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Abstract

Background: CREB-dependent transcription necessary for long-term memory is driven by interactions with CREB-binding protein (CBP), a multi-domain protein that binds numerous transcription factors potentially affecting expression of thousands of genes. Identifying specific domain functions for multi-domain proteins is essential to understand processes such as cognitive function and circadian clocks. We investigated the function of the CBP KIX domain in hippocampal memory and gene expression using CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice with mutations that prevent phospho-CREB (Ser133) binding.

Results: We found that CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice were impaired in long-term memory, but not learning acquisition or short-term memory for the Morris water maze. Using an unbiased analysis of gene expression in the dorsal hippocampus after training in the Morris water maze or contextual fear conditioning, we discovered dysregulation of CREB, CLOCK, and BMAL1 target genes and downregulation of circadian genes in CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice. Given our finding that the CBP KIX domain was important for transcription of circadian genes, we profiled circadian activity and phase resetting in CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice. CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice exhibited delayed activity peaks after light offset and longer free-running periods in constant dark. Interestingly, CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice displayed phase delays and advances in response to photic stimulation comparable to wildtype littermates. Thus, this work delineates site-specific regulation of the circadian clock by a multi-domain protein.

Conclusions: These studies provide insight into the significance of the CBP KIX domain by defining targets of CBP transcriptional co-activation in memory and the role of the CBP KIX domain in vivo on circadian rhythms.
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Background
Phosphorylation and activation of the cyclic-AMP response element-binding protein (CREB) is fundamental for the induction of gene transcription during long-term memory consolidation [1–3]. Decreased CREB levels impair spatial memory whereas overexpression of CREB in the dorsal hippocampus enhances memory [1, 4, 5]. However, CREB-induced transcription during memory formation appears tightly regulated as mice with constitutively active hippocampal CREB exhibit impairments in the retrieval of spatial memory [6]. Dysregulation of cAMP-PKA signaling and alterations in CREB activity have been associated with age-related cognitive impairments and neurodegenerative diseases [7]. In recent US National Health Surveys, more than 46% of respondents over age 65 reported memory impairments [8]. Currently, many countries around the world, including the USA, are experiencing demographic shifts towards older populations. By 2050, adults 65 and older are predicted to comprise 16% of the world’s population, more than 1.5 billion individuals [9]. For neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease, individuals frequently experience mild cognitive impairments and memory issues years prior to disease diagnosis. Given that increased longevity increases the individual risk and societal economic burden of age-related diseases [10], there is a crucial need to understand the mechanisms and processes involved in long-term memory consolidation.

During memory consolidation, new gene expression is temporally regulated resulting in specific patterns and waves of transcription [11]. Regulation of CREB activity occurs, at least in part, in response to cAMP signaling and PKA phosphorylation of Ser-133. Following this phosphorylation, the kinase inducible domain (KID) of CREB binds to the KIX domain of the cyclic-AMP response element-binding protein (CREB) binding protein (CBP) through an induced fit mechanism [12, 13]. The interaction of phosphorylated CREB with the CBP KIX domain appears to be a specific response to cAMP-PKA signaling, and it can be modulated by external stimuli resulting in transcriptional specificity in the expression of target genes [12]. As CREB phosphorylation may also occur in response to kinases independent of cAMP-PKA signaling [14], one method through which downstream target genes in memory may be identified is through the manipulation of CREB-CBP interactions at the KIX domain. CBP is a large 265 kDa protein containing multiple interaction domains, including the nuclear hormone receptor binding domain, transitional adapter zinc finger domains, KIX domain, bromodomain, histone acetyltransferase domain, and glutamine-rich (Q) domain. CBP interacts with numerous transcription factors to potentially regulate 16,000 genes [15–18]. In hippocampus-dependent memory, Chbp mutants exhibit deficiencies in contextual fear conditioning and object recognition memory [19–21]. Moreover, CBP functions as a histone acetyltransferase impacting gene expression during memory consolidation [22–27], and more specifically in memory encoding in the medial prefrontal cortex [26, 28]. In an amyloid beta model of Alzheimer’s disease, restoration of CREB activity in the CA1 of the dorsal hippocampus ameliorates spatial memory deficits [29]. Similarly, pharmacological activation of CBP/p300 HAT function improves spatial learning in wildtype (WT) mice and restores spatial long-term memory retention and hippocampal plasticity in a tauopathy mouse model [30, 31]. Decreased levels or dysregulation of CBP have been associated with neurodegenerative diseases including Huntington’s Disease [32, 33] and Alzheimer’s disease [34–36]. Previous research on Alzheimer’s disease using rodent models found that decreases in hippocampal CBP activity levels are accompanied by decreased CREB activation, i.e., phospho-CREB levels at Ser-133, although overall CREB levels were not changed [34], emphasizing the need to understand the function of CBP in transcription and memory.

As a far-reaching co-activator of transcription, CBP also regulates the endogenous circadian clock [37]. The circadian clock coordinates tissue-specific transcriptional regulation of clock-controlled genes through the core circadian transcription factors BMAL1 and CLOCK. CBP is recruited by the CLOCK/BMAL1 complex and putatively interacts with BMAL1 [38, 39]. CBP overexpression has been shown to increase CLOCK/BMAL1-mediated transcription of the circadian gene period1 (per1) [40]. Despite previous identification of CBP interactions with circadian transcription factors, the role of CBP in circadian behavior has not been characterized in vivo.

In this study, we used mice expressing CBP with three point mutations in the KIX domain of CBP (CBPKIX/KIX mice), thereby preventing either phospho-CREB or c-Myb binding to this region [41], to identify the downstream transcriptional pathways and targets in memory regulated by CBP KIX domain interactions, and to characterize the role of CBP KIX domain interactions on circadian activity. CBPKIX/KIX mice were previously shown to present deficits in long-term memory, related to contextual fear conditioning and novel object recognition [19, 42]; however, neither the transcriptional profile of these mice after learning nor their circadian behavior has been studied. We found that CBPKIX/KIX mice exhibit specific deficits in long-term spatial memory in the Morris water maze (MWM), although no impairments were observed in these mice for task acquisition or short-term spatial memory. Given the similar memory impairments found for MWM and contextual fear conditioning, we performed deep RNA sequencing from hippocampal tissue following learning for contextual fear
conditioning and MWM in CBPKIX/KIX mice and WT littermates to identify downstream gene targets of transcriptional co-activation through the KIX domain. Pathway analysis suggested that CREB was the prominent upstream regulator of the differentially expressed genes between CBPKIX/KIX mice and WT mice. Circadian clock-related genes were among the most deregulated genes after learning in the hippocampus of CBPKIX/KIX mice. We characterized circadian rhythms in CBPKIX/KIX mice and found that they have a lengthened free-running circadian period compared to WT littermates. Surprisingly, the ability to phase shift activity in response to light pulses was retained in CBPKIX/KIX mice. These studies provide significant insight into the role of phospho-CREB-CBP interactions in the regulation of learning-induced transcription, memory consolidation, and circadian rhythms.

**Results**

**CBPKIX/KIX mice are deficient in long-term but not short-term spatial memory in the Morris water maze**

Previously, the KIX domain of CBP, important for protein-protein interactions [15, 43], was found to be essential for long-term memory after contextual fear conditioning [19] and training in the novel object recognition task [42]. However, studies have identified additional CBP functions that contribute to long-term memory including histone acetylation [30, 44]. To further characterize the specific role of the KIX domain in hippocampus-dependent long-term memory, we analyzed the consequences of a CBP KIX domain mutation on short- and long-term spatial memory using the MWM, a hippocampus-dependent task. Unlike other learning paradigms, MWM requires multiple days of training and is considered the gold standard of spatial memory tasks [45]. CBPKIX/KIX mice and their WT littermates were trained over 5 consecutive days to locate a hidden platform positioned at a fixed location. Independent groups of mice were tested at separate time points to assess retention, either 1 h after the last training for short-term memory or 24 h after training for long-term memory (Fig. 1a). Both WT and CBPKIX/KIX mice showed a day-to-day decrease (D1 to D5) in escape latencies measured by time to the platform (Fig. 1b, Additional file 1: Fig. S1a) indicating significant learning of the task. CBPKIX/KIX mice demonstrated an overall improvement throughout training similar to their WT littermates. CBPKIX/KIX mice took more time to locate the platform on day 1 of training during learning acquisition, although no differences were apparent between wildtype mice and CBPKIX/KIX mice on days 2, 3, and 4 of training. The impairment on day 1 is likely to be due to non-hippocampal deficits, affecting the use-of-platform learning. Therefore, we have also analyzed the distance the mice traveled to find the hidden platform across each of the training days, finding no significant difference between genotypes; thus, confirming that CBPKIX/KIX mice do not display learning deficits during acquisition of the MWM task (Fig. 1c). Notably, both CBPKIX/KIX and WT mice showed significant improvement in learning when performance is compared between day 1 and day 5 of training. Assessment of the average swim speed during the training days revealed a small, albeit significant, difference in the swim speed in CBPKIX/KIX mice, raising the possibility that swim speed accounts for the difference in escape latencies. However, we find this possibility to be unlikely given the small difference in swim speed and the fact that we observed no significant difference between the WT and CBPKIX/KIX mice during the 1-h short-term memory recall test suggesting swim speed was not a factor in the probe test. (Additional file 1: Fig. S1b). These results suggest that CBPKIX/KIX mice can improve their performance in MWM even without transcription factor interaction through the KIX domain of CBP. We assessed learning retention during the probe test by comparing the time spent in the target quadrant with time spent in the other three quadrants. During the short-term memory test (1 h) (WT, n = 10; CBPKIX/KIX, n = 6, Fig. 1d), WT control mice showed significant memory of the platform location with more time spent in the target quadrant compared to search time in other quadrants. Similar to WT, CBPKIX/KIX mice explored significantly more in the target quadrant compared to the other three quadrants during the short-term probe test with the search time above the chance time of 15 s in the target quadrant (WT, 34.9 s, and CBPKIX/KIX, 29.0 s, in target quadrant) (Fig. 1d). Additionally, CBPKIX/KIX and WT mice showed similar latencies of first visit to target quadrant during the short-term memory probe test (Fig. 1e). However, during the long-term memory probe test (WT, n = 10; CBPKIX/KIX, n = 6, probe test 24 h, Fig. 1f), WT mice exhibited significant long-term memory with increased search time in the target quadrant over the other three quadrants (WT, 25.2 s vs chance 15 s), while CBPKIX/KIX mice searched randomly in the four quadrants reflecting the lack of long-term memory (CBPKIX/KIX, 14.9 s vs chance 15 s). Similarly, CBPKIX/KIX mice showed increased latencies in the first visit to the target quadrant during the long-term memory probe test (Fig. 1g). CBPKIX/KIX mice did not demonstrate any sex-specific memory impairment during the short-term or long-term memory probe tests (Additional file 1: Fig. S1c-d). These results indicate that CBPKIX/KIX mice have deficits in long-term spatial memory consolidation, consistent with previous research on contextual memory and object location tasks [19], and suggest a crucial role for the interaction of the KIX domain with transcription factors during this process.

Hippocampus-dependent learning induces CREB phosphorylation at Ser133, a precursor event for CBP binding. Given that the CBPKIX/KIX mutation prevents
Fig. 1 (See legend on next page.)
phospho-CREB (Ser133) binding to CBP, we hypothesized that phospho-CREB (Ser133) levels would be decreased in CBPKIX/KIX mice as the CREB protein would be more vulnerable to phosphatase activity at the Ser133 site. To test this hypothesis, we performed western blots of protein extracted from WT and CBPKIX/KIX mice 1 h after the third day of MWM training. As expected, we found that MWM increased CREB phosphorylation at Ser133 in WT mice. Phospho-CREB levels were reduced in CBPKIX/KIX mice after training compared to WT mice, although no differences in the baseline levels of CREB phosphorylation at Ser133 were observed in home cage controls (Additional file 1: Fig. S2).

**CBP KIX domain mutation alters circadian gene expression in the dorsal hippocampus following spatial learning**

As CBP can bind either CREB or c-MYB through the KIX domain [13, 46, 47], we wanted to identify the set of genes for which KIX domain transcription factor binding is necessary to enable hippocampus-dependent memory consolidation. Consequently, we performed an unbiased analysis of gene expression using deep RNA sequencing of dorsal hippocampal tissues from CBPKIX/KIX mice and WT littersmates after training in the MWM (RNA-Seq 1) or contextual fear conditioning (RNA-Seq 2) (Fig. 2a). Spatial memory has been shown to be dependent upon the dorsal, but not ventral, hippocampus [48–51]. As the objective was to identify gene expression common to multiple types of hippocampus-dependent memory consolidation, we analyzed the data together from RNA-Seq 1 and RNA-Seq 2. We tested for differences in expression between WT and CBPKIX/KIX mice, using experimental batch (i.e., RNA-Seq 1/RNA-Seq 2) as a covariate to delineate genes dysregulated by the KIX domain across multiple paradigms of hippocampus-dependent learning. We identified 158 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) at a false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.05 (Fig. 2b).

Among the DEGs, 114 genes were downregulated while 44 were upregulated in CBPKIX/KIX mice relative to WT (Additional file 2: Table S1). As CBP positively regulates transcription [52], we hypothesized that the downregulated genes in CBPKIX/KIX mice may have a more direct impact on memory formation. Utilizing enrichment network analysis after annotating the downregulated DEGs through the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway database, we found the downregulated DEGs to be most significantly enriched for genes in the mammalian circadian rhythm pathway (Fig. 2c), thus specifically linking the KIX domain of CBP to the regulation of circadian gene transcription and expanding upon previous research establishing interactions between CBP and the circadian transcriptional heterodimer CLOCK-BMAL1 [38, 40, 53, 54]. We found that the most significantly upregulated pathway in CBPKIX/KIX mice was the cell adhesion molecule pathway.

To identify the transcriptional drivers of DEGs in CBPKIX/KIX mice in an unbiased fashion, we used QIAGEN’s Ingenuity® Pathway Analysis (IPA). From our observed DEGs, upstream regulator analysis in IPA identified CREB1 as the top predicted upstream regulator of DEGs between CBPKIX/KIX and WT after learning (activation z-score = −3.349) (Fig. 2d, Additional file 2: Table S2). Sixteen downregulated and six upregulated genes among the DEGs were found to be regulated by CREB (Fig. 2e). The second most significant upstream regulator of DEGs was CLOCK (activation z-score = −2.927). BMAL1 (also known as ARNTL), a binding partner of CLOCK, also ranked highly as a significant upstream regulator of DEGs (activation z-score = −2.192). Among
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**Legend:**
- Red: Upregulated genes in CBP<sup>ΔN/ΔN</sup> after learning
- Blue: Downregulated genes in CBP<sup>ΔN/ΔN</sup> after learning
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CBPKIX/KIX mice, relative to WT mice, we next analyzed following our finding that circadian and activity-

Spatial learning induces CBP downstream genes in WT mice

Following our finding that circadian rhythm including

the CLOCK-regulated genes, we identified genes related to circadian rhythm including Nr1d1, Nr1d2, Per1, Per2, Per3, and Dbp (Fig. 2f).

Comparisons of differential gene expression in hippocampus-dependent learning paradigms reflect genes dysregulated after learning in CBPKIX/KIX mice

To integrate the results of the preceding RNA-Seq experiments and to determine whether the learning-responsive genes (MWM and contextual fear conditioning) in WT mice were dysregulated after learning in CBP KIX/KIX mice, we tested whether the genes differentially expressed in CBP KIX/KIX mice following learning were significantly enriched for genes differentially expressed following learning in WT animals compared to the homecage condition. We found a significant enrichment ($P = 5.91e-10$, odds ratio = 13.51), suggesting that inhibition of protein interactions through the CBP KIX binding following learning prevents hippocampal learning-regulated transcription. Heat map representations were made based on the twelve most significant DEGs common across data sets (Fig. 4a). Consistent with our previous analysis, circadian genes were among the most significant genes differentially expressed across data sets with Per1 and Per2 in the top upregulated genes in WT mice with learning and downregulated in CBP KIX/KIX mice (Fig. 4a-b). Not surprisingly, activity-dependent genes including Junb, Fosb, and Nr4a1 were significantly upregulated after learning in WT mice and significantly downregulated in CBP KIX/KIX mice after
**Fig. 3** (See legend on next page.)

**a** WT mice → Homecage (Handled only) → Dorsal hippocampus → RNA seq

**b** WT (Learning) Vs WT (Homecage): Differential gene expression
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Fig. 3 Differential gene expression analysis in the dorsal hippocampus of wildtype mice following learning in fear conditioning and water maze compared to homecage controls. a Experimental scheme: RNA-Seq analysis performed after learning in Fig. 2 was compared to RNA extracted from WT homecage animals. To identify the genes altered by learning, we compared WT contextual fear conditioning (n = 4) with WT homecage (n = 4) and WT MWM (n = 3) with WT homecage (n = 3). b Volcano plot illustrating differentially expressed genes between WT learning and WT homecage mice. c KEGG pathway enrichment network analysis showing significant KEGG pathways enriched in the upregulated genes. Top significant pathways are shown in the bar graph. MAPK signaling pathway (p = 0.0019). d Heat map of IPA upstream regulator analysis on differentially expressed genes in CBP<sup>Kix/Kix</sup> mice following training. Most significant regulators are on the top of the heat map. CREM is the top-predicted upstream regulator of differentially expressed genes (FDR < 0.05) in the WT learning group compared to homecage controls (predicted activation, z-score = 2.854, p = 2.54 × 10<sup>-21</sup>). CREB1 also appears as an upstream regulator (predicted activation, z-score = 3.715, p = 3.97 × 10<sup>-13</sup>). e, f Known interactions of differentially expressed genes (FDR < 0.05) in learning group vs homecage predicting CREB (e) and CREM (f) as upstream regulators.

Fig. 4 CBP<sup>Kix/Kix</sup> mice show transcriptional dysregulation of learning-responsive genes. a Heat map depicting color-coded expression of the top 12 upregulated or downregulated genes responsive to learning (left) which fail to be appropriately regulated following learning in CBP<sup>Kix/Kix</sup> mice (right). Left: Genes depicted show differential expression between homecage wildtype mice with wildtype mice after learning in the Morris Water Maze (MWM) and contextual fear conditioning (CFC) training. Right: The same genes exhibit differential expression between trained wildtype mice and trained CBP<sup>Kix/Kix</sup> mice. Expression values depicted represent the scaled log of RUV-normalized counts per million. As RUV normalization differed between differential expression analyses, scaling was applied independently for each analysis. b CBP<sup>Kix/Kix</sup> mice showed significantly decreased expression of circadian and memory related genes after learning (MWM and FC). Unpaired t test, Per1: p = 0.0068; Per2: p = 0.0019; Nr4A1: p = 0.0039; FosB: p = 0.0034; and JunB: p = 0.0190.
learning (Fig. 4a, b). Sv2b, a synaptic vesicle protein important in vesicle secretion and neurotransmission, was also significantly upregulated in WT mice after learning compared to homecage animals and downregulated in CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice after learning compared to WT mice. Analysis across data sets identified Pcp4 (Purkinje cell protein 4), a calmodulin-binding protein that acts as a modulator of calcium signaling, as significantly downregulated in WT mice after learning and upregulated in CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice after learning suggesting dysregulated intracellular signaling in CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice after learning.

We further assessed the overlap between genes regulated by learning in WT mice and genes regulated in CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice following learning using the rank-rank hypergeometric overlap approach to identify common genes (Additional file 1: Fig. S3a). Maximal enrichment of 53 common genes between lists was observed when considering the top 500 genes from each list, corresponding to FDR thresholds of 0.146 for genes regulated by learning, and 0.133 for genes regulated by KIX following learning, suggesting additional biological signal may lie outside the FDR ≤ 0.05 threshold in which 12 common genes were identified. We compared enrichment of gene ontology terms using standard FDR thresholds of 0.05, with the aforementioned thresholds supporting maximal RRHO enrichment. As thresholds were relaxed, several terms showed diminished significance such as entrainment of circadian clock and cellular response to hormone stimulus, suggesting those biological signals were primarily derived from significant genes at FDR ≤ 0.05. We also found that several additional terms were significant, including nuclear receptor activity and postsynaptic density membrane localization (Additional file 2: Fig. S3b).

The CBP KIX mutation has only a modest effect on baseline gene expression

In the CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mutant mice, it is possible that developmental consequences of the mutation affect gene expression in the hippocampus in such a manner that neural circuitry or basal synaptic transmission would be affected. Although this possibility appears less likely given previous research with these mice in contextual fear conditioning [19] and our behavioral data in the MWM task in which CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice demonstrated task acquisition and short-term memory retention similar to WT mice, we analyzed baseline gene expression in WT and CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice. We performed microarray gene expression analysis using Affymetrix MOE 430v2 arrays in WT and CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice, using RNA extracted from whole hippocampus. We found comparatively few changes in gene expression in CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mutant mice in baseline/homecage conditions with almost all of the observed downregulation of gene expression consistent with the function of CBP as a positive regulator of gene expression (Table 1). Among the 27 downregulated genes and one upregulated gene that were differentially regulated in the homecage condition between WT and CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice (95% confidence level), Fos was the only activity-dependent gene downregulated in CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice. Even when the confidence level was reduced to 80%, only 63 genes were found to be downregulated in the mutant mice and three upregulated (Additional file 2: Table S6). Overall, most of the observed gene changes were modest reductions in gene expression (average 23% reduction). The observation of differential expression in such a limited number of genes under homecage conditions is likely a result of the specificity of the KIX mutation as it affects only a single interaction interface of the protein [47]. Although unlikely, it is possible that the KIX mutation affected stability of the mRNA encoding CBP. Using three non-overlapping probes, our microarray data confirmed that overall RNA levels for CBP were not reduced in the mutant mice compared to WT mice. The KIX mutation was validated using a fourth CBP probe set that hybridizes with the region containing the mutated base pairs in the CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice. We found that the signal was substantially reduced as predicted (Additional file 1: Fig. S4).

Although we did not find many differentially expressed genes between WT and CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice in homecage conditions, we wanted to confirm these results particularly for circadian genes, since we found that circadian genes were downregulated after learning in CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> in comparison to WT animals. To complement the results from the microarray experiments, we performed quantitative real-time PCR for eight core circadian genes to determine if there were any differences in expression between WT and CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice in homecage conditions. We found that seven of the eight genes had similar expression levels between WT and CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice, while Dbp exhibited significant downregulation in CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice (Additional file 1: Fig. S5). Furthermore, we performed differential expression analysis of the RNA-Seq data for WT and CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> samples the from dorsal hippocampus of homecage mice. We found that for the twelve overlapping genes regulated by learning in WT, and the KIX genotype after learning, none was significantly different between the genotypes at baseline in homecage conditions (Additional file 2: Fig. S6). Thus, it appears that the differential gene expression we observed between WT and CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice after training in the Morris water maze or contextual fear condition was training dependent, rather than due to differences in baseline gene expression.
CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice display increased free-running period length and phase differences in peak activity compared to WT mice

Our studies indicate that the CBP KIX domain is important for regulation of gene transcription by the circadian transcription factors CLOCK and BMAL1 even though in vitro studies have suggested that CBP interactions with BMAL1 occurred in a region outside of the KIX domain in CBP [64]. We then investigated in vivo circadian rhythms in CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice. We measured homecage activity in CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> and WT mice using infrared beam breaks with 1 week of continuous monitoring in a 12-h light/12-h dark (12:12 LD) cycle. Layered infrared beams allow quantification of locomotor activity as well as rearing, as previously described [65] (Fig. 5a). CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice had significantly lower anticipatory activity in the last hour of the light (inactive) phase and lower activity in the first 2 h of the dark phase. We found that CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice reached peak activity levels 3 h later than their WT littermates. Despite the circadian alterations in activity profiles, there was no difference between CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> and WT mice in total activity across the 24-h day (Fig. 5b). We also found no differences in activity when the light and dark phases were analyzed separately (Fig. 5c). We observed significant delays in activity onset and peak activity in both male and female CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice (Additional file 2: Fig. S7). These results indicate that male and female

---

**Table 1** Highest confidence (95%) changes in homecage gene expression in CBP<sup>KIX/KIX</sup> mice

| Gene symbol | Confidence | Probe set | Molecular role | Wt(Log2) | % change |
|-------------|------------|-----------|----------------|----------|----------|
| **Downregulated genes** | | | | | |
| Slc25a34 | 0.995 | 1455506_at | Mitochondrial solute carrier protein | 7.99 | −43% |
| Papss2# | 0.995 | 1421987_at | Sulfate Adenylyltransferase, cysteine synthesis | 6.89 | −42% |
| Efcab6 | 0.993 | 1433766_a_at | Calcium-binding transcriptional co-repressor | 8.02 | −25% |
| Cbp | 0.993 | 1459804_at | Transcriptional co-activator CBP, KIX domain | 8.41 | −37% |
| Nts | 0.991 | 1422860_at | Neuropeptide | 10.73 | −31% |
| Lipm# | 0.991 | 1430550_at | Triglyceride hydrolysis | 5.38 | −40% |
| Cenpa | 0.991 | 1450842_a_at | Double-strand break repair, centromere organization | 6.75 | −29% |
| Pmch | 0.988 | 1429361_at | Neuropeptide | 7.33 | −24% |
| Fos | 0.988 | 1423100_at | DNA-binding transcription factor | 9.55 | −33% |
| Crhbp | 0.988 | 1436127_at | Regulator of hormone signaling | 11.03 | −20% |
| Ccl6# | 0.989 | 1420249_s_at | Cytokine, inflammatory response | 6.79 | −25% |
| Nts | 0.989 | 1423627_at | Positive regulator of translation; quinone detoxification | 9.27 | −20% |
| Tbx1 | 0.975 | 1425779_a_at | DNA-binding transcription factor | 5.65 | −25% |
| Spata13 | 0.975 | 1454656_at | Guanine nucleotide exchange factor | 9.44 | −19% |
| Rec8 | 0.975 | 1419147_at | Meiotic chromatin cohesion | 6.68 | −26% |
| Bglap | 0.972 | 1449080_s_at | Osteogenic marker | 7.13 | −38% |
| Cyp11a1# | 0.965 | 1448804_at | Steroid hormone metabolism, cholesterol metabolism | 7.43 | −28% |
| Pdel2 | 0.962 | 1423946_at | Negative regulator of NFkappa-B and STAT signaling | 9.46 | −21% |
| Zdhd2 | 0.955 | 1456783_at | Unknown | 10.51 | −16% |
| Pcsk1 | 0.955 | 1421388_at | Pro-neuropeptide cleavage | 7.91 | −24% |
| Gpmb | 0.955 | 1448303_at | Transmembrane glycoprotein | 7.84 | −18% |
| Dusp10 | 0.955 | 1417163_at | Phosphatase | 8.96 | −17% |
| Dbp# | 0.955 | 1418174_at | DNA-binding transcription factor | 10.63 | −24% |
| Plcd1 | 0.952 | 1448432_at | Ca2+-dependent phospholipase, phosphotidyl inositol-signaling | 8.69 | −18% |
| Mmel1 | 0.952 | 1449432_a_at | Membrane metalloprotease | 5.17 | −28% |
| Cort | 0.952 | 1449820_at | Neuropeptide | 9.01 | −30% |
| **Upregulated genes** | | | | | |
| Ifi27l2a | 0.995 | 1427747_a_at | Interferon, alpha-inducible protein 27 like 2A | 2.19 | 358% |

#A second probe set for this gene was also present in the 80% confidence probe set list
##Two additional probe sets for this gene were present in the 80% confidence probe set list
CBP^KIX/KIX^ mice have delayed activity patterns in 12-h:12-h LD while maintaining normal total locomotor activity levels. Given the temporally shifted activity patterns of CBP^KIX/KIX^ mice, we next investigated whether the CBP^KIX/KIX^ mutation affected free-running circadian rhythms under constant conditions. After entrainment to LD cycles, CBP^KIX/KIX^ and WT mice were placed in constant darkness for 4 weeks to measure circadian activity and period length. CBP^KIX/KIX^ mice had a significantly longer circadian period, ~ 22.9 hr, than WT littermates (WT 23.66 ± 0.01 hr, CBP^KIX/KIX^ 24.05 ± 0.03 hr) (Fig. 5d–f). There was no effect of sex on circadian period with both male and female CBP^KIX/KIX^ mice displaying significantly lengthened free-running periods. This shift in circadian period is roughly similar to the shifts observed in knockouts of core circadian clock genes, including CLOCK [66], Per1 [67], Per3 [68], Nrl1d1 [69], Dbp [70], Rora [71], Rorb [72], and Npas2 [73] [summarized in [74]]. Thus, these results provide in vivo evidence that the KIX domain of CBP is an integral modulator of circadian period length and activity profiles.

To further probe the role of the KIX domain of CBP in the circadian clock, we investigated phase-shifting in CBP^KIX/KIX^ mice in response to light pulses in constant darkness. After 4 weeks in continuous darkness, one cohort of CBP^KIX/KIX^ mice and WT controls was given a 15-min, 250 lx (80 lx at cage floor) phase delaying light pulse at CT14 for maximal phase delay [75]. Circadian period length was recalculated and the phase shift in hours was calculated for each animal. Mice were allowed to again free-run in constant darkness for 8 days before receiving another light pulse, this time phase-advancing, at CT22 (Fig. 6a). There were no differences in response to the delaying (CT14) or advancing (CT22) phase shifts between CBP^KIX/KIX^ mice and WT controls (Fig. 6b–d).
These data suggest that CBP-mediated transcription through the KIX domain is not necessary for circadian clock resetting, but rather is a modulator that plays a role in ensuring accurate timing of circadian transcriptional oscillations.

Discussion

CBP is a complex co-activator of transcription interacting with numerous transcription factors as well as functioning as a histone acetyltransferase important in long-term memory. To separate the multiple functions of CBP and provide clearer insight into the downstream targets of CREB-CBP transcriptional co-activation in memory, we used CBP KIX/KIX mice with three point mutations in the KIX domain to analyze spatial memory following hippocampus-dependent learning and to identify downstream target genes. We found that CBP KIX/KIX mice learned a complex spatial task, the MWM, with acquisition rates similar to WT mice. However, long-term spatial memory tested 24 h following the last day of MWM training was impaired in CBP KIX/KIX mice. These results are consistent with previously described Cbp mutant models with deficiencies in hippocampus-dependent memory including contextual fear conditioning and object recognition in Cbp mutants [19, 20]. It should be noted that deficits in associative short-term memory were found for contextual fear conditioning and novel object recognition in the forebrain Cbp knockout model that results in virtually complete depletion of CBP protein in excitatory neurons of the hippocampus and the cortex [76]. In contrast, in the CBP KIX/KIX mutant mice used in our studies, overall CBP protein levels and protein stability are not affected [19, 41]. In CBP KIX/KIX mice, CBP retains the potential to interact with non-KIX binding transcription factors to induce gene expression and CBP can also still function as an acetyltransferase. Although CBP KIX/KIX mice express the mutant form of CBP from developmental stages onward, we found only modest changes in baseline gene expression between CBP KIX/KIX mice and WT littermates under homecage conditions.

To provide insight into the downstream transcriptional targets common to multiple hippocampus-dependent learning paradigms initiated through co-activation of CBP via the KIX domain, we performed deep RNA sequencing from the dorsal hippocampus of CBP KIX/KIX mice and their WT littermates after training for either MWM or contextual fear conditioning. Consistent with the specificity of the mutations in the CBP KIX/KIX mice, we found that CREB was the top-predicted upstream
regulator of the differentially regulated genes in learning for CBP\(^{KIX/KIX}\) vs WT mice. To further support the hypothesis that compromised CREB-CBP interactions underlie the long-term deficits in hippocampal memory in CBP\(^{KIX/KIX}\) mice, we found that pCREB was decreased in CBP\(^{KIX/KIX}\) mice following 3 days of MWM training compared to WT mice. As Ser-133 phosphorylation is necessary for the binding of CREB to CBP and induces folding of the CREB kinase inducible domain (KID), the decreased binding of CREB to CBP may increase CREB vulnerability to phosphatase activity in the CBP\(^{KIX/KIX}\) mice as total CREB protein levels were not affected. Prior to binding CBP, the KID of CREB remains chiefly unstructured and is considered disordered with CBP binding increasing the helical structure of the KID region [77].

Our RNA-Seq results from the WT group comparison (learning versus homecage) support the present literature that learning induces CREB and CREM target genes that both interact with CBP [12, 78, 79]. Among the CREB downstream genes, we found several activity-dependent genes (Arc, Egr1, Fos, Nr4a1, JunB) that previously have been shown to be upregulated following hippocampus-dependent learning [31, 80, 81]. It is noteworthy that some of these activity-dependent genes were downregulated in CBP\(^{KIX/KIX}\) mice, including Nr4a1. The role of the Nr4A sub-family has been well characterized in memory. Reducing Nr4A function in the hippocampus impairs long-term memory [82–84], while the activation of Nr4A family transcription factors enhances memory in young [85] and aged mice [86, 87]. Pharmacological activation of CBP in a mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease rescues Nr4A gene expression and long-term memory [31].

Notably, we found that genes involved in circadian rhythms were significantly dysregulated in the dorsal hippocampus of CBP\(^{KIX/KIX}\) mice following learning including Per1, Per2, Per3, Dbp, Nr1d1, Nr1d2, and Ciart/Chrono/ Gm129. IPA analysis revealed the major upstream regulators of the downregulated circadian genes in CBP\(^{KIX/KIX}\) mice following learning were CREB, CLOCK, and BMAL1, emphasizing the interaction between CBP and the circadian oscillator. Although the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) houses the master circadian clock in mammals, circadian rhythms and circadian genes in the hippocampus have been associated with memory. Performances in hippocampus-dependent tasks including the radial arm maze, spontaneous alteration, novel object location, contextual fear conditioning, passive avoidance, and MWM are time of day dependent (reviewed in [88]). The formation and persistence of long-term hippocampal memory has been associated with circadian rhythms in cAMP and MAPK signaling through CREB-mediated transcription [89]. Hippocampal PER1 has been postulated to function as a gatekeeper conveying time of day information to signaling pathways involved in memory formation through the regulation of CREB phosphorylation [57, 59]. While these previous studies indicate that the circadian clock regulates CREB-mediated transcription in the hippocampus to affect memory formation, our data suggests reciprocal interactions with CBP transcriptional co-activation mediating Per gene expression after learning. Our research suggests that in the hippocampus, Per1 and Per2 transcription is dependent upon CBP transcriptional co-activation similar to the CBP-CREB-dependent regulation of Per genes seen in the SCN [90, 91].

Previously, in vitro research has indicated that CBP modulates circadian rhythms and interacts with BMAL1 in phase resetting of the circadian clock [40]. Moreover, siRNA knockdown of CBP significantly diminishes circadian oscillations in cultured cells [40]. However, no previous studies in mammalian models have examined the effects of CBP mutations on circadian rhythms in vivo. We found that under diurnal conditions, CBP\(^{KIX/KIX}\) mice exhibited delayed activity onset with peak activity occurring significantly later in the night compared to sex-matched controls. In constant darkness, both male and female CBP\(^{KIX/KIX}\) mice have significantly longer free-running periods. During preparation of this manuscript, high-resolution structural research identified direct interactions between the C-terminal transactivation domain of BMAL1 with the KIX domain of CBP [92]. Previous in vitro research found that the KIX domain of CBP and CRY 1 competed for binding at the TAD domain of BMAL1 highlighting the fine-tuned regulation of circadian period length [93]. Thus, it appears possible that CBP affects circadian clock function through independent interactions with circadian transcription factors, particularly BMAL1, in addition to transcriptional co-activation with phospho-CREB (Ser133). The role of CBP in the circadian clock appears highly conserved. In Drosophila, CBP has been shown important in circadian gene transcription regulating the CLOCK/CYCLE activation of transcription [94–97]. Similar to what we observed in mice, when CBP levels are decreased in circadian pacemaker neurons, the period is lengthened in Drosophila [96]. Moreover, the regulation of CBP levels appears to be a critical factor in the maintenance of normal circadian function as overexpression of CBP induces behavioral arrhythmicity in Drosophila [94, 97]. Despite the temporally delayed activity pattern and longer free-running rhythms seen in CBP\(^{KIX/KIX}\) mice, we found no difference between phase resetting in mutant mice and WT by light pulses delivered in the early or late night. The dissociation between the impact of KIX domain mutations on different functions of the circadian clock is consistent with the multiple domain nature of the CBP protein. For phase resetting of the
circadian clock, the interactions between CBP and BMAL1 at the Per1 promoter are thought to be primarily reliant upon calcium-dependent PKC signaling following resetting stimuli and not CREB [40]. CBP has been postulated to interact with BMAL1 through the PXDLS motif (Pro-X-Asp-Leu-Ser), a conserved motif in multiple circadian proteins and present in CBP [64]. Our in vivo studies differentiating the impact of KIX mutations on period length and phase resetting support the hypothesis that different signaling pathways trigger CBP involvement in these two functions. Since phase shifts in response to light have been shown to be, at least in part, CREB-dependent, CREB also may act through binding to other co-activators such as CREB-regulated transcription coactivator (CRTC1) in phase resetting via Per1 in the SCN [98, 99].

While our study shows a dual role for the CBP KIX domain in spatial long-term memory consolidation and the maintenance of normal circadian periods, we cannot absolutely determine the relationship between the two functions of CBP. As the CBPKIX/KIX mutation is a whole body mutation, the Kix domain of CBP is mutated in the SCN, the master circadian clock controlling transcriptional and behavioral rhythms, which presumably underlies the lengthening of the free-running period and the activity delays. Of particular relevance, previous research has found that arrhythmia in the SCN circadian clock results in hippocampal memory impairments [100, 101]. Thus, it is possible that alterations in the circadian function of the SCN result in changes in other brain clocks such as in the hippocampus to cause memory impairments. However, as shown in our studies, we found that the CBPKIX/KIX mutation did not cause circadian arrhythmia, but instead CBPKIX/KIX mice exhibited a lengthening of the free-running period under constant conditions and a delay in activity during the dark phase during both LD and DD conditions. Furthermore, no activity differences were observed between CBPKIX/KIX mice and wild-type mice during the light phase when the training for learning occurred in our experiments (ZT3–ZT6). These results make it unlikely that changes in the SCN circadian oscillator underlie the impairments in hippocampus memory consolidation that we observed. Additionally, the differential expression of several core circadian genes between wildtype and CBPKIX/KIX mice were observed specifically after hippocampus-dependent learning, but not under homecage conditions (Fig. 4, Additional file 1: Fig. S5, Table 1, Additional file 2: Table S1). While beyond the scope of the present investigation, future studies involving site-specific mutation of CBPKIX/KIX restricted specifically to the adult hippocampus or SCN will be an important avenue of exploration to more fully elucidate the relationship between the impairments in learning and the circadian clock.

While performing these studies at the same circadian time (ZT3–ZT6) allows for direct comparison between experiments through minimization of changes in gene expression due to circadian regulation, it is possible that the downregulation of circadian genes observed in CBPKIX/KIX mice represents a change in gene expression due to phase shifts of the circadian oscillator. However, we find this possibility to be unlikely as we did not observe any significant phase shifts in the locomotor activity profiles of these animals during the light phase nor did we find widespread changes in gene expression under homecage conditions that would be likely if circadian gene cycling was affected. Nonetheless, understanding the effect of CBPKIX/KIX on memory and circadian transcription across multiple circadian times is an important future direction necessary to fully understand the multiple roles of CBP.

Conclusions
Our research on the CBP KIX domain provides significant insight into two processes that significantly impact healthy aging, memory, and circadian rhythms. Although overall CBP protein levels appear unchanged with aging in one rat model [102], potentially, changes in CREB-CBP interactions through the KIX domain could be linked to age-related memory impairments. Mutations in CBP have been associated with neurodegenerative diseases including Huntington’s disease [32, 33] and Alzheimer’s disease [34–36]. Post-mortem brain analysis in humans and animal models have found decreased CREB expression associated with many neurological and neurodegenerative disorders including Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, bipolar disorder, and schizophrenia [31, 103–105]. In a mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease, virally delivered CBP restores pCREB levels and mitigates cognitive impairments [106]. Our research highlights the role the CBP KIX domain plays in the regulation of circadian gene expression and circadian activity as well as its role in long-term memory. Maintaining robust circadian rhythms is also essential for healthy aging. Age-related changes in circadian function include the dampening of molecular circadian rhythms, changes in free-running period, and impairments in the synchronization and coordination of circadian oscillators across tissues and organs (reviewed in [107]). The longer free running period in CBPKIX/KIX mice with delayed activity onset is reminiscent of the circadian phenotypes seen in aging mice. Aged mice have significantly longer free-running periods and exhibit a delay in activity onset after dark compared to younger adult mice [108]. Recent evidence suggests that circadian rhythm disruptions may contribute to increased risk or aggravation of disease pathology for neurodegenerative diseases including Alzheimer’s disease and Parkinson’s disease [109–114]. Additionally,
recent research suggests that hippocampal Per1 specifically may be critical to age-related cognitive impairments [58]. The CBP KIX domain appears to represent a potential target for understanding the effects of circadian and memory impairments that occur with aging. With life expectancy predicted to continue rising [115], identifying the regulatory mechanisms and molecular processes underlying the formation of memory is a necessary step for the development of future therapies and treatments for memory impairments.

**Methods**

**Animals**

The CBP\textsuperscript{KIX/KIX} mice for experiments were generated from heterozygous mating (from C57BL/6J genetic background), with wildtype (WT) littermates used as controls. Mice were approximately 3 months old at the start of experiments. Male and female mice were used in experimental and control groups. Mice were housed in groups of 2–3 under 12-h light/12 h-dark cycle in a temperature- and humidity-controlled room (22 °C and 55 ± 5%, respectively) with ad libitum access to food and water. All behavioral training and testing (with the exception of circadian monitoring) was performed during the light portion of the cycle (lights on at 7:00 A.M.) at the same time of day. Experimental protocols and animal care at the University of Strasbourg were in compliance with the institutional guidelines laws and policies (the European Parliament 2010/63/UE of September 22, 2010). All experiments at the University of Pennsylvania were approved by the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Care and Use Committee (IACUC protocol 804407) and conducted in accordance to National Institute of Health guidelines.

**Morris water maze**

Evaluation of spatial memory was performed using the Morris Water Maze task (MWM) as described in Chatterjee et al. [30]. For the spatial memory tests, two independent groups of mice CBP\textsuperscript{KIX/KIX} and their WT littermates were trained for 5 days. Each training day consisted of four trials of maximum duration of 60 s in each trial to locate a hidden platform under the surface of water using the visual cues present in the room. After the last training session, the platform was removed, and each group of animals was tested (probe test) to measure short-term memory 1 h later or 24 h later to measure long-term memory. During the probe test, the mice were placed in the opposite quadrant with respect to the target quadrant and allowed to swim for 60 s. Spatial memory during the probe test was quantified by measuring the amount of time spent by the mice searching in the target quadrant versus the average time spent in the three other quadrants. For the biochemical studies, mice were trained for three consecutive days. Three days of training using this protocol has previously been shown sufficient to induce CBP-dependent gene expression changes in the dorsal hippocampus [116]. One hour after the last trial on the 3rd day, the dorsal hippocampus was immediately dissected, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C. All the animals were euthanized between ZT3 and ZT6 for tissue collection for gene expression studies. This time point was chosen based on our previous publications [31, 116] in which we showed that 3 days of training in the MWM was sufficient to increase CBP expression in the dorsal hippocampus, increased CBP binding to the promoter of target genes, increased histone acetylation, and increased upregulation of target gene expression.

**Contextual fear conditioning**

Contextual fear conditioning was performed as previously described [117] with mice handled 1 min per day for three consecutive days prior to fear conditioning training. On the training day, the mice received a single 2 s, 1.5 mA foot-shock culminating 2.5 min after placement into the chamber. Mice were removed from the chamber 30 s after receiving the foot shock and returned to their homecages. One hour after the end of training, animals were euthanized and dorsal hippocampi were quickly dissected for RNA extraction. A separate group of mice serving as homecage controls were handled but did not receive the electric shock. All the animals were euthanized between ZT3 to ZT6 for gene expression studies.

**Microarray**

For the microarray homecage experiments, WT or CBP\textsuperscript{KIX/KIX} mice were handled for three consecutive days prior to tissue collection. Animals were euthanized between ZT3 and ZT6, hippocampi were quickly dissected and total RNA was extracted. Microarray analysis was performed with Affymetrix MOE 430v2 arrays probed with labeled RNA from the two hippocampi of each animal as a single biological replicate. RNA was obtained using a TRIzol-chloroform extraction followed by RNAeasy (Qiagen) isolation. RNA quality was assessed with the Agilent Bioanalyzer. RNA amplification and labeling were performed with the NuGen ovation system. After hybridization and array reading at the Penn Microarray Facility, intensity scores were calculated by the Robust Multiarray Average (RMA) method [118], and quality control was performed using array Quality Metrics [119] to ensure that differences in signal intensity did not bias analysis. Following the RMA, patterns from Gene Expression (PaGE v5.1) analysis were implemented in Perl to assess confidence in changes in probe set expression level between CBP\textsuperscript{KIX/KIX} and wildtype littermates. This algorithm uses permutations of the input
data to empirically determine the false discovery rate (FDR) for a given \( T \)-statistic level, allowing assignment of FDR values to individual probe sets based on a modified \( T \)-statistic. FDR values were computed with 200 permutations, and multiple instances of FDR calculation were run to assess confidence levels (1-FDR) from 80 to 95%.

RNA extraction for RNA-seq library preparation and sequencing
Dorsal hippocampus was quickly dissected, placed into RNA later (Qiagen Valencia, CA), or frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissues were homogenized in QIAzol Lysis Reagent (Invitrogen) and mixed in chloroform. RNA in aqueous-phase was separated in phase-lock tubes following centrifugation at 14,000g for 15 min. RNA was extracted using RNAeasy kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Samples were DNase treated using the RNase-Free DNase kit (Qiagen) off-column. Samples were ethanol precipitated and resuspended in RNase-free water. Samples with an OD 260/280 and OD 260/230 ratio close to 2.0 and RNA integrity number (RIN) above 8 were selected for library preparation.

RNA library preparation and sequencing from homocage and fear conditioned mice
RNA library from homocage and fear conditioned mice were prepared at the Iowa Institute of Human Genetics (IIHG), Genomics Division, using the Illumina TruSeq Stranded Total RNA with Ribo-Zero gold sample preparation kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions with polyA selection. Libraries were size selected and quantified by qPCR (KAPA Biosystems Boston, MA) and sequenced. Barcoded libraries were sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 2000 in paired-end 100-bp reads. Three libraries were sequenced per lane on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 at the sequencing core at the University of Pennsylvania.

RNA library preparation and sequencing from homocage and MWM mice
RNA libraries from homocage and MWM mice were prepared at the Iowa Institute of Human Genetics (IIHG), Genomics Division, using the Illumina TruSeq Stranded Total RNA with Ribo-Zero gold sample preparation kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA). Library concentrations were measured with KAPA Illumina Library Quantification Kit (KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, MA). Pooled libraries were sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 4000 sequencers with 150-bp Paired-End chemistry (Illumina) at the IIHG core. The RNA seq data have been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE151681 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE151681).

The code for analyses and figures related to RNA-seq data can be accessed through GitHub (github.com/ethanbahl/chatterjee2020_cbpkix).

cDNA synthesis and quantitative real-time reverse transcription (RT)-PCR
cDNA preparation was done using SuperScript™ IV First-Strand Synthesis System (Ambion) from 1 μg total RNA. Real-time RT-PCR reactions were performed in 384-well optical reaction plates with optical adhesive covers (Life Technologies). Each reaction was composed of 2.25 μl cDNA (2 ng/μl), 2.5 μl Fast SYBR™ Green Master Mix (ThermoFisher Scientific), and 0.25 μl of primer mix (IDT). Reactions were performed in at least triplicate on the Quant studio 7 Flex Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies). Hprt and Pgtk1 were used as housekeeping controls for normalization.

RNA-seq analysis
Sequencing data was processed with the bcbio-nextgen pipeline (https://github.com/bcbio/bcbio-nextgen). The pipeline uses STAR [120] to align reads to the genome and quantifies expression at the gene level with featureCounts [121]. All further analyses were performed using R [122]. For gene level count data, the R package EDASeq was used to adjust for GC content effects (full quantile normalization) and account for sequencing depth (upper quartile normalization) [123] (Additional file 1: Fig. S8 and S9). Latent sources of variation in expression levels were assessed and accounted for using RUVSeq (RUVr) [124] (Additional file 1: Fig. S10 and S11). Appropriate choice of the RUVSeq parameter \( k \) was determined through inspection of \( P \) value distributions, mean-difference plots, RLE plots, and PCA plots. Specifically, values of \( k \) were chosen where \( P \) value distributions showed an expected peak below 0.05 [125] and experimental groups were separable with three principal components. Differential expression analysis was conducted using edgeR [126, 127].

We performed three differential expression analyses to identify genes responsive to learning in wildtype mice, genes responsive to the CBP\(^{KIX/KIX}\) genotype following learning, and genes responsive to the CBP\(^{KIX/KIX}\) genotype at baseline. Based on criteria listed above, we used \( k \) values of 3, 4, and 0 for the analyses. Data generated from two experimental batches using different hippocampus-dependent learning paradigms were included in the differential expression models. Due to this experimental design, effects specific to a particular experiment (both sequencing batch effects and paradigm-specific effects) were accounted for in the models by including experimental batch as a blocking variable, thus revealing genes reproducibly responsive to learning or
the CBPKIX/KIX genotype across multiple paradigms of hippocampus-dependent learning.

To externally validate our analysis, we used previously published research on gene regulation in the hippocampus following chemically induced neuronal activation [55]. We created a positive control set (Additional file 2: Table S4 with list of positive controls) using genes in the top decile of adjusted P values (adjusted \( P < 0.05 \)). We performed Fisher’s exact test on a two-dimensional contingency table, with rows indicating whether a gene was responsive to learning in our study, and columns indicating whether the gene was in the positive control set. Using this approach, we also tested the set of genes responsive to the CBPKIX/KIX genotype, after learning, for enrichment of genes responsive to learning in WT animals. As an alternative approach to assessing commonalities between these expression signatures, we also performed a rank-rank hypergeometric overlap (RRHO) analysis [128]. We ordered genes in each list by P value and used a step size of 100 genes to identify FDR thresholds for each list, which conferred maximal overlap in gene signatures. We then used the R package clusterProfiler [129] to compare gene ontology enrichment identified for traditional thresholds compared to thresholds supporting maximal RRHO enrichment.

Upstream regulator and pathway analysis

Upstream regulator analysis was performed using the QIAGEN’s Ingenuity® Pathway Analysis (Qiagen Redwood City, CA, USA, www.qiagen.com/ingenuity) as described in Lorsch et al. [130]. Global enrichment network analysis from KEGG pathway database was performed using the free online NetworkAnalyst software 3.0 (https://www.networkanalyst.ca).

Activity monitoring

Activity monitoring was performed as previously described in a separate group of mice from the RNA-seq/microarray/behavioral experiments [65, 131]. Briefly, mice were individually housed inside light- and noise-attenuating chambers (22” × 16” × 19”, Med Associates, St. Albans, VT) equipped with a 250-lx light source (80 lx at cage floor) and fan for ventilation. Each cage was placed within a system of infrared beams spaced 0.5 in. apart that provided two horizontal infrared grids at 0.75” and 2.75” above the cage floor. Mice were allowed to acclimate to the activity monitoring chambers for 1 week before the start of activity monitoring. Infrared beam break counts were acquired at 10 s intervals for 7 days on a 12-h:12-h LD schedule to measure both horizontal and vertical (rearing) activity across the entire diurnal cycle. Activity counts were binned into 1-h intervals and averaged over the 7 days. After the final day of activity monitoring in LD cycles, lights were switched off and animals were allowed to free-run in 24-h constant darkness (DD) for 4 weeks with activity counts compiled every minute. Circadian period (tau) was calculated from day 2 until the end of DD using ClockLab software (Actimetrics).

Light pulses

Following 4 weeks of constant darkness, one cohort of mice (\( n = 8 \) WT and \( n = 5 \) CBPKIX/KIX) received a 15-min phase delaying 250-lx (80 lx at cage floor) light pulse at CT 14. Mice were allowed to recover for 8 days in 24-h constant darkness, and the phase shift was measured. After the 8 days in continuous darkness, a second 15-min phase advancing light pulse was given at CT 22. The phase angles of activity delay and advancement were calculated using ClockLab software (Actimetrics).

Statistical analyses

All statistics were performed using Graphpad Prism (V8.1), except for circadian analysis which was performed using SPSS for Windows (v 24.0) and the bioinformatics analysis. For MWM, performance recorded during acquisition (latency to the platform) was evaluated using a one- or two-way ANOVA with repeated measures when appropriate. Escape latencies during acquisition were analyzed using two-way ANOVA, followed by Sidak’s multiple comparisons test. Probe trial performance was also analyzed using two-way ANOVA, followed by Sidak’s multiple comparisons test comparing the time spent in the target quadrant (T) and the time spent in the other three quadrants (Q1–3). Homecage activity was analyzed using a Mixed ANOVA with genotypes (WT and CBPKIX/KIX) as the between-subjects factor and time as the within-subject factor. Post hoc multiple comparisons were performed. Multivariate ANOVAs (MANOVA) were used to analyze the activity counts in the light and dark phases, with alpha corrected for multiple ANOVAs and set at \( \alpha = 0.05/2 \). For total activity over the 24-h day and light pulse analysis, Student’s t test was applied. Results were expressed as means ± SEM. Values of \( p < 0.05 \) were considered as statistically significant.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-020-00886-1.

Additional file 1: Fig. S1. CBPKIX/KIX mice show normal learning, reduced swim speed and impaired long-term memory. a CBPKIX/KIX mice show similar performance during trial 1 across training days 1–4 but shows significantly higher escape latency on day 5. 2-Way ANOVA: significant main effect of training days: F(3,318, 90.55) = 4.538, \( p = 0.0038 \), significant main effect of genotype: F(1, 102) = 9.507, \( p = 0.0044 \). Sidak’s multiple comparisons test, comparing CBPKIX/KIX vs WT on Day 5: adjusted \( p = 0.0120 \). b CBPKIX/KIX mice showed lower swim speed during the training days (acquisition). Significant main effect of genotype: F(1, 30) = 15.35, \( p = 0.0004 \).
content distribution and sequencing depth using EDASeq for comparison of statistically significant genes at FDR $\leq 0.05$. Mixed-effects analysis: Significant main effect of Genotype (F $\approx 11.36$, p $= 0.0356$). No significant main effect of sex (F $\approx 0.133$, p $= 0.7164$).

Fig. S2. Learning-induced CREB phosphorylation at S133 is decreased in CBP$^{KIX/\text{KIX}}$ mice. (a) Scheme of the experiment. (b) CREB phosphorylation at S133 is significantly increased after MWM training ([Unpaired t-test: $t_{15} = 2.407$, p $= 0.0348$, WT HC vs WT MWM], while no such enhancement was observed in CBP$^{\text{KIX/\text{KIX}}}$ mice ([Unpaired t-test: $t_{15} = 0.7450$, p $= 0.4805$]) (Western blot showing CREB and p-CREB expression. Fig. S3. RRHO analysis. (a) Rank-rank hypergeometric overlap (RRHO) analysis comparing differential expression results between the effect of the KIX genotype after learning (X-axis) to the effect of learning (Y-axis). Although a threshold of FDR $\leq 0.05$ corresponds to the top 15% and 135 genes for the X and Y axis, respectively, we observe maximum hypergeometric enrichment between the two lists when considering the top 500 genes from each list, corresponding to FDR thresholds of 0.133 and 0.146 for the X and Y axis, respectively (circle). (b) Comparison of gene ontology enrichment for overlapping genes using traditional FDR thresholds (FDR $\leq 0.05$), and relaxed thresholds identified by RRHO. RRHO thresholds also revealed significant enrichment of several terms not discovered with FDR $\leq 0.05$, including terms related to postsynaptic localization and additional transcription factor and hormone receptor binding terms. Compared to the stricter threshold of FDR $\leq 0.05$, RRHO thresholds reduced enrichment of several significant gene ontology terms related to circadian rhythm, histone deacetylation, and transcription factor binding, suggesting these biological signals are primarily derived from statistically significant genes at FDR $\leq 0.05$. Fig. S4. CBP gene expression is unaltered in CBP$^{\text{KIX/\text{KIX}}}$ mice. The four probe sets for CBP, official gene symbol Crebbp, are shown. Only the probe set that hybridizes to the KIX domain (1459804_at) shows evidence of reduced signal intensity in CBP$^{\text{KIX/\text{KIX}}}$ mice. The approximately 40% reduction in signal only for this probe set is likely due to the three base pair mutations introduced by the KIX domain mutation. Fig. S5. CBP$^{\text{KIX/\text{KIX}}}$ mice show normal expression of core circadian genes in hippocampus. Circadian gene expression was accessed at baseline from wildtype and CBP$^{\text{KIX/\text{KIX}}}$ mice. Per1, Per2, Clock, Bmal1, Nr1d1 and Nr1d2 showed similar levels of expression, while Dbp showed significant decrease in CBP$^{\text{KIX/\text{KIX}}}$ mice (unpaired t-test: p $= 0.0214$). All the animals were euthanized between ZT3-ZT6. Fig. S6. Homecage RNA-seq analysis. Volcano plot showing only 2 genes are differentially expressed in CBP$^{\text{KIX/\text{KIX}}}$ mice compared to WT mice in dorsal hippocampus at homecage. Fig. S7. Delayed peak diurnal activity in male and female CBP$^{\text{KIX/\text{KIX}}}$ mice. a,b, CBP$^{\text{KIX/\text{KIX}}}$ males have delayed activity onset and peak activity in 12 h:12 h LD compared to wildtype littermates (a) (time*genotype, F$\approx 7.30$, p $= 0.0022$), with exhibiting differences in total ambulation (b) (F$\approx 1.16$, p $= 0.307$). c, d, CBP$^{\text{KIX/\text{KIX}}}$ females also have delayed diurnal activity patterns compared to wildtype (c) (F$\approx 7.95$, p $< 0.001$), while total movement is unaltered between CBP$^{\text{KIX/\text{KIX}}}$ females and wildtype controls (d) (F$\approx 1.11$, p $= 0.316$). *p $< 0.05$, **p $< 0.01$, ***p $< 0.001$.

Fig. S8. Normalization of differences in gene expression for validation of differential gene expression analysis. Table S4. List of Genes Differentially Expressed in wildtype mice after spatial learning compared to homecage. Table S5. List of the upstream regulators of the DEGs in CBP$^{\text{KIX/\text{KIX}}}$ mice. Table S6. High confidence (80%) changes in homecage gene expression in CBP$^{\text{KIX/\text{KIX}}}$ mice. Table S7. List of qPCR primers used to study gene expression.
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