Reappraisal of nodal Epstein-Barr Virus-negative cytotoxic T-cell lymphoma: Identification of indolent CD5+ diseases

Daisuke Yamashita1 | Kazuyuki Shimada2 | Katsuyoshi Takata3 | Tomoko Miyata-Takata3 | Kei Kohno1 | Akira Satou4 | Ayako Sakakibara1
Shigeo Nakamura1 | Naoko Asano5 | Seiichi Kato1,6

1Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Nagoya University Hospital, Nagoya, Japan
2Department of Hematology and Oncology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan
3Department of Pathology, Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Okayama, Japan
4Department of Surgical Pathology, Aichi Medical University Hospital, Nagakute, Japan
5Department of Molecular Diagnostics, Nagano Prefectural Suzaka Hospital, Suzaka, Japan
6Department of Pathology and Molecular Diagnostics, Aichi Cancer Center Hospital, Nagoya, Japan

Correspondence
Seiichi Kato, Department of Pathology and Molecular Diagnostics, Aichi Cancer Center Hospital, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Japan.
Email: skato@aichi-cc.jp

Funding information
Grants-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan, Grant/Award Number: JP15K19052

INTRODUCTION

World Health Organization (WHO) classifications report that peripheral T-cell lymphoma not otherwise specified (PTCL-NOS) is the most common subtype of mature T-cell and natural killer (NK) cell neoplasms1,2 and is a heterogeneous disease with a generally poor prognosis, but not a distinctive immunophenotype.3

Cytotoxic molecules (CMs) such as granzyme B, and perforin are cellular lytic granules that are secreted from CD8-positive T cells and NK cells.4,5 The CMs, which are expressed in extranodal T-cell lymphoma subtypes such as extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type (ENKL), and hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma, are generally associated with poor prognosis.6,7 Research over the last decade has led to the identification of previously unrecognized indolent cytotoxic T-
cell and NK-cell lymphomas/lymphoproliferative disorders (LPDs), including indolent T-cell LPD of the gastrointestinal tract, NK-cell enteropathy/lymphomatoid gastroenteropathy, and primary cutaneous acral CD8+ T-cell lymphoma.1,2 CM expression has also been noted in some nodal PTCLs. We have reported that nodal CM-positive PTCL (CTL) shows a more aggressive clinical course than CM-negative PTCL, such that CMs constitute a useful biomarker for PTCL.7 To our knowledge, nodal indolent cytotoxic lymphomas have not been addressed in the past.

There is an accumulation of genetic mutations in TCR signaling molecules in PTCLs, demonstrating that the TCR and its downstream signaling pathway are critically important for their development.9-15 TCR comprises an αβ or γδ heterodimer, and αβ is a major component in PTCL as well as T-lymphocytes.16,17 PTCL subtypes derived from γδ T cells—such as primary cutaneous γδ T-cell lymphoma, hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma, and monomorphic intestinal T-cell lymphoma—show aggressive clinical behavior.1,2,18-22 We recently documented that nodal EBV-positive CTL has poor prognosis often with a γδ phenotype.7,8,23 On the other hand, recent studies shed a light on an indolent cytotoxic T-cell lymphoma/LPD, characterized by a TCRαβ phenotype and younger onset age, referred to as subcutaneous panniculitis-like T-cell lymphoma with an αβ T-cell phenotype.24-27 The available data suggest that CMs, EBV infection, and TCRαβ or γδ phenotype status likely affect the pathobiology of PTCL-NOS. While we previously described the clinicopathological features of nodal EBV-positive CTL, EBV-negative CTL remains uncharacterized.5,23 In our present study, we retrospectively investigated the pathological and clinical features of nodal EBV-negative CTL, which led to the identification of nodal indolent CD5-positive diseases predominantly affecting patients younger than 60 years old.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Patients

This study enrolled patients with PTCL-NOS consecutively diagnosed by lymph node biopsy according to the WHO classification2 between January 1982 and April 2015. They were also clinically evaluated for nodal disease. Inclusion criteria were the absence of B-cell markers, and positivity for at least one T-cell antigen (CD3, CD4, CD5, CD8, or CD45RO) according to either immunohistochemistry or flow cytometry. All were positive for expression of at least one CM. We evaluated the presence of EBV using EBV-encoded small nuclear early region in situ hybridization with a cut-off of >50% positivity of neoplastic cells. The analysis excluded patients with lymphoepithelioid (Lennert) lymphoma, angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITL), anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK)-positive or ALK-negative anaplastic large cell lymphoma (ALCL). AITL, primary cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, or ENKL. Tumors showing morphologically within the spectrum of ALK-positive ALCL, with strong and uniform expression of CD30 were ruled out from our analysis as ALCL.

We identified 58 evaluable cases of nodal EBV-negative CTL with paraffin blocks available for analyses, including 39 in our previous study.8 As a control group, we analyzed data from 48 nodal EBV-positive CTL cases, including 39 previously reported.8,23

Our study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of Nagoya University (No.1066-3).

2.2 | Histopathology

Tissue samples were fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin (FFPE). The cases were reviewed by three pathologists (D.Y., S.K., and S.N.), and were divided into four morphologic groups based on cell nuclei shape: centroblastoid, pleomorphic, mixed, and unspecified (Figure 1A-C). In the centroblastoid group, >50% of the neoplastic cells were large and had oval-to-round vesicular nuclei with fine chromatin, morphologically resembling diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) cells. In the pleomorphic group, over two-thirds of the tumor cells had pleomorphic features with irregular nuclei folding. In the mixed group, the tumors comprised a mixture of medium and large cells. Despite the variation in cell size, cases with mixed morphology showed lower cellular atypia than cases with pleomorphic morphology. Finally, the unspecified group included cases for which the biopsy specimens were too small to reach a good consensus regarding morphology. We also evaluated cells for the presence of elongated nuclei.

2.3 | Immunophenotypic and ISH analysis

FFPE sections were subjected to immunoperoxidase analysis with monoclonal antibodies as follows: CD2, CD4, CD5, and CD56 (Novocastra Laboratories, Newcastle, UK); CD3, CD8, UCHL-1/CD45RO, L26/CD20, Ber-H2/CD30, and ALK1 (Dako, Santa Clara, CA); β1F1 (T-cell receptor [TCR] β chain; T Cell Science, Cambridge, MA); TCR 1153 (TCR-γ; clone γ 3.20) and TCRδ constant region (clone 5A6.E9; Thermo Fisher Scientific);22 TIA-1 (Coulter Immunology, Hialeah, FL); granzyme B (Monosan, Uden, the Netherlands), PD-L1 (clone SP142; Spring Bioscience, Pleasanton, CA), and ALK 5A4.28 The reactions were considered positive with a cut-off of 30% (Figure 1D-F). Tumor cell and microenvironment PD-L1 expression was considered positive when ≥10% of the tumor cells and nonmalignant stromal cells showed membranous and/or cytoplasmic PD-L1 staining, respectively.29,30

To evaluate the presence of EBV small ribonucleic acids, we subjected formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections to in situ hybridization using EBV-encoded small nuclear early region (EBER) oligonucleotides, as previously reported.8

2.4 | TCRγ PCR analysis

Paraffin-embedded tissue was examined by using a QiaAmp kit for DNA extraction from tissue (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany) for PCR analysis of the TCRγ gene according to the BIOMED2 protocol with the QIAGEN Multiplex PCR Kit and GeneScan Analysis.
2.5 | Statistical analysis

We evaluated correlations between the two groups using Fisher’s exact test and Student’s t test. Patient survival data were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank test. Survivors with a follow-up period <6 months were excluded from analysis. We performed univariate and multivariate analyses using a Cox proportional hazard regression model. All statistical analyses were performed using the graphical user interface for R, EZR (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Clinicopathological characteristics of nodal CTLs

Compared to nodal EBV-positive CTL (n = 48), nodal EBV-negative CTL (n = 58) was more commonly associated with favorable clinical parameters at presentation (Table 1). The latter showed lower frequencies of hepatic involvement (10% vs 32%, P = .007); B symptoms (47% vs 72%, P = .022), and hemophagocytosis (13% vs 35%, P = .024) compared to the former.

Treatment for nodal EBV-negative CTL comprised chemotherapeutic regimens with or without anthracycline (46 and 7 patients, respectively). Eight patients underwent high-dose chemotherapy with autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (ASCT). None of the patients underwent allogeneic transplants. Two exhibited a rapidly lethal clinical course within 3 weeks before any treatment.

Morphological findings did not differ between the EBV-positive and -negative groups. Compared to the latter, the former less frequently showed expression of CD4 (50% vs 19%, P = .002) and CD5 (56% vs 29%, P = .009), but more frequently exhibited CD8 (25% vs 63%, P < .001) and granzyme B (69% vs 95%, P < .001).

Anaplastic lymphoma kinase expression was not detected in our series using the conventional ALK1 antibody. This absence was further verified in 26 EBV-negative cases by using a highly sensitive immunohistochemistry assay. We also did not detect EBV-harboring tumor cells in the present series, although two were accompanied by a small number (5%-15%) of EBV+ B lymphocytes.
Immunohistochemistry showed that nodal EBV-negative and EBV-positive CTL cases had similar ratios for neoplastic positive PD-L1 expression (16% vs 9%, \( P = .65 \)) and microenvironmental positive PD-L1 expression (53% vs 50%, \( P = 1.0 \)). Our series of EBV-negative CTL cases was also consisted of TIA-1+ granzyme B+ (\( n = 33 \)) and TIA-1+ granzyme B/C0 types (\( n = 15 \)), the latter of which showed higher frequencies of gastrointestinal tract involvement (20% vs 0%, \( P = .026 \)) and lower CR ratio (14% vs 53%, \( P = .020 \)) than the former, despite their overall overlapping survival curves with median survival times of 8 and 11 months, respectively (Table S1 and Figure S1).

### 3.2 TCR phenotype of nodal CTLs

Among the 47 nodal EBV-negative cases, 23 showed TCRβ positivity (ie, TCRβ type) (Table 2). Four were determined to be TCRγδ type based on TCRγ and/or TCRδ positivity and TCRβ negativity. Twelve showed clonal TCRγ gene rearrangement without expression of TCR β, γ, or δ, and were thus designated TCR-silent type. Finally, eight were NK-cell type, as they showed no TCR protein expression or clonal TCRγ gene rearrangement. Overall, 39 cases (82%) of nodal EBV-negative CTL were categorized as T-cell types based on their TCR protein expression and/or clonal TCRγ gene rearrangement. Among EBV-positive CTLs, 80% were categorized as T-cell types. EBV-negative and EBV-positive CTLs did not significantly differ in the incidences of the TCR subtypes.

**TABLE 1** Differences in the clinicopathological characteristics between nodal EBV-negative and -positive CTLs

|                      | Nodal EBV-negative CTL (\( n = 58 \))                | Nodal EBV-positive CTL (\( n = 48 \))                | \( P \)  |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Age at diagnosis     | Age at diagnosis (median [range]) (y)              | Age at diagnosis > 60 y                             | .22    |
|                      | 65 (29-88)                                          | 62 (0-80)                                           |        |
|                      | 36/58 (62)                                          | 27/48 (56)                                          |        |
| Sex (male/female)    | 30/28                                               | 33/15                                               | .11    |
|                      | 21/49 (42)                                          | 23/43 (53)                                          | .40    |
| PS > 1               | 44/57 (77)                                          | 40/46 (86)                                          | .31    |
|                      | 25/53 (47)                                          | 31/43 (72)                                          | .022   |
|                      | 13/58 (22)                                          | 7/47 (15)                                           | .45    |
|                      | 11/55 (20)                                          | 11/45 (24)                                          | .63    |
|                      | 6/58 (10)                                           | 15/46 (32)                                          | .007   |
|                      | 7/58 (12)                                           | 1/46 (2)                                            | .074   |
|                      | 3/58 (5)                                            | 1/46 (2)                                            | .63    |
|                      | 7/51 (13)                                           | 14/40 (35)                                          | .024   |
|                      | 34/54 (62)                                          | 29/45 (64)                                          | 1.0    |
|                      | 38/54 (70)                                          | 30/45 (66)                                          | .83    |
|                      | 25/51 (49)                                          | 23/40 (57)                                          | .53    |
|                      | 17/51 (33)                                          | 22/40 (55)                                          | .055   |
|                      | 42/56 (75)                                          | 35/45 (77)                                          | .81    |
|                      | 41/48 (85)                                          | 22/25 (88)                                          | 1.0    |
|                      | 4/47 (8)                                            | 4/25 (16)                                           | .44    |
|                      | 3/47 (6)                                            | 4/29 (13)                                           | .23    |
|                      | 2/58 (3)                                            | 8/45 (17)                                           | .02    |
|                      | 46/55 (83)                                          | 32/45 (71)                                          | .35    |
|                      | 7/55 (12)                                           | 5/45 (11)                                           | 1.0    |
|                      | 8/58 (13)                                           | 6/45 (13)                                           | 1.0    |
|                      | 20/49 (41)                                          | 11/35 (31)                                          | .49    |
|                      | 10/49 (20)                                          | 8/35 (22)                                           | .79    |
|                      | 19/49 (39)                                          | 16/35 (45)                                          | .65    |
|                      | 20/50 (40)                                          | 24/46 (52)                                          | .31    |

(Continues)
3.3 Overall survival for patients with nodal CTLs

The unadjusted OS curves for patients with nodal EBV-positive and EBV-negative CTLs showed an aggressive clinical course, with median survival times of 8 and 11 months, respectively (Figure 2A). The survival curves for 24 months after diagnosis overlapped (P = .14), as was previously documented by our group.8

3.4 Univariate and multivariate analysis of overall survival for nodal CTL cases

In the univariate analysis of our whole group consisting of EBV-positive (n = 48) and -negative cases (n = 58), variables that predicted poor OS included Prognostic Index for PTCL (PIT) group 3 or 4, with a hazard ratio (HR) of 2.88 (P < .001); International Prognostic Index (IPI) high-intermediate/high risk group (HR = 2.79, P < .001); extranodal involvement at >1 site (HR = 3.39, P < .001); bone marrow involvement (HR = 2.62, P < .001); thrombocytopenia (HR = 2.36, P < .001); presence of B symptoms (HR = 2.60, P < .001); hemophagocytosis (HR = 2.42, P = .002); liver involvement (HR = 2.32, P = .002); above-normal serum lactic dehydrogenase (LDH) (HR = 2.54, P = .004); performance status (PS) > 1 (HR = 2.01, P = .006); CRP high (HR = 1.18, P = .015); and gastrointestinal involvement (HR = 2.84, P = .046); but not EBV-harboring (HR = 1.44, P = .14). Variables that predicted a favorable outcome included CD5 positivity (HR = 0.40, P < .001); onset age younger than 60 years (HR = 0.48, P = .005); and mixed morphology (HR = 0.40, P = .011), but not ASCT (HR = 0.51, P = .093) (Table S2). In this cohort, multivariate analysis showed that CD5 was independent from both of IPI and PIT, and that CD5 and mixed appearance, but not TCRαβ or onset age younger than 60 years, were significant among these 4 variables (Table 3A).

| TABLE 2 Differences in the TCR phenotype between nodal EBV-negative and EBV-positive CTL |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                 | Nodal EBV-negative CTL (n = 47) (n [%]) | Nodal EBV-positive CTL (n = 41) (n [%]) | P    |
| T-cell type                     | 39/47 (82)                         | 33/41 (80)                         | .79  |
| αβ T (TCR β positive)           | 23/47 (48)                         | 18/41 (43)                         | .67  |
| γδ T (TCR γ positive and/or δ positive) | 4/47 (8)                          | 5/41 (12)                          | .73  |
| TCR-silent                      | 12/47 (25)                         | 10/41 (24)                         | 1.0  |
| NK-cell type                    | 8/47 (17)                          | 8/41 (19)                          | .79  |

CTL, cytotoxic molecule (CM)-positive peripheral T-cell lymphoma; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; NK, natural killer; TCR, T-cell receptor.

Patients with T-cell type showed positivity for TCR protein expression or TCRγ gene rearrangement. TCR-silent cases were negative for TCRαβ, γ, and δ expression but positive for clonal TCRγ gene rearrangement. Patients with NK-cell type did not have any of the TCR protein expression or clonal TCRγ gene rearrangement. One case of nodal EBV-negative CTL had TCRαβ and γ double positive type.

3.5 Comparison of the clinicopathological characteristics of nodal EBV-negative CTL patients using a cut-off age of 60 years

In the analysis limited for nodal EBV-negative CTL cases, variables that predicted poor OS included PIT group 3 or 4 (HR = 5.34, P < .001); IPI high-intermediate/high risk group (HR = 4.26, P < .001); extranodal involvement at >1 site (HR = 3.71, P < .001); presence of B symptoms (HR = 2.79, P = .004); hemophagocytosis (HR = 3.32, P = .006); above-normal serum LDH (HR = 3.28, P = .009); PS > 1 (HR = 2.29, P = .025); and bone marrow involvement (HR = 2.16, P = .038). Variables that predicted a favorable outcome included onset age younger than 60 years (HR = 0.27, P = .002); CD5 positivity (HR = 0.32, P = .002), and mixed morphology (HR = 0.29, P = .013) (Table S3). Interestingly, multivariate analysis revealed that these favorable prognostic factors were independently significant (Table 3B). Receiving ASCT was not a significant factor predicting favorable outcome (HR = 0.37, P = .099).

After unexpectedly finding that younger onset age was a favorable prognostic indicator, we analyzed the clinicopathological

FIGURE 2 Survival curves for nodal Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-negative and EBV-positive cytotoxic molecule (CM)-positive peripheral T-cell lymphoma (CTL) patients (A) and nodal EBV-negative and EBV-positive CTL patients with a cut-off age of 60 y (B).
Detailed analysis of the younger patients revealed that five TCR\(\alpha\) type patients were alive at a follow-up time of 10-86 months. Only one had died of the disease, with a long clinical course of 209 months. This was in contrast to the lethal clinical course within 24 months after diagnosis in 10 (71%) of the 14 patients who were older at diagnosis (Figure 3).

### 3.6 Prognostic model for nodal EBV-negative CTL

Patients in the IPI low/low intermediate risk group (\(n = 18\)) had much longer survival than those in the IPI high intermediate/high risk group (\(n = 31\)), with a median survival of 209 vs 4 months (\(P < .001\)) (Figure 4A). Patients in PIT group 1 or 2 (\(n = 17\)) had much longer survival than those in PIT group 3 or 4 (\(n = 33\)), with a median survival of 106 vs 5 months (\(P < .001\)) (Figure 4A). Multivariate analysis revealed three independently significant favorable prognostic factors: mixed morphology, younger onset age, and CD5 positivity (Table 3). TCR\(\alpha\) was not identified as an independent prognostic factor, but has been noted to be highly associated with indolent clinical course among younger patients. We used these four variables to construct a prognostic model as follows: high-risk group, patients with no favorable factors (\(n = 5\)); intermediate-risk group, patients with one or two factors (\(n = 35\)); and low-risk group, patients with three or four factors (\(n = 9\); Table 5, case # 1-7, 13, and 14). This prognostic model efficiently identified three groups of patients with different outcomes (Figure 4B, \(P < .001\)). Patients in the high-, intermediate-, and low-risk groups had median OS times of 1, 10, and 158 months, respectively. Of note, all of the 8 patients in low risk group of our prognostic model were still alive at 106 months after diagnosis. On the other hand, 7 out of 18 patients in low/low intermediate risk group of IPI died within 30 months. This prognostic model was identified as a variable that predicted a favorable outcome (Table S3, HR = 0.31, \(P < .001\)). Multivariate analysis performed for our prognostic model and IPI or PIT revealed that our model was independent from IPI (HR = 0.07 vs HR = 0.82) and PIT (HR = 0.20 vs HR = 0.15, Table 3B).

### 3.7 Identification of nodal indolent diseases

Based on the above-described clinicopathologic findings, we retrospectively identified two subgroups defined by their detailed immunophenotype: CD5\(^+\) TCR\(\alpha\) type (\(n = 13\)), and CD5\(^+\) NK-cell type without TCR expression or clonal TCR\(\gamma\) rearrangement (\(n = 4\)). These patient groups are summarized in Table 5A-B. They showed survival curves that were significantly superior to other groups (\(P < .001\), Figure 5A-B). The CD5\(^+\) TCR\(\alpha\) type group included 7 men and 6 women, with a median age of 59 years (range, 29-79 years) and showed pleomorphic (\(n = 5\)), mixed (\(n = 4\)), centroblastoid (\(n = 3\)), and unspecified (\(n = 1\)) appearance. In addition to their constant expression of CD5, TCR\(\alpha\), and CMs, 6 cases were CD4\(^+\)/CD8\(^-\), 2 were CD4\(^+\)/CD8\(^+\), and 4 were CD4\(^-\)/CD8\(^-\). Among the patients younger than 70 years, seven were alive without disease, and two had died of
| TABLE 4  Clinicopathological features of nodal EBV-negative CTL with younger and older onset age |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | <60 y (n = 22) (n [%]) | >60 y (n = 36) (n [%]) | P       |
| Age at diagnosis (years) median (range) | 52 (29-60) | 72 (61-88) | <.001 |
| Sex (male/female) | 12/10 | 18/18 | .79 |
| PS >1 | 9/20 (45) | 12/29 (41) | 1.0 |
| Clinical stage III/IV | 14/22 (63) | 30/35 (85) | .10 |
| B symptoms | 6/20 (30) | 19/33 (57) | .088 |
| Extranodal site > 1 site | 5/22 (23) | 8/36 (22) | 1.0 |
| Bone marrow | 2/21 (9) | 9/34 (26) | .17 |
| Liver | 2/22 (9) | 4/36 (11) | 1.0 |
| Skin and/or soft tissue | 1/22 (4) | 6/36 (16) | .24 |
| GI tract | 2/22 (9) | 1/36 (2) | .55 |
| Hemophagocytosis | 1/18 (5) | 6/33 (18) | .40 |
| IPI_high-intermediate/high | 7/22 (31) | 27/32 (84) | <.001 |
| PIT group 3/4 | 7/22 (31) | 31/32 (96) | <.001 |
| Hb < 13 g/dL (male) or Hb < 11 g/dL (female) | 12/18 (66) | 13/33 (39) | .083 |
| Platelets < 130 × 10^9/L | 3/18 (16) | 14/33 (42) | .073 |
| Serum LDH > normal | 13/21 (61) | 29/35 (82) | .11 |
| CRP > normal | 13/18 (72) | 28/30 (93) | .086 |
| Prior immunosuppressive drug therapy | 2/18 (11) | 2/29 (6) | .63 |
| History of autoimmune disease | 1/18 (5) | 2/29 (6) | 1.0 |
| Treatment | No therapy | 1/22 (5) | 1/36 (2) | 1.0 |
| CT with anthracycline | 13/19 (68) | 32/36 (88) | .17 |
| CT without anthracycline | 5/19 (26) | 3/36 (8) | .22 |
| ASCT | 7/22 (31) | 1/36 (2) | .003 |
| Response | CR | 13/20 (65) | 7/29 (24) | .007 |
| PR | 2/20 (10) | 8/29 (27) | .17 |
| NR | 5/20 (25) | 14/29 (48) | .25 |
| Morphology | Centroblastoid | 8/19 (44) | 12/31 (38) | .77 |
| Pleomorphic | 6/19 (33) | 8/31 (26) | .84 |
| Mixed | 5/19 (26) | 7/31 (22) | 1.0 |
| Unspecified | 0/19 (0) | 4/31 (12) | .28 |
| Immunophenotype | nPD-L1 | 2/7 (28) | 1/12 (8) | .52 |
| miPD-L1 | 3/7 (42) | 7/12 (58) | .65 |
| TIA-1 | 19/22 (86) | 31/36 (86) | 1.0 |
| Granzyme B | 16/21 (76) | 22/34 (64) | .55 |
| cyCD3 | 19/21 (90) | 30/36 (83) | .70 |
| CD4 | 10/21 (47) | 17/33 (51) | 1.0 |
| CD5 | 15/22 (68) | 16/33 (48) | .18 |
| CD8 | 3/21 (14) | 11/34 (32) | .21 |
| CD30 | 10/17 (58) | 14/28 (50) | .76 |
| CD56 | 5/22 (22) | 4/36 (11) | .28 |
(Continues)
TABLE 4 (Continued)

| TCR phenotype | <60 y (n = 22) [n (%)] | >60 y (n = 36) [n (%)] | P  |
|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|----|
| αβ            | 7/17 (41)              | 16/30 (53)             | .55|
| γδ            | 2/17 (11)              | 2/30 (6)               | .61|
| TCR-silent    | 4/17 (23)              | 8/30 (26)              | 1.0|
| NK-cell type  | 4/17 (23)              | 4/30 (13)              | .44|

ASCT, autologous stem cell transplantation; CR, complete remission; CRP, C-reactive protein; CT, chemotherapy; CTL, cytotoxic molecule(CM)-positive peripheral T-cell lymphoma; cyCD3, cytoplasmic CD3; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; GI tract, gastrointestinal tract; Hb, hemoglobin; IPI, International Prognostic Index; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; miPD-L1, microenvironmental PD-L1; NK, natural killer; nPD-L1, neoplastic PD-L1; NR, no response; PIT, prognostic index for PTCL; PR, partial remission; PS, performance status; TCR, T-cell receptor.

FIGURE 3 Survival curves for nodal Epstein-Barr virus-negative cytotoxic molecule(CM)-positive peripheral T-cell lymphoma of the T-cell receptor (TCR)αβ type and of other TCR phenotypes, with a cut-off age of 60 y

FIGURE 4 Overall survival curves for nodal Epstein-Barr virus-negative patients according to International Prognostic Index or PIT (A), and according to a prognostic model based on four variables: onset age < 60 y, mixed morphology, CD5 expression, and TCRαβ (B)

4 | DISCUSSION

Here we reported the clinicopathological characteristics of 58 patients with nodal EBV-negative CTL, the biological behavior and prognostic diversity of which have not previously been described in detail due to the relatively small number of reported cases.7,8,23,33 To our knowledge, this is the largest published series to date.

Patients with nodal EBV-negative CTL could be divided into two subgroups based on onset age. Patients with an onset age of <60 years (38%) had an unexpectedly favorable clinical course (P < .001). On the other hand, prognosis did not significantly differ between these two age-based subgroups among the EBV-positive cases (P = .44). We initially thought that this more favorable prognosis might be biased due to the therapeutic option of ASCT, which was not identified as a prognostic indicator in our univariate analysis (HR = 0.37, P = .099). However, among patients with an onset age of <60 years, prognosis did not significantly differ between patients with vs without ASCT (P = .60; Figure S3). Rather, the unexpected finding that onset age was a prognostic factor was due to the fact that patients with a younger onset age showed a better response to conventional chemotherapy.
Analysis of TCR protein expression and TCRγ gene rearrangement revealed that 82% of the nodal EBV-negative CTL cases was suggested to be of T-cell origin. This was almost identical to that (80%) of EBV-positive tumors, and was significantly higher than that of ENKTL cases (26%, \(P < .001\)). Moreover, the percentage of T-cell type in our series of nodal CTLs was similar to those (71%-84%) of nodal EBV-negative CTL cases.

### TABLE 5

(A) Clinical findings and follow-up of 17 patients with CD5 positive TCRαβ or NK-cell phenotypes of nodal EBV-negative CTL

| Case | Age/sex | Score | Stage | IPI | PIT | Chemotherapy | ASCT | Response rate | Follow-up (mo) |
|------|---------|-------|-------|-----|-----|--------------|------|--------------|---------------|
| 1    | 54/F    | 3     | II    | 0   | 0   | CHOP, VP-16  | without | CR           | Alive (86)    |
| 2    | 59/F    | 3     | II    | 2   | 2   | NA          | without | CR           | NA            |
| 3    | 56/F    | 3     | III   | 2   | 1   | THP-COP     | without | CR           | Alive (10)    |
| 4    | 51/M    | 3     | III   | 2   | 1   | NA          | with    | CR           | Alive (12)    |
| 5    | 57/M    | 4     | II    | NA  | 0   | THP-COP     | without | CR           | Alive (57)    |
| 6    | 49/M    | 4     | III   | 2   | 1   | VENP        | without | CR           | Dead (209)    |
| 7    | 29/M    | 4     | III   | 3   | 2   | CHOP        | with    | PR           | Alive (54)    |
| 8    | 61/M    | 2     | III   | NA  | NA  | VEPA        | without | PR           | Dead (96)     |
| 9    | 62/M    | 2     | III   | 3   | 4   | mPSL        | NA     | NA           | NA            |
| 10   | 72/M    | 2     | IV    | 3   | 5   | CHOP        | without | NR           | Dead (3.6)    |
| 11   | 77/F    | 2     | IV    | 2   | 4   | NA          | without | NR           | Dead (1.4)    |
| 12   | 77/F    | 2     | III   | 3   | 4   | NA          | without | NR           | Dead (1.6)    |
| 13   | 79/F    | 3     | III   | NA  | NA  | THP-COP     | with    | CR           | Dead (106)    |
| 14   | 29/M    | 3     | III   | 1   | 2   | CHOP, VP16  | without | CR           | Alive (46)    |
| 15   | 40/M    | 2     | III   | 2   | 3   | CHOP        | with    | CR           | Alive (93)    |
| 16   | 63/F    | 1     | III   | 4   | 5   | CHOP        | with    | CR           | Dead (93)     |
| 17   | 74/F    | 1     | III   | NA  | NA  | CHOP        | NA     | NA           | NA            |

(B) Pathological findings of 17 patients with CD5 positive TCRαβ or NK-cell phenotypes of nodal EBV-negative CTL

| Case | CD3 | CD4 | CD5 | CD8 | CD30 | CD56 | TIA-1 | Granzyme | Perforin | TCR phenotype | Morphology |
|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|---------|----------|--------------|------------|
| 1    | +   | +   | +   | –   | +    |      | +     | +       | NA       | αβ           | Centroblastoid |
| 2    | +   | –   | +   | –   | –    | –    | +     | +       | NA       | αβ           | Pleomorphic |
| 3    | +   | –   | +   | –   | –    | –    | +     | +       | +        | αβ           | Centroblastoid |
| 4    | +   | +   | +   | –   | +    | –    | +     | –       | –        | αβ           | Pleomorphic |
| 5    | +   | –   | +   | –   | +    | –    | +     | +       | NA       | αβ           | Mixed |
| 6    | +   | +   | +   | –   | –    | –    | +     | +       | +        | αβ           | Mixed |
| 7    | –   | +   | +   | –   | –    | –    | –     | +       | αβ        | Mixed |
| 8    | +   | –   | +   | +   | –    | –    | –     | +       | NA       | αβ           | Unspecified |
| 9    | +   | –   | +   | –   | –    | +    | +     | +       | +        | αβ           | Pleomorphic |
| 10   | +   | –   | +   | –   | NA   | –    | –     | +       | +        | αβ           | Centroblastoid |
| 11   | +   | +   | +   | –   | +    | –    | +     | +       | NA       | αβ           | Pleomorphic |
| 12   | +   | +   | +   | –   | NA   | –    | +     | +       | NA       | αβ           | Pleomorphic |
| 13   | +   | NA  | +   | –   | NA   | –    | +     | +       | NA       | αβ           | Mixed |
| 14   | +   | –   | +   | –   | –    | –    | +     | +       | –        | NK-cell      | Mixed/pseudomorphosis |
| 15   | +   | +   | +   | +   | NA   | –    | +     | +       | +        | NK-cell      | Pleomorphic |
| 16   | +   | +   | +   | –   | –    | –    | +     | +       | –        | NK-cell      | Centralblastoid |
| 17   | +   | +   | +   | +   | –    | –    | +     | +       | –        | NK-cell      | Centralblastoid |

---

\(\cdot\), negative; \(+\), positive; ASCT, autologous stem cell transplantation; CHOP, cyclophosphamide doxorubicin vincristine and prednisone; CR, complete response; CTL, cytotoxic molecule(CM)-positive peripheral T-cell lymphoma; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; F, female; IPI, International Prognostic Index; M, male; mPSL, methylprednisolone; NA, not available; NK, natural killer; NR, no response; PIT, prognostic index for PTCL; PR, partial response; TCR, T-cell receptor; THP-COP, pirarubicin cyclophosphamide vincristine predonisolone; VENP, vincristine cyclophosphamide procarbazine predonisolone; VP-16, etoposide.

\(\text{aAddtionally positive for CD16.}\)
previously reported in major T-cell lymphoma subtypes. These data suggest that EBV-positive and EBV-negative nodal CTLs constitute a unique subcategory under the umbrella diagnostic term PTCL-NOS, and should be considered separately from ENKTL. Notably, the diagnostic criteria and definitions of some extranodal CTLs listed in the 2016 WHO classification are based on the distinction between TCRβ and γδ types. This issue is further highlighted by the distinctions between indolent T-cell LPD of the gastrointestinal tract vs monomorphic epitheliotropic intestinal T-cell lymphoma, and between subcutaneous panniculitis-like T-cell lymphoma of TCRβ type vs primary cutaneous γδ T-cell lymphoma. Interestingly, patients with these TCRβ diseases are generally characterized by a young onset age (<60 years), and often show a relatively indolent clinical course. Among the younger patients of our series, TCRβ type also appeared to be strongly linked to longer survivorship, although this difference was not statistically significant due to the paucity of enrolled cases. Overall, our findings suggested that nodal EBV-negative CTL is heterogeneous, and that patients with an earlier age of onset and the TCR β phenotype may constitute a unique subgroup.

We initially reported that nodal EBV-positive CTL was cytopathologically characterized by large lymphoid cells, often showing centroblastoid morphology resembling that of DLBCL. The subsequently reported that over half (56%) of these cases included cells with centroblastoid morphology. In contrast, only 15% of ENKTL cases have cells with centroblastoid morphology. In the present study, nodal EBV-negative and EBV-positive CTLs showed similar incidences of centroblastoid (P = .31), pleomorphic (P = .91), and mixed morphology (P = .092). In nodal EBV-negative CTL, mixed morphology was a good prognostic indicator (HR = 0.29, P = .013), but was not associated with differences in any other clinicopathological parameters.

Analysis of this constellation of clinicopathologic findings—based on CD5, TCRβ, mixed appearance, and an onset age younger than 60 years as prognostic indicators—led to the identification of two nodal indolent diseases: CD5+ TCRβ type (n = 13), and CD5+ NK-cell type without TCR expression or clonal TCRγ rearrangement (n = 4). They showed an indolent clinical course that was significantly distinct from the other groups (P < .001). Notably, the CD5+ TCRβ type group appeared to be divided into two clinic subgroups based on age-related outcomes even with a cut-off age of 70 years, with young patients showing an indolent course and elderly patients showing an aggressive course (P = .029, Figure S2). We previously emphasized that loss of CD5 expression is the most prognostically significant adverse factor among nodal EBV-positive CTL patients. Pongpruttipan et al further indicated that TCRβ is related with an indolent clinical behavior in EBV-positive nasal type tumor. An indolent prognosis of the tumor with CD5+ TCRβ type in the present series appears to be coincidental with those findings.

The CD5+ NK-cell type may look like an ambiguous nosological term, but represents the cases showing CD5 positivity and lacking TCR expression and clonal TCR rearrangement, which may be regarded as discordance between immunophenotype and genotype. Although no definite conclusions can be drawn due to the paucity of enrolled cases, the follow-up data for 3 of our 4 cases revealed a long clinical course of 46-93 months. Further studies are needed to clarify the clinicopathologic significance of these nodal CD5+ CTLs.

Kwong et al recently reported that pembrolizumab is highly effective in patients with relapsed or refractory NK/T-cell lymphoma, and that 80% of these cases showed uniformly strong PD-L1 expression in neoplastic and/or microenvironmental cells, meaning that the therapeutic approach for this EBV-positive lymphoma is revolutionarily changed. In our series, PD-L1 expression on neoplastic and/or microenvironmental cells was detected in 63% of EBV-negative CTL and 59% of EBV-positive CTL cases. However, strong PD-L1 positivity of >50% of neoplastic and/or microenvironmental cells was detected in only two EBV-positive CTL cases (9%), and in no EBV-negative cases. This was less common than the 80% rate reported by Kwong et al. The results could be biased due to difference in the utilized antibodies (clone SP142 vs clone SP263) or the selection of refractory patients in the prior study. Future studies should further investigate this matter.

In conclusion, nodal EBV-negative CTL is heterogeneous, and there may be prognostically indolent subgroups defined by immunophenotype and genotype—i.e., CD5+ TCRβ and CD5+ NK-cell types—which have not previously been highlighted. The
clinopathological and biological diversity of this disease presents diagnostic and therapeutic challenges to pathologists and hematologists, respectively. Much remains unknown about nodal EBV-negative CTL, and further investigation is needed to better understand this disease.
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