Evidence of two-dimensional macroscopic quantum tunneling of a current-biased DC-SQUID
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The escape probability out of the superconducting state of a hysteretic DC-SQUID has been measured at different values of the applied magnetic flux. At low temperature, the escape current and the width of the probability distribution are temperature independent but they depend on flux. Experimental results do not fit the usual one-dimensional (1D) Macroscopic Quantum Tunneling (MQT) law but are perfectly accounted for by the two-dimensional (2D) MQT behaviour as we propose here. Near zero flux, our data confirms the recent MQT observation in a DC-SQUID \(^{14}\).

PACS numbers:

Quantum dynamics of a current-biased Josephson junction (JJ) have been extensively studied during the past two decades \(^1\). The phase difference across the JJ obeys the same dynamics as the position of a fictitious particle moving in a one dimensional tilted washboard potential, whose average slope is proportional to the current bias. For bias below the critical current, the particle is trapped in a local minimum. It can escape out by Thermal Activation (TA) or by Macroscopic Quantum Tunneling (MQT). Many experiments have demonstrated these two escape regimes. Quantized energy levels and resonant activation have been observed by applying microwaves \(^1\)\(^1\)\(^1\). Very recently Rabi oscillations and coherent temporal oscillations were observed in a current biased JJ \(^2\)\(^2\)\(^2\) or in a Cooper pair transistor coupled to a biased JJ \(^3\)\(^3\). Experiments on RF-SQUID have also clearly demonstrated quantum dynamics in form of MQT \(^4\), resonant tunneling or quantum superposition of states \(^5\).

By contrast and surprisingly, very few studies have been performed in a current biased DC-SQUID in the quantum regime. The dynamics of a DC-SQUID are described by two degrees of freedom and new phenomena can arise. The fictitious particle moves in this case in a two-dimensional (2D) potential. At zero magnetic field it has been predicted that escape out of a local minimum can occur via two different trajectories \(^6\)\(^6\)\(^6\). At non zero magnetic field, MQT was derived only in the limit of small inductance of the loop \(^7\), where the SQUID is nearly equivalent to a single junction with a flux-dependent critical current. In this case, the dynamics are one dimensional. To our knowledge only three previous experiments were reported in the past discussing escape from a current-biased DC-SQUID. In the TA regime good agreement with 2D transition state theory \(^1\)\(^7\) has been obtained \(^1\). Similar results were obtained in an RF-SQUID \(^1\)\(^2\). In the quantum regime no experimental evidence of two-dimensional behaviour has been demonstrated up to now. Sharifi et al. \(^1\)\(^3\) claimed MQT observations but neither the amplitude of quantum fluctuations nor the TA regime were understood. Recent measurements \(^1\)\(^4\) have clearly observed MQT and TA regimes in a DC-SQUID at zero magnetic field. 2D behaviour could, however, not be observed because at zero flux the escape rate of their SQUID behaves exactly as that of a single Josephson junction, ie. a 1D system. Understanding the dynamics of a hysteretic DC-SQUID is very important in interpreting some recent quantum experiments \(^1\)\(^7\)\(^1\)\(^6\), or a recently proposed experiment of a one shot quantum measurement in a superconducting charge qubit using a DC-SQUID \(^1\)\(^7\).

In this letter, we report on an escape measurement in a DC-SQUID both in the thermal and in the quantum regime. In contrast to till now reported results on MQT, 1D tunneling escape formula can not describe our experimental data. Since no theory has described MQT in a DC-SQUID in the 2D regime at non zero magnetic flux, we propose a method based on the zero magnetic field result derived in Ref. \(^1\)\(^7\) but taking into account the flux dependence of the 2D potential. Our experimental data support quantitatively this approach.

The current-biased DC-SQUID consists of two Josephson junctions in parallel in an inductive superconducting loop. The whole system has two degrees of freedom described by \(\varphi_1\) and \(\varphi_2\), the phase difference across the two junctions. Assuming identical JJs, each having a critical current equal to \(I_0\) and a capacitance equal to \(C_0\), the dynamics of the system can be treated as that of a fictitious particle moving in a two dimensional potential given by \(^1\)\(^7\)

\[
U(x, y) = U_0 \left( -sx - \cos x \cos y - \eta sy + b(y - \pi \Phi/\Phi_0)^2 \right)
\]  

(1)

where \(U_0 = \Phi_0 I_0/\pi\) is the sum of the Josephson energy of the two JJs, \(s = I_{DC}/(2I_0)\), \(x = (\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)/2\), \(y = (\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)/2\), \(b = \Phi_0/(2\pi LI_0)\) and \(I_{DC}\) is the bias current. The asymmetry of inductances is parametrized by \(\eta = (L_2 - L_1)/L\) where \(L_1\) and \(L_2\) are the inductances of the two branches and \(L = L_1 + L_2\) is the inductance of the SQUID loop. \(\Phi\) is the applied flux and \(\Phi_0 = h/2e\) is the flux quantum.

The potential presents valleys and mountains with lo-
FIG. 1: Bidimensional potential of our SQUID which has parameters $s = 0.7$, $\Phi/\Phi_0 = -0.27$ and $b = 0.6$ and $\eta = -0.26$. The particle and its escape path are drawn as illustration. Inset: photograph of the type of a DC-SQUID used in the experiment.

FIG. 2: Measured escape probability and escape rate (inset) as functions of the bias current at $\Phi/\Phi_0 = 0.07$, $T = 42$ mK and $\Delta t = 50 \mu s$. The dots are experimental data and the lines the MQT prediction of Eq. (4). Values of $I_{\text{esc}}$ and $\Delta I$ are indicated by dashed lines.

where $\omega_{p\perp}$ and $\omega_{s\perp}$ are respectively the transverse frequency modes in the well and at the saddle point. We numerically analyzed the modulation of the escape current as a function of flux in the TA regime taking into account the transverse frequency modes in the escape rate. For our SQUID parameters, the deviation of $\omega_{p\perp}/\omega_{s\perp}$ from unity has been estimated to be 2% at zero flux and it quickly decreases to zero when increasing $|\Phi/\Phi_0|$. Since this small error appears only in the prefactor of Eq. (2), we will assume in the following that $\omega_{p\perp}/\omega_{s\perp} = 1$.

At low temperature, the escape is dominated by quantum tunneling. This regime has been theoretically studied at zero flux in discussing the splitting of the two escape trajectories, or flux dependence in the low inductance limit ($b > 1$). There is so far, to our knowledge, no theoretical treatment of flux dependence of 2D MQT, i.e. in the regime $b \lesssim 1$. Therefore we propose to use the MQT escape rate at zero magnetic flux in the limit $b > 1$. We introduce in this escape rate, $\Gamma^{MQT}$, the 2D behaviour by taking the plasma frequency $\omega_p$ and the potential barrier height $\Delta U$ along the escape direction, analogously to what was done in the TA regime earlier:

$$\Gamma^{MQT} = f_{2D} \frac{\omega_p}{2\pi} \sqrt{864\pi \Delta U/\hbar \omega_p \exp(-36\Delta U/5\hbar \omega_p)}.$$  

(3)

This 2D MQT rate thus depends on the magnetic flux and on the bias-current. In the following, because $b \approx 1$, two escape trajectories are impossible and $f_{2D} \approx 1$. Note, however, that the 2D behaviour remains through $\Delta U$ and $\omega_p$. At zero magnetic flux $\Gamma^{MQT}$ equals that of a single JJ of critical current $2I_0$ and capacitance $2C_0$. The escape probability $P_{\text{esc}}$ from the zero voltage state during time $\Delta t$ is a function of the amplitude of the bias current, the flux and temperature. Measured escape probability using current DC-pulses of duration $\Delta t = 50 \mu s$ is plotted in Fig. 3. Each point corresponds to 4000 current pulses. By increasing the bias current, $P_{\text{esc}}$ starts...
from zero far below the critical current where the barrier is high, and it becomes unity at currents close to and above the critical one. In the following the escape current $I_{\text{esc}}$ is defined as the bias current corresponding to $P_{\text{esc}} = 0.5$ and the width of the escape probability distribution $\Delta I$ as the difference between the currents at $P_{\text{esc}} = 0.9$ and $P_{\text{esc}} = 0.1$. The measured escape rate is extracted from $\Gamma(I_{DC}) = -\ln(1 - P_{\text{esc}}(I_{DC}))/\Delta t$ as it ranges from about $10$ s$^{-1}$ to $10^5$ s$^{-1}$ (inset of Fig. 2).

The sample is a DC-SQUID consisting of two $Al/AlO_x/Al$ tunnel junctions obtained by e-beam lithography and shadow evaporation (inset of Fig. 1). The area of each JJ is about $11 \mu m^2$. The tunnel resistance of the SQUID, $R_T \simeq 80 \Omega$, and the superconducting gap $\Delta = 230 \mu eV$ are deduced from the dissipative branches of the IV characteristic and yield $I_0 \simeq 2.3 \mu A$ using the Ambegaokar-Baratoff relation assuming two identical JJs. From MQT of a single JJ with the same area, we deduced $C_0 = 0.46 \ pF$, which agrees with the typical specific capacitance of about $50 \ pF/(\mu m)^2$ for an $AlO_x$ tunnel junction. The rectangular SQUID loop is about $10 \mu m$ by $40 \mu m$ giving an estimated magnetic inductance of about $70 \ pH$. The kinetic inductance of the SQUID, deduced from the thin film resistivity, $\rho \simeq 5.3 \mu \Omega \ cm$, is coarsely estimated to be about $120 \ pH$. The total inductance $L$, the sum of the kinetic and magnetic inductances, is therefore about $190 \ pH$. An inductance asymmetry exists because the two SQUID branches have different lengths. We estimate $\eta \sim 0.2$ by assuming proportionality between length of a branch and inductance.

The immediate electromagnetic environment of the DC-SQUID is determined by two $20 \ nm$ thin, $1 \mu m$ wide and $700 \mu m$ long superconducting aluminium wires terminated by two large pads for wire bonding. The estimated total inductance of the in-situ wires, $L_e = 4.6 \ nH$, results again of the sum of the $1.2 \ nH$ magnetic inductance of the coplanar strips and of $3.4 \ nH$ kinetic inductance of the wires. Although these SQUID parameters have been independently estimated, the accuracy in determining this way is not sufficient. Therefore we extracted the inductance of the DC-SQUID $L = 244 \ pH$ and its asymmetry $\eta = -0.26$, the zero-flux, the critical current $I_0 = 2.33 \mu A$ and the capacitance $C_0 = 0.46 \ pF$ from the flux modulation characteristics of the escape current in the low temperature regime (see Fig. 3). These parameters are in very good agreement with the rough estimates given above. Our $b = 0.6$ is much smaller than $b \approx 3$ of the earlier works [13, 14] on MQT in a DC-SQUID, indicating that the fictitious particle is much more affected by the two-dimensionality of the potential.

The sample is enclosed in a cavity with resonances above $15 \ GHz$, and it is anchored to the mixing chamber of the dilution refrigerator. This cavity is enclosed in a low temperature filter consisting of thermocoax microwave filters [19] and II-filters. The attenuation of the DC-lines is estimated to be at least $200 \ dB$ above $1 \ GHz$ at the mixing chamber temperature. Thermocoax filters were also introduced from $1.5 \ K$ to the low temperature filter, and low pass LC filters were used at room temperature. Current bias and voltage probe lines of the sample are separated from the computer using differential amplifiers. A superconducting shield was inserted inside the vacuum jacket of the cryostat and $\mu$-metal surrounds the dewar to protect the DC-SQUID from external flux noise. Using this measurement set-up, we separately measured a width of $\Delta I = 11 \ nA$ on a $1 \mu A$ Josephson junction in its MQT regime.

Figure 4 shows escape probability and escape rate at $\Phi/\Phi_0 = 0.07$ and $T = 42 \ mK$. At this particular flux value, the escape current is maximum (see Fig. 3) and the ratios $\omega_p/\omega_p0$ and $\Delta U/\Delta U_0$ are equal to unity. Therefore the SQUID behaves as a single JJ. We can perfectly fit our data using 1D-MQT predictions (continuous line in Fig. 2) without free parameters which demonstrates that 1D-MQT theory describes the behaviour of a DC-SQUID at the maximum escape current. This first result confirms the recent MQT observation at zero flux performed by Li et al. [14]. It does not, however, demonstrate 2D escape behaviour.

The escape current and the width versus applied external flux are directly extracted from the measured switching current distribution and they are plotted in Fig. 5 in the MQT regime at $T = 42mK$. The width is periodic in flux with periodicity $\Phi_0$. It is minimum near $\Phi/\Phi_0 = 0.07$ and increases to reach maximum at $\Phi/\Phi_0 = \pm 0.5$. There is asymmetry between negative and positive flux values and discontinuities at $\Phi/\Phi_0 = \pm 0.5$. The usual 1D tunneling model cannot account for the main feature of our results. Namely the 1D model predicts a decrease of the width, $\Delta I \sim (2I_{0s_c})^{3/5}$ in the MQT regime (dashed line in Fig. 5) which is contrary to our observation. Our proposed 2D formula in the MQT regime suggests increase of the width and it agrees with our data perfectly also quantitatively. It has no free parameters since all of them were already fixed by the escape current characteristics. We claim that these results are the first experimental observation of 2D escape from the zero voltage state of a DC-SQUID in its MQT regime.

FIG. 3: Measured escape current and the width of the probability distribution against external applied flux at low temperature (dots). 1D MQT and 2D MQT prediction (Eq. 9) are shown respectively by dashed and solid lines.
The escape current and width against temperature are shown in Fig. 4 for $\Phi/\Phi_0 = -0.25$. The escape current increases as temperature drops and saturates at low temperature. The width decreases with temperature and saturates at low temperature. These features are perfectly accounted for by Eq. (3) in the MQT regime and by Eq. (2) in the TA regime (dashed lines). Yet the model seems to underestimate the measured width in the thermal regime by about 10%, indicating that influence of the environment must be taken into account to better fit the data. Finally, the observed cross-over temperature ($\simeq 80$ mK) between MQT and TA is consistent with the well-known formula $\hbar \omega_p/(2\pi k_B)$ [1].

In order to estimate the effect of the environment on the escape process, we take into account the quadratic current fluctuations $\langle \delta I^2 \rangle$. Since the SQUID is connected to the external circuit through inductive wires on the chip, we assume the electrical environment to be the inductance $L_e$ in series with an external resistor $R_{ext}$. For temperatures $k_BT > \hbar R_{ext}/L_e$, the current fluctuations are given by $\langle \delta I^2 \rangle = k_BT/L_e$. For $k_BT < \hbar \omega_p$ thermal fluctuations are slow as compared to the SQUID dynamics, and escape rate can be corrected by $\Gamma_{env}^{TA} = \Gamma^{TA} \exp((\partial U/\partial I_{DC})/\langle \delta I^2 \rangle/2(k_BT)^2)$ in the TA regime and $\Gamma_{env}^{MQT} = \Gamma^{MQT} \exp((\partial (U/\hbar \omega_p)/\partial I_{DC})/\langle \delta I^2 \rangle/2)$ in the MQT regime following the idea in [21]. Using the estimated wires inductance, our data perfectly are fitted both in the quantum and in the TA regime by the fluctuation corrected expressions above (continuous line in Fig. 4). We notice that like in the recent work in [14] the measured $\Delta I$ crosses over from MQT to TA much more gradually than what we predict.

In summary, we have shown for the first time evidence of two dimensional macroscopic quantum escape of a hysteretic DC-SQUID from its zero voltage state. Moreover, we have proposed a way to theoretically analyze the flux dependence of escape in the MQT regime, and we show that our data perfectly fit this model, also in the TA regime with the same values of the circuit parameters.
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