Spatial variability of phytoplankton, nutrients and new production estimates in the waters around Svalbard
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Phytoplankton dynamics and carbon input into Arctic and sub-Arctic ecosystems were investigated around Svalbard, in summer 1991. Phytoplankton biomass, species composition and dissolved nutrient concentrations were analysed from water samples collected along seven transects. Phytoplankton biomass was low especially to the north (Chlorophyll-a mean 0.3 µg l⁻¹), where flagellates dominated the communities and only ice-diatoms were present. To the west, the phytoplankton composition was representative of a summer Atlantic community, in a post-bloom state. Zooplankton grazing, mainly by copepods, appeared to be the main control on biomass to the west and north of Svalbard.

In the Barents Sea (east of Svalbard), an ice edge bloom was observed (Chlorophyll-a max. 6.8 µg l⁻¹) and the ice edge receded at a rate of approximately 11 km day⁻¹. The phytoplankton community was represented by marginal ice species, especially Phaeocystis pouchetii and Chaetoceros socialis. South of the ice edge, Deep Chlorophyll Maxima (DCM) were observed, as surface waters became progressively nutrient-depleted. In these surface waters, the phytoplankton were predominantly auto- and heterotrophic flagellates.

Carbon production measurements revealed high net production (new and regenerated) to the north of the Barents Sea Polar Front (BSPF); it was especially high at the receding ice edge (reaching 1.44 g C m⁻² day⁻¹). To the south, a low level of production was maintained, mainly through regenerative processes.

Spatial heterogeneity of phytoplankton communities has been well documented for the temperate latitudes (e.g. Venrick 1988, 1990; Weeks et al. 1995). In contrast, little is known of the distribution, abundance and variability of plankton in ice-covered seas, mainly due to the inaccessibility of these waters throughout a major part of the year. Some progress has been made in the last few years in these polar regions, with the improvement in the capabilities of ice-breakers that enable the collection of information at the marginal ice zone and in the water column under the pack ice (Rey & Loeng 2000).
1985; Smith 1987; Codispoti et al. 1991; Svayertsen 1991; Bianchi et al. 1992; Villafañe et al. 1993). Measurements of temporal and spatial variability of phytoplankton blooms and the subsequent input of organic matter into this marine system are of importance to our understanding of the pelagic and benthic ecology of the Arctic regions.

Svalbard, at the western boundary of the Barents Sea and the eastern boundary of the Greenland Sea, is exposed to a variety of hydrological and geological regimes. To the west and south-west, relatively warm, more saline and nutrient-poor Atlantic Waters cover the narrow continental shelf. To the east, colder, less saline and comparatively nutrient-rich Barents Sea Waters cover a wide shallow shelf with water depths of 100 to 400 m. Arctic Waters are advected from the north and east in the upper layer, which flows in a boundary current along the continental slope to the west and north of Svalbard. Additionally, local transformation of water masses occurs through freezing and ice melt.

In the central Barents Sea (to the east of Svalbard), a permanent frontal system, the Barents Sea Polar Front (BSPF), is formed between Modified Atlantic Water and Arctic Water. The position of BSPF varies between 72° and 77° N; the location and extent depends upon the season and climatic conditions (Loeng 1989); seasonal ice cover can vary by up to 50% (Vinje & Kvambekk 1991).

The ecology of the Barents Sea has been investigated over a number of years as an important component of the productive northern fisheries. Much of this information has come from Norwegian and Russian research programmes (see, for example, Nesvetova & Rhyzhov 1987; Bärnsedt et al. 1991; Mehl 1991; RAS 1992). Results from a comprehensive biological study of the Barents Sea, the Norwegian Research Programme for Marine Arctic Ecology (PRO MARE), have been published (Sakshaug et al. 1991). However, the ecology of waters to the west and north of Svalbard is less well known (see Strömberg 1989) and there is little information for ice-covered regions during the winter-spring period.

The Study of the European Arctic Shelf (SEAS) provided the opportunity to carry out a broad ecological investigation of the pelagial around Svalbard, including the central Barents Sea. Within the framework of this study, different aspects of the ecosystem were investigated, including phytoplankton and zooplankton distributions, benthic communities and sediments. Some of these data are described elsewhere (Hulth et al. 1996; Kendall 1996; Piepenburg et al. 1996; Strass & Nöthig 1996).

In this paper, the distribution of phytoplankton in relation to sea ice, hydrography and nutrients is described. Production estimates, calculated from nutrient and oxygen measurements, are presented for the central and northern Barents Sea, and the role of phytoplankton dynamics in carbon production in these seasonally ice-covered waters is discussed.

Material and methods

The SEAS cruise, which started and finished in Tromsø, Norway, took place from 20 June to 30 July 1991. During the cruise, seven transects were completed (Table 1, Fig. 1). The locations of all the stations, together with a record of the date, time and deployment of sampling equipment have been described elsewhere (Rachor 1992).

At each station, vertical profiles of temperature and salinity were obtained from a CTD (see Schauer 1995). In situ fluorescence data was obtained from a BACKSCAT fluorometer attached to the CTD. Fluorescence readings were calibrated using chlorophyll concentrations measured from discrete water samples (see Strass & Nöthig 1996).

Water samples for the analysis of nutrients, chlorophyll-a, phytoplankton biomass and species composition were collected from 12 litre Niskin water bottles lowered with the CTD. Nitrite (N-NO₂⁻), nitrate (N-NO₃⁻), phosphate (P-PO₄³⁻) and silicate (Si-SiO₄⁴⁻) were analysed directly using a Chemlab Continuous Flow Analyser, according to Grasshoff et al. (1983). Ammonia (N-NH₄⁺) was measured manually after Liddicoat et al. (1975) as modified by Catalanò (1987). Oxygen measurements were also made following the Winkler method (Strickland & Parsons 1972).

Water samples for bulk chlorophyll-a analysis were collected from the euphotic zone (to depths of 200 m) at discrete levels, according to fluorometric readings, with increased vertical resolution around the depth of maximum fluorescence. Chlorophyll-a concentrations were determined via the fluorometric method described by Evans et al. (1987). Between 0.5 and 1.0 l of seawater was filtered through Whatman GF/C filters and
Table 1. Transect details (see Fig. 1).

| Transect               | Date (1991) | Start position | End position | Stations |
|------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|----------|
| Polar Front (I)        | 24-25 June  | 77°27.2' N     | 75°59.0' N   | 41-45    |
| Kongsfjordrenna (II)   | 3-5 July    | 79°00.0' N     | 79°01.1' N   | 78-82    |
| Yermak Plateau (III)   | 6-8 July    | 80°23.4' N     | 79°58.3' N   | 84-90    |
| Sjuøyane (IV)          | 9-10 July   | 80°48.1' N     | 81°33.6' N   | 93-99    |
| North-eastern slope (V)| 14-17 July  | 81°27.1' N     | 81°41.7' N   | 104-109  |
| Kviigya (VI)           | 20-21 July  | 80°50.9' N     | 80°44.8' N   | 116-119  |
| Central Barents Sea (VII)| 21-27 July | 80°49.5' E     | 34°49.5' E   | 121-146  |

chlorophyll-a was extracted with 90% acetone. Samples were analysed onboard. The use of GF/C filters may have resulted in an underestimation of phytoplankton biomass at those stations where the phytoplankton population was in a post-bloom state and the smaller flagellates dominated. However we were able to compare chlorophyll data from 10 m depth (using GF/F filters) collected on the same cruise (for horizontal variability study), with the data included here (GF/C filters) and there was no significant difference between the measurements.

Samples for qualitative and quantitative analysis of phytoplankton were collected at depths of 0 m, 20 m and 40 m. Additional samples were collected at the depths of fluorescence maximum and down to 1% PAR. Samples were fixed with hexamethylenetetramine-buffered formalin and counts taken under an inverted microscope (Utermöhl 1958). Cell volume was estimated from cell measurements (Edler 1979), and carbon content was calculated using conversion factors (Strathmann 1967; Smetacek 1975). In addition, for the analyses of species composition, living phytoplankton samples were collected at 67 stations, from the upper 10 m of the water column, by an Apstein net (10 and 20 μm mesh sizes). Samples were directly analysed onboard using an inverted microscope equipped with a video.

Net and new production calculations

Nutrient consumption in the Upper Mixed Layer (UML) was calculated at five stations in the Barents Sea Polar Front (BSPF) region, in June and again in July when these stations were revisited. The station pairs were 41/138, 43/142, 40/143, 44/144, and 45/146 (Fig 1). Temperature and salinity of the core of the designated “winter water masses”, i.e. waters that had been ice-covered and were high in nutrients (at 30–60 m depth), did not vary temporally, except at the southernmost station. Thus, it was assumed that there was no significant advection of water in the upper 60 m during this period. The uptake was calculated in mM m⁻², transformed into new production estimates (after Redfield et al. 1963) and expressed in g Carbon m⁻² day⁻¹. The thickness of the UML, taken as the layer with salinities <34.2 psu, was determined after Mitchell & Holm-Hansen (1991). The methodology used to obtain these estimates for this data set has been described and discussed elsewhere (Luchetta et al. in press). Estimates of new production were calculated following the removal of nitrate, after Jennings et al. (1984), and compared with estimates obtained from Apparent Oxygen Utilization (AOU) variation, following the definition of net community production given by Minas et al. (1986) and Jenkins & Wallace (1992).

Net and new production were estimated also at stations located in the northern Barents Sea (stations 132–137), which were sampled only once during the cruise. Prior to ice retreat, it was assumed that the productivity at these stations would reflect a winter situation. The ice edge retreated by approximately 11 km day⁻¹ and this enabled the calculation of the productive time period for each station, i.e. the period between ice retreat and when water samples were collected (ranging between 11 and 27 days). Hence, a
comparison between the nutrient and oxygen data with those typifying a winter situation, such as that found at Station 121, revealed the rate of production that had taken place since the waters became ice-free.

Results

The spatial variability in phytoplankton abundance, species composition and productivity in the waters around Svalbard shows clearly the influence of the various hydrological regimes (Tables 2, 3, 4, 5) and sea ice conditions (Inall & Parker 1992; Fig. 2). For this reason, the results are described under the three main hydrological provinces and in chronological order (with the exception of Transect I, which is discussed in the central Barents Sea section). The data (salinity, temperature, phytoplankton, oxygen and nutrients) are described for each transect; however, only representative profiles are depicted graphically.
Table 2. Range, means and confidence limits for the oceanographic parameters in the different areas around Svalbard.

|                          | Sal. (psu) | Temp. (°C) | Oxyg. (%) | NH₄ μM | NO₃ μM | PO₄ μM | SiO₄ μM | PPC μg L⁻¹ | CHLα μg L⁻¹ |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| **West of Svalbard stations 70-90** |            |            |           |        |        |        |        |           |           |
| Range                    | 33.0-35.1  | 0.5-5.8    | 73-121    | 0-2.2  | 0.3-12.8 | 0.10-1.13 | 0-5.3  | 0-91      | 0-3.0     |
| Mean                     | 34.9       | 4.3        | 102       | 0.7    | 8.0     | 0.45    | 3.2     | 11        | 0.8       |
| Conf. lim.               | 0.1        | 0.2        | 2         | 0.1    | 0.4     | 0.02    | 0.2     | 4         | 0.3       |
| **North of Svalbard stations 93-121 (except 119)** |            |            |           |        |        |        |        |           |           |
| Range                    | 33.0-35.0  | -1.8-3.5   | 77-115    | 0-1.8  | 1.1-12.5 | 0.16-0.77 | 0-5.2  | 0-13      | 0-1.1     |
| Mean                     | 34.3       | -0.4       | 96        | 0.4    | 9.2     | 0.56    | 3.7     | 3         | 0.3       |
| Conf. lim.               | 0.1        | 0.2        | 1         | 0.1    | 0.3     | 0.02    | 0.1     | 1         | 0.1       |
| **Central Barents Sea stations 119-123-146** |            |            |           |        |        |        |        |           |           |
| Range                    | 31.3-35.0  | -1.8-3.7   | 88-127    | 0-2.2  | 0-12.8  | 0.04-0.83 | 0-6.3  | 0-144     | 0-6.8     |
| Mean                     | 34.2       | -0.6       | 101       | 0.3    | 6.4     | 0.44    | 2.2     | 26        | 1.3       |
| Conf. lim.               | 0.1        | 0.2        | 1         | 0.04   | 0.5     | 0.05    | 0.2     | 6         | 0.3       |

West of Svalbard

Transect II: Kongsfjordrenna (Figs. 3, 4)

Ice-free waters of Atlantic origin occupied the euphotic zone (Table 2). Salinity (S = 35.0 psu), temperature (T = 3.5 to 5.0°C) and nutrient concentrations (maximum values: NO₃ = 13 μM, SiO₄ = 6 μM) were high. At the surface, outflow of the fjordic waters was evident in salinity and nutrient profiles (Figs. 3b, Fig. 4b, c, d); this region is heavily influenced by large amounts of freshwater run-off from glaciers during the summer period (Eilertsen et al. 1989).

Strong horizontal gradients of Apparent Oxygen Utilization (AOU) and nutrients are clearly recognizable in the middle of the transect, with the Svalbard shelf waters being poorer in nutrients than the offshore waters. Chlorophyll-α and PhytoPlankton Carbon (PPC) levels were generally low throughout the transect (Fig. 3d, Table 2), even though nutrients were not limiting at this time (Fig. 4b, c, d). Towards the Svalbard shelf, the Chlorophyll-α and AOU distribution indicate that biological production has occurred. However, the high ammonia levels (Fig. 4a) and a corresponding increase in biomass of copepods, mainly Calanus finmarchicus (Fransz et al. 1992), suggest that zooplankton grazing may have reduced the phytoplankton biomass.

These bio-chemical gradients, albeit small, were reflected in phytoplankton abundance and species composition. In this frontal zone (Stations 77-81), dominant phytoplankton species changed from cryptophyceans (west) to other flagellates and...
Table 5. Mean and maximum biomass, and relative occurrence of the dominant species in the different regions around Svalbard.

| Species | Mean | Max. | Rel. occurr. |
|---------|------|------|--------------|
|         | µg C l⁻¹ | µg C l⁻¹ | %        |
| West of Svalbard (July) | | | |
| Cryptomonas sp. (>12 µm) | 2.2 | 82.5 | 7 |
| Cryptomonas cf. pelagica | 1.3 | 10.7 | 63 |
| Unid. naked dinoflagellates (20 µm) | 1.0 | 13.2 | 35 |
| Unid. flagellates (>7 µm) | 1.0 | 6.7 | 30 |
| Phaeocystis pouchetii | 0.8 | 14.5 | 43 |
| Chaetoceros decipiens | 0.6 | 15.9 | 13 |
| Leucocryptos marina | 0.4 | 7.1 | 37 |
| Prorocentrum sp. | 0.4 | 14.7 | 7 |
| Chaetoceros densus | 0.3 | 10.6 | 9 |
| Fragilariaopsis cylindrus | 0.3 | 1.7 | 67 |
| Unid. flagellates (3 µm) | 0.2 | 8.3 | 20 |
| *Pseudo-nitzchia delicatissima* complex | 0.2 | 1.5 | 65 |
| North of Svalbard (July) | | | |
| Unid. flagellates (>7 µm) | 0.5 | 4.2 | 46 |
| Unid. flagellates (3 µm) | 0.2 | 6.2 | 25 |
| Unid. naked dinoflagellates (20 µm) | 0.2 | 6.0 | 23 |
| *Phaeocystis pouchetii* | 0.2 | 4.7 | 46 |
| Eutreptiella sp. | 0.2 | 6.1 | 6 |
| Cryptomonas cf. pelagica | 0.2 | 2.4 | 65 |
| Chaetoceros socialis | 0.1 | 4.0 | 8 |
| Unid. naked dinoflagellates (15 × 10 µm) | 0.1 | 0.7 | 35 |
| Gyrodinium (65 × 35 µm) | 0.09 | 2.3 | 6 |
| Thalassiosira cf. bioculata | 0.08 | 2.1 | 8 |
| Thalassiosira nordskioldii | 0.08 | 3.6 | 4 |
| Unid. naked dinoflagellates (30 × 20 µm) | 0.07 | 1.5 | 8 |
| Melosira arctica | 0.06 | 1.0 | 17 |
| Unid. thecate dinoflagellates | 0.06 | 0.7 | 17 |
| Gymnodinium cf. simplex | 0.06 | 0.8 | 63 |
| Gyrodinium sp. (86 × 60 µm) | 0.06 | 2.9 | 2 |
| *Pyramimonas* sp. | 0.05 | 0.59 | 17 |
| Polar Front (June) | | | |
| *Phaeocystis pouchetii* | 8.9 | 56.8 | 73 |
| Amphiprora hyperborea | 7.1 | 125.1 | 27 |
| Thalassiosira cf. antarctica | 6.4 | 32.8 | 59 |
| Dinobryon balticum | 2.5 | 22.2 | 59 |
| Laundera borealis | 1.8 | 39.5 | 9 |
| Nitzschia grunowii | 0.8 | 4.3 | 41 |
| Gyrodinium sp. (100 × 45 µm) | 0.8 | 6.0 | 14 |
| *Chaetoceros decipiens* | 0.6 | 2.7 | 68 |
| Thalassiosira cf. bioculata | 0.7 | 5.1 | 9 |
| *Chaetoceros socialis* | 0.6 | 3.0 | 9 |
| Central Barents Sea (July) | | | |
| *Phaeocystis pouchetii* | 9.6 | 143.6 | 72 |
| Chaetoceros socialis | 2.3 | 56.7 | 34 |
| Chaetoceros sp. (15 × 20 µm) | 1.2 | 91.1 | 3 |
| Amphiprora hyperborea | 1.0 | 31.9 | 5 |
| Unid. naked dinoflagellates (30 × 20 µm) | 0.9 | 21.6 | 15 |
| Unid. flagellates (>7 µm) | 0.7 | 6.9 | 46 |
| Thalassiosira cf. nordskioldii | 0.7 | 28.3 | 22 |
| Thalassiosira sp. (30 × 20 µm) | 0.6 | 21.3 | 12 |
| Thalassiosira cf. antarctica | 0.5 | 10.9 | 19 |
| Unid. flagellates (3 µm) | 0.5 | 7.5 | 18 |
| Unid. naked dinoflagellates (20 µm) | 0.4 | 8.4 | 20 |
| Thalassiosira cf. bioculata | 0.4 | 24.7 | 8 |
| Dinobryon balticum | 0.3 | 7.0 | 36 |
| *Protoperidinium* sp. (25 µm) | 0.3 | 8.8 | 5 |
| Nitzschia grunowii | 0.3 | 3.9 | 27 |
Fig. 1. Ice cover (in tenths) observed during the SEAS cruise, modified from Inall & Parker (1992). Arrows indicate the cruise track.

Prymnesiophyceans (east); a peak in abundance ($5 \times 10^6$ cells l$^{-1}$, 90 $\mu$g C l$^{-1}$, at 20 m depth) was observed due to the presence of Cryptomonas (Table 5). Also some diatoms, such as Fragilaropsis cylindrus and Pseudo-nitzschia delicatissima, were well represented.

Transect III: Yermak Plateau (not shown)

Complete ice cover (10/10) occurred at the northernmost stations along the transect (Figs. 1, 2). The euphotic zone was occupied by Atlantic Water ($S > 34.9$ psu) with a thin surface layer ($<20$ m) of relatively fresh water ($S = 33-34$ psu, $T = 0$ to $3^\circ$C). Nutrients at the surface were not depleted and concentrations increased with depth. At the northern end of the transect, however, some reduction of silicate and nitrate levels ($SiO_4 < 1.0$ $\mu$M, $NO_3 < 3.0$ $\mu$M) occurred. Oxygen saturation was high (more than 112%), which coincided with a biomass peak of about 20 $\mu$g C l$^{-1}$ in the surface waters. Chlorophyll-a and biomass were generally low ($<1.0$ $\mu$g l$^{-1}$), with a chlorophyll maximum occurring close to the Svalbard shelf, in the upper 10 m. Flagellates and diatoms dominated the phytoplankton composition (Fig. 3), many of them described as typically ice-associated species such as Chaetoceros septentrionalis, Nitzschia grunowii, Pseudo-nitzschia

Fig. 2. Ice cover (in tenths) observed during the SEAS cruise, modified from Inall & Parker (1992). Arrows indicate the cruise track.

Fig. 3. Vertical distribution of: (a) potential temperature ($^\circ$C); (b) salinity (psu); (c) Apparent Oxygen Utilization (AOU) ($\mu$M); and (d) chlorophyll-a ($\mu$g l$^{-1}$) along the Kongsfjordrenna transect.
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Fig. 4. Vertical distribution of: (a) ammonia (µM); (b) nitrate (µM); (c) phosphate; and (d) silicate (µM) along the Kongsfjordrenna transect.

Fig. 5. Abundance (means) of main phytoplankton classes in the waters around Svalbard. Transects: II = Kongsfjordrenna; III = Sjuøyane ("Seven Islands"); IV = Yermak Plateau; V = north-eastern slope; VI = Kvitøya; I = Polar Front; VII = central Barents Sea.
Fig. 6. Vertical distribution of: (a) potential temperature (°C); (b) salinity (psu); (c) Apparent Oxygen Utilization (AOU) (μM); and (d) chlorophyll-α (μg l⁻¹) along the Sjuayane transect.

Fig. 7. Vertical distribution of: (a) ammonia (μM); (b) nitrate (μM); and (c) silicate (μM) along the Sjuayane transect.
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seriata complex and *Thalassiosira bioculata* (Poulin 1990).

**North of Svalbard**

**Transect IV: Sjuøyane (“Seven Islands”)** (Figs. 6, 7)

The area was occupied by cold Arctic Water (*T* = −1.7 to 3.0°C, *S* = 33.5 to 34.8 psu) in the upper 80–100 m. Underneath this layer, the warmer and more saline Atlantic Water (*T* = 3.0°C, *S* > 34.8 psu; Fig. 6) was present, with typical nutrient values (NO₃ > 11 μM, SiO₄ = 4.5 μM; Fig. 7). This area was completely covered by ice (10/10) except at the Svalbard shelf station, although here cold water (*T* = −1.0°C) was observed at the surface. A surface layer of rather fresh water, possibly from ice melt, was found in the central area of the section.

Chlorophyll-a values were low (<1.0 μg l⁻¹; Table 2), with a maximum of only 0.8 μg l⁻¹ (station 94) at a depth of 30 m (Fig. 6). Extending from the shelf toward the middle of the section, nutrient consumption and depletion (especially for silicate; Fig. 7c) had occurred, but with no corresponding increase in phytoplankton abundance or biomass (mean biomass about 6 μg C l⁻¹). However, an increase in ammonium concentration from 0.2 to 1.6 μM, was observed at stations 98 and 100, respectively (Fig. 7a). A mixture of autotrophic and heterotrophic flagellates was found (Table 5), together with some ice algae species such as *Navicula* and *Nitzschia*.

**Transect V: north-eastern slope** (not shown)

Ice cover was 10/10 and composed of heavy pack ice (2 to 3 m thick; Fig. 2). Arctic Water was present from the surface to 100 m depth. Nutrient concentrations in this layer were high (SiO₄ = 3–5 μM, NO₃ = 8–10 μM, PO₄ = 0.5–0.6 μM). Beneath the surface layer, Atlantic Water was recognized by its relatively high temperature (*T* > 2.5°C) and waters at this depth were slightly under-saturated with oxygen.

Chlorophyll-a and phytoplankton abundance were the lowest recorded during this SEAS cruise (Fig. 5); chlorophyll-a values did not exceed 0.5 μg l⁻¹, and phytoplankton biomass was about 2 μg C l⁻¹. Small flagellates (including *Phaeocystis*), naked dinoflagellates (*Gymnodinium* spp.), Cryptophyceans and some diatoms (*Chaetoceros socialis* and *Fragilariaopsis cylindrus*) were present. Ice algae, such as *Melosira arctica* and *Nitzschia frigida*, were found in long chains from the undersides of ice floes and in some net samples.

**Transect VI: Kvitøya** (not shown)

The transect crossed a small trough and was completely ice-covered (Fig. 2). Cold Arctic Water occupied the upper 75 m (*T* < −1.0°C). At the eastern side of the trough, chlorophyll levels were surprisingly high (station 119; Table 4), in comparison to the more northern waters, with values reaching 4.4 μg l⁻¹ at 80°44.8'N. This bloom, more typical of the marginal ice zone, was dominated mainly by the diatom *Chaetoceros socialis* (4 x 10⁶ cells l⁻¹) and by the prymnesio-phycean *Phaeocystis pouchetii* (10⁶ cells l⁻¹). Total biomass reached 121 μg C l⁻¹. At the same station a corresponding increase in silicate uptake at the surface was observed with concentrations of 0.4 to 0.8 μM in the upper 10 m, in contrast with 1.8 to 4.4 μM at the neighbouring stations.

**Central Barents Sea, east of Svalbard**

Undertaken a month apart, two central Barents Sea transects, I and VII, crossed the Barents Sea Polar Front and the marginal ice edge. Transect VII extended farther north (Fig. 1).

**Transect I: Barents Sea Polar Front** (Figs. 8, 9)

Ice cover (8 to 10/10; Fig. 2) and thickness (140 cm) decreased steeply towards the south (1–2/10 cover, thin ice): the marginal ice edge was located at about 77°27'N. The Barents Sea Polar Front (BSPF), was evident in both the salinity and temperature profiles, although more clearly demonstrated in the latter. Water temperatures increased from below −1.5°C to above 1.0°C (Fig. 8a) from north to south over a distance of about 40 km (at ca. 50 m depth). Stratification of the water column was dominated by salinity and increased towards the south (Fig. 8b). The 34.25 isohaline was found at depths of between 25 and 40 m for the whole transect, indicating the presence of meltwater at the surface. Towards the south, the high concentration of nutrients at the surface (upper 50 m), especially nitrate and silicate, decreased to depleted levels (Fig. 9b, d).

Phytoplankton distribution and species composition varied. Chlorophyll-a concentration (>2.5 μg l⁻¹), phytoplankton abundance
Fig. 8. Vertical distribution of: (a) potential temperature (°C); (b) salinity (psu); (c) Apparent Oxygen Utilization (AOU) (μM); and (d) chlorophyll-α (μg l⁻¹) along the Polar Front transect.

Fig. 9. Vertical distribution of: (a) ammonia (μM); (b) nitrate (μM); (c) phosphate; and (d) silicate (μM) along the Polar Front transect.
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(2.7 × 10^6 cells l\(^{-1}\)) and biomass (78 µg C l\(^{-1}\)) were relatively high. The phytoplankton community was dominated by the prymnesiophycean Phaeocystis pouchetii (Table 5) and by the diatoms Nitzschia grunowii, Thalassiosira antarctica and Chaetoceros socialis, typical for a marginal ice “spring” bloom community.

The chlorophyll maxima increased in depth towards the south, reaching 6.8 µg l\(^{-1}\) in the central part of the section (at a depth of 30–50 m; Fig. 8d); a corresponding decrease in AOU levels (Fig. 8c) was also noticed. Maximum phytoplankton abundance occurred at 50 m depth, which was slightly deeper than that of the chlorophyll-a. Phaeocystis pouchetii represented more than 70% of this “Atlantic side” community. Dynobrion balticum, a characteristic species for frontal zones, and Lauderia borealis were well represented.

High concentration of ammonia (0.8 µM) in the northernmost and central area of the transect occurred at 50 and 70 m depths, respectively (Fig. 9), together with an increase of phaeopigments – indicative of grazing.

Fig. 10. Vertical distribution of: (a) potential temperature (°C); (b) salinity (psu); (c) Apparent Oxygen Utilization (AOU) (µM); and (d) chlorophyll-a (µg l\(^{-1}\)) along the central Barents Sea transect.

Transect VII: central and northern Barents Sea (Figs. 10, 11, 12)

This north–south transect ran from the northern Barents Sea, south of Kvitoya (80°41.9' N), across the BSPF into the southern Barents Sea (Fig. 1, Table 1), the latter part (from station 138) retracing transect sampled a month earlier. The marginal ice edge had receded by about 400 km and was now located at 80°20' N, with heavy pack ice tongues (8/10) reaching up to 81°41.9' N.

Surface salinity (Fig. 10b) was much lower than a month earlier along the whole transect, with the exception of the northernmost end of the transect. Beneath the low salinity surface water, cold (T > -1.0°C) and more saline (34.0 < S < 34.5 psu) water was found at depths from 50 to 150 m to the north of the Polar Front (Fig. 10b).

The BSPF was again evident in the temperature profiles; maximum gradients occurred between stations 143 and 146 at 50 m depth. Underneath this layer, a warmer, more saline and higher in nutrient water mass was present (Fig. 11). These waters had low oxygen concentrations, indicative of waters with Atlantic origin, as Polar Front
Water (Loeng 1991). Nitrate, silicate and phosphate were depleted at the surface at station 132; the thickness of this inorganic nutrient-exhausted layer increased to more than 50 m at the southernmost stations (Fig. 11b, c, d). The surface peak of chlorophyll-a (3.4 μg l⁻¹, Fig. 10d) was found in the marginal ice edge zone. Several Deep Chlorophyll Maxima (DCM) were observed along the transect with chlorophyll-a values of >3 μg l⁻¹ between 20 and 40 m depth. The depth of the DCM increased from the ice edge towards the south, forming a characteristic picture for marginal ice edge bloom development at the receding ice edge (Rey & Loeng 1985; Skjoldal et al. 1987).

In the surface layer, phytoplankton blooms were dominated by Phaeocystis pouchetii and Chaetoceros socialis (Table 5). Phytoplankton abundance and biomass reached values of up to 5 x 10⁶ cells l⁻¹ and 63 μg C l⁻¹ at the marginal ice edge. A notable decrease in abundance of Chaetoceros socialis was observed to the south of the Kvitoya transect where the species was represented mainly by resting spores.
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Proceeding to the south, the phytoplankton peak abundance descended to depths of between 20 and 40 m with maxima >10⁵ Phaeocystis cells⁻¹ (Fig. 12). In this region, the vertical profile of cell abundance was heterogeneous due to the patchy distribution of Phaeocystis pouchetii. Diatom abundance steeply decreased towards the south, disappearing from the surface in the southernmost region (Fig. 12). Auto- and heterotrophic dinoflagellates, cryptophytes (i.e. Dinobryon balticum) and nanoflagellates were present at these stations and were representatives of an “Atlantic community” in a post-bloom state.

Net and new production estimates in the central Barents Sea

Net community primary production occurred throughout the central Barents Sea section, except at the two southernmost stations (stations 144, 146), with rates being higher proceeding to the north (Table 3). In the northern Barents Sea (east of Svalbard) production estimates for both net and new production were similar and rates ranged from

---

Fig. 11. Vertical distribution of: (a) ammonia (μM); (b) nitrate (μM); (c) phosphate; and (d) silicate (μM) along the central Barents Sea transect.

---
0.58 to 1.44 g C m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) (for stations 132–137). This suggests that new production was the dominant fraction of the high net community production. In contrast, for the southern part of the section (repeated stations), net community and new production estimates were not in agreement, suggesting that both new and regenerated forms of production were active. In particular, regenerated production was the only form found at the southernmost stations (143, 144 and 146), whereas new production was found to occur at appreciable rates to the north of the BSPF (stations 138 and 142), where both forms of production were active, with maxima of 0.36 g C m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) (new production) and 0.62 g C m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) (net production) in the upper mixed layer (station 43/142).

Discussion

In the warm and ice-free waters to the west of Svalbard, a post-bloom situation was observed in early July. Phytoplankton biomass was low and species composition was dominated by flagellates and representative of a summer Atlantic community (see Weslawski et al. 1993). Nutrients were generally not depleted, whilst some ammonia peaks were found to correspond to an abundant zooplankton standing stock (Fransz et al. 1992). Andreassen et al. (1996) observed that there was a low vertical flux of organic matter (POC 25–30 mg C m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)) with respect to particulate phytoplankton carbon, and a predominance of faecal pellets and detritus in the sedimented material. These observations corroborated our data and indicated that to the west of Svalbard phytoplankton biomass was effectively grazed and, thus, controlled by the zooplankton community.

To the north of Svalbard, the waters were covered with thick ice and Cold Arctic Water overlay the deep (>100 m) warmer Atlantic Water. In this region, chlorophyll-\(a\) and phytoplankton biomass values were very low. Phytoplankton was composed of a mixture of autotrophic and heterotrophic flagellates. Despite high nutrient concentrations, the low light levels under the ice and unstratified (well-mixed) waters (with little evidence of meltwater) did not enhance significant phytoplankton growth. In fact, new production levels were low, around of 0.1 g C m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) for 100 m integrated depth (F. Sorensen pers. comm.), which confirms previous production estimates for this region (see Strömberg 1989). However, several colonies of *Melosira arctica* were found at the northern stations, and also collected in the sedimented material. These species are representative of a sub-ice summer community (Syvertsen 1991). It has been suggested that the major input of organic carbon in this ice-covered region is produced by under-ice flora. However, some carbon production can be attributed to algal growth as indicated by silica consumption (synonymous with diatom growth) which may maintain a high zooplankton biomass (copepods, mainly *Calanus finmarchicus*, *Calanus glacialis* and *Calanus hyperboreus*; Fransz et al. 1992). Because the sedimentation rate was low (17 to 26 mg C m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)) and sinking material was represented mainly by faecal pellets (Andreassen et al. 1996), it is probable that at some time prior to sampling, phytoplankton growth had occurred and been instantly consumed by copepods. This pulse of carbon was not reflected in the short-term sediment trap data.

In the Barents Sea, a typical situation of enhanced phytoplankton development at the
receding ice edge (Rey & Loeng 1985; Sakshaug 1989) was observed. The ice edge receded from south to north leaving nutrient-impoverished water behind. The ice edge bloom was observed at 77°27' in late June and at 80°41' and 80°20' N, a month later. Water column stability, induced by meltwater, favoured the growth of phytoplankton (see Strass & Nöthig 1996). High chlorophyll values were found at the bloom sites and phytoplankton communities were dominated by diatoms and the Prymnesiophycean, Phaeocystis pouchetii.

The decrease in abundance of Chaetoceros socialis in the water column from 80°41' to 80°20' N and the appearance of resting spores of the same species, found deeper in the water column, were corroborated by results from the sediment traps (Andreassen et al. 1996). The highest sedimentation rate of chlorophyll-a was found at 80°20' N, where the resting spores of C. socialis, with high silica content, represented the major component of the sedimented material. As Bienfang (1981) demonstrated, the heavily silified resting spores of C. socialis sink five times faster than vegetative cells. It is proposed that C. socialis is the main species responsible for the depletion of silica in the northern Barents Sea, as also reported for the central Barents Sea by Rey & Skjoldal (1987).

The new production estimates, obtained by nutrient uptake, confirm that the marginal ice zone is a site of high phytoplankton activity. These estimates (up to 1.44 g C m⁻² day⁻¹) compare favourably with the values obtained using the¹⁴C method for estimation during the growth season in the Barents Sea (Savinov 1992), in the Fram Strait (Codispoti et al. 1991) and the Northwestern Polynya, Canadian Arctic (Pesant et al. 1996).

To the south of the ice edge, where several Deep Chlorophyll Maxima (DCM) occurred, Phaeocystis dominated the phytoplankton communities. In contrast, phytoplankton sedimentation appeared to be low, and the settled organic matter was composed of mainly faecal material and carbon of unknown origin (Gonzalez et al. 1998). Nutrient depletion at the surface was very pronounced and phytoplankton biomass was low and concentrated at the DCM. Species composition was typical of a post-bloom state, mainly represented by auto- and heterotrophic flagellates, large heterotrophic dinoflagellates and several ciliates. The specific phytoplankton composition was probably due to the low light conditions at the depth of the pycnocline.

A slight increase in nutrients was observed at the southernmost stations, which suggested some loss of carbon. However, estimates based on oxygen production still indicated that primary production was occurring. In this region, the rates of primary production were much lower than those at the ice edge, but this rate was still detectable and within the range of values reported in the literature for blooms in the late phase in polar areas (Jennings 1984; Sambrotto et al. 1986; Goeyens et al. 1991; Savinov 1992). These apparently inconsistent results (loss of carbon on one hand, some production on the other) can be explained by the fast removal of carbon due to regeneration processes within the euphotic layer. In this region, the contribution of regenerated production consistently supported the net community production and even dominated at the southernmost stations. Peaks in ammonia concentration corresponded to an increase in mesozooplankton biomass and, therefore, grazing was considered to have reduced the phytoplankton biomass in this region. However, the presence of several protozoans in the south-east stations indicated that grazing by microzooplankton could be more important than grazing by mesozooplankton, as demonstrated by Hansen et al. (1996) for the same area. Furthermore, the presence of microzooplankton would be important for the retention of suspended matter in the upper water column (I. Andreassen pers. comm.).

In conclusion, phytoplankton biomass and composition in the waters around Svalbard vary considerably and are shown to be dependent upon hydrological, hydrochemical and biological factors, as well as the cover and thickness of sea ice. Phytoplankton biomass is generally low and grazing by micro- and mesozooplankton exerts a major control. The copepods and protozoans appear to be able to respond immediately to any increase in phytoplankton biomass and, hence, grazing reduces the standing stock. Similarly, zooplankton grazing control has been observed in under-ice communities (Syvertsen 1991). Sedimentation rates of living cells from the euphotic zone were low and the sinking material consisted mainly of faecal pellets (Andreassen et al. 1996), further illustrating the importance of zooplankton grazing. In fact, sedimentation rates in the benthic layer were low throughout the study area (Hulth et al. 1996).
It is only at the ice edge that the zooplankton community seems unable to control the spring phytoplankton bloom and that the sedimentation of living phytoplankton seems to be the dominant factor. Here, the increase in stratification of the waters and the availability of nutrients, coupled with an increase in insolation, result in the development of spring phytoplankton blooms and a pulse of carbon to the benthos.

Production estimates have revealed, for the first time, that both new and regenerative production occur to the north of the Barents Sea Polar Front in summer. Maximum production occurred at the receding ice edge and new production prevailed in the northern part of the section, decreasing towards the BSPF. South of the BSPF, the contribution of regenerative production of the net community production.
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