PROTACs: The Future of Leukemia Therapeutics
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The fight to find effective, long-lasting treatments for cancer has led many researchers to consider protein degrading entities. Recent developments in PROteolysis TARegarding Chimeras (PROTACs) have signified their potential as possible cancer therapies. PROTACs are small molecule, protein degraders that function by hijacking the built-in Ubiquitin-Proteasome pathway. This review mainly focuses on the general design and functioning of PROTACs as well as current advancements in the development of PROTACs as anticancer therapies. Particular emphasis is given to PROTACs designed against various types of Leukemia/Blood malignancies.
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INTRODUCTION

In the last few decades, numerous advancements have been made in developing protein-degrading complexes as treatments against a wide range of diseases. Perhaps the most exciting of these are PROTACs or Proteolysis Targeting Chimeras. PROTACs are bivalent, heterobifunctional small molecules that prompt the destruction of the Protein of Interest (POI) (Maniaci et al., 2017; X.; Sun et al., 2019). They are composed of two ligands, one for the POI (Protein of Interest) and the other for an E3 ubiquitin ligase that are coupled together by a linker. PROTACs utilize the inherent Ubiquitin-Proteasome Pathway of the cell. They stimulate the E3 ligases and POI to form a complex, thus triggering the ubiquitination and, ultimately, the degradation of the Protein of interest by 26S Proteasome (Sakamoto, 2010; Weng et al., 2021).

One of the most eminent uses of PROTACs has been their development for cancer therapeutics. According to GLOBOCAN 2020, cancer is amongst the leading causes of global mortality, with the rate of incidence and mortality increasing rapidly. In 2020, approximately 19.3 million new cases of cancer were recorded, along with 10 million deaths (Sung et al., 2021). Of these, nearly 2.5% new cases and 3.1% deaths are due to leukemia (Sung et al., 2021). The burden of cancer has been estimated to rise by 47% in 2040, if the rate of new cases remains the same as that in 2020 (Sung et al., 2021).

Compared to other currently available antitumor treatments, PROTACs show a greater capacity for beneficial results (He et al., 2020). Conventional therapeutics for cancer treatment involve chemotherapy using cytotoxic drugs. These may prevent cell proliferation but do not specifically target cancer initiating cells/cancer stem cells and can thus provoke detrimental consequences (X. Li & Song, 2020). Advancing therapies, other than PROTACs, include nucleic acid based therapies, monoclonal antibodies and small molecule inhibitors. Nucleic acid based therapies, such as CRISPR, target DNA or RNA molecules and nucleotide analogues, to control the expression of proteins. However, such technologies have limitations including restricted tissue penetration and...
unavailability of oral admission (He et al., 2020; X.; Li & Song, 2020; X.; Sun et al., 2019). Similarly, monoclonal antibodies also lack oral bioavailability and can only target membrane proteins (He et al., 2020; J.; Liu et al., 2020; X.; Sun et al., 2019). Small molecule inhibitors were a promising breakthrough, with many being currently used as cancer treatments. Nonetheless, certain unavoidable challenges against them have risen, including drug resistance as a result of mutations, inability to target “undruggable” proteins and high dose requirements due to lack of catalytic mode of action (An & Fu, 2018; He et al., 2020; X.; Li & Song, 2020; J.; Liu et al., 2020; X.; Sun et al., 2019). PROTACs provide an opportunity to develop an approach that can overcome most of the shortcomings of previously available treatments; particularly drug resistance, targeting “undruggable” (due to absence of active site) proteins and debilitating protein functions that are nonenzymatic by degrading the whole molecule (An & Fu, 2018; J.; Liu et al., 2020).

PROTACs were first developed in 2001 (Sakamoto et al., 2001), against Methionine aminopeptidase-2, by (Khan et al., 2020; X.; Zhou et al., 2020). By 2003, PROTACs as a potential treatment for breast and prostate cancer had been created (Khan et al., 2020). Subsequently, the past 20 years has seen much advancement in PROTACs technology as well as progress in their use as possible anticancer therapies. Currently, the PROTACs ARV-471 and ARV-110 are involved in clinical trials for their use as estrogen receptor (breast cancer) degraders and androgen receptor (prostate cancer) degraders, respectively (Neklesa et al., 2018; Flanagan et al., 2019). They have shown promising results in phase I clinical trials (Qi et al., 2021).

The focus of this review will be on PROTACs designed as a potential anticancer treatment, particularly against leukemia. Leukemia is characterized as an assortment of hematopoietic (blood and/or bone marrow) disorders (Miranda-Filho et al., 2018; Dong et al., 2020). Leukemia incidence and mortality rates have increased from 437,033 to 309,006, respectively, in 2018 (Bray et al., 2018) to 474, 519 and 311, 594, respectively, in 2020 (Sung et al., 2021). Small molecule inhibitors (particularly tyrosine kinase inhibitors) proved a great breakthrough in increasing survival rates amongst Leukemia patients (Burslem et al., 2019). However, drug resistance, life-long use and chances of relapse pose serious concerns (Mahon et al., 2010; Corbin et al., 2011; Cao et al., 2021).

Numerous PROTACs are being developed against oncoproteins considered crucial in the development of various types of leukemia, particularly against the BCR-ABL, CDK, BTK and BET family proteins (Bond et al., 2020; Brand et al., 2019; Buhimschi et al., 2018; Dominici et al., 2020; Gadd et al., 2017; H.-T.; Huang et al., 2018; Jaime-Figueroa et al., 2020; Lai et al., 2015; Ward et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019; H.; Zhou et al., 2019).

**PROTACs: Basic Design and Functioning**

**PROTACs Form Ternary Complexes**

PROTAC employs a warhead, a linker and an E3 ligase binding moiety to promote targeted proteolysis by hijacking the inherent ubiquitin-proteasome pathway of the cells. Research has shown that the PROTACs form a ternary complex with the POI and E3 ligase by being positioned between them, a concept that was first visualized in 2017 (Gadd et al., 2017). Gadd et al. (2017) used X-ray crystallography to confirm the formation of ternary structures between MZ1 (PROTAC), Brd4 (BET family proteins) and VHL (Von Hippel-Lindau protein). Two asymmetrical ternary complexes, with minor deviations, were observed in the following orientation: Brd4-MZ1-VHL (Gadd et al., 2017). This orientation allowed formation of novel interactions between the proteins, as well as between the proteins and their ligands, resulting in a more stable structure as well as specific folding of ligands to allow improved recruitment and productivity of targets (Gadd et al., 2017).

**PROTACs Hijack the Intrinsic Ubiquitin-Proteasome Pathway**

The ligands in the PROTACs bind to their respective targets, POI and E3 ligases, thereby bringing them into close proximity of each other (Figure 1). This results in the transfer of ubiquitin from E2 ligase onto the POI, a process which is catalyzed by the E3 ligases (Yin & Hu, 2020). Successful ubiquitination of the POI marks it for destruction, which is thus accomplished by the proteolytic activity of the 26S proteasome (Yin & Hu, 2020). Furthermore, PROTACs employ a catalytic mode of action wherein they disconnect from complex after ubiquitination, thus showing great potential for exhibiting significant activity at low doses (X. Sun et al., 2019). This also allows them to be less vulnerable towards surges in target expression and/or mutations (X. Sun et al., 2019).

Initially, emphasis was placed on selecting ligands possessing high affinity for their respective substrates, however, further research has found that greater prominence needs to be given to the association between the specific ligase and the POI chosen (Bondeson et al., 2018). The novel Protein-Protein Interactions (PPI) between them result in a more stable ternary complex that produces a more successful PROTACs, as noted in several studies (Lai et al., 2015; Chan et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2019).

**Protein of Interests and Warheads**

According to the PROTACs database, PROTAC-DB (http://cadd.zju.edu.cn/protacdb/), over a hundred proteins have been targeted for degradation by PROTACs. Amongst the most popular include nuclear receptors, kinases, epigenetic proteins (such as BET proteins and histone deacetylases), STAT3 and even E3 ligases itself (Hu et al., 2020; X.; Zhou et al., 2020). Concurrently, the database also reveals 275 warheads that have up till now been employed in PROTACs. Currently, majority of them are established warheads i.e. actively inhibiting proteins by binding to their respective active sites (Yin & Hu, 2020). Only a handful of PROTACs have used warheads that bind allosterically to their respective target proteins (Burslem et al., 2019). Research has shown that warheads with limited or non-existent inhibiting activity can still produce significantly positive results (Paiva & Crews, 2019).
E3 Ligase Ligands

Furthermore, while more than 600 E3 ubiquitin ligases have been estimated to exist in the human genome, only a few of them have been used by PROTACs (Yin & Hu, 2020; Bricelj et al., 2021). The most popular of these are von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) and Cereblon (CRBN). VHL, together with Rbx-1, cullin 2, elongin B and elongin C, is a component of the CRL2VHL complex, a Cullin RING ligases (Smith et al., 2019; Bricelj et al., 2021). One of its two domains, which form after folding, behaves as a substrate binder, most particularly with HIF-1α (Hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha) (Smith et al., 2019). Crystal structures of VHL have proven their ability to bind with small molecule inhibitors in a method analogous to their binding with HIF-1α (Chen & Jin, 2020). This has made them ideal for use in PROTACs, one of the most popular being VH298 (Chen & Jin, 2020). Similarly, CRBN are a part of the Cullin-4-RING E3 ubiquitin ligase complex, where they act as specific substrate adapters (Bondeson et al., 2018; Bricelj et al., 2021). Generally, substrates for CRBN are immunomodulatory imide drugs or IMiDs (Bricelj et al., 2021), especially glutarimide compounds including thalidomide,
| PROTAC | Warhead | Linker | E3 ligand | E3 ligase |
|--------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|
| SNIPER(ABL)-2 | ![Warhead](image1) | ![Linker](image2) | ![E3 ligand](image3) | cIAP1 |
| SNIPER(ABL)-39 | ![Warhead](image4) | ![Linker](image5) | ![E3 ligand](image6) | cIAP1 |
| SNIPER(ABL)-21 | ![Warhead](image7) | ![Linker](image8) | ![E3 ligand](image9) | cIAP1 |

(Continued on following page)
| PROTAC          | Warhead          | Linker          | E3 ligand       |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| SNIPER(ABL)-62  | ![Warhead 1](image1) | ![Linker 1](image2) | ![E3 ligase 1](image3) |
| GMB-475         | ![Warhead 2](image4) | ![Linker 2](image5) | ![E3 ligase 2](image6) |
| SIAIS178        | ![Warhead 3](image7) | ![Linker 3](image8) | ![E3 ligase 3](image9) |

TABLE 2 | (Continued) PROTACs designed against BCR-ABL [Structures taken from PROTAC-DB (http://cadd.zju.edu.cn/protacdb/)]
pomalidomide and lenalidomide (Yin & Hu, 2020). Binding of the IMiDs to the CRBN results in modifications to their ligase activity, resulting in subsequent ubiquitination and proteolysis (Bricelj et al., 2021). Interestingly, E3 ligases c-Cbl (Casitas B-lineage lymphoma), C-terminus of Hsc70-interacting protein (CHIP) and chimeric ubiquitin ligase, SH2-U-box are involved to induce degradation of BCR-ABL (Tsukahara & Maru, 2010; Ru et al., 2016).

**Linkers**

Although comparatively lesser importance has been given to linkers in the past, the significance of their composition and length on the stability and formation of the ternary complex, along with the proteolysis activity and target specificity, cannot be denied (Troup et al., 2020). However, as more focus has generally been on the warheads and E3 ligands selected, linkers have been optimized according to each individual PROTAC (Troup et al., 2020). Thus, there are no standard methods or rules for constructing linkers. Traditionally, linkers have been formed as a combination of several chemical motifs, particularly PEG and Alkyl motifs (Troup et al., 2020). According to PROTAC-DB, more than a thousand linkers have been developed to date.

**PROTACS DEVELOPED AGAINST LEUKEMIA ONCOPROTEINS**

**BCR-ABL Oncoproteins**

The BCR-ABL oncoprotein is the product of reciprocal chromosomal translocation between the long arms of chromosome 9 and 22 result in the genesis of Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (CML) (Bracco et al., 2021). Its oncogenic properties are a result of its tyrosine kinase activity (Bracco et al., 2021). Generally, BCR-ABL + CML is treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), usually requiring lifelong administration (T.-T. Huang et al., 2021). Several shortcomings have been associated with TKIs: First generation TKIs (Imatinib) show drug resistance and can result in oncoprotein overexpression; second generation TKIs (dasatinib, nilotinib, and bosutinib) show resistance against T315I mutation; and third generation TKIs (Ponatinib) result in adenopathy, kinase-independent functions (that promote growth of leukemic stem cells). Moreover, it is also known to target CDK4/6, cyclin D, and CDK6 in activating leukemic stem cells (LCSs), which are important for the development of AML and CML (Scheicher et al., 2015). CDK6 is also involved in hematopoietic malignancies such as Mixed-Lineage Leukemia (MLL) related AML (Scheicher et al., 2015) and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) (Linden et al., 2014). Owning to its critical role in development of hematopoietic malignancies, several small molecule inhibitors targeting the ATP-binding domain of CDK6 have been developed (He et al., 2020). However, the presence of an identical ATP-binding domain on CDK4 (He et al., 2020), thereby preventing CDK6 specific inhibition, along with the scaffolding activity and kinase-independent functions (that promote growth of hematopoietic tumors), make these inhibitors an insufficient treatment (Dominici et al., 2020).

**CDK Oncoproteins**

Cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK) are a family of protein kinases that play an essential role in the regulation of cell cycle progression (Scheicher et al., 2015). The CDK4/6-cyclinD complex is of particular importance due to the crucial role of CDK6 in activating leukemic stem cells (LCSs), which are important for the development of AML and CML (Scheicher et al., 2015). CDK6 is also involved in hematopoietic malignancies such as Mixed-Lineage Leukemia (MLL) related AML (Scheicher et al., 2015) and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) (Linden et al., 2014). Owning to its critical role in development of hematopoietic malignancies, several small molecule inhibitors targeting the ATP-binding domain of CDK6 have been developed (He et al., 2020). However, the presence of an identical ATP-binding domain on CDK4 (He et al., 2020), thereby preventing CDK6 specific inhibition, along with the scaffolding activity and kinase-independent functions (that promote growth of hematopoietic tumors), make these inhibitors an insufficient treatment (Dominici et al., 2020).

**YX-2-107 (Supplementary Table S1)** is a PROTAC, designed using palbociclin and CRBN ligands, intended as a possible treatment for acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), involving the Philadelphia chromosome positive (Ph+) ALL (Dominici et al., 2020). The PROTAC was designed to inhibit CDK6 activity as well as preferentially degrade CDK6 over CDK4, two proteins with almost identical amino acid sequences (Dominici et al., 2020). When tested in Ph + ALL cells and xenografts, and mice, YX-2-107 showed promising results,
especially regarding selective CDK6 degradation (Dominici et al., 2020). However it requires more development before it can be considered as a possible treatment, especially to improve its half-life and assess its biological impacts (Dominici et al., 2020).

Other CDK6 PROTACs designed for selective degradation of CDK6 over CDK4 using CDK inhibitors and CRBN ligands include BSJ-03-123 (Supplementary Table S1) (against AML) (Brand et al., 2019) and CP-10 (Supplementary Table S1) (most potent degrader from a CDK6 degrader library) which not only degraded mutated CDK6 that underwent overexpression, but also inhibited hematopoietic malignant cell proliferation (Su et al., 2019). Selective CDK degradation was also achieved using VHL (Steinebach et al., 2020) and IAP (Anderson et al., 2020).

### BTK Oncoproteins

Bruton’s tyrosine kinase (BTK) is present in most hematopoietic cells, most notably in B cells (Woyach et al., 2014; Burger, 2019). BTK plays a key role in signal transduction of B cell receptors: the antigen-receptors activate BTK, which in turn transmits or amplifies the signal by stimulating multiple downstream signal cascades, for example nuclear factor-κB (NFκB) (Woyach et al., 2014; Burger, 2019). BTK is not only involved in intracellular signaling, it also involved in the microenvironment around the cell and assists in the growth and survival of tumor cells (Woyach et al., 2014; Burger, 2019). Furthermore, the poor maturation of B cells due to insufficient BCR response in the absence of BTK suggests BTK plays a key role in B cell survival and development as well (Woyach et al., 2014; Burger, 2019). This is further certified by mutations in BTK domains resulting in the development of X-linked agammaglobulinemia, which is characterized by obstructed B cell development (Woyach et al., 2014). BTK is also instrumental in the development of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), with the current effective treatments including BTK inhibitors such as ibrutinib (Woyach et al., 2014; Burger et al., 2017; Burger, 2019). Similarly, BTK was also found to be crucial for the progression of AML (S. Huang et al., 2019; S. Li et al., 2021; Rushworth et al., 2014) and Hairy Cell Leukemia (HCL) (Sivina et al., 2012; Rogers et al., 2021).

Interestingly, PROTACs targeting BTK generally use CRBN instead of VHL, due to VHL exhibiting unsatisfactory degrading properties (Buhimschi et al., 2018; Jaime-Figueroa et al., 2020). DD-04-015 (Supplementary Table S2) (resulting from conjugating RN486 (warhead) to CRBN ligand) is a BTK specific PROTAC, designed by comparing its activity against TL12-186, a multiple kinase degrading PROTAC (H.-T. Huang et al., 2018). When MOLM-14 cells were treated with DD-04-015, effective BTK degradation could be observed after just 4 hours (H.-T. Huang et al., 2018). Furthermore, compared to RN486, the PROTAC showed more sustained pharmacodynamics effect (H.-T. Huang et al., 2018).

Certain BTK PROTACs were designed to target the specific C418S mutation in BTK. These included MT-802 (Supplementary Table S2) (Ibrutinib and pomalidomide) which showed full BTK degradation in CLL cells after about 4 hours at 250 nM concentration (Buhimschi et al., 2018). MT-802 also lacks an acrylamide moiety which results in fewer off-target kinase binding, as compared to the inhibitor ibrutinib (Buhimschi et al., 2018). Another such PROTACs is SJF620 (Supplementary Table S2), which showed pharmacokinetically superior results in vivo, as compared to MT-802, due to configurational adjustments in the linker and CRBN ligand (Jaime-Figueroa et al., 2020). P13I (Supplementary Table S2) (ibrutinib and pomalidomide) was successful in degrading both mutant BTK and a wild type that is resistant to ibrutinib (Y. Sun et al., 2018). DD-03-007 (Supplementary Table S2) and DD-03-17 (Supplementary Table S2), designed using CG1746 and thalidomide, showed reduction in BTK levels within 4 hours, even at 100 nM (Dobrovolsky et al., 2019). Noncovalent PROTACs (Buhimschi et al., 2018; Dobrovolsky et al., 2019; Y.; Sun et al., 2018) and covalent PROTACs (irreversible (Xue et al., 2020) and reversible (Guo et al., 2020)) have also been developed against BTK. One such study compared the activity of reversible covalent BTK-degrading PROTACs against their irreversible covalent and noncovalent counterparts (Gabizon et al., 2020). Results showed that while the noncovalent PROTACs was the most effective, the reversible PROTAC (RC-3) still showed high potency and selectivity, thus resulting in fewer off-target reactions (Gabizon et al., 2020).

### BET Oncoproteins

The Bromodomain (BRD) and extraterminal (BET) protein family, known as "epigenetic readers", consist of BRD2, BRD3, BRD4 and BRDT (specific to germ cells) (Braun & Gardin, 2017; Reyes-Garau et al., 2019). BET proteins are involved in regulating RNA transcription and cell cycle progression by activating RNA polymerase II (Braun & Gardin, 2017; Reyes-Garau et al., 2019). This is accomplished by the binding of the BET proteins to the acetylated histone protein tails, by their two, conserved N-terminal bromodomains (Braun & Gardin, 2017; Reyes-Garau et al., 2019). BRD4 is better understood than the other BET proteins. It is involved in transcriptional regulation by interacting with cyclin T1 and CDK9, and Mediator complex (Reyes-Garau et al., 2019). BRD4 are involved in the progression of AML by activating the genes c-MYC and nucleophosmin, through transcription (Braun & Gardin, 2017; Reyes-Garau et al., 2019). Importance of BRD4 in AML can be inferred from the successful use of BET-inhibitors as treatments (Braun & Gardin, 2017; Reyes-Garau et al., 2019; Bill et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2021; Ramsey et al., 2021). In addition, BET-inhibitors have also been used to treat CLL (E. Kim et al., 2020; Ozer et al., 2018; Sundaram et al., 2020).

Remarkably, BET proteins have been a prime target for PROTACs research (Chan et al., 2018; Hines et al., 2019; S. A.; Kim et al., 2019; Ohoka et al., 2019; Zengerle et al., 2015). MZ1 (Supplementary Table S2) (using IQ1 and VHL ligand), has been used in several studies (Zengerle et al., 2015; Gadd et al., 2017; Roy et al., 2019). Zengerle et al. showed its potential for efficient and prolonged intracellular BRD4 degradation, as well as its preferential degradation of BRD4 as compared to BRD2/3 (Zengerle et al., 2015). Gadd et al. used MZ1 to confirm the formation of ternary complexes by PROTACs (Gadd et al., 2017), while Roy et al. used MZ1 to determine ternary complex stability (Roy et al., 2019). Some BET targeting PROTACs were designed
by changing the E3 ligase binding moieties. A1874 (Supplementary Table S3), for example, utilized nutlin (for MDM2) and showed 98% degradation, even at nanomolar concentrations (Hines et al., 2019). MDM2 also provided an extra benefit of stabilizing the upregulation of p53 tumor-suppressor gene (Hines et al., 2019). Similarly, TD-428 (Supplementary Table S3) used TD-106 (unique IMiD analog) and displayed degradation of BET protein in 22Rv1 prostate cancer cells (S. A. Kim et al., 2019). Other such PROTACs include CCW 28-3 (Supplementary Table S3) (Ward et al., 2019), using RNF4 E3 ligase, and Kb02 (Supplementary Table S3) (Zhang et al., 2019), an electrophilic PROTAC that uses DCAF16 ligases. Additional BET targeting PROTACs include: macrocyclic PROTAC, a MZ1 with a cyclizing linker, (Testa et al., 2019); BEtD-246 (Supplementary Table S3) against triple-negative breast cancer (Bai et al., 2017); dBET1 (Supplementary Table S3), exhibiting antitumor activity against leukemia (Winter et al., 2015); ARV-825 (Supplementary Table S3) that induces degradation in BL cell lines (Lu et al., 2015); QCA570 (Supplementary Table S3) showing degradation in acute leukemia cell lines at picomolar concentrations (Qin et al., 2018); ARV-771 (Supplementary Table S3) against castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) (Raina et al., 2016); and antibody-drug conjugates involving BRD4 targeting chimeric degraders (Dragovich et al., 2021).

### FLT-3 Oncoproteins

FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 are membrane bound receptors with two common mutated forms observed in various types of leukemia (Cheng et al., 2018). Internal Tandem Duplication (ITD), present within the juxtamembrane domain of FLT-3 proteins, is most frequently observed in AML (30%) (Cheng et al., 2018). On the other hand, Missense point mutations, found in the tyrosine kinase domain, are more common in ALL (Cheng et al., 2018). Mutated FLT-3 proteins are involved in activating several signaling pathways, for example MAPK/ERK and STAT5, and thus indicate a higher risk of developing leukemia as well as a worse prognosis (Cheng et al., 2018). High levels on FLT-3 can be found in leukemic cells, which in turn is responsible for overexpression of leukemic oncogenes (Cheng et al., 2018). Due to its prominent involvement in leukemia, FLT-3 specific inhibitors, such as quizartinib (Fathi & Chen, 2017), are being used to treat AML, either unaccompanied or in combination with other treatments (Oliva et al., 2021).

TL13-117 and TL13-149 (Supplementary Table S4) were PROTACs designed against AML that target FLT-3 proteins (H.-T. Huang et al., 2018). They were developed by combining quizartinib with a PEG linker and CRBN ligand (H.-T. Huang et al., 2018). However, the results were unexpected, with increased FLT-3 levels in MOLM-14 cells after treatment with PROTACs and reduced degradation by PROTAC as compared to quizartinib (H.-T. Huang et al., 2018). Conversely, PROTACs, which were designed to target ITD mutation in FLT-3 by coupling quizartinib and VHL ligand, showed enhanced selectivity and stimulation of apoptosis in MV4-11 and MOLM-14 cells, as well as in mice (Burslem et al., 2018).

Another study supporting a positive outcome of PROTACs targeting FLT-3 proteins combined FF-10101, a novel FLT-3 inhibitor, with CRBN ligand. The study determined reversible covalent PROTACs displayed a much lower half maximal inhibitory concentration than the irreversible covalent (5 folds higher) and reversible noncovalent (34 fold higher) (Guo et al., 2020).

### Other Oncoproteins

While the aforementioned oncoproteins are the most pertinent in various types of leukemia, there are many other oncoproteins that also play a role in the development of leukemia and have thus been targets for PROTACs. These include STAT3 (H. Zhou et al., 2019), Bcl-2 (Bond et al., 2020), PLK1 (Mu et al., 2020) and SMARCA2 and SMARCA4 (Farnaby et al., 2019; Kargbo, 2020). As cancer research advances and the exact role of various oncogenes in the development of leukemia becomes more apparent, leukemic cells have become an ideal target for PROTACs. However, more research is still required to design efficient PROTACs that provide better outcomes with lower doses, before it can be used on a large scale.

### COMBATING RISK OF PROTAC TOXICITY WITH LIGHT CONTROL

As developments in PROTACs continue to progress, researcher strive to create PROTACs that are not only essential research entities, but can also be applied clinically. Thus, researchers have turned towards developing light controlled PROTACs to localize its impact, enhance its selectivity (Reynders et al., 2020) and reduce the risk of toxicity due to its catalytic nature (Reynders et al., 2020). PROTACs (PHOTACs (PHOTOchemically Targeting Chimeras) are PROTACs that contain azobenzene which allows control of its degrading activity through light waves (Reynders et al., 2020). Reynders et al. developed several PROTACs, using a variety of protein targets, including oncogenic proteins BRD2/3/4 and FKB12, and CRBN ligand (Reynders et al., 2020). Of these, PHOTAC-1-3 appeared to be the most efficient (Reynders et al., 2020). RS4;11 lymphoblastic cells were treated with PHOTACs and then exposed for 72 h to 390-nm light (Reynders et al., 2020). The results were promising, including conformation of no degradation and reduced cytotoxicity in the dark, and the gradual loss of activation by 'thermal relaxation' once the PROTACs are activated (Reynders et al., 2020).

Recently, Jin et al. also utilized azobenzene to design light controlled PROTACs, termed as Azo-PROTAC (Jin et al., 2020). Azo-PROTACs were constructed to target ABL/BCR-ABL (Dasatinib) using lenalidomide to attract CRBN (Jin et al., 2020). Azo-PROTACs were activated using UV-C light and showed promising results in K562 cells (Jin et al., 2020). Their capacity to be controlled by light was confirmed by treating K562 cells with UV-C light and comparing them to treated K562 cells that were not treated (Jin et al., 2020). Those irradiated slowly regained BCR-ABL levels, as opposed to those that were not exposed to light (Jin et al., 2020).
Currently, a number of other researchers have also ventured into developing light-controlled PROTACs to combat its toxicity risks (J. Liu et al., 2021; Pfaff et al., 2019).

**CLINICAL TRIALS: PROTACs AS AN ANTICANCER THERAPY**

Thus far, only two PROTACs have been approved for clinical trials. Arvinas, the biotechnology company responsible for both PROTACs, was started in 2013 by Craig Crews from Yale University (Konstantinidou et al., 2019). The first is ARV-110, a small molecule that is orally bioavailable (Neklesa et al., 2018). ARV-110 targets Androgen Receptors involved in prostate cancer (Neklesa et al., 2018). When tested in several cell lines, ARV-110 achieves complete degradation, with 50% degradation at concentrations lower than 1 nM (Neklesa et al., 2018). Similarly, the second PROTAC in clinical trials is ARV-471. This targets the estrogen receptor in breast cancer and also degrades clinically important ESR1 variants (Y537S and D538G) (Flanagan et al., 2019). ARV-471 can achieve 50% protein degradation at concentrations of approximately 2 nM (Flanagan et al., 2019). ARV-471 is also orally available and a dose daily administered in estradiol-dependent MCF7 xenografts showed reduced estrogen receptor levels as well as decreased tumor size (Flanagan et al., 2019).
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