Despite improved treatment strategies for multiple myeloma (MM), patient outcomes in low- and middle-income countries remain poor, unlike high-income countries. Scarcity of specialized human resources and diagnostic, treatment, and survivorship infrastructure are some of the barriers that patients with MM, clinicians, and policymakers have to overcome in the former setting. To improve outcomes of patients with MM in Western Kenya, the Academic Model Providing Access to Healthcare (AMPATH) MM Program was set up in 2012. In this article, the program’s activities, challenges, and future plans are described distilling important lessons that can be replicated in similar settings. Through the program, training on diagnosis and treatment of MM was offered to healthcare professionals from 35 peripheral health facilities across Western Kenya in 2018 and 2019. Access to antimyeloma drugs including novel agents was secured, and pharmacovigilance systems were developed. Finally, patients were supported to obtain health insurance in addition to receiving peer support through participation in support group meetings. This article provides an implementation blueprint for similar initiatives aimed at increasing access to care for patients with MM in underserved areas.
CONTEXT

Key Objective
How can access to multiple myeloma (MM) care in low- and middle-income countries be improved?

Knowledge Generated

The Academic Model Providing Access to Healthcare (AMPATH) MM Program was established through a unique partnership of a Kenyan tertiary hospital and medical school with an American medical school and a leading pharmaceutical company. The program strengthened providers’ capacity to offer MM care through training, secured drug availability, and safety while assisting patients to enroll for insurance and offered peer support for psychosocial coping.

Relevance

Enhanced access to MM care, patient safety, and survivorship services are achievable in low- and middle-income countries through optimizing the abilities of the local healthcare workforce using expertise and material support from high-income countries.

CURRENT MM CARE INFRASTRUCTURE IN KENYA

Kenya is a lower middle-income country in sub-Saharan Africa with a population of more than 47 million people and a developing healthcare system. Healthcare services in Kenya are provided by public, private-for-profit, and private-not-for-profit institutions with public facilities catering for more than half of outpatient visits and admissions. Out-of-pocket payments constituted a quarter of Kenya’s total health expenditure with government and donors catering for the balance in 2015/2016. This presents a barrier to access to health care for a large section of the population. Indeed, 84% of Kenyan workers are in the informal sector, which is characterized by low irregular incomes. This is aggravated by the low health insurance uptake of 17% of households (2013 estimate); the National Hospital Insurance Fund (NHIF), a publicly owned social insurance fund, was subscribed to in 88% of covered households. For cancer care, NHIF cover provides up to six cycles of first-line chemotherapy treatment at $250 in US dollars (USD) per cycle and up to four cycles of second-line chemotherapy at $1,500 USD per cycle. Routine laboratory tests, selected imaging tests, and surgical services are also covered.

There are only two public and a few private tertiary hospitals that can provide what is closest to comprehensive myeloma care in Kenya. These form the apex of the referral system in Kenya. A network of tertiary, secondary, and primary health facilities refers patients to the tertiary centers for appropriate diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up.

Hematology/oncologists, pathologists, and other clinicians trained in the care of MM are few and concentrated in urban centers including where the tertiary facilities are located. In Kenya, both lenalidomide and bortezomib, two important MM therapeutics, are registered. This however does not translate to patient access because of high costs. Other antmyeloma therapies available in Kenya include thalidomide, cyclophosphamide, melphalan, dexamethasone, and prednisolone.

Stigma and low awareness of cancer further impede access to lifesaving care. Besides, psychosocial support structures for cancer survivors are not well-developed in LMICs such as Kenya. Patients with MM therefore are forced to undertake a difficult journey characterized by late diagnosis, suboptimal treatment, and psychosocial distress resulting in poor outcomes.

AMPATH MM PROGRAM

The Academic Model Providing Access to Healthcare (AMPATH) is a collaboration between Moi University School of Medicine, Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital (MTRH), the second largest national referral hospital in Kenya, and North American academic institutions led by Indiana University School of Medicine. MTRH, a principal AMPATH site, is located in Eldoret town of Uasin Gishu County and serves Western Kenya—a region of approximately 24 million residents (Fig 1).

Initially, AMPATH focused on HIV care, but over the years, it has broadened its services to include primary health care and chronic disease care including diabetes, hypertension, and cancer. The latter is made possible through the AMPATH Oncology Institute (AOI). AOI has experienced periodic strategic restructuring aimed at being a comprehensive cancer center. Various programs have been developed under the umbrella of AOI to attend to unique cancer types, and hence, the establishment of the AMPATH MM Program mandated to find, link, and retain patients with MM in care.

The program was established in 2012 in an already existing infrastructure of the AOI. MTRH was an ideal site for improving MM care because it was a referral hospital with specialized facilities (personnel and material) including clinical oncology, pathology, oncology pharmacy, and a health information system necessary for cancer care and was already attending to patients with MM. Faculty from Indiana University not only provided clinical care to patients with MM but also provided training to local clinicians and were key in founding the program particularly by leveraging their relationships with Celgene Corporation, a leading pharmaceutical company that specializes in developing MM therapeutics, to mobilize resources. Administrative support—human resource management, financial
management, regulatory compliance, monitoring and evaluation, and procurement of supplies—was implemented through Moi University.19

At initiation, the program staff consisted of a hematologist, a pharmacist, a pharmacist assistant, and a nurse. As the number of patients grew, a pathologist, a physician assistant, and a social worker were added to the staff.

TRAINING AND AWARENESS CREATION

Before the inception of the program, only 12 patients with MM sought care at MTRH in 2012. It was felt that awareness of MM was low among local HCPs. Steps were taken to sensitize and train HCPs and medical students in Western Kenya to increase their index of suspicion for MM and expand the pool of professionals capable of diagnosing, referring, and providing definitive and supportive care using available resources. HCPs from referring primary, secondary, and tertiary facilities (public and private) in neighboring and distant counties without comprehensive cancer treatment facilities received training (Fig 1). Referring hospitals were targeted because they are closer to patients’ homes and are therefore the earliest point of healthcare contact. Additionally, patients depend on these facilities for emergent healthcare needs making them important partners in MM care delivery.

Training sessions were offered in two main formats. Short training sessions lasting between 1 and 2 hours were done during weekly continuous medical education meetings in a total of 30 peripheral health facilities in 2018 and 2019. The short training aimed to create awareness on topical aspects of MM. Following the short training, key personnel involved in MM care delivery (serving in internal medicine, renal, or orthopedic units) were then selected to attend comprehensive training at a central site. Three comprehensive training sessions of between 1 and 2 days were held at MTRH and two central sites in Meru and Trans Nzoia counties in 2019. HCP attendance of the comprehensive training sessions was 98%, 81%, and 80% of those invited for the three sites, respectively. Details of the training domains covered under short or comprehensive training, number of HCPs trained, and the geographic distribution of the peripheral health facilities they represented can be found in Table 1. All training activities were planned and executed successfully according to annual programmatic budgetary allowances.

In 2019, a total of 68 community health workers (CHWs) from Trans Nzoia County were sensitized on common signs and symptoms of cancers, the importance of routine cancer screening, adhering to the treatment plan, and the impact of herbal medicine in cancer care. CHWs are an important part of patient support at the community level contributing to the effective linkage of patients to treatment and long-term follow-up.

As a result of the training activities of the program, an upward trend of new patients with MM being enrolled for care at MTRH was documented (Fig 2). Improved care at the peripheral health facilities has also been observed. For instance, patients from the neighboring counties of Trans Nzoia, Kakamega, Kisumu, and Nakuru are currently able to receive weekly bortezomib injections from their respective county referral hospitals eliminating transport costs to MTRH for the same and only traveling every month for specialist assessment and to receive their refill of other oral antimyeloma drugs.

The program plans to introduce better-structured training in which the impact of training will be evaluated to facilitate continuous improvement. To reach a wider audience, the program intends to use teleconferencing facilities in the future.

PATIENT ENROLLMENT

Patients suspected to have MM by the clinical team were supported to receive diagnostic tests majorly bone marrow examination, serum protein electrophoresis, and serum-free light chain, the latter two being acquired from commercial laboratories. Those confirmed to have symptomatic MM according to the prevailing International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG) criteria were assisted to obtain NHIF cover and have treatment initiated.22,1 The program social worker performed socioeconomic assessments
ensuring that support offered was commensurate to individual patient incomes. Some patients received transport reimbursement to attend routine clinics. These activities were crucial to speeding up the diagnosis process and avoiding loss to follow-up as a result of unaffordability of care.

TREATMENT AND PV

The availability of MM chemotherapeutics was a challenge at the start of the program. Thalidomide had not been authorized to be used at MTRH by the Pharmacy and Poisons Board before 2012 because structures to safeguard its safe use had not been developed. The few patients seen at the time were prescribed for melphalan/prednisolone (MP), which they had to purchase at local community pharmacies out-of-pocket because of frequent supply interruptions at MTRH. Most community pharmacies in Western Kenya did not stock cancer chemotherapeutic agents, and patients had to pay up front before a special order was made. Costs were relatively high; for instance, melphalan per cycle would cost $60 USD, greatly affecting adherence.

In 2012, the program prioritized the acquisition of thalidomide over melphalan because of its lower propensity to cause myelosuppression.23 Lenalidomide was later availed in 2017, but because of cost implications, its use was limited to the second-line regimen or as a maintenance treatment.

To avoid embryofetal exposure to thalidomide and later lenalidomide, PV measures were put in place. A Risk Evaluation and Management Strategy (REMS) was developed with the support of Celgene Corporation. Safety pamphlets were developed and used to educate HCPs and patients on safety considerations for thalidomide/lenalidomide. This was then followed by training of HCPs at MTRH on the required safety measures when prescribing and dispensing thalidomide/lenalidomide. A nurse and a pharmacist assistant were assigned the role of offering patient education and obtaining consent before initiation of treatment and routinely before the refilling of

![FIG 2](image-url). The number of newly diagnosed patients with MM enrolled for care annually by the AMPATH MM Program. AMPATH, Academic Model Providing Access to Healthcare; MM, multiple myeloma.

### TABLE 1. Training Activities of the AMPATH MM Program

| Type of Training       | Training Domains                                                                 | Number of Healthcare Professionals Trained | Counties Represented (Number of Health Facilities per County) |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Short training (2018 and 2019) | • MM signs and symptoms  
• Health referral systems  
• Services offered by the AMPATH MM Program | • Medical officers and registrars (110)  
• Clinical officers (187)  
• Nurses (332)  
• Others* (429)  
• Total (1,058) | Bomet (4), Uasin Gishu (6), Nandi (5), Kisii (1), Homa Bay (1), Siaya (1), Kisumu (2), Kericho (1), Nakuru (1), Busia (1), Elgeyo-Marakwet (2), Bungoma (2), West Pokot (1)  
Total (30) |
| Comprehensive training (2019) | • Etiology, pathophysiology, epidemiology, presentation, investigations, diagnosis, staging, prognosis, initial treatment, ASCT, relapsed or refractory MM, complications, supportive care, patient education, palliative care, and psychosocial support  
• Practical demonstrations of bone marrow sample collection procedures and laboratory sample preparation using volunteering patients and videos | • Medical officers (52)  
• Clinical officers (18)  
• Nurses (63)  
• Total (133) | Nakuru (1), Siaya (1), Kisumu (3), Homa Bay (1), Kisii (1), West Pokot (1), Vihiga (1), Nandi (1), Migori (2), Busia (1), Bungoma (1), Uasin Gishu (2), Kakamega (1), Elgeyo-Marakwet (2), Meru (9), Trans Nzoia (7)  
Total (35) |
| Special training for CHWs (2019) | • Common signs and symptoms of cancer  
• Importance of routine cancer screening and adherence to treatment  
• Impact of herbal medicine in cancer care | • CHWs (68) | Trans Nzoia* |

Abbreviations: AMPATH, Academic Model Providing Access to Healthcare; ASCT, autologous stem-cell transplant; CHW, community health worker; MM, multiple myeloma.

*Included pharmacists, pharmacy technicians, public health officers, laboratory technicians, nutritionists, health records officers, radiographers, and health profession students.

Training was community-based and did not involve health facilities.
prescriptions. Access to these agents was strictly restricted only to HCPs registered under the REMS. Patients were provided with appropriate contraception throughout thalidomide/lenalidomide treatment. Residual supplies of these drugs were retrieved from close contacts of deceased patients to avoid cases of accidental poisoning.

Adverse drug reaction monitoring was also done through phone call follow-ups and timely management offered in consultation with hemat-oncologists. These PV activities by the program enforced treatment adherence. No incidences of embryofetal malformations were reported during the lifetime of the program. Common adverse drug reactions reported can be found in a published research abstract.24 Maintaining awareness of the risks of thalidomide/lenalidomide remains a constant effort as new HCPs become part of the staff complement at MTRH and because of the human tendency to exercise less vigilance over time. Transitioning into a single electronic documentation system for REMS registration of HCPs, patients, and the medication-use process is the next step for task reduction and improved accountability.

Cyclophosphamide and zoledronic acid were made available in 2016 and later bortezomib in 2017 by the program. Because of cost reasons, bortezomib was initially reserved for relapsed MM after treatment with MP, thalidomide/dexamethasone, or thalidomide/cyclophosphamide/dexamethasone (TCD). These drugs were later absorbed into the MTRH formulary, and bortezomib was deployed as part of first-line regimens in select patients when the National Hospital Insurance Fund (NHIF) introduced a special oncology cover in 2017.9 The new oncology cover brought a huge relief as the cost of treatment was greatly reduced. Despite this, some patients who were receiving treatment were left to contend with treatment interruptions once their NHIF cover was exhausted. To cushion them, the program provided chemotherapy support necessary to achieve an acceptable intensity of treatment.

Staging using the International Staging System (ISS)25 and systematic monitoring of response to treatment according to IMWG criteria26 could not be done at MTRH as a result of high costs of β2 microglobulin, protein electrophoresis (serum and urine), immunofixation (serum and urine), and serum-free light chain tests, only obtainable from commercial laboratories during the program lifetime. Clinical recovery and occurrence of toxicity were often used to assess response to therapy. It was not uncommon for patients on MP or thalidomide/dexamethasone to receive ten or more cycles of initial treatment before toxicity set in, at which point treatment would be stopped until clinical relapse when treatment would be restarted.

After 2017, there was an increase in the use of serum M-protein to assess response; patients achieving undetectable levels of serum M-protein from initial elevated levels were considered to be in remission and were given either a treatment holiday or put on maintenance therapy if funds were available. Patients receiving bortezomib-based triple-therapy regimens were observed to achieve remission and/or clinical recovery after about four cycles, which was then adopted as the minimum threshold for initial treatment for patients on these regimens. Most patients on triple therapies were however provided with around six cycles of chemotherapy, which was optimal given the available resources.
TABLE 2. Recommendations for Establishing a MM Program in a Resource-Constrained Setting

| Recommendation                                                                 | Details                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Basic personnel requirements for a MM program should consist of at least a | Basic personnel requirements for a MM program should consist of at least  |
| hematologist, a pathologist, a pharmacist, a nurse, a palliative care specialist, | a hematologist, a pathologist, a pharmacist, a nurse, a palliative care   |
| and a social worker                                                            | specialist, and a social worker.                                         |
| 2. Strengthen capacity of health facilities at lower levels of the referral system | Strengthen capacity of health facilities at lower levels of the referral  |
| (secondary and primary) to offer MM diagnosis, treatment, referral, and support | system (secondary and primary) to offer MM diagnosis, treatment, referral,  |
| group activities through personnel training and donation of consumables such as   | and support group activities through personnel training and donation of    |
| biopsy needles                                                                  | consumables such as biopsy needles                                      |
| 3. Engage CHWs for MM awareness creation and maintenance of follow-up at the    | Engage CHWs for MM awareness creation and maintenance of follow-up at the  |
| community level                                                                 | community level                                                          |
| 4. Formulate a robust REMS program with patient education resources to ensure the | Formulate a robust REMS program with patient education resources to ensure  |
| safe use of thalidomide and lenalidomide                                        | the safe use of thalidomide and lenalidomide                             |
| 5. Advocate for comprehensive social insurance plans that cover screening,     | Advocate for comprehensive social insurance plans that cover screening,   |
| diagnosis, prolonged treatment, and follow-up for MM to relieve the unaffordability | diagnosis, prolonged treatment, and follow-up for MM to relieve the      |
| of care in LMICs                                                                | unaffordability of care in LMICs                                        |
| 6. Establish support groups to provide health education, peer support, and     | Establish support groups to provide health education, peer support, and   |
| networking for patients and caregivers                                         | networking for patients and caregivers                                   |
| 7. Strengthen laboratory capacity of public hospitals to provide diagnostic tests | Strengthen laboratory capacity of public hospitals to provide diagnostic   |
| to reduce the cost incurred by patients and shorten time to diagnosis           | tests to reduce the cost incurred by patients and shorten time to diagnosis|
| 8. Collaborate with development partners to secure support for vulnerable       | Collaborate with development partners to secure support for vulnerable     |
| patients who may not afford health insurance, diagnostic, treatment, and        | patients who may not afford health insurance, diagnostic, treatment, and  |
| transportation costs                                                            | transportation costs                                                      |
| 9. Conduct operational research using available patient and healthcare service  | Conduct operational research using available patient and healthcare service|
| data to improve the effectiveness of current diagnostic and treatment           | data to improve the effectiveness of current diagnostic and treatment      |
| interventions                                                                   | interventions                                                             |
| 10. Disseminate successful program implementation models to inspire stakeholders | Disseminate successful program implementation models to inspire stakeholders|
| in similar settings to replicate efforts aimed at increasing access to MM care   | in similar settings to replicate efforts aimed at increasing access to MM   |

Abbreviations: CHW, community health worker; LMICs, low- and middle-income countries; MM, multiple myeloma; REMS, Risk Evaluation and Management Strategy.

To standardize care, a combined effort from the program with the hemato-oncology, pathology, social work, and palliative care components at MTRH resulted in the development of a local protocol for MM management in March 2019. Triple therapy with a backbone of bortezomib was adopted as first-line treatment for newly diagnosed patients with MM without complications. Thanks to program initiatives (drug acquisition, PV systems, and local protocol development) and NHIF coverage, there has been a gradual increase in the use of immunomodulatory agents, proteasome inhibitors, and triple regimens as part of first-line therapies over the years (Fig 3).

Sustainable provision of uninterrupted access to initial standard triple therapy and maintenance therapy for each newly diagnosed patient with MM is yet to be achieved. Moreover, options for second and subsequent lines of therapy remain limited because of the unavailability of newer-generation antinflammatory drugs such as pomalidomide, carfilzomib, and daratumumab. The program together with other stakeholders continues to search for mechanisms to minimize treatment interruptions while expanding the therapeutic arsenal for refractory or relapsed MM and toxicity-prone patients.

SURVIVORSHIP SUPPORT

Over time, the need to provide psychosocial support for patients with MM was felt, leading to the formation of a support group for patients and caregivers. Patients and caregivers received health education and psychologic counseling and were able to share their experiences through treatment during the meetings. From 2018 to 2019, four major meetings were held at MTRH where 35 to 100 participants were invited for each meeting. Attendance was encouraging, ranging from 92% to 100%. With a rising demand for support group activities and the high cost of organizing centralized meetings, schedules were developed to facilitate decentralized meetings in various regions.

Abandonment of treatment was also a common occurrence in the years before the program. The program facilitated community outreach done in the form of home visits where family health education and psychologic counseling were carried out to reestablish follow-up. A total of 10 MM patient homes were visited between 2012 and 2019. It was discovered that treatment adverse effects, lack of family support, lack of funds for transport to the hospital, and the desire to pursue alternative medicine were factors that contributed to treatment abandonment.

DISCUSSION

Training of less specialized HCPs to perform simple cancer diagnostic procedures has been advocated for as a means to increase access to diagnostic services for underserved populations in Kenya. This form of task-shifting has shown benefits in the diagnosis of Kaposi’s sarcoma in HIV clinics across East Africa. Regular mentorship after initial training is however required to maintain a high level of service quality. In India, a program to improve emergency obstetric and neonatal care of staff nurses and CHWs through mentorship by nurse mentors showed that the ability to provide correct actions declined over time after initial training. This underscores the need for continuous education, which telehealth can effectively address. Unlike traditional training programs where the physical presence of participants is required, telehealth leverages internet technology to virtually connect specialists in academic medical centers to primary care providers in distant areas facilitating specialist skills transfer.

The noncomprehensive coverage of cancer care by NHIF, as observed at MTRH, has been suggested to contribute to poor outcomes according to a Kenyan qualitative study. In an American registry study, limited access to treatment as a result of inadequate insurance coverage was found to be a contributing factor to lower survival among patients with non–Hodgkin’s lymphoma. For Kenyan patients with MM, the current inadequate NHIF coverage, therefore, portends less-than-optimal survival outcomes. Nonetheless, having insurance coverage is better than being uncovered. In one study conducted on a cohort of patients with pediatric cancer in a Kenyan academic hospital, it was revealed that...
patients with insurance had greater event-free survival, lower progression, and a lower treatment abandonment rate.32 Patients with MM should therefore be encouraged to take up insurance regardless.

Successful REMS implementation in a resource-constrained setting is atypical. In LMICs, where unlimited access to cancer treatment is yet to be achieved, PV is rarely prioritized.33 In Kenya, efforts to decentralize cancer care to peripheral health facilities34 should be accompanied by REMS capacity building to ensure safety in using medications with risks of serious toxicity. In this pursuit, HCP societies can be valuable partners in the dissemination of safety information.35 On the other hand, settings with robust PV systems can achieve a reduction of the administrative burden of REMS by avoiding duplication of safety measures that are part of routine clinical practice.35

From the MTRH experience, it is worth noting that equipping public hospitals with MM diagnostics is the next logical step in facilitating quicker MM diagnoses at a lower cost. This will also open the door for implementation of guideline-recommended staging25 and response measurement,26 therefore enabling clinicians to determine the effectiveness of therapy and to avoid exposing patients with MM to toxicity from prolonged aggressive therapy.

The positive response to support group activities by participants in the AMPATH MM Program may reflect an improved quality of life. In point of fact, psychosocial support groups have been demonstrated to improve the four domains of quality of life, namely physical, psychological, social, and spiritual among cancer survivors in a mixed qualitative/quantitative study conducted in Kenya.36 The authors, therefore, advocate for the formation of support groups in all cancer centers in LMICs where such facilities have been reported to be scarce.17,18

In conclusion, this paper has highlighted elements that contributed to the success of the AMPATH MM Program in Western Kenya. Key among these were collaboration among stakeholders and development partners, capacity building for local HCPs, prioritization of patient safety, and provision of survivorship services. Lessons derived from program implementation have been summarized as recommendations in Table 2.
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