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ABSTRACT

Union Digital Centres (UDC) have been inaugurated to accomplish the vision ‘Digital Bangladesh’ to empower rural people by providing digital services. The study attempts to assess the role of UDCs in reducing social inequality. It also tries to identify the barriers in providing digital services to the local disadvantaged people. For this purpose, the study exerted a cross-sectional mixed-method approach. Data were collected from 399 service receivers of UDC through a questionnaire survey, 16 KIIs and 5 FGDs. From principal component analyses, it is found that ensuring necessary information service (0.508) equal access for all and reducing time (0.681), cost and harassment of the people (0.778) play a significant role in reducing social inequality in rural areas. Logistic regression identified five factors that would reduce social inequality to a greater extent. The strongest factor is the equal accessibility of both male and female in receiving services and being entrepreneurs (OR=242.06, 95% CI 8.399-6976.93). KIIs and FGDs identified some challenges, including lack of awareness, conflict of job responsibility with UP secretaries, and weak network connection are the major barriers in providing effective services for UDCs. Still, UDCs bring a new dimension to the public service delivery system that empowers the people and reduces social inequalities in Bangladesh's rural areas.
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INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh is a developing country in South Asia with a huge population of 163 million
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Development is the key concern of the country where social inequality is regarded as the main barrier. From diverse dimensions, including economic, educational, gender, power, access to social facilities, social inequality emerges in Bangladesh (Islam et al., 2020). The unequal distribution of national resources is the main issue of increasing social inequality. It has been reported that only 10% of the richest people in the country occupy 38% of the resources (BBS, 2016). The disparity between rural and urban is a concerning issue in the country. Though 65% of the populace belong to the rural areas, they become deprived of all social opportunities than the urban dwellers (Islam et al., 2020; World Bank, 2016). The monthly income of an urban household is 80% higher than a rural one. Even 37% of rural people are illiterate and do not have proper technological knowledge (BBS, 2016; World Bank, 2016). They also suffer from cultural lack, hindering their access to information on their rights and technological benefits. This excludes the rural people from the national development process and deprives them of their rights (Hoque & Sorwar, 2015). For this Bangladesh Government insisted on acquainting the rural mass to the central development and initiated some participatory avenues through the Local Government. This includes the Open Budget, Wardshava, and Village Court. (Chowdhury, 2017). Nevertheless, without the availability and effective usage of information, social inequality cannot be removed. In line with this purpose, 4,554 UDCs have been established in the country under the Digital Bangladesh Policy, one at each Union Parishad, the lowest tier of the local government, to connect the rural masses with modernization and technological development through delivering services at their doorsteps (A2I, 2018). These UDCs are run by the local entrepreneurs with the host of Union Parishad (UP) and linked to the Access to Information (A2I) program under the Local Government Division (LGD) and Prime Minister’s Office (PMO) with the assistance of the United Nations Development Program (UNDP) (Faroqi 2015; Hasnayen & Sultana 2016). This project is based on a public-private partnership to provide 150+ public and private services by two entrepreneurs, including one male and one female. Until now, 9,094 entrepreneurs became self-employed through UDCs (A2I, 2018; Bakshi & Rahman 2016; Faroqi & Siddiquee 2017). In our country, about 200 million services are provided per year by UDC, which includes 3.2 million citizens, of whom 62,266 physically challenged people, 949,120 women, and 16,160 religious or ethnic minorities (BBS 2014). Union Digital Centre brings government, social and commercial services to the doorsteps of the rural people by reducing the time by 85%, cost 63%, and visit 40%. It aims to promote social equality by ensuring the participation of the poor, women, and marginalized people and reducing the digital divide (Dewan & Nazmin 2017; Faroqi 2015). However, a question arises whether the UDCs are contributing to fulfilling its inauguration aim of reducing social inequality and benefitting the rural masses with technological advancement. This
study is an attempt to contribute to exploration. It intends to assess the role of union digital centres in reducing social inequality and identifying barriers to providing digital services to the local underprivileged people.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

This study discusses the Union Digital Centre (UDC) and considers the literature that demonstrates the electronic services toward reducing social inequality in the rural arena. The viewpoint of Information and Communication Technology for Development (ICT4D) considers ICTs a powerful tool to bring socio-economic development with tremendous potential support for the world's poorest populations (Gutterman et al. 2009). ICT also has been improving dramatically from previous decades in the term of easy and enhanced access to services, reduced time, costs, harassment and corruption in service deliveries (Bhatnagar 2009; Kumar & Best 2006). In the age of ICT, telecentre is a great innovation, similar to the UDC, which helps access the internet and services for remote and isolated communities (Char land and slums) toward leveraging economic growth and enhancing the quality of life (Proenxa 2001; Hanna 2010). Besides, Li and Feeney (2014) stated that social inequalities would be significantly reduced when ensuring the e-services in the communities and people engage with them.

Ferdousi & Dehai conducted a study about general problems in the rural area of Bangladesh in (2014) and mentioned that approximately 63.37 per cent of people in Bangladesh live in a rural area, most of them face different problems such as corruption in land offices, irregularities in birth and date certificates, hassle for paying the electricity bill and other services' payments etc. due to being illiterate, lack of proper information and lagging in terms of utilizing computer and internet. Besides, they are also confronted with bitter reality in public offices, such as the absence of service providers, unusual delay, and intimidation if bribes are not paid and sometimes forced to pay extra costs and visits (Bhatnagar 2004). Sarker (2013), Faroqi and Siddiquee (2011) added that service users often reside in remote rural areas who need to travel to these locations to visit public officials for obtaining public information and services, such as copies of public documents, social benefits, or education, health and agriculture services, or to know market values and prices. To minimize these difficulties, the government of Bangladesh formed the Union Digital Centre in each Union Parishad for providing one-stop services.

Archmannand Iglesias (2010) mentioned that at present, we live in modern societies, also referred to as knowledge-based societies, which are highly dependent on information, providing the ability to comprehend those possibilities by overcoming conventional distance or physical space barriers. Some literature has found that innovation can help reduce the asymmetry of information and empower rural people in developing countries by getting this information. (Cecchini and Scott, 2003). People in developing countries generally depend on traditional approaches and sources that are easily available such as
travel to government office, word-of-mouth from other local people, relatives, NGOs and social voluntaries organization and religious or local organizers, and personal contacts to get national and local information (Roberts and Kernick, 2006; Ferris et al., 2008; Aker, 2008). Besides, Islam and Gronlund (2010) conducted a study on the Agriculture Market Information System (AMIS) in Bangladesh, and the result showed that it is possible to reduce social inequality through effective e-services based on people’s information needs, incorporating easily accessible technologies and smart communication with the respective community.

Sarker performed a study on digital Bangladesh (2013) and showed that UDCs’ services minimize cost, distance and time, eliminate intermediaries' problems, conflict and improve accessibility, accountability and transparency in the provision of information or services delivery. For example, he also stated that a peasant in a distant area could know about the appropriate use of fertilizers and pesticides and receive timely input from relevant experts in the public sector. Moreover, even a villager can apply for land records and registration, birth certificates and other services without repeated visits to offices today.

However, socio-economic development goals like reducing unemployment, poverty and inequality, creating new jobs and market work for poor people, health, agricultural and educational facilities promotion, and rapid and easy access to public services can be achieved by properly utilizing and developing electronic services (Rahman 2016). Many researchers found a significant relationship between reducing social inequalities and digital service delivery (Sarker 2013; Faroqi and Siddiquee 2011; Zaman 2015; Rahman 2016; Ullah 2017). However, the previous literature review has found that social inequalities can be reduced by adopting new technologies and e-services and little discussion on the particular innovation. Four indicators were taken from different studies to measure the quality of digital service, namely access to e-service, financial mobility, public accountability, and participation (Ullah 2017; Narayan 2005). If these four dimensions provide positive results, then we can say that UDC is providing quality service. Then a relationship will be drawn between the reduction of social inequality and service delivery of UDC.

DATA & METHODOLOGY

This study exerted a cross-sectional mixed approach that accumulated the advantage of qualitative and quantitative research methods. This method explored the role of Union Digital Centers (UDC) in reducing social inequality and identifying barriers in fulfilling this objective. For the study, the researchers collected necessary information with the help of primary and secondary data collection tools. Primary data were received by random sampling technique by using 16 KIIs among Union officials and UDC Entrepreneurs, 5 FGD from people of different occupations and 399 structured survey questionnaires from the service receiver of the UDC centres. Since the total number of services received
from UDC this year was unknown to researchers, Godden's formula was used to ordain the size of the sample.

\[
n = \frac{Z^2 \times P(1-P)}{M^2} = 384.16 \approx 384
\]

Here,
- \( n \) = Sample Size for infinite population (more than 50,000)
- \( Z \) = Z value (e.g., 1.96 for 95% confidence level)
- \( P \) = Percentage of picking up a response (expressed as a decimal) (assumed to be 0.5 (50%) that ensures the maximum sample size).
- \( M \) = Margin of Error at 5% (0.05)

Fifteen additional respondents were taken to reduce the likelihood of unresponsive error. Primary data were conducted from 10 September to 5 October 2020. The study survey and interviews included 3 UDCs through a convenient sampling method, presenting three administrative divisions. The survey questionnaire consists of 11 close-ended questions using a 5-point Likert scale to get the best response on UDC beneficiaries at the union level. Participants were asked about their demographic information, knowledge of the services received and response regarding accessibility, participation, accountability and economic mobility.

Secondary data was collected using inductive document analysis from various journals, newspapers, government and non-government reports, books, magazines, and documents focusing on the UDC in the Union Parishad of Bangladesh. For the analysis of this data, the researchers employed a thematic method that helps the researchers to define and follow the basic concept of the collected information.

A reliability test was performed to verify the internal accuracy of the questionnaire, and the Cronbach alpha score was 0.701, which met Nunnally's recommendation. Next, the sphericity test by Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) and Bartlett was used and also found to be significant (0.774) for ten variables. Then the researchers used Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to reduce the variables' dimension and identify the most significant factors of UDC services to ensure people's satisfaction at UDC in Bangladesh. At last, the researchers used logistic regression analysis to explain the impact of different variables to reduce social inequality. The dependent variable was converted into a two-point scale where strongly disagree, disagree, and neutral points were considered "No", and others are considered "Yes" for conducting binary logistic regression. The Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 25 has been used for this paper to analyze collected survey data.

**RESULTS**

This study attempts to assess the role of union digital centres in reducing social inequality...
and to identify barriers in fulfilling this aim. For this purpose, the data were collected from the citizens of Union Parishad, and principal component analysis and correlation analysis were conducted to analyze the data.

**Table 1:** Demographic characteristics of the respondents

| Characteristics of the respondents | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Age category                      |           |         |
| 18-25                             | 87        | 21.8    |
| 26-35                             | 131       | 32.8    |
| 36-45                             | 76        | 19.0    |
| 46-55                             | 52        | 13.0    |
| 56 to more                        | 53        | 13.3    |
| Sex                               |           |         |
| Male                              | 277       | 69.4    |
| Female                            | 122       | 30.6    |
| Farmer                            | 75        | 18.8    |
| Housewife                         | 90        | 22.6    |
| Occupation                        |           |         |
| Farmer                            | 75        | 18.8    |
| Housewife                         | 90        | 22.6    |
| Service holders                   | 58        | 14.5    |
| Students                          | 43        | 10.8    |
| Businessmen                       | 103       | 25.8    |
| Day labourer                      | 30        | 7.5     |
| 0-10000                           | 252       | 63.2    |
| Income range                      |           |         |
| 10000-20000                       | 88        | 22.1    |
| 20000-30000                       | 41        | 10.3    |
| 30000-above                       | 17        | 4.3     |
| Know about UDC services           |           |         |
| Yes                               | 372       | 93.2    |
| 0-2                               | 306       | 76.7    |
| 5-above                           | 23        | 5.8     |

The respondents' demographic characteristics show that most of the service receivers are male (69.4%) with a monthly income of ten thousand or less (63.2%). It also shows that most people know about UDC's services (93.2%), but only 23.3% of people have visited UDC more than three times.

**Table 2:** Total variance explained by the obtained factors

| Component          | Initial Eigenvalues | Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings |
|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                    | Total               | % of variance | Cumulative % | Total | % of variance | Cumulative % |
| 1                  | 2.911               | 27.720        | 27.720       | 1.742 | 17.421        | 17.421       |
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| Component | Initial Eigenvalues | Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings |
|-----------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
|           | Total | % of variance | Cumulative % | Total | % of variance | Cumulative % |
| 2         | 1.185 | 11.282 | 39.002 | 1.689 | 16.892 | 34.313 |
| 3         | 1.125 | 10.715 | 49.717 | 1.362 | 13.622 | 47.935 |
| 4         | 1.089 | 10.372 | 60.089 | 1.126 | 11.260 | 59.195 |
| 5         | .882  | 8.8398 | 68.488 |
| 6         | .785  | 7.474  | 75.962 |
| 7         | .723  | 6.886  | 82.847 |
| 8         | .666  | 6.340  | 89.187 |
| 9         | .590  | 5.620  | 94.807 |
| 10        | .545  | 5.193  | 100.00 |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

![Scree Plot](image.png)

**Figure 1**: Scree plot for dimension reduction

**Table 3**: Rotated component matrix for identifying the significant variables

| Peoples' trust in UDC for receiving e-services | Mean | Component 1 | Component 2 | Component 3 | Component 4 |
|-----------------------------------------------|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                               | 3.53 | 0.066       | 0.124       | 0.803       | 0.045       |
| UDC ensures necessary information services to | 3.53 | 0.508       | 0.187       | 0.161       | 0.069       |
| the people easily                            |      |             |             |             |             |
| Grass root people have easy accessibility in | 3.18 | -0.046      | 0.698       | 0.318       | 0.220       |
| getting the desired e-service                |      |             |             |             |             |
The dimensions of the variables are diminished into four factors (Eigenvalue>1) by principal component analysis. Thus, 59.195% of the total observed variation can be explained by describing these four factors.

The rotated component matrix presents the variables under four factors after rotation. Ensuring necessary information service (0.508), equal access for all (0.681) and reducing time, cost and harassment of the people (0.770) are loaded in factor 1 with high factor loadings that indicate the accessibility of the citizens to the ICT service of UDC. Grassroots people getting desired services (0.698), equal opportunity for both male and female to become entrepreneurs (0.619), empowering citizens (0.625) are loaded in factor 2 with high factor loadings that indicates the participation of the citizens. People trust UDC (0.803), and the service providers being friendly, helpful and accountable (0.655) are loaded in factor 3 with high factor loadings that indicates accountability to the citizens. Fees paid for the service are within the ability of the citizens (0.903) is loaded in factor 4 with high factor loadings that indicate economic mobility of the people. Results show that the UDC effectively reduces social inequality by providing ICT services to the rural people as the mean of all the components is above the neutral value 3. However, some challenges are also identified that hampered the effectiveness of UDC in reducing social inequality.

|                                    | Mean | Component 1 | Component 2 | Component 3 | Component 4 |
|------------------------------------|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| UDC ensures equal access to ICT facilities for all people | 3.45 | 0.681       | 0.154       | 0.194       | 0.018       |
| Male and female have equal access to be both service receivers and entrepreneurs in UDC | 3.23 | 0.311       | 0.619       | -0.323      | -0.186      |
| The service providers are friendly, helpful and accountable to the service receivers | 3.42 | 0.361       | 0.023       | 0.655       | -0.040      |
| UDC service providers deliver services with competency and within time | 3.17 | 0.047       | 0.589       | 0.113       | 0.419       |
| The service delivery of UDC reduces distance, time, cost and harassment of general people | 3.57 | 0.770       | 0.015       | -0.008      | 0.201       |
| The amount of fees paid for each service of UDC is under the ability of the grass root people | 3.21 | 0.214       | 0.085       | -0.012      | 0.903       |
| The UDC empowers citizens to control their life by providing the required knowledge. | 3.51 | 0.382       | 0.625       | 0.072       | -0.049      |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.
One of the KIIIs expressed,

"Lack of awareness among rural people is a major barrier for reducing social inequality through UDC service. It is a matter of hope that the state of awareness among rural people is gradually improving." (Personal communication, 30 September 2020)

A participant of the FGD also supported by others reveals that,

"Nepotism is seen in selecting the entrepreneurs of UDC, and sometimes the female entrepreneurs are ignored." (Personal communication, 2 October 2020)

One of the KIIIs said,

“"The people who live near the union Parishad office can get all the services of UDC easily. However, the people living in distance area go to the UDC only for an important task like getting a birth certificate, online porcha and online application purpose." (Personal communication, 30 September 2020)

Table 4: Logistic regression that assessed the effectiveness of UDC in reducing social inequality

| Peoples’ trust in UDC for receiving e-services (Ref= Strongly agree) | Sig  | Exp (B), OR | 95% C.I. for EXP(B) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------|------------|---------------------|
| Strongly disagree                                             | .004 | .028       | .002                | .318 |
| Disagree                                                      | .055 | 3.564      | .975                | 13.028 |
| Neutral                                                       | .149 | 2.189      | .756                | 6.334 |
| Agree                                                         | .003 | 4.217      | 1.614               | 11.018 |
| UDC ensures necessary information services to the people easily (Ref= Strongly agree) | .011 |            |                     |
| Strongly disagree                                             | .579 | 2.337      | .117                | 46.689 |
| Disagree                                                      | .739 | 1.241      | .348                | 4.431 |
| Neutral                                                       | .021 | .264       | .085                | .815 |
| Agree                                                         | .119 | .445       | .161                | 1.233 |
### Male and female have equal access to be both service receivers and entrepreneurs in UDC (Ref= Strongly agree)

| Response          | Sig  | Exp (B), OR | 95% C.I. for EXP(B) |
|-------------------|------|-------------|---------------------|
| Strongly disagree | .000 | 242.069     | 8.399 - 6976.931    |
| Disagree          | .001 | 1.070       | .367 - 3.119        |
| Neutral           | .901 | .496        | .182 - 1.353        |
| Agree             | .053 | 2.683       | .986 - 7.306        |

### The service delivery of UDC reduces distance, time, cost and harassment of general people (Ref= Strongly agree)

| Response          | Sig  | Exp (B), OR | 95% C.I. for EXP(B) |
|-------------------|------|-------------|---------------------|
| Strongly disagree | .06  | .201        | .024 - 1.713        |
| Disagree          | .142 | .242        | .089 - .657         |
| Neutral           | .975 | 1.017       | .353 - 2.932        |
| Agree             | .046 | .406        | .168 - .985         |

### The UDC empowers citizens to control their life by providing the required knowledge. (Ref= Strongly agree)

| Response          | Sig  | Exp (B), OR | 95% C.I. for EXP(B) |
|-------------------|------|-------------|---------------------|
| Strongly disagree | .001 | .637        | .047 - 8.655        |
| Disagree          | .001 | .172        | .062 - .478         |
| Neutral           | .752 | 1.167       | .447 - 3.049        |
| Agree             | .672 | .831        | .354 - 1.953        |

Model fit: \( x^2 = 20.317 \), 8 degree of freedom

Logistic regression was conducted to assess the impact of different components in reducing social inequality. This model can explain between 30.6% (Cox and Snell R square) and 40.8% (Nagelkerke R square) of the variance that correctly classified 74.9% of cases. Findings show that 5 out of 10 components significantly impact the dependent variable reducing social inequality (\( P<0.05 \)). The result shows that shifting response from strongly disagree (OR=.028, 95% CI .002-.318) to strongly agree regarding peoples’ trust in UDC would reduce social inequality. Similar results are perceived by shifting responsibility to the same scale for other variables too. Observing the OR, it is seen that the strongest predictor for reducing social inequality would be ensuring equal access for
both male and female in receiving service and being entrepreneurs (OR=242.06, 95% CI 8.399-6976.93).

**DISCUSSION**

Information and Technology serve as a powerful tool in improving the service delivery system in a developing country like Bangladesh. Over the last few decades, the development of the ICT sector has enabled innovations like UDC to reduce corruption, harassment, and inequality in society. In such a situation, the implementation of UDC at the union level is a landmark step of the Government of Bangladesh. The role of UDC has been widely praised at all levels for ensuring financial sustainability and public accountability by creating new entrepreneurs and eliminating social inequality. The study found that the service delivery of UDC reduced distance, time, cost and harassment of general people. Different previous studies also validate the above finding as Dewan (2017), and Faroqi (2015) mentioned that UDCs reduce 85%-time, 63% cost, and 40% visit of the rural people (Dewan 2017; Faroqi 2015). The study also explored that UDC enhanced citizen empowerment and grass-root people’s accessibility to digital services in rural areas by providing necessary information to the mass people. The finding showed that UDC helped create social awareness and ensured the people's citizen right by providing public services. Before installing UDC, most villagers had to face various harassments to get birth certificates, pamphlets, online forms, and pay bills (Fersousi 2014). The villagers used to go to the district council and faced the bitter reality of government services. Previous studies show that the absence of service providers, unusual delays, intimidation, bribery, and various complications negatively affect the local level of public services (Sarkar 2013; Farooqi 2011). The study asserted that the poor are interested in various government services through the cash mobility of the financial service of the government. However, the use of e-service is a challenging issue for the marginalized group and villagers now.

This paper found that UDC increased public accessibility, which in turn improved citizen's trust in government services. At the same time, people from all walks of life, regardless of the rich and the poor, have an equal right to get e-services from UDC. Not only this, but UDC has also played a vital role in creating grassroots entrepreneurs in the villages. A previous study revealed that about 9000 entrepreneurs and 30000 local people took training to develop their career goals from the UDC centres (Baksi 2016). Besides, every UDC is managed under two entrepreneurs (one male and one female), eliminating gender inequality, which has greatly increased gender preference in UDC service at the union level. At the same time, the finding explored that the service providers are becoming more friendly, helpful and accountable to the service receivers by introducing the UDC.

The UDC's e-services at the local level have created a technological awareness among the rural people that have created a positive perception of digital services among the people. About 200 million services are provided per year, including 3.2 million people in Bangladesh (BBS 2014). This study presented that UDC has reduced
corruption, bribery, harassment, and inequality by ensuring the accountability of public officials and local government. In the past, only the dominant people of the village got services from the union level, and the poor fell into the hands of various brokers and were deprived of getting proper services. To look for the benefit of UDC, the study has got that UDC can reduce the information gap between rural and urban people. About 62,266 physically challenged people, 949,120 women and 16,160 ethnic minorities have received service from the UDC (BBS 2014). Nepotism in selecting entrepreneur at UDC is one of the main challenges of reducing social inequality. Results demonstrate that the dominant power structure of the villages will be broke by the effective implementation of UDC services. This positive wave of change will reduce social inequality from every corner of rural Bangladesh.

**CONCLUSION**

Union Digital Centre has prepared a new avenue for the rural people in Bangladesh. Effective digital service delivery can empower general people by providing necessary information on agriculture, education, land, health, law. UDC brought these services to the doorsteps of the citizens in a reduced time, cost and visit. Evidence suggested that citizen empowerment by reducing the information gap, grassroots people's easy accessibility, and quality service delivery with competency help reduce social inequality through effective UDC services. It focused on the people's trust in UDC services, friendly behaviour and accountability of the entrepreneurs, gender equality, and quality service at an affordable price to gain social equality through effective and efficient UDC services. On the other hand, lack of awareness among the rural people about digital services, nepotism in entrepreneur's selection, a continuous conflict between Union Parishad secretary and UDC entrepreneur, and infrastructural incapacity of UDC are identified as barriers to fulfilment this aim.

Despite revealing these results, this study has some limitations like every other research that created barriers to effective and neutral outcomes. The main drawback of this research is that the study could not be extended to all the UDCs of the country. In addition, this study was time-consuming and labour-intensive. On the contrary, data collection based on gender balance would provide a broad perception of this issue. Despite these limitations, this study brought a clearer picture of the role of Union Digital Centre in reducing social inequality.

This study suggested some policy implication to overcome the challenges in reducing social inequality at the local level. First, the Union Parishad should arrange an awareness campaign with the help of Upazila Parishad for the publicity of UDC services to the general people. When people know how easily they can avail of different government and personal services from one place at a minimal cost, they will be amazed. The popularity of the local government representatives will also rise because of this promotion. Second, electricity is a big problem in rural Bangladesh; the UDC centres should add an alternative source of electricity such as UPS or electricity generator for continuous service provision with the financial assistance of Union Parishad. This will
reduce the uncertainty of getting service and develop trust among general people. Third, study to measure service quality for which other significant models were compromised.
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