Epidemiology of total hip and knee replacement: a cross-sectional study
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To describe the epidemiologic characteristics and adverse events of patients submitted to total hip and total knee replacement.

Methods: A cross-sectional study retrospectively assessing medical chart data of all total hip and total knee replacements performed at a private hospital, between January 2007 and December 2010 Patients submitted to total hip and total knee replacement, with consent of surgeons were included. Incomplete records and/or missing data of the hospital database were excluded. The categorical variables analyzed were age, gender, type of arthroplasty (primary or secondary), type of procedure, duration of surgery, use of drains, risk of infection, compliance to protocol for prevention of deep venous thrombosis and embolism pulmonary, and compliance to the protocol for prevention of infection. The outcomes assessed were adverse events after surgery.

Results: A total of 510 patients were included; in that, 166 admissions for knee replacements (92 male) and 344 admissions for hip replacements (176 female). The mean age of patients was 71 years (range 31-99 years). Adverse events were reported in 76 patients (14.9%); there was no correlation between assessed variables and number of complications.

Conclusion: The results showed no individual factors favoring complications in patients submitted to total hip and total knee replacement; hence, surgeons should consider prophylaxis to avoid complications.
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INTRODUCTION

Total hip replacement (THR) and total knee replacement (TKR) are indicated for treating chronic refractory joint pain and some types of proximal femoral fractures. The most frequent condition for both
THR and TKR is osteoarthritis (OA); other diseases that commonly lead to THR and TKR are rheumatoid arthritis, fractures and avascular necrosis\(^1,2\). The data of Brazilian patients undergoing THR or TKR showed that osteoarthritis was the primary indication for both procedures and hypertension was the prevalent comorbidity among patients\(^3\).

Joint replacement is a reasonably safe intervention that can result in considerable pain relief and reduce disability for allowing the new joint to work normally\(^4-7\).

The use of these procedures has increased due to the good results obtained. Taking into consideration only the demographic changes, it is estimated that the number of indications for THR will increase by 40% by 2021 in the United Kingdom\(^8\).

A cross-sectional study taking into account data from 2006 to 2007 reported there were 62,196 hospitalizations for THR and TKR in Canada, with an overall incidence of 81.2 per 100,000 people per year\(^9\).

A literature review evaluated the prevalence and incidence rates for THR and TKR; the authors found the rates of indication and utilization of both interventions vary according to socioeconomic status and healthcare systems, patient preferences and prevalence of osteoarthritis. The authors also concluded that future studies should be conducted to examine the causes of these differences\(^7\).

**OBJECTIVE**

The objective of this study was to describe the epidemiologic characteristics of total hip replacement and total knee replacement interventions performed at a Brazilian private hospital, according to patient demographics and tendencies in the clinical practice over time.

**METHODS**

Institutional review board approval (number 5162) was obtained to retrospectively review medical records and analyze pertinent data. Data of every THR and TKR performed at the Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein (HIAE), São Paulo, Brazil, were prospectively collected and stored from January 2007 to December 2010.

The inclusion criteria were adults (18 or more years) who underwent primary or revision THR and TKR at our hospital and had a consent form signed by surgeons.

The exclusion criteria were incomplete records and missing data from the hospital database.

**Patient demographics**

The following variables were recorded and analyzed: age (stratified into 10-year groups, beginning with 0 to 4 years and ending with >94 years), gender, type of arthroplasty (primary or secondary), type of procedure (THR or TKR), duration of surgery time, use of drains, compliance to the protocol for infection prevention\(^10\) and to the protocol for deep venous thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE) prevention\(^11\).

**Types of outcomes**

The postoperative adverse events of all patients were gathered and recorded using a standardized form. The surgeons and nurses were audited on their gathering rate of data on adverse events and re-oriented on proper completion of data. All patients and their primary care physicians were instructed to contact the hospital in case of any suspicion of infection. Those who did not come for follow-up were contacted by telephone. Infection, DVT, PE, failure of treatment and all-cause mortality were assessed as adverse events.

All cases of potential superficial, deep local infection and systemic infections were recorded and analyzed according to the definitions and guidelines (all versions) used in the United Kingdom\(^10\). We also calculated the surgical site infection (SSI) risk index, a score used to predict a surgical risk of acquiring an infection in the surgical site. The SSI risk index, ranging from 0 to 3, consists of data obtained from three risk factors: a) American Society of Anesthesiologists’ physical status classification (ASA); b) wound classification and; c) duration of operation. Patients who underwent surgical interventions with a risk index of 3 had a higher risk of developing SSI than those with a score of 0\(^12,13\).

For patients suspected of symptomatic DVT, bilateral ultrasound Doppler and/or venography were performed according to the standardized technique previously described in the literature\(^14,15\). PE was diagnosed by ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy, pulmonary angiography, or spiral computed tomography.

Treatment failure was considered when patients were submitted to a non-routine secondary surgical intervention for symptomatic aseptic or septic loosening, instability, or dislocation after 30 days of the first surgery.
Data and statistical analysis
Data of all primary and revision THR and TKR from January 2007 to December 2010 were gathered from the hospital database and analyzed using the statistical package Excel (Microsoft Office Excel 2007.Ink). The prevalence rates were given as percentages. Pearson’s χ² test was used to assess discrete data; the significance of various risk factors was calculated by multivariate logistic regression analysis in which the odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals were calculated.

RESULTS
Characteristics of the study population
The study population consisted of 510 patients; there were 166 hospitalizations for knee replacements (92 male) and 344 admissions for hip replacements (176 female). The mean age of patients undergoing TKR was 71 years (range 31 to 87 years) and also 71 years (range 33 to 99 years) for THR. Table 1 describes the demographic characteristics of patients per year. The age distribution of hip and knee replacement patients was similar, with the majority of patients being 65 years or older (81.3% for TKR and 69.5% for THR). Only a small proportion of patients for both procedures (TKR and THR) were aged less than 45 years (1.2% for TKR and 3.2% for THR) (Figure 1).

Table 1. Demographic data

| Patients | Knee replacement | Hip replacement |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
|         | Jan 2007 to Dec 2008 | Jan 2009 to Dec 2010 | Jan 2007 to Dec 2008 | Jan 2009 to Dec 2010 |
| Gender  |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Male n (%) | 18 (31.0) | 74 (68.5) | 46 (37.1) | 122 (55.5) |
| Female n (%) | 40 (69.0) | 34 (31.5) | 78 (62.9) | 98 (44.5) |
| Age Mean (SD) | 71 (9.7) | 72 (9.2) | 73 (13.5) | 72 (13.8) |
| Range  | 50-87 | 31-87 | 39-97 | 33-99 |
| Primary diagnosis | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) |
| Osteoarthritis | 46 (79.3) | 95 (88.0) | 52 (41.9) | 117 (53.2) |
| Fracture | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 54 (43.5) | 78 (35.5) |
| Osteonecrosis | 1 (1.7) | 1 (0.9) | 6 (4.8) | 10 (4.5) |
| Rheumatoid arthritis | 1 (1.7) | 1 (0.9) | 4 (3.2) | 9 (4.1) |
| Others | 10 (17.2) | 9 (8.3) | 8 (6.5) | 6 (2.7) |
| Type of joint replacement | | | | |
| Primary | 46 (79.3) | 98 (90.7) | 115 (92.7) | 203 (92.3) |
| Revision | 12 (20.7) | 10 (9.3) | 9 (7.3) | 17 (7.7) |
| Clinical condition | | | | |
| Hypertension | 36 (62.1) | 64 (59.3) | 64 (51.6) | 93 (42.3) |
| Congestive heart failure | 13 (22.4) | 19 (17.6) | 29 (23.4) | 34 (15.5) |
| Diabetes | 11 (19.0) | 24 (22.2) | 17 (13.7) | 45 (20.5) |
| Cerebrovascular accident | 0 (0) | 2 (1.9) | 7 (5.6) | 10 (4.5) |
| Benign or malignant tumors | 1 (1.7%) | 7 (6.5%) | 14 (11.3) | 15 (6.8%) |
| Total of patients | 58 | 108 | 124 | 220 |

SD: standard deviation.

The main preoperative diagnosis for patients was osteoarthritis (84.9% for TKR and 49.1% for THR). Moreover, most admissions for joint replacement in our population were for primary procedures (86.7% for TKR and 92.4% for THR). The most frequent preoperative medical condition diagnosed in all patients was hypertension (50.4%) (Table 1).

All patients received deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis and prophylactic antibiotics according to the current literature(10,11).

Table 2 shows some patient characteristics and interventions. Most TKR patients were seen in intensive care unit (51.2%) and most THR patients were admitted to the Orthopedics Unit (51.2%). The mean length of hospital stay was 8 days (range 2-40 days) for TKR patients and 10 days (range 2-230 days) for THR patients. The commonest type of anesthesia was a combination of spinal anesthesia and sedation, given to 276 (54.1%) patients. Closed suction drains were used in 458 (89.8%) patients. Most patients were classified as grade 1 (50.8%) in terms of SSI risk index.
Table 2. Characteristics of patients and interventions

| Characteristics                  | Knee replacement | Hip replacement |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
|                                  | Jan 2007 to Dec 2008 | Jan 2009 to Dec 2010 | Jan 2007 to Dec 2008 | Jan 2009 to Dec 2010 |
| Postoperative care               | n (%)            | n (%)           | n (%)            | n (%)            |
| Intensive care unit              | 31 (53.5)        | 54 (50.0)       | 88 (71.0)        | 66 (30.0)        |
| Stepdown unit                    | 1 (1.7)          | 12 (11.1)       | 3 (2.4)          | 11 (5.0)         |
| Orthopedic unit                  | 26 (44.8)        | 42 (38.9)       | 33 (26.6)        | 143 (65.0)       |
| Length of hospital stay (days)   |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| Mean (SD)                        | 8 (11.7)         | 7 (15.9)        | 8 (5.6)          | 8 (4.1)          |
| Range                            | 2.40             | 2.29            | 2.106            | 2.220            |
| Type of anesthesia               | n (%)            | n (%)           | n (%)            | n (%)            |
| General                          | 11 (19.0)        | 22 (20.4)       | 46 (37.1)        | 87 (39.5)        |
| Spinal                           | 2 (3.4)          | 3 (2.8)         | 0 (0)            | 3 (1.4)          |
| General + spinal                 | 0 (0)            | 4 (3.6)         | 19 (15.3)        | 28 (12.7)        |
| Sedation + spinal                | 42 (72.4)        | 76 (70.4)       | 56 (45.2)        | 102 (46.4)       |
| Not reported                      | 3 (5.2)          | 3 (2.8)         | 3 (2.4)          | 0 (0)            |
| Drained wound                    |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| Number of patients               | 49 (84.5)        | 104 (96.3)      | 116 (93.5)       | 189 (85.9)       |
| SSI risk index                   |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| Grade 0                          | 16 (27.6)        | 36 (33.3)       | 43 (34.7)        | 91 (41.4)        |
| Grade 1                          | 32 (55.2)        | 56 (51.5)       | 65 (52.4)        | 106 (48.2)       |
| Grade 2                          | 5 (8.6)          | 10 (9.3)        | 9 (7.3)          | 23 (10.4)        |
| Not reported                      | 5 (8.6)          | 6 (5.6)         | 7 (5.6)          | 0 (0)            |
| ASA classification               |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| I                                | 6 (10.3)         | 12 (11.1)       | 8 (6.5)          | 30 (13.6)        |
| II                               | 41 (70.7)        | 83 (76.9)       | 84 (67.7)        | 144 (65.4)       |
| III                              | 7 (12.1)         | 7 (6.5)         | 30 (24.2)        | 44 (20.0)        |
| IV                               | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)            | 2 (1.0)          |
| Not reported                      | 4 (6.9)          | 6 (5.5)         | 2 (1.6)          | 0 (0)            |
| Wound classification             |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| Not contaminated                 | 57 (98.3)        | 106 (98.0)      | 124 (100)        | 218 (99.1)       |
| Contaminated or dirty            | 0 (0)            | 1 (1.0)         | 0 (0)            | 2 (0.9)          |
| Not reported                      | 1 (1.7)          | 1 (1.0)         | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)            |
| Duration of surgery (hours: minutes) | 2.37                | 2.25            | 2.04             | 2.16             |

Table 3. Postoperative medical complications

| Complication                      | Knee replacement | Hip replacement |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
|                                   | Jan 2007 to Dec 2008 | Jan 2009 to Dec 2010 | Jan 2007 to Dec 2008 | Jan 2009 to Dec 2010 |
| All-cause mortality               | 0                | 0               | 0                | 4                |
| DVT or PE                         | 0                | 2               | 1                | 3                |
| Superficial wound infection       | 1                | 1               | 3                | 2                |
| Genitourinary                     |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| Urinary tract infection           | 2                | 0               | 7                | 0                |
| Urinary retention                 | 0                | 0               | 1                | 1                |
| Scrotal swelling                  | 0                | 0               | 0                | 1                |
| Cardiovascular                    |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| Myocardial infarction             | 0                | 0               | 0                | 2                |
| Atrial fibrillation               | 1                | 0               | 1                | 0                |
| Pulmonary                         |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| Pneumonia                         | 0                | 2               | 0                | 2                |
| Respiratory insufficiency         | 0                | 0               | 2                | 3                |
| Dyspnea                           | 2                | 0               | 0                | 2                |
| Neurological                      |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| Confusion                         | 0                | 1               | 1                | 2                |
| Gastrointestinal                  |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| Stomatitis                        | 0                | 0               | 0                | 1                |
| Fecaloma                          | 1                | 0               | 0                | 0                |
| Anemia                            | 1                | 1               | 2                | 3                |
| Fever with no other symptoms      | 0                | 1               | 0                | 3                |
| Other complications               | 2                | 1               | 4                | 6                |

Source: Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein - HIAE.

SD: standard deviation; SSI: surgical site of infection.

Assessed outcomes
Some adverse events, such as infection, DVT, PE, failure of treatment and death are shown in table 3. The primary outcome death (all-causes) occurred in four patients of the THR group; DVT or PE were diagnosed in six patients (four in THR and two in TKR group); no patient had failure of treatment.

In our cohort of patients, seven (five in the THR and two in the TKR group) presented superficial wound infection, which demanded a four-week antibiotic therapy, but there was no need to change treatment or new surgical procedure. Fifty-nine patients (11.6%) experienced other complications; genitourinary conditions were the most prevalent complications presented by patients (Table 3).

The multivariate logistic regression analysis for patients undergoing THR revealed that ISS ($\chi^2=3.962$, age ($\chi^2=0.191$) and comorbidities ($\chi^2=0.553$) were not statistically significant predictors of complications (p=0.138, p=0.662 and p=0.457, respectively).
The data available for patients submitted to TKR were insufficient; therefore no multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed. Furthermore, there were no individual significant predictors of complications.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study information was gathered from many surgeons from the database on hip and knee replacement to highlight trends and different techniques for the procedures. The results show demographic characteristics similar to those of published studies\(^9,16-18\); that is, the majority of patients were aged over 65 years, osteoarthritis was the most common indication for surgery and the most frequent clinical comorbidity was hypertension. Regarding gender, the results differ from the literature - the majority of our patients were male; however, when sex distribution was divided by procedure, there were more women for THR.

Our outcomes support some recommendations regarding total joint replacement\(^9,22\). Although THR and TKR are relatively safe interventions, 76 medical complications (related or not to the surgical procedure) were observed, demonstrating that surgeons should be cautious.

The mortality rate was very low - four patients described as follows: the first patient (initials IPB, female, 87 years old) had metastatic adenocarcinoma, required THR for femoral neck fracture and died 46 days after surgery. The second patient (initials MAXB, female, 89 years old) had femoral neck fracture and no co-morbid conditions, and passed away 3 days after surgery; the third (initials EC, male, 84 years old) was a chronic patient with respiratory insufficiency (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease - COPD) and acute renal failure, who underwent THR for femoral neck fracture and died during the surgery. The fourth patient (initials APLS, male, 99 years old) presented atrial fibrillation, had femoral neck fracture, and died 19 days after surgery.

In our view, it is important to be aware of the data from this cohort study, such as complications, infections, costs and admission. Some useful data were described in this study, and based on our results some strategies could be established to reduce complication rates.

When reporting on some characteristics of patients submitted to THR and TKR at a private hospital, it is essential to compare with other hospitals and countries. This analysis is complex because of diversity of population among countries, reporting methods, study design, periods of studies, different healthcare systems. Nevertheless, cross-sectional and qualitative analyses are fundamental to improve care in health systems.

The increased health-related costs all over the world and the restrictions on economic resources led to great emphasis on cost-effective procedures in health\(^23,25\). The orthopedic implants, mostly knee and hip prostheses, account for more hospital expenses than any other procedures. Despite elevated hospital costs and more orthopedic implants, decrease in infection and complication rates, healing of the orthopedic diseases and better patient satisfaction have not been observed.

Aiming to enhance quality of clinical practice, to support decision-making processes, to offer appropriate usage of medical technologies, to establish a better and more productive management, in addition to standardize treatments and avoid waste of resources, protocols were implemented at our hospital. One of the most important characteristics of these protocols is to provide an overview of each procedure to be performed, with its expected results, so that they can be monitored by the clinical team and by the patients. Future investigations will be conducted to assess effectiveness of these protocols.

In order to reduce the costs and better use the hospitals resources, it is essential to have a multidisciplinary team. Professionals with diverse backgrounds, including those from the financing and human resources departments, nurses and doctors, amongst others, should share information about their specific areas and continuously assess their routines, and make changes, whenever necessary. By discussing hospital procedures and their specific daily activities, as well as using evidence-based studies in their meetings to support decision-making, the teams will be able to better use the resources and to avoid unnecessary expenses.

Because of our study design and the small number of patients undergoing THR and TKR at the hospital, no significant conclusions could be drawn on this group. In addition, there are several limitations to the results obtained. First, the data were analyzed retrospectively from our database, which means there are some concerns about data collection. Second, the cross-sectional study is descriptive and typically there is no hypothesis, hindering causal inferences. Moreover there are many aspects that influence the incidence, prevalence and complications of THR and TKR for OA. We are not able to presume if the characteristics described in this study will maintain the same rates. Finally, there are some reservations about the findings since the study was limited and did not gather data from other private or public hospitals.
CONCLUSION

Our results found no individual significant predictors of complications in patients submitted to THR and TKR. When taking into account joint replacement, our data showed that orthopedic surgeons and patients should be aware of some adverse events that could occur. Hence surgeons must consider prophylactic management to avoid some complications.

REFERENCES

1. Siddiqui MM, Yeo SJ, Sivaiah P, Chia SL, Chin PL, Lo NN. Function and quality of life in patients with recurvatum deformity after primary total knee arthroplasty: a review of our joint registry. J Arthroplasty. 2012;27(6):1106-10.

2. Soever LJ, Mackay C, Saryeddine T, Davis AM, Flannery JF, Jaglal SB, et al. Educational needs of patients undergoing total joint arthroplasty. Physiother Can. 2010;62(3):206-14.

3. de Piano LPA, Golmia RP, Scheinberg M. Total hip and knee joint replacement: perioperative clinical aspects. einstein (Sao Paulo). 2010;8(3 Pt 1):350-3. Portuguese.

4. Ethgen O, Bruière O, Richy F, Dardennes C, Reginster JY. Health related quality of life in total hip and total knee arthroplasty. A qualitative and systematic review of the literature. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2004;86-A(5):963-74.

5. Jones CA, Voaklander DC, Suarez-Alma ME. Determinants of function after total knee arthroplasty. Phys Ther. 2003;83(8):696-706.

6. Rissanen 1995 - Rissanen P, Aro S, Slätis P, Sintonen H, Paavolainen P. Health and quality of life before and after hip or knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 1995;10(2):169-75.

7. Singh JA. Epidemiology of knee and hip arthroplasty: a systematic review. Open Orthop J. 2011;5(1):80-5.

8. Birrell F, Johloll O, Silman A. Projecting the need for hip replacement over the next three decades: influence of changing demography and threshold for surgery. Ann Rheum Dis. 1999;58(9):569-72.

9. Canadian Institute for Health Information, Hip and Knee Replacements in Canada–Canadian Joint Replacement Registry (CJRR) 2008–2009 Annual Report (Ottawa, Ont.: CIHI, 2009), 2009. http://www.cihi.ca/cjrr (último acesso aos dados em novembro de 2011).

10. Protocol for Surveillance of Surgical Site Infection: Surgical Site Infection Surveillance Service. Version 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. Health Protection Agency, 2011. [Internet]. [cited 2011 Nov]. Available from: http://www.hpa.org.uk/

11. Mont MA, Jacobs JJ, Boggio LN, Bozic KJ, Della Valle CJ, Goodman SB, et al. Preventing venous thromboembolic disease in patients undergoing elective hip and knee arthroplasty. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2011;19(12):768-76.

12. Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, Silver LC, Jarvis WR. Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection, 1999. Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1999;20(4):250-78; quiz 279-80.

13. National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System. National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS) System Report, data summary from January 1992 through June 2004, issued October 2004. Am J Infect Control. 2004;32(8):470-85.

14. Eriksson BI, Dahl DE, Bülter HR, Hettiarachchi R, Rosenern N, Bravo ML, et al. A new oral direct thrombin inhibitor, dabigatran etexilate, compared with enoxaparin for prevention of thromboembolic events following total hip or knee replacement: the BISTRO II randomized trial. J Thromb Haemost. 2005;3(1):103-11.

15. Kålebo P, Ekman S, Lindblert S, Eriksson BI, Pauli U, Zachrisson BE, et al. Percentage of inadequate phlebograms and observer agreement in thromboprophylactic multicenter trials using standardized methodology and central assessment. Thromb Haemost 1996;76(6):893-6.

16. de Beer J, Petrucelli D, Adili A, Piccirillo L, Wismer D, Winemaker M. Patient perspective survey of total hip vs total knee arthroplasty surgery. J Arthroplasty. 2012;27(6):865-9.

17. Tirkkonen K, Hurme S, Rautava P, Virolainen P. Electronic medical records for central assessment. Thromb Haemost 1996;76(6):893-6.

18. Abdelalhalm, Restrepo C, Tarity TD, Sangster W, Parvizi J. Predictors of intensive care unit admission after total joint arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2012;27(5):720-5.

19. Alfonso DT, Howell RQ, Strauss EJ, Di Cesare PE. Total hip and knee arthroplasty in nonagenarians. J Arthroplasty. 2007;22(6):807-11.

20. Mahomed NN, Barrett JA, Katz JN, Phillips CB, Losina E, Lew RA, et al. Rates and outcomes of primary and revision total hip replacement in the United States Medicare population. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2003;85-A(1):27-32.

21. Memtsoudis SG, Della Valle AG, Besculides MC, Gaber L, Laskin R. Trends in demographics, comorbidity profiles, in-hospital complications and mortality associated with primary knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2009;24(4): 518-27.

22. Bini SA, Sidney S, Sorel M. Slowing demand for total joint arthroplasty in a population of 3.2 million. J Arthroplasty. 2011;26(6 Suppl):124-8.

23. Higashi H, Barendregt JJ. Cost-effectiveness of total hip and knee replacements for the Australian population with osteoarthritis: discrete-event simulation model. PLoS One. 2011;6(9):e25403.

24. Ryttberg L, Diamantopoulos A, Forster F, Lees M, Fraschke A, Björholt I. Cost-effectiveness of rivaroxaban versus heparins for prevention of venous thromboembolism after total hip or knee surgery in Sweden. Expert Rev Pharmacoeconomics Outcomes Res. 2011;11(5):561-15.

25. Kwong LM. Cost-effectiveness of rivaroxaban after total hip or total knee arthroplasty. Am J Manag Care. 2011;17(1 Suppl):S22-6.