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Abstract

*Ikakogi* is a behaviorally and morphologically intriguing genus of glassfrog. Using tadpole morphology, vocalizations, and DNA, a new species is described from the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta (SNSM), an isolated mountain range in northern Colombia. The new taxon is the second known species of the genus *Ikakogi* and is morphologically identical to *I. tayrona* (except for some larval characters) but differs by its genetic distance (14.8% in mitochondrial encoded cytochrome *b* MT-CYB; ca. 371 bp) and by the dominant frequency of its advertisement call (2928–3273 Hz in contrast to 2650–2870 Hz in *I. tayrona*). They also differ in the number of lateral buccal floor papillae, and the position of the buccal roof arena papillae. Additionally, the new species is differentiated from all other species of Centrolenidae by the following traits: tympanum visible, vomerine teeth absent, humeral spines present in adult males, bones in life white with pale green in epiphyses, minute punctuations present on green skin dorsum, and flanks with lateral row of small, eamed dots that extend from below eye to just posterior to arm insertion. We describe the external and internal larval morphology of the new species and we redescribe the larval morphology of *Ikakogi tayrona* on the basis of field collected specimens representing several stages of development from early to late metamorphosis. We discuss the relevance of larval morphology for the taxonomy and systematics of *Ikakogi* and other centrolenid genera. Finally, we document intraspecific larval variation in meristic characters and ontogenetic changes in eye size, coloration, and labial tooth-rows formulas, and compare tadpoles of related species. *Ikakogi tayrona* has been proposed as the sister taxon of all other Centrolenidae; our
observations and new species description offers insights about the ancestral character-states of adults, egg clutches, and larval features in this lineage of frogs.

Introduction

Glassfrogs, family Centrolenidae [1], are a charismatic group comprising 158 species restricted to the Neotropics from southern Mexico to northern Argentina [2]. They are renowned for their partially or totally transparent ventral skin, reproductive behavior closely associated with streams, and by the elaborated parental care performed by adult males [3–5] or females [6].

The last decade witnessed a great increase in the knowledge of phylogenetics (e.g. [5, 7–11]), taxonomy (e.g. [4, 5, 12–16]), and biology/ecology of glassfrogs (e.g. [17, 18, 6]). However, the alpha diversity within the family remains underestimated. As more remote, unexplored areas are surveyed, more species are discovered, as the results of recent studies in Ecuador (e.g. [19, 20]), Peru [21, 22, 11] and Brazil [23, 14] have demonstrated. It is expected that Colombia will follow the same pattern of hidden diversity.

Currently, 78 species of centrolenids have been reported from Colombia, representing more than 50% of the total diversity of the family [24]. Many areas remain to be properly explored, such as the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta (SNSM), home of the monotypic genus Ikakogi [5]. The genus Ikakogi is particularly interesting for the systematics of centrolenids due to the uncertainty of its placement. Some authors (i.e. [5]) concluded that it should be left as incerta sedis within Centrolenidae, whereas others [10] suggested that it could be the sister taxa of Hyalinobatrachiinae + Centroleninae (see also [7]). The genus is also of great interest for biogeographical studies, given its endemic distribution in the long isolated massif of the SNSM.

Nevertheless, Ikakogi tayrona is still a poorly known taxon. Recently, during fieldwork on the northern flank of SNSM in Colombia, we collected several specimens of a glassfrog allied to I. tayrona that we hypothesized could be a different species. Here we provide evidence from genetic and bioacoustic analyses as well as tadpole morphology to support our hypothesis of a new species. In addition, we redescribe the fossorial tadpoles of I. tayrona, discuss the larval specialization for burrowing and the relevance of internal larval morphology (i.e. buccopharyngeal anatomy, cranial muscles, skeleton) for the taxonomy and systematics of Ikakogi and centrolenid genera.

Materials and methods

Adult morphology

Species detection was carried out by using visual encounter surveys (VES). Specimens were collected by hand, euthanized by topical application of 20% benzocaine anesthetic mixed with water, fixed in 10% formalin after collection of tissue samples for DNA analysis (preserved in 96% ethanol), and preserved in 70% ethanol. Although euthanized methods were not evaluated by an institutional animal care and use committee or similar regional ethics committee, voucher collections strictly complied with the ethical conditions as dictated by the environmental authorities of Colombia (see scientific license numbers below). Collection of amphibians involve Ikakogi tayrona, a species recognized as vulnerable (VU) by the IUCN red list category. Field collection in Magdalena department was authorized by the Ministerio de Ambiente, Vivienda y Desarrollo Territorial de Colombia, Corporación Autónoma Regional del Magdalena (Resolución 0425 de 2015) and in Guajira department by the Corporación...
Autónoma Regional de la Guajira, proyecto Evaluación Ecológica de las Ranas Arlequines Habitantes Cuencas Altas Río Palomino, Ancho y Jerez (Contrato 0008 de 2009). Measurements of preserved and live specimens adults were based on [25, 26, 4] and were taken using a digital Mitutoyo caliper to the nearest 0.1 mm. Unless otherwise noted, measurements and proportions are reported only for adults, as determined by examination of gonads and presence of secondary sexual characteristics (i.e., vocal slits, nuptial pads and humeral spine in males). Summaries of measurements are reported as the mean, plus ± standard deviation (SD). Descriptive terminology and definitions follow those of [27, 28, 4]. For ease of comparison, diagnostic characters are in agreement with the proposals of [3, 25, 4]. Webbing formulae follow the convention proposed by [29] with modifications by [30]. Fingers are numbered preaxially to postaxially from I–IV; although this disagrees with the hypothesis that digit I was lost in anurans [31, 32] traditionally authors have used this numbering convention in centrolenid taxonomy. Ecological and reproductive data and color in life were derived from photos and field notes by MAR deposited at Instituto de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Colombia (ICN). Generic allocation follows [5]. We examined and described external vocal sac structure and employed the terminology of [33–35].

Voucher specimens are deposited in the ICN and Colección herpetológica de la Universidad del Magdalena, Santa Marta—Colombia (CBUMAG: ANF). The abbreviations for field series numbers used throughout the text are: MAR = Marco Antonio Rada and RC = José Rances Caicedo.

**Call description and bioacoustic analysis**

The description of the advertisement call is based on 17 calls recorded from five males of Ikakogi sp. Body size (snout–vent length, SVL) of two of the calling males was measured using a digital Mitutoyo caliper (model type 500-196-20, accuracy ±0.1 mm). Voucher specimens collected in this study and SVL for each specimen are reported in S2 Appendix. Calls were recorded at night using a Marantz PMD660 recorder coupled to a Sennheiser ME-66 microphone at a distance of 0.5–1.0 m from the calling frog. The recording level was adjusted manually during each session to obtain the best signal-to-noise ratio and to avoid distortion. Sounds were recorded using sampling rates of 44.1 Hz and a resolution of 24 bits and saved in an uncompressed wav (wave) format. Frog body temperature was measured at the time of recording using an Extech infrared thermometer (accuracy 0.1˚C). Advertisement calls were analyzed using the software Raven Pro v. 1.4 for Windows. Temporal properties were obtained from oscillograms (temporal resolution = 5.33 ms) and spectral information was obtained from a power spectrum elaborated using Fast Fourier Transformation (points Blackman window, 256 points). The terminology and procedures for measuring spectral and temporal call traits followed [36]. Descriptions of temporal and spectral structure of calls for all species include the following variables: (1) call duration (s), (2) number of pulses per call, (3) pulse duration (s), (4) dominant frequency averaged across all notes of a call (Hz), and (5) low and high frequency measured at 20 dB (re 20 mPA) below the peak intensity of the dominant frequency (value at which the signal could still be clearly distinguished from the background noise). Our sampling unit for statistical analysis was the recorded individual. Recordings are deposited at Fonoteca Zoológica, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, CSIC, Madrid, Spain (http://www.fonozoo.com/; Codes FZ Sound Collection 10045–48).

**Tadpole description**

In April 2015 and October 2016, we collected 60 tadpoles of *Ikakogi* tayrona and 15 of the undescribed species (S1 Appendix). These larvae were euthanized by immersion in 1% ethanol
+ benzocaine anesthetic and preserved in 5% formalin. Development stages were delimited following [37]. Morphological measurements and terminology for larval characters were noted following [38, 39]. Comparative data of related species were taken from tadpole descriptions available in the literature [34–55]. General descriptions were based on individuals in stage 35 as recommended by [49], but we also measured another 15 tadpoles between stages 25–35 of the undescribed species (CBUMAG: ANF 01015–16) and 26 tadpoles between stages 24–39 of *I. tayrona* (CBUMAG: ANF 00960, 01017 and ICN 58308). A digital Vernier caliper (model type IP54, accuracy ±0.01 mm) in a Zeiss dissecting stereomicroscope was used for measurements in *I. tayrona* (86 individuals), whereas a Mitutoyo series 500 digital caliper (model type CD-8” CSX accuracy ±0.01 mm) was used for specimens of the new species (15 individuals).

**Larval muscles (cranial and axial), skeleton (chondrocranium and hyobranchial apparatus) and buccopharyngeal morphology**

Two tadpoles of each species were dissected according to [56] in order to visualize the buccopharyngeal characters (stages 27, 29 for *Ikakogi tayrona*, ICN 58308 and 28, 35 for *Ikakogi* sp., CBUMAG: ANF 01015). One individual per species (stages 29 for *Ikakogi tayrona* and 28 for *Ikakogi* sp., CBUMAG: ANF 00960 and CBUMAG: ANF 01015 respectively) were submitted to the protocol of [57] for scanning electron microscopy (SEM). For the study of musculoskeletal system (chondrocranium, hyobranchial apparatus and cranial muscles), three additional tadpoles (stages 35 and 39 for *Ikakogi tayrona* and 28 for *Ikakogi* sp., ICN 58310 and CBUMAG: ANF 01018, and CBUMAG: ANF 01016, respectively) were cleared and stained according to [58] with modifications as described by [59]. This procedure was interrupted after staining with Alcian blue and the muscles exposed by dissection. Following inspection of muscle characters, we continued with the clearing process to visualize the chondrocranium. Terminology follows [60–63] for musculo-skeletal characters and [56, 64] for buccopharyngeal structures.

**Egg clutches and oviposition site features**

Reproductive behavior was observed at two localities at Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta, SNMS; see S1 Appendix. Between April 1–2, 2015, and October 1, 2016 we performed visual encounter surveys alongside streams. For each egg clutch of *Ikakogi tayrona* and *Ikakogi* sp., we noted the substrate (e.g., leaves, stems), position on the substrate (e.g., upper or lower side of leaves), and height above the ground or water. The clutches were attributed to the species because a female was guarding eggs. For each egg clutch observed we noted number and size (diameter) of eggs, development stage and color of embryos. Quantitative features of oviposition site and egg clutches are reported as mean ± SD (range).

**Genetic distances**

DNA was extracted from ethanol-preserved thigh muscle, with the DNeasy (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA) isolation kit. Amplification of cytochrome *b* MT-CYB sequence, ca. 371 bp, was carried out in a 25μl reaction using the primers of [65, 66] and a PCR Master Mix (2X) (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA). For DNA amplifications, the PCR program included an initial denaturing step of 30s at 96˚C, followed by 35 cycles of amplification (96˚C for 30 s; 48–54˚C for 30 s; 60˚C for 60s), with a final extension step at 60˚C for 7 min. PCR amplification products were cleaned using the Agencourt AMPure XP DNA Purification and Cleanup kit (Beckman Coulter Genomics, Brea, CA, USA), and were sequenced in both directions to check for potential errors by a third party using fluorescent dye labeled terminators (ABI Prism Big Dye Terminators v. 1.1 cycle sequencing kits; Applied Biosystems, USA) with an ABI 3730XL DNA Analyzer.
(Applied Biosystems, USA). Chromatograms obtained were read and contigs made using the sequence editing software Sequencher v5.3 [67]. Sequence variation of the static alignment of mitochondrial Cytochrome b2 (Cytb2) gene were used to calculate the uncorrected pairwise genetic distances in Sequencher v5.3 [67], S3 Appendix. The generated sequences were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers MK809522–MK809523, S4 Appendix.

**Nomenclatural acts**

The electronic edition of this article conforms to the requirements of the amended International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, and hence the new names contained herein are available under that Code from the electronic edition of this article. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix “http://zoobank.org/”. The LSID for this publication is urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:73CD6D36-B4DB-4120-9A43-69DD0EEE0668. The electronic edition of this work was published in a journal with an ISSN, and has been archived and is available from the following digital repositories: PubMed Central, LOCKSS.

**Results**

**Description of the new species**

*Ikakogi ispace* sp. nov. (Figs 1–4), urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:7580348C-1F56-4286-8DDD21CD45ADCE78.

**Holotype.** ICN 56204 (MAR3626) an adult male collected by Marco Rada, Luis Alberto Rueda-Solano and Jose Luis Pérez-González on 1 October, 2016.

**Type locality.** Small tributary of the Palomino River (11,058091/ -73.227770; 950 m asl; WGS 84 origin), N flank of Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta, Corregimiento de Palomino, Wimangaga locality, Dibulla Municipality, Guajira Department, Colombia.

**Paratypes.** CBUMAG: ANF 00938 (LARS 112) and ICN 56198 (LARS 111)–ICN 56199 (LARS 201), ICN 56200 (JOC109), adult males, same locality as the holotype, collected on April 1, 2015 by Fernando Vargas-Salinas, Jose Luis Pérez-González and Luis Alberto Rueda-Solano; ICN 56201, 56203, 56205–09 (MAR3623, 3625, 3627–31), adult males, same data as the holotype, collected on October 1, 2016 by Marco Rada, Luis Alberto Rueda-Solano and Jose Luis Pérez-González; ICN 56202 (MAR3624), ICN 56210 (MAR3632), adult females, same data and locality as the holotype; ICN 58309; CBUMAG: ANF 01015–16, tadpoles, same locality as the holotype.

**Etymology.** The specific epithet originates from the Kogi words “tshi” and “späkue”, meaning “twin of”. The word is used as noun in apposition and refers to the high similarity and presumed close relationships of the new species and *Ikakogi tayrona*.

**Generic placement.** The new species is referred to as *Ikakogi* on the basis of phenotypic characters observed in the genus *sensu* [5]: i) presence of humeral spines in adult males (Fig 1A), and an extremely large *crista medialis* which extends along the entire length of the humerus; ii) presence of white bones in life (but see below); iii) a ventral parietal peritoneum white anterior and transparent posterior (Fig 1B); iv) a hepatic and visceral peritonea transparent, and, v) females that guard clutches (Fig 2B).

**Definition.** (1) vomerine teeth absent; (2) snout rounded in dorsal profile and slightly sloping or truncate in lateral profile (Fig 2); (3) tympanum not visible (tympanic membrane and annulus not differentiated; supratympanic fold present (Figs 1A and 2A); (4) dorsal skin smooth (5) skin on belly and ventral thighs granular; pair of tubercles on ventral surfaces of
thighs below vent large, round, flat; subcloacal skin granular, not enameled; (6) parietal peritoneum covered by iridophores, 1/2 of the ventral surface of the belly; visceral peritoneum translucent (Fig 1B); (7) liver tetra-lobed; (8) humeral spines in adult males present (crista ventralis protruding (Figs 1A and 3A)); (9) webbing between Fingers I–III basal: I (2− (2 1/2 – 2+)), II (1 1/2 – 3 1/2) – (2–3) (Fig 3A); (10) webbing between toes: I (1 – (2 – 2) II (1 − 2) – (1 – 2 1/4) III (1 – 2) – (1 – 2 1/2) IV (2–1) – (2 1/2 – 1 1/2) V (Fig 3B); (11) ulnar fold present, low; tarsal fold present, low (12) nuptial

Fig 1. Ikakogi ispacue sp. nov. (A) Dorsal and (B) ventral view of holotype (SVL = 29.6 mm; ICN 56204; male; photos not to scale).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.g001
Fig 2. Snout shape of *Ikakogi ispace sp. nov*. Lateral (A) and dorsal (B) snout of *Ikakogi ispace sp. nov.*, holotype (ICN 56204; male).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.g002
excrescence unpigmented, Type I, prepollex distinct, forming a elongate “prepollical bulge” at the base of the thumb but not piercing the skin (Fig 1B); (13) when adpressed, Finger I slightly larger than Finger II; (14) disc of Finger III width about 60–64% of eye diameter; (15) color in life, dorsum green with minute black punctuations (a smallest mark formed by just one star-like melanophore) along dorsal surfaces and a lateral row of small enameled dots that extends from below the eye to just posterior to the insertion of the arm (Figs 1A and 2A); color of bones white (diaphysis) but with accumulation of biliverdin in bone ephiphysis (very pale green coloration) (Fig 1A and 1B); (16) color in preservative, dorsum very pale lavender to cream with punctuations (melanophores) along dorsal surfaces (Fig 2A and 2B); (17) iris coloration in life, golden to copper with fine dark brown reticulations and dark colored area toward the midline; (18) dorsal surfaces of fingers and toes I-IV lacking melanophores; (19) males call from the upper and lower surfaces of leaves (Fig 2A); the call is a single high-pitched note (“trill”) with multiple pulses and a mean call duration of 0.122 ± 0.041 s (0.082–0.185 s);
dominant frequency at 3129 ± 147 Hz (2928–3273 Hz); (21) eggs pale cream or yellowish, deposited on the underside of leaves over streams (n = 3; Fig 2A and 2B), clutches containing uniformly pale cream or pale green eggs (n = 4; 55 ± 6.21; 48–62 eggs; females attend egg clutches located either on the upper or underside of leaves overhanging streams; (22) reddish tadpole; snout acuminated in dorsal and lateral views; (23) upper jaw sheath with conspicuous serrated edge and arch-shape; (24) labial tooth row formula 2(2)/3; (25) 13 small lateral buccal floor papillae (n = 2); (26) five conical papillae present in the buccal roof arena, three of them are lateral and two are medial located (n = 2); (27) basihyal (copula I) absent (but see Discussion section); (28) the anterior margin of trabecular horns is rounded without medial projection; (29) the oculomotor foramen is closed totally; and (30) snout–vent length (SVL) in adult.
Diagnosis. *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov. can be distinguished from other centrolenids (the only exception is *I. tayrona*) by having a slightly sloping snout, rounded lateral profile; a tympanum that is not visible; humeral spines in adult males; vomerine teeth absent; parietal peritoneum 1/2 white; green dorsum with black punctuations along the dorsal surfaces and a flanks with a lateral row of small enameled dots that extends from below the eye to just posterior to the insertion of the arm; color of bones in life white, but with a pale green coloration in bone epiphysis; color in preservative uniformly very pale lavender to cream.

Flanks with a lateral row of small enameled dots and white bones are unusual in centrolenids and are otherwise known to occur only in some species of *Centrolene* [68, 69], in *Ikakogi tayrona* (see [70]), in *Nymphargus* [4] and *Hyalinobatrachium* [71]. Species of *Centrolene* having white dots in an area that extends from below the eye to the insertion of the arm include *C. antioquiense* [72]; *C. bacatum* [68]; *C. buckleyi* [73]; *C. daudaleum* [74]; *C. heloderma* [75], *C. huilense* [69], *C. pipilatum* [27]; *C. peristictum* [27]; *C. sabini* [76], *C. savagei* [74]; *C. scirtetes* [77]; *C. solitaria* [74], *C. venezuelense* [78] and *C. robledoi* [69]. However, *I. ispacue* sp. nov. has a tympanum that is not visible (tympanic membrane and annulus not differentiated) whereas all the species mentioned above have a tympanum that is visible and the tympanic membrane and annulus are clearly differentiated (the exceptions are *Centrolene buckleyi* and *C. venezuelense* in which some populations have a tympanum concealed and neither the membrane nor the annulus is evident; see [4, 7]). In addition, preserved *I. ispacue* sp. nov. have a uniform dorsal coloration, with very pale lavender to cream punctuations (lavender with distinctive marks, clear and/or dark spots). Regarding the color bones in life, of the 35 known species of *Nymphargus*, only two have white bones: *N. anomalus* [27] and *N. rosada* [79]. *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov., is easily distinguished from those taxa by having white bones with pale green coloration in bone epiphyses and the adult males having humeral spines (humeral spine absent in adult males of *N. anomalus* and *N. rosada*). Further, the color in life in *I. ispacue* sp. nov. is uniform green with black punctuations, whereas the dorsum in *N. anomalus* is tan-brown to very pale green olive with black ocelli surrounding orange spots. Moreover, the dorsum in *N. rosada* is tan-brown with orange dots [27, 79]. Species of *Hyalinobatrachium* are yellowish green or lime green and have distinctive marks like flecks, dots, and spots on their dorsal skin (see [4]). However, in *I. ispacue* sp. nov. the dorsum is uniformly green and only has minute star-like punctuations (Fig 3B).
The adults of *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov. are morphologically identical to *I. tayrona* (Fig 5), but differ in their cytochrome B sequence and their advertisement call (Fig 6A and 6B). Genetic distances between both species reveal a noteworthy diversity, uncorrected *MT-Cytb2* pairwise distance of 14.8% (identical sites 316 bp = 85.2%; 55 differences; S3 Appendix). This value strongly indicates that the two populations are not conspecific. On the other hand, the call of *I. ispacue* sp. nov. is a single high-pitched note ("trill") with multiple pulses and a high dominant frequency (2928–3273 Hz); whereas the call of *I. tayrona* is described as 3–4 well-defined non-pulsed, "beep" notes with a dominant frequency of 2650–2870 Hz ([80], Table 2). Additionally, the tadpole of *I. ispacue* sp. nov. is almost indistinguishable from the larvae of *I. tayrona* externally. However they differ in their internal morphology; specifically, they differ in the number of lateral buccal floor papillae (13 in *I. ispacue* sp. nov., *n* = 2, in contrast to 10 in *I. tayrona*, *n* = 2) and the position of the buccal roof area papillae (five papillae distributed laterally in *I. tayrona*; three papillae latterally and two papillae medially in *I. ispacue* sp. nov. Additionally, the basihyal was absent in the larvae of *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov., *n* = 2 but present in *I. tayrona* (*n* = 2; but see Discussion, below).
Fig 6. Oscillogram, audiospectrogram and power spectrum of advertisement calls of *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov. (paratype, CBUMAG: ANF 00938, SVL 33.5 mm, 19.9 °C) and *Ikakogi tayrona*. Note the difference in the temporal features of the call between species.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.g006
Description of holotype. A moderate-sized glassfrog, SVL in adult male 29.6 mm (adult male); head slightly wider than body; HW 38% of SLV; head wider than long, HW/HL = 1.3 snout slightly sloping in dorsal profile and truncated in lateral profile; loreal region concave, nostrils elevated, delimiting a slight depression in the internarial area; canthus rostralis round; lips slightly flared; eyes large, directed anterolaterally at ca., 45˚; ED equals 31% of HW; supratympanic fold distinct, tympanic annulus undistinguished; tympanic membrane not differentiated, IN 55% of ED; choanae medium sized, ovoid, separated; vomerine teeth absent; tongue round, with posterior distal margin slightly notched, posterior edge not adherent to floor of mouth; vocal slits elongated, extending posterolaterally from base of tongue to the angle of jaws; large, external, subgular vocal sac.

Humeral spine present; forearm robust; ulnar folds present, low; Finger I slightly longer than Finger II, discs subtruncate; prepollex distinct, forming a elongate "prepollical bulge" at the base of the thumb but not piercing the skin; webbing formula III (2−2−2)−(2−1) IV; subarticular tubercles round, supernumerary tubercles at base of fingers, palmar tubercle slightly longer than wide, thenar tubercle elliptical, longer than palmar tubercle; nuptial excrescences Type I. Hind limbs slender, TL 57.3% of SLV; tarsal fold present, low, tarsal tubercles absent; inner metatarsal tubercle elliptic, outer metatarsal tubercle absent; subarticular tubercles round; formulae on feet I (1−(2−2)) II (1−2)−(1−2 1/4) III (1−2)−(1−2 1/2) IV (2−1)−(2 1/2−1 1/2) V; toe discs equal to finger discs. Skin of dorsal surfaces smooth; venter skin on belly and thighs granular; cloacal opening directed posteriorly; pair of enlarged flat warts on posteroventral surface of thighs; subcloacal skin granular and enameled.

Color in life. Based on color photographs and MAR’s field notes of holotype and paratypes ICN (56204, 56198–99; 56200–01; 56203–09) and CBUMAG:ANF (00938). Dorsum green with minute black punctuations; margin of upper lip, flanks creamy yellowish; lips slightly flared; eyes large, directed anterolaterally at ca., 45˚; ED equals 31% of HW; supratympanic fold distinct, tympanic annulus undistinguished; tympanic membrane not differentiated, IN 55% of ED; choanae medium sized, ovoid, separated; vomerine teeth absent; tongue round, with posterior distal margin slightly notched, posterior edge not adherent to floor of mouth; vocal slits elongated, extending posterolaterally from base of tongue to the angle of jaws; large, external, subgular vocal sac.

Hair on arms and legs absent; subgular vocal sac large, due to a strong vocalization; interocular distance, maxillary commissure distance, and tympanic annulus well visible; lips slightly flared; canthus rostralis slightly rounded; nostrils slightly elevated; canthus rostralis round; lips slightly flared; eyes large, directed anterolaterally at ca., 45˚; ED equals 31% of HW; supratympanic fold distinct, tympanic annulus undistinguished; tympanic membrane not differentiated, IN 55% of ED; choanae medium sized, ovoid, separated; vomerine teeth absent; tongue round, with posterior distal margin slightly notched, posterior edge not adherent to floor of mouth; vocal slits elongated, extending posterolaterally from base of tongue to the angle of jaws; large, external, subgular vocal sac.

Color in preservative (ethanol 70%). Dorsum of head, body and limbs cream to very pale lavender covered with small melanophores (except finger and toes I–IV). Throat, chest, hands, feet, and lower surfaces of legs cream; flanks with a very minute lateral row of white dots (Fig 2A); venter cream; iris silvery with dark reticulation (Fig 2A).

Measurements of holotype (in mm). SVL = 29.6; tibia length = 17.0; foot length = 13.7; head length = 8.3; head width = 11.2; horizontal eye diameter = 3.5; interorbital distance = 4.5; eye—nostril distance = 2.4; internarial distance = 1.9; width of disc on the finger III = 2.0.

Variation. Females are about 2.3% larger than males. Measurements of the type series are summarized in Table 1. No other morphological differences are observed between males and females.

| Species | SVL (mm) | Temperature (˚C) | Number of calls recorded | Call duration (ms) | Number of notes | Number of pulses | Call structure | Dominant Frequency (Hz) |
|---------|---------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------|
| I. ispace sp. nov. | 32.7–33.5 | 19.9–20.6 | 17 | 122 ± 41 (82–185) | 1 | 11–20 | Pulsed | 3129 ± 146.5 (2928–3273) |
| I. tayrona | 31.7–35.0 | 14.4–16.2 | 85 | 269 ± 31 (203–316) | 3–4 | Absent | Tonal | 2710 ± 100.0 (2650–2870) |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.t002
females, except for the sexually dimorphic structures (i.e., nuptial pads and vocal slits in males and convoluted oviducts in females).

**Geographic distribution.** *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov. is currently known only from the type locality, a small stream tributary of the Río San Salvador on the northern flank of the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta 11,1240556 / -73,56472222 at 950 m a.s.l and from Riohacha, Monte Cheturrycuak, sitio La Cueva, small stream on the headwater of Río Tapias, 11,058091/-73.227770 at 850 m a.s.l (Figs 7 and 8). See S2 Appendix.

**Natural history.** Males and females were observed in subtropical forest perched on the vegetation at heights of 1–2 m. (Fig 4). Males were observed calling from the lower and upper surfaces of leaves along streams at height of 50–350 cm approx. (Fig 4A). Females deposited and cared for egg clutches (referred to the species by association with their mothers perched on clutches) on either the upper side or lower side of leaves overhanging streams (ca. 50–100 cm; Fig 4B). Clutches contained uniformly pale cream or pale green eggs (n = 4; 55 ± 6.21; 48–62 eggs; Fig 4B). The morphology of the egg mass observed near males of *I. ispacue* sp. nov. is a monolayer mass lacking eggs and jelly in the center of the clutch, which gives an appearance of a "ring" shape (n = 3; Fig 4C). Embryos exhibit cranial hypervascularization, which turned their color reddish or pink; the heart is translucent but colored reddish by blood. Tadpoles of *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov. were found buried in fallen leaves and sand in small pools (area = 1–2 m²; depth = 30–50 cm) located along the edge of streams.

**Advertisement call.** The call of the species consisted of a high-pitched note ("trill") of 11–20 pulses with modulated amplitude (Fig 6) (n = 17; Table 3). Mean call duration was 0.122 ± 0.041 s (0.082–0.185 s) with a mean pulse duration of 0.007 ± 0.001 s (0.006–0.007 s); pulses were adjacent or were separated by silent intervals < 0.004 s in duration, although the terminal pulses in two calls were separated by 0.018 s (0.014–0.022 s) (Fig 6). Mean pulse rate was 137.25 ± 18.31 pulses/s (109.2–155.21 pulses/s). There was not a clear frequency modulation through the call; the dominant frequency of the whole call was 3129.37 ± 146.55 Hz (2928–3273 Hz), the lower frequency was 2646.73 ± 157.87 Hz (2440–2852 Hz), and the higher frequency was 3581.59 ± 85.23 Hz (3450–3685 Hz).

**Larvae of Ikakogi ispacue** sp. nov.

**External morphology.** All measurements are in mm (Figs 9 and 10; n = 1 at Gosner’s stage 25, CBUMAG: ANF 01015; Table 4). In dorsal view, body elongated, elliptical, wider medially, snout acuminated (Fig 9B). In lateral view, body elliptical, depressed (BH/BL = 41.1%), snout acuminated (Fig 9A). Eyes dorsal, reduced (ED/BW = 12.7%; ED/BL = 7.5%), directed anterolaterally. Nares reniform, located dorsally, directed anterolaterally; nares with marginal rim and small triangular fleshy projection on sagittal margin (Fig 9D). Interorbital distance 0.6 times eye diameter; IOD/IND = 63.6%.

Mouth anteroventral (Fig 9C), not emarginated laterally, bordered by single row of 64 conical, alternated, marginal papillae; upper lip with large diastema; marginal papillae longest on the medial lower lip; submarginal papillae absent (Fig 10A); oral disc 47.6% of body width. Labial tooth row formula 2(2)/3; A-1 = A-2, P-1 = P-2>P-3; A2 gap large, extending through the descending border of the upper jaw. Jaw sheaths present, serrate, keratinized; upper jaw sheath arch-shaped; lower jaw sheath U-shaped; upper jaw sheath wider than lower jaw sheath (Fig 10A). Labia tooth wider distally, body narrow; head convex, cuspidate; tooth body-head with medial constriction; 18–20 cusps on each tooth (Fig 10B).

Spiracle sinistral, tubular, short, posterolaterally located, at 84.1% of body length (BL), directed posterolaterally in dorsal view, dorsad at angle of 30–45° in lateral view; inner wall present, distally free from the body, longer than external wall; opening elliptical (Fig 9E). Vent
Fig 7. Map of northern Colombia showing locality records of *Ikakogi tayrona* and *I. ispacue* sp. nov. Detailed information about localities is provided in S2 Appendix.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.g007
tube medial, tubular, positioned at the level of ventral fin, fused to ventral fin medially (Fig 9C and 9F). Tail long (TAL/TL = 70.6%), low (BH/MTH = 88%); caudal muscles not reaching rounded tip; dorsal fin arched, originating on body/tail junction, ventral fin arched; dorsal fin slightly higher than ventral fin. Myotomes V-shaped, arranged in serial blocks; maximum tail height 19.3% of total length. Lateral line stitches conspicuous, including X, Y and Z lines.

**Measurements.** TL = 36.4; BL = 10.7; BW = 6.3; BH = 4.4; DFH = 0.9; VFH = 0.9; ED = 0.8; IND = 2.2; IOD = 1.4; SSD = 9.0; ESD = 3.3; MTH = 3.0; TMH = 3.0; TMW = 2.6; TAL = 25.7; ODW = 3.0; VTL = 1.2.

**Color in life.** High-vascularized skin, which gives the larvae a reddish or pinkish general appearance. Dorsum slightly pigmented but with some minute dark gray scattered punctuations especially concentrated between the eyes, in the posterior section of body and along the tail. Ventral skin of body translucent, intestine translucent, liver dark red, heart and other

| Male | SVL (mm) | Temperature (˚C) | Number of calls | Call duration (s) | Number of pulses | Pulse rate (pulses/s) | Mean Pulse duration (s) | Peak Frequency (Hz) | Low Frequency (Hz) | High Frequency (Hz) |
|------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1    | 33.5     | 19.9            | 6               | 0.120             | 18               | 155.21               | 0.007                  | 3072.07              | 2631.67           | 3568.33           |
| 2    | 32.7     | 20.6            | 5               | 0.088             | 11               | 129.16               | 0.007                  | 3273.00              | 2852.00           | 3604.60           |
| 3    | -        | -               | 4               | 0.134             | 18               | 146.03               | 0.007                  | 3273.00              | 2740.00           | 3685.00           |
| 4    | -        | -               | 1               | 0.185             | 20               | 109.20               | 0.006                  | 2928.00              | 2440.00           | 3450.00           |
| 5    | -        | -               | 1               | 0.082             | 11               | 146.67               | 0.007                  | 3100.80              | 2570.00           | 3600.00           |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.t003
parts of the circulatory system are bright red. Tail musculature reddish with conspicuous brownish longitudinal stripe in the midline of myotomes, extending from the tail-body junction until almost 1/3 of the tail. Tail fins are transparent with minute melanophores mainly in the distal portion.
Color in preservative. The color pattern is similar to that of living tadpoles but loses its red or pink coloration. The dorsum, tail musculature, and venter are light cream. Melanophores on dorsum, tail fins and tail musculature turn pale gray (Fig 9A–9F).

Variation and ontogenetic development. Variation of 13 meristic characters of tadpoles in stages 25–35 are given in Table 4. Labial tooth row formula, 2(2)/3, invariable at different stages (Table 5); nevertheless, at stage 25 the upper and lower tooth rows are less developed, i.e., teeth are weakly keratinized and interrupted in some areas. Extension of middle dark gray stripe of the tail musculature slightly varies between the half and posterior third. In some specimens the tail tip is bluntly pointed or rounded (Fig 9A). In stages 25 to 28, the eyes of *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov. are small, scarcely pigmented and C-shaped in dorsal view, whereas they are larger and more pigmented and round by stage 35.

Internal morphology. Figs 11–16, n = 2 at Gosner’s stage 28 and 35, CBUMAG: ANF 01015.

Table 4. Mean and standard deviation of the morphological measurements (mm) of *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov. tadpoles.

| Species          | Stage | n  | TL (mm) | BL (mm) | BW (mm) | BH (mm) | IND (mm) | IOD (mm) | SSD (mm) | ESD (mm) | MTH (mm) | TAL (mm) | TMH (mm) | ODW (mm) | VTL (mm) |
|------------------|-------|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov. | 25    | 2  | 16.0 ± 0.6 | 4.6 ± 0.1 | 2.5 ± 0.1 | 1.5 ± 0.0 | 0.8 ± 0.1 | 0.9 ± 0.0 | 3.8 ± 0.1 | 1.4 ± 0.1 | 2.2 ± 0.1 | 11.4 ± 0.7 | 1.5 ± 0.1 | 1.2 ± 0.1 | 0.8 ± 0.0 |
|                  |       |    | (15.6–16.5) | (4.5–4.7) | (2.4–2.5) | (1.5–1.5) | (0.7–0.9) | (0.8–0.8) | (3.7–3.9) | (1.3–1.5) | (2.2–2.3) | (10.9–11.9) | (1.5–16) | (1.2–1.2) | (0.8–0.8) |
|                  | 26    | 7  | 7.0 ± 0.4  | 3.8 ± 0.1  | 2.3 ± 0.2  | 1.4 ± 0.1  | 1.1 ± 0.1  | 6.2 ± 0.3  | 2.5 ± 0.2  | 3.1 ± 0.3  | 17.1 ± 0.9  | 17.1 ± 0.9  | 2.1 ± 0.1  | 2.4 ± 0.2  | 0.8 ± 0.1  |
|                  |       |    | (22.3–25.5) | (6.5–7.4) | (3.7–4.0) | (2.1–2.6) | (1.4–1.5) | (1.1–1.3) | (5.9–6.7) | (2.3–2.9) | (2.8–3.6) | (15.6–18.2) | (2.0–2.2) | (2.2–2.6) | (0.7–0.9)  |
|                  | 27    | 3  | 28.5 ± 0.8 | 8.4 ± 0.2  | 4.7 ± 0.2  | 2.7 ± 0.4  | 1.6 ± 0.2  | 1.2 ± 0.0  | 7.0 ± 0.3  | 2.9 ± 0.1  | 3.9 ± 0.3  | 20.1 ± 0.6  | 2.6 ± 0.11 | 2.8 ± 0.0  | 1.1 ± 0.0  |
|                  |       |    | (27.6–29.0) | (8.2–8.6) | (4.5–4.9) | (2.3–3.2) | (1.4–1.9) | (1.9–1.2) | (6.7–7.3) | (2.8–3.0) | (3.7–4.1) | (19.5–20.5) | (2.5–2.7) | (2.8–2.9) | (1.0–1.1)  |
|                  | 28    | 2  | 30.4 ± 1.2 | 8.8 ± 0.4  | 5.3 ± 0.1  | 3.3 ± 0.8  | 2.0 ± 0.0  | 1.3 ± 0.0  | 7.6 ± 0.2  | 3.1 ± 0.3  | 4.2 ± 0.0  | 21.6 ± 0.8  | 2.6 ± 0.2  | 2.3 ± 0.1  | 1.1 ± 0.0  |
|                  |       |    | (29.6–31.2) | (8.5–9.1) | (5.2–5.4) | (3.8–2.8) | (2.0–2.0) | (1.3–1.4) | (7.4–7.8) | (2.9–3.3) | (4.2–4.2) | (21.0–22.2) | (2.5–2.7) | (2.7–2.8) | (1.2–1.1)  |
|                  | 35    | 1  | 36.4      | 10.7      | 6.3       | 4.4       | 2.2       | 1.4       | 9.0       | 3.3       | 5.0       | 25.7      | 3.4       | 3.0       | 1.2       |

Mean ± standard deviation; range into parenthesis. Meristic measurements were: (TL) total length; (BL) body length; (BW) body width; (BH) body height; (IND) internarial distance; (IOD) interorbital distance; (SSD) spiracle-snout distance; (ESD) eye-snout distance; (TAL) tail length; (ESD) eye-snout distance; (MTH) maximum tail height; (TMH) tail muscle height; (ODW) oral disc width; (VTL) vent tube length.
Table 5. Summary of external morphological characters and oral apparatus found in tadpoles of different species of Centrolenidae.

| Species          | Stage | LRTF  | Upper jaw sheath shape | Snout–Spiracle/body distance | Snout shape (lateral view) | Dorsal coloration in preservative | Reference |
|------------------|-------|-------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| C. altitudinale  | 25    | 1/2–3 | Inverted U-shapped*    | Posterior (74–81%)          | Rounded*                  | Slightly pigmented                | [48]      |
| C. daidaleum     | 24    | 0/0   | Inverted U-shapped*    | Posterior (72%)*            | Acuminated                | Slightly pigmented*               | [49]      |
|                  | 25    | 1/2; 2/2 | Inverted U-shapped* | Posterior (88%)*            |                           |                                   |           |
|                  | 26–27 | 2/2   | Inverted U-shapped*    | Posterior (82–84%)*         |                           |                                   |           |
|                  | 28    | 2/2; 2/1 | Inverted U-shapped* | Posterior (71–79%)*         |                           |                                   |           |
|                  | 36    | 2(2)/2 | Inverted U-shapped*    | Posterior (78%)             |                           |                                   |           |
|                  | 41    | 0/0   | Inverted U-shapped*    | Posterior (85%)*            | Truncated                 |                                   |           |
| C. hesperium     | 25    | 2(2)/3 | –                      | Posterior                   | Rounded                  | –                                 | [44]      |
| C. savagei       | 25    | –     | –                      | Posterior (85%)*            | –                         |                                   | [59]      |
|                  | 26    | 2(1)/3 | Inverted U-shapped*    | Posterior (87%)*            | Rounded                   | Slightly pigmented                |           |
|                  | 28–30 | –     | –                      | Posterior (80%)*            | –                         |                                   |           |
|                  | 39    | 1(1)/2(2) | Inverted U-shapped* | Posterior (81%)             | Rounded                   | Slightly pigmented                |           |
| C. revocata      | 25    | 1/3   | Inverted U-shapped*    | Posterior (84%)*            | Rounded*                  | Slightly pigmented*               | [48]      |
| C. vozmedianoi   | 25    | 1/3   | Inverted U-shapped*    | Posterior (71–93%)*         | Rounded*                  | Slightly pigmented*               | [48]      |
| C. euknemos      | 25    | 2(2)/3 | M-shaped**             | Posterior (64%)*            | Rounded                   | Slightly pigmented                | [51]      |
|                  | 34 and 39 | – | –                      | Posterior (65%)*            | Rounded and Acuminated**  | Slightly pigmented                |           |
| C. granulosa     | 25    | 2(2)/3 | M-shaped*              | Posterior (66%)*            | Strongly pigmented*       |                                   | [40]      |
|                  | 27–28 | 1/3   | M-shaped*              | Posterior                   | Rounded                   | Slightly pigmented*               |           |
| C. guayasamini   | 26    | 1/3   | –                      | –                           | Slightly pigmented*       |                                   | [11]      |
|                  | 35    | –     | –                      | –                           | –                         |                                   |           |
|                  | 39    | –     | –                      | –                           | –                         |                                   |           |
| C. resplendens   | 24    | 0/0   | Inverted U-shapped*    | Posterior (69–75%)*         | Rounded                   | Slightly pigmented                | [54]      |
|                  | 25–38 | 2(2)/3 | Inverted U-shapped*    | Posterior                   | Rounded                   |                                   |           |
|                  | 42    | 1/0   | –                      | –                           | –                         |                                   |           |
| E. andina        | 25    | 1/3   | M-shaped               | Posterior                   | Rounded                   | Strongly pigmented/Slightly pigmented | [38] |
|                  | 36    | 2(2)/2(1) | M-shaped*         | Posterior (75–83%)          |                           |                                   | [48]      |
| E. prosoblepon   | –     | 2(2)/3 | Inverted U-shapped*    | Posterior                   | Rounded                   | Slightly pigmented*               | [40]      |
|                  | 27    | –     | –                      | Posterior (79%)*            | Acuminated*               | Strongly pigmented/Slightly pigmented* | [51] |
| H. aureoguttatum | 23    | 1/1   | –                      | –                           | Rounded                   | Slightly pigmented                | [54]      |
|                  | 24–35 | 2(2)/3 | M-shaped               | Posterior (67–79%)*         |                           |                                   |           |
| H. cappellei     | 25    | 0/2   | –                      | –                           | –                         | Slightly pigmented*               | [45]      |
|                  | 25    | –     | Inverted U-shapped*    | Posterior (69–71%)          | Rounded*                  | Slightly pigmented*               | [48]      |
|                  | 25    | 0/3   | Inverted U-shapped*    | Posterior                   | Acuminated**              | Slightly pigmented*               | [47]      |
| H. chirripoi     | 25–41 | 2(2)/3 | Inverted U-shapped*    | Posterior                   | Rounded                   | Slightly pigmented*               | [51]      |
| H. colombiphyllum| 25    | 2(2)/2–3 | Inverted U-shapped*  | Posterior (70%)*            | Rounded                   | Strongly pigmented*               | [46]      |
|                  | 27    | 2(2)/3(1) | Inverted U-shapped*  | Middle (53%)               | Rounded                   | Slightly pigmented*               | [51]      |

(Continued)
| Species | Stage | LRTF | Upper jaw sheath shape | Snout–Spiracle/body distance | Snout shape (lateral view) | Dorsal coloration in preservative | Reference |
|---------|-------|------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
|         |       |      |                        |                            |                           |                                 |           |
| H. duranti | –    | 0/3** | Inverted U-shaped*     | Middle (50%)/ Posterior (68%)* | Rounded*                  | Slightly pigmented*             | [48]      |
| H. fleischmanni | –   | 2(2)/3** | Inverted U-shaped*     | Posterior*                 | Rounded*                  | Slightly pigmented*             | [40]      |
| 25–39 | 2(2)/3* | Inverted U-shaped**  | Posterior (72%)*           | Rounded**                  | Slightly pigmented*             | [51]      |
| H. ibama | 24   | 0/0  | –                      | Middle (58%)*              | Rounded*                  | Slightly pigmented*             | [49]      |
| 25     | 1/1; 2/0; 2/2 and 2/1–2/3 | –          | –                      | Posterior (60%)**           | –                             | Greenish**               |           |
| 26     | 2/2–2/3  | –          | Middle (58–59%)**      | –                           | –                             |                                 |           |
| 27–29 | 2(2)/3* | –          | Middle (55%)/ Posterior (71%)* | –                           | –                             |                                 |           |
| 31     | 2/2    | –          | Middle (59%)**         | –                           | –                             |                                 |           |
| 36     | 2/2    | Inverted U-shaped* | Middle (57%)            | –                           | –                             |                                 |           |
| 41     | 2/1    | –          | Posterior (66%)*       | –                           | Truncated                   | –                                |           |
| 42     | 0/0    | –          | Middle (59%)*          | –                           | –                             | –                                |           |
| H. orientale | 25  | 1/3  | M-shaped               | Middle (46–55%)            | Rounded*                    | Slightly pigmented*             | [48]      |
| H. orientale tobagense | 25  | 2(2)/3(1) | –                      | M-shaped (58%)            | Rounded                     | Slightly pigmented*             | [55]      |
| H. talamancae | 25–29 | 2/3 | Inverted U-shaped* | Middle (53%)               | Rounded                     | Slightly pigmented*             | [51]      |
| H. taylori | 25   | 1/3  | M-shaped               | Posterior (75–84%)        | Rounded*                    | Slightly pigmented*             | [48]      |
| H. valeroi | –    | 2(2)/3* | M-shaped**            | –                           | –                           | Slightly pigmented*             | [40]      |
| 25–41 | 2(2)/3* | M-shaped** | –                      | Posterior (65–71%)*        | Rounded                     | –                                |           |
| H. vireovittatum | 25   | 2/3  | Inverted U-shaped*    | Middle (57%)               | Rounded                     | Slightly pigmented*             | [51]      |
| I. ispuc sp. nov. | 25–35 | 2(2)/3 | Inverted U-shaped*    | Posterior (63–81%)*        | Acuminated                   | Slightly pigmented               | This study |
| I. tayrona | –    | 2(2)/3** | Inverted U-shaped**    | Posterior*                 | Acuminated**                | Slightly pigmented**            | [41]      |
| 24–34 | 2(2)/3** | Inverted U-shaped**   | Posterior*                 | Acuminated**                | Slightly pigmented**          | This study |
| 35–39 | 2(2)/2** | Inverted U-shaped**   | Posterior*                 | Acuminated**                | Slightly pigmented**          | This study |
| 40     | 2(2)/2; 1(1)/2 | –          | –                      | –                           | –                             | –                                |           |
| 41     | 2(2)/1; 1(1)/2 and 1/1 | –          | –                      | –                           | –                             | –                                |           |
| 42     | 0/2    | –          | Posterior (78)**       | Truncated                   | Greenish**                   | –                                |           |
| 43     | 0/0    | –          | Posterior (68–70)**    | Truncated                   | Greenish**                   | –                                |           |
| N. grandisonae | 24  | 0/0–2/2–2/3 | –                      | Posterior (68–70%)*        | Slightly pigmented*          | [52]      |
| 25–27 | 2/2    | –          | Posterior (60%)*       | –                           | –                             | –                                |           |
| 28–29 | 2/2–2/3 | –          | Middle (55%)/ Posterior (61%)* | –                           | Rounded**                    | –                                |           |
| 32     | 2/3    | M-shaped   | Posterior (64%)*       | –                           | –                             | –                                |           |
| 36     | 2(2)/3* | Posterior (60%)*     | Rounded                   | –                           | –                             | –                                |           |
| 38     | 2/2–1/2 | Posterior (63%)*     | –                         | –                           | –                             | –                                |           |
| 39     | 1/2    | Posterior (60%)*     | –                         | –                           | –                             | –                                |           |
| S. albomaculata | 25–37 | –    | Inverted U-shaped*    | Posterior (67–81%)*        | Rounded*                    | Strongly pimented               | [51]      |
| S. ilex | 25–36 | –    | Inverted U-shaped*    | Posterior (75–81%)*        | Acuminated*                  | Strongly pimented               | [51]      |

(Continued)
Buccopharyngeal cavity—(Fig 11A and 11B). Buccal roof elongated, elliptical, longer than wide, with most features concentrated in the anterior portion. Pre-narial arena semi-circular, with inverted V-shaped dermal crest covered with pustulations. Internal nares elliptical, oriented vertically, longitudinally to body’s main axis; anterior and posterior margins with large conical projections; valve projection present, triangular, well developed, positioned laterally. Vacuities present, circumscribed by the inner nares margins. Post-narial papillae present, conical, arranged in series of three; third post-narial papillae is the tallest, bearing pustulations, located laterally to median ridge. Median ridge conical, tall. Lateral ridge papilla present, simple, long, triangular, with small pustulations; three triangular papillae present near the lateral ridge papillae. Buccal roof arena poorly delimited, U-shaped, devoid of papillae, medially continuous (Fig 11A). Buccal floor wider posteriorly than anteriorly, with most features concentrated in the anterior portion. Infra-labial papillae present in two pairs; central pair shorter, conical, bearing postulation; lateral pair large tall, located on Meckel’s cartilage, wider on the base, bearing postulations. Few pustulations on mouth’s opening. Lingual bud poorly discernible, bearing four lingual, conical, tall, papillae. Pre-pocket papillae present. Buccal pocket poorly marked superficially; circularly perforated. Buccal floor arena U-shaped, devoid of pustulations; ten lateral buccal floor arena papillae conical, tall, investing the central arena (one of those papillae is a four branched, large papilla). Glandular zone well marked; spicular support evident. Ventral velum well marked, medially continuous, with marginal projection, and discrete medial notch; ventral surface with glandular porous (Fig 11B).

Table 5. (Continued)

| Species     | Stage | LRTF | Upper jaw sheath shape | Snout–Spiracle/body distance | Snout shape (lateral view) | Dorsal coloration in preservative | Reference |
|-------------|-------|-----|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| T. palverata | 24–41 | 2/3 | Inverted U-shaped*     | Posterior (65–78%)*           | Acuminated**               | Strongly pimented*               | [81]      |
|             | 25–39 | –   | Inverted U-shaped*     | Posterior (70%)              | Rounded**                  |                                  |           |
| T. spinosa  | –     | 0/3** | Inverted U-shaped*   | Posterior*                    | Rounded**                  | Strongly pimented*               | [40]      |
|             | 25–36 | –   | Inverted U-shaped*     | Posterior (27–78%)*          | Acuminated*                |                                  |           |
| V. castroviejoi | 25   | 1/3 | Inverted U-shaped*   | Middle (53–57%)*             | Rounded*                   | Slightly pigmented               | [48]      |
| V. eurygnatha | 25–42 | –   | Inverted U-shaped**   | Posterior                    | Acuminated**               | Slightly pigmented*              | [42]      |
|             | 28 and 35 | 0/0 | Inverted U-shaped**  |                               |                           |                                  |           |
| V. helenae  | 25   | 1/3 | Inverted U-shaped*   | Posterior (75–80%)*          | Rounded                    | Strongly pigmented               | [48]      |
| V. ritae    | 25   | 0/1–2 | –          | –                            | –                          | Slightly pigmented*             | [43]; [48]|
|             | 25   | –   | Inverted U-shaped*     | Posterior                    | Acuminated**               |                                  | [50]      |
| V. uranoscopa | 25–41 | 1/2 | Inverted U-shaped**  | Posterior                    | Acuminated**               | Slightly pigmented*              | [42]      |
|             | 31   | 2/2 | Inverted U-shaped**  |                               |                           |                                  |           |

A single asterisk (*) denotes additional information or some modification of the character/state from the original source.
Double asterisks (**) denote new information.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.t005
Larval muscles. The *musculus interhyodeus posterior* and the *m. diaphragmatoparaechordalis* are present Figs 12–15; S5 Appendix; the former is well developed and continuous medially (Fig 14A). Jaw muscles well developed; the *m. levator mandibulae longus profundus et superficialis* are massive, occupying the entire area of the fenestra sub-ocular (Fig 11A). Laterally, the origin of the *m. suspensorioangularis* occupies the entire lateroventral surface of the palatoquadrate (Fig 11D). Large axial muscles, inserting on the anterior portion of the dorsal otic capsule. The most striking muscle is the well-developed *levator arcus branchialium III* with two slips: one originating in the dorsal otic capsule and crossing the axial muscles to insert in the ceratobranchial III (Fig 13), and the other on originating on posterior palatoquadrate and ventrolateral otic capsule.

Sinus hyobranchialis. The *sinus hyobranchialis* lies ventrally to the hyobranchial apparatus [81, 51]. In *Ikakogi* larvae the *sinus* is reduced and restricted to the basic *receptaculum dischi oralis* (RDO), lacking the *receptaculum lateralis et transversalis*. The RDO is rounded and small, located at the level of the *processus urobranchialis* of the ceratohyal; in preserved specimens a mass of blood tissues can still be observed. The *vena lateralis hyobranchialis* is well developed and large in diameter. Both individuals possess slender guts and lack lungs (Figs 14 and 15).

Skeleton. *Chondrocranium* completely cartilaginous, almost ovoid, and broad posteriorly (at the level of the posterior palatoquadrate) (Fig 16A and 16B). Rostral region slightly depressed. In lateral view, the highest part of the *chondrocranium* at the ceratohyal plane and
muscular process (Fig 16A). Suprarostral cartilage quadripartite, consisting of a central corpus, laterally in contact to the lateral alae (Fig 16A). Central corpus formed by two robust rectangular plates, articulated medially along most of their extension. Triangular-shaped alae, robust, posterodorsal processes with rounded tip. Suprarostral articulates with the trabecular horns through the corpus and alae regions. Trabecular horns large (45–48% of the chondrocranium length), slightly curved ventrally; anterior margin rounded with a medial projection, inner and outer margins smooth. Lateral trabecular process indistinct. Nasal structures not visible.

In the cranial floor, basicranial fenestra slightly occluded by a thin translucent cartilage, the intertrabeculare planum, pierced by two rounded pairs of foramina. The posterior, the primary carotid and the anterior and smaller, the craniopalatine foramen (Fig 16A and 16B). Lateral walls of the braincase formed by translucent orbital cartilages, extended towards the otic capsule, joined by a thin bar of cartilage arising from base of the pila antotica. Optic and oculomotor foramen visible on the posteroverentral region of the orbital cartilage; oculomotor foramen open dorsally. Large frontoparietal fontanelle, approximately 70–75% of the chondrocranium length, almost rectangular (less width anterior than posteriorly), laterally bordered by the translucent orbital cartilage (there is no taeniae tecti marginales), anteriorly by the posterior

Fig 12. Cranial muscles of the tadpole of *Ikakogi ispace sp. nov.* Dorsal (A) and lateral views (B–D). Abbreviations: LAB (I to III), *musculus levator archus branchialium* I to III; LMA, *m. levator mandibulae articularis*; LMI, *m. levator mandibulae internus*; LMLP, *m. levator mandibulae longus profundus*; LMLS, *m. levator mandibulae longus superficialis*; OH, *m. orbitohyoideus*; QA, *m. quadraatoangularis*; SA, *m. suspensorioangularis*; SH, *m. suspensoriohyoideus*. CBUMAG: ANF 01016, stage 28. Scale bar equal to 1.0 mm.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.g012
trabecular horns and posteriorly by a thin *tectum synoticum*. *Taeniae tecti medialis* and *transversalis* absent. Otic capsules square-shaped, well developed and robust, 22–25% of the *chondrocranium* length. Anterolateral process of the crista parotica indistinct; larval otic process absent. Large oval fenestra. Jugulare and inferior perilymphatic foramina present, both visible from ventral view of the otic capsules.

Palatoquadrate wider anteriorly than posteriorly, their posterior regions curved to the *chondrocranium* (Fig 16B). Short articular process, projected anteriorly to articulate with the Meckel’s cartilage. Thin quadratocranial commissure, joining the palatoquadrate with the neurocranium. Quadratoethmoid and pseudopterygoid processes of the quadratocranial commissure absent. Muscular process of the quadratocranial commissure very low, its rounded tip oriented vertically, not surpassing the antoorbital process. Hyoquadrate process notable. Outer margin of the palatoquadrate slightly raised, directed laterally in lateral view. Palatoquadrate and *neurocranium* connected posteriorly through the ascending process, a rod-like cartilage, directed anteromedially and attached posteroventrally to the oculomotor foramen.
The posterior curvature of the palatoquadrate extends to the anterior level of the capsula auditiva.

In the lower jaw (Fig 14B and 14E), sigmoid Meckel's cartilages oriented almost perpendicular to the main axis of the chondrocranium, ventral to the trabecular horns. Meckel's cartilage articulates to the articular process of the palatoquadrate by the posterior surface of the very short retroarticular process and opposite internal margin of Meckel's cartilage (Fig 16E); dorsomedial and ventromedial processes of the cartilage of Meckel's very low. Infrarostral cartilages rectangular, lateral ends thinner than medial region, joined medially by connective tissue, describing a weak wide V-shape. Small ovoid corpus cartilages between the ceratobranchial and palatoquadrate absent.

In the hyobranchial apparatus (Fig 16C), ceratohyals flat, well extended laterally and projected anteriorly by mean of the sub-acuminate anterior process, and posteriorly by a notable and almost triangular posterior process (see Fig 16C). Anterolateral process notable, tip
Fig 15. Detail of the sinus hyobranchialis of the tadpole of *Ikakogi ispace sp. nov* (arrow). (A). Detail of the musculus subarcualis obliquus with two slips (B), and m. hyoangularis with two slips (C); arrow indicates the small tendon. CBUMAG: ANF 01016, stage 28. Scale bar equal to 1.0 mm.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.g015
subacuminated. Ceratohyals joined by the partially chondrified pars reuniens. Basihyal (copula I) evident. Basibranchial diamond-shaped, bearing a low and truncate urobranchial process, fused to the hypobranchial plates (two very long thin sheets not articulated medially). Outer margin of hypobranchial plate with notable lateral hypobranchial process, tip sub-truncated. Hypobranchial process at level of the second (CBII) and third (CBIII) ceratobranchial. At level of CBII, *Commissura proximalis* I and II (CP) joined by a small process arised from hypobranchial I and by the lateral hypobranchial process. *Commissura proximalis* III absent. Branchial

Fig 16. Larval chondrocranium morphology of the tadpole of *Ikakogi ispaceue* sp. nov. Dorsal (A), and ventral views (B), apparatus hyobranchiales (C), suprarosstral (D) and infrarosstral cartilage and Meckel's cartilage (E). CBUMAG; ANF 01016, stage 28 Scale bar equal to 1 mm. Abbreviations: BH, bashyal; CB (I-IV), ceratobranchials I to IV; CH, ceratohyal; CQA, commissura quadrotocranialis; CT, cornua trabeculae; FBC, fenestrae basicranialis; FCA, foramen caroticum primarum; FCR, foramen craniopalatinum; IC, infrarosstral cartilage; Meckel's cartilage; NC, notochord canal; PAB, processus anterior branchialis; PAH, processus anterior hyalis; PALH, processus anterolateralis hyalis; PAO, processus antorbitalis; PHB, planum hypobranchiales; PPH, processus posterior hyalis; RAP, retroarticulat process of Meckel's cartilage; SA, suprarosstral ala; SB, subocular bar; SC, suprarosstral corpora; SF, subocular fenestrae; SP, spicules. Scale bar equal to 1.0 mm.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.g016
basket with four curved ceratobranchials and numerous lateral projections. Ceratobranchial I continuous with the hypobranchial plate by mean of the hypobranchial I, and it bears a notable, medially curved branchial process. Branchial process tip rounded. Spicula I–III long,
curved postero-dorsally; spicula IV shorter, straight (Fig 16C). Second and third ceratobran-
chials with free branchial process, notable on CBIII, not fused between each. Ceratobranchials
distally joined by terminal commissures. Posterior process of ceratohyal not connected to the
anterior margin of the hypobranchial plate (Fig 16C).

Comparisons. The tadpoles of *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov. differ from others of the family
in their slightly pigmented dorsal coloration in preservative (strongly pigmented in *Vitreorana
helenae*, *Sachatamia albomaculata*, *S. ilex*, *Teratohyla spinosa* and *T. pulvarata; Table 5"). *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov. has a distinctively arch-shaped upper jaw sheath, whereas
the upper jaw sheaths are M-shaped in *Espadarana andina*, *Cochranella euknemos*, *Co.
granulosa*, *Hyalinobatrachium aureoguttatum*, *H. orientale*, *H. taylori*, *H. valerioi* and *Nym-
phargus grandisonae* (Table 5). The spiracle is closer to the posterior margin of the body in *I.
ispacue* sp. nov. (84.1% of BL), whereas it is approximately midway between the snout and
the posterior margin of the body in *Hyalinobatrachium ibama* (stages 31 and 36; 59.0 and
57.0% of BL, respectively). In lateral view the snout is acuminate in contrast to the rounded
snouts of *Centrolene hesperium*, *Celsistema revocata*, *Cel. vozmedianoi*, *Cochranella granulosa*,
*Co. resplendens*, *Hyalinobatrachium aureoguttatum*, *H. chirripoi*, *H. colymbiphyllum*, *H. dur-
anti*, *H. fleischmanni*, *H. ibama*, *H. orientale*, *H. taylori*, *H. valerioi*, *H. vireovittatum*, *N.
grandisonae*, *Vitreorana castroviejoj* and *V. helenae* (Table 5). The labial tooth row formula
2(2)/3 distinguishes *I. ispacue* sp. nov. from *Centrolene altitudinale* (LTRF: 1/2–3), *Ce. sav-
geri* (LTRF: 2(1)/3 and 1(1)/2(2)), *Ce. daidaleum* (LTRF: 1/2 and 2(2)/2), *Co. guayasamini*
(LTRF: 1/3), *H. campellei* (LTRF: 0/2 and 0/3), *V. eurygnatha* (LTRF: 0/0) and *V. uranoscopa*
(LTRF: 1/1 to 2/2; Table 5). The tadpole of *I. ispacue* sp. nov. is almost indistinguishable
from the larvae of *I. tayrona*, however they differ in their internal morphology, specifically
in the presence of 13 lateral buccal floor papillae in the larvae of the *I. ispacue* sp. nov. (Fig
11B), 10 lateral buccal floor papillae in *I. tayrona* sp. nov. (Fig 20A). Additionally, both spe-
cies have five conical papillae in the buccal roof arena, whereas in the larva of *I. ispacue* sp.
three of them are lateral and two are medial. *I. tayrona* (Figs 17 and 18; n = 2) and absent in the larvae of *Ikakogi tayrona*
(n = 2; Fig 16). However, given the small sample studied by us, the occurrence of the basihyal should be interpreted with caution (see Discussion section ahead).

Redescription of the tadpole of *I. tayrona* (Ruiz-Carranza and Lynch, 1991b)

The tadpole of *Ikakogi tayrona* has been mentioned in two articles. [41] presented a brief
description of a series of specimens identified as *Geobatrachus walkerii*, a species with direct
development found in the Cuchilla of San Lorenzo, Santa Marta area in Colombia, and in
[49], which cited few external larvae features (i.e. LTRF, spiracle position, snout shape
and body coloration). Despite being very valuable, these descriptions lack complete illustrations and were based on a single or small series not taking into account intraspecific vari-
tion, thus limiting several characteristics necessary for its identification and species comparisons.

External morphology. External morphology characters and general morphology of the
tadpoles of *Ikakogi tayrona* are identical to those of *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov (Figs 17 and 18;
n = 1 at Gosner’s stage 35, CBUMAG: ANF 01017; Tables 5 and 6).
Fig 17. Tadpole in stage 35 of *Ikakogi tayrona* in lateral view. (A) dorsal and ventral views (B–C). Note the short spiracle and the translucent venter (C), nostril, spiracle and vent tube respectively (D–F). CBUMAG: ANF 01017 stage 35. Scale bar equal to 2 mm (A–C).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.g017

**Measurements.**  
TL = 46.0; BL = 12.8; BW = 6.8; BH = 4.7; DFH = 1.7; VFH = 1.6; 
ED = 0.9; IND = 2.2; IOD = 2.4; SSD = 9.8; ESD = 4.6; MTH = 6.8; TMH = 3.9; TMW = 3.0; 
TAL = 33.2; ODW = 3.8; VTL = 1.8.

**Color in life.**  
Highly vascularized skin, which gives the larvae a dark red or pink coloration (body and tail musculature). Dorsum slightly pigmented but with some minute scattered
pigmentation especially concentrated between eyes, posterior section of body and on myotomes across tail. Ventral skin of body translucent, intestine translucent but with brown or dark green color because of the intestinal content, liver dark reddish, heart and other parts of the circulatory system red. Tail musculature red with conspicuous medial brownish longitudinal stripe in the midline of myotomes, extending from the tail-body junction until almost three half 1/3 of the tail. Tail fins are transparent but with minute melanophores mainly in distal surface (Fig 19).

**Color in preservative.** The color pattern is similar to that of living tadpoles, but loses its red or pink coloration. The dorsum, tail musculature and venter light cream. Melanophores in dorsum, tail fins and tail musculature turn pale gray (Fig 17A–17C).

**Variation and ontogenetic development.** Variation of 13 morphometric characters of tadpoles in Stages 24–43 are given in Table 6. Tadpoles of stages 24–25 invariably presented tooth rows slightly keratinized, poorly developed and/or in some areas interrupted. The labial tooth row formulae from stage 25 to 34 is (2(2)/3), and from stage 35 to 40 is 2(2)/2. In late stages (41–43) a gradual reduction of LTRF is also observed, changing from 1(1)/2; 2(2)/1; 1/1; 0/2 to 0/0.

In some specimens the middle dark brown band of the tail musculature are either interrupted or incomplete; its extension slight varies between the half and posterior third of the tail musculature. The tail tip is bluntly pointed (Fig 17A) or rounded. The eyes of *Ikakogi* are small, scarcely pigmented and C-shaped in dorsal view in stages 25 to 28, whereas they are bigger, pigmented and round when they reach the stage 35 and latter (Fig 17B). Major differences in pigment pattern were observed in the sample: tadpoles from the stage 41 to 43 showed a uniformly greenish color pattern in the dorsal skin and limbs and the eyes are oriented anterolaterally. Also, the snout was rounded or truncate in dorsal and lateral views (Fig 19A–19C).

**Internal morphology.** **Buccopharyngeal cavity.** The characteristics of the buccal roof and floor are almost identical in *Ikakogi tayrona* and *I. ispace* sp. nov. with the following exceptions: (1) five tall and conical papillae present in the buccal roof arena, all laterally located and, (2) 13 lateral buccal floor arena papillae in *I. tayrona* (Figs 20 and 21).

**Larval muscles.** The origin and insertion of all muscles are identical in *I. tayrona* and *I. ispace* sp. nov. (see S5 Appendix, Fig 22).
Table 6. Mean and standard deviation of the morphological measurements (mm) of *Ikakogi tayrona* tadpoles.

| Stage | N | TL (4.4–12.1) | BL (4.4–12.1) | BW (4.4–12.1) | BH (4.4–12.1) | IND (4.4–12.1) | IOD (4.4–12.1) | SSD (4.4–12.1) | ESD (4.4–12.1) | MTH (4.4–12.1) | TAL (4.4–12.1) | TMH (4.4–12.1) | ODW (4.4–12.1) | VTL (4.4–12.1) |
|-------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| 24    | 9 | 23.0 ± 1.2  | 7.0 ± 0.5    | 4.0 ± 0.5    | 2.4 ± 0.5    | 0.8 ± 0.0     | 1.3 ± 0.2      | 5.8 ± 0.3      | 2.4 ± 0.3      | 3.2 ± 0.4      | 15.9 ± 0.9     | 2.0 ± 0.4      | 2.2 ± 0.2      | 0.7 ± 0.2    |
| 25    | 12 | 27.0 ± 1.7  | 8.3 ± 0.4    | 4.5 ± 0.4    | 2.7 ± 0.4    | 1.4 ± 0.3     | 1.3 ± 0.2      | 6.6 ± 0.5      | 2.9 ± 0.4      | 3.7 ± 0.6      | 18.7 ± 1.5      | 2.2 ± 0.3      | 2.4 ± 0.2      | 0.9 ± 0.1    |
| 26    | 14 | 28.2 ± 2.4  | 8.5 ± 0.8    | 4.6 ± 0.4    | 2.4 ± 0.4    | 1.5 ± 0.2     | 1.3 ± 0.2      | 6.8 ± 0.9      | 3.0 ± 0.5      | 4.3 ± 0.4      | 19.7 ± 1.8      | 2.3 ± 0.4      | 2.4 ± 0.2      | 0.9 ± 0.3    |
| 27    | 8  | 29.8 ± 4.6  | 8.9 ± 1.6    | 4.9 ± 0.7    | 2.6 ± 0.7    | 1.4 ± 0.2     | 1.3 ± 0.4      | 7.3 ± 1.3      | 3.3 ± 0.8      | 4.6 ± 0.8      | 20.9 ± 3.2      | 2.5 ± 0.6      | 2.6 ± 0.4      | 0.9 ± 0.2    |

Mean ± standard deviation; range into parenthesis. Meristic measurements were: (TL) total length; (BL) body length; (BW) body width; (BH) body height; (IND) interorbital distance; (IOD) interorbital distance; (SSD) spiracle-snout distance; (ESD) eye-snout distance; (MTH) maximum tail height; (TMH) tail muscle height; (ODW) oral disc width; (VTL) vent tube length.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.t006

**Sinus hyobranchialis.** There are no differences between *Ikakogi tayrona* larvae and *I. ispapuce* sp. nov. (Fig 23).

**Skeleton.** The internal morphology of *Ikakogi tayrona* larvae is similar to the descriptions provided before for *I. ispapuce* sp. nov. with the following exceptions: (1) the anterior margin of trabecular horns is rounded without medial projection; (2) the oculomotor foramens is closed totally; (3) the small ovoid corpus cartilage between ceratobranchial I and palatoquadrate is present; (4) the Bashiyal (copula I) is absent; (5) in one tadpole in stage 35, the right CBIII is joined to the **planum hyobranchiale** by a weak and thin cartilage at level of its spicula and, (6) in stage 35, the posterior process of ceratohyal is joined by a connective tissue to the anterior margin of the hyobranchial plate (Fig 24).

**Comparisons.** The tadpole of *Ikakogi tayrona* differs from those of other centrolenids by its slightly pigmented dorsolateral coloration in preservative (strongly pigmented in *Sachatamia*...
albomaculata, S. iles, Teratohyla spinosa, T. pulverata and Vitreorana helenae; Table 5). In lateral view the snout of the tadpole of I. tayrona is acuminated unlike a rounded snout that is found in Centrolene hesperium, Celsiella revocata, Cel. vozmedianoi, Cochranella granulosa, Co. resplendens, Hyalinobatrachium aureoguttatum, H. chirripoi, H. colymbiphyllum, H. duranti, H. fleischmanni, H. ibama, H. orientale, H. taylori, H. valeri, H. vireovittatum, N. grandisonae, Vitreorana castroviejoi and V. helenae (Table 5). Ikakogi tayrona has an arch-shaped
upper jaw sheath, whereas the compilation of the upper jaw sheaths in Centrolenids describe the sheath to be M-shaped for *Espadarana andina*, *Co. euknemos*, *Co. granulosa*, *Hyalinobatrachium aureoguttatum*, *H. orientale*, *H. tayleri*, *H. valeroi* and *N. grandisonae*. The labial tooth formula 2(2)/3 distinguishes *I. tayrona* from *Centrolene altitudinale* (LTRF: 1/2-3), *Ce. daidaelum* (LTRF: 1/2 and 2(2)/2), *Ce. savagei* (LTRF: 2(1)/3 and 1(1)/2(2)), *Co. guayasamini* (LTRF: 1/3), *H. cappellei* (LTRF: 0/2 and 0/3), *V. eurygnatha* (LTRF: 0/0) and *V. uranoscopa* (LTRF: 1/1 to 2/2), Table 5. Externally, the tadpole of *I. tayrona* is indistinguishable from the larvae of *I. ispacue* sp. nov., however they can be differentiated by their internal morphology, specifically, by the presence of ten lateral buccal floor papillae in the larvae of the *I. tayrona* (Fig 24B), 13 lateral buccal floor papillae in *I. ispacue* sp. nov. (Fig 11B). They can also be differentiated because the larva of *I. tayrona* have five conical papillae located laterally in the buccal roof arena (Fig 24A), whereas the papillae are located laterally (three) and medially (two) in *I. ispacue* sp. nov. (Fig 11A), and because the basihyal is absent in the larvae of *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov., present in *I. tayrona* (Fig 24), but see Discussion section below.

**Oviposition site, egg clutches, and tadpole habitat.** Females deposited and cared for egg clutches (referred to the species by association with parental perched on clutches) on the
upper or lower side of leaves overhanging streams (ca. 0.5–2 m). Clutches of *Ikakogi tayrona* consisted of 53 cream or very pale green eggs (n = 6; ±10.21; 40–71 eggs); the mean size of eggs in clutches varies from 3.2 to 5.7 mm (see Table 7). The morphology of the egg mass observed near males of *I. tayrona* is a monolayer mass usually lacking eggs and jelly in the center of the clutch, which gives an appearance of a "ring" shape (n = 6). Embryos exhibit a hyper-vascularization of head, resulting in a reddish or pink head; the heart is translucent but reddish by blood. The tadpoles of *Ikakogi tayrona* were collected buried in sand in small backwaters and ponds at the border of streams. The area of the ponds was 3–4 m², with a maximum depth of 50–80 cm.

**Discussion**

Anurans of the family Centrolenidae are a diverse clade of arboreal frogs distributed across the Neotropical region [24]. As currently known and including the species here in described, Centrolenidae contains 158 species, 50% of which occur in Colombia [24]. Recently, several species of centrolenids have been described or documented to occur in that country, and more are expected to be discovered as research continues in biologically unexplored and topographically complex regions (e.g. [12, 15, 16]).

The genus *Ikakogi* was extracted from *Centrolene sensu lato*, which was found to be non-monophyletic [5]. *Ikakogi tayrona* and its putative sister species *I. ispacue* sp. nov. are medium-sized centrolenid frogs that are endemic to the Sierra Nevada of Santa Marta [70]. Males of both species emit calls from the upper and lower surfaces of leaves and contrary to most centrolenid frogs, egg care is performed by females rather than males ([6, 80], present study).

Other recent studies have demonstrated that the diversity of the highly endemic herpetofauna of SNSM is underestimated (e.g. [84, 85, 81, 86]). These findings, coupled with the present study, suggest that the diversity of amphibians and reptiles in the SNSM of Colombia is greater than the current estimates and underscore the need to conduct additional surveys of the SNSM fauna.
Recent molecular-based phylogenetic analyses have greatly improved centrolenid systematics [5, 7, 10, 11]. As a result of these advances, Guayasamin et al. [5] proposed a revised taxonomy composed of 12 genera. However, some disagreement remains regarding the relationships among some genera, especially within the tribe Cochranellini, but also regarding the phylogenetic position of *Ikakogi* [5, 11]. These disagreements are partly attributable to the conflicting phylogenies among different studies that used dissimilar alignent and optimality criteria (e.g. [5, 10, 11]).

Previous studies found *Ikakogi* to be the sister of all other glassfrogs [5, 7, 10, 11] or sister taxon of the subfamily Centroleninae [8]. The external morphology of *Ikakogi* is similar to that of species of *Centrolene* and *Espadarana* (i.e., they share some character states like humerus with a conspicuous spine and translucent peritoneum); however, they seem to be most similar to species of *Hyalinobatrachinae*. For example, some morphological traits of adults, such as dorsal coloration in preservative (cream to very pale lavender), bone coloration (white or very pale green concentrated in bone ephiphyses), tympanic membrane and annulus conspicuousness (hidden), egg clutch location (under/upper side of leaves), egg clutch (monolayer), and

---

**Fig 22. Cranial muscles of the tadpole of *Ikakogi tayrona*.** Dorsal (A) and lateral views (B-D). Abbreviations: LAB (I to III), *musculus levator archus branchialum* I to III; LMA, *m. levator mandibulæ articularis*; LMI, *m. levator mandibulæ internus*; LMLP, *m. levator mandibulæ longus profundus*; LMLS, *m. levator mandibulæ longus superficialis*; OH, *m. orbitohyoideus*; QA, *m. quadratoangularis*; SA, *m. suspensorioangularis*; SH, *m. suspensoriohyoideus*. CBUMAG: ANF 01018 stage 35. Scale bar equal to 1.0 mm.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.g022

**Comments on the systematics of *Ikakogi***

Recent molecular-based phylogenetic analyses have greatly improved centrolenid systematics [5, 7, 10, 11]. As a result of these advances, Guayasamin et al. [5] proposed a revised taxonomy composed of 12 genera. However, some disagreement remains regarding the relationships among some genera, especially within the tribe Cochranellini, but also regarding the phylogenetic position of *Ikakogi* [5, 11]. These disagreements are partly attributable to the conflicting phylogenies among different studies that used dissimilar alignent and optimality criteria (e.g. [5, 10, 11]).

Previous studies found *Ikakogi* to be the sister of all other glassfrogs [5, 7, 10, 11] or sister taxon of the subfamily Centroleninae [8]. The external morphology of *Ikakogi* is similar to that of species of *Centrolene* and *Espadarana* (i.e., they share some character states like humerus with a conspicuous spine and translucent peritoneum); however, they seem to be most similar to species of *Hyalinobatrachinae*. For example, some morphological traits of adults, such as dorsal coloration in preservative (cream to very pale lavender), bone coloration (white or very pale green concentrated in bone ephiphyses), tympanic membrane and annulus conspicuousness (hidden), egg clutch location (under/upper side of leaves), egg clutch (monolayer), and
oocyte pigmentation (cream to very pale green) are intriguing and shared mainly with species of Hyalinobatrachium and Celsiella. Because Ikakogi tayrona is considered the sister taxon of all other centrolenids (but with some remaining phylogenetic problems; see 5, 7, 8, 11), our records will offer insights into the ancestral states for egg attendance, egg clutches arrangement and location, and tadpole morphology. Future work incorporating all available molecular and morphological evidence in a phylogenetic analysis is necessary to improve our appreciation of relationships of Ikakogi genus and centrolenids and will further our understanding of the origin and evolution of their morphological characters.

Evidence from vocalizations, egg clutches and larval morphology
Ikakogi tayrona and I. ispace sp. nov. provide a clear illustration of the relevance of studying multiple sources of evidence to test taxonomic hypotheses. The two species are identical in all
Fig 24. Larval chondrocranium morphology of the tadpole of *Ikakogi tayrona*. Dorsal (A), and ventral views (B), apparatus hyobranchial (C), suprarosral (D) and infrarosral cartilage with Meckel’s cartilage (E). CBUMAG: ANF 01018 stage 35. Abbreviations: BH, basihyal; CB (I-IV), ceratobranchials I to IV; CH, ceratohyal; CQA, commissura quadratoocranialis; CT, cornua trabeculae; FBC, fenestrae basicraniales; FCA, foramen caroticum primarum; FCR, foramen craniopalatinum; IC, infrarosral cartilage; Meckel’s cartilage; NC, notochord canal; PAB, processus anterior branchialis; PAH, processus anterior hyalis; PALH, processus anterolateralis hyalis; PAO, processus antorbitalis; PHB, planum hypobranchialis; PPH, processus posterior hyalis; RAP, retroarticular process of Meckel’s cartilage; SA, suprarosral ala; SB, subocular bar; SC, suprarosral corpora; SF, subocular fenestrae; SP, spicules. Scale bar equal to 1.0 mm.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.g024

Table 7. Features of egg clutches of the glassfrog *Ikakogi tayrona* in a population at Serrania de San Lorenzo, Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta, Colombia.

| Developmental stage (according to [83]) | Number of eggs | Egg size (mm, mean±SD) | Embryo color |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------|
| 9–13                                   | 52             | 3.0±0.30 (2.0–2.7)     | white        |
| 9–13                                   | 71             | 3.4±0.58 (2.2–4.6)     | white        |
| 17–19                                  | 53             | 4.4±0.56 (3.2–5.3)     | whitish      |
| 19–21                                  | 50             | 4.8±0.62 (3.7–6.2)     | yellowish    |
| 22–23                                  | 40             | 4.8±0.37 (4.0–5.6)     | yellowish body; pink head; belly and heart reddish |
| 24–25                                  | 58             | 6.6±0.68 (5.4–7.8)     | yellowish body; pink head pink; belly and heart reddish |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215349.t007
adult external morphological characteristics usually used to identify species of centrolenids. In contrast, comparison of vocalizations, DNA sequences, and internal larval morphology (including the number of lateral buccal floor papillae, the spatial arrangement of the five conical buccal roof papillae, and the basihyal structure in the conchochranium) revealed diagnostic differences that support the hypothesis that the two groups are heterospecific.

The description of centrolenid advertisement calls facilitates species-level taxonomy and provides phylogenetically and ecologically relevant information [87]. The advertisement calls of Ikakogi tayrona and I. ispacue sp. nov. have several remarkable differences. For example, in addition to differences in dominant frequency, calls are shorter and comprise multi-pulsed notes in I. ispacue sp. nov., whereas they are longer and non-pulsed in I. tayrona (see Table 2, and [80]).

Reproductive behavior, natural history observations and tadpole morphology are important for making appropriate decisions in taxonomy, but they are also useful to test evolutionary hypotheses about the diversification of glassfrogs specifically and anurans generally (e.g. [88–91] and [86]). Glass frogs have a well-established reproductive mode consisting of the adherence of egg clutches on substrates overhanging water [92, 93]. Detailed quantitative data for most of these traits are absent for most species [9, 17, 18, 94–99]. Likewise, centrolenid tadpoles are poorly known and to date they have been formally described for less than 40 species of the 158 species known diversity (e.g. [100, 101, 49–53]).

Buccopharyngeal anatomy

Data on centrolenid buccopharyngeal anatomy is extremely restricted, available only for Hyalinobatrachium fleischmanni [64]. Comparison of our data with those of Wassersug [64] shows that both Ikakogi and Hyalinobatrachium share some character states, such as the elongate buccal floor and roof, two pairs of infralabial papillae (one pair adjacent to the midline and the other placed over Meckel’s cartilage), four lingual papillae, few papillae and pustulations in the buccopharyngeal cavity, longitudinally oriented internal nares with vacuities, tall conical median ridge, and slit-like buccal pocket. Given that these two genera do not appear to be closely related ([5, 8, 10, 11] but see comments on the systematics of Ikakogi above), the shared occurrence of those states may represent putative synapomorphies for Centrolenidae. Nevertheless, Ikakogi present projections on the ventral vellum margin, papillae on the arena of the floor and roof, and more (three pairs) postnarial papillae, states absent in Hyalinobatrachium fleischmanni. Our results support the importance of the buccopharyngeal morphology for the systematics of glassfrogs, however, we stress the need for more species descriptions and data.

The elongate body observed in centrolenid larvae might create some difficulties in the interpretation of some characters. For instance, Wassersug [64] interpreted the large, tall, conical papillae near the median ridge as homologous to the lateral ridge papillae and questioned the homology of the “anterolateral compressed flap” papillae observed on each side of the buccal floor. In contrast we interpreted the large papillae near the median ridge as a third pair of postnarial papillae, which occupies this position (near the median ridge) as a consequence of the tadpole’s elongation. The lateral ridge papillae, in turn, are the features of unknown homology mentioned by Wassersug [64]. Our choice derives from the parsimony principle; in our hypothesis, rearrangements of some papillae may be the result of body’s elongation and the evocation of a new papilla is not needed to explain the observed configurations. We stress, however, that information from other species and developmental series is needed to better test the homology of these characters.
Cranial muscles

Anuran cranial muscles are highly variable [62, 63, 102] and many different patterns of origin and insertion have evolved independently in several lineages. Frost et al. [2] based on Haas [63] data for Cochranella granulosa. Haas [63] included the *m. levator mandibulae externus* forming a single muscle and the *m. subarcualis rectus II–IV* having an anterior insertion on the *processus branchialis* III as candidate synapomorphies for Centrolenidae. Our data supports [2] hypothesis partially. We also found the *m. levator mandibulae externus* to be a single muscle; however, we observed the *subarcualis rectus II–IV* to reach the *processus branchialis* II, although some fibers also inserted anteriorly in the *processus branchialis* III. Given that one of the phylogenetic placements of *Ikakogi* is as a sister to all other centrolenids (the other one is sister of the subfamily Centroleniinae, [8]), it is possible that the condition observed by us for *Ikakogi tayrona* and *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov. is plesiomorphic within Centrolenidae, with the shortening of this muscle being an evolutionary trend in the family.

Nevertheless, the most striking character of the cranial muscles of *Ikakogi* larvae is the origin of one slip of the *m. levator arcus branchialium III* on the dorsal surface of the otic capsule; not only is its origin peculiar, but so too is its trajectory until its insertion of the ceratobranchial III passing over the axial muscles (note that the axial musculature also extends further on the otic capsule). As far as we know, there is no record for such condition among anuran larvae; Haas [63] studied the cranial muscles of *Cochranella granulosa*, however he did not mention this character-state.

Larval skeleton

Published information on the chondrocranium of Centrolenidae is limited to the character states of *Cochranella granulosa* by Haas [63]. Our observations on *Ikakogi* show that various character states are shared with *C. granulosa*; however, some differences were observed, such as the low suspensorium (intermediate in *C. granulosa*), the presence of the basihyal in *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov. (absent in *I. tayrona* and *C. granulosa*), the lack of a medial articulation between the hypobranchial plates (articulated in *C. granulosa*), and the presence of the commissura proximalis I and II (absent in *C. granulosa*).

Intraspecific variation in the basihyal has been described in dendrobatoids (see [60]) and interspecific variation in *Melanophryniscus* (Bufonidae, see [103]), dendrobatids [60] and Centrolenidae (this work). Although, the basihyal is absent in larvae of *Ikakogi tayrona* and present in *I. ispacue* sp. nov., given the small sample studied by us, the occurrence of the basihyal should be interpreted with caution. The phylogenetic value of the other differences found between *Ikakogi* and *Cochranella* have been showed in other frog families (e.g., [104, 105, 61, 63]), which highlights the importance of increasing studies on the chondrocranium of centrolenids.

Larval specializations for burrowing?

There are many records of fossorial habits in the literature for centrolenid larvae (e.g. [106, 38, 49, 54, 51, 52]). Altig and Johnston [107] placed centrolenids in the fossorial ecomorphological guild Type I and enumerated a series of characters for that guild: i) LTRF 2/3; ii) a small oral disc; iii) incomplete anterior marginal papillae; iv) very small eye (at least during the first stages but gradually increasing the size); and v) very often, red coloration due to highly vascular skin. These character-states are present in the larvae of several unrelated taxa such as *Leptobrachella* and *Leptolalax*: Megophryidae (e.g. [108–110]), *Micrixalus*: Micrixalidae [111], *Cardioglossa*: Arthroleptidae [112], *Otophyne*: Microhylidae [110], *Staurois*: Ranidae (e.g. [113]), and centrolenid larvae in general (e.g. [49, 51, 52]).
In addition to the characters proposed by [107], these larvae also present bodies that are compressed laterally and depressed dorsally, strong caudal muscles, shallow tail fins, and depressed and truncated snouts [49, 51]. Regarding the internal morphology of fossorial tadpoles, little is known. [110, 111] compared the musculoskeletal morphology of *Leptobrachella mjobergi* and *Otophryne robusta* and described the crania of *Micrixalus herrei*, [110] finding that these fossorial taxa differ greatly. Although the larvae of *Ikakogi* do not appear to share relevant characteristics with those of *O. robusta* [114], they do share several relevant characteristics with *M. herrei* and *L. mjobergi*, including long, laterally compressed crania, robust anterior cartilages (suprarotastal and trabecular horns, Meckel’s cartilage), a low muscular process, a subocular bar narrowed posteriorly and a thin *processus ascendens*, and, in *Ikakogi* and *Leptobrachella*, weakly chondrified lateral cartilage of the *cavum cranii*, and thin ceratobranchials. The *m. levator mandibulae* group is massive and the paraxial musculature reaches far anterior on the otic capsule.

Lastly, we recorded the absence of lungs in both *Ikakogi* larvae. Several researchers (e.g. [115–117]) indicated the importance of the lungs for larval buoyancy. The absence of larval lungs, even in well-developed larvae (Gosner stages 30+) might be related to the fossorial habits. Regarding gas exchanging, the hypervascularization of the skin and branchial area, including the *sinus hyobranchialis*, probably compensate the absence of lungs and the failure to breath air. areal.

Supporting information

S1 Appendix. Specimens (tadpoles and call vouchers) of *Ikakogi tayrona* and *Ikakogi ispacue* sp. nov. (DOCX)

S2 Appendix. Specimens (adults) used to comparisons and to reconstruct species distribution. A single asterisk (*) indicates no voucher specimens collected but species indentity inferred through examination of call by the authors. Double asterisk (**) denotes examination of photographs assigned to *Ikakogi* but with identity uncertain. (DOCX)

S3 Appendix. Uncorrected pairwise genetic distances (%) inferred from mitochondrial MT-Cytb2 gene. (DOCX)

S4 Appendix. GenBank accession numbers for the Centrolenid species sequences employed in uncorrected pairwise genetic distances analysis. (DOCX)

S5 Appendix. Cranial muscles codified for *Ikakogi tayrona* and *I. ispacue* sp. nov. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

A. Catenazzi, S.J. Sánchez-Pacheco, F. Vera Candioti, T. Pezzuti, J.M Guayasamin, A. Haas, R. Caicedo and F. Cáceres provided assistance with field notes, shared information, and/or commented aspects of chondochranium, hyobranchial apparatus, cranial muscles and centrolenid information on early versions of the manuscript. A. Mendoza, C. Bastos, J. K. Torres-Lopez, M. A. Gómez-Díaz, E. Barona, S. Duarte, L. Arcila, A. Gómez, A. Ospina and J. Ríos help MAR search for adults and tadpoles of glassfrogs in Colombia. We thank J.C Díaz-Ricaute for editing the distribution map of the species. For allowing the revision of field notes and other
considerations on their laboratories we are grateful to J.D. Lynch and M.C. Ardila-Robayo, r.i. p (ICN). We thank E. Matos and P. Lenktaitis for the assistance with the SEM images.

**Author Contributions**

**Conceptualization:** Marco Rada, Pedro Henrique Dos Santos Dias, Luis Alberto Rueda-Solano, Fernando Vargas-Salinas, Taran Grant.

**Formal analysis:** Marco Rada, Pedro Henrique Dos Santos Dias, Marvin Anganoy-Criollo, Luis Alberto Rueda-Solano, MaríaAlejandra Pinto-E, Taran Grant.

**Investigation:** Marco Rada, Pedro Henrique Dos Santos Dias, José Luis Pérez-Gonzalez, Luis Alberto Rueda-Solano, MaríaAlejandra Pinto-E, Lilia Mejía Quintero, Fernando Vargas-Salinas, Taran Grant.

**Project administration:** Marco Rada, Taran Grant.

**Supervision:** Taran Grant.

**Visualization:** Marco Rada, Pedro Henrique Dos Santos Dias, José Luis Pérez-Gonzalez, Marvin Anganoy-Criollo, MaríaAlejandra Pinto-E, Lilia Mejía Quintero, Fernando Vargas-Salinas.

**Writing – original draft:** Marco Rada, Pedro Henrique Dos Santos Dias, José Luis Pérez-Gonzalez, Marvin Anganoy-Criollo, Luis Alberto Rueda-Solano, María Alejandra Pinto-E, Fernando Vargas-Salinas, Taran Grant.

**Writing – review & editing:** Marco Rada, Pedro Henrique Dos Santos Dias, José Luis Pérez-Gonzalez, Marvin Anganoy-Criollo, Luis Alberto Rueda-Solano, MaríaAlejandra Pinto-E, Fernando Vargas-Salinas, Taran Grant.

**References**

1. Taylor EH. Two new genera and a new family of tropical American frogs. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington. 1951; 64: 33–40.

2. Frost DR, Grant T, Faivovich J, Bain RH, Haas A, Haddad CFB, et al. The amphibians tree of life. Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 2006; 297: 1–370.

3. Ruiz-Carranza PM, Lynch JD. Ranas Centrolenidae de Colombia I: propuesta de una nueva clasificación genérica. Lozania. 1991a; 57: 1–30.

4. Cisneros-Heredia DF, McDardiow RW. Revision of the characters of Centrolenidae (Amphibia: Anura: Athesphatanura), with comments on its taxonomy and the description of new taxa of glassfrogs. Zoo-taxa. 2007; 1572: 1–82.

5. Guayasamin JM, Castroviejo-Fisher S, Trueb L, Ayarzagçüena J, Rada M, Vilá C. Phylogenetic systematics of glassfrogs (Amphibia: Centrolenidae) and their sister taxon Allophryne ruthveni. Zootaxa. 2009; 2100: 1–97.

6. Delia J, Bravo-Valencia L, Warkentin KM. Patterns of parental care in Neotropical glassfrogs: fieldwork alters hypotheses of sex-role evolution. J Evol Biol. 2017 May; 30(5): 898–914. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13059 PMID: 28241390

7. Guayasamin JM, Castroviejo-Fisher S, Ayarzagçüena J, Trueb L, Vilá C. Phylogenetic relationships of glassfrogs (Centrolenidae) based on mitochondrial and nuclear genes. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 2008; 48: 574–595. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2008.04.012 PMID: 18515151

8. Pyron RA, Wiens JJ. A large-scale phylogeny of Amphibia including over 2800 species, and a 434 revised classification of extant frogs, salamanders, and caecilians. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 2011; 61: 543–583. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2011.06.012 PMID: 21723399

9. Hutter CR, Esobar-Lasso S, Rojas-Morales JA, Gutiérrez-Cárdenas PDA, Imba H, Guayasamin JM. The territoriality, vocalizations and aggressive interactions of the red-spotted glassfrog, *Nymphargus grandisonae*, Cochran and Goin, 1970 (Anura: Centrolenidae). J Nat Hist. 2013; 47: 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2013.792961
10. Castroviejo-Fisher S, Guayasamin JM, González-Voyer A, Villá C. Neotropical diversification seen through glassfrogs. J. Biogeogr. 2014; 41: 66–80. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12208

11. Twomey E, Delia J, Castroviejo-Fisher S. A review of Northern Peruvian glassfrogs (Centrolenidae), with the description of four new remarkable species. Zootaxa. 2014; 3851: 1–87. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3851.1.1 PMID: 25112428

12. Velásquez-Álvarez AA, Rada M, Sánchez-Pacheco SJ, Acosta-Galvis AR. A new 900 species of glassfrog (Anura: Centrolenidae) from the western slope of the Cordiller 901 Oriental, Colombia. South Am J Herpetol. 2007; 2: 191–197.

13. Castroviejo-Fisher S, Vila C, Ayarzagüena J, Blanc M, Ernst R. Species diversity of Hyalinobatrachium glassfrogs (Amphibia: Centrolenidae) from the Guiana Shield, with the description of two new species. Zootaxa. 2011; 3132: 1–55.

14. Pontes RC, Caramaschi U, Pombal JP. A remarkable new glass frog (Centrolenidae: Vitreorana) from the northeast Atlantic forest, Brazil. Herpetologica. 2014; 70: 298–308.

15. Rada M, Jeckel AM, Caorsi VZ, Barrientos LS, Rivera-Corra M, Grant T. A Remarkable New White-Eyed Glassfrog Species From Colombia (Anura: Centrolenidae), With Comments on the Systematic of the Genus. South Am J Herpetol. 2017a; 12(2): 157–173.

16. Rada M, Ospina-Sarria JJ. Guayasamin JM. A Taxonomic Review of Tan-Brown Glassfrogs (Anura: Centrolenidae), with the Description of a New Species from Southwestern Colombia. South Am J Herpetol. 2017b; 12(2): 136–156.

17. Vargas-Salinas F, Quiñero-Ángel A, Osorio-Domínguez D, Rojas-Morales JA, Escobar-Lasso S, Rivera-Corra M, Gutiérrez-Cárdenas PDA, Amézquita A. Breeding and parental behavior in the glassfrog Centrolene savagei. J Nat Hist. 2014; 48: 1689–1705.

18. Rios-Soto J, Ospina-L AM, Vargas-Salinas F. The advertisement call and notes of the reproductive ecology of the glassfrog Centrolene quindianum (Anura: Centrolenidae). South Am J Herpetol. 2017; 12(2): 117–127.

19. Guayasamin JM. A new yellow species of glassfrog (Centrolenidae: Nymphargus) from the Amazonian slopes of the Ecuadorian Andes. Zootaxa. 2013; 3651: 193–200

20. Guayasamin JM, Cisneros-Heredia DF, Maynard RJ, Lynch RL, Culebras J, Hamilton PS. A marvelous new glassfrog (Centrolenidae, Hyalinobatrachium) from Amazonian Ecuador. ZooKeys. 2017 May; 673: 1–20.

21. Torres-Gastello CP, Suárez-Segovia J, Cisneros-Heredia DF. Cochranella eminea, a new species of Centrolenidae (Amphibia: Anura: Athalassphatonura) from Amazonian Peru. Journal of the National Museum (Prague), Natural History Series. 2007; 176(1); 1–12.

22. Cisneros-Heredia DF, Venegas P, Rada M, Schulte R. A new species of glassfrog (Anura: Centrolenidae) from the foothill Andean forests of Ecuador and Peru. Herpetologica. 2008; 64: 341–353.

23. Santana DJ, Barros AB, Pontes RC, Feio RN. A new species of Glassfrog genus Vitreorana (Anura, Centrolenidae) from the Cerrado Domain, southeastern Brazil. Herpetologica. 2015; 71: 289–298.

24. Frost DR. Amphibian Species of the World: an Online Reference. Version 6.0; 2018 (cited 2015 June 20). Available from: http://research.amnh.org/vz/herpetology/amphibia/.

25. Guayasamin JM, Bonaccorso E. A new species of glass frog (Centrolenidae: Cochranella) from the lowlands of northeastern Ecuador, with comments on the Cochranella granulosa group. Herpetologica. 2004; 60: 485–494.

26. Cisneros-Heredia DF, McDiamid RW. A new species of the genus Centrolene (Amphibia: Anura: Centrolenidae) from Ecuador with comments on the taxonomy and biogeography of Glassfrogs. Zoo taxa. 2006; 1244: 1–32.

27. Lynch JD, Duellman WE. A review of the Centrolenid frogs of Ecuador, with descriptions of new species. Sci. Pap. Univ. Kansas Nat. Hist. Mus. 1973; 16: 1–66.

28. Flores G. A new Centrolenella (Anura) from Ecuador, with comments on nuptial pads and 397 prepollical spines in Centrolenella. J Herpetol. 1985; 13: 313–320. https://doi.org/10.2307/1564260

29. Savage JM, Heyer WR. Variation and distribution in the tree-frog genus Phylomedusa in Costa Rica, Central America. Beitrage zur Neotropischen Fauna. 1967; 5: 111–131. https://doi.org/10.1080/01650526709360400

30. Myers CW, Duellman WE. A new species of Hyla from Cerro Colorado, and other tree frog 432 records and geographical notes from western Panama. Am. Mus. Novit. 1982; 2752: 1–32.

31. Alberch P, Gale EA. A developmental analysis of an evolutionary trend: digital reduction in amphibians. Evolution. 1985; 39: 8–23. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1985.tb04076.x PMID: 28563634

32. Fabrezzi M, Alberch P. The carpal elements of anurans. Herpetologica. 1996; 52: 188–204.
33. Liu CC. Types of vocal sac in the Salienta. Proceedings of the Boston Society of Natural History. 1935; 31: 19–40.

34. Tyler MJ. The phylogenetic significance of vocal sac structure in hylid frogs. Sci. Pap. Univ. Kansas Nat. Hist. Mus. 1971a; 19: 319–360. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.15451

35. Tyler MJ. Observations on anuran myo-integumental attachments associated with vocal sac apparatus. J Nat Hist. 1971b; 5: 225–231.

36. Cocroft RB, Ryan MJ. Patterns of advertisement call evolution in toads and chorus frogs. Anim Behav. 1995; 49: 283–303.

37. Gosner KL. A simplified table for staging anuran embryos and larvae with notes on identification. Herpetologica. 1960; 16: 183–190.

38. Mijares-Urrutia A. The tadpoles of *Centrolenella andina* (Anura: Centrolenidae). J Herpetol. 1990; 24: 410–412.

39. Altig R, McDardiid RW. Diversity, familial and generic characterizations. In: McDardiid RW, Altig R, editors. Tadpoles: the Biology of Anuran Larvae. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1999. pp. 295–337.

40. Starrett P. Descriptions of tadpoles of Middle American frogs. Misc Publ Mus Zool Univ Mich. 1960; 110: 1–37.

41. Cochran DM, Goin CJ. Frogs of Colombia. Washington D.C.: United States National Museum Bulletin, Smithsonian Institution Press; 1970.

42. Heyer WR. Taxonomic and natural history notes on frogs of the genus *Centrolenella* (Amphibia: Centrolenidae) from southeastern Brasil and adjacent Argentina. Pap Avulsos Zool. 1985; 36(1): 1–21.

43. Hero JM. An illustrated key to tadpoles occurring in the Central Amazon rainforest, Manaus Amazonas, Brasil. Amazoniana. 1990; 11: 201–262.

44. Cadle JE, McDardiid RW. Two new species of *Centrolenella* (Anura: Centrolenidae) from northwestern Peru. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 1990; 103: 746–768.

45. Myers CW, Donnelly MA. A Tepui Herpetofauna on a Granitic Mountain (Tamaracuari) in the Borderland Between Venezuela and Brazil: Report from the Phipps Tapirapéc Expedition. Am. Mus. Novit. 1997; 3213: 1–71.

46. Jaramillo FE, Jaramillo CA, Ibáñez R. Renacuajo de la rana de cristal *Hyalinobatrachium colymbiphyllum* (Anura: Centrolenidae). *Revista de Biología Tropical*. 1997; 45(2): 867–870.

47. Noonan BP, Bonnett RM. A new species of *Hyalinobatrachium* (Anura: Centrolenidae) from the highlands of Guyana. J Herpetol. 2003; 37(1): 91–97.

48. Señarís JC, Ayarzagüena J. Revisión taxonómica de la Familia Centrolenidae (Amphibia: Anura) de Venezuela. Publicaciones del Comité Español del Programa Hombre y Biodiversidad—Red IberoMaB de la UNESCO. 2005.

49. Rada M, Rueda-Almonacid JV, Velásquez-Álvarez AA, Sánchez-Pacheco SJ. Descripción de las larvas de dos centrolénidos (Anura: Centrolenidae) del noroccidente de la Cordillera Oriental, Colombia. Pap Avulsos Zool. 2007; 47: 259–272.

50. Menín M, Lima AP, Rodríguez DJ. The tadpole of *Vitreorana oyampiensis* (Anura, Centrolenidae) in Central Amazonia, Brazil. Zootaxa. 2009; 2203: 65–68.

51. Hoffmann H. The glass frog tadpoles of Costa Rica (Anura: Centrolenidae): a study of morphology. Stuttgart: Schweizerbart Science Publishers; 2010.

52. Ospina-Sarria JJ, Bolívar-G. W, Mendez-Narvaez J, Burbano-Yandi C. The tadpole of *Nymphargus grandisonae* (Anura, Centrolenidae) from Valle del Cauca, Colombia. *South Am J Herpetol.* 2011; 6: 79–86.

53. Díaz-Gutiérrez N, Vargas-Salinas F, Rivera-Correa M, Rojas-Morales JA, Escobar-Lasso S, Velasco JA, et al. Description of the previously unknown advertisement call and tadpole of the Colombian endemic glassfrog *Centrolene savagei*. Zootaxa. 2013; 3686: 289–296. http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3686.2.9 PMID: 26473219

54. Terán-Valdez A, Guayasamin JM, Coloma LA. Description of the tadpole of *Cochranella replellens* and description of the tadpole of *Hyalinobatrachium aureoguttatum* (Anura, Centrolenidae). Phylomedusa: J Herpetol. 2009; 8(2): 105–124.

55. Downie JR, Nokhbatolfoghahai M, Christie L. The tadpole of the glass frog *Hyalinobatrachium orientale tobagoense* (Anura: Centrolenidae) from Tobago, West Indies. Herpetol Bull. 2015; 131: 19–21.

56. Wassersug RJ. Oral morphology of anuran larvae: terminology and general description. Sci. Pap. Univ. Kansas Nat. Hist. Mus. 1976; 48: 1–23.
57. Alcalde L, Blotto BL. Chondrocranial, cranial muscles and buccopharyngeal morphology on tadpoles of the controversial leptodactylid frog Limnomedusa macroglossa (Anura: Leptodactylidae). Amphibia-Reptilia. 2006; 27(2): 241–253.

58. Taylor WR, Van Dyke GC. Revised procedures for staining and clearing small fishes and other vertebrates for bone and cartilage study. Cybium. 1985; 9(2): 107–119.

59. Dias PH, Carvalho-e-Silva AM, de Carvalho-E-Silva SP. Larval chondrocranial morphology of five species of Proceratophrys Miranda-Ribeiro (Amphibia; Anura; Odontophrynidae). Zootaxa. 2013; 3683(4): 427–438.

60. Haas A. Cranial features of dendrobatid larvae (Amphibia: Anura: Dendrobatidae). J. Morphol. 1995; 224(3): 241–266. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.1052240302 PMID: 7595955

61. Haas A. The larval hyobranchial apparatus of discoglossoid frogs: its structure and bearing on the systematics of the Anura (Amphibia: Anura). J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 1997; 35: 179–197.

62. Haas A. Mandibular arch musculature of anuran tadpoles, with comments on homologies of amphibian jaw muscles. J. Morphol. 2001; 247(1): 1–33. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.10003.0001 PMID: 11124683

63. Haas A. Phylogeny of frogs as inferred from primarily larval characters (Amphibia: Anura). Cladistics. 2003; 19(1): 23–89.

64. Wassersug RJ. Internal oral features of larvae from eight anuran families: Functional, systematic, evolutionary and ecological consideration. Misc Publ Mus Zool Univ Mich. 1980; 68: 1–148.

65. Faivovich J, Haddad CF, Garcia PC, Frost DR, Campbell JA, Wheeler WC. Systematic review of the frog family Hylidae, with special reference to Hylinae: phylogenetic analysis and taxonomic revision. Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 2005; 294: 1–240. https://doi.org/10.1206/0003-0090(2005)294<0001:SROTFF>2.0.CO;2

66. Faivovich J, Haddad CF, Baela D, Jungfer K, Alvarez GF, Brandao RA, et al. The phylogenetic relationships of the charismatic poster frogs, Phyllomedusinae (Anura, Hylidae). Cladistics. 2010; 25: 227–261.

67. Gene Codes Corporation. Sequencer DNA sequence analysis software version 5.3; 2014. Available from: www.genecodes.com

68. Wild ER. Two new species of Centrolenid frogs from the Amazonian slope of the Cordillera Oriental, Ecuador. J Herpetol. 1994; 28 (3): 299–310.

69. Ruiz-Carranza PM, Lynch JD. Ranas Centrolenidae de Colombia VIII. Cuatro nuevas especies de Centrolene de la Cordillera Central. Lozania. 1995; 65: 1–16.

70. Ruiz-Carranza PM, Lynch JD. Ranas Centrolenidae de Colombia II. Nuevas especies de Centrolene de la Cordillera Oriental y Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta. Lozania. 1991b; 58: 1–26.

71. Ruiz-Carranza PM, Lynch JD. Ranas Centrolenidae de Colombia XI. Nuevas especies de ranas cristal del genero Hyalinobatrachium. Rev Acad Colomb Cienc Exactas Fis Nat. 1998; 22: 571–586.

72. Noble GK. Two new batrachians from Colombia. Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 1920; 42: 441–446.

73. Boulenger GA. Catalogue of the Batrachia Salientia s. Ecaudata in the Collection of the British Museum. 2d ed. London: Taylor and Francis. 1882.

74. Ruiz-Carranza PM, Lynch JD. Ranas Centrolenidae de Colombia III. Nuevas especies de Cochranella del grupo granulosa. Lozania. 1991c; 59: 1–18.

75. Duellman WE. Three new species of centrolenid frogs from the pacific versant of Ecuador and Colombia. Sci. Pap. Univ. Kansas Nat. Hist. Mus. 1981; 88: 1–9.

76. Catenazzi A, von May R, Lehr E, Gagliardi-Urrutia G, Guayasamin JM. A new, high-elevation glassfrog (Anura: Centrolenidae) from Manu National Park, southern Peru. Zootaxa. 2012; 3388: 56–68.

77. Duellman WE, Burrowes PA. New species of frogs, Centrolenella, from the Pacific versant of Ecuador and southern Colombia. Sci. Pap. Univ. Kansas Nat. Hist. Mus.1989; 132: 1–14.

78. Rivero JA. Los centrolenidos de Venezuela (Amphibia, Salientia). Memoria. Sociedad de Ciencias Naturales La Salle. Caracas. 1968; 28: 301–334.

79. Ruiz-Carranza PM, Lynch JD. Ranas Centrolenidae de Colombia X. Los centrolenidos de un perfil del flanco oriental de la Cordillera Central en el Departamento de Caldas. Rev Acad Colomb Cienc Exactas Fis Nat. 1997; 21: 541–553.

80. Vargas-Salinas F, Bravo-Valencia L, Amézquita A. Theadvertisement call and the calling site of the early diverging glassfrog Ikakogi tayrona (Anura: Centrolenidae). South Am J Herpetol. 2015; 10(2): 65–70.

81. Hoffmann H. Description of the previously unknown tadpole of Hyalinobatrachium pulveratum (Anura: Centrolenidae). Rev. Biol. Trop. 2004; 52: 219–228. PMID: 17357420
82. Sokol OM. The larval chondrocranium of *Pelodytes punctatus*, with a review of tadpole chondrocrania. J. Morphol. 1981; 169: 161–183. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.1051690204 PMID: 30139197

83. Salazar-Nicholls MJ, del Pino ME. Early development of the glass frogs *Hyalinobatrachium fleischmanni* and *Espadarana callistomma* (Anura: Centrolenidae) from cleavage to tadpole hatching. Amphib Reptile Conserv. 2015; 8: 89–106.

84. Sánchez-Pacheco S, Nunes P, Marques de Souza S, Rodrigues M, Murphy R. Formal recognition of the species of Oreosaurus (Reptilia, Squamata, Gymnophthalmidae) from the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta, Colombia. ZooKeys. 2017a; 691: 149–162. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.691.13595 PMID: 29369307

85. Sánchez-Pacheco S, Torres-Carvajal O, Aguirre-Peñafiel V, Nunes P, Verrastro L, Rivas G, et al. Phylogeny of Riama (Squamata: Gymnophthalmidae), impact of phenotypic evidence on molecular datasets, and the origin of the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta endemic fauna. Cladistics. 2017b; 34: 260–291. https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12203

86. Grant T, Rada M, Anganoy-Criollo M, Batista A, Dias PH, Jeckel AM, et al. Phylogenetic systematics of dart-poison frogs and their relatives revisited. South Am J Herpetol. 2017; 12: S1–S90.

87. Wen A, Vasquez N, Castroviejo-Fisher S. Description of the previously unknown advertisement calls of *Hyalinobatrachium* fragile, *H. pellucidum*, and *Vitreorana antisthenesi* (Amphibia: Centrolenidae). Zootaxa. 2012; 3480: 80–87.

88. Touchon JC, Warkentin KM. Reproductive mode plasticity: aquatic and terrestrial oviposition in a treefrog. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA PNAS. 2008; 105: 7495–7499. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.071579105 PMID: 18495921

89. Gomez-Mestre I, Pyron RA, Wiens JJ. Phylogenetic analyses reveal unexpected patterns in the evolution of reproductive modes in frogs. Evolution. 2012; 66: 3687–3700. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01715.x PMID: 23206128

90. Paz A, Ibáñez R, Lips KR, Crawford AJ. Testing the role of ecology and life history in structuring genetic variation across a landscape: A comparative ecophylogeographic approach. Mol. Ecol. 2015; 24: 3723–3737. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13275 Data online via Dryad at DOI:10.5061/dryad.1430k PMID: 26080899

91. Grant T, Frost DR, Caldwell JP, Gagliardo R, Haddad CFB, Kok PJR, et al. Phylogenetic systematic of dart-poison frogs and their relatives revisited. South Am J Herpetol. 2017; 12: S1–S90.

92. Kubicki B. Ranas de Vidrio de Costa Rica/Glass Frogs of Costa Rica. Heredia: Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad, INBio; 2007.

93. Wells KD. Ecology and behavior of amphfibians. Chicago and London: The University of Chicago Press; 2007.

94. Greer BJ, Wells KD. Territorial and reproductive behavior of the tropical American frog *Centrolenella fleischmanni*. Herpetologica. 1980; 36: 318–326.

95. Jacobson SK. Reproductive behavior and male mating success in two species of glass frogs (*Centrolenidae*). Herpetologica. 1985; 41: 396–404.

96. Vockenhuber EA, Hodl W, Karpfen U. Reproductive behavior of the glass frog *Hyalinobatrachium valeriol* (Anura: Centrolenidae) at the tropical stream Quebrada Negra (La Gamba, Costa Rica). Staptia. 2008; 88: 335–348.

97. Delia J, Cisneros-Heredia DF, Whitney J, Murrieta-Galindo R. Observations on the reproductive behavior of a neotropical glassfrog, *Hyalinobatrachium fleischmanni* (Anura: Centrolenidae). South Am J Herpetol. 2010; 5: 1–12.

98. Delia JR, Ramírez-Bautista A, Summers K. Glassfrog embryos hatch early after parental desertion. Proc. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci. 2014; 281(1785); 20133237.

99. Arcila-Pérez L, Rios-Soto J, Montilla S.O, Londoño-Guarnizo C, Vargas Salinas F. Vocalization and Natural History in Populations of a Glassfrog Assigned to *Nymphargus griffithsi* in the Central Andes of Colombia. Herpetol. Rev. 2017; 48: 275–280.

100. Ibáñez DRFE, Jaramillo A, Jaramillo CA. Ampliación del ámbito de distribución y descripción del renacuajo de la rana de cristal *Hyalinobatrachium aureguttatum* (Anura: Centrolenidae). Rev Acad Colomb Cienc Exactas Fis Nat. 1999; 23: 293–298.

101. Jaramillo FE, Jaramillo CA, Ibáñez R. Renacuajo de la rana cristal *Hyalinobatrachium colymbiphyllum* (Anura: Centrolenidae). Rev. Biol. Trop. 1999; 45: 867–870.

102. Vera-Candioti M. Anatomy of anuran tadpoles from lentic water bodies: systematic relevance and correlation with feeding habits. Zootaxa. 2007; 1600: 1–175.

103. Larson PM, de Sá RO, Arrieta D. Chondrocranial, hyobranchial and internal oral morphology in larvae of the basal bufonid genus Melanophryniscus (Amphibia: Anura). Acta Zool. 2003; 84: 87–97.
104. Sokol OM. The phylogeny of anuran larvae: a new look. Copeia. 1975; 1975: 1–23.
105. Haas A. Das larvale Cranium von Gastrotheca riobambae und seine Metamorphose (Amphibia, Anura, Hylidae). Verh. Naturw. Ver., Hamburg. 1996; 30: 33–162.
106. Villa J, Valerio CE. Red, white and brown! Preliminary observations on the color of the centrolenid tadpoles (Amphibia: Anura: Centrolenidae). Brenesia. 1982; 19/20: 1–16.
107. Allig R, Johnston FG. Guilds of anuran larvae: relationship among developmental modes, morphologies, and habitats. Herpetological Monographs. 1989; 3: 81–109.
108. Inger RF. Larvae of Southeast Asian species of Leptobrachium and Leptobrachella (Anura: Pelobatidae). In Rhodin A, Miyata K, editor. Advances in Herpetology and Evolutionary Biology. Massachusetts: Museum of Comparative Zoology; 1983. pp. 13–22.
109. Inger RF. Tadpoles of the forest regions of Borneo. Fieldana. 1985; 26: 1–89.
110. Haas A, Hertwig S, Das I. Extreme tadpoles: the morphology of the fossorial megophryid larva, Leptobrachella mjobergi. Zoology. 2006; 109: 26–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.zool.2005.09.008 PMID: 16376062
111. Senevirathne G, Garg S, Kerney R, Meegaskumbara M, Biju SD. Unearthing the fossorial tadpoles of the Indian dancing frog family Microhylidae. PLoS ONE. 2016; 11(3): 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0151781 PMID: 27027870
112. Blackburn DC. A new species of Cardioglossa (Amphibia: Anura: Arthroleptidae) endemic to Mount Manengouba in the Republic of Cameroon, with an analysis of morphological diversity in the genus. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 2007; 154: 611–630.
113. Inger RF, Wassersug RJ. A centrolenid-like anuran larvae from Southeast Asia. Zoolog Sci. 1990; 7: 557–561.
114. Wassersug RJ, Pyburn W. The biology of the Pe-ret'toad, Orophryne robusta (Microhylidae), with special consideration of its fossorial larva and systematic relationships. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 1987; 91: 137–169.
115. Wassersug RJ, Feder ME. The effects of aquatic oxygen concentration, body size and respiratory behavior on the stamina of obligate aquatic (Bufo americanus) and facultative air-breathing (Xenopus laevis and Rana berlandieri) anuran larvae. J Exp Biol. 1983; 105: 173–190. PMID: 6619726
116. Wassersug RJ, Murphy AM. Aerial respiration facilitates growth in suspension-feeding anuran larvae. Exp Biol. 1987; 46: 141–147. PMID: 3582584
117. Pronych S, Wassersug R. Lunge use and development in Xenopus laevis tadpoles. Can J Zool. 1994; 72: 738–743. PMID: 11542516