The HIV drug optimization agenda: promoting standards for earlier investigation and approvals of antiretroviral drugs for use in adolescents living with HIV
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1 INTRODUCTION

Most clinical trials for new antiretroviral drugs (ARVs) are conducted among narrowly defined adult populations. Only after safety and efficacy have been clearly shown in adults do trials move to include HIV-positive children and adolescents, significantly delaying the availability of ARVs and/or optimized combinations for use among these groups (see Table 1). This stepwise approach also is applied to pregnant or breast-feeding women and to women of reproductive age who are not receiving contraception. Historical rationale for this approach mostly includes; focus on the differences between adults and children/adolescents as they relate to drugs, developmental variability, complexities of parental involvement and adaptations required in research procedures [35].

The Paediatric Antiretroviral Working Group led by the World Health Organization (WHO), has proposed optimizing research approaches for children and adolescents to speed-up the availability of ARVs and formulations for these groups [1]. Recently, the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) recommended that ARV drug trials include adolescents (aged 12 to <18 years) in phase 3 clinical trials along with adults, or that a separate phase 3 clinical trial among adolescents be conducted in parallel [2]. Adult trials for tuberculosis [3] and cancer treatment [4] as well as ADVANCE, a recent clinical trial that enrolled HIV-positive participants aged ≥12 years, have shown the feasibility of including adolescents in adult clinical trials [5].

Adolescence is a period of rapid growth and change. Physical, mental and emotional maturation changes occur as a person transitions into adulthood and begins to take their own care and decision making [6]. Adolescents are a heterogenous population that includes individuals in various stages of emotional, physical, intellectual and sexual development. Gender differences, and environmental factors such as geographical location, socioeconomic and sociodemographic differences,
Table 1. Examples of antiretroviral approvals by the United States Food and Drug Administration and dosing recommendations in adults and adolescents

| Drug                                      | Year approved, adults | Dose, adults | Year approved, adolescents | Dose, adolescents |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (Viread)    | 2001                  | 300 mg OD    | 2010                       | 300 mg OD for ≥12 years and ≥35 kg |
|                                           |                       |              |                            | 600 mg BID, for ≥40 kg          |
| Darunavir (Prezista)                      | 2006                  | 600 mg BID   | 2008                       | 50 mg OD, for ≥25 kg |
| Bictegravir, only available in a fixed-dose combination tablet (Biktarvy) | 2018                  | 50 mg OD     | 2019                       | 50 mg OD, for ≥25 kg |

*United States Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents with HIV. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf. OD, once a day; BID, twice a day.

DISCUSSION

This section provides the rationale and justification for including adolescents in initial adult clinical phase 3 trials of novel ARVs and combinations or conducting parallel phase 3 clinical trials among adolescents.

2.1 No major pharmacokinetic differences

Absorption, hepatic metabolism and renal elimination of drugs in infants and young children are significantly different than in adults, but there are no major pharmacokinetic differences between adolescents and adults [10,11]. However, bone mineralization, which is associated with bone metabolism and growth may be a physiological difference between adolescents and adults. Bone, which is a metabolic tissue, undergoes rapid changes during adolescence and approximately 80% of adolescents achieve peak bone mass by age 18 years [12]. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) is the ARV most clearly related to decreased bone mineral density in HIV-positive adults. Data on children and youth are conflicting. Results of a 10-year follow-up study in Italy indicate that a TDF-containing regimen does not decrease the bone mineral density of HIV-positive youths [13]. However, a longitudinal study in Brazil showed that TDF contributes to decreased bone mineral density among adolescents [14]. Both the US Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) guidelines and the WHO guidelines recommend the use of TDF at the adult dose in adolescents weighing ≥35 kg. WHO guidelines also recommend Zidovudine and Abacavir as alternatives to TDF under special circumstances [15-17].

2.2 Similar dosing recommendations for adolescents and adults

Dosing recommendations for ARVs have consistently been the same for adults and adolescents. The DHHS guidelines recommend “adult tablets” for both adults and post-pubertal adolescents [11,15]. The DHHS guidelines also recommend using most adult tablets for adolescents with a few exceptions based on weight: for example, all adult ARV tablets are allowed for adolescents weighing ≥40 kg, some adult ARV tablets are allowed for adolescents weighing ≥35 kg, and in some cases, >25 kg [15]. The annex to the updated WHO recommendations on ARV regimens suggests the same dosing for adults and adolescents [16].

Furthermore, the ODYSSEY trial evaluated the use of the adult duloxetine (DG) tablet (50 mg) not only in adolescents, but also in children weighing 20 to <40 kg. The results showed that the adult tablets achieved appropriate pharmacokinetic profiles with no safety signal, allowing practical dosing and rapid access to DTG [19].
The DHHS guidelines recommend taking the patient’s sexual maturation rating (SMR) into consideration to select the dosing for ARVs, but neither the WHO 2016 ARV guidelines [17] or the Penta 2019 guidelines [18] even mention SMR. SMR is only mentioned three times in the DHHS Paediatric Guidelines Dosing Annex: dosing for efavirenz (400 mg) has not been evaluated in patients with an SMR 3 or less; for co-cobicistat/elvitegravir/emtricitabine/tenofovir (brand name, Stribild) which is recommended for adolescents who weigh >35 kg and have SMR 4 to 5; and TDF is recommended for adolescents who weight >35 kg and have SMR 1 to 2. However, the guidelines do not provide different dosing recommendations based on SMR. Practically, healthcare providers consider age and/or weight (vs. SMR) to select the dosing and to initiate ARVs per WHO or DHHS recommendations which have already factored in SMR [15,17,34].

2.3 | Supply chain benefits

Using the same ARV formulations and dosing for adolescents and adults can synergize programme-level supply chain management. For example new drugs approved for both adolescents and adults can use an existing supply chain system, thereby minimizing inefficiencies caused by setting up a new supply chain system for adolescents only. Using the same dosing, formulations and combinations enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of the supply chain by minimizing the different types of ARVs that a supply chain specialist, a healthcare provider and clients have to manage. Having different types of ARVs for adults and adolescents can overwhelm the healthcare system and providers leading to an overloaded/inefficient supply chain system.

Moreover, using same dosing and formulations for both adolescents and adults streamlines ARVs treatment across populations, facilitating the implementation of treatment guidelines.

2.4 | Possible challenges to participation of adolescents in clinical trials

Challenges to allowing adolescents to participate in adult clinical trials include recruiting adolescents, lack of treatment adherence and sub-optimal retention [3,21]. Obtaining informed consent from adolescents also can be difficult because adolescents typically are required to obtain the approval of an adult caregiver. Adolescents also need specific clinical trial materials that explain the trial using age-appropriate language. Some clinical trials require female participants to use contraception which may be challenging to assess and to address possibly due to fear of disclosure [36].

2.5 | Recruiting adolescents into clinical trials

Other types of clinical trials have had challenges recruiting adolescents. For example the participation rate of 15 to 19 year olds in a national clinical trial of cancer drugs in the United States (1997 to 2003) was approximately half of the corresponding rate in children aged <15 years [20]. The study suggested that one of the reasons for low enrolment numbers could be that adolescents exist in a “no-man’s land” between the worlds of paediatric and adult medical oncology [21]. Enhanced collaboration between paediatric and adult specialists involved in caring for adolescents may improve participation in clinical trials.

Adolescent participation in HIV clinical trials is variable. The recent ADVANCE trial enrolled participants aged ≥12 years in South Africa; after 2 years 1053 patients had undergone randomization, but only 14 patients were <19 years, despite extensive efforts to recruit adolescents [5]. However, in the ODYSSEY trial (PENTA 20), a randomized trial of DTG-based ART versus the standard of care for children aged <18 years starting first-line ART or switching to second-line ART, the targeted number of adolescents was achieved quickly due to higher than expected numbers of adolescent willing to participate. To increase the numbers of younger children in this trial, the researchers had to cap the recruitment of ART-naïve children who weighed ≥35 kg. Of 708 enrolled participants, 52% were aged >12 years at trial initiation [22].

Collaboration among paediatric, adolescent and adult HIV clinics is crucial. Protocols that not only allow adolescents to be recruited but also identify specific adolescent HIV clinics, could facilitate recruiting adolescents. FDA guidance advises doing these studies in parallel or in the same protocol for adults [2].

2.6 | Adherence to treatment and follow-up retention in clinical trials

There are concerns that adolescents have difficulty adhering to medication regimens, which might hinder adherence to study drugs and attendance at follow-up visits after enrolment [23]. The advanced FDA Paediatric HIV guidance for Industry suggests that adolescents have lower adherence rates than adults [2]. Nevertheless, similar treatment adherence estimates have been reported both overall and by region in a meta-analysis of adult adherence [24] and in a meta-analysis of adolescent adherence [25]. Researchers could consider providing additional adherence support to young adolescents participating in trials with adults since young adolescents may have limited ability to self-care.

Some trials have shown excellent retention of children and adolescents, for example in the BREATHER (PENTA 16) trial, an open-label, non-superiority trial of Efavirenz-based regimen. The study included 199 participants from 11 countries with a median age of 14 years (interquartile range, 12 to 18 years). Follow-up in the trial was outstanding, with over 98% of the clinic visits attended up to week 48 [26]. This result demonstrates that excellent follow-up of ALHIV can be achieved. Researchers are often concerned that including adolescents will skew the results if included in the adult trial. To address these concerns, researchers may analyse the adolescent and the adult population data separately to evaluate the consequences of possible differences. Stratification methods may also be used.

2.7 | Informed consent

Various ethical and legal complexities may arise when including children and adolescents in clinical trials, especially when obtaining informed consent. Clinical trials must obtain consent from a participant with legal capacity, or from a person with the authority to consent on the participant’s behalf. This means that in most countries, adolescents aged <18 years...
(except emancipated minors) would need to obtain permission from their caregivers [27] to participate in a study.

Not uncommonly, especially in low-income countries, adolescents’ caregivers are grandparents or other relatives who are not officially recognized. For example in the ARROW clinical trial in Zimbabwe, a substantial number of potential research participants were orphans (120/400; [30%]), and only 1/120 (0.08%) had a court-appointed guardian. The Zimbabwean ARROW research team informed the Ethical Committee of this challenges and how this legal requirement could potentially disadvantage this group of children. The Ethical Committee waived the legal guardianship requirement but requested that caregivers sign an informed consent document for the children’s participation [28].

Apart from caregiver consent, adolescents younger than the legal age of consent are required to provide an informed assent to participate in a trial. In addition, if adolescents turn 18 years old during the trial, they have to re-consent by signing the informed consent document. For adult trial teams this might be an additional hurdle. However, as anecdotally shown in paediatric trials, well-trained trial teams can successfully carry out the consent and assent processes.

Depending on country policy, some structural level adjustments would be beneficial to make inclusion of adolescents into clinical trials possible. For example in South Africa, a 12-year-old can consent to medical treatment without caregiver consent but cannot consent to participate in research. A stage 3 trial would benefit from including younger children for medical treatment, but other countries may not have this policy, which makes it difficult to manage the participants of the same age in other countries. Discussing this difficulty with key stakeholders could help determine specific country considerations and solutions.

Lastly, there are family and social-cultural considerations for protecting adolescents from harm, especially in trials involving highly sensitive topics for families and their communities (sexual behaviour, gender identity, disclosure, etc.) [35]. Educating Institutional Review Boards and investigators about special regulatory protection can facilitate including adolescents in adult phase 3 clinical trials. Institutional Review Board committees play a critical role in advancing research for all populations and discussing these issues can overcome barriers to including adolescents in phase 3 adult clinical trials for adults.

2.8 Designing specific clinical trial materials for adolescents

In a discussion about conducting HIV preventive vaccine trials with adolescents Mc-Clure et al [29] recommend designing protocols in close consultation with local community leaders and adolescent consultants. Using recruitment materials and clinic sites that are friendly, attractive and accessible to adolescents also can help improve participation.

Penta Foundation has supported the creation of Youth Trial Boards in Uganda, Zimbabwe, South Africa and UK to develop a youth-centred approach for meaningful involvement of young patients in clinical trials and studies. ODYSSEY (PENTA 20) was the first trial that used a Youth Trial Board [30]. This model has been used in a few new trials (e.g, D3, BREATHER-plus, LATA) in children, adolescents and young people led by Penta and/or the Clinical Trials Unit at the University College of London [33].

2.9 Contraception in adolescence

Contraception, especially for women, is usually an inclusion criterion particularly in clinical trials for new drugs or vaccines. Adolescents’ reluctance to disclose whether they are sexually active, is one of the many barriers which hinders their access to contraception [36]. Also, there is a lack of training among paediatricians and adult physicians on age-appropriate sexual and reproductive health counselling [36].

In most settings, contraceptive use is governed by specific country policies. Therefore, enrolment of adolescent girls also depends on the country policy that dictates the age when adolescent girls can use contraceptives. Especially in resource-limited settings key issues pertaining to the use of contraceptives in adolescent girls such as cultural norms, religious beliefs, community perspectives and stigma (for HIV and contraceptive use), might pose major enrolment concerns and could be addressed during the trial planning phase [31]. Raising community awareness and education is also crucial [32].

3 Conclusions

HIV-positive adolescents remain at a disadvantage in terms of access to new drugs. Adolescents do not differ significantly from adults in weight, physiology, pharmacokinetics or adherence to treatment. Therefore, including ALHIV in initial phase 3 clinical trials with adults or in separate parallel studies could allow adolescents to benefit earlier from optimized ART. Coordinating research efforts with key stakeholders and sharing experiences on how to overcome perceived and real difficulties could help promote clinical trials among adolescents.
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