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ABSTRACT

Introduction Cancer-related fatigue (CRF) is a prevalent symptom in cancer survivors. Transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation (TEAS) has been reported as a promising therapy for CRF. This protocol is proposed for a systematic review that aims to assess the efficacy and safety of TEAS for CRF.

Methods and analysis Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, PubMed, Medline, Embase, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, VIP, Wanfang database, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, Chinese Clinical Trial Registry System, ClinicalTrials.gov and WHO International Clinical Trial Registry Platform will be searched from inception to 31 January 2021 without language limitations. The eligible randomised controlled trials will be included. The primary outcomes include changes in the revised Piper fatigue scale, the Brief fatigue inventory, the Multidimensional fatigue inventory and the Functional assessment of chronic illness therapy fatigue. The secondary outcomes are the quality-of-life measurement index, the Hamilton anxiety scale, the Hamilton depression scale and adverse events. The selection of studies, data extraction and assessment of risk of bias will be conducted independently by two reviewers. Data synthesis will be performed using RevMan V.5.4.1. The quality of evidence will be evaluated with the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation system. This study will strictly adhere to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis guidelines.

Ethics and dissemination Ethical approval is not required as this is a systematic review and meta-analysis based on previously published studies involving no private information of patients. The results of this study will be disseminated in a peer-reviewed journal.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42020220282.

INTRODUCTION

Cancer-related fatigue (CRF), a common symptom in cancer survivors, is defined as a distressing, persistent, and subjective sense of tiredness or exhaustion that cannot be alleviated by sleep or rest.1 It is almost universal in those patients receiving anticancer treatments and affects nearly 65% of cancer survivors.2-4 Approximately 62%–85% of patients with cancer who undergo active treatments experience CRF.5 CRF is not just an isolated symptom, but associates with anxiety, depression and insomnia.6 It is a multifactorial condition involving anaemia, inflammation-mediated changes of cytokines, cellular immunity dysregulation and oxidative-stress-induced striated muscle dysfunction mediated by cancer or chemotherapeutic agents.7-9 It inflicts a negative impact on patients’ quality of daily life and may cause treatment discontinuation and survival reduction.8 10 However, it has often been underestimated, underdiagnosed and insufficiently treated.11 Though both pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions have been applied in clinical management, a meta-analysis has shown that compared with non-pharmacological therapy, the efficacy of drugs on CRF is inferior with an increased risk of side effects.12 13 The gold standard for CRF management is still unavailable.14 Hence, an effective and safe treatment option remains an urgent need for patients with CRF.

Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) has been widely used among cancer survivors in...
China and gradually accepted worldwide by its efficacy in recent years. As an integral part of TCM, acupuncture is being adopted by patients with cancer for a wide range of cancer-related symptoms, and some clinical trials have shown that acupuncture can provide clinical benefits for patients with CRF. Transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation (TEAS) combines transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation with acupoint stimulation and is a non-invasive alternative to acupuncture. Under the guidance of meridian theory, this technique stimulates acupoints on the surface with low-voltage pulses close to the body’s bioelectricity and has been reported to relieve the varieties of cancer-related symptoms, including fatigue, immune-suppression and bone marrow suppression. In addition, compared with the traditional manual acupuncture that requires qualified acupuncturists or TCM clinicians to perform, TEAS can be implemented by nursing staff or patients themselves after training making it more accessible. Moreover, this non-invasive therapeutic approach is pain-free and more acceptable for patients with needle phobia.

In recent years, an increasing body of clinical trials has been carried out to evaluate the efficacy and safety of TEAS on patients with CRF, and the results have indicated it might be a promising therapeutic intervention. However, currently no systematic review has been reported to assess the clinical evidence. This study will include and systematically synthesize the eligible randomised controlled trials (RCTs) without language restrictions. To the best of our knowledge, this meta-analysis is the first attempt to assess the available evidence of TEAS for the treatment of CRF. Hopefully, this study may yield helpful information for the people concerned.

**Objective**

This systematic review aims to critically assess the efficacy and safety of TEAS for CRF.

**METHODS**

The study protocol will follow the Cochrane Handbook for Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocol statement guidelines (PRISMA-P).

**Criteria for including studies for this review**

**Types of studies**

We will only include randomised controlled trials (RCTs) investigating the efficacy and safety of TEAS on CRF. Cross-over trials and quasi-randomised trials will be excluded.

**Types of participants**

We will include patients with CRF of any age or sex who have been diagnosed by any recognised diagnostic criteria (eg, the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines) for cancer-related fatigue) or based on the vital characteristics of CRF (eg, a distressing, persistent and subjective sense of tiredness or exhaustion that could not be alleviated by sleep or rest). Participants with fatigue caused by other diseases will be excluded, such as hepatitis, anaemia and hypothyroidism.

**Types of interventions**

We will include RCTs that use TEAS with or without conventional medicine and exclude other invasive or non-invasive acupoint stimulation methods, such as acupuncture, laser stimulation, moxibustion and acupressure. No limitations will be placed on the duration of treatment.

**Types of comparator(s)/control**

Control interventions will be wait-list control, TEAS on corresponding non-acupoints, other methods of acupoint stimulation (eg, acupuncture, moxibustion and acupressure), and the same conventional anticancer drugs as the interventional group.

We will also exclude studies that compare TEAS with any other complementary and alternative therapies.

**Primary outcomes**

The primary outcomes include changes in the revised Piper fatigue scale. It is a well-recognised and commonly used multidimensional measure in the CRF research field and contains 22 items and four subscales with a total score of 10, and each score section represents the corresponding severity of fatigue (0 for none, 1–3 for mild, 4–6 for moderate and 7–10 for severe fatigue). CRF scores measured with other tools will also be included such as the brief fatigue inventory, the multidimensional fatigue inventory and the functional assessment of chronic illness therapy-fatigue.

**Secondary outcomes**

The secondary outcomes will include the quality-of-life measurement index, the anxiety and depression levels measured by qualified scales such as the Hamilton anxiety scale and the Hamilton depression scale, and adverse events.

**Patients and public involvement**

No patient involved.

**Search methods for identification of studies**

**Electronic searches**

Two reviewers (YZ, JX) will independently search Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), PubMed, Embase, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, VIP, Wanfang database, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, ClinicalTrials.gov (www.clinicaltrials.gov) and WHO International Clinical Trial Registry Platform (www.who.int/trialsearch) from inception to 31 January 2021. The lists of references of retrieved articles will be searched for identifying potentially eligible trials. Language restriction will not be imposed on the electronic searches. We will use the following terms in a combination for the search: fatigue, asthenia, cancer-related fatigue, CRF, cancer, carcinoma,
tumor, malignancy, Transcutaneous electrical acupoints stimulation and TEAS. The search strategy for PubMed is shown in Table 1.

**Table 1** Search strategy to be used in PubMed

| Search line | Search items                                                                 |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| #1          | fatigue [MeSH Terms]                                                         |
| #2          | fatigue                                                                       |
| #3          | cancer-related fatigue                                                        |
| #4          | cancer                                                                        |
| #5          | carcinoma                                                                     |
| #6          | malignance                                                                    |
| #7          | #1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 OR #5 OR #6                                              |
| #8          | transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation                               |
| #9          | transcutaneous acupoint electrical stimulation                                |
| #10         | TEAS                                                                          |
| #11         | transcutaneous electrical acupuncture stimulation                             |
| #12         | transcutaneous electrical acupuncture point stimulation                       |
| #13         | #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12                                                 |
| #14         | #7 AND #13                                                                    |
| #15         | Clinical trials [MeSH Terms]                                                  |
| #16         | Randomized [Title/Abstract]                                                   |
| #17         | Randomly [Title/Abstract]                                                     |
| #18         | Trial [Title]                                                                 |
| #19         | #15 OR #16 OR #17 OR #18                                                      |
| #20         | #7 AND #14 AND #19                                                            |

TEAS, transcutaneous electrical acupoint stimulation.

Searching other sources

The two reviewers will check the reference lists of all included articles to retrieve additional trials. Manual searching will be applied when abstracts are not available online. Unpublished literature will be searched via conference proceedings. Information unavailable in the articles will be acquired by contacting the authors when it is possible.

Data collection and analysis

Data extraction will be performed in accordance with the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, V.6.1.27 Data analysis will be conducted using RevMan V.5.4.1 (Review Manager 2020).

Selection of studies

Two reviewers (YZ, JX) will independently perform abstract screening. RCTs evaluating the efficacy of TEAS for patients with CRF will be included. We will retrieve the full texts of all remaining articles and independently screen all the full-text articles according to the inclusion criteria. Disagreements will be settled by discussion, and when an agreement cannot be reached, the third review author (YR) will make the final decision. The PRISMA flow diagram of the selection process is presented in Figure 1.

Data extraction and management

We will design a data extraction form and two authors (YZ, JX) will independently extract the data from the eligible studies. It includes the following information: reference ID, author, year of publication, participant characteristics (eg, age, gender, duration and severity of the disease), sample size, randomization method, allocation concealment method, blinding method, interventions, analytic set, number of participants analysed, outcome measures, adverse events, and follow-up. Discrepancies will be resolved through discussion or by the third reviewer (YR). For unclear information, we will contact the first or corresponding author.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

Two reviewers will independently assess the risk of bias for each RCTs included using Cochrane Collaboration’s risk of bias tool, which contains seven domains: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other sources of bias. In each domain, the risk of bias will be classified as ‘low risk’ of bias, ‘high risk’ of bias or ‘unclear risk’ of bias. Disagreement will be resolved by discussion or consensus with the third author.

Data analysis

Data synthesis

The process will be performed using RevMan V.5.4.1 (Review Manager 2020). Trials that have the same
outcome measures in similar populations will be combined to estimate the pooled effect. For dichotomous data, a risk ratio (RR) with 95% CIs will be used as pooled statistics. For numeric variables, standardised mean difference (SMD) with 95% CIs will be used considering that the primary outcome is the scoring scale. If the RR or SMD is not available, we will try to recalculate them using the reported data, including the median, p values and CIs. The hypothesis test will apply the inverse variance method for numeric data and the Mantel-Haenszel method for dichotomous data. A p value less than 0.05 (p<0.05) is statistically significant. If the meta-analysis is unfeasible, we will provide a narrative description of the results.

Assessment of heterogeneity
Heterogeneity will be assessed using the $\chi^2$ test and I² statistics. When there is no significant heterogeneity ($I^2 < 50\%$ and $p > 0.1$), the fixed-effect model will be applied. The random-effects model will be applied for significant heterogeneity ($80\% > I^2 > 50\%$ and $p < 0.1$).

Subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis
Subgroup analysis will be performed based on the primary and secondary outcome measures to detect possible causes of heterogeneity. The following subgroups will be investigated respectively: different types of the control (eg, wait-list, TEAS on non-acupoints, acupuncture and drugs), treatment duration and the severity of symptoms at baseline. A sensitivity analysis will be performed to evaluate the robustness and stability of the evidence, analyses will be limited to studies with a low risk of bias, and one study will be iteratively removed at a time.

Assessment of publication bias
Publication bias will be analysed using funnel plots if there are more than ten studies included. A symmetrically distributed funnel plot indicates that there is no publication bias. If less than ten articles are included, Egger and Begg tests will be applied.

Summary of evidence
Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation will be applied to classify the quality of evidence as ‘high’, ‘moderate’, ‘low’ and ‘very low’ in the domain of the risk of bias (methodological quality), indirectness of evidence, heterogeneity, precision of effect and publication bias in each study.

Ethics and dissemination
The results of this systematic review and meta-analysis will be disseminated in a peer-reviewed journal. No ethical approval is required since this study will not contain any private information of participants.
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