Structural Optimization of Wavy FinFET for Leakage Reduction and Performance Enhancement
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ABSTRACT
Wavy FinFET is a hybrid device that integrates FinFET and Ultrathin Body FET technologies on SOI platform to provide high density and drivability without causing area penalty. The problem associated with this device is higher leakage and lower threshold voltage. This problem can be solved by structural modification of the device. This work analyses the performance of Wavy FinFET under various optimization methods such as device/channel engineering, work function engineering, spacer engineering and variation of isolation oxide thickness. The obtained results indicate that optimized Wavy FinFET can act as a solution for low power, highly reliable device topology. Leakage power is found to be reduced by 40.39%, 30.39% and 43.75% with channel engineering techniques such as substrate doping, halo doping and retrograde doping, respectively. Leakage power is lowered by 35.48% and 32.25% with increase in gate work function and isolation oxide thickness respectively. By using high k spacer material 54.77% reduction in leakage is further obtained without compromising drive current. In ADSE(Asymmetric Drain Side Extention) wavy FinFET, leakage is reduced by 61.35%. Whereas in symmetric and asymmetric Dual k wavy FinFET, leakage reduction is found to be 44.19% and 28.25% respectively.

1. Introduction

Gordon Moore predicted the scaling trends in integrated circuits in 1965 [1]. The technology driving factors are reduced cost, improved performance and greater circuit functionality. Scaling causes reduction in gate control over current flow in channel and which will in turn results in short channel effects. In order to mitigate these effects, engineers had to innovate advanced MOSFET structures which can be used in smaller technology nodes. Gate oxide scaling combined with gate length scaling was the traditional MOSFET scaling which ran out in the early 2000’s due to leakage limitations. Engineers had to innovate new structures since market was demanding low leakage. Strained silicon is an example of a revolutionary technology that provided improved performance when traditional MOSFET scaling methods were no longer effective [2]. It provided valuable performance enhancements at 90 nm and 65 nm generations. Intel’s 45 nm logic technology was the first to introduce high-k + metal gate transistors for improved performance and reduced leakage [3]. Further scaling was achieved using structural change in transistor architecture. A layered silicon-insulator-silicon substrate replaced conventional silicon substrates to form SOI technology[4]. The ultrathin body FET eliminates the leakage paths between source and drain, and thereby control short-channel effects with thin body [5]. Multiple gate devices such as double gate, trigate, pi-gate, GAA devices could achieve better gate control over channel [6]. ITFET (Inverted T channel FET) combines double gate FinFET and single gate SOI to achieve layout efficiency[7].

Wavy FinFET is a hybrid device comprising of FinFET and Ultrathin body FETs [8]. Since UTB (Ultrathin Body) is inserted in the otherwise wasted fin-fin spacing, drivability increases (because of the increased path for current flow ) without causing area penalty. The major issues related to wavy FinFET architecture are increase in leakage and reduction in threshold voltage. Optimization are required to mitigate these problems and thereby to obtain better device performance.
In the following session, comparative analysis of trigate SOI FinFET and wavy FinFET are done. After that optimization methods such as channel engineering, work function engineering, spacer engineering and isolation oxide thickness variation are done. Finally the last session concludes this work.

2. Trigate v/s Wavy FinFET

3D structure of Trigate SOI FinFET, segmented trigate SOI FinFET with 3 fins and wavy FinFET having dimensions as per 2013 Overall Roadmap Technology Characteristics Fin-FET parameters specified by the International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors (ITRS) at the 7-nm technology node [9] were drawn using COGENDA TCAD [10]. In all structures fin height width and fin termination edge are 30nm, 6.8nm and 25nm respectively. Gate length is 18nm and EOT is 0.5nm. The channel is intrinsic and UTB thickness in wavy FinFET is 4.5nm. Fin spacing is 19nm in the case of multfin architecture. The structures are given in Figure 1. It can be seen that Ultrathin body is inserted in the otherwise wasted fin spacing to achieve advantages of two competing technologies. Figure 2 shows the gate cross section of trigate FinFET with single fin, with 3 fins and that of wavy FinFET. We can see that channel area under gate in wavy FinFET is higher compared to that of conventional FinFET.

Simulations are carried out to analyse the performance of normal trigate FinFET with single fin, trigate FinFET with multiple fins and wavy FinFET. Also the Ultrathin body thickness is varied from 2.5nm to 4.5nm to see the variation of current with ultrathin body thickness. In Table 1, W2.5, W3.5, W4.5 represents wavy FinFET with UTB thickness 2.5nm, 3.5nm, 4.5nm respectively.

It can be seen that the drive performance of segmented FinFET is better than that of conventional FinFET. In order to increase the drive current further, it is required to array more number of fins which will result in area penalty. At the same time wavy FinFET overcomes this difficulty and current is increased keeping the same footprint area. Also it is found that drive capability and short channel characteristics varies with the thickness of UTB in wavy FinFET. It can be seen that, higher UTB thickness results in $I_{ON}$. But the problem is along with $ON$ current, OFF current also increases. Threshold voltage of wavy FinFET is lesser compared to that of conventional FinFET which further reduces with increase in UTB thickness.

Due to threshold voltage mismatch between UTB and fins, subthreshold swing and DIBL becomes higher in wavy channel transistor. Hence wavy FinFET has to be optimized to achieve higher $I_{ON}$ with lower leakage power.

3. Optimized Low Power Wavy FinFET

Substrate back biasing is one of the methods for threshold voltage tuning [8]. Reverse back biasing helps to increase the $V_t$ and reduce $I_{OFF}$. The problem here is that the reduction in leakage is obtained at the expense of ON current. Hence another methods are needed to optimize wavy FinFET, which is discussed in the following sessions of this work.

3.1. Substrate Doping

Doping concentration of the silicon is varied from undoped to $1e17$ cm$^{-3}$. Fig. 3 represents the variation in $V_t$ with doping concentration. $V_t$ increases as doping increases. Table 2 represents the effect of doping on $I_{ON}$, $I_{OFF}$ and leakage power. Both linear and logarithmic plot of $V_{gS}$, $I_d$ characteristics with different doping concentration are shown in Figure 4. It can be observed that along with increase in doping concentration, leakage is reduced. Hence leakage power is also reduced. 40.39% reduction in leakage current is obtained by choosing the doping concentration to be $1e17$/cm$^3$. Here a 4.71% reduction in ON current is observed.
Table 2. Effect of doping

| Doping (cm$^{-3}$) | I$_{off}$ (A) | I$_{on}$ (A) | P$_{leak}$ (W) |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| Undoped           | 1.55e-11     | 3.82e-05    | 1.24e-11      |
| 1e16              | 9.79e-12     | 3.67e-05    | 7.83e-12      |
| 1e17              | 9.24e-12     | 3.64e-05    | 7.39e-12      |

Table 3. Effect of halo and retrograde doping

|                  | undoped | Halo doping | Retrograde Doping |
|------------------|---------|-------------|-------------------|
| I$_{off}$ (A)    | 1.55e-11| 1.079e-11   | 8.718e-12         |
| I$_{on}$ (A)     | 3.82e-05| 3.72e-05    | 3.696e-05         |
| P$_{leak}$ (W)   | 1.24e-11| 8.632e-12   | 6.968e-12         |
| DIBL (mV)        | 53      | 48          | 45.8              |

3.2. Halo and Retrograde Doping

Halo doping can be performed by incorporating highly doped p type region near source and drain ends. Here the drain and source junction depletion region width reduces. It can help in the reduction of DIBL (Drain Induced Barrier Lowering) and punch through. For retrograde doping surface concentration is kept low to increase surface mobility and the subsurface doping is kept high to act as a barrier against punch through [11]. Effect of both halo doping and retrograde doping are shown in Figure 5 and Table 3. By using these methods leakage can be reduced.

Table 4. Effect of work function on performance of wavy FinFET

| Work Function(eV) | I$_{off}$ (A) | I$_{on}$ (A) | V$_{th}$ (mV) | P$_{leak}$ (W) |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| 4.5               | 1.55e-11     | 3.82e-05    | 355          | 1.24e-11      |
| 4.6               | 1.00e-11     | 3.73e-05    | 360          | 8.00e-12      |

3.3. Work function Engineering

Threshold voltage is dependent work function. Hence by varying gate work function of wavy FinFET, better performance can be achieved. Gate work function is varied from 4.5eV to 4.6 eV. Effect of work function is shown in Figure 6 and Table 4. As the work function increases, threshold voltage increases and leakage reduces. 35.48% reduction in leakage power is obtained by increasing work function to 4.6 eV.

3.4. Isolation oxide thickness variation

This is a method for leakage suppression. Threshold voltage is directly proportional to isolation oxide thickness. Increasing isolation oxide thickness leads to higher threshold voltage which further leads to reduced leakage. Isolation oxide thickness is varied from 20nm to 40nm. Effect of BOX thickness variation is given in Figure 7. Leakage power is found to be lower in the case of higher oxide thickness.
3.5. High-k Spacer

In sub-20 nm region, short channel effects are reduced using underlap regions. But here on current reduces. Even at high Vds, gate-source/drain barrier restricts source to drain carrier flow. Introduction of high-k spacers can provide strong field coupling from the gate. Effect change in spacer material is checked by using SiO$_2$ (k=3.9), Si$_3$N$_4$ (k=7.5) and HfO$_2$ (k=22) as the spacers. Simulation result is shown in Figure 8. As the dielectric constant of the spacer material increases, an increase in $I_{on}$ and reduction in $I_{off}$ can be seen. And thus device can be optimized for lower leakage power and higher $I_{on}/I_{off}$ ratio.

| Spacer Material | $I_{off}$ (A) | $I_{on}$ (A) | $V_{th}$ (mV) | $P_{leak}$ |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| SiO$_2$         | 1.09e-11     | 3.46e-05     | 356          | 8.72e-12   |
| Si$_3$N$_4$     | 1.01e-11     | 3.84e-05     | 362          | 8.08e-12   |
| HfO$_2$         | 7.01e-12     | 3.98e-05     | 396          | 5.61e-12   |

Higher the dielectric strength of spacer, lesser the leakage, and higher the threshold voltage can be obtained which leads to a better device performance. By using HfO$_2$ as the spacer material on both sides of gate, 54.77% reduction in off current is achieved.

3.6. Asymmetric Drain Spacer Extention (ADSE) Wavy FinFET

In asymmetric drain spacer extention FinFET as shown in Figure 9, an additional spacer is introduced only on the drain side to introduce drain-side underlap in FinFET. Thus, bidirectional currents in these transistors are not the same. The spacer thickness at drain side is higher which will result in asymmetry in current and reduction in DIBL. DIBL and OFF current is found to be reduced by using ADSE structure.

Effect of ADSE wavy FinFET on drain current is shown in Figure 10. Drain current in logarithmic scale is shown on left Y axis and from which it can be understood that the OFF current is lower in ADSE Wavy FinFET compared to normal wavy FinFET. Here the leakage power is reduced by 61.35% compared to that of normal wavy FinFET.

3.7. Symmetric and Asymmetric Dual-k Spacer Architecture

The problem associated with high-k spacer is that it increases the fringe capacitance ($C_F$) that worsens the circuit delay in digital applications [12]. By introducing dual k spacer, instead of single spacer material, inner high-k and outer low-k spacer material is introduced. Interface region between high-k and low k region can make significant changes in device properties. Ion/Ioff can be increased.

In symmetric dual-k as shown in Figure 11(a), there will be dual k spacer on both sides of gate. Where as in asymmetric dual k structure as shown in Figure 11(b), dual k spacer is present only on source side. Effect of introducing symmetric dual-k spacer on leakage power and Ion/Ioff ratio are shown in Figure 12. For dual-k, Si3N4 and HfO2 are used as high k spacers along with SiO2 spacer. 44.948% increase in $I_{on}/I_{off}$ is obtained with symmetric dual-k structure (HfO2- inner high-k spacer) compared to conventional with SiO2 spacer.
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![Figure 12: Effect of symmetric dual-k structure](image)

Table 6 shows the effect of introducing asymmetric dual k spacer in wavy FinFET architecture. 28.25% reduction in leakage power is achieved by introducing asymmetric double dielectric spacer in wavy FinFET architecture.

| Structure            | $I_{on}$ (A) | $I_{off}$ (A) | $I_{on}/I_{off}$ | $P_{leakage}$ |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| Wavy FinFET          | 1.55e-11     | 3.82e-05      | 2.46e06          | 1.24e-11      |
| Asymmetric dual k    | 1.11e-11     | 3.76e-05      | 3.38e06          | 8.89e-12      |

3. Conclusion

Since wavy FinFET is a hybrid structure of UTBFET(Ultrathin Body FET) and FinFET, it is found to be better than conventional FinFET in terms of drivability, integration density and area efficiency. The problems associated with wavy FinFET are increased leakage power and degraded SCE. This work could propose structural modifications of wavy FinFET using methods such as channel engineering, work function engineering, variation of isolation oxide thickness and spacer engineering to optimize the device for better performance. $I_{on}/I_{off}$ ratios of optimized structures are given in Figure 13 and Percentage change in leakage power is shown in Table 7. It can be observed that these optimization techniques leads to reduction in leakage and improvement in performance of wavy FinFET.

![Figure 13: $I_{on}/I_{off}$ in various optimization methods.](image)

| Methods                  | Value/Percentage reduction in $P_{leakage}$ |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Unoptimized Wavy FinFET  | 1.24e-11                                   |
| Substrate Doping         | 40.39%                                     |
| Halo Doping              | 30.39%                                     |
| Retrograde Doping        | 43.75%                                     |
| Work function Engineering| 35.48%                                     |
| BOX thickness Variation  | 32.25%                                     |
| Higher k spacer          | 54.77%                                     |
| ADSE wavy FinFET         | 61.35%                                     |
| Symmetric dual-k spacer  | 44.19%                                     |
| Asymmetric dual-k spacer | 28.25%                                     |
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