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ABSTRACT

We have studied the impurity ion transport by a filamentary plasma structure with the three-dimensional electrostatic particle-in-cell simulation. The effective diffusivity of the impurity ions transported by a filamentary plasma structure has been calculated from the simulation result. The sequence of simulations has revealed that the effective diffusivity of impurity ions has a positive correlation with the poloidal size of a filament and a slight inverse correlation with the ion temperature, and that the effective diffusivity becomes quite small in the heavy impurity ion mass case.

1. Introduction

In recent experiments of magnetic confinement devices, the intermittent filamentary coherent structures called “blob” or “hole” have been observed in the boundary layer plasmas\cite{1-12}. Such structures are thought to provide the radial non-diffusive transport in the boundary layer plasmas. Motivated by such experiments, many theoretical studies and numerical studies regarding the filament dynamics have been conducted on the basis of two-dimensional reduced fluid models\cite{13, 14}. Furthermore, some numerical works, in which three-dimensional fluid simulations were carried out or some kinetic effects are included, have been performed\cite{15-22}. The size of such structures on the cross-section is thought to be in meso-scale in many situations. In such situations, the full kinetic dynamics should be considered. Therefore, we have developed the three-dimensional (3D) electrostatic particle-in-cell (PIC) simulation code called the “p3bd” code\cite{23-25} in order to study the kinetic dynamics on the filament phenomena. With the 3D-PIC simulation, we have demonstrated the self-consistent current system and the temperature structure in a blob\cite{26} and have revealed the kinetic effect on the blob propagation dynamics\cite{27}. Furthermore, the 3D-PIC code has shown that the dipolar profile of impurity ion density in a filament on the cross-section arises from the polarization drift and that a filament transports impurity ions\cite{28}. The observed effective radial diffusivities of the impurity ion transported by a filament are comparable to the Bohm diffusion coefficient, \(D_B = T_i/16(eB)\). The impurity ion transport by a filament has also been studied by the two-dimensional interchange turbulence fluid simulation with the test impurity ion particle model\cite{29}. Filaments in helical devices propagate in the direction opposite to that in the low-field side of tokamak devices because the helical coil is placed immediately outside the scrape-off layer (SOL) region in a chamber of helical device and because the direction of grad-B in the SOL region in a helical device is outward, that is, from core edge to first wall. Thus, the impurity ion transport by filaments might contribute to the difference of impurity transport property between tokamak and helical devices\cite{30, 31}. In our previous studies\cite{28, 29}, however, the quantitative evaluation of the effect of filaments on total impurity ion transport has been insufficiently conducted. Thus, in this paper, we have investigated the dependence of the impurity ion transport by a filament on various parameters with the 3D-PIC simulation and have found the relation between the effective diffusivity and various parameters. If we obtain such correlations, we can estimate the effect of filaments on the total transport of impurity ions. In Section 2, the simulation method and configuration are discussed. In Section 3, we show the simulation results and present the observed correlations with various parameters. Finally, we summarize this work in Section 4.

2. Simulation method and configuration

In this section, we briefly show the simulation method and configuration. In this study, we investigated the impurity ion transport by the filamentary plasma structure by means of a three-dimensional electrostatic (the temporal evolution of the electric field is solved and the magnetic field is constant in time) PIC simulation code in which the full plasma particle (electron, ion, and impurity ion) dynamics (including the Larmor gyration motion) of all particles in a filamentary structure...
and background plasma are calculated in three-dimensional space and three-dimensional velocity coordinates with the equation of motion. The self-consistent electric field formed by the charge density that is produced from all particles is solved with Poisson’s equation in the code [32]. In order to investigate some fundamental physical properties in the phenomenon, additional collision processes [33] and heat and particle sources are not included in the simulation.

In the 3D-PIC simulation, an ambient magnetic field is given to be parallel to the z-axis and the magnitude of the magnetic field is set as $B = 2L_x B_0/(3L_x - x)$, i.e., $dB/dx > 0$, where $L_x$ is the system size in the $x$ direction and $B_0$ is the magnetic field strength at $x = L_x$. Such a configuration means that the $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions correspond to the radial, poloidal, and toroidal directions, respectively. In the $y$ direction, the periodic boundary condition is adapted. At $x = 0$, $z = 0$, and $L_y$, the electric potential is set as $\phi = 0$ at all times and particles are absorbed. At $x = L_x$, particles are reflected and $\partial \phi/\partial x = 0$. A plasma blob or a hole is initially given as a cylindrical profile along the magnetic field between both edges in the $z$ direction. The initial density profile of the blob or the hole in the poloidal cross-section is produced by the Gauss distribution with the radial and poloidal widths, $\delta_{xy}$ and $\delta_{yz}$. The initial impurity ion density $n_{imp0}$ is given by

$$n_{imp0}(x, y) = \frac{n_{imp}}{2} \left[ 1 - \tanh \left( \frac{x - x_0}{\Delta x} \right) \right],$$

(1)

where $n_{imp}$ is the initial density amplitude of the impurity ion, $x_0$ is the radial position of the boundary of the impurity ion region, and $\Delta x$ is the width of the transition region. Eq. (1) indicates that the impurity ions mainly exist on the outer side ($x < x_0$). The detailed descriptions regarding the methodology for distributing simulation particles in the system can be found in Refs. [25] and [28].

The simulation parameters are as follows. The number of spatial cells in the simulation system is set as $N_x \times N_y \times N_z = 64 \times 128 \times 2048$ and the grid spacing is $\Delta x = 0.5x_0$, where $\Delta x = L_x/N_x = L_z/N_z$. $\rho_i$ is defined as $\rho_i = c_i/\Omega_i$, $c_i$ is the ion acoustic speed given by $c_i = \sqrt{\delta B/m_i}$, $\Omega_i$ is the ion cyclotron frequency at $x = L_x$, $T_e$ is the initial electron temperature, and $m_i$ is the ion mass. The time step width is $\Delta t \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ (in the blob propagation simulations) or $\Delta t \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ (in the hole propagation simulations). The ion-to-electron mass ratio is $m_i/m_e = 100$. The charges are set as $-q_e = q_i = n_{imp0} > 0$. The initial impurity-electron temperature ratio is $T_{imp0}/T_e = 0.01$. The ambient magnetic field strength at $x = L_x$ is $\Omega_i T_w = 0.5$, where $\delta B_0$ is the ion plasma frequency of the background plasma at $x = L_x$. The initial electron density ratio of the blob to the background plasma is $n_{e0b}/n_{e0} = 2.7$ in the simulations of blob propagation. Also, the initial electron density ratio between the center of the hole and the background is $(n_{e0} - n_{e0h})/n_{e0} = 0.27$ in the simulations of hole propagation. Here, $n_{e0b}$ and $n_{e0h}$ are the initial electron density amplitude of the blob and that of the hole, respectively. The radial size of a filamentary structure is $\delta_{xy} \approx 2\rho_i$. The initial center positions of the blob and the hole are $(x_{0b}, y_{0b}) = (3L_x/4, L_y/2)$ and $(x_{0h}, y_{0h}) = (L_x/2, L_y/2)$, respectively. The initial ratio between impurity ion and background electron density electrons is given as $n_{imp0}/n_{e0} = 0.05$ because it is ~5% in experiments for studies of impurity transports (e.g., Ref. [30]). The radial position of the boundary of the impurity ion region and the width of the transition region are $x_c/L_x = 0.625$ and $\Delta_c/L_x = 0.125$, respectively.

From the configuration and the parameters shown above, it is found that filaments in the simulations are in the sheath-connected regime ($C_i$ and $C_f$) shown in Refs. [13] and [34] because collisionless plasma is assumed. Also, in this study, $\delta_c$, which is the particular filament width for the long distance propagation caused by the state where the inertial term, the driving term, and the dissipation term are comparable with each other [13], is given by $\delta_c/\rho_i \approx (L_c^2/(\rho_i L_x))^{1/5} = 8$.

Fig. 1 shows the impurity ion density distributions on poloidal cross-section for $\delta_{xy}/\rho_i = 1.482$ (a) and 5.721 (b) at the time when a blob penetrates the impurity ion region, where $\delta_{xy}$ is the poloidal size of a filamentary structure. Also, Fig. 2 represents the impurity ion density distributions on poloidal cross-section for $\delta_{xy}/\rho_i = 1.505$ (a) and 6.082 (b) at the time when a hole is moving from the impurity ion region to the region without impurity ions. As shown in Figs. 1 and 2, the impurity ions surrounding the blob and those in the hole are transported in the $x$ direction. These figures indicate that the amount of the
impurity ions moving in the x direction over the boundary of the impurity ion region for $\delta_{\rho_{e}}/\rho_{s} \approx 6$ seems to be larger than the amount for $\delta_{\rho_{e}}/\rho_{s} \approx 1.5$.

In this study, in order to investigate quantitatively such a tendency mentioned above, the simulations for various poloidal sizes of a structure have been performed and the effective diffusion coefficient of the impurity ions at $x = x_{s}$ for each simulation has been obtained, where the effective diffusion coefficient is calculated by

$$D_{\text{imp, } \perp} = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{imp, } \perp}}{V_{\text{imp}} n_{\text{imp}}} = \frac{2\Delta\Gamma_{\text{imp, } \perp}}{n_{\text{imp}}},$$

(2)

here, $\Gamma_{\text{imp, } \perp}$ is the averaged impurity ion flux given by

$$\Gamma_{\text{imp, } \perp} = \frac{1}{L_{y} L_{z} (t_{f} - t_{0})} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{f}} \int_{0}^{L_{y}} \int_{0}^{L_{z}} \Gamma_{\text{imp, } \perp}(x_{s}, y, z, t) \, dy \, dz \, dt,$$

(3)

$\Gamma_{\text{imp, } \perp}$ is the impurity ion flux in the x direction, $t_{0}$ and $t_{f}$ are the times when the center of electron mass in a blob is placed at $x/L_{z} = 0.75$ (which is the initial position) and $x/L_{z} = 0.375$. In the hole cases, $t_{0}$ and $t_{f}$ are times when the center of electron mass in a hole is placed at $x/L_{z} = 0.5$ (which is the initial position) and $x/L_{z} = 0.680$. In Fig. 3, the relation between the poloidal size of a structure and the observed effective diffusivity is shown. Here, some simulation parameters applied in these simulations are listed in Tables 1 and 2. Fig. 3 clearly reveals that the effective diffusivity has a positive correlation with the poloidal size.

### 3.2. Dependence on ion temperature

Fig. 4 shows the impurity ion density distributions on poloidal cross-section for $T_{i}/T_{e} = 0.01$ (a) and 1 (b) at the time when a blob penetrates the impurity ion region, where $T_{i}$ is the initial temperature of the ion in background plasma and a filamentary structure. Also, Fig. 5 represents the impurity ion density distributions on poloidal cross-section for $T_{i}/T_{e} = 0.01$ (a) and 1 (b) at the time when a hole is moving from the

Table 1

| blob #1 | blob #2 | blob #3 | blob #4 | blob #5 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| $\Delta\rho/\rho_{s}$ | 0.4939 | 0.4919 | 0.4880 | 0.4841 | 0.4767 |
| $\Omega_{i} \Delta t$ | $1.235 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.230 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.220 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.210 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.192 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\delta_{\rho_{e}}/\rho_{s}$ | 1.975 | 1.967 | 1.952 | 1.936 | 1.907 |
| $\delta_{\rho_{e}}/\rho_{s}$ | 1.482 | 1.967 | 2.998 | 3.873 | 5.721 |

Table 2

| blob #1 | blob #2 | blob #3 | blob #4 | blob #5 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| $\Delta\rho/\rho_{s}$ | 0.5017 | 0.5023 | 0.5034 | 0.5045 | 0.5069 |
| $\Omega_{i} \Delta t$ | $1.254 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.256 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.258 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.261 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.267 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\delta_{\rho_{e}}/\rho_{s}$ | 2.007 | 2.009 | 2.014 | 2.018 | 2.027 |
| $\delta_{\rho_{e}}/\rho_{s}$ | 1.505 | 2.009 | 3.020 | 4.036 | 6.082 |

Fig. 5. Impurity ion density distributions on x-y plane at $z = L_{z}/2$ at the time $\Omega_{i} \Delta t = 57.8$ when a hole is moving from the impurity ion region to the region without impurity ions. In panel (a), the initial ion temperature is $T_{i}/T_{e} = 0.01$. On the other hand, in panel (b), $T_{i}/T_{e} = 1$. Here, the poloidal size of a hole and the impurity ion mass are $\delta_{\rho_{e}}/\rho_{s} = 2.009$ and $m_{\text{imp}}/m_{i} = 4$, respectively. The black solid contour lines in each panel represent the electron density distributions.
impurity ion region to the region without impurity ions. As shown in Figs. 4 and 5, the poloidal symmetry breaking in the filament propagation occurs in the high ion temperature plasma [27], and such a poloidal asymmetry in the filament propagation influences the dynamics of impurity ions surrounding the blob or in the hole.

In order to estimate quantitatively such an effect of the poloidal asymmetry mentioned above, simulations for various ion temperatures have been performed and the effective diffusion coefficient of the impurity ions at \( x = x_c \) for each simulation has been obtained. In this context, the poloidal size of a blob and the initial ion temperature have been obtained. In Fig. 6, the relation between the ion temperature and the observed effective diffusivity is shown. Here, the parameters \( \Delta \), \( \Delta t \), \( \delta_{x_0} \), and \( \delta_{y_0} \) are set as the same as those of “blob #2” shown in Table 1 or “hole #2” shown in Table 2. Fig. 6 reveals that the effective diffusivity has a slight inverse correlation with the ion temperature. This tendency is thought to arise from the poloidal asymmetry in the filament propagation in the higher ion temperature plasma. In high \( T_e \) cases, the dipole potential structure in a filament becomes asymmetric. That is, the potential hill in a filament becomes wider and lower, while the potential well becomes narrower and deeper [27]. Thus, the electric field around the potential well is enhanced, more impurity ions are trapped in the potential well, and more impurity ions rotate the potential well, i.e., some impurity ions move in the \( x \)-direction. Therefore, it is thought that the total impurity ion transport in the \( x \) direction is slightly reduced.

### 3.3. Dependence on impurity ion mass

Fig. 7 shows the impurity ion density distributions on poloidal cross-section for \( m_{\text{imp}}/m_i = 2 \) (a) and 40 (b) at the time when a blob penetrates the impurity ion region, where \( m_\text{imp} \) is the impurity ion mass. Also, Fig. 8 represents the impurity ion density distributions on poloidal cross-section for \( m_{\text{imp}}/m_i = 2 \) (a) and 40 (b) at the time when a hole is moving from the impurity ion region to the region without impurity ions. As shown in Figs. 7 and 8, the amount of the impurity ions which move around the blob in the \( x \) direction becomes larger in the light \( m_{\text{imp}} \) case than the amount in the heavy \( m_{\text{imp}} \) case. Also, the amount of the impurity ions which are trapped in the low potential side in the blob becomes larger in the heavy impurity ion case. Such a trapping is caused by the polarization drift, i.e., the simple acceleration by the electric field in a blob [28].

In order to investigate quantitatively the observed tendencies mentioned above, the simulations for various impurity ion masses have been performed and the effective diffusion coefficient of the impurity ions at \( x = x_c \) for each simulation has been obtained. In Fig. 9, the relation between the ion temperature and the observed effective diffusivity is shown. Here, the parameters \( \Delta \), \( \Delta t \), \( \delta_{x_0} \), and \( \delta_{y_0} \) are set as the same as those of “blob #2” shown in Table 1 or “hole #2” shown in Table 2. From Fig. 9, it is found that the effective diffusivity in the heaviest \( m_{\text{imp}} \) case has no longer a positive value. The reason for this is probably because the drift approximation of impurity ion motion is not available in the heavy \( m_{\text{imp}} \) case. That is, if the impurity ion motion is described by the drift approximation, the effective diffusivity has a certain positive value because the impurity ions are transported in the \( x \) direction by the \( E \times B \) drift. However, if the impurity ion motion cannot be described by the drift approximation, the effective diffusivity becomes small because the impurity ions are not transported in the \( x \) direction but just move in the \( x \times y \) direction due to the acceleration by...
the electric field in a filament. In actuality, $t_1$ which is described in Section 3.1 is $90 < \Omega t_1 < 150$ in these simulations. On the other hand, the time for one cyclotron motion of impurity ion is $\Omega t_{\text{imp}} = 2\pi m_{\text{imp}}/c_{\text{imp}}$. Thus, $t_{\text{imp}} > t_1$ for $m_{\text{imp}}/m_1 = 40$. That is, the impurity ion has not completed one cyclotron motion during the propagation in the heaviest $m_{\text{imp}}$ case. Therefore, the impurity ions are difficult to transport by the $E \times B$ drift in the heavy $m_{\text{imp}}$ case and the effective diffusivity for $m_{\text{imp}}/m_1 = 40$ has no longer a positive value. Also, according to the above discussion, the value of the impurity ion mass threshold that divides the small transport and the large transport might be $14 < m_{\text{imp}}^\text{th}/m_1 < 34$ in these cases.

4. Summary

In this paper, we have investigated the dependence of the impurity ion transport by a filament on various parameters with the 3D-PIC simulation. The sequence of simulations has revealed that the effective diffusivity of impurity ions transported by a filamentary structure has a positive correlation with the poloidal size and a slight inverse correlation with the ion temperature, and that the effective diffusivity becomes quite small in the heavy impurity ion mass case. These results suggest that the statistics regarding the size of filaments generated in devices are important for estimating the effect of filaments on the total impurity ion transport. Further, the impurity ion transport by filaments is not negligible in the high ion temperature plasma which is usually observed in the boundary layer plasmas. Furthermore, if the filament propagation time is sufficiently longer than the impurity ion gyration time, the impurity ion transport by filaments cannot be ignored. According to Eqs. (2) and (3) shown in Section 3.1, the effective diffusivity obtained in this study means the level of the impurity ion counter-radial transport per unit length in the poloidal direction. Therefore, if the filament production rate per unit length in the poloidal direction as the function of the filament poloidal size is found, the total transport in experiment will be estimated with the results obtained in this study.

In this work, we have evaluated the impurity ion transport by a filament with the effective diffusivity. However, since the filament transport is the convective, i.e., the non-diffusive phenomenon, the evaluation with the effective diffusivity might be insufficient to investigate the impurity ion transport by filaments. In future works, we will consider the method most appropriate to the evaluation and plan to investigate the contribution of filaments to the total impurity ion transport in boundary layer plasmas by comparing these simulation results with experiments. Also, we will confirm the impurity ion mass threshold described in Section 3.3 by the simulations for various
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**Fig. 9.** Relation between the impurity ion mass and the observed effective diffusivity. The red closed circles and the blue open circles represent the observations in the blob propagation simulations and the hole propagation simulations, respectively. The observed effective diffusivity shown in this figure is the averaged diffusivity obtained by Eqs. (2) and (3).
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