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Intra rater reliability and validity of simplified stroke rehabilitation assessment of movement (s-stream) scale on voluntary movement of the limbs and basic mobility in patients with stroke – An observational study
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A B S T R A C T

Introduction: A stroke is a clinical syndrome characterised by rapidly developing clinical symptoms and / or signs of focal, and at times global, loss of cerebral function, with symptoms lasting more than 24 hours or leading to death, with no apparent cause other than that of vascular origin. Globally, cerebrovascular disease (stroke) is a second leading cause of death. For providing a better management we must evaluate the patient with a good valid and reliable tool. In physiotherapy for evaluating the stroke subjects mobility and functional activity there are many assessment tools are available for assessing Balance, Functional activity, mobility and gait through Berg Balance Scale, Functional Independence Measure, Barthal Index and observational Gait Analysis. Other than these scales, Stroke Rehabilitation Assessment of Movement Scale (STREAM) is one of the good reliable and valuable scale for the assessing of mobility and functional activity in stroke patients.

Aim of the Study: The aim of this study was to evaluate the Intra rater reliability and validity of Simplified-STREAM (S-STREAM) Scale on mobility and functional activity in patients with Stroke.

Materials and Methods: This study was carried out for the period of one year. 100 stroke patients were selected from Neurology ward of Sri Ramakrishna Hospital, Coimbatore who fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Intra rater reliability of S-STREAM was assessed for 1st and 2nd day of consequent 3 weeks. Validity of S-STREAM scale was evaluated by comparing the components of S-STREAM scale’s upper and lower limb voluntary movement with Fugl-meyer motor assessment scale components; Mobility component of S-STREAM was compared with Rivermead mobility index scale on first day of assessment. Data analysis of Intra-rater reliability and validity done with an help of Pearson’s correlation coefficient test.

Conclusion: The results of this study shows that the S-STREAM has high Intra rater reliability and validity when compare with the Fugl-meyer and Rivermead mobility index on functional activity and mobility in patients with stroke. Further the S-STREAM scale was efficient to administer, as it consists of only half the number of items in the original STREAM. Therefore the study recommends S-STREAM is a reliable and valid tool for measuring mobility and functional activity in stroke patients.
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1. Introduction

A stroke is a clinical syndrome characterised by rapidly developing clinical symptoms and / or signs of focal, and at times global, loss of cerebral function, with symptoms
lasting more than 24 hours or leading to death, with no apparent cause other than that of vascular origin.

There are different ways of evaluating clinical conditions and interventions. Interviews and clinical observations are two important methods. Testing / measuring function is another method. Ideally, the assessment process involves all three; an interview, where the patient’s perceptions of the main problems are at focus, observations of performance, and tests of performance followed by a process of interpreting the information into goals, a treatment plan and continuously evaluate outcome throughout the intervention process.

In physiotherapy for evaluating the stroke subjects voluntary movement of the limbs and basic mobility there are many assessment tools are available for assessing Balance, Functional activity, mobility and gait through Berg Balance Scale, Functional Independence Measure, Barthal Index and observational Gait Analysis. Other than these scales, Stroke Rehabilitation Assessment of Movement Scale (STREAM) is one of the good reliable and valuable scale for the assessing of voluntary movement of the limbs and basic mobility in stroke patients.

1.1. Objectivesof the study

1. To evaluate the Intra rater reliability of S-STREAM scale on Voluntary movement of the Limbs and basic mobility in patients with stroke.
2. To evaluate the validity of S-STREAM scale’s Voluntary movement of the Limbs compared with Fugl-meyer motor assessment scale and the basic mobility of S -STREAM scale compared with Rivermead mobility index in patients with stroke.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design

Observational Study

2.2. Study setting

This study was carried out in the Department of Physiotherapy and Neurology ward, Sri Ramakrishna Hospital Coimbatore-44.

2.3. Study duration

This study was carried out for the period of one year.

2.4. Sample size

100 stroke patients

2.5. Criteria for sampling

2.6. Inclusion criteria

1. Age between 55 to 75
2. Both males and females
3. All type of stroke patients

2.7. Exclusion criteria

1. History of previous stroke; uncontrolled hypertension, dementia, other significant movement disorders
2. Development of hemodynamic instability following the stroke
3. Abnormal clinical laboratory values on routine clinical laboratory testing
4. History of drug or alcohol abuse
5. Not understanding the commands

2.8. Procedure

100 patients were selected based upon the inclusion criteria. For intra rater Reliability testing test re-test procedure was carried out. In which 3 session for proceeding weeks were taken. The Therapist made a initial assessment on the first day the procedure. The same were carried out on the second day. This was proceeded for 2 more sessions for next two weeks by the same therapist.

The validity of S-STREAM was determined by comparing the S-STREAM scales upper and lower extremity voluntary movement with Fugl meyer motor assessment scales upper and lower extremity voluntary movement and the mobility component of S-STREAM scale was compared with Rivermead mobility index scale. The validity and reliability study assessed at the same day and on the same environment by the same physiotherapist.

2.9. Ethical consideration

The study was conducted after approval from the concerned institution. Assurance was given to the participants regarding the confidentiality.

2.10. Dataanalysis and interpretation

2.11. Dataanalysisf or reliability and validity

The reliability and validity of S-STREAM SCALE for 100 Stroke patients were measured using Pearsons correlation coefficient

Pearsons correlation coefficient test:
From the above table the comparison of test (1st) and re-test (2nd) day S-STREAM scale assessment in the 1st week in stroke patients shows similar mean value.
From the above table the comparison of test (1st) and re-test (2nd) day S-STREAM scale assessment in the 2nd week in stroke patients shows similar mean value.
Table 1: Correlations for S-STREAM 1st week assessment descriptive Statistics

|          | Mean  | Std. Deviation | N  |
|----------|-------|----------------|----|
| W1 Test  | 2.9600| 1.51704        | 100|
| W1 Retest| 3.1800| 1.67802        | 100|

**Correlations**

|          |          | W1 Test  |          | W1 Retest|
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Pearson Correlation | 1        | 0.971**  |          |          |
| Sig. (2-tailed) |          | 0.000    |          |          |
| N          | 100      | 100      |          |          |

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 2: Correlations for S-STREAM 2nd week assessment: Descriptive Statistics

|          | Mean  | Std. Deviation | N  |
|----------|-------|----------------|----|
| W2 Test  | 5.0200| 2.17878        | 100|
| W2 Retest| 5.7200| 2.22057        | 100|

**Correlations**

|          |          | W2 Test  |          | W2 Re-test|
|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Pearson Correlation | 1        | 0.978**  |          |           |
| Sig. (2-tailed) |          | 0.000    |          |           |
| N          | 100      | 100      |          |           |

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 3: Correlations of S-stream 3rd week in stroke patients Descriptive Statistics

|          | Mean  | Std. Deviation | N  |
|----------|-------|----------------|----|
| W3 Test  | 15.7200| 2.94076        | 100|
| W3 Retest| 16.6200| 2.93285        | 100|

**Correlations**

|          |          | W3 Test  |          | W3 Re-test|
|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Pearson Correlation | 1        | 0.953**  |          |           |
| Sig. (2-tailed) |          | 0.000    |          |           |
| N          | 100      | 100      |          |           |

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

From the above table the comparison of test (1st) and re-test (2nd) day S-STREAM scale assessment in the 3rd week in stroke patients shows similar mean value.

From the data analysis using Pearson correlation coefficient test, the reliability of S-STREAM scale was evaluated in 100 stroke patients at 1st, 2nd and 3rd week obtained a significant value of 0.9847, 0.98, and 0.97 respectively. Therefore, S-STREAM scale was more reliable to assess stroke patients voluntary movement of the limbs and basic mobility.

Data analysis for S-STREAM scale in 100 stroke patients to find the validity

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

From the above table the comparison of S-STREAM and RMI scale was assessed in the 1st day of 1st week in stroke patients.

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

From the above table the comparison of FM and RMI scale was assessed in the 1st day of 1st week in stroke patients.

Validity assessed for 100 stroke patients using S-STREAM scale, Fugl-meyer motor assessment scale, Rivermead mobility index on 1st week. From pearson correlation coefficient the standard deviation for s-stream, fugl-meyer motor assessment scale, rivermead mobility
Table 4: ‘t’ - Test Value for comparing 1<sup>ST</sup>, 2<sup>ND</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> week S-Stream scale assessment to find the reliability Paired Samples Test

|                  | Paired Differences |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | Mean               | Std. Deviation   | Std. Error Mean  | 95% Confidence   |                  |                  |                  |
|                  |                    |                  |                  | of the Difference| Lower            | Upper            |                  |
|                  |                    |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|                  |                    |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| 1 W1 Test - W1 Retest | -2.22000          | .41633           | .04163           | -3.0261          | -.13739          | -5.284           | 99 .000          |
| 2 W2 Test - W2 Retest | -7.0000           | .46057           | .04606           | -7.9139          | -.60861          | -15.199          | 99 .000          |
| 3 W3 Test - W3 Retest | -9.0000           | .90453           | .09045           | -10.7948         | -.72052          | -9.950           | 99 .000          |

Table 5: Paired Sampzes Correlations

|                  | N | Correlation | Sig.       |
|------------------|---|-------------|------------|
| Pair 1 W1Test & W1Retest | 100 | .971 | .000       |
| Pair 2 W2Test & W2Retest | 100 | .978 | .000       |
| Pair 3 W3Test & W3Retest | 100 | .953 | .000       |

Table 6:

|                  | Mean    | N    | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
|------------------|---------|------|----------------|-----------------|
| Pair 1 W1Test   | 2.9600  | 100  | 1.51704        | .15170          |
| W1Retest        | 3.1800  | 100  | 1.67802        | .16780          |
| Pair 2 W2Test   | 5.0200  | 100  | 2.17878        | .21788          |
| W2Retest        | 5.7200  | 100  | 2.22057        | .22206          |
| Pair 3 W3Test   | 15.7200 | 100  | 2.94076        | .29408          |
| W3Retest        | 16.6200 | 100  | 2.93285        | .29329          |

Table 7: Correlations for S-Stream and Fugl-Meyer 1st week assessment: Descriptive Statistics

|                  | Mean    | Std. Deviation | N    |
|------------------|---------|----------------|------|
| S-Stream         | 3.0000  | 1.53083        | 100  |
| Fugl-Meyer       | 12.0200 | 3.19400        | 100  |

Table 8: Correlations

|                  | S-Stream  | Fugl-Meyer |
|------------------|-----------|------------|
| Pearson Correlation | 1         | .942**     |
| Sig. (2-tailed)    |            | .000       |
| N                  | 100        | 100        |
| Pearson Correlation | .942**    | 1          |
| Sig. (2-tailed)    | .000       |            |
| N                  | 100        | 100        |

Table 9: Correlations for S-Stream and RMI 1ST week assessment descriptive statistics

|                  | Mean    | Std. Deviation | N    |
|------------------|---------|----------------|------|
| S-STREAM         | 3.0000  | 1.53083        | 100  |
| Rivermead Mobility index | 1.5000    | 1.08711        | 100  |

Table 10: Correlations

|                  | S-STREAM  | RMI       |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Pearson Correlation | 1         | .534**    |
| Sig. (2-tailed)    |            | .000      |
| N                  | 100        | 100       |
| Pearson Correlation | .534**    | 1         |
| Sig. (2-tailed)    | .000       |            |
| N                  | 100        | 100       |
Table 11: Correlation for RMI and FM for 1st week assessment Descriptive statistics

|       | Mean   | Std. Deviation | N  |
|-------|--------|----------------|----|
| RMI   | 1.5000 | 1.08711        | 100|
| FM    | 12.0200| 3.19400        | 100|

Table 12: Correlations

|       | Pearson Correlation | Sig. (2-tailed) | N  |
|-------|---------------------|-----------------|----|
| RMI   |                     |                 |    |
| FM    | .538**              | 1               | 100|

3. Conclusion
Many assessment tools available for evaluating voluntary movement of the limbs and basic mobility in patients with stroke, among that one of the gold standard scale is Stroke Rehabilitation Assessment of movement (STREAM). The results of this study shows that the S-STREAM has high Intra rater reliability and validity when compare with the Fugl-meyer and Rivermead mobility index on voluntary movement of the limbs and basic mobility in patients with stroke.

Further the S-STREAM scale has efficient to administer, as it consists of only half the number of items in the original STREAM.

Therefore this study recommends that S-STREAM is a highly reliable and valid tool for measuring voluntary movement of the limbs and basic mobility in patients with stroke.
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