Fatigue Property and Residual Stress Relaxation Behavior of High-Energy Shot Peened Pure Zr
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Abstract: High-energy shot peening (HESP) was conducted on commercial pure Zr to generate a surface gradient nanostructured layer and compressive residual stress (CRS). The microstructure, residual stress, and fracture morphology were investigated by electron back scattering diffraction (EBSD), scanning electron microscope (SEM), transmission electron microscope (TEM), and X-ray diffraction (XRD), respectively. Tensile fatigue tests were conducted and the fatigue property was presented through S-N curve. By the XRD measurement, the residual stress relaxation and the microstructure evolution on the HESPed surface were studied under different stress amplitudes. The results indicated that the fatigue limit of the HESPed sample was 23% higher than that of the as-received. The relaxation of residual stress was observed during fatigue loading; the initial relaxation rate was fast and the later was slow, accompanied by a similar degree of nanocrystalline coarsening and dislocation density reduction. A linear relationship between the surface residual stress and the number of cycles for the HESPed sample was quantitatively described. The higher the applied stress amplitude was, the faster the residual stress relaxation (RSR) was. The stress amplitude had an important influence on the relaxation rate, the degree of nanocrystals coarsening, and dislocation density. Local plastic deformation caused grain coarsening and dislocation density reduction.
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1. Introduction

Owing to its combination of remarkable corrosion resistance, machinability, good mechanical property, and very low neutron absorption cross-section, zirconium (Zr) and its alloys are commonly used as fuel cladding and structural materials in nuclear reactors [1–4]. The fatigue failure of cladding material fabricated by Zr alloys is mainly caused by vibration generated by the fluid force of components [5]. Most fatigue failures in engineering components initiate from the surface and then propagate into the interior, and the properties of the components’ surface play an important role in their service lifetime [6]. Thus, surface modification has become one of the most attractive approaches to improve fatigue life [7]. Different from laser surface treatment [8], electron beam surface modification [9], and micro-arc oxidation [10], the purpose of surface mechanical treatment technologies such as shot peening, hammering, and cold rolling is to introduce CRS and change the microstructure in the surface layer, and in turn, improve the fatigue life of components. With the development of nanoscience and nanotechnology, surface nanocrystallization of metallic materials can be achieved by surface mechanical treatments such as HESP and surface mechanical grinding treatment [11]. Gradient nanostructures induced by severe plastic deformation in different ways can effectively improve the fatigue properties of metal components. In all the existing surface mechanical treatments, HESP is widely used because of its own characteristics such as cheap, remarkable and efficient strengthening effect, relative simplicity of equipment, and having no limitation of treated component shape [12].
The CRS can significantly improve fatigue performance [13,14]. Zhang et al. studied the fatigue limits of the HESP-ed Ti–6Al–4V (TC4) alloy and proposed that the synergy effect of surface gradient nanostructure and CRS improved the fatigue limit of the HESP-ed TC4 alloy [15]. During the process of fatigue loading, residual stress will release and redistribute, reducing the improvement on fatigue life. Therefore, it is of great significance to analyze the CRS and its release characteristics during cyclic loading. Saalfeld et al. studied the residual stress stability of deep rolled steel SAE 1045 under high-loading amplitudes. Results showed that the RSR behavior was strongly affected by the cyclic yield strength of material. Furthermore, residual stress stability depended on the stress amplitude [16]. Xie et al. studied the RSR of the peeled TC4 surface under cyclic loading, finding that RSR was mainly influenced by the original magnitude, the applied stress, and the cycling numbers [17]. Kim et al. studied the RSR of shot-peened medium-carbon steel under a low-cycle and high-cycle fatigue regime and found stress relaxation rate depended on the applied strain [18]. Maleki et al. studied the residual stress relaxation of AISI 1060 steel after shot peening. The results revealed that stress relaxation started at a high rate at the initial stages of loading and gradually increased at higher number of cycles [19].

Most of the researches were focused on the effects of load levels and load modes on the surface RSR of conventionally shot-peened materials. However, there are no studies on the evolution of residual stresses induced by surface nanocrystallization treatment under tensile fatigue loading. In this work, we prepared surface gradient nano-grained pure Zr via HESP. The effect of HESP treatment on the fatigue performance of pure Zr was studied. The RSR of the HESPed samples was studied and compared under different stress amplitudes. The correlations between surface RSR and loading cycles were explored. The evolution of residual stress, dislocation density, and grains size in the surface layer during the fatigue loading was characterized.

2. Materials and Methods

Hot-rolled and annealed commercial pure Zr was used, which contained impurities of 0.08C, 0.25(Fe + Cr), 0.012H, 0.05N and 0.13O (wt.%). The surface of all samples was polished orderly by SiC abrasives down to 2000 grit prior to shot peening processes. HESP (Dt1480, Entai, Shanghai, China) device was applied in this work. The schematic illustration of HESP process is shown in Figure 1. Shot peening was performed by high-pressure gas driving a cast steel (ZG30) ball with a diameter of 0.3 mm. The detailed parameters are as follows: gas pressure ~0.4 MPa, coverage ~100%, spray angle ~85°, and duration ~45 min. Fatigue samples were cut by wire-cut electrical discharge machining (DK7735, Terui, Taizhou, China) according to GB/T 3075-2008 standard, China. The dimensions of fatigue samples are shown in Figure 2 and two sides of the samples are treated by HESP. The tensile fatigue test was performed on a 50 kN electro-hydraulic fatigue machine (Instron 8801, Norwood, MA, USA) with a stress ratio of R = 0.1 and frequency of 10 Hz at room temperature. The fracture morphology was characterized by a scanning electron microscope (Gemini 300, Zeiss, Jena, Germany). To evaluate the stress-life (S-N), at least three samples were tested for each condition to ensure accuracy. The cyclic loading was in the form of a sinusoidal wave and the stress amplitudes are 210 MPa and 320 MPa. Electron back scattering diffraction (Gemini 300, Zeiss) was applied to characterize the microstructure change before and after HESP. The cross-section of the samples for EBSD observation was ground orderly by 400-3000# SiC abrasives, followed by thinning with an electrolytic double-jet thinning instrument, and the volume ratio of the reagent was CH$_2$OH:HClO$_4$ = 1:19. Residual stress analyses were implemented with X-ray diffraction (D8 ADVANCE A25, Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) using Cu-Kα radiation ($\lambda = 0.154056$ nm) via sin2ψ method. The Cu-Kα characteristic X-ray beam diameter was 1 mm and the measurement parameters were as follows: the
operation voltage was 30 kV, with 80 mA current; the scanning field was 2 mm $\times$ 2 mm; the diffraction peak of 137°; and the characteristic crystal plane was (231). To obtain the in-depth distributions of residual stress, the material was removed layer by layer with an electrolytic polishing instrument (EP-05, Labtt, Shanghai, China) at $-20^\circ$C. The volume ratio of the polishing solution was CH$_2$OH:HClO$_4$:C$_6$H$_{14}$O$_2$ = 3:1:1.

Figure 1. Schematic illustration of HESP process.

![Figure 1](image)

Figure 2. Dimensions of the tensile fatigue test sample (mm).

![Figure 2](image)

XRD tests of the as-received and the HESPed surface were performed on X-ray diffraction (D8 ADVANCE A25, Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) with Cu-K$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.154056$ nm). The test was conducted by a scan step of 6° per minute in the 2$\theta$ ranging from 20° to 80°. The microstructural features including the crystallite size and microstrain were evaluated according to the Williamson-Hall formula [20]:

$$\beta \cos \theta = C \sin \theta + K \lambda / D$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\theta$ is the diffraction angle, $\lambda$ is the X-ray wavelength, $D$ is the crystallite size, and $\varepsilon$ is the microstrain. $C$ and $K$ are constant. $\beta$ is the broadening of the diffraction peak. An instrumental broadening of $\sim 0.05$ obtained by measuring the broadening of a standard silicon sample was used in this work. Thus, the total peak broadening $\beta$ caused by grain refinement broadening and strain broadening can be obtained. The curve of $\beta \cos \theta$ vs. $\sin \theta$ can be plotted, and the values of $D$ and $\varepsilon$ are obtained from the intercept and slope of the fitted lines, respectively.

The dislocation density may be determined from: [21]

$$\rho = \varepsilon (2 \sqrt{3}) / Db$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $D$ is the crystallite size, $\varepsilon$ is the microstrain and $b$ is the value of the Burgers vector. In this study, only $<a>$ slip is considered, which gives $b = 0.3232$ nm [22,23].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Microstructure and Residual Stress

Figure 3 shows the inverse pole figure (IPF) maps of the cross-section of pure Zr samples before and after USSP treatment. As seen from Figure 3a, the as-received sample exhibits a uniform microstructure and no deformation twinning was observed. The majority
of grains are equiaxed with an average grain size of ~20 µm. As seen from Figure 3b, the HESPed sample shows a gradient microstructure with notable grain refinement on the surface layer. The thickness of the significant grain refined layer is more than 40 µm. The top refined region is white and barely resolved by using EBSD, which is due to the nanocrystalline nature and the residual stress. From the depth of 40 µm to the matrix, there is a large number of deformation twinning. Zr alloys possess a hexagonal close-packed structure and deform by dislocation slip; twinning becomes important to accommodate deformation due to the limited number of slip systems. The microstructure evolution from the surface to the matrix is in the sequence of the surface nanocrystalline layer, transition layer (including broken grains and twins), and matrix.

Figure 3. Inverse pole figure images of (a) as-received; (b) HESPed.

Figure 4 presents the XRD patterns of the as-received and the HESPed at the topmost surface. Compared with the as-received sample, the HESPed sample shows different diffraction characteristics. After HESP treatment, the intensity of (0002), (1011), (1120), and (1013) peaks become strong. The peaks of (1010) and (1120) are reduced greatly. Meanwhile, the peaks’ width of the (0002), (1011), (1120) and (1013) are broadened. This result can be attributed to grain refinement, lattice deformation, and micro-strain development. It is well-established that the variations in the full-width half maximum (FWHM) can quantitatively characterize the evolution in microstructure, such as the microstrain and crystallite size [24]. Such a larger FWHM indicates a smaller grain size, and the grain size can be calculated according to the Williamson-Hall formula [20]. The calculated average crystallite size on the HESPed sample surface is ~14.8 nm.

Figure 4. XRD patterns of as-received and HESPed.
Figure 5 shows the TEM micrograph and the corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of the HESPed sample near the surface. There is the formation of a large number of equiaxed nanograins with an average size of ~46 nm, and the formation of nanograins is also confirmed by the ring patterns of the SAED produced by random crystallographic orientations. Lots of highly tangled dislocations are observed inside the grains. The TEM results indicate the crystallite size is changed along the depth.

Figure 5. TEM image of the surface nanocrystalline layer of the HESPed sample.

Figure 6 shows the stress distribution along the depth for the HESPed sample. During the shot peening process, the differences in nonreversible plastic deformation between the surface layer and the interior of bulk causes the incompatibility between these two volumes and lead to the creation of residual stress [25]. It can be observed that a significant level of CRS field with a depth of roughly 360 µm is induced by HESP at the sample surface layer. The value of surface CRS is 270 MPa. In addition, the maximum value of CRS is 380 MPa at the subsurface depth of about 107 µm. The maximum CRS is not obtained at the impacted surface but at the subsurface, which is probably owing to the energy transformation during shot peening [15]. The micro-defects on the topmost surface consumed part of the energy and resulted in surface residual stress relaxation. Subsequently, the strain energy was transferred to the deeper region. Due to the strong constraint in the subsurface region, more energy was stored and higher CRS was induced.

Figure 6. Residual stress profile of the HESPed in different depth.

3.2. Fatigue Property

Figure 7 shows the S-N curves of the as-received and the HESPed samples. The fatigue limit of the as-received sample is 166 MPa, whereas that is increased to 220 MPa after
HESP treatment, which is 23% higher than the as-received. It suggests that the HESP could improve the fatigue strength of pure Zr. On the one hand, the CRS induced by HESP treatment can offset part of the external load and postpone propagation of the crack. On the other hand, the surface nanolayer has a strong fatigue resistance to plastic deformation. The surface gradient nanostructured layer and work hardening state produced by HESP treatment are helpful to prevent dislocation slip, thereby effectively inhibiting fatigue crack initiation in the top surface [26].

**Figure 8.** Fatigue fracture morphology of samples. (a) as-received; (b) HESPed.

Figure 8 shows the fracture morphologies of the as-received and the HESPed samples. The fatigue fracture shows obvious fluvial morphology. The arrows in the figure indicate the locations of the crack origins. As shown in Figure 8a, the crack initiation position is on the surface of the as-received sample. The micro-defects on the surface of the as-received sample are subjected to fatigue loads, resulting in surface stress concentration and the formation of crack origins. It can be seen from Figure 8b that the crack origin appears on the subsurface of the HESPed sample. This is one of the main characteristics of fatigue damage of surface strengthening metal materials. The reason for this is that the residual compressive stress on the surface introduced by HESP treatment counteracts the fatigue load and moves the maximum effective fatigue stress to the inside subsurface of the sample [15,27].

**Figure 7.** Residual stress profile of the HESPed in different depth.

**Figure 8.** Fatigue fracture morphology of samples. (a) as-received; (b) HESPed.

### 3.3. Residual Stress Relaxation during Fatigue Loading

The residual stress field (RSF) is usually characterized by four characteristic parameters [28]: the surface residual stress $\sigma_{srs}$, the maximum residual stress $\sigma_{mrs}$, the depth of maximum residual stress $Z_m$, and the depth of RSF $Z_0$. Table 1 gives the characteristic parameters of RSF under different stress amplitudes in tensile fatigue tests. Figure 9 shows the RSF distribution of the HESPed sample under different cycles at stress amplitudes of...
210 MPa and 320 MPa, respectively. Compared with the initial value, the residual stress is released in different degrees after different cycle numbers. As can be seen from Table 1, under stress amplitude of 210 MPa, \( \sigma_{\text{rs}} \) is decreased by 29.7%, 40.0%, 62.0%, 63.3% and \( \sigma_{\text{mrs}} \) is decreased by 31.4%, 47.0%, 62.8%, 67.1% at 100, \( 10^3 \), \( 5 \times 10^5 \) and \( 8 \times 10^5 \) cycles, respectively. As can be seen from Table 1, under stress amplitude of 320 MPa, \( \sigma_{\text{rs}} \) is decreased by 58.0%, 80.0%, 84.7% and \( \sigma_{\text{mrs}} \) is decreased by 69.4%, 71.5%, 77.3% at 100, \( 10^3 \) and \( 5 \times 10^4 \) cycles, respectively. As shown in Figure 9a,b, it can be seen that RSR is faster under 320 MPa and the RSR starts with a fast rate in the initial stage for two stress amplitudes, and the relaxation rate gradually decreases and tends to stabilize with the increase of the cycle numbers. The RSR generated by the first 100 cycles is decisive in the whole release process.

Table 1. RSF characteristic parameters under different stress amplitudes in tensile fatigue tests.

| Stress, MPa | Cycle | \( \sigma_{\text{rs}} \), MPa | \( \sigma_{\text{mrs}} \), MPa | \( Z_{\text{rs}} \), \( \mu \text{m} \) | \( Z_{\text{mrs}} \), \( \mu \text{m} \) |
|------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| 210        | 0     | -270.8          | -375.6          | 112         | 330         |
|            | 100   | -190.3          | -257.8          | 80          | 235         |
|            | \( 10^3 \) | -148.8         | -199.0          | 65          | 175         |
|            | \( 5 \times 10^5 \) | -100.4         | -139.8          | 55          | 148         |
|            | \( 8 \times 10^5 \) | -99.3          | -123.6          | 49          | 130         |
| 320        | 0     | -270.8          | -375.6          | 112         | 330         |
|            | 100   | -108.4          | -115.0          | 65          | 149         |
|            | \( 10^3 \) | -46.2          | -107.1          | 48          | 99          |
|            | \( 5 \times 10^4 \) | -41.4          | -85.3           | 35          | 49          |

Figure 9. RSF distribution of HESPed sample under different cycles and different stress amplitudes in tensile fatigue tests: (a) 210 MPa; (b) 320 MPa.

It is generally believed that RSR is caused by the local plastic deformation of the material. The deformation induced by cyclic loads destroyed the balance between the stresses during cyclic loading. The cyclic loads promote the plastic flow and thus decrease the value of residual stress [29]. Thus, the residual stress experiences significant relaxation under high stress amplitude. When the sum of the residual stress and applied stresses exceeded the yield condition of the material, the residual stress causes stress relaxation and redistribution. In this study, the applied stresses were close to their yield strength, the applied stress amplitude was, the faster the RSR was.

Figure 10 shows the variation of \( \sigma_{\text{rs}} \) with the cycle numbers at different stress amplitudes. Figure 10a shows that \( \sigma_{\text{rs}} \) is released with the increase of cycles, and the \( \sigma_{\text{rs}} \) relaxation is faster under stress amplitude of 320 MPa. The amount of \( \sigma_{\text{rs}} \) relaxation accountable for the total relaxation was 51.6% and 70.8% in the first 100 cycles for stress amplitudes of 210 MPa and 320 MPa, respectively. At the initial stage, the residual stress relaxes drastically which is usually regarded as the process of static loading stress relaxation [30]. The \( \sigma_{\text{rs}} \) relaxation process is analyzed in detail after 10 cycles and it can be
observed that the $\sigma_{\text{hrs}}$ have a linear relationship with the logarithm of the cycle numbers. Figure 10b shows the certain positive correlation between $\sigma_{\text{hrs}}$ and the logarithm of cyclic numbers. The linear correlation formula is defined as Equation (3):

$$\sigma_{\text{hrs}} = M \cdot \log_{10}(N) + \sigma_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $M$ is the $\sigma_{\text{hrs}}$ relaxation rate and $\sigma_0$ is the $\sigma_{\text{hrs}}$ after the first 10 loading cycles. Substituting the data into the linear correlation formula, the equation can be expressed as follows:

$$\sigma_{\text{hrs}} = 22.8 \log_{10}(N) - 218.0 \text{ (210MPa)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$\sigma_{\text{hrs}} = 51.4 \log_{10}(N) - 209.8 \text{ (320MPa)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Figure 10. Residual stress at the surface vs. number of cycles at different stress amplitudes: (a) normal cycles; (b) logarithm of cycles.

It can be seen from Equations (4) and (5) that $\sigma_{\text{hrs}}$ and the relaxation rate of $\sigma_{\text{hrs}}$ are related to the stress amplitudes. The higher the applied stress amplitude is, the more easily the material yields and the faster the relaxation rate of $\sigma_{\text{hrs}}$ is. Due to the intensive relaxation of residual stress at the initial stage of cyclic loading, Equations (4) and (5) can only be applied to the stage after the intensive relaxation of residual stress.

Figure 11 shows the fracture morphologies of the samples in the fatigue crack zone stage under different stress amplitudes. The numerous microscopic plastic deformation traces are parallel with each other, as pointed by white arrow in Figure 11. The trace is known as fatigue striation. Figure 11a,b shows the fatigue striations of the as-received and the HESPed specimens under stress amplitude of 210 MPa. The average fatigue striations spaces are 0.51 $\mu$m and 0.25 $\mu$m, respectively. Figure 11c,d shows the fatigue striations of the as-received and the HESPed specimens under stress amplitude of 320 MPa. The average fatigue striations spaces are 0.97 $\mu$m and 0.75 $\mu$m, respectively.

The width of fatigue striation space corresponds to the crack propagation rate. The wider the width, the greater the crack propagation rate [31]. The CRS can promote the crack closure effect and reduce fatigue crack growth driving force, thus reducing the crack growth rate [32]. Therefore, the fatigue crack growth rate of the HESPed sample is lower than that of the as-received sample. Compared with Figure 11c,d, the fatigue crack growth rate is faster under the stress amplitude of 320 MPa. The reason for this is that the residual stress is released quickly under high stress amplitude.
3.4. Microstructure Evolution during Residual Stress Relaxation

Using the Williamson-Hall formula [20], we calculated the crystallite size based on XRD results of the HESPed sample under different cyclic numbers. Figure 12 shows the variation of residual stress and grain size in the HESPed surface with cycle numbers under stress amplitudes. Figure 12a shows the crystallite size of the HESPed surface as ~20 nm and ~40 nm after the 10-th cycles and $8 \times 10^5$-th cycles, respectively. Figure 12b shows the crystallite size of the HESPed surface as ~40 nm and ~62 nm after 100-th cycles and $5 \times 10^4$-th cycles, respectively. For the same stress amplitude, the cyclic loading causes the increase of the crystallite sizes and the $\sigma_{srs}$ is released. At the same cycle numbers, the larger the stress amplitude applied, the larger the increase in the crystallite size and the extent of stress relaxation.

This fatigue-induced coarsening of nanocrystals on the surface of the HESPed sample is similar to the fatigue-induced crystallite coarsening in nanocrystalline platinum films [33] and grain coarsening in ultrafine-grained copper [34]. The results suggest that local plastic...
deformation leads to crystallite coarsening. It can be seen from Figure 10 that the nanocrystals coarsening process is accompanied by a similar degree of $\sigma_{srs}$ relaxation. Jia et al. observed strain-assisted grain growth of the nanograins, which was driven by strain energy and associated with the relaxation of residual stress [35]. Therefore, it is believed that local plastic deformation causes grain coarsening and residual stress relaxation.

Figure 13 illustrates the variation of dislocation density along the depth of the HESPed sample under different cycles and different stress amplitudes. After HESP treatment, high dislocation density is formed in the strengthening layer. As can be seen in Figure 13a,b, the dislocation density decreased rapidly at the 100-th cycles, and then decreased slowly and tended to be stable at the later stage. Compared with the stress amplitude of 210 MPa, the dislocation density decreased more under 320 MPa at the same cyclic number.

![Figure 13. Distributions of dislocation density as a function of depth from the top surface under different cycle numbers: (a) 10 MPa; (b) 320 MPa.](image)

The nature of RSR is the gradual release of elastic strain energy in materials through microscopic or local plastic deformation. The reduction in the dislocation density and a change in the dislocation configuration led to the RSR [36]. After the fatigue loading started, the dislocation motion and annihilation caused by the load led to the decrease of dislocation density. It is easier to lead to dislocation movement and annihilation under high stress amplitude. In the process of microplastic deformation, the decrease of dislocation density caused by dislocation movement is the main reason for the relaxation of residual stress.

4. Conclusions

(1) HESP treatment can significantly improve the fatigue strength of pure Zr. The fatigue limit of the HESPed is 205 MPa, which is 23% higher than that of the as-received. The crack origin of the HESPed is transferred to the subsurface layer.

(2) Most of the relaxation of residual stress occurs during the first 100 cycles. The greater the applied stress amplitude, the faster the residual stress is released. A linear correlation between the logarithm of the cycle numbers $\log_{10}(N)$ and the $\sigma_{srs}$ is established.

(3) The surface nanocrystals coarsen and the dislocation density decreases during cyclic loading. The greater applied stress amplitudes, the faster the residual stress relaxation, and the more obvious nanocrystal coarsening and dislocation density reduction. Local plastic deformation causes grain coarsening and dislocation density reduction.
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