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ABSTRACT

A new predictor to determine the exacerbation and treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: eosinophil/neutrophil ratio

Introduction: COPD is an inflammatory disease characterized by persistent respiratory symptoms and airflow limitation. Currently, it has been demonstrated in some studies that eosinophil and T helper-2 mediated inflammation play a role in the pathophysiology of COPD.

Materials and Methods: It was planned to evaluate eosinophilia, eosinophil/neutrophil ratio (ENR), distribution of ENR according to GOLD groups, number of exacerbations in last year, relationship between ENR and the rate of ICS use in COPD patients, and the ENR cut-off value that predicts eosinophilic COPD. This study was planned prospectively in stable COPD patients between July 2017 and December 2017. All patients were divided into two groups as eosinophilic and non-eosinophilic group. Eosinophilia was considered to be > 2% of peripheral blood eosinophils.

Results: A total of 206 stable COPD patients (127 eosinophilic and 79 non-eosinophilic) were included. Age, gender, BMI, smoking history, mMRC score were statistically similar while average pack-year of smoking was significantly higher in eosinophilic group. ENR was significantly higher in eosinophilic group as expected (p< 0.001). High positive correlation was found between ENR and eosinophilic COPD (r= 0.8, p< 0.001). In Group D, the number of eosinophilic COPD patients is significantly higher than the non-eosinophilic group while the distribution of patients in group A, B, C was similar. Although the PFT findings were similar in both groups, the use of ICS was significantly lower and the number of exacerbations was significantly higher in eosinophilic group. In the ROC analysis, the ENR cut-off value that predicts eosinophilic COPD was found to be 0.32 in all COPD patients (Sens: 93.7%, Specif: 92.4%, AUC= 0.97, p< 0.001).
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (COPD) is an inflammatory disease characterized by persistent respiratory symptoms and air flow limitation. COPD is currently the fourth cause of mortality worldwide, but it is estimated to be the 3rd leading cause of death by 2020 (1). It is known that neutrophils, macrophages and CD8 T lymphocytes play a dominant role in the pathophysiology of COPD. Currently, it has also been demonstrated in some studies that eosinophil and T helper 2-mediated inflammation play a role in the pathophysiology of COPD (2). The sputum eosinophilia in both stable COPD and COPD exacerbation also indicates the role of eosinophilia in the pathogenesis of COPD (3). The development of COPD due to asthma and bronchial hyper reactivity also suggests that eosinophilia also plays a role in the pathophysiology of COPD. Thus, the eosinophilic phenotype was defined in COPD and the effects of inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) on COPD were also investigated in previous studies (4-6). In this study, it was aimed to evaluate the percentage of eosinophil count, eosinophil/neutrophil ratio (ENR), distribution of ENR according to Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) groups, number of annual hospital admissions, relationship between ENR and the rate of ICS use in COPD patients during stable period.

MATERIALS and METHODS

The study was planned prospectively in stable COPD patients who were referred to Göztepe Training and Research Hospital Department of Chest Diseases between December 2017 and April 2018. Local ethics committee approval was obtained from the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Göztepe Training and Research Hospital (protocol no. 2017/0371, 05.12.2017) before the study. All COPD patients were divided into 2 groups as eosinophilic and non-eosinophilic. Patients over 40 years of age with > 10 pack-year history of smoking or biomass exposure or occupational exposure and who were diagnosed as having COPD by a pulmonologist based on GOLD 2017 criteria were enrolled. Patients with allergic disease, using immunosuppressive drugs, having with active infection and hematologic disease, having with structural disease such as bronchiectasis and CF, having with a history of COPD exacerbation in the last month and not wishing to participate in the study were excluded.

Conclusion: Based on these findings, it is considered that more priority should be given to the use of ICS in COPD patients with high ENR and it can be used as a marker for predicting COPD exacerbation as COPD exacerbations are higher in patients with ENR.
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ÖZ

Kronik obstrüktif akciğer hastalığında alevlenme ve tedavisini belirlemek için yeni bir belirteç: eozinofil/nötrofil oranı

Giriş: KOAH, kalici hava yolu kısıtlanması ve solunumsal semptomlar ile karakterize inflamatuar bir hastalıktır. Son zamanlarda eozinofil ve T helper-2 aracılı inflamasyonun KOAH patolojisi içinde önemli rol oynadığı gösterilmiştir.

Materyal ve Metod: Bu çalışmada stabil dönem KOAH’ıarda, eozinofil, eozinofil/nötrofil oranı (ENR), ENR’nin GOLD gruplarına göre dağılımı, son bir yıl içindeki alevlenme sayısı, ENR ve IKS kullanım oranları ilişkisi, eozinofilik KOAH alevlenmesini predikte eden ENR cut-off değerinin araştırılması planlanété. Bu çalışma, Temmuz 2017 ile Aralık 2017 arasında stabil KOAH hastalarında prospektif olarak planlandı. Tüm hastalar eozinofilik ve eozinofilik olmayan grup olmak üzere iki gruba ayrıldı. Eozinofilik, periferik kan eozinofil-lerinin > %2’si olarak kabul edildi.

Bulgular: Toplam 206 stabil KOAH hastası (127 eozinofilik ve 79 non-eozinofilik) çalışmaya dahil edildi. Gruplar arasında yaş, cinsiyet, VKİ, sigara öyküsü, mMrc skoru istatistiksel olarak benzer iken, eozinofilik grupta ortalamana sigara paket yıllık daha yüksek bulundu. ENR, eozinofilik grupta bekleniği gibi anlamlı yüksek bulundu (p< 0.001). ENR ve eozinofilik KOAH arasında pozitif korelasyon bulundu (r= 0.8, p< 0.001). Eozinofilik KOAH hastalarının sayısı D grubunda, non-eozinofilik gruptan anlamlı daha yüksek iken; A, B, C grubunda hastaların dağılımı benzer bulundu. Her iki grupta da SFT bulguları benzer olmasına rağmen, eozinofilik grupta ICS kullanımı anlamlı olarak daha düşük ve alevlenme sayısı anlamlı olarak daha yüksek bulundu. ROC analizinde eozinofilik KOAH’ı predikte eden ENR değeri 0.32 olarak bulundu (Sens: %93.7, Specif: %92.4, AUC= 0.97, p< 0.001).

Sonuç: ENR’si yüksek KOAH hastalarında IKS kullanımına daha fazla öncelik verilmesi gerektiğini düşünülmektedir. Ayrıca ENR’si yüksek hastalarında KOAH alevlenmelerinin daha sık olması nedeniyle KOAH alevlenmesini öngörme için ENR’nin bir belirteç olarak kullanlabileceğini düşünülmektedir.

Anahtar kelimeler: KOAH; eozinofil/nötrofil oranı; inhaler kortikosteroid
Basic characteristics and laboratory parameters were recorded and compared between the COPD patients and the control group. Age, gender, smoking history (pack-year), comorbidities, asthma history, exacerbation within the last one year, mMrc (Modified Medical Research Council) score, body mass index (BMI), pulmonary function test, percentage of eosinophil and neutrophil count, CRP, ENR, the rates of bronchodilator drug use in COPD treatment, relationship with ENR and GOLD stages were compared between two groups. Eosinophilia was considered to be > 2% of the eosinophil percentage in the blood hemogram. Blood eosinophil and neutrophil levels were calculated by measuring in the routine hemogram with the Pentra 120 Retic Hematology Analyzer (ABX, France). Analyzes were performed using SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS, Inc. Chicago, Illinois). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test the distribution model of the variables. Continuous data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Variance analysis (ANOVA) was used for the GOLD stage and ENR relationship in eosinophilic COPD patients. Categorical variables were expressed as a percentage and compared with the chi-square test. P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Statistical Analysis
The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test the distribution model of the variables. Parametric data were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Comparison of multiple mean values was performed using variance analysis (ANOVA). Categorical variables were summarized as the percentage and were compared using chi-square tests. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was calculated to analyze the relationship between ENR and COPD. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was used to determine the ENR cut-off value that predicts exacerbation in COPD patients. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS, Inc. Chicago, Illinois). A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
A total of 206 stable COPD patients were enrolled in this study. A total of 127 patients were included in the eosinophilic group (115 males, mean age 63.1 ± 10.1) and 79 patients were in the non-eosinophilic group (68 males, mean age 62.8 ± 10.0). Age, gender, Body Mass Index (BMI), smoking history, mMrc dyspnea score were statistically similar while the average pack-year of smoking was significantly higher in the eosinophilic group (Table 1). Comorbid diseases (Hypertension (HT), Diabetes Mellitus (DM), Ischemic Heart Disease (ICD), Chronic Heart Failure (CHF) were similar in both groups. Percentage of Neutrophil count and C-reactive protein (CRP) level were significantly lower in patients with eosinophilic COPD (p< 0.001, p< 0.001, respectively) (Table 2).

Asthma history was more common rate in eosinophilic COPD patients but it didn’t receive statistically significance (p= 0.24) (Table 1). Pulmonary function test findings (FEV1, FVC, FEV1/FVC) were similar in both groups (Table 2). Although the PFT findings were similar, the number of exacerbations in the last one year was significantly higher in the eosinophilic group (p< 0.001) (Table 1).

When the both groups were compared separately in terms of treatment options, the use of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS)+long-acting beta adrenoceptor agonists (LABA) was lower in the eosinophilic group (p= 0.018). The rates of use of other bronchodilator drugs were statistically similar (Table 1).

When the COPD patients were evaluated according to the A, B, C and D subgroups based on the GOLD 2017 guideline, there were eosinophilic COPD patients significantly higher than non-eosinophilic patients in group D, while the distribution of patients in group A, B, C was similar (Table 2).

Among COPD patients, ENR was significantly higher than non-eosinophilic group (p< 0.001). High positive correlation was found between ENR and eosinophilic COPD (r= 0.8, p< 0.001). However, no significant relationship was found between ENR and GOLD A, B, C, and D subgroups (r= 0.84, p= 0.47) (Figure 1-2).

In the ROC analysis, the ENR cut-off value that predicts eosinophilic COPD was found to be 0.32 in all COPD patients (sensitivity of 93.7% and specificity of 92.4%) (AUC= 0.97, 95% CI: 0.96-0.99, p< 0.001) (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION
Sixty percent of the COPD patients were in eosinophilic group in this study. There was high positive correlation was found between ENR and eosinophilic COPD. In Group D, the number of patients with eosinophilic COPD is significantly higher than the non-eosinophilic group while the distribution of
patients in group A, B, C was similar (Table 2). Although the PFT data were similar in both groups, the use of ICS was significantly lower and the number of exacerbations was significantly higher in eosinophilic group.

Because of eosinophilia was also associated with the pathophysiology of asthma, it was assessed whether there was a significant difference in asthma history between the groups. In a previous study conducted by Kolsum et al., asthma history was found to be 28.6% in the eosinophilic group and 23.4% in the total COPD patients (7). According to this study, in eosinophilic group, asthma history was found to be higher than non-eosinophilic group, but it was not reached statistically significance. (18.8%, 12.6% respectively, p= 0.24) (Table 1).

A positive correlation have found between high FEV₁ and eosinophilia in COPD patients in previous studies (8,9). In fact, improvement in respiratory function through bronchodilator therapy has been better in COPD patients with eosinophilia (9). In this study, pulmonary function test findings (FEV₁, FVC, FEV₁/FVC) were similar between two groups (Table 2).

It has been shown in previous studies that patients with higher BMI are more likely to have allergic asthma and other eosinophilic patients (10,11). It is thought to be related to the between obesity and visceral fat tissue and eosinophilia (12). However, BMI was similar in both groups in this study. It could be related the similarity of life and nutritional style of patients and the similarity of COPD stages.

Previous studies have shown that the risk of exacerbation is higher in COPD patients with an eosinophilic count of ≥ 2% (13,14). In this study, patients with
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Table 2. Pulmonary Function Test Findings, Laboratory Findings and GOLD classification

|                      | Eosinophilic group mean ± SD | Non-eosinophilic group mean ± SD | p       |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| FEV₁/FVC (%)         | 53.4 ± 11.1                 | 54.3 ± 11.0                     | 0.06    |
| FEV₁ (L/min)         | 2.03 ± 0.77                 | 1.92 ± 0.80                     | 0.22    |
| FEV₁ (%)             | 70.1 ± 24.0                 | 67.8 ± 23.1                     | 0.07    |
| FVC (L/min)          | 2.93 ± 3.81                 | 2.52 ± 0.93                     | 0.37    |
| FVC (%)              | 71.5 ± 20.4                 | 70.8 ± 20.3                     | 0.81    |
| Leukocyte (mm³)      | 7906 ± 1883                 | 8015 ± 2600                     | 0.80    |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL)    | 13.80 ± 1.48                | 13.31 ± 1.45                    | 0.09    |
| Eosinophil count (cell/µL) | 299 ± 190                  | 91 ± 60                         | < 0.001 |
| Eosinophil %         | 3.74 ± 2.12                 | 1.04 ± 0.61                     | < 0.001 |
| Neutrophil count (cell/mm³) | 4570 ± 1550              | 5200 ± 1875                     | 0.01    |
| Neutrophil %         | 56.6 ± 8.2                  | 64.1 ± 9.5                      | < 0.001 |
| ENR                  | 0.07 ± 0.04                 | 0.02 ± 0.01                     | < 0.001 |
| CRP (mg/L)           | 0.90 ± 0.21                 | 1.76 ± 0.42                     | 0.05    |
| GOLD classification, n (%) |                        |                                  |         |
| A                    | 62 (48.8)                   | 47 (59.5)                       | 0.13    |
| B                    | 37 (29.1)                   | 26 (33.3)                       | 0.56    |
| C                    | 8 (6.3)                     | 2 (2.4)                         | 0.22    |
| D                    | 19 (14.9)                   | 4 (4.8)                         | 0.028   |

GOLD: Global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease, ENR: Eosinophil neutrophil ratio, CRP: C-Reactive protein.

Figure 1. Association between group ENR and GOLD stages.
GOLD A (n= 109), GOLD B (n= 63), GOLD C (n= 10), GOLD D (n= 23). Data analyzed with one-way analysis of variance with Turkey post hoc test (F= 0.25, p= 0.86). Error bars represent 95% CIs.

GOLD: Global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease, ENR: Eosinophil neutrophil ratio.
Figure 2. Association between group ENR and GOLD stages.
GOLD stage 1 (n= 62), GOLD stage 2 (n= 37), GOLD stage 3 (n= 8),
GOLD stage 4 (n= 19). Data analyzed with one way analysis of variance
with Tukey post hoc rest (F= 0.84, p= 0.47). Error bars represent 95% CIs.
GOLD: Global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease, ENR:
Eosinophil neutrophil ratio.

Figure 3. In the ROC analysis, the ENR cut-off value that predicts eosinophil-
ic COPD was found to be 0.32 in all COPD patients (sensitivity of 93.7%
and specificity of 92.4%) (AUC= 0.97, 95% CI: 0.96-0.99, p< 0.001).
GOLD: Global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease, COPD:
Chronic obstructive lung disease, ENR: Eosinophil neutrophil ratio.
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The eosinophilic group also had a higher rate of exacerbation (p<0.001) (Table 1). However, the rate of ICS use in this group was lower (p<0.001). Whereas, ICS has been shown to reduce exacerbations and decrease annual decline of FEV1 in eosinophilic COPD in ISOLDE study (15). TORCH study also showed that ICS similarly reduced the number of annual exacerbations and annual decline of FEV1 in COPD, but in this study patients were not stratified by the number of blood eosinophils (16). We believe that the exacerbation of the eosinophilic group more frequently in this study may be related to the lower rate of ICS use.

When evaluated according to the GOLD 2017 guideline, there was no statistically significant relationship in terms of ENR between GOLD groups (f= 0.84, p = 0.47) (Figure 1-2). The proportion of patients with eosinophilic COPD was similar between the groups A, B, and C in this study. But, the proportion of eosinophilic patients was significantly higher than non-eosinophilic group in group D (Table 2). In a previous study, the risk of recurrence after exacerbation was higher in COPD patients with eosinophilia similarly (17). In another study, Zeiger et al. have shown that elevated blood eosinophil levels are an independent risk factor for COPD exacerbation (18). Therefore, in our study, it can be considered that eosinophilic COPD patients have more frequent exacerbation in similar with the literature.

In section of asthma treatment of the GOLD 2017 update, the use of ICS is only recommended as an alternative treatment in the C and D categories. In a study conducted by Günen et. al, the medication preferences of physicians were evaluated and the rate of combination therapy containing ICS was found to be about 81.9% in COPD outpatients (19). In this study, the rate of ICS use was 40.9% and 56.9% in the eosinophilic and non-eosinophilic group, respectively (p= 0.025). In addition, the ENR cut-off value that predicts eosinophilic COPD was found to be 0.32 in all COPD patients (Sensitivity: 93.7%, Specificity: 92.4%, AUC= 0.97). This shows that ICS therapy may be considered to be initiated, if ENR is upper than 0.32 in patients with COPD.

The fact that sputum eosinophilia has not been evaluated in COPD patients in the study can be considered as a limitation. Although examination of sputum eosinophilia is actually planned, eighty percent of the patients did not accept sputum examinations or could not give sputum. In addition, previous studies have shown that peripheral blood eosinophilia correlates well with airway eosinophilia (20). Therefore, it was based according to the results of blood eosinophilia. It is also known that eosinophilic inflammation in the respiratory tract is suppressed in patients using ICS (21) and some studies shown that sputum eosinophilia is not reliable in predicting the response to ICS treatment (22,23). Thus, sputum eosinophilia was not evaluated. The other limitation is bronchodilator drug use techniques and treatment compliance of COPD patients were not taken into consideration in this study. However, training of BD techniques were given by the same nurse to all patients in this study.

In conclusion; high positive correlation was found between ENR and eosinophilic COPD (p< 0.001). Although the PFT data were similar in both groups, the use of ICS was significantly lower and the number of exacerbations was significantly higher in eosinophilic COPD. Based on these findings, it is considered that more priority should be given to the use of ICS in COPD patients with high ENR. In addition, ENR can be used as a marker for predicting exacerbation and treatment of COPD as COPD exacerbations are higher in patients with high ENR.
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