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Abstract: *Catalpa bungei* is an important timber tree. Improvements in growth and wood quality are important goals of *C. bungei* breeding, and it is necessary to understand the genetic parameters of specific target traits and genetic correlation between growth traits and wood properties for tree breeding. In this study, the genetic parameters of height, diameter at breast height (DBH) and wood properties were estimated and genetic and phenotypic correlations between growth traits and wood properties were evaluated in *C. bungei*. Finally, different selection scenarios were used to evaluate and select optimal clones. The results showed that there were significant differences in growth and wood properties among clones. The wood hardness (0.66–0.79), basic density (0.89), air-dried density (0.89) and compression strength parallel to the grain of wood (CSP) (0.84) had high repeatability. The variance component proportions indicated that the variation in wood properties came mainly from different genotypes (clones) rather than from different individuals of the same clone. The DBH showed a significant negative genetic correlation with the hardness of radial section (HRS) (−0.495) and air-dry density (−0.351). This unfavorable relationship makes it difficult to improve growth and wood quality simultaneously in *C. bungei*. We selected the optimal clones under different scenarios, and we obtained 7.75–9.06% genetic gains for growth in the scenario in which height and DBH were the target traits. Genetic gains of 7.43–14.94% were obtained for wood properties by selecting optimal clones in the scenario in which wood properties were the target traits. Approximately 5% and 4% genetic gains were obtained for growth and wood properties, respectively, for the combined selection. This study provides new insights into the genetic improvement of wood quality in *C. bungei*.
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1. Introduction

*Catalpa bungei* is a multipurpose tree that is native to China. Its straight stem and showy flowers make it a popular ornamental tree. In addition, the wood of *C. bungei* is hard and dense with superior physical properties, making it suitable for construction and furniture. Due to these characteristics, *C. bungei* is a valuable and economically important timber tree in China [1]. A breeding objective is a combination of traits that a breeder wishes to improve, and it usually involves certain properties of economic importance [2]. Most of the genetic improvements for tree species focus on increasing growth rates, achieving higher wood yields and enhancing wood properties and quality [3–5]. Moreover, rapid growth has always been the main improvement aim for trees for which the breeding work...
started late or developed slowly. *C. bungei* breeding began in the 1980s, and its genetic improvement has been emphasized in growth rates. Although its development process has been relatively slow, several rapidly growing clones have been identified [6–8]. However, with socioeconomic development, the market demand for high-quality timber is increasing. Therefore, in addition to increasing the growth rate of *C. bungei*, improving wood quality has become an urgent goal for genetic improvement.

Wood processing techniques and the economic value of wood production are highly related to wood properties. For example, wood density, which has been shown to have high heritability or repeatability [9–11], affects timber strength, machinability and hardness [12]. Thus, wood density has been considered the most important trait for genetic improvement. However, wood processing is a complex process. The standard for wood quality is not a single index. In addition to wood density, the compressive resistance, microfiber angle, modulus of elasticity and dry shrinkage are all important for evaluating wood quality. Therefore, the best breeding strategy for wood quality should be combined with specific breeding objectives to select suitable wood traits for improvement.

In tree breeding programs, knowledge of the relationship between target traits is important. In some breeding programs, several traits need to be improved simultaneously. When a negative genetic correlation exists between two target traits, the simultaneous improvement of both traits becomes difficult [9]. Many studies have found negative correlations between tree growth and wood quality traits. Zhou et al. [13] found highly unfavorable genetic correlations between tree diameter and wood density (−0.479), the clearwood modulus of elasticity (−0.506) and the modulus of elasticity using time-of-flight (MOEtof) (−0.382) in Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*). Genetic relationship analysis implied that the clearest adverse effect following selection for tree volume would reduce wood density in *Picea abies* [4]. However, not all tree species exhibit negative genetic correlations between growth traits and wood quality traits. A highly positive genetic correlation between the height and the average wood density of the outermost five rings at breast height (RD5) (0.912) was detected in larch, and the correlations of height with the area-weighted average wood density of the entire disk at breast height (AWD) were also positive (0.804). Similarly, highly positive genetic correlations between diameter at breast height (DBH) and RD5 (0.826) and AWD (0.757) were found in *Larix kaempferi* [9]. Among hardwood species, a weak genetic correlation of tree height and DBH with wood density was detected in hybrid poplar clones [11]. However, highly negative genetic correlations of height with basic wood density (BD) (−0.59), modulus of elasticity (−0.31) and modulus of rupture (−0.65) were detected in eucalyptus [14]. DBH also has a negative genetic correlation with BD (−0.58) in certain tree species [15]. The complex correlation between tree growth and wood properties has created great difficulties for tree breeding. For example, increasing the growth rate alone in the breeding process reduces the wood density of *Pinus radiata* [16]. Genetic correlations and their correlated responses indicate that breeding for a 1% increase in diameter would confer an approximately 2% decrease in the stiffness of lodgepole pine [17]. Therefore, tree breeders must understand the degree of genetic correlation between traits. In a multitrait and multiobjective breeding strategy, Li and Wu [18] estimated the genetic parameters and correlations of the traits of *Pinus radiata*, and their results suggested that DBH and wood density should be improved through selection at different ages. In addition, some tree breeders use comprehensive assessment and index selection methods to improve growth and wood properties in *Pinus* [5,19]. These studies emphasize the importance of genetic parameter assessment in tree selection. An appropriate breeding strategy can be generated only with a sufficient understanding of the genetic characteristics of the species and the cultivation environment.

The genetic control of wood properties and the relationship between wood properties and growth have not been investigated in *C. bungei* clones. Therefore, the aims of this study were (I) to estimate the genetic variation and repeatability of the wood properties of *C. bungei*, e.g., the wood hardness, strength and density; (II) to evaluate the genetic
and phenotypic correlations of wood properties with growth traits; and (III) to evaluate possible genetic gains that could be obtained using different selection scenarios.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Plant Material and Experimental Site

The C. bungei clone trials were performed in Tianshui city, Gansu Province, Northwest China (105°54′ E, 34°28′ N). The area is part of the semi-arid and semi-humid climate transition zone. The mean annual precipitation is 600–800 mm, and the mean annual temperature is 10.7 °C. The mean extreme high temperature is 39 °C, and the mean extreme low temperature is −19.2 °C. The average frost-free period is 190 days.

The test plantation containing 19 C. bungei clones was using 1-year-old grafted seedlings at the beginning of 2006. The 19 clones came from different individuals with excellent growth performance from different Catalpa bungei hybrids. Detailed information about the 19 clones is provided in Table 1. A completely randomized block design was adopted for the layout of the test plantation, including 4 blocks with 2 individuals per block. The total number of ramets per clone was 8, and the total number of individuals was 152. The planting space was 2 m × 2 m.

Table 1. The sources of the clones.

| No. | Clone | Parental Combination | Origin of Clone | Style of Propagation |
|-----|-------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| 1   | 1-1   | 6-8 × CGQ            | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 2   | 1-2   | 6-8 × CGQ            | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 3   | 1-3   | 6-8 × CGQ            | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 4   | 1-4   | 6-8 × CGQ            | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 5   | 2-1   | 6-7 × CGQ            | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 6   | 2-2   | 6-7 × CGQ            | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 7   | 2-6   | 6-7 × CGQ            | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 8   | 2-8   | 6-7 × CGQ            | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 9   | 001-1 | 8-5 × CGQ            | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 10  | 002-1 | 6-8 × CGQ            | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 11  | 008-1 | 7-8 × 10-1           | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 12  | 013-1 | \                    | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 13  | 015-1 | 7-8 × 6-7            | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 14  | 9-1   | 8-5 × 6-7            | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 15  | 9-2   | 8-5 × 6-7            | Hybrid         | Cutting              |
| 16  | 7080  | \                    | Excellent natural individual | Cutting |
| 17  | 8401  | \                    | Excellent natural individual | Cutting |
| 18  | H3    | \                    | Excellent natural individual | Cutting |
| 19  | DY    | \                    | Excellent natural individual | Cutting |

The pedigree of 013-1 clone was not available.

2.2. Growth Traits

The height and DBH of each tree were measured at the end of the year. The tree height was measured using a tree height measurement device, and the DBH was measured at 1.3 m above the ground using a diameter tape.

2.3. Wood Sampling and Wood Property Measurement

Three individuals exhibiting consistent growth were selected from the ramets of each clone. The trees were cut down at 1.3 m above the ground to obtain wood disk samples. Thirty-four samples from each individual were prepared for the measurements of wood properties.
2.3.1. Wood Hardness

Seventeen of the wood samples (70 mm × 50 mm × 50 mm) from each individual within the clone were used for the wood hardness measurements, including the hardness of transverse section (HES), hardness of tangential section (HTS) and hardness of radial section (HRS). Each sample was tested for tangential, radial and transverse sections. Each sample was placed on a universal mechanical testing machine (RGM-4100, Reger, Shenzhen, China). Then, a steel indenter was pressed into the test surface of the sample at a speed of 3~6 mm per minute until the depth of the indentation was equal to the radius of the hemispherical tip (5.64 mm). See the standard [20] for the detailed steps. After the test, a wood sample of approximately 20 mm × 20 mm × 20 mm was cut out immediately at the location of the indentation on the sample to measure the moisture content. The wood hardness was calculated with an assumed 12% moisture content for the sample. The test results for two tangential sections were averaged. The formula for hardness was as follows:

\[ H_{12} = KP[1 + 0.03(W - 12)] \]  

\( H_{12} \): Wood hardness, N.  
\( W \): Moisture content of the sample, %.  
\( P \): Maximum load, N.  
\( K \): Coefficient of the test, equal to 1.

2.3.2. Wood Density

The BD and air-dry density (ADD) of the samples were measured according to the drainage method [21]. The formula for the BD was as follows:

\[ BD = \frac{m_0}{V_{max}} \]  

\( BD \): Basic density, g/cm\(^3\).  
\( m_0 \): Oven-dry mass, g.  
\( V_{max} \): Volume of the sample above the fiber saturation point, cm\(^3\).

The ADD was calculated with a 12% moisture content for the sample using the formula:

\[ ADD = \frac{m_w}{V_w}\left[1 - 0.01(1 - K)(W - 12)\right] \]  

\( ADD \): Air-dry density, g/cm\(^3\).  
\( K \): Volume shrinkage coefficient, %.  
\( W \): Moisture content of the sample, %.  
\( m_w \): Mass when the moisture content of the sample is \( W \), g.  
\( V_w \): Volume when the moisture content of the sample is \( W \), mm\(^3\).

The formula for the volume shrinkage coefficient was as follows:

\[ K = \frac{V_w - V_0}{V_0 W} \]  

\( K \): Volume shrinkage coefficient, %.  
\( V_0 \): Oven-dried volume, mm\(^3\).  
\( W \): Moisture content of the sample, %.  
\( V_w \): Volume when moisture content of the sample is \( W \), mm\(^3\).

2.3.3. Compression Strength Parallel to the Grain of the Wood

A universal mechanical testing machine (RGM-4100, Reger, Shenzhen, China) was used to measure the compressive strength parallel to the wood grain (CSP). Thirty-four wood samples (30 mm × 20 mm × 20 mm) per individual of each clone were used for testing. Briefly, each sample was placed in the center of the testing machine, and the load was added at a uniform speed. The sample was broken within 1.5~2.0 min, and the data were recorded accurate to 100 N. After the sample was destroyed, the whole sample was weighed, accurate to 0.001 g, and the moisture content of the sample was measured. See the standard method [22] for the detailed steps. The CSP was calculated with a 12% moisture content for the sample using the formula:

\[ CSP = \frac{P_{max}}{bt}\left[1 + 0.05(W - 12)\right] \]  

where:

- \( P_{max} \) is the maximum load in Newtons (N).
- \( b \) is the width of the sample in millimeters (mm).
- \( t \) is the thickness of the sample in millimeters (mm).
- \( W \) is the moisture content of the sample in percentage (%).
2.4. Statistical Analysis

2.4.1. Mixed Linear Models and Genetic Parameters

The significance of the factor effects was tested using the F test with ANOVA in R. The ASReml statistical software package [23] was used to perform variance component estimation for the genetic analysis.

The following linear model was used to analyze the growth traits:

\[ y_{ijk} = \mu + C_i + B_j + C B_{ij} + e_{ijk} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \( y_{ijk} \) is the observed value of an individual tree \( k \) of clone \( i \) in block \( j \); \( \mu \) is the overall mean; \( C_i \) is the genetic effect (random) of clone \( i \); \( B_j \) is the effect (fixed) of block \( j \); \( CB_{ij} \) is the interaction effect (random) of clone \( i \) and block \( j \); and \( e_{ijk} \) is the random error.

Due to the difference in the quantity and testing methods for the cloned materials, different linear models were used to analyze the genetic parameters of the wood properties. The following linear model was used to analyze the wood traits:

\[ y_{ijk} = \mu + C_i + I(C)_{ij} + e_{ijk} \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where \( y_{ijk} \) is the observed value of measurement \( k \) for individual tree \( j \) within clone \( i \); \( \mu \) is the overall mean; \( C_i \) is the genetic effect (random) of clone \( i \); \( I(C)_{ij} \) is the individual effect (random) of tree \( j \) within clone \( i \); and \( e_{ijk} \) is the random error.

The formula for the phenotypic variation coefficient (PVC) was as follows:

\[ CV = \frac{SD}{\bar{X}} \times 100\% \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where \( SD \) is the standard deviation of the mean value of a trait and \( \bar{X} \) is the mean value of the trait.

The formulas for the repeatability of growth traits and wood properties were as follows:

Repeatability for growth traits:

\[ R = \frac{\sigma^2_C}{\sigma^2_C + \sigma^2_I + \sigma^2_B + \sigma^2_e / BN} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where \( R \) is the repeatability; \( \sigma^2_C \) is the clone variance; \( \sigma^2_I \) is the interaction of the block and the clone variance; \( \sigma^2_B \) is the residual variance; \( B \) is the number of blocks; and \( N \) is the number of individuals.

Repeatability for wood properties:

\[ R = \frac{\sigma^2_C}{\sigma^2_C + \sigma^2_I / N + \sigma^2_e / Nn} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where \( R \) is the repeatability; \( \sigma^2_C \) is the clone variance; \( \sigma^2_I \) is the individual variance; \( \sigma^2_e \) is the residual variance; \( N \) is the number of individuals; and \( n \) is the number of measurements within an individual.

A multitrait analysis was performed to estimate the genetic correlation coefficients using ASReml-R software [23]. The “US” variance-covariance structure was used in ASReml. The formula was as follows:

\[ r_{xy} = \frac{Cov(x, y)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2_x \sigma^2_y}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)
where \( \text{Cov}(x, y) \) is the genetic covariance between traits \( x \) and \( y \), and \( \sigma^2_x \) and \( \sigma^2_y \) are the genetic variance components for traits \( x \) and \( y \), respectively.

2.4.2. The Selection of Optimal Clones under Different Selection Scenarios

The membership function value method (MFV) was used to assess the clones [24]. In this method, first, the corresponding values of each trait within the closed interval \((0,1)\) are given, which is called the “single factor membership degree”, and each trait is individually evaluated. Then, the weighted arithmetic average of each single factor membership degree is determined to calculate the comprehensive membership degree, and the comprehensive evaluation value is obtained. The closer this value is to 0, the lower the performance of the clone, and the closer this value is to 1, the better the performance of the clone. The formula was as follows:

\[
\text{MFV} = \frac{X - X_{\text{min}}}{X_{\text{max}} - X_{\text{min}}} \quad (12)
\]

The formula below applies to growth traits, wood density, hardness and compressive strength:

\[
\text{MFV} = 1 - \frac{X - X_{\text{min}}}{X_{\text{max}} - X_{\text{min}}} \quad (13)
\]

where MFV is the membership function value; \( X \) is the observed value of a trait; \( X_{\text{min}} \) is the minimum value in the clones; and \( X_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum value in the clones.

Principal component analysis (PCA) can be used to perform a low-dimensional linear transformation of the data [25]. Thus, principal components (PCs) can be used as indices to comprehensively evaluate tree clones for multiple traits and simplify their selection. PCA was performed using the “prcomp ()” function in R software, and the loading diagram and biplot were drawn in the “corrplot ()” and “fviz_pca_biplot ()” functions of the “factoextra” program package in R software, respectively.

The genetic gain was estimated using the following formula:

\[
\Delta G = \frac{S \times R}{X} \times 100\% \quad (14)
\]

where \( R \) is the repeatability; \( S \) is the deviation between the selected mean and the general mean; and \( X \) is the general mean.

3. Results

3.1. Variation in Growth Traits and Wood Properties in Catalpa bungei Clones

The variations in growth and wood properties among clones were the basis for our selection (Tables 2 and 3). The results showed that there were significant differences in height and DBH at the individual clone level. There were also significant effects of block and genotype and environment interactions on height and DBH. The PVCs of height and DBH were 8.73% and 10.23%, respectively (Table 4). The repeatability of height and DBH were both 0.76. Among the clones, clone 1-4 had the highest height (9.46 m) and DBH (11.47 cm), while clone DY had the lowest height (6.45 m) and DBH (7.86 cm).

| Traits   | Block | Clone | Clone × Block |
|----------|-------|-------|--------------|
|          | Df    | MS    | F-Value      | p-Value | Df    | MS    | F-Value | p-Value | Df    | MS    | F-Value | p-Value |
| Height   | 3     | 4.011 | 18.948      | <0.0001 | 18    | 3.951 | 18.664   | <0.0001 | 53    | 0.943 | 4.455    | <0.0001 |
| DBH      | 3     | 26.107| 32.520      | <0.0001 | 18    | 8.544 | 10.643    | <0.0001 | 53    | 2.158 | 2.689    | <0.0001 |

DF: Degrees of freedom; MS: Mean square.
In addition, the HES, HTS, HRS, CSP, BD and ADD showed significant differences at \( p < 0.01 \) among clones and among individuals within clones (Table 2). Table 4 shows that the PVCs of wood hardness, CSP and wood density ranged from 7.95% (CSP) to 16.70% (HRS). The repeatability of the wood properties ranged from 0.66 (HRS) to 0.89 (BD and ADD). It is worth noting that the error of the repeatability of wood hardness (HES, HTS and HRS) was high, so the accuracy of their assessment is questionable. Among the clones, clone 001-1 had the highest HES (4200.94 N), and clone 002-1 had the highest HTS (3625.41 N), HES (3214.43 N), CSP (46.00 MPa), BD (0.48 g·cm\(^{-3}\)) and ADD (0.59 g·cm\(^{-3}\)). In contrast, the HTS, HRS, CSP, BD and ADD of clone 001-1 were the lowest of all clones, at 2195.26 N, 1815.62 N, 33.84 MPa, 0.35 g·cm\(^{-3}\) and 0.41 g·cm\(^{-3}\), respectively.

### 3.2. Variance Component Proportion for Wood Properties

The proportions of the variance in wood properties attributed to the effects of clones and of individuals within clones were estimated to assess their impact on wood properties (Figure 1). Higher proportions of the variance in HES, HTS, CSP, BD and ADD were attributed to clones than to individuals. For BD and ADD, the variance component proportions of clones were as high as 55.61% and 56.62%, respectively. For wood hardness, the variance component proportion of individuals was more than 30%. Furthermore, the individual effect on HTS was 49.05%, which was 16.92% larger than the clone effect. These results indicated that clone and individual effects were the main sources of hardness.
variation and that individual effects made considerable contributions to the variation in HRS.

![Figure 1. Variance component proportions of wood properties.](image)

3.3. Genetic and Phenotypic Correlation Analysis

The correlations between traits are important for multitrait and multiobjective breeding. In this study, we estimated the genetic and phenotypic correlations between growth traits and wood properties (Figure 2). The results showed weak phenotypic correlations between height and HES, HTS, HRS, CSP, BD and ADD. The phenotypic correlation coefficient between CSP and wood hardness ranged from 0.387 to 0.579, which indicated a moderate to weak correlation. In contrast, CSP and wood density (BD and ADD) had strongly positive phenotypic correlations, and their correlation coefficients were 0.841 and 0.845, respectively.

![Figure 2. Genetic (below diagonal) and phenotypic (above diagonal) correlations between growth traits and wood property traits. Bold text indicates statistical significance ($p < 0.05$). The parameters in the gray area could not be accurately estimated.](image)
A weak negative genetic correlation was observed between height and HTS (−0.232), HRS (−0.401), BD (−0.297) and ADD (−0.289). Similarly, DBH had a significant negative genetic correlation with HRS (−0.643), BD (−0.531) and ADD (−0.495), which was moderate to strong. There were significant strong positive genetic correlations between CSP and HTS (0.886), BD (0.923) and ADD (0.996). ADD also had a strong positive genetic correlation with HES (0.733) and HTS (0.979).

3.4. Selection of Optimal Clones

We used the membership function evaluation method and PCA to evaluate the clones for the selection of optimal clones and to achieve high genetic gains. A 20% intensity was the threshold for selection, i.e., the four best clones were selected as superior clones (Table 5). The selected clones for scenario (A) were clones 1-4, 008-1, 1-1 and 9-1. Among them, 1-4 had the highest scores for all growth traits. The average MFV of clone DY in scenario (A) was 0, indicating that it scored the lowest on growth traits.

| Rank | Clone | Average Membership Function Value |
|------|-------|----------------------------------|
| 1    | 1-4   | 1.000                            |
| 2    | 008-1 | 0.896                            |
| 3    | 1-1   | 0.778                            |
| 4    | 9-1   | 0.753                            |
| 5    | 2-8   | 0.742                            |
| 6    | 001-1 | 0.707                            |
| 7    | 2-2   | 0.674                            |
| 8    | 013-1 | 0.664                            |
| 9    | 9-2   | 0.643                            |
| 10   | 2-1   | 0.618                            |
| 11   | 015-1 | 0.569                            |
| 12   | H3    | 0.447                            |
| 13   | 8401  | 0.407                            |
| 14   | 1-2   | 0.382                            |
| 15   | 2-6   | 0.380                            |
| 16   | 7080  | 0.357                            |
| 17   | 1-3   | 0.311                            |
| 18   | 002-1 | 0.214                            |
| 19   | DY    | 0.000                            |

Note: (A) Selection using height and DBH as target traits; (B) Selection using HES, HTS, HRS, CSP, BD and ADD as target traits.

Clones 002-1, 7080, 008-1 and DY were selected for scenario (B). Clone 002-1 had the highest score (0.995) of all clones, which meant that the wood properties of clone 002-1 were best in this study under scenario (B). However, in terms of growth traits, 002-1 was ranked second from last. This was similar to the situation for clone DY, the wood properties of DY were good, but its growth traits were poor. Among the clones, 001-1 had the poorest wood properties according to the rankings in scenario (B).

PCA was used to comprehensively evaluate the growth traits and wood properties of the clones. The PCA results showed that the standard deviations of PC1 and PC2 were 2.252 and 1.349, respectively. PC1 and PC2 explained 63.4% and 22.8% of the variation among clones, respectively, and together they explained 86.2% (Supplementary Table S1). Thus, PC1 and PC2 contained most of the information on all traits and were representative of the clone variation. HES, HTS, HRS, CSP, BD and ADD contributed greatly to PC1, while height and DBH contributed to PC2 (Figure 3A). The factors loaded on PC1 contributed positively to wood properties, and the factors loaded on PC2 contributed positively to growth traits (Supplementary Table S2). These results mean that higher values of PC1
and PC2 represent better wood properties and growth, respectively. Biplots were drawn according to the scores for PC1 and PC2 (Figure 3B, Supplementary Table S3). The average scores of PC1 and PC2 were used as threshold values for evaluation. Clones with PC1 and PC2 scores greater than the selected threshold were selected. According to this approach, clones 008-1, 9-1, 2-2 and 2-1 were selected as candidates for genetic gain analysis.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** Principal component analysis of the growth traits and wood properties of *Catalpa bungei* clones. (A): The representation of the principal components for the traits. (B): The principal component score biplot based on PC1 and PC2.

### 3.5. Genetic Gains under Different Selection Scenarios

We further evaluated the genetic gains in growth traits and wood properties obtained by the three selection methods (Figure 4, Supplementary Table S4). The genetic gains for height and DBH were 7.75% and 9.06% in scenario (A), respectively. However, the genetic gains for wood properties in scenario (A) ranged from 0.62% (ADD) to 5.08% (HES), and the average gain was 2.08%. Under scenario (B), the genetic gains for wood properties ranged from 7.43% (CSP) to 12.58% (HES). In contrast, the genetic gains for height and DBH were −5.03% and −5.45%, respectively. Under scenario (C), the genetic gains for height and DBH were 5.43% and 4.66%, respectively, and the genetic gains for wood properties were approximately 4%. However, the wood density did not improve substantially, and the genetic gains for BD and ADD were only 0.63% and 0.69%, respectively.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** Genetic gains under different selection scenarios with a selection intensity of 20%.
4. Discussion

4.1. Genetic Variation and Genetic Parameters

Unlike traditional, seedling-based silviculture, clonal forestry utilizes the most significant genetic gain of individuals in the population for selection, rather than considering the average of the population. Thus, the genetic gains from clonal forestry are significantly higher than those from seeded orchards [26]. Moreover, the genetic backgrounds of individuals within clones are completely consistent, and their traits, especially those related to wood properties, are consistent. Therefore, the use of clones guarantees the quality of the resultant wood products [27]. In this study, clones of *C. bungei* were taken as the research object. There were highly significant differences in growth traits and wood properties among the clones. A recent study found significant differences in wood anatomical characteristics among *C. bungei* clones [28], which may be one reason for the differences in wood mechanical properties observed in this study.

The significant differences in wood properties at the clone level lay a theoretical foundation for wood quality improvement. However, it is necessary to understand the genetic parameters of target traits to determine the potential for improvement. In our study, the PVC of growth traits was approximately 10%, and height and DBH had high repeatability (0.76). The PVC of wood density (including BD and ADD) was approximately 8%, and its repeatability (0.89) was higher than that of growth traits. This result agreed with a previous report on other tree species. It was also observed that the heritability or repeatability of wood density was higher than that of growth traits [3,15]. Additional reports have also shown that wood density possesses high heritability or repeatability [9,11,29]. The genetic control of wood density was shown to be stronger than that of wood stiffness and strength [30]; these results are similar to those in our study. These results indicate that wood density variation exhibits high genetic control and is one of the preferred traits for wood quality improvement. In particular, one study found that ADD provided more accuracy and higher explanatory power than BD when used as an evaluation index for wood physical properties [31]. This finding implies that it is important to select suitable traits for genetic evaluation.

4.2. Genetic Correlation between Growth Traits and Wood Properties

Correlations between traits are key factors involved in breeding strategy design. Improving one trait will sometimes weaken another key trait. Wood quality is evaluated based on a variety of traits, including hardness, stiffness, strength and density. Thus, it is important to determine the correlations among wood properties. In this study, we found a significant positive correlation between wood density and other wood properties; this is consistent with the results of Fujimoto [32]. This result showed that using wood density as a target trait for genetic selection would result in strong genetic responses in other wood quality traits.

Unfortunately, most studies have confirmed that wood density has a negative and unfavorable genetic correlation with growth traits [4,33–36]. This increases the difficulty of simultaneously improving tree growth and wood properties. In this study, BD and ADD showed significant negative genetic correlations with DBH. In addition, the genetic correlations of HTS, HRS and CSP with DBH were also negative. Similarly, Hayatgheibi et al. [19] found that the modulus of elasticity and DBH have strongly negative genetic correlations. These results imply that increasing the growth rate of *C. bungei* clones would weaken the wood properties, especially the HRS and wood density. In contrast to our results, a positive genetic correlation of wood density with height and a weak correlation of wood density with DBH were found in hybrid eucalyptus clones [37]. A nonsignificant correlation of stem diameter with wood properties was detected in *Acacia melanoxylon* at the stand level [38]. These results indicated that the different genetic backgrounds of different tree species greatly affect the genetic correlations between tree growth and wood properties. Moreover, several reports in eucalyptus and radiata pine clones found that growth and wood density showed significantly different genetic correlations in different
environments [27,39]. These results highlight that improved breeding for multiple traits requires not only the evaluation of genetic parameters but also the consideration of genotype and environment interaction effects. Therefore, it is necessary to perform multisite tests to evaluate the wood properties of *C. bungei* clones.

### 4.3. Different Selection Scenario for *C. bungei* Clones

Breeding strategies have a great influence on the genetic improvement of trees. Breeding scientists have proposed various selection methods. These include index selection [16], the equal weight method [40] and comprehensive assessment [5]. The actual economic weights for breeding target traits in *C. bungei* have not yet been defined. Thus, in this study, the MFV method and PCA were used to comprehensively evaluate the clones. It was found that the height and DBH of clone 1-4 were the highest among all the clones but that clone 1-4 ranked 13th in terms of wood properties. The clones in the top 20% based on scenario (A) were 1-4, 008-1, 1-1 and 9-1. In this selection scenario, the genetic gains for height and DBH were 7.75% and 9.06%, respectively. However, the genetic gains for wood properties were decreased slightly. Clone 002-1 was the optimal clone with the best wood properties, and it was ranked first in scenario (B). However, it was ranked second to last in scenario (A). The clones selected using wood properties as target traits were clones 002-1, 7080, 008-1 and DY. In this selection scenario, HES, HTS, HRS, CSP, BD and ADD achieved genetic gains of 12.58%, 12.57%, 14.94%, 7.43%, 8.48% and 10.02%, respectively, but tree growth did not improve; its genetic gain was negative. Through PCA, we selected the clones that exhibited good growth and wood properties, which were 008-1, 9-1, 2-1 and 2-2. In this scenario, small genetic gains could be achieved for growth traits, wood hardness and CSP.

### 5. Conclusions

There were significant differences in tree growth and wood properties among clones of *C. bungei*. Growth traits and wood properties exhibited high repeatability. Most of the variation in wood properties came from clones rather than from individuals within clones of *C. bungei*. Negative genetic correlations of DBH with wood hardness, density and CSP were observed. The selection scenario that considered only the improvement of wood properties achieved an approximately 10% genetic gain for wood properties but resulted in negative genetic gains for growth traits. A combined selection for both growth traits and wood properties could obtain positive genetic gains for tree growth and wood properties. Clone 008-1 was the optimal clone, as it had high values for both growth traits and wood properties.
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## Abbreviations

ADD  
Air-dry density

AWD  
Area-weighted average wood density of the entire disk at breast height

BD  
Basic density

CSP  
Compressive strength parallel to the wood grain

DBH  
Diameter at breast height

HES  
Hardness of transverse section

HRS  
Hardness of radial section

HTS  
Hardness of tangential section

MFV  
Membership function value method

MOEtof  
Modulus of elasticity using time-of-light

PCA  
Principal component analysis

PVC  
Phenotypic variation coefficient

RD5  
Average wood density of outer most five rings at breast height
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