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Abstract

Background: The dystrobrevin-binding protein 1 (DTNBP1) gene is a susceptibility gene for schizophrenia. There is growing evidence that DTNPB1 contributes to intelligence and cognition. In this study, we investigated association between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the DTNBP1 gene and intellectual functioning in patients with a first episode of schizophrenia or related psychotic disorder (first-episode psychosis, FEP), their healthy siblings, and unrelated controls.

Methods: From all subjects IQ measurements were obtained (verbal IQ [VIQ], performance IQ [PIQ], and full scale IQ [FSIQ]). Seven SNPs in the DTNBP1 gene were genotyped using single base primer extension and analyzed by matrix-assisted laser deionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF).

Results: Mean VIQ, PIQ, and FSIQ scores differed significantly (p < 0.001) between patients, siblings, and controls. Using a family-based and a case-control design, several single SNPs were significantly associated with IQ scores in patients, siblings, and controls.

Conclusion: Although preliminary, our results provide evidence for association between the DTNBP1 gene and intelligence in patients with FEP and their unaffected siblings. Genetic variation in the DTNBP1 gene may increase schizophrenia susceptibility by affecting intellectual functioning.

Background

Schizophrenia is associated with cognitive impairments [1,2] as was already recognized by Kraepelin [3] who used the term “dementia praecox” to describe a chronic, deteriorating psychotic disorder characterized by progressive cognitive decline. To date, cognitive dysfunctions including deficiencies in attention, working memory, and executive functions are considered core features of the clinical schizophrenia phenotype, and are probably highly predictive for functional outcome [4,5]. No single etiological
substrate for schizophrenia and its associated cognitive profile has yet been identified, but common abnormalities include reductions in neuropil and size of neurons, and structural and functional abnormalities of prefrontal and temporal regions and the hippocampal formation [6]. Also, altered glutamergic neurotransmission has been implicated as a functional substrate for psychotic and cognitive symptoms in schizophrenia [7], in addition to the classical dopamine hypothesis [8]. Evidence from genetic linkage and association, and twin studies points to a major contribution of genetic factors to schizophrenia etiology [9]. Furthermore, since healthy family members of patients with schizophrenia show similar cognitive deficits, the cognitive profile associated with schizophrenia is probably highly heritable [10].

One of the key candidate genes to date is the dystrobrevin-binding protein 1 (DTNBP1, or dysbindin) gene. Not only have several genome scans reported linkage of chromosome 6p22-24 to schizophrenia [11-14], but also association has been reported between schizophrenia and a set of individual markers and haplotypes spanning the chromosomal region containing the DTNBP1 gene (6p22.3), a finding which has been replicated in several different populations [15-24]. Despite the large number of studies supporting DTNBP1 as a positional candidate gene for schizophrenia [25], functional variants have not yet been identified, nor have any pathophysiological mechanisms.

However, there is accumulating evidence that DTNBP1 may have a role in cognition. For example, one association study found a specific protective haplotype that was associated with higher educational achievement in patients with schizophrenia [24]. The authors propose that this protective haplotype within DTNBP1 may modify schizophrenia risk by enhancing cognition. This hypothesis is supported by a recent genome scan for intelligence using a large sample of healthy sib-pairs, that revealed putative linkage on 6p25.3-6p22.3 [26], and by a linkage scan in schizophrenia patients reporting linkage at 6p24 to a neurocognitive deficit subtype of schizophrenia [27]. In addition, post-mortem studies have shown that DTNBP1 expression is reduced in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex of patients with schizophrenia, regions of the brain that are crucial for adequate cognitive functioning [28]. DTNBP1 could also influence schizophrenia liability and cognition by modulating glutamatergic or dopaminergic neurotransmission: DTNBP1 is located in presynaptic glutamatergic neurons and is reduced in patients with schizophrenia [29]; Furthermore, down-regulation of DTNBP1 may lead to hyperactivation of midbrain dopaminergic systems [30]. Both the dopaminergic and the glutamatergic systems are key neurotransmitter systems for cognitive functioning [7,31].

Recent data from two independent groups further support a role for DTNBP1 in cognition and schizophrenia susceptibility. Burdick et al [32] recently reported association between a DTNBP1 haplotype and general cognitive ability in patients with schizophrenia and in healthy controls. Patients with schizophrenia carrying a DTNBP1 risk haplotype previously identified by Funke [21], performed worse on a neurocognitive test battery including the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Test (WAIS) – Revised than patients without the risk haplotype. Likewise, healthy controls without the risk haplotype showed higher levels of general cognitive ability than those carrying the risk haplotype. Furthermore, a recent study by Donohoe et al [33] reported that patients with schizophrenia carrying a DTNBP1 risk haplotype showed impaired spatial working memory performance in comparison to patients without the risk haplotype. In addition to cognition, DTNBP1 has recently been associated with high levels of negative symptoms in patients with schizophrenia [34,35]; these findings are relevant in this context since negative symptoms and cognitive dysfunction are highly correlated [36].

Given the evidence supporting DTNBP1 not only as a susceptibility gene for schizophrenia but also as a gene contributing to intellectual functioning, we hypothesized that variants in the DTNBP1 gene may contribute to the risk for schizophrenia by affecting cognitive abilities. To date, association between genetic variation in the DTNBP1 gene and intellectual functioning in unaffected relatives of patients with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders has not yet been studied. Therefore, we investigated association between SNPs in the DTNBP1 gene and IQ in patients with a first episode of schizophrenia or related psychotic disorder (first-episode psychosis, FEP), their unaffected siblings, and healthy controls.

Methods

Subjects and assessment

All patients were admitted to the Adolescent Clinic of the Academic Medical Center of the University of Amsterdam and attended a special program for adolescents with FEP. After complete description of the study to the subjects, written informed consent was obtained. The study was approved by the human subjects review board of our institution. Exclusion criteria were organic brain disease, endocrine disorder, or learning disability. Diagnosis was established according to DSM-IV [37] criteria and was based on the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) [38]. At time of testing patients were clinically stable for at least four weeks (i.e. no changes in antipsychotic medication in the four weeks before testing). Additionally, healthy siblings were recruited, and unrelated healthy controls from the general population matched for age, educational level, and ethnicity. Both groups were screened for psychopathology using the MINI...
and were excluded when they had an Axis I disorder, organic brain disease, or learning disability. All subjects were administered the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Test – third version (WAIS III). Blood was collected from all subjects for DNA isolation. Where available, parents (of patients) were asked to provide blood for DNA isolation.

**DNA extraction and genetic analysis**

Genomic DNA was extracted using a filter-based method (QIAamp DNA Mini Kit, Qiagen Ltd, United Kingdom). Genotyping was performed using single base primer extension and analyzed by matrix-assisted laser-desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) on a Bruker III Daltonics Mass Spectrometer as described previously [39] (details available upon request). All DNA samples were genotyped in duplicate to ensure reliability.

**Choice of markers**

We selected markers which showed association with schizophrenia in previous studies. We chose 6 markers (Table 1) from the original report by Straub [15] (rs2619539, rs3213207, rs1011313, rs 2619528, rs760761, and rs2619522) and 1 additional SNP first reported by Williams [24] (rs2619538).

**Statistical analysis**

1. **Demographics statistics**

Differences in age and educational level between patients, siblings, and controls were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA), between-group differences in gender were analyzed using $\chi^2$ tests. Differences in verbal IQ (VIQ), performance IQ (PIQ), and full scale IQ (FSIQ) scores between patients, siblings, and controls were analyzed using ANOVA adjusting for age, gender, and educational level. The generalized estimating equations (GEE) method [40] was used to correct for correlations due to family relations. We used SAS version 9 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary NC, USA); level of statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$.

2. **Association analysis with single SNPs and multiple SNP combinations in cases, siblings, and unrelated controls**

Differences in allele frequencies between patients, siblings, and controls were analyzed using $\chi^2$ tests. We assessed the effect of single SNPs in the DTNBP1 gene on VIQ, PIQ, and FSIQ scores in patients with FEP, healthy siblings, and unrelated controls using ANOVA adjusting for age, gender, ethnicity, and educational level. The GEE method was used to correct for possible correlations between patients and siblings due to family relations. We added the rare homozygotes to the heterozygotes, thus analyzing 2 genotype groups instead of 3. Additionally, association between VIQ, PIQ, and FSIQ, and multiple SNP combinations was analyzed using backward stepwise regression analysis as described previously, with all 7 polymorphisms in the initial model together with the (fixed) covariates age, gender, ethnicity, and educational level. Level of statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$.

3. **Quantitative pedigree disequilibrium test (QPDT)**

In addition, we used a family-based analysis including genotypic data from patients, unaffected siblings, and parents, to test for association between PIQ, VIQ, and FSIQ scores and DTNBP1 polymorphisms. In total, 52 pedigrees were included in this analysis. All 52 pedigrees included 1 proband, 26 pedigrees included 1 or more unaffected siblings; in 26 pedigrees no unaffected sibling was available. From 33/52 patients (63%) DNA from both parents was available; from 19/52 patients (37%) we had DNA from only one parent. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) between SNPs was calculated in Haploview version 3.32 http://www.broad.mit.edu/mpg/haploview/index.php with standard transmission disequilibrium test (TDT) settings and expressed as $D'$ and $r^2$ (see Table 2). We used the quantitative pedigree disequilibrium test (QPDT) [41] implemented in UNPHASED [42] http://www.mrc-bsu.cam.ac.uk/personal/frank/software/unphased.

Rare marker combinations with frequencies below 0.05 were excluded from the analysis. Global $p$-values were obtained for single SNPs and for 2-, 3- and 4- marker combinations in a sliding window.

**Results**

1. **Demographic data**

We included 76 patients with FEP, 31 healthy siblings, and 31 unrelated control subjects (see Table 3). Ethnicity counts are shown in Table 4. The patients met DSM-IV criteria for schizophrenia (N = 57; 75%), schizoaffective disorder (N = 13; 17%), brief psychotic disorder (N = 3; 4%), and psychotic disorder not otherwise specified (N = 3; 4%). Mean duration of psychosis was 20 months (SD = 15.06). Patients were receiving antipsychotic medication (80.2%), mostly atypical antipsychotics. Mean dose of antipsychotic medication in chlorpromazine equivalents was 291.06 (SD = 266.70). Six out of 76 patients (8%) were also diagnosed with mild to moderate depressive disorder and used an SSRI. None of the healthy siblings and none of the unrelated controls used any psychotropic medication. Means and numbers for age, educational level, gender, VIQ, PIQ, and FSIQ for cases, siblings and controls are presented in Table 3. All data were normally distributed. There was no difference between patients receiving typical and atypical neuroleptic treatment on IQ scores. We found no significant correlation between chlorpromazine equivalents and FSIQ (Pearson’s Rho=-0.13; $p = 0.3$). Also, educational level did not differ significantly between patients, siblings and controls ($p = 0.11$). The
number of males and females differed significantly between groups ($p < 0.01$). Mean VIQ, PIQ, and FSIQ scores differed significantly ($p < 0.001$) between patients, siblings, and controls (Figure 1). IQ scores in patients (mean VIQ = 89.2 ± 14.3, mean PIQ = 85.7 ± 13.0, mean FSIQ = 86.7 ± 13.8) were significantly lower than IQ scores in siblings (mean VIQ = 95.7 ± 11.1, mean PIQ = 98.6 ± 11.4, mean FSIQ = 96.7 ± 11.6) and controls (mean VIQ = 105.6 ± 16.9, mean PIQ = 106.4 ± 14.4, mean FSIQ = 107.0 ± 15.5). Post-hoc analyses showed that siblings had significantly lower IQ scores than controls ($p = 0.005$) and significantly higher IQ scores than patients ($p = 0.001$) (Figure 1).

2. Association analysis with single SNPs and marker combinations in cases, siblings, and unrelated controls

None of the SNPs deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Allele frequencies did not differ between probands, siblings and healthy controls (data not shown). After adjusting for age, gender, ethnicity, educational level, and family membership, 3 of the 7 SNPs examined (rs760761, rs2619522, and rs2619538) showed significant association with FSIQ scores in patients, siblings and controls (rs760761: $p = 0.026$; rs2619522: $p = 0.025$; rs2619538: $p = 0.038$; Table 5). Two SNPs showed association with VIQ, a third showed marginal significance (rs760761: $p = 0.049$; rs2619522: $p = 0.052$; rs2619538: $p = 0.029$). Furthermore, rs760761 and rs2619522 showed significant association with PIQ ($p$-values 0.038 and 0.023 respectively). Using non-parametric techniques (rank-transformation) similar $p$-values were obtained (data not shown).

When we analyzed association between IQ scores and 2-, 3-, or 4-marker combinations, none of the marker combinations showed significant association with FSIQ scores (data not shown). The multiple SNP models showed that a model including only rs760761 fitted the data equally well compared to a model including all 7 SNPs, suggesting that the observed differences may be caused by a single mutation.

3. QPDT results

We found significant association between FSIQ scores and 4 single SNPs in the DTNBP1 gene using the QPDT (rs2619528: $p = 0.044$; rs760761: $p = 0.022$; rs2619522: $p = 0.022$; rs2619538: $p = 0.026$). None of the 2-, 3-, or 4-marker combinations showed significant association with VIQ, PIQ, or FSIQ scores (data not shown). The multiple SNP models showed that a model including only rs760761 fitted the data equally well compared to a model including all 7 SNPs, suggesting that the observed differences may be caused by a single mutation.

### Table 1: SNP information

| Inter marker distance | Nucleotide change | Minor allele frequency | SNP description | Chr 6. position March 2006 UCSC freeze |
|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|
| rs2619539             | 0                 | 0.47                   | Intron 5       | 15728834                               |
| rs3213207             | 7247              | 0.13                   | Intron 4       | 15736081                               |
| rs1011313             | 5330              | 0.06                   | Intron 4       | 15741411                               |
| rs2619528             | 16397             | 0.30                   | Intron 3       | 15757808                               |
| rs760761              | 1303              | 0.28                   | Intron 3       | 15759111                               |
| rs2619522             | 2517              | 0.30                   | Intron 1       | 15761628                               |
| rs2619538             | 11560             | 0.49                   | 5’ flanking region | 15773188                       |

In the 3rd column ("nucleotide change") the common allele is presented first. Minor allele frequency in patients is given in the 3rd column. SNP: Single Nucleotide Polymorphism. UCSC: University of California Santa Cruz, Genome Bioinformatics, http://genome.ucsc.edu/ http://www.ensembl.org/

### Table 2: Pairwise LD in DTNBP1 polymorphisms

|      | rs2619539 | rs3213207 | rs1011313 | rs2619528 | rs760761 | rs2619522 | rs2619538 |
|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| rs2619539 | -         | 0.156     | 0.111     | 0.0       | 0.0       | 0.001     | 0.055     |
| rs3213207 | 1.0       | -         | 0.014     | 0.334     | 0.403     | 0.34      | 0.076     |
| rs1011313 | 0.916     | 1.0       | -         | 0.037     | 0.033     | 0.036     | 0.081     |
| rs2619528 | 0.024     | 0.955     | 1.0       | -         | 0.838     | 0.737     | 0.128     |
| rs760761  | 0.015     | 1.0       | 1.0       | 0.96      | -         | 0.854     | 0.166     |
| rs2619522 | 0.04      | 0.955     | 1.0       | 0.867     | 0.96      | -         | 0.182     |
| rs2619538 | 0.242     | 0.823     | 0.825     | 0.648     | 0.772     | 0.778     | -         |

D’ values and $r^2$ values for all combinations of the 7 SNPs included in this study are calculated in Haploview version 3.32 http://www.broad.mit.edu/mpg/haploview/index.php with standard TDT settings. Above diagonal $r^2$-values are shown, below diagonal D’-values are shown.
rs2619538: $p = 0.023$). Using UNPHASED, we found LD between the 4 SNPs associated with FSIQ to be high ($D'$ ranging from 0.7–1.0), therefore we think the SNPs are part of an LD block and do not contribute to variance in FSIQ individually.

**Discussion**

In our study population we found significant association between single SNPs in the DTNBP1 gene and IQ measurements in patients with FEP, their unaffected siblings, and controls matched for age, educational level, and ethnicity. Rs760761 showed the strongest and most consistent association with IQ values in patients, unaffected siblings, and unrelated controls. Whether this association is caused by rs760761 itself or by a functional mutation in LD with this polymorphism, cannot be concluded from our data.

**Previous work on DTNBP1 and cognition**

Our data are partly consistent with a recent report of association between genetic variation in DTNBP1 and measures of prefrontal brain function in healthy adults [43]. In this study the polymorphisms rs2619528 and rs760761 showed strong association with prefrontal electrophysiology as measured by event-related potentials (ERPs) both individually and as part of a haplotype. In particular the GG variant of rs2619528 and the CC variant of rs760761 were associated with impaired prefrontal brain electrophysiology. In our study population, the rs760761 CC genotype was associated with better intellectual function.

A possible explanation for this discrepancy is that genetic variation in DTNBP1 may differentially affect electrophysiology and IQ measures. Alternatively, effects of rs760761 on prefrontal brain electrophysiology in healthy controls may differ from those in patients with schizophrenia; therefore future studies should investigate whether associations between DTNBP1 and electrophysiological measures are also present in patients with schizophrenia.

Support for a role of DTNBP1 in cognition and in schizophrenia came first from Burdick [32] who recently reported association between a DTNBP1 haplotype and general cognitive ability, and from Donohoe et al [33] who reported association between a DTNBP1 haplotype and spatial working memory in patients with schizophrenia. Our data are consistent with these studies although we used a different approach. The risk haplotype used by Donohoe et al [33] was previously identified in same cohort by Williams et al [24]; similarly, the risk haplotype used by Burdick et al [32,44] and by DeRosse et al [35] was previously identified in same sample by Funke et al [21]. We however tested SNPs in a hypothesis-free fashion. Also, the SNPs we typed did not include the tagging SNP (rs1018381) from the risk haplotype used in the sample of Funke et al [21]. And although we did type the three SNPs that formed the risk haplotype in the Donohoe et al [33] study, our study focused on general cognitive ability similar to Burdick et al [32], whereas Donohoe [33] investigated a specific cognitive process, namely spa-

---

**Table 3: Subject characteristics**

| Subject characteristic | Patients $N = 76$ | Siblings $N = 31$ | Controls $N = 31$ | Statistic | p-value |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|---------|
| Age (SD)               | 21.5 (2.9)       | 22.8 (3.8)       | 21.5 (3.1)       | $F = 2.27$ | $p = 0.11$ |
| Gender (M/F)           | 66/10            | 13/18            | 23/8             | $\chi^2 = 23.03$ | $p < 0.001$ |
| Educational level (SD) | 4.45 (0.91)      | 4.76 (1.15)      | 4.83 (1.09)      | $F = 1.94$ | $p = 0.15$ |
| VIQ (SD)               | 89.2 (14.3)      | 95.7 (11.1)      | 105.6 (16.9)     | $F = 14.68$ | $p < 0.001$ |
| PIQ (SD)               | 85.7 (13.0)      | 98.6 (11.4)      | 106.4 (14.4)     | $F = 31.35$ | $p < 0.001$ |
| FSIQ (SD)              | 86.7 (13.8)      | 96.7 (11.6)      | 107.0 (15.5)     | $F = 25.12$ | $p < 0.001$ |

Subject characteristics (age, gender, and educational level) and verbal, performance, and full scale IQ scores (VIQ, PIQ, and FSIQ). Between-group differences in age, educational level, and between VIQ, PIQ, and FSIQ are calculated using ANOVA. Between-group differences in gender are calculated using a $\chi^2$ test. The $p$-values marked with * result from an overall ANOVA; all pairwise comparisons are significant.

**Table 4: Overview of subjects’ countries of origin**

| Country of origin       | Patients | Siblings | Controls |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Netherlands (white Caucasian) | 48       | 25       | 22       |
| Turkey                  | 3        | 1        | 0        |
| Morocco                 | 4        | 0        | 4        |
| Surinam or Dutch Antilles | 17       | 3        | 5        |
| Missing                 | 4        | 2        | 0        |

Country of origin did not differ significantly between subject groups (Fisher’s Exact = 9.44, $p = 0.24$).
tial working memory. Therefore, results may be partly discrepant from previous studies due to differences in approach, cognitive measures, and genotyped SNPs.

Biological mechanism
Our data add to the growing evidence that genetic variation in the DTNBP1 gene modifies schizophrenia susceptibility by influencing general cognitive abilities. Not only is the 6p22–24 locus implicated in cognition and neurocognitive deficit in schizophrenia by recent genome scans [26,27] and has DTNBP1 been associated with more severe negative symptoms which are highly correlated to cognitive dysfunction [34,45], but also recent neuropathological studies have revealed reduced expression of DTNBP1 in the prefrontal cortex [28] and in the hippocampus [29] in postmortem brain tissue of schizophrenia patients. Both structures are involved in cognitive functions, the prefrontal cortex merely in working memory and executive function, the hippocampus mainly in memory formation. Also, risk haplotypes in the DTNBP1 gene have been associated with reduced expression of DTNBP1 mRNA in brain tissue of healthy subjects and in patients with schizophrenia, whereas ‘protective’ haplotypes were associated with high DTNBP1 expression [46]. Changes in DTNBP1 expression are probably not caused by antipsychotic drug treatment [47] but instead may reflect an increased genetic vulnerability for schizophrenia. Thus, genetic variation in the DTNBP1 gene may modulate schizophrenia susceptibility by altering DTNBP1 expression in areas of the brain crucial for cognitive functions. This may occur already in an early stage, since genetic variation in DTNBP1 has been associated with poor premorbid function in children developing childhood-onset schizophrenia [48], suggesting that DTNBP1 contributes to early neurodevelopmental impairment. A possible mechanism by which dysbindin contributes to schizophrenia susceptibility, may be by modulating neurotransmitter systems in regions of the brain that are crucial for cognitive functioning and that are probably disturbed in schizophrenia: the glutamatergic system in the hippocampal formation and the dopaminergic system in the prefrontal cortex.

Table 5: Mean IQ values and associations by SNP and genotype

| Mean FSIQ (SD) | Non-carriers | Carriers | Z-statistic | Adjusted p-value |
|---------------|--------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|
| rs 2619539    | 91.02 (16.02) | 94.52 (15.92) | 1.02 | 0.308 |
| rs 3213207    | 94.16 (15.96) | 91.30 (16.06) | -1.60 | 0.109 |
| rs 1011313    | 93.50 (16.37) | 93.29 (13.23) | 1.29 | 0.198 |
| rs 2619528    | 96.36 (15.21) | 89.95 (16.30) | -1.65 | 0.098 |
| rs 760761     | 96.79 (15.18) | 88.77 (16.02) | -2.23 | 0.026 |
| rs 2619522    | 96.74 (15.59) | 89.23 (15.97) | -2.25 | 0.025 |
| rs 2619538    | 88.52 (16.57) | 95.04 (15.54) | 2.08 | 0.038 |

Observed mean FSIQ values of the total population (patients, siblings and controls) with standard deviation (SD) are given in ‘carriers’ versus the ‘non-carriers’ in which ‘carriers’ represent the carriers of the low-frequency allele. P-values are given for the comparison of FSIQ values between genotype groups (carriers and non-carriers) using ANOVA with GEE adjusting for age, gender, ethnicity, family membership, and educational level.
Recently, Talbot [29] investigated expression and localization of DTNBP1 in postmortem brain tissue of schizophrenia patients and reported evidence for a relationship between DTNBP1 and hippocampal glutamate neurotransmission: DTNBP1 expression was reduced in terminal fields of intrinsic glutamatergic connections and these reductions were related to glutamatergic alterations in intrinsic hippocampal formation connections [29]. Glutamatergic neurotransmission in the hippocampus is important for memory formation [49], therefore reductions in DTNBP1 in the hippocampus of schizophrenia patients as observed by Talbot [29] may disturb normal information processing and diminish synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus. The hippocampus has been implicated in schizophrenia pathogenesis previously, both by structural neuroimaging studies reporting reductions of hippocampal size, and by neuropathological studies reporting abnormal clustering of neurons [50,51].

Another possible mechanism by which DTNBP1 could modulate schizophrenia susceptibility, is by modulating prefrontal cortex (PFC) function. Reduced DTNBP1 expression has been reported in the dorsolateral PFC (DLPFC) in postmortem brain tissue of patients with schizophrenia [28]. Disturbed development of the DLPFC probably underlies cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia and may contribute to schizophrenia pathogenesis [52]. Recently Kumamoto et al [30] reported that DTNBP1 also may be involved in the dopaminergic system, and may be capable of activating the midbrain dopaminergic system via indirect pathways [30]. Dopaminergic systems in the PFC and midbrain form a feedback mechanism and are modulated by genetic variation in dopamine clearance pathways; tuning of these systems is of critical importance for optimal cognitive functioning [53-56]. Thus, genetic variation in DTNBP1 may impact on dopaminergic and glutamatergic systems in the PFC and hippocampus, thereby disturbing memory and cognition and increasing schizophrenia susceptibility.

**Strengths and limitations**

Strength of our study is the relatively young age of the patients (mean age was 21 years in our sample versus approximately 40 years in the sample of Burdick et al [32]), therefore potential confounders, e.g. disease duration or chronic antipsychotic treatment, are unlikely to influence IQ measurements. Limitations of our study are small sample size and the use of an ethnically mixed sample. To reduce the risk for population stratification, we (1) adjusted for ethnicity in the case-control analyses; (2) used unaffected siblings as controls; (3) matched the unrelated controls for ethnicity; and (4) used additional family-based analyses which confirmed results of the case-control analyses. Therefore, we think it is unlikely our results are due to admixture. Multiple testing effects could also allow the occurrence of type I errors. Because we expect the contribution of individual genes to the risk of complex genetic disorders to be small, and because the tests we performed are not completely independent (LD between SNPs is high and VIQ, PIQ, and FSIQ are highly correlated) we consider the Bonferroni method too strict to correct for type I error. However, we acknowledge that our results would not survive other – less stringent – methods to correct for multiple testing. Therefore our findings are preliminary and need to be replicated in a large and genetically homogeneous sample. Also, most of our included patients are male; therefore results may not generalize to female patients. Finally, although we found no correlation between chlorpromazine equivalents and FSIQ, the use of antipsychotic medication is a potential confounding factor in our study since all patients used antipsychotics whereas neither the siblings nor the unrelated controls used any psychotropic medication.

**Future directions**

Future research will need to further investigate the complex genetic background of cognitive phenotypes in schizophrenia, including involvement of multiple susceptibility genes and gene-environmental interactions. Additionally, the use of combined techniques for measuring cognitive phenotypes, e.g. acquiring functional brain images during neuropsychological testing, may improve reliability and resolution of cognitive phenotypes in schizophrenia, thereby increasing power for molecular genetic studies.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we report association between genetic variation in the DTNBP1 gene and IQ measures in patients with first-episode psychosis, unaffected siblings, and unrelated control subjects. There is growing evidence that genetic variation modulates cognition in schizophrenia; not only has genetic variation in DTNBP1 previously been associated with spatial working memory function and general intellectual function [32,33], but also variations in the DISC 1 gene have been related to structure and function of the hippocampus [57], and a functional variant in the NRG1 gene has been associated with impaired prefrontal function and decreased IQ [58]. Although preliminary, our results add to the growing body of research that genetic variation in DTNBP1 alters schizophrenia liability, possibly by affecting cognition.
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