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ABSTRACT

The study determined the physical properties of finger millet (FM) (Elusine coracana) grains and the functional properties of FM flour. Physical properties such as colour attributes, sample weight, bulk density, true density, porosity, surface area, sample volume, aspect ratio, sphericity, dimensional properties and moisture content of grain cultivars were determined. Water absorption capacity (WAC), bulk density (BD), dispersibility, viscosity, and micro-structure of FM flours were also evaluated. Data collected were analyzed using SPSS statistical software version 23.0. Results showed that milky cream cultivar was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than other samples in sample weight, bulk density, true density, aspect ratio and sphericity. However, pearl millet, used as a control, was significantly different from FM flour on all dimensional properties. The moisture content of milky cream showed a higher significant difference for both grains and flours as compared to brown and black grain/flours. The milky cream cultivar was significantly different in L, b, C, H^4 values, WAC, BD, and dispersibility for both FM grains and flours. Data showed that brown flour was significantly higher in viscosity than in milky and black flours. Microstructure results revealed that starch granules of raw FM flours had oval/spherical and smooth surfaces. The study is important for agricultural and food engineers, designers, scientists, and processors in the design of equipment for FM grain processing. Results are likely to be useful in assessing the quality of grains used to fortify FM flour.
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INTRODUCTION

Finger millet (FM), also known as ragi (Takhellambam et al., 2016) or tamba (Jideani, Takeda, and Hizukuri, 1996), is consumed without dehulling (Gull et al., 2015). The grains are staple cereal food in some parts of Africa and India (Saleh, et al., 2013; Siwela et al., 2010). Although a gluten-free grain with a low-glycemic index CGI with nutritional and nutraceutical advantages, FM is neglected and underutilized (Amadou et al., 2013; Jideani and Jideani, 2011). FM belongs to the family Poaceae and originated in Ethiopia (Shiihii et al., 2011) before reaching India (Siwela et al., 2010). In terms of production in semi-arid regions, FM ranks fourth after sorghum, PM and foxtail millet (Shiihii et al., 2011; Upadhyyaya et al., 2011).

The grains contain a high amount of calcium which is an essential macro-nutrients necessary for growing children, pregnant women and the elderly. This is due to calcium’s importance for normal growth of body tissue such as strengthening bone and teeth. FM has also been reported to be rich in essential amino acids, such as methionine, tryptophan and lysine (Jideani, 2012). FM contains low amounts fat which contributes to reducing risks of diabetes mellitus and gastro-intestinal tract disorders (Muthamilarasan et al., 2016). According to Jideani (2012), FM grains are also a good source of carbo-hydrates, phosphorus, magnesium and iron. The grains are also rich in vitamin B complex such as thiamine, riboflavin, folic acid and niacin (Gull et al., 2015; Saleh et al., 2013). Utilization of the plant involves its use as a folk medicine for treatment of liver disease, measles, pleurisy, pneumonia and small pox (Bachar et al., 2013). Starch extracted from FM grains are used in the pharmaceutical industries in the preparation of granules for tablets and capsule dosages (Shiihii et al., 2011). Application of grains also involves its use in the preparation of baked products, composite flour, weaning foods, beverage and non-beverage products (Poutanen, 2012; Verma and Patel, 2013).

FM grains are found in different shapes, sizes and colours with the predominant colour being brown (Vadivoo, Joseph, & Ganesan, 1998). The physical properties of cereal grains include moisture content, 1000 sample weight, bulk density, true density, porosity, aspect ratio, sample volume, sample surface area and perpendicular dimensions (length, width and thickness) (Vannramkhasti et al., 2008). Current review of literature shows that physical properties of grains have been conducted on major cereal grains such as, wheat, rough rice and maize (Sangamithra et al., 2016; Vannramkhasti et al., 2008) when compared to millets, such as FM, foxtail millet, little millet, kodo millet, common millet and banyard
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millet (Balasubramanian and Viswanathan, 2010). Studies have also been conducted on legumes such as cowpea seeds, soy bean and bambara groundnuts (Bhattacharya & Malleshi, 2012; Jideani et al., 1996). However, data on physical properties of FM grain cultivars is still insufficient, especially in sub-Saharan Africa with few studies reported in Asian countries such as India. The knowledge of the physical and functional properties will be useful in new product development (Faleye, Atere, Oladipo, and Agaja, 2013). Functional properties of cereal grains are the fundamental physico-chemical properties that reflect the complex interaction between the structure, molecular components, and composition and physico-chemical properties of food components. The functional property of food is defined as physical, chemical and/or organoleptic properties of food. Examples of functional properties of food include viscosity, foaming capacity, water absorption capacity, dispersibility, bulk density, oil absorption capacity and swelling capacity (Kumari & Raghuvanshi, 2015). The objective of this study was to determine the physical properties of FM grains and the functional properties of FM flour.

Materials and methods

Sorting of finger millet grains

Mixed grain cultivars were purchased from local market of Ahmadnagar Maharashtra. Foreign materials were removed from the grains by immersion in clean water. After drying, the mixed grains were sorted into three different cultivars (80% - milky creamy, 97% - brown and 85% - black) based on sample colour (Figure 1). PM (Pennisetum glaucum) was used as control. The grain samples were randomly selected and 20 replicates were performed for dimensional properties (length, width and thickness). The determination of other physical properties such as moisture content, 1000 sample weight, bulk density, true density, porosity, aspect ratio, sample volume and sample surface area were performed in 5 replicates for each grain cultivar. Colour attributes were performed in 3 replicates for FM grain cultivars and flours. Functional properties of FM flours such as WAC, BD, dispersibility and viscosity (cold and cooked paste) were performed in triplicates.

Preparation of finger millet flour

The sorted samples were then soaked in cold water for 24 hour at 30°C. The soaked sample was dried at 60°C for 24 hour using hot air oven to a moisture content of 10–12%. The milky creamy, brown and black cultivars were milled into FM flour using Retsh ZM 200 miller at 18000 rpm for 3 minutes and sieved at 100 μm. The samples were then packed and sealed in a polythene bag for further analysis (Saleh et al., 2013). All the reagents used in this study were purchased from Ahmadnagar district.

Moisture content on wet basis

The moisture content (%) was determined with hot air oven drier using the method 44–15.02 (AACC, 2000) using Eq. (1). A dry coded, clean crucible was placed in the oven for about 30 min, cooled and weighed. Four grams of FM grain cultivars and FM flours were weighed into the crucible, and recorded. The samples were dried at 101–105 °C for 24 hour, removed and cooled until a constant weight was obtained. The results of moisture content (%) was calculated thus:

\[
\%\text{moisture} = \frac{W_2-W_3}{W_2-W_1} \times 100
\]

where:

- \(W_1\) = weight of empty crucible
- \(W_2\) = weight of crucible + flour before drying
- \(W_3\) = weight of crucible + flour after drying

Dimensional properties

A total of twenty seeds were randomly selected from each cultivar milky cream, brown, black, and the control. Three different dimensional properties (mm) were determined by measuring the length (L), width (W) and thickness (T) of the grains using a venier digital caliper at an accuracy of 0.01 mm (Mpotokwane, Gaditlhatlhelwe, Sebaka, and Jideani, 2008).

Geometric mean diameter

The geometric mean diameter (mm) was determined based on the measured dimensions of finger millet samples using Eq. (2) (Mpotokwane et al., 2008).

\[
\text{Geometric mean diameter (Dg)} = (L \times W \times T)^{1/3}
\]

Figure 1: Finger millet grain cultivars: A = 80% milky creamy, B = 85% black, C = 97% brown and D = pearl millet. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
where: L = length, W = width, T = thickness.

**Arithmetic mean diameter**
The arithmetic mean diameter (mm) of the sample was obtained using the methods of Mpotokwane et al. (2008). Arithmetic mean diameter was calculated from the dimensional values using Eq. (3):

\[
(D_a) = \frac{L + W + T}{3}
\]

where: L = length, W = width, T = thickness.

**One thousand (1000) sample weight**
Thousand sample weight was determined by weighing, recording the weight and counting manually the number of the sample. The grain samples were weighed using digital electronic balance with 0.01 g accuracy (Adam CPW plus-150p, USA) (Sangamithra et al., 2016).

**Bulk density**
Bulk density (kg/m³) is described as the ratio of the mass of the sample to its total volume (Vannamkhasti et al., 2008). It was determined by filling a 500 mL cylinder with grains using method of Mariotti, Alamprese, Pagani, and Lucisano (2006). Bulk density (kg/m³) was calculated as a ratio between the sample weight and the volume of the cylinder using Eq. (4):

\[
\text{Bulk density} = \frac{\text{Sample weight}}{\text{Volume}}
\]

**True density**
The true density (kg/m³) was determined by the liquid displacement method using a top loading balance. A total of 100 g of grains were immersed in graduated beaker containing distilled water. The amount of water displacement was recorded using Eq. (5) (Karababa and Coşkuner, 2013).

\[
Pt = \frac{30-g}{V_1 - V_2}
\]

where: Pt = true density, V1 = initial volume and V2 = final volume.

**Porosity**
Porosity (%) is defined as the fraction of the space in bulk grain that is not occupied by the grain (Sangamithra et al., 2016). It was calculated using Eq. (6) from the true density and bulk density using method of Vannamkhasti et al. (2008).

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{Pt - Pb}{Pt} \times 100
\]

where \( \varepsilon \) = porosity, \( pt \) = true density and \( pb \) = bulk density.

**Sphericity**
Sphericity (%) is explained as the ratio of the surface area of a sphere having the same volume as the grain to the surface area of the grain and was calculated using the method of Hamdani et al. (2014) Eq. (7).

\[
\phi = \frac{(LW T)^{1/3}}{L} \times 100
\]

where \( \phi \) = Sphericity.

**Aspect ratio**
The aspect ratio (%) was calculated Eq. (8), method of Vannamkhasti et al. (2008) as follows:

\[
\text{Aspect ratio} = \frac{\text{Width} \times 100}{\text{Length}}
\]

**Surface area**
The surface area, mm² of three FM cultivars and PM were calculated using Eq. (9), method of (Karababa & Coşkuner, 2013):

\[
\text{Surface area} = \frac{\pi B L^2}{(2L - B)}
\]

where B = (WT) 1/2.

**Sample volume**
The volume (mm³) of the grains was calculated Eq. (10), method of (Karababa & Coşkuner, 2013).

\[
\text{Sample volume} = \frac{\pi B^2 L^2}{6(2L - B)}
\]

where B = (WT) 1/2  
W = width; L = length.

**Water absorption capacity**
One gram FM flour was transferred into weighing 50 mL centrifuge tubes in triplicate to which 10 mL of distilled water was added, stirred homogeneously with a glass rod and incubated in waterbath at 30 °C for 30 minutes. The centrifuge tubes were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes using a Model T-8BL Laby™ centrifuge (Laboratory Instruments, Ambala Cantt India). The supernatants were discarded and the residues were weighed. Two different weights of the centrifuge tubes gave water absorbance using Eq. (11), method of Sawant, Thakor, Swami, and Divate (2013).

\[
\text{Water absorption capacity} = \frac{V_1 - L^2}{V_2} \times 100
\]

where: \( V_1 \) = initial volume of the liquid. \( V_2 \) = final volume of the liquid.

**Bulk density**
Bulk density was determined by measuring 10 mL capacity graduated cylinder, weighed and recorded. The cylinder was filled with the flour sample and tapped gently from the bottom for 30 times until there was no further dimension of the sample level and calculated using Eq. (12), method of Mandge, Sharma, and Dar (2014).
Bulk density (g mL) = \frac{\text{Weight of FM flour}}{\text{Volume of FM flour after tapping}} (12)

**Determination of dispersibility**

A total of 10 g of the flour sample was weighed into 100 mL measuring cylinder and distilled water was added. The setup was stirred vigorously and allowed to stand for 3 h. The volume of settled particle was recorded and subtracted from 100 (Olapade, Babalola, & Aworth, 2014) using Eq. (13).

\%
\text{Dispersibility} = 100 - \text{volume of settled particles} (13)

**Viscosity**

Approximately 10 g of the flour was mixed with 90 mL of distilled water at 30 °C and allowed to hydrate for 30 min with occasional stirring. The viscosity of the slurry was measured in Brookfield viscometer (Model RV, Brookfield Engineering, Inc., USA) using spindle number Q3 rotating at 100 rpm and the cold paste viscosity was measured in centipoise (cP). Subsequently, the slurry was heated to boiling in a water bath at 95 ± 1 °C for a period of 20 minutes, cooled to 30 °C and cooked (Krishnan, Dharmaraj, Sai Manohar, and Malleshi, 2011).

Colour measurements of FM grain cultivar and flour:

The colour measurements (L*, a*, b*, C*, and Ho) of the grain and flour samples were determined using Lovibond LC 100 spectrophotometer and SV 100 test kit (Thilagavathi et al., 2015). The colour attributes were measured and expressed as positive and negative colour space values using \( L^* \) (whiteness/brightness), \( a^* \) (redness/greenness) and \( b^* \) (yellowness/blueness). The chroma (C) was expressed as either grey or the pure hue with hue (Ho) recorded using different colours such as yellow, green and blue values.

**Scanning Electron Microscopic (SEM)**

Microscopic structure of FM flour was mounted on a sample holder using double-sided scotch tape and was coated with thin layer of gold in a sputter coating equipment. All examinations were observed at an accelerated voltage of 5.000 kV using a scanning electron microscope coupled with electron probe microanalysis Energy Dispersive X-ray detector (Mervlin/Evo Germany) (Anyasi, Jideani, & Mchau, 2017).

**Data analysis**

The generated data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS version 23 (SPSS, IBM, Chicago USA) and means were separated using the Duncan multiple range test. Significance will be accepted at 95% confidence interval (p < 0.05) (Kibar and Kibar, 2017).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Moisture content of finger millet grains and flour**

Figure 2 shows the results of the mean moisture content (MC) of the FM grain cultivar that ranged from 7.88 ± 1.92 to 9.38 ± 3.08% while the moisture content of FM flours varied from 9.17 ± 1.44 to 11.67 ± 1.44%, respectively. Therefore, milky cream showed a significant difference (p < 0.05) for both grains and flours as compared to brown and black grain/flours. However, the MC of milky cream and black flours increased while brown flour decreased after milling grains into flours. These results compared with the control, PM showed a significantly higher than FM grain cultivars (FMGC) at the highest value of 15.38%. The results showed that the MC were within the specified percentage of < 12% as shown in the work of Saleh et al. (2013). The highest percentage was recorded for milky cream, and the lowest percentage for brown cultivar for both FM grain cultivar and flours (Figure 2).

Moisture content is one of the important factors that govern
the physical properties of grain (Goswami, Manikantan, Gupta, & Vishwakarma, 2015). It is also a good indicator as to whether the grains can be stored for a long or short period. According to Abdullah, Ch'ng, and Yunus (2012), the higher the moisture content, the shorter the storage life of the grain as high moisture content can cause a rapid growth of mold on grains.

Dimensional properties of FM cultivars: The mean results of the length, width, and thickness of the three cultivars were measured using venier digital caliper and ranged between 1.67 ± 0.01 to 1.41 ± 0.00 mm for length; 1.47 ± 0.01 to 1.28 ± 0.01 mm for width and 1.35 ± 0.06 mm to 1.22 ± 0.01 mm for thickness (Table 1). Similar results were obtained by Hamdani et al. (2014) for length, width and thickness and ranged from 8.57 ± 1.20 to 11.31 ± 1.10 mm; 2.70 ± 0.24 to 3.70 ± 0.18 mm and 2.24 ± 0.09 to 2.85 ± 0.16 mm for hulled barley and SKO-20 oats at the moisture content of 8.0%. Similar results were obtained for PM cultivars (babapuri, bajra, and GHB 30) with length, width, and thickness ranging from 2.98 mm to 3.12 mm, 1.86 mm to 2.24 mm and 1.70 to 2.01 mm (Jain and Bal, 1997).

Length values were significantly higher (p < 0.05) for black cultivar, while creamy and brown were not significantly different. Width values for milky cream were significantly higher (p < 0.05), while brown and black were not significantly different. Thickness values for milky cream were significantly higher when compared with other samples. The geometric mean diameter ranged from 2.81 ± 0.71 mm to 1.35 ± 0.06 mm and arithmetic mean diameter from 2.85 ± 0.86 mm to 1.35 ± 0.07 mm. The results for geometric and arithmetic mean diameters were similar to the results obtained on millet grains, as reported by Adebowale et al., 2012, where the average length, width, and thickness were 3.85 mm, 2.06 mm and 2.05 mm. Similar, results were also obtained for geometric and arithmetic mean diameters, 2.44 mm and 4.94 mm at a moisture content of 10%.

Jain and Bal (1997) studied the geometric and arithmetic mean diameters of PM cultivars, the results were as follows: 1.82 mm to 2.12 mm and 1.72 mm to 2.08 mm at the moisture content of 7.4%. Other similar arithmetic mean diameter results from hulled and hulless barley were 4.96 ± 0.50 and 5.34 ± 0.31 mm, while for sabzaar oats and SKO-20 oats they were 6.00 ± 0.26 and 5.41 ± 0.44 mm, respectively. Similar results for geometric mean diameter were also found as 4.33 ± 0.27; 4.53 ± 0.24 mm; 4.22 ± 0.21 mm and 4.01 ± 0.20 mm for hulled barley, hulless barley, sabzaar oats and SKO-20 respectively at the moisture content of 8.0% (Hamdani et al., 2014). The geometric and arithmetic mean diameters were significantly higher in brown, milky cream and black cultivar, respectively (Table 1). Therefore, PM grain showed a significant difference on all dimensions studied as compared to FMGC.

Physical and functional properties of finger millet grain cultivars/flours

The highest mean result for 1000 sample weight was obtained from milky cream cultivar, 775 ± 5.27 g and the lowest mean result for 1000 sample weight was 496.8 ± 5.00 g from brown cultivar. Milky cream was significantly different (p < 0.05) on 1000 sample weight as compared to other samples (Table 2). The results agree with the findings of Balasubramanian and Viswanathan (2010), which were 185.8 kg at a moisture content of 11.1–25%. In the work of Siwela, Taylor, de Milliano, and Doudu (2007), similar mean results of 2.86 ± 0.11 g were reported. Results of analysis also showed that bulk density ranged from 993.6 ± 11.44 to 1551.6 ± 16.51 kg/m3, respectively, with milky cream showing the highest bulk density and brown cultivar showing the lowest

### Table 1: Dimensional properties of FMGC using Vernier digital caliper.

| Dimensions (mm) | Milky creamy | Brown | Black | Pearl millet (control) |
|----------------|--------------|-------|-------|------------------------|
| Length         | 1.63 ± 0.01  | 1.67 ± 0.01 | 1.41 ± 0.00 | 3.85 ± 0.01 |
| Width          | 1.28 ± 0.01  | 1.47 ± 0.01 | 1.38 ± 0.01 | 2.40 ± 0.01 |
| Thickness      | 1.22 ± 0.01  | 1.35 ± 0.01 | 1.27 ± 0.01 | 2.31 ± 0.00 |
| Geometric mean diameter | 1.36 ± 0.18 | 1.49 ± 0.13 | 1.35 ± 0.06 | 2.81 ± 0.71 |
| Arithmetic mean diameter | 1.38 ± 0.22 | 1.50 ± 0.06 | 1.35 ± 0.07 | 2.85 ± 0.86 |

### Table 2: Some physical properties of finger millet grain cultivars.

| Physical properties | Milky creamy | Brown | Black | Pearl millet |
|---------------------|--------------|-------|-------|--------------|
| 1000 kernel weight (wt.g) | 775.8 ± 5.27 | 496.8 ± 5.00 | 573.4 ± 7.17 | 176.8 ± 1.94 |
| Bulk density (kg/m^3) | 1158 ± 16.51 | 993.6 ± 11.44 | 1146.80 ± 16.04 | 354.6 ± 3.85 |
| True density (kg/m^3) | 1613.4 ± 48.02 | 1515.6 ± 34.88 | 1515.8 ± 35.33 | 1531.2 ± 42.72 |
| Porosity (%)          | 28.25 ± 2.47 | 32.41 ± 5.40 | 24.31 ± 2.10 | 76.83 ± 0.47 |
| Aspect ratio (%)      | 92.21 ± 0.83 | 88.3 ± 0.55 | 73.55 ± 0.23 | 87.81 ± 0.92 |
| Sphericity (%)        | 92.43 ± 0.15 | 83.21 ± 0.08 | 73.75 ± 0.10 | 64.17 ± 0.16 |
| Surface area (mm^2)   | 5.81 ± 0.82  | 6.97 ± 0.94 | 5.73 ± 0.90 | 24.81 ± 1.41 |
| Volume (mm^3)         | 0.86 ± 0.02  | 1.07 ± 0.06 | 0.82 ± 0.16 | 3.59 ± 1.12 |

The mean ± standard deviation, n = 5. Values followed by the same letters in the same row are not significantly different (p < 0.05).
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The highest result was obtained on milky cream cultivar and the lowest result on black cultivar. The results are in line with the findings of Baryeh (2002) of 78.30–80.30% at a moisture content of 5.00 to 22.5%. Similarly, the works of Jain and Bal (1997) showed that sphericity ranged from 93.74–94.25% for PM cultivars (babapuri, bajra and GHB 30). The surface area mean results of this study varied from 5.73 ± 0.90 to 6.97 ± 0.94 mm² in which the highest result was obtained from brown cultivar, and the lowest result from black cultivar. Similar results were obtained by Jain and Bal (1997) who reported 12.27 to 16.38 mm² for PM cultivars at a moisture content of 7.4% while Adebowale et al. (2012) showed that the surface area of millet grain was 18.8 mm² at a moisture content of 10%. The mean sample volume of the samples studied varied from 1.07 ± 0.06 to 0.82 ± 1.12 mm³, respectively. The highest result was obtained from brown cultivar and lowest results from black cultivar. Jain and Bal (1997) had similar results which ranged from 3.79 to 5.79 mm³ at a moisture content of 7.4%. Adebowale et al. (2012) found a volume of 5.56 mm³ for millet grains at a moisture content of 10%. The milky cream cultivar was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than other cultivars for 1000 sample weight, bulk density, true density, aspect ratio, and sphericity (Table 2). The results for bulk density of grain cultivars were similar to those reported by Jain and Bal (1997), who studied 3 PM cultivars ranging from 830.0 to 866.1 kg/m³. Goswami et al. (2015) also reported a bulk density ranging from 684.99–777.50 kg/m³ on FM grains. Balasubramanian and Viswanathan (2010) obtained the same results ranging from 477.1–868.1 kg/m³ at a moisture content of 11.1–25%. Milky cream was significantly higher (p < 0.05) as compared to other samples (Table 2). Bulk density is an essential factor that determines the grade and test weight of the grains during drying, storage milky cream brown black pearl millet Figure 3. Color attributes of nger millet grains and flours. Millet types and processing (Adebowale et al., 2012). Bulk density results will help in storage and processing because the size and

![Color attributes of finger millet grains and flours.](image)

**Fig. 3:** Color attributes of finger millet grains and flours.

FMG = finger millet grain and FMF = finger millet flour. Error bars indicates the standard deviations what and letters a-d indicates significant difference.
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shape of the grains were similar thus indicating high quality and better production of grains into flours. Table 3 shows the results of functional properties on FM flours such as bulk density, water absorption capacity, dispersibility, viscosity, and micro-structure. The results of bulk density (BD) were highest on FM milky cream (0.93 ± 0.02 g/mL) and lowest on black flour (0.89 ± 0.01 g/mL). Milky cream flour indicated a significant difference (p < 0.05) higher as compared to brown and black.

Similar findings were observed by Dharmaraj, Meera, Yella Reddy and Malleshi (2015) who reported the native, hydrothermally treated and decorticated FM whole meal and the values were as follows: 0.83, 0.77 and 0.80 g/mL. Manjde et al. (2014) reported that BD ranged from 1.30 to 1.47 g/mL for raw and cooked multigrain porridge. This is a reflection of the load our samples can carry if allowed to rest directly on one another. Akpata and Akubor (1999) reported that low BD of FM would be an advantage in the preparation of instant foods. Higher BD indicates that the flour can be used in food preparation while low BD flour is suitable to use in the preparation of weaning food formulation. Since black FM flour had the least BD, it can be used in the preparation of the complementary foods (Akpata and Akubor, 1999).

Water absorption capacity (WAC) of FM flours ranged from 0.93 ± 0.06 to 1.23 ± 0.06 mL/g where milky cream flour had the highest value and black flour with the lowest value. Milky cream showed a higher significant difference (p < 0.05) in WAC as compared to other FM samples. The results of WAC were similar to the findings of Olapade et al. (2014), who studied cassava–bambara flours with WAC values ranging between 114 and 251%. The WAC of flour or isolate is a useful indicator for determining if the flour can be incorporated into aqueous food formulations, especially those involving dough handling. Lower WAC is suitable for making thinner gresules and also indicates the amount of water available for gelatinisation (Giami, 1993). Adebowale, Adegoke, Sanni, Adegunwa, and Fetuga (2012) mentioned that high WAC values indicate a loose structure of starch polymers, while low values indicate the compactness of the structure.

The dispersibility (%) of FM flour was higher on milky cream FM (92.03 ± 0.38), while lower values were obtained from brown samples (84.73 ± 0.64). Milky cream FM were significantly different in dispersibility as compared to brown and black flours. The findings of this study were similar to those by Olapade et al. (2014), who reported dispersibility ranging from 68 to 70.67% in cassava-bambara ours. According to Olapade et al. (2014), the values of dispersibility may help produce fine constituent dough during mixing.

The cold viscosity paste of the ours samples ranged from 5.00 ± 0.00 to 6.00 ± 0.00 cP while cooked viscosity ranged from 57.67 ± 1.15 to 306.7 ± 3.51 cP, respectively. Brown flour for cold and cooked paste were significantly higher (p < 0.05) as compared to milky cream and black FM flours. These results are similar to those of Dharmaraj et al. (2015), who studied the cold and cooked viscosity pastes of native, hydrothermally and decorticated FM. Dharmaraj et al. (2015) indicated that cold viscosity paste not measured on native FM but measured on hydrothermally treated and decorticated FM were 11 and 22 cP. The FM flour varied significantly in cold viscosity compared to other FM flours with the highest value of 6.67 cP. Cooked viscosity pastes of native, hydrothermally treated, and decorticated FM were 1717, 350, and 463 cP. On FM seed coat, Krishnan et al. (2011) obtained results ranging from 12.0 to 21.0 cP for cold viscosity paste while the cooked viscosity ranged from 48.0 to 248.0 cP. This showed that it contained unprocessed carbohydrates. These low molecular weight carbohydrates contribute to reduced viscosity, possess less water-binding ability, and maybe more easily digested and absorbed as required by infants. Therefore, reduced viscosity is a good indicator for the appropriateness of a weaning food blend for infants (Usman, Bolade, and James, 2016).

Color measurements: Figure 3 shows the results of the colour measurements of grain samples as recorded in terms of L*, a*, b*, C* and H° values. L* values ranged from 19.23 ± 0.42 for black to 52.97 ± 1.76 for milky cream grain cultivars. L* values of FM flours ranged from 68.47 ± 0.85 to 74.00 ± 0.62 (Figure 3). Milky cream FM flour was significantly higher on L* values as compared to both brown and black grain/flour samples. This result is similar to that by Siwela et al. (2007) which ranged from 45.9 ± 0.9 to 68.4 ± 0.6 for FM grain type.

Positive values obtained for coordinates a* and b* were significantly different (p < 0.05) among samples and the a* values were 18.28 ± 0.81 for grain and 3.77 ± 0.06 for flour. Mean values for b* were 19.38 ± 0.15 for grain and 13.1 ± 0.20 for flour. The positive values for a* and b* coordinates indicate that all samples had varying concentration of red and yellow pigmentation in their grains. The control showed

Table 3: Some functional properties of raw finger millet flour.

| Functional properties          | Finger millet grain ours | Pearl millet     |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
|                               | Milky cream              | Brown           | Black           |
| WAC (mL/g)                    | 1.23 ± 0.06              | 1.03 ± 0.66     | 0.93 ± 0.06     | 1.13 ± 0.15     |
| Bulk density (kg/m³)          | 0.93 ± 0.02              | 0.91 ± 0.01     | 0.89 ± 0.01     | 0.89 ± 0.00     |
| Dispersibility (%)            | 92.03 ± 0.38             | 84.73 ± 0.64    | 87.37 ± 0.15    | 87.27 ± 0.40    |
| Viscosity, cold paste (cP)    | 5.00 ± 0.00              | 6.00 ± 0.00     | 5.00 ± 0.00     | 6.67 ± 0.58     |
| Viscosity, cooked paste (cP)  | 57.67 ± 1.15             | 306.7 ± 3.51    | 288.3 ± 2.52    | 110.3 ± 2.52    |

The mean ± standard deviation, n = 3. Values followed by the same letters in the same row are not significantly different (p > 0.05). WAC – water absorption capacity.
a significantly higher b* and c* values with the highest recorded values of 14.4 and 14.57, respectively.

The Ho values ranged from 35.73° ± 1.06 to 68.63° ± 0.06, with the highest hue angle obtained from milky cream cultivar and the lowest hue angle from black cultivar for the grain cultivars. Therefore, Ho values for FM flours varied from 62.13° ± 0.98 to 77.3° ± 0.36 where the highest hue angle was obtained from milky cream cultivar and the lowest hue angle from black cultivar. The Ho values are measured as an angle of 0° - 360° with 0° representing red, 90° for yellow, 180° for green and 270° for blue. Hue angle is considered the qualitative attribute of color and is based on colors that have been traditionally defined as reddish, greenish, and others. The hue angle is most critical to humans with a normal color vision for perception and acceptability. Therefore, PM showed a significant difference in hue angle on both grain and flour with the highest value of 76.87 and 81.13. The C* values for grain cultivars ranged from 10.1 ± 3.99–29.1 ± 2.03 while for FM flours, it varied from 13.4 ± 0.20–7.97 ± 0.23. Chroma increases with increasing pigment concentration and decreases as the sample become darker. Food samples can have a similar hue angle and chroma, but will only be distinguished using their L* values (Wrolstad and Smith, 2010). The higher the chroma values, the higher the color intensity of the grain samples perceived by humans. Colour is thus an essential quality parameter in the food processing industry, and it attracts the consumer's choice and preferences (Pathare et al., 2013). Milky cream cultivar showed a significance difference (p < 0.05) on L*, b*, C*, and H° values compared to both brown and black grain cultivars/flours (Figure 3).

Similar results were also obtained by Krishnan et al. (2011), who reported FM seed coated with the L* ranging from 34.0–51.2, a* from 5.0–5.8, and b* ranging from 7.6–11.1. Mandge et al. (2014) reported the L-value of 53.6 for raw multigrain porridge and 41.6 for cooked multigrain porridge. Mean a* and b* values ranged from 5.0 to 5.8 and 15.8 to 18.4. The hue angle values correspond to whether the object is red, orange, yellow, blue, or violet. The positive values in the hue angle of the samples show that the product does not deviate from the color, therefore, adding a positive factor to the current study. This is because lightness and yellowness in the colour of flour are important factors in terms of consumer acceptance (Bhol and John Don Bosco, 2014). Bhol and John Don Bosco (2014) reported an H° value of 67.24, and the chroma value was 14.48 for 20 g wheat/100 g malted FM. The findings of this study were similar to those by Siroha, Sandahu, and Kaur (2016), who studied 5 PM varieties whose values ranged from 52.5 to 75.1.

**Scanning electron micrographs of FM flour**

Figure 4 shows the microscopic structure of FM starch granules, which was at an accelerating voltage of 5.000 kV. Milky cream, brown, and black ours showed that the loosened starch granules had various shapes which were mainly isolated, oval/spherical or polygon and the smooth surface may be caused by soaking, drying and milling grain into FM whole meal flour. The control also showed the same features compared to FM our.

Saleh et al. (2013) reported that the soaking technique improves the bioavailability of nutrients, such as minerals. The milling process shows a negative impact on nutritional content because protein, fat, ash, and bre contents were reduced but increased the digestibility/bioaccessibility of grains (Saleh et al., 2013). Sakhare et al. (2014) studied the microstructure of wheat flour and reported that milling may cause starch granules to be viewed as damaged. Gorinstein et al., (2004) reported that milling of cereal grains also causes the microstructure changes in proteins and influences the fine microstructure to occur. Drying is a process that preserves grains, and various essential characteristics of grains undergo changes during drying due to the loss of water from the inner structure and the surrounding surface.

![Figure 4: Scanning electron microscopic structures of RFM flours: A = milky cream; B = brown; C = black and D = pearl millet. Scale bar 10 μm. Note: f –starch granules.](image)
It was observed that the physical characteristics of food might be altered during drying, which is caused by changes in food microstructure (Sun et al., 2014). Anyasi et al., (2017) reported the microstructures of unripe bananas whose shape became irregular in comparison to each cultivar. Therefore, PM grain/flour was used as a control because most studies were conducted on physical and functional properties on various PM cultivars instead of FM grain/flour. The results of PM helped in the verification of the accuracy of the FM results.

**Conclusions**

Milky cream was significantly higher in moisture content, L*, b*, C*, WAC, bulk density, dispersibility, 1000 sample weight, true density, aspect ratio, and sphericity, among other FM grain and FM hour. Therefore, milky cream FM flour may be used by food processors for the development of the new food products that can also be consumed in urban areas, especially by people who suffer from chronic diseases. Pearl millet cultivars were significantly different as compared to FM cultivars on all dimensional properties. The information from this study can be used by agricultural engineers, food engineers, food processors, and food scientists. The information is potentially useful in the designing of equipment, which is suitable for planting, harvesting, storage, processing, and packaging of grains and our. Moreover, the size and shape such as geometric mean diameter and sphericity properties of the FM grains need to be known by manufacturers as they contribute to designing better equipment suitable for grain and other food processing operations. Therefore, data obtained on the physical and functional properties of grains may measure the quality of grains used to produce fortified FM flour with zinc and vitamin B.
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