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Abstract

Purpose It is often difficult to differentiate parkinsonism, especially when patients show uncertain parkinsonian features. We investigated the usefulness of dopamine transporter (DAT) imaging for the differential diagnosis of inconclusive parkinsonism using \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FP-CIT PET}\).

Methods Twenty-four patients with inconclusive parkinsonian features at initial clinical evaluation and nine healthy controls were studied. Patients consisted of three subgroups: nine patients whose diagnoses were unclear concerning whether they had idiopathic Parkinson’s disease (PD) or drug-induced parkinsonism (‘PD/DIP’), nine patients who fulfilled neither the diagnostic criteria of PD nor of essential tremor (‘PD/ET’), and six patients who were alleged to have either PD or atypical parkinsonian syndrome (‘PD/APS’). Brain PET images were obtained 120 min after injection of 185 MBq \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FP-CIT}\). Imaging results were quantified and compared with follow-up clinical diagnoses.

Results Overall, 11 of 24 patients demonstrated abnormally decreased DAT availability on the PET scans, whereas 13 were normal. PET results could diagnose PD/DIP and PD/ET patients as having PD in six patients, DIP in seven, and ET in five; however, the diagnoses of all six PD/APS patients remained inconclusive. Among 15 patients who obtained a final follow-up diagnosis, the image-based diagnosis was congruent with the follow-up diagnosis in 11 patients. Four unsolved cases had normal DAT availability, but clinically progressed to PD during the follow-up period.

Conclusion \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FP-CIT PET imaging is useful in the differential diagnosis of patients with inconclusive parkinsonian features, except in patients who show atypical features or who eventually progress to PD.}

Keywords Parkinsonism · Inconclusive parkinsonian features · Dopamine transporter · \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{FP-CIT} · \text{Positron emission tomography}

Introduction

The diagnosis of parkinsonism is based on clinical findings. Parkinsonism has various etiologies [1], and each has its own distinctive clinical features. In the early stages of the disease, however, it is often difficult to know whether a patient’s parkinsonism is due to idiopathic Parkinson’s disease (PD) or another condition that mimics it. Surprisingly, 10 to 25% of patients are misdiagnosed even by movement disorder specialists [2–4]. It is more difficult to make a correct differential diagnosis when symptoms are overlapping and inconclusive parkinsonian features are present. To improve the diagnostic accuracy, imaging tests have been combined with clinical examinations. Dopamine transporter (DAT) imaging, transcranial ultrasound, functional magnetic resonance imaging,
and cardiac metaiodobenzylguanidine scintigraphy are among these [5–7].

DAT imaging is the most widely and successfully used diagnostic test; it evaluates the striatal presynaptic dopaminergic function [5]. It has been demonstrated that DAT SPECT imaging is useful for the differential diagnosis of parkinsonism as well as assessment of the severity and progression of PD, and prediction of the development of PD in PD patients’ families [8–15]. DAT PET imaging is relatively new. It has several advantages over SPECT imaging and potential to better differentiate parkinsonism. [18F]FP-CIT PET has superior tracer kinetics than SPECT tracers, and PET has superior spatial resolution than SPECT. First, [18F]FP-CIT is better than [123I]β-CIT for the quantification of the DAT because the metabolite of [18F]FP-CIT is not radioactive; in contrast, [123I]β-CIT has demonstrated radioiodinated metabolites that may enter the brain [16]. Second, unlike β-CIT, FP-CIT is taken up more rapidly in the human striatum, and the equilibrium of specific to non-specific uptake of radioactivity is achieved earlier [17]. With [18F]FP-CIT, patients can be scanned on the same day, 2 to 3 h after injection. Third, FP-CIT also has relatively higher selectivity for DAT than β-CIT [17]. Furthermore, a newly developed radiochemistry method using a protic solvent system dramatically increased the radiochemical yields of [18F]FP-CIT [18]. Superior spatial resolution of PET is also useful in analyzing the DAT availability of small brain structures to explain non-motor symptoms of PD. The aim of this study was to investigate the usefulness of [18F]FP-CIT PET imaging for the differential diagnosis of patients with inconclusive parkinsonian features.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Our institutional review board approved the protocol for this study. Patients with inconclusive parkinsonian features were eligible for the study. Clinical records and imaging data were retrospectively inspected from the [18F]FP-CIT PET registry between June 2009 and June 2010. First, patients who visited our neurology clinic with parkinsonian features were interviewed and examined by a movement disorder specialist (KWP). Diagnosis was made based on the United Kingdom Parkinson’s Disease Society Brain Bank diagnostic criteria for Parkinson’s disease. When the diagnosis was uncertain, they were categorized as patients with inconclusive parkinsonian features. All patients were evaluated with neurological tests, including the United Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS), video scale, tremor scale, and minimal mental status examination. Patients with other history of or concomitant major neuropsychiatric diseases, such as stroke, dementia, head trauma, hydrocephalus, and depression, were excluded. Brain CT or MR was done when needed in order to rule out those conditions.

A total of 24 patients with inconclusive parkinsonian features were enrolled and divided into three groups. The first group (PD/DIP) included nine patients taking antidopaminergic drugs whose initial clinical diagnoses were not clear concerning whether their parkinsonism was from idiopathic Parkinson’s disease (PD) or drug-induced parkinsonism (DIP). The second group (PD/ET) was made up of nine patients who had both resting and postural tremors and did not fulfill the diagnostic criteria of either PD or essential tremor (ET). The last group (PD/APS) included six patients who demonstrated atypical parkinsonian features and needed to be differentiated between PD and atypical parkinsonian syndrome (APS). A second clinical diagnosis was made by two movement disorder specialists (KWP and CNL) based on the follow-up results. The average follow-up period was 16 months (range 3–26 months).

Nine age-matched normal controls (NC) were enrolled using inclusion criteria of healthy adults older than 20 years with no present illness or past medical history of major neuropsychiatric and systemic diseases, including seizure, stroke, dementia, head trauma, hydrocephalus, malignancy, uncontroled hypertension, metabolic and endocrinologic diseases, systemic infection, and drug intoxication. Table 1 demonstrates the subjects’ characteristics.

Table 1 Subjects’ characteristics

|                | Control | PD/DIP | PD/ET | PD/APS |
|----------------|---------|--------|-------|--------|
| Number         | 9       | 9      | 9     | 6      |
| M:F            | 2:7     | 2:7    | 5:4   | 4:2    |
| Mean age (years) | 64.4±4.8 | 72.7±8.0 | 68.8±6.6 | 66.3±7.8 |
| Onset age (years) | N/A     | 71.1±9.1 | 66.7±5.9 | 54.4±24.2 |
| Duration of illness (months) | N/A     | 28.9±22.1 | 26.5±29.8 | 136.4±246.7 |
| H&Y stage      | N/A     | 2.4±0.5 | 2.1±0.9 | 1.6±0.8 |
| UPDRS-III score | N/A     | 30.4±12.0 | 33.3±12.2 | 22.0±9.7 |

Data are shown as mean ± SD

M male, F female, H&Y Stage: Hoehn and Yahr Stage, UPDRS-III Score: Unified Parkinson’s disease Rating Scale-Part III Score, PD idiopathic Parkinson’s disease, DIP drug-induced parkinsonism, ET essential tremor, APS atypical parkinsonian syndrome, N/A not applicable
levodopa and one was taking amantadine. The rest of the patients were antiparkinsonian medication-naive at the time of imaging. None of the patients were taking drugs reported to influence DAT availability [19].

Visual Analysis of [18F]FP-CIT PET Images

The caudate nucleus and the putamen are the areas where the specific binding of [18F]FP-CIT occurs. Visual and semiquantitative analyses of the [18F]FP-CIT binding to these areas were performed without clinical information. Visual analysis was performed on summed images from 120 to 135 min post-injection by a nuclear medicine physician (EKP). First, each image was classified as normal or abnormal. Images were categorized as normal when they showed a normal pattern of DAT availability with no discernible reduction, whereas they were categorized as abnormal when the DAT availability of the striatal region was decreased. Further analyses were conducted on the images categorized as abnormal, including the symmetry/asymmetry of the DAT availability of the bilateral putamen, the degree of the DAT availability reduction, and the caudate nucleus involvement. First, the symmetry versus asymmetry of the DAT availability between the right and left putamen was determined according to the absence or presence of visually discernable asymmetry. Second, the degree of DAT availability reduction was evaluated by dividing the putamen into three equal parts along the long axis. When the DAT availability was reduced or absent within the posterior one third of the putamen, the availability was labeled ‘mild’ reduction. When it showed a decrease or absence up to the posterior two thirds of the putamen, it was labeled ‘moderate’ reduction. Finally, it was labeled ‘severe’ reduction when the anterior one third of the putamen was also involved. The head of the caudate nucleus was also examined to clarify its involvement.

Semiaquantitative Analysis of DAT Availability

Semiaquantitative analysis was performed using the region-of-interest (ROIs) method. Brain PET images were spatially normalized into an [18F]FP-CIT PET template made in house to remove individual anatomical variability. The template was made from images taken in nine normal controls using Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM2, Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK) implemented in Matlab 6.5 (MathWorks Inc., Sherborn, MA, USA). Standard ROIs were constructed to measure the putaminal DAT availability using MRicro v1.4 (www.cabiatl.com/mricro). Automated ROIs were created at the bilateral putamen on the three consecutive transaxial slices of the template image that showed the best resolution for those areas, with a cutoff of 50 % of the maximum count of the putamen. The number of voxels of the automatically created ROIs of the right and left sides were made in the same way by manual editing. The standard ROIs were applied to the normalized images of each subject, and the mean counts of the putamen were measured in each side. Finally, the non-displaceable binding potential of [18F]FP-CIT (BPND), a measure of DAT availability, was calculated in the putamen using the cerebellum as a reference region by equilibrium analysis method. Standard ROIs of the caudate nucleus and the striatum were made with the same process as for the putamen, and the BPND of these areas was also calculated. To diagnose normal versus abnormal DAT availability, the lower 2 standard deviation (−2 SD) values from the mean BPND of the bilateral caudate nucleus, putamen, and striatum in NC were used as cutoff values, and the diagnostic results were compared with those from visual analysis. In addition, the putamen-to-caudate nucleus ratio of BPND was calculated to analyze the relationship of DAT availability between those two regions. Asymmetry of the DAT availability between the right and the left sides of the putamen was evaluated using an asymmetry index (AI), which was calculated by [(better side BPND − worse side BPND)/ average BPND of the two sides] × 100 (%).

Statistical Analysis

BPND values of the subjects were analyzed, and the mean values, putamen-to-caudate nucleus ratios, and asymmetry indices of each group were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test. When the results showed a statistically significant difference, the Mann–Whitney U-test was additionally performed to test the significant difference between groups two by two. The difference was considered significant if the p value was less than 0.05.

Results

Overall, 11 of 24 patients (45.8 %) demonstrated abnormally decreased putaminal DAT availability on the [18F]FP-CIT PET images, whereas 13 (54.2 %) were normal by visual analysis. In all 11 patients with decreased DAT availability, there was bilateral putamen involvement; the reduction pattern was asymmetric in nine patients (81.8 %) and symmetric in two (18.2 %). Relatively more prominent reduction was observed in the posterior putaminal areas, demonstrating an anterior-to-posterior gradient. The degree of reduction in the putamen was mild in three patients (27.3 %), moderate in five (45.4 %), and severe in three (27.3 %). The head of the caudate nucleus was not affected in nine patients (81.8 %), whereas two (18.2 %) showed DAT availability reduction in the caudate nucleus as well as the putamen.

Patients in each group were categorized by analyzing the pattern of DAT distribution visually. In the PD/DIP group, two of nine patients (22.2 %) demonstrated decreased DAT
availability and were categorized as having PD. The putaminal DAT availability was moderately reduced in these patients, symmetrically in one and asymmetrically in the other. The caudate nucleus was not involved. The other seven patients (77.8%) were categorized as having DIP based on the normal DAT distribution patterns (Fig. 1a and b).

In the PD/ET group, four of nine patients (44.4%) showed asymmetrically decreased DAT availability and were categorized as having PD. The degree of DAT reduction was mild in one patient, moderate in two, and severe in the remaining one. One patient demonstrated caudate nucleus involvement in the side ipsilateral to the more affected putamen. The other five patients (55.6%) with normal findings were categorized as having ET (Fig. 1c and d).

In the PD/APS group, DAT availability was decreased in five of six patients (83.3%). The degree of DAT reduction was mild in two patients, moderate in one, and severe in two. The patient with moderate reduction showed symmetric putaminal involvement, whereas the remaining four patients (80.0%) demonstrated an asymmetric decrease. Bilateral caudate nuclei were affected in one patient with severe reduction of the putaminal DAT. These six PD/APS patients could not be differentiated solely based on the DAT distribution patterns on the PET images (Fig. 1e and f).

Semiquantitative analysis results are as follows. Mean $BP_{ND}$ of the bilateral putamen in NC was 4.08±0.62. When 2.83, the -2 SD value of the mean $BP_{ND}$ of the bilateral putamen in NC was used as a cutoff value to define normality and abnormality; patients were divided into 11 abnormal and 13 normal DAT patterns, which accorded with the categorization results of the visual analysis. There was no overlap of the $BP_{ND}$ values of individual patients between PD and DIP between either PD or ET.

Mean $BP_{ND}$ of the bilateral putamen in six patients categorized as having PD after imaging was 2.18±0.47, which was significantly lower than those of DIP (4.08±0.68) and ET patients (3.57±0.30) ($p=0.002$) (Fig. 2). The striatal $BP_{ND}$ was also significantly lower in patients with PD (2.30±0.49) than in those with DIP (4.00±0.61) or ET (3.49±0.33) ($p=0.002$). There was no significant difference in the putaminal and striatal $BP_{ND}$ among DIP, ET, and NC. However, the six PD/APS patients in the third group could not be differentiated solely based on the DAT availability quantification results, because all the patients but one demonstrated abnormally decreased $BP_{ND}$ with no significant statistical difference (2.24±1.32 for bilateral putamen; 2.23±1.29 for bilateral striatum).

Semiquantitative analysis results on the caudate nucleus involvement were not in total agreement with those of the visual analysis. Semiquantitative analysis detected caudate nucleus involvement more sensitively than visual analysis. The caudate nucleus was revealed to be affected in 6 of 11 patients (54.5%), while only 2 patients appeared to have caudate nucleus involvement by visual analysis. In the other five patients (49.5%), the $BP_{ND}$ of the bilateral caudate nucleus was lower than the mean value of NC (4.04), but

\[ \text{Fig. 1} \quad \text{Brain} \ [1^{18}\text{F}]\text{FP-CIT PET/CT images in patients with inconclusive parkinsonian features.} \quad \text{a A 67-year-old female patient presented with inconclusive parkinsonian features and levosulpiride medication history (‘PD/DIP’)} \text{ group). Brain} \ [1^{18}\text{F}]\text{FP-CIT PET demonstrated normal DAT availability in the bilateral striatum, and the patient was categorized as having DIP. Three months later, clinical features became evident, and the final diagnosis of DIP was made.} \quad \text{b Another 67-year-old female patient in the ‘PD/DIP’ group was categorized as having PD based on the imaging finding of reduced striatal DAT availability. The follow-up clinical diagnosis made at 16 months after imaging was PD, in agreement with the image-based diagnosis.} \quad \text{c A 75-year-old male patient in the ‘PD/ET’ group had both resting and postural tremor. Normal DAT availability in the bilateral striatum categorized him as having ET. The follow-up diagnosis made at 11 months after imaging confirmed the diagnosis.} \quad \text{d Another 67-year-old male patient in the ‘PD/ET’ group showed decreased striatal DAT availability and was categorized as having PD. The follow-up clinical diagnosis made 14 months after imaging was also PD.} \quad \text{e and f Patients in the ‘PD/APS’ group could not be differentiated solely based on the [1^{18}\text{F}]FP-CIT PET imaging results. The striatal DAT availability was decreased in both PD and APS patients, without significantly distinctive features in their DAT reduction patterns. After follow-up for 21 and 22 months, respectively, they were clinically diagnosed as having PD (e) and MSA-P (f).} \]
higher than $-2$ SD (2.84). There was no significant difference in the mean $BP_{ND}^{\text{ND}}$ values among NC, PD, DIP, and ET patients (Fig. 3).

The $BP_{ND}^{\text{ND}}$ ratio of the putamen to the caudate nucleus in PD patients ($0.81 \pm 0.09$) was significantly lower than those of DIP ($1.05 \pm 0.05$), ET ($1.04 \pm 0.06$), and NC patients ($1.01 \pm 0.06$) ($p = 0.001$, $p = 0.002$, and $p = 0.002$, respectively; Fig. 4), indicating the preferential loss of DAT availability in the putamen of PD patients. There was no overlap of the putamenu-to-caudate nucleus ratio between individual PD versus DIP and ET patients. All patients in the PD/APS group, however, showed ratios lower than the $-2$ SD value of NC ($0.83 \pm 0.14$) and could not be differentiated solely by this ratio.

The asymmetry index (AI) reflects the difference in DAT reduction between the right and left putamen. The mean AI of NC was $3.4 \pm 1.6\%$ (Fig. 5). All patients with DIP and ET had AIs within the normal range, whereas three of six patients with PD showed values higher than $+2$ SD (6.75 %), which indicates asymmetric DAT availability in the bilateral putamen. In the PD/APS group, AIs were above the $+2$ SD value in four of five patients having decreased putaminal DAT availability (32.3 $\pm$ 17.7). AI could not differentiate patients with PD from those with APS.

Clinical follow-up diagnosis was compared with the $[18\text{F}]$FP-CIT PET imaging result in each patient. Figure 6 shows the follow-up diagram. Image-based diagnosis was available for all 18 patients in the PD/DIP and PD/ET groups.
Two of them had normal DAT availability but were lost to follow-up before a definite clinical diagnosis was reached. The other 16 were followed up for 3 to 26 months, and the final follow-up diagnosis was reached in 15 patients with 1 remaining clinically inconclusive case. The image-based diagnosis was congruent with the follow-up diagnosis in 11 patients and incongruent in 4. The accuracy of image-based diagnosis was 75% in the PD/DIP group and 67% in the PD/APS group. Notably, all of the four incongruent cases had normal DAT availability and were diagnosed as having DIP (n=2) and ET (n=2) by imaging, but clinically progressed to PD during the follow-up period. Patients in the PD/APS group for whom the image-based diagnosis could not be made were finally diagnosed as having PD in three, progressive supranuclear palsy in two, and multisystem atrophy with predominant parkinsonism in one.

Discussion

[18F]FP-CIT PET imaging could successfully demonstrate the striatal DAT availability and be used in sorting out patients with Parkinson's disease (PD) from the patients with clinically inconclusive parkinsonian features. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study using [18F]FP-CIT PET imaging in the differential diagnosis of patients with inconclusive parkinsonian features. In this study, patients with inconclusive parkinsonian features were diagnosed as having PD, drug-induced parkinsonism (DIP), or essential tremor (ET) by analysis of the DAT availability pattern on the brain [18F]FP-CIT PET imaging. DIP is a common syndrome induced by dopamine receptor antagonist drugs and has been reported to be the cause of parkinsonism in 24–35% of parkinsonian patients. According to a prospective study, DIP accounted for 51% of hospital admissions due to parkinsonism [20]. ET is characteristic of symmetric postural tremor and is known to be the most common diagnostic error in patients with the initial diagnosis of PD in the community setting [21].

[18F]FP-CIT PET imaging failed to lead to a differential diagnosis in patients whose initial diagnosis was not certain concerning whether it was PD or APS. There are contradictory results concerning the differential diagnosis of PD from APS using DAT imaging. In early studies, researchers argued that relatively more severe DAT reduction in the posterolateral putamen of patients with PD was characteristic, unlike in APS. However, the following studies reported that they could not differentiate PD from APS based on this anterior-posterior gradient and therefore denied that it is a characteristic feature in the differential diagnosis of PD from APS [22]. We could not find any significant difference in the DAT reduction patterns of patients with PD and APS.

Even when the clinical diagnosis of a patient showing parkinsonism is evident, such as PD, DIP, or ET, DAT imaging can be useful. DAT imaging can reveal underlying PD pathology in DIP patients. Two interesting studies have been performed regarding this issue. Lorberboym et al. [12] studied patients who developed parkinsonism while taking neuroleptic medications. Nine of 20 patients demonstrated normal [123I]β-CIT SPECT findings, consistent with the clinical diagnosis of DIP, whereas 11 of 20 patients showed significantly decreased DAT availability in the SPECT, and they were diagnosed as having DIP plus underlying PD. There were no differences in clinical features between patients with normal and abnormal scans, and DAT imaging helped to determine whether DIP was entirely drug-induced or an exacerbation of subclinical PD. Hambye et al. [23] investigated 22 patients who showed parkinsonism during amiodarone therapy. Their clinical diagnoses were all DIP. However, when patients were evaluated with [123I]β-CIT SPECT, 50% of them demonstrated abnormal results. This means that they found patients with underlying PD in 50% of the study population. Furthermore, they proved that in patients who were revealed to have underlying PD, treating PD had more impact on motor changes than modifying the antiarrhythmic drug.

Another advantage of DAT imaging is that it can predict the progression of DIP or ET patients to PD. Chaudhuri et al. [24] analyzed patients with asymmetrical postural tremor who subsequently developed rest tremor and parkinsonism with a mean tremor duration of 19.2 years. Initial [123I]β-CIT SPECT imaging revealed reduced DAT availability in the striatum contralateral to the dominantly affected arm. They argued DAT imaging was useful for predicting which patients would develop PD, whereas alcohol sensitivity of tremor, family history of tremor, or responsiveness to beta-blockers may not be helpful in patients presenting with late-onset asymmetrical postural tremor, even if there is no rest tremor.

Meanwhile, one thing that should be noted is that in the early stages of parkinsonism, a normal baseline DAT SPECT
or PET imaging may neither completely exclude PD nor preclude unnecessary clinical follow-up [25]. There are patients who progress to PD. Marshall et al. [26] followed up patients who demonstrated normal baseline DAT SPECT results for an average of 2 years and revealed that 3 % of the patients’ parkinsonism had degenerative origins. We had DIP and ET patients who had normal DAT availability initially, but clinically progressed to PD during the follow-up period. Diagnostic accuracy can be significantly improved when DAT imaging results are combined with the follow-up clinical information [27].

Our study has some potential limitations. The number of normal controls was relatively small and might not be sufficient to represent the −2 SD cutoff value of the healthy population. It is possible that this induced the low sensitivity of image-based diagnosis in this study. The follow-up period of patients was relatively short, as some other studies adopted up to 3 years as follow-up periods [14, 28]. However, those studies were multicenter studies, and in other studies, as little as 6 months could have been used successfully [29, 30]. In our study, the follow-up period of 3 months to 2 years was sufficient for our experienced neurologists to establish a diagnosis except in one patient. It could also be considered a limitation that men and women were mixed in the study population, since the DAT availability appears to be influenced by gender, i.e., higher DAT availability in women than in men [31]. Nevertheless, gender was not limited in any of the reviewed studies, probably for the practical reason of increasing the study population.

Evaluation of the striatal DAT availability with [18F]FP-CIT PET imaging was feasible and useful in the differential diagnosis of patients with inconclusive parkinsonian features. [18F]FP-CIT PET imaging could successfully, easily, and clearly differentiate and categorize patients with inconclusive parkinsonian features into PD, DIP, and ET, with some limitation in the differential diagnosis of patients who were presumed to have either PD or APS. Meanwhile, caution is needed when the imaging results show normal DAT availability, because there may be patients who progress to PD after some period of time. The DAT imaging using [18F]FP-CIT PET can be useful when clinicians have diagnostic uncertainty and could significantly reduce the tediousness and anxiety during the follow-up period.
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