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Abstract. Extracellular microfibrils, alone or in association with elastin, confer critical biomechanical properties on a variety of connective tissues. Little is known about the composition of the microfibrils or the factors responsible for their spatial organization into tissue-specific macroaggregates. Recent work has revealed the existence of two structurally related microfibrillar components, termed fibrillin-1 and fibrillin-2. The functional relationships between these glycoproteins and between them and other components of the microfibrils and elastic fibers are obscure. As a first step toward elucidating these important points, we compared the expression pattern of the fibrillin genes during mammalian embryogenesis. The results revealed that the two genes are differentially expressed, in terms of both developmental stages and tissue distribution. In the majority of cases, fibrillin-2 transcripts appear earlier and accumulate for a shorter period of time than fibrillin-1 transcripts. Synthesis of fibrillin-1 correlates with late morphogenesis and the appearance of well-defined organ structures; fibrillin-2 synthesis, on the other hand, coincides with early morphogenesis and, in particular, with the beginning of elastogenesis. The findings lend indirect support to our original hypothesis stating that fibrillins contribute to the compositional and functional heterogeneity of the microfibrils. The available evidence is also consistent with the notion that the fibrillins might have distinct, but related roles in microfibril physiology. Accordingly, we propose that fibrillin-1 provides mostly force-bearing structural support, whereas fibrillin-2 predominantly regulates the early process of elastic fiber assembly.

ELASTIC fibers are extracellular aggregates responsible for most of the elastic properties of the connective tissues (see reviews by Cleary and Gibson, 1983; Ramirez et al., 1993; Rosenbloom et al., 1993; Mecham and Davis, 1994). Elastic fibers can vary in length, thickness, and spatial arrangement, depending on the strength and direction of the forces normally experienced by individual tissues. Elastic fibers can therefore form a variety of tissue-specific macroaggregates, such as concentric lamellae in vessels, highly branched networks in cartilage, and parallel thin fibers in ligaments. Regardless of the individual appearance, all elastic fibers are characterized by an amorphous core of cross-linked elastin surrounded by a peripheral mantle of tubular microfibrils (Cleary and Gibson, 1983; Mecham and Davis, 1994). Virtually nothing is known about the steps leading to the assembly of an elastic fiber, or the physical relationships among its structural constituents. Like other complex macroaggregates, tri-dimensional organization of elastic fibers is likely to represent the end-product of a hierarchical process governed by structural and cellular factors. The spatiotemporal diversification of the microfibrils might conceivably be one of the critical determinants in the assembly of tissue-specific aggregates. This prediction is consistent with the diversified roles that the microfibrils are believed to play. These roles include being the scaffold that guides elastin deposition and fiber assembly; connecting different matrix components; preventing excessive fiber stretching; and devoid of elastin, holding organ structures into place (Rosenbloom et al., 1993; Mecham and Davis, 1994).

The biochemical composition of different microfibrils has yet to be determined. At least three distinct groups of glycoproteins are believed to contribute to microfibril formation: fibrillin, microfibril-associated glycoprotein, and associated microfibril protein (Sakai et al., 1986; Gibson et al., 1991; Horrigan et al., 1992). The importance of fibrillin has been recently strengthened by the discovery that mutations in this protein lead to the pleiotropic manifestations of Marfan syndrome (Dietz et al., 1991). The seminal work of Sakai et al. (1986, 1991) led to the original identification of this 350-kD extracellular glycoprotein (now known as fibrillin-1 or fib-l) using antibodies against a microfibrillar extract from term placenta. The biochemical characterization of fib-l laid the ground for the subsequent cloning of the corresponding human gene (FBN1) (Maslen et al., 1991; Lee et al., 1991; Corson et al., 1993; Pereira et al., 1993). Contrary to previous
belief, the cloning work revealed fibrillin heterogeneity, for it identified a structurally related but genetically distinct product, subsequently called fibrillin-2 or fib-2 (Lee et al., 1991; Zhang et al., 1994). Furthermore, the fib-2 locus (FBN2) was genetically linked to congenital contractual arachnodactyly, a dominant disorder with skeletal manifestations somewhat resembling those of Marfan syndrome (Lee et al., 1991). The structural similarities of the proteins and the clinical overlaps between the disorders led us to hypothesize that the fibrillins may have distinct, but related roles in microfibril physiology (Lee et al., 1991). Subsequent immunohistochemical work provided indirect support for this notion in that it showed preferential accumulation of fib-2 in elastic fiber-rich matrices of the human embryo (Zhang et al., 1994). The analysis was, however, limited to two tissues and a single developmental stage.

Accordingly, the current study was designed to confirm and extend that preliminary survey by performing a comparative analysis of the expression of the two fibrillin genes during mouse embryogenesis. The results document the differential expression of the two genes during development and in a large variety of tissues, thus implying that morphologically identical microfibrils are actually heterogeneous in composition. This conclusion indirectly corroborates the hypothesized functional diversity of the fibrillin proteins.

**Materials and Methods**

### Cloning Experiments

The mouse cDNA library used in this study (a kind gift of Dr. T. Lufkin, Mt. Sinai School of Medicine) was engineered in the λZAPII vector (Stratagene, La Jolia, CA) using RNA purified from embryos at 10.5 d postcoitum (d.p.c.). The cDNA library was screened with human fib-2 coding probes (Zhang et al., 1994) at 42°C in 50% formamide, 5× SSC (1× SSC: 0.15 M NaCl, 15 mM sodium citrate, pH 7.0), 1× Denhardt’s solution (1% Ficoll, 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 1% BSA), 50 μg/ml sheared salmon sperm DNA (Sambrook et al., 1989). After hybridization, filters were washed under gradually increasing stringency up to 0.5× SSC at 50°C (Sambrook et al., 1989). Positive cDNA inserts were excised from the phage, recircularized into the Bluescript vector (Stratagene), and sequenced using the dinucleotide chain termination method on denatured double-stranded DNA (Zagurski et al., 1986). When appropriate, additional cDNA sequences were derived from amplification of reverse-transcribed mRNA using the PCR technique (Kawasaki and Wang, 1989). Sequences were analyzed using the computer program MacVector (International BioTechnologies Inc., New Haven, CT).

### Immunohistochemistry

Characterization of the anti-fibrillin antibodies used for immunohistochemistry has been described by Zhang et al. (1994). Tissue samples from a 17-d-old rat embryo were paraffin embedded and cut into consecutive sections ~8-10-μm thick (Zhang et al., 1994). They were dewaxed with xylene and rehydrated with a graded series of ethanol immersions. Intrinsic peroxidase activity was blocked by immersion in a methanol solution containing 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min, followed by blocking with 10% goat serum for 2 h at room temperature. Primary antibodies (1:100 dilution) were incubated on the slides overnight at 4°C. After several PBS washes, biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG was added to the slides for 1 h, and streptavidin-peroxidase and DAB chromogen supplied with the Vectastain ABC kit (Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA) were used following the manufacturer’s recommendations. Stainings were viewed and photographed with a Zeiss Axioshot microscope (C. Zeiss Inc., Thornwood, NY).

---

1. **Abbreviation used in this paper:** d.p.c., days postcoitum.

### Results

#### Cloning of the Mouse Fibrillin-2 cDNA

Overlapping cDNAs coding for the human fib-2 protein were initially used to screen a mouse embryonic library under cross-hybridizing conditions (Zhang et al., 1994). This led to the isolation of several positive clones that were found to contain most of the coding sequence of the mouse fib-2 (fbn2) gene (Fig. 1). Incidentally, the sequence of one of the cDNAs (clone m7 in Fig. 1) is identical to that of the genomic clone that was previously used to locate the *fbn2* gene to band 18D-1E1 of mouse chromosome 18 (Li et al., 1993). Gaps between clones m2 and m3 and between m3 and m8 were subsequently resolved by sequencing two reverse transcriptase PCR products (clones P-274 and P-256 in Fig. 1). The cloning effort ultimately resulted in the isolation of eight cDNAs covering the whole 8721-nucleotide coding sequence of the *fbn2* gene, in addition to an 880-bp clone (mF) that contains only 3′ noncoding sequence (Figs. 1 and 2). Pairwise comparison with the human sequence identified a 7-amino acid insertion and two single–amino acid deletions in the human chain (Fig. 2); as a result, the mouse polypeptide is slightly shorter than the human. The analysis also revealed two sequencing errors in the human gene: between amino acids 192 and 194 (GPNR instead of A-QP) and between amino acids 2908 and 2918 (QIQLY instead of QKHLKSGQLIK). Location of the changes is based on the

---
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previous amino acid numbering of human fib-2 (Zhang et al., 1994).

The protein encoded by \( fbn2 \) exhibits 97% identity with the human counterpart and 76% with the mouse \( fbnl \) gene product (Zhang et al., 1994; Yin et al., 1995). It contains the same structural features originally noted in the human proteins (Maslen et al., 1991; Corson et al., 1993; Pereira et al., 1993; Zhang et al., 1994). They include the total number of residues in individual cysteine-rich repeats and the relative spacing between the cysteiny1 residues of each repeat; the location and number of the putative glycosylation sites and cell attachment sequences; and the polylysine stretches and cysteinyl residues of the COOH-terminal region (Fig. 2). Of particular interest is the phylogenetic conservation of the most divergent sequence of the two fibrillin proteins, notably the short glycine-rich segment located near the NH\(_2\) terminus of fib-2 (region C in Fig. 1) (Zhang et al., 1994). It has been previously shown that nearly half of the corresponding sequence of fib-1 is instead made of proline residues (Pereira et al., 1993; Corson et al., 1993).

Availability of cDNAs specific for the mouse fibrillin genes enabled us to select the most appropriate probe for the in situ hybridizations. In the case of \( fbn2 \), we chose the cDNA that contains only untranslated sequence with no homology with \( fbnl \) (clone mF in Fig. 1). We used the same criterion to select 3.5m, the 840-bp cDNA that includes part of the 3' untranslated region of the mouse \( fbnl \) gene (Yin et al., 1995). Riboprobes from each clone were hybridized to serial sections of mouse embryos collected at 10.5, 13.5, and 16.5 d.p.c. Although not quantitative in nature, the experiments did enable us to compare the relative amounts of the two fibrillin mRNAs in each set of hybridizations. We in fact used identical amounts of comparably sized probes with similar specific activities, and we exposed the resulting autoradiograms for the same length of time. The value of this approach in detecting differences in steady-state mRNA levels can be readily appreciated by comparing the hybridization patterns in the same cephalic mesenchyme from 10.5-d.p.c. embryos (Fig. 3). Following is a more detailed description of the results obtained with different organ systems; these conclusively document differential expression of the fibrillins during embryogenesis.

**Lungs**

The developing lung is the best example illustrating the differential pattern of expression of the fibrillin genes. At 10.5 d.p.c., the lung buds are rapidly elongating, and the primary bronchi are present as small tubes (Kaufman, 1992). A strong \( fbn2 \) signal was detected in the epithelial layer of the budding main bronchi along with a weaker and more diffuse signal in the primitive mesenchyme (Fig. 4 A). A similarly weak \( fbnl \) signal was seen in the mesenchymal cells of the lung, without additional expression in the bronchial epithelium (Fig. 4 B). As the lungs develop further, they subdivide into lobes, and secondary lobar bronchi and tertiary segmental bronchi continue to branch; by 13 d.p.c., branching of the segmental bronchi is the predominant feature of the forming lungs (Kaufman, 1992). Accumulation of the two fibrillin mRNAs was estimated to be comparable in the lung mesenchyme of 13-d.p.c. fetuses; in contrast, only \( fbn2 \) transcripts were seen in the epithelium of the segmental bronchi (Fig. 4, E and G). Unlike the 10.5-d.p.c. lung samples, the epithelium of the main bronchi from 13.5-d.p.c. embryos showed very low amounts of \( fbn2 \) transcripts (see Fig. 9 A). At stage 16.5 d.p.c., the lungs are still compact and terminal bronchi lined with cuboid cells begin to appear (Kaufman, 1992). The epithelial layer of the 16.5-d.p.c. segmental bronchi displayed little \( fbn2 \) mRNA accumulation, whereas that of the sprouting terminal bronchi was now the most active sites (Fig. 4 I). Both fibrillin genes were instead active in the mesenchymal fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells (Fig. 4, J and K). Transcripts of the \( fbnl \) gene were clearly seen in the lung vasculature, but like earlier stages, not in the bronchial epithelium (Fig. 4 J).

To correlate mRNA accumulation with protein deposition, the in situ data were confirmed by immunohistochemical analysis of rat lung tissue from a developmental stage comparable to mouse 16.5 d.p.c. The choice of the rat tissue was deemed necessary in order to avoid the high background of
Figure 2. Amino acid sequence of the mouse fib-2 protein. Differences with the human polypeptide are shown above the sequence, with bold letters indicating nonconserved elements. Structural elements described in the text are underlined, and the putative signal peptidase sequence is highlighted by the arrow. As a result of the corrections discussed in the text, the length of the human product is different from that previously reported by Zhang et al. (1994). The complete nucleotide sequence is available from EMBL/Gene Bank/DDJB under accession number L39790.
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the rabbit anti-human antisera with mouse tissues. The immunohistochemical results yielded a pattern virtually identical to that of the in situ hybridizations. There was in fact homogeneous accumulation of the fib-1 and -2 proteins throughout the entire lung matrix, with preferential accumulation of the former protein around arteries and veins and of the latter in the area immediately surrounding the bronchial epithelium (Fig. 5). To summarize the data of the developing lung, the fbm2 gene is selectively and transiently expressed in the epithelium of the forming bronchi; both fibrillins are coexpressed by the lung mesenchymal cells; and the fbm1/fbm2 ratio progressively increases during the transition from the early to the late phase of lung morphogenesis.

Cartilage and Skeleton

Previous immunohistochemical staining of human auricular cartilage has shown very little deposition of fib-1 in the elastic core, where fib-2 is instead most abundant (Zhang et al., 1994). The in situ data confirmed the preponderance of fbm2 gene expression in the elastic cartilage of the mouse larynx. At 13.5 d.p.c., the tissue destined to become epiglottis is separated by a discrete cleft from the rest of the larynx, which is still precartilaginous; by 15 d.p.c., the cartilaginous skeleton of the larynx and trachea becomes well delineated (Kaufman, 1992). Although poorly developed, the mesenchymal tissue of the 13.5-d.p.c. larynx contained substantial amounts of fbm2 mRNA (Fig. 6, A–C). In 16.5-d.p.c. embryos, intense fbm2 gene expression was observed in the elastic cartilage of the larynx, namely, the epiglottis and the cuneiform cartilage (Fig. 6 D). Expression of the fbm1 gene in these structures was low and comparable to the levels in the surrounding tissue (Fig. 6 E). As in skeletal system (see the following discussion), coexpression of the fibrillins was seen mostly in the perichondrium of the laryngeal cartilages (Fig. 6, D and E).

The limbs of the 10.5-d.p.c. mouse embryo are composed mostly of primitive mesenchyme; cartilage begins to appear in the limbs at about 13 d.p.c.; ossification occurs in the skull and ribs around 15 d.p.c., but does not extend to the limbs until 16.5 d.p.c. (Kaufman, 1992). Fibrillin transcripts were identified in the developing mesenchyme of the limb bud as early as 10.5 d.p.c. (Fig. 7, A–C). Expression of fbm2 was noted in the perichondrium of the developing long bones, ribs (flat bones), and vertebral bodies (short bones) with a characteristic pattern that highlighted the shape of the bone (Fig. 7, D, G, and J). Relatively less accumulation of fbm1 transcripts was observed in the perichondrium, as well as in the cartilage itself, where very little fbm2 expression was detectable (Fig. 7, E, H, and K). This pattern was even more evident in 16.5-d.p.c. embryos, in which fbm1 transcripts were more abundant than in 13.5-d.p.c. fetuses (Fig. 7, H and K). At this stage of development, the fbm1 signal was more evident in the hypertrophic and calcifying zones than other areas of the cartilage (Fig. 7 K). This last finding is consistent with previous immunohistochemical results indicating preferential accumulation of fib-1 around more differentiated chondrocytes (Zhang et al., 1994).

Both fibrillins were present in the cells of the ligaments and joints of 13.5- and 16.5-d.p.c. embryos, with an apparent prevalence of the fbm2 over the fbm1 transcript (Fig. 8). As it can be readily appreciated by comparing the relative intensity of the hybridizations in the 16.5-d.p.c. (Fig. 8, M) and 10.5-d.p.c. (Fig. 3, CM) embryo sections, the fbm1/fbm2 ratio in the mesenchyme increased with a pattern similar to that observed in the developing lung (Fig. 4). The fibrocartilaginous intervertebral disc is another tissue that contains moderate amounts of elastic fibers. Expression of fbm2 in this structure was intense and more localized to the peripheral annulus fibrosus; in contrast, a weaker and more diffuse fbm1 signal was observed throughout the entire disc (Fig. 9). Together, the results seem to indicate that accumulation of fbm2 transcripts in bone and cartilage peaks earlier than accumulation of fbm1; in turn, fib-1 appears to account for the largest proportion of the fibrillin produced by more mature tissues.

Cardiovascular System

At 10.5 d.p.c., the heart is still a single tube undergoing active morphogenesis of the aorticopulmonary spiral septum and the ativoventricular endocardial cushion. By 13.5 d.p.c., the ascending aorta and the pulmonary trunk are distinct vessels and the valves and septa of the heart are still primitive structures. Circulation starts around 14 d.p.c., when the communication between right and left ventricles is closed. Embryos at 16.5 d.p.c. have their heart and large vessels in the prenatal configuration (Kaufman, 1992).

Expression of the fbm1 gene in the cardiovascular system was the only exception to the diphasic pattern seen in the other organ systems. Consistent with the recent report by Yin et al. (1995), substantial accumulation of fbm1 transcripts was in fact detected as early as 10.5 d.p.c. in the aortic sac (Fig. 10, C and E). The signal remained intense throughout 13.5 and 16.5 d.p.c. in the full thickness of the aortic arch and pulmonary artery (Fig. 11 B). Expression of fbm2 in these structures was always lower than that of fbm1, and the
Figure 4. In situ hybridization of the lung bud at 10.5 d.p.c. (A-D) and the developing lungs at 13.5 d.p.c. (E-H) and 16.5 d.p.c. (I-L) to *fbn2* (A, E, and I) and *flm1* (B, G, and J) probes. Expression of *fbn2* is in the epithelial cells of the main bronchi (mB), segmental bronchi (sB), and terminal bronchioles (arrows). Note the lack of *fbn2* signal in the segmental bronchi at 16.5 d.p.c. compared with earlier stages. Expression of *flm1* at all stages is mostly in the lung parenchyma, arterioles (A), and venules (V). Bar, 50 μm.
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cushion tissue associated with the wall of the atrioventricular canal and later responsible for the formation of the valves located between atrium and ventricle (Fig. 10, A-D). There was also noticeable accumulation of fbm1 transcripts in the forming aorticopulmonary spiral septum (Fig. 10, A-D). Unlike fbm2, significant amounts of fbm1 transcripts were detected in the arterioles of other tissues, such as the lung, kidney, and joints. In conclusion, the characteristics of fibrillin expression in the developing cardiovascular system are both common to and distinct from its expression in other organ systems; there is in fact the same differential tissue distribution of the two transcripts, but without the usual switch during morphogenesis of the relative ratio between the two species.

Other Tissues
In our survey we analyzed several other tissues and organs, with results virtually identical to those already described (data not shown). Briefly, fbm2 transcripts were detected in the sclera of the eye as early as 13.5 d.p.c.; in the same organ, the fbm1 signal was seen only at ~16.5 d.p.c. In the kidney transcription of the fbm1 gene was observed mostly in the peritubular and extra-glomerular regions, whereas the activity of the fbm2 gene was confined chiefly to the forming glomeruli. Subtle differences were also noted in the developing thymus and digestive system. In the former, both genes were expressed in the capsule, but only fbm2 was active in the lobular septa. Likewise, the fbm1 and fbm2 genes were both transcribed by the submucosal cells of the intestine; additionally, fbm2 was expressed in the cells of the lamina propria. The cells of the fibrous sheet of nervous tissues were another site of fibrillin coexpression. Relevant to the following discussion, a parallel survey of human samples showed consistently less fib-2 protein immunodetected in adult versus fetal skin, ligaments, and tendons.

Discussion
Work presented in this report extends further the characterization of the fibrillins by providing the first comparison of the pattern of gene expression during mammalian embryogenesis. The results add the spatiotemporal diversification of gene expression to the structural homologies and the overlapping pathologies of the fibrillins. Together, the data suggest that this small family of extracellular glycoproteins contributes to the biochemical diversity of seemingly identical microfibrils and, consequently, to the morphology and functional properties of the resulting macroaggregates. In addition, to clarify a few points, our analysis has also raised new questions regarding the composition of the microfibrils and the function of the fibrillins.

The fib-2 protein is produced mostly during embryogenesis by a wide variety of tissues and cells, including the mesenchyme, epithelium, chondrocytes, and vascular, skeletal, and cardiac muscle cells. This ubiquitous pattern of gene expression contrasts with the serendipitous discovery of the fbm2 product during the cloning of the defective gene in Marfan syndrome (Lee et al., 1991). Data presented in this report strongly suggest that the age of the tissues used in previous biochemical and immunohistological studies might have been the principal reason for overlooking fibrillin het-

Figure 5. Immunohistochemical localization of fib-1 (A) and fib-2 (B) epitopes in rat fetal lung. Deposition of fib-2 is mostly around the newly formed bronchi (arrowheads). Arrows highlights the vessels of the developing lung. (C) Staining with preimmune sera. Bar, 100 µm.
Figure 6. Expression of fbm2 (A and D) and fbm1 (B and E) in the laryngeal structures at 13.5 p.c. (A–C) and 16.5 d.p.c. (D–G). At 13.5 d.p.c., the mesenchymal cells (arrowheads) that later differentiate into the laryngeal structures have an enhanced accumulation of fbm2 message. In the 16.5-d.p.c. mouse, the elastic cartilages of the larynx, epiglottis (E), and cuneiform cartilage (C) show high fbm2 expression; in constrast, fbm1 accumulation in these structures is not particularly great. Esophagus (Es) and thyroid (T) cartilages are also highlighted in the figure. Bar, 50 μm.
Figure 7. Expression of fbn2 (A, D, G, and J) and fbn1 (B, E, H, and K) in limb buds (Lb) at 10.5 d.p.c. (A–C), long bones at 13.5 d.p.c. (D–F) and 16.5 d.p.c. (G–I), and flat bones at 16.5 d.p.c.

Figure 8. Expression of fbn2 (A) and fbn1 (B) in joints of the 16.5-d.p.c. mouse. Intense fbn2 signals are noted in the cells of the perichondrium (pCh), ligament (L), tendon (T), and periphery of the cartilage (arrowheads). Expression of the fbn1 gene is most noticeable in the capillaries (cp) around the joints, the venules (V), and the cells surrounding ligaments and tendons. In contrast with the 10.5-d.p.c. mouse (Fig. 3), intensity of the fbn1 signal in mesenchymal cells (M) at this stage is higher than that of fbn2. Bar, 50 μm.

(J–L). Signals of the fbn2 message are prominent in the cells of the perichondrium (pCh), growth plate (GP), and tendon (T). Expression of fbn1 is widely distributed in the mesenchymal tissues with a slight increase in the perichondrium, growth plate, and hypertrophic chondrocytes (hCh). Both genes are silent in the neuroectoderm (NEc). Bar, 50 μm.
In situ hybridization of the main bronchi (mB), vertebral column (Vb), and the spinal cord (SpC) at 13.5 d.p.c. with fbn2 (A and C) and fbn1 (B and D). Bar, 50 μm.

Figure 9. In situ hybridization of the main bronchi (mB), vertebral column (Vb), and the spinal cord (SpC) at 13.5 d.p.c. with fbn2 (A and C) and fbn1 (B and D). Bar, 50 μm.

erogeneity. The anti-fibrillin antibodies used in the original identification of fib-1 were in fact raised against amniotic membrane from term placenta; moreover, the affinity purification of fibrillin was performed using fibroblast culture media (Sakai et al., 1986). Our data suggest that both of these sources contain little if any fib-2. In retrospect, however, indirect evidence for fibrillin heterogeneity can be found in the literature.

A few reports appeared in the early 70s suggesting the presence of microfibrils in elastic cartilage, where it is now known that there is little or no fib-1 deposition (Ishihara et al., 1973; Sanzone and Reith, 1976; Nielsen, 1976). Others, however, questioned these early findings of “peripheral elastin-associated” microfibrils in mature elastic cartilage (Serafini-Fracassini and Smith, 1974; Quintarelli et al., 1979; Kostovic-Knezevic et al., 1981). In particular, Quintarelli et al. (1979) argued that the elastic cartilage of the rabbit ear is formed by fusion of amorphous elastin into bundles of small filaments that are not seen at the periphery of mature elastic fibers. The same authors also found these fine filaments associated within the elastin purified from cultured chondrocytes. Kostovic-Knezevic et al. (1981) later confirmed the absence of microfibrils in the elastic core of the adult rat ear, but showed microfibrils in the perichondrium.

Consistent with this finding, a review by Clearly and Gibson (1983) cited that polyclonal antibodies against a microfibrillar extract of bovine nuchal ligament failed to detect microfibrils in elastic cartilage. The authors attributed this failure to the masking of the epitopes by proteoglycans. Besides epitope masking, we believe that other major reasons for our delayed appreciation of microfibrillar structures in elastic cartilage were the specificity of the antibodies used and the age of the samples examined.

In all but one case, developmental expression of the fibrillin genes exhibits a characteristic diphasic pattern, with onset of fbn2 transcription occurring earlier than fbn1 expression. Consistent with the in situ data of Yin et al. (1995), the exception is the cardiovascular system, in which fbn1 gene activity is early and always higher than fbn2. Although yet to be rigorously confirmed, in all other organ systems there is an apparent correlation between the time of expression of each fibrillin gene and distinct stages of morphogenesis. Accumulation of fbn2 transcripts seems in fact to plateau just before overt tissue differentiation and to decrease rapidly or even disappear thereafter; in contrast, the amount of fbn1 transcripts increases at an apparently gradual rate through-

Figure 10. Expression of fbn2 (A and B) and fbn1 (C–F) in the 10.5-d.p.c. developing mouse heart. fbn2 transcripts are seen in the myocardial cells, whereas fbn1 transcripts are in the epicardial and endocardial cells (arrowheads). The cells at the endocardial cushion (EnC) tissue produce both fbn2 and fbn1. The truncus arteriosus region (tA), where the aorticopulmonary spiral septum is forming, shows strong fbn1 expression. The wall of the aortic sac (as) expresses both fibrillins (A and E); note that only the edge of the aortic sac is on the section shown in A. Bar, 50 μm.
The developing lung best exemplifies elastogenesis. The developing lung best exemplifies elastogenesis.

Elastogenesis in the lung is synchronized with the formation of primary, secondary, and tertiary bronchi and arterioles (Jones and Barson, 1971). Unlike fbm1, the fbm2 gene is prominently expressed in bronchiolar epithelial cells and temporally restricted to the stages when either bronchi (10.5 and 13.5 d.p.c.) or bronchioles (16.5 d.p.c.) are developing. Although expressed throughout the lung mesenchyme and the smooth muscle cells of the bronchi, fbm1 is inactive in the epithelium of the bronchiolar tree. Elastic tissue characterizes the airway of the lung; elastic fibers are in fact found in the lamina propria, submucosa, cartilaginous and muscular layers, and adventitia of the bronchial wall (Sorokin, 1988). Along these lines, Collert and Des Biens (1974) reported the finding of “microfilaments” located between the epithelial basal lamina and the underlying smooth muscle cells, as well as around the smooth muscle cells. The same authors also showed that both areas of the developing lung bronchi are sites of elastin deposition (Collert and Des Biens, 1974). A subsequent in situ hybridization analysis documented that the smooth muscle cells immediately adjacent to the epithelium of the newly formed bronchi are indeed the major sites of elastin production (Noguchi and Samaha, 1991). We believe our results support the idea that the microfilaments described in these early reports are made mostly of fbm-2 and thus are biochemically distinct from those located in other parts of the lung. Interestingly, there is evidence for different enzymatic susceptibility of the microfibrils present in the lamina propria of the trachea (Bodley and Wood, 1971). This observation may reflect differences in either biochemical composition or physical accessibility of the microfibrils. The latter possibility implies that a specific kind of microfibril becomes buried within the elastic fiber. Such a conclusion is consonant with the aforementioned phenomenon of epitope masking in elastic cartilage, as well as with our own experience of reduced immunodetection of fbm-2 in adult tissues compared with embryonic ones. Although we stress the phenomenologic correlation between fbm2 gene expression and elastogenesis in tissues like lung and cartilage, we are also aware of important exceptions that point to a broader role of fibrillins in matrix function. For example, our analysis showed low levels of fbm2 gene expression at several elastogenic sites, including the large vessels and arterioles, where there is significant fbm-1 deposition. We also saw substantial fbm2 gene expression in tissues known to lack elastic fibers, such as the kidney. Hence our proposed function for each fibrillin should be considered as a distinguishing attribute rather than an exclusive feature.

Microfibrils are generally believed to direct elastogenesis and to provide force-bearing structural support (Mecham and Davis, 1994). The former role is based on the observation that microfibrils appear first in the form of presumptive elastic fibers in developing elastic tissues and in vitro culture systems (Cleary and Gibson, 1983). The structural function can instead be inferred from the tissue distribution, pathology, and ultrastructural characteristics of the microfibrils (Ramirez et al., 1993; Rosenbloom et al., 1993). Based on the spatiotemporal patterns of gene expression, we suggest that each of the fibrillins plays predominantly, but not exclusively, one of these two roles. As already discussed, fbm-2 is preferentially found in elastic tissues, such as the elastic cartilage, the tunica media layer of the aorta, and along the bronchial tree. During embryogenesis, fbm-2 production begins earlier than fbm-1 and is apparently limited to a window of time immediately preceding elastogenesis. We therefore argue that one of the major functions of fbm-2 during early morphogenesis is to participate in directing elastic fiber assembly. Consistent with this prediction, microfibrils that are present during morphogenesis of the external ear are subsequently buried within elastin, thus leaving the mature structures without visible peripheral microfibrils (Sanzone and Reith, 1976; Serafini-Fracassini and Smith, 1974; Quintarelli et al., 1979). Both our immunohistochemical and our in situ data suggest that these microfibrils are made mostly of fbm-2 (Zhang et al., 1994). The predominance of fbm-1 in stress- and load-bearing structures—like aortic adventitia, suspensory ligament of the lens, and skin—suggests that this glycoprotein may be mostly responsible for the structural function of the microfibrils. Consistent with this conclusion,
the symptomatology of Marfan syndrome shows clinical signs of premature wearing-out of defective load-bearing structures, such as aortic aneurysm and ectopia lentis (Ramirez et al., 1993). Our finding that the only elastic tissue in a stress-free condition—the elastic cartilage—contains very little fibril-1 protein lends further support to this hypothesis.

We believe that there is also some structural evidence for the postulated "regulatory" function of fibril-2. We are referring to region C, the most divergent sequence of the fibrillin molecule. We have previously proposed that region C may provide structural support, which may itself be necessary for protein–protein interactions at the cysteine-rich regions (Pereira et al., 1993; Zhang et al., 1994). We now argue that these sequences may themselves participate in protein–protein interactions. In the case of fibril-1, this prediction is in line with the recently established role of proline-rich peptides in promoting protein aggregation (reviewed by Williamson, 1994). The highly hydrophobic glycine-rich region of fibril-2, on the other hand, shows homology with multiple segments of elastin (Rosenbloom et al., 1993). Like them, the glycine-rich region of fibril-2 can theoretically form β-sheets or β-turns, promoting protein aggregation through interdigitation of the hydrophobic side chains (Robson et al., 1993). Thus, we postulate that region C of fibril-2 may mediate critical interactions with elastin during the early assembly of elastic fibers. This is in turn consistent with the predominant or exclusive deposition of fibril-2 at earlier stages of development in some of the matrices that will be eventually enriched in elastin.

In conclusion, this report demonstrates that the fibrillins are developmentally regulated genes with distinct spatiotemporal patterns. Based on evidence from this and our previous study (Zhang et al., 1993), we interpret the data as suggesting functional diversification of the extracellular microfibrils. The availability of the mouse fibrillin clones will enable us to test this hypothesis by introducing structural mutations in the fibrillin genes using the technique of homologous recombination in embryonic stem cells (Andrikopoulos et al., 1995).
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