I. Overview of the KVAK web survey content and structure (English version)

**Section A. Background**

| Item number | Items |
|-------------|-------|
| 1-5         | My: sex, age, professional role, role in the local work with the registry, and number of years in registry role |

**Section B. Quality of care and access to resources**

| Item number | Items            | Response alternatives |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| 6-7         | Quality of Stroke Care | Likert scale, five alternatives ranging from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree |
| 8           | Quality of Local Data   | Likert scale, five alternatives ranging from Very Poor to Very Good |

**Section C. Data from the quality registry**

| Item number | Items        | Response alternatives |
|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| 9-13        | Riksstroke data | Likert scale, five alternatives ranging from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree |

**Section D. Organisational conditions for registry work**
| Item number | Items | Response alternatives |
|-------------|-------|-----------------------|
| 14-16       | Resources for entry and analysis of data and quality improvements | Likert scale, five alternatives ranging from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree |
| 17-19       | Managers’ support | Likert scale, five alternatives ranging from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree |
| 20-23       | Where I turn for support | Five alternatives, at department, hospital, regional and national level |
| 24-28       | Support received | Likert scale, five alternatives ranging from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree |

Section E. My use of registry data

| Item number | Items | Response alternatives |
|-------------|-------|-----------------------|
| 29-30       | Simplicity in retrieving and reporting data/output | Likert scale, five alternatives ranging from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree |
| 31          | Motivation | Likert scale, five alternatives ranging from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree |
32-37 | My role in the data management process (from entering data, to analysis, and stroke care quality improvement) | Likert scale, four alternatives ranging from Never to Often

**Section F. The organisation’s use of registry data**

| Item number | Items | Response alternatives |
|-------------|-------|-----------------------|
| 38-45       | Our use of the Riksstroke data, in general and in quality improvement | Likert scale, five alternatives ranging from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree |
| 46-49       | Others’ interest in our results in Riksstroke | Likert scale, five alternatives ranging from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree |

**Section G. Concluding question**

| Item number | Item | Response alternatives |
|-------------|------|-----------------------|
| 50          | Efforts in relation to use of Riksstroke | Likert scale, five alternatives ranging from Strongly Disagree to Strongly Agree |
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