The prefrontal cortex (PFC), a key brain region for cognitive and emotional processes, is highly regulated by dopaminergic inputs. The dopamine D₄ receptor, which is enriched in PFC, has been implicated in mental disorders, such as attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder and schizophrenia. Recently we have found homeostatic regulation of AMPA receptor-mediated synaptic transmission in PFC pyramidal neurons by the D₄ receptor, providing a potential mechanism for D₄ in stabilizing cortical excitability. Because stress is tightly linked to adaptive and maladaptive changes associated with mental health and disorders, we examined the synaptic actions of D₄ in stressed rats. We found that neural excitability was elevated by acute stress and dampened by repeated stress. D₄ activation produced a potent reduction of excitatory transmission in acutely stressed animals and a marked increase of excitatory transmission in repeatedly stressed animals. These effects of D₄ targeted GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors and relied on the bi-directional regulation of calcium/calmodulin kinase II activity. The restoration of PFC glutamatergic transmission in stress conditions may enable D₄ receptors to serve as a synaptic stabilizer in normal and pathological conditions.

The dopaminergic system in prefrontal cortex (PFC) plays a key role in regulating high level cognitive functions, such as working memory (1, 2). Dopamine D₄ receptors, which are largely restricted to PFC neurons (3, 4), are critically involved in PFC functions both under normal condition and in many neuropsychiatric disorders (5, 6). For example, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and the responsiveness to its treatments have been associated with a D₄ gene polymorphism that weakens D₄ receptor function (7–10). The highly effective antipsychotic drug used in schizophrenia treatment, clozapine, has a high affinity to D₄ receptors (11, 12). Preclinical studies also indicate that D₄ receptor antagonists alleviate stress-induced working memory problems in monkeys (13) and ameliorate cognitive deficits caused by psychotomimetic drugs (14). Mice lacking D₄ receptors exhibit supersensitivity to psychomotor stimulants, reduced exploration of novel stimuli, cortical hyperexcitability, and juvenile hyperactivity and impulsive behaviors associated with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (15–18).

To understand the role of D₄ in mental health and disorders, it is important to elucidate the molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying the impact of D₄ on cortical excitability and working memory. It has been proposed that glutamate receptor-mediated synaptic transmission that controls PFC neuronal activity is crucial for working memory (19). Dysfunction of glutamatergic transmission is considered as the core feature and fundamental pathology of mental disorders (20–22). Recently we have demonstrated that D₄ stimulation causes a profound depression of AMPA receptor (AMPAR) responses in PFC pyramidal neurons when their activity is elevated in vitro and causes a marked potentiation of AMPAR responses when their activity is dampened in vitro (23, 24). It suggests that D₄ receptors may use the homeostatic control of glutamatergic transmission to stabilize the activity of PFC circuits.

The PFC is one of the primary targets of stress hormones (25). Stress has profound and divergent effects on the body and the brain. Acute stress is essential for adaptation and maintenance of homeostasis, whereas chronic stress often exacerbates deficiencies in emotional and cognitive processes associated with psychiatric disorders, such as depression, anxiety, and posttraumatic stress disorder (25, 26). In this study, we sought to determine whether animals exposed to acute or repeated behavioral stress have altered neuronal activity in vivo and whether D₄ exerts an activity-dependent regulation of glutamatergic transmission in PFC pyramidal neurons from stressed animals. Knowledge gained from this study should help us understand the role of D₄ in the adaptive and maladaptive changes linked to stress.
**Experimental Procedures**

**Stress Paradigm**—All experiments were conducted in accordance to the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the State University of New York at Buffalo. Juvenile (3–4 weeks old) Sprague-Dawley male rats were used in this study. For acute stress, rats were restrained in air-accessible cylinders for 2 h (10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.) as described previously (27, 28). For repeated stress, rats were restrained daily (2 h) for 5–7 days.

**Electrophysiological Recording in Slices**—PFC slice preparation procedures were similar to what was described previously (29). In brief, animals were anesthetized by inhaling 2-bromo-2-chloro-1,1,1-trifluoroethane (1 ml/100 g; Sigma) and decapitated. Brains were quickly removed and sliced (300 μm) with a Leica VP1000S Vibrtoile while bathed in a HEPES-buffered salt solution. Slices were then incubated for 1–5 h at room temperature (22–24 °C) in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) (130 mM NaCl, 26 mM NaHCO3, 1 mM CaCl2, 5 mM MgCl2, 3 mM KCl, 10 mM glucose, 1.25 mM Na2HPO4) bubbled with 95% O2, 5% CO2.

Standard voltage clamp recording techniques were used to measure AMPAR-EPSC in layer V PFC pyramidal neurons as described before (23, 28). Neurons were visualized with a ×40 water-immersion lens and illuminated with near infrared (IR) light, and the image was captured with an IR-sensitive CCD camera. Recordings were obtained using a Multiclamp 700A amplifier (Axon Instruments). Tight seals (2–10 gigaohms) were obtained by applying negative pressure. The membrane was disrupted with additional suction, and the whole-cell configuration was obtained. Neurons were held at −70 mV, and EPSCs were evoked by stimulating the neighboring neurons with a bipolar tungsten electrode (FHC, Inc.). The internal solution contained 130 mM cesium methanesulfonate, 10 mM CsCl, 4 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 10 mM HEPES, 5 mM EGTA, 2.2 mM QX-314, 12 mM phosphocreatine, 5 mM MgATP, 0.5 mM Na2GTP, 0.1 mM leupeptin, pH 7.2–7.3, 265–270 mosmol. To record miniature EPSCs (mEPSCs) in the slice, 1 mM MgCl2-containing ACSF (tetrodotoxin added) was used.

Whole-cell current clamp techniques were used to measure action potential firing (30). During the recording of spontaneous firing, PFC slices were bathed in a modified ACSF with reduced Mg2+ (0.5 mM) and slightly elevated K+ (3.5 mM) at room temperature. This modified ACSF is more similar to in vivo rodent CSF than standard ACSF (31). The internal solution contained 100 mM potassium glutamate, 20 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES, 4 mM MgATP, 0.3 mM NaGTP, 10 mM phosphocreatine, and 0.1 mM leupeptin, pH 7.2–7.3, 265–270 mosmol. A small depolarizing current was applied to adjust the interspike potential to −60 mV.

Dopamine receptor ligands PD168077 maleate (Tocris) and the second messenger reagents KN-93, KN-92, purified active CaMKIIα protein (74 kDa; Abcam ab60899) and CaM proteins (Calbiochem) were made up as concentrated stocks in water or dimethyl sulfoxide and stored at −20 °C or −80 °C. Stocks were thawed and diluted immediately before use. Reagents were dialed into neurons through the patch electrode for 20 min before electrophysiological recordings were started. Data analyses were performed with the Clampfit software (Axon Instruments). Experiments with two groups were analyzed statistically using Student’s t tests. Experiments with more than two groups were subjected to one-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey tests. Miniature synaptic currents were analyzed with Mini Analysis Program (Synaptosoft, Leonia, NJ). Statistical comparisons of mEPSCs were made using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

**Western Blotting**—After treatment, slices were homogenized in boiling 1% SDS, followed by centrifugation (13,000 × g, 20 min). The supernatant fractions were subjected to 7.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The blots were blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk for 1 h at room temperature followed by incubation with various primary antibodies of CaMKII (1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-9035) and Thr(P)286-CaMKII (1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-12886). After incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Sigma-Aldrich), the blots were exposed to the enhanced chemiluminescence substrate (Amersham Biosciences). Quantification was obtained from densitometric measurements of immunoreactive bands on film using ImageJ software.

**Results**

**Neuronal Excitability, Which Is Elevated by Acute Stress and Dampened by Repeated Stress, Is Restored by D4 Activation**—To compare overall excitability of PFC circuits in control versus stressed animals, we measured the spontaneous action potential firing, which helps to reveal the circuit excitability changes induced by altered synaptic drive onto pyramidal neurons (32). As shown in Fig. 1A, compared with neurons from nonstressed (NS) control rats, the firing rate was significantly increased in neurons from acutely stressed (AS) animals (NS: 1.14 ± 0.25 Hz, n = 9; AS: 2.04 ± 0.31 Hz, n = 10, p < 0.01, t test). On the other hand, a significant reduction in the firing rate was observed in repeatedly stressed (RS) animals (Fig. 1B, NS: 1.25 ± 0.41 Hz, n = 8; RS: 0.37 ± 0.10 Hz, n = 11, p < 0.01, t test). These data indicate that the excitability of PFC pyramidal neurons is elevated by acute stress and dampened by chronic stress. Thus, stress provides a physiological condition that has bi-directional changes in neuronal activity in vivo.

We then examined the impact of D4 receptor activation on the excitability of PFC pyramidal neurons from stressed animals. As shown in Fig. 1, C and D, application of the D4 receptor agonist PD168077 (40 μM) produced a significant reducing effect on the firing rate in acutely stressed animals (control: 2.14 ± 0.04 Hz, PD: 1.33 ± 0.03 Hz, n = 7). On the other hand, PD168077 significantly enhanced the firing rate in repeatedly stressed animals (control: 0.39 ± 0.02 Hz, PD: 0.97 ± 0.04 Hz, n = 5). Thus, the PFC neuronal excitability has been restored by D4 in stress conditions.

**D4 Restores the Excitatory Synaptic Transmission in Stressed Animals**—Because D4 stimulation induces an activity-dependent regulation of glutamatergic transmission in vitro (23), we next examined the effect of D4 in PFC neurons from animals whose neural activity has been perturbed by in vivo stressors. As shown in Fig. 2, A–C, the basal evoked AMPAR-EPSC amplitude was markedly increased by acute stress (NS: 109.8 ± 5.1...
**FIGURE 1.** In vivo stress alters the excitability of PFC pyramidal neurons. A and B, representative spontaneous firing recordings and scatter plots of firing rates of PFC pyramidal neurons from nonstressed versus acutely stressed (A) or repeatedly stressed (B) rats. Scale bar, 20 mV, 2 s. *, *p < 0.01, t test. C and D, representative spontaneous firing recordings and scatter plots of firing rates of PFC pyramidal neurons showing the effect of PD168077 (40 μM) in stressed (acutely or repeatedly) rats. Scale bars, 20 mV, 2 s. *p < 0.01, t test.

**FIGURE 2.** The stress-induced alteration of glutamatergic transmission is restored by D₄ activation. A and B, plot of normalized AMPAR-EPSC showing the effect of PD168077 (40 μM) in nonstressed versus acutely stressed (A) or repeatedly stressed (B) animals. C, Bar graphs (mean ± S.E. (error bars)) showing the amplitude of EPSC in PFC neurons from nonstressed, acutely stressed, or repeatedly stressed animals before and after PD168077 application. #, *p < 0.05; *, *p < 0.001, ANOVA. D and F, cumulative distribution plot showing the effect of PD168077 (40 μM) on miniature EPSC amplitude and interevent interval in animals exposed to acute stress (D) or repeated stress (F). Inset, representative mEPSC traces. Scale bar, 20 pA, 1 s. E and G, bar graphs (mean ± S.E.) showing the amplitude and frequency of mEPSC in PFC neurons from different groups (NS, AS, RS) before and after PD168077 application. *, *p < 0.001, ANOVA.

pA, n = 12; AS: 237.9 ± 15.1 pA, n = 16; p < 0.001, ANOVA) and was substantially decreased by repeated stress (NS: 151.4 ± 10.5 pA, n = 16; RS: 80.4 ± 4.4 pA, n = 19, p < 0.001, ANOVA). Application of PD168077 (40 μM) slightly reduced AMPAR-EPSC in nonstressed animals (23.0 ± 3.1% reduction, n = 7, p < 0.05, ANOVA), and this reducing effect of PD168077 was significantly augmented in acutely stressed animals (49.2 ± 4.5% reduction, n = 16, p < 0.001, ANOVA). On the other hand,
PD168077 significantly enhanced AMPAR-EPSC in repeatedly stressed animals (53.6 ± 6.5% enhancement, n = 14, p < 0.001, ANOVA). Thus, the excitatory synaptic strength has been brought back to the control level by D₄ in stress conditions (AS + PD: 120.8 ± 8.3 pA, n = 16; RS + PD: 123.6 ± 7.3 pA, n = 14).

We next measured AMPAR-mediated mEPSC, a response from quantal release of single glutamate vesicles. Acute stress caused a significant increase of the mEPSC amplitude (NS: 12.1 ± 0.6 pA; AS: 17.2 ± 2.0 pA, p < 0.001, ANOVA), which was recovered to the nonstressed level by PD168077 (10.9 ± 0.3 pA, 2.1 ± 0.2 Hz, n = 8, Fig. 2, D and E). The mEPSC frequency was not significantly changed by acute stress, consistent with our previous report (27). On the other hand, the mEPSC amplitude and frequency were significantly reduced in animals exposed to repeated stress (9.5 ± 0.3 pA, 1.5 ± 0.3 Hz, n = 7), and PD168077 restored mEPSC similar to that observed in nonstressed animals (14 ± 0.5 pA, 2.8 ± 0.3 Hz, n = 7, Fig. 2, F and G). Taken together, these results suggest that D₄ activation provides a homeostatic regulation of excitatory synaptic transmission in stressed animals.

The Homeostatic Effect of D₄ Targets Mainly GluA2-lacking AMPA Receptors—Because GluA2-containing and GluA2-lacking AMPAR channels have distinct channel conductance, open probability, Ca²⁺ permeability and rectification, we examined whether D₄ receptors differentially affect AMPAR subunits in stress conditions. It has been found that GluA2-lacking AMPARs have prominent rectification (33), and changes in GluA2-lacking AMPARs alter the inward rectification due to voltage-dependent blockade of intracellular polyamine (34). Thus, rectification index (RI) of AMPAR responses (ratio of the current amplitude at −60 mV to that at +40 mV) was measured with a spermine (100 μM)-containing intracellular solution (35). As shown in Fig. 3A, the RI in acutely stressed animals was much bigger than that in nonstressed control animals (NS: 2.3 ± 0.34, n = 7; AS: 4.0 ± 0.39, n = 11; p < 0.001, ANOVA), suggesting that acute stress may predominantly increase GluA2-lacking AMPARs at the synapse. Application of PD168077 (40 μM) reduced the RI back to the control level (2.4 ± 0.2, n = 11), indicating that D₄ activation removes the synaptic GluA2-lacking AMPARs that are previously delivered by acute stress. On the other hand, in repeatedly stressed animals, the RI was unchanged (NS: 2.1 ± 0.2, n = 9; RS: 2.2 ± 0.2, n = 9, p > 0.05, ANOVA) but was markedly increased by PD168077 (4.1 ± 0.6, n = 8), suggesting that D₄ increases the synaptic recruitment of GluA2-lacking AMPARs.

To investigate further the target of D₄, we used NASPM (100 μM), a selective blocker of GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors (36). As shown in Fig. 3, C and D, NASPM had a minimal effect on basal AMPAR-EPSC in nonstressed rats (−12.9 ± 4.2%, n = 8, p > 0.05, t test), consistent with the low level of synaptic GluA2-lacking AMPARs at the baseline condition as reported previously (37). The NASPM sensitivity was significantly increased in acutely stressed animals (−30.3 ± 3.2%, n = 9, p < 0.001, t test) and was unchanged in repeatedly stressed animals (−11.2 ± 2.2%, n = 11, p > 0.05, t test), consistent with the delivery of GluA2-lacking AMPARs by acute stress. In acutely stressed animals, PD168077 significantly reduced AMPAR-EPSC (Fig. 3E, 52.4 ± 7.2% reduction, n = 9), and subsequent application of NASPM failed to alter AMPAR-EPSC (−11.1 ± 1.7%, n = 9). On the other hand, in repeatedly stressed animals, PD168077 significantly enhanced AMPAR-EPSC (Fig. 3F, 47.7 ± 9.4% enhancement, n = 11), and subsequent application of NASPM markedly reduced AMPAR-EPSC (−39.7 ± 5.1%, n = 11). This suggests that the D₄-sensitive EPSC is mediated by GluA2-lacking AMPARs in both stress conditions.

To test further whether the change in AMPAR trafficking is involved in D₄ regulation of synaptic transmission at stress conditions, we carried out surface biotinylation assays. As shown in Fig. 3, G and H, PD168077 caused a marked reduction of surface GluA1 expression in PFC slices from acutely stressed animals, but significantly increased the level of surface GluA1 in PFC slices from repeatedly stressed animals (NS: −29.6 ± 2.6%, n = 11; AS: −55.9 ± 7.0%, n = 7; RS: 46.9 ± 11.2%, n = 10; p < 0.01, ANOVA). The biochemical evidence is consistent with what was observed in electrophysiological recordings.

CaMKII Is Involved in the D₄ Regulation of AMPAR Transmission in Stressed Animals—Our previous studies have shown that D₄ exerts an activity-dependent bi-directional regulation of CaMKII (38), which is critical for the D₄-induced homeostatic regulation of AMPARs in vitro (23, 24). Thus, we tested the role of CaMKII in the D₄ actions in stressed animals. As shown in Fig. 4, A and B, in PFC slices from nonstressed animals, application of PD168077 (40 μM, 10 min) reduced the level of activated (Thr²⁸⁶-phosphorylated) CaMKII (32.1 ± 7.1% reduction, n = 5). In acutely stressed animals, the basal level of activated CaMKII was elevated (1.59 ± 0.19-fold of control, n = 5), and the reducing effect of PD168077 was significantly augmented (71.4 ± 7.3% reduction, n = 5). Conversely, in repeatedly stressed animals, the basal level of activated CaMKII was unchanged (1.12 ± 0.12-fold of control, n = 6), whereas PD168077 significantly increased the level of activated CaMKII (61.4 ± 10.5% increase, n = 6). This bi-directional regulation of CaMKII activity by D₄ receptors provides a basis for the dual effects of D₄ on AMPAR transmission in PFC pyramidal neurons from acutely versus chronically stressed animals.

To examine whether the D₄ reduction of AMPAR-EPSC in acutely stressed animals is through suppression of CaMKII, we dialyzed neurons with an EGTA-free internal solution containing purified active CaMKIIα protein (0.6 μg/ml), calmodulin (30 μg/ml) and CaCl₂ (0.3 mM). The active CaMKII protein itself did not significantly alter the basal EPSC (−7.8 ± 1.7%, n = 6), which is likely due to the high level of CaMKII in these cells. As shown in Fig. 5A, the reducing effect of PD168077 on AMPAR-EPSC was largely blocked by intracellular infusion of the active CaMKII protein (6.2 ± 2.1% reduction, n = 9, Fig. 5C), but not the heat-inactivated CaMKII protein (46.4 ± 3.9% reduction, n = 11; p < 0.001, t test, Fig. 5C).

To examine whether the D₄ enhancement of AMPAR-EPSC in repeatedly stressed animals is through stimulation of CaMKII, we dialyzed the CaMKII inhibitor, KN-93 (20 μM). KN-93 itself did not significantly alter the basal EPSC (−7 ± 1.4%, n = 7), which is likely due to the low level of CaMKII in these cells.
As shown in Fig. 5B, the enhancing effect of PD168077 on AMPAR-EPSC was blocked by KN-93 (3.0 ± 3.9% enhancement, n = 9, Fig. 5C), but not the inactive analog KN-92 (20 μM, 52.3 ± 6.1% enhancement, n = 9; p < 0.001, t test, Fig. 5C).

Finally, we examined the role of CaMKII in D₄ regulation of neuronal excitability in stressed animals. As shown in Fig. 6, in animals exposed to acute stress, the reducing effect of PD168077 on spontaneous action potential firing was largely
blocked by intracellular infusion of the active CaMKII protein (0.6 μg/ml, control: 2.16 ± 0.08 Hz, n = 7), but not the GST control (control: 2.18 ± 0.14 Hz, PD: 1.07 ± 0.08 Hz, n = 7; p < 0.01, t test). On the other hand, in animals exposed to repeated stress, the enhancing effect of PD168077 on the firing rate was blocked by KN-93 (20 μM, control: 0.44 ± 0.03 Hz, PD: 0.49 ± 0.04 Hz, n = 7), but not the inactive analog KN-92 (control: 0.45 ± 0.04 Hz, PD: 1.02 ± 0.07 Hz, n = 6; p < 0.01, t test).

Taken together, these results suggest that D4 restores glutamatergic transmission in stress conditions through bi-directional regulation of CaMKII activity, which contributes the dual regulation of neuronal excitability by D4 receptors.

**DISCUSSION**

Stress serves as a key controller for neuronal responses that underlie behavioral adaptation, as well as maladaptive changes that lead to cognitive and emotional disturbances in stress-related mental disorders (26). Corticosterone, the major stress hormone, has been found to exert a complex effect on PFC excitatory synapses and PFC-mediated behaviors (25, 39). Acute stress induces synaptic potentiation by increasing surface delivery of AMPARs and NMDARs via glucocorticoid/S GK/Rab4 signaling, resulting in enhanced working memory performance (27, 28, 40). Conversely, chronic stress induces dendritic shortening, spine loss, and impairment in cognitive flexibility and perceptual attention (41–43). Our recent study has found that the detrimental effect of repeated stress on cognition is causally linked to the ubiquitin/proteasome-mediated degradation of AMPAR and NMDAR subunits and the suppression of glutamatergic transmission in PFC (44). In addition, the alteration of RNA editing of AMPAR subunits (45, 46) is potentially another mechanism underlying the effects of stress.

In this study, we have found that the spontaneous action potential firing is bi-directionally changed by acute versus repeated stress, suggesting that the synaptic drive onto PFC pyramidal neurons is altered by stress, leading to changes in PFC circuit excitability. Activation of D4 reduces the potentiated AMPAR responses in acutely stressed animals and enhances the depressed AMPAR responses in repeatedly stressed animals. The restoration of synaptic strength to the control level by D4 in stress conditions supports the notion that D4 serves as a homeostatic synaptic factor to stabilize cortical excitability (23, 24).

**FIGURE 4. D4 activation exerts a bi-directional regulation of CaMKII activity in stressed animals.** A, representative immunoblots of p-CaMKII and total CaMKII in lysates of PFC slices incubated without or with PD168077 (40 μM, 10 min) from nonstressed versus acutely or repeatedly stressed animals. B, quantification showing the percentage change of p-CaMKII by PD168077 in PFC slices from nonstressed versus stressed animals. *, p < 0.001, ANOVA. Error bars indicate mean ± S.E.

**FIGURE 5. Bi-directional regulation of CaMKII activity underlies the homeostatic regulation of AMPAR-EPSC by D4 in stressed animals.** A and B, plot of normalized AMPAR-EPSC showing the effect of PD168077 (40 μM) in PFC neurons infused with active versus inactive CaMKII from acutely stressed animals (A) or KN-92 versus KN-93 from repeatedly stressed animals (B). Insets, representative EPSC traces taken from the time course denoted by #. Scale bar, 50 pA, 20 ms. C, bar graphs (mean ± S.E. [error bars]) showing the percentage modulation of AMPAR-EPSC by PD168077 in PFC neurons from stressed (acute or chronic) animals in the presence of various reagents affecting CaMKII activity. *, p < 0.001, t test.
D₄ Regulation of AMPA Receptors in Stressed Rats

One of the most important regulators of AMPARs and synaptic plasticity is CaMKII (54). CaMKII activation has been found to increase channel conductance (55) and promote synaptic plasticity (56). In this study, we demonstrate that D₄ markedly decreases CaMKII activity in acutely stressed animals, which is important for the D₄ reduction of AMPAR responses. On the other hand, D₄ potently increases CaMKII activity in repeatedly stressed animals, which is important for the D₄ enhancement of AMPAR responses. It further confirms that the D₄-induced homeostatic regulation of AMPARs depends on the activity-dependent bi-directional regulation of CaMKII (23, 24, 38).

Our previous study has shown that at the high activity state, D₄ suppresses AMPAR responses by disrupting synaptic targeting of GluA1 through the scaffold protein SAP97 via a mechanism dependent on CaMKII stimulation (24). Thus, the major mechanism underlying the actions of D₄ in stress conditions is likely to be CaMKII-mediated regulation of AMPAR trafficking, not phosphorylation-dependent changes on channel conductance.

In summary, we conclude that D₄ is a synaptic gatekeeper that stabilizes glutamatergic transmission in prefrontal cortex. When neuronal activity changes in situations such as acute or repeated stress, D₄ redistributes GluA2-lacking AMPARs at synapses through the bi-directional control of CaMKII. This homeostatic action of D₄ provides a potential mechanism for the unique role of D₄ in many stress-related neuropsychiatric disorders.
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