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Abstract

Objectives: The current study was designed to examine the features of emotional memory that are associated with posttraumatic stress disorder and to investigate whether emotional memory and neuropsychological function predict the severity of PTSD symptoms.

Method: Forty-five domestic violence victims were recruited and separated into the PTSD and N-PTSD groups, and 31 healthy volunteers were recruited as the normal control group. All participants filled out self-report instruments and underwent neuropsychological testing as well as an unexpected memory task (emotional memory questionnaire, EMQ) after watching a film on domestic violence. The questionnaire evaluated the participants’ memory of the central aspects of the film (EMQ-C) and the peripheral aspects of the film (EMQ-P).

Results: The PTSD group scored lower on the EMQ-C, whereas there were no significant differences for the EMQ-P or neuropsychological measures among the three groups. Additionally, the Modified Card Sorting Test (MCST), category fluency, Verbal Paired Associates and EMQ-C predicted the PTSD symptoms.

Conclusions: Both the index of the emotional memory and the perseverative error of MCST are powerful predictors of the severity levels of PTSD symptoms.
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Introduction

According to the DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 1994), the diagnosis of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) requires a recognized stressor to which a person has been personally exposed or witnessed a traumatic stressor (criteria A). Therefore, Breslau [1] estimated that approximately 90% of people meet criteria A in their lifetimes. However, only 25–30% of people then develop PTSD [2-3]. Specifically, previous studies showed that for earthquake trauma [4] or interpersonal violence [5], the rates of survivors with PTSD gradually decreases during the time since the traumatic events. This finding indicates that exposure to a traumatic event is insufficient for developing PTSD and that other individual vulnerability or predisposing factors may contribute to the development and maintenance of PTSD.

PTSD is considered a disorder of emotional memory that involves brain function abnormalities related to fear conditioning, fear memory extinction, involuntary retrieval (flashbacks and intrusions) and context-independent fear [6-10]. Numerous neuroimaging studies have reported diminished activation in the hippocampus in PTSD [11-13] or significant hippocampal volume reduction in PTSD patients [14-15]. Similarly, the neurocircuitry models of PTSD posit that both hippocampal dysfunction and amygdala hyperactivity are related to the performance of emotional memory in PTSD [8,16]. If the hippocampus is not fully functional and does not form conscious emotional memories during a traumatic situation, it will form unconscious emotional memories that are supported by the amygdala [17]. Accordingly, hippocampal volume reduction and activity dysfunction may contribute to the etiology and maintenance of PTSD [18-19].

Although the presence of hippocampal dysfunction is a robust finding in neurobiological studies of PTSD, it is unclear whether the episodic memory for emotional events in PTSD is deficient or enhanced. Based on clinical observations, some veterans with PTSD had amnesia specific to past war experiences, e.g., forgetting their names or certain events in the war [20]. Tromp, Koss, Figueredo, and Tharan [21] found that compared with other unpleasant memories, rape memories are less clear and vivid, contain a less meaningful order, and are not as well remembered. According to van der Kolk and Fisler [22], traumatic memories are initially stored as sensory states due to failures of the hippocampal-based memory system. Therefore, speechlessness and amnesia for traumatic events may last for hours, weeks, or years. Consequently, it is often difficult to assess memory...
loss for events using interviews and self-report measures in victims who suffer from traumatic events [23–24]. Conversely, several studies, including pictures simulating car accidents [25], pictures of shootings [26], slides depicting a boy who died in an accident [27], and retrospective self-report, such as verbal accounts of traumatic memories solicited from the subjects (e.g., [28]), reported that emotional stress may lead to a highly restricted narrowing of attention to central details of a situation, resulting in memory for central details being better than memory for peripheral information. This phenomenon was therefore described as tunnel memory [29]. Rubin, Bernsten, and Bohni [30] argued that C3 (the inability to recall an important aspect of the trauma), one of the PTSD symptoms, often has the lowest factor loading because it is more likely to be an outlier from other PTSD symptoms. Moreover, the C3 symptom also contradicts the notion of tunnel memory.

Several explanations might resolve these inconsistent observations. First, it is questionable whether the findings of memory distortions in normal subjects can provide a meaningful understanding of emotional memory in PTSD because there is little similarity between exposure to a simulated trauma event in the laboratory and the experience of real trauma [22]. Second, the notion of tunnel memory may support the theory of an inverted U-shape relationship between arousal and memory if the arousal level is moderate [31]. However, hippocampal functions are expected to be impaired or inhibited at high levels of stress [19]. As such, very high levels of stress may also impair the accuracy of eyewitness memory [32]. Third, if non-clinical subjects in retrospective studies only report an irritable mood while recalling a traumatic memory but do not have PTSD core symptoms, their memory of the shocking event may not resemble that of PTSD victims. Moreover, the subjective feeling of remembering emotional information well does not guarantee accurate memory [33]. Fourth, research designs would improve if the memories of central and peripheral details are compared between participants with high- and low-PTSD symptom levels. Then, high-PTSD participants should have better memory for central details compared with low-PTSD participants. However, previous studies have not provided evidence for this ([34] for a review).

In this regard, the goals of the current study are to examine the memory function in a sample of female victims suffering from domestic violence. As reported by a multi-country study, the lifetime prevalence rate for women of victimization from intimate partner violence is between 15% and 71% [35], and approximately 31% to 84% of women who are the victims of intimate partner violence have PTSD [36]. In Taiwan, approximately 15% of women have experienced domestic violence [37], and 94% of women who were abused by an intimate partner within the past year met the criteria for PTSD [38]. These findings indicate that domestic violence is a potent risk factor for developing PTSD.

As reviewed by Holmes, Brewin and Hennessy [39], the trauma film paradigm provides a prospective experimental tool for investigating analogue peri-traumatic processing. The impact of the films (usually intrusive thoughts) is measured using a daily diary for one week. The current study assumed that a film depicting domestic violence might simulate trauma experiences for female victims and that there could be an analogous situation in which the response to trauma could be studied in the laboratory. Therefore, we modified the method of the trauma film paradigm so that subjects would be evaluated with an emotional memory test for the film instead of measuring the frequency of intrusive thoughts.

We hypothesized that the PTSD group would show poorer memory for the central details of the trauma-related plots compared with the trauma-exposed N-PTSD group (severity level of symptoms did not meet PTSD criteria) and the normal control group. Additionally, to clarify the psychological components of the emotional memory test, the current study examined the relationship between the emotional memory test, standard neuropsychological tests, and trauma-related symptoms. Finally, we investigated whether emotional memory, neuropsychological function, or both were sensitive to the PTSD diagnosis and whether these factors predict the severity of PTSD symptoms.

**Method**

**Participants**

Participants were recruited from the Kaohsiung City Government Center for Domestic Violence Prevention using an advertisement or were contacted through the Kai-Suan Psychiatric Hospital, Taiwan. The inclusion criteria were that participants (1) had graduated from at least middle school and received elementary and middle school education in Taiwan; (2) were between 18 and 55 years old; and (3) had previous experience with a domestic violence injury. The exclusion criteria included (1) the presence of a medical or organic disease in the central nervous system (CNS; e.g., epilepsy, a tumor or serious brain injury); (2) a history or the presence of psychiatric disorders, with the exception of PTSD, depressive disorder, and anxiety disorder; and (3) an alcohol/substance dependence or abuse in the preceding 12 months.

Forty-five female victims of domestic violence and thirty-one healthy female volunteers participated in this study. All of the female victims had left their perpetrators at least one month prior to joining the study (range: 1-156 months). Additionally, all of the participants were assessed by a clinical interview with a certified psychiatrist or a clinical psychologist to disqualify psychiatric disorders and evaluate potential comorbid disorders. Diagnoses were obtained through the Taiwanese version of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview [40].

Female victims were divided into two groups, the PTSD and N-PTSD groups, based on their responses to the Chinese version of the Davidson Trauma Scale (DTS-C). This scale was adapted from the Davidson Trauma Scale (DTS; [41]) and comprised a validated, 17-item, self-rated scale of the frequency and severity of PTSD symptoms as defined by the DSM-IV-R. Using this test, the 3 clusters of symptoms (Intrusive, Avoidance/ Numbing, and Hyperarousal) can also be scored separately. The DTS-C was translated from the DTS and has shown good internal consistency (Cronbach’s α=0.97) and test–retest reliability (r=0.88). Concurrent validity was obtained against clinical diagnostic interviews, with a diagnostic accuracy of 0.85 and a DTS-C score of 44, based on the study of the Chi-Chi Earthquake [42].

Of the 45 domestic violence victims in this study, 28 scored 44 or higher on the DTS-C and were classified into the PTSD group; 17 were classified in the N-PTSD group; and 30 were healthy volunteers without psychiatric disorders, neurological diseases, or traumatic experiences recruited as the normal control group (NC). The average number of months since leaving the abusive partner in the PTSD group was 39.39 (SD=51.73), whereas this average in the Non-PTSD group was 45.75 (SD=53.38).
Procedure

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Kai-Suan Psychiatric Hospital. Before they were assessed, the participants were fully informed about the study’s aims, examination content, and procedure. After each participant agreed and completed the informed consent forms, the researchers initiated the one-on-one individual assessment. All participants were assessed using a structured interview to evaluate their medical history, life stress and traumatic experience. After recruitment, the psychiatrists would prescribe psychiatric drugs to participants according to their mental conditions. Some participants agreed to undergo psychotherapy.

Measures

Estimated general intellectual potential: We estimated IQ with a prorated combination of the following two WAIS-III [43] subtests: Vocabulary and Matrix Reasoning. This combination of subtests is robustly related to the full-scale IQ test [44].

Learning and memory measures: (a) The Verbal Paired Associates subtest of the Wechsler Memory Scale [45] involving immediate (VPA-I) and delayed recall (VPA-II) and (b) the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT; [46,47]) involving total learning, immediate recall following the interference trial, and 30-minute delayed recall.

Executive function: We used (a) the Modified Card Sorting Test (MCST; [48]) and (b) Category Fluency (i.e., “fruits, four-legged animals, and vegetables”).

Attention and working memory: The WAIS-III Digit Span subtest and the first trial of RAVLT were used.

Psychomotor Speed: Part A of the Trial Making Test (TMT-A; [46]) was used.

Emotional memory questionnaire: For the pilot study, the study used a domestic violence film produced by the Ministry of Interior. The film, entitled ‘Family,’ is eight minutes long and primarily describes a story of physical conflict among families. The film contains five scenes; the first and fifth scenes depict a husband (father) violently arouses and depicts the son complaining to his classmate about his father’s violence; the daughter painting the face of a crying girl in school; and a short talk between the father and a neighbor.

Initially, 35 fill-in-the-blank questions regarding the film were developed, and 25 graduate and undergraduate students completed the memory test after viewing the film. Based on their answers, a 20-item questionnaire (emotional memory questionnaire, EMQ) was developed that consisted of ten questions that were directly related to the violence plot (central aspects, EMQ-C) and ten other questions related to the non-violent plot (peripheral aspects, EMQ-P). Specifically, among the 20 fill-in-the-blank questions, the first and last 10 questions included five questions from the EMQ-C and five questions from the EMQ-P, respectively.

Results

Characteristics of the study participants

to assess the extent to which the groups were demographically matched, we conducted ANOVAs comparing the age, education, and estimated IQ among the three groups. As Table 1 shows, the groups did not differ in terms of their age, education, WAIS-IV Vocabulary subtest, and estimated IQ. Additionally, there were significant differences among the groups on the BDI-II (F=72.001, p<0.001) and the BAI (F=63.935, p<0.001). A significant difference was also noted on the DTS-C between the PTSD and N-PTSD groups (F=58.128, p<0.001).

Memory of the trauma film and neuropsychological function

There were four sub-scores in total, which included immediate recall of the central aspects of the film (EMQ1-C), immediate recall of the peripheral aspects of the film (EMQ1-P), delayed recall of the central aspects of the film (EMQ2-C), and delayed recall of the peripheral aspects of the film (EMQ2-P). There were 31 healthy women (age: M=29.58, SD=9.73; education: M=15.26, SD=1.21) in the pilot study who were not participants in this current study. Our results revealed that the split-half reliability (the first 10 and the latter 10 questions) of the EMQ1 (immediate recall) and EMQ2 (delayed recall) were 0.98 and 0.92, respectively. Paired t tests indicated that neither the difference between the EMQ1-C and EMQ1-P nor the difference between the EMQ2-C and EMQ2-P were significantly different (t(30), p>0.10), indicating that the difficulty level was similar between the EMQ-C and EMQ-P.

Procedure: The procedure for the experiment was as follows: All subjects underwent psychophysiological measures while watching the film. The Biograph Infiniti software using the multimodality systems of Thought Technology was used in this study. Five measures, including the blood volume pulse (BVP), electromyography (EMG), respiration rate, finger temperature, and galvanic skin response (GSR), were collected. The psychophysiological reactivity data were computed with five physiological measures for both the baseline and film periods. Analyses were conducted using change scores that were calculated by subtracting the period average of baseline from the average of the first and the fifth scene period (the central aspects of the film). After watching the film, the participants were asked to rate the subjective distress caused by the film (0–100) and were advised to relax for three minutes. They were then given a surprise memory test (immediate recall of the EMQ). After finishing the questionnaire, the participants continued to perform an irrelevant filler task and then filled out the same EMQ (delayed recall of the EMQ) 30 minutes later.
PTSD group showed significant BVP change compared with the NC group (p=0.012), and the PTSD group exhibited a marginally significant BVP change compared with the N-PTSD group (p=0.072).

|                | (1) PTSD N=28 | (2) N-PTSD N=17 | (3) NC N=30 | F    | Tukey |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|------------|------|-------|
| Age            | 36.68(9.14)   | 42.00(12.12)    | 37.17(11.56) | 1.448|
| Education      | 13.64(2.30)   | 13.35(1.84)     | 14.20(1.61)  | 1.180|
| IQ             | 100.55(11.55) | 100.79(9.75)    | 100.59(12.74)| 0.002|
| WAIS-Va        | 9.93(1.81)    | 9.71(2.02)      | 9.57(2.16)   | 0.873|
| BDI-II         | 34.00(15.57)  | 8.00(4.51)      | 4.53(3.61)   | 70.042c|
| BAI            | 27.36(13.20)  | 8.65(6.06)      | 2.53(2.29)   | 62.037c|
| DTS-C          | 86.89(25.31)  | 25.65(10.75)    |             | 58.128c|

Table 1: Mean and standard deviation of the participants’ demographic and clinical characteristics, IQ: Estimated FSIQ; WAIS-Va: WAIS-IV Vocabulary subtest; BDI-II: Beck Depression Inventory II; BAI: Beck Anxiety Inventory; and DTS-C: Chinese version of the Davidson Trauma Scale, a: p<0.05; b: p<0.01; c: p<0.001

|                | PTSD N=28 | N-PTSD N=17 | NC N=30 |
|----------------|-----------|-------------|--------|
| Emotion Memory |           |             |        |
| EMQ1-P         | 7.75(1.58)| 7.94(1.82)  | 8.20(1.56)|
| EMQ1-C         | 6.39(1.79)| 8.24(1.60)  | 7.50(1.61)|
| EMQ2-P         | 7.79(1.60)| 8.00(1.66)  | 8.13(1.61)|
| EMQ2-C         | 6.57(1.75)| 8.18(1.43)  | 7.77(1.48)|
| Subjective Distress | 71.85(25.01) | 65.29(30.64) | 42.50(26.15)|
| BVP change     | 1.97(3.50)| -0.47(3.83) | -0.77(3.35)|

Neuropsychological Function

|                | PTSD N=28 | N-PTSD N=17 | NC N=30 |
|----------------|-----------|-------------|--------|
| VPA-I          | 8.93(2.85)| 9.71(2.23)  | 9.37(2.74)|
| VPA-II         | 9.18(3.40)| 11.00(2.40)| 9.33(2.81)|
| RAVLT-I        | 5.46(2.20)| 6.06(2.44)  | 6.80(2.14)|
| RAVLT-T        | 50.21(10.43)| 53.06(10.27)| 55.03(7.07)|
| RAVLT-D        | 10.39(3.79)| 11.41(4.12)| 12.00(2.63)|
| MCST-C         | 4.29(2.34)| 5.06(2.51)  | 5.10(1.77)|
| MCST-PE        | 4.89(5.88)| 1.47(2.18)  | 3.39(4.65)|
| CF             | 40.14(9.14)| 45.24(7.52) | 43.23(8.89)|
| DS             | 21.36(4.64)| 21.88(3.35)| 21.73(3.66)|
| TMT-A          | 39.57(14.78)| 31.82(12.69)| 31.50(7.06)|

Table 2: Performance of the groups for the EMQ and neuropsychological tests (showing mean scores with the standard deviation), EMQ1-P: peripheral part of immediate recall, EMQ1-C: central part of immediate recall, EMQ2-P: peripheral part of delayed recall, EMQ2-C: central part of delayed recall, VPA-I: Verbal Paired Associates Immediate Recall, VPA-II: Verbal Paired Associates Delayed Recall, RAVLT-I: First Trial of
Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test, RAVLT-T: Total Scores of Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test, RAVLT-D: Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test Delayed Recall, MCST-PE: Modified Card Sorting Test -Number of Perseverative Error, MCST-C: Modified Card Sorting Test-Number of Category, CF: Category Fluency, DS: Digit Span, and TMT-A: Trial Making Test Part A

Additionally, there were significant differences on the index of Subjective Distress (F=9.352, p<0.000) between the three groups. Post-hoc Tukey tests indicated that the PTSD (p<0.000) and N-PTSD (p<0.05) groups showed significant subjective distress with respect to the trauma film relative to the NC group.

Similarly, the MANOVA was used to examine group differences in the neuropsychological tests. A significant overall main effect of the group (Wilks’ Λ=0.512, F=1.683, p<0.05) was noted, whereas the results of the univariate analyses on the MCST-PE (F=3.466, p<0.05) were significantly different. Post-hoc Tukey tests indicated that the PTSD group showed higher preservative errors than the N-PTSD group (p<0.01), while there were no significant differences between the PTSD and NC groups.

**Predictors of posttraumatic stress symptoms**

A Pearson correlation analysis was used to clarify the relationship between the neuropsychological tests and PTSD symptoms in female victims. According to the correlation matrix results, VPA-I was significantly correlated with re-experiencing (r=-0.42, p<0.01) and overall PTSD severity (r=-0.30, p<0.05), whereas VPA-II was significantly correlated with re-experiencing (r=-0.41, p<0.01), hyperarousal (r=-0.31, p<0.05), and overall PTSD severity (r=-0.31, p<0.05). The MCST-PE was significantly correlated with re-experiencing (r=0.30, p<0.05), avoidance (r=0.43, p<0.01), hyperarousal (r=0.38, p<0.01), and the overall PTSD severity (r=0.41, p<0.01). The category fluency was significantly correlated with re-experiencing (r=-0.42, p<0.01), avoidance (r=-0.32, p<0.05), hyperarousal (r=-0.32, p<0.05), and the overall PTSD severity (r=-0.37, p<0.05).

With respect to the relationship between the EMQ, neuropsychological tests, and trauma-related symptoms, the EMQ1-C was significantly correlated with BDI-II (r=0.45, p<0.01), BAI (r=0.43, p<0.01), re-experiencing (r=-0.51, p<0.001), avoidance (r=0.37, p<0.05), hyperarousal (r=-0.38, p<0.01), overall PTSD severity (r=-0.45, p<0.01), VPA-II (r=0.32, p<0.05), TMT-A (r=-0.49, p<0.001), and the category fluency (r=0.36, p<0.05); and the correlation between the EMQ1-C and delayed recall of RAVLT (r=0.28, p<0.07) was marginally significant. Additionally, EMQ2-C was also significantly correlated with BDI-II (r=0.45, p<0.01), BAI (r=0.43, p<0.01), re-experiencing (r=-0.48, p<0.001), avoidance (r=-0.38, p<0.05), hyperarousal (r=-0.34, p<0.05), overall PTSD severity (r=-0.43, p<0.01) and TMT-A (r=-0.35, p<0.05); the correlation between EMQ2-C and VPA-II (r=0.28, p<0.07) was marginally significant. However, the EMQ1-P was significantly correlated with delayed recall of RAVLT (r=0.38, p<0.05), TMT-A (r=-0.44, p<0.01), and category fluency (r=0.37, p<0.05), and the EMQ2-P was correlated with delayed recall of RAVLT (r=0.32, p<0.05), TMT-A (r=-0.38, p<0.05), category fluency (r=0.34, p<0.05), and EMQ1-C (r=0.31, p<0.05).

The impacts of neuropsychological functions and emotional memory on the PTSD symptoms were evaluated using simultaneous regression analysis with an Enter entry criterion of p<0.05. The predictor included VPA-I, MCST-PE, category fluency, and EMQ2-C because these indexes showed high correlations with PTSD symptoms.

| Predicting Variables         | B   | SE  | β   | t     | R²  | Adj R²  |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|---------|
| Predicting Re-experience    |     |     |     |       | 0.47| 0.420   |
| VPA-I                       | -0.65| 0| 0.639| -0.15| 3 | -1.018a |
| MCST-PE                     | 0.710| 0.261| 0.321| 2.725d |
| CF                          | -0.29| 4| 0.189| -0.23| 1 | -1.557* |
| EMQ2-C                      | -2.77| 7| 0.738| -0.44| 5 | -3.761* |
| Predicting Avoidance        |     |     |     |       | 0.42| 0.371   |
| VPA-I                       | 0.997| 0| 0.970| 0.160| 1.028a |
| MCST-PE                     | 1.566| 0.396| 0.485| 3.956a |
| CF                          | -0.61| 1| 0.287| -0.32| 9 | -2.128c |
| EMQ2-C                      | -3.54| 7| 1.121| -0.39| 0 | -3.163d |
| Predicting Hyperarousal     |     |     |     |       | 0.35| 0.286   |
| VPA-I                       | 0.059| 0| 0.741| 0.013| 8 | 0.080a |
| MCST-PE                     | 0.965| 0| 0.302| 0.417| 3 | 3.194d |
| CF                          | -0.33| 2| 0.219| -0.25| 0 | -1.516a |
| EMQ2-C                      | -2.20| 6| 0.856| -0.33| 8 | -2.576c |
| Predicting the PTSD severity |     |     |     |       | 0.46| 0.412   |
| VPA-I                       | 0.405| 2| 0.092| 0.029| 5 | 0.194a |
| MCST-PE                     | 3.242| 0| 0.854| 0.450| 3 | 3.796a |
| CF                          | -1.23| 8| 0.620| -0.29| 9 | -1.998b |
| EMQ2-C                      | -8.52| 9| 2.419| -0.42| 0 | -3.526a |

Table 3: Simultaneous Multiple Regression Analysis Predicting Re-experiencing, Avoidance/Numbing, Hyperarousal, and the overall PTSD Severity, VPA-I: Verbal Paired Associates immediate recall, MCST-PE: Modified Card Sorting Test - number of perseverative errors, CF: Category Fluency, and EMQ2-C: central part of delayed recall, a: P>0.10; b: P 0 0.10; c: P<0.05; d: P<0.01, and e: P≦0.001.
As shown in Table 3, the 4-predictor model explained 47% of the variance in the severity of intrusive re-experiencing (F [4, 40]=8.967; p<0.000), 43% of the variance in the severity of avoidance (F [4, 40]=7.488; p<0.000), 35% of the variance in the severity of hyperarousal symptoms (F [4, 40]=5.414; p=0.001), and 47% of the variance in the overall severity of PTSD symptoms (F [4, 40] = 8.704; p < 0.000). In particular, it is worth noting that the indexes of MCST-PE and EMQ2-C were the most powerful predictors for the severity of PTSD symptoms.

Discussion

During the past two decades, studies have used neuropsychological testing to elucidate the brain-behavioral relationships in PTSD [51]. Accordingly, numerous neuropsychological studies have demonstrated verbal declarative memory deficits in both combat and non-combat PTSD (e.g., [52-54]). Several studies have also reported that PTSD may be associated with executive dysfunction (e.g., [55-57]). This evidence suggests that neuropsychological deficits in PTSD involve dysfunction in the arousal systems and frontal-limbic neural circuits [58,59].

However, not all neuropsychological studies have noted memory impairments in PTSD; some studies have found no evidence of memory dysfunction related to PTSD (e.g., [57,60,61]) or any evidence that the group differences on the WAIS Vocabulary subtest could account for the group differences on the memory tests [62]. Possible explanations for this discrepancy include the following: (1) the neuropsychological studies associated with PTSD may be compromised by other psychotic or neurological disorders, substance abuse, and poor intelligence, and (2) a robust association between PTSD and verbal memory impairment perhaps reflects a motivational problem rather than a specific neuropsychological deficit [58]. Therefore, it is worth assessing whether the associations between PTSD and verbal memory deficits are related to the trauma event.

Consistent with several studies [57,60,63], after excluding conditions involving CNS diseases, psychotic disorders, substance abuse, and low intelligence, the current study failed to find group-level deficits on the standard neuropsychological measures. On the contrary, the results of the EMQ indicated that difficulty in recollecting the central details of the violent story is significantly higher in the PTSD group than in the N-PTSD and NC groups, whereas no remarkable differences in memory for peripheral details were noted among the PTSD, N-PTSD and NC groups. These findings show that with higher numbers of PTSD symptoms, subjects have increasing difficulty in recalling the central details of the violent story. Therefore, these results are consistent with an fMRI study that found that increased PTSD symptom severity predicted a reduced performance in an emotional memory test [64] while failing to support the notion of tunnel memory. More specifically, while the PTSD group participants only showed worse memory for the central details, their memories for the peripheral details and neutral verbal learning materials were no different from the NC and N-PTSD groups, indicating that the emotional memory deficits in the PTSD group do not resemble the typical amnesic syndrome caused by damage of the medial temporal lobe [65].

In addition to the reported higher levels of subjective distress regarding the trauma film in the PTSD and N-PTSD groups, the PTSD group also showed higher physiological arousal (e.g., heart rate) during the violent plots of the film compared with the NC and N-PTSD groups. These results are consistent with the inverted U-shape curve model, which has shown episodic memory decreases with higher physiological arousal [31]. Additionally, these results are consistent with a recent neuroimaging study in which PTSD patients with high levels of arousal had lower hippocampal activity for encoding item-specific, contextual information for trauma events [19]. Furthermore, the results are congruent with previous studies in which patients with PTSD produce higher heart rate responses to reminders or emotionally arousing stories [66,67], suggesting that a heightened physiological response may reflect fear-related symptoms [68].

It is possible that neuropsychological tests may not be sensitive to a PTSD diagnosis based on the artificial dividing lines between normal and abnormal, but these tests may predict the levels of PTSD symptoms based on a continuous measure of the PTSD symptom severity. Accordingly, the current findings showed that there were high associations among the MCST category fluency, Verbal Paired Associates test and self-reported PTSD symptoms. Specifically, the index of perseverative errors on the MCST was the strongest predictor of the severity of PTSD symptoms, and the results support the findings of a study on political violence [56] but fail to support a study examining female victims of intimate partner violence in which there were no significant correlations between the PTSD severity and measures of executive function [57]. Executive function is speculated to be a composite term for higher cognitive processes, such as planning, working memory, attention, problem solving, verbal reasoning, inhibition, and mental flexibility [69]. Different instruments may measure different executive functions differently.

Finally, there are several implications and limitations in the current results. First, some patients with PTSD might speak out to describe their traumatic events, and these contents seemed to fragment and lack a series of consequences. Some researchers have suggested that these victims would like to avoid recalling traumatic events due to emotional distress such that these victims cannot completely report the traumatic event [70], and female victims in particular seem to have dissociative symptoms and adopt avoidance to cope with traumatic events [71]. Some researchers have shown that whether victims have dissociative symptoms is a major index of PTSD prediction [72,73]. According to the DSM-5 criteria [74] (APA, 2013), the original C3 criteria have been changed to be part of D (D1), which were called negative alterations in cognitions and mood. According to DSM-5, these symptoms are related to dissociative amnesia. We suggest that future emotional memory studies may help participants watch traumatic movies and consider the dissociative severities for clarifying the dissociative severities affecting emotional memory.

Second, the victims’ reports of subjective distress are not helpful for the PTSD diagnosis, according to our findings. In fact, some researchers have found that there is no significant relationship between the A2 criteria of the DSM-IV-TR (response involves “fear, helplessness, or horror”) and a later PTSD diagnosis [75,76]. The diagnostic criteria have been omitted from DSM-5 [74]. However, these victims suffered from traumatic events and complained of emotional distress, which might not have a significant relationship with developing PTSD or the symptom severity. When clinicians evaluate the traumatic severities of victims in the future, they should...
avoid incorporating the effects of subjective complaints to avoid evaluation bias.

Third, whether the current results can be applied to other trauma groups is questionable. In addition to the high comorbidity of depression in both men and women, alcohol abuse, brain injury, low intelligence, and other psychiatric disorders have frequently been noted in PTSD studies [77-79]. Additionally, a previous study noted that women suffering from repeated interpersonal trauma had significantly higher levels of PTSD symptoms than did other trauma groups [80]. Care should be taken when applying the current results to other trauma groups.

Fourth, according to Pico-Alfonso [81], 48% of abused women are still living with their male intimate partners, even after the partners had abused them. Similarly, we found that approximately one of every four female victims did not leave their perpetrators, resulting in ongoing trauma. We excluded these women from the statistical analysis because the perpetrator is both the source of threat and the source of intimacy for these women. Indeed, it has been argued that the traditional PTSD framework is unsuitable for evaluating domestic violence against women because the ongoing nature of abuse renders the term 'post trauma' inaccurate [82]. As observed by Hoffman, Diamond, and Lipsitz [83], if individuals remain exposed to ongoing trauma, traumatic events may become commonplace because these victims suffer constant reminders of the trauma during daily life. Therefore, abused women who remain with their perpetrators could present with different issues compared with women who have left violent relationships because these women may override the fear response and lose their sense of self (see [84] for a review). Therefore, we suggest that further exploration of the ongoing trauma experience is important.

In summary, the current study aimed to clarify the psychopathology of PTSD by integrating both neurocognitive and trauma-relevant tasks. Future studies are needed to more definitively identify the mechanisms of emotional memory in PTSD and to investigate the phenomenon of ongoing trauma in female victims of domestic violence.
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