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Abstract: Aim of study: The study was carried out to evaluate maternal lifestyle and behavioral factors affecting the risk of spontaneous PTB. Methods: A descriptive study design was done in the postnatal ward of the Obstetrics Department at Zagazig University Hospital, Zagazig City, Al Sharkia Governorate. The sample of 200 women was assigned to two groups selected through the purposive sampling method from January to September 2017. The questionnaire was designed to collect background data of women. Also, lifestyle and behavioral features of women during pregnancy period. Results: The results of the current study showed that, there were no statistically significant differences between the two groups according to their socio-demographic characteristics group (P > 0.05). However, there was a significant relation between spontaneous preterm birth and inadequate antenatal care, short inter-pregnancy interval, and lifestyle factors (P<0.001). Moreover, serious life events and vital exhaustion during their pregnancy were significant risk factors for spontaneous preterm birth. Conclusion: There is a statistically significant relationship between inter-pregnancy intervals (less than 12 months) and increased risk of spontaneous PTB. As well as, stresses of heavy and night working associated with the risk of PTB. Serious lifestyle events for pregnant women contribute to a significant increase in the risk of PTB, where Short sleep duration or prolonged, and vital exhaustion were highly associated with an increased risk of spontaneous PTB.
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1. Introduction

Preterm birth (PTB) is defined as delivery which is completed a period of greater 20 and less than 37 weeks of gestation, which remains a major obstetric healthcare problem of global significance [1]. PTB is the major cause of death and disability in children up to 5 years of age and perinatal morbidity and mortality in the developed world; it represents about 15 million from all over the world, and one million of these babies die [2]. Approximately 85% of this burden was concentrated in Africa and Asia, where 10.9 million births were preterm. Almost, 0.5 million preterm births occurred in Europe and the same number in North America, while 0.9 million associated happened in the Caribbean and Latin America [3].

PTB babies are significantly in greater risk of perinatal problems [4]. Many babies born preterm suffer from health problems and lifelong disability. This greatly affects individuals, families, society and costs with perinatal health care, lifelong disability, and medical problems [5]. Cnattingius et al. (2013) who mentioned that hereditary qualities, life factors, (for example, diet, physical activity), and psychological variables considered hazard factors [6]. Goldenberg et al. (2012) reported that factors assumed to affect the danger of PTB which is related by bad lifestyle and behavior [7]. A variety of predisposing factors examined include lack of or delayed prenatal care, experiencing a short interval between pregnancies, exposure to socio-environmental stressors such as poverty, food insecurity, or violence [8].

The lifestyle and behavior indicate a complex mix of environmental exposures (eg, air contamination or specific food nutrients), as well as many life situations (tension, hard work, permanent work) and routines [9]. As is the case for most healthcare problems, prevention is better than cure [10]. Successful prevention of PTB requires a multifaceted approach, combining public health and educational programs, lifestyle
modification, optimization of obstetric healthcare, effective prediction and diagnostic modalities, and the application of effective, targeted interventions [11]. Pre-pregnancy interferences e.g., weight control, nutritional supplement, and medically managing have a potential impact on rates of PTB although implementation and access remain significant barriers [12, 13].

Significance of the study
Preterm delivery is the chief problem in obstetrics, approximately 10% of all births are preterm [14]. Unfortunately, there are currently no effective diagnostic measures for preterm labor resulting in preterm birth and no effective early interventions for prevention, but some preventive measures play an important role, where raising socioeconomic standards with proper medical care of pregnant women including proper nutrition, health education are of utmost importance [15]. Maternal lifestyle and behavioral factors for spontaneous preterm births have not been evaluated in Zagazig, so this study was achieved.

Aim of the study
The study was conducted to assess maternal lifestyle and behavioral factors affecting the risk spontaneous preterm birth.

Research questions:
1. What is the maternal lifestyle factors affecting risk of spontaneous preterm birth?
2. What is the behavioral factors affecting risk of spontaneous preterm birth?
3. Operational definitions:
4. Term group was defined as women who were delivered of a live singleton infant between 37 and 40 weeks of gestation by spontaneous labor or rupture of membranes without induction or elective cesarean birth for maternal or fetal indications.
5. Preterm group was defined as women who were delivered a live singleton infant at less than 37 weeks of gestation by spontaneous labor or rupture of the membranes without induction or elective cesarean birth for maternal or fetal indications.
6. Antenatal visiting: According to the “Routine for maternal health care”, promulgated by the Ministry of Health in 2011, antenatal visiting should be ≥ 5 times (http://www.nhfpc.gov.cn/).
7. Interpregnancy intervals (IPI) were calculated as the time between the outcome of the previous pregnancy that ended either in a pregnancy loss or live birth and the last conception. It was categorized as two categories: ≤12 months, and >12 months.
8. Family income/month: According to the report from women, and it was including 2 categorized adequate or inadequate.
9. Life stress was defined as negative life experience during pregnancy such as unemployment, death of relatives, and accidents.

2. Subjects & Methods

Study design:

A descriptive design was used in this study.

Study setting:
The study was accomplished in the postnatal unit at the department of Obstetrics at Zagazig University Hospitals.

Sample type:
A purposive sampling was chosen according to certain criteria.

Sample size:
The sample size contained 200 women. It included 100 preterm group and 100 term groups. It was calculated using the following formula:

\[ n = \frac{Z^2 \times P \times (1 - P)}{D^2} \]

Where:
1. \( N \) = Sample size
2. \( Z \) = The standard error of the mean corresponding to 95% confidence interval, which is 1.96
3. \( P \) = The incidence of preterm delivery, which is 13%
4. \( D \) = The absolute precision which is 5% (0.05)
5. \( N = 1.96^2 \times 0.13 \times 0.87 / 0.05^2 = 160.6823 \)
6. \( N = 160 \) by increasing this figure by 20% for nonresponse \( N=180 \)

Sample of the study:
All women who attend to the postpartum care unit with the following criteria:

The criteria of the preterm group were: Women who were delivered a singleton preterm baby (28–<37 weeks of gestation) by vaginally or cesarean as a result of spontaneous idiopathic preterm labor with intact membranes, and have not medical or obstetric problems. The criteria of the term group were: Women who were delivered a full-term baby (37-42 weeks of gestation) spontaneously, uncomplicated birth of a singleton or an (elective) uncomplicated caesarean section.

Tools of data collection:
A questionnaire was designed by the researchers in light of relevant literature and the different studies. Two tools were used by the researcher to obtain the following:

Part (1): An interview questionnaire sheet. It was composed of the following:

1. Women Socio-demographic data. As age, educational status, place of residence, and family income.
2. Menstrual history. The date of the last menstrual period was used to define gestational age and was confirmed by an ultrasound examination for weeks of gestation.
3. Obstetric history: A history of preterm delivery or abortion, antenatal care visits. The antenatal information was collected by, "How many times have you visited the health care or ANC service?" Also, "When was the last visit to health care or ANC service?" To determine the number of ANC visits before the third trimester. The visits were classified as visits ≤ 4 times (inadequate) and visits ≥ 5 times (adequate) and interpregnancy interval [16].
4. Medical history. A history of drug use and pre-existing medical conditions, such as hypertension and diabetes mellitus.

Part (II): Maternal lifestyle and behavioral assessment questionnaire:

1. **Lifestyle factors that contribute to spontaneous preterm birth; type of work, working hours (>40 hours/week vs. less), long times who spent standing (>6 hours/day vs. less), daily workload (daily work hours during pregnancy; > 8 hours/day vs. less), and shift work (Yes vs. No) [17].**

2. **Exposure to serious life events during current pregnancy.** It was determined by the woman responses to the questions: “Over the past months of pregnancy, did any of the following life events occur?” e.g., financial trouble, an argument with husband (verbally or physically), and stress.

3. **Dietary intakes.** The verified food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) was used to obtain maternal dietary intake data one month prior to delivery. It was included 34 foods that represent the most common foods in the diet. The food items can be divided into 17 food groups, these were, grains, potatoes, vegetables, fruits, red meats, poultry, animal organs, aquatic products, eggs, dairy products, beans and bean products, nuts, oils, salt, water, beverage, snacks. Participants’ food consumption were recorded in a day, a week or a month. Quantities were assessed using household measurements such as standard sized bowls and teaspoons. The information provided was added together to calculate participants’ total daily (or weekly) food intake [18].

4. **Antenatal vitamin supplements.** These categories represent all possible combinations of multivitamin intake before and during pregnancy. Information was obtained from the medical record and women interview [19].

5. **Sleep Patterns (hours / night).** Sleeping duration less than or from 7 to 8 hours / night was an indication of poor sleep quality or abnormal sleep [20]. Participants were classified into three groups based on short (≤ 6 hours), normal (7-8 hours), and long (≥ 9 hours) sleep duration. These categorizations were decided upon a priori, based on previously reported cut-points used by the investigators, who focused on sleep problems among pregnant women [21].

6. **Maternal report of vital exhaustion in early pregnancy.** It was assessed by asking the woman: “how often did she feel exhausted (except after exercise)?” Response choices were: (1) No, (2) Yes [1–3 times per month; 4 times per month or week; and day] [22].

**Method**

1. The agreement of the Morals Committee of the Faculty of Nursing and Supervisors of Hospital was obtained to manner the study and data collection.

2. All the voluntary participants were knowledgeable of the study objectives, and they are allowed to be withdrawn from the study at any time without giving any reason.

3. The validity of the tools was tested by three experts in the field of obstetrics and gynaecology nursing. They reviewed tools for clarity, relevance and accuracy. Alterations have been done according to their points of view.

4. The reliability of the questionnaires was checked using the Cronbach alpha coefficient (0.7) through the test and re-testing method.

5. A pilot study was carried out on 10% (10 of the preterm group and 10 of the term group) in order to test the clari ty and feasibility of the questionnaire. The sample of the pilot study was excluded from the main study sample. Based on the results of the pilot study, the required modifications were made prior to data collection.

6. The researchers visited the ward in the afternoon shift for two days per week. They presented themselves to all participants at the beginning of each visit. The time of interview with the participants the postpartum ward ranged from 20 to 30 for data collection.

7. Data were collected lasted for nine months from January until September 2017.

8. All data were entered into a computer using the Statistical Package for social Science (SPSS), version 19. Variables were compared numbers and percentage between two groups, and Chi square (χ²) test was used as a test of significance. Also, the t-test was used to determine the difference between the mean of women age. Statistical level of significance was considered at P-value < 0.05.

### 3. Results

| Items                        | Term group (n = 100) | Preterm group (n = 100) | Test | P-value |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------|---------|
| Age (years)                  | No  | %   | No  | %   |       |       |
| < 20                         | 3   | 3.0 | 5   | 5.0 | 0.64  | 0.88  |
| 20–<30                       | 47  | 47.0| 46  | 46.0|       |       |
| 30–<35                       | 45  | 45.0| 43  | 43.0|       |       |
| ≥ 35                         | 5   | 5.0 | 6   | 6.0 |       |       |
| Mean±SD                      | 30.62 ± 5.07        | 30.93 ± 5.93            | 0.25 | 0.25   |
| Educational level            | No  | %   | No  | %   |       |       |
| Illiterate                   | 11  | 11.0| 5   | 5.0 | 4.02  | 0.25  |
| Primary school               | 10  | 10.0| 15  | 15.0|       |       |
| Secondary school             | 36  | 36.0| 42  | 42.0|       |       |
| College graduate             | 43  | 43.0| 38  | 38.0|       |       |
| Place of residence           | No  | %   | No  | %   |       |       |
Table 1 shows the distribution of the studied groups according to their socio-demographic characteristics. It was realized that, there were no statistically significant differences between the two groups regarding age, educational level, and place of residence (P > 0.05). The mean age of preterm group was 30.93 ± 5.93 years, while for the term groups it was 30.62 ± 5.07 years. The most common age of participants (47.0% of preterm group & 46.0% of term) were aged 20–<30 years, followed by the age group 30–<35 years (45.0% of preterm group & 43.0% of term group). There was no remarkable difference between two groups (t = 0.64; P = 0.88).

As regards level of education, 38.0% of preterm group and 43.0% of term groups were college graduate, 42.0% of preterm group and 36.0% term group had a secondary level. In relation to place of residence, 62.0% of preterm group and 54.0% of term groups were from urban areas, while 38.0% and 46.0% respectively were from rural areas. Notably, the family income was significantly linked with the risk of spontaneous PTB (X² = 6.13, P = 0.03).

Table 2. Distribution of the studied groups according to their obstetric history.

| Items                      | Term group (n = 100) | Preterm group (n = 100) | Test | P-value |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------|---------|
|                            | No       | %       | No       | %       |          |         |
| Parity                     |           |         |           |         |          |         |
| Nulliparous                | 54       | 54.0    | 57       | 57.0    | 0.18     | 0.66    |
| Multiparous                | 46       | 46.0    | 43       | 43.0    |          |         |
| Previous history of PTB    |           |         |           |         |          |         |
| No                         | 78       | 78.0    | 72       | 72.0    | 0.96     | 0.32    |
| Yes                        | 22       | 22.0    | 28       | 28.0    |          |         |
| Previous abortion          |           |         |           |         |          |         |
| No                         | 79       | 79.0    | 81       | 81.0    | 0.12     | 0.72    |
| Yes                        | 21       | 21.0    | 19       | 19.0    |          |         |
| Antenatal care visits      |           |         |           |         |          |         |
| Yes                        | 86       | 86.0    | 73       | 73.0    | 5.18     | 0.02*   |
| No                         | 14       | 14.0    | 27       | 27.0    |          |         |
| Adequacy number of antenatal care visits |     |           |           |         |          |         |
| Adequate (≥5)              | 76       | 76.0    | 48       | 48.0    | 16.6     | < 0.00004** |
| Inadequate (<5)            | 24       | 24.0    | 52       | 52.0    |          |         |
| Inter-pregnancy interval (month) |           |           |           |         |          |         |
| ≤ 12                       | 33       | 33.0    | 52       | 52.0    | 7.3      | 0.006*  |
| > 12                       | 67       | 67.0    | 48       | 48.0    |          |         |

PTB = preterm birth ** Highly significant (P < 0.001).

As shown in table 2, parity, previous history of PTB and abortion were insignificant factors for PTB. Conversely, women who gave birth to preterm babies were more likely to report that they didn't receive antenatal care (27.0% versus 14.0%) linked to women who delivered at term. There was a noteworthy relation between PTB and prenatal care visits (X² = 5.18, P = 0.02).

Concerning the visiting numbers of prenatal care, the percent in preterm group was ≥ 5 times (48.0%), and < 5 times (52.0%) while, in the term group, the percent of ≥ 5 times and < 5 times were 76.0% and 24.0%, respectively. However, there was a high significantly difference between the two groups (X² = 16.6, P < 0.00004). Moreover, the inter-pregnancy interval ≤ 12 months was higher in the preterm group than term group (52.0% versus 33.0%). There was statistically significant difference (X² = 7.3, P = 0.006).

Table 3. Distribution of the studied groups as indicated by their way of life factors.

| Items                     | Term group (n = 100) | Preterm group (n = 100) | Test | P-value |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------|---------|
|                            | No       | %       | No       | %       |          |         |
| Type of work               |           |         |           |         |          |         |
| Housewife                 | 41       | 41.0    | 29       | 29.0    | 16.2     | 0.002*  |
| Agriculturalists           | 29       | 29.0    | 39       | 39.0    |          |         |
| Commercial service staffs  | 7        | 7.0     | 16       | 16.0    |          |         |
| Professional staffs        | 8        | 8.0     | 13       | 13.0    |          |         |
| Government staffs          | 15       | 15.0    | 3        | 3.0     |          |         |
| Working hours / week       |           |         |           |         |          |         |
| ≤ 40                      | 27       | 27.0    | 14       | 14.0    | 5.18     | 0.02*   |
Table 3 presents the lifestyle factors of the studied groups. It reveals that, more than one third (39.0%) of the preterm group was agriculturalists; followed by 29.0% were housewife. In the term group, 41.0% were housewife, while 29.0% were agriculturalist. There is a statistically difference between preterm case and group ($\chi^2 = 16.2, P = 0.002$). The same table, working conditions during pregnancy includes; working hours and those working in a standing position for more than six hours a day have been identified as hazard factors of natural PTB. Likewise, long hours working women and shift work, also had a significantly higher hazard of PTB ($P = 0.03$ & $P = 0.00$, respectively).

Table 4. Distribution of the studied groups regarding their hazards life events during the pregnancy months.

Table 4 reveals that, financial trouble is the highest percentage (75.0%) of the preterm group as compared (60.0%) of term group. There was statistically difference between the two groups ($X^2 = 5.12, P = 0.02$). The prevalence of SPTB according to maternal exposure to an argument with husband during pregnancy was 33.0% for term group compared to 51.0% of preterm group ($X^2 = 6.6, P = 0.009$). Women exposed to stress during pregnancy had increased the risk of SPTB. There was statistically significant difference among the studied groups ($X^2 = 7.2, P = 0.007$).

Table 5. Distribution of the studied groups in relation to their behavior factors during months of pregnancy.

Table 5, shows the distribution of the studied mothers according to their behavioral factors during months of pregnancy. It was noted that, healthy diet was in relation with a decreased hazard of spontaneous PTB. There was a statistically significance difference between preterm group and term group ($X^2 = 5.6, P = 0.01$). There was a major relation between women who didn't take vitamin supplements during pregnancy, with a higher prevalence of
preterm group (36.0%) than term groups (14.0%) at \( P = 0.0003 \).

With regard to the duration of sleep for less than 6 hours per night, Table 5 indicates that more than half (57.0%) were preterm and a quarter (26.0%) of the term group. Concerning the duration of sleep for more than 9 hours per night, 31.0% of the preterm group and 21.0% of the term group compared to those sleeping from 7 to 8 hours per night, with a significant relationship between sleep duration and PTB (X\(^2\) = 39.3, \( P = 0.005 \)).

The same table found statistical differences between the frequency of vital exhaustion during the period of pregnancy and spontaneous premature birth (\( P = 0.005 \) & \( P = 0.0002 \)).

4. Discussion

Worldwide the rates of PTB is 11.1%, but varies with geography and race, ranging from 15% or more in some parts of Africa to 5–6% in several European nations and possibly lower in some parts of East Asia [23]. A center-based cross-sectional study was conducted to detect the percentage and factors affecting preterm births in an area in South Cairo, through a secondary health care facility (Al-Moneera General Hospital, MOH). The study revealed that 41 deliveries (8.2%) were preterm deliveries among all deliveries in the obstetric unit of the El Moneera general hospital during the period from July to December 2014 [24]. In addition to being the primary reason of newborn death, there is an increasing proof to show that preterm delivery is a opposing pregnancy result related with an increased hazard of future motherly cardiovascular health [25].

Socio-demographic features have been sought to clarify natural PTB and although do not necessarily imply causation. This study was consistent with a study done in Brazil, [26] they reported that socio-demographic characteristics of women were insignificantly associated with spontaneous preterm birth. So, identifying at-risk women will help initiate risk specific interventions.

The study revealed that women aged 40 years or older were preterm, while women between the ages of 30 and 34 were associated with the minimum risk of preterm birth with wasn't relationship between women age and natural PTB (\( P=0.88 \)). Similarly, in Lombok, Indonesia, Sebayang et al. (2012) showed that maternal age was insignificantly associated with preterm birth [27]. But, a retrospective cohort study using data from the QUARISMA study, a large Canadian randomized group led the trial, which collected data from 184,000 births in 32 hospitals [28].

Level of education was not linked with natural preterm delivery in the current study. A study conducted in Nigeria, Oliver et al. (2012) reported that, contradictory results on the relation between mothers education and PTB [29]. This may be due to increased access to basic education among the mothers in this study whose background was mainly urban. In another study in Lombok, Indonesia, Sebayang et al. (2012) had shown that women with high school education had 36% lower probabilities of having a PTB, compared with women with no primary education [27]. In contrast, a recent finding from Canada shown maternal level of education was found to be a risk factor for preterm delivery [30]. This can be clarified by differences in the socio-demographic factors among studies.

Low financial status is also theoretical to be an important danger factor for PTB. It is associated with unhealthy or risky behaviors, exposure to stress and psychological reactions that influence gestation negatively. The low economic status of women may lead to a lack of access to prenatal care at the beginning of pregnancy and have not received better care. Therefore, they may not be able to cope with complications related to pregnancy. A similar phenomenon was observed in Nova Scotia, Joseph et al. (2014) who found that family salary and household factors were not found to be important hazard factors for PTB [32]. The possible reason for this is that the study was conducted in public hospitals, where women belong to the same social and economic class. In addition, there are barriers to prenatal care for many low socioeconomic women, who are likely to be uninsured or have difficulty accessing health care.

This study uncovered that the number of deliveries, abortions, and previous history of preterm delivery were uncorrelated to be hazard factors for natural PTB. A study conducted in Rafidia Hospital, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, by Lutfi & Ezat, (2015) their study results illustrated that the number of pregnancies, deliveries, and abortions hadn't relationship with PTB (\( P=0.246 \)) [33]. Another study carried out by Victor et al. (2011) found no association between past history of PTBs and abortion and development of PTBs [34].

However, Ayman & Abdelwahid, (2015) recognized that there is a significant association between preterm birth and previous preterm birth. The reasons for this finding could be explained as preterm birth was included besides the difference in the research population, and this may be due to the persistence of the same factor which led to that condition (e.g. hemorrhage or hypertension) [24].

Current research has shown a negative affiliation between antenatal care and PTB. Also, this study found that women who reported inadequate prenatal care were more likely to have a natural PTB. As the study was carried out by Abu Hamad et al. (2007), used two groups from 2 main governmental hospitals in the Gaza Strip, El-Shifa and Khan Younis hospitals. The danger of PTB increased 2.4-fold among those who attended <4 visits than those who attended 4 visits during the entire pregnancy period [35]. This confirms the importance of conducting population-oriented programs encouraging attendance at antenatal care, focusing on the importance of early attendance.

In the USA, a study conducted by Chen et al. (2007) reported that teenage mothers are more likely to get inadequate prenatal care which has been strongly associated with PTB. Adolescents with good admission to health care...
often do not show a higher risk of complications. It is worth noting that sufficient, timely antenatal health care can be favorable in detecting and treatment of pregnancy complications, especially for the high-risk group [36]. More attention needs to be paid to the effectiveness and quality of each antenatal care visit, especially for women with hazard factors of PTB or other complications.

The current study results demonstrated there is a statistically relationship between inter-pregnancy intervals under one year and increased hazard of natural PTB (P =0.006). Similar results have been obtained in Al Ain, United Arab Emirates; by Al-Jasmi et al. (2002) who illustrated that short inter-pregnancy interval is a hazard factor for natural PTB [37]. As well, in Ohio, the northeastern US, DeFranco et al. (2014) demonstrated that women with a shorter period between pregnancies were more bound to give birth preceding 39 weeks of gestation compared to those who have sufficient birth period. Birth after the estimated due date (more than 40 weeks) occurred less often in women with short IPI of less than 12 months, 16.9% compared to 23.1% for a normal IPI. Short pregnancy interval certainly predisposes to PTBs as it leads to nutritional deficiency and incomplete return of normal maternal tissue [38]. This indicates the importance of conducting population-based programs about the importance of interval between pregnancies.

Concerning the relation between some lifestyle characteristics and risk of SPTBs, the results were obtained from the current study indicated that high working stresses (hard work, those who work long hours, and stand for long periods) and night work related with the hazard of PTB. Similar results were carried out by Takayama, et al. (2010) showed risk factors for PTB include: some lifestyle characteristics, including standing work or strenuous work, and behaviors [39].

A study directed by Escribá-Aguir et al. (2001) in Spain showed that medium or high intensity physical workload (strenuous postures, load carrying, standing) increased the hazard of PTB [40]. This might be attributed to that stresses are associated with the release of stress hormones that may lead to preterm births. This study underlines that more attention should be given to women’s working conditions during pregnancy, and effort should be intensified towards reducing exposure to long working hours for pregnant women.

The current study investigated the influence of several pregnancy-related maternal lifestyle factors on spontaneous preterm birth. The results showed serious lifestyle events of pregnant women contribute to a significant increase in the risk of PTB. Similarly, Traviss et al. (2013) found that women who were at risk of danger life events during pregnancy may affect the risk of PTB [41]. A research study was conducted in Sweden by Class et al. (2011) analyzed the relationship between stress and found that the risk of PTB was raised when occasion happened at the fifth and sixth month of pregnancy [42]. A prospective study in the People's Republic of China in 2008 assessed the effects of maternal exposure to life-threatening events (monetary, passionate, horrendous, and spousal-related occasions). Also, Zhu et al. (2010) reported the risk of PTB, was increasing numbers of life threatening events during the first and second trimesters [43]. Thus, preconception counseling regarding stressful lifestyle should not be neglected.

Women's feeding during pregnancy assumes a vital value in giving the vital supplements to fetal development [44]. An inequity in motherly diet may be a key factor related with PTB [45]. In the current study, adequate women diet before and during pregnancy was important for the health of women and their growing fetus, while inadequate diet is related with a hazard of natural PTB, which was similar to another study in Beijing, a study was carried out by Zhang et al. (2012) on 1391 women with PTB group and 1391 women with term delivery group. They stated that enough diet is serious for fetal growth and lessen the hazard of preterm delivery [46]. Therefore, the current study suggests that health education measures should be taken to enhance the feed value of pregnant women, especially for women before pregnancy.

The current study finding showed that vitamin supplements had a vital value in lowering the hazard of PTB. The data from 6 trials of 1,687 ladies who got vitamin D supplements during pregnancy were less likely to be at risk of PTB than those got placebo therapy or routine prenatal care. De-Regil et al. (2016) found that ladies who received vitamin D and calcium complements had an increase hazard of PTB [47]. In southern China, a study conducted by showed that daily consumption of 400 μg folic acid alone during the preconception period was linked with a reduction hazard of spontaneous PTB. Apparently, these agents inhibit production of proinflammatory cytokines [48].

Wagner et al. (2013) studied the effectiveness of vitamin D supplementation in dropping PTB hazard in 494 pregnant women at the medical university of South Carolina Charleston and observed similar results with the inverse relation between vitamin D supplementation and preterm birth [49]. Further, A systematic review of the evidence of the impact of maternal diet before and during early pregnancy on maternal, newborn and child health outcomes, Ramakrishnan et al. (2012) indicated that higher preconception multivitamin use was associated with a reduced risk of preterm birth [50].

According to women sleep duration and vital overtiredness in pregnancy, the current study results proposed that disorders induration of sleep (≤ 6 or ≥ 9 hours per night), and vital tiredness were highly associated with an increased the risk of spontaneous PTB. Good pattern of sleep during pregnancy is considered the most important predictor of pregnancy outcomes. Also, poor pattern of sleep can increase the incidence of PTB and newborn intensive care unit entrance, gestational hypertension, diabetes with pregnancy, and post-delivery misery [51].

These findings are congruent with study conducted at the Hospital Nacional DOS de Mayo, the Institution Nacional Materno Perinatal de Lima, and the Hospital Edgardo Rebagliati Martins in Lima, Peru, in 2009-2010 which examined the relationship between duration or period of
women sleep and SPTB. In a study done by [52] suggested that short (≤6 hours), and prolonged duration (≥9 hours) of sleep, in addition any of vital tiredness were linked with all subtypes of spontaneous PTB. But, the association between sleep disorder and PTB was not moral as noticed by Dolatian et al. (2014) [53].

Furthermore, in Southern California, Guendelman et al. (2013) who found no link between sleep duration (either sufficient or insufficient) and preterm delivery [20]. The differences may be because the previous study did not allocate a type of premature birth, while the current study focused on risk factors for spontaneous early birth. This requires increased attention to develop strategies to reduce the risk of premature birth.

5. Conclusion
The Periods between pregnancy less than a year, the state of hard work, and the hazardous events of women's lifestyles during pregnancy contribute to a significant increase in the risk of premature birth. In addition, short or long duration of sleep and fatigue were associated with an increased risk of premature spontaneous birth.

6. Recommendations
Health care providers should focus their efforts on adjusting the lifestyle of maternal at the risk of PTB, for example, appropriate nutrition, and avoiding lifestyle-threatening factors (e.g. working or standing on feet for long times, sleep disorders) that will lead to better pregnancy outcomes.
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Key Point

Problem
Preterm delivery is the main problem in obstetrics today; are represent nearly 10% of all deliveries. It is associated with an increased risk of neonatal mortality, and admission to ICU, as well as high costs.

What is Already Known?
Healthy lifestyle for pregnant women is considered as important preventive measures that are essential for fetal development and reduces the risk of complications, especially in high-risk pregnancies.

What this Paper Adds?
Stresses of heavy work (i.e. hard work, working for long hours, stand for long periods and night work), and serious events in the lifestyle of pregnant women as well as sleep for short or prolonged periods, and vital exhaustion contributed to an increased risk of spontaneous PTB.

Highlights:
Lifestyle changes for pregnant women at risk of spontaneous preterm delivery through health care providers will lead to healthy pregnancy outcomes.
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