Broiler stunned state detection based on an improved fast region-based convolutional neural network algorithm
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ABSTRACT An improved fast region-based convolutional neural network (RCNN) algorithm is proposed to improve the accuracy and efficiency of recognizing broilers in a stunned state. The algorithm recognizes 3 stunned state conditions: insufficiently stunned, moderately stunned, and excessively stunned. Image samples of stunned broilers were collected from a slaughter line using an image acquisition platform. According to the format of PASCAL VOC (pattern analysis, statistical modeling, and computational learning visual object classes) dataset, a dataset for each broiler stunned state condition was obtained using an annotation tool to mark the chicken head and wing area in the original image. A rotation and flip data augmentation method was used to enhance the effectiveness of the datasets. Based on the principle of a residual network, a multi-layer residual module (MRM) was constructed to facilitate more detailed feature extraction. A model was then developed (entitled here Faster-RCNN+MRMnet) and used to detect broiler stunned state conditions. When applied to a reinforcing dataset containing 27,828 images of chickens in a stunned state, the identification accuracy of the model was 98.06%. This was significantly higher than both the established back propagation neural network model (90.11%) and another Faster-RCNN model (96.86%). The proposed algorithm can complete the inspection of the stunned state of more than 40,000 broilers per hour. The approach can be used for online inspection applications to increase efficiency, reduce labor and cost, and yield significant benefits for poultry processing plants.
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INTRODUCTION Electric stunning (Siqueira et al., 2017; Sirri et al., 2017) is an important aspect of poultry slaughtering and processing (Berg and Raj, 2015). Moderate stunning can render broilers unconsciousness for between 40 and 52 s. This condition provides the best bloodletting rate (Huang et al., 2014), easier feather removal, and minimal carcass damage (Lines et al., 2011), and the meat is more tender (Xu et al., 2011). However, when insufficiently stunned (i.e., the electrical current reaching the brain is too low), broilers still sensitive to pain and stress (Devos et al., 2018), clonic-tonic convulsions (death struggle) will occur, leading to carcass damage (broken wings and clavicles) (Bourassa et al., 2017). Excessive stunning can also result in quality defects, such as clavicle rupture, bleeding from arteries and capillaries, and a large number of needle-like blood spots near the top of the chest (Ciobanu et al., 2013). Therefore, moderate stunning plays an important role in ensuring the quality of chicken meat.

The amount of current, the electrical frequency, the electrical waveform, and the stunning time are the most common parameters that can be optimized to improve the stunning effectiveness (Girasole et al., 2016). Exactly the same electric stunning conditions can have widely divergent effects on broilers, depending on their breed, age, and body weight (Prinz et al., 2009, 2010). To obtain an optimal stunning effect, the frequency and voltage of the electric stunning machine has to be adjusted in real time, according to the condition of the broiler and its stunned state after checking. However, due to individual differences, the moderate stunning of broilers is not currently verified in many small and medium broiler processing plants. Most broiler slaughtering companies do not apply objective criteria or online detection methods and techniques to ensure that broilers are moderately stunned. Workers preset the stun voltage and frequency, according to their experience, and these settings then remain fixed and are not adjusted according to the breed, weight, age, or stunned condition of the broiler being slaughtered. This results in a significant number of insufficiently or excessively stunned broilers being slaughtered in broiler slaughterhouses (Sabow et al., 2017).

Previous studies conducted by Sams and McKee (2010) found that, after moderate stunning, chickens...
hold their wings in close contact with their bodies and their necks are arched and stiff. When they are improperly stunned, their appearance is significantly different. These characteristics make it possible to clearly discriminate whether a broiler is in an appropriate stunned condition.

To overcome the existing problems and variable quality in the chicken industry, the frequency and voltage of electric stunning needs to be properly adjusted by correctly detecting and identifying the stunned state of each individual broiler. Currently, the stunned state of shocked broilers is usually left to manual vision detection. This is not only time-consuming, inconvenient, and subjective, but it does not allow for a rapid adjustment of voltage and frequency. Over the past 2 decades, machine vision and image processing technology has developed rapidly and it has begun to be used more and more frequently in agriculture for the detection and identification of a range of phenomena (Mahlein, 2016; Bai et al., 2017). A new technology has been developed that uses modified pressure and imaging to detect microcracks in eggs. Research has shown that the system to have an accuracy of 99.6% in detecting both cracked and intact eggs (Jones et al., 2010). In relation to broilers, a line-scan machine vision system and multispectral inspection algorithm were developed and evaluated for differentiation of wholesome and systemically diseased chickens on a high-speed processing line, which correctly identified 97.1% of systemically diseased chickens (Yang et al., 2010). Ye et al. (2018) have recently proposed a new method to identify broiler’s stunned condition by using machine vision and a back propagation neural network (BP-NN). This can provide good recognition accuracy (90.11%). However, the method is inefficient and the recognition accuracy is not ideal, so further improvement is required.

The image recognition accuracy largely depends on the extraction and feature selection (Amara et al., 2017). To accurately determine and identify the stunned state of a broiler, it is necessary to accurately extract the features of its stunned state and then select the features that are meaningful. In recent years, deep learning has produced outstanding results in the field of image recognition. Amongst a range of possible approaches, convolutional neural networks (CNN) are particularly effective at automatically extracting the appropriate features from a training dataset without the need for manual feature extraction (McCool et al., 2017; Rahmenoonfar and Sheppard, 2017). Although the training period is long, it takes less time to test this approach than other methods based on machine learning (Chen et al., 2014), and it is widely recognized to be one of the best approaches to image recognition (Dyrmann et al., 2016).

When using machine vision technology to identify the stunned state of broilers, the recognition target is a unitary broiler and the features to be identified remain largely the same. In this paper, we propose using a multi-layer residual module (MRM) to obtain detailed feature extraction. Based on this, we have developed an improved and optimized fast region-based convolutional neural network (Faster-RCNN+MRMnet) model that can precisely identify the stunned state of broilers. Development of the model has involved the creation of training image datasets containing 3 types of stunned condition: insufficiently stunned, moderately stunned, and excessively stunned.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Image Datasets

Electric stun testing and image collection were carried out at the Dongtai Poultry Slaughter Factory of Jiangsu Yueda Agricultural Group Poultry Technology Co., Ltd. The sample used in the test was a 42-day-old white feather broiler, produced by the same company. The electric hemp machine was an SQ05 series variable frequency electric hemp machine, manufactured by Jiansu Wujiang Aneng Electronic Technology Co., Ltd., suzhou, China. This machine uses water bath-based electric stunning, and it was set to an output frequency of 700 Hz. The shock duration was 10 s, and various voltages, 5, 15 and 25 V were tested. During the test, the broilers were hung in the slaughter line and stunned for 10 s at the pre-set frequency at 1 of the 3 selected voltages. Images of the stunned broilers were captured using a CMOS camera (Microvision EM130C, Shanxi, China). A total of 2,319 images, at 240 × 320 pixels, for different stunned states were collected. Then, using an annotation labeling tool, the broiler heads and wings in the original images were marked in accordance with the format used in the PASCAL VOC (pattern analysis, statistical modeling and computational learning visual object classes) database (PASCAL VOC Project, 2012). This enabled a dataset of the 3 stunned states to be obtained.

The stunned states of the shocked broilers were divided into 3 categories: insufficiently stunned, moderately stunned, and excessively stunned (Sams and McKee, 2010; Ye et al., 2018). Figure 1 shows these 3 stunned conditions. Insufficiently stunned broilers (Figure 1a–d) are still vaguely conscious. After applying the current, the broilers flutter or raise their heads. The moderately stunned broilers (Figure 1e and f) temporarily lose consciousness and appear to be still, with their wings tucked in and their necks arched and stiff. Excessively stunned broilers (Figure 1g and h) have completely lost consciousness or are dead, and their nerves are no longer in control of their bodies. Thus, their heads hang loosely and their wings are open.

On the basis of the above observations, the 2,319 image samples were divided into the 3 categories of insufficiently stunned, moderately stunned, and excessively stunned, with the quantity of images for each category being 1,075, 626, and 618, respectively. The dataset was then divided up into training sets and test
sets by a ratio of 8:2, with the images in each set being randomly selected. As a result of the small overall number of datasets, it was possible for overfitting to occur during the training. Data augmentation can help to expand a dataset and reduce the likelihood of this happening (Sladojevic et al., 2016), thereby improving the learning process and performance (Grinblat et al., 2016). Data augmentation has to be done before any training. Data augmentation techniques include random cropping, scaling, rotation, transposition, flipping, and PCA (Dyrman et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017). In this study, the method proposed by Ma et al. (2018) was used to enhance the dataset by rotating the original dataset by 90, 180, and 270°, and through horizontal and vertical flipping.

**Faster-RCNN+MRMnet Model Development**

**Multi-Layer Residual Module** For broiler stunned state recognition, the principal objects to be identified are broilers, rather than different attributes and other categories. This results in the extracted features for each stunned state having many identical principal parts. Special attention, therefore, has to be paid to subtle feature differences in the images for each stunned condition. To capture more comprehensive and finer-grained image features, a MRM was used, based on the principle of residual networks (Liu et al., 2019). Its structure is shown in Figure 2.

The MRM consisted of 3 convolutional layers (CONV1, CONV2, CONV3), 3 ReLU activation functions, and a dimension-matching shortcut connection. CONV1 and CONV3 were $2 \times 3 \times 3$ filters, with a step size of 1. CONV2 was an $X \times 3 \times 3$ filter, also with a step size of 1. After convolution through the 3 convolutional layers, CONV1, CONV2, and CONV3, the low-level X feature input and high-level X3 detailed features were linked by means of the dimension-matching shortcut connection. The output of this was then passed to the network structure below to continue with finer feature extraction. X and X3 were added together and the output $H(X) = X3 + WIX$ was obtained. In MRM, if X and X3 are dimension-matched, the addition operation can be performed directly. If the dimensions do not match, an equivalent map is used to directly increase the dimensions through zero padding.

**MRMnet** To extract the basic features of the stunned state of the broilers, an additional MRMnet feature extraction network can be used. The MRMnet architecture is shown in Figure 3. MRMnet consists of 2 convolutional layers, 7 MRMs, and 4 max-pooling layers. The max-pooling layer filter is $2 \times 2$ and has a span of 2. This means that the feature map is reduced by a factor of 2 along its width and height (Barré et al., 2017). MRMnet is composed of 5 modules. The first module consists of the 2 convolutional layers and 1 maximum pooled layer. The convolutional layers have 64 $3 \times 3$ filters, with a step size of 1. The second module consists of

![Figure 1. Sample images of broilers in the 3 stunned conditions.](image-url)
1 MRM and 1 maximum pooling layer, where the number of channels in the MRM, x, is 64. The third module consists of 2 identical MRMs and a maximum pooling layer, where the number of channels in the MRM, x, is 128. The fourth module consists of 2 identical MRMs and a maximum pooling layer, where the number of channels in the MRM, x, is 256. The fifth module consists of 2 identical MRMs, where the number of channels in the MRM, x, is 256. Figure 4 shows, from left to right, an arbitrary sized color image being passed through the network, the input image having been resized to an identical MxN. After the 2 convolutional layers and the max-pooling layer, the low-level feature information of the image is acquired. Then, through the
7 MRMs and 3 max-pooling layers, convolution feature maps of the stunned state of the broiler can finally be obtained. For further detail, see the MRMnet algorithm flow diagram in Figure 4.

**Faster-RCNN+MRMnet** The stunned state classification of broilers requires both high accuracy and temporal efficiency. On the basis of the Faster-RCNN network architecture proposed by Ren et al. (2017), and with MRMnet being used as a basic feature extraction network, we designed a broiler stunned state classification model entitled Faster-RCNN+MRMnet. The network structure of the model is shown in Figure 5. An input image of any size is first resized to 224 × 224 pixels, then MRMnet is used to extract convolution feature maps of 14 × 14 pixels. After this, a region proposal network (Sun et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2018) is used to extract a set of object proposals, formulated according to the region of the objects. Each object proposal is mapped to the convolution feature map to get a corresponding feature map. Finally, the feature vector is input into the fully connected layer sequence to obtain 2 sibling-level output layers. The first generates the respective Softmax probability estimates for the 3 broiler stunned state categories and the background class. The second represents 4 coordinate parameters indicating the position of the bounding box for the 4 categories. The details of the network model are shown in the MRMnet flow diagram in Figure 6.

For this study, Faster-RCNN+MRMnet was run on an Ubuntu16.04, Python2.7.12 and CUDA8.0 parallel computing framework. The training was conducted on a GTX 1070Ti AERO Caffe frame. To support the process being focused upon here, the number of images contained in the broiler stunned state dataset needs to be quickly adapted to each new task using transfer learning (Pan and Yang, 2010) in a smaller dataset. A pre-trained model taken from the large dataset, ImageNet (1,000 classes, 10 million images), was therefore used to share the underlying structural weight parameters, followed by modification and fine-tuning of the top-level network structure of the model (Sa et al., 2016).

Faster-RCNN+MRMnet was trained using approximate joint training (Ren et al., 2017). A dropout layer was used to reduce the overfitting effect of the deep neural network. The dropout factor was set to 0.5. A step distance gap model was used to optimize the network weights. The initial value of the learning rate was 0.001, which was uniformly distributed. The iterative learning rate had decreased by 0.1 gamma after every 10,000 iterations. The amount of display data per sample set was 20. The momentum was 0.9 and this remained unchanged during the training. The weight attenuation term for the parameter-weight decay was 0.0005 and the number of iterations was 120,000.

**Faster-RCNN+MRMnet Evaluation**

Based on a confusion matrix (Powers, 2011), the performance of the classifier was evaluated according to its sensitivity, precision, F1 score, and accuracy. The calculations for these 4 indicators are as follows:

\[
\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{\text{number of correct predictions}}{\text{number of true cases}} \times 100\% \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{number of correct predictions}}{\text{number of predictions}} \times 100\% \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{F1 score} = \frac{2 \times \text{Sensitivity} \times \text{Precision}}{\text{Sensitivity} + \text{Precision}} \times 100\% \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{total number of correct predictions}}{\text{all samples}} \times 100\% \quad (4)
\]
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chicken Stunned Status Recognition

An augmented dataset containing 27,928 stunned state images was constructed by using the data augmentation method mentioned above. The 3 datasets are listed in Table 1.

MRMnet was used to extract features from the input images and to visualize the feature map. The results are shown in Figure 7. It can be seen from the feature maps extracted from each convolution layer that the low-level convolution layer extracted the shape and color features of the image, while the more abstract features were obtained from the high-level convolution layer. MRMnet automatically extracts features that are more abundant rather than artificially extracted features, which are difficult to imitate.

The developed model was tested using the test dataset. The results are shown in Figure 8 and Table 2. It can be seen from the confusion matrix that the accuracy of Faster-RCNN+MRMnet reached 98.06%, indicating that the predictions matched the real situation. The average detection time for a single image was 0.0822 s, so 43,700 broilers can be detected per hour. With regard to the detection performance for each category, Faster-RCNN+MRMnet had the highest detection sensitivity for the insufficiently stunned category (F1 = 98.39), with 98.41% of the 2,580 real samples being correctly predicted. The prediction sensitivities for moderately stunned and excessively stunned were 98.20 and 97.31%, respectively. Faster-RCNN+MRMnet was especially effective at detecting the insufficiently stunned category. This may because the amount of data in this category was greater than the other categories, making Faster-RCNN+MRMnet more inclined to detect it.

A balanced dataset was used to test whether the amount of data can affect the detection performance of Faster-RCNN+MRMnet. A total of 4,000 stunned state images from each category in the augmented training dataset were randomly selected, together with 1,000

Table 1. Details of the datasets used to construct the model.

| Stunned state      | Original dataset | Augmented dataset | Training   | Validation | Test    |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Insufficiently stunned | 1,075            | 12,900            | 8,256      | 2,064      | 2,580   |
| Moderately stunned  | 626              | 7,512             | 4,812      | 1,200      | 1,500   |
| Excessively stunned | 618              | 7,416             | 4,740      | 1,188      | 1,488   |
| Total              | 2,319            | 27,828            | 17,808     | 4,452      | 5,568   |
stunned state images from each category in the augmented test dataset. In total, 15,000 stunned state images were collected to build the balanced dataset, which contained the same number of image samples for each category. A total of 9,600 samples were used for model training, 2,400 samples were used to verify the model, and 3,000 samples were used for testing. The test results are shown in Table 3.

When compared with the unbalanced data, the detection performance accuracy of Faster-RCNN+MRMnet had slightly declined. This suggests that the amount of data in the dataset does have an impact on the performance of Faster-RCNN+MRMnet, which is consistent with prior observations that CNN gets better results when it is given more training data (Kamilaris and Prenafeta-Boldú, 2018). Unlike the unbalanced data, Faster-RCNN+MRMnet had the best detection performance for the moderately stunned category (F1 = 97.85). Approximately 97.90% of the 1,000 real samples were correctly predicted. Faster-RCNN+MRMnet had the lowest sensitivity for the 1,000 insufficiently stunned samples, with only 96.70% being correctly predicted. This may be due to the morphological characteristics of the insufficiently stunned broiler in the images, which are more complicated than they are for the other 2 types. This implies that the complexity of the

Figure 7. Partial feature maps extracted from the convolution layers.
morphological characteristics of each stunned state may also affect the accuracy of the Faster-RCNN+MRMnet classification.

**Comparison Between Faster-RCNN+MRMnet, Faster-RCNN, and BP-NN**

To compare the detection performance of Faster-RCNN+MRMnet with Faster-RCNN across the same pre-training parameters presented above, Faster-RCNN was tested using both the unbalanced dataset and the balanced dataset. The confusion matrix for the Faster-RCNN test results is shown in Table 4.

The results show that the accuracy of Faster-RCNN across the 2 datasets was 96.86 and 96.17%, respectively, which is lower than the accuracy of Faster-RCNN+MRMnet. The average detection time for Faster-RCNN was 0.0954 s, about 16% higher than Faster-RCNN+MRMnet. Therefore, Faster-RCNN+MRMnet is able to identify the stunned state of broilers with higher degrees of accuracy and more quickly. Table 4 also shows that Faster-RCNN trained with unbalanced data achieved the best detection performance for the insufficiently stunned category (F1 = 97.40), but, when it was trained with balanced data, the best detection performance was for the moderately stunned category (F1 = 96.79). At the same time, the accuracy for the balanced dataset was lower than it
was for the unbalanced dataset, which is consistent with the results for Faster-RCNN+MRMnet. This also shows that both the number of datasets and the proportion of each category in the dataset will affect CNN performance.

If either Faster-RCNN+MRMnet or Faster-RCNN is compared to the broiler stunned state recognition accuracy of 90.11% obtained by using BP-NN, as documented by Ye et al. (2018), the recognition accuracy is significantly improved. This suggests that using fast region-based convolutional neural networks to identify the stunned state of broilers will provide better prediction accuracy than traditional classifiers.

**CONCLUSION**

By using the improved fast region-based convolutional neural network algorithm proposed in this paper to detect the stunned state of broilers, better results can be achieved than previously proposed methods. The detection accuracy can reach 98.06% (for unbalanced datasets) and 97.27% (for balanced datasets), and 43,700 broilers can be tested every hour. The amount of data in the dataset and the complexity of the morphological characteristics of the detected objects may affect the classification accuracy of Faster-RCNN+MRMnet. When compared with the performance of Faster-RCNN, the introduction of an MRM into Faster-RCNN+MRMnet further enhanced its performance. The average detection time for Faster-RCNN was 0.0954 s, about 16% higher than Faster-RCNN+MRMnet. We have also found here that, whether using Faster-RCNN+MRMnet or Faster-RCNN, the detection results for the stunned state of broilers are significantly better than those produced by traditional classifiers such as BP-NN. In future work, we intend to use the Faster-RCNN+MRMnet method developed in this research for the design of a smart electric stun control system that can integrate stunned state recognition and automatic stun optimization. Our goal is to promote this approach for the electric stunning of broilers in the poultry slaughter industry, thereby replacing the currently flawed processes of manual detection and adjustment. This should help to alleviate the problem of insufficiently stunned and excessively stunned broilers and the concomitant carcass damage caused by improper stunning.
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