Generating intravital super-resolution movies with conventional microscopy reveals actin dynamics that construct pioneer axons

Yide Zhang¹,*, Evan L. Nichols²,³,*, Abigail M. Zellmer², Ian H. Guldner²,⁴,⁵, Cody Kankel⁶, Siyuan Zhang²,⁴,⁵, Scott S. Howard¹,⁴,‡ and Cody J. Smith²,³,‡

ABSTRACT

Super-resolution microscopy is broadening our in-depth understanding of cellular structure. However, super-resolution approaches are limited, for numerous reasons, from utilization in longer-term intravital imaging. We devised a combinatorial imaging technique that combines deconvolution with stepwise optical saturation microscopy (DeSOS) to circumvent this issue and image cells in their native physiological environment. Other than a traditional confocal or two-photon microscope, this approach requires no additional hardware. Here, we provide an open-access application to obtain DeSOS images from conventional microscope images obtained at low excitation powers. We show that DeSOS can be used in time-lapse imaging to generate super-resolution movies in zebrafish. DeSOS was also validated in live mice. These movies uncover that actin structures dynamically remodel to produce a single pioneer axon in a ‘top-down’ scaffolding event. Further, we identify an F-actin population – stable base clusters – that orchestrate that scaffolding event. We then identify that activation of Rac1 in pioneer axons destabilizes stable base clusters and disrupts pioneer axon formation. The ease of acquisition and processing with this approach provides a universal technique for biologists to answer questions in living animals.
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INTRODUCTION

Cells in living organisms exist in complex and packed environments with unique extracellular milieu next to cells of diverse morphologies and molecular profiles. Biological investigations into the native physiological state of cells often rely on microscopy to visualize cells within organisms. However, conventional (confocal and two-photon) microscopy is limited in its ability to resolve some subcellular structures owing to the optical diffraction limit (Cole et al., 2011).

To overcome the diffraction limit, super-resolution microscopy has been developed to reveal the detailed organization of subcellular structures. Optical super-resolution [e.g. stimulated emission depletion (STED), photoactivated localization (PALM), stochastic optical reconstruction (STORM) and structured illumination (SIM) microscopy] uses enhanced imaging systems to acquire images of biological specimens (Betzig et al., 2006; Gustafsson, 2005; Hell and Wichmann, 1994; Rust et al., 2006; Straus et al., 2012). Most optical super-resolution microscopes require thin slices of tissue, so cells must be removed from their native environment for imaging. Although STED permits 3D imaging in tissues, its penetration depth is limited because scattering and aberrations in tissues can break the alignment of the two lasers, degrading image quality (Vicidomini et al., 2018). Alternatively, optical techniques generally use extensive laser powers or exposure times within tissue, limiting longer-term imaging. Super-resolution radial fluctuations (SRRF) reduces laser power and exposure but requires extensive computing power and is not compatible for use in three-dimensional samples. Recently, lattice light sheet microscopy was combined with optical imaging to provide super-resolution intravital protein organization over extended periods of time (Liu et al., 2018). Collectively, such optical techniques require the acquisition of expensive hardware. Expansion microscopy cross-links antibodies or fluorophores to a polymer gel, which then is expanded, to provide super-resolution (Chen et al., 2015; Chozinski et al., 2016; Freifeld et al., 2017). The advantage to expansion microscopy is its performance in tissue environments and whole animals with the use of conventional microscopes. However, expansion microscopy requires fixation and thus cannot be used in living animals (Chozinski et al., 2016; Freifeld et al., 2017). Together, these approaches, unfortunately, are limited in their ability to image cells in their native environment over extended periods of time in volume stacks.

Here, we begin to answer these questions by developing an intravital super-resolution technique, DeSOS, combining stepwise optical saturation super-resolution (SOS) and blind deconvolution (Holmes et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2018). With DeSOS, we can obtain intravital super-resolution movies using conventional microscopy. Here, we provide an open-access application to obtain DeSOS images. We validate DeSOS by imaging actin arrangement in dorsal root ganglia (DRG) neurons in zebrafish via time-lapse movies, identifying seven distinct actin subpopulations in developing neurons. Our data show that two of these subpopulations orchestrate the production and selection of the pioneer axon. We then identify Rac1 as a key regulator of the dynamics of these subpopulations. Overall, we introduce DeSOS as an accessible technique to acquire super-resolution images of cells in their native environments.

RESULTS

Principle of DeSOS

To acquire super-resolution time-lapse movies in tissue samples at least 40 µm deep, we sought a super-resolution technique that used low laser powers and short acquisition times. SOS, a
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saturation-based super-resolution fluorescence microscopy technique, allows users to obtain super-resolution images with conventional confocal or two-photon microscopes. Using linear combination of two conventional fluorescence images obtained with different illumination powers (e.g. 1× and 1.2× the original power), SOS increases image resolution \( \sqrt{2} \)-fold. The ratio of the two laser powers does not need to be exactly 1.2; it can be any number larger than 1, but \( \sim 1.2 \) is recommended for optimal signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) performance (Zhang et al., 2018). A two-step SOS, however, could reduce the SNR of the raw images by an order of magnitude. To alleviate the SNR problem, we hypothesized that deconvolution algorithms could increase the SNR of raw images before SOS. We implemented this approach using blind deconvolution, which utilized an iterative process to produce deconvolved images through a reconstructed point spread function (PSF) estimate capable of adapting to the heterogeneous environment in biological samples without prior knowledge of the PSF (Holmes et al., 2006). Compared with conventional deconvolution methods, which utilize theoretical or measured PSFs of the imaging system, blind deconvolution is superior because it adapts to the real PSF of the microscope and sample, which can be substantially different from theoretical or measured PSFs owing to instrument and sample variations. Unless otherwise noted, all deconvolution terms in this paper refer to blind deconvolution. In confocal and two-photon microscopy, Poisson noise is the major noise source that not only obscures the real structures in the image but also creates impossible features that are smaller than the PSF. Deconvolution amplifies features corresponding to the real structure while rejecting noise features smaller than the PSF, hence increasing the SNR of the raw images. Linear combination of the deconvolved images with the coefficients determined by the excitation laser powers used to obtain the raw images provides the theoretical basis of deconvolution with SOS (DeSOS). For DeSOS microscopy performed on a confocal microscope, for example, if the raw images \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) are obtained with a laser power of \( I_1=1 \) mW and \( I_2=1.2 \) mW, respectively, the linear combination coefficients will be calculated as \( c_1=1 \), \( c_2=-I_1/I_2=-0.83 \) according to the SOS algorithm (Zhang et al., 2018), and the resulting super-resolution DeSOS image will be \( c_1\text{Decon}[F_1]+c_2\text{Decon}[F_2]=\text{Decon}[F_1]-0.83\text{Decon}[F_2] \) (Fig. 1A).

The most important advantage of DeSOS is that its resolution is higher than conventional and deconvolved images and its SNR performance is substantially better than SOS. As can be seen from theoretically simulated PSFs of conventional, SOS, deconvolved and DeSOS images (Fig. 2A), although SOS and DeSOS both show a reduction in the PSF’s full width at half maximum (FWHM) compared with conventional PSFs, SOS’s SNR is substantially lower than that of DeSOS. The deconvolved PSFs also show an increase in SNR and apparent reduction in the PSF’s FWHMs. Among all PSFs, the best performance with respect to both resolution and SNR is provided by the DeSOS PSF with a lateral FWHM of 77.2 nm and an axial FWHM of 304.2 nm, a 2.26-fold and 2.04-fold increase in lateral and axial resolutions, respectively, compared with the conventional PSF. Note that although the
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**Fig. 1. Principle of DeSOS.** (A) In DeSOS, two conventional (confocal or two-photon) fluorescence images obtained with different laser powers (e.g. 1× and 1.2× the original power) are processed with blind deconvolution and SOS, to generate a DeSOS image. As an example, the z-projection of a DeSOS image of a Tg(sox10:gal4); Tg(uaa:lifeact-GFP) transgenic zebrafish fixed at 54 hpf, with its PSF, is shown. (B) Simulated conventional (1× and 1.2× laser powers), SOS, blind deconvolution, and DeSOS images of two adjacent point objects where their distance is 0.35× the excitation wavelength. (C) Line profiles of the simulated images in B in the lateral direction (y=0, z=0). (D) Simulated images of the two point objects as in B separated by 0.30× the excitation wavelength. (E) Line profiles of the simulated images in D in the lateral direction.
reduction in FWHMs can also be seen in the deconvolved PSFs, this was mainly due to its higher contrast by reducing optical distortion and did not indicate that deconvolution physically provided better resolution (MacDonald et al., 2015; McNally et al., 1999). To demonstrate this further, we performed a simulation where two point objects were located next to each other (Fig. 1B,D). As the distance between the centers of these two objects varies, the line profiles in the lateral direction show very distinct features (Fig. 1C,E). When the distance is 0.35× or greater than the excitation wavelength, the two adjacent points are differentiable in the profiles of all image modalities, including the original and deconvolution ones; moreover, deconvolution demonstrates similar differentiability compared with SOS and DeSOS. However, when the distance is closer, e.g. 0.30× the wavelength, the simulations demonstrate that the adjacent points become differentiable only in SOS and DeSOS modalities.

To test these simulations, we compared the theoretical PSFs in parallel to fluorescent images obtained with confocal microscopy (Fig. 2). For this comparison, we imaged a 500 nm fluorescent bead, which was large enough to be properly sampled by our spinning disk confocal microscope with 206 nm minimum pixel size yet small enough to observe resolution performance from lateral and axial FWHMs (Fig. 2B). Compared with the conventional image, the SOS image showed a reduction in bead size with significant SNR degradation. Deconvoluted images improved both the SNR and contrast of the image. The best imaging performance was again seen in DeSOS. For a 500 nm object that was conventionally imaged with a lateral FWHM of 581.5 nm and an axial FWHM of 1379.0 nm, the DeSOS image provided a closer representation of its actual size, with lateral FWHM of 395.0 nm and an axial FWHM of 1093.5 nm, respectively. Compared with the SOS image, the improvement in SNR performance of the DeSOS image was consistent with theoretical simulations.

**DeSOS produces super-resolution images in intact animals**

We next hypothesized that DeSOS could be used to generate intravital super-resolution images as it only requires standard confocal microscope images with small differences in excitation powers. To test this, we first imaged actin arrangement in DRG neurons in zebrafish fixed at 2 days post-fertilization (dpf) using Tg(sox10:gal4); Tg(uas:lifeact-GFP) animals, which use the sox10 promoter to express Gal4 to drive Lifeact-GFP expression in DRG neurons (Fig. 3A) (Helker et al., 2013; Hines et al., 2015). To demonstrate the utility of DeSOS, we imaged z-stacks of the DRG neurons using incremental laser powers: 1.011 mW (1×) and 1.025 mW (1.014×). Stacks were then processed for SOS, deconvolution and DeSOS. SOS images show a noticeable increase in spatial resolution but a low SNR, whereas deconvolution improved the SNR (Fig. 3A). As expected, DeSOS images combined the advantages of SOS and deconvolution individually. To quantify resolution, a region of interest (ROI) with concentrated Lifeact-GFP was analyzed by measuring pixel intensity across the dashed red line in Fig. 3A (Fig. 3B). In the raw confocal images, the width of the peak was 4.5 µm. This decreased significantly in SOS, deconvolution and DeSOS images to 3.0 µm. Further, both SOS and DeSOS distinguished two distinct Lifeact-GFP peaks within the ROI whereas deconvolution alone was unable to do so. To examine the enhanced DeSOS image in more detail, we used ImageJ to subdivide every pixel of the image into quartiles by intensity. We then rendered outlines of each quartile and overlaid them to visualize distinct populations based on intensity. Composite images show that the DeSOS image has greater range and diversity of actin populations (Fig. 3C).

Super-resolution is distinguishable from deconvolution because it can discriminate a single point from two adjacent points. To investigate whether DeSOS could provide super-resolution information across multiple ROIs, we quantified groups of adjacent pixels based on similar intensity values. This analysis used ImageJ to group adjacent pixels that had intensity values within a range of values calculated as 10% of the maximum intensity value in the image. The area and average intensity were calculated for each ROI (Fig. 3C). For this comparison, we imaged a 500 nm fluorescent bead, which was large enough to be properly sampled by our spinning disk confocal microscope with 206 nm minimum pixel size yet small enough to observe resolution performance from lateral and axial FWHMs (Fig. 2B). Compared with the conventional image, the SOS image showed a reduction in bead size with significant SNR degradation. Deconvoluted images improved both the SNR and contrast of the image. The best imaging performance was again seen in DeSOS. For a 500 nm object that was conventionally imaged with a lateral FWHM of 581.5 nm and an axial FWHM of 1379.0 nm, the DeSOS image provided a closer representation of its actual size, with lateral FWHM of 395.0 nm and an axial FWHM of 1093.5 nm, respectively. Compared with the SOS image, the improvement in SNR performance of the DeSOS image was consistent with theoretical simulations.

**DeSOS produces super-resolution images in intact animals**

We next hypothesized that DeSOS could be used to generate intravital super-resolution images as it only requires standard confocal microscope images with small differences in excitation powers. To test this, we first imaged actin arrangement in DRG neurons in zebrafish fixed at 2 days post-fertilization (dpf) using Tg(sox10:gal4); Tg(uas:lifeact-GFP) animals, which use the sox10 promoter to express Gal4 to drive Lifeact-GFP expression in DRG neurons (Fig. 3A) (Helker et al., 2013; Hines et al., 2015). To demonstrate the utility of DeSOS, we imaged z-stacks of the DRG neurons using incremental laser powers: 1.011 mW (1×) and 1.025 mW (1.014×). Stacks were then processed for SOS, deconvolution and DeSOS. SOS images show a noticeable increase in spatial resolution but a low SNR, whereas deconvolution improved the SNR (Fig. 3A). As expected, DeSOS images combined the advantages of SOS and deconvolution individually. To quantify resolution, a region of interest (ROI) with concentrated Lifeact-GFP was analyzed by measuring pixel intensity across the dashed red line in Fig. 3A (Fig. 3B). In the raw confocal images, the width of the peak was 4.5 µm. This decreased significantly in SOS, deconvolution and DeSOS images to 3.0 µm. Further, both SOS and DeSOS distinguished two distinct Lifeact-GFP peaks within the ROI whereas deconvolution alone was unable to do so. To examine the enhanced DeSOS image in more detail, we used ImageJ to subdivide every pixel of the image into quartiles by intensity. We then rendered outlines of each quartile and overlaid them to visualize distinct populations based on intensity. Composite images show that the DeSOS image has greater range and diversity of actin populations (Fig. 3C).

Super-resolution is distinguishable from deconvolution because it can discriminate a single point from two adjacent points. To investigate whether DeSOS could provide super-resolution information across multiple ROIs, we quantified groups of adjacent pixels based on similar intensity values. This analysis used ImageJ to group adjacent pixels that had intensity values within a range of values calculated as 10% of the maximum intensity value in the image. The area and average intensity were calculated for each ROI (Fig. 3C). For this comparison, we imaged a 500 nm fluorescent bead, which was large enough to be properly sampled by our spinning disk confocal microscope with 206 nm minimum pixel size yet small enough to observe resolution performance from lateral and axial FWHMs (Fig. 2B). Compared with the conventional image, the SOS image showed a reduction in bead size with significant SNR degradation. Deconvoluted images improved both the SNR and contrast of the image. The best imaging performance was again seen in DeSOS. For a 500 nm object that was conventionally imaged with a lateral FWHM of 581.5 nm and an axial FWHM of 1379.0 nm, the DeSOS image provided a closer representation of its actual size, with lateral and axial FWHMs of 395.0 nm and 1093.5 nm, respectively. Compared with the SOS image, the improvement in SNR performance of the DeSOS image was consistent with theoretical simulations.

**DeSOS produces super-resolution images in intact animals**

We next hypothesized that DeSOS could be used to generate intravital super-resolution images as it only requires standard confocal microscope images with small differences in excitation powers. To test this, we first imaged actin arrangement in DRG neurons in zebrafish fixed at 2 days post-fertilization (dpf) using Tg(sox10:gal4); Tg(uas:lifeact-GFP) animals, which use the sox10 promoter to express Gal4 to drive Lifeact-GFP expression in DRG neurons (Fig. 3A) (Helker et al., 2013; Hines et al., 2015). To demonstrate the utility of DeSOS, we imaged z-stacks of the DRG neurons using incremental laser powers: 1.011 mW (1×) and 1.025 mW (1.014×). Stacks were then processed for SOS, deconvolution and DeSOS. SOS images show a noticeable increase in spatial resolution but a low SNR, whereas deconvolution improved the SNR (Fig. 3A). As expected, DeSOS images combined the advantages of SOS and deconvolution individually. To quantify resolution, a region of interest (ROI) with concentrated Lifeact-GFP was analyzed by measuring pixel intensity across the dashed red line in Fig. 3A (Fig. 3B). In the raw confocal images, the width of the peak was 4.5 µm. This decreased significantly in SOS, deconvolution and DeSOS images to 3.0 µm. Further, both SOS and DeSOS distinguished two distinct Lifeact-GFP peaks within the ROI whereas deconvolution alone was unable to do so. To examine the enhanced DeSOS image in more detail, we used ImageJ to subdivide every pixel of the image into quartiles by intensity. We then rendered outlines of each quartile and overlaid them to visualize distinct populations based on intensity. Composite images show that the DeSOS image has greater range and diversity of actin populations (Fig. 3C).
groupings, respectively (Fig. 3D,E; n = 5 DRG). SOS demonstrated an increase in the number of individual groups: 213.8±33.7 (Fig. 3D,E; n = 5 DRG). Deconvolution, consistent with its theoretical inability to increase resolution, had similar pixel groupings as the original confocal images (100.2±14.2 groupings) (Fig. 3D,E; n = 5 DRG). DeSOS demonstrated not only the most groupings of similar pixels with 243.2±26.1 but also greater SNR (Fig. 3D,E; n = 5 DRG; paired one-way ANOVA, P = 0.0011). Based on these results, we propose that DeSOS provides super-resolution images in intact animals from images from traditional microscopes.

We next attempted to perform two-color DeSOS with Tg(sox10: mrfp); Tg(sox10:lifeact-GFP) animals (Kucenas et al., 2008). In these images, we could visualize membrane labeled with RFP and actin labeled with GFP inside that membrane (Fig. S2A). We further visualized detailed retinal organization with Tg(sox10: mrfp) (Fig. S2B). We also used Tg(phox2b:gal4); Tg(uas:syn-GFP) to determine whether synaptic vesicles could be visualized at higher resolution with DeSOS (Heap et al., 2013; Hines et al., 2015). Consistent with DeSOS’ widespread utility, synaptic vesicles were clearly labeled, with adjacent synaptic vesicles clearly distinguishable (Fig. 3F). These data are consistent with the hypothesis that DeSOS provides enhanced imaging information for analysis in deep tissue samples.

**Super-resolution DeSOS in living tissue**

As DeSOS could be applied to fixed zebrafish, we sought to test it in live Tg(sox10:gal4); Tg(uas:lifeact-GFP) larvae at 48 hours post-fertilization (hpf) with imaging at sequential laser powers. Because living tissue is sensitive to high laser powers, we utilized lower laser powers in live animals (59.1 µW and 86.3 µW) and repeated the above experiments to determine the potential use of DeSOS in live tissue (Fig. 4A,B). First, by grouping pixels with similar intensities in distinct ROIs, we again measured a difference in the number of unique groupings between each type of image. The unprocessed images showed 46.6±6.5 and 58.2±9.1 distinct groupings (Fig. 4C,D; n = 5 DRG). SOS increased the number of groupings to 71.4±8.8, particularly visualizing groups with smaller areas (Fig. 4C,D; n = 5 DRG). DeSOS addressed both issues by increasing the number of distinct pixel groupings (116.0±19.2 groupings; n = 5 DRG; paired one-way ANOVA, P = 0.0051) and the SNR (Fig. 4C,D). As with fixed tissue, DeSOS could be used to increase resolution of multicolor zebrafish images as well as distinct protein-labeled synaptic vesicles (Fig. 4E, Fig. S2C,D). Lastly, to demonstrate the universality of DeSOS, we performed it on live mouse brains via cranial windows and two-photon microscopy. In this analysis, microglia processes could be visualized at higher resolution than that achieved by standard two-photon microscopy (Fig. 4F). These results...
are consistent with the hypothesis that DeSOS allows greater subcellular resolution of structures in living animals.

**Using distinct deconvolution methods to improve signal-to-noise ratio**

Many programs for image deconvolution, like AutoQuant Blind, are available for commercial purchase. However, because blind deconvolution algorithms use estimations of the PSF to reverse optical distortion, we predicted that new or publicly available deconvolution algorithms could satisfactorily increase the SNRs of the raw images. To test this, we first used the ImageJ plugin DeconvolutionLab2 (Sage et al., 2017) to deconvolve a z-stack of raw images of DRG neurons in Fig. 3. We also developed an open-access DeSOS program that includes a blind deconvolution algorithm implemented with Matlab (MathWorks) to process the raw images (see supplementary Materials and Methods for links to downloadable executable files). We then quantified the groupings of pixels with similar intensities as in Fig. 3. Images processed by the freely available DeconvolutionLab2 and our open-access DeSOS deconvolution algorithms showed enhancement in SNR comparable to that obtained using the AutoQuant Blind program (Fig. S3).

Fig. 4. DeSOS produces super-resolution images in live animals. (A) Confocal z-projection images at 48 hpf of living Tg(sox10:gal4); Tg(uas:lifeact-GFP) animals. Images were taken at power 1× (59.1 μW), and at laser power 1.46× (86.3 μW). z-stacks were processed using SOS, deconvolution and DeSOS. (B) Pixels in each image subdivided into quartiles by intensity to visualize distinct populations of pixels. Composite outlines of each quartile are shown. (C) Adjacent pixels with intensity values within a 10% range of the maximum pixel intensity were grouped. The number of groupings for each image, represented by area and mean intensity are shown. DeSOS discerns additional groups compared with other modalities. (D) Number of distinct pixel groupings from each image (n=5 DRG) (paired one-way ANOVA). (E) Confocal z-projection images at 48 hpf of living Tg(phox2b:gal4); Tg(uas:syn-GFP) animals processed using DeSOS to visualize synaptic vesicles. (F) z-projections of a live mouse brain showing microglia with two-photon microscopy and DeSOS. Insets in corresponding colors demonstrate resolution improvement for visualization of microglia processes. Scale bars: 10 μm.
Comparison of DeSOS and SRRF images of living animals

We also wanted to compare the resolution improvement of DeSOS and another super-resolution technique that requires a conventional microscope, SRRF. To do this, we first took images of the nascent DRG in Tg(sox10:gal4); Tg(uas:lifeact-gfp) animals at 1× and 1.46× laser powers for DeSOS processing. We then used the 1× laser to take 100 frames of the same structures to generate a SRRF image (Fig. S4). As in our previous images, DeSOS was able to visualize new information by resolving single points in the raw image into multiple points. In comparison, SRRF also accomplished this and identified bright puncta, consistent with its vector-based identification of local fluorescent maxima. When DeSOS and SRRF images were overlaid, we observed that DeSOS retained a web-like signal in the DRG soma whereas that signal was lost in SRRF. We conclude that the qualitative image provided by SRRF is greatly suited for use in protein puncta localization, and that DeSOS generates a clearer image of complex structures, such as the actin cytoskeleton.

An open-access DeSOS application

We sought to generate an application that makes DeSOS highly accessible with a standalone program with graphical user interfaces (GUI). The program was implemented with Matlab and can be installed on a Windows PC. A detailed tutorial is presented in supplementary Materials and Methods. To perform DeSOS, the user is asked to input the optical parameters used for acquiring the raw images in order to perform blind deconvolution efficiently. The program uses the input optical parameters to calculate a theoretical PSF to generate an initial estimate as accurately as possible. Next, blind deconvolution is performed on the raw images. After deconvolution, the user can perform the DeSOS algorithm using the GUI.

Intravitral DeSOS movies of developing animals

We next investigated whether DeSOS could be used to generate intravitral super-resolution time-lapse movies, again using Tg(sox10:gal4); Tg(uas:lifeact-GFP) animals. Z-stacks were taken every 5 min for 24 h at 59.1 µW and 86.3 µW. Laser powers were kept low to avoid phototoxicity and photobleaching. To examine the difference between standard confocal images and DeSOS, we compared individual time points (Fig. 5, Movies 1, 2). The pixel grouping method indicates a consistent increase in the number of identified groupings (Fig. 5C,F). Because DeSOS only requires a small increase in laser power, the changes in overall intensity were minimal. We also did not observe any abnormal death of the animal or cells, both of which would have been signs of phototoxicity. Together, these results support the conclusion that DeSOS can generate intravitreal super-resolution movies in living tissue samples.

Super-resolution movies reveal distinct actin dynamics

The viability of DeSOS to create super-resolution intravitral movies motivated us to uncover new biology by analyzing our DeSOS movies. During this time in development (48-72 hpf), DRG pioneer axons initiate dorsally to the dorsal root entry zone (DREZ), enter the spinal cord, and then form branches that extend anteriorly and posteriorly (Nichols and Smith, 2019; Smith et al., 2017). Although these processes have been identified in other experimental paradigms, super-resolution imaging of dynamic actin arrangements during the totality of these events has not been pursued in living animals. We sought to identify distinct actin populations in intravitral DeSOS movies using four parameters: temporal stability, pixel intensity, size and shape, and location in the neuron.

We first observed actin dynamics at the growth cone. One population consisted of thin actin protrusions that extended from the central growth cone and were present as the axon navigated to the DREZ and after the axon entered the spinal cord (Fig. 6A). However, they were absent for ~75 min while the axon was positioned at the DREZ. These structures resemble the well-described filopodia observed during axon pathfinding (Chen et al., 2003; McCaig, 1989; Stanfield, 1966). When the axon was at the DREZ and filopodia were not present, growth cone actin instead organized into a dense concentration only observed for only 60-75 min in each axon (Fig. 6A).

We next focused on the maturing axon, in which two distinct actin populations have been recently described: actin hotspots and actin trails (Ganguly et al., 2015). To test whether DeSOS could identify actin hotspots and trails in vivo, we created kymographs of mature axons. Because DRG neurons have multiple axonal domains, we investigated this possibility in each domain: the PNS-located central projection, the distal peripheral axon and the CNS-located central projection. In each domain, kymographs displayed a stable concentration of actin reminiscent of previous descriptions of actin hotspots (Fig. 6F).

Although growth cone actin dynamics have been well-described, those in other neuronal domains are less understood. Therefore, we explored actin dynamics during axon initiation. In raw images, the axonal shaft appeared as a homogenous rod of F-actin, but DeSOS revealed unique populations. We first observed a population of actin within most of the axon shaft that was always present in the axon but was largely stable as it lagged the growth cone (Fig. 6E). With DeSOS, we also identified another distinct population in the axon shaft that localized to the base of extending neurites (Fig. 6E). Owing to this consistent concentration at the neurite base, we termed this population the stable base cluster (SBC). SBCs were present only during axon initiation and remained at the neurite base throughout neurite extension. To visualize SBC stability over time, we generated a kymograph of the extending axon, confirming a stable concentration of Lifeact-GFP at the base of the neurite throughout extension (Fig. 6E).

Although growth cone actin dynamics have been well-described, those in other neuronal domains are less understood. Therefore, we explored actin dynamics during axon initiation. In raw images, the axonal shaft appeared as a homogenous rod of F-actin, but DeSOS revealed unique populations. We first observed a population of actin within most of the axon shaft that was always present in the axon but was largely stable as it lagged the growth cone (Fig. 6E). With DeSOS, we also identified another distinct population in the axon shaft that localized to the base of extending neurites (Fig. 6E). Owing to this consistent concentration at the neurite base, we termed this population the stable base cluster (SBC). SBCs were present only during axon initiation and remained at the neurite base throughout neurite extension. To visualize SBC stability over time, we generated a kymograph of the extending axon, confirming a stable concentration of Lifeact-GFP at the base of the neurite throughout extension (Fig. 6E). With DeSOS, we also identified another distinct population in the axon shaft that localized to the base of extending neurites (Fig. 6E). Owing to this consistent concentration at the neurite base, we termed this population the stable base cluster (SBC). SBCs were present only during axon initiation and remained at the neurite base throughout neurite extension. To visualize SBC stability over time, we generated a kymograph of the extending axon, confirming a stable concentration of Lifeact-GFP at the base of the neurite throughout extension (Fig. 6E).
we visualized anterograde and retrograde actin trails (Fig. 6F). In total, intravital DeSOS movies identified at least seven distinct actin populations in DRG axons.

**SBCs correlate with neurite extensions**

Of the actin populations that we visualized, little is known about SBCs. When SBCs are present, DRG neurons produce a single pioneer axon that projects to the DREZ. To dissect the formation of this single pioneer axon, we first investigated how neurite extensions create a single projection by tracking each individual neurite during axonal selection in DeSOS movies and identifying the neurite as primary (directly connected to the neuronal soma), secondary (branched from primary neurite), etc. Standard confocal movies do not provide enough spatial resolution to visualize all the axonal extensions that DeSOS detected. We reasoned that pioneer axons could be established by the extension of multiple primary neurites followed by one stabilizing while the rest retract. Alternatively, neurites could continuously add and subtract branches from existing...
neurites. In the movies, we observed that neurites extended from highly dynamic branch points (Fig. 7A). To score this phenomenon, we created a ‘survival map’ of neurites (Fig. 7B,C). Each column of the map represents an individual neurite over time, and the column shade at each time point represents whether the neurite was primary (dark gray), secondary (light gray), tertiary (white) or absent (black). This analysis showed that as branch points were eliminated, secondary and tertiary branches from the same tree were converted into primary and secondary branches, respectively (Fig. 7C,D). Further, tertiary neurites were the first to terminally stabilize into secondary, followed by the selection of secondary neurites into primary. Surprisingly, primary neurite selection was the final event in axon selection; this is inconsistent with a model in which the pioneer axon is generated from a single neurite that stabilizes before other neurites. Instead, these data support pioneer axon selection as a top-down scaffolding event driven by dynamic neurite and branch point remodeling.

To provide insight into the mechanism of top-down scaffolding of pioneer axon formation, we assayed the relationship of actin populations during this process. In DeSOS movies, we observed that SBCs were present at 92% of neurite branch points. Given the localization of SBCs to the neurite base, we hypothesized that branching and retraction events may correlate with SBCs. To test this, we recorded the time of SBC formation (green line) and disappearance (red line) in the survival map. This analysis revealed that SBC formation and disappearance preceded the initiation and retraction of neurites, suggesting that SBC formation could be essential for neurite extension.

If SBCs function in neurite extension and retraction, then their assembly or disassembly should predict neurite remodeling. We hypothesized that the presence or absence of SBCs determines the fate of its neurite. To test this, we measured the intensity of SBCs and the length of the associated neurite during neurite initiation. This analysis demonstrated that SBC intensity sharply increases 16±1.6 min prior to the extension of its associated neurite (Fig. S5A,B; n=10 SBC). Repeating this analysis with neurite retraction, we observe that the SBC decreased in intensity 29±4.4 min before neurite retraction (Fig. S5C,D; n=9 SBC). We never visualized branch retraction without the preceding destabilization of its SBC. Taken together, these data are consistent with the hypothesis that the presence of the SBC dictates neurite initiation and retraction.

**Actin waves between SBCs mediate neurite formation and retraction**

To dissect this event further, we next hypothesized that primary or secondary SBCs may contribute to the formation of secondary neurites.
or tertiary SBCs. To test this, we used MTrackJ to trace SBC intensity over time. These measurements showed that SBC intensity fluctuates, but when we overlaid the time points where downstream neurites (e.g. neurite 10 is downstream of the SBC of neurite 6) extended (green arrow) and retracted (red arrows), we consistently observed changes in SBC intensity (Fig. 8B). Specifically, SBC intensity decreased 14.8±3.9 min before a higher-order neurite initiated (n=6 SBC). Conversely, SBC intensity increased 30±10.7 min after higher-order neurite retraction (n=6 SBC). These data suggest that higher-order SBCs may be created from actin originally localized in lower-order clusters.

In this model of neurite selection and initiation, actin must be mobilized from primary SBCs to the site of the new cluster. Previous studies of actin dynamics in extending axons have shown that actin can be shuttled to branch points in ‘actin waves’ (Case and Waterman, 2011; Flynn et al., 2009; Inagaki and Katsuno, 2017). We hypothesized that actin waves are involved in the observed relationship between neurites and SBCs (Fig. 8A). Alternatively, SBCs and neurites could form de novo at a branch point (Fig. 8A). To dissect these possibilities, we created a kymograph of an SBC during the formation of higher-order neurites. This kymograph revealed that during higher-order neurite extension the SBC from the lower-order neurite retained a strong, albeit decreased, Lifeact-GFP intensity (Fig. 8C). We also observed anterograde and retrograde movement of actin from the lower-order base to the higher-order neurite. Anterograde movements led to decreased Lifeact-GFP at SBCs.

These data suggest that actin waves may be involved in moving actin from one SBC to another to precipitate neurite formation. We reasoned that if a lower-order SBC was photobleached, then we would visualize the formation of higher-order neurites without detecting an SBC. To test this, we photobleached a primary SBC and collected a time-lapse of neurite initiation. In doing so, we detected secondary neurite formation without visualizing its SBC (Fig. 8D). To confirm this, we traced SBC intensity of primary and secondary neurites. Even though the intensity of the primary SBC was restored following photobleaching, an SBC was not initially detected for the secondary neurite (Fig. 8E). Together, these data suggest that actin waves shuttle actin to higher-order SBCs and that SBCs continually receive new actin throughout their lifetime.

**Rac1 disrupts SBCs and pioneer axon selection**

These data demonstrate that distinct actin populations coordinate during pioneer axon selection. We therefore sought to modify their stability by expressing photoactivatable Rac1 (PA-Rac1) in DRG neurons under the UAS promoter in Tg(sox10:gal4); Tg(uas:lifeact-GFP) animals. We then collected DeSOS movies of these animals for 24 h from 48 hpf to 72 hpf (Movies 3, 4). PA-Rac1 was photoactivated by exposing the animal to 445 nm light every 5 min. We confirmed ectopic Rac1 activation by measuring the length of filopodia in DeSOS movies. Consistent with previous reports of Rac1-mediated filopodia stabilization, neurons with photoactivated Rac1 exhibited longer filopodia compared with non-photoactivated DRG (Fig. S6A; Student’s t-test, P=0.0076; n=104 non-photostable, n=142 photostable) (Dumontier et al., 2000).

We next hypothesized that ectopic Rac1 activation could disrupt pioneer axon selection via SBCs, neurite shafts, and/or actin waves.
so strong that only 63% of DRG with photoactivated Rac1 were present for 166.9 ± 27.25 min, compared with 297.8 ± 63.46 min for SBCs in non-photoactivated axons (Fig. 9G; \(n=16\) non-photoactivated SBCs; Student’s \(t\)-test, \(P=0.0396\)). Taken together, these data suggest that Rac1 favors actin localization in extending neurites over SBCs. Without a steady SBC, the axon cannot successfully extend to targets.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we introduce a highly accessible super-resolution technique, DeSOS, that can be employed in intravital and live-animal imaging. We demonstrate that super-resolution can be obtained using two images taken at low excitation powers from conventional microscopes. We show the utility of this technique in both fixed and living tissue and provide an open-access application for the generation of DeSOS images. DeSOS can be used to obtain super-resolution time-lapse movies shown here by visualizing dynamic actin populations in nascent DRG neurons. Together, our data introduce DeSOS as an intravital super-resolution technique that can be used to construct super-resolution time-lapse movies that will have a robust impact in studying cells in their native physiological environments.

**DeSOS visualizes cells in their native environments**

The development of super-resolution imaging techniques has increased our understanding of subcellular structure and organization (Ganguly et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2018; Tønnesen et al., 2018). However, most optical super-resolution techniques (SIM, STORM, etc.) require thin slices of tissue, effectively precluding their use in intact or living animals. In this way, super-resolution techniques make it fundamentally difficult to image cells in their native physiological environments, an important consideration as interactions with other cells and its microenvironment influence cellular assembly and organization (Di Martino et al., 2016; Kang et al., 2003; Pan and Chan, 2017). Recently, expansion microscopy, which physically expands the tissue sample, has been proposed to circumvent this limitation (Chen et al., 2015; Chozinski et al., 2016; Freifeld et al., 2017). However, this technique requires cross-linking fluorophores to a polymer network, preventing use in living animals (Chozinski et al., 2016; Freifeld et al., 2017). DeSOS overcomes both disadvantages, and we provide an open-access application that can be
used to easily obtain DeSOS images, making DeSOS highly accessible to scientists across disciplines.

Intravital super-resolution has been achieved previously with STED with impressive resolution of $\sim 1$ nm (Balzarotti et al., 2017). STED requires extensive instrumentation, including an additional laser to induce stimulated emission of fluorophores, a vortex phase plate or a spatial light modulator to generate depletion (i.e. donut-shape) patterns, a circuitry to synchronize the onset of the two laser beams and the detector, and additional optical alignment and calibration procedures (Blom and Widengren, 2017). STED usually demands high excitation power to quench the fluorophores surrounding the focal point through stimulated emission. Therefore, STED was long considered incompatible with long-term and live-cell imaging owing to photobleaching and phototoxicity caused by the high excitation power (Vicidomini et al., 2018); in comparison, we successfully demonstrate long-term (24 h), intravital, DeSOS imaging using low excitation power. STED can also be limited in its imaging depth, as scattering and aberrations can break the co-alignment of the two beams used for STED imaging. DeSOS, on the other hand, has a deep penetration depth as scattering does not adversely degrade image quality other than reducing the SNR.

Despite the differences, STED remains the gold standard of super-resolution and outperforms DeSOS in many ways, especially its resolution of $\sim 1$ nm (Balzarotti et al., 2017). DeSOS provides a moderate but more accessible super-resolution solution that can perform long-term intravital imaging at no additional cost.

Similar in accessibility to DeSOS, SRRF provides super-resolution images with no additional hardware and requires acquisition of $>100$ frames that are computationally processed to generate a single super-resolution image (Gustafsson et al., 2016). DeSOS can be easily applied to volume stacks whereas volumetric imaging with SRRF does not provide improvement in $z$-resolution like DeSOS (Gustafsson et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018). Our data also suggest that DeSOS retains quantitative information that SRRF does not. However, and importantly, SRRF and DeSOS are theoretically independent, allowing them to be potentially combined to improve overall resolution. Similarly, as DeSOS is widely accessible to confocal and two-photon systems it can also theoretically be combined with other imaging modalities to fit the desired experiment.

Super-resolution movies image cellular processes in living animals

Here, we use DeSOS movies to visualize the dynamic actin organization in nascent DRG neurons as they produce a pioneer axon and mature. The mechanisms by which neurons produce striking polarity through the creation of axons and dendrites has long been of interest. Current models of axonal selection emphasize
a competition between neurites for cellular resources or the presence of an intracellular sensor in one neurite that downregulates growth in neighboring neurites (Inagaki et al., 2011; Toriyama et al., 2010; Winans et al., 2016). These hypotheses have been resolved largely in cell culture systems. DeSOS allows us to test such hypotheses in living animals and visualize the selection of the pioneer axon in DRG neurons. Our movies indicate that neurite selection, at least in DRG, occurs in a top-down process whereby distal targeting is selected before the more proximal neurite segments. These data suggest that pioneer axon selection occurs in multiple stages, first at local levels within individual primary neurites and later between primary neurites themselves. Further, our pioneer axon selection data in living animals highlights the importance of SBCs in this process. Future studies will now be able to take advantage of DeSOS’ compatibility with intravital imaging to test additional hypotheses in cells’ native environments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental model and subject details

All animal studies were approved by the University of Notre Dame Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The zebrafish and mouse strains used in this study are listed in supplementary Materials and Methods. All zebrafish were raised at 28°C in egg water in constant darkness and staged by hpf or dpf.

In vivo imaging with spinning disk confocal microscopy of zebrafish

Zebrafish were imaged as previously described (Nichols and Smith, 2019) on a confocal microscope. Further details on fish imaging and the microscope used for this study can be found in supplementary Materials and Methods. Images for SRRF were collected as 100 consecutive frames (Gustafsson et al., 2016). SRRF images of individual z-planes were generated using NanoJ software for ImageJ. SRRF images of individual z-planes were then collapsed into a z-projection.

Intravital microscopy of mice

Mice were subjected to skull thinning and imaged on a two-photon microscope. Further details are noted in supplementary Materials and Methods.

DeSOS microscopy

The fluorescent images obtained with the spinning disk confocal microscope were processed with DeSOS microscopy, which consisted of two operations: blind deconvolution and SOS. The details of each step can be found in supplementary Materials and Methods. The open access DeSOS program is hosted at: https://dx.doi.org/10.7274/r0-5hhg-5578.

Simulation

The conventional confocal PSFs were simulated based on the intensity distribution in the focal region of an aberration-free lens of circular aperture (Sheppard and Matthews, 1987) and the steady-state solution of a two-level saturable fluorophore model (Zhang et al., 2018). Further details of the object simulation are given in supplementary Materials and Methods.

Bead imaging

Bead imaging experiments were performed on the spinning disk confocal microscope. A prepared slide mounted with fluorescent microspheres of different sizes (Invitrogen, T14792) was used for the imaging. We chose the 500 nm diameter beads with a concentration of ~1.5 × 10^10 particles/ml. The excitation wavelength was 488 nm; the stack dimension was 1024×1024×32, with a pixel width of 206 nm and a slice depth of 1 μm. The image for the first step was taken with a power of 59.1 μW and the second step was taken with 72.7 μW. The raw image stacks were imported to AutoQuant Blind for deconvolution. The raw and deconvolved images were then imported to Matlab for SOS and DeSOS processing. A ROI of a single bead was chosen and the images were cropped to this ROI to demonstrate the resolution of each imaging modality. The lateral and axial profiles of the bead were fitted to a Gaussian function and the corresponding FWHMs were measured.

PA-Rac1 expression

The tol2-uxa UAS-PA Rac1-mcherry plasmid was stored at a working concentration of 75 ng/μl. An injection solution was prepared in water with 12 ng/μl of the plasmid and 25 ng/μl of a plasmid encoding transposase. This solution was injected into Tg(sox10:gal4); Tg(uas:lifeact-GFP) embryos at the single cell stage. At 48 hpf, PA-Rac1-mcherry’ DRG were identified using confocal microscopy. These DRG were time-lapse imaged and processed for DeSOS as described in supplementary Materials and Methods. The tol2-uxa UAS-PA Rac1-mcherry plasmid was a gift from Anna Huttenlocher (Addgene plasmid #41878).

DeSOS movies

DeSOS movies were generated in collaboration with the Center for Research Computing at the University of Notre Dame. Time-lapse images were taken at excitation powers 59.1 μW and 86.3 μW every 5 min for 24 h. Individual images at each time point were deconvolved using the Autoquant Blind algorithm. These deconvolved images were uploaded to the Center for Research Computing’s high-performance computing servers using the Cyberduck app. The SOS algorithm was then remotely applied on the deconvolved time-lapse images. Following SOS processing, the images were locally re-downloaded via Cyberduck and compiled into a DeSOS movie.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Slidebook software was used to create maximum intensity projections for all images and movies. Quantification of all data was carried out using ImageJ. All graphical data represents the mean and s.e.m. unless otherwise noted. All statistical analyses were completed using GraphPad Prism software. A detailed explanation of individual quantifications can be found in supplementary Materials and Methods and Table S1.
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