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Abstract: Air filters for general ventilation have mainly been used to control the concentration of indoor particulate matter. In this study, the pressure differential, test dust capacity, quality factor and operating life of class F8 pleat–plate and multi-bag type chemical fiber filters were evaluated using an air filter performance test system. The results showed that the resistance increase rate of multi-bag filter (0.49 Pa/g (cm/s)) was lower than that of pleat–plate filter (1.94 Pa/g (cm/s)), the quality factor of the multi-bag filter was lower than that of pleat–plate filter, and the dust capacity of the multi-bag filter was much higher than that of the pleat–plate filter. The operating life of the multi-bag filter was 8 times as that of the pleat–plate filter with the measured PM2.5 of outdoor. The energy consumption of the pleat–plate filter was 2.2 times that of the multi-bag filter. Analyzing the electron microscope photos after dust loading, the dust depth of pleat–plate filter into filter material was thinner than that of multi-bag filter. The research results could provide data support for the design optimization and selection of ventilation filters and the treatment of the particulate matter in indoor environments.
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1. Introduction

Recently, fine particles have become one of the main pollutants affecting the quality of the indoor and outdoor environment. Field results have shown that about 55–75% of indoor particulate matter comes from outdoor sources [1,2]. Inhaling air contaminated with particulate matter is very harmful to human health. Air filters for general ventilation are the main method to control particulate matter and improve indoor air quality.

The key factor affecting the application of air filters is the performance of the filters. A few studies have researched the influence of fiber structure, particle characteristics and structural parameters on the performance of air filters. [3–7]. Additionally, a study has reported that the filtration efficiency of class F7 multi-bag filters was different during the test process due to the electrostatic effect [8]. Compared with electrostatic filters, the efficiency of new filters has gradually increased, offering greater filtration areas, until finally reaching a maximum value following which there have been no changes [9]. In addition, some studies have concluded that the larger pleat density of the filter materials could lead to a decrease in pleat spacing and an uneven distribution of air flow, while increased filter resistance has also led to a sharp decrease in dust capacity [10,11]. A preliminary simulation provided a theoretical basis for the modified fiber filters, and acts as a reference for improving the performance of air filters [11]. In addition, an optimal fold number was determined for a filter of a certain size in order to minimize filtration resistance [12]. Additionally, the filter efficiency and pressure drop performance were affected by the diameter, orientation, and distribution of the fibers in an experimental study [13]. With respect to the operating life of filters, a study was carried out on high-efficiency air filters, and a life prediction model was developed [14]. Some researchers have discussed the benefits of using a consistent testing method to characterize the aerodynamic and energy performance of FFU, and a method has been proposed for evaluating the lab-measured performance of relatively new fan filter units (FFUs) [15].
To date, many studies have focused on the performance evaluation of high-efficiency filters [14,16], which entail high cost, higher energy consumption, and difficult maintenance. With outdoor pollution such as haze and indoor air quality requirements, it is necessary to evaluate the performance of air filters for ventilation. Class F medium-efficiency filters are the most commonly used filters in combined air conditioning units to remove indoor particles and ensure indoor air quality [8]. In the literature, the influence of fiber structure, particle characteristics, and structural parameters on pressure drop and efficiency of air filters for ventilation have been studied individually. However, a comprehensive assessment of ventilation filters is still lacking. The aims of this study were: (1) assessment of the performance (the resistance, dust capacity, quality factor, energy consumption) of class F8 multi-bag and pleat-plate chemical fiber air filters; and (2) development of a calculation method to predict the operating life of air filters for general ventilation in the actual environment.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Selection of the Tested Air Filter

Two class F8 chemical fiber filters (a multi-bag and a pleat-plate one) were selected as the tested filters, and their basic information is shown in Table 1. The different structures (8 bags and 130 pleats) of the selected filters yielded in filtration areas from 6.6 to 7.1 m². The initial resistances (Pa) of the filters (pressure difference across the filter) were 110 Pa (multi-bag type) and 190 Pa (pleat-plate type), respectively. The air flow rate of the tested filters was 3400 m³/h (13.3 cm/s, converted into the filtration speed of the filter medium).

Table 1. Basic information of the tested filter samples.

| Model | Structure     | Filtration Area, m² | Size (L × W × D), mm |
|-------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| D-F8  | Multi-Bag     | 6.6                 | 592 × 592 × 650      |
| B-F8  | Pleat-plate   | 7.1                 | 592 × 592 × 46       |

2.2. Performance Testing System

The filtration parameters (including air volume, resistance, number efficiency, gravimetric efficiency, and dust capacity) of the air filter under investigation were tested by the experimental system, based on field studies [14,17]. The main components of the test system are shown in Figure 1. This filter test system mainly consisted of a fan box, nozzle box, aerosol generation port, artificial dust generation, particle sampling, and resistance test components. The air volume of this test system was measured by a pressure sensor connected to the nozzle.

In the dust holding stage, ground A2 dust (the particle size of the A2 dust was in the range 1–120 µm) [18] was used as the load duster at a uniform speed, and was blown along with the system air duct (30 g dust test) [17]. A laser particle counter (TSI 9306, USA) with an isokinetic sampling probe was selected as the particle measurement instrument.

The testing process was as follows: firstly, the air flow resistance of the filter was tested at test air volumes of 50%, 75%, 100% and 125% of the rated air volume, respectively [17]. Then, the concentrations of the upstream and downstream particles recorded by the data recording system were tested automatically. Finally, the efficiency was calculated based on the gravimetric efficiency [17]. The gravimetric efficiency \(A_j\) in the dust holding stage of “j” was calculated by Equation (1).

\[
A_j = \left(1 - \frac{m_j}{M_j}\right) \times 100\% \quad (1)
\]

where \(m_j\) is the mass of dust passing through the filter during the dust holding stage “j” \((m_j\) is the sum of mass increment of final filter and dust accumulation in air duct behind tested filter); \(M_j\) represents the dust mass (dust increment) of the dust holding stage “j”.
2.3. Experiments of Test Dust Capacity

At first, the dust placed in the dust feeding tray with an accuracy of ±1 g in each dust increment was sent to the filter at a concentration of 70 mg/m² until the filter resistance reached the final resistance value of the predetermined stage. Then the feeder tube was oscillated or taped for 30 s. Then, the end filter was weighed (accuracy to 0.5 g) and the weight of the collected artificial dust was determined. Finally, all the dust in the duct between the tested filter and the final stage filter was collected with a fine brush, and summed into the weight of the final stage filter using Equation (1).

2.4. Performance Evaluation

The quality factor of the filter (Q_r) [19] was calculated using Equation (2),

\[ Q_r = -\frac{\ln(1 - \eta)}{\Delta P} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( \eta \) is the gravimetric efficiency of the filter under the rated air volume with A2 dust (%), and \( \Delta P \) is the air flow resistance under the rated air volume (Pa).

Energy consumption was the key index for measuring the performance of the filter, and affects the cost during the filter’s usage period. For general ventilation filters, energy consumption (W) was defined using Equation (3) [20]:

\[ W = \frac{q_v \times \Delta P \times t}{\eta_f \times 1000} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( W \) is the total energy consumption for the operating life of the filter, \( q_v \) is the rated air volume of the filter, \( \eta_f \) is the fan efficiency, and 50% is taken as the average efficiency of the fan in the HVAC system. The variable \( t \) represents the total service time of the filter, and \( \Delta P \) is the calculated resistance during the operation of the filter.

The operating life of the filter is closely related to service conditions, such as efficiency, outdoor particle concentration, dust capacity, and resistance. The operating life of the filter was calculated using Equation (4).

\[ \tau = \frac{M_x}{Q_v \times \eta \times n} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( \tau \) is the operating life of the tested filter, \( Q_v \) is the rated air volume of the filter, \( \eta \) represents the gravimetric efficiency of the filter, \( n \) is the average concentration of outdoor PM2.5, and \( M_x \) is related to the experimental results of the filter and obtained by fitting the relationship curve between dust capacity and resistance of the filter [20]. Using the fourth-order formula for fitting, \( M_x \) was calculated using Equation (5), where \( \Delta P \) represents...
the final resistance, which was considered to be two times the initial resistance, and the other parameters were obtained by experiments.

\[ \Delta P = B4 \cdot M_4 + B3 \cdot M_3 + B2 \cdot M_2 + B1 \cdot M_x + \text{Int} \]  

(5)

Energy consumption of general ventilation air filters was determined using Equation (3). The average resistance of the filter was determined using Equation (6) [20], which represents the average resistance of the filter during operation.

\[ \overline{\Delta P} = \frac{1}{M_x} \int_0^{M_x} \Delta p(m) \cdot dm = \frac{1}{5} a \cdot M_4^4 + \frac{1}{4} b \cdot M_3^3 + \frac{1}{3} c \cdot M_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} d \cdot M_x + \Delta P_0 \]  

(6)

where a, b, c, and d are the coefficients of the fourth-order fitting curve of the experimental results of the dust capacity of the unused filter, \( \Delta P_0 \) is the initial resistance of the filter, and \( M_x \) represents the total dust capacity of the filter’s operating life. There is a fixed dust holding capacity value for filters with different efficiency levels. Corresponding to two filters in this study, \( M_x \) was defined as 100 g [20].

The fourth-order relationship between the dust capacity and the resistance of the filter was established [14] using Equation (7), and the relationship between the operation time and the dust capacity of the filter was established using Equation (8) [21]. Then, combining Equations (7) and (8), the relationship between the operating time of the filter and the change rate of the resistance could be obtained.

\[ \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta P_0} = \frac{B4 \cdot M_4^4 + B3 \cdot M_3^3 + B2 \cdot M_2^2 + B1 \cdot M_x + \text{Int}}{\Delta P_0} \]  

(7)

\[ M_x = Q_\nu \times \eta \times \Delta t \times n \]  

(8)

where \( \Delta P \) and \( \Delta P_0 \) represent the current resistance and the initial resistance of the filter, respectively. The coefficients B1, B2, B3 and B4 represent the equation coefficients of the fourth-order fitting curve, and Int represents the intercept of the fitting curve (i.e., the initial resistance of the filter). For the filter at run time \( \Delta t \), \( n \) is the annual average concentration of outdoor PM2.5 (the annual average concentration of outdoor PM2.5 in Tianjin: 47 µg/m\(^3\)).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Performance of the Selected Air Filters

Figure 2 shows the filter resistance under different filtration velocities. The higher the filtration velocity, the greater the resistance in the tested filter, and this is consistent with other studies [22,23]. The resistances of the pleat–plate filter and the multi-bag filter reached 130 Pa and 146 Pa, respectively (Figure 2). The difference in structure between the multi-bag filter and the pleat–plate filter resulted in different initial resistances at different filtration velocities [24,25].

Another study [14] reported that it was not sufficient to consider only the pressure drop and filtration efficiency of the filter when evaluating a filter’s performance. For this reason, quality factor was considered to be adequate as an index of filter performance [26]. Figure 3 shows the Qr of the two tested filters under different air flow rates (850 m\(^3\)/h, 1700 m\(^3\)/h, 2550 m\(^3\)/h, 3400 m\(^3\)/h, 4100 m\(^3\)/h). The filtration rate and pressure loss of the bag filter are larger than those of the plate filter, which results in higher Qr values compared to the pleat–plate filter and the multi-bag filter (Figure 3). In addition, the increase in air flow rate resulted in decreased Qr gap for the two tested filters, and similar results were obtained when studying the filtration performance of high-efficiency filters using experiments and mathematical models [27].
Figure 2 shows the filter resistance under different filtration velocities. The higher the filtration velocity, the greater the resistance in the tested filter, and this is consistent with other studies [22,23]. The resistances of the pleat–plate filter and the multi-bag filter reached 130 Pa and 146 Pa, respectively (Figure 2). The difference in structure between the multi-bag filter and the pleat–plate filter resulted in different initial resistances at different filtration velocities [24,25].

Another study [14] reported that it was not sufficient to consider only the pressure drop and filtration efficiency of the filter when evaluating a filter’s performance. For this reason, quality factor was considered to be adequate as an index of filter performance [26]. Figure 3 shows the Qr of the two tested filters under different air flow rates (850 m$^3$/h, 1700 m$^3$/h, 2550 m$^3$/h, 3400 m$^3$/h, 4100 m$^3$/h). The filtration rate and pressure loss of the bag filter are larger than those of the plate filter, which results in higher Qr values compared to the pleat–plate filter and the multi-bag filter (Figure 3). In addition, the increase in air flow rate resulted in decreased Qr gap for the two tested filters, and similar results were obtained when studying the filtration performance of high-efficiency filters using experiments and mathematical models [27].

Figure 3. Quality factors (Qr) of the selected filters.

For the tested air filters, the dust capacity is considered to be a key performance indicator for determining the operating life of the filter. Figure 4 shows the relationship between the filter resistance and the dust holding capacity. The flow resistance and dust capacity were normalized according to the filter area and air flow velocity, and polynomial fitting [20] was implemented for the dust capacity per unit area and resistance per unit velocity. In addition, the resistance change of the filters during operation was analyzed. The slope of the fitting curve represents the various rates of filter resistance under the same dust capacity. The rate of resistance increase of the multi-bag filter (0.49 Pa/g·(cm/s)) was significantly lower than that of the pleat–plate filter (1.94 Pa/g·(cm/s)) (Figure 4). This finding implies that the working time of the multi-bag filter is longer before reaching its final resistance for the same given dust capacity. In other words, multi-bag filters have a longer operating life than pleat–plate filters [28].
Figure 4. Variation in the resistance of the tested filters with dust-holding capacity.

Combined with Equations (3) and (6), the energy consumption of the two tested filters is shown in Figure 5. The total energy consumption of the multi-bag filter over its whole operating life was 1278 kWh, while that of the pleat–plate filter was 2854 kWh. Normalized by unit filter material area, the energy consumption per unit filter material area of the multi-bag filter and the pleat–plate filter were 194 (kWh/m²) and 432 (kWh/m²), respectively (Figure 5). In this test, the filtration area of the pleat–plate filter (7.1 m²) was close to that of the multi-bag filter (6.6 m²), and the energy consumption per unit filter area of pleat–plate filter was 2.2 times that of the multi-bag filter. This energy consumption analysis method was practicable and useful for the comparative analysis of filters with significantly different filtration areas.

Figure 5. The energy consumption of the two tested filters during their life cycle.

The air flow resistance, quality factor, resistance, and energy consumption of the two tested filters were compared and analyzed in detail to assess filter performance. Our findings indicate that there was no significant difference in Qr between the two tested filters. Therefore, further performance analysis was necessary. In an outdoor environment, the rate of change of resistance of the filter should more accurately determine whether the two filters were able to adapt to changes in outdoor PM2.5 concentration. Figure 6 shows the
working resistance of the filters when monitoring outdoor PM2.5 concentration. Assuming that the final resistance is twice the initial resistance, the operating life of the multi-bag filter and the pleat–plate filter were 2232 h and 264 h, respectively. The operating life of the multi-bag filter was 8 times that of the pleat–plate filter [28]. The outdoor pollutant concentration characteristics determine the operating life of the filter, and this has been shown by field studies [29,30].

Figure 5. The energy consumption of the two tested filters during their life cycle.

3.2. Relationship between Construction and Performance

The multi-bag filter had a longer operating life and stronger dust holding capacity than the pleat–plate filter with similar filtration areas and the same air volume rate. Besides the chemical fiber filter media and their similar efficiency, the difference in performance might be due to their structures [14]. There were two main differences in the flow of the tested filters. The air flow through the multi-bag filter was a turning one, and that of the pleat–plate filter was straight, along with the fold structure [14,31] (Figure 7). For the pleat–plate filter, the air flow passes through the V-shaped inlet, rotates at a small angle, then passes through the filter medium, finally passing through the V-shaped outlet at a small angle. This is consistent with the results obtained by Fotovati on the basis of a CFD-DEM coupling model [32,33]. From the diversion of air flow, the diversion angle of the multi-bag filter is close to 90 before the air flow enters the filter medium, while the steering angle of the pleat–plate filter is smaller. For dusty air flow, large particles deviate from the streamline due to the inertial effect when turning [34]. Therefore, the blocking rate of the filter material unit of the multi-bag filter was higher than that of the pleat–plate filter.

Figure 8 shows a surface image of the filter medium under dust holding conditions obtained with a scanning electron microscope (SEM). By comparing Figure 8a,b, it can be seen that the filtration depth of the pleat–plate filter was relatively shallow, and a large number of dendrites formed on the windward side of the particles accumulated on the fibers under dust holding conditions. With filtration, affected by the air flow and adjacent particles, the internal balance in the dendrites was destroyed [35]. The particle sliding phenomenon resulted in the collapse of the dendrites. The operating resistance increases sharply when pore blockage of the medium occurs [4]. In addition, the fibers in the middle and on the down-wind side are able to capture few particles. However, the multi-bag filter displayed more particle collection on both the windward and down-wind sides (Figure 8), leading to a large dust capacity. Similar results were found by [36], who reported that the filter adopted a high-density V-shaped pleat structure, causing the air flow in the pleat channel...
to become disordered, resulting in an uneven distribution of air flow. In addition, the field study also explained the deep filtration and surface filtration in the non-woven filter by an predicted model [37]. In this study, the high-density pleat number of the pleat–plate filter resulted in decreased pleat spacing in the filter paper, while the turbulence of the air flow in the pleat channel led to increased friction resistance between the air flow and the filter paper. This might be another reason for the high resistance increase rate of the pleat–plate filter.

Figure 7. Flow field characteristics of filters with different structures: (A) pleat–plate; (B) multi-bag.

Figure 8. The SEM and product photographs of the selected filter media. (A) Pleat–plate; (B) multi-bag. From left to right are the up-wind surface, cross-section, and down-wind surface images.
4. Conclusions

In this study, initial resistance, quality factor, energy consumption and operation time were used to evaluate the performance of two selected class F8 filters (multi-bag type and pleat-plate type). The main conclusions are as follows:

(1) The resistance of the multi-bag filter was greater than that of the pleat-plate filter at the tested air volume. The Qr of the pleat-plate filter was better than that of the multi-bag filter. When the initial particle filtration efficiency was basically similar, the resistance increase rate of the multi-bag filter was much lower than that of the pleat-plate filter.

(2) The energy consumption of the multi-bag filter was lower than that of the pleat-plate filter. When measuring the outdoor average PM2.5 concentration, the operating life of the multi-bag filter was 8.5 times that of the pleat-plate filter.

(3) Combined with the analysis of the electron microscope photos after dust holding, the structure of the general ventilation filter affects its performance, and the dust holding capacity varied at different filtering depths.

In addition, many studies on the influence of static electricity and particle size, and their effect on the performance of chemical fiber filters, will be conducted under operating conditions in the future.
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