High Cycle Stability of Hybridized Co(OH)$_2$ Nanomaterial Structures Synthesized by the Water Bath Method as Anodes for Lithium-Ion Batteries
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Abstract: Cobalt oxides have been intensely explored as anodes of lithium-ion batteries to resolve the intrinsic disadvantages of low electrical conductivity and volume change. However, as a precursor of preparing cobalt oxides, Co(OH)$_2$ has rarely been investigated as the anode material of lithium-ion batteries, perhaps because of the complexity of hydroxides. Hybridized Co(OH)$_2$ nanomaterial structures were synthesized by the water bath method and exhibited high electrochemical performance. The initial discharge and charge capacities were 1703.2 and 1262.9 mAh/g at 200 mA/g, respectively. The reversible capacity was 1050 mAh/g after 150 cycles. The reversible capability was 1015 mAh/g at 800 mA/g and increased to 1630 mAh/g when driven back to 100 mA/g. The electrochemical reaction kinetics study shows that the lithium-ion diffusion-controlled contribution is dominant in the energy storage mechanism. The superior electrochemical performance could result from the water bath method and the hybridization of nanosheets and nanoparticles structures. These hybridized Co(OH)$_2$ nanomaterial structures with high electrochemical performance are promising anodes for lithium-ion batteries.
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1. Introduction

To solve the problems of growing exhaustion of fossil energy (petroleum, natural gas, and coal) and the resulting environmental issues, many energy conversion and storage systems, such as lithium-ion batteries (LIBs), nanogenerators, and supercapacitors, have been extensively investigated [1–13]. LIBs have attracted much attention owing to their low self-discharge, no memory effect, high working voltage, and high energy density [14–19]. However, the specific capacity, power density, and rate capability of LIBs should be further improved to meet the demands of high-power energy storage systems [17–19]. One of the obstacles is the low theoretical capability of the commercial graphite anodes (372 mAh/g) [17–19]. According to the conversion reaction with lithium ions, transition metal oxides are promising anodes for LIBs due to their high theoretical capacities (500–1000 mAh/g) [18,19]. To achieve practical application, cobalt oxides, including CoO and Co$_3$O$_4$, have been intensely investigated to solve the intrinsic disadvantages of volume change and low electrical conductivity during the discharge-charge process [20–23]. As a precursor, Co(OH)$_2$ has always been used to prepare cobalt-based oxides by heat treatment [24–26]. Ma et al. prepared CoO microsphere anodes for LIBs, which were evolved from the Co(OH)$_2$ precursor in a high-temperature hydrothermal reaction [24].
Chen et al. reported the transformation from Co(OH)$_2$ to Co$_3$O$_4$ nanosheets by annealing in air at 600 °C [25]. When used as anodes for LIBs, Co$_3$O$_4$ nanosheets exhibited a reversible capacity of 700 mAh/g. In addition, Co(OH)$_2$ has also been used as part of nanocomposites to improve the electrochemical performance of the cobalt-based oxide anodes [27–29]. Huang et al. reported the improved electrochemical performance of Co(OH)$_2$/Co$_3$O$_4$ nanocomposite anodes due to the introduction of Co(OH)$_2$ and the resulting ordered nanostructures [27]. Li et al. prepared Co(OH)$_2$/Co$_3$O$_4$/Co nanoparticle anodes, which showed a high reversible capacity of 540 mAh/g after 300 cycles with no obvious attenuation due to the hybridized effect of Co$_3$O$_4$ and Co(OH)$_2$ [28]. However, investigations of the use of bare Co(OH)$_2$ as anode for LIBs has rarely been reported, perhaps because of the complexity of hydroxides [30–35]. Through a simultaneous hydrothermal method, Ma et al. firstly prepared Co(OH)$_2$-graphene nanosheet anodes, which exhibited an initial discharge capacity of 1599 mAh/g at 200 mA/g [30]. Wang et al. prepared α-Co(OH)$_2$ with 3D flower-like morphology, which showed a high initial capacity of 1765 mAh/g [32]. Yoon et al. focused on the investigation of the exceptional reaction of Co(OH)$_2$ and found a high initial capacity of 1122 mAh/g [33]. Recently, Shenouda et al. investigated the influence of composition ratios of Co(OH)$_2$ and graphene on the electrochemical performance and found a reversible capacity of 690 mAh/g after 100 cycles [34]. Based on the above reports, even though high initial discharge capacities were observed, the cycle stability and the rate capability of the Co(OH)$_2$ nanomaterials should be further enhanced to meet the demands of practical application.

In this work, we designed and prepared by the water bath method hybridized Co(OH)$_2$ nanomaterial structures, which exhibit outstanding electrochemical performance as anodes for LIBs. The initial discharge and charge capacities were 1703.2 and 1262.9 mAh/g at 200 mA/g, respectively. The reversible capacity was 1050 mAh/g after 150 cycles, higher than the theoretical capacity (576 mAh/g) of Co(OH)$_2$. The reversible capability was 1015 mAh/g at 800 mA/g and increased to 1630 mAh/g when returned back to 100 mA/g. The superior electrochemical performance could result from the water bath method used and the hybridization of nanosheet and nanoparticle structures. These hybridized Co(OH)$_2$ nanomaterial structures with high electrochemical performance are promising anodes for lithium-ion batteries.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials and Methods

A schematic showing the preparation of Co(OH)$_2$ nanomaterials is shown in Figure 1. The details of the preparation procedure are as follows: (CH$_3$ COO)$_2$Co·4H$_2$O (2 mmol) was added to a mixed solution of pure water (21 mL) and dimethylformamide (DMF, 49 mL). After magnetic stirring (30 s) and ultrasonic stirring (2 min), in turn, several times, hexadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB, 8 mmol) was added. After ultrasonic stirring for another 30 min, NaOH (10 mmol) was subsequently added, and then magnetic stirring in a 60 °C water bath continued for 10 min. Finally, the Co(OH)$_2$ nanomaterials were obtained after centrifuging with ethanol and pure water in turn and vacuum drying for 12 h at 60 °C. The assembly details of the half cells (CR-2032) were described before [17–19], and the main process is as follows: the Co(OH)$_2$ nanomaterials, carbon black, and CMC (10 wt% in pure water) were mixed in a weight ratio of 7:2:1. After thoroughly grinding the mixture, the resulting black slurry was smeared on copper foil and then dried under vacuum at 60 °C overnight. The loading mass of active materials on the copper foil was about 0.71 mg/cm$^2$. After punching the copper foil into many disks, the half cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box with lithium metal foil as counter electrode. The diaphragm and electrolyte are a Celgard 2250 film and 1 M LiPF$_6$ dissolved in a mixed solution of EC (50 v/v%) and DEC (50 v/v%).
2.3. Electrochemical Performance Characterization

The electrochemical performance and impedance characteristics were tested on a battery testing system (Land-ct2001A, LanHe, Wuhan, China) and electrochemical workstation (CHI660E, ChenHua, Shanghai, China) at room temperature in the potential range of 0.01–3.0 V.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Structure and Morphology

The XRD patterns of the as-prepared precipitates are shown in Figure 2a. The diffraction peaks are in agreement with the standard cards of PDF No. 30–0443 (Co(OH)\(_2\)). The characteristic peak at 19.1°, 32.5°, 37.9°, 51.4°, 57.9°, 61.5°, 69.8°, and 71.9° corresponds to the (001), (100), (101), (102), (110), (111), (103), and (112) crystal planes of the hexagonal Co(OH)\(_2\) phase, respectively [27,36]. There are no other diffraction peaks, which indicates the purity of the Co(OH)\(_2\) nanomaterials. From the SEM images shown in Figure 2b, the Co(OH)\(_2\) nanomaterials are composed of nanoparticles and nanosheets. The average diameter of the nanoparticles and the average thickness of the nanosheets are about 50 nm, while the length of the nanosheets cannot be seen clearly.

Figure 1. The illustration of preparing Co(OH)\(_2\) nanomaterials by the water bath method.

Figure 2. (a) XRD patterns and (b) the SEM image of Co(OH)\(_2\) nanomaterials.
To further confirm the elements’ valence states in the Co(OH)₂ nanomaterials, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed, as shown in Figure 3. Figure 3a shows the survey XPS spectra of the Co(OH)₂ nanomaterials, including C 1s, O 1s, and Co 2p peaks. From the high-resolution of O 1s peaks shown in Figure 3b, two fitted peaks at 529.3 eV and 531 eV were obtained, which would be consistent with the presence of H-O bonds and Co²⁺ binding to OH [33,35–37]. The Co 2p peaks were magnified, as shown in Figure 3c. There are two main peaks at 780.45 eV and 796.65 eV with a spin-energy separation of 16.2 eV corresponding to Co 2p₂/₃ and Co 2p₁/₃ of Co(OH)₂, respectively [24,38]. There are also two satellite peaks located at 786.2 eV and 802.5 eV, which could be the satellite peaks of Co(OH)₂ [36,37]. The two fitted peaks at 780.3 eV and 782.2 eV related to Co 2p₂/₃ further confirm that the as-prepared nanomaterials are Co(OH)₂ [33,36,37,39,40].

Figure 3. (a) The survey of XPS spectra of the Co(OH)₂ nanomaterials. (b) the high resolution of O 1s peaks. (c) the magnification of Co 2p XPS spectra.

3.2. Electrochemical Performance

The Co(OH)₂ nanomaterial electrodes exhibit high capacities, cycle stability at 200 mA/g, and outstanding rate capability, as is shown in Figure 4. Figure 4a shows the initial discharge and charge capacities were 1703.2 and 1262.9 mAh/g, respectively. The Coulombic efficiency increased to 94.21% in the second cycle and remained above 95% till the 150th cycle. A reversible capacity of 1050 mAh/g is obtained after 150 cycles, which is higher than the theoretical capacity (576 mAh/g) of Co(OH)₂ [33,41]. The fluctuation of the capacities could result from the difference in testing temperatures during the day and night. However, the fluctuation does not influence the excellent cycle stability of the Co(OH)₂ nanomaterials. The outstanding rate performance is shown in Figure 4b. The reversible capabilities of the Co(OH)₂ nanomaterials were 1588, 1425, 1168, and 1015 mAh/g at 100, 200, 500, and 800 mA/g, and the capabilities increased to 1169, 1410, and 1630 mAh/g when the current density went back to 500, 200, and 100 mA/g. Table 1 compares the electrochemical results of other related Co(OH)₂ materials and those prepared in our work, which indicates the outstanding electrochemical performance of the Co(OH)₂ nanomaterials. The superior electrochemical performance could result from the facile water bath method and the structure hybridization of nanosheets and nanoparticles [42–46]. The
nanoparticles filled in the nanosheets could avoid the aggregation of the nanosheets and then accommodate the volume change during the discharge-charge cycles [42,43,47].

Figure 4. (a) Cycle performance and (b) rate capability of Co(OH)2 nanomaterials.

Table 1. The electrochemical results of other related Co(OH)2 materials and our work.

| Materials                      | Initial Discharge Capacity (mAh/g) | Reversible Capacity (mAh/g) | Current Density (mA/g) | References |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Co(OH)2                        | 1703.2                            | 1050 (150 cycles)           | 200                    | This Work  |
| Co(OH)2                        | 1599.1                            | 190.7 (20 cycles)           | 100                    | [25]       |
| Co(OH)2                        | 1232                              | 614 (40 cycles)             | 100                    | [26]       |
| GC–Co(OH)2                     | 1146                              | 706 (50 cycles)             | 58                     | [27]       |
| Co(OH)2/Co3O4/Co@NGC           | 1032                              | 543 (300 cycles)            | 100                    | [28]       |
| ZnO@α-Co(OH)2                  | 1425                              | 1127 (150 cycles)           | 200                    | [29]       |
| Co(OH)2@GNS                    | 1599                              | 910 (30 cycles)             | 200                    | [30]       |
| α-Co(OH)2                      | 1765                              | 433 (50 cycles)             | 100                    | [32]       |
| CS-Co(OH)2                     | 1699.54                           | 1036.32 (30 cycles)         | 0.1C                   | [33]       |
| 4Co(OH)2–1G                    | 1250                              | 690 (100 cycles)            | 0.1C                   | [34]       |
| Mn–Co2(OH)3Cl                  | 1966                              | 1377 (50 cycles)            | 100                    | [35]       |
| Co(OH)2–rGO                    | 1410                              | 690 (60 cycles)             | 50                     | [41]       |
| Co(OH)2@MnO2                   | 1621.33                           | 700 (90 cycles)             | 250                    | [37]       |
| Ni3Co2(OH)3@eRG                | 1308                              | 787 (500 cycles)            | 200                    | [38]       |
| Co2(OH)3Cl@GS                  | 1600                              | 753 (50 cycles)             | 200                    | [48]       |
| Co(OH)2/GNSs                   | 1654 (50 mA/g)                    | 508 (100 cycles)            | 500                    | [49]       |
To clarify the electrochemical reactions of the Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanomaterials, the first five cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves at 0.1mV/s were measured, as shown in Figure 5a. In the first cathodic sweep, there is only one broad peak at 0.72 V, which corresponds to the formation of the solid electrolyte interface (SEI) film and the reduction reaction of Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} to Co [27,32,34,37,48]. For the first anodic process, there are three peaks at 1.20 V, 1.71 V, and 2.16 V, which corresponds to the multistep oxidation reaction of Co to Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} [32,37,49]. The positions of the three oxidation peaks are almost unchanged in the following cycles, indicating the relatively stable reaction mechanism of the Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} electrodes. In the second cathodic sweep, the main reduction peak at 0.72 V splits into two peaks at 0.75 V and 1.20 V, which has been reported to be due to the irreversibility of the Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} structure after the first cycle or the size of the nanomaterials and nanoparticles of Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} [32,38,49].

This inconspicuous reduction peak could result from the insertion of lithium ions into the Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} electrodes. The CV curves almost overlap after the third cycle, indicating the excellent stability of the electrochemical reaction during cycles [50,51].

The positions of the three oxidation peaks are almost unchanged in the following cycles, indicating the relatively stable reaction mechanism of the Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} electrodes. In the second cathodic sweep, the main reduction peak at 0.72 V splits into two peaks at 0.75 V and 1.20 V, which has been reported to be due to the irreversibility of the Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} structure after the first cycle or the size of the nanomaterials and nanoparticles of Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} [32,38,49]. The two split peaks increase to high voltage a little in the third cathodic cycle, and then finally locate at 0.91 V and 1.45 V. After the first cycle, the reduction peak at 2.25 V appears and increases, which has also been reported in the study of Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanosheets anodes [26].

The electrochemical reaction kinetic and the increased electrochemical performance of the electrodes can be investigated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) [17–19]. Therefore, the EIS of Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanomaterials was measured from 10\textsuperscript{-2} to 10\textsuperscript{6} Hz before and after 50 cycles, as shown in Figure 6. The two Nyquist plots (scatters), which are composed of two semicircles in high frequency and a straight line in low frequency, can be well fitted by the equivalent circuit (fitting line) shown in the inset of Figure 6a. The parameters of $R_w$, $R_{cf}$, $R_{ct}$, and $Z_w$ denote the ohmic resistance of the electrode and electrolyte, the impedance of the SEI layer, the charge transfer resistance, and the Warburg impedance [17–19,50,52].

**Figure 5.** (a) The CV curves and (b) the discharge-charge curves of Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} nanomaterials.
The Li-ions diffusion coefficient \( (D_{\text{Li}^+}) \) is an essential parameter of electrodes, and it can be obtained by the following equations:

\[
D_{\text{Li}^+} = \frac{R^2T^2}{2A^2n^4F^4C^2s^2}
\]  

(1)

\[
Z_{\text{real}} = R_s + R_{ct} + \sigma\omega^{-1/2}
\]  

(2)

Figure 6. The EIS of Co(OH)\(_2\) nanomaterials before (a) and after (b) cycles. The inset in (a) is the equivalent circuit and the inset in (b) is the plots of \( Z' \) vs. \( \omega^{-0.5} \).

The physical parameters above include the gas constant (\( R \)), the measuring temperature (\( T \)), the surface area of the electrode (\( A \)), the number of transferred electrons (\( n \)), the Faraday constant (\( F \)), the concentration of lithium ions (\( C \)), the Warburg coefficient (\( \sigma \)), and the angle frequency (\( \omega \)), respectively \([50,52]\). The value of \( \sigma \) can be fitted by Equation (2) in the low-frequency \([50,52]\). As shown in the inset of Figure 6b, the impedance data before cycling was divided by 2, and \( D_{\text{Li}^+} \) was obtained by Equation (1) further. All the fitted resistance parameters (\( R_s, R_{ct}, R_{ct}, \) and \( R_{\text{total}} \)) and \( D_{\text{Li}^+} \) are shown in Table 2. Except \( R_s \) increased a little due to the formation of SEI film \([18]\), the other resistances of (\( R_{ct}, R_{ct}, \) and \( R_{\text{total}} \)) decreased remarkably after cycling, which indicates the increased electrochemical kinetics during cycling \([17,18]\). The Li-ion diffusion coefficient also dramatically increased after cycling, which is essential for the outstanding cycling performance and the rate capability \([17,18]\). The increased electrochemical kinetics and \( D_{\text{Li}^+} \) of the Co(OH)\(_2\) nanomaterials could result from the hybridization of structures \([42–45]\).

| States       | \( R_s (\Omega) \) | \( R_{ct} (\Omega) \) | \( R_{ct} (\Omega) \) | \( R_{\text{total}} (\Omega) \) | \( D_{\text{Li}^+} (\text{cm}^2/\text{s}) \) |
|--------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Before cycling | 3.29                | 1257                   | 850.6                  | 2110.89                       | \( 9.10 \times 10^{-16} \)          |
| After cycling | 7.96                | 800.5                  | 73.33                  | 881.79                        | \( 3.42 \times 10^{-14} \)          |

The CV curves at different scan rates can investigate the lithium storage mechanism \([22,44]\). As shown in Figure 7a, the shapes of the CV curves are similar, indicating the stable electrochemical reaction mechanism. The Co(OH)\(_2\) nanomaterials show excellent lithium ion intercalation dynamics for the remarkable redox peaks at 3 mV/s \([18]\). The current in the CV curves was contributed by the lithium-ion diffusion mechanism.
and the surface capacitance mechanism, which the following equations can qualitatively obtained [18,19,22,43]:

\[ I_{\text{peak}} = a\nu^b \]

\[ \log(I_{\text{peak}}) = b \log(\nu) + \log(a) \]

where \( I_{\text{peak}} \) and \( \nu \) denote the peak current in the CV curves and the scan rate. \( a \) and \( b \) are the adjustable parameters, which can be fitted by Equation (4) [22,43]. The value of \( b \) (0.5–1) can indicate the qualitative contribution ratios of the lithium-ion diffusion mechanism and the surface capacitance mechanism [22,44,53]. For \( b = 0.5 \), the lithium-ion diffusion mechanism contributes almost the total current in CV curves, while for \( b = 1 \), the surface capacitance mechanism is dominant [22,44,53]. As shown in Figure 7b, the values of \( b \) for the two redox peaks marked in Figure 7a are 0.53 and 0.54, indicating the dominance of the lithium-ion diffusion contribution [18,19]. Because of the relatively high lithium-ion diffusion-controlled contribution at the two redox reactions, it is necessary to further quantitatively calculate the contribution ratios of the lithium-ion diffusion-controlled mechanism at different scan rates by the following equations [43,44,51,53]:

\[ I = k_1\nu + k_2\nu^{0.5} \]  

\[ I/\nu^{0.5} = k_1\nu^{0.5} + k_2 \]

where \( k_1 \nu \) and \( k_2\nu^{0.5} \) denote the contribution of surface capacitance controlled and diffusion-controlled mechanisms, respectively [43,44]. The adjustable parameters \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) can be fitted by the linear fitting of Equation (6). After obtaining enough values of \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) at different voltages, the contribution ratios of surface capacitance controlled and diffusion-controlled mechanisms can be calculated [51,53]. As shown in Figure 7c, the contribution ratio of surface capacitance controlled is only 8.9%, while the diffusion-controlled mechanism contributes as high as 91.1% of the energy storage at 0.1 mV/s. Figure 7d shows the quantitative contribution ratios of the lithium-ion diffusion-controlled mechanism at different scan rates. Although the contribution of the lithium-ion diffusion-controlled mechanism decreases with the scan rates, it still is dominant in energy storage, which consists with the relatively low resistance and the high Li-ions diffusion coefficient obtained in EIS measurement [51,53].

Figure 7. (a) The CV curves at different scan rates. (b) The corresponding plots of \( \log(I_{\text{peak}}) \) vs. \( \log(\nu) \) at the two redox peaks marked in (a). (c) The CV curve and the contribution of surface capacitance mechanism at 0.1 mV/s. (d) Contribution ratios of diffusion-controlled mechanism.
To investigate the structural stability of the Co(OH)₂ nanomaterials during cycles, the electrodes were disassembled after the cycling test, and then the SEM images were recorded. As shown in Figure 8, the Co(OH)₂ nanomaterials nearly maintain the nanosheet structure as before cycling, which is consistent with the good cycling stability and rate performance shown in Figure 4. The hybridization of structures could thus be a useful way to protect the structural integrity of Co(OH)₂ nanomaterial anodes.

Figure 8. The SEM images of the Co(OH)₂ nanomaterials after cycling tests with different magnification. (a) 1 µm, (b) 300 nm.

4. Conclusions

In this work, hybridized Co(OH)₂ nanomaterial structures were synthesized by the water bath method and exhibited high electrochemical performance as anodes for LIBs. The initial discharge and charge capacities were 1703.2 and 1262.9 mAh/g at 200 mA/g, respectively. The reversible capacity was 1050 mAh/g after 150 cycles. The reversible capability was 1015 mAh/g at 800 mA/g and increased to 1630 mAh/g when cycled back to 100 mA/g. The superior electrochemical performance could result from the water bath method used and the hybridization of nanosheet and nanoparticle structures. The hybridization of structures could therefore be an efficient method to increase the electrochemical performance of Co(OH)₂ nanomaterials as anodes for LIBs.

Author Contributions: L.R. and L.W. prepared the Co(OH)₂ nanomaterials, assembled the half cells, carried out the XRD and SEM measurements, performed the electrochemical tests, and drafted the original manuscript. Q.L. and Y.Q. provided funding and supervision. Y.Q. revised and edited the final manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The Project of Shandong Province Higher Educational Science and Technology Program No. J17KA184 and the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 22179066.

Acknowledgments: We thank the editor for inviting us to publish this paper in Micromachines.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Zhao, Z.; Hu, Z.; Jiao, R.; Tang, Z.; Dong, P.; Li, Y.; Li, S.; Li, H. Tailoring multi-layer architectured FeS₂@C hybrids for superior sodium-, potassium- and aluminum-ion storage. Energy Storage Mater. 2019, 22, 228–234. [CrossRef]
2. Hu, H.; Li, Q.; Li, L.; Teng, X.; Feng, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, M.; Qiu, J. Laser Irradiation of Electrode Materials for Energy Storage and Conversion. Matter 2020, 3, 95–126. [CrossRef]
3. Li, Q.; Li, H.; Xia, Q.; Hu, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Yan, S.; Ge, C.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, X.; Shang, X.; et al. Extra storage capacity in transition metal oxide lithium-ion batteries revealed by in situ magnetometry. Nat. Mater. 2021, 20, 76–83. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Feng, X.; Li, Q.; Wang, K. Waste Plastic Triboelectric Nanogenerators Using Recycled Plastic Bags for Power Generation. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2021, 13, 400–410. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Kai, W.; Xiao, F.; Jinbo, P.; Jun, R.; Chongxiong, D.; Liwei, L. State of Charge (SOC) Estimation of Lithium-ion Battery Based on Adaptive Square Root Unscented Kalman Filter. Int. J. Electrochem. Sci. 2020, 15, 9499–9516. [CrossRef]
6. Zhao, J.; Li, F.; Wang, Z.; Dong, P.; Xia, G.; Wang, K. Flexible PVDF nanogenerator-driven motion sensors for human body motion energy tracking and monitoring. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 2021, 32, 14715–14727. [CrossRef]
7. Bu, C.; Li, F.; Yin, K.; Pang, J.; Wang, L.; Wang, K. Research Progress and Prospect of Triboelectric Nanogenerators as Self-Powered Human Body Sensors. *ACS Appl. Electron. Mater.* 2020, 2, 863–878. [CrossRef]

8. Zhou, Y.; Huang, Y.; Pang, J.; Wang, K. Remaining useful life prediction for supercapacitor based on long short-term memory neural network. *J. Power Sources* 2019, 440, 227149–227158. [CrossRef]

9. Zhang, M.; Wang, K.; Zhou, Y.-T. Online State of Charge Estimation of Lithium-Ion Cells Using Particle Filter-Based Hybrid Filtering Approach. *Complexity* 2020, 2020, 8231243. [CrossRef]

10. Wang, K.; Li, L.; Zhang, T.; Liu, Z. Nitrogen-doped graphene for supercapacitor with long-term electrochemical stability. *Energy 2014*, 70, 612–617. [CrossRef]

11. Feng, X.; Zhang, Y.; Kang, L.; Wang, L.; Duan, C.; Yin, K.; Pang, J.; Wang, K. Integrated energy storage system based on triboelectric nanogenerator in electronic devices. *Front. Chem. Sci. Eng.* 2020, 15, 238–250. [CrossRef]

12. Zhou, Y.; Wang, Y.; Wang, K.; Kang, L.; Peng, F.; Wang, L.; Pang, J. Hybrid genetic algorithm method for efficient and robust evaluation of remaining useful life of supercapacitors. *Appl. Energy* 2020, 260, 114169–114184. [CrossRef]

13. Kai, W. Electrodeposition Synthesis of PANI/MnO2/Graphene Composite Materials and its Electrochemical Performance. *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.* 2017, 12, 8306–8315. [CrossRef]

14. Gu, Z.-Y.; Guo, J.-Z.; Zhao, X.-X.; Wang, X.-T.; Xie, D.; Sun, Z.-H.; Zhao, C.-D.; Liang, H.-J.; Li, W.-H.; Wu, X.-L. High-ionicity fluorophosphate lattice via alloyoval substitution as advanced cathode materials in sodium-ion batteries. *InfoMat* 2021, 3, 694–704.

15. Li, W.-H.; Liang, H.-J.; Hou, X.-K.; Guo, Z.-Y.; Zhao, X.-X.; Guo, J.-Z.; Yang, X.; Wu, X.-L. Feasible engineering of cathode electrolyte interphase enables the profoundly improved electrochemical properties in dual-ion battery. *J. Energy Chem.* 2020, 50, 416–423. [CrossRef]

16. Li, S.-F.; Gu, Z.-Y.; Guo, J.-Z.; Hou, X.-K.; Yang, X.; Zhao, B.; Wu, X.-L. Enhanced electrode kinetics and electrochemical properties of low-cost NaFe2PO4(SO4)2 via Ca2+ doping as cathode material for sodium-ion batteries. *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.* 2021, 78, 176–182. [CrossRef]

17. Wang, L.-H.; Dai, Y.-K.; Qin, Y.-F.; Chen, J.; Zhou, E.-L.; Li, Q.; Wang, K. One-Pot Synthesis and High Electrochemical Performance of Cu5S/Cu3.5S Nanocomposites as Anodes for Lithium-Ion Batteries. *Materials* 2020, 13, 3797. [CrossRef]

18. Wang, L.-H.; Gao, S.; Ren, L.-L.; Zhou, E.-L.; Qin, Y.-F. The Synergetic Effect Induced High Electrochemical Performance of CuO/Cu2O/Cu Nanocomposites as Lithium-Ion Battery Anodes. *Front. Chem.* 2021, 9, 790659. [CrossRef]

19. Wang, L.-H.; Teng, X.-L.; Qin, Y.-F.; Li, Q. High electrochemical performance and structural stability of CoO nanosheets/CoO film as self-supported anodes for lithium-ion batteries. *Ceram. Int.* 2021, 47, 5739–5746. [CrossRef]

20. Cao, K.; Jiao, L.; Liu, Y.; Liu, H.; Wang, Y.; Yuan, H. Ultra-High Capacity Lithium-Ion Batteries with Hierarchical CoO Nanowire Clusters as Binder Free Electrodes. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2015, 25, 1082–1089. [CrossRef]

21. Dai, Y.; Fang, X.; Yang, T.; Wang, W.L. Construction of the peanut-like Co3O4 as anode materials for high-performance lithium-ion batteries. *Ionics* 2020, 26, 1261–1265. [CrossRef]

22. Zhou, C.; Liu, J.; Guo, S.; Zhang, P.; Li, S.; Yang, Y.; Wu, J.; Chen, L.; Wang, M. Nanoporous CoO Nanowire Clusters Grown on Three-Dimensional Porous Graphene Cloth as Free-Standing Anode for Lithium-Ion Batteries. *ChemElectroChem* 2020, 7, 1573–1580. [CrossRef]

23. Liang, J.; Kong, J.; Zhang, J. Hollow Concave Zinc-Doped Co3O4 Nanosheets/Carbon Composites as Ultrahigh Capacity Anode Materials for Lithium-Ion Batteries. *ChemElectroChem* 2021, 8, 172–178. [CrossRef]

24. Ma, K.; Liu, F.; Yuan, Y.F.; Liu, X.Q.; Wang, J.; Xie, J.; Cheng, J.P. CoO microspheres and metallic Co evolved from hexagonal alpha-Co(OH)2 plates in a hydrothermal process for lithium storage and magnetic applications. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2017, 20, 595–604. [CrossRef]

25. Chen, K.; Noh, Y.D.; Patel, R.R.; Huang, W.; Ma, J.; Li, K.; Komarneni, S.; Xue, D. Microwave- or conventional–hydrothermal synthesis of Co-based materials for electrochemical energy storage. *Ceram. Int.* 2014, 40, 8183–8188. [CrossRef]

26. Chen, G.; Fu, E.; Zhou, M.; Xu, Y.; Fei, L.; Deng, S.; Chaitanya, V.; Wang, Y.; Luo, H. A facile microwave-assisted route to Co(OH)2 and Co3O4 nanosheets for Li-ion battery. *J. Alloys Compd.* 2013, 578, 349–354. [CrossRef]

27. Huang, X.-L.; Chai, J.; Jiang, T.; Wei, Y.-J.; Chen, G.; Liu, W.-Q.; Han, D.; Niu, L.; Wang, L.; Zhang, X.-B. Self-assembled large-area Co(OH)2 nanosheets/ionic liquid modified graphene heterostructures toward enhanced energy storage. *J. Mater. Chem. B* 2012, 22, 3404–3410. [CrossRef]

28. Li, J.-X.; Xie, Q.; Zhao, P.; Li, C. EDTA-Co(II) sodium complex derived Co(OH)2/Co3O4/Co nanoparticles embedded in nitrogen-enriched graphitic porous carbon as lithium-ion battery anode with superior cycling stability. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2020, 504, 144515. [CrossRef]

29. Bai, Y.; Liu, W.; Yu, C.; Wang, T.; Feng, J.; Xiong, S. One-Pot Solvolithographic Synthesis of ZnO@α-Co(OH)2 Core–Shell Hierarchical Microspheres with Superior Lithium Storage Properties. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2016, 120, 2984–2992. [CrossRef]

30. He, Y.-S.; Bai, D.-W.; Yang, X.; Chen, J.; Liao, X.-Z.; Ma, Z.-F. A Co(OH)2–graphene nanosheets composite as a high performance anode material for rechargeable lithium batteries. *Electrochem. Commun.* 2010, 12, 570–573. [CrossRef]

31. Li, B.; Cao, H.; Shao, J.; Zheng, H.; Lu, Y.; Yin, J.; Qu, M. Improved performances of beta-Ni(OH)2@reduced-graphene-oxide in Ni-MH and Li-ion batteries. *Chem. Commun.* 2011, 47, 3159–3161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Cao, W.; Wang, W. Three-dimensional flower-like α-Co(OH)2 architectures assembled by nanoplates for lithium ion batteries. *Mater. Lett.* 2016, 185, 495–498. [CrossRef]
33. Kim, H.; Choi, W.I.; Jang, Y.; Balasubramanian, M.; Lee, W.; Park, G.O.; Park, S.B.; Yoo, J.; Hong, J.S.; Choi, Y.S.; et al. Exceptional Lithium Storage in a Co(OH)₂ Anode: Hydride Formation. ACS Nano 2018, 12, 2909–2921. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. Farghaly, F.E.; Shenouda, A.Y. Electrochemical behavior of negative electrode from Co(OH)₂ and graphene for lithium batteries. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 2021, 32, 1613–16152. [CrossRef]
35. Zhang, Z.; Yin, L. Mn-doped Co₂(OH)₂Cl xerogels with 3D interconnected mesoporous structures as lithium ion battery anodes with improved electrochemical performance. J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 17659–17668. [CrossRef]
36. Li, T.; Nie, X. One-Step Fast-Synthesized Foilike Amorphous Co(OH)₂ Flexible Film on Ti Foil by Plasma-Assisted Electrolytic Deposition as a Binder-Free Anode of a High-Capacity Lithium-Ion Battery. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 16943–16946. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
37. Kundu, M.; Singh, G.; Svensson, A.M. Co(OH)₂@MnO₂ nanosheet arrays as hybrid binder-free electrodes for high-performance lithium-ion batteries and supercapacitors. New J. Chem. 2019, 43, 1257–1266. [CrossRef]
38. Shi, J.; Du, N.; Zheng, W.; Li, X.; Dai, Y.; He, G. Ultrathin Ni-Co double hydroxide nanosheets with conformal graphene coating for highly active oxygen evolution reaction and lithium ion battery anode materials. Chem. Eng. J. 2017, 327, 9–17. [CrossRef]
39. Sayeed, M.A.; Herd, T.; O’Mullane, A.P. Direct electrochemical formation of nanostructured amorphous Co(OH)₂ on gold electrodes with enhanced activity for the oxygen evolution reaction. J. Mater. Chem. A 2016, 4, 991–999. [CrossRef]
40. Yang, J.; Liu, H.; Martens, W.N.; Frost, R.L. Synthesis and Characterization of Cobalt Hydroxide, Cobalt Oxyhydroxide, and Cobalt Oxide Nanodiscs. J. Phys. Chem. C 2010, 114, 111–119. [CrossRef]
41. Deng, S.; Thomas Cherian, C.; Liu, X.L.; Tan, H.R.; Yeo, L.H.; Yu, X.; Rusydi, A.; Chowdari, B.V.; Fan, H.M.; Sow, C.H. Ultrathin hexagonal hybrid nanosheets synthesized by graphene oxide-assisted exfoliation of beta-Co(OH)₂ mesocrystals. Chemistry 2014, 20, 12444–12452. [CrossRef]
42. Xu, D.; Mu, C.; Xiang, J.; Wen, F.; Su, C.; Hao, C.; Hu, W.; Tang, Y.; Liu, Z. Carbon-Encapsulated Co₃O₄ @CoO@Co Nanocomposites for Multifunctional Applications in Enhanced Long-life Lithium Storage, Supercapacitor and Oxygen Evolution Reaction. Electrochim. Acta 2016, 220, 322–330. [CrossRef]
43. Kang, Y.; Zhang, Y.H.; Shi, Q.; Shi, H.W.; Xue, D.F.; Shi, F.N. Highly efficient Co₃O₄/Co₂O₃ heterostructure as anode for lithium-ion batteries. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2021, 585, 705–715. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
44. Yan, Z.; Sun, Z.; Yue, K.; Li, A.; Qian, L. CoO/ZnO nanoclusters immobilized on N-doped 3 D reduced graphene oxide for enhancing lithium storage capacity. J. Alloys Compd. 2020, 836, 155443. [CrossRef]
45. Kim, B.H.; Park, Y.K.; An, K.H.; Lee, H.; Jung, S.C. Impregnation of Cobalt on Graphene Sheet Using Liquid Phase Plasma Method for Lithium-Ion Batteries Application. Sci. Adv. Mater. 2016, 8, 1769–1773. [CrossRef]
46. Liu, Y.G.; Zhang, H.Z.; Jiang, N.; Zhang, W.X.; Arandiyani, H.; Wang, Z.Y.; Luo, S.H.; Fang, F.; Sun, H.Y. Porous Co₃O₄@CoO composite nanosheets as improved anodes for lithium-ion batteries. J. Alloys Compd. 2020, 834, 150530. [CrossRef]
47. Yuan, Y.F.; Zhao, W.C.; Chen, L.; Cai, G.S.; Guo, S.Y. CoO hierarchical mesoporous nanospheres@TiO₂@C for high-performance lithium-ion storage. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2021, 556, 149810. [CrossRef]
48. Ma, J.; Yuan, T.; He, Y.-S.; Wang, J.; Zhang, W.; Yang, D.; Liao, X.-Z.; Ma, Z.-F. A novel graphene sheet-wrapped Co₂(OH)₃Cl composite as a long-life anode material for lithium-ion batteries. J. Mater. Chem. A 2014, 2, 16925–16930. [CrossRef]
49. Zhou, J.; Li, J.; Liu, K.; Lan, L.; Song, H.; Chen, X. Free-standing cobalt hydroxide nanoplatelet array formed by growth of preferential-orientation on graphene nanosheets as anode material for lithium-ion batteries. J. Mater. Chem. A 2014, 2, 20706–20713. [CrossRef]
50. Liu, J.; Wu, J.; Zhou, C.; Zhang, P.; Guo, S.; Li, S.; Yang, Y.; Li, K.; Chen, L.; Wang, M. Single-phase ZnCo₃O₄ derived ZnO-CoO mesoporous microspheres encapsulated by nitrogen-doped carbon shell as anode for high-performance lithium-ion batteries. J. Alloys Compd. 2020, 825, 153951. [CrossRef]
51. Tang, H.; Jiang, M.; Ren, E.; Zhang, Y.; Lai, X.; Cui, C.; Jiang, S.; Zhou, M.; Qin, Q.; Guo, R. Integrate electrical conductivity and Li⁺ ion mobility into hierarchical heterostructure Ti₃C₂@CoO/ZnO composites toward high-performance lithium ion storage. Energy 2020, 212, 118696. [CrossRef]
52. Wen, J.W.; Xu, L.; Wang, J.X.; Xiong, Y.; Ma, J.J.; Jiang, C.R.; Cao, L.H.; Li, J.; Zeng, M. Lithium and potassium storage behavior comparison for porous nanoflaked Co₃O₄ anode in lithium-ion and potassium-ion batteries. J. Power Sources 2020, 474, 228491. [CrossRef]
53. Hou, S.; Liao, M.; Guo, Y.; Liu, T.; Wang, L.; Li, J.; Mei, C.; Fu, W.; Zhao, L. SiO₂ nanoparticles modulating the “flos albiziae” like CoO by the synergistic effect with enhanced lithium storage. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2020, 530, 147223. [CrossRef]