Comparison of hemodynamic changes between phenylephrine and combined phenylephrine and glycopyrrolate groups after spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery
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Background: Hypotension remains a common clinical problem of spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery and phenylephrine is used as a vasopressor to address this. However, phenylephrine reduces maternal cardiac output (CO) due to reflex bradycardia. Glycopyrrolate is safe for the fetus, and increases heart rate (HR). Using a noninvasive measure of CO, we compared maternal hemodynamic changes between the phenylephrine only group (group P) and the phenylephrine plus glycopyrrolate group (group PG).

Methods: In this randomized study, 60 women scheduled for elective cesarean delivery were allocated to group P (n = 30) or group PG (n = 30). In both groups, phenylephrine was infused at 50 μg/min. This infusions stopped if systolic blood pressure (SBP) was higher than the baseline value, and phenylephrine 100 μg was injected if SBP was lower than 80% of the baseline value from spinal anesthesia to delivery. In group PG, glycopyrrolate 0.2 mg was injected intravenously after spinal anesthesia. Hemodynamic parameters, such as SBP, heart rate (HR), stroke volume index (SVI), cardiac index (CI) were measured before and until 15 min after spinal anesthesia.

Results: There were no significant differences in SBP and SVI compared to the baseline value in each group and between the two groups. HR and CI reduced significantly from 8 min to 15 min in group P compared to the baseline value as well as group PG for each time-point. However, HR and CI were maintained in group PG.

Conclusions: The use of glycopyrrolate added to phenylephrine infusion to prevent hypotension by spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery was effective in maintaining HR and CI. (Korean J Anesthesiol 2012; 62: 35-39)
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Introduction

Despite many studies, hypotension during regional anesthesia for cesarean section is a common clinical problem. An essential measure is the use of vasopressors with preloading for preventing hypotension during regional anesthesia. The recent trend of vasopressor use is reduction of ephedrine preference. This is because ephedrine is associated with lower fetal pH and combinations of phenylephrine and ephedrine appear to have no advantage compared with phenylephrine alone [1]. Instead, phenylephrine infusion alone is established as a first-line vasopressor, because it does not appear to be harmful to the fetus when given in the dose range required to prevent hypotension, and be effective for hemodynamic control [2]. However, it reduces maternal cardiac output (CO) due to reflex bradycardia [3]. Therefore, this study was designed to compare phenylephrine infusion alone with phenylephrine infusion combined with glycopyrrolate to observe whether maternal CO was maintained by anticholinergic boluses during spinal anesthesia for cesarean section.

Materials and Methods

The study was reviewed and approved by the Cheil General Hospital institutional review board, and informed consent was obtained from each patient. Sixty consecutive women scheduled for elective cesarean section under spinal anesthesia were approached for the study. Exclusion criteria included cardiovascular or cerebrovascular disease, pregnancy-related hypertensive disease, height $<150$ cm or $>180$ cm, weight $<50$ kg or $>100$ kg, known fetal abnormalities, contraindications to glycopyrrolate, fever, twin pregnancies, and diabetes mellitus.

Randomization was performed using an Excel (Microsoft, USA) generated numbers. Parturients were randomly assigned to the phenylephrine only group (group P) or the phenylephrine combined with glycopyrrolate group (group PG). The patients had no premedication prior to the study. On arrival in the operating room, we tilted the operating table to the left by up to 15° and permitted the patients to rest undisturbed in the supine position for several minutes. Then we measured SBP and HR three times. Baseline SBP and HR were taken as the mean value of three recordings. We measured baseline CI and SVI simultaneously using a noninvasive cardiac output measuring device (Solar8000M, GE, USA).

With Hartmann solution fully loaded, we initiated spinal anesthesia. Spinal anesthesia was induced with patients in the left lateral position at the L3-4 or L4-5 vertebral interspace and 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine 10 mg and fentanyl 15 μg were injected intrathecally using a 25-gauge pencil point needle (25Pencan®, B/Braun, Germany).

After spinal anesthesia, the phenylephrine was infused at a rate of 50 μg/min in each group and glycopyrrolate was IV injected in group PG at the same time. We recorded systolic blood pressure (SBP), heart rate (HR), stroke volume index (SVI), cardiac index (CI) at 1 min intervals for 15 min. Hartmann solution was fully loaded for 15 min without exceeding 1,000 ml. The phenylephrine was infused at a rate of 50 μg/min for 15 min and the hemodynamic values were recorded. If the SBP was above the baseline, the infusion was stopped, and if it was at or below the baseline, the infusion was continued. After 15 min, we determined phenylephrine infusion rate within 80% of baseline SBP maintenance.

Hypotension, defined as a SBP ≤ 80% of baseline SBP for 2 consecutive readings, despite the phenylephrine infusion, was treated with a bolus of phenylephrine 100 μg if HR $>60$ bpm and a bolus of ephedrine 10 mg if HR $<60$ bpm. Bradycardia, defined as HR $<50$ bpm for 2 consecutive readings, was treated by stopping the phenylephrine infusion if the SBP was at or above the baseline. However, if the SBP was below the baseline, the phenylephrine infusion was continued and a bolus of ephedrine 10 mg was administered. Total phenylephrine infusion dose was recorded for 15 min including bolus dose. Five minutes after intrathecal injection, we measured the upper sensory level of anesthesia by assessing loss of pinprick discrimination. We recorded skin incision time, uterine incision time, delivery time and measured each interval. The incision was delayed for the study until 15 minute after obtaining obstetrician agreement. After delivery, Apgar scores 1 and 5 min were recorded. Phenylephrine rescue and ephedrine rescue numbers were recorded.

Data were expressed as mean ± SD or median or number of patients. For statistical analysis, Sigma Stat software (version 3.5, IL, USA) was used. One-way repeated measures ANOVA with Bonferroni test was used for comparison of SBP, HR, SVI, and CI within each group. The student t test and Mann-Whitney rank sum test were used for measurements between the two groups. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

There were no statistical differences in demographic data and baseline cardiovascular values between the two groups (Table 1, 2, respectively). There were no statistical differences in experimental data between the two groups either (Table 3).

There was, however, a statistically significant decrease in HR at 8 min compared with the baseline values, which continued until 15 min in group P. There was a no significant difference in group PG. There was a significant decrease from 8 min to 15 min in group P compared with group PG (Fig. 1). There was no
significant difference in SVI compared with baseline values in each group and between the two groups (Fig. 2), but there was a statistically significant decrease in CI at 8 min compared to the baseline values, which continued until 15 min in group P, and there was no significant difference in group PG. There was a significant decrease from 8 min to 15 min in group P compared with group PG (Fig. 3), but there was no significant difference in SBP compared with baseline values in each group and between the two groups (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

Hypotension during regional anesthesia for cesarean section is a very common and severe clinical problem. Factors con-
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Fig. 3. Cardiac index changes during 15 minutes after spinal anesthesia. CI: cardiac index. Group P: phenylephrine alone group. Group PG: phenylephrine plus glycopyrrolate group. *P < 0.05 compared to baseline value in group P. † P < 0.05 compared with group PG.

Fig. 4. Systolic blood pressure changes during 15 minutes after spinal anesthesia. SBP: Systolic blood pressure. Group P: phenylephrine alone group. Group PG: phenylephrine plus glycopyrrolate group.

Hypotension during regional anesthesia. In phenylephrine and ephedrine infusion combination studies, as the proportion of phenylephrine decreased and the proportion of ephedrine increased, the incidence of hypotension and nausea/vomiting increased and fetal pH and base excess reduced [1]. However, the ideal method of administration and dosing regimen for phenylephrine is controversial. Infusions titrated in the range of 25−100 μg/min are effective for maintaining maternal BP [12]. Phenylephrine does not appear to be harmful to the fetus when given in the dose range required to prevent hypotension and is highly effective [2]. However, phenylephrine cause reflex decreases in HR and reduce CO [3,13]. As the dosage of phenylephrine increase, BP maintenance is more effective but CO becomes more reduced. The role of reduced CO is unclear in clinical settings. Most studies of vasopressors have been made in healthy low-risk elective patients, so that the clinical impact of CO reduction caused by phenylephrine infusion is unclear. Ngan Kee et al. [14] reported that neonatal outcome and acid-base status was similar between two groups having non-elective spinal caesarean section to receive either phenylephrine or ephedrine boluses to treat hypotension including potential fetal compromise. However, CO reduction may result in the reduction of uteroplacental blood flow, and the impact may result in harmful influence on a potentially compromised fetus with reduced margin of safety for uteroplacental perfusion. Therefore, the measures compensating for CO reduction can become an ideal regimen.

CO reduction caused by phenylephrine administration is due to reduction of HR, but the stroke volume is well maintained [13]. Therefore, we assumed that anticholinergics maintain HR and CO. Although among anticholinergics, atropine is more effective than glycopyrrolate for HR increase,
the placental transfer rate of atropine (F/M ratio; 0.93) is more than glycopyrrolate (F/M ratio; 0.22). Therefore, glycopyrrolate was predicted to be a safe drug to pregnant women because of significantly reduced effects on the fetus [15]. Glycopyrrolate has a longer duration of action than atropine [16], and the reduction of gastric juice volume and acidity is more beneficial to pregnant women [17]. Glycopyrrolate is consequently an ideal drug that can compensate CO reduction caused by phenylephrine administration. However, there are only a few reports on the appropriate dosage of glycopyrrolate. We selected 0.2 mg as the standard dosage.

We found that SVI were similar compared to the baseline values between the two groups, which was in accordance with other studies. However, there were continuous decreases in HR caused by phenylephrine infusion, and HR decreased statistically from 8 min to 15 min in group P. In accordance with HR, there were continuous decreases in CI, and CI maximally decreased at 8 min (approximately 13%). Following that, CI recovered gradually, but there were statistically significant decreases compared with baseline values in group P. Although there were decreasing trends in HR until 8 min in group PG, HR recovered and was maintained. CI was similar to HR in group PG, and there was a maximum difference (0.5 L/min/m²) at 9 min between the two groups.

This study showed that glycopyrrolate is an effective measure in maintaining HR and CI.
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