Long-Lived Charge-Transfer State Induced by Spin-Orbit Charge Transfer Intersystem Crossing (SOCT-ISC) in a Compact Spiro Electron Donor/Acceptor Dyad
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Abstract: We prepared conceptually novel, fully rigid, spiro compact electron donor (Rhodamine B, lactam form, RB)/acceptor (naphthalimide; NI) orthogonal dyad to attain the long-lived triplet charge-transfer (3CT) state, based on the electron spin control using spin-orbit charge transfer intersystem crossing (SOCT-ISC). Transient absorption (TA) spectra indicate the first charge separation (CS) takes place within 2.5 ps, subsequent SOCT-ISC takes 8 ns to produce the 3NI* state. Then the slow secondary CS (125 ns) gives the long-lived 3CT state (0.94 ps in deaerated n-hexane) with high energy level (ca. 2.12 eV). The cascade photophysical processes of the dyad upon photoexcitation are summarized as 3NI* → 3CT → 1CT* → 1CT → 3CT. With time-resolved electron paramagnetic resonance (TREPR) spectra, an EEEAAA electron-spin polarization pattern was observed for the naphthalimide-localized triplet state. Our spiro compact dyad structure and the electron spin-control approach is different to previous methods for which such an approach is not always reliable to obtain a long-lived 1CT state based on the two units is required) to retard CR process. However, very often stepwise electron transfer produces CT states with rather low energy.

The non-adiabatic CT rate $k_{CT}$ depends on $\Delta G_{CT}$, and $k_{CT}$ should decrease as the driving force ($-\Delta G_{CT}$) increases in the so-called Marcus inverted region, that is, higher CT state energy usually leads to long-lived CT states.[3,5a,20] However, it is not always reliable to obtain a long-lived 1CT state based on the Marcus inverted region effect with the classic Marcus equations, because the electron transfer (or CR) rate constants may be much larger than those theoretically predicted in the Marcus inverted region, due to the quantum tunneling effect.[56] The lifetime of a 1CT state is usually shorter than that of a 3CT state because 1CT → 3CT is a spin-allowed transition. 3CT state usually has a longer lifetime than the 1CT state, enabling the so-called electron spin control effect. Radical pair inter-system crossing (RP ISC) mechanism can be used as an electron spin control method, in which the probability of generating long-lived CT states.

**Introduction**

Mimicking the natural photosynthetic reaction centers with the aim to attain a charge transfer (CT) state with high energy and long lifetime has attracted much attention.[1–6] In photosynthesis, a cascade of electron-transfer processes takes place in the reaction center upon photoexcitation of the light-harvesting antenna, leading to the formation of a final CT state at approximately 0.5 eV, that lives up to a few seconds.[6a,7] Molecular systems that exhibit such long-lived CT states are of fundamental importance for artificial photosynthesis, photovoltaics, molecular electronics/photonics and photochemistry studies.[9–13] Controlling electron-transfer is also pivotal for fluorescent molecular sensors[14–16] and charge recombination (CR) induced persistent luminescence.[17] Various donor(D)/acceptor(A) polyads mimicking natural photosynthesis have been reported in the past years to generate long-lived CT states.[18,19] To this scope, it is necessary to attain large electronic coupling and efficient charge separation (CS) between adjacent electron D and A in each step, and weak electronic coupling between primary electron D and terminal electron A (a large distance between the two units is required) to retard CR process. However, very often stepwise electron transfer produces CT states with rather low energy.
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1CT→3CT transition increases, thus prolonging the lifetime of the CT states. RP ISC accounts on hyperfine interactions (HFI) to facilitate ISC in electron D/A dyads/polyads with an extremely small electronic coupling (<0.1 cm⁻¹), so that rigid and long bridges are required to connect electron D and A.²²,²³ However, a small energy gap between 1CT and 3CT states may lead to backward transition of 3CT→1CT, and the 1CT→3CT transition (several nanoseconds) is usually slower than 3CT→S₀ decay.⁵⁵ These issues cause short-lived CT states.

A possible alternative to attain long-lived CT states is to use the electron spin control approach to initiate the CS with a triplet state precursor.²²–²⁴ One possibility is to use a transition-metal coordination framework to attach the electron D and A because the coordination center has usually an ultrafast ISC, through a 1MLCT→3MLCT→3CT process (ML = metal to ligand).²²,²⁴ For instance, a long-lived CT state (ca. 1 μs) was observed in a N²N Pt¹¹ bis(acetylide) complex and the formation of a spin-correlated radical pair (SCRP) was confirmed by TREPR.²² These molecular systems are synthetically demanding, because the π-conjugation frameworks of electron D and A must be isolated from the coordination center, to reduce the electronic coupling between electron D and A and avoid the shortening of the 3CT state lifetime (heavy-atom effect).¹⁶,⁶,²² Another strategy is based on the intrinsic ISC ability of the electron D or A (e.g. anthraquinone (Aq)-D linked systems) to establish 1LE→3LE→3CT (LE: locally excited state), without any heavy atoms.²⁰,²² In a reported cyclopeptide-derived spiro electron D/A system, the 3CT lifetime is 3.35 μs in THF.²⁰ The molecular structure is quite complicated and this method is restricted to a limited number of chromophores exhibiting intrinsic ISC with high yield. Thus, it is still a major challenge to construct simple, compact electron D/A compact dyads to access long-lived 3CT states.

Herein, we report a new electron spin control approach, based on the spin-orbit charge transfer ISC (SOCT-ISC) mechanism, to obtain a long-lived 3CT state (0.94 μs, at room temperature)²²–²⁶ using a novel, simple, fully rigid, spiro electron D (lactam form Rhodamine B, RB) electron A (naphthalimide, NI) compact dyad to induce orthogonal orientation and a fully rigid connection between RB and NI units. In our case, SOCT-ISC will generate 3NI* state (3CT→3NI* transition). 3NI* is the precursor to form a long-lived CT state via charge separation, achieving electron spin control. As demonstrated by steady-state and time-resolved optical and magnetic resonance spectroscopies, the proposed photophysical processes of RB-NI dyad are 3NI*→CT→NI*→3CT. Our strategy will be useful for designing simple, compact electron D/A dyads showing long-lived 3CT state with high energy.

Results and Discussion

Molecular Structure Design Rationale

Inspired by high energy 1LE state of NI (ca. 2.20 eV),⁶ we selected NI moiety as electron A for formation of a low-lying 3CT state. Spiro rhodamine with high energy excited states will not be involved in dyad’s photophysical processes. The structures of the dyads and reference compounds are shown in Figure 1a. The highly constrained conformation of RB-NI will reduce π-conjugation between the N atom and NI moiety (the π-conjugation frameworks are separated by three Ω-bonds), which is crucial for NI moiety to maintain its high T₁ energy and strong electron withdrawing ability. Spiro rhodamine is linked with the NI moiety at the amide-position in the reference dyad RB-NI-N, note for the dyad RB-NI the link is at the 4-amino position of the NI moiety. For RB-NI-N, less conformational restriction is expected (refer to the potential energy curves in Supporting Information, Figure S14f). Hence, π-conjugation between the N atom and the NI’s π-framework is significant for RB-NI-N, which will decrease the energy of 1LE below that of the CT state. The reduced electron withdrawal ability of the NI moiety in RB-NI-N will promote the energy of the CT state, as compared to that of RB-NI (Supporting Information, Table S5). Recently the aggregation induced luminescence property of RB-NI was reported, but the charge separation was not studied.²⁴,²⁶ The use of a short rigid linker will reduce the reorganization energy λ of electron transfer, which is beneficial for fast CS and for attaining long-lived CT state.²⁴,²⁶ However, this also makes the electron exchange energy (J) large, increasing the 1CT–3CT energy gap (Δ), and inhibiting CT→1CT process (by HFI). For conventional electron D/A dyads, the very small J value makes the 1CT/3CT energy very similar,
promoting the reverse $^{3}$CT $\rightarrow$ CS which drains $^{3}$CT.[29] In our method, SOCT-ISC is supposed to render $^{1}$CT $\rightarrow$ $^{1}{\text{NI}}^{+}$ process fast, and the close proximity of NI and the RB units to increase the $J$ value, thus suppressing the undesired backward $^{3}$CT $\rightarrow$ $^{1}{\text{NI}}^{+}$$\rightarrow$$^{3}$CT processes.

Single crystals of RB-NI and RB-NI-N were obtained by slow evaporation of the solutions of the dyads (CH$_3$Cl/$n$-hexane for RB-NI, CH$_3$Cl/$n$-hexane for RB-NI-N). The molecular structures of the dyads were thus characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD; Figure 1, Supporting Information, Table S1 and S2). RB-NI crystallized in the triclinic crystal system in the $P1$ space group with two molecules in a unit cell and RB-NI-N crystallized in the monoclinic crystal system in the $P2_1/n$ space group. The dihedral angle between xanthene and NI moieties is 70.8° in RB-NI and 66.7° in RB-NI-N (Figure S13b), the former being more perpendicular than predicted by density functional theory (DFT) at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level (60.4° for RB-NI, 67.6° for RB-NI-N. Figure S14a and b).[30] These geometries are beneficial for SOCT-ISC.[26, 27, 31-34]

**UV/Vis Absorption and Luminescence Spectra**

The steady-state UV/Vis absorption spectra of the compounds were studied (Figure 2a). For RB-NI with its nearly orthogonal conformation, we expect weak electronic coupling between electron D and A. The absorption band of RB-NI (342 nm) is blue-shifted as compared to that of NI-NH$_2$ (390 nm) and RB-NI-N (427 nm). We attribute this blue shift to the electron-deficient nature of the N atom at 4-position of NI moiety, which is connected to the carbonyl group (amide). The molecular conformation also plays a role in this respect, because constrained molecular geometry reduces the π-conjugation of the N atom with NI moiety in RB-NI.[28a]

Compared to reference compounds, the fluorescence of NI moiety in RB-NI is strongly quenched (Figure 2b and Table 1). The residual fluorescence of NI moiety in RB-NI is centered at 400 nm (Figure 2c), which is shorter in wavelength and much weaker than that of NI-NH$_2$. This blue-shifted fluorescence emission is an indication of the limited π-conjugation between the amino N atom and NI moiety. We propose that quenching of the NI fluorescence in RB-NI is due to photo-induced electron transfer between RB and NI moieties, which is supported by cyclic voltammetry studies (Figure S16, Table S5) and the analysis of frontier molecular orbitals of RB-NI (Figure S14c). The weak broad CT emission band of RB-NI and RB-NI-N are at around 545 nm and 578 nm (Figure 2c), respectively. The photophysical parameters of the dyads are listed in Table 1. The fluorescence of RB-NI-N is stronger ($\Phi_F = 10.7\%$) than that of RB-NI ($\Phi_F = 1.2\%$), but it is much weaker than that of NI-NH$_2$ ($\Phi_F = 67.5\%$). This result indicates that electron transfer is also possible for RB-NI-N. Temperature-dependent luminescence of RB-NI were measured, however, thermal activated delayed fluorescence did not occur (Figure S15).

**Table 1:** Photophysical parameters of the compounds.

| Compounds | $\lambda_{\text{abs}}$ [nm] | $\epsilon$ | $\lambda_{\text{em}}$ [nm] | $\Phi_{\text{F}}$ [%] | $\Phi_{\text{P}}$ [%] | $\tau_{\text{F}}$ [ns] | $\tau_{\text{P}}$ [ms] | $\lambda_{\text{PL}}$ [nm] | $\tau_{\text{PL}}$ [ms] |
|-----------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| NI-NH$_2$ | 390             | 1.03     | 500             | 67.2            | 8.6             | 1.0             | 8.5             | 490             | 20.3            |
| NI-NH$_2$ | 408             | 1.06     | 480             | 67.5            | 7.5             | 1.2             | 4.7             | 556/604         | 20.0            |
| RB-Ph     | 308             | 1.35     | 410             | 1.2             | 4.7             | 1.2             | 4.7             | 556/604         | 20.0            |
| RB-NI     | 319/342         | 1.99/1.44| 545             | 29.8            | 1.2             | 15.7            | 5.0             | 125 ns$^\text{l}$/935 ns$^\text{h}$ |
| RB-NI-N   | 427             | 1.15     | 578             | 24.5            | 10.7            | 18.4            | 606             | 36.3            | 50.5 µs         |

[a] UV/Vis absorption maxima in $n$-hexane, $c = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M, 25 °C. [b] Molar absorption coefficient at absorption maxima, $\epsilon = 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$. [c] Maximal fluorescence emission wavelength in $n$-hexane, absorbance $A = 0.113$, 25 °C. [d] Singlet oxygen quantum yield in $n$-hexane, Ru(bpy)$_3$[PF$_6$]$_2$ was used as standard ($\Phi_{\text{P}} = 57\%$ in ACN).[31] [e] Absolute photoluminescence quantum yield in $n$-hexane, determination error: $\pm 0.1\%$, $A = 0.10$, 25 °C. [f] Luminescence lifetime in $n$-hexane, $c = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 340$ nm. [g] Maximal phosphorescence wavelength in $n$-hexane/iodoethane (4:1, v/v), $c = 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M, 77 K. [h] Phosphorescence lifetime in $n$-hexane/iodoethane (4:1, v/v), $c = 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M, 77 K. [i] Triplet state and $^3$CT state lifetimes, determined with nanosecond transient absorption spectroscopy. In $n$-hexane. [j] Growth kinetics of the transient at 425 nm in the nanosecond transient absorption spectra. [k] Decay kinetics of the transient at 425 nm in the nanosecond transient absorption spectra. [l] Not observed.
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Phosphorescence spectra of the dyads at 77 K were measured (Figure 2d, iodoethane was added to induce external heavy atom effect, which will be helpful on enhance the phosphorescence). The phosphorescence lifetimes are presented in Table 1. The energy levels of the \(^3\)NI* state of RB-Nl and RB-Ni-N can be approximated as 2.21 eV and 2.10 eV, respectively. The energy of the CT state of the dyads can be evaluated based on electrochemical data (Table S5).

**Femtosecond, Subnanosecond, and Nanosecond Transient Absorption Spectra: Charge Separation, Charge Recombination and Intersystem Crossing**

To verify the photophysical processes involved in the dyads upon pulsed laser excitation, we measured transient absorption (TA) spectra of RB-NI, RB-NI-N and reference compounds.

Femtosecond TA (fs TA) spectra of Ni-Br in toluene shows that ISC occurs within 30 ps (Figures S19), similarly to what reported for non-brominated NI, with ISC time constants of 10–20 ps.\(^{[35b]}\) To obtain the absorption of the 3NI* state and the NI radical anion (NI\(^-\)), the nanosecond TA (ns TA) spectra of Ni-Br in the absence and presence of sacrificial electron donor triethylamine (TEA) were recorded (Figure S24). In the absence of TEA, two excited state absorption (ESA) bands at 380 nm and in the range of 400–600 nm are observed for Ni-Br, assigned to 3NI state. The triplet state lifetime in deaerated solution is 30.0 μs (Figure S24b). In the presence of TEA, the ESA bands of the 3NI state at 485 nm and 380 nm decay faster (τ = 6.3 μs, Figure S24d). A new absorption band at 422 nm grows concomitantly (Figure S24c). These spectral changes are attributed to the intermolecular electron transfer, with TEA as sacrificial electron D and NI-Br as electron A, which generates NI radical anion (NI\(^-\)). The apparently longer CS and longer lifetime of NI\(^-\) absorption for Ni-Br/TEA mixture are reasonable, considering that an intermolecular diffusion-controlled electron transfer occurred.

For RB-NI, the evolution of fs TA spectra is presented in Figure 3a. With global analysis,\(^{[36]}\) the evolution-associated difference spectra (EADS) were obtained (Figure 3b); there are only two species, NI\(^*\) and CT states; the comparison of the fit obtained using two-component and three-component models for the TA data of the RB-NI in toluene are shown in Figure S20, demonstrating that a third component significantly improves the fit). Upon pulsed laser excitation at 400 nm, a broad excited state absorption (ESA) band in the range of 600–750 nm is visible, similar to that of the phosphorescence. The phosphorescence lifetimes are accompanied with the formation of \(^3\)NI* state, indicated by the appearance of two ESA bands at 447 nm and 570 nm (red line with circle symbols in Figure 3f). The blue line with triangle symbols in Figures S21 and S25, similar to that in 540 nm and a broad weak ESA band at around 600 nm that can be assigned to stimulated CT emission. The decay kinetic at 434 nm (Figure 3e) shows that the 1CT state is formed rapidly upon photoexcitation, and the formation of \(^3\)NI\(^*\) state occurs via SOCT-ISC with timescale approximately 8 ns (CT → \(^3\)NI*).

The ns TA spectra of RB-NI recorded upon pulsed laser excitation at 350 nm were measured. We observed the growth of an ESA band at 425 nm, assigned to the NI\(^-\) absorption (Figure 3g)\(^{[6,8c,22]}\) concurrently with the decrease of a broad weak ESA band in the range of 480–650 nm which is attributed to \(^3\)NI\(^-\) state of RB-NI (Figure 3g). Thus the formation of the final transient species of RB-NI is driven by the NI precursor (\(^3\)NI* → CT transition) and it is long-lived (0.94 μs in deaerated n-hexane, Figure 3i). Its lifetime was ca. 0.16 μs in aerated n-hexane (Figure S22c) and 0.62 μs in deaerated toluene (Figure S23c). In other polar solvents, the lifetime is further shortened (Table S6). No signal was observed in CH\(_3\)CN, in agreement with fs TA spectra (Figures S21). Similarly, in a previously reported cyclopeptide derived electron D/A dyad, the CT state lifetime shows strong solvent polarity dependency.\(^{[22]}\) The decreased CT state lifetime was attributed to the energy gap law,\(^{[6]}\) because the CT state is better stabilized in more polar media. Conversely, the LE state is usually not sensitive to solvent polarity, and the effect of the electron spin inhibition on the decay kinetics of the T\(_1\) state is more significant than the energy gap law. Thus, the final transient species observed for RB-NI is a CT state, not a LE state.

To exclude the possibility of intermolecular electron transfer, which is a diffusion-controlled process possibly resulting in slow CS/CR kinetics, we used a highly viscous solvent, polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, viscosity \(η = 0.48 ± 0.029\) Pas, much higher than \(n\)-hexane, \(η = 3.3 × 10^{-4}\) Pas) to study the slow CS process. In this case, a similar kinetic is observed for the growth of NI\(^-\) absorption at 425 nm (101 ns). The decay of the band peaked at 425 nm was ca. 0.94 μs (Figure S25c), similar to that in deaerated \(n\)-hexane (Figure 3i). Thus, the slow CS and CR kinetics in deaerated \(n\)-
hexane are not due to intermolecular electron transfer. Weak electronic coupling between the electron D and A, and a small driving force may result in slow CS and CR.\textsuperscript{[5a,6,37]} The slow CS of \textit{RB-NI} (125 ns in deaerated \textit{n}-hexane, Figure 3i) suggests a weak electronic coupling between the electron D and A. The small CS driving force (\textit{NI}^\ast/CT states energy gap) may also contribute to the slow CS.\textsuperscript{[6]} Recently, Zn porphyrin unit was connected with rectilinear rigid oligo-\textit{p}-xylene bridge with fullerene (C\textsubscript{60}) unit, it was found the efficiency and the kinetics of CS/CR are highly dependent on the electronic coupling magnitude between the donor and acceptor (CT state lifetime: 0.56\,\mu s).\textsuperscript{[37b]}

In the fs TA spectra of \textit{RB-NI-N}, we observe a broad ESA band with two peaks at about 450–530 nm and 530–750 nm (black line in Figure 4b), and a ground state bleaching (GSB) band centered at 430 nm. The ESA band intensified substantially within 20 ps, undergoing a change in shape, thus showing a single broad peak at around 550 nm (Figure 4b). The trace at 560 nm decays faster than that at 501 nm (Figure 4e), indicating the conversion from the initially excited singlet (\textit{NI}^\ast) state towards a CT state. The \textit{NI}^\ast band is invisible in this case and it may be obscured by the GSB band. Within 1 ns, the ESA band undergoes further evolution, decreasing in intensity and changing again to a double-peak structure (Figure 4b). On the same timescale the negative peak also increases, possibly due to the recovery of \textit{NI}^\ast signal at a similar spectral position but with opposite sign. The spectrum of the long-lived component (Figure 4b, blue line with triangular marks) is assigned to the triplet state of the NI moiety. From global analysis we can thus estimate that the
initial charge separation occurs in RB-NI-N within about 20 ps and the timescale of the charge recombination induced ISC is about 1 ns.\(^{[38]}\)

The triplet state formation of RB-NI-N were also studied with ns TA spectra (Figure 5). A broad ESA band in the range of 450–800 nm (Figure 5a) is observed, similar to fs TA data of RB-NI-N (Figure 4). The transient species of RB-NI-N shows a lifetime of 50.5 ms in deaerated n-hexane. The lifetime is reduced to 0.68 ms in aerated n-hexane (Figure S26).

Importantly, the transient lifetime of RB-NI-N shows no strong solvent polarity dependency. These observations confirm the transient signal of RB-NI-N is a 3LE state, which is different from RB-NI.

**Pulsed Laser Excited Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectra (TREPR): Electron Spin Polarization Dynamics**

TREPR is a powerful tool for studying the spin multiplicity of the CT states, as well as 3LE states.\(^{[26c,38,39]}\) Misinterpretation of data from transient optical spectroscopy on these states may thus be avoided. The 3CT and the 3LE can be easily distinguished by the zero-field splitting (ZFS) parameters, \(D\) and \(E\), as well as the specific electron spin polarization (ESP) pattern.\(^{[26,40]}\)

To study the CT state and to confirm the ISC mechanism, the TREPR spectra of triplet states of NI-Br, RB-NI and RB-NI-N recorded in frozen solution at 80 K are presented in Figure 6a. Spectral simulations of the TREPR data revealed the ZFS parameters, \(|D|\) and \(|E|\), as well as the zero-field populations, \(p_s\), \(p_p\), and \(p_l\). These can be assigned to \(p_s\), \(p_p\), and \(p_l\), under the assumption of a disk-like spin-density distribution, that is typically applied for planar aromatic systems.\(^{[41]}\)

In Figure 6a, the signs of the ZFS parameters are as follows: \(D > 0\) and \(E > 0\) (all simulation parameters are compiled in Table S7). The ZFS parameters \(D\) and \(E\) of RB-NI triplet are very similar to those of 3NI state in NI-Br, indicating the triplet state is localized on NI moiety of RB-NI. The ESP patterns of RB-NI and RB-NI-N are EEEAAA (where A denotes enhanced absorption and E denotes emission), the same as that of NI-Br (SO-ISC). The simulations show that the triplet states in NI-Br and RB-NI have the \(p_s\) and \(p_p\) sublevels overpopulated; for RB-NI-N, the populations \(p_s\) and \(p_p\) are similar, but the \(p_l\) sublevel is slightly overpopulated (Table S7).

The centroids of xanthene and NI planes of RB-NI and RB-NI-N assume distances of 5.104 Å and 5.566 Å (XRD data), respectively. Thus, spin-spin exchange interactions between the radical anion and the cation of RB-NI and RB-
The lack of any 3CT state signal of RB-NI-N will be strong. Only the signal of 3NI state was detected in RB-NI and RB-NI-N samples recorded at 80 K. The lack of any 3CT state signal of RB-NI under the conditions used for the TREPR experiments may be due to the increased energy level of CT state in frozen solution at 80 K, and it is consistent with the ns TA spectra results measured at same condition (Figure S28). In the frozen solution at low temperature, the solvent molecules cannot reorient to stabilize a changed electron-density distribution of the molecule (lack of effective solvation). As a result, the energy level of the CT state is expected to increase as compared to that at room temperature (note: in toluene solution at room temperature, the CT state lies only slightly lower than the 3LE state, by ca. 0.1 eV. Scheme 1). Thus, the energy of the 3CT state may be higher than that of the 3LE state in frozen solution, and consequently, the 3CT state was not detected by TREPR (Figure 6a). We exclude the RP ISC mechanism from our TREPR spectral data, because the specific ESP pattern of a triplet state formed via the RP ISC is AEEAAE or EAAEEA, was not observed.

The liquid crystal E7 was used as a solvent for RB-NI to measure TREPR data at more elevated temperature (Figure 6b, a nematic phase is formed above 210 K). The TREPR signals from RB-NI in liquid-crystal nematic phase are very noisy, even if measured at low temperature. Therefore, the ZFS parameters can be given only with relatively large uncertainties. At 80 K and 180 K, rather large D values around 100 mT are obtained that resemble the ones observed for NI-Br. Hence, under these conditions, the triplet state is rather confined and likely localized on the NI moiety of RB-NI. As in toluene/MeTHF mixture, the population of each state is very high. Above the glass transition temperature in the nematic phase, at 230 and 280 K, a triplet species with a much smaller D value approximately 65 mT was observed, comparable to that of RB-NI-N. This is indicative for stronger spatial delocalization of the triplet wavefunction. Tentatively we assign this triplet species to the rhodamine (RB) moiety of RB-NI-N. As the latter molecule, the population $p_I$ and $p_\pi$, are comparable in size: $p_I \approx p_\pi$. Within the glassy phase of E7, at 80 and 180 K, an enhanced absorption feature shows up at around 300 mT, that is outside the simulation of a spin-polarized triplet species (Figure 6b, lower two traces). This resonance could in principle arise from a CT state, however, due to the poor signal-to-noise ratio, we refrain from a definite assignment.

The energy diagrams of RB-NI and RB-NI-N are presented in Scheme 1 and Scheme S2. For RB-NI, the CS (2.5 ps) process with 3NI* as precursor is exoergic ($\Delta G_{\text{ex}}^\circ = -0.85$ eV, Table S5), which leads to the formation of 3CT state. The subsequent SOCT-ISC (8 ns) produces the 3NI* state. Then a secondary, slow CS (125 ns in deaerated n-hexane) occurs with 3NI* state as precursor. The final low-lying state is a 3CT state (0.94 eV, in deaerated n-hexane). The energy level of 3CT of RB-NI in n-hexane is approximately 2.12 eV, which is much higher than that occurring in the natural photosynthetic centers (ca. 0.5 eV). The CT state energy of RB-NI is high enough to drive catalytic processes. Note although the 3CT and 3LE states of RB-NI share similar energy levels, we did not find any 3CT–→3LE process in the global analysis of the transient absorption data. This result may be due to the slow kinetics as a result of the endoergic feature. For RB-NI-N (Scheme S2), CS (20 ps) occurs upon photoexcitation, then the triplet state of NI moiety is produced by SOCT-ISC (1 ns), however no further CS in the triplet state was observed, so that the low-lying state is the 3NI* (114.2 μs in deaerated toluene), not a CT state.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we proposed a novel spiro electron donor/acceptor compact dyad structural profile to access the long-lived triplet charge-transfer state (3CT), using a rhodamine unit as electron donor (lactam form; RB) and a naphthalimide (NI) unit as electron acceptor. The long-lived CT state is based on electron spin control, that is, to initiate the final charge separation (CS) with a triplet precursor, which is achieved with the spin orbit charge transfer intersystem crossing (SOCT-ISC), without invoking of any heavy-atom effect or a chromophore with intrinsic ISC ability. The novel spiro linker is rigid and short, thus a well-defined close-to-orthogonal geometry is attained in the dyad (confirmed with single-crystal X-ray diffraction molecular structure determination), beneficial for the SOCT-ISC process. We observed fast 3NI*–→3CT CS process (2.5 ps), and the subsequent charge recombination (CR; that is, SOCT-ISC) to give the 3NI state, that is, 3CT–→3NI* (8 ns). Owing to the small driving force for the secondary CS with 3NI* state as the precursor, as well as the weak electronic coupling between the donor and acceptor, we observed a slow secondary CS (125 ns) with 3NI as the precursor, that is, 3NI*→3CT. Control experiments confirmed this is a slow intramolecular CS process, not an intermolecular process. The final CT state is long-lived (0.94 μs) and exhibits a high energy level (ca. 2.12 eV) in fluid solution at room temperature. These cascade photophysical processes are summarized as 3NI*–→3CT–→3NI*–→3CT. Note the electron spin control for accessing long-lived
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photocatalysis and as well as for fundamental photochemistry. Method to design simple, compact electron donor/acceptor dyad via an electron spin-control strategy is addressed. Our results present a new method based on transition metal complexes or chromophores donor/acceptor dyad via an electron spin-control strategy mechanism. Radical pair ISC (RP ISC) is excluded based on the limitations of the conventional methods for accessing long-lived CT state are addressed. Our results present a new method to design simple, compact electron donor/acceptor dyads to access long-lived CT states with a high energy level, which is important for artificial photosynthesis, photocatalysis and as well as for fundamental photochemistry studies.
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