Microsatellite analysis reveals low genetic diversity in managed populations of the critically endangered gharial (*Gavialis gangeticus*) in India
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The gharial (*Gavialis gangeticus*) is a critically endangered crocodylian, endemic to the Indian subcontinent. The species has experienced severe population decline during the twentieth century owing to habitat loss, poaching, and mortalities in passive fishing. Its extant populations have largely recovered through translocation programmes initiated in 1975. Understanding the genetic status of these populations is crucial for evaluating the effectiveness of the ongoing conservation efforts. This study assessed the genetic diversity, population structure, and evidence of genetic bottlenecks of the two managed populations inhabiting the Chambal and Girwa Rivers, which hold nearly 80% of the global gharial populations. We used seven polymorphic nuclear microsatellite loci and a 520 bp partial fragment of the mitochondrial control region (CR). The overall mean allelic richness (Ar) was 2.80 ± 0.40, and the observed (Ho) and expected (He) heterozygosities were 0.40 ± 0.05 and 0.39 ± 0.05, respectively. We observed low levels of genetic differentiation between populations (*F*~ST~ = 0.039, *P* < 0.05; *G*’~ST~ = 0.058, *P* < 0.05; Jost’s *D* = 0.016, *P* < 0.05). The bottleneck analysis using the *M* ratio (Chambal = 0.31 ± 0.06; Girwa = 0.41 ± 0.12) suggested the presence of a genetic bottleneck in both populations. The mitochondrial CR also showed a low level of variation, with two haplotypes observed in the Girwa population. This study highlights the low level of genetic diversity in the two largest managed gharial populations in the wild. Hence, it is recommended to assess the genetic status of extant wild and captive gharial populations for planning future translocation programmes to ensure long-term survival in the wild.

The order Crocodylia is represented by 27 species and three families: Alligatoridae, Crocodylidae and Gavialidae. India harbours three crocodylian species: the gharial (*Gavialis gangeticus*), the mugger or marsh crocodile (*Crocodylus palustris*), and the saltwater crocodile (*Crocodylus porosus*). The gharial has the narrowest distribution range and is the most threatened species among all other crocodylian species occurring in the Indian subcontinent. Until the early twentieth century, the gharial was widely distributed in the Indus, Ganges, Mahanadi, Brahmaputra, Kaladan and Irrawaddy River systems spanning across Pakistan, India, Nepal, Bangladesh, Bhutan, and Myanmar. Over the years, the gharial has suffered a population decline of over 80% and substantial range contraction due to habitat loss, poaching, and mortalities in passive fishing. The species is now extinct in Myanmar, Bhutan and Pakistan. The extant gharial population is restricted to a few major river systems in India, Nepal and Bangladesh. It is presently listed as 'critically endangered' on the IUCN Red List of threatened species and is highly conservation dependent.

In India, by the early 1970s, the gharial was restricted to few isolated locations in the Ganga, Mahanadi and Brahmaputra River systems. Due to the alarming decline in the gharial populations throughout its range, the Government of India listed it in Schedule I of the Indian Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972 to provide enhanced protection. Subsequently, conservation translocation programmes, including reintroduction and restocking, were initiated in 1975 to restore its population in the wild. As a part of these programmes, eggs collected from...
the wild were hatched and reared in rehabilitation centres, and individuals after attaining a length of 1.2 m were translocated into suitable habitats within the newly created protected areas3,4.

In India, the head-start gharial conservation programme was initiated at the Chambal, Girwa and Mahanadi Rivers, where adult breeding populations existed. Initially, four facilities were established, viz. (1) Gharial Rehabilitation Centre, Tikarpada; (2) Gharial Rehabilitation Centre, Katerniaghat; (3) Gharial Rehabilitation Centre, Kukrail; and (4) Deori Gharial Rearing Centre, Morena targeting the Mahanadi, Girwa and Chambal populations.

Hatchlings reared at these centres were released at the targeted rivers. In the Kukrail Centre, gharial hatchlings from 240 eggs collected from Chambal and 38 eggs collected from Girwa were reared during 19755,6. Although there is no record, the hatchlings from these two rivers were likely intermixed while rearing. The hatchlings produced in Kukrail and Deori were also translocated to several other rivers, viz. Son, Ken and Ramganga, some of which have started breeding10. Eggs were also collected from the Narayani River in Nepal, and hatchlings were released in the Girwa river. Additionally, conservation breeding was initiated in several zoos, such as the Nandankanan Biological Park and Madras Crocodile Bank, which contributed stocks for translocation11. A male gharial from the Frankfurt Zoo, Germany, was obtained, which also contributed to developing stocks in Odisha for translocation12. All translocation sites are now protected as wildlife sanctuaries under the Indian Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972. In the last four decades, over 5000 gharial individuals have been translocated in more than 12 rivers in India, with over 3500 individuals in the Chambal and Girwa Rivers12. At the beginning of the restocking programme, the gharial population in the Chambal River was 107 individuals, and now, it is approximately 1675 individuals of different ages and sexes1,4. The Chambal River within the National Chambal Sanctuary (425 km) has been a stronghold of the gharial population since the initiation of the translocation programme. The Girwa River originally had a small population of approximately 34 individuals of different ages and sexes and now has 43 adult individuals restricted to a 20 km stretch of the Girwa River falling within the Katerniaghat Wildlife Sanctuary1. Presently, these two populations are the smallest wild populations of gharial12.

Effective translocation programmes of endangered species essentially depend on prior knowledge of the ecological role, availability of suitable habitat, climatic requirements, behaviour, life-history traits, adequate post-release monitoring, demographic, behavioural and ecological aspects14–16. The effectiveness of any translocation programme is also influenced by the level of genetic diversity of the source population17, affecting individual and population fitness, resilience against environmental change and long-term persistence18. However, most translocation programmes rarely evaluate this factor17. Therefore, understanding the genetic status of the translocated population is vital for determining the effectiveness of translocation. Since the initiation of the gharial conservation programme, several studies have been carried out to determine their ecological features2,9,19–21. Nevertheless, studies on the genetic status of wild and captive gharial populations are limited22,23.

In this study, we aim to assess the genetic diversity, population genetic structure and evidence of genetic bottlenecks using nuclear microsatellite loci and the mitochondrial control region (CR) of the two largest managed populations of gharials inhabiting the Chambal and Girwa Rivers (Fig. 1) to answer following research questions: (a) Do the two largest managed gharial populations differ in terms of genetic diversity? (b) Do the Chambal and Girwa River populations have their own genetic signatures? (c) If so, what is the level of differentiation? and (d) Did the demographic decline suffered by gharial populations in the last century also induce a genetic bottleneck?

Results
Microsatellite selection, screening and genotyping. Out of the 27 nuclear microsatellite loci (11 species-specific and 16 cross-species) screened, 18 loci showed successful amplification, and nine loci failed to amplify using known gharial samples (see Supplementary Table S1 online). We successfully genotyped 18 loci for 348 samples (Chambal = 232; Girwa = 116). Furthermore, out of 18 loci, 11 loci were found to be monomorphic and thus removed from further analyses. Overall, we used microsatellite genotype data of seven polymorphic loci from 348 gharial samples for population genetics analyses.

Genotyping quality and error rates. The polymorphic loci average amplification success rate was 96.09% for Chambal samples and 95.38% for Girwa samples. The quality index across the seven polymorphic loci was 0.86 ± 0.01 (mean ± SE) in Chambal and 0.89 ± 0.01 in Girwa (see Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2 online). The average allelic dropout (ADO), false allele (FA) and null allele frequency across polymorphic loci were below 5% in both populations (see Supplementary Table S2 online). We could not detect the occurrence of large allele dropout in our data.

Genetic variation. The cumulative probability of identity (PID biased) value of the panel of seven polymorphic markers was $1.74 \times 10^{-3}$, and the probability of identity (PID sibs) was $4.84 \times 10^{-2}$. We identified a total of 228 (Chambal = 162; Girwa = 66) distinct individuals using multilocus genotype data. The genetic diversity estimates for the Chambal and Girwa populations are summarised in Table 1. The number of alleles observed at each locus ranged from 2 to 7. The overall mean allelic richness was 2.80 ± 0.40, and the observed (Ho) and expected (He) heterozygosities were 0.40 ± 0.05 and 0.39 ± 0.05 across seven polymorphic loci, respectively. The mean allelic richness estimated using the rarefaction approach was 2.91 ± 0.60 in the Chambal population and 2.48 ± 0.48 in the Girwa population. The mean observed and expected heterozygosities were 0.42 ± 0.07 and 0.41 ± 0.06 in the Chambal and 0.42 ± 0.08 and 0.42 ± 0.09 in the Girwa populations, respectively. The inbreeding coefficient ($F$) was –0.03 for both populations. None of the loci showed significant deviation from HWE following Bonferroni correction.

Population genetic structure, differentiation and migration. The Bayesian approach implemented in Structure v2.3.4 identified two ($K = 2$) optimum number of clusters inferred by the likelihood distribution
$L(K)$ and delta K estimates (see Supplementary Fig. S3 online). All Girwa samples were assigned to cluster-1, with an average proportion of membership ($q$) of 0.97, and Chambal samples were assigned to cluster-II, with $q = 0.82$ (Fig. 2a). Genetic differentiation measures were low but significant as derived using both the fixation indices: $F_{ST} = 0.039$ ($P < 0.05$); $G'_{ST} = 0.058$ ($P < 0.05$) and allelic differentiation index Jost's $D = 0.016$ ($P < 0.05$).

The discriminate analysis of principal component (DAPC) identified 20 genetic clusters associated with the lowest Bayesian information criterion (BIC) (see Supplementary Fig. S4 online). All the identified clusters ($K = 20$) showed an overlapping pattern with no clear structuring (Fig. 2b). Furthermore, the recent migration rate ($m$) was 0.12 (95% CI 0–0.26) from Chambal to Girwa River and 0.02 (95% CI 0–0.05) from Girwa to Chambal.
Bottleneck. The $M$ ratio calculated across polymorphic loci was $0.31 \pm 0.06$ in Chambal and $0.41 \pm 0.12$ in Girwa, indicating a genetic bottleneck in both populations. The heterozygosity excess test (HET) using the stepwise mutation model (SMM) (Chambal $P = 0.28$; Girwa $P = 0.08$) and the two-phase model (TPM) (Chambal $P = 0.18$; Girwa $P = 0.08$) failed to detect the signature of a genetic bottleneck. We also obtained a normal L-shaped allelic distribution for both populations.

Mitochondrial DNA variation. We observed two mitochondrial CR haplotypes H1 in 58 individuals (92%) and H2 in five individuals (8%) with a single parsimony-informative site (at the 375-nucleotide position) in the Girwa population. Haplotype, H1 was shared by both the Girwa and Chambal populations, whereas H2 was unique to the Girwa population. The haplotype diversity was $(\text{mean } \pm \text{SD}) 0.148 \pm 0.057$, and nucleotide diversity was $0.00029 \pm 0.00011$ in the Girwa population.

Figure 2. (a) Population genetic structure of 228 gharial individuals derived using a Bayesian clustering approach implemented in STRUCTURE. A single bar plot represents the individual, and the extent of colour in each bar indicates the probability of assigning the individual to a particular cluster. (b) Scatterplot of the Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) of 228 gharial individuals. This scatterplot shows the first two principal components of the DAPC.
Discussion

This study is the first comprehensive genetic assessment of the critically endangered gharial and provides crucial baseline information essential for guiding the ongoing conservation efforts. The genetic diversity observed at seven polymorphic nuclear microsatellite loci was low in the gharial populations of Chambal and Girwa. Both populations had similar mean heterozygosities, and the allelic richness (Ar) was marginally higher in the Chambal population (Table 1). The overall heterozygosities at nuclear loci in the wild (Ho = 0.40 ± 0.05; He = 0.39 ± 0.05) were lower than the previously reported estimates in captive (Ho = 0.92 ± 0.02; He = 0.65 ± 0.02) gharial populations using the same set of microsatellite loci. The estimated mean allelic richness was also lower in the wild population (Chambal = 2.91 ± 0.60; Girwa = 2.48 ± 0.48) than that reported in captivity (5.5 ± 0.5)33. A low level of heterozygosity is not rare in wild crocodylians. Similar levels of heterozygosity have been reported in other crocodylians, including *Alligator sinensis*24, *Alligator mississippiensis*25, *Caiman yacare*26, *Crocodylus siamensis*27, *Crocodylus mindorensis*28, *Crocodylus moreletii*29,30, and *Crocodylus palustris*31,32, as well as in other vertebrate species that have experienced demographic bottlenecks33. Moreover, the Girwa population exhibits two and Chambal population exhibits a single mitochondrial CR haplotype22. The presence of extremely low geographic range, abundance, demography, and life-history traits. Narrow-ranging, less abundant and long-lived species with demographically challenged population history tend to have lower genetic diversity than widely distributed, abundant species with stable demographic history39–41. The low abundance, narrow distribution range, complex life-history traits, and unstable demography of the gharial may have resulted in the observed low level of genetic diversity.

The coefficient of inbreeding (F), primarily measures the deviation from HWE (where F = 0 indicates that the population is at HWE) and is often only weakly correlated with inbreeding and fitness34,43. The estimate is likely to be affected by several factors including use of a limited number of loci, closely related individuals, genetic bottleneck, gene flow and admixture44–46. Additionally, populations that experience demographic bottlenecks do not necessarily become inbred. Our estimate of F = 0, shows that the populations are at or near HWE.

Population genetic structure, differentiation, and migration. The presence of population genetic structure was supported by Structure (Fig. 2a) and genetic differentiation indices (Fst = 0.039, P < 0.05; G′st = 0.058, P < 0.05 Bonferroni’s correction D = 0.016, P < 0.05) but not by multivariate analysis DAPC (Fig. 2b). The Bayesian approach implemented in Structure is a model-based approach that largely depends on the assumption of population genetics models. In contrast, DAPC is a model-free approach that does not rely on population genetics models. DAPC performs better than Structure in characterizing population clusters47. Therefore, we believe that the result of DAPC is more reliable and appropriate for our dataset. The observed low level of differentiation and an admixed population structure suggested by DAPC hint towards possible intermixing of gharial individuals during the translocation programme when eggs from both the Chambal and Girwa Rivers were reared in the Kukrail centre.

Furthermore, we observed a migratory relation between the two populations. This supports our hypothesis of possible mixing of individuals during the translocation programme. It is important to note that the observed migration rate from Chambal to Girwa was higher than that from Girwa to Chambal, which is possible due to the rearing of eggs from Chambal and later released in the Girwa River. We could not perform genetic structure, differentiation, and migration analyses using mitochondrial CR because of low variability in the analysed region.

Bottleneck. The estimates of the M ratio (Chambal = 0.31 ± 0.06; Girwa = 0.41 ± 0.12) were lower than the critical value (Mcr = 0.68), confirming the signature of genetic bottlenecks in both populations. In contrast, the HET failed to detect the signature of a genetic bottleneck. This discrepancy between the results could be due to the limited statistical power of the two analyses. The M ratio can effectively detect genetic bottlenecks for a greater amount of time (up to 50 generations), whereas HET can efficiently detect bottleneck that occurred within a short time, 0.2–4.0 Ne (effective population size) generations48,49. The gharial population has suffered two instances of range-wide population decline during the early-1970s and mid-1990s, when the population dwindled to less than 200 adult individuals in the wild50. The average generation time estimates for gharial individuals is 25 years7, suggested that the latter decline in population occurred very recently (approx. 1–1.5 generation ago). Hence, the undetectability of the bottleneck through HET could be due to the recent bottleneck event. Additionally, bottleneck detection can be masked due to various confounding factors including time of occurrence, duration, magnitude, gene flow, pre-bottleneck genetic variability, mating system, sample size and a limited number of loci50,52. Hence, there is a need to investigate both of these populations with extensive microsatellite loci to achieve high statistical power48,53,54.

In conclusion, low polymorphism was observed in species-specific and cross-species microsatellite loci; it remains crucial to develop novel microsatellite loci for population genetic studies. We acknowledge that our study might have been influenced by the inclusion of closely related individuals from each nesting site. However, the sampling was conducted from a large number of nests spread across 19 nesting sites. Therefore, we believe that our sampling strategy potentially represents the level of genetic diversity of the studied populations and minimises the bias arising from closely related individuals.

Conservation implication. Gharial translocation is considered as one of the most successful species recovery programmes in the world54 in terms of increasing the abundance of the species in the wild. However, despite a long history of conservation efforts, limited information on the genetic status of the gharial has hindered, if
alleles ≥ 4, Ho and He ≥ 5, PIC ≥ 0.5 and allelic range < 300 bp. The list of microsatellite loci screened in the cur-

types of genetic structure can potentially threaten the genetic integrity and distinctiveness of the resident

populations. Thus, we recommend limiting the interpopulation release of individuals to prevent further inter-
mixing of the gene pool until information on the genetic status of the extant wild and captive gharial populations

using an extensive dataset of mitochondrial and microsatellite markers is available. Information on the genetic

status will assist in the identification of potential source populations and maintain adequate levels of genetic

diversity to secure the continuing persistence of gharials in the wild.

Methods

Research permits and ethical considerations. The Forest Department of Madhya Pradesh (Letter No. SL/Tech-1/8200), Rajasthan (Letter No. 1399) and Uttar Pradesh (Letter No. 3093 and 3778(A)) provided all required permissions for the survey and collection of the biological samples. As all samples were collected from

either dead remains of hatchlings or hatched eggshells, no animal ethical clearance was required for this study.

Sample collection and DNA extraction. Sampling of a large number of adult individuals required for population genetic assessments has its own ethical and logistical constraints, especially when the species in question is critically endangered. Considering these restrictions, we collected tissue from the remains of dead

hatchlings and chorioallantoic membranes from hatched eggshells shortly after hatching. Samples were collected from 16 nesting sites along the Chambal River and three nesting sites along the Girwa River during 2017 and 2018, respectively (Fig. 1). We collected 348 samples (Chambal = 232 samples and Girwa = 116 samples) for genetic assessment (see Supplementary Table S3 online). Out of 348 samples, 49 samples were unique (one sample per clutch), 138 samples were from a sibling (more than one sample per clutch), and 161 samples had no clutch information (see Supplementary Table S4 online). All samples were stored in absolute ethanol at room temperature and later at −20 °C in the laboratory for long-term storage. Total genomic DNA was extracted from tissue (64 ± 19 mg) (mean ± SD) and chorioallantoic membrane using the phenol–chloroform method. Cotton swabs were used when chorioallantoic membrane was unable to separate from eggshells.

Microsatellite selection, screening and genotyping. We initially screened 11 of the 18 gharial specific microsatellite loci described by Jogayya et al. However, only six of the eleven loci were found to be polymorphic. Hence, we conducted an exhaustive literature survey to identify the potential cross-species loci used across families Alligatoridae, Crocodylidae and Gavialidae. We found a total of 424 previously described microsatellite loci and listed the loci based on successful cross-species transferability, allele diversity, heterozygosity, polymorphic information content and allele range. Finally, we selected 16 cross-species loci developed in eight different crocodylian species for screening. Out of 16, a total of 12 loci were selected based on successful cross-

species transferability in other crocodilian species. The remaining four loci were selected based on a number of alleles ≥ 4, Ho and He ≥ 5, PIC ≥ 0.5 and allelic range < 300 bp. The list of microsatellite loci screened in the current study is provided in Supplementary Table S1 online.

Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) were performed in 10 μL reaction volumes containing 5 μL of 2 × QIAGEN Multiplex PCR master mix, 2 μL of 5 × Q-solution (QIAGEN Inc., Germany), and the labeled forward primer at 0.15 μM and the reverse primer at 0.15 μM and 2 μL of DNA template. The thermal profiles included an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 15 min, followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 40 s, Ta at 56–62 °C (see Supplementary Table S1 online) for 60 s, 72 °C for 60 s and a final extension of 72 °C for 30 min. The amplified products were genotyped using the GeneScan 500 LIZ dye size standard (Applied Biosystems) in 3500XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The alleles were scored using the program GeneMarker v2.7.4 (SoftGenetics, LLC) with combined automated allele scoring and validated through visual inspection. Three replicates of each sample were carried out to obtain reliable multilocus genotypes using noninvasive samples following a multiple-tube approach.

Mitochondrial CR DNA sequencing. We selected a 520 bp partial fragment mitochondrial CR to assess the genetic variation in gharials. We used primers (L15637 5'-GCTAAACGTAAATCTGATGTA-3' and H16258 5'-CTAAAACTACAGAAAAGCCGGACCC-3') described by Oaks (2011) to amplify the selected fragment. The PCRs were carried out in 20 μL volumes containing 2 μL of the DNA template, 10 μL of 2 × PCR buffer, 0.2 mM of dNTPs, 0.25 μL of each primer, and 0.1 μL (0.5 units) of DreamTag DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific). The thermal profile was 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles at 95 °C for 35 s, 56 °C for 40 s, 72 °C for 45 s, and a final extension of 72 °C for 10 min. The amplified products were visualised using a 2% agarose gel. The positive amplicons were cleaned up with Exonuclease-I (Thermo Scientific) and sequenced using forward primers in 3500XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems), and sequenced using forward primers in 3500XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

Data analysis. Genotyping quality and error rates. We obtained a consensus genotype and estimated the quality index for each locus genotyped following Miquel et al. We scored each repeat with ‘1’ if the genotype
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was identical to the consensus and '0' if the genotype did not match the consensus due to any errors such as no amplification, allelic dropout, or false allele. We estimated the average amplification success as a percent of positive PCR amplification. We estimated the frequency of genotyping errors due to allelic dropout (ADO) and false allele (FA) following Broquet et al. The frequency of null alleles was estimated using FreeNa and occurrences of large allelic dropout using Micro-Checker.

We used samples with a quality index above 0.67 per locus (identical genotypes in two replicates) and a mean quality index across loci above 0.75 for analyses.

**Genetic variation.** We calculated the probability of identity PID (biased) and PID (sibs) using Gimlet v1.3. We estimated summary statistics (number of alleles per locus, observed heterozygosity, expected heterozygosity, and inbreeding coefficient) using GenAlEx v6.0 and deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) for each locus using Bonferroni correction in Cervus v3.0.7. We also estimated allelic richness using a rarefaction approach implemented in HP-Rare v1.1 to account for the uneven sample size of the two populations.

**Population structure, differentiation, and migration.** We have estimated the genetic differentiation using fixation indices $F_{ST}$ and allelic differentiation index Jost's $D$ implemented in R studio. The estimates were calculated using 10^3 bootstrap iterations. We inferred the population genetic structure using the Bayesian approach implemented in Structure v2.3.4. Structure is a systematic model-based Bayesian clustering approach that uses allele frequencies at each locus to infer the population structure. The analysis was performed for 1–10 clusters ($K$). For each $K$, ten iterations were run under the admixture model with correlated allele frequencies and sampling location as a priori. The LOPCRIOR (sampling location as a priori) model performs well when no clear signal of a structure is detected or when there is low genetic differentiation, limited loci or a limited sample size. The simulations were run for $10^5$ burn-in and $10^6$ Markov chain Monte Carlo iterations (MCMC). The optimum number of $K$ was inferred using the likelihood distribution $L(K)$ and the delta $K^*$, which were estimated using the web version of Structure Harvester, v0.6.94. The assignment plot was prepared using the program Distruct v1.1. Additionally, we used the DAPC, a multivariate nonmodel-based approach, to identify and describe genetic clusters. The optimal number of the clusters was estimated based on the lowest associated BIC. The analysis was performed using the adegenet in R studio.

We estimated demographic changes using two qualitative approaches: (a) the Garza-Williamson index (or M ratio) implemented in Arlequin v3.1 and (b) the HET approach implemented in Bottleneck v1.2.02. The $M$ ratio estimates the ratio of the observed number of alleles to the size of allele range based on the assumption that in a recently reduced population, the ratio is expected to decrease due to random loss of alleles in a population. The calculated $M$ ratio was then compared with the critical value ($M_C = 0.68$). An $M$ ratio below $M_C$ is considered as a signature of genetic bottleneck. The HET approach assumes that in a recently reduced population, an excess of the gene diversity under Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium is expected relative to gene diversity under mutation-drift equilibrium. We used one-tailed Wilcoxon test to determine the presence of a significant number of loci with excess heterozygosity. The estimates were calculated under two mutation models: the SMM and TPM. The TPM tends to be the most appropriate mutation model for microsatellite loci. The TPM was carried out at 95% SMM (variance at 12), and the simulations were run for $10^4$ iterations.

**Mitochondrial DNA variation.** We generated 63 mitochondrial CR sequences from the Girwa population and submitted it to GenBank (Accession No. MT500792–MT500854). We also obtained previously published mitochondrial CR sequences of the Chambal population (n = 103) from GenBank (Accession No. MT458816–MT458918). The sequences were aligned using the ClustalW algorithm in BioEdit v7.2.6. The summary statistics, including the number of haplotypes, haplotype and nucleotide diversity, were estimated using DnaSP v5.10.01.

**Data availability**

The mitochondrial sequences used in this study have been submitted to GenBank (Accession Nos. MT500792–MT500854). The microsatellite genotyping data used in the study is available on request from the corresponding author.
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