Determination of Inter-leaf Translocated Free Glyphosate in Arabidopsis thaliana using Liquid Chromatography Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) after Derivatization with Fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl Chloride (FMOC-Cl)

Misjudeen Raji1*, Altanbadralt Sharkhuu2, Ma’an Amad3, Jasmeen Merzaban2 and Christoph Gehring2

1Analytical Core Lab, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia
2Biological, Environmental Science and Engineering, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Glyphosate is a broad-spectrum herbicide widely used for eliminating weeds in crop fields. Its mode of action is believed to be via translocation from the source to the sink tissues where it then interferes with the activities of 5-enol-pyruvyshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS). In this study, the translocation of glyphosate in the leaves of Arabidopsis thaliana was investigated using an HPLC-MS/MS method following derivatization of the secondary amino group in the analyte using N-(9-fluorenylmethoxy carbonyl) chloride. To eliminate the errant precipitation that occurred when the reagent and the analyte are mixed, optimization of this method was required. The method linearity has a correlation coefficient higher than 0.99 over the concentration range of 0.005-2 µM. The limits of detection and quantitation were estimated to be 0.002 µM and 0.008 µM respectively. The repeatability of the method (as%R.S.D) ranged from 10% to 13%. The presented method was employed for the determination of free glyphosate in young untreated leaves of the specimen plants after treating a single leaf and allowing it to stand for 12 hours.
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Introduction

Glyphosate [N-(phosphonomethyl) glycine] is a non-selective herbicide that has gained considerable interest in recent years. It is widely used in fields of cereals crops to eliminate weeds while preserving the crops of interest, which are usually genetically modified to resist glyphosate action. The wide spread use of glyphosate has raised environmental concerns as to the fate of this compound when washed into ground water [1]. These concerns have necessitated the development of analytical methods capable of detecting this compound in various matrices such as soil [2] and environmental water samples [3], fruits and vegetables [4,5], as well as in human serum [6]. Aside the interest in measuring glyphosate in these different matrices, there is significant evidence that the efficacy of the herbicide is determined by its extent of translocation from a source location to other tissues of a plant [7], highlighting the interest in being able to conveniently measure the amount of glyphosate translocated to different tissues.

In general, the most widely used methods for analyzing glyphosate in various matrices and sample types are liquid chromatography coupled to UV-VIS detection, fluorescence detection, or electrospray tandem mass spectrometry [8-11], ion chromatography coupled with different detection methods such as conductivity and mass spectrometry [12,13], and Gas Chromatography coupled with Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) [14,15] or with Flame Photometric Detection (GC-FPD) [16]. Majority of these methods are not direct and also involve many challenges. The major challenge in the analysis of glyphosate stems from the chemical nature of the compound. The absence of a chromophoric or fluorophoric moiety on the molecule, for example, limits the application of spectrophotometric techniques such as UV-VIS absorption and fluorescence detection for direct measurement. In addition, chromatographic separation of the molecule poses a difficulty due to low retainability on commonly used column packing materials in reverse phase liquid chromatography. Its high polarity also makes it unamenable for direct measurement via gas chromatography. The most common method of circumventing these problems is by derivatizing the compound, either to impart a chromogenic or fluorogenic character, thereby improving its spectrophotometric detection, or to make it more volatile for GC analysis. The derivatization step may also lead to decreased polarity of glyphosate, and by so doing improve its retention in reverse phase liquid chromatography. Among the most frequently utilized derivatization reagents for analyzing glyphosate in reverse phase liquid chromatography analysis is 9-fluorenylmethyl chloroformate (FMOC-Cl) [17]. The FMOC moiety imparts some degree of non-polarity to the molecule while also making it amenable to UV detection.

To date, the most common method for determining glyphosate translocation in plants involves the use of [14C]-glyphosate as part of the treatment solution followed by scintillation counting. As this radioactive analog is being phased out by many manufacturers, it is pertinent to explore alternative methods for monitoring glyphosate translocation in plant tissues. In this study, we explore the possibility of determining free translocated glyphosate in plants using the FMOC-Cl derivatization technique coupled with LC-ESI-MS/MS detection.
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The work focused on measuring translocated glyphosate in younger untreated leaves after applying glyphosate to older leaves of the same plant. The objective is to establish a proof of concept based on LC-ESI-MS/MS technique as a viable alternative method for measuring glyphosate translocation. Arabidopsis thaliana was used as a model plant in this study.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

Glyphosate (>97% purity), Fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl chloride (FMOC-Cl), and sodium tetraborate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). LC-MS grade methanol was purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Ammonium carbonate, ammonium hydroxide, and formic acid were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Water was prepared using a Millipore Milli-Q purification system (Bedford, MA, USA).

**Calibration standards**

A 50 mM glyphosate standard stock solution was prepared using HPLC grade water. Two sets of standard calibration solutions were prepared from the stock by diluting accurately measured amounts in either 0.25 N HCl prepared in LC-MS grade water or 0.25 N HCl extract of carefully abscised and weighed pristine Arabidopsis leaves (with plant matrix concentration at 40 mg per mL of solution). The tested concentration range was from 0.001 µM to 2 µM.

**Glyphosate treatment and extraction of plant samples**

Selected leaves of several three-week-old Arabidopsis plants grown under 80 mM s² m⁻², 16 h light conditions were treated at onset of light time with 6 µL of 200 mM glyphosate and kept under the same growth condition. After 12 hours, three youngest leaves from each plant were carefully abscised and weighed into a clean 1.8 mL Eppendorf tube and stored in -20°C until extraction. Two methods were evaluated for the extraction. The first method involved grinding abscised leaves under liquid nitrogen and extracting with a water/methanol mixture, while simple immersion in 0.25 N HCl was used in the second method. The grinding method was found to be cumbersome and led to loss of samples. The method of immersion was therefore selected for the extraction. In this method, frozen leaf samples were thawed at 60°C for 15 minutes followed by addition of 0.25 N HCl and then incubation at 60°C for additional 15 minutes. The volumes of extraction solvent were normalized for each sample based on the leaf weights to maintain similar matrix concentration of 40 mg/mL across all samples. This facilitated the normalization of glyphosate concentration to the weight of the leaf tissues. Three biological replicates were used for each plant. Positive controls were prepared in plant extract using the same amount of glyphosate into blank LC-MS grade water and blank plant extracts at two concentration levels) were derivatized using the same optimized derivatization procedure. Derivatized samples were collected into labeled HPLC vials after 16 hours in readiness for LC-MS analysis.

**LC-ESI-MS/MS analysis of derivatized samples**

Prior to LC-MS/MS analysis, a direct infusion ESI-MS analysis of pure glyphosate (5 µM) derivatized with FMOC was performed on a Thermo Scientific TSQ Vantage triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) equipped with a HESI-II electrospray source using a metal spray needle in order to develop a Selected Reaction Monitoring (SRM) method for glyphosate determination. The built-in syringe pump of the instrument was used to carry out the infusion and the flow was mixed with a 50/50 methanol/water mobile phase from the LC system using a zero dead volume T-union. Syringe pump flow was 30 µL/min and LC flow rate was 300 µL/min. The instrument was tuned for the mass of the derivatized product (391.9) and appropriate parent-daughter fragmentation transitions were determined and optimized. LC-MS/MS analysis was performed by injecting 10 µL of each standard and sample onto a C8 column (Agilent Zorbax Eclipse Plus, 4.6 mm x100 mm, 5 µm) and eluting in gradient mode using a binary solvent mix comprising a 2 mM ammonium carbonate solution in water (mobile phase A) and a 95/5 Methanol/water solution containing 2 mM ammonium carbonate (mobile phase B). In the gradient program, the initial mobile phase composition of 40% B was held for 0.5 min and then ramped to 95% B over a period of 3 minutes, whereupon it was held for another 1.5 minutes before being returned to the initial composition and then held for another 1 minute for a total gradient run time of 7 minutes. The mobile phase flow rate was 0.6 mL/min. All analyses were carried out in positive ionization mode with a spray voltage of 3.5 kV. The source temperature and the ion transfer tube temperature were 300°C and 270°C. The m/z calibration of the mass analyzer was performed in positive ESI ionization mode using a solution containing polytyrosine according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. Instrument control and data analysis were performed using the Thermo Scientific Xcalibur software.

**Results and Discussion**

The method utilized here involved the use of FMOC-Cl to derivatize...
the secondary amine moiety on glyphosate (as depicted in the reaction outlined in Scheme 1), followed by LC-MS/MS analyses of the FMOC derivative using positive ion mode ESI-MS. Both derivatization and LC-MS/MS procedures were optimized to achieve optimum detection of the derivatized glyphosate (Scheme 1).

**Optimization of derivatization procedure**

Although the FMOC-Cl derivatization technique has been widely utilized in the analysis of glyphosate in different matrices, it is still important to perform an optimization of the procedure in order to ensure reliable results, particularly when considering the investigation of unstudied matrices. Upon applying the FMOC-Cl derivatization technique, we noted that the FMOC reagent slowly precipitates out of the derivatization medium over time (whether glyphosate was in aqueous or plant extract media), invariably affecting its availability for derivatizing the analyte of interest (i.e. glyphosate). As such, one of our prime optimization objectives was to eliminate- or at least minimize- this phenomenon. In order to achieve this, response surface experimental design methodology was used to investigate the effects of different experimental parameters on the derivatization procedure (data and experimental details are not shown here). Another derivatization reagent (FMOC-Succinimide) was also utilized for the derivatization of glyphosate as part of the optimization process. Results showed that derivatization efficiency is enhanced when a molar excess of >1000 FMOC-Cl/glyphosate molar ratio is used and derivatization is carried out between 25 and 50°C at moderately alkaline pH (8-10). Also, derivatization time of 60 minutes was found to be sufficient and there was no significant increase in yield for longer durations. In all of these experiments, the extent of precipitation was greatly influenced by the starting concentration of the FMOC reagent as well as the composition of the buffer medium. It was therefore necessary to reach a fine balance between these two parameters. Several buffer compositions were tested, including binary mixtures of methanol and 2 mM ammonium carbonate, methanol and 5% sodium borate, as well as methanol and 2 mM ammonium bicarbonate (with methanol composition in each binary mixture varying from 20 to 70%). Of all the studied buffers, the binary mixture of methanol and 5% sodium borate comprising 70% methanol and 30% sodium borate was found to produce the least amount of precipitation. This buffer mixture also gave higher signal intensity compared to the other two (even though the methanol/2 mM ammonium carbonate mixture produced slightly better chromatographic peak shape). The 70/30 methanol/borate buffer system was thus selected as the derivatization medium for all standards and samples. The use of FMOC-Succinimide did not have any effect on the precipitation during derivatization. However, the yield was significantly lower (about 1 order of magnitude) compared to FMOC-Cl derivatization. The reason behind this difference in yield between the two FMOC reagents needs further investigation.

**LC-MS/MS analysis**

The MS source parameters were optimized for enhanced detection of the FMOC-glyphosate derivative by tuning the instrument using the analyte m/z of 391.9 while infusing a 10 µM derivatized glyphosate solution into an LC pump flow of 50/50 methanol/water. Once stable and appreciable signal intensity was achieved for the parent mass, collision induced fragmentation was performed and fragmentation parameters (such as collision gas pressure and collision energy) were optimized. The three most abundant fragment ions obtained under optimized fragmentation conditions and their respective energies are listed in Table 1. In order to establish the most appropriate fragment ion for quantitation, the structures as well as the fragmentation pathways for these three fragment ions were critically examined. Based on the structures of the ions in relation to the precursor ion, fragment ion 88.2 appears to be more specific to glyphosate while ions 178.2 and 179.2 could have resulted from any secondary-amine-bearing molecule derivatized by FMOC. As such, ion 88.2 was selected as the quantifying ion in this study.

For optimum chromatographic separation, a 95/5 methanol/2 mM ammonium carbonate mixture was selected as the organic phase in the gradient elution in conjunction with a 2 mM ammonium carbonate aqueous phase after assessing several other mobile phase compositions. This mobile phase composition was selected based on the better peak shape if afforded compared to the other mobile phases investigated. Under these optimized conditions, the FMOC-glyphosate derivative eluted from the column at about 2.00 minutes. A typical chromatogram obtained for derivatized sample of a 10 µM solution of pure glyphosate is presented in Figure 1.

**Method Figures of Merit**

The method linearity was assessed using the two sets of calibration data obtained from FMOC-derivatized glyphosate spiked in 0.25 N HCl and 40 mg/ml leaf extract in 0.25 N HCl. The investigated concentration range for both calibration sets is 0.005 µM–2 µM. A linear regression fit was used for both calibration curves with R2 values in both cases > 0.99 over the range of concentration (Figure 2a and 2b). Precision (expressed as % relative standard deviation) was determined by analyzing 3 glyphosate-spiked samples after FMOC derivatization. The spiking levels were 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2 µM. The blank extract used for these spiking studies was tested to ensure that it does not contain glyphosate. The RSD ranged from 10-13%. The recoveries for the same spiked samples ranged from 67% to 114%. The Limits of Detection (LOD) and Quantitation (LOQ) were estimated as 0.002 and 0.008 µM, respectively. The effect of matrix composition on the analyte response was also examined across the linear range. The results show that there is approximately 50% reduction in analyte signal on average due to the matrix component effect. This further accentuates the importance of taking matrix effects into proper consideration when quantifying glyphosate in food and plant matrices, where the effect is generally more pronounced.

**Table 1:** Fragment ions used for SRM studies and their respective energies.

| Precursor Ion | S-Lens | Product Ion | Collision Energy |
|---------------|-------|-------------|-----------------|
| 391.9         | 74    | 88.2        | 18              |
|               |       | 178.2       | 53              |
|               |       | 179.2       | 27              |
Analysis of glyphosate in Arabidopsis leaves

Analysis of glyphosate translocation in plants using the 14C analog of the herbicide has been reported in the literature [18,19]. Given the diminishing production of this radio-labeled analog, it is conceivable that this method will soon become inapplicable. As such, an alternative method for tracking glyphosate translocation needs to be explored. The main objective of this study was to evaluate the LC-MS/MS method for determining translocated glyphosate into untreated Arabidopsis leaves (i.e. the youngest leaves). To this end, the oldest leaf of each of seven 3-weeks old Arabidopsis plants was treated with 6 µL of 200 µM glyphosate. Three youngest leaves of each plant were carefully incised after 12 hours, extracted with 0.25 N HCl, derivatized, and analyzed for glyphosate using the method described above. The established retention window of glyphosate in both pure standards as well as matrix-matched standards, coupled with the qualifying SRM ions, was used to confirm the presence of glyphosate in the tested leaves. The two calibration curves (based on pure standards in solvent and in clean extract) were used to quantify glyphosate. The detected amount of glyphosate in the untreated leaves ranged from 0.007 to 0.04 µM (corresponding to 6.04 – 34.4 µg/mg leaf tissue when normalized to extracted tissue weight).

A comparison of the chromatogram of three pooled young untreated leaves to that of a single older leaf treated with glyphosate is presented in Figure 3. Analysis of the negative controls, obtained by harvesting three young leaves of untreated plants grown under similar conditions and for the same duration, presented no glyphosate (Figure 4).

The treated leaves for each set of tested untreated leaves were also analyzed for glyphosate. The detected concentrations in treated leaves were less than the measured concentration of the positive control spiked at the same concentration of glyphosate into clean leaf extract by up to 95% (Figure 5). This provides further evidence, in addition to the detection in untreated leaves, that some amount of glyphosate was lost from the treated leaves. Moreover, summing the detected amounts in the treated and the corresponding untreated leaves did not amount to the expected concentration when compared to the positive control. One logical explanation of this is that some glyphosate may irreversibly bind the penultimate enzyme of shikimate pathway, EPSPS (5-Enolpyruvylshikimate 3-Phosphate Synthase [20,21] with such a high affinity that it becomes irrecoverable. It will therefore require a more rigorous extraction method to release any enzyme-bound glyphosate. For the scope of this study, the simple extraction method reported above was deemed sufficient.

Conclusion

We have applied a simple method coupling optimized FMOC-derivatization with LC-MS/MS for the determination of free glyphosate in both treated and untreated leaves of Arabidopsis. The results show that some portion of the glyphosate applied to older leaves of the plant translocated to younger leaves over time. These results show that the LC-MS/MS technique is a potential candidate method for measuring glyphosate translocation. To the best of our knowledge, there has
not been an earlier account of such targeted detection of inter-leaf translocation of glyphosate or similar herbicides in plants using this technique. A more detailed study that couples this technique with the physiological factors influencing the phenomenon of translocation is necessary in order to better evaluate the efficacy but this is outside the scope of the present study. It was also shown that matrix effects can play a significant role in the determination of translocated glyphosate in leaf tissue by this method as evidenced by the 50% reduction in signals between solvent-spiked and matrix-spiked glyphosate solutions. It is therefore recommended to regularly use matrix-matched calibration standards for quantification.
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