Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma with low mitochondrial copy number has mesenchymal and stem-like characteristics, and contributes to poor prognosis

Yasunori Masuike, Koji Tanaka*, Tomoki Makino, Makoto Yamasaki, Yasuhiro Miyazaki, Tsuyoshi Takahashi, Yukinori Kurokawa, Kiyokazu Nakajima, Masaki Mori, Yuichiro Doki

Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan

*ktanaka@gesurg.med.osaka-u.ac.jp

Abstract

Alterations in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) copy numbers in various human cancers have been studied, but any such changes in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) are not established. In the present study, we investigated the correlation of mtDNA copy number with clinicopathologic features, prognosis, and malignant potential of ESCC. MtDNA copy numbers of resected specimens from 80 patients treated with radical esophagectomy were measured by quantitative real-time PCR analyses. Human ESCC cells, TE8 and TE11, were cultured, and depletion of mtDNA content was induced by knockdown of mitochondrial transcription factor A expression or treatment with ethidium bromide. The mRNA and protein expression, proliferation, invasion, and cell cycle were investigated. The results showed that the mtDNA copy number of cancerous portions was 56.0 (37.4–234.5) percent that of non-cancerous parts and significantly lower (p < 0.01). Low mtDNA copy number in resected cancerous tissues was significantly correlated with pathological depth of tumor invasion (p = 0.045) and pathological stage (p = 0.025). Patients with lower mtDNA copy number had significantly poorer 5-year overall survival compared to patients with higher levels (p < 0.01).

The mtDNA-depleted TE8 and TE11 cells had morphological changes and proliferated more slowly than control cells under normoxia but proliferated at almost the same rate under hypoxic conditions. In mtDNA-depleted cells, E-cadherin mRNA expression was decreased, and N-cadherin, vimentin, zeb-1, and cd44 mRNA expression was increased. Immunoblotting and flow cytometry analysis also showed downregulated E-cadherin and upregulated N-cadherin and CD44 protein in mtDNA-depleted cells. Moreover, mtDNA-depleted cells had enhanced invasion, migration, and sphere formation abilities, and the cell cycle arrest at G0/G1 phase was induced in these cells. These results suggested that mtDNA-depleted ESCC cells had mesenchymal characteristics, cancer stemness, and tolerance to hypoxia, which played important role in cancer progression. In conclusion, a low copy number of mtDNA is associated with tumor progression in ESCC.
Introduction

Esophageal cancer is the eighth most common cancer worldwide, with an estimated 450,000 new cases annually, and the sixth most common cause of death from cancer, with an estimated 400,000 deaths each year. The incidence rate is highest in Eastern Asia, where the dominant histological subtype is squamous cell carcinoma [1–4]. The combination therapies of preoperative chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy followed by surgery have been developed and widely implemented as effective treatments for advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) [5–8]. However, especially in more advanced cases, the survival outcome is poor [9, 10]. To improve the prognosis of ESCC, new therapeutic targets are required.

Mitochondria are eukaryotic intracellular organelles that produce the majority of cellular ATP through the process of oxidative phosphorylation, and also play an important role in reactive oxygen species production and integrating apoptosis pathways [11–13]. They also contain their own DNA (mtDNA), which consists of a circular double-stranded structure with 16,569 base pair and encodes 13 polypeptides that are essential for the assembly of respiratory enzyme complexes [12, 14–16]. Each human cell contains several hundreds to thousands of mitochondria [14, 17, 18]. Alterations in mtDNA copy numbers in various human cancers have been studied in the past few decades [19–25], but the mtDNA copy number and its significance in ESCC remain unclear. The aim of this study was to clarify the correlation of mtDNA copy number with clinicopathologic features, prognosis, and cell characteristics of ESCC.

Materials and methods

Clinical samples

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded samples were collected from 80 patients with ESCC who had undergone surgical resection without neoadjuvant therapy at Osaka University Hospital (Osaka, Japan) between April 2002 and July 2014. Tumor stage was classified according to the 8th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer and The Union for International Cancer Control (AJCC/UICC) staging system [26]. Using laser microdissection by LMD7000 (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany), 80 cancerous ESCC nests were subjected to DNA extraction. From 20 patients, non-cancerous samples were also obtained and subjected to DNA extraction. All patients provided written informed consent regarding the use of the resected specimens, and this study was approved by the ethics committee of Osaka University, Graduate School of Medicine (Permit number #15401).

Cell culture and mtDNA depletion

Human ESCC cells, TE8 (RBRC-RCB2098) and TE11 (RBRC-2100), were purchased from the RIKEN BioResource Center (Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan). The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), penicillin (100 IU/ml), and streptomycin (100 μg/ml) at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2. Depletion of mtDNA content was induced by knockdown of mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM) expression or treatment with ethidium bromide (EtBr, 100 ng/ml) for 4 passages [27–30]. Because TFAM is critical for mtDNA packaging and maintenance [31], silencing this factor reduces mtDNA content. A short hairpin RNA designed by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), MISSION TRC-Hs1.0, was applied for knockdown of TFAM expression. The target sequences against TFAM gene were 5’–CGTCGCCAGAAAATAAAAGAA–3’ (TRCN0000016094, defined as ‘tfam-sh1’) and 5’–GCAGATTTAAAGAACAGCTAA–3’ (TRCN0000016097, defined as ‘tfam-sh2’). For comparison, a non-target sequence of 5’–GGCGCGATAGCGCTAATAATT–3’ (SHC016, Sigma-Aldrich, defined as ‘control-sh’) was
used as the control. The cells treated by EtBr were defined as ‘EtBr’. The cells with reduced mtDNA copy number were cultured in the medium with 1 mM sodium pyruvate and 50 μg/ml uridine [27, 29]. The morphology of the cells was examined by optical microscopy (BZ-X710, KEYENCE, OSAKA, Japan).

**Measurement of mtDNA copy number and mRNA expression levels**

Genomic DNA from cancerous and non-cancerous tissues was extracted using the GeneRead DNA FFPE Kit (180134, Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The mtDNA copy number was measured by quantitative real-time PCR using specific primers for mtDNA-coded *Cytochrome Oxidase I* (Forward: 5’-TGATCTGCAGTGCTCTGA-3’, Reverse: 5’-TCAGGCCACCTACGGTGAA-3’) and nuclear DNA–coded *Cytochrome Oxidase IV* (Forward: 5’-GAAAGTGTTGTGAAGAGCGAAGAC-3’, Reverse: 5’-GTGGTCACGCCGATCCAT-3’) genes after adjusting with the mtDNA copy number of the TE11 cell as 1.00 [27, 29]. The mRNA expression levels of mtDNA-depleted cells were measured by quantitative RT-PCR and normalized to the expression of β-actin and compared with control-sh cells or parental cells as 1.00.

**Lactate assay**

A total of 2.0×10⁵ cells per well were seeded in 6-well plates. Extracellular L(+)-lactate in culture medium was detected using a lactate assay kit (MAK064, Sigma-Aldrich) after incubation for 48 h, following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Transmission electron microscopy**

A total of 1.0×10⁵ cells per well were seeded in 6-well plates and cultured at 37°C for 48 h, followed by fixation in 2.5% glutaraldehyde. The fixed cells were embedded in resin with Quetol-812 (Nissin EM, Tokyo, Japan) and cut into ultrathin (80 nm) sections using the Reichert-Jung Ultracut E (Reichert, Vienna, Austria). Finally, the stained ultramicrotomies were observed and imaged with a transmission electron microscope (H-7650, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

**Cell proliferation and viability assay**

A total of 4.0×10³ cells per well were seeded in 96-well plates, and cell viability was assessed using the Cell Counting kit-F (CK06, Dojindo, Japan) at 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h after incubation under normoxia (20% O₂) or hypoxia (1% O₂). The hypoxia-resistant rate was assessed by the ratio of the proliferation rate under hypoxia to that under normoxia at each time point. The fluorescence intensity was measured by SH-9000lab (Corona Electric, Ibaraki, Japan) on a plate reader at an excitation wavelength of 490 nm and emission wavelength of 515 nm.

**Immunoblotting analysis**

The relative protein expression levels were investigated by immunoblotting. TFAM, E-cadherin and N-cadherin expression levels were monitored using a commercially available anti-TFAM rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:1000 dilution, ab47517, Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-E-cadherin mouse monoclonal antibody (1:500 dilution, 610181, BD Biosciences), anti-N-cadherin mouse monoclonal antibody (1:500 dilution, 610920, BD Biosciences) and anti-actin rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:1000 dilution, A2066, Sigma-Aldrich).
Flow cytometry analysis

The relative protein expression levels of CD44 were investigated by flow cytometry. Harvested cells were stained with an APC conjugated anti-CD44 antibody (4103011, BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA). Flow cytometry was carried out using FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences) and analyzed by FlowJo ver 10.3 (TOMY DIGITAL BIOLOGY, Tokyo, Japan), and mean fluorescence intensities (MFI) were measured.

Invasion assay

To measure the invasive conditions of cells, we used 24-well inserted plates with 8 μm membrane pores and Matrigel coating (#354480, Corning, NY, USA). A total of $1.0 \times 10^5$ (TE8) or $5.0 \times 10^4$ (TE11) cells were added to the upper chamber, and 0.75 ml medium was added in the lower chamber. After cells were incubated at 37°C for 48 (TE8) or 24 h (TE11), non-invasive cells in the top chamber were removed by cotton swabs. Invasive cells at the bottom of the membrane were fixed and stained with the Diff-Quick stain kit (16920, Sysmex, Hyogo, Japan). Finally, the number of invasive cells was counted.

Migration (scratch-wound healing) assay

Confluent monolayer of cells in 6-well plates were scratched using a pipette tip, and cells migrating into this area were observed under a microscope. Images were extracted at 0, 6, and 12 h after the scratch. For quantitative analysis, the wounded area was measured using ImageJ software [32, 33]. The wound-healing rate was assessed at 6 h and 12 h.

Cell cycle assay

For cell cycle analysis, harvested cells were washed with PBS and fixed with ice-cold 70% ethanol and then treated with RNase and stained with propidium iodide (PI). After staining, flow cytometry was carried out using FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences) and analyzed by FlowJo ver 10.3 (TOMY DIGITAL BIOLOGY, Tokyo, Japan). The duration of each cycle was assessed based on the results of the cell proliferation assay.

Sphere formation assay

Cells were resuspended in serum-free MEGM BulletKit medium (CC-3150, Lonza, Allendale, NJ, USA) and plated in ultra–low-attachment 96-well plates (#3474, Corning, NY, USA) at 200 cells per well. The BulletKit medium included bovine pituitary extract, epidermal growth factor, insulin, hydrocortisone, and antibiotics. The number of spheres in each well was counted at day 7.

Statistical analysis

All analyses and experiments were repeated at least three times. Statistical analyses were performed with JMP® Pro 12 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Fisher’s exact test or a χ² test was applied to evaluate the association between mtDNA copy number and clinicopathological factors. The recurrence-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) rates of patients were estimated by Kaplan–Meier survival analysis and compared using the log-rank test. RFS was defined as the time from surgical resection to recurrence of the cancer or death from any cause. OS was defined as the time from surgical resection to death from any cause. The Cox’s proportional hazards regression model was performed to identify factors influencing the RFS of ESCC. Other statistical analyses were performed using Student’s t-tests. The difference was considered significant when a p value was less than 0.05. In the cell culture assay, ‘*’ and ‘**’
indicates a significant difference between tfam-sh1 and control-sh cells (\'p value <0.05, **p value <0.01\'). † and †† indicated significant difference between tfam-sh2 and control-sh cells (\'p value <0.05, **p value <0.01\').

Results

The mtDNA copy number in ESCC cells is correlated with tumor progression and prognosis

The median patient age was 71.5 years (range, 42–85 years). A total of 19 patients had clinical Stage I, 44 had clinical Stage II, 14 had clinical Stage III, and 3 had clinical Stage IV disease (Table 1). Compared to paired non-cancerous samples among 20 patients, the mtDNA copy numbers of the cancerous samples were significantly decreased (median 0.56 (0.30–1.47) vs 0.95 (0.58–1.40), \(p<0.01\); Fig 1A). The mtDNA copy number of the cancerous samples was 56.0 (37.4–234.5) percent of the non-cancerous portion (Fig 1B). The median mtDNA copy number of ESCC samples from 80 patients was 0.91 (0.09–1.98) (Fig 1C).

Patients also were categorized into higher and lower groups based on the median mtDNA copy number of 0.91. Lower copy number was significantly correlated with high pathological tumor invasion and stage (pT, \(p=0.045\); pStage \(p=0.025\); Table 2). Moreover, the lower mtDNA copy number group was more likely to have venous invasion, but there was no significant correlation (\(p=0.076\)).

Survival analyses showed that ESCC patients with lower mtDNA copy number had significantly poorer 5-year RFS and OS (RFS: 33.3% vs 62.3%, \(p=0.030\); OS: 31.5% vs 68.4%, \(p<0.01\) (Fig 1D and 1E). On univariate analysis of OS, pathological AJCC/UICC T (tumor size) and N (node involvement) factors and mtDNA copy number were significant predictors of survival (S1 Table). On multivariate analyses, independent predictive factors associated with decreased OS rate were pathological T stage (hazard ratio (HR) 2.301, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.116–4.891, \(p=0.024\)) and mtDNA copy number (HR 2.281, 95%CI 1.144–4.781, \(p=0.019\)).

Table 1. Patient characteristics.

| Characteristic | Median/Range | Number of patients |
|----------------|--------------|--------------------|
| Age | Median | 71.5 (42–85) |
| Sex | Male/Female | 65 / 15 |
| Location | Ut / Mt / Lt | 13 / 32 / 35 |
| Histology | wel / mod / por | 19 / 32 / 9 |
| cT | 1 / 2 / 3 / 4 | 21 / 30 / 22 / 7 |
| cN | 0 / 1 / 2 / 3 | 62 / 17 / 1 / 0 |
| cM | 0 / 1 | 77 / 3 |
| cStage | I / II / III / IV | 19 / 44 / 14 / 3 |
| pT | 1 / 2 / 3 / 4 | 32 / 14 / 30 / 4 |
| pN | 0 / 1 / 2 / 3 | 36 / 25 / 12 / 7 |
| pM | 0 / 1 | 77 / 3 |
| pStage | I / II / III / IV | 28 / 21 / 28 / 3 |

wel, well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma; mod, moderately differentiated squamous cell carcinoma; por, poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma; cT/cN/cM/cStage, clinical classification according to the 8th AJCC/UICC TNM (tumor, node, metastases) classification; pT/pN/pM/pStage, pathological classification according to the 8th AJCC/UICC TNM classification.
Establishment of cells with decreased mtDNA copy number

Downregulation of TFAM mRNA and protein levels was confirmed by qRT-PCR and immunoblotting, respectively (Fig 2A and 2B). As determined by qRT-PCR, the mRNA expression of TFAM was silenced to about 50% compared with non-target control cells in both TE8 and TE11 cells. The mtDNA copy numbers of TFAM knockdown cells were about 40% of the

Fig 1. MtDNA copy number of the non-cancerous and cancerous samples and patient survival. (A) The mtDNA copy numbers of the cancerous samples from 20 patients were significantly lower than that of paired non-cancerous samples (median 0.95 (0.58–1.40) vs 0.95 (0.58–1.40), p < 0.01). (B) The mtDNA copy number of the cancerous samples was 56.0 (37.4–234.5) percent of the non-cancerous parts. (C) The median mtDNA copy numbers of the ESCC cancerous samples from 80 patients was 0.91 (0.09–1.98), adjusting with the mtDNA copy number of the TE11 cell as 1.00. The Kaplan–Meier survival curve and the log-rank test were used to analyze the RFS (D) and OS (E) of patients according to mtDNA copy number levels. Patients with lower mtDNA copy number showed significantly lower rates of RFS and OS than the higher group (p < 0.05).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193159.g001
control cells in TE8, about 60–70% in TE11, and significantly lower than control cells (p < 0.01; Fig 2C). To confirm the increased dependence on glycolysis due to mtDNA depletion, the lactate concentration of each cell was measured [34]. The extracellular lactate concentrations of mtDNA-depleted cells were higher than control cells (TE8: 1.34 ± 0.03 or 1.44 ± 0.01 vs 1.13 ± 0.01 μg/μL, p < 0.01; TE11: 1.34 ± 0.03 (p < 0.01) or 1.26 ± 0.01 (p = 0.011) vs 0.83 ± 0.16; Fig 2D).

The changes in morphology in TFAM knockdown cells were observed by optical microscopy and transmission electron microscopy. Compared with the control cells, the TFAM knockdown cells showed spindle cell transformation (Fig 2E) and mitochondrial swelling and dissolved cristae (bold arrow, Fig 2F).

We confirmed establishment of mtDNA-depleted cells by genetic suppression. Furthermore, we pharmacologically generated mtDNA-depleted cells by treatment with EtBr. The

### Table 2. Relationship between mtDNA copy number and clinicopathological characteristics.

| Characteristic   | mtDNA copy number | p value |
|------------------|-------------------|---------|
|                  | Higher group      | Lower group |     |
|                  | n (%)             | n (%)     |     |
| Age, years       |                   |           | 0.476 |
| <70              | 19 (46.3)         | 15 (38.5) |     |
| ≥70              | 22 (53.7)         | 24 (61.5) |     |
| Sex              |                   |           | 0.694 |
| Male             | 34 (82.9)         | 31 (79.5) |     |
| Female           | 7 (17.1)          | 8 (20.5)  |     |
| Histology        |                   |           | 0.679 |
| wel, mod         | 36 (87.8)         | 33 (84.6) |     |
| por              | 5 (12.2)          | 6 (15.4)  |     |
| Lymphatic invasion |                 |           | 0.529 |
| negative         | 12 (29.3)         | 9 (23.1)  |     |
| positive         | 29 (70.7)         | 30 (76.9) |     |
| Venous invasion  |                   |           | 0.076 |
| negative         | 27 (65.9)         | 18 (46.2) |     |
| positive         | 14 (34.1)         | 21 (53.8) |     |
| pT               |                   |           | 0.045* |
| 0–2              | 28 (68.3)         | 18 (46.2) |     |
| 3–4              | 13 (31.7)         | 21 (53.8) |     |
| pN               |                   |           | 0.252 |
| 0                | 21 (51.2)         | 15 (38.5) |     |
| 1–3              | 20 (48.8)         | 24 (61.5) |     |
| pM               |                   |           | 0.586 |
| 0                | 39 (95.1)         | 38 (97.4) |     |
| 1                | 2 (4.9)           | 1 (2.6)   |     |
| pStage           |                   |           | 0.025* |
| I–II             | 30 (73.2)         | 19 (48.7) |     |
| III–IV           | 11 (26.8)         | 20 (51.3) |     |

pT/pN/pM/pStage, pathological classification according to the 8th AJCC/UICC TNM (tumor, node, metastases) classification

* p < 0.05

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193159.t002
Fig 2. mtDNA-depleted TE8 and TE11 cells by knockdown of TFAM gene expression. (A) By short hairpin RNA knockdown of TFAM gene expression, the mRNA expression of TFAM was silenced to about 50% compared with non-target control cells in both TE8 and TE11 cells. (B) Immunoblotting showed downregulation of TFAM and β-actin protein levels in knockdown cells compared with control cells. (C) Relative mtDNA copy number was significantly reduced in TFAM knockdown cells. (D) Lactate concentration was measured and showed a significant increase in knockdown cells compared with control cells. (E) Microscopy images showed changes in mitochondrial structure and function in knockdown cells. (F) Electron microscopy images confirmed the mitochondrial defects observed in (E).
mtDNA copy number of cells treated by EtBr was 47.3±5.7% of the control cell in TE8, about 62.8±2.7% in TE11, and significantly lower than parental cells (p<0.01; S1A Fig).

mtDNA-depleted ESCC cells have tolerance to hypoxia and acquire mesenchymal characteristics and stemness

Cell proliferation of mtDNA-depleted cells and control cells was investigated under normoxia (20% O₂) and hypoxia (1% O₂). Both TE8 and TE11 cells with reduced mtDNA content proliferated slowly under normoxia (Fig 3A), but proliferated at almost the same rate even under hypoxia (Fig 3B and 3C). mtDNA depletion of TE8 and TE11 by treatment with EtBr also led to slow proliferation and tolerance to hypoxia, compared with parental cells (S1C and S1D Fig).

To investigate the biology of mtDNA-depleted cells, we assessed the gene expression associated with epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT), which was considered to play an important role in tumor progression [35–37]. In mtDNA-depleted TE8 and TE11, the mRNA expression of E-cadherin was downregulated, whereas the mRNA expression of N-cadherin, vimentin, and zeb1 was upregulated (Fig 4A). Immunoblotting analysis also showed downregulated E-cadherin and upregulated N-cadherin protein in mtDNA-depleted TE8 and TE11 (Fig 4B). Based on this result, we consequently assessed cell migration and invasion. Matrigel invasion assay and the scratch-wound healing migration assay showed that mtDNA-depleted TE8 and TE11 were significantly more invasive and migrated more than control-sh cells (Fig 4C–4F). The mtDNA-depleted cells generated by treatment with EtBr were also more invasive and migratory than parental cells and had the same changes in the four genes and two proteins related to EMT (S2 Fig).

The mtDNA-depleted ESCC cells also had high mRNA and protein expression of cd44, which is considered a cancer stem cell marker in ESCC (Fig 5A–5C) [38–40]. The tumor sphere formation assay showed that mtDNA-depleted cells formed significantly more spheres than control cells (TE8: 42.4±1.8 or 46.0±1.8 vs 27.7±1.3; TE11: 35.4±4.3 or 42.4±3.3 vs 18.1±2.6; p<0.01) (Fig 5D and 5E). Moreover, the cell cycle assay revealed that the duration in G0/G1 phase was significantly longer in mtDNA-depleted cells than in control cells (TE8: 13.2±0.1 or 15.1±0.1 vs 8.1±0.0 h; TE11: 21.8±0.6 or 19.1±0.4 vs 13.9±0.2 h; p<0.01) (Fig 5F and 5G). In mtDNA-depleted cells generated by EtBr treatment, the same changes were also induced (S3 Fig). These results suggested that mtDNA-depleted ESCC cells acquired mesenchymal characteristics and stemness.

Discussion

The origin of the mitochondrion is widely considered to have been a bacterial progenitor incorporated via symbiosis within an eukaryotic host cell [41, 42]. There is a hypothesis that cancer is in an atavistic condition [43, 44]. Hence, we hypothesized that the cancer may return to a state more like a cell without mitochondria. Mitochondrial defects in cancers have been studied before [45–48], but few reports have addressed them in esophageal cancer. Two recent reports investigated the mutation of mtDNA in esophageal cancer and revealed that D-loop alterations were frequent and that the alteration was associated with poor prognosis [49, 50].
The mutation in the D-loop region also has been linked to reduced mtDNA copy number in other cancers [51, 52].
Compared with control cells, in both TE8 and TE11 cells, E-cadherin protein level in mtDNA-depleted cells was decreased, while N-cadherin protein level in mtDNA-depleted cells was increased.

Fig 4. Acquired invasive and migratory potential in mtDNA-depleted ESCC. (A) The mRNA expression levels of four genes related to the epithelial–mesenchymal transition were analyzed by qPCR. Compared with control cells, in both TE8 and TE11 cells, E-cadherin expression in mtDNA-depleted cells was significantly decreased, while N-cadherin, vimentin, and zeβ-1 expression in mtDNA-depleted cells was significantly increased. (B) The protein levels of E-cadherin and N-cadherin were analyzed by immunoblotting. Compared with control cells, in both TE8 and TE11 cells, E-cadherin protein level in mtDNA-depleted cells was decreased, while N-cadherin protein level in mtDNA-depleted cells was increased.

We focused on the correlation between mtDNA copy number and clinicopathological features in ESCC. In this report, we investigated 100 clinical samples, including non-cancerous tissues, from 80 ESCC patients. We found that mtDNA copy number in ESCC was significantly lower than that of non-cancerous tissues and that low mtDNA copy number was associated with tumor progression and poor prognosis. Alterations in mtDNA copy numbers in other human cancers have been previously studied. In gastric cancer, the mtDNA copy number in cancerous tissues was significantly lower than in the corresponding non-cancerous tissues, and the quantitative changes in mtDNA demonstrated a significant decrease particularly in advanced cases [24]. In colorectal cancer, the mtDNA copy number in cancerous tissue was lower than the corresponding non-cancerous tissue and correlated with poor prognosis [21]. The results of our study with ESCC were consistent with these observations in other cancers. The mtDNA copy number of a tumor may be decreased and low mtDNA copy number may be associated with tumor progression. Some reports showed that mtDNA copy number of ESCC was increased, but the change might be a compensation for the damaged mtDNA to maintain mitochondrial function as the author described [53–56].

Hypoxia is a characteristic feature of locally advanced solid tumors [57, 58]. Our results showed that mtDNA-depleted ESCC cells had tolerance to hypoxia with activating glycolysis and that the mtDNA copy number of cancerous tissue was negatively correlated with depth of tumor invasion. Hence, we hypothesized that the mtDNA copy number of advanced tumors was decreased as a result of adaptation to hypoxia. The mechanism of this adaptation warrants further study.

We also found that ESCC cells with low mtDNA copy number have mesenchymal characteristics and cancer stemness, which play an important role in cancer progression [35–37, 57, 59]. Cells with low mtDNA copy number have higher migration activity in renal cell carcinoma [30] and a stem-like, migratory, and invasive phenotype in breast cancer [27]. The results of our in vitro assay were consistent with those for other cancers and our findings in terms of tumor progression.

Moreover, in this study, transmission electron microscopy revealed that TFAM knockdown cells showed mitochondrial swelling and dissolved cristae. Although there is no report on the ultrastructure of TFAM knockdown cells, the change in morphology is similar to that reported in drug-resistant tumor cells [60, 61]. Hence, mtDNA-depleted ESCC cells may possibly have resistance to anticancer drugs.

The limitation of this study was that it did not clarify how cancer decreases mtDNA copy number or how low mtDNA copy number induces EMT and stemness. The underlying mechanism, the agents for upregulating mtDNA copy number, and the correlation between drug resistance and mtDNA copy number warrant further investigation.
Conclusions
The present study showed that low mtDNA copy number is correlated with tumor invasion, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, cancer stemness, and poor prognosis in ESCC.

Supporting information
S1 Fig. mtDNA-depleted TE8 and TE11 cells by treatment with EtBr. (A) Treatment with EtBr reduced mtDNA copy number to 47.3% in TE8 and 62.8% in TE11 cells compared with parental cells. (B) The EtBr treated cells showed spindle cell transformation. (C) Under normoxia, the proliferation rates of mtDNA-depleted cells by EtBr were significantly lower than in parental cells at 24 (TE8, p = 0.042; TE11, p < 0.01), 48 (TE8, p < 0.01; TE11, p = 0.030), and 72 h (p < 0.01). (D) Under hypoxia, the proliferation rate of parental cells was decreased, but mtDNA-depleted cells generated by EtBr exposure proliferated at almost the same rate.

S2 Fig. Invasive and migratory potential of mtDNA-depleted cells by treatment with EtBr. (A) The mRNA levels of four genes related to epithelial–mesenchymal transition were analyzed by qPCR. In both TE8 and TE11 cells, E-cadherin expression in mtDNA-depleted cells was significantly decreased, while N-cadherin, vimentin, and zeb-1 expression in mtDNA-depleted cells was significantly increased, compared with parental cells. (B) The protein levels of E-cadherin and N-cadherin were analyzed by immunoblotting. Compared with parental cells, in both TE8 and TE11 cells, E-cadherin protein level in mtDNA-depleted cells was decreased, while N-cadherin protein level in mtDNA-depleted cells was increased. (C, D) Both TE8 and TE11 mtDNA-depleted cells were significantly more invasive than parental cells (TE8: 64.3 ± 10.0 vs 25.3 ± 3.5; TE11: 126.0 ± 21.4 vs 52.7 ± 15.5, p < 0.01). (E, F) The confluent monolayer of cells was scratched using a pipette tip, and the wounded area was measured at two time points (0 and 12 h). In both TE8 and TE11 cells, the wounded area was significantly decreased in mtDNA-depleted cells at 12 h, compared with parental cells (TE8: 66.0 ± 6.0 vs 51.1 ± 3.4, p = 0.038; TE11: 40.6 ± 3.2 vs 31.6 ± 4.1%; p = 0.041).

S3 Fig. mtDNA-depleted cells by treatment with EtBr also have stem-like characteristics. (A) In both TE8 and TE11 cells, cd44 expression of mtDNA-depleted cells was significantly increased compared with parental cells. (B, C) The protein expressions of CD44 were analyzed by flow cytometry using APC-CD44. MtDNA-depleted cells by EtBr treatment had higher protein expression of CD44 than control cells. (D) Spheres formed by both TE8 and TE11 cells. (E) mtDNA-depleted cells formed significantly more spheres than control cells (TE8: 24.4 ± 1.8 or 46.0 ± 1.8 vs 27.7 ± 1.3; TE11: 55.4 ± 4.3 or 42.4 ± 3.3 vs 18.1 ± 2.6; p < 0.01). (F, G) The duration in G0/G1 phase was significantly longer in mtDNA-depleted cells than in control cells (TE8: 13.2 ± 0.1 or 13.1 ± 0.1 vs 8.1 ± 0.0 h; TE11: 21.8 ± 0.6 or 19.1 ± 0.4 vs 13.9 ± 0.2 h; p < 0.01).

S1 Table. Prognostic analysis regarding overall survival.
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