Phase 1 Study of THR-687, a Novel, Highly Potent Integrin Antagonist for the Treatment of Diabetic Macular Edema
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Purpose: To evaluate the safety and preliminary efficacy of THR-687 in patients with center-involved diabetic macular edema (DME).

Design: Phase 1, open-label, multicenter, 3 + 3 dose-escalation study with 3-month follow-up.

Participants: Patients 18 years of age or older with visual impairment resulting from DME.

Methods: Single intravitreal injection of THR-687 (0.4 mg, 1.0 mg, or 2.5 mg).

Main Outcome Measures: The primary outcome measure was the incidence of dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs). The secondary outcome measure was the incidence of adverse events (AEs), including the occurrence of laboratory abnormalities. Exploratory outcome measures included changes from baseline in best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and central subfield thickness (CST), assessments of ischemia and leakage on fluorescein angiography, and THR-687 levels in plasma.

Results: Twelve patients were treated: 3 patients received 0.4 mg of THR-687, 3 patients received 1.0 mg of THR-687, and 6 patients received 2.5 mg of THR-687. Most patients were men (9/12 patients). Their mean age was 57.8 years. No DLTs or serious AEs were reported at any of the dose levels tested. Overall, 9 AEs in the study eye were reported for 5 of 12 patients. Of those, 4 AEs in 3 of 12 patients were deemed treatment related by the investigator, all of which were mild, started on the day of the injection, and had resolved within 28 days without treatment. Overall, mean gains from baseline in BCVA were observed at all study visits with a rapid onset (7.2 Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study [ETDRS] letters at day 7) and a durability up to the end of the study (8.3 ETDRS letters at month 3). A mean decrease in CST was observed up to month 1. Overall, the mean BCVA gains and CST decreases were highest at the highest THR-687 dose level tested. THR-687 was undetectable in plasma at 7 days after the injection.

Conclusions: At all dose levels tested, a single intravitreal injection of THR-687 was safe and well tolerated. Preliminary efficacy was observed by a rapid gain in BCVA with 3 months’ durability and a decrease in CST up to 1 month after the injection. Ophthalmology Science 2021;1:100040 © 2021 by the American Academy of Ophthalmology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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VEGF. However, intravitreal corticosteroids are associated with well-documented AEs, including cataract development and intraocular pressure elevation, which limits their usefulness in patients unresponsive to anti-VEGF therapy. The suboptimal response rate seen with anti-VEGF therapies, as well as the safety concerns related to second-line treatments, highlight the need for alternative treatment options that target additional pathways involved in DME pathogenesis.

Integrins constitute a family of transmembrane cell surface receptors that can mediate cell–cell and cell–extracellular matrix interactions. Integrins are involved in various biological processes, including cell differentiation, adhesion, migration, motility, invasion, proliferation, and survival. Based on ligand binding properties, integrins can be grouped into 4 classes, including receptors that recognize an arginine-glycine-aspartic acid (RGD) binding motif. In the eye, the interaction of specific RGD integrins with the extracellular matrix can lead to neovascularization (αvβ3, αvβ5, and α5β1) and vascular permeability (αvβ3, αvβ5, and α5β1). THR-687 is a small (750 Dalton; Oxurion), highly selective integrin antagonist with low nanomolar affinity toward many RGD integrins. Potent antiangiogenic activity of THR-687 has been shown in preclinical experiments in vitro and in vivo. In vivo, combined intravitreal and intraperitoneal administration of THR-687 inhibited VEGF-induced vascular leakage in the mouse retina, and intravitreal administration of THR-687 potently inhibited choroidal neovascularization-induced leakage in a cynomolgus monkey model of choroidal neovascularization. Herein, the authors present safety and preliminary efficacy data from a phase 1 study of THR-687 in patients with center-involved DME.

Methods

This study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier, NCT03666923) was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, the International Council for Harmonisation guideline for Good Clinical Practice, and all applicable local regulatory requirements. Institutional review board approval was obtained from the Copernicus Group IRB. All patients provided written informed consent before screening.

Study Population

The study population consisted of patients with visual impairment resulting from center-involved DME who had a history of response to anti-VEGF agents, corticosteroids, or both and, in the opinion of the investigator, remained responsive to treatment. The study enrolled patients of both sexes who were 18 years of age or older with center-involved DME and central subfield thickness (CST) of 320 µm or more. Patients were required to have best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of 62 or fewer and 23 or more ETDRS letters in the study eye. Exclusion criteria included comorbid conditions or treatments that could confound the study results. The key inclusion and exclusion criteria are provided in Supplemental Table 1.

Study Design and Treatment

This was a phase 1, open-label, multicenter study in the United States with a 3 + 3 dose-escalation design. Three patients were treated at each THR-687 dose level, starting at the lowest dose level. Dose escalation occurred on review of all available safety data by the internal safety monitoring committee. In addition, as per protocol, 3 additional patients were treated at the highest dose level after the review by the safety monitoring committee.

Patients received a single intravitreal injection of THR-687 at 0.4 mg, 1.0 mg, or 2.5 mg (dose levels expressed as THR-687 salt form [all THR-687]; these correspond to 0.3 mg, 0.8 mg, and 2.1 mg THR-687 free base form [active THR-687], respectively). Standard-of-care rescue treatment in the study eye was allowed during the study if deemed necessary by the investigator and if protocol-specified criteria were met. The type of rescue treatment was determined by the investigator, as per local clinical practice.

Outcome Measures

The primary outcome measure was the incidence of dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs). The secondary outcome measure was the incidence of systemic and ocular AEs from the day of study treatment up to month 3, including the occurrence of hematologic, biochemical, or urinary laboratory abnormalities. Exploratory outcome measures included change from Baseline in BCVA and CST (based on spectral-domain [SD] OCT) by study visit, THR-687 levels in plasma by day 7, and assessments of ischemia and leakage (based on widefield fluorescein angiography [FA]) by month 3.

Outcome Assessments

Dose-limiting toxicities included clinically relevant decreases in BCVA and ocular inflammation occurring from the day of the injection up to 14 days thereafter. Adverse events were reported by the patient or detected by the investigator by performing study assessments (e.g., full ophthalmic examination). For each AE, the investigator indicated whether he or she deemed it to be treatment related. Treatment-related AEs either were related to THR-687, to the intravitreal injection procedure, or both. Best-corrected visual acuity was recorded as ETDRS letter score.

Spectral-domain OCT with Heidelberg Spectralis software version 5.1 or beyond was used to assess retinal properties, including CST. Widefield FA (Optomap) with Vantage software version 3.4 or beyond or Advance software was used to assess nonperfusion index, vascular leakage index, and macular capillary leakage. Color fundus photography with an Optos device was used to assess the severity of diabetic retinopathy. To ensure accuracy and standardization of ophthalmic assessments across the study sites, both the imaging equipment and the photographers were certified by the central reading center (Duke Reading Center), which also performed the grading of the images. THR-687 levels in plasma were analyzed at Charles River Laboratories by using a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method.

Schedule of Assessments

Eight study visits were scheduled: screening (maximum 28 days before the date of the study treatment), the day of the study treatment (day 0), day 1, day 7, day 14, month 1, month 2, and month 3. Eligibility was assessed at the screening visit based on demography, medical history, blood pressure, hematologic and biochemistry blood analysis (including glycated hemoglobin A1c), urine analysis, urine pregnancy test (for women of childbearing age), full ophthalmic examination (slit-lamp, intraocular pressure, and dilated fundus examination), BCVA, SD-OCT, FA, and color
fundus photography imaging. Eligibility was reconfirmed by the investigator at day 0 before study treatment. Refer to Supplemental Table 1 for the key inclusion and exclusion criteria.

After the study treatment, a postinjection assessment of the study eye was performed at least once within 60 minutes after the injection and was repeated as many times as needed at the discretion of the investigator to exclude central retinal artery nonperfusion or other complications. Urine and hematologic and biochemistry blood analyses were performed at screening, day 1, day 7, month 1, and month 3. At each visit, BCVA was assessed and a full ophthalmic examination was performed. Spectral-domain OCT was performed at each visit except for day 0 (by consequence, for CST, the day 1 value was considered the baseline value because this was the measurement obtained the closest to the injection). Widefield FA and color fundus photography were performed at screening and at month 3. THR-687 levels in plasma were determined at screening, day 1, and day 7.

Statistical Analysis

The all treated patients analysis set was used for all analyses and included data from all patients who received the intravitreal injection with THR-687. Descriptive statistics were presented by dose level and across dose levels. Missing data were not imputed, and the observed cases principle was used. Analyses of BCVA and SD-OCT parameters were adjusted to account for rescue treatment. This was carried out by replacing all BCVA and SD-OCT values after rescue treatment by the last value before or on the day of rescue treatment (last value before or on the day of rescue treatment carried forward). Descriptive summary statistics for continuous variables included mean, standard deviation, and range, as applicable. A 95% confidence interval (CI) of the mean was calculated based on the t distribution. Categorical data were expressed in count and percentage with the 95% CI using the exact binomial Clopper-Pearson method.

Results

Patient Disposition and Baseline Characteristics

Thirty-five patients were screened at 7 sites; 23 of these screenings resulted in failure. The most common reason for screening failure was not meeting the BCVA inclusion criterion. Twelve patients were treated at 6 sites. All patients received a single intravitreal injection of THR-687: 3 patients received 0.4 mg, 3 patients received 1.0 mg, and 6 patients received 2.5 mg of THR-687 (further referred to as low-dose, middle-dose, and high-dose levels, respectively). All patients attended each study visit and completed the study; the total duration of follow-up after the intravitreal injection ranged from 79 to 93 days.

Demographics and baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Patient demographics were well-balanced across the different dose levels. The mean age of the patients was 57.8 years (standard deviation, 10.4 years) and ranged between 38 and 72 years. Most patients were men and White (9/12 patients each). No relevant imbalances were found between dose levels in baseline BCVA; however, mean baseline CST was lower in the patients treated at the high-dose level. Most patients (9/12) had moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR). The other 3 patients (all treated at the low or medium dose level), had severe NPDR or proliferative diabetic retinopathy. All patients had received previous treatment with anti-VEGF agents, with the last injection administered between 1.5 and 16 months before study treatment. The number of prior anti-VEGF injections ranged from 3 to 19. Two of 12 patients also had received previous treatment with corticosteroids.

Safety Outcomes

THR-687 was well tolerated, and no DLTs were reported at any dose level. All ocular AEs are summarized in Table 2. No serious AEs occurred, and no AEs led to discontinuation from the study. Overall, 5 patients (41.7%) experienced 9 AEs in the study eye. No AEs occurred in the fellow eye. One nonocular AE occurred: food poisoning.

For 3 patients (1 patient at each dose level), 4 AEs occurred in the study eye that were deemed treatment related by the investigator. These AEs were conjunctival hemorrhage (1 patient in the low-dose group and 1 patient in the middle-dose group), eye pain (1 patient in the high-dose group), and increased intraocular pressure (the same patient in the low-dose group). All treatment-related events were mild, started on the day of the injection, and resolved within 28 days without treatment. Considering the nature of these AEs, they are deemed related to the intravitreal injection procedure.

Other (nontreatment-related) AEs reported in the study eye were ocular hypertension, vision blurred, and diabetic retinal edema (worsening of DME). Diabetic retinal edema was reported in 1 patient at the low-dose level and 2 patients at the high-dose level. This AE was considered related to the underlying disease progression. In line with this, all patients for whom diabetic retinal edema was reported as an AE also received rescue treatment. The patient in the low-dose group received bevacizumab between the month 1 and month 2 visit, whereas both patients in the high-dose group received aflibercept, 1 patient at the month 1 visit and the other patient at the month 2 visit. None of the other patients in the study received rescue treatment.

In general, individual glycated hemoglobin A values did not change during the study. Any abnormal laboratory values were in line with the underlying or concomitant disease(s), and accordingly, no AEs were reported for laboratory parameters.

THR-687 levels were detectable in plasma in a dose level-dependent manner on the day after study treatment, with mean plasma levels of 0.33 ng/ml (standard deviation, 0.027 ng/ml), 0.79 ng/ml (standard deviation, 0.601 ng/ml), and 2.25 ng/ml (standard deviation, 0.895 ng/ml) in the low-, middle-, and high-dose groups, respectively. By day 7 after injection, THR-687 levels were below the lower limit of detection in all patients.

Exploratory Efficacy Outcomes

Mean changes from baseline in BCVA for all patients combined and by dose level are shown in Figure 1A. Overall, a mean gain of 7.2 letters (95% CI, 4.1–10.3 letters) in BCVA was observed at day 7. The gain was the highest at month 1, with a mean gain of 9.2 letters (95% CI, 5.1–13.2 letters). The gain in BCVA was maintained.
up to the end of the study (month 3), with a mean gain of 8.3 letters (95 % CI, 4.0–12.6 letters). When assessed by dose level, the highest mean gains in BCVA were seen at all study visits for the high-dose level.

Figure 1B shows the number of patients gaining 2 or more lines and 3 or more lines of vision at month 1 and month 3. A 2-line or more gain in BCVA from baseline was observed in 7 of 12 patients (58.3 %) at month 1 and 4 of 12 patients (33.3 %) at month 3. A 2-line or more gain in BCVA was observed more frequently in patients treated at the high-dose level: 4 of 7 patients with BCVA gain of 2 lines or more at month 1 were treated at the high-dose level and 4 of 4 patients at month 3. A 3-line or more gain in BCVA from baseline was observed in 3 of 12 patients (25 %) at month 1 and 2 of 12 patients (16.7 %) at month 3, all of which were treated at the high-dose level. A 1-line or more decline in BCVA from baseline was not observed (in any of the study patients, at any of the study visits).

Mean changes from baseline in CST for all patients combined and by dose level are shown in Figure 2. Overall, the mean CST decreased marginally up to month 1. The largest decrease was observed at day 7 with a mean of 43.9 μm (95 % CI, 88.7 to 0.9 μm). A mean increase of 4.1 μm (95 % CI, 69.4 to 77.6 μm) was seen at month 3. When assessed by dose level, the highest mean decreases in CST were seen for the high-dose level.

No clinically meaningful changes from baseline were observed for any of the FA assessments at month 3. None of

### Table 1. Patient Demographics and Baseline Characteristics

| Characteristic                        | THR-687 Dose Level | Overall (n = 12) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|                                       | Low (n = 3)        | Middle (n = 3)  | High (n = 6) | No. (%) 95 % Confidence Interval No. of Events |
| Age (yrs) Mean (SD)                   | 58.0 (9.54)        | 59.7 (8.08)     | 56.8 (13.14) | 57.8 (10.41) |
| Minimum—maximum                       | 47–64              | 51–67           | 38–72        | 38–72        |
| Male, no. (%)                         | 2                  | 2               | 5            | 9 (75.0)    |
| White race, no. (%)                   | 2                  | 2               | 5            | 9 (75.0)    |
| HbA1c (%)                             |                    |                 |              |             |
| Mean (SD)                             | 6.87 (0.709)       | 8.47 (1.815)    | 8.93 (2.399) | 8.30 (2.023) |
| Minimum—maximum                       | 6.1–7.5            | 6.4–9.8         | 6.8–12.5     | 6.1–12.5    |
| Diabetic retinopathy scale, no. (%)   |                    |                 |              |             |
| Moderate NPDR                         | 1                  | 2               | 6            | 9 (75.0)    |
| Severe NPDR                           | 1                  | 0               | 0            | 1 (8.3)     |
| PDR                                   | 1                  | 1               | 0            | 2 (16.7)    |
| BCVA (ETDRS letters)                  |                    |                 |              |             |
| Mean (SD)                             | 59.3 (2.08)        | 54.7 (2.31)     | 55.7 (8.26)  | 56.3 (6.02) |
| Minimum—maximum                       | 57–61              | 52–56           | 39–61        | 39–61       |
| CST (μm)                              |                    |                 |              |             |
| Mean (SD)                             | 557.7 (123.50)     | 538.3 (41.30)   | 429.5 (78.11)| 488.8 (98.68)|
| Minimum—maximum                       | 434–681            | 491, 567        | 330, 524     | 330, 681    |
| Prior treatment for DME, no. (%)      |                    |                 |              |             |
| Anti-VEGF                             | 3                  | 3               | 6            | 12 (100.0)  |
| Corticosteroids                       | 1                  | 0               | 1            | 2 (16.7)    |
| BCVA = best-corrected visual acuity; CST = central subfield thickness; DME = diabetic macular edema; ETDRS = Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study; HbA1c = glycated hemoglobin A; NPDR = nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy; PDR = proliferative diabetic retinopathy; SD = standard deviation; VEGF = vascular endothelial growth factor.

*All subjects received prior anti-VEGF and/or corticosteroids treatment, per study inclusion criteria.

### Table 2. Summary of Ocular Adverse Events in the Study Eye

| Characteristic                        | THR-687 Dose Level | Overall (n = 12) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|                                       | Low (n = 3)        | Middle (n = 3)  | High (n = 6) | No. (%) 95 % Confidence Interval No. of Events |
| AE in the study eye                   |                    |                 |              |             |
| Conjunctival hemorrhage*              | 1                  | 1               | 3            | 5 (41.7) 15.2–72.3 9 |
| Diabetic retinal edema                | 0                  | 0               | 1            | 2 (16.7) 2 |
| Eye pain*                             | 0                  | 0               | 1            | 1 (8.3) 1 |
| IOP increased*                        | 1                  | 1               | 0            | 1 (8.3) 1 |
| Ocular hypertension                   | 0                  | 0               | 1            | 1 (8.3) 1 |
| Vision blurred                        | 0                  | 0               | 1            | 1 (8.3) 1 |
| AE = adverse event; IOP = intraocular pressure.

*Deemed treatment related (THR-687 or intravitreal injection related, or both) by the investigator.
the patients showed worsening from baseline in diabetic severity level at month 3. For 1 of 12 patients (8.3 %), the diabetic retinopathy severity level improved from severe NPDR at baseline to moderate NPDR at month 3.

Discussion

In this first-in-human phase 1 study, the safety and preliminary efficacy of a single intravitreal injection of THR-687 was assessed in patients with center-involved DME who had received anti-VEGF treatment, corticosteroid therapy, or both and, in the opinion of the investigator, remained responsive to treatment. This population was selected to ensure that the patients were able to respond to treatment for DME. THR-687 was safe and well tolerated at all dose levels tested. No DLTs occurred and no safety signals of concern were seen during the 3-month follow-up period after the single intravitreal administration of THR-687. All treatment-related AEs in the study eye were mild, resolved without treatment, and likely were related to the injection procedure, rather than to THR-687 itself.

Preliminary efficacy was observed by gain in BCVA. Overall, across dose levels, a rapid onset of action occurred, with a mean gain of 7.2 letters from baseline in BCVA seen at day 7. Over time, the largest gain in BCVA was observed at month 1 with a mean gain of 9.2 letters. The effect of THR-687 was durable, with an overall mean BCVA gain of
8.3 letters at the end of the study (month 3). At all study visits, the highest BCVA gains were seen at the high-dose level. Overall, across dose levels, mean decreases from baseline in CST were marginal up to month 1 and had returned to baseline values by month 2. For patients treated at the high-dose level, more clinically relevant decreases in CST were observed.

Before this study, other integrin inhibitors also had been studied in patients with DME. Risuteganib (Luminate; Allegro Ophthalmics) is a synthetic peptide that blocks several RGD integrins. Its safety and efficacy have been examined in the randomized, prospective, double-masked phase 2b DEL MAR study. In stage 1 of the DEL MAR study, 138 patients were treated with 1 of 3 dose levels of risuteganib (1.0 mg, 2.0 mg, or 3.0 mg) or to bevacizumab (control arm). All patients received 3 monthly intravitreal injections at day 0, month 1, and month 2. Patients in the bevacizumab arm could receive pro re nata injections of bevacizumab month 3 and month 4. Efficacy was assessed at 3 months after the third monthly injection (at month 5). Risuteganib 1.0 mg was found to be noninferior to bevacizumab in terms of gains in BCVA and central macular thickness (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier, NCT02348918).

In stage 2 of the DEL MAR study, 80 patients were randomized to arms in which risuteganib (0.5 mg or 1.0 mg) was given either as 3 monthly intravitreal injections at week 1, month 1, and month 2 after a single intravitreal injection of bevacizumab at day 0 (sequential treatment); or as 3 monthly intravitreal injections of combined risuteganib/bevacizumab at week 1, month 1, and month 2 after a single intravitreal injection of bevacizumab at day 0 (combination treatment); or as bevacizumab alone given as 3 monthly intravitreal injections at week 1, month 1, and month 2 followed by pro re nata injections at month 3 and month 4 (control arm). Efficacy was assessed at 3 months after the third monthly injection (at month 5). Risuteganib 1.0 mg was found to be noninferior to bevacizumab in terms of BCVA gain when it was given as a sequential treatment after bevacizumab. Risuteganib administered in combination with bevacizumab was inferior to sequential therapy and to bevacizumab alone. The durable gain in BCVA observed at 3 months after the third injection with risuteganib in the sequential treatment arm did not seem to correlate with changes in retinal architecture. Specifically, the observed mean decreases from baseline in central retinal thickness were the largest at 1 month after the third injection with risuteganib (at month 3) and were not maintained at month 4 and month 5, even as BCVA gains were maintained.

Although from a mechanistic point of view risuteganib and THR-687 are very similar, they differ in terms of potency. This was reflected in an in vitro functional cellular assay in which THR-687 inhibited endothelial cell migration with a half maximum inhibitory concentration at micrometer concentration (Oxurion internal data), whereas the half maximum inhibitory concentration of ALG-1001 was at micrometer concentration in a similar assay (3 logs difference). In addition, the DEL MAR study of risuteganib differed in many ways from the phase 1 study of THR-687 reported herein. Both stages of this study examined the effects of 3 administrations of risuteganib, whereas the study reported herein examined the effects of a single administration of THR-687. Nevertheless, the BCVA gains from baseline shown at 3 months after a single injection of THR-687 (8.3 letters) seem as durable as gains in BCVA from baseline 3 months after the last of 3 injections of risuteganib (7.1 letters in DEL MAR stage 2 sequential treatment with risuteganib 1.0 mg). However, it also should be noted that baseline BCVA in the DEL MAR stage 2 study was measured before the bevacizumab injection at day 0, and a gain of 4.1 letters already had occurred before the first administration of risuteganib. The durability of response to both risuteganib and THR-687 suggest that integrin inhibitors may be able to decrease the treatment frequency required with current anti-VEGF therapies.

SF0166 (SciFluor Life Sciences) is a topical (eye drop) antagonist of the RGD integrin αvβ3 that has been examined in a phase 1/2 study in 40 patients with DME.
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