Baseline Lymphopenia: A Predictor Of Poor Outcomes In HER2 positive Metastatic Breast Cancer Treated With Trastuzumab
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Purpose: Despite selection based on human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) overexpression, not all HER2-positive patients benefit from trastuzumab therapy. Recent reports indicate that trastuzumab treatment failure may be associated with immune system dysfunction. We examined the prognostic relevance of the absolute lymphocyte count (ALC) in patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (MBC) who received trastuzumab combined with chemotherapy.

Methods: Baseline ALC and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) data from trastuzumab-treated patients with MBC were studied retrospectively, and associations between baseline ALC and clinical characteristics evaluated. Kaplan–Meier analysis and the Cox regression hazard model were applied to assess effects on outcomes.

Results: Of a total of 68 patients, 19.1% (13/68) had baseline ALCs ≤ 1 G/L. Baseline lymphopenia was correlated with increased lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and higher NLR. In univariate analysis, higher alkaline phosphatase (ALP) was associated with inferior overall survival (OS) (P = 0.01); higher LDH was associated with inferior progression-free survival (PFS) (P = 0.045) and OS (P = 0.010). We did not observe any differences in objective response rate or disease control rate between patients with lymphopenia and those with normal ALC. Importantly, patients with baseline lymphopenia had inferior PFS (0.60 years vs 1.17 years, P = 0.00009) and OS (1.88 years vs 3.80 years, P = 0.0003). In multivariable analysis, significance of ALCs was retained for lymphopenia (PFS: P = 0.0005; OS: P = 0.016).

Conclusion: Our data indicate that baseline ALC value of ≤ 1 G/L is a predictor of poor outcomes, but not of response, in patients with MBC treated with trastuzumab.
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Introduction

Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) is a member of the epidermal growth factor receptor family that regulates cell differentiation, proliferation, and survival. Approximately 20–30% of breast cancers overexpress HER2, which is associated with more aggressive tumor behavior and poor prognosis.1,2 Treatment with trastuzumab, the first humanized monoclonal antibody developed against HER2, has led to marked improvements in progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) for patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (MBC) as well as in an adjuvant setting.3–5 To date, the only validated biomarker for trastuzumab therapy is HER2 amplification. Despite having confirmed HER2-positive
disease, based on tumor scores of 3+ by immunohistochemistry (IHC) or identification of amplification by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) testing, not every individual in this subgroup benefits from trastuzumab treatment. Therefore, clinicians require additional clinical predictors to identify those patients most likely to experience benefit from trastuzumab therapy.

The mechanisms underlying the antitumor activity of trastuzumab are not limited to direct anti-proliferative effects leading to apoptosis of tumor cells, but influences on the immune system, via complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) and antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC), mediated by immune effector cells. Trastuzumab may elicit CDC and ADCC upon binding to the HER-2 antigen region on tumor cells, along with the Fc region of Fc gamma receptors (FcγRs) expressed by immune cells (e.g., lymphocytes, monocytes, macrophages, eosinophils, and neutrophils). Such an interaction triggers the activation of the corresponding immune cells, resulting in the lysis of trastuzumab-coated tumor cells. The potential role of immunological mechanisms in the therapeutic efficacy of trastuzumab is supported by several lines of evidence. First, in vitro, tumor cell apoptosis is not observed without the addition of lymphocytes to the culture system. Second, in preclinical models, the antitumor efficacy of trastuzumab is significantly reduced in FcγR-deficient, compared with wild-type mice. Finally, patient responses to trastuzumab are influenced by the quantity and reactivity of immune effector cells in the tumor microenvironment.

Lymphocytes develop in the thymus, circulate in the blood and lymph, and orchestrate immune responses to malignant cells, either by secretion of lymphokines or through direct contact. Lymphopenia is observed in 20% of untreated patients with MBC and associated with an increased risk of disease progression and worse long-term survival. Recently, evidence has emerged supporting the prognostic value of combined scoring systems, based on lymphocyte count, particularly the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR). Elevated NLR is associated with poor prognosis in various cancers, including MBC. Consequently, a number of investigators have inferred that lymphopenia may be associated with immunodeficiency, which could induce antibody resistance; however, the effect of baseline absolute lymphocyte count (ALC) on immune response to trastuzumab treatment remains elusive.

In this context, we aimed to explore the impact of baseline ALC and NLR on response and outcomes following trastuzumab-based treatment of patients with HER2-positive MBC.

Materials And Methods
Patients
We recruited 68 patients with HER2-positive MBC who received trastuzumab treatment in the Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. All patients underwent diagnostic biopsy and/or surgery for a primary or recurrent breast tumor before chemotherapy and trastuzumab treatment. All cases were female, with a median age of 51 years. Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. All tumors were histologically verified as HER2-amplified according to an IHC score of 3+ and/or a HER2 FISH ratio of ≥ 2.0. All patients provided written informed consent. This study was approved by Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences ethics committee, and that it was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Clinical information for each patient was obtained from medical records or the patients themselves or their clinicians were contacted.

Treatment And Response Evaluation
Patients received different chemotherapeutic regimens. The most commonly used chemotherapeutic agents were paclitaxel (32.4%), vinorelbine (25.0%), docetaxel (25.0%), gemcitabine (14.7%), and capecitabine (2.9%). Tumor assessments were performed every two cycles, and disease response was categorized as complete response (CR), partial response (PR), stable disease (SD), or progressive disease (PD), according to the response criteria in solid tumors (RECIST, version 1.1). Objective response rate (ORR) was defined as the presence of either CR or PR. Disease control rate (DCR) was defined as the presence of either CR or PR or SD as best response. PFS was calculated as the time from the initiation of trastuzumab treatment to either disease progression, death from any cause, or last follow-up, while OS was defined as the period from the start of trastuzumab treatment until death from any cause.

Laboratory Assessments
All included patients underwent baseline routine blood tests, including white blood cell count, with lymphocyte and neutrophil counts, from which the NLR was deduced. Other variables, including alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels, were retrieved. Patients were
stratified according to baseline ALC using a cut-off of 1 G/L based on relevant published reports. NLR analyses were performed with a threshold of ≥ 3, according to the results of the meta-analysis by Ethier et al.

### Statistical Analysis

Patients were stratified according to ALC and NLR. Comparisons between variables were performed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test for numerical variables, and the Fisher exact test for categorical variables. Survival curves were constructed using the Kaplan–Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. The Cox proportional hazards model was applied for multivariate analysis. Candidate prognostic factors with a significance level ≤ 0.10 in univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis. All statistical tests were two-sided, and considered significant when p values were < 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 software.

### Results

#### Comparison With Lymphopenia And Non-Lymphopenia Group According To Baseline ALC

Median baseline ALC was 1.5 G/L (range: 0.5–4.1 G/L). Thirteen (19.1%) and 55 (80.9%) patients had baseline ALC values of ≤ 1 G/L and > 1 G/L, respectively. The median ages in these two groups (44 [range: 31–69] and 55 [range: 17–74] years, respectively) were not significantly different and neither were menopause status, Karnofsky performance status, hormone receptor status, or number of metastatic sites. There was no remarkable correlation with ALC value according to the presence or the absence of liver or lung metastasis. However, LDH was significantly higher in the group with ALC ≤ 1 G/L than in the group with ALC > 1 G/L (mean 319 IU/L vs 217 IU/L; P = 0.001). Moreover, mean NLR was sharply significant difference between patients with lymphopenia and without lymphopenia (4.2 vs 2.3, P = 0.003) (Table 1).

#### Effect Of Baseline ALC On Tumor Response

In the whole group, the ORR was 73.5% (50 patients), with CR achieved for eight patients (11.8%). Twelve patients (17.6%) had SD. The PD rate was 8.8% (six patients), resulting in a DCR of 91.2% (62 patients). We did not observe any significant difference in ORR (61.5% vs 74.5%, P = 0.49) or DCR (84.6% vs 94.5%, P = 0.24) between patients with baseline ALC ≤ 1 G/L and those with ALC > 1 G/L (Table 2).

### Table 1 Comparison Of Clinical Characteristics Between Lymphopenia And Non-Lymphopenia Group

| Characteristic                  | Lymphopenia n = 13 | Non-Lymphopenia n = 55 | Total n = 68 | P-value |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------|
|                                | n                  | %                      | n            | %       | n | %       |        |
| Age (years)                    | Median (range)     | 44 (31–69)             | 55 (17–74)   | 51 (27–74) |   | 0.813 |
| Menopause status               |                    |                        |              |         |
| Pre                            | 4                  | 30.8                   | 21           | 38.2    | 25 | 36.8    | 0.754 |
| Post                           | 9                  | 69.2                   | 34           | 61.8    | 43 | 63.2    |
| Karnofsky performance status   | 100                | 15.4                   | 15           | 27.3    | 17 | 25.0    | 0.492 |
|                                | 70–90              | 84.6                   | 40           | 72.7    | 51 | 75.0    |
| Estrogen receptor status       |                    |                        |              |         |
| Positive                       | 5                  | 38.5                   | 22           | 40.0    | 27 | 39.7    | 1.000 |
| Negative                       | 8                  | 61.5                   | 33           | 60.0    | 41 | 60.3    |
| No. of metastatic sites        |                    |                        |              |         |
| 1                              | 1                  | 15.4                   | 22           | 40.0    | 24 | 35.3    | 0.117 |
| ≥2                             | 11                 | 84.6                   | 33           | 60.0    | 44 | 64.7    |
| Localization of metastasis     |                    |                        |              |         |
| Liver                          | 8                  | 61.5                   | 26           | 47.3    | 34 | 50.0    | 0.539 |
| Lung                           | 5                  | 38.5                   | 21           | 38.2    | 26 | 38.2    |
| LDH (IU/L)                     | Median (range)     | 319 (168–543)          | 217 (118–506) | 237 (118–543) |   | 0.001 |
| ALP (IU/L)                     | Median (range)     | 130 (46–344)           | 97 (34–763)  | 104 (34–763) |   | 0.295 |
| NLR                            | Median (range)     | 4.2 (1.0–17.0)         | 2.3 (0.6–4.6) | 2.7 (0.6–17.0) |   | 0.003 |

Abbreviations: ALP, alkaline phosphatase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio.
**Table 2** Response Evaluation According To Baseline Lymphocyte Count

| Objective Response n (%) | P-value | Disease Control n (%) | P-value |
|---------------------------|---------|------------------------|---------|
|                          | PD + SD | CR + PR                |         |
| Baseline ALC              |         |                        |         |
| >1 G/L (n = 55)           | 14 (25.5) | 41 (74.5)              | 0.49    |
| ≤1 G/L (n = 13)           | 5 (38.5)  | 8 (61.5)               |         |
|                          |         |                        |         |
|                          | PD       | CR + PR + SD           |         |
|                          | 3 (5.5)  | 52 (94.5)              | 0.24    |
|                          | 2 (15.4) | 11 (84.6)              |         |

**Abbreviations:** PD, progressive disease; SD, stable disease; CR, complete response; PR, partial response; ALC, absolute lymphocyte count.

### Influence Of Baseline ALC On Patient Prognosis

Follow-up data were available for all patients; the median follow-up time was 2.21 years (range: 0.19–8.10 years). During the follow-up period, 61 patients (89.7%) developed progressive disease, with or without an initial response to trastuzumab therapy. Median PFS was 1.04 years (95% CI: 0.84–1.23 years). Thirty-four patients (50.0%) died of recurrence or distant metastasis, resulting in a median OS of 3.58 years (95% CI: 2.81–4.35 years). ALP is a hydrolase enzyme that can dephosphorylate various types of molecules, including nucleotides, proteins, and alkaloids. More ALP will be released into the bloodstream during some pathological conditions, including pregnancy, carcinoma, kidney disease, and bone metastasis. Particularly, elevated ALP is frequently reported in bone metastasis in certain malignancies, including osteosarcoma, breast cancer, and prostate cancer. LDH is a ubiquitous enzyme that plays a central role in anaerobic glycolysis, as it catalyzes the reversible conversion of pyruvate into lactate. LDH is a well-known marker of tissue damage. Many pathological conditions, including cancer, present with LDH elevation due to acute cell death or necrosis. Moreover, high plasmatic LDH levels influence tumor progression and metastatic spread with a negative impact on outcome in various cancer types. Plasmatic LDH and its variation during first-line treatment predict PFS and OS in MBC, providing independent prognostic information. It would be worthwhile to prospectively evaluate the association between LDH variation and therapeutic benefit in MBC, and explore how it may affect treatment strategies.

In univariate analysis, higher ALP was associated with inferior OS ($P = 0.001$); similarly, higher LDH was associated with inferior PFS ($P = 0.045$) and OS ($P = 0.010$). The difference was even more significant when we compared the PFS ($P = 0.000009$) and OS ($P = 0.0003$) of patients with ALC ≤ 1 G/L and > 1 G/L. Other potentially associated factors (menopause status, hormone receptor expression, liver metastasis, number of metastasis sites, and NLR) were also taken into account (Table 3). Increased LDH and/or ALP were indicators of high tumor load respectively, and they were related to prognosis. Prognosis was analyzed using Kaplan–Meier curves, demonstrating that baseline lymphopenia was significantly associated with inferior PFS (median PFS, 0.597 vs 1.170 years, $P = 0.000009$) and OS (median OS 1.879 vs 3.803 years, $P = 0.0003$) in trastuzumab-treated patients (Figure 1). In multivariable analysis, significance was retained for lymphopenia (PFS: hazard ratio [HR]: 0.260, 95% CI: 0.122–0.552, $P = 0.0005$; OS: HR: 0.327, 95% CI: 0.132–0.812, $P = 0.016$); however, LDH and ALP did not retain significance (Table 4).

### Discussion

Trastuzumab yields response rates of 8% to 10% in advanced, heavily pretreated, and recurrent breast cancer, and its therapeutic efficacy is often enhanced by combination with chemotherapy. The principal mechanisms of action of trastuzumab include direct growth inhibition, leading to apoptosis of tumor cells, along with CDC and ADCC by natural killer (NK) cells, among others. Varchetta et al, indicated that the quantity and lytic efficiency of CD16+ lymphocytes are major factors influencing ADCC induction by trastuzumab. Although lymphocytes are fundamental to immunotherapy responses, the potential for ALC to function as a predictor of tumor antigen (TA)-targeted monoclonal antibody efficacy remains unclear. In this study, which evaluated the predictive value of baseline ALC in patients with HER2-positive MBC treated using trastuzumab combined with chemotherapy, we did not identify significant differences in DCR or ORR, using a cut-off value for lymphopenia of 1 G/L; nevertheless, DCR and ORR were lower in patients with lymphopenia than those without. One possible explanation for this is that ADCC represents one mechanism of trastuzumab action, where both inactivation of downstream signal transduction pathways by trastuzumab and
chemotherapy could contribute to the response. Another possible explanation is that both sufficient numbers and normal function of immune effector cells are essential for the induction of TA-targeted monoclonal antibody-mediated

| Factors                              | PFS                      | P-value | OS                      | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|
|                                      | Median PFS (Years) 95% CI | P-value | Median OS (Years) 95% CI | P-value |
| Menopause                            |                           |         |                         |         |
| Pre-                                 | 1.038 (0.757–1.320)       | 0.764   | 3.471 (1.510–5.432)     | 0.939   |
| Post-                                | 1.036 (0.715–1.356)       |         | 3.740 (2.301–4.278)     |         |
| Hormone receptor status              |                           |         |                         |         |
| Positive                             | 1.071 (0.862–1.280)       | 0.932   | 3.422 (2.180–4.664)     | 0.999   |
| Negative                             | 0.899 (0.569–1.229)       |         | 3.803 (2.272–5.333)     |         |
| Liver metastasis                     |                           |         |                         |         |
| Yes                                  | 0.921 (0.662–1.179)       | 0.362   | 3.088 (1.970–4.206)     | 0.159   |
| No                                   | 1.071 (0.872–1.271)       |         | 4.096 (2.336–5.855)     |         |
| Number of metastasis sites           |                           |         |                         |         |
| Single                               | 1.107 (0.883–1.330)       | 0.672   | 3.803 (3.399–4.207)     | 0.361   |
| Multiple                             | 0.921 (0.725–1.116)       |         | 3.422 (2.123–4.721)     |         |
| ALC at baseline                      |                           |         |                         |         |
| >1 G/L                               | 1.170 (0.942–1.397)       | 0.000009| 3.803 (3.369–4.236)     | 0.0003  |
| ≤1 G/L                               | 0.597 (0.382–0.813)       |         | 1.879 (1.023–2.736)     |         |
| NLR                                  |                           |         |                         |         |
| >3                                   | 1.036 (0.827–1.245)       | 0.374   | 3.471 (1.668–5.274)     | 0.665   |
| ≤3                                   | 1.071 (0.652–1.491)       |         | 3.740 (2.894–4.585)     |         |
| LDH at baseline                      |                           |         |                         |         |
| >240 U/L                             | 0.858 (0.465–1.251)       | 0.045   | 2.334 (0.557–4.111)     | 0.010   |
| ≤240 U/L                             | 1.170 (0.888–1.452)       |         | 3.803 (3.396–4.209)     |         |
| ALP at baseline                      |                           |         |                         |         |
| >130 U/L                             | 0.858 (0.717–0.998)       | 0.064   | 2.008 (1.333–2.684)     | 0.001   |
| ≤130 U/L                             | 1.071 (0.761–1.381)       |         | 3.803 (3.373–4.233)     |         |

Note: Bold values are statistically significant.

Abbreviations: PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; ALC, absolute lymphocyte count; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase.

Figure 1 Kaplan-Meier survival curve for PFS and OS according to baseline ALC (>1 G/L vs ≤1 G/L) in patients with MBC treated with trastuzumab combined with chemotherapy.
Tamura reported that $F_c = 0.001$, likely because thymic function in the elderly can be impaired. Further analyses of peripheral lymphocyte subpopulations may reveal additional information about their predictive potential. Finally, the scale of our study may have been insufficient for the evaluation of minor differences.

Another aim of our study was to evaluate whether baseline ALC and NLR had any prognostic value for patient benefit from trastuzumab. All statistical analysis was conducted using R 3.6.0 (http://www.r-project.org). Hold-out method was used for cross-validation. Samples were randomly assigned to training set and validation set. The sample size and the proportion of outcome event were the same in these two sets. The effects of the factors on OS and PFS in the training set were assessed using a univariate Cox proportional hazards model. We draw the same conclusions after we adopted multiple testing correction.

| Factor | Group | PFS HR (95% CI) | P-value | OS HR (95% CI) | P-value |
|--------|-------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| LDH    | ≤240 U/L vs > 240 U/L | 1.175 (0.596–2.314) | 0.642 | 1.327 (0.549–3.208) | 0.530 |
| ALP    | ≤130 U/L vs > 130 U/L | 1.478 (0.666–3.277) | 0.337 | 2.736 (0.917–7.238) | 0.043 |
| Baseline ALC | ≤1 G/L vs >1 G/L | 0.260 (0.122–0.552) | 0.0005 | 0.327 (0.132–0.812) | 0.016 |

Note: Bold values are statistically significant.

Abbreviations: PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALC, absolute lymphocyte count.

It remains unclear whether lymphopenia is the cause or the consequence of tumor progression. Some host characteristics as well as the tumor burden could result in lymphopenia. In a pooled series, lymphopenia was associated with patient age ($P = 0.04$) and performance status ($P = 0.001$), likely because thymic function in the elderly can contribute to a reduced number of circulating lymphocytes. Whereas, in this study, we did not observe any association between lymphopenia and age or Karnofsky performance scale status. A possible explanation is that the median age of the patients in our study was only 51 years, and most of them were in the first relapse; hence, the majority of patients had good performance status. However, quantification of total tumor burden at first relapse remains difficult to assess, although it is established that increased LDH is an indicator of high tumor load. In this study, no association was observed between lymphopenia and number of metastases, while lymphopenia was strongly associated with increased LDH, suggesting an association between lymphopenia and high tumor burden.

Although a deeper understanding of the interaction between immunity and combination of trastuzumab and chemotherapy might help optimize or tailor treatment, less is known what is a better option for those patients with baseline lymphopenia. Although there are no reports on this area, lapatinib, a small molecular tyrosine kinase inhibitor, might be available due to its lack the immune activity classically reported with trastuzumab.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, routine blood tests are accessible and fundamental examinations that have long been considered an essential tool assisting cancer diagnosis and treatment. Our results show that baseline lymphopenia may be effective in selecting patients less likely to benefit from trastuzumab-based treatment, despite being validated as HER2-positive. Our study has some limitations, including the small sample size and single-center design; hence, our results require verification by large-scale clinical studies with extensive follow-up. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, this
study is the first to provide comprehensive insights into associations with ALC in patients with HER2-positive MBC treated with trastuzumab.
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