Proteome changes upon in ovo stimulation with *Lactobacillus* synbiotic in chicken liver

Aleksandra Dunislawska,*1,2 Agnieszka Herosimczyk ‡,1,3 Malgorzata Ozgo,† Adam Lepczynski,† Andrzej Krzysztof Ciechanowicz,† Marek Bednarczyk,* and Maria Siwek*

*Department of Animal Biotechnology and Genetics, Bydgoszcz University of Science and Technology, Mazowiecka 28, Bydgoszcz 85-084, Poland; †Department of Physiology, Cytobiology and Proteomics, West Pomeranian University of Technology, Janickiego 29, Szczecin 71-270, Poland; and §Department of Regenerative Medicine, Centre for Preclinical Research and Technology, Medical University of Warsaw, Zwirki and Wigury 61, Warsaw 02-091, Poland

ABSTRACT The liver, as the main metabolic organ, plays a key role in many vital processes, including nutrient metabolism, fat digestion, blood protein synthesis, and endocrine management. As one of the immune organs, it has a remarkable ability to adequately activate the immune cells in response to metabolic signals. The anatomy of the liver ensures its close interaction with the gut so that nutrients and gut microbiota contribute to normal metabolism. In chickens, the intestinal microbiota plays an important role in supporting health and improving production parameters. The most effective method of stimulating the microbiota is to administer an appropriate bioactive compound during embryonic development. In ovo stimulation on d 12 of egg incubation involves the delivery of the substance into the air chamber. The aim of the study was to analyze the changes at the protein level after in ovo administration of the synbiotic on d 12 of egg incubation. Our study is the first to conduct a proteome analysis in liver after the administration of a *Lactobacillus* synbiotic in ovo. Eggs of broiler chickens were injected with a synbiotic—*Lactobacillus plantarum* with raffinose family oligosaccharides (RFO). On d 21 posthatching liver was collected. We performed analyses based on two-dimensional electrophoresis, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) time-of-flight, and MALDI四级-变换离子环形共振 to obtain a global view of the hepatic proteome changes in response to in ovo injection. A representative pattern of significantly altered liver proteins was observed after stimulation with the synbiotic. A total of 16 protein spots were differentially expressed, with 5 downregulated and 11 upregulated spots. We conclude that the in ovo synbiotic treatment had the potential to accelerate the major energy-yielding metabolic pathways in the liver of adult broilers.
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INTRODUCTION

The liver plays an important role as an endocrine and exocrine gland and is involved in a wide range of metabolic and homeostatic functions. It is also responsible for most of the synthesis, metabolism, excretion, and detoxification processes. The liver removes harmful substances from the blood, stores vitamins and iron, and reserves excess glucose as fat and converts it back into functional sugar in times of deficiency (Szabo, 2015). Furthermore, it is one of the immune organs and has a remarkable ability to activate the immune cells in response to gut- or pathogen-derived metabolic signals. It also affects the immune status of the organism by removing bacteria from the bloodstream (Racanelli and Rehermann, 2006). The specialized macrophages located in the liver can destroy the blood cells and pathogens that enter through the portal vein. The anatomy of the liver ensures its close interaction with the gut (gut–liver axis) so that nutrients and gut microbiota contribute to normal metabolism (Szabo, 2015; Tripathi et al., 2018).

The gut microbiota plays a key role in keeping chickens in good health and improving their production parameters. They are a complex population of microorganisms, the natural habitat of which is the mucosa of the host organism (Patterson and Burkholder, 2003). In young animals, the intestinal microbiota shapes the structure of the intestines and the development of the
immune system. In adults, they play an important role in maintaining normal health as well as regulating the processes of digestion, absorption, and metabolism of nutrients (Hajati and Rezaei, 2010).

The composition of the intestinal microbiota can be modified if the process is carried out early in life. This modification has an impact on the metabolism of the organism later during the development (Bäckhed, 2011). The appropriate composition of the intestinal microbiota and communication between the microbiome and the host are conditioned by the proper development of tissues, especially the immune tissues (Tkaskalová-Hogenová et al., 2011). In ovo technology allows bioactive substances to be delivered during embryonic development and intestinal microbiota to be modified before hatching (Villahega et al., 2004). The administration of synbiotics to the air chamber of an egg on d 12 of incubation has long-term effects in response to stimulation and leads to changes in the composition of the gut microbiota. This, in turn, indirectly affects the expression levels of genes involved in many metabolic and immune pathways (Siwek et al., 2018). The essential element of in ovo stimulation is the administration of a specific bioactive containing a prebiotic that stimulates the native intestinal microbiota of the developing embryo and a probiotic, a strain with beneficial properties, which enriches the host’s microbiota (Bednarczyk et al., 2016). The 2 bioactives (prebiotic and probiotic) combined together create a synbiotic. The synbiotic proposed in this study exhibits the notable feature of synergism with the components of the host (Dunislawska et al., 2017). The prebiotic and probiotic act independently in the digestive system of the host. The prebiotic component stimulates the development of microbiota and improves the microbiological balance in the intestines of the host (Fuller, 1989), while beneficial microorganisms from the probiotic component colonize its digestive system (Gibson and Roberfroid, 1995).

Early stimulation of the intestinal microbiota by in ovo administration of synbiotic on d 12 has numerous advantages. First, we showed that the administration of the Lactobacillus synbiotic influences gene expression at the mRNA level in the liver of broiler chickens, activating mainly metabolism- and development-related pathways (Dunislawska et al., 2019). Second, we proved that the negative regulation of gene expression in the liver occurring after in ovo administration of synbiotics is of epigenetic nature and is closely related to DNA methylation (Dunislawska et al., 2020) and miRNA activity (Sikorska et al., 2021). The research hypothesis of this study assumes that the synbiotic based on Lactobacillus plantarum and raffinose family oligosaccharides (RFO) administered in ovo on d 12 of egg incubation causes changes in the expression of proteins in the liver. The main aim of this study was to show the changes occurring at the protein level and indicate the pathways activated in the liver after in ovo delivery of synbiotic into the egg chamber. The study complements previous gene expression analyses. To the best of our knowledge, it is also the first to report changes in the liver proteome upon in ovo stimulation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chicken Rearing and in Ovo Injection**

In all, 5,850 Cobb 500 FF eggs (Cobb Vantress B.V., Boxmeer, Holland) were incubated in a commercial hatchery (Drobex-Agro, Solec Kujawski, Poland). Eggs were incubated in Petersime incubator (vision version, Petersime NV, Zulte, Belgium) at 37.8°C and relative humidity between 61 and 63%. On d 12 of incubation, after candling, eggs were randomly distributed between experimental and control groups. The eggs in the control group (C) were injected with 0.2 mL of physiological saline (0.9%) whereas those in the experimental group (S) received 0.2 mL of the symbiotic administered in ovo into the egg chamber. The symbiotic consisted of RFO (2 mg/egg) isolated from seeds of lupin Lupinus luteus L. cv. Lord (Ciesiolka et al., 2005) and Lactobacillus plantarum IBB3036 (10^5 bacteria cfu/egg). After injection, holes in the eggs were sealed with natural glue and incubation was continued. The method of symbiotic selection, dose optimization, and in ovo symbiotic injection has been described by Dunislawska et al. (2017). After hatching, the birds were sexed and the roosters were reared. As reported in the study of Dunislawska et al. (2017), hatchability was 91.9% in the control group and 91.6% in the symbiotic group. The main experiment was conducted in a commercial farm (Piast, Olzsowa, Experimental Unit 0161, Poland). First, 160 roosters were randomly chosen (80 individuals per group) and split into 8 replicate pens (10 individuals per pen). Eight replications per each experimental group were reared in a chicken house to evaluate the effect of the administered symbiotic on growth performance (Dunislawska et al., 2017). Chickens had ad libitum access to fresh drinking water and were fed from d 1 of life. A starter diet was fed during d 1 to 10, and a grower diet was fed from d 11 to d 21. Table 1 presents the composition of the diets.

Randomly selected individuals from the experimental group and control group were sacrificed on d 21 by cervical dislocation. The tissues intended for analysis were collected on d 21 and were chosen on the basis of the gene expression analysis that was carried out on d 7, 14, 21, and 42 (Dunislawska et al., 2017). Liver samples were collected from eight individuals per group, and were frozen and stored for protein isolation. The production data of this experiment are presented in the paper of Dunislawska et al. (2017). The feed intake was 4,940 g in the control group and 4,898 g in the symbiotic group throughout the rearing period. No differences were found in feed conversion efficiency between the groups. The body weight gain during the entire rearing period was 3,105 g in the control group and 3,070 g in the symbiotic group. Mortality in the symbiotic group (1.17%) was lower compared to the control group (1.83%). Animal use for experiments was approved by the Local Ethical Committee for Animal Experimentation, University of Science and Technology, Bydgoszcz, Poland (Approval No. 36/2012 on 12 July 2012).
**Table 1.** Chemical composition and nutritive value of commercial feeds used for COBB 500 FF chickens (based on Tavaniello et al. 2019).

| Items (% unless noted)       | Starter (d 1–d 10) | Grower (d 11–d 21) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Maize (7.75% CP)            | 61.16              | 65.99              |
| Soybean meal (47.75% CP)    | 33.09              | 28.16              |
| Soybean oil                 | 1.75               | 2.06               |
| Limestone flour             | 1.10               | 0.98               |
| NaCl                        | 0.20               | 0.20               |
| Dicalcium phosphate         | 1.605              | 1.504              |
| Vitamin-mineral premix, supplied per kg of diet | * ** |
| threonine                   | 726 mg             | 745 mg             |
| Ca iodate                   | 1 mg               | 1 mg               |
| Se                          | 0.35 mg            | 0.35 mg            |
| Fe                          | 40 mg              | 40 mg              |
| Mo                          | 0.50 mg            | 0.50 mg            |
| Mn                          | 100 mg             | 100 mg             |
| Cu                          | 15 mg              | 15 mg              |
| Zn                          | 100 mg             | 100 mg             |
| Folic acid                  | 2 mg               | 2 mg               |
| Cholinechloride             | 0.40 mg            | 0.50 mg            |
| Lysine                      | 2,831 mg           | 2,812 mg           |
| Methionine                  | 3,018 mg           | 3,405 mg           |
| Tyrosine                    | 0.25               | 0.22               |
| Calcium                     | 0.90 mg            | 0.84 mg            |
| Available P                 | 0.71 mg            | 0.68 mg            |
| Sodium                      | 0.16 mg            | 0.16 mg            |
| Salt                        | 0.35 mg            | 0.35 mg            |
| Potassium                   | 0.93 mg            | 0.83 mg            |
| *Vitamin A 13,000 IU; vitamin D3 5,000 IU; vitamin E 80 mg; vitamin B1 3 mg; vitamin B2 9 mg; vitamin B6 4 mg; vitamin B12 20 μg; vitamin K 3 mg; biotin 0.15 mg; Cu pantothenate 15 mg; nicotinic acid 60 mg; folic acid 2 mg; cholinechloride 0.50 mg; lysine 2812 mg; methionine 3405 mg; threonine 745 mg; Ca iodate 1 mg; Se 0.35 mg; Fe 40 mg; Mo 0.50 mg; Mn 100 mg; Cu 15 mg; Zn, 100 mg. |
| **Vitamin A 10,000 IU; vitamin D3 5,000 IU; vitamin E 50 mg; vitamin B1 2 mg; vitamin B2 8 mg; vitamin B6 3 mg; vitamin B12, 15 μg; vitamin K, 3 mg; biotin, 0.12 mg; Ca pantothenate 12 mg; nicotinic acid 50 mg; folic acid 2 mg; cholinechloride 0.40 mg; lysine 2,831 mg; methionine 3,018 mg; threonine 726 mg; Ca iodate 1 mg; Se 0.35 mg; Fe 40 mg; Mo 0.50 mg; Mn 100 mg; Cu 15 mg; Zn, 100 mg. |

**Protein Extract Preparation**

Liver samples (0.1 g) were homogenized in 1,000 μL of a lysis buffer containing 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% (w/v) CHAPS, 1% (w/v) DTT, 2% (v/v) Biolyte, 1% (v/v) protease inhibitor cocktail, and 0.1% (v/v) nuclease at a frequency of 20,000 Hz for 60 min using a mechanical homogenizer (Tissue Lyser, Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). Insoluble tissue debris was removed by centrifugation (20,800 g for 15 min at 4°C), and the supernatant containing the solubilized liver proteins was collected and used for two-dimensional electrophoresis (2-DE) analysis.

**Two-Dimensional Electrophoresis**

The 2-DE analysis has been previously described by Herosimczyk et al. (2018, 2020). Briefly, isolectric focusing (IEF) was performed using 24-cm, pH 4–7 ReadyStrip IPG Strips (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA; 24 cm). The liver protein samples were diluted in a lysis buffer (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% (w/v) CHAPS, 1% (w/v) DTT, and 2% (v/v) Biolyte) with the final volume of 650 μL containing 1,000 μg of proteins. The IPG strips were first subjected to passive in-gel rehydration (0 V, 20°C, and 6 h) followed by active rehydration (50 V, 20°C, and 12 h). IEF was then carried out at 20°C with Protean IEF Cell (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), using the following voltage program: 1) a rapid ramp to 250 V over 250 Vh, followed by 2) a rapid ramp to 500 V over 500 Vh, 3) a rapid ramp to 1,000 V over 1,000 Vh, 4) a linear ramp to 5,000 V over 2 h, and finally 5) a rapid ramp to 5,000 V until all the IPG strips reached 90,000 Vh. Subsequently, the IPG strips were prepared for the second dimension (sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis [SDS-PAGE]). For this purpose, they were first equilibrated in a buffer containing 6 M urea, 0.5 M Tris/HCl (pH 6.8), 2% (w/v) SDS, 30% (w/v) glycerol, and 1% (w/v) DTT for 15 min to reduce the sulfhydryl groups and then incubated in a buffer composed of 6 M urea, 0.5 M Tris/HCl (pH 6.8), 2% (w/v) SDS, 30% (w/v) glycerol, and 2.5% (w/v) iodoacetamide for 20 min to alkylate the sulfhydryl groups. The SDS-PAGE was run on 12% SDS polyacrylamide gel at 40 V for 3 h and then at 100 V for 15 h at 15°C in a Protein Plus Dodeca Cell electrophoretic chamber (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The Precision Plus Protein Standard Plugs (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) were placed on the gel to estimate the molecular weights of the analyzed proteins. After the second dimension, the gels were stained with colloidal Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 according to a previously described method (Pink et al., 2010).

**Acquisition of 2-D Images and Data Analysis**

The 2-D gel image analysis was performed as previously described by Herosimczyk et al. (2018, 2020). For each group (control and experimental), 8 biological replicates were run. Sixteen samples were separated twice using 2-DE, resulting in a total of 32 gels. All the bioinformatic and statistical analyses were performed using PDQuest Analysis software version 8.0.1 Advanced (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The gel images from the control and synbiotic groups were aligned, and a match set was created. The match set comprised each spot present on any of the gels included in the specific analyzed group. Thus, for each group (C and S), an individual match set was created. The match set was then subjected to passive in-gel rehydration (0 V, 15 min at 4°C), and the master gel was then used for automatic spot detection and spot boundary identification. The images were manually edited to confirm spot detection and matching. Subsequently, the spots of poor quality (too faint) or artifacts (those that were not really spots but speckles or saturated spots), or spots present only on 4 gels were excluded from the experiment. This allowed detecting approximately 420 to 510 spots on each 2-D gel. The spots were normalized according to the local regression model (LOESS) which corrects for any possible differences in staining efficiency occurring for different concentrations in the resolved protein sample. The degree of...
difference between the protein groups was expressed as an average ratio. To measure the variability within the groups, the coefficient of variation (CV) was calculated. Every spot on a gel was quantified, experimental group-specific averages for each spot were determined, and finally statistical analysis was performed to identify the differences in the proteins differing between the control and in ovo synbiotic-treated groups. For the statistical analysis, a paired two-tailed Student’s t test (C vs. S) was performed to determine the differences in the average protein abundance between the gels, considering \( P < 0.05 \) as significant. Liver protein spots that were significantly \( (P < 0.01 \) or \( P < 0.05 \)) larger or smaller were considered as differentially expressed and presented as the final result of the experiment. The average ratio values for the liver proteins are presented in Table 2 (C vs. S). Based on the standard 2-D markers, the experimental isoelectric point (pI) and molecular weight (kDa) values were computed for each identified protein spot.

**Mass Spectrometry and Bioinformatic Data Analysis**

Spots representing differentially expressed proteins were excised from the gels (3 replicates), and the resulting gel pieces were processed as previously described by Ozgo et al. (2019). In this study, 2 different matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization/time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI–TOF MS) instruments were used to identify the protein spots—Microflex MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Germany) and 7T Solarix 2xR MALDI Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics). After tryptic digestion, 1 \( \mu L \) of the peptides was plated on a MALDI-MSP AnchorChip 600/96 plate (Bruker Daltonics) and an MTP ANCHORCHIP \# 384 BC (Bruker Daltonics) for analysis by these spectrometers. At first, the peptide mass fingerprint (PMF) spectra were obtained using the Microflex MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer as previously described by Ozgo et al. (2019). Subsequently, spots that were not successfully identified with this mass spectrometer were further subjected to analysis using 7T Solarix 2xR MALDI FT-ICR mass spectrometer equipped with a dual ESI-MALDI source and smartbeam II 1-kHz, 355-nm solid-state Nd:YAG laser focused to a diameter of \( \approx 25 \) \( \mu m \). The mass spectra were collected in the positive mode with 1,000 laser shots from each spot. The internal mass calibration was carried out through lock mass calibration on a known \( m/z \) and an external Sodium Formic Acid (NaFA) cluster calibrant. Data were acquired with ftControl software and analyzed using DataAnalysis software (Bruker Daltonics).

All PMF data, regardless of the MALDI–TOF mass spectrometer used, were compared to vertebrate databases (SWISS-PROT: http://us.expasy.org/uniprot/ and NCBI: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) using MASCOT search engine (http://www.matrixscience.com/) in ProteinScape 4.2 software (Bruker Daltonics). The searches were limited to the following criteria: trypsin as an enzyme, carbamidomethylation as a fixed modification, and oxidation (M) as a variable modification were set for the Microflex MALDI-TOF MS data; and deamidation (NQ), oxidation (M), and Gln→pyro-Glu (N-term Q) were set as variable modifications for the 7T Solarix 2xR MRMS data. The error tolerance was set from 50 to 150 ppm, and the number of allowed missed cleavage sites was set to 2. Protein identification was approved when the protein score was significant \( (P < 0.05) \) with at least eight matching peptides and 20% sequence coverage.

**Statistical Analysis**

The differentially expressed liver proteins were further categorized according to their biological functions and known pathways using STRING v11 (Szklarczyk et al., 2011). The subcellular localization of proteins was defined according to the UniProtKB database (http://www.uniprot.org). Statistical analysis of the data on protein spot expression comparing the control and in ovo synbiotic-treated groups was performed using Student’s t test, included in PDQuest software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), with \( P < 0.05 \) considered as significant.

**RESULTS**

In the present study, we performed 2-DE, MALDI-TOF, and MALDI FT-ICR analyses to obtain a global view of the hepatic proteome changes in adult broilers occurring in response to in ovo injection of a synbiotic—*L. plantarum* with RFO. Figure 1 shows a representative 2-D pattern of significantly altered liver proteins after in ovo synbiotic delivery in comparison to C group. A total of 16 protein spots were differentially expressed in the synbiotic group, with 5 downregulated and 11 upregulated spots. Spot No. 5, identified as bifunctional glutamate/proline-tRNA ligase, was the most underexpressed spot (0.44-fold decrease), whereas keratin, type I cytoskeletal 42 (representing spot No. 4) displayed the highest expression (2.26-fold increase). The 2-D gel analysis showed an average CV (or CV%) of 37.55 for the control group and 31.71 for the synbiotic group. Table 2 lists the differentially regulated liver proteins with details of the 2-DE, MALDI-TOF, and MALDI MRMS coordinates for each spot. The majority of these proteins (62%) were identified based on *Gallus gallus* identities. In addition, all proteins of interest were categorized according to their subcellular localization as well as biological processes on the basis of UniProtKB database. Subcellular distribution analysis revealed that the largest proportion of the protein class was constituted by the mitochondrial proteins (44%), suggesting that mitochondrial functions are primarily affected in response to in ovo synbiotic administration. This was followed by cytoskeletal (25%) and cytoplasmic proteins (25%), and the remaining 6% were accounted for by the proteins of...
Table 2. Summary of the differentially expressed protein spots identified by MALDI-TOF MS and MALDI FT-ICR MS analysis in broiler chicken liver after in ovo injection of synbiotic – *Lactobacillus plantarum* with RFO (S) compared to the control (C) group. Spot number correspond to those in Figure 1.

| Spot no. | UniProt/NCBI Accession no. | Protein name (short protein name or gene name) | Ratio S/C | SC (%) | MS | MVM | Theo. pI/Mw | Exp. pI/Mw | SL | Taxonomy |
|----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|------|----|-----|--------|--------|----|----------|
| Chaperone proteins | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | KFW99582 | Heat shock 70 kDa protein 8 (HSP70) \(^A\) | 0.63* | 49/165 | 18 | 6.18/48.91 | 4.90/98.50 | CP Phalacrocorax carbo |
| 8 | XP_426159 | Reticulocalbin-1 (RCN1) \(^A\) | 1.42* | 34/85 | 9 | 4.55/37.91 | 3.60/62.10 | ER Gallus gallus |
| 15 | P84173 | Prohibitin (PHB) \(^B\) | 0.73* | 55/133 | 8 | 5.57/29.93 | 5.10/47.30 | MT Gallus gallus |
| Metabolism-related proteins | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | XP_417933 | Dihydrolipoamide-residue acetyltransferase component of pyruvate dehydrogenase complex, mitochondrial (PDCE2) \(^A\) | 1.78* | 28/88 | 10 | 7.97/66.99 | 5.00/112.40 | MT Gallus gallus |
| 5 | Q7SIA2 | Bifunctional glutamate/proline-tRNA ligase (EPRS1) \(^B\) | 0.44* | 31/79 | 35 | 6.78/17.15 | 5.20/89.40 | CP Cricetulus griseus |
| 6 | XP_424298 | ATP synthase subunit beta, mitochondrial precursor (ATPSB) \(^A\) | 1.48* | 60/209 | 20 | 5.44/56.65 | 4.20/79.40 | MT Gallus gallus |
| 7 | P00368 | Glutamate dehydrogenase 1, mitochondrial (GDH 1) \(^A\) | 0.60* | 22/63 | 10 | 8.48/56.05 | 5.20/78.00 | MT Gallus gallus |
| 12 | XP_421886 | NADH dehydrogenase [ubiquinone] 1 alpha subcomplex subunit 10, mitochondrial (NDUFA10) \(^A\) | 1.54* | 37/111 | 10 | 6.15/41.63 | 4.90/60.40 | MT Gallus gallus |
| 14 | XP_015148593 | Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component subunit beta, mitochondrial (PDHE1-B) \(^A\) | 1.83* | 35/94 | 9 | 5.95/39.31 | 4.30/45.90 | MT Gallus gallus |
| Cytoskeletal-related proteins | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | XP_548099 | Keratin, type I cytoskeletal 42 (CK-42) \(^B\) | 2.26* | 63/87 | 50 | 5.19/54.39 | 4.60/91.90 | CS Canis lupus familiaris |
| 9 | P84336 | Actin, cytoplasmic 1 (ACTB) \(^B\) | 2.09* | 65/63 | 21 | 5.30/42.17 | 4.20/60.90 | CS Camelus dromedarius |
| 10 | P84336 | Actin, cytoplasmic 1 (ACTB) \(^B\) | 2.06* | 85/67 | 36 | 5.30/42.17 | 4.30/59.30 | CS Camelus dromedarius |
| 13 | XP_015132753 | Tropomyosin beta chain isoform X7 (TPM2) \(^A\) | 1.66* | 41/138 | 14 | 4.65/28.53 | 3.30/45.10 | CS Gallus gallus |
| 16 | XP_015151889 | Microtubule-associated protein RP/EB family member 1 isoform X1 (MAPRE1) \(^A\) | 1.59* | 42/91 | 9 | 5.04/29.67 | 4.30/39.10 | CS Gallus gallus |
| Transport proteins | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | P19121 | Serum albumin (ALB) \(^B\) | 0.56** | 78/66 | 52 | 5.51/71.87 | 4.70/95.10 | CP Gallus gallus |
| 11 | Q86VD7 | Mitochondrial coenzyme A transporter SLC25A42 \(^B\) | 2.22* | 73/63 | 28 | 10.08/35.44 | 4.90/62.80 | MT Homo sapiens |

Values marked with * differ significantly at \(P < 0.05\). Values marked with ** differ significantly at \(P < 0.01\).

Proteins marked with \(^A\) were identified using the Microflex MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Germany).
Proteins marked with \(^B\) were identified using the Tetrosol MALDI FT-ICR mass spectrometer (SolariX 2xR, Bruker Daltonics, Germany).

Abbreviations: CP, cytoplasm; CS, cytoskeleton; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; M, mitochondrion.

1Ratio of protein spot expression found in the liver of broiler chicken after in ovo injection of synbiotic – *Lactobacillus plantarum* with RFO (S) when compared with the control (C) group.
2The percentage of sequence coverage.
3The MASCOT score.
4The number of mass values matched.
5Theoretical Mr and pI values based on the UniProtKB/NCBI databases.
6Experimental Mr and pI values computed for each identified protein spot based on the standard 2-D markers.
7Subcellular localization according to UniProtKB database (http://www.uniprot.org).
the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Table 2). These results were further supported by the analysis of differentially expressed hepatic proteins based on their biological process classification, as the majority of proteins were found to be involved in metabolic processes and cytoskeleton organization (Table 2). To identify the liver protein networks that were most affected in response to in ovo synbiotic delivery, we employed the STRING v11 tool. The STRING analysis showed that the affected protein networks included the proteins involved in the TCA cycle and respiratory electron chain, glycolysis/glucogenesis, and organonitrogen compound biosynthesis, and cytoskeleton proteins. The enrichment results are shown in Figure 2.

**DISCUSSION**

The previous research on the use of in ovo stimulation on d 12 of egg incubation in chickens has shown that the administration of the synbiotic significantly affects the production and blood parameters, meat quality, structure and development of immune tissues, intestinal histology and molecular changes at the mRNA level (Siwek et al., 2018). Our recent study demonstrated that in ovo stimulation with Lactobacillus-based synbiotics induced changes in gene expression involved in regulating energy metabolism in the pectoral muscle of broiler chicken (Dunislawska et al., 2020). These data revealed that such early intervention plays a crucial role in stimulating the oxidation of fatty acids through AMPK activation, and it also decreases the activity of mechanisms involved in intracellular lipid accumulation in muscle tissue in 7-day-old birds. Given that liver and

---

**Figure 1.** Representative 2-D gel image displaying differentially expressed proteins found in the liver of broiler chickens after in ovo injection of synbiotic − *Lactobacillus plantarum* with RFO. Spot numbers correspond to those in Table 2. Abbreviation: RFO, raffinose family oligosaccharides.

**Figure 2.** String v11 protein-protein interaction network based on input of significantly altered chicken liver proteins after in ovo injection of synbiotic − *Lactobacillus plantarum* with RFO. Each colored nodes represents included protein. Abbreviation: RFO, raffinose family oligosaccharides.
skeletal muscle play a significant role in regulating whole body energy metabolism. Our research aimed to expand the existing results and investigate whether in ovo stimulation with *L. plantarum* combined with RFO has the potential to induce changes in the hepatic proteome of adult broiler chickens. Our previous transcriptomic study, which was performed on the same group of animals, revealed that the most abundantly represented group of liver genes activated in response to in ovo injection of *Lactobacillus* synbiotic injection were involved in the metabolic pathways (Dumislawksa et al., 2019). The results of the current proteomic research support these findings as the vast majority of the differentially expressed liver proteins were also associated with the regulation of energy metabolism and metabolic homeostasis. Among them, 2 proteins, identified as PDCE2 and PDHE1-B belonging to pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC), were found to be overexpressed in the experimental group. PDC is a mitochondrial multienzyme complex that plays a central role in cellular energy metabolism as it catalyzes the overall conversion of pyruvate, coenzyme A (CoA), and NAD⁺ into acetyl-CoA, NADH, and CO₂, thereby interconnecting the pathways of glycolysis, gluconeogenesis, and fatty acid oxidation to the citric acid cycle (CAC) (Zhang et al., 2014). Our results showed that in ovo sybiotic injection significantly increased the expression of the subunit beta of the pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component (PDHE1-B), which is known as a rate-limiting enzyme of the whole PDC (Li et al., 2017). It should also be emphasized that the PDC activity was reported to be high in the well-fed state and low in the fasting state, when glucose synthesis is required (Zhang et al., 2014). Upregulation of both PDCE2 and PDHE1-B proteins, which was observed in this study, might be explained by the increased intestinal production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) after in ovo stimulation with *Lactobacillus* sybiotic and its subsequent transfer into the liver from the gut through the hepatic portal vein, where they are metabolized by hepatocytes (Schönfeld and Wojtczak, 2016). Although cecal and colonic SCFAs concentrations were not measured in the current study, it was previously demonstrated that in broiler chickens administration of a sybiotic (Ding et al., 2019) or probiotic (Peng et al., 2016) led to an increase in cecal SCFA content that constitutes an important substrate of energy metabolism. Our findings are in agreement with a previous study (Patel et al., 1983), in which rodent-derived liver cells treated with 1 mM propionate displayed increased mitochondrial PDC activity whereas at 0.5 mM the PDC was found to be inactivated. In general, in hepatocytes, an increase in the NADH/NAD⁺, acetyl CoA/CoA, or ATP/ADP ratio suppresses the activity of the mitochondrial matrix enzymes, including the PDC (Schönfeld and Wojtczak, 2016). Therefore, the opposite trend of PDC activity observed by Patel et al. (1983) might be explained by the protecting effect of propionate against its inactivation by the pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase which, in turn, is triggered by high cellular ATP levels. The results of the current study seem to support the aforementioned findings, as we observed enhanced expression of ATP-synthase subunit beta (ATP5B), a protein that plays a central role in controlling mitochondrial ATP synthesis, in the liver of broiler chickens in response to in ovo sybiotic injection. Previously, Wang et al. (2014) showed that upregulation of ATP5B increased the intracellular and extracellular content of ATP in primary cultures of mouse hepatocytes. This effect was also accompanied by increased abundance of SLC25A42, a mitochondrial protein known for its role as transporter of CoA, an essential cofactor in pyruvate oxidation in CAC (Kunji et al., 2020). In addition, the present study showed that the expression of glutamate dehydrogenase 1 (GDH 1), an enzyme that catalyzes the reversible conversion of glutamate to α-ketoglutarate and ammonia, was significantly decreased in the experimental group. As demonstrated in a previous study (Plaitakis et al., 2017), when the cellular level of ATP is high, the conversion of glutamate to α-ketoglutarate and other CAC intermediates is strongly limited, which might result in a significantly decreased expression of liver GDH 1, as observed in the current work. Our further analysis also confirmed that in ovo treatment with *Lactobacillus*-based sybiotic caused an increase in the expression of hepatic NDUFA 10 protein, one of the subunits of NADH dehydrogenase, which is the largest enzyme of the oxidative phosphorylation system (Hoefs et al., 2011). Together, our results suggest that in ovo-delivered sybiotics might accelerate the major energy-yielding metabolic pathways in the liver of adult broilers as reflected by an increased expression of proteins involved in CAC, electron transport chain, and ATP synthesis.

Furthermore, the present study demonstrated that the expression of heat shock protein 70 (HSP70) was significantly downregulated in the livers of birds from the experimental group when compared to the control group. In a recent study, Jiang et al. (2019) observed a similar pattern of HSP70 protein expression in the liver of broiler chickens fed a diet supplemented with the sybiotic product (PoultryStar me, BIOMIN America Inc., San Antonio, TX) and reared under cyclic heat stress. Apart from its well-known role as a molecular chaperonin, HSP70 has been shown to promote hepatic lipogenesis. A previous study by Zhang et al. (2018) showed that HSP70 was significantly increased in the livers of obese mice which in turn enhanced hepatic total cholesterol and triglyceride synthesis, with a concomitant upregulation of lipogenic genes. In addition, our data revealed that in ovo sybiotic stimulation resulted in a decreased expression of EPRS1, a multifunctional protein that primarily catalyzes attachment of the cognate amino acid to corresponding tRNA (Son et al., 2013). However, a recent study by Arif et al. (2017) provided additional evidence that EPRS phosphorylation also contributes to lipid accumulation by inhibiting catabolic reactions, such as lipolysis and fatty acid oxidation, in transgenic mice. Therefore, our data seem to support the general conclusion that SCFAs are implicated in the activation of hepatic mechanisms mainly via G-protein coupled...
receptors—FFAR3—leading to improved lipid metabolism (Shimizu et al., 2019), as substantial downregulation of HSP70 and EPRS1 proteins was observed in the synbiotic-treated group.

In the current study, in ovo treatment with Lactobacillus-based synbiotic caused significant overexpression of liver proteins involved in cytoskeleton organization. These proteins were found to belong to the 3 different protein structures forming the cytoskeletal network, namely microtubules (MAPRE1), microfilaments (ACTB, TPM2), and intermediate filaments (CK-42). In contrast, other studies performed on growing pigs fed diets containing prebiotic fructans (chicory inulin extracts or dried chicory root) demonstrated different patterns of liver cytoskeletal protein expression (Herosimczyk et al., 2017; Lepczyński et al., 2017). As reviewed by Doctor and Nichols (2004), cytoskeletal proteins are largely involved in maintaining intracellular transport and several signaling cascades, thus modulating the metabolic function of hepatocytes. However, the fundamental molecular mechanisms that regulate the expression of cytoskeletal proteins in the chicken liver in response to in ovo synbiotic stimulation is difficult to explain, which warrants further investigations.

There exists a large body of evidence that microbiota itself as well as their primary fermentation end-products, including SCFAs, may have an impact on mitochondrial functions (Mottawea et al., 2016; Clark and Mach, 2017). This is further supported by the results of the present study as we observed in the subcellular distribution analysis that nearly half of the proteins affected in response to in ovo synbiotic administration were those residing within the mitochondria of hepatocytes. In addition to their central role in energy metabolism, mitochondria along with ER participate in the regulation of intracellular transport and several signaling cascades, thus modulating the metabolic function of hepatocytes. However, the fundamental molecular mechanisms that regulate the expression of cytoskeletal proteins in the chicken liver in response to in ovo synbiotic stimulation is difficult to explain, which warrants further investigations.
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