Two-step genomic sequence comparison strategy to design *Trichoderma* strain-specific primers for quantitative PCR
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Abstract
Survival of inoculated fungal strains in a new environment plays a critical role in functional performance, but few studies have focused on strain-specific quantitative PCR (qPCR) methods for monitoring beneficial fungi. In this study, the *Trichoderma guizhouense* strain NJAU 4742 (transformed with the gfp gene and named gfp-NJAU 4742), which exhibits a growth-promoting effect by means of phytohormone production and pathogen antagonism, was selected as a model to design strain-specific primer pairs using two steps of genomic sequence comparison to detect its abundance in soil. After a second comparison with the closely related species *T. harzianum* CBS 226-95 to further differentiate the strain-specific fragments that had shown no homology to any sequence deposited in the databases used in the first comparison, ten primer pairs were designed from the whole genome. Meanwhile, 3 primer pairs, P11, P12 and P13, were also designed from the inserted fragment containing the gfp gene. After verification testing with three types of field soils, primer pairs P6, P7 and P8 were further selected by comparison with P11, P12 and P13. A practical test using a pot experiment showed that stable colonization of gfp-NJAU 4742 in pepper rhizosphere soil could be detected using primer pairs P6 and P7, showing no significant difference from the results of primers P11 and P12. Hence, the strategy described here for designing fungal-strain-specific primers may theoretically be used for any other fungi for which the whole genome sequence is available in a database, and the qPCR methodology developed can also be used to further monitor the population dynamics of different strains based on the designed primers.
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Introduction
Fungal species of the genus *Trichoderma* are widespread in soils and considered to be an ideal biocontrol agent, with specific biocontrol mechanisms including mycoparasitism, production of antibiotics, competition and induced resistance (Harman et al. 2004; Shoreshe et al. 2010). Meanwhile, some *Trichoderma* strains with rhizosphere colonization deliver direct or indirect plant growth promotion benefits via enhancing nutrient uptake, as well as stimulating plant defence against biotic and abiotic damage (Martínezmedina et al. 2014; Trillas and Segarra 2009). In the past dozen years, to gain beneficial effects on a target plant, numerous studies have introduced different *Trichoderma* agents in large numbers by direct inoculation into soil or as soil amendments combined with organic fertilizers (Bal and AltiNtas 2008; Haque et al. 2012; Puttanna et al. 2010). The survival of the inoculated strains in a new environment (Zhang et al. 2015, 2017), however, does play a critical role in functional performance, since effective colonization is necessary for the successful stimulation of plant growth or the soil microbial ecosystem by the target strain. In addition, in certain areas, such as Europe, a risk assessment for the biocontrol agent focusing on the persistence and multiplication of the inoculant in the environment is required (Savazzini et al. 2008). Thus, the lack of an effective, economical,
fast and accurate quantitation strategy has obstructed the widespread application of beneficial fungi.

Selective medium has traditionally been utilized to detect or isolate beneficial probiotics in different environments (Azaizeh et al. 2003; Bashan and Gonzalez 1999), and one half-selective medium has also been developed for the isolation and quantification of the genus Trichoderma (Williams et al. 2003). However, the method has low sensitivity, requires the correct identification of conidia, cannot easily detect specific fungi that include morphologically similar strains, and is extremely time consuming (Ritz 2007). In addition, the current method cannot distinguish among different Trichoderma at the species level. Based on DNA analyses, quantitative PCR (qPCR), which can accurately quantify the abundance of numerous fungal species, is widely used for detecting different microbes (Atkins et al. 2003; Boyle et al. 2005) to investigate their ecology in soil and other environments, even at very low inoculation levels (Atkins et al. 2003; Bates et al. 2001; Böhm et al. 1999; Cullen et al. 2001; Renske et al. 2003; Winton et al. 2002). Primer sequences, in general, have been designed from regions of the genome with known or unknown functions (Carmen et al. 2007; Sarlin et al. 2006). For Trichoderma, detection and quantification have been performed in different soils based on a recognized genus-specific 600 bp internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region (Beaulieu et al. 2011; Hagn et al. 2007; López-Mondéjar et al. 2010); however, ITS, as a common region without enough specificity, cannot discriminate between different species, and sequence-characterized amplified region (SCAR) markers are mainly derived from randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis, leading to a long and laborious process to develop a specific SCAR marker.

Trichoderma guizhouense NJAU 4742, belonging to the Trichoderma harzianum species aggregate (Chenthamara et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2016), is now commercialized in China and is widely used for the solid-state fermentation of commercial biological agents and the research and development of biological fertilizers (Patent Application Nos. 200910233576.1, CN201610003589.X and CN201610440785.3). A large number of previous studies have shown that this strain has a strong growth-promoting ability (Cai et al. 2013; Huang et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2017; Yang et al. 2011), as well as a variety of superior and advantageous genes, such as HFB7, a novel orphan hydrophobic protein, which is involved in response to biotic and abiotic stresses (Przylucka et al. 2017), and NMP1, a neutral metalloproteidase, required for mycotrophy and self-defence (Zhang et al. 2016). Therefore, it is particularly important to uncover the potential mechanisms underlying their use in agricultural practices.

In this study, the Trichoderma guizhouense strain NJAU 4742 transformed with the gfp gene and named gfp-NJAU 4742 was selected as a model to design strain-specific primer pairs using two stages of genomic sequence comparison to detect its abundance in soil. The sensitivity of the PCR assay was determined, and the PCR protocols were tested for their ability to detect strain gfp-NJAU 4742 in pepper rhizosphere soil and in soil samples collected in the field. It is hoped that the results will provide technical assistance to microbial ecology researchers of the species in the future and will be a reference for the quantitative study of other strains based on whole genome sequences.

Materials and methods

Fungal strains

Trichoderma guizhouense NJAU 4742 wild (CGMCC accession No. 12166, China General Microbiology Culture Collection Center) and gfp-tagged (tagged with the gfp gene to express green fluorescent protein) transformed strains (gfp-NJAU 4742) were provided by the Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory of Organic Solid Waste Utilization, Nanjing, China. The mutation strain was labelled with the plasmid pCAMBIA-gfp (Additional file 1) in the wild-type strain, and the gfp sequence was fused with the hygromycin B resistance (hph) genewith the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (gpdA) promoter from Aspergillus nidulans and the tryptophan C (trpC) transcription-termination signal (Additional file 1) (Zhang et al. 2016). Whole genome sequence of T. harzianum CBS 226-95 (sibling strain to NJAU 4742) was downloaded from the NCBI database, and T. harzianum CBS 226-95 was provided by Prof. Irina S. Druzhinina, Vienna University of Technology.

Primer pair design and evaluation of amplification efficiency

Strain-specific PCR primer were designed from the complete genome sequence of Trichoderma guizhouense strain NJAU 4742, which is available in NCBI GenBank with accession numbers of PRJNA314460. In brief, the complete genome sequence of T. guizhouense NJAU 4742 from the FASTA genome sequence was fragmented in silico using in-house scripts to produce non-overlapping fragments. For the first comparison, the fragments were subjected to a BLASTn search against the NCBI and Joint Genome Institute (JGI) databases, and four sequence fragments without any match in the two BLAST sequence analyses were obtained as putative strain-specific sequences (positions T37_S00003:2560926 to 2576741, T37_S00005:2001401 to 2006364, T37_S00007:2096560 to 2099766 and T37_S0017: 678513 to 681994) (Additional file 2: Table S1). Next, the genome sequence of T.
**Target plasmid construction and qPCR amplification**

Thirteen fragments produced from the selected target genetic regions of the *T. guizhouense* *gfp*-NJAU 4742 and ITS1 primer pair were cloned into the pMD 19-T vector (TaKaRa). The plasmids were transformed into *Escherichia coli* TOP10 cells. The fragments in the plasmids were verified by a PCR test using the PMD-19T universal sequence primers M13-F (TGTAAAACGACGGCCAGT) and M13-R (CAGGAAAACAGCTATGACC) and sequenced by Nanjing GenScript Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (China). The DNA concentration of the plasmid was measured using a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop 2000, Thermo Scientific Inc., USA). qPCR amplification was

### Table 1 Primer characteristics

| Genome location or reference   | Code | Primer set | Sequence (5′–3′) | Fragment size (bp) |
|-------------------------------|------|------------|------------------|--------------------|
| >T37_S00003:2560926-2576741   | P1   | T-1F       | GTGCCGAAACTCTCATCTCCTGT | 127                |
|                               |      | T-1R       | CTATAATCAGTGCCCGCTGT |                    |
|                               | P2   | T-2F       | GCCCACCTCAAATTGGAAACATA | 143                |
|                               |      | T-2R       | CCGACCGACATACATCATCACATC |                |
|                               | P3   | T-3F       | TGGTCTAAGGGCTTCTCAACAT | 136                |
|                               |      | T-3R       | AGGCACAGCACATTATATCTGTT |                 |
|                               | P4   | T-4F       | CGACGGAAACTCAATGATAGCAA | 102                |
|                               |      | T-4R       | CCTAAATGAGGACCCCTGCT |                    |
| >T37_S00005:2001401-2006364   | P5   | T-5F       | TGTCTACCACACCATCGTTACG | 134                |
|                               |      | T-5R       | CACCATGTGTCATCTTACACA |                    |
|                               | P6   | T-6F       | TGGTTAATGCGAGAAACATGTT | 126                |
|                               |      | T-6R       | CCTGCCTACATCCTGGA |                    |
| >T37_S00007:2096560-2099766   | P7   | T-7F       | GTGGCCGCTTTCGTTTATG | 128                |
|                               |      | T-7R       | ACACAGAGCGACCGCATAGAT |                   |
|                               | P8   | T-8F       | TATGCTGTTGTTGTCTTATTG | 136                |
|                               |      | T-8R       | GTAATGGCTGAAATGTTGGATAA |                 |
| >T37_S00017:678513-681994     | P9   | T-9F       | TCTCTCAACGTCACCAAGCAC | 114                |
|                               |      | T-9R       | ATGTGTCATTGCTTATCAGA |                    |
|                               | P10  | T-10F      | CTCCATACCTCGTATCGTGT | 143                |
|                               |      | T-10R      | TCGACAGTGATCTGTTACAA |                    |
| GFP fragment                  | P11  | gfp-F      | AGAAGAAGCGATCAAGGTG | 171                |
|                               |      | gfp-R      | TCTCCTTGGGCTTTCTGCT |                    |
| HYG fragment                  | P12  | hyg-F      | CATGACCTGACAGGAGCC | 99                 |
|                               |      | hyg-R      | CGTTCTGCTTCATACAA |                    |
| GFP-HYG fragment              | P13  | gphyg-F    | GCCGATAGTGAAACCGA | 140                |
|                               |      | gphyg-R    | TTGGCGCTTGTATGCG |                    |
| López-Mondéjar et al. (2010)  | ITS1 | ITS1-S     | ACAACTCCCAACCCAAATGGA | 207                |
| and Beaulieu et al. (2011)    |      | ITS1-R     | CGTTGTTGAAAGGTTGATTCATTT |                         |
performed using gradient PCR analysis in a 20 μl reaction volume using SYBR®Premix Ex Taq™ (TaKaRa) on a 7500 Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, USA) (Shen et al. 2017). The plasmids containing the different fragments were used to prepare tenfold dilution series (in triplicate). Sterile water was used as a negative control. The cycle threshold (Ct) value was automatically determined for each sample. A standard curve was generated by plotting the Ct value against the logarithm of the DNA concentration (data not shown) and used to calculate the amplification efficiency (E). Initial target gene copy numbers in unknown samples were calculated from the standard curves (all gene fragments are single copy).

Soil sampling

Three soils with different physicochemical properties were collected from Hengxi, Nanjing (31° 43′ N, 118° 46’ E); Dafeng, Yancheng (32° 56’ N, 120° 13’ E); and Luquan, Kunming (25° 58’ N, 102° 45’ E), China. The soils were hand-picked to remove stones, larger plant residues and macroinvertebrates (earthworms, etc.) and then passed through a 2-mm sieve, slightly air-dried, and mixed thoroughly. The soil from Hengxi was characterized as yellow brown soil with a pH of 6.83, and it contained 2.19 mg kg⁻¹ NH₄–N, 15.5 mg kg⁻¹ NO₃–N, 120.01 mg kg⁻¹ available P and 307.17 mg kg⁻¹ available K; the soil from Dafeng was characterized as saline-alkali soil with a pH of 8.81, and it contained 7.72 mg kg⁻¹ ammonium N, 24.34 mg kg⁻¹ nitrate N, 2.36 mg kg⁻¹ available P and 285.29 mg kg⁻¹ available K; and the soil from Luquan was characterized as red soil with a pH of 5.0, and it contained 18.72 mg kg⁻¹ ammonium N, 15.34 mg kg⁻¹ nitrate N, 6.7 mg kg⁻¹ available P and 42.6 mg kg⁻¹ available K.

Inoculation of T. guizhouense NJAU 4742 into soils and qPCR assay

Spore suspensions of gfp-NJAU 4742 were prepared by flooding PDA medium plates containing 7-day-old cultures with sterile water and subsequently scraping with a sterile glass rod. The suspension was then filtered through a double layer of sterile cheesecloth. The conidial density of the suspension was assessed by counting on a haemocytometer. Soil samples of 20 g were loaded into 50-ml centrifuge tubes with six tubes for each soil (saline-alkali soil, red soil, and yellow brown soil), and the six tubes of each soil type were equally divided into the following one treatment and one control groups: the treatment was inoculated with 4 ml of gfp-NJAU 4742 spore suspension with concentration of approximately 10⁶ conidia g⁻¹ soil dry weight; and the control was combined with equal volumes of water. All soil samples were uniformly incubated in the dark for 7 days at 28 °C. Yellow brown soils inoculated with different gfp-NJAU 4742 spore concentrations of approximately 0, 10³, 10⁴, 10⁵, 10⁶, 10⁷, and 10⁸ conidia ml⁻¹, respectively, were further examined to verify the specificity of the primer pairs. Each concentration was assessed in triplicate. Total soil genomic DNA was extracted from 0.5 g soil samples using the PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio) according to the prescribed protocol. The concentration and quality of the DNA were determined using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Pot experiments and qPCR assay of strain NJAU 4742

The pepper pot experiment was performed in a greenhouse located in Huai'an, China (32° 43’ N, 118° 12’ E), from April to June 2014. The pepper seedlings were cultivated in ordinary nursery substrate and bio-nursery substrate, respectively. Bio-nursery substrate was produced by adding gfp-NJAU 4742 to ordinary nursery substrate to obtain a spore concentration in the novel product of more than 10⁷ conidia ml⁻¹. The pot experiment included the following four treatments: (a) OF treatment, soil amended with chicken manure compost and transplanted pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) seedlings from ordinary nursery substrate. (b) OFBS treatment, soil amended with chicken manure compost and transplanted pepper seedlings cultured from bio-nursery substrate. (c) BF treatment, soil amended with chicken manure compost and fill the strain gfp-NJAU 4742 spore solution into bulk soil, the final spore concentration in the bulk soil was 10⁶ conidia g⁻¹ dry soil, and transplanted seedlings from ordinary nursery substrate. (d) BFBS treatment, soil amended with chicken manure compost and fill the strain gfp-NJAU 4742 spore solution into bulk soil, the final spore concentration in the bulk soil was 10⁶ conidia g⁻¹, and transplanted pepper seedlings cultured from bio-nursery substrate. Pepper seedlings or “bio-seedlings” were transferred into pots with 5 kg soil and supplemented with 1.5% (w/w DW) chicken manure compost fertilizer. Each treatment had ten random independent replications. The chicken manure compost was produced by Nantong Huinong Co. Ltd, Jiangsu, China by composting chicken manure at 30–70 °C. Rhizosphere soil samples were obtained according to Bakker et al. (Bakker et al. 2015). Total numbers of gfp-NJAU 4742 were quantified by qPCR with primer pairs P6, P7, P11, and P12. Each sample was assessed in three replicates, and the results were expressed as lg (copies g⁻¹) dry soil.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by using the IBM SPSS 18.0 software program (IBM Corporation, New York, USA). All statistical tests performed in this study were
considered significant at $P < 0.05$. The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) with means compared by the Tukey test.

**Results**

**Primer design and evaluation of amplification efficiency**

The amplification of 14 cloned plasmids was tested using the PMD-19T universal sequence primer M13, and unique amplicons of the expected sizes were observed on agarose gel electrophoresis, indicating that all fragments produced from a total of 14 primer pairs, including ITS1, were successfully inserted (Additional file 3: Figure S1). The amplification efficiencies of all strain-specific primer pairs obtained in this study were tested by constructing a standard curve. The primer pairs P1, P2, P3, P4, P5 and P9 were excluded from further analysis because they showed low amplification efficiencies (data not shown). The standard curves obtained from primer pairs P6, P7, P8, P10, P11, P12, and P13 performed well, and their melting temperatures were approximately 76–83 °C with a single melting peak (Additional file 3: Figure S1). As expected, the melting curve obtained from primer ITS1 showed double peaks, suggesting that this primer is nonspecific in gfp-NJAU 4742 (Additional file 3: Figure S1). Thus, the remaining 7 pairs of primers, P6, P7, P8, P10, P11, P12 and P13, were used as candidates, and the optimal amplification conditions for each pair are shown in Table 2.

**Quantification of NJAU 4742 in soils**

As shown in Fig. 1, as expected, the primer pair ITS1, targeting ITS-encoding genes, showed higher copy numbers (Fig. 1), indicating that ITS1 produced a nonspecific amplification including other *Trichoderma* species existing in the original soil or amended externally. This result is consistent with the two peaks shown in the melting curve (Additional file 3: Figure S1). Meanwhile, the value of primer P10 is very close to that of ITS1, suggesting that nonspecific amplification was apparently also present in P10, which was discarded in subsequent experiments. Beyond that, there was no significant difference between the remaining 3 primer pairs (P6, P7, P8) and the 3 standard primer pairs (P11, P12, P13), which all showed a consistent range of copy numbers of gfp-NJAU 4742,

| Code | Optimum conditions | R²      | Slope   | Efficiency (%) |
|------|--------------------|---------|---------|----------------|
| P6   | 1 min incubation at 95 °C, 40 cycles consisting of 95 °C for 15 s and 62 °C for 34 s | 0.9986  | −3.2383 | 103.61         |
| P7   | 1 min incubation at 95 °C, 40 cycles consisting of 95 °C for 15 s and 62 °C for 34 s | 0.9967  | −3.2561 | 102.82         |
| P8   | 1 min incubation at 95 °C, 40 cycles consisting of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 34 s | 0.9989  | −3.3466 | 98.98          |
| P10  | 1 min incubation at 95 °C, 40 cycles consisting of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 34 s | 0.9994  | −3.3704 | 98.02          |
| P11  | 1 min incubation at 95 °C, 40 cycles consisting of 95 °C for 15 s and 62 °C for 34 s | 0.9958  | −3.2657 | 102.40         |
| P12  | 1 min incubation at 95 °C, 40 cycles consisting of 95 °C for 15 s and 62 °C for 34 s | 0.9973  | −3.251  | 103.05         |
| P13  | 1 min incubation at 95 °C, 40 cycles consisting of 95 °C for 15 s and 62 °C for 34 s | 0.9971  | −3.4038 | 96.69          |
| ITS1 | 1 min incubation at 95 °C, 40 cycles consisting of 95 °C for 15 s and 58 °C for 34 s | 0.9834  | −3.1986 | 105.42         |

![Fig. 1](image-url) Amounts of *T. guizhouense* NJAU 4742 in different soil types by qPCR using different primer pairs. Values are the means of three soil treatment replications using different strain-specific primers. The letters indicate significant differences among the primer pairs as determined by the Tukey test.
indicating that these primers are specific for detecting strain gfp-NJAU 4742. Moreover, soil samples from the water control were also subjected to qPCR using primers P6–P8 and P10–P13, and the CT values were all above 32, indicating that no gfp-NJAU 4742 existed in the original soil (data not shown).

**Quantitation of gfp-NJAU 4742 in soils with different inoculation concentrations**

The primer pairs P7 and P12 were selected to quantify the amounts of gfp-NJAU 4742 in initial and after 10 days soils with different concentrations of spores. The two primers both showed increasing trends with the spore concentrations added, and no significant difference was observed between the two primers, demonstrating that both primer pairs are sensitive and capable of accurately distinguishing the copy number (Fig. 2). Similar to the above detection, the CT values for the soil with zero and 10^2 conidia ml^-1 spore inoculations were both above 32 and thus were under the detection level (data not shown).

**Practical application of the quantification method in the pot experiments**

The copy number of gfp-NJAU 4742 was effectively detected using the primers P6, P7, P11, and P12 by qPCR in the OFBS, BF and BFBS treatments, respectively, and no copies were detected in the OF treatment (CT values were both above 32, data not shown) (Fig. 3). In the OFBS, BF and BFBS treatments, the copy number of gfp-NJAU 4742 in the rhizosphere was higher than that in the bulk soil, and the value in the OFBS treatment was the lowest among the three treatments regardless of rhizosphere or bulk soil. Copy numbers in the rhizosphere soils of the BFBS and BF treatments were similar, and the number in the bulk BFBS soil was slightly higher than that in BF. Furthermore, primers P6 and P7 showed similar copy numbers of the target strain, regardless of bulk or rhizosphere soil, in the OFBS, BF and BFBS treatments, and the values were consistent with those from primer pairs P11 and P12, showing that primer pairs P6 and P7 possessed the ability to effectively and sensitively monitor the target species in natural soil.

**Discussion**

In this study, strain-specific qPCR primers were explored based on two steps of comparative genome analysis and protocol to quantify *T. guizhouense* NJAU 4742, a plant growth-promoting fungus chosen as a model species. A rapid, sensitive and diagnostic test to confirm the presence of *Trichoderma* spp. inoculants in different

![Fig. 2 Amounts of T. guizhouense gfp-NJAU 4742 detected by qPCR using the primer pairs P7 and P12 in soils amended with different inoculation concentrations. Values are the means of three soil treatment replications using different strain-specific primers](image)
environments is essential, since an increasing number of farmers are recognizing the effects of *Trichoderma* not only in promoting plant root growth but also in protecting plant roots from soilborne pathogens (Cai et al. 2013, 2015; Chen et al. 2011; Xiong et al. 2017; Yang et al. 2011). Thus, the present study will provide a highly useful alternative for the detection of *Trichoderma* using strain-specific primers designed from the whole genome.

For strain-specific primer design, comparison of *T. guizhouense* NJAU 4742 whole genome with the NCBI and Joint Genome Institute (JGI) databases was performed to obtain nonoverlapping fragments (first comparison), which were further utilized to arrange a second comparison against the *T. harzianum* CBS 226-95 genome in a local database, resulting in 10 primer pairs. Whole genome sequences provide comprehensive information about an organism, and in the past decade, with advances in sequencing technology, the cost of sequencing has been greatly reduced; thus, genomics has become an important method in microbial research (Havlak et al. 2004). Similar to this study, strain-specific primer pairs were designed from specific regions of a bacterium, *Azospirillum brasilense* FP2, by aligning the draft genomic sequences of FP2 to the databases and a closely related strain, *A. brasilense* Sp245 (Stets et al. 2015). For *Trichoderma*, sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) markers have mostly been used for designing specific primers for biocontrol *Trichoderma* strains (e.g., biocontrol strain *T. harzianum* 2413 and *T. atroviride* 11); however, this method is derived from randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis and is very complicated and time consuming (Hermosa et al. 2001). Therefore, our findings suggest that direct comparison of the genomic sequences of closely related organisms is a rapid and reliable approach to detect specific DNA regions.

Next, three representative soil types, saline-alkali soil, yellow brown soil and red soil, were further selected and inoculated with strain *gfp*-NJAU 4742 to verify the specificity of the designed primer pairs in soil conditions. The *gfp*-NJAU 4742 strain, an Agrobacterium-mediated fluorescent protein-tagged strain of *T. guizhouense* NJAU 4742, was provided by our laboratory, Zhang et al. (2016), and using its inserted single-copy foreign fragments to design PCR primers as a measure of the accuracy of the primers designed from the NJAU 4742 genomic sequence is an important experimental basis and innovation of this study. This method is often used to distinguish and identify target strains in biological research (Zhang et al. 2016).
It is usually difficult to reproduce results from DNA extracted from soil compared to results obtained from pure DNA, which may be attributed to a number of factors, such as the presence of PCR inhibitors (e.g., tannins, humic acids, etc.) (Porteous and Armstrong 1991; Tsai and Olson 1992) and the large amount of DNA from other microorganisms in the soil. Thus, three soil types were selected in this study, and the results showed that gfp-NJAU 4742 could be detected and quantified in these three different soil types and that, indicating that the primes have a wide range of applicability and are sufficiently specific. In comparison to a previous study, which showed that T. harzianum could no longer be detected in soil using a strain-specific sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) when the specific strain was introduced as part of a mixture of 27 Trichoderma spp. strains (Rubio et al. 2005), the results of the present study demonstrated the specificity of primer pairs P6-P8 and robust colonization by the target strain.

In natural soils with different concentrations of gfp-NJAU 4742 spores added to the soil, the results using primers P7 and P12 showed an increase in gfp-NJAU 4742 copy number with increasing concentrations of spores amended, regardless of initial soil or after 10 days soil, and no significant difference between the two primer pairs were observed. It was found that the PCR amplification of DNA extracted directly from soil samples could be problematic (Cullen and Hirsch 1998). The variability may be due to the patchy distribution of the strain in soil samples and/or the presence of PCR-inhibitory compounds in the soil. Thus, although it is difficult to ascertain a direct relationship between the amount of DNA present in the soil and the amount detectable by the assay given the inclusion of a biological amplification step (Lees et al. 2002), signal reflecting the concentrations still can be detected by real-time assays using these kind of strain-specific qPCR primers.

Similarly, in pepper root, the copy numbers of gfp-NJAU 4742 can be determined by comparing P6 and P7 with each other or with the reference primers, P11 and P12. The stable colonization ability of strain NJAU 4742 in rhizosphere and bulk soils were recognized by qPCR with nonspecific primers (Cai et al. 2013, 2015; Chen et al. 2011), while the present study demonstrated its colonization ability by strain-specific qPCR primers. In addition, in the OF, OFBS and BFBS treatments, there was a significant difference in the number of copies of gfp-NJAU 4742. This result is perhaps due to their individual soil ecological environments and physicochemical properties (Couillerot et al. 2010a, b).

In conclusion, three strain-specific primer pairs, P6, P7 and P8, were successfully designed by using available genome sequence information to detect the number of T. guizhouense NJAU 4742 inoculated into different soil types and to monitor its population fluctuation after inoculation into pepper roots during a pot experiment, demonstrating that the designed primer pairs can be utilized in practice. The results from the pot experiment also confirmed the stable colonization of T. guizhouense NJAU 4742 in plant roots after inoculation. Thus, the strategy for designing strain-specific primers described here may theoretically be used for any other microbes for which the whole genome sequence is available in a database, and the qPCR methodology developed in this work is a generally applicable tool that may be used to further monitor the population dynamics of different strains based on the designed primers.

**Supplementary information**

**Supplementary information** accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-019-0904-4.

**Additional file 1.** Insertion sequence information used in this study.

**Additional file 2: Table S1.** Genome sequence information used in this study.

**Additional file 3: Figure S1.** Agarose gel electrophoresis for conventional PCR amplification of 14 cloned plasmids using the universal primer M13 (above) and fluorescence melting curves for strain T. guizhouense NJAU 4742 using 7 primer pairs and primer ITS1 (below).

**Acknowledgements**

The authors are thankful to Prof. Irina S. Druzhinina, Vienna University of Technology, for the gift of the T. harzianum CBS 226-95 strain.

**Authors’ contributions**

RL, QS, ZS designed and supervised the experiment. YZ executed the experiments. FC and JZ interpreted the results. QS and RL corrected the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding**

This research was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFD0200800), the National Key Basic Research Program of China (2015CB155000), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu (BK20160710), the Priority Academic Program Development of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD), the 111 project (B12009), the China Scholarship Council (award to Rong Li for 1 year’s abroad study), and Top-notch Academic Programs Project of Jiangsu Higher Education Institution (PYPY2015A061).

**Availability of data and materials**

All data and material are fully available without restriction. And the dataset supporting the conclusions of this article is included within the article.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Not applicable.

**Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Author details**

1. Jiangsu Provincial Key Lab of Solid Organic Waste Utilization, Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center of Solid Organic Wastes, Educational Ministry...
Engineering Center of Resource-saving fertilizers, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China. College of Resource and Environment, Anhui Science and Technology University, Bengbu 233100, Anhui, People's Republic of China. College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China.

Received: 7 January 2019 Accepted: 22 October 2019 Published online: 09 November 2019

References

Atkins SD, Clark IM, Sosnowska D, Hirsch PR, Kerry BR (2003) Detection and quantification of *Plectothecium cucumerinum*, a potential biological control agent of potato cyst nematodes, by using conventional PCR, real-time PCR, selective media, and baiting. Appl Environ Microbiol 69(8):4788–4793

Aziz NA, Salhani N, Sebesvari Z, Emmons H (2003) The potential of rhizosphere microbes isolated from a constructed wetland to biomethanate selenium. J Environ Qual 32(1):55–62

Baker MG, Chaparro JM, MANTER DK, Vivanco JM (2015) Impacts of bulk soil microbial community structure on rhizosphere microorganisms of Zea mays. Plant Soil 392(1–2):115–126

Bal A, Attiltasis S (2008) Effects of Trichoderma harzianum on lettuce in protected cultivation. J Cent Eur Agric 9(1):63–70

Bashan Y, Gonzalez LE (1999) Long-term survival of the plant-growth-promoting bacteria *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in dry agiline inoculant. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 51(2):262–266

Bates JA, Taylor EJA, Kenyon DM, Thomas JE (2001) The application of real-time PCR to the identification, detection and quantification of *Pyrenophora* species in barley seed. Mol Plant Pathol 2(1):49–57

Beaulieu R, Lopez-Mondéjar R, Tattarelli F, ROS M, Pascual JA (2011) qRT-PCR quantification of the biological control agent *Trichoderma harzianum* inpeat and compost-based growing media. Bioresour Technol 102(3):2793–2798

Böhm J, Hahn A, Schubert R, Bahnweg G, Adler N, Nechwatal J, Oehlmann R, Hagn A, Wallisch S, Radl V, Munch JC, Schloter M (2007) A new cultivation-independent approach to detect and monitor common *Trichoderma* species in soils. J Microbiol Methods 69(1):86–92

Haque MM, Ilias GNM, Molla AH (2012) Impact of *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer on the growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica rapa* L.) and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). Agriculturist 10(2):109–119

Harman GE, Howell CR, Viterbo A, Chet I, Lortalio M (2004) *Trichoderma* species—opportunistically, avirulent plant symbionts. Nat Rev Microbiol 2(1):43–56

Havlak P, Chen R, Durbin KJ, Egan A, Ren Y, Song XZ, Weinstock GM, Gibbs RA (2004) The atlas genome assembly system. Genome Res 14(4):721–732

Hermosa MR, Gondron I, Diaz-Muniz JM, Iturriaga EA, Monte E (2001) Development of a strain–specific SCAR marker for the detection of *Trichoderma atroviride* n1, a biological control agent against soilborne fungal plant pathogens. Curr Genet 38(6):343–350

Huang X, Chen L, Ran W, Shen Q, Yang X (2011) *Trichoderma harzianum* strain SQR-T37 and its bio-organic fertilizer could control *Rhizoctonia solani* damping-off disease in cucumber seedlings mainly by the mycoparasitism. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 91(3):741–755

Lees AK, Cullen DW, Sullivan L, Nicolson MJ (2002) Development of conventional and quantitative real-time PCR assays for the detection and identification of *Rhizoctonia solani* AG-3 in potato and soil. Plant Pathol 51(3):293–302

Liu QM, Chen X, Meng XH, Qi YE, Tuo LL, Liu DY, Shen QR (2017) Development of a new type of biological organic fertilizer and its effect on the growth promotion of tomato. Chin J Appl Ecol 28(10):3314–3322

López-Mondéjar R, Antón A, Radl S, ROS M, Pascual JA (2010) Quantification of the biocontrol agent *Trichoderma harzianum* with real-time TaqMan PCR and its potential extrapopulation to the hyphal biomass. Bioresour Technol 101(8):2888–2891

Martinezmedina A, Del MAM, Pascual JA, Van Wees SC (2014) Phytohormone profiles induced by *Trichoderma* isolates correspond with their biocontrol and plant growth-promoting activity on melon plants. J Chem Ecol 40(7):804–815

Porruos LA, Armstrong JL (1991) Recovery of bulk DNA from soil by a rapid, small-scale extraction method. Curr Microbiol 22(6):345–348

Przyłucka A, Akcapinar GB, Chenthamara K, Cai F, Fricuj M, Karpenko J, Livoi M, Shen Q, Kubicek CP, Druzhinina IS (2017) HFB7—A novel orphan hydrophobin of the *Harzianum* and *Virens* clades of *Trichoderma*, is involved in response to biotic and abiotic stresses. Fungal Genet Biol 102:63–76

Puttanna K, Rao EVSP, Parameswaran TN, Singh R, Kalra A (2010) Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers and *Trichoderma harzianum* on patchouli (*Pogostemon cablin*) herb yield. J Med Aromat Plant Sci 32:50–52

Renske L, Christiaan V, Thom WK, Hanna F, Karel W, Eric S (2003) Quantification of *ecmotychorum* mycelium in soil by real-time PCR compared to conventional quantification techniques. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 45(3):283–292

Ritz K (2007) The plate debate: cultivable communities have no utility in contemporary environmental microbial ecology. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 60(3):358–362

Rubo MB, Hermosa MR, Keck E, Monte E (2005) Specific PCR assays for the detection and quantification of DNA from the biocontrol strain *Trichoderma harzianum* 2413 in soil. Microb Ecol 49(1):25–33

Sarlin T, Vri-Mattila T, Jostoi M, Zizzo R, Avanem-Huhtala S, Haikara A (2006) Real-time PCR for quantification of *Toxicic Fusarium* species in barley and malt. Eur J Plant Pathol 114(4):371–380

Savazzini F, Longa CM, Pertot I, Gessler C (2008) Real-time PCR for detection and quantification of the biocontrol agent *Trichoderma atroviride* strain **SC1** in soil. J Microbiol Methods 75(3):739–750

Shoresh M, Harman GE, Mastouri F (2010) Induced systemic resistance and plant responses to fungal biocontrol agents. Annu Rev Phytopathol 48(1):21–43

Stets ML, Alqueires SM, Souza EM, Pedrosa FO, Schmid M, Hartmann A, Cruz LM (2015) Quantification of *Azospirillum brasilense* FP2 bacteria in...
wheat roots by strain-specific quantitative PCR. Appl Environ Microbiol 81(19):6700–6709
Trillas MI, Segarra G (2009) Chapter 8 interactions between nonpathogenic fungi and plants. Advances in botanical research. Elsevier, London, pp 321–359
Tsai YL, Olson BH (1992) Detection of low numbers of bacterial cells in soils and sediments by polymerase chain reaction. Appl Environ Microbiol 58(2):754–757
Williams J, Clarkson JM, Mills PR, Cooper RM (2003) A selective medium for quantitative reisolation of *Trichoderma harzianum* from *Agaricus bisporus* compost. Appl Environ Microbiol 69(7):4190–4191
Winton LM, Stone JK, Watrud LS, Hansen EM (2002) Simultaneous one-tube quantification of host and pathogen DNA with real-time polymerase chain reaction. Phytopathology 92(1):112–116
Xiong W, Guo S, Jousset A, Zhao Q, Wu H, Li R, Kowalchuk GA, Shen Q (2017) Bio-fertilizer application induces soil suppressiveness against Fusarium wilt disease by reshaping the soil microbiome. Soil Biol Biochem 114:238–247
Yang X, Chen L, Yong X, Shen Q (2011) Formulations can affect rhizosphere colonization and biocontrol efficiency of *Trichoderma harzianum* SQR-T037 against Fusarium wilt of cucumbers. Biol Fertil Soils 47(3):239–248
Zhang JH, Liu Y, Han YT, Pan CQ, Wang ZY, Zhang LL, Cui KX (2014) GFP genetic transformation of Magnaporthe grisea mediated by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. J Northeast Agric Univ 45(11):1–7
Zhang Y, Wen CY, Zhao MQ, Zhang M, Gao Q, Li R, Shen QR (2015) Isolation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria from pepper and development of bio-nursery substrates. J Nanjing Agric Univ 38(6):950–957
Zhang J, Bayram AG, Atanasova L, Rahimi MJ, Przylucka A, Yang D, Kubicek CP, Zhang R, Shen Q, Druzhinina IS (2016) The neutral metallopeptidase NMP1 of *Trichoderma guizhouense* is required for myctrophy and self-defence. Environ Microbiol 18(2):580–597
Zhang Y, Wang T, Sun Y, Hu G, Li R, Yu P, Shen Q (2017) Screening of plant growth-promoting Rhizobacteria from watermelon and development of bio-nursery substrates. Acta Pedol Sin 54(3):702–712

**Publisher’s Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.