Comparative studies on phenolic profiles, antioxidant capacities and carotenoid contents of red goji berry (*Lycium barbarum*) and black goji berry (*Lycium ruthenicum*)
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Abstract

**Background:** The study on phytochemical difference between red and black goji berry is limited.

**Methods:** Antioxidant activities and phenolic profiles in terms of total phenol content, total flavonoid contents, condensed tannin content, monomeric anthocyanin content, and total carotenoid content of red goji berry (*Lycium barbarum*) and black goji berry (*L. ruthenicum*) were compared using colorimetric assays.

**Results:** All goji berries were rich in phenolics. Black goji berry had the highest phenolic, condensed tannin content and monomeric anthocyanin content. Black goji berry samples possessed higher antioxidant capacities than red goji berry, while the red goji berry had the highest carotenoid content. Goji berries exhibited a positive linear correlation between phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacities. The average value of carotenoid content in red goji berry was 233.04 µg/g.

**Conclusion:** The phenolics and antioxidant capacities are much higher in black goji berry than red goji berry, while carotenoid content is much higher in red than black.
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Background

Natural products, in the form of pure compounds or extracts with antioxidant activity, may help the endogenous defense system of the body [1]. Antioxidants obtained through diet are taking on major significance as possible protector agents to diminish oxidative damage. As carcinogenic properties have been reported for some synthetic antioxidants, recent research on the potential applications of natural antioxidants from natural food products, for stabilizing foods against oxidation, have received much attention [2]. Antioxidant supplements or antioxidant containing foods may be used to help the human body to reduce oxidative damage or to protect food quality by preventing oxidative deterioration [3]. The antioxidants contained in foods, especially vegetables, are phenolic compounds (phenolic acids and flavonoids), carotenoids, tocopherol and ascorbic acid [3]. These compounds are important protective agents for human health [4]. Goji berry is a typical example that might be used as nutraceuticals or directly eaten in the diet to maintain good health [5].

Chinese traditional medicinal food goji berry is used for its anti-aging properties, tranquilizing and thirst quenching effects, as well as its ability to increase stamina. The benefits include preventing conditions such as diabetes, hyperlipidemia, cancer, hepatitis, immune disorders, thrombosis, and male infertility [6–8]. There are several clinical and experimental reports showing an anti-diabetic effect of *Lycium barbarum* as it is well-known in traditional Chinese herbal medicine for diabetes. *L. barbarum* reduced oxidation in patients with...
The presence of various functional components like polysaccharides, flavonoids and carotenoids in *L. barbarum* fruits is believed to be responsible for these effects [7, 10, 11]. A group of lipid-soluble compounds is carotenoids with color ranging from yellow to red, have been shown to be present in large quantity in fruits of *L. barbarum* [12]. Several physiological studies have focused on polysaccharides and carotenoids; however, flavonoids have been less investigated, especially for their antioxidant activity [13, 14]. *L. barbarum* fruit and polysaccharides from it possess a range of biological activities, including anti-aging, neuroprotection, increased metabolism, glucose control in diabetics, glaucoma, anti-oxidant properties, immunomodulation, anti-tumor activity and cytoprotection [13, 15, 16]; *Lycium rutenicum* fruit contains abundant anthocyanins and a highly branched arabinoxylan protein [17, 18]. Goji berries contain carotenoids (beta-carotene, lutein, lycopene, zeaxanthin, zeaxanthin dipalmitate), polysaccharides (comprising 30% of the pulp), vitamins (ascorbic acid glucopyranosyl ascorbic acid, and tocopherol), fatty acids, betaine, and peptidoglycans [19–22].

As compared to the red goji berry, the study on black goji berry (*L. ruthenicum*) is limited. It is necessary to compare the differences between red and black goji berry in terms of phytochemical and antioxidant capacities. The objectives of the present study aim at assessing the phenolic profile, antioxidant properties and carotenoid content of red goji berry (*L. barbarum*) and black goji berry (*L. rutenicum*) and provide scientific insight into the phenolic and antioxidant functions of both red and black goji berry to consumers and nutraceutical industry.

**Methods**

**Goji berry samples**

Dried fruits of goji berry (*L. barbarum* and *L. rutenicum*) belonging to the family of Solanaceae, were produced from Ningxia Autonomous Region and Qinghai Province, China. The sample information is listed in Table 1, and the morphological features based on place of origin of dried goji berry fruits are presented in Fig. 1.

**Chemicals and reagents**

2,2′-Azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS), Folin–Ciocalteu reagent, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), potassium persulfate (KSO₄), sodium carbonate, gallic acid, sodium hydroxide, sodium nitrite, sodium acetate, acetic acid, hydrogen chloride, 2,4,6-tri(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine (TPTZ), ferric chloride, ferrous sulfate, aluminum chloride hexahydrate, (+)-catechin, 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox), acetone, phosphate buffer saline (PBS), hydrogen chloride (HCl), potassium chloride (KCl), vanillin, methanol, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), potassium hydroxide, n-hexane was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (Shanghai, China). Absolute ethanol was from Tianjin Fuyu Fine Chemical Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). Other chemical reagents were supplied by Tianjin Damao Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). All chemicals were of analytical grade unless specially mentioned.

**Extraction of goji berry sample**

The goji berry sample extraction procedure was described by Xu and Chang [23]. Briefly, pestle and mortar were used to grind dried goji berry fruits, .5 g of dry ground goji berry samples (in triplicate) were extracted two times with 5 mL extraction solvent of acetone/water/acetic acid (70:29.5:0.5) each time. Extracts were shaken for 3 h at 300 rpm using an orbital shaker, then samples extracted were placed in the dark for 12 h. After 12 h the extract samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatants were stored at 4 °C in dark for determination of total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC), total condensed tannin content (CTC), monomeric anthocyanin content (MAC), and antioxidant activities.

---

**Table 1 Sample information of goji berry collected**

| Sample ID | Common name | Scientific name | Place of origin |
|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| R1        | Red goji berry | *Lycium barbarum* | LiuYing Village, Xinbao Town, Zhongning County, Zhongwei City, NingXia Hui Autonomous Prefecture |
| R2        | Red goji berry | *Lycium barbarum* | Xinxiaoxian in Xixia District, Yinchu City, NingXia Hui Autonomous Prefecture |
| R3        | Red goji berry | *Lycium barbarum* | Huangbin Village, Ningan Town, Zhongning County, Zhongwei City, NingXia Hui Autonomous Prefecture |
| R4        | Red goji berry | *Lycium barbarum* | Helan county, Yinchu City, NingXia Hui Autonomous Prefecture |
| B1        | Black goji berry | *Lycium ruthenicum* | The second battalion of Nuomuhong Farm from Qinghai Province |
| B2        | Black goji berry | *Lycium ruthenicum* | South gate No. 43, Xining City, Qinghai Province |
| B3        | Black goji berry | *Lycium ruthenicum* | Nuomuhong Farm, Qinghai Province |
| B4        | Black goji berry | *Lycium ruthenicum* | The first battalion of Nuomuhong Farm, Qinghai Province |
Determination of TPC
Total phenolic content was determined using a colorimetric method as described by Singleton et al. [24, 25]. The absorbance was measured by a UV–visible spectrophotometer (TU-1901) at 765 nm. The TPC was expressed as gallic acid equivalents (mg GAE/g sample) in accordance to standard calibration curve of gallic acid with linear range of 50–1000 µg/mL ($R^2 > .99$).

Determination of TFC
Total flavonoids content was determined using a colorimetric method as described by Heimler et al. [26]. The absorbance was measured by a UV–visible spectrophotometer (TU-1901) at 510 nm. The TFC was expressed as catechin equivalents (mg CAE/g sample) in accordance to standard calibration curve of catechin with linear range from 10 to 1000 µg/mL ($R^2 > .99$).

Determination of CTC
Condensed tannin content was determined using a colorimetric method as described by Broadhurst and Jones [27]. The absorbance was measured by a UV–visible spectrophotometer (TU-1901) at 500 nm. The CTC was expressed as catechin equivalents (mg CAE/g sample) in accordance to standard calibration curve of catechin with linear range of 50–1000 µg/mL ($R^2 > .99$).

Determination of MAC
Monomeric anthocyanin content monomeric anthocyanin content was based on a pH differential method described previously by Lee et al. [28] with no modifications. The MAC was calculated in the form of w/w % of total anthocyanin in the samples using the molecular weight for cyanidin-3-glucoside (449.2 g/mol) and its extinction coefficient (26,900 L cm/mol). MAC was expressed as cyanidin-3-glucoside equivalents because of its historical usage for similar assays and its wide commercial availability [28].

Determination of DPPH free radical scavenging capacity
DPPH was determined using a colorimetric method as described by Chen and Ho [29]. The absorbance was measured by a UV–visible spectrophotometer (TU-1901) at 517 nm using extraction solvent to replace the sample as blank. The DPPH was expressed as Trolox equivalents (µmol TE/g sample) according to standard calibration curve of Trolox with a linear range from 100 to 750 µM ($R^2 > .99$).

Determination of Ferric reducing antioxidant capacity
Ferric reducing antioxidant capacity (FRAP) was determined using a colorimetric method as described by Benzie and Strain [30]. The absorbance was measured by a UV–visible spectrophotometer (TU-1901) at 593 nm using extraction solvent to replace the sample as blank. The FRAP value was expressed as mmol of Fe$^{2+}$ equivalents per 100 g of sample (mmol Fe$^{2+}$/100 g sample) according to standard calibration curve of Fe$^{2+}$ with linear range from 50 to 1000 µM ($R^2 > .99$).

Determination of ABTS radical scavenging assay
ABTS was determined using a colorimetric method as described by Brown and Miller [31], and Re et al. [32].
The absorbance was measured by the UV–visible spectrophotometer (TU-1901) at 734 nm after 6 min reaction in spectrophotometer set at 30 °C, extraction solvent used as blank. The ABTS value was expressed as Trolox equivalents (µmol TE/g sample) in accordance to standard calibration curve of Trolox with linear range from 50 to 1000 µM ($R^2 > .99$).

**Determination of total carotenoid content (TCC)**

TCC was determined using a colorimetric method as described by Sanusi and Adebiyi [33], with slight modifications. Briefly, a .5 g goji berry sample in triplicates was extracted with 5 mL of ethanolic butylated hydroxyl toluene (ethanol/BHT−100:1, v/w) for isolation and the release of carotenoids. Then, it was mixed completely, and placed in a water bath at 85 °C for 5 min. After that, .5 mL of 80% KOH was added for saponification and properly vortexed before putting it back to 85 °C water bath for 10 min. The mixture was cooled down in an ice-water bath and was added to 3 mL of cold deionized water. Then n-hexane (3 mL) was mixed with the mixture before centrifugation at 7500 rpm for 5 min for the separation of two layers. The upper layer with yellow was transferred and collected. This procedure was repeated four times until the upper layers became colorless [34]. Therefore, a total of 12 mL of hexane was put into each centrifuge tube and the final volume of each tube was 3 mL mixed with the mixture before centrifugation. The absorbance value at 503 nm [35]. Finally, the total carotenoid concentration in the extract was calculated by following equation: $C_{\text{carotene}} = 4.642 \times A_{450} - 3.091 \times A_{503}$, where is C concentration of carotenoid expressed in µg/mL, $A_{450}$ = absorbance value at 450 nm, and $A_{503}$ = absorbance value at 503 nm [35]. Finally, the total carotenoid content in dry fruits was expressed in µg/g.

**Statistical analysis**

All of the assays were conducted in triplicate extracts and the results were expressed in means ± standard deviations on the basis of dry weight. The significant differences between mean values of samples were determined by analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) using LSD significant difference test at a significance level of $p \leq .05$.

**Results**

**Total phenolic content of goji berry**

The total phenolic contents (expressed in mg GAE/g) of 8 goji berry samples are presented in Table 2. Black goji berry samples B1, B3, B2 and B4 (9.01, 8.95, 8.08 and 7.26 mg GAE/g) had relatively higher total phenolic content, while the red goji berry samples R3, R2, R1, and R4 (2.17, 2.87, 3.12, 4.48 mg GAE/g) had relatively lower phenolic content.

**Total flavonoid content of goji berry**

The total flavonoid contents (expressed in mg CAE/g) of 8 goji berry samples are presented in Table 2. The relatively higher content of flavonoids was recorded in black goji berry samples B2, B3, B1 and B4 (12.32, 11.90, 10.37 and 9.77 mg CAE/g) while the least content of flavonoids was recorded in red goji berry samples R1, R3, R2 and R4 (2.67, 2.69, 2.78 and 3.16 mg CAE/g).

**Total condensed tannin content of goji berry**

The total condensed tannin contents (expressed in mg CAE/g) of 8 goji berry samples are presented in Table 2. The relatively higher content of condensed tannin was recorded in black goji berry samples B2, B3, B4 and B1 (23.51, 22.13, 20.49 and 17.36 mg CAE/g) while the least content of condensed tannin was recorded in red goji berry samples R1, R3, R2 and R4 (65.94, 60.52, 56.20 and 50.38 mg CAE/g).

**Total monomeric anthocyanin content of goji berry**

The total monomeric anthocyanin contents (expressed in mg CAE/g) of 8 goji berry samples are presented in Table 2. Black goji berry samples B2, B3, B4 and B1 (82.58, 82.41, 65.94 and 60.52 mg/g) had relatively higher total flavonic content, while the red goji berry samples R3, R2, R1, and R4 (.86, 1.06, 1.17 and 1.24 mg/g) had relatively lower monomeric anthocyanin content.

**FRAP radical scavenging activity of goji berry**

FRAP (expressed in mmol Fe$^{2+}$/E/100 g) of 8 goji berry samples is presented in Table 3. The relatively higher...
Table 3 Antioxidant capacities (DPPH, FRAP, ABTS) of goji berry

| Sample no. | FRAP (mmol of Fe\(^{2+}\)/E/100 g) | DPPH (µmol TE/g) | ABTS (µmol TE/g) |
|------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| R1         | 3473.79 ± 0.09de                  | 16.07 ± 0.35e   | 64.38 ± 0.58d   |
| R2         | 3303.13 ± 0.09de                  | 16.61 ± 0.09de  | 53.92 ± 0.58f   |
| R3         | 2639.03 ± 0.28e                   | 16.46 ± 0.09de  | 55.87 ± 0.08ef  |
| R4         | 4651.04 ± 0.13d                   | 17.47 ± 0.09c   | 62.40 ± 0.58de  |
| B1         | 28957.95 ± 0.13c                  | 35.86 ± 0.74a   | 150.51 ± 0.33c  |
| B2         | 33930.79 ± 1.00b                  | 35.68 ± 0.74a   | 180.03 ± 1.00a  |
| B3         | 36346.61 ± 1.00a                  | 33.30 ± 0.08b   | 167.59 ± 1.00b  |
| B4         | 27821.53 ± 0.13c                  | 32.29 ± 0.08b   | 147.00 ± 0.33c  |

Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). The data in the same column marked with different small case letters were significantly (p < .05) different.

FRAP ferric reducing anti-oxidant capacity, DPPH free radical scavenging capacity, ABTS radical scavenging assay

Table 4 Carotenoids (TCC) of goji berry

| Sample no. | TCC (µg/g) |
|------------|------------|
| R1         | 233.08 ± 1.00a |
| R2         | 212.24 ± 1.00c |
| R3         | 224.21 ± 0.61b |
| R4         | 222.63 ± 0.61b |
| B1         | 1.96 ± 0.62d  |
| B2         | 2.77 ± 0.62d  |
| B3         | 3.19 ± 0.62d  |
| B4         | 1.51 ± 0.62d  |

Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). The data in the same column marked with different small case letters were significantly (p < .05) different.

TCC total carotenoids content

Discussion

Phenolic compounds in goji berry

The highest TPC value was recorded as 9.01 mg GAE/g while the lowest TPC value was recorded as 2.17 mg GAE/g. The average value of 4 red goji berry samples rich in TPC was recorded as 8.33 mg GAE/g which was 2.6 times higher than the rest 4 red goji berry samples. Average TPC in these 4 red goji berried was recorded as 3.16 mg GAE/g, which differed significantly (p < .05) from red goji berry. This finding indicates that the goji berry species are a significant source of phenolics.

The highest content of flavonoids was recorded as 12.32 mg CAE/g, while the least flavonoids were recorded as 2.67 mg CAE/g. The average TFC value was recorded as 11.09 mg CAE/g from 4 black goji berry samples, which was 3.9 times higher than the 4 red goji berry samples, the average TFC value of 4 red goji berry samples was 2.83 mg CAE/g, which differed significantly (p < .05) from the 4 black goji berry samples.

The highest condensed tannin content was recorded as 23.51 mg CAE/g in black goji berry, while the least condensed tannin content was recorded as .86 mg CAE/g in red goji berry. The tannin content of black goji berry samples 20.87 mg CAE/g, was 19.3 times higher than the 4 red goji berry samples, the average TFC value of 4 red goji berry samples was 2.83 mg CAE/g, which differed significantly (p < .05) from the 4 black goji berry samples.

The highest condensed tannin content was recorded as 23.51 mg CAE/g in black goji berry, while the least condensed tannin content was recorded as .86 mg CAE/g in red goji berry. The tannin content of black goji berry samples 20.87 mg CAE/g, was 19.3 times higher than the 4 red goji berry samples, the average condensed tannin content of 4 red goji berry sample was 1.08 mg CAE/g, which differed significantly (p < .05) from the 4 black goji berry samples.

The highest condensed tannin content was recorded as .21 mg MAC/g from red goji berry. The average condensed tannin content was recorded as 72.86 mg MAC/g from 4 black goji berry samples, which
was 30.4 times higher than the 4 red goji berry samples, the average monomeric anthocyanin content of 4 red goji berry samples was .24 mg MAC/g, which differed significantly ($p < .05$) from the 4 black goji berry samples.

Antioxidant capacities of goji berry
The highest scavenging activity of goji berry extract was recorded as 35.86 µmol TE/g, while the least DPPH scavenging activity was recorded as 16.07 µmol TE/g. The average value of 4 black goji berry samples was 34.28 µmol TE/g, which was 2 times higher than 4 red goji berries. The average value of 4 red goji berries was 16.65 µmol TE/g.

Table 3 presents the reducing capability of 8 goji berry samples, the highest FRAP value was recorded as 36,346.61 mmol Fe$_{2+}$/100 g, and the lowest FRAP value was 2639.03 Fe$_{2+}$/100 g. The principle of FRAP assay states that, with reductant (antioxidants) at low pH, ferric tripyridyltriazine (Fe(III)-TPTZ) is reduced to ferrous tripyridyltriazine (Fe(II)-TPTZ) that has an intensive blue color and can be detected at a wavelength of 593 nm [23].

The highest ABTS radical scavenging activity was recorded as 180.03 µmol TE/g from black goji berry, while the lowest ABTS radical scavenging activity was recorded as 53.92 µmol TE/g. The average of 4 black goji berries was 161.28 µmol TE/g, while the lowest value was 59.14 µmol TE/g from 4 red goji berry samples. The ABTS radical scavenging activity is a more sensitive radical that is used for the estimation of antioxidant activity. The reduced ABTS radical is colorless in a color-quenching reaction [36].

Carotenoid content in goji berry
The total carotenoid contents (TCC) of goji berries are shown in Table 4. The highest carotenoid was 233.08 µg/g from red goji berry, while the lowest value was recorded as 1.51 µg/g from black goji berry. The average value of 4 red goji berries was 223.04 µg/g, while the average value of 4 black goji berries was 2.36 µg/g. The current results are similar as a previous study by Liu et al. [37], in which red goji berry was found to accumulate high levels (a maximum of 508.9 µg/g on fresh weight basis) of carotenoids, while the carotenoids were from 34.46 µg/g to undetectable in the black goji berry.

Correlation between antioxidant capacities and phenolic compounds
The correlation between antioxidant capacities and phenolics is shown in Table 5. The results of TPC, TFC, CTA, and MAC exhibited positive linear correlation at the level of .01 ($r = .5$). The results of FRAP, DPPH, and ABTS exhibited a positive linear correlation at the level of .01, where $r = .643$ for FRAP and DPPH, $r = .571$ for DPPH and ABTS, and $r = .786$ for FRAP and ABTS. The correlation between phenolics and antioxidant capacities of 8 goji berry samples exhibited a positive linear correlation at the level of .01, where $r = .857$ for TPC and FRAP, $r = .786$ for TPC and DPPH, $r = .863$ for TPC and ABTS, $r = .786$ for TFC and FRAP, $r = .875$ for TFC and DPPH, $r = .714$ for TFC and ABTS, $r = .857$ for MAC and FRAP, $r = .643$ for MAC and DPPH, $r = .786$ for MAC and ABTS, $r = .643$ for CTC and FRAP, $r = .429$ for CTC and DPPH, $r = .714$ for CTC and ABTS. Between carotenoid (TCC) and phenolics, carotenoid (TCC) and antioxidant capacities of 8 goji berries samples there is a negative correlation. The results dictate that phenolic compounds could be important contributors toward the antioxidant capacities of these goji berries. Phenolic compounds, such as flavonoids, phenolic acids, and condensed tannins, are usually considered to be major contributors to the antioxidant capacities of plants [38].

Conclusions
The 8 black and red goji samples have substantial antioxidant capacity and contain large amount of phenolic compounds. A significant correlation between the DPPH, FRAP and ABTS values suggested that antioxidant assays are reliable. The highly positive correlation between antioxidant capacity, phenolic, flavonoid, condensed tannin and anthocyanin content indicated that phenolic compounds could be the main contributors to the antioxidant activities of these goji berries. The black goji berries have relatively higher antioxidant capacities, total phenolic, flavonoid, condensed tannin and anthocyanin, and it could be an important dietary source of natural antioxidants for the
prevention of diseases caused by oxidative stress in human body. This study portrayed an in depth detail on the antioxidant functions of goji berry which is of significant importance to consumers, nutritionists and food researchers.
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Table 5 Correlation analysis among the antioxidant, phenolics and carotenoids

|       | TPC      | TFC      | FRAP     | DPPH     | ABTS     | MAC      | CTC      | TCC      |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| TPC   | 1.000    | .643a    | .857b    | .786b    | .643b    | .714a    | .500     | −.500    |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | −.026    | .003     | .006     | .026     | .013     | .083     | .083     |          |
| N     | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        |
| TFC   | .643a    | 1.000    | .786b    | .857b    | .714a    | .786b    | .571a    | −.571a   |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | −.026    | .006     | .003     | .013     | .006     | .003     | .026     | .048     |
| N     | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        |
| FRAP  | .857b    | .786b    | 1.000    | .643a    | .786b    | .643a    | −.357    |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .003     | .006     | .026     | .048     | .026     | .138     | .013     |          |
| N     | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        |
| DPPH  | .786b    | .857b    | .643a    | 1.000    | .571a    | .643a    | .429     | −.714a   |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .006     | .003     | .026     | .048     | .006     | .013     | .138     | .013     |
| N     | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        |
| ABTS  | .643a    | .714a    | .786b    | .571a    | 1.000    | .786b    | .500     |          |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .026     | .013     | .006     | .048     | .006     | .013     |           |          |
| N     | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        |
| MAC   | .714a    | .786b    | .857b    | .643a    | .786b    | 1.000    | .786b    | −.500    |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .013     | .006     | .003     | .026     | .006     | .013     | .013     | .083     |
| N     | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        |
| CTC   | .500     | .571a    | .643a    | .429     | .714a    | .786b    | 1.000    | −.429    |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .083     | .048     | .026     | .138     | .013     | .006     | −.138    |          |
| N     | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        |
| TCC   | −.500    | −.571a   | −.357    | −.714a   | −.286    | −.500    | −.429    | 1.000    |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .083     | .048     | .216     | .013     | .322     | .083     | .138     |          |
| N     | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        | 8        |

a Correlation is significant at the .05 level (2-tailed)
b Correlation is significant at the .01 level (2-tailed)
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