiction problems, mental anomalies, migration, forced marriage, traditional marriage, domestic circumstances |
| 5. Criminal career | Juvenile delinquency, recidivity, group crime, arrest, robbery in home country in order to survive |
| 6. Propensity to violence | |
| 7. Economic deprivation | Unemployment, low income, debts |
| 8. Behavioural changes | Unusual feelings and behaviour such as sleeping disorders, irritability, absences from work |
| 9. Alcohol and drug consumption | Considerable alcohol consumption, consumption of soft and hard drugs |
| 10. Cost-benefit consideration | Weighing up alternatives, working with alternative attempts to solve problems and the risk of committing an offence |
| 11. Intensity of offence anticipation | Anticipation of difficulties, breaking off the offence, the use of violence and behaviour subsequent to the offence |
| 12. Conflict-aggravating incidents | A special incident, alcohol and drug consumption, negative mood, family, relatives, social environment |
| 13. Threat and insecurity | Perpetrator felt he was provoked by the victim, physically attacked, threatened; unexpected behaviour by the victim |
| 14. Disorder in post-offence behaviour | Fear, confusion, giving himself up, suicide attempt following the offence |

Results

Comparison of the three perpetrator groups regarding biographical stress factors

The ranking comparison regarding the accumulation of biographical stress features led to a significant result in all three groups investigated. The honour killers reported religious-patriarchal notions to a significantly more frequent degree, saying that to uphold and maintain honour had been at the centre of their upbringing (recorded on the quasi scale Origin and Socialisation). At the same
time, many of these test persons said they had experienced violence at the hands of soldiers, the army, parents or teachers in their home country (Table 4).

Cognitive features, behavioural patterns and incidents preceding the offence

The statistical comparison of the three perpetrator groups regarding conflict-aggravating incidents and behaviour preceding the offence led to significant results in respect of the scale cost-benefit considerations, changes prior to the offence and intensity of offence anticipation (Table 5). There were no significant results in respect of alcohol and drug consumption preceding the offence and economic deprivation.

Threat and Insecurity

The three perpetrator groups differed significantly regarding conflict-aggravating incidents (Scale 12) in the pre-scene, features of threat and insecurity(Scale 13) and disorder in post-offence behaviour(Scale 14) (Table 6).

Social Environment

We also investigated reinforcement from the social environment. Here there was a significant connection between the feature reinforcement from the social environment and the scales toring honour and structural violence (Table 7). Table 6: Medians of the number of applicable features for scale 12 “conflict-aggravating incidents”, scale 13 “features of threat and insecurity” and scale 14 “disorder in post-offence behaviour”

Discussion

The ranking comparisons for the accumulation of biographical stress factors indicate that the three perpetrator groups were exposed to varying degrees of unfavourable socialisation conditions. For the group murder or manslaughter for other reasons severe stress was prevalent in all the biographical areas under investigation. In contrast, the group violence not resulting in death had the fewest biographical stress factors. The honour killers revealed the highest degree of stress above all in the case of structural violence. These test persons had also had a strongly patriarchal-religious upbringing. The benefits of restoring honour by means of the offence and thereby getting recognition from the social group had a higher value than the risk of being arrested and possibly being economically disadvantaged as a result. The links between reinforcement from the social environment and the scales restoration of honour and structural violence revealed important effects. Increased unfavourable biographical peculiarities in the case of the honour killers compared to other perpetrators were not confirmed, since the perpetrators of violence not resulting in death, especially when comparing family stress factors and the features of their criminal career, also revealed high values. The key to understanding perpetrators who kill somebody whom they
believe has violated honour could possibly be found however in the patriarchal-religious upbringing of those concerned together with violence as an educative method in the broader society (school, army, parents, war zone) in their country of origin. With regard to the scales of weighing up the consequences (cost-benefit considerations) and planning the crime (intensity of offence anticipation), the results showed a division into two of the three perpetrator groups. In the days and weeks before the crime the honour killers thought more elaborately about their crime than the other two groups. We assume that the collective contributes in a decisive way to the crime in the case of a perceived violation of honour. The subsequent perpetrator is much more preoccupied with the possible offence in his environment and the discussion in the community regarding violation and restoration of honour finally leads to the execution of the crime [43,44]. The group murder or manslaughter for other reasons was also primarily concerned with the planning and possible consequences, albeit significantly less than the honour killers. They displayed a less emotional approach, weighed up various alternatives, in some cases thought intensively about the risk of the crime and the punishment and imagined the course of the act and any problems and obstacles which might arise there from [45]. The group violence not resulting in death thought less about the possible consequences of the crime. These consequences hardly seemed to have been anticipated and planned, but displayed the characteristics of a spontaneous “impulsive act”. The less the course of the act was anticipated and the less was thought about the cost-benefit aspect, the more likely it was that, after the act, the perpetrator was reminded by unexpected events later which made him insecure. From a theoretical point of view this can be interpreted by the fact that the honour killers used violence from the standpoint of an expected event and experienced fewer target conflicts. Accordingly, the perpetrators behaved more calmly and less chaotically after the act. In general we can, with care, assume that crimes carried out on the basis of a perceived honour violation lead to fewer situations of surprise from which further acts of violence can develop [46]. It appears difficult to prevent such acts since the perpetrators are in most cases directly related to the victims and have shown hardly any criminal activity beforehand [47]. However, their strong patriarchal-religious perception of honour is recognisable. It is important for advisors, police officers, physicians and psychotherapists to take down an exact record of the case history in its transcultural context during any contact with advice centres, in medical-psychological investigations or in a case where a person affected reports the possible act to the police, prior to such a crime being committed [48]. For instance, by means of a special interview approach, the intervener could ask questions to find out whether there was a rigid family structure and about the importance of honour for the family. Human rights organisations in the countries of origin are unambiguously and strictly against any reduced or commuted sentences for such perpetrators [49].

**Conclusion**

It shows that many prevention and support measures still need to be taken to prevent honour crimes both in the countries of origin and in migration. Not only the possible perpetrators, but also society as a whole must be involved, as they consciously or unconsciously create social pressure and tolerate these acts. In this context, it is necessary to change the traditional ideas of men, but also of women, which have been shaped for centuries: It must be made clear that no religion or tradition can justify crime in the name of honour. Only in a society in which both sexes live together on equal terms are the conditions given for crimes in the name of honour to be completely eliminated. Even if the legal aspect of the guilt principle, which is free of cultural prejudices and simplifications, ensures that the same law applies to everyone and that the perpetrators are punished appropriately, the aspect of the crime as a violation of human rights must not be forgotten.
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