Syntabulin-mediated anterograde transport of mitochondria along neuronal processes
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Introduction

The proper intracellular distribution of mitochondria is crucial for the normal physiology of neurons. In addition to playing an essential role in the aerobic production of ATP, mitochondria regulate local Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations in some nerve terminals (Werth and Thayer, 1994); this mitochondria-mediated Ca\(^{2+}\) buffering at synapses has been implicated in certain forms of synaptic plasticity (Zucker, 1999). Disruption of normal mitochondrial function is believed to be responsible for excitotoxic injury and many neurodegenerative diseases (Raha and Robinson, 2000; Sawa, 2001; Swerdlov and Kish, 2002). Mitochondria accumulate near active growth cones of developing neurons (Morris and Hollenbeck, 1993), and invariably are present within the synaptic terminals (Shepherd and Harris, 1998; Rowland et al., 2000). The loss of mitochondria from axon terminals in the *Drosophila miltion* mutant resulted in defective synaptic transmission (Stowers et al., 2002). Dendritically distributed mitochondria play an essential role for the support of synapse density and plasticity (Li et al., 2004). Mitochondria in the cell bodies of neurons are transported down the neuronal processes in response to changes in the local energy state and metabolic demand (Hollenbeck, 1996). Because of their extreme polarity, neurons require specialized mechanisms to regulate the transport, targeting, and retention of mitochondria at specific subcellular locations. Thus, efficient control of mitochondrial distribution and transport in response to cellular processes and stimuli is essential for neuronal development and synaptic function.

Mitochondria undergo saltatory and bidirectional movements through a combination of dynamic events, which inevitably results in slow net movement at instantaneous velocities of 0.3–2.0 \(\mu\)m sec\(^{-1}\) (Allen et al., 1982; Hollenbeck, 1996; Ligon and Steward, 2000). Disruption of axonal transport, which can occur when specific motor proteins are disrupted and which is found in some neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer’s and Huntington’s diseases (Hurd and Saxton, 1996; Gunawardena and Goldstein, 2001; Gunawardena et al., 2003), results in a nonuniform and low-density distribution of mitochondria within the axon. The kinesin family of molecular motors is responsible for anterograde transport of axonal mitochondria, whereas members of the cytoplasmic dynein family are the driving force behind retrograde movement (Hollenbeck, 1996; Ligon and Steward, 2000). Although the need for multiple kinesins in axonal transport of mitochondria is unclear, KIF5B (kinesin-1 heavy chain; KHC) is believed to be a key molecular motor for driving anterograde mitochondrial movement in neurons. However, how these motors interact dynamically with mitochondrial membranes, and how trafficking components, including molecular motors, linkers (or adaptors),
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and receptors of mitochondria, are assembled into transport machinery are poorly understood.

Syntabulin is a newly identified syntaxin-binding protein that links syntaxin-containing vesicles to KHC, and thus, mediates the transport of syntaxin to the neuronal processes (Su et al., 2004). Here, we report that syntabulin also associates with mitochondria in vivo and links these organelles to KIF5B. This association mediates mitochondrial trafficking along axonal processes, and consequently, contributes to proper distribution of mitochondria in neurons.

Results

Syntabulin and mitochondria colocalize and co-migrate along neuronal processes

We previously identified syntabulin as a linker molecule that attaches syntaxin-1 cargoes to KHC, which enables the transport of syntaxin-1 to neuronal processes. Our immunocytochemical studies demonstrated that the staining pattern of endogenous syntabulin appeared as vesicular-tubular shapes of different sizes along the processes of cultured hippocampal neurons (Su et al., 2004). This suggested that syntabulin might play a general role in connecting the motor protein KIF5B to its transport cargoes or organelles. Mitochondria represent the major class of organelles transported by KIF5B (Tanaka et al., 1998), and therefore, we asked if syntabulin functions as an adaptor for mitochondrial trafficking in neurons. To address this question, we examined their localizations in cultured hippocampal neurons at day in vitro (DIV) 10. Immunostaining with antibodies against syntabulin and the mitochondrial marker, cytochrome c, showed that most mitochondria colocalized precisely with syntabulin (Fig. 1); this indicated an association of syntabulin with mitochondria in vivo. In addition, many syntabulin vesicular and vesicular-tubular structures are not associated with mitochondria, which suggests that syntabulin also is present in other classes of transport vesicles; possibilities include those carrying syntaxin cargoes within neuronal processes (Su et al., 2004). To determine whether syntabulin associates with mitochondrial movements, we used time-lapse confocal microscopy in living hippocampal neurons that were cotransfected with EGFP-STB (syntabulin) and DsRed-mitoTracker. EGFP-STB structures were colocalized with mitochondria along axonal processes, which together, migrated in the anterograde direction toward extending growth cones (Fig. 2).

Syntabulin is a membrane-associated protein that targets to mitochondria through its carboxyl-terminal tail

To test further whether syntabulin associates with mitochondria, we prepared mitochondria-enriched fractions from rat forebrain using Percoll gradient centrifugation. Immunoblotting of the solubilized mitochondrial fraction demonstrated that syntabulin and the mitochondrial markers, cytochrome c and TOM20, were enriched in mitochondrial fractions relative to whole brain homogenates (Fig. 3 A). However, enrichment of syntabulin is low compared with mitochondrial markers, which is consistent with our findings that syntabulin also is present in other classes of transport vesicles, including syntaxin cargoes (Su et al., 2004). In contrast, there were no detectable protein markers of nonmitochondrial organelles and membrane compartments, including synaptophysin (synaptic vesicles), syntaxin-1 and SNAP-25 (synaptic plasma membrane), p115 (Golgi), EEA1 (endosomes), and Grp78 (ER) in the preparation; this was an in-

Figure 1. Endogenous syntabulin colocalizes with mitochondria in the somata and processes of cultured hippocampal neurons. (A–C) Low-density hippocampal cultures at DIV10 were coimmunostained with affinity-purified antibodies against mitochondrial marker cytochrome c (red, B) and syntabulin (green, C). (A) The image is shown in a merged differential interference contrast. (A–C) Close-up of the boxed region from A–C. Syntabulin staining appeared as elongated vesicular-tubular structures and many of these colocalized with mitochondria in neuronal somata and neuritic processes (white arrows). Bar in (A), 10 μm.
dication of the purity of our mitochondrial preparation. To confirm further that syntabulin is associated with mitochondria, we immunoisolated mitochondrial organelles from the mitochondrial-enriched fraction by magnetic beads (Dynabeads) that were coated with the antibody against TOM20, a mitochondrial outer membrane protein. Our results demonstrated that syntabulin is associated specifically with these purified mitochondria (Fig. 3 B).

To define the nature of syntabulin’s association with mitochondria, we performed Triton X-114 phase partitioning of syntabulin from mitochondrial-enriched membrane fractions. As shown in Fig. 3 C, syntabulin, along with the peripherally associated cytochrome c, was present exclusively in the aqueous phase after Triton X-114 phase separation. In contrast, TOM20, an integral membrane protein of mitochondria, was retained in the detergent phase following Triton X-114 phase partitioning. Our biochemical data—taken together with the evidence of the colocalization of endogenous syntabulin with mitochondria in neurons (Fig. 1), mitochondrial-targeting of exogenously expressed EGFP-STB (Fig. 2), and the exclusive presence of syntabulin in the aqueous phase after Triton X-114 phase partitioning—led us to conclude that syntabulin is a peripheral membrane-associated protein of mitochondria.

To determine the sequence that is required for syntabulin targeting to mitochondria, we constructed truncated mutants of syntabulin and cotransfected these mutants with DsRed-mitotracker into cultured hippocampal neurons. EGFP-STB (600–663), which encodes the carboxyl-terminal tail of syntabulin, precisely colocalized with DsRed-mitotracker–labeled mitochondria (Fig. S1 A; available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200506042/DC1) and was sufficient to target to mobile mitochondria along processes of living neurons (Video 1; available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200506042/DC1).
Knockdown of syntabulin reduces mitochondrial distribution in neuronal processes

To address whether syntabulin is involved in the distribution of mitochondria within neuronal processes, we reduced syntabulin expression with a syntabulin-targeted small interfering siRNA (stb-siRNA). Six 21-nt sequences specific to syntabulin were tested extensively and rigorously under multiple controls in our previous studies. One, which corresponds specifically to amino acid residues 101–107 of syntabulin, was selected for the current study because it was able to knockdown, efficiently and specifically, endogenous syntabulin in hippocampal neurons and exogenously expressed syntabulin in COS-7 cells (Su et al., 2004). Scrambled siRNA that was not homologous to any sequence in GenBank was used as a negative control. Developing neurons at DIV4–5 were cotransfected with DsRed-mitotracker and stb-siRNA or control siRNA. A cGFP marker encoded in the siRNA vector allowed us to trace the transfected neurons and visualize neuronal morphology. Mitochondrial distributions were examined by imaging cGFP fluorescence and DsRed-labeled mitochondria in neurons 4 or 5 d after transfection. At this stage of development, mitochondria were abundant and clustered in soma and large proximal dendrites, but were separated from each other in axons and smaller dendrites. In contrast to the abundant and uniform distribution of mitochondria in processes of cells that were transfected with a control siRNA (Fig. 4 A), knockdown of syntabulin by stb-siRNA resulted in a significantly lower density of mitochondria in the distal regions of processes (Fig. 4 B).

We next estimated the relative mitochondrial density in the main processes (see Discussion) by three quantitative methods. Fluorescence intensity of DsRed-mitotracker in processes was measured as the mean intensity of selected areas, and was expressed in arbitrary units per square unit area of process (Fig. 4 C). The relative density of mitochondria was calculated further by determining the number of mitochondrial clusters (Fig. 4 D) or relative mitochondrial area (Fig. 4 E) per μm length of process; these were automatically calculated and scored based on the fluorescence intensity profile. Transfection of neurons with stb-siRNA revealed a significant reduction in mitochondrial density within processes by all three quantitative methods; this indicated that syntabulin is required for proper mitochondrial distribution in neuronal processes.

However, EGFP-STB (1–604), which lacks the carboxyl-terminal sequence, appeared as small vesicular structures, most of which did not associate with mitochondria within neuronal processes (Fig. S1 B). Furthermore, EGFP-STB (1–604) colocalized with endogenous Bassoon and DsRed–syntaxin-1 vesicles in cultured hippocampal neurons (unpublished data). This suggested that although the carboxyl-terminal sequence is not required for syntabulin to target to syntaxin cargoes, it is critical for mitochondrial targeting. Altogether, our biochemical and cell biologic results support our assumption that syntabulin attaches to different membrane organelles and transport cargoes by way of distinct targeting sequences.
The STB–KHC interaction is required for trafficking of mitochondria to neuronal processes

KHC consists of two functional parts: a head with a motor domain and a tail, which interacts with cargo directly or indirectly through light chains (Diefenbach et al., 1998; Gunawardena and Goldstein, 2004). Our previous biochemical studies identified a specific syntabulin interaction with the COOH-terminal tail of KHC in vitro, and expression of the KHC COOH-terminal tail (cargo-binding domain, CBD) impaired trafficking of syntabulin vesicular structures in neuronal processes (Su et al., 2004). To characterize further the interaction of syntabulin with KHC, we performed in vitro binding studies with truncated mutants of syntabulin. Our data showed that a segment from amino acid residues 145–230, which does not overlap with the syntaxin-binding domain (310–417) (Su et al., 2004), is required for binding to KHC-CBD (Fig. S2 A; available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200506042/DC1). It is able to block formation of the STB-CBD complex in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. S2 B), and thus, was named as the KHC-binding domain (KBD) of syntabulin.

To examine the potential role of STB–KHC interaction in mitochondrial distribution, we interfered with the STB–KHC interaction by expressing the KBD in neurons and observing mitochondrial distribution. Hippocampal neurons at DIV5 were cotransfected with DsRed-mitotracker and expression vector encoding GFP control (A), GFP-STB-NT (B), GFP-STB-KBD (C), or GFP-KHC-CBD (D). Mitochondrial distribution in the neuronal processes was examined by imaging GFP fluorescence (top panels) and DsRed-mitochondria (bottom panels) 48–72 h after transfection. Neurons transfected with GFP-KHC-CBD or GFP-STB-KBD showed a marked reduction in the density of mitochondria along the neuronal processes relative to that of neurons transfected with GFP or GFP-STB-NT. Bars, 10 μm. [E–G] Quantification of the relative mitochondrial density in neuronal processes. Relative mitochondrial density was expressed as the fluorescence mean intensity of DsRed-mitotracker per square unit area of process of transfected neurons (E), number of mitochondrial clusters (F), or relative mitochondrial area (G) per μm of process length of transfected neurons. All images were collected under the same settings below saturation and from 15 neurons for each group in three independent experiments. Total process length measured was 4111.7 μm (GFP), 4148 μm (GFP-STB-NT), 3963.74 μm (GFP-STB-KBD), or 3053.97 μm (GFP-KHC-CBD). Histograms indicate mean ± SEM. *P < 0.01; **P < 0.002; ***P < 0.001 relative to GFP controls by t test.
significantly lower relative to that in neurons that were transfected with GFP.

To evaluate the relative distribution of mitochondria, we compared the mean fluorescence intensity of DsRed-mitoTracker in the processes of transfected neurons. Expression of the CBD or the KBD significantly reduced the mean intensity of DsRed-mitoTracker in neuronal processes (CBD: 5.69 ± 0.43, P < 0.001; KBD: 6.26 ± 0.67, P < 0.002) compared with that from GFP-transfected neurons (10.629 ± 0.101) (Fig. 5 D). We assessed mitochondrial density further in terms of the number of mitochondrial clusters (Fig. 5 E) and relative mitochondrial area (µm length process). Exogenous expression of the KBD or the CBD markedly reduced the number of mitochondrial clusters/µm length of process (CBD: 0.12 ± 0.02, P < 0.01; KBD: 0.11 ± 0.01, P < 0.001; GFP: 0.21 ± 0.03) and relative mitochondrial area/µm length of process (CBD: 2.50 ± 0.33, P < 0.001; KBD: 2.29 ± 0.25, P < 0.001; EGFP: 5.44 ± 0.62). However, relative distribution of mitochondria within processes after expression of GFP-STB-NT (1–80) showed no significant difference compared with that of mitochondria found in GFP control neurons. This suggested that the striking change in mitochondrial distribution following disruption of the STB–KHC interaction by CBD or KBD expression is specific.

Syntabulin mediates anterograde, but not retrograde, movement of mitochondria along axonal processes

One explanation for the observed reduction in mitochondrial density in neuronal processes is a defect in the microtubule (MT)-based trafficking machinery that normally delivers mitochondria by a complex interplay of anterograde and retrograde movements over long distances (Jellali et al., 1994; Nangaku et al., 1994; Tanaka et al., 1998). To evaluate the possible involvement of syntabulin in mitochondrial motility, we monitored and quantified the movement of DsRed-mitoTracker–labeled mitochondria by time-lapse confocal microscopy of live hippocampal neurons at DIV9–10 after transfection with stb-siRNA or control siRNA. In neurons that were transfected with a control siRNA, individual mitochondria moved in a saltatory manner. Despite the tendency of mitochondria to reverse their direction, most mitochondria showed sustained net movement in a single direction (Video 2; available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200506042/DC1).

We selected axonal processes for quantitative analysis of mitochondrial mobility. The net direction (anterograde or retrograde) of movement for each mitochondrial organelle along axonal processes was determined during the entire recording time (15 min; 100 frames taken in 9-s intervals) within axonal processes was determined, and the relative percentages of stationary and net plus and minus end-directed events were calculated. In axonal processes that expressed the control siRNA (n = 259 from 11 cells), approximately one third (29.5 ± 3.0%) of the mitochondria were in motion at least once, of which most (18.4 ± 2.9%) moved in the net anterograde direction; the remainder (11.2 ± 1.6%) moved in the net retrograde direction (Fig. 6 A, Video 2). In contrast, transfection with stb-siRNA (n = 383 from 17 cells) resulted in reduced

Figure 6. Syntabulin loss-of-function impairs anterograde movement of mitochondria along axonal processes. Quantification of relative mitochondrial movement in the neurons that were transfected with constructs expressing siRNAs or GFP-fusion proteins. The motility of DsRed-mitoTracker–labeled mitochondria was examined in live hippocampal neurons at DIV9–10 after transfection with siRNAs or 48–72 h after transfection at DIV5 with GFP-fusion proteins. The direction of net movement for each mitochondrial organelle along axonal processes was determined during the same time window (15 min) of time-lapse imaging. The relative percentages of stationary, net anterograde, or net retrograde events were calculated. (A) Knockdown of syntabulin inhibits anterograde, but not retrograde, movement of mitochondria along axonal processes. Of a total of 259 mitochondrial clusters from 11 cells that were transfected with the control siRNA, one third of the mitochondrial organelles in the axons are mobile (29.6 ± 3.0%), and moved anterogradely (18.4 ± 2.9%) or retrogradely (11.2 ± 1.6%). Knockdown of syntabulin (total 383 mitochondria from 17 cells) exhibited a marked reduction in anterograde transport (**P < 0.001), a slight but significant increase in stationary mitochondria (P < 0.02), and no significant change in retrograde movement of mitochondria (P = 0.4). (B) Interfering with the STB–KHC interaction selectively inhibits anterograde trafficking of mitochondria along axonal processes. Note that in the axonal processes of GFP-transfected control neurons, 67.9 ± 3.4%, 18.6 ± 3.1%, or 13.6 ± 1.4% of the mitochondria were immobile, moved in the anterograde direction, or moved in the retrograde direction, respectively. In contrast, the expression of GFP-STB-KBD (n = 376 from 14 cells) or GFP-KHC-CBD (n = 200 from 10 cells) resulted in a remarkable increase in the percentage of immobile mitochondrial during the 15-min observation time (GFP-KHC-CBD: P < 0.005; GFP-STB-KBD: P < 0.05), and a significant reduction in mitochondria moving anterogradely (GFP-STB-KBD: P < 0.001; GFP-KHC-CBD: P < 0.001). However, retrograde trafficking of mitochondria along the axonal processes exhibited no significant changes in the neurons that were transfected with GFP-STB-KBD (18.8 ± 2.5%, P = 0.23), GFP-KHC-CBD (11.2 ± 2.9%, P = 0.59), or GFP-STB-NT (15.1 ± 2.5%, P = 0.64) relative to those found in GFP-transfected neurons (13.6 ± 1.3%). (Error bars = SEM; **P < 0.005; ***P < 0.001 relative to GFP controls by t test.)
mitochondrial density within the axonal processes accompanied by a marked reduction in anterograde movements (3.1 ± 0.8%; P < 0.001), and no significant change in the mitochondria moving retrogradely (14.8 ± 3.2%; P = 0.4) (Fig. 6 A; Video 3, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200506042/DC1). This observation in living neurons suggests that the accumulation of mitochondria in cell bodies is due to a failure of MT-based mitochondrial transport out of somata, whereas dynein-mediated retrograde trafficking into cell bodies dominates mitochondrial mobility following knockdown of syntabulin. These results suggest that syntabulin is required for maintaining anterograde, but not retrograde, transport of mitochondria within axons of developing hippocampal neurons.

To confirm further whether the interaction of syntabulin and KHC is involved in the anterograde transport of mitochondria, we visualized and quantified the motility of mitochondria in live hippocampal neurons 48–72 h after cotransfection of DsRed-mitotracker with GFP, GFP-STB-NT, GFP-STB-KBD, or GFP-KHC-CBD at DIV4–5. Our time-lapse imaging showed that in the control cells that expressed GFP (n = 73 from 4 cells) or GFP-STB-NT (n = 199 from 7 cells), 67.9 ± 3.4% or 68.1 ± 1.7% of mitochondria in axonal processes were immobile, whereas 18.6 ± 3.1% or 16.9 ± 1.5% moved in the anterograde direction, and 13.6 ± 1.4% or 15.1 ± 2.4% moved in the retrograde direction, respectively (Fig. 6 B; Video 4, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200506042/DC1). This is similar to results observed from control siRNA-transfected cells (Fig. 6 A), and consistent with the reported ratio for axonal mitochondrial mobility (Ligon and Steward, 2000). In contrast, the expression of GFP-STB-KBD (n = 376 from 14 cells) or GFP-KHC-CBD (n = 200 from 10 cells) resulted in a remarkable decrease in mitochondrial density and disruption of anterograde movement along axonal processes. Most mitochondrial organelles became immobile during the 15-min observation (CBD: 86.8 ± 3.5%, P < 0.01; KBD: 77.9 ± 2.6%, P = 0.05), and a significantly lower percentage of mitochondria moved anterogradely (KBD: 3.3 ± 0.8%, P < 0.001; CBD: 2.0 ± 1.0%, P < 0.001) relative to those found in GFP-transfected neurons. Thus, retrograde trafficking in axonal processes dominates mitochondrial mobility events when the STB–KHC interaction is disrupted (CBD: 11.2 ± 2.9%; KBD: 18.8 ± 2.5%) (Fig. 6 B; Video 5, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200506042/DC1). The results from loss-of-function studies that used dominant negative mutants of syntabulin and KHC are consistent with observations taken from syntabulin knockdown studies and further support our conclusion that the STB–KHC interaction is required for maintaining anterograde, but not retrograde, transport of mitochondria within axons.

Although the possibility that axonal blockage and resultant transport failures might result from other halted organelles or protein aggregates could not be excluded, org candidate also have an effect on total flux of transporting vesicles in both directions. This possible mechanism likely is not involved in the defective transport of mitochondria that we observed, because syntabulin loss-of-function did not demonstrate any significant effect on retrograde movement of mitochondria along axonal processes. To exclude the possibility that other organelles are halted after syntabulin loss-of-function, we extended our observations to include ER and Golgi. Because the distribution of ER and Golgi and the Golgi-to-ER traffic seemed to be normal in the KIF5B null mutant cells (Tanaka et al., 1998), KIF5B is unlikely to be a primary motor protein for the transport of both organelles. Thus, these organelles are good controls to test whether syntabulin loss-of-function causes a general traffic jam. Expression of either syntabulin-targeted siRNA or dominant negative transgene did not disturb the proper distribution of these organelles in neurons (Figs. S3 and S4; available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200506042/DC1), which suggests that a general transport blockage is unlikely. Thus, the effects of stb-siRNA and dominant negative mutant seem to be relatively specific for mitochondrial organelles; the results further support our conclusion that the reduced density of mitochondria in processes results from a defect in syntabulin-mediated anterograde transport.

Discussion

We reported previously that syntabulin mediates transport of syntaxin-containing vesicles to neuronal processes by linking these vesicles to KHC (Su et al., 2004). In the present study, we discovered a novel role for syntabulin in anterograde trafficking of mitochondria along neuronal processes. First, we showed that syntabulin is a peripheral membrane-associated protein, relatively enriched in the mitochondrial fraction, and associates with mitochondria by way of its carboxyl-terminal sequence. Second, immunocytochemical and time-lapse imaging studies demonstrated that syntabulin colocalized and copurified with mitochondria within neuronal processes. Finally, loss-of-function studies showed that knockdown of syntabulin and competitive blocking of the STB–KHC interaction reduced mitochondrial density remarkably within neuronal processes by impairing anterograde trafficking of mitochondria. Thus, in addition to serving as a linker for syntaxin cargoes, syntabulin plays a critical role in mitochondrial transport, and consequently, contributes to the proper distribution of mitochondria within the neuronal processes.

To accommodate the specific delivery of mitochondria to axonal and dendritic domains, neurons must use mechanisms that attach the organelles to various molecular motors and transport them by the MT-based trafficking machinery (Hollenbeck, 1996). Several members of the kinesin superfamly (KIF1B, KIF5B, and KLP67A) were shown to localize preferentially to mitochondria (Nangaku et al., 1994; Pereira et al., 1997; Tanaka et al., 1998). KIF1B (kinesin-3) colocalized and copurified with mitochondria and moved mitochondria along MTs in an in vitro assay (Leopold et al., 1992; Jellali et al., 1994; Nangaku et al., 1994). Analysis of KIF5B provided direct evidence of a role for kinesin in mitochondrial distribution. Targeted disruption of kif5b resulted in abnormal perinuclear clustering of mitochondria instead of spreading throughout the cytoplasm and toward the cell periphery in undifferentiated extraembryonic cells from mice (Tanaka et al., 1998). Presenilin-1 mutations, found in a type of familial Alzheimer’s disease,
caused a defect in kinesin-1–based axonal transport and reduced mitochondrial density in processes of hippocampal neurons (Pigino et al., 2003).

To preserve organelle identity and the proper flow of transport organelles within cells, linking of mitochondria with appropriate transport motors must occur with a high degree of specificity (Goldstein and Yang, 2000; Manning and Snyder, 2000). Biochemical and genetic analysis suggest that there are at least two mechanisms through which motors connect with their cargoes: a direct linkage through organelle membrane receptors, and an indirect linkage by way of linker molecules. Recent studies indicate that indirect motor–cargo matchmaking through a linker molecule is a particularly important mechanism in neurons (Kamal et al., 2000; Takeda et al., 2000; Setou et al., 2000; Bowman et al., 2000; Verhey et al., 2001). However, little is known about how mitochondrial organelles physically associate with their MT-based transport motors. Thus, identification of these linking molecules will be crucial in elucidating the cellular mechanisms underlying the trafficking of mitochondria in neurons.

The regulation of mitochondrial mobility along MTs may involve dynamics of sequential binding and release between mitochondrial outer membrane proteins and cytoskeletal scaffolding components or molecular motors. However, no adaptor–receptor complex associating with the mitochondrial outer membrane has been identified. The Drosophila protein Milton was proposed as a candidate “adaptor” for attaching the kinesin motor to mitochondria, because Milton associated with mitochondria and KHC, and the milton mutation in Drosophila resulted in a loss of mitochondria at synaptic terminals and axons (Stowers et al., 2002; Gorska-Andrzejak et al., 2003). However, it remains unclear whether Milton directly attaches mitochondria to MT-based motor proteins, or requires additional linker(s) or an adaptor complex to guide the motor to associate with the mitochondrial outer membrane. Recently, AMPA receptor interacting factor (GRIF-1) was reported as a rat ortholog of Milton, because both share ~44% amino acid homology (Brickley et al., 2005). GRIF-1 associated with motors KIF5 in vitro, and exogenously expressed GRIF-1 colocalized with mitochondria in HEK293 cells, which implicated it as a candidate in mitochondrial transport in a manner similar to the motor-dependent transport of AMPA receptors to dendrites. However, the relative distribution of endogenous GRIF-1 in neurons has not been examined.

Although syntabulin associates with syntaxin cargoes through its coiled-coil domain (Su et al., 2004), our current findings indicate that syntabulin targets to mitochondria by way of its carboxyl-terminal tail, which suggests a general role for syntabulin in mediating the transport of proteins and organelles with its specific targeting sequences. syntabulin loss-of-function caused a dramatic change in mitochondrial distribution in cultured hippocampal neurons: mitochondria were clustered in the soma but were distributed sparsely in processes. This distribution profile was consistent with the observation from our mobility analysis; syntabulin loss-of-function impaired anterograde, but not retrograde, transport of mitochondria along axonal processes. The loss-of-function phenotype suggests a failure of mitochondrial loading onto the kinesin motor for anterograde transport, or a defect in the regulation of the motor activity. This provides direct evidence that syntabulin is an important component of the mitochondrial trafficking machinery.

Although Milton and syntabulin are crucial for the proper distribution of mitochondria in neurons, it is unlikely that both proteins regulate mitochondrial trafficking by way of the same mechanism. First, syntabulin directly binds to the CBD of KHC (Su et al., 2004), whereas a direct interaction between KHC and Milton was not observed (Stowers et al., 2002). Second, syntabulin is a peripheral membrane-associated protein, because it was present in the aqueous phase after Triton X-114 phase partitioning. syntabulin associates with mitochondria by way of its carboxyl-terminal tail, which has the predicted hydrophobic anchor and three positively charged residues flanking the extreme COOH terminus that are characteristics of other outer mitochondrial membrane proteins (Wattenberg and Lithgow, 2001). Thus, it is possible that syntabulin serves as a linker molecule which associates loosely with the phospholipid of the mitochondrial outer membrane by way of its hydrophobic tail, or which associates with mitochondria indirectly by binding to the hydrophobic domain of an unknown receptor in the outer membrane. Alternatively, syntabulin could be a component of an adaptor complex that is required for the assembly of the mitochondrial transport machinery.

Mitochondrial transport in axons and dendrites is similar; at any given time approximately one third of the mitochondria are in motion; ~70% move in the anterograde direction and the remainder move in the retrograde direction (Ligon and Steward, 2000). Mitochondria are capable of anterograde and retrograde movements; however, the regulation of anterograde motor activity most often is responsible for the proper distribution of mitochondria (Morris and Hollenbeck, 1993; Hollenbeck, 1996). Our mobility analysis in live neurons after syntabulin loss-of-function demonstrates that, although kinesin-mediated anterograde movement along axonal processes was impaired significantly, the dynein-mediated retrograde trafficking remained normal, and consequently, dominated mitochondrial mobility. This indicates that syntabulin is involved in anterograde trafficking by regulating mitochondria–kinesin transport process. Although we consistently found a dramatic reduction in mitochondrial distribution in neuronal processes and a significantly lower percentage of mitochondria moving anterogradely along axonal processes, the loss-of-function approaches by siRNA knockdown and by dominant negative transgenes might have some limitation on the effects of the disruption of syntabulin’s function. The limitation could be dependent on the expression levels of targeted siRNA and dominant negative transgenes, the timing of observations following loss-of-function, the life-time of endogenous syntabulin in neurons, and the stability of the STB-KHC complex. Alternatively, the limited effect could be explained by the contribution of other mitochondrial adaptor proteins, such as the rat homologue of Drosophila protein Milton (Stowers et al., 2002), to the trafficking of mitochondria in our neuronal cultures.

Based on these and our previous findings, we propose that syntabulin functions as a linker molecule or a component of the adaptor complex involved in the KIF5-mediated traffick-
ing of syntaxin cargoes and mitochondrial organelles. Thus, our findings provide new information on the nature of the specific KHC–mitochondria interaction, and reveal the cellular mechanisms that are likely to underlie the anterograde transport of mitochondria in neurons. However, several important questions remain to be addressed. How is the function of syntabulin as a linker molecule regulated? Is syntabulin-mediated mobility and distribution of mitochondria modulated in response to synapse formation and synaptic activity? Does syntabulin participate in more general intracellular membrane trafficking pathways? Identification of a mitochondrial receptor or adaptor complex that binds to syntabulin, and characterization of the nature of syntabulin’s association with the mitochondrial outer membrane will contribute to understanding the molecular and cellular details of how this protein modulates intracellular membrane trafficking pathways in neurons.

Materials and methods

Preparation of mitochondria-enriched fractions and immunosolubilization of mitochondria

Brain homogenates were prepared from the forebrains of adult rats as reported previously (Su et al., 2004). Following homogenization, proteins were solubilized with Tris buffered saline supplemented with 1% Triton X-100. Protein concentrations were determined by BCA protein assay (Fierce Chemical Co.) using BSA standards. Mitochondria-enriched fraction was prepared by Percoll gradient centrifugation as described previously (Sims, 1990). In brief, rat forebrains were homogenized in ice-cold mitochondrial isolation buffer (IB, 0.32 M sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4). Homogenates were centrifuged at 1,300 g for 3 min, the supernatant was collected, and the pellet was resuspended with IB and recentrifuged at 1,300 g for 3 min. The combined first and second supernatant was centrifuged at 21,000 g for 10 min and the pellet was resuspended at 12 ml 15% Percoll. 2 ml of the 15% Percoll suspension was overlaid on freshly prepared Percoll gradient containing 3.5 ml of 23% Percoll layered above 3.5 ml of 40% Percoll. The gradient was separated by centrifugation at 30,000 g for 5 min. The mitochondrial fraction was harvested from the interface of the 23% and 40% Percoll layers, supplemented with 0.5 ml of 10 mg/ml BSA in 3 ml IB, centrifuged at 16,000 g for 15 min, and resuspended in IB. Mitochondria-enriched membrane fraction was subjected to phase partitioning with Triton X-114 as described (Bordier, 1981), and equal proportions of the aqueous and detergent phases were immunoblotted sequentially with affinity purified antibodies against syntabulin, cytochrome c (BD Biosciences), and TOM20 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.). The immunosolubilization of mitochondria was performed with antibody-coated magnetic beads. In brief, goat anti–mouse IgG (Fc fragment specific) (linker, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) was incubated for 24 h at 37°C on a rotator with M-500 Dynabeads (Dynal) at a ratio of 7 μl of linker per 107 beads in 0.1 M borate buffer (pH 9.5) at a final concentration of 4 × 107 beads/ml. The coated beads were washed twice, 5 min each, in PBS pH 7.4 with 0.1% BSA at RT. After washing once for 5 min in PBS pH 7.4 with 0.1% BSA at 4°C, the linker-coated beads (1.4 mg) were incubated with 5 μg anti-TOM20 mAb or control mouse IgG overnight at 4°C on a rotator. After incubation, the beads were washed four times (5 min each) in PBS pH 7.4 with 0.1% BSA at 4°C, and then resuspended in buffer A containing PBS, pH 7.4, 2 mM EDTA, and 5% BSA. Mitochondrial fraction was mixed with buffer A containing beads (final reaction volume 1 ml) and incubated overnight at 4°C on a rotator. After incubation, the beads were collected with a magnetic device (Dynal) and washed five times with the incubation buffer and three times with PBS at 10 min each. After the final wash, the beads were saved as bound fractions, and were analyzed by immunoblotting for detection of syntabulin, cytochrome c, and TOM20.

Fusion protein preparation and in vitro binding

Truncated mutants of syntabulin were constructed into the GST-fusion vectors, pGEX-2 or pGEX-4T (GE Healthcare); the Histagged fusion protein vectors, pET28a (Novagen); and pEGFP-C1 vectors (Clontech Laborato-
ronal processes was expressed as the mitochondrial mean intensity, number of mitochondrial clusters per μm length of process, and relative mitochondrial area per μm length of process as described previously [De Vos et al., 2003; Pigino et al., 2003; Ruthel and Hollenbeck, 2003; Miller and Sheetz, 2004]. These data were estimated automatically and scored by the Image-Pro Plus analysis software based on the fluorescence intensity profile. All statistical analyses were performed using the t test and all measurements are presented as mean ± SEM.

Image acquisition from living cells
Transfected hippocampal neurons were transferred to the Tyrode’s solution (10 mM Hepes, 10 mM glucose, 3 mM KCl, and 145 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) and temperature was maintained at 37°C with an air stream of 5% CO₂/95% air with an incubator. Cells were visualized with an oil immersion 40×/0.8 NA Planapo objective on an LSM 510 Zeiss confocal microscope, using 488-nm excitation for GFP and 543-nm excitation for DsRed. For imaging live neurons, 1% of the intensity of the argon laser was used to minimize bleaching and damage to neurons; maximum pinhole opening was set; at least three sections were taken from top to bottom of the specimen for brightest point projections to cover most of the thickness of the processes; and 1.4–2.0× digital zoom was used for better viewing. Images of 640 × 512-pixel resolution were taken at 5-s intervals (6 s of scanning and 3-s cycle delay) for a total of 100 frames. The short interval was used to minimize laser-induced neuronal damage. All recordings started 6 min after the coverslip was placed in the chamber.

Measurement of mitochondrial mobility
The polarity orientation of MTs differs in process regions of neurons. In axons, MTs are oriented uniformly with their plus-ends directed distally [Burton and Paige, 1981; Heidemann et al., 1981; Baas et al., 1988]. In contrast, in the proximal region of dendrites, MTs are of mixed orientation and the plus-end–directed motor kinesins can function in both directions [Baas et al., 1988, 1989]. Thus, to simplify interpretation for our results, we selected axonal processes for quantitative analysis of mitochondrial mobility. Axonal processes were chosen for recordings based on known morphologic characteristics [Banker and Cowan, 1979]. A process was considered an axon if it was at least twice the length of any of the other processes. The other processes were considered dendrites. Only those that appeared to be single axons and isolated from other processes in the field were chosen. Regions where crossings or fasciculation occurred were excluded from analysis. To ensure that we were observing true mitochondrial motility, rather than the results of possible axonal retraction or bulk axoplasmic flow, we used two selection criteria: (1) only axons that did not move relative to the substratum were considered and (2) only sections of axons that were thin and of uniform caliber were studied. Furthermore, to avoid bias in selecting moving or stationary mitochondria for study, only mitochondria that met the above criteria were traced for the period of time during which they were in focus. An organelle was considered to be stopped if it remained stationary for the entire recording period; a movement was counted only if the displacement was at least 5 μm. The net direction of resumed movement was recorded as either the same or changed, which is determined by comparing the net displacement between its initial and final positions relative to the cell body. For quantitative analysis, two motility characteristics were recorded for each mitochondrion observed: (1) whether it moved and (2) its net direction of movement (anterograde or retrograde) as described previously [Overy et al., 1996; Ligon and Steward, 2000; De Vos et al., 2003; Miller and Sheetz, 2004]. Recordings were analyzed with the Image-Pro Plus image analysis software. Subsequently, the relative percentage of stationary, net plus- and minus-end–directed events was calculated [Mandelkow et al., 2004]. Counts often were repeated two to five times and then averaged to ensure accuracy. All statistical analyses were performed using the t test and all measurements are presented as mean ± SEM.

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows that the carboxy-terminal tail of syntabulin (600–663) is required for its association with mitochondria. Fig. S2 shows that syntabulin (145–230) is required for binding to the kinesin CBD. Figs. S3 and S4 show that syntabulin loss-of-function has no effect on the proper distribution of ER and Golgi in neurons. Video 1 shows that the carboxy-terminal tail of syntabulin is sufficient to target to mobile mitochondria along processes of living neurons. Videos 2–5 show relative motility of DsRed-mitotracker–labeled mitochondria in live hippocampal neurons expressing control-siRNA, sbs-siRNA, GFP control, or GFP-STK8D. Online supplemental material available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200506042/DC1.
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