Performance analysis of GPS / Radar integrated navigation system
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Abstract. GPS is used in various navigation fields. However, visibility is lowered in such an environment as urban canyon, and navigation performance deteriorates due to the visibility, multipath effect. So, in order to improve navigation performance in this environment, methods of integration with other sensors have been studied. In this paper, we use radar to measure the range of the landmark with known position. An integration algorithm is designed using GPS pseudorange and radar measurement.

1 Introduction

The Global Positioning System (GPS) transmits Pseudorandom Noise (PRN) codes using Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA). The PRN code is a ranging code used to measure the pseudorange between the satellite and the receiver. The pseudorange is calculated by the position vector of the satellite and the receiver, and the clock offset. Therefore, to solve for four variables, the receiver must receive at least four satellite signals [1]. When a receiver is located in urban canyon, satellite signals may be blocked by buildings or trees. When the number of visible satellites is insufficient, the position of the receiver cannot be calculated as a general method. So we fix the altitude or receiver clock offset to reduce the number of variables we need to determine and get navigation solution. Alternatively, the position of the receiver is determined using sensors capable of providing navigation information such as an Inertial Navigation System (INS), dead-reckoning (DR), and altimeter [2-3]. In this paper, we use a radar to measure the range to a known landmark. Then, an integration algorithm is designed using GPS pseudorange and radar measurement. The simulation setup is as follows. We collect satellite signals using NI Universal Software Radio Peripheral (NI USRP). The radar signal is generated by randomly assuming the relative position of the detected landmark. Navigation performance will be shown as CEP and compared with navigation results using ublox.

2 Calculating Radar Target Position

The method of obtaining the azimuth angle and the elevation angle of the target is shown in Fig. 1. Elevation angle is the angle between xy-plane and radar.

![Fig. 1. Position recognition of three dimensional space target using radar](image1)

The method of calculating the azimuth angle of the target using two antennas is shown in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2. Generating azimuth angle of a target](image2)
The vector and matrix dimensions.

3 GPS/Radar Integration

The pseudorange measurement for calculating user position \((x_u, y_u, z_u)\) and clock offset \((t_u)\) is

\[
\rho_j = |s_j - u| + c t_u ,
\]

\(j\) is the satellite's index [1].

If we expand equation (2) by using satellite position, user position and clock offset, it is

\[
\rho_j = \sqrt{(x_j - x_u)^2 + (y_j - y_u)^2 + (z_j - z_u)^2} + c t_u .
\]

\(x_j, y_j, z_j\) is the jth satellite's position in three dimensions. Linearization of this equation results in

\[
\rho_j = \hat{\rho}_j - \frac{x_j - \hat{x}_u}{\hat{r}_j} \Delta x_u - \frac{y_j - \hat{y}_u}{\hat{r}_j} \Delta y_u - \frac{z_j - \hat{z}_u}{\hat{r}_j} \Delta z_u + c t_u .
\]

To simplify equation (4), we use the following equation:

\[
\Delta \rho = \hat{\rho}_j - \rho_j
\]

\(a_{sj}, a_{sy}, a_{sz}\) is defined in the same way as Eq. (6). Radar measurements are expressed as

\[
\Delta \rho_{\text{Radar}} = H_{\text{Radar}} \Delta x .
\]

We can use the least square method to navigate using equation (12).

4 Simulation

GPS signals were collected using NI USRP, and Radar transmit and receive signals were generated by Software. The radar was assumed to have a center frequency of 24 GHz and a bandwidth of 250 MHz. The landmark was set to one. Range and direction were defined as 58.2m, azimuth 314, elevation 26. Initially, navigation is performed using only four satellites. After 10 seconds, only 3 satellite signals were used and combined with Radar measurements. Navigation results are shown in Fig. 3. Navigation results using the measurements provided by the ublox receiver are shown in Fig. 4. This is the result of postprocessing using rtlibrary. Both navigation results use the Earth-Centered Earth-Fixed (ECEF) coordinate system.
The results of the two translations into the ENU coordinate system are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. When the visible satellite is not enough, the radar detects a landmark whose position is known and calculates a range. As a result, the receiver can navigate as if it received one more satellite signal. When radar is used as an assistant sensor, navigation performance deteriorates compared to postprocessing results using ublox receivers. However, since the CEP is 3.311 m, radar can be used as a navigation assistant sensor when the visible satellite is not enough.

Conclusions

In this paper, we have designed an algorithm that integrates GPS and radar. When there were three visible satellites, we navigated using radar measurements. The results showed a CEP available for navigation. As further work, we will use the Kalman filter instead of the least square method, and consider how to compensate for the error in feedback.
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