Viscosity of evolving magmas: a case study of the Glass House Mountains, Australia

Sharon L. Webb

Abstract
The viscosity of the remelted rock compositions of the Glass House Mountains, SE Queensland, Australia, has been determined via micro-penetration in the high-viscosity regime (10^8–10^{13} Pa s). The heat capacity of these melts has also been determined from room temperature to above the glass transition. The combination of these two data sets allows the fitting of the viscosity data by the Adam-Gibbs equation using the configurational heat capacity \( C_p^{conf}(T_g) \) and configurational entropy \( S^{conf}(T_g) \). The resulting fit parameters allow the robust extrapolation of the viscosity data to higher temperature and viscosities of 10^{-4} Pa s. This data can now be used in the discussion of the emplacement of the magmas of the plugs, laccoliths, sills and dykes that form the Glass House Mountains complex and the plate motion and the plume responsible for the volcano plugs. The large increase in viscosity of the evolving magma and the resulting decrease in discharge rate of the volcanic vents suggest that very little magma appeared as extrusive lavas or pyroclastic material and that the Glass House Mountains are mainly remnants of intrusive bodies exposed by erosion.
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Introduction
There is a growing interest in the 9–33 million years old plume track across Eastern Australia from Cape Hillsborough (QLD) to Cosgrove (Vic) (Cohen et al. 2013; Davies et al. 2015). The Noosa-Maleny-Glass House Mountains complex (hereafter called GHM) is a small suite of volcanoes in SE Queensland that is associated with this plume track (see Fig. 1). The GHM volcanoes have been dated in the Oligocene at 26 million years (Cohen et al. 2007). The shape of these plugs is similar to that of glass furnaces in Great Britain, hence the name given to them by Cook in 1770. Recent analysis of major and trace elements of rocks from the Glass House Mountains as well as Sr, Nd and Pb isotopic data by Shao et al. (2015) has shown the GHM volcanoes to be the product of an evolving magma chamber with the magma compositions progressing from basaltic lava flows in the Maleny region, to the Glass House Mountains volcanic plugs whose composition ranges from basaltic, basaltic trachyandesite to trachyandesite, trachyte and peralkaline rhyolite of comendite composition (see Fig. 2). The peraluminous rhyolite of Mt Tinbeerwah in the Noosa area is assumed to be formed by basaltic underplating causing crustal anatexis.

Although the composition and mineralogy of the volcanic plugs in the Glass House Mountains series are now known and they are assumed to be related through fractional crystallisation, little else is known of the flow and emplacement mechanisms of the magmas responsible for these volcanoes. Except for an early study (Bryan and Stevens 1973) there is no information of the emplacement temperatures of the magmas. The viscosity of magmas of basaltic, andesitic and rhyolitic compositions have been determined (e.g. Vetere et al. 2008; Whittington et al. 2009; Di Genova et al. 2013; Webb et al. 2014; Sehlke and Whittington 2016); however, there are very few studies of the continuous change in viscosity with evolution of the magma chamber by fractional crystallisation. There is little data on the viscosity of subaluminous comenditic melts with Stevenson et al. (1995) investigating the viscosity of naturally occurring metaluminous comenditic obsidians which had not been remelted in the laboratory. Although the viscosity of magma of known composition can be calculated from calorimetric data, no direct test of these calculations have been made.
composition can be estimated to within ± 1.5 log₁₀ units using any of a range of viscosity models (e.g. Giordano et al. 2008; Misiti et al. 2011; Di Genova et al. 2013; Robert et al. 2015), it remains necessary to measure the viscosity of silicate melts in order to obtain accurate data.

**Experiments**

**Sample preparation**

A series of nine compositions has been chosen from the 34 rock analyses of Shao et al.’s (2015) paper on the petrogenesis of the peralkaline rhyolites of the Glass House Mountains. Melts of the compositions determined by Shao et al. (2015) were made from powders of oxides and carbonates. These powders were dried at 500 °C (1000 °C for MgO) for 12 h, weighed into a Pt₉₀Rh₁₀ crucible and decarbonated at 1000 °C for 12 h. The resulting material was melted at 1600 °C for 1 h, quenched to a glass, crushed in an agate mortar and remelted twice. Sample 7 (Mt. Tinbeerwah) was melted at 1625 °C. The compositions of the resulting glasses were determined by electron microprobe and are presented in Table 1. The iron speciation was determined by wet chemistry analysis after Wilson (1969) and Schuessler et al. (2008). Iron-free (haplo-)glasses were also synthesised. In these glasses, 50% of the mole fraction of FeOₗₒₜ was assumed to be Fe³⁺ and was therefore replaced by Al₂O₃, and the rest was replaced by MgO. MgO was chosen over CaO as the radius of Mg is closer to that of Fe than Ca radius is (Shannon and Prewitt 1969). The compositions of these glasses were also determined by electron microprobe and are given in Table 2. The polymerisation of the melts has been described in terms of γ [∑ (X₂O + YO)/ ∑ (X₂O + YO + Al₂O₃ + Fe₂O₃) in mol. fraction] and NBO/T (non-bridging oxygens per tetrahedron = (2O-4 T)/T, in...
Comparison of $\gamma$ and $NBO/T$ for the iron-bearing melts and their respective iron-free samples are in good agreement, except for 20a for which the iron-free haplo-melt has too many network modifying cations and is slightly metaluminous, whereas the iron-bearing melt is peraluminous. The viscosity and heat capacity of the series of iron-free melts were also determined. These melts are labelled as haplo-GHM samples.

### Viscometry

The viscosity was determined in air by the micro-penetration technique in which a 2-mm diameter single crystal sphere of corundum is forced into the sample at a fixed load at a constant temperature. Newtonian, time-independent viscosity is determined by:

$$\eta = \frac{0.1875 F t}{l^{0.5} r^{1.5}}$$

for $t$ time (s), $l$ indent distance (m), $F$ applied force $F$ (N), $r$ radius (m) of the sphere and 0.1875 a geometrical constant (Pocklington 1940; Dingwell et al. 1992). Forces from 0.2 to 5 N were used for indent times from 15 min to 12 h. Viscosities in the range 10$^8$–10$^{13}$ Pa s were determined. The samples were heated to the measurement temperature at a rate of 10 K min$^{-1}$. The structure of the melt was allowed to equilibrate thermodynamically for 20 min before the force was applied and the indenter pushed into the melt. This amount of time was also needed for the temperature of the furnace to stabilise within ±0.5 K. As these melts are highly viscous, some of the data were collected over a 12-h period with the unrelaxed penetration data being discarded. In general, mechanically relaxed penetration data were obtained ~ 100 $\tau$ after the application of the load, where

$$\tau = \eta_0 / G_{sc}$$

is the relaxation time calculated from the Maxwell equation (Maxwell 1867; Webb 1991) for $\eta_0$ the time independent
|       | h20a       | h19a       | h16b       | h22b       | h5a        | h34        | h12a       | h10        | h7         | NIQ        |
|-------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| SiO2  | 74.78 ± 0.72 | 75.90 ± 0.58 | 67.02 ± 0.30 | 63.28 ± 0.23 | 61.81 ± 0.31 | 55.27 ± 0.28 | 54.06 ± 0.12 | 54.35 ± 0.15 | 76.31 ± 1.50 | 42.74 ± 0.23 |
| Al2O3 | 13.59 ± 0.41 | 13.15 ± 0.42 | 18.74 ± 0.13 | 20.00 ± 0.12 | 18.65 ± 0.14 | 20.03 ± 0.18 | 20.15 ± 0.09 | 20.02 ± 0.12 | 13.32 ± 0.94 | 10.03 ± 0.15 |
| TiO2  | 0.13 ± 0.02  | 0.15 ± 0.03  | 0.13 ± 0.02  | 0.32 ± 0.01  | 1.36 ± 0.02  | 2.13 ± 0.04  | 2.57 ± 0.02  | 1.57 ± 0.03  | 0.10 ± 0.01  | 2.93 ± 0.02  |
| MgO   | 0.85 ± 0.03  | 0.94 ± 0.04  | 0.90 ± 0.02  | 1.83 ± 0.03  | 3.61 ± 0.04  | 8.49 ± 0.08  | 7.79 ± 0.06  | 10.19 ± 0.09 | 0.61 ± 0.09  | 8.93 ± 0.04  |
| CaO   | 0.12 ± 0.01  | 0.09 ± 0.01  | 0.40 ± 0.02  | 2.14 ± 0.06  | 3.91 ± 0.04  | 6.35 ± 0.11  | 8.14 ± 0.06  | 8.12 ± 0.07  | 0.46 ± 0.07  | 25.76 ± 0.04 |
| Na2O  | 5.23 ± 0.16  | 4.89 ± 0.12  | 7.03 ± 0.09  | 5.53 ± 0.07  | 5.81 ± 0.07  | 4.17 ± 0.05  | 4.07 ± 0.05  | 3.66 ± 0.05  | 3.21 ± 0.23  | 7.65 ± 0.05  |
| K2O   | 4.15 ± 0.09  | 3.94 ± 0.07  | 4.78 ± 0.05  | 5.93 ± 0.09  | 3.43 ± 0.04  | 2.33 ± 0.04  | 1.94 ± 0.03  | 0.82 ± 0.03  | 4.78 ± 0.15  | 1.06 ± 0.07  |
| Total | 98.86       | 99.06       | 99.09       | 99.03       | 98.57       | 98.76       | 98.73       | 98.73       | 97.78       | 100.10      |
| mol%  |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| SiO2  | 81.27 ± 0.78 | 82.04 ± 0.63 | 74.66 ± 0.33 | 70.72 ± 0.26 | 67.77 ± 0.34 | 59.01 ± 0.30 | 57.89 ± 0.13 | 57.03 ± 0.16 | 83.13 ± 0.16 | 42.81 ± 0.23 |
| Al2O3 | 8.70 ± 0.26  | 8.37 ± 0.27  | 12.28 ± 0.09 | 13.17 ± 0.08 | 12.05 ± 0.09 | 12.61 ± 0.11 | 12.71 ± 0.06 | 12.38 ± 0.07 | 8.55 ± 0.06  | 5.92 ± 0.09  |
| TiO2  | 0.11 ± 0.02  | 0.12 ± 0.03  | 0.11 ± 0.02  | 0.27 ± 0.01  | 1.12 ± 0.02  | 1.71 ± 0.03  | 2.07 ± 0.02  | 1.24 ± 0.02  | 0.08 ± 0.01  | 2.21 ± 0.02  |
| MgO   | 1.37 ± 0.05  | 1.52 ± 0.07  | 1.49 ± 0.03  | 3.05 ± 0.05  | 5.89 ± 0.07  | 13.50 ± 0.13 | 12.43 ± 0.10 | 15.94 ± 0.14 | 0.99 ± 0.15  | 13.33 ± 0.06 |
| CaO   | 0.14 ± 0.01  | 0.10 ± 0.01  | 0.48 ± 0.02  | 2.56 ± 0.07  | 4.59 ± 0.05  | 7.26 ± 0.13  | 9.34 ± 0.07  | 9.13 ± 0.08  | 0.53 ± 0.08  | 27.65 ± 0.04 |
| Na2O  | 5.51 ± 0.17  | 5.13 ± 0.13  | 7.58 ± 0.01  | 5.99 ± 0.08  | 6.18 ± 0.07  | 4.31 ± 0.05  | 4.23 ± 0.05  | 3.73 ± 0.05  | 3.39 ± 0.21  | 7.43 ± 0.05  |
| K2O   | 2.88 ± 0.26  | 2.72 ± 0.05  | 3.39 ± 0.04  | 4.23 ± 0.07  | 2.40 ± 0.03  | 1.59 ± 0.03  | 1.32 ± 0.02  | 0.55 ± 0.02  | 3.32 ± 0.10  | 0.64 ± 0.04  |
| molar mass [g mol⁻¹] | 64.53 | 64.34 | 66.23 | 66.50 | 64.94 | 63.36 | 63.52 | 62.25 | 64.66 | 59.60 |
| γ     | 0.53         | 0.53         | 0.51         | 0.55         | 0.613        | 0.679        | 0.682        | 0.703        | 0.490        | 0.89        |
| NBO/T | 0.02         | 0.02         | 0.01         | 0.05         | 0.15         | 0.33         | 0.34         | 0.41         | -0.01        | 1.52        |

Table 2: Composition of the iron-free glasses determined by electron microprobe analysis (JEOL JXA 8900 RL) with 15-kV acceleration voltage, 15-nA current and 25-µm beam diameter. Listed data are the average of 10 single measurements; in both wt% and mol%.
shear viscosity and \( G_\infty \), the elastic shear modulus (Herzfeld and Litovitz 1959). The melt samples used for the viscosity measurements were ~ 3-mm-thick with an irregular shape varying from 6 to 10 mm in cross-section. The ends were ground parallel and polished with 1 µm Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) powder before the viscosity measurements. The ±0.06 \( \log_{10} \) unit accuracy of the viscosity measurements was determined from the viscosity of DGG1 glass (Webb 2005) as well as from a further glass sample—NIQ (Whittington et al. 2000). The measured composition of the NIQ sample is given in Table 2.

**Heat capacity**

The heat capacity of the melts was determined using a Netzsch® DSC 404C at a heating rate of 20 K min\(^{-1}\) on samples which had first been heated to temperature above the glass transition temperature and then cooled at a rate of 20 K min\(^{-1}\). The heat capacity is measured against an empty Pt crucible. The heat flow is calibrated at the same heating rate as the glass measurements against a single crystal of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and the heat capacity data from Robie et al. (1978). The heat capacity measurements are performed at temperature between 20 °C and that at which \( \eta \approx 10^9 \) Pa s. The structural relaxation time at this viscosity is ~0.1 s and therefore holding the melt at this temperature for 60 s before controlled cooling will allow the fictive temperature of the melt to be reset to that of the 20 K min\(^{-1}\) cooling rate used in the subsequent measurements.

The configurational heat capacity \( C_p^{\text{conf}}(T^*) \) (Richet 1984; Webb 2008) is calculated from an extrapolation of the Maier and Kelley (1932) equation:

\[
C_p^M(K) = a + bT - cT^{-2} \tag{3}
\]

for heat capacity as a function of temperature \( c_p(T) \) and temperature \( T \) in K, fit to the unrelaxed glass part of the heat capacity curve, and the measured relaxed liquid heat capacity

\[
C_p^{\text{conf}}(T^*) = C_p^{\text{liquid}}(T^*) - C_p^M(T^*) \tag{4}
\]

The limiting fictive temperature of the samples is calculated using the method of Moynihan et al. (1976) where

\[
\frac{dT_f}{dT} \bigg|_T = \frac{\left[ C_p(T) - C_{pg}(T) \right]_{T_f}}{\left[ C_{pe}(T) - C_{pg}(T) \right]_{T_f}} \tag{5}
\]

(Narayanaswamy 1971; Moynihan et al. 1976) where the molar heat capacity \( C_p \) (J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) = molar mass (g mol\(^{-1}\)) \times c_p (J g\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)). Equation 5 can be rewritten as

\[
\int_{T_f}^{T_{f}} \left[ C_p(T) - C_{pg}(T) \right] dT = \int_{T_f}^{T_{f}} \left[ C_{pe}(T) - C_{pg}(T) \right] dT_f \tag{6}
\]

based upon the relationship between the temperature-dependent heat capacity and the temperature-dependent fictive temperature (DeBolt et al. 1976) for \( T_f \) fictive temperature, \( T \) temperature in K, \( C_p \) heat capacity as a function of temperature, \( C_{pg} \) unrelaxed (glassy) heat capacity as a function of temperature, \( C_{pe} \) relaxed heat capacity as a function of temperature; with \( T_f \) limiting fictive temperature and \( T^* \) a temperature above the glass transition region at which the heat capacity is equal to the equilibrium heat capacity (fictive temperature is equal to furnace temperature), \( T' \) a temperature below the glass transition region at which the heat capacity is that of the unrelaxed glass. Equation 6 is shown graphically in Fig. 3 where the integrals is illustrated in terms of the area under the heat capacity curve.

**Results and discussion**

The viscosity of the melts is listed as a function of temperature in Table 3 and shown in Figs. 4 and 5 as a function of inverse temperature. The Arrhenian equation

\[
\eta = \eta_0 \exp \frac{E}{RT} \tag{7}
\]

for \( \eta_0 \) the viscosity constant in Pa s and \( E \) the activation energy for flow in kJ mol\(^{-1}\). Temperature in K and the gas constant \( R = 8.314 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} \) is fit to the data in the form

\[
\log_{10} \eta = A_{\text{Arr}} + \frac{B_{\text{Arr}}}{\ln(10) RT} \tag{8}
\]

for \( A_{\text{Arr}} \) a constant = \( \log_{10} \eta_0 \), \( B_{\text{Arr}} \) activation energy in kJ mol\(^{-1}\). The parameters to the fit are given in Table 4.
### Table 3

log₁₀ viscosity for the different GHM melts

| T °C | log₁₀ η Pa s | T °C | log₁₀ η Pa s | T °C | log₁₀ η Pa s | T °C | log₁₀ η Pa s |
|------|--------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|
| a20  | 22b          | 12a  | 34           | 711.3| 13.44        | 710.2| 13.05        |
|      |              |      |              | 812.0| 11.63        | 830.7| 11.19        |
| 721.7| 13.27        |      |              | 832.3| 11.43        | 831.5| 10.96        |
| 730.0| 13.13        |      |              | 852.0| 11.09        | 850.5| 10.87        |
| 750.3| 12.73        |      |              | 850.8| 10.78        |      |              |
| 790.7| 11.86        |      |              | 870.6| 10.43        |      |              |
| 811.1| 11.45        |      |              | 870.7| 10.31        |      |              |
| 830.3| 11.11        |      |              | 890.7| 9.99         |      |              |
| 841.3| 10.99        |      |              | 891.1| 9.96         |      |              |
| 850.6| 10.76        |      |              | 910.1| 10.08        |      |              |
| 870.4| 10.46        |      |              | 910.8| 9.59         |      |              |
| 890.7| 10.12        |      |              | 929.5| 9.51         |      |              |
|      |              |      |              |      |              |      |              |
| a19  | 5a           | 7    | 16b          | 830.8| 10.88        | 713.2| 12.47        |
|      |              |      |              | 731.4| 13.55        | 810.4| 11.49        |
| 850.0| 10.51        |      |              | 752.7| 13.29        | 828.8| 11.15        |
| 869.5| 10.17        |      |              | 772.5| 12.68        | 848.2| 10.68        |
| 888.8| 9.95         |      |              | 792.9| 12.29        | 869.2| 10.38        |
| 908.5| 9.52         |      |              | 812.9| 11.88        | 889.5| 9.92         |
| 949.2| 8.92         |      |              | 832.6| 11.56        | 908.8| 9.63         |
|      |              |      |              | 852.7| 11.15        | 928.4| 9.24         |
|      |              |      |              | 872.8| 10.82        | 948.4| 8.89         |
|      |              |      |              | 892.4| 10.32        |      |              |
|      |              |      |              | 912.1| 10.12        |      |              |
|      |              |      |              | 932.1| 9.74         |      |              |
| h16b |              |      |              |      |              |      |              |
|      |              |      |              |      |              |      |              |
| h22b |              |      |              |      |              |      |              |
|      |              |      |              |      |              |      |              |
| h12a |              |      |              |      |              |      |              |
| 768.4| 12.30        | 709.3| 13.17        | 687.5| 12.71        | 668.0| 13.43        |
| 787.9| 11.75        | 729.1| 12.50        | 707.5| 11.90        | 688.4| 12.67        |
| 808.0| 11.32        | 748.5| 11.98        | 727.5| 11.11        | 708.3| 11.89        |
| 827.1| 10.91        | 768.9| 11.33        | 747.7| 10.25        | 728.4| 11.32        |
| 846.3| 10.46        | 787.8| 10.69        | 767.2| 9.70         | 748.3| 10.68        |
| 866.7| 10.02        | 807.7| 10.27        | 786.4| 9.00         | 767.7| 10.11        |
X-ray diffraction of the iron-bearing samples indicates a minor amount of crystallization (<3 vol%) has taken place during the viscosity and calorimetry measurements. A check on the extent of the effect of crystallization on viscosity was made plotting $T_g^{12}$ (the temperature at which $\eta = 10^{12}$ Pa s) against $\gamma$. Previous studies have shown that a smooth curve as a function of gamma is to be expected in a series of compositionally related melts, with $T_g^{12}$ increasing as the melts becomes subaluminous. Figure 6 illustrates the $T_g^{12}$ values determined from the viscosity data for both the iron-bearing and iron-free melts. It can be seen that the data points for the Mt. Mee (34) and Maleny (12a) composition melts are much higher than expected, thus indicating that the melts had a large volume of crystals and the viscosity data are not that of a crystal-free melt. The Einstein-Roscoe equation (e.g. Vetere et al. 2013) for the viscosity of crystal-bearing melts indicates that 40 vol% crystals are needed to increase viscosity by an order of magnitude. In the case of the Mt. Mee and Maleny samples, it is observed that the interior of the glasses contained <3 vol% micro-crystals, and the surface of the samples was covered with a thin veneer of micro-crystals after the viscosity measurements. As the micro-penetration technique measures the viscosity of the first ~500 µm of the sample, it would appear that the large number of crystals seen on the surface of the samples affects the measured viscosity of these two samples.

The temperature at which viscosity is $10^{12}$ Pa s has been calculated from the Arrhenian fits to the viscosity data and listed in Table 4. These $T_g^{12}$ values have also been added to the composition data of Fig. 2. The importance of network modifiers is illustrated by the three SiO$_2$-rich samples which have $T_g^{12}$ values that do not linearly vary with wt% SiO$_2$. The $T_g^{12}$ values do vary linearly if they are plotted as a function of $\gamma$ – which is a measure of the non-bridging oxygens in the melt. These three melts range from metaluminous ($\gamma > 0.5$), to subaluminous ($\gamma = 0.5$) to peraluminous ($\gamma < 0.5$).

The Arrhenian equation should not be extrapolated linearly in temperature. Instead the configurational heat capacity and the Adam-Gibbs equation (Richet 1984) have been used to fit the viscosity data across of the entire temperature range:

$$log_{10}\eta = A_{AG} + \frac{B_{AG}}{ln(10)} \left\{ T \cdot S_{conf}\left(T_g^{12}\right) + C_p^{conf} \cdot ln\left(\frac{T}{T_g^{12}}\right) \right\}$$

(9)

in order to calculate the temperature dependence of the viscosity at high temperatures; for $A_{AG}$ a constant, taken to be $-4.55$ (see Giordano et al. 2008; Kleest et al. 2020 and references therein); $B_{AG}$, activation energy (J mol$^{-1}$), $S_{conf}(T_g^{12})$, configuration entropy (J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) at the temperature for which viscosity is $10^{12}$ Pa s, $C_p^{conf}$ the difference in
extrapolated glass heat capacity and relaxed melt heat capacity \( (J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}) \) at a temperature above the glass transition. This equation describes the viscosity-temperature behaviour of the melt from the temperature range of measurement to higher temperatures. The parameters \( B_{AG} \) and \( S_{\text{conf}}(T_g^{12}) \) are listed in Table 4.

This equation assumes there is no change in \( S_{\text{conf}}(T_g^{12}) \) nor in \( C_p^{\text{conf}} \) for temperatures below \( T_g^{12} \). The value for the parameter \( A \) is assumed to be constant for all silicate melts and thus independent of composition (Toplis et al. 1997). Based on the Maxwell relation (Eq. 2) and taking \( \tau_v = 10^{-14.5} \) s (the vibration frequency of an atom at \( T^{-1} = 0 \); Martinez and Angell (2001)) and \( G_\infty = 10 \pm 0.5 \) GPa (Dingwell and Webb 1989), the \( A_g = -4.55 \log_{10} \) Pa s is used in this study. The same value was used in the model of Giordano et al. (2008). The values of \( A \) found in the literature range from \( -2.6 \) (Toplis 1998) to \( -5 \) (see Webb 2011; Russell and Giordano 2017 for a discussion). Russell and Giordano (2017) point out that \( -5 < A < -3 \) is found to be the range of values used for the constant \( A \) in the literature; with \( -4 < A_{AG} < -3 \) for Adam-Gibbs fits to viscosity data and \( -5 < A_{VFT} < -4 \) for Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann equation fits to the viscosity data. They found the best fit value for \( A_{AG} \) to be \( -3.51 \pm 0.25 \). The \( S_{\text{conf}}(T_g^{12}) \) values obtained from the present viscosity and \( C_p^{\text{conf}} \) data

\( \circ \) Springer
Table 4  Measured properties ($\gamma$, $T_f^{20}$, $T_g^{12}$, $C_p^{\text{glass}}$, $C_p^{\text{melt}}$, $C_p^{\text{conf}}$) determined from the composition and the heat capacity and the viscosity data together with the fit parameters to the viscosity data using the Arrhenian equation ($A_{\text{Arr}}$, $B_{\text{Arr}}$) and the fit parameters for viscosity data using the Adam-Gibbs equation ($S_{\text{conf}}(T_g^{12})$, $B_{\text{AG}}$) for the iron-bearing melts and iron-free melts. Fragility $m$ is calculated from an Arrhenian fit to the viscosity data $m_{\text{Arr}}$, and the Adam-Gibbs fit to the viscosity data $m_{\text{AG}}$.

| Sample | $\gamma$ | $T_f^{20}$ K | $T_g^{12}$ K | $C_p^{\text{glass}}$ J g$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ | $C_p^{\text{melt}}$ J g$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ | $C_p^{\text{conf}}$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ | $A_{\text{Arr}}$ | $B_{\text{Arr}}$ log$_{10}$ (Pa s) | $B_{\text{Arr}}$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ | $A_{\text{Arr}}$ | $B_{\text{Arr}}$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ | $B_{\text{AG}}$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ | $S_{\text{conf}}(T_g^{12})$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ | $B_{\text{AG}}$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ |
|--------|---------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 20a    | 0.50    | 1050.3     | 1056.5     | 1.14                              | 1.22                              | 5.21                          | 20.7    | -8.71                       | ±0.20            | 419.0   | ±4.3                        | 19.58            | 1.11                        | ±798.6           |
| 19a    | 0.52    | 1026.5     | 1045.5     | 1.12                              | 1.18                              | 4.28                          | 21.2    | -9.24                       | ±0.56            | 424.8   | ±12.4                       | 12.76            | 1.65                        | ±509.7           |
| 16b    | 0.50    | 1045.0     | 1060.7     | 1.11                              | 1.19                              | 5.41                          | 23.5    | -11.47                      | ±0.35            | 476.7   | ±7.7                        | 11.01            | 0.68                        | ±449.8           |
| 22b    | 0.54    | 1019.5     | 1018.4     | 1.10                              | 1.24                              | 9.15                          | 23.2    | -11.14                      | ±0.75            | 451.4   | ±15.4                       | 19.95            | 2.00                        | ±779.7           |
| 5a     | 0.60    | 1010.0     | 1002.8     | 1.10                              | 1.23                              | 8.33                          | 26.7    | -14.69                      | ±1.19            | 512.4   | ±23.1                       | 13.41            | 1.58                        | ±513.1           |
| 34     | 0.68    | 1145.0     | 1055.6     | 1.07                              | 1.16                              | 6.50                          | 20.6    | -8.58                       | ±1.07            | 415.8   | ±23.8                       | 21.23            | 1.60                        | ±928.8           |
| 12a    | 0.68    | 987.0      | 1061.0     | 1.09                              | 1.34                              | 16.27                         | 15.5    | -3.48                       | ±2.51            | 314.4   | ±43.2                       | -                | -                            | -                |
| 10     | 0.71    | 975.3      | 961.3      | 1.00                              | 1.19                              | 12.52                         | 29.3    | -17.27                      | ±2.26            | 538.7   | ±42.8                       | 15.29            | 2.81                        | ±560.3           |
| 7      | 0.49    | 1107.0     | 1080.8     | 1.13                              | 1.21                              | 5.58                          | 21.7    | -9.68                       | ±0.38            | 448.4   | ±7.9                        | 17.61            | 1.40                        | ±724.5           |
| h20a   | 0.53    | 1066.5     | -          | 1.50                              | 1.55                              | 3.42                          | -       | -                           | -                | -       | -                           | -                | -                            | -                |
| h19a   | 0.53    | 1085.0     | -          | 1.72                              | 1.93                              | 13.06                         | -       | -                           | -                | -       | -                           | -                | -                            | -                |
| h16b   | 0.51    | 1061.4     | 1052.0     | 1.53                              | 1.67                              | 9.21                          | 25.0    | -13.07                      | ±0.34            | 504.7   | ±7.2                        | 16.1             | 0.52                        | ±643.9           |
| h22b   | 0.55    | 1024.0     | 1017.6     | 1.15                              | 1.32                              | 11.20                         | 27.5    | -15.48                      | ±0.81            | 535.2   | ±16.8                       | 14.44            | 0.84                        | ±556.8           |
| h5a    | 0.61    | 992.0      | 985.6      | 1.60                              | 1.85                              | 16.56                         | 30.8    | -28.18                      | ±0.95            | 757.6   | ±18.5                       | 32.1             | 0.76                        | ±644.0           |
| h34    | 0.68    | 992.0      | 982.6      | 1.53                              | 1.92                              | 24.39                         | 38.9    | -27.11                      | ±1.02            | 735.8   | ±20.1                       | 43.3             | 0.33                        | ±553.8           |
| h12a   | 0.68    | 986.3      | 977.1      | 1.51                              | 1.94                              | 27.63                         | 37.9    | -25.91                      | ±0.61            | 757.6   | ±12.1                       | 22.2             | 0.38                        | ±662.0           |
| h10    | 0.70    | 998.0      | 985.5      | 1.49                              | 1.93                              | 27.64                         | 40.1    | -28.25                      | ±0.53            | 757.4   | ±10.5                       | 43.8             | 0.36                        | ±619.2           |
| h7     | 0.49    | 1123.0     | -          | 1.53                              | 1.66                              | 8.21                          | -       | -                           | -                | -       | -                           | -                | -                            | -                |
| NIQ    | 0.89    | 918.3      | 912.3      | 1.18                              | 1.65                              | 28.31                         | 41.6    | -29.56                      | ±1.39            | 725.9   | ±25.3                       | 46.9             | 0.55                        | ±504.9           |

Some melts crystallised during the viscosity measurements, and therefore, there is no data available.
using this value of $A_{AG}$ are also given in Table 4. The fragility of the melts was also calculated from: 

$$m = \frac{d\log_{10}\eta}{d\left(T_g^{12}/T\right)} = \frac{B_{12}}{\ln(10)R T_g^{12}} = \frac{B_{AG}}{\ln(10)S_{conf}\left(T_g^{12}\right)} = 1 + \frac{C_{conf}\left(T_g^{12}\right)}{S_{conf}\left(T_g^{12}\right)}$$

\hfill (10)

(Toplis et al. (1997)

The viscosity prediction models of Hui and Zhang (2007) and Giordano et al. (2008) in which it is assumed that the Fe$^{2+}$/Fe$_{tot}$ is constant for all melts investigated, and Duan (2014) which differentiates between Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$, have been compared to the present viscosity data. These models estimate the viscosity of the iron-bearing and iron-free melts to within ±2 orders of magnitude. The difference between the measured viscosity of the present melts and that predicted by the Giordano et al. (2008) model is shown in Fig. 7.

The variation of $C_p^{conf}$ and $S_{conf}(T_g^{12})$ as a function of $\gamma$, and also SiO$_2$ content can be observed in Table 4. While $S_{conf}(T_g^{12})$ does not show any clear trend as a function of composition, the $C_p^{conf}$ values increase with decreasing polymerisation of the melts. Giordano and Russell (2017) found the measured $C_p^{conf}$ values for a series of silicate melts to be a linear function of mole fraction SiO$_2$ with $C_p^{conf} = 52.6 - 55.88 \times$X$\text{SiO}_2$. The present $C_p^{conf}$ data for both the iron-bearing and iron-free melts scatter around this trend-line with $C_p^{conf}(\text{GHM}) = 50.35 - 54.43 \times$X$\text{SiO}_2$.

Russell and Giordano (2017) found the ratio of the measured $C_p^{conf}$ to the fitted $S_{conf}$ value to be a function of $T_g^{12}$ and fragility (m). The $C_p^{conf}$ and $S_{conf}$ determined here from heat capacity and viscosity measures and calculated with $A_{AG} = -4.55$ fall slightly off this trendline ($C_p^{conf}/S_{conf}(T_g^{12}) = 0.061 \text{m} - 1.018$). However, the ratio obtained using the $S_{conf}$ values determined from the viscosity data assuming an $A_{AG}$ of $-3.51$ fall exactly on the trendline of Russell and Giordano (2017) ($0.064 \text{m} - 0.993$). The $T_g^{12}$ term has been ignored here in these straight-line fits to the data as its contribution to the equation of Russell and Giordano is $-0.004$.

Figure 8 shows the viscosity of melts 7 (Maleny) and 12 (Mt. Tinebeerwah) calculated from Eq. 9 together with the viscosity region of the input data. This figure also shows the present viscosity data for the NIQ sample, together with the high temperature datum from Whittington et al. (2000) and the curves calculated by Whittington et al. and the present Adam-Gibbs curve. The two curves are identical (within 0.1 log$_{10}$ unit); and both pass through the datum of Whittington et al. (2000). This agreement between the viscosity extrapolated using the Adam-Gibbs equation and the measured low viscosity indicates the applicability of the Adam-Gibbs
equation in the calculation of low viscosities, based on the high viscosity data combined with the configuration heat capacity.

As there is little or no information on the eruption temperature of the lavas of the Glass House Mountains volcanoes, it is difficult to estimate the amount of magma involved. Further, although the mafic lavas near Maleny are present, the lavas and/or pyroclastics of the rest of the Glass House Mountains volcanoes appear to have been removed by erosion (Ewart & Grenfell 1985; Cohen et al. 2007).

Taking the best-case scenario to calculate the discharge rate of a volcanic conduit, the volume flow rate of a frictionless cylindrical volcanic conduit can be calculated from:

$$V = \frac{\pi g (\rho_r - \rho_m) r^4}{8\eta} \text{m}^3/\text{s}$$

(11)

where acceleration due to gravity $9.81 \text{m s}^{-2}$, rock density $\rho_r$ ($\text{kg m}^{-3}$), melt density $\rho_m$ ($\text{kg m}^{-3}$), radius of the vent $r$ (m) and viscosity of the melt $\eta$ (Pa s) (Philpotts 1990). The rate of flow through such a conduit calculated using the average eruption temperature of each composition melt (taken from Spera 2000), the viscosity of the present melt at that temperature, and the density of the present melt calculated from Spera (2000) and the assumption that the source of the magma is the upper mantle with a density of $3300 \text{kg m}^{-3}$, is shown in Table 5. The mafic lavas around Maleny are known to cover 200 km$^2$, and to be made up of ~10 m thick layers to a total depth of 180 m (Ewart and Grenfell 1985; Cohen et al. 2007). The calculations of Table 5 would indicate that 68 days of continuous eruption with a 2.5-m radius vent are needed to produce 36 km$^3$ of basaltic lava, with 4 days eruption time needed to cover the surface with a 10-m-thick layer. These calculations would infer that the basaltic eruptions were of short duration, or that the vent radius was smaller than 2.5 m. A reduction of the radius by 15% would halve the flow rate. Doubling the radius of the vent would increase the volume flow rate by more than an order of magnitude.

### Conclusion

The combination of low temperature viscosity data with low temperature heat capacity data allows the use of the Adams-Gibbs equation to extrapolate the viscosity of the Glass House Mountains magma to higher temperature with great confidence. Based on the small basalt flows observed in the Maleny region it is suggested that the discharge rate of the more viscous melts would be very low and perhaps, as suggested by Ewart and Grenfell (1985) and Cohen et al. (2007) the Glass House Mountains are the remnants of unextruded magmas which formed plugs and laccoliths. The effect of water and perhaps bubbles would, however, decrease the viscosity. Stevenson et al. (1998) found that 1 wt% water decreased the viscosity of comendites by 2 or more orders of magnitude in the temperature range of interest. This would increase the discharge rate by 2 orders of magnitude. In the case of the comendite compositions (as well as the dacite and andesite) such an increased discharge rate would still remain very low when compared to that of the basalt melts.
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