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Abstract

A covariant algorithm for deriving the conserved quantities for natural Hamiltonian systems is combined with the non-relativistic framework of Eisenhart, and of Duval, in which the classical trajectories arise as geodesics in a higher dimensional space-time, realized by Brinkmann manifolds. Conserved quantities which are polynomial in the momenta can be built using time-dependent conformal Killing tensors with flux. The latter are associated with terms proportional to the Hamiltonian in the lower dimensional theory and with spectrum generating algebras for higher dimensional quantities of order 1 and 2 in the momenta. Illustrations of the general theory include the Runge-Lenz vector for planetary motion with a time-dependent gravitational constant $G(t)$, motion in a time-dependent electromagnetic field of a certain form, quantum dots, the Hénon-Heiles and Holt systems, respectively, providing us with Killing tensors of rank that ranges from one to six.
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1. Introduction

Properties like separability and integrability of Hamiltonian systems which are quadratic in the momenta (referred to as “natural ones” [1]), can conveniently be studied in terms of Killing tensors [1-5]; the latter are also used to explain hidden symmetries [6-8]. The most common application of Killing tensors is to Runge-Lenz-type quantities which are themselves quadratic in the momenta, but higher rank Killing tensors with flux were also considered [9-14].
Conserved quantities which are polynomial in the momenta can be searched for systematically using the covariant approach in [15, 16], later extended to the non-Abelian context [17], to curved spaces [18–20], to spinning particles [21] and to supersymmetry [22].

Natural Hamiltonian systems can be lifted to a higher dimensional Kaluza-Klein space-time endowed with a Lorentz metric and a covariantly constant null vector, originally introduced by Eisenhart [23]. Such manifolds had in fact already been studied by Brinkmann [25] in a general relativistic context, but with a rather different aim: Brinkmann was interested to find all Einstein manifolds which are conformal to Einstein manifolds. Eisenhart’s framework was rediscovered, independently, in 1984 by Duval et al. [26, 27] in their search for a geometric framework for understanding the action of the one-parameter central extension of the Galilei group called the Bargmann group [28]. Therefore they called it a “Bargmann space”.

In this framework, the non-relativistic motions are obtained by projecting the null geodesics of Bargmann space into non-relativistic space-time.

The geodesic formulation, besides being simple and elegant, is particularly useful for integrable systems as there exists a well established theory of the separation of variables for the Hamilton-Jacobi and the Schrödinger equation for geodesic Hamiltonians [2–5]. It is also convenient to study the Newton-Cartan theory of gravity [26], and can include components interpreted as vector potentials [29].

The Bargmann framework has many applications: a non-exhaustive list includes the Schrödinger symmetry [26, 30–33], the study of Dirac type gravitational theories with a time dependent gravitational constant $G(t)$ as suggested by Dirac [34] and of the two types of non-relativistic theories of electromagnetism [27], Chern-Simons vortices [29, 35], applications to hydrodynamics [36], Goryachev-Chaplygin-Kovalevskaya tops [10], the non-relativistic AdS/CFT correspondence [38], the Dirac equation with flux [14], Toda chains [39] etc. For time-independent systems a second type of higher dimensional description is also possible, as noticed already by Eisenhart [23].

In this paper we combine these two, complementary techniques by lifting the covariant framework to Bargmann space, upon which the previously considered Killing tensors are promoted to conformal ones. The lifts of conserved quantities that are polynomial in the momenta for the original system correspond to conserved higher dimensional quantities that are homogeneous in the momenta and are built using conformal Killing tensors. This
provides us with a general theory which allows for discussing conserved quantities associated to time-dependent and/or higher-order symmetries. In particular, a conserved quantity \( C \) in phase space that is explicitly time dependent satisfies the equation

\[
\frac{dC}{dt} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \{C, H\} = 0,
\]

with \( \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} \neq 0 \), and this in turn implies that it generates a canonical transformation that does not preserve the energy. Such transformations are related to spectrum generating algebras [24].

The main contribution of this work is discussing in detail the explicit time dependence of conserved quantities and tensors: in particular we are able to show that higher dimensional Killing tensors that are properly conformal are related to lower dimensional quantities with terms proportional to the Hamiltonian, and to explicitly time dependent conserved quantities. We check this explicitly for lifted conserved quantities of order 1 and 2 in the momenta, and argue on general grounds that the same happens for higher order quantities.

Standard Killing tensors are particular cases of conformal ones: while a conformal Killing tensor generates a canonical transformation that multiplies the higher dimensional Hamiltonian by a non-trivial factor, a Killing tensor leaves the Hamiltonian invariant, see (2.22) and below.

We then illustrate our general theory with various examples: we give examples of conformal Killing tensors in the case of a free particle, of a Dirac-type of theory of gravity with time dependent gravitational constant and in the case of a theory with time dependent electric and magnetic fields that generalises earlier considerations of Lynden-Bell. We also consider cases with standard Killing tensors, such as the quantum dot, the Hénon-Heiles and the Holt system.

2. EISENHART-DUVAL LIFT AND THE COVARIANT FORMALISM

A. Bargmann space and Brinkmann metric

The non-relativistic Kaluza-Klein-type spacetime of Eisenhart [23] describes non-relativistic physics by an \( n = d+2 \) dimensional manifold endowed with a Lorentz metric and a covariantly constant Killing vector. Let us describe briefly such metrics, independently of
whether they solve Einstein’s equations or not. The \( n = d + 2 \)-dimensional Brinkmann line element is

\[
    ds^2 = g_{\mu \nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = h_{ij} dx^i dx^j + 2 du \left( dv - \Phi du + N_i dx^i \right), \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, d. \tag{2.1}
\]

The components \((\Phi, N_i; h_{ij})\) are independent of the coordinate \(v\):

\[
    \partial_v \Phi = \partial_v N_i = \partial_v h_{ij} = 0. \tag{2.2}
\]

In what follows, we work in a \( n = d + 2 \) split formulation; space indices are raised and lowered by the transverse metric \(h_{ij}\) and its inverse \(h^{ij}\).

The metric is invariant under local transformations

\[
    \delta v = \Lambda(u, x^i), \quad \delta \Phi = \partial_u \Lambda(u, x^i), \quad \delta N_i = -\partial_i \Lambda(u, x^i), \quad \delta h_{ij} = 0. \tag{2.3}
\]

The geodesic Lagrangian is

\[
    L = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu = \frac{1}{2} h_{ij} \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j + \dot{u} \dot{v} - \Phi \dot{u}^2 + N_i \dot{x}^i \dot{u}, \tag{2.4}
\]

where \(\dot{x}^\mu = dx^\mu/d\lambda\) with \(\lambda\) an affine geodesic parameter. The geodesic hamiltonian which parameterises the inverse metric is

\[
    \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu} \dot{p}_\mu \dot{p}_\nu = \dot{p}_v \dot{p}_v + \left( \Phi + \frac{1}{2} h^{ij} N_i N_j \right) \dot{p}_v^2 - h^{ij} N_j \dot{p}_i \dot{p}_v + \frac{1}{2} h^{ij} \dot{p}_i \dot{p}_j \\
    = \dot{p}_v \dot{p}_v + \Phi \dot{p}_v^2 + \frac{1}{2} h^{ij} \left( \dot{p}_i - N_i \dot{p}_v \right) \left( \dot{p}_j - N_j \dot{p}_v \right). \tag{2.5}
\]

The conjugate momentum, \(\dot{p}_v\) of the a cyclic coordinate \(v\) is conserved along geodesics, \(d\dot{p}_v/d\lambda = -\partial \mathcal{H}/\partial v = 0\), where \(\lambda\) is the affine geodesic parameter. Then we can write

\[
    \dot{p}_v = q = \text{const}, \quad \mathcal{H} = q \dot{p}_u + H, \quad H = \frac{1}{2} h^{ij} \left( \dot{p}_i - q N_i \right) \left( \dot{p}_j - q N_j \right) + q^2 \Phi. \tag{2.6}
\]

This is the most general example of natural Hamiltonian in \(d\) dimensions, where \(\Phi\) and \(N_i\) play the role of a scalar and, respectively, a vector potential. When the potentials take an appropriate form, the Hamiltonian \(H\) can be invariant under supersymmetry transformations: these are related to symmetries of the theory of the spinning particle moving in the \(h, N, \Phi\) background, and to the presence of (conformal) Killing-Yano tensors \([15]\). A discussion of Killing-Yano tensors for the Eisenhart lift geometry can be found in \([14]\).
For \( q \neq 0 \) the affine parameter \( \lambda \) can be eliminated by observing that \( du/d\lambda = \partial \mathcal{H}/\partial \hat{p}_v = \hat{p}_v = q \Rightarrow d\lambda = q^{-1}du \). We will consider only light-like geodesics, for which

\[
\mathcal{H} = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad q\hat{p}_u = -H.
\]

(2.7)

Then the equations of motion are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dv}{d\lambda} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \hat{p}_v} = -\frac{1}{q} \left[ \frac{1}{2} h^{ij} (\hat{p}_i - N_i \hat{p}_v) (\hat{p}_j - N_j \hat{p}_v) - q^2 \Phi \right] - h^{ij} (\hat{p}_i - N_i \hat{p}_v) N_j, \\
\frac{d\hat{p}_u}{d\lambda} &= -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial u}, \\
\frac{dx^i}{d\lambda} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \hat{p}_i} = h^{ij} (\hat{p}_j - N_j \hat{p}_v), \\
\frac{d\hat{p}_i}{d\lambda} &= -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x^i}.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.8)

(2.9)

(2.10)

(2.11)

Eq. (2.9) is solved by (2.7), while eqs. (2.10), (2.11) become the equations of motion for a \( d \)-dimensional system with Hamiltonian (2.6) upon fixing \( \hat{p}_v = q \). Therefore, the full equations of motion in \( n = d + 2 \) dimensions restricted to such geodesics are equivalent to the equations of motion for the \( d \) dimensional system with coordinates \( x^i \) and \( u \) viewed as time, augmented with (2.7) and (2.8). The latter can be integrated once the functions \( x^i(\lambda) \), \( \hat{p}_j(\lambda) \) have been determined. In fact, identifying \( H \) in eqn. (2.7) with the generator of time translations for the \( d \)-dimensional system yields

\[
t = -\frac{1}{q}u = -\lambda.
\]

(2.12)

These relations are important since we want to project the \( d + 2 \)-dimensional system on a \( d + 1 \)-dimensional base where the natural coordinates \( (x^i, t) \) will be used. In particular, we will need to distinguish between the higher dimensional momenta \( \hat{p}_i = \partial L/\partial \dot{x}^i = h_{ij} \dot{x}^i + \dot{u}N_i = h_{ij} \dot{x}^i + qN_i \), and a lower dimensional version defined using the time variable: \( p_i = \partial L/\partial (dx^i/dt) = -\hat{p}_i = h_{ij}dx^i/dt - qN_i \). In terms of the latter,

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} h^{ij} (p_i + qN_i) (p_j + qN_j) + q^2 \Phi.
\]

(2.13)

Under a gauge transformation \( \delta N_i = \partial_t \mu(u, x) \), \( \delta \Phi = -\partial_u \mu(u, x) \), the right hand side of (2.8) changes by \( -d\mu/d\lambda \), which can be re-absorbed by the transformation \( \delta v = -\mu \), included into (2.3). Therefore we can build a \( U(1) \) vector potential as follows. Allowing \( \Phi \) to be of the form \( \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 \), where under a gauge transformation \( \delta \Phi_2 = 0 \), we can set

\[
A = N_i dx^i - \Phi_1 du,
\]

(2.14)
so that under a gauge transformation \( \delta A = d\mu \). The \( U(1) \) field strength is given by

\[
F = dA = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i N_j - \partial_j N_i) \, dx^i \wedge dx^j + (\partial_u N_i + \partial_i \Phi_1) \, du \wedge dx^i = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i N_j - \partial_j N_i) \, dx^i \wedge dx^j + (\partial_i N_i - q \partial_i \Phi_1) \, dt \wedge dx^i.
\] (2.15)

With this one can proceed as in [27] and obtain the two types of Galilean electromagnetic theories in \( d+1 \) dimensions.

It is worth noticing that the right hand side of (2.8) changes under a gauge transformation by \( -d\mu/d\lambda \), which can be re-absorbed by the transformation \( \delta v = -\mu \), included into (2.3).

Let the manifold \( \hat{P} \) be spanned by the variables \((x^\mu, \hat{p}_\nu)\), typically the cotangent bundle of a base manifold \( \hat{M} \). The covariant derivatives are defined by

\[
\hat{D}_\mu f = \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\mu} \right|_p + \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu\rho} \hat{p}_\rho \frac{\partial f}{\partial \hat{p}_\nu},
\] (2.16)

where \( \hat{\Gamma} \) are the Christoffel symbols of the metric \( g \). Due to the symmetry of the latter, the Poisson brackets can be written covariantly as [15, 16, 19]

\[
\{f, g\}_\hat{P} = \partial_{\hat{p}_\mu} f \partial_{\hat{p}_\nu} g - \partial_{\hat{p}_\nu} f \partial_{\hat{p}_\mu} g = \hat{D}_\mu f \partial_{\hat{p}_\mu} g - \partial_{\hat{p}_\mu} \hat{D}_\mu g.
\] (2.17)

To clarify the action of \( \hat{D}_\mu \), any phase space function that admits a power expansion in the momenta with non-negative exponents will contain terms of the kind \( T^{\nu_1 \ldots \nu_p} \hat{p}_{\nu_1} \ldots \hat{p}_{\nu_p} \), where \( T \) is a tensor. \( \hat{\nabla}_\mu T^{\nu_1 \ldots \nu_p} \hat{p}_{\nu_1} \ldots \hat{p}_{\nu_p} \), where \( \hat{\nabla} \) is the Levi-Civita covariant derivative acting on tensors. Similar equations for covariant derivatives of phase space functions have been used in [43] in order to give a geometrical description of Lax pairs associated to phase space tensors that are constant along trajectories.

The definitions above reproduce the canonical equations of motion. First of all,

\[
\frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} = \{x^\mu, \mathcal{H}\}_\hat{P} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \hat{p}_\mu},
\] (2.18)

which implies \( \hat{p}_\mu = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\nu / d\lambda \). Next, writing for a generic phase space function \( f(x, \hat{p}) \)

\[
\{f, \mathcal{H}\}_\hat{P} = \frac{df}{d\lambda} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \hat{p}_\mu} \frac{d\hat{p}_\mu}{d\lambda},
\] (2.19)

and equating this with the covariant expression that comes from (2.17), one gets

\[
\frac{\hat{D}\hat{p}_\mu}{D\lambda} = \frac{d\hat{p}_\mu}{d\lambda} - \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu} \hat{p}_\rho = 0,
\] (2.20)

\[
\frac{D\hat{p}_\mu}{D\lambda} = \frac{d\hat{p}_\mu}{d\lambda} - \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} \hat{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu} \hat{p}_\rho = 0,
\] (2.20)
which is the geodesic equation.

In the framework we propose here, conserved quantities that are polynomial in the momenta are built from conformal Killing tensors. Let us explain how. We assume that a conserved quantity can be written as

$$\hat{C} = \sum_{r \geq 0} \hat{C}(r) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{1}{r!} \hat{C}\mu_1\ldots\mu_r(x) \hat{p}_{\mu_1} \ldots \hat{p}_{\mu_r}. \quad (2.21)$$

Requiring that the quantity is conserved for null geodesics leads to a set of decoupled equations

$$\left\{ \hat{C}(r), H \right\}_{\hat{P}} = f(x, \hat{p})(r-1)H, \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$f(x, \hat{p})(r-1) = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} f^{(\lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_{r-1})}(x, \hat{p}) \hat{p}_{\lambda_1} \ldots \hat{p}_{\lambda_{r-1}}.$$ In tensorial notation this is equivalent to

$$\hat{C}^{(\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{r+1})}(x) = \frac{r}{2} f^{(\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{r-1})} g_{\mu_\nu} \hat{p}^\nu \hat{p}^\mu \cdot (2.23)$$

and therefore $f(r-1)$ is related to the divergence of $\hat{C}(r)$ and to derivatives of its trace by taking the trace of (2.23). This is the definition of a conformal Killing tensor. In the special case when $f = 0$ then $\hat{C}(r)$ reduces to a usual Killing tensor: the canonical transformation associated to it leaves the Hamiltonian $H$ invariant.

Further details will be presented in the next subsections.

### B. The Natural Hamiltonian

The Hamiltonian (2.6) can be rewritten in terms of covariant momenta $\Pi_i = p_i + qN_i$ as

$$H = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \Pi_i \Pi_j + q^2 \Phi. \quad (2.24)$$

We now relate the $n$-dimensional and $d$ dimensional quantities. Let $P$ be the manifold spanned by $(x^i, p_j)$. The covariant geodesic Poisson brackets (2.17) can be re-written using the $(x^i, v, u)$ split. Knowing the form of the non-zero Christoffel symbols of $g_{\mu\nu}$ (given in the Appendix) and using the identity

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \bigg|_p = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \bigg|_{\Pi} + q \frac{\partial f}{\partial \Pi_j} \partial_i N_j, \quad (2.25)$$

we find that for any two functions $f(q^i, v, u, p_i, p_v, p_u), \ g(q^i, v, u, p_i, p_v, p_u), \ g(q^i, v, u, p_i, p_v, p_u),$

$$\{f, g\}_{\hat{P}} = -\{f, g\}_{\hat{P}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_v} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_v} \frac{\partial g}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_u} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_u} \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}, \quad (2.26)$$
where the covariant Poisson brackets on $\mathcal{P}$ are given by
\[
\{ f, g \}_\mathcal{P} = D_i f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \Pi_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \Pi_i} D_i g - q F_{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \Pi_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \Pi_j}.
\] (2.27)

Here $F_{ij} = \partial_i N_j - \partial_j N_i$ is the field-strength tensor of the vector potential $N_i$ and the covariant derivatives are defined by
\[
D_i f \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial q^i} \bigg|_\Pi + \Gamma^k_{ij} \Pi_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial \Pi_j},
\] (2.28)
where the $\Gamma$s are the Christoffel coefficients of the metric $h$. Thus by studying the higher dimensional geodesics we obtain the known covariant form of dynamics for natural Hamiltonians that has been already discussed in the literature \cite{15, 17, 19, 22}.

For natural Hamiltonians a function $C$ of the form
\[
C = \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{1}{r!} C^{i_1 \ldots i_r}(x) \Pi_{i_1} \cdots \Pi_{i_r}
\] (2.29)
is conserved if and only if the tensors $C^{(r)}$ satisfy a set of coupled Killing equations with flux \cite{15, 16}.

Some time ago, Crampin \cite{7} considered a special case of these equations that holds when $C$ is written as a scalar term plus a term of order $q \geq 1$ in the momenta, in particular he wrote the Runge-Lenz vector in the Kepler problem.

In \cite{9} it has been shown that a polynomial conserved quantity of the form
\[
C = \sum_{r=1}^{m} C^{(r)}
\] (2.30)
where each $C^{(r)} = C^{(r)}(u, x^i, p_j)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $r$ in the momenta, lifts to a conserved quantity for the lifted Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ that is homogeneous of degree $m$ and is written as
\[
\hat{C} = \sum_{r=1}^{m} \left( \frac{\hat{p}_v}{q} \right)^{m-r} C^{(r)},
\] (2.31)
therefore being associated to a conformal Killing tensor. Asking for $\{ C, H \}_\mathcal{P} = 0$ splits into a set of conditions for each order $a$ in the momenta
\[
\frac{\partial C^{(r)}}{\partial u} + \{ C^{(r-1)}, H_{(2)} \} + \{ C^{(r)}, H_{(1)} \} + \{ C^{(r+1)}, H_{(0)} \} = 0,
\] (2.32)
where $H_{(0,1,2)}$ are the homogeneous in the momenta parts of $H$ that are of order 0, 1 and, respectively, 2.
In terms of the Π variables, if we write
\[ C = C(x^i, Π_j) = \sum_{r=1}^{m} C_{(r)}'(x^i, Π_j) \]
for new coefficients \( C_{(r)}' \), then the recipe above translates into the simple rule
\[
\sum_{r=1}^{m} C_{(r)}'(x^i, Π_j) \rightarrow \sum_{r=1}^{m} \left( \frac{\hat{p}_v}{q} \right)^{m-r} C_{(r)}'(x^i, -\hat{Π}_j), \tag{2.33}
\]
where \( \hat{Π}_i = \hat{p}_i - \hat{p}_v N_i \). Note that the \( C_{(r)} \) and \( C_{(r)}' \) tensors above can contain, in particular, the metric \( h \) or tensor products of factors of \( h \), which enter equation (2.32). By separating the Killing tensors with flux of \([15, 16, 19, 20]\) into a pure metric part and a non-trivial tensorial remainder, we are going to show that the latter satisfies generalized conformal Killing equations with flux.

In the next two sections we analyse the conservation equation \( \{ C, H \}_{\mathcal{P}} = 0 \) for quantities related first to conformal Killing vectors, and then generalize to higher rank tensors. We allow for an explicit \( u \)-dependence. We start from eqn. (2.21) in order to obtain the right factors of the metric.

C. Conformal Killing vectors

In this subsection we obtain a generalization of the Killing vector equations with flux of \([15, 16, 19]\). We will use the following algorithm: 1) write the general form of a conserved quantity in \( n = d + 2 \) dimensions that is linear in momenta; 2) project into lower dimension, in particular allowing for \( p_u \rightarrow -H/q \); 3) this gives a conserved quantity of order 2 in \( d \) dimensions that naturally has the metric tensor \( h \) in the Killing tensor hierarchy; 4) re-writing the standard Killing equations with flux in \( d \) dimensions for this quantity will automatically yield generalized conformal Killing vector equations.

In higher dimension a conserved quantity of order 1 in the momenta can be written as
\[
\hat{C} = \hat{C}^u \hat{p}_u = \hat{C}^u \hat{p}_u + \hat{C}^u \hat{p}_u + \hat{C}^u \hat{Π}_i, \tag{2.34}
\]
which projects into lower dimension as
\[
C^i = -q^2 \hat{C}^i + \hat{C}^u H + q \hat{C}^i Π_i, \tag{2.35}
\]
which can also be written as
\[
C = q^2 (-\hat{C}^u + \hat{C}^u Φ) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{C}^u h^{ij} Π_i Π_j + q \hat{C}^i Π_i = C(0) + C_{(1)}^i Π_i + \frac{1}{2} C_{(2)}^{ij} Π_i Π_j. \tag{2.36}
\]
This yields the identifications
\[
\begin{align*}
C_{(2)}^{ij} &= \hat{C}^u h_{ij}, \\
C_{(1)}^i &= q \hat{C}^i, \\
C_{(0)} &= q^2 (\hat{C}^v + \hat{C}^u \Phi).
\end{align*}
\] (2.37)

What is really important here is the term with the metric $h$, since it automatically gives the correct Ansatz for the Killing tensors with flux.

The Killing equations with flux for $C$ generalise to the time-dependent case as
\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{(i} C_{(2)}^{jk)} &= 0, \\
\nabla^{(i} C_{(1)}^{jk)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_t C_{(2)}^{ij} - q C_{(2)}^{(i||} F^{j)l}_l, \\
\nabla^{i} C_{(0)} &= -\partial_t C_{(1)}^{i} - q C_{(1)}^{i} F^{i} l_t + q^2 C_{(2)}^{ij} \partial_j \Phi - q C_{(2)}^{ij} \partial_t N_j, \\
0 &= -\partial_t C_{(0)} + q^2 C_{(1)}^{i} \partial_i \Phi - q C_{(1)}^{i} \partial_i N_i.
\end{align*}
\] (2.38)

Using the identification for $C_{(2)}$ that we get from (2.37) this becomes
\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{C}^u &= \hat{C}^u(t), \\
\nabla^{(i} C_{(1)}^{jk)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \left( \hat{C}^u h_{ij} \right), \\
\nabla^{i} C_{(0)} &= -\partial_t C_{(1)}^{i} - q C_{(1)}^{i} F^{i} l_t + q^2 \hat{C}^u \partial_i \Phi_2 - q \hat{C}^u h_{ij} F_{ij}, \\
0 &= -\partial_t C_{(0)} + q^2 C_{(1)}^{i} \partial_i \Phi_2 - q C_{(1)}^{i} \partial_i F_{ij}.
\end{align*}
\] (2.39)

These equations are generalized conformal Killing equations with flux and explicit time dependence. A direct analysis of the Poisson bracket $\{\hat{C}, \mathcal{H}\}$ shows that there is only one term proportional to the combination $\hat{p}_u \hat{p}_v$: this has coefficient $\frac{d\hat{C}^u}{dt}$. Then, if $\frac{d\hat{C}^u}{dt} \neq 0$ it is guaranteed that it must be $\{\hat{C}, \mathcal{H}\} = \frac{d\hat{C}^u}{dt} \mathcal{H}$, and therefore $\hat{C}$ is generated by a conformal Killing vector with a $u$-only dependent conformal factor, that commutes with the “vertical” Killing vector $\partial_v$. This case applies for example to the free particle of section [3A] and to the time-dependent Lorentz-force of section [3C].

D. Conformal Killing tensors of rank at least two

A generic conserved quantity of order 2 in the momenta can be written on Bargmann space as
\[
\hat{C} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{C}^{\mu\nu} \hat{p}_\mu \hat{p}_\nu = \frac{1}{2} \hat{C}^{uu} \hat{p}_u^2 + \frac{1}{2} \hat{C}^{vv} \hat{p}_v^2 + \hat{C}^{uv} \hat{p}_u \hat{p}_v + \hat{C}^{ui} \hat{p}_u \hat{N}_i + \hat{C}^{vi} \hat{p}_v \hat{N}_i + \frac{1}{2} \hat{C}^{ij} \hat{N}_i \hat{N}_j, \tag{2.40}
\]
which projects into lower dimension to

\[ C = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{C}^{uu} H^2 + q \tilde{C}^{ui} H \Pi_i + q^2 (-\tilde{C}^{uv} H + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{C}^{ij} \Pi_i \Pi_j) - q^3 \tilde{C}^{vi} \Pi_i + \frac{q^4}{2} \tilde{C}^{vv}, \]  

also written as

\[ C = \frac{1}{8} \tilde{C}^{uu} h^{ij} k^{kl} \Pi_i \Pi_j \Pi_k \Pi_l + \frac{q}{2} \tilde{C}^{uk} h^{ij} \Pi_i \Pi_j \Pi_k + \frac{q^2}{2} \left[ \left( -\tilde{C}^{uv} + \tilde{C}^{uu} \Phi \right) h^{ij} + \tilde{C}^{ij} \right] \Pi_i \Pi_j + q^3 \left( -\tilde{C}^{ai} + \Phi \tilde{C}^{ai} \right) \Pi_i + \frac{q^4}{2} \left( \tilde{C}^{vv} + \tilde{C}^{uu} \Phi^2 - 2 \tilde{C}^{uv} \Phi \right) \]

\[ = \frac{d(0)}{4!} h^{ij} h^{kl} \Pi_i \Pi_j \Pi_k \Pi_l + \frac{q}{3!} d(1) h^{ij} \Pi_i \Pi_j \Pi_k + \frac{q^2}{2} \left[ d(2) h^{ij} + \tilde{C}^{ij} \right] \Pi_i \Pi_j + q^3 d(3) \Pi_i + q^4 d(4). \]  

(2.42)

The generic rank-\(a\) Killing equations with flux are, in the time dependent case, for \(a \geq 0\),

\[ \nabla^i C^{(j_1 \ldots j_a)}_{(a+1)} = -\frac{1}{a+1} \partial_t C^{(j_1 \ldots j_a)}_{(a+1)} - \frac{q}{a+1} C^{(j_1 \ldots j_a \ldots j_b)}_{(a+2)} \partial_t N_b - q C^{(j_1 \ldots j_a \ldots j_b \ldots j_c)}_{(a+2)} \nabla_t \Phi + \frac{q^2}{a+1} C^{(j_1 \ldots j_a \ldots j_b \ldots j_c \ldots j_d)}_{(a+3)} \nabla_t \left[ \mathcal{F}_{it} - q \nabla_i \Phi_2 \right], \]

(2.43)

together with

\[ \partial_t C^{(0)}_{(0)} + q C^{(1)}_{(1)} \left( \mathcal{F}_{ti} - q \nabla_i \Phi_2 \right) = 0. \]  

(2.44)

So we get the following set of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
d(0) &= d(0)(t), \\
q \nabla^i d(1)_{(1)} h^{ij} &= -\frac{1}{4} \partial_t \left( d(0) h^{ij} + \tilde{C}^{ij} \right), \\
q^2 \nabla^i \left( d(2) h^{jk} + \tilde{C}^{jk} \right) &= -\frac{q}{3} \partial_t \left( d(1)_{(1)} h^{ij} \right) - \frac{q^2}{3} d(1)_{(1)} \mathcal{F}_{ik} h^{jk} + \frac{q^2}{3} h^{(ij} \mathcal{F}_{kl} \Phi_2), \\
q^3 \nabla^i d(3)_{(3)} &= -\frac{q^2}{2} \partial_t \left( d(2) h^{ij} + \tilde{C}^{ij} \right) - q^3 \tilde{C}^{(ij|l} \mathcal{F}_{kl}) \\
&\quad - \frac{q^2}{6} \left[ 2d(1)_{(1)} \left( \mathcal{F}_{ij} - q \nabla_j \Phi_2 \right) + d(1)_{(1)} \left( \mathcal{F}_{il} - q \nabla_l \Phi_2 \right) h^{ij} \right], \\
q^4 \nabla^i d(4) &= -q^3 \partial_t d(3)_{(3)} - q^4 d(3)_{(3)} \mathcal{F}_{i} - q^3 \left( d(2) \left( \mathcal{F}_{i} - q \nabla_i \Phi_2 \right) + \tilde{C}^{il} \left( \mathcal{F}_{il} - q \nabla_l \Phi_2 \right) \right), \\
0 &= -\partial_t d(4)_{(4)} - d(3)_{(3)} \left( \mathcal{F}_{ti} - q \partial_t \Phi \right).
\end{align*}
\]

These equations are generalized conformal Killing equations with flux and explicit time dependence. It is easy to point out a number of cases where the higher dimensional Killing tensor must be properly conformal, by analysing the Poisson bracket \(\{\tilde{C}, \mathcal{H}\}\). If there is a non-zero coefficient \(\tilde{C}^{uu}\) then there is a unique term of the kind \(\frac{d(0)}{2} \frac{\tilde{C}^{uu}}{dt} \tilde{p}_u \tilde{p}_u\): as in the previous section, if the derivative \(\frac{d(0)}{dt}\) is non-zero then the tensor will be conformal. Suppose now that instead \(\tilde{C}^{uu} = 0\) and \(\tilde{C}^{ui} = 0\), but \(\tilde{C}^{uv} \neq 0\). Then one finds a unique term in the
Poisson bracket given by \( \hat{p}_v \partial_t \hat{C}^{uv} + \hat{H}_i \nabla^i \hat{C}^{uv} \) \( \hat{p}_u \hat{p}_v \): if this is non-zero then again one will have a conformal Killing tensor. This case applies to the theory of gravity with time-varying coupling constant of section 3.3. The intermediate case with \( \hat{C}^{uu} = 0, \hat{C}^{ui} \neq 0, \hat{C}^{uv} \neq 0 \) is similar in nature but several more sub-terms appear.

Coming to the case of generic rank we note that, on Bargmann space, a conserved quantity of order \( p \) in the momenta can be written as
\[
\hat{C} = \frac{1}{r!} \hat{C}^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_r} \hat{p}_{\mu_1} \ldots \hat{p}_{\mu_r} \\
= \sum_a \sum_b \frac{1}{a! b! (r - a - b)!} \hat{C}^{u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4 v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4} \hat{p}_a \hat{p}_b \hat{\Pi}_{i_1} \ldots \hat{\Pi}_{r-a-b}.
\]
(2.45)
Then a calculation analogous to the previous ones shows that this reduces “downstairs” to
\[
C = \sum_{l=0}^{2r} \sum_{m=0}^\min\{l, 2r-l\} \frac{q^{2r-l}}{l! (2r-l)!} \hat{d}^{i_1 \ldots i_m}_{j_1 \ldots j_{l-m}} \hat{\Pi}_{i_1} \ldots \hat{\Pi}_{i_m} \hat{\Pi}_{j_1} \ldots \hat{\Pi}_{j_{l-m}},
\]
(2.46)
where \( l \) is the total degree of the term and \( m \) the number of indices of the tensor \( d_{(2r-l)} \) (and we set \( h^{\frac{l}{2}} = 0 \)). Inserting the explicit form (2.46) of the coefficients \( C_{(a)} \) into equation (2.43) one gets the generalized conformal Killing equations with flux and explicit time dependence for a generic rank \( p \). In particular the highest term in momenta has coefficient \( \frac{d_{(l)}}{(2r)!} \), and when it is not constant it is associated to a properly conformal tensor.

E. The “d+1”-type lift

As explained in sec. 2.1, the original \( d \) dimensional system can be recovered from geodesics of the \( d + 2 \)-dimensional Brinkmann metric by setting \( \hat{p}_v = q, \hat{H} = q \hat{p}_u + H \).

We can also consider a different type of projection when \( \Phi, N_i \) and \( h_{ij} \) do not depend on the \( u \) variable. Then the \( u \) variable can be eliminated by a Marsden-Weinstein reduction as follows. \( u \)-independence implies that \( \partial_u \) is a Killing vector, which generates a family of canonical transformations. Then \( \partial_u \) is the symplectic gradient of \( \hat{p}_u \). Since \( \hat{p}_u \) is conserved, the dynamics can therefore be restricted to the \( 2n - 1 \)-dimensional surface \( \Sigma \) defined by \( \hat{p}_u = 0 \) in the symplectic space. The orbits of \( \partial_u \) lie in \( \Sigma \) since \( \partial_u(\hat{p}_u) = 0 \). Then the quotient of \( \Sigma \) by the action of \( \partial_u \) is a \( 2(d + 1) \) dimensional symplectic manifold with symplectic form.
given by the restriction of the original one. The reduced Hamiltonian,

\[ H_{d+1} = \frac{1}{2} h^{ij} (\hat{p}_i - \hat{p}_v N_i) (\hat{p}_j - \hat{p}_v N_j) + \hat{p}_v^2 \Phi, \quad (2.47) \]

is quadratic in the momenta and therefore provides us with a geodesic Lagrangian which comes from the metric

\[ ds^2_{d+1} = h_{ij} dx^i dx^j + \frac{1}{2\Phi} (dv + N_i dx^i)^2. \quad (2.48) \]

\( \hat{p}_v \) in (2.47) is a constant and covariant momenta appear again.

Under a gauge transformation \( \delta N_i = \partial_i \mu(x) \) one has \( \delta (\dot{x}^i N_i) = d\mu / d\lambda \), which is re-absorbed by \( \delta v = -\mu \).

Eisenhart [23] presented the metric (2.48) with \( N \equiv 0 \) ‘not as a special case of the more general theory, but on an independent basis’ (in his own words). The metric (2.48) generalizes the one of Eisenhart to include a vector potential, and also clarifies its dynamical origin.

Similarly to the results obtained in the previous section 2, there is a correspondence between \( d+1 \)-dimensional conserved quantities that are homogenous polynomials of order \( p \) in the momenta, and \( d \)-dimensional conserved quantities that are polynomials of order \( p \). In order to lift the latter to the former one follows the same procedure as in eq.(2.31), making the polynomial homogeneous. \( d+1 \)-dimensional homogenous conserved quantities then will correspond to Killing tensors [15, 16, 19].

The “\( d+2 \)” and “\( d+1 \)” Eisenhart metrics present some differences. The former has Lorentzian signature if \( h_{ij} \) is Riemannian and is always well defined. The latter instead can change its signature if \( \Phi \) changes sign. In particular, as seen from eq.(2.47), the determinant of the inverse metric contains a factor \( 2\Phi \det (h^{-1}) \): since \( \Phi \) is defined modulo a constant, then in the neighbourhood of any point one can make \( \det g_{d+1}^{-1} > 0 \), but this can be made to work everywhere only if \( \Phi \) has a global minimum. If this is not the case, then we can still use the \( d+1 \) metric to study the dynamics in a certain open subset of phase space.

F. Geometrisation of the Symmetry Algebra

The Eisenhart-Duval lift encodes in its geometry the symmetry algebra of the lower dimensional theory. This is crucial in the non-relativistic AdS/CFT correspondence, see for
example \cite{37,38}. In this section we show that the $d$-dimensional theory admits a symmetry that maps solutions into solutions if and only if the symmetry can be lifted to a conformal transformation of the $d+2$-dimensional theory. In this case, a conserved charge exists in both theories and in $d$-dimensions takes the usual form that follows from Noether’s theorem.

When $h_{ij}$ is Riemannian, the Brinkmann metric (2.1) induces a $d+1$ Galilean structure as follows \cite{27}. $d+1$-dimensional spacetime $B$ is the quotient of the $d+2$-dimensional Brinkmann manifold by the action of the covariantly constant null vector $\partial_v$. The inverse metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ induces a degenerate symmetric contravariant tensor with signature $(\cdots + 0)$, the projection of which is given by $h^{ij}$. $B$ also carries a closed 1-form $\theta$ called the “absolute clock”, whose pullback to the Brinkmann manifold is $-\frac{1}{q}g(\partial_v) = -\frac{1}{q}du$. $\theta$ is in the kernel of the degenerate symmetric contravariant tensor and allows to build an absolute time variable $t$ and consider coordinates $(x^i, t)$.

Let us now consider a Galilean transformation $x \to \tilde{x}(x, t)$, $t \to \tilde{t}(t)$, or infinitesimally

$$\delta x^i = f^i(x, t) \quad \delta t = f^t(t), \quad (2.49)$$

where the $t$-variation cannot depend on $x$, and suppose that it maps solutions of the equations of motion into solutions. This means that the action

$$S[t_i, t_f, x(t)] = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} L_0 dt = \int \left( \frac{1}{2} h_{ij} \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{dx^j}{dt} - q^2 \Phi - q N_i \frac{dx^i}{dt} \right) dt \quad (2.50)$$

can change at most by a boundary term, or, equivalently, that the integrand $L_0 dt$ changes by a total derivative. A detailed calculation shows that then there exists a function $g(t, x, x')$ such that

$$\frac{dg}{dt} = [\delta L - q \dot{\delta v}]_{\partial_v = q}, \quad (2.51)$$

where $L$ is the higher dimensional Lagrangian. In other words, a symmetry of the $d$-dimensional equations of motion exists if and only if the transformation (2.49) can be can lifted to the whole Eisenhart-Duval space. Choosing $\delta v = g/q + \text{const.}$ the higher dimensional Lagrangian $L$ is unchanged when restricted to null geodesics.

We now assume that such a lifted transformation does exist and study its properties in some details. By asking that the Lagrangian $L$ be invariant order by order in $\dot{x}$ we find the following conditions. At second order in $\dot{x}$ it must be that

$$\nabla^{(i} f^{k)} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \left( f^t h^{ij} \right), \quad (2.52)$$
which can be recognised to match the second equation in (2.39) upon the identifications 
\( f^t = -\frac{1}{q} \hat{C}^u, \quad f^i = \frac{1}{q} \hat{C}^i_{(1)} = \hat{C}^i \). Next, to order 1 and 0, respectively, one finds
\[
\partial_i \delta v = f^j F_{ij} - \partial_i \left( f^j N_j \right) + \frac{1}{q} \partial_i (f^i) h_{ij} - f^t \partial_t N_i, \tag{2.53}
\]
and
\[
\partial_t \delta v = -qv^i \nabla_i \Phi - \partial_t \left( f^i N_i \right) + f^i \partial_i N_i + \partial_t (f^u \Phi). \tag{2.54}
\]
Upon using the identifications (2.37), and \( f^v = \hat{C}^v - N_i f^i \), these can be seen to match the third and, respectively fourth equations in (2.39).

Then, using the results of section 2C, this means that the quantities \( f^v, f^t, f^i \) are the components of a conformal Killing vector
\[
\hat{K} = f^v \partial_v - q f^t \partial_u + f^i \partial_i \tag{2.55}
\]
for the Brinkmann metric (2.1). The Galilean transformation (2.49) on the base \( B \) lifts to a conformal transformation of the Eisenhart-Duval lift.

For a conformal Killing vector it is possible to construct a conserved quantity \( \hat{C}_K = \hat{K}^\mu \hat{\rho}_\mu \). No central charges arise for transformations generated by conformal Killing vectors \( \{ \hat{K}_A \} \), since the moment maps satisfy
\[
\{ \hat{C}_{K_A}, \hat{C}_{K_B} \} = -\hat{C}_{[K_A, K_B]}, \tag{2.56}
\]
The situation on the base spacetime \( B \) is different and central charges can arise: the reader may consider the Galilei group which acts on \( B \) but the lower dimensional moment maps realise its central extension, the Bargmann group only.

Similar considerations apply to the algebraic structure of higher order conserved quantities. As it has been shown in [9] conserved quantities of higher order in the momenta can be lifted from \( d \)-dimensional space to the Eisenhart-Duval space, and are there generated by conformal Killing tensors.

A relationship similar to eq. (2.56) exists between the Poisson algebra of the moment maps and the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket algebra of conformal Killing tensors.

3. APPLICATIONS

Now we present several systems with conserved quantities which are polynomial in the momenta. We derive new dynamical systems with homogeneous conserved quantities by
Eisenhart lift and obtain non-trivial Killing tensors of various ranks.

A. Schrödinger symmetry

As an example of time-dependent conformal Killing vectors, we consider a free particle in flat three-space, described by (2.1) with \( h^{ij} = \delta^{ij} \), \( \Phi = 0 \), \( \mathcal{F} = 0 \). Then the equations (2.39) reduce to

\[
\hat{C}^u = \hat{C}^u(t), \quad \partial^i C^{(j)} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_i \hat{C}^u \delta^{ij}, \quad \partial^i C_{(0)} = -\partial_t C^i, \quad \partial_t C_{(0)} = 0, \tag{3.1}
\]

which have a solution parameterised by 13 constants \( \alpha^i, \beta^i, \mu, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_k \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{C}^u &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 t + \gamma_2 t^2, \\
C_{(1)}^i &= -\frac{1}{2} (\gamma_1 + 2\gamma_2 t) x^i + (\alpha^i + \beta^i t) + \epsilon^{ijk} x_j \delta_k, \\
C_{(0)} &= \frac{1}{2} \gamma_2 x^2 - \beta^i x^i + \mu.
\end{align*}
\tag{3.2}
\]

\( \alpha^i \) is associated with the conservation of momentum, \( \beta^i \) with Galilei boosts/center of mass \( tp - \vec{x}, \vec{\delta} \) with the angular momentum, \( \mu \) with the mass. \( \gamma_0, \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) generate time translations, dilatations and expansions, with conserved charges

\[
\begin{align*}
E &= \vec{p}^2/2 & \text{energy} \\
D &= tE - \frac{1}{2} \vec{x} \cdot \vec{p} & \text{dilatations} \tag{3.3} \\
K &= t^2 E - t \vec{x} \cdot \vec{p} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{x}^2 & \text{expansions}
\end{align*}
\]

respectively. We thus recover the generators of the Schrödinger algebra of symmetries of a free classical particle in flat spacetime, see [30–32].

This result is readily extended by adding a Dirac monopole [33, 44], described by the metric (2.1) with

\[
h^{ij} = \delta^{ij}, \quad \Phi = 0, \quad \mathcal{F}_{ij} = e g \frac{\epsilon^{ijk} x^k}{r^3}. \tag{3.4}
\]

The \( \mathcal{F} \)-term only changes the third condition in eqn (3.1), which becomes

\[
\partial^i C_{(0)} = -\partial_t C^i_{(1)} - C^j_{(1)} \mathcal{F}^i_{\ j}. \tag{3.5}
\]

The new term breaks the invariance under boosts and space translations, reducing (3.2) to

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{C}^u &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 u + \gamma_2 u^2, \\
C_{(1)}^i &= -\frac{1}{2} (\gamma_1 + 2\gamma_2 u) x^i + \epsilon^{ijk} x_j \delta_k, \\
C_{(0)} &= \frac{1}{2} \gamma_2 x^2 + \mu - e g \frac{\delta_i x_i}{r}.
\end{align*}
\tag{3.6}
\]
Space rotations and mass-conservation-generating “vertical” translations remain hence symmetries, but so do also time translations, dilations and expansions. The associated conserved quantities are still as in (3.3) up to replacing $p_i$ by the covariant expression $\Pi_i = p_i + N_i$.

### B. Time dependent gravitational constant

To illustrate our general theory in the case of rank 2 conformal tensors, we consider the “Dirac-Vinti-Lynden-Bell” theory of gravity where the gravitational constant changes with time [34, 40] [61]. As shown in [27], the solutions of Newton’s equations with time dependent potential

$$V(\vec{x}_*, u_*) = -G(u_*) \frac{M}{|\vec{x}|}$$  \hspace{1cm}(3.7)$$

can be transformed into solutions with time independent potential

$$V(\vec{x}, u) = -G_0 \frac{M}{|\vec{x}|}$$  \hspace{1cm}(3.8)$$

by the conformal transformations

$$\vec{x}_* = \Omega(u)\vec{x}, \quad u_* = -\frac{a^2}{u + b} + c, \quad v_* = v + \frac{\vec{x}^2}{2(u + b)} + d, \quad (3.9)$$

$$G(u_*)|\Omega(u_*)| = G_0 \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega(u) = \frac{a}{u + b}, \quad (3.10)$$

where $a \neq 0, b, c, d$ are constants. Notice that $\Omega(u_*) = -u_* - c/a$. Then using the transformations (3.9) the standard Runge-Lenz vector of the time-independent Kepler problem,

$$\vec{A}_0 = (\vec{x} \times \dot{\vec{x}}) \times \ddot{\vec{x}} + G_0 M \frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|},$$  \hspace{1cm}(3.11)$$

can be “exported” to the time-dependent theory, as

$$\vec{A}(u_*, \vec{x}_*) = \Omega(u_*) (\vec{x}_* \times \vec{x}_*) \times \vec{x}_* + \frac{1}{a} (\vec{x}_* \times \vec{x}_*) \times \vec{x}_* - \Omega(u_*) V \vec{x},$$  \hspace{1cm}(3.12)$$

where $\vec{x}_* = d\vec{x}_*/du_*$. Then the quantity $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{W}$ is conserved for any constant vector $\vec{W}$. Comparing this with the last line of eqn (2.12) allows us to deduce that

$$\begin{cases}
    d_{(2)} = -2\Omega x_* \cdot \vec{W}, \\
    \widehat{C}^{ab} = 2\Omega W^{(a}_* x^{b)}_*, \\
    d^{(3)} = \frac{1}{a} (-a^a x_* \cdot \vec{W} + W^a x_*^2), \\
    d_{(4)} = -\Omega V q_* \cdot \vec{W},
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm}(3.13)$$
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and as explained in sec [2D] the conserved quantity is associated to a conformal rank 2 Killing tensor. Now the equations (2.45) reduce, for \(h^{ij} = \delta^{ij}, \mathcal{F} = 0, \Phi = V, d_{(0)} = 0, d_{(1)} = 0\), to

\[
\begin{cases}
\nabla^i \left( d^{(2)}_{(j)} h^{jk} + \tilde{C}^{jk} \right) = 0 \\
\nabla^i d^{(3)}_{(j)} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_u \left( d^{(2)} h^{ij} + \tilde{C}^{ij} \right), \\
\nabla^i d^{(4)} = -\partial_u d^{(3)}_{(j)} + \left( d^{(2)} \nabla^i \Phi + \tilde{C}^{ij} \right) \nabla_l \Phi, \\
0 = -\partial_u d^{(4)} + q^2 d^{(3)}_{(j)} \partial_i \Phi.
\end{cases}
\tag{3.14}
\]

The first equation in (3.14) is satisfied as \(d^{(2)}_{(j)}\) and \(\tilde{C}^{ij}\) are for this problem the same as in the standard Kepler problem with an \(\Omega\) rescaling. The second equation is instead a non-trivial test of our new formulae, since in the standard Kepler problem \(d^{(3)}_{(j)}\) would be zero. It is directly seen to be satisfied once we recognize that \(d\Omega(u_*)/du_* = -1/a\). The third equation is satisfied for the same reasons it is satisfied in the standard Kepler problem, while the last condition is also satisfied once we realize that \(\partial_u d^{(4)} = 0\). The conservation of eq. (3.12) can also be checked directly, by calculating the time derivative.

C. Lynden-Bell’s Transformation of the Lorentz Force

Another similar observation, also by Lynden-Bell \[42\], concerns motion under the Newtonian force

\[
\vec{F}_* = q \left( \vec{v}_* \times \vec{B}_* - \frac{1}{t_*} \vec{x}_* \times \vec{B}_* \right)
\tag{3.15}
\]

where \(\vec{B}_*(\vec{x}_*, t_*)\) is any vector. The quantity \((\vec{x}_* - \vec{v}_* t_*)^2\), which would be conserved if we had boost invariance is plainly not conserved if the fields do not vanish. However its square,

\[
(\vec{x}_* - \vec{v}_* t_*)^2
\tag{3.16}
\]

is conserved. Such a force arises, for example, when \(\vec{B}_*\) is divergenceless, \(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}_* = 0\) and the second term is the electric force,

\[
\vec{E}_* = -\frac{1}{t_*} \vec{x}_* \times \vec{B}_*.
\tag{3.17}
\]

Faraday’s equation \(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}_* = -\partial \vec{B}_*/\partial t_*\) is satisfied when

\[
\vec{B}_* = \frac{1}{(\omega t_*)^2} \vec{b} \left( \frac{\vec{x}_*}{\omega t_*} \right),
\tag{3.18}
\]
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where $\vec{b}(x)$ is any divergenceless vector, and $\omega$ is a constant with the dimension of inverse time. Lynden-Bell then showed that the following change of coordinates

$$\vec{x} = \frac{\vec{x}_*}{\omega t_*}, \quad t = \frac{1}{\omega^2 t_*} \quad (3.19)$$

transforms motion under the force (3.15) into one and

$$\vec{F} = \vec{F}_* \quad (3.19)$$

where $\vec{F} = d\vec{x}/dt$.

Lynden-Bell then goes on to say that there is a strange transformation that can be applied to any pair of electric and magnetic fields $\vec{E}_*, \vec{B}_*$ that satisfy the sourceless Maxwell equations. The transformation is given by (3.19), (3.20) supplemented with

$$\vec{E}(\vec{x}, t) = (\omega t_*)^3 \left[ \vec{E}_* + \frac{1}{t_*} \vec{x}_* \times \vec{B}_* \right]. \quad (3.21)$$

Then the equations of motions are unchanged,

$$\frac{d^2 \vec{x}_*}{dt_*^2} = q \left( \vec{v}_* \times \vec{B}_* + \vec{E}_* \right) \quad (3.22)$$

In this section we show how Lynden-Bell’s observations can be generalised and interpret them in terms of conformal mappings of the Brinkmann metric. Then, for the cases where (3.18) holds the quantity in (3.16) provides a new example of an explicitly time dependent conserved quantity for the Brinkmann metric, associated with an explicitly time-dependent Killing tensor of rank two.

We begin with the Lorentz force equation

$$m \frac{d^2 \vec{x}_*}{dt_*^2} = q \left( \vec{E}_* + \frac{d\vec{x}_*}{dt_*} \times \vec{B}_* \right) \quad (3.23)$$

and consider the general transformation

$$t_* = f(t), \quad \vec{x}_* = \sqrt{|f'|} \vec{x}, \quad (3.24)$$

where $f' = df/dt \neq 0$. The velocity transforms as

$$\frac{d\vec{x}_*}{dt_*} = \frac{\text{sgn}(f')}{{\sqrt{|f'|}}} \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{f''}{|f'|^{3/2}} \vec{x}, \quad (3.25)$$
so one gets
\[ m \frac{d^2 \vec{x}}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{2} \text{sgn}(f') \sqrt{|f'|} \left( \frac{f''}{|f'|^{3/2}} \right)' m \vec{x} = q(\vec{E} + \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt} \times \vec{B}), \] (3.26)
where
\[ \vec{E} = |f'|^{3/2} \vec{E}_* + \frac{1}{2} \frac{f''}{\sqrt{|f'|}} \vec{x} \times \vec{B}_* \quad , \quad \vec{B} = f' \vec{B}_*. \] (3.27)
In particular, for \( f(t) = 1/\omega^2 t \) one recovers (3.20), (3.21). Notice that
\[ \frac{1}{2} \text{sgn}(f') \sqrt{|f'|} \left( \frac{f''}{|f'|^{3/2}} \right)' = \frac{1}{2} \{f, t\}, \] (3.28)
where
\[ \{f, t\} = (f')' - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2 = \frac{f'''}{f'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2 \] (3.29)
is the Schwarzian derivative. The latter is known to vanish if and only if \( f \) is a fractional linear transformation
\[ \{f, t\} = 0, \quad \iff \quad f = \frac{At + B}{Ct + D}, \quad AD - BC \neq 0. \] (3.30)
Then when \( \{f, t\} = 0 \) (which includes Lynden-Bell’s observation), then no linear term in \( \vec{x} \) is induced (3.26).

Now suppose \( \{f, t\} = 0 \). Then if \( \vec{E} = 0 \), then the square of the quantity in (3.25) is a constant. Using eq. (3.28) and assuming \( f'' \neq 0 \) we infer that
\[ \left( \vec{x}_* - 2 \frac{|f'|^2 d\vec{x}_*}{f'' dt_*} \right)^2 \] (3.31)
is constant. In Lynden-Bell’s example this is precisely \( (\vec{x}_* - \vec{v}_* t_*)^2 \) in (3.16). As discussed in sec.2C this conserved quantity is associated to a conformal Killing vector.

Eqns (3.26), (3.27) imply that for \( F = -E_i dt \wedge dx^i + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} dx^i dx^j B_k \) one has \( F_* = \text{sgn}(f') F \). If we introduce the potential \( A = q \Phi dt + N_i dx^i \), then
\[ q \Phi = q |f'| \Phi_* + \frac{f''}{2|f'|} x_*^i N_{i*}, \quad N_i = \text{sgn}(f') \sqrt{|f'|} N_{i*}, \] (3.32)
which yields the transformation of the electric and magnetic fields. Then using (3.32) we see that (3.24) induces a conformal transformation of the Bargmann metric. Writing the latter as
\[ ds_*^2 = g_{\mu \nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = h_{ij} x_*^i x_*^j + 2 du_* \left( dv_* - \Phi_* du_* + N_{i*} dx_*^i \right) \]
\[ = h_{ij} x_*^i x_*^j - 2 q dt_* \left( dv_* + A_* \right). \] (3.33)
where \( u = -q dt \), and using (3.24) gives

\[
\begin{align*}
&\mathcal{ds}^2 = |f'| \left[ h_{ij} dx^i dx^j - 2 q dt (\text{sgn}(f') dv + A) + \left( \frac{f''}{2 f'^2} \right)^2 h_{ij} x^i x^j dt^2 + \frac{f''}{f'} h_{ij} x^i dx^j dt \right]. \\
&\text{(3.34)}
\end{align*}
\]

Defining \( v_* = \text{sgn}(f') \left( v + \frac{1}{4q} \frac{f''}{f'} h_{ij} x^i x^j \right) \) this becomes

\[
\mathcal{ds}^2 = |f'| \left[ h_{ij} dx^i dx^j - 2 q dt (dv + A) \right] = |f'| \mathcal{ds}^2, \\
\text{(3.35)}
\]

as stated. Because two conformally related metrics have the same null geodesics up to a change of parameterisation, this explains the correspondence between solutions of the equations of motion found above.

### D. The Quantum Dot

In the case of Quantum Dots the relative motion of two electrons can be described by the Bargmann metric

\[
\begin{align*}
&dY^2 + 2 du (dv - \frac{1}{2} B \rho^2 d\phi) - 2 \Phi(Y) du^2, \quad \Phi(Y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \omega_0^2 \rho^2 + \omega_z^2 z^2 \right) - \frac{a}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}}, \\
&\text{(3.36)}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( Y = (\rho, \varphi, z) \) is the relative position in cylindrical coordinates and \( B \) a constant magnetic field. (3.36) corresponds to an anisotropic axially symmetric oscillator combined with a Coulomb potential and a uniform magnetic field. Non-trivial conserved quantities arise when the parameter

\[
\tau = \frac{\omega_z}{\sqrt{\omega_0^2 + \frac{B^2}{4}}}, \\
\text{(3.37)}
\]

which measures the extent of anisotropy, takes special values \( \tau = 1, 2, 1/2 \). For \( \tau = 1 \) we have rotational symmetry and the conserved angular momentum corresponds to a Killing vector; for \( \tau = 2 \) there is a quadratic conserved quantity \( Q_1 \) associated to a rank two Killing tensor, which generalises the \( z \)-component of the Runge-Lenz vector of the pure Coulomb problem [13, 48]. Lifted to the Bargmann space, it reads

\[
\hat{Q}_1 = z \Pi^2 \rho - \rho \Pi \rho \Pi_z + \frac{z}{\rho^3} \Pi^2 \varphi + B z \Pi \varphi p_\varphi - \left( \omega_0^2 \rho^2 z + \frac{a z}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}} \right) p_\varphi^2. \\
\text{(3.38)}
\]

For \( \tau = \frac{1}{2} \) instead a quartic conserved quantity associated to a rank four Killing tensor arises: this is \( Q_2 \) in eqn. # (3.24) of Ref. [13] (not reproduced here). In this case the system is integrable but not separable. For details, reader is referred to [48, 49].
E. The Hénon-Heiles system

In 1964 Hénon and Heiles (HH) \[50\] proposed to describe stellar dynamics in an axially symmetric galaxy by the Hamiltonian with a cubic potential,

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_1^2 + p_2^2 + \omega_1 q_1^2 + \omega_2 q_2^2 \right) + \alpha q_1 q_2^2 - \frac{1}{3} \beta q_1^3, \tag{3.39}
\]

where \( q_1, q_2 \) are coordinates in the galactic plane and \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) are the associated momenta.

The original HH system has \( \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \alpha = \beta = 1 \) and a chaotic behavior. The Hamiltonian is Liouville integrable in three cases \[52–54\] \[62\], namely for

\[
\begin{align*}
(i) \quad (SK) & \quad \beta/\alpha = -1, \quad \omega_1 = \omega_2 \\
(ii) \quad (KDV5) & \quad \beta/\alpha = -6 \\
(iii) \quad (KK) & \quad \beta/\alpha = -16, \quad \omega_1 = 16 \omega_2
\end{align*}
\tag{3.40}
\]

The Eisenhart lift of the additional constants of the motion is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
(SK) & \quad K^{(i)} = \left[ 3 p_1 p_2 + \alpha q_2 (3 q_1^2 + q_2^2) \hat{p}_v^2 + 3 \omega_2 q_1 q_2 \hat{p}_v^2 \right]^2 \\
(KDV5) & \quad K^{(ii)} = 4 \alpha p_2 (q_2 p_1 - q_1 p_2) + (4 \omega_2 - \omega_1) (p_2^2 + \omega_2 q_2^2 \hat{p}_v^2) \\
& \quad + \alpha^2 q_2^2 (4 q_1^2 + q_2^2) \hat{p}_v^2 + 4 \alpha q_1 \omega_2 q_2 \hat{p}_v^2 \\
(KK) & \quad K^{(iii)} = \left[ 3 p_2^2 + (3 \omega_2 q_2^2) \hat{p}_v^2 \right]^2 + 12 \alpha p_2 q_2^2 (3 q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1) \hat{p}_v^2 \\
& \quad + \left[ -2 \alpha^2 q_2^4 (6 q_1^2 + q_2^2) - 12 \alpha q_1 \omega_2 q_2^4 \right] \hat{p}_v^4.
\end{align*}
\tag{3.41-3.43}
\]

The first two correspond to rank 2 Killing tensors, and the third to a rank 4 Killing tensor.

F. The Holt system

The Holt system \[56\] has two degrees of freedom, described by the Hamiltonian

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} \left( p_x^2 + p_y^2 \right) + \frac{3}{4} \mu x^\frac{4}{3} + y^2 x^{-\frac{2}{3}}. \tag{3.44}
\]
The system is integrable for $\mu = 1, 6, 16$; the associated conserved quantities are of order 3, 4 and, respectively 6. Setting $q = 1$, the Eisenhart lifts are, accordingly:

\[ (\mu = 1) \quad \hat{C} = p_y^3 + \frac{3}{2} p_y p_x^2 + \left( -\frac{9}{2} x^\frac{4}{3} + 3 x^{-\frac{2}{3}} y^2 \right) p_y \hat{p}_v^2 + 9 x^\frac{1}{3} y p_x \hat{p}_v^2, \]

\[ (\mu = 6) \quad \hat{C} = p_y^4 + 2 p_y^2 p_x^2 + 4 x^{-\frac{8}{3}} y^2 p_y \hat{p}_v^2 + 24 x^\frac{4}{3} y p_y p_x \hat{p}_v^2 + 72 x^\frac{8}{3} y^2 \hat{p}_v^4, \]

\[ (\mu = 16) \quad \hat{C} = p_y^6 + 3 p_y^4 p_x^2 + \left( 18 x^\frac{4}{3} + 6 x^{-\frac{8}{3}} y^2 \right) p_y^4 \hat{p}_v^2 + 72 x^\frac{4}{3} y p_y^3 p_x \hat{p}_v^2 \]

\[ + 648 x^\frac{8}{3} y^2 p_y^2 \hat{p}_v^4 + 648 y^4 \hat{p}_v^6, \]  

(3.45)

providing us with higher-order Killing tensors of rank 3, 4 and, respectively, 6.

In their three integrable cases the Holt system and Hénon-Heiles systems are related by a (non-canonical) duality transformation [59, 60].

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have given a covariant algorithm for deriving conserved quantities for natural Hamiltonian systems and shown how they may be constructed from conformal Killing tensors in the associated higher dimensional (Lorentzian or Riemannian) manifold(s) in which the dynamical trajectories lift to (null) geodesics. We have described in detail the role of explicit time dependence and shown how this is related to lifted Killing tensors that are properly conformal. We have illustrated the general theory by several examples, a number of them explicitly time-dependent.
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Appendix: Christoffel symbols of the \(d+2\) metric

The non-zero Christoffel symbols for the \(d + 2\) lift metric \((2.1)\) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\Gamma}^v_{uu} &= -N_i \partial^i \Phi - \partial_u \left( 2\Phi - \frac{1}{2}N^2 \right), \\
\hat{\Gamma}^v_{iu} &= \frac{1}{2}N_l F^i_l - \partial_i \Phi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_u (N^l) h_{li}, \\
\hat{\Gamma}^v_{ij} &= \nabla_i (N_j), \\
\hat{\Gamma}^i_{uu} &= \partial^i \Phi + h^{il} \partial_u N_l, \\
\hat{\Gamma}^i_{ju} &= -\frac{1}{2} F^i_j + \frac{1}{2} h^{il} \partial_u h_{ij}, \\
\hat{\Gamma}^i_{jk} &= \Gamma^i_{jk}.
\end{align*}
\]