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Introduction

Nitrogen is the most important limiting factor determining the yield of wheat. An appropriate level of nitrogen is necessary for good crop production because nitrogen is constituent of protoplasm, protein, chlorophyll, alkaloids, hormones and vitamins. Nitrogen increases dry matter production and ultimately results in more yields. Among various production inputs, nitrogen fertilizers have played a key role in increasing production of wheat in India since 1960. To achieve high yields of wheat, farmers in many parts of the world tends to apply nitrogen (N) in excess of the requirements. This is particularly true in the wheat-growing area of the Indo-Gangetic Plains (IGP) leads to reduction in N fertilizer recovery efficiency which is already no more than 50 percent (Singh et al., 2001). A recent world-wide evaluation shows that the fertilizer N recovery efficiency is around 30 per cent in wheat with current practices (Krupnik et al., 2004). The major reason of low N-use efficiency is fixed-time splitting of
N applications advocated in current recommendation or N application is not synchronized with crop demand, as well as the use of nitrogen in excess to the requirement. On the other side nitrogen is also subject to various kinds of losses viz. denitrification, volatilization and leaching resulting in poor nitrogen use efficiencies.

The real time nitrogen management approach can help increase nitrogen use efficiency by matching time of fertilizer application with plant need. Some Modern gadgets or tools like Leaf colour chart (LCC) based on spectral properties of leaf and chlorophyll meter or Soil Plant Analysis Determination (SPAD) meter based on light transmittance through leaves has been reported by several researchers as reliable tools to increase N use efficiency in rice and wheat. They regulate the timing of nitrogen application (Singh et al., 2002). The concept is based on the result that show close link between leaf chlorophyll and nitrogen content. SPAD and LCC can save up to 50 and 60 kg N/ha, respectively, without yield decrement in wheat over the fixed timing N treatments where 150 kg N/ha was applied in 3 Splits (Maiti and Das, 2006). Thus Nitrogen management through LCC and SPAD can be an effective strategy for improving the N efficiency and minimizing the losses. Research study carried out with the hypothesis to see whether different nitrogen fertilization based on some threshold values of leaf colour chart (LCC) and chlorophyll meter (SPAD meter) utilized nitrogen more efficiently or not over recommended practice of nitrogen management. Aim was also to find out the effect on growth, yield and physiological parameters.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted at Crop research center of Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand during the *rabi* season of 2014–15. Pantnagar is located at 29° N latitude, 79.29° E longitude and an altitude of 243.84 m above the mean sea level in the tarai belt of Shiwalik range of the Himalayan foot hills. It falls under the sub-humid and sub-tropical climatic zone. The experiment site had silty clay loam soil and Mollisol in nature. Soil of the experimental plot was high in organic carbon (0.66 per cent), low in available nitrogen (234 kg/ha), medium in available phosphorus (24 kg/ha), medium in available potassium (187 kg/ha) and pH near to neutral in reaction (7.2). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design comprising 12 treatments with 3 replications. Different nitrogen management treatments comprising of control (no N), recommended N (50 kg/ha as basal + 50 kg/ha at crown root initiation + 50 kg/ha at maximum tillering), 25 kg/ha as basal + two splits of 30 kg N/ha (at LCC 4, 5 and SPAD 35, 40), 25 kg/ha as basal + two splits of 40 kg/ha (at LCC 4, 5 and SPAD 35, 40), 25 kg/ha as basal + 30 kg/ha at CRI + one split of 30 kg N/ha at SPAD 40 and 25 kg/ha as basal + 40 kg/ha at CRI + one split of 40 kg N/ha at SPAD 40.

Variety HD 2967 was sown on 14 November, 2014 using seed rate of 100 kg/ha and spacing 20 cm. Fertilizer application was done as per the treatments in the individual plots. NPK mixture, urea, SSP, MOP were used as the source of N, P and K respectively. The full amount of phosphorus 60 kg/ha and potassium 40 kg/ha applied as basal while nitrogen was applied as per the treatments. SPAD meter and LCC were used for measurement of 10 topmost fully expended leaves at 7 days interval at a specified time and averaged for each plot. Composite plant samples (grain and straw) were used for each treatment at harvest for analyzing nitrogen. Nitrogen content in plant was determined by micro Kjeldahl’s method (Jackson, 1958).
The total nitrogen uptake was calculated by multiplying nitrogen concentration in the tissue of the plant to grain and straw yield. Nitrogen use efficiency in terms of agronomic efficiency (kg grain yield increase per kg N applied) and recovery efficiency (increased nitrogen uptake per kg nitrogen applied) were calculated by using the formula (Cassman et al., 1998) given below:

\[
\text{Agronomic efficiency} = \frac{\text{Grain yield (kg/ha) with applied N} - \text{Grain yield (kg/ha) without N}}{\text{Amount of N fertilizer applied (kg/ha)}}
\]

\[
\text{Remobilization efficiency} = \frac{\text{Total N uptake (kg/ha) by crop} - \text{Nitrogen added} - \text{Total N uptake (kg/ha) by crop} + \text{Nitrogen removed}}{\text{Amount of N fertilizer applied (kg/ha)}} \times 100
\]

All the data for wheat were pooled statistically and then data were statistically analysed with the help of statistical programme STPR-3 of Department of mathematics, statistics and Computer Sciences, Pantnagar.

**Results and Discussion**

The trends of all the growth parameters viz., plant height, shoot density, dry matter, leaf area index (LAI) at 60 and 90 days after sowing revealed that all the nitrogen management treatments had significant influence over control. Maximum value of aforesaid parameters were recorded in recommended nitrogen management i.e., 150 kg N/ha (Table 1); however, they were at par with real time nitrogen management at lower rate of 105 kg N/ha based on given LCC and SPAD threshold values was at par with recommended nitrogen management for all the given yield attributes. Trend was similar to what observed earlier in growth parameters like plant height, shoot per square meter, dry matter, and LAI. The final yield is a cumulative effect of growth, yield component, directly or indirectly contributing to yield. Thus statistically at par growth and yield component resulted no significant difference in grain yield in real time nitrogen management of 105 kg N/ha and recommended nitrogen management at fixed time. It could be attributed to better synchronization of N supply with crop N demand leading to higher N uptake, which improves photosynthetic rate leading higher growth and biomass production due to real time application of N based on LCC and SPAD threshold values. Higher photosynthetic rate at lower rate of nitrogen in LCC and SPAD based nitrogen management reflected in better reproductive growth too. Similar finding was also reported by Shukla et al., (2004) and Mathukia et al., (2014).

Study revealed a positive correlation between leaf chlorophyll content and chlorophyll meter (SPAD meter) reading. It observed that chlorophyll content and SPAD meter values
did not differ significantly in the treatments where nitrogen applied at the rate of 105 kg/ha based on given LCC and SPAD threshold value and recommended nitrogen management (Table 2).

However these treatments were significantly superior over the treatments where nitrogen applied at lower rate of 85 kg/ha and the acute N deficient plots (control) as compare to other treatments where nitrogen content of plant tissue was maintained at higher level which reflect the high chlorophyll content and SPAD value. These results are in agreement with Sabo et al., 2002, Bojovic and Stojanovic.2005. Chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm value) which indirectly measures photosynthetic efficiency at 60 and 90 DAS were not found significantly differs in all the nitrogen management treatments except control. Although with increasing rate of nitrogen, Fv/Fm was increased, the minimum Fv/Fm was observed in control plot and maximum at was recorded in recommended nitrogen practice. The statistical difference in terms of maximal efficiency of PSII (Fv/Fm) was observed only in the acute N deficient plots as other treatment did receive nitrogen either adequately at fixed time or on real time basis. In plants exposed to nitrogen deficiency lower values of Fv/Fm were often found (Guidi et al., 1997).

**Treatments chart for nitrogen fertilizer dose and application time**

| Treatments                             | Total nitrogen (kg/ha) | Dose (kg/ha) and Application time |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                        |                        | First split (Basal) | Second split | Third split                       |
| Control (no N)                         | 0                      | 0                   | 0            | 0                                  |
| Recommended N 150                      | 150                    | 50                  | 50 (25DAS/CRI) | 50 (45 DAS/Max tillering)          |
| *30 kg N at LCC 4                      | 85                     | 25                  | 30 (29DAS)   | 30 (55 DAS)                       |
| *40 kg N at LCC 4                      | 105                    | 25                  | 40 (29 DAS)  | 40 (57 DAS)                       |
| *30 kg N at LCC 5                      | 85                     | 25                  | 30 (25 DAS)  | 30 (45 DAS)                       |
| *40 kg N at LCC 5                      | 105                    | 25                  | 40 (25 DAS)  | 40 (47 DAS)                       |
| *30 kg N at SPAD 40                    | 85                     | 25                  | 30 (25 DAS)  | 30 (46 DAS)                       |
| *40 kg N at SPAD 40                    | 105                    | 25                  | 40 (27 DAS)  | 40 (49 DAS)                       |
| *30 kg N at SPAD 35                    | 85                     | 25                  | 30 (32 DAS)  | 30 (56 DAS)                       |
| *40 kg N at SPAD 35                    | 105                    | 25                  | 40 (32DAS)   | 40 (57 DAS)                       |
| *30 Kg N at CRI+30 kg at SPAD 40       | 85                     | 25                  | 30 (25DAS/CRI) | 30 (51 DAS)                       |
| *40 Kg N at CRI + 40 kg at SPAD 40     | 105                    | 25                  | 40 (25DAS/CRI) | 40 (51 DAS)                       |

*25 kg N/ha was applied as basal
Table.1 Effect of different nitrogen management treatments on growth, yield attributes and grain yield of wheat

| Treatments       | Total dose of N (kg/ha) | Plant height (cm) | Shoot density (Shoots/m²) | Dry matter (g/m²) | Leaf area Index (LAI) | No. of grain/spike | 1000 grain weight (g) | Grain yield (t/ha) |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Control (no N)   | 0                       | 22.1              | 190                       | 38                | 2.4                  | 30.6              | 28.6                  | 1.3               |
| Recommended N    | 150                     | 37.3              | 393                       | 432               | 4.1                  | 41.2              | 49.2                  | 4.4               |
| '30 kg at LCC 4  | 85                      | 30.5              | 302                       | 116               | 3.4                  | 34.2              | 35.3                  | 2.6               |
| '40 kg at LCC 4  | 105                     | 35.1              | 395                       | 160               | 3.9                  | 37.2              | 47.1                  | 3.8               |
| '30 kg at LCC 5  | 85                      | 32.6              | 330                       | 120               | 3.5                  | 36.3              | 36.0                  | 2.7               |
| '40 kg at LCC 5  | 105                     | 34.4              | 402                       | 163               | 4.0                  | 47.8              | 44.0                  | 4.1               |
| '30 kg at SPAD 40| 85                      | 33.2              | 335                       | 122               | 3.5                  | 37.5              | 38.3                  | 2.8               |
| '40 kg at SPAD 40| 105                     | 35.5              | 410                       | 168               | 4.0                  | 48.3              | 44.3                  | 4.1               |
| '30 kg at SPAD 35| 85                      | 33.4              | 321                       | 118               | 3.1                  | 35.3              | 35.6                  | 2.6               |
| '40 kg at SPAD 35| 105                     | 35.1              | 398                       | 162               | 4.0                  | 47.6              | 43.4                  | 3.9               |
| 30 Kg at CRI+30  | 85                      | 35.5              | 335                       | 124               | 3.6                  | 37.3              | 36.0                  | 2.7               |
| kg at SPAD 40    |                         |                   |                            |                   |                      |                   |                       |                   |
| 40 Kg at CRI+40  | 105                     | 36.0              | 402                       | 169               | 4.0                  | 47.8              | 44.2                  | 4.0               |
| kg at SPAD 40    |                         |                   |                            |                   |                      |                   |                       |                   |
| SEm±             | 1.7                     | 2.6               | 11                        | 11                | 0.3                  | 0.2               | 2.3                   | 2.0               |
| CD (P=0.05)      | 5.1                     | 7.7               | 32                        | 34                | 0.8                  | 0.6               | 6.8                   | 8.3               |

*25 kg N/ha was applied as basal, recommended N 150 kg N/ha in 3 equal splits, i.e. 50 kg/ha as basal, 50 kg/ha at crown-root initiation (CRI) stage and 50 kg/ha at maximum tillering stage

Table.2 Effect of different nitrogen management treatments on chlorophyll content, SPAD meter and Fv/Fm value and total nitrogen uptake

| Treatments       | Total dose of N (kg/ha) | Chlorophyll content (mg/g) | SPAD meter | Fv/Fm | Total N uptake (kg/ha) |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------|-----------------------|
| Control (no N)   | 0                       | 1.30                        | 33.7       | 0.54  | 25                    |
| Recommended N    | 150                     | 1.75                        | 38.4       | 0.80  | 106                   |
| '30 kg at LCC 4  | 85                      | 1.52                        | 34.3       | 0.72  | 59                    |
| '40 kg at LCC 4  | 105                     | 1.80                        | 39.4       | 0.78  | 98                    |
| '30 kg at LCC 5  | 85                      | 1.56                        | 35.0       | 0.73  | 64                    |
| '40 kg at LCC 5  | 105                     | 1.84                        | 42.1       | 0.79  | 101                   |
| '30 kg at SPAD 40| 85                      | 1.57                        | 40.5       | 0.75  | 68                    |
| '40 kg at SPAD 40| 105                     | 1.88                        | 42.3       | 0.79  | 105                   |
| '30 kg at SPAD 35| 85                      | 1.52                        | 35.7       | 0.72  | 66                    |
| '40 kg at SPAD 35| 105                     | 1.80                        | 40.4       | 0.78  | 98                    |
| '30 Kg at CRI+30 | 85                      | 1.56                        | 39.3       | 0.73  | 63                    |
| kg at SPAD 40    |                         |                             | 40.0       | 0.71  | 63                    |
| 40 Kg at CRI+40  | 105                     | 1.81                        | 41.3       | 0.77  | 104                   |
| kg at SPAD 40    |                         |                             | 40.1       | 0.75  | 104                   |
| SEm±             | 0.08                    | 0.06                        | 1.5        | 1.4   | 0.02                  |
| CD (P=0.05)      | 0.24                    | 0.19                        | 4.4        | 4.1   | 0.06                  |

*25 kg N/ha was applied as basal, recommended N 150 kg N/ha in 3 equal splits, i.e. 50 kg/ha as basal, 50 kg/ha at crown-root initiation (CRI) stage and 50 kg/ha at maximum tillering stage
Fig.1 Agronomic efficiency (kg grain yield increased per kg N applied), recovery efficiency (%), net returns (× 10^3 ₹) and benefit: cost ratio as influenced by different treatments.
Increasing trend of total nitrogen uptake was obtained with increasing rate of nitrogen application. Although total nitrogen uptake was maximum in recommended management practice, it was at par with treatments of applied total nitrogen at the rate of 105 kg N/ha based on given LCC and SPAD threshold values. In recommended application of nitrogen, crop took 106.1 kg of nitrogen which indicated that crop required much quantity of nitrogen for full growth and development. The same quantity can be obtained by crop when real time nitrogen management was followed but for that about 105 kg N/ha had to be provided. There was significant difference for the total nitrogen uptake in 105 kg N/ha application compared to applied nitrogen at the rate of 85 kg N/ha and control. Maiti and Das (2006) also reported that the total N uptake was directly proportional to total N rates and the highest N uptake was observed in the fixed-scheduling treatment where highest level of N, i.e., 150 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) was applied in three splits. The total N uptake in SPAD and LCC-based N plot was slightly lower compared to the highest level of fixed-timing N plot, which might be attributed to less N application through the use of SPAD and LCC which also preserved N without any yield reduction. Bisht (2000) reported that nitrogen application increased the nitrogen content in grain and straw and therefore high total nitrogen uptake by wheat crop in SPAD based treatment.

Nitrogen use efficiency in terms of agronomic efficiency i.e., kg grain yield increased per kg nitrogen applied and recovery efficiency i.e., per cent increased in N uptake per kg N applied both were declined with the increasing rate of nitrogen application from 105 to 150 kg/ha. There was maximum an increase of 24.3 and 22.1 per cent in agronomic efficiency and recovery efficiency respectively when total 105 kg/ha N applied at SPAD 40 over recommended N management treatment. High value of nitrogen use efficiency in terms of agronomic efficiency and recovery efficiency reported in LCC and SPAD based treatments might have been attributed to better and timely availability of nitrogen for their utilization by plant as judged from higher and statistically similar grain nitrogen content, total nitrogen uptake, grain yield with lesser N application by using SPAD and LCC besides saving of 30 kg N/ha. Thus, study revealed efficient utilization of fertilizer with saving of 45 kg N/ha (30 per cent). These findings are in line with Khurana et al., (2008) and Singh et al., (2007).

Maximum numerical value of net returns and B: C ratio was recorded in recommended practice but it was almost similar to 105 kg/ha N applied in LCC and SPAD based treatments. In all the SPAD and LCC based treatments high net returns and B: C ratio was obtained where nitrogen applied at 105 kg/ha could be attributed to statistically similar grain yield and low input cost observed in case of SPAD and LCC based treatment. There was only Rs 3292 higher net return was obtained in the recommended practice compared to next best treatment, i.e. application of 25 kg N as basal + 40 kg at SPAD 40 yet environmental benefit and fertilizer saving will be huge (Fig. 1).

From the research study, It is concluded that application of nitrogen at lower rate of 105 kg N/ha (25 kg N/ha as basal and two splits of 40 kg nitrogen applied based on LCC and SPAD threshold value 4, 5 and 35, 40) aid in utilized nitrogen more efficiently with the saving of 30 per cent or 45 kg /ha nitrogen without any significant decrement in growth and grain yield compared to recommended nitrogen management practice of 150 kg/ha. Also if 105 kg N/ha is applied as basal at the rate of 25 kg/ha, 40 kg/ha at CRI stage and followed by single split of 40 kg/ha whenever LCC or
SPAD falls below 4, 5 and 35, 40 respectively produces same result as that of two splits of 40 kg/ha in LCC and SPAD based treatments. Thus both tools can be used as efficient ones to determine the time and amount of nitrogen application to the crop and helping farmer to utilize the fertilizer nitrogen efficiently and optimally.
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