Crystal Structure of the N-terminal Domain of Anaphase-promoting Complex Subunit 7*
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Anaphase-promoting complex or cyclosome (APC/C) is an unusual E3 ubiquitin ligase and an essential protein that controls mitotic progression. APC/C includes at least 13 subunits, but no structure has been determined for any tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR)-containing subunit (Apc7) in the TPR subcomplex of APC/C. Apc7 is a TPR-containing subunit that exists only in vertebrate APC/C. Here we report the crystal structure of quad mutant of nApc7 (N-terminal fragment, residues 1–147) of human Apc7 at a resolution of 2.5 Å. The structure of nApc7 adopts a TPR-like motif and has a unique dimerization interface, although the protein does not contain the conserved TPR sequence. Based on the structure of nApc7, in addition to previous experimental findings, we proposed a putative homodimeric structure for full-length Apc7. This model suggests that TPR-containing subunits self-associate and bind to adaptors and substrates via an IR peptide in TPR-containing subunits of APC/C.

Anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C)2 is an E3 ubiquitin ligase that controls mitotic progression (1). APC/C is an ~1.7-MDa protein complex that is composed of at least 13 subunits, and it contains a culin homolog (Apc2), a ring-H2 finger domain (Apc11), and a tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR)-containing subunit (TPR subunit; Apc3 and -6–8) (2). Most TPR subunits are conserved and evolutionarily conserved in eukaryotes (3).

APC/C requires two adaptors that contain a C-terminal WD40 domain, Cdc20 and Cdh1, to recruit and select various substrates at different stages of the cell cycle. Moreover, both adaptors and specific APC/C subunits contribute to substrate recognition (4).

APC/C specifically ubiquitinates cell cycle regulatory proteins that contain destruction (D) or KEN box motifs (5–7), which target them for destruction by the 26 S proteasome (8). During the cell cycle, APC/C mediates the metaphase-anaphase transition by ubiquitinating and degrading securin, a separase inhibitor, which participates in the degradation of chromatic cohesion complexes and ubiquitinates B-type cyclin, thereby accelerating transition from the late mitotic phase to G1 (9). In addition to its primary role in cell cycle regulation, APC/C participates in postmitotic processes, such as regulation of synaptic size and axon growth (10, 11).

To assess the mechanism that underlies cell cycle regulation by APC/C and the various roles of its subunits, we need to understand how APC/C is organized into higher order structures and the manner in which the subunits assemble. Although little is known regarding the crystal structures of APC/C components, three-dimensional models of APC/C have recently been obtained by cryo-negative staining EM in human, Xenopus laevis, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and Schizosaccharomyces pombe (12–15). Several studies have indicated that APC/C assumes an asymmetric triangular shape that is composed of an outer shell and a cavity that extends through its center (12, 14). Furthermore, APC/C includes a catalytic subcomplex (Doc1/Apc10, Apc11, and Apc2), a structural complex (Apc1, Apc4, and Apc5), and a TPR subcomplex (TPR-containing subunits and nonessential subunits) (16).

A TPR unit consists of a 34-residue repeat motif that adopts a helix-turn-helix conformation, which is associated with protein-protein interactions (17). Multiple copies of TPR-containing subunits are organized into the TPR subcomplex within APC/C, and this subcomplex is functionally important for the recruitment of adaptors and substrates (18). In fact, adaptors (Cdc20 and Cdh1) and Doc1/Apc10 bind to the C-terminal domain of the TPR-containing subunits Apc3 and Apc7 via the IR peptide tail sequence (7, 16, 19). It is unknown, however, how TPR-containing subunits form homo- and heterosubunit complexes, although studies have demonstrated that TPR-containing subunits self-assemble in vivo and in vitro (15) and that they interact with other TPR-containing subunits (20).

Apc7 is found only in vertebrate APC/C and is estimated to contain 9–15 TPR motifs, similar to other TPR-containing subunits (9). Apc7 is considered to be a molecular descendant of
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the same ancestral protein that gave rise to Apc3. Furthermore, the N-terminal domain of Apc7 has been reported to contain cell cycle-regulated phosphorylation sites (21), and the C-terminal TPR domain of Apc7 interacts with Cdh1 and Cdc20 (19). In Drosophila APC/C, the homolog of vertebrate Apc7 participates in synergistic genetic interactions with other TPR-containing subunits (22).

The function of Apc7 within vertebrate APC/C, however, is poorly understood. Moreover, although the C-terminal regions of Apc3 and Apc7 include a tandem of nine TPR motifs, the N-terminal domains of human Apc3 and Apc7 share little homology with the canonical TPR sequence. Therefore, the N-terminal domain of human Apc7 is expected to have a significant function in vertebrate APC/C.

In this study, we determined the crystal structure of the N-terminal fragment of human Apc7 (residues 1–147, denoted nApc7), and the homodimeric self-association of nApc7 structure led us to insights into mechanisms of vertebrate APC/C.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cloning, Expression, and Purification—The N-terminal fragment of human Apc7 (residues 1–147, nApc7) was amplified by PCR from a plasmid encoding full-length human Apc7 and subcloned into the Ndel-Xhol site of the pET24(+) and pET28(+) vectors. The pET24(+) vector adds a C-terminal His tag to the expressed recombinant protein, whereas pET28(+) adds an N-terminal His tag and a thrombin cleavage site to the expressed recombinant protein. Apc7 constructs were transformed into Escherichia coli BL21-CodonPlus (DE3)-RIL (Stratagene, Madison, WI). E. coli BL21-CodonPlus (DE3)-RIL containing the pET28a(+) nApc7 was grown in LB medium containing kanamycin (50 µg/ml) overnight at 37 °C. When the absorbance at 600 nm reached 0.5–0.6, protein expression was induced by adding 0.5 mM isopropyl-d-thiogalactopyranoside at 20 °C. After induction, cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 rpm for 15 min. Cell pellets were resuspended in ice-cold 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, containing 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and a protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science). Resuspended cells were lysed by ultrasonication. Cell supernatants were obtained by centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 50 min at 4 °C.

Selenomethionine (Sel-Met)-substituted nApc7 protein was expressed according to our protocols, as described previously (23). Additionally, cells were grown at 20 °C for 90 min and then induced with isopropyl-d-thiogalactopyranoside (0.5 mM) for 24 h at 20 °C. Sel-Met-substituted protein was purified using nickel-nitrotriacetic acid resin (Peptron, Daejeon, Chungnam, South Korea) and a Superdex S-200 gel filtration column. Purified protein was dialyzed into storage buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) and concentrated to 10 mg/ml by ultrafiltration using an Amicon filter (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Five millimolar 1,4-dithiothreitol was added to all buffers to prevent Sel-Met oxidation. The protocol described above was used to prepare the quad mutant of nApc7 (mnApc7).

Crystallization and Data Collection—Crystals of wild-type (WT) nApc7 protein were obtained at 21 °C by the sitting-drop vapor diffusion method. The drops consisted of 2.0 µl of protein solution (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0) mixed with 2.0 µl of reservoir solution (0.2 M sodium/potassium tartrate, 15% PEG3350). Droplets were equilibrated against 400 µl of reservoir solution, and protein crystals that were 0.1 × 0.1 × 0.1 mm were obtained after 3 days at 21 °C. WT crystals were only diffracted to a resolution of 3 Å, but we could not obtain any phasing information. To reduce the number of methionine residues in the asymmetric unit, the methionine residues in WT nApc7 were mutated to other hydrophobic amino acids. Eventually, only the quad mutant (denoted mnApc7; containing M29L/M108L/M114V/M140L) generated a new crystal form that had enhanced diffraction characteristics, although we tried to crystallize all mutant constructs, i.e. the constructs that contained one, two, or three mutations.

Quad mutant mnApc7 crystals were grown in 200 mM magnesium formate and 20% (w/v) PEG3350 at 21 °C. After crystal conditions were optimized, crystals were grown to 0.2 × 0.2 × 0.1 mm after 1 week. The final crystallization solutions contained 200 mM magnesium formate, 15% (w/v) PEG3350, and 10 mM strontium chloride. For data collection, crystals were transferred to a cryoprotectant solution (200 mM magnesium formate, pH 8.0, 20% (w/v) PEG3350, 10% glycerol) and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen at 100 K.

Diffraction data were collected at three wavelengths (0.97950, 0.97956, and 0.97717 Å) on the 4A beam line at the Pohang Accelerator Laboratory, Republic of Korea. mnApc7 crystals were diffracted to a resolution of 2.5 Å. Crystals were found to belong to the P21 space group, with unit cell dimensions of a = 80.5 Å, b = 64.1 Å, c = 81.6 Å and α = γ = 90°, and β = 95.2°, and contained four molecules in an asymmetric unit with a Matthews coefficient of 2.6 Å Da⁻¹ (solvent content = 55%) (24). Data from 50 to 2.5 Å were indexed and scaled using the HKL2000 software package (HKL, Charlotteville, VA). Statistical findings related to data collection and processing are summarized in Table 1.

Structure Determination and Refinement—The overall structure of nApc7 was determined using the optimized multiwavelength anomalous diffraction method (25). To optimize dispersive scattering, we chose a remote energy of ~500 eV away from the edge energy. Sel-Met positions and phasing were determined using SOLVE/RESOLVE (26, 27). One molecule contained four selenium sites, and thus a total of 16 selenium sites were present per asymmetric unit. Ten selenium sites were well located by SOLVE. As a result, an auto-traced model was obtained using RESOLVE, in which disconnected loop regions were manually rebuilt with good connectivity.

Initial model building was performed using the “O” program (28). After mutation of the four methionine residues to three leucines and one valine, the residues were fitted into an experimental electron density map. Further model building was carried out using COOT (29). The final model contained residues 1–146 at a resolution of 2.5 Å, without the 20 N-terminal vector residues, the 20 residues of the flexible linker (residues 77–96), and 1 C-terminal residue (residues 147). Interestingly, the inserted residues of the cloning vector, pET28a(+), were disordered in the experimental electron density map, indicating that
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The nApc7 model did not contain amino acids that originated from the vector.

The model was refined using REFMAC 5 with the Translation, Libration, and Screw-rotation (TLS) option (30). TLS refinement was applied to the final models with TLS groups for each chain that was recommended by the TLMsD server (31). The optimal TLS groups for each chain were composed of three TLS group segments (residues 1–54, 55–113, and 114–146 in monomer A; residues 1–52, 53–116, and 117–146 in monomer B; residues 2–48, 49–104, and 105–146 in monomer C; and residues 2–21, 22–122, and 123–145 in monomer D). The final model was refined to an R/Rfree of 0.217/0.241, with 68 water molecules per asymmetric unit, and analyzed using PROCHECK in CCP4i (32). The Ramachandran plot of the nApc7 model revealed that 93.1% of the residues were in the most favored region, 6.9% of the residues were in the allowed region, and no residues were in the disallowed region. Refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1.

Site-directed Mutagenesis—After analyzing the secondary structure of Apc7 using the GOR4 program (33), the four methionine residues were substituted with three leucines and one valine to enhance crystallization and reduce Sel-Met content in the asymmetric unit of the crystal. Site-specific mutations (M29L, M108L, M114V, and M108L) in nApc7 were introduced by site-directed mutagenesis PCR. Constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing. Mutants were expressed and purified using the protocol described above for the wild-type protein.

RESULTS

Structure Determination—Human Apc7 has a molecular mass of 62 kDa and is composed of 10 TPR repeats and two primary unassigned regions (residues 1–134 and residues 169–200) (Fig. 1A). To obtain insights into the function of the two unassigned regions, various cloning constructs were examined, and consequently, only construct residues 1–147 (nApc7) were able to be expressed as a soluble protein and used for crystallization. This strategy led to the successful crystallization of nApc7, and its structure was determined at a resolution of 2.5 Å. Crystallized nApc7 diffracted at a resolution of 3.0 Å. Diffraction data for nApc7 crystals indicated that it belongs to the primitive tetragonal space group, with unit-cell parameters a = 98.7, b = 98.7, c = 286.3 Å and α = β = γ = 90°, and has six molecules per asymmetric unit. We observed many methionine residues (n = 48) in the asymmetric unit, which were presumed to interfere with correct phasing. To reduce the methionine count in the asymmetric unit, four methionines (Met-29, Met-108, Met-140, and Met-114) were sequentially replaced in nApc7 with three leucines and one valine.

Although we attempted to crystallize all of the mutant constructs (i.e. species that had one, two, three, or four mutations), only the quad mutant (mnApc7; M29L/M108L/M114V/M140L) generated a new crystal form that harbored enhanced diffraction properties. This new mutant crystal belonged to space group P21, with cell dimensions of a = 80.5 Å, b = 64.1 Å, c = 81.6 Å and α = γ = 90°, and β = 95.2°, and had four

Analytical Gel Filtration—Chromatography was performed using a Superdex-200 Tricorn 10/300 column attached to an AKTA FPLC system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min using 150 μl of protein at a concentration of 1 mg/ml. Protein elution was monitored at 280 nm using the UNICORN 5.0 program (Applied Biosystems). The column was calibrated with standard proteins, i.e. alcohol dehydrogenase (150 kDa), bovine serum albumin (67 kDa), ovalbumin (43 kDa), and ribonuclease A (15.7 kDa).

Structural Analysis—Structural similarities between nApc7 and known structures were searched for in the SSM server (34). The PISA server was used to identify the dimer interface of the crystal lattice (35). Residues 169–200 were predicted to be a disordered domain linker by DLP-SVM (36). Sequence alignment was carried out using ClustalW (37). The representations rendered were generated using PyMOL (DeLano Scientific LLC, South San Francisco, CA).
mnApc7 molecules per asymmetric unit. By mutating the aforementioned four methionines per mnApc7 (for a total of 16 methionines in the asymmetric unit), we overcame the phasing problem. The resultant electron density map from the multiwavelength anomalous diffraction phasing was readily traceable (see supplemental Fig. 1). The final structure was refined to an R value of 21.4% and an R_{free} of 24.1% at a resolution of 2.5 Å (Table 1).

**Overall Structure of the N-terminal Fragment of Apc7**—The overall structure of nApc7 contains seven anti-parallel α-helices (A1−A7) and is 41 Å long and 25 Å wide, with a pitch of 30 Å. Each monomer consists of two small domains, comprising residues 1–76 and 97–146, which are joined by a disordered loop 2. Also, packing between TPR-like motif 2 and TPR-like motif 3 is stabilized by polar interactions in both the convex and concave sides; Asn-51 and Lys-107 reinforce packing on the concave side, whereas polar interactions are formed by Arg-60, Lys-42 in the A3 helix and the backbone oxygen of Pro-34 in loop 2. Interestingly, the insertion of a long flexible linker (residues 77–96) did not disrupt the superhelical shape of the three TPR-like repeats of nApc7 (Fig. 2, A and B).

**nApc7 Folds into Atypical TPR-like Motifs**—To compare the TPR-like folds of nApc7 with the typical TPR motif, nApc7 was superimposed onto the TPR domain of CTPR3 (Protein Data Bank code 1NA0), which was the TPR protein that had the highest Z-score, according to a Dali data base server (39). Seven anti-parallel α-helices (A1−A7) of nApc7 represented 3.5 tandem TPR-like motifs despite the lack of a conserved canonical sequence (Fig. 2, A and B). Although the overall structure of nApc7 assumed a superhelical TPR-like fold, our structural alignment indicated that the structure and conserved sequences of TPR-like motifs differed from those of the typical TPR motif, except for the presence of hydrophobic residues in the A4 helix (Fig. 2B). In particular, the Trp residue at position 4 of the canonical TPR sequence (Fig. 2A), which generally stabilizes the turns between helices through hydrophobic interactions, does not exist at the corresponding position in the TPR-like motifs of nApc7. Generally, packing interactions between adjacent TPR motifs are mediated by conserved hydrophobic residues in the typical TPR motif.

In contrast, polar interactions, formed primarily by charged amino acids in helices A3 and A5, stabilize packing between TPR-like motifs in nApc7 (Fig. 2C). For example, turn 2 is stabilized by hydrogen bond formation between the side chain of Lys-42 in the A3 helix and the backbone oxygen of Pro-34 in loop 2. Also, packing between TPR-like motif 2 and TPR-like motif 3 is stabilized by polar interactions in both the convex and concave sides; Asn-51 and Lys-107 reinforce packing on the convex side, whereas polar interactions are formed by Arg-60, Lys-74, Glu-101, Lys-105, and Gln-116 on the concave side (Fig. 2C). These charged residues that are involved in packing interactions are highly conserved between other homologous Apc7 subunits (Fig. 3).

Consequently, hydrogen bonds maintain the packing angle between the helices of nApc7 despite its unusually sized helices and loops. Thus, we found that nApc7 has secondary structural
features that are unlike those of the typical TPR motif but that its overall shape resembles that of the TPR protein, i.e. a helix-loop-helix conformation and a superhelical structure.

**Crystal Structure of the N-terminal Domain of Apc7**

*N-terminal Region of the TPR-like Repeats of nApc7 Mediates Self-dimerization—*The crystal structure of nApc7 revealed it to be a homodimer in which two molecules of nApc7 associate along the crystallographic 2-fold screw axis (data not shown; see Fig. 4A). The PISA server was used to identify the dimer interface (35). The solvent-accessible surface that was calculated between the two symmetry mates was 586 Å², which indicates a relatively small interfacial area. However, the $\Delta G$ and $\Delta G^p$ values that were calculated using the PISA server were $-13.9$ kcal/mol and 0.022, respectively, which implies that a stable interaction underlies formation of the homodimer.

Two nApc7 monomers are organized in an anti-parallel fashion to form the dimer. Helices A1 and A2 of one monomer interact with the interdigitating helices (A1 and A2) of the other monomer (Figs. 4A and 1B). Overlooking the 2-fold crystallographic axis, the dimer maintains an elongated shape, with overall dimensions of 25 × 30 × 80 Å (Figs. 4A and 1C). Formation of the nApc7 homodimer is mediated by motif 1 (Figs. 4A and 1C). One face of motif 1 is composed of many hydrophobic side chains that interact with those in the other monomer of the dimer (Fig. 4B). Val-3, His-6, and Met-10 (in the A1 helix); Leu-15 (in loop 1); Leu-21, Leu-22, Leu-25, Leu-26, and Met-29 (in the A2 helix); and Leu-36 and Phe-37 (in loop 2) participate in hydrophobic interactions with the residues of a symmetrically paired molecule (Fig. 4C).

Importantly, sequence alignment showed that the hydrophobic residues that are involved in dimerization are highly conserved between homologous Apc7 subunits (Fig. 3), which suggests that Apc7 dimerization is a common feature of these homologs. Analytical gel filtration showed that nApc7 eluted at a molecular mass of $34$ kDa, corresponding to dimeric nApc7 (Fig. 4D). In this study, we mutated two hydrophobic residues that are involved in forming the dimeric interface to charged residues (L22R and L26K) and performed size-exclusion chroma-

**FIGURE 2.** Distinct structural features of nApc7. A, superimposition of nApc7 onto CTPR3. nApc7 and CTPR are shown in green and pink, respectively. B, sequence alignment between nApc7 and CTPR3 (Protein Data Bank code 1NA0). The canonical TPR sequences of CTPR3 are highlighted in red on the bottom line; pink boxes indicate helices. Identical residues are indicated as asterisks, and conserved residues as dots or colons. C, hydrophilic interactions involved in the packing of TPR-like motifs in the structure of nApc7. Dotted lines represent hydrogen bonds, and helices are labeled (e.g. “A2” is helix 2).

**FIGURE 3.** Multiple sequence alignments of Apc7 homologs. Multiple sequence alignments of Apc7 subunits are from *Homo sapiens* (Hs), *Xenopus laevis* (Xl), *Apis mellifera* (Am), *Vitis vinifera* (Vv), and *Arabidopsis thaliana* (At). The dimerization interface region, the flexible linker in nApc7, and two predicted TPR motifs are marked by boxes. The undefined flexible linker between the N- and C-terminal domains is shown at residues 169–200. The residues involved in the hydrophobic interactions that are required for dimerization in the “dimerization interface 1–37” box are blocked in gray and indicated as black stars, whereas the key residues that are involved in the hydrophilic packing of TPR-like motifs also are blocked in gray and indicated as black circles.
Atography for the double mutant protein. Doubly mutated nApc7 eluted at an apparent monomer size of 17 kDa (Fig. 4D), which supports our hypothesis that the Apc7 subunit exists as a dimer in solution.

Interestingly, two monomers of dimeric nApc7 stack head-to-head because of strong hydrophobic interactions, resembling the interaction between adjacent TPR repeats in typical TPR proteins. These distinctive properties of dimerization between the N-terminal regions in TPR and TPR-like proteins were identified for the first time in this study.

Comparison of Modes of Self-association of nApc7 Versus Other TPR Proteins—Intermolecular TPR domain interactions that result in self-association have been reported for several TPR proteins. To characterize the dimerization of nApc7, we compared the self-association of TPR-like repeats of nApc7 with homodimerization mechanisms of other TPR proteins, such as OGT (40) and Tom70p (translocase of outer membrane complex subunit) (41). Dimer interactions of three TPR proteins (nApc7, OGT, and Tom70p) are mediated by conserved hydrophobic residues. Many hydrophobic residues of nApc7 (11 residues), OGT (4 residues), and Tom70p (14 residues) are involved in dimer formation (Fig. 5A). Hydrophobic contacts between the helices of TPR motifs are a common feature of dimer interfaces in TPR proteins.

In contrast, we observed important conformational differences in the dimerization mode of three proteins (nApc7, OGT, and Tom70p). For example, the dimer interface of OGT comprises B helices of the sixth and seventh TPR motifs that are centered at the local dyad axis of homodimeric OGT (40) (Fig. 5, A and B). For Tom70p, dimerization is mediated by hydrophobic interactions between the C-terminal region (helices A6 and A7) of the N-terminal TPR domain and the C-terminal region (helices A25 and A26) of the C-terminal TPR domain (41) (Fig. 5, A and B). Notably, dimerization of nApc7 occurs through hydrophobic interactions between the N-terminal ends of two monomers (helices A1 and A2 of each monomer) (Fig. 5, A and B).

Domain Flexibility of nApc7 Monomer—Each monomer of nApc7 consists of two domains, which are connected by a long flexible linker. The flexibility of nApc7 can be described by considering the B-factors that are obtained by protein crystallography, which characterizes not only their thermal dynamics but also the lack of static ordering in the crystal packing. To this end, isotropic B-factors of four monomers in the asymmetric unit were plotted to compare the regions that have high B-factors (especially, higher than 60 Å²) with the corresponding secondary structures of nApc7 (Fig. 6A). Except for the N-terminal and C-terminal ends of the protein, the equivalent locations that have high B-factors in the C-terminal region of monomer D were higher than the corresponding regions in the other three
monomers (A, B, and C monomers). This outstanding variation in monomer D led us to believe that the C-terminal domain of nApc7 is much more flexible than the other regions of this molecule in solution.

In addition, because such high average B-factors of ~60 Å² were obtained from isotropic B-factor refinement for each monomer, we performed additional B-factor refinement using TLS group partitioning and motion analysis, where TLS refinement calculates the anisotropic translational and rotational displacements of the partitioned components. After TLS refinement using three TLS groups per monomer (see “Experimental Procedures”), the average B-factor of nApc7 decreased to 27 Å² (Fig. 6B). According to a plot of the least squares residual shown by TLSMD (31), the most rapid decline of B-factors occurred in the C-terminal region of monomer D, compared with monomers A–C. Apparently, the most significant difference in B-factors exists in the C-terminal region of monomer D even after B-factor refinement using TLS groups (Fig. 6B).

Model of the Human Apc7 Subunit—To establish a model of the intact structure of human Apc7 based on the structure of nApc7, we referred to the TPR domain of OGT (40) and Tom70p (41), because OGT and Tom70p contains a homodimeric TPR structure. Because residues 135–147 of nApc7 were predicted to contain half of one typical TPR motif (Fig. 1A), the other half (residues 148–168) was modeled into one TPR motif (residues 135–168, the yellow-colored block in Figs. 1A and 7).

On the other hand, the N- and C-terminal TPR domains of Tom70p are separated by a central flexible linker (41). Similar to Tom70p, Apc7 also contains a defined sequence (residues 169–200) between the N- and C-terminal TPR domains that was predicted to be a disordered domain by DLP-SVM (36). It is conceivable that the N-terminal TPR-like domain (residues 1–168, including nApc7, Fig. 1A) is attached to the nine-TPR-containing C-terminal TPR domain (residues 201–565) via a flexible linker (residues 169–200) (Figs. 7 and 1A). The C-terminal domain that contains nine TPR repeats is modeled after those of the structure of OGT (Protein Data Bank code 1W3B). Taken together, the C-terminal TPR domain (containing nine TPR repeats; residues 201–565) and the N-terminal TPR domain (containing four TPR-like repeats; residues 1–168), constituting full-length Apc7, could be modeled to maintain an overall superhelical conformation (Fig. 7).

In fact, the continuous superhelical pattern of full-length Apc7 may depend on the conformation of the predicted flexible linker (residues 169–200, the dotted loop in the middle in Fig. 7). For example, the tight hydrophobic interactions between the C-terminal helix of the C-terminal TPR domain and the N-terminal helix of the N-terminal TPR domain could generate a continuous superhelix of full-length Apc7, as represented in Fig. 7; otherwise, the two TPR domains can swing easily around each other.

DISCUSSION

This study reports the crystal structure of the N-terminal domain of human Apc7 (nApc7) and describes the structure of a TPR-containing subunit of APC/C. After numerous attempts at protein engineering and crystallization, we successfully crystallized nApc7 protein and determined its crystal structure. Despite the presence of a long flexible linker (residues 77–96) and a lack of canonical sequence homology, the overall structure of nApc7 resembled that of a typical TPR domain.

The detailed structural features of nApc7, however, differ from those of typical TPR proteins, primarily with regard to its hydrophilic residues, which participate in TPR-like motif packing. Two nApc7 monomers were packed as a dimer with 2-fold symmetry in the crystal. Moreover, nApc7 was found to form a dimer in solution. This homodimerization via its N-terminal dimerization motif is the first example of homodimerization.
that is mediated by the N terminus of TPR protein, although the TPR motif is known to mediate self-association for many TPR-containing proteins, such as OGT (40), Tom70p (41), and SGT (42).

A comparison of B-factors between the four monomers in the asymmetric unit shows that the C-terminal region (helix A6, loop 5, and helix A7) is more flexible than other parts of nApc7, especially in monomer D. B-factors after refinement using TLS groups. The secondary structure of nApc7 is shown in the blue box. The B-factors of helix A6, loop 5, and helix A7 are again prominent in the plot of monomer D.

Two copies of Apc7 are present per molecule of APC/C, as are other TPR subunits (Apc3, Apc6, and Apc8) (13), and interact with Apc3, Apc6, and Apc8 in vitro (22, 43). Additionally, Apc7 is highly related to Apc3 at the primary sequence level. The N-terminal third of Apc3 includes a single TPR motif and a unique non-TPR segment (20), which is configured similarly to the N-terminal domain of Apc7. Sequence analysis and alignment indicated that the N-terminal non-TPR segment of Apc3 has a hydrophobic surface, like the N-terminal domain of Apc7 (see supplemental Fig. 2). TPR-containing subunits in APC/C are predicted to carry out similar functions, i.e. protein-protein interaction and structural unit, via homo- and heteroassociations that involve their common TPR repeats, and these associations appear to be necessary to form the TPR subcomplex. The lack of a structure of TPR-containing subunits in APC/C, however, has prevented our understanding of their assembly and function.

To gain insight into the mechanism by which the TPR-containing subunits of APC/C associate, we constructed a model structure of full-length Apc7 based on nApc7 and the TPR-repeat domains of OGT (40). In this model, homodimerization of full-length Apc7 is mediated by interactions between the N- and C-terminal TPR domains. The distance between the two TPR domains can be adjusted depending on the conformation of the linker (residues 169–200), which may determine the overall conformation of full-length Apc7.

Interestingly, immuno-EM models of Apc3 and Apc8 show that the two C-terminal tails of each subunit are in close proximity on the outer surface of APC/C (14, 15). This experimental evidence indicates that the C-terminal domains of TPR-containing subunits (Apc3 and also the homolog Apc7) lie side by side.
side and that the C-terminal TPR domains are oriented toward the outer surface.

Moreover, based on a sequence alignment of Apc7 and Apc3, the sixth TPR (residues 373–406) of the C-terminal TPR domain of Apc7 corresponds to the seventh TPR of the C-terminal TPR domain of Apc3, which interacts with another TPR-containing subunit, Apc8 (20) (Fig. 1A). Recent studies have shown that residues 362–368 (5th TPR) and 416–435 (7th TPR) of Apc7 interact with CBP/P300 (44) (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, several studies have shown that the C-terminal TPR domains of Apc7 and Apc3 contain regions for adaptor and substrate binding via an IR peptide (19) and that substrates (Nek2A) and adaptors (Cdc20) interact with APC/C simultaneously via IR peptides (45). Taken together, we suggest that the homodimeric conformation of Apc7 is more compatible with our U-shaped dimer model than the elongated dimer model (Fig. 8, B and C).

The U-shaped model also explains how adaptor and substrate proteins that contain an IR peptide, such as Nek2A (never in mitosis gene a)-related kinase 2 and cell division cycle 20 (45), are simultaneously recruited to APC/C, as well as the observation that the APC-Cdc20 complex binds substrates that have higher affinities than either APC/C or Cdc20 alone (46). In the U-shaped model, the two C-terminal TPR domains of an Apc7 dimer may contain binding sites for adaptors and substrates that contain an IR peptide. Presumably, the respective binding sites that are located in TPR motifs increase the efficiency of substrate binding to APC/C such that adaptors that are bound to TPR-containing subunits interact with incoming substrates and thereby guide the substrate IR peptide to its binding site (Fig. 8C).

Alternatively, simultaneous binding of adaptors and substrates might also be applicable to APC/C-mediated Cdc20 turnover, which is mediated independently of Cdh1 by the TPR-containing subunit Cdc27 (a homolog of Apc3) via the IR peptide (16). Furthermore, the U-shaped model may explain how the turnover of Cdc20 is mediated by neighboring Cdc20, which could play the role of an incoming substrate, like Nek2A. Further experimental validation, however, is needed to confirm the validity of the U-shaped model and to determine whether Cdc20 turnover is mediated by another Cdc20 molecule or directly by APC/C.

Consequently, the homodimeric conformation of Apc7 with its inherent flexibility is compatible with previous experimental findings. Although our proposed model must be validated in vivo and in vitro, our data on nApc7 provide the first structural evidence of TPR-containing subunit assembly in APC/C.
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