Effects of comfort care on symptoms, gastric motility, and mental state of patients with functional dyspepsia
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Abstract

Functional dyspepsia (FD) is a common upper gastrointestinal disorder globally, but the current treatments for FD are still unsatisfactory. This study aimed at investigating the effects of comfort care on symptoms, gastric motility, and mental state of patients with FD.

One hundred consecutive patients with FD treated at the Wuhan Union Hospital (Wuhan, China) between 03/2016 and 02/2018 were randomized to routine nursing and comfort care on the basis of routine nursing (50 patients/group), all for 8 weeks. The primary endpoint was FD symptom score. The secondary endpoint included gastric emptying rate, gastric motility parameters, and depression and anxiety scores. The endpoints were assessed at baseline and after 8 weeks.

There were no significant differences between the 2 groups for gender, age, body mass index, types of FD, and course of disease (P > .05). The symptom score in the comfort care group at week 8 was lower than in the routine nursing group (8.3 ± 2.4 vs 10.2 ± 2.4, P < .001). The gastric emptying rate in the comfort care group at week 8 was higher than in the routine nursing group (28.6 ± 5.1 vs 24.8 ± 5.5, P < .001). The fasting and postprandial dominant frequencies of electrogastrogram in the comfort care group at week 8 were higher than in the routine nursing group (1.9 ± 0.9 vs 1.8 ± 1.0, P = .004; 3.1 ± 1.0 vs 2.1 ± 0.9, P < .001). The postprandial dominant power of electrogastrogram in the comfort care group at week 8 was higher than in the routine nursing group (55.7 ± 11.5 vs 42.3 ± 12.5, P < .001). The cases of abnormal electrogastrogram rhythm in the comfort care group at week 8 were significantly less than in the routine nursing group (P = .003). The self-rating depression scale and self-rating anxiety scale in the comfort care group at week 8 were significantly lower than in the routine nursing group (42.5 ± 6.9 vs 47.3 ± 6.4, P = .001; 41.1 ± 7.2 vs 46.3 ± 6.9, P < .001).

Comfort care reduces the symptoms of patients with FD, increases gastric emptying rate, improves gastric motility, relieves patient’s depression and anxiety, and promotes the rehabilitation of the disease.

Abbreviations: DP = dominant power, DSS = dyspepsia symptom score, FD = functional dyspepsia, SAS = self-rating anxiety scale, SDS = self-rating depression scale.
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1. Introduction

Functional dyspepsia (FD) is a functional gastrointestinal disorder and is very common in clinical practice, with a global prevalence of 5% to 11%[1] and seriously affecting the patients’ quality of life. According to Rome III criteria,[2] FD refers to the existence of 1 or more symptoms of dyspepsia that originate in the gastroduodenal region and lack a group of clinical syndromes of any organic, systemic, or metabolic disease that can explain these symptoms.

The pathogenesis of FD is still unclear, but it is considered to be closely related to several pathophysiological mechanisms such as gastroduodenal dysfunction and visceral hypersensitivity, but also firmly associated with socio-psychological factors (eg, anxiety, depression, introversion, stressful interpersonal relationship, stress, etc).[3] leading to nonstandard and inefficient treatment strategies for FD. About half of the patients diagnosed with FD still have dyspepsia symptoms after a 5-year follow-up, affecting their quality of life over the long-term.[4,5]

Previous studies have pointed out that high-quality nursing service could effectively control the disease and adjust the therapeutic effects. The comfort theory, a nursing theory developed in the 1990s by Katharine Kolcaba, emphasizes on
patients as the center and the nurses critical thinking to find the best comfort care program, give patients proper care, enable patients to achieve the most pleasant state in physiological, mental, psychological, social, and environmental aspects, or shorten and reduce patient unpleasant degree.\textsuperscript{[6,7]}

Nevertheless, the application of the comfort theory for the management of FD is still poorly understood. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to apply comfort care to the FD clinical nursing process, to observe the effects on the symptoms, gastric motility, and patients’ mental state in order to improve the patients’ quality of life.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

A prospective randomized controlled single-blind study was conducted. A total of 100 consecutive patients with FD treated at the Department of Gastroenterology of Wuhan Union Hospital (Wuhan, China) between March 2016 and February 2018 were prospectively enrolled at the outpatient clinic. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Wuhan Union Hospital, and all the patients signed informed content.

The inclusion criteria were:

1. 18 to 75 years of age, no gender limitation;
2. according to the Rome III Questionnaire for diagnosis of FD, patient who met the diagnostic criteria of Rome III (in May 2016, the Rome Committee promulgated functional gastrointestinal disorders, but the present study began earlier than the promulgation of the diagnostic criteria of Rome IV; compared with Rome III, Rome IV had generally little adjustment to FD\textsuperscript{[8],} in combination with the China consensus on FD (2015, Shanghai)\textsuperscript{[9]} and the results of the present researches in China, and in order to ensure the consistency of the study, the diagnostic criteria of Rome III was adopted in this study);
3. least 1 item of the dyspepsia severity score with >2 points; and
4. voluntarily participated in the study and signed the informed consent form.

The exclusion criteria were:

1. pregnant or lactating women;
2. history of gastrointestinal surgery;
3. gastrointestinal organic diseases;
4. any other gastrointestinal functional diseases;
5. any other internal diseases (eg, liver and kidney diseases, chronic severe infections, diabetes, or autoimmune diseases); or
6. mental disease.

2.2. Randomization

All patients were randomly divided into comfort care group and routine nursing group according to a random number table (50 patients in each group). The table was prepared by an independent biostatistician.

2.3. Blinding

Due to the nature of the intervention, the patients and nurses could not be blind to grouping. On the other hand, the professionals who applied the scoring scales and performed the various tests were all blind to grouping.

2.4. Methods

2.4.1. Comfort care theory and behavioral skills training. Comfort care was implemented by trained primary nurses in a ward. Training methods included theoretical and behavioral skills. The specific contents of the theoretical training involved Kolcaba’s Comfort Theory, Betty Neuman’s system model, and other related knowledge. The specific contents of behavioral skills training included the evaluation of stressor, the intervention for stressor, nursing care for alleviating stress response, active psychological intervention, and nursing professional social support. Duration of nurse training was from December 2015 to February 2016, 2 hours per week, 12 weeks, and a total of 24 hours. Trainers were trained by lectures, study, and discussion, as well as demonstration exercises.

2.4.2. Nursing method. Nurses performed routine drug treatment according to doctor’s advice for the patients of 2 groups; according to the patients’ symptoms, proton pump inhibitors or H2 receptor antagonists, gastrointestinal motility agents, digestive enzyme preparations, or traditional Chinese medicines were given according to their type of syndrome and willingness: Sanjiu Weitai granule (6 and 8 patients), Zhizhu Kuanzhong capsule (3 and 3 patients), Qizhi Weitong granule (4 and 5 patients), Jianwei Xiaoshi oral liquid (2 and 3 patients), and Shenling Baizhu granule (2 and 1 patients). The course of treatment was 8 weeks. The patients of the 2 groups were given FD routine nursing, including providing related health education, helping patients establish good eating habits,\textsuperscript{[10]} and observing the changes of the disease, the adverse reactions and curative effect of the drugs. Accordingly, primary nurses daily carried out comfort care intervention to the patients in the comfort care group. Hospitalization, examinations, and nursing were provided free of charge. The patients received the intervention once a day during hospitalization for a total of 7 days. Then, they were discharged with doctor’s advices and the follow-up intervention was conducted every 3 days for a total study duration of 8 weeks. All interventions were routinely implemented.

2.4.2.1. Physical comfort care

2.4.2.1.1. Assessing the patients’ stressors. After admission, the nurses comprehensively assessed patient’s physical and mental state, and determined their actual stressors such as economic problems, marital conflicts, work problems, interpersonal tension, family member’s death, and traumatic diseases. During the period of hospitalization, according to the patient’s condition, the nurses determined the potential stressors in time such as the aggravation of symptoms, the diagnosis of disease, the change of role, and the influence of iatrogenic diseases.

2.4.2.1.2. Intervention for stressor. The nurses helped patients weaken or eliminate actual stressors, explained the effects of various stress factors on disease, guided patients to strengthen their own mental and physical exercise, enhanced patient’s adaptability to various negative life events in order to reduce patient’s negative emotions and to make patient’s good mood state and synchronously develop the effect of intervention. For potential stressors, highlight was put on the prevention of diseases. The nurses helped patients adapt to the change in the
hospital environment and role. The communication between nurses and patients was strengthened, a good relationship between nurses and patients was established, and the origin of iatrogenic stress caused by the behavior of nurses and the poor communication between nurses and patients was prevented.

2.4.2.1.3. Nursing care activities for relieving stress reaction. Patients often had physiological responses to stress such as weight loss, insomnia, pain, fatigue, lack of appetite, and so on. Thus, the nurses carried out stress management and strengthened nursing from medicine, diet, nutrition, sleep, and pain management. For psychological responses to stress such as anxiety and depression, nurses performed cognitive intervention on patients from the aspects of disease impact, determination of the nature of disease, treatment control, personal control, course of disease, emotional reaction, disease understanding, pathogenicity, and so on.[13] Some patients required antidepressant drugs. Some of them had misunderstandings about the drugs, such as fear of drug dependence and adverse reactions, or lack of understanding of the disease, which led to the misunderstanding that they have been cured and there was a poor medication compliance.[12] The nurses should strengthen the guidance of patient’s medication, explain the nature, function and possible adverse reactions of the drugs as well as the preventive measures to the patients and their families, so that patients could actively cooperate with medication and improve the compliance of intervention.

2.4.2.2. Nursing care for mental and psychological comfort

2.4.2.2.1. Attributable intervention. Nurses helped patients make positive attributions, guided patients to attribute the disease to external, temporary, and controllable factors, and encouraged patients to look at problems with an optimistic attitude. For instance, although the cost of treatment created a great burden on family economy; however, “Where there is life, there is hope” and so on to keep patients in harmony and balance in mind.

2.4.2.2.2. Gratitude intervention. Nurses instructed patients to record 2 cases that they felt they needed to thank each day, and to daily record their overall feelings and expectations; to help patients recall if he/she had been grateful to someone, but never has clearly expressed it to him/her, and to help patients write a letter of thanks and read it to him/her face-to-face; nurses instructed patients to spend 20 to 30 minutes every day to meditate or record a positive life experience in the past. There were a variety of positive psychological interventions. Nurses could implement different interventions for the actual situation of patients and help them fight negative emotions by establishing their own positive resources.

2.4.2.3. Nursing care for social comfort.

(1) Establishment of a therapeutic communication system. The nurses established a proper relationship with patients through communication, then they carried out evaluative communication. In order to ensure the correct assessment and analysis of the physical, psychological, and social problems of the patients, the nurses accurately grasped the differences among patients’ conditions, psychological characteristics and the degree of demand for information, burden of disease, social support, and other aspects. In addition, dynamic and personalized intervention communication was undertaken to help patients solve the most troubling problems.

(2) The nurses provided information and technical support required to patients’ family members to assist the patients in enhancing their utilization of social support, explain physical, psychological, and social knowledge related to patient’s disease to their family members, and make them realize that their negative emotion and stress response were due to the disease. Thus, the patients’ family members could fully understand patients and actively express care and strengthen care to the patients. The nurses informed patients’ family members with effective communication skills, which assisted family members in strengthening positive communication with the patients and helped harmonize the relationship between patients’ family members and patients, so as to reduce the occurrence of negative life events such as family conflicts. For example, when a patient talked about his/her inner thoughts, his/her family members should not stop the patient’s emotional expression with a simple comfort such as “It does not matter, do not think about it,” they should listen and comfort more to the patient, help the patient with emotional catharsis through deep heart communication, so as to avoid negative emotional deterioration.

After the patients of the 2 groups were discharged from the hospital, they were followed up by nurses. Every 3 days, primary nurses were responsible to carry out nursing interventions by phone, or a short text or video message. The duration of the nursing intervention for the 2 groups was 8 weeks. At the end of 8th week, patients came to the hospital for re-examination.

2.4.3. Endpoints, assessment indexes, and methods. The primary endpoint was FD symptom score, the secondary endpoint included gastric emptying rate, gastric motility parameters, depression and anxiety scores. The above parameters were assessed and compared at baseline and the end of 8th week separately between the 2 groups.

(1) Score of FD symptoms. The dyspepsia symptom score (DSS) was used to assess the severity of dyspepsia in patients.[2] The degree and frequency of 8 symptoms (postprandial satiety discomfort, early satiety, upper abdominal pain, upper abdominal distension, burning sensation in the upper abdomen, nausea, vomiting, and belching) were assessed. The degrees of symptoms were divided into: 0 = without symptom; 1 = mild: attention was required to be aware of symptoms, and symptoms did not affect patient’s work and life; 2 = moderate: degree of symptoms were between mild and severe, and part of symptoms affected patient’s work and life; 3 = severe: symptoms were obvious, symptoms were unbearable and significantly affected patient’s work and life. Each option was scored as 0, 1, 2, and 3 points, respectively. Frequency was divided into: 0) none; 1) 1 day/month; 2) 1 d/mo; 3) 2 to 3 d/mo; 4) 1 to 2 d/wk; 5) 3 to 4 d/wk; or 6) 5 to 7 d/wk. Options 4, 5, and 6 were scored 1, 2, and 3 points, respectively; the others were scored 0. After fully clarifying the patients’ symptoms, each symptom was scored and the DSS was the sum of the degrees and frequencies of the symptoms. Total scores of FD symptom before intervention and 8 weeks after intervention were recorded.

(2) Gastric emptying examination. The gastric emptying rate of solid food was detected by using a radiopaque X-ray marker. In this study, after 12 hours of fasting, on the next morning,
patients were given a standard meal (instant noodle 80 g, ham 50 g, and water 400 mL, and consumed within 15 minutes). Twenty small barium strips (length of 10 mm, diameter of 1.0 mm, and weight of 25 mg) were uniformly swallowed during the meal. Then, water and food were prohibited after the meal and strenuous exercise was avoided. After 5 hours, an abdominal orthostatic plain film was taken, and the number of residual barium strips in the stomach was counted. The 5-hour gastric emptying rate (%) was calculated as (20 – No. of residual barium strips in the stomach)/20 × 100%. If the gastric emptying rate was <50%, it was considered that there was a delay in gastric emptying.

(3) Electrogastrogram. A multi-channel electrogastrogram (POLYGRAM NETTM, Medtronic Functional Diagnostics A/S, Denmark) was used for detection. After 12 hours of fasting, the patients took a comfortable supine position. Four recording electrodes were placed on the projection area of the surface of gastric antrum, gastric body, lesser curvature, and greater curvature of the stomach. Then, 30 minutes after the collection of fasting gastric electrical signals, the patients were given a standard meal (whole milk 250 mL and whole wheat bread 100 g, consumed within 15 minutes). After 60 minutes, gastric electrical signals were collected. The observational parameters included: fasting and postprandial dominant frequency (the normal value is 2.88–2.92 cpm); fasting and postprandial dominant power (DP); the percentage of time of normal slow wave rhythm (2.4–3.7 cpm); the normal value is greater than 65%; the incidence of abnormal gastric electrical rhythm involving bradygastrica (<2.4 cpm), tachygastria (>3.7 cpm), and gastric dysrhythmia.

(4) State of depression and anxiety. Zung’s self-rating depression scale (SDS)[13] and self-rating anxiety scale (SAS)[14] were used to evaluate the improvement of patients’ depression and anxiety in the 2 groups before and after intervention. SDS score ≥53 indicated depressive symptoms. SAS score ≥50 indicated anxiety symptoms.

2.4.4. Statistical analysis. SPSS 19.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY) was used for statistical analysis. Continuous data were tested for normal distribution using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Normally distributed continuous data are expressed as means ± standard deviation and were analyzed using the Student t test. Categorical data are expressed as frequencies and were analyzed using the chi-square test. P values <.05 was considered as statistically significant.

2.5. Results

2.5.1. Study population. The patient flow chart is shown in Figure 1. There were no statistical differences in gender, age, body mass index, types of FD, course of disease, and concomitant medication between the routine nursing and comfort care groups (P > .05) (Table 1).

2.5.2. Comparison of FD symptom score and gastric emptying rate. As shown in Table 2, the scores of FD symptoms in the routine nursing and comfort care groups before intervention were 14.0 ± 2.6 and 13.8 ± 3.2, respectively (P > .05). After intervention, the scores in 2 groups were decreased to 10.2 ± 2.4 and 8.3 ± 2.4, respectively (P < .001).

The gastric emptying rate is a common index for the detection of gastric motility. Before intervention, gastric emptying rates in the 2 groups were 21.4 ± 7.1% and 22.5 ± 5.6% (P > .05). After intervention, the gastric emptying rates in the 2 groups were increased to 24.3 ± 5.5% and 28.6 ± 5.1%, respectively, and that in comfort care group was significantly increased (P < .001).

2.5.3. Gastric motility. As shown in Table 3, after intervention, the fasting and postprandial dominant frequencies of electrogastrogram in the routine nursing group were 1.8 ± 1.0 cpm and 2.1 ± 0.9 cpm, respectively, while those in the comfort care group were 1.9 ± 0.9 cpm and 3.1 ± 1.0 cpm, respectively (P < .01). After intervention, the fasting DP of electrogastrogram in the routine nursing group was 40.9 ± 13.6 dB, while that in the comfort care group was 41.6 ± 11.5 dB (P = .768). After intervention, the postprandial DP of electrogastrogram in the routine nursing group was 42.3 ± 12.5 dB, while that in the comfort care group was 55.7 ± 11.5 dB (P < .001).

After intervention, the percentage of time of normal electrogastrogram slow wave rhythm in the routine nursing group was 46.9 ± 5.6%, while in the comfort care group it was 91.5 ± 6.8% (P < .001). After intervention, in the routine nursing group, there were 4 cases of bradygastrica (8%), 6 cases of tachygastria (12%), and 5 cases of gastric dysrhythmia (10%). In the comfort care group, there were 1 case of bradygastrica (2%), 1 case of tachygastria (2%), and 1 case of gastric dysrhythmia (2%) (P = .003).

2.5.4. SDS and SAS scores. As shown in Table 4, after intervention, the SDS scores in the routine nursing and comfort care groups were 51.5 ± 7.1 and 51.2 ± 7.2, respectively (P > .05). The SAS scores in the 2 groups were 53.6 ± 6.5 and 55.2 ± 7.1, respectively (P > .05). After intervention, the scores of SDS and SAS in the 2 groups were significantly decreased: the SDS scores of the 2 groups were 47.3 ± 6.4 and 42.5 ± 6.9, respectively (P < .05 vs before intervention) and the SAS scores in the 2 groups were 46.3 ± 6.9 and 41.1 ± 7.2, respectively (P < .05 vs before intervention). After the intervention, the SDS and SAS scores in the comfortable nursing group were lower than those of the routine nursing group, and there were significant differences between the 2 groups (P = .001 and P < .001).

3. Discussion

The etiology and pathogenesis of FD are complex and not fully clarified. At present, the “Psychosocial model” of the pathogenesis of FD is well recognized, that is, psychological factors, social factors, and physiological factors interact and affect each other through enteric nervous signals, which change gastrointestinal motility and sensory function.[16,15,16] The manifestations include gastrointestinal motility disorder and visceral hypersensitivity, leading to various dyspeptic symptoms in FD patients.[16] Due to the heterogeneity of FD, the drug effects of eradicating Helicobacter pylori, inhibiting gastric acid, improving gastrointestinal motility, and antidepressant are limited and the long-term use of the above-mentioned drugs might also lead to adverse effects.[17] In this study, comfort care intervention on FD patients was based on the patients as the center, starting from the biological and psychosocial mechanism of FD, and the professional knowledge and skills of nursing care were fully applied to seek and apply the best nursing program, so that patients could reach the most comfortable state in several aspects such as physiology, mental, and social, thereby helping optimize the efficacy of treatment, improve FD symptoms, gastric function and negative emotions, and promote rehabilitation.[6,7,18,19]
The occurrence and development of FD are closely associated with psychosocial factors. FD patients have more depressive symptoms and stressful life events, and they have less social support. Their depressive symptoms are negatively correlated with interpersonal support. FD patients do not effectively respond to stressful life events; when dealing with stressful events, they directly adopt more coping strategies, while coping styles such as transferring attention, acceptance, social support, and relaxation are less used. Hartono et al. reported that FD patients are susceptible to anxiety, neuroticism, introversion, and other personality characteristics. They are easily stimulated by negative social and psychological factors that will lead to indigestion symptoms and negative emotional expression. Anxiety, depression, and other negative emotions can reduce the patients’ pain threshold, and patient’s perception of normal physiological activity is a symptom of disease. Mason pointed out that stressors are all threats that could be perceived, including physical, psychological, social, and cultural stressors. After psychological stressors act on the human brain, the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenocortical axis is activated, so that stress hormones such as glucocorticoid and catecholamine are secreted in large quantities, and neurotransmitters in the brain such as serotonin, norepinephrine, and dopamine, or their receptors, decrease, leading to neurohormonal disorders acting on a targeted organ, such as stomach. In addition, the blood flow of the gastric mucosa and
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individual investigated the effects of stress on individuals, as well as the comfort of FD patients. The system model is a nursing model that was applied to carry out nursing care for physiological satis and heartburn appear. Symptoms of early satiety, postprandial upper abdominal pain, is increased, leading to damage to the gastric mucosa, and the symptoms of early satiety, postprandial upper abdominal pain, belching, nausea, vomiting, and early satiety) appear. Conversely, when patients are depressed, the secretion of glucocorticoids is decreased of gastric hypersensitivity and pain threshold, as well as anoxia, reduced secretion by the gastric glands, weakened gastric motility, and delayed gastric emptying; then, the symptoms of normal gastric electric slow wave rhythm (%), Abnormal gastric electric rhythm (%).

In the present study, Betty Neuman’s system model emphasizes on primary prevention. In a certain period, nurses should pay attention to various stressors of the patients, sort out the extent of their impact on the body, and formulate corresponding preventive measures.

| Table 1 | Baseline characteristics of the study population. |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Characteristics  | Routine nursing (n = 50) | Comfort care (n = 50) | P-value |
| Gender [n, (%)]  | Male 20 (40.0) | 23 (46.0) | .545 |
|                  | Female 30 (60.0) | 27 (54.0) | .275 |
| Age, yr (x ± s)  | 32.5 ± 3.1 | 33.5 ± 4.1 | .121 |
| BMI, kg/m² (x ± s) | 23.1 ± 1.7 | 23.2 ± 1.8 | .382 |
| Type of FD [n, (%)] | .906 |
| PDS 24 (48.0) | 25 (50.0) | .841 |
| EPS 18 (36.0) | 16 (32.0) | .673 |
| PDS + EPS 8 (16.0) | 9 (18.0) | .346 |
| Course of disease, yr (x ± s) | 5.8 ± 0.5 | 5.7 ± 0.8 | .417 |
| Concomitant medication [n, (%)] | .841 |
| PPHP/H2RA 26 (52.0) | 25 (50.0) | .841 |
| Gastrointestinal motility agents 32 (64.0) | 34 (68.0) | .673 |
| Digestive enzymes 15 (30.0) | 11 (22.0) | .362 |
| Traditional Chinese medicines 17 (34.0) | 22 (44.0) | .305 |
| Antidepressant and anxiolytic drug 6 (12.0) | 3 (6.0) | .295 |

BMI = body mass index, PDS = postprandial distress syndrome, EPS = epigastric pain syndrome, PPI = proton pump inhibitors, H2RA = H2 receptor antagonists.

Table 2
Comparison of FD symptom score and gastric emptying rate between the 2 groups before and after intervention (x ± s).

| FD symptom score | Routine nursing (n = 50) | Comfort care (n = 50) | P-value |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Before intervention | 14.0 ± 2.6 | 13.9 ± 3.2 | .805 |
| After intervention  | 10.2 ± 2.4 | 8.3 ± 2.4* | <.001 |
| Gastric emptying rate (%) | .841 |
| Before intervention | 21.4 ± 7.1 | 22.5 ± 5.6 | .213 |
| After intervention  | 24.3 ± 5.5 | 28.6 ± 5.1* | <.001 |

FD = functional dyspepsia. Compared with routine nursing group, *P <.05 was considered as significant difference.

Table 3
Comparison of gastric motility between 2 groups after intervention (x ± s).

| Gastric motility parameters | Routine nursing (n = 50) | Comfort care (n = 50) | P-value |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Dominant frequency, cpm     | 1.8 ± 1.0 | 1.9 ± 0.9 | .004 |
| Fasting                     | 2.1 ± 0.9 | 3.1 ± 1.0 | <.001 |
| Postprandial                | 42.3 ± 12.5 | 55.7 ± 11.5 | .768 |
| Normal gastric electric     | 46.9 ± 5.6 | 91.5 ± 8.6 | <.001 |
| slow wave rhythm (%)        | Abnormal gastric electric | 4.0 | 1 (2.0) | .003 |
| rhythm [%]                  | Bradygastria 4 (8.0) | 1 (2.0) | .003 |
| Tachygastria 6 (12.0) | 1 (2.0) | .003 |
| Gastric dysrhythm 5 (10.0) | 1 (2.0) | .003 |

Table 4
Comparison of SDS and SAS scores between 2 groups before and after intervention (x ± s).

| SDS score | Routine nursing (n = 50) | Comfort care (n = 50) | P-value |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Before intervention | 51.5 ± 7.1 | 51.2 ± 7.2 | .692 |
| After intervention  | 47.3 ± 6.4 | 42.5 ± 6.9* | .001 |
| SAS score | Routine nursing (n = 50) | Comfort care (n = 50) | P-value |
|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Before intervention | 53.6 ± 6.5 | 55.2 ± 7.1 | .177 |
| After intervention  | 46.3 ± 6.9* | 41.1 ± 7.2* | <.001 |

SAS = self-rating anxiety scale, SDS = self-rating depression scale. Compared with the scores before intervention, *P <.05 was considered as significant difference.
The Comfort Theory believes that the improvement of comfort is mutually promoted by the behavior of patient’s seeking for health, including internal behavior such as the enhancement of confidence in the fight against the disease, and an external behavior (eg, actively cooperating with various treatments and nursing). Depressive mood has the most significant negative effect on individual's confidence level, while coping style may have both positive and negative benefits on an individual's confidence. Therefore, in this study, positive psychological intervention was applied to perform mental and psychological comfort nursing care to FD patients. Positive mental intervention means that the positive emotions of human can be induced by some actions or activities. Seligman pointed out that the goal of positive psychology is to promote psychology to focus on repairing problems in life, and simultaneously, it is to devote to build good qualities in life. Even those with the most serious mental illness require more than just a simple pain relief. People in confusion need more satisfaction, pleasure, and happiness. People need to build strength rather than just correct defects. People need meaningful and purposeful life. Accordingly, these items will not be automatically generated by the relief of pain. Attribution intervention belongs to the category of positive psychology, which is actually the training for the attribution style of patients. FD patients tend to have negative attribution style, that is, positive events are attributable to external, unstable, and specific reasons, and negative events are attributable to internal, stable, and overall reasons. Patients always tend to focus on negative news that is bad for their own health, sometimes they even magnify negative information, and think that the condition is uncontrollable. Self-acceptance is low, leading to psychological imbalance and negative emotions. Through actively attributable intervention to patients, nurses can correct or improve the patients' inappropriate attribution style, thus further correct patient's bad cognition and negative emotion. Gratitude intervention is a positive psychological intervention as well. Nurses often instruct FD patients to express their gratitude, which makes it more easy for patients to notice positive events that happen around them and to experience positive emotions. As patients regularly experience all kinds of positive emotions, the patients' mood is better, so they are more able to experience happiness. Gratitude intervention could also improve patient's ability to deal with all kinds of negative emotional problems and expand their social communication network, thereby alleviating negative emotions such as depression.

According to the Comfort Theory, a social support system is an intermediate variable that affects the comfort level of the patients. In this study, by providing nursing professional social support, social comfort nursing was implemented to FD patients and the results were consistent with the theoretical hypothesis. Social support is an individual’s available external resource, satisfactory social support is beneficial to health, improves patient’s ability to foresight ability and coping skill for disease prevention, and presents a lower state of depression and anxiety, which is beneficial to the improvement of prognosis. The support provided by professional medical staff can reduce the degree of disease invasion, improve the patients’ self-health level with chronic diseases, and increase patients’ degree of trust toward medical staff. As the most frequently contacted professional medical staff with patients during hospitalization, nurses are important human resource for patients to carry out professional social support. According to the medical outcomes study social support survey, in this study, through communicative and evaluative communication, nurses accurately grasped the size of FD patient's medical support network. Intervention communication helped patients obtain information and emotional support from nurses in addition to the practical support in living care and mass economy from family, relatives, units, and so on. Nurses gave patient’s family members correct care methods to improve the coping ability of patient’s family to the disease and helped patients gain more social interaction and emotional support, thus improved the ability of FD patients to cope with and adapt to the disease.

The results showed that the comfortable nursing group was superior to the routine nursing group regarding the relief of dyspepsia symptoms and recovery of gastric function. Because mental and psychological factors and stress can cause digestive dysfunction by affecting the brain-gut axis, the patients developed various symptoms of dyspepsia. The dyspeptic symptoms can also act on mental and psychological functions through the brain gut axis, causing or aggravating depression and anxiety of the patients, and thus inducing a vicious circle. In the present study, the symptoms of dyspepsia in both groups were alleviated after the intervention, and the adverse effects of the physiological abnormalities on mental health were alleviated to varying degrees. Therefore, the SDS and SAS scores in the 2 groups were significantly lower than those before the intervention. Nevertheless, the comfortable nursing group outperformed the routine nursing group in terms of improving mental state by further implementing targeted nursing interventions.

Limitations of this study include the relatively short (8 weeks) intervention time and follow-up time. This was a single center study and case selection bias might exist. Finally, some drugs had to be used in some patients to relieve depression and anxiety and they are known to affect FD, however, these drugs could not be withdrawn to ensure the best patient health care. In the future, sample size will be expanded and long-term follow-up observation will be carried out in order to improve FD comfort care program and provide reference for other patients with functional diseases of digestive system.

In conclusion, this study aimed at the characteristics of “All diseases originate from the mind” in FD patients. Under the guidance of the Comfort Theory, the best nursing program was applied in the aspects of physiological, mental, and social comfort, which not only achieved the purposes of optimizing the treatment effects and improving the quality of FD patients’ life, but also expanded the practice scope of nursing specialty, and embodied the professional value of nurses.
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