Flooding Impairs Fe Uptake and Distribution in *Citrus* Due to the Strong Down-Regulation of Genes Involved in Strategy I Responses to Fe Deficiency in Roots
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Abstract

This work determines the effects of long-term anoxia conditions—21 days—on Strategy I responses to iron (Fe) deficiency in *Citrus* and its impact on Fe uptake and distribution. The study was carried out in *Citrus aurantium* L. seedlings grown under flooding conditions (S) and in both the presence (+Fe) and absence of Fe (-Fe) in nutritive solution. The results revealed a strong down-regulation (more than 65%) of genes *HA1* and *FRO2* coding for enzymes proton-ATPase and Ferric-Chelate Reductase (FC-R), respectively, in –FeS plants when compared with –Fe ones. H⁺-extrusion and FC-R activity analyses confirmed the genetic results, indicating that flooding stress markedly repressed acidification and reduction responses to Fe deficiency (3.1- and 2.0-fold, respectively). Waterlogging reduced by half Fe concentration in +FeS roots, which led to 30% up-regulation of Fe transporter *IRT1*, although this effect was unable to improve Fe absorption. Consequently, flooding inhibited 57Fe uptake in +Fe and –Fe seedlings (29.8 and 66.2%, respectively) and 57Fe distribution to aerial part (30.6 and 72.3%, respectively). This evidences that the synergistic action of both enzymes H⁺-ATPase and FC-R is the preferential regulator of the Fe acquisition system under flooding conditions and, hence, their inactivation implies a limiting factor of citrus in their Fe-deficiency tolerance in waterlogged soils.

Introduction

As an essential micronutrient for plants, iron (Fe) participates in fundamental life sustaining processes. Despite its abundance in many cultivated soils, its acquisition by crop plants is often impaired by certain soil properties, e.g., alkaline pH or high bicarbonate content [1]. *Citrus*, like other dicotyledonous species, presents Strategy I responses to Fe-deficiency [2,3,4], including: (a) enhanced proton extrusion into the rhizosphere, which lowers the soil solution pH and increases Fe³⁺ solubilisation through the activation of a specific H⁺-ATPase [5]; (b) increased...
capacity to reduce ferric (Fe$^{3+}$) to ferrous (Fe$^{2+}$) forms [6] mediated by the ferric chelate reductase (FC-R) enzyme [7,8]; and, (c) enhanced Fe$^{2+}$ uptake ability across the root cell membranes associated with the activation of a specific iron-regulated transporter (IRT) [9,10,11].

Genomic tools have contributed to a better understanding of the molecular and metabolic processes leading to Fe uptake in plants. With respect to proton release, some genes coding for Fe-regulated H$^+$-ATPases have been characterized [5]. Thus, in citrus roots, HA1 gene was induced in Fe-deficient roots, while HA2 did not respond to Fe-deficiency [4]. In the other hand, reduction response is encoded by FRO gene family and the main genes have been identified in several species [7]. FRO2, which is expressed in the epidermal cells of roots, is believed to be primarily responsible for enhancing FC-R activity due to Fe-deficiency and its overexpression confers tolerance to low Fe conditions [4,8]. Finally, IRT genes code for family members of zinc transporter proteins (ZIP) in Arabidopsis [9,11]. Among them, IRT1 gene is localized to the plasma membrane of epidermal cells in roots and its expression is induced by Fe-deficiency, generating the major transporter responsible for Fe uptake from the soil [4,10,11]. Recent works have focussed on characterising the response of the Fe-acquisition system in different citrus species and several inductive conditions of Fe-chlorosis; e.g. high contents of calcium carbonate, bicarbonate or metal cations in culture media [4,12,13,14,15]. However, very little knowledge about the influence of waterlogging conditions on Fe-chlorosis affection in citrus and in other plants is available.

Soil flooding has been widely reported to affect large areas of the world, generally in relation to poor soil drainage combined with excessive rainfall or irrigation. One major constraint deriving from excess water is the progressive reduction in both the soil O$_2$ concentration and redox potential, which leads to the formation of reduced compounds of either chemical or biochemical origin. Soil alterations under these conditions have been widely reported [16,17]. Accordingly, flooding effects on plants are related mainly to declining aerobic root respiration, which impairs ATP synthesis, which in turn disturbs the plant metabolism. Moreover, soil flooding induces a variety of physiological disturbances that alter plant growth [18], including reductions in water flux from roots, hormonal imbalances, altered carbohydrate distribution, deficient nutrient uptake, early leaf senescence and injury in organs, which sometimes precede plant death [19].

Although the response is variable among species and cultivars, Citrus is considered a flooding-sensitive crop that it responds to waterlogging by restricting stomatal conductance to prevent water loss [20,21]. This fact appears to be hormone-regulated and is associated with abscisic acid accumulating in leaves, which induces stomatal closure [22]. Under these conditions, net CO$_2$ assimilation by leaves subsequently reduces [20,23], which leads to altered carbohydrate distribution [24]. Impairment of the photosynthetic system may also generate excess reactive oxygen species (ROS) to result in oxidative damage to cells. It has been reported that coordinated antioxidant responses, involving the increased activity of superoxide dismutase and catalase, together with a modulation of the ascorbate-glutathione cycle, allow plants to cope with flooding-induced oxidative stress to a certain extent [25,26]. During prolonged soil flooding periods, reduced root hydraulic conductance [21,27,28] impairs water uptake, which causes leaf wilting and chlorosis [25]. Furthermore, the root signals and sensory mechanisms that trigger citrus responses to flooding have been recently described [21,22].

As a result of the root physiology dysfunction, flooding alters nutrient uptake and, therefore, the endogenous concentrations of macro- and microelements can be modified. Thus deficiencies in mineral elements, nutritional imbalances or changes in nutrient partitioning may appear in waterlogged plants, depending on plant species and soil type [29]. It has been reported that flooding alters nitrogen (N) pools and their partitioning in citrus as a result of reduced uptake and transport [24]. Moreover, in anaerobic soils, N may also be lost through denitrification.
processes [16], which occur because NO₃⁻ is the first electron acceptor to be reduced following O₂ depletion [30]. Additionally, waterlogging also prevents potassium (K) uptake and, therefore, lowers K concentrations in leaves [20,31], whereas it helps to other elements uptake by roots, such as copper and manganese [31].

Regarding Fe nutrition, anoxia conditions for several hours in flooded soils (short-term) promotes the reduction of Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ as a result of a lower soil redox potential [32,33]. Under these terms, excess uncontrolled Fe²⁺ uptake in acidic soils leads to very high Fe concentrations in plant tissues [16], which present toxicity symptoms, such as blackened root tips, inhibited root growth, and necrotic spots on leaves commonly known as bronzing [34]. These effects are attributed mainly to the oxygen stress induced by free radical generation [35]. In the other hand, long-term anoxia in the root zone leads to diminished Fe uptake [33]. A widely accepted theory to explain this effect is that in soils maintained during a long period of time under O₂ deprivation conditions, CO₂ concentration increases as a result of partially aerobic respiration and/or fermentation by roots, soil bacteria and fungi. When lime soils are flooded, the increased CO₂ concentration combines with CaCO₃ and H₂O to form additional HCO₃⁻, which inhibits Fe uptake by plants, and consequently leads to Fe chlorosis [14,36,37,38].

Further research is needed to provide insights into the intrinsic factors that limit Fe uptake by citrus roots under flooding conditions, and even in the absence of bicarbonate ions. For this purpose, we studied the effects of long-term anoxia conditions on Strategy I responses to Fe-deficiency in sour orange seedlings (*Citrus aurantium* L.) and the subsequent impact on Fe uptake and distribution in plants.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant material and pre-conditioning**

*Citrus aurantium* (L.) seeds were germinated in a glasshouse using a sterile substrate comprising peat, coconut fibre, sand and perlite (50:25:20:5) supplemented with 1.38 g kg⁻¹ calcium superphosphate. They were irrigated twice weekly with the following nutrient solution: 1.5 mM Ca(NO₃)₂, 1.5 mM KNO₃, 1 mM MgSO₄, 1.2 mM H₃PO₄, 20 µM Fe-EDDHA, 23.2 µM H₃BO₃, 27.2 µM MnSO₄·H₂O, 3.8 µM ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.27 µM MoO₃ and 0.25 µM CuSO₄·5H₂O. The nutrient solution pH was adjusted to 6.0 with 1 M KOH or 1 M H₂SO₄ [4,33].

After 4 months, seedlings were selected based on uniformity of size and transplanted individually to opaque plastic 500-mL pots filled with coarse sand. Seedlings were then separated into two groups and fed with the above nutrient solution at a 2-fold strength and pH 7.5 either with or without 20 µM Fe-EDDHA (plants +Fe and -Fe, respectively). Seedlings were grown for 2 weeks under glasshouse conditions (16-h photoperiod, 250 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetic photon flux density, 400–700 nm, 16–18/26–28°C night/day temperatures and 80% relative humidity, RH).

**Induction of flooding stress**

After pre-conditioning, plants from each group of the Fe-nutrition state (plants +Fe and -Fe) were divided into two subgroups. One subgroup of each Fe-state was well irrigated (Ct: control plants) 3 times/week with 200 mL of its corresponding nutrient solution either with or without 20 µM Fe-EDDHA (+FeCt and -FeCt, respectively). Any excess solution was drained out of the pot to therefore avoid salt from accumulating in sand. The other subgroups were introduced into separate plastic water tanks (49 x 39 x 14 cm) and underwent flooding stress treatments (S) by submerging pots in their corresponding nutrient solution either with or without 20 µM Fe-EDDHA (+FeS or -FeS, respectively). Whenever necessary, the nutritive solution was supplemented to maintain the water level 4 cm above the sand surface. An opaque plastic sheet
was used to cover the container surfaces to avoid algal proliferation. Plants were maintained under the same previously described glasshouse conditions for 3 weeks. Then the seedlings from each Fe-state and treatment were carefully removed from the pots, and roots were washed with tap water to eliminate sand. Finally, whole seedlings were rinsed with de-ionised water before being processed for further measurements.

**Plant growth**

Six seedlings per Fe-state and water stress treatment were separated into leaves, stems and roots, and fresh weight was determined. Organs were dried in a forced-draft oven at 70°C for 48 h and were re-weighed to record plant dry weight (DW, in g).

**Photosynthetic parameters**

**Chlorophyll concentration.** The leaf chlorophyll (Chl) concentration per area was measured spectrophotometrically (*Lambda 25, PerkinElmer*, Shelton, CT, USA) according to Moran and Porath [39]. Leaf disks were cut with a calibrated cork borer (Ø = 7 cm), incubated in 6 mL n,n-dimethylformamide at 4°C for 24 h and centrifuged for 15 min at 6,000 g and 4°C. The supernatant was left for 1 h in the presence of Na₂SO₄ and absorbance was measured at 664 nm and 647 nm. Measurements were taken on the two youngest fully expanded leaves of six seedlings per Fe-state and flooding treatment. The average value of the two leaves was considered to be representative of each individual plant.

**Photosynthetic activity.** The net CO₂ assimilation rate (A_CO₂) of single attached leaves was measured outdoors between 10:00 am and 11:30 am on a sunny day, which allowed measurements to be taken under relatively stable conditions. Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) on the leaf surface was adjusted to a photon flux density of 1,000 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. A closed gas exchange (CIRAS-2, *PP-systems*, Hitchin, UK) was used for the measurements. Leaf laminae were fully enclosed within a PLC 6 (U) universal leaf autocuvette in a closed circuit model and were kept at 25±0.5°C with a leaf-to-air vapour deficit of about 1.7 Pa. The air flow rate through the cuvette was 500–1,500 mL min⁻¹. Ten consecutive measurements were taken at 3-second intervals. Measurements were taken on the two youngest fully expanded leaves of all six seedlings per Fe-state and flooding treatment. The average value of the two leaves was considered to be representative of each individual plant.

**Total Fe concentration**

Six seedlings per Fe-state and flooding treatment were separated into leaves, stems and roots, and were rinsed in distilled water containing a non-ionic detergent and finally 3 times in distilled water. Organs were dried in a forced-draft oven at 70°C for 48 h and dry weight was determined. Then samples were ground into a fine powder using a laboratory ball mill (*Retsch MM301*, Haan, Germany). Dried tissues (0.5 g) were burnt for 12 h in a muffle furnace at 550°C. Fe was extracted with 2% v/v nitric acid (Hiperpur Panreac, Fe<1 ppb) in an ultrasonic bath (*Fungilab S.A.*, Sant Feliu de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain) at 40°C for 30 min to obtain a final volume of 50 mL. All the analyses were carried out with ultrapure water (*Ultra Pure Water Systems Milli Q Plus*). The total Fe concentration ([Fe]_t, in μg g⁻¹ DW) was recorded by atomic absorption (*Aanalyst200, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA*).

**₅⁷Fe-labelling treatment**

To determine the effect of different 3-week treatments (+Fe, -Fe, +FeS and -FeS) on the Fe uptake and transport capacity of each group of seedlings, these were exposed to nutrient solution
to which a stable isotope $^{57}$Fe was added (95.6% atom $^{57}$Fe excess, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc., Andover, MA, USA) and $^{57}$Fe enrichment was measured in different plant organs (leaves, stems and roots). For this purpose, immediately after treatments, three sets of six seedlings per Fe-state and flooding treatment were placed for 24 h in bakers with 250 mL of Fe nutrient solution at pH 7.5 and were supplemented with 0.0 $^{57}$FeEDDHA to reach a final concentration of 100 $\mu$M. To guarantee anoxia air conditions in the labelling solutions, the incubation media of the waterlogged plants were bubbled with nitrogen. The control solutions were bubbled instead with oxygen to simulate normal air conditions. This assay was performed in a controlled environmental chamber (Sanyo MCR-350H, Sanyo Electric Biochemical Co., Japan) under continuous light (200 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, 400–700 nm) at 28°C and 80% RH. After labelling, extracellular $^{57}$Fe (from cell walls and apoplast) was pulled to obtain an accurate $^{57}$Fe concentration measurement in roots [40]. To this end, plants were maintained for 5 min in a desorption solution, comprising 5 mM MES-NaOH (pH 5.0), 5 mM CaCl$_2$, 5 mM sodium ascorbate and 1 mM FeSO$_4$. Sodium ascorbate and FeSO$_4$ were added immediately prior to use and the desorption solution was readjusted to pH 5.0.

Then plants were separated into leaves, stems and roots, and were rinsed in distilled water containing a non-ionic detergent and finally 3 times in distilled water. Organs were dried in a forced-draft oven at 70°C for 48 h and dry weight was determined. Then samples were ground into a fine powder using a laboratory ball mill (Retsch MM301, Haan, Germany). Dried tissues (0.5 g) were burnt for 12 h in a muffle furnace at 550°C. Fe was extracted with 2% v/v nitric acid (Hiperpur Panreac, Fe<1 ppb) in an ultrasonic bath (Fungilab S.A., Sant Feliu de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain) at 40°C for 30 min to a final volume of 50 mL. $^{57}$Fe enrichment ($\Delta^{57}$Fe, $^{57}$Fe in excess, as a %) was measured by multiple collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry of high mass resolution (MC-ICPMS, Thermo Finnigan Neptune, Delaware, USA). Finally, the concentration of $^{57}$Fe in excess ($[^{57}$Fe]$_e$, in $\mu$g $^{57}$Fe g$^{-1}$ DW) and the content of $^{57}$Fe in excess ($[^{57}$Fe]$_e$, in $\mu$g $^{57}$Fe) were determined [14].

**Root RNA extraction and real-time RT-PCR analysis**

Gene expression was determined in the root tips of plants +Fe, -Fe, +FeS and -FeS. Immediately after treatments, the roots of three sets of six seedlings per Fe-state and flooding treatment were rinsed 3 times in distilled water, frozen at -80°C and nitrogen-powered. Total RNA was extracted from approximately 0.5 g of frozen root tissue using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). RNA samples were treated with RNase-free DNase (Qiagen) through column purification following the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA quality (OD$_{260}$/OD$_{280}$ ratio) and concentration were determined spectrophotometrically (Nanodrop Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Delaware, USA). A quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was run in a LightCycler 2.0 Instrument (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), equipped with the Light Cycler Software, version 4.0. Reactions contained 2.5 units of MultiScribe Reverse Transcriptase (Applied Biosystems, Roche Molecular Systems, New Jersey, USA), 1 unit of RNase inhibitor (Applied Biosystems), 2 $\mu$L Fast Start DNA Master PLUS SYBR Green I (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany), 25 ng of total RNA and 0.250 $\mu$L of the specific forward and reverse primers of each gene in a total volume of 10 $\mu$L. Incubations were carried out at 48°C for 30 min, 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles at 95°C for 2 s, 58°C for 8 s and 72°C for 8 s. The fluorescent intensity data were acquired during the 72°C-extension step and were transformed into relative mRNA values using a 10-fold dilution series of an RNA sample as a standard curve. The relative mRNA levels were then normalised to total RNA amounts [41], and an expression value of 1 was arbitrarily assigned to the values of the +FeCt seedlings. Actin was used as the reference gene [42]. The specificity of the
amplification reactions was assessed by post-amplification dissociation curves and by sequencing the reaction product. The resolution of the curve expressions was confirmed.

Putative genes were identified by a homology search with related genes from “Haploid Clementine Genome, International Citrus Genome Consortium, http://www.phytozome.net/clementine” [43]. Synthetic oligonucleotides were designed to amplify the gene from the selected clones and, as mentioned previously, were sequenced for confirmation. The specific primers for

- HA1 F: 5’ - GGACGCGTTTGGTGTAAGAT - 3’
  R: 5’ - GAAGTCCAGGGCGTTCAATA - 3’
- FRO2 F: 5’ - GGAAGGCCAAAAAAGATG - 3’
  R: 5’ - CAGCCAAGAAACACACAGCAA - 3’
- IRT1 F: 5’ - CTCAGTTGGAGCCACAAACA - 3’
  R: 5’ - GTACTCCGCTGAAGAATGC - 3’
were used to amplify the fragments of the respective genes by qRT-PCR [4]. At least three independent RNA extractions per treatment and three RT-PCR reactions with three technical replicates per sample were performed.

Acidification of root media

To quantitatively determine H⁺ extrusion, three sets of six seedlings per Fe-state and flooding treatment were transferred to beakers containing 250 mL of their corresponding nutrient solution, adjusted to pH 7.5 with 5 mM NaOH (Panreac, Barcelona, Spain). Beakers were completely covered with aluminium foil to exclude light and solutions were bubbled continuously with air. Plants were maintained in a controlled environment chamber (Sanyo MCR-350H, Sanyo Electric Biochemical Co, Japan) under continuous light (200 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetic photon flux density, 400–700 nm) at 28°C and 80% RH. Medium acidification was determined by measuring pH changes in the nutrient solution after an 8-hour incubation period (pHmeter, Consort C531, Turnhout, Belgium).

Fe Chelate Reductase (FC-R) activity

FC-R activity was determined by measuring the formation of Fe^{2+} and the BPDS (bathophenanthroline-disulfonic acid disodium salt hydrate) complex from Fe^{3+}-EDTA [44]. Tips of apical root segments (about 5–8 mm long) with a total fresh weight of 0.020 g from six seedlings per Fe-state and flooding treatment, were rinsed with 0.2 mM CaSO₄•C₁₂H₂O for 5 min. Then they were incubated in 10 mL of fresh nutrient solution (without Fe) supplemented with 0.3 mM BPDS (Acros Organics, New Jersey, USA) and 100 μM Fe^{3+}-EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). The pH of the assay solution was previously adjusted to pH 5.5 with 5 mM MES-NaOH (morpholineethanesulfonic acid) (Panreac, Barcelona, Spain). The beaker was completely covered with aluminium foil to exclude light at 23°C. After an incubation period of 1–6 h, aliquots were removed hourly and absorbance at 535 nm was determined by a spectrophotometer (Mikrowin 2000, Asys, Eugendorf, Austria). BPDS forms a stable, water-soluble red complex with Fe^{2+} and only a weak complex with Fe^{3+}. The amount of reduced Fe was calculated by the Fe^{2+}-(BPDS)₃ complex concentration by applying an extinction coefficient of 22.14 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹, and was expressed in μmol Fe^{2+} reduced g⁻¹ root FW h⁻¹.

Statistical analyses

The experiment was a completely randomised 2 x 2 factorial design with two Fe-state and two water treatments. The values of DW, chlorophyll content, A₀₂, [Fe]₀ concentration and FC-R
activity were the mean of six independent plants per Fe-state and flooding treatment. The values of $^{57}$Fe\(_{e}\) concentration, acidification of root media and RT-PCR analysis were the mean of three replications (six plants each) per Fe-state and flooding treatment. Data were submitted to an analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Statgraphics Plus, version 5.1 (Statistical Graphics, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA), prior to testing for normality and homogeneity. When the ANOVA showed a statistical effect, means were separated by multiple comparison procedure to determine which means are significantly different from which others using Fisher’s least significant differences (LSD) test at the 95% confidence level ($P < 0.05$). Within each row, treatments with same letter form a group of means within which there are no statistically significant differences.

### Results

#### Plant growth

Three weeks after plants were exposed to flooding treatment, plants developed chlorosis symptoms in the apical leaves, and even more quickly in the plants submitted to Fe-deficiency. On a DW basis (Table 1), the treatment lacking Fe (-FeCt) lowered the biomass of leaves and stems, but not significantly that of roots when compared to the plants grown in Fe-supplied nutrient solution (+FeCt). After 21 days of waterlogging, only the DW of roots lowered in both plants +FeS and -FeS vs. their corresponding controls (plants +FeCt and -FeCt, respectively). However, no differences were observed in leaves and roots as a result of the flooding treatments. Finally, the combination of Fe-state and water stress significantly affected stems biomass.

#### Table 1. Dry weight (g), concentration of total Fe ([Fe]t), concentration of $^{57}$Fe in excess ($^{57}$Fe\(_{e}\)) and content of $^{57}$Fe in excess ($^{57}$Fee) in the leaves, stems and roots measured in *Citrus aurantium* seedlings.

|                  | +Fe Ct | +Fe S | -Fe Ct | -Fe S | Fe S | Fe x S |
|------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|------|--------|
| **DW (g)**       |        |       |        |       |      |        |
| Leaves           | 10.33a | 10.04a| 5.91b  | 5.87b | ***  | ns     |
| Stems            | 4.65a  | 4.25b | 3.18c  | 3.00c | ***  | *      |
| Roots            | 2.86a  | 2.07b | 2.50a  | 1.81b | **   | ***    |
| **[Fe]t (µg Fe g\(^{-1}\) DW)** |        |       |        |       |      |        |
| Leaves           | 75.85a | 35.87b| 31.21b | 25.61b| ***  | ***    |
| Stems            | 6.42a  | 4.20b | 4.90b  | 4.25b | **   | ***    |
| Roots            | 496.72a| 248.08b| 127.42c| 114.83c| ***  | ***    |
| **$^{57}$Fe\(_{e}\) (µg $^{57}$Fe g\(^{-1}\) DW)** |        |       |        |       |      |        |
| Leaves           | 0.94b  | 0.68c | 2.49a  | 0.70c | ***  | ***    |
| Stems            | 0.29b  | 0.20c | 0.70a  | 0.17c | ***  | ***    |
| Roots            | 3.59b  | 2.52c | 7.33a  | 2.48c | ***  | ***    |
| **$^{57}$Fee (µg $^{57}$Fe plant\(^{-1}\))** |        |       |        |       |      |        |
| Whole seedling   | 21.35b | 12.89c| 35.16a | 9.13c | ***  | ***    |
| Aerial part      | 11.06b | 7.68c | 16.81a | 4.65c | ***  | ***    |
| Roots            | 10.29b | 5.21c | 18.35a | 4.48c | ***  | ***    |

Plants were grown for 21 days in Fe-sufficient (+Fe) or Fe-deficient (-Fe) nutrient solutions with the non-stressed (Ct) or the flooding treatment (S). For $^{57}$Fe determinations, plants were labelled immediately after treatments with 100 µM o,o-$^{57}$FeEDDHA for 24 h.

1Values for DW and [Fe] are the means of six independent plants per treatment ($n = 6$). Values for $^{57}$Fe\(_{e}\) and $^{57}$Fee are the means of three independent experiments ($n = 3$). For a comparison of the means, an ANOVA followed by the Fisher’s least significant differences (LSD) test, calculated at the 95% confidence level, was performed. Different letters in the same row indicate significant differences between the different genotypes and treatments. Levels of significance are represented by $P < 0.05$ (*), $P < 0.01$ (**), $P < 0.001$ (***), and ns (non-significant).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0123644.t001
Chlorophyll concentration and photosynthetic activity

Fe deficiency markedly reduced the Chl a and b concentration values, which were 83.9% and 82.2% lower in -FeCt than in +FeCt respectively (Table 2). Waterlogging also affected the chlorophyll content in leaves since the Chl a and b concentrations in the +FeS seedlings lowered by 39.0% and 67.3%, respectively, when compared with the +FeCt ones. However, the -FeS seedlings showed only a 52.1% reduction in the Chl b concentration if compared to the +FeCt ones. The Chl a/b ratio was 1.9- and 1.7-fold higher in the leaves from +FeS and -FeS, respectively, when compared with those from their corresponding control seedlings. Both Chl concentrations were significantly different when both factors were analyzed together, although Chl a/b ratio was not. At least, the leaf net CO2 assimilation rate ($A_{CO2}$) dropped by 2.2-fold in plants +FeS vs. the +FeCt ones, while no significant reduction was observed between the Fe-deprived plants. $A_{CO2}$ was also significantly affected by the nutritional state of the plant and water stress.

Iron concentration

The Fe-deprived (-FeCt) plants presented lower Fe concentration values in leaves, stems and roots than the Fe-supplied (+FeCt) plants (reductions of 58.8%, 23.8% and 74.3%, respectively; Table 1). Three weeks after the waterlogging treatment, the Fe concentration in the above organs was markedly reduced by flooding. Thus plants +FeS showed a markedly lower Fe concentration in organs (decreases of 52.7%, 34.5% and 50.0% in leaves, stems and roots, respectively) if compared to +FeCt organs. However, no significant effect of flooding on Fe concentration was observed between the organs of plants-FeS and -FeCt. Finally, the combination of Fe-state and water stress treatments significantly affected total Fe concentration in leaves, stems and roots.

$^{57}$Fe uptake

As observed in $^{57}$Fe determinations (Table 1), the -FeCt seedlings presented the highest [$^{57}$Fe$_e$] values in all the plant organs (above 2-fold higher than in +FeCt in all the fractions). When this parameter was examined in the plants submitted to waterlogging, the data revealed that [$^{57}$Fe$_e$] in the +FeS seedlings had lowered in all the plant organs (27.7%, 31.1% and 29.8% in leaves stems and roots, respectively) in comparison to the +FeCt seedlings. These reductions were much more marked in the Fe-starved plants since the [$^{57}$Fe$_e$] in leaves, stems and roots were, respectively, 71.9%, 75.7% and 66.2% lower in the -FeS than in the -FeCt seedlings. Finally, +FeS

Table 2. Chlorophyll (Chl) a and b contents, ratio a/b and gas exchange parameter ($A_{CO2}$) measured in fully developed leaves of Citrus aurantium seedlings.

|                | +Fe | -Fe | ANOVA |
|----------------|-----|-----|-------|
|                | Ct  | S   | Ct    | S   |
| Chl ($\mu$mol m$^{-2}$) |     |     |       |     |
| a              | 356.4a | 217.5b | 57.4c | 46.9c | *** | ** | ** |
| b              | 273.5a | 89.4b | 48.8c | 23.4d | *** | *** | *** |
| $A_{CO2}$ ($\mu$mol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | 6.8a | 3.1b | 2.5b | 1.3c | ** | ** | * |

Plants were grown for 21 days in Fe-sufficient (+Fe) or Fe-deficient (-Fe) nutrient solutions with the non-stressed (Ct) or the flooding treatment (S).

Values are the means of six independent plants per treatment ($n = 6$). For a comparison of the means, an ANOVA followed by the Fisher’s least significant differences (LSD) test, calculated at the 95% confidence level, was performed. Different letters in the same row indicate significant differences between the different genotypes and treatments. Levels of significance were represented by $P < 0.05$ (*), $P < 0.01$ (**), $P < 0.001$ (***) and ns (non-significant).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0123644.t002
and -FeS plants presented the lowest $[^{57}\text{Fe}]_{e}$ concentration in organs although no significant differences were found between them.

In aerated (Ct) plants, Fe-deficiency increased $[^{57}\text{Fe}]_{e}$ uptake by 64.7% when compared with the Fe-sufficient plants. Flooding treatment reduced $[^{57}\text{Fe}]_{e}$ absorption by 39.6% and 74.0% for seedlings +FeS and -FeS, respectively, in comparison to their corresponding controls. The amount of $[^{57}\text{Fe}]_{e}$ transported to the aerial part of seedlings (leaves + stem) followed the same pattern as that of $[^{57}\text{Fe}]_{e}$ absorbed by the whole plant. Thus the-FeCt seedlings accumulated 52.0% more $[^{57}\text{Fe}]_{e}$ in the top part of the plant than the +FeCt ones, whereas flooding treatment reduced this parameter in seedlings +FeS and -FeS to 30.6% and 72.3%, respectively when compared with their corresponding controls. The combination of Fe-deprivation and flooding stress reduced $[^{57}\text{Fe}]_{e}$ to 58.0% and 56.5% in the aerial part and roots, respectively.

### Acidification of media

When the plants were transferred to incubation media, H$^+$-extrusion started immediately in all the plant groups, although successive solution pH measurements showed that the acidification of media occurred more rapidly in the controls than in the waterlogged plants (results not shown). After an 8-hour incubation, seedlings-FCt exhibited the highest H$^+$-extrusion values (1.7-fold higher than +FeCt; Fig 1). Waterlogging similarly reduced the acidification capacity in both seedlings +FeS and -FeS (around 3.1-fold) when compared with their corresponding controls (+FeCt and -FeCt, respectively). Moreover, the interaction of both factors significantly altered H$^+$ extrusion in the roots.

### FC-R activity

The Fe-deprived (-FeCt) plants presented the highest Fe$^{3+}$-reducing activity in roots (3.3-fold higher than in +FeCt; Fig 1). However, waterlogging affected FC-R activity in each Fe-nutrition state differently. On the one hand, flooding in the Fe-supplied plants (+Fe) did not significantly alter reduction capacity, which remained at a low level. On the other hand, the amount of Fe$^{3+}$ reduced to Fe$^{2+}$ by roots from the -FeS seedlings diminished almost to half of that of those from -FeCt. Interestingly, -FeS and +FeS seedlings showed similar FC-R activities.

### Gene expression analysis

According to molecular study (Fig 2), plants-FeCt presented a marked increase in the abundance of the mRNA transcripts from the three genes (2.4-, 3.4- and 1.5-fold for $HA1$, $FRO2$ and $IRT1$, respectively) compared with the +FeCt ones as a result of Fe-deficiency. The flooding conditions diminished $HA1$ gene activity in seedlings +FeS and -FeS (1.3- and 2.8-fold, respectively) when compared with their corresponding controls (+FeCt and -FeCt, respectively; Fig 2). Interestingly, this decrement was specially important in-FeS seedlings which reached similar expression levels to +FeCt ones. The flooding treatments lowered the expression of gene $FRO2$, which regulates the FC-R enzyme, by 74.9% in the roots from-FeS compared to those from—FeCt, whereas the roots in +FeS and +FeCt remained at a similar level. Again, $FRO2$ expression in -FeS seedlings was markedly reduced to +FeCt levels. Finally, the mRNA transcripts abundance of iron transporter gene $IRT1$ was enhanced by flooding in the Fe-supplied plants roots, which was 1.3-fold higher in the roots of +FeS than in those of +FeCt. This effect was not observed in the Fe-starved plants (-FeS and -FeCt), where the $IRT1$ expression levels remained high.
The data presented herein confirm that induced Fe-deficiency in citrus seedlings has a repressive effect on plant biomass, which is far more important in the shoot than in the root fraction. Identical results have been described for pea [45], pear and olive [46], peach [47] and *Arabidopsis thaliana* [48]. After plants were exposed to the 21-day flooding treatment, loss of root biomass occurred in both Fe-nutritional states. This effect has been observed in several crops and differences between genotypes suggest variations in their mechanism of response to flooding conditions [49]. In *Rumex*, it was found a direct relation between a reduced root growth rate and altered root porosity, which was closely explained by a differential internal oxygen supply to roots [50]. In this sense, aerenchyma and an inducible barrier to radial oxygen loss facilitates root aeration in wetland species [51,52].

Additionally, chlorosis symptoms rapidly developed in the apical leaves of the plants submitted to Fe-deficiency, and even more quickly with the waterlogging treatment. The study of pigment contents showed that Fe deprivation reduces leaf Chl, which is consistent with previous reports indicating that Fe-deficiency lowered the leaf Chl concentration in plants such as peach [53], grapevine [54], *A. thaliana* [48], pea [55] and citrus [13,14]. The effect of
treatments enhancing Fe deficiency on Chl content has been ascribed to the role of Fe in the formation of some precursors of Chl biosynthesis [56]. Even more, flooding significantly lowered pigment contents, especially the Chl b level, more markedly in the +FeS plants, but also substantially in the -FeS plants. Accordingly, Ladygin [57] indicated an additive combined effect of Fe deficiency and root anoxia on the biochemical composition and structure of pea leaf...
chloroplast. The higher chl a/b ratio observed in the leaves of flooded plants assumed a faster degradation of Chl b than of Chl a. This is indicative of the advancing reduction of the light-harvesting chl a/b-protein complex in chloroplast membranes in comparison to the chl a-protein complexes of the reaction centres of PS I and II [57].

Fe-deprivation also suppressed $A_{CO_2}$ rate, and root anoxia significantly reduced this parameter in the leaves of plants +FeS and -FeS. A lower $A_{CO_2}$ value, due to Fe-deficient conditions, is a well-known response in citrus and other plant species [13,14,53,58]. In line with our findings, the suppression of $A_{CO_2}$ and intensification of dark respiration in leaves are characteristic root hypoxia features under optimum mineral nutrition conditions of plants [24,25,57]. The data in Table 2 indicate that the combined action of the disruption of Fe uptake and root anoxia caused a further drop in the photosynthesis rate by a factor of 5.2. This effect has been previously described in pea-flooded plants [57]. Accordingly, Fe deficiency and root anoxia develop different and independent action mechanisms on the leaf chloroplast structure and function, and their effects are additive when both stresses occur simultaneously [57].

Finally, typical responses under flooding conditions involve changes in the mineral element content in plants [18]. As expected, the Fe concentration of the citrus plants submitted to Fe-deficiency significantly reduced in all plant organs. Hence this, and also a long-term flooding treatment, caused the Fe concentration of all +FeS plants fractions to drastically lower. A previous report has demonstrated that exposure of other species to waterlogging stress markedly reduces the concentration of this element in plants [33,59]. This suggests that waterlogging stress promotes Fe deficiency in citrus, likely through the impairment of Fe uptake in plants. Therefore, the next step was to study the mechanisms whereby flooding limits Fe acquisition by citrus roots, and the regulation of Strategy I responses in the root system under these conditions.

Firstly, RT-PCR analysis indicated that flooding drastically disrupts the induction of $HA1$ gene expression, despite the lower Fe nutritional state of plants after the waterlogging treatment when compared with their control plants. Consistently with this, the amount of H$^+$ that flooded plants released to the media diminished, which suggests the inactivation of the plasma membrane H$^+$-ATPase enzyme from root epidermal cells. Conversely, it is widely accepted that the enhanced acidification capacity of root rhizosphere is the first step response of roots to Fe-deficiency and to, therefore, improve the Fe-acquisition system against Fe-limiting conditions [60]. So the disruption of H$^+$ extrusion to media by waterlogging prevents a transmembrane electrochemical gradient from being generated, which constitutes the driving force for Fe$^{2+}$ uptake [61]. When roots suffer from prolonged O$_2$ deprivation, mitochondrial respiration is inhibited and oxidative phosphorylation is interrupted, and both cause loss of energy in plants. Thus absence of electron acceptors leads to NAD(P)H accumulation and to reduced ATP production [62]. Although the energy flow is redirected through alternative anaerobic reactions, these processes (e.g., production of lactate, ethanol, etc.) lead to a very modest energy harvest. Under such conditions, the drop in the cytoplasmic ATP level is sharp and H$^+$-pumps, major consumers of ATP, work at a very low activity rate, which results in a poorer H$^+$ export across the plasma membrane [63]. Consequently, the resting potential in the plasma membrane diminishes and comes close to the diffusion potential, and H$^+$ availability for symporters is drastically reduced. Hence, membrane depolarisation likely disturbs, and even inverts, the inward driving force for cations, and the diffusion potential might actually promote ions to leak out of the cell. Moreover, Fe may also be immobilised in the root apoplast, probably due to enhanced apoplastic pH [4,14,64].

We also monitored the reduction capacity of the roots, reported as the second mechanism of response involved in the Fe-uptake system on the root surface [7]. The enhanced expression level of gene $FRO2$ in the -FeCt plants presented herein confirms the inductive behaviour of enzyme FC-R as a result of Fe-deficiency, which indicates a strong Fe$^{3+}$ reduction response in
citrus roots [4] and other species [8,65]. However, the effect of the waterlogging treatment on the -FeS plants not only impaired the induction of gene FRO2, but also sharply lowered its expression level to similar values like those recorded in the +Fe plants. This suggests that the expression of gene FRO2 under waterlogging conditions is not regulated by the plant’s Fe-nutritional state. In line with this, Lucena et al. [37] reported the inhibited expression of those genes that encode for enzyme FC-R in Fe-chlorotic plants induced by bicarbonate ion, probably through an altered expression of Fe efficiency reactions (FER or FER-like) transcription factors. Besides FRO2 down-regulation, enzyme FC-R activity, measured in-FeS roots, strongly paralleled the gene’s behaviour pattern. However, it is noteworthy that other factors, such as lack of NADH deriving from oxygen depletion, likely exerts an adverse effect on FC-R activity. Absence of O2 for a short time period generates a more negative redox potential in roots, which favours the formation of NADH and NADPH [66,67], these being the main electron donors for the reduction of Fe3+ to Fe2+ [68]. This should favour FC-R activity in roots and should, therefore, increase Fe2+ availability to plants under short-term flooding conditions, as previously reported by Zude-Sasse and Schaffer [33]. However, a long-term O2 deficit enhances ROS production and, since the reactions to cope with cellular oxidative damage [69] need to consume electron donors [70,71], NADH availability for Fe-reduction activity in waterlogged plants is restricted.

A clear inverse relation also appears between the Fe-nutritional state of roots, measured by Fe concentration, and the expression level of gene IRT1, which has been demonstrated to encode a major transporter responsible for high-affinity metal uptake [10,11]. Thus the reduction to a half on the Fe concentration in +FeS roots increased gene IRT1 activity in these roots by about 30%, whereas a 77% decrease in the Fe concentration in-FeS roots resulted in transcript level abundance, which increased by almost 60% when compared with +FeCt roots. This observation supports the key role of IRT1 in the reaction of citrus roots to Fe-deficiency conditions by enhancing root Fe-transport capacity, just as previous reports have indicated [9,10,11,72]. However, induction of gene IRT1 expression under waterlogged conditions was unable to improve Fe uptake by roots for it to reach the same level as in the Fe-deficient (-FeCt) plants.

The observations made above evidence that the synergistic action of both H+-ATPase and FC-R enzymes is the preferential regulator of the Fe acquisition system. Some authors have reported that in well aerated soils, Fe uptake by roots is inhibited when these were exposed to other stress conditions, which affects acidification and/or reduction capacity [4,14,38,46,55]. Impairment in the regulation of genes HA1 and FRO2 in waterlogging-stressed seedlings leads to the blockage of Fe uptake capacity, as supported by 57Fe labelling experiments. As expected, the lower Fe concentration recorded in -FeCt roots, in comparison to that in the +FeCt ones, resulted in the strongest 57Fe uptake after the labelling experiment given the induction of Strategy I responses [4,54,55]. Accordingly, Fox et al. [40] obtained and increase in Fe uptake induced by Fe-deficiency by using a 59Fe chelate on Pisum sativum. Furthermore, previous reports of Fe transport to the upper portions of Citrus plants carried out using 55Fe [3] and 57Fe [14], suggested that the 55Fe transported to the stems and leaves was proportional to Fe actively absorbed by roots [3], and it was related with the tolerance of genotypes under Fe-deficient conditions [3,4,14]. However in plants -FeS, no increase in 57Fe uptake was detected despite the low Fe concentration in roots (similar to that in-FeCt roots). Moreover, long-term flooding treatment also generated a lower Fe concentration in the roots of the Fe-supplied (+FeS) plants when compared with that of the +FeCt ones, although 57Fe uptake was lower in the latter than in the former. So the 57Fe uptake data indicate that regardless of the plant’s nutritional status, waterlogging markedly impairs Fe uptake by roots, being major causes of this constraint the inhibitory effects of anoxia on HA1 and FRO2 genes likely of the limited energy supply derived from the anaerobic metabolism, which may not suffice to maintain the Fe
uptake process [4,14,64]. Consequently, $^{57}$Fe transport and distribution to the aerial part under flooding conditions was also markedly blocked. This impairment was previously observed in citrus seedlings induced to Fe-deficiency by the presence of ion bicarbonate [14]. However, the regulation mechanism differed from the results obtained under flooding conditions. In that case, although HA1 and FRO2 genes were up-regulated, $^{57}$Fe transport was reduced because bicarbonate because of the high amounts of Fe trapped in the apoplast of root cells, due to increased apoplast pH.

It is worth mentioning the particular case of lime alkaline soils if we consider their ability to induce Fe-deficiency in citrus and other species, especially under flooding conditions. It is here where bicarbonate production is enhanced as long-term waterlogging leads to oxygen depletion and CO$_2$ accumulation due to a hindered gas exchange from soil solution to ambient air [33]. Thus it is well-known that a high bicarbonate level induces Fe deficiency in plants through buffering soil solution to inhibit FC-R induction, which enhances the alkalisation of xylem sap and the cell apoplast, and prevents Fe uptake and transport from roots to shoots [14,38,73]. However, our experiment was performed in the absence of HCO$_3^-$, which indicates that inhibition of Fe uptake by flooding under field conditions is not due only to the presence of this ion.

Finally, it has been widely demonstrated that the citrus rootstocks which better tolerate Fe-deficiency are those that present clear Strategy I responses to enhanced H$^+$-ATPase and FC-R activities in roots [2,3,4]. Flooding prevents these responses from developing in roots and, therefore, disables plants to react against low Fe levels in the medium, thus reducing their tolerance to Fe-deficiency. From the results presented herein, we conclude that long-term waterlogging markedly reduces Fe uptake in citrus because these conditions impair the responses of roots to Fe-deficiency, firstly through H$^+$-ATPase inactivation and then by preventing FC-R activity from being induced.
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