Does Shrub Encroachment Indicate Ecosystem Degradation? A Perspective Based on the Spatial Patterns of Woody Plants in a Temperate Savanna-Like Ecosystem of Inner Mongolia, China
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Abstract: Shrub encroachment, i.e., shrub emergence or an increase in woody plant cover, has been widely observed in arid and semiarid grasslands and savannas worldwide since the 2000s. However, until now, there has been a clear division of opinion regarding its ecological implications. One view is that shrub encroachment is an indicator of ecological degradation, and the other is that shrub encroachment is a sign of the restoration of degraded ecosystems. This division leads to completely different judgments about the states and transition phases of shrub-encroached ecosystems, which further affects decisions about their conservation and management. To determine whether ecosystems experiencing shrub encroachment are degrading or are in a postdegraded restoration stage, the spatial distributions and interactions of woody plants after shrub encroachment were investigated in this study. An Ulmus pumila-dominated temperate savanna-like ecosystem with significant shrub encroachment in the Otindag Sandy Land, Inner Mongolia, China, was selected as the research area, and woody plants were surveyed within a 25-hectare (500 × 500 m) plot. Spatial point pattern analysis was employed to analyze the distribution patterns of the woody plants. The results indicated different patterns for U. pumila trees, i.e., a random distribution pattern for old trees (with a diameter at breast height (DBH) of more than 20 cm) and aggregated distribution patterns for medium (5 cm ≤ DBH < 20 cm) and juvenile trees (DBH < 5 cm) at scales of 0–9 and 0–12 m, respectively. For most shrubs, there was significant aggregation at a scale of 0–6 m. However, there were significant negative relationships between old U. pumila trees (DBH ≥ 20 cm) and most shrub species, such as Caragana microphylla and Spiraea aquilegifolia. In contrast, there were positive relationships between juvenile trees (DBH < 5 cm) and most shrub species. These results suggest that, to some extent, shrub encroachment may have disrupted the normal succession pattern in the U. pumila community in this area, and without conservation, the original tree-dominated temperate savanna-like ecosystem may continue to deteriorate and eventually become a shrub-dominated temperate savanna-like ecosystem.
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1. Introduction

Shrub encroachment in grasslands and the densification of woody plant cover in savannas have been widely documented across many arid and semiarid areas of the world [1–4], including in...
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South America, Australia, and the warm deserts of the Southwestern United States. However, until now, there has been a clear division of opinion about its ecological indication. One view is that shrub encroachment is an indicator of land degradation that is often associated with ecosystem degradation [5], such as declines in forage productivity, biodiversity, and socioeconomic potential, as well as increased erosion [6]. However, an alternative viewpoint proposing that shrub emergence is a sign of the restoration of degraded ecosystems has emerged recently [7,8], considered to support the biodiversity and a variety of ecosystem services [9]. In addition, shrub encroachment has been described as an alternative stable state occurring several times during the last two millennia in African savannas [10]. Some studies also found that the role of shrub species was important in the rehabilitation of degraded sandy land ecosystems [11]. Furthermore, different opinions exist as to the causes of shrub encroachment in different types of ecosystems [12]: some studies found that underuse leads to shrub and subsequent tree encroachment and, finally, conversion to forest [12]; however, overgrazing usually causes shrub encroachment in Africa and the Mongolia Plateau [10]. This difference in the perception of shrub encroachment could lead to completely different judgments of the states and transitions of shrub-encroached ecosystems, which would further affect decisions about their conservation and management [13]. An innovative study [14], combined with the landscape history and other environmental factors, quantified the process and indicative significance of shrub encroachment and provided us with new ideas. Therefore, more attention needs to be paid to ecosystem changes after shrub encroachment to clarify their ecological significance, especially the significance of the degree of shrub encroachment and changes in distribution patterns and structure. This information could then be used to quantify the ecological indications of shrub encroachment.

As a common tree species distributed widely throughout the forest-steppe ecotone on the Mongolian Plateau [15,16], *Ulmus pumila* forms a stable savanna-like woody-herbaceous complex ecosystem in association with grass in the Horqin Sandy Land, the Otindag Sandy Land, and the Hulunbuir Sandy Land in Northern China [17,18]. The sparse *U. pumila* trees on the temperate savanna-like ecosystem have ecological significance because they provide sand stabilization and also resting places for livestock [19]. In recent years, due to various changing conditions, such as climate change and land use change in the course of economic development [20–22], there has been severe damage to the *U. pumila*-dominated temperate savanna-like ecosystem. This damage has led to an increase in the number of shrubs and the densification of woody plant cover as well as outcomes such as decreasing temperate savanna-like ecosystem area, the loss of structural integrity, poor population regeneration, and changing spatial patterns [23,24]. Previous studies have focused mainly on the vegetation distribution, possible encroachment mechanisms, vegetation characteristics, species composition, and carbon budget in the *U. pumila*-dominated temperate savanna-like ecosystem after shrub encroachment [25,26]. It was found that shrub coverage in the grasslands of Inner Mongolia reached 12.8% and that the emergence of *Caragana microphylla* was an indicator of grassland degradation in Inner Mongolia [27]. However, the spatial patterns of woody plants and their interrelationships associated with shrub encroachment are often overlooked despite having important effects on ecosystem functions [28].

Spatial pattern analysis is an important method for studying the distribution and relationships of different plants [29,30]. The spatial patterns of species and the spatial relationships among species significantly impact growth, reproduction, death, resource utilization, and gap formation among species [31,32]. The analysis of a species’ spatial pattern helps us to understand both the ecological processes that form the pattern (such as intra- and interspecific competition, interference, and environmental heterogeneity) and the ecophysiological traits of the plant species, including the relationships between these plants and their environment [33,34]. Recently, a spatial pattern analysis method has been used to clarify the vegetation degradation processes underlying the patterns of individual species in semiarid and arid areas [35,36].

In this study, our objective is to explore how shrub encroachment affects the spatial distribution and interaction of woody plants in a temperate savanna-like ecosystem. We hypothesize that there are
differences in the relationships between *U. pumila* trees of different DBHs and shrubs. The following questions will be addressed: (1) What are the distribution patterns of *U. pumila* trees and shrubs in a temperate savanna-like ecosystem? (2) What are the interspecific interactions between *U. pumila* trees and shrubs? and (3) Do tree–shrub spatial associations change as a function of tree size?

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

*U. pumila*-dominated temperate savanna-like ecosystem, which is distributed widely throughout the forest-steppe ecotone on the Mongolian Plateau, China, is quite different from North American prairies and African savannas in terms of climate, soils, and dominant plant functional types; however, there are some taxonomical similarities among these ecosystems at the genus and family levels [37, 38]. The study area experiences a typical temperate continental semiarid climate, with warm summers and cold winters. The mean annual precipitation is approximately 350 mm, 70% of which occurs from June to August. The mean annual air temperature is 1.6 °C, with a maximum monthly temperature of 17.8 °C (July) and a minimum monthly temperature of −17.6 °C (January) [37, 38]. The main soil types are aeolian sandy soils with a mean thickness of 200 cm and a calcic horizon occurring at 30–100 cm depth. In this forest-steppe ecotone, *U. pumila* is dominant; the other species that occur include other woody species, including *C. microphylla*, *Spiraea aquilegifolia*, *Ribes diacanthum* and *Salix linearistipularis*, and herbaceous plants, including *Leymus chinense* and *Cleistogenes squarrosa* [39]. The main land use in the area is grazing, and the livestock are primarily cattle. The local herdiers have implemented alternating seasonal grazing (winter and spring) and mowing (autumn) regimes since 2000 [40]; before that time, annual grazing was the local practice. Shrub encroachment, such as the spread of *C. microphylla*, has been clearly observed in grasslands and savannas in this area, resulting in a landscape characterized by a mosaic of shrub and grass patches.

2.2. Research Site and Data Collection

Most work about the spatial distribution and interaction of woody plants had been done in single hectare or smaller plots, but the relative rarity of many species in forests necessitated large-scale census plots. Thus, plots usually with more than 5 hectares, named large plots, are considered as representative of local vegetation, which could cover the local typical vegetation and topography in a region. By a large plot, the interference of scale and environmental heterogeneity could be avoided for the study on vegetation composition, pattern, and biodiversity [41]. This method has been widely used in global forest dynamic monitoring.

In this study, this large plot method was applied and one large plot with an area of 25 ha (500 m × 500 m) was established following the plot standards of the Center for Tropical Forest Science (CTFS) network [41]. It was located in the Otindag Sandy Land in Inner Mongolia, China (115°16′ E, 42°50′ N), in a typical area of *Ulmus pumila*-dominated temperate savanna-like ecosystem (Figure 1).

Then, this large plot was further divided into 625 subplots (20 m × 20 m). All free-standing trees with stem diameters at breast height (DBHs) of more than one centimeter and all shrubs were tagged, mapped, and identified to species during the summer of 2013–2014. The coordinates of woody plants in each subplot were recorded using an Electronic Total Station, with the southwestern corner of the subplot as the origin. Additionally, in the center of each subplot, a small plot with the size of 1 × 1 m was setup. All herbaceous species were identified and their cover ratios and numbers were recorded.

All *U. pumila* trees were classified into three categories according to their DBH [42], namely, old trees (DBH ≥ 20 cm), medium trees (5 cm ≤ DBH < 20 cm), and juvenile trees (DBH < 5 cm) (Table 1).
Figure 1. Map of the Otindag Sandy Land and the location of the study region (a) location; (b) sample map; (c,d) pictures of the landscape.

Table 1. Basic parameters of *U. pumila* trees and shrubs.

| Species          | Category | Number | Height (m) | Maximum Crown Radius (m) | Minimum Crown Radius (m) |
|------------------|----------|--------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| *U. pumila* trees| Old      | 750    | 8.4 ± 0.94 | 6.2 ± 0.83               | 5.0 ± 1.53               |
|                  | Medium   | 250    | 5.3 ± 0.93 | 4.1 ± 0.25               | 3.2 ± 1.27               |
|                  | Juvenile | 400    | 1.7 ± 0.30 | 1.5 ± 0.30               | 0.4 ± 0.22               |
| *Salix linearistipularis* |        | 292    | 1.0 ± 0.13 | 1.1 ± 0.28               | 0.4 ± 0.10               |
| *S. microstachya* var. *bordensis* | 547    | 0.50 ± 0.17 | 0.4 ± 0.15 | 0.20 ± 0.35               |
| *S. gordejevi* |          | 238    | 1.30 ± 0.22 | 0.6 ± 0.11               | 0.40 ± 0.27               |
| *S. myrtilloides* |         | 837    | 1.20 ± 0.31 | 0.7 ± 0.13               | 0.4 ± 0.34               |
| *Betula fruticosa* |         | 2417   | 1.0 ± 0.14 | 0.5 ± 0.18               | 0.3 ± 0.10               |
| *Ribes diacanthum* |        | 168    | 0.8 ± 0.16 | 0.6 ± 0.23               | 0.1 ± 0.11               |
| *Caragana microphylla* |       | 414    | 0.3 ± 0.12 | 0.4 ± 0.23               | 0.35 ± 0.14               |
| *Spiraea aquilegifolia* |       | 1038   | 0.6 ± 0.15 | 0.8 ± 0.14               | 0.6 ± 0.12               |

2.3. Data Analysis

The pair-correlation function $g(r)$ is a statistical method used to estimate the number of points within concentric rings at a distance $r$ rather than within a certain radius and is especially sensitive to small-scale effects [43,44]. There are two $g(r)$ functions, i.e., univariate and bivariate $g(r)$. In this study, the univariate $g(r)$ function was used to analyze the spatial distribution patterns within woody plants, and the bivariate $g(r)$ function to quantify the both intra- and interspecific spatial association among tree and shrub plants [43,44].

Firstly, the univariate $g(r)$ function was used to analyze three tree categories (old trees, medium trees, and juvenile trees) and all shrub species. The null model of complete spatial randomness (CSR) as a null hypothesis was used for all the univariate analyses. Secondly, for the bivariate analyses, two cases were considered. One case was that the relationship between small and large trees was considered. Since large trees may impact the distribution pattern of small trees within their area of influence (competition), a bivariate $g$ function analysis was conducted for these two size classes using both the toroidal shift and the antecedent condition null model options [43]. This tests whether the patterns of distribution of small and large trees were generated by independent processes. The antecedent condition model tests whether one pattern (small trees) is influenced by a second
pattern (large trees), assessing whether there are more (or fewer) small trees in the neighborhood of large trees than expected under a random distribution of small trees [43]. The second case concerns the interaction between trees and shrubs. Because the spatial distributions of plants in plots seem to be affected significantly by drought stress and habitat heterogeneity (e.g., soil patch and microtopography), we examined the spatial association between the two species with the independent null model [44].

All analyses were conducted with Programita software (2016). To assess the significance of the data by comparing them with the null model, 95% confidence intervals were obtained by running 199 Monte Carlo simulations [45].

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the Temperate Savanna Ecosystem

There were nine woody plant species, *S. linearistipularis*, *S. microstachya var. bordensis*, *S. gordejevii*, *S. myrtilloides*, *B. fruticosa*, *C. microphylla*, *Spiraea aquilegifolia*, *R. diacanthum*, and *U. pumila*, which were identified in the plot. The trees in the plot mainly grew as individual trees rather than in groups (Table 1).

*U. pumila* was the dominant tree species, with a coverage of 10–15% and a density of 56 trees/ha. The densities of old trees (DBH ≥ 20 cm), medium trees (5 cm ≤ DBH < 20 cm), and juvenile trees (DBH < 5 cm) were 30, 10, and 16 trees/ha, respectively. The tree heights of these three categories varied, i.e., old trees, medium trees, and juvenile trees had average heights of 8.4 ± 0.94, 5.3 ± 0.93, and 1.7 ± 0.30 m, respectively. Although the coverage of the shrub layer was only 8–15%, eight shrub species were observed, and their density varied from 6.7 to 96.7 plants/ha; the shrubs were shorter than 0.3–1.3 m on average. These shrubs normally grew in clusters distributed in the canopy gaps among *U. pumila* trees.

The herbs were also unevenly distributed and were rarely found growing under the old trees. However, the coverage of the herb layer, 30–60%, was greater than that of the tree and shrub layers. The herbs were also very diverse, with a total of 195 species from 40 families identified in our research plot, representing approximately 30% of the total number of higher plants observed in the Otindag Sandy Land [18,39]. The herbs mainly belonged to the Compositae (38 species), Gramineae (30 species), and Leguminosae (14 species) families.

3.2. Spatial Distribution Patterns of *U. pumila* Trees—A Random Distribution of Old Trees and an Aggregated Distribution of Juvenile Trees

As shown in Figure 2, *U. pumila* trees showed significant aggregation at the 0–7 m scale. However, their distribution patterns varied with tree size. The old *U. pumila* trees were randomly distributed at all scales (0–50 m); in contrast, the medium *U. pumila* trees (5 cm ≤ DBH < 20 cm) exhibited significant aggregation at the 0–5 m scale, and juvenile trees (DBH < 5 cm) were significantly aggregated at the 0–9 m scale. However, all three tree categories were randomly distributed at other scales in the 25-ha permanent plot.

As shown in Figure 3, there were intraspecific relationships between the different DBH classes of *U. pumila* trees. There was a significant negative relationship between old trees and medium trees at the 2–10 m scale, but no obvious relationships between old trees and juvenile trees. On the other hand, there was a significantly positive relationship between medium trees and juvenile trees at the 2–12 m scale, but no significant relationship at any other scale.

3.3. Aggregated Distribution of Shrubs at Specific Scales

As shown in Figure 4, most shrub species exhibited spatial aggregation at small scales. For example, *S. aquilegifolia*, *S. microstachya var. bordensis*, *S. gordejevii*, and *C. microphylla* were significantly aggregated at scales of 0–6, 1–7, 0–2, and 0–6 m, respectively. However, they exhibited random distributions at other scales. *S. myrtilloides* and *B. fruticosa* exhibited similar distribution patterns; they were significantly
aggregated only at scales of 2–4 and 2–5 m, respectively, and had random distributions at other scales. The exceptions to these findings were *S. linearistipularis* and *R. diacanthum*, which were randomly distributed at all scales. These results indicate that spatial aggregation at specific scales was common among most shrub species.

3.4. Spatial Interactions of Woody Plants—Competition between Shrubs and Adult Trees and Mutualism between Dominant Shrub Species and Juvenile Trees

As shown in Figure 5, there was a negative relationship between the presence of old trees and most shrub species. For example, there was significant negative relationship between old trees and some shrubs, e.g., *B. fruticosa* and *S. aquilegifolia*, at all scales (0–50 m). However, other shrubs had significant negative relationships with old trees only at certain scales—for example, *S. myrtilloides*, at the 10–50 m scales; *S. linearistipularis*, at the 10–20 m scales; *S. gordejevii*, at the 0–30 m scales; and *C. microphylla*, at the 0–25 m scales. In addition, there was a positive relationship between *R. diacanthum* and old trees at the 3–6 m scales. These results showed that there was a competitive relationship between most shrubs and the old trees.

![Figure 2](image1.png)

**Figure 2.** Univariate spatial patterns of the three *U. pumila* tree categories with the null model.

![Figure 3](image2.png)

**Figure 3.** Bivariate spatial association between three *U. pumila* tree categories with both the toroidal shift and antecedent condition null models.
random distributions at other scales. S. myrtilloides and B. fruticosa exhibited similar distribution patterns; they were significantly aggregated only at scales of 2–4 and 2–5 m, respectively, and had random distributions at other scales. The exceptions to these findings were S. linearistipularis and R. diacanthum, which were randomly distributed at all scales. These results indicate that spatial aggregation at specific scales was common among most shrub species.

Figure 4. Univariate spatial patterns of shrub categories with the null model of complete spatial randomness.

3.4. Spatial Interactions of Woody Plants—Competition between Shrubs and Adult Trees and Mutualism between Dominant Shrub Species and Juvenile Trees

As shown in Figure 5, there was a negative relationship between the presence of old trees and most shrub species. For example, there was significant negative relationship between old trees and some shrubs, e.g., B. fruticosa and S. aquilegifolia, at all scales (0–50 m). However, other shrubs had significant negative relationships with old trees only at certain scales—for example, S. myrtilloides, at
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the 10–50 m scales; *S. linearistipularis*, at the 10–20 m scales; *S. gordejevii*, at the 0–30 m scales; and *C. microphylla*, at the 0–25 m scales. In addition, there was a positive relationship between *R. diacanthum* and old trees at the 3–6 m scales. These results showed that there was a competitive relationship between most shrubs and the old trees.

There were negative relationships between the medium trees and most shrub species (Figure 6). For example, the presence of the shrubs *S. gordejevii*, *B. fruticosa*, *S. aquilegifolia*, and *S. microstachya var. bordensis* was significantly negatively associated with medium trees at all scales (0–50 m). In addition, *S. linearistipularis* was significantly negatively correlated with medium trees only at the 15–50 m scales, and no obvious relationships were observed at other scales. For *C. microphylla*, *S. gordejevii*, and *R. diacanthum*, no obvious relationships with medium trees were observed. These results also showed that there was a competitive relationship between most shrubs and medium trees.

However, there were positive relationships between juvenile trees and some shrub species (Figure 7). *S. myrtilloides*, *S. aquilegifolia*, *S. microstachya var. bordensis*, and *C. microphylla* showed significant positive interactions with juvenile trees at small scales (less than 10 m). However, no other obvious relationships were observed between juvenile trees and the other shrub species.

**Figure 5.** Bivariate spatial relationship between old *U. pumila* trees and shrubs with the independent null model.
There were negative relationships between the medium trees and most shrub species (Figure 6). For example, the presence of the shrubs *S. gordejevii*, *B. fruticosa*, *S. aquilegifolia*, and *S. microstachya var. bordensis* was significantly negatively associated with medium trees at all scales (0–50 m). In addition, *S. linearistipularis* was significantly negatively correlated with medium trees only at the 15–50 m scales, and no obvious relationships were observed at other scales. For *C. microphylla*, *S. gordejevii*, and *R. diacanthum*, no obvious relationships with medium trees were observed. These results also showed that there was a competitive relationship between most shrubs and medium trees.

**Figure 6.** Bivariate spatial relationship between medium *U. pumila* trees and shrubs with the independent null model.
However, there were positive relationships between juvenile trees and some shrub species (Figure 7). *S. myrtilloides*, *S. aquilegifolia*, *S. microstachya* var. *bordensis*, and *C. microphylla* showed significant positive interactions with juvenile trees at small scales (less than 10 m). However, no other obvious relationships were observed between juvenile trees and the other shrub species.

Figure 7. Bivariate spatial relationship between juvenile *U. pumila* trees and shrubs with the independent null model.
4. Discussion

4.1. Spatial Patterns of *U. pumila* Trees and Their Mechanism of Formation in the Temperate Savanna-Like Ecosystem

From the viewpoint of ecological niche differentiation, the large crown breadth of adult *U. pumila* trees indicates a strong demand for a broad niche [46], and its random distribution indicates strong competition among *U. pumila* trees. *U. pumila* trees of the temperate savanna normally have a larger crown width (6–12 m) and deeper roots (10–20 m depth) than *U. pumila* trees in temperate forests (4–8 m crown width, 3–5 m root depth) [42]. In this study, the old *U. pumila* trees were randomly distributed at all scales. This indicates that *U. pumila* exhibits strong self-thinning due to the intense competition among individual trees [47]. In temperate forests, self-thinning normally occurs due to light competition [48,49]. However, in this study, light was not a limiting factor, as indicated by the large tree crowns. Thus, soil moisture can be deduced to be the key limiting factor that results in trees being randomly distributed far from each other through self-thinning [50]. This deduction can also be indirectly supported by the small amount and high variability of the annual precipitation in this area, which is typically 200–350 mm [37,38]. Drought has been increasing continuously in this area since 1960, and the most severe drought in recorded history in this area occurred during 1999–2011 [51]. In addition, *U. pumila* seedlings often suffer from severe water stress during dry summers that is caused by repeated cycles of drying in the upper soil layers [52]. Wang et al. [53] noted that more than 90% of the current-year seedlings died in fenced plots because of their vulnerability to drought. Therefore, light limitation is generally replaced by increasing water stress in drought-modulated ecosystems, such as semiarid forests and temperate savanna [54].

4.2. Spatial Interactions among Trees and Shrubs—Old *U. pumila* Trees Inhibit the Survival of Juvenile Trees and Shrubs

In this study, a negative relationship between old *U. pumila* trees and juvenile trees was found, and significant competition between most shrub species and old *U. pumila* trees was also found. From these findings, we can conclude that the environment around old *U. pumila* trees is not suitable for the survival of juvenile trees or shrubs [53,55]. This further demonstrates that seedling regeneration in the *U. pumila*-dominated savanna-like ecosystem depends strongly on medium trees rather than on old trees. This situation is likely due to the influence of grazing. Historically, the *U. pumila*-dominated savanna-like ecosystem of the Otindag Sand Land was an important pasture source, providing a large amount of forage for livestock [51,56]. Although precipitation and soil moisture have been the main limiting factors affecting population regeneration of woody plants in arid and semiarid areas [57], grazing has become an important factor affecting population regeneration; long-term continuous heavy grazing has resulted in the destruction of topsoil and degradation of the rangeland [58]. In this savanna-like ecosystem, the area under the tree crown is usually occupied by resting livestock taking shelter from the summer heat and the intense ultraviolet radiation. Thus, the soil under the crown may be destroyed and become hardened due to the animals' trampling and reclining, and a “bare soil circle” will sometimes form under these trees [59]. This is similar to the phenomenon of piospheres caused by grazing. It was found by some studies that the soil moisture and nutrients in the piospheres are significantly lower than those in other areas; this may be the main reason for the change of vegetation composition and pattern, such as reduction in the density and production of forage, changes in the species composition of forage vegetation, shrub encroachment, and so on [59]. Some studies focus on the “bare soil circle” formed under these trees in this savanna-like ecosystem and also prove that the soil moisture and nutrients on the “bare soil circle” are lower than those outside the crown of elm [60]. These factors are unfavorable to the survival of any individual plant, including juvenile trees, shrubs, and grasses. Therefore, the disturbance of livestock caused by overgrazing may be one of the main reasons for the negative relationships between old *U. pumila* and juvenile trees and shrubs in this region.
In contrast, medium trees exhibited a significant positive association with juveniles at smaller scales. This suggests that the environmental conditions, such as light availability and soil moisture, around medium trees are more favorable for juvenile trees than those around adult trees. Some studies also show that the soil nutrients (organic matter, total nitrogen, available phosphorus) and soil moisture under the crown of medium trees are significantly higher than those outside the crown, and there are better microenvironmental conditions (light, temperature, humidity, etc.) under the crown [60]. In addition, the disturbance of livestock to medium trees is less than that to old trees.

Different shrub species showed different spatial relationships with *U. pumila* in this study. There were positive relationships between juvenile trees and some shrub species, such as *C. microphylla*. This may be because the emergence of shrubs improves soil moisture and nutrient conditions [61,62], and *C. microphylla* surely can provide some fertilization of the area due to the symbiosis with Azotobacter spp. This demonstrates that some shrubs provide some fertilization to the area in which they grow, thereby providing a favorable environment for *U. pumila* seedling growth. These results also showed that there were mutualistic relationships between juvenile trees and the shrubs *S. gordejevii* and *S. aquilegifolia*; this might be because *S. aquilegifolia* and *C. microphylla*, as spiny shrubs, can provide shelter for elm seedling, such as holding back sand and reducing damage from livestock and wind, which would protect the juvenile *U. pumila* trees [63]. Our results were similar to those of Tölgyesi et al. [9], who also found that thorny shrubs were important for supporting the biodiversity of wooded rangelands as well as facilitating the regeneration of trees by acting as nurse species.

4.3. The Development of the Original Tree-Dominated Temperate Savanna-Like Ecosystem after Shrub Encroachment

*U. pumila*-dominated temperate savanna-like ecosystem, once widely distributed throughout the forest-steppe ecotone on the Mongolian Plateau, is a relatively stable woody-herbaceous complex ecosystem in Northern China. Unfortunately, by the late 1990s, only a few degraded relic stands remained as scattered and fragmented patches that showed apparent regeneration failure [64]. This degradation was mainly due to irrational human land use, such as overgrazing.

Many studies clearly showed the indicative significance of shrub encroachment, which mainly depends on different factors, such as the type of ecosystem, past management practices, the current level of biodiversity, climate change, etc. [13,14]. In this region, shrubs have occupied the grassland habitat. This encroachment has led to a decrease in grass coverage and a decline in pasture quality [51]. This change is likely to become more serious with the increase in grazing pressure and the impacts of global warming. These outcomes suggest that shrub encroachment is an indicator of savanna ecosystem degradation to some extent. On the other hand, in this study, shrub encroachment was shown to promote the survival and growth of juvenile trees to some extent, which is beneficial to the reestablishment of the *U. pumila* community. However, mutualism was not observed between most shrub species and medium *U. pumila* trees in this study. This lack of mutualism may have occurred because the seedlings under the shrubs had not yet developed into medium trees at the time of this study, because the seedlings were excluded by the shrubs before developing into medium trees, or because the older seedlings may have excluded the shrubs from the habitat. If the shrubs were excluded by the older seedlings, this suggests that the emergence of shrubs in this habitat is only a phase. Conversely, if the small trees were excluded, this would be catastrophic for the ecosystem, and it would be difficult for the ecosystem to return to its original state. To determine the exact causes of these phenomena, further experimental observation is greatly needed. Regardless, this study demonstrated that shrub encroachment in this area has resulted in changes in the composition and spatial pattern of the original tree-dominated savanna.
5. Conclusions

In conclusion, shrub encroachment was observed in a temperate savanna-like ecosystem in Northern China, possibly as a result of both grazing and climate change. Shrub encroachment in this area has resulted in changes in the composition and spatial pattern of the original tree-dominated savanna. Due to severe damage to the *U. pumila*-dominated temperate savanna-like ecosystem, especially for woody vegetation, ecological degradation has occurred, such as decreasing temperate savanna-like area, loss of structural integrity, poor population regeneration, and changing spatial patterns. New management techniques and climate change mitigation practices should be implemented to replace the older, traditional management techniques and to prevent the original tree-dominated temperate savanna-like ecosystem from changing into a shrub-dominated temperate savanna-like ecosystem. A more scientific and effective management regime should be developed to maintain the stability and sustainability of this typical tree-dominated temperate savanna-like ecosystem currently and in the near future.
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