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Abstract

Background: In the last decade, a large number of common variants underlying complex diseases have been identified through genome-wide association studies (GWASs). Summary data of the GWASs are freely and publicly available. The summary data is usually obtained through single marker analysis. Gene-based analysis offers a useful alternative and complement to single marker analysis. Results from gene level association tests can be more readily integrated with downstream functional and pathogenic investigations. Most existing gene-based methods fall into two categories: burden tests and quadratic tests. Burden tests are usually powerful when the directions of effects of causal variants are the same. However, they may suffer loss of statistical power when different directions of effects exist at the causal variants. The power of quadratic tests is not affected by the directions of effects but could be less powerful due to issues such as the large number of degree of freedoms. These drawbacks of existing gene based methods motivated us to develop a new powerful method to identify disease associated genes using existing GWAS summary data.

Methods and Results: In this paper, we propose a new truncated statistic method (TS) by utilizing a truncated method to find the genes that have a true contribution to the genetic association. Extensive simulation studies demonstrate that our proposed test outperforms other comparable tests. We applied TS and other comparable methods to the schizophrenia GWAS data and type 2 diabetes (T2D) GWAS meta-analysis summary data. TS identified more disease associated genes than comparable methods. Many of the significant genes identified by TS may have important mechanisms relevant to the associated traits. TS is implemented in C program TS, which is freely and publicly available online.

Conclusions: The proposed truncated statistic outperforms existing methods. It can be employed to detect novel traits associated genes using GWAS summary data.
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Background

Even though genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have been remarkably successful in identifying a large number of genetic variants associated with complex traits and diseases, these identified variants can only explain a small to modest fraction of heritability [1]. Larger sample sizes and more powerful statistical tests are needed to boost power to identify novel genetic variants, especially for weakly associated variants with small effect sizes or low frequency variants. Due to various reasons, it is often difficult for researchers to obtain access to individual level data, and thus difficult to obtain a sufficient sample size to obtain reliable results. The increase in public availability of genome-wide association study (GWAS) summary statistics, e.g. minor allele frequency (MAF), estimated effect size, odds ratio, or p-values, for individual single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) motivated us to develop novel powerful methods for analyzing GWAS summary data. Methods based on summary statistics can also be viewed as a complementary approach to the traditional single variant single trait association test.

Most popular gene based association tests (grouping SNPs together into a SNP set (e.g. a gene or surrounding of a gene) to test the joint effects of SNPs in the SNP set [2]) can often be viewed as a combination of summary statistics (e.g. Z statistics or p-values generated from GWAS). For example, the weighted sum statistic (WSS) [3] is a type of burden test statistic, which is used to jointly analyze a group of genetic variants in order to test for association in a considered region. The WSS can be viewed as a weighted sum of the summary statistic, where the summary statistic is the Z statistic. The sequence kernel association test (SKAT) [4] and the sum of squared score test (SSU) [5] are proposed to test for association between genetic variants and a single trait. Both tests can be viewed as the weighted sum of summary statistics, where the summary statistic is a score test. The SKAT-O statistic [6] is a linear combination of SKAT and the burden test. When a tuning parameter searching in a certain range, the SKAT-O can reach the maximized value of power . The burden test and SKAT can be considered as special cases of SKAT-O. The SKAT-O statistic can also be rewritten as a special combination of Z statistics [7]. In addition to the aforementioned gene based association tests, there are several other p-value based methods which are not based on Z statistics, such as the minimum p-value, a general gene-based p-value adaptive combination approach (GPA) [8] or the gene-based association test, which uses extended Simes procedure (GATES) [9].

Most of the existing gene-based methods can be viewed as either burden test methods or quadratic test methods. Burden test methods are usually powerful when the direction of effects of genetic variants in the considered region are the same. Quadratic test methods usually have reasonable power given a wide range of alternative hypotheses. Specifically, burden tests collapse the variants in a genomic region into a single burden variable by using weighted combination of variants, and then test the association between the trait and the single burden variable. Quadratic tests refer to tests with statistics of quadratic forms of the score vector. However, burden tests can suffer loss of statistical power when different directions of effects of causal variants exist, while quadratic tests could be less powerful due to other issues such as the large number of degree of freedoms. Thus, we aim to develop a new powerful method for further analyzing GWAS summary data. In the “Method” section of this paper, we propose a truncated statistic method (TS) to find approximate contributions of trait associated genes. As our method is based on the quadratic test method and uses a truncated statistic to find the most likely contributions
of genetic variants, TS can overcome the shortcomings of both quadratic and burden tests.

To evaluate the performance of the proposed method, we conducted extensive simulation studies and real data analysis. We compared our method TS with five existing gene-based methods: a gene-based association test that uses an extended Simes procedure (GATES) [9], an adaptive sum of powered score tests (aSPU) [10], and three methods proposed by [11]: sum test (ST), squared sum test (S2T), and the adaptive test (AT). All methods are designed for single trait association studies. GATES adopts an extended Simes procedure to correct multiple testing issues while calculating the p-value quickly based on SNP summary statistics. The aSPU method estimates and selects the most powerful test among a class of so-called, sum of powered score (SPU) tests. ST is a type of burden test statistic [3], S2T is a type of SKAT statistic [4], and AT is equivalent to the SKAT-O statistic [6]. Simulation results demonstrate that our proposed method TS outperforms the five comparable methods. Results of our application to the schizophrenia GWAS summary data obtained from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC), and fasting glucose GWAS meta-analysis summary data obtained from the UK Biobank component of the European DIAMANTE study, also indicate that our method performs better than existing methods.

Results

Simulations

We conducted extensive simulation studies to evaluate the performance of TS. We compared the type I error rates and power of TS with the five existing methods by following the simulation setting in [12]. Kwak et al. [10] have shown that the performance using any reference data from the same ancestry in estimating linkage disequilibrium (LD) among SNPs is mostly satisfactory with an estimated inflation factor close to 1. Therefore, the LD between SNPs in the simulation studies is estimated using the 1000 Genomes project [13].

Type I error

To evaluate the type I error rates of the proposed method, we simulate the test statistic $Z$ from a multivariate normal distribution $N(0, R)$, where $R$ denotes the corresponding LD matrix of the gene EPB41 (erythrocyte membrane protein band 4.1), which is used in our simulation studies. Authors in [14] reported that gene EPB41 colocalizes with AMPA receptors at excitatory synapses and mediates the interaction of the AMPA receptors with the cytoskeleton. Existing study [15] has demonstrated brain region- and subunit-specific abnormalities in the expression of subunits of the AMPA subtype of glutamate receptors in schizophrenia. We consider four different significance levels: $\alpha = 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-5}, \text{and } 2.80 \times 10^{-6}$. In the simulation studies, p-values of our method and aSPU are estimated by performing $10^6$ times permutations and type I error rates are calculated based on $10^6$ replicates. Table 1 shows the estimated type I error rates. From this table, we can see that the type I error rates of all of the methods are controlled well, which indicate that all the tests are valid.

Power comparison

Using the same gene EPB41, we conducted extensive simulation studies to assess the power of the proposed method. We simulate $10^4$ summary statistics from $N(A \times \Delta, R)$
Table 1 Estimated type I error rates for different test methods

| α-level  | ST     | S2T     | AT     | GATES  | aSPU    | TS     |
|----------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|--------|
| 1 × 10^{-3} | 1.02 × 10^{-3} | 0.97 × 10^{-3} | 1.04 × 10^{-3} | 1.05 × 10^{-3} | 1.00 × 10^{-3} | 1.00 × 10^{-3} |
| 1 × 10^{-4} | 1.09 × 10^{-4} | 0.91 × 10^{-4} | 1.06 × 10^{-4} | 0.99 × 10^{-4} | 1.02 × 10^{-4} | 1.00 × 10^{-4} |
| 1 × 10^{-5} | 1.10 × 10^{-5} | 0.95 × 10^{-5} | 1.00 × 10^{-5} | 0.90 × 10^{-5} | 1.10 × 10^{-5} | 1.00 × 10^{-5} |
| 2.8 × 10^{-6} | 4.00 × 10^{-6} | 3.00 × 10^{-6} | 2.50 × 10^{-6} | 2.00 × 10^{-6} | 3.00 × 10^{-6} | 3.00 × 10^{-6} |

where $A$ denotes the signs of associations which are determined by the risk or protective effects of causal variants. $\Delta$ denotes different settings and determines the effect sizes of causal variants. $R$ is the corresponding LD matrix of the gene EPB41. We simulated three causal SNPs. The effects of the three causal SNPs are determined by randomly selecting three numbers either 1 or -1 for $A$. Then we set the effects of the rest of SNPs in the gene as 0. Table 2 shows the estimated power at 2.80 × 10^{-6} significance level under three combinations of $A$ for three settings of $\Delta$: a set of fixed values of $\Delta$ and two randomly simulated $\Delta$, one from uniform distribution, and the other from normal distribution. The proposed TS performs robustly across all scenarios and has the overall best performance compared to the other five test methods. As our proposed method TS belongs to quadratic tests and uses a truncated method to find the true contribution of genetic variants to the association, our method is robust to the direction of effects and weak effect sizes. Among the five comparable tests, even though S2T and GATES methods are unaffected by the directions of causal SNPs, these two methods will suffer a loss of power when the effect sizes are weak, which is indicated by the results of the first three settings (three different fixed values of $\Delta$). Comparing the results of the last three settings from the same normal distribution of $\Delta$, the burden test ST has the worst performance when there are differing directions of effects. Because the two adaptive methods, AT and aSPU, are considered to be a combination of the burden test and the quadratic test, these two methods will still be more or less affected by directions and other noises, despite their adaptive nature. The results of the first three settings from fixed effect size of $\Delta$, and middle three settings from uniform distribution of $\Delta$, verify this conclusion. TS maintains its power in all of the scenarios, indicating that our proposed test TS is robust to different directions of effects and weak effect sizes.

Table 2 Estimated power (%) under 2.8 × 10^{-6} significance level for different tests. Data are simulated from $N(A \times \Delta, R)$. $A$ has 3 nonzero elements with different signs

| nonzero $\Delta$ | nonzero $A$ | AT | S2T | ST | GATES | aSPU | TS |
|------------------|------------|----|-----|----|--------|------|----|
| (4,2,1)          | (1,1,1)    | 68.0 | 8.5  | 68.5 | 16.5   | 83.5 | 95.5 |
| (4,4,2)          | (1,1,-1)   | 69.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 28.5   | 65.6 | 93.8 |
| (2,5,4)          | (1,-1,1)   | 92.5 | 74.5 | 76.0 | 65.5   | 62.0 | 98.2 |
| U(1,5)           | (1,1)      | 86.0 | 37.0 | 87.0 | 24.0   | 86.0 | 97.8 |
| U(2,6)           | (1,1)      | 71.5 | 71.5 | 19.0 | 58.0   | 68.0 | 92.0 |
| U(2,6)           | (1,-1)     | 70.0 | 70.5 | 19.5 | 65.5   | 64.5 | 92.5 |
| N(3,4)           | (1,1)      | 83.0 | 60.0 | 83.5 | 74.5   | 88.5 | 95.5 |
| N(3,4)           | (1,-1)     | 68.0 | 70.0 | 33.0 | 72.5   | 69.5 | 85.7 |
| N(3,4)           | (1,-1)     | 66.0 | 61.5 | 33.0 | 78.0   | 73.5 | 87.1 |
Application to schizophrenia gWAS summary data set

We applied our method to a schizophrenia (SCZ) GWAS summary data, which was downloaded from the PGC website (see URL https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/). The GWAS summary data was generated from a meta analysis with 36,989 cases and 113,075 controls, denoted as SCZ [16]. The GWAS summary data consists of the MAF, effect size estimate, odds ratio, and p-value for each SNP contained in a gene. We treat all SNPs that are located in or near a gene as a set to be analyzed for joint association and group all SNPs from 20 kb upstream of a gene to 20 kb downstream of a gene following [2].

In order to better illustrate our TS method and make fair comparisons, we first performed LD pruning for each SNP set by removing those SNPs that have pairwise LD $r^2 > 0.5$ with other SNPs. We then removed genome-wide significant SNPs with p-values less than $5 \times 10^{-8}$ and filtered out those SNPs with MAF < 0.05. We set our genome-wide SNP set significance level as $2.8 \times 10^{-6}$, which is the Bonferroni corrected significance level for the total number of tested SNP sets (17,415 genes). P-values of our method TS and aSPU are estimated by performing $10^7$ permutations, respectively.

We applied our method and the other comparable methods to the SCZ data of 150,064 individuals to identify SCZ-associated genes, then used genome-wide significant SNPs around these genes in the SCZ dataset as partial validation. Figure 1 shows the Venn diagram comparing the number of significant genes identified by our proposed method with the other comparable methods, aSPU, GATES, and Guo and Wu's method-GW, which represents a super method where we aggregate the identified genes by S2T, ST, and AT. Specifically, TS identified 215 significant genes, aSPU identified 76 significant genes, GATES identified 73 genes, and GW identified 93 significant genes in total (Table 3). Among these 215 significant genes identified by TS, 43 genes (in total 50 unique genes containing significant SNPs in GWAS) contain the genome-wide significant SNPs (p-value < $5 \times 10^{-8}$) within 20 kb in the SCZ data, offering a significant validation of the
identified gene. Clearly, our method identified more associated genes than the other three comparison methods.

Our method performs better than other methods in terms of the number of significant genes identified. However, each test identified some unique genes missed by the other methods, highlighting that different tests may perform better in different scenarios. Overall, a total of 278 significant and unique genes were identified in the SCZ data across all tests. Supplementary Table S1 shows the significant genes, with associated p-value and minimum p-value, identified by TS, aSPU, GATES, or GW in SCZ, respectively.

Many of these significantly identified genes may have important mechanisms relevant to schizophrenia. Their biological implications in the etiology of schizophrenia are discussed as follows.

miRNA plays a role in gene expression regulation, thus the effect on miRNA expression naturally affects the expression of its target gene(s). Particularly, the presence of altered miRNA expression in brain and peripheral tissues has been implicated in the development of schizophrenia and other psychiatric disorders such as bipolar disorder (BD) and major depression (MD) [17–19]. Several miRNA encoding genes were identified as significant by all four methods (TS, aSPU, GATES, and GW), such as MIR4677, MIR6511A4, MIR4267, MIR4436B1, and MIR137HG. MIR137HG is of particular interest as it is instrumental in neurodevelopment and neuroplasticity [20]. Modulated expression of MIR137HG and MIR137 has been specifically shown to reduce grey matter content in key areas in the brain, which is characteristic of schizophrenia [21, 22]. However, TS identified several miRNA encoding genes that were missed by the other methods, such as MIR4256, MIR6756, MIR3652, MIR4700, and MIR624. Due to the growing evidence regarding miRNA involvement in psychiatric disorders, some of these genes may be relevant to schizophrenia etiology, and thus may warrant further research.

Voltage-dependent calcium ion channel dysfunction has a long history of being a plausible mechanism for schizophrenic pathology [23]. CACNA1C codes for a calcium ion channel subunit, and has been reported to be a target of miR-137 [19]. Interestingly, TS uniquely identified another calcium ion channel subunit encoding gene, CACNA2D3. Due to its similarity to CACNA1C and its high expression in the brain [24], CACNA2D3 may also prove to be a potent factor in schizophrenic development, despite not containing any genome-wide significant SNPs (most significant SNP p = 0.000586), which highlights our method’s robustness to weak effects.

Finally, TS uniquely identified GRM7 as significant. Glutamate receptor dysfunction has been long studied for its role in schizophrenia development [25, 26], and GRM7 in particular was recently investigated for its potential as a biomarker for risperidone

### Table 3 Comparison of the four methods using the PGC SCZ and UKB T2D GWAS summary data

| Methods | aSPU | GATES | GW | TS |
|---------|------|-------|----|----|
| Total significant genes (m,%) | 76 (31, 40.8%) | 73 (23, 31.5%) | 93 (32, 34.4%) | 215 (43, 20%) |
| Unique significant genes (u,%) | 4 (1, 25%) | 14 (0, 0%) | 15 (1, 6.7%) | 155 (13, 84%) |
| Total significant genes (m,%) | 54 (25, 46.3%) | 40 (12, 30%) | 57 (27, 47.4%) | 217 (47, 21.7%) |
| Unique significant genes (u,%) | 0 (0, 0%) | 7 (1, 14.3%) | 1 (0, 0%) | 155 (17, 11%) |

*Note: m denotes the number of significant SNPs in GWAS and u denotes the number of significant SNPs in GWAS. GW denotes a combination of ST, S2T, and AT.*
response [27]. Grm7 was also previously identified by another proposed method, OWC [7], indicating TS is equivalently adapted for identifying weakly associated signals.

Application to t2D gWAS summary data sets

We also conducted a comprehensive analysis of fasting glucose GWAS summary data for type 2 diabetes (T2D) from the UK Biobank component of the European DIAMANTE study (denoted as UKB), which included over 440,000 individuals of European ancestry with 19,119 cases and 423,698 controls. The analysis for the dataset was restricted to HRC variants and was conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number 9161 (McCarthy). The GWAS summary data can be downloaded from http://www.type2diabetesgenetics.org/informational/data. Similar to the SCZ dataset, the UKB summary data also consists of MAF, effect size estimate, odds ratio, and p-value for each SNP in genes. We applied the same procedure used to filter and analyze the SCZ data on the UKB data. We used 0.05/17,495 ≈ 2.8 × 10⁻⁶ as the significance level and performed 10⁷ permutations for our proposed method TS and aSPU, respectively.

Figure 2 shows the Venn diagram comparing the number of significant genes identified by our proposed method compared with aSPU, GATES and GW. TS identified 217 significant genes, whereas aSPU, GATES, and GW identified 54, 40, and 57 significant genes, respectively (Table 3). Among these 217 significant genes identified by TS, 47 genes contain the genome-wide significant SNPs (p-value < 5 × 10⁻⁸) within 20 kb in the UKB data. In total 78 genes in the T2D GWAS contain significant SNPs. That is, our TS method verified 60.26% of the entire genes containing significant SNPs. Based on the UKB analysis, we can further conclude that our TS method performed the best compared to the other methods in terms of the number of significant genes identified. The 233 significant and unique genes identified by all of the four methods are provided in Supplementary Table S2.

![Fig. 2 Venn diagram of the number of significant genes identified by TS, aSPU, GATES, and GW for UKB](image)
In the UKB dataset, \textit{ADIPOR2} was identified as significant by all methods except GW, which was just below significance (\(p = 2.6 \times 10^{-5}\)). Adiponectin is an adipokine involved in metabolic control, and has insulin sensitizing effects. Expression of adiponectin is found to be down-regulated as insulin resistance is developing, and is also associated with anti-inflammatory and other protective effects [28]. \textit{ADIPOR2} codes for an adiponectin receptor, dysfunction of which affects adiponectin’s ability to exert its regulatory effects. Deletion of the gene entirely in mice models demonstrates ineffective \textit{ADIPOR2} function in development of type 2 diabetes [29], and recovery of receptor function has been considered for a potential target in preventing diabetic nephropathy in mice [30]. Also, variations in \textit{ADIPOR2} have previously been demonstrated to be associated with type 2 diabetes [31, 32]. Bountiful evidence of the effects of adiponectin and \textit{ADIPOR2} expression on T2D pathology serves to further highlight the validity of the truncated statistic method. T2D pathogenesis has an inflammatory component, which connects the inability of pancreatic beta cells to maintain sufficient insulin levels in the presence of developing resistance with various cellular stresses that either induces or is associated with an inflammatory response [33]. In particular, activation of the JNK pathway is associated with a reduction in insulin gene expression and subsequent development of T2D [34]. Our method identified \textit{MAP4K5} as significant (most significant SNP \(p = 1.7 \times 10^{-8}\)), which was missed by the other three methods. \textit{MAP4K5} encodes for a component in mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade, a signaling pathway which mediates activation of the JNK pathway via tumour necrosis factor \(\alpha\) (TNF-\(\alpha\)) [35, 36]. Additionally, a particular study identified key protective polymorphisms in \textit{MAP4K5} which may affect JNK activation and thus T2D development [37].

We further performed a verification study for T2D using an independent T2D GWAS summary data obtained from the DIAbetes Genetics Replication And Meta-analysis (DIAGRAM) Consortium (http://diagram-consortium.org/downloads.html). The stage 1 analyses of DIAGRAM comprised a total of 26,676 T2D cases and 132,532 control participants from 18 GWAS. In stage 1, in each study, logistic regression association analysis of T2D was performed with genotype dosage using an additive genetic model including covariates age, sex and principal components derived from the genetic data to account for population stratification. In fifteen of the repeated studies, researchers also adjusted for body mass index (BMI) [38]. The GWAS summary data consists of the MAF, effect size estimate, odds ratio, and p-value for each SNP contained in a gene. We applied the proposed TS method and five other methods to the DIAGRAM stage 1 GWAS summary data in order to verify T2D associated genes, which were identified using the GWAS summary data from the European DIAMANTE study (UKB). Table 4 shows that among 217 genes identified in UKB with the TS method, 32 genes were verified in the DIAGRAM

| Methods | Number of significant genes from UKB | Verified genes from Diagram | Verified percentage |
|---------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| GW      | 57                                  | 5                           | 8.7%              |
| aSPU    | 54                                  | 5                           | 9.2%              |
| GATES   | 40                                  | 8                           | 20.0%             |
| TS      | 217                                 | 32                          | 14.7%             |

Note: GW denotes a combination of ST, S2T, and AT.
data. The verification rate is 14.7%, which is greater than 8.7% of the GW method (S2T, ST, and AT combined) and 9.2% of the aSPU method.

We analyzed genes uniquely identified by a method and showed how many of them included the significant SNPs in GWAS in Table 3. In the PGC SCZ data, the proposed TS method (8.4%) performs better than the GW (6.7%) and GATES (0%). Although the ratio 25% of sSPU is greater than 8.4% of TS, aSPU uniquely identified 4 genes and only 1 of them included the significant SNPs in GWAS. In the UKB T2D data, the proposed TS method (11%) performs better than the GW (0%) and aSPU (0%). The GATES uniquely identified 7 T2D associated genes, and only 1 of them included the significant SNPs in GWAS.

In summary, in both the SCZ and UKB summary data-sets, several genes which contain genome-wide significant SNPs were identified by all of the methods considered, including TS, further highlighting the validity of our proposed method. Additionally, TS identified genes in both datasets that were missed by the other methods, which demonstrates its ability to maintain power across a range of scenarios as well as overcome the limitations discussed previously.

**Discussion**

In this paper, we propose a novel gene-based genetic association test, the truncated statistic method (TS), where we use a truncated test to find the estimated contribution of genetic variants based on a quadratic statistic. The TS method can overcome the shortcomings of both burden tests and quadratic tests: different directions of effects for burden tests and the large number of other noises for quadratic tests. When our data satisfies some special conditions (see Appendix A), our method can reduce to the score test. If we focus on the summary data obtained from rare variants analysis, we can set the weight on each Z statistic of each SNP that has the beta distribution density function with prespecified shape parameters 1 and 25 being in row evaluated at the corresponding sample MAF in the data, similar to the method of SKAT. Through simulation studies and real data analyses, we demonstrate that the proposed test TS, often outperforms other comparison methods such as ST, S2T, AT, aSPU, and GATES, which are some of the most popular methods based on summary data.

When we perform association tests based on a large number of genes in whole-genome sequencing studies, different disease models may exist: some of the models are likely to include many causal variants whose effects are in the same directions while other models may include few causal variants or causal variants whose effects are in different directions; or some of the models are likely to include many weakly associated variants while other models may include a few strongly associated variants. Because the true disease model is usually unknown, there is no uniformly most powerful test to detect single trait associated genes; an association test may perform well for one dataset, but not necessarily for another. For example, both schizophrenia and type 2 diabetes are representative of complex diseases with common but often weakly associated variants, in which many of these variants may be working in tandem to produce the disease. A robust, flexible method such as TS is needed to better elucidate these weakly associated variants so that their role in disease etiology can be understood further. Thus, the proposed TS can be an attractive tool for many situations, because it adapts to the underlying biological disease model by selecting the true contribution of genetic variants.
Our proposed method provides an alternative approach with extreme scalability and robust performance. This method only needs the publicly available GWAS summary statistics as input, without the need to access raw genotype and phenotype data. TS incorporates the inverse of the LD matrix. Thus, it can account for the LD information among SNPs. In order to guarantee that the LD matrix is invertible in real data analysis, we suggest to perform SNP pruning first [39] before applying TS in the GWAS summary data. We expect that researchers will be able to identify novel disease associated genes by employing the proposed method to analyze publicly available GWAS summary data and shed more light onto the underlying mechanisms of diseases. In our paper, our proposed method mainly focused on single trait GWAS summary data. However, it can be easily extended to multiple traits GWAS summary data. We have implemented the proposed method in an C code, which is publicly available online at github https://github.com/Jianjun-CN/c-code-for-TS.

Conclusions
We proposed a powerful gene based test TS. Simulation studies and real data analyses demonstrated that TS outperformed existing methods. It can be employed to detect novel associated genes using GWAS summary data.

Method
Suppose we have GWAS summary data including MAF, estimated effect size, standard deviation, p-value, test statistic, for each SNP from a GWAS study. We aim to propose a novel gene based association test using the GWAS summary data. Assume $M$ genetic variants in a considered region (a gene or a pathway) are associated with a phenotypic trait with effect sizes $\mathbf{\beta} = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_M)$. Denote $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_M)$ as a vector of test statistics used to test the genetic associations for the $M$ genetic variants. The null hypothesis is $H_0 : \mathbf{\beta} = 0$ and the alternative hypothesis is $H_1 : \mathbf{\beta} \neq 0$, which means that at least one of the elements of $\mathbf{\beta}$ does not equal to zero. For each pair of $Z$ statistics, under the null hypothesis, we have:

$$\text{Cov}(Z_k, Z_l) = r_{kl}$$

(1)

where $r_{kl}$ denotes the LD between the $k^{th}$ SNP and the $l^{th}$ SNP [8]. We can assume that the p-values are calculated based on the $Z$-statistic, even though the p-values may be computed based on non-$Z$ statistics. This assumption will not affect our conclusion about the proposed test. For p-values obtained from non-$Z$ statistics (such as chi-square test, or t-test), we can transform the corresponding p-values into Z statistics by using $Z_m = \text{sign}(\beta_m) \Psi^{-1}(1 - p_m/2)$ for the $m^{th}$ SNP when we know the p-value, where $\Psi(\cdot)$ is the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of a standard normal distribution and $\beta_m$ denotes the corresponding estimated effect size contained in the GWAS summary results.

It is reasonable to assume that $\mathbf{Z}$ follows a multivariate normal distribution with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and correlation matrix $\mathbf{R}$ under the null hypothesis, where $\mathbf{R}$ denotes the estimated null correlation matrix computed based on the variant linkage disequilibrium (LD). We propose a test statistic that includes only $Z$ statistics with a true contribution to the association of a genetic variant under the alternative hypothesis. Here, we adopt the truncated statistic method for combining statistical evidence, which has been suggested for such an
analysis in literature [40, 41]. For a given $\tau > 0$, we let $Z(\tau)$ be the sub-vector of $Z$ satisfying $|Z_m| \geq \tau$. That is, only the statistics in the vector $Z$ with an absolute value greater than or equal to $\tau$ will be kept. Similarly, we let $R(\tau)$ be a sub-matrix of $R$ representing the correlation matrix corresponding to $Z(\tau)$. Define the test statistic based on $Z(\tau)$ and $R(\tau)$ as:

$$T_\tau = Z(\tau)^T R(\tau)^{-1} Z(\tau)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

When $\tau$ is large, $T_\tau$ can be undefined if $|Z_m| < \tau$ for all $m$. In this case, we define $T_\tau = 0$. Then, our test statistic is:

$$S_T = \max_{\tau > 0} T_\tau$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Usually, we select the value $\tau$ from the second minimum value of $Z$ to the maximum value of $Z$, because we have proven that the statistic $T_\tau$ containing more than one $Z$-statistic is greater than the statistic $T_\tau$ that contains only one $Z$-statistic (see Appendix A). That is, we only need to compute $T_\tau$ at most $M - 1$ times to get the maximum value of $S_T$. The asymptotic distribution of $S_T$ does not follow a standard distribution but can be evaluated by permutation methods.

We use the following steps to evaluate the distribution of $S_T$ under the null hypothesis:

1) Suppose we permute $B$ times. For the $b^{th}$ permutation, we obtain the $Z$ statistics, $Z^b$, generated from the multivariate normal distribution $N(0, R)$ where $b = 0$ represents the original $Z$ statistics.

2) Scan all possible $\tau$ values, correspondingly we search from the second minimum value of $Z^b$ to the maximum value of $Z^b$, then, we get the test statistic $S_T^{(b)}$ for the $b^{th}$ step.

3) Repeat $B$ times permutations and then the p-value of $S_T$ is given by:

$$P_T = \frac{\#\{b : S_T^{(b)} > S_T^{(0)}, b = 1, \cdots, B\}}{B}$$

### Comparison of methods

We evaluate the performance of the proposed method (TS) by comparing it with the five aforementioned methods: 1) adaptive sum of powered score tests (aSPU) [10]; 2) gene-based association test that uses extended Simes procedure (GATES) [9]; 3) three methods proposed by [11]: sum test (ST), squared sum test (S2T), and adaptive test (AT).

Please find the brief introduction about these methods and their notations as follows:

1) Sum test (ST), $B = \sum_{m=1}^{M} Z_m$, a type of burden test statistic [3].

2) Squared sum test (S2T), $Q = \sum_{m=1}^{M} Z_m^2$, a type of SKAT statistic [4] and equivalent to the weighted sum of squared score (SSU) test [42].

3) Adaptive test (AT), $T = \min_{\rho \in [0, 1]} P(Q_\rho)$, where $Q_\rho = (1 - \rho)Q + \rho B^2$ and $P(Q_\rho)$ denotes the corresponding p-value.

1. Adaptive sum of powered score tests (aSPU), $aSPUs = \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma} P_{SPUs(\gamma)}$ where $SPUs(\gamma) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} Z_m^\gamma$.

4) Gene-based association test that uses extended Simes procedure (GATES), $p_{GATES} = \min_{\rho \in [0, 1]} \{m_\rho p_{ij} / m_{ij}\}$ where $m_e$ is the effective number of independent p-values among the M SNPs, $p_{ij}$ is the $j^{th}$ smallest p-value and $m_{e(j)}$ is the effective number of independent p-values among the top j SNPs.
Because $Z \sim \text{MVN}(0, R)$, $B = 1_M^T Z \sim N(0, 1_M^T R 1_M)$, where $1_M$ denotes a column vector of length $M$ where every element is equal to one. Therefore, $\frac{B^2}{1_M^T R 1_M}$ follows the $\chi_1$ distribution. Under the null hypothesis, the statistic of the squared sum test $Q = Z^T Z$ has an asymptotic distribution as the weighted sum of independent $\chi_1$ with weights being the eigenvalues of $R$. We compute the p-value of the test statistic using a one-dimensional numerical integration, where we will search over $\rho \in (0, 0.01, 0.04, 0.09, 0.16, 0.25, 0.5, 1)$ following [43]. We can estimate the p-values of the three statistics proposed by [11] using the “sats” function in the “mkat” package in R. We estimate the p-values of the adaptive sum of powered score tests (aSPU) using the Monte Carlo simulations, which can be obtained using the “aSPUs” function in the “aSPU” package in R. We use the “gates” function in the “COMBAT” package in R to calculate the p-value of the gene-based association test that uses extended Simes procedure (GATES).

Appendix

Assume that $Z = (Z_1, \cdots, Z_M)$ represents a vector of $Z$ statistics following a multivariate normal distribution with mean $0$ and covariance matrix $R$, where $R$ is the LD matrix between SNPs. When we try to adapt the truncated statistic method for combining statistical evidence, we want to know whether the test statistic in equation (2) will increase or not when we add a new $Z$ statistic.

If $\tau$ changes from a large value to a small value, the number of $Z$ statistics added in the test statistic will increase as $\tau$ changes. Suppose we have selected $n$ $Z$ statistics, $Z_1 = (Z_1, \cdots, Z_n)^T$ and have a corresponding covariance matrix $\Sigma_1$ for $n < M$. We can then write the test statistic as $Z_1^T \Sigma_1^{-1} Z_1$. Suppose we add one $Z$ statistic, $Z_{n+1}$, to the test statistic, when $\tau$ gets smaller. The corresponding test $Z$ statistic can be written as:

$$
(Z_1^T, Z_{n+1}) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 & B \\ B^T & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}
$$

where $B$ represents the correlation between the $Z_{n+1}$ statistic with the first $n$ $Z$ statistics.

From the theory of block matrix inversion, we have:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 & B \\ B^T & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1^{-1} + \Sigma_1^{-1} B B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} (1 - B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} B)^{-1} & -\Sigma_1^{-1} B (1 - B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} B)^{-1} \\ -(1 - B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} B)^{-1} B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} & (1 - B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} B)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}
$$

Because $(1 - B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} B)^{-1}$ is a constant (not a matrix), we define $C = (1 - B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} B)^{-1}$ and rewrite the Eq. 5 as:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 & B \\ B^T & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1^{-1} + \Sigma_1^{-1} B B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} & -\Sigma_1^{-1} B * C \\ -C * B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} & C \end{pmatrix}
$$

$$= C \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1^{-1} + \Sigma_1^{-1} B B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} & -\Sigma_1^{-1} B \\ -B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
Then, the truncated statistic (4) is equivalent to:

$$
(Z_1^T, Z_{n+1}) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\Sigma_1^{-1} B \\
B^T 1
\end{array} \right)^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c}
Z_1 \\
Z_{n+1}
\end{array} \right)
$$

$$
C(Z_1^T, Z_{n+1}) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\Sigma_1^{-1} \frac{1}{\Sigma_1^{-1} + BB^T \Sigma_1^{-1} - \Sigma_1^{-1} B} \\
-\frac{1}{\Sigma_1^{-1} B}
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}
Z_1 \\
Z_{n+1}
\end{array} \right)
$$

$$
= Z_1^T \Sigma_1^{-1} Z_1 + C \left[ (Z_1^T \Sigma_1^{-1} B)^2 - 2Z_{n+1} Z_1^T \Sigma_1^{-1} B + Z_{n+1}^2 \right]
$$

$$
= Z_1^T \Sigma_1^{-1} Z_1 + C \left( Z_1^T \Sigma_1^{-1} B - Z_{n+1} \right)^2
$$

If we want to know whether the test statistic will increase or not when we add a new $Z$ statistic, we only need to check whether the condition $C = (1 - B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} B)^{-1}$ is greater than zero or not. It means that when $B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} B < 1$, the truncated statistics will increase, and will not increase otherwise. For the special case, $n = 1$, we always have $B^T \Sigma_1^{-1} B < 1$. It means the $Z$ statistics contained in the truncated statistic will always be greater than 1. This is also in line with our thought, as we view our proposed method as a complementary approach to single trait single variant association tests. When these $n + 1$ $Z$ statistics are all independent, it means $B = 0$. Thus, the truncated statistic degenerates to a summation of the square of these $n + 1$ $Z$ statistics, and our method becomes equivalent to the score test.
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