A General Strategy towards Superhydrophobic Self-Cleaning and Anti-Corrosion Metallic Surfaces: An Example with Aluminum Alloy
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Abstract: Corrosion and contamination of metallic structures can cause loss of their functionality as well as aesthetic values. In this study, we describe a general strategy to prepare superhydrophobic self-cleaning and anti-corrosion surfaces for metallic structures. As a specific example, a superhydrophobic coating (SHC) on aluminum alloy was prepared by a simple etching combined with the decoration of a low-surface-energy material. The optimal SHC has a water contact angle (CA) at ~157.4° and a sliding angle (SA) of ~8.3° due to the synergy of binary hierarchical structures and chemical modification. The SHC showed low adhesion to dry contaminants and a series of liquids, displaying a good self-cleaning effect. The SHC maintained superhydrophobicity after exposure to air and humid condition at 60 °C for 7 days. In addition, the electrochemical measurements reveal that the anti-corrosion performance was enhanced by reducing the corrosion current density (I_{corr}) by 1 order of magnitude and increasing the corrosion potential (E_{corr}) by 0.527 V as compared to the bare Al alloy substrate after immersion for 168 h.
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1. Introduction

The marine environment is aggressive and corrosive. Marine structures and many land structures that are made of metals and alloys are commonly exposed to ultraviolet radiation, chloride-rich liquid or deposit, frequent wet-dry cycles, high humidity and so on, which can accelerate the corrosion and degradation of the materials especially used in the offshore platform, drilling pipes, anchor, submarine cable and ship hulls, etc., leading to reduced service life or even causing disasters [1]. It is estimated that the annual cost for corrosion in chloride-rich salty conditions (such as marine) can reach up to 700 billion RMB in China [2]. Along with corrosion, fouling is also a big natural problem. Usually, fouling is the result of an undesirable accumulation of contaminants or microorganisms to form a biofilm once they are attached to the surfaces, which will increase the weight and the drag resistance, leading to increased fuel consumption and even function failure. Contamination and corrosion of metallic materials in severe environments are big issues in the world. Thus, there is a great demand to construct an effective corrosion-resistant and
self-cleaning protective layer on metallic materials to expand their application fields and prolong their service life.

As a common and effective method to realize antifouling and anti-corrosion, a coating is introduced as the physical barrier to isolate the metallic substrates from corrosive attack. In the past decades, chromate-based coatings are considered to be one of the most effective protective methods [3–5]. However, they have been restricted worldwide because of the toxic and carcinogenic properties of hexavalent chromium [6,7]. Antifouling (AF) paints have been widely employed in marine environments by the gradual release of biocide agents. However, it was found that the additive of organotin is extremely toxic, which was forbidden in the EU in 2003 and globally in 2008 [8]. In recent years, the coatings based on organics [9,10] have been developed as alternatives, such as the epoxy coatings [11–13]. However, 90% epoxy coatings were achieved using diglycidyl ether of bisphenol A, deriving from bisphenol A. Bisphenol A has been proven to be harmful to the endocrine system and may cause feminization [14]. In addition, some organic solvents containing pungent or volatile compounds are widely used during the preparation of coatings based on organics. Therefore, a green coating that can be prepared in an environment-friendly way on metals and alloys is urgently needed.

Inspired by the lotus effect, a superhydrophobic surface with a water contact angle (CA) more than 150° and a sliding angle (SA) less than 10° has attached great interest in both academic research and practical applications owing to its unique properties, involving water-repellency [15–18], self-cleaning [19–21], corrosion resistance [22–28], anticing [29,30], oil-water separation [31,32] and drag reduction [33]. Such surface can be realized through the synergistic effect of two main factors: modification with low-surface-energy materials and creation of a certain type of hierarchal micro-nanostructure. Up to now, numerous effective approaches have been developed to prepare superhydrophobic surfaces, including sol–gel [34,35], template [36], electrospinning [37], hydrothermal synthesis [26,38], laser fabrication [39], etching [40,41] and electrochemical processes [42]. The superhydrophobic surfaces with micro-nano structures on the metallic substrates have also been reported in some previous work [6,16,43–49]. Various mechanical, thermal, and chemical approaches have been adopted to modify the surface topology to the required hierarchical micro-nano configurations towards superhydrophobicity. Figure 1 provides a schematic summary.

As the most abundant metallic element in nature, aluminum (Al) and its alloys, as the representative metallic structural material, have been extensively used owing to their comprehensive electrical, mechanical and thermal properties, such as good ductility, high electrical conductivity and low-specific weight [50,51]. It is universally acknowledged that Al and its alloys can form a very thin native oxide layer around 20 Å under dry and non-salty conditions, resisting further corrosion occurrence. However, the native oxide layer is reactive and can be easily penetrated in salt-rich conditions, and accordingly, the corrosion and contamination will be triggered [52,53]. This will decrease its life span and cause some major equipment failure. Thus, there is a great demand to construct an effective corrosion-resistant and self-cleaning protective layer on Al and alloys to expand their application fields and prolong their service life. Currently, a number of approaches have been reported to fabricate superhydrophobic surfaces on Al and its alloys with anti-corrosion performance [54,55] or self-cleaning effect. For instance, Dong et al. [56] created a self-cleaning superhydrophobic Al alloy surface through the combination of sand peening, electrochemical oxidation and fluoroalkylsilane modification. Lei et al. [57] produced superhydrophobic hierarchal micro-and nanostructure surface on Al alloy by introducing large spot diameter nanosecond laser treatment followed by chemical treatment of low-surface-energy agent (triethoxy-1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctylsilane). Grignard et al. [58] achieved superhydrophobic Al surfaces by electrospinning of the fluorinated diblock copolymer solution, which is made of poly(heptadecafluorodecylacrylate-co-acrylic acid) (PFDA-co-AA) random copolymer and polyacrylonitrile (PAN). Palenzuela et al. [40] produced superhydrophobic Al surface through ferric chloride solution etching and functionaliza-
tion of perfluoro(polypropyleneoxy)methoxypropyltrimethoxysilane (PFPE). Among the mentioned approaches, some are easy, fast and economical while a few still face certain limitations, and it is necessary for the improvement towards some issues such as poor scalability, sophisticated procedure, high cost of chemicals and long processing time. Besides, fluorinated chemicals are applied in some research to lower the surface energy, which may have potential harm to the natural environment and human health. Additionally, research is lacking on the interaction mechanism between superhydrophobic surface and contaminants or corrosion medium for the self-cleaning effect and corrosion resistance.

Herein, we used a facile, low-cost and green approach to obtain a superhydrophobic coating (SHC) on the Al alloy surface after decoration with inexpensive myristic acid. The maximum CA and minimum SA for the as-prepared SHC are $157.4^\circ \pm 2.1^\circ$ and $8.3^\circ \pm 0.9^\circ$, respectively. The self-cleaning effect for the SHC was observed by applying the dry carbon black powder and different kinds of simulated liquid contaminants. Besides, the heat–humidity resistance and corrosion resistance were also examined. The interaction mechanism between different kinds of coated surfaces and contaminants or corrosion medium were systematically investigated. The reported SHC is straightforward to apply on various metallic materials, which will be of great interest to researchers and engineers who are engaging in the application of self-cleaning and anti-corrosion surfaces in the fields of aerospace, marine, civilian industries and so on.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials and Sample Preparation

Al alloy substrates (ALY120, Shanghai Junsheng Metal Product Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China) were cut into small pieces ($3 \text{ cm} \times 3 \text{ cm} \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$) and polished using abrasive paper (grit 1000) first to get rid of the native oxidation layer. Next, these polished substrates
were ultrasonically cleaned in 5 wt.% NaOH (Nanjing Chemical Regent Co., Nanjing, China), 5 wt.% HNO$_3$ (Nanjing Chemical Regent Co., Nanjing, China) and the deionized (DI) water sequentially to remove grease before drying under N$_2$ atmosphere. After that, the cleaned Al alloy substrates were immersed in an aqueous HCl solution (Nanjing Chemical Regent Co., China) with different concentrations varying from 0 to 350 g/L for different times (0–12 min). After the immersion, all the etched substrates were rinsed with DI water thoroughly to remove the residual acids and dried in air. Then, the etched samples were chemically decorated with molten myristic acid (CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_{12}$COOH, MA, purity: 95%, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MI, USA) at 70 °C for 30 min followed by ultrasonic treatment in absolute ethanol and DI water for 2 min and 5 min in sequence to remove any excessive myristic acid. Finally, the samples were heated at 80 °C for 60 min in the oven at ambient atmosphere. For comparison, the bare Al alloy substrates modified by myristic acid alone without the etching process were also examined (denoted as Al alloy + MA).

2.2. Characterization Methods

The water contact angle (CA) and sliding angle (SA) were investigated using the contact angle measurement apparatus (Kruss DSA100, Hamburg, Germany) to investigate the static contact angle and dynamic contact angle at ambient temperature. The volume of the DI water used for the CA and SA measurements was 5 and 10 µL, respectively. On each sample, 5–8 positions were conducted, and the average values were reported. To measure the surface energy, three types of liquids, viz., ethylene glycol, DI water and n-hexadecane, were used after the well-accepted Owens, Wendt, Rabel and Kalble (OWRK) method. For each group, 5 samples were tested. For each sample, at least 5 different positions were measured. It is mentioned that the reported values are apparent surface energy that will vary with surface roughness.

The surface morphology was characterized by a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, Gemini 500 and Gemini 300, Zeiss, Germany). The surface roughness with a maximum scan length of 1000 µm was analyzed using a surface profiler (Alpha-Step IQ surface profiler, KLA Tencor, Milpitas, CA, USA). For each sample, at least 3 different locations were measured.

The crystal structures were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku TTR III, Tokyo, Japan) at a scanning rate of 5 °/min from 5° to 90°.

The self-cleaning performance was evaluated by applying carbon black and SiO$_2$ nanoparticles (15–20 nm) as simulated solid contaminants and different kinds of liquids involving methylene blue-dyed water, tea, milk solutions and artificial dirt mixture as dirty liquid contaminants.

The methylene blue-dyed water, tea and milk solutions were prepared by separately dissolving methylene blue powder, tea powder and milk powder in DI water. Then the three solutions were mixed with a mechanical mixer followed by ultrasonication treatment for 30 min. By visual observation, these solutions are homogeneous and stable. The artificial dirt mixture consists of 85 wt.% nanoclay, 10 wt.% SiO$_2$ particles (1–5 µm), 2 wt.% NaCl, 1 wt.% carbon black and 2 wt.% cooking oil. The mixture was mixed with a mechanical mixer and then dispersed in DI water with 15 g/L loading. The mixture was stirred and ultrasonicated for 30 min before use to ensure good dispersion of the components in the liquid.

The heat–humidity resistance was assessed in a constant temperature and humidity chamber (DHS-225, Jiangsu Emerson Experimental Instrument Technology Co. LTD, Changzhou, China) according to GB/T1740-2007 test standard. The samples were tested under the condition of 60 ± 1 °C and humidity of 96 ± 2% for 7 days.

The corrosion resistance was evaluated by an electrochemical workstation (CHI 660d, Shanghai Chenhua Instrument Corporation, city, country) equipped with a three-electrode system (Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode; platinum sheet as the counter electrode; the sample with an exposed area of 1.0 cm × 1.0 cm as the working electrode). Both the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and potentiodynamic polarization curves were
measured in the corrosive medium of 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution (pH ≈ 6.0) (NaCl, analytically pure grade, Nanjing Chemical Regent Co., Nanjing, China) at room temperature. All the tested samples were immersed into the corrosive medium for 60 min to achieve a stable open circuit potential (OCP) before electrochemical measurements. The EIS measurement was performed at OCP from 1 to 10^5 Hz with the perturbation voltage of 5 mV amplitude, and the corresponding EIS results were analyzed based on the equivalent electrical circuits fitted by ZSimpWin software (V3.60). To ensure reproducibility, the electrochemical measurements were required to repeat 3 times.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Optimization of Etching Time and HCl Concentration for SHC

The relationship between etching time and CAs in HCl solution at the concentration of 350 g/L followed by modification of myristic acid was shown in Figure 2a. The CA increased from 113.1° ± 2.3° to 153.3° ± 2.4° with increasing etching time to 5 min. However, there was only a slight decrease to 150.1° ± 2.0° with further extending the etching time to 12 min. Therefore, the optimal etching time for obtaining the coating is 5 min when treated with HCl solution at 350 g/L concentration. The influence of the HCl solution concentration on CAs and SAs is shown in Figure 2b. It was found that the bare Al alloy substrate has a CA of 80.6° ± 0.2°, indicating its hydrophilic nature. The Al alloy substrate coated with myristic acid alone displays hydrophobicity with a CA of 113.1° ± 2.3°. The CA can reach 150° when the etching solution concentration was beyond 150 g/L. The coating shows the maximum CA of 157.4° ± 2.1° and the minimum SA of 8.3° ± 0.9° after treating with the HCl solution at the concentration of 200 g/L for 5 min followed by modification of myristic acid. Further increasing HCl concentration caused a slight decrease in CA (153.3° ± 2.4°) and a dramatic increase in SA (19.9° ± 5.8°). The optimal SHC can be obtained after etching at 200 g/L concentration in HCl solution for 5 min followed by modification of myristic acid. The apparent surface energy of various samples is reported in Table S1.

Figure 2. (a) The influence of etching time on CAs for different samples after etching in 350 g/L HCl solution and treating with myristic acid; (b) The influence of HCl solution with different concentrations on the CAs and SAs of the samples at the etching time of 5 min followed by modification with myristic acid.

To visualize the water repellency for SHC, water droplets impinged onto the coating surfaces continuously to investigate the dynamic moving process. The corresponding image and video were presented in Figure S1 and Video S1. It is obvious that the water droplet can slide off the SHC easily due to its superhydrophobic nature. To further investigate the interaction between the water and coating surface, the SHC displayed the...
Figure 3. FESEM images of samples at different magnifications: (a1–a2) Al alloy; (b1–b4) etched Al alloy; (c1–c2) Al alloy + MA; (d1–d4) SHC.

The surface roughness for the corresponding samples is shown in Table S2. For the bare Al alloy substrate, its roughness value was 90.12 ± 11.01 nm. After modification with MA, its roughness changed slightly to 119.28 ± 12.31 nm. However, for the Al alloy after the etching treatment, the surface became extremely rough, reaching up to 526.86 ± 13.25 nm. Interestingly, the surface roughness of the etched Al alloy was decreased to some extent after the modification with MA (425.16 ± 10.58 nm). It is noted that superhydrophobicity mirror-like phenomenon (Figure S2 and Video S2) once immersed in water. This indicates that the surface wetting has been effectively prevented due to the presence of a layer of air between water and the sample surface.

3.2. Surface Morphology and Reaction Mechanism

It can be found that there are some scratches caused by sandpaper polishing on the Al alloy surface with a CA of 80.6° ± 0.2° (Figure 3(a1,a2)). After treatment with HCl solution alone, the Al alloy surface was fully covered by dispersed micro-sized pits of around 1 µm in size (Figure 3(b1–b3)). With higher magnification, nanoscaled cubic structures with the size of 100–200 nm were obtained. They were distributed uniformly on the etched surface (Figure 3(b4)), resulting in the binary hierarchical structure on such roughened surface. Accordingly, the CA was decreased to 0°, indicating its superhydrophilicity. The surface is relatively smooth after modification with myristic acid (MA) (Figure 3(c1,c2)) with a CA of 113.1° ± 2.3°. However, it is still too smooth to achieve a highly hydrophobic surface. There was no obvious surface morphology change between the SHC surface (Figure 3(d1–d4)) and etched Al alloy (Figure 3(b1–b4)), which indicates that a small amount of myristic acid assembled onto the SHC does not alter the surface structural features. The CA for SHC was increased to 157.4° ± 2.1°, which can be attributed to the air trapped inside such a hierarchical structure that reduces the real contact area between liquid and solid surfaces. The FESEM images for the samples after etching in 350 g/L HCl solution with different etching times and treating with myristic acid are shown in Figure S3.
Figure 4. Images of CAs on different samples: (a) bare Al alloy substrate; (b) etched Al alloy; (c) Al alloy + MA; (d) SHC.

Figure 4. Images of CAs on different samples: (a) bare Al alloy substrate; (b) etched Al alloy; (c) Al alloy + MA; (d) SHC.

requires both the low-surface-energy modification and surface rough structure as explained by the Cassie–Baxter model.

To investigate the effect of etching treatment on the surface morphology of Al alloy, the XRD measurement was used to examine the crystal structure. The results show that the surface conversion treatment does not affect the bulk materials’ crystal structure (Figure S4). No additional peaks were detected other than the ones belonging to the Al alloy.

The formation mechanism for SHC on Al alloy surface has been reported according to the previous study [45]. Al can be easily oxidized to Al\(^{3+}\) with the existence of oxygen, especially in an acidic solution. After the oxidation, Al\(^{3+}\) will be released due to the attack by Cl\(^-\) in HCl solution. Then the released Al\(^{3+}\) can react with CH\(_3\)(CH\(_2\))\(_{12}\)COO\(^-\) immediately to form Al carboxylate during the modification of myristic acid according to the following chemical reaction [59,60]:

\[
\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{12}\text{COO}^- \rightarrow \text{Al}[(\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{12}\text{COO})_3]
\]

The etching of HCl creates a micro-nanostructure and the formed Al carboxylate takes on hydrophobic tails CH\(_3\)(CH\(_2\))\(_{12}\)COO\(^-\) on such roughened surface, leading to the fulfillment of both rough structure and low-energy surface required for superhydrophobicity.

3.3. Wettability

The wettability of a solid surface is related to the surface structure and its chemical state [61], which can be described by the Wenzel model [62,63] or the Cassie–Baxter model [64]. In the Wenzel model, the CA for a hydrophilic surface, which has an intrinsic CA below 90°, decreases with the increase in roughness, and the surface becomes more hydrophilic. It can be seen from Figure 4a that the CA on bare Al alloy is 80.6° ± 0.2°, indicating its hydrophilicity. After the etching treatment, the surface becomes rough, and the CA was remarkably decreased to 0°, as shown in Figure 4b. In this state, capillarity occurs, causing water droplets to fill the grooves on the rough hydrophilic surface. The CA was increased to 113.1° ± 2.3° after modification with myristic acid alone (Figure 4c), while the surface becomes superhydrophobic with a CA of 157.4° ± 2.1° and a SA of 8.3° ± 0.9° after etching in 200 g/L HCl solution for 5 min and treating with myristic acid (Figure 4d).

It is known that both models can result in a high CA for the coating surfaces. However, only the Cassie–Baxter model could lead to a low SA [65], and the formation of the optimal SHC can be theoretically explained based on this model. In this model, the water droplets could not penetrate the hierarchical micro-nanostructure due to the trapped air inside the coating surfaces and thus can slide off the SHC surface easily. Therefore, the CA on SHC can be expressed by Cassie–Baxter equation [66]:

\[
\cos \theta_{\gamma} = f_1 \cos \theta_1 + f_2 \cos \theta_2 = 1
\]

where \(\theta_\gamma\) is the apparent CA; \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) are the areal fractions of component 1 and 2 (\(f_1 + f_2 = 1\)); \(\theta_1\) and \(\theta_2\) are the corresponding intrinsic CAs, and here, \(\theta_2 = 180°\) when air is entrapped. Therefore, the Equation (1) can be re-written as follows:

\[
\cos \theta_{\gamma} = f_1 (\cos \theta_1 + 1) - 1
\]
Given that the $\theta_y$ is $157.4^\circ \pm 2.1^\circ$ and $\theta_1$ is $113.1^\circ \pm 2.3^\circ$, the $f_1$ is 0.1264. This means that the air/water interface occupies $\sim 87\%$ of the SHC when the water droplet is placed on it.

3.4. Durability and Heat–Humidity Resistance

To evaluate the durability in the air atmosphere, the as-prepared SHC had been exposed to ambient conditions for 6 months before the water wetting behavior was examined again. The whole process of dynamic behavior for DI water (5 $\mu$L) on such surface was recorded with a CCD camera which was built in the contact angle equipment (Video S3). It can be clearly observed that the shape of the water droplet changes once it contacts the SHC surface (Figure 5(a3)) and deforms when the contact area was increased between the water droplet and coating surface during the whole compression process (Figure 5(a4,a5)). When the needle tip is lifted, the droplet can retract from the surface (Figure 5(a6,a7)) and recover to the spherical shape as before (Figure 5(a1,a8)). After the compression and lifting process, the SHC surface remains unwetted. This indicates that the SHC has excellent long-term durability in ambience, and the surface wetting can be effectively prevented.

The change of CA for SHC, after exposure to a constant temperature and humidity chamber at 60 $\pm$ 1 $^\circ$C with humidity of 96 $\pm$ 2% for 7 days, is displayed in Figure 5b. The CA was slightly reduced from $157.4^\circ \pm 2.1^\circ$ to $154.5^\circ \pm 3.0^\circ$. Nevertheless, it still maintained its superhydrophobicity. The corresponding image for the dynamic behavior of the water stream on the SHC after continuous heating and steam humidification was shown in Figure 5c. The reflected water jet flow can bounce off from the SHC without leaving any traces behind (Figure 5c and Video S4), indicating that the obtained SHC can tolerate water not only at ambient temperature but also at a relatively higher temperature and humidity atmosphere for a long time.

3.5. Self-Cleaning Performance

The dirt removal tests for different samples by applying dry carbon black as simulated contaminants are shown in Figure 6. The tested samples were sprinkled with a layer of carbon black powder and placed at an inclined angle of 5$^\circ$ (Figure 6(a1,b1,c1)). The water droplets were applied continuously to remove the contaminants. When the
droplets were dropped onto these samples, the carbon black adhered to the bare substrate (Figure 6(a2–a4)) and bare Al alloy modified by myristic acid (Figure 6(b2–b4)) with water together. However, the carbon black was taken away, and a dry and clean trace was left on the SHC (Figure 6(c2–c4)) when the droplet rolled off the contaminated surface. This could be ascribed to the two main reasons: the joint action of high capillarity, which was induced by water, and weak adhesion between contaminants and SHC. The dirt removal process is presented in Video S5. After spraying more water, the SHC was as dry and clean as before (Figure 6(c5)), while the untreated bare Al alloy substrate (Figure 6(a5)) and Al alloy modified by myristic acid alone (Figure 6(b5)) were still contaminated.

There are generally three kinds of dynamic interaction between a liquid droplet and the solid surface, namely droplet pinning, sliding and rolling. A rolling liquid droplet can carry away contaminants much easier and faster. For the superhydrophobic surface, the size of contaminating particles is usually bigger than that of the surface microfeatures, making the contaminants only in contact with the tips of the microstructures. With a smaller actual contact area, the adhesive force between the contaminants and superhydrophobic surface is far smaller than that between the water droplet and the contaminant particles.

Figure 6. Dirt removal tests for different samples using dry carbon black as simulated contaminants and the corresponding interactions of contaminating particles with different kinds of wettability surfaces: (a1–a6) Al alloy substrate; (b1–b6) Al alloy + MA; (c1–c6) SHC.
As a result, the contaminants can be captured by the rolling water droplets on SHC to achieve the cleaning effect (Figure 6(c6)). In contrast, the contact area between the smooth hydrophobic surface (Al alloy + MA) and the contaminant particles is much larger, and the adhesion between the dirt and the solid surface is higher due to no or less air trapping. The adhesion between the water and such solid surface is stronger too, and the water droplet is more likely to slide down than to roll off. As a result, the cleaning is much less effective (Figure 6(b6)). For the hydrophilic surface (Al alloy substrate), it is very difficult for liquid droplets to slide off the surface due to their high adhesion with the surface, let alone take away the contaminants on the surface (Figure 6(a6)).

The SHC was immersed into different kinds of liquids to test the self-cleaning effect for liquid contaminants. Before dipping into the liquid contaminants, the SHC was dry and clean, as shown in Figure 7(a1,b1,c1,d1). Then the SHC was immersed into methylene blue-dyed water (Figure 7(a2)), tea (Figure 7(b2)), milk (Figure 7(c2)) and an artificial dirt mixture (Figure 7(d2)) for several seconds. After immersion, the SHC was taken out from these liquid contaminants and remained dry and clean without any contaminated traces (Figure 7(a3,b3,c3,d3)). The corresponding detailed process was shown in Video S6. Comparison with the bare Al alloy sample is displayed in Figure S5.

![Figure 7. Dirt repellency test of SHC in different liquids: (a1–a3) methylene blue-dyed water; (b1–b3) tea; (c1–c3) milk; (d1–d3) artificial dirt mixture.](image_url)

The self-cleaning performance for SHC after heat–humidity resistance was shown in Figure 8. The applied water droplets can clean the dust (applying SiO₂ nanoparticles as contaminants) when rolling off the surface, showing an excellent self-cleaning performance by the SHC. The process was shown in Video S7 in the supporting information.
Figure 8. Dirt removal process for SHC after heat–humidity resistance: (a) a layer of SiO$_2$ nanoparticles applied as contaminants on SHC; (b) water droplet applied on contaminated SHC; (c) SiO$_2$ nanoparticles taken away with water droplet; (d) a dry and clean trace left on SHC.

The above results demonstrate that the as-prepared SHC has the potential to prevent Al alloy from being contaminated in practical applications.

3.6. Corrosion Resistance

As a powerful electrochemical technique, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was used to estimate the anti-corrosion performance for different samples. As shown in Nyquist plots (Figure 9a), there is only one capacitive loop for each tested sample, and all these capacitive loops show the imperfect semicircles, which are ascribed to the existence of dispersing effect. Generally, when the diameter of the capacitive loop is larger, the corrosion resistance of the sample is better. It can be observed that the diameter of the capacitive loop for SHC is much larger than that of bare Al alloy substrate. After immersion in corrosive medium (3.5 wt.% NaCl solution) for 24 h, the SHC exhibited the capacitive behavior with a slight decrease in the diameter of the capacitive loop while it is still higher than that of the bare surface. The above results demonstrated that the as-prepared SHC could effectively protect the bare Al alloy substrate against corrosion. The corresponding Bode impedance plots and Bode phase plots are shown in Figure 9b,c. Larger impedance modulus $|Z|$ at the low-frequency end means better corrosion resistance. As illustrated in Figure 9b, the impedance modulus at the lowest frequency (1 Hz) was increased from $3.498 \times 10^3$ to $2.111 \times 10^4 \ \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$ as the surface changed from hydrophilic (bare Al alloy substrate) to superhydrophobic (SHC). Besides, the corresponding value of $1.803 \times 10^4 \ \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$ for SHC after immersion for 24 h is still much higher than that of the bare surface. From Figure 9c, the SHC possesses a relatively higher as well as wider phase angle in the intermediate frequency domain, suggesting a better ability to protect the underneath substrates.

The corresponding equivalent circuit models are fitted to better understand the corrosion process for different samples according to the obtained EIS results (Figure 9c). In these circuit models, $R_s$ is the electrolyte resistance; $\text{CPE}_{\text{dl}}$ and $R_{\text{ct}}$, respectively, represent the constant phase element related to the double-layer capacitance and charge transfer resistance between the substrate and electrolyte; $\text{CPE}_c$ and $R_c$ denote the constant phase element of coating capacitance and coating resistance. In order to obtain more accurate fit-
ting results, the constant phase element (CPE) was applied to displace the pure capacitance in view of the dispersing effect. The CPE can be expressed as follows:

$$Z_{CPE} = \frac{1}{Y_0} \times (j\omega)^{-n}$$

(3)

where $Y_0$ is the magnitude, $n$ the exponential term (the value between 0 and 1), $j$ the imaginary root and $\omega$ the angular frequency ($\omega = 2\pi f$). The fitted parameters according to the EIS data are listed in Table 1. In the initial immersion state, the corrosion process occurred at the interface of the substrate and electrolyte for the bare Al alloy and the interface of coating and electrolyte for SHC, respectively, according to their equivalent circuit models. The $R_{ct}$ for the bare substrate is $4.238 \times 10^3 \, \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$, and the $R_c$ for SHC is $2.666 \times 10^4 \, \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$, which indicates that the presence of such SHC surface has provided effective isolation to prevent the corrosive medium from contacting the underlying substrate. With increasing immersion time to 24 h, the corrosive medium began to penetrate the coating to some extent, causing the corrosion at two interfaces: substrate and electrolyte, and coating and electrolyte. The corresponding values of $R_{ct}$ and $R_c$ are $2.376 \times 10^4$ and $4.321 \times 10^3 \, \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$. It is commonly known that the $R_{ct}$ value is indicative of the effectiveness of corrosion inhibition. Although the $R_c$ for SHC was reduced from $2.666 \times 10^4$ to $4.321 \times 10^3 \, \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$ after immersion for 24 h, its $R_{ct}$ value is more than 5 times higher than that of bare Al alloy. This implies that SHC can possess anti-corrosion improvement for bare substrate even after a long immersion time.

Table 1. The fitted parameters from EIS results.

| Samples       | $Rs$ ($\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$) | CPEdl $R_{ct}$ ($\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$) | CPEc $R_c$ ($\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$) |
|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Bare Al alloy | 7.270                            | 9.179 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$                 | 0.8647                               |
| SHC (initial) | 7.131                            | -                                        | 3.725 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$             |
| SHC (24 h)    | 7.531                            | 5.521 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$                 | 0.7374                               |
|               |                                  |                                          | 2.376 $\times$ 10$^4$                |
|               |                                  |                                          | 1.479 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$             |
|               |                                  |                                          | 0.8920                               |

Table 2. Electrochemical parameters of potentiodynamic polarization curves and their inhibition efficiency.

| Samples       | $E_{corr}$ (V vs. Ag/AgCl) | $J_{corr}$ (A·cm$^{-2}$) | Inhibition Efficiency ($\%$) |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Bare Al alloy | $-1.160$                    | $1.070 \times 10^{-5}$   | -                          |
| SHC (initial)| $-0.620$                    | $3.171 \times 10^{-7}$   | 97                         |
| SHC (24 h)   | $-0.626$                    | $5.123 \times 10^{-7}$   | 95                         |

The electrochemical measurements for SHC after immersion in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution for 168 h are shown in Figure S6. The SHC presents a much larger capacitive loop than that of bare Al alloy substrate in Nyquist plots (Figure S6a). Additionally, the SHC shows a distinct larger impedance modulus ($9.737 \times 10^3 \, \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$) at the lowest frequency. Figure 9. Electrochemical measurements for the bare Al alloy substrate and SHC in the 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution: (a) Nyquist plots, (b) Bode impedance plots, (c) Bode phase plots, (d) potentiodynamic polarization curves and (e) the corresponding fitting equivalent circuits.
Table 1. The fitted parameters from EIS results.

| Samples      | $R_a$ (Ω cm$^2$) | $R_{ct}$ (Ω cm$^2$) | $Y_{0-dl}$ (S cm$^{-1}$) | $n_{dl}$ | $Y_{0-c}$ (S cm$^{-1}$) | $n_c$ | $R_c$ (Ω cm$^2$) |
|--------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------|------|------------------|
| Bare Al alloy| 7.270            | 4.238 × 10$^3$      | 9.179 × 10$^{-6}$       | 0.8647  | -                       | -    | -                |
| SHC (initial)| 7.131            | -                   | -                       | -       | 3.725 × 10$^{-6}$       | 0.8795| 2.666 × 10$^4$   |
| SHC (24 h)   | 7.531            | 2.376 × 10$^4$      | 5.521 × 10$^{-6}$       | 0.7374  | 1.479 × 10$^{-6}$       | 0.8920| 4.321 × 10$^3$   |

The potentiodynamic polarization curves for the samples after immersion in 3.5 wt.% NaCl electrolyte with different immersion times are shown in Figure 9d. Generally, a lower corrosion current density ($J_{corr}$) and a higher corrosion potential ($E_{corr}$) indicate better anti-corrosion performance [67]. The $E_{corr}$ obtained by Tafel extrapolation are displayed in Table 2. It is evident that $J_{corr}$ decreased sharply from 1.070 × 10$^{-5}$ A cm$^{-2}$ for bare Al alloy substrate to 3.171 × 10$^{-7}$ A cm$^{-2}$ for SHC. The corresponding $E_{corr}$ shifted to the positive direction from −1.160 to −0.620 V during the initial immersion time, indicating good protection against corrosion for the Al alloy. To assess the durability of the prepared SHC, the potentiodynamic polarization curve after immersion in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution for 24 h was also obtained in Figure 9d. There is no considerable change for $J_{corr}$ (5.123 × 10$^{-7}$ A cm$^{-2}$) and $E_{corr}$ (−0.626 V) of SHC after immersion for 24 h as compared to those at its initial immersion, suggesting its long-term reliability to prevent the bare Al alloy from being corroded. Additionally, their corresponding corrosion inhibition efficiency ($\eta$) can be calculated by the equation below:

$$\eta = \frac{J_{corr}^0 - J_{corr}}{J_{corr}^0} \times 100 \quad (4)$$

where the $J_{corr}^0$ and $J_{corr}$ are the corrosion current density of the substrate and coating. Based on our data, the $\eta$ for SHC reached up to 97% for the initial immersion state and remained high (95%) after immersion for 24 h. This further confirms that the as-prepared SHC has a promising potential in corrosion protection against the bare Al alloy. Additionally, we have made a comparison with previously reported anti-corrosion superhydrophobic Al and its alloy surfaces. Feng et al. [68] produced a superhydrophobic surface on Al alloy, and the $J_{corr}$ of such superhydrophobic surface (5.01 × 10$^{-5}$ A cm$^{-2}$) was reduced by only 1 order of magnitude as compared with the clean bare Al alloy (7.26 × 10$^{-4}$ A cm$^{-2}$). Saleema et al. [69] obtained a superhydrophobic Al alloy surface, but there was no significant difference in $J_{corr}$ for both hydrophilic surface and superhydrophobic surface, which implies that there is no substantial improvement in the anti-corrosion performance. The above results indicated that the presence of our prepared SHC could hinder the corrosive medium from reaching the bare Al alloy substrate effectively.

Table 2. Electrochemical parameters of potentiodynamic polarization curves and their inhibition efficiency.

| Samples      | $E_{corr}$ (V vs. Ag/AgCl) | $J_{corr}$ (A cm$^{-2}$) | Inhibition Efficiency ($\eta$ %) |
|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Bare Al alloy| −1.160                      | 1.070 × 10$^{-5}$        | -                               |
| SHC (initial)| −0.620                      | 3.171 × 10$^{-7}$        | 97                              |
| SHC (24 h)   | −0.626                      | 5.123 × 10$^{-7}$        | 95                              |

The electrochemical measurements for SHC after immersion in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution for 168 h are shown in Figure S6. The SHC presents a much larger capacitive loop than that of bare Al alloy substrate in Nyquist plots (Figure S6a). Additionally, the SCH shows a distinct larger impedance modulus (9.737 × 10$^3$ Ω cm$^2$) at the lowest frequency (Figure S6b) and a higher and wider phase angle in the middle frequency (Figure S6c). In addition, the SHC still displayed a relatively lower $J_{corr}$ (5.319 × 10$^{-6}$ A cm$^{-2}$) and higher $E_{corr}$
(−0.633 V) as compared with the bare Al alloy. All the above results prove that the as-prepared SHC can effectively protect the bare substrate during long-term immersion.

The mechanism of the enhanced anti-corrosion performance for SHC might be related to the interface contact modes between sample surfaces and corrosive medium, as illustrated in Figure 10. The air trapped inside SHC can act as an isolation layer, generating a three-phase interface of solid–liquid–air. Additionally, its solid–liquid contact area, i.e., the area between the sample surface and corrosive medium, will be drastically reduced (Figure 10b) as compared to that of the bare Al alloy substrate (Figure 10a). Accordingly, the corrosion occurrence on the bare substrate is greatly retarded by the formation of SHC. Another reason why SHC can enhance the corrosion resistance for the bare Al alloy is ascribed to the capillarity. It is reported that water can easily transport against gravity on rough structured surfaces with CAs of more than 150°. In consequence, the corrosive medium can be squeezed out of such rough structures by the Laplace pressure, and thus the bare substrate can be prevented from corrosion effectively [70].

It has to be cautioned that the current work only displays the corrosion resistance of SHC against a single corrosive medium such as salty water. For the SHC to be applied to the marine environment, further investigations (e.g., anti-fouling and biological corrosion) might be necessary using actual or simulated marine solutions.

4. Conclusions

In this study, a general strategy to prepare superhydrophobic self-cleaning and anti-corrosion metallic surfaces on metallic structures has been briefly discussed. As a specific example, we demonstrated the construction of SHC on an Al alloy substrate using a simple etching method followed by the modification of molten myristic acid (MA). The as-prepared optimal SHC shows superhydrophobicity with the CA ~157.4° and the SA ~8.3°, resulting from the synergistic effect of both roughened surface and chemical modification. The SHC possesses a self-cleaning effect not only for the dry contaminants but also for the liquids such as methylene blue-dyed water, tea, milk solutions and artificial dirt mixture. Moreover, the SHC remains superhydrophobic after exposure to ambient conditions for 6 months or a higher temperature of 60 °C with 96% humidity for 7 days, indicating its excellent long-term durability in ambience and heat–humidity conditions. Furthermore, the electrochemical measurements prove that the SHC has improved corrosion resistance for the bare substrates. This simple method can provide a straightforward route to develop SHC on various engineering metallic substrates in the fields of self-cleaning and anti-corrosion applications.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/coatings11070788/s1, Figure S1: Water repellency for SHC, Figure S2: The mirror-like
phenomenon for SHC submerged in water, Figure S3. The FESEM images for the samples after etching in 350 g/L HCl solution with different etching times and treating with myristic acid. (a1–a3): 2 min; (b1–b3) 5 min; (c1–c3) 8 min; (d1–d3) 10 min; (e1–e3) 12 min., Figure S4: The XRD patterns of different samples: (a) bare Al alloy; (b) etched Al alloy; (c) Al + MA; (d) SHC., Figure S5: Dirt repellency test of bare Al alloy substrate as a reference sample: (a1–a3) methylene blue-dyed water; (b1–b3) tea; (c1–c3) milk; (d1–d3) artificial dirt mixture, Figure S6: Electrochemical measurements for SHC after immersion in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution for 168 h. (a) Nyquist plots, (b) Bode impedance plots, (c) Bode phase plots, (d) potentiodynamic polarization curves, Table S1: The apparent surface energy of different samples., Table S2: The surface roughness of different samples., Video S1: The dynamic moving process for the water droplets impinged onto the SHC surface, Video S2: The mirror-like light reflection forms for the SHC when immersion into the water, Video S3: 5 µL of a water droplet placed onto SHC after exposure for 6 months, indicating its low adhesion with water, Video S4: The dynamic behavior of water droplets on the SHC after heat–humidity treatment, Video S5: Self-cleaning test on different samples: the contaminant (carbon black powder) adhered to both (a) Al alloy substrate and (b) Al alloy + MA when the water droplet was dripped onto the surfaces. In contrast, the powder on (c) SHC was taken away, and the surface was cleaned along the path of the water droplet movement, Video S6: The SHC possessed a self-cleaning effect for different liquid contaminants, including methylene blue-dyed water, tea, milk and artificial dirt mixture, Video S7: The self-cleaning performance for SHC after heat–humidity resistance.
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