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ABSTRACT

Separation of mixture is always necessarily required in modern industry, especially in fine chemical, petrochemical, coal chemical and pharmaceutical industries. The challenge of the separation process is usually associated with small molecules with very similar physical and chemical properties. Among the separation techniques, the commonly used high-pressure cryogenic distillation process with combination of high pressure and very low temperature is heavily energy-consuming, which accounts for the major production costs as well as 10–15% of the world’s energy consumption. To this end, the adsorptive separation process based on zeolite sorbents is a promising lower-energy alternative and the performance is directly determined by the zeolite sorbents. In this review, we surveyed the separation mechanisms based on the steric, equilibrium, kinetic and ‘trapdoor’ effect, and summarized the recent advances in adsorptive separation via zeolites including CO₂, light olefins, C₈ aromatics and hydrogen isotopes. Furthermore, we provided the perspectives on the rational design of zeolite sorbents for the absolute separation of mixtures.
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INTRODUCTION

Matter existing in the earth is usually in the state of gas, liquid and solid, and in the form of either pure substance or mixture. In principle, there is no absolute ‘pure substance’ under usual conditions and the so-called ‘pure substance’ often contains impurities below a given limit. In practice, ‘highly pure substance’ is probably a better description for such matter. For some specific occasions such as semiconductor manufacturing and polymers production, highly pure substance is required. To obtain such substance, separation of the components from a mixture is needed, which can be defined as a process that transforms a mixture into single products by utilizing the difference in the properties of components such as boiling point, size, polarity and affinity. Distillation, usually combining high pressure and very low temperature, is a boiling-point-based separation technology, while adsorptive separation is a size-based, polarity-based and affinity-based separation process. Such a separation/purification process is difficult to achieve because it is against the second law of thermodynamics and therefore needs intensive energy input, which accounts for the major production costs in fine chemical, coal chemical, petrochemical and pharmaceutical industries as well as 10–15% of the world’s energy consumption [1,2]. In 2016, Sholl and Lively summarized seven chemical separations that could change the world [3]. Among these separations, ‘alkenes from alkanes’, ‘greenhouse gases from dilute emissions’ and ‘benzene derivatives from each other’ as well as the hydrogen isotopes of D₂ and T₂ from H₂ can be achieved via adsorptive separation—a promising lower-energy alternative to the high-pressure cryogenic distillation process currently used.

Light olefins including ethylene, propylene and 1,3-butadiene are key feed stocks for plastics such as polyethylene (PE)/polypropylene (PP) and polymers, and are produced in the scale of several million metric tons each year. Steam cracking of hydrocarbons and methanol to olefins are two main production processes for light olefins. However, both processes do not produce neat light olefins but also yield a lot of other hydrocarbons such as paraffins and alkynes. Polymerization of light olefins to make
plastics and polymers requires highly pure (polymer-grade) olefins in which the concentration of impurities of paraffins or alkynes is usually less than several parts per million (ppm). Currently, separation of light olefins such as ethylene and propylene from the corresponding paraffin of ethane and propane relies on the energy-intensive cryogenic distillation under high pressure, which usually requires the large columns containing >100 trays [4], while separation of light olefins from alkynes (acetylene, propyne and butyne) is achieved by the partial hydrogenation of alkynes over supported Pd-catalysts suffering from poor selectivity and high costs [5]. Therefore, developing alternative technologies with enhanced energy efficiency for such separation is highly desired. Compared to the high-energy-consuming cryogenic distillation process, adsorption-based separation is believed to be an energy-efficient and cost-efficient alternative technology. However, designing appropriate adsorbents to separate the light olefins from the corresponding paraffins or alkynes with very small difference in size and very similar physical properties is still highly challenging.

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is a well-known greenhouse gas that can reserve the heat of Earth adsorbed in the daylight. A high concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere can significantly warm up Earth and lead to global warming, ocean acidification and other environmental concerns [6]. Researches revealed that the trend of the increase of CO₂ concentration [7] is consistent with that of the global average temperature increase from pre-industrial levels [8], which triggered the global efforts to reduce the concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere. Eventually, reducing CO₂ emissions into the atmosphere depends on CO₂ capture from CO₂ sources and the utilization or storage of captured CO₂, which is usually called carbon capture, utilization and storage (CCUS). The point of CO₂ emission include: (i) the flue gas mixture of coal-fired power plants, which contains primarily N₂, CO₂, water vapor (H₂O) and O₂; (ii) key industrial processes such as cement manufacturing, iron and steel production and petrochemical plants; (iii) natural gas, landfill gas and biogas upgrading, which involves the removal of CO₂ from CH₄ to increase the gas purity, preventing the corrosion of industrial facilities and achieving the transport specifications or criterion of making liquefied natural gas (LNG); (iv) the Fischer–Tropsch process; and (v) enclosed environments such as spacecraft/submarine cabins or indoor air in commercial buildings. The currently mature technology for the removal of CO₂ from large-scale sources of CO₂ is amine scrubbing (aqueous alkanolamine solutions), which is highly selective for CO₂ but is also heavily energy-consuming in the regeneration process because CO₂ molecules are chemically adsorbed by amine molecules and an intensive energy input is needed to break the chemical bonds formed between CO₂ and the amine for regeneration [9,10]. In addition, the amine solution used in this technology is also severely corrosive toward the equipment [11]. The drawbacks of such technology have stimulated the search for alternative physical adsorption-based methods to separate CO₂ from N₂ and CH₄. So far, several physical adsorption-based technologies have been proposed [12] such as pressure-swing adsorption (PSA) [13,14], vacuum-swing adsorption (VSA) [15,16], temperature-swing adsorption (TSA) [17], as well as membrane-based separation techniques [18]. Among the proposed technologies, the PSA technology has the advantage of low energy consumption and low costs because CO₂ molecules are selectively adsorbed via the physical interaction on an adsorbent such as zeolites at moderate to high pressures and released upon decreasing the pressure. However, PSA has not been commonly used for the large-scale recovery of CO₂ from flue gas because of the limitations on certain adsorbents and process technology [12].

C₈ aromatics including ethylbenzene (EB) and three xylene isomers, i.e. para-xylene, ortho-xylene and meta-xylene are the important raw materials and key precursors for the production of many important chemical intermediates and polymers such as polyethylene terephthalate, polyester and polystyrene, which are mainly produced in a mixture by the catalytic reforming of crude oil, gasoline pyrolysis and toluene disproportionation [19,20]. Due to the very similar size and boiling points of C₈ aromatics, their separation via conventional methods such as distillation is very difficult. Currently, selective adsorption on zeolites via large-scale simulated moving bed units are the main methods used in industry [21]. To further decrease the energy consumption in the adsorptive separation of C₈ aromatics, the key is to develop adsorbents with higher efficiency and great efforts have been made worldwide [20,22].

Hydrogen (H, ¹H) has two isotopes, i.e. deuterium (D, ²H) and tritium (T, ³H). Deuterium has been widely used in industrial and scientific research including in isotopic tracing [23], neutron scattering [24,25], healthcare and medical treatment applications [26] and nuclear fusion [27]. Tritium can be used in the armament industry and in analytical chemistry as well as in nuclear reactors. However, the release of tritium into the environment is a huge threat to human health. On the basis of the increasing global demand for deuterium and concern for the environmental safety of tritium 
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leaking, development of separation technology for hydrogen isotopes is highly needed. Because of the nearly identical physical and chemical properties of hydrogen isotopes, their efficient separation is extremely difficult and has become one of the greatest challenges in modern separation technology. Currently, the methods developed for the separation of hydrogen isotopes include cryogenic distillation [28,29], the Girdler sulfide process [29] and the chromatographic and thermal cycling adsorption process (TCAP) [30]. The Girdler sulfide process and H2 cryogenic distillation have been applied at the industrial plant scale. However, these techniques are high-energy-intensive and time-intensive with a relative low separation factor. The separation of hydrogen isotopes under moderate conditions with high selectivity and low cost is highly desired but has remained a huge challenge so far. Quantum sieving, first proposed in 1995 by Beenakker et al. based on a model of hard spheres in a hard cylindrical well, has attracted great interest for the separation of hydrogen isotopes, in which the quantum effects on the molecular adsorption and diffusion become significant if the difference between the pore size and the molecular hard core is comparable to the de Broglie wavelength [31]. However, such a separation process also requires the cryogenic temperature [32].

Considering that the adsorptive separation/purification process is a promising lower-energy alternative to the high-energy-intensive process currently used in industrial separation and most of the seven chemical separations changing the world can be achieved via adsorptive separation/purification, we briefly review the recent advances in adsorptive separation via zeolite adsorbents alongside historical overviews on the separation mechanism and provide perspectives for future development, especially discussing the characteristics of the ideal zeolite adsorbents for commercial adsorptive separation toward high selectivity, large adsorption capacity, fast adsorption/desorption kinetics, easy regeneration, long stability and durability, and low cost.

**FUNDAMENTALS AND ADVANCES OF ADSORPTIVE SEPARATION IN ZEOLITES**

The term ‘zeolite’ was originally coined by Swedish mineralogist Axel Fredrik Cronstedt to name an aluminosilicate mineral that produced large amounts of steam upon rapidly heating [33]. Later, zeolite was used to refer to crystalline microporous aluminosilicates and the framework of zeolites is constructed by corner-sharing TO4 (T = Si or Al) tetrahedra, forming periodic and highly stable 1D to 3D channels with a unique porous structure and aperture size of typically <2 nm, covering the size of most industrially important small molecules [34–36]. Today, the type of framework element of T is further extended to P, Fe, B, Ga, Ti, Ge, etc. and 255 zeolite structures have been recognized by the International Zeolite Association-Structure Commission [37].

In the framework of zeolites, each AlO4 tetrahedron imparts a negative charge to the framework that is balanced by an extra-framework cation such as H+, Na+, K+, Ca2+, Ni2+, Co2+, Cu2+, etc. within the pore space or at the site of the window. Despite the existence of such cations, the rest of the room in the cavity or channel of the zeolite can still accommodate neutral atoms and molecules that are small enough via the pore windows. In the TO4 tetrahedra, the O-T-O angle is close to the tetrahedral angle, i.e. 109.4° [38], while the average angle of the T-O-T bond between two tetrahedra is 145° [39]. Thus, the surface of the channel or pore within the framework is essentially O atoms, whereas the T atoms of Si, Al, P, etc. are buried (recessed) in the tetrahedra of O atoms, which are not fully exposed and accessed by the small adsorbate species. The anionic O atoms are much more polarizable than the Al and Si atoms, making the unique surface chemistry of the internal wall of the pores within the framework of zeolites. Besides the anionic O atoms, cations are located at certain sites that are determined by the topology of zeolites and the location of AlO4 tetrahedra. Some of these sites may be inaccessible to the adsorbed small molecules, while some other sites located above the oxide surface are fully accessible and can interact with the adsorbed small molecules.

Imaging an adsorbed small molecule travelling inside the channel or pore inside the zeolite, its diffusion behavior will be affected by factors including: (i) the size, shape and connectivity of the channel or pore, i.e. the topology of the zeolite; (ii) the nature and number of cations of the zeolite framework; and (iii) the type of T atom of the zeolite framework. On the basis of the structural characteristics of zeolites, the adsorptive separation mechanism was originally classified as a steric, kinetic or equilibrium effect [40]. Quantum sieving, discovered in 1995, can be classified into a kinetic effect mechanism. Later, the ‘trapdoor’ or ‘molecular trapdoor’ effect mechanism was proposed upon the investigation of the separation of gases of CO2/CH4 and CO/N2 using chabazite zeolites (CHA) [41]. Scheme 1 shows the aforementioned four adsorptive separation mechanisms.

**Steric effect/size exclusion/sieving effect**

The steric effect is also referred to as the size-exclusion effect or sieving effect in which only small
and properly shaped molecules can be diffused into the zeolite adsorbents, whereas all other molecules and components that are too large are excluded, which can lead to an unlimited high selectivity. Such an effect is unique with zeolites due to the uniform aperture size of pores or channels in the crystalline structure of zeolites. The aperture size (also known as the pore size or window size) is the intrinsic property of a given zeolite because of the robust nature of its open framework. For a given mixture to be separated, the first step is to select an appropriate zeolite in which the maximum aperture size of the pores is between the molecular sizes of the two components. However, the choice is very limited because the aperture size of the pores is mainly controlled by the topology of the open framework of the zeolites. For a zeolite that roughly meets the criterion, precise tuning of the pore or channel structure might be needed to achieve an acceptable selectivity because of the very small difference in the molecular sizes of the aforementioned mixtures. Thus far, several strategies have been developed to precisely tune the pore size of a given zeolite: (i) modifying the accessible room via changing the type of cation or introducing an organic entity [42]; (ii) changing the shape of the pore by relocating the guest species of the cation and/or water molecules [43]; and (iii) shrinking or expanding the aperture size via changing the T–O bond length (i.e. tuning the Si/Al ratio for aluminosilicate zeolites or making pure silica or aluminophosphate zeolites) [44–46]. Zeolite A (LTA) is the classical example in which the pore aperture size can be finely tuned by modifying the type of cations [42]. In 1953, Milton from Union Carbide filed the patent on zeolite A [47] and Breck reported the structure of zeolite A in 1956 [48]. The mutually perpendicular, straight 3D channel system of zeolite A is created by the 11.4-Å cavities (lta or α cages) interconnected by six eight-membered ring (8MR) windows with a diameter of 4.1 Å and an effective aperture size of 3.8 Å, which is the origin of the name of 4A for zeolite A with sodium counter cations [37]. When exchanged for Ca2⁺, there are four Ca2⁺ and four Na⁺ in each lta cage, which all occupy the center of 6MRs while the center of six 8MRs is vacant. Consequently, the apertures of the resultant Ca–A are completely open and capable of admitting molecules with diameters of ∼4.3 Å, which is the origin of the name of 5A for zeolite A with calcium counter cations. However, when the Na⁺ is exchanged for K⁺, the effective aperture size is reduced to ∼3.0 Å and ∼2.9 Å at 77 K due to the larger K⁺, which is the origin of the name of 3A for zeolite A with potassium counter cations. Complete exchange of Na⁺ ions for K⁺ ions can reduce the aperture size from ∼3.8 to ∼3.0 Å. Thus, partial exchange of Na⁺ ions for K⁺ ions may successively adjust the aperture size of the pore structure. For example, as shown in Fig. 1, Hedin and co-workers systematically adjusted the K⁺ ions content in zeolite A by controlling the exchange degree and successively tuned the aperture size of ∼3.8 Å of 4A to ∼3.0 Å of zeolite 3A [49]. Investigation of the resultant materials on the adsorptive separation of CO₂/N₂ indicates that there is an optimal K⁺ content of 17 mol. % in NaKA zeolite for the best performance of selectivity and CO₂ uptake. Similarly to the successive tuning of the aperture size of ∼3.8 Å of 4A down to ∼3.0 Å of zeolite 3A via controlling the ratio of Na⁺/K⁺ in zeolite A, Xiao and co-workers precisely tuned the aperture size of pores in zeolite A to between ∼3.8 and 4.2 Å in
Figure 2. Schematic representation of covalent functionalization of a MOR zeolite. Benzene diazonium derivatives (electrophile) are covalently grafted to negatively charged bridging oxygen atoms of micropore walls (nucleophile) via a nucleophilic substitution reaction. N2 (blue) and Na+ BF4− are generated as the byproducts. Organiodide can be used as the grafting agent instead of diazonium derivatives. For clarity, a sodium cation (yellow) is displayed in the structure adapted with permission from [52]. © 2021 Wiley-VCH GmbH.

0.2-Å increments via sequential and partial ion exchange of Ca2+/Ag+ [50]. The resultant Ag-Ca-4A showed nearly ideal molecular sieving of C2H4/C2H6 (selectivity of \[ \triangle \leq 17568 \]) at 298 K and 100 kPa) and superior C2H4 uptake of 3.7 mmol g\(^{-1}\) under ambient conditions. Both pore-size measurement and molecular modeling corroborate that the pore size of Ag-Ca-4A is tuned to be in between the molecular sizes of C2H4 and C2H6 (\(~0.28\) Å difference in kinetic diameter).

Besides the 8MRs in zeolite A, the 12MRs in zeolite mordenite (MOR) can also be precisely narrowed. Very recently, Wang and co-workers developed an unusual ‘acid co-hydrolysis route’ that enabled the slow co-condensation of Fe species with Si/Al precursors in the initial gelation stage and finely control of the Fe doping in the resultant zeolite mordenite [51]. With this route, the authors successfully incorporated Fe ions into the MOR framework and the tetrahedral Fe species partially occupied the 12MRs of zeolite MOR, which precisely narrowed the 12MRs within the kinetic diameters of gas molecules involved in CO2 separation (3–4 Å). The resultant Fe-MORs showed record-high volumetric CO2 uptakes; excellent size-exclusive molecular sieving of CO2 over Ar, N2 and CH4; stable recyclability; and good moisture-resistance capability.

In addition to the cations inside the channels of zeolites, organic species can also be used to tune the aperture size of pores. Very recently, Jo and co-workers reported a versatile method to finely tune the aperture size of zeolite MOR, LTL, FAU and MFI by post-synthesis functionalization with organic molecules of different sizes [52], which is different from the in situ organic-functionalization strategy previously reported [53,54]. Figure 2 shows the schematic representation of the covalent functionalization of a MOR zeolite. The grafting agents are small and aggressive organic electrophiles of diazonium derivatives and organo-halide, which can access the intracrystalline void space and form the C–O bond via reacting with a bridging oxygen atom of the framework of zeolite. The ideal-adsorbed-solution theory (IAST) selectivity of 4-methoxybenzene-functionalized MOR zeolite for the separation of ethylene from ethane was as high as 5873, whereas toluene-grafted MOR zeolite can completely separate propylene from propane. At the same time, Liu and co-workers introduced the subunits or fragments of metal-organic frameworks into zeolites and obtained enhanced CH4/N2 (ZSM-5 and Y) and C3H6/C3H8 (MOR) separation [55,56]. However, how to avoid the loss of organic functionality during the high-temperature shaping procedure is a big challenge for the practical application of such organic-modified zeolites.

Counter cations and water molecules in the channels of zeolites have a strong interaction with the bridging oxygen atom of a framework via Coulomb or hydrogen-bonding interaction. Thus, relocating the counter cations or dehydration may change the shape of pores or channels and further modify the aperture size. The classical example having such phenomenon is the first member of microporous titanium silicate ETS-4 [43], in which the flexible framework can be systematically contracted via dehydration to tune the aperture size of pores. As shown in Fig. 3, the results of the Rietveld refinement of powder neutron-diffraction data revealed a progressive and pronounced shape changing of the 8MR opening in dehydrated samples, in addition to the cation relocation. During the dehydration at elevated temperature, cation relocation took place and two new cation positions appeared. Both shape changing (i.e. pore narrowing) of the 8MR and cation relocation led to the
progressive reduction of the aperture size of 8MR. As a result, along with the dehydration temperature being increased from 190°C to 270°C and further to 300°C, ethane (C₂H₆), methane (CH₄) and nitrogen (N₂) with progressively smaller dimensions were sequentially excluded from adsorption into the crystals (Fig. 3). On the basis of the adsorption and structural results, the authors claimed that the contraction of 8MRs and concomitant cation relocation were primarily responsibility for the gradual exclusion of smaller and smaller molecules. Recently, Wright and co-workers observed that the unit cell volumes decreased by 9.8%, 7.7% and 7.1% for Na-MER, K-MER and Cs-MER (Merlinoite) zeolites with Si/Al = 3.8 upon dehydration [57]. The resultant dry K-MER zeolite showed good uptake of CO₂ at 1 bar and 298 K, rapid adsorption and desorption kinetics, and promising CO₂/CH₄ separation.

In addition to the aforementioned strategy to tune the aperture size of zeolites, changing the bond length of the T–O bonds in the framework may also modify the effective size of pores. Zeolite A is a common adsorbent for the adsorptive separation. The Si/Al ratio of zeolite A is usually 1 when crystallized from the organic-free synthetic system and is >1 when crystallized in the presence of organic structure-directing agents. Besides the aluminosilicate form, pure silica form (ITQ-29) and aluminophosphate form (AlPO-LTA) zeolite A were also discovered with the assistance of supramolecular self-assembled molecules and diaza-polyoxa-macrocycle as organic structure-directing agents, respectively [58,59]. Molecular mass transfer studies revealed that the diffusivities of small molecules in ITQ-29 and AlPO-LTA were significantly smaller than in the zeolite NaCaA [45], due to the slight reduction in the window apertures of SiO₂ and AlPO₃ forms [60].

For a given separation process, available zeolites with appropriate aperture size are very limited, especially for small-pore zeolites (i.e. with 8MR windows). Even for an appropriate zeolite, there is usually a trade-off between the selectivity and adsorption capacity. To overcome this problem, making core–shell zeolite composites might be a feasible strategy, with the adsorbent with high selectivity as the shell and high adsorption capacity as the core. For example, Laroche and co-workers prepared a zeolite beta-silicalite-1 composite by growing silicalite-1 shells on single micron-sized zeolite beta crystals (core) [61]. The obtained composite allowed more selective separation of mono-branched and di-branched hexane isomers than either of its components alone and had a significantly higher adsorption capacity than silicalite-1 (shell). Recently, Miyamoto et al. grew a silicalite-1 coating on ZSM-5 zeolite crystals to improve the catalytic p-xylene selectivity [62]. It was found that the silicalite-1 coating improved the separation factors of p-xylene over o-xylene and m-xylene on silicalite-1/ZSM-5 than on parent ZSM-5.

**Equilibrium effect**

Equilibrium separation is based on the interaction between the adsorbate and the adsorbent. The molecules of the adsorbate (targeted molecules) mainly interact with the adsorption sites. When the mixture is adsorbed into the adsorbent, molecules having stronger interaction with sorbent will
be preferentially adsorbed, which generates the selectivity of preferentially adsorbed molecules over less preferentially adsorbed molecules. Such preferential adsorption of one component over all other components changes the composition of the mixture and sequentially facilitates the separation of the mixture. For purification, particularly ultra-purification, strong interaction between adsorbate and adsorption sites is needed, which leads to ultra-high product purity. However, strong interaction always indicates a high regeneration energy. For aluminosilicate zeolites, counter cations are uniformly dispersed above the negatively charged oxides on their surfaces. Cations with high valences (i.e. charges) and small ionic radii (i.e. high charge density) would result in strong interactions with the adsorbate.

Zeolite NaX (or 13X) has been used commercially for air separation to make pure oxygen via PSA since the 1970s. Li$^+$ ions exchanged low silica zeolite X with a Si/Al = 1 (denoted as Li-LSX) is the best adsorbent that is commercially available today [63]. Cation sites in LSX are distributed in the center of the double six-membered ring (D6R), above the single six-membered ring (S6R) in supercage and cuboctahedron, and near the four-membered ring (4MR) in supercage. Only the sites exposed to the supercage cavity can have the interaction with the adsorbate [40]. Upon the complete ion exchange of Na$^+$ with Li$^+$, the resultant Li-LSX preferentially adsorbs N$_2$ over O$_2$ and thus significantly improves N$_2$/O$_2$ selectivity because the ionic radius of Li$^+$ (0.68 Å) is smaller than that of Na$^+$ (0.97 Å) and subsequently interacts much more strongly with N$_2$ [63]. Taking such advantage, O$_2$ with 95+% can be produced from air by a PSA procedure.

Besides the physical interaction (i.e. non-bonding interaction), weak chemical interaction such as $\pi$-complexation that is stronger than those physical interactions can also exist between the specific targeted molecules and the adsorption site, and thus may be used to enhance the separation selectivity and adsorption capacity. Unlike the strong chemical interaction of covalent bonds, the weak chemical interaction of $\pi$-complexation is still weak enough to be broken by reduce the pressure or an increase in the temperature as operated in the PSA or TSA procedure [40]. To create the $\pi$-complexation interaction between the adsorbent and the adsorbate, the adsorption sites of the adsorbent should have the ability to form the usual $\sigma$ bonds with their s-orbitals to the adsorbate; in addition, their d-orbitals can back-donate electron density to the anti-bonding $\pi$-orbitals of the adsorbate, which pertain to the main group (or d-block) transition metals. In tailoring adsorbents for $\pi$-complexation, the bond strength between the adsorbate and the adsorption sites (cations) as well as the total number of cations (i.e. the density of cations) are two important parameters. The latter depends on the cation exchange capacity of the zeolite (i.e. Si/Al ratio for aluminosilicate zeolites and Si content for silicoaluminophosphate (SAPO) zeolites).

On the basis this strategy, Li and co-workers introduced the isolated open nickel(II) in the 6MR of zeolite Y (FAU) as the adsorption site to highly selectively remove alkylene impurities for the production of polymer-grade light olefins [64]. Under ambient conditions, the as-prepared Ni@FAU composite showed preferential adsorption of alkenes and efficient separations of acetylene/ethyylene, propyne/proplylene and butyne/1,3-butadiene mixtures, with unprecedented dynamic separation selectivities of 100, 92 and 83, respectively. In situ neutron-diffraction and inelastic neutron-scattering results revealed that nickel(II) formed the metastable [$\text{Ni(II)}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_2)_3$] complexes with acetylene. Later, the same group prepared atomically dispersed copper(II) sites in zeolite Y and achieved the efficient separation of acetylene and CO$_2$ under ambient conditions, which is an extreme challenge in industry due to the almost identical molecular sizes and volatilities of acetylene and CO$_2$ [65]. High-purity acetylene (98–99%) from the mixture of acetylene and CO$_2$ was obtained and the separation factor was 22.2 with a dynamic uptake of acetylene of 1.51 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 298 K. Characterizations of in situ neutron powder diffraction and inelastic neutron scattering revealed that there was chemoselective yet reversible binding between the copper(II) site and acetylene, whereas adsorbed CO$_2$ was stabilized by weak host–guest interactions with the framework oxygen, thus resulting in the efficient separation of these two gases under flow conditions.

$\pi$-Complexation can be formed not only between the transition cations and the double C=C bonds or triple C≡C bonds in alkylene but also between the transition cations and the CO$_2$. Recently, Shang and co-workers introduced the transition metals of Co(II), Ni(II), Zn(II), Fe(III), Cu(II), Ag(I), La(III) and Ce(III) into zeolite SSZ-13 via ion exchange and investigated their CO$_2$/N$_2$ separation performance by unary static isothermal adsorption and binary dynamic column breakthrough experiments as well as predicted performance in the PSA/VSA procedure [66]. Among these adsorbents, Co(II)/SSZ-13 and Ni(II)/SSZ-13 showed the highest CO$_2$ uptake (4.49 and 4.45 mmol g$^{-1}$, respectively) and selectivity of CO$_2$ over N$_2$ (52.55 and 42.61, respectively) at 273 K and 1 atm. Such outstanding separation performance was attributed to the Pi back-donation exclusively.
formed between CO₂ and transition-metal cation sites, as shown in Fig. 4.

Isomorphic heteroatom substitution of the T atom of the framework of zeolites can also alter the property of the framework and tune the interaction between the adsorbate and the adsorbent. For example, Yu, Song and co-workers hydrothermally synthesized Mg₅ Co-substituted aluminophosphate zeolites with ERI framework topology (denoted as MgAPO-ERI and CoAPO-ERI) by using N₅N'₅N₂'-tetramethyl-1,6-hexanediamine as the organic template and investigated their CO₂ adsorption properties in comparison to those of the pure aluminophosphate counterpart AlPO-ERI [67]. Their results clearly showed that the incorporation of Mg²⁺ and Co²⁺ ions in the framework of the AlPO-ERI greatly improved the adsorption selectivities of CO₂ over CH₄ and N₂. In addition to improve the selectivity, isomorphic heteroatom substitution of Cu²⁺ in microporous silicate conducted by Yoon and co-workers greatly improved the CO₂ adsorption from the humid flue gases [68]. The H₂O-specific and CO₂-specific adsorption sites were created but did not have H₂O/CO₂-sharing sites in the highly stable microporous coppersilicate SGU-29. Both H₂O and CO₂ were adsorbed from the humid flue gases and atmosphere but the adsorption of H₂O did not interfere with the adsorption of CO₂.

Tuning the interaction between the adsorbate and adsorbent is mainly focused on the metal cations and the investigation of the proton (also acid site, Brønsted acid site) in zeolites is rare. Very recently, Van Speybroeck and co-workers studied the influence of acid sites on the diffusion of alkenes through small-pore SAPO-34 zeolites by combining the experimental and theoretical approaches [69]. The results clearly showed that Brønsted acid sites had a promotional effect on the diffusion rate of ethene and propene, whereas the transport of alkanes was insensitive to the acid sites. The enhanced diffusivity of unsaturated hydrocarbons was attributed to the formation of favorable π–H interactions with acid protons, which was confirmed by infrared (IR) spectroscopy measurements. These results demonstrated that the acid site distribution is an important design parameter for optimizing product distributions and separations. At the same time, Hong and co-workers investigated the propylene/propane separation on the dealuminated Cs-ZK-5 zeolite [70], which showed high propylene uptake and propylene/propane selectivity (1.9 mmol g⁻¹ and 2.4, respectively) at 298 K and atmospheric pressure, together with fast adsorption kinetics. More interestingly, it was found that no significant loss in uptake or selectivity was observed even after repeated adsorption–desorption cycling under the VSA mode and dynamic breakthrough experiments, which was attributed to the lack of strong acid sites to induce propylene oligomerization at room temperature. Such impressive regeneration is comparable with the behavior of the pure silica small-pore zeolite ITQ-12 [71] and much better than that of Na-A and Ca-A, suggesting the dealuminated Cs-ZK-5 zeolite has great potential in industrial-scale propylene/propane separation.

Tuning the interaction between adsorbate and adsorbent is also successful in hydrogen isotope separation. Recently, Xiong et al. reported highly effective hydrogen isotope separation through the dihydrogen bond on Cu(I)-exchanged zeolites from liquid-nitrogen temperature to near room temperature [72]. The Cu²⁺ was introduced into the hydrogen form ZSM-5 (H-ZSM-5) with a Si/Al = 11.5 via a standard ion-exchange procedure. During the activation procedure, Cu(II) was reduced gradually to Cu(I) by a ‘self-reduction’ process as confirmed by the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. The resultant Cu(I)-ZSM-5 zeolite has a D₂/H₂ selectivity of 24.9—the highest selectivity value ever measured at 100 K. Through only three adsorption/desorption cycles, 99.6% of deuterium can be enriched from a mixture with a deuterium concentration of 2.5%. Such excellent performance was attributed to the strong chemical affinity through the dihydrogen bond on Cu(I) sites with a large isotope effect in zero-point energy (ΔE_{ZPE}) and adsorption enthalpy (ΔH).

Kinetic effect

Kinetic separation is achieved by virtue of the differences in the diffusion rates of different molecules, which is also known as partial molecular sieve
action and treated as an alternative when equilibrium separation is not feasible. In some cases, the amounts of different components of a mixture adsorbed at equilibrium are similar, although some components may diffuse faster than others. Such different diffusing rates can be used to separate the components. For kinetic separation, the pore size of the adsorbent needs to be precisely tailored to lie between the kinetic diameters of the two molecules that are to be separated [40].

The remarkable example of kinetic separation by zeolites is the separation of ethylene and ethane by a flexible pure silica zeolite (ITQ-55) reported by Corma and co-workers [73]. ITQ-55 was synthesized either in alkaline conditions (OH− media) or using F− anions as mobilizer agents of the silica (F− media) with a bulky organic structure-directing agent of N5,R,N5,R,N5,R,N5,R,N5,R,36a-octamethyloctahydrotalene-2,5-diammonium dihydroxide. The most notable characteristic of ITQ-55 is the presence of twinned heart-shaped cages that are interconnected by sharing a common 8MR (5.9 × 3.4 Å). These cavities are accessible through two parallel systems of zigzag 8MR channels (5.9 × 2.1 Å), the only gate for guest molecules to access ITQ-55, which makes ITQ-55 a tortuous monodirectional small-pore system with relatively large cavities. In principle, the distance of 2.1 Å of the short axis of zigzag 8MR makes it impossible for ITQ-55 to adsorb any gas molecule. However, adsorption data of ITQ-55 on ethylene and ethane showed that adsorption equilibrium for ethylene and ethane on ITQ-55 synthesized in F− media (large crystals) and for ethylene on ITQ-55 synthesized in OH− media (small crystals) was reached, but not for ethane adsorption on ITQ-55 synthesized in OH− media (small crystals). Such surprising results indicate that the framework of ITQ-55 is flexible and the preferential diffusion of ethylene over ethane on ITQ-55 small crystals can be used for the kinetic separation of ethylene/ethane. The distributions of minimal window size were calculated by ab initio molecular dynamics simulations to gain insight into the degree of flexibility of the structure of ITQ-55. Simulation results indicate that the mean distance of the short axis of 8MR is 2.38 Å with a standard deviation of 0.17 Å for the empty structure, while such distance expanded to 3.08 Å with a standard deviation of 0.16 Å when a C2H4 molecule was constrained to the center of the same 8MR. Such results suggest in general that diffusion of tightly fitting molecules in small-pore zeolites is strongly influenced by framework flexibility and the framework flexibility generally accelerates the diffusion of tightly fitting molecules. For ITQ-55, upon admitting the C2H4 molecule, the gate of 8MR expands ∼1 Å larger than the nominal crystallographic pore aperture size. Multicomponent gas breakthrough experiments confirmed that ITQ-55 can kinetically separate ethylene from ethane with an unprecedented selectivity of ∼100 and the adsorption properties remained unaltered upon exposure to olefins for as long as 3 months. Such stability can be attributed to the lack of acidity in ITQ-55.

Similar to ITQ-55, ITQ-69 is a small-pore germanium-containing zeolite with a 3D small-pore channel system (8 × 8 × 8 MR) reported by Valencia and co-workers very recently [74]. ITQ-69 was synthesized in the form of pure germania as well as silica-germania zeolites with different Si/Ge ratios and was stable upon calcination to remove organic structure-directing agents. The adsorption results clearly showed that propylene diffused much faster than propane through ITQ-69. The ratio of the Fickian diffusion coefficient for propylene and propane is of an order of 103, suggesting that propylene and propane can be kinetically separated using ITQ-69 as an excellent selective adsorbent.

It is usually believed that the crystal size of a zeolite has less influence on the separation performance. However, recent work by Yang et al. indicated that the ZK-5 zeolite with reduced crystal size can remarkably enhance CH4 adsorption and CH4/N2 separation performance [75]. The authors reduced the crystal size of ZK-5 zeolite from micron-size (3 μm) to nano-size (50–100 nm) via the regulation effects of β-cyclodextrin. Nano-sized ZK-5 exhibits a superior surface area (370 cm²g⁻¹) and pore volume (0.22 cm³g⁻¹) than the micron-sized ZK-5 (149 cm³g⁻¹ and 0.07 cm³g⁻¹), which is attributed to the detection of more accessible micropores due to the reduction in crystal size. Compared to the micro-sized ZK-5 and the commercial zeolites, nano-sized ZK-5 has a record-high CH4 adsorption capacity (1.34 mmol g⁻¹ at 298 K and 1 bar) by 64%. The equilibrium adsorption selectivity of CH4/N2 (20/80, v/v) on nano-sized ZK-5 is as high as 4.2 (IAST method) at 1 bar and 298 K. The adsorption kinetics experiments illustrated the boosted gas diffusion and mass transfer rate, and the breakthrough experiments confirmed the practical feasibility of the CH4 enrichment from low-quality coal-bed gases (CH4/N2).

Besides the aforementioned examples, Yang et al. discovered that the diffusion rate of N2 is much faster than that of CH4 in Na- and Ca-clinoptilolites (an abundant natural zeolite with a 2D channel structure formed by 8-membered rings and 10-membered rings), leading to an excellent separation performance of N2/CH4 [76–78]. Later in the early 2000s, Yang et al. continuously studied the kinetic
The trapdoor effect

The trapdoor effect of zeolites was first observed in a small-pore chabazite (CHA) zeolite that can even perform ‘size-inverse’ separation [41]. The framework of a CHA zeolite contains large ellipsoidal cavities (cha cages) with approximate apertures of 6.7 × 10 Å, which are accessible through 8MRs (3.8 × 3.8 Å), the largest opening in CHA [37]. For CHA structures with a low Si/Al ratio (<3), K⁺, Rb⁺ or Cs⁺ ions fully occupy the 8MRs and thus affect the accessibility of the cha cages. Larger CO molecules have a stronger interaction with the cations than smaller N₂ molecules, which induces temporary and reversible cation deviation from the center of 8MRs and allows exclusive admission of CO (3.76 Å) instead of N₂ (3.64 Å). Such separation also gives a high selectivity of 93 for CO₂/CH₄ separation over a large pressure range (Fig. 5). The trapdoor-effect-based separation is guest-selective and size-inverse that could help with carbon capture and hydrogen purification.

As aforementioned, the origin of the ‘trapdoor’ effect is from the occupancy of the entrance (usually 8MR) by cations and gas molecules have to move them away from the center of the entrance. Notice that thermal motion of cations always exists above absolute zero and the kinetic energy of thermal motion is directly proportional to the absolute temperature. Thus, above a certain threshold admission temperature, the cation’s increased thermal energy allows it to be displaced temporarily by gas molecules with weaker interaction energies, i.e. the ‘trapdoor’ effect is weakened at high temperatures. Considering that the adsorption step of a PSA cycle is strongly exothermic (adiabatic operation) and the flue gas is hot (≤363 K) in real post-combustion carbon-capture processes, there is a strong need to enhance the ‘trapdoor’ effect in the zeolite that can exclusively adsorb CO₂ at industrial carbon-capture operating temperatures, which needs to enhance the interaction between the cations and the entrance in order to enhance the ‘trapdoor’ effect.

With this knowledge, Du et al. synthesized a CHA zeolite with increased energy barriers ΔE required for the passage of gas molecules through the cation-blocked 8MR entrance [81]. By performing the density functional theory calculations, the authors realized that ΔE for a given gas–zeolite system strongly depends on the density of the cations in the trapdoor zeolite. A higher density of cations in the cha cage substantially increases the space hindrance and repulsion for the movement of the door-keeping cation as well as the gas molecule. Moreover, the high negative charge density on the aluminosilicate framework can make the cations less mobile. Thus, the authors speculated that reducing the Si/Al ratio in CHA zeolite would increase the threshold admission temperature. After optimizing the Si/Al ratio, the authors synthesized a CHA zeolite with an optimal Si/Al ratio of 1.9 from fly ash, which can exclusively adsorb CO₂ at industrial carbon-capture operating temperatures.

Very recently, Yu, Yan and co-workers reported that the Na⁺ form of silicoaluminophosphate zeolite with RHO structure (denoted as Na-SAPO-RHO) has a stronger ‘trapdoor’ effect than the aluminosilicate counterpart RHO zeolite [82]. The SAPO zeolite was prepared using an organic
structure-directing agent followed by direct ion exchange giving Na-, K- and Cs-form SAPO-RHO zeolites. Adsorption experiments revealed that Na-SAPO-RHO exhibited unprecedented separation for CO₂/CH₄, superior to all of the nanoporous materials reported to date, including the aluminosilicate counterpart RHO zeolite [83]. Rietveld refinement revealed that Na⁺ is sited in the center of the single eight-membered ring (S₈R) connecting to the \( \text{Ita} \) cages. As shown in Fig. 6, theoretical calculations showed that the interaction between Na⁺ and the S₈R in SAPO-RHO (\( \Delta E = 6.48 \text{ eV} \)) was stronger than that in aluminosilicate RHO (\( \Delta E = 5.30 \text{ eV} \)), giving an enhanced ‘trapdoor’ effect and record-high selectivity for CO₂ with the separation factor of 2196 for CO₂/CH₄ (0.02/0.98 bar). Even though the stronger interaction reduces the uptake of CO₂, the uptake of CH₄ is significantly hindered by approximately two orders of magnitude, which results in a better selectivity.

‘Trapdoor’ effect as well as the threshold admission temperature have also been utilized in the low-energy hydrogen–deuterium isotope breakthrough separation [84]. Ting and co-workers reported that hydrogen–deuterium isotope separation was achieved using breakthrough separation with a single pass through a packed bed of Cs-chabazite at moderate temperatures (293 K) and pressures (0.17 MPa) when one component was in a sufficiently low concentration. The open or close of the ‘trapdoor’ was controlled by temperature depending on the threshold admission temperature of H₂ (333 K), above which the trapdoor is considered ‘open’ and below which it is considered ‘closed’. Such a ‘trapdoor’ effect-based separation process would significantly lower the total energy demand compared with current hydrogen isotope cryogenic distillation separation techniques and can be applied to the separation of low concentrations of D₂ (0.0156%) present in standard-grade H₂.

Very recently, the threshold admission temperature of the ‘trapdoor’ for N₂ and CH₄ molecules was used by Zhao et al. to remove N₂ from CH₄ through K-ZSM-25 zeolite, as shown in Fig. 7 [85]. The outstanding N₂/CH₄ selectivity is achieved within a specific temperature range (240–300 K) where the thermal motion of K⁺ provides enough space only for the relatively smaller molecule (N₂) to diffuse into and through the zeolite supercages while the relatively larger molecule (CH₄) was rejected. Such temperature-regulated adsorption of K-ZSM-25 trapdoor zeolite opens up a new approach for removing N₂ from CH₄ in the natural gas industry without deploying energy-intensive cryogenic distillation around 100 K.

**CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK**

Making products is the responsibility of industry, which needs highly pure substances. Very unfortunately, most matter exists in the form of a mixture. Thus, the separation of matter on a large scale such as light hydrocarbons, C₈ aromatics and hydrogen isotopes is needed by industry. In addition, large-scale CO₂ and volatile organic compounds capture is also urgently needed for environmental protection. Most of the current separation techniques for these needs are high-energy-intensive processes and the new separation procedures with low energy consumption are highly desired.

It is well accepted that the adsorptive separation process is a promising lower-energy alternative to the high-energy-intensive process currently used. To this end, the key is adsorbent, which should possess the following characteristics to be commercialized, namely high selectivity, large adsorption capacity, fast adsorption/desorption kinetics, easy regeneration, long stability and durability, and low cost. Among these characteristics, the most important one is selectivity, but there is a trade-off between selectivity and regeneration energy. Combining all these characteristics, zeolites are the most promising candidate. Table 1 summarizes different zeolite materials utilized in several adsorptive separation processes.

To date, there are four adsorptive separation mechanisms for zeolite-based separation processes in terms of the steric, equilibrium, kinetic and ‘trapdoor’ effect. For given separation needs, the first thing to do is to analyse the physical and chemical properties of the target molecules to determine the possible separation mechanism. The first choice is the steric or sieving effect because such a mechanism can reach an unlimited high selectivity. However, finding an available zeolite with the aperture size just between those of two molecules is usually extremely difficult in the existing or modified zeolites. So far, only >250 types of zeolitic structures have been
Table 1. Zeolite materials in several adsorptive separation processes.

| Process                  | Mechanism          | Materials          | Performance                                      | References |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Olefin/paraffin separation | Steric effect      | Ag-Ca-4A           | $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4/\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ selectivity of 17568 at 298 K and 100 kPa | [50]       |
|                          |                    | ZnMOR-pIM          | $\text{C}_4\text{H}_8/\text{C}_5\text{H}_8$ selectivity of 139 at 298 K and 50 kPa | [56]       |
|                          |                    | MeOPh-f-MOR        | IAST $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4/\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ selectivity of $\approx 5873$ | [52]       |
|                          |                    | Dealuminated Cs-ZK-5 | $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6/\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ selectivity of 2.4 at 298 K and 1 atm | [70]       |
| CO$_2$ separation        | Kinetic effect     | ITQ-55             | $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4/\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ selectivity of 50 at 112 $^\circ$C | [73]       |
|                          | Steric effect      | NaKA               | CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity of 172 at 298.15 K and 0.85 bar (17 at.% K$^+$ content) | [49]       |
|                          |                    | Fe-MOR             | CO$_2$/CH$_4$, CO$_2$/N$_2$ and CO$_2$/Ar selectivities of 298.9, 51.8 and 23.8 at 298 K and 1 bar | [51]       |
|                          |                    | K-MER              | Estimated CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity of 850 at 298 K and 1 bar | [57]       |
| Equilibrium effect       |                    | Cu(II)@FAU         | CO$_2$/CO$_2$ separation factor of 22.2 at 298 K | [65]       |
|                          |                    | Co(II)@SSZ-13      | CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity of 52.55 at 273 K and 1 atm | [66]       |
|                          |                    | MgAPO-ERI          | CO$_2$/N$_2$ (0.15:0.85) IAST selectivity of 29.41 at 273 K and 1 bar | [67]       |
| Trapdoor effect          |                    | Cs-CHA             | CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity of 109 at 293 K and 116 kPa | [41]       |
|                          |                    | K-CHA (Si/Al = 1.9)| CO$_2$/CH$_4$ and CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivities of 583 and 90 at 303 K and 1 bar (50:50) | [81]       |
|                          |                    | Na-SAPO-RHO        | CO$_2$/CH$_4$ and CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivities of 2196 and 196 at 298 K | [82]       |
| C8 aromatics separation  | Steric effect      | Silicalite-1 coated | Low-coverage separation factors of p-xylene/m-xylene and p-xylene/o-xylene at 553 K: 16.7 and 22.7 | [62]       |
| Hydrogen isotope separation | Equilibrium effect | Cu(I)-ZSM-5        | $\text{D}_2/\text{H}_2$ selectivity of 24.9 at 100 K | [72]       |
|                          |                    | (chemical affinity quantum sieving) | Trapdoor effect | Cs-chabazite | Breakthrough separation of $^1\text{H}$ and $^2\text{H}$ occurs at $\sim 293$ K | [84]       |

collected in the database by the International Zeolite Association-Structure Committee. Considering that the rational design and synthesis of specific zeolite with desired structure and composition are still huge challenges, selecting the rest of the separation mechanisms is highly possible, i.e. precisely modifying the composition of candidate zeolites with the aforementioned strategies (i.e. modifying the nature and number of cations).

After selecting the zeolite adsorbent, the adsorptive separation studies in the laboratory should be conducted under conditions that are as close as possible to industrial conditions, such as the composition of the mixture, operating temperature and pressure, and humidity level. At the same time, shaping the procedure must be seriously considered because the powder form of an adsorbent cannot be applied in industry. Besides the traditional shaping procedure, new shaping techniques such as 3D printing should be especially paid attention to [86–88]. Once the selectivity meets the minimum requirement, all other characteristics for an adsorbent to be commercialized should be investigated. After combining all results, the next step is to optimize the separation procedure on the basis of economics (e.g. working capacity and selectivity) and sustainability, using a synergistic separation strategy if necessary.

If the laboratory results are good enough, the next step would be scale up for both adsorbent making and procedure testing, which needs the close collaboration of academia and industry engineers. Once it is successful at the pilot scale, the next challenge is application on an industrial scale, which is also highly risky.

Achieving carbon neutrality by the coming middle century is crucial for preventing the global average temperature increase of $>1.5$ or $2^\circ$C. To that end, replacing the high-energy-consuming cryogenic distillation process with a low-energy
adsorptive separation process is critical for such a goal. As the most promising adsorbents among the porous materials, zeolites will have great opportunities and a bright future in the aforementioned extremely challenging separations.
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