Introduction

Across Europe, the presence of derelict and contaminated land represents a territorial concern. Brownfield sites present specific challenges to policy makers in terms of bringing the land back to beneficial use and of environmental quality, requiring the cleaning of contaminated soil and groundwater. Sustainable brownfields' redevelopment research involves integrated environmental, spatial, and urban planning approaches in configuring the suitable policies and strategies. While in North America, brownfield sites are defined as "real property, the expansion, redevelopment or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant" (U.S. SENATE 2002: 504), there is no common definition for the concept of "brownfield" in Europe but the term, in general, is associated with contamination (Grimski and Ferber 2001, Cobârzan 2007). Military brownfields represent a particular category of brownfields and they are common among many countries throughout Europe.

Following the collapse of the USSR, the Central-Eastern European region experienced important socio-economic-political changes, such as: the transition from the centrally planned to the market economy, the democracy process, the institutional changes, the multi-party political system, the first free elections, the integration in the global networks (European Union, NATO), the increasing social polarization (Ilieș and Wendt 2003, Michalski 2006). This major restructuring process involved also demilitarisation, part of an important society transformation, having as consequences, among others, the emergence of the so called Military Brownfields, or underused, derelict, in some cases contaminated military areas, including abandoned buildings and the attached installations. Military Brownfields involve a large number of former military facilities, like bases, arsenals, ammunition depots, storage of fuels and lubricants, tank training areas, dump and disposal of hazardous waste. Military brownfields have a negative
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impact not only on the environment, as the site contaminants may be present in soil, in ground-
water aquifers, in outdoor air, but also to the economy as well, as these abandoned areas have
no contribution to the local and regional economy.

The Military Brownfield sites within the city of Oradea, in Romania, have been generated by the
historical military activities and they are a mixture of military installations dating from late 19th
and 20th centuries. At the end of the 20th century and the beginning of the 21st century, the
whole city area was affected by the demilitarisation process. Part of these former military areas
have fallen into disuse or decay with no contribution to the local economy, while other parts
have had their functionality changed, from military to education or public administration
services. Through new investments, the redevelopment of these valuable lands, located either
central or peripheral across the city, would lead to new economic opportunities, and various
social and environmental benefits, like reducing the pressure on urban or suburban greenfields
(parks, forests), a better public health and an improved public safety (Russ 2000, Berman et al.
2009, Sami 2009, De Sousa and Ghoshal 2012). In general, at local level, these sites are seen
as underutilized areas, where pollution hindered their redevelopment (Oradea City Hall 2013),
and their study focuses predominantly on technical elements and on environmental risk factors.

The paper deals with the multitude facets of military brownfields redevelopment benefits at
local level, explaining the rehabilitation process of the former military sites as part of the city of
Oradea sustainable regeneration process.

Data and Methods

The study area is represented by the former military areas (Military Brownfields, Fig. 1) of the
city of Oradea, an important socio-economic and cultural urban centre, with a population of 222
736 inhabitants (INSSE 2015), located in western Romania (Bihor County), 10 km away from
the Hungarian border, and being one of the main Romanian gateways to Central and Western
Europe. The city is located at 126 m altitude, along the Crișul Repede River, being spatially
developed at the contact between the Western Plain and the Western Hills, an area of
transition between the Pannonian Depression and the Apuseni Mountain. The historical urban
development of Oradea reflects the strong correlation between its spatial extension, the
physical-geographical characteristics of the territory, its socio-economic features and the city’s
functional areas.

Fig. 1 – The former military areas of the city of Oradea.
Source: ANCPI aerial photo (2012)
The complexity of the research topic required a comprehensive methodology, based on the literature review in the first stage. This important phase was designed to increase the understanding of the military areas restructuring phenomenon through the results of previous research (Cocean 2005). Therefore, different types of works were reviewed, taking into account a multidisciplinary perspective – geography, sociology, architecture, history and administration studies were included. The preliminary phase meant also the analysis of maps and aerial photos for the identification and the quantitative and qualitative assessment of areas to be studied. Then, a descent into the former military areas allowed us to obtain the latest information about the status of the analysed space and related the projects undertaken by the authorities. The field observation increased the knowledge on the geographical reality of the former military areas under continuous evolution (Cocean 2005). The direct observation stage had the purpose to capture the complex characteristics of the former military areas, in order to better understand the dynamics of the involved socio-economic processes. The analysis followed with the processing of the volume of data obtained through the preceding methods. Initially, the results of the analysis are presented from a historical perspective, then they focus on the features of the military heritage buildings, presenting their specific architectural influences and style. The final phase of synthesis was the support for drawing and defining conclusions to a better policy making in the field.

Results and Discussion

From military use to commerce, service and industry activities

Oradea has developed since its beginnings in close connection to its fortress, the oldest construction within the city. The Fortress of Oradea had an important military role in the Middle Ages, but its importance was lost in the Modern Period. The evolution of the military techniques, the introduction of the mandatory military service, the need to train, to equip or to feed a large number of soldiers led, in the second half of the 19th century, to the development of large military facilities construction projects, involving military bases, schools, ammunition depots, storage of fuels and lubricants, phenomenon quite common throughout Europe in that period.

Beginning with 1880, on the empty field located north of the Rulikowski Cemetery, various military buildings and facilities have been built in Oradea. The School of Gendarmerie was built in 1912-1913, and its buildings host in the present time the University of Oradea (Fig. 2) (Monumente Bihor 2014). The large number of soldiers from these military facilities turned Oradea into one of the greatest military bases throughout the Austro-Hungarian Empire. Following the First World War and the union of Transylvania with Romania, all this military heritage was undertaken, with maintaining the military function by the Romanian State administration, as being the succession authority of the Austro-Hungarians in Transylvania.

Oradea hosted the 16th Infantry Division, its commandment being in the imposing building where the General Headquarter of the School of Officers was located, during the Austro-Hungarian period (Fig. 3). The School of Gendarmerie continued its existence under the Romanian administration in the same conditions as during the Austro-Hungarian. Following the Vienna Dictate (1940), the Romanian authorities and military have redrawn from Oradea (Mândrut 2013). But, the new Romanian-Hungarian border was passing just south of Oradea, therefore the city maintained its military and strategic importance. In these conditions, it was located an important Hungarian military base in Oradea, the construction of new barracks being a real need. After 1940, there were built the military facilities of the so called “Red Barracks” (name related to the occupation of the Romanian – Soviet troops in 1944) located south of the Rulikowski cemetery. Following the Second World War, the northern part of Transylvania was reintegrated into Romania, and there were located new various Romanian military units in Oradea. Between the end of the war and 1963, the buildings presently hosting
the University of Oradea was destined to the School of Officers for the Ministry of Interior. In 1963, the Three Years Pedagogical Institute was established there and, following 1990, the institution was converted into the University of Oradea (Paşca 2005).

After NATO was established in 1949 (NATO 1949) and the War in Korea erupted in 1950 (Britannica 2016), Stalin, the Soviet Dictator, together with the Satellite Countries from the Central-Eastern Europe, including Romania, have developed an arming program, part of the Warsaw Treaty (TOH 2016), for the endowment of the military with modern technique. So that, the Romanian Army has increased significantly its military forces and the military was equipped with modern fighting technique. Oradea had become one of the largest barracks of the country, the 11th Infantry Division being established here, in 1951 (renamed as the 11th Mechanised Division in 1959). This large unit of the Romanian Army included mechanized infantry units of artillery and tanks, being organized according to the Soviet model. In order to support this military fighting technique, deposits of ammunition, fuels and facilities to maintain and repair the military technique were built (Local History 2016).

Following the Romanian Revolution (1989) and the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe (OSCE 1990), the Romanian Army started a significant program of decreasing its military forces and techniques. There were closed a large number of military units, and a part of the buildings and facilities were transferred to the local authorities, having their functionality changed in this way. In Oradea, the same phenomenon happened and a large number of sites and buildings belonging to the 11th Mechanised Division were transferred to the local authorities.

The buildings belonging to the 11th Mechanised Division, closed in 2005, host important institutions today, for example: the Crișuri County Museum (under rehabilitation between 2005-2016, located in the former Division Commandment), the Gheorghe Șincai Bihor County Library (the former military canteen), the Territorial Labour Inspectorate Bihor, or the Cadastre and Land Registration Office Bihor. The Oradea NATO HUMINT Centre of Excellence is located in facilities belonging to the 119 Research Battalion. Other facilities of the 11th Mechanised Division, like the cavalry stables or the infirmary of the division, have fallen into decay, being demolished in the end.

The military area hosting the former 21st Tank Regiment (or the Red Barracks), following the withdrawal of the military presence became abandoned, derelict, with wild vegetation (Fig. 4-7). This total area of 23.84 ha has a great redevelopment potential, addressing the considerable contemporary demand for new lands to sustain residential, commercial and other economic needs within Oradea. The Association of Intercommunity Development, the Oradea Metropolitan Zone and the Oradea City hall, through the Oradea Eurobusiness Park, promoted
a project named The Industrial Park Eurobusiness II Oradea. The technical documentation was elaborated in 2011 and the reconstruction process took place between 2015-2016. The proposed development changes the land planning, which now includes 26 parcels (with areas between 5010-9524 m$^2$ in the east and 6865-21155 m$^2$ in the west) located on both sides of the interior road; also, a 18 m wide green protection belt is to be developed towards the new residential complex from the proximity. In the east side of the site, the commerce and services are the main economic activities, while in the west the lots are larger and they are designed to host production activities (light industry) and deposits/storage (Oradea City Hall 2011). This Industrial Park aims to attract businesses and investors, as their involvement in economic activities, business development, work-place creation means the redeveloping of derelict and abandoned areas, improving the overall urban life quality at local level and serving as a catalyst for a broader sustainable development phenomenon of the urban structure.

![Fig. 4 – Former commandment of the 21st Tanks Regiment](image)

![Fig. 5 – Abandoned barrack of the 21st Tanks Regiment](image)

![Fig. 6 – The former tanks maintenance unit (21st Tanks Regiment)](image)

![Fig. 7 – Military Brownfields in Oradea](image)

Historical and architectural features of military heritage buildings

The former military sites of Oradea consist of historic buildings of critical value. The cultural-historical value is very important while these heritage buildings have a special architecture as a direct result of the military development process influenced by historical events and decisions.

The former School of Gendarmerie is one of the greatest work of the architect József Vágó (Paşca 2005). The building-complex was built in 1912-1913. The main entrance is framed with two sentry-boxes (G) and the buildings are centralised around a round-shaped park (Péter 2008). The former school is the main building (A) sided by the officers’ house and office (D), the two major 4-storey buildings were the barracks (B, C), and in-between the bath (E). Behind the main buildings there are six houses for warrant officers according to Vágó’s plan, yet only
four were built (F) (Fig. 8). A canteen building (H) was also built in the same style and a simple white colour.

Vágó made an outrageous plan for the gendarmerie, as he broke up with the traditional barrack designs and built a great central hall which represents the staircase itself (Lambrich 2010). So the functions of the military unit are combined (Fig. 9).

These buildings are considered the last work of Vágó in Oradea, and the first in this large-scale (Moravánszky 1988, Paşca 2005, Paşca 2010). Vágó mixed different architectural directions in his work. In the next paragraph we will have a brief outlook on these influences.

The buildings of the gendarmerie represent national architectural and cultural heritage monuments according to the decision no. BH-II-a-A-01031 of Bihor County. The decision argues that the former military site is featured by the late secessionist architecture style, thus the buildings are complexly composed in terms of architectural style.

The secession, as an artistic movement, has many names and directions across Europe. It is called Jugendstil in Germany, Modern Style in England, Secessionistil in Austria, Art Nouveau in France. In lack of a comprehensive name, we will refer as Secession to this style, in our paper (Moravánszky 1988, Loze 1992, Vadas 2005, Cezar MORAR, Mircea DULCA, Gyula NAGY).

![Fig. 8 – Original blueprint of the Gendarmerie in Oradea – the complex planned by József Vágó and the recent buildings of the same area](source: Lambrich (2010), edited by the authors)

![Fig. 9 – Original blueprint of the Oradean Gendarmerie barrack planned by József Vágó](source: Lambrich (2010))
Secession is considered the art of the line. Line is essential to express thoughts, identity and emotions. Therefore, the most important elements on the facades are the stylistic lines of the ornaments. The main decorations are naturalistic and floral adornments defined by sudden and violent, sometimes smooth and thin, curves (Tschudi-Madsen 1956). The aim of secession, as a new wave of architecture, is to express the era of the early 1900’s which was created as a criticism on historicism (Moravánszky 1988). Historicism, as an architectural direction, revives historical architectural elements from the ancient Greek or romantic architecture which created the eclectic architecture. The critical intentions of secession were not fully successful, due to the eclectic eclecticism in the Austrian-rooted Austrian-Hungarian Empire (Vadas 2005). This required compromise between the secessionist and the historicist architecture in the beginning, therefore style continuity is an important feature of the early Austro-Hungarian secessionist buildings.

In the Hungarian Kingdom, a strong endeavour appeared to adapt the folk motives in architecture. In the early 1900’s, Ödön Lechner had great impact on architecture, as he believed that secession can be a national art form for the Carpathian basin. The disciples of Lechner (Károly Kós, Lajos Kozma, Béla Lajta etc.) made pilgrimages to Transylvania to discover the forgotten folk art. They did not copy the motives, but adopted them in a 20th century form (Vadas 2005). This influence has a strong imprint on the Hungarian and Transylvanian buildings. As a result, secession could not evolve fully in its traditionalwiener form. This Transylvanian folk motive combines the modern and the traditional architecture of the Carpathians. The secession born, but folk art influenced style can be referred as Transylvanian architecture, as an unique feature of the Carpathian basin. It is not Hungarian, nor Romanian, nor German, just pure Transylvanian. This style is functional, purified and simplified, less than secession, and more than modernism. This kind of pre-modern rationalism and folk-orientation have always had greater room to appear in architecture in this area.

Due to several political changes in the Carpathian basin, the program of secession was consummated during the early 1900’s. In Western Europe, other new wings started to evolve from secession, for example, the art deco. The term of art deco is originated from the Paris World Fair (Expo) in 1925, but the art stream existed since the early 1910’s (Loze 1992). Originally, art deco evolved as a wing of secession movement; later, it became undoubtedly an autonomous artistic wave. The importance of lines remained, but the floristic motives and richly ornamented facades became more abstractive, stylistically simplified and geometric. Functionalism became major, and the decoration got gracefully puritan. In the Austrian-Hungarian Empire, the art deco just started when the First World War ended with the collapse of the dualistic state and it revoked eclecticism in architecture instead of consummating art deco (Vadas 2005). However, fortunately, the Gendarmerie complex contains some of these ideas as well. The main entrance of the main building reminds us to the roman and gothic monumentalism (Fig. 10) but in a modernist manifestation, which appears in other works of Vágó (such as Gresham-Palace, Budapest).

The facade is simple and flush, the windows are uniform with steady distance. The main building’s front windows are narrow but tall, which gives elegance and a reminiscence of the gothic windows with the stained glass. This combines the historic continuity to the complex with the simplicity of modernism and envisions the traditional Transylvanian architecture.

The ground floor is covered with rectangular cement plates which reminds the spectator the plurality and the simplicity of functional modernism, rationality, and art deco. The Vágó brothers used cement flagstones (Fig. 11) in the Árkád-bazár building in Budapest, the same solution was used on the Darvas-La Roche villa, Oradea. The riveted cement coating is a hint for the modern, industrial large-scale production, the era of steel and machines (Vadas 2005).
The main building though has no specific décor on the façade, but the geometrically composed roof terraces (hinting early Bauhaus) (Fig. 12.) and the majolica tiles on the roof made the main building unique. Unfortunately, after a renovation, the terraces had been built up and the roof tiles were changed.

Inside the buildings, secessionist decorations can be found in the staircases or the handrails. Without taking notice on the original plans and the architectural guidelines, the windows and the doors have been modified to suite the building to the university role. In several cases, modern architecture and heritage were not considered as priority during the socialist times. In the next years, changes to renovate the buildings should be done according to the architectural style they were originally built in.

Conclusions

The Military Brownfields redevelopment generates the complex utilization of a region, as rehabilitation has significant positive impacts, like improving the urban aesthetics. Furthermore, these military facilities act as attractions especially based on their cultural heritage features. Many of these former military sites and buildings do not only have a historical value, remnants of an epoch of military expansion, but they also have inherent architectural and affective value and importance. Nowadays, even though several changes had been made, for example the buildings are painted in different colours, or new parts were attached and built in some cases, the buildings have still a great importance. In the recent years, new initiatives have started to renew and to restate the monuments which were abandoned in terms of cultural heritage. The common built heritage symbolizes the modernist approaches and the importance of the
Transylvanian folk motives combined with art deco and secession which should be exploited more. Therefore, the brownfield rehabilitation project of one of the former military sites of Oradea should not only change the function of the territory, from military to academic activity, but cultural tourism should be developed based on special thematic routes that could help to increase the visitors’ number and the general tourism activity as well.

Another Military Brownfield redevelopment project – the Industrial Park Eurobusiness II Oradea – is expected to open new opportunities at local and regional level, supporting in this way the functional urban-economic reintegration of the former military sites, by adding significant economic value and by balancing the land-use development process through the reuse of underutilized spaces, instead of consuming new green urban or suburban territories. The new opportunities of investment would generate positive socio-economic effects, important additional incomes, new infrastructure works, while the negative impact of the brownfield sites on the population and the urban ecosystem would decrease. The challenge for the city is to transform these abandoned military areas and the heritage buildings into successful socio-economic examples for achieving competitiveness, while generating good practice cases for other similar projects and for overall regional development. As the public authorities in Oradea have a leading role in the territorial management at local, county or regional level, the successful socio-economic reuse actions of these military heritage sites represent a part of a major redevelopment challenging mechanism, generating spin-offs effects in a wider sustainable urban development process. Effective land restoration and reuse in the city of Oradea, require integrated actions and approaches taking into account technological, legal, organizational, social-economic, scientific, informational, architectural and spatial land planning issues, together with the involvement of all public, private and non-profit stakeholders.

An integrated framework, working as a catalyst between the local authorities, the local community, and the private investors is required for improving the socio-economic sustainable development of Oradea. As sustainable development remains a fundamental objective of the European Union under the Lisbon Agenda (European Council 2000) and further leading policy documents (European Commission 2009), specific sustainable development policies should be incorporated in the (re)development practices which conserve, protect and preserve the urban environment, minimizing the negative impacts of brownfield sites existing in the interior of urban communities.
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