Case Report

A novel EDA variant causing X-linked hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia: Case report
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\section*{A B S T R A C T}

Hereditary ectodermal dysplasias are a complex group of inherited disorders characterised by abnormalities in two or more ectodermal derivatives (skin, nails, sweat glands, etc.). There are two main types of these disorders – hidrotic and hypohidrotic/anhidrotic ectodermal dysplasias. Hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia (HED) or Christ-Siemens-Touraine syndrome (OMIM: 305100) occurs in 1 out of 5000–10,000 births \cite{19} and has an X-linked recessive inheritance pattern (X-linked hypohydrotic ectodermal dysplasia – XLHED) \cite{2}.

The main cause of XLHED is a broad range of pathogenic variants in the EDA gene (HGNC:3157, Xq12-13) which encodes the transmembrane protein ectodysplasin-A \cite{4}. We report here the case of a patient with a novel inherited allelic variant in the EDA gene – NM_001399.5:c.337C>T (p.Gln113*) – in the heterozygous state. Targeted family member screening was conducted and other carriers of this EDA gene pathogenic variant were identified and phenotypically characterised. The patient subsequently underwent in vitro fertilisation with preimplantation genetic testing for monogenic diseases (PGT-M).

\section*{1. Case presentation}

The patient, a 32-year-old Caucasian woman, was consulted by a geneticist at an infertility clinic based on suspicion of a possible ectodermal dysplasia. Clinical examination disclosed convex nails, brittle hair, partial one-sided ptosis and altered sweat gland distribution. She had only a few deciduous teeth in childhood that did not develop properly. Her adult teeth were pointed and many of them were missing due to decay. Despite the patient’s inability to conceive, the underlying cause for her infertility had never been investigated.

Similar phenotypes were observed in the pedigree (Fig. 1). The patient’s grandmother had pale fragile hair and sensitive skin. Her grandmother’s sister was born with bright red skin and fine hair. The patient’s mother had the same type of hair, spotted skin and scabrous nails. Other family members, including the patient’s half-sister, demonstrated similar features to both the grandmother and the grandfather’s sister. Distinct regions without hair were observed on the legs of the patient’s niece. No male relatives displayed any of these characteristics.

According to the information available, ectodermal dysplasia was considered to be the underlying disease. All the observed clinical features closely resembled the pathology of the group of ectodermal dysplasia disorders. Specifically, nail abnormalities – convex, hypoplastic and thin fingernails and toenails – are one of the main clinical manifestations of ectodermal dysplasias. Consistent with the patient’s brittle hair, another classic symptom of ectodermal dysplasia is thin, sparse, light-coloured and fragile scalp hair \cite{11}. Eyelash ptosis, observed in our patient, is characteristic for 37% of all ectodermal dysplasia patients (Landau \cite{5}). Furthermore, the patient’s abnormal eccrine gland distribution indicated hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia (HED); HED is characterised by the partial or complete absence of sweat glands, causing anhidrosis or hypohidrosis. Additionally, the absence of
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several teeth in the patient (anodontia or hypodontia) is also a common symptom of HED. Mosaic patchy distribution of body hair, caused by ectodermal dysfunction, was observed in a relative of the patient. Thin, pale and dry skin, noticed in several family members, is also a significant feature of HED [11]. Hence, the patient and her family were referred for targeted ectodermal dysplasia testing.

Based on the family history and clinical information, a single nucleotide variant in the EDA gene was suspected to be the cause of possible X-linked hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia (XLHED) in this family. Next-generation sequencing analysed all the exons and exon-intron junctions in the patient’s EDA gene and compared them with the reference sequence NG_0098092. An allelic variant NM_001399.5:c.337C>T (p.Gln113*) in exon 1 was found in the heterozygous state. It was located in the same region as that previously described for two other affected members of the family. Following the discovery of NM_001399.5:c.337C>T variant.

To confirm the presence of EDA NM_001399.5:c.337C>T (p. Gln113*), Sanger sequencing was implemented using BigDye Terminator Kit v.3.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. It was performed for the four affected family members, the patient’s partner, the patient’s father and all preimplantation embryos. PCR was performed with 10 nM primer mix (Table 1), nuclease-free water, 10 μ PCR buffer (20 mM MgCl₂), 10 mM dNTPs, betaine and 1 U/μl Taq polymerase. PCR conditions are available upon request. Further direct analysis was carried out using a standard Sanger sequencing protocol.

3. Results

Following the discovery of NM_001399.5(EDA):c.337C>T (p. Gln113*), confirmatory Sanger sequencing was carried out for all affected family members where a DNA sample was available, i.e. the patient’s mother, patient’s half-sister, patient’s niece and patient’s grandmother. The pathogenic variant was found in the heterozygous state in all four of these relatives. Sanger sequencing was also performed for the patient’s partner and patient’s father and in these two cases the wildtype variant was detected.

All preimplantation embryos underwent PGT-M to determine the inheritance of the mother’s (carrier) and father’s (non-carrier) haplotypes. Three embryos (A1, A2 and A3) were unaffected. One embryo (A5) was affected and carried the mother’s allelic variant-related haplotype.

Sanger sequencing was performed for confirmation of the haplotyping results. The sequencing results corresponded with the STR haplotyping outcomes – embryos A1, A2 and A3 were declared as non-carriers, whereas A5 was acknowledged as affected. PGT-A was also undertaken and unbalanced chromosomal
4. Discussion

The protein encoded by the EDA gene is involved in ectodysplasin signalling. It is important in the development of ectodermal structures such as nails, hair, teeth and sweat glands [11]. Currently, there are more than 100 pathogenic and likely pathogenic EDA allelic variants described in ClinVar. All variants are related to anhidrotic ectodermal dysplasias. We describe here the first case of the pathogenic EDA variant NM_001399.5:c.337C>T (p.Gln113*) and the successful preimplantation genetic testing of embryos in the affected family.

The EDA gene encodes ectodysplasin-A (EDA), a type II transmembrane protein with three functionally important regions: 1) cysteine-rich C-terminal tumor necrosis factor (TNF) homology domain; 2) collagen domain comprising 19 Gly-X-Y repeats and a gap of two amino acids between repeat 11 and 12; 3) large extracellular domain containing consensus furin protease recognition sequence [6].

EDA belongs to the TNF superfamily of ligands. These ligands participate in epithelial-mesenchymal interactions in the early embryonic stage and determine ectoderm-derived appendage formation. DNA sequence changes in the TNF homology domain are the most common. Such aberrations impede the binding of EDA to its receptor EDAR. Pathogenic variants in the collagen domain can impair maturation of the TNF homology domain. However, not all variants in EDA appear to be pathogenic – changes in the consensus furin recognition sequence prevent proteolytic degradation of EDA protein [13].

Different alternative splicing isoforms with 12 exons are known to exist. The two longest isoforms, EDA-A1 (NM_001399.5, 391 aa) and EDA-A2 (NM_001005609.2, 389 aa), contain the TNF homology domain. They vary by two amino acids. The TNF homology domain directly attaches to the extracellular part of EDAR – a death domain-containing member of the TNF receptor family. EDAR further binds to its adaptor, EDAR-associated death domain (EDARADD), and this interaction triggers the NF-κB pathway [20]. Allelic variants in both the EDA and EDAR genes cause tooth agenesis [1].

Pathogenic, novel and private pathogenic variants in EDA are common. Park et al. described 10 cases of XLHED with different phenotypes in the Republic of Korea. Nine of them were caused by private allelic mutations [8]. Phenotypes vary for female carriers with outcomes depending on inactivation of the normal X chromosome. For instance, of 54 genetically investigated female carriers in Denmark, 59% demonstrated no XLHED activation of the normal X chromosome. Ultimately, every female is mosaic, with each cell expressing either the mother’s or father’s X chromosome [13].

Distinct regions without hair were observed on the legs of the patient’s niece, indicating somatic mosaicism with X chromosome inactivation. Female XLHED patients with identical pathogenic EDA variants can present phenotypic manifestations varying in expression which may result from preferential X chromosome inactivation. This is a random event in embryonic tissues. In such cases, any cell has a 50% probability of choosing to inactivate the mother’s or father’s X chromosome. Ultimately, every female is mosaic, with each cell expressing either the mother’s or father’s X chromosome genes [16].

The statistics on XLHED are inadequate due to exclusion of data on the size of cohorts in different regions [10,15]. In the literature, the prevalence of XLHED cases fluctuates from 1 to 287 per 100,000 [3,14,15]. Nguyen-Nielsen and colleagues estimated the prevalence of XLHED in Denmark to be around 1.6–22 cases per 100,000. The distribution of pathology may be even more ill-defined [7].

PGT-M with STR haplotyping was performed according to previously validated protocols [9,18]. The patient gave birth to a healthy boy who did not demonstrate any clinical symptoms linked to XLHED. A recent case report described the phenotype of a male patient with a novel EDA variant of unknown significance – NM_001399.5(EDA)c.1142G>C (p. Gly381Ala). He exhibited the following features: absent hair on the scalp and eyebrows; pale, thin, dry skin with eczematous dermatitis; linear wrinkled skin around the eyes and mouth [17].

Our case report is the first preimplantation diagnostics case for XLHED in Latvia. We anticipate that future PGT-M cases related to ectodermal dysplasias will benefit from our experience detailed here.
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