Heparin and Protamine Titration Does Not Improve Haemostasis after Cardiac Surgery: A Prospective Randomized Study
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Abstract

Background

Bleeding complications are common in cardiac surgery. Perioperative handling of heparin and protamine may influence the haemostasis. We hypothesized that heparin and protamine dosing based on individual titration curves would improve haemostasis in comparison to standard dosing.

Subjects and Methods

Sixty patients scheduled for first time elective coronary artery bypass grafting or valve surgery were included in a prospective randomized study. The patients were randomized to heparin and protamine dosing with Hepcon HMS Plus device or to standard weight and activated clotting time (ACT) based dosing. Blood samples were collected before and 10 minutes, 2 hours and 4 hours after cardiopulmonary bypass. Primary endpoint was endogenous thrombin potential in plasma 2 hours after surgery as assessed by calibrated automated thrombography. Secondary endpoints included total heparin and protamine doses, whole blood clot formation (thromboelastometry) and post-operative bleeding volume and transfusions. Heparin effect was assessed by measuring anti-Xa activity.

Results

Endogenous thrombin potential and clot formation deteriorated in both groups after surgery without statistically significant intergroup difference. There were no significant differences between the groups in total heparin and protamine doses, heparin effect, or postoperative bleeding and transfusions at any time point. Significant inverse correlations between anti-Xa activity and endogenous thrombin potential were observed 10 min (r = -0.43, p = 0.001), 2 hours (r = -0.66, p<0.001) and 4 hours after surgery (r = -0.58, p<0.001).
Conclusion

In conclusion, the results suggest that perioperative heparin and protamine dosing based on individual titration curves does not improve haemostasis after cardiac surgery. Postoperative thrombin generation capacity correlates to residual heparin effect.

Trial Registration

www.isrctn.com ISRCTN14201041.

Introduction

Cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) imposes major trauma to the blood and activates the coagulation [1]. In order to prevent building of thrombus in the bypass circuit and minimize activation of the coagulation system, systemic heparinization has been used for decades. A common practice during CPB is administering a fixed, weight-based heparin dose and measuring the activated clotting time (ACT), targeting a certain level considered sufficiently safe for surgery. The ACT bioassay is influenced by many factors and the optimal level required is still unknown [2]. In order to improve heparin dosing regimen during CPB, a concept of estimating the individual heparin dose response has been developed [3], but the clinical results remain conflicting [4].

The impaired postoperative haemostasis in cardiac surgery patients is multifactorial [5]. Both reduced thrombin generation, impaired clot formation and reduced platelet function may contribute [6–8]. In standard cardiac surgery settings, we [9] and others [10–12] have found markedly decreased plasma potential to generate thrombin shortly after surgery, as determined by the calibrated automated thrombography (CAT) assay [13]. The reduced thrombin generation potential after surgery has been linked to increased postoperative bleeding [6,14]. Low thrombin generation potential after surgery has previously been associated with residual heparin effect [9,15].

Based on these findings we hypothesized that a more precise anticoagulation management during CPB, based on heparin and protamine titration, would be superior to weight based heparin dosing in terms of preservation of the haemostatic capacity. To test this hypothesis a prospective randomized study was designed.

Material and Methods

Ethics statement

The study was approved by the Regional Research Ethics Committee at Gothenburg university (Registry number 308–11) on April 18th, 2011. The study was registered before participant recruitment at the Regional Research Ethics Committee and after recruitment was started in The International Standard Randomized Controlled Trial Number (ISRCTN) registry (number ISRCTN14201041). Registration before start of recruitment was unfortunately overseen by the investigators.

Patients

Initially two hundred thirty four adult patients (age > 18 years) were assessed for eligibility. One hundred seventy four did not meet the inclusion criteria or were excluded of other reasons.
Predefined exclusion criteria were acute operation, previous cardiac surgery, known bleeding disorder, liver-, kidney- or psychiatric disease, previous stroke and/or thrombosis, treatment with a P2Y12 receptor antagonist >5 days before surgery and not being able to understand Swedish. Finally, sixty elective, first time coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) or valve replacement surgery patients were included in the trial. All study participants provided written informed consent. Patient characteristics are given in Table 1.

![Flow chart over the study patients.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0130271.g001)

Table 1. Patients' characteristics at baseline.

|                          | Titration group (n = 30) | Control group (n = 30) | p    |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------|
| Age (years)              | 66 ± 10                  | 69 ± 8                 | 0.19 |
| Male                     | 27 (90%)                 | 28 (93%)               | 0.65 |
| Weight (kg)              | 83 ± 12                  | 82 ± 16                | 0.64 |
| BMI (kg/m2)              | 27 ± 3                   | 27 ± 4                 | 0.83 |
| EF (%)                   | 57 ± 9                   | 55 ± 10                | 0.61 |
| Euroscore                | 3 (0–7)                  | 4 (0–7)                | 0.12 |
| Haemoglobin (g/L)        | 128 ± 12                 | 128 ± 10               | 0.82 |
| Platelet count (x10^9/L) | 221 (144–366)            | 207 (133–328)          | 0.62 |
| aPTT (s)                 | 35 (29–43)               | 36 (31–43)             | 0.28 |
| PT INR                   | 1.2 (1.0–1.2)            | 1.2 (1.0–1.3)          | 0.57 |
| Haematocrit (%)          | 0.38 ± 0.04              | 0.38 ± 0.03            | 0.99 |
| Antithrombin (kIU/L)     | 0.88 (0.73–1.19)         | 0.87 (0.66–1.15)       | 0.78 |
| Aspirin (n)              | 28 (93%)                 | 25 (83%)               | 0.24 |

Key: Data presented as mean ± standard deviation, median and range or number and percent. BMI–body mass index, EF–ejection fraction, aPTT–activated partial thromboplastin time, PT INR–prothrombin time INR. Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney rank sum test or Student’s t-test.
Study design

The study was a prospective, single center, randomized controlled trial. The investigation took place at Sahlgrenska University Hospital in Gothenburg, Sweden. Patients were recruited between October 25, 2011 and January 15, 2013. The study participants were randomized 1:1 (using opaque envelopes) immediately before surgery to either heparin and protamine titration using the heparin dose response curve (titration group) or weight based heparin and protamine dosing treatment, guided by activated clotting time (ACT) (control group). The perfusionist assigned to the case opened the envelope. Surgeons and intensive care unit (ICU) personnel were blinded to the randomization. The random allocation sequence was generated by a research assistant not otherwise involved in the study. This research assistant did not participate in registration of data or data analysis. The patients were enrolled by one of the co-authors (VR). Primary endpoint was endogenous thrombin potential in plasma 2 hours after surgery as assessed by calibrated automated thrombogram. Secondary endpoints included total heparin and protamine doses, whole blood clot formation (thromboelastometry), and post-operative bleeding volume and transfusions. The report of the study follows the CONSORT guidelines (S1 CONSORT Checklist). Study protocols are attached (S1 and S2 Protocols).

Clinical management

Anaesthesia in all patients was induced with fentanyl and propofol followed by rocuronium and sevoflurane. The CPB circuit included a membrane oxygenator and roller pumps. Standard non-pulsatile CPB technique with moderate hypothermia (bladder temperature 34–36°C), and haemodilution was used. The CPB circuit was primed with 1400 ml of Ringer-Acetate (Fresenius Kabi AB, Uppsala, Sweden), and 200 ml of Mannitol (150 mg/ml)(Fresenius Kabi AB). Cardioprotection was achieved with intermittent antegrade cold blood cardioplegia. Weaning off CPB was performed after rewarming to a bladder temperature of 36°C. Heparin (10 000 IU) was added to the priming solution. Aspirin was not discontinued before surgery. All patients on aspirin received 75 mg once daily. None of the patients were treated with low molecular weight heparin or warfarin. Clopidogrel was discontinued at least five days before surgery. All patients received 2g tranexamic acid intravenously at anaesthesia induction and at the end of surgery. Aprotinin was not used in any of the study patients.

Blood products were transfused according to predefined transfusion protocol. Red blood cells were transfused if haemoglobin <70 g/L, haematocrit <20% or on-going bleeding. In bleeding patients red blood cells were transfused if the haemoglobin level was <100 g/L. Plasma was transfused in patients with ongoing bleeding (>200 ml/h) and coagulopathy documented by thromboelastography. Platelet concentrate was administered if on-going bleeding (>200 ml/h) and thrombocytopenia (<75 x 10^9/L) or platelet dysfunction (e.g treatment with platelet inhibitor). The final decision regarding transfusions was left to the discretion of the responsible physician.

Heparin management

In the titration group, HEPCON Haemostasis Management System Plus device (Medtronic Inc, Minneapolis, Minnesota) was used, according to manufacturer’s recommendations. After estimating the patients’ blood volume and individualized heparin sensitivity, the initial bolus heparin dose, heparin dose response and ACT were determined using a six channel cartridge (two channels with heparin concentration 2.5 U/ml, two with heparin concentration 1.5 U/ml and two without added heparin). Since the CPB circuit has already been primed with 10000 IU heparin, only bolus doses of heparin were estimated every 20 to 30 min throughout the surgery, in order to maintain target ACT above 480 s. At the end of the CPB, the protamine dose
required for heparin neutralization was also established using the device and the effect controlled by an additional ACT check 10 min after weaning.

In the control group, the patients received unfractionated heparin (350 units/kg body weight) in order to achieve target activated clotting time (ACT) of more than 480 seconds. Heparin monitoring intraoperatively was performed by standard ACT (HEMOCHRON Jr. ACT+ [ITC, Edison, NJ]). After CPB, the heparin was reversed by administration of protamine sulfate (1 mg protamine/100 units of the initial heparin dose).

**Study procedures**

The following pre- and perioperative variables were registered: age, gender, weight, body mass index (BMI), Euroscore, systolic ejection fraction, preoperative medication, number of grafts, CPB time, aortic clamp time, haemoglobin, haematocrit, platelet count, standard coagulation screening tests, ACT, antithrombin and anti-Xa plasma levels. Intraoperative blood loss was calculated by the operation nurse based on waste suction volume and number of used sponges. Postoperative bleeding was assessed as total amount of chest tube drainage during the first 12 postoperative hours.

Blood samples were collected at four time points: preoperatively, 10 minutes after weaning from CPB and two and four hours after surgery. The samples were drawn from a preoperatively placed central venous line after discarding the first 10 ml of blood. Specimens were collected in sodium citrate tubes (0.13M, 9 parts blood, 1 part sodium citrate), and centrifuged at 2000g for 20 min. The supernatant was filled in separate tubes and frozen at -70°C until further analysis. The samples for thrombin generation capacity studies were additionally centrifuged at 13000g for 20 min in order to obtain platelet poor plasma (PPP).

**Analyses**

**General.** Heparin activity was assessed with anti-Xa and by the INTEM-CT:HEPTEM-CT ratio with thromboelastometry [16]. Coagulation was assessed with thrombin time (TT), ACT, aPTT, prothrombin time (PT INR) and thromboelastometry. All analyses, except HEPCON, activated clotting time (ACT) and rotational thromboelastometry were analyzed at the coagulation laboratory at Sahlgrenska University Hospital. The laboratory participates in the ECAT foundation external quality assessment programme (www.ecat.nl). Haemoglobin concentration, haematocrit and platelet count were analyzed with clinical standard methods.

**Thrombin generation capacity.** The Calibrated Automated Thrombography (CAT) assay was performed in round-bottom 96-well plates (Greiner microlon, U-shaped, high binding, USA). Citrated plasma samples (80 μl) and trigger solution (20 μl) (PPP reagent Cat# TS30.00, Thrombinoscope, Maastricht, The Netherlands) containing 5 pM tissue factor were mixed in sample wells. In parallel, a calibrator, (Cat # TS20.00, Thrombinoscope), was analyzed by mixing 20 μl of calibrator and 80 μl of pooled citrated normal human plasma in wells coupled to the sample wells. In addition, two controls were used on each plate, one with normal pooled plasma and the other with warfarin treated plasma with PT INR 2.0. Then the plate was moved to a fluorometer (Ascent reader, Thromlab systems OY, Helsinki, Finland) and 20 μl of FluCa solution (Cat # TS50.00, Thrombinoscope) containing fluorogenic substrate and CaCl₂ was dispensed by the instrument. The fluorogenic signal was measured at λex 390 nm, λem 460 nm during 60 min. The 96-well plate was kept on a 37°C heating block during additions of the reagents.

Start of thrombin activity (lag time), time to peak thrombin activity (TTP), peak thrombin activity (peak), and total endogenous thrombin potential (ETP) were calculated using the software Thrombinoscope (version 3.0.0.29) from Thrombinoscope BV (Maastricht, Netherlands).
**Rotational thromboelastometry.** Rotational thromboelastometry was performed on ROTEM delta instrument (TEM International, Munich, Germany) using 300 μl citrated whole blood, with previously described technique [17]. Assays using contact activation (INTEM), contact activation with addition of heparinase in order to neutralize the heparin effect (HEP-TEM), tissue factor activation (EXTEM) and tissue factor activation with platelet inhibition to assess the fibrinogen status (FIBTEM) were run on all samples. Clotting time (CT) and maximum clot firmness (MCF) were evaluated with INTEM, EXTEM, HEPTEM and FIBTEM assays.

**Coagulation assays.** Anti-Xa activity was assessed by quantifying residual factor Xa activity by cleavage of a chromogenic substrate (STA-Liquid Anti Xa, Diagnostica Stago, Asnieres, France), reference value <0.05 kIU/L. Activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) was measured with STA APTT reagent containing cephalin as a source of the phospholipids and silica as a particulate activator (reference range 30–42 seconds). Determination of thrombin time (TT) was done by standard commercial STA Thrombin 2 test (Diagnostica Stago, Asnieres, France), adding thrombin to patient plasma and measuring the clotting time (reference range 14–21 seconds). Antithrombin was measured by chromogenic substrate method (STA-STA-CHROM AT III, Diagnostica Stago, Asnieres, France) (reference range 0.8–1.2 kIU/L). All analyses were performed on STA-R coagulometer (Diagnostica Stago, Asnieres, France).

**Statistics**

A power analysis was done based on our own thrombin generation capacity data 2h after surgery [5]. The analysis showed that 25 patients in each group were needed to show a 30% difference in endogenous thrombin potential (ETP) between the groups 2h after surgery with 80% power and a significance level of 0.05. Results are expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD), median and range, or number and percent (%). Normality of distribution was tested by Shapiro-Wilk’s test. Student-T-test, Mann-Whitney U-test or Chi-square test was used to compare groups. Statistical significance was generally defined as a p value of <0.05. Correlation between anti-Xa levels, CAT variables and INTEM-CT:HEPTEM-CT was analyzed by Spearman’s rank correlation test.

Differences between control and titration group, with respect to CAT, ROTEM, antithrombin, anti-Xa, ACT, haemoglobin, platelet count, PT INR, aPTT and TT analyzed at different time points, were compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measurements independently of data distribution. Student-T-test was used to test the different time points if the ANOVA analysis indicated a significant difference between groups (p<0.05). STATISTICA 10 software was used for statistical analyses (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA).

**Results**

**General**

All the study participants completed the study and there was no missing data. There was no difference between titration control and group in any of the baseline variables, Table 1. Despite randomization, aortic clamp time and ECC time were significantly longer in the control group than in the titration group, Table 2. Three patients (5%) were re-explored because of bleeding (one in the titration group and two in the control group). Two patients (both in control group) were reoperated because of early myocardial ischemia. There were no other thromboembolic complications and all patients could be discharged from the hospital with no further complications.
Heparin and protamine doses

The titration group received higher bolus dose heparin (p = 0.009), but the total heparin doses did not differ between the groups (p = 0.99). Patients in the titration group had higher mean ACT after heparin bolus dose (p = 0.01), Table 2. Fourteen patients in control- and twelve patients in titration group received extra heparin during surgery. One patient in each group did not reach ACT 480 s after the initial heparin bolus dose. The total protamine dose did not differ significantly (p = 0.78).

Thrombin generation potential

There was a significant reduction in thrombin generation capacity variables (lag time, time to peak, peak value and ETP) in both groups postoperatively compared to the preoperative measurements, Table 3. The lowest ETP was registered 4 hours after CPB in both groups. Time to peak (TTP) was significantly longer in the titration group 4 hours after surgery but no other statistically significant difference between the groups was observed.

Coagulation

All coagulation tests except PT INR and ACT indicated a moderately impaired coagulation after surgery without any significant intergroup differences, Tables 4 and 5. Clotting time measured with thromboelastometry, aPTT and thrombin time were prolonged postoperatively while antithrombin levels were lower in comparison to the preoperative measurements.
Postoperative heparin effect

Anti-Xa activity was undetectable before surgery but increased postoperatively in both groups without significant intergroup difference, Table 4. The highest activity was registered 4 hours after CPB. Similarly, the INTEM/HEPTEM ratio increased postoperatively in both groups without significant intergroup difference, Table 5.

Correlation between thrombin generation capacity and heparin effect

There were significant inverse correlations between anti-Xa levels and ETP 10 min (r = -0.43, p = 0.001), 2 hours (r = -0.66, p<0.001) and 4 hours after surgery (r = -0.58, p<0.001). Postoperative INTEM-CT:HEPTEM-CT ratio correlated also with ETP levels two hours and (r = 0.44; p = 0.001) and four hours (r = 0.44, p = 0.001) after surgery.

Bleeding and transfusions

There were no significant differences in intraoperative and postoperative bleeding or in transfusion rates between the two groups, Table 2. The groups did not differ in haemoglobin level at any time point, Table 3.

Discussion

In the present randomized study we hypothesized that heparin and protamine dosing based on individual titration curves would improve postoperative haemostasis. This hypothesis was grounded on the previously demonstrated association between thrombin generation capacity...
Table 4. Repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) for haemostatic variables in the titration group and in the control group preoperatively and 10min, 2h and 4h after cardiopulmonary bypass.

|                    | Titration group | Control group | p value group | p value time | p value group/time interaction |
|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| **Antithrombin (kIU/L)** |                 |               | 0.78          |              |                               |
| Preoperative       | 0.88 (0.73–1.19) | 0.86 (0.66–1.15) |              |              |                               |
| 10 min after CPB   | 0.69 (0.37–0.89) | 0.69 (0.53–0.93) |              |              |                               |
| 2 hours after CPB  | 0.73 (0.60–1.00) | 0.73 (0.50–1.10) |              |              |                               |
| 4 hours after CPB  | 0.76 (0.60–0.90) | 0.76 (0.48–1.04) |              |              |                               |
| **Anti Xa (kIU/L)** |                 |               | 0.29          |              |                               |
| Preoperative       | 0.04 ± 0.09     | 0.05 ± 0.09   |              |              |                               |
| 10 min after CPB   | 0.05 ± 0.11     | 0.09 ± 0.11   |              |              |                               |
| 2 hours after CPB  | 0.09 ± 0.11     | 0.13 ± 0.15   |              |              |                               |
| 4 hours after CPB  | 0.14 ± 0.13     | 0.16 ± 0.15   |              |              |                               |
| **ACT (s)**        |                 |               | 0.99          | 0.41         | 0.036                          |
| Preoperative       | 125 ± 16*       | 117 ± 12      |              |              |                               |
| 10 min after CPB   | 116 ± 14*       | 123 ± 12      |              |              |                               |
| 2 hours after CPB  | 122 ± 10        | 126 ± 12      |              |              |                               |
| 4 hours after CPB  | 121 ± 12        | 124 ± 12      |              |              |                               |
| **Haemoglobin (g/L)** |                |               | 0.7           | <0.001       | 0.52                          |
| Preoperative       | 128 ± 12        | 128 ± 10      |              |              |                               |
| 10 min after CPB   | 104 ± 11        | 107 ± 10      |              |              |                               |
| 2 hours after CPB  | 109 ± 16        | 107 ± 18      |              |              |                               |
| 4 hours after CPB  | 109 ± 14        | 111 ± 13      |              |              |                               |
| **Platelet count (x109/L)** |             |               | 0.63          | <0.001       | 0.96                          |
| Preoperative       | 227 ± 59        | 217 ± 4       |              |              |                               |
| 10 min after CPB   | 152 ± 44        | 148 ± 57      |              |              |                               |
| 2 hours after CPB  | 188 ± 65        | 183 ± 49      |              |              |                               |
| 4 hours after CPB  | 196 ± 60        | 185 ± 46      |              |              |                               |
| **PT (INR)**       |                 |               | 1.2 ± 0.1     | 1.2 ± 0.1    | 0.47                          |
| Preoperative       | 1.2 ± 0.1       | 1.2 ± 0.1     |              |              |                               |
| 10 min after CPB   | 1.3 ± 0.1       | 1.3 ± 0.1     |              |              |                               |
| 2 hours after CPB  | 1.3 ± 0.1       | 1.3 ± 0.1     |              |              |                               |
| 4 hours after CPB  | 1.2 ± 0.1       | 1.3 ± 0.1     |              |              |                               |
| **aPTT (s)**       |                 |               | 36 ± 3        | 36 ± 3       | 0.91                          |
| Preoperative       | 36 ± 3          | 36 ± 3        |              |              |                               |
| 10 min after CPB   | 39 ± 6          | 39 ± 4        |              |              |                               |
| 2 hours after CPB  | 41 ± 7          | 40 ± 7        |              |              |                               |
| 4 hours after CPB  | 46 ± 11         | 46 ± 11       |              |              |                               |
| **Thrombin time (s)** |               |               | 0.59          | <0.001       | 0.79                          |
| Preoperative       | 17 ± 1          | 17 ± 1        |              |              |                               |
| 10 min after CPB   | 48 ± 108        | 39 ± 62       |              |              |                               |
| 2 hours after CPB  | 33 ± 31         | 34 ± 48       |              |              |                               |
| 4 hours after CPB  | 64 ± 111        | 47 ± 47       |              |              |                               |

Key: Data presented as mean and standard deviation or median and range. ACT—activated clotting time, aPTT—activated partial thromboplastin time, CPB—cardiopulmonary bypass, INR—International normalized ratio, PT—prothrombin time.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0130271.t004
and residual heparin effect and on data indicating that titration optimizes heparin and protamine dosing. However, the results did not support our hypothesis since both thrombin generation capacity and all other haemostatic tests were comparable in the two groups.

There have been a few previous studies with similar design addressing the effect of heparin titration on thrombin generation. Hofmann et al [18] found decreased thrombin-antithrombin complex (TAT) levels with the Hepcon device and Koster et al [19] found lower TAT, prothrombin fragment 1.2 and D-dimer levels. TAT and prothrombin fragment 1.2 reflect the amount of thrombin that already has been produced and not the ability of plasma to further generate thrombin. The latter is assessed by calibrated automated thrombography, a method used in the present study. Therefore our study is not directly comparable to previous trials using other markers of thrombin generation. Furthermore, the mode of action of heparins in clinical settings is far from clear, still generating a debate whether it is increased thrombin inactivation or decreased prothrombin consumption that is a primary effect [20,21]. While low

Table 5. Repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) for thromboelastometric variables in the titration group and in the control group preoperatively and 10 min, 2 h and 4 h after cardiopulmonary bypass.

|                      | Titration group | Control group | p value group | p value time | p value group/time interaction |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| **INTEM CT (s)**     |                 |               | 0.13          | < 0.001      | 0.051                          |
| Preoperative         | 158 (113–237)   | 159 (116–244) |               |              |                                |
| 10 min after CPB     | 183 (136–274)   | 191 (145–235) |               |              |                                |
| 2 hours after CPB    | 190 (136–290)   | 177 (136–248) |               |              |                                |
| 4 hours after CPB    | 192 (147–406)   | 180 (160–229) |               |              |                                |
| **EXTEM CT (s)**     | 0.33            | < 0.001       | 0.43          |              |                                |
| Preoperative         | 47 (28–59)      | 46 (35–197)   |               |              |                                |
| 10 min after CPB     | 59 (44–95)      | 66 (52–102)   |               |              |                                |
| 2 hours after CPB    | 53 (43–64)      | 52 (43–70)    |               |              |                                |
| 4 hours after CPB    | 56 (37–74)      | 50 (34–128)   |               |              |                                |
| **INTEM-MCF (mm)**   | 0.25            | < 0.001       | 0.66          |              |                                |
| Preoperative         | 65 (57–78)      | 64 (51–75)    |               |              |                                |
| 10 min after CPB     | 59 (41–76)      | 58 (46–67)    |               |              |                                |
| 2 hours after CPB    | 61 (51–78)      | 60 (50–70)    |               |              |                                |
| 4 hours after CPB    | 62 (55–81)      | 61 (54–71)    |               |              |                                |
| **EXTEM MCF (mm)**   | 0.36            | < 0.001       | 0.92          |              |                                |
| Preoperative         | 65 (57–78)      | 64 (51–75)    |               |              |                                |
| 10 min after CPB     | 59 (41–76)      | 58 (46–67)    |               |              |                                |
| 2 hours after CPB    | 61 (51–78)      | 60 (50–70)    |               |              |                                |
| 4 hours after CPB    | 62 (55–81)      | 61 (54–71)    |               |              |                                |
| **HEPTEM MCF (mm)**  | 0.16            | < 0.001       | 0.64          |              |                                |
| Preoperative         | 62 (55–76)      | 61 (51–71)    |               |              |                                |
| 10 min after CPB     | 57 (40–72)      | 56 (48–67)    |               |              |                                |
| 2 hours after CPB    | 59 (50–76)      | 58 (50–67)    |               |              |                                |
| 4 hours after CPB    | 61 (50–76)      | 59 (53–70)    |               |              |                                |
| **FIBTEM MCF (mm)**  | 0.34            | < 0.001       | 0.55          |              |                                |
| Preoperative         | 17 (9–36)       | 16 (9–25)     |               |              |                                |
| 10 min after CPB     | 14 (4–34)       | 12 (6–21)     |               |              |                                |
| 2 hours after CPB    | 14 (6–40)       | 11 (5–20)     |               |              |                                |
| 4 hours after CPB    | 15 (6–55)       | 12 (4–21)     |               |              |                                |

Key: Data presented as mean and standard deviation or median and range. CPB—cardiopulmonary bypass.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0130271.t005
heparin concentrations facilitate thrombin binding to antithrombin at expense of its binding to other plasma proteins (resulting in increased TAT), it has been suggested that heparin’s primary effect in the setting of higher heparin concentrations is inhibition of prothrombin consumption [22]. The latter scenario is probably more relevant for cardiac surgery. That implies that measuring TAT may be unsuitable to study the action of heparin in cardiac surgery.

A number of studies have addressed the issue of individualized heparin and protamine titration with other endpoints than thrombin generation capacity. The results have been conflicting [4]. A recent meta-analysis of four randomized controlled trials demonstrated reduced postoperative blood loss with heparin and protamine titration compared to standard dosing [23]. This could however not be confirmed in the present study, where postoperative bleeding did not differ between the two groups. It should be noted that present study lacks statistical power in clinical endpoints and the results should therefore be interpreted very cautiously. Nonetheless, the absence of effect on postoperative bleeding is in accordance with two other recently published trials [18,24].

With the present results, we could confirm previous findings of incomplete heparin reversal and/or heparin rebound during the first couple of hours after surgery [9]. The residual heparin effects after surgery could also be shown using the ratio between INTEM-CT:HEPTEM-CT, as described by Mittermayr et al [16]. In a recent report, residual plasma heparin was detected in 88% of all postoperative samples [25]. Also in a present study we found a significant correlation between anti-Xa levels and ETP, indicating that residual heparin activity contributes to the marked reduction in thrombin generation capacity during the early postoperative period after cardiac surgery.

Some previous studies have described higher total heparin and lower total protamine dosage using heparin and protamine titration compared to weight and ACT-based dosing [26,27]. In the present study no difference was observed. Although the initial heparin bolus dose given was higher in the titration group than in the control group, the control group received more heparin intra-operatively resulting in comparable total doses. The lack of effect on total protamine doses could at least partly be explained by the fact that in the present study heparin levels were not allowed to drop towards the end of CPB which otherwise is common. The final ratio between total protamine and heparin dose was 0.86 in this study. This is higher than in a comparable study [28] but still lower than the traditionally used protamine:heparin ratios (1:1 or 1.3:1). Taneja et al have recently demonstrated in vitro that anti-IIa activity of heparin is more resistant to neutralization by protamine in comparison to anti-Xa activity and that higher protamine doses are thus needed [29].

There are several limitations of the study. All personnel could not be completely blinded to randomization. The sample tubes did not contain corn trypsin inhibitor (CTI) in order to block sample activation by the contact pathway. However, this should not be necessary, giving the concentration of the tissue factor (TF) used (5 pM) [30]. The TF concentration in itself is also debatable. The concentration we utilized has been used in several studies [10,12,31] and our sampling took place after heparin reversal. In spite of randomization, the control group had somewhat longer CPB and clamp time. However, this did not affect thrombin generation capacity, coagulation or bleeding despite of exposure to longer surgical trauma. Furthermore, the study lacks statistical power in clinical endpoints. Finally, this is a single center study and whether the results can be generalized to other centers remain elusive.

In conclusion, protamine and heparin titration did not improve postoperative haemostasis compared to routine practice of weight based heparin dosing. No beneficial effects on postoperative bleeding or transfusions requirements were observed. Larger randomized studies comparing clinically important outcome variables between heparin titration and standard dosing are warranted.
Supporting Information

S1 CONSORT Checklist. CONSORT checklist. (DOC)

S1 Protocol. Trial protocol (Swedish). (DOC)

S2 Protocol. Trial protocol (English summary). (DOC)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: VR KMH FB AJ. Performed the experiments: VR AL EB. Analyzed the data: VR KMH FB AJ. Wrote the paper: VR AL KMH EB FB AJ.

References

1. Bevan DH (1999) Cardiac bypass haemostasis: putting blood through the mill. Br J Haematol 104: 208–219. PMID: 10050700
2. Finley A, Greenberg C (2013) Review article: heparin sensitivity and resistance: management during cardiopulmonary bypass. Anesth Analg 116: 1210–1222. doi: 10.1213/ANE.0b013e31827e4e62 PMID: 23408671
3. Gravlee GP, Brauer SD, Roy RC, Howard G, Kiger J, Scott C, et al. (1987) Predicting the pharmacodynamics of heparin: a clinical evaluation of the Hepcon System 4. J Cardiothorac Anesth 1: 379–387. PMID: 2979107
4. Aziz KA, Masood O, Hoschtitzky JA, Ronald A (2006) Does use of the Hepcon point-of-care coagulation monitor to optimise heparin and protamine dosage for cardiopulmonary bypass decrease bleeding and blood and blood product requirements in adult patients undergoing cardiac surgery? Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 5: 469–482. PMID: 17670623
5. Dacey LJ, Munoz JJ, Baribeau YR, Johnson ER, Lahey SJ, Leavitt BJ, et al. (1998) Reexploration for hemorrhage following coronary artery bypass grafting: incidence and risk factors. Northern New England Cardiovascular Disease Study Group. Arch Surg 133: 442–447. PMID: 9565127
6. Davidson SJ, Burman JF, Philips SM, Onis SJ, Kelleher AA, De Souza AC, et al. (2003) Correlation between thrombin potential and bleeding after cardiac surgery in adults. Blood Coagul Fibrinolys 14: 175–179.
7. Solomon C, Rahe-Meyer N, Sorensen B (2011) Fibrin formation is more impaired than thrombin generation and platelets immediately following cardiac surgery. Thromb Res 128: 277–282. doi: 10.1016/j.thromres.2011.02.022 PMID: 21429567
8. Harker LA, Malpass TW, Branson HE, Hessel EA, Slichter SJ (1980) Mechanism of abnormal bleeding in patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass: Acquired transient platelet dysfunction associated with selective alpha-granule release. Blood 56: 824–834. PMID: 6448643
9. Radulovic V, Hyttiler M, Ternstrom L, Karlsson M, Bylock A, Hansson KM, et al. (2012) Sustained heparin effect contributes to reduced plasma thrombin generation capacity early after cardiac surgery. Thromb Res 130: 769–774. doi: 10.1016/j.thromres.2011.12.025 PMID: 22261474
10. Schols SE, Lance MD, Feijtje MA, Damoiseaux J, Marcus MA, Hamulyak K, et al. (2010) Impaired thrombin generation and fibrin clot formation in patients with dilutional coagulopathy during major surgery. Thromb Haemost 103: 318–328. doi: 10.1160/TH09-06-0396 PMID: 20024495
11. Ogawa S, Szlam F, Chen EP, Nishimura T, Kim H, Roback JD, et al. (2012) A comparative evaluation of rotation thromboelastometry and standard coagulation tests in hemodilution-induced coagulation changes after cardiac surgery. Transfusion 52: 14–22. doi: 10.1111/j.1537-2995.2011.03241.x PMID: 21756263
12. Wong RK, Sleep JR, Visner AJ, Raasch DJ, Lanza LA, DeValeria PA, et al. (2011) Thrombography reveals thrombin generation potential continues to deteriorate following cardiopulmonary bypass surgery despite adequate haemostasis. J Extra Corpor Technol 43: 19–25. PMID: 21449230
13. Hemker HC, Giesen P, AlDier R, Regnault V, de Smid E, Wagensveld R, et al. (2002) The calibrated automated thrombogram (CAT): a universal routine test for hyper- and hypo-coagulability. Pathophysiol Haemost Thromb 32: 249–253. PMID: 13679651
14. Coakley M, Hall JE, Evans C, Duff E, Billing V, Yang L, et al. (2011) Assessment of thrombin generation measured before and after cardiopulmonary bypass surgery and its association with postoperative bleeding. J Thromb Haemost 9: 282–292. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2010.04146.x PMID: 21091865

15. Tanaka KA, Thourani VH, Williams WH, Duke PG, Levy JH, Guyton RA, et al. (2007) Heparin anticoagulation in patients undergoing off-pump and on-pump coronary bypass surgery. J Anesth 21:97–303.

16. Mittermayr M, Margreiter J, Velik-Salchner C, Klinger A, Streif W, Fries D, et al. (2005) Effects of protamine and heparin can be detected and easily differentiated by modified thromboelastography (Rotem): an in vitro study. Br J Anaesth 95: 310–316. PMID: 16024582

17. Luddington RJ (2005) Thrombelastography/thromboelastometry. Clin Lab Haematol. 27: 81–90. PMID: 15784122

18. Hofmann B, Bushnaq H, Kraus F, Raspé C, Simm A, Silber RE, et al. (2013) Immediate effects of individualized heparin and protamine management on hemostatic activation and platelet function in adult patients undergoing cardiac surgery with tranexamic acid antifibrinolytic therapy. Perfusion 28: 412–418. doi: 10.1177/0267659113483800 PMID: 23625867

19. Koster A, Fischer T, Praus M, Haberzetl H, Kuebler WM, Hetzer R, et al. (2002) Hemin activation and inflammatory response during cardiopulmonary bypass: impact of heparin management. Anesthesiology 97: 837–841. PMID: 12357148

20. Hemker HC, Beguin S (2013) Low molecular weight heparin inhibits plasma thrombin generation via direct targeting of factor IXa: a rebuttal. J Thromb Haemost 11: 564. doi: 10.1111/jth.12116 PMID: 23289967

21. Buyue Y, Misenheimer TM, Sheehan JP (2013) Low-molecular-weight heparin inhibits plasma thrombin generation via direct targeting of factor IXa: a reply to rebuttal. J Thromb Haemost 11: 565–566. doi: 10.1111/jth.12136 PMID: 23332108

22. Buyue Y, Misenheimer TM, Sheehan JP (2012) Low molecular weight heparin inhibits plasma thrombin generation via direct targeting of factor IXa: contribution of the serpin-independent mechanism. J Thromb Haemost 10: 2086–2098. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2012.04892.x PMID: 22905983

23. Wang J, Ma HP, Zheng H (2013) Blood loss after cardiopulmonary bypass, standard vs titrated protamine: A meta-analysis. Neth J Med 71: 123–127. PMID: 23712807

24. Koster A, Borgermann J, Gummert J, Rudloff M, Zittermann A, Schirmer U (2013) Protamine overdose and its impact on coagulation, bleeding, and transfusions after cardiopulmonary bypass: Results of a randomized double-blind controlled pilot study. Clin App Thromb Hemost 20: 290–295.

25. Galeone A, Rotunno C, Guida P, Assunta B, Rubino G, Schinosa Lde L, et al. (2013) Monitoring incomplete heparin reversal and heparin rebound after cardiac surgery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 27: 853–858. doi: 10.1053/j.jvca.2012.10.020 PMID: 23627997

26. Despotis GJ, Joist JH, Hogue CW Jr., Alsoufiev A, Kater K, Goodnough LT, et al. (1995) The impact of heparin concentration and activated clotting time monitoring on blood conservation. A prospective, randomized evaluation in patients undergoing cardiac operation. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 110: 46–54. PMID: 7609568

27. Newsome J, Stipanovich K, Flaherty S (2004) Comparison of heparin administration using the Rapidpoint Coag and Hepcon HSM. J Extra Corpor Technol 36: 139–144. PMID: 15334753

28. Ichikawa J, Kodaka M, Nishiyama K, Harasaki Y, Ozaki M, Komori M (2014) Reappearance of circulating heparin in whole blood heparin concentration-based management does not correlate with postoperative bleeding after cardiac surgery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 28: 1015–1019. doi: 10.1053/j.jvca.2013.10.010 PMID: 24508375

29. Taneja R, Berry L, Pappu U, Stitt L, Sayal P, Allen P, et al. (2014) Protamine requirements in cardiac surgery: effect of changes in the heparin reference standard. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 28: 1227–1232. doi: 10.1053/j.jvca.2014.04.024 PMID: 25281041

30. van Veen JJ, Gatt A, Cooper PC, Kitchen S, Bowyer AE, Makris M (2008) Com trypsin inhibitor in fluorogenic thrombin-generation measurements is only necessary at low tissue factor concentrations and influences the relationship between factor VIII coagulant activity and thrombogram parameters. Blood Coagul Fibrinolys 19: 183–189.

31. Said R, Regnault V, Haquard M, Carteaux JP, Lecompte T (2012) Platelet-dependent thrombography gives a distinct pattern of in vitro thrombin generation after surgery with cardiac-pulmonary bypass: potential implications. Thromb J 10:15. doi: 10.1186/1477-9560-10-15 PMID: 22909275