Dissociation of ERMES clusters plays a key role in attenuating the endoplasmic reticulum stress
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SUMMARY
In yeast, ERMES, which mediates phospholipid transport between the ER and mitochondria, forms a limited number of oligomeric clusters at ER-mitochondria contact sites in a cell. Although the number of the ERMES clusters appears to be regulated to maintain proper inter-organelle phospholipid trafficking, its underlying mechanism and physiological relevance remain poorly understood. Here, we show that mitochondrial dynamics control the number of ERMES clusters. Moreover, we find that ER stress causes dissociation of the ERMES clusters independently of Ire1 and Hac1, canonical ER-stress response pathway components, leading to a delay in the phospholipid transport from the ER to mitochondria. Our biochemical and genetic analyses strongly suggest that the impaired phospholipid transport contributes to phospholipid accumulation in the ER, expanding the ER for ER stress attenuation. We thus propose that the ERMES dissociation constitutes an overlooked pathway of the ER stress response that operates in addition to the canonical Ire1/Hac1-dependent pathway.

INTRODUCTION
In eukaryotic cells, highly developed organelle membranes exert specialized functions that are critical for versatile and vital activities in cells. The sizes and shapes of the organelle membranes are properly regulated and can dynamically respond to the changes in nutrient or stress conditions. For instance, in budding yeast, the volume of mitochondria is kept relatively small under fermentation conditions, whereas it increases drastically on a shift to non-fermentation conditions where the mitochondrial respiratory capacity is critical (Di Bartolomeo et al., 2020). Another example is the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane, which is known to significantly expand on ER stress to alleviate the stress (Schuck et al., 2009). These well-known examples point to the importance of the dynamic remodeling of the organelle membranes, leading to the changes in the amounts of organelle membranes, in the cellular adaptation to the environments. It is then natural to assume that such changes in the amounts of organelle membranes are associated with the regulation of the amounts of resident molecules in each organelle membrane. Because phospholipids are the major constituents of organelle membranes, their synthesis and/or transport should be a key to control the organelle volumes.

Most phospholipids are synthesized in the ER and then transported to various organelle membranes, including mitochondria (Carman and Han, 2011; Dimmer and Rapaport, 2017; Tamura et al., 2019a; Tatsuta and Langer, 2017). Phospholipid transport between the ER and mitochondria is known to be mediated by the ER-mitochondria encounter structure (ERMES) in yeast, which also functions as the molecular tether between these organelles (Jeong et al., 2016,2017; Kawano et al., 2018; Kojima et al., 2016; Kornmann et al., 2009). The ERMES complex consists of four core subunits, ER-resident Mmm1, mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM)-resident Mdm10 and Mdm34, and a peripheral membrane protein Mdm12, although Mdm10 shuttles between ERMES and the machinery for the β-barrel protein assembly, the SAM/TOB complex (Eisenberg-Bord et al., 2016; Lang et al., 2015; Murley and Nunnari, 2016; Tamura and Endo, 2017). ERMES forms large oligomeric clusters that can be observed as several discrete foci in cells by fluorescence microscopy when an ERMES subunit is expressed as a fusion protein with a fluorescent protein (Berger et al., 1997; Burgess et al., 1994; Dimmer et al., 2002; Sogo and Yaffe, 1994). We hereafter call ERMES clusters as ERMES dots. The number of discrete ERMES dots in a cell appears to be regulated depending on the
environments. For example, the number of ERMES dots increases with a change in the metabolic state, such as a shift from the fermentation to non-fermentation culturing condition, which promotes mitochondrial proliferation (Hönsscher et al., 2014). Physical association of mitochondria with the vacuole, termed vCLAMP (vacuole and mitochondria patch), exhibits a behavior opposite to ERMES with regard to the degree of membrane contacts; under non-fermentable conditions, Vps39, which is responsible for the formation of vCLAMP, is phosphorylated, resulting in a decreased number of vCLAMP (Hönsscher et al., 2014). These observations suggest that mitochondria-ER contacts and mitochondria-vacuole contacts mediated by ERMES and Vps39, respectively, are reciprocally regulated and that the functions of ERMES and vCLAMP partly overlap (Elbaz-Alon et al., 2014; Hönsscher et al., 2014). A high-content imaging screen for yeast deletion mutants with an altered number of ERMES dots revealed that the loss of Vps39 or mitochondrial division factors, such as Dnm1 and Fis1, leads to an increased number of ERMES dots (Elbaz-Alon et al., 2014). These observations also suggest the presence of the regulatory mechanism of the number and degree of the inter-organelle contacts. Nevertheless, the mechanisms underlying regulation of the dynamics of the inter-organelle contacts are largely unknown.

We attempted here to characterize the dynamics of ERMES dots by searching for the factors that affect the number or degree of the ERMES dots. We found that mitochondrial morphological dynamics such as division and fusion of mitochondria affected the number of ERMES dots in a cell. Analysis of the mitochondrial division and fusion-dependent changes revealed that ERMES dots undergo association of and dissociation into smaller ERMES sub-clusters. The dissociation of large ERMES dots was also promoted by ER stress, although this response is independent of Ire1 and Hac1, which constitute canonical ER stress response pathway. Of importance, we found that the phospholipid transport from the ER to mitochondria slowed down on the ERMES dissociation. Besides, we noticed that ER membrane expansion induced by the ER stress was suppressed in mmm1-1 cells which were defective in the dissociation of ERMES dots. On the basis of these findings, we will forward a model that dissociation of the ERMES dots acts as a brake to prevent the phospholipid leakage from the ER, thereby contributing to the ER membrane expansion, which may be crucial for attenuation of the ER stress.

RESULTS

Mitochondrial fusion and division antagonistically affect the number of ERMES dots

To characterize the dynamic behaviors of the mitochondria-ER contact sites, we first looked for the factors that would affect the number of the contact sites. To search for such factors, we visualized the mitochondria-ER contact sites with the split-GFP probes (Kakimoto et al., 2018; Tashiro et al., 2020) in yeast cells that lacked or downregulated each of the 53, reported MOM proteins (see STAR methods). The number of visualized mitochondria-ER contact sites clearly decreased in the strain lacking Fis1, which functions as a receptor for the mitochondrial division factor Dnm1 (Figure S1A)(Bleazard et al., 1999; Mozdy et al., 2000). This observation is not consistent with the previous report that the number of ERMES dots, as observed by tagging of a fluorescent protein to the ERMES subunit, increased in the absence of Dnm1 or Fis1 (Elbaz-Alon et al., 2014). Therefore, we further asked if the loss of the mitochondrial division would affect the appearance of the mitochondria-ER contact sites. We observed ERMES dots in wild-type, dnm1Δ, and fis1Δ cells expressing mitochondria-targeted red fluorescent protein (RFP) (Su9-RFP) and C-terminally green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged Mmm1 (Mmm1-GFP) by confocal fluorescence microscopy. We accessed approximately 5-μm thick z stack images, incrementally spaced by 0.2 μm that covered an entire cell (Figure 1A). To minimize the undesired effects on the appearance of ERMES dots such as those arising from possible variations of the Mmm1-GFP expression level, we adopted stable expression of Mmm1-GFP from the chromosome. Maximum projection images reconstituted from the z-stacks showed that ERMES dots were localized on mitochondrial tubules in wild-type, dnm1Δ, and fis1Δ cells, although the mitochondrial distribution was altered because of the lack of the mitochondrial division in dnm1Δ and fis1Δ cells. The wild-type, dnm1Δ, and fis1Δ cells contained an average of 5.8, 3.5, and 3.0 ERMES dots per cell, respectively (Figure 1B). These results indicate that loss of mitochondrial division leads to a decrease in the number of ERMES dots. The reduced ERMES number observed in mitochondrial division-deficient cells was not because of decreased levels of ERMES subunits because the amounts of Mmm1, Mdm12, and Mdm34 were all comparable between dnm1Δ and wild-type cells (Figure S1B).

We then re-examined the previously reported effects of the loss of vCLAMP components on the number of ERMES foci. Although the vCLAMP component Vps39 was found as a factor whose absence led to an increase in the number of ERMES dots (Elbaz-Alon et al., 2014), we did not observe a drastic change in
the number of ERMES dots in vps39Δ cells as compared with that of wild-type cells (Figures 1A and 1B). On the other hand, loss of another vCLAMP component Ypt7 led to a slight increase in the ERMES dot number. These results collectively suggest that factors other than vCLAMP, e.g., the growth phase, growth media,
Preexisting ERMES dots cluster together

To test the idea that the preexisting ERMES dots gather together, we utilized a yeast mating assay to monitor the mitochondrial fusion directly (Sesaki et al., 2003) (Figure 2A). Briefly, we constructed two types of yeast cells with opposing mating types (MATα and MATa) that expressed Mmm1-GFP or Mmm1-mScarlet under the control of the GAL1 promoter. We then examined how the ERMES dots behaved after cell fusion. If preexisting ERMES dots tend to cluster together, the green- and red-labeled dots should become merged or adjacent to each other after cell fusion (Figure 2A). To exclude the possibility that excess Mmm1-GFP molecules newly synthesized after cell fusion congregate into preexisting ERMES dots containing Mmm1-mScarlet, we suppressed the expression of both Mmm1-GFP and Mmm1-mScarlet before mating by cultivating the cells in a glucose-containing medium YPD for 4 h.
**Figure 2. Preexisting ERMES dots cluster together on cell fusion**

(A) A schematic diagram of the mating assay showing possible patterns of the ERMES dots. (1) The preexisting ERMES foci do not cluster together. (2, 3) The preexisting ERMES foci merge completely (2) or contact each other (3).
Immunoblotting of whole cell lysates confirmed that Mmm1-GFP and Mmm1-mScarlet were depleted after 4 h cultivation in YPD (Figure 2B). Strikingly, most zygotes contained ERMES dots labeled with different fluorescent proteins that were adjacent to each other (62%) or completely merged (22%) (Figures 2A and 2C). We confirmed that the adjacent ERMES dots were present on the same mitochondria (Figure 2D). These observations suggest that the different preexisting ERMES dots or “sub-clusters” contact with each other to form large clusters, although the sub-clusters may not completely fuse or mixed with each other. This supports again the above idea that the proper balance of mitochondrial fusion and division may well regulate the clustering of preexisting ERMES sub-clusters, thereby maintaining a constant number of large ERMES dots (Figure 2E).

The ERMES dot number increases on ER stress in an Ire1-and Hac1-independent manner

Do the association and dissociation of ERMES sub-clusters have any physiological significance? Considering the role of the ERMES complex in the phospholipid transport between the ER and mitochondria, we reasoned that ER stress, which causes the ER membrane expansion would be a key event to answer this question. Specifically, we hypothesized that the lipid transport function of ERMES may be modulated to accumulate phospholipids in the ER to enlarge the ER membrane. We thus asked if ER stress would affect the number of ERMES dots to deal with the stress. We treated yeast cells expressing Mmm1-GFP with tunicamycin or DTT for 2 h to induce ER stress and determined the ERMES dot numbers using fluorescence microscopy. Strikingly, the number of ERMES dots increased approximately two-fold on treatment of the cells with tunicamycin or DTT (Figures 3A and 3B). This increase in the ERMES dot number on ER stress was not because of the accelerated mitochondrial fragmentation, because the tunicamycin or DTT treatment increased the number of ERMES dots even in the absence of a division factor Dnm1 (Figures 3A and 3B).

We next explored whether the increase in the number of ERMES dots would depend on the canonical unfolded protein response (UPR) (Walter and Ron, 2011). In yeast, an ER-resident type I TM protein Ire1 senses accumulation of aberrant proteins in the ER, and then Ire1 undergoes self-oligomerization, which triggers its kinase and endonuclease activation, leading to Ire1-mediated HAC1 mRNA splicing (Korennykh et al., 2009). The spliced mature HAC1 mRNAs produce the functional form of the Hac1 transcription factor, which activates a number of genes that are repressed under non-stress conditions (Kawahara et al., 1997; Sidrauski and Walter, 1997). We thus asked if a loss of either Ire1 or Hac1 would affect the increase in the number of ERMES dots under ER-stress conditions. The loss of Ire1 or Hac1 did not suppress the increase in the number of ERMES dots (Figures 3A and 3C). These findings suggest that the ER-stress dependent change in the ERMES dot number represents a non-canonical response to deal with the ER stress. We confirmed that tunicamycin treatment did not affect the protein levels of ERMES components such as Mmm1 and Mdm12, although it decreased the level of N-glycosylated forms of Mmm1 and Mmm1-GFP (Figure S2). Therefore, the sharp increase in the number of ERMES dots was not because of the increased expression of the ERMES components. Rather, more likely explanation for the increased number of ERMES dots is that the ERMES dots dissociate on ER stress. Indeed, we confirmed that the estimated numbers of Mmm1-GFP molecules present in an ERMES dot after the tunicamycin or DTT treatment were lower than that in nontreated wild-type cells (Figure 3D). The use of super-resolution confocal live imaging microscopy (SCLIM) enabled us to obtain time-lapse fluorescent images of whole yeast cells at super-high resolution (Kurokawa et al., 2013, 2014), which showed the splitting of the ERMES dot taking place after tunicamycin treatment (Figure 3E, Videos S1, S2, S3, and S4). These findings strongly suggest that dissociation of ERMES dots is responsible for the observed increase in the ERMES dot number. Because the increased ERMES dot number returned to the normal level in 4 h after washing out of DTT (Figure 3F), the dissociation and association of ERMES dots depending on the ER stress are reversible processes. These results also suggest that ERMES dots are stable entities that can congregate or divide. Supporting this idea, a previous study revealed that the ERMES dot is a stable structure by FRAP analysis (Nguyen et al., 2012).
Dissociation of the ERMES complex causes a decrease in lipid transport activity

The next question we asked was what the physiological relevance of the dissociation of ERMES complexes was. Given the lipid-transfer function of ERMES and the ER membrane expansion under the ER stress condition, the ER stress-dependent change in the ERMES clustering could affect the phospholipid metabolism. To test this, we metabolically labeled yeast total phospholipids with $^{32}$Pi, with or without induction of the ER stress, and analyzed the radio-active phospholipids by thin-layer chromatography followed by radio imaging. Interestingly, we found that the accumulation of phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) was impaired under the ER stress conditions whereas other phospholipids were comparably produced irrespective of the ER stress (Figures 4A, 4B, and 4C). This suggests that the impaired PS accumulation triggered the ERMES dissociation, or ER stress-dependent factors including altered ERMES clustering affected the PS metabolism. To obtain insights into these possibilities, we tested if impaired PS synthesis directly affected the appearance of ERMES dots. When Cho1 PS synthase was depleted, we did not see a significant change in the number of ERMES dots (Figure S3B), suggesting that the ERMES dissociation affected the phospholipid metabolism.

To further confirm this interpretation, we asked if the phospholipid transport between the ER and mitochondria was altered under ER stress conditions. To this end, we performed pulse-chase phospholipid transport assay (Kojima et al., 2005). The next question we asked was what the physiological relevance of the dissociation of ERMES complexes was. Given the lipid-transfer function of ERMES and the ER membrane expansion under the ER stress condition, the ER stress-dependent change in the ERMES clustering could affect the phospholipid metabolism. To test this, we metabolically labeled yeast total phospholipids with $^{32}$Pi, with or without induction of the ER stress, and analyzed the radio-active phospholipids by thin-layer chromatography followed by radio imaging. Interestingly, we found that the accumulation of phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) was impaired under the ER stress conditions whereas other phospholipids were comparably produced irrespective of the ER stress (Figures 4A, 4B, and 4C). This suggests that the impaired PS accumulation triggered the ERMES dissociation, or ER stress-dependent factors including altered ERMES clustering affected the PS metabolism. To obtain insights into these possibilities, we tested if impaired PS synthesis directly affected the appearance of ERMES dots. When Cho1 PS synthase was depleted, we did not see a significant change in the number of ERMES dots (Figure S3B), suggesting that the ERMES dissociation affected the phospholipid metabolism.
Figure 4. ER stress alters phospholipid metabolism

(A) Total phospholipids of logarithmically growing wild-type cells were labeled with $^{32}$P for the indicated time in the presence or absence of 1 μg/mL tunicamycin (+Tm) or 3 mM DTT (+DTT) and were analyzed by TLC and autoradiography.

(B) The ratio of PS, PE, PI, or PC relative to the total labeled phospholipids was determined at each time-point and plotted. Values are means ± S.E. (n = 3). *: p < 0.05, p values were obtained from the unpaired two-tailed t-test. ns: not significant.

(C) A schematic diagram for the phospholipid transport and synthesis between the ER and mitochondria.

(D) Logarithmically growing psd2Δdpl1Δ cells in YPD medium were treated with 1 μg/mL tunicamycin (+Tm) or dimethylsulfoxide (None) for 2 h. The cells were incubated in PBS containing $^{14}$C-serine for 15 min, washed once with YPD, and further incubated in YPD medium with or without 1 μg/mL tunicamycin for the indicated times. Total phospholipids were extracted and analyzed by thin-layer chromatography followed by radioimaging.

(E) The ratio of PS, PE, or PC relative to the total labeled phospholipids was determined at each time-point and plotted. Values are means ± S.E. (n = 3). *: p < 0.05, p values were obtained from the unpaired two-tailed t-test. ns: not significant.

(F) Heavy membrane fractions were isolated from wild-type yeast cells and incubated with $^{14}$C-serine for the indicated times. Total phospholipids were extracted and analyzed by TLC and radioimaging.

(G) Amounts of PE, PS, and PDME + PC relative to total phospholipids were calculated and plotted. Values are mean ± SEM (n = 4). The amount of total phospholipids synthesized with the wild-type membranes after 60-min incubation was set to 100% (Total) *: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01, p values were obtained from the unpaired two-tailed t-test.

(H) In vivo pulse-chase experiments were performed as in (D) using psd2Δdpl1Δ rho0 and psd2Δdpl1Δ fzo1Δ cells.

(I) The amounts of PS, PE, or PC detected in (H) were quantified and their ratios relative to the total labeled phospholipids at each time-point were plotted. Values are means ± S.E. (n = 3). *: p < 0.05, p values were obtained from the unpaired two-tailed t-test.

(J) In vitro phospholipid transfer assays were performed as in (F) using heavy membrane fractions isolated from rho0 and fzo1Δ cells.

(K) Quantifications of phospholipids detected in (J) were performed as in (G). Values are mean ± SEM (n = 4). *: p < 0.05, p values were obtained from the unpaired two-tailed t-test. ns: not significant.

(L) The heavy membrane fractions used in (F) and (J) were subjected to immunoblotting using antibodies against the indicated proteins. For clear visualization of the ER-localized Psd1, enhanced images of Psd1 were shown. Arrowheads indicate the ER-localized Psd1.

transport phospholipid. However, it is still possible that the impaired phospholipid transport is a secondary effect of the ER stress and is not directly associated with the ERMES dissociation.

To answer the above question, we performed the same in vivo and in vitro assays to assess phospholipid transport between the ER and mitochondria using fzo1Δ cells in which the ERMES complexes become smaller independently of the ER stress (Figure 1A). We pulse-labeled psd2Δdpl1Δ rho0 and psd2Δdpl1Δ fzo1Δ cells with $^{14}$C-serine and chased the fate of synthesized PS. Similar to the case when cells were treated with tunicamycin, the loss of Fzo1 decelerated PS transport from the ER to mitochondria (Figures 4H and 4I). We also obtained similar results when we analyzed the phospholipid transport in vitro (Figures 4J and 4K). Immunoblotting of the membrane fractions indicated that the steady state levels of Psd1 and Opi3 were reduced in the absence of Fzo1, suggesting that the observed delay of the PS to PE conversion is because of the decreased Psd1 level but not because of the defects in the PS transport from the ER to mitochondria (Figure 4L). Notably, we confirmed that the PS transport was still impaired when Psd1 was overexpressed (Figures S3C and S3D). This result shows that the PS transport is the rate-limiting step in PE synthesis and the effect of the ER-stress response to the ER stress, which is mediated by dissociation of the large ERMES dots and is associated with modulation of phospholipid transport. To discriminate these two functions of ERMES, we looked for a way to suppress the dissociation of ERMES dots, without affecting the direct lipid-transfer function of the ERMES complex. Interestingly, we happened to find that the ER stress-dependent increase in the ERMES dot number visualized with Mdm12-GFP was significantly suppressed in mmm1-1, a temperature-sensitive mutant of Mmm1, at a permissive temperature 23°C (Figures 5A and 5B), where the ERMES-mediated lipid transport directly related to the cell growth is not compromised (Figure 5A). We found that mmm1-1 cells became more susceptible to the tunicamycin treatment with respect to the cell growth as compared with the corresponding wild-type cells on agar plates and in liquid media (Figure 5C). Thus, the mmm1-1 Mutant could offer a useful tool to analyze the two distinct roles of EMRES, direct lipid transfer and ER stress response, separately.
What is the mechanism of the ER stress response mediated by dissociation of large ERMES dots? Since previous studies showed that the ER membranes were significantly expanded on ER stress (Schuck et al., 2009; Sriburi et al., 2004), we examined whether the mmm1-1 mutation affected the ER expansion. Interestingly, the ER stress-dependent ER membrane expansion was partly suppressed in mmm1-1 cells at a permissive temperature of 23°C (Figure 5D). After 2 h-ER stress inductions, 50% of wild-type cells showed the ER structure with elongated shapes, whereas only 20% of mmm1-1 cells contained such a developed ER structure (Figure 5E). Although the mmm1-1 mutation, which causes defective dissociation of the ERMES large dots, does not affect the basal level of the phospholipid flux between the ER and mitochondria at permissive temperature (Figure S4), it could affect further decrease in the phospholipid flux that is required for transient expansion of the ER membrane as a response to the ER stress. It is known that Ino2/Ino4 transcription factors, which activate transcription of a series of genes for phospholipid synthases, are upregulated in a Hac1-dependent manner under the ER stress conditions, and play a critical role in the ER membrane expansion (Cox et al., 1997; Henry et al., 2012; Schuck et al., 2009). In particular, the loss of Ino2, Ino4,
Figure 6. Gem1 and the ER-lumenal domain of Mmm1 are involved in the ERMES clustering
(A) Wild-type and gem1Δ cells expressing Mmm1-GFP were imaged under a confocal fluorescence microscope. Maximum projection images were shown. Scale bars, 5 μm.
(B) Box and whisker plots show the distribution of the number of ERMES dots per cell (left) and relative fluorescent signals per a single ERMES dot. n = 225, 219, 281 and 233 (WT ± DTT, gem1Δ WT ± DTT)(left), n = 156, 155, 153 and 157 (WT ± DTT, gem1Δ WT ± DTT)(right). **p = 0.0010, ****p< 0.0001, p values were obtained from the unpaired two-tailed t-test with Welch’s correction.
(C) Wild-type and gem1Δ cells were spotted onto YPD medium with or without 1 μg/mL tunicamycin (+Tm) and cultivated at 30°C for 2 (YPD) and 6 days (YPD + Tm).
(D) Schematics of Mmm1 mutants lacking a part of the ER-lumenal domain.
(E) The indicated yeast cells expressing Mmm1-GFP mutants were imaged under a confocal fluorescence microscope. Mitochondria were stained with MitoTracker. Maximum projection images were shown. Scale bars, 5 μm.
(F and G) Box and whisker plots show the distribution of the number of ERMES dots per cell, and the relative fluorescent signals per a single ERMES dot, respectively. n = 387, 271, 337, 286, 195, 206, and 269 (WT, ∆N1-6) ***p = 0.005, ****p< 0.0001 (left), n = 152, 151, 152, 151, 150, and 151 (WT, ∆N1-6) (right). *p = 0.016, ***p = 0.0001, ****p< 0.0001.
(H) Immunoblotting of total cell lysates isolated from the indicated cells were shown.
(I) Wild-type and the indicated mutant cells were spotted onto YPD medium with or without 1 μg/mL tunicamycin (+Tm) and cultivated at 23 and 30°C.
(J) Mmm1-GFP, Mmm1-∆N5 or −∆N6-GFP expressing cells were imaged under a confocal fluorescence microscope. Maximum projection images were shown. Scale bars, 5 μm.

or Hac1 diminished the ER membrane expansion under the ER stress conditions (Schuck et al., 2009). Then, the ino2Δ, ino4Δ, or hac1Δ mutation may first abolish the increase in the ERMES dot number, which would subsequently diminish the ER membrane expansion. However, we observed that the tunicamycin or DTT treatment resulted in a similar increase in the number of ERMES dots in ino2Δ, ino4Δ, or hac1Δ cells (Figure S5). This suggests that upregulation of Ino2 and Ino4 and dissociation of large ERMES complexes operate in parallel to expand the ER membranes on ER stress (Figure 6). In other words, the ERMES dissociation may play a role in retaining phospholipids, which are actively synthesized under the ER stress condition, in the ER, thereby contributing to the ER membrane expansion for attenuating the stress.

Possible mechanisms of the ERMES cluster dissociation
What mechanism underlies the dissociation of ERMES dots under ER stress conditions? Previous studies found Gem1 and Emr1 as regulators of the ERMES clustering (Kornmann et al., 2011; Rasul et al., 2021). Loss of either factor was reported to decrease the number of ERMES dots and increase their size. We thus tested whether Gem1 and Mc6, a budding yeast ortholog of Emr1, would affect the ERMES dissociation under the ER stress conditions. As reported previously, the loss of Gem1 reduced the number of ERMES dots and increased their size, but it did not suppress the significant increase in the ERMES dot number under the ER stress condition (Figures 6A and 6B). The growths of gem1Δ cells were comparable to the wild-type cells in the presence or absence of tunicamycin (Figure 6C). These results indicate that Gem1 is indeed involved in regulation of ERMES clustering while it is dispensable for the dissociation of ERMES dots under the ER stress condition. Besides, we confirmed that the number and size of ERMES dots in the absence of Mc6 were similar to those of wild-type cells, suggesting that the role of Mc6 in controlling the ERMES clustering is minor in budding yeast (Figure 6A).

Another possibility is that the ER stress leads to dissociation of ERMES dots through modifications, such as phosphorylation and ubiquitination of the ERMES components. However, we did not observe obvious band shifts for at least Mmm1 and Mdm12 among ERMES components by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting (Figure S2). We also confirmed that yeast cells expressing Mdm34-3PA mutant, which lacks the PY motif critical for its ubiquitination by Rsp5 E3 ubiquitin ligase, showed a similar increase in the ERMES dot number after tunicamycin and DTT treatments (Figure 6B) (Belgareh-Touzé et al., 2017).

Moreover, we reasoned that the accumulation of abnormal proteins in the ER lumen because of ER stress might be a factor causing the dissociation of ERMES dots and the ER-lumenal domain of Mmm1 could sense the abnormal environment. We thus generated several deletion mutants of a part of the ER-lumenal domain (15–20 amino acid residues) of Mmm1 and examined whether the partial deletion affected the ERMES clustering (Figure 6D). Among the deletion mutants we tested, Mmm1-∆N5 and ∆N6, which lacked amino acid residues 65–83 and 84–99, respectively, showed impaired formation of ERMES dots (Figures 6E and 6C). Both the number and size of the ERMES dots were smaller in the mutant cells expressing Mmm1-∆N5 or −∆N6 than those of wild-type control cells (Figures 6F and 6G). Immunoblotting of total lysates prepared from the Mmm1-deletion mutants showed that the levels of Mmm1-∆N5 and ∆N6 were smaller than that of wild-type but comparable with those of Mmm1-∆N1, ∆N3 and ∆N4 mutants. The levels of Mdm12
were similar among the Mmm1-deletion mutants. These results indicate that the defective formation of ERMES dots was not simply because of the destabilization of Mmm1-GFP and Mdm12 (Figure 6H). Although the Mmm1-ΔN5 and ΔN6 mutant cells showed cold-sensitive growth defects, they did not become more susceptible to tunicamycin. Besides, the Mmm1-ΔN5 and ΔN6 mutants retained the ability to dissociate on ER stress. These results indicate that the lumenal domain of Mmm1 is important for ERMES clustering but not essential for the ER stress-dependent dissociation of ERMES dots.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we attempted to analyze the likely important, but previously overlooked aspects of regulation of the ERMES dot number in a yeast cell. We found that the number and size of the ERMES dots are determined by the association and dissociation of ERMES dots. A small number of large ERMES dots and the large number of small dots were observed in yeast mutants deficient in the mitochondrial division and fusion, respectively. This means that the clustering and unclustering of the ERMES sub-clusters and clusters, respectively, are associated with the mitochondrial fusion and division, which antagonistically regulate the number of the ERMES dots. It is not clear, however, if division and fusion-associated changes in the ERMES dot numbers are regulated actively by specific factors.

We also found that large ERMES dots become dissociated into smaller sub-clusters in response to the ER stress, which is independent of the canonical Ire1/Hac1 pathway. This ER-stress dependent dissociation of ERMES dots looks reversible because the ERMES dot numbers are restored after removal of the cause for the ER stress (Figure 3F). Of interest, microscopic observation suggests that the preexisting ERMES dots contacted with each other to form large aggregates (Figure 2D). In addition, our live cell imaging analyses captured repeated dissociation and association of the ERMES dots, suggesting that these contacts of ERMES dots appeared reversible, as well (Videos S1, S2, S3, and S4). These findings indicate the principle of the dynamic nature of ERMES, that is, ERMES dots can reversibly dissociate into smaller sub-clusters or in turn, sub-clusters assemble into large ERMES dots.

The ERMES protein complex is thought to play an essential role in phospholipid trafficking between mitochondria and the ER, which is critical for yeast cell growth. This inter-organelle lipid transfer function of ERMES is mediated by the cooperative action of the SMP domains of Mmm1 and Mdm12 (Kawano et al., 2018), and likely that of Mdm34, as well. In addition to this, the present results point to a possible role of EMRES in the ER stress response through the dynamic change in the ERMES clustering, which further affects the phospholipid trafficking (Figure 7). Supporting this idea, our results clearly indicated that the PS transfer from the ER to mitochondria was impaired when the size of ERMES dots was small. Therefore, the dissociation of large ERMES dots may limit the amounts of phospholipids passing through ERMES above the basal flux level. This would then result in phospholipid accumulation in the ER, which may lead to the ER membrane expansion. Such regulation of the phospholipid flux may be achieved by controlling the amounts of phospholipids transiently accumulated or pooled in the SMP domains in the aggregated ERMES dots (Tamura et al., 2020). In summary, we propose that, together with the transcriptional activation through the Ire1/Hac1 pathway, lipid accumulation in the ER because of lowered phospholipid efflux from the ER via ERMES dots may cooperatively contribute to the ER membrane expansion to buffer the ER stress (Figure 7).

Although our findings shed light on the ERMES-mediated pathway of ER stress response, several unresolved issues remain. For example, it is unclear how Ino2/Ino4 transcription factors are activated in Ire1/Hac1-dependent manner on ER stress. A previous study suggests a possibility that Hac1 inhibits the function of Opi1 as a repressor for Ino2/Ino4 although this has not yet been revealed (Cox et al., 1997). Besides, we could not obtain clear insight into what triggers the dissociation of ERMES dots. Although we found that mmm1-1 mutant fails to dissociate ERMES clusters and expand the ER membrane in response to ER stress at the permissive temperature (Figure 5), it is completely unknown why this mutation affects the ERMES clustering at this point. mmm1-1 mutant carries the S252D mutation. According to the Alpha-fold structure of Mmm1, S252 is located on the protein surface (Jumper et al., 2021). Therefore, the D252S mutation may contribute to stabilize the interaction between the ERMES sub-clusters at the permissive temperature although the ERMES dots are gone at over 30°C. As reported previously, Gem1 was indeed involved in proper clustering of ERMES, but was not critical for the ER stress response via dissociation of ERMES dots (Figures 6A–6C). Although we found that the lumenal domain of Mmm1 is critical for the ERMES clustering, its deletion mutant could still respond the ER stress (Figures 6I and 6J). Furthermore, although we
confirmed that defective PS synthesis did not cause the dissociation of ERMES dots (Figure S3), it is still possible that acyl-chain variations cause changes in packing of the ERMES complexes in its clusters, which may lead to their dissociation. Alternatively, protein modifications or additional binding partners for ERMES subunits may cause the dissociation of ERMES dots under ER stress conditions. Future studies should reveal the detailed mechanisms underlying the dynamic feature of the number of ERMES dots to answer these questions.

Limitations of the study
Although we showed that the PS transport from the ER to the mitochondria was impaired under ER stress conditions, the degree of inhibition was not quite large. Therefore, it is unclear whether the impaired PS transport alone can explain the expansion of the ER membrane under ER stress conditions. The in vivo

Figure 7. A model for the ER stress response
Orange arrows and the area enclosed in a square indicate the processes found in this study. Question mark indicates that the Ire1/Hac1-dependent activation of Ino2/Ino4, which was suggested but not examined experimentally (Cox et al., 1997).
and in vitro lipid transport experiments can only evaluate the PS transport from the ER to mitochondria but not the transport of other phospholipids. Because this is a limitation of this study, it will be important to investigate whether the transport of phospholipids other than PS is indeed suppressed during ER stress. In addition, the ERMES complex is not fully conserved in higher eukaryotes. Therefore, it will also be important to ascertain whether some aspects of the ER-mitochondrial contact sites obtained in this study using yeast are also conserved in other higher eukaryotic systems.
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## STAR★METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-Mmm1 | Endo Lab | N/A        |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-Mdm34 | Endo Lab | N/A        |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-Mdm12 | Endo Lab | N/A        |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-Tim23 | Endo Lab | N/A        |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-Porin | Endo Lab | N/A        |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-Fzo1 | Endo Lab | N/A        |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-Mgm1 | Tamura Lab | N/A |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-Ugo1 | Sesaki Lab | N/A |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-Dnm1 | Endo Lab | N/A        |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-Tom70 | Endo Lab | N/A        |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-Opi3 | Tamura Lab | N/A |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-Kar2 | Endo Lab | N/A        |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-Pdi | GENETEX, Inc. | Cat# GTX50319; RRID:AB_625705 |
| Mouse monoclonal anti-GFP | Takara Bio | Cat# 632380, RRID:AB_10013427 |
| Cy5 AffiniPure Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) | Jackson Immunoresearch Labs | Cat# 111-175-144, RRID:AB_2338013 |
| Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, HRP | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat# A16104, RRID:AB_2534776 |
| Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, HRP | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat# A16072, RRID:AB_2534745 |
| **Bacterial and virus strains** |        |            |
| XL2-blue | N/A | N/A |
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** |        |            |
| Tunicamycin | Nakalai tesque | Cat# 35638-74 |
| DTT | FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical | Cat# M02712 |
| PVDF membrane (Immobilon-P) | Merck-Millipore | Cat# IPVH00010 |
| PVDF membrane (Immobilon-FL) | Merck-Millipore | Cat# PFL00010 |
| **Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains** |        |            |
| All listed below are Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains | | |
| FY833- MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 | Winston et al. (1995) | N/A |
| FY834- MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 | Winston et al. (1995) | N/A |
| rho0- MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 rho0 | This study | N/A |
| fzo1Δ- MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 fzo1Δ::kanMX4 rho0 | This study | N/A |
| Mmm1-GFP- MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 MMM1-GFP::TRP1 | Tamura et al. (2012) | N/A |

(Continued on next page)
| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Mmm1-GFP dnm1 Δ MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 MMM1-GFP::TRP1 dnm1 Δ URA3 | This study | N/A |
| Mmm1-GFP vps39 Δ MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 MMM1-GFP::TRP1 vps39 Δ:hphMX | This study | N/A |
| Mmm1-GFP rho0 Δ MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 MMM1-GFP::TRP1 rho0 Δ HPH1 | This study | N/A |
| Mmm1-GFP fzo1 Δ MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 MMM1-GFP::TRP1 fzo1 Δ:kanMX4 | This study | N/A |
| Mmm1-GFP fzo1 Δ MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 MMM1-GFP::TRP1 fzo1 Δ:kanMX4 | This study | N/A |
| Mmm1-GFP mgm1 Δ MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 MMM1-GFP::TRP1 mgm1 Δ:kanMX4 | This study | N/A |
| Mmm1-GFP gem1 Δ MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 MMM1-GFP::TRP1 gem1 Δ:kanMX4 | This study | N/A |
| Mmm1-GFP mco6 Δ MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 MMM1-GFP::TRP1 mco6 Δ:kanMX4 | This study | N/A |

(Continued on next page)
Continued

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| GAL-Mmm1-GFP- MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 His3MX6-GAL1-MM1-GFP::TRP1 | This study | N/A |
| GAL-Mmm1-mScarlet- MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 His3MX6-GAL1-MMM1-mScarlet::kanMX4 | This study | N/A |
| Mmm1-GFP idh1-mCherry- MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 MMM1-GFP::TRP1 IDH1-mCherry::kanMX6 | This study | N/A |
| Mmm1-3PA-GFP- MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 MMM1-3PA-GFP::TRP1 | This study | N/A |
| Cse4-GFP- MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 Cse4-GFP::TRP1 | This study | N/A |
| YPH250-MATa ura3-52 lys2-801 ade2-101 trp1-Δ1 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 gal3 | Sikorski and Hieter, 1989 | N/A |
| mmm1-1- MATa ura3-52 lys2-801 ade2-101 trp1-Δ1 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 gal3 mmm1-1 | Burgess et al. (1994) | N/A |
| Mdm12-GFP- MATa ura3-52 lys2-801 ade2-101 trp1-Δ1 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 gal3 MDM12-GFP::TRP1 | This study | N/A |
| Mdm12-GFP/mmm1-1- MATa ura3-52 lys2-801 ade2-101 trp1-Δ1 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 gal3 MDM12-GFP::TRP1 mmm1-1 | This study | N/A |
| psd2Δdpl1Δ- MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 psd2Δ::HIS3 dpl1Δ::URA3 | This study | N/A |
| psd2Δdpl1Δrho0Δ- MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 psd2Δ::HIS3 dpl1Δ::URA3 rho0Δ | This study | N/A |
| psd2Δdpl1Δfzo1Δ- MATa ura3-52 his3-Δ200 leu2-Δ1 lys2-Δ202 trp1-Δ63 psd2Δ::HIS3 dpl1Δ::URA3 fzo1Δ::TRP1 rho0Δ | This study | N/A |

Oligonucleotides

| Oligonucleotides | Source |
|------------------|--------|
| AACATTATCGATATTCGAT | This study | YU29 |
| AGACCCAAAACGTTAGGCTCAT | This study | YU30 |
| TTAACGGTTTAAAACGACGCCGTTGAT | This study | YU31 |
| TCTCTGGCAAGTTGGTTGCAG | This study | YU32 |
| TAGTATAGGTTTTCACTCAGCTCAG | This study | YU33 |
| AGCGTTGAAAAACGACGCCGTTGAT | This study | YU34 |
| CAGCAGTTTAAAACGACGCCGTTGAT | This study | YU35 |
| GACTGGAATACCATGGGCGAACAT | This study | YU36 |
| AAAAAAAAAATGGGGGACTATCCCA | This study | YU37 |
| TCTCTGGCAAGTTGGTTGCAG | This study | YU38 |
| TAGTATAGGTTTTCACTCAGCTCAG | This study | YU39 |
| AGCGTTGAAAAACGACGCCGTTGAT | This study | YU40 |

(Continued on next page)
| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| CGGTAAAAATGCTATTTTAC | This study | YU34 |
| TCTCTAATGACTATTTTAC | This study | NU831 |
| ACACAGGAAACAGCTATGACC | This study | NU832 |
| GACGGGTCTTATATTGATCAGCA | This study | NU1174 |
| AAAACCCTCCAATATCAATTTTA | This study | NU1175 |
| TACAGAGTCTAGGATCCCGGTAAATTA | This study | YU1071 |
| TCGGTGGATCTCTCATC | This study | YU1072 |
| CATAGAAGACAGATGAGAGA | This study | YU1116 |
| CAGCCCTATAACAAATAGGTGGT | This study | YU1117 |
| CCTCTCCCAAGCTCATTATCAC | This study | YU1347 |
| GTATGTCAGTGTCATGTTTAAAG | This study | YU1348 |
| GTCATCTTTGTCGCTCCTCATTTC | This study | YU1349 |
| ATGGTACGAGGCGCGCCAACACTCAG | This study | YU1350 |
| CAAGACCTTCAAATAACTGAAA | This study | YU1518 |
| TGGGGATCGGGATGTCGCTCCCGCGC | This study | YU1519 |
| AGGTTAATCGGACGCGCTCAAATAT | This study | YU1563 |
| ATCGGAGAGTATGATTGTAGGTTAATG | This study | YU1564 |
| ATTGACATTTTACATGAAATGATG | This study | N/A |
| TAATGAAAGAAAGACATGCAACTAG | This study | N/A |
| CAAGAAGAAAGACATGCAACTAG | This study | N/A |
| TTCGATCCCGGGTAAATTA | This study | N/A |
| AACCCCGAAAAAGGAAAAATCAG | This study | N/A |
| GTCGCCGCCGTTGAAATTA | This study | N/A |
| CAGAATCGAGCTCGTTAAAC | This study | N/A |
| Recombinant DNA | pBS-kanMX4 | Endo Lab | N/A |
| pBS-kanMX4 | Endo Lab | N/A |
| pFA6a-GFP(S65T)-TRP1 | Longtine et al. (1998) | N/A |

(Continued on next page)
RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Yasushi Tamura (tamura@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp).

Materials availability
Plasmids and yeast strains generated in this study are available from the lead contact.

Data and code availability
All data reported in this article will be shared by the lead contact upon request.

Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

In this study, we used a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain, FY833 (MATa ura3-52 his3-D200 leu2-D41 lys2-D202 trp1-D63) or FY834 (MATa ura3-52 his3-D200 leu2-D41 lys2-D202 trp1-D63) as background strains (Winston et al., 1995). All the yeast cells used in this study are listed in the key resources table.

METHOD DETAILS

Strains, plasmids, primers, and growth conditions
*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain FY833 (MATa ura3-52 his3-D200 leu2-D41 lys2-D202 trp1-D63) and FY834 (MATa ura3-52 his3-D200 leu2-D41 lys2-D202 trp1-D63) were used as background strains (Winston et al., 1995). The yeast cells used in this study are listed in Table S1. Yeast KO and DAMP strains used in this study are following: KO strains: ayrΔ, bna4Δ, cbp1Δ, eht1Δ, erg6Δ, faa1Δ, fis1Δ, fzo1Δ, gem1Δ, gsd2Δ, gtt1Δ, hfd1Δ, tsp1Δ, mcr1Δ, mdr10Δ, mdr34Δ, mdv1Δ, mmm1Δ, mmr1Δ, msp1Δ, om45Δ, pdr1Δ, pif1Δ,
por2Δ, pth2Δ, rd11Δ, sac1Δ, ste24Δ, tcd2Δ, tcd3Δ, ubp16Δ, ubx2Δ, ugo1Δ, uip4Δ, vps1Δ, vps21Δ, yju3Δ, ypr31Δ, ypt32Δ, ypt7Δ; DAMp Yeast strains: dpm1, ncp1, sam35, sam37, sec4, sen2, sen34, sen54, tom22, tom40, tsc10. Yeast cells expressing MMM1-GFP originating from FY833 was used as the wild-type strain (Tamura et al., 2012). The C-terminal GFP or mScarlet tagging, introduction of the GAL1 promoter in front of the MMM1 or CHO1 gene, and gene disruptions were performed by homologous recombination using the appropriate gene cassettes amplified from the plasmids listed in Table S2 (Kojima et al., 2019; Longtine et al., 1998). The primer pairs #YU1116/1117, #YU29/30, #YU31/32, #YU1347/1348, and #YU1349/1350 were used to amplify the gene cassette for the disruption of FIS1, FZO1, UGO1, IRE1, and HAC1 gene, respectively. To introduce the GFP or mScarlet tag for MMM1, CSE4, and the GAL1 promoter for MMM1 and CHO1, the appropriate gene cassettes were respectively amplified with the primer pair #NU1174/1175, #YU1563/1564, #YU2047/2048, #YU1071/1072, or #YU2514/2515. All the primer sequences were indicated in Table S2.

Yeast cells were grown in YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% polypeptone, and 2% glucose), SCD (0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 0.5% casamino acid, and 2% glucose), SD (0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 0.13% drop-out amino acid mix and 2% glucose) media with appropriate supplements. The drop-out amino acid mix was a mixture of 2.6 g adenine, 6.0 g L-aspartic acid, 12 g L-threonine, 2.6 g L-asparagine, 1.8 g L-tyrosine, 6.0 g L-glutamic acid, 2.6 g L-glutamine, 2.6 g glycine, 2.6 g L-alanine, 2.6 g L-isoleucine, 1.2 g L-methionine, 3.0 g L-phenylalanine, 2.6 g L-proline, 22.6 g L-threonine, 2.6 g L-asparagine, 1.8 g L-tyrosine, 6.0 g L-glutamic acid, 2.6 g L-glutamine, 2.6 g glycine, and 2.6 g L-cysteine (all amounts are per liter).

**Fluorescence microscopy**

Logarithmically growing yeast cells cultivated in SCD or SD media were observed using a model IX83 microscope (Olympus) with a CSU-X1 confocal unit (Yokogawa), a 100× and 1.4 numerical aperture objective lens (UPlanSapo; Olympus), and an sCMOS camera (Zyla 5.5; Andor). Image analysis involved MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices). To observe mScarlet signal, we used an Evolve S12 EM-CCD camera (Photometrics). GFP or RFP/mScarlet were excited using a 488-nm or 561-nm laser (OBIS; Coherent) and the emission was passed through 520/35-nm or 617/73-nm band-pass filter, respectively. The confocal fluorescent sections were collected every 0.2 μm from the upper to the bottom surface of yeast cells. The obtained confocal images were subjected to maximum projection using Image J software (NIH). To enumerate ERMES dots, Mmm1-GFP dots were automatically picked by the TransFluor macro for MetaMorph software using the “Pits” algorithm. The resulting images containing the selected dots were counted. Signal intensities of ERMES and Cse4-GFP dots were calculated using Image J software.

SCLIM was developed by the combined use of the model IX-71 inverted fluorescence microscope with a UPlanSapo 100 X NA 1.4 oil objective lens (Olympus), a high-speed and high-signal-to-noise-ratio spinning-disk confocal scanner (Yokogawa Electric), a custom-made spectroscopic unit, image intensifiers (Hamamatsu Photonics) equipped with a custom-made cooling system, magnification lens system to provide 266.7× final magnification, and three EM-CCD cameras (Hamamatsu Photonics) for green, red, and infrared observation (Kurokawa et al., 2013). Images were acquired using custom-made software (Yokogawa Electric). For three-dimensional (3D) time-lapse imaging, we collected optical sections spaced 0.2 μm apart in stacks by oscillating the objective lens vertically with a custom-made piezo actuator. Z-stack images were converted to 3D voxel data and processed by deconvolution with Volocity (Perkin Elmer) using the theoretical point-spread function for spinning-disk confocal microscopy.

**Immunoblotting and antibodies**

For immunoblotting, proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride Immobilon-FL or Immobilon-P membranes (Millipore). The transferred proteins were detected by fluorophore- or horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated to secondary antibodies (Cy5 AffiniPure Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L), Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) or goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) cross-adsorbed secondary antibody conjugated to HRP (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and analyzed with a Typhoon imager (GE Healthcare) or LAS-4000 mini device (Fujifilm).

**In vivo pulse-chase experiment with 14C serine**

The pulse-chase experiments were performed essentially as described previously (Tamura et al., 2012). Specifically, psd2ΔΔdp1ΔΔ cells were first cultivated to logarithmic phase in 5 mL of YPD medium and sediments by centrifugation. The collected cells were suspended in 1 mL of PBS containing 3 μCi/mL Ci/mL.
\(^{14}\text{C}\)-serine for 15 min at 30°C unless otherwise stated. After the pulse-labeling, the cells were washed with YPD medium and suspended in 5.5 mL of the same medium. Then, 1 mL of the cell suspension was immediately collected as the zero time-point sample. The cells collected by centrifugation were resuspended in 330 \(\mu\)L methanol and kept on ice until all pulse-chase samples were prepared. The rest of the cells were sampled at predetermined times, collected by centrifugation, and resuspended in 330 \(\mu\)L of methanol after the chase cultivation. We added 100 \(\mu\)L of glass beads to the samples and vortexed for 15 min. Subsequently, we added 660 \(\mu\)L of chloroform to the samples, centrifuged them at 12,000 \(\times\) g for 10 min, and transfer the supernatant to new tubes. The samples were added with 200 \(\mu\)L of 0.1 M HCl/0.1M KCl and vortexed for 5 min. The organic phase was separated by centrifugation at 400 \(\times\) g for 5 min, dried under \(\text{N}_2\) gas, and resuspended in chloroform. All the samples were analyzed by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) followed by radioimaging.

**In vitro phospholipid transport assay with \(^{14}\text{C}\) serine**

The in vitro assays were performed essentially as described previously (Kojima et al., 2016; Tamura et al., 2019b). Specifically, we isolated heavy membrane fractions (12,000 \(\times\) g pellet) from yeast cells cultured in SCD media. The isolated membrane fractions were incubated at 30°C for a period of time in assay buffer (300 mM sucrose, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 40 mM KCl, 2 mM CTP, 1 mM S-adenosylmethionine, 0.1 mM \(\text{MnCl}_2\), 2 mM \(\text{MgCl}_2\)) in the presence of 2 \(\mu\)Ci/mL\(^{14}\text{C}(-\text{U})\)-L-serine. To stop PS synthesis and its transport reactions, we added 900 \(\mu\)L of 2:1 chloroform/methanol to 100 \(\mu\)L of the samples and vortexing for 15 min. Then, 200 \(\mu\)L of 0.1M KCl, 0.1 M HCl was added to the samples and further vortexed for 15 min at room temperature. After 5 min spin, the organic phase was collected and dried under \(\text{N}_2\) gas. The resulting lipids were dissolved in chloroform and subjected to the analysis by TLC followed by radioimaging with an Amersham Typhoon scanner.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The number of ERMES per cell and signal intensities of ERMES and Cse4-GFP dots are shown as box and whisker plots (Tukey). The sample number is indicated in the figure legends. Data are shown as mean with standard error of the mean or standard deviation as indicated in the figure legends. Student’s t-test with Welch’s correction were performed for the statistical analyses using Prism 6 software (GraphPad).