A model to predict the risk of mortality in severely ill COVID-19 patients
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1. Background

The city of Wuhan witnessed a large outbreak of an epidemic respiratory disease of unknown cause since December 2019. This worldwide epidemic disease represents the third coronavirus outbreak within 20 years, following the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and the Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS). A novel coronavirus was isolated from the infected patients and identified to be the causative agent; it was named the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV2; previously known as 2019-nCoV) and the disease was called the COVID-19 disease.

Most patients with COVID-19 had mild symptoms, while severe and critical cases were reported in 19% of the infected patients [1]. A severe COVID-19 infection may lead to fatal consequences, such as respiratory failure and even death in the absence of timely diagnosis and treatment. Predicting the risk of death for severe COVID-19 patients and ensuring that they receive timely and appropriate management represent difficult challenges for health...
care providers. Identifying the risk factors for severe patients is of great importance, as it may reduce the mortality rate and facilitate efficient utilization of the medical resources that might be insufficient. Although some risk factors have been reported to be associated with the mortality of COVID-19 patients, the earlier studies may be biased since they included mildly ill patients because, almost no death incidents were reported among non-severe patients. Based on existing studies and our initial analysis of the data and clinical experience, we developed and validated a nomogram to predict the mortality of patients with severe and critical COVID-19. The proposed predictive model will help to identify COVID-19 patients at high risk of death for early intensive management and assist in allocating limited medical resources.

2. Methods

2.1. Study cohort and population

This is a retrospective single-center cohort study of adult inpatients (≥18 years old) with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 from the People’s Hospital of Wuhan University and Leishenshan Hospital between February 16, 2020, and April 14, 2020. Patients who were treated at the People’s Hospital of Wuhan University were included in the derivation cohort, whereas patients from Leishenshan Hospital were included in the validation cohort.

The diagnosis of the cases was confirmed according to the WHO interim guidance. The types of the novel coronavirus pneumonia were classified as mild, common, severe and critically severe, such that the classification was defined according to the guideline of the National Health Committee of the People’s Republic of China (version 7.0, http://www.nhc.gov.cn/). Severe cases should meet any of the following criteria: 1. Respiratory distress, a respiratory rate of 30 beats/min; 2. Oxygen saturation ≤93% in the resting state; 3. Arterial blood oxygen partial pressure (PaO2) / oxygen concentration (FiO2) ≤ 300 mmHg (1 mmHg = 0.133 kPa); 4. Lung involvement on imaging >50% within 24 to 48 h. Critically severe cases were defined as at least one of the followings: the patients who met any of the following criteria: 1. Respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation; 2. Shock; 3. Other forms of organ failure requiring admission to the intensive care unit (ICU).

In this study, only severe and critical patients older than 18 years old with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 were included, while the patients with missing data on relevant predictors or follow-up data were excluded. Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 1002 patients with COVID-19 were included in the study. All the enrolled patients had a definite outcome.

2.2. Predictors and outcomes

All COVID-19 cases were confirmed with a positive result of real-time reverse-transcriptase polymerase-chain-reaction (RT-PCR) assay for nasal and pharyngeal swab specimens. The data of the patients were retrospectively collected from the electronic medical records, including the following data: demographic information (age, sex, date of hospital admission, date of development of severe and critical illness, hospital discharge date or date of death), previous comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cerebrovascular disease, malignancy, chronic lung disease, cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease), laboratory test results and chest computed tomography (CT) findings within 24 h of admission, vital signs at the time of admission and treatment details. For the patients who had two relapses during the study period, only the first data were included. The endpoint was all-cause inhospital mortality.

2.3. Model development and validation

All the clinical data were already anonymized before being cleaned and analyzed. We used the reported studies and systematic reviews to identify the predictors of death in severe and critical COVID-19 cases, which could be easily ascertained by clinicians with clinical experience and part of patients’ routine tests [2–6]. In order to identify any potential novel risk factors that were not previously reported, we also used the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO). For small datasets with a low events per variables (EPV) ratio, LASSO is more appropriate than the traditional stepwise regression [7], and it is suitable for regression models with high-dimensional predictors [8]. The final prediction model was constructed by a logistic regression model with predictors identified from LASSO regression and presented as a nomograph.

The discrimination of the model was evaluated using the area under the receiver-operator characteristic curve (AUC), which evaluates the ability of the model to predict future outcomes. The closer the AUC is to 1, the better the model performance, and a value of 0.5 indicates that the ability of the model to discriminate is due to chance.

The calibration of the model was measured using calibration curve, and expressed as the calibration intercept and slope. A calibration intercept of 0 and a calibration slope of 1 indicates perfect calibration.

Furthermore, decision curve analysis (DCA) was detected to evaluate potential clinical effects of the nomogram and the scope of application.

Statistical AnalysisContinuous variables were reported as means (±SDs) or medians (with interquartile ranges [IQRs]) based on the data distribution, while categorical variables were expressed as frequencies (with percentages). The baseline characteristics between groups were compared using the χ2 test for categorical variables and the analysis of variance or Kruskal–Wallis tests for continuous variables where appropriate.

All the tests were two-sided, and a P value of < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All Statistical analysis was performed using the R statistical software (R version 3.6.2).

3. Results

A total of 2896 patients with COVID-19 between February 16, 2020 and April 14, 2020 were included in this retrospective cohort study (Supplementary Fig. 1). The analysis included 1002 severe and critical cases from two hospitals to be evaluated. The factors of gender, comorbidities except for cardiovascular disease, platelet count, white blood cell count, neutrophil count, procalcitonin level, ALT (alanine aminotransferase) level and D-dimer level did not significantly differ between the training cohort and validation cohort. The characteristics of the patients with severe COVID-19 in the training and validation cohorts are shown in Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1.

3.1. Characteristics of the training and validation cohorts

We screened 566 severe COVID-19 cases out of 1096 cases from the People’s Hospital of Wuhan University to be included in the training cohort. All the patients’ discharge status had been recorded, and the overall mortality rate of the training cohort was 8.3%, reaching 16.1% in severely ill cases. The median age was 60.7 (±14.7) years, the age of non-survivors was higher than that of the survivors (71.6 vs. 58.6 years, P < 0.001). Among the 566 severely ill cases, 273 (48.2%) were females and 293 (51.8%) were males. No significant difference was observed in the symp-
Table 1
Demographic and clinical features of study population by mortality.

| Characteristic | Training cohort (n = 566) | Validation cohort (n = 436) | p value |
|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
|               | Survivors (n = 475)       | Non-survivors (n = 91)      |         |
|               | 64.4 ± 13.1               | 72.7 ± 11.4                 | <0.001  |
| Age, y        | 58.6 ± 14.0               | 71.6 ± 13.8                 | <0.001  |
| Gender        |                           |                             |         |
| Female        | 241 (50.7%)               | 32 (35.2%)                  | 0.006   |
| Male          | 234 (49.3%)               | 59 (64.8%)                  | 0.006   |
| SBP, mmHg     | 130.01 ± 18.30            | 133.24 ± 23.12              | 0.141   |
| DBP, mmHg     | 77.76 ± 11.70             | 79.10 ± 14.38               | 0.338   |
| Symptoms      |                           |                             |         |
| Fever         | 388 (81.68%)              | 72 (79.12%)                 | 0.566   |
| Cough         | 305 (64.21%)              | 53 (58.24%)                 | 0.279   |
| Fatigue       | 152 (32.00%)              | 35 (38.46%)                 | 0.230   |
| Headache      | 24 (5.05%)                | 1 (1.10%)                   | 0.053   |
| Dyspnea       | 168 (35.37%)              | 42 (46.15%)                 | 0.051   |
| White blood cell, ×10^9/L |               |                             |         |
| Lymphocyte    | 1.28 ± 0.06               | 0.66 ± 0.34                 | <0.001  |
| Neutrophil    | 4.39 ± 3.06               | 8.85 ± 6.81                 | <0.001  |
| Hemoglobin, g/L | 124.43 ± 16.13            | 120.74 ± 22.40              | 0.360   |
| Platelet, ×10^9/L | 233.07 ± 88.19           | 197.40 ± 105.07             | <0.001  |
| CRP, mg/L     | 1.24 ± 17.29              | 2.50 ± 9.66                 | 0.001   |
| AST, U/L      | 35.58 ± 76.31             | 49.59 ± 38.58               | <0.001  |
| Total bilirubin, µmol/L | 12.29 ± 7.00            | 18.12 ± 11.82               | <0.001  |
| Albumin, g/L  | 37.62 ± 10.07             | 36.76 ± 9.64                | <0.001  |
| CRP, mg/L     | 35.35 ± 47.24             | 99.88 ± 66.75               | <0.001  |
| D-dimer, µg/ml | ≥1                        | 17 (18.7%)                  | <0.001  |
|              | 292 (61.5%)               | 74 (81.3%)                  | <0.001  |
|              | 183 (38.5%)               | 42 (82.35%)                 | <0.001  |

Abbreviation: SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; RR, respiratory rate; HR, heart rate; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; PCT, procalcitonin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CRP, C-reactive protein.

3.3. Model performance in the derivation cohort

A nomogram was created to predict the individual probability of mortality in severely ill COVID-19 patients (Fig. 1). Higher total points, calculated by the sum of the assigned number of points for each variable in the nomogram, were associated with a higher mortality. The discrimination of the model was measured with the area under the ROC curve (AUC), such that the closer the AUC to 1, the better the discrimination. The AUC for the prediction nomogram was 0.912 (95% CI: 0.884–0.940) for the training cohort (Fig. 2A), and 0.903 as calculated through bootstrapping validation (1000 bootstrap resamples). In order to assess the calibration of the model, the calibration curve was plotted (intercept = 0; slope = 1), which measures the relationship between the risk of mortality predicted by the model and the observed risk of mortality in the training cohort (Fig. 3A). The blue line indicates the best calibration, while a predicted line above or below the 45° line indicates an underprediction or overprediction of the patients’ actual risk. Fig. 4 shows the decision curve analysis (DCA) for the nomogram,
which demonstrated that the nomogram was clinically useful at a threshold probability of >1%.

3.4. Model performance in the validation cohort

The external validation was performed using data from the Leishenshan Hospital representing the external validation set. In this set, the final logistic regression model for mortality showed a strong external validity, with a discrimination AUC of 0.922 (95% CI, 0.891–0.953) (Fig. 2B). Fig. 3B shows the calibration curve, which visually assesses the performance of the model, and it shows a good calibration (intercept = 0.056; slope = 1.161). The overall accuracy was measured using the Brier Score, such that the lower the Brier score, the more accurate the overall performance of the model. The Brier Score of the model was 0.07, showing a good overall performance.

4. Discussion

The global outbreak of the novel coronavirus disease COVID-19 caused a global threat, which led to an urgent increased demand

---

**Fig. 1.** The nomogram to predict the risk of mortality in severely ill COVID-19 patients was created based on seven independent prognostic factors.

**Fig. 2.** ROC for predicting the mortality among severely ill COVID-19 patients in the training cohort (A) and validation cohort (B). ROC, receiver operator characteristic; AUC, the area under the receiver operator characteristic curve.
for medical resources, especially hospital beds and medical equipment. In China, in order to tackle the COVID-19 outbreak crisis, the patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection were isolated from their families and communities and sent to Fangcang shelter hospitals, which are large temporary hospitals converted from existing public venues and rapidly built to provide numerous resources for the patients with non-severe disease. These large-scale hospitals were considered to be a major reason for the successful COVID-19 control in China as suggested by the Chinese experience [9]. However, only basic medical care for mild and moderate patients can be offered in these hospitals, while severely ill patients should be referred to higher-level hospitals. Prognostic models can help to reduce mortality and support medical decision when allocating healthcare resources. Most patients with COVID-19 did not have a severe infection, as a mild infection was reported in 81% of the cases, while 14% were severely ill and 5% were critically ill, and the overall mortality rate was 2.3% [1]. In contrast to the previously published mortality-prediction models [3,10,11], our study focused on the severely ill patients, since almost no deaths were reported among non-severe cases. In addition, previous prognostic models are considered to be at high risk of bias because they included non-representative control patients, excluded the patients who did not experience the endpoint for the clinical event by the end of the study, suffered from overfitting and no external validation was performed in most of them due to the small number of cases [12]. Considering these issues, we developed and externally validated a novel practical model to identify severely ill COVID-19 patients who had a high risk of mortality. The development and validation of the model followed the established recommendations of the transparent reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD) statement [13]. A list of candidate predictors consisting of the clinical and demographic features of the patients were carefully selected, such that we excluded the parameters with large missing values or that are not routinely assessed in clinical practice. We did not select predictors only using LASSO, but also based on previous literature and experts’ opinion. The model consists of seven variables at admission that are easily obtained: the patient age, history of chronic lung disease, NLR, CRP, creatinine, total bilirubin and D-dimer level. All of the seven selected predictors were driven from our data and were also reported by previous studies. The model exhibited good discriminatory performance and calibration, it was then successfully externally validated and showed satisfying discrimination and calibration as well. Future studies might refine the predictors by selecting other features driven form the CT scans, lymphocyte subtypes, organ damage markers and cytokines.

The other particular strength of our study is that all of our patients had experienced the event of interest by the end of the present study and there were no COVID-19 patients at the two hospitals at which the patients were recruited any more, which decreased the risk of bias. The good performance of the model in external validation and the inclusion of a large sample size supports the clinical use of this nomogram for the prediction of mortality risk in severely ill COVID-19 patients. All the predictors were routinely available, quickly measured and relatively inexpensive. We also took into account several variables that were previously reported to be associated with the outcome of the COVID-19 disease for their inclusion in the formal nomograms. A lot of risk factors were reported by recent studies to be associated with the prognosis of the disease severity, but it's difficult
to evaluate the factors that determine the outcome of disease. Some studies reported that older age, high SOFA score, D-dimer >1 μg/mL, lymphopenia, LDH and C-reactive protein were associated with a poor prognosis. A meta-analysis reported that comorbidities in COVID-19 patients, including hypertension, chronic lung disease and cardiovascular disease, may represent a risk factor for a severe infection. We also found that the factors of age, comorbidities, white blood cell count, neutrophil count, lymphocyte count, NLR, creatinine, total bilirubin and D-dimer were strongly linked to death. Different from previous studies, our findings showed that hypertension or cardiovascular disease did not represent risk factors for death in severe and critical COVID-19 patients.

In this study, the lymphocyte count was significantly reduced in non-survivors, which suggested that the immune response might contribute to the disease progression. Accumulating evidence suggested that the cytokine storm syndrome played an important role in the disease progression to a severe COVID-19 infection, mortality may be caused by a virally induced hyperinflammation. However, in this study, we did not analyse cytokine because of the missing data. Age was known to be a risk factor for death in COVID-19 patients, which may be because older patients had more comorbidities due to age-related immune dysfunctions. The therapeutic options of immunosuppression, such as corticosteroid, may be effective to prevent the viral induced hyperinflammation and decrease mortality in selective severe patients.

The data of this study were derived from severely ill patients, and the model is thus appropriate to be used in severely ill adult patients. Patients with low and medium risk of death are suitable for treatment in a general ward, while patients with high risk of death may require intensive care treatment, including corticosteroid and mechanical ventilation. Our model is useful to identify patients at high risk of death; early identification and consequently timely intervention will lead to an appropriate treatment strategy and may improve the outcome of these patients. Accurate prognostic assessment is also critical to support decisions regarding hospital admission and triaging patients, while allocating limited medical resources and alleviating stress on the health care system.

There were several limitations to our study. Firstly, this is a retrospective cohort study based on Chinese datasets, which may not be representative of COVID-19 patients in other countries due to the racial/ethnic differences; thus, international datasets are needed to externally validate the proposed nomogram. Secondly, this is a retrospective study, and the data driven from electronic medical records were incomplete. However, we tried to overcome this by imputing the missing data, which were already limited, with the random forest method. Thirdly, we didn't collect data on drugs used for treatment, such as antiviral, antibiotics and traditional Chinese herbs. The effect of different treatments was not considered in the nomogram development. Fourthly, some factors, such as LDH, SOFA score, cytokines and CT findings, were also reported as prognostic markers but were not assessed in our nomogram due to the missing data. Finally, the lab data may change as the disease progresses, inclusion of onset to analysis in the model is not possible due to the nature of retrospective study.

5. Conclusion

This study shows that the prediction of mortality among severely ill COVID-19 patients is possible using simple clinical parameters. Although further confirmation by future studies outside China is needed, it shows that a simple nomogram combining the factors of the patient age, history of chronic lung disease, NLR, CRP, creatinine, as well as the total bilirubin and D-dimer levels is able to calculate the mortality risk of severely ill COVID-19 patients.
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