Abstract: This in vivo study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of in-office and home teeth bleaching in Asian patients. Moreover, the correlation between tooth color change and patient's outcome satisfaction was investigated. Overall, 40 Chinese patients were randomly divided into two groups and prescribed in-office (OB) or take-home bleaching (HB). The color of the maxillary central incisor and canine were recorded at baseline, immediately after first treatment, 1 week, and 3 months after treatment by using a spectrophotometer. Bleaching sensitivity and outcome satisfaction were assessed using Likert and visual analogue scale (VAS), and the results were analyzed using independent t-test and Pearson correlation (P < 0.05). ΔL*, Δa*, Δb*, and ΔE* values of HB were greater than those of OB. Color changes observed in canines were generally greater than those in incisors. Moreover, HB was generally associated with less tooth sensitivity than OB. Patients were satisfied with both treatments, but VAS scores were greater for HB. Furthermore, the correlation between ΔE* and VAS was significant for canines immediately after the first treatment and 1 week after HB. In conclusion, HB was more effective in lightening teeth and reducing chromacity in Chinese patients. The correlation between tooth color change and outcome satisfaction was generally insignificant and weak.
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Introduction

Intrinsic and extrinsic tooth discoloration are commonly encountered in routine dental practice. Teeth bleaching is a safe and conservative method of treating discolored teeth (1). Home and in-office teeth bleaching are the two main professionally prescribed techniques. Patients perform home bleaching (HB) at home, usually with a customized tray filled with bleaching gel; it is typically administered after dinner or overnight for a week or two. The bleaching gel employed is typically carbamide peroxide (CP) with a concentration of 5-35% (2-5). In-office bleaching is clinically performed by dentists and does not involve wearing of bleaching trays. Treatment time is much shorter than HB, and in-office bleaching is completed in a single clinical visit. In-office bleaching uses a high-concentration hydrogen peroxide (HP) gel with a concentration ranging between 15% and 40%, which is often illuminated with a light source (6,7). Both aforementioned gel types break down into water, oxygen, and perhydroxyl anion. While HP gels begin this breakdown directly, CP gels must first be broken down into urea and HP. Despite the higher concentration of HP present in in-office bleaching systems, their effi-
cacy remains equivocal to the lower-concentration HB systems (3,7-9).

Bleaching efficacy is primarily determined by the degree of tooth color change and is often measured against a standardized dental shade guide arranged according to values i.e., number of shades lighter (2,6,10). This approach of assessing bleaching efficacy is somewhat subjective and not quantifiable. The three-parameter Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage (CIE) LAB system is a globally used standard that assigns numerical values to define color. The L* coordinate is related to lightness with the a* coordinate to red (more positive) and green (more negative), whereas the b* coordinate is related to yellow (more positive) and blue (more negative) (11). Spectrophotometers have adopted this measurement system and allow for objective, precise, and quantifiable measurement of tooth color (12).

The world’s major racial groups can be broadly classified into Caucasoids, Mongoloids (Asian), Negroids, and Australoids (Australian aborigines). Most clinical trials on dental bleaching have been conducted on Caucasian populations (9,13-19). However, racial differences in tooth anatomy, dimensions, and translucency may influence the outcomes of dental bleaching (20-23). Available literature on comparison of the degree of tooth color change associated with different bleaching techniques in Asian populations is scarce as are studies evaluating outcome satisfaction and preference of dental bleaching techniques among Asian patients (24). With increased popularity and demand for tooth bleaching by Asian patients, bleaching efficacy and patients’ outcome satisfaction warrants additional investigation.

The objectives of this study conducted in Chinese patients were to i) compare the color change between in-office and HB by using objective spectrophotometry and subjective patient assessment (outcome satisfaction); ii) compare the differences in color change associated with bleaching between incisors and canines; iii) compare short- and long-term color stability of in-office and HB; and iv) determine correlation of color changes with patients’ outcome satisfaction.

**Materials and Methods**

Before initiation, study approval was obtained from the Dental Institute Research Ethics Committee at Peking University (PKUSSIRB-2012035). Asian subjects of Chinese descent were recruited from patients seeking bleaching treatment at the Peking University Hospital of Stomatology. Patients with vital and periodontally sound teeth alone were selected. Those with carious, extensively restored, root canal-treated, periodontally compromised, traumatized, and/or grossly malformed teeth were excluded. In addition, patients with tetracycline staining/fluorosis and those who were pregnant or suffering from systemic diseases were excluded. All patients who qualified were provided details of the study, a patient information sheet, and were required to provide signed informed consent before study enrolment. All patients were provided the option to withdraw from the study at any time.

A total of 40 patients (10 men and 30 women) with a mean age of 27 years (range: 21-39 years) were recruited in the study. Oral hygiene instructions were given, and any extrinsic stains were removed by polishing with pumice. Subsequently, subjects were randomly divided into two groups of 20 each. Patients in the first group were treated with in-office bleaching (OB) with Opalescence Boost (38% HP; Ultradent, South Jordan, UT, USA), while those in the second group received HB with Opalescence PF (10% CP; Ultradent). In the OB group, soft tissues were isolated/protected with a light-cure resin (OpalDam; Ultradent) that was polymerized using an LED light with an intensity of 500 mW/cm² (Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein). The bleaching gel was then applied in 1-mm thickness onto buccal surfaces of the teeth according to manufacturer’s instructions. In-office bleaching consisted of three 15-min bleaching cycles involving placement and removal of fresh gel. The total bleaching time was 45 min.

Customized bleaching trays were fabricated for patients from the HB group. Patients were taught to fill half of the buccal surfaces of the bleaching trays with bleaching gel and to wear the trays after brushing their teeth. They were instructed to bleach their teeth every night for 8 h for 12 consecutive nights. Patients were asked to stop HB for a day if case sensitivity occurred and to continue after tooth sensitivity subsides. If patients were unable to continue HB because of severe sensitivity, they were provided the option to stop HB and withdraw from the study.

For both OB and HB groups, L*, a*, and b* values of maxillary central incisors and canines were recorded using the Crystaleye Spectrophotometer (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) before bleaching (baseline), immediately after the first treatment (immm), 1 week (1w), and 3 months (3m) after bleaching treatment. The total color change at these different time points was computed using the formula: ΔE* = [(ΔL*)² + (Δa*)² + (Δb*)²]¹/².

Patients were asked to rate their tooth sensitivity and treatment outcome satisfaction immediately, 1 week, and 3 months after in-office and HB by means of a four-point Likert ordinal scale (no, mild, moderate, and
severe tooth sensitivity) and a 010-point visual analog scale (VAS), respectively. VAS scores were classified as follows: highly satisfied (HS), 8-10 points; satisfied (S), 5-7 points; and not satisfied (NS), <5 points. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 20 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Spectrophotometric data were analyzed using independent t-tests, while correlation between color change and patients’ outcome satisfaction was determined using Pearson correlation ($P < 0.05$).

### Results

No statistically significant differences were noted in the mean baseline color of incisors and canines between OB and HB groups (Table 1). In general, color changes noted in canines were greater than those in incisors. In addition, $\Delta E^*$ values of HB were significantly higher than those of OB at all three time points for both incisors and canines (Fig. 1A). The $\Delta E^*$ values remained stable at 1 week and 3 months, with significant differences between HB and OB. Moreover, similar trends were observed for $\Delta L^*$, $\Delta a^*$, and $\Delta b^*$ parameters. Statistically significant differences observed in $\Delta E^*$, $\Delta L^*$, $\Delta a^*$, and $\Delta b^*$ parameters are reflected in Fig. 1A-D.

The distribution of patients’ tooth sensitivity and outcome satisfaction is shown in Tables 2 and 3. Patients from the HB group reported less tooth sensitivity compared with those from the OB group. Three months after starting bleaching, three HB group patients reported mild sensitivity, and one OB group patient reported severe tooth sensitivity. Mean VAS scores were >7 for OB and 8 for HB. A greater proportion of HB group patients were satisfied compared with OB group patients.

No significant correlation was identified between $\Delta E^*$ and patients’ outcome satisfaction for incisors. In contrast, for canines, the correlation between color change and patient satisfaction was significant and

### Table 1 Baseline color of incisors and canines in both OB and HB groups

|            | Incisor          | Canine          |
|------------|------------------|-----------------|
|            | $L^*$    | $a^*$   | $b^*$    | $L^*$    | $a^*$   | $b^*$    |
| OB         | 72.96 ± 2.55 | 1.34 ± 0.73 | 17.70 ± 2.70 | 69.64 ± 2.32 | 3.50 ± 1.26 | 21.73 ± 3.29 |
| HB         | 73.34 ± 2.53 | 1.18 ± 0.90 | 17.76 ± 3.23 | 69.14 ± 2.35 | 4.11 ± 0.89 | 24.31 ± 2.14 |

(mean ± standard deviation)

No statistically significant differences (results of independent t-test, 2-tailed). OB: in-office bleaching; HB: home bleaching.
relatively strong immediately after bleaching, which continued to remain significant 1 week after bleaching in the HB group (Table 4). The correlation was insignificant and weak for incisors in both OB and HB groups as well as for canines in the OB group.

**Discussion**

In the present study, maxillary central incisors and canines were selected because maxillary teeth are more visually prominent and “yellowish” than mandibular teeth (25). In addition, the color difference between central incisors and canines is generally larger than that between central and lateral incisors (26). The perceptible threshold of color change by the human eye was reported to range from ΔE* of 1 to 2.6 under clinical conditions (27). As the ΔE* associated with both OB and HB was >3 for both teeth, color changes were clinically distinguishable. In this study, color changes noted in canines after bleaching were greater than those in incisors. The ΔE* values obtained corroborated those reported by Costa et al. and Nathoo et al., who reported a mean ΔE* of approximately 5.2 and 4.05 for products containing 10% CP at 1 week after bleaching (2,9). Tooth color after bleaching remained relatively stable and did not change much at 3 months. Tsubara et al. reported a ΔE* of 13.74 for canine teeth at 3 months after HB with 10% CP gel by using a portable chroma meter; this ΔE* value remained stable even 2 years after treatment (28). Collectively, the results suggest that the mid- to long-term color stability of HB, which has implications for restorative treatment planning, is predictable and reliable.

In-office bleaching is a practical alternative therapy for patients who are unable to comply with HB regimes, do not like wearing bleaching trays, or wish to instantaneously have whiter teeth. Salem et al. evaluated the color change of maxillary central incisors after a single session of in-office vital teeth bleaching with 35% HP and reported a ΔE* value of 3.56 (29); this value was comparable to that obtained in the present study. As with the HB group, color changes observed in canines were greater than those noted in incisors. In fact, Wetter et al. reported greater color changes in canines for both HB and OB in a bleaching study involving Caucasian patients (30). This observation may be partly attributed to the greater color saturation of canines than incisors. In the present study, the ΔE* values obtained with OB were significantly lower than those with HB for both incisors and canines. Hence, a single session of in-office bleaching is not as effective as a course of HB for “whitening” Chinese teeth. This finding is consistent with that documented by Zeknois et al., who reported that HB produced significantly lighter teeth than in-office bleaching during all active treatment periods and follow-up visits (10). However, most other

| Table 4 Correlation between color change (ΔE*) and patients’ outcome satisfaction (VAS scores) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                | **OB**          | **HB**          | **OB**          | **HB**          |
|                                | omm             | 1w              | 3m              | omm             |
|                                | ΔE* r           | ΔE* r           | ΔE* r           | ΔE* r           |
| Incisor                        | −0.157          | 0.088           | 0.138           | 0.191           |
|                                | 0.075           | 0.266           | 0.628*          | 0.489*          |
| Canine                         | 0.083           | 0.395           | 0.378           |               |
|                                | 0.266           | 0.386           |                 |               |

**Table 3 Proportion of patients who were highly satisfied, satisfied, and not satisfied with their bleaching outcomes and VAS scores**

|               | HS | S  | NS  | Mean VAS score         |
|---------------|----|----|-----|------------------------|
|               | OB | HB | OB  | HB                     |
| Imm           | 50%| 80%| 35% | 15%                    |
| 1W            | 50%| 85%| 40% | 15%                    |
| 3M            | 45%| 85%| 15% | 15%                    |
| (mean ± standard deviation) |     |     |     |                        |
| HS: highly satisfied; S: satisfied; NS: not satisfied. |     |     |     |                        |

**Table 2 Percentage of tooth sensitivity assessed using a four-point Likert ordinal scale**

|               | OB  | HB  |
|---------------|-----|-----|
| Imm           | 50% | 80% |
| 1W            | 90% | 100%|
| 3M            | 95% | 85% |
| (mean ± standard deviation) |     |     |

**Table 4 Correlation between color change (ΔE*) and patients’ outcome satisfaction (VAS scores)**

| MEAN VAS score | OB  | HB  |
|----------------|-----|-----|
| 1.7            | 8.6 | 1.4 |
| 2.2            | 8.6 | 1.2 |
| 2.4            | 8.3 | 1.9 |

**Table 3 Proportion of patients who were highly satisfied, satisfied, and not satisfied with their bleaching outcomes and VAS scores**

|               | HS  | S  | NS  | Mean VAS score |
|---------------|-----|----|-----|----------------|
|               | OB  | HB | OB  | HB             |
| Imm           | 50% | 85%| 35% | 15%            |
| 1W            | 50% | 85%| 40% | 15%            |
| 3M            | 45% | 85%| 15% | 15%            |
| (mean ± standard deviation) |     |     |     |                 |
| HS: highly satisfied; S: satisfied; NS: not satisfied. |     |     |     |                 |
bleaching studies conducted in Caucasian populations have reported both HB and OB to be equally effective (9,13-19). The disparity in findings may be attributed to differences in bleaching agent concentrations, total treatment time, and racial variance of human teeth (20-23). Bleaching studies that compared different Asian ethnic groups (e.g., Chinese, Koreans, Japanese, and Malays) will be more relevant as dissimilarities arising from genetic alternations and environmental influences are anticipated. A two-fold increase in \( \Delta E^* \) (3.23-6.35) was observed in canines immediately after the first treatment and 1 week after OB; this phenomenon may be explained by remnant perhydroxyl radicals in the tooth structure arising from the high concentrations of bleaching agent used (31,32).

HB was associated with a lower frequency of tooth sensitivity compared with OB in Chinese patients. Similar findings have been reported in previous Caucasian studies and can be ascribed to the higher peroxide concentrations (15,17). For most patients, tooth sensitivity, when present, was predominantly mild and transient. Nevertheless, some patients reported tooth sensitivity even at the 3-month study time point, but these were essentially associated with cervical defects and root surface exposure and not the bleaching treatment. Since patients may confuse transient tooth sensitivity from bleaching with dentin hypersensitivity from cervical defects/root exposure, it is prudent to carefully examine teeth for the latter and to address such concerns before bleaching. Leonard et al. reported that patients with sensitivity after oral prophylaxis are more likely to encounter sensitivity and other side effects during bleaching. In fact, it was advised that bleaching procedures should be delayed for 2 weeks after prophylaxis treatment (33).

In this study, a VAS was used to provide a quantitative scale of satisfaction (3,34). Based on VAS scores recorded at various time intervals, a majority of patients were more satisfied with the results of HB than of OB. Similar outcomes have been reported in previous Caucasian studies, and most patients preferred and would recommend HB over OB (10,14). Matis et al. examined patients’ outcome satisfaction with HB by means of a questionnaire for tetracycline-stained teeth treated using different concentrations of CP (35). Up to 88% of subjects were “pleased” with the outcome, and 41% remained “pleased” with how their teeth looked after 5 years.

Previous studies have indicated that tooth bleaching works by increasing \( L^* \) values and decreasing \( b^* \) values (9,28,36). Both OB and HB increased “lightness” (\( \Delta L^* \)) and decreased “yellowness” (\( \Delta b^* \)) and “redness” (\( \Delta a^* \)) at all three study time points. Therefore, teeth were observed to be whiter and less color intense by patients after both bleaching treatments. The correlation between tooth color change and patient satisfaction has not been widely investigated. For both OB and HB, no significant correlation was noted between \( \Delta E^* \) and patients’ outcome satisfaction for incisors at all three study time points. Although no significant correlation was observed for canines in the OB group, a significant correlation was noted in the HB group immediately and 1 week after bleaching. Taken together, the findings of this study establish that objective color changes cannot be used as a parameter to predict patients’ bleaching outcome satisfaction.

Within the limitations of this in vivo study, the following conclusions can be made: a) color changes, tooth sensitivity, and outcome satisfaction of tooth bleaching in Chinese patients were similar to those reported in Caucasian patients. Unlike most Caucasian studies where the effects of HB and OB were reported to be comparable, HB was found to be more effective than OB in Chinese patients; b) color changes noted in canines were generally greater than those in incisors; c) Chinese patients were satisfied with both bleaching treatments, but outcome satisfaction scores for HB were higher; d) the correlation between tooth color change and outcome satisfaction was generally insignificant and weak.
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