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INTRODUCTION

From the beginning of civilization, trauma due to conflicts has been part of human evolution. Firearm injuries also increased with the development of powerful weapons and protective gears to deal with changing weapons systems. Patterns of firearm injuries changed with time. Firearm injuries are associated with substantial emotional, physical and financial burden on community and hospital resources.¹ This causes profound morbidity due to prolonged hospitalization, high cost of health care, loss of productivity and reduced quality of life and above all death.¹,²

Firearm injuries is a global public health problem and pose therapeutic challenges to surgeons all over the
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Therefore, study objective is to study the pattern and various parameters of firearm injuries in western Uttar Pradesh region elucidating the situation with regard to the extent and severity of the problem and comparing with the pattern seen in other regions.

METHODS

The study was conducted in UPUMS, Etawah as tertiary rural health care centre. All the injury cases brought to the emergency department of Hospital, from January 2016 to December 2016 were included in the study. The cases of road traffic accidents and poisoning were excluded.

All the cases were examined and various parameters were noted

Detailed history

- Victim's data - age, sex, address, education, occupation.
- Motive - suicidal, accidental, homicidal
- Suicidal- history of suicidal note, substance abuse, psychiatric disorders, previous suicidal attempts
- Homicidal- history of robbery, dacoit, interpersonal violence, caste feuds, terrorism, mob or police firing

- Accidental - injuries due to hunting, defective
- Firearms, unsafe handling (cleaning/repairing, unloading, firing in parties)
- Mode of travel, time of arrival and time taken to reach hospital
- Type of firearms used.

Examination

- General examination of victim
- Wound examination- number, site, size, number, margins, entry and exit wounds and associated injuries.

All these findings were noted. Type of weapon used was assessed by examining the wound in detail.

Procedure

- Procedure performed as emergency laparotomy under water seal drainage, wound debridement, POP application.

Outcome

- Duration of hospital stay
- Discharged /secondary infection or death.

RESULTS

A total of 129 injury cases reported to the emergency department. In this study 108 (83.7%) victims were males and 21 (16.3%) were female. Most common age group victimized was 20-29 year (33.3%) (Table 1). Most cases occurred in winter season November to January. In the present study, 113 cases (87.3%) victim were unemployed.81 cases (62.8%) had below intermediate education (Table 2).

83 cases (64.1%) reached to hospital within 6 hours.22 cases (17.6%) arrived within 6-12 hours. 109 cases (84.5%) were brought in by relatives, 6 cases (4.7%) by police and only 4 cases (3.1%) by ambulance (Table 2).

Table 1: Distribution of gunshot victims according to age.

| Age group/month | 0-9 M/F | 10-19 M/F | 20-29 M/F | 30-39 M/F | 40-49 M/F | 50-59 M/F | 60-69 M/F | >70 M/F | Total M/F |
|-----------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| January         |         | 1/2       | 6/0       | 4/0       | 1/1       | -         | 1/0       | 1/0     | 14/5      |
| February        |         | 2/0       | 3/0       | 1/0       | 2/0       | 0/1       | -         | -       | 8/1       |
| March           |         | -         | 1/1       | -         | -         | -         | -         | -       | 2/1       |
| April           |         | 1/0       | 3/0       | 0/1       | 1/0       | 1/0       | -         | -       | 6/1       |
| May             |         | 1/0       | 1/2       | -         | 6/0       | 3/1       | -         | -       | 11/3      |
| June            |         | -         | 4/0       | 5/0       | 4/0       | 1/0       | 3/0       | 0/1     | 17/1      |
| July            |         | 2/0       | 0/1       | 1/0       | 1/0       | 0/1       | -         | 4/2     | 10/1      |
| August          |         | 0/1       | 5/0       | 2/0       | 1/0       | 1/0       | 1/0       | -       | 10/1      |
On wound examination, the most common site for firearm injury was trunk involved 45 cases (34.8%) and lower extremity (32.6%) 42 cases, followed by upper extremity (21.7%) 28 cases, then head and neck (10.9%) 14 cases. (Table 3) Exit wound found in 93 (72.2%) cases only. The fatality rate was 3.9% (4 cases).

Table 4: Distribution according to mode of gunshot and type of weapon.

| Parameter               | No. | %   |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|
| Homicidal               | 110 | 85.3|
| Accidental              | 9   | 6.9 |
| Suicidal                | 4   | 4.7 |
| Insufficient information| 4   | 3.1 |
| Total                   | 129 | 100 |
| Shotgun                 | 109 | 84.5|
| Rifled                  | 18  | 13.6|
| Not certain             | 2   | 1.9 |
| Multiple entrance       | 38  | 29.2|
| Single entrance         | 91  | 70.79|
| No exit                 | 36  | 27.82|
| Exit                    | 93  | 72.18|

Table 5: Distribution of patients according to procedure done after injury.

| Procedure done     | No. of patient | Percentage |
|--------------------|----------------|------------|
| El                 | 30             | 23.3       |
| UWSD               | 10             | 7.7        |
| Craniotomy         | 2              | 1.6        |
| Pop                | 39             | 30.2       |
| Debridement        | 48             | 37.2       |

Table 6: Final outcome and duration of hospital stay of patients.

| Outcome                | Total GSI | Percentage |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Discharge              | 111       | 86.1       |
| Death                  | 5         | 3.9        |
| Secondary infection    | 13        | 10.1       |

In this study 110 (85.3%) cases were of homicidal motive, 6 cases (4.7%) cases of suicidal intent, 9 (6.9%) cases of accidental firing and in 4 (3.1%) cases, intent could not be ascertained due to unavailable history. Shotgun/‘kattas’ outnumbered the rifled firearm injuries (109) cases (84.5%). In 18 cases (13.6%) rifled firearm had been used and in 2 cases (1.9%) type of weapon could not be ascertained. (Table 3). Most of the cases of gunshot injuries 91 (70.8%) were of single entrance wound and 38 (29.2%) cases were of multiple entrance (Table 3). 93 cases (72.2%) had exit wound.

The maximum numbers of firearm injury cases were reported during night hours 12am-12pm a total of 97 cases (75.2%) (Table 3).
Soft tissue injuries and open fractures, and punctured wounds and lacerations were the most common type of gunshot wounds. Wound debridement was performed for 48 cases (37.2%) of patients, while emergency exploration was done for 30 cases (23.3%), under water seal drainage was done for 10 cases (7.7%) and plaster for 39 cases (30.2%) (Table 5).

The hospital stays, of 49 cases (37.9%) of patients was more than one week, while 46 cases (35.7%) stayed more than one week. 111 cases (86.1%) were discharged while 5 cases (3.9%) were not survived. 13 cases (10.1%) get secondary infection which required further intervention (Table 6).

### DISCUSSION

In the present study, Male outnumber females. Firearm injuries were found to be most common in the third decade of life. This observation can be explained by the fact that male youths are generally more adventurous and are more often involved in interpersonal violence and are indeed the principal perpetrators of civil conflicts and armed robbery similar to the findings of other studies (Table 7).

In Europe, gunshot injuries have been reduced by restrictive firearm legislation in the European Union in 1997. Since then, the rates of firearm suicides and homicides have decreased significantly. On the other hand, in USA, there has been a transition in US firearm injuries from an epidemic phase (mid-1980s to early 1990s) to an endemic one (since the mid-1990s). There has been substantial stability in firearm injuries and deaths during the last decade.

The prehospital care of trauma patient has been reported to be the most important factor in determining the ultimate outcome after the injury. None of our patients had pre-hospital care; as a result, the majority of them were brought in by relatives, and police who are not trained on how to take care these patients during transportation. Only 4 patients in this study were brought in by ambulance. This observation is common to many other developing countries. The lack of advanced prehospital care and ineffective ambulance system for transportation of patients to hospitals are a major challenge in providing care for trauma patients in our environment and have contributed significantly to poor outcome of these patients.

Most of the cases belong to rural areas due less strict law and order, lower literacy and high unemployment rates. In the present study, homicidal cases due to firearm injuries were 88.34%. This result was similar to other the Indian studies done by Kohli A et al 92.6%, Singh B P et al 70%, however is much higher than the US statistics. The incidence of homicidal injuries in our region is due to large usage of unlicensed, country made guns, which are cheap, easy to procure and destroy.

In the present study, suicidal cases caused by firearm were 4.9%, as seen in other Indian studies Kohli A et al, 6.5%, Singh BP et al, 3.0% in contrast to US statistics, suicidal cases due to firearm were 56.1%.

### Table 7: Comparison of other studies to present study.

| Parameters                  | Fbi US | Hussain | Kohli A | Singh B | Kumari | Present study |
|-----------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------------|
| Sex                         |        |         |         |         |        |               |
| Male                        | Na     | 85.2%   | 90.7%   | 78%     | 90%    | 83.7          |
| Female                      | Na     | 14.8%   | 9.3%    | 13%     | 10%    | 16.3          |
| Most common age             |        |         |         |         |        |               |
| Group/                      |        |         |         |         |        |               |
| 15-29                       | 40.8%  | 70%     | 92.6%   | 70%     | 88.3%  | 85.3          |
| 16-30                       | 56.1%  | -       | 6.5%    | 3.0%    | 3.4%   | 4.7           |
| 21-30                       | 2.4%   | 0.9%    | 19%     | 6.67%   | 6.9    |               |
| Mean age                    |        |         |         |         |        |               |
| (54%)                       | (42%)  | (46.7%) | 24 years| (71.8%) | 20-29   |               |
| Motive                      |        |         |         |         |        |               |
| Homicidal                   |        |         |         |         |        |               |
| 40.8%                       | 70%    | 92.6%   | 70%     | 88.3%   | 85.3   |               |
| Suicidal                    |        |         |         |         |        |               |
| 56.1%                       | -      | 6.5%    | 3.0%    | 3.4%    | 4.7    |               |
| 2.4%                        | 0.9%   | 19%     | 6.67%   | 6.9     |        |               |
| Rifled                      | 96.5%  | 82.1%   | 82.2%   | -       | 40%    | 13.6          |
| Shotgun                     | 5.1%   | 3.5%    | 17.8%   | 60%     | 84.5   |               |
| Can’t be ascertained        | 13.2   | -       | -       | -       | 1.9    |               |
| Single shot                 | Na     | Na      | 100%    | 80%     | 95%    | 70.8          |
| Double shot                 | Nil    | 8%      | 5%      | 29.2    |        |               |
| Multiple shot               | Nil    | 5%      | Nil     |         |        |               |
| Most common site of injury  | Head and neck | Head and neck | Chest | Chest | Abdomen | Trunk |
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study, a small proportion of people are committing suicide by firearm because people tend to use cheaper methods like hanging and poisoning. Most common firearm used in various studies was rifled firearm in US 82.1%, Kohli 82.2%, Hussain 96.5%, Singh 147. However in our study was shotgun was most commonly used in 84.5 cases, as indigenously manufactured in our region.

Most common site of injuries was abdomen in our study, while chest was most common site of injury in other studies. Hussain reported maximum case of head and neck injuries.10,14 Most of patients in this study sustained soft tissue injuries and open fractures, and punctured wounds and lacerations were the most common type of gunshot wounds. Similar injury patterns were also reported by other authors.2,15-18 A good knowledge of the nature and type of gunshot wounds allows the clinicians to understand the type gun used and this is of great importance for medico-legal purposes and surgical treatment.

The present study showed that hospital stay of 35.7 of patients was within one week, while 37.9% stayed more than one week is similar to study done by Abha, KSA, Softah et al and by Alassiri MA.19,20

CONCLUSION

Firearm injuries have become a major cause of morbidity and mortality among young males in our society where resources for prehospital and hospital trauma care are limited, and interpersonal violence are the major causes of these injuries.

High rates of unemployment, poverty and substance abuse have been reported to be responsible for increasing incidence of youth restiveness, armed robbery and associated gunshot injuries in our society. Addressing the root causes of violence such as poverty, unemployment and substance abuse will reduce the incidence of firearm injuries in our environment. Establishment of efficient emergency health care services for pre-hospital care and effective ambulance system for rapid transport of injured victims to hospital will reduce morbidity and mortality associated with these injuries. Surgeons with subspecialty in vascular surgery, orthopaedic and neurosurgery should work as a team. When these specialized facilities are not available at any centre then it challenging to a surgeon to manage all possible injuries associated with firearm. Management requires knowledge ATLS protocols, mode, presentation and ballistic of firearm.

In the present study, homicidal motive was common in firearm injury cases in western Uttar Pradesh region and Shotgun/kattas was the most common weapon used, as it is indigenously manufactured in this region. Country made firearms are cheap, easily available and easier to be destroyed. Strict laws may help reducing the production of country made firearms. Authorities issuing license for possession of firearms need to be more strict and vigilant. These steps may help in reducing the burden of firearm injury cases.
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