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ABSTRACT

Introduction Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a debilitating mental disorder that develops after being exposed to a traumatic event. PTSD is common among adults who have experienced physical/sexual childhood abuse. Several psychological and pharmacological interventions are used for treating PTSD in this particular group, and it is important to identify what interventions, whether alone or in combination with other treatments, are more effective compared with others. Therefore, this review aims to provide synthesis of evidence on the effectiveness of different interventions used for treating PTSD following childhood abuse.

Methods and analysis Electronic search will be conducted using different databases such as PubMed, EMBASE, PsycINFO to identify randomised controlled trials (RCTs) used for assessing interventions for PTSD following childhood abuse. Data on treatment effectiveness for PTSD with childhood abuse and other variables will be extracted from each paper and reported as appropriate. Extracted effect-size estimates will be combined using Bayesian network meta-analysis (NMA). Risk of bias will be assessed through the Cochrane Collaboration tool for RCTs tool. NMA assumptions (heterogeneity, transitivity, inconsistency) will be assessed and reported. Meta-regression and subgroup analyses will be performed to explore and explain possible sources of heterogeneity.

Ethics and dissemination This research is based on literature review and does not require the approval of ethical board as it does not involve dealing with humans or animals. Findings of this review will be published in a peer-reviewed journal.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42020207409.

BACKGROUND

Being exposed to traumatic events is a part of human life and experience. A large number of studies indicate that it is common among humans to be exposed to traumatic events at some point during their lives.1–4 Findings of research showed that among the general population, a large number of people seem to be exposed to at least one traumatic event, with a prevalence ranging between 28% and 90%.5–12 There are different ways of responding to traumatic experiences as it can be identified in two ways. Some become resilient to their effects and can instantly recover, while others might develop symptoms that dissipate over time. Exposure to trauma is found to be associated with various types of physical as well as mental health issues.13–18 Although there is evidence that trauma is associated with different mental/physical symptoms, how the occurrence of events affect individuals depends on certain factors, such as the definition of trauma, type of traumatic event exposed to, individual characteristics, sociocultural factors and developmental processes.19 There are various
types of trauma: acute, chronic and complex. These types result from experiencing a single stressful event, repeated and prolonged stressful events, and exposure to multiple traumatic events, respectively.26 There are many different types of trauma and examples could be the unexpected death of a loved one, natural or human disasters, accidents, assaults during childhood or adulthood, including physical, mental or sexual abuse.21

The WHO22 defines child abuse as any form of ‘physical and emotional mistreatment, sexual abuse, neglect, and negligent treatment of children, as well as to their commercial or other exploitation’ (p7). Commercial or other exploitation refers to using children for the benefit of others, such as child labour and child prostitution, as well as using them in armed conflict and child trafficking.23 There are four main types of child abuse: physical, sexual abuse, emotional and psychological abuse.22 A global systematic review and meta-analysis showed that a minimum of over 1 billion children aged between 2 and 17 years old were exposed to any form of abuse (physical, emotional and sexual) in 2014.24 Even though there is evidence of the number of abused children worldwide, these numbers might be under-reported since they only include those who are reported to child protection agencies and organisations. Consequences of childhood maltreatment might not only be evident immediately after exposure to trauma, but also effects can be there for many years later.25

There are many different reasons why childhood abuse is more harmful to mental health than other types of traumas. For instance, this type of trauma occurs early in development, which might affect the course of personality development of the child as well as influence how they view themselves and the world, which might have long-lasting effects on their lives. Besides, this type of trauma seems to be chronic since it might be repeated or experienced for an extended time period. Finally, in some cases, when the perpetrators are parents or relatives who committed the abuse, they will have extreme betrayal feelings and will have issues with interpersonal functioning.25

Child abuse is considered as one type of trauma that results in many mental comorbidities, including post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD).26 PTSD is highly prevalent among those who have experienced childhood abuse,3 as it was reported in multiple studies that physically or sexually abused children have high rates of PTSD.27-30 The prevalence of women with PTSD who experienced childhood abuse and other related interpersonal violence ranged between 26% and 52%.31 32 The results of a meta-analysis studying risk factors for PTSD presented findings of three main risk factors for the comorbidity, including history of childhood trauma.33 More specifically, those who experienced sexual abuse during childhood have a higher risk of developing this disorder compared with those who were not abused.34

People with PTSD might have disturbing feelings that could be related to the traumatic experience and that could last long after the event has ended.20 Symptoms of this disorder include re-experiencing the traumatic event in different distressing and vivid ways, like flashbacks, nightmares or frightening thoughts, avoiding places, objects, people, or even activities that remind them of the traumatic event, avoiding any thoughts related to the event, changes in cognition or mood (negative thoughts about the world and of oneself, distorted feelings, and detachment from others), and arousal and reactivity symptoms when reminded of the event (sleeping issues, reckless behaviours, poor concentration, anger outbursts).35 36 To be diagnosed with PTSD, one must have these symptoms for at least 1 month and facing distress and issues with daily functioning.25 PTSD patients might also face brain functional changes which includes fear responsiveness, emotion regulation, and deficits in declarative memory.37

There are a variety of pharmacological and psychological interventions for treating PTSD.38 Some evidence for the effectiveness of specific treatments for adults with PTSD following childhood abuse is available in the literature.39 However, we hypothesise that there will be differences in the effectiveness of treatments used for PTSD due childhood abused compared with other traumas. Therefore, the results of this review will allow us to compare the effectiveness of interventions among PTSD and other types of traumas. Pharmacological treatments are proposed to work by correcting imbalances in neurotransmitters that are responsible for causing PTSD and promoting structural brain functions.40 41 Two medications are approved to be used for treating PTSD, which are sertraline and paroxetine.32 Other pharmacological treatments used to improve PTSD symptoms include: selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors; tricyclic antidepressants; benzodiazepines; mood stabilisers; atypical antipsychotics; adrenergic agents.42 43 44

Psychological treatments are also called talking therapies. These treatments help patients understand and cope with their own feelings. There are specific types of psychological treatments that were used for PTSD, which include trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), eye movement desensitisation and reprocessing (EMDR), brief ecletic psychotherapy, interpersonal therapy, psychodynamic therapy and other therapies performed individually or in groups. These treatments aim to minimise the symptoms of PTSD, which are intrusion, avoidance and hyperarousal, through different ways of working through traumatic memories, changing patterns of thoughts and beliefs, managing stressors that are related to trauma,38 and improving functional brain regions that are responsible for extinction learning and re-appraisal.41 A variety of network meta-analyses (NMAs) related to PTSD were performed, covering different aspects, and filling certain gaps in the literature. For instance, some reviews focused on comparing the efficacy of psychological and medical treatments for PTSD in adults45 46 and
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among women with childhood abuse-related complex trauma.\textsuperscript{47} Another study compared treatments for patients with PTSD and other mental comorbidities following exposure to complex traumatic events.\textsuperscript{48} No available review focused on comparing the effectiveness of different types of treatments for individuals with PTSD after experiencing child abuse.

Because of the availability of various options for PTSD treatments in patients with childhood abuse, it is clinically important to identify what interventions, whether alone or in combination with other treatments, are found to be more effective compared with others.\textsuperscript{46} Furthermore, it is important to investigate what treatment is effective for subgroups of individuals with PTSD following childhood abuse. Hence, the main aim of this study is to provide a synthesis of evidence on the effectiveness of pharmacological and psychological interventions for treating PTSD in those who have experienced childhood abuse.

**Objectives**

The objectives of this review include:

- Provide overview of randomised controlled trials that have compared pharmacological and psychological interventions for PTSD in adults with childhood abuse.
- Quantitatively assess the clinical effectiveness of treatments delivered to PTSD patients who were victims of childhood abuse.
- Qualitatively assess the safety of treatments for people with PTSD following childhood abuse.
- Compare the effectiveness of pharmacological and psychological interventions through NMA.
- To assess the relative effectiveness of all available interventions by ranking their effect estimates from strongest to weakest using the Bayesian random effect model for NMA.

**METHODS**

This review was registered in PROSPERO. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) extension statement for NMA and for reporting systematic reviews will be used throughout the research process.\textsuperscript{49} The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols checklist was used for reporting the current protocol.\textsuperscript{50}

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

**Studies and setting**

We will include randomised controlled trials (RCT) and relevant cluster RCTs which have assessed any type of psychological or pharmacological intervention to treat patients with PTSD who were victims of childhood abuse. The RCTs could be blinded, double blinded or unblinded and may have two or more arms.

There will be no restriction on settings and place of the study as studies from across the globe with any setting will be included if they fulfil the inclusion criteria. To include a study in this review, articles need to be peer-reviewed original articles, measured the main outcome, assessed the effectiveness of the intervention and patients were victims of childhood abuse.

**Participants**

In the included studies, participants should be of any gender and aged 18 and above, diagnosed with PTSD and have experienced any of the four forms of childhood abuse (physical, sexual, emotional, neglect). Studies reporting different types of traumas in addition to child abuse will be considered and included in this review. Studies recruiting children or adolescents will be excluded. Studies reporting patients with comorbidities will be used to conduct sub-group analysis.

**Interventions**

Pharmacological (e.g., sertraline or paroxetine or etc) and psychological (e.g., CBT or EMDR or etc) interventions examined in RCTs for treating PTSD patients who had childhood abuse will be included in this study.

**Comparator**

This systematic review will be based on RCTs of psychological and pharmacological interventions. The psychological interventions are usually compared with a usual treatment, waiting list or any other alternative treatment. The pharmacological interventions are assessed against placebo, another treatment or psychotherapies.

**Outcome measure**

The main study outcome will be clinically significant reduction in the severity of PTSD symptoms in patients who were exposed to childhood abuse using standardised and validated scales.

A secondary outcome of safety of the intervention will also be considered which will be estimated from the reported withdrawals from the study due to adverse effects.

**Search methods**

**Electronic searches**

We will conduct the literature searches in bibliographic databases of PubMed, EMBASE, PsycINFO, Scopus, Web of Science, Published International Literature on Traumatic Stress, Cochrane Central Register and Google Scholar. The search strategy will be designed to capture all articles in English. However, if articles in other languages were found, all will be translated into English and included. All articles published until October 2021 and retrievable from electronic database will be considered for inclusion. The main search terms will be “posttraumatic stress disorder” AND “childhood abuse” AND “treatment”. For example, the following search codes will be applied to all databases: (“posttraumatic stress disorder” OR PTSD OR stress* OR depress*) AND (child* abuse) AND (psycho* OR interventions OR treat* OR “trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy” OR CBT OR “group psychotherapies” OR “psychosocial functioning” OR “eye movement desensitization and reprocessing” OR EMDR OR “brief
eclectic psychotherapy” OR “interpersonal therapy” OR “psychodynamic therapy” OR Sertraline OR Paroxetine OR Melittine OR Amersergide OR Fluvoxaxan OR Demexitipine OR Cloxipramine OR Amitryptiine OR Lofeprazine OR Fluoxetin OR Cloxoxamine OR Deanol OR Aminiprite OR Nokxiptine OR Manserin OR Imipramine OR Diclofenine OR Teniloxine OR Rolipram OR Venlafaxine OR Idaxoxan OR Butritiptine OR Fluotracen OR Tetrindole OR Oxalflazone OR Prosulpride OR Escitalopram OR Medifoxamine OR Caroxzone OR Alaproclate OR Brofaromine OR Oxaprotamine OR Zimeldine OR Opiopram OR Acetylcarnitine OR Pargyline OR Vloxazine OR Duloxetine OR Thiazesim OR Nialamide OR Femoxetine OR Mlnacipran OR Pirilindole OR Tianeptine OR Becloxatone OR Amoxapine OR Viqualine OR Nefazodone OR Fluoxamine OR Deprenyl OR Reboxetine OR Setiptiline OR Tolexzone OR Ciloxamine OR Aflareamine OR Thozalinone OR Dibenzipin OR Benactyline OR Dosepin OR Trazone OR Phelene OR Nomifensine OR Protroptipyline OR Citalopram OR Moclobemide OR Pirbedil OR Maprotline OR Dothiepin OR Bupropion OR Cimoxatone OR Quinupramine OR Desipramine OR Pivagabine OR Tranlycpromine OR Etoperdione OR Iprindole OR isocarboxazid OR Litoxetine OR Mirtazapine OR Tomoxetine OR Metapramine OR Trimipramine OR Iproniazid OR Minaprine)

Manual search in reference lists
In addition to the above-mentioned electronic search, we will conduct a manual search in references of included studies and already published systematic reviews related to our topic. All studies will be screened based on their titles and abstracts to examine their eligibility for inclusion.

Selection of studies
All studies obtained through the search strategy will be examined by at least two independent reviewers for eligibility criteria outlined above. After identifying eligible studies, all references will be added into a bibliographic referencing software EndnoteX951 to remove any duplicates. PRISMA flowchart will be used to record the inclusion and exclusion of studies.52 A table of the ‘Characteristics of included studies’ will also be constructed.

Data extraction
Studies will be screened, and data will be extracted from all included studies. A predefined data extraction spreadsheet including main outcome of PTSD and its measure, types of childhood abuse, interventions assessed and comparator, sample/population, RCT type, study setting, country and statistical analysis, will be used. This will be further modified to accommodate further variables if required. At least two researchers will independently extract the data from all included studies. The extracted data will be compiled into appropriate tables and a narrative report will be presented.

Quality assessment
Quality assessment for included studies will be performed using the Cochrane Collaboration tool for RCTs to evaluate risk of bias (RoB).53 The tool will be used to assess each study based on domains that reflect bias in RCTs. These domains are selection, detection, reporting, attrition, performance and other bias. A total score will be computed and final judgement of the RoB will be made for each included study based on each domain. A sensitivity analysis will be performed after identifying studies with high RoB and excluding them. Results from this sensitivity analysis will be reported as appropriate.

Assessment of transitivity assumption
A number of underlying assumptions must meet to perform an NMA.54 These assumptions include transitivity assumption. Transitivity refers to the validity of indirect comparisons of a network of treatments. Such comparisons might lead to confounding which results due to differences in trials. Transitivity can also refer to similarity in clinical and methodological characteristics.55 To meet the transitivity assumption, the distribution of effect modifiers should be similar across comparisons of interventions. Therefore, to meet this assumption, we will compare included studies if they are done in similar ways and recruited participants with an average of similar characteristics that might modify treatment effects.56

Data synthesis and statistical analysis
Quantitative analysis
A pairwise meta-analysis is commonly used to compare two interventions, whereas the NMA is used to compare several interventions simultaneously with combining direct and indirect treatments across a network of studies in one single analysis. Direct comparisons of treatments are obtained from studies that compare two treatments directly, while for the indirect comparisons, each treatment is used in a different trial as they were not compared directly.57

We anticipate that PTSD symptoms scores would have been used as the outcome measure in majority of studies, therefore, if an intervention is effective, a clinically relevant significant reduction in PTSD score could have occurred in the intervention group compared with the control. If PTSD symptoms scores have been used as a continuous outcome, we will extract the effect size as the standardised mean difference (Hedges’s adjusted g). If this estimate is not available in a study, we will extract relevant statistics to compute it. If studies reported PTSD as a dichotomous outcome, we will extract/compute OR as an effect estimate. Our systematic review and NMA will only include RCTs, and we anticipate that majority of included studies will report outcome measure based on interviews rather self-reported questionnaire. Our emphasis will be using outcome measure from interviews. However, if both measures are available from enough number of studies, we will pool data on both measures.

We believe a considerable number of interventions from various studies and some pairs of the interventions would
have not been directly compared in RCTs (eg, fluoxetine vs paroxetine or fluoxetine+CBT vs paroxetine). Furthermore, there could be multiple overlapping assessment in some of the studies (eg, fluoxetine vs paroxetine, fluoxetine vs sertraline or fluoxetine vs CBT), this may produce inconsistent estimates of the effects. Therefore, we aim to use NMA with Bayesian hierarchical random-effects model\textsuperscript{58} to integrate the effect estimates and decide on an effective treatment among all available. This type of meta-analysis will allow us to estimate relative effects of two treatments with a proper probabilistic approach, as it can also allow us to estimate the ranking of efficacy for different interventions in an order.\textsuperscript{59}

Heterogeneity among studies will be assessed by computing heterogeneity index Higgins I\textsuperscript{2} and by Q statistic. A comparison-adjusted funnel plots will be used to investigate for small study effects and for assessing publication bias.\textsuperscript{60} The R-package GeMTC\textsuperscript{61} (V.3.2.3), WinBUGS 1.4.3,\textsuperscript{62} or Stata V.16\textsuperscript{63} will be used for the statistical analyses.

**Subgroup analysis**

A range of subgroup analyses will be performed to get insight about reasons for heterogeneity among studies. Some studies suggested that women have higher rates of PTSD compared with men,\textsuperscript{64} therefore, subgroup analysis will be done on sex if sufficient studies are available. Other subgroups analyses will be conducted as follow if data was provided in included studies:

- Types of childhood abuse: whether physical, sexual or emotional abuse
- Study region (country)
- Single intervention versus combination: receive one treatment at a time or receive several treatments
- Psychological individual versus group interventions: receiving psychotherapy individually or in group of patients
- Acute, chronic, complex PTSD: number of traumatic events exposed to
- Severity of PTSD
- Type of intervention (pharmacological vs psychological)
- Type of psychological interventions
- Type of pharmacological interventions

**Consistency assessment**

Consistency is another assumption in NMA which refers to the agreement between direct and indirect estimates of the same treatment compared.\textsuperscript{54,65} This assumption can only be evaluated if a closed loop exists where there are direct and indirect comparisons of treatments.\textsuperscript{55} Consistency will be evaluated through statistically comparing direct and indirect summary effects.

**Qualitative analysis**

Qualitative analysis will be performed by examining safety of treatments and addressing all possible side effects in all included studies.
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