Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has penetrated our daily lives, leading us to a new normal era. The unexpected impact of COVID-19 has posed a unique challenge for the health care system, bringing innovation around the world. Considering the current pandemic pattern, comprehensive preparedness strategies of healthcare resources need to be implemented to prepare for a large resurgence of COVID-19 within a short time. With the unprecedented spread of the new pandemic and the impending influenza season, scientific evidence-based schemes need to be developed through cooperation, coordination, and solidarity. Based on the early experience with the current pandemic, this narrative interpretive review of qualitative studies suggests a 6-domain plan to establish a better health care system that is prepared to deal with the current and future public health crises. The 6 domains are medical institutions, medical workforce, medical equipment, COVID-19 surveillance, data and information application, and governance structure.
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INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) presents an unparalleled challenge to the healthcare system. Already, in some countries, the exponential surge of COVID-19 cases during the beginning of the outbreak threatened to collapse the healthcare system. A well-functioning healthcare system, which combines physicians, hospitals, and medical resources, provides the complete spectrum of medical care for targeted populations and meets their needs.
healthcare needs. However, even while tackling the local or regional COVID-19 epidemic, hospitals have struggled to overcome significant resource strain, leading to excess mortality, unsecured wellbeing of medical staff, and amplified social anxiety.

For centuries, several major pandemics have harmed people in multiple waves. The second wave of the Spanish flu that occurred in the early 20th century is said to have been more deadly than the first wave, and it subsequently continued to proceed at a devastating speed. Ultimately 50 to 100 million people were killed globally in few months. Like the Asian flu and Hong Kong flu, with 2009 H1N1 swine flu pandemic, the number of cases seemed to decline at the beginning of summer and it then increased rapidly in the fall. Although the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) virus is biologically different from the influenza virus, we must be prepared for the prolongation of this pandemic and the next wave.

In the absence of therapeutic options and vaccines against COVID-19, the high global transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 and the sheer number of asymptomatic infections leaves us out of hope for eradication. People have no immunity to the novel virus, which has already successfully spread in many climates. In addition, many countries, including the Republic of Korea (ROK), have to face COVID-19 during the impending influenza season.

The COVID-19 pandemic may have slowed down in many parts of the country, but many experts have warned about an inevitable large resurgence of COVID-19 nationally, with local or regional epidemics in the fall and winter of 2020. Further, local increases in cases have been reported in some countries following the easing of lockdown policies. To prevent this surge of cases from exceeding our current preparedness capabilities, multifaceted pandemic countermeasures should continue to be applied in outbreak situations. While efforts to flatten the curve of outbreaks would continue, the capacity of healthcare resources need to be increased simultaneously.

Therefore, this article aims to derive suggestions for short-, mid-, and long-term strategies for medical resource management beyond the COVID-19 pandemic, which would help us cope with the public health crisis caused by another surge in COVID-19 cases. This paper outlines a well-organized approach to a proactive preparedness and response to the resurge of COVID-19 cases based on six key domains (Fig. 1).

**METHODS**

**Overview**

The framework for conducting a structured, systematic narrative review followed a previously known methodology that identifies disseminated research findings and comprehends the synthesis of qualitative study-derived results from the existing literature. This protocol included identifying research questions, subdividing the research topic into domains, determining specific key terms for the search strategy, extracting references, reviewing and selecting articles, summarizing and synthesizing information, and organizing study findings. The role of experts was important because this topic area was not well organized based on scientific evidence.
Search strategy

A comprehensive search of the literature was undertaken initially on July 31, 2020. Electronic databases, including PubMed, EMBASE, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, and KoreaMed, were searched for articles published in English, on the pandemic-preparedness strategies of healthcare resources. To focus on the most current research, database searches were limited to the past 10 years (2010–2020). The search terms were suggested by experts of infectious diseases, with the assistance of a research librarian. Subject headings and keyword terms were chosen under the three broad search themes of infectious diseases, epidemic or pandemic, and healthcare system. We also used variants and combinations of the search terms. The reference lists of the included articles were scrutinized to identify additional relevant studies that were not discovered during the database searches. Only studies involving humans were included in this systematic review. The full list of search terms and a detailed description of the complete search strategies have been provided in Appendix 1.

Eligibility criteria and selection of articles

Our research included all types of literature if they aimed to suggest a detailed methodology to develop preparedness strategies of healthcare resources for epidemics or pandemics. Publications that did not explicitly suggest how to prepare or respond to the health public crisis with regard to the healthcare system, including medical institutions, workforce, medical resources or supplies, governance, and information sharing, were excluded from this analysis. As a preliminary step, the title and abstract of the articles identified in the initial search were screened and evaluated based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and duplicate publications were removed. The second stage involved reviewing the full text of potentially-relevant publications for inclusion. In the last stage, the reference lists of the ultimately selected publications were hand-searched for additional articles relevant to this review.
**Data charting**

To map the available evidence, data extracted from the final included articles were tabulated, including publication year, publication type, domain focus, and narratively suggested intervention. Each article was reviewed to identify which of the 6 predefined domains it fit; they were then used as data for the narrative review.

**RESULTS**

**Results of the search**

A total of 2,996 eligible articles were identified through initial searches, of which, 1,147 articles were excluded due to duplication and 1,656 were excluded because the contents in the title and abstract were not relevant for this review. The remaining 193 studies were screened again using the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Subsequently, 165 articles were removed after a full-text review. Finally, 28 articles met the criteria for inclusion for this review. An additional five articles that were not detected by the database search were found from the reference lists of selected articles using manual search. The selection process for this study has been presented in shown in [Fig. 2](#).

**Systematic review findings**

Describing approaches falling under six predefined domains, 33 articles specifically focused on preparedness strategies of healthcare resources for the pandemic ([Table 1](#)). The final list of selected publications included 3 original articles, 9 commentaries, and 21 review articles. All but six articles were published between 2019 and 2020. The six domains of approaches conceived by experts were mostly based on subjective opinions. As several studies included in the present systematic review did not provide detailed information, they were not grouped by these predefined domains. Although several review articles dealt with more than one response strategy for the pandemic, they were grouped based on the most salient domain.

![Flow diagram of the literature selection process for the systematic narrative review.](#)
DISCUSSION

Medical institutions
The most important measures implemented globally in response to COVID-19 pertained to restricting transmission and protecting health systems. Accurate and rapid diagnosis, effective isolation of cases, and comprehensive contact tracing and quarantining of contacts
restrained the spread of COVID-19 such that it was prevented from crossing the threshold at which health systems would be unable to deliver quality clinical care. Though the COVID-19 outbreak is prolonged, rapid case identification and multifaceted surveillance strategies should continue to be strengthened.

During this pandemic, frontline healthcare workers (HCWs) are treating not only the COVID-19 cases but also non-COVID-19 cases. Some experts suggested that the measures against COVID-19 may negatively impact other causes of death, owing to a reduction of hospital visits and emergency admissions. COVID-19 screening should be conducted actively, mainly in public health centers and medical institutions, so that medical care of non-COVID-19 patients would be normalized immediately, particularly in tertiary care hospitals. Services such as the acute respiratory infection clinics (ARICs) operated in Singapore should also be established immediately through public–private cooperation, such that private institutions, including primary care clinics, would be able to function in a safe setting. ARICs are expected to conduct testing for COVID-19 and to treat patients with acute respiratory infection together. This would create a safe care environment and would simultaneously facilitate active surveillance of COVID-19 in the community. The drive-through and walk-through screening systems for COVID-19 testing should be conducted appropriately based on the dynamic situation.

During early strategic planning, a control tower must first identify the current state of beds available in the hospital to aid case management. This process should utilize modeling data according to the changing situation to enable the estimation of and preparation for the potential shortage of intensive care unit (ICU) beds, non-ICU beds, and negative-pressure or air-borne infection isolation rooms (AIIRs). In Korea, public hospitals and the National Medical Center have been designated for COVID-19 care since the early phase of the COVID-19 response. Government-designated public hospitals and the National Medical Center should be dedicated to treating COVID-19 patients with asymptomatic infection or mild illness, and those with critical-illness, respectively, to ensure the efficiency of medical care. All national designated AIIRs that have been set up since 2003 should be evaluated to determine if such beds are available for actual cases. If a substantial surge occurs, temporary care facilities can be set up to exclusively treat COVID-19 patients with asymptomatic infection or mild illness, or for those in the recovery phase. In preparation for a surge beyond the care-provision capacity of facilities, non-designated private academic and teaching hospitals should be equipped and trained to care for COVID-19 cases.

In order for prepared beds, especially ICU beds, to be used smoothly, it is important to establish systematic and rational severity criteria for the triage and transfer of COVID-19 cases. In addition to such step-up transfer protocols for severe patients, it is essential to develop step-down transfer protocol for recovery patients. For the rapid bed circulation of severe patients, it may be beneficial to provide medical facilities for long-term care and post-acute care for COVID-19 patients with dementia, mental illness, medically complex conditions, and disability requiring hospital-level intense medical rehabilitation. From the long-term perspective, it is essential to develop and implement a plan to establish national infectious disease hospitals in all regions of the country. In this model, specialized units for intensive care, elective or emergent surgery, delivery, and pediatric care should be typically equipped with the infrastructure necessary for treating patients with emerging infectious diseases. The government may create a new independent medical
institution, but the efficiency of medical resources can be improved by linking them with existing academic or tertiary hospitals and by ensuring flexible operation depending on the situation. A collaborative model for academic hospitals and long-term care facilities would enable healthcare facilities to meet the real-time facility needs for the containment of the pandemic. However, in order to maintain such a realistic medical system, appropriate institutional support and compensation systems must be guaranteed.

**Medical workforce**

A skilled, healthy, and well-trained medical workforce constitutes the backbone of any healthcare system, and personnel training is essential to ensure successful preparedness. Physicians, nurses, caregivers, paramedics, and other hospital staff around the world are facing an unprecedented workload in health facilities that have been overstretched during the pandemic. Public health authorities and each hospital’s control tower need to be proactively prepared to expand the supply of personnel. In the short term, alternative sources of staff recruitment include those who have retired recently, returners from non-clinical settings such as research and education, healthcare students, and volunteers. In the long term, the government should develop a system to train competent human resources as medical professionals for the pandemic, including infectious disease specialists, intensive care physicians, intensive care nurses, infection control professionals, and epidemiologists.

Apart from the epidemic situation, HCWs are always at risk of nosocomial transmission of COVID-19, with infected HCWs accounting for 2.7%–3.8% of confirmed cases. In contrast, a study showed that no HCW was infected when they received training on the appropriate use of personal protective equipment (PPE). The safety of HCWs should be the first priority. Therefore, all medical and non-medical personnel must be sufficiently trained for the proper use of PPE or a powered air-purifying respirator (PAPR). After providing adequate PPE or PAPR training, HCWs providing specialized care should practice using all medical equipment in a high-fidelity simulation environment, which will prepare them to perform expected activities while wearing PPE or a PAPR. Finally, scientific evidence on the use of PPE by medical staff at different levels should be studied according to the risk of transmission.

As part of strengthened public health response to the outbreak, a mandatory 14-day stay-home notice was imposed on HCWs who may have come in contact with COVID-19 patients without wearing enhanced PPE. Such measures, including mass isolation after unexpected exposure, can exacerbate the workforce situation by causing sudden staffing shortages. Fortunately, scientific information had been collected about COVID-19 through experience. Rational and scientific response levels must be identified to minimize the shortage of medical resources in the long term, and to prevent medical staff fatigue and economic damage in clinical settings.

As the pandemic situation continues prolong and become more difficult, we expect the role of primary HCWs in the community to gain importance. With regard to the pandemic, the current healthcare system’s primary dependence on public health institutions and tertiary hospitals is insufficient for responding to a surge in patients with acute respiratory diseases during the impending influenza season. In this context, primary physicians comprise the core manpower that could operate ARICs effectively in the community. Therefore, in addition to the active exchange of opinions among stratified medical systems, communication among groups of primary health care providers, experts, and the government are critical to realize the established response strategy effectively. In this regard, a cadre of motivated, trained, and
functional community HCWs is expected to play a significant role in the establishment of a public–private partnership system.

**Medical equipment**

The need for equipment, and medical or non-medical supplies during the COVID-19 pandemic should be gauged in response to changes in the epidemic situation. Public health authorities should list priority equipment and medical or non-medical supplies that are essential for responding to the COVID-19 pandemic, consider alternatives, and develop a tool forecasting their requirements in response to the real-time pandemic using the suite of complimentary surge calculators developed by the World Health Organization.55

The availability of sufficient equipment and medical or non-medical supplies should be monitored in real time by public health authorities. Current stock inventories and forecasted additional demand for equipment and medical or non-medical supplies should be reviewed periodically in response to the changing epidemic situation. The control tower in each hospital should be aware of the stock status of equipment and medical supplies such as PPE or PAPR. If a shortage is identified, public health authorities must strive to secure their stable supply.30,32 In the long run, the government should add the efforts to localize key equipment and medical supplies, including infection control resources such as testing kits, PPE, oxygen delivery systems, closed-circuit ventilators, continuous renal replacement therapy equipment, extracorporeal support systems, infusion devices, and physiological monitors.56

In case of a shortage of resources, a system should be developed to support the decision-making pertaining to the allocation of scarce resources.27,28,31 Previous proposals for the allocation of resources during pandemics converge on four fundamental values; maximizing benefits, treating people equally, promoting and rewarding instrumental value considering benefit to others, and giving priority to the worst off.29 Public health authorities should establish expert committees to develop a national framework that can guide institutions in building equitable and fair decision-making strategies for medical resource allocation.

**COVID-19 surveillance: a national framework**

Infectious disease surveillance includes the ongoing systematic collection, analysis, and interpretation of data on the occurrence of COVID-19, involving the health care delivery system, public health laboratories, and epidemiologists.57,58 It provides a scientific and factual database that is essential for making informed decisions and for implementing appropriate public health action to contain the spread of COVID-19.33,59

Comprehensive national surveillance for COVID-19 allows public health authorities to monitor long-term trends in COVID-19 transmission, understand disease activity at the local and national level, define the burden of COVID-19, identify chances for disease containment, and evaluate the impact of policies.60 Furthermore, surveillance data can be used to plan the allocation of available resources to areas of great necessity, and to forecast future trends in the incidence of COVID-19 using real-world data. Population-level sero-surveillance can provide reliable markers of exposure and prior infection to SARS-CoV-2. Therefore, it is essential to create the capacity to perform widespread serologic testing to identify the true extent of COVID-19, especially in regions where active testing was not performed extensively and asymptomatic or mildly ill patients were likely to be undiagnosed. Surveillance isolates could also be used to create a better understanding of viral evolution, molecular epidemiology, and transmission dynamics.
Surveillance sites for COVID-19 include individuals in the community, primary care sites, other hospitals, existing sentinel influenza-like illness or severe acute respiratory infection (SARI) clinics, residential facilities, and vulnerable groups. Individual cases in the community, participation in contact tracing, cluster investigations and sentinel cases, or the virus are subject to surveillance.

The ROK has already established a case-based surveillance system that relies on reporting from public health centers. Considering the prolongation of this pandemic and the rapid exponential growth of COVID-19 cases in populations, individuals exhibiting the symptoms and signs of COVID-19 should be able to access testing at the primary care level. Another complementary option is to establish dedicated COVID-19 community testing facilities, such as drive-through sites or ARIC-type facilities in community buildings.

Hospitalized surveillance data that include the number and proportion of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 provide information on the COVID-19 burden. As a mandatory notifiable disease, data on patients with confirmed COVID-19 diagnoses in hospitals have also been included, within 24 hours, in an epidemiological analysis of public health authorities. Similar to hospitalized surveillance data, mortality and excess death data have also been included in the metrics of COVID-19 spread in the community.

Particularly, sentinel surveillance is an active surveillance system that offers high-quality data. Selected reporting sites are used to identify trends, notice outbreaks, and monitor the disease burden of COVID-19 in a community. In the ROK, the Korea Influenza and Respiratory Surveillance System and SARI surveillance systems could be used for community- and hospital-based surveillance, respectively. This would enable the monitoring of COVID-19 epidemiology in private primary clinics, and academic or teaching hospitals, respectively.

Further, it is important to conduct dedicated enhanced surveillance for high-risk groups using space-time surveillance, to ensure the prompt detection of cases and active or emerging clusters of COVID-19 at the national level. Some high-risk groups exposed to closed environments, including prisons, long-term-care facilities, nursing homes, and dossouses, and those working in vulnerable settings, including fishing vessels, call centers, and construction sites, may require dedicated enhanced surveillance regularly and proactively if continuing cluster outbreaks occur. Particularly, on being admitted to a nursing home, a surveillance examination of all patients is expected to protect vulnerable people.

Laboratory-based virologic surveillance includes monitoring the incidence of COVID-19 in the community and testing molecular characteristics that analyze the genetic sequence of the SARS-CoV-2 in the hospitals and commercial laboratories. The number of positive and negative tests, and positive testing rate could be used to identify asymptomatic people and to evaluate the adequacy of testing. The difference between the total number of tests and the total number of people tested is also useful for tracking multiple tests of an individual over time. Such measures would provide major indicators of disease spread in the community, such as the proportion of cases with unknown source of exposure.

A national approach to COVID-19 surveillance should be standardized using automated electronic reporting. This information must be shared regularly and clearly, and utilized effectively by the public, public health officials, policy decision-makers, and HCWs.
Data and information application

Data-driven action is required to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of interventions at all phases of this pandemic. While the ROK experienced a sharp growth in COVID-19 cases early in the global pandemic, substantial information has been accumulated about SARS-CoV-2. Now, we need to reassess if our response to COVID-19 was scientific. Additionally, we need to revisit our situations based on scientific evidence. Successful response to the COVID-19 pandemic in the ROK was characterized by rapid large-scale testing, adoption of mask wearing and social distancing measures, and active public participation in following recommendations. However, during the initial outbreak, no measure was proposed based on prior and their effectiveness has not been proven scientifically. Furthermore, there was no data estimating the time-varying reproduction number, which should have been shared constantly, even in extreme conditions. So far, the government’s dataset has failed to include information on the detailed epidemiological characteristics and clinical information of COVID-19 patients. Clinical data, including information on transmission mechanisms and viruses isolated from COVID-19 cases should be formalized quickly and be discussed among private experts. The refined data should be used in the clinical setting and be applied in preparing countermeasures to ensure preparedness and patient care. Such factual and scientific data will help eliminate numerous rumors and conspiracy theories that circulate at local, national, and global levels.

During this pandemic, the control tower of the state must establish an information network on the real-time nationwide status of the clinical field in response to the public health crisis. With regard to the clinical scene in the contingency, the database of the National Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service can be a valuable foundation for building a computerized system that allows medical staff to share information about COVID-19 patients’ medical history. In addition to clinical and epidemiologic data, those on the current status of hospital beds, manpower, and medical equipment or supplies should be collected.

In addition, public health authorities are encouraged to build a data warehouse that can share clinical data on patient progress in real-time during the medical treatment process. Such measures would support operation personnel and improve information utilization. Open and transparent sharing of data with public health authorities, scientists, public health experts, and the public will strengthen pandemic control measures and help in building a bottom-up consensus. It would offer the wider scientific community an opportunity to offer constructive criticism on policies and perhaps suggest course corrections.

In order to provide a basis for the establishment of a policy for preparedness and response to the pandemic, it is necessary to expand the scope of governance and the roadmap for research and development, which is currently too focused on vaccine and drug development. Intensive global collaborative research will also help maximize the amount of scientific information obtained, which could be used to overcome COVID-19 and subsequent pandemics. Emergency support measures for clinical research should be enacted during the surge of cases, including funding research and establishing a fast-track research approval system.

With no effective vaccine or therapy and the rapid transmission of COVID-19, government-coordinated efforts across the globe have focused on containment and mitigation using surveillance, testing, contact tracing, and strict quarantine. Digital technology has been integrated into the pandemic policy and response to control the spread of COVID-19. However, digital health interventions, particularly those that track individuals and enforce
quarantining, could infringe privacy, data security, and civil liberties. In order to minimize such collateral damage, the scope and duration of personal information sharing should be minimized, with ethical considerations guiding the use of digital proximity tracking technologies.

**Governance structure**
During the public health crisis, central-local cooperative governance should be created for developing an integrated and coordinated approach based on the regional centered healthcare system. A decentralized healthcare system is favorable even in case of simultaneous local or regional epidemics. Subnational governments composed of regions and municipalities should be responsible for critical aspects of containment measures and health care, placing them at the frontline of crisis management. As such responsibilities are shared among multiple levels of the government, coordinated effort is critical. Particularly, the centralized control tower should be established to link public health authorities to minimize the risk of a fragmented crisis response and to reduce redundant work burden on site, such as repeatedly reporting information to public health centers, city halls, provincial governments, and the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention during the outbreak in the ROK.

National leadership can play a role in coordinating interventions and resource mobilization nationwide only if it is evidence-based. Under the rational leadership of a national control tower, the mobilization of emergency responses has been forwarded effectively. Multisectoral cooperation at a high level can ensure the appropriate management of healthcare resources across the country.

**CONCLUSIONS**
To maintain an effective response to the COVID-19 pandemic, public health authorities and hospitals should prepare detailed short- and long-term evidence-based pandemic preparedness plans for the large resurgence of COVID-19 nationally, with local or regional epidemics. This paper suggests a comprehensive multidisciplinary approach to preparedness planning for COVID-19. Such measures will ensure a rational and optimized response from the healthcare system during such difficult times. Indeed, the lessons learned from the crisis will lead us to the way forward in the post-COVID-19 world.
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Appendix 1. Search string applied to the 5 databases, using the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and keyword terms for systematic literature review

Database: PubMed (Date: 07/31/2020)

Total hits: 1,009

| Search No. | Query | Result |
|------------|-------|--------|
| #1 | Coronavirus Infections[mh] OR Betacoronavirus[mh:noexp] | 24,763 |
| #2 | COVID-19[tw] OR COVID-2019[tiab] OR 2019-nCoV[tw] OR nCoV 2019[tiab] OR novel coronavirus[tiab] OR novel corona virus[tiab] OR 2019 novel coronavirus[tiab] OR coronavirus disease 2019[tiab] OR corona virus disease 2019[tiab] OR coronavirus 2019[tiab] OR corona virus 2019[tiab] OR 2019 new coronavirus[tiab] OR HCoV-19[tiab] OR human coronavirus[tiab] OR SARS-CoV-2[tiab] OR severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2[tiab] OR SARS coronavirus 2[tiab] OR Wuhan coronavirus[tiab] OR Wuhan seafood market pneumonia virus[tiab] | 37,111 |
| #3 | SARS Virus[mh] OR Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus[mh] OR Influenza, Human[mh] OR Communicable Diseases[mh:noexp] OR Virus Diseases[mh:noexp] OR Disease Transmission, Infectious[mh:noexp] | 128,010 |
| #4 | severe acute respiratory syndrome virus[tiab] OR severe acute respiratory syndrome-related virus[tiab] OR SARS[tw] OR MERS[tw] OR Middle East respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus[tiab] OR Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus[tiab] OR influenza[tiab] OR flu[tiab] OR communicable disease*[tiab] OR infectious disease*[tiab] OR virus disease*[tiab] OR virus infection*[tiab] OR viral disease*[tiab] OR viral infection*[tiab] OR novel virus[tiab] | 348,861 |
| #5 | OR #1 to #4 | 422,816 |
| #6 | Disease Outbreaks[mh:noexp] OR Epidemics[mh:noexp] OR Pandemics[mh] | 108,243 |
| #7 | epidemic[ti] OR epidemics[ti] OR pandemic[ti] OR pandemics[ti] OR outbreak*[ti] OR second wave[ti] | 81,888 |
| #8 | OR #5 OR #7 | 53,422 |
| #9 | healthcare system[ti] OR health care system[ti] OR health system[ti] | 14,122 |
| #10 | governance[ti] OR workforce[ti] OR personnel[ti] OR hospital*[ti] OR institution*[ti] OR organization*[ti] OR organisation*[ti] OR facility*[ti] OR equipment*[ti] OR information*[ti] OR data*[ti] OR resource*[ti] OR network*[ti] | 976,855 |
| #11 | preparedness[ti] OR readiness[ti] OR response[ti] OR plan[ti] OR planning[ti] OR replan*[ti] OR framework[ti] OR systematization[ti] OR configuration[ti] OR reconfiguration[ti] OR supply[ti] OR training[ti] OR deployment[ti] OR procurement[ti] OR coordination[ti] OR policy[ti] OR distribution[ti] OR redistribution[ti] | 3,489,400 |
| #12 | #10 AND #11 | 150,492 |
| #13 | #9 OR #12 | 164,173 |
| #14 | #5 AND #8 AND #13 | 1,260 |
| #15 | (Animals[mh] NOT Humans[mh]) OR Models, Animal[mh:noexp] OR Disease Models, Animal[mh] OR Animal Experimentation[mh] | 4,900,226 |
| #16 | #14 NOT #15 | 1,234 |
| #17 | #16 AND 2010:2020[dp] | 1,009 |

Database: EMBASE (Date: 07/31/2020)

Total hits: 1,139

| Search No. | Query | Result |
|------------|-------|--------|
| #1 | (coronavirus disease 2019'/de) | 31,094 |
| #2 | (COVID-19 OR COVID-2019 OR 2019-nCoV OR 'nCoV 2019' OR SARS-CoV-19 OR HCoV-19 OR SARS-CoV-2):ti,ab OR ((coronavirus OR 'corona virus') NEAR/2 (novel OR 2019 OR Wuhan OR SARS OR 'severe acute respiratory syndrome')):ti,ab OR 'wuhan seafood market pneumonia virus':ti,ab | 37,722 |
| #3 | ('SARS-related coronavirus'/exp OR 'Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus'/de OR influenza/de OR communicable disease'/de OR virus infection'/de OR disease transmission'/de) | 370,404 |
| #4 | ('(severe acute respiratory syndrome' OR 'Middle East respiratory syndrome') NEXT/2 (virus OR coronavirus)):ti,ab OR (SARS OR MERS OR influenza OR flu OR 'novel virus' OR 'virus infection':ti,ab OR (communicable OR infectious OR virus OR viral) NEXT/1 disease'):ti,ab | 354,192 |
| #5 | OR #1 to #4 | 633,509 |
| #6 | epidemic'/de OR pandemic'/de | 133,706 |
| #7 | (epidemic OR epidemics OR pandemic OR pandemics OR outbreak* OR 'second wave'):ti | 86,807 |
| #8 | OR #6 OR #7 | 169,113 |
| #9 | ('healthcare system' OR 'health care system' OR 'health system'):ti | 18,261 |
| #10 | (government OR workforce OR personnel OR hospital* OR institution* OR organization* OR organisation* OR facility* OR equipment OR information OR data OR resource* OR network*):ti | 1,214,550 |
| #11 | preparedness OR readiness OR response OR plan OR planning OR replan* OR framework OR systematization OR configuration OR reconfiguration OR supply OR training OR deployment OR procurement OR coordination OR policy OR distribution OR redistribution:ti,ab | 5,208,768 |
| #12 | #10 AND #11 | 237,962 |
| #13 | #9 OR #12 | 255,594 |
| #14 | #5 AND #8 AND #13 | 1,260 |
| #15 | (animal/exp NOT human/exp) OR 'animal model'/exp OR 'animal experiment'/exp OR 'animal cell'/de OR 'animal tissue'/de OR 'in vitro study'/de OR 'nonhuman'/de | 9,390,947 |
| #16 | #14 NOT #15 | 1,457 |
| #17 | #16 AND 2010:2020[dp] | 1,139 |
Database: Cochrane Library (Date: 07/31/2020)

Total hits: 8

| Search No. | Query | Result |
|------------|-------|--------|
| #1 | [mh "Coronavirus Infections"] OR [mh ^Betacoronavirus] | 259 |
| #2 | ("COVID-19" OR "COVID-2019" OR "2019-nCoV" OR "nCoV 2019" OR "SARS-COV-19" OR "HCoV-19" OR "SARS-COV-2");ti,ab OR ((coronavirus OR "corona virus") NEAR/2 (novel OR 2019 OR Wuhan OR SARS OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome");ti,ab OR "wuhan seafood market pneumonia virus";ti,ab) | 932 |
| #3 | [mh "SARS Virus"] OR [mh "Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus"] OR [mh "Influenza, Human"] OR [mh ^"Communicable Diseases"] OR [mh ^"Virus Diseases"] OR [mh ^"Disease Transmission, Infectious"] | 5,106 |
| #4 | ("severe acute respiratory syndrome" OR "Middle East respiratory syndrome") NEXT/2 (virus OR coronavirus);ti,ab OR (SARS OR MERS OR influenza OR flu OR "novel virus" OR "virus infection");ti,ab OR ("communicable OR infectious OR virus OR viral") NEXT/1 disease*;ti,ab | 13,293 |
| #5 | OR #1 to #4 | 16,024 |
| #6 | [mh ^"Disease Outbreaks"] OR [mh ^"Epidemics"] OR [mh Pandemics] | 284 |
| #7 | (epidemic OR epidemics OR pandemic OR pandemics OR outbreak* OR "second wave");ti | 751 |
| #8 | #6 OR #7 | 908 |
| #9 | ("healthcare system" OR "health care system" OR "health system");ti | 306 |
| #10 | (government OR workforce OR personnel OR hospital* OR institution* OR organization* OR organisation* OR facilit* OR equipment OR information OR data OR resource* OR network*);ti | 38,737 |
| #11 | (preparedness OR readiness OR response OR plan OR planning OR replan* OR framework OR systematization OR configuration OR reconfiguration OR supply OR training OR deployment OR procurement OR coordination OR policy OR distribution OR redistribution);ti,ab | 311,381 |
| #12 | #10 AND #11 | 8,558 |
| #13 | #9 OR #12 | 8,855 |
| #14 | #5 AND #8 AND #13 | 8 |
| #15 | #14 AND [2010-2020]/py | 8 |

Database: Web of Science (Date: 07/31/2020)

Total hits: 698

| Search No. | Query | Result |
|------------|-------|--------|
| #1 | TS=("COVID-19 OR COVID-2019 OR 2019-nCoV OR "nCoV 2019" OR SARS-COV-19 OR HCoV-19 OR SARS-COV-2") OR TS=(coronavirus OR "corona virus") OR NEAR/1 (novel OR 2019 OR Wuhan OR SARS OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome") OR TS="wuhan seafood market pneumonia virus" | 24,914 |
| #2 | TS=("severe acute respiratory syndrome" OR "Middle East respiratory syndrome") OR TS=(virus OR coronavirus) OR TS=(SARS OR MERS OR influenza OR flu OR "novel virus" OR "virus infection") OR TS=("communicable OR infectious OR virus OR viral") OR NEAR/0 disease* | 354,063 |
| #3 | #1 OR #2 | 368,187 |
| #4 | TI=(epidemic OR epidemics OR pandemic OR pandemics OR outbreak* OR "second wave") | 82,549 |
| #5 | TI=("healthcare system" OR "health care system" OR "health system") | 13,971 |
| #6 | TI=(government OR workforce OR personnel OR hospital* OR institution* OR organization* OR organisation* OR facilit* OR equipment OR information OR data OR resource* OR network*) | 2,163,680 |
| #7 | TS=(preparedness OR readiness OR response OR plan OR planning OR replan* OR framework OR systematization OR configuration OR reconfiguration OR supply OR training OR deployment OR procurement OR coordination OR policy OR distribution OR redistribution) | 9,725,548 |
| #8 | #5 AND #7 | 537,663 |
| #9 | #5 OR #8 | 551,091 |
| #10 | #3 AND #4 AND #9 | 845 |
| #11 | Indexes=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, ESCI Timespan=2010-2020 | 698 |
### Healthcare Resource Preparedness Strategies for Pandemics

Database: KoreaMed (Date: 07/31/2020)

Total hits: 142

| Search No. | Query                                                                 | Result |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| #1         | ("COVID-19" OR "COVID-2019" OR "2019-nCoV" OR "nCoV 2019" OR "novel coronavirus" OR "novel corona virus" OR "novel 2019 coronavirus" OR "coronavirus disease 2019" OR "corona virus disease 2019" OR "coronavirus 2019" OR "corona virus 2019" OR "2019 new coronavirus" OR "HCoV-19" OR "human coronavirus 2019" OR "SARS-CoV-19" OR "SARS-CoV-2" OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2" OR "SARS coronavirus 2" OR "Wuhan coronavirus") | 269    |
| #2         | ("severe acute respiratory syndrome virus" OR "severe acute respiratory syndrome-related virus" OR "SARS" OR "MERS" OR "Middle East respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus" OR "Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus" OR "influenza" OR "flu" OR "communicable disease" OR "infectious disease" OR "virus disease" OR "virus infection" OR "viral disease" OR "viral infection" OR "novel virus") | 6,930  |
| #3         | #1 OR #2                                                              | 7,075  |
| #4         | (epidemic OR epidemics OR pandemic OR pandemics OR outbreak* OR "second wave") | 1,648  |
| #5         | ("healthcare system" OR "health care system" OR "health system")     | 5,139  |
| #6         | (governance OR workforce OR personnel OR hospital* OR institution* OR organization* OR organisation* OR facilit* OR equipment OR information OR data OR resource* OR network*) | 137,486|
| #7         | (preparedness OR readiness OR response OR plan OR planning OR replan* OR framework OR systematization OR configuration OR reconfiguration OR supply OR training OR deployment OR procurement OR coordination OR policy OR distribution OR redistribution) | 36,289 |
| #8         | #6 AND #7                                                             | 19,276 |
| #9         | #5 OR #8                                                              | 23,280 |
| #10        | #3 AND #4 AND #9                                                      | 198    |
| #11        | Timespan=2010-2020                                                    | 142    |