Rapid preparation of carbon-supported ruthenium nanoparticles by magnetic induction heating for efficient hydrogen evolution reaction in both acidic and alkaline media
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Abstract
Ruthenium has been hailed as a competitive alternative for platinum toward hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), a critical process in electrochemical water splitting. In this study, we successfully prepare metallic Ru nanoparticles supported on carbon paper by utilizing a novel magnetic induction heating (MIH) method. The samples are obtained within seconds, featuring a Cl-enriched surface that is unattainable via conventional thermal annealing. The best sample within the series shows a remarkable HER activity in both acidic and alkaline media with an overpotential of only -23 and -12 mV to reach the current density of 10 mA/cm², highly comparable to that of the Pt/C benchmark. Theoretical studies based on density functional theory show that the excellent electrocatalytic activity is accounted by the surface metal-Cl species that facilitate charge transfer and downshift the d-band center. Results from this study highlight the unique advantages of MIH in rapid sample preparation, where residual anion ligands play a critical role in manipulating the electronic properties of the metal surfaces and the eventual electrocatalytic activity.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

With the ever-increasing need of energy and the rapid depletion of traditional fossil fuels, hydrogen gas (H₂) has been considered as one of the most promising green energy resources. However, currently H₂ is produced mostly by steam-methane reforming at high temperatures (700–1000°C), making it energy- and capital-consuming. Electrochemical water splitting (water electrolyzer) represents an effective alternative, where H₂ is produced at...
the cathode using electricity produced from a sustainable source such as wind, sun light, and hydraulics. Yet, an appropriate catalyst is needed to catalyze the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) so as to decrease the overpotential and increase the current density. Thus far, Pt-based nanoparticles have remained the catalysts of choice toward HER; yet the high cost and limited natural abundance have hampered the wide-spread applications.2,3

Ruthenium (Ru), which costs about half of Pt, has emerged as a viable substitute, due to its similar bonding strength with hydrogen (∼65 kcal/mol) to that of Pt-H, a critical parameter in dictating the HER activity.4 Nevertheless, in the well-known volcano plot of the hydrogen adsorption Gibbs-free energy (ΔG_H*), Ru is actually situated on the left side, suggesting a somewhat strong adsorption of H that is unfavorable for H desorption from the catalyst surface. Computational studies based on density functional theory (DFT) have shown that H adsorption onto the top sites of Ru(0001) facet possesses an almost ideal ΔG_H* of only −0.07 eV, in comparison to the adjacent hollow Ru3 sites that exhibit a far more negative ΔG_H* of ca. −0.45 eV, suggesting that the latter is actually the most likely dominant binding sites, leading to a nonideal HER performance.7 In another study, Li et al.5 investigated the effect of ruthenium crystallinity on the HER activity and observed that the ΔG_H* on the hollow or bridge sites on most facets of hcp Ru and fcc Ru ranged from −0.5 to −0.7 eV, markedly greater than that on Pt(111) (ca. 0 eV).9 This indicates that manipulation of the Ru crystallinity alone is unlikely to be effective in diminishing ΔG_H* for optimal HER.

The preparation of nanocomposite catalysts with Ru supported on select functional substrates (i.e., carbon, nitride, oxide, etc.) has been adopted as a feasible strategy to tune the energetics of H adsorption.10 For instance, Ru nanoparticles supported on graphitic carbon nitride (g-C3N4) outperformed Pt in alkaline HER, due to the reduced energy barrier of water dissociation and optimal ΔG_H*.7 Ru atomically dispersed into g-C3N4 or N-doped carbon also showed unprecedented HER performance in both alkaline and acidic media, owning to the unique Ru-N/C atomic coordination for optimal adsorption of H.11-13 Alloying is another strategy to control the electronic structure of Ru. For example, Cai et al.14 synthesized ultrathin RuCo alloy nanosheets and observed a low overpotential (η10) of −10 mV to reach the current density of 10 mA/cm² in 1 M KOH, consistent with results from DFT studies that Ni or Co could efficiently downshift the d-band center of Ru and weaken H* adsorption. Nonmetal elements have also been integrated into Ru producing RuX hybrids (X = B, Si, P, S, Se, and Te) to tune the electronic structure and the adsorption of H* on Ru.7,15-19 For instance, interstitial alloying of Si atoms into Ru has been found to render the top adsorption of H* to be dominant, leading to an HER activity that rivals that of commercial Pt.7 In addition, it has been shown that the surface electronic structure of Ru can be manipulated by coordination with P atoms, where electron transfer from Ru to P dopants reduced ΔG_H* and, hence, enhanced activities towards both HER and HOR (hydrogen oxidation reaction).20

In these prior studies, the Ru-based catalysts were typically prepared by wet chemical reduction or pyrolysis, and a range of chemicals and solvents are consumed, making the process nonsustainable and time consuming. In the present study, we report a novel method based on magnetic induction heating (MIH) to synthesize Ru nanoparticles supported on carbon paper within seconds. Notably, in the ultrafast heating-quench process, metallic Ru nanoparticles were generated and deposited evenly on carbon paper by thermal decomposition of RuCl₃ salt even in the ambient atmosphere. Because of the short heating duration, RuCl₃ was incompletely decomposed, leading to residual Cl on the Ru surface with the content readily controlled by the magnetic current and heating time. This turned out to play a critical role in the HER performance of the samples, as confirmed in DFT studies, where the surface Cl species influenced the electronic structure of metallic Ru and the adsorption configuration and energetics of H*. Among the series, the best sample was obtained with a magnetic current of 300 A and heating time of 6 s, which demonstrated an HER activity similar to that of commercial Pt/C in both alkaline and acidic media with a respective η10 of −12 and −23 mV.

2 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1 | Sample preparation

The synthesis of Ru nanoparticles supported on carbon paper consisted of two major steps, as shown in Figure 1A. A RuCl₃ solution was first dropcast onto a piece of pre-treated carbon paper and dried at room temperature for 10 min. The carbon paper was then wrapped with graphite paper and sandwiched between two iron sheets, and the assembly was placed into the middle of the induction coil of a magnetic induction heater and heated at a controlled current for a varied period of time before being dropped into a beaker containing cold ethanol (−78°C) to quench the sample and to prevent oxidation in air (Figure 1B). By virtue of the Joule effect, the iron sheets can be heated up to ca. 1500°C at an ultrafast rate (up to 200 K/s, Figure 1C) due to the Eddy current generated instantly by the magnetic field. As a thermal-radiative material, carbon paper can be heated up simultaneously, converting RuCl₃ into Ru nanoparticles supported on carbon paper.
2.2 Structural characterizations

The structure of the samples was first characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements. From Figure 2 and Supporting information Figures S2-S4, one can clearly see a structural evolution of the ruthenium species from an amorphous state to metallic ruthenium nanoparticles with increasing magnetic current. For instance, for Ru-200 that was prepared by MIH treatment at 200 A for 6 s, the sample contained mostly amorphous particles (2–5 nm in diameter), as manifested in bright-field TEM measurements (Supporting information Figure S2). This was likely because the temperature was not sufficiently high for the complete decomposition of RuCl$_3$ and for the production of crystalline nanoparticles. When the magnetic current was increased to 300 A, the corresponding sample, Ru-300 (Figure 2A), actually consisted of nanoparticles evenly distributed on the carbon paper, most of which fell in the size range of 2 to 10 nm in diameter (Figure 2B), with an average size of 6 nm. Additionally, clearly defined lattice fringes can be resolved from these nanoparticles in high-resolution TEM measurements (Figure 2C), featuring two interplanar spacings of ca. 0.135 and 0.205 nm that can be ascribed to hcp Ru(11-20) and (10-11) facets (JCPDS-ICDD card No. 06–0663), respectively. The good crystallinity of the nanoparticle can also be evidenced in the bright spots of the fast Fourier transform of the TEM image, as shown in the insets of Figure 2C and Supporting information Figure S3, suggesting the formation of hcp Ru nanoparticles. At even higher magnetic currents (e.g., Ru-600, Supporting information Figure S4), apparent aggregation of crystalline Ru occurred forming large agglomerates.

The sample morphology can also be readily manipulated by the heating time. For instance, when the heating time was reduced to 3 s (Ru-300-S), only amorphous particles were produced on carbon (Supporting information Figure S5); yet with the heating time prolonged to 12 s (Ru-300-L), the sample consisted mostly of hcp Ru agglomerates (Supporting information Figure S6). Taken together, these results suggest that 300 A and 6 s represent the optimal conditions to produce hcp Ru nanoparticles that were well dispersed on the surface of carbon paper, a unique feature conducive for HER electrocatalysis (vide infra).

Indeed, elemental mapping analysis based on electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) measurements showed that Ru was mostly confined within the nanoparticles, along with residual O and Cl (Figure 2D). These elements can also be identified in X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements. From the XPS survey spectra in Supporting information Figure S7, the Ru 3d, C 1s, Ru 3p, and O 1s peaks can be clearly resolved at ca. 280, 284, 474, and 530 eV, respectively, in all samples. Notably, the Cl 2p peak (ca. 200 eV) can also be seen in some of the samples that were prepared at relatively low currents for a short heating time, such as Ru-200, Ru-300, and Ru-300-S, suggesting the formation of residual Cl. By sharp contrast, the Cl 2p peak vanished in samples prepared by prolonged heating at a higher current, such as Ru-400, Ru-600, and Ru-300-L, implying complete decomposition of RuCl$_3$ into Ru nanoparticles.
Figure 3A shows the high-resolution scans of the Ru 3p electrons in the series of samples prepared at different magnetic currents (200 to 600 A) but for the same heating time of 6 s. One can see that the Ru-600 sample consisted of a single doublet (red peaks) at 461.1/483.3 eV arising from the 3p$_{3/2}$/3p$_{1/2}$ electrons of metallic ruthenium, consistent with results from TEM measurements where large agglomerates of crystalline Ru were found (Supporting information Figure S4). For Ru-400 that was prepared at a lower magnetic current, in addition to the metallic Ru 3p$_{3/2}$/3p$_{1/2}$ pair at 461.2/483.4 eV, a small, second doublet (blue peaks) can be resolved at 463.9/485.1 eV, signifying the formation of electron-deficient Ru likely in the forms of RuCl$_x$/RuO$_y$ species. The latter became more pronounced in Ru-300 (464.1/486.3 eV), with the corresponding metallic peaks at 461.6/483.8 eV. Ru-200 exhibited an even more prominent doublet for the RuCl$_x$/RuO$_y$ species, though at a binding energy of about 0.8 eV higher at 465.3/487.5 eV. The other doublet (orange peaks) can be deconvoluted at 462.7/484.9 eV, which were at least 1.1 eV higher than those of the other samples in the series but markedly lower than those of RuCl$_3$ (464.1 eV for Ru 3p$_{3/2}$), suggesting only partial decomposition of RuCl$_3$ and the formation of amorphous Ru nanoclusters as observed in TEM measurements (Supporting information Figure S2). In fact, on the basis of the integrated peak areas, one can see a clear decline of the relative content of the RuCl$_x$/RuO$_y$ species in total Ru with increasing magnetic current, Ru-200 (49.7%) > Ru-300 (29.3%) > Ru-400 (11.5%) > Ru-600 (0%) (Supporting information Tables S1).

A similar trend was observed when the heating duration was increased at a fixed magnetic current. From Supporting information Figure S8, one can see that the binding energies of the Ru 3p$_{3/2}$/3p$_{1/2}$ peaks decreased by ca. 1.3 eV from Ru-300-S to Ru-300 and Ru-300-L, and the fraction of the RuCl$_x$/RuO$_y$ species diminished accordingly, Ru-300-S (45.5%) > Ru-300 (29.3%) > Ru-300-L (17.8%). It should be noted that in comparison with Ru-200, the Ru 3p$_{3/2}$/3p$_{1/2}$ binding energies of Ru-300-S were ca. 0.3 eV higher, implying an even lower degree of decomposition of RuCl$_3$ into Ru nanoparticles. That is, prolonged heating facilitates the formation of metallic Ru nanoparticles, consistent with the TEM results (Figure 2 and Supporting information Figures S2-S6). The corresponding Ru 3d profiles are also in good agreement (Supporting information Figure S9).

Consistent results were obtained from the Cl 2p spectra. From Figure 3B, one can clearly see that Ru-200,
Ru-300, and Ru-400 all possessed a doublet (green peaks) at 198.0/199.6 eV that can be assigned to Ru-Cl\textsubscript{x}.\textsuperscript{24,28,29} For Ru-600, this doublet appears at a higher binding energy by (ca. 0.5 eV) suggesting weakened interaction between Cl and Ru, likely because of structural hindrance by a thin carbon shell, as observed in TEM measurements (Supporting information Figure S4C). For Ru-200 and Ru-300, a second doublet emerged at 200.0/201.6 eV that can be ascribed to organic Cl (orange peaks, C-Cl\textsubscript{x} or O-Cl\textsubscript{x} species),\textsuperscript{28} which vanished altogether in Ru-400 and Ru-600, implying thermal instability of the organic Cl species. Furthermore, the content of Ru-Cl\textsubscript{x} diminished appreciably with increasing magnetic current (Supporting information Table S1),\textsuperscript{24} Ru-200 (6.24 at\%) > Ru-300 (0.96 at\%) > Ru-600 (0.47 at\%) > Ru-400 (0.35 at\%). This suggests almost complete decomposition of RuCl\textsubscript{3} into Ru nanoparticles at a current greater than 400 A for a heating time of at least 6 s. A similar trend was observed when the magnetic current was fixed at 300 A, with the heating time increased from 3 s (Ru-300-S) to 12 s (Ru-300-L), which led to a clear diminishment of the organic Cl species, and Ru-Cl\textsubscript{x} being the increasingly dominant component in the sample (Supporting information Figure S10).

The C 1s and O 1s spectra of the samples series also provide important insights into the structural change during the ultrafast heating process. One can see from Supporting information Figure S9 that with increased magnetic current, the main C 1s peak decreased from ca. 284.4 eV for Ru-200 and Ru-300 to ca. 284.1 eV for Ru-400 and Ru-600, implying that the carbon substrate was somewhat electron-deficient at low currents. As for the O 1s spectra (Supporting information Figure S11A), the major species were C-O (533.5 eV) and C=O (531.5 eV) moieties on carbon paper. With increasing magnetic current (temperature), the C=O peaks diminished in intensity, and the overall O content decreased from 14.5 at\% for Ru-200 to ca. 7% for other samples prepared at higher magnetic currents (Supporting information Table S1). It is likely that the decomposition of these oxygen groups produced CO and/or CO\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{30} facilitating the carbothermal reduction of RuCl\textsubscript{x} to ruthenium nanoparticles and protection against oxidation. Yet, with a prolonged heating duration, the overall content of O increased from 7.3 at\% for Ru-300 to 11.3 at\% for Ru-300-L (Supporting information Figure S11B). Notably, for Ru-200, Ru-300, and Ru-400, there is a minor peak at 530.0 eV suggesting the formation of RuO\textsubscript{x} species on the nanoparticle.
surface (it is unlikely to be bulk RuO$_2$ as no RuO$_2$ lattice fringes were observed in TEM measurements).

Taken together, these results suggest the successful transformation of RuCl$_3$ into Ru nanoparticles by MIH, which were decorated with RuCl$_x$/RuO$_y$ species on the surface.

Raman spectroscopic measurements showed that abundant RuCl$_x$ species were indeed formed in Ru-200 and Ru-300, but not in Ru-400 or Ru-600. From Figure 3C, one can see that RuCl$_3$ (on carbon paper) exhibited three major peaks at 202, 286, and 350 cm$^{-1}$ (marked with asterisks), which can be assigned to the different $A_g$ vibrational modes of Ru-Cl.$^{31-33}$ Notably, these three bands red-shifted somewhat for Ru-200 and Ru-300 to 171, 280, and 336 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. This may be accounted for by the change of the stacking mode and symmetry of the Ru-Cl species in comparison to pristine RuCl$_3$, as a result of the decomposition of RuCl$_3$ during the ultrafast heating process. No apparent signals of Ru-Cl could be discerned from the spectra of Ru-400 or Ru-600, consistent with the complete decomposition of RuCl$_3$ into metallic Ru in these samples, as manifested in XPS measurements.

Further structural details of the samples were obtained from X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements. Figure 3D shows the X-ray absorption near-edge spectra (XANES) of the Ru K edge of the sample series. One can see that the absorption edge of Ru-200 was situated between those of Ru foil and RuO$_2$, suggesting an average valence state between 0 and +4, consistent with the incomplete decomposition of RuCl$_3$ into Ru nanoparticles, as suggested in the above XPS measurements (Figure 3A). For other samples prepared at higher magnetic currents, the absorption edges, as well as the postedge modulations, were all almost identical to those of Ru foil, confirming that metallic Ru was the dominant species in these samples, in excellent agreement with the TEM and XPS results (Figures 2 and 3). This also implies that the RuO$_x$/RuCl$_y$ species represent only a trace amount and were mostly residing only on the surface of Ru nanoparticles.

The corresponding Fourier-transformed extended X-ray absorption fine structure (FT-EXAFS) spectra are depicted in Figure 3E. One can see that Ru-200 exhibited a main peak at 1.86 Å, most likely arising from the Ru-Cl path,$^{26,29}$ and another at 2.48 Å due to the Ru-Ru bond,$^{13}$ which is slightly greater than that of Ru foil (2.42 Å). Fitting of the EXAFS data was then performed for the six samples in the series. Ru-200 is fitted by using a hexagonal RuCl$_3$ and hexagonal metallic Ru structure with a dummy variable incorporated to model the fraction of RuCl$_3$ to metallic Ru within the sample. The remaining five samples were all fitted to hexagonal metallic Ru. Standard paths for the structures were created using FEFF7 software.$^{34}$ The Fourier transform range was 3.5 to 11.75 Å and the fit range was 1.7 to 4.8 Å for all samples. Due to this range selection, the first four Ru-Ru standard paths and two multiscattering paths were used from the hexagonal metallic Ru structure for all fittings except for the Ru-200 sample which only used the first Ru-Ru metallic path due to a limited structure above 3 Å likely arising from disorder in the sample. Coordination number (CN) and distance ratios for all metallic samples were constrained to reflect the theoretical structure while allowing for a complete expansion or contraction. The constraints resulted in 8 degrees of freedom. Supporting information Figures S12A and Table S2 show that for sample Ru-200 the Ru-Cl path possessed a CN of 5.5 with a bond length of 2.36 Å, while for the Ru-Ru path the distance is 2.70 Å with a CN of 0.95. Again, these suggest incomplete decomposition of RuCl$_3$ into Ru nanoclusters at 200 A for 6 s. For the samples prepared at higher magnetic currents (300–600 A), the main peak appears at ca. 2.4 Å, consistent with the Ru–Ru bond in Ru foil, with a weak shoulder around 1.9 Å for the Ru-Cl bond. In addition, a small peak can be found at ca. 1.5 Å, similar to the Ru-O path of RuO$_2$. These observations are consistent with results from TEM and XPS measurements, where metallic Ru nanoparticles were the predominant product, and the nanoparticle surface was decorated with RuO$_x$/RuCl$_y$ species. Indeed, the fitting of the EXAFS data (Supporting information Figures S12B-D and Table S2) indicates that the sample structure is identical to that of the Ru foil with a Ru-Ru bond length of ca. 2.67 Å and a CN of 12. A similar structural evolution was also observed with samples prepared at 300 A but for a different heating duration (Supporting information Figures S12E-F and S13).

2.3 | Electrocatalytic activity

Significantly, the obtained Ru nanoparticles possessed a remarkable HER activity in both acidic and alkaline media. In cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements (Supporting information Figure S14), Ru-300 can be seen to exhibit a strong adsorption and desorption of H around 0 V, consistent with the formation of Ru nanoparticles, in comparison to others in the series. Figure 4A shows the polarization curves of the samples that were prepared under different conditions in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$. One can see that Ru-300 showed a much better activity than other samples with a low $\eta_{10}$ of $-23$ mV, as compared to $-53$ mV for Ru-200, $-81$ mV for Ru-400, $-113$ mV for Ru-600, $-117$ mV for Ru-300-S, and $-33$ mV for Ru-300-L. Such a performance of Ru-300 actually rivals that of commercial Pt/C ($\eta_{10} = -11$ mV). The corresponding Tafel plots are depicted in Figure 4B, where Ru-300 possessed the lowest slope (26 mV/dec) among the series, indicating a
Volmer-Tafel pathway. This pathway was also likely followed on Ru-200 and Ru-300-L, which featured a low slope of 35 and 33 mV/dec, respectively (Supporting information Figure S15). Nevertheless, the Tafel slope was markedly higher at 66 mV/dec for Ru-400, 80 mV/dec for Ru-600, and 74 mV/dec for Ru-300-S, suggesting a more sluggish Volmer–Heyrovsky pathway instead.13,35,36

The Ru samples also exhibited outstanding HER activity in alkaline media. Figure 4C shows the polarization curves in 1 M KOH. The $\eta_{10}$ can be found to decrease drastically in the order of Ru-600 ($\eta_{10} = −78$ mV) > Ru-400 ($−52$ mV) > Ru-200 ($−21$ mV) > Ru-300 ($−12$ mV). Again, Ru-300 stood out as the best among the series. In the corresponding Tafel plots (Figure 4D), Ru-300 also showed a low slope of 34 mV/dec, comparable to that of Pt/C ($\eta_{10} = 12$ mV, 27 mV/dec), manifesting a Volmer–Tafel pathway.36 Othersamplesexhibitedrelativelyslower kinetics, with a Tafel slope of 42, 52, and 59 mV/dec for Ru-200, Ru-400, and Ru-600, respectively. As for Ru-300-L and Ru-300-S (Supporting information Figure S16), their $\eta_{10}$ values are $−28$ and $−64$ mV, along with a Tafel slope of 59 and 37 mV/dec, respectively. Additionally, one can see that RuCl$_3$ exhibited only a minimal activity in both acidic and alkaline media, confirming that Ru nanoparticles were responsible for the HER performance. Taken together, these results indicate that Ru-300 represents the optimal catalyst within the present experimental context.

Notably, at the overpotential of $−100$ mV, the turnover frequency (TOF) of Ru-300 was estimated to be 0.3 s$^{-1}$ and 0.15 s$^{-1}$ in the acidic and alkaline media, respectively (Supporting information Figure S17). One can see that whereas the intrinsic activity in acid remains subpar as compared to that of Pt/C, the performance in alkaline media is actually rather close (0.36 s$^{-1}$ for Pt/C).

Ru-300 also exhibited excellent stability in both acidic and alkaline media. In accelerated LSV tests for 2000 cycles (Figure 4E and F), one can see that $\eta_{10}$ in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ shifted negatively by only 10 mV and $\eta_{100}$ by 30 mV, whereas in 1 M KOH, the decay of the overpotential was much smaller, with a negative shift of only 9 mV for $\eta_{10}$ and 8 mV for $\eta_{100}$. This is consistent with results from XPS measurements (Figure 4G and H and Supporting information Table S1), where the Ru-Cl species remained well-defined in Ru-300 after the stability tests in both 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ (0.06 at\%) and in 1 M KOH (0.72 at\%), though at a somewhat reduced concentration as compared to that (0.96 at\%) of the as-produced sample. In contrast, no RuO$_x$ species could be resolved in XPS measurements after the stability tests (Supporting information Figure S18), suggesting that RuO$_x$ was unlikely to make substantial contributions to the HER performance.

To further highlight the unique advantages of MIH in sample preparation, we also thermally treated RuCl$_3$ in a conventional tube furnace at a comparable temperature (i.e., 300 and 500$^\circ$C) under ambient conditions for 1 h. In electrochemical measurements (Supporting information Figure S19), the obtained samples behaved analogously to commercial RuO$_2$, rather than metallic Ru, and the HER activity was markedly lower than that of Ru-300. In fact, Ru-300 ranks among the best in relevant HER catalysts in the literature, but the sample preparation takes only seconds, as compared to hours for others prepared by conventional thermal procedures (Supporting information Table S3).
2.4 | Computational study

Based on the above experimental studies, it is likely that Ru-Cl species played an important role in the HER process. To understand the remarkable HER activity of Ru nanoparticles with surface-enriched Cl, DFT calculations were conducted to unravel the fundamental mechanism. As shown in Figure 5A, as (10-11) (i.e., (101)) is the main facet of hcp Ru, we used it to build the Cl-related models for H adsorption. We first tested the possibility of on-top adsorption of H on Ru-101 as the input model (Supporting information Figure S20), but it relaxed into the hollow-site adsorption (Figure 5A, black frame), confirming that the on-top adsorption is subordinate to the hollow fashion. This was further evidenced by the Gibbs free energy of H adsorption (ΔG_H^*), as shown in Figure 5B, which has been widely used as a descriptor of the HER activity. Typically, a ΔG_H^* close to 0 eV is the ideal condition for H to adsorb and desorb. In fact, H was favorably adsorbed on the hollow site of Ru-101 with a ΔG_H^* of −0.54 eV, indicating that desorption of H from the surface would be energetically difficult. With a Cl atom adsorbed on Ru-101 in a tetratadentate fashion (Ru-101-tCl, yellow frame in Figure 5A), it was found that the ΔG_H^* slightly shifted to −0.51 eV (Figure 5B). With two neighboring Cl adsorbates (Supporting information Figure S21), ΔG_H^* decreased further to −0.50 eV (Figure 5B), implying that surface-adsorbed Cl indeed could facilitate the desorption of H from the Ru-101 surface. However, the effect remains too trivial. Considering that the interaction distance between Cl and H (3.7 Å) was still too far, a closer situation was then examined, where Cl was located at the hollow site of Ru-101 (Ru-101-hCl, teal colored frame in Figure 5A), with a separation of 3.1 Å to the adsorbed H at the nearby hollow site. It was found that ΔG_H^* decreased to −0.47 eV (Figure 5B). If another Cl was added at the hollow site of Ru-101 (Ru-101-2hCl, green frame in Figure 5A), ΔG_H^* now diminished to −0.38 eV (Figure 5B), much improved for HER as compared to pristine Ru-101. We further considered RuCl_x species, including (RuCl_2)^+ and (RuCl)_2^2+, on the surface of the Ru slab by building models shown in Figure 5A (in purple and red frames) and Supporting information Figure S22. One can see that with such an adatom mode, the H atom could stably adsorb onto the Ru atom in an on-top fashion, and a significant change was observed with ΔG_H^*, that is, +0.11 and +0.26 eV for Ru-101-RuCl and Ru-101-RuCl_2, respectively (Figure 5B). Taken together, these results indicate that the RuCl_x species could indeed enhance the HER activity by weakening the H adsorption.

To further investigate the mechanism of weakened H adsorption, we calculated the total density of states (DOS) and partial density of states (PDOS) of the d electrons...
to understand the electronic structure of the bulk and the surface atoms. One can see from Supporting information Figure S23 that the total DOS of several models with adsorbed Cl or RuCl$_x$ species all have similar profiles, meaning similar bulk electronic properties near the Fermi level, in agreement with the lack of significant difference among the Ru K-edge adsorption edges in XANES study (Figure 3). Further analysis of PDOS of the Ru active sites (Figure 5C) showed an apparent change of the Ru d electrons, especially the d band centers (E$_d$). One can see that in comparison with the surface Ru of Ru-101, where E$_d$ is located at −1.33 eV, with the adsorption of even only one Cl, the neighboring Ru on Ru-101-hCl shifts negatively to −1.44 eV; and for Ru-101-2hCl (with the adsorption of two Cl’s), the E$_d$ downshifts further to −1.59 eV. Meanwhile, from Supporting information Figure S24, it can be seen that the E$_d$ of Ru atoms without direct coordination with Cl also shifted slightly to −1.40 eV, signifying that adsorption of Cl atoms rendered a strong impact on the local electronic structure. Furthermore, both Ru-101-RuCl and Ru-101-RuCl$_2$ can be seen to exhibit a downshift of E$_d$ to −1.52 and −1.50 eV, respectively (Figure 5C), significantly different from the pristine Ru-101.

Further insights into the interactions between Ru nanoparticles and RuCl$_x$ or Cl ligands were obtained by Bader charge analysis, as shown in Supporting information Figure S25 and S26. A hcp Ru (10–11) slab was built with surface adsorption of Cl$^-$, (RuCl)$_2^{2+}$, and (RuCl$_2$)$_2^{4+}$ species to represent the incomplete decomposition of RuCl$_x$. It should be noted that RuCl$_3$ would spontaneously decompose into Cl$^-$ and Ru atoms on the Ru slab (Supporting information Figure S27). Significantly, one can see that efficient charge transfer occurred from the Ru slab to these Cl species (Supporting information Figure S27). For one adsorbed Cl$^-$, it could withdraw 0.40 electron from the Ru slab, whereas 0.49 and 0.81 electrons from the Ru slab to (RuCl)$_2^{2+}$ and (RuCl$_2$)$_2^{4+}$, respectively. It is, therefore, likely that such interfacial charge transfer was responsible for the downshift of E$_d$ and weakened adsorption of H on the Ru nanoparticle surface, leading to enhanced HER activity, as observed experimentally.

4 | EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

4.1 | Chemicals

Ruthenium(III) chloride hydrate (RuCl$_3$·xH$_2$O, 35–40%, ACROS Organics), acetone (Fisher Chemicals), carbon paper (TGP-H-90, Toray), ruthenium(IV) oxide (RuO$_2$, 99.5%, anhydrous, ACROS Organics), and Pt/C (20 wt.%, Alfa Aesar) were used as received. Water was purified with a Barnstead Nanopure Water System (18.2 MΩ cm).

4.2 | Sample synthesis

Carbon paper was thermally treated in a Muffle furnace at 500°C in ambient for 1 h to increase surface wettability, cut into 1 × 2 cm$^2$ pieces, and rinsed with acetone several times. RuCl$_3$ was dissolved into acetone to form a solution at a concentration of 20 mg/mL, 100 μL of which was then dropcast onto the carbon paper. After drying in air for 30 min, the carbon paper was wrapped in graphite paper (0.01 mm thick) before being sandwiched between two iron sheets (2.5 cm × 2.5 cm × 0.01 mm) to prevent direct contact of the samples with the iron sheets. The assembly was then placed in the center of a four-turn induction coil with a diameter of 5 cm, and MIH was carried out at a controlled current (X = 200–600 A) for a select heating time (Y = 3–12 s), when the sample was dropped into an ethanol-dry ice solution (−78°C) placed underneath the induction coil for rapid quenching (caution: the ethanol must be fully cooled down by dry ice, or it will catch fire). Control samples were also prepared in a tube furnace at a comparable temperature (i.e., 300 and 500°C) for 1 h under ambient conditions.

4.3 | Characterizations

TEM images were acquired with a Tecnai G2 operated at 200 kV. XPS measurements were carried out with a
ThermoFisher K-alpha system, where the binding energy was calibrated against the C 1s binding energy. Raman measurements were conducted using a Horiba Jobin Yvon LabRAM ARAMIS automated scanning confocal Raman microscope under 532 nm excitation. XAS measurements were carried out at 10 K on beamline 4-1 of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource using an Oxford liquid helium cryostat.

4.4 Electrochemistry

Electrochemical measurements were carried out with a CHI 700E electrochemical workstation in a three-electrode configuration. The obtained carbon paper was fixed onto a graphite electrode holder, with an exposed surface area of 0.25 cm². A graphite rod was used as the counter electrode and a Ag/AgCl in saturated KCl as the reference electrode. The reference electrode was calibrated against a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) and all potentials in the present study were referenced to this RHE. To load Pt/C onto the carbon paper, 1 mg of Pt/C (20%) was dispersed under sonication in 190 μL of isopropanol solution and 10 μL of 100% Nafion solution; and 125 μL of the dispersion was dropcast onto part of the carbon paper (with an area of 0.25 cm²). RuO₂ was deposited onto the carbon paper in the same fashion as at the same metal loading.

4.5 Theoretical study

First-principle computations were performed using Quantum ESPRESSO, an open-source plane-wave code.43 A 4 × 4 unit cell with 48 atoms was used to build a hexagonal Ru (10-11) slab supercell, where periodic image interactions were removed by setting a vacuum of 15 Å. Ru atoms of the bottom layer have been fixed during all relax calculations. A cut-off of 50 and 500 Ry for kinetics and charge density was chosen with the GBRV ultrasoft pseudopotential.44 The total energy of the Monkhorst-Pack 4 × 4 × 1 K-point grid in the supercell was calculated at the convergence level of 1 meV per atom. The smearing parameter was set at 0.01 Ry in the Marzari–Vanderbilt smearing for all calculations.45 For geometric relaxation, the convergence was 10⁻⁸ Ry of the electronic energy and 10⁻⁴ Au for the total force. Density functional perturbation theory was employed to calculate the phonon frequency as an input for entropy and zero-point energy.46
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