Numerical Analysis of Polymer Foam Composite Material (PFCM) Reinforced by Palm Empty Bunch Fiber (PEBF) at Fracture Point Due to High Strain Impact Load
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Abstract: In general, polymer composite materials are molded in solid form and avoid the occurrence of gas bubbles trapped in the matrix. The resulting material becomes rigid and does not have a good ability to absorb the load given. At this time, innovations in the field of composite materials have been carried out and produced polymer composite materials with foam-shaped structures that are able to reduce the load given. In this study, a composite foam material reinforced with PEBF fiber was tested with an impact dynamic load and the test results were numerically analyzed by the finite element method. The purpose of this study is to obtain the stress distribution at the fracture point due to the impact of dynamic loads at high strain rates with the finite element analysis method. Impact dynamic test using the Air Gun Compressor (AGC) test with an impact pressure of 0.2 – 0.8 MPa. Strain wave propagation in the test specimen was recorded using two strain gauges. Numerical analysis is carried out with the help of Msc. Nastran software. Experimentally, a failure in the specimen occurred at an impact pressure of 0.4 MPa and an impact distance (ID) of 500 mm. Strain wave propagation time in the specimen averaged 37.5 ms, at which time the tensile and compressive stresses intersected at a distance of about 120 to 155 mm from the starting point of loading. The magnitude of the stress distribution in the range of the fracture zone is between 800 to 900 MPa.
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I. Introduction

Composite material is material derived from several materials embedded in the binding media called a matrix and produces new materials with properties that are still influenced by the constituent materials (Elanchezhian et al., 2018). In general, this material is composed of two main components, namely reinforcement or known as fiber and one is a matrix. Reinforcement material is a component that becomes the strength of these materials which can consist of non-metallic materials such as glass or from metal materials such as aluminum. The matrix material is a protective fiber, so the load does not directly affect the fiber. Currently, composite materials are chosen as alternative materials because they have properties such as: light weight, easy to form, cheaper, and corrosion resistant (Wu et al., 2018).

Environmental damage due to the use of chemicals has become a broad issue in every environmental preservation effort. Toxic chemicals do not break down naturally in nature and some of their compounds can cause the death of living things due to chemical bonds they contain (Sarpong-kumankomah, Gibson and Gailer, 2018). One solution to this problem is to use natural ingredients that are environmentally friendly and have abundant resources. Preferably sourced natural materials from agricultural waste such as rice husks, banana stems, grass, etc., or from plantation wastes such as empty fruit palms, rubber tree wood, bagasse,
etc. Specifically for this research, the waste that is utilized is palm oil mill waste, namely empty fruit bunches which are processed into palm empty bunch fiber (PEBF).

In Southeast Asia, especially Indonesia, the area of oil palm plantations is the largest (Johan et al., 2018). This factory waste is in the form of PEBF and has good potential if it can be used as raw material to build a type of composite material. Azlina et al (Azlina et al., 2019) have investigated the use of PEBF as a reinforcing material for phenolic hybrid composite materials. Based on these results, the highest tensile stress and modulus obtained in the composition 7PEFB: 3SCB with a value of 5.56 MPa and 661 MPa. Zulfikar et al (Zulfikar, Umroh and Siahaan, 2019) have examined the properties of this material due to high strain rate impact loads. The results obtained are the magnitude of the impact stress data distribution in the test of 15 samples ranging from 12-17 MPa with an average value of 13.8 MPa.

The phenomenon of the impact load propagating on an elastic medium intensively was investigated by Kolsky in 1949 (Chen and Song, 2011). Strain wave propagation occurs due to particle interactions that occur in the elastic medium. The denser the arrangement of the particles, the faster the propagation speed will be. The velocity is calculated using equation (1), where $E$ is the modulus of elasticity of the material and $\rho$ is the density of the material.

$$C = \sqrt{\frac{E}{\rho}}$$

Incident stress is the initial stress that enters the specimen from the input bar (Cavalcanti and Tavares, 2019). This stress is obtained based on test results and it is seen from the strain propagation wave captured by strain gage (Fig. 1) (Chen and Song, 2011). If this stress can be obtained, the amount of impact load $F_i$ entering the specimen surface can be calculated using equation (2), where $\sigma_i$ is incident stress and $A_1$ is the cross-sectional area of the test specimen.

$$F_i = \sigma_i A_1$$

![Figure 1. Stress waves in bars](image_url)

Experimental material testing cannot accurately explain the distribution of stress strain wave propagation that occurs in specimens. In this type of testing, many strain gage sensors will be needed to get the data. As a result, there will be many sensors that must be attached to
the specimen and sometimes even cause data complications when run. The solution to this problem is to use the help of Finite Element Method (FEM), where the specimen is divided into small elements and each connection point (node) is calculated by numerical analysis (Arbaoui, Tarfaoui and Malki, 2015). In this way, the parameters needed are: the initial load generated to the specimen, the characteristics of the material, and the shape / size of the specimen. In this study, FEM analysis was carried out with the help of MSC Nastran software.

The purpose of this study is to obtain the stress distribution that occurs in fracture zones in specimens using numerical simulations, and to obtain the characteristics of polymer foam composite material (PFCM) due to high rate dynamic impact load.

II. Research Method

PEBF was obtained from a plantation company PT. Nusantara IV, Adolina, North Sumatra, Indonesia (Fig. 2). Polyester resins and catalysts purchased from PT. Justus Kimia Raya, Medan, Indonesia and Polyol chemicals and their catalysts were purchased from PT. Rosma Bana Utama, Medan, Indonesia. The sample composition used based on the research of Zulfikar et al (Zulfikar, Umroh and Siahaan, 2019) is: 20% foam generator, 60% resin, 10% catalyst, and 10% PEBF, and the number of specimens tested is as many as 15 samples.

![Figure 2. PEBF](image)

Impact load dynamic testing uses an Air Gun Compressor (AGC) impact testing machine. This test tool is located at the Impact and Fracture Research Center (IFRC), Mechanical Engineering Department, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Indonesia (Fig. 3.a). This tool works with the principle developed by Kolsky. Fundamentally, the workings of this tool are that the strain wave propagation on this machine is generated by pressure in the compressor tank through 3 rod arrangements, namely the striker, input bar, and specimen (Fig. 3.b). In this study, testing used 4 bar air pressure, 200 mm striker length with impact distance variations of 100, 300 and 500 mm, 2000 mm input bar length, and 200 mm specimen length. The specimen is placed right at the end of the input bar with a surface position that is dense with the surface of the input bar (Fig. 4). On the surface of the specimen are placed two strain gages with a distance of 100 mm which serves to read the strain waves that will propagate on the surface.
Figure 3. AGC type Impact test machine: (a) the arrangement; (b) data schematic

Figure 4. Position the specimen surface at the tip of the input bar
Numerical analysis was performed using MSC Nastran software version 4.5. The shape of the specimen is assumed to be symmetrical so that the simulation can be done in two dimensions. The type of loading used is Dynamic Analysis with the Direct Transient method and the simulation time for each step is 0.4 ms.

III. Results

Based on the test results, the specimen experiences a break at a distance between 150 and 170 mm from the end of the input bar at which the load is initially generated (Fig. 4.a). The material behaves as brittle material due to an impact dynamic load which is shown by the shape of the fracture that occurs (Fig. 5.b).

Figure 5. Impact specimen: (a) initial load and fracture point; (b) fracture shape

Based on experimental results, the failure of the specimen occurs at the time of propagation between 1900 - 2000 μs (1.9 - 2.0 ms), at which time the compressive stress (-) and tensile (+) wave propagation intersect at a point in the specimen causing fracture in the specimen (Fig. 6). The distribution of stress data in the test of 15 samples ranged from 12-17 MPa with an average value of 13.8 MPa (Fig. 7).

The amount of incident stress is obtained by using the pulse shaping model method. The magnitude of the value of incident stress is shown in Fig. 8 with an average value of 24.24
MPa. By using equation (2), the initial impact load is 6873.87 N. This load value will be used as initial load in numerical simulation.

Figure 7. A collection of fracture stress values in testing of 15 samples
The setup of the MSC Nastran software is shown in Fig. 8. The type of analysis to be simulated is the type of Direct Transient with the duration of analysis at each step set to 300 μs.

![Load Set Options for Dynamic Analysis](image)

**Figure 8.** Setup of numerical analysis load for dynamic analysis in MSC Nastran

The results of numerical simulations are shown in Fig. 9. At 0.1 ms, the compressive load propagates from the starting point of the load to the part that has not been subjected to a speed of around 80-100 m / s. At 0.9 ms after impacting, a second compressive load wave

![Graph showing incident stress values](image)

**Figure 7.** Incident stress values (average)

Average = 24.24 MPa
appears on the specimen in the same direction as the first compressive load. This wave appears as a result of the reflection wave of the striker to the input bar. At 1.7 ms, the first load is reflected at the tip of the specimen and turns into a tensile load wave. Finally, at 2.1 ms the two types of strain waves meet and intersect with each other. In theory, the specimen will experience a fracture when two strain waves in different directions meet or intersect.

| Stress wave propagation | Time (ms) |
|-------------------------|-----------|
|                         | 0.1       |
|                         | 0.5       |
|                         | 0.9       |
|                         | 1.3       |
|                         | 1.7       |
|                         | 2.1       |

Fracture Zone

= Compression stress/strain wave

= Tensile stress/strain wave

**Figure 9.** Strain wave propagation in specimen due to high rate impact loading

The stress distribution in the fracture zone is shown in Fig. 10. (a) and (b). Based on numerical simulations, the stress that occurs in the fracture zone ranges from 17-19 MPa, or greater about 28% of the results obtained experimentally. In numerical simulations, the load conditions are assumed to be ideal so that the influence of external factors such as temperature, air pressure, friction, gage factor, etc., is not involved. However, the simulation results can explain the high-speed strain wave propagation conditions that occur on the specimen surface.

![Stress wave propagation](image)

(a)
The pattern of strain/strain wave distribution on the surface of the specimen is like the pattern of ocean depth, where the darker color (red) is the area that experiences maximum load. The brighter the color of the contour that is shown indicates the smaller the load it is experiencing. In the fracture zone, two types of loads namely compress and tensile stress meet and intersect each other so that the fracture specimen with an average stress based on the simulation results is 18 MPa.

IV. Conclusion

Based on the results of numerical simulations using MSC Nastran due to impact loads, especially in the fracture zone, the following conclusions are obtained: Based on the distribution of stresses that occur in the fracture region shows that two stresses in different directions intersect at high speed, which ranges from 80-100 m / s, at a point in the test specimen with a magnitude ranging from 17-19 MPa, and in very short time, which is about 2.1 ms or 0.0021 seconds after the first impact load enters the specimen surface. In addition there are also other strain waves that propagate on the specimen due to reflected waves at the tip of the specimen. Both of these stresses cause fracture on the test specimen. Based on the shape of the fracture, this material is classified as brittle material when this material experiences a high strain rate impact load. This is shown by the shape of the breakdown caused by the load (Fig. 5.b). The shape of the fracture showed that there was no hardening strain when the high strain waves propagated in the specimen, so that the shape of the necking was also not seen in the fracture results of the test specimen. Therefore, it is necessary to further develop this material so that it can be used for high impact load conditions and heavy work.
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