Intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) programs adult disease, including obesity and insulin resistance. Our group previously demonstrated that IUGR dysregulates adipose deposition in male, but not female, weanling rats. Dysregulated adipose deposition is often accompanied by the release of proinflammatory signaling molecules, such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα). TNFα contributes to adipocyte inflammation and impaired insulin signaling. TNFα has also been implicated in the activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR), which impairs insulin signaling. We hypothesized that, in male rat pups, IUGR would increase TNFα, TNFR1, and components of the UPR (Hspa5, ATF6, p-eIF2α, and Ddit3) prior to the onset of obesity. We further hypothesized that impaired glucose tolerance would occur after the onset of adipose dysfunction in male IUGR rats. To test this hypothesis, we used a well-characterized rat model of uteroplacental insufficiency-induced IUGR. Our primary findings are that, in male rats, IUGR (1) increased circulating and adipose TNFα, (2) increased mRNA levels of UPR components as well as p-eIF2α, and (3) impaired glucose tolerance after observed TNFα increased and after UPR activation. We speculate that programmed dysregulation of TNFα and UPR contributed to the development of glucose intolerance in male IUGR rats.

1. Introduction

Uteroplacental insufficiency secondary to maternal hypertension is a common complication of pregnancy and is a leading cause of intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) in developed countries [1–3]. In uteroplacental insufficiency-induced IUGR, reductions in blood flow to the fetus restrict growth and inhibit the fetus from achieving its in utero genetic growth potential. IUGR programs individuals to develop adult disease, including obesity and insulin resistance [4–8]. Although IUGR infants are born smaller than their appropriately grown counterparts, the rate of adipose deposition in IUGR infants is accelerated throughout childhood and favors deposition of visceral adipose tissue (VAT) over subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) [9]. Not surprisingly, comorbidities of obesity such as insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes are also prevalent in former IUGR individuals [4, 6?].
Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) contributes to adipocyte inflammation and insulin resistance [13]. TNFα is a proinflammatory cytokine produced within adipose tissue. TNFα activates a proinflammatory signaling cascade and inhibits insulin receptor signaling [14, 15]. TNFα is synthesized as a monomeric transmembrane protein (mTNFα) that is active in its homotrimeric form. This homotrimer can be cleaved to produce a soluble signaling molecule (sTNFα) [16]. Both sTNFα and mTNFα can bind and activate tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 (TNFR1). Evidence suggests that TNFR1 is the key mediator of TNFα’s activity in the majority of cell types [17]. Various downstream targets, including the transcription factor Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), can be activated in response to TNFR1 activation [17, 18].

Recently, TNFα has been implicated in the activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR) [19]. The UPR is a cell survival mechanism activated in response to cellular stress and accumulation of improperly folded protein products in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) [20]. Members of the heat shock family of proteins, including heat shock protein 5 (Hspa5), recognize unfolded proteins in the ER. Recognition of unfolded proteins triggers the activation of ER transmembrane proteins, including protein kinase RNA-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) and activating transcription factor 6 (AFT6). Activation of PERK reduces the number of new proteins produced via the phosphorylation and inactivation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2α (eIF2α). Activation of ATF6 increases the transcription of ER chaperone proteins, including Hspa5, as well as genes involved in ER-associated degradation. In the event that these compensatory mechanisms are insufficient to restore homeostasis, cell apoptosis is mediated through varying mechanisms including the eIF2α activation of the growth-arrest transcription factor DNA-damage inducible transcript 3 (Ddit3). A downstream effect of ER stress and activated UPR is reduced insulin receptor signaling [13, 21].

Despite the presence of adipocyte dysfunction in IUGR rats, the effect of IUGR on the TNFα system and UPR in relation to the development of impaired glucose homeostasis is unknown. We hypothesized that, in male rat pups, IUGR would increase TNFα, TNFR1, and components of the UPR (Hspa5, ATF6, p-eIF2α, and Ddit3) prior to the onset of obesity. We further hypothesized that impaired glucose homeostasis would occur after the onset of adipose dysfunction in male IUGR pups. To test this hypothesis, we used a well-characterized rat model of uteroplacental insufficiency-induced IUGR [10, 22, 23].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals. All procedures were approved by the University of Utah Animal Care Committee and are in accordance with the American Physiological Society’s guiding principles [24]. The rat uteroplacental insufficiency model of IUGR has been previously described in detail [10, 22, 23]. Briefly, on day 19 of gestation, pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats were anesthetized with intraperitoneal xylazine (8 mg/kg) and ketamine (40 mg/kg). Both uterine arteries were ligated, giving rise to IUGR pups. Control dams underwent identical anesthetic procedures. Rat pups rendered IUGR in this model are ~25% lighter than control rat pups at birth [10].

After maternal rats delivered spontaneously at term, pups were weighed and litters were randomly culled to six pups. Pups remained with the dam and were fed via lactation until postnatal day 21 (d21). On d21, rat pups were killed and subcutaneous and retroperitoneal (a representative visceral depot) adipose tissue was dissected, and serum was collected. All samples were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C.

A separate set of rats was used for glucose tolerance experiments. For these experiments, one male and one female rat from each control and IUGR litter were randomly selected for d21 glucose tolerance and one male and one female from each control and IUGR litter were randomly selected for d45 glucose tolerance studies. For RT-PCR and western blot experiments, 6 nonsibling rat pups were used per group. For GTT and HOMA-IR, 4 nonsibling rat pups were used per group.

2.2. Serum TNFα. Serum TNFα was quantified using an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (BD OptEIA rat TNFα ELISA kit (BD, San Diego, CA)) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.3. Real-Time RT-PCR. Real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was used to evaluate mRNA abundance of adipose TNFα and TNFR1 as well as Hspa5, Atf6, and Ddit3 as previously described [10, 22, 23]. The following assay-on-demand primer/probe sets were used: TNFα Rn99999017_m1, TNFR1 Rn00565310_m1, Atf6 Rn01490854_m1, Ddit3 Rn00492098_g1, and Hspa5 Rn00565250_m1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA). GAPDH was used as an internal control (GAPDH primer and probe sequences; forward: CAAGATGTTGAGGTCGG-GTG, reverse: CAAGAGAAGCGCCCTGGT, and probe: GCGTCCGATAGCCGACCCATCCG).

2.4. Immunoblot. Adipose tissue levels of TNFα, TNFR1, eIF2α, and phospho-eIF2α protein were quantified using immunoblot as previously described [10, 22, 23]. The following primary antibodies were used: Phospho-eIF2α (3975S, Cell Signaling Technology), eIF2α (9722S, Cell Signaling Technology), TNFα (3707, Cell Signaling Technology), and TNFR1 (T9161-06G, US Biological). GAPDH was used as an internal control (2118L, Cell Signaling Technology).

2.5. Glucose Tolerance Test and HOMA-IR. For intraperitoneal glucose tolerance tests (IP-GTT), pups on d21 were fasted for 6 hours prior to procedure and d45 rats were fasted for 12 hours prior to procedure. Rats had access to ad libitum water throughout fasting and procedure. After a fasting glucose level was acquired, dextrose solution (2 mg/kg) (Sigma Chemical Co, St Louis, MO) was administered via I.P. injection. At fasting, 15, 30, 60, and 90 minutes after IP-glucose load, blood was obtained via tail venipuncture.
male rat pups, IUGR significantly increased mTNF (Figure 1).

3.3. TNFα mRNA and Protein. Levels of TNFα mRNA as well as sTNFα and mTNFα protein abundance were measured in SAT and VAT of male and female rat pups on d21. In male rat pups, IUGR significantly increased TNFα mRNA in SAT relative to male controls (P = 0.04). IUGR did not significantly alter TNFα mRNA in SAT of female rat pups or TNFα mRNA in VAT of either sex (Figure 2(a)). In male rat pups, IUGR significantly increased mTNFα protein abundance (P = 0.004) and sTNFα protein abundance (P < 0.001) in SAT relative to male controls. IUGR did not significantly alter mTNFα protein abundance or sTNFα protein abundance in SAT of female rats or in VAT of either gender (Figures 2(b) and 2(c)).

3.4. TNFR1 mRNA and Protein. Levels of TNFR1 mRNA and protein abundance were measured in SAT and VAT of male and female rat pups on d21. In male rat pups, IUGR significantly increased TNFR1 mRNA in VAT relative to male controls (P = 0.02) (Figure 3(a)). IUGR did not significantly alter TNFR1 mRNA in VAT of female rats or in SAT of either gender. TNFR1 protein abundance was not significantly altered in male or female VAT or SAT (Figure 3(b)).

3.5. Hspa5, Atf6, and Ddit3 mRNA and eIF2α Protein Phosphorylation. In order to assess activation of the unfolded protein response, levels of Hspa5, Atf6, and Ddit3 mRNA and eIF2α protein phosphorylation were measured in SAT and VAT of male and female rat pups on d21. In male rat pups, IUGR significantly increased mRNA levels of Hspa5 (P = 0.001), Atf6 (P < 0.001), and Ddit3 (P < 0.001) in SAT relative to male controls. IUGR did not significantly affect Hspa5, Atf6, and Ddit3 mRNA levels in SAT of female rats or in VAT of either sex (Figures 4(a), 4(b), and 4(c)). In male rat pups, IUGR significantly increased the ratio of phosphorylated eIF2α to unphosphorylated eIF2α protein in male VAT or in any female depot when compared to sex-matched controls (Figure 5).

3.6. IP-GTT and HOMA-IR. Since both TNFα and the UPR are implicated in glucose tolerance, we performed an IP-GTT and HOMA-IR measurement in male and female control and IUGR rats on d21 and again on d45. IUGR did not impair glucose tolerance in male or female rat pups on d21 (Figure 6(a)). However, on d45, in male rats, IUGR impaired glucose tolerance at 15 (P = 0.04) and 30 (P = 0.02) minutes after IP glucose dose relative to male control rats (Figure 6(b)). IUGR did not affect glucose tolerance in d45 female rats. IUGR did not alter Homa-IR in male or female rats on d21 or d45 (Figure 7).

4. Discussion

The novel results of our study demonstrate that IUGR dysregulates the TNFα system and activates the UPR in an.

---

### Table 1: IUGR decreased body weight (gm) in rat pups on d21 and d45 (mean ± SEM).

| Postnatal age (days) | Male Control | Male IUGR | Female Control | Female IUGR |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| d21                  | 62 ± 1.3     | 55 ± 1.9* | 57 ± 1.2       | 51 ± 0.9*   |
| d45                  | 278 ± 6      | 261 ± 10* | 195 ± 17       | 193 ± 14    |

* Different from age- and sex-matched control group, P < 0.05.

Glucose levels (mg/dL) were obtained in real time with a glucometer (Accu-Chek Aviva, Indianapolis, IN).

The homeostasis model of assessment-insulin resistance (Homa-IR) was used as an indicator of insulin resistance in late adolescent male and female rats. Homa-IR was calculated using the US formula: (fasting glucose (mg/dL) * fasting insulin (uU/mL)) / 405 [25]. Fasting insulin (ng/mL) was quantified using an ELISA kit (Crystal Chem Inc., Downers Grove, IL).

2.6. Statistical Analysis. Serum and mRNA data are presented as means ± SEM. Protein data are presented as IUGR relative to sex-matched controls ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined using ANOVA using the StatView 5 software package (SAS Institute, Inc.). P ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Pup Weights. On d21, male and female IUGR rat pups weigh significantly less than sex-matched control rat pups. On d45, male rat pups weigh less than male control, while female IUGR rat pups weigh the same as female control rat pups (Table 1).

3.2. Serum TNFα. Circulating TNFα levels were measured in male and female rat pups on d21. In male rat pups, IUGR significantly increased serum TNFα relative to male controls (P = 0.02). No differences were detected in female rats (Figure 1).

3.3. TNFα mRNA and Protein. Levels of TNFα mRNA as well as sTNFα and mTNFα protein abundance were measured in SAT and VAT of male and female rat pups on d21. In male rat pups, IUGR significantly increased TNFα mRNA in SAT relative to male controls (P = 0.04). IUGR did not significantly alter TNFα mRNA in SAT of female rat pups or TNFα mRNA in VAT of either sex (Figure 2(a)). In male rat pups, IUGR significantly increased mTNFα protein abundance (P = 0.004) and sTNFα protein abundance (P < 0.001) in SAT relative to male controls. IUGR did not significantly alter mTNFα protein abundance or sTNFα protein abundance in SAT of female rats or in VAT of either gender (Figures 2(b) and 2(c)).

3.4. TNFR1 mRNA and Protein. Levels of TNFR1 mRNA and protein abundance were measured in SAT and VAT of male and female rat pups on d21. In male rat pups, IUGR significantly increased TNFR1 mRNA in VAT relative to male controls (P = 0.02) (Figure 3(a)). IUGR did not significantly alter TNFR1 mRNA in VAT of female rats or in SAT of either gender. TNFR1 protein abundance was not significantly altered in male or female VAT or SAT (Figure 3(b)).

3.5. Hspa5, Atf6, and Ddit3 mRNA and eIF2α Protein Phosphorylation. In order to assess activation of the unfolded protein response, levels of Hspa5, Atf6, and Ddit3 mRNA and eIF2α protein phosphorylation were measured in SAT and VAT of male and female rat pups on d21. In male rat pups, IUGR significantly increased mRNA levels of Hspa5 (P = 0.001), Atf6 (P < 0.001), and Ddit3 (P < 0.001) in SAT relative to male controls. IUGR did not significantly affect Hspa5, Atf6, and Ddit3 mRNA levels in SAT of female rats or in VAT of either sex (Figures 4(a), 4(b), and 4(c)). In male rat pups, IUGR significantly increased the ratio of phosphorylated eIF2α to unphosphorylated eIF2α protein in male VAT or in any female depot when compared to sex-matched controls (Figure 5).

3.6. IP-GTT and HOMA-IR. Since both TNFα and the UPR are implicated in glucose tolerance, we performed an IP-GTT and HOMA-IR measurement in male and female control and IUGR rats on d21 and again on d45. IUGR did not impair glucose tolerance in male or female rat pups on d21 (Figure 6(a)). However, on d45, in male rats, IUGR impaired glucose tolerance at 15 (P = 0.04) and 30 (P = 0.02) minutes after IP glucose dose relative to male control rats (Figure 6(b)). IUGR did not affect glucose tolerance in d45 female rats. IUGR did not alter Homa-IR in male or female rats on d21 or d45 (Figure 7).
adipose depot and sex-specific manner prior to the onset of obesity and impaired glucose tolerance. The majority of molecular effects were confined to the SAT of male rat pups, with female rat pups being relatively unaffected. Importantly, in weanling (d21) rat pups, the dysregulated TNFα system and UPR activation occurred in the context of normal glucose tolerance. However, by d45, impaired glucose tolerance was detectable in male, but not female, rats. Collectively, our

Figure 2: TNFα mRNA and protein levels. IUGR increases subcutaneous TNFα mRNA and protein levels in male rats. Results are control (white bars) and IUGR (black bars). Errors are SD. n = 6, *P ≤ 0.05.
results suggest that, in male rats, IUGR programs increased adipose inflammation, cellular stress, and UPR activation with subsequent impaired glucose tolerance.

Results from this study expand upon the characterization of adipocyte dysfunction in IUGR rats. Our observation of significantly elevated serum TNFα in male rat pups at d21 indicates the presence of systemic inflammation. On d21, IUGR rat pups from our model of IUGR still weigh approximately 25% less than controls, and overt obesity is not yet evident. Increased inflammation in IUGR male SAT before the onset of obesity suggests early programmed adipose dysfunction.

Interestingly, the TNFα system in VAT of male rats was unaffected by IUGR. A potential explanation for this may be that adipocytes in the visceral depot are smaller in size than those in the subcutaneous depot [26]. Hypertrophy has been shown to be the preferential mode of expansion in SAT, while hyperplasia is favored in VAT [26]. In humans, adipocyte size is positively correlated with TNFα levels [27, 28].

Our study also demonstrated that increased SAT TNFα production in male IUGR rat pups is associated with activation of the UPR. TNFα has previously been shown to induce UPR through PERK-mediated eIF2α phosphorylation and ATF6 [19]. Results from our study demonstrate that adaptive responses, including ATF6 production and eIF2α phosphorylation, are increased in male IUGR rat pups. The apoptosis phase mediator, Ddit3, is also significantly increased in male IUGR rat pups. Recent studies suggest that adipocyte apoptosis may play a key role in adipose tissue metabolic dysregulation and macrophage infiltration [29]. In this study, we did not assess apoptosis of adipose cells. However, quantification of adipocyte apoptosis will be an important future step to understand the consequences of activated UPR in IUGR adipose tissue.

We showed that IUGR impairs glucose tolerance in male rats on d45, with no alterations in glucose tolerance being observed on d21. Our data are consistent with previous studies using a similar model of uteroplacental insufficiency-induced IUGR in which IUGR-induced fasting hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia were evident by day 70, with an overt diabetic phenotype by day 100 [30]. Elevated glucose has been observed as early as day 7 in IUGR rats; however, postnatal nutrition may have differed from our study, as litters were reared with different number of pups.
Figure 4: UPR mRNA levels. IUGR increases subcutaneous Hspa5, Atf6, and Ddit3 mRNA levels in male rats. Errors are SD. \( n = 6, * P \leq 0.05 \).

Figure 5: Phospho-eIF2α levels. IUGR increases subcutaneous phospho-eIF2α levels in male rats. Errors are SD. \( n = 6, * P \leq 0.05 \).
Figure 6: Glucose tolerance tests. IUGR impairs glucose tolerance in d45 in male rats. Results are control (white circles) and IUGR (black circles) Errors are SD. *P ≤ 0.05.

Our study is the first study to show early signs of glucose intolerance in IUGR rats following elevated TNFα and activation of the UPR. Both TNFα and the UPR may induce cellular insulin resistance through activation of the JNK signaling cascade [31]. JNK decreases the action of insulin receptor substrate-1, an important intermediate in insulin signaling, through serine phosphorylation [32]. Thus, activation of JNK by TNFα and the UPR may play an important role in the disruption of glucose homeostasis.

Sex-specific responses have been demonstrated in both human and rodent obesity. In humans, men have been shown to accumulate more VAT, while women accumulate more SAT, particularly in the gluteofemoral depot [33]. The visceral obesity most prevalent in males is associated with an increased risk of metabolic dysfunction and alterations in glucose homeostasis when compared to the SAT or gluteofemoral obesity [33]. Similarly, female mice fed a high fat diet exhibit an increased capacity for adipocyte enlargement, as well as decreased macrophage infiltration, lower ectopic fat deposition in the liver, and later glucose tolerance impairment than male mice of the same age [34]. Differences in sex hormones may explain these gender-specific responses. The effect of IUGR on sex-specific programming of adipose dysfunction raises an important question with significant clinical implications and warrants further investigation.

Our study is not without limitations. While we demonstrated an increase in TNFα components and UPR activation in association with later onset glucose intolerance, we did not assess causative relationships. We also did not assess the cellular triggers of increased TNFα and UPR activation. Future studies examining macrophage infiltration, ROS production, and serum free fatty acid levels will be important to elucidate the direct cause of increased TNFα signaling and UPR activation. Similarly, a mechanistic understanding
of the causative relationships between TNF-α and the UPR in the subsequent development of glucose intolerance will also be important.

In conclusion, IUGR induces adipose dysfunction, inflammation, and the UPR prior to the onset of obesity in SAT of male rat pups. We speculate that these events increase the risk for insulin resistance, cardiovascular disease, and other metabolic diseases later in life.
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