PARP9-DTX3L ubiquitin ligase targets host histone H2BJ and viral 3C protease to enhance interferon signaling and control viral infection
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Enhancing the response to interferon could offer an immunological advantage to the host. In support of this concept, we used a modified form of the transcription factor STAT1 to achieve hyper-responsive interferon signaling without toxicity and markedly improve antiviral function in transgenic mice and transduced human cells. We found that the improvement depended on expression of a PARP9-DTX3L complex with distinct domains for interaction with STAT1 and for activity as an E3 ubiquitin ligase that acted on host histone H2BJ to promote interferon-stimulated gene expression and on viral 3C proteases to degrade these proteases via the immunoproteasome. Thus, PARP9-DTX3L acted on host and pathogen to achieve a double layer of immunity within a safe reserve in the interferon signaling pathway.

The interferon signaling pathway is considered a mainstay of the immune system1,2, a concept that has been proven for the most part by loss-of-function approaches. Thus, deficiency in interferon production or signal transduction leads to defects in immune system-mediated control of microbial pathogens in experimental animal models and in humans3,4. The biological consequences of increased activity of the interferon signaling pathway are less certain, but chronic activation of the production of type I interferons has been linked to autoimmune disease in humans5. Moreover, the administration of exogenous interferons is accompanied by toxicity that limits their pharmacological use6. Such outcomes suggest that regulation of the interferon signaling pathway is already optimized under native conditions and that enhancing this pathway might not provide a therapeutic benefit without substantial toxicity.

However, previous approaches to enhancing the effects of interferon have generally relied on excessive expression or the administration of unregulated interferon ligand. An alternative strategy might be to enhance the efficiency of endogenous interferon to activate downstream signal transduction. While targeting the responsiveness of the interferon signal-transduction pathway might be desirable, it is made difficult by the complexity of the interferon signaling pathway and its functional activities. The protective actions of interferons rely on signaling through three types of interferon receptors (for type I, II and III interferons) and the JAK-STAT pathway that includes receptor-associated members of the JAK family of kinases and STAT family of transcription factors, as well as downstream modulators, transcription factors, enhancers and coactivators7. In the case of viral infection, the overall process leads to the expression of hundreds of distinct products of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) that provide functional effector activities but also feed back into interferon signaling2,8. Moreover, interferon signaling may also interact with other cytokine signaling pathways (for example, a pathway that includes the cytokine TNF) with additional immunological consequences9.

Despite such complexity, STAT1 stands out as a critical functional component common to each of the interferon-receptor signaling pathways10. Moreover, a mutant STAT1 with substitution of cysteine for alanine at positions 656 and 658 (STAT1-CC) enhances signal transduction via type I and II interferons in human cells, at least in vitro11. This enhancement is due to the enhanced capacity of STAT1-CC for transcriptosome assembly at the promoters of ISGs, which is manifested by prolonged activation (indicated by phosphorylation of Tyr701 of STAT1-CC), localization to the nucleus (indicated by binding of DNA by STAT1-CC) and recruitment of co-activators (indicated by binding of the histone acetyltransferase p300 (CBP) to STAT1-CC). Notably, STAT1-CC preserves the requirement for ligand-dependent activation. Expression of the gene encoding STAT1-CC thus offers a distinct gain-of-function approach for...
understanding how an improvement in interferon signaling might affect immunological function in vivo.

We initiated these experiments to define the consequences of a hyper-responsive interferon signaling pathway for host defense and, if successful, to establish new mechanisms for improving the outcome of infection. We therefore developed gain-of-function models in transgenic mice and transduced human cells for controlled expression of STAT1-CC. These models showed an increase in the efficiency of interferon signaling and a consequent benefit for host defense against a broad range of viruses. Whole-genome analysis of these models showed that the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase PARP9 (also known as BAL1 or ARTD9)\(^{12}\) and the E3 ubiquitin ligase DTX3L were induced in concert with the interferon hyper-responsiveness. PARP9 was originally identified as being overexpressed in chemotherapy-resistant diffuse large B-cell lymphomas\(^{13}\) and was later reported to bind to DTX3L, which in turn was found to function as an E3 ubiquitin ligase that selectively ubiquitinates histone H4 and protects cells against DNA-damaging agents\(^{14-16}\). That function was consistent with the conventional role of members of the PARP family in the DNA-repair response\(^{17}\). However, PARP9 and DTX3L have also been found in a head-to-head orientation regulated by the same bidirectional interferon-responsive promoter, and overexpression of PARP9 in a malignant B cell lymphoma cell line has been shown to cause widespread induction of ISG expression, which would suggest an alternative role for PARP9 in the interferon signaling pathway\(^{18}\). Here we found that PARP9 and DTX3L were STAT1-associated components for interferon signal transduction that were rate limiting and were thus able to enhance interferon efficacy and consequent host defense when expressed in larger amounts. We also identified PARP9-DTX3L as an E3 ubiquitin ligase complex that targeted both host histone H2BJ to promote ISG expression and viral 3C protease to disrupt viral assembly. These observations offer proof of principle of how a single molecular complex can act on host and pathogen to provide a double benefit for interferon-dependent host defense and thereby afford some guidance for the development of therapeutic agents for interferon-sensitive conditions.

**RESULTS**

**STAT1-CC and interferon hyper-responsiveness in vivo**

To achieve interferon hyper-responsiveness in vivo, we generated mice with a cytomegalovirus-\(\beta\)-actin-\(\beta\)-globin (CAG) gene promoter to drive widespread expression of a transgene encoding human STAT1-CC, to improve ISG expression (CAG-STAT1-CC mice)\(^{11}\). We also similarly generated transgenic mice expressing wild-type STAT1 instead as a control (CAG-STAT1 mice) (Supplementary Fig. 1a). CAG-STAT1 and CAG-STAT1-CC mice showed similar levels of transgene expression in heart, pancreas, brain and lungs (Supplementary Fig. 1b) and exhibited normal birth frequency, development and longevity without histological evidence of autoimmunity or other disease at 2 years of age (Supplementary Fig. 1c). STAT1-CC exhibited prolonged activation (as monitored by accumulation in the nucleus in heart tissue) compared with that of endogenous STAT1 or the transgene-derived wild-type STAT1 in response to administration of interferon-\(\gamma\) (IFN-\(\gamma\)) in vivo (Supplementary Fig. 1d,e). Similarly, CAG-STAT1-CC mice showed significantly higher ISG expression (monitored as expression of mRNA from the ISGs Irf1 and Gbp3 in the pancreas) at baseline and after IFN-\(\beta\) administration than did CAG-STAT1 or wild-type mice (Fig. 1a).

We next investigated whether CAG-STAT1-CC mice were protected from viral infection. After being infected with encephalomyocarditis...
virus (EMCV) at an inoculum dose of 100 plaque-forming units (PFU), 100% of the wild-type and CAG-STAT1 mice died, whereas 97% of CAG-STAT1-CC mice survived at this inoculum, and 82% of them survived at an inoculum dose 10-fold higher (Fig. 1b).

At lower viral inoculum dose (3 PFU), the survival of CAG-STAT1-CC mice was 100%, while only 25–28% of wild-type or CAG-STAT1 mice survived (Fig. 1b). Improved survival was associated with a markedly lower viral load at the main sites of infection (heart, brain

---

**Figure 2** PARP9-DTX3L mediates the control of viral replication by STAT1-CC. (a) Expression (log2 normalized) of mRNA from pancreatic tissue of CAG-STAT1 and CAG-STAT1-CC mice at baseline, from a whole-genome array. Each symbol represents an individual gene: magenta, white and blue indicate genes expressed differentially in CAG-STAT1 mice versus CAG-STAT1-CC mice at baseline (presented as in 1a); red indicates genes expressed differentially in both transgenic tissue and U3A cell lines (overlap, bottom right). Top left, retroviral vectors for U3A cell lines. (c) Expression (as in a,b) of mRNA from U3A-STAT1 and U3A-STAT1-CC cells at baseline, from a whole-genome array. Each symbol represents an individual gene: magenta, white and blue indicate genes expressed differentially in CAG-STAT1 mice versus CAG-STAT1-CC mice (antiviral function, key); gray indicates genes not expressed differentially; labels indicate genes (n = 25) with the greatest increase in expression. (b) Expression of mRNA from U3A-STAT1 and U3A-STAT1-CC cells treated for 1 d with IFN-β (10 U/ml), presented relative to expression at baseline. TG, transgenic tissue. (d) Expression of RNA encoding EMCV 3D (assessed 1 d after infection with EMCV (MOI, 1)), the IAV polymerase P3 (assessed 2 d after infection with IAV (MOI, 1)) or the SINV RNA-dependent RNA polymerase Nsp4 (assessed 1 d after infection with SINV (MOI, 10)) in U3A, U3A-STAT1, and U3A-STAT1-CC cells stably transduced with lentivirus encoding control shRNA or shRNA specific for PARP9 or DTX3L (two shRNAs for each (shRNA-1 and shRNA-2); horizontal axes). **P < 0.05, versus U3A cells, and **P < 0.05, versus U3A-STAT1-CC cells transduced with control shRNA (unpaired t-test). Data are from one experiment with pooling of three mice or samples (a-c) or are representative of three independent experiments (d; mean and s.e.m.).
and pancreas) in CAG-STAT1-CC mice than in wild-type or CAG-STAT1 mice (Fig. 1c). Similarly, by immunostaining of the pancreas, we found lower EMCV loads in CAG-STAT1-CC mice than in wild-type or CAG-STAT1 mice and concomitantly less tissue inflammation and injury in the heart, brain and pancreas of CAG-STAT1-CC mice (Fig. 1d,e). CAG-STAT1-CC mice were also protected against infection with influenza A virus (IAV; strain A/WS/33 or A/Vietnam/1203/04) and Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus, although to a lesser degree than the degree of their protection against infection with EMCV (Supplementary Fig. 2). Together these findings indicated that expression of STAT1-CC allowed better control of viral loads and virus-induced tissue damage across a broad range of viruses and tissue sites.

Interferon hyper-responsiveness and PARP9-DTX3L expression

To define the basis for the benefit afforded by STAT1-CC during viral infection, we analyzed whole-genome expression arrays of pancreas from CAG-STAT1-CC and CAG-STAT1 mice (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Table 1). We also analyzed gene expression in STAT1-deficient U3A human fibrosarcoma cells transduced with retrovirus for the expression of STAT1 (U3A-STAT1) or STAT1-CC (U3A-STAT1-CC). U3A-STAT1-CC cells showed more upregulation of STAT1-CC to the nucleus and higher ISG expression and better control of virus following infection with EMCV, IAV (strain A/WS/33) or Sindbis virus (SINV) than did U3A or U3A-STAT1 cells, with EMCV showing the greatest sensitivity to the actions of STAT1-CC (Supplementary Fig. 3). Similar to our in vivo approach, we used whole-genome expression arrays to identify genes that were expressed differentially in U3A-STAT1-CC cells than in U3A-STAT1 at baseline or after treatment with a low dose of IFN-β that mimics physiological conditions in vivo (Fig. 2b,c and Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). By comparing the sets of genes expressed differentially in three conditions—U3A-STAT1 cells versus U3A-STAT1-CC cells at baseline, U3A-STAT1 cells versus U3A-STAT1-CC cells with IFN-β, and CAG-STAT1 pancreatic tissues versus CAG-STAT1-CC pancreatic tissues—we identified an overlapping set of genes as candidates for encoding products that mediated the observed benefit of STAT1-CC in interferon signaling function (Fig. 2b,c and Supplementary Table 4). Within this set, we identified genes whose products were not previously assigned to any direct or indirect antiviral function as candidates for further study. Among those, the gene encoding PARP9 showed the greatest difference in expression in IFN-β-treated U3A-STAT1-CC cells relative to its expression in IFN-β-treated U3A-STAT1 cells (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Table 3). Consistent with the proposal of shared transcriptional regulation, PARP9 mRNA and DTX3L mRNA and their corresponding proteins were regulated together at baseline and after interferon treatment in U3A-STAT1 and U3A-STAT1-CC cells and in 2fTGH cells, the parental U3A cell line that expresses native STAT1, as well as in pancreatic tissues from CAG-STAT1 and CAG-STAT1-CC mice, and demonstrated greater induction in STAT1-CC-expressing conditions than in STAT1-expressing conditions (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 4). Together these results indicated that PARP9 and DTX3L were products of ISGs that were upregulated during the expression of STAT1 or STAT1-CC in vitro (in U3A-STAT1 and U3A-STAT1-CC cells) and in vivo (in CAG-STAT1 and CAG-STAT1-CC mice) and were therefore candidates for mediating STAT1- and STAT1-CC-dependent signal transduction.

PARP9-DTX3L and STAT1-dependent ISG expression

To analyze the function of PARP9 and DTX3L, we used lentiviral transduction for stable expression of short hairpin RNA (shRNA) targeting PARP9 mRNA or DTX3L mRNA in U3A, U3A-STAT1 and U3A-STAT1-CC cells. Specific knockdown of either PARP9 mRNA or DTX3L mRNA resulted in knockdown of both PARP9 and DTX3L protein without influencing the phosphorylation or activation of STAT1 or STAT1-CC (Supplementary Fig. 5a–e). Knockdown PARP9 mRNA decreased the expression of DTX3L protein without a decrease in DTX3L mRNA (Supplementary Fig. 5a–e), which suggested that PARP9 might regulate the expression of DTX3L protein at a post-translational level. In contrast, knockdown of DTX3L mRNA caused a decrease in the expression of PARP9 protein that reflected the downregulation of PARP9 mRNA (Supplementary Fig. 5a–e), which suggested that DTX3L might regulate expression of the PARP9 gene at a transcriptional level. Knockdown of either PARP9 protein or DTX3L protein had no effect on the level of STAT1 or STAT1-CC (Supplementary Fig. 5c–e) but still resulted in loss of STAT1-CC-mediated control of infection with EMCV, IAV (strain A/WS/33) or SINV in U3A cells (Fig. 2d). Thus, PARP9 and DTX3L were necessary for the beneficial effect of STAT1-CC in controlling viral replication. In addition, restoring PARP9 expression to U3A cells in which PARP9 had been knocked down reversed the downregulation of DTX3L expression and reconstituted the antiviral effect of STAT1-CC on EMCV (Supplementary Fig. 5f,g), which again supported the proposal of a role for PARP9 in regulating the expression of DTX3L protein. We also found knockdown of PARP9 mRNA was overcome by treatment with interferon in U3A-STAT1 and U3A-STAT1-CC cells (Supplementary Fig. 5h), which indicated the difficulty in analyzing PARP9-DTX3L loss of function under interferon-stimulation conditions.
We next investigated whether PARP9-DTX3L was able to enhance the antiviral function of wild-type STAT1 in U3A cells overexpressing PARP9 (U3A-STAT1-PARP9 cells) or DTX3L (U3A-STAT1-DTX3L cells) or both PARP9 and DTX3L (U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells). We found that control of the EMCV, IAV (strain A/WS/33) or SINV viral load was improved in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells but not U3A-STAT1-PARP9 or U3A-STAT1-DTX3L cells, and the beneficial effect was increased by combination with interferon treatment in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells (Fig. 3). These results raised the possibility that PARP9 and DTX3L might work together with STAT1 to enhance interferon signaling. Co-immunoprecipitation assays showed that PARP9 and DTX3L interacted separately or together with STAT1 with or without interferon stimulation in U3A-STAT1 cells (which expressed FLAG-tagged STAT1), to facilitate these
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**Figure 4** PARP9-DTX3L interacts with STAT1 and enhances translocation of STAT1 to the nucleus and its binding to ISGs and activation of ISG promoters. (a) Immunoblot analysis of the co-immunoprecipitation of FLAG-tagged STAT1 (left and middle) or STAT1-CC (right) with PARP9 or DTX3L (left margin) in parental U3A cells (left) or U3A cells expressing FLAG-tagged STAT1, to facilitate these experiments (mean and s.e.m. (±)). Binding of STAT1 to the IRF1 promoter (fold) in U3A-STAT1 and U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells left untreated (− IFN-γ) or treated (± IFN-β) for 0.3 h with IFN-γ and total STAT1, PARP9 or DTX3L (left margin) in cytosolic (C) and nuclear (N) fractions of U3A, U3A-STAT1 or U3A-STAT1-CC cells with or without treatment for 0.3 h with IFN-γ (as in a). Dashed outlines indicate tyrosine-phosphorylated STAT1, PARP9 and DTX3L in the nuclear fraction. (c) Immunostaining of U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells with (+ LMB) or without treatment for 1 h with leptomycin B (10 ng/ml), then with (+ IFN-β) or without (− IFN-β) treatment for 0.3 h with IFN-β (1,000 U/ml) plus leptomycin B. Scale bar, 10 μm. (d) Crosslinking ChIP assay of the binding of STAT1 to the ISRE promoter in U3A-STAT1 and U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells left untreated (− IFN-γ) or treated (+ IFN-β) for 0.3 h with IFN-γ; after incubation of lysates with antibody to STAT1, the immunoprecipitated DNA was analyzed by real-time PCR with primers for ISRE promoter, then results were normalized to those of input DNA. Results obtained with control antibody (mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG)) were similar to those of cells transfected with empty vector only (data not shown). (e) Transactivation of ISRE and GAS in U3A-STAT1 and U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells transfected for 12 h with a luciferase reporter vector for ISRE (left) or GAS (right) and left untreated (−) or treated (+) with IFN-β (left) or IFN-γ (right); results are presented as luminescence units (LU) relative to the activity of renilla luciferase. (f) Translocation of STAT1 to the nucleus in U3A-STAT1 and U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells before (−) and after (+) treatment for 0.3 h with IFN-β (1,000 U/ml) (left) or IFN-γ (100 U/ml) (right), presented as fluorescence units (FU) (nuclear fluorescence − cytoplasmic fluorescence); initial negative values (for −IFN-β) indicate that STAT1 was mainly cytoplasmic. * P < 0.01, versus U3A-STAT1 cells (unpaired t-test). Data are representative of three independent experiments (mean and s.e.m. (±)).
assays, and immunoblot analysis and immunostaining showed that PARP9 and DTX3L accumulated with STAT1 in the nucleus following stimulation of these cells with interferon (Fig. 4a–c). In addition, we found more binding of STAT1 to the promoter of the gene encoding the interferon-response factor (and transcription factor) RFI1 (as assessed by crosslinking chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay), more STAT1-driven activity of promoters of an interferon-stimulated response element (ISRE) and an IFN-γ-activated site (GAS) (based on luciferase reporter–transactivation assays) and more localization of STAT1 to the nucleus (based on cell-imaging assays) in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells than in U3A-STAT1 cells (Fig. 4d–f). However, the interactions of PARP9 and DTX3L with STAT1 in U3A-STAT1 cells were unchanged by stimulation with interferon (Fig. 4a) or substitution of STAT1 (Y701F) that eliminated the interferon-stimulated tyrosine-phosphorylation activation site (U3A-STAT1-Y701F cells) (Supplementary Fig. 6a). Moreover, we found no difference between U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L and U3A-STAT1 cells in the interferon-driven phosphorylation of STAT1 or in the recruitment of p300 to STAT1-containing transcriptional complexes (Supplementary Fig. 6b,c). Those results were in contrast to the STAT1-driven increases and STAT1-CC-driven further increases in the phosphorylation of STAT1 and recruitment of p300 to the STAT1 transcriptional complex (Supplementary Fig. 6c) to increase ISG expression and antiviral function in U3A-STAT1-CC cells11. Together these findings indicated that PARP9 and DTX3L associated with STAT1 at baseline and under interferon-stimulated conditions to modulate the localization of STAT1 to the nucleus and its transcriptional activity; however, the precise mechanism by which PARP9-DTX3L enhanced the STAT1-dependent expression of ISGs and antiviral function still needed to be defined.

PARP9-DTX3L and ISG expression with histone modification

We recognized from published work on the DNA-repair response that PARP9 has amino-terminal Macro1 and Macro2 domains that recognize poly(ADP)-ribose (PAR) for recruitment to sites of DNA damage and that DTX3L has a carboxy-terminal E3 ubiquitin ligase RING domain to ubiquitinate histone H4 at those sites14–16,19,20. We therefore investigated whether the ability of the PARP9-DTX3L complex to detect and modify histone H4 chromatin sites during the DNA-repair response might also apply to the response to interferon stimulation. However, we did not detect ubiquitination of histone H4 at baseline or under interferon-stimulated conditions in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells (Supplementary Fig. 6d). To define an alternative mechanism by which PARP9-DTX3L enhanced ISG expression and antiviral control in these cells, we made structural predictions for targeted substitutions of conserved glycine residues in the PARP9 macro domains that are needed for the binding of PAR21,22 and in the cysteine residues in the DTX3L RING domain that are needed for catalytic binding of zinc23 (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 7a). The mutant PARP9 protein (PARP9M) was unable to bind to PAR, while the mutant DTX3L protein (DTX3LM) lacked E3 ligase activity (Supplementary Fig. 7b–d). We found that the interaction of PARP9M-DTX3L with STAT1 (Supplementary Fig. 7e), the interaction between PARP9M and DTX3LM (Supplementary Fig. 7f) and the phosphorylation of STAT1 in response to interferon (Supplementary Fig. 7g) were all preserved in U3A-STAT1-PARP9M-DTX3LM cells relative to those interactions and phosphorylation in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells. These results indicated that the PAR-binding activity of PARP9 and the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of DTX3L were not required for binding to or activation of STAT1 or formation of the PARP9-DTX3L complex.

To next identify ISGs that might be regulated in response to the activity of the PARP9 PAR-binding domain and DTX3L RING domain, we analyzed whole-genome expression arrays of U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells and U3A-STAT1-PARP9M-DTX3LM cells and compared each to results obtained for U3A-STAT1 cells. We identified a set of ISGs (for example, IFIT1, IFIT3 and OASL) whose expression was enhanced in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells relative to that in U3A-STAT1 cells, but not in U3A-STAT1-PARP9M-DTX3LM cells relative to that in U3A-STAT1 cells, and that overlapped with genes with increased expression (ISGs) from the array (Fig. 2c) of U3A-STAT1 cells treated with IFN-β versus those not treated with IFN-β (Fig. 5b and Supplementary Table 5). Further analysis with gene-specific PCR assays showed that baseline and IFN-β-stimulated expression of these ISGs was enhanced in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells compared with that in U3A-STAT1 cells, and this increase was blocked in U3A-STAT1-PARP9M-DTX3LM and U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3LM cells but was preserved U3A-STAT1-PARP9M-DTX3L cells (Fig. 5c). We next used a CHART PCR assay (‘chromatin accessibility by real-time PCR’) to determine whether the pattern for the expression of these ISGs corresponded to an increase in chromatin accessibility (Supplementary Fig. 7h). Indeed, we found an IFN-β-stimulated increase in chromatin accessibility in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells.
cells compared with that in U3A-STAT1 cells, and this increase was blocked in U3A-STAT1-PARP9M-DTX3LM and U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3LM cells but was preserved in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3LM cells (Fig. 5d and Supplementary Fig. 7i). Similarly, we found that antiviral function against EMCV, IAV (strain A/WS/33) and SINV was enhanced in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L compared with that in U3A-STAT1 cells and that this enhancement was blocked in U3A-STAT1-PARP9M-DTX3LM and U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3LM cells (Fig. 5e). Together these results indicated that PARP9-DTX3L enhanced ISG expression and viral control by a mechanism that did not depend on the PAR-binding function of the PARP9 macro domains but might have required the ubiquitination function of the DTX3L-RING domain.

We next aimed to better define the ubiquitination function of DTX3L, particularly in relation to histone ubiquitination that might be linked to chromatin accessibility for ISG expression. Given the uncertainty over which histone(s) might be (a) DTX3L substrate(s), we used ubiquitin-proteome microarrays that included 29 different histones. We found that application of recombinant DTX3L to the microarray resulted in significant ubiquitination of histones H2BJ and H2AFV (isoform 3) (Fig. 5f and Supplementary Table 6), which indicated a high degree of selectivity for the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of DTX3L. Native ChIP assays showed greater ubiquitination of H2B and concomitant methylation of histone H3 at Lys4 at the IFIT1 promoter in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L than in U3A-STAT1 cells and that this increase was blocked in U3A-STAT1-PARP9M-DTX3LM and U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3LM cells but was
preserved U3A-STAT1-PARP9M-DTX3L cells (Fig. 5g). Moreover, co-immunoprecipitation assays demonstrated binding of histone H2B to the PARP9-DTX3L-STAT1 complex in HEK293T human embryonic kidney cells transfected to express H2B, PARP9 and DTX3L (Supplementary Fig. 7j). We also observed more mono-ubiquitination of endogenous H2B (but not of H2A) in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells than in U3A-STAT1 cells at 6 h after infection with EMCV, and this effect was blocked in U3A-STAT1-PARP9M-DTX3LM cells (Supplementary Fig. 7k). Together these findings demonstrated that PARP9-DTX3L selectively ubiquitinated a subset of histones (notably H2B) and thereby increased histone methylation as a mechanism for chromatin remodeling and gene expression in at least a subset of ISGs (notably IFIT1), to confer enhanced antiviral function.

PARP9-DTX3L domains for interaction and function

To further address the role of PARP9 and STAT1 in the actions of PARP9-DTX3L, we identified domain-based regions of PARP9 and DTX3L proteins (Fig. 6a) for expression in HEK293T cells and used co-immunoprecipitation assays to map the regions of PARP9 and DTX3L required for interactions with each other and with STAT1. At baseline (without interferon stimulation), the interaction of DTX3L with STAT1 was confined to the amino-terminal portion of DTX3L, particularly the D3 domain (Fig. 6b); the interaction of PARP9 with STAT1 was mediated through both amino- and carboxy-terminal portions of PARP9, particularly the Macro1-Macro2 and PARP domains (Fig. 6c). Similarly, binding of PARP9 to DTX3L was localized to the D3 domain of DTX3L and the PARP domain of PARP9 (Fig. 6d,e). In addition, the interaction of PARP9-DTX3L domains with STAT1 were mostly unaffected by deletion of the STAT1 transactivation domain (Fig. 6b,c), the site of interferon-inducible binding of p300 (ref. 24). Moreover, the amino-terminal domain of PARP9 interacted with STAT1 even in the absence of binding of DTX3L (Fig. 6b,c).

Given such interactions (Supplementary Fig. 8), we generated a mutant PARP9 lacking the PARP domain (PARP9-M12), mutant DTX3L lacking the D3 domain (DTX3L-delD3), and mutant DTX3L with only the RING and DTXH domains (DTX3L-RDTXH) (Fig. 6f,g), for lentiviral transduction and stable expression in U3A-STAT1 cells. We found that DTX3L-delD3, which did not interact with PARP9 (Fig. 6f) but maintained ubiquitination activity (discussed below), did not control EMCV levels (Fig. 6g) or induce ISG expression (Fig. 6h) in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells, whereas wild-type DTX3L did control EMCV levels and induce ISG expression in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells. Similarly, PARP9-M12, which did not interact with DTX3L (Fig. 6f), did not induce ISG expression (Fig. 6h) in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-M12-DTX3L cells, whereas wild-type PARP9 did induce ISG expression in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells. Of note, both PARP9-M12, which did not interact with DTX3L, and DTX3L-delD3, which did not interact with PARP9, still interacted with STAT1 (Fig. 6g), which indicated a redundant role in recruiting STAT1 to the complex. Together these results defined a carefully ordered set of domain requirements for the interactions of PARP9 and DTX3L with each other as well as of PARP9-DTX3L with STAT1 in the ISG-dependent response for the control of viral infection.

PARP9-DTX3L and degradation of viral 3C proteases

Since the ubiquitin-proteasome system can degrade the EMCV 3C protease25, we investigated whether PARP9-DTX3L might be an E3 ubiquitin ligase that targets this protease. We found lower expression of EMCV 3C in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells than in U3A-STAT1 cells at 6 h after infection with EMCV (Fig. 7a). We did not detect a decrease in EMCV 3C in infected U3A cells that had not undergone complementation for STAT1 expression (Fig. 7a), consistent with a role for the products of STAT1-dependent ISGs (for example, PSMB, PSME and PMSF) in proteasome activity26 (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Tables 1–4). Moreover, the decrease in EMCV 3C persisted in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells, was lost in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3LM cells and was preserved in U3A-STAT1-DTX3L cells but not in U3A-STAT1-PARP9 cells (Fig. 7a). The observations that DTX3L alone was able to decrease the amount of EMCV 3C but was unable to fully control viral loads (Fig. 3a) suggested that PARP9 must have also contributed to the antiviral effect under conditions of interferon stimulation or viral infection, as well as at baseline. Furthermore, an inhibitor of the immunoproteasome component, PSMB8, effectively attenuated the degradation of EMCV 3C at 6 h after infection in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells but not in U3A-STAT1-PARP9M-DTX3LM cells (Fig. 7b). The effect of DTX3L was specific to the degradation of EMCV 3C rather than an overall decrease in viral load, because the effect was independent of the amount of viral RNA at 6 h after infection (Fig. 7c). We also found a smaller amount of EMCV 3C in U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells than in U3A-STAT1 cells at 18 h after infection with or without treatment with IFN-β (Fig. 7d). Expression of PARP9-DTX3L or DTX3L via transient transfection in HEK293T cells also caused a decrease in EMCV 3C expression, and this effect was sensitive to blockade with the proteasome inhibitor MG-132 and was similar to the decrease in the amount of EMCV 3C found with expression of the E3 ubiquitin ligase TRIM22 (Fig. 7e), which has been linked to the ubiquitination of EMCV 3C27. Treatment with MG-132 also increased the amount of EMCV 3C without influencing the expression of EMCV RNA during infection of primary cultures of human airway epithelial cells

Figure 6 Specific protein domains mediate formation of the PARP9-DTX3L complex and binding to STAT1 for antiviral and ISG-expression functions. (a) PARP9, DTX3L and STAT1 constructs (left half of each side) used for the identification of interacting domains, with tagging of epitopes with FLAG, c-Myc or hemagglutinin (HA) (key) and inclusion or deletion of various domain (construct designations, left margins); bold and secondary structure prediction were used for the identification of reasonable boundaries for domains D1, D2 and D3 of DTX3L, R, RING domain; CC, coiled-coil domain; SH2, Src-homology 2 domain; TA, transactivation domain; del, deletion. Right half (of each side), domain interactions. (b–e) Immunoblot analysis (IB) of protein complexes immunoprecipitated (IP) together in lysates of HEK293T cells co-expressing various combinations of the constructs in a (above lanes), identifying the following binding (dashed outlines): STAT1–HA with c-Myc–DTX3L domains (b), STAT1–HA with FLAG-PARP9 domains (c), c-Myc–DTX3L with FLAG-PARP9 domains (d), and FLAG-PARP9 with c-Myc–DTX3L domains (e); arrowheads immunoprecipitated protein. Below, control immunoblot analysis with the same antibody used for immunoprecipitation and immunoblot. (f) Constructs for PARP9-M12 and DTX3L-delD3 (top), and immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis (below) of U3A-STAT1 cells transfected with vector alone (far left lanes) or vector expressing various constructs (above lanes), assessed 6 h after infection with EMCV. (h) Expression of mRNA from the ISGs IFIT1, IFIT3 and OASL in U3A-STAT1 cell lines transfected with various constructs (key), then left untreated or treated for 12 h with IFN-β (10 U/ml). Data are representative of three independent experiments (mean and s.e.m. in h).
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(Fig. 7f,g). These cells also showed induction of the expression of endogenous PARP9-DTX3L after treatment with IFN-β or IFN-γ and interaction of endogenous PARP9-DTX3L with STAT1 (Fig. 7h).

We also found that DTX3L localized with EMCV 3C and STAT1 at nuclear and cytosolic sites in EMCV-infected U3A cells, as assessed by immunostaining of U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells at 4–6 h after infection (Fig. 8a), consistent with localization of EMCV 3C reported before. In addition, we found that DTX3L interacted with EMCV 3C and STAT1 as well as with PARP9, as assessed by co-immunoprecipitation from U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells with or without treatment with IFN-β (Fig. 8b), consistent with preserved formation of the PARP9-DTX3L-STAT1 complex despite any additional (potentially competing) interaction with EMCV 3C. Similarly, we detected binding of DTX3L to the IFIT1 promoter in

---

**Figure Legends**

**Figure 7**
- **a** shows the interaction of endogenous PARP9-DTX3L with STAT1 (Fig. 7h).
- **b** and **c** illustrate the localization of DTX3L with EMCV 3C and STAT1 at nuclear and cytosolic sites in EMCV-infected U3A cells, as assessed by immunostaining of U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells at 4–6 h after infection (Fig. 8a).
- **d** and **e** depict the interaction of PARP9-DTX3L with IFIT1 promoter.
- **f** and **g** show the binding of DTX3L to the IFIT1 promoter in

**Figure 8**
- **a** highlights the localization of DTX3L with EMCV 3C and STAT1 at nuclear and cytosolic sites in EMCV-infected U3A cells, as assessed by immunostaining of U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells at 4–6 h after infection (Fig. 8a).
- **b** and **c** demonstrate the interaction of PARP9-DTX3L with IFIT1 promoter.
- **d** and **e** depict the binding of DTX3L to the IFIT1 promoter in
Figure 7 DTX3L mediates immunoproteasomal degradation of viral 3C protease. (a) Immunoblot analysis of EMCV 3C in various U3A (left) or U3A-STAT1 (right) cell lines (above lanes) at 6 h after infection with EMCV (MOI, 1). (b) Immunoblot analysis of EMCV 3C and PSMB8 in various U3A cell lines (above lanes) left untreated (−) or treated (+) for 1 or 2 h (below blot) with the PSMB8-specific inhibitor ONX-0914 (0.1 μM) and then infected for 6 h with EMCV (MOI, 1). (c) EMCV RNA in cells as in a. (d) Immunoblot analysis of various proteins (left margin) in various U3A-STAT1 cell lines (above lanes) treated for 6 h with IFN-β (10 U/ml) or not (below blot) and then infected for 18 h with EMCV (MOI, 1). (e) Immunoblot analysis of EMCV 3C and other proteins (left margin) in HEK293T cells transfected for 36 h with various vectors (above lanes) alone (−) or together with (+) vectors encoding histidine- and V5-tagged EMCV 3C (His-V5-EMCV-3C) plus histidine-tagged ubiquitin (His-Ub) and then treated for 14 h with MG-132 (20 μM) (+MG-132) or not (−MG-132) (below blots). (f) Immunoblot analysis of EMCV 3C in human tracheal epithelial cells infected with EMCV at an MOI of 1 or 10 (top) and then, at 32 h later, treated for 12 h with MG-132 (20 μM) (+) or not (−). (g) EMCV 3Dpol RNA in cells as in f. (h) Immunoblot analysis of primary cultures of human tracheal epithelial cells with or without treatment for 24 h with interferon (above lanes), showing the co-immunoprecipitation of endogenous STAT1 with PARP9-DTX3L (top), and input controls (below). Data are representative of three independent experiments (mean and s.e.m. in c, g).

U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3L cells, and this binding was enhanced by infection with EMCV (Fig. 8c), consistent with EMCV 3C-assisted trafficking of PARP9-DTX3L to the nucleus and the loss of nuclear integrity during picornavirus infection. The binding of DTX3L to this promotor was inhibited in U3A-STAT1-PARP9M-DTX3LM cells (Fig. 8c), consistent with a decrease in chromatin accessibility (Fig. 5d).

The findings reported above raised the possibility that DTX3L might contain a distinct domain for direct targeting of viral 3C proteases for ubiquitination. Indeed, by using recombinant proteins in an in vitro ubiquitination assay, we found that DTX3L ubiquitinated EMCV 3C (Fig. 8d). The ubiquitination of EMCV 3C by DTX3L was not affected by titration of various amounts of recombinant PARP9 into the in vitro ubiquitination assay (Fig. 8e), which ruled against the possibility of competition between the two proteins for binding to their substrate. We confirmed specificity for the ubiquitination of EMCV 3C by purification of the histidine- and V5-tagged ubiquitinated EMCV 3C reaction product with nickel–nitrilotriacetic acid columns (Fig. 8f). DTX3L also ubiquitinated the related human rhinovirus (HRV) 3C protease (Fig. 8g) that exhibits a pattern of early localization to the nucleus and late localization to the cytosol during infection similar to that of the EMCV 3C protease30. In vitro ubiquitination assays further showed that DTX3L did not require PARP9 for activity against EMCV 3C or HRV 3C (Fig. 8d–g) and that DTX3L was able to mediate Lys48 (K48)-linked ubiquitination of EMCV 3C (Fig. 8h). Recombinant DTX3L did not ubiquitinate the respiratory syncytial virus nonstructural protein NS1 (Fig. 8i), which is linked to ubiquitination-dependent degradation of host proteins31; this indicated that ubiquitination by DTX3L was at least somewhat specific to viral 3C proteases. DTX3L-delD3 and even DTX3L-RDTXH alone maintained ubiquitin ligase activity in vitro (Fig. 8j), which suggested that the RING and DTXH domains of DTX3L mediated ubiquitination and substrate recognition. Through the use of biolayer interferometry (a system for measuring protein interactions in real time), we were able to detect concentration-dependent interactions between recombinant DTX3L-RDTXH and both EMCV 3C and HRV 3C (Fig. 8k). Thus, the RING-DTXH domains of DTX3L were sufficient for the recognition of EMCV 3C and HRV 3C proteases and complemented the ability of the D1–D3 domains of DTX3L to bind...
cells (unpaired EMCV 3C in various HEK293T cells transfected with various constructs (above lanes), assessed by immunoprecipitation of lysates with anti-V5 beads and Western blot analysis. (b) Immunoblot analysis of the ubiquitination of recombinant histidine- and V5-tagged EMCV 3C (His-V5-EMCV-3C), followed by immunoprecipitation with antibody to V5, probed with anti-c-Myc or anti-FLAG. (c) Crosslinking ChiP assay of various U3A-STAT1 cell lines without infection (−ECMV) or 6 h after infection with EMCV (MOI, 1) (+ ECMV) (key); after incubation of lysates with antibody to c-Myc–DTX3L, the immunoprecipitated DNA was analyzed by real-time PCR assay for IFIT1 promoter sequence, and results were normalized to input DNA and those of U3A-STAT1 cells. Results obtained with control antibody (mouse IgG) are shown (data not shown). (d) Immunoblot analysis of the ubiquitination of EMCV 3C in reaction mixtures containing various combinations (above lanes) of histidine- and V5-tagged EMCV (0.5 µg) as substrate, plus hemagglutinin-tagged ubiquitin (HA-Ub), E1 (ubiquitin-activating enzyme), the E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme HbcH5a and DTX3L, detected with antibody to V5-tagged EMCV 3C and anti-hemagglutinin. The smear of bands with higher molecular weight indicates ubiquitinated proteins; native (non-ubiquitinated) histidine- and V5-tagged EMCV 3C monomer migrates as a 22-kDa protein. (e) Immunoblot analysis of the ubiquitination of EMCV 3C as in c, but with the addition of various amounts (above lanes) of histidine-tagged PARP9 and DTX3L to the reaction mixtures. (f) Immunoblot analysis of the ubiquitination of recombinant histidine- and V5-tagged EMCV 3C after incubation with E1, E2 and DTX3L, with (+) or without (−) hemagglutinin-tagged ubiquitin, analyzed directly (−Ni-NTA) or after purification of ubiquitinated proteins by Ni-NTA affinity purification. (g–h) Immunoblot analysis of the ubiquitination of HRV 3C in an assay as in f. (g) Immunoblot analysis of the ubiquitination of HRV 3C in an assay as in f, but with incubation with K48-linked ubiquitin (K48-Ub), and probed with antibody to K48-linked ubiquitin. (i) Immunoblot analysis of the ubiquitination of EMCV 3C and respiratory syncytial virus NS1 (RSV NS1) in the assay conditions in f. (j) Ubiquitination of EMCV 3C by DTX3L, DTX3L-delD3 and DTX3L-RDTXH in the assay conditions in f. Arrowheads (+,−) indicate ubiquitinated forms. (k) Binding of DTX3L-RING-DTXH to EMCV 3C (top) or HRV 3C (bottom), with DTX3L-RING-DTXH concentrations of 10, 5, 2.5, 1.25 and 0.625 µM (for EMCV 3C) or 8.5, 4.25, 2.13, 1.06 and 0.53 µM (for HRV 3C), assessed by real-time biolayer interferometry and presented as sensograms (left); solid lines indicate reference-corrected data. Right, orientation of biosensor pin. (l) Ubiquitination of EMCV 3C in various HEK293T cell lines transfected with various constructs (above lanes), assessed by immunoprecipitation of lysates with anti-V5 beads and Western blot analysis (unpaired t-test). Data are representative of at least three independent experiments (mean and s.e.m. in c). PARP9-STAT1. In addition, using co-immunoprecipitation assays to detect V5-labeled EMCV 3C and hemagglutinin-labeled ubiquitin, we found that the ubiquitination of EMCV 3C was lower in HEK293T cells expressing PARP9M-DTX3LM than in those expressing PARP9-DTX3L (Fig. 8i). Together these results indicated that PARP9-DTX3L interacted with viral 3C proteases to target them for degradation and thus attacked the pathogen directly, in concert with interaction at ISG promoters to enhance the host response to viruses.

**DISCUSSION**

Our study has shown that a single molecular complex, PARP9-DTX3L, mediated ubiquitination of both host histones and viral...
proteases to enhance interferon-dependent immunity and thereby control viral infection. We identified PARP9 and DTX3L on the basis of their increased expression in a gain-of-function system wherein modification of STAT1 to STAT1-CC (containing two cysteine substitutions in the Src homology 2 domain) enhanced the response to type I and II interferons and thereby broadly and safely provided protection against otherwise lethal viral infections in vitro and in vivo. Our analysis of this enhanced interferon responsiveness showed that PARP9 interacted with DTX3L and STAT1 and functioned as a chaperone to enhance levels of the PARP9-DTX3L protein complex and STAT1-mediated ISG expression. In addition, DTX3L interacted with a subset of host histones (notably histone H2B1) and viral proteases (specifically viral 3C proteases) to function as an E3 ubiquitin ligase for both of these substrates. By targeting these substrates, DTX3L tailored chromatin accessibility for the expression of a specific subset of ISGs and promoted immunoproteasome-dependent blockade of viral replication. Each of these endpoints contributed separately to the control of viral infection. These findings place PARP9-DTX3L in a new context and establish interferon-driven ubiquitination as a key immunomodulatory step that might be targeted to enhance interferon signaling and control infection.

The multifunctional nature of PARP9-DTX3L was based on the use of distinct protein domains for interactions among PARP9, DTX3L, STAT1 and specific ubiquitination substrates (histones and viral 3C proteases). Thus, the dual function of DTX3L was mediated by binding of DTX3L to PARP9 via the D3 domain of DTX3L (to increase the level of DTX3L and its consequent function) and ubiquitination substrates via the RDTXH domain of DTX3L (to enable degradation of viral 3C and histone modification). In addition, the D1–D3 domains of DTX3L bound weakly to STAT1, and the macro and PARP domains of PARP9 bound strongly to STAT1, consistent with evidence that PARP9 also interacts with STAT1 via the macro domains of PARP9 in B cell lymphoma cell lines. However, we found that the binding of PARP9-STAT1 was independent of conventional binding of the PARP macro domain to ADP-ribosylated histones described for the DNA-repair response and thus seemed to be specially ‘tuned’ to the interferon system. Since both STAT1 and the EMCV 3C protease traffic to nuclear and cytosolic sites, binding of PARP9-DTX3L to STAT1 and EMCV 3C would allow at least two aids for the PARP9-DTX3L complex to also traffic to these two sites. This fits with detection of the PARP9–DTX3L–STAT1–EMCV 3C complex at both sites during infection and the ability of the PARP9-DTX3L complex to control viral and host gene expression in the nucleus and viral assembly in the cytoplasm. Since we also found that the PARP9-DTX3L-STAT1 interaction occurred at baseline, the complex seemed to be ready in advance of infection. Moreover, PARP9 enhanced the level of DTX3L protein, and PARP9-DTX3L in turn promoted the expression of PARP9 and DTX3L, so that formation of the PARP9-DTX3L complex was also able to auto-amplify in response to the interferon production that developed after infection.

The properties of PARP9-DTX3L we have reported here appear to be distinct from those of other members of the PARP family in terms of the antiviral or interferon response. For example, PARP1 directly ‘poly(ADP-ribosyl)ates’ viral proteins to control viral replication. In contrast, PARP9 completely lacks PARP enzymatic activity. PARP13 (ZAP) interacts directly with viral proteins but recruits the RNA exosome to mediate degradation without a ubiquitination mechanism, and a shorter isoform of PARP13 (ZAP5) associates with the RNA helicase RIG-I to increase expression of interferons and other cytokines as an antiviral mechanism. PARP12L, PARP7 and PARP10 might also have some antiviral activity, but the basis for this activity has been linked to the translation of viral and cellular RNA. PARP14 regulates the activity of STAT6, but in contrast to results obtained for PARP9-DTX3L, the enzymatic activity of PARP was again required, no E3 ligase partner was identified, and DNA binding was undetectable. In addition, we found no decrease in the level or function of STAT1 after overexpression of PARP9-DTX3L. Therefore, the action of PARP9-DTX3L was also distinct from that of E3 ubiquitin ligases that target STAT1 to downregulate interferon signaling.

The identification of the actions of PARP9-DTX3L could provide valuable lessons for harnessing a safe reserve in the interferon signaling pathway for therapeutic benefit. In particular, we learned that PARP9-DTX3L contained modules for interaction with each other and with STAT1, specific cellular histones and viral 3C proteases to control viral infection at two levels (expression of host ISGs and degradation of viral 3C proteases) and two cellular sites (nucleus and cytoplasm). It therefore seems to be critical to understand and retain the multifunctional nature of PARP9-DTX3L to safely and effectively enhance interferon signal performance. We also learned that PARP9-DTX3L was especially suited to control viral 3C proteases, which, along with 3C-like proteases, are required for the wide range of common picornaviruses and other important pathogens in the picornavirus-like supercluster that includes certain coronaviruses and caliciviruses. Thus, PARP9-DTX3L provides a particular ‘road map’ to guide the translation of these results toward a broad-acting next-generation therapeutic for this class of viruses. Indeed, small-molecule interferon signal–enhancer compounds have been identified in a cell-based screen for ISRE-driven luciferase reporter activity and have been shown to increase host ISG expression and control the level of EMCV and HRV in 2TGH cells and primary cultures of human airway epithelial cells. Whether these compounds also promote the degradation of viral 3C proteases and depend on PARP9-DTX3L expression for antiviral activity still needs to be determined. Nonetheless, small-molecule interferon signal–enhancer–type agents that mimic the antiviral mechanism of STAT1-CC and PARP9-DTX3L should help to fill the unmet need for protection against the acute illness and chronic inflammatory disease that develop with picornavirus infection and perhaps the need for the treatment of other interferon-sensitive conditions.

METHODS

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

Accession codes. GEO: whole-genome expression data, GSE61411, GSE61413, GSE61414 and GSE61421.

Note: Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.
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ONLINE METHODS

Generation of transgenic mice. C57BL/6J mice were from Jackson Laboratory. CAG-STAT1 and CAG-STAT1-CC transgenic mice were generated using the pCAGGS vector that carries the cytomegalovirus early enhancer element; the promoter, first exon, and first intron of the chicken β-actin gene; and the splice acceptor of the rabbit β-globin gene to generate CAG-STAT1-CC-FLAG and CAG-STAT1-FLAG plasmids. A Sall- and PvuII-digested cDNA encoding CAG-STAT1-CC-FLAG or CAG-STAT1-FLAG was purified and microinjected into C57BL/6J zygotes. Transgenic founders were screened by PCR and then were bred to generate male mice for experiments. To assess interferon responsiveness, mice were treated with recombinant mouse IFN-γ or IFN-β (PBL Biomedical Laboratories) given by intraperitoneal injection of 2 × 10^6 or 2 × 10^5 U, respectively. All mouse strains were maintained on a C57BL/6J background. All mouse studies were performed under an IACUC-sanctioned protocol approved by the Animal Studies Committee of Washington University.

Immunoblot analysis. Cells were lysed and tissues were homogenized in 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.05M Tris, pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl, and 1 mM EDTA containing Halt Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cell and tissue extracts were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and proteins were blotted onto PVDF membrane (Millipore) and incubated with anti-FLAG (M2, Sigma), rabbit antibody to human STAT1 phosphorylated at Tyr701 (9171; Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit antibody to human STAT1 phosphorylated at Ser727 (9177; Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit antibody to human PARP9 (40–4400; Thermo Fisher Scientific), rabbit antibody to human DTX3L (A300-834A; Bethyl Laboratories), mouse monoclonal antibody (mAb) to c-Myc (9E10; Santa Cruz), mouse antibody to human p300 (NM11; BD Biosciences), rabbit antibody to human STAT2 (sc-476; Santa Cruz), mouse antibody to human STAT1 (1B; BD Biosciences), mAb to V5 (R960-25; Thermo Fisher Scientific), anti-hexahistidine (190-114a; Bethyl Laboratories), anti-RIG-I (ALX-804-960; Enzo), mouse mAb to EMVC 3D (from A. C. Pamlenberg, University of Wisconsin), or polyclonal antibody to respiratory syncytial virus NS1 (J141; East Coast Biologics). Antibody to EMVC 3C was generated as described45. Primary antibodies were detected with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated goat antibody to rabbit IgG (7076; Cell Signaling), by enhanced chemiluminescence.

For histone analysis, acid-extracted nuclear proteins were isolated46 and analyzed by immunoblot with rabbit antibody to histone H4 (2592; Cell Signaling Technology), mouse mAb to ubiquitinated histone H2B (56; Millipore), rabbit mAb to ubiquitinated histone H2A (D663A; Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit antibody to histone H2B (67–371; Millipore) and rabbit antibody to histone H3 (9715; Cell Signaling Technology), detected with enhanced chemiluminescence. In addition, gels were transferred to PVDF membranes (Li-COR, Biosciences) at 4 °C, and then the membranes were incubated for 1 h at 25 °C with Odyssey blocking buffer before incubation overnight at 4 °C with mouse mAb to ubiquitinated histone H2B, together with either rabbit mAb to ubiquitinated histone H2A or rabbit antibody to histone H3 (all identified above). The secondary antibody IRDye 680RD–conjugated goat anti-mouse (926-68070; Li-COR Biosciences) or IRDye 800CW–conjugated goat anti-rabbit (926-32211; Li-COR Biosciences) was applied for 1.5 h at 25 °C. Imaging and quantification was performed with a LI-COR Odyssey CLX scanner.

Immunohistochemistry. Immunostaining for phosphorylated STAT1 and FLAG reporter for immunofluorescence microscopy was performed on frozen heart tissue that was embedded in OCT compound (Sakura Finetechical) and cut into 6-µm sections, followed by blockade of nonspecific binding by incubation with 5% (wt/vol) normal donkey serum, and then incubation with rabbit antibody to phosphorylated STAT1 (9171; Cell Signaling Technology) and mouse mAb to FLAG (M2; Sigma) followed by Cy3–conjugated donkey antibody to rabbit IgG (711-165-152; Jackson ImmunoResearch) and fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)–conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG (715-225-150; Jackson ImmunoResearch).

Immunostaining of the FLAG reporter for light microscopy was performed on paraffin-embedded heart tissue that was cut into 6-µm sections, followed by blockade of nonspecific binding by incubation with 5% normal goat serum, and incubation with rabbit antibody to FLAG (M2; Sigma). Primary antibodies were detected with biotinylated goat antibody to rabbit and the VECTASTAIN ABC-AP kit (PK-4001; Vector Laboratories). Sections were stained with an alkaline phosphatase red substrate and counterstained with hematoxylin.

Immunostaining for EMVC was performed on tissue that was frozen, cut into 6-µm-thick sections and fixed in cold acetone, followed by blockade of nonspecific binding by incubation with 10% nonimmune goat serum, then by incubation with mouse mAb to EMVC 3D (from A.C. Pamlenberg), followed by incubation with peroxidase-conjugated goat antibody to mouse IgG (03117723001; Roche) and exposure to 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole (06696-1G; Sigma).

For histopathological studies, mouse organs were fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. For staining of IAV (strain A/Vietnam/1203/04), nonspecific binding in paraffin-embedded lung and brain tissues was blocked by incubation with 5% (wt/vol) normal rabbit serum, followed by incubation with goat antibody to IAV (H1N1) (20-I2G3; Fitzgerald Industries), followed by Cy3–conjugated donkey antibody to goat IgG (711-165-152; Jackson ImmunoResearch). Sections were mounted on slides with Vectashield medium containing DAPI (4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; Vector Laboratories).

RNA analysis. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (QIAGEN) and was reverse-transcribed with a High Capacity cDNA Transcription Kit (Life Technologies). Real-time PCR was performed using fluorogenic probe-primer combinations (Supplementary Table 7) specific for the target gene and TaqMan FAST Universal master mix (Life Technologies). All mRNA levels were normalized to levels of the control gene mouse Gapdh mRNA, determined with the TaqMan Rodent GDPH Control Kit or human GDPH mRNA with primers for GDPH nucleotides 37–910 or OAZ1 mRNA with the IDT PrimeTime Pre-designed assay Hs.9782385.g1 from Integrated DNA Technologies47.

Oligonucleotide microarray analysis was performed as described48. Gene-expression analysis was performed using Illumina Mouse-WG6 v1.1 BeadChips for mouse samples, and Illumina HumanHT-12 v3 BeadChips for human samples (Illumina). Isolated total RNA was amplified and biotinylated with an Ambion Illumina TotalPrep Kit. Hybridization and scanning of BeadChip arrays was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions, with BeadStudio 3.0 software (Illumina). Treatment and conditions were randomized across BeadChip slides to avoid confounding. For bead-level analysis, raw data were exported from BeadStudio.

Viral inoculation and monitoring. Mouse EMVC (strain VR-129B) was titered by a viral plaque-forming assay49. IAV (strains A/WS/33 and A/Vietnam/1203/04) and Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (strain ZPC738) were cultured as described50–52. SINV stocks were generated by electroporation of BHK-21 baby hamster kidney cells with RNA transcribed from plasmid TOTO 1101 (ref. 53). Mice were inoculated by intraperitoneal injection of EMVC (3, 1 × 10^5, or 1 × 10^6 PFU in 100 µl PBS), intranasal delivery of IAV strain A/WS/33 (25 PFU in 30 µl PBS) or strain A/Vietnam/1203/04 (1 × 10^5 TCID50 in 30 µl PBS), or subcutaneous injection of Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (16 PFU in 100 µl PBS). Infected tissues were either fixed in 10% formalin for histology or homogenized for plaque-forming or TCID50 assay. Cell cultures were inoculated as described51. Cell supernatants were used for plaque-forming or TCID50 analysis and real-time quantitative PCR assays as described50–52,54. Cell lysates were used for isolation of RNA with an RNeasy kit (Qiagen) or for immunoblot analysis of EMVC proteins. For inhibition of PSM88, cells were treated for 24 or 48 h with ONX-0914 (PR-957; Xciss Biosciences) at 0.1 µM, followed by infection for 6 h with EMVC (MOI 1), then were lysed for immunoblot analysis with antibody to EMVC 3C45. Real-time quantitative PCR for viral RNA was performed as described above with specific forward and reverse primers (Supplementary Table 7).

Cell line and primary-culture cell generation. The U3A cell line was obtained from G. Stark, and STAT1 deficiency was confirmed as described11. U3A cells were transduced with retroviral vectors Mx-GFP, Mx-STAT1-IRES-GFP, Mx-STAT1-FLAG-IRES-GFP, Mx-STAT1-CC-FLAG-IRES-GFP and Mx-STAT1-CC-FLAG-IRES-GFP as described11. For expression of PARP9 and DTX3L, cDNA encoding FLAG-tagged human PARP9 or PARP9-M12 or c-Myc-tagged PARP9 was co-transduced with retroviral vectors Mx-GFP, Mx-STAT1-IRES-GFP, Mx-STAT1-CC-FLAG-IRES-GFP, Mx-STAT1-CC-FLAG-IRES-GFP and Mx-STAT1-CC-FLAG-IRES-GFP as described11. For expression of PARP9 and DTXL3, cDNA encoding FLAG-tagged human PARP9 or PARP9-M12 or c-Myc-tagged PARP9 was co-transduced with retroviral vectors Mx-GFP, Mx-STAT1-IRES-GFP, Mx-STAT1-CC-FLAG-IRES-GFP and Mx-STAT1-CC-FLAG-IRES-GFP as described11. For expression of PARP9 and DTXL3, cDNA encoding FLAG-tagged human PARP9 or PARP9-M12 or c-Myc-tagged PARP9 was co-transduced with retroviral vectors Mx-GFP, Mx-STAT1-IRES-GFP, Mx-STAT1-CC-FLAG-IRES-GFP and Mx-STAT1-CC-FLAG-IRES-GFP as described11.
DTX3L or DTX3LM (Invitrogen) was inserted into lentiviral vector pLVx-IRES-puro (Clonetech) or pRRLsin.hCMV.IRES.EGFP to generate pLVx-FLAG-PARP9-IRES-puro, pLVx-c-Myc-DTX3L-IRES-Puro and pRRL-PARP9-IRES-GFP. Sequence encoding green fluorescent protein (GFP) was replaced with sequence encoding red fluorescent protein (RFP) (Evrogen) to generate pRRL-anti-PARP9-IRES-RFP. For mutation of PARP9 (to generate mutant PARP9 (PARP9M)), human PARP9 cDNA encoding a Gly311-to-Glu311 substitution in Macro2 were used for transduction of U3A-GFP, U3A-STAT1-PARP9M12-DTX3LM and cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-HA (HA-7; Sigma) or anti-c-Myc (E6654; Sigma) and were analyzed by immunoblot with antibody to HA-H2BJ (H6908; Sigma), antibody to FLAG-PARP9 (M2; Sigma), antibody to c-Myc–DTX3L (9E10; Santa Cruz) and antibody to STAT1 (1; BD Biosciences). For X-Chip assay the binding of DTX3L to IFIT1 promoter, cells were infected with EMCV (MOI, 1) for 6 h and then were treated with formaldehyde. Cell lysates were incubated with anti-c-Myc (E6654, Sigma), and immunoprecipitated DNA was analyzed by real-time PCR assay with primers for the human IFIT1 promoter sequence (5′-CAGCAGGAATTCGCTACG-3′ and 5′-GCCAATGGTGAAGCGTG-3′ as the forward and reverse primer pair, and 5′-GTTGCGTCTTTGGAAGTTG-3′ as the probe).

**Immunocytochemistry.** For analysis of nuclear localization, U3A-STAT1-PARP9-DTX3LM cells were treated with leptomycin B (Sigma) and IFN-β, then were fixed for 8 min at −20 °C with methanol and then incubated with mouse antibody to STAT1 (1:100 dilution; 1; BD Biosciences) and Alexa Fluor 488–labeled donkey anti-mouse (A-21202; Thermo Fisher Scientific), rabbit anti-PARP9 (40-4400; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Alexa Fluor 647–labeled goat anti-rabbit (A-21246; Thermo Fisher Scientific), or rabbit anti-DTX3L (A300-8344-Bethyl) labeled with Alexa Fluor 555–labeled donkey anti-rabbit (A-31572; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and then with DAPI. For experiments on the localization of EMVC 3C and DTX3L, U3A-STAT1-PARP9M-DTX3LM cells were fixed and then were incubated with rabbit antibody to EMVC 3C (ref. 45) and Alexa Fluor 555–labeled donkey anti-rabbit (A-31572; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and with goat anti-DTX3L (sc-102496; Santa Cruz) and Alexa Fluor 633–labeled donkey anti-goat (A-21082; Thermo Fisher Scientific), and with mouse anti-STAT1 (1; BD Biosciences) and Alexa Fluor 488–labeled donkey anti-mouse (identified above), and then with DAPI. Cells were imaged using a Zeiss LSM 750 confocal microscope.

**Gene-transactivation assays.** For luciferase reporter gene-transactivation assays, cells were co-transfected with the plasmid pGAS-Luciferase or pSRE-Luciferase (0.5 µg; Stratagene) and pRL-SV-40 Renilla luciferase plasmid (0.03 µg, Promega) using X-tremeGene 9 (Roche Applied Science). After 24 h, transfected cells were treated for 12 h with IFN-γ (1000 U/ml) or IFN-β (1000 U/ml) and then split into aliquots for analysis of luciferase activity. The level of renilla luciferase activity was used to correct for transfection efficiency.

**Protein domain mapping.** cDNA encoding STAT1, PARP9 or DTX3L was subcloned into pcDNA3.1 vectors with primers encoding epitope tag sequences to generate STAT1-HA, FLAG-PARP9 and c-Myc–DTX3L constructs. These plasmids (15 µg) were mixed with polyethylenimine (15 µg/ml) for transfection of HEK293T cells. After 48–72 h, transfected cells were lysed, and protein complexes were immunoprecipitated in 150 mM NaCl with anti-HA (HA-7; Sigma), anti-FLAG (M2; Sigma) or anti-c-Myc affinity gel (E6654; Sigma) and were analyzed by immunoblot with antibody to p300 (sc-584; Sigma), then were washed and analyzed by immunoblot with anti-STAT1, anti-PARP9, anti-DTX3L or anti-p300 (all identified above). For co-immunoprecipitation of histone H2BJ with PARP9-DTX3L-STAT1, HEK293T cells were transfected for 48 h to express hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged H2BJ (Life Technologies), FLAG-tagged PARP9 and c-Myc-tagged DTX3L, and cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-HA (HA-7; Sigma) or anti-c-Myc (E6654; Sigma) and were analyzed by immunoblot with antibody to HA-H2BJ (H6908; Sigma), antibody to FLAG-PARP9 (M2; Sigma), antibody to c-Myc–DTX3L (9E10; Santa Cruz) and antibody to STAT1 (1; BD Biosciences). For X-Chip assay the binding of DTX3L to IFIT1 promoter, cells were infected with EMCV (MOI, 1) for 6 h and then were treated with formaldehyde. Cell lysates were incubated with anti-c-Myc (E6654, Sigma), and immunoprecipitated DNA was analyzed by real-time PCR assay with primers for the human IFIT1 promoter sequence (5′-CAGCAGGAATTCGCTACG-3′ and 5′-GCCAATGGTGAAGCGTG-3′ as the forward and reverse primer pair, and 5′-GTTGCGTCTTTGGAAGTTG-3′ as the probe).
anti-mouse (7076; Cell Signaling) was added, followed by incubation for 1 h at 25 °C, and binding was detected with a TMB 2 component Substrate Kit (KPL). The reaction was stopped by the addition of 2 N sulfuric acid, and absorbance at 450 nm was determined with a SpectrMax Plus microplate reader ( Molecular Devices).

In the second set of experiments, binding of the PARP macro domain was assayed as described11,12. Cells lysates containing 1 mg protein in 1 ml of a solution of 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP40, and HALT inhibitor cocktail were added to 25 µl EZView Red FLAG M2 affinity gel (Sigma), followed by incubation overnight at 4 °C. Beads were then washed, followed by incubation overnight at 4 °C with 225 pmol (3 µl) of poly-ADP-ribosylated PARP1 (PARP-PAR; Trevigen) in 500 µl of the same buffer used for lysate binding. The complex was eluted with 200 µg/ml FLAG peptide in TBS with HALT inhibitor cocktail. Samples were then cleared by centrifugation and subjected to immunoblot analysis.

**CHART PCR assay.** CHART PCR assays were performed as described57. Cells were treated for 0.5, 4 or 12 h with IFN-β (1000 U/ml). The cell pellet was resuspended in 1 ml iced cold Nonidet P-40 lysis buffer (10 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 0.15 mM spermine, and 0.5 mM spermidine), followed by incubation on ice for 5 min. The suspension was centrifuged at 3,000 r.p.m. for 5 min to pellet the nuclei. Nuclei were then suspended in the recommended New England BioLabs buffer (total volume of 50 µl) and 8 units of AvrII was added to initiate the digestion reaction at 37 °C for 15 min. The digested genomic DNA was purified with a QIAamp blood extraction kit (Qiagen). CHART PCR assays for the human IFIT1 promoter were performed with the following forward and reverse primers: 5′-CATGACGAGGATTCCGGTACC-3′ and 3′-GCCCAATGTTGAACGTGG-3′ and the probe: 5′-TGTGAGTTCG-3′. The relative accessible chromatin DNA was calculated as follows: value for AvrII-cutting sample stimulated with IFN-β (1000 U/ml) divided by value for AvrII-cutting samples not stimulated with IFN-β.

**Ubiquitin proteome microarray.** Possible substrates of DTXL3 were identified with a 17,000-protein microarray (E3 Substrate ID; MA102) from CTD Laboratories. Microarrays were incubated with recombinant E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme, E2 (UBE2D1) ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, ubiquitin, and ATP with or without recombinant DTXL3, and ubiquitinated substrates were detected with tandem ubiquitin-binding entities (TUBE)s58 with a system from LifeSensors. Comparison of conditions with and without DTXL3 were analyzed by published methods59 and by methods described below for analysis of gene-expression microarrays. For data analysis, data files were generated by GenePix software containing signal intensities from the test sample (with DTXL3 added) and background control sample (without DTXL3 added). The median intensity values were processed with R software package limma for the following: background correction with the paddle model; normalization by positive-control spots (for example, IgG555/647); and additional normalization by array printer tip and location. By this normalization process, an M value of <0, indicative of a lower signal in the test sample than in the control sample, was removed without further analysis. For the remaining proteins, the M values of duplicate spots for a protein on the array were subjected to a paired t-test to determine statistical significance.

**Native ChIP assays.** To detect histone post-translational modifications, native ChIP was used as described with minor modifications60. Cells were treated for 16 h with IFN-β (1 U/ml). The cell pellet was suspended in 2 ml N-Chip buffer I (0.3 M sucrose, 60 mM KCl, 15 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EGTA, 15 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.5 mM DTT, and protease inhibitors), then 2 ml of N-Chip buffer with 0.4% NP-40, and then 8 ml of N-Chip buffer with 1.2 M sucrose instead of 0.3 M sucrose. The mixture was centrifuged at 3,000 r.p.m. for 30 min, and the nuclei-containing pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of digestion buffer and then micrococcal nuclease (100 units in 1 µl; Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added, followed by incubation for 7 min at 37 °C. Nucleosomes were pelleted by centrifugation, resuspended in 1 ml of N-Chip dialysis buffer (1 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.2 mM EDTA), and then dialyzed overnight at 4 °C. The dialyzed sample was centrifuged, and the supernatant was separated by electrophoresis through a 2.0% agarose gel and analyzed by immunoprecipitation by the same procedure as for X-ChIP but with mouse mAb to histone H2B-Ub (56, Millipore), antibody to histone H3 trimethylated at Lys4 (ab1012; Abcam) or rabbit antibody to histone H2B (07-371; Millipore).

**Ubiquitination assays in HEK293T cells.** For confirmation of DTXL3M expression, HEK293T cells were transfected for 36 h with expression vectors for FLAG-PARP9-c-Myc–DTXL3 or FLAG-PARP9M-c-Myc–DTXL3, then were incubated for 14 h with 20 µM MG-132 (Enzo Life Sciences) and then subjected to lysis and Immunoblot analysis with anti-c-Myc (9E10; Santa Cruz). For analysis of the degradation of EMVC 3C, CDNA encoding EMVC 3C was amplified from EMVC virus stock, its sequence was confirmed by sequencing, then it was tagged with sequence encoding V5 and inserted into the expression vector pcDNA3.1. The plasmid pCIS-His-Ub (31815; Addgene) was a gift from A. Winoto (University of California, Berkeley), and plasmid pHA-Ubiquitin (18712; Addgene) was a gift from E. Yeh (University of Texas, Houston). The plasmid encoding TRIM22 was from GeneCopeia. Cells were transfected with vectors encoding V5-EMVC 3C and His-Ub using X-tremeGene 9 (Roche) and, 36 h later, were treated for 14 h with 20 µM MG-132 (or not) before lysis and Immunoblot analysis. For analysis of the ubiquitination of EMVC 3C, cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with mAb to V5 conjugated to agarose (V5-10; Sigma), followed by elution with 1% SDS, subsequent dilution to 0.1% SDS, reprecipitation with mAb to V5 (identified above), and Immunoblot analysis with mAb to HA-tagged ubiquitin (HA-7; Sigma) followed by anti-V5 (identified above).

**In vitro ubiquitination assay with recombinant proteins.** Sequence encoding V5-EMVC 3C, V5-HRV-A16 3C (from HRV-A16 obtained from J. Gern, University of Wisconsin), c-Myc–DTXL3-delD3 or c-Myc–DTXL3-RDTXH was cloned into plasmid pET28a as an amino-terminal hexahistidine-tagged construct, followed by transformation into Rosetta2 (DE3) cells (Novagen), and expression as soluble proteins that were purified by nickel–nitriotriacetic acid chromatography followed by gel-filtration chromatography. The purified protein was concentrated to 10–20 mg/ml and was stored in a buffer consisting of 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, and 150 mM NaCl. Ubiquitination reactions were carried out in a 50-µl reaction mixture consisting of 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, containing 5 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM ATP, 2 mM DTt, 0.5 µg His-V5-EMVC 3C or His-V5- HRV 3C, 1 µg HA-Ub (Boston Biochem), 0.3 µg E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme (Uba1; Boston Biochem), 0.6 µg E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (Ubch5a; Boston Biochem) and 0.5 µg DTXL3 (Origene). The mixture was incubated for 30 min at 37 °C and then was separated by SDS-PAGE14. Antibody to HA-tagged ubiquitin (3933; Cell Signaling Technology) and antibody to K48-linkage-specific polyubiquitin (4289; Cell Signaling Technology) were used for the detection of ubiquitinated protein. For experiments with combinations of PARP9 and DTXL3, various amounts of glutathione S-transferase (GST)-His-PARP9 (Abcam) and DTXL3 were added to the reaction mixture. Respiratory syncytial virus NS1 protein (obtained from G. Amarasingshe, Washington University) was tested in the same way.

**Biolayer interferometry assay.** Sequence encoding DTXL3L-RING-DTXH (amino acids 555–740) was cloned into plasmid pET28a as an amino-terminal hexahistidine-tagged construct, and its sequence was confirmed by sequencing. The protein was purified by the procedure used for EMVC 3C and HRV-3C, described above. The binding of DTXL3L-RING-DTXH to EMVC 3C and HRV 3C was assessed using biolayer interferometry with an Octet (Fortebio). Streptavidin-coated biosensors from ForteBio were used for capillary binding of biotinylated proteins to the surface of the sensor. After baseline was reached, sensors were moved to association step for 300 s and then dissociation for 300 s. Curves were corrected by subtraction of signal from a reference pin. The running buffer consisted of 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween, and 1% BSA.
Statistical analysis. A two-tailed unpaired Student's t-test was used to assess statistical significance between means. Mouse survival was assessed by Kaplan-Meier analysis.
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