Chromatin interaction maps identify Wnt responsive cis-regulatory elements coordinating Paupar-Pax6 expression in neuronal cells
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Abstract

Central nervous system-expressed long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are often located in the genome close to protein coding genes involved in transcriptional control. Such lncRNA-protein coding gene pairs are frequently temporally and spatially co-expressed in the nervous system and are predicted to act together to regulate neuronal development and function. Although some of these lncRNAs also bind and modulate the activity of the encoded transcription factors, the regulatory mechanisms controlling co-expression of neighbouring lncRNA-protein coding genes remain unclear. Here, we used high resolution NG Capture-C to map the cis-regulatory interaction landscape of the key neuro-developmental Paupar-Pax6 lncRNA-mRNA locus. The results define chromatin architecture changes associated with high Paupar-Pax6 expression in neurons and identify both promotor selective as well as shared cis-regulatory-promoter interactions involved in regulating Paupar-Pax6 co-expression. We discovered that the TCF7L2 transcription factor, a regulator of chromatin architecture and major effector of the Wnt signalling pathway, binds to a subset of these candidate cis-regulatory elements to coordinate Paupar and Pax6 co-expression. We describe distinct roles for Paupar in Pax6 expression control and show that the Paupar DNA locus contains a TCF7L2 bound transcriptional silencer whilst the Paupar transcript can act as an activator of Pax6. Our work provides important insights into the chromatin interactions, signalling pathways and transcription factors controlling co-expression of adjacent lncRNAs and protein coding genes in the brain.
Long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) genes are often co-expressed in the brain with neighbouring protein coding genes involved in gene expression control. Such lncRNA-protein coding gene pairs are predicted to work together to regulate neuronal development and function. Despite this, the regulatory mechanisms controlling their co-expression is poorly understood. Here, we identify the chromatin interactions and DNA sequences controlling expression of the Paupar-Pax6 lncRNA-protein coding locus in the brain. We show that the Wnt signalling pathway, a key regulator of development and disease, acts through the TCF7L2 transcription factor to co-ordinate their expression. We find that Paupar DNA contains sequences that silence Pax6 whilst the Paupar RNA can act as an activator of Pax6. Our work generates new insights into the complex regulatory relationship controlling the function of neighbouring lncRNA-protein coding genes and is important for understanding development of the brain.

Introduction

A typical gene promoter is regulated by multiple different types of cis-regulatory elements (CREs) such as transcriptional enhancers and silencers. These are DNA sequences containing clusters of transcription factor binding sites that act together to generate the correct temporal and spatial expression of their target genes [1]. Chromatin conformation capture (3C) based technologies have shown that short- and long-range dynamic chromatin looping interactions bring CREs and their target promoters into close physical proximity in the nucleus to facilitate gene regulation. More recently, high throughput 3C variants such as NG Capture-C have been used to map large numbers of CREs to their cognate genes at high resolution and investigate the complexity of CRE-promoter communication at unprecedented detail [2,3].

Precise temporal and spatial control of expression of the Pax6 transcription factor gene is required for the normal development and function of the nervous system. Pax6 haploinsufficiency in mice results in abnormal eye and nasal development and causes a range of brain defects; whilst mutations affecting Pax6 expression and function in humans cause anirida, an autosomal dominant inherited disorder characterized by a complete or partial absence of the iris [4–6]. Pax6 is transcribed from 2 major upstream promoters (P0, P1) and multiple CREs have been shown to control Pax6 expression in distinct domains in the central nervous system and eye [7,8]. These include the neuroretina, ectodermal and retinal progenitor enhancers just upstream of the P0 promoter [9,10]; a photoreceptor enhancer situated between the P0 and P1 promoters [8] the retina regulatory region located between exons 4 and 5 [8,9]; and three conserved sequence elements within intron 7 that activate Pax6 in the diencephalon, rhombencephalon and at late stages of eye development [11]. These CREs are all located within a 30 kb window surrounding the Pax6 P0 and P1 promoters and act over short genomic distances. In addition, several candidate long-range enhancers have been identified approximately 150–200 kb downstream of the Pax6 gene and some of these have also been shown to drive Pax6 expression in specific domains of the eye and brain [12,13]. However, these enhancers together are not sufficient to generate the full temporal and spatial pattern of Pax6 expression in the central nervous system suggesting the presence of additional uncharacterised Pax6 regulatory elements.

Thousands of long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are temporally and spatially expressed within the central nervous system and some of these are thought to be important in brain development and function [14–16]. Brain-expressed lncRNAs are preferentially located in the
genome close to protein coding genes involved in transcriptional control [17]. This includes bidirectional lncRNAs that are transcribed in the opposite direction to a protein coding gene from a shared promoter as well as intergenic lncRNAs that are either expressed from their own promoter or from a transcriptional enhancer. Such lncRNA-mRNA pairs are frequently co-expressed during neuronal development and in different brain regions and can function in the control of similar biological processes [18,19]. The lncRNA Paupar, transcribed from a promoter approximately 8.5 kb upstream of the Pax6 gene, is an important regulator of neurogenesis in vivo in mouse and human, and is co-ordinately expressed with Pax6 during neural differentiation in vitro and in the adult mouse brain [19,20]. Moreover, Paupar transcript directly binds PAX6 and acts as a transcriptional cofactor to promote the formation of a Pax6-Paupar-KAP1 chromatin regulatory complex at important neuronal genes [19,20].

Even though Paupar and Pax6 can act together to regulate shared biological processes important for neuronal development, the CREs controlling Paupar-Pax6 co-expression in the nervous system are not known. Here we used NG Capture-C to generate high resolution chromatin interaction maps with the Paupar and Pax6 promoters in Paupar-Pax6 high- and low-expressing cells. The results identified shared chromatin interactions with both the Paupar and Pax6 promoters involved in regulating Paupar-Pax6 co-expression, as well as promoter specific cis-regulatory interactions. We discovered transcription factor motifs within a prioritised set of chromatin interactions and show that the Wnt signalling pathway acts through TCF7L2 to co-ordinate Paupar and Pax6 co-expression in neuronal cells. The results demonstrate that the Paupar DNA locus contains a TCF7L2 bound transcriptional silencer whilst the Paupar transcript can activate Pax6 expression, defining distinct roles for Paupar in Pax6 expression control. We also report cell type specific differences in both local and distal chromatin interactions with the Paupar and Pax6 promoters that may be important for CRE-promoter communication and Paupar-Pax6 activation in neurons. Our work further refines the complex cis-regulatory landscape surrounding the Paupar-Pax6 locus and provides critical insights into the regulatory mechanisms controlling the co-expression of adjacent lncRNAs and protein coding genes in the brain.

Results

Identification of cis-regulatory interactions with the Paupar and Pax6 promoters using high resolution NG Capture-C

Expression of the lncRNA Paupar and its adjacent Pax6 transcription factor gene are temporally coordinated during in vitro neural differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells (ESCs); whilst Paupar and Pax6 are mostly highly expressed in the adult brain compared to other mouse tissues [19]. Despite this, the chromatin interactions, signalling pathways and transcription factors controlling Paupar-Pax6 co-expression in the brain remain poorly understood. We therefore investigated Paupar-Pax6 expression control in the neuronal lineage using the following cell types: primary neural stem cells (NSCs) isolated from E14.5 mice, differentiated mouse cortical neurons, N2A mouse neuroblastoma cells, as well as mouse ESCs as a non-neuronal reference. RT-qPCR analysis demonstrated that Paupar and Pax6 P0 and P1 expression is significantly higher in neuronal cell types compared to ESCs, with highest expression in NSCs and differentiated neurons (Fig 1A and 1B). Consistent with an earlier report [21], our results also suggest that the Pax6 P1 promoter is the major Pax6 promoter in the neuronal lineage. We found that Pax6 P1 promoter transcription was 40- and 105-fold more active than P0 in NSCs and differentiated neurons respectively, whilst in N2A cells Pax6 P0 expression was undetectable (Fig 1A and 1B).

High resolution NG Capture-C was performed to map chromatin interactions with the Paupar and Pax6 P0 and P1 promoters, as well as the Sox2 promoter as a positive control, to
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identify the cis-regulatory DNA sequences important for Paupar-Pax6 expression control and the chromatin changes associated with activation of the locus in neuronal cells. Multiplexed NG Capture-C libraries were generated and sequenced to an average depth of 61 million paired end reads per library. Benchmarking NG Capture-C data quality using CCanalyser [3] showed that an average of 37.6% mapped reads contained capture bait sequence across all NG Capture-C libraries, demonstrating good capture enrichment, and confirmed good ligation efficiency as 29.3% of captured fragments were ligated to a reporter (S1 Table). This enabled us to generate high resolution NG Capture-C interaction profiles (Figs 1C, 1D and 1E and S1) using an average of 33,719 (S2 Table) unique interactions between DpnII restriction fragments and each promoter bait fragment per cell type.

NG Capture-C interaction profiles were then analysed using r3C-seq tools [22] to normalise for distance from the capture point and model statistically significant (q < 0.1) CRE-promoter interactions. As expected, we identified significant looping interactions between the Sox2 super-enhancer overlapping the Peril locus [23] and the Sox2 promoter in ESCs which were not present in neuronal cell types (S1 Fig). This is consistent with previous 3C based maps defining Sox2 enhancer-promoter communication [23] and confirms the ability of our approach to identify functional CREs. To define the set of regulatory interactions mediating Paupar-Pax6 expression we then determined the number of statistically significant chromatin interactions with the Paupar and Pax6 P0 and P1 promoters present in both biological replicates for each cell type. We discovered that 96% high resolution of chromatin interactions are located within a 50 kb window centred around each promoter (Fig 2A), including both upstream and downstream cis-acting DNA sequences. The Paupar-Pax6 cis-regulatory interaction map showed significant interactions with known Pax6 regulatory elements as well as many additional short-range regulatory interactions with candidate new CREs involved in Paupar-Pax6 expression control (Fig 2B and S3 Table for fragment coordinates). Consistent with a role in neuronal gene expression, chromatin interactions with the Paupar and Pax6 promoters show an increased association with H3K4me1 ChIP-seq peaks in E12.5 mouse forebrain tissue compared to ESCs (Fig 2B) using publicly available ENCODE data [24].

Moreover, permutation testing revealed statistically significantly enrichment (p < 0.05) in the overlap between forebrain H3K4me1 peaks and chromatin interactions with the Pax6 promoter viewpoints in all four cell types (Fig 2B and S4 Table). No significant intersection (p<0.05) was detected between ESC H3K4me1 peaks and cis-interactions with any of the promoter viewpoints (Fig 2B). As H3K4me1 marks both active and poised enhancers this implies that the NG Capture-C interactions may function to control expression of the Paupar-Pax6 locus in neuronal cells [25]. The interaction map also encompasses associations between DNA sequence elements within the Paupar genomic locus and the Pax6 promoters (Fig 2B and S5 Table). Most chromatin interactions (119 out of 168) were found in all cell types tested but we also discovered a subset of neuronal (30/168) and ESC (19/168) specific interactions that may be important for tissue specific regulation of the locus (S6 Table). Our results revealed shared
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interactions with more than one promoter region that may be important for Paupar-Pax6 co-expression in the brain (Fig 2C). In addition, we found a subset of specific cis-regulatory interactions with individual Paupar, Pax6 P0 and P1 promoter viewpoints, suggesting that Paupar and Pax6 expression control may be decoupled (Fig 2C), as well as a small number of trans-interactions with DNA sequences on different chromosomes (Fig 2B and S3 Table). Altogether, these results identify the chromatin interactions and CREs that are likely to be important for precise Paupar-Pax6 expression control.

**Wnt signalling acts through TCF7L2 to regulate co-expression of the Paupar-Pax6 locus**

We hypothesized that a subset of discovered genomic fragments would play a causal role in the formation of chromatin interactions needed for expression of the the Paupar-Pax6 locus and that these would have an elevated discovery rate in our analysis. N2A cells were used to identify and define the function of such sequences. These cells represent a well characterised tractable in vitro model of neuronal differentiation and were previously used to determine Paupar and Pax6 gene regulatory functions [19,20]. To identify sequences with increased proximity to the promoter viewpoints compared to surrounding sequence, we plotted the mean -log10 q-value for each reproducible cis-regulatory interaction against chromosome position using N2A cell NG Capture-C data. We next determined the DpnII fragments with the highest local statistical significance and curated a subset of 42 unique fragments (24 for Paupar, 10 for Pax6 P0 and 22 for the Pax6 P1 viewpoint), visualised as peaks of increased statistical prevalence (Fig 2D). 4Cin software [26] was then applied to generate a 3D model of Paupar-Pax6 local chromatin architecture from N2A cell NG Capture-C data and visualise the relative proximity of the curated fragments to the Paupar and Pax6 promoters. The results showed that most curated fragments are located at curvature points on the chromatin fibre and appear to be orientated towards the Paupar-Pax6 promoters (Fig 2E). This subset of curated NG Capture-C fragments may thus represent candidate Paupar-Pax6 CREs with roles in the regulation of short-range chromatin interactions.

CRE-promoter communication is mediated by protein-protein interactions between transcription factors bound to specific motifs within CREs and proteins assembled at the target promoters. To investigate the transcription factors controlling Paupar-Pax6 co-expression in the brain we used a custom motif discovery tool to search for transcription factor position frequency matrices (PFMs) within the N2A cell curated fragment dataset that have a high likelihood of factor binding (as described in [27]). This identified 269 high scoring transcription factor motifs that occur more than 10 times within the set of curated fragments (listed in S7 Table). We selected five of these transcription factors (KLF16, TCF7L2, ARID3A, MASH1 (ASCL1) and SOX6) with known functions in neuronal development to test for roles in Paupar-Pax6 expression control. To do this, we transfected N2A cells with specific
endonuclease-prepared pools of siRNAs (esiRNAs) targeting these transcription factors and measured changes in Paupar and Pax6 expression using RT-qPCR. The results showed that depletion of Tcf7L2 and Mash1 (Ascl1) led to a significant decrease in both Paupar and Pax6 (Fig 3A) whilst silencing Klf16, Sox6 and Arid3a increased Paupar but not Pax6 expression (Fig 3B). This suggests that Tcf7L2 and Mash1 (Ascl1) are involved in coordinating Paupar and Pax6 activation in neuronal cells and that Klf16, Sox6 and Arid3a act to selectively silence Paupar.

We prioritised Tcf7L2 for further investigation as it is a major effector of the Wnt/β-catenin key neuro-developmental signalling pathway and an important regulator of chromatin structure. To determine the role of Tcf7L2 in Paupar-Pax6 expression control we first manipulated Wnt signalling and measured changes in Tcf7L2, Paupar and Pax6 levels using RT-qPCR in N2A cells. Activation of canonical Wnt signalling using either ectopic expression of a constitutively active β-catenin S33Y protein [28] or recombinant WNT3a ligand led to a significant reduction in Tcf7L2 expression and a concomitant decrease in Paupar and Pax6 levels after 72 hours (Fig 3C and 3D). Treatment of N2A cells with BMP4, a component of the Bmp pathway that is known to cross-talk with Wnt signalling in neuroblastoma [29], led to a 2.3-fold increase in Tcf7l2 and a subsequent 2.1- and 1.9-fold up-regulation in Paupar and Pax6 expression 72 hours later (Fig 3E). Finally, treatment of N2A cells with RSPO2, a leucine rich repeat-containing G-protein coupled receptor (LGR) ligand that has been shown to amplify Wnt signal in a subset of neuroblastoma cells [30], did not induce significant changes in either Tcf7L2, Paupar or Pax6 (Fig 3F). These data show that changes in Tcf7L2 levels are accompanied by reciprocal alterations in Paupar and Pax6 expression and are consistent with a model in which Wnt signalling acts through TCF7L2 to co-ordinately regulate both Paupar and Pax6 expression in neural cells.

**Novel TCF7L2 bound CREs control Paupar-Pax6 co-expression**

We next mapped TCF7L2 chromatin occupancy at its predicted motifs within the Paupar-Pax6 regulatory region and annotated the function of candidate CREs encompassing these binding sites. Our motif search discovered 13 high scoring PFMs for the TCF7L2 transcription factor (S7 Table) within curated NG Capture-C fragments and qPCR primers were designed to amplify 7 candidate CREs (CRE1-7) encompassing these motifs (Fig 4A). ChIP-qPCR showed that TCF7L2 binding was 2.3-fold, 3.9-fold, 20-fold, and 11-fold enriched at CRE1, CRE2, CRE3 and CRE5 respectively, compared to an IgG isotype control (Fig 4B). TCF7L2 chromatin occupancy was not enriched at CRE4, CRE6, CRE7 and two regions that do not span any TCF7L2 motifs confirming the specificity of the experiment.

We then performed CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) to study the function of the TCF7L2 bound CREs within their endogenous chromatin context in Paupar-Pax6 expression control in N2A cells. To do this, single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) targeting either the TCF7L2 motif in each ChIP-defined TCF7L2 bound CRE or nearby non-TCF7L2 motif containing sequences (Fig 4A), were used to recruit a catalytically inactive dCas9-KRAB fusion protein to induce local chromatin closing and block regulatory element activity [31]. RT-qPCR analysis of Paupar and Pax6 expression showed that targeting dCas9-KRAB to CRE3 induced a significant 22% reduction in Paupar and a 31% reduction in Pax6 levels (Fig 4C). CRISPRi against the TCF7L2 motif in CRE2 led to a 2.1-fold up-regulation of Paupar and a 1.4-fold increase in Pax6 expression (Fig 4C); whilst inhibition of CRE5, located within the Paupar DNA locus, resulted in a 2.0-fold increase in Paupar and a 1.5-fold increase in Pax6 expression (Fig 4C). dCas9-KRAB recruitment to CRE1 and two nearby regions within the Paupar-Pax6 regulatory region that do not contain TCF7L2 motifs did not lead to coordinated changes in Paupar-
Fig 3. Identification of transcription factors and signalling pathways controlling Paupar-Pax6 expression in neuronal cells. (A) Tcf7L2, Mash1 and (B) Klf16, Sox6 and Arid3a expression were knocked-down in N2A cells by transfection of endoribonuclease-prepared pools of esiRNAs. An esiRNA pool targeting the luciferase gene was used as a negative control. Cells were harvested for expression analysis 3 days after transfection. (C) N2A cells were transfected with pcDNA3 or pcDNA3-B-cat S33Y. (D) Control or Wnt3a was transfected to N2A cells. (E) Control or Bmp4 transfection was performed in N2A cells. (F) Control or Rsop2 transfection in N2A cells.
with pCl β-catenin S33Y or pCl empty vector and harvested for expression analysis 2 days later. Results are presented relative to the pCl control. (D, E, F) N2A cells were treated with either 100 ng/μl WNT3A (D), 5 ng/ml BMP4 (E) or 100 ng/μl RSPO2 (F) and harvested for RT-qPCR analysis 3 days later. 0.02% BSA in PBS was used as a negative control. For RT-qPCR reactions: target gene expression was measured using RT-qPCR and results were normalised to Tbp. Results are presented as mean values +/- sem, n≥3. One-tailed student’s t-test * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010230.g003

**Pax6** expression. Taken together, these results suggest that the CRE3 TCF7L2 motif functions as part of a shared transcriptional enhancer of both Paupar and Pax6, that the CRE2 and CRE5 TCF7L2 motif containing CREs co-ordinately repress both Paupar and Pax6, and that the Paupar DNA locus itself plays a regulatory role in Pax6 expression control.

**Paupar transcript activates Pax6**

We previously showed that shRNA mediated depletion of Paupar in N2A cells increased Pax6 levels [19]. Whilst this suggests that Paupar transcript silences Pax6, interpretation is complicated by the fact that PAX6 can bind its own promoter and negatively autoregulate its own expression in a context dependent manner [32]. Furthermore, these data are not fully consistent with the perturbation experiments here showing positive correlation between changes in Paupar and Pax6. We therefore investigated the intrinsic transcriptional regulatory function of Paupar using N2A cell reporter assays to gain a better understanding of Paupar transcript-dependent regulation of Pax6. To do this, MS2-tagged Paupar was recruited to UAS sites upstream of a Pax6 promoter reporter using a Gal4-MS2 phage coat protein (MCP) fusion protein in a transient transfection assay (Fig 4D). This resulted in a statistically significant ($P = 0.006$) 60% increase in Pax6 promoter activity. Removal of Gal4-MCP, so that Paupar was no longer recruited to the reporter, led to a significant reduction in the Paupar transcriptional response whilst expression of Gal4-MCP fusion protein on its own had no effect on Pax6 promoter activity, confirming the specificity of the assay. Together with the findings in [32], these data are consistent with a model in which Paupar and Pax6 expression is coordinated and that Paupar transcript up-regulates Pax6 levels whilst PAX6 protein fine tunes its own expression through a negative auto-regulatory feedback loop in N2A cells.

**Identification of cell type specific chromatin architecture changes associated with high Paupar and Pax6 expression in neurons**

Comparative analyses of NG Capture-C profiles have previously been used to investigate cis-regulatory mechanisms controlling cell type specific gene expression [3]. We therefore compared NG Capture-C profiles from Paupar-Pax6 high-expressing differentiated neurons with low-expressing ESCs to detect changes in chromatin architecture associated with increased Paupar-Pax6 expression in the brain. To do this, normalised NG Capture-C data were first grouped into bins of discrete sizes to increase signal over noise. This showed that a 10 kb bin size facilitated the identification of changes in chromatin conformation due to clustering of neighbouring fragments that were not significant at the individual fragment level (S2 Fig). Furthermore, these changes were not discovered using permuted data validating specificity. We then examined changes in local chromatin architecture surrounding the Paupar-Pax6 locus up to +/- 50kb from each viewpoint (Fig 5A). Permutation testing to compare the frequency of upstream versus downstream chromatin interactions identified a significant increase in upstream chromatin interactions with the Pax6 P1 promoter in neurons compared to ESCs and an increase in downstream chromatin interactions with the Pax6 P1 promoter in neurons compared to ESCs (Fig 5A). On the other hand, genomic sequences upstream of the Pax6 P1 promoter show significantly increased chromatin interactions with the Paupar viewpoint in
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ESCs whilst sequences downstream of P1 are in closer proximity to the Paupar promoter in neurons compared to ESCs. This asymmetry in local chromatin organisation may be important for the rewiring of short-range CRE-promoter interactions controlling Paupar-Pax6 co-expression in neurons, and are consistent with ENCODE ChIP-seq data [24] showing that the chromatin surrounding the Paupar and Pax6 promoters is marked by an increase in open (H3K4me1, H3K4me3, H3K27ac) chromatin marks in E12.5 mouse forebrain tissue compared to ESCs (Figs 5B and S3).

As transcriptional regulatory elements can function over large genomic distances, we next analysed 1 MB genomic sequence surrounding the Paupar-Pax6 locus using binned NG Capture-C data to map meso-scale changes in chromatin architecture between cell types. This detected a large up to 250 kb chromosomal region located approximately 350 kb downstream of the Pax6 gene that contains an increased frequency of statistically significant interactions with the Paupar and Pax6 promoters in differentiated neurons compared to ESCs (Fig 5A). This region corresponds to an equivalent region in the human genome containing multiple predicted long-range CREs that loop onto the human Pax6 promoter in Promoter Capture Hi-C experiments [33]. Furthermore, this region contains an increased number of H3K4me1, H3K4me3 and H3K27ac ChIP-seq peaks [24] in mouse forebrain tissue compared to ESCs (Figs 5B and S3). As these histone modifications are known to mark active regulatory regions, we predict that this distal domain may contain additional clusters of uncharacterised long-range CREs involved in Paupar-Pax6 expression control in neuronal cells. Taken together, these data define both local and distal chromatin changes associated with Paupar-Pax6 expression in neurons.

Discussion

LncRNAs involved in brain development are frequently co-expressed with their adjacent protein coding genes. These LncRNA-mRNA pairs often function in the same biological processes and some CNS expressed LncRNAs modulate both the expression and transcriptional activity of their neighbouring protein coding genes. A greater understanding of the complex regulatory relationship controlling the expression and function of LncRNA-mRNA pairs in the brain is needed to further define their role in neuronal development and function.

In this study we used high resolution NG Capture-C to comprehensively define chromatin interactions important for Paupar-Pax6 expression control. Our work revealed an intricate network of short-range cis-regulatory interactions with the Paupar and Pax6 P0 and P1 promoters, including interactions with the previously characterised Pax6 ectodermal, neuroretina, retinal progenitor and photoreceptor enhancers [8–10], as well as many candidate new CREs. The results classified a subset of shared short-range chromatin interactions with both the Paupar and Pax6 promoters that are likely to be involved in regulating Paupar-Pax6 co-expression.
Fig 5. Local and distal chromatin changes associated with increased *Paupar*-Pax6 expression in neuronal cells. (A) A comparative analysis of NG Capture-C data to map changes in chromatin conformation between cell types. Differences in mean normalized capture counts for interactions with the *Paupar* (top), Pax6 P0 (middle) and Pax6 P1 (bottom) viewpoints.
between ESC and neurons are plotted on the y-axis. X-axis shows position on chromosome 2 (GRCh38/mm10). Data are binned to 10 kb across approximately ±500 kb genomic sequence around each viewpoint. Permutation testing was performed to determine statistical significance as described in Materials and Methods. (B) ENCODE Project ChIP-seq data mapping the location of H3K4me1, H3K4me3 and H3K27ac peaks in ESCs and mouse forebrain tissue across approximately 1MB genomic sequence surrounding the Paupar-Pax6 locus [24]. Individual peaks of less than 1 kb are shown at 1 kb long for visibility reasons.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010230.g005

in the brain. We detected significant asymmetry in local chromatin architecture surrounding the Paupar and Pax6 P1 promoters in differentiated neurons and ESCs and hypothesize that these changes are important for rewiring CRE-promoter communication and cell type specific expression of the locus.

We curated a subset of NG Capture-C fragments, based on increased local statistical significance, that may be central mediators of short-range CRE-promoter interactions. TCF7L2 binds a subgroup of these fragments and integrates signals from both the Wnt and Bmp signalling pathways to control Paupar and Pax6 co-expression. Interplay between the Wnt and Bmp pathways is critical for proper development of the nervous system and has been shown to regulate postnatal NSC self-renewal and neurogenesis in the Paupar-Pax6 expressing subventricular zone NSC niche [20,34,35]. Furthermore, the Wnt-Bmp signalling axis also promotes growth suppression and differentiation in neuroblastoma [29]. TCF7L2 is a key Wnt effector in the brain and is required for the production of Pax6 expressing neural progenitor cells in the neocortex [36]. We expect that TCF7L2 acts as an important regulator of Paupar-Pax6 chromatin organisation and CRE-promoter communication. Accordingly, TCF7L2 silencing leads to genome-wide changes in chromatin architecture and enhancer-promoter interactions in pancreatic and colon cancer cells, whilst TCF-bound Wnt responsive enhancers regulate chromatin looping and activation of the Myc gene in colorectal cancer [37–39]. Furthermore, ENCODE data shows that TCF7L2 associates with more than 40% of active enhancers in the genome in different cell lines suggesting that TCF7L2 is a critical regulator of cell-type specific CRE function [40]. ChIP-seq analysis could thus build on our results and map TCF7L2 chromatin occupancy across the Paupar-Pax6 regulatory region at high resolution in Paupar-Pax6 low- and high-expressing cells.

Our knockdown and pathway manipulation experiments indicate that the overall output of TCF7L2 activity is to up-regulate Paupar-Pax6 expression. However, CRISPRi mediated annotation of motif containing sequences showed that TCF7L2 bound CREs can act as either enhancers or repressors of Paupar and Pax6 co-expression. Although dCas9-KRAB recruitment induces the formation of a local closed chromatin state that will affect the accessibility of other nearby transcription factors binding sites, we propose a model in which different TCF7L2 motifs activate or repress Paupar-Pax6 in a context dependent manner. This leads to precise control of Paupar-Pax6 co-expression and is likely mediated through differential interactions between TCF7L2 and corepressor and coactivator proteins as described in [41].

Our work also discovered a large chromatin domain downstream of the Pax6 gene that displays clusters of increased long-range chromatin interactions with the Paupar-Pax6 locus in E14.5 mouse neurons compared to ESCs. This region is further downstream from the previously defined Pax6 distal DRR and the aniridia-associated breakpoints within the last intron of the downstream ELP4 gene that are predicted to influence PAX6 expression in affected individuals [12, 21]. However, it maps to an equivalent region in the human genome that contains multiple long-range enhancer-promoter looping interactions with the Pax6 promoter, is characterised by an increase in enhancer-like chromatin modifications and is located with within the same self-interacting TAD as the Paupar and Pax6 promoters in neurons [33,42,43]. Our results are thus consistent with a model in which developmentally regulated
changes in distal chromatin architecture also play a role in CRE-promoter rewiring and the activation of Paupar and Pax6 expression in the neuronal lineage.

This study further describes the function of the Paupar transcript and its DNA locus in Pax6 expression control. We previously showed that shRNA mediated depletion of Paupar transcript induced a dose-dependent increase in Pax6 expression [19]. However, this may be caused by transcriptional derepression of PAX6 mediated negative auto-regulation, as described in [32], as Paupar-Pax6 are co-expressed in neuronal cells and Paupar mostly activates the expression of its direct transcriptional targets genome-wide [19]. Indeed, we demonstrate here that Paupar transcript has intrinsic transcriptional activator function and propose a model in which Paupar activates Pax6 expression in a transcript-dependent manner whilst PAX6 can bind and negatively regulate its own promoter. NG Capture-C also revealed reproducible cis-regulatory interactions between DNA sequences within the Paupar locus and the Pax6 P0 promoter in neuronal cell types, consistent with phase III ENCODE data showing that Paupar overlaps five candidate CREs with an enhancer-like chromatin signature [44]. We identify a TCF7L2 bound transcriptional silencer, CRE5, within the Paupar DNA locus and show that recruitment of dCas9-KRAB to the TCF7L2 motif increased both Paupar and Pax6 expression. As this motif lies approximately 2 kb downstream of the Paupar TSS dCas9-KRAB recruitment would not block Paupar transcription [45]. This indicates that CRE5 represses both Paupar and Pax6 expression independently of the Paupar transcript produced. Similarly, several studies have reported distinct roles for IncRNA transcripts and transcriptional regulatory elements within their DNA loci in gene expression control. The Haunt DNA locus contains several transcriptional enhancer elements that loop onto the HoxA gene to increase its expression whereas the Haunt transcript binds upstream of HoxA to induce a repressive chromatin state and block HoxA expression [46]. Tug1 DNA contains a CRE that represses multiple neighbouring downstream genes whilst the Tug1 IncRNA acts in trans to regulate different genes [47].

The identification of shared Paupar and Pax6 CREs also raises the possibility that the Paupar promoter may be able to control Pax6 expression through CRE competition as described for several other IncRNAs. The promoters of the Pvt1 IncRNA and neighbouring Myc oncogene compete for interactions with four shared enhancers. Silencing the Pvt1 promoter using CRISPRi increased enhancer contacts with the Myc promoter and up-regulated Myc expression independent of the Pvt1 transcript [48]. Similarly, the Handsdown locus interacts with several enhancers for the adjacent Hand2 gene and regulates their usage during cardiac differentiation [49]. Our study provides significant new insights into the chromatin interactions, transcription factors and signalling pathways controlling Paupar-Pax6 co-expression in the neuronal lineage and has general importance for understanding the wider role of IncRNA-mRNA transcription units in neuronal commitment, differentiation and function.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

Primary cortical neurons and cortical neural stem cells were prepared from CD1 mouse embryos (E14.5) in accordance with UK Home Office Guidelines as stated in the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 using Schedule 1 procedures approved by the University of Bath Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body (NL1911-3).

Plasmids

Individual sgRNAs were cloned into pX-dCas9-mod-KRAB to generate plasmids for CRISPRi as described in [50]. Oligonucleotides used to clone sgRNAs targeting the TCF7L2 motifs and
control elements are shown in S8 Table. The plasmid pCI-neo beta catenin S33Y was a gift from Bert Vogelstein (Addgene plasmid #16519; http://n2t.net/addgene:16519; RRID: Addgene_16519). A 2.5 kb genomic region upstream of Pax6 coding sequence was PCR amplified from N2A genomic DNA and subcloned into pGL4.10 (Promega) as a Nhel-BglII fragment to generate the pGL4-Pax6P0 reporter. To generate the pUAS-Pax6P0Pro luciferase reporter a Kpn1-Nhel fragment containing 9 repeats of the GAL4 UAS sequence was subcloned from pGL4.35 (Promega) into pGL4-Pax6Pro. 12 repeats of the MS2 binding site were PCR cloned as a NotI-SpeI fragment from pSL-MS2-12X (Addgene Plasmid 27119, [51]) into mEntry (GU931384). Full length Paupar was PCR amplified from N2A cell cDNA and inserted downstream as a Spel-EcoRI fragment to generate mEntry-12xMS2-Paupar. The MCP was PCR cloned as a SpeI-AscI fragment from pG14-MS2-GFP (Addgene Plasmid 27117, [51]) into mEntry. The Gal4 DNA binding domain (amino acids 1–147) was PCR cloned as a NotI-Spel fragment from pSG424 [52] upstream and in frame of MCP to generate mEntry-Gal4DBD-MCP. The 12xMS2-Paupar and Gal4DBD-MCP sequences were then recombined into pcDNA3.2/V5-DEST using Gateway Technology (Invitrogen) for expression in mammalian cells.

**Cell culture**

Cortices were dissected from embryonic brain and mechanically dissociated in PBS supplemented with 33 mM glucose, using a fire-polished glass Pasteur pipette.

**Primary neurons**

For preparation of differentiated cortical neurons [53], cells were plated into Nunc 90 mm petri dishes, previously coated with 20 μg/ml poly-D-lysine (Sigma), at a seeding density of 500 x 10^5 cells/ml. Neurons were cultured in Neurobasal medium (phenol red free) supplemented with 2 mM glutamine, 100 μg/ml penicillin, 60 μg/ml streptomycin and B27 (all from Gibco), and incubated at 37˚C, in high humidity with 5% CO₂. Under these growth conditions at 7 days in vitro (DIV) cells were non-dividing, had a well-developed neuritic network and were 99% β-tubulin III positive and <1% GFAP positive.

**Primary neural stem cells**

For preparation of cortical neural stem cells [54], cells were plated into Nunc 90 mm petri dishes, previously coated with Cell Start (Gibco), at a seeding density of 500 x 10^5 cells/ml. Neural stem cells were cultured in StemPro NSC SFM composed of: Knockout D-MEM / F12; Glutamax (2mM); bFGF (20ng/ml); EGF (20ng/ml); StemPro Neural Supplement (2%); all from (Gibco). Under these growth conditions at 7 DIV cells were proliferative and were Nestin and Ki67 positive.

**Cell lines**

N2A cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). For the mouse ESCs experiments E14Tg2A cells were maintained in GEMM supplemented with 10% FBS, 1XMEM nonessential amino acids, 2 mM glutamax, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, and 100 units/ml LIF on gelatinised tissue culture flasks.

**Transfections and treatments**

Approximately 3 x 10^5 N2A cells were seeded per well in a 6-well plate for both plasmid DNA and esiRNA transfections. The following day, cells were transiently transfected using
Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. For knockdown experiments, cells were transfected with 1.5 μg MISSION esiRNAs (Sigma-Aldrich) targeting either Tcf7L2, Mash1 (Ascl1) Klf16, Arid3a, Sox6 or Renilla Luciferase (EHURLUC) control and harvested 3 days later. 2 μg pCI-neo beta catenin S33Y or empty vector were used in B-catenin S33Y overexpression experiments and cells were harvested 48 hrs post transfection. CRISPRi experiments were carried out as described in [50].

For Wnt, Rsop2 and Bmp treatment, approximately 3 x 10^5 N2A cells were seeded per well in a 6-well plate in growth medium containing either 100 ng/μl WNT3A or RSPO2 (both R&D Biosystems), or in low serum medium (DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS) containing 5ng/ml BMP4 (Thermo Fisher, PHC9534). 0.02% BSA in PBS was used as a vehicle control. Cells were harvested for RNA extraction 72 hours later. Sequences of primers used for expression analysis are shown in S8 Table.

Approximately 5x10^4 N2A cells were seeded per well in a 12-well plate for luciferase assays. The next day cells were transfected with 100ng reporter construct and 100ng GAL4-MCP and 400 ng MS2-Paupar expression vectors using FuGENE 6 (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The pRL-CMV plasmid (Promega) was co-transfected into each well to normalize for transfection efficiency. The total amount of DNA was made up to 1 μg for each transfection by the addition of empty expression vector. 2 days after transfection lysates were prepared and assayed for Firefly and Renilla luciferase activity.

**NG Capture-C**

NG Capture-C libraries were prepared as described previously [3]. Briefly, approximately 2 x 10^7 cells per sample were fixed with 2% formaldehyde for 10 min at RT, quenched by the addition of glycine and washed with PBS. Cell lysis was performed for 20 min on ice (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH8, 10 mM NaCl, 0.2% IGEPAL), lysed cells were then homogenized on ice and enzymatically digested overnight at 37°C with DpnII (New England Biolabs). The digested DNA was diluted and ligated with T4 DNA ligase overnight at 16°C. The following day, ligation reactions were de-crosslinked by Proteinase K (Thermo Scientific) addition and overnight incubation at 65°C. DNA extraction was performed by Phenol/Chloroform/Isomyl Alcohol and chloroform/isomyl alcohol extraction followed by ethanol precipitation. DpnII digestion efficiency was confirmed by gel electrophoresis and quantified by real-time PCR—only 3C libraries with over 70% efficiency were used for the subsequent steps. Samples were sonicated to an average size of 200 bp using a Bioruptor Pico (Diagenode) and NEBNext Multiplex reagents and sequencing adapters were used to prepare sequencing libraries following the Illumina NEBNext DNA library prep kit instructions (New England Biolabs). Two rounds of capture using a pool of biotinylated oligos (IDT, see S8 Table for sequences) were performed on 1μg of each of the indexed libraries using the Nimblegen SeqCap EZ hybridization system. Library size was determined using the Tapestation D1000 kit and the DNA concentrations were measured on a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer.

**Computational analysis of NG Capture-C data**

Multiplexed NG Capture-C libraries were prepared from ESCs, NSCs, neurons and N2A cells (two biological replicates each) and 150 bp paired end sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 (Novogene) to a total depth of approximately 500 million reads. The resulting fastq files from each of the eight replicates were combined using a Perl script. Raw reads were trimmed using trim_galore version 0.4.4 with parameter —paired. The trimmed paired-end reads were then combined using flash version 1.2.11 with the parameters —interleaved-output —max-overlap = 200. The resulting fastq files of combined and uncombined reads were
next merged into a single fastq file using the command cat. The fragments in the resulting fastq file were in silico digested into DpnII restriction enzyme digestion fragments using dpnII2E.pl. The resulting dpnIIE fragments were aligned to the mm10 genome using bowtie version 1.1.2 with parameters -p 1 -m 2 —best—strata —chunkmb 256 —sam. A set of DpnII fragments for the full mouse genome was produced from mm10.fa using gpngenome.pl. CCA-nalysrer3.pl was then run to compare a text file of the Paupar, Pax6 P0, Pax6 P1 and Sox2 viewpoint coordinates with the in silico digested reads and genome to produce counts of the observed interactions with each viewpoint in each replicate. The output from CCAAnalyser3.pl was analysed using the BioConductor r3Cseq package to determine statistical significance (p- and q-values) for the observed interactions between the viewpoints and each DpnII digestion fragment in each replicate. For each viewpoint, the resulting tables were combined into a table showing replicated significant fragments (significant in both replicates of at least one cell type) and these tables were used as the basis for subsequent analyses. Motif discovery was performed using the BiFa web tool at the Warwick Systems Biology Centre website (http://wsbc.warwick.ac.uk/wsbcToolsWebpage). 4Cin was used to generate three-dimensional models of Paupar-Pax6 local chromatin architecture [26].

A statistical method for detecting meso-scale changes in chromatin conformation from NG Capture-C data (DeltaCaptureC)

We developed a new statistical method to detect changes in chromatin conformation based on significant clustering of neighbouring fragments from 3C-based data. This is available as a Bioconductor Software Package (10.18129/B9.bioc.deltaCaptureC). By binning NG Capture-C data and using permutation testing, this package can test whether there are statistically significant changes in the interaction counts between the data from two cell types or two treatments. To do this, read counts from the two biological replicates for each cell type were first combined. The counts for the four samples were normalised using DESeq2 function estimateSizeFactorsForMatrix() [55] and the mean normalised count for both replicates in each cell type was determined. The difference between the two mean normalized counts was then calculated. This data was trimmed to a region of interest, 500kb up- and down-stream of the midpoint of viewpoint, binned to a fixed bin size of 1kb and then re-binned to 10kb (S2 Fig). This identified a large distal region of increased chromatin interactions in neurons (Figs 5 and S2). We observed that this a contiguous region of constant sign (negative) with a combined total absolute value of 308.8. The null hypothesis is that this sum arises by chance. We tested this hypothesis to detect statistical significance for continuous regions of constant sign in the following manner: we first excluded the region 50kb up- and downstream of the viewpoint and performed random permutation of the (non-viewpoint) 1kb bins. After each such permutation, data was re-binned to 10kb and each region was examined for constant sign. To do this, we computed its total absolute value and recorded the largest of these totals. If, after performing 1000 such random permutations, we observe fewer than 50 cases where the largest sum is 308.8 or greater, we have discovered a p-value for this region of less than 0.05. In this way, we can exploit co-localisation of differences with like sign to detect meso-scale chromatin remodelling from 3C-based data (Figs 5A and S2).

We then considered the region near the viewpoint. In this case it is important to note that raw NG Capture-C counts in this region strongly correlate with distance from the viewpoint and we are thus unable to perform arbitrary permutation to test for statistical significance. However, performing permutations which do not change this distance allowed us to test the null hypothesis that chromatin remodelling flanking the viewpoint was symmetric. To this end, we computed the difference between the sum in the region upstream of the viewpoint
and downstream of it using the actual data. We then computed this difference after multiple symmetric permutations. Since there are 50 1kb bins in this region upstream and downstream of the viewpoint, there are $2^{50}$ permutations of this form giving us enough for permutation testing. In this way, we detected asymmetry in chromatin architecture in the neighbourhood of the Paupar and Pax6 promoter viewpoints in each cell type (Fig 5A).

**RT-qPCR**

RNA extraction was carried out using the GeneJET RNA Purification Kit (ThermoFisher) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with the addition of an on-column DNase digestion step using the RNase-free DNase Set (QIAGEN). Reverse transcription was performed using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen). 1 μg total RNA was used in each reaction. Quantitative PCR was carried out on a Step One Plus Real-Time PCR System using Fast SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems).

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation**

ChIP experiments were performed as previously described using approximately 1 x 10⁷ N2A cells per assay [19]. Cross-linked chromatin was immunoprecipitated with either 5 μg anti-TCF4/TCF7L2 (Clone 6H5-3, #05–511, Millipore) or normal mouse control IgG (#12–371, Millipore) antibodies. qPCR primers used to amplify TCF7L2 motif containing sequences (CRE1-7) are shown in S8 Table.

**Supporting information**

S1 Fig. NG Capture-C identified ESC-specific chromatin looping interactions between the Sox2 super-enhancer spanning the Peril locus and the Sox2 promoter. NG Capture-C profiles displaying the Sox2 promoter interaction count per DpnII restriction enzyme fragment in the indicated cell types. The red vertical line indicates the location of the Sox2 promoter viewpoint. Significant interactions were determined using r3C-seq. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Increasing bin size facilitates the detection of chromatin changes between cell types. Differences in mean normalized NG Capture-C counts between neurons and ESCs for interactions with the Paupar viewpoint are plotted on the y-axis. X-axis shows position on chromosome 2 (GRCm38/mm10). Sequence data was permuted to assess specificity and statistical significance was calculated as described in Materials and Methods. (A) Difference in mean normalised interactions between ESCs and neurons binned to 1 kb. Negative values shown in red indicate increased interactions in neurons. Positive values in turquoise illustrate increased interactions in ESCs. (B) The same data binned to 10 kb. Note the emergence of the large red region approximately 350 kb downstream of Pax6 and the asymmetric pattern near the viewpoint. (C) The 1 kb bins from (A) permuted. Bins further than 50 kb from the viewpoint are permuted at random. Bins closer than 50 kb are only permuted keeping their distance from the viewpoint. (D) The previous panel re-binned to 10kb. Notice that no large contiguous regions of constant sign appear, nor does the asymmetric pattern seen near the viewpoint in (B). (TIF)

S3 Fig. An increased frequency of chromatin interactions with the Paupar and Pax6 promoters in neurons correlates with elevated levels of open compared to closed histone modifications. ENCODE Project ChIP-seq data mapping the location of open (H3K4me1, H3K4me3 and H3K27ac) and closed (H3K27me3 and H3K9me3) ChIP-seq peaks in ESCs (A)
and E12.5 mouse forebrain tissue (B) across approximately 1MB genomic sequence surrounding the Paupar-Pax6 locus [24]. Individual peaks of less than 1000 bp are shown at 1000 bp long for visibility reasons. Aggregated data represent summed and binned scores from the individual tracks.

**S1 Table.** Determination of ligation and capture frequencies for NG Capture-C experiment. (XLSX)

**S2 Table.** Number of unique interactions with each promoter viewpoint. (XLSX)

**S3 Table.** Genome coordinates of significant replicated fragments for each viewpoint (Mouse GRCm38/mm10). (XLSX)

**S4 Table.** Permutation testing reveals significant overlap between NG Capture-C chromatin interactions with the Pax6 promoters and H3K4me1 mouse forebrain ChIP-seq peaks. (XLSX)

**S5 Table.** Interactions between the indicated viewpoints and reporter fragments that overlap the Paupar genomic locus. (XLSX)

**S6 Table.** Number of replicated interactions in different cell types. (XLSX)

**S7 Table.** Bioinformatics identification of transcription factor motifs. (XLSX)

**S8 Table.** Oligonucleotides. (XLSX)

**S9 Table.** Numerical data used to generate individual figures. (XLSX)
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