Evaluation of three-dimensional biofilms on antibacterial bonding agents containing novel quaternary ammonium methacrylates
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Antibacterial adhesives are promising to inhibit biofilms and secondary caries. The objectives of this study were to synthesize and incorporate quaternary ammonium methacrylates into adhesives, and investigate the alkyl chain length effects on three-dimensional biofilms adherent on adhesives for the first time. Six quaternary ammonium methacrylates with chain lengths of 3, 6, 9, 12, 16 and 18 were synthesized and incorporated into Scotchbond Multi-Purpose. Streptococcus mutans bacteria were cultured on resin to form biofilms. Confocal laser scanning microscopy was used to measure biofilm thickness, live/dead volumes and live-bacteria percentage vs. distance from resin surface. Biofilm thickness was the greatest for Scotchbond control; it decreased with increasing chain length, reaching a minimum at chain length 16. Live-biofilm volume increased with increasing chain length. The adhesive with chain length 9 had 37% live bacteria near resin surface, but close to 100% live bacteria in the biofilm top section. For chain length 16, there were nearly 0% live bacteria throughout the three-dimensional biofilm. In conclusion, strong antibacterial activity was achieved by adding quaternary ammonium into adhesive, with biofilm thickness and live-biofilm volume decreasing as chain length was increased from 3 to 16. Antibacterial adhesives typically only inhibited bacteria close to its surface; however, adhesive with chain length 16 had mostly dead bacteria in the entire three-dimensional biofilm. Antibacterial adhesive with chain length 16 is promising to inhibit biofilms at the margins and combat secondary caries.
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INTRODUCTION

Dental resin composites are the principal materials for tooth cavity restorations due to their excellent esthetics and direct-filling capabilities.¹–⁴ Composite restorations are bonded to tooth structures via bonding agents.⁵–⁷ Studies have shown that secondary caries is one of the primary reasons for restoration failure.⁵,⁶,⁸–⁹ and replacing the failed restorations accounts for 50%–70% of all restorations performed.¹⁰–¹¹ The cause of caries is biofilm acid production;¹² therefore, efforts were made to develop antibacterial resins.¹³–¹⁴ Quaternary ammonium methacrylates (QAMs) were synthesized and copolymerized in dental resins to obtain antibacterial functions.¹⁵–²³ Because residual bacteria often exist in the prepared tooth cavity and microleakage could allow new bacteria to invade the tooth-restoration interface, it would be especially useful for the bonding agent to possess antibacterial functions.¹³–¹⁶,²⁴–²⁵

Quaternary ammonium salts (QAS) can cause bacteria lysis by binding to bacterial membranes.¹⁷,²⁶ When the negatively charged bacterial cell contacts the positively charged sites of the quaternary ammonium, the electric balance of the cell membrane could be disturbed, and the bacterium could be damaged or killed.¹⁷,²⁶ The long polymeric chains with positive charges appeared to contribute to the efficacy of bactericide.²⁷ Increasing the alkyl chain length (CL) increased the hydrophobicity, which could enhance the propensity to penetrate the hydrophobic bacterial membrane.²⁸ Therefore, cationic polymers with longer CL could be more effective in penetrating bacterial cells to disrupt membranes.²⁸–²⁹ However, to date, there has been no report on the effect of CL of QAMs in dental bonding agents, except a recent study.³⁰ That study synthesized a series of new QAMs with CL from 3 to 18, incorporated them into dental adhesive and achieved strong antibacterial efficacy, without compromising the dentin bond strength.³⁰ However, the three-dimensional (3D) biofilm structure and live/dead bacteria viability distribution along biofilm thickness vs. CL were not investigated.

Previous studies on dental biofilms were performed using light, scanning and transmission electron microscopy which provided...
two-dimensional (2D) view of the top surface of the biofilm or the structure of a single cell.\textsuperscript{\textdegree} Besides these established techniques, the application of confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) was also introduced in dental research for assessment of oral biofilms.\textsuperscript{33–37} CLSM allows horizontal and vertical optical sectioning of the 3D biofilm. The 3D biofilm structure can be reconstructed from 2D images of thin sections throughout the biofilm. Image-processing techniques are used for quantitative analysis of biofilms to obtain a detailed visualization of thick biofilm samples,\textsuperscript{38} which cannot be obtained via conventional phase contrast or fluorescence microscopy. Previous studies used CLSM techniques to analyze the 3D viability distribution in dental biofilms.\textsuperscript{36–37,39–40} However, there has been no report on the effect of CL on 3D viability distribution of biofilms adherent on dental bonding agents. It would be interesting to know: (i) how CL would affect biofilm thickness and live/dead biofilm volumes on adhesive resins; and (ii) if there would be more dead bacteria near the antibacterial bonding agent surface, and less dead bacteria in the biofilm further away from bonding agent surface.

Therefore, the objectives of this study were to incorporate QAMs into dental bonding agent, and investigate the effects of CL on the 3D biofilm structure, live biofilm volume and viability distribution along biofilm thickness adherent on dental bonding agents for the first time. It was hypothesized that: (i) the viability of 3D biofilms growing on dental adhesive containing QAM will decrease with increasing CL; (ii) CL of QAM in adhesive resin will have a significant effect on biofilm thickness and live and dead biofilm volumes; (iii) there will be less live bacteria in the biofilm near antibacterial bonding agent surface, and the percentage of live bacteria in the biofilm will increase with increasing distance away from bonding agent surface.

\textbf{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

\textbf{Synthesis of antibacterial QAMs with different chain length CL}

New QAMs were synthesized using a modified Menschutkin reaction via the addition reaction of a tertiary amine with an organohalide.\textsuperscript{20–23} A benefit of this reaction is that the reaction products are generated at the site of reaction and do not require purification.\textsuperscript{20} The 2-(dimethylamino) ethyl methacrylate (DMAEMA; Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) was the methacrylate-containing tertiary amine. For example, to synthesize dimethylaminododecyl methacrylate (DMADDM) with CL=12, 10 mmol of DMAEMA, 10 mmol of 1-bromododecane (TCI America, Portland, OR, USA) and 3 g of ethanol were added to a vial, which was capped and stirred at 70°C for 24 h.\textsuperscript{41} After the reaction was completed, the ethanol was removed via evaporation. This yielded DMADDM as a clear liquid, which was verified via Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy in a recent study.\textsuperscript{41} Using this method, six QAMs with CL of 3, 6, 9, 12, 16 and 18 were synthesized,\textsuperscript{36} namely:

(i) DMAEMA was reacted with 1-bromopropane to form dimethylaminopropyl methacrylate (DMAPM, CL=3).
(ii) DMAEMA was reacted with 1-bromohexane to form dimethylaminohexyl methacrylate (DMAHM, CL=6).
(iii) DMAEMA was reacted with 1-bromononane to form dimethylaminononyl methacrylate (DMANM, CL=9).
(iv) DMAEMA was reacted with 1-bromododecane to form DMAADD (CL=12).
(v) DMAEMA was reacted with 1-bromohexadecane to form dimethylaminohexadecyl methacrylate (DMAHDM, CL=16).
(vi) DMAEMA was reacted with 1-bromooctadecane to form dimethylaminooctadecyl methacrylate (DMAODM, CL=18).

\textbf{Processing of antibacterial bonding agents}

To formulate antibacterial bonding agents, Scotchbond multi-purpose bonding agent (SBMP; 3M, St Paul, MN, USA) was used as the parent system. According to the manufacturer, SBMP adhesive contained 60%–70% of bisphenol A diglycidyl methacrylate and 30%–40% of 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate, tertiary amines and photo-initiator. SBMP primer contained 35%–45% of 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate, 10%–20% of a copolymer of acrylic and itaconic acids and 40%–50% water. Each QAM was mixed SBMP primer at a QAM/ SBMP primer + QAM mass fraction of 10%, following previous studies.\textsuperscript{22–23,30} SBMP adhesive was also incorporated with 10% QAM.\textsuperscript{22–23,30} This yielded six antibacterial bonding agents, corresponding to the six QAMs. They are designated, respectively, as:

(i) SBMP+DMAPM (CL3);
(ii) SBMP+DMAHM (CL6);
(iii) SBMP+DMANM (CL9);
(iv) SBMP+DMAADD (CL12);
(v) SBMP+DMAHDM (CL16);
(vi) SBMP+DMAODM (CL18).

\textbf{Fabrication of resin specimens for biofilm culture}

Resin disks for biofilm experiments were fabricated using the cover of a sterile 96-well plate as molds.\textsuperscript{16} Following previous studies,\textsuperscript{16,30} 10 μL primer was brushed onto the bottom of each dent of approximately 8 mm in diameter. The primer was dried with a stream of air and then 20 μL of adhesive was applied. A Mylar strip was used to cover the adhesive which was then light-cured for 20 s (Optilux VCL 401; Demetron Kerr, Danbury, CT, USA). This yielded a cured resin disk of approximately 8 mm in diameter and 0.5 mm in thickness.\textsuperscript{30} The disks were removed from the cover of the 96-well plate, immersed in 200 mL of distilled water and stirred via a magnetic stirrer (Bellco Glass, Vineland, NJ, USA) at a speed of 100 r·min\(^{-1}\) for 1 h to remove any uncurled monomers, following previous studies.\textsuperscript{15} The disks were then dried, sterilized in an ethylene oxide sterilizer (Anprolene AN 74i; Andersen, Haw River, NC, USA) and then de-gassed for 7 days following manufacturer’s instructions.

The use of \textit{Streptococcus mutans} (\textit{S. mutans}) bacteria (ATCC700610; American Type, Manassas, VA, USA) was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Maryland.\textsuperscript{23} A 15 μL of \textit{S. mutans} stock bacteria was added to 15 mL of brain heart infusion broth (Becton, Sparks, MD, USA) and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO\(_2\) for 16 h; 150 μL of this \textit{S. mutans} suspension was then diluted by 10-fold in a growth medium which consisted of brain heart infusion supplemented with 0.2% sucrose to form \textit{S. mutans} inoculation medium of 1.5 mL.

\textbf{CLSM analysis of biofilms}

Seven bonding agent groups were tested: the six bonding agents containing QAM with different CLs and the unmodified SBMP as control. Six disks (\(n=6\)) were used for each bonding agent, requiring a total of 42 disks. Each disk was placed in a well of a 24-well plate and inoculated with 1.5 mL of the \textit{S. mutans} inoculation medium. The samples were incubated at 5% CO\(_2\) and 37°C. The medium consisted of brain heart infusion supplemented with 0.2% sucrose. After incubation for 8 h, the disks were transferred to new 24-well plates with fresh medium.\textsuperscript{21–23} After 16 h, the disks were transferred to new 24-well plates and incubated for 24 h. This totaled 2 days which were shown previously to form biofilms on resin specimens.\textsuperscript{21–23} The biofilms on the disks were washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline to remove loose
bacteria, and then stained using a BacLight live/dead kit (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA). Live bacteria were stained with Syto 9 to produce a green fluorescence. Bacteria with compromised membranes were stained with propidium iodide to produce a red fluorescence. The biofilms were investigated using a 3D model as previously described. The fluorescence was examined visualized using a CLSM (LSM510; Carl Zeiss, Thornwood, NY, USA). Green fluorescence was provided with an argon laser (488-nm laser excitation) and red fluorescence was given with a helium-neon laser (543 nm laser excitation). Images were taken from the bottom of the biofilm that was in contact with the resin disk surface, section by section to the top surface of the biofilm. For the purpose of illustration, an example of a biofilm on the SBMP control disk is shown in Figure 1. The biofilm section parallel to the resin surface was referred to as the x–y plane, and the direction perpendicular to resin surface is termed the z axis. The 2-day biofilm on SBMP control had a thickness of approximately 41 \mu m. Ten planes at equal distances along the z axis of the biofilm (indicated by the 10 white lines at the upper left corner) were imaged by CLSM. These 2D images were stacked to reconstruct the 3D biofilm image. 2D, two-dimensional; 3D, three-dimensional; CLSM, confocal laser scanning microscopy; SBMP, Scotchbond multi-purpose bonding agent.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). One way analyses of variance were used to detect the significant effects of the variables using a P value of 0.05.

RESULTS

A typical CLSM image of a 3D biofilm on SBMP control is shown in Figure 1 as described in the section on ‘CLSM analysis of biofilms’. Figure 2 shows representative images of biofilms on the six bonding agents containing QAM with various CL. Live bacteria were stained green, and dead bacteria were stained red. SBMP control and that with CL3 had primarily live bacteria. The amount of dead bacteria gradually increased when CL was increased to 6, 9 and 12. When CL was increased to 16, the biofilms were primarily dead with red staining. When CL was further increased to 18, there was an increase in green staining of live bacteria. Furthermore, the 3D images highlighted the differences in biofilm thickness, with biofilms on SBMP control being the thickest and that of CL16 being the thinnest.

The biofilm thickness results are quantified in Figure 3 (mean ± s.d.; n=6). Biofilm thickness steadily decreased from SBMP control to those containing QAM with increasing CL, reaching a minimum at CL16. When CL was further increased to 18, the biofilm thickness increased. Values indicated by dissimilar letters are significantly different from each other (P<0.05).
Figure 2  Typical 3D images of biofilms cultured for 2 days on bonding agents. (a) SBMP+DMAPM (CL3); (b) SBMP+DMAHM (CL6); (c) SBMP+DMANM (CL9); (d) SBMP+DMADDM (CL12); (e) SBMP+DMAHDM (CL16); (f) SBMP+DMAODM (CL18). Live bacteria were stained green and dead bacteria were stained red. CL, chain length; 3D, three-dimensional; DMADDM, dimethylaminododecyl methacrylate; DMAHDM, dimethylaminohexadecyl methacrylate; DMAHM, dimethylaminohexyl methacrylate; DMANM, dimethylaminononyl methacrylate; DMAODM, dimethylaminooctadecyl methacrylate; DMAPM, dimethylaminopropyl methacrylate; SBMP, Scotchbond multi-purpose bonding agent.
The biofilm volume results are plotted in Figure 4: live biofilm volume (Figure 4a) and dead biofilm volume (mean ± s.d.; n=6) (Figure 4b). SBMP control had the greatest live biofilm volume. The live biofilm volume gradually decreased with increasing CL, reaching a minimum at CL16, and then increased at CL18. The dead biofilm volume first increased with increasing CL and then reached a plateau. CL16 had the least live biofilm volume which was two orders of magnitude lower than that of SBMP control.

The biofilm volume results are plotted in Figure 4: live biofilm volume (Figure 4a) and dead biofilm volume (mean ± s.d.; n=6) (Figure 4b). SBMP control had the greatest live biofilm volume. The live biofilm volume gradually decreased with increasing CL, reaching a minimum at CL16, and then increased at CL18. The dead biofilm volume first increased with increasing CL and then reached a plateau. CL16 had the least live biofilm volume which was two orders of magnitude lower than that of SBMP control.
Typical live/dead staining images of 2D \(x-y\) sections are shown in Figure 5 for the top surface, the middle section and the bottom section (near the resin surface) of the biofilm. Three materials are shown in Figure 5 as examples: SBMP control (Figure 5a), SBMP+DMANM (CL9) (Figure 5b) and SBMP+DMAHDM (CL16) (Figure 5c). The top surface of SBMP control biofilm had the most live bacteria, while its bottom surface had a slight increase in dead bacteria amount. For SBMP+DMANM (CL9), the bottom of biofilm had noticeably more dead bacteria than the top section of the biofilm. For SBMP+DMAHDM (CL16), there appeared to be predominantly compromised bacteria throughout the biofilm thickness, although there appeared to be a small amount of live bacteria in the top section of the biofilm.

The biofilm vitality distribution in the different layers of the biofilm vs. biofilm height is shown in Figure 6 (mean ± s.d.; \(n=6\)). The vertical axis shows the percentage of live bacteria measured from 2D sections such as those in Figure 5. The horizontal axis indicates the biofilm thickness at which the 2D image was taken. SBMP control group and the group with CL3 had similar results; hence, the CL3 group was not included in Figure 6 to save space. SBMP control had a percentage of live bacteria of 63% at the biofilm bottom; it gradually increased and approached 100% near the top of the biofilm. At CL of 6 and 9, the percentage of live bacteria at the biofilm bottom decreased to 60% and 37%, respectively. However, the percentage of live bacteria was still nearly 100% at the top of the biofilm. At CL of 12, not only did the...
percentage of live bacteria decrease to 19% at the biofilm bottom, but the top only had 63%. At CL16, the percentage of live bacteria was close to 0% throughout the biofilm thickness. When CL was further increased to 18, biofilm percentage of live bacteria increased. These results show that: (i) most of the tested antibacterial bonding agents could only inhibit bacteria close to the resin surface and the antibacterial efficacy decreased in biofilm away from resin surface; and (ii) the bonding agent containing DMAHDM...
drops off and tends toward zero. Molecules containing chains becomes comparable to that of phospholipids, the free volume in the bilayer is small. When the hydrocarbon chain length of QAS shorter than that of phospholipids, the total free volume generated the density of the bilayer hydrophobic region is necessarily altered and bon chains are parallel to those of phospholipids. In this case, the polar ammonium heads will interact with those of phospholipids of the cell. This was explained as a cutoff effect.45 A similar phenomenon was observed in previous studies which were not related with the contact-killing mechanism of QAM resin in which the QAM was copolymerized with and immobilized in the resin. Hence, due to contact-killing effect, the bactericidal efficacy is decreased away from the surface due to a lack of contact. For example, for CL 9 in Figure 6, the percentage of live bacteria was only 37% near the antibacterial resin surface, but close to 100% away from the resin surface near the biofilm top.

Furthermore, CLSM examination of the present study showed that for SBMP control, the bottom layer of the biofilm adjacent to resin contained a higher proportion of nonviable bacteria than the upper layer of biofilm in contact with culture medium. This was likely because the deeper layer of the biofilm had less access to oxygen, a lower availability of primary nutrients and more secondary metabolites accumulation than the outer layer of the biofilm. For bonding agents containing QAMs, the compromised bacteria were more concentrated in the lower layer of the biofilm, and the viability percentage increased with the biofilm height away from the resin surface. This was likely related to the contact-killing mechanism of QAM resin in which the QAM was copolymerized with and immobilized in the resin. Hence, due to contact-killing effect, the bactericidal efficacy is decreased away from the surface due to a lack of contact. For example, for CL 9 in Figure 6, the percentage of live bacteria was only 37% near the antibacterial resin surface, but close to 100% away from the resin surface near the biofilm top.

For the bonding agent containing DMAHDM with CL16, the biofilm consisted mainly of dead microorganisms throughout the biofilm thickness. This may suggest another possible antibacterial mechanism. Previous studies suggested that a stress condition or challenge in bacteria could trigger a built-in suicide program in the biofilm,46–47 which was also called programmed cell death.46 Being challenged by bacterial agents may serve as a trigger for programmed cell death in the surrounding bacteria.46–47 Indeed, the present study showed that the bonding agent at CL16 killed the entire biofilm. This is consistent with a previous in vivo study showing that the biofilm on a QAM composite intraorally in human participants was dead not only on the resin surface, but also in the outer, more remote parts of the biofilm.48

This was explained as due to an intracellularly mediated death program, in which the bacterial lysis by QAM on the resin surface may function as a stressful condition triggering programmed cell death to the bacteria further away in the biofilm.48 Further study is needed to investigate if there is significant leachout of QAM from the resin which might contribute to killing bacteria at a distance away from the resin surface. In the present study, the resin disks were agitated in 200 mL of water via magnetic stirring for 1 h to remove possible uncured monomers, following a previous study.13 Further study should water-age the disks for long periods of time such as 6 months,49 and then inoculate bacteria to determine if the antibacterial activity is durable and if the bonding agent with CL16 can still kill the entire 3D biofilm. Nonetheless, the results of the present study such as Figure 6 clearly show the effect of CL in bonding agent on 3D biofilm properties, as all the disks were prepared and treated in the same manner.

Regarding potential applications of the bonding agent containing DMAHDM with CL16, recurrent caries at the tooth–restoration margins is a primary reason for restoration failure. Hence, the antibacterial bonding agent in the uncured state could flow into dentinal tubules and kill residual bacteria in the tooth cavity.14–15,24,50 The antibacterial bonding agent in the cured state could inhibit bacteria invasion along the margins, which could be especially useful to inhibit bacteria when marginal microgaps occur during service.14–15,24,50 Furthermore, there has been an increased interest in the less removal of tooth structure and minimal intervention dentistry.51 While the treatment could preserve tooth structure, it could also leave behind more carious tissues with active bacteria. Atraumatic restorative treatment does not remove the carious tissues completely, leaving remnants of lesions and bacteria.52 Therefore, these applications could potentially benefit from the bonding agent containing DMAHDM. In addition, DMAHDM could also be promising for incorporation into composites for...
antibacterial restorations.\textsuperscript{53–54} The materials need to be biocompatible for clinical applications. A recent study showed that the fibroblast viability and odontoblast viability of DMAHDM were better than bisphenol-glycerolate dimethacrylate.\textsuperscript{39} The eluents from the cured resin containing DMAHDM caused fibroblast viability and odontoblast viability that were not significantly different from the resin control without DMAHDM.\textsuperscript{39} Further studies are needed to investigate the potential dental applications DMAHDM-containing resins and composites.

CONCLUSIONS
This study investigated the effect of CL on 3D biofilm structure and live/dead viability variation vs. biofilm thickness on dental bonding agent for the first time. The results showed that: (i) strong antibacterial function was achieved by adding QAM into bonding agents; (ii) biofilm thickness and live volume decreased with increasing CL from 3 to 16, but then increased at CL of 18; (iii) except for CL16, antibacterial bonding agents with all tested CL values could only inhibit bacteria close to resin surface and the antibacterial efficacy decreased in the biofilm away from resin surface; (iv) the bonding agent containing DMAHDM with CL 16 yielded a percentage of live bacteria of close to 0% throughout the biofilm thickness. The 3D biofilm analysis via CLSM and digital reconstruction method is useful for understanding biofilm–resin interactions and antibacterial resin effects on biofilm structure and 3D viability distribution. Based on the specific resin formulations tested in this study, antibacterial bonding agents with CL16 are useful for a wide range of dental applications to combat bacteria and biofilms at tooth–restoration margins to inhibit secondary caries.
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