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Abstract Human has been evolving in a natural environment over a long time; thus, he is habitual to adapt it. Green spaces are obligatory landscapes in an urban structure that provide a natural environment and accelerate other life events. In contrast, unplanned urbanization, and conversion from green to grey structures have damaged natural environmental resources. Studies through different angles have highlighted the importance of urban green spaces for human well-being but now need to identify their role according to the potential. The demands of urban green spaces may differ with the change of population size, types of grey structure, urban expansion, the altitude of the place, and geographical location. Therefore, this systematic review aims to analyse the significance of urban green spaces for human well-being. The study opted for a systematic process during the selection and organization of studies for this review. After analysing, 46 studies were finalized with the consensus of three review authors. Accordingly, literature was analysed under the central theme of “Urban Green Spaces for Human Well-being.” Human Well-being was assessed under six sub-themes; physical, psychological, mental, social, subjective, and environmental well-being. The review concluded that urban green spaces are the primary pillar for a sustainable urban place and human well-being due to highly positive and positive correlations. Moreover, the study did not find any demarcation line between green spaces and grey structures according to any specific need. Therefore, the study suggested that the role of urban green spaces for human well-being should be analysed according to their potential and required optimal ratio under different communities’ urban specific environments and social behaviour.
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Introduction

Urban Green Space is a sum of green paved, open and burial places, sports grounds, private gardens, formal and informal green forests, road verges, derelict land, and horticulture within a city (Duan et al., 2018; Wang & Akbari, 2016). Human life exists on the earth due to its suitable natural environment and other living
things. These living things are a source of fresh air and water, fruit, woods, animals, and minerals to fulfill daily life needs (Baycan et al., 2012). They provide a natural meeting point for the residents by facilitating social interaction and community integration. Green spaces accelerate a sense of individuality and belongings (Konijnendijk et al., 2013). The density of vegetation enhances the ability of urban green spaces to mitigate air pollution (Dadvand et al., 2015). Green infrastructure is one of the significant pillars of urban structures that accelerates human life events by promoting physical activities, mental and psychological relaxation, oxygen for breathing, and purifying air pollutants. Urban greenness offers a safe and healthy space for walking, jogging, and running, provides a suitable environment for physical and recreational activities and social interaction (WHO|Urban Green Spaces, n.d.).

Human Well-being is recognized to measure human conditions like human health, education, and material of livening standards. In the beginning, the concept was known as the Human Development Index (HDI) toward human conditions (Bhanojirao, 1991; Desai, 1991; McGillivray & White, 1993). The HDI concept became more comprehensive with time and appeared as a Sustainable Livelihood Approach (SLA) in 1992 by Chamber and Conway, an actionable framework combining social, economic, environmental, and institutional components (Chambers & Conway, 1992). Further, the SLA concept changed into Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and it was measured with education, gender equality, health, environment, poverty, and hunger indicators (Gwatkin, 2002; Hopkins, 1991). In this review, the concept “Human Well-being” is obtained as “a state of human being that comprises on human (physical, psychological, mental) health, good social interaction, and overall life satisfaction (Subjective Well-being).

Green spaces are well documented for socio-economic and environmental benefits in urban areas by enhancing the natural environment and human health. Physical comfort, psychological and emotional relaxation, and social interaction are found due to urban green spaces in quality of life models (Mensah et al., 2016). The role of urban green spaces has been recognized as a primary source of a livable and sustainable city (Dhingra & Chattopadhyay, 2016). Urban Greenness improves human life actively by mitigating the urban heat island densely populated areas. The significance of urban green spaces has been analysed for better human life through leisure activities, social interaction, noise reduction, and removal of air pollution (Wolch et al., 2014). The requirement of ecosystem services on a broad scale is delivered by the urban green spaces for inhabitants and the city environment. In a city, green landscapes have a pivotal potential to maintain a sustainable environment and activate cultural events. Well-managed urban green landscapes are estimated more significant for provisioning ecosystem services (freshwater, food, and raw material) in Vilnius (Lithuania).

Functionally, urban green spaces absorb CO$_2$, release O$_3$, decrease the temperature, reduce urban heat island effects, enhance air quality and humidity, conserve soil and water, minimize noise pollution, cut down winds speed, and save soil from contaminations and erosion (Lou et al., 2017). The occurrence of urban green spaces mitigates climatic change effects, provides a platform for social connection, and enhances human health and well-being (Krellenberg et al., 2014). Green spaces deliver several positive health impacts through physical activities (Sanders et al., 2015). Also, under the COVID-19 crisis, urban green spaces were a significant source of leisure activities. Outside events were reported 291% higher during lock-down than the past 3 years over the same day in Oslo (Norway). Walking, jogging, and hiking practises in urban parks have expanded, emphasising relevance of urban green spaces during the crisis (Venter et al., 2020). Open green spaces brought considerable social benefits in Spain during the Fadura Thinking project in Getxo. The proximity to urban parks to lower socio-economic status and vulnerable groups with improved leisure activities was found the most advantageous. In contrast, noise and poor cleanliness are the most damaging effects of crime and possible tension for the general public (García de Jalón et al., 2020). By providing trails and benches with a canopy of vegetation, the benefits of urban green spaces can be improved (Madureira et al., 2018).

Urban societies demanded proper management and more urban green spaces (Campagnaro et al., 2020). It was evaluated that authentic fitness was linked with physical recreation activity. The appearance and convenient access to green spaces also found supportive for life satisfaction and health promotion. More links of walking and cycling are found to public health in urban and suburban areas within urban green spaces.
Good physical fitness also helps to regular parks visit. Social harmony and leisure facilities have been proposed as an attractive source of human well-being to locals. The interconnection of socio-ecological structures in urban green areas may improve socio-environmental justice by focusing on ecosystem services (Enssle & Kabisch, 2020). The frequency of parks visits rises with a better quality of urban parks for human and environmental health (Misiune et al., 2021). The activity in green spaces determines advantages; walk reduces fatigue and sitting safer for restoring attention (Lin et al., 2020). In order to improve human health, better biophysical properties of canopy vegetation in urban areas are necessary. Improved green landscapes and their connectivity increases health benefits and decreases disease morbidity (Yeh et al., 2020).

After reviewing previous studies, it has been found that most urban areas are not environmentally suitable for living due to the shrinking of green spaces and expansion of the built-up structure. Therefore, it is more disastrous to expand such urban areas still similarly. Hence, this study reviews previous literature on the significance of urban green spaces for human (physical, psychological, mental, social, subjective, and environmental) well-being. Therefore, the study aims to:

- Review previous literature on the role of urban green spaces for human well-being.

Methods

In this review, 46 publications from the Web of Science and Scopus index and PubMed databases were finalized by the authors. These 46 studies were found well cited in the different studies. All these 46 publications received 3732 citations collectively by May 2021. Table 2 shows the citation detail of each paper. A process of searching and organization the data is given below:

Sources of data

The data for this systematic review were collected from Web of Science, Scopus, and PubMed databases. Web of Science is temporally working since 1900, which was established by Clarivate Analytics. It consists of almost 256 disciplines, including sciences, social sciences, arts, and humanities. Scopus is the second source of this review’s data, covering almost 27 major and more than 300 minor disciplines like physical, health, life, and social sciences published by Elsevier. While PubMed is the third data source of this article, MEDLINE databases’ primary search engine deals with life sciences and biomedical-based data. It was established in January 1996 and contained peer-reviewed biomedical journals with more than 30 million citations. These three databases provide Advanced Search Tool, which eases to find the related result concisely. The searched data was collected according to the study themes and retrieved in the system e-library. The retrieved data was imported into Zotero software to detect and remove duplicates.

Searching strategy

This systematic review depicts the relations between urban green spaces and human (physical, psychological, mental, social, subjective, and environmental) well-being. For searching the relevant literature, retrieval queries were developed. First, relevant literature was identified and collected by using the given keywords in Table 1:

Eligibility criteria

The studies were retrieved using predetermined criteria. The identification and screening processes were conducted with the authors’ consensus. A total of 713 research articles was found under the study themes, including 174 duplicates. One hundred seventy-four duplicates and 157 non-urban studies were excluded according to the predetermined criteria. After that, the remaining 382 studies were evaluated for initial screening. Therefore, 147 articles were excluded after reviewing the abstracts and 189 after reviewing the full text if they were: (1) not match the theme, (2) not fulfil the demand of study objective, (3) not focused on urban green spaces with relations to human (physical, psychological, mental, social, subjective, and environmental) well-being and (5) not belong to peer-reviewed journals. At last, 46 studies were finalized for this review (Fig. 1).
Data extraction was performed in October 2020 on reviewing complete studies, including the abstract, conclusion, and future directions. After reviewing complete studies, themes and sub-themes were identified from the final selection of studies. The extracted data was stored in a table form according to the six sub-themes (physical well-being, psychological well-being, mental well-being, social well-being, subjective well-being, and environmental well-being) of the study. Therefore, the extracted data was organized under these sub-themes.

Table 1 Queries used for searching the data

| Databases     | Keywords                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Web of science| `TS = (((“urban green spaces” OR “urban greenness” OR “urban green infrastructure” OR “urban vegetation” OR “urban parks”) and (“human well-being” OR “quality of life” OR “physical well-being” OR “mental health” OR “psychological well-being” OR “social well-being” OR “subjective well-being” OR “environmental well-being”))   |
| Scopus index  | `TITLE-ABS-KEY = (((“urban green spaces” OR “urban greenness” OR “urban vegetation” OR “urban parks”) and (“human well-being” OR “quality of life” OR “physical well-being” OR “mental health” OR “psychological well-being” OR “social well-being” OR “subjective well-being” OR “environmental well-being”))   |
| PubMed        | `((“urban green spaces” OR “urban greenness” OR “green infrastructure” OR “urban vegetation” OR “urban parks”) and (“human well-being” OR “physical well-being” OR “mental health” OR “psychological health” OR “mental stress” OR “social well-being” OR “subjective well-being” OR “environmental well-being”))   |

Fig. 1 Selection process of the studies for the review

Identified Studies through the searching of Web of Science, Scopus Index and PubMed databases (n = 713)

Remaining Studies (n = 539)

Excluded Non-urban (n = 157)

Remaining Studies (n = 382)

Excluded After Reviewing Abstract (n = 147)

Remaining Studies (n = 235)

Excluded After Reviewing Full Text (n = 189)

Final Studies (n = 46)
| Sr.# | Author (Year)          | Study area         | Citations | Target group       | Methods                                      | Findings                                                                 |
|------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1    | Akpinar (2016)         | Aydin (Turkey)     | 139       | 420 visitors       | Multivariate linear regression               | Quality, access, and physical activities enhance mental and physical health |
| 2    | Ayala-Azcárraga et al. (2019) | Mexico City       | 46        | ≥ 18 years old     | Nonparametric Kruskall-Wallis test and univariate | Physical health and life satisfaction is found in the neighbourhood of parks |
| 3    | Ma et al. (2019)       | Beijing (China)   | 26        | 1002 Residents    | Seemingly unrelated regressions model        | Highest well-being found within 1–5 km whereas lowest over 10 km          |
| 4    | Brindley et al. (2019) | Sheffield (UK)    | 28        | 1500 residents    | Spearman correlation and negative binomial regression | UGSs quality is an essential factor for the alleviation of poor general health |
| 5    | Cameron et al. (2020)  | Sheffield (UK)    | 19        | 259 visitors      | One-way ANOVA for difference & pearson product-moment correlation | Biodiversity in UGS has strong relations with positive emotions          |
| 6    | Chang et al. (2020)    | Taiwan            | 27        | 151 visitors      | Pearson product-moment correlations coefficient | Ecological richness and diversity in UGS encouraged by the visitors       |
| 7    | Dadvand et al. (2019)  | Iran              | 28        | 10,856 adolescents| Logistic mixed-effects models with self-satisfaction | Time spends in UGSs enhanced subjective, social, and mental well-being    |
| 8    | Dong and Hauschild (2017) | Beijing (China)  | 58        | 712 residents     | Principle component analysis, & Hierarchical linear modeling, | Proximity to UGSs is found significant for subjective and mental well-being |
| 9    | Duan et al. (2018)     | Guangzhou (China) | 16        | 396 visitors      | Non-parametric Kruskal Wallis test, binary logistic regression model | UGSs mitigate environmental risks for humans by cooling and filtering the air |
| 10   | Enssle and Kabisch (2020) | Berlin (Germany) | 31        | 506 aged visitors (50 + years) | Non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test, Pearson chi-squared tests | Urban parks improve social interaction and human health in neighborhood |
| 11   | Gascon et al. (2018)   | Barcelona (Spain) | 93        | 958 adults        | Logistic regression models                   | UGSs improve mental health by reducing air pollution and noise           |
| 12   | Georgi and Dimitriou (2010) | Chania (Greece)  | 238       | 52,000 residents | SKYMASTER, Model SM-28, One way ANOVA and t-Test | UGSs increase life satisfaction, improve city environment & thermal comfort |
| 13   | Gubbels et al. (2016)  | Netherland        | 49        | 401 adolescents & 454 adults | Multivariate linear regression models | Urban greenery has positive effects on human health and behaviour leisure time |
| 14   | Helbich et al., 2018   | Netherland        | 39        | 403 municipalities | Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients | Green space is a central health and community asset with a minimum of 28% |
| 15   | Holt et al. (2019)     | United States     | 32        | 207 Students      | Chi-squared tests & logistic regression model | Regular interaction with UGSs reported higher QoL, better mood, and lower stress |
| 16   | Hong et al. (2019)     | Daejeon (South Korea) | 8         | 400 residents    | SEM models & ANOVA                           | Regular visits & maximum time spend in UGSs increase subjective well-being |
| Sr.# | Author (Year)      | Study area     | Citations | Target group | Methods                                                                 | Findings                                                                 |
|------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 17   | Houlden et al. (2019) | London (UK)    | 22        | 15,000 residents | OLS regression & geographically weighted regression model              | Mental well-being increased with the decreasing distance from green spaces |
| 18   | Koprowska et al. (2018) | Lodz (Poland)  | 31        | 105,960 residents | Spatial error probit model                                               | The direct effect of UGSs found positive at large extent to reduce noise pollution |
| 19   | Kothencz et al. (2017) | Szeged (Hungary) | 60        | 227 visitors | Ordinal logistic regression model                                         | Recreation capacity in UGSs increases life satisfaction amongst the visitors |
| 20   | Larson et al. (2016) | United States  | 183       | 160,000 residents | OLS regression model                                                     | Strong positive relations found between UGSs and human physical well-being |
| 21   | Lee and Lee (2019) | South Korea    | 22        | 11,408 aged residents | Chi-squared Test & binary logistic regression                           | UGSs within the community is an essential element for mental health      |
| 22   | Mears et al. (2020) | Sheffield (UK) | 12        | 293 residents | Negative binomial regression & Spearman correlation                      | UGSs has hostile relations with poor health & cleanliness decreases stress |
| 23   | Meyer-Grandbastien et al. (2020) | Rennes (France) | 7         | 390 visitors | Pearson correlation analyses                                             | Mixed landscapes in UGSs are merely helpful for psychological restoration |
| 24   | Mavoa et al. (2019) | Melbourne (Australia) | 26        | 4912 adults | Pearson correlations & leaner regression model                           | The higher association found between green spaces and subjective well-being |
| 25   | Nath et al. (2018) | Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia) | 33       | 310 visitors | Statistical ratio comparison & chi-squared test                           | Parks had a positive influence on mental, physical, and overall well-being |
| 26   | Navarrete-Hernandez and Laffan (2019) | Valparaiso (Chile) | 16        | 240 visitors | Used random intercept models & regression coefficients analyses         | Street-level greenery has positive effects on feelings, happiness & stress reduction |
| 27   | Nutsford et al. (2013) | Auckland (New Zealand) | 320     | 3149 area units | Negative binomial regression model                                       | Access and active participation in UGSs decrease anxiety/mood disorder issues |
| 28   | Paul and Nagendra (2017) | Delhi (India)  | 51        | 123 visitors | ANOVA and t-test                                                         | UGSs reduce human psychological, social, and environmental issues        |
| 29   | Reis and Lopes (2019) | Lisbon (Portugal) | 17        | Not specific | Simple leaner regression model                                           | An area of 50 m² covered by vegetation can cool the air by 1 °C            |
| 30   | Rey Gozalo et al. (2018) | Cáceres (Spain) | 65        | 182 residents | Non-parametric Mann–Whitney test, bivariate & partial correlation         | The highest relationships found between UGSs and overall human well-being |
| 31   | Roe et al. (2016) | England (UK)   | 70        | 480 residents | Non-parametric test & regression model                                   | The interaction with UGSs determine general health benefits               |
| 32   | Schebella et al. (2019) | S. Australia (Australia) | 25        | 840 residents | Regression model, ANOVA, correlations                                    | Biodiversity in UGSs is strongly associated with psychological well-being |
| 33   | Scopelliti et al. (2016) | Bogotá (Colombia) | 91        | 398 visitors | Bonferroni correction for comparison & bivariate correlations            | High Physical & psychological benefits received by the middle-income group |
### Table 2 continued

| Sr.# | Author (Year) | Study area | Citations | Target group | Methods | Findings |
|------|---------------|------------|-----------|--------------|---------|----------|
| 34   | Selmi et al. (2016) | Strasbourg (France) | 245 | Not specific | i-Tree Eco-model was used to calculate vegetation benefits | Large trees are significantly associated with the vital removal of air pollution |
| 35   | Southon et al. (2018) | Bedford and Luton (UK) | 73 | 360 visitors | Chi-squared test & linear mixed-effects models | Richness of tree species is strongly associated with psychological well-being |
| 36   | Taylor et al. (2018) | Australia & NZ (Four cities) | 33 | 1819 residents | NDVI, & leaner regression analyses | Proximity & time spend in green spaces are closely associated with well-being |
| 37   | Thompson (2016) | Scotland (UK) | 131 | 406 adults | Regression, chi-squared automatic interaction detection | Access to green spaces enhanced human mental well-being and general health |
| 38   | Tsai et al. (2018) | United States (various cities) | 41 | 276 residents | One-way analysis of variance & stepwise logistic regression | Shrubland has positive effects in the reduction of frequent mental distress |
| 39   | Vieira et al. (2018) | Almada (Portugal) | 100 | Not specific | Non-metric multidimensional scaling, one way ANOVA & NDVI | UGSs are an essential nature-based solution to UHI effects and air pollution |
| 40   | Vujcic et al. (2019) | Belgrade (Serbia) | 35 | 181,231 residents | t-Test, multiple regression & Pearson correlational | The higher green areas and their quality led to greater health outcomes |
| 41   | Wang et al. (2019a, 2019b) | Jiangsu (China) | 40 | 300 residents | Multifactor ANOVA & leaner regression | More trees, flowers, clear water attract visitors and improve mental health |
| 42   | Wang et al. (2019a, 2019b) | Guangzhou (China) | 59 | 1029 adults | NDVI, non-parametric Spearman correlation, linear regression models | Green spaces enhanced mental well-being through Physical activities and Social Interaction |
| 43   | White et al. (2017) | England (UK) | 108 | 7272 residents | Unadjusted binary logistic regression | visiting green spaces even once a week is essential for eudaimonic well-being |
| 44   | White et al. (2013) | England (UK) | 737 | 10,000 residents | Fixed-effects regression approach | More availability of UGSs lower mental distress and improve subjective WB |
| 45   | Wood et al. (2017) | Perth (Australia) | 182 | 492 residents | Public open space desktop auditing tool (POSDAT) & linear regression | Parks in neighbourhoods within walking distance is significant for mental health |
| 46   | Zhang and Tan (2019) | Singapore | 20 | 1000 residents | Chi-square, t-test, binary logistic regression | Canopy cover showed strong associations with mental health at most of the scales |

**UGSs** urban green spaces, **QoL** quality of life, **WB** well-being, **UHI** urban heat Island

**Organization of data**

The extracted data was organized in Table 2, where the author and year of publication were specified in column one, the study area in column two, received citations till May 2021, in column three, target group (population) in column four, applied methods in column five, and findings were organized in the
last column. All the above said parts of each paper were arranged shortly, reflecting all main elements of the papers. Consequently, the detail of each paper with location on the world map was shown (Fig. 2).

Results

A summary result of the reviewed studies is given in Table 2.

The role of urban green spaces is recognized mainly by healthy human life and city sustainability in most of the studies. Scholars have assessed urban green spaces with different domains in different studies. The review analyzed various researchers’ achievements in identifying urban green spaces services for social life events, especially in urban, the busiest, and stressful lifestyles. All the reviewed studies found the following characteristics:

Study areas

The study found that reviewed studies covered four continents; Asia, Europe, North America, and South America. In Asia, five studies belong to China (Ben Ma et al., 2019; Dong & Qin 2017; Duan et al., 2018; Ronghua Wang et al., 2019a, 2019b; Ruoyu Wang et al., 2019a, 2019b), two from South Korea (Hong et al., 2019; Lee & Lee, 2019), and one of each study belongs to Turkey (Akpinar A., 2016), Taiwan (Chang et al., 2020), Iran (Dadvand et al., 2019), Malaysia (Nath et al., 2018), India (Paul & Nagendra, 2017), Singapore (Zhang & Tan, 2019). In Europe, nine studies belong to United Kingdom (Brindley et al., 2019; Cameron et al., 2020; Houlden et al., 2019; Mears et al., 2020; Roe et al., 2016; Southon et al., 2018; Thompson et al., 2016; White et al., 2013, 2017), two of each study belong to Spain (Gascon et al., 2018; Rey Gozalo et al., 2018), Netherlands (Gubbels et al., 2016; Helbich et al., 2018), France (Meyer-Grandbastien et al., 2020; Selmi et al., 2016), Portugal (Reis & Lopes, 2019; Vieira et al., 2018), one of each study belongs to Germany (Enssle & Kabisch, 2020), Greece (Georgi & Dimitriou, 2010), Hungry (Kothencz et al., 2017), Poland (Koprowska et al., 2018), Serbia (Vujcic et al., 2019). In North America, three studies belong to the USA (Holt et al., 2019; Larson et al., 2016; Tsai et al., 2018) and one from Mexico (Ayala-Azcárraga et al., 2019). In South America, one study belongs to Colombia (Scopelliti et al., 2016) and Chile (Navarrete & Lafan, 2019). In the Australian continent, three studies belong to Australia (Mavoa et al., 2019; Schebella et al., 2019; Wood et al., 2017) and two from New Zealand (Nutsford et al., 2013; Taylor et al., 2018).
Target group (population)

The reviewed studies observed almost all population groups above 18 years old, but some studies targeted a specific group. For instance, Gubbels et al. (2016) targeted adolescents and adults in Netherland, Lee and Lee (2019) observed elders in South Korea, Holt et al. (2019) selected university students in the USA, and Wang et al., (2019a, 2019b) observed adults only in China. Similarly, most of the reviewed studies belong to developed countries like the UK, USA, Spain, France, Netherlands, Germany, Singapore, New Zealand, and high ranked developing countries like China, Turkey, Taiwan, South Korea, and Malaysia.

Chosen methodologies

In data collection methods, 89% of the study is based on primary data (cross-sectional studies), 9% of studies used secondary, whereas only 2% of the study is based on experimental data (empirical studies). Most of the studies used Landsat images and NDVI to identify the study area and vegetation configuration in the data analysis section, whereas Selmi et al. (2016) used i-Tree Eco-model to quantify vegetation services for the environment. For statistical analysis, the studies mostly used the One-way ANOVA Test for analyzing the significance level of independent variables and the Chi-squared test for associations of categorical variables. In the correlation section, most of the studies used Pearson Product-moment Correlation to test the superficial relationships of variables, whereas Binary Logistic Regression and Linear Regression Model for cause and effects variables. Detail of each study is given in Table 2.

Mediations

In the reviewed literature, most studies recommended urban green spaces as a lifeline for large cities worldwide. The availability of green spaces essential but not as such as through mediation. Green spaces improve human physical health and well-being by providing space for exercise, jogging, walking, cycling, and other recreational activities. So, human physical well-being and fitness can be obtained by using mediators (exercise, jogging, cycling, and other recreational activities). Likewise, easy access to urban green spaces is one of the significant mediators for human well-being. Urban green spaces improve human mental and psychological health in the old age population by removing social isolation. So, social interaction is an essential mediator for human mental and psychological well-being. The reviewed literature depicted that cleanliness and a calm environment also improve the benefits of green spaces. In youth, recreational activities and maximum interaction enhance the mental approach and academic performance. To sum up, the reviewed studies collectively highlighted the full benefits of urban green spaces that could be obtained through mediations of easy access, daily interaction, social cohesion, physical exercise, jogging, cycling, walking, and recreational and cultural activities.

Findings

The reviewed studies found under the following six sub-themes of human well-being:

*Physical well-being*

Physical well-being is a state of the body with the absence of diseases, but also it is a balanced state of the human body with its lifestyle, having choices to ensure health (*Physical Well-Being*, n.d.). Urban green spaces have been observed in various ways to benefit human well-being through physical activities. A positive relationship has been shown in a cross-sectional study conducted in England (UK) to explore relationships between a natural environment and human well-being. The study concluded that urban green spaces positively interact with human health and save the human body from different diseases. Even a weekly visit to green spaces has positive effects on the human body (White et al., 2017). In Guangzhou city of China, a study explored the residents’ perceptions about environmental risks and green infrastructure. The study concluded that low air quality and high temperatures negatively affect human health and caused dysphoria and anxiety.

In contrast, green infrastructure provides services to reduce the temperature and clean the air for breathing to enhance human health (Duan et al., 2018). Human health is closely related to the natural environment, and urban green spaces are a source of the natural environment in cities that actively promote and maintain humans’ physical fitness and well-being.
The frequent visit and maximum time consumption in the green environment resulted positively for human physical health in Daejeon (South Korea), and these results have been obtained during a cross-sectional study based on 400 respondents (Hong et al., 2019). Urban green spaces offer numerous benefits for human well-being in various forms, and all those forms are interconnected to physical health. During research in London (UK), it has been assessed that the proximity of green spaces provides maximum benefits to humans, and 300 m is an ideal range of access suggested for taking full benefits. The city planners and policymakers suggested green spaces within an accessible range to maintain human health and well-being (Holt et al., 2019; Kothencz et al., 2017). The activities related to running, walking, and relaxing are negatively associated with health problems, stress, and sedentary lifestyle issues (Rey Gozalo et al., 2018).

The impacts of urban green spaces on physical health and well-being vary in different ethnic groups in England. It has been assessed that recreational policy is needed within urban green parks to improve the general health and inequalities amongst deprived communities in the UK (Roe et al., 2016). The high density of green spaces increased the potential of physical well-being in urban residents with other significant health outcomes. Recreational and related activities like walking, jogging, and running is negatively associated with self-reported nerve problems among Almada’s residents (Portugal). It has been recommended that the frequent visit of urban parks reduces human nervous problems like medication (Vujcic et al., 2019). Frequent usage of green landscapes for physical events decreases health issues in the human body and enhances physical fitness (Thompson, 2016). Properly managed and clean green spaces increase their capability to facilitate the urban environment and human health. The structure, accessibility, quantity, and quality of urban green spaces are vital for their potential and city environment. In Sheffield (UK), a study concluded that cleanliness has strong positive relations with general health. The study resulted that poor human health was reported where the green spaces were not properly managed and cleaned (Brindley et al., 2019). A study was directed to assess Beijing’s level of satisfaction with urban green spaces for human well-being. The results showed that the people who live within 1–5 km zone and regular visitors of public green spaces are found more physically and mentally fit and healthier than 5–10 zone residents. The study also concluded that human physical well-being is positively associated with age, income, education, marital status, residential area, and career status (Akpinar, 2016; Ma et al., 2019).

Psychological well-being

Psychological well-being is a positive functioning of self-esteem, including a sense of mastery and personal growth at inter and intraindividual levels. In some studies, psychological well-being stated positive feelings, happiness, and life satisfaction (What Is Psychological Well-being?|Robertson Cooper, n.d.). Human psychology and its related studies are continually known as complex tasks for the researcher, and human psychological well-being relations with urban green spaces are one of them. The impacts of green spaces on human psychological health and well-being differ with green spaces’ structure and quality. Social psychological issues cannot be handled with nature entirely, but they can be reduced by increasing connectivity with a natural environment and green landscapes. A study has concluded that meadows have limited effects on human psychology, but the richness of trees strongly influences psychological well-being (Southon et al., 2018). Several human benefits are closely associated with nature, and the closeness of green spaces from home or workplace has positive effects. Urban life creates stress on residents due to its busy and sedentary lifestyle, but when they visit green spaces or natural green landscapes and spend maximum time, they feel well and relaxed. In this way, visitors release stress and boost up their psychological health. According to a study on four different cities, Auckland, Willington (New Zealand), Melbourne, and Sydney (Australia), the effects of urban green spaces were different due to variations in types and richness of biodiversity. Psychological well-being was found more positively associated with green spaces in Sydney, resulting in $P < 0.001$. In this study, the scholar suggested a more intensive investigation (Taylor et al., 2018). A natural environment found enrich with positive impacts on human psychology. Interaction with nature keeps to maintain and strengthen human psychological well-being. The connectivity with green landscapes decreases psychological issues. It has been recommended that
greenness in urban areas is an essential part of urban life and human psychological restoration (White et al., 2017). The characteristics of urban green spaces are also decisive for human well-being. According to the study of Ronghua Wang on Hangzhou city (China), the characteristics of urban green spaces positively affect human aesthetic values and stress recovery. The study investigated urban green spaces as a source of enjoyment and a platform for relaxing and recovering from mental fatigue. The study concluded that green spaces with a richness of trees, clean water, and bright flower attract people and assist in mental stress recovery. Therefore, green parks with numerous trees and flowers are recommended by studying mental stress recovery and aesthetic preference (Wang et al., 2019a, 2019b). ‘Urban green spaces and their richness may negatively affect human psychological and physical well-being’ hypothesis was a study conducted by Chang et al. (2020) in Taiwan. The study found that the richness of biodiversity did not negatively affect the residents. Therefore, according to the study findings, biodiversity’s richness does not negatively affect human psychological and physical well-being (Chang et al., 2020). The role of urban green spaces in highly populated areas is found significant for psychological and physical well-being, but the level of significance differs from different income groups (Scopelliti et al., 2016). Furthermore, urban green spaces promote social interaction, which helps to enhance psychological well-being (Jennings & Bamkole, 2019).

The restoration of human psychology is positively relating to the heterogeneity of green landscapes. Urban green spaces in human psychological well-being may vary based on different species in a green space. In Rennes (France), it has been observed that the awareness about the composition and configuration of a green landscape is positively associated with psychological restoration. Therefore, composition and configuration heterogeneity in green spaces enhance human psychological well-being and promote environmental and social well-being (Meyer-Grandbastien et al., 2020). Naturalness, biodiversity, and tree canopy are closely associated with human well-being. The relationships between naturalness and biodiversity may be weak, but the vegetation cover has a strong relationship with human psychological well-being. The prosperity of urban green spaces differs from park to park. The links of natural parks with stress reduction and mood improvement are strong but weak with pocket parks (Schebella et al., 2019). A study was conducted on four different well-maintained public parks of Delhi to investigate human well-being benefits. The study interviewed 250 visitors, and 87% responded favouring environmental well-being, 68% for human psychological well-being, and 45% for social, recreational benefits. Delhi public parks visitors’ perception favours human psychological and environmental well-being as well as social and recreational activities (Paul & Nagendra, 2017).

Mental well-being

Mental well-being is a state in which humans can; understand their potential, work productively, manage the stress of life, and participate in society usually. So, it cannot be known only as an absence of mental illness (World Health Organization, Promotion of Mental Well-Being, n.d.). The existence of green spaces for human health is well documented and recognized. The neighbourhood of greenness improves the human mental state. In Barcelona (Spain), a study was directed to explore the long-term exposure to the effects of green and blue spaces on depression and anxiety with the mediation of air pollution. The study analyzed a negative association of depression and anxiety with urban green spaces. The study concluded that green spaces improve human mental health, reduce anxiety and release human depression during interaction of green spaces, clean the air, reduce noise, provide spaces for physical activities and social interaction (Gascon et al., 2018; Nutsford et al., 2013). In Netherland, a cross-sectional study is recommended as a precious asset to urban green spaces for society because of the multi-beneficial landscape. According to the study conclusion, green spaces contribute actively as an antidepressant and enhance human mental health if green spaces’ ratio exists a minimum of 28%, but the highest benefits can be obtained with more than 79% (Helbich et al., 2018). Green spaces are a significant source of mental well-being through a reduction of mental stress and depression. The role of urban green spaces has been analyzed as a buffer zone for human stress and anxiety. According to a study in the United States on undergraduate students who kept in touch with green spaces (open and woodland) were found happy and
relaxed than others. The students who visited green spaces even last week were satisfied with their life and feeling well. The study concluded that the restoration of passive green spaces in universities increased students’ health and life satisfaction (Holt et al., 2019).

The importance of urban green spaces can identify from a study conducted in Daejeon (South Korea). The study analyzed the impacts of frequent visits to green spaces and time spends on residents’ life. The results showed positive relations between urban green spaces and human health and mental well-being (Hong et al., 2019). Urban green landscapes are well documented for mental well-being. Even in well-managed cities in the developed world, the role of green spaces is well recognized. Nowadays, everyone admits the significance of green spaces, but urban green spaces within the accessible range are an issue now. A longitudinal study was conducted in London (UK) based on 2012–2015 data. According to the results, green spaces within a 300 m radius are essential for every resident to keep them physically and mentally healthy. This radius is suggested ideal for a city planner and policymakers. The study concluded that the full benefits of urban green spaces for human health and mental growth are hidden in 300 m range and frequent visits (Houlden et al., 2019). The significance of green spaces within an accessible range provides full benefits. However, another aspect of urban green spaces benefits highlighted by Dadvan et al. (2019), and he recommended that a long time spends of adolescents (10–18 years old) in green spaces significantly associated with mental growth and enhance human mental performance (Dadvand et al., 2019). Outdoor regular activities and park visits positively correlate with human mental well-being (Dong & Hauschild, 2017; Vujic et al., 2019). Urban vegetation, even at the street level, provided positive effects on human well-being (Ma et al., 2019). Physical activities, social cohesion, noise, and air quality have been considered mediators for mental well-being in Guangzhou (China), which ultimately promotes human physical health (Wang et al., 2019a, 2019b).

The role of physical activities for human mental health is well known. It is a common saying that “A sound body has a sound mind.” So, urban parks provide an ideal platform for walking, running, exercise, and jogging. The presence of green space in the neighbourhood or within walking distance (maximum 1.5 km by WHO) is essential for human mental well-being. The level of positive association may differ with the difference in size, accessible range of green spaces. According to a study on Perth in Australia, residents’ lifestyle is also crucial for well-being (Wood et al., 2017). Characteristics of greenness like quality, size, and cleanliness were found significant concerning human health. Different types of green spaces affected human life differently. It has been analyzed that green spaces’ cleanliness releases mental stress and removes depression, according to Sheffield’s study in the United Kingdom. The study suggested that policymakers should focus not only on the availability of green spaces but also on accessibility, size, quality, and cleanliness (Meyer-Grandbastien et al., 2020). The accessibility of green spaces is essential in urban areas within the free range (400–1600 m) for different buffer zones. The study found positive relations between green spaces and mental well-being in Singapore (Zhang & Tan, 2019). Overall, green landscapes are positively associated with human well-being, but the effect level depends on green spaces’ characteristics and usage. Forest patches near the built-up area were positively correlated with mental well-being and negatively with frequent mental distress (Tsai et al., 2018). Even a level of mental performance increases if people can see greenery from home or the workplace (Taylor et al., 2018).

Real-life changes affect human well-being through intervening in the quality and quantity of green spaces. In Netherland, a study was conducted on deprived neighbourhood showed that no short-term positive impacts were found due to the intervention of green spaces among adults regarding physical activities and self-reported mental well-being. The change in quality and quantity did not positively affect a deprived neighbourhood district of the Netherland. However, positive signs were identified in some subgroups (Gubbels et al., 2016). The role of socio-economic characteristics and urban green spaces is investigated positively for mental well-being in the aged population. An aged group of vulnerable mental health issues was found comparatively better within urban green spaces. Urban greenness is given an essential component in the recovery of mental health issues. Aged people can improve their mental health through nature-based activities (Lee & Lee, 2019).
Social well-being

Social well-being is a state in which humans can coexist peacefully in communities with opportunities for advancement. It is characterized by equal access to basic needs and services by avoiding conflict in community life (Social Well-Being, n.d.; Wellman et al., 2014). Social isolation is one of the most significant issues in this modern and busy lifestyle for the urban population. A cross-sectional study conducted in South Korea concluded that green spaces enhance social well-being by providing an ideal platform for social interaction (Hong et al., 2019). Maximum time spent in green parks is considered an essential element to gain maximum benefits for social well-being. Humans can obtain maximum benefits from urban green spaces by removing social isolation at the platform of urban parks. A study conducted in Iran analyzed that maximum time spent in green spaces enhanced social interaction and improved human social life (Dadvand et al., 2019). Recreational activities in urban parks provide an opportunity for social interaction and create better social cohesion (Vujcic et al., 2019). Frequent use of urban parks reduces the stress level in the human body. It removes mental well-being barriers that a study in Scotland has analyzed. The study suggested that urban green parks reduce the sense of social isolation and mitigated mental problems by providing opportunities for year-round activities (Thompson, 2016). Larson et al. (2016) conducted a study on the relationships of urban green parks and human (physical, psychological, and social) well-being. The study selected 44 southern United States cities to investigate the quality, quantity, and accessibility of urban green spaces for human physical, psychological, and social well-being. The results showed strong positive relationships between urban parks and overall well-being. The relations of quality and accessibility were found positive for human well-being. The study suggested that well-maintained and expensive parks are positively associated with human physical, psychological and social well-being (Larson et al., 2016).

Subjective well-being (happiness and life satisfaction)

Subjective well-being is a name of personal perceptions about his own life or a person’s evaluations of his own life. ‘How much a person is satisfied or happy from his own life is a state of Subjective well-being (Clark et al., 2019; Diener, 2000; “What Is Subjective Well-Being?” 2010). Subjective well-being is known as overall human life satisfaction and happiness. Human life on the earth depends upon a suitable natural environment. Urban green spaces are a significant source of ecosystem services and a natural environment. Everyone is almost aware of how green infrastructure is enriched with numerous benefits for human life. A strong positive association has resulted between green spaces and life satisfaction in a study conducted in South Korea. The study showed a high-level life satisfaction with urban green landscapes (Hong et al., 2019). The existence of green spaces within a 300 m radius from the resident’s home has significant effects on life satisfaction, analyzed in London. This study indicates that most people who live within a 300 m radius are highly satisfied with their overall life, but the level of satisfaction decreases with the increase of radius (Houlden et al., 2019). Life satisfaction is a complex area of research for social sciences. Urban greenness relates to socio-economic and environmental aspects. Longtime usage of green spaces is positively associated with life satisfaction, which was assessed in Iran during a study to investigate the impacts of different green spaces on human life (Dadvand et al., 2019).

Life satisfaction always received well respect from social sciences’ researchers, and it has been assessed on various scales due to its healthy contribution to the quality of human life. Urban green spaces are an essential pillar of life satisfaction assessed by a study conducted in Szeged (Hungry). The study was based on 227 self-reported respondents. A positive effect on human attitude and life satisfaction was found by contributing to the quality of life with the mediation of recreational activities and aesthetics (Kothencz et al., 2017). The connectivity of humans with nature is obligatory for performing and maintaining life events. During a cross-sectional study with 4912 adults respondents in Melbourne (Australia), it is has resulted that the connectivity of humans with green infrastructure and biodiversity is strongly associated with subjective well-being than public green spaces, which highlighted the overall role of urban greenness for better subjective well-being (Mavoa et al., 2019).

Subjective well-being and all types of green landscapes are positively associated with human feelings and happiness. The interaction of greenery
improves positive feelings and boosts a natural way of living (Navarrete-Hernandez & Laffan, 2019). Urban green spaces serve humans in various ways by providing clean air for breathing, reducing noise, enhancing socio-economic and environmental functions, and regulating the local climate (Dong & Hauschild, 2017). A study was conducted to examine the people’s perception of life satisfaction with maintaining urban green landscapes. The study found that the people who are closely kept in touch with green spaces found ‘very satisfied’ instead of ‘quite satisfied’ from urban green spaces (Ayala-Azcárraga et al., 2019; Rey Gozalo et al., 2018). It has been significantly recommended that a bit of visit to green space can potentially improve subjective well-being. These results were analyzed by a study in England with a 7272 sample size to observe the connections between a natural environment of subjective well-being (White et al., 2017).

Environmental well-being

Environmental well-being is a significant state in our surroundings that allow humans to live in harmony on the earth by improving human–environment interaction (Neller & Neller, n.d.). Green spaces are a necessary element of the environment and a compulsory part of making the earth livable for human life. It has been acknowledged that green spaces are a source of various benefits for humans directly and indirectly (Koprowska et al., 2018). In Guangzhou (China), a study was conducted on human and environmental well-being. It is strongly recommended that green spaces are a pillar of urban structure because they mitigate environmental risk and protect human life (Duan et al., 2018). Green spaces and biodiversity help to improve a natural environment, protect different species from climate change effects, and run human life smoothly (Dong & Hauschild, 2017; Mavoa et al., 2019). Urban vegetation provides clean air, decreases air temperature, boosts up raining patterns, and enhances the beauty of the place. A study analyzed that human feelings and satisfaction levels are associated with green spaces in Caceres (Spain). The study found that the highest satisfaction with urban parks resulted positively (Rey Gozalo et al., 2018).

The presence of vegetation at the street-level serves as a ventilator by filtering air, reducing temperature with shades, providing social interaction, and regulating the local climate. In this way, green spaces serve by improving humans’ social and natural environment (Taylor et al., 2018; Tsai et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019a, 2019b). Urban greenness is essential for pollutants removal from the air. In a Strasbourg (France) study, green spaces removed PM$_{10}$ (7%) and 88t overall pollutants from the atmosphere annually with 27.80% green area. The study concluded that urban greenery has a pivotal role in providing clean air for residents. The study suggested that green spaces cannot provide a complete solution to air pollution but can maintain a sustainable city environment. However, we can achieve the target of a sustainable city environment doing balance green spaces and anthropogenic sources (Selmi et al., 2016).

Urban green composition and tree structure have been observed significant for air filtration and climate regulation (Ensle & Kabisch, 2020). In Almada (Portugal), positive relations have been analyzed of urban green spaces with air purification, climate regulation, and human well-being. According to the study conclusion, proper management of green spaces increases pollutants removal capability, reduces urban heat island (UHI) effects, and delivers a nature-based solution of environment unsustainability (Georgi & Dimitriou, 2010; Vieira et al., 2018). Green spaces are one of the significant natural cooling sources for cities. In the mid-latitudinal cities, urban heat island is a challenging issue in summer seasons. Due to urban heat island effects, the performance of green spaces as ventilators is firmly acknowledged. In Lisbon (Portugal), a study evaluated that 50 m$^2$ vegetation cover area can decrease 1 $^\circ$C air temperature. In this way, urban green spaces enhance the well-being capability of the area (Reis & Lopes, 2019).

Discussion

After reviewing the 46 studies, it has been assessed that a wide range of relations exists between urban green spaces and human well-being, which is significant for maintaining the city environment and promoting an active lifestyle by stimulating physical activities. The people in the neighbourhood of green spaces have been observed healthier, but the level under the characteristics like types of vegetation, size of the park, quality, and spatial availability may differ. Green spaces are recognized as an essential element
for human well-being in urban areas. It has been directed that urban green spaces support human physical, psychological, mental, social, subjective well-being to provide a suitable place for physical activities like walking, jogging, and running. Studies in numerous perspectives have been indicated that regular visit of green places delivers various services for human well-being in the form of physical fitness, mental relaxation, and personal growth. Physical well-being and adjoining parks in urban areas offer various opportunities to promote physical activities and reduce obesity rates among the youth population. Free play in a natural environment is beneficial for cognitive and physical development. The relationships between urban green spaces and human well-being analyzed well in various studies by recommending that; (1) a daily base interaction with green landscapes is needed for physical well-being and other health benefits, (2) a weekly visit can enhance human well-being and mental growth, and (3) even a view of the green environment improves work performance in office and prevent mental stress effects.

Urban green spaces interaction is mostly found positive relations with human psychological restoration along with feelings well, improved mental energy, work performance, and restore attention. Green spaces improve human psychology by reducing mental stress and rejuvenating attention. Urban greenness promotes human mental satisfaction to promote intellectual and decrease antagonism behaviour. The reviewed studies have shown positive associations between human psychology and green spaces, respectively, but not a complete solution to all human psychological issues. They work like mediation and improve human psychology to increase attention. Easy access to urban parks works as a buffer zone against depression, mental illness, and psychological disorders. In developing countries, the younger population is severely involved in psychological issues. They are not psychologically fit; therefore, this area of research is demanding more attention from researchers to identify an intensive role of urban green spaces and human psychological well-being.

The reviewed studies show that urban green spaces help to enhance mental well-being by providing a suitable environment for physical activities. So, mental well-being is attached to physical well-being, and physical well-being boosts up by physical activities. Similarly, several theories suggest that mental well-being may improve with green spaces’ exposure and interaction. In this way, urban green spaces provide benefits for human health and advance human abilities. Urban greenness increases residents’ work performance by advancing human mental, physical, and psychological well-being. According to the reviewed literature, contact with nature is helpful for the young population. The nearness of nature boosts mental growth and well-being by lowering stress, improving concentration, and enhancing attention spans.

In the review, life satisfaction differs from how people understand a set of life conditions. Life satisfaction is an essential indicator for the judgment of how people are satisfied with their lives. Some studies on subjective well-being provide an initial indication of a positive correlation with green spaces. According to Taylor et al. (2018), green spaces are positively connected with subjective well-being in Sydney and Melbourne. Higher subjective well-being relations are found from urban green spaces along with societal public policy effects. The people who live near green spaces or keep in touch with green landscapes are comparatively more satisfied with their lives, but the satisfaction level differs with life objectives.

Green Places in cities has been recognized as a necessary part, and it is challenging to make cities livable without green infrastructure. Green spaces provide clean air for breathing by removing pollutants and cool down the air temperature. They improve the urban environment and life satisfaction evaluated by Rey Gozalo et al. (2018). The review shows that green spaces work as a significant pillar for the environment of the cities. They are considered as a ventilator of cities and a natural source of cooling the environment.

### Conclusion

This systematic review presents an overview of the relationships of urban green spaces with human well-being. The review depicts how urban green spaces are facilitating the urban population in various ways. The studies have shown significant relationships between urban green spaces and human (physical, psychological, mental, social, subjective, and environmental) well-being. The review found that even a single view of greenery from a window increases work performance and prevents adverse health effects from
stressful life events proved by Ulrich and Kaplan. So, green spaces provide a peaceful platform to be relaxed for human psychological and mental well-being. The natural environment works as mediation and accelerates the restoration of stressful life effects. Therefore, it has been extracted that green spaces are an essential pillar of urban society. Urban green spaces facilitate all ages of humans. The interaction with green spaces of students daily or even once a week is necessary for positive mental growth. In the old age population, green parks increase health and well-being by providing space for social interaction. Also, Green spaces promote human well-being by regulating city climate, cooling temperature, and filtering the air. It has acknowledged that green spaces are a necessary part of sustainable and livable cities. The role of urban planners and policymakers has become more critical for sustainable and balanced urbanization in the future.

On the other side, green spaces’ availability is not as crucial for human well-being as through mediations. The most critical mediations are; daily or weekly interaction, recreation activities, social interaction, jogging or cycling, quiet environment, cleanliness, 5–10 min walking distance, and mixed species and landscapes. Through these medications, maximum benefits can be obtained from urban green spaces.

Urban green spaces provide a wide range of services for human well-being in several forms with an active and passive role. The role of green spaces is not limited to human well-being. Therefore, it is a little bit complicated to review every aspect of the relationship between urban green spaces and human well-being in a single study. However, this study attempts to provide a quick review of the impacts of urban green spaces on human (physical, psychological, mental, social, subjective, and environmental) well-being. This study may not present a complete picture but a healthy overview of the association between urban green spaces and human well-being, evaluated by the previous studies.

Future directions

According to most studies, the review identified that green spaces are necessary for human well-being in urban areas within 400–1600 m. So, there is needed to (1) identify the availability of urban green spaces within accessible range in the cities, (2) highlight hotspot areas having no access, and (3) recognize its possible solution or alternatives using modern technologies and techniques. Consequently, urban green spaces’ performance varies by varying the community’s structure, quality and quantity, and usage behaviour. So, there is also needed to (1) recognize species qualities according to requirements, (2) analyze the behaviour of society before designing urban green spaces, and (3) construct a comprehensive formula/equation for calculation of the optimal ratio between green and grey structure under the different circumstances. In short, these are all the questions that need to answer in the future. Moreover, there is essential to analyse urban green spaces under different scales, costs and benefits, and spatial configuration.
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