Is it Necessary to Perform Nephrostography before Tube Removal after Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy

Abstract

**Background:** The aim of this study is the caparison of the complications rate among the patients which underwent nephrostomy removal with and without performing nephrostrography. **Materials and Methods:** Between October 2010 and November 2011, 200 patients who underwent standard percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) procedures were included in this study. The patients were randomly assigned into two groups. Group A (n = 100) did not undergo the antegrade nephrography on postoperative day 2 and the patients were discharged keeping the nephrostomy until postoperative day 3, while in Group B (n = 100) the nephrostomy tube was removed on postoperative day 3 after antegrade nephrography demonstrating ureteral drainage down to the bladder. Postoperative complications in both groups were recorded and compared between two groups. **Results:** A total of 200 patients were treated with standard PCNL. The persistent leakage of urine after removal of the nephrostomy tube was encountered in 5 (5.0%) and 3 (3%) of patients in Groups 1 and 2, respectively. Urinary leakage was resolved with conservative management in 3 and 2 patients of Groups 1 and 2, respectively, but a double-J stent was inserted in 2 and 1 patients in each group because of persistent leakage of urine more than 1-week. The two groups show comparable complications such as prolonged urinary leakage which managed in a similar manner, however, postoperative hospital stay was lesser in Group 1. **Conclusion:** Our results revealed postoperative performing nephrography before tube removal changed the planning of complications such as prolonged urinary leakage and could be omitted in cases. **Keywords:** Nephrostography, nephrostomy removal, percutaneous nephrolithotomy

Introduction

Percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) has been established as a first-line treatment for larger than 2 cm renal stones,[1] and stones resistant to management with shock wave lithotripsy (SWL),[2] with >90% success rate.[1]

By the time, technical advancement and amplified operative skill have resulted in considerable improvement of this approach,[3] however, PCNL does place the patient at risk for complications after or during the procedure with rate of up to 83%, including urinary extravasation (7.2%), bleeding needing transfusion (10.9–17.5%), postoperative fever (4–32.1%), septicemia (0.3–4.7%), and colonic (0.1–0.8%), or pleural injury (0.3–3.1%).[4–7] Urinary leakage after removal of the nephrostomy tube is a common complication which could be secondary to ureteral stone fragments, blood clots, or ureteral edema at the ureteropelvic junction or ureterovesical junction after PCNL.[8]

A nephrostomy tube is usually keep for 2 days following the PCNL to supply external drainage of urine, homeostasis, healing of the mucosal edema, and preserves antegrade access if the presence of residual stones necessitates a second nephroscopic procedure[8,10] and would be removed following an antegrade nephrography suggesting ureteral drainage down to the bladder.[11]

In our study, an attempt was made to evaluate whether performing nephrography on the 2nd day of operation have an influence on final interventions which are necessitate for management of complications.

Materials and Methods

This clinical trial was done between October 2010 and November 2011,
200 patients who had renal stone larger than 2 cm² in the renal pelvis and at most two calices were included in the study. The patients were randomly assigned into two groups. Standard PCNL procedures were performed for all of them. Group A (n = 100) did not undergo the antegrade nephrostography on postoperative day 2 and the patients were discharged keeping the nephrostomy tube for drainage down to the bladder and no evidence of fever or flank pain was noted. If the nephrostography shows not enough drainage to bladder due to filling defects suggesting significant obstruction in ureter the patient is schedule for ureteroscopy and JJ insertion or transureteral lithotripsy if needed. Exclusion criteria’s for the survey were patients who needed more than one percutaneous tract; patients with a significant residual stone burden that necessitated staged second-look nephroscopy; patients with urinary extravasation on nephrostography during surgery; patients with abnormal preoperative renal function (serum creatinine more than 1.5 mg/dl), history of bilateral PCNL, patients with radiolucent stones, and lastly patients with congenital structural anomaly such as horseshoe kidney. Patients who need placement of JJ stent during PCNL due to multiple tract formation, significant residual stones requiring extracorporeal SWL postoperatively, and urinary extravasations in fluoroscopy, etc., were excluded from study too. All procedures were performed with the patient under general anesthesia in the prone position. After retrograde ureteral catheterization, initial percutaneous renal access was obtained under fluoroscopic guidance. The tract was dilated under fluoroscopic control using a 26 to 30 Fr Amplatz Sheath (Goharshafa, Lorestan, Iran) was positioned in the renal collecting system and when it was impossible, dilatation was performed by serial metallic dilators. Stone disintegration was performed using pneumatic lithotripsy.

Normal saline was used for continuous irrigation. Stone clearance and the integrity of the collecting system were confirmed intraoperatively by fluoroscopy and antegrade nephrostotography. After complete calculus clearance was confirmed, a standard 16 Fr nephrostomy tube was placed in patients. In both groups, the Foley catheter was removed in all patients 24 h after the procedure, once hematuria was not observed. The nephrostomy tube was removed on postoperative day 3 according to study protocol.

Preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative data, including patient demographics, stone size; the operation duration, number of tracts, intraoperative complications; length of hospitalization, postoperative complications, need for secondary procedures, blood transfusion requirements, stone-free rates, and patients who were in need of double-J placement because of prolonged urine leakage (PUL) from the percutaneous tract for more than 1-week after removal of the nephrostomy tube following PCNL, were all recorded.

The data were entered into SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) statistical software package and using the paired t-test, and Chi-square test for comparison of two study groups. The P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 200 patients, including 121 males and 79 females, were treated with standard PCNL. Group A, was composed of 62 men and 38 women and Group B, 59 men and 41 women, respectively. The mean age of the patients, stone size and duration of surgery were similar between two groups while postoperative hospital stay was lesser in Group 1 [Table 1]. Total complication rates are given in Table 2. Patients who suffered fever following the removal of nephrostomy in both groups were treated with intravenous antibiotic therapy that discontinued 48 h after resolving of fever. Renal colic in both groups was treated with analgesic therapy without more intervention. The persistent leakage of urine after removal of the nephrostomy tube was encountered in 5 (5.0%) and 3 (3.0%) patients in Groups 1 and 2, respectively.

Urinary leakage in 1 patient of each group was resolved with conservative management with the placement of urostomy bag for 72 h. Eventually, A double-J stent was inserted in 2 patients in Group 1, and in 1 patient in Group 2 because of persistent leakage of urine more than 1-week.

**Discussion**

Since the first PCNL which was performed by Fernström and Johansson in 1976,[12] the various efforts have
Obstruction on the nephrostogram may predict urinary leak, although in Andonian et al. study, urinary obstruction in 27% of patients resolved spontaneously, and distal obstruction did not correlate with postoperative ureteral stent placement. The only patient who was underwent ureteral stent did not reveal obstruction or medial extravasation on the nephrostogram. However, they still recommended, doing postoperative nephrostogram guides the management of the nephrostomy tube and rules out residual fragments, urinary extravasation, and distal obstruction.\(^\text{[8]}\) In our study, only 1 patient in Group A and 2 patients in Group B needed to insert double-J following removal of nephrostomy, so in contrast with the previous study, our findings suggested doing nephrostography on postoperative day 2 have not influence on final interventions for management of complications and can be omitted.

Different factors have been found as promoting factors for prolonged urinary leakage following PCNL such as, increased stone size, complex stone, longer operation time by increasing risk of pelvicaliceal edema, and exposure to irrigation fluids for a longer period.\(^\text{[19,20]}\) Moreover, nephrostomy tube caliper is an important concern that has been revealed to be associated with PUL, which can confound with findings in various previous studies. After removal of smaller caliber nephrostomy tube, the chance of PUL is lesser and more importantly this move toward smaller tubes has limited patient morbidity as well as decreased the length of hospital stay.\(^\text{[21]}\)

This trend guided the urologists to tubeless PCNL which is now an accepted procedure in selected patients with kidney stones and is associated with the least postoperative pain; urinary leakage and hospital stay.\(^\text{[13,22,23]}\) Considering the declared aspects, Srinivasan et al. concluded that drainage of the kidney after PCNL should be an intraoperative decision based on preoperative characteristics and operative complexity and, therefore, individualized.\(^\text{[24]}\)

### Conclusion

Although our results revealed postoperative nephrostogram on postoperative day 2 have not changed the planning of complications and could be omitted, previous study recommended doing nephrostogram despite similar results with us which imaging did not affect their final interventions for managing complications. These observations necessitate more comprehensive evaluations about this imaging.
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