Maturation of the angiotensin II cardiovascular response in the embryonic White Leghorn chicken (Gallus gallus)

Dane A. Crossley II · Sonnet S. Jonker · James W. Hicks · Kent L. Thornburg

Abstract Angiotensin II (Ang II) is an important regulator of cardiovascular function in adult vertebrates. Although its role in regulating the adult system has been extensively investigated, the cardiovascular response to Ang II in embryonic vertebrates is relatively unknown. We investigated the potential of Ang II as a regulator of cardiovascular function in embryonic chickens, which lack central nervous system control of cardiovascular function throughout the majority of incubation. The cardiovascular response to Ang II in embryonic chickens was investigated over the final 50% of their development. Ang II produced a dose-dependent increase in arterial pressure on each day of development studied, and the response increased in intensity as development progressed. The Ang II type-1 receptor nonspecific competitive peptide antagonist [Sar^{1} ile^{8}] Ang II blocked the cardiovascular response to subsequent injections of Ang II on day 21 only. The embryonic pressure response to Ang II (hypertension only) differed from that of adult chickens, in which initial hypotension is followed by hypertension. The constant level of gene expression for the Ang II receptor, in conjunction with an increasing pressure response to the peptide, suggests that two Ang II receptor subtypes are present during chicken development. Collectively, the data indicate that Ang II plays an important role in the cardiovascular development of chickens; however, its role in maintaining basal function requires further study.
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Introduction

In adult mammals, rapid regulation of heart rate and arterial pressure is achieved largely by variation in sympathetic nervous outflow (Cowley et al. 1978; Iwamoto and Rudolph 1981a, b; Persson et al. 1992; Persson 1996; Liu et al. 2002; Malpas 2002). In mammals and birds, sympathetic function develops gradually over the late embryonic-fetal period, and is therefore absent or limited during most of incubation or gestation (Faber et al. 1974; Assali et al. 1978; Thornburg and Morton 1986; Tazawa et al. 1992; Crossley et al. 2003a, b). In fetal mammals, several humoral mechanisms, such as catecholamines and angiotensin II (Ang II), are functional before nervous control of cardiovascular function is present. In the absence of tonic sympathetic stimulation, these alternative mechanisms maintain cardiovascular function in fetuses, as illustrated by the activation of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) during bouts of hypoxia in fetal sheep (Lumbers et al. 2001). Embryonic chickens of the White Leghorn strain lack neural-mediated regulation of heart rate and arterial pressure throughout the majority of development (Tazawa et al. 1992; Altimiras and Crossley 2000; Crossley and
Altimiras 2000; Crossley et al. 2003b). This relative immaturity of neural regulation may shift cardiovascular control to the RAS in embryonic chickens, as seen in fetal mammals (Crossley et al. 2003b).

Several lines of evidence suggest that the RAS is functional early in chicken development. For example, Ang II behaves as a trophic factor for cardiac and vascular development and stimulates erythropoiesis (Aceto and Baker 1990; Baker and Aceto 1990; Topouzis et al. 1992; Goutsoulakis and Rabkin 1998; Le Noble et al. 2000). Further, Ang II appears to stimulate endothelial nitric oxide (NO) production in embryonic chickens, as indicated by the Ang II-induced endothelial-dependent relaxation of aortic rings (Nishimura et al. 2003). Embryonic chickens also have high levels of circulating catecholamines (Mulder et al. 2000; Crossley et al. 2003b), which could be caused by Ang II-stimulated release from adrenal tissue and sympathetic nerve terminals, as in adult vertebrates (Carroll and Opdyke 1982). In addition, embryonic chickens also possess both vascular and cardiac Ang II receptors during development (Le Noble et al. 1991; Topouzis et al. 1992; Kempf et al. 1999; Kempf and Corvol 2001; Nishimura 2001; Nishimura et al. 2003). These findings imply that the RAS is functional, although the in vivo cardiovascular response to Ang II is unknown in embryonic chickens.

The purpose of this study was to determine the cardiovascular response to Ang II in developing chickens, in order to assess its potential as a regulator of systemic blood pressure during ontogeny. To achieve this, we used an integrative approach in a series of experiments during chicken development. First, we characterized the embryonic physiological response to the hormone. Secondly, we blocked the Ang II receptor to quantify this peptide’s contribution to baseline cardiovascular function. Thirdly, we quantified the amount of Ang II in the blood to determine its potential as a regulatory compound. Fourthly, we quantified the Ang II receptor gene expression that could account for any change in the cardiovascular response to the hormone over the second half of development. We tested the hypothesis that Ang II regulates basal cardiovascular function, becoming increasingly effective at raising blood pressure as development progresses.

**Materials and methods**

**Source of embryonic chickens**

Experiments were conducted in two laboratories. The initial portion of the study was conducted in the Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, at the University of California, Irvine, CA, USA. For these studies, White Leghorn chicken eggs were purchased from a local hatchery (AAA Egg Farm, Lakeview, CA, USA). The second portion of the project was conducted in the Department of Physiology and Pharmacology at Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR (OHSU), USA. For these studies, White Leghorn eggs were purchased from Oregon State University (Corvallis, OR, USA). At both sites, eggs were placed in incubation at 38 ± 0.5°C with a relative humidity of ~60%, and turned automatically every 3 h. Molecular studies were conducted in the Department of Biology at the University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND, USA and at OHSU.

**Surgical procedures**

A saline-filled catheter was introduced into a chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) artery as previously described (Crossley and Altimiras 2000). Briefly, eggs were candled to locate the artery, and placed in a thermostatically controlled holder at 38 ± 0.5°C. Following removal of approximately 1 cm² of eggshell, the artery was catheterized with heat-pulled PE-90 tubing filled with heparinized 0.9% saline under a dissection microscope (Wild M3Z). The catheter was fixed to the shell with cyanoacrylate glue, and the egg was placed in an experimental chamber that consisted of a water-jacketed glass container. Eggs were maintained at 38 ± 0.5°C and supplied with a constant flow of water-saturated air during all experiments.

**Signal recording and calibration**

The arterial catheter from each egg was attached to a pressure transducer (Statham 23) connected to a bridge amplifier (Gould 5900). Arterial pressure (P_A) signals were stored in a computer (Macintosh G3) using a PowerLab data acquisition system (PowerLab/8SP, Adinstruments, Colorado Springs, CO, USA) and PowerLab software (Chart 5.0). Heart rate (f_H) was continuously derived from the P_A signal. In all cases, the reference zero was set at the top of the covered glass experimental chamber. Egg distance from the top of the chamber was then measured (cm) and used to correct for the actual position of the egg below the top of the chamber, as previously described (Altimiras and Crossley 2000).

**Experimental protocol**

The study consisted of four different experimental series. Each embryo was used in only one series. Series I, II, and III began with a 1-h post-surgery recovery period to allow P_A and f_H to stabilize. Data from embryonic animals that did not stabilize were not included. Pharmacologic agents were administered via a T connector in the arterial catheter line. Each drug injection was followed by a saline flush that
was twice the volume of the drug mixture. Total injection volumes were <5% of the total blood volume, based on prior quantifications (Romanoff 1960, 1967). The flush volume had no significant effect on cardiovascular function, as previously reported in embryonic chickens (Altimiras and Crossley 2000). Blood samples (Series III) were also taken from the T connector in the arterial line. For all experimental series, 20-day-old embryonic chickens were defined as internally pipped eggs (rupture of the air-cell), verified by candling, and 21-day-old embryonic chickens were defined as embryos that were externally pipped (rupture of the egg-shell). Series I, II, and III experiments were conducted on embryos at days 13, 17, 19, 20, and 21 of incubation, with a total of 170 embryonic chickens studied over the course of the project.

In Series I experiments, mean arterial pressure ($P_{mean}$) and $f_{HI}$ were measured during serial injections of native chicken Ang II (Bachem), given in increasing dosages at 100, 250, 500, 1,000, and 2,000 ng/kg to embryonic chickens on each of the five studied days. This dosage range was selected to mirror prior work in adult chickens (Nakamura et al. 1982). In all cases, the embryonic mass, which was used to determine the drug dosage, was estimated based on published mass data (Romanoff 1960, 1967). Between each injection, $P_{mean}$ and $f_{HI}$ were allowed to return to preinjection values for 30 min before the next injection was given. In a separate group of embryonic chickens, the response to a single injection of Ang II (1,000 ng/kg) was determined on the designated days, to demonstrate the lack of tachyphylaxis during the dose–response study.

In Series II experiments, the role of systemic Ang II in maintaining basal $P_{mean}$ and $f_{HI}$ was assessed by blocking the Ang II receptor with the nonspecific competitive peptide antagonist [Sar$^1$ ile$^8$] Ang II (Sigma-Aldrich; 4,000 ng/kg). This peptide was selected based on prior studies demonstrating that it completely eliminated or dramatically attenuated the cardiovascular response to Ang II in adult chickens (Nakamura et al. 1982; Nishimura et al. 1982). The effectiveness of this antagonist was determined by comparing the response to a single injection of Ang II (1,000 ng/kg) 30 min after the blockade to the response of a separate group treated with Ang II (1,000 ng/kg) only. [Sar$^1$ ile$^8$] Ang II was previously shown to effectively block the Ang II type-1 receptor for 60–120 min after injection (Regoli et al. 1974; Fluharty and Reagan 1989).

In the Series III experiments, sequential blood samples (four samples of 100 μl each) were collected from each instrumented embryo following a 1-h control period. All samples were mixed with 5 μl of a 15% EGTA solution. The initial sample volume from all age groups represented less than 5% of the embryonic blood volume, based on published values (Romanoff 1960, 1967). This is well below the 16–21% blood volume reduction needed to induce a cardiovascular response in some adult birds (Ploucha and Fink 1986) and is similar to that taken from adult birds to quantify Ang I (Nishimura et al. 1981b). These samples were immediately centrifuged at 10,000g for 10 min to separate the plasma. Plasma Ang II concentrations in each sample volume were determined by radioimmunoassay (Peninsula Laboratories) kit RIK 7002, as previously described (Giraud et al. 2005). At the completion of these studies, embryonic chickens were euthanized with an overdose of pentobarbital and KCl.

In Series IV experiments, six embryonic chickens on each day of incubation (13, 17, 19, and 20) were used for collection of cardiac and CAM tissue, following euthanasia with an overdose of pentobarbital. These tissues were used for extraction and measurement of angiotensin receptor messenger RNA levels using quantitative real-time PCR as described in Rhen et al. (2007). Flash-frozen tissues were homogenized, and total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy midi kit (Qiagen). Total RNA concentration was determined using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA). An aliquot of total mRNA from each sample was run on a formaldehyde-agarose gel to determine extracted RNA quality as indicated by discrete 18S and 28S rRNA bands. Total RNA (400 ng) was reverse-transcribed in a 20 μl reaction, using an iScript cDNA Synthesis kit containing a blend of oligo dT and random hexamer primers (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA).

The following method was used to amplify specific PCR products from the cDNA pool: primers for the chicken Ang II receptor were designed using the Primer Express v2 (ABI Prism) software, based on the existing Ang II receptor sequence for the chicken (forward 679 5’ TGG CAC TAG TGC ATC CAG TG 3’, reverse 729 5’ CAA CAA ACA TGG TAC GTC GGA 3’) (Kempf et al. 1996, Accession # NM 205157). Primers for the 18S rRNA were based on the existing sequence for the mouse (forward 1,275 5’ GAC AGC GAC AGG ATT GAC AGA TTG ATA G 3’, Kempf et al. 1996, Accession # NM 205157). A serial dilution of the purified cDNA from each day was amplified by discrete 18S and 28S rRNA bands. Total RNA (400 ng) was reverse-transcribed in a 20 μl reaction, using an iScript cDNA Synthesis kit containing a blend of oligo dT and random hexamer primers (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA).

Sequence alignment analysis revealed a single base difference in these primers between the mouse and chicken 18S rRNA (van Tuinen et al. 2000, Accession # AF 173612). These primers were used to measure the amount of Ang II receptor mRNA and 18S rRNA in each sample, using a QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kit and an Applied Biosystems 7300 Real Time PCR system, following standard methods described in Rhen et al. (2007). A serial dilution of the purified initial PCR product was used to produce a standard curve with a 7-log order range starting with 5 × 10$^6$ attograms (ag)/tube. These standard curves were used to estimate the amount of mRNA (or, more precisely, cDNA synthesized from the mRNA) per 5 ng of total RNA extracted from the tissue. In preliminary studies, these PCR products were sequenced to
verify that the product was homologous to the region of the chicken Ang II receptor cDNA and the mouse 18S rRNA used to design the primers.

Statistical analysis

A one-way ANOVA (repeated measures design and an LSD post hoc comparison) was used to assess the response to each Ang II dose when compared with control values, as well as the dose-dependent differences within each age group studied (Statistica V5.1). This method was also used to determine the response to serial blood sampling on plasma Ang II concentrations. A one-way ANOVA was used to assess differences in plasma Ang II levels between age groups. An unpaired test was used to assess significant differences in the \( P_{\text{mean}} \) and \( f_{\text{H}} \) responses to 1,000 ng/kg Ang II injected during the dose–response experiments, compared to the single injection of this dose within each age group only. A paired test was used to determine statistical differences in \( P_{\text{mean}} \) and \( f_{\text{H}} \) following the injection of the Ang II receptor-blocking agent [Sar\(^1\) ile\(^8\)] Ang II within each age group. A one-way ANOVA on the arcsine transformed percentage change in \( P_{\text{mean}} \) and \( f_{\text{H}} \) for each dosage of Ang II was used to assess statistical differences in the response between age groups. A one-way ANOVA was also used to determine statistical differences in \( P_{\text{mean}} \) and \( f_{\text{H}} \) following an Ang II injection (1,000 ng/kg) after treatment with the blocking agent [Sar\(^1\) ile\(^8\)] Ang II, compared to a separate group of embryonic chickens treated with 1,000 ng/kg Ang II, within each age group studied.

Gene expression patterns were compared using a one-way ANOVA with sampling day as the independent variable and the block as a covariate to control for potential variation in the quality of input RNA as well as variation in the efficiency of the reverse transcription reaction.

All data are presented as mean ± SE. The total number of embryos used on specific days of development for each experiment is presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3. For all statistical tests, a \( p \) value of <0.05 was considered significant.

### Table 1 Baseline \( P_{\text{mean}} \) and \( f_{\text{H}} \) for embryonic White Leghorn chickens under control conditions and the \( \Delta P_{\text{mean}} \) (kPa) within each embryonic age in response to either increasing doses of Ang II or a single injection of 1,000 ng/kg (1,000 s) in a separate group of chickens

| Age | \( n \) | \( P_{\text{mean}} \) (kPa) | \( f_{\text{H}} \) (min\(^{-1}\)) | \( \Delta P_{\text{mean}} \) (kPa) | Ang II Dose (ng/kg) |
|-----|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|     |     | 100 250 500 1,000 2,000 1,000 s |
| 13  | 6 (7) | 0.78 ± 0.05 | 239 ± 3 | 0.12 \( a \) | 0.21 \( ab \) | 0.26 \( bc \) | 0.31 \( a \) | 0.41 \( a \) | 0.29 \( b \) | 0.29 \( b \) |
| 17  | 8 (8) | 1.94 ± 0.05 | 259 ± 3 | 0.21 \( a \) | 0.30 \( a \) | 0.46 \( a \) | 0.74 \( a \) | 0.89 \( c \) | 0.85 \( c \) |
| 19  | 8 (10) | 2.31 ± 0.08 | 250 ± 3 | 0.23 \( \text{NS} \) | 0.44 \( a \) | 0.61 \( a \) | 0.99 \( a \) | 1.20 \( b \) | 1.08 \( b \) |
| 20  | 7 (6) | 2.75 ± 0.07 | 268 ± 4 | 0.62 \( a \) | 0.86 \( a \) | 0.83 \( a \) | 1.59 \( b \) | 1.69 \( b \) | 1.32 \( b \) |
| 21  | 6 (8) | 2.85 ± 0.10 | 287 ± 4 | 0.43 \( a \) | 0.96 \( b \) | 1.14 \( b \) | 1.69 \( b \) | 1.64 \( b \) | 1.56 \( b \) |

Different letters between pressure responses to the increasing doses of Ang II indicate significant (\( p < 0.05 \)) differences in the response intensity within an age group. All \( \Delta P_{\text{mean}} \) were significant (\( p < 0.05 \)) unless noted by \( \text{NS} \). The data are presented as mean ± SE. The number of embryos treated with a single injection of Ang II is indicated in parenthesis.

### Table 2 Change in \( P_{\text{mean}} \) and \( f_{\text{H}} \) following a cumulative dose of [Sar\(^1\) ile\(^8\)] Ang II (4,000 ng/kg)

| Day | \( n \) | \( \Delta P_{\text{mean}} \) (kPa) | \( f_{\text{H}} \) (min\(^{-1}\)) |
|-----|-----|----------------|----------------|
| 13  | 6 | 0.16 ± 0.02 | 4 ± 4 |
| 17  | 6 | 0.37 ± 0.07 | −0.2 ± 1 |
| 19  | 6 | 0.40 ± 0.06 \( a \) | 3 ± 4 |
| 20  | 10 | 0.34 ± 0.05 \( a \) | 8 ± 3 |
| 21  | 6 | 0.26 ± 0.09 | 11 ± 5 |

Data are presented as the mean ± SE

* A significant (\( p < 0.05 \)) change from control \( P_{\text{mean}} \) values

### Table 3 Ang II plasma concentrations (pg ml\(^{-1}\)) in White Leghorn chicken embryos following four sequential blood samples of 100 µl each

| Day | \( n \) | 1st sample | 2nd sample | 3rd sample | 4th sample |
|-----|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 13  | 7 | 170 ± 23 \( ab \) | 157 ± 13 \( a \) | 140 ± 11 \( a \) | 176 ± 30 \( a \) |
| 17  | 9 | 176 ± 19 \( a \) | 194 ± 15 \( a \) | 188 ± 18 \( b \) | 181 ± 23 \( a \) |
| 19  | 12 | 115 ± 11 \( c \) | 111 ± 10 \( bc \) | 113 ± 10 \( a \) | 101 ± 17 \( b \) |
| 20  | 6 | 123 ± 17 \( c \) | 100 ± 22 \( c \) | 139 ± 12 \( a \) | 150 ± 20 \( ab \) |
| 21  | 6 | 209 ± 47 \( a \) | 151 ± 21 \( ab \) | 146 ± 14 \( ab \) | 160 ± 29 \( a \) |

Data are presented as a mean ± SE. Like letters for each sample indicate similar plasma Ang II concentrations across the age groups sampled

* Significant (\( p < 0.05 \)) concentration differences between blood samples for a given age group

Results

Control values for \( P_{\text{mean}} \) and \( f_{\text{H}} \) (Table 1) were similar to those previously reported for embryonic White Leghorn chickens (Tazawa et al. 1992; Crossley and Altimiras 2000). During each dose–response study, the control values for \( P_{\text{mean}} \) did not change significantly between injections at
any stage of development. The general response to Ang II, regardless of dose, was a rapid rise in blood pressure followed by a return to control levels (Fig. 1a). Unlike $P_{\text{mean}}$, Ang II produced inconsistent $f_H$ changes on days 19 and 21 only, with a bradycardic response to 250 ng/kg on both days, and a bradycardic response to 500 and 2,000 ng/kg on day 21 only (Fig. 2b).

Series I

All doses of Ang II increased $P_{\text{mean}}$, with the exception of the 100 ng/kg dose injected on day 19 (Table 1, Fig. 2a). The intensity of the pressure response increased in a dose-dependent manner in all age groups, with the increase ranging from 11 to 66% (Table 1, Fig. 2a).

Fig. 2 The change in $P_{\text{mean}}$ (a) and $f_H$ (b) in response to increasing concentrations of Ang II injected into embryonic chickens on day 13 (filled diamonds), 17 (filled triangle), 19 (open square), 20 (open triangle), and 21 (filled circle). Different letters between the incubation age groups represent significant differences in the degree of change in response to each dose. Responses that were similar are bracketed. A single dollar symbol signifies that the day-21 response did not differ from that of days 13 and 17. Double dollar symbol signifies that the response on day 20 did not differ from that of day 13. An asterisk indicates a significant reduction in $f_H$ following an injection of Ang II on days 19 and 21 only. The data are presented as mean ± SE. The $P_{\text{mean}}$ response was significant on all days of development except for the lowest dose, on day 19. See Table 2.

In an effort to ensure the absence of tachyphylaxis to Ang II during the dose–response series, the $P_{\text{mean}}$ response to Ang II (1,000 ng/kg) given as a single injection was compared to the increase when Ang II was injected during the dose–response series. This comparison revealed no
Table 1).

Series II

Pretreatment with the Ang II type-1 receptor nonspecific competitive peptide antagonist \([\text{Sar}^1 \text{ile}^8]\) Ang II (4,000 ng/kg) significantly elevated mean on days 19 and 20 (Table 2). This blocking agent reduced the intensity of Ang II-induced hypertension (1,000 ng/kg) on day 21 only (Fig. 3). Pretreatment with \([\text{Sar}^1 \text{ile}^8]\) Ang II (4,000 ng/kg) did not alter \(f_{H}\) (Table 2).

Series III

Plasma concentrations of Ang II were high throughout the developmental period studied, averaging 160 pg ml\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 4). Plasma Ang II levels significantly dropped on day 19, but returned to pre-day 19 levels by day 21 (Fig. 4). Multiple acute blood samples had no impact on plasma Ang II concentrations, with the exception of those taken on day 21, when Ang II concentration decreased (Table 3).

Series IV

The efficiencies of the quantitative PCR reaction for both the Ang II receptor cDNA and 18S rRNA averaged \(\sim 85\%\) during the incubation period studied. The Ang II receptor mRNA concentrations in the CAM averaged \(\sim 1,500 \text{ ag} \times 5 \text{ ng RNA}^{-1}\) with a trend toward decreasing at day 20 (Fig. 5a). A similar trend was evident in the embryonic heart, which averaged 490 ag \(\times 5 \text{ ng RNA}^{-1}\) (Fig. 5b).

Discussion

Ang II caused a dose-dependent hypertension increasing in intensity during the final days of embryonic maturation.
Although the physiological response to Ang II increased with development, the mRNA levels for the Ang II receptor remained constant in embryonic hearts and CAMs. The pressure response to Ang II and the high level of endogenous peptide in the blood indicate that Ang II plays an important role in embryonic chicken cardiovascular development. However, the contribution of these elevated Ang II levels to maintaining basal cardiovascular function is unclear.

Pharmacological response

The 1,000 ng/kg dose of Ang II maximally increased $P_{\text{mean}}$ on all days studied, except day 13. At this dose, the intensity of the $P_{\text{mean}}$ change was dependent on embryonic age, with a distinct increase during the final days of incubation (Fig. 2a). The mechanisms underlying this increased Ang II response with age were not directly addressed in this study. Prior studies comparing early- and late-gestation fetal sheep demonstrated that kidney Ang II type-1 receptor mRNA expression and receptor density increase as a function of ontogeny (Robillard et al. 1995; Butkus et al. 1997). However, studies using cardiac tissue reported that Ang II type-1 receptor mRNA expression remained constant during the final trimester of sheep ontogeny or decreased from day 80 to the postnatal period (Margaret et al. 1998; Reini et al. 2009). Given that this issue is unresolved in fetal animals, it would be difficult to ascribe the physiological pattern demonstrated here in embryonic chickens to a change in cardiovascular Ang II type-1 receptor gene expression. The increasing $P_{\text{mean}}$ response to Ang II could be attributed to a maturing adrenergic contribution in embryonic chickens. This suggestion is based on the previous finding that Ang II increases circulating catecholamine levels (both norepinephrine and epinephrine) in adult vertebrates (Carroll and Opdyke 1982). In addition, in adult chickens, Ang II results in an adrenergic-dependent vasoconstriction due to sympathetic nerve terminal release of catecholamines (Nishimura et al. 1981a, b; Nakamura et al. 1982; Nishimura et al. 2003). Embryonic chicken adrenal tissue also contains Ang II receptors, which could provide a mechanism for catecholamine release due to this peptide, similar to the mechanism seen in adult birds (Nishimura et al. 2003). Finally, the cardiovascular response to tyramine, a compound that causes catecholamine release from postganglionic neurons, increases in intensity as embryonic development progresses (Crossley 1999; Crossley et al. 2003b). If Ang II causes sympathetic nerve terminal release of catecholamines in the embryo, as in the adult, then this sympathetic maturation could explain the Ang II pressure pattern documented in our study. The increasing response to Ang II could be due to an increased capacity for this peptide to cause catecholamine release from sympathetic terminals and/or adrenal tissue during chicken development.

Although embryonic chickens exhibited a clear hypertensive response to Ang II, the initial hypotension previously characterized in adult birds (Nakamura et al. 1982) was absent throughout development (Fig. 2a). This difference could be explained in part by the elevated levels of endogenous Ang II in the embryonic animal (Fig. 4). In adult chickens, the two-phase pressure response to Ang II requires two functioning systems: the nitric oxide pathway and functional sympathetic nerve terminals (where Ang II binds, causing a release of catecholamines) (Nishimura et al. 1981a, b; Nakamura et al. 1982; Hasegawa et al. 1993; Nishimura et al. 1994). The endothelial-dependent nitric oxide pathway is functional in isolated aortic rings taken from 19-day-old chicken embryos (Nishimura et al. 2003). Embryonic chickens, like fetal mammals, exhibited plasma levels of Ang II (Fig. 4) three to four times higher than those found in adult birds under normal hydrated states (Gray and Simon 1985; Kobayashi and Takei 1982). These elevated levels could saturate the Ang II-induced release of nitric oxide in embryonic chickens, as previously suggested by Nishimura et al. (2003), preventing the additional Ang II injected during this study from producing a drop in pressure. Regardless of the difference in adult and embryonic hypertensive response, the increasing hypertensive response to the hormone with age, combined with the elevated levels of the peptide in the blood, suggest that Ang II plays a role in maintaining cardiovascular function in embryonic chickens.

Blockade of the Ang II receptor

As noted, embryonic White Leghorn chickens lack neural-mediated regulation of cardiovascular function throughout the majority of development (Tazawa et al. 1992; Altimiras and Crossley 2000; Crossley and Altimiras 2000; Crossley et al. 2003b). Basal cardiovascular function may instead be maintained by systemically released hormones such as Ang II during chicken ontogeny. To verify this, we used the Ang II receptor-blocking agent [Sar$^1$ ile$^8$] Ang II, an effective nonspecific competitive peptide antagonist in adult chickens and isolated embryonic vessels (Nakamura et al. 1982; Kempf and Corvol 2001; Nishimura et al. 2003). However, the blocking agent had no impact on the embryonic hypertensive response to the peptide until day 21 of development (Fig. 3). Although the blocking agent was developed for use in mammalian studies, it is also effective in adult chickens, despite the 25% amino acid difference between the mammalian and chicken Ang II type-1 receptor (Nishimura 2001).

We can only speculate about the basis for the differing blockade capacity in adult versus embryonic chickens.
Differences in the Ang II type-1 receptor are unlikely to be the cause; we cloned and sequenced the embryonic Ang II receptor cDNA, which was 99.0% homologous to the adult sequence (Kempf et al. 1996; Crossley et al., unpublished data). Although not directly studied in the current work, multiple Ang II receptor subtypes with differing functions have been identified in mammals (Chiu et al. 1989), and it is conceivable that a second Ang II receptor subtype may account for the persistent Ang II actions following treatment with [Sar^1 ile^8] Ang II. Two Ang II receptors, types 1 and 2, have been suggested based on blockade studies conducted using isolated embryonic chicken cardiomyocytes (Bkaily et al. 2005). Further, a predicted Ang II type-2 receptor sequence has been identified in the chicken genome (Accession #XM 426266). These data, coupled with our findings, indicate that a second receptor subtype is present in embryonic chickens, which could account for the persistent Ang II response following treatment with the blocking agent. Although this argument is plausible, the contribution of Ang II to resting cardiovascular function in embryonic chickens remains unclear, due to the limited blocking capacity of [Sar^1 ile^8] Ang II in this study.

Ang II mRNA expression patterns

Ang II type-1 receptor mRNA in embryonic hearts and CAMs remained constant during the period of development studied, while the physiological response to the peptide increased (Fig. 5a, b). Protein quantification was not conducted in the current study, but protein concentration may increase even if mRNA for the receptor is constant. This could account for the increasing physiological response to the peptide. If gene expression levels are indicative of the number of Ang II receptors present in the tissue, then the maturation of an intracellular signaling mechanism could account for our findings. In addition, the increased pressure response intensity could be attributed to the development of the vascular smooth muscle contractile apparatus, as previously suggested (Le Noble et al. 2000). Both scenarios would account for the mismatch between the gene expression for the receptor and the development of the physiological response to Ang II in embryonic chickens.

In conclusion, using an integrative approach to investigate the feasibility of Ang II as a cardio-regulatory mechanism, we found evidence that it plays an important role in cardiovascular development in chickens. We determined that there is an increasing pressure response to the peptide with embryonic development. Nevertheless, the contribution of Ang II in maintaining basal $P_A$ and $J_H$ in embryonic chickens remains unclear, due to the limited receptor-blocking capacity of [Sar^1 ile^8] Ang II. Our hypothesis that the $P_A$ response to Ang II would increase with development was confirmed. However, this increase could not be attributed to any change in Ang II type-1 receptor gene expression or to a change in circulating levels of the peptide. The data also suggest that the cardiovascular response to Ang II must undergo changes during the embryonic period to resemble changes previously characterized in adult chickens. We have presented several theories that would account for these differences. Further study is needed to clarify how the regulatory function of Ang II matures, and ultimately, to establish its role in maintaining basal cardiovascular function during development in chickens.
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