According to the 2004 World Health Organization (WHO) histologic classification, pleomorphic carcinoma (PC) is the most common subtype of pulmonary sarcomatoid carcinoma (PSC). In PC, the sarcomatoid component includes spindle or giant cells (GCs), alone or variably admixed, whereas the epithelial component is composed of conventional non-small cell lung carcinomas (NSCLCs) featuring adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, or undifferentiated large cell carcinoma. PC may also include only spindle or giant tumor cells without any epithelial component, with features that closely resemble malignant fibrous histiocytoma or pleomorphic sarcoma. The epithelial and sarcomatoid components may be intimately admixed or sharply distinct from each other.

PC of the lung is rare. According to previous reports, it has an incidence ranging from 0.1-0.4% of all pulmonary malignancies. This tumor predominantly occurs in men who are heavy smokers, with an average age of 60 years at diagnosis, and an aggressive clinical course is subsequently pursued. Although the histological criteria for PC were proposed by the WHO, an accurate preoperative diagnosis, especially with a cytological specimen, is difficult. This is due to the heterogeneity and rare incidence of this disease. However, recognizing of this tumor to be primary to the lung and categorizing it as sarcomatoid carcinoma can facilitate the patient-physician interaction, disease management, and survival expectation.

To date, the cytological findings of fine needle aspiration (FNA) of PC have rarely been described. The purpose of this study was to investigate the cytological features of PC together with its corresponding histological characteristics, with the aim of improving the preoperative diagnosis of PC.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

A retrospective review was performed for all the patients diagnosed with PC who had undergone surgery at the Korea Cancer Center Hospital from January 2007 to December 2011.
tients who were diagnosed with PC via the surgical specimen, and those who underwent preoperative FNA were included in this study. We excluded patients who had received preoperative chemotherapy or radiotherapy because of possible modifications of the shape and size of the tumor cells. In the end, 7 of the patients (5 men, 2 women) with a mean age of 67 years (range, 60 to 78 years) were included in this study, of which 6 were smokers. Clinicopathological parameters such as tumor size, tumor location, stage, and distant metastases were collected from the patients’ medical records.

FNA cytology

FNA was performed by radiologists using a 23-gauge needle with or without anesthesia. The aspirated material was smeared onto 4 glass slides, immediately fixed in 95% alcohol and stained with a Papanicolaou stain. The cell blocks were prepared from the remainder or by rinsing the syringes. Immunocytochemical studies for cytokeratin and vimentin were performed on paraffin sections of the cell blocks in all cases. All of the surgical resection specimens had been fixed with 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin. A mean of 6.5 (range, 5 to 8) hematoxylin and eosin-stained slides per tumor was available. All the slides were reviewed with a multi-headed microscope by two pathologists. The cytological features were assessed and compared with the histopathological features of the corresponding surgical specimen. We defined the epithelial component of PC as malignant tumor cells with glandular or squamous differentiation. A component of large cell carcinoma was also included in the epithelial component of PC.

We defined the large cell carcinoma component as tumor cells with a tendency to form loosely structured clusters composed of epithelial cells of unequal sizes without glandular or squamous differentiation. We defined the sarcomatoid component of PC as malignant giant and/or spindle cells. The size is assessed using computed tomography. Metastasectomy is performed in case 5.

The investigated cytological parameters were as follows: component of tumor cells, architectural pattern (fascicles, single cells, and cluster), adhesion (loose or tight), nuclei (pleomorphism, variability in size, number, and size of nucleoli, nuclear membrane, and chromatin texture), nature of the cytoplasm, nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio and background.

RESULTS

Clinicopathological features

The clinicopathological data and the original cytological diagnosis are summarized in Table 1. The mean tumor was 41 mm in diameter (range, 25 to 70 mm). Lobectomy with lymph node dissection was performed in 6 cases, and metastasectomy was performed in only 1 case (case 5). Case 1 had multiple broncholymphatic carcinomas of both lungs and renal cell carcinoma at the time of surgery. Two patients had pleura and chest wall invasion and four had regional lymph node metastasis. Three patients had distant metastases in the brain, adrenal glands, intestine, bone, and lung. The tumor stages were IIA in 1 case, IIB in 1 case, IIIA in 3 cases, and IV in 2 cases. Adjuvant chemotherapy was performed in 5 patients and adjuvant chemoradiation therapy was performed in 2 patients.

Cytologic features

The cell component of the cytological specimens usually reflected the components of the corresponding surgical specimen. The epithelial component indicated large cell carcinoma in 6 cases, and adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma in 1 case each. The spindle cell component was observed in 4 cases, and the GC component was observed in 6 cases (Fig. 1). The components of tumor cells in the pathological and cytological specimens are listed in Table 2.

The cluster size of tumor cells varied. The tumor cells were arranged in monolayers, 3-dimensional clusters, or were scattered as single cells. Cohesive clusters were observed in some

Table 1. Clinical features of the 7 patients enrolled in the study

| Case No. | Age (yr)/Sex | Smoking pack-years | Location | Size (mm) | Stage | Adjuvant therapy | Original cytological diagnosis |
|----------|--------------|--------------------|----------|-----------|-------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 1        | 65/M         | 60                 | RUL      | 70        | IIB   | Chemo           | Sarcomatoid carcinoma         |
| 2        | 63/M         | 60                 | LUL      | 25        | IIIA  | Chemo           | NSCC, favor ADC               |
| 3        | 75/M         | 50                 | LLL      | 38        | IIIA  | Chemo           | NSCC                          |
| 4        | 62/F         | 0                  | LUL      | 60        | IIA   | None            | NSCC, favor SqCC              |
| 5        | 69/M         | 25                 | RUL      | 27*       | IV    | Chemo + rad     | Sarcomatoid carcinoma         |
| 6        | 78/F         | 20                 | RLL      | 25        | IIIA  | None            | Pleomorphic carcinoma         |
| 7        | 60/M         | 40                 | LUL      | 45        | IV    | Chemo + rad     | NSCC                          |

M, male; RUL, right upper lobe; Chemo, chemotherapy; LUL, left upper lobe; NSCC, non-small cell carcinoma; ADC, adenocarcinoma; LLL, left lower lobe; F, female; SqCC, squamous cell carcinoma; rad, radiotherapy; RLL, right lower lobe.

*This size is assessed using computed tomography. Metastasectomy is performed in case 5.
cases, but loose clusters were observed in most of the cases. The tumor cells were large, and the pleomorphism was marked. The shape of the tumor cells was epithelioid, spindle, or pleomorphic. The tumor cell size varied by more than 5-fold in 6 cases. The epithelial tumor cells had an irregular nuclear membrane and a coarsely granular chromatin (Fig. 1B). The chromatin was

Fig. 1. Representative histologic (A, C, E) and cytologic (B, D, F) features of pleomorphic carcinoma. (A) Large cell carcinoma. The pleomorphic epithelioid cells form a solid sheet without glandular or squamous differentiation. (B) Large cell carcinoma. The cluster is composed of pleomorphic large cells with abundant thick cytoplasm. (C) Spindle cell component. The neoplastic spindle cells are arranged in interlacing fascicles. (D) Spindle cell component. The pleomorphic spindle cells are arranged in fascicles with hyperchromatic nuclei (inset). (E) Giant cell component. The tumor cells are pleomorphic and discohesive. (F) Giant cell component. Mono-, bi-, and multi-nucleated giant cells reveal bizarre and hyperchromatic nuclei and prominent nucleoli.
Cytological Features of Pulmonary Pleomorphic Carcinoma

unevenly distributed in most of the cases. Most of the cells usually showed a prominent single nucleolus or nucleoli. The cytoplasm was abundant, thick, and well-demarcated. The malignant spindle cells were arranged singly or in fascicles (Fig. 1D). They had scant amount of cytoplasm with ill-defined margin and hyperchromatic nuclei. Mono-, bi-, and multi-nucleated GCs were frequently observed (Fig. 1F); they usually occurred singly. The nucleus of the giant tumor cells was hyperchromatic to vesicular, bizarre in shape, and more than 5 times the size of the resting lymphocytes, and often much larger. The nuclear size ranged from 15 µm to 65 µm. The background contained tumor diathesis with numerous polymorphonuclear leukocytes (Fig. 2). In the cell block, two different cellular patterns were seen: areas with aggregating pleomorphic sarcomatoid cells and/or entrapped spindle cells, and epithelioid tumor cells. Immunoreactivity to cytokeratin was present in the epithelial cells in all of the cases and in some of the spindle cells in 2 cases (focal, weakly positive). Vimentin was expressed in some of the epithelial components in 4 cases (focal, strongly positive) and in the sarcomatoid component of all the cases (Fig. 3). The summary of these cytological features of PC is listed in Table 3.

**DISCUSSION**

There have been only a few reports of cytological analysis in cases of pulmonary PC.\(^{14-16}\) Most of the previous cytological re-

---

**Table 2. Component of tumor cells observed in the pathological and cytological specimen**

| Case No. | LA | Spindle | Giant | ADC | SqCC | LA | Spindle | Giant | ADC | SqCC |
|---------|----|---------|-------|-----|------|----|---------|-------|-----|------|
| 1       | Present | Present | Present | -   | -    | Present | Present | Present | -   | -    |
| 2       | Present | -       | Present | Present | -   | Present | -       | Present | Present | -   |
| 3       | Present | -       | Present | -   | Present | -       | Present | Present | -   |
| 4       | -       | Present | -       | Present | -   | Present | x*      | -       | Present | - |
| 5       | Present | Present | Present | -   | -    | Present | Present | Present | -   | -    |
| 6       | Present | Present | Present | -   | -    | Present | Present | Present | -   | -    |
| 7       | Present | Present | Present | -   | -    | Present | Present | Present | -   | -    |

LA, large cell carcinoma; ADC, adenocarcinoma; SqCC, squamous cell carcinoma.

*The spindle cell component is not observed in the cytological specimens from case 4, contrary to that observed in the corresponding case 4 pathological specimen.

---

**Fig. 2.** Numerous neutrophils are visible in the background.

**Fig. 3.** Immunocytochemical stain results. (A) The epithelial cells show immunoreactivity to cytokeratin. (B) The vimentin is expressed mainly in the spindle cells and in some of the epithelioid cells.
ports have revealed similar findings. Hummel et al.\textsuperscript{15} reported that the cytological findings of PC included a conspicuous population of pleomorphic spindle cells arranged singly, in loose clusters, and in fascicles, and as micro-tissue fragments in a necrotic background. The myxoid stromal fragments were also present. In addition, cohesive clusters of atypical epithelial cells and multinucleated GCs were noted. Although malignant spindle cells and GCs can be helpful cytological features, PC of the lung is challenging to diagnose because it has very poor cellular differentiation, rare incidence and histological heterogeneity. In this context, histopathological correlation is an important prerequisite of a correct cytological evaluation. The surgical specimen in case 2 were composed of GC carcinoma, large cell carcinoma, and moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma. However, the cytologic specimen mainly consisted of clusters of adenocarcinoma and only a few scattered giant tumor cells. Because of the morphological continuum and overlapping features that exist between giant tumor cells and poorly differentiated large cell carcinoma, the original cytological diagnosis of this case was “non-small cell carcinoma (NSCC), favoring adenocarcinoma.” The cytological specimen in case 4, which was misdiagnosed as “NSCC, favoring squamous cell carcinoma,” mainly revealed tumor diathesis and some malignant squamoid cells, but not spindle cells, although squamous cell carcinoma and spindle tumor cells were marked in the surgical specimens. The sarcomatoid component was missed because of the low cellularity.

GCs are one component of PC. However, there is no clear borderline between giant tumor cells and poorly differentiated large cell carcinoma. Fishback et al.\textsuperscript{2} reported that the single large pleomorphic nucleus of a GC was 4 times larger than that of small resting lymphocytes. Guillan and Zelman\textsuperscript{17} reported that the GCs varied in diameter from 50 µm to 120 µm, and Hellstrom and Fisher\textsuperscript{18} reported that the GCs measured from 80 µm to 100 µm. This vague definition of GCs has caused confusion among pathologists. In our study, the size of GCs varied, ranging from 15 µm to 65 µm. The nucleus of mononucleated giant tumor cells measured more than 5 times larger than that of small resting lymphocytes.

Although the final diagnosis of PC relies in most cases on the close scrutiny of the hematoxylin and eosin stained sections, its immunohistochemical characterization may better highlight the different cell components occurring in these tumors. In several studies, the epithelial component has been stained to various extents with antibodies to cytokeratin, whereas the sarcomatous component is consistently immunoreactive for vimentin.\textsuperscript{2,10,19-22} In this current study, all tumors displayed dual expression of cytokeratin and vimentin by immunocytochemistry on the cell blocks. The sarcomatoid tumor cells were uniformly

| Table 3. Cytologic features of the 7 cases |
|------------------------------------------|
|                                        |
| **Cellularity**                          |
| **Pattern**                              |
| **Single + cluster**                     |
| **Poor cell adhesion**                   |
| **Fascicle of spindle cell**             |
| **Nuclei**                               |
| **Marked pleomorphism**                  |
| **Mono-, bi-, multinucleated giant cell**|
| **Variability in size (5 times)**        |
| **Prominent nucleoli**                   |
| **Cytoplasm**                            |
| **Abundant, thick**                      |
| **Myxoid stroma**                        |
| **Background**                           |
| **Necrosis**                             |
| **Lymphocyte, neutrophil**               |
| **Immunocytochemistry (cell block)**     |
| **Cytokeratin**                          |
| **Epithelial**                           |
| **Sarcomatoid**                          |
| **Vimentin**                             |
| **Epithelial**                           |
| **Sarcomatoid**                          |
| **Case 1**                               |
| **Case 2**                               |
| **Case 3**                               |
| **Case 4**                               |
| **Case 5**                               |
| **Case 6**                               |
| **Case 7**                               |
| **Total (%)**                            |

±, positive in the variable minority of tumor cells; +, positive in the majority of tumor cells; -, negative in the tumor cells.
positive for vimentin and focally positive for cytokeratin. In contrast, the epithelioid tumor cells showed focal or diffuse positivity for cytokeratin and focally expressed vimentin.

The prognosis for PC is generally thought to be worse than that for conventional NSCLC. A stage-adjusted comparison of 63 cases of PSC with an equivalent number of propensity score-matched cases of NSCLCs showed the 5-year survival to be significantly different between the 2 groups (24.5% for the PSC group and 46.3% for the NSCLC group), favoring the hypothesis that the prognosis of PSC may be poorer than that of NSCLC. The estimated 5-year survival rate in surgical series was up to 35% for PC (with the median overall survival ranging from 5 to 19 months).

PC has been reported to be highly metastatic. Chang et al. reported that 7 patients who had undergone surgical resections succumbed to early distant metastases in organs, such as the brain, bone, adrenal gland, and unusual sites including esophagus, jejunum, rectum, and kidney, within a few months. In the present study, metastasis was observed in 3 cases: one patient (case 2) had metastasis in both adrenal glands; one (case 5) had brain, small intestine, and mesenteric metastasis at the time of diagnosis; and the third (case 7) had metastasis to the brain, bone, lung, and both adrenal glands. Two patients died of the disease, 1 patient survived with metastasis, and 4 patients survived without recurrence or metastasis.

In conclusion, recognition of PC may be possible when adequate cytological material is present. The presence of loose clusters of poorly differentiated epithelial cells, malignant spindle cells, mono-, bi-, multi-nucleated GCs, and a necrotic background with lymphocytes and neutrophils is highly suggestive of PC.
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