Incidence and outcomes of multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria infections in intensive care unit from Nepal- a prospective cohort study
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Abstract

Background: Infections caused by multi-drug resistant gram-negative bacterial infections are the principle threats to the critically ill patients of intensive care units. Increasing reports of these infections from the Nepalese intensive care unit underline the clinical importance of these pathogens. However, the impact of these infections on the patient’s clinical outcome has not yet been clearly evaluated. The objective of our study was to determine the incidence and associated clinical outcome of multi-drug resistant gram-negative bacterial infections in intensive care unit from a tertiary care center of Nepal.

Methods: A prospective cohort study was conducted among adult patients admitted in intensive care unit of B. P Koirala Institute of Health Sciences from July to December 2017. Patients infected with multi-drug resistant gram-negative bacteria, non-multi-drug resistant gram-negative bacteria and those without infection were included. Identification of gram-negative bacteria and their antibiotic susceptibility pattern was performed with standard microbiological methods. Demographic, clinical profiles and outcomes (in-hospital-mortality, intensive care unit and hospital length of stay) were documented.

Results: The incidence rate of multi-drug resistant gram-negative bacteria infections was 47 per 100 admitted patients (64/137) with 128 episodes. Acinetobacter species (41%, 52/128) was the commonest followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae (28%, 36/128) and Pseudomonas spp (21%, 27/128). Patients with multi-drug resistant gram-negative bacteria in comparison to non-multi-drug resistant gram-negative bacteria had high healthcare-associated infections (95%, 61/64 versus 20%, 2/10; \( p < 0.001 \)). In-hospital-mortality was 38% (24/64), 20% (2/10) and 10% (4/41) in multi-drug resistant, non-multi-drug resistant and uninfected group respectively (\( p = 0.007 \)). After adjustment for independent risk factors, compared to uninfected patients, the odds ratio (CI) for in-hospital-mortality in multi-drug resistant and non-multi-drug resistant group was (4.7\[1.4–15.5\], \( p = 0.01 \)) and 2.60 \[0.38–17.8\], \( p = 0.32 \)) respectively. Multi-drug resistant patients also had longer intensive care unit and hospital stay, however, it was statistically insignificant.

Conclusion: The incidence of multi-drug resistant gram-negative bacterial infections was remarkably high in our intensive care unit and showed a significant association with healthcare-associated infections and in-hospital-mortality.
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Background
The prevalence of infection is high among patients admitted to intensive care units (ICUs) and it is a major cause of mortality [1, 2]. The extended prevalence of infection in intensive care reported infection in 51% of patients with gram-negative bacteria (GNB) isolation from 62% of infectious episodes [2]. As a disastrous effect of infection, antimicrobial resistance is an increasing concern in ICUs worldwide [3]. The global scenario shows that gram-positive infections are common in the developed countries ICUs [4]. However, multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria (MDR-GNB) infections dominate in the Asia-Pacific region [4, 5] including Nepal [6, 7]. Among MDR-GNB, extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL) organisms, carbapenemase producing enterobacteriaceae, carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter species, multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa are the major culprits. Unfortunately, new antibacterial agents have not been developed in pace with the growth of multidrug-resistant (MDR) organisms [8]. There are now a rising number of reports globally [9] and also from Nepal [6, 7] of MDR-GNB infections in ICUs for which the treatment options are limited. The impact of the MDR-GNB infections can be determined from analyzing clinical outcomes, in-hospital-mortality and the length of ICU or hospital stay [10]. The association of MDR-GNB with a prolonged hospital length of stay (LOS) and mortality remains controversial. Several studies [10, 11] have reported the direct association whereas, others [12, 13] have shown that MDR-GNB infections are not associated with increased hospital LOS and mortality. Previous studies from Nepal have reported a high incidence of MDR-GNB infections from ICU [6, 7], but the impact of these infections on clinical outcome has not been evaluated. Therefore, the objective of our study was to determine the incidence of MDR-GNB infections in the critically ill patients from adult ICU, as well as the clinical outcomes with regard to in-hospital-mortality, ICU and hospital LOS.

Methods
Study design
This prospective cohort study was conducted in seven bedded general adult ICU under the care of the department of Anesthesiology and Critical care unit, B.P Koirala Institute of Health Sciences (BPKIHS), Nepal.

Study population
All consecutive adult patients admitted to the medical ICU from July to December 2017 were eligible for the study. Patients infected with MDR-GNB, non-MDR-GNB and those without infection were included.

Microbiological procedures
Pathogenic bacteria isolated from the clinical specimens from the ICU were further characterized by conventional biochemical tests to identify the specific GNB by using standard microbiologic methods [14]. Antibiotic susceptibility test of GNB strains was done by the Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method on Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) as per the Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) guidelines [15]. Antibiotics of following concentrations were used: ampicillin (10 μg), amikacin (30 μg), gentamycin (10 μg), tobramycin(10 μg), ciprofloxacin (5 μg), levofloxacin (5 μg), chloramphenicol (30 μg), cefotaxime and cefotaxime+clavulanic acid discs was considered as screen positive for ESBL producer [15].

ESBL- A difference in the zone size of 5 mm between cefotaxime and cefotaxime+ clavulanic acid and cefotaxime and cefotaxime+clavulanic acid discs was considered as confirmed ESBL producer [15].

Carbapenemase- The screen positive for carbapenemase production was considered for strains showing resistance to carbapenems. A positive modified hodge test (MHT) with appearance of clover leaf at the streaking line was considered as carbapenemase producer as per the CLSI guidelines [15]. A difference in the zone size of 7 mm between Imipenem and Imienem+ EDTA disc in the EDTA disk synergy test was considered as MBL producer [16].

Definitions
Infection-An episode of infection was defined as the isolation of GNB in the presence of compatible signs or symptoms. Healthcare-associated infections (HCAI) and those infections present on admission were included.

Infection occurring > 48 h after admission to the hospital was defined as HCAI.

MDR was defined as non-susceptibility to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories [17].

Diagnostic criteria recommended by CDC was implemented to classify different infections. Pneumonia was considered if purulent tracheobronchial secretion or new
pathogenic bacteria isolated from sputum or tracheal aspirate culture with ≥10 [4] colony forming unit/ml and at least two of the following criteria were met: fever (≥38°C); leukocytes >12,000 or <4000 cells/ml; new or progressive pulmonary infiltrates on chest X-rays; new onset or worsening cough or dyspnea or tachypnea; or worsening gas exchange.

An episode of blood stream infection (BSI) was defined as one positive blood culture with a recognized pathogen or two positive cultures with same organism drawn on separate occasions with one of the following signs and symptoms: fever (≥38°C), chills and rigor and hypotension.

An episode of urinary tract infection (UTI) was defined as a positive urine culture of ≥10 [5] colony forming units/ml and with no more than two species of microorganisms, and at least one of following signs or symptoms: fever (≥38°C); dysuria; suprapubic tenderness; costovertebral angle pain or tenderness with no other recognized cause.

An episode of surgical site infection (SSI) was defined as infection which occurred within 30 days after the operation involving skin, subcutaneous tissue or deep soft tissue of the incision and at least one of the following: purulent drainage with or without laboratory confirmation; organisms isolated from an aseptically obtained culture of fluid or tissue; or one of the signs or symptoms of infection: pain or tenderness, localized swelling, redness, or heat.

Based on the presence or absence of infection, patients were categorized into three groups: Uninfected patients; Patients without infection; Non-MDR-GNB patients-Infections attributed to susceptible GNB and MDR-GNB patients- Infections attributed to MDR-GNB.

Patients were included more than once in the analysis for separate episodes of infection.

In cases of polymicrobial infections, the episode was defined as an MDR-GNB case if 1 of the isolates was an MDR-GNB strain.

Previous antibiotic therapy was defined as antibiotic used within 30 days prior to positive culture for GNB.

Empiric antibiotic therapy was considered inappropriate if it did not include at least one antibiotic active against the GNB in vitro. Empirical antibiotic treatment protocols were same for all the groups and the antibiotic was changed after the culture and sensitivity report.

Data collection
Patient demographic characteristics, underlying conditions and reason for hospital admission were recorded in the participant record form at the time of admission. Patient were routinely followed up again each morning and data on clinical or laboratory parameters were collected, including previous antibiotic therapy, clinical manifestations, HCAI, pathogens and antibiotic resistance. The baseline severity of illness were assessed with acute physiology chronic health evaluation II (APACHE II) score [18] and Charlson comorbidity index (CCI) score [19]. Further, the data were collected regarding clinical outcomes that included the ICU stay, hospital stay, discharge and in-hospital-mortality.

Statistical analysis
Data were entered in the MS Excel 2007 and analyzed with STATA version 14 (stata corporation, college station, Tx, USA). Normal distribution of data was tested using histogram, skewness-kurtosis, and shapiro–wilks test. We used kruskal–wallis test for non-parametric data to compare between three groups. Categorical data were analyzed using the chi-square test or fisher’s exact test as appropriate. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to compare in-hospital-mortality between the groups. Data are reported as median (IQR), number (percentage), odds ratio (95% confidence interval). Values of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
A total of 137 patients were admitted to the ICU during the 6 months study period. There were 128 episodes of MDR-GNB infections in 64 patients with an incidence rate of 47 per 100 ICU admissions. There were 41 uninfected and 10 infected cases with 19 episodes of non-MDR-GNB infections (Fig. 1).

Among the GNB infection episodes, incidences of MDR for each of the bacterial strains were reported as 100% (4/4) for Enterobacter spp, 100% (2/2) for Citrobacter spp, 93% (52/56) for Acinetobacter spp, 86% (36/42) for Klebsiella pneumoniae, 84% (27/32) for Pseudomonas spp and 64%(7/11) for Escherichia coli. Polymicrobial infection was present in 28% (18/64) MDR-GNB patients and 10% (1/10) in non-MDR-GNB patients. The detailed results of GNB pattern in the non-MDR-GNB and MDR-GNB group are presented in Table 1.

In the MDR group, bacteria were most frequently isolated from the lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI) (72%, 92/128) followed by BSI (14%, 18/128), UTI and SSI each with (3%, 4/128). Whereas, in the non-MDR group, BSI (53%, 10/19) was the commonest followed by LRTI (42%, 8/19) and UTI (5%, 1/19). MDR-GNB showed variable degree of resistance to different classes of antibiotics as shown in Table 2.

Demographic and clinical characteristics are provided in Table 3.

Patients with MDR-GNB in comparison to non-MDR-GNB were found to have high incidence of previous antibiotic therapy (95%, 61/64 versus 60%, 6/10; p = <0.001) and HCAI (95%, 61/64 versus 20%, 2/10; p = <0.001).
With respect to the clinical outcome, in-hospital-mortality among patients in the MDR group (38%, 24/64) was significantly higher than those in the non-MDR group (20%, 2/10) and uninfected group (10%, 4/41) \((p = 0.007)\) as depicted in Table 4. However, no difference was detected when MDR-GNB group was compared to non-MDR-GNB group \((p = 0.47)\).

The findings of univariate and multivariate logistic regression for variables associated with in-hospital-mortality are described in Table 5.

After adjustment for independent risk factors, compared to uninfected patients, the odds ratio (CI) for in-hospital-mortality in MDR-GNB group was \((4.7[1.4–15.5], p = 0.01)\), while in patients with non-MDR-GNB it was \((2.60 [0.38–17.8], p = 0.32)\).

**Discussion**

The increasing incidence of MDR-GNB infections reported from the different ICU’s in Nepal is of great concern \([6, 7]\). However, most prior work from Nepal has been focused on their incidence and the common mechanism of drug resistance \([6, 7]\). To our knowledge, this is the first study from Nepal that highlights the association between MDR-GNB infections and various clinical outcomes in ICU admitted patients.

The present study found that MDR-GNB infections was not uncommon in ICU and it accounted for 47 MDR-GNB cases per 100 ICU admission. Despite significant advances in ICU in current years, the incidence of MDR-GNB HCAI remains higher in the ICU compared with other hospital units \([20]\). In our study, 95% cases of MDR-GNB were associated with HCAI. Similar findings were reported by other recent studies from Nepal which were done by Parajuli et al., Bhandari et al. and Khanal et al. which reported 96% \([6]\), 79% \([21]\) and 69% \([7]\) of GNB causing HCAI from ICU were MDR. Rampant antibiotic use, increased prevalence of drug resistance and nonadherence to infection control strategies are the emerging problems in Nepalese ICU’s predisposing for the emergence and spread of HCAI \([6]\). Likewise,
in a study from India, 58% MDR-GNB were isolated from the ICUs specimens from the total received specimens [22]. Another study from India on epidemiology of MDR-GNB isolated from ventilator-associated pneumonia in ICU patients found 88% of total isolates to be GNB, among which 72% were MDR [23]. A systematic review of the burden of MDR HCAI among ICU patients in Southeast Asia showed substantially higher incidence of MDR Acinetobacter baumannii (58%) than reported from other parts of globe [24]. These scenario shows high prevalence of MDR-GNB infections in ICUs of Asia including Nepal. The present study showed high frequency of bacterial isolates producing beta-lactamases (MBL 59%, ESBL 24%). Current studies from Nepal also have reported high incidence of ESBL (43% [6], 40% [21], 25% [7]) and MBL (65% [21], 50% [6], 37% [7]) from ICU. Prevalence of ESBL and carbapenemases producing GNB from ICU was 22.7% and 9.6% respectively in a recent study from India [25]. Studies from the west also have shown an increasing trend of ESBL with ICU GNB isolates [20]. Sader and colleagues reported on the prevalence and trends of MDR-GNB occurring in the ICU of the hospitals in the United States and Europe from January 2009 to December 2011 [20]. Over the 3-year study period, rates of ESBL-producing strains of Escherichia coli and Klebsiella spp from the ICU increased from 11.9 to 17.4% and 27.5–41.8% respectively from 2009 to 2011 [20]. Alike, a SENTRY study also reported that GNB resistance to imipenem increased from 34.5% in 2006 to 59.8% in 2009 across the world [26]. This globally increasing trend of carbapenemase resistance in the ICUs poses a significant concern since it limits the range of therapeutic alternative forcing the clinicians to use agents like colistin which is expensive and associated with significant toxicity [8]. The reports of infections caused by MDR non-fermentative gram-negative bacteria and enterobacteriaceae are increasingly documented from the Nepalese ICU. In this study, 93% of Acinetobacter spp, 86% of Klebsiella pneumoniae, 84% of Pseudomonas spp and 64% of Escherichia coli were MDR and a similar result was also reported from Nepal [7]. Excessive use of broad spectrum antibiotics as observed in this study along with inadherence to infection control measures are the main causes for this terrifying rates of MDR infections in our ICU.

In the present study, multivariate analysis showed strong association between MDR-GNB patients and in-hospital-mortality even after adjusting all the confounding factors (Odds ratio: 4.7, \( p < 0.01 \)). Ben-David D et al. [11], in a retrospective study on the outcome of carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae, (CRKP) BSI, also found mortality to be significantly higher among patients with CRKP compared with those with susceptible K. pneumoniae BSI (48% vs.17%). A study by Cosgrove et al. [10] on the impact of the emergence of resistance to third-generation cephalosporins in

### Table 2 Antibiotic sensitivity of multidrug-resistant gram negative bacilli (n = 128)

| Antimicrobial agents | Acinetobacter spp (n = 52) | Pseudomonas spp (n = 27) | Klebsiella pneumoniae (n = 36) | Enterobacter spp (n = 4) | Citrobacter spp (n = 2) |
|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Levofloxacin        | 85                        | 88                       | 73                            | 57                       | 100                    |
| Ciprofloxacin       | 92                        | 90                       | 82                            | 86                       | 100                    |
| Amikacin            | 93                        | 89                       | 76                            | 71                       | 100                    |
| Gentamicin          | 93                        | 89                       | 79                            | 71                       | 100                    |
| Tobramycin          | –                         | 87                       | –                             | –                        | –                      |
| Chloramphenicol     | –                         | –                        | 73                            | 57                       | 100                    |
| Cotrimoxazole       | 90                        | –                        | 73                            | 71                       | 100                    |
| Amoxicillin         | –                         | –                        | 76                            | 100                      | 100                    |
| Piperacillin        | 93                        | 90                       | 79                            | 100                      | 100                    |
| Piperacillin- Tazobactam | 86              | 82                       | 73                            | 100                      | 100                    |
| Amoxicillin-clavulanate | –                | –                        | 76                            | 100                      | 100                    |
| Ceftazidime         | 93                        | 92                       | 92                            | 100                      | 100                    |
| Cefotaxime          | 93                        | 92                       | 92                            | 100                      | 100                    |
| Ceftepime           | 87                        | 90                       | 86                            | 100                      | 100                    |
| Imipenem            | 81                        | 82                       | 69                            | 14                       | 100                    |
| Tigecycline         | 58                        | 63                       | 57                            | 14                       | 67                     |
| Polymixin B         | 0                         | 0                        | 0                             | 0                        | 0                      |
| Colistin Sulphate   | 0                         | 0                        | 0                             | 0                        | 0                      |
Enterobacter spp on patient outcomes also found a significant increase in mortality (Relative risk, 5.02). This may possibly due to that appropriate antibiotic therapy will be started later for MDR-GNB infections in compared to infections caused by antibiotic-sensitive bacteria. In contrary to our findings some of the earlier studies did not find significant associations between MDR-GNB and mortality [12, 13]. However, variation in the clinical virulence of the varieties of GNB prevalent in different geographical areas may be the reasons for these conflicting results. Further, the patients infected by MDR-GNB, compared with those with non-MDR-GNB

| Table 3 Baseline and Clinical characteristics of patients |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Variables | Uninfected patients; n = 41 | Patients with MDR-GNB; n = 64 | Patients with non-MDR-GNB; n = 10 | p-value |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years) | 43.5(28–56) | 53(27–65) | 55(40–60) | 0.27 |
| Age categories | | | | |
| < 65 | 25(61%) | 49(76%) | 8(80%) | 0.18 |
| > 65 | 16(39%) | 15(23%) | 2(20%) | |
| Sex (M/F) | 19/23 | 28/35 | 4/6 | 0.95 |
| Reason for admission | | | | |
| Cardiovascular | 9(22%) | 16(25%) | 6 (60%) | 0.89 |
| Respiratory | 27(66%) | 44 (69%) | 3(3%) | |
| Digestive/Liver | 2(2%) | 2(3%) | 1 (10%) | |
| Renal | 1(2%) | 2(3%) | 0 (0%) | |
| Neurological | 3(7%) | 0 (0%) | 0(0%) | |
| Medical/Surgical admission | 35/6 | 50/14 | 7/3 | 0.47 |
| CCI Score | 0(0–2) | 1(0–3) | 1(0–1) | 0.77 |
| APACHE Score | | | | |
| At 24 h | 13.5(11–16) | 16(12–21) | 13(12–15) | 0.15 |
| At 48 h | 13(11–15) | 17(12–20) | 13(12–14) | 0.08 |
| Duration of ventilation | 0(0–7) | 10(6–16) | 7.5(6–11) | 0.22 |
| Previous antibiotic therapy | 17(41%) | 61(95%) | 6(60%) | < 0.001 |
| Aminoglycoside | 5(12%) | 18(28%) | 2(20%) | 0.13 |
| Fluoroquinolone | 3(7%) | 20(31%) | 2(20%) | 0.009 |
| Macrolide | 3(7%) | 13(20%) | 2(20%) | 0.15 |
| Beta-lactam/Beta-lactamase inhibitor | 4(10%) | 27(42%) | 4(40%) | 0.001 |
| Cephalosporin | 5(12%) | 10(16%) | 0(0%) | 0.49 |
| Carbapenem | 1(2%) | 22(34%) | 0(0%) | < 0.001 |
| Tigecycline | 0(0%) | 4(6%) | 0(0%) | 0.29 |
| Clindamycin | 0(0%) | 6(9%) | 0(0%) | 0.12 |
| Vancomycin or teicoplanin | 0(0%) | 23(36%) | 11(10%) | < 0.001 |
| Metronidazole | 0(0%) | 7(11%) | 0(0%) | 0.06 |
| Duration of prior antibiotics used (days) | 0(0–6) | 7(6–8) | 4(0–5) | < 0.001 |
| Health-care-associated infection | 61(95%) | 2(20%) | | < 0.001 |

Note: Values are in median (IQR), number, number (%)

| Table 4 Clinical outcome of patients |
|-------------------------------------|
| Outcome | Uninfected patients n = 41 | Patients with MDR-GNB n = 64 | Patients with non-MDR-GNB n = 10 | p-value |
|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| In-hospital-mortality | 4(10%) | 24 (38%) | 2 (20%) | 0.007 |
| Discharged | 37 (90%) | 40 (62%) | 8 (80%) | 0.007 |
| ICU stay | 9(5–12) | 13(8–18) | 9(7–12) | 0.43 |
| Hospital stay | 11(8–17) | 14(10–21) | 9(7–15) | 0.93 |

Note: Values are in median (IQR), number, number (%)
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isolates, had a longer average stay in ICU and hospital, however, it did not reach the statistically significant level. As a consequence of prolonged hospitalization, MDR-GNB patients may have the economic impact due to increase in financial burden.

This study had certain limitations, including small sample size and lack of data on inappropriate empiric antibiotic therapy that could possibly influence in-hospital-mortality. Also, genotypic screening for resistance genes could not be performed due to the limited resources.

**Conclusion**

The present study revealed a high incidence of MDR-GNB infections in ICU. HCAI and in-hospital-mortality were significantly associated with MDR-GNB infection. Likewise, MDR-GNB patients needed prolong ICU and hospital stay, however, it was statistically insignificant. Our study highlights the alarming need of multidisciplinary efforts to address the situation and recommends the implementation of antimicrobial stewardship, continuous surveillance, strict adherence to hand hygiene and contact precautions and regular environmental cleaning to contain the development and spread of antimicrobial resistance among the local isolates.

**Abbreviations**

APACHE II: Acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II; BPKIHS: B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences; BSI: Blood stream infection; CCI: Charlson comorbidity index; CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); CLSI: Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute; ECDC: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; ESBL: Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases; HCAI: Healthcare-associated infections; ICU: Intensive care unit; IRC: Institutional review committee; LOS: Length of stay; LRTI: Lower respiratory tract infection; MDRGNB: Multi-drug resistant gram-negative bacilli; MHA: Mueller Hinton agar (MHA); MHT: Modified hodge test; SSI: Surgical site infection; UTI: Urinary tract infection; XDR: Extensively drug resistant

**Note:** In reference to patients without infection

**Table 5 Univariate and Multivariate logistic regression for variables associated with hospital mortality**

| Variables          | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                    | Odds ratio (95% CI) | p value               | Odds ratio (95% CI) | p value               |
| MDR GNB\(^a\)      | 5.46(1.72–17.26)    | 0.004                 | 4.71(1.42–15.54)    | 0.01                  |
| Non-MDR GNB\(^a\)  | 2.37(0.36–15.26)    | 0.36                  | 2.60(0.38–17.83)    | 0.32                  |
| Age                | 1.00(0.98–1.03)     | 0.50                  | 1.00(0.96–1.03)     | 0.95                  |
| Male               | 0.70(0.29–1.66)     | 0.42                  | 0.59(0.23–1.53)     | 0.28                  |
| CCI Score          | 1.15(0.84–1.56)     | 0.36                  | 1.05(0.63–1.72)     | 0.84                  |
| APACHE 24 h        | 1.07(1.00–1.16)     | 0.04                  | 1.04(0.91–1.20)     | 0.48                  |
| APACHE 48 h        | 1.09(1.00–1.17)     | 0.02                  | 0.38(0.89–1.17)     | 0.72                  |
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