Surgical outcome for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy patients with extreme interventricular septal thickness: a propensity score matched study
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**Background:** Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) with extreme interventricular septal thickness (IVST) is associated with a higher incidence of adverse cardiovascular events. However, the results of these patients who underwent septal myectomy are unclear.

**Methods:** We studied 47 HCM patients with IVST ≥30 mm who underwent septal myectomy between 2011 and 2018 in Anzhen Hospital. After a 2:1 propensity score matching, the study cohort included 141 patients and 94 patients with IVST <30 mm.

**Results:** Patients with IVST ≥30 mm had a longer clinical course of disease, high incidence of syncope, palpitation, and moderate or severe mitral regurgitation. After a mean follow-up of 34.0±21.3 months, 6 patients died, including 5 with IVST ≥30 mm and 1 with IVST <30 mm. The 5-year survival free of all-cause mortality was significantly higher in patients with IVST ≥30 mm than in those with IVST <30 mm (98.9% vs. 85.7%, P=0.03). Multivariable Cox analysis revealed that IVST ≥30 mm (HR: 1.12, 95% CI: 1.01–1.25, P=0.028) was an independent risk factor for all-cause mortality. Meanwhile, left ventricular end diastole diameter (HR: 0.72, 95% CI: 0.54–0.97, P=0.031) and age (HR: 0.91, 95% CI: 0.83–0.99, P=0.025) were also independent risk factors for all-cause mortality in this special cohort. Furthermore, the incidence of NYHA class III or IV was significantly higher in patients with IVST ≥30 mm.

**Conclusions:** The surgical outcome was poor in a matched cohort of HCM patients with IVST ≥30 mm, which was mainly reflected by mortality and the incidence of NYHA class III or IV.
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**Introduction**

Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) is the most common inherited heart disease, with a prevalence of 0.02% in the general population. It is an important cause of sudden cardiac death (SCD) (1). Approximately 2/3 of patients who have a left ventricular outflow tract obstruction (LVOTO) need surgery to control the symptoms and reduce the risk of SCD. Septal myectomy is the standard treatment for patients who have a good prognosis (2,3). There are many factors associated with SCD in these patients, including maximum left ventricular wall thickness (4,5). HCM patients with extreme interventricular septal thickness (IVST) may represent a special cohort. However, most of these studies are all based on HCM patients, both
obstructed and non-obstructed (6-8). Few studies have reported the surgical outcomes of patients with extreme IVST who underwent septal myectomy. Therefore, in the present study, we retrospectively studied this special cohort and compared the survival rate with patients matched by age, sex, and body mass index.

We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-20-1705).

Methods

Study population

We retrospectively studied 47 hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy (HOCM) patients with IVST ≥30 mm and 94 patients with IVST <30 mm who underwent surgical treatment at Anzhen Hospital in Beijing between 2011 and 2018. This was a single-center retrospective study. The Control Group (patients with IVST <30 mm, N=94) were enrolled from the same center and the IVST ≥30 mm was matched in a ratio of 2:1 based on age, sex, and body mass index and were randomly selected from subjects who underwent septal myectomy during the same period. The diagnostic criteria and surgical indications of HCM were consistent with the 2011 American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology guidelines and the 2014 European Society of Cardiology guidelines, which mainly include unexplained septal hypertrophy with a thickness >15 mm. The indications for septal myectomy were (I) severe symptoms or syncope or near-syncope despite optimal medical therapy, (II) LV outflow tract (LVOT) gradient >50 mmHg at rest or with provocation.

All patients signed informed consent forms, including those for their biomarker analysis and clinical data, prior to enrollment. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Anzhen Hospital (Ethic committee study number: 2020034X). All procedures were conducted in accordance with the ethical principles stated in the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

Echocardiography

Transthoracic echocardiography was performed in each patient using an E9 ultrasound system. Echocardiographic examinations were performed by the same physician. The diameter of the cardiac chambers was expressed as the maximum value of the anteroposterior diameter in the cardiac cycles. The diameter of the ascending aorta was approximately 4 cm above that of the aortic valve during diastole. The thicknesses of the IVS and ventricular wall were determined during diastole. Besides the maximum thickness, the representative thickness of the IVS, which is usually the thickness of the point 25 mm under the right coronary sinus nadir, was also recorded to indicate the overall thickness. The LVOT gradient was calculated using the simplified Bernoulli equation. The measurements of the left ventricular ejection fraction were determined according to the American Society of Echocardiography recommendations (9).

Cardiac surgery

All the patients underwent the extended Morrow procedure. First, a standard median sternotomy was performed. Cardiopulmonary bypass was then performed using ascending aortic cannulation and bicaval cannulation. Myocardial protection was achieved with the antegrade (HTK). The resection ranges were as follows: the upper end was located approximately 4 mm below the aortic ring; the lower end was extended toward the apex; from the right side, the myectomy was started slightly rightward to the nadir of the right aortic cusp, and to the left, resection was terminated near the mitral anterior commissure. Elongated leaflets were not routinely folded, unless severe regurgitation or systolic anterior motion remained after resection. The related operations were appropriately performed based on preoperative evaluation and intraoperative exploration. Detailed information has been described in our previous study (10).

Follow-up

The clinical status of the study population was obtained through telephone interviews with the patients or their family members at least once a year after surgery. Patients who died were treated as endpoints, and the follow-up time was defined with regard to the day the patient died. The last follow-up of the survivors was conducted in December 2019. The clinical endpoint in this study was defined as all-cause death, including sudden cardiac death (SCD), death related to congestive heart failure and other cardiovascular diseases, or noncardiac death. In addition, all patients in the present study completed the follow-up.
Statistical analysis

In the present study, we performed a propensity score match for the variables according to the presence of extreme IVST (≥30 mm): age, sex, and BMI. Matching was performed using the nearest neighbor method, assigning patients with diabetes and without diabetes in a 1:2 ratio, with a 0.2 caliper width. The equalization test after matching is shown in Table S1 and Figure S1. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess the normal distribution of continuous variables. The results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation, median (interquartile range), or percentage, as appropriate. The Student t-test for independent samples and the Mann-Whitney U test were used to compare continuous variables, and the χ2 or Fisher exact test was used to compare nominal variables, as appropriate. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate survival free from the worse clinical outcomes. A log-rank test was used to compare survival curves among the different patient groups. Univariable and multivariable Cox regression analyses were used to assess the association of individual variables with all-cause death. Age, sex, body mass index, and variables with P<0.1 on univariate analysis were entered into a multivariate analysis. All the reported probability values were two-tailed, and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS version 24.0 Statistical software (IBM) and Prism GraphPad 7.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) were used for calculations and illustrations, respectively.

Results

Baseline patient characteristics

A total of 141 HCM patients were included in the study, including 47 patients with IVST ≥30 mm. We compared the baseline characteristics of the patients with an IVST <30 mm. The clinical course of disease (7.2±6.9 vs. 4.2±4.0, P=0.008) was significantly longer in patients with IVST ≥30 mm, and the serum BNP level was also high in these patients. There were more symptoms, including syncope and palpitation, in patients with IVST ≥30 mm. Importantly, the incidence of non-sustained ventricular tachycardia (NSVT) was higher in patients with IVST ≥30 mm. In addition, moderate or severe mitral regurgitation, left atrium, left ventricular end diastole diameter, and IVST were also significantly different between the two groups. Detailed information is described in Table 1.

Perioperative data

Perioperative data between the two groups are described in Table 2. There was no difference in mitral valve procedure, CABG, Cox Maze procedure, cardiopulmonary bypass time, aortic cross-clamping time, postoperative ventilation time, and postoperative hospital stay between the two groups. However, the ICU stay time (47.1±31.4 vs. 36.3±23.9, P=0.03) and the proportion of tricuspid valvuloplasty (8.5% vs. 0.0%, P=0.05) were significantly different between IVST ≥30 mm and IVST <30 mm. In addition, the postoperative LVOT gradient was not different between the two groups.

Clinical and echocardiographic data at last follow-up

After a mean follow-up of 34.0±21.3 months, the clinical presentation and echocardiographic data showed a significant improvement. Compared to the baseline data, the proportion of patients with NYHA class III or IV and Max LVOT gradient >30 mmHg decreased significantly. However, the proportion of patients with NYHA class III or IV was high in patients with IVST ≥30 mm at the latest follow-up (Table 3).

Survival from all-cause death

After a mean follow-up of 34.0±21.3 months, a total of 6 patients died. Of these, 1 death was related to lung cancer and 5 were cardiovascular deaths, including 4 sudden cardiac deaths and 1 death related to heart failure. The Kaplan-Meier lifetime analysis showed a significant difference in the survival free from all-cause death between the two groups (98.9% vs. 85.7%, P=0.04, Figure 1). Next, we performed univariable and multivariable Cox regression analyses to investigate the risk factors of all-cause death. After adjustment for age, sex, and BMI, IVST ≥30 mm was an independent risk factor for all-cause death (HR: 1.12, 95% CI: 1.01–1.25, P=0.028). In addition, LVEDD (HR: 0.72, 95% CI: 0.54–0.97, P=0.031) and age (HR: 0.91, 95% CI: 0.83–0.99, P=0.025) were also risk factors for all-cause death in this special HCM cohort (Table 4).

Discussion

In our study, we report the surgical outcomes of HCM patients with extreme IVST. Important findings from our study comparing septal myectomy for extreme IVST patients was that overall survival of propensity-matched
Table 1 Baseline patient characters

| Variable                        | IVST <30 mm (n=94) | IVST ≥30 mm (n=47) | P  |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----|
| Age, (years)                   | 39.1±13.2          | 39.4±14.2          | 0.91|
| Male, n (%)                    | 68 (72.3)          | 35 (74.5)          | 0.84|
| Body mass index, kg/m²         | 24.5±3.6           | 24.4±3.6           | 0.91|
| Family history of HCM or SCD, n (%) | 12 (12.8)          | 7 (14.9)           | 0.79|
| Heart rate, beats/min          | 72.4±9.3           | 73.3±9.3           | 0.57|
| BNP, pg/mL                     | 1,741.2±1,656.4    | 2,201.1±1,399.2    | 0.04|
| Course of disease, years       | 4.2±4.0            | 7.2±6.9            | 0.008|
| Hypertension, n (%)            | 17 (18.1)          | 9 (19.1)           | 0.88|
| Diabetes mellitus              | 6 (6.4)            | 3 (6.4)            | 1.00|
| Clinical presentation, n (%)   |                    |                    |    |
| Chest pain                     | 21 (22.3)          | 15 (31.9)          | 0.22|
| Palpitation                    | 8 (8.5)            | 10 (21.3)          | 0.03|
| Syncope                        | 24 (25.5)          | 21 (44.7)          | 0.02|
| Chest distress                 | 43 (45.7)          | 39 (83.0)          | <0.001|
| NYHA class III or IV           | 76 (80.9)          | 41 (87.2)          | 0.76|
| Echocardiographic indices      |                    |                    |    |
| Aorta, mm                      | 29.6±4.1           | 28.4±3.8           | 0.08|
| Left atrium, mm                | 43.9±7.1           | 41.6±5.5           | 0.03|
| LVEDD, mm                      | 42.2±5.3           | 38.1±4.1           | <0.001|
| IVST, mm                       | 22.6±4.4           | 34.0±3.7           | <0.001|
| Posterior LV wall, mm          | 11.9±2.8           | 12.8±3.4           | 0.13|
| LVOT gradient, mmHg            | 78.5±26.1          | 78.3±26.9          | 0.96|
| LVEF, %                        | 72.1±6.6           | 73.5±7.7           | 0.31|
| Moderate or severe MR          | 65 (69.1)          | 21 (44.7)          | 0.005|
| Medical therapy, n (%)         |                    |                    |    |
| Beta-blockers                  | 82 (87.2)          | 44 (93.6)          | 0.25|
| Calcium-channel blockers       | 11 (11.7)          | 8 (17.0)           | 0.38|
| NSVT, n (%)                    | 13 (13.8)          | 14 (29.8)          | 0.02|

Values are presented as percentage, mean ± SD, or median (interquartile range) when appropriate. IVST, interventricular septal thickness; HCM, hypertrophic myocardialopathy; SCD, sudden cardiac death; NYHA, New York Heart Association; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDD, left ventricular end diastole diameter; LVOTG, left ventricular outflow tract gradient; patients was significantly lower in this special cohort, and the proportion of patients with NYHA class III or IV was high at the latest follow-up. Furthermore, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter and young age were also associated with a poor prognosis in patients with extreme IVST in a matched cohort. Relevant guidelines have pointed out that IVST ≥30 mm is an important risk factor for SCD in HCM
### Table 2 Perioperative data between two groups

| Variable                                      | IVST <30 mm (n=94) | IVST ≥30 mm (n=47) | P    |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------|
| Concomitant procedures, n (%)                 |                     |                    |      |
| Mitral valve procedure                        | 9 (9.6)             | 1 (2.1)            | 0.17 |
| Tricuspid valvuloplasty                       | 8 (8.5)             | 0 (0.0)            | 0.05 |
| CABG                                          | 6 (6.4)             | 7 (14.9)           | 0.10 |
| Cox Maze procedure                            | 3 (3.2)             | 0 (0.0)            | 0.55 |
| Cardiopulmonary bypass time, min             | 97.9±34.1           | 93.3±31.8          | 0.43 |
| Aortic cross-clamping time, min               | 65.4±22.2           | 63.4±22.8          | 0.62 |
| Postoperative ventilation time, min           | 18.3±16.7           | 15.4±3.7           | 0.23 |
| Postoperative hospital stays, day             | 7.7±3.2             | 7.1±1.1            | 0.28 |
| ICU stay, hours                               | 36.3±23.9           | 47.1±31.4          | 0.03 |
| Pacemaker implantation, n (%)                 | 1 (1.1)             | 0 (0.0)            | 1.00 |
| Postoperative LVOT gradient, mmHg             | 6.9±2.5             | 6.2±2.5            | 0.12 |

Values are presented as percentage, mean ± SD, or median (interquartile range) when appropriate. CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; ICU, intensive care unit.

### Table 3 Clinical and Echocardiographic data at last-follow-up visit

| Variables                               | IVST <30 mm (n=94) | IVST ≥30 mm (n=47) | P    |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------|
| Follow-up, months                       | 33.9±18.1           | 40.1±17.9          | 0.09 |
| NYHA class III or IV, n (%)             |                     |                    |      |
| Baseline                                | 76 (80.9)           | 41 (87.2)          | 0.76 |
| Last follow-up                          | 2 (2.1)             | 6 (12.8)           | 0.02 |
| Max LVOT gradient >30 mmHg, n (%)       |                     |                    |      |
| Baseline                                | 94 (100.0)          | 47 (100.0)         | 1.00 |
| Last follow-up                          | 8 (8.5)             | 3 (6.4)            | 0.75 |
| IVST, mm                                |                     |                    |      |
| Baseline                                | 22.6±4.4            | 34.0±3.7           | <0.001|
| Last follow-up                          | 14.8±3.3            | 21.3±7.1           | <0.001|
| Posterior LV wall, mm                   |                     |                    |      |
| Baseline                                | 11.9±2.8            | 12.8±3.4           | 0.13 |
| Last follow-up                          | 10.9±2.3            | 11.9±3.1           | 0.06 |
| LVEDD, mm                               |                     |                    |      |
| Baseline                                | 42.2±5.3            | 38.1±4.1           | <0.001|
| Last follow-up                          | 44.6±4.6            | 44.5±6.6           | 0.96 |

Values are presented as percentage, mean ± SD, or median (interquartile range) when appropriate. NYHA, New York Heart Association; IVST, interventricular septal thickness; LVOT, left ventricular outflow tract gradient; LVEDD, left ventricular end diastole diameter.
patients (4,5). Recently, one study reported the impact of effective management strategies on patients with extreme IVST in HCM patients. They concluded that this special cohort is at increased arrhythmic sudden death risk, and progressive heart failure secondary to outflow obstruction was reversible after septal myectomy (6). However, they did not compare the mortality of extreme IVST after septal myectomy with a matched population. In addition, another study compared the survival rate after septal myectomy among three groups divided by the degree of IVST. The results showed that there was no difference in mortality among the different groups, even though the most extreme IVST was 21 mm in their study (11). None of these studies compared survival rates in patients with IVST ≥30 mm with survival rates in those matched patients with IVST <30 mm.

In the present study, we found that extreme IVST (IVST ≥30 mm) of hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy (HOCM) patients was an independent risk factor for all-cause death after adjusting for age, sex, and body mass index. In other words, for patients with this special phenotype, the prognosis is still relatively poor even after surgery. The clinical course of the disease was long in patients with IVST ≥30 mm, which may reflect a more serious pathological change (12). In addition, the diameter of the left atrium and left ventricular end diastole were also significantly larger in patients with IVST ≥30 mm. Previous studies have reported that both parameters are markers of disease severity in HCM (13-15). In addition, the proportion of moderate or severe mitral regurgitation was high in patients with IVST <30 mm; however, the mitral valve procedure during operation was similar between the two groups. Moreover, the incidence of NSVT was also significantly high in patients with IVST ≥30 mm. Many studies have reported that NSVT was associated with SCD, cardiovascular death, and all-cause death in patients with HCM (16-18). Univariable analysis in our study showed that NSVT was related to all-cause death in this study cohort; however, multivariate analysis was not statistically significant. The

| Variable   | Univariable RR (95% CI) | P | Multivariate RR (95% CI) | P |
|------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| Age        | 0.89 (0.82–0.98)        | 0.01 | 0.91 (0.83–0.99)       | 0.025 |
| Male       | 1.62 (0.18–14.49)       | 0.67 |                           |     |
| Body mass index | 1.01 (0.78–1.31)    | 0.94 |                           |     |
| NT-Pro BNP | 1.00 (1.00–1.001)      | 0.04 |                           |     |
| NYHA class | 7.19 (1.37–37.76)      | 0.02 |                           |     |
| LVEDD, mm  | 0.80 (0.68–0.96)        | 0.01 | 0.72 (0.54–0.97)        | 0.031 |
| IVST, mm   | 1.18 (1.05–1.32)        | 0.004 | 1.12 (1.01–1.25)       | 0.028 |
| LVEF, %    | 1.15 (1.007–1.31)       | 0.04 |                           |     |
| ICU stays, hours | 1.02 (1.001–1.03) | 0.03 |                           |     |
| NSVT       | 1.39 (1.18–1.42)        | 0.02 |                           |     |

RR, relative risk; NYHA, New York Heart Association; LVEDD, left ventricular end diastole diameter; IVST, interventricular septal thickness; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; ICU, intensive care unit.
small number of patients, the matched cohort of the study, and relatively short-term follow-up might be associated with the present results. Furthermore, the time of ICU stay was long in patients with extreme IVST, which means that these patients need more time to return to a stable level.

The present results might provide some information about the future therapy for this special cohort with extreme IVST. On the one hand, for extreme IVST of HOCM patients, we should pay more attention and they should be followed up more closely, with regular Holter and echocardiography monitoring (19). On the other hand, if these patients experience syncpe or severe arrhythmia events after septal myectomy, recommendation of ICD implantation is necessary. Through these measures, we may be able to improve the prognosis of these patients (20).

The present study has some limitations. First, the study was conducted retrospectively in a single tertiary center, which might have led to a selection bias and may not be replicable in other centers. Second, the number of patients enrolled in this study was limited. A prospective, multicenter study with a large sample size to confirm the present results is required in the future. Third, none of the patients in our study received an ICD therapy to prevent malignant arrhythmia before and after septal myectomy, which might help to better understand the arrhythmia in these patients. Lastly, our patients did not undergo gene analysis; therefore, we could not study the difference in survival from a genetic standpoint.

Conclusions

The surgical outcome was poor in a matched cohort of HCM patients with IVST ≥30 mm, which was mainly reflected by mortality and the incidence of NYHA class III or IV. In addition, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter and young age were also associated with a poor prognosis in this special HCM population. Further studies on the long-term surgical outcomes of these patients are necessary.
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Table S1 Relative multivariable imbalance L1 and summary of unbalanced covariates

|                           | Before matching | After matching |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| All cases                 | 0.675           | 0.585         |
| Summary of unbalanced covariates (|d|>0.25)   | No covariate exhibits a large imbalance (|d|>0.25) |

Figure S1 Standardized difference before and after matching.
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