Investigation of new candidate genes in retinoblastoma using the TruSight One “clinical exome” gene panel
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Abstract

Background: Retinoblastoma (Rb) is the most prevalent intraocular pediatric malignancy of the retina. Significant genetic factors are known to have a role in the development of Rb.

Methods: Here, we report the mutation status of 4813 clinically significant genes in six patients with noncarrier of RB1 gene mutation and having normal RB1 promoter methylation from three families having higher risk for developing Rb in the study.

Results: A total of 27 variants were detected in the study. Heterozygous missense variants c.1162G > A (p.Gly388Arg) in the FGFR4 gene; c.559C > T (p.Pro187Ser) in the NQO1 gene were identified. The family based evaluation of the variants showed that the variant, c.714T > G (p.Tyr238Ter), in the CLEC7A gene in first family; the variant, c.55C > T (p.Arg19Ter), in the APOC3 gene and the variant, c.1171C > T (p.Gln391Ter), in the MUTYH gene in second family; and the variant, c.211G > A (p.Gly71Arg), in the UGT1A1 gene in the third family, were found statistically significant (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: This study might be an important report on emphazing the mutational status of other genes in patients without RB1 gene mutations and having high risk for developing Rb. The study also indicates the interaction between the retinoic acid pathway and Rb oncogenesis for the first time.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The effects of tumor supressor genes in cancer were first identified in retinoblastoma (Rb) which is a rare pediatric cancer (Knudson, 1971). Therefore, retinoblastoma was described as a model system for the better understanding of the tumor supressor genes. Rb is the most prevalent intraocular pediatric malignancy of the retina (Jagadeesan, Khetan, & Mallipatna, 2016). Rb is usually reported as two different forms; hereditary in 25%–35%, and nonhereditary in 65%–75%. Eighty-five per cent of hereditary tumors are detected in the early age (Murphree, Samuel, Harbour, & Mansfield, 2006). Children with bilateral Rb account for approximately 40% of the patients (Draper, Sanders, Brownbill, & Hawkins, 2016).
1992). Approximately, 20% of children diagnosed with bilateral Rb have a family history (Chintagumpala, Chevez-Barrios, Payesse, Plon, & Hurwitz, 2007). All bilateral tumors are hereditary, some of the unilateral may be hereditary as well. Patients with hereditary Rb have a risk for developing secondary malignancies such as osteosarcoma, soft tissue sarcomas and melanomas (Wong et al., 1997).

The incidence of Rb is higher in developing countries (Pandey, 2014). The cause of this high incidence rate is unknown. Significant genetic factors are known to have a role in the development of Rb. The disease is known to be initiated by the mutations in the retinoblastoma gene (RB1) in accordance with the current literature. The RB1 gene (Gene ID: 5925, OMIM 614041) produces a nuclear protein called pRB weighing 105 kD. This protein functions as a tumor suppressor, and is involved in the cell regulation, proliferation, and prevents rapid or uncontrolled division of cells (Chaussade et al., 2018).

RB1 gene includes a wide variety of mutations, including single nucleotide variations, small insertions and deletions (INDELs), and large deletions or duplications. The genetic tests include the screening of genome of 27 exons of RB1 gene, and close intronic areas by Sanger sequencing, and detection of large rearrangements (large deletions, and duplications) by MLPA analysis. However, these methods are time and money consuming. The next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology is an important research tool which is an effective and high throughput. However, it is unclear how the disease develops in patients who are noncarriers for the mutations of RB1 gene including large rearrangements after the mutation screening by Sanger method and MLPA analysis. The structural alterations of the other genes would be suggested to be responsible in the development of the disease detected in childhood. According to the recent literature, although many gene expression profiles (Chakraborty et al., 2007; Ganguly & Shields, 2010), and methylation levels (Indovina et al., 2010; Livide et al., 2012) were investigated in Rb disease, no information on the structural alterations of different genes were reported. Therefore, the role of the mutations in other genes that may be responsible for the disease occurrence is still unclear in Rb pathogenesis. The aim of this study was to investigate possible candidate genes associated with Rb oncogenesis in retinoblastoma patients without RB1 gene mutations including INDELS and large rearrangements and having normal RB1 promoter methylation and having a heavy family history by using NGS-based technology.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Editorial policies and ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Local and Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Istanbul University (Number of ethical approval: 2016-360); according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki (JAMA 1997; 277:925-926). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants or parents of children under 18 years of age. This work was supported by Scientific Research Projects Coordination Unit of Istanbul University (Project number: 21460).

2.2 | Clinical diagnosis and patients

Five patients diagnosed with Rb and one patient with the retinoma, all diagnosed and treated in the Istanbul University, Oncology Institute, Division of Pediatric Hematology-Oncology and in the Istanbul University, Istanbul Medical Faculty, Department of Ophthalmology between 2011 and 2016 were enrolled in the study. The blood specimens were collected from the patients without RB1 mutation including large rearrangements and without RB1 promoter methylation from three families. In two members of each three families RB1 (RefSeq NM_000321.2 and chromosome 13 co-ordinates in hg19) gene mutation was initially screened for small INDEL mutation with Sanger Sequencing and for large rearrengements by MLPA analysis. In the first family; a unilateral Rb patient and his second degree relative with retinoma were tested for RB1 gene mutation. In the second family; again a unilateral Rb patient, who has fibrosarcoma, and his first degree cousin with retinoblastoma were investigated for RB1 gene mutation. In the third family; two siblings with bilateral Rb were tested for RB1 gene mutation. At least two members from the same family who had retinoblastoma or retinoma without RB1 gene mutations were selected. Thus six patients from three families with two members in each family were selected (Table 1).

2.3 | DNA sample preparation

The peripheral blood samples were collected from available members of the three families. Genomic DNA of all six patients were searched TruSight One panel of 4813 genes associated with human disease by NGS-based sequencing technology.

First, lymphocyte isolation was performed from the whole blood samples using the Ficoll (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) separation method. The DNA isolation was performed from the pellets of lymphocytes using the QIAamp DNA mini kit (Qiagen, 40724 Hilden, Germany) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantification of genomic DNAs was measured by Qubit fluorometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Paisley PA4 9RF, UK) and then the concentration of DNAs was adjusted to 10 ng/µl using 10 mM pH 8.5 Tris-HCl. The fluorometric measurement was repeated, and the concentration was adjusted to 5 ng/µl with the same buffer solution, and 50 ng was prepared for use.
2.4 | Library generation and next-generation sequencing

The TruSight One “clinical exome” panel kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) was used for sequencing the whole gene regions of 4813 genes associated with human disease in the study. In accordance with the kit protocol; genomic DNA tagmentation, cleaning up of the tagmented DNA, cleaning up of the accumulated DNA, hybridization of the probes, second hybridization, second catch, cleaning up of the cached library, accumulation of the enriched library, cleaning up of the accumulated enriched library, and bioanalyzer device (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) were performed. The generated library was sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq 500 device (Illumina, San Diego, CA) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The 27 pathogenic variants were identified in selected six patients from three families are indicated position according to reference transcript

- **ACADS** (NM_000017.3)
- **APOC3** (NM_000040.2)
- **ATP6V0A4** (NM_020632.2)
- **CFB** (NM_001710.5)
- **CLEC7A** (NM_197947.2)
- **CX3CR1** (NM_001171174.1)
- **DPP4** (NM_014208.3)
- **FGFR4** (NM_002011.4)
- **FUT6** (NM_000150.2)
- **GBE1** (NM_000158.3)
- **GHRL** (NM_00134944.1)
- **GNPAT** (NM_014236.3)
- **HBD** (NM_000519.3)
- **HFE** (NM_000410.3)
- **KRT85** (NM_002283.3)
- **MBL2** (NM_000242.2)
- **MCCC2** (NM_022132.4)
- **MUTYH** (NM_001128425.1)
- **NQO1** (NM_000903.2)
- **RHAG** (NM_000324.2)
- **RPGRIP1** (NM_020366.3)
- **SERPINA1** (NM_001002235.2)
- **SLC34A1** (NM_003052.4)
- **TYR** (NM_000372.4)
- **UGT1A1** (NM_000463.2)

2.5 | Data analysis and interpretation of the results

The Variant Studio v3.0 (Illumina) software was used for the analysis of data. The data obtained after sequencing from the Illumina NextSeq 500 device were first converted into VCF file format, and the files were uploaded to the software program using the Illumina VariantStudio desktop receiver. The data were annotated in the Illumina VariantStudio program. The comprehensive database of this software catches the explanations at variant, gene, and transcript levels. The variant effect predictor is a central resource for the annotation of the transcript results (McLaren et al., 2016), which is a variant program that uses the databases such as NCBI Reference sequence database (RefSeq) (OLeary et al., 2016), and the in silico algorithms such as Polymorphism Phenotyping (PolyPhen) (Adzhubei et al., 2010), and SIFT (Kumar, Henikoff, & Ng, 2009). The information about the association with the disease was obtained through the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC) (Forbes et al., 2017), from ClinVar database (Landrum et al., 2018), and from the catalogue of the

| TABLE 1 | The clinical features of the patients |
| --- | --- |
| Family ID | Patient no.a |
| Fm1 | 1/II‐7 |
| Fm1 | 1/III‐2 |
| Fm2 | 2/IV‐2 |
| Fm2 | 2/IV‐7 |
| Fm3 | 3/III‐1 |
| Fm3 | 3/III‐2 |

| Diagnosis and laterality | Tumor site/stageb |
| --- | --- |
| Unilateral retinoma | L |
| Unilateral Rb | L/Group C |
| Unilateral Rb | L/Group E |
| Bilateral Rb | L/Group A |
| Bilateral Rb | L/Group A |
| Bilateral Rb | L/Group B |

| OS (year)/final situation | Consanguinity |
| --- | --- |
| 32 years/alive | Uncle |
| 6 years/alive | Cousin |
| 8 years/alive | Cousin |
| 8 years/alive | Brother |

| Abbreviations: Fm, family; M, male; F, female; L, left eye; R, right eye; RT, radiotherapy; CT, chemotherapy; IAC, intraarterial chemotherapy; LOT, local ophthalmic treatment; OS, Overall Survival; FS, Final Situation |

Patient numbers are coded according to the order in the family pedigree.
Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) (McKusick, 2007). The resources, dbSNP (Sherry et al., 2001), Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) and Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD) (Lek et al., 2016), and Ensembl 1000 Genomes Project (Genomes Project et al., 2015) provide information about the occurrence, and frequencies of the variants in a population. The obtained variants were evaluated considering the >Q30 reading quality, and >50 confidence score. All the data about the variants, and information on the algorithms were evaluated in all the related databases. Various filtering options were used for identification of the phenotypes of the variants that were performed annotation procedure. In the study, the variants with particularly pathogenic according to ClinVar records were investigated in details. The variants have not been previously reported in the literature or databases with Rb were identified as candidate variants. The defined variants were labeled in accordance with the recommendation standards of the American College of Medical Genetics, and Genomics (ACMG) (Richards et al., 2008). In order to confirm the all pathogenic variants identified by NGS, PCR amplification, and bidirectional Sanger sequencing was performed using standard reagents and conditions, and oligonucleotide primers flanking the variants.

2.6 The analysis of the functional association between genes

The database for annotation, visualization and integrated discovery (DAVID) v.6.8 [Laboratory of Immunopathogenesis and Bioinformatics (LIB)], and STRING Functional Protein Association Network v.10.5 were used for the interpretation of the functional association between the genes that were known to have pathogenic variants after the analysis (Huang da, Sherman, & Lempicki, 2009a, 2009b; Szklarczyk et al., 2017).

2.7 Statistical analysis

All clinical and genetic data were evaluated using the IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) Statistics v.20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) program. The Chi-square test was used to compare the results of VariantStudio analysis for both based on patient, and between the patients for the clinical, and genetic data. The results with a \( p < 0.05 \) were accepted as statistically significant.

3 RESULTS

3.1 The clinical and genetic information of the patients

Six patients from three families with two members in each family who were noncarriers of \( RB1 \) gene mutations and normal \( RB1 \) promoter methylation were selected. The cases in the first family consisted of an uncle and a nephew, in the second family consisted of two of five first-degree cousins, and in the third family consisted of two siblings. The uncle in the first family was diagnosed with unilateral retinoma and has been under follow-up. Two patients (33.3%) had unilateral Rb, three patients (50%) had bilateral Rb and one (16.7%) had unilateral retinoma. Four patients (66.7%) were male and two were female (33.3%). The median age of the patients was 7.5 months with range of 1.5–18 months at diagnosis. Four patients (66.7%) had presented with leukocoria and esotropia; one patient (16.7%) had exotropia at
diagnosis. One of the patients (2/IV-2) developed fibrosarcoma as a second malignancy 10.4/12 years after the diagnosis of retinoblastoma at the radiation site and died due to progressive disease.

Four patients, patients 1/III-2, 2/IV-7, 3/III-1, 3/III-2, received systemic chemotherapy (CT) for chemoreduction and local ophthalmic treatment (LOT) (laser, cryotherapy); one patient, 2/IV-2, had CT and radiotherapy (RT) and underwent enucleation due to relapse. Two patients, 3/III-1 and 3/III-2, received CT and LOT, on follow-up developed new lesions, they received intraarterial chemotherapy, due to further progression underwent enucleation. Only one patient, 2/IV-2, developed fibrosarcoma, 11 years after primary treatment in the irradiated site. The clinical characteristics, treatment and outcome of the six patients are given in Table 1. The pedigrees of families who were included in the study are given in Figures 1–3.

### 3.2 | Evaluation of the analysis results

The mutation status of 4813 clinically significant genes were screened using the TruSight One “clinical exome” panel by NGS in RB1-negative six patients from three families. The number of variants for each patient before, and after the annotation and filtration process are shown in Table 2.

A total of 608.668 variants were found in the evaluation of the sequenced data of all patients (1/II-7, 1/III-2, 2/IV-2, 2/IV-7, 3/III-1, 3/III-2). However, the number of these variants decreased to 63 when the variants were filtered according to ClinVar pathogenic records about frameshift, stop gained, stop lost, initiator codon, inframe insertion, inframe deletion, and splice region mutations and according to Polyphen for “damaging” and to SIFT for “deleterious” about missense. Then, 27 pathogenic variants were detected after scanning on ALAMUT, HGMD and dbSNP databases. The information of the variants is shown in Table 3.

The heterozygous variant in FGFR4 gene (GRCh37 Chr5:176520243, NM_002011.4:c.1162G > A p.Gly388Arg) commonly detected in five out of six patients (83.3%), was striking. Four patients (66.7%) had a pathogenic variant in NQO1 gene (GRCh37 Chr16:69745145, NM_000903.2:c.559C > T p.Pro187Ser). Commonly observed variants in three of the six patients (50%) were ACADS gene (GRCh37 Chr12:121176083, NM_000017.3:c.625G > A p.Gly209Ser), CX3CR1 gene (GRCh37 Chr3:39307162, NM_001171174.1:c.935C > T p.Thr312Met), GBE1 gene (GRCh37 Chr3:81691938, NM_000158.3:c.986A > G p.Tyr329Cys), KRT85 gene (GRCh37 Chr12:121176083, NM_000017.3:c.625G > A p.Arg402Gln). The presence of mutations in the determined genes in the majority of patients indicates a statistically significant relationship between these genes, and Rb (p < 0.05).

The family-based evaluation of the analysis results showed a variant in CLEC7A gene (GRCh37 Chr12:10271087, NM_197947.2:c.714T > G p.Tyr238Ter) in the first family; a variant in APOC3 gene (GRCh37...
### Table 3: The list of 27 pathogenic mutations

| Patient No. | Genes (Reference transcript according to HGVS) | Mutations | dbSNP number | Type of Mutations | Primary Region of Effected in COSMIC |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1/II-7; 1/III-2; 3/III-2 | `ACADS (NM_000017.3)` | c.625G > A (p.Gly209Ser) | rs1799958 | missense_variant | Liver; soft tissue; breast |
| 2/IV-2; 2/IV-7 | `APOC3 (NM_000040.2)` | c.55C > T (p.Arg19Ter) | rs76353203 | nonsense_variant | na |
| 1/II-7 | `ATP6V0A4 (NM_020632.2)` | c.1739T > C (p.Met580Thr) | rs3807153 | missense_variant | Skin; soft tissue |
| 1/II-7 | `C2 (NM_000063.4)` | c.954G > C (p.Glu318Asp) | rs9332739 | missense_variant | Central nervous system; soft tissue |
| 1/II-7 | `CFB (NM_001710.5)` | c.26T > A (p.Leu9His) | rs4151667 | missense_variant | Soft tissue |
| 1/II-7; 1/III-2; 2/IV-2; 2/IV-7 | `CLEC7A (NM_197947.2)` | c.714T > G (p.TYR238Ter) | rs16910526 | nonsense_variant | Soft tissue |
| 2/IV-7 | `DSPP (NM_014208.3)` | c.202A > T (p.Arg68Trp) | rs36094464 | missense_variant | Soft tissue |
| 1/II-7; 2/IV-2; 2/IV-7; 3/III-1; 3/III-2 | `FGFR4 (NM_002011.4)` | c.1162G > A (p.Gly388Arg) | rs351855 | missense_variant | Thyroid; soft tissue; soft tissue |
| 2/IV-7 | `FUT6 (NM_000158.3)` | c.739G > A (p.Glu247Lys) | rs1785739 | missense_variant | Soft tissue; hematopoietic and lymphatic tissue |
| 2/IV-7 | `GBE1 (NM_000158.3)` | c.986A > G (p.TYR329Cys) | rs80338671 | missense_variant | na |
| 2/IV-7 | `GHRL (NM_00134944.1)` | c.178C > A (p.Leu60Met) | rs696217 | missense_variant | Soft tissue |
| 1/II-7 | `GNPAT (NM_014236.3)` | c.1556A > G (p.Asp519Gly) | rs11558492 | missense_variant | Hematopoietic and lymphatic tissue |
| 3/III-1 | `HBD (NM_000903.2)` | c.82G > T (p.Ala28Ser) | rs35152987 | missense_variant | na |
| 1/II-7 | `HFE (NM_000150.2)` | c.187C > G (p.His63Asp) | rs1799945 | missense_variant | Pancreas; soft tissue |
| 1/II-7; 1/III-2; 2/IV-7 | `KRT85 (NM_002283.3)` | c.233G > A (p.Arg78His) | rs61630004 | missense_variant | Thyroid |
| 2/IV-2 | `MBL2 (NM_000042.2)` | c.161G > A (p.Gly54Asp) | rs1800450 | missense_variant | Skin; soft tissue |
| 1/III-2 | `MCCC2 (NM_022132.4)` | c.1015G > A (p.Val339Met) | rs150591260 | missense_variant | na |
| 3/III-1 | `MUTYH (NM_001128425.1)` | c.1171C > T (p.Gln391Ter) | rs587783057 | missense_variant | Colon |
| 1/II-7; 1/III-2; 2/IV-2; 2/IV-7 | `NQO1 (NM_0000903.2)` | c.559C > T (p.Pro187Ser) | rs1800566 | missense_variant | Large_intestine; biliary_tract; prostate; stomach; soft_tissue |
| 1/II-7 | `RHAG (NM_000324.2)` | c.808G > A (p.Val270Ile) | rs16879498 | missense_variant | na |
| Cited cancer in COSMIC | MAF    | SIFT<sup>c</sup>         | PolyPhen<sup>d</sup> | ClinVar                      |
|------------------------|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Carcinoma; rhabdomyosarcoma; carcinoma | 0.2586 | Deleterious (0.01)        | Benign (0.342)         | Pathogenic: benign           |
| na                     | 0.0006032 | na                        | na                    | Pathogenic                  |
| Malign melanoma; rhabdomyosarcoma | 0.06794 | Deleterious (0.03)        | Benign (0.392)         | Pathogenic                  |
| Primitive neuroectodermal tumor-medulloblastoma; rhabdomyosarcoma | 0.03853 | Tolerated (0.23)          | Probably damaging (0.933) | Pathogenic                  |
| Rhabdomyosarcoma | 0.03865 | Tolerated (score: 0.3)    | Probably damaging (0.999) | Pathogenic                  |
| Rhabdomyosarcoma | 0.06091 | na                        | na                    | Pathogenic                  |
| Carcinoma; rhabdomyosarcoma | 0.1376 | Deleterious (0.03)        | Benign (0.333)         | Pathogenic                  |
| Rhabdomyosarcoma | 0.09294 | na                        | Probably damaging (0.992) | Pathogenic                  |
| Other; rhabdomyosarcoma; hemangioblastoma | 0.3209 | Tolerated (0.2)           | Possibly damaging (0.742) | Pathogenic                  |
| Rhabdomyosarcoma; hematologic tumors | 0.08068 | Deleterious (0)           | Probably damaging (0.917) | Pathogenic                  |
| na                     | 0.0004343 | na                        | Probably damaging (0.999) | Pathogenic                  |
| Rhabdomyosarcoma | 0.08584 | Deleterious (score: 0.04) | Probably damaging (1.000) | Pathogenic                  |
| Hematologic tumors | 0.1608 | Deleterious (0.03)        | Benign (0.097)         | Pathogenic                  |
| na                     | 0.002054 | Tolerated (0.11)          | Possibly damaging (0.68) | Pathogenic                  |
| Carcinoma; rhabdomyosarcoma | 0.1083 | Tolerated (0.74)          | Probably damaging (0.974) | Pathogenic                  |
| Other | 0.03779 | Tolerated (0.38)          | Probably damaging (0.991) | Pathogenic                  |
| Malign melanoma; rhabdomyosarcoma | 0.1378 | Deleterious (0)           | Probably damaging (0.999) | Pathogenic                  |
| na                     | 0.05500 | Deleterious (0)           | Probably damaging (0.988) | Pathogenic                  |
| na                     | 0.0007506 | Deleterious - low confidence (0.01) | Probably damaging (0.952) | Pathogenic: drug response |
| Carcinoma | 0.00001629 | na                        | na                    | Pathogenic                  |
| Colon; bile tract; prostate; stomach; soft tissue | 0.2469 | Deleterious (0)           | Probably damaging (0.999) | Pathogenic: drug response |
| na                     | 0.04170 | Deleterious (0)           | Possibly damaging (0.519) | Pathogenic                  |

(Continues)
and a variant in \textit{MUTYH} gene (GRCh37 Chr1:45797348, NM_001128425.1:c.1171C > T p.Gln391Ter) in the second family, and a variant in \textit{UGT1A1} gene (GRCh37 Chr2:234669144, NM_000463.2:c.211G > A p.Gly71Arg) in the third family were found to be statistically significant (\(p < 0.05\)). Family-specific pathogenic variants were shown in Table 3.

The evaluation of the patients in terms of prognosis, and survival showed that the patient 2/IV-2 was diagnosed with a secondary tumor and died. The comparison of 2/IV-2, with other patients, showed that there was a pathogenic variant in the \textit{DSPP} gene (GRCh37 Chr4:88533540, NM_014208.3: c.202A > T p.Arg68Trp); and a variant in the \textit{MLB2} gene (GRCh37 Chr10:54531242, NM_000242.2:c.161G > A p.Gly54Asp). Patient 2/IV-2 specific pathogenic variants in terms of prognosis and survival were shown in Table 3.

### Table 3 (Continued)

| Patient No. | Genes (Reference transcript according to HGVS) | Mutations | dbSNP number | Type of Mutations | Primary Region of Effected in COSMIC |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1/II-7; 2/IV-2 | \textit{RPGRIP1} (NM_020366.3) | c.1639G > T (p.Ala547Ser) | rs10151259 | missense_variant | na |
| 1/III-2 | \textit{SERPINA1} (NM_001002235.2) | c.1177C > T (p.Pro393Ser) | rs61761869 | missense_variant | na |
| 1/II-7 | \textit{SLC34A1} (NM_003052.4) | c.272_292del21 (p.Val91_Ala97del) | rs19984403 | inframe_deletion | na |
| 1/II-7; 2/IV-2; 2/IV-7 | \textit{TYR} (NM_000372.4) | c.1205G > A (p.Arg402Gln) | rs1126809 | missense_variant | Skin; esophagus; cervix |
| 3/III-1; 3/III-2 | \textit{UGT1A1}\(^a\) (NM_000463.2) | c.211G > A (p.Gly71Arg) | rs4148323 | missense_variant | Soft tissue; hematopoietic and lymphatic tissue |

Abbreviations: COSMIC, The Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer; MAF; minor allele frequency from the Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) and Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD) datasets; na, not available.

\(^a\)Family-specific pathogenic variants.

\(^b\)Patient 2/IV-2 specific pathogenic variants in terms of prognosis and survival.

\(^c\)SIFT value prediction ranges from 0 to 1. Prediction of damaging or tolerated if the score shows \(\leq 0.05\) or \(> 0.05\), respectively.

\(^d\)Polyphen value prediction ranges from 0 to 1. A variant is appraised qualitatively, as benign (0.00-0.15), possibly damaging (0.16-0.85), or probably damaging (0.86-1.00).

### Table 4 The gene sets associated with metabolic pathways

| Pathway | Effects of genes on metabolic pathways in cells or organisms | Associated genes |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| KEGG Pathway | Complement and coagulation cascade | \textit{C2; SERPINA1; MLB2; CFB} |
| KEGG Pathway | Fagosome | \textit{ATP6V0A4; CLEC7A; MLB2} |
| KEGG Pathway | Staphylococcus Aureus Infection | \textit{C2; MLB2; CFB} |
| REACTOME Pathway | Catalysis | \textit{C2; MLB2} |
| KEGG Pathway | Tuberculosis | \textit{ATP6V0A4; CLEC7A} |
| REACTOME Pathway | Regulation of the complement cascade | \textit{C2; CFB} |
| \textit{KEGG Pathway} | Valine, Leucine, and isoleucine catabolism | \textit{ACADS; MCCC2} |
| REACTOME Pathway | Spontaneous Separation of the C3 converters | \textit{C2; CFB} |
| REACTOME Pathway | Catalysis | \textit{C2; CFB} |
| \textit{KEGG Pathway} | Starch and sucrose metabolism | \textit{UGT1A1; GBE1} |

Abbreviation: KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.
Table 3

| Cited cancer in COSMIC                                                                 | MAF     | SIFTc | PolyPhend | ClinVar            |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------|-----------|--------------------|
| na                                                                                    | 0.2041  | Deleterious (0.04) | Benign (0.259) | Pathogenic:benign  |
| na                                                                                    | 0.0002741 | Deleterious (0)   | Probably damaging (0.988) | Pathogenic        |
| na                                                                                    | 0       | na    | na        | Pathogenic         |
| Malign melanoma; carcinoma; carcinoma                                                 | 0.1764  | Deleterious (0.03) | Probably damaging (0.941) | Pathogenic        |
| Rhabdomyosarcoma; hematologic tumors                                                  | 0.02130 | Tolerated (score: 0.42) | Probably damaging (0.982) | Pathogenic:likely |

were found associated with the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), and REACTOME pathways (Table 4).

Three particular significant metabolic pathways were detected in DAVID database in the study. Four genes, *C2, CFB, MBL2*, and *SERPINA1* (p: 0.00055) were found effective in complement and coagulation cascade on the immune system, three genes, *C2, CFB, MBL2* (p: 0.008) were found effective in *S. aureus* infection and three genes, *ATP6V0A4, CLEC7A, MBL2* (p: 0.05) were found effective in the occurrence of cellular phagocytosis and there was an association between mutations and Rb in the study.

3.4 | The analysis of protein–protein interactions

The interactions between proteins in the STRING database were analyzed, and shown in Figure 4. Accordingly, a total of 27 nodes (circles), and 12 edges were identified. The results of the evaluation of this database are intended to be specific and meaningful, that is the proteins contribute to a common function, but this does not mean that they are physically linked to each other. This protein network obtained after the analysis showed a more significant level of protein interactions than expected (p: 0.0000097). This fact means that the protein set obtained in the study has more interactivity than would be expected from a random set of proteins at the same size in the genome. This indicates that the protein group is at least partly biologically involved or associated with each other.

4 | DISCUSSION

Significant genetic factors are known to have a role in the development of Rb. Development of Rb is thought to be due to mutations in the *RB1* gene. Genetic factors that are responsible for retinoblastoma are not all yet identified in patients who do not have the *RB1* gene mutations. This is an important problem for Rb oncogenesis and need to be investigated. Changes in the number of the copies in the other genes in addition to *RB1* are frequently detected in Rb. An acquisition ranging 4–10 copies in the *MDM4, KIF14* (1q32), *MYCN* (2p24), *DEK*, and *E2F3* (6p22) oncogenes, and a loss in the *CDH11* (16q22-24) tumor suppressor gene has been reported (Corson & Gallie, 2007). The different expression profiles of some microRNAs on Rb have been suggested to be related to the let-7b downregulation (Huang et al., 2007). Single nucleotide deletion, and insertions on the genes *BCOR* and *CREBBP* might be associated with Rb (Kooi et al., 2016). Zhang et al. reported that *SYK* protooncogene was shown to be overexpressed in Rb, and thus may have triggered the development of malignant cell. Furthermore, in the same study, after the full gene sequencing of 11 genes in patients diagnosed with Rb, the mutation merely reported was on the gene *BCOR* (Zhang et al., 2012). According to McEvoy et al., mutations in *BCOR* gene as well chromothripsis as a cause of retinoblastoma (McEvoy et al., 2014). In our study we detected 26 genes that had 27 pathogenic variants that may play a role in the pathogenesis of Rb.

This study differs from other studies in two points. First, none of the six patients had the *RB1* mutation and abnormal *RB1* promoter methylation. Second, all patients had a family history of retinoblastoma since there were two members with Rb in each family all of whom had consanguinity. The results of this study would provide significant clues about the Rb oncogenesis, and could identify new the pathway of Rb disease. The study also indicated the
commonly detected genes in patients and the genes specified for the families were found remarkable and informative for Rb disease. Particularly the CLEC7A gene in the first family; APOC3 and MUTYH genes in the second family, and UGT1A1 gene in the third family may be new candidate and specific genes for these families that triggered the occurrence of Rb, since none had a RB1 gene mutation and abnormal RB1 promoter methylation. To understand effects of these genes on the heritage of disease based on families and roles in oncogenesis of retinoblastoma, it is recommended to investigate the patients throughout at least three generations in the future.

We detected the c.714T > G (p.Tyr238Ter) variant in the CLEC7A gene in the first family (1/II-7, 1/III-2). CLEC7A is also known as the Dectin-1. According to literature, an association between the Dectin-1 immunodeficiency and mucocutaneous fungal infections have been detected in the eye (Klotz, Penn, Negvesky, & Butrus, 2000). Four women from the same family who were immunodeficient were reported to have the c.714T > G (p.Tyr238Ter) mutation in the CLEC7A gene and fungal infection (Ferwerda et al., 2009). This mutation was detected in patients 1/II-7 and 1/III-2 from the same family in our study. There was no significant history of immunodeficiency or infection in our patients. Moreover, two pathogen recognition receptors, Dectin-1 and Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) metabolizes Vitamin A, and transforms to retinoic acid in dendritic cells (DCs)(Manicassamy et al., 2009). CLEC7A gene has been demonstrated to be effective in the retinoic acid pathway. This gene might be a candidate gene in the pathogenesis of the retinoblastoma disease in the first family and also oncogenesis of retinoblastoma.

The pathogenic c.55C > T (p.Arg19Ter) variant was found in APOC3 gene in the second family (2/IV-2, 2/IV-7). APOC3 is a lipoprotein with a significantly low density. The increase in the level of APOC3 results in hypertriglyceridemia which is a metabolic complication of the retinoid therapy. Retinoids
increase the \textit{APOC3} expression in transcriptional level through retinoid X receptor (RXR). The increase in \textit{APOC3} expression and its release by the retinoids in the liver demonstrating \textit{APOC3} might be a retinoid response gene (Vu-Dac et al., 1998). The change in this gene which is known to have an association with the retinal pathway was suggested to be associated with Rb. However, pathogenic c.1171C > T (p.Gly391Ter) variant was detected in the \textit{MUTYH} gene in the same family. \textit{MUTYH} is known to have a role in the DNA damage repair. This gene cannot inhibit the accumulation and occurrence of mutation on DNA when it has a mutation. The mutations on the \textit{MUTYH} gene have been associated with the autosomal recessive form of the syndrome of familial adenomatous polyposis (MYH associated polyposis) (Ali et al., 2008). The detection of a pathogenic variant on \textit{MUTYH} gene in two patients, 2/IV-2 and 2/IV-7, in our study may suggest a risk for MYH-associated polyposis, and colon cancer in the future. The patient 2/IV-2 was diagnosed with unilateral Rb, and died of fibrosarcoma in the proceeding years of life; 2/IV-7 was diagnosed with bilateral Rb, and three first-degree cousins in the same family were diagnosed with unilateral Rb, and one cousin was diagnosed with rhabdomyosarcoma; which suggested that this variant might be associated with the Rb disease. The STRING protein-protein analysis showed that \textit{MUTYH} gene, and \textit{RB1} gene had a significant association. This association between \textit{MUTYH} gene and \textit{RB1} gene may suggest the possibility that this variant might be responsible for the occurrence of Rb in this family. In addition, in families with \textit{MUTYH} gene mutation exist a risk for a predisposition to juvenile colon cancer as others reported having. To clarify this association, this pathogenic variant must be investigated in future studies in the other individuals diagnosed with Rb in the family and also in large patients cohort and population-based healthy controls.

The c.211G > A (p.Gly71Arg), pathogenic variant was detected in \textit{UGT1A1} gene in the third family (3/III-1, and 3/III-2). \textit{UGT1A1}, performs a chemical reaction named as glucuronidation (Gong et al., 2001). An association was demonstrated on chemical reaction of \textit{UGT1A1} and 13-cis retinoic acid in the literature. 13-cis retinoic acid is known as the retinol derivative which organizes numerous biological procedures including embriogenesis, growth, differentiation, vision, and reproduction (Evans & Kaye, 1999). Twenty-one functional UGT isoforms, which catalyze the glucuronidation most of which consisting of various environmental carcinogens, nutritional chemopreventives, and anticancer agents in human, have been described (Nagar & Remmel, 2006). The detection of c.211G > A (p.Gly71Arg) pathogenic variant in \textit{UGT1A1} gene in patients 3/III-1 and 3/III-2 suggested that this mutation might have triggered the occurrence of cancer by affecting the retinoic acid metabolism in patients.

In addition, the \textit{FGFR4} and \textit{NQO1} genes detected in the majority of the patients might be thought to be effective candidate genes in the Rb etiology and pathogenesis. To understand the exact role of these genes in Rb etiology and pathogenesis, the alterations of these genes must be investigated in large patient groups with the familial segregation and compared with population-based healthy controls. We detected the c.559C > T (p.Pro187Ser) pathogenic variant in the gene \textit{NQO1} in patients, 1/II-7, 1/III-2, 2/IV-2, and 2/IV-7. \textit{NQO1} gene is named as the anticancer enzyme because \textit{NQO1} gene protects the cells from oxidative damage. In addition to the protective role in the carcinogenesis, \textit{NQO1} gene functions as the drug metabolizing enzyme in the antitumor treatment. The mutations in this gene were associated with Tardive dyskinesia (TD), an increase in the risk of hematotoxicity after exposure to benzene, and predisposition to various cancer types (Smith, 1999; Zai et al., 2010). The modified expression of this protein was detected in various tumors such as lung, bladder, breast, hepatocellular carcinoma, acute myeloid leukemia (AML), colorectal cancer, and gastrointestinal cancers, and in addition it was associated with the Alzheimer’s disease (Chao, Zhang, Berthiller, Boffetta, & Hashibe, 2006; Chhetri, King, & Gueven, 2017; Valenzuela et al., 2014). The variant of c.559C > T (p.Pro187Ser) variant detected in our patients 1/II-7, 1/III-2, 2/IV-2, and 2/IV-7 was suggested to increase the risk of lung, bladder, and colorectal cancers. The increase in the \textit{NQO1} target gene transcription affected the retinoid acid pathway, and prevent from cancer (Valenzuela et al., 2014). Therefore, the detection of the pathogenic variant of the gene \textit{NQO1} in four patients from two different families suggested that it might be associated with the pathogenesis of Rb. However, c.1162G > A (p.Gly388Arg) variant detected in \textit{FGFR4} gene in our patients 1/II-7, 2/IV-2, 2/IV-7, 3/III-1, and 3/III-2. \textit{FGFR4} gene, is a member of fibroblast growth factor (FGF) family which has a role in various mechanisms such as cellular proliferation, differentiation, tissue repair, invasion, regulation of the lipid metabolism, bile acid biosynthesis, glucose intake, Vitamin D metabolism, and phosphate balance. The c.1162G > A (p.Gly388Arg) variant in \textit{FGFR4} gene, and the increase in the \textit{FGFR4} expression were associated with the development of breast, and colon cancer. In addition, it was reported to be statistically associated with the lymph node metastasis, and increased TNM stage, and demonstrated to trigger the cancer progression (Bange et al., 2002). The \textit{FGFR4} expression was associated with pancreatic cancers (Leung, Gullick, & Lemoine, 1994). Cancer progression and tumor cell motility were associated with the c.1162G > A (p.Gly388Arg) change in \textit{FGFR4} gene (Bange et al., 2002). The variant in the gene \textit{FGFR4} was effective in the initiation,
and in the progression of prostate cancer (Wang, Stockton, & Ittmann, 2004). FGFR4 gene is also known with its oncogenic transformation activity which is required in the down-regulation of the expression of the speed limiting enzyme of CYP7A1 in the synthesis of bile acid as a response to FGF19. Some fibroblast growth factors are known to have neuroprotective effects against the retinal photoreceptor degeneration. The expression of FGFR4 in the photoreceptors suggested a specific ligand of FGF-19 might be beneficial. FGF-19 is important for the development of the ocular tissue, and is a molecule expressed by the embryonic retina. Therefore, the potential role of FGF-19 has been investigated in many studies in the literature. FGF-19 had neuroprotective effects on mammalian photoreceptors (Siffroi-Fernandez, Felder-Schmittbuhl, Khanna, Swaroop, & Hicks, 2008). Photoreceptor degeneration develops as a pathologic response to numerous environmental and genetic disorders, and causes progressive vision loss and blindness. The hereditary retinal diseases such as retinitis pigmentosa and age associated macular degeneration (AMD) cause significant difficulties in the affected patients. FGF-19 was expressed by the cells adjacent to photoreceptor layer, and FGF-19 induced the dose and time-dependent phosphorylation of FGFR4 in purified adult photoreceptor cultures, upregulated the expression of the specific transcription factors, and increased the survival (Siffroi-Fernandez et al., 2008). Therefore, it was suggested to be a beneficial therapeutic approach in the treatment of retinal degeneration. In this regard, our results suggested the c.1162G > A (p.Gly388Arg) pathogenic variant commonly detected in FGFR4 gene that is known to have a role in cancer progression, and retinal development in patients 1/II-7, 2/IV-2, 2/IV-7, 3/III-1, and 3/III-2, might be a candidate mechanism triggering the development of Rb. Furthermore, the common variant was found only in the FGFR4 gene among the 4813 genes and may be a biomarker of Rb disease. The presence of the gene variants should be investigated with larger patient groups and population-based healthy controls in the future studies.

In conclusion, in this study we investigated candidate genes that may trigger Rb oncogenesis in six patients with retinoblastoma or retinoma within three families and who did not have a RB1 gene mutation and abnormal RB1 promoter methylation. This is the first study suggesting that these genes, FGFR4, NQO1, ACADS CX3CR1, GBE1, KRT85, and TYR genes, may play a role in the etiology of Rb. Although, in the literature database these genes were not reported to be involved in Rb promotion, they have found to be associated with the retinoic acid pathway; that has been suggesting to play a role in the Rb oncogenesis. It is recommended that these genes should be investigated in larger cohorts of patients and compared with population-based healthy controls in the future.
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