Elevation of androgen receptor promotes prostate cancer metastasis by induction of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and reduction of KAT5
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Androgen receptor (AR), an androgen-activated transcription factor, belongs to the nuclear receptor superfamily. AR plays an important role in the development and progression of prostate cancer (PCa). However, the role of AR in PCa metastasis is not fully understood. To investigate the role of AR in PCa metastasis, we examined AR expression level in primary and metastatic PCa by analyzing gene array data of 378 primary prostate tumors and 120 metastatic prostate tumors from Oncomine, as well as carrying out immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of 56 prostate cancer samples. Expression of mRNA and protein of AR as well as its target gene prostate-specific antigen (PSA) was much higher in metastatic prostate tumors than in primary prostate tumors. Knockdown of AR with siRNA or treating with anti-androgen Casodex reduced migration and invasion ability of C4-2B PCa cells. Knockdown of AR increased protein expression of E-cadherin and AR coregulator KAT5 but reduced expression of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) marker proteins Slug, Snail, MMP-2, vimentin, and β-catenin. Knockdown of KAT5 increased migration of C4-2B cells, whereas overexpression of KAT5 suppressed cell migration. KAT5 knockdown rescues the suppressive effect of AR knockdown on migration of C4-2B cells. Gene expression level of AR and KAT5 showed a negative correlation. PCa...
patients with higher AR expression or lower KAT5 expression correlated with shorter recurrence-free survival. Our study suggested that elevation of AR expression and AR signaling in prostate tumors promotes PCa metastasis by induction of EMT and reduction of KAT5.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Androgen receptor (AR), an androgen-activated transcription factor, belongs to the nuclear receptor superfamily. Testosterone is the main circulating androgen in the human body, whereas dihydrotestosterone (DHT) is a more potent androgen that has a five-fold higher affinity for AR compared to testosterone.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) When testosterone enters prostate cells, 90% is converted to DHT by the enzyme 5α-reductase.\(^3\) Binding of androgen to AR induces dissociation of AR from heat-shock proteins (HSP) and stimulates AR phosphorylation.\(^4\) AR dimerizes, translocates into the nucleus, and binds to androgen-response elements (ARE) in the promoter regions of target genes.\(^5\) Co-activators and co-repressors bind the AR complex, facilitating or preventing the transcription of AR target genes. These proteins regulate AR for the activation or repression of target genes which regulate the growth, survival, and the production of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) in prostate cells.\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^6\)

Androgen receptor plays essential roles in the development and progression of prostate cancer (PCa).\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^7\) In PCa cells, AR modulates the expression of proteins regulating cell cycle, survival, and growth.\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^10\) Increase in AR mRNA and protein are observed in hormone-refractory prostate tumors compared to the primary prostate tumors.\(^11\)\(^-\)\(^19\) Amplification of the AR locus was reported in nearly one-third of patients developing castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC).\(^13\)\(^-\)\(^16\)\(^,\)\(^20\)\(^-\)\(^22\) Increase of AR mRNA was the only change consistently associated with the development of the castration-resistant phenotype.\(^23\) However, the role of AR in PCa metastasis is not fully understood. We therefore investigated the expression profile of AR in different PCa progression stages, as well as the mechanisms of how AR affects PCa metastasis.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Patients and specimens

A series of 56 patients with prostate cancer having transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) at Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, were enrolled (January 1999 to December 2001). Further examination of biopsy specimens or resected tissue confirmed the diagnosis of prostate cancer. Paraffin-embedded tissues were composed of cancerous parts and surrounding noncancerous prostate tissue. These patients were followed for an average of 44.0 ± 19.6 months. Thirty patients (53.6%) were confirmed to have metastases 6 to 66 months after the diagnosis of the primary prostate cancer. Pathological and clinical features of these patients were analyzed, and the TNM system was used for evaluation of primary tumor, regional lymph nodes, distant metastasis, histological grade (Gleason score), and overall staging. Among the patients, at the time of TURP, 11 received no treatment, four received radiotherapy, one received chemotherapy, one received chemotherapy plus radiotherapy, 19 received hormone therapy, 20 received hormone therapy and orchectomy (including four patients also having chemotherapy and three patients also having radiotherapy). At the end of the follow up, 17 patients were alive with disease, 23 patients were alive without disease, and 16 patients had died of disease. Prostate tumor samples and serum PSA were collected before the diagnosis and treatments. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Taichung Veterans General Hospital.

2.2 | Immunohistochemical analysis

Immunohistochemistry staining was carried out on paraffin-embedded sections with an automatic immunostaining device and UltraView detection kit (Ventana XT Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, USA). Antigen retrieval was carried out automatically by the device according to the manufacturer’s instruction (BenchMark XT Roche, NJ, USA). Amount of AR protein in tissue sections was analyzed using an AR antibody from Epitomics (Burlingame, CA, USA) (Cat number: 3184-1, Lot: ER179-2). 50x dilution. Antigen retrieval reagent: MCC1 at 37°C for 32 minutes. For detection, UltraView Universal DAB Detection Kit from Ventana Medical Systems was used. Prostate cancer tissues with known AR expression level were used as positive or negative control. Intensity of immunostaining was scored semiquantitatively using a Quick score (Q-score) method based on intensity and heterogeneity. Briefly, the staining intensity was scored as 0 (negative), 1 (weak), 2 (moderate), or 3 (strong). For heterogeneity staining, the proportion of tumor cells stained for AR was scored as 0, 1 (1%-25%), 2 (26%-50%), 3 (51%-75%), or 4 (76%-100%). The Q-score of a given tissue sample is the sum of the intensity and heterogeneity scores and ranges from 0 to 7. Those rare cases with <5% weakly stained tissue were considered negative. Scoring of each sample was made independently and blindly by two pathologists (Drs Jan and Wang).

2.3 | Oncomine and PubMed Gene Expression Omnibus datasets

Online gene array datasets were downloaded from Oncomine (http://www.oncomine.org/resource/login.html) for AR gene
Expression analysis. Chandran Prostate dataset includes 10 primary prostate tumors and 21 metastatic prostate carcinomas. Expression of AR gene was detected by CodeLink UniSet Human 20K I Bioarray (reporter: GE58203).24 Grasso Prostate dataset includes 59 primary prostate tumors and 35 metastatic prostate carcinomas. Expression of AR gene was detected by RefSeq Genes (reporter: 23-066770721).25 Holzbeierlein Prostate dataset includes 36 primary prostate tumors and seven metastatic prostate carcinomas. Expression of AR gene was detected by Human Genome U95Av2 Array (reporter: 1577_at).17 Lapointe Prostate dataset includes detected by Affymetrix Human Exon 1.0 ST arrays (reporter: 31).29

19 metastatic prostate carcinomas. Expression of AR gene was detected by HumanGeneFL Array, Hu35KsubA Array (reporter: M23263_at).26 Ramaswamy Prostate dataset27 and dataset28 include 10 primary prostate tumors and three (dataset1) or four (dataset2) metastatic prostate carcinomas. Expression of AR gene was detected by self-constructed prostate cancer tissue microarray comprising an independent set of 225 formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded primary prostate tumor cases collected at Stanford University (reporter: IMAGE:2250839).24 Grasso Prostate dataset includes 59 primary prostate tumors and 21 metastatic prostate carcinomas. Expression of AR gene was detected by CodeLink UniSet Human 20K I Bioarray (reporter: GE58203).24 Grasso Prostate dataset includes 59 primary prostate tumors and 35 metastatic prostate carcinomas. Expression of AR gene was detected by Human Genome U95Av2 Array (reporter: 1577_at).30

2.6 | Quantitative real-time PCR

mRNA level of AR, CDH1, MMP2, SNAI2, SNAI1, and ACTB was analyzed by ABI PRISM 7500 (Applied Biosystems/Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Primers were designed using software on http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/. Sequences of primers for RT-qPCR are as follows: AR: AR F: cctttccagaggttgctacac; AR-R: tgcctggacttgtagagaga. CDH1

CDH1-F: agaaacaggatgcctgaaggt; CDH1-R: tctctaggtatctcaactgt. MMP2: MMP2-F: cactctctggaacaataata; MMP2-R: cttgcggtcatactggtagtt. SNAI1: SNAI1-F: acagagatgttcaagctgagct; SNAI1-R: gtttggagcagcttttgac. SNAI1: SNAI1-F: tttacacctcagacgccctca; SNAI1-R: gacagagtctggacagcatt.

ACTB: ACTB-F: gtcacattgcatgtgtggtc; ACTB-R: cgccttcagccaaacccctg. CDH1-F: agaaacaggatgcctgaaggt; CDH1-R: tctctaggtatctcaactgt. MMP2: MMP2-F: cactctctggaacaataata; MMP2-R: cttgcggtcatactggtagtt. SNAI1: SNAI1-F: acagagatgttcaagctgagct; SNAI1-R: gtttggagcagcttttgac. SNAI1: SNAI1-F: tttacacctcagacgccctca; SNAI1-R: gacagagtctggacagcatt.

ACTB: ACTB-F: gtcacattgcatgtgtggtc; ACTB-R: cgccttcagccaaacccctg. CDH1-F: agaaacaggatgcctgaaggt; CDH1-R: tctctaggtatctcaactgt. MMP2: MMP2-F: cactctctggaacaataata; MMP2-R: cttgcggtcatactggtagtt. SNAI1: SNAI1-F: acagagatgttcaagctgagct; SNAI1-R: gtttggagcagcttttgac. SNAI1: SNAI1-F: tttacacctcagacgccctca; SNAI1-R: gacagagtctggacagcatt.

ACTB: ACTB-F: gtcacattgcatgtgtggtc; ACTB-R: cgccttcagccaaacccctg. CDH1-F: agaaacaggatgcctgaaggt; CDH1-R: tctctaggtatctcaactgt. MMP2: MMP2-F: cactctctggaacaataata; MMP2-R: cttgcggtcatactggtagtt. SNAI1: SNAI1-F: acagagatgttcaagctgagct; SNAI1-R: gtttggagcagcttttgac. SNAI1: SNAI1-F: tttacacctcagacgccctca; SNAI1-R: gacagagtctggacagcatt.

ACTB: ACTB-F: gtcacattgcatgtgtggtc; ACTB-R: cgccttcagccaaacccctg. CDH1-F: agaaacaggatgcctgaaggt; CDH1-R: tctctaggtatctcaactgt. MMP2: MMP2-F: cactctctggaacaataata; MMP2-R: cttgcggtcatactggtagtt. SNAI1: SNAI1-F: acagagatgttcaagctgagct; SNAI1-R: gtttggagcagcttttgac. SNAI1: SNAI1-F: tttacacctcagacgccctca; SNAI1-R: gacagagtctggacagcatt.

ACTB: ACTB-F: gtcacattgcatgtgtggtc; ACTB-R: cgccttcagccaaacccctg. CDH1-F: agaaacaggatgcctgaaggt; CDH1-R: tctctaggtatctcaactgt. MMP2: MMP2-F: cactctctggaacaataata; MMP2-R: cttgcggtcatactggtagtt. SNAI1: SNAI1-F: acagagatgttcaagctgagct; SNAI1-R: gtttggagcagcttttgac. SNAI1: SNAI1-F: tttacacctcagacgccctca; SNAI1-R: gacagagtctggacagcatt.

ACTB: ACTB-F: gtcacattgcatgtgtggtc; ACTB-R: cgccttcagccaaacccctg. CDH1-F: agaaacaggatgcctgaaggt; CDH1-R: tctctaggtatctcaactgt. MMP2: MMP2-F: cactctctggaacaataata; MMP2-R: cttgcggtcatactggtagtt. SNAI1: SNAI1-F: acagagatgttcaagctgagct; SNAI1-R: gtttggagcagcttttgac. SNAI1: SNAI1-F: tttacacctcagacgccctca; SNAI1-R: gacagagtctggacagcatt.
E-cadherin was purchased from BD BioSciences (BD BioSciences, San Jose, CA, USA). The antibodies detecting Slug and β-catenin were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA). Antibody detecting KAT5 (Tip60) was from Abnova (Taipei, Taiwan) and GeneTex (Irvine, CA, USA). Antibody detecting CAV1 was from Millipore (Burlington, MA, USA). The antibody detecting β-actin was purchased from Novus (Novus, Littleton, CO, USA).

**FIGURE 1** Expression levels of androgen receptor (AR) mRNA in primary vs metastatic prostate cancer tissues. AR gene expression in A, Chandran Prostate dataset, B, Grasso Prostate dataset, C, Holzbeierlein Prostate dataset, D, Lapointe Prostate dataset, E, Ramaswamy Prostate dataset, F, Ramaswamy Prostate dataset 2, G, Taylor Prostate dataset and H, Yu Prostate dataset was analyzed from Oncomine

**FIGURE 2** Androgen receptor (AR) protein expression in prostate cancer tissues vs surrounding normal prostate tissues. Protein expression of AR was assayed by immunohistochemical (IHC) staining in prostate cancer tissues (before treatments) at different stages (stage I, N = 10; stage II, N = 14; stage III, N = 2, stage IV, N = 30) (A) or different Gleason score (Gleason score < 7, N = 15; Gleason score = 7, N = 18; Gleason score > 7, N = 23) (B). Pre-diagnostic serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels of patients at their first visit to the urology department in Taichung Veterans General Hospital were recorded and analyzed according to different stages (C) or different Gleason score (D), respectively.
2.8 Fractionation of cytoplasmic and nuclear proteins

Subcellular fractionation of cellular proteins was done with two steps of differential extraction. Cytoplasmic protein samples were extracted by using a low percentage of NP-40 lysis buffer (50 mmol/L Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 5 mmol/L MgCl$_2$ and 0.4% NP-40) with 1× proteinase and phosphatase inhibitors cocktail at 4°C. Cytoplasmic lysate and intact cell nuclei were separated at 4°C and centrifuged at 3500 g for 5 minutes. Clear suspensions were extracted by a using low percentage of NP-40 lysis buffer (50 mmol/L Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 5 mmol/L MgCl$_2$ and 0.4% NP-40) with 1× proteinase and phosphatase inhibitors cocktail at 4°C. Cytoplasmic lysate and intact cell nuclei were separated at 4°C and centrifuged at 3500 g for 5 minutes. Clear suspensions were

**FIGURE 3** Androgen receptor (AR) protein expression level in paired prostate cancer (PCa) tissues and surrounding normal prostate tissues. Levels of AR protein in paired samples of PCa tissues before treatment (N = 10 for stage I, N = 12 for stage II and III, and N = 15 for stage IV) and nearby non-malignant prostate tissues were determined by immunohistochemical (IHC) staining. Original magnification of IHC staining is 200×. Red scale bar in the figure represents 50 μm

**FIGURE 4** Knockdown of androgen receptor (AR) or blocking AR signaling reduces migration and invasion of C4-2B cells. A. Knockdown of AR with siRNA reduced migration and invasion of C4-2B cells as determined by Transwell assay. Images of Transwell migration assay and Transwell invasion assay as well as western blotting confirming the knockdown of AR are shown in (B) and (C), respectively. D. Treating C4-2B cells with 5 μmol/L Casodex (bicalutamide) reduced cell migration as determined by Transwell assay. Asterisk represents statistical significance $P < 0.05$ between the two groups being compared.
transferred to new 1.5 mL tubes and pellets were washed once with 0.1% NP-40 buffer. Finally, the nuclear part of protein samples was extracted by using Buffer C (20 mmol/L HEPES, pH 7.9, 1.5 mmol/L MgCl₂, 420 mmol/L NaCl, 0.2 mmol/L EDTA, 50% glycerol and 0.5 mmol/L DTT) with 1x proteinase and phosphatase inhibitors cocktail at 4°C. Nuclear protein samples were collected at 4°C and centrifuged at 17 700 g for 30 minutes.

2.9 KAT5 plasmid overexpression

Cells were seeded at 3 × 10⁵ cells in 6-well plates cultured with RPMI containing 10% FBS and rested for 18-24 hours. After plating overnight, C4-2B cells were transfected with KAT5 (Myc-DDK-tagged, RC215979; Origene) and control vector (pCMV6-Entry) individually. PolyJet (SignaGen Laboratories, Rockville, MD, USA) was used for in vitro DNA transfection.

2.10 KAT5 siRNA knockdown

Cells were seeded at 2 × 10⁵ cells in 6-well plates cultured with RPMI containing 10% FBS and rested for 18-24 hours. After plating overnight, C4-2B cells were knocked down by KAT5 siRNA (ON-TARGETplus KAT5 siRNA L-006301; Dharmacon, Lafayette, CO, USA) and negative control siRNA (ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting pool) individually. Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) was used for siRNA knockdown. Opti-MEM (31985; ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used for diluting RNAi duplexes and Lipofectamine.

2.11 Data analysis

Data are presented as the mean ± SD of at least three experiments or are representative of experiments repeated at least three times. Student’s t test (two-tailed, unpaired) was used to evaluate the statistical significance of results from proliferation assay experiments.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Expression of mRNA and protein of AR was much higher in metastatic prostate tumors than in primary prostate tumors

To determine the role of AR in PCa metastasis, we examined the relative expression levels of AR mRNA in primary and metastatic prostate tumors using Oncomine datasets. We analyzed eight different Oncomine datasets, including Chandran PCa dataset (Figure 1A), Grasso PCa dataset (Figure 1B), Holzbeierlein PCa dataset (Figure 1C), Lapointe PCa dataset (Figure 1D), Ramaswamy PCa dataset (Figure 1E), Ramaswamy PCa dataset 2 (Figure 1F), Taylor PCa dataset (Figure 1G), and Yu PCa dataset (Figure 1H). Among the 378 primary prostate tumor tissues and 120 metastatic PCa tissues being analyzed, AR mRNA expression level was higher in metastatic prostate tumors in all eight datasets as compared to primary prostate tumors. To determine whether AR protein level shows the same trend of increase in metastatic prostate tumors, we compared the AR protein expression level in 56 PCa samples from 56 different PCa patients before treatment using immunohistochemical (IHC) staining. The 56 PCa samples included 10 stage I, 14 stage II, two stage III, and 30 stage IV tumors (Figure 2A). Among these PCa samples, 37 had paired nearby non-malignant prostate epithelial tissues. Among these 56 PCa samples, there were 15 Gleason score <7 prostate tumors, 18 Gleason score 7 prostate tumors, and 23 Gleason score >7 prostate tumors (Figure 2B). Our analysis indicated that prostate tumors with higher staging or higher Gleason scores before treatment expressed higher AR protein expression (Figure 2A,B) and higher pre-diagnostic serum PSA level (Figure 2C,D). A set of representative images indicated that AR protein level in non-malignant prostate tissues adjacent to prostate tumors was slightly higher in stages II, III, and IV (Figure 3A) as compared to stage I. However, AR protein level in prostate tumors increased
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dramatically in higher stages, especially in stage IV prostate tumor (Figure 3B).

3.2 Knockdown of AR reduced migration and invasion ability of PCa cells

To determine whether elevation of AR contributes to migration and invasion of PCa cells, we used siRNA to knock down AR in C4-2B PCa cells. Compared to control C4-2B cells, knockdown AR with siRNA suppressed migration and invasion ability of C4-2B cells (Figure 4A-C). In contrast, overexpression of AR in PC-3 cells in the presence of androgen promoted the migration and invasion of PC-3 cells (data not shown). Blocking AR signaling in C4-2B cells with Casodex reduced migration of C4-2B cells (Figure 4D).

3.3 Knockdown of AR affected EMT marker proteins in PCa cells

We next examined whether alteration of AR expression level affected cancer metastasis-related signaling proteins. Knockdown of AR with siRNA significantly increased gene expression (Figure 5A) and protein level (Figure 5B) of E-cadherin, as well as reduced protein expression of Slug, Snail, MMP-2, β-catenin, and vimentin (Figure 5B).

3.4 Knockdown of AR affected expression of AR coregulators

As several AR coregulators are also involved in regulation of PCa progression of cancer metastasis, we examined whether alteration of AR...
expression level affected expression level of AR coregulators. Knockdown of AR in C4-2B cells increased gene expression level of CREBBP, NCOA3, NCOA1, NCOA2, SMARCA4, SP1, BRCA1, NR0B2, KAT5, NCOA4, CAV1, and GSN whereas it decreased gene expression level of HSP90AA1, BAG1, and RACK1 (Figure 6A). As KAT5 and CAV1 have previously been reported to be involved in the regulation of PCa metastasis,32,33 we compared the abundance of these proteins in control and AR knockdown C4-2B cells. Knockdown of AR decreased protein level of CAV1 (Figure S1) but increased abundance of KAT5 protein (Figure 6B). As the change of KAT5, but not CAV1, showed a similar pattern for mRNA and protein in AR knockdown C4-2B cells as compared to control C4-2B cells, we determined whether alteration of KAT5 protein abundance can actually affect the migration of C4-2B cells. Indeed, knockdown of KAT5 increased the migration of C4-2B cells, whereas overexpression of KAT5 suppressed migration of C4-2B cells (Figure 6C). Consistent with the observation that knockdown of AR increased protein expression of KAT5, knockdown of KAT5 rescued the suppressive effect of AR knockdown on migration of C4-2B cells (Figure 6D). However, overexpression of KAT5 inhibited C4-2B migration along with AR knockdown (Figure 6D). We noticed that knockdown of AR elevated the protein expression of KAT5; therefore, KAT5 siRNA can only slightly decrease KAT5 protein level in C4-2B cells with AR siRNA knockdown (Figure 6D).

3.5 Prostate cancer patients with higher AR expression or lower KAT5 expression correlated with shorter recurrence-free survival

As knockdown of KAT5 promoted C4-2B migration, we examined whether KAT5 expression level was lower in metastatic prostate tumors. Gene level of KAT5 was lower in metastatic prostate tumors as compared to normal prostate tissues or primary prostate tumors (Figure 7A). Prostate tumors with low Gleason score (<7) expressed a higher level of KAT5 gene as compared to tumors with Gleason score equal to or greater than 7 (Figure 7B). Analysis of Oncomine gene array data in Chandran PCa dataset (Figure 8A) and Grasso PCa dataset (Figure 8B) indicated that KAT5 gene showed a negative correlation with the AR gene in PCa patients. We therefore predicted that PCa patients with higher AR or lower KAT5 expression level will have a worse prognosis. Analysis of online PCa survival database from SurvExpress for 140 PCa cases from Taylor MSKCC PCa dataset29 showed that PCa patients with higher AR expression (N = 17) correlated with a worse relapse-free rate as compared to PCa patients with lower AR expression level (N = 123) (P = 0.0032) (Figure 8C). PCa patients with lower KAT5 expression (N = 14) correlated with a worse relapse-free rate as compared to PCa patients with higher KAT5 expression level (N = 126) (P = 0.0127) (Figure 8D). To determine whether AR or KAT5 is an independent prognostic or predictive factor for survival, we carried out univariant analysis on the correlation between AR level, KAT5 level, Gleason score, age, pathological stage and relapse-free time using Taylor MSKCC prostate dataset.29 We discovered that pathological staging and Gleason score are very good prognostic markers for patients’ relapse-free time with very low P values (Figure S2). AR mRNA level, but not KAT5 gene level, is an effective prognostic marker for relapse-free time, although not as good as pathological staging or Gleason score.

4 DISCUSSION

Our study indicated that both mRNA and protein level of AR increase during PCa progression. AR mRNA (Figure 1) and protein (Figures 2-3)
levels are both higher in metastatic PCa as compared to primary PCa. These observations suggested that elevation of AR may promote PCa metastasis. Serum PSA level is higher in metastatic PCa as compared to primary PCa (Figure 2), implying that AR in metastatic PCa are functional. These results confirmed the oncogenic role of AR in PCa metastasis.

Knockdown of AR with siRNA (Figure 4A-C) or blocking AR signaling with anti-androgen Casodex (Figure 4D) significantly reduced migration and invasion of C4-2B cells. Overexpression of AR in PC-3 increased migration and invasion of C4-2B cells (data not shown). These results confirmed that elevation of AR in prostate tumors promotes cancer metastasis. Analysis of protein expression showed that AR promotes PCa metastasis by induction of EMT regulatory proteins Slug, Snail, MMP-2, β-catenin, and vimentin and the decrease of E-cadherin (Figure 5).

Epithelial-mesenchymal transition plays an essential role in the regulation of metastasis and disease progression of cancer.34 During EMT there is a series alteration of protein expression, including upregulation of N-cadherin, vimentin, Snail, Slug, Twist, MMP-2, MMP-3, and MMP-9 proteins as well as downregulation of E-cadherin, cytokeratin, and occluding proteins. Additionally, the activity of integrin-linked kinase (ILK), glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK-3β), and Rho as well as nuclear accumulation of β-catenin, Smad-2/3, nuclear factor kappa B, Snail, Slug, and Twist proteins also increased.35 Our results implied that elevation of AR expression and AR signaling in prostate tumors promotes PCa metastasis through induction of EMT.

We observed that knockdown of AR with siRNA in C4-2B cells increased both gene and protein expression levels of KAT5 (Figure 6A,B). Knockdown of KAT5 increased the migration of C4-2B cells, whereas overexpression of KAT5 suppressed cell migration (Figure 6C). Knockdown of KAT5 rescued the suppressive effect of AR knockdown on migration of C4-2B cells, whereas overexpression of KAT5 inhibited C4-2B migration along with AR

![Gene expression level of androgen receptor (AR) and KAT5 shows negative correlation and correlates with prostate cancer (PCa) patient survival. Correlation between gene expression of KAT5 and AR was determined using Oncomine gene array result from Chandran PCa dataset (A) and Grasso PCa dataset (B). Correlation between AR (C) or KAT5 (D) and PCa survival was analyzed using Taylor MSKCC Prostate database on SurvExpress Website.](image)
knockdown (Figure 6D). These observations suggested that elevation of AR promotes PCa cell migration, at least partially, by reducing KAT5 expression level. KAT5, also known as Tip60, is a protein belonging to the MYST family of histone acetyl transferases (HAT). KAT5, an AR coregulator, directly acetylates AR and promotes AR transcription. Elevation of nuclear accumulation of KAT5 was observed in castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). Additionally, androgen withdrawal promotes upregulation of KAT5 as well as nuclear accumulation in LNCaP cells. In contrast, androgen exposure resulted in a decrease and cytoplasmic localization of KAT5 expression. KAT5 promotes prostate cancer cell proliferation by translocation of androgen receptor into the nucleus. KAT5-specific inhibitor NU9056 is able to effectively suppress proliferation of prostate cancer cells. These studies indicate that elevation of KAT5 plays an essential role during the development of castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). However, analysis of clinical samples from 36 PCa patients before the acetylation of histones. In non-

-**conclusion**

In conclusion, the present study suggested that elevation of AR expression and AR signaling in prostate tumors promotes PCa metastasis by induction of EMT and reduction of KAT5.
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