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Structure-Based Design with Tag-Based Purification and In-Process Biotinylation Enable Streamlined Development of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Molecular Probes
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In Brief

SARS-CoV-2 spike probes are key to antibody discovery and vaccine evaluation. By using structure-based design, affinity purification, on-column biotinylation, and sequence-specific protease cleavage, Zhou et al. devise a strategy that allows for the rapid development of SARS-CoV-2 spike probes for B cell sorting, antibody discovery, and immune assessment.
**Summary**

Biotin-labeled molecular probes, comprising specific regions of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) spike, would be helpful in the isolation and characterization of antibodies targeting this recently emerged pathogen. Here, we design constructs incorporating an N-terminal purification tag, a site-specific protease-cleavage site, the probe region of interest, and a C-terminal sequence targeted by biotin ligase. Probe regions include full-length spike ectodomain as well as various subregions, and we also design mutants that eliminate recognition of the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor. Yields of biotin-labeled probes from transient transfection range from \( \frac{0.5}{5} \) mg/L for the complete ectodomain to >5 mg/L for several subregions. Probes are characterized for antigenicity and ACE2 recognition, and the structure of the spike ectodomain probe is determined by cryoelectron microscopy. We also characterize antibody-binding specificities and cell-sorting capabilities of the biotinylated probes. Altogether, structure-based design coupled to efficient purification and biotinylation processes can thus enable streamlined development of SARS-CoV-2 spike ectodomain probes.

**Introduction**

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the causative agent for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), emerged in 2019 and rapidly spread, infecting millions, overwhelming healthcare systems, and impacting economies worldwide (Callaway et al., 2020; Cucinotta and Vanelli, 2020). To respond, a global effort has been initiated to develop vaccines and therapeutic agents. For COVID-19 vaccine development (reviewed in Callaway, 2020), the trimeric SARS-CoV-2 spike—a type 1 fusion machine that facilitates virus cell entry through interaction with the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Ou et al., 2020)—is a lead target, as antibodies against it can block virus entry (Jiang et al., 2020). Most of the SARS-CoV-2-neutralizing antibodies so far isolated target the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the spike protein (Brouwer et al., 2020; Cao et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2020; Chi et al., 2020; Ju et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020b; Pinto et al., 2020; Robbiani et al., 2020; Rogers et al., 2020; Seydoux et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020a; Wrapp et al., 2020a; Wu et al., 2020; Zeng et al., 2020; Zost et al., 2020), but there are other sites in the N-terminal domain (NTD) and S2 stem domain that have also been associated with neutralizing activity against other betacoronaviruses (Pallesen et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018b). Such virus-neutralizing antibodies are sought as therapeutic and prophylactic agents (Cao et al., 2020; reviewed in Graham et al., 2013; Zhou and Zhao, 2020).

Biotin-labeled molecular probes, comprising the SARS-CoV-2 spike as well as its discrete domains, can accelerate...
development of both vaccines and therapeutic antibodies. For vaccine development, such probes can be used to track humoral responses longitudinally (Liu et al., 2011; Yongchen et al., 2020) and to quantify elicited responses against the spike and its domains, as correlating such responses with neutralization should provide crucial insight into sites of spike vulnerability. For antibody identification, probes are used in B cell sorting to identify B cells encoding antibodies capable of recognizing the spike or particular spike domains as well as characterizing antibody binding affinities through surface plasmon resonance (SPR) or bio-layer interferometry (BLI) analyses.

Here, we describe the structure-based design of molecular probes, encompassing the SARS-CoV-2 spike and its domains. We first designed a construct that allowed for tag-based purification and on-column biotinylation. Next, we incorporated the SARS-CoV-2 spike ectodomain, with prefusion-stabilizing mutations and a C-terminal trimerization motif, which we expressed, biotinylated, purified, and characterized, including by cryoelectron microscopy (cryo-EM). Based on the structure-defined spike-domain organization (Walls et al., 2020; Wrapp et al., 2020b), we also created and characterized separate molecular probes comprising the NTD, the RBD, and RBD with spike domain 1 (RBD-SD1). We also used the structural information of RBD interactions with ACE2 (Lan et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020b; Yan et al., 2020a) to define mutations that could inhibit ACE2 interaction, which we incorporated into mutant RBD probes with ACE2 recognition ablated. Finally, we characterized properties of the devised probes including degree of biotinylation, antibody-binding specificity, and use in sorting yeast cells expressing SARS-CoV-2 spike-binding antibodies or B cells from a COVID-19 convalescent donor. Overall, our findings demonstrate how structure-based design can be used to develop molecular probes of the SARS-CoV-2 spike.

**RESULTS**

**Strategy for Tag-Based Purification with In-Process Biotinylation**

To facilitate purification and biotinylation, we devised a probe construct using the constant portion of an antibody (Fc) as an N-terminal purification tag. Fc expresses and folds efficiently and can be effectively captured by protein A resins (Jäger et al., 2013). To prevent intermolecular dimer formation of the Fc tag, we used a single-chain human Ig constant domain (scFc) with “knob-in-hole” feature (Ridgway et al., 1996), in which a “knob” comprising a protruding Tyr (T366Y) was incorporated into the N-terminal half of the Fc-interface, followed by a 20-residue linker (GGGGS)₄, and a “hole” comprising a recessed threonine (Y407T) was incorporated into the C-terminal-half of the Fc-interface (Kabat antibody residue numbering; Johnson and Wu, 2000) (Figure 1A).

Between the scFc and the target region of interest (Figure 1A), we added a cleavage site for the human rhinovirus 3C (HRV3C) protease (Cordingley et al., 1990), a highly specific protease that recognizes the sequence LEVLFQGP, cleaving after Q and leaving a GP dipeptide at the start of the target. This allowed us to remove the target protein from the protein A affinity column by protease treatment, avoiding low pH elution that is known to alter the conformation of type 1 fusion machine and could potentially alter the conformation of the probe. After the target sequence of interest, we incorporated a 10-residue linker followed by a biotin ligase-specific sequence (10lnQQ-AVI). This construct design enabled capture by protein A and on-column biotinylation (Figure 1B). As buffers for biotinylation and HRV3C cleavage are compatible, the entire process of biotinylation, HRV3C cleavage, and purification could in principle be accomplished with a single column, although we have generally added a polishing size-exclusion chromatography step to ensure size homogeneity.

**Molecular Probe of Stabilized SARS-CoV-2 Spike Trimer with Biotinylation**

To obtain a molecular probe of the SARS-CoV-2 spike, we incorporated stabilizing mutations into the spike target protein and folds efficiently and can be effectively captured by protein A resins (Jäger et al., 2013). To prevent intermolecular dimer formation of the Fc tag, we used a single-chain human Ig constant domain (scFc) with “knob-in-hole” feature (Ridgway et al., 1996), in which a “knob” comprising a protruding Tyr (T366Y) was incorporated into the N-terminal half of the Fc-interface, followed by a 20-residue linker (GGGGS)₄, and a “hole” comprising a recessed threonine (Y407T) was incorporated into the C-terminal-half of the Fc-interface (Kabat antibody residue numbering; Johnson and Wu, 2000) (Figure 1A).

Between the scFc and the target region of interest (Figure 1A), we added a cleavage site for the human rhinovirus 3C (HRV3C) protease (Cordingley et al., 1990), a highly specific protease that recognizes the sequence LEVLFQGP, cleaving after Q and leaving a GP dipeptide at the start of the target. This allowed us to remove the target protein from the protein A capture resin by protease treatment, avoiding low pH elution that is known to alter the conformation of type 1 fusion machine and could potentially alter the conformation of the probe. After the target sequence of interest, we incorporated a 10-residue linker followed by a biotin ligase-specific sequence (10lnQQ-AVI). This construct design enabled capture by protein A and on-column biotinylation (Figure 1B). As buffers for biotinylation and HRV3C cleavage are compatible, the entire process of biotinylation, HRV3C cleavage, and purification could in principle be accomplished with a single column, although we have generally added a polishing size-exclusion chromatography step to ensure size homogeneity.
residues 14 to 1208 (Wrapp et al., 2020b), replacing amino acids RRAR at the S1/S2 cleavage site with GSAS and KV between domains HR1 and CH with PP (Figure 2; Table S1) and adding the T4-phage fibritin trimerization domain (foldon) at the C terminus (Efimov et al., 1994; Miroshnikov et al., 1998) (this construct is hereafter referred to as S2P) and expressed it by transient mammalian cell transfection. We observed cleavage by HRV3C protease to be impeded with the glutathione S-transferase tag, but not the His-tagged version of the protease, suggesting partial steric constraints on access to the HRV3C site; overall, we could obtain ~0.5 mg of the purified S2P probe per liter of cell culture after 24 h of incubation at 4°C with the His-tagged HRV3C.

The protease-liberated probe SARS-CoV-2 S2P ran primarily as a single peak on size-exclusion chromatography and a major band on SDS-PAGE (Figures 3A and 3B), appeared homogeneous by negative-stain EM (Figure 3C), and was recognized by the RBD-binding antibody CR3022 (Tian et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 2020). We characterized binding to three antibodies from a SARS-CoV convalescent donor S652: S652-109, which recognizes the RBD; S652-112, which recognizes an epitope in S2; and S652-118, which recognizes the NTD. The S2P probe bound to all three of these antibodies, as well as the ACE2 receptor, but not to soluble CD4 (D1D2-sCD4) or antibody VRC01 (Wu et al., 2010), which bound a control probe encompassing HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein (Env) trimer BG505 DS-SOSIP (Figure 3D). We further characterized binding to four antibodies recently isolated from SARS-CoV-2 convalescent donors: antibodies 2-4 (Liu et al., 2020a), which clusters with other NTD-directed antibodies; 4-8 (Liu et al., 2020a), which recognizes the NTD; and 2-5 (Liu et al., 2020a), which clusters with other NTD-directed antibodies. All of these antibodies showed positive binding to the S2P probe (Figure 3D). Overall, these properties suggested the SARS-CoV-2 S2P probe to be a good biological mimic of the prefusion SARS-CoV-2 spike ectodomain.

**Cryo-EM Structure of a Biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 Spike Probe**

To provide atomic-level insight into the mimicry of spike by probe, we determined the cryo-EM structure of the biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 S2P probe (Figures 3E and S3; Table S2). The cryo-EM grids were frozen with the biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 spike ectodomain, and the K986PV987P (2P) mutation stabilizing the spike in pre-fusion conformation, a foldon domain, and a 10-amino-acid linker (10lnQQ), followed by the AVI tag.

We observed that the S2P probe to be a good biological mimic of the prefusion SARS-CoV-2 spike ectodomain.

**Figure 2. Design of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike S2P Molecular Probe**

(A) Schematic of construction of the SARS-CoV-2 spike probe containing the ectodomain of the spike protein, the GSAS mutations replacing the RRAR furin cleavage site, and the K986PV987P (2P) mutation stabilizing the spike in pre-fusion conformation, a foldon domain, and a 10-amino-acid linker (10lnQQ), followed by the AVI tag.

(B) Structural model of a SARS-CoV-2 spike protomer with the NTD, RBD, and SD1 domains highlighted.

(C) Sequence of the SARS-CoV-2 spike probe with key regions annotated.

See also Table S1.
Figure 3. Physical Properties, Antigenic Characteristics, and Cryo-EM Structure of a Biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 S2P Probe

(A) Size-exclusion chromatography of the biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 S2P probe in PBS buffer.

(B) SDS-PAGE of the SARS-CoV-2 S2P probe with and without the reducing agent DTT. Molecular weight marker (MWM) was run alongside the probe.

(C) Negative-stain EM of the SARS-CoV-2 S2P probe. The 2D-class averages were shown below the wide-field raw EM image in 5-fold magnification. Scale bar: 10 nm.

(D) Biotinylation, receptor recognition, and antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 S2P probe. The level of biotinylation was evaluated by capture of probes at 40 μg/mL onto the streptavidin biosensors. A biotinylated HIV-1 Env containing the same 10lnQQ-AVI tag at the C terminus was used for comparison (top left). Binding to the ACE2 receptor (top right) and binding to SARS-CoV (middle) and SARS-CoV-2 (bottom) antibodies were assessed using 80 μg/mL S2P or 20 μg/mL HIV Env probes, respectively. Receptors and antibodies were set at 500 nM. Error bars represent standard deviation of triplicate measurements. HIV-1 receptor CD4 and antibody VRC01 were used as controls.

(E) Cryo-EM structures of biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 S2P probe. Domains are colored as in Figure 2C sequence. The C-terminal residues 1153-1208 plus the foldon, 10lnQQ-AVI tag, and biotin were not visible in the electron density.

See also Figures S2 and S3 and Table S2.
S2P probe in PBS at pH 7.4. We observed two distinct conformations: one with all three RBDs in the “down” position, displaying C3 symmetry; and the other with one RBD in the “up” position and the other two RBDs in the “down” position, C1 symmetric. We observed more particles (43,176) in the RBDs down conformation, which contributed to a 3.45 Å resolution map, whereas the single-RBD-up state (34,223 particles) 3D reconstruction achieved an overall resolution of 4.00 Å. The two final atomic models were very similar to published cryo-EM spike-ectodomain structures (Walls et al., 2020; Wrapp et al., 2020b). The C1-symmetric structure included residues 27–1147, while residues 27–1152 were modeled for the C3-symmetric structure; in both cases, some regions could not be modeled because of their intrinsic flexibility. Five additional residues at the C-terminal region (1148–1152) were visible in the reconstruction density of the RBDs down structure, but—similar to published structures (Walls et al., 2020; Wrapp et al., 2020b)—the C-terminal region of the S2 domain (and in our case the rest of the probe) was not sufficiently ordered to be modeled. The two structures were similar except for the single RBD that was in the “up” position in the C1-symmetric structure. Excluding this single RBD, the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) was 0.95 Å between the two probe structures for 2738 aligned Cα atoms.

Molecular Probes Comprising SARS-CoV-2 NTD, RBD, and RBD-SD1 Regions

The domain structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike was clearly evident in our cryo-EM structure and consistent with published accounts (Walls et al., 2020; Wrapp et al., 2020b). Based on these structures, we created constructs incorporating NTD, RBD, and RBD-SD1 regions as separate molecular probes (Figure 4). Transient transfection yield for each of these constructs was >5 mg/L. Both NTD and RBD eluted as a single peak on size-exclusion chromatography and exhibited a single band on SDS-PAGE (Figures 5A and 5B). RBD-SD1 eluted as two peaks.
on size-exclusion chromatography: a disulfide-bonded dimer and a monomer (Figures 5A and 5B). We chose the monomeric form for further studies, as there is no biological basis for a higher association. Receptor recognition of these domain probes was specific for each construct, with ACE2 binding to RBD and RBD-SD1 probes, but not to the NTD probe (Figure 5C).

Figure 5. Physical Properties and Antigenic Characteristics of Molecular Probes Comprising SARS-CoV-2 NTD, RBD, and RBD-SD1 Domains

(A) Size-exclusion chromatography of biotinylated NTD, RBD, and RBD-SD1 molecular probes.

(B) SDS-PAGE of NTD, RBD, and RBD-SD1 molecular probes. RBD-SD1 peak 1 appeared to be partially disulfide linked and was therefore removed from further analysis; RBD-SD1 thus refers to the monomeric peak 2.

(C) Binding of receptor ACE2 to both RBD and RBD-SD1 probes.

(D) Binding of SARS-CoV antibodies to NTD (left) and RBD (right) probes. Both RBD and RBD-SD1 probes bound to antibodies CR3022 and S652-109, while the NTD probe specifically interacted with antibody S652-118. HIV-1 antibody VRC01 was used as control.

(E) Binding of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies to NTD (left) and RBD (right) probes. Antibodies 4-8 and 2-51 showed specific binding to the NTD probe. Antibodies 2-4 and B38 showed specific binding to RBD and RBD-SD1 probes.

Error bars represent standard deviation of triplicate measurements. See also Figure S2.
Figure 6. Molecular Probes Comprising Specific Mutations for Knocking Out ACE2 Interaction with RBD

(A) Structural model of the RBD-ACE2 complex, with inset panels highlighting RBD mutations designed to reduce ACE2 recognition. ACE2 and RBD are shown in cartoon representation and colored blue and cyan, respectively. Arg mutations are shown in stick representation, with potential clashes with ACE2 highlighted in red discs.

(B) Schematic and sequence of mutant RBD probes with sites mutated to Arg highlighted in magenta. Arg mutations are shown in stick representation, with potential clashes with ACE2 highlighted in red discs.

(C) Size-exclusion chromatography of RBDs with mutations altering ACE2 binding.

(D) SDS-PAGE of mutant RBD probes with and without reducing agent as indicated.

(E) Binding to antibodies. Both SARS-CoV (top) and SARS-CoV-2 (bottom) antibodies were tested. Binding to all the SARS-CoV-2 antibodies and to SARS-CoV antibody S652-109 was reduced or abolished by the knockout mutations. Binding to CR3022, whose epitope is not on the ACE2-binding site, was not significantly affected. All binding was assessed using 7.5 μg/mL RBD-L455RA475R, 80 μg/mL RBD-L455RG496R, or 15 μg/mL RBD-L455RA475RG502R to account for varying biotinylation levels among the mutants. Receptor or antibodies were at 500 nM. HIV-1 antibody VRC01 was used as a negative control. Error bars represent standard deviation of triplicate measurements. See also Figure S2 and Table S1.

Similarly, SARS-CoV antibodies CR3022 and S652-109 recognized RBD and RBD-SD1, while S652-112 showed no binding to these two probes (Figure 5D) and antibody S652-118 recognized only the NTD probe (Figure 5D). Binding assays with SARS-CoV-2 antibodies further confirmed antigenic specificities of these domain probes (Figure 5E). Notably, the 2-51 antibody did recognize the NTD probe, consistent with its clustering, but not in its reported NTD recognition (Liu et al., 2020a). Overall, these results indicate that the truncated domain probes folded properly and retained the native conformation as on the full-length S2P probe.

Molecular Probes Comprising Specific Knockouts of ACE2 Interaction with RBD

Structural information can define not only domain boundaries but also biological interfaces. Based on the structure of the
Figure 7. Validation of Biotinylated Probes

(A) SPR standard kinetic experiments for ACE2 and single-cycle kinetic experiments for Fabs CR3022, S652-109, S652-112, and S652-118 binding over biotinylated spike (top row) and biotinylated RBD or biotinylated NTD (bottom row) loaded onto a streptavidin sensor chip. Black traces represent the experimental data, and the red traces represent the fit to a 1:1 interaction model. The error in each measurement represents the error of the fit.

(B) Binding of yeast expressing SARS-CoV cross-reactive Fabs to SARS-CoV-2 antigenic probes. HIV envelope-targeting VRC01 Fab was used as a negative control.
RBD domain with ACE2 (Lan et al., 2020) (Figure 6A), we designed constructs with ACE2-interface residues mutated to Arg to knockout ACE2 affinity (Figure 6B). We expressed and purified two double-Arg mutants (RBD-L455RA475R-AVI and RBD-L455RG496R-AVI) and one triple-Arg mutant (RBD-L455RA475RG502R-AVI). All three eluted in a single peak on size-exclusion chromatography and exhibited a single band on SDS-PAGE (Figures 6C and 6D). All three mutants completely ablated ACE2 binding as designed (Figure 6E). By contrast, CR3022 recognition by all three mutants was mostly retained and its recognition by RBD-L455RA475RG502R-AVI was reduced but at a detectable level, indicating a potential epitope overlapping the G502 region (Figure 6F). SARS-CoV-2 antibody B38 binding to the RBD probes was abolished by the L455RA475R mutations, while that to the RBD-L455RG496R-AVI probe was partially retained, indicating the critical role of the A475R mutation. By contrast, binding to 2-4 was reduced, but not abolished (Figure 6F), by all mutations, consistent with it being S2 directed. An NTD-specific antibody, CR3022, recognized all cells expressing S652-109, S652-112, and S652-118, but not those with the control antibody, whereas the RBD-SD1 probes recognized only cells expressing S652-109 (Figures 7B and S5). The triple-Arg mutant RBD-L455RA475RG502R also recognized S652-109 (Figure S5), consistent with the BLI binding assay described above that showed residual binding was retained (Figure 6F). These results confirm the utility of the probes for sorting cells expressing SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific antibodies on cell surface.

Having established the cell-sorting utility of the probes, we next set out to test the probes in sorting and enriching natively paired V<sub>μ</sub>V<sub>λ</sub> yeast display libraries of convalescent COVID-19 donors for SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific antibodies (Figures 7C and S6) (Wang et al., 2018a). Depending on the initial level of antigen-specific antibodies present, just one or two rounds of sorting and enrichment could increase the antigen-specific cells by several orders of magnitude, indicating substantial utility of the probes for cell sorting.

To further demonstrate the utility of the probes in sorting of human B cells, we obtained peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from a convalescent SARS-CoV-2 affected individual and sorted the cells with fluorochrome-labeled probes (Figures 7D and S7). S2P-positive, RBD-SD1-positive, and RBD-positive B cells were identified from the PBMCs of the affected individual, but not from pre-pandemic healthy control PBMCs (Figure 7D, left three panels). The double-Arg mutant RBD-L455RA475R, in contrast, recognized only ∼2-fold more B cells than the control (Figure 7D, far right panel), with only a small proportion of B cells not binding mutant versus RBD-SD1 (Figure 7D, compare third and fourth panels).

Overall, the designed probes were found to be functional in SPR and in sorting yeast cells or B cells with spike-reactive antibodies.

**DISCUSSION**

Molecular probes comprising key targets such as the SARS-CoV-2 spike and its domains can be of broad utility. For vaccine development, such probes can be used to define and monitor the elicited responses, and for antibody characterization, such probes can serve as critical molecular tags, facilitating the

See also Figures S4–S7.

(C) Sorting of yeast cells encoding antibody transcripts from a convalescent COVID-19 donor with S2P-, NTD-, and RBD-based probes.
(D) Sorting of B cells from COVID-19 convalescent PBMCs. (Top row) PBMCs obtained from a SARS-CoV-2-infected subject 75 days after symptom onset were stained with surface antibodies and probes as outlined in the STAR Methods to allow for identification and specificity-determination of SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific IgG+ and IgA+ B cells. (Bottom row) PBMCs obtained pre-pandemic from a healthy donor serve as a control for background binding of the probes.
identification of the highly effective antibodies that could be used for passive therapy (Cao et al., 2020; reviewed in Casadevall et al., 2004; Graham and Ambrosino, 2015; Walker and Burton, 2010). In diagnostics, they can be used to assess sera reactivity and to provide sensitive markers of infection (Perera et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2020b; Zhao et al., 2020). In pathogenesis, they can assist in delineating susceptible cells that virus might infect or in tracking viral variants, including those that might have selective advantages (Korber et al., 2020). Here, we show how structure-based design coupled to incorporation of (1) a purification tag-based construct, (2) a sequence-specific protease, and (3) a sequence-specific biotin ligase enables streamlined probe development.

For probe construction, we used a process incorporating the “cut-and-paste” assembly of necessary components, using an N-terminal purification tag and sequence stretches targeted by sequence-specific enzymes: the HRV3C protease and biotin ligase. Other sequence-specific cleavage sites such as thrombin could be incorporated, to further control the presence of components surrounding the target protein of interest. Such protease-specific cleavage, however, can lead to the addition of residual amino acids at resultant N and C termini after protease cleavage; we note in this context that an N-terminal HRV3C site appends only a dipeptide, Gly-Pro, to the N terminus of the liberated target. Importantly, structure of the target protein can define domain boundaries, allowing for separate probes of specific domains or domain combinations.

The structure-based methods we describe here for probe construction may allow for the assessment of immune responses of other type 1 fusion machines. We previously created hemagglutinin trimer probes with a Y96F mutation (Whittle et al., 2014) and used them to identify broadly neutralizing antibodies against influenza (Joyce et al., 2016). We also created Ebolavirus trimer probes that could be used to identify protective neutralizing antibodies against Sudan outbreak Ebolavirus strain (Corti et al., 2016; Gaudinski et al., 2019; Misasi et al., 2016). And we previously used a biotinylated envelope “SOSIP” probe to identify broadly neutralizing, fusion peptide-directed antibodies against HIV-1 (Kong et al., 2016). Overall, the cut-and-paste structure-based design described here should be easily adapted to the streamlined development of molecular probes against not only these pathogens, but also emerging pathogens, as shown here for SARS-CoV-2.

**STAR+METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**
- **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**
  - Lead Contact
  - Materials Availability
  - Data and Code Availability
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  - Cell lines
- **METHOD DETAILS**
  - Construction of expression plasmids for SARS-CoV-2 probes
  - Expression and preparation of SARS-CoV-2 probes
  - Expression and preparation of the ACE2 receptor
  - Expression and preparation of antibodies
  - Bio-Layer Interferometry
  - Surface plasmon resonance
  - Negative-stain electron microscopy
  - Cryo-EM data collection and processing
  - Cryo-EM model fitting
  - Probe conjugation
  - Monoclonal yeast display analysis
  - Experimental sorting of natively paired antibody heavy/light yeast display libraries
  - PBMC B cell stain
- **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**
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### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| 2-4                 | Liu et al., 2020a | N/A        |
| 4-8                 | Liu et al., 2020a | N/A        |
| 2-51                | Liu et al., 2020a | N/A        |
| B38                 | Wu et al., 2020  | N/A        |
| CR3022              | Yuan et al., 2020| N/A        |
| S652-109            | This study     | N/A        |
| S652-112            | This study     | N/A        |
| S652-118            | This Study     | N/A        |
| VRC01               | Wu et al., 2010 | N/A        |
| **Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins** |        |            |
| Superdex200 10/300GL Column | GE Healthcare Life Sciences | Cat# 28990944 |
| MabSelect SuRe Protein A Resin | GE Healthcare Life Sciences | Cat# 17543802 |
| SARS-CoV-2-S2P-AVI   | This study     | N/A        |
| SARS-CoV-2-NTD-AVI   | This study     | N/A        |
| SARS-CoV-2-RBD-AVI   | This study     | N/A        |
| SARS-CoV-2-RBD-SD1-AVI | This study   | N/A        |
| SARS-CoV-2-RBD-L455RA475R-AVI | This study | N/A        |
| SARS-CoV-2-RBD-L455RG496R-AVI | This study | N/A        |
| SARS-CoV-2-RBD-L455RG502R-AVI | This study | N/A        |
| **Critical Commercial Assays** |        |            |
| Turbo293 Transfection Kit | ThermoFisher Scientific Inc. | Cat# A14525 |
| BirA biotin-protein ligase bulk reaction kit | Avidity | BirA500 |
| **Deposited Data**  |        |            |
| Cryo-EM map: SARS-CoV-2 S2P C1 symmetry | EMDB | EMDB: EMD-22162 |
| Cryo-EM structure: SARS-CoV-2 S2P C1 symmetry | PDB | PDB: 6XF6 |
| Cryo-EM map: SARS-CoV-2 S2P C3 symmetry | EMDB | EMDB: EMD-22161 |
| Cryo-EM structure: SARS-CoV-2 S2P C3 symmetry | PDB | PDB: 6XF5 |
| **Experimental Models: Cell Lines** |        |            |
| Expi293F cells      | ThermoFisher Scientific Inc | Cat# A14527 |
| FreeStyle 293-F cells | ThermoFisher Scientific Inc | Cat# R79007 |
| **Recombinant DNA** |        |            |
| pVRC8400 vector     | https://www.addgene.org | Cat# 63160 |
| pVRC8400-CR3022     | Yuan et al., 2020 | N/A |
| pVRC8400-S652-109   | This study     | N/A        |
| pVRC8400-S652-112   | This study     | N/A        |
| pVRC8400-S652-118   | This study     | N/A        |
| pVRC8400-SARS-CoV-2-S2P-AVI | This study | https://www.addgene.org | Cat# 160474 |
| pVRC8400-SARS-CoV-2-NTD-AVI | This study | https://www.addgene.org | Cat# 160475 |
| pVRC8400-SARS-CoV-2-RBD-AVI | This study | https://www.addgene.org | Cat# 160476 |
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RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead Contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by Peter D. Kwong (pdkwong@nih.gov).

Materials Availability
Plasmids for the SARS-CoV-2 probes with AVI tag generated in this study have been deposited with Addgene (www.addgene.org) with accession numbers: 160474-160480.

Data and Code Availability
Cryo-EM maps of the biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 S2P have been deposited to the EMDB with accession codes EMD-22161 (RBDs down) and EMD-22162 (1 RBD up), and fitted coordinates have been deposited to the PDB with accession codes 6XF5 and 6XF6, respectively.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Patient samples
Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) for B cell sorting were obtained from a convalescent SARS-CoV-2 patient (collected 75 days post symptom onset under an IRB approved clinical trial protocol, VRC 200 – ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00067054) and a healthy control donor from the NIH blood bank pre-SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.

PBMCs for experimental sorting of natively paired antibody heavy:light yeast display libraries were obtained from 2 participants enrolled in the COVID-19 Cohort Study at Columbia University Irving Medical Center. Participants were both men in their 50’s,
hospitalized for severe respiratory decompensation requiring mechanical ventilation after confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. Specimens were obtained at 25 days (1605) and 32 days (NYP01) after symptom onset. Patient samples were collected after obtaining informed consent and appropriate Institutional Review Board approval (IRB#AAAS9517).

**Cell lines**

Exp293F and FreeStyle 293-F cells were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. The cells were used directly from the commercial sources following manufacturer suggestions as described in detail below.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Construction of expression plasmids for SARS-CoV-2 probes**

DNA sequences coding for the SARS-CoV-2 spike or its domains were cloned into a pVRC8400-based expression vector by Genelmmune, a “GGSGGGGSGG” linker and the T4-phage fibrin trimerization domain (foldon) as described by Wrapp et al. (2020b). For the scFc-SARS-CoV-2 NTD-AVI construct, spike gene encoding residues 14-305 was cloned. For the scFc-SARS-CoV-2 S2P-AVI construct, the cloned insertion included the spike protein residues 14 to 1208 with GSAS replacing the RRAR at the S1/S2 cleavage site and K986P and V987P stabilization mutations, a GSG linker and the T4-phage fibrin trimerization domain (foldon) as described by Wrapp et al. (2020b). For the scFc-SARS-CoV-2 RBD-AVI construct, spike gene encoding residues 329-526 was cloned. For the scFc-SARS-CoV-2 RBD-SD1-AVI construct, spike gene encoding residues 319-591 was cloned. The constructs comprising specific mutations for knocking out ACE2 interaction with RBD were made by site directed mutagenesis in the scFc-SARS-CoV-2-RBD-AVI plasmid, either in double Arg substitutions at L455 and A475 (L455RA475R), or at L455 and G496 (L455RG496R), or in triple Arg substitutions at L455, A475, and G502 (L455RA475RG502R). A model of the 10lnQQ linker and AVI tag was obtained on the PEP-FOLD server (Shen et al., 2014; Thévenet et al., 2012) to show the location of this C-terminal addition in Figure S1.

**Expression and preparation of SARS-CoV-2 probes**

Single chain Fc tagged SARS-CoV-2 probes were produced by transient transfection of 293 Freestyle cells as previously described (Wrapp et al., 2020b). One liter of cells was transfected with 1 mg of SARS-CoV-2 probe plasmid, pre-mixed with 3 mL of Turbo293 transfection reagent. The cells were allowed to grow for 6 days at 120 rpm, 37°C, and 9% CO2, after which the supernatant was harvested by centrifugation and filtered. The cleared supernatant was incubated with 5 ml of PBS-equilibrated Protein A resin for two hours, after which the resin was then collected and washed with PBS. The captured SARS-CoV-2 probe was then concurrently biotinylated with 10-80 mg of HRV3C, which was prepared as described (Antoniou et al., 2017), in a reaction mixture containing 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM bicine, 10 mM ATP, 10 mM Mg(OAc)2. For RBD and NTD domains, addition of 100 mM DTT in the reaction may facilitate the cleavage but it is optional. After incubation at 4°C overnight, the cleaved protein was collected, concentrated and applied to a Superdex 200 16/60 gel filtration column equilibrated with PBS. Peak fractions were pooled and concentrated to 1 mg/ml.

**Expression and preparation of the ACE2 receptor**

The human ACE2 (1-620aa) expression plasmid was constructed by placing an HRV3C cleavage site, a monomeric Fc tag and an 8xHisTag at the 3’ end of the ACE2 gene. After transient transfection of 293F cells, the cell culture supernatant was harvested 4 days post transfection and loaded onto a Protein A affinity column. The Fc-tagged protein was eluted with IgG elution buffer and then dialyzed against PBS before overnight HRV3C digestion at 4°C. Cleaved protein was passed over a protein A column to remove the Fc tag. ACE2 from the protein A column flow through was further purified with a Superdex 200 16/60 column in 5 mM HEPES, pH7.5 and 150 mM NaCl.

**Expression and preparation of antibodies**

DNA sequences of antibody heavy and light chain variable regions of antibody CR3022 (Yuan et al., 2020) and of donor S652 antibodies, S652-109, S652-112, and S652-118, and of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies B38 (Wu et al., 2020), 2-4, 4-8, and 2-51 (Liu et al., 2020a) were synthesized and subcloned into the pVRC8400 vector, as described previously (Wu et al., 2011). Antibody expression was carried out by co-transfection of both heavy and light chain plasmids in Exp293F cells (Thermo Fisher) using Turbo293 transfection reagent (Speed BioSystems). On day 6 post transfection, the culture supernatant was harvested and loaded on a Protein A column. After washing with PBS for 3 column volumes, IgG was eluted with an IgG elution buffer (Pierce) and immediately neutralized by addition of one tenth volume of 1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.0.

**Bio-Layer Interferometry**

A FortéBio Octet HTX instrument (FortéBio) was used to analyze biotinylation level, antigenicity, and receptor recognition of the molecular probes. Assays were performed at 30°C in tilted black 384-well plates (Geiger Bio-One) in PBS + 0.02% Tween20, 0.1% BSA,
0.05% sodium azide with agitation set to 1,000 rpm. Biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 spike (80 μg/ml), NTD (40 μg/ml), RBD (5 μg/ml), RBD-SD1 (5 μg/ml), RBD-L455RA475R (5 μg/ml), RBD-L455RG496R (80 μg/ml), RBD-L455RA475RG502R (15 μg/ml) and HIV Env (20 μg/ml) were loaded on to streptavidin biosensors for 150 s. Binding to biotinylated probes was measured by dipping immobilized probes into solutions of receptors and antibodies at 500 nM for 180 s. Receptor and antibody binding were assessed in triplicate. Parallel correction to subtract systematic baseline drift was carried out by subtracting the measurements recorded for a loaded sensor dipped into buffer only control well. The reference corrected response values are reported as averages of maximum signal during the association step.

**Surface plasmon resonance**

SPR binding experiments were performed using a Biacore T200 biosensor, equipped with either a Series S SA chip for the Fab binding assays, or a Series S CM4 chip immobilized with NeutrAvidin, for the ACE2 binding assays. All measurements were performed at 25°C in a running buffer of 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20.

Biotinylated spike, RBD, and NTD were captured over independent flow cells at 1000-1100 RU, 300-500 RU and 260 RU, respectively. ACE2-analyte samples were prepared in running buffer at a concentration range of 300-1.2 nM using a three-fold dilution series. Binding over the spike and RBD or NTD surfaces as well as over a neutravidin reference surface was tested for 180 s followed by a 300 s dissociation phase at 50 μL/min. Blank buffer cycles were performed throughout the experiment by injecting running buffer instead of ACE2 to subtract systematic noise from the binding signal. The data was processed and fit to 1:1 binding model using Scrubber 2.0 (BioLogic Software).

To avoid the need for surface regeneration that arises with the low off-rate Fabs, we used single-cycle kinetics for the Fab binding experiments. Each Fab was tested at analyte concentrations 180-6.66 nM prepared in running buffer using a three-fold dilution series. Binding over the spike or RBD surface or the NTD surface for S652-118, was monitored for 120 s, followed by a dissociation phase of 120 s-900 s depending on the interaction. Four blank buffer single cycles were performed by injecting running buffer instead of Fab to remove systematic noise from the binding signal. The data was processed and fit to 1:1 single cycle model using the Scrubber 2.0 (BioLogic Software).

**Negative-stain electron microscopy**

Purified SARS-CoV-2 S samples were diluted to approximately 0.02 mg/ml with a buffer containing 10 mM HEPES, pH 7, and 150 mM NaCl. A 4.7-μl drop of the diluted sample was applied to a glow-discharged carbon-coated copper grid. The grid was washed with the same buffer, and adsorbed protein molecules were negatively stained with 0.75% uranyl formate. Micrographs were collected at a nominal magnification of 100,000x (pixel size: 0.22 nm) using SerialEM (Mastronarde, 2005) on an FEI Tecnai T20 electron microscope operated at 200 kV and equipped with an Eagle CCD camera. Particles were picked automatically using in-house written software (Y.T., unpublished). Reference-free 2D classification was performed with Relion 1.4 (Scheres, 2012).

**Cryo-EM data collection and processing**

Biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 spike probe was diluted to a final trimer concentration of 0.33 mg/mL in PBS, pH 7.4. The sample (2 μL) was applied to a glow-discharged carbon-coated copper grid (CF 1.2/1.3) and vitrified using a Vitrobot Mark IV with a wait time of 30 s and a blot time of 3 s.

Data were collected using the Leginon software (Suloway et al., 2005) installed on a Titan Krios electron microscope operating at 300 kV, equipped with a Gatan K3-BioQuantum direct detection device. The total dose was fractionated for 3 s over 60 raw frames. Motion correction, CTF estimation, particle picking and extraction, 2D classification, ab initio model generation, 3D refinements and local resolution estimation were carried out in cryoSPARC 2.14 (Punjani et al., 2017). The 3D reconstructions were performed using C3 symmetry for the RBDs down map while C1 symmetry was applied for the 1 RBD up map. Local refinement (beta) with C1 symmetry for the RBDs down map, 4.00 Å for the 1 RBD up map, and 4.28 Å for the RBDs down local refinement map, confirmed by providing the half maps to Resolve Cryo EM in Phenix (Adams et al., 2004).

**Cryo-EM model fitting**

The coordinates of SARS CoV-2 spike ectodomain structures, PDB entries 6VXX and 6VYB (Walls et al., 2020), were employed as initial models for fitting the cryo-EM map of the RBDs down and the 1 RBD up conformation respectively. In both structures, the RBDs in the “down” position were modeled using the crystallographic structure of RBD in complex with CR3022 Fab (PDB entry 6W41) (Yuan et al., 2020), as a template. For the RBD in the “up” position the map quality did not allow visualization of most side chains which were then modeled as poly-Ala, consistent with what observed for 6VYB (Walls et al., 2020). Manual and automated model building were iteratively performed using Coot (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004) and real space refinement in Phenix respectively, in order to accurately fit the coordinates to the electron density map. Half maps were provided to Resolve Cryo EM tool in Phenix to support manual model building. EMRinger (Barad et al., 2015) and Molprobity (Davis et al., 2004) were used to validate geometry and check structure quality at each iteration step. UCSF Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004) was used for map-fitting cross correlation calculation (Fit-in-Map tool) and for figure preparation.
**Probe conjugation**

Biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 spike probes were conjugated using either aliphocyanin (APC)-, phycoerythrin (PE)-, BV421-, BV711-, or BV786-labeled streptavidin. Reactions were prepared at a 4:1 molecular ratio of protein to streptavidin, with every monomer labeled. Labeled streptavidin was added in 1/5 increments, with incubations at 4°C (rotating) for 20 minutes in between each addition.

**Monoclonal yeast display analysis**

Yeast display analysis using monoclonal yeast were created, expressed and analyzed as previously published (Wang et al., 2018a). Briefly, VH and VL regions of S652-109, S652-112 and S652-118 were codon optimized for yeast expression, synthesized and cloned into pCT-VHVL-K1 or pCT-VHVL-L1 yeast expression vectors (Genscript). Yeast display vectors were linearized and Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain AWY101 (MATα::AGA1::AGA1::URA3::PD11::GAPDH::PD11::LEU2::ura3-52 trp1 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 pep4::HIS3 prb1Δ1.6R can1 GAL) was transformed. Yeast cells were maintained in YPD medium (20 g/l dextrose, 20 g/l peptone, and 10 g/l yeast extract); after library transformation, yeast cells were maintained in SDCAA medium (20 g/l dextrose, 6.7 g/l yeast nitrogen base, 5 g/l casamino acids, 8.56 g/l NaH2PO4·H2O, and 10.2 g/l Na2HPO4·7H2O). Fab display was induced by incubating yeast in SDGCAA medium (SDCAA with 20 g/l galactose, 2 g/l dextrose). Yeast were emulsified in the presence of lysis buffer and magnetic beads for mRNA capture as previously described (DeKosky et al., 2015). Yeast cells were cultured in SGDCAA medium to induce Fab surface-expression at 20°C and 225 rpm for 36 hours prior to antigen staining. Libraries were emulsified in the presence of lysis buffer and magnetic beads for mRNA capture as previously described (DeKosky et al., 2015). Magnetic beads were collected and re-emulsified in an overlap-extension RT-PCR emulsion (SuperScript III One-Step RT-PCR System with Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase, ThermoFisher Scientific, NY, USA) to generate linked VH:VL amplicons (DeKosky et al., 2013, 2015; McDaniel et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018a). cDNA was extracted and a nested PCR was performed (Kapa HiFi HotStart Thermocycler with Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase, ThermoFisher Scientific, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1× GlutaMAX, 1× non-essential amino acids, 1× sodium pyruvate and 1× penicillin/streptomycin (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, USA) along with 100 units/mL IL-2 and 50 ng/mL IL-21 (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA), and were co-cultured with irradiated 3T3-CD40L fibroblast cells that secrete CD40L to aid B cell expansion. Stimulated B cells were emulsified in the presence of lysis buffer and magnetic beads for mRNA capture as previously described (DeKosky et al., 2015). Magnetic beads were collected and re-emulsified in an overlap-extension RT-PCR emulsion (SuperScript III One-Step RT-PCR System with Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase, ThermoFisher Scientific, NY, USA) to generate linked VH:VL amplicons (DeKosky et al., 2013, 2015; McDaniel et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018a). cDNA was extracted and a nested PCR was performed (Kapa HiFi HotStart PCR Kit, Kapa Biosciences) to generate ~850-bp VH:VL products for library cloning into yeast display. 100 ng of natively paired cDNA was amplified with primers containing Not1 and AscI restriction sites for cloning into bidirectional yeast display plasmids (Wang et al., 2018a). Libraries were transformed for amplification in E. coli, followed by plasmid DNA extraction and subcloning of a galactose-inducible bidirectional promoter. The resulting native Fab display libraries were co-transformed into electrocompetent AWY101 with Asp/NotI digested pCT vector into yeast cells, and passaged twice before screening (Wang et al., 2018a). Yeast libraries were cultured in SGDCAA medium to induce Fab surface-expression at 20°C and 225 rpm for 36 hours prior to antigen staining. Libraries were stained with an anti-FLAG-FITC monoclonal antibody (clone M2, Sigma-Aldrich) and the probes for 30 minutes on ice prior to washing 2 times in ice cold staining buffer. Fab expressing yeast (FLAG+) were analyzed for their capacity to bind to the indicated probes using a FACS Aria II (BD Biosciences). For single probe stain experiments yeast were incubated with 4 ng/ul of S2P (APC) for mAb S652-109 and 2 ng/ul for mAbs S652-112 and S652-118, 2 ng/ul of RBD-SD1 (BV421) or 2 ng/ul of RBD-L455RA47SRG520R (BV786). Dual stain experiments used 4 ng/ul of S2P (APC), 2 ng/ul of RBD-SD1 (BV421) or 2 ng/ul of RBD-L455RA47SRG520R (BV786).

**Experimental sorting of natively paired antibody heavy:light yeast display libraries**

Non-B cells were depleted (EasySep Human B cell enrichment kit w/o CD43 Depletion, STEMCELL Technologies, Vancouver, Canada) and CD27+ antigen-experienced B cells were isolated by positive magnetic bead separation (CD27 Human Microbeads, Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA, respectively) (Lagerman et al., 2019). Antigen-experienced B cells were stimulated in vitro for five days to enhance antibody gene transcription in the presence of Iscove’s Modified Dulbecco’s Medium (IMDM) (ThermoFisher Scientific, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1× GlutaMAX, 1× non-essential amino acids, 1× sodium pyruvate and 1× penicillin/streptomycin (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, USA) along with 100 units/mL IL-2 and 50 ng/mL IL-21 (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA), and were co-cultured with irradiated 3T3-CD40L fibroblast cells that secrete CD40L to aid B cell expansion. Stimulated B cells were emulsified in the presence of lysis buffer and magnetic beads for mRNA capture as previously described (DeKosky et al., 2015). Magnetic beads were collected and re-emulsified in an overlap-extension RT-PCR emulsion (SuperScript III One-Step RT-PCR System with Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase, ThermoFisher Scientific, NY, USA) to generate linked VH:VL amplicons (DeKosky et al., 2013, 2015; McDaniel et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018a). cDNA was extracted and a nested PCR was performed (Kapa HiFi HotStart PCR Kit, Kapa Biosystems) to generate ~850-bp VH:VL products for library cloning into yeast display. 100 ng of natively paired cDNA was amplified with primers containing Not1 and AscI restriction sites for cloning into bidirectional yeast display plasmids (Wang et al., 2018a). Libraries were transformed for amplification in E. coli, followed by plasmid DNA extraction and subcloning of a galactose-inducible bidirectional promoter. The resulting native Fab display libraries were co-transformed into electrocompetent AWY101 with Asp/NotI digested pCT vector into yeast cells, and passaged twice before screening (Wang et al., 2018a). Yeast libraries were cultured in SGDCAA medium to induce Fab surface-expression at 20°C and 225 rpm for 36 hours prior to antigen staining. Libraries were stained with an anti-FLAG-FITC monoclonal antibody (clone M2, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), and either 20 nM of fluorescently labeled S2P or 100 nM of fluorescently labeled NTD or RBD probes, respectively, to isolate antigen binding Fabs. Yeast cells that were gated for Fab expression and antigen binding and collected via fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and cultured for 48 hours. Libraries were re-induced and re-stained for additional rounds of selection and for the analysis of antigen binding after sorting.

**PBMC B cell stain**

Frozen PBMCs were thawed and immediately stained for viability using the Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain Kit (Thermofisher). The PBMCs were stained with the following human surface markers: IgG (G18-145), IgA (S11-8E10), IgM (G20-127), CD8 (RPA-T8), CD3 (OKT3), CD56 (HCD56), CD14 (M5E2), CD27 (O323), CD19 (J3-119), CD38 (HIT2), and CD20 (2H7), sourced from BD, Biolegend, Beckman, and Miltenyi, as well as SARS-CoV-2 probes (2019 S2P, RBD, RBD-SD-1, RBD ACE2KO, and NTD) in Brilliant Stain Buffer (Beckman, and Miltenyi, as well as SARS-CoV-2 probes (2019 S2P, RBD, RBD-SD-1, RBD ACE2KO, and NTD) in Brilliant Stain Buffer (BD). Samples were collected on a BD FACSAria III and analyzed with FlowJo v10.6.1.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The statistical analyses for the BLI assessment of probe-antibody binding were performed using GraphPad Prism. The SPR data were processed and fit to a 1:1 binding model using Scrubber 2.0 (BioLogic Software).