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Design and Study of an mmWave Wearable Textile Based Compact Antenna for Healthcare Applications
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In this study, the design of a compact and novel millimeter wave cotton textile-based wearable antenna for body-centric communications in healthcare applications is presented. The free space and on-body antenna performance parameters for the proposed antenna at 60GHz are investigated and analyzed. The antenna is based on a 1.5 mm thick cotton substrate and has an overall dimension of 7.0 \times 4.5 \times 1.5 mm\(^3\). In free space, the antenna is resonant at 60 GHz and achieves a wide impedance bandwidth. The maximum gain at this resonant frequency is 6.74 dBi, and the radiation efficiency is 93.30%. Parametric changes were carried out to study the changes in the resonant frequency, gain, and radiation efficiency. For body-centric communications, the antenna was simulated at 5 different distances from a three-layer human torso-equivalent phantom. The radiation efficiency dropped by 24% and gradually increased with the gap distance. The antenna design was also analyzed by using 10 different textile substrates for both free space and on-body scenarios. The major benefits of the antenna are discussed as follows. Compared to a previous work, the antenna is very efficient, compact, and has a wide bandwidth. In BCWCs for e-health applications, the antenna needs to be very compact due to the longer battery life, and it has to have a wide bandwidth for high data rate communication. Since the antenna will be wearable with a sensor system, the shape of the antenna needs to be planar, and it is better to design the antenna on a textile substrate for integration into clothes. The antenna also needs to show high gain and efficiency for power-efficient communication. This proposed antenna meets all these criteria, and hence, it will be a good candidate for BCWCs in e-health applications.

1. Introduction

The advancement of wireless technology has led to an increased use of body-centric wireless communication over the years. Wireless body area networks (WBANs) are networks of wireless devices that work in the vicinity of the human body. These devices or sensors can be located around the body or implanted inside. WBANs have been widely used in many areas of life, which include healthcare, entertainment, identification systems, sports, and military. Miniaturization of hardware and embedded systems enabled the development of wearable devices. Brands such as Apple, Nike, and Adidas are providing smart watches and clothes that are being used for tracking and collecting vital biomedical data. Electronic health (e-health) is a new and attractive research area. With the help of ICT (information...
communication technology), innovative technologies are becoming a blessing for us. E-health is a technology that establishes a bridge between remote patients and specialized city doctors. Nowadays, patients with chronic diseases are on the rise. Many people in the whole world are suffering from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), hypertension, asthma, diabetes, heart disease, and other chronic diseases. Continuous monitoring and treatment planning of these patients is very essential. E-health will play a vital role in the continuous monitoring of the vital physiological parameters (electrocardiogram (ECG), oxygen saturation (SpO2), pulse rate, temperature, blood glucose level, blood pressure, electrocardiogram (EEG), and others) of chronic patients. In this case, for body-centric communications in e-health application scenarios, many sensors integrated with antennas and systems will be around the human body to measure the vital physiological signs of the human body, and this measured data will be sent to remote doctors or hospitals or healthcare caregivers or healthcare service providers for continuous patient monitoring and treatment planning [1–10]. A tiny and efficient wearable antenna plays a vital role in body-centric communications in e-health applications. The antenna establishes communication between the on-body sensor systems and the body-worn base unit. The antenna also establishes communication from on-body devices to off-body units. Antennas designed for wearable devices need to be lightweight, flexible, and small. Textile antennas are suitable for wearable applications as they can be directly integrated into clothes, shoes, and watch straps. These antennas can be fabricated from commercially available textiles such as cotton, denim, and polyester, which offer a fairly large surface area and are perfect for use as the antenna substrate [7, 9–11]. The radiating elements and the ground plane can be designed from a special type of conducting fabric known as electrotextile. Electrotextiles provide flexibility and robustness and can withstand extreme conditions such as washing and high temperatures. The different manufacturing processes of electrotextiles have been reviewed in detail in [5, 8, 11].

Antennas designed for body-centric applications are subject to various lossy environments, and performance degradation is expected. Textile antennas are porous and can hold water, which leads to a change in density and thus electrical properties. In addition, rough surfaces, different manufacturing methods, bending, and crumpling conditions can further affect the overall performance of the antenna [7]. The human body can absorb radiated power, and antennas close to it will experience radiation pattern distortion, a shift in resonant frequency, and input impedance changes [1, 6, 12–14]. A dual-band textile antenna for the industrial, scientific, and medical (ISM) band has been studied [6]. The antenna is based on a denim substrate, and under human body conditions, the resonant frequencies slightly shift to the left. An ultrawideband (UWB) wearable antenna based on a denim substrate has been proposed [7]. A wearable textile antenna for microwave imaging has been proposed [14]. The antenna integrates copper taffeta, an electrotextile, onto a 0.5 mm thick polyester fabric. The antenna was placed on a human phantom, which replicates the dielectric properties of tissue containing skin, fat, and muscle. The antenna was studied by maintaining a certain amount of air in a gap between the antenna and the phantom. A similar analysis has been done in [15] for an antenna based on a denim substrate. In [8], a UWB wearable antenna based on flannel fabric has been studied for wet and bending conditions.

Current wireless communication systems are mainly based on the 2.45 GHz band or the UWB spectrum. With an increased number of wireless networks, these systems are getting more congested. Future systems will be based on much higher frequency bands in the millimeter wave (mmWave) range. The 60 GHz band is an unlicensed mmWave band with an available bandwidth ranging from 7 to 9 GHz around the world. For short-range high data rate transmission, the 60 GHz band provides the perfect solution as its UWB counterparts can only provide speeds of less than 1 Gbps at distances of up to 10 m. The data rate can reach speeds of up to 7 Gbps. The path loss at 60 GHz is quite high, and the wave propagation is further attenuated by atmospheric absorption. At 60 GHz, the waves are resonant with oxygen molecules, which results in high attenuation. This feature has been observed as an advantage as communication in this band cannot be interfered with by adjacent WBANs. This makes the 60 GHz band very secure, and until recently, it has been primarily used in noncommercial applications such as military and satellite communications. The shadowing effect can cause difficulties for nonline of sight (NLOS) communication, and so, only line of sight (LOS) channels are considered. By taking several factors into account, such as distance, power constraint, bandwidth, high attenuation, and link budget analysis, it has been shown that antennas designed for this band require high gain. Typically, 9 dBi is considered a medium-gain antenna. A 17.92 dBi gain, E-shaped array antenna has been investigated for the 60 GHz band [16]. In [4], two high-gain antennas, a printed Yagi array, and a substrate integrated waveguide (SIW) Yagi have been proposed for body-centric communications. The printed Yagi achieved a gain of 17 dB and a 6 GHz impedance bandwidth, whereas the SIW Yagi achieved a gain of 15 dB with an impedance bandwidth of just over 1 GHz.

At 60 GHz, the human body is electrically very large. As a result, body sections can be approximated by regular geometrical shapes. For example, a torso can be modeled by a flat rectangular phantom or a section of a hand can be modeled by a multilayer cylinder [2, 13]. In [17, 18], a three-layer torso-equivalent phantom was created for investigating different design variations of a novel 60 GHz antenna. These antennas maintained good radiation efficiency and gain in both free space and body-centric scenarios. The human skin absorbs 90% of the energy at 60 GHz, and the average penetration depth is only 0.5 mm [1–3]. As a result, the majority of reported body-centric 60 GHz antennas are evaluated on a skin-equivalent phantom rather than a three-layer model [2, 3, 12]. Textile antennas designed for the 60 GHz band are rare, and only a handful of such antennas have been reported in the known literature. The effect of cotton and felt textiles on propagation along the body at 60 GHz is presented in [19]. These textiles were placed on a
flat skin model for evaluation. Similar analysis has been carried out using electrotextiles [20] for potential use in the
60 GHz band. A four element microstrip patch antenna array attached to a piece of cotton fabric is studied in terms of
reflection coefficient, radiation pattern, and efficiency [9]. The antenna is fed by a 15.2 mm long microstrip line, and in
free space, the antenna is well matched in the 57–64 range. The antenna has a maximum gain of 8.6 dBi with an effi-
ciency of 41%. The antenna was simulated on a 2 × 100 × 100 mm$^3$ skin-equivalent phantom. The end-fire
radiation pattern achieved by the antenna is suitable for on-
body applications. The antenna’s return loss and gain largely
remained stable under on-body measurements. To improve
the gain and efficiency, it was suggested that the feed line be
shortened. A similar substrate has been used in [10] for
designing a Yagi-Uda antenna for wearable applications. These antennas were investigated for body-centric com-
computations on a skin-equivalent phantom. The antenna
was mounted on a 10 × 100 × 100 mm$^3$ skin-equivalent
phantom. A compact, novel-shaped textile antenna based on
a polyester substrate has been proposed in [21]. The antenna
was also simulated using 10 different textile substrates in free
space and body-centric setups. In free space, the antenna was
89.4% efficient and achieved an impedance bandwidth of
11.6 GHz. A printed Q-slot millimeter wave 60 GHz antenna
is proposed for BCWCs [22]. The antenna was printed on an
FR-4 substrate, and it shows wide bandwidth and has a radia-
tion efficiency of 82.15%.

The objective of this research is to design a tiny and novel
mmWave cotton textile-based antenna for body-centric
communications in healthcare applications. The major
contributions of this research are that we present a design for
a very compact, novel 60 GHz microstrip patch antenna
based on a cotton substrate for BCWCs for healthcare ap-
lications. The antenna has a very wide bandwidth, and it
has good gain and very high efficiency. Similar to the work
presented in [17, 18, 21], this antenna design will be sim-
ulated in free space and on a three-layer torso phantom
using CST Microwave Studio. The antenna will also be
simulated using 10 different textile substrates as presented in
[21]. The antenna is found to be the lowest-sized textile-
based mmWave antenna that works at 60 GHz with very
wide bandwidth and high efficiency. Hence, this antenna is
a novel design at 60 GHz for body-centric wireless commu-
ications in healthcare applications.

This study consists of seven different sections. The an-
tenna design is presented in Section 2. The free space
simulation results along with parametric studies are given in
Section 3. In Section 4, body-centric simulations are pre-

tened. Various textile substrate simulation results are
presented in Section 5. The proposed design is compared to
other reported designs and is detailed in Section 6. In the last
section, a conclusion is drawn.

2. Antenna Design

WBAN antennas need to operate in any of the following
three available modes: in-body, on-body, and off-body. The
first mode is used for implanted devices that need to
communicate with other nodes outside the human body. The
implanted devices could be medical sensors for collecting
vital information from inside the body. On-body devices are
placed just outside the body and are connected to a network.
Off-body communication is when an on-body device
wirelessly communicates with another device away from the
human body. Antennas should be designed to minimize the

effects on the human body. Each antenna type, such as
planar inverted F antenna (PIFA), patch, and dipole, shows
different radiation patterns. For on-body propagation, an
end-fire radiation pattern is required for on-body propa-
gation, and for off-body propagation, broadside radiation is
required [8]. Yagi-Uda antennas provide a radiation pattern
useful for on-body propagation. Microstrip patch antennas
are small and compact in size, lightweight, and have a planar
structure that is suitable for wearable devices. Additionally,
low cost and ease of fabrication make patch antennas an
attractive prospect. Patch antennas produce broadside ra-
diation, which is desirable for off-body communication.

The proposed textile patch antenna design consists of
three planar structures. The main radiating patch has a novel
shape made by combining a semiellipse and a parallelogram.
These shapes are highlighted in Figure 1(a). The semiellipse
has a height of 1.35 mm and a radius of 0.95 mm. The de-
tailed dimensions of the parallelogram (the top portion of
the semiellipse) are shown in Figure 2, and the values are
given in Table 1. The antenna is fed by a 2.98 mm long,
0.65 mm wide microstrip feed line, which is attached to the
semiellipse. The radiating structure is placed on top of a
1.5 mm thick cotton substrate with a relative permittivity of
1.63. Patch antennas usually provide low gain and narrow
bandwidth. The wavelength of this antenna at 60 GHz is
5 mm. Textile substrates such as cotton have low relative
permittivity, which lowers the surface wave losses and, in
turn, increases the spatial waves and thus the impedance
bandwidth [5, 16]. The ground plane, which is attached to
the bottom of the substrate, has slots that cover the radiating
patch on the opposite side for matching and improving the
bandwidth. Figure 1(b) shows the shapes of the slots that
have been removed from the ground plane. Slicing the
portion of the ground neutralizes the inductive load of the
patch to produce a purely resistive load, which improves the
impedance bandwidth [8]. The presence of a ground plane
can minimize back radiation to the human body [12]. An
absence in the ground plane will increase exposure and local
temperature and can also shift the resonant frequency for
body-centric communications [2].

Electrotextiles are typically used in microwaves for ra-
diating elements and in the ground plane to achieve high
flexibility. At millimeter waves, fabricating antennas using
electrotextiles are very complicated due to the size and
geometrical dimensions of mmWave antennas. Inaccuracies
in the cutting process will affect the performance of the
antenna. Thus, a perfect electric conductor (PEC) of a
thickness of 0.035 mm has been used for the radiating el-
ements and the ground plane. The overall dimension of the
antenna is 7.0 × 4.5 × 1.5 mm$^3$. Table 2 provides all the
different materials used in designing the antenna. At the fre-
cquency of operation of 60 GHz, the electrical size of the
antenna over the overall substrate length, width, and height is $0.714\lambda$, $1.25\lambda$, and $3.33\lambda$, respectively. Figures 1(a)–1(g) show the different views of the antenna. The different parts and the detailed dimensions of the antenna are given in Table 1 and shown in Figures 2(a)–2(d). The electrical size of the antenna at the centre frequency of 60 GHz in terms of length and width of the radiating element of the antenna is 1.90 and 1.47.

3. Free Space Simulation Results

Free space simulations were run from 40 to 80 GHz, and the results indicate that the antenna is well matched in this frequency range. The antenna is resonant at 60 GHz with a return loss magnitude of $-21.2$ dB and has a $-10$ dB impedance bandwidth of more than 40 GHz (Figure 3(a)). The voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR) is less than the desired...
value of 2 over the whole simulated frequency range, and at the resonant frequency, it is less than 1.2 (Figure 3(b)). The antenna’s 60 GHz radiation efficiency is around 93%, with a maximum gain of 6.74 dBi. The radiation patterns of both planes are shown in Figures 4(a) and 4(b). At this frequency, both E-plane and H-plane radiation patterns show good characteristics with a wide angular width for mmWave antennas. The H-plane has a less noticeable null region compared to the E-plane. Figure 5(a) shows the 3D radiation pattern of the antenna at 60 GHz. The 3D radiation pattern at 60 GHz shows directive radiation toward the Z direction. The associated surface current distribution at 60 GHz is shown in Figure 5(b).

The impedance bandwidth is not affected by the width of the antenna, denoted by “x.” To demonstrate this behavior, we simulated the antenna by lowering the width of the antenna from 4.5 mm to 4 and then increasing the width to 5 mm. The return loss magnitudes for these changes are also not affected much. Radiation efficiency and maximum gain increased by a small margin as antenna width increased. The detailed values of these changes are given in Table 3. Figure 6(a) shows the return loss curves for different widths of the antenna compared to the original design of 4.5 mm. The return loss magnitude at 60 GHz is mainly dependent on the width of the radiating patch “xr.” The magnitude increases to −19.32 dB for a narrower width of 0.9 mm, while it...
Table 1: Antenna dimensions values.

| Parameter | Value (mm) |
|-----------|------------|
| x         | 4.5        |
| y         | 7          |
| gl        | 3          |
| gv        | 1.81       |
| fl        | 2.98       |
| fw        | 0.65       |
| n         | 0.47       |
| rv        | 1.28       |
| xr        | 0.95       |
| yr        | 1.35       |
| gor       | 1.7        |
| gt        | 1.55       |

Table 2: Antenna parts and materials.

| Antenna part     | Thickness (mm) | Material   | Relative permittivity |
|------------------|----------------|------------|-----------------------|
| Ground           | 0.035          | PEC        | —                     |
| Substrate        | 1.5            | Cotton     | 1.63                  |
| Patch radiator   | 0.035          | PEC        | —                     |

Figure 3: (a) Free space return loss and (b) VSWR.

Figure 4: 60 GHz radiation pattern. (a) E-plane. (b) H-plane.
Figure 5: (a) 3D radiation pattern free space at 60 GHz and (b) current distribution at 60 GHz.

Table 3: Antenna width “x” changes.

| Parameters                          | Free space, x = 4.5 mm | x = 4 mm | x = 5 mm |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|
| Return loss magnitude at 60 GHz (dB)| −21.20                 | −21.39   | −20.97   |
| Bandwidth (GHz)                     | —                      | —        | —        |
| Gain (dBi)                          | 6.74                   | 6.54     | 6.96     |
| Radiation efficiency (%)            | 93.30                  | 92.96    | 93.74    |

Figure 6: Return loss comparison for (a) different “x” values, (b) different “xr” values, (c) different “y” values, and (d) different “yr” values.
The length of the antenna is denoted by “y,” and the original design had a length of 7 mm. The resonant frequency of the antenna is dependent on the value of “y.” The frequency shifts from 60 GHz more toward the right as the “y” value is lowered progressively. The return loss magnitude, maximum gain, and radiation efficiency at 60 GHz also decreased slightly with a lower value of “y.” The return loss curve comparison is shown in Figure 6(c) and the details are given in Table 5. The resonant frequency is also dependent on the radiating patch length. The length of the patch can be altered by changing the value of “xr.” For smaller lengths, the frequency shifts to the right, and for larger lengths, the frequency shifts toward the left. The performance parameters are given in Table 6, and the return loss comparison curves for different “xr” values are shown in Figure 6(d).

### 4. Body-Centric Simulation Results

Proper modeling of the human body is vital for the performance evaluation of on-body communications. To minimize the processing time and power consumed by the CST simulation, a human torso phantom was created, measuring 14 × 9 mm³. The phantom consists of skin, fat, and muscle and has a total thickness of 15 mm (Figure 7). The detailed physical properties of the phantom at 60 GHz are given in Table 7 [23]. For on-body analysis, the antenna was placed 2 mm away from the phantom and then was gradually moved away by 2 mm (Figures 8(a)–8(e)). At the closest distance from the phantom, the resonant frequency shifted toward the left with an increased return loss magnitude. The resonant frequency remained just below 60 GHz for the rest of the distances. The return loss magnitude was close to free space at 6 and 8 mm from the phantom. The impedance bandwidth remained mostly unchanged for every distance. The return loss curves are compared, as shown in Figure 9. The VSWR at all the distances maintained good, desirable values and is compared, as shown in Figure 10.

The E-plane radiation pattern at 2 mm shows a few grated lobes being formed compared to free space. Minor lobes are observed away from the phantom (between 0–90 and 0–270°), and the back radiation (90–270°) has become distorted with a few null regions. On the other hand, compared to free space, the H-plane was much less distorted in the upper region, with reduced back radiation. As the gap distance between the phantom and the antenna was increased, the radiation pattern was comparable to free space, especially the H-plane pattern at 10 mm. The comparison of the radiation patterns at 2 mm and the rest of the distances is shown in Figures 11 and 12, respectively. The 3D far-field and the surface current distribution are shown in Figure 13. At 2 mm, the maximum gain dropped by more than 1 dB and the radiation efficiency dropped by nearly 24%. Due to the lossy human body tissues, the gain and efficiency are reduced when the antenna is placed on the human body model. The maximum gain was highest at 6 mm, and at 10 mm, it was close to the free space result. The gain of this antenna is low at 2 mm distance from the human body and higher at 10 mm. This is because at a lower gap between the antenna and the body, the antenna has higher effects on the human lossy tissues. The radiation efficiency improved progressively with an increase in the gap distance. The detailed on-body performance values are given in Table 8. From the results and analysis, it was noted that at 2 mm and 4 mm away from the human body model, the antenna provides good performance. Therefore, it is assumed that this antenna can be placed even 2 mm away from the human body model.

### 5. Various Textile Analyses

Ten different textile substrates were chosen for additional analysis of the antenna design by varying the relative permittivity and thickness. The list of these substrates along with their free space simulation results is given in Table 9. Figure 14 shows the return loss comparison between these textiles. Tween and panama achieved a resonant frequency very close to the cotton substrate. The resonance frequency shifted to the right for silk, jeans, moleskin, and felt. The radiation efficiencies increased while the maximum gain saw reduced values. The 60 GHz return loss magnitude was reduced for Quartzel, Cordura/Lycra, 100% polyester, and denim, while the resonant frequency shifted to the left. Denim, 100% polyester, and Quartzel’s maximum gain were similar to cotton. All ten textile substrates maintained radiation efficiency above 92%. The radiation patterns showed no significant changes when compared to cotton. In both E-plane and H-plane, Cordura/Lycra showed a better omnidirectional radiation pattern than the rest of the substrates (Figure 15).

On-body simulation results are given in Table 10. The return loss curves are compared, as shown in Figure 16. The return loss magnitude at 60 GHz was affected by the phantom, while the resonant frequency also experienced shifts. The maximum gain increased significantly for jeans,
Table 5: Antenna length “y” changes.

| Parameter | Free space, \( y = 7 \text{ mm} \) | \( y = 6 \text{ mm} \) | \( y = 6.5 \text{ mm} \) |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Return loss magnitude at 60 GHz (dB) | −21.20 | −17.39 | −20.06 |
| Bandwidth (GHz) | — | — | — |
| Gain (dBi) | 6.74 | 6.40 | 6.58 |
| Radiation efficiency (%) | 93.30 | 90.61 | 91.91 |

Table 6: Radiating patch length “yr” changes.

| Parameter | Free space, \( yr = 1.35 \text{ mm} \) | \( yr = 1.3 \text{ mm} \) | \( yr = 1.4 \text{ mm} \) |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Return loss magnitude at 60 GHz (dB) | −21.20 | −20.09 | −22.07 |
| Bandwidth (GHz) | — | — | — |
| Gain (dBi) | 6.74 | 6.77 | 6.70 |
| Radiation efficiency (%) | 93.30 | 93.02 | 93.56 |

Figure 7: A three-layer human torso phantom.

Table 7: Torso phantom dimensions values.

| Parameter | Length (mm) | Width (mm) | Thickness (mm) | Relative permittivity | Conductivity (S/m) |
|-----------|-------------|------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Skin      | 14          | 9          | 2              | 7.98                 | 36.40             |
| Fat       | 14          | 9          | 3              | 3.13                 | 2.82              |
| Muscle    | 14          | 9          | 10             | 12.86                | 52.83             |
silk, moleskin, and Cordura/Lycra. The E-plane and H-plane radiation patterns are comparable to free space. Eventhough a few grated lobes are formed, the back radiation toward the phantom is reduced (Figure 17). Radiation efficiency is significantly affected by the presence of the phantom.

6. Comparison with Previous Works

The proposed design is compared with the work presented in [9, 10, 21]. 60 GHz textile antennas based on cotton are very rare. The 4-element patch array presented in [9] is built on a
**Figure 10:** On-body VSWR comparison with free space. (a) 2 mm away from the phantom. (b) Five different distances away from the phantom.

**Figure 11:** 60 GHz radiation pattern comparison at 2 mm away from the phantom. (a) E-plane. (b) H-plane.

**Figure 12:** 60 GHz radiation pattern comparison at five different distances away from the phantom. (a) E-plane. (b) H-plane.
0.2 mm thick cotton fabric extracted from a shirt. The measured relative permittivity showed that the cotton fabric has a value of 2. The radiating elements and the ground plane are made from 0.07 mm thin copper foil. In [10], a Yagi-Uda antenna based on 0.2 mm thick cotton fabric achieved an end-fire radiation pattern suitable for WBAN applications. The overall dimension of the antenna is $26 \times 8 \times 0.2 \text{mm}^3$. The antenna achieved a simulated gain of 9 dBi and an efficiency of 74%. The slotted patch antenna presented in [21] was also simulated using a 1.5 mm thick cotton substrate. The dimension of the antenna is $12.2 \times 12 \times 1.57 \text{mm}^3$. On a three-layer torso-equivalent phantom, the antenna’s gain and efficiency were 5.96 dBi and 58.03%, respectively. The antenna presented in [22] is printed on an FR-4 substrate, and it is bigger in size than the proposed antenna in this study.

In comparison, the design presented in this study has a wider bandwidth. Eventhough the maximum gain was lower, the antenna was much more efficient. The major advantage of this design is its planar size. Compared to the reported work, this antenna is significantly smaller in terms of length and width. The thickness and relative permittivity of the cotton substrate will vary depending on the source and manufacturing techniques used. Table 11 provides the comparison of this work with other articles.

The main limitation was the measurement, which was outside the scope of the authors. The measurement facility

**Table 8: On-body antenna performance.**

| Parameters                        | Free space | On-body 2 mm | On-body 4 mm | On-body 6 mm | On-body 8 mm | On-body 10 m |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Return loss magnitude at 60 GHz (dB) | $-21.20$   | $-16.57$     | $-19.21$     | $-20.58$     | $-20.13$     | $-19.22$     |
| Bandwidth (GHz)                   | —          | —            | —            | —            | —            | —            |
| Gain (dBi)                        | 6.74       | 5.23         | 6.56         | 7.04         | 6.88         | 6.69         |
| Radiation efficiency (%)          | 93.30      | 69.20        | 81.28        | 86.23        | 88.83        | 90.28        |

**Table 9: Free space performance comparison among different textile substrates.**

| Substrate       | Relative permittivity | Thickness (mm) | Return loss magnitude at 60 GHz (dB) | Bandwidth (GHz) | Gain (dBi) | Radiation efficiency (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Cotton          | 1.63                  | 1.5            | $-21.20$                             | —               | 6.74       | 93.30                    |
| Jeans           | 1.7                   | 1              | $-20.46$                             | —               | 5.96       | 94.78                    |
| Denim           | 1.87813               | 1.5            | $-15.35$                             | —               | 6.65       | 92.67                    |
| Silk            | 1.75                  | 1.16           | $-27.52$                             | —               | 5.50       | 94.01                    |
| Tween           | 1.69                  | 1.37           | $-25.10$                             | —               | 6.20       | 93.56                    |
| Panama          | 2.12                  | 1.04           | $-31.62$                             | —               | 5.58       | 93.81                    |
| Felt            | 1.38                  | 1.38           | $-19.80$                             | —               | 5.77       | 94.47                    |
| Moleskin        | 1.45                  | 1.17           | $-20.81$                             | —               | 5.70       | 94.96                    |
| 100% polyester  | 1.9                   | 1.5            | $-14.92$                             | —               | 6.62       | 92.59                    |
| Quartzel fabric | 1.95                  | 1.5            | $-13.80$                             | —               | 6.55       | 93.12                    |
| Cordura/Lycra   | 1.5                   | 0.5            | $-15.05$                             | —               | 6.33       | 96.61                    |

Figure 13: (a) Far-field 3D view on-body 4 mm far without, (b) with structure, and (c) surface current for 60 GHz.
for a very high frequency range is not available here in Bangladesh. The CST software is very user-friendly, and the results obtained using this software are reliable. From the previous studies, it is noted that the simulation results for antennas obtained using CST are comparable to measurements [23–27].
Table 10: On-body performance comparison between different textile substrates.

| Substrate       | Relative permittivity | Thickness (mm) | Return loss magnitude at 60 GHz (dB) | Bandwidth (GHz) | Gain (dBi) | Radiation efficiency (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Cotton          | 1.63                  | 1.5            | -16.57                              | —               | 5.28       | 69.20                    |
| Jeans           | 1.7                   | 1              | -18.55                              | —               | 8.29       | 67.29                    |
| Denim           | 1.87813               | 1.5            | -12.92                              | —               | 5.04       | 71.94                    |
| Silk            | 1.75                  | 1.16           | -21.50                              | —               | 7.09       | 66.17                    |
| Tween           | 1.69                  | 1.37           | -17.85                              | —               | 5.62       | 67.65                    |
| Panama          | 2.12                  | 1.04           | -24.75                              | —               | 6.66       | 66.15                    |
| Felt            | 1.38                  | 1.38           | -17.20                              | —               | 6.97       | 66.61                    |
| Moleskin        | 1.45                  | 1.17           | -17.64                              | —               | 7.89       | 66.29                    |
| 100% polyester  | 1.9                   | 1.5            | -12.68                              | —               | 5.00       | 71.19                    |
| Quartzel fabric | 1.95                  | 1.5            | -12.22                              | —               | 4.89       | 72.65                    |
| Cordura/Lycra   | 1.5                   | 0.5            | -12.46                              | —               | 9.65       | 74.11                    |

Figure 16: On-body return loss comparison between different textile substrates.
7. Conclusion

The goal of this study was to design and analyze a tiny and novel 60GHz textile antenna based on a cotton substrate. This study shows the comprehensive results and analysis of this antenna. Compared to a previous work, the proposed antenna design is very compact and achieves a very wide impedance bandwidth. The resonant frequency is dependent on the length of the antenna and the radiating patch. The maximum gain value achieved by the antenna suggests that the data transmission over a longer distance will be affected. The antenna’s radiation efficiency was heavily affected by the influence of a human torso phantom. The cotton substrate was replaced by different textile substrates, among which tween and panama achieved very similar results to cotton. On the human body, this compact and novel-shaped antenna shows very good performance. For body-centric wireless communications in e-health applications, the antenna needs to be very compact in size, less sensitive, and efficient. The textile antenna is a very good choice for body-centric communications in e-health applications. For body-centric communications in e-health applications, the antenna needs to be very compact in size, less sensitive, and efficient. The textile antenna is a very good choice for body-centric communications in e-health applications. To the knowledge of the authors of this study, this proposed antenna is the smallest mmWave textile antenna with good performance so far. This tiny cotton-based textile antenna will be a very good choice for body-centric communications in healthcare applications. In the future, the bending characteristics of the antenna can be investigated. In addition, the antenna design can be optimized to work in other

Table 11: Comparison with other articles.

| Antenna type       | Size length (L) and width (W), mm | Relative permittivity | Substrate material | Bandwidth (GHz) at -10 dB | Gain (dBi) | Efficiency (%) | Antenna type |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|
| Reference [9]      | 50×*                              | 2                     | Cotton             | 55–65                     | 8.6        | 41             | Array        |
| Reference [10]     | 26 × 8                            | 1.5                   | Cotton             | 57–64                     | 9          | 74             | Yagi-Uda     |
| Reference [21]     | 12.2 × 12                         | 1.9                   | 100% polyester     | 11.632                    | 5.96       | 58.03          | Slotted patch |
| Reference [22]     | 12.9 × 14                         | 4.3                   | FR-4               | 12.11                     | 8.62       | 82.15          | Q-slot       |
| This study         | 7 × 4.5                           | 1.63                  | Cotton             | 40–80                     | 6.74       | 93.3           | Single antenna |

*Exact value not provided.
frequency bands. The antenna can also be designed as an array for e-health applications.
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