Advances of 2D MoS₂ for High-Energy Lithium Metal Batteries
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Often touted as the most promising next-generation energy storage systems, lithium (Li) metal batteries have drawn extensive interest due to their energy densities beyond those of Li-ion batteries. The use of Li metal, however, presents a major hurdle since it is susceptible to Li dendrite growths, corrosive interfacial reactions, and uncontrolled volume changes. Li-metal protection is an important issue in overcoming those challenges. In particular, studies have shown that molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) can significantly improve the performance and safety of Li metal batteries when used as a protective coating for anodes, separator modification, and stable interfacial layer between solid-electrolytes and Li metal. Herein, we review the successful implementation of MoS₂ for improved Li metal batteries including those of the liquid-type and the solid-state cells. We also provide opportunities and prospects of MoS₂ applications for safe and practical Li metal batteries.
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INTRODUCTION

The rising popularity of electric vehicles has attracted great demand for efficient and cost-effective batteries. The development of a lithium-ion (Li-ion) battery is widely considered a success. However, the energy density of Li-ion batteries (~390 Wh kg⁻¹) cannot keep up with the increasing demands for higher energy storage (Goodenough and Kim, 2010). Thus, lithium metal batteries including lithium–air (Li–air) and lithium–sulfur (Li–S) batteries hold the potential to solve the higher energy demands since they exhibit theoretical energy densities of 3,500 and 2,600 Wh kg⁻¹, respectively (Bruce et al., 2012). However, these rechargeable Li metal batteries have long been considered “impractical” since they utilize pure Li metal as anode materials. As a result, the use of Li metal anodes leaves a lot to be desired due to poor Coulombic efficiency and Li dendrite growths.

There has been an extensive amount of research into Li anodes for both liquid-based and solid-state electrolytes for Li metal batteries. Liquid-based electrolytes have the advantage of fast ion-transport at the electrode–electrolyte interface and the convenience of mass-production capability. However, at least three concerns hamper their development. The first one is the growth of parasitic Li dendrites during the electrochemical process, which could either cause a cell to short-circuit or to accumulate “dead Li” (Fang et al., 2019; Niu et al., 2019). The second concern stems from the intrinsic high-reactivity of Li metal to the organic electrolyte (Zhang, 2018). Thus, corrosive reactions occur on the Li metal, which will consume the electrolyte, increase the resistance of the cell, and lower the cycle life. The third problem is the uncontrollable volume change of the anode during Li deposition/dissolution, which eventually deteriorates the interfacial stability (Li et al., 2015).

Solid-state electrolytes (SSEs) have been considered as the potential solution to the issues of Li anodes in liquid electrolytes by fundamentally changing the deposition behavior of Li (Nagao et al.,...
2013). These non-liquid systems are designed to be lighter and to block Li dendrites, which enable a safer Li metal battery with a higher energy density. Furthermore, the SSEs can negate the polysulfide shuttling issues that plague the Li–S batteries. Despite these promising features, challenges remain on the interface between SSEs and electrodes due to high ionic-resistance and thermodynamic instability in contact with Li metal (Manthiram et al., 2017).

To address the challenges of any Li metal batteries, researchers have reviewed protective coatings and interlayers to stabilize the reactivity of Li metal (Tikekar et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2017; Gao et al., 2019; ). Previous reviews provide excellent and extensive summaries for various protective coatings and describe how different coatings improve electrochemical performance. For example, techniques to suppress Li dendrites and to enhance Li stability have been accomplished by the following: adopting Li+ conducting polymers (Zhang et al., 2015); utilizing carbon-based coatings such as carbon spheres and graphene (Zheng et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2014); applying a thin layer of alumina (Al₂O₃) on Li metal (Kozen et al., 2017); LiPF₆+LSPS = Pang et al. (2017); LiP+LiCl = Lin et al. (2018); GF+LiF = Shen et al. (2019); MoS₂ = Cha et al. (2018). Among all the protective coating candidates, the application of molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) in Li metal-based batteries has recently gained considerable success. As schematically illustrated in Figure 1A, strategies to improve both liquid-based and solid-based Li metal cells can be achieved through coating Li surface, modifying separators/cathodes, and engineering a stable interfacial contact with MoS₂. MoS₂ belongs to a group of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides (2D TMDs). Unlike other 2D materials (e.g., graphene and boron-nitride), MoS₂ is known as a semiconductor with a larger interlayer distance of 0.62 nm (~0.33 nm in graphene),
which would facilitate faster lithium-ion diffusion (Li et al., 2020). Additionally, its phase can be transformed into a metallic phase with lithiation (Choi et al., 2017). MoS₂ can be coated in a large area with high uniformity and high mechanical stability at an atomic thickness (Cha et al., 2018). Thus, the unique properties of MoS₂ are advantageous for cells operating at higher current densities with low polarization. Indeed, the references reporting the results of Li||Li symmetric cells (Figure 1B) show that MoS₂ has a distinct advantage over other forms of protective coatings, especially under a large current and capacity. Also, the properties of MoS₂ are known to mitigate the shuttle effect in Li–S batteries by suppressing dissolved polysulfides (Stephenson et al., 2014; Lei et al., 2017). When compared with the other coating materials (e.g., carbon, Al₂O₃, and polymers), MoS₂ exhibits higher ionic conductivity (Li et al., 2020), good mechanical stability at the atomic scale, and the highest polysulfide affinity of 4.48 eV (Balach et al., 2018; Dong et al., 2018). These traits are especially useful for the development of a highly reversible Li–S battery.

Instead of providing a comprehensive summary of previous literature, this review offers a concise overview of how MoS₂ accomplishes both protective and interface-enhancing roles for Li metal batteries. Similar to graphene, MoS₂ consists of a layered structure of covalently bonded Mo–S-Mo units into a hexagonal lattice (Choi et al., 2017). However, unlike graphene where its structural defects strongly attract Li-ions, MoS₂ defects and grain boundaries are loosely bound to Li⁺ (Choi et al., 2017; Sun and Wang, 2017). As a result, MoS₂ does not hinder Li⁺ diffusion, which would potentially facilitate a high ionic-conducting interface to circumvent poor interfacial-contact-related issues. The conventional liquid-type section will cover MoS₂ as a protective layer for Li anodes and as an interlayer for Li–S batteries. Subsequently, the all-solid-state section will discuss the use of MoS₂ as an effective interface for solid-based electrolytes (e.g., sulfide or garnet-type). Lastly, we provide guidelines on the design and propose testing methodologies to assess the performance of the MoS₂ for high-energy-density Li metal batteries. We hope this review could attract more attention to MoS₂-based protective materials for Li metal batteries.

For cells using a liquid electrolyte, the formation of a solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer is an inevitable process. The SEI layer should exhibit high Li-ion conductivity to transfer the ions without exposing the surface of Li to further corrosions. However, the inhomogeneous Li deposition and the rampant volume change with Li dendrites during the cycling process would easily damage the SEI layer (Wood et al., 2016). The repeated exposure of Li metal to the electrolyte due to the growth of Li dendrites and the constant breakdown of the SEI layer would eventually lower the Coulombic efficiency and shorten the cell’s life. Furthermore, Li dendrites are especially problematic for Li–S batteries due to the dissolved polysulfides that cause unwanted side-reactions with the anode (Yu et al., 2015). Therefore, the Li metal-anode must exhibit a homogeneous Li deposition/dissolution to prevent Li-dendrite growth and corrosions associated with polysulfides and Li dendrites.

### MoS₂ as a Protective Layer

An ideal protective layer should be both chemically and electrochemically stable to inhibit the propagation of Li dendrites. MoS₂ is regarded as a good Li⁺ conductor with a calculated energy barrier for Li diffusion of 0.49 eV (Li et al., 2012; Zhang, 2018), which is considerably lower than the diffusion energy barrier of 2.62 eV for nitrogen-doped graphene (Hardikar et al., 2014). Past literature of stable Li metal anodes has stressed the importance of maintaining a uniform Li⁺ insertion/displacement to inhibit the nucleation of Li dendrites (Zheng et al., 2014; Qian et al., 2015). Thus, passivating Li metal with thin layers of MoS₂ is one of the most promising approaches to establish an "artificial SEI" at the Li interface, where a considerable amount of intercalated Li⁺ contributes a uniform ion transport (Li et al., 2012). Unlike other protective layers (e.g., carbon, polymer, and ceramic-based), the unique structural aspects and the phase-changing characteristics of MoS₂ resolve issues associated with high impedance and poor interfacial contact (Wang et al., 2012; Chhowalla et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015). As a result, a protective layer of MoS₂ could facilitate a fast transference of Li ions at the interface for a stable deposition/dissolution, which prevents the nucleation of Li dendrites. Cha et al. (2018) have demonstrated this by utilizing 2D MoS₂ as a protective layer for lithium metal anodes in liquid-based Li–S batteries. With the enhanced Li⁺ transport at the interface, the MoS₂-passivated Li metal prevented Li dendrites from forming on the surface of the anode while facilitating the reversibility of active materials. Thus, the long-term reversible cycling process for a Li–S full cell is achieved (Figure 2A) with a capacity retention of up to 1,200 cycles at a high sulfur loading of 4 mg cm⁻². This also highlights the practical achievement of the Li–S battery, which is attributed to the protective properties of the MoS₂ layer.

In addition to sulfur, Li metal anodes paired with nickel-rich LiNiₓMn₀.₅Co₁₋ₓ₋₀₂ (NMC) cathodes can exhibit specific energy densities of up to 500 Wh kg⁻¹ (Cano et al., 2018). Indeed, recent studies have demonstrated that practical Li metal batteries should possess a low electrolyte weight per cell capacity (E/C) ratio to minimize the inactive weight, which maximizes the energy density (Liu et al., 2018; Nagpure et al., 2018). Since a cell designed with a low E/C ratio leads to an immediate dry-out and premature failure, it is usually considered a technical trade-off where obtaining higher energy density may compromise cycle-life. To address this trade-off, Choi and co-workers have employed the protective properties of the MoS₂ layer to mitigate both electrolyte and Li metal consumption, which also extends the cycle-life of the Li metal batteries (Cha et al., 2020). As shown in Supplementary Figure S1A, the MoS₂-coated Li anode exhibits stable cycling for up to 170 cycles with the cathode loading of 4.2 mAh cm⁻² and the E/C ratio of 3 g Ah⁻¹. Unprotected Li, on the other hand, suffers from severe capacity fading after the 20th cycle due to the deteriorative side-reactions with the electrolyte. Thus, the MoS₂ layer on Li anodes plays a key role in preventing Li metal from interacting with electrolytes, which effectively enhances the cycle-life under the minimum E/C ratio. Even with the reduced Li thickness of ~50 μm, the MoS₂ layer still outperformed the other polymeric-based protective films (Supplementary Figure S1B). Kim et al.
(2019) also reported a Langmuir-Blodgett artificial SEI (LBASEI) composed of MoS$_2$ to protect the surface of the lithium-aluminum anodes. As illustrated in Supplementary Figure S2A, this MoS$_2$ coated anode further enhanced the Li migration than its unprotected counterparts, which thereby enhanced the cycle life of the full-cell for up to 250 cycles at 0.5 C-rate with more than 80% capacity retention. The use of MoS$_2$ protective layer not only improves Li migration at the interfacial contact of the anode but also promotes compact deposition of Li throughout a large surface of the anode.

**MoS$_2$ as an Interlayer**

In addition to protecting Li anodes with MoS$_2$ layer, another approach is to apply MoS$_2$ as an interlayer material for the separator in Li–S batteries. Separators modified with MoS$_2$ are reported to have the features of physical/chemical absorption for polysulfides and act as the selective sieve for Li$^+$ diffusion. Another attractive feature about MoS$_2$ is that it exhibits a large area to thickness ratio (i.e., aspect ratio) when exfoliated into chemically stable flakes (Chen et al., 2017). This would be advantageous for designing an efficient film to reduce the polysulfide shuttling effect without relying on complicated and bulky host materials for sulfur cathodes. Ghazi et al. (2017) incorporated MoS$_2$ nanosheets to trap polysulfides without reducing ion-conductivity. By obtaining a flexible composite separator of MoS$_2$/Celgard, the group demonstrated an enhanced cycle life with the corresponding capacity decay of 0.083% and the Coulombic efficiency of 99.5% throughout the 600 cycles. Similarly, Yu et al. (2019) employed a solution-based method to coat a Celgard separator with MoS$_2$ film (Figure 2B). When tested with Li–S batteries, the cell with the separator coated with a sufficient amount of MoS$_2$ displayed the lowest capacity decay with the specific capacity of ~1,000 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 0.5 C-rate. Moreover, the MoS$_2$ coating merely contributed <1% weight of
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the cathode material (22 μg cm$^{-2}$ of inactive weight), which goes to show the effectiveness of the 2D structures in MoS$_2$ that self-assemble into a lightweight interlayer.

There have been instances where MoS$_2$ was used in conjunction with carbon materials to design the interlayer. Such a hybrid approach typically features the merit of providing electron transfer to insulating polysulfides for enhanced reversible redox-reactions. This would therefore prevent further accumulation of irreversible sulfur species throughout the separator during cycling (Rana et al., 2019). In this regard, MoS$_2$ is usually combined with either carbon nanotubes (CNTs) or graphene. For instance, Guo et al. (2017) developed a dual functional MoS$_2$/graphene interlayer where graphene provided a conducting network to accommodate electron transfer for polysulfides; MoS$_2$, on the other hand, served as an additional chemical barrier to prevent polysulfides from shuttling. The full-cell with MoS$_2$/graphene interlayer delivered the specific capacities of 850, 770, 701, and 600 mAh g$^{-1}$ at current densities of 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, and 3.0 A g$^{-1}$, respectively. Furthermore, the cell retained the reversible capacity of 718 mAh g$^{-1}$ even after the 200th cycle. Another example can be attributed to Jeong et al. (2017), where the group designed a separator composed of 1T MoS$_2$ nanosheets and CNTs to improve polysulfide trapping and ion transfer. As shown in Supplementary Figure S2B, the separator configured with MoS$_2$/CNTs enhanced the electrochemical performance noticeably by retaining the capacity of 670 mAh g$^{-1}$ for 500 cycles at a high current density of 1 C-rate. Very recently, the hybrid approach has been expanded to 2D TMDs alloys. For example, Bhojate et al. (2020) presented a novel 2D Mo$_{0.5}$W$_{0.5}$S$_2$ alloy with 2H (semiconducting)-1T (metallic) mixed-phase synthesized on CNTs. The approach exhibited the synergistic effect of an accelerated electron transfer, higher LiPSs binding effect, and catalytic performance. Thus, the Li–S full cell assembled with the 2D Mo$_{0.5}$W$_{0.5}$S$_2$/CNT/S cathode shows a high specific capacity of 1,228 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 0.1 C-rate and much higher cyclic stability than the other pristine cathodes (up to 400 cycles). The versatility of configuring the interlayers/cathodes and the consistent performance prove that modifying inactive and active materials with MoS$_2$ is one of the most promising approaches for obtaining stable Li–S batteries.

All-Solid-State Cell

Solid-state electrolytes (SSEs) have the obvious advantage of mechanical rigidity when compared to conventional liquid electrolytes. Thus, SSEs are expected to prevent Li dendrites with the added benefits of being safe and non-flammable (Janek and Zeier, 2016). So far, sulfide and oxide garnet-type electrolytes are the two promising SSEs for Li metal batteries. Sulfide SSEs are regarded for their high ion-conductivity at room temperature; garnet-type SSEs, on the other hand, are often touted for their excellent thermal/chemical stability (Seino et al., 2014). However, obstacles remain for a successful implementation of SSEs due to the large interfacial resistance between Li metal and the electrolyte. This large interfacial resistance is ascribed to two traits: (1) the formation of a decomposition layer due to the unstable reaction between Li metal and SSEs; (2) the uneven contact between Li metal and SSEs, which limits the ion transport (Wang et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2018). In addition to the issues of low ion-conductivity, Li dendrites grow through the grain boundaries of an SSE, which defeats their practical applications. Therefore, it is crucially important to introduce a kinetically stable interlayer that not only facilitates fast ion-transport but also improves the interfacial contact (i.e., wettability) between Li metal and SSEs.

The feasibility of developing an effective interlayer for SSEs is realized with the use of MoS$_2$. Recently, Kizilaslan et al. (2020) utilized the MoS$_2$ interlayer to prevent the degradation of both SSE and Li anode and to maintain fast Li$^+$ transport across the interface. As displayed by the cycling profiles in Supplementary Figure S2C, the Li–S full cell with the MoS$_2$ interlayer for Li$_7$P$_3$S$_11$ sulfide electrolyte maintained the discharge capacity of ~590 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 200 cycles, which corresponds to about 13.58% capacity loss. The full cell without the interlayer, on the other hand, exhibited a capacity loss of 27.3% after 200 cycles. The results indicate how the MoS$_2$ interlayer prevents the decomposition between the SSE and Li anode to reduce the total impedance of the cell.

MoS$_2$ is known to undergo a conversion reaction with Li below 1.1 V vs. (Li$^+$/Li); as a result, the MoS$_2$ interlayer facilitates better wettability of Li to enhance the interfacial contact between SSE and Li anode (Zhang et al., 2018). However, the conversion reaction often results in the formation of Li$_2$S/Mo film, which would irreversibly transform the MoS$_2$ interlayer into an electronic insulator (Stephenson et al., 2014). As an artificial SEI layer, the electronic insulation of the Li$_2$S/Mo ensures a sufficient ionic conductivity at the interface and prevents further Li dendrite growths. Fu et al. (2019) have employed this feature by coating MoS$_2$ layers to Li$_6$La$_3$Zr$_1.5$Ta$_0.5$O$_{12}$ (LLZO) pellet. As indicated by the galvanostatic cycling for the two symmetric cells (Figure 2C), the bare LLZO pellet exhibited an initial polarization of 21 mV before increasing up to 30 mV. The MoS$_2$ coated LLZO pellet maintained a flat voltage profile with a reduced polarization of 8 mV throughout the test. The group also discovered how the conversion of the MoS$_2$ layers suppressed the local growth of Li dendrites, which effectively prevented further dendrite propagation within the grain boundaries of the SSE. Therefore, the MoS$_2$ interlayer not only reduces the interfacial resistance between Li anode and SSE but also forms a homogeneous contact to promote a uniform deposition/dissolution of Li.

SUMMARY AND PERSPECTIVES

To summarize, we reviewed the application of 2D MoS$_2$ for the enhanced electrochemical performance in Li metal batteries. The integration of MoS$_2$ not only applies to Li metal batteries with liquid-based electrolytes but also for cells employing all-solid-state electrolytes. As we have observed, the applications of MoS$_2$ encompass Li metal coating, separator modification, and stable interface engineering. Thus, MoS$_2$ provides a wide range of benefits for Li metal batteries by preventing Li dendrites, protecting Li metal against corrosion, and facilitating high ionic-conducting and stable interface. Despite the advancements in electrochemical properties of Li metal batteries with MoS$_2$, aspects of the MoS$_2$ protection mechanism remain poorly understood. Also, strategies to maximize the cell’s energy density are still in great demand.
Therefore, we advocate the following perspectives that merit attention from the research community:

1. Despite the potentials of the MoS2 application, there are concerns about the oxidation of MoS2 when it is used in the air, which would complicate its long-term storage and its protective quality (Budania et al., 2017). Also, MoS2 could undergo a conversion reaction when it comes in direct contact with Li metal (Stephenson et al., 2014). Thus, researchers are recommended to assess these phenomena and determine if they have a negative effect on the practical application.

2. The mechanisms of MoS2 layers for immobilization of polysulfides, high ion transport, lithiophilicity, and their phase transformation during cycling are not investigated. Thus, in-operando techniques, such as in situ electron microscopy, X-ray technique, and X-ray-based Synchron technique, are potentially useful to obtain time-dependent information.

3. The excessive amount of Li on the anode diminishes the useful capacity of Li metal batteries. For instance, the claimed specific capacity of 3,860 mAh g⁻¹ for Li metal dramatically drops to 640 mAh g⁻¹ when 500% of excess Li metal is used (Niu et al., 2019). Thus, the effect of MoS2 coating on reducing the excessive Li-metal needs to be thoroughly explored to maximize the energy density.

4. Recently, the shape of a current collector is modified from a conventional 2D structure to a 3D porous framework to accommodate the volume change of Li metal and to reduce the amount of ‘dead’ Li (Cheng et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2019). Most of the MoS2 applications for Li metal batteries are limited to a flat 2D structure. Thus, the use of MoS2 coatings on the 3D framework is highly projected to deliver a synergetic improvement.
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