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Abstract
Acromegaly is an endocrine disorder characterized by dysregulated hypersecretion of growth hormone (GH), leading to an overproduction of insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1). The etiology is usually a GH-secreting pituitary adenoma with the resultant presentation of coarse facial features, frontal bossing, arthritis, prognathism (protrusion of the mandible), and impaired glucose tolerance, among others. Most pituitary adenomas arise due to sporadic mutations that lead to unregulated cellular division, subsequent tumor formation, and resultant GH hypersecretion. Major scientific organizations and authorities in endocrinology release regularly updated guidelines for diagnosing and managing acromegaly. We have holistically evaluated four data-driven and evidentiary approaches in the management of acromegaly to compare and contrast these guidelines and show their salient differences. These guidelines have been reviewed because they are major authorities in acromegaly management. In this comprehensive article, differences in the diagnosis and treatment recommendations of the discussed guidelines have been highlighted. Our findings showed that diagnosing modalities were similar among the four approaches; however, some guidelines were more specific about additional supporting investigations to confirm a diagnosis of acromegaly.

For management options, each guideline had suggestions about ideal therapeutic outcomes. Treatment options were identical but salient differences were noticed, such as the addition of combination therapy and alternative therapy in the setting of failure to respond to first and second-line treatments. Reviewing clinical guidelines for various pathologies encourages sharing ideas among medical practitioners and ensures that global best practices are adopted. Therefore, a constant review of these clinical practice guidelines is necessary to keep clinicians up to date with the latest trends in patient management.

Introduction And Background
Acromegaly is an acquired endocrine disorder characterized by dysregulated growth hormone (GH) production. The resulting excessive GH and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) lead to physical disfigurements such as front head furrowing, enlargement of facial structures, scalp changes, hyperhidrosis, thickening of the lips, skin wrinkling, and the unrestrained growth of hands and feet [1]. Mandibular prognathism also results in dental malocclusion and a classical spacing of teeth [1]. In addition, cardiovascular, intestinal, pulmonary, and cerebrovascular complications can occur in untreated cases, leading to a 30% decrease in lifespan [2]. The estimated prevalence of acromegaly is 1,140,000 to 1,250,000. It has no gender predilection (men and women are equally affected), and the average age at diagnosis is 40 [3].

Recent studies have also shown increased prevalence and incidence of this pathology in the elderly, presumably due to increasing life expectancy [4]. Major endocrinology organizations and authorities worldwide regularly update guidelines for diagnosing and treating acromegaly. This review highlights the important similarities and differences in these guidelines to demonstrate the foremost diagnostic and treatment modalities for acromegaly patients, ensuring the best clinical outcomes.
Objectives
This article aims to evaluate four major clinical practice guidelines for acromegaly and to compare and contrast these guidelines. This will aid in an improved evidence-based approach to this pathology and ensure universal best practices are adopted in patient care.

Review
Methodology
Our source of information was PubMed using the search terms acromegaly, management, treatment, and diagnosis in all possible combinations. In addition, four guidelines were obtained from trusted authorities on acromegaly and used for this review. They include the Endocrine Society (ES), Pituitary Society (PS), Acromegaly Consensus Group (ACG), and the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE). We have compared information on these guidelines' diagnosis, management, and treatment modalities, reporting the major similarities and differences.

Definition and epidemiology
Acromegaly is an uncommon hormonal disorder characterized by excess secretion of GH by a pituitary adenoma, subsequently leading to tissue overgrowth [5]. Considering acromegaly is a rare disease, extensive research is required to generate reliable epidemiological data. Most studies have recorded a prevalence between 2.8 and 15.7 cases per 100,000 persons [6,7] and an incidence between 0.2 and 1.1 cases per 100,000 persons [7]. According to a systematic review and meta-analysis in 2021, the pooled prevalence was 5.9 per 100,000 persons, while the incidence rate was 0.38 cases per 100,000 person-year [8]. The median age at diagnosis is around the fifth decade of life, which ranges between 40.5 and 47 years [9].

Pathophysiology
Acromegaly, in more than 95% of cases, is caused by a benign GH-producing pituitary adenoma [10]. However, exceedingly rare cases of malignant pituitary tumors are documented in the literature [10]. Some other etiologies include hypothalamic tumors (gangliocytoma, hamartoma, and glioma) and ectopic neuroendocrine tumors (pancreatic and bronchial carcinoid tumors), which stimulate excess secretion of GH by the pituitary somatotrophs [3,11]. In addition, acromegaly is also associated with some disorders such as the Carney complex (CNC), McCune-Albright syndrome (MAS), and multiple endocrine neoplasia (MEN) type 1 and 4 [3,11].

The dysregulation of the somatotrophs occurs as a result of uncontrolled cell proliferation associated with cell-cycle dysfunction and altered endocrine and/or paracrine factors regulating GH synthesis, GH secretion, and somatotroph cell growth [2]. A host of mutated genes have also been implicated as the cause of acromegaly, AIP in familial isolated pituitary adenoma [11,12], SDH subunits in pituitary adenomas in association with pheochromocytomas/paragangliomas (3PAs) [13,14], mutated MAX gene, and overexpression of GPR101 [12]. IGF-1 is mainly produced in the liver as a result of excessive GH secretion [12]. It mediates the anabolic effects seen in acromegaly [15], which is inhibited indirectly by somatostatin stimulation and directly by somatotroph GH production [16,17].

Diagnosis
Early diagnosis of acromegaly equates to decreased morbidity and mortality and improved quality of life [18]. Therefore, all major societies and consensus groups emphasize the importance of detailed patient history and examination preceding any laboratory tests in a case of suspected acromegaly. Biochemical investigations and radiology are the primary modalities through which a diagnosis can be achieved. For the former, lack of assay standardizations (such as chemiluminescent immunometric assay or two-step non-extraction enzyme-linked immunoassay) or adequate normative data and variation of results with physiological factors may lead to discrepancies between lab results.

When acromegaly is suspected in patients with classical clinical signs and symptoms, especially acral and facial features [13], the ES guidelines recommend measuring IGF-1 levels [15].

However, some patients may present with less typical features such as sleep apnea syndrome, type 2 diabetes mellitus, debilitating arthritis, carpal tunnel syndrome, hyperhidrosis, and hypertension [13]. Clinicians must measure serum IGF-1 levels as the best next step. In patients with a pituitary mass, screening for acromegaly may be warranted. ES does not support checking random GH levels for diagnosis due to their unpredicted variability [13]. An oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) test follows elevated or equivocal serum IGF-1 levels in the laboratory to confirm the diagnosis. If two hours after the administration of an oral glucose load and inducing hyperglycemia, the GH levels fall to fall below <1 μg/L, the diagnosis of acromegaly is made [15].

Once the biochemical diagnosis of acromegaly is achieved, pituitary imaging studies via magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computed tomography (CT) (if MRI is unavailable) are recommended. If a GH-secreting
pituitary adenoma is found, then its size and relation to other structures in the brain must be assessed. If the pituitary gland is small, hypoplastic, or normal, an extra-pituitary source of a GH-secreting tumor must be investigated [13,19].

AACE guideline emphasizes that acromegaly is a multifaceted clinical syndrome whose presenting features can be typical or atypical, depending on the stage of disease progression [15]. Acromegaly should be suspected and diagnostic tests pursued if patients present with two or more of the following comorbidities: new-onset diabetes, diffuse arthralgias, new-onset or difficult-to-control hypertension, cardiac disease, including biventricular hypertrophy and diastolic or systolic dysfunction, fatigue, headaches, carpal tunnel syndrome, sleep apnea syndrome, diaphoresis, loss of vision, colon polyps, and progressive jaw malocclusion [14]. Serum IGF-1 levels are deemed to be the best initial test of choice because it serves as a proxy indicator of GH levels. However, unlike ES guidelines, they do not dismiss the highly variable serum GH levels as the initial test but advise its judicious use only in unique individual clinical contexts. Raised IGF-1 levels must be followed by the measurement of GH levels. A value <1 ng/mL after an OGTT (75 g of glucose orally followed by GH measurements every 30 minutes for 120 minutes) is considered normal [14,20]. Serum GH nadir after glucose administration could also be lowered to 0.4 ng/mL to increase the sensitivity of testing [14,20]. Failure to suppress GH corroborates the diagnosis of acromegaly. Additional testing of IGF-binding protein-3 or thyrotropin-releasing hormone test is not recommended because of the lack of any supporting evidence of its utility [20].

AACE also lists other tests that should be accompanied or closely followed at the time of diagnosis. They include brain imaging and visual field testing in case of optic chiasm involvement. Furthermore, assessment of anterior and posterior pituitary function and prolactin levels are also deemed advisable [14].

Of note, PS has also postulated IGF-binding protein 3 or acid-labile subunit to evaluate for inconsistent GH and IGF-1 results [21].

In summary, regardless of which guidelines are being implemented to reach the diagnosis, it is imperative to evaluate the results of the biochemical tests through the lens of clinical judiciousness. IGF-1 is correlated positively with GH and serves as a valuable indicator of the latter due to its continuous, non-pulsatile secretion, longer half-life, and less variability over the day concerning meals and exercise [20,22].

Nevertheless, it is crucial to be aware of confounding effects when interpreting the results. IGF-1 synthesis may be hampered by long-term obesity, malnutrition, and prolonged fasting in patients with and without acromegaly. Alternatively, systemic inflammation, renal failure, chronic liver disease, oral contraceptives, cirrhosis, and anorexia nervosa may cause hepatic resistance to GH, resulting in high levels of GH but modestly elevated, normal, or even low levels of IGF-1. Similarly, basal GH and nadir GH following OGTT are affected by various physiological factors, as displayed in Table

| Condition               | IGF-1    | Basal GH | Nadir GH |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Puberty                 | High     | High     | High     |
| Pregnancy               | High     | High     | High     |
| Diabetes mellitus       | Low/Normal | High | High |
| Renal failure           | Low/Normal | High | High |
| Liver disease           | Low/Normal | High | High |
| Malnutrition/Anorexia   | Low/Normal | High | High |
| Oral estrogen           | Low/Normal | High | High |
| Critical illness        | Low/Normal | High | High |

**TABLE 1: Conditions affecting IGF-1 and GH levels.**

GH: growth hormone; IGF-1: insulin-like growth factor 1

Furthermore, different assays may yield different results. Therefore, laboratories must keep the same assays uniform for all patients, corrected according to gender and age, and ensure that the same assay is used from diagnosis and through the management of a specific patient. Table 2 shows the differences in diagnostic modalities [13,15,21,23].
Diagnostic criteria/tests | ES | AACE | PS | ACG
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
IGF-1 | First test of choice | First test of choice | First test of choice | First test of choice
GH as an initial diagnostic test | Not recommended | To be interpreted in the clinical context | Not recommended | Not recommended
OGTT-induced GH suppression | Confirms diagnosis. Nadir of GH suppression <1 advised to increase sensitivity | Confirms diagnosis. Physiological factors can confound results | Confirms diagnosis (it is recommended that 75 g be used to achieve a level of standardization) | Not recommended
Other biochemical tests | Not mentioned. IGF-binding protein-3 or TRH tests are explicitly named to be irrelevant | IGF-binding protein 3 or acid-labile subunit can be used to evaluate equivocal GH and IGF-1 results | TRH and GnRH stimulation tests of GH secretion have been used as a second-tier evaluation but are not recommended due to the risk of side effects | Not recommended
Radiological tests | MRI/CT scan of the pituitary gland | MRI/CT scan of the pituitary gland | MRI/CT scan of the pituitary gland | MRI/CT scan of the pituitary gland
Visual tests | Recommended if the optic chiasm is involved | Recommended if the optic chiasm is involved | Recommended if the optic chiasm is involved | Recommended if the optic chiasm is involved
Other tests | None recommended | Prolactin levels and pituitary function tests | None recommended | None recommended

**TABLE 2: Comparison of the ES, AACE, PS, and ACG diagnostic recommendations.**

ES: Endocrine Society; AACE: American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists; PS: Pituitary Society; ACG: Acromegaly Consensus Group; IGF-1: insulin-like growth factor; GH: growth hormone; TRH: thyrotropin-releasing hormone; GnRH: gonadotropin-releasing hormone; OGTT: oral glucose tolerance test; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; CT: computed tomography

---

**Medical management**

All guidelines agree on the evidence that the biochemical control of age-normalized IGF-1 and GH levels is the strongest predictor of medical therapy outcomes and disease control as well as morbidity and mortality. A precise and definite assessment of medical treatment outcomes is, however, a challenge due to the inconsistency of the reported assay. Despite this, studies have shown a decline in mortality over the years due to better biochemical control and more effective therapies [21].

Table 3 indicates the differences and similarities of each medical therapy option in light of the four guidelines [13,15,21,23].

---

**Treatment | ES | AACE | PS | ACG**
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
SRL/SSA | Recommended as first line. SRL is used as primary therapy in a patient who cannot be cured by surgery, has extensive cavernous sinus invasion, does not have chiasmal compression, or is a poor surgical candidate. | Recommended as first line. SSAs are effective in normalizing IGF-1 and GH levels in approximately 55% of patients. SSAs reduce pituitary tumor size modestly in about 25% to 70% of patients, depending on whether they are used as adjuvant or de novo therapy, respectively. The short-acting subcutaneously administered SSA octreotide is effective, | Recommended as first line. Extended-dosing intervals (>4 weeks) for 120 mg lanreotide may be effective among selected patients previously controlled with long-acting SRLs. Older age, female sex, lower IGF-1 levels, and tumor T2 MRI hypointensity at baseline predict more favorable long-term biochemical responses to primary lanreotide 120 mg therapy every 4 weeks (MQ, SR). They recommend that pasireotide LAR is an effective alternative for patients who did not receive much benefit from lanreotide or octreotide LAR. For patients who have shown complete or partial biochemical response on injectable therapy | Recommended as first line. For patients who are on SRL therapy, tumor shrinkage was observed in up to 80% of subjects. Tumor shrinkage did not show any link to biochemical remission (MQ). Response to SRL therapy was more
especially when low cost and rapid onset of action is the goal

It is recommended as second line. Pegvisomant is often used in patients who respond poorly or are unable to tolerate SSAs. It is extremely effective in normalizing IGF-1 values (>90%). This includes patients who are partially or entirely resistant to other therapies

It is recommended as second line. Studies have shown a 73% biochemical control rate. For patients who are diabetic, it improves glucose metabolism independent of IGF-1 control but does not have the same effects in patients without diabetes (MQ)

Pronounced after surgical debulking (MQ)

It is recommended as second line in patients with persistently elevated IGF-1 levels after high doses of SRLs

| Pegvisomant | It is also recommended as first line |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| Octreotide or lanreotide, oral octreotides are suitable (HQ, SR) |

| Dopamine agonists | Cabergoline is first line in patients with only modest elevations of serum IGF-1 and mild signs and symptoms of GH excess. In such cases, ES suggests a trial of a dopamine agonist, usually cabergoline, as the initial adjuvant medical therapy |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Recommended as first line, Cabergoline has been shown to yield better clinical results than bromocriptine. Dopamine agonists are recommended as first line, because of their oral availability and cheaper price. They recommend using dopamine agonists in patients with modestly elevated serum IGF-1 levels |
| Not specified |

| Combination therapy | They recommend the addition of pegvisomant or cabergoline in a patient with inadequate response to SRLs. Combining medical therapies may improve efficacy, reduce side effects associated with an individual medication, decrease the frequency of injections and total drug dose, and potentially offer a cost benefit and improved compliance during long-term treatment |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| In patients with a partial response to SSA therapy, the addition of cabergoline may be useful. In patients with a partial response to SSA therapy, pegvisomant can also be considered as daily or weekly doses |
| Combination therapy of SRL and pegvisomant is already being used and has shown impressive results with up to 96% biochemical control rate achieved |

| Radiotherapy | The third line of treatment. It is recommended in the setting of a residual tumor mass following surgery and if medical treatment is unavailable, unsuccessful, or not tolerated |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The third line of treatment. It is recommended as an adjunctive treatment in patients not fully responding to medical or surgical treatments |
| The third line of treatment. It can be used after a response to prior surgery or medical treatments |

**TABLE 3: Comparison of ES, AACE, PS, and ACG guidelines for medical management.**

ES: Endocrine Society; AACE: American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists; PS: Pituitary Society; ACG: Acromegaly Consensus Group; SRL: somatostatin receptor ligands; SSA: somatostatin analogs; GH: growth hormone; IGF-1: insulin-like growth factor 1; HQ: high-quality evidence; MQ: medium-quality evidence; SR: systematic review; LAR: long-acting release
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Surgical management

Surgery is generally the primary treatment of choice in acromegaly patients, and the commonly used transsphenoidal approach has proven to be efficient and safe [13]. The primary goal of treating acromegaly is to normalize GH and IGF levels. Transsphenoidal surgery has proven to be the treatment of choice for intrasellar microadenomas, non-invasive macroadenomas without bone invasion, and cavernous sinus invasion [16]. About 75-95% of patients with normalization of IGF-1 and biochemical control are due to surgical removal of the intrasellar microadenomas [16]. After surgery, GH levels return to normal in 71% of all cases and more than 80% of microadenomas [16]. However, these figures are dramatically reduced when the tumor is invasive or greater than 4 cm [18]. Every treatment plan has its advantages and disadvantages. The optimal aim of surgical treatment is to decrease the mortality rate in the acromegaly patient population compared to that of the general population. For effective management of this disease, complete surgical removal of the tumor must be achieved. Surgical results depend on preoperative tumor size, extension, and preoperative GH levels. Surgical tumor debulking before medical therapy can be considered appropriate if a surgical cure cannot be achieved. This is done to decrease the target volume [18].

Acromegaly and comorbidities

All four clinical practice guidelines acknowledge the presence of comorbidities as a significant part of diagnosing and managing acromegaly. These comorbidities are discussed below.

Hypertension

Excess GH in circulation leads to insulin resistance, endothelial dysfunction, and increased sodium and water retention, resulting in increased plasma volume and hypertension [23]. In addition, there is a predominance of diastolic blood pressure revelation, which increases in prevalence with age [13].

Diabetes Mellitus

The etiology of diabetes in acromegaly is associated with increased circulating GH and IGF-1, leading to insulin resistance, increased gluconeogenesis, and hyperinsulinemia. Therefore, the management of diabetes secondary to acromegaly is similar to that of the general population, and metformin should be considered first-line therapy [23]. Pasireotide is, however, contraindicated in patients with uncontrolled diabetes due to the risk of developing hyperglycemia. Adding glucagon-like peptide-1 agonists or dipeptidyl peptidase inhibitors can prevent this complication [23].

Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA)

OSA is a common finding in acromegaly due to pharyngeal soft-tissue hypertrophy. Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate for OSA once a diagnosis of acromegaly is made [23]. This is done by formal overnight polysomnography or home overnight oximetry [15].

Vertebral Fractures

Vertebral fractures resulting from osteoporosis are a frequent consequence of acromegaly and increased bone turnover [23]. Therefore, antiresorptive therapy should be considered if osteoporosis does not resolve or improve after medical and surgical management of acromegaly [15]. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry is repeated every two years to monitor progress [18].

Studies have shown that acromegaly increases the risk of colonic polyps [13]. Therefore, a colonoscopy should be performed after a diagnosis of acromegaly. Patients with polyps at screening or persistently elevated IGF-1 levels should undergo a follow-up colonoscopy [13,15,23].

Hypopituitarism

This results from the mass effect of an enlarging tumor, leading to a reduction in the levels of luteinizing hormone, follicle-stimulating hormone, thyroid-stimulating hormone, oxytocin, prolactin, and anti-diuretic hormone, affecting sexual function, fertility, and bone health [23].

In addition to the above complications, the laboratory finding of hypercalcemia necessitates the evaluation of primary hyperparathyroidism and, if present, MEN 1 [15].

Conclusions

As the management of acromegaly can be complex, there is a need to regularly update guidelines to ensure universal best practices are upheld. Minor differences in guidelines were seen in diagnosis and management. These guidelines ensure that clinicians managing acromegaly patients have access to current information on evidence-based treatments to optimize outcomes. Guidelines will continue to be authored in the future as
new management modalities are discovered. The importance of holistic multidisciplinary management of acromegaly is also emphasized, considering the diverse comorbidities associated with this disease.
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