Nano-Porous Silica-Aerogel-Incorporated Composite Materials for Thermal-Energy-Efficient Pitched Roof in the Tropical Region
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Abstract: Silica aerogel possesses an ultra-low thermal conductivity by virtue of its nano-structure. Owing to the fragility of monolithic aerogel, the development and production of aerogel-based insulation materials involve the incorporation of granular aerogel with other materials to form composite materials. In the present study, the application of silica-aerogel-incorporated composite cement paste as render on the roof-top surface is coupled with the installation of a novel silica-aerogel-incorporated composite insulation board below the roof tiles. Control and silica-aerogel-incorporated samples of the composite cement paste and insulation were prepared. Thermal conductivity and strength tests were performed on the samples. A simulation study was performed on a Building Information Model subjected to a tropical climate to project the resultant impact of the cement render coupled with the insulation board on the thermal-energy-efficiency of the roof. For optimization of thermal and strength performances, silica aerogel contents of 4 wt.% and 3 wt.% were selected for the cement render and insulation board, respectively. The projected annual cooling load and energy savings revealed that the application of a 20-mm cement render, coupled with the installation of a 100-mm thick insulation board, is recommended as the optimum roof configuration.
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1. Introduction

Aerogel was developed by Samuel Stephens Kistler in 1931 [1] and is, presently, known to man, the lightest solid in the world [2]. It is produced by replacing the liquid component of a gel with a gas to create a nano-porous solid, which, from an initial monolithic form, can then be converted to a granular form [3]. It possesses a remarkably low thermal conductivity [4] that is lower than those of other commercial insulation materials [5–7], as well as air [8–11]. It efficiently prevents solid and gaseous conduction by virtue of its non-crystalline slender skeleton and distinctive nano-sized pore structure. Accordingly, it has been labeled as a superinsulation material [12–14]. It can be synthesized from many types of materials, such as, among others, alumina, carbon, polymer, and silica [15].

Silica aerogel possesses an ultra-low thermal conductivity of 0.005 W/mK due to its high specific surface area of 500–1200 m²/g, high porosity of 80–99.8%, and low density of about 0.003 g/cm³ by virtue of its nano-structure [16–20]. It has a fractal geometry that can be structured randomly in colloidal aggregate systems. In general, its synthesis...
is performed in three steps, which are, preparation, aging, and drying, of silica gel. The preparation of silica gel is performed by adding a catalyst to a silica source solution to induce gelation as a result of the condensation of the liquid phase. The gel is then aged in its mother solution to increase its strength and, as a consequence, minimize the amount of shrinkage during the next step, where drying is performed at a slow rate under the conditions of supercritical drying to extricate the gel from the pore liquid without disintegrating its solid matrix, which, in the case of the conventional evaporation, will occur due to capillary action [4,20].

Aerogel can be manufactured in monolithic or granular forms [3]. In general, monolithic aerogel has better thermal and optical properties than granular aerogel [21,22]. However, monolithic aerogel has been found to be fragile [23] and, consequently, susceptible to cracking during manufacturing [24]. Large-scale commercialization of monolithic aerogel is not yet actualized owing to its fragility, as well as limitations in manufacturing technology [25]. Apart from that, monolithic aerogel is expensive and requires protection from moisture and tension [21,22]. Hence, in view of the impediment to the manufacturing and application of monolithic aerogel, the adoption of aerogel in commercial products is predominantly, at present, in its granular form [21,22,25].

By virtue of its ultra-low thermal conductivity, aerogel has been employed as the insulation material for the space suits of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration of USA, underwater oil pipelines and the metallurgy industry [26]. Typically, owing to the fragility of monolithic aerogel, the development and production of aerogel-based insulation materials involve the incorporation of granular aerogel with other materials to form composite materials that can be employed as insulation materials for various applications where heat transfer is a concern. Installation of an aerogel-based insulation material in the building envelope has been explored in previous research, where the material has to concurrently fulfill thermal and strength performance requirements. The prospect of employing aerogel in the building envelope was tested for the first time in Kosny et al. [26], where the thermal performance of residential steel- and wood-framed walls and commercial low-sloped roofs that were insulated with a fiber-reinforced silica aerogel composite material were evaluated using three-dimensional computer simulations and a series of hot-box tests. Later, Morelli et al. [27] projected the effect of installing an aerogel-stone wool composite material on the interior walls and window reveals of a typical old Danish multi-family building located in Copenhagen, Denmark, along with several other measures, on the energy consumption, with the aim of retrofitting the building to become a nearly-zero-energy building. Puad et al. [28] explored the potential application of a composite insulation material, which was composed of kapok fiber and silica aerogel that were incorporated with low-density polyethylene, for residential roof under the climate of Malaysia, by concurrently evaluating its thermal and tensile strength performances. Biseniece et al. [29] evaluated the thermal performance of an aerogel blanket as a composite insulation material that was to be installed on the interior walls of a historic masonry building located in Riga, Latvia, by performing long-term in-situ measurements of heat flux and temperature. Hu et al. [30] employed an aerogel-membrane roof composed of an outer membrane layer and an inner composite membrane layer that were integrated with an aerogel blanket at an airport terminal in Hunan, China, to reduce the temperature effect and, in consequence, retard material creep strain and structural deterioration. Kosny et al. [4] developed an aerogel-incorporated radiant barrier, where an aerogel blanket was laminated with a reflective aluminized plastic foil on both sides, and analyzed its thermal performance using two test huts located in Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA, where, in the roof, the first hut did not employ any insulation, while the second hut employed the aerogel-incorporated radiant barrier.

Apart from incorporating aerogel to form composite insulation materials for the building envelope, previous research also explored the prospect of filling the cavity of the glazing component of the building envelope with aerogel to improve thermal and daylighting performances. Cotana et al. [31] evaluated the thermal-energy, lighting and acoustic perfor-
mances of an aerogel-filled glazing through field experiments on prototype buildings with an indoor environment that typifies that of Italian residential buildings, as well as analyses in the laboratory. Abdul Mujeebu et al. [32,33] conducted simulation studies to project the effect of replacing double-glazing with aerogel-filled-glazing in windows on the energy performance of a multi-story office building that was exposed to the weather conditions of Dhahran, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, as well as economic feasibility. Moretti et al. [14] proposed aerogel-filled polycarbonate panels to improve the thermal performance and lighting control of the building envelope for non-residential buildings, especially the roof. Mohamed et al. [5] investigated the flammability and thermal degradation of a composite material that consists of unsaturated polyester resin and expanded polystyrene, with the incorporation of silica aerogel as fillers, for the fabrication of translucent windows and roof panels with enhanced insulation properties. Bidini et al. [3] evaluated the thermal, energy and daylighting performances of an aerogel-filled double-glazed window through a field experiment that employed two test boxes in Perugia, Italy, where the first box employed the standard double-glazing window with a layer of air sealed between them, and the second box employed an identical window, but filled with a layer of granular aerogel instead of air. Buratti et al. [34] adopted a similar experimental setup but employed granular aerogel that was mixed with opaque hollow silica instead. Zheng et al. [35] evaluated the thermal and daylighting performances of an atrium with an aerogel-glazed roof in an office building in Hunan, China. Abdul Mujeebu and Ashraf [36] conducted a simulation study on the energy performance and economic feasibility of an aerogel-filled double-glazed window in a multi-story office building at various locations in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Zheng et al. [25] evaluated the thermal and daylighting performances of aerogel-glazed skylight in comparison to double-glazed skylight using a test cell on the roof of a building in Changsha, China.

The roof-top surface represents the generality of surfaces that are exposed to direct solar radiation in urban areas. In addition, in the tropical region, the intensity of the direct solar radiation that reaches the roof-top surface is higher than the rest of the Earth. Concomitantly, the annual rainfall is also high; therefore, buildings in the tropical region commonly employ pitched roofs as protection, not only from the solar radiation, but also from rain water. In Malaysia, which is subjected to the tropical climate, commonly, cement roof tiles are employed as part of the pitched roof owing to their low cost and high resistance to weather conditions, albeit with inauspicious thermal properties [37], which, in the case of the roof tiles, is typically not considered the main priority [38].

At present, research on the adoption of aerogel in the building envelope is predominated by the development and evaluation of aerogel-based composite insulation materials that can be installed in the roof, on the interior wall or on the window reveal, as well as aerogel-filled glazing for the window and skylight. Accordingly, research on the adoption of aerogel on or as part of the roof tile material, coupled with the adoption of aerogel-based composite insulation materials, is deficient, notwithstanding its prospect in inducing a consequential reduction in the heat transfer through the roof, in view of the fact that the roof assembly, in the tropical region, especially the roof tiles, are directly exposed to intense and prolonged solar radiation, in addition to the high amounts of rainfall, all-year round. In the present study, the application of silica-aerogel-incorporated composite cement paste as render on the roof-top surface is coupled with the installation of a novel silica-aerogel-incorporated composite insulation board below the roof tiles. Findings of the present study are most applicable to pitched roof assemblies in tropical regions and, additionally, are also relevant to those in other regions with similar climate where intense solar radiation is present.

2. Materials and Methods

The silica aerogel sample that was employed in the present study was obtained from a local manufacturer in Malaysia. The sample was analyzed by performing a morphology study and characterization. Silica-aerogel-incorporated composite cement paste and
composite insulation samples were then prepared with varying amounts of silica aerogel. Thermal conductivity and strength tests were performed on the samples. A simulation study was then performed on a Building Information Model (BIM) that adopted a pitched roof to project the resultant impact of the application of silica-aerogel-incorporated composite cement paste as render on the roof-top surface coupled with the installation of a silica-aerogel-incorporated composite insulation board below the roof tiles on the thermal-energy-efficiency of the roof, based on the annual cooling load and energy savings.

2.1. Morphology Study and Characterization of the Silica Aerogel Sample

Morphology of the silica aerogel sample was studied by performing imaging using a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) of model Quanta FEG 650 that was manufactured by the FEI Company (Hillsboro, OR, USA). The sample was smeared on a glass plate of the SEM and then scanned. Characterization of the silica aerogel sample was performed by conducting X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) and X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) analyses to study its crystallographic structure and chemical composition, respectively, and accordingly, ascertain its compatibility when it is incorporated with the other materials. In order to conduct the XRD and XRF analyses, a representative portion of the sample was ground to grains of approximately 20 µm size using a motorized grinding machine. The sample for the XRD analysis, which was performed using an X-Ray Diffractometer of model D8 ADVANCE, which was manufactured by Bruker Corporation (Billerica, MA, USA), was prepared by hand-pressing the powdered sample to fill a circular hole, of 25 mm in diameter, on a sample holder. The surface of the sample was then flattened using a glass slide. On the other hand, the sample for the XRF analysis was prepared by igniting 0.5 g of the sample at 1050 °C for 20 min, to remove impurities, before casting the sample into a glass disc of 32 mm in diameter. The sample was analyzed for 10 major elements using an XRF Spectrometer of model Axios that was manufactured by Malvern Panalytical (Malvern, WO, UK), using the oxides-analysis option, which enabled the detection of oxygen and, accordingly, the elements in their oxidized states. Calibration was conducted using a high-quality, internationally-standardized, and certified reference material, namely rhyolite, whose composition was compared with that of the silica aerogel sample.

2.2. Preparation of Cement Paste Samples

Ordinary Portland cement (OPC) and free water were prepared in accordance with the standards defined by the British/European Standard (BS EN), which are BS EN 197-1:2011 [39] and BS EN 1008:2002 [40], respectively. Granular silica aerogel was prepared according to the method introduced by Halimaton Hamdan in 2011 [41]. Cement paste was prepared by mixing the OPC with the free water with a water-cement ratio of 0.5 based on the procedure of the American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) in ASTM C305-20 [42]. One control cement paste sample without silica aerogel and four silica-aerogel-incorporated composite cement paste samples that contained 2 wt.%, 4 wt.%, 6 wt.%, and 8 wt.% of the silica aerogel were prepared and then poured into cube steel molds with dimensions of 50 mm × 50 mm × 50 mm and left to harden at room temperature for 24 h. Then, the hardened samples were cured in the curing tank for 28 days at the water temperature of 25 ± 2 °C in accordance with BS EN 12390-2:2019 [43].

2.3. Preparation of Composite Insulation Samples

High-density polyethylene (HDPE) pellets, kapok fiber, and silica aerogel were employed to form samples of a novel composite insulation using the hot pressing technique [44]. The constituent materials were weighed and packed into cavity slots of steel molds, where silica aerogel and kapok fiber were sandwiched in between two layers of HDPE. Two shapes of the samples were formed as shown in Figure 1. The dog-bone shape was adopted to conduct tensile strength tests as per the Type 1 sample mentioned in ASTM D638-14 [45]. The prism shape was adopted to conduct flexural strength tests as per Procedure B as mentioned in ASTM D790-17 [46].
A thin layer of wax was applied onto the surface of the mold in advance to ease the process of detaching the samples from the cavity slots. After the cavity slots were filled, the mold was closed by placing a steel cover on top and subsequently, the samples were compressed at 160 °C using the Hydraulic Laboratory Press of model Monarch CMG 30H-15 that was manufactured by Carver Inc. (Wabash, IN, USA), without applying any pressure for the first five minutes, and subsequently, with a pressure of 120 tons-force for 60 min. Then, the Hydraulic Laboratory Press was switched off and the mold was left to cool for approximately 60 min until the temperature of the samples decreased to 80 °C. The mold was then removed from the machine, and the samples were detached from the cavity slots, as shown in Figure 2.

2.4. Compressive Strength and Permeable Porosity Tests on Composite Cement Paste Samples

Compressive strength tests were conducted on the composite cement paste samples at three, seven and 28 days of curing using the Digital Compression Machine of model ADR Touch SOLO 2000 BS EN manufactured by ELE International (Leighton Buzzard, UK) with a loading rate of 0.9 kN/s. The compressive strength ($F_c$) indicates the maximum

![Figure 1. Shapes of composite insulation samples for the (a) tensile tests (dog-bone) and (b) flexural tests (prism).](image1)

![Figure 2. Composite insulation samples for the (a) tensile tests (dog-bone) and (b) flexural tests (prism).](image2)
compressive load that a sample can withstand before failure ($P_c$) per unit of cross-sectional area of the sample ($A$) as shown in Equation (1). An average of five readings was taken as the compressive strength for each type of sample.

$$F_c = \frac{P_c}{A}. \quad (1)$$

Permeable porosity tests were also performed on the composite cement paste samples. After 28 days of curing, the samples were dried in the oven at 110 °C until the mass reduction per 24-h interval did not surpass 1%. The saturated surface-dry mass of the samples in air ($W_s$), oven-dry mass of the samples in air ($W_d$), and buoyant mass of the saturated samples in water ($W_b$) were measured to calculate the permeable porosity ($\varphi$) as shown in Equation (2).

$$\varphi = \frac{W_s - W_d}{W_s - W_b} \times 100\%. \quad (2)$$

2.5. Tensile and Flexural Strength Tests on Composite Insulation Samples

Tensile strength tests were conducted on the composite insulation samples at the room temperature of 23 °C and relative humidity of 55% using the Universal Testing Machine (UTM) of model AI-7000S manufactured by GOTECH Testing Machines Inc. (New Castle, DE, USA), with tensile grips. The test was conducted based on the Type 1 sample as mentioned in ASTM D638-14 [45]. The speed adopted to pull the samples, which fall under the semi-rigid category, was 5.00 mm/min. The tensile strength ($F_t$) was recorded when the samples have completely failed.

Flexural strength test was conducted for the composite insulation samples at room temperature using the same machine, but, this time, the tensile grips were replaced with a three-point-bend platform. The samples were tested in accordance with Procedure B as mentioned in ASTM D790-17 [46]. The moving crosshead of the machine was set to descend at a constant speed of 21.33 mm/min and stopped when the deflection of the sample had reached 5%, which was when the flexural strength ($F_f$) was recorded.

2.6. Thermal Conductivity Test on Composite Cement Paste and Insulation Samples

Thermal conductivity tests were performed on the composite cement paste samples at room temperature, using the Modified Transient Plane Source technique in accordance with ASTM D7984-16 [47]. An average of five readings of thermal conductivity ($k$) that were taken at one-minute intervals was adopted for each sample to ascertain the stability of the reading.

2.7. Simulation Study on Building Information Model (BIM) with Pitched Roof

A simulation study was performed on a BIM, which was employed previously in Farhan et al. [38] for a different study, to project the resultant impact of the silica-aerogel-incorporated cement paste as render on the roof-top surface, coupled with the silica-aerogel-incorporated composite insulation board installed below the roof tiles, on the annual cooling load and energy savings. The BIM was created in Integrated Environmental Solutions <Virtual Environment> (IES <VE>) using its ModelIT module, as shown, in axonometric view, in Figure 3. The dimensions of the BIM were 4 m width, 4 m length and 3 m height, and they were determined as such to fulfill the minimum requirements of a habitable room in Malaysia as per the Uniform Building By-Laws 1984 [48]. The materials assigned to the BIM were adopted based on the materials employed in Farhan et al. [38]. The simulation data generated from the BIM in IES <VE> were already validated in Farhan et al. [38] against the data collected from test cells located in Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah
Alam, Malaysia, which were also employed in previous studies by Irwan et al. [49,50], Halim et al. [51], Morris et al. [52,53], and Zakaria et al. [54]. Correspondingly, the location of Shah Alam, Malaysia, was also selected as the location of the BIM in the present study, as Shah Alam is subjected to a tropical climate and, hence, exposed to intense and prolonged solar radiation, as well as high amounts of rainfall.

Figure 3. Axonometric view of the Building Information Model.

The thermal conductivity values of the silica-aerogel-incorporated samples with optimum amounts of silica aerogel, which were determined from the results of the thermal and strength performance tests, were assigned to the modeled layer of the silica-aerogel-incorporated composite cement paste that was applied as render on the roof-top surface, and the modeled layer of the silica-aerogel-incorporated composite insulation board that was installed below the roof tiles, which were embedded in the BIM using the ModelIT module of IES <VE>. The ApacheSim module of IES <VE> was then employed to project the annual cooling load and, accordingly, calculate annual energy savings. The configuration of the roof was varied based on the presence of the render on the roof-top surface and composite insulation board below the roof tiles, as well as the thickness of the composite insulation board. Accordingly, five roof configurations were employed for the simulation study, which are presented in Table 1. The thickness of the composite insulation board was varied at 100 mm, 200 mm, and 300 mm, while the thickness of the cement render coated on the roof tiles was fixed at 20 mm in accordance with conventional practices.

Table 1. Roof configurations of the BIM employed for the simulation study.

| BIM Roof Configuration ID | Presence of Cement Render on the Roof-Top Surface | Presence and Thickness of Roof Insulation Material |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| C                        | Without cement render                           | Without insulation                               |
| R                        | Without insulation                              | Without insulation                               |
| R100                     | With cement render                              | With 100-mm thick composite insulation board     |
| R200                     |                                                 | With 200-mm thick composite insulation board     |
| R300                     |                                                 | With 300-mm thick composite insulation board     |
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Morphology Study of the Silica Aerogel Sample

The SEM image of the silica aerogel sample at 50,000× magnification is presented in Figure 4. The image indicates that the sample has no definite form, with the silica particles forming aggregates with each other. It has a porous structure with the aggregates formed by spherical particles, with an average size of 54.77 nm.

![Figure 4. SEM image of the silica aerogel sample at 50,000× magnification.](image)

3.2. Characterization of Silica Aerogel Sample

The XRD pattern of the silica aerogel sample as shown in Figure 5 reveals the presence of humps at the low-angle region together with narrow and sharp peaks, hence indicating that the sample is moderately amorphous. Furthermore, the analysis revealed the presence of silica gel as the main constituent, as well as thenardite, which is an anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na$_2$SO$_4$), as indicated by the red line.

Table 2 shows the composition of the silica aerogel sample obtained from the XRF analysis and reveals that, among all of the oxides, the silicon dioxide (SiO$_2$) content is the highest, which is 74.48%. The high SiO$_2$ content, coupled with the amorphous state of the particles, imparts an ultra-low thermal conductivity to the silica aerogel. Other than SiO$_2$, sodium oxide (Na$_2$O) was also present in the sample, with a content of 9.15%. The presence of oxides other than SiO$_2$ was due to the presence of impurities, owing to the sample being mixed with the residue from other samples during preparation and heat treatment [55].

| BIM Roof Configuration | Presence of Cement Render on the Roof-Top Surface | Presence and Thickness of Roof Insulation Material |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| C                      | Without cement render                            | Without insulation                               |
| R                      | With cement render                               | Without insulation                               |
| R100                   | With cement render                               | With 100-mm thick composite insulation board     |
| R200                   | With cement render                               | With 200-mm thick composite insulation board     |
| R300                   | With cement render                               | With 300-mm thick composite insulation board     |

Table 1. Roof configurations of the BIM employed for the simulation study.
Table 2. Composition of the silica aerogel sample obtained from the X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) analysis.

| Oxides                        | Content (wt.%) |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Silicon Dioxide (SiO₂)        | 74.48          |
| Sodium Oxide (Na₂O)           | 9.15           |
| Aluminium Oxide (Al₂O₃)       | 0.05           |
| Calcium Oxide (CaO)           | 0.05           |
| Iron (III) Oxide (Fe₂O₃)      | 0.05           |
| Magnesium Oxide (MgO)         | 0.01           |
| Manganese (II) Oxide (MnO)    | 0.01           |
| Titanium (IV) Oxide (TiO₂)    | Below detection limit |

3.3. Thermal and Strength Performances of Composite Cement Paste Samples

Figure 6 presents the \( F_c \) and \( k \), at 28 days of curing, of the composite cement paste samples that contained varying silica aerogel content. \( F_c \) decreased from 49.28 MPa to 42.89 MPa when the silica aerogel content per sample was increased from 0 wt.% to 2 wt.%. Conversely, a further addition of 2 wt.% of silica aerogel per sample, which was from 2 wt.% to 4 wt.%, led to the culmination of \( F_c \) at its highest value of 54.33 MPa. As the silica aerogel content was increased from 4 wt.% to 6 wt.% and then 8 wt.%, \( F_c \) was adversely affected, where \( F_c \) decreased from 54.33 MPa to 47.03 MPa and then its lowest value of 34.77 MPa, respectively. A similar trend of \( F_c \) was reported in Bostanci et al. [56]. Furthermore, it can be projected that the adverse effect on \( F_c \) will extend as higher amounts of silica aerogel are incorporated, as revealed in Ng et al. [57]. In essence, the incorporation of 8 wt.% of silica aerogel led to a loss of strength of 29.44%, where \( F_c \) decreased from 49.28 MPa to 34.77 MPa.

On the other hand, the incorporation of silica aerogel led to a drop in \( k \) from 1.185 W/mK to 0.340 W/mK, 0.142 W/mK, 0.086 W/mK, and 0.076 W/mK when 2 wt.%, 4 wt.%, 6 wt.%, and 8 wt.% of silica aerogel were incorporated, respectively. Essentially, the incorporation of 8 wt.% of silica aerogel resulted in a decline in \( k \) of 93.59%, with a gradient that decreased as the silica aerogel content was increased. In view of the culmination of \( F_c \) at its highest value of 54.33 MPa that coincides with the conspicuous decrease in the gradient of \( k \) as it declined from 1.185 W/mK to 0.142 W/mK, it can be deduced that the silica aerogel content of 4 wt.% resulted in the optimum formulation of the silica-aerogel-incorporated composite cement paste.
Figure 6. Compressive strength ($F_c$) and thermal conductivity ($k$) of the composite cement paste after 28 days of curing.

Figure 7 shows that the increase in the silica aerogel content in the composite cement paste increased its $\phi$, which was due to the nano-sized pore structure of silica aerogel. The $\phi$ of the cement paste, without the incorporation of silica aerogel, was 0.128%. The incorporation of 2 wt.% of silica aerogel in the cement paste induced a rise in $\phi$ from 0.128% to 0.160%. Further addition of silica aerogel from 2 wt.% to 4 wt.%, 6 wt.%, and 8 wt.% led to the increase in $\phi$ to 0.220%, 0.216%, and 0.256%, respectively. The increase in the silica aerogel content beyond 4 wt.% led to the sharp decline in $F_c$ from 54.33 MPa to 34.77 MPa due to the high $\phi$ of 0.256%. However, when the silica aerogel content was increased from 2 wt.% to its optimum value of 4 wt.%, the $F_c$ improved from 42.89 MPa to 54.33 MPa in spite of the increase in porosity from 0.160% to 0.220%.

Figure 7. Compressive strength ($F_c$) and permeable porosity ($\phi$) of the composite cement paste after 28 days of curing.
3.4. Thermal and Strength Performances of Composite Insulation Samples

Figure 8 presents the $F_t$ and $k$ of the composite insulation samples that contained varying silica aerogel content. The highest $F_t$ of 11.58 MPa was obtained for the composite insulation sample that was not incorporated with silica aerogel. The $F_t$ decreased sharply to 7.04 MPa when 1 wt.% of silica aerogel was added. Contrarily, further increments in the silica aerogel content from 1 wt.% to 2 wt.%, and then 3 wt.%, resulted in the improvement of $F_t$ from 7.04 MPa to 8.24 MPa, and then 8.74 MPa, respectively. However, further additions of silica aerogel beyond 3 wt.% induced a decline in $F_t$ from 8.74 MPa to 7.18 MPa, and then 6.47 MPa, for the samples with silica aerogel contents of 4 wt.% and 5 wt.%, respectively, due to the agglomeration of the silica aerogel particles, which affected the strength and elongation of the sample, according to Zolfaghari et al. [58] and Thongpin et al. [59]. As for $k$, a gradual decrease was observed with each increment in silica aerogel content. The sample that was not incorporated with silica aerogel obtained the highest $k$ of 0.20 W/mK, which then declined to 0.15 W/mK, 0.14 W/mK, 0.09 W/mK, 0.06 W/mK, and then 0.04 W/mK when the silica aerogel content was increased to 1 wt.%, 2 wt.%, 3 wt.%, 4 wt.%, to 5 wt.%, respectively, with a percentage in overall decline of 80%.

![Figure 8](image_url)

**Figure 8.** Tensile strength ($F_t$) and thermal conductivity ($k$) for the composite insulation materials.

Figure 9 shows the $F_t$ and $k$ of the composite insulation samples that contained varying silica aerogel content. The highest $F_t$ of 23.24 MPa was obtained for the control sample, which was not incorporated with silica aerogel. Increment of silica aerogel from 0 wt.% to 1 wt.% resulted in a decrease of $F_t$ from 23.24 MPa to 18.96 MPa. Conversely, the $F_t$ increased from 18.96 MPa to 19.88 MPa, and then 21.37 MPa, when the silica aerogel content was increased from 1 wt.% to 2 wt.%, and then to 3 wt.%, respectively. Subsequently, the $F_t$ decreased from 21.37 MPa, to 18.63 MPa, and then to the lowest $F_t$ of 17.64 MPa when the silica aerogel content was increased from 3 wt.%, to 4 wt.%, and then to the highest silica aerogel content of 5 wt.%, which is due to the high $\phi$ of the silica aerogel that resulted in its lower mechanical performance as mentioned in Wucherer et al. [60], and also the impact of further adding silica aerogel that tends to reaggregate instead of spreading homogeneously throughout the HDPE-kapok fiber matrix [61], leading to a decrease in the interaction between the surface area of the silica aerogel with the HDPE and kapok fiber as highlighted in Mazlan et al. [62], and, as a consequence, caused the sample to be more brittle due to ineffective stress transfer. Although $k$ greatly decreased with the incorporation of silica
aerogel in the composite insulation, the silica aerogel content of 3 wt.% is recommended to formulate a composite insulation that concurrently possesses low $k$ with reasonably high $F_t$ and $F_f$.

![Graph showing Flexural Strength ($F_f$) and Thermal Conductivity ($k$) for the composite insulation samples.](image)

**Figure 9.** Flexural strength ($F_f$) and thermal conductivity ($k$) for the composite insulation samples.

Unlike tensile strength tests where stress is distributed along the sample, the stress in flexural strength test is more restricted to the region of the applied load. Figure 10 compares the $F_t$ and $F_f$ profiles of the composite insulation samples with varying silica aerogel content. Notwithstanding the minor difference between the gradient of the declines of $F_t$ and $F_f$ when the silica aerogel content was increased from 0 wt.% to 1 wt.%, where the decline of $F_t$ was sharper than that of $F_f$, profiles of $F_t$ and $F_f$ exhibited similar trends and is in agreement with the findings in Shahroze et al. [63], hence validating the consistency in the preparation of corresponding samples that were employed to perform the tensile and flexural strength tests.

3.5. Thermal-Energy Performance of BIM with Pitched Roof

Figures 11–13 reveal the direct, diffused and global solar radiation fluxes that the BIM were subjected to throughout the Typical Meteorological Year (TMY) at the location of Shah Alam, Malaysia, as previously adopted in Farhan et al. [38]. The highest fluxes recorded throughout the TMY for the direct, diffused, and global solar radiation were 965 W/m², 540 W/m², and 1120 W/m², respectively.
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Figure 10. Flexural strength ($F_f$) and tensile strength ($F_t$) for the composite insulation materials.
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Figure 10. Flexural strength ($F_f$) and tensile strength ($F_t$) for the composite insulation materials.

Figure 11. Direct solar radiation flux throughout the Typical Meteorological Year (TMY) at the location of the BIM.
Figure 14 reveals the annual cooling load and energy savings of the indoor environment of the BIM at varying roof configurations of $C$, $R$, $R_{100}$, $R_{200}$, and $R_{300}$. The annual cooling load of $C$ was 2.6671 MWh, and it was marginally reduced to 2.6488 MWh when the 20-mm silica-aerogel-incorporated composite cement paste was applied as render on the roof-top surface to form $R$, hence generating an annual energy savings of 0.6909%. Furthermore, when a 100-mm thick silica-aerogel-incorporated composite insulation board was installed below the roof tiles of $R$ to form $R_{100}$, a sharp drop in the annual cooling load to 2.3903 MWh, with an annual energy savings of 11.5801% relative to $C$, was observed. Additional increments to the thickness of the insulation board to 200 mm, and...
was installed below the roof tiles of R to form R100, a sharp drop in the annual cooling load to 2.3903 MWh, with an annual energy savings of 11.5801% relative to C, was observed. Additional increments to the thickness of the insulation board to 200 mm, and then 300 mm, resulted in further reductions in the annual cooling load to 2.3491 MWh, and then 2.3319 MWh, with annual energy savings of, relative to C, 13.5371%, and then 14.3745%, respectively. The highest gradients, based on their absolute values, in the decline in the annual cooling load, and the rise in the annual energy savings, were observed when the 100-mm thick insulation board was installed to R. Therefore, the application of the 20-mm cement render, coupled with the installation of the 100-mm thick insulation board, is recommended as the optimum roof configuration.

![Figure 14. Annual cooling load and energy savings of the BIM at varying roof configurations.](image)

4. Conclusions

The installation of a novel silica-aerogel-incorporated composite insulation board in the roof, coupled with the application of silica-aerogel-incorporated composite cement paste as render on the roof-top surface, was studied to explore the prospect of adopting nanoporous silica-aerogel-incorporated composite materials in thermal-energy-efficient pitched roof assemblies in the tropical region. A morphology study on a silica aerogel sample revealed that the sample had no definite form and a porous structure. Characterization of the sample revealed that the sample was moderately amorphous, with silica gel as the main constituent, where the SiO₂ content was the highest, which was 74.48%. Then, 50 mm × 50 mm × 50 mm cement paste cubes were prepared with OPC and free water, where a control sample without silica aerogel and four silica-aerogel-incorporated samples with varying silica aerogel content were prepared. Composite insulation samples were prepared with HDPE and kapok fiber, where a control sample without silica aerogel and five silica-aerogel-incorporated samples with varying silica aerogel content were prepared. For optimization of thermal and strength performances, the silica aerogel contents of 4 wt.% and 3 wt.% were selected for the cement render and insulation board, respectively. A simulation study performed on a BIM with a pitched roof to project annual cooling load and
energy savings of its indoor environment revealed that the application of 20-mm cement render, coupled with the installation of a 100-mm thick insulation board, is recommended as the optimum roof configuration.
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**Nomenclature**

**Abbreviations**

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| ASTM         | American Society for Testing Materials |
| BIM          | Building Information Model |
| BS EN        | British/European Standard |
| CFD          | Computational Fluid Dynamics |
| HDPE         | High-Density Polyethylene |
| IES<VE>      | Integrated Environmental Solutions <Virtual Environment> |
| OPC          | Ordinary Portland Cement |
| SEM          | Scanning Electron Microscope |
| TMY          | Typical Meteorological Year |
| UTM          | Universal Testing Machine |
| XRD          | X-Ray Diffraction |
| XRF          | X-Ray Fluorescence |

**Chemical Formulae**

| Chemical Formula | Description |
|-----------------|-------------|
| Al2O3           | Aluminium Oxide |
| CaO             | Calcium Oxide |
| Fe2O3           | Iron (III) Oxide |
| MgO             | Magnesium Oxide |
| MnO             | Manganese (II) Oxide |
| Na2O            | Sodium Oxide |
| Na2SO4          | Sodium Sulfate |
| SiO2            | Silicon Dioxide |
| TiO2            | Titanium (IV) Oxide |

**Notations**

| Notation | Description |
|----------|-------------|
| A        | Cross-sectional area |
| C        | Conventional BIM roof configuration without render and insulation |
| F<sub>c</sub> | Compressive strength |
| F<sub>f</sub> | Flexural strength |
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