Joint detection of ERCC1, TUBB3, and TYMS guidance selection of docetaxel, 5-fluorouracil and cisplatin (DDP) individual chemotherapy in advanced gastric cancer patients
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Abstract

**Background:** To investigate the guidance selection of docetaxel (D), cisplatin (DDP) (C), and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) (F) as individual chemotherapy agents via joint detection of ERCC1, TUBB3, and TYMS genes in patients with advanced gastric cancer (AGC).

**Method:** Clinical data of 120 patients with AGC who enrolled in our hospital between May 2009 and May 2012 were analyzed. These patients were randomly assigned to experimental and control groups. The mRNA expression of ERCC1, TUBB3, and TYMS was measured by DNA chip technology in the experimental group. Different chemotherapies were administered according to the mRNA expression levels of the three genes, while DCF chemotherapy was directly applied to the control group. Correlation between the three genes’ mRNA levels, efficiency rate, the median time to progression (MTP), median survival time (MST) and adverse reactions was evaluated.

**Results:** As a result, there was a significant correlation between ERCC1 and TUBB3 mRNA expression ($P = 0.005$), but no obvious correlation between TUBB3 and TYMS or ERCC1 and TYMS. There was also no significant difference in the efficiency rate of chemotherapy (50% versus 55%; $P = 0.357$) and the MTP (10 months versus 7 months; $P = 0.091$) between the two groups. However, there was obvious significance in MST (13.7 months versus 11.6 months; $P = 0.004$). Additionally, the experimental group provided us with a more effective way for controlling adverse reactions to chemotherapy.

**Conclusion:** Combination regimen of D, C, and F in AGC patients according to their ERCC1, TUBB3, and TYMS mRNA expression level may reduce adverse reactions and improve MST.
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Background

Gastric cancer, which ranks as the cancer with the second highest mortality rate, is the fourth most common malignant tumor overall [1]. The incidence and mortality of gastric cancer is high in China, especially in Qinghai province. However, most newly diagnosed patients tend to be in the late stages [2-4]. At present, systemic chemotherapy is the main treatment option for these patients [5-7]. However, the effectiveness of chemotherapy in advanced gastric cancer (AGC) is less than 50%, and these patients have a median survival time (MST) of six to nine months, rarely exceed one year [8]. Although many chemotherapy regimens in randomized studies have been examined, there is no international standard for gastric cancer treatment [5,9]. Previous reports have shown that therapy using docetaxel and cisplatin (DPP) plus fluorouracil (5-FU) improved survival of patients with advanced cancer, but the clinical application was limited due to the toxicity [10].
The development of human genomics, pharmacogenomics, and tumor molecular biology shows a unique genetic map [11]. The genetic differences relating to drug sensitivity and resistance in patients have been found by detecting genetic alterations in patients using pharmacogenomics [12]. Subsequently, selection of appropriate chemotherapeutic drugs will be benefited leading to efficacy improvement, reducing side effects, and avoiding ineffective treatment. Chemotherapeutic tolerance to efficacy improvement, reducing side effects, and appropriate chemotherapeutic drugs will be benefited leading to patient selection in patients with AGC.

A number of drug-related genetic factors include 5-FU metabolism-associated genes (for example, thymidylate synthase (TYMS), deoxymethylidate kinase (DTYMK)) [14], taxol-related genes (Class III β-tubulin (TUBB3)) [15], and platinum-related genes (for example, excision repair cross-complementation group (ERCC1) and breast cancer 1, early onset (BRCA1)) [16]. ERCC1 group participates in drug resistance in the response to platinum-based chemotherapy [17]. Its mRNA expression level has shown a negative correlation with platinum-based cancer chemotherapy and survival [18]. Sensitivity to platinum-based chemotherapy has been observed in patients with low ERCC1 expression, while resistance has been noted in whose with high expression level [19,20]. The patients with tumors of high TUBB3 mRNA expression present with poorer treatment outcomes with shorter MST on chemotherapy with anti-microtubule drugs and vice versa [21,22]. TYMS encodes the rate-limiting enzyme thymidylate synthase (TS), which is responsible for pyrimidine nucleotide synthesis and tumor growth [23]. TS is also the target enzyme for 5-FU to exert its cytotoxic effects [24]. The tumor patients with low TYMS mRNA levels showed a better response with longer MST on fluorine-based chemotherapy. Through meta-analysis, Hu et al. [25] have pointed out that TYMS is one of the useful predictive and prognostic genetic factors.

Tumor drug resistance is controlled by a complex web of gene networks. It has been observed that there are obvious limitations to testing a single target gene. Prediction of clinical drug efficacy for patients can be achieved by detecting the expression pattern of a single gene or marker [26]. Therefore, it is important to screen drugs for patients by means of detecting target genes. With the development of individualized treatment and molecular detection, it is now possible for parallel determination of target genes.

In recent years, some studies have been performed to identify biomarkers along with clinical outcomes as the individual chemotherapy for AGC; this may improve treatment efficacy and avoid unnecessary side effects. However, few studies have indicated the efficiency of individual chemotherapy for AGC by detecting the mRNA expression level of genes to guide the selection of specific drugs. To elucidate more insights into individual chemotherapy for AGC, we investigated the guidance selection of docetaxel (D), DDP (C), and 5-FU (F) as individual chemotherapy via joint detection of ERCC1, TUBB3, and TYMS genes. The results of this investigation might contribute to guiding therapy for chemotherapy selection in patients with AGC.

**Methods**

**Patient selection**

Patients with AGC according to histopathology were selected from the Department of Tumor, Internal Medicine Affiliated Hospital of Qinghai University between May 2009 and May 2012. One hundred and twenty patients aged 21 to 70 years old (28 patients in the ≤35-year-old group; 92 patients in the 36- to 70-year-old age group; median age was 59 years old) including 85 males and 35 females, were chosen for further investigation. The baseline clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1 based on American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM staging system (2010) [27]. The inclusion criteria were as follows: patients with AGC presenting a measurable primary lesion, diameter ≥20 mm, chest radiograph showing ≥

| Table 1 Baseline clinical characteristics of the two advanced gastric cancer patient groups before chemotherapy |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| Item                                           | Number of patients | Experimental group | Control group |  
| Gender                                        | 120            | 60                      | 60               |
| Male                                          | 85             | 42                      | 43               | 1     |
| Female                                        | 35             | 18                      | 17               |
| Age                                           |                |                          |                  |
| ≤ 35                                          | 28             | 13                      | 15               | 0.829 |
| 36 to 70                                      | 92             | 47                      | 45               |
| Phase                                         |                |                          |                  |
| III C                                         | 42             | 22                      | 20               | 0.848 |
| IV                                            | 78             | 38                      | 40               |
| Tumor location                                |                |                          |                  |
| Proximal stomach                              | 28             | 15                      | 13               | 1     |
| Gastric body                                  | 40             | 18                      | 22               |
| Distal stomach                                | 52             | 27                      | 25               |
| ECOG score                                     |                |                          |                  |
| 0 to 1                                        | 46             | 24                      | 22               | 0.851 |
| 2                                            | 74             | 36                      | 38               |
| Pathological type                             |                |                          |                  |
| Well differentiated                           | 16             | 7                       | 9                | 0.8   |
| Moderately differentiated                     | 28             | 15                      | 13               |
| Poorly differentiated                         | 76             | 38                      | 38               |
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20 mm, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (3.0 T) showing ≥ 10 mm or computed tomography (CT) (256-slice) showed ≥ 10 mm; survival estimates of Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) ≤ 2 that have a median survival of more than 3 months; patients with normal peripheral blood count, no major organ damage, normal ECG, no non-healing wounds. Patient participation was voluntary and required signed informed written consent.

Patient exclusion criteria were as follows: pregnancy, breast-feeding and female patients of childbearing age not using contraception; uncontrolled acute infection; suppuration, chronic wound infection, and delayed wound healing; patients with serious heart disease; neurological disorder and mental illness; undifferentiated carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma.

The exit criteria were as follows: cases of severe drug-related toxicity; inability to tolerate side effects; patients withdrawing from the study; patients who researchers believe should be withdrawn.

The enrolled patients with AGC in our study were randomly assigned to two groups: the experimental group and the control group. The mRNA expression of ERCC1, TUBB3, and TYMS were measured by DNA chip technology in the experimental group. Then, the experimental group was divided into four subgroups according to the mRNA expression of the three genes: low expression of a single-gene subgroup, low expression of double-gene subgroup, low expression of triple-gene subgroup, and non-low expression of triple-gene subgroup.

**Gene expression detection**

DNA chip technology was used to investigate the expression patterns of genes based on hybridization of labeled RNA probes [28]. To elucidate the mRNA levels of ERCC1, TUBB3, and TYMS in gastric cancer tissue, the DNA chip technology was employed as described by Liu et al. [29]. Firstly, total RNA was released from gastric carcinoma in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue. The targeted mRNA probe was bound to the microsphere. Target and signal amplification was then performed by hybridization reaction. Finally, the processed mRNA probe were combined with streptavidin-phycoerythrin (SA-PE) and analyzed by a LumineX 100 flow cytometer (LumineX Corp., Austin, TX, USA). p2-microglobulin, transferrin receptor, and TATA-box binding protein were used as controls for housekeeping gene expression. The mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) values of the background were subtracted from the MFI values of the sample to determine the net MFI, which represents the relative mRNA expressions of ERCC1, TUBB3, and TYMS.

To estimate these three gene expression patterns in patients over the whole distribution, the quartile method was used. In this method, a percentage rate less than 37.5% denotes lower expression; from 37.5% to 62.5% denotes mid-level expression, while more than 62.5% denotes higher expression.

**Chemotherapy regimens**

The chemotherapy regimen comprising D, C and F was administered to low (or mid-level) expression of ERCC1, TUBB3, and TYMS patients respectively in the experiment group. D, C, and F combination chemotherapy was utilized for fourteen cases (low (or mid-level) expression of ERCC1, TUBB3 and TUBB3); in pair-wise fashion, D plus C was administered to seventeen cases (low (or mid-level) expression of ERCC1 and TUBB3); D plus F was administered to ten cases (low (or mid-level) expression of TUBB3 and TYMS); C combined with F therapy was used for eight cases (low (or mid-level) expression of ERCC1 and TYMS); isolated D, C, and F were given to six, three, and two cases, respectively. The doses of D, C, and F in the chemotherapy regimes were 75 mg/m², 75 mg/m², and 750 mg/m², respectively. The control-group patients were given the combination chemotherapy of D, C, and F (at same dose levels as the experiment groups). The efficacy was tested for two periods of 21 days.

**Evaluation of efficacy and indicator in patients**

Short-term clinical effects in patients with solid tumors were classified into complete response (CR), partial response (PR), stable disease, and progressive disease (PD) according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.1. CR and PR represent the effective impact on patients. Adverse reactions were divided into grades 0 to IV based on National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria, version 3.0 (NCI-CTC 3.0). The indices relating to patients' survival were identified as time to progression and overall survival time. Patient follow-up was once every two months via telephone calls after stopping treatment up until death.

**Statistical method**

Data were analyzed using SPSS software program version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The χ² and Fisher’s exact tests were carried out for count data. Exact calculation of the R × C table used Monte Carlo. The rank correlation test was then computed to reveal the correlation between groups. The Kaplan-Meier analysis provided survival estimates and the log-rank test was used to compare survival curves for patients between groups. Cox regression model analysis of factors potentially related to survival was used to identify the independent factors that may significantly affect survival. A two-sided test (α = 0.05) was performed for all statistical tests.
Results

Correlation of ERCC1, TUBB3, and TYMS mRNA levels in patients

To investigate the ERCC1, TUBB3, and TYMS mRNA expression of patients in the experimental group, DNA chip technology was performed. Results revealed that 36 (60.00%) and 16 (26.67%) patients showed low and mid-level ERCC1 levels respectively. Thirty-three (55.00%) and 15 (25.00%) patients were shown to have low and mid-levels respectively of TUBB3 mRNA expression. Twenty-seven (45.00%) and 15 (25.00%) patients yielded low and mid-levels of TYMS mRNA. There were 18 (30.00%), 27 (45.00%), and 10 (16.67%) cases showing low expression of single, double, and triple genes, respectively. However, there were eight cases that showed high or mid-level but not low expression of these three genes.

Rank correlation methods were then computed to identify the relationship between ERCC1, TUBB3, and TYMS mRNA levels in all patients. As a result, there was a poorly-correlated relationship between the mRNA levels of ERCC1 and TUBB3 ($\gamma = 0.361, P = 0.005$). Nevertheless, this phenomenon was not observed in mRNA levels of TUBB3 and TYMS, or between ERCC1 and TYMS ($P > 0.05$).

Effectiveness of chemotherapy

Total effective rate of chemotherapy in 120 patients with AGC was 52.50% (63/120). The chemotherapy showed 50.00% (30/60) and 55.00% (33/60) effectiveness rates in the experiment group and control group, respectively. However, despite small differences in chemotherapy effectiveness rate for the two groups, no significant difference was observed ($\chi^2 = 3.01, P = 0.357$).

We then proposed an exact Monte Carlo approach to reveal the chemotherapy response among four subgroups of the experiment group ($P = 0.002$). As shown in Table 2, the results indicated that no obvious difference was found between the single-gene subgroup (low-level expression) and those subgroups of the double gene (low-level expression) and non-low expression of triple gene ($P = 0.0721, P = 0.084$, respectively). However, there was a significant difference between the low expression of a single gene and the low expression of triple gene ($P = 0.025$).

The double-gene subgroup with low or mid-level expression pattern significantly improved with chemotherapy compared with the triple-gene subgroup with low or mid-level expression ($P = 0.001$). The triple-gene subgroup with low or mid-level expression also significantly improved chemotherapeutic effectiveness in comparison with non-low expression of triple gene ($P < 0.001$).

Table 2 Comparison of therapeutic efficacy among experimental subgroups

| Subgroups                  | Number of patients | Effective number of patients | Efficient rate (%) |
|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Low expression of single gene | 18                 | 10                           | 55.6               |
| Low expression of double gene | 24                 | 12                           | 50.0               |
| Low expression of triple gene | 10                 | 10                           | 100.0              |
| Non-low expression of triple gene | 8.00              | 1.00                         | 12.5               |

The MTP assay

As shown in Figure 2, the MST was then analyzed for all patients with AGC. A 12-month MST was observed in 120 patients. The MST of the experimental group was 13.7 months, while for the control group was only 11.6 months. A statistically significant difference was observed between the two groups ($\chi^2 = 8.310, P = 0.004$). The result also showed that the experimental group had a longer survival curve compared to the control group. However, no significant difference in MST was found between the four subgroups of the experiment group.

Comparison of adverse reactions

We observed that the types of adverse reaction were similar in all 120 patients with AGC. The types of adverse reaction included hematologic toxicity, gastrointestinal reactions, neurotoxicity, and liver and kidney dysfunction. Hematologic toxicity includes leukopenia, decrease in hemoglobin, and thrombocytopenia. The patients in the experimental group experienced hematologic toxicity of a grade 1 to 2, which was better than the control group which experienced grade 3 to 4. There was a significant difference in hematologic toxicity between the two groups ($P = 0.048, P = 0.016, P < 0.001$). Gastrointestinal reactions exhibited included nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea. The patients in the control group experienced gastrointestinal reactions of a grade 3 to 4, worse than the experimental group ($P < 0.001, P = 0.041$). The experimental group also performed better regarding peripheral neurotoxicity, liver damage, and kidney damage than the control group ($P = 0.01, P = 0.01, P = 0.01$). There were no deaths in the two groups relating to chemotherapy, but eight cases were withdrawn due to adverse reactions to chemotherapy in
the control group compared with one in the experimental group. Thus, compared with the control group, the experimental group demonstrated a more effective way for controlling adverse reactions from chemotherapy for AGC patients.

**Discussion**

In this present study, 120 patients with AGC were enrolled and then randomly assigned to experimental and control groups. Different chemotherapy regimens (DCF, DC, CF, DF, D, C and F chemotherapies) were administrated to 60...
patients with AGC based on analysis test data of ERCC1, TUBB3, and TYMS mRNA expression levels in the experimental group. Meanwhile, the other 60 patients with AGC directly received the DCF chemotherapy regimen. Then, we evaluated the correlation between the three genes’ mRNA levels, efficiency rate, MTP, MST and adverse reactions. The results showed that there was a significant correlation between ERCC1 and TUBB3 mRNA expression levels, but no obvious correlation between TUBB3 and TYMS or ERCC1 and TYMS. Also, there was no significant difference in the efficiency rate of chemotherapy and MTP between the two groups. However, there was an obvious significant difference in the MST. Additionally, the experimental group demonstrated a more effective way for controlling adverse reactions from chemotherapy.

An effective chemotherapy regimen is critical for AGC patients with good curative effect were shown in early stages under the treatment of AGC. Lower adverse reaction rates from chemotherapy.

This investigation showed that the MST and MTP was 11.6 and 7 months in the control group, which was extended by 1.4 months in the V-325 study group, respectively. Our results are consistent with a treatment effect that has been reported by Hu et al. [25]. Shorter survival in the V-325 study group may be due to the inclusion of more patients with liver metastases and fewer stage III patients. There was no significant difference in MTP between the experimental group and the control group, but the MST was significantly longer in the experimental group. This suggested that the chemotherapeutic drug extended the MST according to the expression of target genes. Previous reports showed the MST to be longer in patients with none or one resistance gene than in patients with more than two resistance genes [12]. In this study, there was no obvious difference among the four experimental subgroups. However, the small sample size here may influence the MST and a larger sample size will need to be evaluated.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our study demonstrated that the combination regimen of D, C, and F in AGC patients according to their ERCC1, TUBB3, and TYMS mRNA expression level might reduce severe adverse reactions and improve the MST. However, further investigation and a larger clinical research sample are needed to further explore the application of multiple genetic targets to chemotherapy for patients with AGC.
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