Analysis and Implementation of an Optimal PV Solar Generator in Tropical Zone
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Abstract Many small size enterprises, other organisms and rural people mostly in underdeveloped countries do not have an easy access to the grid, and are then in the need of a decentralised electric sources which appear as crucial in some cases specially when feeding rural hospitals, or serve for pumping drinkable or irrigation water. Electric Solar Generators Technologies could then efficiently come to rescue. This article focuses on the analysis of keys factors for a design, and an implementation of such a PV Solar Generator. We have in that aim proceeded to a study of the state of art in that domain of Solar Electric Generators, which offered keys parameters of each component of a safe easy maintainable feeding solar system, and lead us to the design notably owing to the software PVSyst, to the implementation of and the experiments of a domestic flat PV solar generator. Results are constituted by the designed schematics, tables and curves of simulated and experiments electric quantities like the maximum delivered powers, and the efficiencies. The article reported an example of a complete PV system installed, and instrumented for data logging. That system included a battery and an inverter to power real loads. The system design parameters comprised the size of the PV array, the battery size, and the power rating of the inverter, as well as the power delivered to the loads. The data logged have been used to evaluate the designed system and to make assessments on how the system design could be improved so that systems of this type could serve the local needs most economically.
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1. Introduction

The whole planet could run out an energy crisis according to International Energy Agency which has indicated that the needs will triple by the years 2050. Some studies of Professors Kenneth Deffeyes, from Princeton University (2006) and Fredrik Robelius, from Uppsala University in Sweden (2007), show that the oil production has reached a peak value of 84.5 millions of gallons per day, and that the oil may not in finely compensates the needs rate, and this mainly because of the production goes diminishing. So many researches are carried out all over the world on the searching of new energy sources that could satisfy those needs. It could also be noticed that many people live in some geographical zones were the grid is technically impossible or really difficult to be implemented. Renewable energy sources then come to be considered with great interests among the researched new sources because they respect the environments and their present use does not fortunately affect their future use. Solar Energy seems to constitute an interesting such renewable sources that may come to rescue for challenging in one hand grid feeding in difficult geographical zones, and on the other hand guaranties the future in case of energy crisis. This solution then appears crucial, specially for people in rural zones where the grid is absent, and deeply recommended by many world development organisms like the ASEAN Centre for Energy, Environment and Development Network for Africa, and the “Organizacion Latino americana de Energia”.

A PV generator may then in some cases be preferable for many reasons: It brings autonomy for the systems that are not connected to the grid and, it could come to rescue in the case of a black out to those that are connected to the grid. The PV solutions also seem to be of interest for countries with grids fed by dams because the water could then be used with more efficiency [1-10]. A PV panel’s generator equipped with batteries seems the most advantageous among the decentralised electricity production systems like generating units. The context of our study is tropical zones which could received per year an irradiance of 2.065 kWh/m², which means that a square meter of PV panels if used in that area could help covering during 20 months the energy needs of a family who spends a 100 kWh per month.
We will try in this study to perform a state of the art in that domain of PV solar generation and then try to reach after a deep analysis the key factors that will help designing and implementing an optimal PV panel’s generator. We then consider Saheb-Koussa & Haddadi (2007) well known one diode model of a PV panel in order to express the power delivery with respect to the voltage. Our study then brings out the different technologies of components that could constitute the PV panel’s generator, and the conditions of their use. Our article also addresses the energy conservation problematic and the maintenance of the installed PV generator.

We will spend some efforts to answer the following hypothesis:

- Why it important to focus on the maximum power delivered, Pmax?
- How could we make a productive choice among all the PV systems?
- How could Pmax be influenced by the geographical position of the PV panel, by the sun irradiance, and by the temperature?
- How do the batteries behave with respect to the called current rate?
- What are the limits of the PV system?
- And the question of safety?

This article will try to answer those interrogations through three main parts: one on the literature on the domain, the second on the equipments and the methodologies of the studies, and the last one on the results and the discussions.

2. Review on the Modeling of PV Generators - Objectives of the Study

We present here well known PV cell modeling and similarly well known and well documented how variations of the position of a PV panel affect the output power and energy capture. Our main goal here is to remind how the Maximum Power Point (MPP) could be predetermined from Power-Voltage, and Current-Voltage curves that can also be predetermined for a given PV panel. We then explained how the voltage drops that lead to MPP points when loaded the PV system can be predetermined owing to the characterization and the identification of the parameters of the chosen model.

![Figure 1. Complete Model of a PV Panel [1-21]](image1)

![Figure 2. Model of a PV Panel with Rsh, Rs and a Capacitor [1-21]](image2)
It is now well known that PV cells behave as photo p-n junction that operates with very low efficiency. They so needed to be judiciously connected in order to obtain maximum power at the outputs [1,2]. The PV generators need to be controlled and commanded to operate at that point (Maximum Power Point, named MPP). They should then be modelled and characterised. The scientific literature on PV generators shows many types of models [1,2,3,4,5]. It so come out that the Figure 1 to Figure 4 seems to be the most used in the modeling of PV Generators (Figure 1 - Figure 6), each presenting its particular advantages.

All the models insist on one hand on the series output resistance Rs and on the other hand on the source current np.Iph, that comes from the direct conversion of the sun irradiance into an electric current. All those models also remind that the PV cell is a p-n junction which is here represented by a classic diode. So it can be deduced from those models that the PV-Generator will behave like a classic DC voltage source with an internal resistor which is here Rs, and which will responsible for the voltage drop when the output current will increase, the maximum current being reached at short-circuit that corresponds to zero voltage and zero power. The maximum voltage Voc will so obtained with a zero current that also corresponds to zero power. Classic values of Voc are between 0.4 V to
0.6V for silicium cells with 12 mA/cm² for the short-circuit currents and under standards conditions (STC). It is so easy to demonstrate that a maximum power \( P_{mp} \) will be reached at a couple \((V_{mp}, I_{mp})\) that corresponds to the MPP operating point. It can so be deduced from all the models used till now (Figure 1 - Figure 4), that the power-voltage characteristics of the PV-generator can then be modelled by the general graph on Figure 5. The characterisation of the PV generator could so lead to the values of the parameters that constitute the chosen models (Figure 1 - Figure 4), and then to the control of the voltage drop \( \Delta V = V_{oc} - V_{mp} \) on Figure 5.

The scientific literature also indicates that the generated electric current \( np*I_{ph} \) could drastically increase with an optimal geographic position of the PV panel [2-8]. That optimal position needs to be known and set using the mechanical system that holds the panels. The angles \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( \gamma \) should then be implemented (Figure 6.a).

Our study focuses on tropic regions that corresponds to the maximum W.m\(^{-2}\) in the world and where it is known that the solar irradiance over a year which is almost \(1.6 \times 10^{21}\) Wh may lead to satisfy if transformed the present world annual need of energy (almost \(17.3 \times 10^{15}\) Wh) (Figure 6.b) and as it is well explained by Jean Zin author.

We would like to remind that a PV Solar Generator can be or not connected to the grid, it could run with or without a power additional income, and/or an energy storage system. The solar covering rate, also called the LOLP (Loss of Load Probability), as shown on Figure 6.b, constitutes an important data when dimensioning a PV Solar Generator. The value of the LOLP makes the PV Solar Generator critical or not. The PV System designed as an example for data logging was critical, and complete with batteries, inverters and controls. The designating has been in four steps:

1. The power needs per day calculated at a value of 20640 Wh/day.
2. The calculation of the solar covering rate (Figure 6b), which assures the providing of the power a year. Along, the estimated minimum irradiance has been evaluated at a value of 4.66 kWh /m²
3. The 12 V batteries with a capacity calculated at a value of 5733 Ah
4. and finally the required PV field to cover the real needs but also all the losses estimated at a value of 5537 Wc which corresponds to 93 modules of 60 wc each.

So a quick hand pre design leads to a 12 V/ 5733 Ah/ 5.54 kWc/ 61 m², PV Generator. We have used the software PV-Syst in order to obtain optimised parameters of that PV Generator. Such software takes into account:

- The minimum autonomy of the PV system which diminishes the batteries capacity
- The possibility of using alternatives sources when the sun is not at rendezvous
- The solar covering rate and the advantage of running the system at MPP points, which may lead to minimum number of PV panels the author Gilbert CHAMP, indicated. We then came out with an
optimal PV generator that of the following characteristics for year consumption: 12V/ 2250 Ah / 4.320 kWc / 42.3 m²

3. Equipments and Methodologies

We carried experiments with an example of equipments constituted by: 04 PV modules of 60 Wc with thr dimensions: Lg = 1.13m; lg = 0.52 m; useful area : 0.48 m², each panel built with 39 Cells. We also used a 80 Wc 36 cells module of dimensions Lg = 1.20 m; lg = 0.53 m; and a useful area of: 0.49 m² (Figure 7). Three junction boxes for 12V, 24V and 48V modules; Four solar batteries (Figure 8.a); three 12V, 24V, 48V/20A charges regulators (Figures 8.b); 12V – 300 W – 230V-50 Hz inverters; and many other measurements apparatus (voltmeters…etc.) have been used to instrumented to design and installed the PV system for data logging.
The software PVSyst has also been used to design the PV panels and other components, and also to simulate the running of the system with the possibilities of trying to know what results could be obtained when supposing the variations of different parameters. The influence of the position of the PV Panels and of the time period (the month) have so been predicted and confirmed with experiments with very good accuracy...etc. That software has then served for the simulation of each studied case. We have so come to be capable to compare the simulation and the experiments results, bringing the real system to operate at predicted desired MPP points. We have then used PVSyst software to pre design and simulate our PV system. We have then first performed a rapid pre dimensionnement of the system based on
- The weather forecast,
- The orientation of the PV panels
- The real electric charge including all the losses,
- The depth of the batteries discharge
- And the covering rate

We then came out with the power of the PV panel and the capacity of the batteries to be installed.

In the other hand, we have been able to make a simulation through PVsyst going from the first results and then compare with experiments. It should be indicated here that the losses have also been taken into account by the simulations.

4. Results and Discussions

We have been obliged to design and build a judicious multi positions stand which has helped to obtain the Maximum irradiance and bring the system to always operate at MPP point which varies with months (Figure 9.a).
We have then come out with the remarks that the characteristics (optimal positions ...etc) of the installed PV modules were the same like the ones obtained by software simulations (Figure 9.a). The whole designed and installed system can then be represented by the following schematic. On Figure 9.b. The system could then feed AC and DC charge. The autonomy being assure through batteries (Figure 9.b).

Some algorithms have been written in the solar systems software PVSyst which have helped simulating the efficiency of a module with the possibility to vary operating cell temperature in on hand, and the irradiance in the other hand, the values of the efficiencies remaining low, under 12%. This is due to the limits encountered in all PV technologies. The silicium poly crystalline 60 Wc that we have used in experimentation generates a maximum of 125 W/m, while the mono crystalline technology 80 Wc, the other module generates 163.1 W/m (Figure 10 & Figure 11).

![Figure 9. b: Schematic of the designed and installed PV Solar Generator](image)

![Figure 10. Efficiency with respect to the cell temperature](image)
Our study has been carried in a town of coordinates 7° to 8° degree North latitude Nord, and between 13° to 14° degree East longitude. So the panels have been disposed facing south according to cooper equation [6]:

\[ \delta = 23.45 \sin \left( \frac{2 \pi \left( \frac{284 + n}{365} \right)}{\lambda} \right) \]

Where \( n \) is a number that represents the day (\( n=1 \) for January 1st, \( n=32 \) for February 1st), \( \delta \) the obtained declination to be applied.

We then obtained from PV-Syst some useful data of some equatorial towns that might be of great help, this study being concerned as mentioned above (Table 1.a).

Table 1. a: Solar Irradiance and Temperature of some towns (Ndéré means here Ngaoundere town in Cameroon)

| Town       | 7°N – 13.6°E | 9°N – 13.4°E | 11°N – 14°E | 4°N – 11.5°E | 4°N – 9.7°E | 17°N – 3°O | 56°N – 38° E |
|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| kWh/m²     | °C           | kWh/m²       | °C           | kWh/m²       | °C           | kWh/m²      | °C           |
| Janv       | 6.44         | 24.7         | 6.01         | 26.3         | 5.57         | 27.8        | 5.50         | 23.2         | 5.56         | 24.6         | 4.91         | 21.8         | 0.50         | -9.7         |
| Fev        | 6.82         | 26.2         | 6.48         | 28.1         | 6.41         | 30.0        | 5.62         | 23.7         | 5.59         | 24.8         | 5.98         | 24.5         | 0.94         | -7.7         |
| Mars       | 6.57         | 27.0         | 6.64         | 30.1         | 6.80         | 34.4        | 5.22         | 24.0         | 4.97         | 24.7         | 6.83         | 28.2         | 2.63         | -2.2         |
| Avr        | 5.80         | 25.5         | 6.30         | 28.9         | 6.58         | 35.7        | 4.94         | 24.2         | 4.57         | 24.7         | 7.26         | 32.1         | 3.07         | 5.8          |
| Mai        | 5.42         | 24.4         | 5.88         | 27.1         | 6.37         | 33.4        | 4.62         | 24.0         | 4.41         | 24.5         | 7.45         | 34.2         | 4.69         | 13.1         |
| Jun        | 4.99         | 23.2         | 5.48         | 25.5         | 5.95         | 30.0        | 4.33         | 22.9         | 4.02         | 23.6         | 7.27         | 33.0         | 5.44         | 16.6         |
| Jul        | 4.66         | 22.2         | 5.07         | 24.4         | 5.36         | 26.0        | 4.06         | 22.2         | 3.46         | 22.8         | 6.93         | 29.9         | 5.51         | 18.2         |
| Aout       | 4.67         | 22.1         | 4.92         | 24.2         | 5.07         | 26.4        | 3.99         | 22.3         | 3.08         | 22.8         | 6.62         | 28.7         | 4.26         | 16.4         |
| Sept       | 4.80         | 22.5         | 5.23         | 24.8         | 5.61         | 27.9        | 4.26         | 22.5         | 3.45         | 23.0         | 6.65         | 30.5         | 2.34         | 11           |
| Oct        | 5.22         | 23.1         | 5.74         | 26.1         | 5.85         | 31.3        | 4.10         | 22.7         | 3.69         | 23.4         | 6.25         | 31.2         | 1.08         | 5.1          |
| Nov        | 6.11         | 24.7         | 6.12         | 28.0         | 5.72         | 30.9        | 4.57         | 22.9         | 4.33         | 23.7         | 5.49         | 27.6         | 0.56         | -1.1         |
| Dec        | 6.25         | 24.9         | 5.90         | 26.9         | 5.33         | 28.3        | 5.60         | 23.0         | 5.13         | 24.1         | 4.72         | 23.3         | 0.36         | -6.1         |
| **Annual** | **5.64**     | **24.2**     | **5.81**     | **26.7**     | **5.88**     | **30.2**    | **4.69**     | **23.1**     | **4.35**     | **23.9**     | **6.35**     | **28.8**     | **2.63**     | **5.0**      |

We will now present the testing of specific PV modules and related discussions. We hope this will contribute to provide much deepest insights. We then discuss simulated versus experimental results for a module. The no load output voltage Voc on Figure 5 was 21.6 V and the short circuit current 4.01 A. The no load output voltage Voc was here 21.62 V and the short circuit current in this case was 4.9 A (Figure 5).

Simulations performed owing to PV-Syst indicate that a 20° declination with 0° azimuth correspond to the maximum incident irradiance at our experiment geographic point in February. The experiment measurements lead to 25° declination with 0° azimuth. Table 1.b gives for the other months the corresponding theoretical and experiments (in blue) positions for maximum incident irradiance.
Table 1.b: Positions for Maximum incident irradiance during the year

| Month | Jan | Fév | Mars | Avr | Mai | Jun | Jul | Août | Sept | Oct | Nov | Déc |
|-------|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Decl  | 35° | 20° | 17°  | 15° | 4°  | 3°  | 2°  | 5°   | 7°   | 22° | 34° | 40° |
| Exp   |     |     | 25°  | 10° | 5°  | 0°  | 0°  | 0°   | 0°   | 0°  |     |     |
| Az    | 0°  | 0°  | 0°   | 0°  | 0°  | 0°  | 0°  | 0°   | 0°   | 0°  | 0°  | 0°  |

Table 2. indicates the theoretical and experiments (in blue) day positions along the month of February

| DTps | 7h | 8h | 9h | 10h | 11h | 12h | 13h | 14h | 15h | 16h | 17h | 18h | 19h |
|------|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Decl | 80° | 70° | 60° | 50° | 40° | 20° | 35° | 40° | 50° | 60° | 70° | 80° | -   |
| Exp  | -75° | -60° | -45° | -30° | -15° | 0° | +20° | +35° | +45° | +50° | +60° | +75° | -   |
| Az   | -75° | -60° | -45° | -30° | -15° | 0° | +20° | +35° | +45° | +50° | +60° | +75° | -   |

Exp: Experiments; Decl: Declination; Az: Azimuth; DTps: Day time.

We have then proceed to the characterization of four different modules through experiments that bring out the Voltage-Current, and Power-Voltage characteristics. (Figure 12, Figure 13, Figure 14, and Figure 15). All those figures present predicted shapes. We can then noticed the MPP (Imp, Vmp) point for each module as well as the short circuit current. We also have access to the no load voltage Voc, and the slope that leads to the voltage drop (Voc-Vmp).

**Module A:** Experiments at 10h20 at 30°, 1/02/2013 - 60 ohms Load – 1.215 W.

![I-V Curve of module A](image1.png)

![Power Curve of module A](image2.png)

**Module B:** Experiments at 11h00 at 34°, 1/02/2013 - 60 ohms Load – 1.215 W.

![I-V Curve of module B](image3.png)

![Power Curve of module B](image4.png)

**Module C:** Experiments at 12h32 at 38°, 1/02/2013-60 ohms Load – 1.215 W.

![I-V Curve of module C](image5.png)

![Power Curve of module C](image6.png)
Module D: Experiments at 12h12 at 35°-1° 02/2013 - 60 ohms Load – 1.215 W.

Figure 15. Module D I-V and P-V characteristics

Figure 16. Power Curve of a 12 V PV Panel

Figure 17. Power Curve of a 24 V PV Panel

Figure 18. Power Curve of a 48 V PV Panel
Some other measurements have been carried out on March 25 between one o’clock PM to one thirty the day temperature was 42°C, the obtained experiments characteristics came to correspond to the expected ones, the maximum power of the 12 V PV panel was the lowest one 145 W, meanwhile the 24 V PV panel shown the greatest value of Pmp, 168W. The maximum value of the power delivered by 48V PV154W, it so came out that a PV panel system should be characterised before it current used (Figure 16, Figure 17, & Figure 18).

**Figure 19.** Simulated Power of an horizontal module

**Figure 20.** Simulated Power of a 25° declined module

**Figure 21.** Simulated Power of a module that tracks the sun
A judicious use of PVsyst based on the parameters of our systems as inputs of the software lead to a quantity of data results among which the solar fluxes, the cell temperatures, the MPP points, and the voltages, results from which we have deduced the Expected behavior [9,10,11,12] of the power the day along and this according to the geographic position (Figure 19, Figure 20, Figure 21).

We then proceed to experiments, measuring the power with the same conditions. The estimated curves of power correspond to the measured ones [13-20]. The MPP points are almost the same (Figure 22, & Figure 23).

Figure 22. Measured Power of a 10° declined module

Figure 23. Measured Power of a 25° declined module

It finally comes out that the best choice of a PV Panel should take into account: The maximum power (Wc), the cell temperature (NOCT), and the certifications IEC 61215 for crystalline modules or IEC 61646 for thin modules. Class protection II (SKL II) should also be respected for safety reasons.

In the study context, economic results shows that the installed PV system will generates 20 % maintenance more that if connected to the grid while the investment is seven times the price for a direct connection to the grid. So we can conclude that PV systems will be more appreciated even in town when the different components will be at accessible prices [6].

5. Conclusion

This manuscript discusses several issues related to analysis of PV systems bringing out some insights related to practical deployment in tropic regions. We hope our work constitutes new contributions beyond what is well known from textbooks and scientific literature. We have
also put on the spot what is readily provided by tools such as PVSSyot which has lead to the capability of designing and implementing such PV generators for domestic uses in tropical zone.

We have reached to interesting results related to simulated versus experimental results obtained for a module, and have found be of interest to extend this work further and report the results. For example, have a complete PV system has been installed, and instrumented for data logging. This system had included a battery and an inverter to power real loads over a period of one year. The system design parameters had taken into account the size of the PV array, the battery size, and the power rating of the inverter, as well as the power delivered to the loads. The data logged have so been used to evaluate the designed system and to make assessments on how the system design could be improved so that systems of this type would serve the local needs most economically.

We can here remind that it is important to know how to orientate a PV system depending on the geographic points, the month, and the moment during the day. A PV Panel should also be electrically characterised, its model should so be known and used for predictive needs. The Voltage-Current and the Power-Voltage curves could then be known leading to use the system with good efficiency. The handling of software such as PVSSyot might be of great helps since it could allow some simulations, and the variations of parameters. It could serve as a guide and may permit comparisons studies. Theoretical and experiments results may then be beneficially confronted. We would like to indicate here that PVSSyot Software provides detailed outputs, and useful data bases of sensors, batteries, and inverters, despite the fact that this is not sufficient. Its interface with users seems practice and of easy to use.
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Nomenclature

**MPP**: Maximum Power Operating Point  
**AM**: Air Mass is an Optical air mass that expresses the mass of the air in atmosphere that the sun radiations go through  
**Azimuth**: orientation with respect to the south counted negatively from the east.  
**NOCT**: Nominal Operating Cell Temperature which is the real operating temperature of the cells of a PV module that operates under 20°C, with an irradiance of 800W/m², a 1.5 AM spectrum, and a wind velocity of 1m/s. Normal values of NOCT are between 45° and 60°.  
**PV**: Photovoltaic.  
**LOLP**: Loss of Load Probability  
**IAM**: Incidence Angle Modifier.
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