Dental examiners consistency in applying different oral health indices in permanent dentition for a population-based study.
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Abstract: Different indices to identify dental diseases have been developed. For population-based studies, it is imperative to use simple and reliable indices, but most importantly, clinical examiners should reach an agreement, in order to increase inter-observer reliability and obtain reliable data. The objective of this study was to describe the calibration process of the Dental Aesthetic Index, Community Periodontal Index, Simplified Oral Hygiene Index and International Caries Detection and Assessment System ICDAS II by two academics from the Public Health Department of Dentistry. All calibrations started with the individual reading of the official documents of each index and, when possible, were followed by a lecture, in-vitro or ex-vivo training and concluded with an in-vivo training. A high inter-observer reliability was obtained between the two academics in all indices.

Keywords: Agreement; Calibration; ICDAS; Dental examination.

INTRODUCTION

The global burden of oral diseases is widely known, in which dental caries and periodontal diseases are considered the most important (Petersen, 2003). A poor oral health is associated with systemic diseases (Petersen, 2003; Manger et al., 2017; D’Aiuto et al., 2017). Also, it has been established that oral health is a determinant factor for quality of life (Petersen, 2003; Dietrich et al., 2017; Gil-Montoya et al., 2015). Although malocclusion is a dental deviation rather than a disease, an association with lower self-esteem and poorer quality of life has also been established (Petersen, 2003; Sun et al., 2017; Dimberg et al., 2014; Taibah & Al-Hummayani, 2017; Cartes-Velásquez et al., 2010).

Throughout the years, different indices to identify these diseases have been developed. For periodontal diseases, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends the use of the Community Periodontal Index (CPI), which identifies loss of attachment, gingival bleeding and periodontal pockets (World Health Organization, 2013). This index examines 1.1, 3.1 and all first and second permanent molars, to obtain the index; the sextant with the worst value is selected (World Health Organization, 2013). Another universally accepted index is the Simplified Oral Hygiene Index (OHI-S), a rapid method for assessing cleanliness in populations (Petersen, 2003; Greene & Vermillion, 1964; Mashima et al., 2017; Suresan, 2017). The OHI-S comprises a simplified debris index (DI-S) and a simplified calculus index (CI-S), these indices are based on numerical determinations representing the amount of debris or calculus found on the preselected tooth surfaces. The OHI-S is the total of

Conflict of interests: None.

Ethics approval: This study has been approved by the Commission of Research and Bioethics of the Universidad de Concepción School of Dentistry, Chile.

Funding: None.

Authors’ contributions: All authors carried out the entire study.

Acknowledgements: None.

doi: 10.32457/ijmss.2018.024
MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study has been approved by the Commission of Research and Bioethics of the Universidad de Concepción School of Dentistry, Chile. Patients recruitment was through convenience sampling, with a total of 10 patients. Informed and signed consent was obtained from patients or their tutors. All patients were over 12 years old (ranged 12-40), with permanent dentition, from different socioeconomic status. Edentulous patients were excluded. All patients received a financial incentive to pay for their transportation or other related expenses. The same patients participated in the pre calibration process of CPI, OHI-S and ICDAS II indices. For the DAI pre calibration process, dental study casts in plastic occluder were used. For the ex-vivo phase of ICDAS II, permanent teeth were used. Equitable distribution between mandibular-maxillary, anterior-posterior, decayed-sound, filled-nonfilled teeth.

Two examiners from the Public Health Department of the Dentistry Faculty of the Universidad de Concepción participated in the calibration process of all indices. All of them with a Master Degree in Pediatric Dentistry from the same generation and with private practice for at least 2 years as specialist.

All calibrations started with the individual reading of the official documents of each index and, when possible, were followed by a lecture, in-vitro or ex-vivo training and concluded with an in-vivo training.

All in-vivo examinations were developed according to the WHO Oral Health Surveys standards (World Health Organization, 2013).

**DAI:** After the reading of the DAI instructions from the WHO (1997), a 2-hour group discussion was carried out by the examiners, questions were answered and with the help of illustrations, dental study casts from the archive of the Specialization Course in Orthodontics at the Faculty of Dentistry, Universidad de Concepción, Chile, and the utilization of the WHO/CPI/PSR probe (which is the probe for the DAI), a theoretical consensus was obtained between the examiners. Then, an in-vitro assessment was carried out, where each participant examined the study casts separately. The instruments used were those recommended for the index, the WHO/CPI/PSR probe and dental study casts, using a specially designed survey pro forma. Ten dental study casts in plastic occluder and without fractures were randomly selected from the Specialization Course in Orthodontics at the Faculty of Dentistry, Universidad de Concepción, the age of participants whose models were included in this study ranged from 12 to 15 years, with early permanent dentition and without previous orthodontic treatment. The assessment was carried out with artificial zenithal lighting in a beige room. Each assessment took 5 minutes per dental study cast, with a total of 40 minutes. After the collection of the data, DAI scores were calculated using the DAI regression equation, according to WHO (1997).

**CPI and OHI-S:** After the reading of the documents (Greene & Vermillion, 1964; WHO, 1997), a lecture was...
RESULTS

An “almost perfect” global Intraclass (0.95) according to Landis and Koch (1977), a moderate Lin (1989) coefficient was obtained between the examiners (table 1).

### TABLE 1. Dental Aesthetic Index inter observer agreement.

| DAI | Lin coef | Intraclass |
|-----|----------|------------|
| 8 models | 0.92 | 0.925 | 0.95 |
An acceptable inter agreement was observed (Table 2) with the OHI-S and CPI in the final calibration process (weighted kappa index > 0.55).

**TABLE 2.** Simplified Oral Hygiene Index and Community Periodontal Index inter observer agreement.

|          | OHI-S | CPI  |
|----------|-------|------|
| 2 patients | 0.55  | 0.00 |
| 8 patients | 0.9510| 0.6430|

In table 3, a high inter agreement between the GS and the examiners in the final calibration process can be observed (weighted kappa index > 0.80).

**TABLE 3.** International Caries Detection and Assessment System Gold Standard-inter observer agreement.

|          | EX1-GS | EX2-GS |
|----------|--------|--------|
| Images   | 0.42   | 0.39   |
| Ex-vivo  | 0.76   | 0.80   |
| 2 patients | 0.71  | 0.72   |
| 8 patients | 0.82  | 0.83   |

**DISCUSSION**

An acceptable in-vivo inter-agreement was obtained between the examiners, especially with ICDAS II index.

At first, undergraduate and/or post graduate students were proposed for the calibration process, but considering that after they graduate most of them are unreachable (working far away, don’t have time or interest), finally two academics were selected. As the two academics work at the Public Health Department and as these are widely accepted indices, this calibration process provided examiners with a high inter-agreement, facilitating the data collection of future epidemiological research at the Faculty of Dentistry.

The patient selection criteria of convenience sampling were preferred in order to select the most various oral health status, with or without prior orthodontic treatment, partially edentulous, good and poor oral health, etc., so the inter-agreement obtained would be suitable for a varied sample of future epidemiological studies.

For the ICDAS calibration, a GS was mandatory, as it has been established in the ICDAS Guidelines and due to the novelty of the index (Ismail et al., 2007). For the OHI-S and CPI no GS was necessary, as these indices are widely studied and used during undergraduate dental school in Chile. For the DAI index, no in-vivo calibration process was carried out, as the two examiners had a high inter-agreement in the in-vitro calibration process, according to Lin (1989).

In ICDAS II calibration process, as it can be noticed, inter-agreement values with ex-vivo teeth were higher than the initial 2-patient training, which suggests the importance of the in-vivo calibration, due to the differences in real conditions, such as the influence of oral humidity, examiners' visual scope, the presence of saliva, tongue, lips and breathing of the patient, among others (Mossey, 2001; Fugill, 2013). Another factor could be that during the in-vivo training, a prophylaxis and the gentle air-dry of the teeth prior to the examination was carried out, which has been demonstrated that influences in the detection of the carious lesions (Ismail et al., 2007).

The discussion groups, after the training phase were very helpful to gain consensus, as it helped to improve the inter-agreement.
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