Surgical management of closed tendoachilles ruptures and evaluation of results
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Abstract

Aim and Objective: To study surgical management of closed ruptures of tendoachilles by different surgical techniques, their complications, and functional outcome after surgery.

Method: prospective data collection performed on patients aged 15–45 years who were treated for closed tendoachilles ruptures by various surgical techniques over a period of 2 years.

Results: According to Quigley’s scoring system 60% had excellent result, 25% had good results, 10% had fair results, 5% had poor results.

Conclusion: Ruptures were most common in patients with heel pain with trivial and blunt injury mostly degenerative tears. Most ruptures occurred in Zone I. Both Flexor hallucis longus and Peroneus brevis transfers yielded good to excellent results in chronic ruptures >4 weeks old, and with >3cm gap. End to End repair yielded good to excellent result with <4 weeks old and defects less than 3 cm.
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Introduction

The Achilles tendon is the strongest and thickest tendon in the body. Achilles tendon ruptures are most common tendon rupture in lower extremity account for nearly 40% of all operated tendon ruptures. Loss of Achilles function causes a significant loss in plantar flexion strength, which in turn can lead to an inability to run, stand on tip toes, play sports, and difficulty in climbing stairs.

Most of closed ruptures are degenerative ruptures, delay in presentation to the hospital. Surgical treatment often involves the need to restore continuity to tendon ends that may have retracted leading to irreducible gaps. Restoration of the physiologic tension of the gastrocnemius-soleus complex is the goal of surgical intervention.

Materials and methods

It is a Prospective study done during 2014 to 2016, the total number of 20 patients were seen from November 2014 to October 2016 with closed tendoachilles rupture and were taken for study and pattern of presentation and problems in management

Results

Age incidence

| Age     | No. of Cases | Percentage |
|---------|--------------|------------|
| 21 – 30 | 2            | 10.00%     |
| 31 – 40 | 1            | 5.00%      |
| 41 – 50 | 6            | 30.00%     |
| 51 – 60 | 11           | 55.00%     |
Sex incidence

| Sex          | No. of Cases | Percentage |
|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Male         | 15           | 75.00%     |
| Female       | 5            | 25.00%     |

Aetiology

| Cause                        | No. of Cases | Percentage |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Local steroid injection      | 6            | 30.00%     |
| Trauma trivial and blunt trauma | 14         | 70.00%     |

Site of Rupture

| Size of Rupture | No. of Cases | Percentage |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|
| Zone I          | 13           | 65.00%     |
| Zone II         | 7            | 35.00%     |
| Zone III        | 0            | 0.00%      |

Procedure Performed

| Procedure Done                     | No. of Cases | Percentage |
|------------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| End to end repair                  | 8            | 40.00%     |
| Peroneus brevis transfer           | 6            | 30.00%     |
| Flexor hallucis longus transfer    | 6            | 30.00%     |

Complications

| Complication          | No. of Cases | Percentage |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------|
| Superficial infection | 5            | 25.00%     |
| Scar hypertrophy      | 1            | 5.00%      |
| Deep infection        | 1            | 5.00%      |
| Scar dehiscence       | 1            | 5.00%      |

Quigley’s Scoring System

| Result    | No. of Cases | Percentage |
|-----------|--------------|------------|
| Excellent | 12           | 60.00%     |
| Good      | 5            | 25.00%     |
| Fair      | 2            | 10.00%     |
| Poor      | 1            | 5.00%      |

Discussion

Achilles tendon is one of the most frequently ruptured tendon and no other tendon suffers complete rupture more often [2, 3]. The incidence of spontaneous complete rupture of achillis tendon has risen steadily in last few decades due to increased sedentary life style and intermittent participation in recreational sports. The site of tendon rupture is usually 2 to 6 cm above the tendon insertion into the calcaneum, which is a relatively hypovascular area as shown by angiography studies of Lagergren and Lindholm [3]. The blood supply of the tendon is through mesotendon, maximally through anterior mesentry, which with age reduces. Age related changes in collagen cross-linking result in stiffness and loss of viscoelasticity predisposing to rupture. The practice of treating retrocalcaneal bursitis and tendoachillis tendinitis, using local steroid injection is quite common. Instead of injecting the drug in the bursa or around the tendon in mesotendon, the injection given directly in the substance of tendon, weakens collagen fibers and predisposes to rupture.

In our series majority of cases i.e. 17 (85%) were seen with in age group of 41-60. This is in correspondence with series by Juhana leppilahti, where there was maximum incidence of cases in the age group between 41-50 years. Number of males was 15 (75%) and females were 5 (25%).

Probably the cause may be increased manual labour in day to day life among male population and females were exposed to less hard work.

The most common cause that leads to tendoachilles rupture in our series was by trivial or no trauma in 14 (70%) patients, other 6 (30%) cases due to Local steroid injection. Local Steroid injection causes increased matrix degradation and inadequate matrix synthesis leading to structural degradation ending up in tendon rupture with trivial trauma. This is more so aggravated in tendon with associated peritendinitis and tendinosis (Paul Palntner and Kenneth Johnson, 1985).

In our series 8 cases were presented with in 4 weeks of trauma and 12 cases presented after 4 weeks. According to Inglis et al it was called early repair when presented with in 4 weeks and late repair when presented after 4 weeks. This delay in presentation was

1. Negligence of patients.
2. Attributing the injury to exacerbation of previous retrocalcaneal pain.
3. Inability to diagnose the condition by attending physician.
4. Minor disability experienced by patient which gets worsened in time.

Most patients complained of pain and disturbance in gait which was mainly due to inability to plantarflex during gait cycle. All most all the patients complained of weakness in the calf.

On clinical evaluation there were skin changes are observed in patients with steroid local injection. Thompson test was positive in almost all cases but it loses its efficacy after one week because plantar flexion may result from other plantar flexors or bridging fibrous tissue. There was difference in calf muscle measurement only after prolonged period due to relative inactivity of gastrosoleus. Weakness of plantar flexion and patient being unable to stand on tip toes was seen in almost all cases, due to absence of gastrosoleus action.

According to Lagergran and Lindholm [6] tendoachilles is divided in to 3 zones. They are Zone - I < 3 cm from calcaneal insertion. Zone - II 3 to 6 cm from calcaneal insertion. Zone - III > 6 cm from calcaneal insertion.

Most of the ruptures in our series occurred at Zone I i.e. 13 in (65%) patients though Zone II has least vascular supply. Of 20 cases of closed tendoachilles ruptures, we have done 8 cases of acute ruptures < 4weeks by end to end repair by krackow sutures, 6 cases of neglected ruptures by peroneus brevis transfer with Teuffer’s technique, 6 cases of neglected ruptures by flexor hallucis longus transfer by modified wapner technique.

Mendelbaum and colleagues [7] showed that those undergoing direct repair lost only 2.6% of their strength when undergoing isokinetic testing and that 92% of athletes were able to return to their respective sports at a similar level at 6 months postoperatively.

Cetti and colleagues [8] reported re-repair rates of 1.4% and 13.4% for surgical and conservative repair, respectively. In a meta-analysis by Kellam and coworkers [9], re-repair rates were found to be 1 % and 18% for surgical and conservative repair, respectively. Even more impressive is a study by Inglis and colleagues [10] who reported that none of the 44 patients receiving direct repair re-ruptured, whereas 9 of 24 patients treated nonsurgically did re-rupture.
Conclusion
The following conclusions are derived from present study.
1. Ruptures were most common in patients with heel pain with trivial and blunt injury mostly degenerative tears.
2. Most ruptures occurred in Zone I.
3. Both Flexor hallucis longus and Peroneus brevis transfers yielded good to excellent results in chronic ruptures >4 weeks old, and with >3cm gap.
4. End to End repair yielded good to excellent result with <4 weeks old and defects less than 3 cm.
5. Post operative evaluation is necessary in assessing final outcome including ultrasound and isokinetic and dynamometric studies.
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