Comparison of Methicillin-Resistant \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} Community-Acquired and Healthcare-Associated Pneumonia
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\textbf{Purpose:} Methicillin-resistant \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} (MRSA) is recognized as an important cause of not only healthcare-associated pneumonia (HCAP) but also community-acquired pneumonia (CAP). We determined the impact of MRSA on differences in clinical characteristics, courses, and outcomes between CAP and HCAP. \textbf{Materials and Methods:} We conducted a retrospective observational study on 78 adult patients admitted with MRSA pneumonia at a university-affiliated tertiary hospital between January 2008 and December 2011. We compared baseline characteristics, chest radiographs, treatment outcomes, and drug resistance patterns between the CAP and HCAP groups. \textbf{Results:} Of the 78 patients with MRSA pneumonia, 57 (73.1\%) were HCAP and 21 (26.9\%) were CAP. MRSA infection history in the previous year (29.8\% vs. 14.3\%, \textit{p}=0.244) tended to be more common in HCAP than in CAP. Despite similar Pneumonia Severity Index scores (151 in CAP vs. 142 in HCAP), intubation rates (38.1\% vs. 17.5\%; \textit{p}=0.072) and intensive care unit admission (42.9\% vs. 22.8\%; \textit{p}=0.095) tended to be higher in the CAP group, while 28-day mortality was higher in the HCAP group (14.3\% vs. 26.3\%; \textit{p}=0.368), although without statistical significance. All patients showed sensitivity to vancomycin and linezolid; meanwhile, HCAP patients showed greater resistance to gentamicin than CAP patients (58.3\% vs. 16.6\%; \textit{p}=0.037). The median total hospital charges were 6899 American dollars for CAP and 5715 American dollars for HCAP (\textit{p}=0.161). \textbf{Conclusion:} MRSA pneumonia showed significantly differences in baseline characteristics, chest radiographs, treatment outcomes, and medical expenses between HCAP and CAP groups.
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\section*{INTRODUCTION}

Methicillin-resistant \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} (MRSA) is a well-known cause of hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP) or ventilator-associated pneumonia, repre-
senting 20–40% of infections. Unlike the previous confinement of MRSA to healthcare settings, MRSA has also emerged as an important pathogen in non-nosocomial pneumonia, comprising up to 20% of healthcare-associated pneumonia (HCAP) cases and up to 10% of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) cases.

MRSA pneumonia is likely to be severe and life-threatening, with high mortality, compared with non-MRSA pneumonia. Rapidly progressive necrotizing pneumonia, due to community-associated MRSA, is notable for its high morbidity and mortality, even in relatively young and previously healthy patients. Although treatment of MRSA pneumonia is typically universal, starting with glycopeptide antimicrobial therapy, regardless of the source of infection (i.e., hospital- or community-acquired) different risk factors, transmission, clinical courses, and antibiotic resistance patterns have been reported between hospital-acquired (HA-) and community-acquired MRSA (CA-MRSA) pneumonia.

Recently, HCAP and CAP have garnered greater concern; however, the exact incidence of non-nosocomial pneumonia with MRSA is difficult to determine. Although there are a number of case reports and small case series in the literature, there has yet to be any substantial epidemiologic study. HCAP has been described as pneumonia more similar to HAP than CAP in terms of epidemiological patterns and prognosis. However, the influence of MRSA on the different characteristics of HCAP and CAP is not well-known.

As CAP and HCAP are classified as different types of pneumonia, the purpose of this study was to examine the incidences of MRSA CAP and MRSA HCAP. We also attempted to determine the impact of MRSA on differences in clinical characteristics, treatment outcomes, and medical expenses between CAP and HCAP.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study design and subjects

We conducted a retrospective observational study on adult patients (≥20 years old) admitted with MRSA pneumonia at Severance Hospital (a university-affiliated tertiary hospital) in South Korea between January 2008 and December 2011. Among 943 pneumonia patients with identified pathogens, 78 patients with MRSA pneumonia were included in this study. Patients were classified into CAP or HCAP groups. We compared baseline characteristics, treatment outcomes, medical expenses, and drug resistance patterns between the groups. This study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Severance Hospital.

### Definitions

Pneumonia was defined as the presence of a new infiltrate on chest radiograms with at least one of the following symptoms: fever or hypothermia, cough with or without sputum, chest pain, dyspnea, or altered breath sounds on auscultation.

CAP and HCAP were defined according to American Thoracic Society/Infectious Diseases Society America (ATS/IDSA) guidelines. HCAP included patients with at least one of the following criteria: hospitalization within 90 days before the pneumonia diagnosis, admission from a nursing home or a long-term care facility, infusion therapy such as with intravenous antibiotics, chemotherapy, or wound care within 30 days before the pneumonia diagnosis, and/or chronic hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis.

We defined immunosuppressed patients as those that comprised at least one of following: daily treatment with systemic corticosteroids (≥15 mg of prednisone/day for more than 1 month) or combination therapy with low-dose corticosteroids and other immunosuppressants (azathioprine, mycophenolate, methotrexate, cyclosporine, or cyclophosphamide), seropositivity for human immunodeficiency virus, receipt of a solid organ or bone marrow transplant, radiation therapy or chemotherapy for an underlying malignancy within 6 months prior to hospital admission, or diagnosis with an underlying acquired immune deficiency disorder.

Total hospital charges included all medical expenses during hospitalization, except charges for a hospital room.

### Microbiological studies

Pathogens from sputum, blood, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, pleural effusion, or lung abscesses were investigated using standard microbiological procedures. Blood cultures were considered as an etiological diagnosis if there was no other infection source for a positive blood culture. Sputum samples were cultured using semi-quantitative manner and an etiological diagnosis was confirmed when a predominant microorganism was isolated from group 4 or 5 sputum, according to Murray and Washington’s grading system. MRSA pneumonia was defined as pneumonia coinciding with isolation of MRSA as the only potential pathogen. The qualitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of meCA is a rapid and sensitive method for detecting MRSA, but cultures were used because of high rate of false positives in PCR method. VITEK system was used to evaluate mini-
Incidence of MRSA in culture-positive CAP and HCAP during 2008-2011

The total number of hospitalized patients with non-nosocomial culture-positive pneumonia was 943 (496 in CAP, 447 in HCAP) between January 2008 and December 2011. The total numbers of culture-positive CAP and HCAP for each year are as follows: 86 and 79 in 2008, 105 and 105 in 2009, 133 and 108 in 2010, and 172 and 155 in 2011, respectively. The incidence of MRSA in non-nosocomial culture-positive CAP and HCAP was 4.2% and 12.8% over the 4 years. The change in the proportion of MRSA is shown in Fig. 1. While the proportion of MRSA in culture-positive CAP increased from 2.9% in 2009 to 4.7% in 2011 that in culture-positive HCAP fluctuated between 7.6% and 19.4%. MRSA pneumonia was observed most frequently in April (16.7%), November (12.8%), and December (11.5%).

Baseline characteristics

Among the 78 patients with MRSA pneumonia, 21 (26.9%) presented with CAP and 57 (73.1%) presented with HCAP. Baseline characteristics of the patients with MRSA CAP and MRSA HCAP are listed in Table 1. Median ages in the MRSA CAP and MRSA HCAP groups were 73 (60.5-77.5) and 71 (66.0-79.5) years old, respectively ($p=0.491$). Males were dominant in both groups (76.2% in MRSA CAP vs. 73.7% in MRSA HCAP; $p=1.000$) and hypertension was the most predominant underlying disease in both groups (52.4% in MRSA CAP vs. 57.9% in MRSA HCAP; $p=0.798$). Hyperoxemia at admission was observed in more than half of the patients in both groups (66.7% in MRSA CAP vs. 61.4% in MRSA HCAP; $p=0.794$), while shock (38.1% vs. 21.1%; $p=0.15$) and MRSA bacteremia (28.6% vs. 17.5%; $p=0.346$) tended to occur more in MRSA CAP than in MRSA HCAP. Immunosuppressed status (35.1% vs. 19.0%; $p=0.269$) and previous MRSA infection history within 1 year (28.6% vs. 17.5%; $p=0.346$) tended to be more common in MRSA HCAP than in MRSA CAP, but without statistical significance. CURB65 scores and Pneumonia Severity Index scores did not differ between the groups.

Chest radiographs

Chest radiograph findings did not differ between the groups. Multiple infiltrates were the most common finding in both groups (52.4% in MRSA CAP and 40.4% in MRSA HCAP; $p=0.342$) (Table 2). Diffuse bilateral infiltrates tended to be more common in HCAP than in CAP (38.6% vs. 23.8%; $p=0.223$). Pleural effusion was observed in about one-fifth of patients in both groups, but no empyema was observed.

Antibiotic treatment and clinical outcomes

Treatments and clinical outcomes of pneumonia patients with MRSA CAP and MRSA HCAP are shown in Table 3. Treatment with glycopeptides within 1 day was higher for MRSA CAP than for MRSA HCAP, but without statistical significance (28.6% vs. 14.0%; $p=0.184$). Intubation rates (38.1% vs. 17.5%; $p=0.072$) and intensive care unit (ICU) admission
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Fig. 1. Proportion of MRSA culture-positive community-acquired pneumonia and healthcare-associated pneumonia from 2008 to 2011. The proportions of MRSA in culture-positive CAP and HCAP in each year were as follows: 7% (6/86) and 10.1% (8/79) in 2008, 2.9% (3/105) and 7.6% (8/105) in 2009, 3.0% (4/133) and 19.4% (21/108) in 2010, and 4.7% (8/172) and 12.9% (20/155) in 2011, respectively. CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; HCAP, healthcare-associated pneumonia; MRSA, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus.
Drug resistance patterns

Drug resistance patterns of MRSA pathogens in MRSA CAP and MRSA HCAP are shown in Table 4. Comparing various drug resistances, MRSA HCAP showed significantly more resistance to gentamicin than MRSA CAP (58.3% vs. 16.6%;

Table 4. Baseline Characteristics of Patients with MRSA CAP and MRSA HCAP*

| Baseline characteristics                           | CAP (n=21)       | HCAP (n=57)      | p value |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Age, yrs                                            | 73.0 (60.5-77.5) | 71.0 (66.0-79.5) | 0.491   |
| Male                                                | 16 (76.2)        | 42 (73.7)        | 1       |
| Female                                              | 5 (23.8)         | 15 (26.3)        |         |
| Underlying diseases                                 |                  |                  |         |
| Diabetes mellitus                                   | 9 (42.9)         | 21 (36.8)        | 0.794   |
| Chronic lung disease†                                | 4 (19.0)         | 16 (28.1)        | 0.562   |
| Cerebrovascular accident                            | 5 (23.8)         | 17 (29.8)        | 0.778   |
| Renal disease                                       | 5 (23.8)         | 9 (15.8)         | 0.508   |
| Hypertension                                        | 11 (52.4)        | 33 (57.9)        | 0.798   |
| Cardiovascular disease                              | 5 (23.8)         | 16 (28.1)        | 0.781   |
| Liver disease                                       | 3 (14.3)         | 3 (5.3)          | 0.335   |
| Rheumatologic disease                               | 1 (4.8)          | 2 (3.5)          | 1       |
| Malignancy                                          | 7 (33.3)         | 29 (50.9)        | 0.206   |
| Solid organ malignancy                              | 5 (23.8)         | 27 (47.4)        | 0.073   |
| Hematological malignancy                            | 2 (9.5)          | 2 (3.5)          | 0.292   |

Clinical manifestations and parameters

Bloody sputum                                        | 0 (0)            | 1 (1.8)          | 1       |
Confusion (decreased consciousness)                  | 5 (23.8)         | 12 (21.1)        | 0.766   |
Shock at onset                                       | 8 (38.1)         | 12 (21.1)        | 0.15    |
PaO2 <60 mm Hg, SpO2 <90%, or need for oxygen therapy| 14 (66.7)        | 35 (61.4)        | 0.794   |
Acute renal failure at onset                         | 4 (19.0)         | 9 (15.8)         | 0.74    |
Immunosuppressed†                                    | 4 (19.0)         | 20 (35.1)        | 0.269   |
MRSA history in previous 1 yr                        | 3 (14.3)         | 17 (29.8)        | 0.244   |
Tube feeding                                         | 1 (4.8)          | 11 (19.3)        | 0.164   |
Intubated in ER                                      | 4 (19.0)         | 7 (12.3)         | 0.475   |
Laboratory findings                                  |                  |                  |         |
White blood cell                                     | 12260 (9230-19600) | 11340 (7285-14965) | 0.168   |
Hematocrit <30%                                      | 5 (23.8)         | 16 (28.1)        | 0.781   |
Blood urea nitrogen >30 mg/dL                        | 7 (33.3)         | 18 (31.6)        | 1       |
Sodium <130 mmol/L                                  | 6 (28.6)         | 9 (15.8)         | 0.213   |
Glucose >250 mg/dL                                   | 4 (19.0)         | 6 (10.5)         | 0.445   |
pH <7.35                                            | 2 (9.5)          | 5 (8.8)          | 1       |
Disease severity                                     |                  |                  |         |
CURB65                                               | 2 (1-3)          | 2 (1-3)          | 0.856   |
Pneumonia Severity Index                             | 151 (129-158)    | 142 (125-165)    | 1.000   |

CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; HCAP, healthcare-associated pneumonia; MRSA, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus; ER, emergency room.

*Data are presented as numbers (percentages) or medians (interquartile range) unless otherwise indicated.
†Chronic lung disease includes asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and structural lung diseases, such as bronchiectasis and interstitial lung disease.
‡Immunosuppression included the following: 1) daily administration of systemic corticosteroids (at least 15 mg of prednisone per day for more than 1 month or combination therapy with low-dose corticosteroids and other immunosuppressants, including azathioprine, mycophenolate, methotrexate, cyclosporine, or cyclophosphamide), 2) seropositivity for human immunodeficiency virus, 3) received a solid organ transplant or bone marrow transplant, 4) treated with radiation therapy or chemotherapy for an underlying malignancy during the 6 months prior to hospital admission, or 5) an underlying acquired immune deficiency disorder.

(42.9% vs. 22.8%, p=0.095) tended to be higher in MRSA CAP, while 28-day mortality tended to be higher in MRSA HCAP (14.3% vs. 26.3%; p=0.368). The median total hospital charges were 6899 American dollars in MRSA CAP and 5715 American dollars in MRSA HCAP (p=0.161).

Drug resistance patterns

Drug resistance patterns of MRSA pathogens in MRSA CAP and MRSA HCAP are shown in Table 4. Comparing various drug resistances, MRSA HCAP showed significantly more resistance to gentamicin than MRSA CAP (58.3% vs. 16.6%;
There are several reports on comparisons of CA-MRSA and HA-MRSA. However, there are few detailed reports about MRSA HCAP, because HCAP only recently became a defined group, since 2005, and is composed of heterogeneous patients with varying severities of illness and different reasons for contact with the healthcare environment. Previous studies have suggested that the characteristics of HCAP are more similar to those of HAP than CAP. Nevertheless, MRSA infection is frequently a fatal illness with high mortality, regardless of the origin of infection. Thus, we sought to compare the characteristics and clinical outcomes of MRSA CAP and MRSA HCAP.
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DISCUSSION

We compared clinical characteristics, outcomes, and drug resistance patterns between MRSA CAP and MRSA HCAP from 2008 to 2011. Baseline characteristics, initial clinical manifestations, including radiological findings, disease severity, clinical outcomes, and medical expenses were similar between the groups. More patients with MRSA HCAP tended to show resistance to ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, and gentamicin. However, all MRSA isolates examined showed susceptibility to vancomycin and linezolid.

There are several reports on comparisons of CA-MRSA and HA-MRSA. However, there are few detailed reports about MRSA HCAP, because HCAP only recently became a defined group, since 2005, and is composed of heterogeneous patients with varying severities of illness and different reasons for contact with the healthcare environment. Previous studies have suggested that the characteristics of HCAP are more similar to those of HAP than CAP. Nevertheless, MRSA infection is frequently a fatal illness with high mortality, regardless of the origin of infection. Thus, we sought to compare the characteristics and clinical outcomes of MRSA CAP and MRSA HCAP.

We compared clinical characteristics, outcomes, and drug resistance patterns between MRSA CAP and MRSA HCAP from 2008 to 2011. Baseline characteristics, initial clinical manifestations, including radiological findings, disease severity, clinical outcomes, and medical expenses were similar between the groups. More patients with MRSA HCAP tended to show resistance to ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, and gentamicin. However, all MRSA isolates examined showed susceptibility to vancomycin and linezolid.
Ages of patients in the present study were not remarkably young, with an average in the 70s, likely the result of population aging to the point where the level of immunocompromised subjects increased. Moreover, severe illness features of high fever and hemoptysis were not frequent, although hypotension was seen in about 40% of MRSA CAP cases. No patient with MRSA CAP showed cavitation on a chest X-ray. MRSA HCAP in this study showed characteristics similar to the previously reported HA-MRSA pneumonia. The patients were elderly, with the average age in the 70s, and half of the patients had significant underlying diseases, such as malignancies. Moreover, most of the MRSA isolates from HCAP showed resistance to multiple antibiotics.

In this study, we compared MRSA CAP with MRSA HCAP. The average age was similar between the groups, and the prevalence of MRSA CAP in 2008 was as high as 7.0% because the overall number of pneumonia cases with culture-positive pathogens was low due to relatively less effort being devoted to detecting atypical pathogens using serological tests. Thus, the relative proportion of MRSA was high, compared with other periods.

CA-MRSA pneumonia is associated with an influenza-like prodrome; severe respiratory symptoms with a rapidly progressive pneumonia, evolving to acute respiratory distress syndrome; high fever (body temperature ≥39°C); hemoptysis; hypotension; leucopenia; and a chest radiograph showing multilobar cavitating alveolar infiltrates.1,2 Young age has been a remarkable feature of CA-MRSA pneumonia in European and US studies.25-27 However, we demonstrated different features of MRSA CAP from previous studies. The

| Antibiotics                      | CAP (n=21)  | HCAP (n=57) | p value |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Arbekacin                        | 0/19 (0)    | 0/53 (0)    | 0.658   |
| Ciprofloxacin                    | 14/21 (66.7)| 44/56 (78.5)| 0.542   |
| Clindamycin                      | 13/21 (61.9)| 47/56 (83.9)| 0.079   |
| Erythromycin                     | 17/21 (81.0)| 52/56 (92.8)| 0.329   |
| Fusidic Acid                     | 4/12 (33.3) | 21/36 (58.3)| 0.417   |
| Gentamicin                       | 2/12 (16.6) | 21/36 (58.3)| 0.037   |
| Inducible Clindamycin Resistance | 3/12 (25.0) | 8/36 (22.2)| 0.938   |
| Mupirocin                        | 0/12 (0)    | 1/36 (2.7)  | 0.922   |
| Nitrofurantoin                   | 0/12 (0)    | 1/35 (2.8)  | 1       |
| Oxacillin                        | 12/12 (100)| 36/36 (100)| 0.794   |
| Cefoxitin Screen (+)†             | 20/20 (100)| 56/56 (100)| 0.469   |
| Penicillin G                     | 19/21 (90.5)| 54/56 (96.4)| 0.487   |
| Quinupristin/dalfopristin        | 0/20 (0)    | 0/55 (0)    | 1       |
| Rifampicin                       | 0/12 (0)    | 1/36 (2.7)  | 0.922   |
| Co-trimoxazole                   | 1/21 (4.8)  | 4/54 (7.4)  | 0.826   |
| Telithromycin                    | 0/21 (0)    | 0/56 (0)    | 1       |
| Tetracycline                     | 13/21 (61.9)| 36/56 (64.2)| 0.751   |
| Tigecycline                      | 0/12 (0)    | 0/36 (0)    | 0.794   |
| Telithromycin                    | 4/12 (33.3) | 23/35 (65.7)| 0.149   |
| Vancomycin                       | 0/21 (0)    | 0/56 (0)    | 1       |
| MIC, ≤0.5 µg/mL                  | 5/12 (41.7) | 16/35 (45.7)|         |
| ≤1 µg/mL                         | 5/12 (41.7) | 9/35 (25.7) |         |
| ≤1.5 µg/mL                       | 0 (0)       | 1/35 (2.9)  |         |
| ≤2 µg/mL                         | 2/12 (16.7) | 9/35 (25.7) |         |
| Linezolid                         | 0/21 (0)    | 0/55 (0)    | 1       |
| MIC, ≤1 µg/mL                    | 0/12 (0)    | 1/36 (2.8)  |         |
| ≤2 µg/mL                         | 12/12 (100)| 32/36 (88.9)|         |
| ≤4 µg/mL                         | 0/12 (0)    | 3/36 (8.3)  |         |

CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; HCAP, healthcare-associated pneumonia; MRSA, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration.

*Data are presented as numbers (percentages).

†In a previous study, a cefoxitin screen showed higher sensitivity and specificity for detection of methicillin resistance, especially in low-level-resistant S. aureus strains.

### Table 4. Drug Resistance of Patients with MRSA CAP and MRSA HCAP*
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| ≤1 µg/mL                         | 5/12 (41.7) | 9/35 (25.7) |         |
| ≤1.5 µg/mL                       | 0 (0)       | 1/35 (2.9)  |         |
| ≤2 µg/mL                         | 2/12 (16.7) | 9/35 (25.7) |         |
| Linezolid                         | 0/21 (0)    | 0/55 (0)    | 1       |
| MIC, ≤1 µg/mL                    | 0/12 (0)    | 1/36 (2.8)  |         |
| ≤2 µg/mL                         | 12/12 (100)| 32/36 (88.9)|         |
| ≤4 µg/mL                         | 0/12 (0)    | 3/36 (8.3)  |         |
with male dominance. Immunocompromised status and MRSA infection history for the previous year tended to be more common in MRSA HCAP than MRSA CAP. Pneumonia Severity Index scores were similar due to more severe clinical status in MRSA CAP and more severe underlying disease in MRSA HCAP, resulting in higher intubation rates and ICU admission for MRSA CAP than for MRSA HCAP. Although the proportion of requests for “do not resuscitate” was similar in both groups (33.3% in MRSA CAP and 40.0% in MRSA HCAP; data not shown), 28-day mortality tended to be higher in MRSA HCAP, probably as a result of severe underlying diseases. Both groups frequently showed multiple infiltrates but few cavitations on chest X-rays.

Comparing drug resistance patterns, MRSA HCAP showed more resistance to gentamicin than MRSA CAP. Although MRSA in all patients was sensitive to vancomycin and linezolid, the proportion of MICs of 1 µg/mL or less tended to be higher in MRSA CAP than in MRSA HCAP (83.4% vs. 71.4%).

HCAP is generally associated with higher mortality and higher healthcare costs than CAP, but MRSA infection did not show significant differences in clinical features and outcomes between HCAP and CAP.14-16,28 Total hospital charges were slightly higher for MRSA CAP than for MRSA HCAP, but the differences were not statistically significant. This might have resulted from more patients with MRSA CAP receiving aggressive treatment, including intensive critical care, versus those with MRSA HCAP.

The present study has several limitations. First, the definition of MRSA CAP in this study differs from that in several studies where CA-MRSA was restricted to only Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL)-positive S. aureus.2,12,25 Because of the retrospective method of this study, we were not able to analyze whether MRSA in CAP or HCAP produced PVL, which usually presents only in CA-MRSA. However, the purpose of this study was to compare the characteristics of MRSA CAP and MRSA HCAP, regardless of the presence of PVL. As the boundary between community and hospital is blurring due to the back and forth movement of patients between them, the presence of PVL will not be limited to the community in the future. Moreover, a recent meta-analysis study reported that PVL genes were consistently associated with skin and soft-tissue infections, but were comparatively rare in pneumonia.29 Second, this study was conducted at a single tertiary referral hospital, so our findings may not generally represent MRSA CAP. Third, we included only patients with pneumonia that required hospitalization; they were older than the general population and had higher rates of comorbidities. Finally, the sample size was small despite a 4-year study period because of the relatively low incidence of MRSA CAP. Significant differences may be expected with increased numbers of cases.

In summary, our study presents a comparison of clinical characteristics, outcomes, and drug resistance patterns between MRSA CAP and MRSA HCAP from 2008 to 2011. The incidence of MRSA CAP has increased, unlike that of MRSA HCAP. Although HCAP should be generally distinguished from CAP because of differing clinical features, MRSA infection seems to have broken the boundary between HCAP and CAP, and the severe, infectious features that MRSA possesses may surpass the supposed differences in disease severity and characteristics between HCAP and CAP.
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