Hepatitis B Virus Infection and Associated Factors Among Adults in Southwest Ethiopia: Community-Based Cross-Sectional Study
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Purpose: Ethiopia is grouped with countries with no national strategy for surveillance of viral hepatitis. Hence, data on hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection in the general population are limited. The aim of this study was to estimate the prevalence and associated factors of HBV infection among adults in Southwest Ethiopia.

Materials and Methods: A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted in Southwest Ethiopia, from November 1, 2017–January 30, 2018. A total of 612 individuals were included in the study using a multistage sampling technique. A structured questionnaire was used to collect data and a whole blood sample was aseptically collected and tested for HBsAg using a commercially available rapid serological test kit. Bivariate and multivariate logistic regression were employed and odds ratio with 95% confidence interval was retrieved. P-value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results: Among 612 participants, half of them, 310 (50.7%), were in the age range of 25–34 years. The mean age of the respondents was 32.5 [SD ±7.5] years. Seroprevalence of HBsAg among adults was 55/612 (9.0%). Tattooing on gums (AOR=23.9, 95% CI (2.2–26.3)), tattooing on the body (AOR=6.8, 95% CI (1.1–43.1)), and contact with a jaundiced person (AOR=20.7, 95% CI (6.7–63.8)) were significantly associated with seroprevalence of HBsAg.

Conclusion: Hepatitis B virus infection in adults at the community level is highly endemic. Modifiable risk factors such as tattooing on gums, tattooing on body, and contact with a jaundiced person account for the high HBV infection. Hence, behavioral education and communication programs designed to reduce HBV infection need to address these modifiable factors.
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Introduction
Chronic viral hepatitis is mainly caused by hepatitis viruses B, C, and D in which the hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is a major public health problem. In 2015 and 2016, the global seroprevalence of the hepatitis B virus surface antigen (HBsAg) was 3.6%2 and 3.9%3 respectively with the highest endemicity in the region of Africa. It is the leading cause of liver disease and the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma increased in patients with chronic HBV infection.4 In sub-Saharan Africa, 26% of liver cancer is due to HBV and 19 (14–24) per 1000 deaths attributed due to it.5 The prevalence of chronic hepatitis B virus infection in sub-Saharan Africa ranges from 5% to 25%.6

Hepatitis B is transmitted both sexually and parenterally, most often by percutaneous or mucous membrane exposure to infectious body fluids. Percutaneous exposures leading to the transmission of HBV include needlestick injury, tattooing,
piercing, injection drug use with shared needles and sharp materials, transfusion of infected blood or blood products, and hemodialysis. Perinatal transmission is the major route of HBV transmission in highly endemic areas.\(^7,8\) Hepatitis B virus surface antigen is a protein on the surface of HBV. It is the hallmark of HBV infection and is the first serological marker to appear in acute HBV infection. It is the most frequently used marker to screen for the presence of HBV infection and persistence of HBsAg in the blood or serum for more than six months suggests chronic HBV infection.\(^9\)

By the year 2007, universal vaccination of children against HBV was introduced in Ethiopia. The vaccine is available throughout the expanded program on immunization. However, it is not available for the general population including pregnant women.\(^10\) The overall full immunization coverage at the national level was 38.3% and 32.7% in the Southern region of the country.\(^11\) In addition to universal vaccination of children, screening of pregnant women is included as a part of the prevention and control of HBV in the country.

Ethiopia is grouped among viral hepatitis endemic countries. A recent systematic review and Meta-analysis in Ethiopia showed the pooled prevalence of HBV is 7.4%.\(^12\) Community-based seroepidemiological surveys are few in Ethiopia and the available studies indicate the varied distribution of HBV infection across geographical regions of the country.\(^13\)–\(^15\) In addition, previous community-based studies were old and did not show the current burden of the virus.\(^13\),\(^14\) Knowledge on the prevalence of HBV infection in the general population is significant for health-care workers and policymakers since the risk of health-care workers to occupational exposure with infected body fluids depends upon the prevalence of HBV in the general population.\(^16\) However, there is a paucity of data on the prevalence of HBV infection in the general population. A single up-to-date community-based study conducted in the North-west region of Ethiopia showed that 3.1% of adults were positive with the hepatitis B virus surface antigen.\(^15\)

Ethiopia is grouped with countries with no national strategy for surveillance of viral hepatitis.\(^17\) Hence, data on HBV in the general population are limited. Most reports regarding HBV were from institutional-based studies and among the high-risk group of people.\(^18\)–\(^22\) Even though few community-based studies on seroepidemiology of HBV prevalence in Ethiopia have been previously done and indicated that hepatitis B is endemic in Ethiopia with regional variation,\(^15,23\) community-based studies are still limited. This study aimed to determine the seroprevalence of HBsAg among adults within the community and identify factors associated with hepatitis B virus infection in Southwest Ethiopia.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design and Setting**

A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted in Bench Maji Zone, Southwest Ethiopia, from November 1, 2017 to January 30, 2018. Bench-Maji is one of the Zones of the Ethiopian southern nations, nationalities, and peoples’ region with a total area of 19,965.90 sq. km and lies between 5.33–7.21 latitude and 34.88 to 36.14 longitudes with an elevation ranging to 2500 m above sea level. It is bordered on the south by the Ilemi Triangle, on the west by South Sudan, on the Northwest by the Gambella region, on the North by Sheka zone, on the Northeast by Keffa zone, and on the East by Debub Omo. According to the unpublished zonal report, the 2017 total projected population of the zone was 858,177. Nearly half (48.27%) of the population was in the age range of 15–59 years old.

This zone has a total of 11 districts; six of the districts (Deub Bench, Guraferda, Semen Bench, Shewa Bench, Sheko, and Mizan Aman town administration) are agrarian. Whereas, five of the districts (Bero, Maji, Meinit Goldia, Meinit Shasha, and Surma) are semi-pastoral districts. The study was ethically approved by the ethics committee of institute of research, community service, and support, Mizan Tepi University, and written informed consent was obtained from each study participants.

**Source and Study Population**

The source population was all adult populations age ≥ 18 years in the Bench-Maji zone in the SNNPR region. Adults with age ≥ 18 years from the selected households in the study area during the study period were the study population. Adults who were critically ill and unable to give responses were excluded.

**Sample Size Determination and Sampling Procedures**

A single population proportion formula, \(n = \frac{Z^2 \times p(1-p)}{d^2}\), was used to estimate the sample size. Where; \(n\) =sample size, \(Z\) = standard normal distribution corresponding to significance level at \(\alpha = 0.05\), \(p\) =prevalence of HBV from the previous study conducted at Addis Ababa and,
d= margin of error. The following assumptions were made while calculating the sample size; the margin of error \( d = 0.03, Z_{a/2} = 1.96 \), and the prevalence of HBV = 0.07.\(^{23}\) Since the sampling procedure involved a multistage sampling technique, we multiplied by the design effect of 2. Finally, by adding a 10% non-response rate, the final sample size was 612.

A multistage sampling technique was used to recruit study participants. First, the primary sampling units (districts) were stratified into agrarian and semi-pastoral districts. In this study area, there are six agrarian and five semi-pastoral districts. Among the six agrarian districts, two of them (Mizan-Aman and Shey-Bench) were selected using the random sampling method. Among the five semi-pastoral districts, two of them (Bero and Menit Goldia) were selected using the random sampling method.

Second, the secondary sampling unit (kebeles) in each selected district was stratified into urban and rural. Accordingly, five urban kebeles (which account more than 30% of urban kebeles) from Mizan-Aman, Shey Bench, Bero, and Menit Goldia were selected using the random sampling method. Similarly, 16 rural kebeles (account more than 30% of rural kebeles) from Mizan-Aman, Shey Bench, Bero, and Menit Goldia were selected.

After selecting kebeles, the tertiary sampling units (households) in each selected kebele that had adults (age ≥18 years old) were identified. A census was conducted to identify adults having age ≥18 years old. A sampling frame was made during the census. Then, the final sample size was proportionally allocated to each selected kebele using population proportion to size (PPS). Finally, study participants whose age is 18 years old and above were selected using a systematic sampling method. The sampling interval was calculated by dividing the total numbers of adults age ≥18 years in each selected kebele by the allocated sample size to each kebele. The overall sampling procedure is found in Figure S1. For households with more than one eligible individual in one household, only one person was selected using the lottery method. In a case where eligible respondents were not available at the time of the survey, revisit was made at least three times.

**Data Collection Tools and Procedure**

A structured questionnaire adapted from different peer-reviewed literature was used to collect socio-demographic information and data on risk factors for HBV infection among participants.\(^{23-25}\) A face to face interview using a verbal questionnaire was conducted by eight diploma holder laboratory technicians. The questionnaire was pre-tested among 5% of the sample size in a similar set up before the actual data collection period. The coherence and skipping pattern of the questionnaire were corrected after the pretest.

**Operational Definitions**

**Family Size**

The number of individuals in the household including the study participant.

**Sharing of Sharp Personal Items**

Sharing of sharp materials used by an individual that could have a relation with transmission of HBV such as needles, blade, scissors, and also toothbrush.

**Contact With a Jaundiced Person**

Direct or indirect contact with body fluids excreted from a jaundiced person. It can happen during care of a jaundiced person or sharing of personal items or sleep together.

**Unprotected Sexual Behavior**

Having sex with inconsistent or no use of condoms with any partner.

**Specimen Collection and Laboratory Procedure**

Upon completion of the questionnaire, capillary blood was collected through a skin puncture of the middle/ring finger by the sterile blood lancet. The collected whole blood sample was tested for serostatus of HBsAg using advanced quality™ one step HBsAg test (InTec Products Inc., USA). The kit’s performance ability is; sensitivity 100%, specificity 99.43%, and the predictive value of a positive test 98.57%. The test was done according to the manufacturer’s instructions and interpreted accordingly. First, all reagents and specimens were brought to room temperature. The test card was removed from the foil pouch and placed it on a clean dry space. Then, the test card for each specimen or control was identified. Three drops (100ul) of the specimen were dispensed into the sample well on the card and the test result was interpreted at 15 minutes.

**Data Quality Assurance**

The quality of socio-demographic data was assured by properly designed and pre-tested of the questionnaire. Furthermore, proper training of the data collectors about the data collection procedures was made. To get appropriate information from respondents, we selected data collectors who are fluent speakers of the respondents’ local
language. Furthermore, efforts were made to create a rapport with each participant through respectful approaching, using encouraging gestures and words during the data collection process.

The quality of the laboratory result was guaranteed by applying quality control measures during sample collection and laboratory procedures. A sufficient quantity of capillary blood (Three drops or 100 µl) was collected. Standard operational procedures recommended by the manufacturers of advanced quality™ one step HBsAg tests were strictly followed. The expiry date of test kits was checked. A positive control (containing 10 ng/mL of HBsAg) and negative control (containing 0 ng/mL of HBsAg) were employed to check the performance of test kits.

Data Processing and Analysis

The data were entered using EPI INFO version 7 and was exported to statistical packages for social science (SPSS) version 21.0 for data cleaning and analysis. The degree of association between independent variables and the dependent variable was assessed using crude and adjusted odds ratios with a 95% confidence interval. Those independent variables with a p-value < 0.25 at the bivariate analysis were included in the multivariate logistic regression model to control for potential confounders. The results were presented in the form of tables, figures, and summary statistics.

Results

Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Respondents

A total of 612 eligible adults comprised 276 (45.1%) males and 336 (54.9%) females participated in the study. The mean age of the participants was 32.5 years [SD ±7.5]. Almost half of the participants, 310 (50.7%) were in the age range of 25–34 years. The majority (71.4%) of the study participants were rural residents. Concerning the educational status, 261 (42.6%) of the study participants were not able to read and write [Table 1].

Prevalence of HBV Infection

The overall prevalence of HBV infection among adults in the community was 9.0% (95% CI: 5.03–12.96). Of the total HBV infected participants, 27 (49.1%) and 28 (50.9%) were males and females, respectively. The majority of HBV seropositive, 36 (65.5%) were rural dwellers. A high proportion of seropositive HBsAg cases were in the age group of 25–34 years, 27 (49.1%), and 35–34 years, 21 (38.2%) [Table 2].

Risk Factors of Hepatitis B Virus Infection

Based on bivariate logistic regression analysis, tattooing on gums (COR=4.1, 95% CI = (2.3–7.4)), tattooing on body (COR=7.9, 95% CI = (3.8–16.6)), body piercing (COR=8.4, 95% CI = (4.6–15.2)), contact with a jaundiced person (COR=13.6, 95% CI = (7.8–54.1)), history of hospitalization (COR=7.1, 95% CI = (2.9–17.0)), sharing sharp personal items (COR=4.0, 95% CI = (2.0–10.0)), history of circumcision (COR=3.2, 95% CI = (1.8–5.7)), and unprotected sexual

---

**Table 1 Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Respondents**

| Characteristics          | N  | %   |
|--------------------------|----|-----|
| Gender                   |    |     |
| Male                     | 276| 45.1|
| Female                   | 336| 54.9|
| Residence                |    |     |
| Urban                    | 175| 28.6|
| Rural                    | 437| 71.4|
| Age                      |    |     |
| 18–24                    | 85 | 13.9|
| 25–34                    | 310| 50.7|
| 35–44                    | 188| 30.7|
| >45                      | 29 | 4.7 |
| Marital status           |    |     |
| Married                  | 393| 64.2|
| Single                   | 102| 16.7|
| Separated                | 74 | 12.1|
| Divorced                 | 30 | 4.9 |
| Widowed                  | 13 | 2.1 |
| Religion                 |    |     |
| Protestant               | 273| 44.6|
| Orthodox                 | 248| 40.5|
| Muslim                   | 91 | 14.9|
| Educational status       |    |     |
| Unable to write and read | 261| 42.6|
| Able to read and write   | 87 | 14.2|
| Primary                  | 95 | 15.5|
| Secondary                | 136| 22.2|
| Certificate              | 13 | 2.1 |
| Diploma and above        | 20 | 3.3 |
| Occupational status      |    |     |
| Farmer                   | 179| 29.2|
| Housewife                | 293| 47.9|
| Merchant                 | 71 | 11.6|
| Student                  | 17 | 2.8 |
| Daily worker             | 20 | 3.3 |
| Gov’t employee           | 32 | 5.2 |
| Family size              |    |     |
| <2                       | 31 | 5.1 |
| 2–3                      | 30 | 4.9 |
| 4–5                      | 421| 67.3|
| >5                       | 139| 22.7|
**Table 2** Distribution of HBsAg Seroprevalence with Socio-Demographic Characteristics in Southwest Ethiopia

| Variables               | HBsAg Seroprevalence |               |                |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|
|                         | Negative N (%)        | Positive N (%)|
| Gender                  |                       |               |
| Male                    | 249 (44.7)            | 27 (49.1)     |
| Female                  | 308 (55.3)            | 28 (50.9)     |
| Residence               |                       |               |
| Urban                   | 156 (28.0)            | 19 (34.5)     |
| Rural                   | 401 (72.0)            | 36 (65.5)     |
| Age                     |                       |               |
| 18–24                   | 83 (14.9)             | 2 (3.6)       |
| 25–34                   | 283 (50.8)            | 27 (49.1)     |
| 35–44                   | 167 (30.0)            | 21 (38.2)     |
| ≥45                     | 24 (4.3)              | 5 (9.1)       |
| Marital status          |                       |               |
| Married                 | 373 (67.0)            | 20 (36.4)     |
| Single                  | 92 (16.5)             | 10 (18.2)     |
| Separated               | 71 (12.7)             | 3 (5.5)       |
| Divorced                | 11 (2.0)              | 19 (34.5)     |
| Widowed                 | 10 (1.8)              | 3 (5.5)       |
| Educational status      |                       |               |
| Unable to read and write| 231 (41.5)            | 30 (54.5)     |
| Able to read and write  | 70 (12.6)             | 17 (30.9)     |
| Primary                 | 90 (16.2)             | 5 (9.1)       |
| Secondary               | 133 (23.9)            | 3 (5.5)       |
| Certificate             | 13 (2.3)              | 0 (0.0)       |
| Diploma and above       | 20 (3.6)              | 0 (0.0)       |
| Occupational status     |                       |               |
| Farmer                  | 162 (29.1)            | 17 (30.9)     |
| Housewife               | 279 (50.1)            | 14 (25.5)     |
| Merchant                | 63 (11.3)             | 8 (14.5)      |
| Student                 | 15 (2.7)              | 2 (3.6)       |
| Daily worker            | 6 (1.1)               | 14 (25.5)     |
| Gov’t employee          | 32 (5.7)              | 0 (0.0)       |
| Family size             |                       |               |
| <2                      | 28 (5.0)              | 3 (5.5)       |
| 2–3                     | 18 (3.2)              | 12 (21.8)     |
| 4–5                     | 393 (70.6)            | 19 (34.5)     |
| >5                      | 118 (21.2)            | 21 (38.2)     |
| Total                   | 557                   | 55            |

behavior (inconsistent or no use of a condom) (COR=3.0, 95% CI = (1.5–5.7)) were the factors found to be significantly associated with the seroprevalence of HBsAg.

After adjusting for the possible confounders with multivariate logistic regression analysis, tattooing on gums (AOR=23.9, 95% CI = (2.2–26.3)), tattooing on body (AOR=6.8, 95% CI = (1.1–43.1)), and contact with a jaundiced person (AOR=20.7, 95% CI = (6.7–63.7)) were significantly associated [Table 3].

**Discussion**

Hepatitis B virus infection is highly endemic in the current study area, nearly one in ten adults (9.0%) were positive with HBsAg. The prevalence of HBsAg observed in the current study is generally categorized as high endemic according to the world health organization criteria (≥8.0%) and close to the subgroup meta-analysis of community-based studies in Ethiopia (8.0%). However, the significant heterogeneity among these community-based studies was reported. It is also consistent with the national HBsAg prevalence estimation in Uganda in 2007 (10.3%). Nevertheless, a recent community-based study in Northern Uganda found a relatively high prevalence of HBsAg (17.6%) which clearly shows regional variation within one country. A relatively high proportion of HBsAg positive adults in Northern Uganda may indicate early childhood exposure. This is most likely true because the majority of participants (23.9%) within the age range of 15–24 years old were positive with HBsAg in Northern Uganda.

On the contrary, the prevalence of HBsAg in the current study is higher than community-based study findings in other East-African countries including Madagascar (6.9%), Kenya (2.1%), and Northwest Ethiopia (3.1%). This variation could be due to differences in study population and exclusion criteria. For instance, the prevalence of HBsAg in the current study area was reported.

We assessed risk factors associated with HBsAg seropositivity to identify the subgroup of adult populations with the risk of hepatitis B infection. We found that the prevalence did not vary significantly according to socio-demographic variables including age, gender, marital status, educational status, and occupation. Studies also documented that those socio-demographic factors could not significantly influence the prevalence of HBsAg as far as other confounding variables such as traditional practices and risky sexual behaviors are controlled. For instance, Andriamandimby et al found that gender and age do not significantly vary between HBsAg...
| Variables                              | Seroprevalence of HBsAg | COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                                        | Negative N (%) | Positive N (%) | AOR (95% CI) |              |
| Tattooing of gums                      |                          |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 78 (78)                  | 22 (22)      | 4.1 (2.3–7.4)* | 23.9 (2.2–26.3)* |
| No                                     | 479 (93.6)               | 33 (6.4)     | 1.00*        |              |
| Tattooing on body                      |                          |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 218 (82.6)               | 46 (17.4)    | 7.9 (3.8–16.6)* | 6.8 (1.1–43.1)* |
| No                                     | 339 (97.4)               | 9 (2.6)      | 1.00*        |              |
| Body piercing                          |                          |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 96 (73.3)                | 35 (26.7)    | 8.4 (4.6–15.2)* | 5.2 (0.2–109.3) |
| No                                     | 461 (95.8)               | 20 (4.2)     | 1.00*        |              |
| Uvulectomy                             |                          |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 73 (76.8)                | 22 (23.2)    | 4.4 (0.4–7.9) | 4.3 (0.4–46.1) |
| No                                     | 484 (93.6)               | 33 (6.4)     | 1.00*        |              |
| Ever shaved at barbershop              |                          |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 173 (86.1)               | 28 (13.9)    | 2.3 (0.3–4.0) | 2.9 (0.1–61.4) |
| No                                     | 384 (93.4)               | 27 (6.6)     | 1.00*        |              |
| Contact with a jaundiced person        |                          |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 410.8)                  | 33 (89.2)    | 13.6 (7.8–54.1)* | 20.7 (6.7–63.7)* |
| No                                     | 553 (96.2)               | 22 (3.8)     | 1.00*        |              |
| History of circumcision                |                          |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 188 (84.7)               | 34 (15.3)    | 3.2 (1.8–5.7)* | 1.5 (0.05–38.9) |
| No                                     | 369 (94.6)               | 21 (5.4)     | 1.00*        |              |
| Dental extraction at home              |                          |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 6 (13)                   | 40 (87)      | 1.00*        | 1.00*        |
| No                                     | 551 (97.3)               | 15 (2.7)     | 2.0 (1.0–4.0) | 2.0 (1.0–4.1) |
| History of hospitalization             |                          |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 15 (62.5)                | 9 (37.5)     | 7.1 (2.9–17.0)* | 1.1 (0.1–10.8) |
| No                                     | 542 (92.2)               | 46 (7.8)     | 1.00*        |              |
| Dental extraction at health institutions|                          |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 10 (71.4)                | 4 (28.6)     | 1.00*        | 1.00*        |
| No                                     | 547 (91.5)               | 51 (8.5)     | 1.2 (1.1–3.8) | 3.2 (0.1–160.8) |
| Catheterization                        |                          |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 4 (30.8)                 | 9 (69.2)     | 1.00*        | 1.00*        |
| No                                     | 553 (62.3)               | 46 (7.7)     | 1.0 (1.0–3.1) | 0.3 (0.01–6.5) |
| Alcohol drinking or drugs/substances utilization | |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 293 (88.8)               | 37 (11.2)    | 1.8 (0.01–3.3) | 6.48 (0.1–38.6) |
| No                                     | 264 (93.6)               | 18 (6.4)     | 1.00*        |              |
| Unprotected sexual behavior (inconsistent or no use of a condom) | |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 305 (87.6)               | 43 (12.4)    | 3.0 (1.5–5.8)* | 0.1 (0.01–1.3) |
| No                                     | 252 (95.5)               | 12 (4.5)     | 1.00*        |              |
| Ever smoke cigarette                   |                          |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 8 (26.7)                 | 22 (73.3)    | 1.00*        | 1.00*        |
| No                                     | 549 (64.3)               | 33 (5.7)     | 1.0 (0.05–13.0) | 0.1 (0.01–1.8) |

(Continued)
positive and negative individuals in Madagascar.\textsuperscript{29} Similarly, no significant difference was observed between age, gender, resident, marital status, and HBsAg status in Northern Ethiopia.\textsuperscript{15}

After controlling potential confounders, tattooing on gum and tattooing on the body were significantly and positively associated with HBV infection which is in line with study findings from Ethiopia.\textsuperscript{32,33} This indicates that the sharing of unsafe materials for traditional practices still contributes to the transmission of HBV in rural Ethiopia. It has been documented that community awareness of the transmission of hepatitis B is low in Ethiopia.\textsuperscript{34} In this study setup, body tattooing, piercing of ear, and lips are common traditional practices and the communities maintain them as their cultural identity. Hepatitis B virus can survive and retain its infectivity for more than a week on the surfaces of non-sterile materials. So, the sharing of non-sterile materials during traditional practices might contribute to the transmission of HBV in this study setup.

In addition to traditional practices, contact with a jaundiced person was found to be an independent risk factor. This finding is consistent with the study conducted in Northwest Ethiopia which showed that study subjects who had a previous history of contact were five times more likely to have HBV infection compared to those who have not.\textsuperscript{35} In addition, a recent study in Kenya reported 50.6% prevalence of HBsAg among patients presenting with jaundice.\textsuperscript{36} The prevalence of HBV infection among patients with jaundice is high and the presence of close contact with patients presenting with jaundice increases the chance of HBV transmission.

To prevent future hepatitis B virus infection in this study area, universal vaccination of children should be promoted and the hepatitis B birth dose vaccine needs to be implemented in the country. However, HBV vaccination alone is insufficient to reduce HBV related deaths because adults who may establish chronic infection before the introduction of the hepatitis vaccine will continue to carry a high risk of dying from hepatitis associated liver diseases. Thus, alternative solutions proposed by a recent modeling study like population screening and treatment of HBV may reduce the transmission of HBV.\textsuperscript{37} In addition to vaccination, other HBV prevention and control measures have to be promoted to reduce the transmission of hepatitis B like; safe sexual practice, avoid direct contact with blood and body fluids, avoid sharing sharp items such as razors, nail clippers, toothbrushes, and earrings or body rings, and use sterile needles for ear or body piercing, and tattoos.

### Strengths and Limitations

One of the strengths of the current study is the study populations were representatives of the wider population in the Bench-Maji zone covering all rural and urban adults as well as semi-pastoralists. Furthermore, to ensure the validity of our findings, we strictly followed quality control methods for both the collection of socio-demographic and laboratory-based data. However, this study has some limitations. First, occult HBV infections were not identified since polymerase chain reaction test was not carried out in this study. Second, the small number of persons with HBsAg in each row by column table may create concerns about wider confidence interval around the HBsAg estimates that were stratified by demographic and behavioral characteristics. Third, there may be a possibility of exposure misclassification bias related to willingness to disclose risky behaviors that may be stigmatized such as alcohol drinking and circumcision. However, we believe that the exposure misclassification is non-differential.

Fourth, selection bias is expected as males tend to work outside the home and may not be available at the time of data collection. However, our findings indicate that the number of male and female participates is nearly equivalent. Thus, the introduction of selection bias is minimal. Finally, we only studied people aged 18 years or above and did not assess the prevalence in children. Therefore,

---

**Table 3 (Continued).**

| Variables                     | Seroprevalence of HBsAg | COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                               | Negative N (%)          | Positive N (%)|              |
| Sharing of sharp personal items |             |              |              |
| Yes                           | 9 (26.5)                | 25 (73.5)    | 4.0 (2.0–10.0)* | 1.00* |
| No                            | 548 (94.8)              | 30 (5.2)     | 1.0 (0.04–2.5) | 1.00* |

Notes: *Significant, Reference category.

Abbreviations: COR, crude odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
the interpretation of results from this study and future studies need to consider these limitations. Despite these limitations, we believe that the use of population-based data provides valuable information for determining the regional burden of infection in countries where surveillance for these diseases is limited.

Conclusions
The current study showed that the burden of HBV infection in adults at the community level is highly endemic. Modifiable risk factors such as tattooing on gums, tattooing on the body, and contact with a jaundiced person account for the high prevalence of HBV infection in the study area. Behavioral education and communication need to address these modifiable risk factors to reduce HBV infection and transmission. In addition, population-based screening and treatment of HBV at national level recommended.
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