Clinical Results of Minimally Invasive Graft Harvesting from the Anterior Iliac Crest: Description of a Novel Technique
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Abstract

Aim: Autologous bone harvesting is the gold standard for grafting in a variety of orthopaedic procedures. The aims of this study were to introduce an alternative technique of K-wire guided, multidirectional percutanous bone graft harvesting with Jamshidi from the anterior iliac crest, and to put forward the early comparative clinical results of this technique.

Patients and Methods: 38 patients, who underwent a variety of hand surgeries in which bone grafting was required between January and November 2013, were included in this retrospective, comparative study. The included diagnoses of the patients were: non-unions of hand and wrist bone fractures, and benign bone tumors. The prospectively collected data of patients were retrospectively evaluated in two groups. Iliac crest autograft harvesting were performed with a standardized open technique, and with a novel K-wire guided bone graft harvesting with Jamshidi from the anterior iliac crest, and to put forward the early comparative clinical results of this technique.

Results: There were no major postoperative complications in the percutaneous group. Regarding pain, mid-term at postoperative 6th months, VAS scores were found to be lower in group II than in group I, significantly (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: The novel K-wire guided bone graft harvesting with Jamshidi from the iliac crest is a safe and patient-friendly method in terms of postoperative pain reduction. This technique is useful in small joint and bone surgeries, requiring bone grafting. The results of this study should be further supported with higher level of evidence studies.
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INTRODUCTION

Autologous bone is the gold standard harvested material for grafting in a variety of orthopaedic procedures; such as delayed unions, non-unions, bony defects due to trauma, infection, tumor or congenital conditions, and situations requiring bony fusion (1). This is mainly due to the fact that autologous bone is the only graft that contains all fundamental components of the diamond concept of the regenerative medicine and more: osteogenicity (mesenchymal stromal cells), osteoinductivity (growth factors), osteoconductivity (scaffold), and optimum skeletal incorporation (1-5). The main limiting factors for the use of autografts are the amount of availability, and the host morbidities (6,7).

The most common sites for autologous bone graft harvesting have been the ilium, and the fibula (1). The donor site complications of autologous bone harvesting from the iliac bone can be classified as minor and major complications (8-14). Minor complications of bone harvesting from the ilium include cosmetic problems, superficial infections, superficial seromas, minor hematomas. On the other hand, major complications include persistent donor site pain, deep hematomas, deep infections, iliac bone perforation, peritoneal perforation, herniation of the abdominal contents through defects in the iliac bone, urethral injuries, iliac wing / pelvic fractures, sacroiliac joint / pelvic instability, hip subluxation, gait disturbances, vascular injuries, neurological injuries, chronic pain, and numbness.

As open techniques for graft harvesting carry relatively higher risk of significant morbidity and complications; adequate preoperative planning, awareness of the anatomy, and careful surgical technique should be applied, and moreover, minimally invasive percutaneous techniques may be performed in selected cases of especially hand surgeries, alternatively, in order to avoid or to minimize these risks (8,9,11,15-18). Alternatively, other materials, which have also been used in the regenerative medicine, such as allografts, non-biological synthetic bone substitutes, further carry the risks of high cost, infection, immunologically-mediated inflammatory reactions, rapid resorption, etc. (4,6,7). Another recently frequently used alternative -especially for larger volume of graft requirements- is the use of reamer irrigation aspirate (RIA), which has also its specific advantages, and disadvantages (19-21).

The aims of this study were to introduce an alternative technique of K-wire guided, multidirectional percutaneous bone graft harvesting with Jamshidi from the anterior iliac crest and to demonstrate early comparative clinical results of this technique.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

In this retrospective, comparative study, 38 patients who underwent a variety of hand surgeries in which bone grafting was required between January and November 2013, were included. The included diagnoses of the patients were; non-unions of hand and wrist bone fractures, and benign bone tumors. Malignant tumors, revision cases, and patients with hematological, cardiovascular, neurological diseases and previous deformities related with bone and soft tissues at the surgical site were excluded. The prospectively collected data of patients were retrospectively evaluated in two groups. Iliac crest autograft harvesting were performed with a standardized open technique, and with a novel percutaneous technique -which will be described in the subsequent section- in group I, and II, respectively.

Percutaneous Surgical Technique

The patients were operated in supine position, and under general anesthesia, by elevating the hemipelvis with an underlying sand bag in order to make the anterior ilium prominent. The surgical instruments, used in the percutaneous technique, are demonstrated in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Surgical instruments used for percutaneous guided graft harvesting from the anterior iliac crest: ruler, board marker, Jamshidi (inner & outer parts), K-wire
superior iliac spine, in order to protect lateral femoral cutaneous nerve (Figure 2). The outer sleeve of Jamshidi is oriented properly according to the inner and outer cortices of the iliac wing (Figure 3). The K-wire is introduced inside the outer sleeve of the Jamshidi, and advanced with a motorized drill in order penetrate the superior cortex of the anterior iliac wing, as a trajectory guide for Jamshidi (Figure 3). The outer sleeve of Jamshidi is advanced manually over the K-wire guide (Figure 4). The multidirectional harvesting is possible repeatedly, if required more (Figure 5). The amount of harvested grafts is determined by the size of the primary surgical site (Figure 6).

**Clinical and Statistical Evaluation**

The patients’ postoperative pain related with the perception of the pain and surgical scar, were evaluated according to the graded Visual Analogue Scale; ±at postoperative 6th month. Statistically, Mann-Whitney U test was used. Statistical significance was set at p values < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

The mean age of the patients was 29.6 ±6.4, and 28.6 ± 8.2 in group I, and II, respectively. The male to female number was 19 (16 M/ 3 F), and 19 (15 M/ 4 F) in group I, and II, respectively. There were no major
postoperative complications, or no non-union, in all patients at final follow-up of 6 months. On one hand, cortical perforation and retroperitoneal hematoma were developed in a patient in the group I. On the other hand, there was even no minor complication in the group II. Regarding pain mid-term at postoperative 6th months, VAS scores were found to be lower in group II than in group I, significantly (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

The most important result of this study was that the use of newly-described K-wire guided percutaneous bone graft harvesting from the iliac crest yielded successful clinical results in terms of postoperative pain and morbidity, when compared with the open technique. This alternative percutaneous technique is useful in small joint and bone surgeries, which requires relatively low volume of bone grafting. The gold standard bone grafting material has been accepted as the autologous bone, mainly due to its osteoinductive, osteogenetic and osteoconductive properties, and increased skeletal incorporation (1,2-5). The main limiting factors for the use of autografts are the amount of availability, and the donor site morbidities (6,7).

To minimize the limitations of the use of autografts, other materials such as allografts, non-biological synthetic bone substitutes, and a recently frequently used alternative, RIA were introduced with specific advantages, and disadvantages. Allografts, and synthetic bone substitutes carry the risk of high cost, infection, immunologically-mediated inflammatory reactions, rapid resorption, etc. (4,6,7). RIA, which has been used for larger volume grafting with shorter harvest time, less donor site pain, and similar union rates compared with iliac crest bone grafting, carry the risks of cortical bone perforation, and donor femur fracture (3, 19-21). The latter risks can be minimized with the careful application of the surgical technique. But, this high-volume of grafting technique is not necessary for grafting in small bones.

The most common site for harvesting autologous bone graft is the iliac crest, compared with other alternative sites distal radius, distal femur, fibula, olecranon, and ribs. It has some advantages and complications. Firstly, the advantages of iliac crest are as follows; easy access, availability of a large amount of all kinds of bone graft (cancellous, cortical, cortico-cancellous, tricortical and vascularized) (4). Secondly, although the most common complications were reported to be the chronic donor site pain and sensory disturbances, others include infection, hematoma, neurovascular injuries, fractures, hemiinations, poor cosmesis, hypertrophic scars, etc., which are broadly classified as major and minor complications, depending on the severity or the necessity of further treatment. (22-24). In a meta-analysis of Dimitriou et al. (24), the rates of major and minor complications were found to be reported as 0.76-25 %, and 10-39 %, respectively. In the same meta-analysis, the estimated morbidity rate was reported as 19.37 %.

Anterior and posterior iliac crests are amenable to graft harvesting. On one hand, posterior iliac crest is mostly used for spinal surgeries, on the other hand anterior iliac crest is used for mostly surgeries of the extremities. In a study of Ahlmann et al. (22) posterior iliac crest bone graft harvesting was reported to have lower postoperative complication rates. But, anterior iliac crest fractures tend to be more stable and to heal spontaneously in most cases, compared with posterior iliac crest fractures, which require more complex

Table 1. Mean values of VAS scores at postoperative 1st day, and at postoperative 6th months.

|                      | VAS Score Early postop. (1st day) | VAS Score Mid-term postop. (6th months) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Group I (Open)       | 8.64 +/- 0.91                     | 3.22 +/- 0.70                          |
| Group II (Percutaneous) | 2.40 +/- 0.85                     | 1.05 +/- 0.38                          |
| (*): p<0.05          | (*)                               | (*)                                    |
Minimally invasive graft harvesting

surgical treatments and often lead to significant further disabilities (14). In the meta-analysis of Dimitriou et al. (24), the complication rates and overall differences in morbidity of these two donor sites were found to be similar, as statistically insignificant. In the same meta-analysis, specific recommendations were also made to reduce morbidity of iliac crest bone graft harvesting.

Regarding the technique of iliac crest bone graft harvesting; the main aim of percutaneous techniques was to decrease the morbidity, and complications (e.g. cortical perforation, iliac wing fracture, hematoma, etc.), which were more commonly encountered with open techniques (24-26). In the same studies, the advantages of various percutaneous techniques are well-established, especially in terms of donor site pain and cosmetics. Moreover, apart from the results of these studies, our study results demonstrated that this newly described K-wire guided-percutaneous graft harvesting from anterior iliac crest had the subsequent advantages; alternative minimal invasive technique, possible multidirectional graft harvesting, minimizing the risk of iatrogenic cortical perforation, and subsequent complications, significant comparative clinical improvement in grafting for small-medium sized bone and joint surgeries, and possible application to other graft donor sites.

This study has also several drawbacks to be discussed. Firstly, the results of this study were retrospectively evaluated. But, this was the first description of the new K-wire guided percutaneous technique of bone graft harvesting, which was performed in order to minimize the morbidity related with open technique. Moreover, the data was collected prospectively. Secondly, the number of patients in both groups was relatively small. But, a statistical significance was found between the two groups. Thirdly, the surgeries were performed by multiple surgeons. But, all the surgeons used the same standardized aforementioned technique in all surgeries. Fourthly, although complications related with graft harvesting are commonly encountered in cases where large amount of graft is required, minimally invasive techniques are not complication free. Lastly, the quantification of grafts was not performed necessarily in this study, as the amount of grafts could be determined visually as required in relatively small surgical areas.

CONCLUSION

This novel K-wire guided-percutaneous bone graft harvesting from the anterior iliac crest is a safe and patient-friendly method in terms of postoperative pain reduction, when compared with open technique. This technique is clinically successful and useful in small joint and bone surgeries, in which bone grafting is required. Higher level of evidence studies are also required.
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