Design of a heme-binding peptide motif adopting a β-hairpin conformation
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Heme-binding proteins constitute a large family of catalytic and transport proteins. Their widespread presence as globins and as essential oxygen and electron transporters, along with their diverse enzymatic functions, have made them targets for protein design. Most previously reported designs involved the use of α-helical scaffolds, and natural peptides also exhibit a strong preference for these scaffolds. However, the reason for this preference is not well-understood, in part because alternative protein designs, such as those with β-sheets or hairpins, are challenging to perform. Here, we report the computational design and experimental validation of a water-soluble heme-binding peptide, Pincer-1, composed of predominantly β-scaffold secondary structures. Such heme-binding proteins are rarely observed in nature, and by designing such a scaffold, we simultaneously increase the known fold space of heme-binding proteins and expand the limits of computational design methods. For a β-scaffold, two tryptophan zipper β-hairpins sandwiching a heme molecule were linked through an N-terminal cysteine disulfide bond. β-Hairpin orientations and residue selection were performed computationally. Heme binding was confirmed through absorbance experiments and surface plasmon resonance experiments (KD = 730 ± 160 nM). CD and NMR experiments validated the β-hairpin topology of the designed peptide. Our results indicate that a helical scaffold is not essential for heme binding and reveal the first designed water-soluble, heme-binding β-hairpin peptide. This peptide could help expand the search for and design space to cytoplasmic heme-binding proteins.

Protein folding and protein design are closely related problems and can be viewed as two sides of the same coin. Protein folding addresses which three-dimensional structure a given protein sequence adopts, whereas protein design addresses the inverse problem of determining sequences that adopt a given three-dimensional structure. Taking protein design further, one can ask whether a novel fold not observed in nature can be designed and which sequences would constitute such a fold. Recent reports indicate that the number of unique folds found in the PDB has plateaued and that the discovery of new folds is becoming rarer (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/statistics/content/GrowthChart.do?content=fold-cath).2 With this in mind, the design of a new fold becomes highly challenging. Another, perhaps more important, challenge is to engineer a desired functionality into a given protein fold. Computational methods have proven to be adept in the exploration of these challenges. The field of structural bioinformatics caters to the delineation of sequence–fold–function relationships, which can ultimately help guide protein design. Available methods combine physical chemistry models describing amino acid interactions and protein structure with advanced computational algorithms for a more systematic exploration of the design space. Successful applications of these methods include, but are not limited to, designing new binding sites into existing proteins to generate new functionality (2, 3), engineering new allosteric sites to introduce new regulatory strategies (4, 5), metabolic engineering to biosynthesize small molecules of interest (6, 7), probing protein–protein interactions and manipulating them to alter cellular functions (8, 9), and the design of new therapeutics (10, 11).

Because of the diverse functions of the heme molecule as an electron transporter, oxygen carrier, and enzyme cofactor, heme-binding proteins have consistently attracted the attention of protein designers. Initial design attempts focused on α-helical heme-binding proteins (12–14), in part because of the relative simplicity of designing self-assembling helical bundles. Heme-binding sites were designed inside the interhelical hydrophobic grooves. Examples of such designs are helichrome (15, 16), globin-1 (17), Cy-AA-EK (18), peptides Ila/IId (19) and α2 (20), and transmembrane helical designs (21–23). Later design attempts focused on creating functional heme-binding helical bundles. Oxidoreductases (24, 25), peroxidases (26, 27), electron transport proteins (28), oxygen transport proteins (29), and photosensitive (24) heme-binding proteins have been reported. Design techniques have matured to such an extent that it is now possible to generate entire libraries of heme-binding helical proteins (30–32).

All of the designs described involved the use of α-helical scaffolds. Interestingly, natural peptides also reflect a strong preference for helical scaffolds. 77% of natural heme-binding proteins are helical (14). Even proteins adopting mostly β
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topologies prefer interacting with heme through helical or disordered stretches (33, 34). These observations raise interesting questions about the structure–function relationships of heme-binding proteins. For example, how important is the presence of a helical scaffold for heme binding?

The design of all-β heme–binding proteins can therefore shed light on this question. However, designing β-sheets and β-hairpins has proven to be more complex than the design of α-helical proteins. Unlike α helices (35–37), simple parametric rules for designing all-β proteins do not yet exist. β-Sheets tend to aggregate in aqueous solutions and have a propensity to form insoluble amyloid plaques (38, 39). Further, β sheets have no obvious concavities into which hydrophobic heme-binding sites can be designed. Without these concavities, the placement of hydrophobic residues becomes nontrivial. D’Souza et al. (40) solved the residue placement problem by designing β-hairpins composed almost entirely of hydrophobic residues. As a consequence, the designs were insoluble and had to be characterized in dodecyl phosphocholine micelles.

In this work, we report the design of Pincer-1, a water-soluble heme-binding peptide adopting a β pincer motif (Fig. 1A), created using structural bioinformatics approaches. Pincer-1 was synthesized, and its solubility, heme-binding properties, and structural properties were experimentally verified.

Results

Scarcity of heme-binding all-β motifs in natural proteins

Heme binding proteins adopt predominantly α-helical folds. An analysis of 472 nonredundant (70% sequence similarity cut-off; Text S1 and Fig. S2A) heme-binding proteins obtained from the PDB confirmed this. DSSP (Define Secondary Structure of Proteins) (41) was used for secondary structure assignment, and the largest secondary structure component was used to classify proteins into helix, sheet, or loop folds. 80.3% of heme-binding proteins adopted mostly α-helical folds, confirming previous observations (14). 11.4% adopted β-sheet folds, and 8.3% were predominantly structured as loops. Heme forms coordinate bonds with one or two residues within protein binding sites. We enumerated the heme-coordinating residues’ secondary structures for proteins adopting predominantly β-sheet folds. Here, 49.3%, 26.0%, and 24.7% of these residues belonged to loop, α-helix, and β-motifs, respectively. Therefore, even for proteins composed predominantly of β-sheets, the heme-binding motif displays a strong preference for loop or α-helical secondary structures. Even when β-motifs are present, they are nearly always paired with opposing α-helical or loop motifs to form the heme-binding site. These observations indicate that natural heme-binding proteins display a strong preference against β-sheets both at the fold level and at the binding site level.

Topological design of a heme-binding β pincer motif

Topologically, we envisioned a heme molecule interacting with two β-hairpins (Fig. 1A) linked through an N-terminal Cys–Cys covalent bond. We envisioned a structure where the heme molecule would be clasped between, and stabilized by, the tryptophan-rich hydrophobic faces of two tryptophan zipper (42) hairpins. The heme iron atom would be coordinated between two strategically placed histidine residues on two β-hairpins, resembling the clasping edges of a pincer. A motivating factor for selecting such a topology is that it represents the smallest possible all-β heme-binding motif. All larger motifs (such as those involving β-sheets) are therefore reducible to the minimal pincer motif, making it an excellent model for the study of heme–β interactions.

Computational methods for the generation of an all-atom design

Computational methods were used to optimize β-hairpin placement and binding site mutations, determine peptide-heme steric hindrances, and explore all peptide/ligand rotameric conformations. A workflow listing all of the steps involved in the computational design is provided in Fig. 2. A full description, elaborating each step mentioned in the workflow, is provided in Text S1. Three computational tools were critical for the design of Pincer-1: PocketAnneal, Autodock (43), and
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Figure 2. A workflow depicting all computational steps required for the design of Pincer-1. Completely automated steps are highlighted in gray. Steps requiring manual intervention are colored pink. Experimental steps are colored teal and are described further in the following sections.

Foldit (44). PocketAnneal in particular is a new algorithm and is discussed below.

PocketAnneal is capable of mutating and optimizing binding site residues (pocket) on a protein scaffold to accommodate any given ligand. The PocketAnneal algorithm contains two components: the energy function (AutoDock 4.1 compute_autoDockscore) and the optimization function (simulated annealing). The simulated annealing protocol searches through residue and conformational space to find a good approximation of the AutoDock energetic global minimum. Search space involves sampling all 20 natural amino acids and all side-chain rotamers for a given residue using the Dunbrack's rotamer library (45). Initially, all binding site residues are mutated to alanine. At each iteration, a randomly selected residue is mutated, and the binding site is scored and probabilistically accepted depending on the current temperature of the simulation. Multiple simulations are required to find the closest approximation of the global minimum.

A full description of PocketAnneal, along with its validation on the CSAR (Community Structure–Activity Resource) dataset (46) and against existing methods (47, 48), is provided in Text S1. PocketAnneal’s scripts are provided in Protocol S1 and uploaded to the GitHub repository (https://github.com/1337deeepsh/Pocketanneal). The final all-atom computational model for Pincer-1 is illustrated in Fig. 1B, and PDB coordinates are provided in Text S2. This structure contained the sequence CGSWTWENGKHTWK and was chosen for peptide synthesis and experimental characterization.

Synthesis and preliminary characterization of Pincer-1

Peptide synthesis of Pincer-1 (sequence CGSWTWENGKHTWK, C1–C1 S-S linkage between the two subunits of the dimer) and disulfide linking were performed by GenScript, Inc. The disulfide bond was oxidized by incubating the monomer in 20% DMSO/water for 24 h at ambient temperature. 100 mg of Pincer-1 (dimer) possessed a percentage purity of 96.7%. HPLC chromatograms for both the Pincer-1 monomer (before disulfide linking, Fig. S1A) and the Pincer-1 dimer (after disulfide linking and further purification, Fig. S1C) confirm the purity. Molecular weights (and therefore dimerization state) were confirmed by electrospray ionization MS. The Pincer-1 monomer (Fig. S1B) possessed a molecular mass of 1719.90 Da, whereas the Pincer-1 dimer (Fig. S1D) possessed a molecular mass of 3437.75 Da.

Absorbance experiments reveal characteristic Soret peaks upon Pincer-1–heme interaction

Pincer-1 displayed a red coloration immediately upon addition of heme (Fig. 3A, P1-HEM), strongly indicative of peptide–heme interaction. This interaction was confirmed upon collecting absorption spectra. The Pincer-1 heme complex displayed a characteristic major Soret absorbance peak at 416 nm (γ peak) along with two minor Soret peaks at 536 nm (β peak) and 564 nm (α peak). Such Soret peaks are characteristically found in hemoglobin and the cytochrome family of proteins. Hemoglobin for example, displays γ, β, and α Soret peaks at 412 nm, 541 nm, and 576 nm, respectively (49), whereas cytochrome c displays γ, β, and α Soret peaks at 418 nm, 524 nm, and 554 nm, respectively (50).

In contrast, Pincer-1 itself forms a colorless solution (Fig. 3A, P1), whereas solubilized heme forms a greyish solution (Fig. 3A, HEM). The absorption spectrum for solubilized Pincer-1 displayed no significant peaks in the visible spectrum (Fig. 3B). The absorption spectrum for solubilized heme displayed a broad absorbance peak in the 350- to 400-nm wavelength region (Fig. 3B). In both cases, no characteristic Soret peaks were detected, confirming Soret peak formation only upon Pincer-1–heme interaction.

As a further control, the interaction of BSA (fraction V, PDB code 4OR0) and heme was studied using absorbance scanning experiments. Bovine serum albumin is a 582-residue protein that contains 17 histidine residues. Visually, the BSA–heme solution appeared identical in color to heme alone (Fig. 3A, BSA-HEM). The absorption spectrum revealed that the BSA–heme solution displayed a near-identical spectrum compared with heme alone (Fig. 3B). The broad absorbance peak in the 350- to 400-nm wavelength region remained unaltered, indicating that heme remains in the uncoordinated form.

Pincer-1 displays characteristic Soret peak shifts upon dithionite reduction

Pincer-1 displays Soret peak shifts upon reduction of its heme ligand. Reduction was performed using an excess of sodium dithionite. The time difference between collecting the nonreduced and reduced spectra was 412 s. The major γ Soret peak was red-shifted from 416 nm to 422 nm (Fig. 4A). The β Soret peak displayed a blue shift from 536 nm to 528 nm. The α Soret peak displayed a blue shift from 564 nm to 560 nm. Fur-
ther, the β and α Soret peaks appeared better resolved (Fig. 4B). The changes in Soret peak wavelength, intensity, and resolution observed upon dithionite reduction are characteristic of iron in a hexacoordinated form (51).

**Pincer-1–heme interacts in a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio**

A standard method to determine the stoichiometry of the Pincer-1 heme-binding binding event was employed. A 1:1 stoichiometric ratio was defined as occurring when 1 mol of hematin completely binds to 1 mol of Pincer-1 (consisting of two disulfide-linked β-hairpins). Pincer-1 and heme were chosen as the analyte and titrant, respectively. After every increase in heme concentration, an absorption spectrum was collected (Fig. 5A). 19 such absorption spectra were collected, and the difference in absorbance values at 416 nm and 374 nm was used to create a Job plot (Fig. 5B). From the Job plot, the stoichiometric ratio of heme–Pincer-1 binding was determined to be 0.98:1, which is in very good agreement with the 1:1 stoichiometric ratio expected for our design.

**Surface plasmon resonance experiments confirm and quantify heme binding**

The absorption spectra collected revealed that Pincer-1 binds to heme as designed. Furthermore, Pincer-1 showed all
expected spectral characteristics for a peptide that coordinate-
ly interacts with a heme ligand. The absorption spectra also con-
firmed that Pincer-1 interacts with heme with a stoichiometric
ratio of 1:1, which was expected based on our design para-
ters. Further characterization of the Pincer-1–heme interac-
tion was performed using surface plasmon resonance (SPR) experiments using a standard amine coupling method. An ini-
tial concentration of 1 nM Pincer-1 was immobilized and used
as the ligand, whereas heme was used as the analyte. Heme
concentrations ranging from 500 nM to 10 μM were used against
immobilized Pincer-1.

Surface plasmon resonance experiments were performed in
duplicate. A global fit with the 1:1 Langmuir interaction model
revealed that heme binds to Pincer-1 with an equilibrium dis-
sociation constant ($K_D$) of $730 \pm 160$ nM (Fig. 6). For a de novo
designed binding interaction, this $K_D$ value is very encouraging,
occupying the same $K_D$ range as natural antigen–antibody
interactions.

**CD experiments reveal conformational changes upon heme binding**

CD experiments were performed to understand the second-
ary and tertiary structural characteristics of Pincer-1 in the
heme-bound and unbound forms. CD experiments in the 190-
to 350-nm wavelength range indicated that Pincer-1 maintains
its $\beta$-hairpin structure (Fig. 7A). Unliganded Pincer-1 displays
two intense bands: a single minimum at 212 nm and a single
maximum at 230 nm. These results are very similar to those
reported for Trpzip2 (42), the parent structure of Pincer-1, con-
firming that the $\beta$-hairpin structure remained intact. A mini-
mum at a wavelength of $\sim 215$ nm is characteristic of $\beta$ second-
ary structures, indicating that Pincer-1 retains its designed
topology. A maximum at a wavelength of 230 nm is character-

$^3$ The abbreviations used are: SPR, surface plasmon resonance; TOCSY, total correlated spectroscopy.

**Figure 5. Heme titration assay to determine the stoichiometric ratio of heme Pincer-1 binding.**
A, absorbance scans in the 300–650 nm range during the
titrination of Pincer-1 with heme. B, Job plot used to determine the stoichiometric ratio of heme Pincer-1 binding, based on absorbance data.

**Figure 6. SPR sensograms showing the interactions between immobi-
lized Pincer-1 peptide and heme in a CM5 chip using a Biacore 3000 optical
biosensor.** Multiple concentrations of heme (500 nM to 10 μM) were
allowed to interact with the immobilized peptide. The interaction study was
carried out at a flow rate of 30 μl/min and with an association for 100 s and
dissociation for 200 s. The curves in different colors represent different ana-
lyte (heme) concentrations.

Pincer-1 was titrated against increasing concentrations of
heme (Fig. 7B). In this case, a concentration of 100% heme indi-
cates a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio. Heme was found to stabilize the
$\beta$-hairpin structure at a maximal concentration of 80% heme,
with the structure showing only slight destabilization at a con-
centration of 100% heme. Counterintuitively, increasing con-
centrations of heme caused a decrease in the intensity of the
230-nm band, indicating the destabilization of tryptophan–
tryptophan $\pi$–$\pi$ interactions. Near-UV CD experiments in the
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250- to 350-nm wavelength range (Fig. 7C) were performed to better understand this phenomenon. Upon increasing the concentration of heme, an intense band developed with a minimum at 290 nm, indicating that tryptophan residues adopt an alternate conformation upon heme binding. The exact nature of this new conformation, or the tryptophan residues that participate, cannot be established by CD experiments alone. However, tryptophan residues 4, 6, and 13 could undergo side-chain rotameric shifts to accommodate the heme molecule without greatly affecting the backbone dihedrals.

1D NMR experiments confirm that Pincer-1 forms a paramagnetic complex with heme

1D NMR experiments were performed on Pincer-1 (20 μM) titrated against increasing concentrations of heme (0 → 20 μM, Fig. 8A). Initially, Pincer-1 (20 μM with 0 μM heme) displayed an NMR spectrum with well-resolved shifts in the amide region (7–9 parts per million), strongly indicative of a well-folded peptide.

With increasing concentrations of heme, the NMR spectra displayed shifts and broadening of peaks (Fig. 8, A and B). These effects are characteristic of interference from a paramagnetic center (heme molecule) in close spatial proximity to the nuclei of the Pincer-1 peptide. The observation of paramagnetic interference therefore acts as further confirmation of Pincer-1–heme binding.

As a negative control, we titrated BSA (20 μM) against increasing concentrations of heme (0 → 20 μM). BSA was spectroscopically confirmed to possess no heme-binding ability (Fig. 3). Consequently, the BSA 1D NMR spectra appeared unaltered at the concentrations of heme used (Fig. 8, C and D), confirming that paramagnetic interference in Fig. 8A was caused by Pincer-1–heme interaction and not solely a result of the presence of a paramagnetic compound in solution.

2D NMR experiments confirm the β-hairpin structure of the Pincer-1 monomer

Based on chemical shifts obtained from the 2D ¹H-¹H NOESY and 2D ¹H-¹H TOCSY spectra (Fig. 9A), an NMR structural model was solved using CS-Rosetta (53). We were able to assign 64 ¹H chemical shifts for backbone and side-chain hydrogen atoms for 13 of the 14 Pincer-1 residues, excluding N-terminal cysteine (chemical shifts in the .star format are provided in Text S3). 40,000 CS-Rosetta models for Pincer-1 were then generated from these chemical shifts. The 10 lowest-scoring models appeared to converge, adopting a β-hairpin turn as designed (Fig. 9B, PDB coordinates in Text S4), with a mean backbone root mean square deviation of 0.6 ± 0.3 Å. A Ramachandran plot for the 10 lowest-scoring models confirmed that 100% of residues fell within the allowed regions (Fig. S3).

The best-ranking CS-Rosetta structure was found to be topologically identical to our computationally designed monomeric Pincer-1 model. The β-hairpin structure remained conserved, with residues 4–7, 8–9, and 10–13 adopting β, turn, and β conformations, respectively. Residues 1–3 and 14 at the N-terminal and C-terminal extremes adopted disordered conformations. The CS-Rosetta structure was superposed with the monomeric Pincer-1 model, resulting in a backbone root mean square deviation of 0.9 Å, confirming that both structures are highly similar. Taken together, NMR experiments on unbound Pincer-1 and CD experiments on the Pincer-1–heme complex (Fig. 7B) suggest that the Pincer-1–heme complex adopts the designed β-hairpin topology.

Discussion

The field of protein design is rapidly advancing and is now capable of creating proteins ranging from minimal motifs (54) to full proteins (55, 56) and megadalton-scale ordered nanostructures (57). Heme-binding protein designs have also displayed increasing complexity, with functional designs such as peroxidases (26, 27), oxireductases (24, 25), proteins involved in electron transport (28), and photosensitizing (24) proteins being reported in the literature. Despite such functional diversity, the designed heme-binding proteins have so far been mostly restricted to α-helical topologies. Similar trends are mirrored in natural heme-binding peptides, with the vast majority adopting α-helical topologies (14). Even when proteins adopting β topologies bind to heme, they do so predominantly through helices or disordered regions (33, 34).

These observations led us to pose the following question: how important is the presence of a helical scaffold for heme binding? The design and experimental characterization of Pin-
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cer-1, a computationally designed, water-soluble, heme-binding peptide adopting a \( \beta \)-hairpin topology, helps answer this question. Absorbance experiments, stoichiometric data, SPR experiments, CD, and NMR experiments all confirmed and characterized the structure and heme-binding ability of Pincer-1. It is interesting to note that Pincer-1 possessed a \( K_D \) of 730 ± 160 nM, well in the range of natural antigen–antibody interactions.

The successful design of Pincer-1 demonstrates that a helical scaffold is not essential for heme binding. This leads to interesting questions about the reason for the scarcity of heme-binding \( \beta \)-topologies in structural databases. One reason could be insufficient sampling. Large numbers of such proteins may exist in nature but have not yet been characterized. Alternatively, we would like to consider two evolutionary explanations for this deficit. First, like the genetic code (58), this \( \alpha \)-helical preference could be a frozen accident, with the first heme-binding proteins evolving on \( \alpha \)-helical scaffolds. Secondly, heme-binding \( \beta \)-topologies may be harder to create through the unguided, trial and error nature of evolution. \( \alpha \)-Helical topologies may be favored simply because they develop heme-binding abilities after fewer mutations. Further work would be required to examine the validity of these hypotheses.

In conclusion, our design of a water-soluble, all-\( \beta \), heme-binding motif pushes the limits of our understanding of the characteristics of heme-binding proteins. It should be noted that, although Pincer-1 was neither designed nor observed to be a functional protein, future work involving the incorporation of Pincer-1 into functional designs remains a possibility. Such designs could serve as electron transporters, oxygen transporters, or catalytic sites for future enzyme design applications.

Experimental procedures

Determination of absorption spectra for Pincer-1

To confirm heme binding to Pincer-1 and determine the stoichiometric ratio of binding, the absorption spectra of the Pincer-1–heme complex was collected in the wavelength range of 300 – 650 nm. All absorption spectra were collected on the Tecan Infinite200Pro multimode microplate reader using polystyrene 96-well plates. Stock solutions of Pincer-1 and heme were prepared as follows.
50 μg of Pincer-1 was solubilized in 100 μl of Tris buffer (100 mM Tris set to pH 8), forming a final concentration of 0.5 μg/μl (solution A). The source of heme chosen was porcine hematin (Sigma, H3281-1G), which is the hydroxide of heme. 3.57 mg of hematin was solubilized in 200 μl of Tris/KOH buffer (100 mM Tris (pH 8) and 50 mM KOH). Potassium hydroxide was added to solubilize hematin. This solution served as a 2000-hematin stock (solution B). A 20-fold dilution of solution B was created in Tris buffer (100 mM Tris (pH 6.8)) to be used as the titrant (solution C). 100 μl of solution C was prepared, containing 0.89 μg/μl of hematin.

To determine whether Pincer-1 displays specific Soret peaks (50)(a band, 554–600 nm; b band, 524–532 nm; γ band, 412–439 nm; values for cytochrome a, b, c, and c1) upon heme-binding, we performed four simple absorbance experiments. First, 1 ml of a 1 mg/ml Pincer-1 solution was tested for background peptide absorbance. Second, 1 ml of a 9500 g/ml heme solution was tested for background heme absorbance. Third, 1 ml of a 1 mg/ml Pincer-1 solution containing 9500 g/ml of hematin was tested for characteristic Soret peaks upon heme binding. Fourth, 1 ml of a 1 mg/ml BSA (fraction V) solution containing 95 μg/ml hematin was tested for Soret peak specificity. In all cases, Tris buffer was used (100 mM Tris (pH 6.8)), and 50 μl of each solution was loaded onto a polystyrene 96-well plate and used for absorption spectrum collection (300–650 nm).

To determine whether heme-bound Pincer-1 displays characteristic Soret peak shifts upon dithionite reduction, excess sodium dithionite was added to a mixture of 100 μl of solution A and 5.31 μl of solution C. Absorbance readings were taken as soon as possible.

Determination of the Pincer-1–heme stoichiometric ratio of binding

To determine the stoichiometric ratio of binding, we performed a titration experiment. Here, a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio is defined as the event where 1 mol (651.94 g) of hematin completely binds to 1 mol (3437.76 g) of Pincer-1 (disulfide-bonded). Pincer-1 was chosen as the analyte. Heme was chosen as the titrant. Titration was performed by adding 1 μl of solution C at a time to 100 μl of solution A and recording the absorbance values at a range of 300–650 nm. At each step, the absorbance value at 416 nm (Soret peak maximum) was subtracted from the absorbance value at 374 nm (nonspecific absorbance caused by excess unbound hematin). All such absorbance values were plotted against the immediate stoichiometric ratio to obtain a Job plot. Based on the dilutions used, a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio was expected to be achieved upon addition of 10.62 μl of solution C to 100 μl of solution A.

Determination of the Pincer-1 heme equilibrium dissociation constant using SPR

A standard amine coupling method was used to study the interaction between the designed peptide and heme. The peptide was immobilized on a research-grade CM5 sensor chip (GE Healthcare) precoated with carboxymethyl. Experiments were carried out using a Biacore 3000 optical biosensor (Biacore, Uppsala, Sweden) at 25 °C. The carboxymethyl dextran surface
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on the CM5 sensor chip was first charged by adding N-hydroxy-succinimide (NHS) and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) at a 1:1 ratio, and then 1 nM peptide in 10 mM acetate buffer (pH 4.0) was injected over the activated surface until a response unit above 500 was observed. Blocking of the charged sensor channel to avoid nonspecific binding was carried out by adding 1 M ethanolamine. A similar method was used to activate and deactivate the control channel, except that the peptide was not added in the coupling buffer. Different concentrations of heme (500 nM to 10 μM) in a running buffer containing 1× PBS (pH 7.5) with P20 (0.05%) were passed over each channel, and the response was measured (in response units). The magnitude of response units is proportional to the amount of heme bound to the immobilized peptide. A constant flow rate of 30 μl/min was used to measure the association and dissociation between the ligand and the analyte for a time period of about 5 min. Regeneration of the sensor surface was carried out using 4 M MgCl₂. The signal obtained from the control channel was used to correct for nonspecific binding by subtracting it from the flow channel.

The SPR data were analyzed using the BIA EVALUATION 3.1 software. To monitor the binding between Pincer-1 and heme, kinetic parameters were determined from the sensorgrams using nonlinear curve fitting method with various kinetic models. The best fit was obtained when a one-to-one binding model (Langmuir) was used for curve fitting. The \( K_D \) was obtained from the association (\( K_a \)) and dissociation (\( K_d \)) rate constants as \( K_D = K_a/K_d \). The low \( \chi^2 \) value (>10% \( R_{\text{max}} \)) indicates that the binding parameters obtained are reliable (Fig. S2).

Secondary structure determination and understanding Pincer-1–heme interaction using CD

CD experiments were performed on the Jasco J-810 spectrophotometer using a quartz cuvette with a sample volume of 300 μl and a path length of 1 mm. Both far-UV (200–250 nm) and near-UV (250–350 nm) spectra were collected. All spectra were collected at a 3-nm bandwidth and a 4-s response time. Three technical replicates were performed for each spectrum collected. These technical replicates were averaged and corrected for buffer spectrum. Stock solutions of Pincer-1 and heme were prepared as follows.

For CD experiments, PBS (1× PBS, pH adjusted to 7.5) was used instead of Tris buffer to avoid interference in the far-UV region. 2 ml of a 2.91 mM solution of Pincer-1 was prepared in PBS (solution D). 1 ml of a 29.1 mM heme stock solution was prepared in a PBS/KOH buffer (PBS +50 mM KOH) buffer (solution E). Solution E was diluted 30-fold in PBS buffer, creating 1 ml of a solution of 970 μM heme (solution F).

Samples were prepared, keeping the concentration of Pincer-1 constant while varying the concentration of heme. Six relative saturations of heme were chosen, 0%, 20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, and 100%, where the percentage values indicate the molar concentration of heme relative to that of Pincer-1. For example, a 100% relative concentration indicates that heme and Pincer-1 exist in a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio. For all samples, 10 μl of solution D was used. Six volumes of solution E were used, 0 μl, 6 μl, 12 μl, 18 μl, 24 μl, and 30 μl, corresponding to their respective relative saturation percentages. For all samples, the final volume was made up to 300 μl using PBS before collecting the CD spectra. The final Pincer-1 concentration was 97 μM for all samples.

To ensure that hematin alone did not contribute to CD ellipticity, a control experiment was performed where Pincer-1 was excluded. The resulting hematin–PBS solutions showed negligible ellipticity under the same concentrations and experimental conditions and were used for blank subtraction.

Structural characterization using NMR

The solution 1D \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectra were acquired at 298 K on a Bruker Avance NMR spectrometer operating at a \(^1\text{H}\) resonance frequency of 800 MHz equipped with a cryogenically cooled triple-resonance probe. All NMR data were processed using the TOPSPIN software available on the NMR spectrometers and analyzed using both TOPSPIN and CCPN (59). The samples for NMR titration and other experiments were prepared by adding the required volume of heme from its stock solution to the protein sample and equilibrated prior to NMR measurements. We carried out two titration experiments. First a 500-μl solution of 20 μM unlabeled Pincer-1 peptide in water (90% H₂O, 10% D₂O) was titrated in the NMR tube with heme taken from a stock solution of 20 mM heme in water. The NMR spectra were recorded for five additions of the heme corresponding to 0, 2, 5, 10, 15, and 20 μM heme. The titration was stopped when no further significant changes in spectrum were observed in the 1D spectrum. The second was a titration in which a fresh solution of 500 μl of solution of 20 μM unlabeled BSA was taken in the NMR tube, and heme was gradually added to it from a stock solution 20 mM heme in water. The NMR spectra were recorded for five additions of the heme corresponding to 0, 2, 5, 10, 15, and 20 μM of heme. The experimental time for each of the 1D spectrum (pulse program zgescp) was 32 min, and it was acquired with 8192 complex points and 1024 scans.

For 2D \(^1\text{H}\)-\(^1\text{H}\) NOESY/TOCSY experiments, 1 mM unlabeled Pincer-1 in water (90% H₂O, 10% D₂O) was used. The solution NMR spectra were acquired at 298 K on a Bruker Avance NMR spectrometer operating at a \(^1\text{H}\) resonance frequency of 400 MHz. The 2D \(^1\text{H}\)-\(^1\text{H}\) NOESY spectrum was acquired with 2048 complex points in the directly acquired dimension and 326 complex points in the indirectly acquired dimension. The 2D \(^1\text{H}\)-\(^1\text{H}\) TOCSY (total correlation spectroscopy) spectrum was acquired with 2048 complex points in the directly acquired dimension and 300 complex points in the indirectly acquired dimension. The spectra were processed using the NMRPipe (1) and CcpNmr (version 2.4, release 2) (59) programs to obtain chemical shifts for the peptide monomer. CS-Rosetta (53) was used to construct a structural model based on chemical shift data. 40,000 structures were generated, and the best 10 (possessing the lowest Rosetta score) were selected. A CcpNmr project folder is provided for the 2D NOESY/TOCSY experiments (Protocol S2).
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