Drought-tolerant Common Bush Bean Physiological Parameters as Indicators to Identify Susceptibility
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Abstract. Bean crops can be displaced to marginal areas or face abiotic stresses such as water deficit. Physiological responses allow the identification of tolerant genotypes and lead to more precise breeding strategies. The objective of this research was to evaluate the physiological (leaf gas exchange properties, leaf water content, and leaf thickness) and biochemical [proline and malondialdehyde (MDA)] responses of five common bush bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cultivars (*ICA-Cerinza*, *ICA-Bachue*, NUA35, Bianca, and Bacata) under a water shortage period by irrigation suspension (15 days) at two different phenological stages [vegetative: 40–55 days after seed emergence (DAE) or reproductive: (50–65 DAE)]. A completely randomized block design was carried out with a factorial arrangement (the phenological stage as the main factor and the cultivars as the secondary factor) for a total of 10 treatments with four repetitions per treatment. Leaf photosynthesis (*P*ₙ) showed equal photosynthesis values in control plants of all cultivars (≈20 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹). The water deficit period reduced *P*ₙ close to 55% (≈12 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) at both, vegetative, or reproductive stage in all cases. Similar results were also observed on leaf thickness, with a reduction of ≈10% in water-stressed plants at either vegetative or reproductive stage in all evaluated cultivars. A higher MDA and proline production were observed in plants affected by a 15-day water deficit period, mainly at the vegetative stage. The obtained results suggest that the vegetative stage presented a more negative impact on the evaluated physiological variables in most of the cultivars used. Cultivar Bachue showed lower gas exchange properties affection and higher proline content, which may indicate that this cultivar can be tolerant to water deficit stress conditions. This study allows suggesting that proline and MDA estimation are simple, fast, and low-cost techniques to screen cultivars to obtain more precise breeding selection in common bean. Finally, common bean cultivar selection through the use of biochemical markers can be complemented by the estimation of leaf gas exchange parameters at different phenological stages.

The common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is a small farmers’ crop in Latin America (Beebe et al., 2008). In Colombia, *P. vulgaris* reached a production of 62,974 tons during the first half of 2015 (Fenalce, 2015). It is also a family agricultural activity with a production that generally occurs under conditions of abiotic and biotic stress. For this reason, it is important to select genetically stable materials with higher yield potential (Ligarreto-Moreno et al., 2015).

Water stress is one of the main problems in bean production, because it can reduce yields by up to 60% in producer regions worldwide (White and Singh, 1991). In addition, bean cultivation has been displaced to marginal areas in certain agricultural regions due to competition between crops, causing bean plants to be exposed to abiotic stresses such as water and thermal stress (Porch et al., 2007). For instance, beans are mainly cultivated in the Andean region in Colombia, and this area of the country has been affected by climate change and/or climate variability (ENSO Phenomena), which have caused increases in temperature and fluctuation in the normal precipitation patterns (Li et al., 2011). The negative effects of water stress on plant physiology depend on the development stage at which stress occurs and its intensity (Faroq et al., 2012). In this context, the study of the physiological responses of different genotypes under water deficit stress at different phenological stages has allowed identifying the possible strategies of plants to overcome this adverse abiotic condition. In addition, it has allowed the understanding of the physiological mechanisms involved in acclimation that affect plant behavior and later crop yield (Beebe et al., 2013; Osman, 2015; Winterhalter et al., 2011).

On the other hand, physiological studies with a focus on the use of gas exchange properties [photosynthesis, stomatal conductance (*gₛ*) and transpiration] have been performed during the past decades to characterize and improve common bean acclimation to water deficit (Beebe et al., 2013). The study of biochemical variables also has been very helpful to identify markers of susceptibility and/or tolerance to stress conditions in genotypes (Aranjuelo et al., 2011; Mohamed and Ibrahim, 2011). In this sense, it has been reported that susceptible genotypes accumulate less dry matter and produce different proline concentrations, which means that those genotypes have a better osmotic adjustment (Sánchez-Rodríguez et al., 2010). In addition, water deficit favors MDA production, and higher levels of this biomarker suggest susceptible genotypes (Moussa and Abdel-Aziz, 2008). On the other hand, leaf thickness is another important trait to quantify the effect of water deficit on plant water relations (Scoffoni et al., 2014). Leaf thickness has been used as a physiological indicator to optimize irrigation strategies and characterize genotypes in relation to drought conditions (Seelig et al., 2012).

Physiological breeding is the interaction between common genetic bases and physiological approaches to lead to more precise breeding strategies, generating new cultivars capable of adapting to stressful environments or future climatic conditions (Reynolds and Langridge, 2016). The use of physiological mechanisms as breeding strategies has supported crop genotype identification to abiotic stresses in recent years (Moura et al., 2018; Mwavdzeni et al., 2016; Waga et al., 2019). In addition, common bean breeding programs have identified that physiological traits (plant growth, photosynthetic pigments, *gₛ*, canopy temperature) could be considered as useful selection criteria for drought resistance, because they are simple to measure (Polania et al., 2016).

Based on the previously mentioned, it is important to conduct research to know the physiological behavior of different bush bean genotypes under water deficit. This would allow generating information and tools that contribute to breeding programs (Beebe et al., 2013; Ayala et al., 2013). We hypothesized that common bush bean cultivars showed a superior performance to drought stress because of their greater osmolyte adjustment, lower cell membrane damage, and higher leaf thickness and water use efficiency. For this reason, the objective of this research was to evaluate physiological, biochemical, and anatomical variables as tools for identification of tolerance or susceptibility traits in bush bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) genotypes under a severe period of water deficit at two different phenological stages (vegetative vs. reproductive).

Materials and Methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Seeds of five bush bean cultivars were used in the present study. The studied genotypes were the following: 1) ICA-Cerinza and ICA-Bachue are two cultivars that have been sown in traditional Colombian agriculture for 20 years, 2) NUA35 is a cultivar with 7 years of commercialization since its release, and 3) Bianca and Bacata are two...
cultivars of recent release (less than 2 years). One seed per planting site was sown in the greenhouse of the Faculty of Agricultural Sciences at Universidad Nacional de Colombia located in the city of Bogotá at a height of 2556 meters above sea level (lat. 4°35′56″ N and long. 74°04′51″ W) from Sept. 2015 to Jan. 2016 in 2.1 m² plots (three rows of 1 linear meter long). The plant spacing was 16 cm × 70 cm between plants and between rows, respectively (20 seeds per plot = 85,000 plants/ha). The physicochemical characteristics of the soil in the greenhouse were as follows: 1) sandy loam soil (26% sand, 42% silt, and 32% clay); 2) chemical characteristics: total nitrogen 0.36%; Ca: 10.6, K: 0.98, Mg: 1.75, Na: 0.24 meq·100 g⁻¹; Cu: 1.67, Fe: 310, Mn: 3.21, Zn: 15.5, B: 0.48, and P: >116 mg·kg⁻¹; 3) pH 5.4; and 4) effective cation exchange capacity (CEC) 13.8 meq·100 g⁻¹. Growth conditions in the greenhouse during the experiment were as follows: average temperature 27 °C, 60% to 80% relative humidity, and a natural photoperiod of 12 h with photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) of 1000 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹. Plants were fertilized with two edaphic fertilizers: 1) 4 g/plant (350 kg·ha⁻¹) of a 15–15–15 compound fertilizer (TRIAN 15, Yara, Colombia) as a source of nitrogen, phosphorous, and potassium; 2) and 2 g/plant (170 kg·ha⁻¹) of microelements (granulated Agrimins, Colombia). The liquor chamber were as follows: (Sáenz et al., 1995) (formation of three to four fully expanded trifoliate leaves). Consequently, the relationship between photosynthesis and stomatal limitations (Ci/Ca) were calculated as a product of the relationship between photosynthesis and gs. Carboxylation efficiency was calculated by the ratio of photosynthesis and intercellular CO₂ concentration (P/Ca). Gas exchange measurements were performed between 1000 and 1500 h. During the measurements, the conditions of the liquor chamber were as follows: PAR 1000 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, leaf temperature 27 ± 5 °C, leaf-to-air vapor pressure difference 1.8 ± 0.5 KPa. Leaf thickness. The methodology described by Buendía-González et al. (2012) was used to determine leaf thickness. Sections of 1 cm² taken from the central leaflet of the third fully expanded trifoliate leaf were fixed with an modified Nawaschin’s solution. CRAFT type III composed of two solutions added in a 1:1 v/v ratio (solution A: 1% chromic acid, 7% glacial acetic acid, and 92% distilled water; solution B: 30% formalin and 70% distilled water) for 72 h dehydrated in series of EtOH (70%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% and absolute EtOH) for 24 h each and rinsed with terbutanol for 24 h. The samples were immersed in a mixture of terbutanol and paraffin (Paraplast plus paraffin at 60 °C) in a 1:1 v/v ratio and in pure paraffin for 24 h per step (Ortiz et al., 2014). Then, the blocks containing the samples were sectioned in a rotation microtome model Minot 820 Spencer (American Optical, Delhi) with a thickness of 10 μm. Finally, safranin-fast green staining was performed.

**Physiological variables**

**Water relations and leaf gas exchange.** The relative water content (RWC) was determined on fully expanded leaves of the middle part of the canopy. The leaves were collected at the end of the water stress period for each of the evaluated bean genotypes. The RWC was calculated using Eq. [1]:

\[ RWC = \frac{FW - DW}{TW - DW} \times 100 \]  

where FW is the fresh weight, TW the measured turgid weight after 24 h of saturation in distilled water at 4 °C in the dark, and DW is the dry weight determined after 48 h in an oven at 70 °C. Photosynthesis was estimated on the second fully expanded trifoliate leaf from the upper middle portion of the plant using a portable photosynthesis meter (LI-6200; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE), between 1000 and 1500 h. This equipment also estimated the internal CO₂ concentration. In addition, the ratio of intercellular to ambient CO₂ concentration (Ci/Ca) and stomatal limitations (Ls) were calculated using the equation \[ Ls = 1 - \left( \frac{Cf}{Ca} \right) \] (Huang et al., 2011). The gs was determined on the second fully expanded trifoliate leaf with a portable porometer (LI-1600; LI-COR). The intrinsic water use efficiency (IUE) was also calculated as a product of the relationship between photosynthesis and gs. Carboxylation efficiency was calculated by the ratio of photosynthesis and intercellular CO₂ concentration (P/Ca). Gas exchange measurements were performed between 1000 and 1500 h. During the measurements, the conditions of the liquor chamber were as follows: PAR 1000 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, leaf temperature 27 ± 5 °C, leaf-to-air vapor pressure difference 1.8 ± 0.5 KPa. Leaf thickness. The methodology described by Buendía-González et al. (2012) was used to determine leaf thickness. Sections of 1 cm² taken from the central leaflet of the third fully expanded trifoliate leaf were fixed with an modified Nawaschin’s solution. CRAFT type III composed of two solutions added in a 1:1 v/v ratio (solution A: 1% chromic acid, 7% glacial acetic acid, and 92% distilled water; solution B: 30% formalin and 70% distilled water) for 72 h dehydrated in series of EtOH (70%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% and absolute EtOH) for 24 h each and rinsed with terbutanol for 24 h. The samples were immersed in a mixture of terbutanol and paraffin (Paraplast plus paraffin at 60 °C) in a 1:1 v/v ratio and in pure paraffin for 24 h per step (Ortiz et al., 2014). Then, the blocks containing the samples were sectioned in a rotation microtome model Minot 820 Spencer (American Optical, Delhi) with a thickness of 10 μm. Finally, safranin-fast green staining was performed.

**Biochemical variables**

Malondialdehyde. For lipid oxidation (MDA) determination, the thiobarbituric acid method described by Hodges et al. (1999) was used, taking ≤30 mg of homogenized plant material and storing it with liquid nitrogen. After centrifuging samples at 3000 × g, the absorbances at 440, 532, and 600 nm were estimated with a spectrophotometer (Spectronic BioMate 3 ultraviolet-Vis; Thermo, Madison, WI). Finally, an extinction coefficient (157 M·mL⁻¹·cm⁻¹) was used to obtain the MDA concentration.

**Proline concentration.** For proline content determination, the method described by Bates et al. (1973) was used, extracting ≤30 mg of homogenized plant material from the second fully expanded trifoliate leaf and storing it with liquid nitrogen. Absorbance was measured at 520 nm using a spectrophotometer (Spectronic BioMate 3 ultraviolet-Vis; Thermo). Proline content was determined by a standard curve and calculated in fresh weight based on Eq. [2]:

\[
\text{μmol Proline} = \frac{(\text{Abs × mL Toluene})}{(g \text{ sample} × \text{mg Toluene})}
\]  

Experimental design and data analysis. A randomized block design was carried out with a factorial arrangement. The first factor was the phenological stage in which drought stress was initiated and the second factor was the five evaluated genotypes, for a total of 10 treatments with four repetitions and a total of 60 plots of 2.1 m² (three rows of 1 linear meter long). Subsequently, when significant differences were obtained in the analysis of variance (ANOVA), the comparative Tukey test was used at P ≤ 0.05. Data were analyzed using the Statistix v 9.0 software (analytical software, Tallahassee, FL). The results of the ANOVA are summarized in Table 1.

**Results**

**Soil moisture, water relations, and leaf gas exchange.** In general, the volumetric soil water content (VSWC) in the control treatment was ≥90% field capacity during the test. The VSWC of the other treatments was observed to be close to 55% at the end of the 15 d of water deficit initiated at the vegetative stage (55 DAE). In addition, the VSWC of the stressed treatment during the reproductive stage presented ≥54% moisture at 65 DAE (Fig. 1A). The results obtained in the
quantification of soil moisture indicate that a group of plants had less water availability at some point of their development. Similarly, the results of the leaf RWC are summarized in Fig. 1B. Significant differences were observed only for the water status factor (Table 1). At the 55 DAE sampling, plants that started their stress period at the vegetative stage had ≈70% RWC, compared with control plants, which had values close to 85%. In addition, the plants that began to be subjected to a water deficit at the reproductive stage showed a value of ≈77% at the end of the stress period at that development stage. In the reproductive phase sampling (65 DAE), control plants continued with ≈82% RWC, whereas the plants under stress conditions at the vegetative stage showed values of ≈75% and 73% in the reproductive phase (Fig. 1B).

Regarding the leaf gas exchange properties [photosynthesis (Pn), gs, and Ls], the results are presented in Fig. 2. Significant differences were found in the interaction between development stage and cultivar in Pn (P ≤ 0.05), gs, and Ls (P ≤ 0.001) at the end of each stress period (55 and 65 DAE, respectively). In general, at 55 DAE Pn was higher (18.20 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) in all studied cultivars without water deficit. The CO₂ exchange rate was ≈45% (9.07 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) lower in plants of cultivars Bacatá and Bianca under water stress conditions at both vegetative and reproductive phases. The exception was cultivar Cerinza plants, which showed a reduction of only ≈37% (12.10 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) at the vegetative stage and ≈17% (15.80 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) at the reproductive stage. Finally, Pn of plants of cultivar NUA35 had greater reductions of ≈62% (19.13 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) with stress at the reproductive stage (Fig. 2A). In this sense, it is important to note that bean plants belonging to the water deficit treatment during the reproductive stage had already undergone a 5-day period of low humidity availability in the soil at the time of measurement. Trends similar to those observed in the previous sampling were obtained with measurements performed at 65 DAE. Control plants of all cultivars presented equal photosynthesis values among them (≈20 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹). On the other hand, Table 2 summarizes the results of the intrinsic water use efficiency (iWUE), ratio of intercellular to ambient CO₂ (Ci/Ca), and carboxylation efficiency (Pn/Ci), respectively. For the iWUE, only the significant

Table 1. Summary of the analysis of variance of the effects of water deficit stress on the physiological behavior and performance parameters in five bush bean genotypes.

| Source of variation | Stage (55 DAE) | Reproductive stage (65 DAE) |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Relative water content | Abbreviation | Stage × Cultivar | Stage Cultivar | Stage × Cultivar | Stage Cultivar | Stage × Cultivar |
| Photosynthesis | Pn | *** | NS | NS | *** | NS | NS |
| Stomatal conductance | gs | *** | NS | NS | ** | NS | NS |
| Intrinsic water use efficiency | WUE | NS | * | NS | NS | *** |
| Ci/Ca ratio | Ci/Ca | *** | * | * | NS | NS | *** |
| Stomatal limitation | Ls | *** | ** | NS | *** | * | NS |
| Carboxylation efficiency | Pn/Ci | *** | ** | NS | *** | * | NS |
| Leaf thickness | NS | * | * | NS | *** | NS | NS |
| Malondialdehyde | MDA | NS | * | * | NS | *** | NS |
| Proline | NS | NS | *** | NS | NS | NS |

* ** *** Significantly different at probability levels of 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001, respectively. ns, not significant with α = 0.05. DAE = days after emergence.

![Image](Fig. 1. Effect of water deficit stress on volumetric soil water content and leaf relative water content of five bush bean genotypes. Bars represent ±se. VWD = vegetative water deficit; RWD = reproductive water deficit. *Indicates the beginning of the stress period in each evaluated stage. ** Indicates the end of the stress period and the beginning of the rehydration of plants in each evaluated stage.)
differences obtained in the interaction stage × cultivar at the end of the water deficit stress period in the reproductive stage (65 DAE) are highlighted. In this sense, cultivars Bachue, Bacatá, and Bianca showed the highest iWUE values when the water deficit stress period was carried out during the reproductive stage (45.21, 44.16, and 41.24 mmol CO₂/mmol H₂O, respectively), whereas cultivars NUA35 and Cerinza showed the lowest results when the stress period ended at 65 DAE (21.37 and 39.79 mmol CO₂/mmol H₂O, respectively). However, cultivar NUA35 significantly reduced iWUE by 50% compared with control plants. As for the C/Cₚ ratio, statistical differences were obtained in the cultivar factor at 55 DAE, whereas differences were evidenced in the stage factor at 65 DAE. Consequently, when the stress started in the vegetative stage (55 DAE), cultivar NUA35 plants presented the lowest C/Cₚ values (≈0.69) and the rest of the cultivars presented average values of 0.71 in this variable (Table 2). In addition, plants under water deficit in the reproductive stage had values similar to plants under control conditions (C/Cₚ of 0.76) at 65 DAE (Table 2).

Differences in the interaction stage × cultivar (P ≤ 0.05) were found on the carboxylation efficiency (Pₚ/C) at 55 DAE, whereas significant differences were found in the factors stage and cultivar at 65 DAE.
When stress ended at 55 DAE, cultivars Cerinza, Bacatá, and Bianca plants evaluated under stress conditions in the vegetative stage showed a reduction close to 30% of the \( P_n/C_i \) compared with the plants under control conditions. However, when the stress period ended at 65 DAE, it was evident that the vegetative stage reached the lowest \( P_n/C_i \) values with a reduction greater than 50% (≈0.03 mol·m\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-1}\)) compared with control plants (0.07 mol·m\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-1}\)). This indicated that the evaluated bean plants had a greater susceptibility in this growth point with cultivar Bacatá showing the lowest \( P_n/C_i \) values (0.04 mol·m\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-1}\)). However, the plants that were under stress conditions in the reproductive stage showed \( P_n/C_i \) values of 0.04 mol·m\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-1}\) (Table 2).

### Table 2. Effect of water deficit stress on leaf gas exchange parameters of five bush bean genotypes at two different phenological stages [55 or 65 d after emergence (DAE)]

| Treatment | Vegetative stage (55 DAE) | Reproductive stage (65 DAE) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
|           | \( \mu \text{mol-mol}^{-1} \text{H}_2\text{O} \) | \( C_i/C_a \) (mol·m\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-1}\)) | \( P_n/C_i \) (mol·m\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-1}\)) | \( \mu \text{mol-mol}^{-1} \text{H}_2\text{O} \) | \( C_i/C_a \) (mol·m\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-1}\)) | \( P_n/C_i \) (mol·m\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-1}\)) |
| **Stage of stress** | | | | | | |
| Control | 57.45 | 0.73 a | 0.06 a | Control | 42.65 a | 0.76 | 0.07 a |
| Vegetative (Veg) | 60.53 | 0.69 b | 0.04 b | Reproductive (Rep) | 38.35 b | 0.76 | 0.04 b |
| Significance | NS | ** | ** | Significance | * | NS | *** |
| **Cultivar** | | | | | | |
| Cerinza | 55.26 | 0.73 a | 0.05 ab | Cerinza | 43.72 a | 0.76 | 0.06 a |
| Bachue | 58.81 | 0.70 ab | 0.06 ab | Bachue | 41.32 ab | 0.77 | 0.05 ab |
| NUA35 | 65.55 | 0.68 b | 0.06 a | NUA35 | 35.84 b | 0.78 | 0.05 ab |
| Bacatá | 54.15 | 0.72 a | 0.05 ab | Bacatá | 40.33 ab | 0.77 | 0.04 b |
| Bianca | 61.34 | 0.74 a | 0.04 b | Bianca | 41.10 ab | 0.77 | 0.05 ab |
| Significance | NS | ** | ** | Significance | NS | NS | ** |
| **Interaction** | | | | | | |
| Control × Cerinza | 58.15 abc | 0.76 ab | 0.06 ab | Control × Cerinza | 48.05 ab | 0.79 | 0.07 |
| Control × Bachue | 48.21 c | 0.74 ab | 0.06 ab | Control × Bachue | 37.42 c | 0.75 | 0.06 |
| Control × NUA35 | 61.71 ab | 0.69 bc | 0.07 a | Control × NUA35 | 50.32 a | 0.74 | 0.07 |
| Control × Bacatá | 55.70 bc | 0.71 abc | 0.07 ab | Control × Bacatá | 36.51 c | 0.74 | 0.07 |
| Control × Bianca | 63.50 ab | 0.77 a | 0.06 ab | Control × Bianca | 40.95 abc | 0.76 | 0.07 |
| Veg × Cerinza | 52.08 bc | 0.71 abc | 0.04 bc | Veg × Cerinza | 39.79 bc | 0.73 | 0.05 |
| Veg × Bachue | 69.40 a | 0.66 c | 0.05 abc | Veg × Bachue | 45.21 abc | 0.73 | 0.05 |
| Veg × NUA35 | 69.39 a | 0.66 c | 0.06 ab | Veg × NUA35 | 21.37 d | 0.79 | 0.04 |
| Veg × Bacatá | 52.59 bc | 0.73 abc | 0.03 c | Veg × Bacatá | 44.16 abc | 0.77 | 0.03 |
| Veg × Bianca | 59.18 abc | 0.70 abc | 0.03 c | Veg × Bianca | 41.24 abc | 0.76 | 0.05 |
| Significance | NS | ** | ** | Significance | *** | NS | NS |
| CV (%) | 15.38 | 4.38 | 17.79 | CV (%) | 16.02 | 7.11 | 13.61 |

\(^{1}\)Values within a column followed by different letters are significantly different at \( P \leq 0.05 \) according to the Tukey test.

\(^{2}\)NS = not significant (\( P \leq 0.05 \)). *, **, ***Significant at \( P \leq 0.05, 0.01, \) or 0.001, respectively.

\(^{3}\)CV = coefficient of variation.

Leaf thickness. Table 3 and Fig. 3 summarize the results found in the leaf thickness of the five bush bean cultivars under water deficit conditions in two phenological stages. Significant differences were found in the interaction cultivar × stage when stress was evaluated in the vegetative stage (55 DAE). In contrast, significant differences were found in the factors stage and cultivar at 65 DAE.

### Table 3. Effect of water deficit stress on leaf thickness of five bush bean genotypes at two different phenological stages [55 or 65 d after emergence (DAE)].

| Treatment | Vegetative stage (55 DAE) | Reproductive stage (65 DAE) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
|           | Leaf thickness (\( \mu \text{m} \)) | Leaf thickness (\( \mu \text{m} \)) |
| **Stage of stress** | | | | | |
| Control | 190.58 a | Control | 190.88 a |
| Vegetative (Veg) | 170.00 b | Reproductive (Rep) | 175.12 b |
| Significance | *** | Significance | * |
| **Cultivar** | | | | | |
| Cerinza | 210.78 a | Cerinza | 195.46 a |
| Bachue | 184.42 b | Bachue | 190.89 ab |
| NUA35 | 182.83 b | NUA35 | 187.38 ab |
| Bacatá | 155.46 c | Bacatá | 159.18 ab |
| Bianca | 167.96 bc | Bianca | 182.08 ab |
| Significance | *** | Significance | * |
| **Interaction** | | | | | |
| Control × Cerinza | 205.12 a | Control × Cerinza | 195.62 |
| Control × Bachue | 208.72 a | Control × Bachue | 202.59 |
| Control × NUA35 | 186.81 ab | Control × NUA35 | 195.00 |
| Control × Bacatá | 187.05 ab | Control × Bacatá | 169.67 |
| Control × Bianca | 165.22 bc | Control × Bianca | 191.49 |
| Veg × Cerinza | 216.44 a | Veg × Cerinza | 195.30 |
| Veg × Bachue | 160.12 bc | Veg × Bachue | 179.19 |
| Veg × NUA35 | 178.84 abc | Veg × NUA35 | 179.76 |
| Veg × Bacatá | 148.88 bc | Veg × Bacatá | 148.69 |
| Veg × Bianca | 145.70 c | Veg × Bianca | 172.66 |
| Significance | ** | Significance | NS |
| CV (%) | 9.07 | CV (%) | 11.99 |

\(^{1}\)Values within a column followed by different letters are significantly different at \( P \leq 0.05 \) according to the Tukey test.

\(^{2}\)NS = not significant (\( P \leq 0.05 \)). *, **, ***Significant at \( P \leq 0.05, 0.01, \) or 0.001, respectively.

\(^{3}\)CV = coefficient of variation.
At the end of the vegetative stage stress (55 DAE), differences in the observed data for the leaf thickness were found among the evaluated cultivars, being cultivar Cerinza the one with the highest value (204.69 μm), followed by Bachue, NUA35, Bacatá, and Bianca (189.00 μm, 171.96 μm, 269.13 μm, and 156.47 μm, respectively). In addition, under conditions of water deficit stress during the vegetative stage, cultivar Bachue showed a reduction in the leaf thickness close to 23% compared with control plants (160.12 μm and 208.72 μm, respectively). On the other hand, at the end of the stress period during the reproductive stage (65 DAE), it was evident that plants of the cultivars that were under stress conditions showed lower leaf thickness values (average of 173.21 μm), whereas control plant values were close to 190 μm.

**MDA and proline concentration.** Regarding membrane damage by oxidative stress and osmolytes production, the results of MDA and proline production are shown in Fig. 4A–D. Significant differences also were observed between the treatments in the aforementioned variables on the interaction stress stage × cultivar (P < 0.001 at 55 DAE and P < 0.05 at 65 DAE). Cultivar Cerinza plants with water stress during the vegetative phenological stage had the highest MDA production at 55 DAE (with values close to 14 μmol·g⁻¹ FW). Then, cultivars Bacatá (8.90 μmol·g⁻¹ FW) and Bianca (6.54 μmol·g⁻¹ FW) also had an increase in MDA production close to 30% compared with their controls (5.77 and 6.85 μmol·g⁻¹ FW, respectively) (Fig. 4A). At 65 DAE, MDA production was especially higher in the cultivar Bacatá under stress conditions at the reproductive stage (6.37 μmol·g⁻¹ FW), followed by cultivar Cerinza, which was subjected to deficit at the vegetative stage (5.96 μmol·g⁻¹ FW) (Fig. 4B). Proline production was favored under stress conditions at the vegetative and reproductive stages in both sampling points (55 and 65 DAE, respectively). Bachue was the cultivar with the highest MDA production (≈76 and 58 μmol·g⁻¹ FW for the vegetative and reproductive stage, respectively, at 55 DAE). Finally, proline contents were generally lower at 65 DAE compared with those observed at 55 DAE. In this sense, a lower proline content was registered in the cultivars during water deficit in the second sampling point (65 DAE). Cultivars Cerinza, NUA35, Bacatá, and Bianca went from an average proline content of 40 μmol·g⁻¹ FW in control plants to values of 51 μmol·g⁻¹ FW under drought conditions during the reproductive stage (Fig. 4C and D).

**Discussion**

The use of the VSWC is an important tool to monitor water deficit stress in bean plants. It has been considered that a reduction of at least 50% in the values of soil volumetric water content compared with control conditions causes stress levels due to water deficit in wheat plants, which is similar to data obtained in the present study (Liu et al., 2017). In addition, it has been shown that a reduction in the leaf RWC as a consequence of moderate water deficit stress has a significant effect on the physiological parameters of bean plants (Solty-Kalina et al., 2016), which matches what was found in our study.
Our results showed that the decrease in the leaf thickness of the evaluated bush bean plants was a response to water deficit, especially in cultivar Bachue in the vegetative stage. The reduction in the leaf thickness under water deficit conditions may be due to the loss of turgor in cells caused by a decrease in the plant water potential. This is reflected in the low RWC values in the leaf (Scoffoni et al., 2014), which agrees with the results of our experiment (Figs. 1 and 3). In addition, these morpho-anatomical changes in the leaf indicate a phenotypic plasticity due to differences in environmental conditions, such as drought, which is one of the important features of the species involved in the mechanisms of water deficit tolerance (Matzner et al., 2019).

Regarding leaf gas exchange properties, most of bean plants under conditions of water deficit stress may present stomatal and nonstomatal limitations of photosynthesis, which depend on the severity and duration of the stress (Mathobo et al., 2017). In addition, the reduction of the photosynthetic rate may be greater when plants are at vegetative stages (Rosales et al., 2012). In this sense, our results suggest that the evaluated bush bean cultivars present stomatal limitations of photosynthesis with 15 d of water deficit at the vegetative stage as an initial response of plants to the low water availability in the soil (Drake et al., 2017). This is evidenced in the reduction of the photosynthetic rate and \( g_s \) of bean plants. It is well documented that water deficit causes stomatal closure because of a higher abscisic acid production (Pang et al., 2016). However, when cultivar NUA35 was under water deficit stress conditions during the reproductive stage, it showed a reduction in \( P_n \), despite not showing a significant reduction in \( g_s \). In addition, it could be observed that there was an accumulation of intercellular carbon in the leaves of this cultivar, which suggests that cultivar NUA35 presented nonstomatal limitations of photosynthesis (Table 2). These genotypic differences in the gas exchange properties obtained in our results may be related to the level of oxidative stress of bush bean plants, as reported in tomato plants (Sánchez-Rodríguez et al., 2010). Consequently, one of the parameters that helped us to identify the degree of susceptibility to oxidative stress damage in bush bean cultivars was the determination of the MDA content, especially at the vegetative stage. It has been shown that the increase in the MDA concentration in \( Phaseolus vulgaris \) plants is related to traits of susceptibility to water deficit conditions as a result of the increased production of oxygen free radicals that generate oxidative stress in cells (Kusvuran and Dasgan, 2017). In this sense, our results suggest that cultivars Cerinza and Bacatá showed the highest levels of damage by lipid peroxidation of cell membranes under water deficit conditions at the vegetative stage (Fig. 4), indicating a degree of susceptibility to the stressful condition. On the other hand, although cultivars Bachue and NUA35 showed a lower MDA production when plants were under water deficit at the vegetative stage, cultivar Bachue presented an increase in proline content, which indicates that the production of this amino acid can be a relief strategy to the oxidative stress. There is a correlation between proline accumulation and tolerance to water deficit conditions in bean plants, because a high proline concentration acts as an osmoprotector (Chen et al., 2016). In contrast, it was found that a 15-day period of water deficit stress at the reproductive stage did not generate a negative impact on the stability of the cell membrane, which indicates that at this phenological stage, the damage levels are lower compared with the vegetative phase (Mathobo et al., 2017).

In conclusion, the responses in the physiological behavior of the evaluated bush bean cultivars under a 15-day period of water deficit conditions at the vegetative and reproductive stages indicate that there are

![Fig. 4. Effect of water deficit stress on malondialdehyde production (A and B) and proline production (C and D) of five bush bean cultivars at vegetative [55 d after emergence (DAE)] or reproductive (65 DAE) stages. Bars represent the mean of four values ± se.](image-url)
differences between cultivars in the level of susceptibility and/or tolerance to the stressful condition. These characteristics are related to the acclimation mechanisms of bush bean plants that involve avoidance and tolerance strategies. In addition, it was emphasized that the vegetative stage presented a higher level of negative impact on the evaluated physiological variables in most of the cultivars used in the present study. In this sense, it was found that cultivar Bacat presented stomatal and nonstomatal limitations of photosynthesis when the stress period started at the vegetative phase. These traits may indicate this cultivar can be susceptible to water deficit stress conditions. On the other hand, cultivar Bachue showed lower alteration of gas exchange properties and higher proline content, which may indicate that this cultivar can be tolerant to water deficit stress conditions. As we hypothesized, this study suggests allowing that proline and MDA estimation are simple, fast, and low-cost techniques to screen cultivars to obtain more precise breeding selection in common bean. Finally, common bean cultivar selection through the use of biochemical markers can be complemented by the estimation of leaf gas exchange parameters at different phenological stages.

Literature Cited

Aranjuelo, I., G. Molero, G. Erice, J.C. Avice, and S. Nogués. 2011. Plant physiology and proteomics reveals the leaf response to drought in alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.). J. Exp. Bot. 62:111–123.

Ayala, C.C., A. Jarma-Orozco, and H. Aramendiz-Tatis. 2013. Mecanismos de adaptación a sequía en caupí (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.). Una revisión. Rev. Colom. Cienc. Hortic 7(2):277–288.

Bates, L., R. Waldren, and I. Teare. 1973. Rapid 2098 HORTSCIENCE VOL. 54(11) NOVEMBER 2019

In this sense, it was found that cultivar Bacat presented stomatal and nonstomatal limitations of photosynthesis when the stress period started at the vegetative phase. These traits may indicate this cultivar can be susceptible to water deficit stress conditions. On the other hand, cultivar Bachue showed lower alteration of gas exchange properties and higher proline content, which may indicate that this cultivar can be tolerant to water deficit stress conditions. As we hypothesized, this study suggests allowing that proline and MDA estimation are simple, fast, and low-cost techniques to screen cultivars to obtain more precise breeding selection in common bean. Finally, common bean cultivar selection through the use of biochemical markers can be complemented by the estimation of leaf gas exchange parameters at different phenological stages.

Literature Cited

Aranjuelo, I., G. Molero, G. Erice, J.C. Avice, and S. Nogués. 2011. Plant physiology and proteomics reveals the leaf response to drought in alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.). J. Exp. Bot. 62:111–123.

Ayala, C.C., A. Jarma-Orozco, and H. Aramendiz-Tatis. 2013. Mecanismos de adaptación a sequía en caupí (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.). Una revisión. Rev. Colom. Cienc. Hortic 7(2):277–288.

Bates, L., R. Waldren, and I. Teare. 1973. Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. Plant Soil 39:205–207.

Beebe, S.E., I.M. Rao, C. Caijao, and M. Grajales. 2008. Selection for drought resistance in common bean also improves yield in phosphorus limited and favorable environments. Crop Sci. 48:592–599.

Beebe, S.E., I.M. Rao, M.W. Blair, and J.A. Acosta-Gallegos. 2013. Phenotyping common beans for adaptation to drought. Front. Physiol. 4:35.

Bueno-González, L., M.E. Estrada-Zúñiga, J. Orozco-Villafuerte, F. Cruz-Sosa, and E.J. Medrano, L. Avalos. 2018. Physiological and biochemical expression of a determined growth common bean genotype (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) to water deficit stress periods. J. Anim. Plant Sci. 28:119–127.

Sánchez-Rodríguez, E., M. Rubio-Wilhelmi, L.M. Cervilla, J.J. Blasco, J.J. Rios, M.A. Rosales, L. Romero, and J.M. Ruiz. 2010. Genotypic differences in some physiological parameters symptomatic for oxidative stress under moderate drought in tomato plants. Plant Sci. 178:30–40.

Scottofini, C., C. Vuong, S. Diep, H. Cochard, and L. Sack. 2014. Leaf shrinkage with dehydration: Coordination with hydraulic vulnerability and drought tolerance. Plant Physiol. 164:1772–1788.

Seelig, H.D., R.J. Stoner, and J.C. Linden. 2012. Irrigation control of cowpea plants using the measurement of leaf thickness under greenhouse conditions. Irrig. Sci. 30:247–257.

Solís-Kalina, D., J. Plich, D. Strzelczyk-Zyta, J. Śliwicka, and W. Marczewski. 2016. The effect of drought stress on the leaf relative water content and tuber yield of a half-sib family of “Katahdin”-derived potato cultivars. Breed. Sci. 66:328–331.

Waqaq, M., M.T. Azhar, L.A. Rana, A. Arif, and R.M. Arif. 2019. Drought stress in chickpea: Physiological, breeding, and omics perspectives, p. 189–227. In: S.H. Wani (ed.). Recent approaches in omics for plant resilience to climate change. Springer, Cham, Switzerland.

White, J.W. and S.P. Singh. 1991. Breeding for adaptation to drought, p. 501–560. In: A. van der Hoeven, and O. Voysest (eds.). Common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) cultivation: Breeding approaches in omics for plant resilience to climate change. Springer, Cham, Switzerland.

Winterhalter, L., B. Mistele, S. Jampatong, and U. Schmidhalter. 2011. High throughput phenotyping of canopy water mass and canopy temperature in well-watered and drought stressed maize hybrids in the vegetative stage. Eur. J. Agron. 35:22–32.