Coagulation Changes during Presyncope and Recovery
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Abstract

Orthostatic stress activates the coagulation system. The extent of coagulation activation with full orthostatic load leading to presyncope is unknown. We examined in 7 healthy males whether presyncope, using a combination of head up tilt (HUT) and lower body negative pressure (LBNP), leads to coagulation changes as well as in the return to baseline during recovery. Coagulation responses (whole blood thrombelastometry, whole blood platelet aggregation, endogenous thrombin potential, markers of endothelial activation and thrombin generation), blood cell counts and plasma mass density (for volume changes) were measured before, during, and 20 min after the orthostatic stress. Maximum orthostatic load led to a 25% plasma volume loss. Blood cell counts, prothrombin levels, thrombin peak, endogenous thrombin potential, and tissue factor pathway inhibitor levels increased during the protocol, commensurate with hemoconcentration. The markers of endothelial activation (tissue factor, tissue plasminogen activator), and thrombin generation (TAT, thrombin-antithrombin complex) increased to an extent far beyond the hemoconcentration effect. During recovery, the markers of endothelial activation returned to initial supine values, but F1+2 and TAT remained elevated, suggestive of increased coagulability. Our findings of increased coagulability at 20 min of recovery from presyncope may have greater clinical significance than short-term procoagulant changes observed during standing. While our experiments were conducted in healthy subjects, the observed hypercoagulability during graded orthostatic challenge, at presyncope and in recovery may be an important risk factor particularly for patients already at high risk for thromboembolic events (e.g. those with coronary heart disease, atherosclerosis or hypertensives).

Introduction

Recently, orthostatic stress has been shown to cause activation of the coagulation system [1]. In an upright posture, hematocrit in blood from the lower limbs is higher compared with that collected from the upper limbs [2], because increased filtration pressure causes transfer of intravascular fluid into surrounding tissues. This leads to systemic hemoconcentration with increased plasma protein concentration, hematocrit, and blood viscosity [3–6]. The increase in viscosity could contribute to activation of the coagulation system through various mechanisms, for example increased shear stress [3,7]. Under unstressed conditions, endothelial cells have balanced anticoagulant and procoagulant properties [8]. Evidence suggests that a net elevation of local intravascular pressures and shear stress, as caused by prolonged standing, tips the balance towards procoagulant activity [5,9].

Up to now, studies investigating the effects of orthostatic stress on the coagulation system have examined active standing. It was, therefore, the aim of our study to examine whether graded orthostatic stress affects coagulation changes as well as how rapidly coagulation parameters return to baseline values during recovery. A combination of head-up tilt (HUT) and lower body negative pressure (LBNP) was used to achieve presyncope. Seven male subjects were enrolled in our study.

All previous studies dealing with orthostatic stress and coagulation activation were carried out in platelet poor plasma (PPP) samples. However, while PPP contains a majority of the coagulation factors implicated in the coagulation process, whole blood includes phospholipid bearing cells and platelets with an important ability to support coagulation. Therefore, in the present study, thrombelastometry and platelet aggregation measurements were carried out in whole blood samples. For further hemostatic profiling assessment, prothrombin levels, endogenous thrombin potential, markers of endothelial activation (tissue factor, TF; tissue factor pathway inhibitor, TFPI; tissue-plasminogen activator, t-PA) and markers of thrombin generation (prothrombin fragment 1+2, F1+2; thrombin/antithrombin-complex, TAT) were determined in PPP samples.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Seven male subjects not using drugs known to affect the coagulation system were enrolled in this study. They were non-smokers, with no personal or family history of hypercoagulability, cancer, thrombosis, syncope or orthostatic intolerance.
Experimental Design
All investigational procedures were performed in a human physiological laboratory under controlled environmental conditions in a quiet and partially darkened room with an ambient temperature of 24°C between 9 and 11 a.m. Heart rate and blood pressure were monitored continuously by using a TFM system (Task Force Monitor®, CNSystems, Graz, Austria). Each test started with a 30-min supine rest period to acquire cardiovascular data in steady-state conditions [10]. Tests were carried out using a tilt-table-LBNP device equipped with an adjustable footrest. LBNP sealing was positioned at the iliac crest [11]. To avoid erroneous readings due to hydrostatic effects during head up tilt, the lower arm was positioned at the heart level [12].

Monitoring of blood pressure and heart rate (3-lead ECG) was commenced during the supine control phase using both intermittent brachial cuff and continuous finger measurements (Task Force® Monitor, CNSystems, Graz, Austria). Subjects were instructed to avoid leg movements and to breathe normally. They were secured and had access to an emergency shutdown (automatic return to supine and pressure neutralization) at all times. The execution of the pre-programmed test protocol and synchronous data recording was performed using LabView® (National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA).

Head-up Tilt and Graded LBNP Protocol
The study protocol and the blood sampling times are shown in Figure 1.

Each test started with the insertion of a 17G-1.4×40 mm Teflon® catheter followed by a 30 min supine rest period. At minute zero, the tilt table was brought from 0° (supine) to 70° head-up position for 4 min, after which pressure in the LBNP chamber was reduced to −15, −30, and −45 mm Hg every 4 min [13]. Each test ended with a 20 min post-LBNP supine rest period.

The local ethics committee approved the protocol and written informed consent was obtained.

Blood Sampling
At each sampling point (Figure 1) two fractions of blood (each 4.5 mL) were collected from the uncongested vein into pre-citrated Vacuette® marked tubes (Greiner Bio-one GmbH, Kremsmunster, Austria), containing 500 μl of 3.8% sodium citrate. Another fraction (4 mL) was drawn into pre-heparinized syringes for high precision densitometry [4].

Treatment of Blood Samples
Heparinized blood was immediately put on ice and spun for 15 min at 1500 g and 4°C (Ivan Sorval, New York, NY, USA, RC2-B). The obtained plasma was used for plasma mass densitometry. Citrated blood samples were analyzed within 3 hours after collection (thrombelastometry, whole blood platelet aggregation, [14]). An aliquot was centrifuged at room temperature for 15 min at 1200 g to obtain PPP for subsequent determination of pro- and anticoagulant proteins, thrombin generation curves, and two markers of thrombin generation.

Plasma Mass Density, Relative Changes in Plasma Volume and Hematocrit
Plasma mass density was measured with a DMA 602 MW (Paar KG, Graz, Austria). Relative changes in plasma volume were calculated as described previously [15]. Hematocrit was measured in duplicate by a standard technique.

Whole Blood Thrombelastometry (TEM)
TEM was performed on a coagulation analyzer controlled by a portable computer. Using the thrombelastograph, we obtained the following values: Coagulation Time (CT), the period of time from initiation of the test to the initial fibrin formation; Clot Formation Time (CFT), time of beginning of clot formation until the amplitude of thrombelastogram reaches 20 mm; Maximum Clot Firmness (MCF), expressing the maximum strength in millimeters of the final clot; and Alpha, the angle between the line in the middle of the TEM tracing and the line tangential to the developing “body” of the TEM tracing. The alpha angle represents the acceleration (kinetics) of fibrin build up and cross-linking. Sample volume was 340 μl. Three hundred twenty μl of whole blood were re-calcified by addition of 20 μL of 0.2 M CaCl2. Under these experimental conditions clotting of whole blood is induced by the blood-born TF shed into the circulation from activated endothelial cells.

Whole Blood (WB) Platelet Aggregation Assay
WB aggregation was assayed with WB aggregometer by the impedance method [16]. The increase in impedance upon
stimulation is directly proportional to the platelet mass deposited on the electrode probe assembly. Impedance aggregometry results are expressed as “amplitude (or maximum aggregation) [ohm]” at 6 minutes after reagent addition and as “lag time (or aggregation time) [seconds]”, the time interval until the onset of platelet aggregation. The rate of platelet aggregation is expressed as “slope [ohm/min]”: a tangent is drawn through the steepest part of the aggregation curve. A right triangle is then constructed over an interval of one minute. The height of the triangle is defined as “slope”. Sample volume was 1 ml; 500 µl of citrated WB were incubated with 500 µl saline. Subsequently, platelet aggregation was provoked by addition of 2 µl collagen (2 µg/ml final concentration).

Automated Fluorogenic Measurement of the Thrombin Generation

Measurement of the thrombin generation was performed using calibrated automated thrombography (CAT, [17]). For each experiment a fresh mixture of 2625 µl fluobuffer and 300 µl of 1 mol/l CaCl2 solution was prepared and incubated for 5 minutes at 37 ºC. After 5 minutes 75 µl of the Fluo- DMSO-solution were added, mixed and incubated for 5 minutes again. The resulting clear solution was referred to as FluCa. PPP-reagent as well as the thrombin calibrator was solubilized with 1 ml deionized water respectively. The thrombin calibrator was used in each experiment to obtain coagulation values at supine rest, presyncope and 20-min post-stress [19]. A P-value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Statistics

Calculations were performed by SPSS 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Data are presented as mean ± SD. A non-parametric paired Wilcoxon test was used to compare the obtained coagulation values at supine rest, presyncope and 20-min post-stress [19]. A P-value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

The study criteria were met by 7 male participants of age 26.8±1.4 years, weight 72±7.4 kg, height 190.1±3.8 cm (mean ± SD).

Using the HUT + graded LBNP, presyncope was achieved in all the subjects. Four participants developed dizziness at 45 mm Hg, three at 30 mm Hg, and one at 15 mm Hg suction. They were brought immediately to supine rest.

Plasma Shift

Relative changes in plasma volume were calculated from changes in plasma density, according to our previous work [13]. In all participants, plasma volume decreased with increasing suction, shown in Figure 2. Plasma volume was approximately 25% below the initial supine value at 45 mm Hg suction. At the end of the post-LBNP recovery period, plasma volumes reached approximately the pre-LBNP levels in all participants.

Effects of HUT/LBNP on Blood Cell Counts

At the end of the initial 20 min supine rest period, participants’ WBC count was 5.05±1.25·10^9/µL, RBC count was 4.57±0.39·10^12/µL, and platelet count was 216±40·10^9/µL. In all participants, WBC, RBC as well as platelet counts increased during head-up/LBNP, at an extent completely commensurable.
with hemoconcentration. Baseline (supine) levels were reached at the end of the recovery period in all participants.

Effects of HUT/LBNP on Thrombelastometry Values

The HUT/LBNP procedure did not cause significant alterations of the thrombelastometry values. Interestingly, a procedure-related shortening of CTs (P = 0.087) and a procedure-related increase of MCF (P = 0.055) at maximum suction compared to initial supine rest showed a tendency towards significance (Table 1).

Effects of HUT/LBNP on WB Platelet Aggregation Values

The head-up tilt/LBNP procedure caused a significant prolongation of “Lag Times” at maximum suction (P = 0.024) and also at the end of the post-LBNP recovery period (P = 0.0081) compared to the initial supine rest baseline data. “Slope” was significantly increased at maximum suction (P = 0.042) and returned to baseline levels at the end of the post-LBNP recovery period. A procedure-related decrease of “Amplitude” at the end of the post-LBNP recovery period showed a tendency towards significance (P = 0.051, Table 1).

Effects of HUT/LBNP on Thrombin Generation Parameters Evaluated by Means of CAT

At the end of the initial 30 min supine rest period, Lag time was 2.13 ± 0.32 min, ttPeak was 4.15 ± 0.57 min, and StartTail was 18.51 ± 2.25 min and remained unchanged throughout the whole procedure. Peak and ETP significantly increased during the procedure and reached initial supine rest values at the end of the post-LBNP recovery period (Table 1). Two representative experiments are shown in Figure 3, panels A and B, respectively. The increase of Peak and ETP is completely commensurable with hemoconcentration.

Effects of HUT/LBNP on Standard Laboratory Tests

Prothrombin and TFPI levels significantly increased during the procedure commensurable with hemoconcentration and returned to baseline levels at the end of the post-LBNP recovery period (Table 1). Two representative experiments are shown in Figure 3, panels C and D, respectively. Plasma levels of TF and t-PA also significantly increased during the procedure but to an extent beyond the hemoconcentration effect. Both levels reached baseline values at the end of the post-LBNP recovery period (Table 1). Two representative experiments are shown in Figure 3, panels E and F, respectively.

The markers of thrombin generation, F1+2 and TAT, also increased significantly during the procedure at an extent beyond the hemoconcentration effect, and, interestingly, did not decrease to baseline values but reached highest values at the end of the post-LBNP recovery period (Table 1). Two representative experiments are shown in Figure 4, panels A and B, respectively.

Effects of HUT/LBNP on Catecholamine Levels

Plasma levels of noradrenaline, epinephrine and dopamine significantly increased during the procedure (Table 2), to an extent far beyond the hemoconcentration effect.

Discussion

In the present study we investigated the effects of graded orthostatic stress on the coagulation system in 7 healthy male subjects. We found that plasma volume decreases significantly with increasing orthostatic exposure. For example, when HUT/LBNP (~45 mm Hg) was applied, plasma volume loss was approximately 25%. Comparatively, the relative mild stimulus of active standing has been shown to cause a plasma concentration of approximately 12% [1]. Commensurable with hemoconcentration, we found increasing levels of blood cells and of plasma prothrombin levels.
Table 1. Effects of HUT/LBNP on coagulation values.

|                      | Initial supine rest | HUT/LBNP (−45 mm Hg) | End of post-procedure recovery period |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Thrombelastometry:   |                     |                      |                                       |
| CT [s]               | 627.3±171.4         | 621.8±180.5          | 548.5±218.4                           |
| CFT [s]              | 303.5±95.3          | 323.4±116.5          | 326.9±115.3                           |
| MCF [mm]             | 44.3±5.4            | 48.5±3.4             | 45.1±3.4                              |
| Alpha [°]            | 44.2±10.7           | 41.2±11.2            | 44.4±11.7                             |
| Platelet aggregation:|                     |                      |                                       |
| Lag time [s]         | 100.6±16.3          | 132.3±22.6*          | 165.5±38.7**                          |
| Slope [Ohm/min]      | 5.8±1.2             | 6.8±1.0*             | 5.86±0.9                              |
| Amplitude [Ohm]      | 11.5±2.3            | 11.3±1.2             | 10.0±1.7                              |
| Thrombin generation: |                     |                      |                                       |
| Peak [nmol/L]        | 359.7±54.3          | 402.9±63.3***        | 362.5±57.7                            |
| ETP [nmol/L - min]   | 1755.9±239.3        | 1863.9±264.8*        | 1693.4±203.2                          |
| Standard coagulation values: |              |                      |                                       |
| F II [%]             | 102.5±11.2          | 116.8±11.7****       | 106.0±13.9                            |
| TFPI [ng/mL]         | 40.0±14.7           | 52.4±9.6*            | 45.5±9.7                              |
| t-PA [ng/mL]         | 3.2±1.9             | 5.15±1.9***          | 3.8±2.3                               |
| TF [pg/mL]           | 357.4±158.4         | 555.3±307.1*         | 338.6±136.3                           |
| F 1+2 [mmol/L]       | 385.7±168.4         | 590.7±373.5*         | 1007.2±546.5**                        |
| TAT [ng/mL]          | 31.7±16.7           | 45.8±22.9**          | 88.1±37.8**                           |

A non-parametric paired Wilcoxon test was used to compare the obtained coagulation values at supine rest, presyncope, and 20-min post-stress (20). *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001, compared to initial supine rest. Data are presented as mean ± SD.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042221.t001

TFPI, and CAT values (thrombin) Peak and ETP. All these values reached baseline levels at the end of the post-LBNP recovery period.

Furthermore, hemoconcentration has been shown to cause not only an increase in levels of plasma proteins but also an increase in blood viscosity, leading to increased shear stress acting on the endothelial monolayer of the vessel walls [6,19] associated with activation of both the endothelium as well as of the coagulation cascade [9,20]. Presumably, this should be true particularly under the extreme orthostatic load applied in our experiments (HUT/ LBNP). Our results also suggest this. We observed a significant increase in the endothelial activation-related factors t-PA (up to 60%) and TF (up to 55%), an extent far beyond the hemoconcentration effect. In addition, the t-PA and TF levels decline to baseline values in the absence of orthostatic stress, i.e. at the end of the post-LBNP recovery period.

Moreover, the markers of thrombin formation were also significantly raised during the procedure far beyond the hemoconcentration effect. At HUT/LBNP (−45 mm Hg), F1+2 levels were increased by approximately 53%, and TAT levels by approximately 45%. Notably, the CAT-derived thrombin generation parameters Peak and ETP were only increased at an extent commensurable with hemoconcentration and reached baseline (supine) values at the end of the post-LBNP recovery period.

Interestingly, levels of F1+2 and TAT continued to increase even after the subjects were brought into supine position again (a state of no shears stress). We found markedly elevated levels of F1+2 (≈161%) and TAT (≈178%) compared to baseline values at the end of the post-LBNP recovery period. Since shear stress is terminated with the stop of challenge, other triggers have to be in place causing coagulation activation/thrombin generation during the post-LBNP recovery period. We speculate the following:

A) Orthostatic stress and the period following termination of the orthostatic stress have been both shown to be associated with hormonal stimulation [18–19]. Could the elevated levels of hormones be responsible for the heightened coagulatory state? Using a similar protocol of presyncope, induced by HUT + graded LBNP, we have previously reported that 20 min post-presyncope, the levels of adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) and Arginine vasopressin (AVP) are still elevated [19]. As ACTH is involved in the production of cortisol, and hypercortisolism is associated with a hypercoagulable state [21], its role in the observed heightened coagulatory state post stress cannot be ruled out. Similarly, vasopressin levels are increased several fold at presyncope and stay elevated for long periods during recovery [19]. As vasopressin promotes platelet aggregation and agglutination [22], perhaps the heightened coagulatory state could be attributed to the high levels of vasopressin? The role of catecholamines also needs to be explored further. Even though post stress catecholamines levels return to baseline levels rather fast [23], the initial high levels of catecholamines 2–3 min post stress are still high [23]. Could they play important roles in the elevated F1+2 levels [Figure 4], at least in the initial stage of recovery from presyncope? Previous reports have shown that increased catecholamines...
are associated with increased coagulation activity [24]. In the future, the time course of recovery of these hormones should be studied more comprehensively using increased frequency of blood collections.

B) The stress response has been reported to be non-specific [25] and leads to net hypercoagulability. The latter is believed to be of survival benefit from the evolutionary point of view, as it limited blood loss in our forefathers during the fight or flight response (discussed in [26]). Perhaps the observation of heightened coagulation, following central hypovolemia induced by graded HUT and LBNP, during recovery is simply a part of the generalized stress response.

Whatever the reason for heightened coagulatory state observed in our study, it is important to remember that hypercoagulable states might predispose susceptible subjects to thrombotic events. While our experiments were carried out in healthy controls, and as such did not trigger thrombotic events or myocardial ischemia, the hypercoagulability during recovery may predispose those with histories of hypertension [27], vascular diseases, and thrombotic events to thrombo-vascular events.

It therefore appears that not only the graded orthostatic stress but also the recovery period is equally important. For instance, Thrall et al. (2007) did a systematic review of the literature for the pathogenesis of acute coronary syndrome and concluded “individuals may be at a greater risk of stress induced thrombogenesis in the period following physical activity” [28]. Our findings of an increased coagulatory state at 20 min of recovery from presyncope may have greater clinical significance than short term procoagulant changes observed during standing [1]. We are not aware of any study that has studied prolonged recovery times following presyncope. Our results are also in agreement with the recent observations that recovery periods from stressors might be more relevant to the development of diseases than the actual stress itself (for details see [29–30]).

Limitations

We found that orthostatic exposure causes prolonged lag times until the onset of platelet aggregation during and after the procedure indicating impaired platelet function. This is contradictory to the assumption that orthostatic stress leads a catecholamine-induced activation of platelets. A possible explanation might be that under the orthostatic stress-induced high shear stress platelets spontaneously activate, secret their products, form aggregates, de-aggregate, and then recirculate as exhausted defective platelets, similar to the findings of Michiels et al. in patients with thrombocytopenia and polycythemia vera [31]. Therefore, the “activation status” of platelet prior, during, and after orthostatic expose should be examined in the future.

Another limitation of our study is that we have no data of the hormone profiles during 20 min post stress. However, previous studies done in our laboratory, using graded HUT + LBNP, have shown that the levels of vasopressin, ACTH are elevated 20 min post stress ([19], see above).

In conclusion, we studied the changes in the coagulation system in humans exposed to hypergravitational stress. Indeed the findings of our study emphasize the importance of the novel physiological mechanism “orthostatic procoagulation” [1] and that, more importantly, the pro-coagulant effect persists for up to 20 min after presyncope, which is the end point of cardiovascular stress. Even though this study was carried out in healthy controls, it could also serve as a warning to patients with high risk factor of thromboembolic events (e.g. those with coronary heart disease, atherosclerosis or hypertensive) to be not exposed to hypergravita-

| Table 2. Effects of HUT/LBNP on catecholamine levels. |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| **Initial supine rest** vs **Presyncope**            |
| Norepinephrine [pg/mL]                               |
| Initial supine rest                                 |
| 193.9±22.4                                          |
| Presyncope                                          |
| 1310.2±96.3****                                    |
| Epinephrine [pg/mL]                                 |
| Initial supine rest                                 |
| 28.2±4.3                                            |
| Presyncope                                          |
| 80.8±9.1****                                        |
| Dopamine [pg/mL]                                    |
| Initial supine rest                                 |
| 15.9±3.2                                            |
| Presyncope                                          |
| 29.1±6.2****                                        |

**…P<0.05; ****…P<0.0001, compared to initial supine rest. Data are presented as mean ± SD.**

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042221.g003
tional stress. Orthostatic stress has to be considered as important risk factor particularly in these patients since coagulation activation occurs not only during but also beyond the termination of the orthostatic challenge.

Future Directions
The markers of thrombin generation (F1+2 and TAT) remained most highly elevated at the end of blood sampling points at 20 min post-LBNP supine rest. As the half-lives F1+2 and TAT are 90 min and 15 min, respectively [32], and our primary goal was not the post-loading time course, we speculate that longer periods of recovery should be assessed in the future.

References
1. Masoud M, Sarig G, Brenner B, Jacob G (2008) Orthostatic hypercoagulability: A novel physiological mechanism to activate the coagulation system. Hypertension 51: 1545–1551.
2. Hitosugi M, Niwa M, Takatsu A (2000) Rheologic changes in venous blood during prolonged sitting. Thromb Res 100: 409–412.
3. Hagan KD, Diaz FJ, Horvath SM (1978) Plasma volume changes with movement to supine and standing positions. J Appl Physiol 45: 414–417.
4. Hinghofer-Szalkay H, Moser M (1986) Fluid and protein shifts after postural changes in humans. Am J Physiol 250: H661–H75.
5. Jacob G, Raj SR, Keitch I, Pavlin B, Biaggioni I, et al. (2005) Postural pseudohyponatremia: posture-dependent change in hematocrit. Mayo Clin Proc 80: 611–614.
6. Jacob G, Ertl AC, Shannon JR, Furlan R, Robertson RM, et al. (1998) Effect of standing on neurohumoral responses and plasma volume in healthy subjects. J Appl Physiol 84: 914–21.
7. Jen C, McIntire LV (1986) Characteristics of shear-induced aggregation in whole blood. J Lab Clin Med 103: 113–124.
8. Basseenge E (1996) Endothelial function in different organs. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 39: 209–228.
9. Lin MC, Almus-Jacobs F, Chen HH, Parry GC, Mackman N, et al. (1997) Shear stress induction of the tissue factor gene. J Clin Invest 99: 737–744.
10. Hinghofer-Szalkay H, Greenleaf JE (1987) Continuous monitoring of blood volume changes in humans. J Appl Physiol 63: 1003–1007.
11. Goswami N, Grasser E, Roessler A, Schneditz D, Hinghofer-Szalkay H (2009) The cardiovascular response to lower body negative pressure in humans depends on seal location. Physiol Res 58: 311–318.
12. Hinghofer-Szalkay H (2011) Gravity, the hydrostatic indifference and the cardiovascular system. Eur J Clin Investig 41: 1147–1151.
13. Goswami N, Roessler A, Hinghofer-Szalkay H, Montani JP, Steptoe A (2012). Delaying orthostatic syncope with mental challenge: A pilot study. Physiol Behavior 106: 569–573.
14. Sorensen B, Johansen P, Christiansen K, Woelke M, Ingerslev J (2003) Whole blood coagulation thrombelastographic profiles employing minimal tissue factor factor interactions, and the etiology of thrombotic and hemorrhagic manifestations in essential thrombocythemia and polycythemia vera. Semin Thromb Hemost 29: 589–604.
15. Hinghofer-Szalkay H, Lackner HK, Roesler A, Narath B, Jantscher A, et al. (2011) Hormonal and plasma volume changes after presyncope. Eur J Clin Investig 41: 1180–1185.
16. Cvirn G, Gallistl S, Kutschera J, Wagner T, Daidone V, Scardini C, et al. (2010) Coagulopathy in Cushings syndrome. Neuroendocrinology 92: 55–59.
17. Thrall G, Lane D, Carroll D, Lip GYH (2007) A systematic review of the effects of non-specific beta-adrenergic stimulation and blockade on blood coagulation in hypertension. J Appl Physiol 94: 1455–1459.
18. Fliep J, Rosenkranz B (1987) Mechanism of vasopressin-induced platelet aggregation. Thromb Res 45: 7–15.
19. Hinghofer-Szalkay H, Lackner HK, Roesler A, Narath B, Jantscher A, et al. (2011) Hormonal and plasma volume changes after presyncope. Eur J Clin Investig 41: 1180–1185.
20. Diels AW, Smid M, Spronk HM, Hamulyak K, Kroon AA, et al. (2005). The prothrombotic paradox of hypertension: role of the rennin-angiotensin and kallikrein-kinin systems. Hypertension 46: 1236–1242.
21. Tremmartino L, Arnoldi G, Appolloni G, Daidone V, Scardini C, et al. (2010) Acute coronary syndromes. Thrombosis Res. 120: 819–847.
22. Filep J, Rosenkranz B (1987) Mechanism of vasopressin-induced platelet aggregation. Thromb Res 45: 7–15.
23. Rossler A, Laszlo Z, Hadnisch B, Hinghofer-Szalkay H (1999) Orthostatic stimuli rapidly change plasma adrenomedullin in humans. Hypertension 34: 1147–1151.
24. Von Kaenel R, Dimsdale JE, Adler KA, Dillon E, Perez CJ, et al. (2003) Effects of non-specific beta-adrenergic stimulation and blockade on blood coagulation in hypertension. J Appl Physiol 94: 1455–1459.
25. Selye H (1936) A syndrome produced by diverse noxious agents. Nature 138: 32.
26. von Kanel R, Mills PJ, Fainman C, Dimsdale JE (2001) Effects of psychological stress and psychiatric disorders on blood coagulation and fibrinolysis: a biobehavioral pathway to coronary artery disease? Psychosom Med 63: 531–544.
27. Wirtz PH, Eliert U, Emini L, Rudisili K, Groessbauer S, et al. (2006) The role of stress hormones in the relationship between resting blood pressure and coagulation activity. J Hypertens 24: 2469–2486.
28. Thrall G, Lane D, Carroll D, Lip GYH (2007) A systematic review of the effects of acute psychological stress and physical activity on haemorheology, coagulation, fibrinolysis and platelet reactivity. Implications for the pathogenesis of acute coronary syndromes. Thrombosis Res 120: 819–847.
29. Goswami N, Lackner HK, Papousek I, Jezova D, Hinghofer-Szalkay H, et al. (2010) Rate of cardiovascular recovery to combined or separate orthostatic and mental challenges. Int J Psychophysiol 75: 54–62.
30. Papousek I, Nauschegg K, Paschter M, Lackner HK, Goswami N, et al. (2010). Trait and state positive affect and cardiovascular recovery from experimental academic stress. Biol Psychol 83: 108–115.
31. Michels J, Bermanman Z, Schroyens W, Finaazi G, Budde U, et al. (2006) The paradox of platelet activation and impaired function: platelet von Willebrand factor interactions, and the etiology of thrombotic and hemorrhagic manifestations in essential thrombocythemia and polycythemia vera. Semin Thromb Hemost 32: 589–604.
32. Bauer KA, Weitz JJ (2001) Laboratory markers of coagulation and fibrinolysis. In: Colman RW, Hirsh J, Marder VJ, Głowes AW, George JN, editors. Hemostasis and thrombosis. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001: 1113–1129.

Acknowledgments
We wish to thank the test subjects for their time and patience.

Author Contributions
Conceived and designed the experiments: GC NG HHS. Performed the experiments: NG AR HHS. Analyzed the data: GC AS BL MK AJ KV. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: GC AS BL MK AJ KV. Wrote the paper: GC NG HHS AR GJ.