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Abstract

An extension to higher dimensions of the Bel–Debever characterization of the Weyl tensor is considered. This provides algebraic conditions that uniquely determine the multiplicity of a Weyl aligned null direction (WAND), and thus the principal Weyl type, in a frame-independent way. The specification of several ‘subtypes’ is also encompassed by the criteria. We further comment on a Cartan-like geometrical interpretation of WANDs in terms of their invariance properties under parallel transport around infinitesimal loops. As a result, restrictions on the algebraic types permitted in spacetimes that admit a recurrent/covariantly constant vector field are outlined.

PACS numbers: 04.50.−h, 04.20.−q, 04.20.Cv

1. Introduction

The Petrov classification of the Weyl tensor provides an invariant characterization of four-dimensional geometries and is thus fundamental to the study of exact solutions of Einstein’s equations. It admits various formulations that, while describing the properties of different geometric objects (bivectors, null directions, spinors), are in fact equivalent (see, e.g., [1–3] for reviews and references).

In recent years, gravity in higher dimensions has become an active area of ongoing studies. In particular, an algebraic classification of the Weyl tensor in any $n > 4$ spacetime dimensions has been presented in [4, 5]. It characterizes the Weyl tensor in terms of the possible existence of Weyl aligned null directions (WANDs) and their order of alignment. In four dimensions, this is equivalent to the standard Petrov classification formulated in terms of the multiplicity of principal null directions (PNDs) [5]. Since, however, there exist several approaches to the Petrov classification when $n = 4$, it is natural to investigate whether such alternative methods also extend to higher dimensions, and whether they are still equivalent to the scheme proposed in [4, 5]. Looking at the Weyl classification from a different viewpoint may help elucidate
further aspects of its geometric significance. Possibly, it can also lead to alternative definitions of algebraically special types that may be convenient for specific calculations.

In four dimensions, one definition of the Petrov types is formulated in terms of algebraic relations (contractions and wedge products) involving the Weyl tensor and a null vector field $l$ (for instance, $l$ is a PND iff $l_{[a}C_{b]cdef}l_{[a}l_{b]}l_{cdef} = 0$). It was presented (with minor formal differences) in several classic papers, apparently first by Bel and Debever [6–9] (see also, e.g., [10] and [1, 3]) and it will be briefly reviewed in the following. An advantage of this method is that it does not require a null frame to be introduced, so the definitions of algebraic special types are manifestly frame-independent. This proved useful, for instance, in the analysis of the peeling properties of radiative spacetimes [10]. Further, equations of the Bel–Debever type arise naturally in the study of spacetimes that admit a geometrically privileged (e.g. recurrent or covariantly constant) null vector field (see [1, 3] and the discussion to follow), thus providing an immediate constraint on the algebraic type of the corresponding Riemann/Weyl tensor.

It was observed in [5] that the aforementioned four-dimensional PND condition carries over with no changes into higher dimensions, and can then be used as a definition of WANDs for any $n \geq 4$. Indeed, the explicit calculation of the Weyl type of certain black rings [11, 12] relied on that. However, in [11] it was pointed out that the standard four-dimensional criteria [1, 3] for algebraically special types are only necessary conditions in higher dimensions and do not thus provide a complete algebraic classification. It is the main purpose of this paper to present an extension of the Bel–Debever criteria that fully characterize the principal algebraic types of the Weyl tensor in arbitrary dimension $n \geq 4$ (section 2). Most ‘subtypes’ (see p L39 of [4] and the summary below) will also be described, and the well-known four-dimensional results will be recovered for $n = 4$. In addition, building on an early observation by Cartan [14], we provide a geometrical characterization of WANDs as null direction that are invariant under parallel transport around appropriate infinitesimal loops (section 3). Several Weyl types will thus be singled out by requiring the existence of null directions with certain invariance properties. Possible applications in the study of holonomy are then mentioned. Comments on the Weyl types compatible with invariance of non-null vectors will conclude the paper.

2. Bel–Debever criteria

2.1. Classification of the Weyl tensor in higher dimensions

The classification of the Weyl tensor in higher dimensions was presented in [4, 5] and has since been discussed in several papers, see e.g. [11] and the review [15]. It suffices here to summarize only the basic definitions needed in the following. One first sets up a frame consisting of two null vectors $m_{(0)} = l, m_{(1)} = n$ and $n - 2$ orthonormal spacelike vectors $m_{(i)}$ (from now on, $0, 1$ and $i, j, \ldots = 2, \ldots, n - 1$ are frame indices), with the only non-zero components of the flat frame metric defined by $\eta_{01} = 1$ and $\eta_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ (so that $m^{(i)} = m_{(i)}$), and the spacetime metric by $g_{ab} = 2\eta_{(a}n_{b)} + \delta_{ij}m_{(i)}m_{(j)}$. Then one considers the frame components of the Weyl tensor. If there exists a vector field $l$ such that $C_{(a}b_{c)}d_{(e}f_{)} = 0$, then $l$ is a WAND and the Weyl tensor is algebraically special. The possible further vanishing of components of lower boost order [4, 5] determines the order of alignment of $l$, and the (principal) Weyl type

---

1 An important difference, though, is that in higher dimensions real WANDs need not exist, in general [4, 5], as opposed to the well-known situation in four dimensions.

2 For example, type N $\Rightarrow C_{abcd}l^d = 0$, but $C_{abcd}l^d = 0 \not\Rightarrow$ type N for $n > 4$ [11] (explicit simple examples are mentioned in [13]), whereas $n = 4$ spacetimes are of type N (or O) $\Leftrightarrow C_{abcd}l^d = 0$ [1, 3]—cf also the following discussion.
of a spacetime is defined according to the following scheme:\textsuperscript{3}:

Type I: $C_{000j} = 0$

Type II: $C_{000j} = C_{010k} = C_{0j0k} = 0$

Type III: $C_{000j} = C_{010k} = C_{0j0k} = C_{0i0j} = C_{0i0l} = C_{ijkl} = 0$

Type N: $C_{000j} = C_{010k} = C_{0j0k} = C_{0i0j} = C_{0i0l} = C_{ijkl} = C_{i0ij} = C_{i0jk} = 0$

In the case of types II, III and N the corresponding WAND $I$ is called ‘multiple.’ The spacetime is conformally flat (type $O$) if the Weyl tensor vanishes identically. Note that in the above definitions there is some redundancy, for the Weyl tensor is tracefree (and has well-known index symmetries), so that [4]

$$
C_{000i} = 0 = C_{1i1i}, \quad C_{010i} = C_{0i0j}, \quad C_{0i1i} = C_{1i1j}, \\
2C_{0i(1)j} = -C_{ikj}, \quad 2C_{0j(1)i} = C_{0ij}, \quad 2C_{0i01} = -C_{ijij} = 2C_{0i1i}.
$$

However, keeping the full set (1) without the simplifications coming from (2) enables one to analogously classify also Riemann-like tensors [5].

One can also define specific ‘subtypes’ when additional conditions are met, namely [4] (for each subtype it is understood that the previous conditions (1) for the corresponding principal type must also hold): type I: $C_{000i} = 0$; type II: $C_{0i0j} = 0$; type III: $C_{0i0j} = C_{0i0l} = C_{ijkl} = 0$; type IV: the ‘Weyl part’ of $C_{ijkl}$ vanishes; type V: $C_{0i1i} = 0$; type VI: $C_{0iij} = 0$. For type II some subtypes can also hold simultaneously, in which case we shall use the notation $II_{ab}$, $II_{abd}$, etc.

2.2. Criteria for multiple WANDs

As already mentioned, [5] has proved that in any higher dimensions

$$
I \text{ is a WAND } \iff \: l_g C_{ijkl} f^b f^c = 0,
$$

exactly as in four dimensions. We have extended this to similar conditions characterizing all principal types (1) and most subtypes, as summarized in table 1. These were arrived at by considering the frame decomposition of the Weyl tensor [4] and looking for suitable contractions/wedge products with $I$ so as to single out components with specific boost weights, and requiring these to vanish. The resulting conditions can also be straightforwardly checked \textit{a posteriori} by contraction with the vectors of an arbitrary frame adapted to $I$, as we now exemplify in the case of types II and $N$ (the remaining cases can be dealt with similarly).

Type II is specified by the equation $l_g C_{ijkl} f^b f^c = 0$, i.e. (recall $I = m_{[ij]}$)

$$
l_g C_{ijkl} f^b f^c = 0. \quad \text{Components of the lhs. of this equation are clearly non-zero only when } c, d, f \text{ take different values. In addition, any non-zero frame projection must contain } m^c_{[ij]} = n^f \text{ (up to permutation of } f \text{ with } c \text{ or } d). \text{ We are thus left with the two equations } \\
l_g C_{ijkl} f^b f^c = 0 \text{ and } l_g C_{ijkl} f^b f^c = 0. \text{ All frame projections of these are identically satisfied except the product with } m^c_{[ij]} m^d_{[kl]}, \text{ thus giving, respectively, } C_{ijkl} = 0 \text{ and } C_{ijkl} = 0, \text{ QED. (The argument works also in the reversed direction, i.e. the vanishing of these frame components is also a sufficient condition for having } l_g C_{ijkl} f^b f^c = 0.\text{ )}
$$

For type $N$ we have $C_{ijkl} = 0$. Similarly as above, this reduces to the two equations $C_{ijkl} = 0$ and $C_{ijkl} = 0$. By all possible contractions with the frame vectors, the first of these gives $C_{ijkl} = C_{i0j} = C_{0i1} = C_{0j0k} = 0$. From the second equation we additionally obtain $C_{ijkl} = C_{ijkl} = C_{ijkl} = 0$, which completes the proof.

\textsuperscript{3} The secondary classification [4] (which involves the alignment of a possible second WAND $\mathbf{n}$) need not be discussed explicitly here since the Bel–Debever criteria can be applied to \textit{any} null direction in order to determine its alignment.
Table 1. Bel–Debever criteria in \( n \geq 4 \) dimensions in order of increasing specialization of the algebraic type of the Weyl tensor. For each row, the conditions in the first two columns are equivalent (in the second column, the Weyl components are sorted by boost weight, components with different weights are separated by semicolon and \( \ell \) is used as a frame vector). They imply that the algebraic type is at least as special as indicated in the third column (it can be more special if additional conditions are satisfied by \( \ell \) or, in the case of the first two rows, if there exists another WAND, different from \( \ell \), with higher order or alignment). Vice versa, if the type is the one given in the third column, the corresponding WAND must satisfy the conditions in the first two columns. Components that vanish only thanks to the tracefree property (2) of the Weyl tensor (e.g., \( C_{\alpha j} = 0 \Rightarrow C_{0j00} = 0 \)) are given in round brackets: bearing this in mind, the Bel–Debever criteria can thus be used also to (partly) classify Riemann-like tensors. Conditions marked by (*) are those usually considered in four dimensions [1, 3], in which case the possible algebraic (Petrov) types are fewer, and one has indeed the following equivalences: \( \Pi_e = \Pi_{II} = \Pi_0 = \Pi_{IIab} = \Pi_{III} = \Pi_{III} = \Pi_{IV} = N \) (and \( \Pi_{ab} = \Pi_{IV} \), but such a notation for this subtype is generally not used in four-dimensions). Note that \( \Pi_{II} = \Pi_0, \Pi_{III} = \Pi_{III} \) and \( \Pi_{ab} = \Pi_{III} \) hold also in five dimensions since \( C_{ijkl} \) can be expressed in terms of \( C_{0ij1} \) [16].

| Bel–Debever conditions | Vanishing Weyl components | Weyl type |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| \( \ell_{[e} C_{a]tcj} \ell_{t]} t^c t = 0 \) (*) | \( C_{0000} \) | I |
| \( \ell_{[e} C_{a]tcj} \ell_{t]} t^c t = 0 \) (*) | \( C_{00j} ; C_{0ij} \) | \( \Pi_0 \) |
| \( \ell_{[e} C_{a]tcj} \ell_{t]} t^c t = 0 \) (*) | \( C_{0000} \) | II |
| \( \ell_{[e} C_{a]tcj} \ell_{t]} t^c t = 0 \) (*) | \( C_{00j} ; C_{00i} ; C_{001} \) | \( \Pi_0 \) |
| \( \ell_{[e} C_{a]tcj} \ell_{t]} t^c t = 0 \) (*) | \( C_{00j} ; C_{0ij} ; C_{0ij} \) | \( \Pi_0 \) |
| \( \ell_{[e} C_{a]ctd} \ell_{t]} d = 0 \) | \( C_{00j} ; C_{00i} ; C_{001} ; C_{010} ; C_{011} \) | III |
| \( \ell_{[e} C_{a]ctd} \ell_{t]} d = 0 \) | \( C_{00j} ; C_{0ij} ; C_{0ij} ; C_{0ij} ; C_{0ij} \) | \( \Pi_0 \) |
| \( \ell_{[e} C_{a]ctd} \ell_{t]} d = 0 \) | \( C_{00j} ; C_{0ij} ; C_{0ij} ; C_{0ij} \) | \( \Pi_0 \) |
| \( \ell_{[e} C_{a]ctd} \ell_{t]} d = 0 \) | \( C_{00j} ; C_{0ij} ; C_{0ij} ; C_{0ij} \) | \( \Pi_0 \) |

2.3. Comments on the criteria

The Bel–Debever criteria can be employed to obtain the order of alignment of any null direction. In a given spacetime, finding a WAND whose order of alignment is as large as possible determines the principal Weyl type. This is understood when giving the type in the third column of table 1.

Discussing the secondary classification [4] is straightforward and need not be done explicitly here, since one has only to study similar conditions for a possible second WAND \( n \) (not parallel to \( \ell \)). For example, for type D one needs two distinct multiple WANDs to exist, i.e.

\[
\text{type D : } \ell_{[e} C_{a]t[c} e_{l]} t^c t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad n_{[e} C_{a]t[c} e_{l]} t^c t = 0.
\]

We do not claim that the presented criteria are the only possible characterization of the algebraic types, and indeed it is not difficult to find different but equivalent ones, at least in some cases (this is true also in four dimensions [1, 3], where one can alternatively also formulate them in terms of the self-dual Weyl tensor). For instance, type \( \Pi_0 \) can equivalently be defined by \( C_{abcd} t^d = \lambda \delta_d b c \).

In addition to those of [4], we have introduced a further subtype \( \Pi'_{ab} \) (whose definition is given in table 1), since it corresponds to an algebraic condition that is both simple (it gives
While various higher dimensional solutions (including, e.g., vacuum or charged supersymmetric black holes/rings) have been classified, and certain families of solutions defined by their algebraic type have been analyzed, several important issues deserve further study (see, e.g., [15] and references therein). It is hoped that the Bel–Debever criteria presented above will be helpful in future work. In what follows some applications are mentioned.

3. Geometrical interpretation of WANDs: invariance under parallel transport

3.1. Null vector

In 1922 Cartan observed [14] that in four dimensions the Weyl tensor defines at any spacetime point four privileged null directions, which have the property of being invariant under parallel transport around a certain (appropriately defined) family of infinitesimal parallelograms (see also [17] for a comment on Cartan’s paper). Here we further develop Cartan’s description and extend it to higher dimensions, in order to provide a geometrical characterization of WANDs and (to some extent) of their multiplicity (with a natural, partial overlap with the previous section). In passing, we will also observe that the Petrov types of four-dimensional vacuum spacetimes can be fully characterized this way (except for type D, for which the properties of a second PND must also be considered).

Let \( l \) be a null vector at a spacetime point \( P \). If we are given another two (infinitesimal) vectors \( \epsilon \) and \( \delta \) at \( P \) (not parallel to each other), we can build an infinitesimal parallelogram with one vertex at \( P \). Then a basic result of Riemannian geometry tells us that if we parallely transport \( l \) around such a parallelogram, when we are back at \( P \), we will have, in general, a new vector \( l' \) which differs from \( l \) by \( R_{abcd}^\lambda \epsilon^c \delta^d \) (see, e.g., [18]). One can now ask how the Riemann tensor is restricted by requiring that (i) the null direction defined by \( l \) is preserved under parallel transport around the parallelogram, i.e. \( R_{abcd}^\lambda \epsilon^c \delta^d = \lambda l^\mu \); (ii) the null vector \( l \) is itself preserved, i.e. \( R_{abcd}^\lambda \epsilon^c \delta^d = 0 \). These conditions can be imposed on various classes of parallelograms, resulting in different curvature restrictions. We will discuss this for five families of geometrically privileged parallelograms, defined as follows:

1. \( \epsilon \) and \( \delta \) are, respectively, parallel and orthogonal to \( l \) (i.e. \( \epsilon = \gamma l \) and \( \delta = \alpha l + \beta_i m^{(i)} \) in a frame adapted to \( l \));
2. \( \epsilon \) is arbitrary but \( \delta \) is parallel to \( l \);
3. both \( \epsilon \) and \( \delta \) are orthogonal to \( l \);
4. both \( \epsilon \) and \( \delta \) are arbitrary (generic parallelogram);
5. \( \epsilon \) is arbitrary but \( \delta \) is orthogonal to \( l \).

As it turns out, cases (4) and (5) give equivalent conditions, so that case (5) will be omitted hereafter. It is then straightforward to work out the necessary and sufficient conditions on the frame Riemann components for (i) or (ii) to happen. This is summarized in table 2. Note that the conditions in column (4) are of particular geometrical meaning since they arise, e.g., in the study of spacetimes admitting a recurrent \( (l_a, b = l_a, p_b) \) or covariantly constant \( (l_a, b = 0) \) vector field (this, in turn, makes them relevant to discussions of holonomy, as we briefly exemplify below).

Analogous conditions arise in terms of components of the Weyl tensor if we consider the equations \( C_{abcd}^\lambda \epsilon^c \delta^d = \lambda l^\mu \) and \( C_{abcd}^\lambda \epsilon^c \delta^d = 0 \), so that specific Weyl types are implied. For vacuum spacetimes one has \( R_{abcd} = C_{abcd} \), and the interpretation of Weyl types in terms of properties of \( l \) under parallel transport, as discussed above, applies (in particular, a generic
under parallel transport. It is natural to investigate what happens if one instead considers
In the above discussion we have focused on the invariance properties of a null vector
3.2. Timelike vector
WAND $l$ can be characterized as a null direction invariant under parallel transport around all
infinite-dimensional parallelograms whose sides are parallel and orthogonal to $l$ itself. For a generic,
non-vacuum spacetime this is no longer true since also the Ricci tensor will influence the
parallel transport of $l$. If desired, it is easy to find under what restrictions on the matter content
the various conditions on the Weyl and Riemann components become equivalent. In particular,
most of the types given in table 2 hold also for Einstein spaces (see table 2 for details). It
turns out (cf the comments in the caption of table 1) that in four dimensions all Petrov types can also apply to Einstein spaces with $R \neq 0$.
While a comprehensive study of the relation between holonomy and Weyl types is well
beyond the scope of this paper, let us just observe that the results of table 2 can be used
to constraint the possible types allowed by certain holonomy groups. For instance, if the
holonomy is (a subgroup of) $\text{Sim}(n-2)$, then there is a null direction invariant under parallel
transport around any closed path. From row (i), column (4) of table 2 it then follows that
in vacuum the Weyl type is $\text{II}_{abcd}$ (or more special). For proper Einstein spaces\footnote{Higher dimensional Einstein spacetimes with holonomy $\text{Sim}(n-2)$ have recently been studied in \cite{19}. A 'proper' Einstein space is one that satisfies $R_{ab} = R g_{ab}/n$ with $R \neq 0$.} one has $C_{0ij} \propto R \delta_{ij}$ so that the type is only $\text{II}_{abcd}$. For $n = 4$ this means the Petrov types III and II, respectively, in agreement with the results of \cite{20–22}. If the holonomy is instead (a subgroup of) $\text{E}(n-2)$, there is an invariant null vector and from row (ii), column (4) we get the Weyl type $\text{II}_{"abcd}$ in vacuum (proper Einstein spaces are now forbidden). In four dimensions this is just type N, which again agrees with \cite{20–22}.
3.2. Timelike vector
In the above discussion we have focused on the invariance properties of a null vector
under parallel transport. It is natural to investigate what happens if one instead considers

| $\lambda$ | $l$ and $\delta$ orthogonal | $\lambda$ parallel to $l$ | $\lambda$ parallel to $l$ and $\delta$ orthogonal | $\lambda$ generic, $\delta$ generic |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (i) $R_{abcd}^a d \epsilon \epsilon' \delta' = \lambda l^p$ | $R_{0ij}$ | $R_{0ij}$ | $R_{0ij}$ | $R_{0ij}$ |
| (ii) $R_{abcd}^a d \epsilon \epsilon' \delta' = 0$ | $R_{0ij}$ | $R_{0ij}$ | $R_{0ij}$ | $R_{0ij}$ |

Table 2. Frame components of the Riemann tensor that must vanish (at a spacetime point $P$) in order for the null direction defined by $l$ to be invariant under parallel transport around various families of infinitesimal parallelograms with one vertex at $P$ (the vanishing of such components is also a sufficient condition). The parallelograms are defined by the infinitesimal vectors $\epsilon$ and $\delta$ at $P$ (specified in the upper row of the table), as explained in the main text. In row (i) ($\delta = 0$) not only the null direction but also the vector $l$ is itself invariant and, in order to avoid repetition, it is understood in each case the Riemann components that vanish in row (i) ($\lambda \neq 0$ case), same column, must still vanish. Riemann components in each box are given in order of decreasing boost weight, components with equal weights being on the same line (the component $R_{0ij}$, given in brackets, vanishes thanks to the cyclicity of the Riemann tensor). In each box it is also given the algebraic type of the corresponding Weyl tensor in the case of vacuum spacetimes ($R_{abcd} = C_{abcd}$)—except in one special case, subcase of the type $I_r$, which does not correspond to any classified type. The same Weyl types also apply to Einstein spaces with $R \neq 0$, except in the three cases marked by (X) (since $R_{0ij}$ and $R_{0ij}$ will differ from the corresponding Weyl components by terms proportional to $R$). (Note that in some cases the tracelessness of the Weyl tensor plays a crucial role in determining the type, so that not all of these types apply to the Riemann tensor of a generic, non-vacuum spacetime.)
exists a spacetime conformal to it that admits a covariantly constant timelike vector field (and thus obeys (5)). This is consistent with the result of [16] for static spacetimes. Note, indeed, that for any static spacetimes there will not be a W AND, so that the type is G (algebraically general), but with the constraints (6). The equations in the first column are essentially equivalent to the standard Bel–Debever conditions. For instance, for type III one has $C_{abcd}^{\alpha} = \lambda_{cd}^{\alpha}$, which for type N reduces to $C_{abcd}^{\alpha} = 0$ (since $\epsilon$ and $\delta$ are arbitrary in those cases). In the particular case of vacuum spacetimes, the geometric interpretation discussed in the main text and in table 2 apply, and the Petrov types describe the invariance properties of the corresponding PND(s) under parallel transport.

In the case when $R_{abcd}^{\alpha} = 0$, since a non-null direction cannot be otherwise preserved under parallel transport. We restrict here to the case when this holds for any infinitesimal parallelogram (other possibilities can be handled similarly). We then have

$$R_{abcd}^{\alpha} = 0. \quad (5)$$

We observe that this equation arises also in the study of spacetimes with a covariantly constant vector field $t$, i.e. when the holonomy is (a subgroup of) $SO(n-1)$, and it is thus of particular interest to clarify how it constraints the Weyl type.

Without loss of generality we can take $t$ to be normalized to $-1$. Now, any null vector must be of the form $\alpha(t \pm s)$, where $s \perp t$ is an appropriate spacelike vector (normalized to +1). In particular, we can always construct a null frame with $l = (-t + s)/\sqrt{2}$, $n = (t + s)/\sqrt{2}$, where $l$ is an arbitrary null vector (and $n$ is its ‘time-reflected’). Then equation (5) is equivalent to $R_{0abc} = R_{abc}$, which gives the following conditions on frame components:

$$R_{00ij} = R_{01i} = R_{01j} = R_{10ij}, \quad R_{00ij} = 0 = R_{10ij}, \quad R_{01jk} = R_{11jk},$$

$$R_{0101} = 0. \quad (6)$$

These equations constrain the Riemann type of any spacetime that admits a timelike vector satisfying (5) (one also has $R_{0ij} = 0$ but this is not independent of (6)). More specifically, it is easy to see that the only possible Weyl types are G, I, D and O.\(^5\) The Ricci tensor is also highly constrained and, in particular, proper Einstein spacetimes are not permitted (a contraction of (5) gives $R_{abcd}^{\alpha} = 0$).

Let us discuss in more detail the case of vacuum spacetimes, i.e. $R_{abcd} = C_{abcd}$. In general $l$ will not be a WAND, so that the type is G (algebraically general), but with the constraints (6). In the case when $l$ is a WAND (i.e. $C_{00ij} = 0$), then by (6) also $n$ is; the additional constraints now are $C_{01ij} = 0$ (or $\Phi_{ij} = 0$ in the notation of [16]), $C_{0001} = 0 = C_{1001}$, $C_{01jk} = C_{11jk}$.

\(^5\) This is consistent with the result of [16] for static spacetimes. Note, indeed, that for any static spacetimes there exists a spacetime conformal to it that admits a covariantly constant timelike vector field (and thus obeys (5)).
and the type is $I_{ia}$ (meaning types $I_i$ and $I_a$, with respect to both WANDs, simultaneously).
If, in addition, $I$ is a *multiple* WAND (i.e. $C_{ijk} = 0$), then $n$ is multiple as well, and (since $\Phi_1ij = 0$) the type is $D_{abd}$. In four and five dimensions this actually means that the Weyl tensor vanishes and that the spacetime is flat (see [7, 23] and the comments in the caption of table 1). However, this is not the case for $n > 5$, since the Weyl part of $C_{ijkl}$ can still be non-zero. To summarize, only the algebraic types $G$, $I_{ia}$, $D_{abd}$ (and $O$) are compatible with (5) in vacuum.

Let us finally just mention that studying (5) when $t$ is spacelike does not seem to be of great interest in this context, in general. Indeed, given any Lorentzian metric (of any Riemann/Weyl type) one can add extra-dimensions by taking a direct product with a flat metric. Any spacelike vector with non-zero components only along the extra-dimensions will automatically satisfy (5) in the product geometry, without imposing any additional constraints on the Riemann/Weyl tensor (cf [23] for $n = 4$).
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---

6 For instance, non-flat vacuum spacetimes of type $D_{abd}$ can be easily constructed in $n \geq 6$ dimensions by taking a direct product of a Minkowski space with a curved but Ricci-flat Riemannian space (these are the $pp$-waves of type $D$ mentioned in [13]—cf the results of [16] for the properties of the Weyl tensor of direct product geometries). Less trivial examples are provided by the exceptional $'mu = 0'$ Robinson-Trautman spacetimes [24] (see [25, 16] for explicit solutions in any $n \geq 7$ dimensions).