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Abstract

Recent advances in epigenetic research have enabled the development of epigenetic clocks which have greatly enhanced our ability to investigate molecular processes that contribute to aging and age-related disease. These biomarkers, offer the potential to measure the effect of environmental exposures linked to dynamic changes in DNA methylation, including nutrients, as factors in age-related disease. They also offer a compelling insight into how imbalances in the supply of nutrients, particularly B-vitamins, or polymorphisms in regulatory enzymes involved in one-carbon metabolism, the key pathway that supplies methyl groups for epigenetic reactions, may influence epigenetic age and interindividual disease susceptibility.

Evidence from recent studies is critically reviewed, focusing on the significant contribution of the epigenetic clock to nutritional epigenomics and its impact on health outcomes and age-related disease. Further longitudinal studies and randomized nutritional interventions are required to advance the field.
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1.0 Introduction

Epigenetic regulation has been identified as a key factor in aging (1) and is linked with diet, metabolism and disease (2,3). During the last decade, novel epigenetic clock models to identify DNA methylation signatures that accurately predict chronological age, disease and mortality, have also provided a measure of epigenetic or biological age. Epigenetic clocks offer immense potential to improve our understanding of the significant current global challenge of the disparity between the lengthening of average lifespan (4) which has not been matched by similar improvements in healthspan with relatively static rates of age-related disease (5). During the last decade, the application of epigenetic clock models to data generated by epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS) studies focused on dietary intakes and nutritional intervention is helping to uncover dietary determinants of healthy aging. Maintaining optimal nutritional status will have an important contribution to improving health outcomes with respect to age-related disease and healthspan. Several dietary factors are emerging as key modifiers of biological age and epigenetic clock models are helping to unravel the complex interplay of diet and age-related disease. Folate and related B-vitamins, essential cofactors in one-carbon metabolism, the main metabolic pathway for generating methyl groups for DNA methylation (6), are emerging as factors which can modify epigenetic age. Perturbations to DNA methylation owing to imbalances in the supply of B-vitamins, or to polymorphisms or interactions between the various regulating enzymes could lead to aberrant DNA methylation and subsequently influence epigenetic age and disease susceptibility (7).

Suboptimal B-vitamin status is associated with accelerated aging of the brain, declining cognitive function and cardiovascular disease, indicating that B-vitamins may play protective roles in age-related disease (8–10). High prevalence of low dietary intakes for B-vitamins
(i.e., below the estimated average requirement, EAR), including folate (29%–35%), vitamin B6 (24%–31%) and riboflavin (31%–41%) have been reported in older adults (11). More recent estimates from older adults (n 5 290; ≥50 years) from the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (TILDA) (Wave 1) and (n = 5186) from the Trinity Ulster Department of Agriculture (TUDA) study reported the prevalence of deficient or low B12 status (<185 pmol/l) as 12 % and 11.8% respectively, while the prevalence of deficient/low folate status was up to 15% (12,13).

Application of epigenetic clock models to epigenomic data from dietary interventions or longitudinal studies of dietary intake offer immense potential for elucidating how nutrition can modulate age-related disease processes and improve health outcomes. As the volume of studies investigating the effect of nutrients, in particular B-vitamins, on DNA methylation in health and disease begin to increase, understanding the essential role of these nutrients in modulating DNA methylation age and age acceleration are critical.

The aim of this literature review was to address this gap by providing a critical overview of recent studies using the epigenetic clock to predict biological age and age-related disease and the application of nutrition in modifying these parameters. Further longitudinal studies and randomized nutritional interventions are required. Additionally, challenges with methodology are highlighted and opportunities presented for researchers to consider for advancement of the field of nutritional epigenomics and age-related disease.

2.0 Literature search strategy

The literature search for this review was conducted by searching the Medline (via OvidSP) database and PubMed for articles published in English only and limited to human studies. Both medical subject headings and keywords were used in the search to identify articles with
relevant information on ageing, DNA methylation clock, diet and vitamins. This was subsequently followed by forward citation searching or ‘snowballing’ whereby relevant references were identified from key articles, followed up and repeating the process with each article used to obtain more literature.

Medical subject headlines included: exp DNA Methylation/, exp Dietary Supplements/, exp Micronutrients/, Vitamins/, Vitamin B Complex/, Food, Fortified/, genome-wide methylation.mp. or Methylation/, Aging/ or Biological Clocks/ or Epigenetic clock.mp. or DNA Methylation/. The keywords used were: (diet or nutrient or cobalamin or folate or methionine or betaine or choline or riboflavin or "vitamin b2" or "vitamin b12") or ("methylation clock" or 450K or Methyl450 or Methylation450 or beadchip or "bead chip" or 800k or epic or EWAS or genome-wide or genomewide or epigenome-wide or epigenomewide). Finally, only those articles with emphasis on vitamins, diet, micronutrients and methylation clocks were selected, and the relevant data was extracted for the review.

3.0 DNA methylation and one-carbon metabolism

3.1 DNA methylation

DNA methylation is widely regarded as the most stable epigenetic mark involved in establishing patterns of gene expression and phenotype (14). It usually involves the covalent binding of methyl groups to the 5’position of a cytosine (5C) to form 5’-methylcytosine (5mC) and occurs within CpG dinucleotide sequences (15). DNA methylation may also occur at non-CpG sites, such as CpA, CpT, and CpC; however the functions and mechanisms of such methylation and implications for gene expression are currently not fully understood (16). This review, therefore, focuses on DNA methylation at 5mC. Methylation reactions are catalyzed by a family of DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) which transfer a methyl group...
from S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) (Figure 1). Removal of DNA methylation can occur via passive (failure to maintain methylation following replication) or active mechanisms. Active demethylation is carried out by the ten-eleven translocation methylcytosine dioxygenase enzymes (TETs) recently reviewed in (17) to produce 5mC derivatives, 5’-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC), 5’-formylcytosine (5fC) and 5’-carboxylcytosine (5caC). Additionally, the 5caC is then removed through the action of base excision repair enzyme thymine DNA glycosylase (TDG) (18) (Figure 1).

DNA methylation has differing functions, depending on its location within the genome. It is usually associated with transcriptional gene repression at CpG rich promoters; however, a mechanistic link between gene body methylation and active transcription is also suggested by enrichment of 5mC within gene bodies of transcribed genes (19). CpG sites dispersed throughout the genome are usually methylated (20,21), unlike CpGs lying within distinct, CG-rich CpG islands (CGIs), often found in the promoters of housekeeping genes (22), which are mostly unmethylated (20,21). In the regions immediately neighboring CpG islands, CpG shores (up to 2 kb from CGI), and CpG shelves (2-4 kb from CGI), display higher levels of methylation, with variations at these locations having a stronger impact on gene expression than the CpG island and may account for tissue-specific expression and disease variability (23,24). Additionally, methylation occurring at other genomic regions including, transcription start sites (TSS) and intergenic regions and has also been shown to influence transcription and gene expression (25,26).

DNA methylation modifications are dynamic, extensively reprogrammed in early development, (27,28) and continue to a lesser, but nonetheless important extent throughout the lifespan, owing to the influence of various environmental conditions, particularly diet, which importantly contribute to both the aging process and disease susceptibility (29).
3.2 Influence of nutrients on DNA methylation

One-carbon metabolism provides a direct link between nutrients, mainly folate and related B-vitamins, and DNA methylation (Figure 1) and therefore has become of interest to investigate in epigenetic studies. The interconnected biochemical pathways generate methyl groups for the synthesis of purines and thymidine, and biological methylation reactions including DNA, RNA and histone methylation. Folate and related B-vitamins: vitamin B-12, vitamin B-6 and the largely overlooked vitamin B-2 (riboflavin), and other nutrients including methionine, choline and betaine provide substrates and cofactors to help the efficient functioning of the system. Folate from the diet or in the synthetic form, folic acid is converted to 5-methyltetrahydrofolate (5-mTHF) and dihydrofolate (DHF) respectively and subsequently to tetrahydrofolate (THF) (30). Tetrahydrofolate is then converted to 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate and subsequently to 5-mTHF by methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) with vitamin B-2 (riboflavin) as a cofactor. 5-mTHF is then demethylated as the 1-carbon is donated for remethylation of homocysteine to methionine by methionine synthase (MTR) with vitamin B-12 as a cofactor (31). 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase (MTHFD1), catalyzes the conversion of tetrahydrofolate to 10-formyl, 5,10-methenyl and 5,10-methylene derivatives subsequently used as cofactors for de novo purine and pyrimidine synthesis (30,32). The choline-betaine pathway is a parallel pathway that involves a transfer of a methyl group from betaine to homocysteine, a B-6 dependent reaction, to produce dimethylglycine (DMG) and methionine.

Methionine regenerated from homocysteine serves as a precursor for S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) and is then converted to S-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH) during the methyl transfer (33). The cellular potential for DNA methylation relies upon the relative amounts of the methyl donor SAM and its reaction product SAH (34). The effects of dietary intake or supplementation with B-vitamins has been shown in a limited number of studies to increase
SAM concentrations (35,36). Supplementation with riboflavin (1.6 mg/d for 16 weeks) and folic acid (5 mg/d for 8 weeks) increased means plasma SAM levels in adults with the MTHFR 677TT genotype (35,36). It has been postulated that the higher the SAM:SAH ratio, the greater the methylation potential of the cell, although conflicting evidence suggests that DNA methylation may proceed without changes in the ratio (37,38). Further studies are required to clarify the effect of dietary molecules on SAM concentrations and DNA methylation.

Perturbations in one-carbon metabolism may occur through low intake of nutrients involved in one-carbon metabolism (7), malabsorption of nutrients via disease or cellular conditions, interactions in regulatory enzymes in one-carbon metabolism pathways as well as common polymorphisms within genes that code for enzymes important for the normal functioning of one-carbon metabolism (2,39). Apart from significant disruption to one-carbon metabolism, these perturbations may have functional implications on downstream biological processes including DNA methylation and synthesis.

### 3.3 Common polymorphisms in genes involved in one-carbon metabolism

Common polymorphisms in genes involved in one-carbon metabolism can influence enzyme activities, and subsequently metabolite and substrate concentrations in the pathway. The MTHFR C677T polymorphism results in reduced MTHFR enzyme activity in individuals with the 677TT genotype which encodes a thermolabile enzyme (40). Elevated plasma homocysteine indicates perturbed one-carbon metabolism in 677TT individuals, and it is plausible that altered concentrations of SAM and therefore availability of methyl donors for methylation reactions may ensue. The well-established phenotype of elevated homocysteine is widely reported in different populations. A large-scale population-based study (n = 10,601) strong associations of MTHFR c665C>T polymorphism with blood concentrations of total
plasma homocysteine and serum folate (41). The 665TT genotype was associated with a higher concentration of homocysteine and lower concentration of folate than the 665CC genotype, with the CT genotype having intermediate concentrations. Riboflavin supplementation in a randomized controlled trial of adults reduced plasma homocysteine specifically in 677TT individuals (42) indicating that riboflavin may stabilize the thermolabile enzyme and restore MTHFR activity, and thus is an very interesting nutrient for future epigenetic investigations. A recent study by our group using evidence from randomized controlled trials showed that supplementation with riboflavin resulted in decreased global and MTHFR north shore methylation in adults with the MTHFR 677TT genotype (43).

Polymorphisms can also act as strong cis-regulatory elements (cis-meQTL; cis-methylation quantitative trait loci) to regulate the methylation levels of their own gene promoter or trans-regulatory elements (trans-meQTL) regulating methylation of other genes. For example, 57 CpGs were differentially methylated depending on genotype of 6 one-carbon metabolism genes (FTHFD, MTHFD1, MTHFR, MTR, MTRR and TYMS; P < 0.5 x 10^{-5}). The MTHFR rs1801133 SNP (responsible for the C677T polymorphism) was shown to act as a trans-meQTL regulatory element in breast tissue associated with lower methylation of 5 CpGs (CLEC17A, DLX6AS, cg13811423, cg14118666, and cg181152144; average OR = 0.15; average 95% CI, 0.05–0.42) (44). The MTHFR promoter itself is also a target for trans-meQTL regulatory elements such as the DNMT3B -149C>T polymorphism. Increasing the number of T alleles at this position significantly increased MTHFR methylation with the DNMT3B -149CC genotype having significantly lower levels of MTHFR methylation than the CT genotype, which in turn had significantly lower levels of methylation than subjects with the TT genotype (45).
3.4 Role of DNA methylation and diet in aging and disease

The aging process is complex and involves numerous changes at both the molecular and cellular level, including epigenetic remodeling of the DNA methylome (46,47). DNA methylation patterns, established early in development, progressively diverge throughout the life course, with age-associated DNA methylation features identified by middle-age at a large number of CpG sites continuing to undergo changes into old age (48). Changes in DNA methylation associated with age have been observed in many cross-sectional studies; however longitudinal evidence which is not confounded by interindividual differences is more limited. In such studies, longitudinal analysis of a cohort of elderly twin pairs identified 2284 CpG sites where DNA methylation levels changed over a 10-year follow-up period (49). A 20 year study of 385 older Swedish twins also identified 1316 longitudinal age-associated methylation sites which were validated in two independent cohorts (50). While it is now well accepted that epigenetic alterations are hallmarks of ageing, understanding the causality between these epigenetic changes and the aging process has not been fully elucidated and is still an active area of investigation (51). Multiple studies have reported not only significant associations between aging and DNA methylation (52,53) but also associations between age-related diseases and epigenetic alterations. The processes that drive the changes in the aging methylome, and subsequent implications for disease and mortality risk are currently not well understood, however, several potential mechanisms have been proposed. These include effects on immunity and inflammation, while environmental factors, such as diet, stress, physical activity, socioeconomic status and smoking (52,54–56) could impact these mechanisms or act directly to age the methylome. Aging-associated immune-system impairments are mediated via changes in DNA methylation in nonagenarians. In a cross-sectional analysis of 4,173 postmenopausal females, age-related changes in immune
functioning and inflammation were also shown to contribute to increased susceptibility to a wide range of diseases (57,58).

Dietary factors, particularly B-vitamins, may modulate DNA methylation and thereby influence age-related disease. In studies investigating B-vitamins and DNA methylation in disease, Fiorito and colleagues (59) reported that DNA methylation of specific genes (TCN2, CBS, PON1, AMT) involved in one-carbon metabolism and homocysteine metabolic pathways could mediate the CVD risk conferred by low dietary intake of B-vitamins.

Furthermore, using highly robust and comprehensive microarray methods, several large epigenome-wide methylation studies (EWAS) have shown that supplementation with B-vitamins predominantly folate and vitamin B-12 or dietary intake of these nutrients modulate DNA methylation at the genome-wide level in older adults (Table 1), highlighting key targets that could be further explored in age-related nutritional epigenomics studies (60,61).

Riboflavin has not been as widely studied as other B-vitamins with only one epigenome-wide study reporting the effects of variability in dietary intake on DNA methylation. Low dietary intake of riboflavin was associated with higher methylation at one CpG (cg21230392; \( P = 5 \times 10^{-8} \)) in a study involving participants from the Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study (MCCS) (62). Additionally, supplementation with flavanols and polyphenols may affect the activity of enzymes including DNMTs and significantly impact methylation (63). For example, (–)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG), a key polyphenol in tea inhibits DNMT activity resulting in demethylation and reactivation of methylation-silenced genes in cancer cells. Further evidence from randomized control trials of nutrients, such as riboflavin supplementation could elucidate how individual nutrients influence the epigenome and age-related disease.
4.0 Epigenetic Clocks

4.1 Epigenetic drift versus epigenetic clock

Studies of monozygotic (MZ) twins have showed that although twins are epigenetically indistinguishable during the early years of life, older monozygotic twins exhibited remarkable differences in their epigenome, indicating that patterns of epigenetic modifications in MZ twin pairs diverge as they become older (64). Entropic decay of DNA methylation during aging is observed with twin studies also revealing that repeat sequences generally become more hypomethylated during aging (65,66) while methylation increases are noted at individual regulatory locus-specific regions (67) (Figure 2). Tissue-dependent DNA methylation variation may explain why particular organs and tissues are susceptible to different diseases (68). Many methylation changes leading to interindividual divergence occur stochastically during aging and are known as “epigenetic drift”. Specific CpG sites have been identified to undergo reproducible methylation changes across individuals with age allowing their utilization in epigenetic clock algorithms (69) which can be used to accurately predict chronological age and estimate biological age (Figure 2).

4.2 Epigenetic clocks and age acceleration

Chronological age as a predictor of disease risk and mortality is suboptimal as individuals with the same chronological age may exhibit different susceptibility to age-related diseases owing to differences in underlying biological aging processes (70). This has led to the advent of several DNA methylation-based models of biological aging known as epigenetic clocks (Table 2). Each clock is derived by a linear regression algorithm that trains against the chronological age of sample donors and selects a set of CpGs, determining the weighted contribution of each CpG in the set to produce a DNA methylation age (DNAm Age) that correlates accurately with chronological age. The first of these to have a major impact was
the Horvath clock (69) which analyses methylation at 353 CpGs and was developed using a panel of 51 different non-cancerous tissues and cell lines, leading to it being known as a pan-tissue clock. This feature has enabled accurate predictions of DNAm Age across heterogeneous tissues and cell types. Owing to the wide age range of individuals from which the samples were derived, the Horvath clock is also known as a life course clock and is applicable to analysis of epigenetic age in children and peri-natal samples (71). The Hannum methylation clock (56) was derived from analysis of whole blood in 482 individuals of either Caucasian or Hispanic ethnicity using 71 CpGs to provide superior accuracy in age determination. A recent meta-analysis of over 41,607 participants indicated that each 5-year increase in DNA methylation age, estimated using either the Horvath or Hannum clocks, was associated with an 8 to 15% increased risk of mortality (72).

When biological age (DNAm Age) exceeds chronological age, age acceleration (AgeAccel) is said to be experienced and this measure is perhaps of most interest to scientists and clinicians studying aging and disease. AgeAccel is defined as the residual from regressing DNAm Age on chronological age, where a positive value indicates that epigenetic age is greater than expected. Horvath further characterized epigenetic age acceleration as either intrinsic (IEAA) or extrinsic (EEAA) epigenetic age acceleration. IEAA is a measure of age acceleration that is independent of age-related changes in the cellular composition of blood whereas EEAA captures the age-related-functional decline of the immune system and accounts for changes in blood cell composition such as the decrease of naive CD8+ T cells and the increase in memory or exhausted CD8+ T cells (73).

To investigate biological age more extensively and discriminate morbidity and mortality more accurately among individuals of the same chronological age, recently developed clocks have been trained on age-related and disease phenotypes in combination with chronological age. Two of the most robust are the DNAm Phenotypic Age predictor (DNAm PhenoAge)
and the DNAm-based biomarker of mortality GrimAge (DNAm GrimAge) (75). The PhenoAge clock calculates phenotypic age in a two-step process. Initially, 42 clinical blood biomarkers that predict mortality in the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) were used to derive an estimate of phenotypic age. Subsequently, refinement to select nine of these biomarkers plus chronological age were used independently of DNA methylation to predict phenotypic age. In the final model, a phenotypic age was calculated in the independent Invecchiare in Chianti (InCHIANTI) cohort and a DNA methylation proxy of phenotypic age (DNAm PhenoAge) and age acceleration (AgeAccelPheno) were derived based on a set of 513 CpGs. The Horvath and Hannum clocks are not influenced by smoking status; however, the DNAm PhenoAge clock includes this disease-related factor associated with DNA methylation changes. The PhenoAge clock was found to outperform the Horvath and Hannum epigenetic age measures with respect to a variety of aging outcomes, including all-cause mortality, cancers, healthspan, physical functioning and Alzheimer’s disease (74). The most recent of these biological clocks, DNAm GrimAge, was trained using the Framingham Heart Study (74) and tracks methylation of CpGs of blood-based protein biomarkers that are known to be associated with health such as plasminogen activation inhibitor 1 (PAI-1), and growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15), as well as a more sensitive measure of CpGs associated with smoking through an estimate of “pack years”. Incorporation of valuable information from these loci has resulted in improvements in accuracy of age acceleration (GrimAgeAccel) which has been shown to be 18% more accurate than chronological age and 14% more accurate than previously described clocks in predictions of time to disease (42). DNA methylation age is currently one of the most accurate measures of aging and life expectancy in a range of traditional measures such as telomere length, proteomic, transcriptomic and metabolomic biomarkers in accurately estimating biological age (76).
The CpGs which are included in the clock algorithms are widely distributed across the genome and do not appear to be clustered in or near any particular genomic feature or any particular regulatory region. The methylation clocks and associated challenges have been extensively reviewed recently (77,78). It is important to note that, although these clocks are highly correlated with chronological age, they were constructed using different algorithms which may influence their prediction of disease and health outcomes; therefore careful consideration should be given to the most appropriate clock to utilize in any given study.

Epigenetic clocks are not linear across the lifespan. Many of the current epigenetic clock studies have been conducted in adults, and as a result, many show impressive accuracy across most tissues during middle age (79). In later life, however, chronological age increases at a faster rate than epigenetic age, particularly in the Horvath and Hannum clocks (80). A non-linear pattern is also observed in the clock during childhood (71) and teenage years, due to a greater rate of DNA methylation change in children than adults (81). The Horvath clock has been adjusted to include a log linear transformation for data points from younger individuals and a new clock trained on pediatric buccal swabs has increased predictive power in samples from children (82). Furthermore, as none of the clocks are well-suited to estimating gestational age, the recent development of a placenta clock can be used to closely track fetal age during development (83).

**4.3 Epigenetic age, age acceleration and health outcomes**

Epigenetic age and age acceleration are strongly linked to all-cause mortality, higher cancer and CVD mortality and are associated with important inflammatory biomarkers including C-reactive protein, interleukin 6 and monocyte chemotactic protein (84,85). Table 3 provides an overview of age-related conditions, DNA methylation age and age acceleration measured by the four different clocks. Although the list is not comprehensive, it is indicative of the
broad range of age-related diseases associated with altered epigenetic age. Of particular note, cardiovascular disease and related measures such as blood pressure have emerged as age-related conditions that are robustly correlated with methylation in a range of epigenetic clocks. Accelerated PhenoAge is associated with higher risk of coronary heart disease ($\beta=0.016 - 0.073$; Meta $P = 3.35E-11$) and both higher EEAA ($r = 0.07$, $P = 4E-6$) and AgeAccelPheno ($r = 0.08$, $P = 1E-6$) are associated with elevated systolic blood pressure (58,74). GrimAgeAccel also gives the most accurate predictions of time-to-coronary heart disease (HR = 1.07, $P = 6.2E-24$) and time-to-cancer (HR = 1.07, $P = 1.3E-12$) and also demonstrates a strong association with hypertension (OR = 1.04, $P = 5.1E-13$) (75).

**4.4 Epigenetic Age, age acceleration and dietary factors**

The influence of diet in the etiology of many age-related diseases is well established and the advent of epigenetic clocks has brought a novel approach to confirm diet as an important health factor (75). Epigenetic age, and age acceleration are linked to a variety of dietary factors such as fish, fruit and vegetable intakes indicating that a healthy diet and lifestyle could positively influence epigenetic age acceleration (Table 4). For example, a recent study highlighted that omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) supplementation and vegetable consumption appear to be associated with lower GrimAgeAccel (41); however as this association was made from an observational study, further validation from prospective clinical trials is required. Application of epigenetic clock models to epigenomic data from longitudinal studies or dietary interventions to measure biological age and age acceleration offer immense potential for elucidating how dietary interventions can modulate the aging and disease processes.

It also appears that sex and genotype may play a role in modulating epigenetic age acceleration in response to dietary factors. The epigenetic age acceleration lowering of
omega-3 PUFAs also appears to be more pronounced in males (GrimAgeAccel: \( r = -0.08, P = 0.012 \)) than in females (\( r = -0.05, P = 0.07 \)). Furthermore, epigenome-wide methylation results from the B-PROOF study, intervening with daily folic acid and vitamin B-12 supplements in a robust two year randomized controlled trial (RCT) (86), were inputted into the online DNA methylation age calculator to demonstrate that AgeAccel is reduced in women with the \( MTHFR \ 677CC \) but not the \( 677TT \) genotype (87). Careful consideration of sex and genotype must therefore be undertaken in the design of epigenetic studies.

In the first and currently only study to indicate the possibility of reversal of biological age, the TRIIM trial used a cocktail of drugs comprising recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) to prevent or reverse signs of immunosenescence in a one-year pilot trial of 51-65 year old healthy men showed a regression of epigenetic age of -2.5 years on average (70). Although the trial was small (\( n = 9 \)) and, crucially, did not include a control arm, suggestions of biological age reversal were found in all four robust methylation clocks available, and in each individual. This study was the first to indicate that potential regression of multiple aspects and biomarkers of aging, including immune function, was possible in humans (70).

While itself not a dietary factor, it is interesting to note that growth hormone, the supplement chosen in the aforementioned epigenetic age reversal trial, has been noted to perturb mRNA and protein levels of DNMT1 (88) and it has been postulated that the age-related dysfunction of growth hormone may play a role in the reduction of DNMTs in aging (78). Further roles for age-related dietary factors such as S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) and \( \alpha \)-ketoglutarate (AKG) have been suggested to alter activity of DNMTs and their counterpart TET enzymes during the aging process. The observed age-associated decline in genome-wide methylation may be exacerbated by an observed age-related decline of the essential DNMT substrate, SAM (89,90) which could result in demethylation of some clock CpGs. Indeed DNMT enzymes also decrease with age in some tissues (88,91). Furthermore, the hypermethylation
of specific loci during aging may be attributable to the decline in AKG and ensuing reductions in TET enzyme activity (78). AKG declines with age (92), reducing its availability as a cofactor for TETs in active demethylation reactions and ensuing hypermethylation of locus-specific regions (93). In support of this theory, AKG has recently been demonstrated to be a rate-limiting factor controlling DNA demethylation in aging mice (92). This remains speculative, however, because no studies to date have investigated the specific effects of these nutrients on enzyme activity or epigenetic aging.

Despite their obvious strengths, DNA methylation-based clocks are unlikely to replace existing clinical biomarkers and measurements such as blood pressure, walking speed, grip strength which are cost effective and easy to perform. The cost of measuring DNA methylation age prevents the standard adoption of this method, at least until it becomes more affordable. In fact, GrimAge is 61% more accurate than chronological age and 46% more accurate than previously reported epigenetic clocks in predicting time to coronary heart disease. However, despite this significant advancement, neither chronological nor GrimAge are entirely accurate estimators of coronary heart disease and further work is required to determine their role as predictors of cardiovascular and other disease outcomes.

5.0 Methodological aspects of studies investigating DNA methylation and diet

Despite the growing interest in the role of diet in influencing DNA methylation and age-related disease, most previous studies in humans were not designed with DNA methylation as the primary outcome, resulting in limited data to provide concrete evidence linking the diet to DNA methylation. The methodological aspects of appropriate study design for the investigation of diet and DNA methylation will be discussed further.
5.1 Study design and population

The study design utilized as well as dietary or biochemical data collected are critical when investigating the link between nutrient intake or status and DNA methylation. The majority of studies so far are observational and have provided inconsistent evidence for the role of dietary factors, especially B-vitamins, in modulating DNA methylation, perhaps owing to inconsistencies in study design and choice of assay (94). While observational studies offer the advantage of providing comprehensive data with large sample sizes and highlight associations between nutrients and DNA methylation, they are unable to provide clarity with respect to dietary causality. Randomized controlled trials represent a robust study design for establishing the effects of B-vitamins on DNA methylation; however studies of this nature are lacking. Although no study on its own can prove causality, randomization in RCTs reduces bias and provides a rigorous tool to examine cause-effect relationships between an intervention and an outcome (95). Additionally, apart from establishing the biological roles of B-vitamins in modulating DNA methylation, there is a need for RCTs to further incorporate dose-response design in order to determine the optimum doses of B-vitamins required to modulate DNA methylation. Longitudinal studies which assess methylation in individuals at several time points, and thereby reduce noise in the methylation signal owing to interindividual variation, is particularly useful in helping to elucidate the role of diet and methylation in disease. Furthermore, the majority of existing studies have employed food frequency questionnaires in estimating dietary intake, yielding only semi-quantitative data, prone to measurement errors which may not accurately reflect status, resulting in misclassification which can compromise the ability to detect statistically significant associations (96). Importantly, biochemical biomarker concentrations of status provide more reliable indicators than dietary intake to investigate the relationship between B-vitamins and DNA methylation.
Methods to examine DNA methylation have evolved over the years and have become more sophisticated. While commonly used methods including high performance liquid chromatography-ultraviolet (HPLC-UV), liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), methyl acceptance assay and pyrosequencing are still useful in analyses of DNA methylation, novel technologies such as the Infinium HumanMethylation450K BeadChip array (450K) or the Infinium MethylationEPIC BeadChip (850K) microarray provide higher resolution for analyzing DNA methylation on a genome-wide scale (97,98). Although not offering as much genome coverage as whole genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS), the Illumina arrays analyze a significant proportion of total sites for DNA methylation at 853,307 CpG sites (EPIC/850K) and 485,764 CpG sites (450K) across the human genome. The CpG sites interrogated by the 850K array include 439,562 CpGs out of 482,421 CpGs included in the 450K microarray and an additional 413,745 new CpG sites that were not included in the 450K microarray. The EPIC array provides a highly reliable genomic platform for studying DNA methylation patterns across the genome especially in underexplored territories including enhancer sequences (99). Furthermore, in comparison to WGBS, Illumina microarrays provide good value for money in terms of desired coverage, resolution and number of samples that can be analyzed, providing large amounts of high-quality data which can be easily input into epigenetic clock algorithms.

Advantages of using these approaches include the production of large datasets which can be analyzed by streamlined analytical pipelines, providing important information on the epigenome-wide landscape. Several sophisticated computational tools and software are available for the analysis and interpretation of large EWAS datasets. The relevant concepts, computational methods and software for the analysis and interpretation of large DNA methylation data as well as statistical considerations have been thoroughly reviewed by Bock,
Teschendorff and colleagues (100,101). These statistical approaches allow for computation of epigenetic age, and are able to control for false discovery rates and adjust for cell and tissue variation, which are all major sources of confounding in DNA methylation studies. Some of the popular and widely used software for processing and analysis of bisulfite microarray data in particular include minfi (102), RnBeads (103), The Chip Analysis Methylation Pipeline (ChAMP) (104), and methylumi (105). Furthermore, other software packages such as dmrFinder (106), DMRcate (14) and IMA (107) are available for the identification of DMRs. New platforms such as CandiMeth (https://github.com/sjthursby/CandiMeth) are also making it easier for those with little bioinformatics experience to look at methylation across the genome in samples for which array data is available.

6.0 Conclusion

Nutritional epigenomics has highlighted diet as a critical factor with the potential to influence both healthspan and lifespan. Novel insights into how perturbations in one-carbon metabolism influence DNA methylation and data from epigenome-wide studies of nutrition interventions offer promising insights to understanding how diet impacts the methylome during healthy aging and disease. Epigenetic clocks provide an exciting additional insight into how preventive and treatment strategies may increase the healthspan of an aging global population. Despite the heightened research interests in nutritional epigenomics, the field is still beset with several methodological challenges, which greatly impact the quality of evidence currently available. The population under study must be extensively characterized to identify and exclude possible confounding factors. Robust study designs, which utilize randomization and measure appropriate biomarkers, are required to clarify the factors underlying epigenetic aging. Replication and validation of findings in multiple independent cohorts are essential to reduce reporting of false positive findings. Epigenetic clocks described here have sampled individuals from a wide spectrum of ages. A DNA methylation
clock which focuses on older people or those with specific diseases could help to more accurately predict age-related disease and help to identify factors which delay or prevent this progression. Improvements in estimating time to disease have been made in the latest GrimAge clock, which is significantly more predictive than chronological age in estimating time to various diseases; however much additional research is required to advance our knowledge and understanding in relation to coronary heart disease. Longitudinal studies offer the important advantage of tracking individuals over extended periods to enable the identification of factors which influence the diagnosis and treatment of disease, making these studies particularly valuable for clarifying whether observed changes in DNA methylation are a result of disease or have a causal role. A better understanding of the DNA methylome during aging will offer the opportunity to promote healthy aging and identify nutritional interventions which delay or prevent age-related disease in order to influence public health outcomes and policies.
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1:** Brief Summary of One-carbon Metabolism and DNA methylation.

**Abbreviations:** BER, base excision repair enzymes; BHMT, betaine-homocysteine S-methyltransferase; DHF, dihydrofolate; DMG, dimethylglycine; DNMT, DNA methyltransferase; MTHFR, methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase; MTR, methionine synthase; SAH, S-adenosylhomocysteine; SAM, S-adenosylmethionine; SHMT, serine hydroxymethyltransferase; TDG, thymine DNA glycosylase; TET, ten-eleven translocation methylcytosine dioxygenase enzymes; THF, tetrahydrofolate.

**Figure 2:** DNA methylation patterns of monozygotic twins diverge during aging.

Despite early similarities, stochastic changes occur in the methylome of each twin, A and B during aging. Epigenetic drift results in age-related hypermethylation of CpG rich sequences such as CGI promoters, typically found in ubiquitously expressed house-keeping genes, which may be switched off as a result of aberrant age-related methylation. In contrast, highly methylated, transcriptionally repressed CpG poor promoters tend to become hypomethylated during aging, leading to aberrant gene expression. Tandem satellite repeat sequences in the telomere are also heavily methylated which may promote genome stability and inhibit recombination. Hypermethylated interspersed repeats such as LTRs, SINEs and LINEs tend to undergo generalized hypomethylation during aging. A selection of CpGs (*) undergo programed reproducible methylation changes across the population during aging and have been incorporated into epigenetic clock algorithms used to accurately predict epigenetic age. Each lollipop represents an individual CpG, arrows indicate transcription start sites, X indicates transcriptional repression. CGI, CpG island; LINE, long interspersed nuclear element; LTR, long terminal repeat; SINE, short interspersed nuclear element.
Table 1:
Dietary influence on DNA methylation using the Illumina microarray platforms

| Study                   | Study design          | Population                     | Sample size (n) | Dietary factor                                      | Source of DNA | Effect                                                                 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Randomized controlled trials and intervention studies**     |                       |                                |                 |                                                    |               |                                                                      |
| Kok et al. 2015 (86)    | RCT                   | B-vitamins for the Prevention of Osteoporotic Fractures (B-PROOF) study | 87              | Folic acid, vitamin-B12 supplementation            | Buffy coat    | Differential methylation at 162 positions upon FA/vB-12 supplementation (1 DMP, cg19380919 sig) in intervention compared to placebo. 6 DMRs differed significantly between intervention and placebo groups. Serum folate and vitamin B-12 significantly related to DNA methylation of 173 and 425 regions respectively. |
| Arpon et al. 2016 (108) | Intervention study    | PREDIMED study                 | 36              | Mediterranean diet supplemented with extra virgin olive oil | Peripheral blood cells | Med Diet is associated with differential methylation of inflammation-related genes. |
| **Cross-sectional studies** |                      |                                |                 |                                                    |               |                                                                      |
| Chamberlain et al., 2018 (62) | Cross-sectional Cohort Study (MCCS) |                               | 5186            | Dietary intake of folate, riboflavin, vitamins B-6 and B- | Peripheral blood | Low intake of riboflavin associated with higher methylation at CpG cg21230392 ($P = 5E-8$). |
| Study                          | Design   | Population                              | Sample Size | Outcome                        | Methylation Pattern                          |
|-------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Mandaviya et al., 2019 (109)  | Cross-sectional | 10 cohorts from Europe and United States | 5841        | Dietary intake of folate, vitamin B-12, methionine, choline, betaine | Leukocytes 6 DMPs and 73 DMRs negatively associated with folate intake. Intake of vitamin B-12 associated with 29 DMRs. |
| Perrier et al., 2019 (110)    | Cross-sectional | The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer & Nutrition (EPIC) study | 450         | Dietary intake of folate        | Buffy coat Dietary intake of folate associated with differential methylation at 24 regions (FDR, $P < 0.05$). |

DMP, differentially methylated position; DMR, differentially methylated region; FDR, false discovery rate.
Table 2.

Key features of epigenetic DNA methylation clocks

| DNA methylation clock | Number of CpGs | Platform used in development | Tissues used in training | Training set | Key Features |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Horvath (69)           | 353            | 27K & 450K                  | Multiple tissues         | Multiple studies, n = 7844, mean age 43 years | Predicts methylation age across the lifespan |
|                        |                |                             | (n = 51)                 |              | Can be applied to children and pre-natal samples |
|                        |                |                             |                          |              | Provides estimates of both intrinsic and extrinsic epigenetic age |
|                        |                |                             |                          |              | Estimations may be biased in older adults |
| Hannum (56)            | 71             | 27K & 450K                  | Blood                    | Two cohorts, n = 656 (n₁ = 482; n₂ = 174), age range 19-101 years | Tailored to adult blood samples and may lead to biased estimates in children and in non-blood tissues |
|                        |                |                             |                          |              | Age estimations may be confounded by age-related changes in blood composition |
|                        |                |                             |                          |              | Provides a more accurate prediction of life expectancy than Horvath clock |
| PhenoAge (74)          | 513            | 27K, 450K & EPIC            | Blood                    | 2 step process: i) Phenotypic age; NHANES-III, n = 9926, age > 20 years | Biomarker relates to numerous age-related diseases and disease phenotypes |


ii) Epigenetic marker of phenotypic age; InCHIANTI, n = 456, age range 21-100 years

Improved predictive power over previous Horvath & Hannum clocks
Incorporates nine age-related biochemical measures and smoking-related changes in DNA methylation
Captures organismal age and the functional state of organs and tissues
Estimations may be biased in children and in non-blood tissues

| **GrimAge** (75) | 1030 | 450K & EPIC | Blood | Framingham Heart Study (FHS), n = 2536 divided into: i) training set n = 1731 from 622 pedigrees, mean age 66 years | DNA methylation surrogates developed for seven plasma proteins plus smoking pack years | Currently best predictive epigenetic biomarker for lifespan and time to coronary heart disease (18% and 61%,) respectively more predictive than chronological age |
|----------------|------|-------------|------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | ii) test set n = 625 from 266 pedigrees, mean age 67 years | | | Highlights healthy diet and educational attainment as predictors of biological age |

Summary of the key features of the four current epigenetic clocks, including the number of CpGs included in algorithm, the platforms and tissues used in development and the tissues used in training. 27K, Infinium 27K BeadChip array; 450K, HumanMethylation450K BeadChip array; EPIC, Infinium MethylationEPIC BeadChip (850K) microarray.
Table 3:

Associations between epigenetic age and age-related conditions

| Study design | Study design | Population | Sample size (n) | Age estimator | Source of DNA | Age-related condition | Association |
|--------------|--------------|------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Cross-sectional | Cross-sectional | 17 cohorts from Europe, the United States and Australia | 16,245 | Horvath EAA | Blood | Obesity | Obesity (BMI ≥ 30) associated with higher EAA (β = 0.43, CI: 0.24; 0.61, P < 0.001). |
| Cross-sectional | Cross-sectional | 17 cohorts from Europe, the United States and Australia | 16,245 | Hannum EAA | Blood | Obesity | Obesity (BMI ≥ 30) associated with higher EAA (β = 0.20 CI: 0.05; 0.34, P < 0.05). |
| Cross-sectional | Cross-sectional | 17 cohorts from Europe, the United States and Australia | 16,245 | Levine EAA | Blood | Obesity | Obesity (BMI ≥ 30) associated with higher Levine EAA (β = 1.01 CI: 0.74; 1.28, P < 0.001). |
| Cross-sectional | Cross-sectional | Lothian Birth Cohort 1936 | 709 | DNAm GrimAge | Whole blood | Cognitive performance | Higher DNAm GrimAge associated with lower cognitive ability (β = −0.18, P = 8E-6), brain vascular lesions in older age independent of early life cognitive ability. |
| Cross-sectional | Cross-sectional | Genetics of Lipid Lowering Drugs and diet Network (GOLDN) study | 830 | Horvath EAA | Blood | Inflammatory markers | EAA marginally associated with increased postprandial HDL (P = 0.05), increased postprandial total cholesterol (P = 0.06), and decreased soluble interleukin 2 receptor subunit alpha (P = 0.02). |
| Authors          | Study Design | Study Details                                                                 | n     | Phenotype   | Tissue | Disease                                                                 | Findings                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Irvin et al., 2018 (84) | Cross-sectional | Genetics of Lipid Lowering Drugs and diet Network (GOLDN) study                | 830   | Hannum EAA  | Blood  | Inflammatory markers                                                    | EEAA inversely associated with fasting HDL (P = 0.02), positively associated with postprandial TG (P = 0.02), interleukin-6 (P = 0.007), C-reactive protein (P = 0.0001), and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα, P = 0.0001). |
| Levine et al., 2018 (74) | Cross-sectional | Women’s Health Initiative Study (WHI), Framingham Heart Study (FHS), Normative Aging Study (NAS), Jackson Heart Study (JHS) | 9,164 | DNAm PhenoAge | Whole blood | Coronary heart disease                                                   | Higher DNAm PhenoAge associated with increased risk of coronary heart disease (β = 0.016- 0.073; P = 3.35E-11).                                                                 |
| Levine et al., 2018 (74) | Cross-sectional | Religious Order Study (ROS), Memory and Aging Project (MAP)                   | 700   | DNAm PhenoAge | Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex postmortem samples | Alzheimer’s disease                                                   | DNAm PhenoAge positively associated with neuropathological hallmarks of Alzheimer’s disease, such as amyloid load (r = 0.094, P = 0.012), neuritic plaques (r = 0.11, P = 0.0032), and neurofibrillary tangles (r = 0.10, P = 0.0073). |
| Levine et al., 2018 (74) | Cross-sectional | Women’s Health Initiative (WHI) Study                                         | 4,177 | DNAm PhenoAge | Whole Blood | Blood pressure                                                          | Positive association between PhenoAge and systolic BP (r = 0.08, P = 1E-6).                                                                                                                            |
| Lu et al., 2019 | Cross-sectional | Framingham Heart Study (FHS), Women’s Health Initiative (WHI) study, the InCHIANTI cohort study, | 7,375 | AgeAccelGrim | Whole blood | Time-to-death/coronary heart disease/cancer                              | AgeAccelGrim strongly associated with time-to-death (HR = 1.10, P = 2.0E-75), time-to-coronary heart disease (HR = 1.07, P = 6.2E-24), time-to-cancer (HR = 1.07, P = 1.3E-12) and hypertension (OR = 1.04, P = 5.1E-13). |
Jackson Heart Study (JHS)

| Study/Authors | Study Design | Study Population | Sample Size | EAA/Measure | Covariate | Allostatic Load | Significance  |
|---------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| McCrory et al., 2019 (112) | Cross-sectional | The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (TILDA) cohort | 490 | Horvath EAA | Buffy coat | Allostatic load (AL) | AL not significantly associated with EAA ($\beta=0.11$, CI: $-0.16$, 0.38, $P > 0.05$). |
| McCrory et al., 2019 (112) | Cross-sectional | The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (TILDA) cohort | 490 | Hannum EAA | Buffy coat | Allostatic load | AL not significantly associated with EAA ($\beta=0.06$, CI: $-0.21$, 0.33, $P < 0.05$). |
| McCrory et al., 2019 (112) | Cross-sectional | The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (TILDA) cohort | 490 | Levine EAA | Buffy coat | Allostatic load | AL significantly associated with Levine EAA ($\beta=0.42$, CI: 0.24, 0.60, $P < 0.001$). |
| Quach et al., 2017 (58) | Cross-sectional | Women’s Health Initiative study/ InCHIANTI study | 4,575 | EEAA | Whole blood | Blood pressure | EEAA significantly associated with systolic BP ($r = 0.07$, $P = 4E-6$). |
| Vetter et al., 2019 (113) | Cross-sectional | Berlin Aging Study II | 1,790 | IEAA | Whole blood | Telomere length | rLTL is inversely associated with DNAm age acceleration ($\beta = -0.002$, $P = 0.007$). |

**Case-control studies**

| Study/Authors | Study Design | Study Population | Sample Size | EAA/Measure | Covariate | Allostatic Load | Significance  |
|---------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| Horvath & Ritz, 2015 | Case-control | The Parkinson's disease, Environment & Genes (PEG) study | 592 | EEAA | Blood | Parkinson’s disease (PD) | PD status positively associated with EEAA ($P = 0.0061$). |
| Horvath & Ritz, 2015 (73) | Case-control | The Parkinson's disease, Environment & Genes (PEG) study | 592 | Horvath Age Accel | Blood | Parkinson’s disease (PD) | PD status positively associated with Horvath age acceleration ($P = 0.06$). |
| Study | Type          | Cohort/Population          | Sample Size | Assay | Disease/Condition | Findings                                                                 |
|-------|---------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Horvath & Ritz, 2015 (73) | Case-control | The Parkinson's disease, Environment & Genes (PEG) study | 592 | Blood | Parkinson's disease (PD) | PD status positively associated with IEAA (P = 0.019). |
| Perna et al., 2016 (85) | Case-cohort | ESTHER cohort | 1,864 | Whole blood AgeAccel | CVD, cancer | AgeAccel associated with CVD mortality (HR = 1.20; 95% CI: 1.02–1.42), and cancer mortality (HR = 1.20; 95% CI: 1.03–1.39). |

AL, allostatic load; BP, blood pressure; CRP, C-reactive protein; CVD, cardiovascular disease; EAA, epigenetic age acceleration; EEAA, extrinsic epigenetic age acceleration; HDL, high-density; IEAA, intrinsic epigenetic age acceleration; lipoprotein; HR, hazard ratio; PD, Parkinson’s disease; rLTL, relative leukocyte telomere length; TNFα, tumor necrosis factor alpha
Table 4:
Studies investigating dietary factors and epigenetic age or epigenetic age acceleration

| Study                        | Study design          | Population              | Dietary factor | Sample size (n) | Age estimator | Source of DNA | Effect                                                                 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Randomized trials and intervention studies** |                       |                          |                |                |               |                |                                                                        |
| Chen et al., 2019 (114)      | Randomized clinical trial | Overweight/obese African Americans | Vitamin D3  | 51              | Horvath DNAm age | Buffy coat | Supplementation with 4000 IU/day vitamin D3 associated with 1.85 years decrease in Horvath epigenetic age compared with placebo ($P = 0.046$).
Serum 25(OH)D concentrations significantly associated with decreased Horvath $\Delta$Age ($P = 0.002$), independent of treatment. |
| Sae-Lee et al., 2018 (87)    | Randomized controlled trial | B-vitamins for the Prevention of Osteoporotic Fractures (B-PROOF) study | Folic acid, vitamin B12 | 44              | Horvath Age Accel | Buffy coat | Reduced age acceleration in response to folic acid and vitamin B-12 supplementation in women with $MTHFR$ 677CC genotype ($P = 0.04$). |
| Study                      | Type             | Group                          | Intervention                                                                 | Study Population | Outcomes                                                                 |
|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sae-Lee *et al.*, 2018 (87) | Intervention     | Non-obese healthy male smokers | Monomeric and oligomeric flavanol                                             | 13               | Horvath Age Accel, Leukocytes, No change in age acceleration in response to monomeric and oligomeric flavanol (MOF) supplementation. |
|                           |                  |                                |                                                                               |                  |                                                                          |
| **Cross-sectional studies** |                 |                                |                                                                               |                  |                                                                          |
| Levine *et al.*, 2018 (74) | Cross-sectional  | Women’s Health Initiative (WHI) study | Carotenoids                                                                  | 2,267            | PhenoAge Accel, Whole blood, Lower PhenoAgeAccel associated with increased mean intake of carotenoids ($r = -0.22, P = 2 \times 10^{-27}$), lycopene ($r = -0.11, P = 3 \times 10^{-3}$), alpha-carotene ($r = -0.19, P = 5 \times 10^{-20}$), beta-carotene ($r = -0.18, P = 2 \times 10^{-17}$), lutein + zeaxanthin ($r = -0.17, P = 2 \times 10^{-16}$), beta-cryptoxanthin ($r = -0.17, P = 2 \times 10^{-15}$) but positively associated with gamma-tocopherol ($r = 0.07, P = 6 \times 10^{-4}$). |
| Lu *et al.*, 2019 (75)    | Cross-sectional  | Framingham Heart Study (FHS)   | Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids                                          | 2174             | AgeAccelGrim, Whole blood, Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and vegetable intake associated with lower GrimAge ($r = -0.10, P = 4.6 \times 10^{-7}$, linear mixed effects $P = 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$). Effect more pronounced in males ($r=-0.08, P = 0.012$) than in females ($r = -0.05, P = 0.07$). |
| Quach *et al.*, 2017 (58) | Cross-sectional  | Women’s Health Initiative study/InCHIANTI study | Carotenoids                                                                  | 4,575            | EEAA, Whole blood, Lower EEAA significantly associated with higher mean plasma carotenoid levels ($r = -0.13, P = 2 \times 10^{-9}$), alpha-carotene ($r = -0.11, P = 9 \times 10^{-8}$), beta-carotene ($r = -0.11, P = 3 \times 10^{-7}$), lutein + zeaxanthin ($r = -0.9, P = 1 \times 10^{-5}$), beta-
| Study                  | Design          | Cohort                                      | Tocopherol | IEAA   | Analyte                  | Association                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Quach et al., 2017 (58) | Cross-sectional | Women’s health Initiative study/ InCHIANTI study | Tocopherol | IEAA   | Whole blood              | Lower IEAA associated with lower plasma gamma-tocopherol ($r = 0.08, P = 2E-4$). |
|                       |                 |                                             | 4,575      |        |                          | cryptoxanthin ($r = -0.11, P = 3E-7$) and lower gamma-tocopherol ($r = 0.09, P = 9E-6$). |

EEAA, extrinsic epigenetic age, IEAA, intrinsic epigenetic age
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