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Abstract. The aim of this work is to present our new series of chemical evolution models computed for spiral and low mass galaxies of different total masses and star formation efficiencies. We analyze the results of models, in particular the evolution of the radial gradient of oxygen abundance. Furthermore, we study the role of the infall rate and of the star formation history on the variations of this radial gradient. The relations between the O/H radial gradient and other spiral galaxies characteristics as the size or the stellar mass are also shown. We find that the radial gradient is mainly a scale effect which basically does not change with the redshift (or time) if it is measured within the optical radius. Moreover, when it is measured as a function of a normalized radius, show a similar value for all galaxies masses, showing a correlation with a dispersion around an average value which is due to the differences star formation efficiencies, in agreement with the idea of a universal O/H radial gradient.

1. Introduction

The elemental abundances in spiral and low mass galaxies are lower in the outer regions than in the inner ones, showing a well characterized radial gradient defined by the slope of a least-squares straight line fitted to the radial distribution of these abundances along the galactocentric radius (Shaver et al. 1983; Zaristky et al, 1994; Henry & Worthey 1999). These radial gradients seem to correlate with other characteristics defining their galaxies. This way, they are flatter in the early galaxies than in the late ones. They also seem steeper in the low mass galaxies than in the bright massive disks. This radial gradient is considered as an evolutionary effect, that is, it comes from a difference of enrichment between regions more evolved (at the inner parts of disk) compared with the less evolves zones of the outer disks. This way, a flat gradient implies a more rapid evolution
than in disks where the gradient is steeper, as shown in Mollá, Ferrini & Díaz (1996) for a set of models for some nearby galaxies. These models resulted in a steep radial gradient for NGC 300 or M 33, while M 31 had a flatter gradient than our Milky Way Galaxy (MWG), and other similar galaxies as NGC 628 or NGC 6946. Since the evolution modifies the level of enrichment of a given region or galaxy, it is expected that the radial gradient also changes with time and, therefore, that high-intermediate redshift galaxies would have to show a steeper radial gradient than in the present time, at least when measured as \( \text{dex kpc}^{-1} \).

This results was obtained in Mollá, Ferrini & Díaz (1997), further obtained later in Mollá & Díaz (2005), hereafter MD05, and was also supported by the Planetary Nebulae (PN) O/H abundance data (Maciel, Costa & Uchida 2003) and by open stellar cluster metallicities for different ages bins. Results by cosmological simulations for a MWG-like galaxy also obtained a similar behavior (Pilkington et al. 2012).

However, when a correct feedback is included in these simulations, the radial gradient results to have a very similar slope for all times/redshifts (Gibson et al. 2013). In turn, the most recent PN data (Stanghellini & Haywood 2010, Maciel & Costa 2013, Magrini et al. 2016) refined to estimate with more precision their ages and distances, give now the same result: there is no evidences of evolution of the radial gradient with time for MWG nor for other close spiral galaxies, at least until \( z = 1.5 \). Simultaneously, there are, however, some recent observational data which estimate the abundances of galaxies at high and intermediate redshift, and which obtain a plethora of different radial gradients with values as different as \(-0.30 \text{ dex kpc}^{-1}\) or \(+0.20 \text{ dex kpc}^{-1}\) (Cresci et al. 2010, Yuan et al. 2011, Queyrel et al. 2012, Jones et al. 2013, Genovali et al. 2014, Jones et al. 2015, Xiang et al. 2015, Anders et al. 2016). It is therefore necessary to revise our chemical evolution models and analyze in detail the evolution of this radial gradient not only for the MWG, but also for different galaxies.

2. Chemical evolution model description

We have computed a series of 76 models applied to spiral galaxies with dynamical masses in the range \( 5 \times 10^{10} - 10^{13} \text{ M}_\odot \) (with mass step in logarithmic scale of \( \Delta \log M = 0.03 \)) which implies disk total masses in the range \( 1.25 \times 10^8 - 5.3 \times 10^{11} \text{ M}_\odot \), or, equivalently rotation velocities between 42 and 320 km s\(^{-1}\). The radial distributions of these masses are calculated through equations from Salucci et al. (2007), based in the rotation curves and their decomposition in halo and disk components.

The scenario is the same as the one from MD05, with the total mass in a spherical regions at the time \( t = 0 \), which infall over the equatorial plane and forms out the disk. The gas infall rates are computed by taking into account the relationship between halo mass and disk mass in order to obtain at the end of the evolution disks as observed, with the adequate mass. They result to be higher in the centers of disks (bulges) and lower in disks, decreasing towards the outer regions, as expected in an inside-out scenario. However, the evolution with redshift is very similar for all disk regions, only with differences in the absolute values of infall rates, but with a smooth decreasing for decreasing \( z \), except for the central regions for which the infall changes strongly with \( z \), more similarly to the
cosmological simulations results found for early and spheroidal galaxies. Details about these resulting rates are given in Mollá et al. (2016).

Within each galaxy we assume that there is star formation (SF) in the halo, following a Schmidt-Kennicutt power law on the gas density with an index $n = 1.5$. In the disk, however, we have a star formation law in two steps: first molecular clouds form from diffuse gas, then stars form from cloud-cloud collisions (or by the interaction of massive stars with the molecular clouds surrounding them). In our classical standard models from MD05, we treated these processes as depending on the volume of each region and a probability factor or efficiency for each one. For the halo SF, it is assumed an efficiency constant for all galaxies. The process of interaction of massive stars with clouds is considered as local and we use the same approach for all galaxies. The two other efficiencies defining the molecular clouds and stars formation processes are modified simultaneously from a model to another, with values between 0 and 1.

In this new series of models we have also used an efficiency to form stars from molecular clouds, but to convert diffuse gas into molecular phase we have tried six different methods, two based in the same efficiency method as in MD05, called STD and MOD, and four based in different prescriptions based in Blitz & Rosolowsky (2006), Krumhold et al (2008, 2009), Gnedin & Kravtsov (2011), and Ascasibar et al. (in preparation), so-called BLI, KRU, GNE, and ASC, respectively. More details about these calculations and their implementation in our code are given in Mollá et al. (submitted), where we have applied the models to MWG and have checked which of these techniques give the best results when comparing the observational data. Our results indicate that the technique ASC shows a behavior in better agreement with data than the others. In particular those related with the ratio $HI/H_2$ along radius or as a function of the gas density.

The stellar yields are selected as derived in Mollá et al. (2015) among 144 different combinations with which we calculate a MWG model to see which of them is the best in reproducing the MWG data. We chose the Gavilán et al (2005,2006) stellar yields for low and intermediate stars, the ones from Limongi & Chieffi (2003) and Chieffi & Limongi (2004) for massive stars, and the Kroupa (2002) IMF. The Supernova type Ia yields from Iwamoto et al (1995) are also used.

3. Results

3.1. Evolution of the O/H radial gradient in MWG

In Fig. 1 we represent the O/H radial gradient as a function of redshift for the six models for MWG calculated in Mollá et al. (2017) with the different prescriptions of the HI to H$_2$ conversion as explained. In top panels we show these gradients as dex kpc$^{-1}$, computed in panel a) with the whole radial range for which we have calculated the models. In panel b) we have the gradients calculated with regions for which $R \leq 2.5 R_{eff}$. We may see that in this last case the gradient is basically constant along $z$ with very small differences among models. In both panels we have included the MWG data which give this evolution along $z$, PN from Stanghellini & Haywood (2010) and Maciel & Costa (2013); open clusters from Cunha et al. (2016); and stellar abundance from Anders et al. (2016). The
Figure 1. Evolution of the O/H radial gradient with redshift $z$ for the MWG, measured as: top panels) dex kpc$^{-1}$ and bottom panels) as dex $R_{\text{eff}}^{-1}$. Solid lines represent our different models as labelled in c). Left panels show gradients for the whole radial range. Right panels show gradients only using regions within $R \leq 2.5 R_{\text{eff}}$. Data are from Stanghellini & Haywood (2010, S10), Maciel & Costa (2013, M13), Cunha et al. (2016, C16), Anders et al. (2016, A16), Henry et al. (2010, H10), Rupke et al. (2010, R10), Genovali et al. (2014, G14), Sánchez et al. (2014, S14) and Mollá et al. (2015, M15), as labelled. Cosmological simulations result for a MWG-like object from Gibson et al. (2013) is also drawn in a) and b).

present time values are from Henry et al. (2010), Rupke et al. (2010), Genovali et al. (2014) and the one compiled by Mollá et al. (2015), as labelled. We have also shown the cosmological simulation result for a Milky Way-like galaxy from Gibson et al. (2013, G13). We see that, in order to reproduce the data, it is necessary to compute the gradient within the optical radius. In bottom panels we show the gradients obtained using a normalized radius ($R/R_{\text{eff}}$). In panel c), where we use again all radial range, we see a different behavior between STD and MOD models (which use an efficiency to form molecular clouds) and all the others using a prescription to convert HI in H$_2$ which depend on the gas, stars or total density or/and on the dust through the metallicity. In these last cases the effective radius increases more slowly than in our standard models, thus producing a strong radial gradient when regions out of the optical disk are included for the fit. In panel d), where only regions with $R \leq 2.5 R_{\text{eff}}$ are used,
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3.2. Evolution of the O/H radial gradient in spiral and low mass galaxies

In Fig. 3 we show the radial gradients computed for different galaxy masses, as labelled, in a similar way than Fig. 1. In panel a), as in Fig. 1a, the radial gradient computed for all radial regions is shown. It is clear that each galaxy has its own evolution being the smallest one which shows the most different behavior. Each galaxy has a different radial gradient, with the most massive ones showing the flattest distributions ($\sim -0.05$ dex/kpc$^{-1}$ for all $z$), while the smallest has the steepest gradient ($\sim -0.20$ dex/kpc$^{-1}$). However, when only radial regions within the optical radius are used to compute the radial gradient
Figure 3. Evolution of the O/H radial gradient with redshift \( z \) for several galaxy models with different virial masses \( (M_{\text{vir}}) \), measured as: \( \text{dex kpc}^{-1} \) (top panels) and \( \text{dex R}_{\text{eff}}^{-1} \) (bottom panels). Left panels show the gradients obtained using the whole radial range available in the simulations. Right panels show gradients computed only using regions within the optical disk defined as \( R \leq 2.5 R_{\text{eff}} \). Data correspond to the observations by Cresci et al. (2010, yellow stars), Yuan et al. (2011, purple square), Queyrel et al. (2012 grey open dots), Jones et al. 2013 (orange crosses), and Magrini et al. (2016, red points with error bars).

A very different behavior arises: all gradients are approximately constant with \( z \) for galaxies with \( \log(M_{\text{vir}}) \geq 11.65 \), although with the same behavior than before: the more massive the galaxy, the flatter the gradient. In the lowest masses galaxies, it is evident the moment in which the disk begins to grow: at \( z = 2.5 \) for \( \log M_{\text{vir}} = 11.35 \) and at \( z = 0.5 \) for \( \log M_{\text{vir}} = 11.05 \).

When we represent the gradients measured as function of the effective radius, we see that they steepen with decreasing \( z \) when all radial regions are used (Fig. 3c) and, again, a very smooth evolution along \( z \) for all galaxies appears when only the optical disk is used to fit the gradient (panel d). The average value in this case is \( \sim -0.13 \text{dex kpc}^{-1} \), as the value found by Sánchez et al. (2014), supporting their claim that a universal radial gradient appears for all galaxies.

A common radial gradient is easily obtained drawing O/H for the present time as a function of \( R/R_{\text{eff}} \) for all galaxy masses and efficiencies (larger than 0.002) in a same plot, as we show in Fig. 4. We see that effectively, such as Sánchez et al. (2014) found, a same radial gradient is obtained for all models, when
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Figure 4. The O/H radial distribution for the present time obtained with our series of models for all galaxy masses and six different efficiencies to form stars with values $\epsilon_* \geq 0.002$, as a function of the normalized radius $R/R_{\text{eff}}$. Each color shows a different efficiency $\epsilon_*$. 

$R/R_{\text{eff}} \leq 2.5$, with a dispersion given by the differences in the star formation efficiencies around an average radial distribution. Since it seems quite evident that the radial gradient is a scale effect due to the star formation rate which is measuring the stellar disk growth, we would expect a correlation between this O/H radial gradient measured as $\text{dex kpc}$ and the scale length of the disk or any other quantity defining the size of the disk. We plot in Fig. 5, right panel, this correlation for all our models with different galaxy masses and with six different values for the efficiencies to form stars from molecular clouds, which are coded with different colored dots. The correlation is clear for all effective radii larger than 1.25 kpc. If the effective radius is smaller than this value, our code, working with radial regions of 1 kpc wide, is not able to calculate a radial gradient nor an effective radius. This theoretical correlation supports the observational one found by Bresolin & Kennicutt (2015) with the radial gradient and the scale length of the disks (their Fig. 3). These authors claim in that work that all galaxies, even the low surface brightness galaxies, share a common abundance radial gradient when this one is expressed in terms of the exponential disk scale-length (or any other normalization quantity).

4. Conclusions

The conclusions can be summarized as:

- A grid of chemical evolution models with 76 different total dynamical masses in the range $10^{10}$ to $10^{13}$ M$_\odot$ is calculated.
Figure 5. The O/H radial gradient measured as dex kpc$^{-1}$, as a function of the inverse of the effective radius, 1/R$_{\text{eff}}$. Each color shows a different efficiency $\epsilon_*$.

- 10 values of efficiencies $\epsilon_*$ to form stars from molecular clouds are used with values $0 < \epsilon_* < 1$. But we find that useful values are only the first six-seven of them with $\epsilon_* > 0.002$.

- The best combination IMF from Kroupa et al (2002) + Gavilan et al. (2006) + Chiefi & Limongi (2003,2004) yields, is used. The stellar yields + IMF may modify the absolute abundances on a disk, but they do not change the radial slope of the abundance distributions of disks.

- Using Shankar et al. (2006) prescriptions for $M_{\text{halo}}/M_{\text{disk}}$, we obtain the necessary infall rates to reproduce the radial profiles of galaxy disks.

- Different prescriptions for the conversion of HI to H$_2$ are used finding that the ASC model is the best one.

- The slope of the oxygen abundance radial gradient for a MWG-like model when it is measured for $R < 2.5 R_{\text{eff}}$ has a value $-0.06\text{ dex kpc}^{-1}$, which is around $-0.10 \text{ dex } R_{\text{eff}}^{-1}$ when it is measured using a normalized radius.

- This same slope is also obtained for all efficiencies and all galaxy masses in excellent agreement with CALIFA results, supporting the idea of a universal radial gradient for all galaxies when measured as a function of a normalized radius.

- The slope do not changes very much along $z$ when the infall rate is as smooth as we have obtained recently, compared with old models with a stronger evolution.
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