OPTICAL EMISSION ASSOCIATED WITH THE GALACTIC SUPERNOVA REMNANT G179.0+2.6
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ABSTRACT

Narrow passband optical images of the large Galactic supernova remnant G179.0+2.6 reveal a faint but nearly complete emission shell dominated by strong [O III] 4959,5007 Å line emission. The remnant’s optical emission, which consists of both diffuse and filamentary features, is brightest along its southern and northeastern limbs. Deep Hα images detect little coincidence emission indicating an unusually high [O III]/Hα emission ratio for such a large and apparently old remnant. Low-dispersion optical spectra of several regions confirm large [O III]/Hα line ratios with typical values around 10. The dominance of [O III] emission for the majority of the remnant’s optical filaments suggests shock velocities above 100 km s⁻¹ are present throughout most of the remnant, likely reflecting a relatively low density ambient ISM. The remnant’s unusually strong [O III] emission adds to the remnant’s interesting set of properties which include a thick radio emission shell, radial polarization of its radio emission like that typically seen in young supernova remnants, and an unusually slow-rotating gamma-ray pulsar with a characteristic spin-down age ≃ 50 kyr.

Subject headings: ISM: individual objects: G179.0+2.6, ISM: supernova remnant - shock waves - optical

1. INTRODUCTION

Of the nearly 300 currently confirmed Galactic supernova remnants (SNRs) (Green 2014), the majority were initially identified in the radio due to nonthermal radio emissions associated with shocked gas (Milne 1970; Downes 1971). Of these, only ∼30% exhibit coincident optical emission.

Here we report the discovery of extensive optical emission associated with the large (diameter ~ 70') shell-type Galactic SNR G179.0+2.6. This remnant was first detected in the radio at 1.42 and 2.695 GHz by Fürst & Reich (1986) who noted a triple polarized source near its center, which was later determined to be a background radio galaxy with bipolar jets (Fürst et al. 1989).

The remnant’s radio emission consists of an unusually thick shell (see Fig. 1) compared to similarly large and old SNRs. It has an estimated diameter ≃ 70 pc assuming a distance estimate of ∼ 3.5 kpc based on its surface brightness and the Σ – D relation of Milne (1979). Such a size implies an old remnant with an age > 10⁵ yr (Fürst et al. 1989). A radio source near its center is a gamma-ray pulsar (PSR J0554+3107) with an unusually long spin period of 0.465 s with a characteristic age of ≃ 52 kyr (Pletsch et al. 2013).

While the remnant’s overall radio emission spectral index α = −0.45 ± 0.11 (Gao et al. 2011) is unremarkable for an old SNR, its radial projected magnetic field is unexpected and more typical of young SNRs (Fürst & Reich 1986; Fürst et al. 1989; Gao et al. 2011), confirmed the radial arrangement of the remnant’s magnetic field and suggested the Galactic magnetic field in the remnant’s area might be oriented along the line of sight as a possible explanation, although they noted this was unlikely.
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Fig. 1.— 2695 MHz radio image of G179.0+2.6 and its surroundings from Fürst et al. (1990), highlighting its unusually thick radio emission shell relative to the nearby SNRs S147 and G182.4+4.3.

There has been no previously reported optical emission associated with the G179.0+2.6 SNR (hereafter referred to as simply G179). No coincident emission is visible on the Palomar Sky Surveys (DSS1 and DSS2), or the emission-line survey of the Galactic plane of Parker et al. (1979). However, a partial shell of faint Hα emission can be seen at the remnant’s position in the Virginia Tech Spectral Line Survey (VTSS) of the Galactic Plane (Denison et al. 1998; Finkbeiner 2003) and small patches of emission filaments especially along the remnant’s northeastern limb are visible in the Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) Photometric Hα Survey (IPHAS) of the Northern Galactic Plane (Drew et al. 2005; González-Solares et al. 2008).

One of us (TH) obtained deep Hα and [O III] filter images of G179 in a search of associated optical emission. These images revealed a surprisingly complete [O III] emission shell coincident with the remnant’s radio shell. Despite similarly deep Hα images, little coincident Hα
Fig. 2.— Top: A 9.2 hour Curridge Observatory [O III] 5007 Å image of G179.0+2.6 showing a nearly complete shell of emission. Bottom: Same image with overlay of the 100 m Effelsberg 2695 MHz brightness temperature contours [Fürst et al. 1990].
emission was detected.

This discovery was followed-up by additional [O III] and Hα images plus low-dispersion optical spectra of a few representative filaments. Optical images and spectra of G179 are described in §2 with results presented in §3 and discussed in §4.

2. OBSERVATIONS

Discovery of wide-spread optical emission from the G179 supernova remnant was made in November 2016 using a 90 mm refractor at the Curdridge Observatory in Southampton, UK. Images were taken using a Astrodon 30 Å FWHM filter and a Atik 490 camera employing a Sony ICX814 CCD which was binned 2 × 2 yielding 1690 × 1352 pixels. This system provided a FOV of 64′ × 86′ with an image scale of 3′′/pixel.

Combining 22 dithered 1500 s exposures for a total exposure time of 9.2 hr, faint [O III] emission was detected coincident with the remnant’s radio emission. Similarly long 30 Å FWHM Hα filter exposures showed little coincident emission.

Follow-up images were obtained using a 0.4 m telescope at Orange Observatory in eastern France. Narrow passband Hα and [O III] images (FWHM = 30 Å) were taken using a Astrodon and a Moravian G4 camera with a KAF-16803 CCD with 4096 × 4096 pixels. This system yielded a FOV of 83′ × 83′ with an image scale of 1″/22 pixel⁻¹.

A series of 35 dithered 600 s Hα images and 66 dithered 600 s [O III] images were obtained between December 2016 and March 2017. These were then combined into a 5.8 hr Hα exposure and a 11 hr [O III] exposure of the remnant.

Guided by these wide-field images of the remnant’s [O III] emission, additional images of the remnant’s northeastern and southwestern limbs were obtained in February and March 2017 using the 1.3m McGraw-Hill telescope at Kitt Peak, AZ. These were taken using a [O III] filter (FWHM = 50 Å) using either a 2k × 2k or a 1k × 1k SiTe CCDs. On-chip binning yielded an image scale of 1.06 arcsec pixel⁻¹. The image of the SW region was obtained from 4 × 1500 s exposures, while two overlapping NE regions were obtained using 2 × 1200 s exposures and taken under thin cloud conditions.

Low-dispersion spectra of four filamentary regions in the NE and SW were obtained in January and February 2017 with the 2.4m Hiltner telescope at MDM Observatory and the OSMOS Spectrograph (Martini et al. 2011). Using a blue VPH grism (R = 1600), single 2000 s exposures were taken of four filamentary regions covering 3900–6800 Å with a spectral resolution of 1.2 Å pixel⁻¹.

Standard pipeline data reduction of MDM images and spectra using IRAF was performed. Direct images were bias-subtracted, flat-field corrected using twilight sky flats, and averaged to remove cosmic rays and improve signal-to-noise. Spectra were similarly reduced using IRAF and the software L. A. Cosmic (van Dokkum 2001) to remove cosmic rays. Spectra were calibrated using an Ar lamp and spectroscopic standard stars (Oke 1974, Massey & Gronwal 1990).

3. RESULTS

Figure 2 shows G179’s [O III] emission structure along with an overlay of the remnant’s radio emission contours as seen by the Effelsberg 100 m radio telescope at 11 cm (2695 MHz) (Furst et al. 1990). The remnant’s optical emission forms a nearly complete shell, brightest along its northeastern, southern, and southwestern limbs. Although most of the [O III] emission is diffuse, some sharp filaments are present along the northeast and southwest limb regions as shown in Figure 3.

Figure 4 shows a comparison of the [O III] and Hα images of G179. These images make it clear that the remnant’s optical emission is dominated by [O III] emission. Except for the northeastern limb, little Hα emission is detected along most of the remnant’s radio shell. This helps explain why the remnant was missed in previous Hα surveys of Galactic SNRs searching for associated optical emission. Not visible in the [O III] images, some exceedingly faint and diffuse Hα emission appears present inside the remnant’s lower western interior region.

In the few regions where some Hα emission is detected, its morphology is different from that of the remnant’s [O III] emission. For example, as shown in the lower panels of Figure 4 for the remnant’s northeastern limb area, one finds little correspondence between filaments bright in [O III] to those bright in Hα. The broad and extended “V” shaped Hα emission feature seen here from the IPHAS image survey (lower right panel) exhibits little coincident [O III] emission. Conversely, the bright [O III] filament some 30′′ to the north from this Hα filament is largely absent in Hα emission. Weak Hα line emission is a property present throughout the remnant’s extensive [O III] emission structure.

3.1. Images

Due to the remnant’s faint optical emissions, low-dispersion spectra were only obtained of the remnant’s brighter northeastern and southwestern filaments. Slit positions are indicated in Figure 3, with the resulting spectra presented in Figure 5. Observed emission line fluxes relative to Hα are listed in Table 1.

The [O III] and Hα images of G179 had already suggested unusually high [O III]/Hα ratios and this was confirmed by spectra. We measured values around 10 for three of the four regions sampled (see Table 1). The lack of detected Hβ 4861 Å emission prevents tabulating the strength of line emissions relative to Hβ, the usual ratio used to express the relative strength of [O III] emission in SNRs.

In places where the optical emission was bright enough to detect [S II] line emission, we find [S II]/Hα ratios >0.4, i.e., above the standard criteria for identifying shock emission (D’Odorico et al. 1980, Blair et al. 1981, Dopita et al. 1984, Fesen et al. 1985). However, the remnant’s faintness prevented a firm measurement of the ratio of the density sensitive [S II] 6716, 6731 Å emission lines. For one slit position, namely NE 1a, we measured a 6716/6731 ratio ≥ 1.30 which indicates a density close to the low density limit, ≤ 100 cm⁻³ (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006).

4. DISCUSSION
Fig. 3.—MDM 1.3m [O III] images of northeastern region (top) and southwestern regions (bottom) of G179.0+2.6 with slit positions indicated where low-dispersion spectra were obtained.
With an angular diameter of $\approx 70''$, G179 ranks among the largest Galactic SNRs known. Moreover, at a distance of $\sim 3.5$ kpc (Furst & Reich 1986), this translates into a linear diameter $\approx 70$ pc, indicative of a relatively old SNR and one likely in the later Sedov-Taylor phase of adiabatic expansion. The presence of an unusually slowly rotating gamma-ray pulsar, PSR J0554+3107, with a characteristic spin down age around 52 kyr (Pletsch et al. 2013) is consistent with the picture of an well evolved SNR.

On the other hand, optical emission that is so dominated by [O III] line emission is relatively rare in Galactic remnants, especially large and old ones like G179. This is because [O III]/H$\alpha$ ratios around 2 or larger are generated by relatively fast shocks above $\approx 100$ km s$^{-1}$ (Raymond 1979; Shull & McKee 1979; Hartigan et al. 1987), unusual in large and highly evolved SNRs. Moreover, for there to be weak associated H$\alpha$ emission, the shock’s recombination zone must be ‘incomplete’, meaning the entire postshock cooling zone is not fully established. Such incomplete shocks are often identified by [O III]/H$\beta$ ratios greater than 10 and commonly associated with shocks in excess of 100 km s$^{-1}$.

In the case of the optical emission seen in G179 where the emission is so faint, H$\beta$ emission was not readily detected at any of our four slit positions, meaning [O III]/H$\beta$ ratios are likely quite high, exceeding 20 for positions SW 2 and NW 1b. Such strong [O III] emission filaments have been seen in filaments in a few remnants (Hester et al. 1994). One example lies in the Cygnus Loop where a filament along the remnant’s far eastern boundary shows a [O III]/H$\beta$ of 45, near the up-
This situation is similar to what is seen in G179 whose α one noticeable exception is the Hs recombination zones and shock velocities above 90 km s⁻¹. This value is consistent with a relatively high shock velocity through its structure despite its old age. A similar analysis and shock velocity probably applies to G179.

Although its true distance is currently uncertain, G179 is unlikely to lie at distances much greater than ~5 kpc. The remnant’s [O III] emission is so strong relative to Hα, foreground extinction toward the remnant is likely to be modest, a conclusion consistent with its anti-center galactic location. Reddening at l = 179.0 ± 0.3, b = 2.6 ± 0.3 based on a three-dimensional map presented in Green et al. (2015), which utilizes Pan-STARRS (Schlafly et al., 2014) and 2MASS (Skrutskie et al., 2006) photometry, indicate G179 lies in a region of relatively low extinction with E(B − V) values around 0.2 at 1 kpc rising above 0.4 around 5 kpc. Values of E(B − V) much greater than 0.3 would raise the [O III]/Hα above that seen in even extreme cases of incomplete recombination.

Table 1: Relative Fluxes in G179.0+2.6

| Line/Ratio        | SW 1   | SW 2   | NW 1a  | NW 1b   |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Hβ 4861           | <100   | <50    | <20    | <50     |
| [O III] 4949       | 280    | 380    | 110    | 330     |
| [O III] 5007       | 910    | 1150   | 390    | 1010    |
| Hα 6563            | 100    | 100    | 100    | 100     |
| [N II] 6583        | 40     | 52     | 40     | 33      |
| [S II] 6716        | <25    | 44     | 56     | <30     |
| [S II] 6731        | <25    | 34     | 25     | <30     |
| [S II]/Hα          | ...    | 0.78   | (0.80) | ...     |
| [S II] 6716/6731   | 1.30   | >1.3   | ...    | ...     |
| ρ (cm⁻³)           | <100   | <100   | ...    | ...     |
| Hα flux³           | 2.1    | 1.4    | 1.3    | 1.5     |

a Flux units: 10⁻¹⁶ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻².

per end of the range observed in SNRs (Fesen & Hurford 1996). It is believed this filaments represents material only recently shocked by the remnant’s 300+ km s⁻¹ shock front and has not yet had time to establish a full recombination zone.

However, examples of SNRs whose whole optical emission is dominated by [O III] line emission are rare. One large and old galactic SNR whose optical emission is like that of G179, is G65.3+5.7. It exhibits strong [O III] emission in almost all of its optical structure. This remnant was only discovered through deep [O III] images (Gull et al. 1977), and subsequently confirmed by radio observations (Reich et al. 1979). At an estimated distance of 0.8 − 1.0 kpc (Gull et al. 1977, Reich et al. 1979, Rosado 1981, Sitnik et al. 1989), its 3.3 × 4 degree angular size translates to a physical size ~ 70 pc, similar to G179.

Except for a small filamentary region known as Sharpless 91 (Sharpless 1959) along the southern limb of its 4 × 3.3 degree shell, most of G65.3+5.7’s filaments show large [O III]/Hβ ratios consistent with incomplete recombination zones and shock velocities above 90 km s⁻¹ (Fesen et al. 1985, Mavromatakis et al. 2002). The one noticeable exception is the Hα bright filament, S91. This situation is similar to what is seen in G179 whose only bright Hα emission is a few filaments along the remnant’s northeastern limb (see Fig. 4).

Based on [O III] line profiles, Boumis et al. (2004) estimated a global expansion velocity for G65.3+5.7 of ~155 km s⁻¹. This value is consistent with a relatively high shock velocity through its structure despite its old age. A similar analysis and shock velocity probably applies to G179.

For both G65.3+5.7 and G179, a relatively low surrounding ambient density is likely the cause for their optical emission to be nearly dominated by strong [O III] filaments, an otherwise rare property in older SNRs. A low density surrounding ISM, as has been suggested in the case of G65.2+5.7 caused by a pre-SN progenitor wind-blown cavity (Xiao et al. 2009), would also help explain why such [O III] emission dominate remnants are also so optically faint.

The distance to G179 is uncertain. A value of 3.5 kpc was cited by Fürst & Reich (1986) based on the Σ − D relation given by Milne (1979). However, Σ − D derived distances are controversial and widely viewed as not being especially accurate (Green 1991; Dubner & Giacani 2015). Indeed, a search of recent Σ − D distance estimates for G179 finds a wide range of estimated distance values: Case & Bhattacharya (1998) lists 6.1 kpc, Guseinov et al. (2003) cite a value of 2.9 kpc, while Pavlovic et al. (2014) lists a distance of 3.1 kpc but with a large uncertainty range of 2.1−8.2 kpc.

Although its true distance is currently uncertain, G179 is unlikely to lie at distances much greater than ~5 kpc. The remnant’s [O III] emission is so strong relative to Hα, foreground extinction toward the remnant is likely to be modest, a conclusion consistent with its anti-center galactic location. Reddening at l = 179.0 ± 0.3, b = 2.6 ± 0.3 based on a three-dimensional map presented in Green et al. (2015), which utilizes Pan-STARRS (Schlafly et al., 2014) and 2MASS (Skrutskie et al., 2006) photometry, indicate G179 lies in a region of relatively low extinction with E(B − V) values around 0.2 at 1 kpc rising above 0.4 around 5 kpc. Values of E(B − V) much greater than 0.3 would raise the [O III]/Hα above that seen in even extreme cases of incomplete recombination.

![Fig. 5.— Spectra of four regions in G179.0+2.6. See Figure 3 for locations of slit positions.](image-url)
In addition, at a distance of 5 kpc the remnant’s diameter would exceed 100 pc making it one of the physically largest known Galactic SNRs. Such a size would be hard to understand given the requirement of a shock velocity well in excess of 100 km s$^{-1}$ enabling it to exhibit incomplete recombination in the postshock cooling zone.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have discovered substantial optical emission from the large Galactic supernova remnant G179.0+2.6 which previously had no known associated optical emission. Narrow passband filter images reveal a nearly complete but faint emission shell dominated by strong [O III] line emission. Equally deep H$\alpha$ images detect little coincidence emission indicating an unusually widespread high [O III]/H$\alpha$ ratio for such a large and apparently old remnant. Low-dispersion optical spectra of several regions confirm large [O III]/H$\alpha$ line ratios, suggesting incomplete cooling in the postshock recombination zone. The remnant’s unusually strong [O III] emission suggests shock velocities above 100 km s$^{-1}$ throughout most of the remnant, likely reflecting a relatively low density ambient ISM.
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