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Abstract

**Background:** The filamentous fungus *Aspergillus niger* is an exceptionally efficient producer of organic acids, which is one of the reasons for its relevance to industrial processes and commercial importance. While it is known that the mechanisms regulating this production are tied to the levels of ambient pH, the reasons and mechanisms for this are poorly understood.

**Methods:** To cast light on the connection between extracellular pH and acid production, we integrate results from two genome-based strategies: A novel method of genome-scale modeling of the response, and transcriptome analysis across three levels of pH.

**Results:** With genome scale modeling with an optimization for extracellular proton-production, it was possible to reproduce the preferred pH levels for citrate and oxalate. Transcriptome analysis and clustering expanded upon these results and allowed the identification of 162 clusters with distinct transcription patterns across the different pH-levels examined. New and previously described pH-dependent *cis*-acting promoter elements were identified. Combining transcriptome data with genomic coordinates identified four pH-regulated secondary metabolite gene clusters. Integration of regulatory profiles with functional genomics led to the identification of candidate genes for all steps of the *pal/pacC* pH signalling pathway.

**Conclusions:** The combination of genome-scale modeling with comparative genomics and transcriptome analysis has provided systems-wide insights into the evolution of highly efficient acidification as well as production process applicable knowledge on the transcriptional regulation of pH response in the industrially important *A. niger*. It has also made clear that filamentous fungi have evolved to employ several offensive strategies for out-competing rival organisms.
much as 95% of the available carbon to organic acids, making it a viable process for producing bulk chemicals [1]. The evolutionary strategy behind this trait remains obscure, but one of several hypotheses suggests that the secretion of acids helps degrade the plant cell walls on which the saprotrophic fungus thrives, that it slows the growth of competing organisms, and that the organic acids chelate sparse trace metals and make them available to the fungus [2].

The production of organic acids by A. niger has been shown in several studies to be dependent on ambient pH. Oxalic acid production is most efficient at pH 5 to 8 and is completely absent below pH 3.0 [3]. Gluconic acid production is optimal at pH 5.5, but it is found at all levels of pH from 2 through 8 [4,5]. Citric acid production begins at pH 3.0 and is optimal just below pH 2.0 [1,6]. This suggests that an evolutionary process has selected for production of a given acid at different pH values. In this context, the work of Ruijter et al. [3] is interesting. They showed that a mutant strain of A. niger, deficient in producing gluconic acid and oxalic acid, produces citric acid at an optimum pH of 5 and without the demand for an Mn2+-deficient medium, which is normally essential for the production of citric acid. This suggests that the aforementioned evolution of acid production has resulted in a sophisticated system of preferred acids as a function of ambient pH, which even ensures that another acid is produced when conditions are unfavorable for production of the preferred acid.

To improve our understanding of these systemic behaviors, we have adopted a genome-scale-based strategy founded on the integration of multiple types of genome-wide data (‘omics’), particularly genome-scale modeling, functional genomics, and transcriptomics.

This approach allowed us to formulate the hypothesis that A. niger strives to produce - at a given pH - the organic acid that most efficiently acidifies the medium. To test this hypothesis, the model of A. niger metabolism presented by Andersen et al. [7] was expanded with reactions describing the average number of protons released from one mole of a given acid at a given pH, based on acid disassociation constants (Figure 1). This allows the use of mathematical optimization principles coupled with the knowledge of metabolic pathways, and thereby computationally determining the most efficient way of producing protons to acidify the surrounding medium as a function of pH. If these computations are in agreement with the pH dependencies of the organic acids as well as identification of secondary metabolite clusters responding to pH. Furthermore, the pacC/palAB-CFHI system, a conserved fungal signal-transduction and transcriptional-regulation system, described in detail for A. nidulans and partially conserved in Saccharomyces cerevisiae [15,16], was examined, and likely orthologues were found and confirmed to have similar transcriptomic profiles in A. niger.

Given that A. niger can grow stably at pH values ranging from below 2 to above 8 [14], it is reasonable to expect sophisticated transcriptional regulation. To use this, putative pH-dependent cis-acting regulatory motifs were identified. With genetic engineering of promoter regions, this may be applied to induce the production of a given gene product at the pH of the process. Another analysis was on the production of organic acids as well as identification of secondary metabolite clusters responding to pH. Furthermore, the pacC/palAB-CFHI system, a conserved fungal signal-transduction and transcriptional-regulation system, described in detail for A. nidulans and partially conserved in Saccharomyces cerevisiae [15,16], was examined, and likely orthologues were found and confirmed to have similar transcriptomic profiles in A. niger.

Results
Reproducing pH-dependent acid production in silico
A previously described genome-scale stoichiometric model of A. niger metabolism [7] was expanded, as described in Materials and methods. Acid production was simulated from pH
1.5 through 6.5 by using two different strategies: either optimization for maximal biomass production coupled to acid generation, or optimization for maximal proton generation with a fixed biomass production. The model was allowed us to use acetate, oxalate, lactate, malate, succinate, citrate, and gluconate to acidify the medium, all acids that have been observed in fermentations in our laboratory or that have been reported to be produced by A. niger. For each set of simulations, the acids were removed one at a time, to explore the order in which the A. niger simulation preferred to produce the different acids at the investigated values of pH. For complete modeling results, see Additional data files 1 and 2.

Interestingly, if all acids are included, the simulations predict oxalate as the only produced acid throughout the spectrum of pH. This is in agreement with the observation of Ruijter et al. [3] (and the physiological characterization in the experiments described later) that oxalate is the preferred acid in a strain capable of producing all acids.

Ruijter et al. [3] also reported that the production of oxalate peaks above pH 5.5, and as the calculations of Figure 1 show, this is the value at which oxalate is fully dissociated, and the value of pH at which the effect of producing oxalate for acidifying the medium levels out. The modeling results are thus in very good agreement with the hypothesis that oxalate is produced to acidify the medium, and this explains how this trait has evolved.

One could think that because oxaloacetate hydrolase - the only enzyme producing oxalic acid in A. niger [17] - forms 1 mole of acetate for every mole of oxalate, acetate should also appear as a product in the model simulations. However, acetate formation is not seen, meaning that the simulations predict that it is more energetically efficient to remetabolize this acetate than to use it for acidification of the medium. This is in agreement with the report by Ruijter et al. [3] that A. niger catabolizes acetate at a rate sufficient to prevent its formation during production of oxalate.

However, this initial modeling did not predict how oxalate production diminishes drastically below pH 3 [3] (Pedersen et al. [2] reported this limit to be below pH 4), suggesting that it is due, not to inefficient acidification of the medium, but to some other factor. To simulate this, the model was adjusted to disallow medium acidification by oxalate below pH 3 (modeling results in Figure 2).

With this model, it was found that for both modeling strategies at pH levels of 1.5 to 2.5, citrate is the optimal acid for medium acidification when oxalate cannot be produced. This is the same interval used for industrial production of citric acid [1]. The necessity of the absence of oxalate production may be one reason for which very low levels of manganese are required for citrate production. Oxaloacetate hydrolase (OahA) is dependent on manganese and has a high affinity for the metal (K_m for Mn^2+ is 4 µM [2]). Deletion of oahA in the work of Ruijter et al. [3] replicates this effect of manganese depletion, thereby inducing citrate production.

Further simulations removing proton-producing acids one by one from the model (see Additional data files 1 and 2) indicates that the pH interval of 1.5 to 2.5 is the only area where citrate is the most optimal acid, indicating how this pH preference may have evolved. Another interesting finding was that gluconate is not produced in any versions of the model unless production reactions for all other acids are removed. Because of the optimization criterion of the model, these calculations show that production of gluconate is not an energy-efficient method of acidifying the medium. It therefore seems likely that the efficient conversion of glucose to gluconate by A. niger has evolved not as a way of acidifying the medium, but rather as a mechanism to make rapidly glucose unavailable to competing organisms. In this context, it is interesting to note that the gluconate production is more efficient around pH 5.5, a pH level at which many fast-growing bacteria have their pH optimum.

Physiological studies
To expand on the in silico predictions for organic acid production with in vivo experiments, and to gain information on other pH-dependent aspects of fungal metabolism, batch fermentations of A. niger BO-1 were performed in triplicates at three different pH values (2.5, 4.5, and 6.0). For each fermentation, samples were taken for determination of sugar and acid concentrations. Profiles of the cultures are shown in Figure 3. Examination of Figure 3 shows that the biomass yield decreases with increasing pH. The final biomass concentration measured decreases from 9.80 ± 0.42 g/L over 6.20 ± 1.05 g/L to 4.81 ± 0.52 g/L as pH increases (average ± standard deviation). This is due to a reciprocal increase in the produced acids. Most predominant among these is gluconate production, which is not found at all at pH 2.5, but reaches as much as 10 g/L at pH 6.0. An increase in oxalate production
of roughly a factor of two also is seen with each step of pH increase. Finally, pH does not seem to have an effect on the citrate production in these cultivations. This is not surprising, as manganese was added to ensure reproducible filamentous growth for the transcriptome analysis. As Dai et al. [18] showed, Mn²⁺ concentrations of 1,000 parts per billion (ppb) (the same as in the cultivation medium) ensures filamentous growth; however, this diminishes citrate production. Additionally, citrate production is known to be limited at glucose concentrations below 2.5% [19]. Citrate concentrations are low in all batches, and the citrate-production profile is by far the least-reproducible trait across the triplicates.

From each of the nine fermentations, samples were taken for transcriptome analysis. Table 1 presents a summary of the growth and fermentation-broth composition at the time of sampling for transcriptome analysis. All cultures were inoculated at pH 2.5 and increased at the beginning of the growth phase if needed (see Methods for details).

Table 1
Sugar, acid, and biomass concentrations for A. niger cultivations at three levels of pH

| pH | Time (h)  | $\mu_{\text{max}}$ (h⁻¹) | Biomass (g/L) | Glucose (g/L) | Citrate (g/L) | Oxalate (g/L) | Gluconate (g/L) |
|----|-----------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| 2.5| 22.77 ± 0.40 | 0.21 ± 0.01 | 4.68 ± 0.16 | 8.93 ± 1.84 | 0.01 ± 0.01 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 |
| 4.5| 22.72 ± 0.63 | 0.21 ± 0.01 | 4.40 ± 0.47 | 7.87 ± 1.97 | 0.05 ± 0.05 | 0.02 ± 0.02 | 2.39 ± 3.05 |
| 6.0| 21.47 ± 0.69 | 0.22 ± 0.02 | 3.71 ± 0.42 | 5.24 ± 1.21 | 0.02 ± 0.03 | 0.07 ± 0.05 | 6.36 ± 1.90 |

Biomass (dry weight), sugar, and acid concentrations for A. niger cultivations at three levels of pH at the time of sampling for transcriptome analysis. The calculated maximal specific growth rate is indicated. Values are shown as average ± standard deviations for three replicates.
(except for gluconate production) was measured in the medium at the time of the mRNA sampling. The sampling time was chosen to be in midexponential phase, as the cell is in a reproducible pseudo-steady state at this time, thus describing pH-dependent mechanisms most reproducibly. Later sampling could result in an increased effect from extracellular acids.

**Transcriptome analysis**

Samples were taken from the bioreactor cultivations for transcriptome analysis. All cultures were growing as dispersed hyphal mycelium. See Table 1 and Figure 3 for details of sampling times and conditions. Data from the three biologic triplicates at pH 2.5, 4.5, and 6.0 were statistically analyzed, and genes that are significantly regulated (Benjamini-Hochberg corrected Bayesian $P$ values $< 0.05$) in pair-wise comparisons between two pH levels were identified.

A surprisingly large number of genes (6,228) were identified to show significant differences in transcription levels in one or more of the comparisons. As the statistical test is a very conservative one, and more than 70% of these genes are significant in more than one comparison, this high number should not be seen as a statistical artefact, but rather as a combined effect of the wide range of pH, a growth effect, and possible differences in medium composition at the time of sampling for transcriptome analysis.

To separate the effects and to identify genes for which the expression indices follow the level of pH, the regulated genes were sorted into subsets according to the direction of the statistically significant responses in the pair-wise comparisons (Figure 4a). Subsets will be referred to in the text by the letter designated in Figure 4.

Especially noteworthy is the large subset J (2,814 genes), which is upregulated at pH 2.5 and 6.0 compared with pH 4.5. It is likely that this subset is not directly regulated by pH, but rather is a part of a growth effect or a stress response, as it contains a large number of housekeeping genes, such as ribosomal subunits, DNA replication machinery, proteasome subunits, RNA-processing machinery, and so on. A GO term overrepresentation analysis (see Additional data file 3) confirmed that these terms are over-represented. The same seems to be the case for the two subsets Q and N. These subsets have the same regulatory pattern as subset J, but with one of the statistical comparisons being statistically insignificant. Therefore, clustering the genes in this manner accord-

**Figure 4**

Venn diagram and clustering of genes with a significant transcriptional response to pH. The Venn diagram (a) is based on three pairwise comparisons. Each area in the Venn diagram is divided into subsets by the direction of the response in the different comparisons. The formation of dots in the squares shows the general tendency of the response, with the example of (b) having expression indices increasing with pH. Two dots on the same line means that no statistically significant difference was found between the two conditions. Each subset has been divided into clusters, as shown for the example subset (b). Predicted recognition motifs for cis-acting elements are shown (c). Sequence logos are made as described by Schneider and Stephens [63].
ing to the direction of the responses allows a separation of growth-related effects into subsets J, Q, and N, thus leaving the remaining subsets (2,022 genes) with a higher likelihood of being directly influenced by pH. Of these, the 109 genes in subsets A and E are of especially high interest, as these are following the levels of pH either directly (E) or inversely (A). This makes the genes in these clusters extremely likely to be regulated solely by pH and by none of the other varying factors of the cultivations.

Another point worth evaluating, when doing transcription analysis in batch cultures, is whether differing levels of glucose affect the results through glucose repression. Because the strategy of sampling at similar concentrations of biomass, to reflect the same ages of the cultures, the residual glucose concentration varies slightly between the cultures (Table 1). CreA-mediated carbon repression is well described in A. niger and known to be dependent on the concentration of the carbon source [20]. Genes affected by carbon repression would thus have a profile similar to those of subset I (429 genes). However, CreA is known to be autoregulated in A. nidulans [21], and CreA is not found to have significantly changed expression levels in any comparisons. This makes the presence of significant carbon regulation unlikely and, if present, restricted to the genes of subset I.

To examine patterns in transcription levels in the sets in more detail, a clustering algorithm was applied by using expression indices from all nine microarrays rather than averages for each group (Figure 4b). This method allowed more-detailed differentiation between the genes and the creation of clusters within each subset. In total, 162 clusters with distinct transcription patterns across the experiments were identified. An overview of the expression profiles of the clusters was made (see Additional data file 4), as well as details for each gene (see Additional data file 5).

Clustering of these genes facilitates discovery of interesting co-regulations. Especially interesting for the production of gluconic acid is the observation that the cellular membrane-bound catalase (catR) [22,23], is tightly co-regulated with the hydrogen peroxide- and gluconic acid-producing glucose oxidase (Gox/GodA; EC 1.1.3.4) [24,25]. Both are found in the same cluster of subset G in Figure 4. The general regulation in this subset is in good agreement with reports that oxalic acid is produced in very low amounts below pH 4.5 [4,5]. Examination of the promoter region of the genes of that particular cluster was performed to discover potential cis-acting elements, and two motifs were found, one being 5'-GAGGWT-3', and the other, 5'-ACRARAG-3'. The first motif is found 9 times in the promoter of godA, and 5 times in the catR-promoter, making it very likely that this motif is responsible for the co-regulation of the two genes.

Another subset of special interest to acid production and regulation by ambient pH is subset E. This subset contains three putative acid transporters, the oxalic acid-producing oxaloacetate hydrolase (oahA) [2,17], and the gene for a protein-regulating response from neutral to alkaline pH (PacC) [26]. Clustering of the genes places oahA in cluster 1 and pacC in cluster 3. In light of the lack of production of acetate, it is interesting that oahA does not seem to be co-regulated with a potential acetyl-CoA synthase or an enzyme with a similar function. This suggests that activation of acetate with CoA is not limiting for reassimilation.

As an application example of the clustering, cis-acting elements have been predicted for all four clusters of the subset containing pacC and oahA. Conserved motifs were found for each of the four clusters (Figure 4c), but not for the subset as a whole. A survey of subset A and the three subclusters (see Additional data file 4) showed that it was possible to find putative regulatory motifs for each of the subclusters, but not for the entire subset. That no common motif could be found for neither subset A or E supports the strategy of dividing the subsets into clusters to find truly co-regulated genes.

In an examination of the predicted motifs of subset E (Figure 4c), the second motif for cluster 2 was found to be similar to the A. nidulans PacC consensus-binding motif 5'-GCCARG-3' reported by Sarkar et al. [27]. This suggests that members of this group are regulated at least in part by PacC. PacC is known to be autoregulated in A. nidulans [28,29], and the motif is found in the A. niger pacC promoter as well. However, the clustering of pacC outside of cluster 2 suggests that other factors are regulating it, giving it a slightly different transcription profile from that of the members of cluster 2.

Expanding the examination of the co-regulated groups of genes, information was used on the physical location of the genes on the genomic scaffolds to find 147 clusters of genes on the genome that are colocalized as well as co-regulated. Manual inspection of the clusters allowed the identification of six putative gene clusters involved in secondary metabolite biosynthesis. Two of these were found in clusters J and Q, making them less likely to be directly regulated by pH. One of the remaining four clusters is found in subset E, cluster 2, described earlier, and contains five colocalized and co-regulated genes. A putative gibberellin-precursor synthase (Gene ID 54123) is found in this cluster.

A specific study of the three potential citrate synthases identified by Pel et al. [30] showed that only one is significantly regulated in any comparison: an upregulation at pH 4.5 compared with pH 2.5 (Ano8g10920/ID 176409). This does not correspond to a pH-dependent upregulation at pH 2.5, as would be the expected response for a citrate-synthase involved in citrate-overflow metabolism. This suggests that the pH-responsive nature of citrate production is controlled at another level (that is, transport or post-translational regulation) or that the response requires other sensing responses (manganese [18], high glucose [19], and so on) in addition to
acidic pH. Based on the combined results of the modeling and the transcriptome analysis, the latter option seems to be the most likely.

Several industrially relevant proteins that are not discussed in detail here are found in the list of regulated genes, including the protease regulator PrtT, the acetate response regulator FacB/AcuB, α-amylases, and a large number of characterized and putative glucoside hydrolases, as identified by Pel et al. [30]. A table of the 6,228 regulated genes along with information on regulation and clustering has been compiled (see Additional data file 5).

Data integration-based identification of the elements of the ambient pH signal-transduction pathway (pal) pathway in A. niger

It is known that proteolytic cleavage is required for activation of PacC in both A. nidulans [28,29,31-33] and A. niger [8]. Although the signal-transduction/proteolysis pathway in A. niger is, to our knowledge, uncharacterized, a two-step activation system for PacC is well described for A. nidulans (reviewed in references [15,34] and [16]).

The model of the pH-signaling transduction pathway in A. nidulans (Figure 5) consists of two distinct protein complexes, a plasma membrane-localized sensing complex (PalF, PalHI [35-41]) and an endosomal membrane complex (PalABC, Vps32 [42-46]), catalyzing the first proteolytic step of PacC [39,45] followed by a proteasome-catalyzed cleavage to the active form.

The pal pathway has been described as being 'mechanistically dissimilar to all other known eukaryotic signal transduction pathways' [44], and it is thus very likely that homologues of the A. nidulans pal genes in A. niger are indeed orthologues. A survey of the genome sequence of A. niger found homologues of all identified genes of the signaling pathway (Table 2).

The mRNA levels of the components of the pal pathway are not pH regulated in A. nidulans [39,46], and an investigation of expression indices of the A. niger homologues indicates that these behave in the same manner. The putative A. niger palA and palC are not found to be significantly regulated in any comparison. palB, palH, palI, and vps32 are significantly regulated, but are found in subsets J and Q of Figure 4, which were found earlier to be more likely to be regulated by growth-dependent effects than by pH. It thus seems that the A. niger homologues of the pal pathway are independent of ambient pH as well, but may be subject to other regulation.

Discussion

Despite the great interest in organic acid production in A. niger - citric and gluconic acid are bulk chemicals produced by A. niger processes - very little work has been published on regulation by ambient pH in A. niger. This study examines the response to ambient pH by the combination of results from two distinct strategies. One is a strictly hypothesis-driven application of stoichiometric modeling, with which the modeling results are compared with reported observations to test the hypothesis of A. niger being optimized for acidification at any given pH through the course of evolution. The other study, the transcriptomic, is a more-classic application of systems biology, in that it is a data-driven study, and the analysis both gives specific directly applicable results and allows the generation of new hypotheses on pH regulation.

The modeling part of the study showed that the optimal pH intervals for production of acids, and the types of acids produced at certain pH values, can to a certain extent be
explained and simulated for citrate and oxalate, based on an assumption of an evolutionary selection for efficient acidification. The success of this approach to modeling acid production strongly suggests that \emph{A. niger} has not evolved to outgrow its competitors such as \emph{Escherichia coli} or to have a very efficient glucose uptake as does \emph{Saccharomyces cerevisiae}. Instead, \emph{A. niger} metabolism seems to be optimized to produce the most protons from the sparse nutrients available in a saprophytic environment. This also implies that acid production in \emph{A. niger} does not stem from overflow metabolism, but rather from an objective of proton production, at least for oxalic acid and citric acid.

The inability of the model to predict the pH optimum of gluconic acid production suggests that the main objective of gluconic acid production is not related to acidification of the medium. This is supported by the detailed on-line fermentation chromatography results presented by van de Merbel \emph{et al.} \cite{47}, in which glucose in the medium is rapidly converted fully into gluconic acid, which thereafter functions as a substrate for the rest of the fermentation. As the modeling can compute conditions only after a full degradation of the substrates, this will not show in the model. The production of gluconic acid thereby seems to be a method of making glucose unavailable to other competing organisms. This is also supported by the observations of the physiological study shown in Figure 3, in which gluconic acid produced early in the fermentation is seen to be consumed later.

Although the cellular response to manganese deficiency is undoubtedly complex, as the work of Dai \emph{et al.} \cite{18} and others have shown, it is interesting that the results of Ruijter \emph{et al.} \cite{3} indicate that the citrate production becomes insensitive to manganese concentrations by the deletion of glucose oxidase and oxaloacetate hydrolase. Whereas the applied model does not include the effects of manganese deficiency, it was able to replicate the effect of citrate being produced in an oxalate-deficient strain. The absence of manganese presumably has other effects that improve citrate yields, but it seems, based on these results, that one reason for its effect is the dependence of oxaloacetate hydrolase on manganese.

In modeling acid production with optimization for growth (see Additional data file 1), the biomass production increases as a function of pH. This is opposite that observed in the \emph{in vivo} experiments. One reason for this effect is that it is very unlikely that the acid-regulation systems of \emph{A. niger} were evolved in a medium as heavily buffered as a controlled bioreactor with pH regulation. It is thus efficient at a high pH to sacrifice biomass production for the production of large amounts of protons to reduce the pH quickly and to reduce this production at low pH values. We have not attempted to model this behavior, as there are very few available detailed data on acid production at different pH values. The work by Pedersen \emph{et al.} \cite{48} has sufficient detail for one level of pH, and thus this was used to approximate a constant ratio of protons to biomass. Although the assumption of a constant proton/biomass ratio is not valid over the full range of pH, it does allow us to study the simulated response in detail across the range of pH shown. Changing the proton/biomass ratio for individual pH value changes only the magnitude of the acid production and not the species.

In examining the transcriptional response, it was interesting - in the context of organic acids - to see that \textit{oahA} and \textit{goxC} are expressed and regulated, whereas no significant acid production occurs at the time of sampling for transcriptome analysis (Table 1). This suggests retention of the acid inside the cells or regulation of the gene product at a post-translational level.

In total, the number of genes influenced by ambient pH was surprisingly high. Although the transcriptional analysis is to some extent confounded by an effect on ‘domestic’ genes, the remaining response (2,022 genes) is still high. This response is not unlikely, as \emph{A. niger} growing in nature acidifies the surroundings, thus living through a scale of pH values. This presumably requires a flexible and dynamic response of a large number of genes. Another point is, as Arst and Peñalva \cite{49} correctly argue, when transcription of a gene is affected by ambient pH, this does not necessarily mean that it is regulated by pH. It may be an indirect consequence caused by differences in uptake efficiencies, intracellular metabolite levels, or other indirect effects. Most likely, a combination of the two is what we see here. For this purpose, the clustering and following identification of 109 genes with direct correlations with pH levels have proven to be a powerful method of data reduction.

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Identified pH-sensing genes in \emph{Aspergillus nidulans} and their homologues in \emph{A. niger}}
\begin{tabular}{lcc}
\hline
Gene & \emph{A. nidulans} & \emph{A. niger} \\
\hline
\textit{palA} & AN4351 & 119792 \\
\textit{palB} & AN0256 & 171058 \\
\textit{palC} & AN7560 & 48740 \\
\textit{palF} & AN1844 & Not found \footnote{No hit for \textit{palF} was found in the publicly available genome sequence bidirectional best blast hits.} \\
\textit{palH} & AN6886 & 120044 \\
\textit{palI} & AN4853 & 52449 \\
\textit{vrp32/snf7} & AN1240 & 136905 \\
\textit{pacC} & AN2851 & 47049 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\footnote{The \emph{A. niger} open reading frames (ORFs) were identified by using bidirectional best blast hits.} \\
\footnote{\textit{No hit for \textit{palF} was found in the publicly available genome sequence for \emph{A. niger} ATCC 1015, but a near-identical hit was found on the right arm of chromosome VI in the finished version of the genome sequence.}}
\end{table}
The applied two-step clustering method allows differentiation between different effects, although it cannot determine which clusters of genes are directly or indirectly influenced by pH. One interesting application of this transcription study and the clustering is the prediction of regulatory motifs based on the transcription profiles. The predicted motifs are very likely to have the proposed function of increasing transcription with higher levels of pH, because one of the detected motifs was previously described to have this function. Although this, in theory, could be done for all of the 162 identified clusters, the performed predictions are limited to those described in the main matter of this study, but details on the clusters (see Additional data files 4 and 5) will support further investigation of other hypotheses. One obvious application of this is the identification of putative transcription factors regulated by ambient pH. We are currently constructing knock-out strains for a large number of these.

The analysis of the clusters also includes the combination with data on the physical location of the genes. For the clusters predicted to be involved in secondary metabolite production, this physical location adds considerable value to the transcriptome analysis. It is confirmed that putative secondary metabolite clusters are transcriptionally regulated by pH. Even so, some of the identified co-regulated gene clusters may be artefacts, because of errors in predicting gene starts/stops. For example, if a gene erroneously has been predicted to be several genes, these will be seen as being co-regulated in the transcriptome analysis. Another likely explanation is that they are co-regulated by a common promoter region. However, for clusters of more than two genes, this is unlikely to be the case.

In a comparison of the modeling and the in vivo experiments, the predicted values correspond well with the profiles of oxalate production and the known literature. At all levels of pH, oxalate is a preferred acid (second to gluconate). As manganese was present in the medium and oahA was present in the strain, the funneling of carbon into citrate at lower pH could not be observed. As described in the work of Dai et al. [18] and Ruijter et al. [3], this is to be expected. Furthermore, when examining the transcription levels of oahA, which is found in subset E of Figure 4, they are on average 83 times higher at pH 2.5 compared with 6.0. This regulation counteracts citrate production. Thus, the predictions of the model are indeed valid, but the predicted (and known) optimum of citrate production are not replicated in the cultivations because of unknown factors.

The first steps toward understanding the pH-signaling pathway of A. niger, a pathway of great potential importance for the fermentation industry, are provided. The investigation of the A. niger homologue to the - in A. nidulans - well-described pal pH-signaling pathway showed that all components are present in A. niger and are expressed independent of pH. The uniqueness of this pathway makes it more likely that these genes code for orthologues of the A. nidulans genes. However, a classic phenotypical characterization of mutants is still necessary to establish the function finally, but with this study, the targets for this characterization are now firmly established.

Conclusions
We have shown through genome-scale modeling that the assumption of evolutionary selection for efficient acidification allows the reproduction of the pH optimum for production of citrate and oxalate by A. niger. Furthermore, our results indicate that high-yield gluconic acid production has not evolved as a trait for acidification of the growth habitat. Transcriptome analysis of A. niger cultures grown at three levels of pH showed 6,228 genes for which the transcription levels were significantly changed by direct and indirect effects of ambient pH. A two-step clustering method and GO term overrepresentation analysis identified 2,022 genes more likely to be influenced by pH and 109 genes with transcription levels directly corresponding to the level of pH. Analysis of these genes showed a strong co-regulation of catR and goxA. By combining genome coordinates with transcriptome profiles and predicted gene functions, secondary metabolite clusters found to be regulated by pH were identified. The cis-acting promoter motifs increasing transcription with higher levels of pH were identified, and a strategy for finding promoter motifs for other transcription profiles was presented. By using a combination of transcriptome data and sequence comparisons, the candidate orthologues of the A. nidulans Pal/PacC pH-regulation pathway were identified in A. niger. The conservation of this system supports that filamentous fungi have evolved to use several strategies for outcompeting rival organisms: an aggressive acidification of the microenvironment combined with storing the available glucose as gluconic acid.

Materials and methods
Modeling acid production
For each value of pH, a set of reactions was added to a genome-scale stoichiometric model of A. niger metabolism [7], thereby creating a model for each pH value. The reactions set consisted of seven reactions, one for each of the acids included in the model. Each reaction contains the fully protonated acid in an equilibrium with the partially protonated acid species and a number of protons. This number was calculated for each pH and acid by using the acid disassociation constant equation as shown in Equation 2:

\[
K_a = \frac{[H^+][A^-]}{[HA]} = 10^{-pH} \frac{[A^-]}{[HA]} \Rightarrow (1)
\]
\[
\frac{[A^-]}{[HA]} = \frac{K_a}{10^{-pH}}
\]  

(2)

In the case of polyprotic acids such as citric acid, a set of coupled equations - one for each acid group - was used. An example for citrate at pH 4.5 is shown in Equation 3 (see Additional data file 6 for the full set):

\[
CIT-e \leftrightarrow CIT-e + 13H^+ + e
\]

(3)

The entity CIT-e of Equation 3 is a mixed species, composed of citric acid molecules in various degrees of deprotonation, all in equilibrium at the given pH. It is assumed that the acids are transported across the cytoplasmic membrane fully protonated.

Modeling of acid production was performed by using stoichiometric matrices and linear programming for solving them, as described in reference [7]. Either the solving objective was a maximization of proton production with a fixed biomass production of 1 g or maximization for growth (growth-coupled proton production). For modeling of growth-coupled proton production, the biomass equation added a demand for proton production. For modeling of growth-coupled production of 1 g or maximization for growth (growth-coupled proton production). For modeling of growth-coupled production of 1 g or maximization for growth (growth-coupled proton production). For modeling of growth-coupled production of 1 g or maximization for growth (growth-coupled proton production). For modeling of growth-coupled production of 1 g or maximization for growth (growth-coupled proton production). For modeling of growth-coupled production of 1 g or maximization for growth (growth-coupled proton production).

**Fermentation protocol**

**Strains**

The strain used was A. niger BO-1, obtained from Novozymes A/S (Kalundborg, Denmark) and maintained as frozen spore suspensions at -80°C in 20% glycerol.

**Growth media**

Complex medium: 2 g/L yeast extract, 3 g/L tryptone, 10 g/L glucose monohydrate, 20 g/L KCl, 0.52 g/L MgSO₄·7H₂O, 1.52 g/L KH₂PO₄ and 1 ml/L trace elements solution. Trace element solution: 0.4 g/L CuSO₄·5H₂O, 0.04 g/L Na₃B₆O₅·10H₂O, 0.8 g/L FeSO₄·7H₂O, 0.8 g/L MnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.8 g/L Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O, 8 g/L ZnSO₄·7H₂O. Batch cultivation medium: 20 g/L glucose monohydrate, 2.5 g/L (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.75 g/L KH₂PO₄, 1.0 g/L MgSO₄·7H₂O, 1 g/L NaCl, 0.1 g/L CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.05 ml/L antifoam 204 (Sigma-Aldrich, Brøndby, Denmark) and 1 ml/L trace element solution. Trace element solution composition: 7.2 g/L ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.3 g/L NiCl₂·6H₂O, 6.9 g/L FeSO₄·7H₂O, 3.5 g/L MnCl₂·4H₂O, and 1.3 g/L CuSO₄·5H₂O.

**Preparation of inoculum**

Fermentations were initiated by spore inoculation to a final concentration of 2 × 10⁹ spores/L. Spores were propagated on complex media plates and incubated for 7 to 8 days at 30°C before being harvested with 10 ml of 0.01% Tween 80.

**Batch cultivations**

Batch cultivations were performed in 2-L Braun fermenters with a working volume of 1.6 L, equipped with three Rushton four-blade disc turbines. The bioreactor was sparged with air, and the concentrations of oxygen and carbon dioxide in the exhaust gas were measured in a gas analyzer. The temperature was maintained at 30°C. The pH was controlled by automatic addition of 2 M NaOH. Agitation and aeration were controlled throughout the cultivations. For inoculation of the bioreactor, the pH was adjusted to 2.5; stirring rate, 100 rpm; and aeration, 0.1 volumes of air per volume of fluid per minute (vvm). After germination, the stirring rate was increased to 300 rpm, and the air flow, to 0.5 vvm. At 11 to 12 hours after inoculation, the stirring rate was increased to 600 rpm, and the air flow, to 1 vvm. When the CO₂ in the exhaust gas reached a value of 0.1% (early growth phase), the stirring rate was set to 1,000 rpm. Additionally, at this level of CO₂, the pH was slowly increased to 4.5 or 6.0 with a drop of 2 M NaOH every 10 seconds. For the cultivations at pH 2.5, pH was kept constant throughout the fermentation.

The concentrations of oxygen and carbon dioxide in the exhaust gas were monitored with a gas analyzer (1311 Fast response Triple gas, Innova combined with multiplexer controller for Gas Analysis MUX100, B. Braun Biotech International (Melsungen, Germany)).

**Sampling**

Cell dry weight was determined by using nitrocellulose filters (pore size, 0.45 μm; Pall Corporation, East Hills, NY, USA). The filters were predried in a microwave oven at 150 W for 15 minutes, cooled in a desiccator, and subsequently weighed. A known volume of cell culture was filtered, and the residue was washed with 0.9% NaCl and dried on the filter for 15 minutes in a microwave oven at 150 W and cooled in a desiccator. The filtrate was saved for quantification of sugars and extracellular metabolites and stored at -80°C.

The filter was weighed again, and the cell mass concentration was calculated. These values were used to calculate maximal specific growth rates. For gene-expression analysis, mycelium was harvested at the mid to late exponential phase by filtration through sterile Mira-Cloth (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA) and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (8 g/L NaCl, 0.20 g/L KCl, 1.44 g/L Na₂HPO₄, and 0.24 g/L KH₂PO₄ in distilled water). The mycelium was quickly dried by squeezing, and subsequently frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were stored at -80°C until RNA extraction.

**Quantification of sugars and extracellular metabolites**

The concentrations of sugar and organic acids in the filtrates were determined by using HPLC on an Aminex HPX-87H ion-exclusion column (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). The column was eluted at 60°C with 5 mM H₂SO₄ at a flow rate of 0.6
ml/min. Metabolites were detected with a refractive index detector and a UV detector.

**Calculation of maximum specific growth rates**
The maximal growth rate was determined by performing exponential regressions on the data points from the exponential phase (defined as the part of the growth curve that exhibited linear increase in a single-log plot) for each of the nine experiments. Means and standard deviations were calculated for each set of triplicates.

**Transcriptome analysis**

**Extraction of total RNA**
From 40 to 50 mg of frozen mycelium was placed in a 2-ml Eppendorf tube, precooled in liquid nitrogen, containing three steel balls (two balls with a diameter of 2 mm and one ball with a diameter of 5 mm). The tubes were then shaken in a Mixer Mill, at 5°C for 10 minutes, until the mycelia were ground to powder. Total RNA was isolated from the powder by using the Qiagen RNAeasy Mini Kit, according to the protocol for isolation of total RNA from plant and fungi. The quality of the extracted total RNA was assessed by using a BioAnalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA), and the quantity determined by using a spectrophotometer (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Upptala, Sweden). The total RNA was stored at -80°C until further processing.

**Preparation of biotin-labeled cRNA and microarray processing**
Fragmented biotin-labeled cRNA (15 μg) was prepared from 5 μg of total RNA and hybridized to the 3AspergDTU GeneChip [50] according to the Affymetrix GeneChip Expression Analysis Technical Manual [51].

cRNA was quantified in a spectrophotometer (same as described earlier). cRNA quality was assessed by using a BioAnalyzer. A GeneChip Fluidics Station FS-400 (fluidics protocol FS450_001) and a GeneChip Scanner 3000 were used for hybridization and scanning.

The scanned probe array images (.DAT files) were converted into .CEL files by using the GeneChip Operating Software (Affymetrix).

**Analysis of transcription data**
Affymetrix CEL-data files were preprocessed by using the statistical language and environment R [52] version 2.5.1. The probe intensities were normalized for background by using the robust multiarray average (RMA) method [53] with only perfect match (PM) probes. Normalization was performed subsequently by using the quantiles algorithm [54]. Gene-expression values were calculated from the PM probes with the median polish summary method [53]. All statistical preprocessing methods were used by invoking them through the Affy package [55].

Statistical analysis was applied to determine genes subject to differential transcriptional regulation. The limma package [56] was used to perform moderated t tests between two sets of triplicates from each pH level. Empirc Bayesian statistics were used to moderate the standard errors within each gene, and Benjamini-Hochberg’s method [57], to adjust for multtesting. A cut-off value of adjusted P < 0.05 was set to assess statistical significance.

Normalized and raw data values are deposited with Gene Expression Omnibus [GEO:GSE11725].

**Clustering**
The 6,228 genes that showed significant changes in expression indices in one or more pairwise comparisons were sorted into groups based on the direction of their response in the three different sets of conditions. These groups were divided into a varying number of subgroups (clusters) by using the clustering algorithm ClustreLustre [58], with k-means normalization. The groups were divided until all clusters had a minimum separation distance of 1.01. This number was picked empirically and was the minimal distance at which each cluster still had a distinct regulation pattern.

**Identification of co-regulated gene clusters**
Co-regulated gene clusters were defined in this study as genes on the same scaffold that are separated by no more than 5 kilobases, significantly regulated in one or more pairwise comparisons, and having the same regulation pattern as determined by the detailed clustering by using ClusterLustre.

**Prediction of conserved motifs**
Conserved motifs were predicted by using R 2.6.2 [52] with the Cosmo package v. 1.4.0 [59]. Default settings were used with the following exceptions: A background Markov model was computed by using the intergenic regions from scaffold 1 of the A. niger ATCC 1015 genome sequence. Intergenic regions containing unknown bases (Ns) were pruned from the training set, amounting to 1.7 Mb in 1,214 sequences. The Two-Component-Mixture (TCM), One Occurrence Per Sequence (OOPS) and Zero Or One Occurrence Per Sequence (ZOOPS) motif models were used to search for conserved motifs. For all query sequences 1,000 base pairs upstream of the start codon of the gene or of the predicted transcription start were, if any was found. If a transcription start was predicted, the sequence from this base pair and to the start codon was included as well, thus increasing the length of sequence to more than 1 kilobase.

**GO-term enrichment analysis**
Significantly regulated subsets of genes were examined for GO-term enrichment by using R-2.5.1 [52] with BioConductor [60] and the topGO-package v. 1.2.1 by using the elim algorithm to remove local dependencies between GO terms [61]. GO-term assignments were based on automatic annota-
tion of the \textit{A. niger} ATCC 1015 v1.0 gene models. Where nothing else is noted, $P < 0.05$ is used as the cutoff for significance.
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