Crk proteins transduce FGF signaling to promote lens fiber cell elongation
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Abstract

Specific cell shapes are fundamental to the organization and function of multicellular organisms. Fibroblast Growth Factor (FGF) signaling induces the elongation of lens fiber cells during vertebrate lens development. Nonetheless, exactly how this extracellular FGF signal is transmitted to the cytoskeletal network has previously not been determined. Here, we show that the Crk family of adaptor proteins, Crk and Crkl, are required for mouse lens morphogenesis but not differentiation. Genetic ablation and epistasis experiments demonstrated that Crk and Crkl play overlapping roles downstream of FGF signaling in order to regulate lens fiber cell elongation. Upon FGF stimulation, Crk proteins were found to interact with Frs2, Shp2 and Grb2. The loss of Crk proteins was partially compensated for by the activation of Ras and Rac signaling. These results reveal that Crk proteins are important partners of the Frs2/Shp2/Grb2 complex in mediating FGF signaling, specifically promoting cell shape changes.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32586.001

Introduction

During the development of complex multicellular organisms, changes in epithelial cell morphology are essential for the tissue-specific cell differentiation patterning that leads to the subsequent formation of functional organs (Settleman and Baum, 2008). This is particularly clear when considering the formation of the ocular lens, which has served as a model system to delineate many developmental pathways (Cvekl and Zhang, 2017; Gunhaga, 2011). The development of the mouse lens begins at embryonic day 9.5 when the optic vesicle extends toward the presumptive lens ectoderm, inducing the latter to thicken into a cuboidal layer of epithelial cells commonly referred to as the lens placode. At E10.5, the cells making up the lens placode undergo apical constriction to form the lens pit, which eventually closes at its anterior surface to form the lens vesicle (Chauhan et al., 2011). The newly differentiated primary fiber cells extend anteriorly from the posterior region of the lens vesicle, the anterior epithelial cells begin to migrate posteriorly towards the equatorial region of the lens, at which point they begin to differentiate into secondary fiber cells that continue to occupy the space within the lens interior. This process is accompanied by up to a 1000-fold increase in the length of the newly formed secondary fiber cells, which coordinate with the primary fiber cells to organize an elegant concave pattern that maintains the structural integrity and transparency of the mature lens (Bassnett, 2005; McAvoy et al., 1999; Sue Menko, 2002).
Previously proposed models that have been considered for the mechanism by which lens fiber cells elongate consist of microtubule reorganization, increased cell volume and actin dynamics (Audette et al., 2017). Microtubules are prominent components of the cytoskeleton lining the plasma membrane of lens fiber cells. They are oriented longitudinally along the lens with their minus end towards the anterior pole and their plus ends facing the posterior (Byers and Porter, 1964), which is consistent with the presence of microtubule organizing centers at the apical ends of lens fiber cells (Lo et al., 2003). However, Beebe et al reported that Nocodazole inhibition of microtubule polymerization failed to disrupt fiber cell elongation in chick lens explants (Beebe et al., 1979). Instead, they proposed that the expansion of cell volume was the key mechanism by which fiber cells elongated to form the functional mature lens. However, utilizing precise measurement techniques, it was later found that there was no apparent increase in lens volume in vivo at the onset of fiber cell elongation (Bassnett, 2005). The differentiation of lens epithelial cells into lens fiber cells is also associated with the assembly of actin filaments beneath the cortical membrane (Weber and Menko, 2006). When this cortical actin structure was inhibited by cytochalasin D in lens explants, both the differentiation and elongation of lens cells were blocked. Nevertheless, neither the disruption of the cell adhesion molecules N-cadherin or β1-integrin nor the ablation of the actin regulators Rac1 and Rho completely prevented the lengthening of lens fiber cells (Logan et al., 2017; Maddala et al., 2011, 2015; Pathania et al., 2016; Pontoriero et al., 2009). Consequently, how the actin cytoskeleton is controlled during fiber cell elongation has remained an open question.

Fibroblast Growth Factor (FGF) signaling is known to play an important role in lens cell differentiation and elongation. Transgenic expression of human FGF-1 using an αA-crystallin promoter was found to induce lens epithelial cells to acquire elongated shapes and fiber cell characteristics (Robinson et al., 1995). In explant cultures, FGF was also found to promote lens fiber cell differentiation and elongation in a dose dependent manner (Lovicu and McAvoy, 2001; McAvoy and Chamberlain, 1989). Conversely, genetic ablation of FGF receptors leads to a complete loss of lens cell differentiation and elongation (Zhao et al., 2008). Several proteins have been implicated in the direct engagement with these active FGF receptors, including Frs2/3, Grb14, Shb, PLCγ and Crk (Brewer et al., 2015; Klint and Claesson-Welsh, 1999). Of particular interests are Crk and the

**eLife digest** As an embryo develops, its cells divide multiple times to transform into the specialized cell types that form our tissues and organs. To carry out specific roles, cells need to be of a certain shape. For example, in mammals, the cells that make up the main portion of the eye lens, develop into a fiber-like shape to be perfectly aligned with each other. This enables them to transmit light to the retina at the rear end of the eye. To do so, the lens cells increase over 1000 times in length with the help of a group of proteins called the Fibroblast Growth Factor, or FGF for short.

The FGF pathway includes a network of interacting proteins that transmit signals to molecules inside the lens cells to control how they specialize and grow. However, until now it was not clear how it does this. Here, Zhang et al. used mouse lens-cells grown in the laboratory to investigate how FGF signaling causes cells to change their structure. The experiments revealed two related proteins called Crk and Crkl that linked the FGF pathway with another signaling system. When these two proteins were removed from the lens cells, the lens cells were still able to specialize, but could no longer grow in length. This suggests that these two processes are independent of each other.

Moreover, Crk and Crkl helped the cells to change shape by increasing the amount of another group of proteins called Ras, which are known to both help cells to specialize and to regulate their shape. Zhang et al. discovered that the amount of Ras proteins determined whether cells specialized or modified their shape by changing the organization of proteins in the cell.

Millions of children are born with cataracts, a disease caused when lens cells fail to shape properly. A better knowledge of FGF signaling may help to understand how cataracts develop and inspire future treatments. Moreover, the pathways identified in this study could also apply to other organs and diseases in which FGF signaling is active.
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related protein Crkl, which are mammalian homologs of the viral Crk oncogene that possess the ability to promote the tyrosine phosphorylation of cellular proteins (Feller, 2001). Lacking intrinsic tyrosine kinase activity, the Crk family of proteins act as adaptors that transduce signals from upstream phosphotyrosine-containing proteins to downstream SH3-interacting partners (Birge et al., 2009). Biochemical studies have shown that FGF2-stimulated endothelial cell proliferation is dependent on the binding of Crk to the phosphorylated tyrosine residue 463 in FGFR1 (Larsson et al., 1999). In line with this finding, Crk null mice display some of the cardiovascular and cranial features of Noonan syndrome, which is caused by aberrant Ras-MAPK signaling (Park et al., 2006; Roberts et al., 2007; Schubbert et al., 2006; Tartaglia et al., 2001; Tartaglia et al., 2007). Crkl was also identified as a component of an FGF8-induced feed forward loop, resulting in anchorage-independent cell growth (Seo et al., 2009). Consistent with this, the human CRKL gene lies within the chromosome 22q11 deletion region that causes DiGeorge syndrome, which shares the pharyngeal and cardiac defects seen in Fgf8-deficient mice (Moon et al., 2006). Despite these findings implicating Crk and Crkl in FGF signaling, a recent study has shown that mutating their putative Y463 binding site in Fgfr1 did not produce any observable phenotype in transgenic mice.

**Figure 1.** Crk and Crkl are essential for lens development. (A) Crk and Crkl immunostaining were localized to the invaginating lens vesicle at E10.5 (arrows) and to the elongating lens fiber cells near the transitional zone of the lens at E14.5 (arrowheads). These staining patterns were specifically lost in the CrkCKO lens. The dotted lines enclose the region of the lens and the disorganization of the retina was marked with asterisks (B) The phosphorylation of both Crk and Crkl was noticeably absent in the CrkCKO lens (arrowheads). (C) The CrkCKO lens size was significantly reduced with the anterior lens epithelium rotated sideways (arrows) and the disorganized lens fiber cells markedly shortened (double headed arrows).
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The following figure supplement is available for figure 1:

**Figure supplement 1.** Crk and Crkl single mutants did not display lens phenotype.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32586.004
In this study, we showed that the lens specific knockout of Crk and Crkl disrupted lens fiber cell elongation without affecting differentiation, suggesting that lens cell morphogenesis can be uncoupled from differentiation during development. FGF loss- and gain-of-function experiments demonstrated that Crk proteins act downstream of FGF signaling to enhance ERK phosphorylation. Contrary to the previous belief that Crk proteins directly bind to the Fgfr, we found that mutating the purported Crk docking site on Fgfr1 failed to perturb lens development or Crk phosphorylation. Instead, our data showed that Crkl was recruited to the Frs2/Shp2/Grb2 complex after FGF stimulation. Crk/Crkl deficient animals phenocopied Rac1 but not Rap1 mutants, and activation of Rac1 and Ras signaling partially reversed the observed lens elongation defects caused by the deletion of Crk and Crkl. These results show that the Crk family of adaptor proteins are essential partners of the Frs2/Shp2/Grb2 complex that forms during FGF signaling, and are specifically required for stimulating the actin reorganization that is necessary for the morphological shaping of lens cells.

**Results**

**Ablation of Crk and Crkl caused lens defects**

We observed that Crk and Crkl proteins displayed a restricted localization pattern in the lens. At E10.5, Crk and Crkl were predominantly confined to the apical side of the lens vesicle (Figure 1A, arrows), away from the basal side where integrins interact with the basement membrane (Figure 1A, dotted lines). By contrast, Crk and Crkl exhibited a more diffuse pattern at E12.5 when the posterior lens vesicle cells gave rise to the primary lens fibers (Figure 1A). However, by E14.5, Crk and Crkl were specifically enriched in the transitional zone where the lens epithelial cells begin to differentiate and elongate into the secondary lens fiber cells (Figure 1A, arrowheads). Using an antibody that recognizes the phosphorylated forms of both of these proteins, we were able to observe that the phosphorylation of Crk and Crkl also mainly occurs in the transition zone of the lens at this stage of development (Figure 1B, arrowheads). These results suggest that Crk activity is under dynamic regulation as the lens cells undergo successive morphological changes during development.

We next ablated Crk genes using Pax6Le-Cre, also known as Le-Cre, which is initially active in the lens placode and later in the lens epithelium (Ashery-Padan et al., 2000). As expected, this resulted in the loss of both Crk/Crkl and pCrk/pCrkl in the Pax6Le-Cre;Crklox/lox;Crkllox/lox (CrkCKO) lens after E10.5 (Figure 1A and B, dotted line). Although deletion of either Crk or Crkl alone did not perturb lens development (Figure 1—figure supplement 1A–C), the CrkCKO lens displayed a reduction in lens size, rotation of the lens epithelial layer, and disorganization of the lens fiber cells at E14.5 (Figure 1C, arrow). Using F-actin staining to better delineate individual cell shapes, we observed a significant reduction in the length of the lens fiber cells (Figure 1C). In addition to these fully penetrant lens phenotypes, the neural retina was often observed to aberrantly protrude toward the diminished lens. This observation is consistent with the known role of the properly developed lens in the correct placement of the retina (Figure 1A, asterisks) (Ashery-Padan et al., 2000).

During lens development, the transcription factors Pax6 and Prox1 are of vital importance for the normal differentiation of the transparent lens. Pax6 controls lens induction and fate determination while Prox1 regulates fiber cell differentiation and crystallin expression (Ashery-Padan et al., 2000; Audette et al., 2016). Interestingly, notwithstanding the severe morphological defects including the frequent ventral rotation of the lens epithelial layer, Prox1, Pax6 and multiple forms of crystallin (α,β,γ) were still expressed (Figure 2A and B). In addition, the polarity of the lens fiber cells was also preserved as evidenced by the localization of Zo-1 and β1 integrin to the apical and basal sides of the lens, respectively (Figure 2C, arrowheads). However, there were both a reduction in cell proliferation and an increase in apoptosis in the lens epithelial cells as shown by Ki67 and TUNEL staining (Figure 2D and E, arrowheads), which likely accounted for the diminished lens epithelial layer (Figure 2A and B, arrowheads). Collectively, these data show that Crk proteins are dispensable for lens differentiation and polarity, but are essential for proliferation, survival and elongation of the cells that make up the structurally mature lens.
Figure 2. Molecular defects in the Crk and Crkl double mutant lens. (A) There were no significant changes in the staining intensity of the lens determinant markers Prox1 and Pax6. (B) Further, none of the three forms of Crystallins (α, β, γ) displayed any changes in staining intensity in the CrkCKO lens. (C) The polarity of the CrkCKO lens fiber cells was maintained as indicated by both the apical expression of Zo-1 and the basal expression of β1 integrin.

Figure 2 continued on next page
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Crk proteins act downstream of FGF signaling to control fiber cell elongation

To investigate the role of Crk proteins in FGF signaling, we took a three-prong approach, combining in vitro, in vivo and ex vivo experiments. First, we performed loss-of-function experiments to examine whether FGF signaling is required for Crk protein activity. Consistent with previous studies, Crk proteins act downstream of FGF signaling to control fiber cell elongation. (D) The number of Ki67-expressing proliferative cells was significantly decreased and the number of TUNEL-positive apoptotic cells was increased (arrowheads). (E) Quantification of proliferation and apoptosis in wild type and CrkKO lens. Student's t test, *p<0.01, **p<0.001, n = 4.
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The following source data is available for figure 2:

Source data 1. Source data for Figure 2E.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32586.006

Figure 2 continued

Figure 3. Crk proteins mediate FGF signaling in Erk phosphorylation. (A) Genetic ablation of Fgfr1 and Fgfr2 disrupted the proper formation of the lens vesicle with the phosphorylation of Erk and Crk/Crkl proteins being noticeably absent. (B) Mouse Embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cells treated with FGF2 displayed an increase in pCrk/Crkl and pErk levels, which were abrogated by the removal of Fgfr1 and Fgfr2 using a Cre-expressing adenovirus. (C) Ablation of Crk proteins in MEF cells reduced FGF2-induced Erk phosphorylation. The pErk/Erk ratios were noted below the pERK blot. (D) The CrkKO lens displayed a significant decrease in pERK staining compared to the wild type lens.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32586.007

The following figure supplement is available for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1. The Y463F mutation in Fgfr1 (Fgfr1Crk) did not affect the phosphorylation of Crk and Erk proteins that is essential for lens development.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32586.008
Figure 4. Crk/Crkl deletion prevented FGF-induced lens cell elongation without affecting differentiation. (A) Overexpression of Fgf3 in Fgf3^OVE391 and Fgf3^OVE393A strains resulted in increased lens fiber cell elongation and overall lens sizes. However, both of these phenotypes were suppressed after being crossed with the CrkCKO mutant, indicating a genetic epistasis interaction between the FGF and Crk signaling pathways. (B) Quantification of lens fiber cell length. One-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test, *p<0.01, n = 3. (C) Deletion of the Crk genes did not prevent the premature differentiation phenotype observed in the Fgf3 overexpressing lens, as indicated by a reduction of lens progenitor cell markers (Ki67, E-cad and Foxe3) and an increase of differentiation cell markers (p57, Jag1, and C-Maf) within the presumptive lens epithelial layer (arrows). Nonetheless, pERK staining was significantly reduced in the CrkCKO;Fgf3^OVE391 lens. (D) The Cre-expressing adenovirus induces efficient genetic recombination in lens explant cultures as indicated by the ROSA^{mTmG} reporter. In Crk^flox/flox;Crkl^flox/flox explants, the Cre-mediated deletion of Crk proteins prevented Fgf2 from inducing cell shape changes.

Figure 4 continued on next page
showing that FGF signaling is essential for early lens development (Garcia et al., 2011), we observed that genetic ablation of Fgfr1/2 in Pax6floxflox;Fgfr1floxflox;Fgfr2floxflox (FgfrCKO) mutants disrupted lens vesicle invagination at E10.5 (Figure 3A). Although the mutant lens cells still expressed Pax6, the lens specific marker αA-crystallin was not induced. Importantly, whereas the control embryos displayed the phosphorylation of Erk and Crk in the invaginating lens vesicle, these staining patterns were absent in FgfrCKO lens cells (Figure 3A). To further corroborate these results in vitro, we isolated primary mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cells from Fgfr1floxflox;Fgfr2floxflox embryos and infected them with a Cre-expressing adenovirus to ablate Fgfr1 and Fgfr2. Unlike wild type controls, these mutant MEF cells failed to elevate the level of pCrk/Crkl and pErk upon FGF stimulation, demonstrating that both Crk and Erk proteins are under the tight regulation of FGF signaling (Figure 3B). To probe the relationship between Crk and Erk, we next infected Crkflxflox; Crkflxflox MEF cells with the Cre adenovirus to deplete Crk proteins (Figure 3C). As a result, both the intensity and duration of FGF-stimulated Erk phosphorylation were down regulated, suggesting that Crk proteins modify the quantity of FGF-ERK signaling by elevating and prolonging ERK activation.

Consistent with this, we noticed that Erk phosphorylation was prominent in the elongating primary lens fiber cells at E12.5 and in the transitional zone of the lens at E14.5 (Figure 3D). In the CrkCKO mutant lens, however, pERK staining was significantly reduced (Figure 3D). Together, this data shows that Crk proteins regulate FGF-induced Erk activation in the developing lens.

The second approach we took to probe the role of Crk genes in FGF signaling was based on gain-of-function experiments. We utilized two Fgf3 transgenes that are driven by the αA-crystallin promoter to target the lens (Robinson et al., 1998), which led to an anterior expansion of pErk staining (Figure 4C). The Fgf3OVE393A line displayed excessive elongation of the lens fiber cells that protruded through the corneal epithelium, while the Fgf3OVE391 line showed a more modest enlargement of the lens (Figure 4A). After crossing these mice with Crk mutants, however, lens abnormalities in both lines were suppressed and ectopic phospho-Erk staining was abrogated. The length of the fiber cells was reduced to the same size as those seen in the CrkCKO mutants, demonstrating that Crk genes were necessary for the induction of fiber cell elongation by FGF (Figure 4B). Fgf3 overexpression also led to premature exiting of the cell cycle as indicated by the loss of the cell proliferation marker Ki67 (Figure 4C, arrowheads) and an increase in expression of the cell cycle inhibitor p57 (Figure 4C, arrows). As a result, the anterior lens epithelial cells differentiated prematurely to express the fiber cell markers Jag1 and C-Maf at the expense of the epithelial cell markers E-cadherin and Foxe3. Interestingly, the cell cycle and differentiation abnormalities were not rescued after crossing the Fgf3 transgenic mice with CrkCKO mutants. These genetic epistasis experiments further highlighted that the specific functionality of Crk genes is in mediating FGF signaling for lens cell elongation but not differentiation.

In the third approach, we used the mouse lens explant system to test the direct role of Crk proteins in FGF-induced fiber cell elongation (Korol et al., 2014). In this assay, the explant isolated from P3 mouse embryos carrying Crkflxflox;Crkflxflox alleles was infected with a Cre-expressing adenovirus to achieve acute genetic ablation. This avoids any potential complication that may stem from defects in early lens development or other compartments of the eye. Using a ROSAαMmTmG mouse line that switches the reporter expression from membrane TdTomato to membrane GFP upon Cre mediated recombination, we showed that a 2 day incubation period with the virus was sufficient to induce genetic changes in all lens epithelial cells (Figure 4D). In control explants, β-catenin staining revealed a robust elongation of the lens epithelial cells after FGF2 exposure. By contrast, the lens epithelial cells in Crkflxflox;Crkflxflox explants treated with the Cre adenovirus retained the epithelial specific hexagonal shape without any obvious signs of elongation. Taken together, these three lines of evidence established that Crk proteins are essential mediators of FGF signaling whose specific function relates to the fiber cell elongation that occurs during lens development.
Figure 5. Crk proteins are recruited to the Frs2-Shp2-Grb2 complex in FGF signaling. (A–B) Mutating the Frs2-binding site in Fgfr1<sup>ΔFrs</sup> resulted in the loss of pCrk/CrkL in the Pax6<sup>Le</sup>-Cre;Fgfr1<sup>ΔFrs</sup>/Fgfr<sup>2ΔFrs</sup> mutant lens. (B) FGF2 was unable to induce the phosphorylation of Crk proteins in Fgfr1<sup>ΔFrs</sup>/Fgfr<sup>2ΔFrs</sup> MEF cells after treatment with the Cre expressing adenovirus. (C) FGF2-induced pCrk/CrkL and pErk were significantly downregulated in
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The Frs2 binding site on Fgfr1 is required for Crk signaling

Previous studies have suggested that Crk proteins bind directly to the phosphorylated tyrosine-463 residue on Fgfr1 to mediate downstream signaling (Larsson et al., 1999). Surprisingly, mice carrying a Y463F mutation in Fgfr1 (Fgfr1<sup>Y463F</sup>) lacked any observable phenotypes and were reported to be both viable and fertile (Brewer et al., 2015). The lack of abnormality in Fgfr1<sup>Y463F</sup> mice prompted us to examine whether it was due to the compensatory functions of other Fgf receptors. We isolated MEF cells from Fgfr1<sup>CRA</sup>;Fgfr2<sup>flox</sup> mice and removed Fgfr2 by Cre-mediated recombination in vitro. Although we have shown above that genetic inactivation of Fgfr1 and Fgfr2 together was sufficient to abrogate FGF signaling in MEF cells (Figure 3B), FGF was still able to induce phosphorylation of Crk/Crkl and Erk in Fgfr1<sup>Y463F</sup> MEF cells after the deletion of Fgfr2 (Figure 3—figure supplement 1A). We next investigated the requirement of the Y463 residue of Fgfr1 for Crk signaling in lens development. For this purpose, we genetically ablated all FGF receptors (Fgfr2<sup>−/−</sup>, Fgfr3<sup>−/−</sup> and Fgfr4<sup>−/−</sup>) with the exception of Fgfr1 in the mouse lens, resulting in only a modest reduction in lens size (Figure 3—figure supplement 1B). Even in such a stringent genetic background, a homozygous Fgfr1<sup>CRA</sup> mutation did not further worsen the lens phenotype or disrupt pCrk staining. These results show that the putative Y463 Crk binding site on Fgfr1 is dispensable for FGF-dependent lens development and Crk signaling.

Since our biochemical and genetic data did not support a functional role for the direct interaction between FGF receptors and the Crk protein, we next explored whether FGF signaling may engage Crk indirectly through intermediaries. Frs2 is a myristylated protein located at the plasma membrane that binds to Fgf receptors specifically at a juxtamembrane site. With multiple tyrosine residues phosphorylated by activated Fgf receptors, Frs2 acts as a nexus of FGF signaling by presenting easily accessible docking sites for the phosphotyrosine-binding proteins Shp2 and Grb2, which in turn activate Ras-MAPK signaling. Taking advantage of a mutant Fgfr1 allele (Fgfr1<sup>Y463F</sup>) that lacks the Frs2 binding site (Hoch and Soriano, 2006), we showed that formation of the lens vesicle was indeed disrupted in Pax6<sup>−/−</sup>-Cre;Fgfr1<sup>−/−</sup>Frs2<sup>−/−</sup>;Fgfr2<sup>−/−</sup> embryos (Figure 5A), which resembled the phenotype of FgfrCKO null mutants (Figure 3A). Importantly, we observed that the loss of the Fgfr-Frs2 interaction also abrogated the phosphorylation of Crk proteins. This was further confirmed in vitro using Fgfr1<sup>−/−</sup>Frs2<sup>−/−</sup>;Fgfr2<sup>−/−</sup> MEF cells infected with a Cre adenovirus. The resulting Fgfr1<sup>−/−</sup>Frs2<sup>−/−</sup>;Fgfr2<sup>−/−</sup> MEF cells failed to elevate the levels of pERK or pCrk/Crkl in response to FGF2 stimulation (Figure 5B), demonstrating that the Frs2-binding site on Fgfr1 is necessary for Crk signaling.

Crk proteins are recruited indirectly to FGF receptors by the Frs2-Shp2-Grb2 complex

The above results suggested that Frs2 may be the adaptor protein that recruits Crk to Fgf receptors. To test this idea, we first examined Crk phosphorylation in MEF cells that were mutated by the Cre-mediated deletion of Frs2 and Shp2. As shown in Figure 5C, phosphorylation of Crk proteins induced by FGF was significantly reduced in Frs2 null MEF cells. Moreover, in MEF cells lacking the Frs2 interacting protein Shp2, even the normally seen basal levels of pCrk were lost. We have previously shown that both Frs2 and its binding partner Shp2 have relatively slow turnover rates in vivo (Li et al., 2014). As a result, the conditional inactivation of Frs2 or Shp2 alone exhibited only a modest lens phenotype. Combined deletion of Frs2 and Shp2 in Le-Cre;Frs2<sup>−/−</sup>;Shp2<sup>−/−</sup> embryos, however, did block lens development at E14.5. At this stage, the control lens displayed a significant accumulation of Shp2 protein in the transitional zone of the lens, which is also the area of maximum pErk and pCrk/Crkl staining (Figure 5D, arrows). In contrast, neither Shp2 nor the pCrk/Crkl proteins were detectable in the Frs2CKO;Shp2CKO lens. Notably, an in vitro ablation of either Crk or Shp2 reduced the Erk phosphorylation that is normally induced by FGF (Figure 3C).
**Figure 6.** Rac proteins are downstream effectors of Crk signaling. (**A–D**) Staining of Rac-depleted lenses with a pErk antibody showed no significant difference in staining intensity. (**E–H**) Phalloidin (F-actin) staining revealed that the length of lens fiber cells (indicated by arrows) was significantly reduced in CrkCKO and RacCKO lenses, which was partially reversed after the activation of Rac signaling in CrkCKO;Rac1CA lenses. (**I–N**) The Rap1 depleted

|       | Control | RacCKO | Control | RacCKO | CrkCKO | CrkCKO;Rac1CA |
|-------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|----------------|
| **Rac1** | ![Image](image1) | ![Image](image2) | ![Image](image3) | ![Image](image4) | ![Image](image5) | ![Image](image6) |
| **pErk** | ![Image](image7) | ![Image](image8) | ![Image](image9) | ![Image](image10) | ![Image](image11) | ![Image](image12) |
| **F-actin** | ![Image](image13) | ![Image](image14) | ![Image](image15) | ![Image](image16) | ![Image](image17) | ![Image](image18) |
| **H/E** | ![Image](image19) | ![Image](image20) | ![Image](image21) | ![Image](image22) | ![Image](image23) | ![Image](image24) |
| **SMA** | ![Image](image25) | ![Image](image26) | ![Image](image27) | ![Image](image28) | ![Image](image29) | ![Image](image30) |
| **Control** | ![Image](image31) | ![Image](image32) | ![Image](image33) | ![Image](image34) | ![Image](image35) | ![Image](image36) |
| **RacCKO;Rap1CKO** | ![Image](image37) | ![Image](image38) | ![Image](image39) | ![Image](image40) | ![Image](image41) | ![Image](image42) |

| Relative fiber cell length | 100% | 80% | 60% | 40% | 20% | 0% |
|---------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| **Control**               | ![Image](image43) | ![Image](image44) | ![Image](image45) | ![Image](image46) | ![Image](image47) | ![Image](image48) |
| **RacCKO**                | ![Image](image49) | ![Image](image50) | ![Image](image51) | ![Image](image52) | ![Image](image53) | ![Image](image54) |
| **RacCKO;Rap1CKO**        | ![Image](image55) | ![Image](image56) | ![Image](image57) | ![Image](image58) | ![Image](image59) | ![Image](image60) |
| **CrkCKO**                | ![Image](image61) | ![Image](image62) | ![Image](image63) | ![Image](image64) | ![Image](image65) | ![Image](image66) |
| **CrkCKO;Rac1CA**         | ![Image](image67) | ![Image](image68) | ![Image](image69) | ![Image](image70) | ![Image](image71) | ![Image](image72) |

**Figure 6 continued on next page**
and Figure 5D). Consistent with this, both CrkCKO and Shp2CKO embryos at E12.5 continued to display residual levels of pErk staining in the lens (Figure 5E). In CrkCKO;Shp2CKO mutants, however, pErk staining was entirely abolished, suggesting that Shp2 and the Crk family of proteins act synergistically to regulate Erk signaling.

Grb2 is another binding partner of Frs2 that is essential for the activation of Ras-MAPK signaling. In Grb2-depleted MEF cells, we observed a similar reduction in Crk and Erk signaling in response to FGF stimulation (Figure 5F). The attenuation of Crk phosphorylation in Frs2, Shp2 and Grb2 deficient cells raises the possibility that Crk proteins are recruited to the Fgfr receptors via the Frs2-Rac1-GAP exchange factor (GEF), C3G, and FGFR1 has previously been reported to activate Rap1 in endothelial cells (Quilliam et al., 2002; Yan et al., 2008). We reasoned that if Rap1 was a downstream effector of Crk proteins in FGF signaling, Rap1 and Crk deficient lenses should phenocopy each

**Rac1 is a downstream effector of crk proteins in lens fiber cell elongation**

Crk proteins have been implicated in the activation of the small molecular GTPases Rac1 and Rap1, which play important roles in cell adhesion, cytoskeletal rearrangement and cell shape changes (Birge et al., 2009; Feller, 2001). Previous studies have reported that the conditional deletion of Rac1 in the lens resulted in impaired actin polymerization that lead to a morphologically impaired lens (Maddala et al., 2011). Since Rac2 has also been reported to be expressed in the lens (Rao et al., 2004), we decided to inactivate both Rac1 and Rac2 to compare their phenotype with that of the Crk mutants. Immunofluorescence confirmed the specific depletion of Rac1 in the Pax6<sup>Le-Cre</sup>;Rac<sup>1<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Rac2<sup>1<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Crk<sup>1<sup>flox/flox</sup>;R26-Rac<sup>1<sup>LSL-G12V</sup></sup> (RacCKO) lens (Figure 6A–B). Unlike the CrkCKO lens, however, the RacCKO lens did not display a decrease in pErk staining (Figure 6C–D). Nonetheless, depletion of Rac proteins resulted in a lens fiber cell elongation defect, albeit milder than that seen in the CrkCKO lens (Figure 6E–G). To further explore the relationship between Rac and Crk proteins, we utilized the R26-Rac<sup>1<sup>LSL-G12V</sup> allele that results in the expression of a constitutively active form of Rac1 (Rac1CA) after the Cre mediated excision of its STOP cassette (Srinivasan et al., 2009). In the Pax6<sup>Le-Cre</sup>;Crk<sup>1<sup>flox/flox</sup>;R26-Rac<sup>1<sup>LSL-G12V</sup> (CrkCKO;Rac1CA) lens, there was a statistically significant increase in fiber cell length as compared to that of the CrkCKO lens (Figure 6H and U). The partial rescue of the CrkCKO phenotype by Rac1 activation supports the idea that Rac1 is a downstream effector of Crk in the signaling pathways that lead to lens fiber cell elongation.

Rap1 is also known to be targeted by Crk proteins via activation of the guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF), C3G, and FGFR1 has previously been reported to activate Rap1 in endothelial cells (Quilliam et al., 2002; Yan et al., 2008). We reasoned that if Rap1 was a downstream effector of Crk proteins in FGF signaling, Rap1 and Crk deficient lenses should phenocopy each
other as well. In agreement with a previous report (Maddala et al., 2015), we found that the conditional knockout of two Rap1 genes (Pax6<sup>Cre</sup>-Rap1<sup>a<sub>flox/flox</sub></sup>;Rap1b<sup>flox/flox</sup> (Rap1CKO)) disrupted the epithelial polarity of the lens, leading to an ectopic expression of the epithelial-mesenchymal-transition (EMT) marker smooth muscle actin (SMA) in lens epithelium cells (Figure 6I–L). Due to cell adhesion defects, the posterior lens fiber cells also failed to remain attached to the anterior epithelial cells as is represented by a noticeable gap in the anterior part of the lens. In contrast, neither of these phenotypes were observed in the CrkCKO mutant lens (Figure 6M and N), which instead

**Figure 7.** Constitutive Kras signaling can compensate for the loss of Crk and Crkl in lens development. (A–C) Despite the loss of Crk and Crkl, Erk phosphorylation was partially recovered in CrkCKO;Kras<sup>G12D</sup> lenses. (D–F) A significant amount of TUNEL positive cells remained in both CrkCKO and CrkCKO;Kras<sup>G12D</sup> lenses. (G–L) Cell proliferation indicated by Ki67 increased in the CrkCKO;Kras<sup>G12D</sup> lens as compared to the CrkCKO lens, but there was no significant difference in staining intensity for the differentiation markers E-cad and Jag1. (M–P) Lens fiber cell length increased significantly in CrkCKO;Kras<sup>G12D</sup> lenses as compared to CrkCKO ones. Fiber cell length was measured based on F-actin staining and statistical analysis was performed using the one-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test (*p<0.01, n = 3). (Q) Model of Crk function in FGF signaling. The binding of FGF to its receptor induces the assembly of the Frs2-Shp2-Grb2 complex, which subsequently activates Ras signaling to promote lens differentiation. When FGF signaling is further elevated at the transitional zone of the lens, Crk proteins were additionally recruited by the Frs2-Shp2-Grb2 complex to further promote Ras and Rac signaling, resulting in actin cytoskeletal rearrangement and cell shape changes.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32586.014
displayed a more severe lens fiber cell elongation defect than the Rap1CKO mutants. We also considered the possibility that Rap1 and Rac may be functionally redundant in the developing ocular lens. The combined deletion of these four genes in Le-Cre;Rac1flox/flox,Rac2flox/flox,Rap1aflox/flox,Rap1bflox/flox (RacCKO;Rap1CKO) embryos, however, did not produce any lens differentiation abnormalities or enhance the fiber cell elongation defects previously observed in the RacCKO mutants (Figure 6O–U). Taken together, these results again argue against the Rap1 family of proteins being downstream targets of Crk signaling during lens development.

**Activation of ras signaling ameliorated lens fiber cell elongation defects present in the Crk mutant**

The above experiments showed that a constitutively active form of Rac1 resulted in only modest attenuation of the lens fiber cell elongation defects seen in the CrkCKO mutant, suggesting that there exist additional downstream effectors of the Crk proteins that are important in regulating fiber cell shape. As we have observed a significant downregulation of pErk in the CrkCKO mutant lens, one potential candidate is the Ras-MAPK signaling pathway. We subsequently crossed the CrkCKO mutant with a KrasLSL-G12D mouse, which harbors a Cre-inducible allele of the oncogenic G12D mutated Kras (Tuveson et al., 2004). Because this mutant is expressed from the endogenous Kras locus, it is expected to activate Ras signaling at a normal physiological level as opposed to being overexpressed. Consistent with this, we observed a modest increase in pERK staining in the Pax6Cre;CrkLSL-G12D;KrasLSL-G12D (CrkCKO;KrasG12D) lens (Figure 7A–C). Although TUNEL staining showed that the cell apoptosis defect was not rescued in the CrkCKO; KrasG12D lens, the number of proliferative Ki67 positive cells increased significantly, which can likely explain the expansion of the lens epithelium as evidenced by E-cadherin staining (Figure 7D–L). Importantly, the length of the lens fiber cells in the CrkCKO;KrasG12D lens increased to about 90% of that of the control lens, demonstrating that the activation of Ras signaling can largely rescue the fiber elongation defects caused by the loss of Crk proteins (Figure 7M–P). This result provides strong genetic evidence that Ras signaling plays an essential role in Crk-mediated lens cell shape changes.

**Discussion**

The ocular lens is derived from a single cell type that undergoes an orderly set of successive differentiation change that are represented by various cell shape alterations occurring along the developmental timeline. This makes it an excellent model for studying the cell signaling pathways involved in these morphological processes. Although FGF signaling is known to regulate both lens fiber cell differentiation and elongation, it is unclear whether these two functions can be separated at the mechanistic level. In this study, we successfully showed that the Crk family of adaptor proteins specifically mediate FGF signaling in the control of lens cell shape but not differentiation. Contrary to previous claims, we demonstrated that the putative Crk binding site on Fgfr1 is dispensable. Rather, the recruitment of Crk proteins to the Frs2-Shp2-Grb2 complex via the Frs2 docking site on Fgfr receptors was observed. Our study further showed that the downstream Crk effectors involved in regulating the precise cytoarchitecture of the lens are primarily Ras and to a lesser extent Rac1, but not Rap1 (Figure 7Q). These results identify Crk proteins as the essential adapters that link FGF signaling to cytoskeletal dynamics.

This study also demonstrated that Crk and Crkl play essential overlapping functions in embryonic lens development. Whereas lack of either Crk or Crkl did not disrupt lens development in the mouse embryos, combined deletion of Crk and Crkl led to a profound defect in lens fiber cell elongation. There is a substantial overlap between Crk and Crkl in many biological functions including the Reelin pathway (Park and Curran, 2008), neuromuscular synapse formation (Hallock et al., 2010), migration of T cells to sites of inflammation (Huang et al., 2015) and podocyte morphogenesis (George et al., 2014). These studies and ours confirm that single and double floxed mice for Crk and Crkl are crucial models for investigating the distinct and overlapping biological functions of these closely related proteins.

Crk and Crkl are versatile adaptor proteins that can interact with a wide spectrum of signaling molecules (Birge et al., 2009). Previous studies have shown that they can be recruited by molecular scaffolds such as Dab1 to transmit Reelin signaling or p130Cas and paxillin to participate in integrin signaling (Nojima et al., 1996; Petit et al., 2000; Sekine et al., 2012). Following growth factor...
stimulation, Crk and Crkl are also known to bind directly to several receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) via their SH2 domains and become rapidly phosphorylated at their specifically targeted tyrosine residues (Y221 in Crk and Y207 in Crkl) (Antoku and Mayer, 2009; Feller et al., 1994). Upon ligand stimulation, FGF receptors undergo autophosphorylation at multiple tyrosine residues, which serve as docking sites for downstream signaling proteins. Previous studies have suggested that Crk and Crkl can recognize a conserved phosphotyrosine residue in FGFRs (pY463 in FGFR1 and pY466 in FGFR2) through their SH2 domains (Larsson et al., 1999). By examining the Y463F mutant of Fgfr1 both in vitro and in vivo, we showed that this site was dispensable for FGF signaling with regards to the activation of Crk proteins. By contrast, we showed that Crk proteins interact with Frs2 and Shp2 proteins, and that their loss prevents FGF-induced Crk phosphorylation. This is reminiscent of Frs2’s function in response to nerve growth factor (NGF) to assemble a complex containing Crk, C3G, Rap1 and Braf in order to prolong MAPK signaling (Kao et al., 2001). Interestingly, in that case, the binding of Crk requires the Shp2-docking site on Frs2. We have also identified Grb2 as yet another interacting partner of Crkl and showed that Grb2 was required for FGF-induced phosphorylation of Crk and Crkl. Since both Frs2 and Shp2 interact with Grb2, we would like to suggest that the assembly of the entire Frs2-Shp2-Grb2 complex is necessary for the recruitment of the Crk family of adapter proteins to Fgf receptors.

C3G, Sos and Dock1 were the first three GEFs identified to be directly associated with the SH3 domains of Crk (Hasegawa et al., 1996; Oda et al., 1994; Tanaka et al., 1994). While Sos is primarily involved in the activation of Ras-MAPK signaling, C3G and Dock1 promote the exchange of GDP for GTP in the small GTPases Rap1 and Rac1 (Birge et al., 2009). These active GTP-bound proteins subsequently regulate integrin signaling and actin polymerization (Gloerich and Bos, 2011; Ridley, 2011). We showed that the Crk knockout did not recapitulate the Rap1 mutant lens phenotype, ruling out Rap1 as a critical downstream effector of Crk proteins. Instead, activation of Rac1 and, more importantly, Ras effectively rescued the lens fiber elongation defect seen in Crk mutants. In addition to these results further clarifying the downstream targets of Crk in lens development, they also raise an interesting question regarding the nature of Ras signaling in this process. Previous studies have shown that the Frs2/Shp2 mediated Ras-MAPK pathway acts downstream of FGF signaling to regulate lens fiber cell differentiation (Li et al., 2014; Madakashira et al., 2012; Upadhya et al., 2013), but in this study, our genetic evidence demonstrated that the Crk-mediated Ras signaling pathway only promoted fiber cell elongation and not differentiation. The uncoupling of lens fiber cell differentiation and elongation have been previously observed in lens explants, where pharmacological inhibition of ERK suppressed the morphological changes induced by FGF signaling while not preventing the expression of differentiation markers such as β-crystallin (Lovicu and McAvoy, 2001). We propose that these results reveal a biphasic function of Ras signaling, which promotes either differentiation or elongation of lens cells in a dosage dependent manner. In this model, Ras signaling induced directly by the Frs2/Shp2/Grb2 complex is sufficient to stimulate cell differentiation, with the additional elevation of Ras activity potentiated by the binding of Crk proteins to the signaling complex resulting in the necessary promotion of cell shape changes. In support of this idea, we note that the loss of Crk proteins did not completely squelch Ras-MAPK signaling, allowing cell differentiation to proceed in Crk mutant lenses. Therefore, in response to heightened FGF signaling, Crk proteins act as additional boosters to Ras activity that results in the specific promotion of cell shape changes.

Materials and methods

Key resources table

| Reagent type (species) or resource | Designation | Source or reference | Identifiers | Additional information |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus)     | Crk<sup>lox</sup>, CrkL<sup>lox</sup> | PMID: 19074029 | RRID: MGI:3830069 | Dr. Tom Curran (The Children’s Research Institute, Children’s Mercy Kansas City) |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus)     | Fgfr3<sup>lox</sup> | PMID: 20582225 | RRID: MGI:4459834 | Dr. Xin Sun (University of California San Diego) |

Continued on next page
| Reagent type (species) or resource | Designation | Source or reference | Identifiers | Additional information |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | Frs2α<sup>lox</sup> | PMID: 17868091 | RRID: MGI:3768915 | Dr. Feng Wang (Texas A and M) |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | Rap1a<sup>lox</sup>, Rap1b<sup>lox</sup> | PMID: 18305243 | RRID: MGI:3777607 | Alexei Morozov (National Institutes of Health) |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | Shp2<sup>lox</sup> | PMID: 15520383 | RRID: MGI:3522138 | Gen-Sheng Feng (UCSD) |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | Fgf3<sup>OVE391</sup> | | | Dr. Michael Robinson (Miami University) |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | Fgf3<sup>OVE393A</sup> | | | Dr. Michael Robinson (Miami University) |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | Fgfr1<sup>D</sup>Fr <sup>r</sup> | PMID: 16421190 | RRID: MGI:3620075 | Dr. Raj Ladher (RIKEN Kobe Institute-Center for Developmental Biology) |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | Fgfr1<sup>Crk</sup> | PMID: 26341559 | RRID: MGI:5882534 | Dr. Philipo Soriano (Washington University Medical School) |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | Fgfr2<sup>flox</sup> | PMID: 12756187 | RRID: MGI:3044690 | Dr. David Ornitz (Washington University Medical School) |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | Grb2<sup>flex</sup> | PMID: 21427701 | RRID: MGI:4949890 | Dr. Lars Nitschke (University of Erlangen-Nürnberg) |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | Pax6<sup>+/-</sup>.Cre (Le-Cre) | PMID: 11069887 | RRID: MGI:3045795 | Dr. Ruth Ashery-Padan (Tel Aviv University) |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | Rac1<sup>flex</sup> | PMID: 12759446 | RRID: MGI:2663672 | Dr. Feng-Chun Yang (Indiana University School of Medicine) |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | Rac2<sup>-/-</sup> | PMID: 10072071 | RRID: MGI:3840460 | Dr. Feng-Chun Yang (Indiana University School of Medicine) |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | Kras<sup>LSL-G12D</sup> | PMID: 15093544 | RRID: MGI:3044567 | Mouse Models of Human Cancers Consortium Repository at National Cancer Institute |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | Fgfr1<sup>flex</sup> | Jackson Laboratory | Stock #: 007671 RRID: MGI:3713779 | PMID:16421190 |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | R26-Rac<sup>1LSL-G12V</sup> | Jackson Laboratory | Stock #: 012361 RRID: MGI:4430563 | PMID:19879843 |
| Genetic reagent (M. musculus) | ROSA<sup>4<sup>T</sup> <sup>m</sup>TmG</sup> | Jackson Laboratory | Stock #: 007676 RRID: MGI:3722405 | PMID:17868096 |
| Cell line (M. musculus) | NIH-3T3 | American Type Culture Collection | Cat# CRL-1658, RRID:CVCL_0594 | |
| Transfected construct (synthesized) | TAP-Crkl | PMID: 26527617 | | David J. Glass |
| Antibody | Rabbit anti-C-maf | Santa Cruz Biotechnology | Cat #: sc-7866 RRID: AB_638562 | IHC (1:200) |
| Antibody | Rabbit anti-CrkL | Santa Cruz Biotechnology | Cat #: sc-319 RRID: AB_631320 | IHC (1:100), WB (1:1000) |
| Antibody | Mouse anti-CrkL | Santa Cruz Biotechnology | Cat #: sc-365471 | WB (1:1000) |
| Antibody | Mouse anti-E-cadherin | Sigma | Cat #: U3254 | IHC (1:200) |
| Antibody | Rabbit anti-Frs2 | Santa Cruz Biotechnology | Cat #: sc-8318 RRID: AB_2106228 | WB (1:1000) |
| Antibody | Rabbit anti-Grb2 | Santa Cruz Biotechnology | Cat #: sc-255 RRID: AB_631602 | WB (1:1000) |

Continued on next page
| Reagent type (species) or resource | Designation                     | Source or reference                  | Identifiers       | Additional information |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Antibody                         | Rabbit anti-Jag1               | Santa Cruz Biotechnology             | Cat. #: sc-6011   | IHC (1:100)            |
|                                  |                                 |                                      | RRID: AB_649689   |                        |
| Antibody                         | Mouse anti-Ki-67               | BD Pharmingen                        | Cat. #: 550609    | IHC (1:200)            |
|                                  |                                 |                                      | RRID: AB_393778   |                        |
| Antibody                         | Rabbit anti-p57                | Abcam                                | Cat. #: ab75974   | IHC (1:200)            |
| Antibody                         | Rabbit anti-Pax6               | Covance                              | Cat. #: PRB-278P  | IHC (1:500)            |
|                                  |                                 |                                      | RRID: AB_291612   |                        |
| Antibody                         | Rabbit anti-pCrk (Tyr221)      | Cell Signaling                       | Cat. #: 3491      | IHC (1:200), WB (1:1000)|
| Antibody                         | Rabbit anti-pCrkl (Tyr207)     | Cell Signaling                       | Cat. #: 3181      | WB (1:1000)            |
| Antibody                         | Rabbit anti-pERK1/2            | Cell Signaling                       | Cat. #: 4370      | IHC (1:200), WB (1:1000)|
| Antibody                         | Mouse anti-pERK1/2             | Santa Cruz Biotechnology             | Cat. #: sc-7383   | WB (1:1000)            |
|                                  |                                 |                                      |                   |                        |
| Antibody                         | Rabbit anti-Prox1              | Covance                              | Cat. No.: PRB-238C| IHC (1:1000)           |
| Antibody                         | Mouse anti-Rac1                | BD Transduction Laboratory           | Cat. #: 610652    | IHC (1:200)            |
|                                  |                                 |                                      | RRID: AB_397979   |                        |
| Antibody                         | Rabbit anti-Shp2               | Santa Cruz Biotechnology             | Cat. #: sc-280    | IHC (1:100), WB (1:1000)|
|                                  |                                 |                                      | RRID: AB_632401   |                        |
| Antibody                         | Rabbit anti-α-crystallin       | Sam Zigler (National Eye Institute)  |                   | IHC (1:5000)           |
| Antibody                         | Mouse anti-α-SMA               | Sigma                                | Cat. #: C6198     | IHC (1:1000)           |
| Antibody                         | Mouse anti-β-catenin           | Sigma                                | Cat. #: 6F9       | IHC (1:200)            |
| Antibody                         | Rabbit anti-β-crystallin       | Sam Zigler (National Eye Institute)  |                   | IHC (1:5000)           |
| Antibody                         | Rabbit anti-γ-crystallin       | Sam Zigler (National Eye Institute)  |                   | IHC (1:5000)           |
| Recombinant DNA reagent          | Ad5CMVCre-eGFP                 | Gene Transfer Vector Core, University of Iowa, IA | VVC-U of Iowa-1174 |                        |
| Recombinant DNA reagent          | Ad5CMVeGFP                     | Gene Transfer Vector Core, University of Iowa, IA | VVC-U of Iowa-4  |                        |
| Peptide, recombinant protein     | recombinant human FGF2         | ScienCell                            | 104-02            |                        |
| Peptide, recombinant protein     | recombinant murine FGF2        | ScienCell                            | 124-02            |                        |
| Commercial assay or kit          | In situ cell death detection kit, Fluorescein | Sigma                                | 11684795910 ROCHE |                        |
| Commercial assay or kit          | streptavidin resin             | Agilent                              | 240207            |                        |
| Chemical compound, drug          | Alexa Fluor 488 Phalloidin     | ThermoFisher Scientific              | A12379            | 1:50                   |
| Chemical compound, drug          | heparin sodium                 | Sigma                                | H3393             |                        |

**Mice**

Mice carrying Crk\textsuperscript{flo}x, Crk\textsuperscript{flo}x, Fgf3\textsuperscript{flo}x, Fra2\textsuperscript{flo}x, Rap1\textsubscript{a}f\textsuperscript{lox}, Rap1b\textsuperscript{flo}x and Shp2\textsuperscript{flo}x alleles were bred and genotyped as described (Lin et al., 2007; Pan et al., 2008; Park and Curran, 2008; Su et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2004). Fgf3\textsuperscript{OVE39a} and Fgf3\textsuperscript{OVE39a} were from Dr. Michael Robinson (Miami University, Oxford, OH), Fgf3\textsuperscript{OVE39a} from Dr. Raj Ladher (RIKEN Kobe Institute-Center for Developmental Biology, Kobe, Japan), Fgf1\textsuperscript{CR} from Dr. Philipo Soriano (Washington University Medical School, St Louis, MO), Fgf3\textsuperscript{OVE39a} from Dr. David Ornitz (Washington University Medical School, St Louis, MO), Fgf3\textsuperscript{OVE39a} from Dr. Xin Sun (University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA), Fgf3\textsuperscript{CR} from Dr. Chu-Xia Deng (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD), Grb2\textsuperscript{flo}x from Dr. Lars Nitschke (University of Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany), Pax\textsuperscript{Le}-Cre (Le-Cre) from Drs. Ruth Ashery-Padan (Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel), and Pax\textsuperscript{Le}-Cre from Dr. Ruth Ashery-Padan (Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel).
University, Tel Aviv, Israel) and Richard Lang (Children’s Hospital Research Foundation, Cincinnati, OH), Rac1\textsuperscript{flox} and Rac2\textsuperscript{+/−} from Dr. Feng-Chun Yang (Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN) (Ackermann et al., 2011; Ashery-Padan et al., 2000; Brewer et al., 2015; Glogauer et al., 2003; Hoch and Soriano, 2006; Roberts et al., 1999; Robinson et al., 1998; Weinstein et al., 1998; Yu et al., 2003). \textsuperscript{2}Kras\textsuperscript{LSL-G12D} mice were obtained from the Mouse Models of Human Cancers Consortium (MMHCC) Repository at National Cancer Institute (\textsuperscript{3}Tuveson et al., 2004). F\textgreek{f}t\textgreek{r}1\textsuperscript{flox} (Stock No: 007671), R\textgreek{2}6-Rac1\textsuperscript{LSL-G12V} (Stock No: 012361) and ROSA\textsuperscript{mTmG} (Stock No: 007676) mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratory (Hoch and Soriano, 2006; Muzumdar et al., 2007; Srinivasan et al., 2009). In all conditional knockout experiments, mice were maintained on a mixed genetic background and at least three animals were analyzed for each genotype (\textsuperscript{4}Supplementary file 1). We did not observe phenotypic variation in lens development among \textsuperscript{5}Pax6\textsuperscript{Le-Cre} and \textsuperscript{6}Pax6\textsuperscript{Le-Cre}\textsuperscript{Crk}\textsuperscript{flox+/−},\textsuperscript{Crk}\textsuperscript{flox+/−} mice, and thus \textsuperscript{5}Pax6\textsuperscript{Le-Cre} only mice were used as controls. Mouse maintenance and experimentation was performed according to protocols approved by Columbia University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Histology, Immunohistochemistry, Immunocytochemistry**

Mouse embryos were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS overnight and paraffin or cryo embedded. The paraffin sections (10 μm) were rehydrated and stained with hematoyxin and eosin (H and E) for histological analysis. Lens sizes were measured as previously described (\textsuperscript{7}Cai et al., 2011; \textsuperscript{8}Pan et al., 2010). TUNEL staining and immunostaining were performed on the cryosections (8 μm) as previously described (\textsuperscript{9}Carbe et al., 2012; \textsuperscript{10}Carbe and Zhang, 2011). For phospho-ERK and Shp2 staining, the signal was amplified using a Tyramide Signal Amplification kit (TSA Plus System, PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Waltham, MA). Antibodies used were: anti-Shp2 (Sc-280), anti-C-maf (sc-7866), anti-Crk (Sc-319), anti-Jag1 (Sc-6011) (all from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), anti-pCrk (Tyr207) (#3181, also recognize pCrk (Tyr221) and anti-pERK1/2 (#4370) (both from Cell Signaling Technology, Boston, MA), anti-P57 (ab75974, from Abcam, Boston, MA), anti-α-SMA (#C6198), anti-β-catenin (6F9), anti-E-cadherin (U3254) (all from Sigma, St. Louis, MO), anti-Ki67 (#550609, BD Pharmingen, San Jose, CA), anti-Crk (#610036), anti-Rac1 (#610651) (both from BD Transduction Laboratory, Franklin Lakes, NJ), anti-Prox1 (PRB-238C) and anti-Pax6 (PRB-278P) (both from Covance, San Diego, CA). Antibodies against α-, β- and γ-crystallins were kindly provided by Sam Zigler (National Eye Institute). Cell proliferation and apoptosis were measured as the ratio of Ki67 or TUNEL-positive cells versus DAPI-positive cells, and analyzed by one-way ANOVA analysis. Alexa Fluor 488 Phalloidin (A12379, ThermoFisher) was used to stain F-actin.

**Lens epithelium explant culture**

Postnatal day 0 to day 3 Crk\textsuperscript{flox/flox},\textsuperscript{Crk}\textsuperscript{flox/flox} mice were sacrificed and eyes enucleated. Lenses were then dissected out in lens explant culture medium containing DMEM with 1% BSA (BP1600, Fisher Scientific) and 1:100 dilution of Antibiotic-Antimycotic (15240062, ThermoFisher). Lens capsules were torn open from the posterior before the lens epithelium was peeled off with forceps and pinned down onto a cell culture dish. To delete Crk and Crkl in the lens explants, 2 × 10\textgreek{7} adenoviruses expressing Cre recombinase (Ad5CMVCrCre-eGFP, Cat #: VVC-U of Iowa-1174, Gene Transfer Vector Core, University of Iowa, IA) were added to the culture of 4 explants for 8 hr one day after explant isolation. GFP-expressing adenoviruses (Ad5CMVeGFP, Cat #: VVC-U of Iowa-4) were used as a control. To induce fiber cell differentiation and elongation, these explants were further cultured in the lens explant culture medium with 2 μg/ml heparin sodium (H3393, Sigma) and 100 ng/ml recombinant murine FGF2 (124–02, ScienCell, Carlsbad, CA) for 5 min at 37 °C as previously described (\textsuperscript{11}Li et al., 2014). After washing twice in cold PBS, MEF cells were lysed in 160 μl RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1 μg/ml aprotinin, 1 μg/ml pepstatin, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM PMSF, 0.2 mM Na\textsubscript{3}VO\textsubscript{4}, 50 mM NaF). Proteins were visualized by infrared-based western blot analysis using an Odyssey SA scanner (LICOR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE).
The signal intensity was quantified using the Odyssey software. The antibodies used were mouse anti-phospho-ERK1/2 (sc-7383, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-phospho-Crk (tyr221) (#3491, Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit-anti-Crkl (sc-319, Santa Cruz biotechnology), mouse-anti-Crkl (sc-365471, Santa Cruz biotechnology), anti-Shp2 (sc-280, Santa Cruz biotechnology), anti-Grb2 (sc-255, Santa Cruz biotechnology), and anti-Frs2 (sc-8318, Santa Cruz biotechnology).

Immunoprecipitation
NIH3T3 cells from the American Type Culture Collection were tested mycoplasma-free. They were plated at 1 x 10^6 cell per 10 cm tissue culture plate and transfected with 2.5 μg TAP-Crkl plasmid (Hallock et al., 2015) using lipofectamine 3000 (ThermoFisher, Springfield Township, NJ). 36 hr after the transfection, cells were serum depleted overnight and then were treated with 100 ng/ml recombinant human FGF2 (104–02, ScienCell) for 5 min. Both the treatment group and control were lysed with 1 ml immunoprecipitation buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 5% glycerol) supplemented with Halt protease inhibitor cocktail (ThermoFisher). Lysates were then incubated with streptavidin resins (240207, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) to pull down TAP-Crkl, according to manufacturer’s recommendations. Resins were later washed in streptavidin binding buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl) twice at 4°C. All pulled-down proteins were eluted in 1X Laemmli SDS sample buffer (1.5% SDS, 9% glycerol, 62.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 0.00025% Bromophenol blue, 2% β-mercaptoethanol). Samples were denatured at 95°C for 5 min before being loaded onto SDS-PAGE gels.

Acknowledgements
The authors thank Drs. Chu-Xia Deng, Raj Ladher, Richard Lang, Alexei Morozov, Lars Nitschke, David Ornitz, Ruth Ashery-Padan, Philippe Soriano and Feng-Chun Yang for mice. We also thank Drs. Frank Lovicu and Judith West-Mays for advice on lens explant culture. The work was supported by NIH (EY017061 to XZ). The Columbia Ophthalmology Core Facility is supported by NIH Core grant 5P30EY019007 and unrestricted funds from Research to Prevent Blindness (RPB). Xin Zhang is supported by Jules and Doris Stein Research to Prevent Blindness Professorship. Tamica Collins is a recipient of Edwin T Harper Scholarship.

Additional information

| Funding | Grant reference number | Author |
|---------|------------------------|--------|
| National Eye Institute | EY017061 | Tamica N Collins |
| | | Yingyu Mao |
| | | Hongge Li |
| | | Angela Hong |
| | | Xin Zhang |
| National Eye Institute | SP30EY019007 | Xin Zhang |
| Research to Prevent Blindness | Jules and Doris Stein professorship | Xin Zhang |

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions
Tamica N Collins, Yingyu Mao, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing; Hongge Li, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; Michael Bouaziz, Investigation, Writing—review and editing; Angela Hong, Formal analysis, Investigation; Gen-Sheng Feng, Fen Wang, Lawrence A Quilliam, Lin Chen, Taeju Park, Tom Curran, Resources, Writing—review and editing; Xin Zhang, Conceptualization, Resources, Data curation, Formal analysis, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Project administration, Writing—review and editing
Author ORCIDs
Xin Zhang http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5555-0825

Ethics
Animal experimentation: Mouse maintenance and experimentation was performed according to protocols approved by Columbia University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol AAAR0429).

Decision letter and Author response
Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32586.018
Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32586.019

Additional files
Supplementary files
- Supplementary file 1. List of genetic crosses and experimental progenies.
  DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32586.015
- Transparent reporting form
  DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32586.016

References
Ackermann JA, Radtke D, Maurberger A, Winkler TH, Nitschke L. 2011. Grb2 regulates B-cell maturation, B-cell memory responses and inhibits B-cell Ca2+ signalling. The EMBO Journal 30:1621–1633. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2011.74, PMID: 21427701

Antoku S, Mayer BJ. 2009. Distinct roles for Crk adaptor isoforms in actin reorganization induced by extracellular signals. Journal of Cell Science 122:4228–4238. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.054627, PMID: 19861495

Ashery-Padan R, Marquardt T, Zhou X, Gruss P. 2000. Pax6 activity in the lens primordium is required for lens formation and for correct placement of a single retina in the eye. Genes & Development 14:2701–2711. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.184000, PMID: 11069887

Audette DS, Anand D, So T, Rubenstein TB, Lachke SA, Lovicu FJ, Duncan MK. 2016. Prox1 and fibroblast growth factor receptor proteins form a novel regulatory loop controlling lens fiber differentiation and gene expression. Development 143:318–328. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.127860, PMID: 26657765

Audette DS, Scheiblin DA, Duncan MK. 2017. The molecular mechanisms underlying lens fiber elongation. Experimental Eye Research 156:41–49. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2016.03.016, PMID: 27015931

Bassnett S. 2005. Three-dimensional reconstruction of cells in the living lens: the relationship between cell length and volume. Experimental Eye Research 81:716–723. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2005.04.009, PMID: 15963502

Beebe DC, Feagans DE, Blanchette-Mackie EJ, Nau ME. 1979. Lens epithelial cell elongation in the absence of microtubules: evidence for a new effect of colchicine. Science 206:836–838. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.493982, PMID: 493982

Birge RB, Kalodimos C, Inagaki F, Tanaka S. 2009. Crk and CrkL adaptor proteins: networks for physiological and pathological signaling. Cell Communication and Signaling 7:13. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1478-811X-7-13, PMID: 19426560

Brewer JR, Molotkov A, Mazot P, Hoch RV, Soriano P. 2015. Fgfr1 regulates development through the combinatorial use of signaling proteins. Genes & Development 29:1863–1874. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.264994.115, PMID: 26341559

Byers B, Porter KR. 1964. Oriented microtubules in elongating cells of the developing lens rudiment after induction. PNAS 52:1091–1099. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.52.4.1091, PMID: 14224388

Cai Z, Simons DL, Fu XY, Feng GS, Wu SM, Zhang X. 2011. Loss of Shp2-mediated mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling in Muller glial cells results in retinal degeneration. Molecular and Cellular Biology 31:2973–2983. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.05054-11, PMID: 21576358

Carbe C, Hertzler-Schaefer K, Zhang X. 2012. The functional role of the Meis/Prep-binding elements in Pax6 locus during pancreas and eye development. Developmental Biology 363:320–329. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.12.038, PMID: 22240097

Carbe C, Zhang X. 2011. Lens induction requires attenuation of ERK signaling by Nf1. Human Molecular Genetics 20:1315–1323. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddr014, PMID: 21233129
Chuan BK, Lou M, Zheng Y, Lang RA. 2011. Balanced Rac1 and RhoA activities regulate cell shape and drive invagination morphogenesis in epithelia. *PNAS* **108**:18289–18294. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1108993108, PMID: 22021442

Cvrdi A, Zhang X. 2017. Signaling and gene regulatory networks in mammalian lens development. *Trends in Genetics* **33**:677–702. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2017.08.001, PMID: 28867048

Feller SM, Knudsen B, Hanafusa H. 1994. c-Abl kinase regulates the protein binding activity of c-Crk. *The EMBO Journal* **13**:2341–2351, PMID: 8194526

Feller SM. 2001. Crk family adaptors-signalling complex formation and biological roles. *Oncogene* **20**:6348–6371. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/02612072.2000.1108673

Garcia CM, Huang J, Madakashira BP, Liu Y, Rajagopal R, Dattilo L, Robinson ML, Beebe DC. 2011. The function of FGF signaling in the lens placode. *Developmental Biology* **351**:176–185. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.01.001, PMID: 21223962

George B, Fan Q, Dlugos CP, Soofi AA, Zhang J, Verma R, Park TJ, Wong H, Curran T, Nihalani D, Holzman LB. 2014. Crk1/2 and CrkL form a hetero-oligomer and functionally complement each other during podocyte morphogenesis. *Kidney International* **85**:1382–1394. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.2013.556, PMID: 24499776

Gloerich M, Bos JL. 2011. Regulating Rap small G-proteins in time and space. *Trends in Cell Biology* **21**:615–623. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2011.07.001, PMID: 21820312

Glogauer M, Marchal CC, Zhu F, Worku A, Clausen BE, Foerster I, Marks P, Downey GP, Dinauer M, Kwiatkowski DJ. 2003. Rac1 deletion in mouse neutrophils has selective effects on neutrophil functions. *The Journal of Immunology* **170**:5652–5657. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.170.11.5652, PMID: 12794446

Gunhaga L. 2011. The lens: a classical model of embryonic induction providing new insights into cell determination in early development. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **366**:1193–1203. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0175, PMID: 21402580

Hallock PT, Chin S, Blais S, Neubert TA, Glass DJ. 2015. Sorbs1 and -2 interact with CrkL and are required for acetylcholine receptor cluster formation. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **35**:262–270. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00775-15, PMID: 26527617

Hallock PT, Xu CF, Park TJ, Curran T, Curran T, Burden SJ. 2010. Dok-7 regulates neuromuscular synapse formation by recruiting Crk and Crk-L. *Genes & Development* **24**:2451–2461. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1977710, PMID: 21041412

Hasegawa H, Kiyokawa E, Tanaka S, Nagashima K, Gotoh N, Shibuya M, Kurata T, Matsuda M. 1996. DOCK180, a major CRK-binding protein, alters cell morphology upon translocation to the cell membrane. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **16**:1770–1776. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.16.4.1770, PMID: 8657152

Hoch RV, Soriano P. 2006. Context-specific requirements for Fgfr1 signaling through Frs2 and Frs3 during mouse development. *Development* **133**:663–673. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02242, PMID: 16421190

Huang Y, Clarke F, Karimi M, Roy NH, Williamson EK, Okumura M, Mochizuki K, Chen EJ, Park TJ, Debes GF, Zhang Y, Curran T, Kambayashi T, Burkhart JD. 2015. CRK proteins selectively regulate T cell migration into inflamed tissues. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **125**:1019–1032. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI77278, PMID: 25621495

Kao S, Jaiswal RK, Kolch W, Landreth GE. 2001. Identification of the mechanisms regulating the differential activation of the mapk cascade by epidermal growth factor and nerve growth factor in PC12 cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **276**:18169–18177. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M008870200, PMID: 11278445

Klipp P, Claesson-Welsh L. 1999. Signal transduction by fibroblast growth factor receptors. *Frontiers in Bioscience* **4**:d165–177. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2741/Klipp, PMID: 9989949

Korol A, Pino G, Dwivedi D, Robertson JV, Deschamps PA, West-Mays JA. 2014. Matrix metalloproteinase-9-null mice are resistant to TGF-β-induced subepithelial capillary formation. *The American Journal of Pathology* **184**:2001–2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2014.03.013, PMID: 24814605

Kouchara H, Hadari YR, Spivak-Kroizman T, Schilling J, Bar-Sagi D, Lax I, Schlessinger J. 1997. A lipid-anchored Grb2-binding protein that links FGF-receptor activation to the Ras/MAPK signaling pathway. *Cell* **89**:693–702. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80252-4, PMID: 9182757

Larsson H, Klipp P, Landgren E, Claesson-Welsh L. 1999. Fibrob mast growth factor receptor-1-mediated endothelial cell proliferation is dependent on the Src homology (SH)2/SH3 domain-containing adaptor protein Crk. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **274**:25726–25734. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.36.25726, PMID: 10464310

Li H, Tao C, Cai Z, Hertzler-Schaefer K, Collins TN, Wang F, Feng GS, Gotot H, Zhang X. 2014. Frs2x and Shp2 signal independently of Gab to mediate FGF signaling in lens development. *Journal of Cell Science* **127**:571–582. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.134478, PMID: 24284065

Lin Y, Zhang J, Zhang Y, Wang F. 2007. Generation of an Frs2alpha conditional null allele. *Genesis* **45**:554–559. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvg.20327, PMID: 17660911

Lo WK, Sen XJ, Zhou CJ. 2003. Microtubule configuration and membranous vesicle transport in elongating fiber cells of the rat lens. *Experimental Eye Research* **77**:615–626. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-4835(03)00176-3, PMID: 14550404

Logan CM, Rajakaruna S, Bowen C, Radice GL, Robinson ML, Menko AS. 2017. N-cadherin regulates signaling mechanisms required for lens fiber cell elongation and lens morphogenesis. *Developmental Biology* **428**:118–134. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2017.05.022, PMID: 28552735

Lovicu FJ, McAvoy JW. 2001. FGF-induced lens cell proliferation and differentiation is dependent on MAPK (ERK1/2) signalling. *Development* **128**:5075–5084. PMID: 11748143
Madakashira BP, Kobrinski DA, Hancher AD, Ameman EC, Wagner BD, Wang F, Shin H, Lovicu FJ, Reneker LW, Robinson ML. 2012. Fsr2X enhances fibroblast growth factor-mediated survival and differentiation in lens development. Development 139:4601–4612. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.081737, PMID: 23136392

Maddala R, Chauhan BK, Walker C, Zheng Y, Robinson ML, Lang RA, Rao PV. 2011. Rac1 GTPase-deficient mouse lens exhibits defects in shape, suture formation, fiber cell migration and survival. Developmental Biology 360:30–43, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.09.004, PMID: 21945075

Maddala R, Nagendra T, Lang RA, Morozov A, Rao PV. 2015. Rap1 GTPase is required for mouse lens epithelial maintenance and morphogenesis. Developmental Biology 406:74–91. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.06.022, PMID: 26212757

McAvoy JW, Chamberlain CG, de longh RU, Hales AM, Lovicu FJ. 1999. Lens development. Eye 13:425–437. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/eye.1999.117, PMID: 10627820

McAvoy JW, Chamberlain CG. 1989. Fibroblast growth factor (FGF) induces different responses in lens epithelial cells depending on its concentration. Development 107:221–228. PMID: 26332221

Moon AM, Guris DL, Seo JH, Li L, Hammond J, Talbot A, Imamoto A. 2006. Crkl deficiency disrupts Fg8 signaling in a mouse model of 22q11 deletion syndromes. Developmental Cell 10:71–80. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2005.12.003, PMID: 16939079

Muzumdar MD, Tasic B, Miyamichi K, Li L, Luo L. 2007. A global double-fluorescent Cre reporter mouse. Genesis 45:593–605. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvg.20335

Nojima Y, Mimura T, Morino N, Hamasaki K, Furuha Y, Sakai R, Nakamoto T, Yazyuki Y, Hirai H. 1996. Tyrosine phosphorylation of p130Cas in cell adhesion and transformation. Human Cell 9:169–174. PMID: 9183645

Ota T, Heaney C, Hagan J, Okuda K, Griffin JD, Drucker BJ. 1994. Crkl is the major tyrosine-phosphorylated protein in neutrophils from patients with chronic myelogenous leukemia. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 269:22925–22928. PMID: 8083188

Pan BX, Vautier F, Itto W, Bolshakov VY, Morozov A. 2008. Enhanced cortico-amygdala efficacy and suppressed fear in absence of Rap1. Journal of Neuroscience 28:2089–2098. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1516-07.2008, PMID: 18305243

Pan Y, Carbe C, Powers A, Feng GS, Zhang X. 2010. Sprouty2-modulated Kras signaling rescues Shp2 deficiency during lens and lacrimal gland development. Development 137:1085–1093. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.042820, PMID: 20215346

Park TJ, Boyd K, Curran T. 2006. Cardiovascular and craniofacial defects in Crk-null mice. Molecular and Cellular Biology 26:6272–6282. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00472-06, PMID: 16880535

Park TJ, Curran T. 2008. Crk and Crk-like play essential overlapping roles downstream of disabled-1 in the Reelin pathway. Journal of Neuroscience 28:13551–13562. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4323-08.2008, PMID: 19074029

Pathania M, Wang Y, Simirski VN, Duncan MK. 2016. β1-integrin controls cell fate specification in early lens development. Differentiation 92:133–147. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diff.2016.08.002

Petit V, Boyer B, Lentz D, Turner CE, Thiery JP, Vallés AM. 2000. Phosphorylation of tyrosine residues 31 and 118 on paxillin regulates cell migration through an association with CRK in NBT-II cells. The Journal of Cell Biology 148:957–970. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.148.5.957, PMID: 10704446

Ponteriero GF, Smith AN, Miller L-AD, Radice GL, West-Mays JA, Lang RA. 2009. Co-operative roles for E-cadherin and N-cadherin during lens vesicle separation and lens epithelial cell survival. Developmental Biology 326:403–417. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.10.011

Quilliam LA, Rebhun JF, Castro AF. 2002. A growing family of guanine nucleotide exchange factors is responsible for activation of Ras-family GTPases. Progress in Nucleic Acid Research and Molecular Biology 71:391–444. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6603(02)71407-7, PMID: 12102558

Rao PV, Maddala R, John F, Zgiler JS. 2004. Expression of nonphagocytic NADPH oxidase system in the ocular lens. Molecular Vision 10:112–121. PMID: 14978478

Ridley AJ. 2011. Life at the leading edge. Cell 145:1012–1022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.06.010

Roberts AE, Araki T, Swanson KD, Montgomery KT, Schiripo TA, Joshi VA, Li L, Yassin Y, Tamburino AM, Neel BG, Kucherlapati RS. 2007. Germline gain-of-function mutations in SOS1 cause Noonan syndrome. Nature Genetics 39:70–74. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1926

Roberts AW, Kim C, Zhen L, Lowe JB, Kapur R, Petryniak B, Spaetti A, Pollock JD, Borneo JB, Bradford GB, Atkinson SJ, Dinauer MC, Williams DA. 1999. Deficiency of the hematopoietic cell-specific Rho family GTPase Rac2 is characterized by abnormally low neutrophil function and host defense. Immunity 10:183–196. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80019-9, PMID: 10072071

Robinson ML, Ohtaka-Maruyama C, Chan CC, Jamieson S, Dickson C, Overbeek PA, Chepelinsky AB. 1998. Disregulation of ocular morphogenesis by lens-specific expression of FGF-3/int-2 in transgenic mice. Developmental Biology 198:13–31. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1998.8879, PMID: 9640329

Robinson ML, Overbeek PA, Verran DJ, Grizzle WE, Stockard CR, Friesel R, Maciag T, Thompson JA. 1995. Extracellular FGF-1 acts as a lens differentiation factor in transgenic mice. Development 121:505–514. PMID: 7539358

Schubbert S, Zenker M, Rowe SL, Böll S, Klein C, Bollag G, van der Burgt I, Musante L, Kalscheuer V, Wehner L-E, Nguyen H, West B, Zhang KY, Sisteman E, Rauch A, Niemeyer CM, Shannon K, Kratz CP. 2006. Germline KRAS mutations cause Noonan syndrome. Nature Genetics 38:331–336. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1748

Sekine K, Kawachi T, Kubo K, Honda T, Herz J, Hattori M, Kinashi T, Nakajima K. 2012. Reelin controls neuronal positioning by promoting cell-matrix adhesion via inside-out activation of integrin α5β1. Neuron 76:353–369. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.07.020, PMID: 23083738
Seo JH, Suenaga A, Hatakeyama M, Taiji M, Imamoto A. 2009. Structural and functional basis of a role for CRKL in a fibroblast growth factor 8-induced feed-forward loop. Molecular and Cellular Biology 29:3076–3087. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.01686-08, PMID: 19307307

Settleman J, Baum B. 2008. Cell shape and tissue morphogenesis. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 19:213–214. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2008.02.002

Srinivasan L, Sasaki Y, Calado DP, Zhang B, Paik JH, DePinho RA, Kikut JI, Kearney JF, Otipoby KL, Rajewsky K. 2009. PI3 kinase signals BCR-dependent mature B cell survival. Cell 139:573–586. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.08.041, PMID: 19879843

Su N, Xu X, Li C, He Q, Zhao L, Li C, Chen S, Luo F, Yi L, Du X, Huang H, Deng C, Chen L. 2010. Generation of Fgfr3 conditional knockout mice. International Journal of Biological Sciences 6:327–332. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.6.327, PMID: 20582225

Sue Menko A. 2002. Lens epithelial cell differentiation. Experimental Eye Research 75:485–490. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/exer.2002.2057, PMID: 12457861

Tanaka S, Morishita T, Hashimoto Y, Hattori S, Nakamura S, Shibuya M, Matuoka K, Takenawa T, Kurata T, Nagashima K. 1994. C3G, a guanine nucleotide-releasing protein expressed ubiquitously, binds to the Src homology 3 domains of CRK and GRB2/ASH proteins. PNAS 91:3443–3447. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.91.8.3443

Tartaglia M, Mehler EL, Goldberg R, Zampino G, Brunner HG, Kremer H, van der Burgt I, Crosby AH, Ion A, Jeffery S, Kalidas K, Patton MA, Kucherlapati RS, Gelb BD. 2001. Mutations in PTPN11, encoding the protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-2, cause Noonan syndrome. Nature Genetics 29:465–468. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ng772

Tartaglia M, Pennacchio LA, Zhao C, Yadav KK, Fodale V, Sarkozy A, Pandit B, Oishi K, Martinei S, Schackwitz W, Ustaszewska A, Martin J, Bristow J, Carta C, Lepri F, Neri C, Vasta I, Gibson K, Curry CJ, Siguero JPL, et al. 2007. Gain-of-function SOS1 mutations cause a distinctive form of Noonan syndrome. Nature Genetics 39:75–79. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1939

Tuveson DA, Shaw AT, Willis NA, Silver DP, Jackson EL, Chang S, Mercer KL, Grochow R, Hock H, Crowley D, Hingorani SR, Zaks T, King C, Jacobetz MA, Wang L, Bronson RT, Orkin SH, DePinho RA, Jacks T. 2004. Endogenous oncogenic K-rasG12D stimulates proliferation and widespread neoplastic and developmental defects. Cancer Cell 5:375–387. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1535-6108(04)00085-6

Upadhyya D, Ogata M, Reneker LW. 2013. MAPK1 is required for establishing the pattern of cell proliferation and for cell survival during lens development. Development 140:1573–1582. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.081042

Weber GF, Menko AS. 2006. Actin filament organization regulates the induction of lens cell differentiation and survival. Developmental Biology 295:714–729. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2006.03.056

Weinstein M, Xu X, Ohyama K, Deng CX. 1998. FGFR-3 and FGFR-4 function cooperatively to direct alveogenesis in the murine lung. Development 125:3615–3623. PMID: 9716527

Yan J, Li F, Ingram DA, Quilliam LA. 2008. Rap1a is a key regulator of fibroblast growth factor 2-induced angiogenesis and together with Rap1b controls human endothelial cell functions. Molecular and Cellular Biology 28:5803–5810. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00393-08, PMID: 18625726

Yu K, Xu J, Liu Z, Sosic D, Shao J, Olson EN, Towler DA, Ornitz DM. 2003. Conditional inactivation of FGF receptor 2 reveals an essential role for FGF signaling in the regulation of osteoblast function and bone growth. Development 130:3063–3074. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.00491

Zhang EE, Chapeau E, Hagihara K, Feng G-S. 2004. Neuronal Shp2 tyrosine phosphatase controls energy balance and metabolism. PNAS 101:16064–16069. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0405041101

Zhao H, Yang T, Madakashira BP, Thielts CA, Bechtel CA, Garcia CM, Zhang H, Yu K, Ornitz DM, Beebe DC, Robinson ML. 2008. Fibroblast growth factor receptor signaling is essential for lens fiber cell differentiation. Developmental Biology 318:276–288. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.03.028