Saliva Exosomes-Derived UBE2O Promotes Angiogenesis in Cutaneous Wounds by Targeting SMAD6
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Abstract

Background Enhancing angiogenesis is critical for accelerating wound healing. Application of different types of exosomes (Exos) to promote angiogenesis represents a novel strategy for enhanced wound repair. Saliva is known to accelerate wound healing, but the underlying mechanisms remain unclear.

Results Our results have demonstrated that saliva-derived exosomes (saliva-Exos) induce HUVEC proliferation, migration, and angiogenesis in vitro, and promote cutaneous wound healing in vivo. Further experiments documented that Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2O (UBE2O) is one of the main components of saliva-Exos, and activation of UBE2O has effects similar to those of saliva-Exos, both in vitro and in vivo. Mechanistically, UBE2O decreases the level of SMAD6, thereby activating BMP2, which, in turn, induces angiogenesis.

Conclusions The present work suggests that administration of saliva-Exos and UBE2O represents a promising strategy for enhancing wound healing through promotion of angiogenesis.

Background

Worldwide prevalence of chronic wounds is increasing each year due to aging of the population.[1] Chronic wounds not only impact the health and quality of life of patients but also pose a significant socioeconomic burden for the entire healthcare system.[2] Wound healing comprises of a well-orchestrated sequence of events, of which the process of angiogenesis is essential for nutrient and oxygen delivery to cells in the wound.[3] An increasing number of studies have provided strong evidence that enhanced angiogenesis can effectively accelerate wound healing.[4, 5] Thus, promotion of angiogenesis and neovascularization in the wound has become the focus of intense research.
Numerous proteins and growth factors contained in saliva make it a promising source of factors promoting tissue regeneration.[6, 7] However, the use of saliva as an effective agent to promote local health has not yet gained widespread acceptance. The unique active constituents derived from saliva have attracted attention in the field of biomaterials, and exosomes (Exos) present in the saliva appear to be of particular significance.[8, 9] Exos are small vesicles enriched with bioactive molecules such as lipids, proteins, mRNAs, and miRNAs.[10] Exos, containing these molecules, can be transferred into target cells to affect cellular functions.[11, 12] Given the multiple effects of Exos on cell activity, it would be interesting to investigate whether saliva-derived exosomes (saliva-Exos) can positively effect cells participating in wound repair, accelerating this process in vivo.

Based on this, the objective of the present study was to explore the role of saliva-Exos on the function of vascular endothelial cells and to uncover the underlying mechanisms. Additionally, experiments were performed to determine the effects of saliva-Exos on cutaneous wound healing in vivo.

Results

Identification of saliva-Exos

The saliva-Exos were identified and characterized by TEM, DLS, and Western blotting. In agreement with previously reported results for Exos, the TEM and DLS demonstrated that the size of the isolated particles ranged from 30 to 150 nm (Fig.1A, B). Western blotting documented that the particles contained exosomal marker proteins CD81 and TSG101, but did not contain non-exosomal marker calnexin (Fig.1C), confirming that the Exos were successfully isolated from the salivary samples.

Saliva-Exos accelerate cutaneous wound healing in vivo

To determine the role of saliva-Exos in wound repair, equal amounts of PBS, saliva, and
saliva-Exos were injected around the wound site. The saliva and saliva-Exos groups had a higher rate of wound healing than the control group, with the process being faster in animals treated with saliva-Exos than saliva only (Fig.2A, 2B). The scar width was smaller in the saliva-Exos group than in the control group (Fig.2C). The neovascularization of the wound site was significantly higher in the saliva-Exos group, as documented by increased blood flow (Fig.2D) and higher number of CD31-positive cells (Fig.2E, 2F). Together, these findings indicate that saliva accelerated wound healing, and this effect can be attributed to the promotion of angiogenesis by saliva-Exos.

**Saliva-Exos enhanced the function of HUVECs**

The effect of saliva-Exos on HUVECs in vitro was assessed. To determine whether Exos can be taken up by HUVECs, saliva-Exos were labeled with the fluorescent dye PKH26 and added to the medium of HUVEC cultures. After 12 hours of incubation, the Exos were successfully transferred into the cells (Fig.3A). To determine the impact of saliva-Exos on HUVEC proliferation, the cells were treated with equal volume of PBS, saliva, or saliva-Exos. CCK-8 and EdU assays revealed that saliva-Exos promoted the proliferation of HUVECs (Fig.3B, 3C). Saliva-Exos induced higher percentage of HUVECs to enter the S stage (Fig.3D) and up-regulated the expression of cell cycle-related proteins (Fig.3E). HUVECs treated with saliva-Exos exhibited higher rate of migration than cells in the control group (Fig.3F, 3G). Additionally, saliva-Exos induced tube formation by HUVECs (Fig.3H-J). Collectively, these data indicate that saliva-Exos play a positive role on HUVEC function.

**UBE2O is the hub gene on saliva-Exos upregulated genes**

To explore which molecular pathways mediate the beneficial effects of saliva-Exos on HUVECs, the RNA-sequencing data for saliva and saliva-Exos were extracted from the GEO database (GSE50700) and screened for the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) among
the two groups (Fig.4A). After applying the criteria of Log2FC ≥ 3.5 and P-value<0.05, 312 upregulated DEGs in saliva-Exos were screened. The tissue-specific PPI network between the 312 upregulated DEGs with their putative targets in skin-tissues were constructed using the online tool NetworkAnalyst (https://www.networkanalyst.ca/) (Fig.4B).

Subsequently, the DAVID platform was used to analyze the functional-enrichment analyses including GO and KEGG pathway enrichment of these 312 genes. The top ten GO enrichments and KEGG pathways are shown in Fig.4C-4F.

To establish the hub genes, we used DMNC algorithm and identified that UBE2O, UBA6, AREL1, MEX3C, COL4A2, LAMA4, PGF, BTRC, ASB4, COL5A are the ten hub genes among the upregulated genes (Fig.4G). The KEGG pathway of the ten hub genes was analyzed and constructed using the online tool NetworkAnalyst (https://www.networkanalyst.ca/). The KEGG pathways of the ten hub genes were Ubiquitin mediated proteolysis, Focal adhesion, ECM-receptor interaction, PI3K-AKT signaling pathway, Small cell lung cancer, Amoebiasis, Pathway in cancer, Circadian rhythm, African trypanosomiasis, Hedgehog signaling pathway, and Shigellosis (Fig.4H). In addition, qRT-PCR demonstrated that the levels of UBE2O mRNA in saliva-Exos samples were significantly higher than in the saliva samples (Fig.4I). HUVECs treated with saliva-Exos exhibited a higher level of UBE2O than cells treated with PBS or saliva (Fig.4J, 4K). Collectively, these data suggest that UBE2O is one of the hub genes in saliva-Exos upregulated genes.

**UBE2O mediates the positive effects of saliva-Exos on HUVECs**

The effect of UBE2O on HUVECs in vitro was explored. The exposure of HUVECs to UBE2O enhanced their proliferation (Fig.5A, Fig.5B), and increased expression of cell cycle-related proteins, promoting cell entry to the S stage and (Fig.5C, 5D). In agreement with these results, HUVEC migration was accelerated after treatment with UBE2O (Fig.5E, F). UBE2O overexpression also promoted tube formation by HUVECs (Fig.5G-I). Not
suprisingly, treatment of cells with siUBE2O produced opposite effects on the function of HUVECs, as documented by decreased proliferation, migration, and tube formation. Moreover, the beneficial effect of saliva-Exos was ablated by knock down of UBE2O in HUVECs. Together, these findings indicate that UBE2O is the primary mediator of the beneficial effects of saliva-Exos on HUVEC function.

**SMAD6/BMP2 axis is key to UBE2O-mediated HUVEC function**

To explore the gene regulatory networks of UBE2O in skin tissue, we constructed skin-type specific networks and identified that sixteen genes are regulated by UBE2O, resulting in 16 putative genes (Fig.6A). Previous studies reported that UBE2O can target SMAD6 for ubiquitination and degradation.[13] Therefore, to determine whether UBE2O suppresses the expression of SMAD6 in HUVECs, the level of SMAD6 was assessed by Western blotting. As shown in Fig.6B, the expression level of SMAD6 in HUVECs was significantly decreased after UBE2O treatment, while its level was increased after silencing UBE2O. Moreover, SMAD6 knockdown decreased the level of SMAD6 in HUVECs (Fig.6C). SMAD6 silencing significantly enhanced HUVEC function, as evidenced by the increased proliferation and migration of HUVECs in the siSMAD6 group (Fig.6D-I). SMAD6 signaling also induced tube formation by HUVECs (Fig.6J-L).

SMAD/BMP pathway has been documented to be one of the main regulators of angiogenesis.[14] To identify the underlying mechanism of SMAD6-mediated angiogenesis, changes in the expression of BMP2 in HUVECs after SMAD6 knockdown were evaluated. As shown in Fig.6C, suppressing SMAD6 resulted in BMP2 upregulation. Moreover, knockdown of BMP2 significantly inhibited the functions of HUVECs (Fig.6D-L). Collectively, these data suggest that SMAD6/BMP2 pathway is involved in UBE2O-mediated HUVEC angiogenesis.

**UBE2O promotes wound healing in vivo**

To investigate the effects of UBE2O on wound healing, UBE2O or siUBE2O was injected
around the wound site. The rate of wound healing was faster in the UBE2O group than in the siUBE2O group (Fig. 7A, 7B). H&E staining documented that the scar width was the smallest in the UBE2O group (Fig. 7C, 7D). Laser speckle contrast imaging showed that blood flow was significantly higher in the UBE2O group than in the siUBE2O group, as supported by a higher MPU ratio in the UBE2O group (Fig. 7E). Additionally, the number of CD31-positive cells in the wound of UBE2O-treated mice was higher than in the siUBE2O-treated mice (Fig. 7F, 7G). Collectively, these findings indicate that UBE2O promotes wound healing in vivo.

Discussion

Interest in the application of saliva-Exos as potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers of cancer is increasing.[15] Few studies have, however, focused on the therapeutic effects of saliva-Exos. The present study demonstrated that treatment of C57/BL6 mice with saliva-Exos promotes wound healing. Although a similar effect is is seen with use of saliva, efficacy is significantly higher with saliva-Exos. An underdeveloped vascular network has been widely regarded as the main contributor to delayed wound healing, and promotion of angiogenesis appears to be an important strategy to promote wound repair.[16] The role of Exos as a catalyst of angiogenesis has recently became gained acceptance. Plasma- or cell-derived Exos have the potential to induce formation of new vessels, hence promoting wound healing.[17, 18] The current in vivo study documented that saliva-Exos can also achieve these effects. Moreover, the in vitro experiments demonstrated that saliva-Exos promote HUVEC proliferation, migration, and tube formation. Therefore, use of saliva-Exos as a potential biological therapy for wound healing appears promising.

Several methods to isolate exosomes from blood, cells, and saliva have been reported.[19] The current data showed that ultracentrifugation-based isolation is adequate for the isolation of exosomes from saliva, as evidenced by the presence of particles of 30-150 nm
in diameter and expression of markers of exosomal membranes, CD81 and TSG101. It is well-documented that Exos can be taken up by proximal or distant cells, modifying the function of target cells by transporting small molecules.[20, 21] The present study demonstrated that saliva-Exos can be taken up by HUVECs.

With the advancement of technology, bioinformatic analysis has flourished. RNA-sequencing (RNA-Seq) is one of the techniques that enables researchers to better understand the transcriptome of a vast range of organisms.[22] In the present study, we obtained RNA-Seq data of saliva-Exos from the GEO database and found that there were 312 upregulated DEGs in saliva-Exos samples when compared to the saliva sample. The large number of DEGs can be transferred into target cells along with Exos, thereby driving the diverse function of cells. In the present study, both saliva and saliva-Exos enhanced HUVEC function, with saliva-Exos having the greatest effect. We support that the synergistic effect of those genes is the main contributor to saliva-Exos-induced HUVEC activation. Among them, hub genes have been considered functionally significant.[23] Using the DMNC algorithm, we identified ten hub genes and their KEGG pathway was further analyzed. The result suggests that the Ubiquitin mediated proteolysis is the main underlying pathway, leading us to consider the importance of UBE2O, UBA6 and BTRC on saliva-Exos-mediated HUVECs activation.

The ubiquitin-proteasome system has a critical function in regulation of cellular signaling. UBE2O is an E2/E3 hybrid ubiquitin-protein ligase, which participates in ubiquitin mediated proteolysis.[24] In the current study, we first demonstrated that UBE2O levels are significantly higher in the saliva-Exos samples than the saliva samples. In vitro and in vivo studies showed that overexpression of UBE2O promotes HUVEC function and wound healing, while knockdown of UBE2O impairs function. Previous studies reported that UBE2O ubiquitinates AMPKa2 in skeletal muscle cells and tumor cells.[25, 26] Using the
tissue-specific PPI network analysis, sixteen genes were identified as targets of UBE2O on skin tissue. Previous studies reported that UBE2O can target SMAD6 during bone morphogenetic protein signaling,[13] but its role in wound healing has yet to be elucidated. In the present study, we demonstrated that UBE2O decreases SMAD6 level in HUVECs. SMADs represent a family of proteins that can be divided into three classes: R-SMADs (receptor-activated SMADs), Co-SMADs (common SMADs) and I-SMADs (inhibitory SMADs). SMADs are one of the main regulators of physiological and pathological blood vessel formation. Overexpression of R-SMADs, including SMAD1/5/8, in endothelial cells enhances angiogenesis.[27, 28] However, I-SMADs, such as SMAD6, inhibit R-SMAD phosphorylation and nuclear translocation, suppressing angiogenesis.[29, 30] In agreement with these previous findings, data obtained in the present study indicate that knockdown of SMAD6 significantly enhanced HUVECs function. Knock down of SMAD6 could increase BMP2 expression level in HUVECs. It has been reported that BMP2 is one of the main pro-angiogenic cytokines,[31] and knockdown of BMP2 in the present study suppressed the proliferation of HUVECs and impaired angiogenesis. Together, the results of the present and previous studies unequivocally demonstrate the role of the UBE2O-SMAD6-BMP2 axis in the regulation of angiogenesis.

The unique characteristics of Exos, such as natural transportation properties and good biocompatibility, have recently attracted a significant amount of attention.[32] Exosomes can transport their cargo, such as protein, lipids, and nucleic acids, into the target cells, thereby regulating biological processes.[33] Extensive efforts have been made to load exosomes with nanomaterials in order to deliver them to target organs and improve organ function.[34] Engineered exosomes loaded with specific proteins have also been demonstrated to act as effective therapeutic agents for a variety of diseases.[35] Sharma and coworkers[36] reported that saliva-Exos have unique features, such as distinct elastic
properties and substructures carrying specific transmembrane receptors. These unique features along with wide availability of saliva-Exos make them an excellent agent for tissue engineering.

Wound healing is a complex process that involves various cells and cytokines. The present study focused mainly on the role of saliva-Exos on HUVECs, but future studies should address the impact of saliva-Exos on other cell types, such as keratinocytes and fibroblasts. In addition, saliva-Exos contain multiple types of molecules that may play catalytic roles in wound healing. Specifically, exploring the effect of saliva-Exos and UBE2O on the healing of diabetic and chronic wounds may be promising.

Conclusions

In summary, the current work highlighted that saliva-Exos enhance HUVEC function through UBE2O delivery. Overexpression of UBE2O decreases the SMAD6 level, leading to upregulation of BMP2 expression and, consequently, promotion of angiogenesis in vitro and acceleration of wound healing in vivo. These findings indicate that saliva-Exos are a potential promising agent for wound therapy. Furthermore, since upregulation of UBE2O accelerates angiogenesis, use of nanomaterials combined with UBE2O may enhance wound healing.

Methods

Cell culture

HUVECs were purchased from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Science (Shanghai, China). The cells were cultured in RPMI1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, at 37°C, in the presence of 5% CO₂.

Isolation and identification of saliva-Exos

Cell-free saliva samples (10 mL) were collected from the authors. The specimens were
centrifuged at 2,000g for 30 minutes, and the resulting supernatant was centrifuged for 45 mins at 12,000g at 4°C. The obtained supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 μm filter membrane, followed by centrifuging at 110,000 g for 70 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellets were resuspended in PBS, and re-centrifuged at 110,000g for 70 min. Finally, the particles were resuspended with cold PBS for further analysis. The morphology of particles was evaluated using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Tecnai Spirit T12, FEI) and the sizes were analyzed by NanoSight (Flow NanoAnalyzer, NanoFCM, Xiamen, China).

**Bioinformatics analysis and Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network construction**

The RNA-sequencing data (GSE50700) were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database. The data included three samples of saliva and three samples of saliva-Exos. The online tool GEO2R was used to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs). The threshold of DEGs was set as $|\log_2$ fold-change (FC)$| \geq 3.5$ with p-value $< 0.05$. The protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of the upregulated genes with a putative target of skin tissue were constructed utilizing the online tool NetworkAnalyst (https://www.networkanalyst.ca/). Furthermore, the PPI network between UBE2O and the putative target in skin tissue were also constructed by NetworkAnalyst.

**Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis**

To understand the biological function of upregulated DEGs, the Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID, http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) resource was used to analyze their GO and KEGG pathway. The top ten pathways derived from functional enrichment of each GO subset and KEGG pathway were illustrated in a bubble diagram with the ggplot2 tool of R package.
Hub gene selection and KEGG pathway construction

The novel Cytoscape plugin, cytoHubba, was used to rank the genes contained in the network of the upregulated DEGs. The Density of Maximum Neighborhood Component (DMNC) algorithm was selected from the 11 topological analysis methods provided by CytoHubba to identify the top ten hub genes. Furthermore, the KEGG pathway of the ten hub genes were analyzed and constructed using the online tool NetworkAnalyst (https://www.networkanalyst.ca/).

Cell counting kit 8 (CCK8) assay

HUVECs were seeded into 96-well culture plates at a density of $5 \times 10^3$ cells per well and treated with PBS (control), saliva or saliva-Exos (100 μg/mL). After incubation at 37 °C for 24, 48, and 72 hours, 10 μl of CCK8 reagent was added to each well. Two hours later, the absorbance of each well was measured using a microplate reader at 450 nm.

EdU assay

HUVECs were seeded into 24-well culture plates at a density of $2 \times 10^5$ cells/well and treated with PBS, saliva, or saliva-Exos (100 μg/mL) for 24 hours. Subsequently, EdU staining was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA).

Scratch wound healing assay

After treatment with the indicated reagents, a monolayer of HUVECs grown in six-well plates was scratched with a sterile 10 μl pipette tip to form wounds in the sheet of cells. The plates were then incubated for 12 and 24 hours, and the cell-free wound area was photographed under an inverted microscope.

Transwell migration assay

The 24-well culture plates containing 8 μm pore-sized filters were used to assess cell
migration. 1 x 10^4 cells were seeded into the upper chamber. 500 μl medium containing Exos or other reagents were added into the lower chamber. After incubation for 12 hours, the number of migrated cells was observed under an optical microscope.

**Cell cycle analysis**

Cell cycle progression was evaluated by propidium iodide (PI) staining using a flow cytometer according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**Tube formation assay**

Each well of a 96-well plate was filled with 50 μl of Growth Factor Reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences, NJ, USA). After incubation for 30 min, 2 x 10^4 HUVECs were seeded into the wells, and tube formation was observed 12 hours later under an inverted microscope.

**Western blotting**

Total protein was extracted from cells, separated by 10% SDS-PAGE, and transferred onto PVDF membranes. The membranes were incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C for overnight, and with horseradish-peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies at 37°C for 1 hour. The following antibodies were used: anti-CD81 (1:1000, Abcam, MA, USA, #ab109201), anti-TSG101 (1:1000, Abcam, MA, USA, #ab125011), anti-Calnexin, (1:1000, Abcam, MA, USA, #ab125011), anti-Cyclin D1 (1:1000, Abcam, MA, USA, #ab40754), anti-Cyclin D3 (1:1,000, CST, USA, #2936), anti-UBE2O (1:500, Abcam, MA, USA, #ab254592), anti-SMAD6 (1:1000, Abcam, MA, USA, #ab80049), anti-BMP2 (1:1000, Abcam, MA, USA, #ab14933) and anti-GADPH (1:10,000, Abcam, USA, #ab37168).

**Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)**

Total RNA was collected using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen), and 1 μg of total RNA was transcribed into cDNA. qRT-PCR was performed using the StepOne™ Real-Time PCR (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Relative gene expression was calculated using the 2^-ΔΔCt method.
ΔΔCt method, and GAPDH was used to normalize mRNA levels. Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR were as follows: UBE2O, Forward: 5′-ACATCCGCTCACAACGAC-3′, Reverse: 5′-GCTGGTGCTGCCTCTAC-3′, BMP2, Forward: 5′-ATGGATTCTGTTGGAAGTG-3′, Reverse: 5′-GTGGAGTTTAGATGACGC-3′; SMAD6: Forward, 5′-ACCGTGACCTGCTGCTCTT-3′, Reverse: 5′-AGCGAGTAGTACGTAGCCGTCTT-3′, GAPDH, 5′-CCAGCCGAGGACACATCGCTC-3′ and 5′-ATGAGCAGGCTTTCTCCAT-3′.

**Mouse skin wound model**

C57BL/6 male mice (6-8 weeks old) were purchased from the Center of Experimental Animals, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology. The mice were anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium (50 mg/Kg), and one full-thickness excisional skin wound (10 mm in diameter) was created on the dorsum of each mouse. Subsequently, the mice were randomly divided into five groups: mice treated with PBS (100 μL), saliva (100 μL), saliva-Exos (100 μg saliva-Exos in 100 μL PBS), UBE2O, or siUBE2O. The solutions were subcutaneously injected in four sites adjacent to the wound (25 μL/site). The wounds were digitally photographed at days 0, 3, 7, 10, and 14 post-wounding. The mice were sacrificed at day 14, and skin samples were harvested for further analysis. The area of the wound was measured using ImageJ® software (version 1.52a; Media Cybernetics, Bethesda, MA, USA). Wound healing was calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Wound healing} = \frac{\text{Wound area on Day } n}{\text{Wound area on Day } 0} \times 100$$

where n is day 0, 3, 7, 10, and 14. All protocols involving animals were reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology.

**Assessment of blood flow in the wound site**
Ten days after the operation, laser speckle contrast imaging (LSCI) (PERIMED Ltd, Stockholm, Sweden) was used to evaluate blood flow in the wound. The mean perfusion units (MPU) ratio was calculated by comparing the MPU per mm$^2$ in the wound area (ROI-1) with the MPU per mm$^2$ in an area adjacent to the wound (ROI-2).

**Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining and immunohistochemistry**

Tissue samples from Day 14 containing the wound region were collected, fixed in 4% buffered paraformaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. 4 μm thick sections were prepared and stained with H&E. CD31 was detected using immunofluorescence staining; briefly, sections were blocked in 1% BSA for 30 minutes and incubated overnight with anti-CD31 (1:50, Abcam, #ab28364). Subsequently, the sections were incubated with secondary antibody for 1 hour. CD31-positive cells were quantified from at least three randomly selected high power fields per section. All slides were independently evaluated by three observers blinded to the treatment.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation (SD). All analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 8.00 for MacOS (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA). Student’s t-test was used to compare the differences between two groups, and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s post hoc test was used to analyze the differences in more than two groups. $P<0.05$ was considered to indicate statistically significant difference.
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Figures
Figure 1

Characterization of Saliva-Exos. (A) TEM image of saliva-Exos. (B) Size of saliva-Exos measured using NanoSight. (C) Exosome-specific markers TSG101, CD81 and non-exosomal marker calnexin detected by Western blotting.
Figure 2

Saliva-Exos accelerate wound healing in vivo. (A) Images of cutaneous wound in mice. (B) Wound healing rate in the three in vivo groups, mice treated with PBS, saliva, and saliva-Exos. (C) H&E staining of wound sections and quantification of scar widths in the three groups. (D) Blood flow in the wound site assessed by laser speckle contrast imaging and quantification of MPU ratio in the three groups. (E) Representative images of CD31 staining of wound sections. (F) Quantification of the number of CD31-positive cells in the three groups. **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001; n=6 per group.
Saliva-Exos enhanced the function of HUVECs. (A) Saliva-Exos were labeled with PHK26 and added to a HUVEC culture. The presence of a fluorescent signal inside the cells documents that saliva-Exos were taken up by HUVECs. (B, C) CCK8 and EdU assay were performed after HUVECs were treated with PBS (control), saliva, or saliva-Exos. (D) The cell cycle was assessed by flow cytometry. (E) Western
blotting was used to evaluate the expression of the cell cycle-related proteins, Cyclin D1 and Cyclin D3. (F, G) Wound scratch assay and transwell assay were used to evaluate migration of HUVECs after treatment with PBS (Control), saliva, or saliva-Exos. (H) Representative images of the tube formation assay in HUVECs treated with PBS, saliva, saliva-Exos. (I, J) Quantitative analyses of total branch length and total number of branching points in the three groups. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.
UBE2O is the hub gene on saliva-Exos upregulated genes. (A) Valcano plot illustrating the differential mRNA expression level between saliva and saliva-Exos. The negative Log10 p values (y axis) are plotted against the Log2 fold changes in
expression (x axis). (B) 3D network viewer displaying a force-directed PPI network between skin tissue with upregulated genes of saliva-Exos. (C-F) Analysis of biological process (BP) (C), cellular Component (CC) (D), molecular function (MF) (E) and KEGG pathway (F) of upregulated genes, the bubble diagram generated using the ggplot2 tool of the R software showing the top ten pathways. (G) Identification of UBE2O as one of the main hub genes using the DMNC algorithm to screen the upregulated genes. (H) The KEGG pathway of each of ten hub genes was analyzed and constructed by the online tool NetworkAnalyst. (I) UBE2O mRNA level in saliva and saliva-Exos assessed by qRT-PCR. n=5. (J, K) UBE2O expression in HUVECs treated with PBS (control), saliva, and saliva-Exos, detected by Western blotting and qRT-PCR. ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.
UBE2O promotes HUVEC function in vitro. (A, B) Proliferation of HUVECs after treatment with PBS, saliva, and saliva-Exos, measured by the CCK8 and EdU assays. (C) Expression of cell cycle-related proteins determined by Western blotting. (D) Distribution of HUVECs in the cell cycle assessed by flow cytometry. (E, F) Evaluation of the migration of HUVECs by wound scratch assay and transwell assay. (G) Representative images of the tube formation assay in HUVECs treated with PBS, UBE2O, siUBE2O, and the combination of saliva-Exos.
and siUBE2O. (H, I) Quantitative analysis of total branch length and total number of branching points. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

Figure 6

UBE2O mediates HUVEC function by suppressing the SMAD6/BMP2 pathway. (A) Network map identifying UBE2O target genes. (B) Assessment of the expression
of SMAD6 and BMP2 by Western blotting. (C) Expression of SMAD6 and BMP2 in HUVECs after indicated treatments evaluated by Western blotting. (D, E) Proliferation of HUVECs evaluated by the CCK8 and EdU assays. (F) Detection of the cell cycle-related proteins cyclin D1 and cyclin D3, by Western blotting. (G) Flow cytometry analysis of the cell cycle. (H, I) HUVEC migration evaluated by wound scratch and transwell assay. (J) Representative images of the tube formation by HUVECs after indicated treatments. (K, L) Quantitative analysis of total branch length and total number of branching points. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
UBE2O promotes wound healing in vivo. (A) Representative images of wounds among two groups at indicated days. (B) A higher wound healing rate was seen in the UBE2O group than in the siUBE2O group. (C) H&E images of the wound sections in the two groups. (D) Smaller scar widths were seen in the UBE2O than
in the siUBE2O group. (E) Blood flow at the wound sites assessed by laser speckle contrast imaging and quantification of the MPU ratio in the two groups. (F) Representative images of CD31-stained wound sections. (G) Quantification of the number of CD31-positive cells in the two groups. ****p<0.0001; n=6 per group.