Abstract. We report the discovery of an eclipsing binary – HS 0705+6700 – being an sdB star with a faint companion. From its light curve the orbital period of 8263.87 s, the mass ratio of the system $q = 0.28$, the inclination of $84.4^\circ$ and other system parameters are derived. The companion does not contribute to the optical light of the system except through a strong reflection effect. The semi-amplitude of the radial velocity curve $K_1 = 85.8 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ and a mass function of $f(m) = 0.00626 \, M_\odot$ are determined. A spectroscopic analysis of the blue spectra results in $T_{\text{eff}} = 28800 \, \text{K}$, $\log g = 5.40$, and $\log(n_{\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}}) = -2.68$. These characteristics are typical for sdB stars, as is its mass of $0.48 \, M_\odot$. According to its mass ($0.13 \, M_\odot$) and radius ($0.19 \, R_\odot$), the companion is an M dwarf. The primary is in a core helium burning phase of evolution, and the system must have gone through a common envelope stage when the primary was near the tip of the red giant branch.
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HS 0705+6700 was selected from a list of candidates drawn from the Hamburg Schmidt survey (Hagen et al. 1995). Follow-up spectroscopy (Heber et al. 1999, Edelmann et al. 2001) revealed that its effective temperature lies in the domain predicted for the pulsational instability. Therefore, HS 0705+6700 was included in a photometric monitoring programme at the Nordic Optical Telescope (see Østensen et al. 2001a, 2001b) in order to search for pulsations. Indeed, the star turned out to be variable, but not on the time and flux scale expected for pulsations. The onset of a primary eclipse event shortly
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1. Introduction

Subluminous B stars (sdB) dominate the populations of faint blue stars of our own Galaxy and are found in both the old disk and in halo populations, e.g. as stars forming the blue tails to the horizontal branches of globular clusters (Ferraro et al. 1997).

In the context of galaxy evolution sdB stars are important because they are sufficiently common to be the dominant source for the "UV upturn phenomenon" observed in elliptical galaxies and galaxy bulges (Brown et al. 1997, 2000a). Greggio & Renzini 1991, 1997. They might also be used as age indicators for elliptical galaxies (Brown et al. 2000a).

However, important questions remain over the evolutionary paths and the appropriate timescales. There is general consensus that the sdB stars can be identified with models for Extreme Horizontal Branch (EHB) stars (Heber 1986, Saffer et al. 1994). Like all HB stars they are core helium burning objects. However, their internal structure differs from typical HB stars, because their hydrogen envelope is very thin (<1% by mass) and therefore inert. As a consequence EHB stars evolve directly to the white dwarf cooling sequence thus avoiding a second red giant phase (Dorman et al. 1993).

The discovery of multi-mode, short-period ($P = 2 - 10$ min.) pulsators among sdB stars (see O'Donoghue et al. [1999] for a review) has opened a new attracting possibility of probing their interiors using seismological tools. Recently, Brassard et al. (2001) were able to derive the mass and hydrogen envelope mass of the pulsating sdB star PG 0014+067 by asteroseismology. Their results (total mass $M = 0.49 \pm 0.019 M_\odot$ and envelope mass $\log(M_{\text{enr}}/M_\odot) = -4.3 \pm 0.22$) are in excellent agreement with the predictions by evolution theory.

Considerable evidence is accumulating that many sdB stars reside in close binaries (Maxted et al. 2000b, Saffer et al. 2001). Therefore mass transfer should play an important role in the evolution of such binary systems. Detailed investigations of sdB binaries, in particular eclipsing systems, are crucial to determine their masses. However, only two such eclipsing binaries, HW Vir (Menzies & Marang 1986) and PG 1336-018 (Kilkenny et al. 1998) are known up to now, which consist of an sdB star and an optically invisible M dwarf companion. Here we report the discovery of the third such system – HS 0705+6700.
after the start of the observations brought the observed brightness down by about a magnitude. This made it evident that HS 0705+6700 must be an eclipsing binary. This was confirmed in the following night when both primary and secondary minima were monitored.

2. Observations

2.1. Photometry

The initial observations were made on 5 and 6-Oct-2000 at the Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) with the Andalucia Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (ALFOSC), equipped with a Loral, Lesser thinned, 2048×2048 CCD chip, and modified with our own control software to be able to observe in high-speed multi-windowing mode. The sky area available for locating a reference star is limited to the area of the chip: ~ 6.5×6.5 arcminutes². On 5-Oct-2000, the observations started at UT 05:38 and covered a time period of 3590 s, and on 6-Oct-2000 measurements started at UT 03:28 and extended over 10280 s.

We observed HS 0705+6700 using three reference stars for constructing the relative light curve. The observations were made with a Bessel B-band filter in order to optimize for detection of low level pulsations. A cycle time of 20 s was used, allowing an actual integration time of 16.5 s. A total of 634 exposures were collected in the nights of 5 and 6-Oct-2000. The data were reduced online using the Real Time Photometry (RTP) program developed by one of us (RO) as part of his PhD project (Østensen 2000). More details about this software are given elsewhere (Østensen et al. 2001a).

The R-band photometry of HS 0705+6700 was obtained on 2-Nov-2000 at the Calar Alto Observatory using the 2.2 m telescope with the CAFOS instrument. Observations started at UT 01:57, and the total length of the data set was 12841 s. A total of 598 measurements were made with a cycle time of 21 s (the integration time was 10 s). Each exposure, taken through a Johnson R filter, is a 2×2 binned subframe of the 2k×2k blue-sensitive, back-illuminated SiTe chip. The field around the target contains several other stars, five of which were used as reference stars. The basic data reduction as well as the relative aperture photometry was performed using the IDL software TRIPP (Schuh et al. 1999). The 1σ error of the resulting normalized differential light curve, derived from the variations of all relative reference light curves, is 0.013.

Following the B and R light curves obtained in October and November 2000, additional photometric observations were collected with various smaller telescopes (on Tenerife, in Norway, Poland, and Greece) in five different nights scattered over the next five months (November 2000 to March 2001). Nine more primary minima were covered. The measurements were made with CCD cameras in different filters (R, I), and in one case with a classical photo-multiplier tube (PMT) photometer in unfiltered light. Dates and other details of this complementary photometry are contained in Table 1.

Table 1. Spectroscopic observations (heliocentric Julian Date for mid-exposure, length of exposure, and heliocentric radial velocity.

| hel.JD (mid) | exposure time (s) | hel. RV (km s⁻¹) |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| -2451990    | 900              | 56.5            |
| 79.55107    | 900              | -18.1           |
| 79.56552    | 900              | -74.5           |
| 79.57960    | 900              | -110.1          |
| 79.59264    | 600              | -114.5          |
| 80.46672    | 600              | -120.2          |
| 81.31732    | 600              | -106.4          |
| 81.32809    | 600              | -45.7           |
| 81.34120    | 600              | -38.2           |
| 81.35216    | 600              | 4.6             |
| 81.36139    | 600              | 52.5            |
| 81.37098    | 600              | 32.9            |
| 81.38026    | 600              | 4.9             |
| 81.39023    | 600              | -45.7           |
| 81.39943    | 600              | -81.6           |
| 81.40000    | 600              | -116.6          |

2.2. Spectroscopy

Optical spectra of HS 0705+6700 were obtained in March 2001 at the DSAZ observatory at the 3.5 m telescope with the Twin spectrograph in dichroic mode covering the wavelength ranges 3925Å to 5015Å in the blue channel and 5975Å to 7070Å in the red channel at a spectral resolution of 1Å. Details of the observations are given in Table 2. The data were reduced with the MIDAS package distributed by the European Southern Observatory ESO.

3. Photometric analysis

3.1. Ephemeris

Our B and R CCD photometry of October-November 2000 provided clear evidence that HS 0705+6700 is a short-period eclipsing binary. The complete phase coverage and high time resolution of the CCD light curves allowed for an accurate determination of minimum times and a period analysis.

The CCD B measurements carried out with the 2.5 m Nordic Optical Telescope covered a complete primary eclipse in each of the two nights of October 5 and 6, 2000. The CCD R photometry obtained with the CAFOS instrument attached to the Calar Alto 2.2 m telescope on November 2, 2000, continuously extended over more than one complete orbital cycle and covered two subsequent primary minima. Already from these four minimum times we derived an orbital period of 8263.88 seconds.
For a more refined period analysis nine more primary minima were used, which had been observed with several smaller telescopes between November 2000 and March 2001 (see Table 2). Including these minima means a considerable broadening of the time base, allowing for more accurate period determination. The minimum times were determined either by the Kwee-van Woerden method (1956) or by fitting parabolas to the cores of the minima. Table 2 lists the minimum times together with other observational details.

Table 2. Times of primary minima derived from CCD and PMT photometric observations

| Date       | HJD      | Error   | Epoch | O − C | Method  | Filter | Type | Telescope   | Observers   |
|------------|----------|---------|-------|-------|---------|--------|------|-------------|-------------|
| 05-10-00   | 2451822.75978 | 0.00005 | 0     | −0.00004 | Kwee B | CCD   | NOT 2.5m | RØ, JES    |
| 06-10-00   | 2451823.71648 | 0.00010 | 10    | +0.00019 | Kwee B | CCD   | NOT 2.5m | RØ, JES    |
| 02-11-00   | 2451850.59301 | 0.00011 | 291   | +0.00001 | parabola R | CCD   | CA 2.2m | SS, JD     |
| 02-11-00   | 2451850.68866 | 0.00012 | 292   | +0.00002 | parabola R | CCD   | CA 2.2m | SS, JD     |
| 30-11-00   | 2451878.71316 | 0.00003 | 585   | +0.00005 | Kwee - | PMT   | IAC 0.8m | FJ         |
| 09-01-01   | 2451919.2670  | 0.0002  | 1009  | −0.00029 | Kwee I  | CCD   | Skb 0.6m | SZ         |
| 09-01-01   | 2451919.3627  | 0.0010  | 1010  | −0.00024 | parabola I | CCD   | Skb 0.6m | SZ         |
| 09-01-01   | 2451919.4586  | 0.0001  | 1011  | +0.00002 | Kwee I  | CCD   | Skb 0.6m | SZ         |
| 15-02-01   | 2451956.4378  | 0.0013  | 1398  | −0.00004 | parabola R | CCD   | Crc 0.5m | SZ         |
| 16-02-01   | 2451956.5697  | 0.0011  | 1399  | +0.00022 | parabola R | CCD   | Crc 0.5m | SZ         |
| 17-02-01   | 2451957.5274  | 0.0002  | 1409  | +0.00145 | Kwee R  | CCD   | Crc 0.5m | SZ         |
| 27-03-01   | 2451996.3586  | 0.0001  | 1815  | +0.00011 | Kwee R  | CCD   | Kry 1.2m | SZ         |
| 28-03-01   | 2451997.3150  | 0.0001  | 1825  | +0.00004 | Kwee R  | CCD   | Kry 1.2m | SZ         |

a Relative to ephemeris (1)
b NOT: Nordic 2.5m, La Palma; CA: Calar Alto 2.2m, Spain; IAC: IAC 0.8m, Teide, Tenerife; Skb: Skibotn 0.6m, Norway; Crc: Cracow Jagiellonian Obs. 0.5m, Poland; Kry: Kryonerion 1.2m, Greece
c RØ: Roy Østensen; JES: Jan-Erik Solheim; SS: Sonja Schuh; JD: Jochen Deetjen; FJ: Frank Johannessen; SZ: Stanislaw Zola

The light curve solution program uses the Wilson-Devinney logistical approach (1971), but is based on a modified Roche model for the binary structure, taking into account the radiative interaction between the components of hot, close binaries. Also, the differential corrections procedure for parameter optimization is replaced by the more powerful simplex algorithm, which was first applied to eclipse light curve solutions by Kallrath & Linell (1987). For more details and application examples see, e.g., Drechsel (2000) or Drechsel et al. (1995).

The B and R light curves were represented by normal points formed by binning the fluxes of individual measurements over narrow time intervals. One reason for this was to reduce the observational scattering to a smoother run, and on the other hand the computational performance was improved. The phase resolution of the normal points was generally chosen as 0.005 phase units (41 sec), except for the core of the primary minimum, where a step width of 0.002 phase units (16 sec) was used. The total binning window size was 0.02 phase units (165 sec). Hence the 634 and 598 individual measurements of the B and R curves, respectively, were reduced to 212 normal points in each passband. Typically, 12 individual observations were averaged to form a single normal point. The input light curves (in intensity units) were normalized to unity at quadrature phase 0.25.

We used the principal Wilson-Devinney mode 2, which poses no restrictions on the presumed system configuration (detached or semi-detached), and links the luminosity of the secondary component to its effective temperature by means of the Planck law. The latter aspect is of nearly no importance here, because the luminosity ratio between primary and secondary flux contributions is so
huge in this system ($L_1/L_2$ is of order $10^4$ for $B$ and $10^3$ for $R$ passbands), that the secondary is photometrically only evident through the reflection effect and shadowing of primary light. The $B$ and $R$ light curves were solved simultaneously to yield consistent solution parameters.

Since the total number of light curve parameters is considerably large ($12 + 5 \cdot n$, where $n$ is the number of spectral passbands (filter light curves), i.e. equals 22 for our case of a simultaneous $B$ and $R$ fit, it is important to reduce the free parameter set by consideration of spectroscopic and theoretical boundary conditions and other consistency checks. Otherwise, too many free parameters would easily tend to produce underdetermined solutions with no guarantee of uniqueness.

As was obvious from the sinusoidal shape of the radial velocity curve (Sect. 4.1) and from the position of the secondary minimum at exactly phase 0.50, it was implicitly assumed that we are dealing with circular orbits ($e = 0$) of synchronously rotating components in this very close binary system, for which an extremely short synchronization time scale of a few decades is expected (Zahn 1977). According to the early spectral type of the primary we assumed a bolometric albedo $A_1 = 1$; also its gravity darkening exponent was fixed at $g_1 = 1$ as expected for radiative outer envelopes (von Zeipel 1924). For the cool convective secondary, $g_2$ was set to 0.32 according to Lucy (1967). Already after the first trial runs with the secondary albedo $A_2$ as a free parameter, it became obvious that the enormous reflection effect evident as a broad orbital hump centered on secondary eclipse, with an amplitude of about 12% of maximum light, requires a “mirror-like” surface of the tidally locked secondary in the heated area facing the primary. Reasonable representations were only possible for complete reradiation (energy conservation), i.e. $A_2$ was fixed at 1.0 in all further runs. Linear limb darkening coefficients $x_1(B, R)$ for the primary were interpolated from the tables of Wade & Rucinski (1985) and fixed at $x_1(B) = 0.26$ and $x_1(R) = 0.19$. Since it was known from an analysis of similar sdOB binaries with strong reflection effect (Hilditch et al. 1996) that the limb darkening coefficients of cool stars irradiated by a hot companion can strongly deviate from “normal” values of very cool dwarf stars, it was decided to treat $x_2$ as an adjustable parameter. From our spectroscopic analysis (Sect. 4) it was clear that the sdB primary must have an effective temperature close to 30 000 K. Though $T_1$ was always used as an adjustable quantity, it actually did not vary much during numerous optimization runs, and mostly remained within a narrow range between about 29 000 and 30 000 K, compatible with the spectroscopic error margin.
Fig. 2. Meridional intersection of the equipotential structure of HS 0705+6700 corresponding to the best fit photometric solution for a mass ratio \( q = 0.278 \); the inner critical Roche lobe is shown as dashed line.

The remaining set of adjustable parameters comprises the orbital inclination \( i \), the mass ratio \( q = M_2/M_1 \), the Roche potentials \( \Omega_1 \) and \( \Omega_2 \) of the two stellar surfaces, the color-dependent luminosity \( L_1 \) of the primary and of a possible third light contribution \( l_3 \), the effective temperatures \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \), and the radiation pressure parameter \( \delta_1 \) (see Drechsel et al. [1995]); \( \delta_2 \) was set equal to zero because the secondary temperature is low (about 3000 K), which means that radiation pressure forces exerted by this star are negligible. Finally, \( L_2 \) was not adjusted as an independent parameter, but recomputed from the secondary radius \( r_2 \) and its effective temperature.

A convergent simultaneous solution of the \( B \) and \( R \) light curves was achieved after several trial runs using different start parameter sets, most of which lead to an essentially identical final solution. Table 3 gives a list of the fixed and adjusted parameters. The corresponding fitted light curves together with observations are shown in Fig. 1. It is obvious from the graph that the overall run of the \( B \) and \( R \) light curves is very well reproduced by the best fit theoretical curves (solid lines). Especially the shape of the primary eclipse minima and the pronounced reflection effect are fitted very well. A minor systematic deviation is apparent in the secondary minima: the fit of the \( B \) curve amounts to about 0.004 mag. It should be noted that the best fit in the \( B \) passband (\( \lambda_{\text{eff}} = 435 \) nm) requires a third light contribution of 3.7\%, while the \( R \) curve (\( \lambda_{\text{eff}} = 641 \) nm) is fully consistent with \( l_3 = 0 \). The same effect was observed in the closely related sdB binary HW Vir (Wood et al. [1993]), in which the \( U \) and \( B \) filter measurements deviate from the computed curves resulting from a simultaneous \( UBV \) fit by a similar amount, whereas the \( R \) curve shows no such systematic effect. A possible explanation might arise from the strong reflection effect in both systems: though energy is conserved in the bolometric reradiation of the heated surface layers, there could be some frequency redistribution of photons inherent in the complex scattering process in a way that higher-energy photons have larger scattering cross sections than those incident with longer wavelengths and/or are transformed into lower-energy photons by various absorption and reemission processes.

The photometric solution yields a close detached system configuration for HS 0705+6700. A meridional intersection of the surface equipotentials and the inner critical Roche lobe is shown in Fig. 2. The cool secondary is found to be relatively closer to its Roche lobe than the primary, which appears only slightly distorted by tidal interaction (\( r_{\text{point}}/r_{\text{pole}} \approx 1.03 \) and 1.09, respectively, for the primary and secondary components). 3D-simulated aspects of the system at various orbital phases under a viewing angle corresponding to the derived orbital inclination of 84°.4 are displayed in Fig. 3.

Though the simplex algorithm is a more powerful and numerically stable parameter optimization method than the differential corrections procedure, which is usually used in combination with the Wilson-Devinney approach, it also cannot completely rule out the possibility of convergence into a local minimum in the multi-dimensional parameter space. For this reason we experimented with a multitude of different start parameter sets to verify that our final solution corresponds to the deepest global minimum. We found good evidence that this solution indeed turned out to be preferred in most of our trial runs. We should, however, mention that there were a few other solutions which differ from our best fit mainly in their values...
of the mass ratio $q$. While the best fit gave $q = 0.278$, other solutions with larger alternative values of $q = 0.346$, 0.460, and 0.594 were also found. Yet the standard deviations of the fits for $q = 0.46$ and 0.59 are worse by about 5-10\%, and they are also not consistent with our spectroscopic results (inconsistent value of the mass function, see Sect. 4). The photometric solution for $q = 0.35$ is of nearly identical numerical quality like our preferred one for $q = 0.28$, but in order to match the observed value of the mass function of $f(m) = 0.0063 \, M_\odot$, the primary mass had to be assumed as $\leq 0.3 \, M_\odot$. Hence a value of $M_2 \leq 0.1 \, M_\odot$ would result. According to low-mass star models (e.g., Dorman et al. 1989), the mass-radius relation would then yield a secondary radius of the order of $0.10-0.12 \, R_\odot$, which would be incompatible with the photometrically derived value.

It is a common problem inherent to light curve solution techniques that it is not easy to identify the deepest global minimum in the multi-dimensional parameter space. Since several parameters used to model the light curves are highly correlated, often a multitude of nearly equally good solutions can be found for different solution parameter sets. In our case, we also end up with various solutions with a comparable goodness of fit, which mainly differ in their $q$ values (see above). The light curve of HS 0705+6700 is very similar to those of HW Vir and PG 1336-018. Wood et al. (1993) and Kilkenny et al. (1998) both encountered the same problem when solving the light curves of these systems and were able to obtain good solutions for a broad range of mass ratios. The simplex parameter optimization algorithm incorporated in our MORO solution code is already a powerful tool with respect to the ability to automatically scan the
Table 3. Light curve solution of HS 0705+6700

| Fixed parameters: |
|-------------------|
| $A_1$ \(^\text{a}\) | 1.0 |
| $A_2$ \(^\text{a}\) | 1.0 |
| $g_1$ \(^\text{b}\) | 1.0 |
| $g_2$ \(^\text{b}\) | 0.32 |
| $x_1(\text{B})$ \(^\text{c}\) | 0.26 |
| $x_1(\text{R})$ \(^\text{c}\) | 0.19 |
| $\delta_2$ \(^\text{d}\) | 0.0 |

Adjusted parameters:

| $i$ | 84.4 $\pm$ 0.3 |
| $g (= M_2/M_1)$ | 0.278 $\pm$ 0.019 |
| $\Omega_1$ | 3.764 $\pm$ 0.066 |
| $\Omega_2$ | 2.606 $\pm$ 0.051 |
| $T_{\text{eff}}(1)$ | 29,600 K $\pm$ 800 K |
| $T_{\text{eff}}(2)$ | 2,900 K $\pm$ 600 K |
| $L_1(\text{B})$ \(^\text{e}\) | 0.99997 $\pm$ 0.00003 |
| $L_3(\text{B})$ \(^\text{f}\) | 3.7% $\pm$ 0.6% |
| $L_1(\text{R})$ \(^\text{e}\) | 0.9969 $\pm$ 0.0031 |
| $L_3(\text{R})$ \(^\text{f}\) | 0.2% $\pm$ 0.2% |
| $x_2(\text{B})$ | 0.42 $\pm$ 0.12 |
| $x_2(\text{R})$ | 0.62 $\pm$ 0.17 |
| $\delta_1$ \(^\text{d}\) | 0.025 $\pm$ 0.011 |

Roche radii: \(^\text{g}\)

| $r_1(\text{pole})$ | 0.279 $\pm$ 0.004 |
| $r_1(\text{point})$ | 0.287 $\pm$ 0.002 |
| $r_1(\text{side})$ | 0.283 $\pm$ 0.003 |
| $r_1(\text{back})$ | 0.285 $\pm$ 0.003 |
| $r_2(\text{pole})$ | 0.219 $\pm$ 0.001 |
| $r_2(\text{point})$ | 0.238 $\pm$ 0.004 |
| $r_2(\text{side})$ | 0.225 $\pm$ 0.002 |
| $r_2(\text{back})$ | 0.239 $\pm$ 0.005 |

\(^a\) bolometric albedo  
\(^b\) gravitational darkening exponent  
\(^c\) linear limb darkening coefficient; theoretical value taken from Wade & Rucinski(1985)  
\(^d\) radiation pressure parameter, see Drechsel et al. (1994)  
\(^e\) relative luminosity $L_1/(L_1 + L_2)$; $L_2$ is not independently adjusted, but recomputed from $r_2$ and $T_{\text{eff}}(2)$  
\(^f\) fraction of third light at maximum  
\(^g\) fractional Roche radii in units of separation of mass centers

multi-dimensional space of adjustable parameters for the deepest minimum within broad parameter ranges. However, the dependency of the goodness of fit on the chosen parameter set can be highly complex, or the relation is locally “flat-bottomed”, so that a unique solution does not exist.

To demonstrate this behaviour a number of solutions with different mass ratios were enforced by fixing $q$ at various values in the surroundings of our best solution.

Table 4. Goodness of light curve fit for various fixed $q$ values compared with $\sigma$ of best fit solution with adjusted $q$

| $q$ | $\sigma_B$ | $\sigma_R$ | $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ |
|-----|------------|------------|-------------------|
| 0.20 | 0.00433 | 0.00429 | 0.00428 |
| 0.25 | 0.00426 | 0.00426 | 0.00423 |
| 0.278 | 0.00407 | 0.00403 | 0.00401 |
| 0.30 | 0.00411 | 0.00414 | 0.00409 |
| 0.35 | 0.00408 | 0.00402 | 0.00401 |
| 0.40 | 0.00421 | 0.00398 | 0.00406 |

* adjusted $q$ of final best solution

The goodness of fit is measured by the standard deviation $\sigma_{\text{fit}}$, which is defined by

$$\sigma_{\text{fit}}^2 = \frac{n}{n - m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i (O_i - C_i)^2,$$

where $n$ is the number of observations (normal points) and $m$ the number of adjustable quantities; $O_i - C_i$ are the residuals between normal points and fitted curve, and $w_i$ are the individual weights of the normal points. The simplex routine uses these same $\sigma_{\text{fit}}$ values also internally during each iteration step to control the optimization procedure. Table 4 summarizes the final $\sigma_{\text{fit}}$ values of convergent solutions obtained for a grid of arbitrary $q$ values, which were kept fixed during these trial runs, while the rest of light curve parameters was adjusted to yield the best possible numerical representation of the observed $B$ and $R$ curves. We give $\sigma_{\text{fit}}$ values for the composite set of simultaneously adjusted $B$ and $R$ light curves, $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$, as well as the individual $\sigma_{\text{fit}}$ values of the $B$ and $R$ curves, $\sigma_B$ and $\sigma_R$. The results for the trial solutions at $q = 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, 0.35,$ and $0.40$ are compared with our selected best solution for $q = 0.278$, which was obtained by adjusting $q$ together with the whole parameter set. It is evident that there are two ranges of $q$ around 0.28 and 0.35, where the standard deviations occupy local minima in the parameter space. The trial solution for $q = 0.35$ (fixed) nearly coincides with one of our previously discussed unrestricted solutions with $q$ treated as a free parameter (resulting in $q = 0.346$). The distinction between the two alternative $q$ values 0.28 and 0.35 apparently cannot be made solely based on numerical standards, since the difference of the respective $\sigma$ values is too small. Fortunately, in our case the available spectroscopic information (mass function, mass-radius relation for the low-mass dwarf companion) could be used to sort out inconsistent photometric solutions. The small error given in Table 4 for $q = 0.278 \pm 0.019$ simply reflects the formal fit uncertainty within the local minimum in parameter space around $q = 0.28$, and does not account for systematic effects like ambiguity of solutions.
4. Spectroscopic analysis

The optical spectra allow to measure the radial velocity curve with good phase coverage as well as a quantitative spectral analysis to determine the atmospheric parameters and the projected rotational velocity.

4.1. Radial velocity curve

Radial velocities from all Twin spectra were derived by cross-correlating the observed helium and Balmer line spectra to a synthetic spectrum calculated from a model atmosphere (see below) and are listed in Table 4. The measurements are accurate to about ±15 km s\(^{-1}\) (3\(\sigma\)).

Since this system is single-lined the analysis is straightforward. A comparison of the best fit radial velocity curve and the measured values is shown in Fig. 4. The semi-amplitude is \(K_1 = 85.8 \pm 3.7\) km s\(^{-1}\) and the systemic velocity \(\gamma_0 = -36.4 \pm 2.9\) km s\(^{-1}\).

From the period and semi-amplitude the mass function is derived: \(f(m) = 0.00626 \pm 0.00081\) M\(_{\odot}\).

4.2. Spectrum fitting

In order to improve the S/N ratio the Twin spectra were radial velocity-corrected and then coadded. The coadded as well as the individual spectra were analyzed to derive atmospheric parameters using NLTE model atmospheres (Napiwotzki et al. 1999) and a \(\chi^2\) procedure described by Napiwotzki et al. (1999).

Matching the synthetic Balmer (H\(\beta\) to H\(\epsilon\)) and He I (4026\(\AA\), 4471\(\AA\) and 4922\(\AA\)) line profiles to the observations resulted in a determination of effective temperature, gravity and He abundance. The resulting fit for the coadded spectrum is displayed in Fig. 4 and gave \(T_{\text{eff}} = 28\,800 \pm 300\) K, \(\log g = 5.40 \pm 0.04\) and \(\log\left(n_{\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}}\right) = -2.68 \pm 0.05\), which are derived from the \(\chi^2\) procedure applied to fit the line profiles.

We also analyzed the individual spectra in the same way as described above. Two spectra (at phases 0.701 and 0.855) were not useable for a quantitative spectral analysis because of insufficient S/N. These two phases, however, are covered by better spectra (at phases 0.711 and 0.849) anyway. The results for the remaining 13 phases are summarized in Table 4, and are plotted in Fig. 4. Because of the reflection effect the Balmer lines might be distorted by reflected light from the secondary. Indeed, in the case of HW Vir Wood & Saffer (1999) found that \(T_{\text{eff}}\) varied by 1500 K and \(\log g\) by 0.1 dex around the orbit. Two spectra of HS 0705+6700 were taken near primary and another near secondary minimum, for which we do not expect any contamination by reflected light.

The solution of the B light curve indicated the presence of third light. This could cause a systematic error for the spectroscopic analysis. The spectral characteristics of this light, however, are unknown. We made an experiment on an individual spectrum and subtracted 3\% of the continuum from the blue spectra and repeated the fit. In this case the effective temperature is lowered by about the same amount as the 1\(\sigma\) errors listed in Table 4. The change in gravity is only a few hundredth of a dex, less than the errors listed in this table. As possibly indicated by Fig. 4, there might be a slight variation of \(T_{\text{eff}}\) with orbital phase, but not so for \(\log g\). Our spectra are probably of insufficient quality to reveal any phase-dependent variations of the atmospheric parameters at such low level.

We finally adopted \(T_{\text{eff}} = 28\,800 \pm 900\) K, \(\log g = 5.40 \pm 0.1\) and \(\log\left(n_{\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}}\right) = -2.68 \pm 0.15\) for the atmospheric parameters of HS 0705+6700. The given errors were estimated from the scatter of the \(T_{\text{eff}}\) and \(\log g\) values derived from the individual spectra and hence would cover the bandwidth of any possibly present systematic variation with phase.

We finally note that the spectroscopic \(T_{\text{eff}}\) is in excellent agreement with the one following from the photometric solution (Sect. 3.3).

4.3. Projected rotational velocity

The line profiles of H\(\alpha\) and He I 6678\(\AA\) could be used to determine the projected rotational velocity. We calculated the H\(\alpha\) profiles from the final model and convoluted it with rotational profiles for various rotational broadening parameters. The best fit was then obtained by a \(\chi^2\) procedure as described by Heber et al. (1997), which resulted in a projected rotational velocity of \(v \sin i = 110^{+16}_{-13}\) km s\(^{-1}\) (3\(\sigma\) errors). The corresponding fit is displayed in Fig. 4. The rotation of HS 0705+6700 is expected to be tidally bound. In this case we can estimate the projected rotational velocity from the orbit and the radius (see Sect. 4.2) to be \(121^{+15}_{-13}\) km s\(^{-1}\) in good agreement with the result from spectrum synthesis.

### Table 5. Atmospheric parameters of HS 0705+6700

| phase | \(T_{\text{eff}}\) (K) | \(\log g\) | \(-\log(\text{He}/\text{H})\) |
|-------|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| 0.107 | 27300 ± 836 | 5.41 ± 0.10 | 2.50 ± 0.11 |
| 0.203 | 27720 ± 858 | 5.29 ± 0.11 | 2.82 ± 0.14 |
| 0.276 | 29748 ± 901 | 5.48 ± 0.14 | 2.60 ± 0.22 |
| 0.303 | 29063 ± 697 | 5.30 ± 0.10 | 2.44 ± 0.13 |
| 0.400 | 28072 ± 854 | 5.28 ± 0.11 | 2.55 ± 0.12 |
| 0.428 | 28947 ± 1600 | 5.12 ± 0.23 | 2.99 ± 0.49 |
| 0.505 | 28414 ± 1002 | 5.30 ± 0.13 | 2.63 ± 0.12 |
| 0.574 | 29928 ± 828 | 5.43 ± 0.14 | 2.81 ± 0.23 |
| 0.601 | 28649 ± 751 | 5.59 ± 0.11 | 2.54 ± 0.11 |
| 0.711 | 30126 ± 649 | 5.51 ± 0.11 | 2.89 ± 0.19 |
| 0.742 | 28813 ± 849 | 5.50 ± 0.12 | 2.78 ± 0.15 |
| 0.849 | 28186 ± 842 | 5.26 ± 0.10 | 2.58 ± 0.11 |
| 0.992 | 27102 ± 1009 | 5.37 ± 0.13 | 2.94 ± 0.20 |

Mean: \(28621 ± 919\) | \(5.37 ± 0.12\) | \(2.70 ± 0.17\) |
Fig. 4. Radial velocity curve of the sdB primary component in a circular orbit; velocities are heliocentrically corrected; the semi-amplitude $K_1$ is $85.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, the systemic velocity $\gamma_0 = -36.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; error bars correspond to $3 \sigma$ margins.

Fig. 5. Fit of the Balmer and helium lines in the coadded spectrum of HS 0705+6700 by synthetic spectra calculated from NLTE model atmospheres.

5. System parameters

5.1. HS 0705+6700

Even though the radial velocity amplitude of the companion is unknown we have sufficient information to calculate absolute system parameters due to the $M - R$ relation provided by the log $g$ determination (Sect. 4.2).

From the light curve we have derived a period of $8263.87 \text{ s}$, an inclination angle of $i = 84.4^\circ$, and a mass ratio $q = 0.278$. Furthermore, we determined the radii for the binary components $R_1/a = 0.284$ and $R_2/a = 0.230$, where $a$ is the separation of their mass centers. Using our measured $K_1 = 85.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $\log g_1 = 5.40$, and the inclination $i$, we derive $M_1 = 0.483 M_{\odot}$, $M_2 = 0.134 M_{\odot}$, $R_1 = 0.230 R_{\odot}$, $R_2 = 0.186 R_{\odot}$, and $a = 5.65 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ cm} (= 0.81 R_{\odot})$. From these numbers we conclude that the companion is an M dwarf. According to the models for low-mass dwarf stars of Dorman et al. (1989), a star of $0.14 M_{\odot}$ would have a radius of $0.17 R_{\odot}$, fully consistent with the observations.

The above given parameters correspond to the photometric solution for $q = 0.278$. As can be seen from Fig. 5, the position of HS 0705+6700 in the HRD is well compatible with those of other EHB stars as well as with EHB evolutionary tracks of stars with corresponding masses. Con-
considering the alternate photometric solution for $q = 0.35$, one would have to assume a lower primary mass of say $M_1 = 0.3 M_\odot$. In principal, such mass could result as end product of the common envelope evolution. The HRD position of the primary might then also match a track leading to a helium white dwarf. However, as already mentioned earlier, the reduced mass of the M dwarf would require a smaller radius for this star, hardly compatible with the light curve solution.

### 5.2. Similar systems

HS 0705+6700 is only the third eclipsing binary known to consist of an sdB star and an M dwarf companion. An orbital period of about 0.096 days places HS 0705+6700 in a period range, which coincides with the period gap of cataclysmic variables. There are two other surprisingly similar systems – HW Vir ($P \approx 0.117$ d) and PG 1336-018 ($P \approx 0.101$ d) – which have equally short periods within the CV period gap between about 2 and 3 hours (e.g., Shafter 1992). A non-eclipsing system (PG 1017-086) with an even shorter period ($P = 0.073$ d) than HS 0705+6700 was dis-
Table 6. System parameters of three similar eclipsing sdB binaries

| Author          | HW Vir       | PG 1336-018 | HS 0705+6700 |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| data            | UBVR         | UVR         | BR           |
| P               | 2$^h$48$^m$  | 2$^h$25$^m$ | 2$^h$18$^m$  |
| $q = M_2/M_1$   | ∼0.3        | 0.3         | 0.278        |
| $i$             | 80$^\circ$.6| 81$^\circ$  | 84$^\circ$.4 |
| $K_1$           | 87.9 km s$^{-1}$ | 78 km s$^{-1}$ | 85.8 km s$^{-1}$ |
| $T_1$           | ∼33 000 K   | 33 000 K    | 29 600 K     |
| $T_2$           | ∼3 700 K    | ∼3 000 K    | 2 900 K      |
| log $g_1$       | 5.64        | 5.7         | 5.40         |
| $M_1$           | 0.54 $M_\odot$ | ∼0.50 $M_\odot$ | 0.483 $M_\odot$ |
| $M_2$           | 0.18 $M_\odot$ | 0.17 $M_\odot$ | 0.134 $M_\odot$ |
| $R_1$           | 0.183 $R_\odot$ | 0.165 $R_\odot$ | 0.230 $R_\odot$ |
| $R_2$           | 0.188 $R_\odot$ | 0.175 $R_\odot$ | 0.186 $R_\odot$ |
| $a$             | 0.89 $R_\odot$ | 0.79 $R_\odot$ | 0.81 $R_\odot$ |

Fig. 8. Comparison of the position of HS 0705+6700 in the ($T_{\text{eff}}$, log $g$) diagram to that of HW Vir, PG 1336-018 and PG 1017-086, EHB stars studied by Maxted et al. (2001a) and to evolutionary EHB tracks of Dorman et al. (1993); the tracks are labeled with the stellar mass.

6. Conclusions

The sdB star HS 0705+6700 was discovered to be an eclipsing binary with an orbital period of 8263.87 s. The analysis of the light curve revealed that the mass ratio of the system is $q = 0.28$ and the inclination 84$^\circ$.4. The companion does not contribute to the optical light of the system, except for the light reflected from the hemisphere facing the sdB star. The semi-amplitude of the radial velocity curve $K_1 = 85.8$ km s$^{-1}$ and a mass function of $f(m) = 0.00626 M_\odot$ were derived. Accurate absolute masses and radii of the sdB primary and the M dwarf companion could be determined. The spectroscopic analysis of the sdB component resulted in $T_{\text{eff}} = 28 800 \pm 900$ K, log $g = 5.40 \pm 0.1$, and log($n_{\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}}$) = −2.68 ± 0.15.
The position of the sdB star in the log $q$ - $\mathcal{T}_{\text{eff}}$ diagram coincides with the domain of many other EHB stars. The current location can be matched by the evolutionary track for such a star with corresponding mass. The photometric value of the mass ratio of $q = 0.28$ and the respective mass of the secondary are in good agreement with the observed mass function and with the predicted mass-radius relation for low-mass dwarf stars. Based on these arguments an alternate photometric $q$ value of 0.35 appears less probable.

The further evolution of the system might lead to the formation of a cataclysmic system with a period below the CV period gap.
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