Identification of Nanostructural and Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) on Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag Nanoparticle Composites
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Abstract. Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticles have been successfully synthesized using the coprecipitation method. The nanoparticles are the basic components in the fabrication of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag composites which have been synthesized using the chemical reduction method. To investigate the nanostructure, morphology, functional group, and specific absorption rate (SAR) value of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticles and Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag composites, material has been characterized using XRD, TEM, FTIR, and magneto-thermal instruments respectively. The XRD pattern showed that Mn had been successfully substituted well in the Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticles indicated by the shift of diffraction peak towards the smaller angle of 2θ. Through Rietica analysis, the crystal sizes of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ and Ag nanoparticles were 5.40 nm and 8.05 nm respectively. This result was confirmed well by TEM characteristics which showed that the average size of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ and Ag particles as much as 5.03 nm and 8.74 nm respectively. The success of Ag nanoparticles in Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag composites was from the distribution of Fe-O and amine functional groups which were the representation of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ and Ag nanoparticles. Furthermore, Ag nanoparticles were relatively effective in increasing the SAR value of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag composites of 0.19 W/g (without Ag) into 0.21 W/g (with 0.2 g Ag).
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1. Introduction
In the 21st century, the research development in the material field becomes an interesting study for many researchers [1]. One of the materials in demand to be studies is a magnetic material. Magnetic material such as magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) is multifunctional material which is able to be applied to the industrial, medic, and health fields, among them, are artificial muscles [2], sensor [3], drug production [4], antibacterial [5], antifungal [6], antitoxin [7], hyperthermia therapy [8], and others. In order to be applied to certain types of materials, the magnetic material must be engineered and modified in terms of its size, structure, texture, and material composition so that it has the desired unique properties.
The engineering of Fe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles has been successfully reported by several researchers regarding its application potential on the biomedical field. Among them, Fe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles can be increased in temperature until it reaches 47 $^\circ$C through the influence of AC magnetic field and is predicted to be able to kill cancer cells when the sample is placed in the body area exposed to cancer [8]. Another report also revealed that the specific absorption rate (SAR) of magnetic nanoparticles could reach the numbers of 3.7-325 W/g under the influence of a specific magnetic field on the frequency range of 123-430 kHz which is able to be applied to hyperthermia therapy [9]. Besides, magnetic material engineering by compositing the Fe$_2$O$_4$ material with nontoxic materials such as Ag nanoparticles to be applied in the biomedical field also successfully reported by several researchers [10-14]. Fe$_2$O$_4$/Ag nanoparticle composites are significant potential to be applied on the biomedical field as an antitoxic material [15], antibacterial [16] and antifungal [17]. However, the research on engineering Fe$_2$O$_4$/Ag nanoparticle composites with Mn doping and its potential for medical applications such as hyperthermia therapy is still scarcely conducted. Thus, synthesis of Fe$_2$O$_4$/Ag nanoparticle composites with Mn doping is interesting to be conducted. In this research, Mn atom doping in Fe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles was chosen using a concentration as much as 25% of the sample composition. The doping composition was the optimum saturation magnetization of Mn$_0.25$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticles substituted by Mn atom [18].

The characterization of nanostructure and SAR value of Mn$_0.25$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag composites was conducted and analyzed more deeply to determine the effectiveness of doping Mn atoms and fillers of Ag nanoparticles in their potential applications for hyperthermia therapy. Through magneto-thermal characterization, the pattern of increasing temperature and SAR values of Mn$_0.25$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag composites will be further discussed in this report.

2. Methods

2.1. Synthesis of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag Nanoparticle Composite

The materials used in this research were iron sand taken from Sine beach, Tulungagung, Indonesia. The iron sand was separated using permanent magnet until Fe$_2$O$_4$ particle with around 30% of impurity was generated. Fe$_2$O$_4$ particles as the separation results were dissolved in hydrochloric acid with a certain composition and stirrer on a magnetic stirrer hotplate at room temperature for 30 minutes. The stirred solution was then filtered using filter paper to obtain a solid black solution. Next, the solution mixed with manganese (II) chloride tetrahydrate and titrated with ammonium hydroxide. The mixing results were deposited and washed using distilled water until Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticles were obtained.

The composite process of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag nanoparticles was initiated with the sonification process of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticles and distilled water for 10 minutes. The solution as the sonification result was then mixed with silver nitrate and stirred using hotplate magnetic stirrer at the temperatures ranged between 343–363 K. Furthermore, Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticle composites and silver nitrate were reduced and proceeded with titration of ammonium hydroxide until the pH of the solution reached 11. The process was ended by washing the sample to normal pH and producing Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag nanoparticle composites.

2.2. Characterization of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag Nanoparticle Composites

The characterization of Ag, Fe$_2$O$_4$ and Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticle structures were conducted using the XRD Philips X-pert MDp instruments. The data of characterization results were analyzed using Rietica software to determine the crystal size, lattice parameter, and particle size of Ag, Fe$_2$O$_4$, Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$, and Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag samples. To confirm the sizes of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag nanoparticle composites, the samples were characterized using Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) from JEM1400 JEOL. In addition, samples were also characterized using the Fourier Transform Infra-Red (FTIR) Shimadzu Brand, IRPrestige Type 21. This characterization aimed to reveal the energy absorbed by each functional group of constituent compounds of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag nanoparticle
composites. Through the absorbed energy content, it could be determined what compounds were contained in the samples.

To obtain the SAR value, Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag, samples were characterized using magneto-thermal instrument. This tool was equipped with electromagnetic which can be controlled frequency so it would generate energy changes received by the sample. The energy absorbed by the sample would change the temperature of the sample until a certain time interval. The pattern of changing the temperature of the sample for this time interval was then obtained to obtain the SAR value of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag nanoparticle composites. The characteristics of SAR value obtained will give important information from the samples as a potential material for hyperthermia therapy application.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. X-Ray Diffractions Characterization

Figure 1(a) is the X-ray diffraction pattern of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ samples, while Figure 1(b) is the magnification of the highest peak of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ phases. All XRD data were analyzed and refined using Rietica software. The refinement results showed that the crystal lattice parameter for Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticles were 8.36 Å and 8.40 Å respectively. The lattice parameter of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticles tended to be bigger than Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. This is caused by Mn$^{2+}$ cation has a bigger diameter which was ~0.89 Å than Fe$^{2+}$ cation which was ~0.77 Å and Fe$^{3+}$ cation which was ~0.64 Å [19], accordingly the crystal lattice parameter of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles with doping Mn would be greater than without doping Mn. This result corresponded to the research conducted by several previous researchers who reported that the lattice parameters of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles experienced an increase when Mn doping was performed [19–22].

Furthermore, the increase in lattice parameter of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles doped with Mn affected the shift of peaks in the X-ray diffraction pattern towards the smaller 2-theta angle as shown in Figure 1(b). This shift indicates that the lattice parameters of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticles are larger than Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. This result is in accordance with the Bragg Law equation which says that the lattice parameters of the particle crystal structure are inversely proportional to the change in 2-theta angle [23]. Besides, shifts in the diffraction peaks of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticles towards the smaller 2-theta angle showed that Mn$^{2+}$ cation effectively substituted Fe$^{3+}$ cation position in the Mn doping process to synthesize Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticles.
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Figure 1. The X-ray diffraction pattern of (a) Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ samples, and (b) magnification on the highest peak of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ phases.
The characterization of crystal nanostructure was also performed to Ag, Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$, and Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag particles as shown in Figure 2. In Figure 2, the entire diffraction peak Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag (Figure 2 above) is a representation of the combination of Ag nanoparticle diffraction peaks (Figure 2 below) and diffraction peaks of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag nanoparticles (Figure 2 middle part). This indicated that Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticle composites and Ag nanoparticle composites could be produced well. This result is also in accordance with previously published reports [24] - [26]. Through refinement using Rietica software, the results of XRD data analysis on Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag composites generated the average crystal size for Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ and Ag phases were 5.40 nm and 8.05 nm respectively.
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**Figure 2.** The XRD pattern of Ag, Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$, and Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag nanoparticles.

### 3.2. FTIR Characterization

The FTIR characterization profile for Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ and Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag nanoparticles is shown in Figure 3. From the vibration pattern for the low wavelength, the energy absorption of the two samples occurs in the wavenumbers ranged between 417-585 cm$^{-1}$. The range of wavelengths is characteristic of the functional group of Fe-O bound [27]. Meanwhile, specifically vibration at the wavenumber of 522 cm$^{-1}$ showed Fe-O vibration which occupied tetrahedral part [28], where most likely Mn$^{2+}$ cation replaced the composition of Fe$^{3+}$ cation [20]. The vibration due to Fe-O also occurred at the wavenumber of 631 cm$^{-1}$ [29,30], and 1356 cm$^{-1}$ [29].
The energy absorption of both samples also occurred at the wavenumbers of 1631 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3455 cm\(^{-1}\) [28], [31], where the wavenumber corresponds to the O-H bond function group. This shows that both samples are still indicated to contain water. Besides, energy absorption for Mn\(_{0.25}\)Fe\(_{2.75}\)O\(_4\)/Ag nanoparticles was clearly seen at the wavenumbers of 992 and 1516 cm\(^{-1}\) as shown in Figure 3(b). This energy uptake signifies the amine group caused by a reduction agent in the synthesis process of Ag, ammonium hydroxide solution. Thus, all energy uptake shown in Figure 3 is well identified and in accordance with the functional group bonds of each sample constituent bond.

3.3. TEM Characterization

TEM characterization on Mn\(_{0.25}\)Fe\(_{2.75}\)O\(_4\)/Ag composites with 20 nm scale bar were presented in Figure 4(a). Also, the distribution of Mn\(_{0.25}\)Fe\(_{2.75}\)O\(_4\) and Ag material articles sizes respectively are presented in Figures 4 (b) and 4 (c). In Figure 4 (a) it appears that Ag nanoparticles (with yellow marks) appeared to be darker than Mn\(_{0.25}\)Fe\(_{2.75}\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles. Ag nanoparticles were evenly distributed and composited in Mn\(_{0.25}\)Fe\(_{2.75}\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles. The condition and shape of Ag nanoparticles in magnetic nanoparticle composites is in line with the research that has been done by Venkateswarlu et al. [31].

Based on the data analysis of Mn\(_{0.25}\)Fe\(_{2.75}\)O\(_4\)/Ag composite morphology, it was obtained the mean size of Mn\(_{0.25}\)Fe\(_{2.75}\)O\(_4\) and Ag material particles as much as 5.03 nm and Ag 8.74 nm respectively as shown in the histogram of Mn\(_{0.25}\)Fe\(_{2.75}\)O\(_4\) nanoparticle size distribution (Figure 4(b)) and Ag (Figure 4(c)). This result corresponds to the results of characterization using XRD as discussed earlier, namely the average crystal size for Mn\(_{0.25}\)Fe\(_{2.75}\)O\(_4\) and Ag phases as much as 5.40 nm and 8.05 nm respectively. Thus, the Ag nanoparticle filler distribution is in the matrix of Mn\(_{0.25}\)Fe\(_{2.75}\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles. It can be concluded that it is spread well as evidenced by the morphological results of Mn\(_{0.25}\)Fe\(_{2.75}\)O\(_4\)/Ag composites and accuracy of filler size and its matrix from the XRD and TEM data analysis.
Figure 4. (a) TEM image of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag nanoparticle composites, (b) histogram of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticle size distribution, and (c) histogram of Ag nanoparticle distribution

3.4. Magneto-thermal Characterization

Magnetic-thermal characterization was successfully carried out by using alternating current frequencies of 553 Hz for 30 minutes by recording data every 3 minutes. The data fitting results against Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ and Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag nanoparticles each is presented in Figure 6 (a) and 6 (b). While the comparison of the experimental data of the two samples is presented in Figure 6 (c).

Based on the data analysis as shown in Figure 6, the Ag nanoparticle composite into Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticles can increase the temperature of composite material when the material is under the influence of the AC current magnetic field. On the minute 30, Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticle reached the temperature of 9 °C while Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag was able to reach a temperature of 12 °C. This difference has been seen since the third minute where samples with Ag composites showed a significant increase in temperature while samples without Ag composites did not show temperature changes until the sixth minute. After the sixth minute, the two materials both experience an increase in temperature.

From magneto-thermal characterization and data analysis, SAR values of Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$ nanoparticles and Mn$_{0.25}$Fe$_{2.75}$O$_4$/Ag composites can be determined. The calculation of SAR value was conducted using Equation 1 [32–34].

$$\text{SAR} = \left( \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t} \right) \frac{C}{m}$$

(1)

where $T$ is the sample temperature of characterization results, $t$ is the interval time when the measurement was performed, $C$ is the heat capacity constant of sample material, and $m$ is the sample mass. The $C$ heat capacity constant of the sample was obtained from the calculation as in Equation 2 [8].
\[ C = \left( \frac{m\text{magnetite} \times c\text{magnetite}}{m\text{total}} \right) + \left( m\text{silver} \times c\text{silver} \right) \]  

(2)

with \( m\text{magnetite} \) and \( m\text{silver} \), each was the mass of \( \text{Mn}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_{2.75}\text{O}_4 \) and the mass of Ag, \( c\text{magnetite} \) and \( c\text{silver} \) each was the specific heat capacity of \( \text{Mn}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_{2.75}\text{O}_4 \) and Ag. The SAR calculation results are presented in Table 1.

**Table 1. The SAR value of \( \text{Mn}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_{2.75}\text{O}_4 \) and \( \text{Mn}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_{2.75}\text{O}_4/\text{Ag} \) nanoparticles**

| Material                | Mass of Magnetite (g) | Mass of Silver (g) | Total Mass (g) | \( C \) (J/gK) | SAR (W/g) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| \( \text{Mn}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_{2.75}\text{O}_4 \) | 1                     | 0                  | 1              | 0.651          | 0.19      |
| \( \text{Mn}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_{2.75}\text{O}_4/\text{Ag} \) | 0.8                   | 0.2                | 1              | 0.567          | 0.21      |

The data in Table 1 showed that the SAR value of \( \text{Mn}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_{2.75}\text{O}_4/\text{Ag} \) composites was greater compared to \( \text{Mn}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_{2.75}\text{O}_4 \) nanoparticles. These results proved that Ag nanoparticles were relatively effective in increasing the SAR value of \( \text{Mn}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_{2.75}\text{O}_4/\text{Ag} \) composites from 0.19 W/g (without Ag) into 0.21 W/g (with 0.2 g Ag). However, this SAR value is still considered relatively low when
comparatively to the SAR value obtained by other researchers. Shah et al. [9] report SAR values of magnetic nanoparticles can reach the numbers of 3.7-325 W/g under the influence of a specific magnetic field with the frequency ranged between 123-430 kHz. Then Kekalo, et al. [35] explained that the SAR value of gamma-Fe₂O₃ particle was at the range of 22–200 W/g under the influence of alternating magnetic field at the frequency of 160 kHz. Meanwhile, Sathya et al. [36] also showed that the SAR value was not significantly different from the previous research which was 215 W/g with the frequency of alternating magnetic field of 126 kHz for Fe₃O₄ material. The low SAR value obtained from this report was one of them because the frequency used was relatively low compared to previous researchers' reports. Thus, it is necessary to have a high adequate frequency setting to generate SAR values so that they can be effectively applied to biomedical sources.

4. Conclusion
The magnetite material in this study has been synthesized using the coprecipitation method, while the silver material in this study was successfully synthesized by using a chemical reduction method with an NH₃OH reduction. From X-Ray Diffraction data analysis, Mn⁴⁺ cation has been substituted well in the Fe₂O₃ forming Mn₉.25Fe₂.75O₄ nanoparticles. Besides, Ag nanoparticles have also been successfully composed inside Mn₉.25Fe₂.75O₄ nanoparticles forming Mn₉.25Fe₂.75O₄/Ag material. Based on the magneto-thermal test results, the addition of Ag nanoparticles on Mn₉.25Fe₂.75O₄ nanoparticle can increase the SAR value of SAR Mn₉.25Fe₂.75O₄/Ag composite from 0.19 W/g (without Ag) into 0.21 W/g (with 0.2 g Ag). Therefore, Ag nanoparticle is significantly effective to increase the temperature change in the material and potential to be applied in the biomedical field.
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