An Immunohistochemical Expression of CK5/6, CK7, and CK20 on Cell Blocks in Metastatic Cervical Lymphadenopathy

Abstract

Background: The neck is a common site of both primary and secondary malignancies. Many tumors from the head and neck (oral cavity, larynx, and pharynx), lung, and gastrointestinal tract metastasize to cervical lymph nodes. At most times, tumors are diagnosed by morphology, sometimes it is difficult to diagnose an unknown primary presenting as metastatic lymphadenopathy solely on the basis of morphology. Specific histological cell types can be confirmed by the use of immunohistochemistry. Aim: The present study evaluated the utility of cell block over fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) and immunohistochemical expression of CK5/6, CK7, and CK20 in metastatic cervical lymphadenopathy. Methods: This prospective study design was used on a total of 50 cases. FNAC smears and cell blocks were made in all the cases. All the cell blocks were compared with FNAC findings and further subjected to immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis. The necessary statistical analysis was done. Results and Conclusion: Our study showed that the combined use of the cell block technique and FNAC was more useful and sensitive in diagnosing the metastatic cervical lymph nodes and the accuracy can be further improved by the use of IHC on the cell blocks. The combined use of CK5/6, CK7, and CK20 in metastatic cervical lymphadenopathy is helpful in diagnosing squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma with known/unknown primary sites.
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Introduction

Lymphadenopathy is one of the most common clinical presentations of patients, who attend outdoor clinics in most hospitals. The etiology varies from a mild inflammatory process to malignant conditions. Many tumors from the head and neck (oral cavity, larynx, and pharynx), lung, gastrointestinal tract, and other areas metastasize to cervical lymph nodes. There are different histological types of tumors such as squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), adenocarcinoma, malignant melanoma, and sarcoma that can metastasize to lymph nodes. Many tumors are diagnosed by morphology, at times, it is difficult to diagnose an unknown primary presenting as metastatic lymphadenopathy on the basis of morphology alone.

For an initial diagnosis and management of patients with lymphadenopathy, fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) of lymph node is the most common and widely used investigation due to the early availability of results, simplicity, and minimal trauma. However, it has the disadvantage of false-negative or intermediate/incomplete diagnoses. To overcome these problems, the cell block technique has been resorted to as a corollary investigation. The cell block technique allows the recovery and processing of minute amounts of cytological material and facilitates in better classification of tumors when reviewed along with cytological smears. It is also used as a reliable preparation for immunohistochemical (IHC) studies. Immunohistochemistry involves the detection and localization of antigens or proteins in tissue sections by the use of antibodies that bind specifically to the antigen of interest.

Monoclonal antibodies to specific CK subtypes have been used to classify tumors according to their site of origin. CK5/6 is a high-molecular-weight cytokeratin and expressed in neoplasms of epithelial origin including SCC, mesothelial carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, and squamous cell carcinoma.
and urothelial carcinoma.\textsuperscript{[1]} Similarly, CK20 and CK7 have been used successfully in studies determining the primary location of adenocarcinomas. CK20 is distributed predominantly in carcinomas of the gastrointestinal and pancreatobiliary tracts, urothelium, and mucinous ovarian tumors.\textsuperscript{[2]} CK7 is expressed in a wide variety of epithelia including the lung, breast, endometrium, urothelium, stomach, pancreatobiliary tract, and skin adnexal glands.\textsuperscript{[3]}

The coordinated expression of CK7 and CK20 has been used to determine the site of origin of carcinomas in known and unknown primaries.\textsuperscript{[4,5]}

**Methods**

This study was done on a total of 50 cases of metastatic cervical lymphadenopathy from carcinoma of the known and unknown primaries received in the cytology section of the Department of Pathology and Department of Radiotherapy and Oncology, Government Medical College, Amritsar. All the patients were subjected to FNAC and cytological smears were prepared and the cell blocks were also prepared in all the cases. Cell blocks, thus prepared were further subjected to IHC staining using antibodies for CK7, CK20, and CK5/6. All the procedures and methods used were in accordance with the ethical standards of the Institutional Research Committee.

**Results**

The present study consisted of a total of 50 cases of metastatic cervical lymphadenopathy. The major age group in our study was 60–70 years and the majority of the patients were male (84%). Most (85%) of the malignancies in males were reported as SCC and the rest as adenocarcinoma. In comparison, the female patients reported half the cases as SCC and the other half as adenocarcinomas. Of the total, 30 cases were of various malignancies of known origin and 20 cases were unknown primaries [Table 1]. Malignancies of the head and neck were responsible for the most cervical metastasis in known cases.

On noting the level of the cervical lymph nodes, the maximum number of cases (52%) showed level II lymphadenopathy, followed by level III lymphadenopathy (22%). The malignancies of the head and neck were most commonly associated with level II lymph node involvement, followed by level III lymph node involvement. In cases of carcinoma breast, metastasis was seen in level V lymph node.

As depicted in Table 2, we were able to diagnose SCC on FNAC [Figure 1] alone in 31 out of 50 cases (62%), the percentage of which increased with the use of cell blocks [Figure 2] (70%) and IHC (80%). More importantly, 15 (30%) cases were reported as metastatic carcinomatous deposits (MCD) on FNAC, and eventually by the use of IHC, we were able to categorize all the cases of MCD.

Table 3 illustrates that 10 out of the total cases of unknown primaries were diagnosed on FNAC, followed by three cases on cell block and further seven cases by the use of IHC.

All the cases were analyzed by the use of IHC and all 40 cases of SCC were positive for CK5/6 [Figure 3] and negative for both CK7 [Figure 4] and CK20 [Figure 5]. In 10 cases of adenocarcinoma, CK7 was positive in all cases but CK20 came out positive in seven cases.

The intensity and quantity scores of all three markers were noted in all cases. As understandable from Table 4, CK5/6 intensity was moderate to strong in almost all cases, and in most cases (29 out of 40), more than 50% of the cells were positive. In adenocarcinoma cases, CK7 was moderately positive in all cases (10) and CK20 staining was weak to moderate.

Quantity score: 0 – no staining; 1 – 1%–10% of cells; 2 – 11%–50% of cells; 3 – 51%–80% of cells; and 4 – 81%–100% of cells.

**Table 1: Suspected primary malignancy**

| Site               | n (%) |
|--------------------|-------|
| Ca larynx          | 8 (16) |
| Ca tongue          | 7 (14) |
| Ca oral mucosa     | 4 (8)  |
| Ca supraglottis    | 4 (8)  |
| Ca parotid         | 2 (4)  |
| Ca breast          | 2 (4)  |
| Ca hypopharynx     | 1 (2)  |
| Ca lower lip       | 1 (2)  |
| Ca pharynx         | 1 (2)  |
| Unknown            | 20 (40)|
| Total              | 50 (100)|

**Table 2: Diagnosis on the basis of investigation modality**

| Diagnosis       | FNAC | Cell block | IHC |
|-----------------|------|------------|-----|
| SCC, n (%)      | 31 (62)| 35 (70)    | 40 (80)|
| Adenocarcinoma  | 4     | 4          | 10   |
| MCD             | 15    | 11         | 0    |
| Total           | 50    | 50         | 50   |

SCC: Squamous cell carcinoma; MCD: Metastatic carcinomatous deposits; FNAC: Fine-needle aspiration cytology; IHC: Immunohistochemistry

**Table 3: Diagnosis of cases with unknown primary**

|          | SCC | Adenocarcinoma | Total |
|----------|-----|----------------|-------|
| Diagnosed on FNAC | 7   | 3              | 10    |
| Diagnosed on cell block | 3 | 0              | 3     |
| Diagnosed on IHC     | 3   | 4              | 7     |
| Total                | 13  | 7              | 20    |

SCC: Squamous cell carcinoma; FNAC: Fine-needle aspiration cytology; IHC: Immunohistochemistry
Table 4: Immunohistochemistry intensity score and quantity score

| Score | CK5/6 Quantity | CK5/6 Intensity | CK7 Quantity | CK7 Intensity | CK20 Quantity | CK20 Intensity |
|-------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 0     | 10             | 10             | 40           | 40            | 43            | 43            |
| 1     | 0              | 1              | 0            | 0             | 2             | 5             |
| 2     | 11             | 27             | 7            | 10            | 5             | 2             |
| 3     | 19             | 12             | 3            | 0             | 0             | 0             |
| 4     | 10             | -              | 0            | -             | 0             | -             |
| Total | 50             | 50             | 50           | 50            | 50            | 50            |

Intensity score: 0 – No staining, 1 – Weak staining, 2 – Moderate staining, 3 – Intense staining. Quantity score: 0 – No staining, 1 – 1%-10% of cells, 2 – 11%-50% of cells, 3 – 51%-80% of cells, 4 – 81%-100% of cells. CK: Cytokeratin

Discussion

Lymph nodes are the most common sites of metastatic tumor and sometimes constitute the first clinical manifestation of the disease. The asymptomatic enlargement of one or more cervical lymph nodes in an adult has at least an 85% chance of being malignant and of all the malignancies about 70% of metastases are from primary head-and-neck cancers.

The present study was aimed to access the utility of cell blocks in increasing the cytodagnosis of fine-needle aspirates and to compare the data with the cytological smears. Further, this study applied IHC markers (CK7, CK20, and CK5/6) on the cell blocks to see the expression and their diagnostic utility in known/unknown primary in metastatic cervical lymphadenopathy.

In our study, 40% (20 out of 50) of cases had an unknown primary location and just presented with neck lymph node metastasis. The maximum cases (16%) belonged to carcinoma larynx as their primary malignancy in all of the patients in which the primary location was known followed by carcinoma tongue in 14% of the cases. Various studies found the oropharynx or oral cavity as the primary site of malignancy in case of metastatic cervical lymphadenopathy. Naeimi M et al. found in their study that the larynx and hypopharynx were the most common source of metastatic cervical lymphadenopathy which is in concordance with the results in our study.

Analyzing the results of our study, a final diagnosis of SCC, whether on FNAC, cell block H and E examination, or IHC, was made in the majority (80%) of the cases, and diagnosis of adenocarcinoma was made in the rest (20%). Mangal et al. found in their study that 86% of metastatic lymphadenopathy was of squamous cell type arising chiefly from the oropharyngeal region which was corroborated by another study done by Alam et al. which found that 67.87% of the total cases were of SCC and 9.04% were adenocarcinomas.

On evaluating the sensitivity and diagnostic accuracy of both the investigation modalities, it was observed that the use of cell block was a superior technique to FNAC in the diagnosis of SCC [Table 5]. The results of our study in comparing the investigation techniques are comparable to the other studies in the literature on the diagnosis of SCC. In cases of adenocarcinoma, both the techniques are comparable as both sensitivity (40%) and diagnostic accuracy (88%) are the same in both. This might be attributed to the fact that the number of cases of adenocarcinoma in our study was very less in number.

As discussed and concluded above, cell block microscopy yields a higher degree of morphological diagnosis in comparison to FNAC, besides its diagnostic accuracy can be enhanced further by the use of IHC to reach a definitive diagnosis of the histological type of metastatic carcinoma. In the present study, all the cases were subjected to IHC analysis for expression of CK5/6, CK7, and CK20.

On observing the quantity score [Table 4], the maximum number (73%) of cases of SCC had quantity scores of 3 and 4 (moderate-to-strong intensity). The results of our study are comparable to the study done by Kaufmann et al. which demonstrated a high expression of CK5/6 in 81% of SCCs that were included in their study, with an intense immunoeexpression, which was diffuse in the majority of the tumoral cells. Similarly, Rahman et al. found in their study that CK5/6 was expressed in 90.9% of the SCC cases.

CK5/6 expression was observed in all the cases of SCC included in our study (sensitivity 100%). This finding is in line with the results of a study which reported that CK5/6 is detected in 100% of all the SCC. Another study conducted on metastatic SCCs also reported that CK5/6 has 100% sensitivity.

On the basis of the heterogeneity of CK7 and CK20 expression in malignant epithelial tumors, the CK7/CK20 expression has served as a useful diagnostic tool for the discrimination of primary and metastatic carcinomas of unknown origin.

On studying the patterns of CK7 expression in the present study, it was found to be positive in all the 10 cases (100% sensitivity) diagnosed with adenocarcinoma with more than 11% of cells being positive (quantity score of 2) and staining with moderate intensity (intensity score of 2). A study done by Chu and Weiss found in their study that
CK7 shows diffuse cytoplasmic positivity in the majority of adenocarcinoma cases.\textsuperscript{[17]} According to Alwahaibi \textit{et al.}, CK7 has a high sensitivity (91.7\%) and it was very good in predicting the positive cases as the positive predictive value (PPV) showed 95.6\%.\textsuperscript{[15]} Another study done by Kim \textit{et al.} reported that CK7 was expressed in 96.6\% of all the total gastric carcinoma specimens.\textsuperscript{[18]}

The present study also noted the expression pattern of CK20 and it came out positive in only seven cases of adenocarcinoma (sensitivity of 70\% with PPV of 100\%). Majority of the cases were weak positive with a quantity score of 2 (11\%–50\% of cells). In the literature, CK20 sensitivity and specificity is variable and is dependent on the site of malignancy. According to Bayrak \textit{et al.}, CK20 reactivity was found in 84\% of colorectal carcinomas, 53\% of gastric carcinomas, and only 22\% of pancreatic adenocarcinomas.\textsuperscript{[19]}

The coordinated expression of CK7 and CK20 has been used to determine the site of origin of carcinomas.\textsuperscript{[4,5]} Each immunophenotype is associated with a group of epithelial neoplasms. A review article by Selvés \textit{et al.} while focusing on carcinomas of uncertain origin states that these malignancies can be separated into four main diagnostic groups: (1) CK7/CK20–, (2) CK7/CK20+, (3) CK7–/CK20+, and (4) CK7/CK20.\textsuperscript{[20]} As shown in Table 6, the malignancies included in our study showed two types of IHC patterns, CK7+/CK20+ and CK7+/CK20–.

Evidently, CK7+/CK20+ pattern was seen in 7 out of 10 cases (70\%) of adenocarcinoma included in the study and out of which five cases were of unknown primary. Majority of the adenocarcinoma cases were weekly positive with a quantity score of 2. Roh and Hong found in their study that CK 20 was positive in adenocarcinoma cases presenting with metastatic carcinomas of the unknown primary site and it should be the first choice as a component of antibody panel to prove or exclude the Gastrointestinal Tract (GIT) origin.\textsuperscript{[21]}

Of the known primaries of adenocarcinoma, we reported only one case of parotid adenocarcinoma which had moderate positivity for CK7 and CK20. Many studies in the literature including a study done by Chu and Weiss reported that malignant salivary gland tumors have a typical CK7+/CK20– profile.\textsuperscript{[17]} However, a study done by Nikitakis \textit{et al.} reported on their observation that although overall 92.9\% of malignant salivary gland tumors were characterized by a CK7+/CK20– immunoprofile but the remaining 7.1\% of cases were positive for both the cytokeratins.\textsuperscript{[22]}

### Table 5: Comparison of fine-needle aspiration cytology and cell block microscopy

|                | SCC          | Adenocarcinoma |
|----------------|--------------|----------------|
|                | FNAC         | Cell block     | FNAC         | Cell block     |
| Sensitivity (%)| 77.5         | 87.50          | 40           | 40             |
| Specificity (%)| 100          | 100            | 100          | 100            |
| PPV (%)        | 100          | 100            | 100          | 100            |
| NPV (%)        | 52.63        | 66.67          | 86.96        | 86.96          |
| Accuracy (%)   | 82           | 90             | 88           | 88             |

SCC: Squamous cell carcinoma; FNAC: Fine-needle aspiration cytology; PPV: Positive predictive value; NPV: Negative predictive value

### Table 6: Immunohistochemistry expression pattern in adenocarcinoma

|                | CK7+/CK20+   | CK7+/CK20–   | Total |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Known primary cases | 2            | 1            | 3     |
| Unknown primary cases | 5            | 2            | 7     |
| Total cases       | 7            | 3            | 10    |

CK: Cytokeratin
Wang *et al.* showed that the application of both CK7 and CK20 to the epithelial tumors aids in the differential diagnosis by narrowing the consideration of the organ of origin to a few primary sites.[4]

Although in the present study, we could not do the follow-up of the patients diagnosed with metastasis with an unknown primary; these two immunoprofiles should be further investigated to narrow down the primary site of malignancy in cases of metastatic lymphadenopathy.

As a coordinate expression of CK7 and CK20 defines the subsets of carcinomas, the next step will be to determine the likely primary site based on their expression profiles.

A study done by Lin and Liu proposed that once the CK7/CK20 expression profile is established, complementary organ-specific antibodies allow refinement or more precise guidance toward the origin of carcinoma of unknown primary.[23]

The staining pattern for CK7 and CK20 gives an overall indication but has some limitations as many cancers can have variable CK7 and CK20 profiles.[24]

**Conclusion**

The current study showed that the combined use of the cell block technique and FNAC was more useful and sensitive in diagnosing the metastatic cervical lymph nodes and the accuracy can be further improved by the use of IHC on the cell blocks. For the diagnosis of SCC, a single IHC marker CK5/6 can be used which has high sensitivity and specificity. The combined use of CK7 and CK20 can be very helpful in diagnosing adenocarcinomas on cell blocks. The present study also recommends a systematic approach with the further use of organ-specific immunomarkers to aid in the diagnosis of metastatic malignancies of unknown primaries. Further, despite advances in imaging and IHC, unknown primaries still cannot be categorized and newer molecular biology techniques should be used to complement IHC.

**Ethical clearance**

Study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee vide letter no. 5757-58/D-26 dated 27th February 2019.

**Financial support and sponsorship**

Nil.

**Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

**References**

1. Chu PG, Weiss LM. Expression of cytokeratin 5/6 in epithelial neoplasms: An immunohistochemical study of 509 cases. Mod Pathol 2002;15:6-10.
2. Moll R, Löwe A, Lauffer J, Franke WW. Cytokeratin 20 in human carcinomas. A new histodiagnostic marker detected by monoclonal antibodies. Am J Pathol 1992;140:427-47.
3. Van Niekerk CC, Jap PH, Ramackers FC, van de Molengraaf F, Poels LG. Immunohistochemical demonstration of keratin 7 in routinely fixed paraffin-embedded human tissues. J Pathol 1991;165:145-52.
4. Wang NP, Zee S, Zarbo RJ, Bacchi CE, Gown AM. Coordinate expression of cytokeratins 7 and 20 defines unique subsets of carcinomas. Appl Immunohistochem 1995;3:99-107.
5. Blumenfeld W, Turi GK, Harrison G, Latuszynski D, Zhang C. Utility of cytokeratin 7 and 20 subset analysis as an aid in the identification of primary site of origin of malignancy in cytologic specimens. Diag Cytopathol 1999;20:63-6.
6. Didolkar MS, Fanous N, Elias EG, Moore RH. Metastatic carcinomas from occult primary tumors. A study of 254 patients. Ann Surg 1977;186:625-30.
7. Prasad P, Kokijwar P. Metastatic cervical lymphadenopathy: A clinical and pathological study. J Sci 2015;5:911-4.
8. Mangal K, Tripathi L, Gaur R. Spectrum of metastatic cervical lymphadenopathy on fine needle aspiration cytology in greater Gwalior region: A 6 month prospective study. Glob J Res Anal 2016;5:129-30.
9. Nacimi M, Sharifi A, Erfanian Y, Velayati A, Izadian S, Golparvar S. Differential diagnosis of cervical malignant lymphadenopathy among Iranian patients. Saudi Med J. 2009;30:377-81.
10. Alam K, Maheshwari V, Haider N, Siddiqui F, Jain A, Khan A. Fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC), a handy tool for metastatic lymphadenopathy. Internet J Pathol 2010;10:2.
11. Basnet S, Talwar OP. Role of cell block preparation in neoplastic lesions. J Pathol Nepal 2012;2:272-6.
12. Patil DR, Mahore DS, Kolhe DH, Bothale DK, Gowdghan DV, Taori DH, et al. Cell block technique: An effective tool in diagnostic cytopathology. IOSR J Dent Med Sci 2016;15:99-106.
13. Kaufmann O, Fietze E, Mengs J, Dietel M. Value of p63 and
cytokeratin 5/6 as immunohistochemical markers for the differential diagnosis of poorly differentiated and undifferentiated carcinomas. Am J Clin Pathol 2001;116:823-30.
14. Rahman MA, Nada NA, Zalata KR, El Badrawy MK, El Salkh IM, Hamid AA. Role of minimal panel immunostaining in accurate diagnosis of lung cancer using small biopsies. Egypt J Chest Dis Tuberc 2017;66:307-12.
15. Alwahaibi N, Alghallabi A, Alsinawi S, Aldairi N. Cytological smear and cell block versus tissue biopsies in the diagnosis of malignant tumors in non-gynaecologic specimens. Ethiop J Health Sci 2018;28:583-8.
16. Gurda GT, Zhang L, Wang Y, Chen L, Geddes S, Cho WC, et al. Utility of five commonly used immunohistochemical markers TTF-1, Napsin A, CK7, CK5/6 and P63 in primary and metastatic adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma of the lung: A retrospective study of 246 fine needle aspiration cases. Clin Transl Med 2015;4:16.
17. Chu P, Wu E, Weiss LM. Cytokeratin 7 and cytokeratin 20 expression in epithelial neoplasms: A survey of 435 cases. Mod Pathol 2000;13:962-72.
18. Kim MA, Lee HS, Yang HK, Kim WH. Cytokeratin expression profile in gastric carcinomas. Hum Pathol 2004;35:576-81.
19. Bayrak R, Halats H, Venidunya S. The value of CDX2 and cytokeratin 7 and 20 expression in differentiating colorectal adenocarcinomas from extraintestinal gastrointestinal adenocarcinomas: Cytokeratin 7/20-phenotype is more specific than CDX2 antibody. Diagn Pathol 2012;7:9.
20. Selves J, Long-Mira E, Mathieu MC, Rochaix P, Ilie M. Immunohistochemistry for diagnosis of metastatic carcinomas of unknown primary site. Cancers (Basel) 2018;10:108.
21. Roh MS, Hong SH. Utility of thyroid transcription factor-1 and cytokeratin 20 in identifying the origin of metastatic carcinomas of cervical lymph nodes. J Korean Med Sci 2002;17:512-7.
22. Nikitakis NG, Toxisos KI, Papanikolaou VS, Rivera H, Papanikolaou SI, Ioffe OB. Immunohistochemical expression of cytokeratins 7 and 20 in malignant salivary gland tumors. Mod Pathol 2004;17:407-15.
23. Lin F, Liu H. Immunohistochemistry in undifferentiated neoplasm/tumor of uncertain origin. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2014;138:1583-610.
24. Chu PG, Weiss LM. Keratin expression in human tissues and neoplasms. Histopathology 2002;40:403-39.