Prolactin protects retinal pigment epithelium by inhibiting sirtuin 2-dependent cell death
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1. Introduction

As aging populations grow, sight loss is becoming a major world health concern. Eye conditions related to aging share some common pathological characteristics, including the degeneration of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) (Ambati et al., 2003) and secondary photoreceptor cell damage (Organisciak and Vaughan, 2010). Several lines of evidence have determined oxidative stress in the RPE to be a major receptor cell damage (Organisciak and Vaughan, 2010). Several lines of evidence have determined oxidative stress in the RPE to be a major pathological factor in age-related macular degeneration (AMD) (Shen et al., 2007) and diabetic retinopathy (Du et al., 2013). Oxidative stress refers to elevated intracellular levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Cross et al., 1987), which are produced by damaged mitochondria and which inevitably impair cellular function (Balaban et al., 2005). Recently, oxidative stress was shown to induce an age-dependent increase in ROS levels and cell death in human RPE (He et al., 2014). However, the molecular mechanisms making aging RPE vulnerable to high levels of ROS and subsequent activation of cell death are largely unknown.

We have recently identified the neuroprotective role of prolactin (PRL) in rat retinas (Arnold et al., 2014). PRL is a critical link between the neuroendocrine and immune systems. Notably, deep sequencing experiments showed that increased vulnerability to AMD is due to initially high levels of inflammatory factors and low levels of homeostatic neuroprotective factors, such as the suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS) 1 (Mustafi et al., 2012; Swaroop et al., 2009). PRL signaling is down-regulated when SOCS-1 is lost (Minoo et al., 2004). Together, these findings indicate that PRL signaling may play a critical role in the age-related degeneration of the retina.

PRL and its receptor are expressed in the retina (Rivera et al., 2008). Retinas from PRL receptor knockout (prr−/−) mice exhibit photoreceptor dysfunction that correlates with reduced rhodopsin levels (Arnold et al., 2014). Given that the RPE normally supplies photopigments to rods (Nandrot et al., 2008), a lack of PRL signaling may alter its function. A previous study demonstrated that most retinal cells are positive for the PRL receptor (Rivera et al., 2008), but whether this receptor is present in the RPE remains to be determined.

In addition, there is abundant evidence of altered Ca2+ dynamics in cells with increased age (Toescu et al., 2004) and oxidative stress (Camandola and Mattson, 2011), which are partially mediated by transient receptor potential (TRP) channel dysfunction (Pena and Ordaz, 2008). Notably, TRPM2 melastatin 2 (TRPM2) is a unique Ca2+-permeable, Ca2+ ions. Oxidative evidence have determined oxidative stress in the RPE to be a major pathological factor in age-related macular degeneration (AMD) (Shen et al., 2007) and diabetic retinopathy (Du et al., 2013). Oxidative stress refers to elevated intracellular levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Cross et al., 1987), which are produced by damaged mitochondria and which inevitably impair cellular function (Balaban et al., 2005). Recently, oxidative stress was shown to induce an age-dependent increase in ROS levels and cell death in human RPE (He et al., 2014). However, the molecular mechanisms making aging RPE vulnerable to high levels of ROS and subsequent activation of cell death are largely unknown.
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nonselective cation channel that is responsive to ROS (Faouzi and Penner, 2014) and that has been implicated in cell death in response to oxidative stress (Takahashi et al., 2011). Emerging evidence supports the idea that glutathione (GSH) depletion, which occurs during aging (Rebnir et al., 2007), associates with altered intracellular Ca2+ dynamics that may develop in neurons as a result of increased TRPM2-mediated Ca2+ influx (Ovey and Naziroglu, 2015). Reinforcing the possibility that TRPM2 may contribute to neurological diseases associated with aging and oxidative stress is the fact that this channel is gated by the sirtuin metabolite 2′-O-acetyl-ADP-ribose (Grubisha et al., 2006; Tong and Denu, 2010). Sirtuins are a conserved family of protein/histone deacetylases, of which the founding member Sirtening Rulator 2 (SIRT2) has been suggested to be neuroprotective when inhibited (Hall et al., 2013). Indeed, reduced SIRT2 expression associates with increased photoreceptor survival in flies (Pallos et al., 2008), although it is not yet clear whether SIRT2 activation has negative effects in aging retinas.

Here we demonstrate that PRL is necessary for the survival of RPE under normal and advancing age conditions. We further identified SIRT2 and TRPM2 as molecular targets for the antioxidant and anti-apoptotic actions of PRL in the RPE.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents

Human recombinant PRL (Freeman et al., 2000) generated in Escherichia coli was provided by Michael E. Hodson (Yale University, New Haven, CT). The PRL receptor antagonist Del1-9-G129R-H-PRL was produced in Escherichia coli and purified as described (Bernichtein et al., 2003). Anti-human PRL rabbit polyclonal antibody IC5 and anti-TRPM2 (#ACC-043) were kind gifts from the National Hormone and Pituitary Program (UCLA Medical School, Torrance, CA) and Alcain Labs, respectively. Normal rabbit serum was collected from control (non-immunized) rabbit whole blood. N-acetyl cysteine (Cat. number A7250) (Mennicke et al., 1987), AGK2 (Cat. number A8231) (Villalba and Alcain, 2012), and piceatannol (Cat. Number P0453) (Gill et al., 1987) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Anti-PRL receptor (US, Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO), Alexa-488 goat anti-mouse IgG (ab150117, Abcam, Carlsbad, CA), anti-PRL receptor antibody was carried out using prlr (anti-mouse) or 1:1000 (anti-rabbit), respectively. Control for the U5 monoclonal antibody (U5) at 20 μg/ml sonicated salmon sperm DNA and prehybridized in hybridization solution for 1 h at 55 °C. Probes were denatured in hybridization solution and allowed to hybridize to tissue sections overnight at 55 °C. Slides were washed at 60 °C with hybridization solution and then with PBT. They were incubated for 2 h with an anti-digoxygenin antibody coupled to alkaline phosphatase (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), then washed in PBT. Signal was detected using tetrazolium blue and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate as substrates in alkaline buffer (Roche).

2.2. Ethics Statement

All experiments were approved by the Bioethics Committee of the Institute of Neurobiology from the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM, clave NOM-062-ZOO-1999) in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Society for Neuroscience: Policies on the Use of Animals and Humans in Neuroscience Research. All efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used and their suffering.

2.3. Animal Care and Retinal Tissue

Male albino rats (Wistar, 275 ± 25 g, 7–9 weeks) and 30 male and 30 female albino mice (129Sv, 33 ± 2 g, 3 month old) were fed ad libitum and reared in normal cyclic light conditions (12 h light: 12 h dark) with an ambient light level of approximately 400 lx. Animals were sacrificed by CO2 inhalation and decapitation. Eyes were enucleated and processed for in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry.

2.4. Mice

Mice heterozygous for PRL receptor ((Ormandy et al., 1997); 129Sv background) were crossed bred, and PRL receptor-null (prlr−/−) mice were selected as previously described (Arnold et al., 2014). Wild-type (16 males and 13 females) and prlr−/− (14 males and 17 females) mice were subjected to normal cyclic light until they reach 5 or 14 months of age. After a 24-h dark adaptation period, mice were killed by CO2 inhalation and decapitation. Eyes were enucleated, and flat mounts of RPE were obtained (Clayton and Bishop, 2011) and processed for histology, apoptosis, and immunostaining, or retinas were obtained for RNA extraction.

2.5. In Situ Hybridization

Sense and antisense PRL receptor RNA probes labeled with digoxigenin were synthesized from a linearized plasmid containing the rat PRL receptor cDNA. Isolated retinas were fixed for 24 h in 4% formaldehyde, incubated for 24 h in RNase-free 10% sucrose-phosphate buffered saline (PBS), then for 3 days in 30% sucrose-PBS. Retinas were embedded in Tissue-Freezing Medium (Leica Instruments, Nussloch, Germany), sectioned (12 μm), and mounted on slides (Superfrost/Plus; A. Daigger & Co., Vernon Hills, IL). Sections were dried for 15 min at 60 °C, fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 10 to 15 min in PBS, and washed in PBT (PBS + 0.1% Tween 20). Sections were incubated in a 1:1 mixture of PBT and hybridization solution (5× sodium chloride and sodium citrate solution), 0.1% Tween 20, 50% denionized formamide, 100 μg/ml sonicated salmon sperm DNA) and prehybridized in hybridization solution for 1 h at 55 °C. Probes were denatured in hybridization solution and allowed to hybridize to tissue sections overnight at 55 °C. Slides were washed at 60 °C with hybridization solution and then with PBT. They were incubated for 2 h with an anti-digoxygenin antibody coupled to alkaline phosphatase (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), then washed in PBT. Signal was detected using tetrazolium blue and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate as substrates in alkaline buffer (Roche).

2.6. Immunohistochemistry

Eyes were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. Then, they were either washed overnight in 0.1 M PBS, immersed in 15% sucrose at room temperature, oriented and frozen in Tissue-Tek (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA), and sectioned (12 μm thick) along the sagittal axis of the eye or dissected for a whole mount of the RPE as described (Clayton and Bishop, 2011). Retina cryosections and RPE flat mounts were incubated in PBS with 1% SDS for 5 min, rinsed three times with PBS for 5 min, blocked in PBS containing 10% normal goat serum and 0.1% Triton X-100 for 1 h, and then incubated overnight at 4 °C with anti-PRL receptor monoclonal antibody (US) at 20 μg/ml. The primary antibody reaction was detected using the avidin–biotin complex-detection kit (Vectastain, ABC kit; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) or labeled with Alexa-488 goat anti-mouse IgG used at 1:200 dilution. RPE flat mounts were then incubated with a 1:1000 dilution of rhodamine phalloidin (R415, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) for 1 h. Double labeling was performed with both anti-PRL and anti-RPE cell specific antibodies [anti-PRL polyclonal antibody ICS, dilution 1:500; anti-RPE65, at 0.08 μg/ml]. After incubation with the primary antibodies, samples were rinsed 3 times in PBS and labeled for 2 h with Alexa-594-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG, or Alexa-488-gmoat anti-rabbit IgG obtained from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR) and used at dilutions of 1:500 (anti-mouse) or 1:1000 (anti-rabbit), respectively. Control for the US anti-PRL receptor antibody was carried out using prlr−/− mice (Fig. 1b), and secondary antibody control for the ICS anti-PRL antibody was carried out omitting the primary antibody (data not shown). Labeled samples were examined with a laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss Axiocert 200 LSM 510 Meta, Carl Zeiss International, Oberkochen, Germany). Images were prepared using the Zeiss LSM Image Examiner.
2.7. In Situ Apoptosis

RPE flat mounts were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 and 0.1% sodium citrate for 7 min followed by incubation in sodium citrate buffer (10 mM sodium citrate, 0.5% Tween 20, pH 6.0) for 1 min at 86 °C. Apoptosis was detected by the terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) method using the In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit (Roche Diagnostics). DNA was stained with DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Stohr et al., 1977), 1 μg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich). Apoptotic signals were visualized under fluorescence microscopy (Microscope BX60F5, Olympus Optical Co. LTD) and quantified by the image analysis system software Pro-Plus (Media Cybernetics Inc.).

2.8. Detection of Intracellular ROS

The in situ production of ROS in the RPE was assessed using dihydroethidium (DHE, structure is provided in Supplemental Fig. 1a) staining. RPE flat mounts were fixed in 4% PFA and mounted on gelatin-coated slides. In a dark chamber, RPE was loaded with 5 μM DHE for 30 min at room temperature and then washed thrice in PBS. RPE was mounted on glass slides with VECTASHIELD® mounting medium with DAPI, and the slides were analyzed without delay using a LSM 510 confocal laser-scanning microscope. Images were obtained using an Apo-406 objective. The same acquisition settings were used for all experiments to allow direct comparison of RPE flat mounts. Digital images were processed using free ImageJ software (V.1.36, National Institutes of Health). Only cropping of images was performed; there was no adjustment of brightness. The mean fluorescence intensity ratio in RPE was determined in 6 different regions of interest (same size), distributed equally over the full layer, from three flat mounts per condition. The ratio between DHE (numerator) and DAPI was calculated to determine superoxide anion production in the three experimental groups.

2.9. Cell Culture

The ARPE-19 human cell line was purchased from ATCC (Number: CRL-2302) (Dunn et al., 1996) and was grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium nutrient mixture F12 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Cultures were seeded at an initial density of 10^6 cells and maintained at 37 °C and 5% CO2. Because serum contains variable mixtures of factors that might modulate the effects of PRL, we preincubated the cultures in serum-reduced (1%) medium for 12 h prior treatments.

2.10. RNA Isolation and cDNA Synthesis

Retinas were dissected for mRNA analysis. Total RNA from retinas and ARPE-19 cells was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA). Total RNA was quantified. For retina RNA, contaminating genomic DNA was removed using 1 ml of RNase-free DNase I (BoehringerMannheim)/10 mg of RNA at 37 °C for 30 min. A 1-μg sample (retinas) and a 2-μg sample (ARPE-19 cells) were reverse-transcribed using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA).

2.11. Conventional PCR

For the PCR reaction, specific sense and antisense primers were selected (Table 1), based on GenBank TRP sequences, using Genejockey II (Biosoft, Cambridge, UK). Each sample was amplified using Maxima SYBR Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific, Auburn, AL) in an automated thermal cycler (Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time System; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). DNA amplification conditions included
an initial 7-min denaturation step at 95 °C (which also activated the AmpliTaq Gold) and 40 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 57 °C for the PRL receptor, 60 °C for TRPM2, and 57 °C for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH); 40 s at 72 °C, and a final elongation of 7 min at 72 °C. The RT-PCR samples were electrophoresed on a 1.5% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μg/ml), then photographed under UV light.

2.12. Quantitative PCR

PCR products were detected and quantified with Maxima SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific) in a 10-μl final reaction volume containing template and 0.5 μM of each of the primer pairs (Table 2). Amplification performed in the CFX96 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) included a denaturation step of 10 min at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles of amplification (10 s at 95 °C, 30 s at the primer pair-specific annealing temperature, and 30 s at 72 °C). The PCR data were analyzed by the 2-ΔΔCT method, and cycle thresholds normalized to the housekeeping gene TBP were used to calculate the mRNA levels of interest.

2.13. Transient Transfection

ARPE-19 cells were transfected with 50 nM siRNA against human TRPM2 (SMARTpool: SiGENOME TRPM2 siRNA, NCBi substance Id 152147430, GE Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Control experiments were performed by transfecting the SiGENOME non-targeting control siRNAs, Cat# D-001210-01-05, GE Dharmacon) or by exposing cells to Lipofectamine 2000 without siRNA. Analysis of protein content, Ca2+ imaging and survival assays were performed 24 h after transfection.

2.14. Immunocytochemistry

ARPE-19 cell cultures were fixed in 4% PFA for 1 h, incubated in PBS with 1% SDS for 5 min, rinsed three times with PBS for 5 min, blocked in PBS containing 10% normal goat serum and 0.1% Triton X-100 for 1 h, and then labeled overnight at 4 °C with anti-PRL receptor monoclonal antibody (US) at 20 μg/ml. The primary antibody was detected with Alexa-488 goat anti-mouse IgG (ab150117) used at 1:200 dilution. Actin was stained with a 1:1000 dilution of rhodamine-phalloidin (R415, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, structure is provided in Supplemental Fig. 1b) for 1 h. Samples were then mounted on glass slides with VECTASHIELD® mounting medium with DAPI. Labeled samples were examined with a laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss Axiovert 200 LSM 510 Meta). Images were prepared using the Zeiss LSM Image Examiner.

2.15. Immunoblotting

Conditioned media from 3-day confluent ARPE-19 cell cultures were collected and concentrated 30-fold using Amicon® Ultra centrifugal filters (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). In another group of experiments, ARPE-19 cells were transfected with siRNA against human TRPM2 or control siRNA for 48 h. Protein samples were resuspended in lysis buffer (0.5% Igepal, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1 μg/ml aprotinin, and 100 μg/ml PMSF, pH 7.0), and subjected to SDS/PAGE; total protein (40 μg) was blotted and probed overnight with a 1:500 dilution of anti-PRL IC5 antibody, a 1:200 dilution of anti-TRPM2 or a 1:1000 dilution of anti-β-tubulin antibodies. Primary antibody detection was performed using an alkaline phosphatase-coupled secondary antibody and a colorimetric detection kit (Bio-Rad).

2.16. Viability Assay

The reduction of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazolyl-2)-2,5-diphenyterazolium bromide (MTT) was used as an index of RPE cell survival. Cells were seeded in 96-well collagen-coated flat bottom microculture plates (Corning) at an initial density of 2500 cells/well and treated for 48 h with 1% FBS culture medium supplemented or not (control) with 100 pM PRL, anti-human PRL antibody (dilution 1:500; defined in pilot experiments), normal serum control, Del1-9–G129R-hPRL (0.1 and 1 μM; defined in pilot experiments), or increasing doses of H2O2, as indicated. In another group of experiments, ARPE-19 cells were incubated with 100 μM H2O2 in the presence or absence of 100 pM PRL, 10 μM antioxidant N-acetyl cysteine, 10 μM SIRT2 inhibitor AGK2 or siRNA against TRPM2, as indicated. Piceatannol (10 μM) was also tested. The dose of hPRL (100 pM) that maximally promoted ARPE-19 cell survival was determined by performing a dose-response assay (not shown). Based on PRL levels detected in 10% FBS medium (Fig. 2e), we calculated that 1% FBS medium contains a few pg/ml of PRL, which may be considered negligible compared to the concentrations present in the conditioned medium of ARPE-19 cells (1.7 ± 2.1 ng/ml, Fig. 2e) and the 100 pM (i.e. 2.3 ng/ml) exogenously administered PRL. Next, cells were incubated with MTT (500 mg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich) at 37 °C for 3 h, and the formazan precipitate was solubilized with 0.4 N HCl containing 10% SDS for 30 min at room temperature and quantified by measuring absorbance at 570 nm.

2.17. Cell Proliferation Assay

Cell proliferation was assessed by [3H]thymidine incorporation (Amersham International plc, Cardiff, UK). The [3H]thymidine incorporation assay consisted in seeding cells in 48-well collagen-coated flat-bottom microculture plates (Corning) at an initial density of 5000 cells/well and subjecting them to a series of treatments (all in 200 μl of culture medium containing 1% FBS) that included a 24-h incubation with recombinant human PRL (100 pM) combined or not with Del1-9–G129R-hPRL (1 μM), or with H2O2 (100 μM). Twenty-four hours before ending the treatment, 1 μl of [3H]-thymidine per well was added. After the 48-h treatment, wells were washed thrice in 5% trichloroacetic acid, with the last wash for 20 min at 4 °C. Next, 250 μl of 0.25 N NaOH was added, and samples were transferred to scintillation vials for quantification.

2.18. Apoptosis Detection

ARPE-19 cells were assayed for apoptosis by measuring fragmented nucleosomal DNA using the Cell Death Detection ELISA Kit (Roche).

2.19. Measurement of Total ROS and Antioxidant Capacity Using the Victor3 V Microplate Reader

Total ROS levels (The Enzo Life Sciences’ Total ROS/Superoxide detection kit; ENZ-51010) and antioxidant capacity (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI; 709001) were assessed according to the Manufacturer’s instructions.

2.20. Quantification of GSH Levels

GSH levels in lysates from ARPE-19 cells were measured with the GSH-glo-glutathione-assay (Promega) according to the Manufacturer’s instructions. GSH concentrations were determined by interpolation from a GSH standard curve generated using the bioluminescent system.

2.21. Ca2+ Imaging

For Ca2+ imaging studies, ARPE-19 cells at confluence were plated onto round coverslips covered with poly-L-lysine (10 μg/ml, Sigma
and incubated in the dark with 10 μM fluo 8-AM (Invitrogen) dissolved in the extracellular buffer containing: 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM HEPES, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, 1.0 mM EGTA, adjusted to pH 7.4 with NaOH. Loaded cells were transferred into a perfusion chamber located on a microscope adapted to an epifluorescence system.

Fig. 2. Endogenous PRL is a trophic factor for RPE cells. (a) RT-PCR of PRL receptor (PRLR, 200 bp) in ARPE-19 cell extracts. GAPDH was used as a positive control. bp, DNA ladder. RT-PCR was performed in RNA extracted from three independent cell cultures (N = 3). (b) Confocal stack image of ARPE-19 cells showing F-actin (red), nucleus (blue), and PRL receptor (green) immunofluorescence in x-y axis. Corresponding x-y axis images and 2-axis projection were included in Supplemental Fig. 3a and b, respectively. PRL receptor was present in a non-uniform, punctuate distribution along the ARPE-19 cell border (left panel). The secondary antibody control was carried out by omitting the anti-PRL receptor antibody (right panel). Images were captured in three different regions of the culture plate (n = 3), three independent cultures were analyzed (N = 3). (c) Western blot analysis of PRL in conditioned media (CM) from 3-day ARPE-19 cells. A standard of 23-kDa PRL (Std) was included, and equal concentrations of total proteins were loaded. Also, a series of standard PRL dilutions was included at indicated concentrations. CM from five 3-day ARPE-19 cell cultures concentrated by a ~2-fold factor was analyzed and the same experiment was repeated three times (N = 3). (d) Quantification of PRL in the CM of 3-day ARPE-19 cells by the Nb2-bioassay. 10% FBS medium was included. Note that CM was not concentrated. Three samples from CM five 3-day ARPE-19 cell cultures were analyzed and this same experiment was repeated three times (N = 3). (e) Effect on survival of ARPE-19 cells treated with 100 pM hPRL, 1 μM Del1-9-G129R-hPRL or no treatment (ctl) for 48 h was measured by MTT assay (n = 8; N = 3 independent experiments). BLK, averaged blank. (f) ARPE-19 proliferation after treatment with 100 pM hPRL, 1 μM Del1-9-G129R-hPRL or no treatment was measured by incorporation of [3H]thymidine for 24 h after the treatments. Scintillation signals were normalized to the untreated condition (n = 9; N = 3 independent replicates). All bar plots, mean plus S.E.M.; P values: ANOVA and Bonferroni post-hoc test. Of note, the control condition corresponds to cells that were pre-treated with 1% FBS medium during 12 h followed by a 48-h period in the same medium.
(Cool-SNAP-ES, Roper Scientific, Tucson, AZ) using the RS image software (Photometrics; Roper Scientific). The image field was 800 × 600 μm in size (Ramírez et al., 2012). Short movies (180 s total time, at 40 ms exposure at 4 Hz) were taken in control conditions and during the wash-in of the pharmacological treatments. To normalize the change in fluorescence of the treated cultures, their Δ fluorescence was corrected by subtracting that of one of the untreated conditions.

2.2.2. Image Analysis

Images were analyzed using Image J (V.1.36, National Institutes of Health). The cells in a field were semiautomatically identified, and their mean fluorescence was measured as a function of time. Single-pixel noise was removed using a 5-pixel ratio mean filter. Ca2+-dependent changes in fluorescence (Δ fluorescence) were computed as (Ft − F0) / F0, where F0 is fluorescence intensity at any given frame, and Ft is the resting fluorescence.

2.2.3. Statistical Analysis

In general, all results were replicated in three or more independent experiments. The number of replicates (n) in each experiment and how often it was independently repeated (N) is indicated in each figure legend. Serum PRL levels are reported as mean ± S.D. and all others as mean ± S.E.M.; all data showed normal distribution or equal variance according to D’Agostino-Pearson omnibus and Levene’s tests, respectively. Statistically differences between two groups were determined by a two-tailed Student’s t-test, among three groups with one variable by one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc comparison test, and among four groups with two variables by two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc comparison test (Sigma Stat 7.0, Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA). Differences in means with P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

2.2.4. Gender Analysis

Mice of both genders (ratio almost 1:1 for wild-type: 16 males and 13 females, and prlr−/− mice: 14 males and 17 females) were used. We did not assess the stage of estrous. Independent analysis for either sex did not show any significant difference in the PRL receptor distribution or in the assays presented in Fig. 6 (data not shown).

3. Results

3.1. PRL and PRL Receptor are Present in Rodent RPE

PRL receptor mRNA was found in the RPE layer from rat retinal cross sections by in situ hybridization (Fig. 1a). Immunohistochemistry using the US anti-PRL receptor antibody labels the PRL receptor in whole mounts of mouse RPE, whereas no specific signal appeared in prlr−/− RPE (Fig. 1b).

PRL signaling is triggered by PRL binding to preformed PRL receptor homodimers (Tallet et al., 2011). Immunohistochemistry was performed on retina sections using PRL-65 isomerase as an RPE marker (Hamel et al., 1993). We observed immunopositive staining for PRL in the cytoplasm of RPE cells. All together, these data suggest that the RPE is a target for autocrine/paracrine actions of PRL.

3.2. Endogenous PRL is a Trophic Factor for Human RPE Cells

To explore the direct effects of the PRL signaling pathway on the RPE, we first used a human RPE cell line, ARPE-19 (Dunn et al., 1996), in which we found both PRL receptor mRNA (Fig. 2a) and protein (Fig. 2b). We also observed that PRL was present in the conditioned medium of ARPE-19 cell cultures (Fig. 2c). We assessed levels of bioactive PRL using the Nb2-cell bioassay and found a PRL concentration of 1.7 ± 2.1 ng/ml in the conditioned medium of ARPE-19 cell cultures (Fig. 2d). Of note, 10% FBS medium contained 0.031 ± 0.18 ng/ml (Fig. 2d). Previous studies demonstrated that PRL regulates cell survival, proliferation, and apoptosis in a variety of cell types (Adan et al., 2013; Morales, 2011; Yu-Lee, 2002). To assess the direct involvement of endogenous PRL in RPE cell survival, ARPE-19 viability was tested in the presence of anti-PRL polyclonal antibody (Castilla et al., 2010), normal rabbit serum or the competitive PRL receptor antagonist Del1-9-G129R-hPRL (Bernichtein et al., 2003) for 48 h. Both anti-PRL antibody and the highest dose of Del1-9-G129R-hPRL decreased ARPE-19 cell survival by 20–30% relative to the control, while pre-immune serum did not (Fig. 2e). Using [3H] incorporation, we showed that Del1-9-G129R-hPRL diminished ARPE-19 cell proliferation by 13 ± 3% and also prevented the proliferative effect of recombinant human PRL (hPRL, Fig. 2f).

3.3. PRL Protects and Rescues Human RPE Cells From Hydrogen Peroxide (H2O2)-Induced Damage

The RPE is in a location in the retina where it is in constant contact with ROS. H2O2 has been used as a direct oxidant to initiate and study cellular oxidative stress, because it is generated by the RPE during phagocytosis of the photoreceptor outer segments (Miceli et al., 1994). Dose-response experiments showed that H2O2 markedly inhibited ARPE-19 cell survival with an IC50 of 57 μM after a 24-h treatment (Fig. 3a). Using 100 μM H2O2, we showed that this effect involved both a drastic reduction of cell proliferation (82 ± 68; Fig. 3b) and a marked increase in apoptosis (51 ± 12%; Fig. 3c), as determined by internucleosomal DNA fragmentation measured by ELISA. We next evaluated whether PRL protected against the effect of a 24-h exposure to 100 μM H2O2 (Fig. 3d). H2O2 reduced RPE cell survival (Fig. 3e) and induced apoptosis (Fig. 3f), and these effects were prevented by PRL. Alone, PRL promoted the survival of ARPE-19 cells, but it did not modify their levels of apoptosis. We then investigated restorative properties of PRL by administering PRL 1 h after H2O2 treatment (Fig. 3g), i.e., when oxidative damage is evident (Fig. 3h, i). PRL reversed the reduction in cell survival (Fig. 3h) and the increase in apoptosis (Fig. 3i) induced by H2O2. Alone, PRL did not modify ARPE-19 cell survival or apoptosis.

3.4. PRL Reduces Intracellular Levels of ROS in Human RPE Cells, Thus Decreasing the Cytotoxic Effect of SIRT2

Serum levels of PRL were previously shown to positively correlate with superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity in mammary tissues (Bolzan et al., 1995), but whether PRL directly favors an antioxidant environment remains elusive. The antioxidant N-acetyl cysteine (Kerkisck and Willoughby, 2005) prevented the reduction in ARPE-19 cell survival induced by H2O2 (Fig. 4a), thereby mimicking PRL actions. Further, PRL reduced the increase in total ROS levels associated with H2O2 exposure to control levels (Fig. 4b). Alone, PRL decreased the total levels of ROS. We showed that ARPE-19 cells increased their antioxidant capacity by 1.8-fold in response to H2O2, and by 3.6-fold in the presence of PRL and H2O2 (Fig. 4c), but PRL alone did not modify the antioxidant capacity. The mRNA levels of endogenous antioxidant enzymes such as catalase, SOD, and glutathione peroxidase were assessed by quantitative PCR. Fig. 4d shows that exposure to H2O2 increased the levels of Mn2+-SOD, Cu2+-SOD, and glutathione peroxidase mRNA by 45 ± 1.0%, 30 ± 0.5%, and 36 ± 0.5% of controls, respectively, but did not alter catalase levels. PRL did not modify the H2O2-mediated increase in Mn2+-SOD, Cu2+/Zn2+-SOD, and glutathione peroxidase levels, nor did PRL change catalase mRNA levels. PRL by itself did not modify the mRNA levels of any of these enzymes. Additionally, we quantified reduced GSH levels since N-acetyl cysteine, which mimics PRL actions, is a precursor of glutathione.

Serum levels of PRL were previously shown to positively correlate with superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity in mammary tissues (Bolzan et al., 1995), but whether PRL directly favors an antioxidant environment remains elusive. The antioxidant N-acetyl cysteine (Kerkisck and Willoughby, 2005) prevented the reduction in ARPE-19 cell survival induced by H2O2 (Fig. 4a), thereby mimicking PRL actions. Further, PRL reduced the increase in total ROS levels associated with H2O2 exposure to control levels (Fig. 4b). Alone, PRL decreased the total levels of ROS. We showed that ARPE-19 cells increased their antioxidant capacity by 1.8-fold in response to H2O2, and by 3.6-fold in the presence of PRL and H2O2 (Fig. 4c), but PRL alone did not modify the antioxidant capacity. The mRNA levels of endogenous antioxidant enzymes such as catalase, SOD, and glutathione peroxidase were assessed by quantitative PCR. Fig. 4d shows that exposure to H2O2 increased the levels of Mn2+-SOD, Cu2+-SOD, and glutathione peroxidase mRNA by 45 ± 1.0%, 30 ± 0.5%, and 36 ± 0.5% of controls, respectively, but did not alter catalase levels. PRL did not modify the H2O2-mediated increase in Mn2+-SOD, Cu2+/Zn2+-SOD, and glutathione peroxidase levels, nor did PRL change catalase mRNA levels. PRL by itself did not modify the mRNA levels of any of these enzymes. Additionally, we quantified reduced GSH levels since N-acetyl cysteine, which mimics PRL actions, is a precursor of glutathione.
Exposure to H2O2 almost totally eliminated GSH, an effect that was not only prevented but also reversed by PRL (Fig. 4e). Alone, PRL did not modify GSH levels. These results indicate that PRL limits the impact of oxidative stress in human RPE.

H2O2 has been found to induce expression of the deacetylase SIRT2 in prostate epithelial cells (Nie et al., 2014), and PRL receptor dimerization and subsequent signaling are inhibited by SIRT2-mediated deacetylation (Ma et al., 2010). SIRT2 is expressed in the retina (Geng et al., 2011), and SIRT2 inhibitors, including AGK2, can decrease cell death in various models of neurodegeneration (Villalba and Alcain, 2012). qPCR analysis of the SIRT2 gene showed that PRL prevented the 2.4-fold increase in SIRT2 mRNA levels induced by H2O2 compared with levels in untreated conditions (Fig. 4f). Alone, PRL did not modify the ARPE-19 cell content of SIRT2 transcript. We also found that
treatment with AGK2 opposed the reduction in ARPE-19 cell survival induced by H2O2 (Fig. 4g), as did PRL. Alone, AGK2 did not modify ARPE-19 cell survival. To test the possibility that PRL preserves the integrity of human RPE by inhibiting SIRT2, we used piceatannol, an analog of resveratrol (Piotrowska et al., 2012) known to stimulate SIRT2 (Howitz et al., 2003). Like H2O2, piceatannol (10 μM, Piotrowska et al., 2012) diminished ARPE-19 cell survival, an effect that was blocked by PRL (Fig. 4h).

Fig. 4. PRL boosts antioxidant defenses and prevents the oxidant-induced SIRT2 effects on human RPE cells. (a) Effect of the antioxidant N-acetyl cysteine (NAC, 10 mM) on ARPE-19 survival. NAC was applied 24 h before of the 24-h H2O2 insult. (b) ROS production and (c) antioxidant capacity in control condition, following H2O2 (100 μM) treatment for 24 h, and in the presence of hPRL (100 pM) for 24 h before the 24-h treatment with H2O2. The same treatment scheme was used in d, e, and f. (d) qRT-PCR analyses of mRNAs for catalase, Mn2+-dependent SOD (Mn2+-SOD), Cu2+/Zn2+-dependent SOD (Cu2+/Zn2+-SOD), and glutathione peroxidase in ARPE-19 cell lysates. qRT-PCR data are normalized to TBP levels (n = 3; N = 3 independent replicates). (e) Reduced glutathione (GSH) levels in lysates from ARPE-19 cells. (f) qRT-PCR analyses of SIRT2 mRNA in ARPE-19 cells. qRT-PCR data were normalized to TBP levels (n = 3–6; N = 3 independent replicates). (g) Effect of the SIRT2 inhibitor AGK2 on ARPE-19 survival. AGK2 (10 μM) was applied 24 h before of the 24-h H2O2 insult. (h) Effect of hPRL on ARPE-19 survival challenged by the SIRT2 activator piceatannol. When hPRL (100 pM) was applied 24 h before of the 24-h piceatannol (10 μM) challenge, it prevented piceatannol-induced reduction of survival. ROS accumulation values were normalized to the untreated conditions. Excluding (d), n = 12; N = 3 independent replicates. All bar plots, mean plus S.E.M.; P values: ANOVA and Bonferroni post-hoc test. Of note, the control condition corresponds to cells that were pre-treated with 1% FBS medium during 12 h followed by a 48-h period in the same medium.
3.5. PRL Maintains Human RPE Cell Survival by Inhibiting the Oxidant-induced SIRT2-dependent Increase in Intracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) That is Mediated by TRPM2 Channels

Previous studies demonstrated that SIRT2 activity produces 2-O-acetyl-ADP-ribose (Zheng, 2013), a metabolite that binds the cytosolic, C-terminus of TRPM2 channels, leading to an increase in intracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) levels that correlates with cell death (Faouzi and Penner, 2014). While TRPM2 mRNA has been reported in the retina (Gilliam and Wensel, 2011), the presence of these channels in RPE is unknown. We found that ARPE-19 cells expressed TRPM2 mRNA (Fig. 5a) and protein (Fig. 5b). siRNA against TRPM2 decreased protein levels of the channel in ARPE-19 cells (Fig. 5b). Using the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) indicator fluo-8, we observed that exposure to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) elicited a sustained increase in intracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) levels that was prevented by siRNA against TRPM2 or by a 15-min pretreatment with PRL (Fig. 5c). We then evaluated whether the inhibition of TRPM2 channels preserved human RPE survival under oxidative conditions. We found that siRNA against TRPM2 minimized the reduction in ARPE-19 cell survival induced by \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (Fig. 5f). Scrambled siRNA did not modify intracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) levels. We observed that SIRT2 activation by piceatannol caused an increase in intracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) levels that had similar amplitude and kinetics to the one evoked by \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), and that was inhibited by siRNA against TRPM2 or PRL (Fig. 5d). We also found that the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)-mediated increase in intracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) levels was prevented by a 15-min pretreatment with AGK2 (Fig. 5e). We then evaluated whether the inhibition of TRPM2 channels preserved human RPE survival under oxidative conditions. We found that siRNA against TRPM2 minimized the reduction in ARPE-19 cell survival induced by \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (Fig. 5f). Scrambled siRNA did not modify the levels of ARPE-19 survival in the presence of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \).

3.6. RPE Degeneration is Enhanced During Advancing Age and in Mice Lacking PRL Receptor

In order to examine the \textit{in vivo} relevance of our findings, whole mounts of RPE from 5- and 14-month-old \( \text{prlr}^{-/-} \) mice were evaluated by the TUNEL assay. In young mice, there was positive TUNEL signal in the RPE (Fig. 6a), indicating that PRL is required for the survival of RPE under normal conditions. Apparent morphological alterations including an increased number of cells that have more than two nuclei were also detected in the 5-month-old \( \text{prlr}^{-/-} \) mice. Consistent with RPE degeneration being a feature of age-related retinal degeneration (Nag and Wadhwa, 2012), 14-month-old \( \text{prlr}^{+/+} \) mice showed more TUNEL signal in RPE, compared to that in 5-month-old \( \text{prlr}^{+/+} \) mice (Fig. 6a). Positive TUNEL signal was also present in the RPE from \( \text{prlr}^{-/-} \) mice with advancing age (Fig. 6a). The higher levels of apoptosis in 5- and 14-month-old \( \text{prlr}^{-/-} \) RPE were statistically significant after quantifying the TUNEL signal (Fig. 6b). Also, the number of RPE cells decreased in 5-month-old \( \text{prlr}^{-/-} \) mice compared with age-matched wild-type counterparts, and they had more nuclei (Fig. 6b). Evaluation of the surface and perimeter of individual RPE cells showed hypertrophy of RPE cells from \( \text{prlr}^{-/-} \) mice compared with those from wild-type counterparts: RPE cell surface and perimeter were higher in 5- and 14-month-old \( \text{prlr}^{+/+} \) mice compared with age-matched wild-type mice, respectively (Fig. 6c). However, the surface and perimeter of RPE cells from 5-month-old wild-type and \( \text{prlr}^{+/+} \) mice were similar to those of 14-month-old wild-type and \( \text{prlr}^{+/+} \) mice, respectively (Fig. 6c). These data suggest that PRL helps to maintain the morphology of RPE and that this effect was independent of age. We then analyzed the production of superoxide anion in whole mounts of RPE. Fig. 6d shows representative images of whole mounts of RPE stained with the oxidative fluorescent dye dihydroethidium (DHE), and quantification using the mean pixel fluorescence intensity ratio of DHE/DAPI is provided in Fig. 6e. The RPE from young \( \text{prlr}^{-/-} \) mice produced more superoxide anion than RPE from age-matched wild-type counterparts. While superoxide anion levels were higher in the RPE from 14-month old \( \text{prlr}^{+/+} \) mice than those of young mice, they were similar in the RPE of 14-month old \( \text{prlr}^{+/+} \) and \( \text{prlr}^{-/-} \) mice. We then explored whether reduced overall synthesis of antioxidant enzymes correlates with increased oxidative stress in \( \text{prlr}^{-/-} \) retinas. Catalase mRNA levels were reduced in young \( \text{prlr}^{-/-} \) retinas by 55 ± 3% compared with values from age-matched \( \text{prlr}^{+/+} \) retinas (Fig. 6f). Consistent with oxidative stress being a hallmark of aging retina (Ardelean and Chan, 2013), catalase expression was reduced equally in retinas of \( \text{prlr}^{+/+} \) and \( \text{prlr}^{-/-} \) mice with advancing age. Lack of PRL receptor or advancing age \( \text{per se} \) was accompanied by a 2.4- or 3.5-fold increased synthesis of SIRT2 in the retina, respectively, compared to young wild-type retinas (Fig. 6f). The SIRT2 mRNA levels were comparable in the retinas of 14-month old \( \text{prlr}^{+/+} \) and \( \text{prlr}^{-/-} \) mice. Further, we measured negligible levels of PRL receptor and PRL mRNA in the retina of 14-month old mice (Fig. 6g), supporting the notion that under the oxidative conditions accompanying aging, increased levels of SIRT2 are associated with reduced PRL signaling and RPE cell death.

4. Discussion

The multigenic and complex etiology of age-related retinal diseases in humans is responsible for the relative paucity of effective compounds for their early prevention and treatment. Given that the relationship between the RPE and photoreceptors is crucial to sight (Sparrow et al., 2010), identifying pathways necessary for RPE function is essential to uncover therapies for blindness. The present study identifies the PRL signaling pathway in the adult RPE and demonstrates that it is necessary for RPE survival. PRL receptor antagonism or deficiency increases RPE apoptosis both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} and conversely, PRL receptor stimulation promotes the resistance of RPE cells to oxidative stress. One of the mechanisms by which PRL signaling mediates its protective effects in the RPE is through antioxidant actions that lead to decreased SIRT2 expression and TRPM2 inhibition. This study strengthens the significance of the PRL pathway beyond its classical roles in lactation since no sex-based difference in PRL–mediated RPE effects were observed in our experimental conditions. Nevertheless, it is possible that sex-based differences do occur under physiological conditions such as pregnancy and lactation given that the amplitude of the ERG B-wave is higher in pregnant women (De Luca Brunori et al., 1985).

Although the role of PRL in NO signaling has been widely studied (Duckles and Miller, 2010), the direct action of PRL on ROS has not been shown previously. In response to oxidative stress, we found that PRL reduced the levels of ROS and promoted the antioxidant capacity of ARPE-19 cells by increasing GSH levels in the RPE. More importantly, \( \text{prlr}^{-/-} \) RPE exhibited increased staining for the superoxide indicator DHE, and mRNA levels of catalase were reduced in \( \text{prlr}^{-/-} \) retinas originating from young or aging mice. These data are consistent with the observations that reduced levels of circulating PRL associate with increased plasma oxidant status in rats (Yuksel et al., 2015). However, blockage of PRL secretion was also reported to associate with increased antioxidative defense (Ricke-Hoch et al., 2014) and more particularly, with increased activity of antioxidative enzymes like catalase (Brown-Borg et al., 1999). The reasons for this inconsistency are unknown but may relate to the finely tuned balance between ROS levels and antioxidative defenses. It is clear that if ROS levels are high enough to overwhelm the antioxidative defenses or if the antioxidative protective mechanisms are compromised, then the resulting oxidative stress may lead to aberrant cell signaling through oxidative modification of redox-sensitive signaling proteins (Finkel and Holbrook, 2000). Whether PRL or its receptor are susceptible to oxidative modifications remains to be investigated. The present study also shows that advancing age associates with decreased expression of PRL and PRL receptor in the retina. Whether the down-regulation of PRL and its receptor occurs specifically in the RPE was not determined. Nonetheless, increased oxidative stress and phenotypic changes in the RPE have been reported (Zhao et al., 2011). Along this line, the best-validated therapies remain oral antioxidant supplements based on those investigated in the Age-Related Eye Disease Study (AREDS) and the recently completed AREDS 2 (Broadhead et al., 2015; Wei et al., 2016). Here, we show that N-acetyl cysteine...
which, like PRL, allows more GSH to be produced, preserves the integrity of ARPE-19 cells under oxidative stress. Moreover, we found that PRL per se reduced intracellular levels of ROS without modifying the antioxidant capacity of ARPE-19 cells. The mechanism underlying this effect has not been explored, but the expression of PRL receptors positively correlates with mRNA abundance for uncoupling proteins UCP1 (Bispham et al., 2005) and UCP2 (Pearce et al., 2003), which are mitochondrial anion carrier proteins that are involved in minimizing ROS emission from the electron transport chain (Mailloux and Harper, 2011).

Fig. 5. PRL maintains human RPE cell survival by inhibiting the oxidant-induced SIRT2-dependent induction of TRPM2-mediated intracellular Ca²⁺ increase. (a) RT-PCR and (b) Western-blotting of TRPM2 in ARPE-19 cell lysates. (a) TBP was used as a positive control. bp, DNA ladder. RT-PCR was performed in RNA extracted from three independent cell cultures (N = 3). (b) ARPE-19 cells were untreated (Ctl), subjected to lipofectamin alone (Lipo.) or transfected with siRNA against TRPM2 (siRNA) or scramble sequence (Scr.). TRPM2 siRNA efficiently reduced TRPM2 expression as observed by immunoblotting using an anti-TRPM2 antibody that labeled a protein at the expected molecular weight for TRPM2 (171 kDa). β-Actin served as loading control. Extracts from three independent ARPE-19 cell cultures in each condition were analyzed (N = 3). (c, d) Measurement of the change in intracellular Ca²⁺ measured by the change in fluo-8 fluorescence (Δfluorescence) in ARPE19 cells exposed to (c) H₂O₂ (100 μM) or (d) piceatannol (10 μM) while TRPM2 was blocked by siRNA against TRPM2 or PRL was applied (hPRL, 100 pmol/l, 15-min pretreatment). Scramble sequence (siRNA ctl) was used as a negative control for siRNA against TRPM2. Treatment with H₂O₂ or piceatannol began at time = 0 s (n = 170–190 cells; N = 3 independent replicates). (e) Ca²⁺-dependent fluorescence change in ARPE19 cells 180 s after application of SIRT2 inhibitor AGK2 (10 μM) combined or not with H₂O₂ (100 μM) (n = 160–190 cells; N = 3 independent replicates). (f) Effect of TRPM2 inhibition on survival of ARPE-19 subjected to a 24-h H₂O₂ insult (100 μM) by MTT assay. ARPE-19 cells were untreated (Ctl), treated with lipofectamin alone (Lipo.) or transfected with siRNA against TRPM2 or the scramble sequence (Scr.) 24 h prior initiating the MTT assay. In (c–e), signals were normalized by subtracting the Fluo-8 Δ fluorescence to the one in untreated conditions. All bar plots, mean plus S.E.M.; P values: ANOVA and Bonferroni post-hoc test.
Consistent with previous studies (see review (Beatty et al., 2000)), here we show that H$_2$O$_2$ attenuated ARPE-19 cell survival by inhibiting their proliferation and stimulating their apoptosis, as evaluated by reduced [H]$^+$ incorporation and increased DNA fragmentation, respectively. Administering PRL before or after injury opposed the H$_2$O$_2$ cytotoxic and proapoptotic effect on ARPE-19 cells. The molecular mechanisms underlying this effect were not analyzed, but PRL is a well-known anti-apoptotic factor. It activates STAT3 (Adan et al., 2013), which signals to inhibit apoptosis by inducing Bcl-2 (Suemoto et al., 2007) and decreasing p53 (Adan et al., 2013) transcription. Reduced Bcl-2 contributes to RPE death by apoptosis, while pharmacologic treatments to block p53 expression can protect RPE cells from apoptosis (Bhattacharya et al., 2012).

The fact that PRL counteracts the proapoptotic effect of H$_2$O$_2$ argues in favor of its pro-survival effect on RPE under oxidative stress. Conversely, lack of PRL signaling should result in RPE apoptosis under pro-oxidant conditions. To investigate this concept, we studied the RPE in situ and chose advancing age as a model of RPE degeneration. Apoptosis occurred, but we did not observe any significant hypertrophy of RPE cells as others did in human tissue (Nag and Wadhwa, 2012). Notably, we showed that the levels of apoptosis were greater in the RPE of prl$^{-/-}$ mice with advancing age than in the RPE of aged-matched wild-type mice or young prl$^{-/-}$ mice. These data demonstrate the trophic effect of PRL on RPE in vivo. The antiapoptotic effect of PRL under challenging conditions was clearly established earlier (Marano and Ben-Jonathan, 2014). In particular, PRL protects beta cells in vitro from H$_2$O$_2$ (Yamamoto et al., 2010) and limits photoreceptor apoptosis in a phototoxicity model of retinal degeneration (Arnold et al., 2014).

PRL is essential for adult RPE survival. Targeted disruption of the PRL receptor gene causes apoptosis and reduces the number of RPE cells in this tissue, indicative of a defect in RPE survival, and also hypertrophy, which is known to lead to gradual atrophy (Nag and Wadhwa, 2012). Further supporting this notion is the fact that increased apoptosis and hypertrophy are observed in prl$^{-/-}$ RPE independently of advancing age. Also, we show that immunoneutralization of PRL as well as a pure PRL receptor antagonist (Bernichtein et al., 2003) reduce ARPE-19 cell survival and proliferation, indicating that endogenous PRL is a major mitogenic factor for these cells.

A key issue is whether RPE is responding to local or circulating PRL, or both. Radioactive PRL injected intracardially is incorporated into ocular tissues (ciliary body, choroid, and retina) (O’Stein and Sundberg, 1982). The ciliary body is necessary for the active transport of plasma proteins to intraocular fluids (Mestriner and Haddad, 1997), and we demonstrated that the PRL receptor is localized in this structure (Arnold et al., 2010). To examine if the PRL receptor in the ciliary body could mediate uptake of circulating PRL, we analyzed retinal PRL in prl$^{-/-}$ mice, which are known to be hyperprolactinemic (Schuff et al., 2002). The mice displayed 700-fold higher levels of circulating PRL (Arnold et al., 2010), but the levels of retinal PRL were similar to those of their wild-type littermates (Supplemental Fig. 5). A similar mechanism can also take place directly in the outer component of the blood-retina barrier, although this alternative warrants further investigation. If the exact contribution of local versus circulating PRL at RPE site needs further investigation, it is worth mentioning that both the estimated concentration of PRL in the conditioned media of ARPE-19 cultures and the dose of exogenously administered PRL are in the physiological range. PRL was previously shown to be necessary for cell survival in the absence of a challenging context in adult mice (Grosdemouge et al., 2003). Here, we demonstrate that a hormone is necessary for adult RPE survival. Such a conclusion had been reached previously only for antioxidant enzymes (Justilien et al., 2007) or transcription factors (Zhong et al., 2012).

A number of studies have demonstrated that oxidative injury in RPE cultures can be mitigated by compounds that exhibit antioxidant properties, including resveratrol (Chan et al., 2015). In contrast, we observed that piceatannol, a naturally occurring hydroxylated analog of resveratrol, promotes a sustained increase of intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ that can cause apoptosis (Berridge et al., 2000) and reduces the survival of ARPE-19 cells. These data are consistent with a study showing that resveratrol and some of its analogs exert cytotoxic effects on normal nontransformed cells (She et al., 2003). Additionally, piceatannol has been previously associated with opposite effects on cell growth depending on its concentration, i.e., low doses (nM range) induce cell growth whereas high doses (μM range) attenuate cell growth (Vo et al., 2010).

Resveratrol and its analogs are substrate–specific activators of yeast and human sirtuins (Villalba and Alcain, 2012). Among the seven members of the mammalian sirtuin family, SIRT2 has been detected in adult retina (Geng et al., 2011), and disruption of its signaling has been proposed as an endogenous therapeutic target to preserve the integrity of aging cells against exogenous stressors such as ROS (Cheng et al., 2003). SIRT2 inhibition by AGK2 results in decreased ROS levels (Nie et al., 2014), which coincides with PRL decreasing intracellular levels of ROS in ARPE-19 cells. Consistent with studies showing that oxidative stress up-regulates SIRT2 (Nie et al., 2014), we found that both H$_2$O$_2$ exposure and advancing age cause the elevation of SIRT2 mRNA levels in ARPE-19 cells and mouse retinas, respectively. The fact that AGK2 decreases H$_2$O$_2$-induced apoptosis in ARPE-19 cells indicates that in these cells, SIRT2 mediates oxidative stress–induced apoptosis, as previously reported (Nie et al., 2014). Also, we show that PRL prevents the induction of SIRT2 expression under pro-oxidant conditions in ARPE-19 cells and that SIRT2 expression tends to be elevated in retinas from prl$^{-/-}$ mice with advancing age compared to age-matched wild-type animals. Most conspicuously, SIRT2 expression was elevated in prl$^{-/-}$ retinas from young mice. Considering that SIRT2 inactivates the PRL receptor through its deacetylation (Ma et al., 2010), our data suggest that a negative feed-back loop between SIRT2 and PRL signaling may exist. Together with the fact that PRL prevents the reduction in ARPE-19 cell survival induced by piceatannol, these data lead us to propose that PRL, by reducing ROS levels, maintains SIRT2 at levels that contribute to RPE survival. Conversely, under oxidizing conditions, increased levels of SIRT2 blunt PRL signaling, leading to RPE cell death. The possibility that PRL may directly regulate SIRT2 needs further studies.

Although PRL signaling, SIRT2, and TRPM2 channels are currently viewed as being independent, we suggest that these pathways are more intricately connected than previously appreciated. We report here that TRPM2 is expressed in ARPE-19 cells. This result complements a previous study showing that all TRP channel genes are expressed in the retina (Giliam and Wensel, 2011). We also show that H$_2$O$_2$ activates TRPM2 leading to Ca$^{2+}$ influx in a SIRT2-dependent manner since AGK2 blocks the H$_2$O$_2$–induced Ca$^{2+}$ increase in ARPE-19 cells. In parallel, silencing TRPM2 inhibited the piceatannol–induced increase in intracellular Ca$^{2+}$, suggesting that SIRT2 increases intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ by activating TRPM2. Thus, we assume that TRPM2 channels are activated by H$_2$O$_2$, in a SIRT2–dependent manner in ARPE-19 cells. Undoubtedly, the TRPM2–mediated Ca$^{2+}$ rise is a dominant source of the Ca$^{2+}$ required to reduce ARPE-19 cell viability under pro-oxidant conditions (Fig. 5f). Our observations are consistent with previous studies demonstrating that the TRPM2 Ca$^{2+}$ current links oxidative stress–induced SIRT2 activation to oxidative stress–induced cell death (Fauouri and Penner, 2014).

The novelty here consists in demonstrating that PRL inhibits the oxidant–induced SIRT2–dependent activation of TRPM2 channels. PRL alone does not modify Ca$^{2+}$ levels, and there is no evidence that PRL activates TRPM2. Instead, PRL seems to block TRPM2 once this latter is activated. We emphasize that this effect cannot be attributed to the ability of PRL to reduce SIRT2 transcription, because the time course of the two events is different (seconds/minutes versus 24 h). Reduced levels of intracellular ROS may explain the short-term effect of PRL on TRPM2 as well as the restorative effect of PRL at 6 h, though further studies using different techniques and dyes are required to address this issue. Interestingly, TRPM2 current density was shown to increase in cultured pyramidal neurons over time in vitro. This increase in current density...
Fig. 6. RPE death in wild-type and PRL receptor-null mouse. (a) Representative stack image of TUNEL staining (green) in RPE flat-mounts of 5-month- and 14-month-old wild-type (prlr\(^{+/+}\)) and PRL receptor-null (prlr\(^{-/-}\)) mice in x-y axis. Rhodamine-phalloidin labeled F-actin (red), and DAPI labeled the nuclei (blue). Arrow indicates positive TUNEL stain and asterisks indicate morphological alterations. Corresponding separate images in x-y and z-axis projection were presented in Supplemental Fig. 4. Six sections per flat mount in three mice were observed. 

(b) Quantification of TUNEL-positive apoptosis signal in whole mounts of RPE. (left upper panel; n = 4–11; N = 3 independent replicates). Quantification of cell number in RPE flat mounts from wild-type and prlr\(^{-/-}\) mice. Data are presented as raw numbers (n = 4 sections of 200–200 \(\mu\)m per group from 6 flat mounts from 3 mice were analyzed). Note that no cell had 3, 4 or 6 nuclei in RPE flat mounts. 

(c) Averaged surface (right upper panel) and perimeter (right lower panel) of individual RPE cells from 5-month- and 14-month-old prlr\(^{+/+}\) and prlr\(^{-/-}\) mice. In (a–c), the 5-month-old prlr\(^{+/+}\) and prlr\(^{-/-}\) mouse group was composed of four males and three females and of three males and four females, respectively, while the 14-month-old prlr\(^{+/+}\) and prlr\(^{-/-}\) mouse group was composed of four males and two females and of three males and five females, respectively. 

(d) Representative images of superoxide anion production stained with DHE in RPE flat mounts of 5-month-old prlr\(^{+/+}\) and prlr\(^{-/-}\) mice, and of 14-month-old prlr\(^{+/+}\) mice. 

(e) Quantification of fluorescence intensity as mean number of pixels positive for DHE staining normalized to the mean number of pixels positive for DAPI staining (not shown). Six sections per flat mount in three mice per group were observed. The 5-month-old prlr\(^{+/+}\) and prlr\(^{-/-}\) mouse group was composed of one male and two females, respectively, while the 14-month-old prlr\(^{+/+}\) and prlr\(^{-/-}\) mouse group was composed of two males and one female. 

(f) qRT-PCR analyses of catalase and SIRT2 mRNA in retina extracts of 5-month- and 14-month-old prlr\(^{+/+}\) and prlr\(^{-/-}\) mice. 

(g) qRT-PCR analyses of PRL receptor and PRL mRNA from retina extracts of 5-month- and 14-month-old prlr\(^{+/+}\) and prlr\(^{-/-}\) mice. All qRT-PCR data were normalized to TBP levels (n = 6 per genotype, 3 males and 3 females each; N = 3 independent replicates). Magnification bars as indicated. All bar plots, mean plus SE.M. except in (b); P values: ANOVA and Bonferroni post-hoc test.
transcription of catalase, which also processes H2O2 into water; both of these processes limit ROS levels. This antioxidant pathway is more prominent than the pro-oxidant pathway comprised by the TRPM2-mediated intracellular Ca2+ rise induced by the metabolite 2′O-acetyl-ADP-ribose (O-Ac-ADPR) that results from the deacetylation (removal of Ac group) of various substrates by SIRT2. The viability of RPE is therefore not jeopardized. In contrast, in aged RPE cells, the PRL signaling pathway predominates over the antioxidant one. High levels of ROS, illustrated by increased H2O2, promote the up-regulation of SIRT2 which, in turn, activates TRPM2 by producing O-Ac-ADPR. The subsequent sustained rise in intracellular Ca2+ levels can depolarize mitochondria (Berridge et al., 2000), which causes apoptosis.

was prevented by treatment with N-acetyl cysteine and conversely, depletion of GSH augmented TRPM2 currents (Lee et al., 2010).

Importantly, PRL is proteolytically cleaved in the retina to yield vasoinhibins (Clapp et al., 2006), a family of peptides that act on both endothelial and RPE cells to prevent the hypervasopermeability associated with diabetes (Arredondo Zamarripa et al., 2014). Vasoinhibins exert actions through a mechanism independent of the PRL receptor (Bajou et al., 2014). The existence of such mechanisms therefore makes the prlr−/− mouse a complex model since exaggerated signaling through non-PRL receptor pathways may be expected. However, we previously demonstrated that in spite of being hyperprolactinemic, prlr−/− mice do not show increased levels of vasoinhibins in their retinas (Arnold et al., 2010).

Our general view is that antiapoptotic mechanisms including the PRL signaling pathway degrade with advancing age so that RPE cells become vulnerable to normal proapoptotic stressors such as ROS, SIRT2, and elevated intracellular Ca2+ levels, creating a tipping point beyond which retinal homeostasis is lost (Fig. 7), leading to visual dysfunction as we previously reported (Arnold et al., 2014). Concomitantly with RPE damage, rhodopsin mRNA levels and cone and rod photoreception are compromised in the prlr−/− mice (Arnold et al., 2014). A similar degree of alterations in electroretinogram parameters was previously shown to associate with reduced visual acuity in various animal models (Bonnet Wersinger et al., 2014; Deming et al., 2015; Hilgen et al., 2012; Kohl et al., 2015).

Most studies devoted to detecting changes in plasma levels of PRL during aging in humans have been carried out in males, usually with age-range subject selection, which results in considerable variability and conflicting results. In most studies, healthy aging subjects showed no change in circulating levels of pituitary PRL (Rossmanith et al., 1992; Schiavi et al., 1992; Yamaji et al., 1976), while some showed a decrease (Saucedo et al., 2000; van Coevorden et al., 1991). From a clinical point of view, one may consider the option of increasing levels of systemic PRL during advancing age in order to protect the RPE. However, the potential undesirable effects of induced hyperprolactinemia prompt us to propose instead that targeting SIRT2 or TRPM2 channels with inhibitors may improve PRL function in conditions that induce oxidative stress in the RPE. Therapeutic interventions may also benefit from identifying the signal transduction pathways that link PRL receptor stimulation to antioxidant actions. STAT3 appears to be a main candidate since identifying the signal transduction pathways that link PRL receptor activation (Bole-Feyssot et al., 1998), and neuronal oxidative stress associates with reduced JAK2/STAT3 activation (Kaur et al., 2005; Monroe and Halvorsen, 2006a), an effect that can be counteracted by anti-oxidant molecules including GSH and NAC (Monroe and Halvorsen, 2006b). In particular, knockdown of STAT3 has been recently shown to abolish the protective effects of innate immune system activation in the retina during oxidative stress (Patel and Hackam, 2014), and PRL is a well-known modulator of this system (Díaz et al., 2013).
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