STRONG SOLUTIONS FOR TWO-PHASE FREE BOUNDARY PROBLEMS FOR
A CLASS OF NON-NEWTONIAN FLUIDS
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ABSTRACT. Consider the two-phase free boundary problem subject to surface tension and gravita-
tional forces for a class of non-Newtonian fluids with stress tensors $T_i$ of the form
$T_i = -\pi I + \mu_i(|D(v)|^2)D(v)$ for $i = 1, 2$, respectively, and where the viscosity functions $\mu_i$ satisfy $\mu_i(s) \in C^3([0, \infty))$ and $\mu_i(0) > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. It is shown that for given $T > 0$ this problem admits a unique, strong solution on $(0, T)$ provided the initial data are sufficiently small in their natural norms.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

The free boundary problem for two-phase flows for Newtonian fluids with or without surface tension is nowadays rather well understood. We refer in particular to the articles [22], [23], [21], [20], [24], [1], [4], [3] and [26] describing the present state of research for the situation of sharp interfaces.

In order to describe the problem in more detail, let $N \geq 2$ and $\Gamma_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a surface which separates a region $\Omega_1(0)$ filled with a viscous, incompressible fluid from $\Omega_2(0)$, the complement of $\Omega_1(0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The region $\Omega_2(0)$ is also occupied with a second incompressible, viscous fluid and it is assumed that the two fluids are immiscible. Denoting by $\Gamma(t)$ the position of $\Gamma_0$ at time $t$, $\Gamma(t)$ is then the interface separating the two fluids occupying the regions $\Omega_1(t)$ and $\Omega_2(t)$.

An incompressible fluid is subject to the set of equations

$$
\rho(\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u) = \text{div} T,
\text{div} u = 0,
$$

where $\rho$ denotes the density of the fluid and the stress tensor $T$ can be decomposed as $T = \tau - pI$, where $p$ denotes the pressure $p$ and $\tau$ the tangential part of the stress tensor of the fluid. For a Newtonian fluid, $\tau$ is given by $\tau = 2\mu D(u)$, where $D(u) = [\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T]/2$ denotes the deformation tensor and $\mu$ the viscosity coefficient of the fluid.

In this article we consider a class of non-Newtonian fluids, where $\tau$ as above is replaced by

$$
\tau = 2\mu(|D(u)|^2)D(u)
$$

for some function $\mu$ satisfying

$$
\mu \in C^3([0, \infty)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(0) > 0.
$$

In the special case of power law fluids, one has

$$
\mu(|D(u)|^2) = \nu + \beta|D(u)|^{d-2}
$$

for some $d \geq 1$ and constants $\nu, \beta \geq 0$. If $d < 2$, the fluid is then called a shear thinning fluid, if $d > 2$ it is called a shear thickening fluid. Fluids of this type are special cases of so called Stokesian fluids, which were investigated analytically for fixed domains by Amann in [6] and [7].

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 35Q35; Secondary: 76D45.

Key words and phrases. Two-phase free boundary problems, Non-Newtonian fluids, strong solutions, surface tension.

This work was supported by the Japanese-German Graduate Externship JGGE. The second author is a JSPS Research Fellow.
The motion of the two immiscible, incompressible and viscous fluids is then governed by the set of equations

\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho(\partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v) &= \text{div} T - \rho \gamma_0 e_N, & \text{in } \Omega(t), \\
\text{div } v &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega(t), \\
-[T_n] &= \sigma H \Gamma n_T & \text{on } \Gamma(t), \\
\llbracket v \rrbracket &= 0 & \text{on } \Gamma(t), \\
V_\Gamma &= v \cdot n_T & \text{on } \Gamma(t), \\
v|_{t=0} &= v_0 & \text{in } \Omega_0, \\
\Gamma|_{t=0} &= \Gamma_0,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \Omega(t) = \Omega_1(t) \cup \Omega_2(t) \) and \( e_N = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)^T \). Here, the normal field on \( \Gamma(t) \), pointing from \( \Omega_1(t) \) into \( \Omega_2(t) \), is denoted by \( n_T(t, \cdot) \). Moreover, \( V_\Gamma(t, \cdot) \) and \( H \Gamma(t, \cdot) \) denote the normal velocity and mean curvature of \( \Gamma(t) \), respectively. Furthermore, \( \gamma_0 \) denotes the gravitational acceleration and \( \sigma \) the coefficient of the surface tension.

In this article we suppose that the stress tensor \( T \) is given by the generalized Newtonian type described above, that is, for given scalar functions \( \mu_1, \mu_2 : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \), the stress tensor \( T \) is given by

\[ T = \chi_{\Omega_1(t)} T_1(v, \pi) + \chi_{\Omega_2(t)} T_2(v, \pi), \quad T_i(v, \pi) = -\pi I + 2 \mu_i(|D(v)|^2) D(v), \quad i = 1, 2, \]

and where \(|D(u)|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N (D_{ij}(u))^2\). The function \( \chi_D \) denotes the indicator function of a set \( D \subset \mathbb{R}^N \), and the density \( \rho \) is defined by \( \rho := \chi_{\Omega_1(t)} \rho_1 + \chi_{\Omega_2(t)} \rho_2 \) for the densities \( \rho_i > 0 \) of the \( i \)-th fluid. The system is complemented by the initial fluid velocity \( v_0 \), the given initial height function \( h_0 \) and \( \Omega_0 \) as well as \( \Gamma_0 \) which are given by

\[ \Omega_0 = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Gamma_0, \quad \Gamma_0 = \{(x', x_N) \mid x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, x_N = h_0(x')\}. \]

In addition, we denote the unit normal field on \( \Gamma_0 \) by \( n_0 \). The quantity \( \llbracket f \rrbracket = [f](x, t) \) is the jump of the quantity \( f \), which is defined on \( \Omega(t) \), across the interface \( \Gamma(t) \) as

\[ \llbracket f \rrbracket(x, t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \{ f(x + \varepsilon n_T, t) - f(x - \varepsilon n_T, t) \} \quad \text{for } x \in \Gamma(t). \]

The problem then is to find functions \( v, \pi \) and \( \Gamma \) solving equation (1.2).

Well-posedness results for the above system (1.2) in the case of Newtonian fluids and in the special case of one-phase flows with or without surface tension were first obtained by Solonnikov \([28, 29, 30]\), Shibata and Shimizu \([25, 26]\). The case of an ocean of infinite extend and which is bounded below by a solid surface and bounded above by a free surface was treated by Beale \([9]\), Allain \([5]\), Tani \([32]\), Tani and Tanaka \([33]\), Bae \([8]\), and Denk, Geissert, Hieber, Saal and Sawada \([13]\) and Götz \([16]\).

Besides the articles cited already above, the two-phase problem for Newtonian fluids was studied by Denisova in \([11, 12]\) and by Tanaka in \([31]\) using Lagrangian coordinates. Indeed, Denisova proved local wellposedness in the Newtonian case in \( W^{r,r/2}_2 \) for \( r \in (5/2, 3) \) for the case that one of the domains is bounded and Tanaka obtained wellposedness (including thermo-capillary convection) in \( W^{r,r/2}_2 \) for \( r \in (7/2, 4) \).

Prüss and Simonett were using in \([21, 22]\) and \([23]\) a different approach by transforming problem (1.2) to a problem on a fixed domain via the Hanzawa transform, which then was followed then by an optimal regularity approach for the linearized equations. Like this they proved wellposedness of the above problem in the case of Newtonian fluids.

For an approach to the linearized problem based on Lagrangian coordinates, also in the setting of Newtonian fluids, we refer to the work of Shibata and Shimizu \([27]\).

Problems of the above kind for non-Newtonian fluids were treated by Abels in \([1]\) in the context of measure-valued varifold solutions. His result covers in particular the situation where \( \mu_i(s) = \nu_i s^{(d-2)/2} \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) and \( d \in (1, \infty) \). Note, however, that his approach does not give the uniqueness of a solution. For further results we refer also to the work of Abels, Dienig and Terasawa in \([2]\). Götz investigated in
The spin-coating process for generalized Newtonian fluids and showed local wellposedness of this problem for the setting of one-phase flows. Bothe and Prüss gave in [10] a local wellposedness result for Non-Newtonian fluids on fixed domains for viscosity functions $\mu \in C^4(0, \infty)$ satisfying $\mu(s) > 0$ and $\mu(s) + 2\mu'(s) > 0$ for $s \geq 0$. Note that our assumptions on the viscosity function $\mu$ are different from those treated in [10]. For further results on various classes of non-Newtonian fluids on fixed domains we refer e.g. to the articles [14], [15], [17] and [19].

In our main result we show that system (1.2) admits a unique, strong solution on $(0, T)$ for arbitrary $T > 0$ provided the viscosity functions $\mu_i$ fulfill (1.1) and the initial data are sufficiently small in their natural norms. More precisely, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $N + 2 < p < \infty$ and $J = (0, T)$ for some $T > 0$. Suppose that $\rho_1 > 0, \rho_2 > 0, \gamma_a \geq 0, \sigma > 0$ and that

$$\mu_i \in C^3([0, \infty)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_i(0) > 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$ 

Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for

$$(v_0, h_0) \in W^{2-2/p}_p(\Omega_0)^N \times W^{3-2/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$$

satisfying the compatibility conditions

$$[\mu(|D(v_0)|^2)D(v_0)n_0 - \{n_0 \cdot \mu(|D(v_0)|^2)D(v_0)n_0\}] = 0 \quad \text{on} \; \Gamma_0,$$

$$\text{div} \; v_0 = 0 \quad \text{in} \; \Omega_0, \quad [v_0] = 0 \quad \text{on} \; \Gamma_0,$$

as well as the smallness condition

$$\|v_0\|_{W^{2-2/p}_p(\Omega_0)} + \|h_0\|_{W^{3-2/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})} < \varepsilon_0,$$

the system (1.2) admits a unique solution $(v, \pi, h)$ within the class

$$v \in H^1_p(J, L_p(\Omega(t))) \cap L_p(J, H^2_p(\Omega(t)))^N,$$

$$\pi \in L_p(J, \dot{H}^1_p(\Omega(t))),$$

$$h \in W^{2-1/2p}_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})) \cap H^1_p(J, W^{2-1/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}))$$

$$\cap W^{1/2-1/2p}_p(J, \dot{H}^2_p(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})) \cap L_p(J, W^{3-1/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})).$$

**Remarks 1.2.** a) Some remarks on notation are in order at this point. Setting

$$\mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \mathbb{R}_0^N, \quad \mathbb{R}_0^N = \{(x', x_N) \mid x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, \; x_N = 0\},$$

by $v \in H^1_p(J, L_p(\Omega(t))) \cap L_p(J, H^2_p(\Omega(t)))^N$ we mean that

$$\Theta^* v = v \circ \Theta \in H^1_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L_p(J, H^2_p(\mathbb{R}^N))^N,$$

where $\Theta$ and $\Theta^*$ are defined in Section 2 by (2.2) and (2.3), respectively. The regularity statement for $\pi$ is understood in the same way.

b) The assumption $p > N + 2$ implies that

$$h \in BUC(J, BUC^2(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})), \quad \partial_t h \in BUC(J, BUC^1(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})),$$

which means that the condition on the free interface can be understood in the classical sense.

c) Typical examples of viscosity functions $\mu$ satisfying our conditions are given by

$$\mu(s) = \nu(1 + s^d) \quad \text{with} \; d = 2, 4, 6, \text{or} \; d \geq 8,$$

$$\mu(s) = \nu(1 + s^d)^{-\gamma} \quad \text{with} \; 1 \leq d < \infty$$

for $\nu > 0$. For more information and details we refer e.g. to the work of [15], [14], [17] and [19]. Obviously, if $d = 2$, all viscosity functions corresponds to the Newtonian situation.
Let us remark at this point that our proof of Theorem 1.1 is inspired by the work by Prüss and Simonett in [22] and [23]. Our strategy may be described as follows: in Section 2 we transform the system (1.2) to a problem on a fixed domain. Maximal regularity properties of the associated linearized problem due to Prüss and Simonett [23] are described in Section 3. Of special importance will be the function space $F_3(\omega)$ which will be introduced and investigated in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we treat the nonlinear problem and give a proof of our main theorem.

In the following, the letter $C$ denote a generic constant which value may change from line to line.

2. Reduction to a fixed domain

We start this section by calculating the divergence of the stress tensor, i.e. by calculating explicitly

$$\text{div}\{\mu_d(|D(u)|^2)D(u)\} \quad \text{for} \quad d = 1, 2.$$ 

Let us remark first that given a vector $u$ of length $m$ for $m \geq 2$, we denote by $u_i$ its $i$-th component and by $u'$ its tangential component, i.e. $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_m)^T$ and $u' = (u_1, \ldots, u_{m-1})^T$. We then obtain

$$(\text{div}\{\mu_d(|D(u)|^2)D(u)\})_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{N} \{2\mu_d(|D(u)|^2)D_{ij}(u)D_{kl}(u) + \mu_d(|D(u)|^2)\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}\}(\partial_j\partial_ku_l + \partial_j\partial_lu_k).$$

For vectors $u, v$ we set $A_d(u)v := (A_{d,1}(u)v, \ldots, A_{d,N}(u)v)^T$ where

$$A_{d,i}(u)v := -\sum_{j,k,l=1}^{N} A_{d,i}^{j,k,l}(D(u))(\partial_j\partial_kv_l + \partial_j\partial_lv_k)$$

and

$$A_{d,i}^{j,k,l}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \{2\mu_d(|D(u)|^2)D_{ij}(u)D_{kl}(u) + \mu_d(|D(u)|^2)\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}\)}$$

for $d = 1, 2$ and $i = 1, \ldots, N$. We then have

$$A_d(u)u = -\text{div}\{\mu_d(|D(u)|^2)D(u)\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_d(0)u = -\mu_d(0)(\Delta u + \nabla \text{div} u).$$

In addition, we set

$$A(u)v := \chi_{\Omega_1(t)}A_1(u)v + \chi_{\Omega_2(t)}A_2(u)v \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\pi} := \pi + \rho \gamma_a x_N.$$ 

The system (1.2) may thus be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases}
\rho(\partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v) - \mu(0)\Delta v + \nabla \tilde{\pi} = -(A(v) - A(0))v \quad \text{in} \; \Omega(t), \\
\text{div} v = 0 \quad \text{in} \; \Omega(t), \\
-[\tilde{T} n_{\Gamma}] = \sigma H_{\Gamma} n_{\Gamma} + [\rho] \gamma_{a x_N} \quad \text{on} \; \Gamma(t), \\
[\nu] = 0 \quad \text{on} \; \Gamma(t), \\
V_{\Gamma} = v \cdot n_{\Gamma} \quad \text{on} \; \Gamma(t), \\
v|_{t=0} = v_0 \quad \text{in} \; \Omega_0, \\
\Gamma|_{t=0} = \Gamma_0,
\end{cases}$$

(2.1)

where $\tilde{T} = \chi_{\Omega_1(t)}T_1(v, \tilde{\pi}) + \chi_{\Omega_2(t)}T_2(v, \tilde{\pi})$ and $\mu(0) = \chi_{\Omega_1(t)}\mu_1(0) + \chi_{\Omega_2(t)}\mu_2(0)$.

Next, we transform the problem (2.1) to a problem on the fixed domain $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^N$. To this end, we define a transformation $\Theta$ on $J \times \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^N$ for $J = (0, T)$ with $T > 0$ as

$$\Theta : J \times \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^N \ni (\tau, \xi', \xi_N) \rightarrow (t, x', x_N) \in \bigcup_{s \in J} \{s\} \times \Omega(s), \quad \text{with} \; t = \tau, \; x' = \xi', \; x_N = \xi_N + h(\xi', \tau)$$

for some scalar-valued function $h$. Note that $\det J\Theta = 1$, where $J\Theta$ denotes the Jacobian matrix of $\Theta$. We now define

$$u(\tau, \xi) := \Theta^* v(t, x) := v(\Theta(\tau, \xi)), \quad \theta(\tau, \xi) := \Theta^* \pi(t, x),$$

(2.3)
as well as
\begin{equation}
\Theta_*(f(\tau, \xi)) := f(\Theta^{-1}(x, t)) \quad \text{for } f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{equation}
where \( \Theta^{-1} \) given by \( \Theta^{-1}(x, t) = (t, x', x_N - h(t, t')) \). This change of coordinates implies the relations
\begin{equation}
\partial_t = \partial_\tau - (\partial_\tau h) D_N, \quad \partial_j = D_j - (D_j h) D_N, \quad \partial_j \partial_k = D_j D_k - F_{jk}(h),
\end{equation}
where
\[ F_{jk}(h) := (D_j D_k h) D_N + (D_j h) D_N D_k + (D_k h) D_N D_j - (D_j h)(D_k h) D_N^2 \]
for \( j, k = 1, \ldots, N \), \( \partial_\tau = \partial/\partial \tau \) and \( D_j = \partial/\partial \xi_j \) since \( D_N h = 0 \).

Setting \( \Delta' = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \partial_j^2 \) and \( \nabla' = (\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_{N-1})^T \), we first obtain similarly as in (2.5)
\begin{equation}
D_x(v) = E(u, h) := D_\xi(u) - \mathcal{E}(u, h), \quad \mathcal{E}(u, h) := (D_N u) \begin{bmatrix} \nabla h \end{bmatrix}^T + \begin{bmatrix} \nabla h \end{bmatrix} (D_N u)^T.
\end{equation}
Secondly, following [22, Section 2] we see that
\begin{equation}
H_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} D_j \left( \frac{\nabla \xi_j^T(t, \xi)}{1 + |\nabla \xi_j(t, \xi)|^2} \right) = \Delta_{\xi}^T h - \mathcal{H}(h), \quad \text{where}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H}(h) := \frac{|\nabla \xi_j|^2 \Delta_{\xi}^T h}{(1 + |\nabla \xi_j|^2)^2} + \sum_{j,k=1}^{N-1} \frac{(D_j h)(D_k h)(D_j D_k h)}{(1 + |\nabla \xi_j|^2)^{3/2}}.
\end{equation}
Hence, system (2.1) is reduced to the following problem on \( \mathbb{R}^N \)
\begin{equation}
nonumber
\begin{aligned}
\rho \partial_t u - \mu(0) \Delta u + \nabla \theta &= F(u, \theta, h) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\
\text{div } u &= F_d(u, h) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\
-\left[ \mu(0)(D_N u_j + D_j u_N) \right] &= G_j(u, [\theta], h) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\
[\theta] - 2(\mu(0) D_N u_N) - (|\rho| \gamma_a + \sigma \Delta') h &= G_N(u, h) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\
\[u] &= 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\
\partial_\tau h - u_N &= G_h(u', h) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\
|u|_{t=0} &= u_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N, \\
h|_{t=0} &= h_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{N-1},
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
where \( j = 1, \ldots, N - 1 \) and \( F = (F_1, \ldots, F_N)^T \). The terms on the right hand side of (2.7) are given by
\begin{align*}
F_j(u, \theta, h) &:= \rho \left( (\partial_\tau h) D_N u_i - (u \cdot \nabla) u_i + (u' \cdot \nabla' h) D_N u_i \right) - \mu(0) \sum_{j=1}^N F_{jj}(h) u_i + (D_j h) D_N \theta + A_i(u, h) \\
G_j(u, [\theta], h) &:= \sigma \mathcal{H}(h) D_j h - \left( (|\rho| \gamma_a + \sigma \Delta') h \right) D_j h + [\theta] D_j h + B_j(u, h) \\
G_N(u, h) &:= -\sigma \mathcal{H}(h) + B_N(u, h), \\
F_d(u, h) &:= (D_N u') \cdot \nabla' h = D_N (u' \cdot \nabla' h), \\
G_h(u, h) &:= -u' \cdot \nabla' h.
\end{align*}
Here \( A_i(u, h), B_j(u, h) \) and \( B_N(u, h) \) are given by
\begin{align*}
A_i(u, h) &:= \sum_{j,k,\ell=1}^N \left( A_i^{j,k,\ell}(E(u, h)) - A_i^{j,k,\ell}(0) \right) (D_j D_k u_\ell + D_j D_\ell u_k) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j,k,\ell=1}^N \left( A_i^{j,k,\ell}(E(u, h)) - A_i^{j,k,\ell}(0) \right) (F_{jk}(h) u_\ell + F_{j\ell}(h) u_k), \quad i = 1, \ldots, N,
\end{align*}
In particular, note that

\[
B_j(u, h) := -\left[\mu((E(u, h))^2)D_N u_j + \{\mu((E(u, h))^2) - \mu(0)\} (D_N u_j + D_j u_N)\right] \\
- \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left[\mu((E(u, h))^2)(D_j u_k + D_k u_j)\right] D_k h \\
+ \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left[\mu((E(u, h))^2)(D_N u_j D_k h + D_N u_k D_j h)\right] D_k h, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N - 1,
\]

\[
B_N(u, h) := 2\left[\mu((E(u, h))^2) - \mu(0)\right] D_N u_N + \left[\mu((E(u, h))^2) D_N u_N\right] |\nabla' h|^2 \\
- \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left[\mu((E(u, h))^2)(D_N u_k + D_k u_N)\right] D_k h
\]

where

\[
A^{j,k,l}_i(E(u, h)) := \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^+} A^{j,k,l}_{i,1}(E(u, h)) + \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^-} A^{j,k,l}_{i,2}(E(u, h)).
\]

In particular, note that

\[
\mu((E(u, h))^2) = \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^+} \mu_1((E(u, h))^2) + \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^-} \mu_2((E(u, h))^2), \\
\rho = \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^+} \rho_1 + \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^-} \rho_2, \\
\mu(0) = \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^+} \mu_1(0) + \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^-} \mu_2(0).
\]

Finally, in order to simplify our notation we set

\[
G(u, [\theta], h) := (G_1(u, [\theta], h), \ldots, G_N(u, [\theta], h)) G_N(u, h)^T \\
A(u, h) := (A_1(u, h), \ldots, A_N(u, h))^T, \\
B(u, h) := (B_1(u, h), \ldots, B_N(u, h))^T.
\]

3. The linearized problem

The above set of equations (2.7) leads to the following associated linear problem

\[
\begin{cases}
\rho \partial_t u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla \theta = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_N^+, \\
\text{div } u = f_d & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_N^+, \\
-\nu(D_N u_j + D_j u_N) = g_j & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\
\left[\theta\right] - 2\left[\mu D_N u_N\right] - ([\rho]\gamma_a + \sigma \Delta') h = g_N & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\
\left[u\right] = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\
\partial_t h - u_N = g_h & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\
u_1 \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^+} + \nu_2 \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^-}, \quad \nu = \nu_1 \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^+} + \nu_2 \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^-}
\end{cases}
\]

where \( j = 1, \ldots, N - 1 \) and \( g = (g_1, \ldots, g_N)^T \). Here,

\[
\rho = \rho_1 \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^+} + \rho_2 \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^-}, \quad \nu = \nu_1 \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^+} + \nu_2 \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N^-}
\]

with \( \rho_i > 0 \) and \( \nu_i > 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2 \).

The optimal regularity property of the solution of the above problem (3.1) will be of central importance in the following. To this end, let us recall first the definition of some function spaces. Indeed, let \( m \in \mathbb{N}, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) be an open set and \( X \) be a Banach space. Then, for \( 1 < p < \infty \) and \( s \in \mathbb{R} \), the Bessel potential space of order \( s \) is denoted by \( H^s_p(\Omega, X) \). Moreover, given \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) and \( s \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}, \)
\[ W^s_p(\Omega, X) \] denotes the Sobolev-Slobodeckii space equipped with the norm
\[
\|f\|_{W^s_p(\Omega, X)} = \|f\|_{W^s_p(\Omega, X)} + \sum_{|\alpha|=|s|} \left( \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\|\partial^\alpha f(x) - \partial^\alpha f(y)\|_p^p}{|x-y|^{m+(s-|\alpha|)p}} \, dx \, dy \right)^{1/p},
\]
where \(|s|\) is the largest non-negative integer smaller than \(s\) and \(\partial^\alpha f(x) = \partial^{|\alpha|} f(x)/\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots \partial x_m^{\alpha_m}\).

By \(BUC(\Omega, X)\) we denote the Banach space of uniformly continuous and bounded functions on \(\Omega\) and \(BUC^k(\Omega, X)\) denotes the set of functions in \(C^k(\Omega, X)\) such that all derivatives up to order \(k\) are belonging to \(BUC(\Omega, X)\) for \(k \in \mathbb{N}\). For \(1 \leq p < \infty\), the homogeneous Sobolev space \(\dot{H}^1_p(\Omega)\) of order 1 is defined as \(\dot{H}^1_p(\Omega) = \{f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \mid \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} < \infty\}\). Finally, \(\dot{H}_p^1(\mathbb{R}^N)\) denotes the dual space of \(\dot{H}_p^1(\mathbb{R}^N)\) for \(1/p + 1/p' = 1\).

The following result due to Prüss and Simonett [22] characterizes the set of data on the right-hand sides of (3.1) for one obtains a solution of (3.1) in the maximal regularity space.

**Proposition 3.1.** [22, Theorem 5.1], [23, Theorem 3.1]. Let \(1 < p < \infty\), \(p \neq 3/2, 3\), \(a > 0\) and \(J = (0, a)\). Suppose that
\[
\rho_i > 0, \quad v_i > 0, \quad \gamma_a \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma > 0, \quad i = 1, 2.
\]

Then, equation (3.1) admits a unique solution \((u, \theta, h)\)
\[
u \in (H^1_p(J, \dot{H}^1_p(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L_p(J, H^2_p(\mathbb{R}^N)))^N,
\]
\[
\theta \in L_p(J, \dot{H}^1_p(\mathbb{R}^N)),
\]
\[
[u] \in W^{1/2-1/(2p)}_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N-1)) \cap L_p(J, W^{1-1/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^N-1)),
\]
\[
h \in W^{2-1/(2p)}_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N-1)) \cap H^1_p(J, W^{2-1/(2p)}_p(\mathbb{R}^N-1)) \cap L_p(J, W^{3-1/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^N-1))
\]
if and only if the data \((f, f_d, g, g_h, u_0, h_0)\) satisfy the following regularity and compatibility conditions:
\[
f \in L_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N))^N,
\]
\[
f_d \in (W^{1/2-1/(2p)}_p(J, \dot{H}^1_p(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L_p(J, H^2_p(\mathbb{R}^N)))^N,
\]
\[
g \in W^{1-1/p}_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N-1)) \cap L_p(J, W^{1-1/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^N-1))^N,
\]
\[
g_h \in W^{2-1/(2p)}_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N-1)) \cap L_p(J, W^{2-1/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^N-1))^N,
\]
\[
u u_0 \in W^{2-2/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)^N,
\]
\[
h_0 \in W^{3-2/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^N-1)^N,
\]
\[
\text{div} u_0 = f_d(0) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,
\]
\[
[u_0] = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \text{ if } p > 3/2,
\]
\[
g_j(0) = -[\nu(D_N u_{0j} + D_j u_{0N})] = g_j(0) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \text{ if } p > 3
\]
for all \(j = 1, \ldots, N - 1\). Moreover, the solution map \([f, f_d, g, g_h, u_0, h_0] \mapsto (u, \theta, h)\) is continuous between the corresponding spaces.
4. Properties of function spaces involved

In order to derive estimates for the nonlinear mappings occurring on the right-hand sides of (2.7) we study first embedding properties of the functions spaces involved. For $a > 0$ let $J = (0, a)$ and set

$$ \mathcal{E}_1(a) = \{ u \in (H^1_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L_p(J, H^2_p(\mathbb{R}^N)))^N \mid \| u \| = 0 \}, $$

$$ \mathcal{E}_2(a) = L_p(J, H^1_p(\mathbb{R}^N)), $$

$$ \mathcal{E}_3(a) = W^{-1/2-1/(2p)}_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L_p(J, W^{-1/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)), $$

$$ \mathcal{E}_4(a) = W^{-2-1/(2p)}_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap H^2_p(J, W^{-1/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)) $$

$$ \cap W^{-1/2-1/(2p)}_p(J, H^2_p(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L_p(J, W^{3-1/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)) $$

as well as

$$ \mathcal{F}_1(a) = L_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N))^N, $$

$$ \mathcal{F}_2(a) = H^1_p(J, H^1_p(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L_p(J, H^1_p(\mathbb{R}^N)), $$

$$ \mathcal{F}_3(a) = (W^{-1/2-1/(2p)}_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L_p(J, W^{-1/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)))^N, $$

$$ \mathcal{F}_4(a) = W^{1-1/(2p)}_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L_p(J, W^{2-1/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)). $$

We then have the following result due to Prüss and Simonett [22].

**Lemma 4.1.** [22, Lemma 6.1]. Let $N + 2 < p < \infty$, $a > 0$ and $J = (0, a)$. Then the following properties hold true.

a) $\mathcal{E}_3(a)$ and $\mathcal{F}_4(a)$ are multiplication algebras.

b) $\mathcal{E}_1(a) \hookrightarrow (BUC(J, BUC^1(\mathbb{R}^N)))^N$ and $\mathcal{E}_1(a) \hookrightarrow W^{1/2}_p(J, H^1_p(\mathbb{R}^N))^N$.

c) $\mathcal{E}_3(a) \hookrightarrow BUC(J, BUC(\mathbb{R}^N)).$

d) $\mathcal{E}_4(a) \hookrightarrow BUC(J, BUC^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap BUC(J, BUC^2(\mathbb{R}^N)).$

e) $W^{2-1/(2p)}_p(J, L_2(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap H^2_p(J, W^{3-1/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)) \cap L_p(J, W^{3-1/p}_p(\mathbb{R}^N)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_4(a).$

The crucial point of our proof is the investigation of the viscosity functions $\mu$. To this end, given $a > 0$, we introduce the function space $\mathcal{F}_3(a)$ as

$$ \mathcal{F}_3(a) := \{ g \in BUC(J, BUC(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \| g \|_{\mathcal{F}_3(a)} = \| g \|_{BUC(J, BUC(\mathbb{R}^N))} + | g |_{\mathcal{F}_3(a)} < \infty \}, $$

where $| g |_{\mathcal{F}_3(a)} = | g |_{\mathcal{F}_3(a), 1} + | g |_{\mathcal{F}_3(a), 2}$ with

$$ | g |_{\mathcal{F}_3(a), 1} := \left( \int_J \int_J \frac{\| g(t) - g(s) \|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p}{| t - s |^{N+1}} \, ds \right)^{1/p} $$

and

$$ | g |_{\mathcal{F}_3(a), 2} := \left( \int_J \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{| g(t, x') - g(t, y') |^p}{| x' - y' |^{N-2+p}} \, dx' \, dy' \, dt \right)^{1/p}. $$

We then obtain the following result.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $N + 2 < p < \infty$, $a > 0$, and $J = (0, a)$. Then the following properties hold true.

a) $\mathcal{F}_3(a)$ and $\mathcal{F}_3(a)$ are multiplication algebras. In addition,

$$ \mathcal{F}_3(a) \hookrightarrow BUC(J, BUC(\mathbb{R}^N))^N $$

and

$$ \mathcal{F}_3(a) \hookrightarrow BUC(J, BUC(\mathbb{R}^N)). $$

b) If $\varphi \in BUC^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in \mathcal{F}_3(a)$, then

$$ \| \varphi \|_{\mathcal{F}_3(a)} \leq \| \varphi \|_{BUC(\mathbb{R})} + \| \varphi \|_{BUC(\mathbb{R})} | g |_{\mathcal{F}_3(a)}.$$  

c) There exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$ \| fg \|_{\mathcal{F}_3(a)} \leq C \| f \|_{\mathcal{F}_3(a)} \| g \|_{\mathcal{F}_3(a)} $$

for $f \in \mathcal{F}_3(a)$ and $g \in \mathcal{F}_3(a).$
Proof. a) The properties for \( \mathbb{F}_3(a) \) is essentially given in Lemma 4.1 (a) and (c). The embedding \( \mathbb{F}_3(a) \to \text{BUC}(J, \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})) \) follows from the definition of \( \mathbb{F}_3(a) \). We thus only show that \( \mathbb{F}_3(a) \) is a multiplication algebra. For \( f, g \in \mathbb{F}_3(a) \) it follows that

\[
\| fg \|_{\text{BUC}(J, \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}))} \leq \| f \|_{\text{BUC}(J, \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}))} \| g \|_{\text{BUC}(J, \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}))} \leq \| f \|_{\mathbb{F}_3(a)} \| g \|_{\mathbb{F}_3(a)}.
\]

Considering \( | \cdot |_{\mathbb{F}_3(a),1} \) we see that

\[
| fg |_{\mathbb{F}_3(a),1} \leq \| f \|_{\text{BUC}(J, \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}))} \left( \int_J \int_J \frac{\| g(t) - g(s) \|_p^p}{|t - s|^\frac{q+2}{r}} \, dt \, ds \right)^{1/p} + \| g \|_{\text{BUC}(J, \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}))} \left( \int_J \int_J \frac{\| f(t) - f(s) \|_p^p}{|t - s|^\frac{q+2}{r}} \, dt \, ds \right)^{1/p}.
\]

Similarly, \( | fg |_{\mathbb{F}_3(a),2} \leq \| f \|_{\mathbb{F}_3(a)} \| g \|_{\mathbb{F}_3(a),2} + \| g \|_{\mathbb{F}_3(a)} \| f \|_{\mathbb{F}_3(a),2} \). This yields \( | fg |_{\mathbb{F}_3(a)} \leq C \| f \|_{\mathbb{F}_3(a)} \| g \|_{\mathbb{F}_3(a)} \), which implies that \( \mathbb{F}_3(a) \) is a multiplication algebra.

b) By the mean value theorem

\[
| \varphi(g) |_{\mathbb{F}_3(a)} = \left( \int_J \int_J \frac{|\varphi(g(t)) - \varphi(g(s))|^p}{|t - s|^\frac{q+2}{r}} \, dt \, ds \right)^{1/p} 
\]

which yields the required inequality.

c) Obvious,

\[
\| fg \|_{L^p(J, L^p(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}))} \leq \| f \|_{L^p(J, L^p(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}))} \| g \|_{\text{BUC}(J, \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}))} \leq \| f \|_{\mathbb{F}_3(a)} \| g \|_{\mathbb{F}_3(a)}.
\]

On the other hand, we see that by Lemma 4.2 (1) and by calculations similar to a) there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that for \( i = 1, 2 \)

\[
| fg |_{\mathbb{F}_3(a),i} \leq \| f \|_{\text{BUC}(J, \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}))} \| g \|_{\mathbb{F}_3(a),i} + \| g \|_{\text{BUC}(J, \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}))} \| f \|_{\mathbb{F}_3(a),i} \leq C \| f \|_{\mathbb{F}_3(a)} \| g \|_{\mathbb{F}_3(a)},
\]

which combined with the above inequality completes the proof.

We next recall basic properties of functions which are Fréchet differentiable. Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be Banach spaces and \( U \subset X \) be open. We then denote the Fréchet derivative of a differentiable mapping \( \Phi : U \to Y \) by \( D\Phi : U \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \) and its evaluation for \( u \in U \) and \( v \in X \) by \( [D\Phi(u)](v) \in Y \). Moreover, a mapping \( \Phi : U \to Y \) is called continuously Fréchet differentiable if and only if \( \Phi \) is Fréchet differentiable on \( U \) and its Fréchet derivative \( D\Phi \) is continuous on \( U \). The set of such continuously Fréchet differentiable mappings from \( U \) to \( Y \) is denoted by \( C^1(U,Y) \).

In the sequel, we will make use of the chain and product rule for Fréchet differentiable functions. In fact, in addition let \( Z \) be a further Banach space and suppose that the mappings \( f : U \to Y \) and \( g : Y \to Z \) are continuously Fréchet differentiable. Then the composition \( F = g \circ f : U \to Z \) is also continuously Fréchet differentiable and its evaluation at \( x \in U \) and \( \bar{x} \in X \) is given by

\[
[Df(x)]\bar{x} = [Dg(f(x))] [Df(x)]\bar{x}.
\]
For the product rule, suppose that there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for every $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$
\[ \|yz\|_Y \leq M \|y\|_Y \|z\|_Z, \]
and also that $f : U \to Y$ and $g : U \to Z$ are continuously Fréchet differentiable. Set $F(x) = f(x)g(x)$
for $x \in U$. Then $F : U \to Y$ is also continuously Fréchet differentiable and its evaluation at $x \in U$ and
$\bar{x} \in X$ is given by
\[ [DF(x)]\bar{x} = g(x)[Df(x)]\bar{x} + f(x)[Dg(x)]\bar{x}. \]

Now, we define the solution space $E(a)$ and the data space $F(a)$ for $a > 0$ by
\[ E(a) := \{(u, \theta, \pi, h) \in E_1(a) \times E_2(a) \times E_3(a) \times E_4(a) \mid [\theta] = \pi\}, \]
\[ F(a) := F_1(a) \times F_2(a) \times F_3(a) \times F_4(a). \]
The spaces $E(a)$ and $F(a)$ are endowed with their natural norms, i.e.
\[ \|(u, \theta, \pi, h)\|_{E(a)} := \|u\|_{E_1(a)} + \|\theta\|_{E_2(a)} + \|\pi\|_{E_3(a)} + \|h\|_{E_4(a)}, \]
\[ \|(f, f_d, g, g_h)\|_{F(a)} := \|f\|_{F_1(a)} + \|f_d\|_{F_2(a)} + \|g\|_{F_3(a)} + \|g_h\|_{F_4(a)}. \]
Finally, we consider for $(u, \theta, \pi, h) \in E(a)$ the nonlinear mapping $N$ which is defined as
\[ (4.1) \quad N(u, \theta, \pi, h) := (F(u, \theta, h), F_d(u, h), G(u, \pi, h), G_h(u, h)), \]
where the terms on the right hand side are defined as in Section 2. For functions $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_N)^T$
defined on $\mathbb{R}^N$ we set
\[ (4.2) \quad u^1 := (u_1^1, \ldots, u_N^1), \quad u^2 := \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N} u_j, \]
\[ u^2 := (u_1^2, \ldots, u_N^2), \quad u^2 := \chi_{\mathbb{R}_N} u_j. \]
Recalling the definition of $E(u, h)$ and $E(u, h)$ in (2.6), the following lemma and its corollary shows
that various functions occurring in the definition of $N$ in (4.1) are Fréchet differentiable.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $N + 2 < p < \infty$, $a > 0$ and $J = (0, a)$. Then the following assertions hold true.

a) For $\phi \in BUC^1(\mathbb{R})$, the mapping
\[ \varphi : BUC(J, BUC(\mathbb{R}^N)) \to BUC(J, BUC(\mathbb{R}^N)) \]
is continuously Fréchet differentiable.

b) For $\psi \in BUC^3(\mathbb{R})$, the mapping
\[ \psi : F_3(a) \to F_3(a) \]
is continuously Fréchet differentiable.

c) Let $\phi \in BUC^1(\mathbb{R})$ and for $u$ defined as in (4.2) set
\[ \Phi^d(u, h) := \varphi(|E(u, h)|^2) \quad \text{for} \quad d = 1, 2. \]
Then $\Phi^d : E_1(a) \times E_4(a) \to BUC(J, BUC(\mathbb{R}^N))$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable.

d) Let $\psi \in BUC^3(\mathbb{R})$ and for $u$ defined as in (4.2) set
\[ \Psi^d(u, h) := \psi(|\gamma_0 E(u, h)|^2) \quad \text{for} \quad d = 1, 2, \]
where $\gamma_0$ denotes the trace to $\mathbb{R}_N^N$. Then $\Psi^d : E_1(a) \times E_4(a) \to F_3(a)$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable.

**Proof.** a) We show first that the mapping $\varphi$ is Fréchet differentiable. To this end, let $f, \tilde{f} \in Z := BUC(J, BUC(\mathbb{R}^N))$. Then
\[ \varphi(f + \tilde{f}) - \varphi(f) - \varphi(f) \tilde{f} = \int_0^1 (\dot{\varphi}(f + \theta \tilde{f}) - \dot{\varphi}(f)) d\theta \tilde{f}, \]
which implies
\[
\| \varphi(f + \tilde{f}) - \varphi(f) - \tilde{\varphi}(f)\tilde{f} \|_{Z} \leq \int_{0}^{1} \| \dot{\varphi}(f + \theta \tilde{f}) - \tilde{\varphi}(f)\|_{Z} \ d\theta.
\]
Since \( \tilde{\varphi} \in BUC(\mathbb{R}) \), the term on the right hand side above tends to 0 as \( \| \tilde{f} \|_{BUC(J,BUC(\mathbb{R}^{N}))} \to 0 \). Thus \( [D\varphi(f)]\tilde{f} = \tilde{\varphi}(f)\tilde{f} \). Next, we show the continuity of the Fréchet derivative at \( f_{0} \in Z \). For \( h \in Z \) we have
\[
\| D\varphi(f_{0} + h) - D\varphi(f_{0}) \|_{\mathcal{L}(Z)} = \sup_{\| f \|_{Z} = 1} \| [D\varphi(f_{0} + h)]f - [D\varphi(f_{0})]f \|_{Z}
\]
\[
= \sup_{\| f \|_{Z} = 1} \| \dot{\varphi}(f_{0} + h)f - \dot{\varphi}(f_{0})f \|_{Z}
\]
\[
\leq \| \dot{\varphi}(f_{0} + h) - \dot{\varphi}(f_{0}) \|_{Z},
\]
which tends to 0 as \( \| h \|_{Z} \to 0 \) since \( \tilde{\varphi} \in BUC(\mathbb{R}) \).

b) For \( f, \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{3}(a) \) we obtain
\[
\dot{\psi}(f + \tilde{f}) - \dot{\psi}(f) - \dot{\psi}(f)\tilde{f} = \int_{0}^{1} (1 - \theta)\tilde{\psi}(f + \theta \tilde{f})\tilde{f} d\theta.
\]

By Lemma 4.2 a) and b)
\[
\| \dot{\psi}(f + \theta \tilde{f})\tilde{f} \|_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)} \leq C\{ \| \tilde{\psi} \|_{BUC(\mathbb{R})} + \| \tilde{\psi} \|_{BUC(\mathbb{R})}f + \theta \tilde{f} \|_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)} \} \| \tilde{f} \|_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)}^{2}
\]
\[
\leq C(1 + \| f \|_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)} + \| \tilde{f} \|_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)} )\| \tilde{f} \|_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)},
\]
which implies that \( [D\psi(f)]\tilde{f} = \dot{\psi}(f)\tilde{f} \). Next, in order to show the continuity of the Fréchet derivative at \( f_{0} \in \mathcal{F}_{3}(a) \), let \( h \in \mathcal{F}_{3}(a) \). Then
\[
|D\psi(f_{0} + h) - D\psi(f_{0})|_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)} = \sup_{\| f \|_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)} = 1} | [D\psi(f_{0} + h)]f - [D\psi(f_{0})]f |_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)}
\]
\[
\leq C| \dot{\psi}(f_{0} + h) - \dot{\psi}(f_{0}) |_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)},
\]
by Lemma 4.2 a). Since \( \dot{\psi} \in BUC^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \), Lemma 4.2 b) implies that \( \dot{\psi}(f_{0} + h) \) and \( \dot{\psi}(f_{0}) \) are in \( \mathcal{F}_{3}(a) \). Taylor’s formula and Lemma 4.2 a) yield
\[
| \dot{\psi}(f_{0} + h) - \dot{\psi}(f_{0}) |_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)} \leq C \int_{0}^{1} | \ddot{\psi}(f_{0} + \theta h) |_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)} d\theta \| h \|_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)}.
\]
The latter terms tends to 0 as \( \| h \|_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)} \to 0 \), which completes the proof.

c) By Lemma 4.1 b) and d), the mappings
\[
(4.3) \quad (u, h) \mapsto E^{d}(u, h) : \mathcal{E}_{1}(a) \times \mathcal{E}_{4}(a) \to Z^{N \times N} \quad \text{as well as} \quad x \mapsto |x|^{2} : Z^{N \times N} \to Z
\]
are continuously Fréchet differentiable. The chain rule thus yields that
\[
\mathcal{E}_{1}(a) \times \mathcal{E}_{4}(a) \to Z : (u, h) \mapsto |E(u^{d}, h)|^{2}
\]
is continuously Fréchet differentiable, too. Applying assertion a) and the chain rule again implies that \( \Phi^{d} : \mathcal{E}_{1}(a) \times \mathcal{E}_{4}(a) \to Z \) is continuously Fréchet differentiable.

d) Note that for \( (u, h) \in \mathcal{E}_{1}(a) \times \mathcal{E}_{4}(a) \) and \( i, j = 1, \ldots, N \), we obtain
\[
(4.4) \quad \| (\gamma_{0} \partial_{i} u_{j}^{d}, \partial_{i} h, \partial_{i} \partial_{j} h) \|_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)} + \| (\gamma_{0} \partial_{i} u_{j}^{d}, \nabla h, \partial_{i} \nabla h) \|_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)} \leq C(a, p) \| z \|_{\mathcal{E}_{1}(a)}
\]
In fact, Lemma 4.2 b) and [18, Theorem 4.5] for \( s = 1/2, m = 1, \) and \( \mu = 1 \) yield
\[
\| \gamma_{0} \partial_{i} u_{j}^{d} \|_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)} + \| \gamma_{0} \partial_{i} u_{j}^{d} \|_{\mathcal{F}_{3}(a)} \leq C(\| \partial_{i} u_{j}^{d} \|_{BUC(J,BUC(\mathbb{R}^{N})))} + \| \gamma_{0} \partial_{i} u_{j}^{d} \|_{L_{p}(J,H_{p}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{N})))}
\]
\[
\leq C\| u \|_{\mathcal{E}_{1}(a)},
\]
which implies the required properties of $u$. Concerning $h$, the desired properties follow from the definition of $E_4(a)$ and Lemmas 4.1 and d). By (4.4) and Lemma 4.2 a) the mappings

$$(u, h) \mapsto \gamma_0 E(u^d, h) : E_1(a) \times E_4(a) \rightarrow \overline{F}_3(a)^{N \times N}$$

and $x \mapsto |x|^2 : \overline{F}_3(a)^{N \times N} \rightarrow \overline{F}_3(a)$

are continuously Fréchet differentiable. By the chain rule

$$(u, h) \mapsto |\gamma_0 E(u^d, h)|^2 : E_1(a) \times E_4(a) \rightarrow \overline{F}_3(a)$$

is continuously Fréchet differentiable. Finally, combining this with assertion b), the chain rule yields the assertion. \qed

For $\Phi \in BUC_1(\mathbb{R})$, $u$ as in (4.2) and $i, j, k, l, m, q, r = 1, \ldots, N$ and $d = 1, 2$ we now introduce the functions

$$\Phi_{ijklmqr}^d(u, h) := \varphi(|E(u^d, h)|^2)E_{ij}(u^d, h)E_{kl}(u^d, h)\partial_m \partial_q u_r^d,$$

$$\Lambda_{ijklmqr}^d(u, h) := \varphi(|E(u^d, h)|^2)E_{ij}(u^d, h)E_{kl}(u^d, h)\mathcal{F}_{mq}(h)u_r^d,$$

$$\Phi_{ij}^d(u, h) := (\varphi(|E(u^d, h)|^2) - \varphi(0))\partial_i u_j^d,$$

$$\Lambda_{ij}^d(u, h) := (\varphi(|E(u^d, h)|^2) - \varphi(0))\mathcal{F}_{ij}(h)u^d,$$

as well as for $\psi \in BUC^2(\mathbb{R})$, $u$ as in (4.2), $i, j, k = 1, \ldots, N$ and $d = 1, 2$ the functions

$$\Psi_{ij}^d(u, h) := \{\psi(|\gamma_0 E(u^d, h)|^2) - \psi(0)\}\gamma_0 \partial_i u_j^d,$$

$$\Theta_{ij}^d(u, h) := \psi(|\gamma_0 E(u^d, h)|^2)\gamma_0 \partial_i u_j^d \partial_j h,$$

$$\Xi_{ij}^d(u, h) := \psi(|\gamma_0 E(u^d, h)|^2)\gamma_0 \partial_i u_j^d \partial_j h \partial_k h.$$

**Corollary 4.4.** Let $N + 2 < p < \infty$, $a > 0$ and $J = (0, a)$. Then the following assertions hold true.

a) Assume that $i, j, k, l, m, q, r = 1, \ldots, N$ and $d = 1, 2$. For $\phi \in BUC_1(\mathbb{R})$ and $u$ as in (4.2) the mappings

$$\Phi_{ijklmqr}^d, \Lambda_{ijklmqr}^d, \Phi_{ij}^d, \Lambda_{ij}^d : E_1(a) \times E_4(a) \rightarrow L_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N))$$

are continuously Fréchet differentiable. Moreover, their values and their Fréchet derivatives at $(u, h) = (0, 0)$ vanish.

b) Let $i, j, k = 1, \ldots, N$ and $d = 1, 2$. For $\psi \in BUC^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $u$ as in (4.2) the functions

$$\Psi_{ij}^d, \Theta_{ij}^d, \Xi_{ij}^d : E_1(a) \times E_4(a) \rightarrow F_3(a)$$

are continuously Fréchet differentiable. Moreover, their values and Fréchet derivatives at $(u, h) = (0, 0)$ vanish.

**Proof.** a) We only prove the assertion for $\Phi_{ijklmqr}^d$; the remaining assertions can be proved in an analogously. By (4.3), Lemma 4.3 a) and the product rule, the function

$$(u, h) \mapsto \varphi(|E(u^d, h)|^2)E_{ij}(u^d, h)E_{kl}(u^d, h) : E_1(a) \times E_4(a) \rightarrow BUC(J, BUC(\mathbb{R}^N))$$

is continuously Fréchet differentiable. Moreover,

$$u \mapsto \partial_m \partial_q u_r^d : E_1(a) \rightarrow L_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N))$$

is continuously Fréchet differentiable, which combined with the above assertion and the product rule applied to the situation $X = E_1(a) \times E_4(a)$, $Y = L_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N))$ and $Z = BUC(J, BUC(\mathbb{R}^N))$ implies that $\Phi_{ijklmqr}^d : E_1(a) \times E_1(a) \rightarrow L_p(J, L_p(\mathbb{R}^N))$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable. In addition, it is clear that $\Phi_{ijklmqr}^d(0, 0) = 0$ and $D\Phi_{ijklmqr}^d(0, 0) = 0$.

b) We only prove the assertion for $\Psi_{ij}^d$. Since

$$u \mapsto \gamma_0 \partial_i u_j^d : E_1(a) \rightarrow F_3(a)$$

(4.5)
is continuously Fréchet differentiable, it follows from (4.4) that

\[ u \mapsto \psi(\gamma_0 \partial_d u^d) : E_1(a) \to F_3(a) \]

is continuously Fréchet differentiable, too. On the other hand by Lemma 4.3 b), (4.5) and the product rule applied to \( X = E_1(a) \times E_4(a), Y = F_3(a) \) and \( Z = F_3(a) \), the mapping

\[ (u, h) \mapsto \psi(|\gamma_0 E(u^d, h)|^2) \gamma_0 \partial_d u^d : E_1(a) \times E_4(a) \to F_3(a) \]

is continuously Fréchet differentiable. Finally, \( \Psi^d_i(0, 0) = 0 \) and thanks to product rule

\[ D\Psi^d_i(0, 0) = 0 \]

which implies that \( D\Psi^d_i(0, 0) = 0 \). The proof is complete . □

5. THE NONLINEAR PROBLEM

Let us recall from (4.1) that for \((u, \theta, \pi, h) \in E(a)\) the nonlinear mapping \( N \) was defined as

\[ N(u, \theta, \pi, h) = (F(u, \theta, h), F_d(u, h), G(u, \pi, h), G_h(u, h)). \]

We start this section by examining properties of the nonlinear mapping \( N \).

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \( N + 2 < p < \infty, a > 0 \) and \( r > 0 \). Suppose that \( \mu_d(s) \in C^2([0, \infty)) \) for \( d = 1, 2 \) and in addition that \( \rho_1 > 0, \rho_2 > 0, \gamma_a > 0 \) and \( \sigma > 0 \) are constants. Then

\[ N \in C^1(B_{E(a)}(r), F(a)), \ N(0) = 0 \text{ and } DN(0) = 0. \]

**Proof.** We treat here in detail only the terms \( A(u, h) \) and \( B(u, h) \) which are defined in Section 2. The remaining terms may be treated as in [22, Proposition 6.2] and [23, Proposition 4.1].

The term \( A(u, h) \):

Let \((u, \theta, \pi, h) \in B_{E(a)}(r)\) and recall that \( A_i \) is given for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) by

\[ A_i(u, h) = \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{N} (A_{i,j,k,l}^d(E(u, h)) - A_{i,j,k,l}^d(0))(\partial_j \partial_k u_l + \partial_j \partial_l u_k) \]

\[ + \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{N} (A_{i,j,k,l}^d(E(u, h)) - A_{i,j,k,l}^d(0))(F_{jk}(h)u_l + F_{jl}(h)u_k), \]

where \( F_{jk}(h) \) are defined as in (2.5) and \( A_{i,j,k,l}^d \) as in Section 2 by

\[ A_{i,j,k,l}^d(E(u, h)) = \chi_{R_0^N} A_{i,j,k,l}^d(E(u, h)) + \chi_{R_+^N} A_{i,j,k,l}^d(E(u, h)), \]

\[ A_{d,i,j,k,l}^d(E(u, h)) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 2 \mu_d(|E(u, h)|^2) E_{ij}(u, h) E_{kl}(u, h) + \mu_d(|E(u, h)|^2) \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} \right) \]

for \( d = 1, 2 \). Observe that \( A_{i,j,k,l}^d(E(u, h)) \) may be represented as

\[ A_{i,j,k,l}^d(E(u, h)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d=1}^{2} \left( 2 \mu_d(|E(u^d, h)|^2) E_{ij}(u^d, h) E_{kl}(u^d, h) + \mu_d(|E(u^d, h)|^2) \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} \right), \]
and thus
\[
\mathcal{A}_i(u, h) = \sum_{d=1}^{2} \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{N} \mu_d(|E(u^d, h)|^2) E_{ij}(u^d, h) E_{kl}(u^d, h) (\partial_j \partial_k u^d_l + \partial_j \partial_l u^d_k) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d=1}^{2} \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{N} (\mu_d(|E(u^d, h)|^2) - \mu_d(0)) \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} (\partial_j \partial_k u^d_l + \partial_j \partial_l u^d_k) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d=1}^{2} \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{N} \mu_d(|E(u^d, h)|^2) E_{ij}(u^d, h) E_{kl}(u^d, h) (\mathcal{F}_{jk}(h) u^d_l + \mathcal{F}_{jl}(h) u^d_k) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d=1}^{2} \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{N} (\mu_d(|E(u^d, h)|^2) - \mu_d(0)) \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} (\mathcal{F}_{jk}(h) u^d_l + \mathcal{F}_{jl}(h) u^d_k)
\]
for \(i = 1, \ldots, N\). By Corollary 4.4 a)

\[\mathcal{A} \in C^1(B_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}(r), \mathbb{F}_1(a)), \quad \mathcal{A}(0,0) = 0 \text{ and } D\mathcal{A}(0,0) = 0.\]

The term \(\mathcal{B}(u, h)\):

Let \((u, \theta, \pi, h) \in B_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}(r)\). By Lemma 4.1 b) and d), each term appearing in \(\mathcal{B}(u, h)\) is continuous with respect to the space variable. In particular, this implies

\[
\gamma_0 \mu_d(|E(u^d, h)|^2)) = \mu_d(|E(u^d, h)|^2), \quad \gamma_0 \{\partial_N u^d_N(\partial_j h)\} = (\gamma_0 \partial_N u^d_N) (\gamma_0 \partial_j h).
\]

Thus, \(\mathcal{B}(u, h)\) may be rewritten as

\[
\mathcal{B}_j(u, h) = -\sum_{d=1}^{2} (-1)^d \mu_d((\gamma_0 E(u^d, h))^2)(\gamma_0 \partial_N u^d_N) \partial_j h \\
+ \sum_{d=1}^{2} (-1)^d (\mu_d((\gamma_0 E(u^d, h))^2) - \mu_d(0))(\gamma_0 \partial_N u^d_N + \gamma_0 \partial_j u^d_N) \\
- \sum_{d=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (-1)^d \mu_d((\gamma_0 E(u^d, h))^2)(\gamma_0 \partial_j u^d_k + \gamma_0 \partial_N u^d_k) \partial_k h \\
+ \sum_{d=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (-1)^d \mu_d((\gamma_0 E(u^d, h))^2)(\partial_k h \gamma_0 \partial_N u^d_k + \partial_j \gamma_0 \partial_N u^d_k) \partial_k h,
\]

\[
\mathcal{B}_N(u, h) = 2 \sum_{d=1}^{2} (-1)^d (\mu_d((\gamma_0 E(u^d, h))^2) - \mu_d(0))(\gamma_0 \partial_N u^d_N) \\
+ \sum_{d=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (-1)^d \mu_d((\gamma_0 E(u^d, h))^2)(\partial_k h \gamma_0 \partial_N u^d_k) \\
+ \sum_{d=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (-1)^d \mu_d((\gamma_0 E(u^d, h))^2)(\gamma_0 \partial_N u^d_k + \gamma_0 \partial_k u^d_k) \partial_k h.
\]

These representation combined with Corollary 4.4 b) yields

\[
\mathcal{B} \in C^1(B_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}(r), \mathbb{F}_3(a)), \quad \mathcal{B}(0,0) = 0 \text{ and } D\mathcal{B}(0,0) = 0,
\]

which implies the assertion. \(\square\)

Finally, we return to the nonlinear problem (2.7). We define the space of initial data \(I\) by

\[
I := W^{2-2/p}_{p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times W^{3-2/p}_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1}),
\]
and define also for \( z \in \mathbb{E}(a) \) and \((u_0, h_0) \in \mathbb{I}\) the mapping \( \Phi \) by
\[
\Phi(z) := L^{-1}(N(z), u_0, h_0).
\]
Here \( L \) is defined by the left-hand side of the linear problem (3.1) with \( \nu = \mu(0) \). Observe that the invertibility of \( L \) is guaranteed by Proposition 3.1 since \( \mu_i(0) > 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) by assumption and \( N(z) \in \mathbb{F}(a) \) for \( z \in \mathbb{E}(a) \) by Lemma 5.1. The following result shows that the problem (2.7) on the fixed domain admits a unique strong solution provided the data \( u_0 \) and \( h_0 \) are sufficiently small in their corresponding norms.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let \( N + 2 < p < \infty \) and \( a > 0 \). Suppose that \( \mu_i \in C^3([0, \infty)) \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) and that
\[
\rho_i > 0, \quad \mu_i(0) > 0, \quad \gamma_a \geq 0 \text{ and } \sigma > 0.
\]
Then there exist positive constants \( \varepsilon_0 \) and \( \delta_0 \) (depending on \( a \) and \( p \)), such that system (2.7) admits a unique solution \((u, \theta, h) \) in \( B_{\mathbb{E}(a)}(\delta_0) \) provided that the initial data \((u_0, h_0) \in \mathbb{I} \) satisfy the compatibility conditions
\[
(5.1) \quad \mu((E(u_0, h_0))^2)E(u_0, h_0) - \{n_0 \cdot \mu((E(u_0, h_0))^2)E(u_0, h_0)n_0\}n_0 = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\
\theta_0 = [n_0 \cdot \mu((E(u_0, h_0))^2)E(u_0, h_0)n_0 + (\rho_i)\gamma + \sigma \Delta]h_0 - \sigma \mathcal{H}(h_0) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\
\text{div } u_0 = F_d(u_0, h_0) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_N, \quad [u_0] = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_0^N
\]
as well as the smallness condition \( \|(u_0, h_0)\|_1 < \varepsilon_0 \).

**Remark 5.3.** The compatibility conditions (5.1) are equivalent to
\[
-\left[\mu(0)(\partial_N u_j + \partial_j u_N)\right] = G_j(u_0, \theta_0, h_0) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\
\theta_0 = 2\mu(0)\partial_N u_{0N} + (\rho_i)\gamma + \sigma \Delta h_0 = G_N(u_0, h_0) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_0^N, \\
\text{div } u_0 = F_d(u_0, h_0) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_N, \quad [u_0] = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_0^N
\]
for \( j = 1, \ldots, N - 1 \) and \( G = (G_1, \ldots, G_N)^T \) defined as in Section 2.

**Proof.** Observe that \( DN \) is continuous and \( DN(0) = 0 \) by Lemma 5.1. Thus, we may choose \( \delta_0 > 0 \) small enough such that
\[
\sup_{z \in B_{\mathbb{E}(a)}(\delta_0)} \|DN(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}(B_{\mathbb{E}(a)}(r), \mathbb{E}(a))} \leq \frac{1}{2\|L^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}(a) \times \mathbb{E}(a))}},
\]
where \( r > 0 \) is a sufficiently large number. For \( z \in B_{\mathbb{E}(a)}(\delta_0) \), the mean value theorem implies
\[
\|\Phi(z)\|_{\mathbb{E}(a)} \leq \|L^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}(a) \times \mathbb{E}(a))}\left\{\|N(z)\|_{\mathbb{F}(a)} + \|(u_0, h_0)\|_1\right\} \\
= \|L^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}(a) \times \mathbb{E}(a))}\left\{\|N(z) - N(0)\|_{\mathbb{F}(a)} + e_0\right\} \\
\leq \|L^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}(a) \times \mathbb{E}(a))}\left\{\left(\sup_{z \in B_{\mathbb{E}(a)}(\delta_0)} \|DN(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}(B_{\mathbb{E}(a)}(r), \mathbb{E}(a))}\right)\|z\|_{\mathbb{E}(a)} + e_0\right\} \\
\leq \frac{\delta_0}{2} + e_0\|L^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}(a) \times \mathbb{E}(a))}.
\]
Choosing \( e_0 \) in such way that \( 0 < e_0 < \delta_0/\left(2\|L^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}(a) \times \mathbb{E}(a))}\right) \), we obtain \( \|\Phi(z)\|_{\mathbb{E}(a)} < \delta_0 \). Hence, \( \Phi \) is a mapping from \( B_{\mathbb{E}(a)}(\delta_0) \) into itself.

Let \( z_1, z_2 \in B_{\mathbb{E}(a)}(\delta_0) \). Noting that \( \Phi(z_1) - \Phi(z_2) = L^{-1}(N(z_1) - N(z_2), 0, 0) \), we obtain by the mean value theorem
\[
\|\Phi(z_1) - \Phi(z_2)\|_{\mathbb{E}(a)} \leq \|L^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}(a) \times \mathbb{E}(a))}\|N(z_1) - N(z_2)\|_{\mathbb{F}(a)} \\
\leq \|L^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}(a) \times \mathbb{E}(a))}\left(\sup_{z \in B_{\mathbb{E}(a)}(2\delta_0)} \|DN(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}(B_{\mathbb{E}(a)}(r), \mathbb{F}(a))}\right)\|z_1 - z_2\|_{\mathbb{E}(a)} \\
\leq \frac{1}{2}\|z_1 - z_2\|_{\mathbb{E}(a)},
\]
which implies that \( \Phi \) is a contraction mapping on \( B_{E(a)}(\delta_0) \). The contraction principle yields the existence of a unique solution of (2.7) in \( B_{E(a)}(\delta_0) \).

\( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Note that the compatibility conditions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied if and only if (5.1) is satisfied. The mapping \( \Theta_{h_0} \) given by

\[
\Theta_{h_0}(\xi', \zeta_N) := (\xi', \zeta_N + h_0(\xi')) \quad \text{for} \quad (\xi', \zeta_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N
\]
defines for \( h_0 \in W_\beta^{3-2/\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N) \) a \( C^2 \)-diffeomorphism from \( \mathbb{R}^N \) onto \( \Omega(0) \) with inverse \( \Theta_{h_0}^{-1}(x', x_N) = (x', x_N - h_0(x')) \). Thus there exists a constant \( C(h_0) \) such that

\[
C(h_0)^{-1}\|v_0\|_{W_\beta^{2-2/\beta}(\xi_N)} \leq \|v_0\|_{W_\beta^{2-2/\beta}(\xi_N)} \leq C(h_0)\|v_0\|_{W_\beta^{2-2/\beta}(\xi_N)}.
\]

Hence, the smallness condition in Theorem 1.1 implies the smallness condition in Proposition 5.2. Proposition 5.2 then yields a unique solution \((u, \theta, h) \in B_{E(a)}(\delta_0) \) of (2.7). Finally, setting

\[
(v, \pi) = (\Theta_0 u, \Theta_0 \pi) = (u \circ \Theta^{-1}, \pi \circ \Theta^{-1}),
\]

where \( \Theta_0 \) is defined as in (2.4), we obtain a unique solution \((v, \pi, h) \) of the original problem (1.2) with the regularities stated in Theorem 1.1. The proof is complete.

\( \square \)
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