The Correlation of Plasma 25-Hydroxyvitamin D Deficiency With Risk of Breast Neoplasms: A Systematic Review
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Abstract

Context: Breast cancer has been considered as one of the most common types of cancer among the women worldwide, and patients with breast neoplasms have been reported with high prevalence of low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels.

Objectives: Our aim was to evaluate the correlation of plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D deficiency with breast neoplasms risk among women.

Data Sources: PubMed database was searched with MeSH (medical subject headings) keywords "vitamin D AND breast neoplasms" which was restricted by original articles written only in English and published from January 1, 2014.

Study Selection: To find the articles that met eligibility criteria, titles and abstracts were examined.

Data Extraction: This systematic review was conducted according to PRISMA (preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses) statement. Critical appraising of evidence was performed, using the study quality assessment tools of national institutes of health, national heart, lung and blood institute (NHLBI).

Results: Overall, 76 potential articles were identified and after screening, 13 articles met eligible criteria for inclusion. Definition of low vitamin D levels varied greatly among studies, making comparisons difficult, but most of them have defined deficiency as 25(OH)D < 20 ng/mL. Evidence was mainly of fair quality.

Conclusions: This study has provided evidence that vitamin D deficiency has been very prevalent in patients with breast neoplasms, more than comparable matched control population, and risk of breast cancer has increased with low vitamin D levels, suggesting the need for high quality studies that assessed the health consequences attributable to vitamin D deficiency employing standard definitions.
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1. Context

Breast cancer has been considered as the most common type of cancer among the women, within 161 countries and the most common cause for cancer deaths, within 98 countries (1).

Vitamin D (25-hydroxyvitamin D) deficiency has been known as a worrying public health problem for its association with musculoskeletal, immune system, cardiovascular and mental health (2-4). Reports have shown that breast cancer patients have a high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency (5-7). Vitamin D is influenced by many factors such as old age, high body mass index (BMI), high latitude, cold seasons, low sunlight exposure, and dark skin pigmentation which are associated with hypovitaminosis D (8). Vitamin D enters the body either from sunlight exposure or through both diet and dietary supplements. Ultraviolet B (UVB) irradiation (290-315 nm) through the skin, converts 7-dehydrocholesterol to pre-vitamin D3, which is converted to vitamin D3 and released into the circulation where the majority is quickly hydroxylated in the liver by cytochrome P-450-dependent enzyme. The product of this enzymatic modification, 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 is the major circulating D3 derivative that is used to measure serum vitamin D status. In the renal proximal convoluted tubule, 25-hydroxyvitamin D is hydroxylated to its biologically active metabolite, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (Calcitriol) (2, 9). Calcitriol exerts its actions by binding to a nuclear receptor protein, the vitamin D receptor (VDR) (10). VDR is active in virtually all tissues including breast and also in cancer cells (11). That has been the reason that suboptimal vitamin D levels might lead to cancer development through impairment of cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, and angiogenesis (12). Biological and epidemiological data have revealed the protective functions of vitamin D against different cancers especially breast cancer (13-15) and the potential role of VDR gene polymorphisms and risk of cancer (16-18). Interestingly, it was found that people with higher vitamin D levels have shown reduced incidence of breast
cancer (19, 20).

The association of vitamin D deficiency with the risk of breast cancer has been described among breast cancer patients, although most of the study groups were insufficient and definitions were heterogeneous.

2. Objectives

We have aimed to evaluate articles that have assessed the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among women with breast cancer in comparison with healthy population, adjusted the deficiency definitions, and to specify whether it is correlated with increased risk of breast neoplasms.

3. Data Sources

The initial search was performed with the medical subject headings (MeSH) keywords "vitamin D AND breast neoplasms" using PubMed database to identify articles eligible for this review. The limitations were: 1, English language; 2, publication date from January 1, 2014. In the screening process, review articles and case-reports were excluded. We have also examined the reference list of all relevant articles.

4. Study Selection

To find the articles that met eligibility criteria, titles and abstracts were examined. Those articles which have evaluated serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D in females with breast neoplasms were included. The following data for each eligible study were summarized; design, age, sample size, the outcomes studied, definition of vitamin D deficiency, and the applicable results.

5. Data Extraction

This systematic review was performed in accordance with the PRISMA (Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses) statement (21).

Critical appraising of evidence was performed, using the study quality assessment tools of national institutes of health, national heart, lung and blood institute (NHLBI). Studies with low risk of bias were determined as good quality, high risk of bias as poor quality, and moderate risk of bias as fair quality.

6. Results

6.1. Study Selection

Overall, 76 records were identified through database searching using the MeSH keywords as previously described. Two additional records were identified through from reference list but they were already in our identified articles list. After duplicates removal, 76 records were screened. After screening 56 records were excluded, including three articles which were published in other languages besides English, one case-report, twenty nine review articles and twenty three experimental studies. Eventually, 20 full-text articles have assessed for eligibility. Four articles evaluated vitamin D receptor polymorphisms but did not measure vitamin D levels, and three articles did not study breast cancer. At last, 13 studies were included that measured the association of vitamin D deficiency with breast cancer (Figure 1).

6.2. Study Characteristics

Four studies were conducted in the United States (6, 22-24), three in Iran (7, 25, 26). The remaining studies were conducted in Egypt (27), Thailand (28), Korea (29), Pakistan (30), China (31) and Turkey (7). Eleven studies were case-control (6, 22-27, 29-32) and two cross-sectional (7, 28). Measuring serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D was the primary outcome of most of the papers (6, 7, 22, 24, 26-32). Most of the articles were conducted among premenopausal/postmenopausal population (6, 7, 22, 23, 25-31), one article has studied postmenopausal women (24) and one article has studied premenopausal women (32). Ten of studies have compared vitamin D levels with control groups (6, 22-27, 29, 30, 32) and three of them have studied prevalence of vitamin D deficiency/insufficiency among breast cancer patients (7, 28, 31). In majority of researches vitamin D deficiency was defined as 25(OH)D < 20 ng/mL and insufficiency as 20 - 39 ng/mL. Evidence was mainly of fair quality.

6.3. Results of Individual Studies

A study by Abdelgawad et al. (27), assessed 25(OH)D, calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, and parathormone levels in 98 newly diagnosed adult female patients with breast cancer, ages 30 to 80 years, who have presented to the medical oncology department Cairo university, Cairo, Egypt. Forty nine age-matched healthy female volunteers have enrolled as the control group. Vitamin D deficiency was defined as serum level < 20 ng/mL, but the insufficiency as 20 - 39 ng/mL. Vitamin D deficiency was seen in 67% of cases while 49% of the control group were deficient with the median level significantly lower in the breast cancer group (P = 0.044).
A study in Iran by Colagar et al. (25), has assessed vitamin D receptor polymorphism and 25(OH)D status in 134 breast cancer cases and a control group consisting of 127 healthy women. The mean ages for the case and control groups were almost similar (48.72 ± 9.60 vs. 47.04 ± 12.07 years). Researchers have found that lower levels of the 25(OH)D (< 14 ng/mL), were associated with susceptibility to breast cancer (P < 0.001). The secondary outcome has revealed that poly(A) allele in the presence of low 25-hydroxyvitamin D status were more susceptible to breast cancer.

Park et al. (29), have studied 3,634 breast cancer cases and 17,133 controls, ages 20 to more than 80 years, in Korea. Vitamin D was defined as deficient if serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D < 20 ng/mL. The mean serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D of cases was significantly less than controls (15.1 ± 7.2 vs. 17.1 ± 6.3 ng/mL). Women with vitamin D deficiency had 27% increased risk of breast cancer, in comparison with women by sufficient levels of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D.

In a study of 25(OH)D status and mammographic density among women living in the United States, Bertrand et al. (22) have assessed 493 newly diagnosed breast cancer patients and 835 matched controls, ages 32 to 58 years. They have found that Women in the highest tertile of percent mammographic density and lowest quartile of 25(OH)D (1.95 - 19.8 ng/mL), had a > 60% increased risk of breast cancer, in comparison with the women with low mammo-
graphic density and high 25(OH)D.

A study by Reimers et al. (23), has evaluated the association of vitamin D-related genetic polymorphisms and plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D with risk of breast cancer among 967 incident breast cancer cases and 993 controls, mean age of 58.6 years, in the United States. Plasma 25(OH)D was divided into two categories (< 19.1 and ≥ 19.1 ng/mL), based on the lowest quartile of 25(OH)D versus all above. Authors have found that breast cancer risk was reduced among women with the homozygous common allele with plasma 25-hydroxyvitamin D ≥ 19.1 ng/mL in comparison with those with 25-hydroxyvitamin D < 19.1 ng/mL.

Imtiaz et al. (30), have conducted a study of vitamin D levels in 90 newly diagnosed breast cancer patients (mean age 47.5 ± 9.8 years) and 90 age-matched healthy females in Lahore, Pakistan. The mean serum 25(OH)D level in cases was significantly lower than control group (9.3 ng/mL vs 14.9 ng/mL) (P < 0.001). Vitamin D deficiency (< 20 ng/mL) was reported among 95.6% of cases and 77% of control group (P < 0.001). Vitamin D Insufficiency (20 - 39 ng/mL) was seen in 4.4% of the patients and 18.9% of healthy females (P < 0.001).

A report by Alipour et al. (26), has compared 25(OH)D levels in 308 women with benign and malignant breast tumors (mean age 44.2 years) and 364 controls in Iran. Serum levels between 25 - 35 ng/mL, 12.5 - 25 ng/mL and < 12.5 ng/mL were considered as mild, moderate and severe vitamin D deficiency, respectively. In this study the median serum 25(OH)D level in the case group was 7.7 ng/mL and in control group was 8.7 ng/mL. The secondary outcome has demonstrated that the median serum levels of 25(OH)D were higher in benign, in comparison with malignant cases (7.9 ng/mL vs. 7 ng/mL).

In a study of five race/ethnic groups from the United States conducted by Kim et al. (24), vitamin D levels were examined among 707 postmenopausal breast cancer cases (mean age 68.5 years) and 364 controls in Iran. Serum levels between 25 - 35 ng/mL, 12.5 - 25 ng/mL and < 12.5 ng/mL were considered as mild, moderate and severe vitamin D deficiency, respectively. In this study the median serum 25(OH)D level in the case group was 7.7 ng/mL and in control group was 8.7 ng/mL. The secondary outcome has demonstrated that the median serum levels of 25(OH)D were higher in benign, in comparison with malignant cases (7.9 ng/mL vs. 7 ng/mL).

A study by Shi et al. (31), has examined 25-Hydroxyvitamin D among 1,940 Chinese breast cancer patients, ages 22 to 77 years. Vitamin D status was categorized as deficient (< 30 nmol/L) and insufficient (30 - 50 nmol/L). Approximately 23% of patients were vitamin D deficient and 48% were vitamin D insufficient.

7. Discussion

7.1. Summary of Evidence

This study has suggested that vitamin D deficiency would be very prevalent in patients with breast neoplasms, more than comparable matched control population, and risk of breast cancer has increased with low Vitamin D levels.

The main findings have been summarized and shown in (Table 1). Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration has been known as a significant predictor of breast cancer risk. Park et al. (29) have found that serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D < 20 ng/mL was associated with 27% increased risk of breast cancer. Similar results have been reported by Colagar et al., Bertrand et al., Reimers et al. and Kim et al. (22-25). The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in breast cancer population has ranged from 23% to 95.6%.

Two studies have not supported the key role of vitamin D in breast cancer. First study by Wang et al. (6), detected no significant differences between mean serum 25(OH)D levels in cases and controls (62.6 nmol/L vs 61.4 nmol/L)
Table 1. Summary of Evidence

| Author(s) | Year | Design | Cases, N | Controls, N | Age, Y | Outcomes Studied | Vitamin D Deficiency Definitions | Results | Quality |
|-----------|------|--------|----------|-------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Abdelgawad et al., (27) | 2015 | Case-Control | 98 | 49 | 30 to 80 | 25-OH vitamin D, calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, parathormone | Deficiency: < 20 ng/mL | Vitamin D deficiency was seen in 67% of cases and 49% of controls (P = 0.044) | Fair |
| Colagar et al., (25) | 2015 | Case-Control | 134 | 127 | Mean (Range) = 47 (18.1 - 79.5) | VDR gene polymorphism, 25-OH vitamin D | Deficiency: < 14 ng/mL | Lower levels of vitamin D was associated with susceptibility to breast cancer (P < 0.001) | Fair |
| Park et al., (29) | 2015 | Case-Control | 3634 | 1713 | 20 to 80 | 25-OH vitamin D | Deficiency: < 20 ng/mL | Mean serum 25(OH)D of cases was 15.1 ± 7.2 and in controls was 17.1 ± 6.3 ng/mL. Women with Vitamin D deficiency had 27% increased risk of breast cancer. | Good |
| Bertrand et al., (22) | 2015 | Case-Control | 493 | 835 | 32 to 58 | 25-OH vitamin D, mammographic density | Deficiency: < 19.8 ng/mL | Women in the highest tertile of mammographic density and lowest quartile of 25(OH)D (1.95 - 19.8 ng/mL), had > 60% increased risk of breast cancer. | Good |
| Reimers et al., (23) | 2015 | Case-Control | 967 | 993 | Mean= 58.6 vitamin D-related genetic polymorphisms, 25-OH vitamin D | Deficiency: < 19.1 ng/mL | Reduced risk of breast cancer has seen with the homozygous common allele with plasma 25(OH)D ≥ 19.1 ng/mL. | Fair |
| Imtiaz et al., (30) | 2015 | Case-Control | 90 | 90 | Mean (Range) = 47.5 (37.7 to 67.3) | 25-OH vitamin D | Deficiency: < 20 ng/mL | Vitamin D deficiency was reported in 95.6% of cases and 77% of the control (P < 0.001). | Good |
| Alipour et al., (26) | 2014 | Case-Control | 308 | 364 | Mean = 44.2 | 25-OH vitamin D | Deficiency: mild 25 – 35; moderate 12.5 – 25; severe < 12.5 ng/mL | Median serum vitamin D level in the case group was 7.7 ng/mL and 8.7 ng/mL in the control group. | Fair |
| Kim et al., (24) | 2014 | Cross-Sectional | 707 | 707 | Mean = 48.5 | 25-OH vitamin D | Deficiency: < 20 ng/mL | Vitamin D deficiency caused a 7.3 times greater risk of breast cancer. | Good |
| Bidgoli et al., (32) | 2014 | Cross-Sectional | 60 | 116 | Mean (Range) = 36.4 (28.4 to 41.4) | 25-OH vitamin D | Deficiency: < 20 ng/mL | 95% of both groups were Vitamin D deficient. | Fair |
| Wang et al., (6) | 2014 | Cross-Sectional | 584 | 584 | Mean= 45.1 | 25-OH vitamin D, vitamin D binding protein | Mean 25(OH)D was 62.6 nmol/L in cases vs 61.4 nmol/L in controls. | Mean 25(OH)D was 62.6 nmol/L in cases vs 61.4 nmol/L in controls. | Fair |
| Thammaritthichai et al., (28) | 2015 | Cross-Sectional | 200 | 25-OH vitamin D | Deficiency: < 32 ng/mL | 93% of patients were vitamin D deficient. 25(OH)D < 32 ng/mL was significantly associated in cases with worse prognosis and higher stage of the disease (P < 0.048), positive nodal involvement (P = 0.010) and larger tumor size (P = 0.038). | Fair |
| Alex et al., (7) | 2014 | Cross-Sectional | 188 | 25-OH vitamin D | Deficiency: < 10 ng/mL; insufficiency 10 - 24 ng/mL | 70% of patients were vitamin D deficient/insufficient. | Poor |
| Shi et al., (31) | 2014 | Case-Control | 1940 | Mean (Range) = 47 (37.7 to 57.3) | 25-OH vitamin D | Deficiency: < 20 ng/mL; insufficiency 20 - 30 ng/mL | 72% of patients were vitamin D deficient/insufficient. | Fair |

which has not supported by other evidence. The second by Bidgoli et al. (32), has reported 95% of both cases and controls had vitamin D deficiency. Although, the latter found that lack of vitamin D supplements intake might increase the risk of premenopausal breast cancer. Lack of association between vitamin D deficiency and breast cancer in the study by Bidgoli et al. (32), was probably due to great prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in that area.

7.2. Limitations

We have only identified 13 studies which mainly had small sample sizes and were highly variable in quality. There was insufficient evidence to accurately determine prevalence rates of vitamin D deficiency/insufficiency and risk assessment in the breast cancer population. There were variable definitions of deficiency and insufficiency used in these studies making comparisons difficult.
7.3. Conclusions
This systematic review has represented evidence for the prevalence of low vitamin D levels in breast cancer population worldwide, and investigated its association with cancer risk. We have observed the possibility of a high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency in patients with breast cancer and increased risk of cancer with vitamin D deficiency. There is a need for future high-quality studies that assess the health consequences attributable to vitamin D deficiency employing standard definitions.
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