Development of a lecithotrophic pilidium larva illustrates convergent evolution of trochophore-like morphology
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Abstract

Background: The pilidium larva is an idiosyncrasy defining one clade of marine invertebrates, the Pilidiophora (Nemertea, Spiralia). Uniquely, in pilidial development, the juvenile worm forms from a series of isolated rudiments called imaginal discs, then erupts through and devours the larval body during catastrophic metamorphosis. A typical pilidium is planktotrophic and looks like a hat with earflaps, but pilidial diversity is much broader and includes several types of non-feeding pilidia. One of the most intriguing recently discovered types is the lecithotrophic pilidium nielseni of an undescribed species, Micrura sp. "dark" (Lineidae, Heteronemertea, Pilidiophora). The egg-shaped pilidium nielseni bears two transverse circumferential ciliary bands evoking the prototroch and telotroch of the trochophore larva found in some other spiralian phyla (e.g. annelids), but undergoes catastrophic metamorphosis similar to that of other pilidia. While it is clear that the resemblance to the trochophore is convergent, it is not clear how pilidium nielseni acquired this striking morphological similarity.

Results: Here, using light and confocal microscopy, we describe the development of pilidium nielseni from fertilization to metamorphosis, and demonstrate that fundamental aspects of pilidial development are conserved. The juvenile forms via three pairs of imaginal discs and two unpaired rudiments inside a distinct larval epidermis, which is devoured by the juvenile during rapid metamorphosis. Pilidium nielseni even develops transient, reduced lobes and lappets in early stages, re-creating the hat-like appearance of a typical planktotrophic pilidium. Notably, its two transverse ciliary bands can be ontogenetically linked to the primary ciliary band spanning the larval lobes and lappets of the typical planktotrophic pilidium.

Conclusions: Our data shows that the development of pilidium nielseni differs remarkably from that of the trochophore, even though their larval morphology is superficially similar. Pilidium nielseni’s morphological and developmental features are best explained by transition from planktotrophy to lecithotrophy in the context of pilidial development, rather than by retention of or reversal to what is often assumed to be the spiralian ancestral larval type — the trochophore. Development of pilidium nielseni is a compelling example of convergent evolution of a trochophore-like body plan within Spiralia.
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Background

Nemerteans (ribbon worms) are a phylum of ~1300 described species [1] of primarily marine spiralians (lophotrochozoans) characterized by an eversible proboscis within a rynchocoel. Like most benthic marine invertebrates, nemerteans have a biphasic life history with benthic adults and planktonic larvae. Their larvae are usually classified as either planuliform larvae (“direct developers”) or pilidia (“indirect developers”), but these two categories encompass a diverse array of developmental modes.

Planuliform larvae are named for their superficial resemblance to cnidian planulae (uniform ciliation, specifically), and are found in the Hoplonemertea and the Palaeonemertea (e.g. [2, 3]), two of the three major lineages. Their development is comparatively “direct,” with the larva gradually becoming more worm-like as it transitions into its adult form, although the two groups display significant differences in development, and certain characteristics of indirect development are found in hoplonemertean [4–6]. The third major lineage, the Pilidiophora [7, 8], which comprises the sister taxa Heteronemertea and Hubrechtidae, is named for its idiosyncratic pilidium larva, a long-lived planktotroph which typically resembles a deer-stalker cap with the earlaps pulled down (from Greek pilos (πυλος), or pilidion (πιλίδιον) — a type of brimless conical cap). Pilidial development is “maximally-indirect” [9]; the juvenile is formed by a series of discrete paired invaginations of the larval epidermis, called imaginal discs, as well as unpaired juvenile rudiments possibly derived from the mesenchyme. A total of eight juvenile rudiments, including three pairs of imaginal discs and two unpaired rudiments, gradually fuse together around the larval gut to form the complete juvenile, which ultimately emerges from—while it simultaneously ingests—the larval body in a dramatic catastrophic metamorphosis [10 and references therein].

The basic elements of pilidial development are conserved in all pilidia; each one develops via a sequence of imaginal discs and rudiments and undergoes catastrophic metamorphosis. However, the shape of the pilidium, the orientation of the juvenile anteroposterior (AP) axis relative to the larval AP axis, and the reported number and sequence of rudiments vary [2, 5, 10–18]. That said, the reported variation in the number of juvenile rudiments formed during the development of different species may be partially attributed to ambiguity in terminology; “imaginal discs” and “juvenile rudiments” are often used interchangeably in the literature (e.g. [16, 19]) as, historically, it was believed that the juvenile developed via seven imaginal discs, all of which invaginated from the larval epidermis (e.g. [20, 21] and references therein). Note here, that we use “imaginal discs” only to describe the paired discs formed by epidermal invaginations, while “juvenile rudiments” will include imaginal discs, as well as the unpaired rudiments not formed by invaginations [10]. These terms indicate tissue origin and formation, so it is important to distinguish between them.

Beyond alterations in morphology, it is also increasingly clear that pilidiophorans have transitioned from a planktotrophic pilidium to a lecithotrophic pilidium repeatedly [2, 15, 22]. Since 2005, the number of pilidiophoran species known (or suspected) to have a non-feeding larva has increased from three (i.e. Desor’s larva, Schmidt’s larva and Iwata’s larva) to twenty [2, 15, 22–24]. Some of these are uniformly ciliated, while others, in addition to a complete covering of short cilia, have one or two circumferential ciliary bands of longer cilia which superficially resemble the prototroch and telotroch of trochophore larvae of other spiralians, e.g. annelids and molluscs [2, 15, 23, 24].

The subject of this study, a trochophore-like pilidium with an anterior “prototroch” and posterior “telotroch,” was dubbed pilidium nielseni [24] in honor of Claus Nielsen, for his provocative theories on the evolution of marine larval forms, in which the trochophore is considered the ancestral larva of spiralians [25–30]. Pilidium nielseni, which resembles a trochophore, is a lecithotrophic larva of an undescribed lineiform species (Lineidae, Heteronemertea, Pilidiophora) provisionally referred to as Micrura sp. “dark” [24]. Its mere existence prompts a central question in the trochophore debate — is the widespread occurrence of the trochophore morphology among spiralians due to the retention of an ancestral larval form, as Nielsen suggests, or did this larval body plan evolve multiple times independently [31–36]?

Convincing evidence for a nemertean trochophore was conspicuously absent until 2004, when a vestigial prototroch was discovered in the palaeonemertean Carinoma tremaphoros [37, 38]. This discovery offered support for the view that a trochophore-like larva may have been ancestral to nemerteans. However, all palaeonemertean larvae (including Carinoma’s), and all hoplonemertean larvae are uniformly ciliated, and lack distinct ciliary bands (Fig. 1). Distinct ciliary bands are only present in the Pilidiophoran lineage. In light of the recent transcriptomic molecular phylogeny of the phylum [8]: (Palaeonemertea (Hoplonemertea: Pilidiophora)), it is most parsimonious to assume that a uniformly ciliated larva was ancestral to the Nemertea, and thus the ciliary bands of pilidiophoran larvae (planktotrophic pilidia and lecithotrophic larvae, such as pilidium nielseni, alike) evolved secondarily, and are unlikely to be homologous to the trochophore’s prototroch. This view is further supported by the differences in cell lineage [39], cell fate
The trochoblasts in the pilidium larva break an ancient spiralian constraint to enable continuous larval growth and maximally-indirect development, in preparation. Furthermore, *pilidium nielseni*, despite its similarity to the trochophore larva, clearly belongs to a pilidiophoran species, and it undergoes catastrophic metamorphosis, like all other pilidiophorans. Although there is quite a bit of variation in larval morphology and configuration of ciliary bands within the Heteronemertea, currently there is no evidence to suggest that *pilidium nielseni* represents the ancestral condition for the Heteronemertea, the Pilidiophora, or the Nemertea as a whole. Within the Heteronemertea, typical hat-like planktotrophic pilidia are widely distributed, and it is the only known larval type in the Hubrechtidae, which suggests planktotrophy was likely ancestral to the Pilidiophora. From the functional point of view, one can explain imaginal discs and catastrophic metamorphosis of *pilidium nielseni* and other lecithotrophs, as a vestige of planktotrophic pilidial development. The alternative possibility (lecithotrophy ancestral to Pilidiophora) defies a functional explanation, and requires multiple independent origins of planktotrophic pilidia (once in the Hubrechtidae, and several times within the Heteronemertea), which seems unlikely given the high degree of complexity of form and function. To sum it up, the trochophore-like appearance of *pilidium nielseni* appears to be a case of striking convergence. However, the development of *pilidium nielseni* remains undescribed. To determine how much of the typical pilidial developmental pattern is conserved, and to understand the developmental basis of such evolutionary convergence, we described and illustrated the development of *pilidium nielseni* with light and confocal microscopy. We demonstrate that *pilidium nielseni* has a clear pilidial development with modifications related to lecithotrophy, and that the development of its ciliary bands differs from that of the trochophore. Our data illustrates an example of convergent larval morphology based on different developmental pathways.

**Results**

We raised eleven cultures of *Micrura* sp. “dark” through metamorphosis, and seven more through the early developmental stages. Reproductive females are readily identified due to the relatively large size of the oocytes, which are visible through the body wall (Fig. 2a). Whether spawned freely through the gonopores or dissected out,
oocytes are ~250 μm in diameter, opaque and pale or-
ange in color, and have a distinct chorion (~265 μm in
diameter) and jelly coat (~430 μm) (n = 6) (Fig. 3b). Re-
productive males have noticeably pale gonads. The
sperm (Fig. 3a) have a compact head ~5 μm long (n = 6),
as is typical of species with external fertilization [41].
The rate of development is highly dependent on
temperature, with cultures at 8 °C requiring at least
18 days to metamorphose, and cultures at 16 °C meta-
morphosing as early as 9 days after fertilization (Table 1).
For simplicity, we will focus on describing the order and
earliest appearance of significant developments of larvae
raised in cultures kept at ~16 °C.

We did not observe the germinal vesicle in dissected
or naturally spawned oocytes (likely due to the yolkiness
of the eggs), or the formation of polar bodies, but in pre-
viously studied nemertean species, primary oocytes are
released, undergo germinal vesicle breakdown upon con-
tact with sea water, and produce polar bodies after
fertilization (e.g. [10]). It is unclear how this occurs in
Micrura sp. “dark.” After fertilization, eggs undergo
equal spiral cleavage, with a distinct size difference
between the animal and vegetal quartets at the eight-cell
stage (Fig. 3d). However, without the polar bodies to
mark the animal pole, it is unclear whether the micro-
meres are larger than the macromeres, as they are in
other nemerteans with described development (e.g. [37]
and references therein), or the other way around, as in
most other spiralian. In most, but not all cultures, prior
to first cleavage, we observed fertilized eggs exhibit five
to seven transient furrows which gave the eggs the ap-
pearance of an orange in cross-section. This
phenomenon was subtle and ephemeral; the furrows did
not seem to fully separate the segments. In cases where
we did not observe these furrows, we cannot be certain
whether the culture passed through this stage particu-
larly quickly, or skipped it entirely, but whether we did
or did not observe furrowing did not seem to correlate
with the success of the culture. The furrows lasted less
than an hour, and faded within about an hour prior to
first cleavage (Fig. 3c; Table 1). First cleavage occurs as
soon as three hours after fertilization, but most often oc-
curs after four hours (Table 1). This relatively large time
range may be attributable to the length of time oocytes
were exposed to sea water before insemination. Second
cleavage occurs as soon as 30 min later, with most cleav-
ing 4.5–5.5 h post-fertilization, and the 8-cell stage is
reached as early as one and a half hours following that,
though most cultures required two hours to progress
from second to third cleavage. Subsequent cleavage
stages are reached every hour, approximately, and a blas-
tula forms within the first day, as early as 15.5 h after
fertilization (Table 1). Embryos gastrulate and develop
cilia several hours later, as early as 20.5 h after
fertilization, resulting in an embryo which is somewhat
flattened along the animal-vegetal axis, and features a
small blastopore (Fig. 3e, Table 1). Larvae hatch from
the chorion and begin swimming the next day, and the
gut gradually elongates from the vegetal blasto-
pore toward the apical tuft at the animal pole. The first two
pairs of imaginal discs are apparent before the second
day (Fig. 4, Table 1, Additional file 1 — Movie 1). The
paired cephalic discs appear first, as early as 30 h after
fertilization, and several hours later, are followed by the
paired trunk discs. Both pairs of discs are formed by
invaginations of the larval epidermis, and separate them-
sonselves from the larval body wall in an arc curving to-
wars the sagittal plane of the larva. Soon afterwards,
the gut beings to curve backwards over the trunk discs.

The third day, larvae reach what we call the “pileus”
stage, in reference to their hat-like shape which resem-
bles a much reduced pilidium (Figs. 3f and 5, Additional
file 2 — Movie 2); it has stubby lateral lappets and anter-
or and posterior lobes surrounding the vegetal blasto-
pore. “Pileus”-stage larvae are ciliated over their entire
surface, but also have a prominent apical tuft, and their

Fig. 2 Adult morphology of Micrura sp. “dark.” a. Live adult (female)
showing the caudal cirrus (cc) at the posterior end. Large oocytes
inside the ovaries (ov) alternating with gut diverticula (gt) are visible
through the body wall of the midbody region. b. Head end (hd)
showing distinctive peristaltic waves (arrowheads) and lateral
cephalic slits (cs). Scale bars 2 mm.
reduced lobes and lappets are fringed with longer cilia. The longer cilia along the margins of the lobes and lappets are organized into four ciliary band segments, one spanning each larval lobe or lappet (Figs. 3f and 6). These ciliary band segments appear as several rows of small cells easily distinguishable from the larger cells of surrounding epidermis (Figs. 5a and 6). Early on, there is a distinct lateral gap on either side, between the ciliary

Fig. 3 Lecithotrophic development of *Micrura* sp. “dark.” DIC images. a. Sperm with a compact head. b. Unfertilized oocyte. c. Furrowing (arrows) prior to cleavage in a fertilized oocyte. d. Eight-cell stage showing spiral arrangement of blastomeres. e. Ciliated gastrula with narrow blastopore (bl). f. Lateral view of “pileus” stage; note apical tuft (ap), transient lateral lappets (lp), anterior (al) and posterior lobes (pl), each fringed with longer cilia (arrowheads). g. Larva which has lost its lobes and lappets, taking on the characteristic *pilidium nielseni* shape with two circumferential ciliary bands (arrowheads). The cilia are fanned out during a brief arrest of ciliary beat, as is typical in *pilidium nielseni*’s stop-start swimming pattern. h. Lateral view, juvenile anterior to the left, showing the larval cirrus (asterisk) between the two transverse ciliary bands (arrowheads). The juvenile body is visible through the larval epidermis. i. A recently metamorphosed juvenile with its larval body in its gut (gt). Juveniles have a long cirrus (jc) at the posterior end. Scale bars 100 μm.
bands of the anterior and posterior lobes (Fig. 6b), as well as anterior and posterior gaps separating the ciliary bands of the two lappets (Fig. 6a). The ciliary bands spanning each of the transient lappets extend from a tiny pair of epidermal invaginations between the anterior lobe and each lappet (Fig. 5a, c and d, Additional file 2 — Movie 2, Additional file 3 — Movie 3). These “pits” are in a position corresponding to the anterior axis (the growth zones) of the pilidium larva, which give rise to the ciliary bands in typical pilidia [19]. At this point, the cephalic discs are positioned above the transient anterior lobe, while the trunk discs are beneath the backward curve of the gut, along the posterior side of each lappet (Fig. 5b-d). At the same time, the unpaired dorsal rudiment forms along the inner plidial epidermis dorsal/apical to the gut, and the unpaired proboscis rudiment forms between the cephalic discs (Fig. 5). The origin of these rudiments is uncertain, but perhaps, as is hypothesized for a typical pilidium, they are mesenchymal [10]. At any rate, they do not appear to form by invagination from the larval epidermis. Additionally, two shallow chambers outpocket from the basal portion of the gut (near the blastopore) between the developing cephalic and trunk discs on either side of the mid-sagittal plane. These invaginations of the gut elongate and form the cerebral organ discs (Fig. 5e3-f). The larva also begins to develop musculature at this point. First, it develops circumferential muscles underlying the ciliary bands of the transitory larval lobes (which later form the anterior ciliary band). As these muscles form, some begin to arc towards the posterior of the larva, extending into each of the transitory lappets, rather than encircling the larva (Fig. 7a-b). These extensions form an “arc” of muscle which follows the curve of the lappet (imagine two jump ropes, each wrapped around opposite sides of the larva, are allowed to droop into the lappet on its respective side).

Larvae begin to exhibit a distinctive start-stop swimming behavior between the third and fourth day of development; *pilidium nielseni* spiral forward led by the apical tuft, then abruptly stop and flare out the cilia, halting ciliary motion for a brief moment (Fig. 3g) before continuing on. At about the same time, the larval ciliary cirrus and an amnionic “larval pore” become apparent below what used to be the posterior larval lobe (now located between the two transverse ciliary bands) (Figs. 5a and e2). The larval pore is located just vegetal to the larval cirrus, and opens through the larval epidermis to the outside (Figs. 6a and 7f).

By the fourth day, typically, the lobes and lappets diminish and become indistinguishable, and corresponding halves of each ciliary band make contact with each other (Fig. 6c-d), with the ciliary band segments of the larval lobes forming a continuous anterior transverse ciliary band (the “prototroch”), and those of the lappets forming a continuous posterior transverse ciliary band (the “telotroch”) (Figs. 3g and 6d). As the ciliary bands reorganize, the “arcs” of muscle tracing the vanishing lappets begin to widen their curve, and the sides of the “arcs” extend towards each other (like the handles of each jump rope are being held further from each other, but closer to the handles of the opposite jump rope).

---

### Table 1 Developmental timeline of *Micrura* sp. “dark”

| Stage                  | Description                                                                 | Earliest appearance (16 °C) | Earliest appearance (8 °C) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Furrowing              | Embryo furrows at five to seven sites (Fig. 3c)                              | 2.5 h                       | 3 h                         |
| 1st Cleavage           | Embryo cleaves equally                                                      | 3 h                         | 4 h                         |
| Blastula               | Blastula is slightly flattened along the animal-vegetal axis                | 15.5 h                      | 22 h                        |
| Gastrula               | Gastrula is somewhat flattened along animal-vegetal axis, becomes ciliated, and develops an apical tuft and a vegetal invagination (blastopore). Gastrulae may swim freely in advanced stages (Fig. 3e) | 20.5 h                      | 24 h                        |
| Cephalic discs         | Paired cephalic discs invaginate (Fig. 4)                                    | 30 h                        | —                           |
| Cephalic and trunk discs | Paired trunk discs invaginate (Fig. 4)                                        | 42 h                        | —                           |
| Pileus stage           | Larva develops transient lobes and lappets, the gut curves backward, the paired cerebral organ discs invaginate from the gut, and the proboscis and dorsal rudiment appear (Figs. 3f and 5). Ciliary bands appear as four segments which span each transient lobe and lappet (Fig. 6) | 3 days                      | 3 days                      |
| Torus stage            | The head and trunk rudiments fuse around the base of the gut (Fig. 8). Ciliary band segments are re-arranged to form two complete transverse ciliary bands                               | 4 days                      | —                           |
| Hood stage             | Epidermis of trunk rudiment extends over the proboscis, but has not yet fused with the epidermis of the head rudiment, leaving a dorsal gap (Fig. 9)                                   | 6 days                      | —                           |
| Metamorphosis          | The head and trunk rudiments fused to form a complete juvenile (Fig. 10). Juvenile erupts from and devours larval body in catastrophic metamorphosis | 9 days                      | 18 days                     |

Dash represents missing data
The circumferential muscles underlying the “telotroch” weave through and around the widened curves, encircling the posterior end of the larva (Fig. 7c-d). Eventually, the sides of the “arcs” overlap each other, forming a cross of muscle at either end of the developing juvenile (Fig. 7a-d), and the widened curves of the “arcs” dropped from the “prototroch” muscles are more fully incorporated into developing circumferential muscles underlying the “telotroch” (Fig. 7c-d). Other muscle fibers extend between the “prototroch” and “telotroch,” further interconnecting the musculature. The dorsal rudiment becomes bi-layered, and spreads underneath the larval epidermis across the dorsal surface of the gut (Fig. 5b-c), and the cephalic discs envelop the proboscis rudiment as they fuse around it (Figure 5e1). The cephalic discs fuse together near the gut first, then continue to fuse anteriorly and around the proboscis into the fourth day (Fig. 8, Additional file 4 — Movie 4), forming the head rudiment. The trunk discs fuse with each other and the posterior end of the dorsal rudiment, forming the trunk rudiment. The dorsal rudiment also extends anteriorly over the gut towards the fusing cephalic discs. The cerebral organs close off from the gut, and are enveloped by the head and trunk rudiments as they fuse together around the opening of the gut, forming a toroidal juvenile rudiment (Fig. 8, Additional file 4 — Movie 4). This corresponds to the “torus” stage in the development of a planktotrophic pliidium [10].

By the fifth day, the cerebral organ discs penetrate the juvenile epidermis and open laterally (left and right) into amniotic space (Fig. 9), the fibrous cores of the cerebral ganglia are visible in the head rudiment, and the juvenile lateral nerve cords begin to extend from the head region into the trunk region, passing under the cerebral organ discs (Additional file 5 — Movie 5). Also, the circumferential muscle bands underlying the ciliary bands thicken,
and the larvae begin to contract at their “trochs,” cinching in the larval body like tightening belts (Figs. 3h and 7d-e). Circumferential muscles also form around the apical organ (Fig. 7d-e). Some of these muscles originating around the apical organ begin to extend through the larva behind the cephalic discs, with fibers connecting to the “prototroch” and “telotroch” musculature (Fig. 9b, Additional file 5 — Movie 5). These fibers thicken and form a dense cord, the apical muscle, which allows the larva to pull in its apical tuft (Fig. 9b, Additional file 5 — Movie 5). Additionally, muscles which seem to anchor the cirrus of the juvenile to the larval body wall, just above the larval pore, begin to form.

At about six days, a ring of muscle forms around the larval pore just above the posterior muscle cross (Fig. 7f), which is the last major larval muscle group to form, and the juvenile begins to form longitudinal and circumferential muscles in the trunk region, which then expand anteriorly. The brain ring, which is made up of paired dorsal cerebral ganglia connected by the dorsal commissure and paired ventral cerebral ganglia connected by the ventral commissure (Fig. 9a), is apparent around the rhynchocoel, and the juvenile lateral nerve cords extend into the trunk region (Additional file 5 — Movie 5). About two days after the torus stage (as early as six days), larvae reach what corresponds to the “hood” stage in planktotrophic development [10], where the trunk rudiment, composed of the fused trunk discs and dorsal rudiment, extends over the proboscis rudiment, but is still separated from the head rudiment by a dorsal gap, which admits the apical muscle (Fig. 9, Additional file 5 — Movie 5). By eight days (and in a few instances, just
under a week), the apical muscle extending through the larva degrades, and the head and trunk rudiments fuse dorsally, incorporating the proboscis and gut and forming a complete juvenile (Figs. 3h and 10). At this point, the larvae appear less opaque due to diminishing yolk reserves, so the complete juvenile is visible through the body wall (Fig. 3h). Approximately one day after the juvenile is completed, its epidermis becomes noticeably ciliated, while the circular and longitudinal muscles of the juvenile body wall extend from the more muscled posterior end into the head region.

In as few as eight days, the juvenile begins to move within the larval body, pushing against it, and retracting from it. At the earliest, metamorphosis occurred in only nine days, and most individuals metamorphosed in fewer than 20 days. During its catastrophic metamorphosis, the juvenile extends against the larval body, distorting it, as its tail jabs between the ciliary bands near the lateral cirrus, as described by Maslakova and von Dassow [24]. Confocal imaging exposed a small larval pore open to the outside near the larval cirrus, which suggests that the juvenile may use this pore as an “escape hatch” during metamorphosis (Figures 6a, 7f and 9a, 9b).

Newly metamorphosed juveniles have a length of ~500-600 μm in gliding, including a distinct caudal cirrus of ~50 μm (Fig. 2i). The cirrus is sometimes used as a sticky anchor while the juvenile extends its anterior end and writhes in the water. *Micrura* sp. “dark” juveniles have a pair of longitudinal cephalic slits, as is characteristic of adults of this species (and the entire family Lineidae), and a slight constriction separates the head from the rest of the body, which can appear somewhat

---

**Fig. 6** Development of the ciliary bands in the *pilidium nielseni* of *Micrura* sp. “dark.” Confocal z-projections of 3–5 day old larvae stained with phalloidin, and oriented with the apical plate up. Ciliary bands are marked by arrowheads. **a.** A slightly oblique lateral-vegetal view showing the “pileus” stage (3-day old). Anterior lobe (al) left and posterior lobe (pl) right. Posterior lobe can be identified by the position of the larval cirrus (lc). Shows the two separate segments of the future “telotroch” spanning the two lateral lappets (lp1, lp2) and the two segments of the future “prototroch” (arrowheads) spanning the larval lobes (lb). Blastopore is marked with an asterisk. **b.** Lateral view of a larva at the “pileus” stage. Shows the lateral gap between the two segments of the future “prototroch.” **c.** Lateral view of a larva several hours past the “pileus” stage. Shows the two “prototroch” segments (arrowheads) making contact. **d.** Frontal view of a larva several hours following the “pileus” stage, showing the formation of the complete “telotroch” as the ciliary bands spanning the lappets (lp) make contact. Scale bars 50 μm.
bulbous while the stomach is engorged with the larval body (Fig. 3i).

**Discussion**

This is one of the first studies of non-feeding pilidiophoran development using modern microscopy methods [15–17]. The development of the lecithotrophic trophophore-like *pilidium nielseni* mirrors that of a typical planktotrophic pilidium. Initially, *pilidium nielseni* even takes the form of a highly reduced pilidium, developing transient lobes and lappets, a phenomenon which has not been observed in any other pilidiophoran species with non-feeding development. At this “pileus” stage, the ciliary bands span the lobes and lappets (though they do not form a continuous band), much like in a typical pilidium (Fig. 11a). The *pilidium nielseni* also shares its method of juvenile development; paired cephalic, trunk and cerebral organ discs, and unpaired proboscis and dorsal rudiments, arise and fuse together around the larval gut to form the juvenile (Fig. 11a, b). In a typical pilidium, the unpaired rudiments are possibly mesenchymal, or at least they do not obviously invaginate from the larval epidermis [10], and this also appears to be the case in *pilidium nielseni*. Finally, once the juvenile is complete, *pilidium nielseni* undergoes catastrophic metamorphosis, a quintessential pilidial trait. During metamorphosis, the juvenile backs out of the larva near (or possibly through) the larval amniotic pore, and draws the larval body into the shared mouth as it escapes [24]. Interestingly, the planktotrophic pilidium of *Maculaura alaskensis*, formerly known as *Micrura alaskensis* [42], has two amniotic pores underneath its

![Fig. 7 Larval muscles in *pilidium nielseni* of *Micrura* sp. “dark.” Confocal projections of specimens stained with phalloidin, apical plate (ap) up.](image)
posterior larval lobe — likely vestiges of the trunk disc invaginations [43] — and during metamorphosis, the *M. alaskensis* juvenile often emerges caudal end first in that vicinity, at the base of the posterior lobe [10]. In the planktotrophic, sock-like *pilidium recurvatum*, there is a single larval pore in a corresponding position (posterior to anterior, apical is up). *a*. A 1.95 \( \mu \text{m} \) slab showing the developing proboscis (pb) and fused pairs of cephalic and trunk discs, forming the head (hd) and trunk rudiments (tr), respectively. *a*. The same individual as in *a*. A 1.95 \( \mu \text{m} \) slab showing the head and trunk rudiments fused around the gut, forming the characteristic toroid of juvenile tissue. The cerebral organ discs (cod) are closed off from the gut. Note the larval pore (pr), which is associated with the larval cirrus (not visible on this slab). *b*. A diagram summarizing *a*—*a*. *c*. A 1.95 \( \mu \text{m} \) slab (frontal view) showing the apical organ and trunk rudiment. *c*. A 1.95 \( \mu \text{m} \) slab (frontal view) showing the proboscis rudiment, the cerebral organ discs closed off from the gut. *d*. A diagram summarizing *c*—*c*. (outline of the gut omitted for clarity). Scale bars 50 \( \mu \text{m} \).

One of the most obvious differences between the typical pilidium and *pilidium nielsenii* is lecithotrophy. In a typical hat-like planktotrophic pilidium, the ciliary bands generate currents while the lobes and lappets perform specialized movements to capture unicellular algae [38]. Likely, other kinds of planktotrophic pilidia, such as the mitten-shaped *pilidium auriculatum* and sock-shaped *pilidium recurvatum*, have developed feeding mechanisms suited to their individual morphologies [38]. The elaborate feeding structures and mechanisms required by planktotrophic pilidia are, of course, unnecessary for non-feeding pilidia, which begins to explain their simplified body plans.

All described free-swimming non-feeding pilidia are uniformly ciliated, or have one or two circumferential ciliary bands of long cilia in addition to short cilia covering the rest of the surface (e.g. [2, 12, 15, 22, 23]). They
are also more streamlined, shaped like a prolate spheroid. Similar patterns of simplification and modification (with uniform ciliation or circumferential ciliary bands) are seen in the derived non-feeding larvae of some other taxa which ancestrally had a more complex planktotrophic larva, such as bryozoans (e.g. [46–49]), hemichordates (e.g. [50–52]), and echinoderms (e.g. [53–56]). It is thought that these patterns of ciliation and a streamlined body shape improve swimming ability, while complex larval feeding structures, such as ciliated

Fig. 9 Anatomy of the hood stage of *Micrura* sp. “dark.” a-b are confocal projections of specimens stained with phallolidin (white) and propidium iodide (orange). Sagittal sections, apical plate (ap) up, juvenile anterior left. a. A 1.95 μm slab showing the proboscis (pb), the larval pore (pr) associated with the larval cirrus (lc), the ventral commissure (vc) and the lumen of the gut (gt). b. A 1.3 μm slab showing cerebral organ (co) opening laterally through the juvenile epidermis, the apical muscle (am) and the lumen of the gut. c. A diagram summarizing a-b (outline of the gut omitted for clarity). d. A diagram of the same stage from a frontal view. Scale bars 50 μm
bands extended on lobes and arms, increase hydro-
dynamic drag, thereby reducing swimming ability [53, 
54, 57]. For non-feeding larvae, any pressure to feed effi-
ciently is removed, and may be replaced by pressure to 
swim efficiently [53]. Accordingly, pilidium nielseni, 
much like the derived non-feeding larvae in other mar-
ine invertebrates, has reduced its feeding structures and 
reorganized its ciliary bands, converging on a 
trochophore-like body plan, and likely lessening the 
energy spent swimming.

Still, the trochophore-like appearance of pilidium 
nielseni is provocative, and there may be an impulse to 
draw a direct connection to the hypothetical ancestral 
trochophore larva of Spiralia (Lophotrochozoa or 
Trochozoa, depending on the interpretation). However, 
the “prototroch” and “telotroch” of pilidium nielseni are 
both positioned anterior to the blastopore (i.e. vestigial 
mouth), which retains its posterior/vegetal position. The 
prototroch of a true trochophore would also be anterior 
to the mouth, but the telotroch, if present, would sur-
round the anus at the posterior end. Furthermore, the 
“prototroch” and “telotroch” of pilidium nielseni can be 
ontogenetically linked with the primary ciliary band of a 
planktotrophic pilidium, as they initially form along the 
lobes and lappets before wrapping around the larva as 
two circumferential ciliary bands (Fig. 11a, c). This is 
alogous to the re-organization of the ciliary bands 
during the auricularia-to-doliolaria transition in develop-
ment of holothuroids [58, 59]. The ciliary band of typical 
pilidia functions very differently from the prototroch-
metatroch pair in the opposed-band feeding mechanism 
described for some trochophores [38, 60–63] and is not 
homologous to the prototroch as a differentially ciliated 
band, so we can infer that the circumferential ciliary 
bands of pilidium nielseni are not homologous to the 
prototroch and telotroch in a typical spiralian trocho-
phore, either (see Fig. 1). Additional substantiation may 
be provided by a cell lineage study of pilidium nielseni, 
which would clarify the relationship between its ciliary 
bands and those of a typical pilidium and trochophore, 
and determine which cell lineages contribute to the for-
mation of the pilidium nielseni “trochs.”

Another distinction between planktotrophic pilidia 
and pilidium nielseni is the size of the eggs from which 
they arise. The eggs produced by Micrura sp. “dark” are 
~250 μm in diameter, much larger than the 75–160 μm 
eggs of planktotrophic nemertean species [2] (Fig. 2b). 
The larger egg size is likely due to the proportionate 
abundance of yolk, which is later doled out into lipid 
granules dotting the larval epidermis [24]. The yolk pro-
vides enough nutrition for pilidium nielseni to develop a 
complete juvenile without ever needing to feed, which 
accounts for pilidium nielseni’s accelerated development 
to metamorphosis compared to that of a typical plankto-
rophic pilidium [10, 18, 45, 56, 64]. Relatively large eggs 
(150–350 μm) are also characteristic of other non-
planktotrophic pilidia ([2] and references therein), and 
evolution of larger eggs is associated with lecithotrophy 
in other taxa as well [65]. Though these yolk-rich, rela-
tively short-lived larvae are energetically more expen-
sive to produce, the benefits of a shortened planktonic stage 
must outweigh the costs in these cases [66].
As described, the development of the juvenile within *pilidium nielseni* seems to align more closely to that of planktotrophic plidida than of other non-feeding plididia, but this may be due to the differences in interpretation by different authors, rather than biology. For instance, Iwata’s larva is described to develop via five imaginal discs (paired cephalic and trunk discs and a dorsal disc formed as epidermal invaginations), cerebral organ rudiments which invaginate from the stomodeum, and a proboscis which arises from the cephalic discs [12] (Table 2). A typical pilidium forms via three paired imaginal discs (formed as epidermal invaginations) and two unpaired juvenile rudiments (possibly mesenchymal) [10]. However, it was thought the proboscis was derived from the cephalic discs in typical pilidia, too [3, 20, 21], until recent data confirmed an early account by Bürger [67] of a separate proboscis rudiment [10]. Also, the stomodeal invaginations forming the cerebral organs in Iwata’s larva [12] are similar to the outpocketings of the gut near the blastopore in *pilidium nielseni*, and both are likely homologous to the lateral invaginations of the subumbrellar epidermis/esophagus which form the cerebral organ discs in typical pilidia [10]. All of these should be considered imaginal discs. Surprisingly, Iwata indicates that the dorsal disc invaginates above the paired cephalic discs [12], which is odd, as the dorsal disc appears above the trunk discs, then fuses with them to form the trunk rudiment in a typical pilidium [10]. It is possible that a review of *M. akkeshiensis*’s development with modern methods would yield different results, which are more aligned with development of other plididia.

Schmidt’s larva was originally described to form a juvenile via eight imaginal discs—paired cephalic, trunk and cerebral organ discs, and unpaired proboscis and dorsal rudiments—but Schmidt did not specify which invaginate from the epidermis [68]. Recent analysis with confocal microscopy shows only two pairs of imaginal discs, the cephalic and trunk discs, and a separate proboscis rudiment [17]. This technique also revealed a cluster of mesenchymal cells which, based on location, may contribute to the dorsal side of the juvenile, and similarly, another cluster of cells which appear to be associated with the formation of the cerebral organs [17]. More recently discovered non-feeding free-swimming plidia have been studied in less detail. *Micrura rubramaculosa* and *M. verrilli* are thought to develop via five and *Micrura* sp. 803 via six imaginal discs, but development was only observed through their yolky epidermis, the discs were not identified, and disc formation was not described [15, 23]. So, while published literature suggests there are many possible departures from typical plidial development in non-feeding larvae, this may be an artifact of the methods employed (e.g. histology vs. confocal microscopy), the depth of study, and interpretation by the author (e.g. which rudiments are counted as imaginal discs and which are not), rather than a representation of true developmental variation.

**Conclusions**

In this study, the first to document in depth the development of a free-swimming non-feeding pilidium with modern microscopy methods, we have demonstrated that fundamental aspects of plidial development (8 juvenile rudiments, catastrophic metamorphosis) are conserved in *pilidium nielseni*, the larva of a plidiophoran species. Notably, its ciliary bands first form in segments along the transient lobes and lappets, resembling a planktotrophic pilidium, before connecting and encircling the larva as two transverse ciliary bands (Fig. 11) resembling a prototroch and telotroch of some spiralian
trochophores. Patterns associated with the transition from planktotrophy to lecithotrophy predict its departure from typical pilidial development, including a larger egg size, an accelerated developmental timeline, a reduction in feeding structures (reduced lobes and lap-pets), and the rearrangement and repurposing of the ciliary bands (from feeding to locomotion). We suggest that transition from planktotrophy to lecithotrophy explains the trochophore-like morphology of *Micrura nielseni*, a compelling example of evolutionary convergence on a larval body plan often assumed to be widely homologous.

**Methods**  

**Collection of adults**  

We collected a total of 129 adults of *Micrura* sp. “dark” in rocky intertidal areas around Cape Arago in Charleston, Oregon (especially Middle Cove, 43.305 N, 124.400 W) during or just prior to their fall/winter reproductive season. Of these, 33 were collected from October 2013 to March 2014, 41 were collected from July 2014 to February 2015, and 55 individuals were collected from July 2015 to March 2016. The increases in individuals collected from one spawning season to the next are likely due to our improved skill in locating them, rather than an increase in population. Fertile adults were observed from September through February. Some were fertile when collected, and others (particularly those collected in July and August) developed gametes in the laboratory following collection. Interestingly, despite being kept unfed in the laboratory for a year, a few males and one female developed gametes the next reproductive season. However, we were unable to start cultures with these males, and their sperm appeared somewhat lacha-daisical.

*Micrura* sp. “dark” were primarily found intertwined with the dense root masses of *Phyllospadix* spp. growing in shell hash, though several individuals were wedged between rocks, or in surf grass rooted in finer sand. Most individuals were collected from root masses of the most dominant surf grass, *Phyllospadix serrulatus*, but also from the root masses of *P. torreyi*, and possibly *P. scouleri*. *Micrura* sp. “dark” may be confused with two other common co-occurring and also undescribed lineiform nemertean species, which are similar in size (several centimeters long) and color (pinkish, reddish or brownish). Possible misidentifications include *Lineus* sp. “red,” which has considerably smaller oocytes (~100 μm) than *Micrura* sp. “dark” and develops via a planktotrophic pilidium [45], and Lineidae gen. sp. “large eggs,” a species with considerably larger oocytes (~600 μm) and

| Source | Total # of juvenile rudiments | Rudiments reported as imaginal discs | Rudiments reported with uncertain origin | Invaginating rudiments |
|--------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Iwata 1958 [12] | 8 | 53 | 3 | 7 |
| Martin-Durán et al. 2015 [17] | 8 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| Schwartz and Norenburg 2005 [23] | 8 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| Schwartz 2009 [15] | 8 | 6 | 2 | 6 |
| This study | 8 | 6 | 2 | 6 |
| Maslakova 2010 [10] | 8 | 6 | 2 | 6 |

The first four columns describe juvenile rudiment development reported in the literature. The fifth column identifies which rudiments were reported to invaginate (and/or shown to invaginate in figures). The final column gives the total number of juvenile rudiments. cd — cephalic discs td — trunk discs dd — dorsal disc cor — cerebral organ rudiments pb — proboscis rudiment cod — cerebral organ discs dr — dorsal rudiment. *Maculaura alaskensis* bears a typical planktotrophic pilidium and is included for comparison.
Adult specimens of *Micrura* sp. “dark” can be distinguished from these two species by the presence of a distinct caudal cirrus (a tail-like extension of the posterior end) (Fig. 2a), and by the nearly constant, pronounced peristaltic motion, which is especially apparent in the foregut region (Fig. 2b). One or two of these dramatic anterior to posterior peristaltic waves can be readily observed at nearly any given time, and their distinct margins conjure up images of a cartoon worm swallowing a series of doughnuts whole.

Initially, individuals were visually identified in the field prior to collection, and subsequently their identity was confirmed via DNA-barcoding (sequencing a 460–537 bp region of the 16S rDNA gene). Once we were confident and consistent in our identifications, confirming identification with DNA sequence data was no longer necessary. Adult individuals were photographed, and kept in 150 ml glass dishes in a flow-through sea table at ambient seawater temperature, where their water was changed weekly.

**Obtaining gametes and rearing larvae**

Gametes were dissected from gravid male and female *Micrura* sp. “dark” individuals when reproductive pairs were available, and we established a total of 18 cultures over three reproductive seasons from 2013–2016. In three instances, sperm was dissected from a male to fertilize naturally spawned oocytes, and in two others, naturally spawned oocytes and sperm were used. The 13 other cultures resulted from dissected oocytes and sperm. Observations are based on eleven embryonic cultures maintained through metamorphosis, including two started with spawned oocytes and one started with both spawned oocytes and sperm, as well as seven other cultures maintained through early developmental stages (two to three days), including one started with spawned oocytes, and another started with spawned oocytes and sperm. Oocytes were fertilized by a dilute suspension of sperm in filtered sea water (FSW, 0.2 μm), and cultures were maintained in 150 ml glass dishes of FSW placed in flowing sea tables at ambient seawater temperature. The water in their dishes was changed every one to two days. Because the first few cultures suffered a high mortality rate due to bacterial infestation, subsequent cultures were established and maintained in FSW re-filtered through a bottle-top vacuum system (Corning), and an antibiotic solution (a mixture of penicillin and streptomycin at a concentration of 5–50 μg/ml each) was added to the cultures.

**Light microscopy**

Adult specimens of *Micrura* sp. “dark” were examined and photographed live using a Leica DF400 digital camera mounted to a Leica MZ10F dissecting microscope. Gametes and larval specimens were photographed, trapped between a glass slide and a coverslip supported by clay feet, using a Leica DF400 digital camera mounted to an Olympus BX51 compound microscope equipped with DIC.

**Fluorescent labeling and confocal microscopy**

Larvae were relaxed in a 1:1 mixture of 0.34 M MgCl₂ and FSW for 15 min, then in 100% 0.34 M MgCl₂ for 15 min prior to fixation. They were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde prepared from 16% or 20% ultrapure paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) and filtered sea water. Fixed specimens were rinsed in three 10-min changes of phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4, Fisher Scientific), then stored in PBS at 4 °C, or immediately permeabilized and stained. Larvae were permeabilized with three changes of PBS with 0.1% or 0.5% Triton X-100 (PBT) and rinsed in three 10-min changes of PBS. Specimens were stained with Bodipy FL phallacidin (Molecular Probes) at a concentration of 5 U/ml, propidium iodide (Sigma) at a 0.1% concentration, or a combination of both in 0.1% or 0.5% PBT. Stained specimens were rinsed in three 10-min changes of PBS, then stored in PBS at 4 °C, or immediately mounted. To view internal structures, specimens were mounted onto Poly-L-lysine (Sigma) coated coverslips, dehydrated through an isopropyl alcohol series (70%, 80%, 90%, 100% I, 100% II) for 40 s-1 min at each step, then cleared with three 10-min changes of Murray Clear (a 2:1 mixture of benzyl benzoate and benzyl alcohol). Slides were prepared with strips of foil tape to support the coverslip. After mounting, the coverslips were filled with Murray Clear, which has a refractive index close to that of the immersion oil (~1.5) used for imaging, then sealed with nail polish and imaged immediately, or stored at 4 °C. To view surface features, stained specimens were placed in a glass-bottom microwell dish filled with PBS, and covered with a coverslip.

Specimens were imaged with an Olympus Fluoview 1000 laser scanning confocal mounted on an Olympus IX81 inverted microscope. Specimens mounted in Murray Clear were imaged with a UPlanFLN 40× 1.3 NA oil lens. Uncleared specimens mounted in PBS were imaged using a UPlanFLN 40× 1.15 water lens. Stacks of 0.65 μm optical sections were imported into ImageJ 1.47v (Wayne Rasband, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) for further processing. Channels were false-colored and levels adjusted in Adobe Photoshop CS6. In figures, we refer to stacks of a subset of optical sections of a specimen (most often projections of three sections) as “slabs.”
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Additional file 1: Movie 1. Imagination of cephalic and trunk discs in larva of Micrura sp. “dark.” A running z-projection movie of the confocal z-series used to make Fig. 4. Specimen stained with phalloidin (white) and propidium iodide (orange). Transverse sections (apical to vegetal), anterior lobe is up. Scale bar 50 μm. (MOV 11208 kb)

Additional file 2: Movie 2. Sagittal sections showing anatomy of the “pileus” stage of Micrura sp. “dark.” A running z-projection movie of the confocal z-series used to make Fig. 5a-b. Specimen stained with phalloidin (white) and propidium iodide (orange). Scale bar 50 μm. (MOV 11239 kb)

Additional file 3: Movie 3. Transverse sections (from apical to vegetal) showing anatomy of the “pileus” stage of Micrura sp. “dark.” A running z-projection movie of the confocal z-series used to make Figure 5E-F. Specimen stained with phalloidin (white) and propidium iodide (orange). Scale bar 50 μm. (MOV 13778 kb)

Additional file 4: Movie 4. Anatomy of the torus stage of Micrura sp. “dark.” A running z-projection movie of the confocal z-series used to make Fig. 9a. Specimen stained with phalloidin (white) and propidium iodide (orange). Sagittal sections. Scale bar 50 μm. (MOV 11476 kb)

Additional file 5: Movie 5. Anatomy of the hood stage of Micrura sp. “dark.” A running z-projection movie of the confocal z-series used to make Fig. 9a. Specimen stained with phalloidin (white) and propidium iodide (orange). Sagittal sections. Scale bar 50 μm. (MOV 11476 kb)
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