Mechanistic Insights into the Formation of Dodecanethiolate-Stabilized Magnetic Iridium Nanoparticles: Thiosulfate vs Thiol Ligands
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ABSTRACT: The synthesis of stable and isolable iridium nanoparticles with an average core size of ∼1.2 ± 0.3 nm was achieved by employing sodium S-dodecylthiosulfate as a ligand precursor during the modified Brust–Schiffrin reaction. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of the isolated Ir nanoparticles revealed a high degree of monodispersity. Further characterizations with 1H NMR, FT-IR, UV–vis spectroscopy, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) confirmed that the synthesized Ir nanoparticles are stabilized by dodecanethiolate ligands produced upon the adsorption/cleavage of S-dodecylthiosulfate on the growing Ir nanoparticle surface. By comparison, synthetic attempts employing dodecanethiol as a stabilizing ligand led to the formation of Ir-thiolate species (Ir(SR)₃) as an intermediate and Ir-hydroxide species at the completion of reaction. Mechanistic investigations of these two reactions using S-dodecylthiosulfate and dodecanethiol provided deeper understandings on the novelty of thiosulfate ligands, which allow the successful formation of stable thiolate-capped Ir nanoparticles. Moreover, these Ir nanoparticles were shown to have strong magnetic properties.

INTRODUCTION

Research into the synthesis of noble metal nanoparticles is motivated by the opportunity to harness advantages not present in their bulk equivalents, such as high surface atom to volume ratios,1,2 semiconductor properties,3 and, in some cases, magnetic properties.4 However, nanosized Ir particles have received limited attention from the scientific community compared to that of other noble metal counterparts. Synthetic methods involving highly specified equipment,5,6 extreme reaction conditions,7 and/or specialty chemicals8–10 have been the primary culprits of this conundrum.

In our recent studies, we reported the successful synthesis of dodecanethiolate-capped Pd nanoparticles generated from sodium S-dodecylthiosulfate after the cleavage of the sulfite group.11 The overall lower reactivity of this ligand precursor compared to alkanethiol allowed the successful synthesis of Pd nanoparticles without the formation of oxidized Pd(II) species during and after the reaction.11–13 Further studies from our group using the thiosulfate synthetic method led to the discovery of a way to control ligand surface coverage that has a direct correlation with catalytic activity and selectivity of alkanethiolate-capped Pd nanoparticles.14,15

Many studies have previously revealed that the indistinguishable metal–sulfur bonds result from the adsorption of thiosulfate precursors and from the direct adsorption of thiols on metal nanoparticles and flat metal surfaces.16–21 For Ir nanoparticles, however, such stable alkanethiolate-capped nanoparticles were unattainable by employing alkanethiols directly as a ligand and NaBH₄ as a reducing agent thus far.22 In this article, we relate a facile synthesis of ∼1.2 ± 0.3 nm Ir nanoparticles by employing the modified Brust–Schiffrin system along with the aforementioned thiosulfate ligand precursor strategy. New insights into the mechanistic disparities between sodium S-alkanethiosulfate and alkanethiol are obtained by investigating the formation chemistry of alkanethiolate-stabilized Ir nanoparticles using these two organic ligand precursors.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Materials. The following reagents were obtained from the indicated suppliers and used as received: tetra-n-octylammonium bromide (98%), 1-dodecanethiol (98%), and sodium borohydride (98%) were obtained from ACROS. 1-Bromoodecane (97%) was obtained from Sigma–Aldrich. Potassium hexachloroiridate(IV) (39.8% Ir) was obtained from Alfa Aesar. Sodium thiosulfate pentahydrate (Na₂S₂O₃·5H₂O) along with solvents such as toluene, acetone, ethanol, and methanol was purchased from Fisher Scientific. Chloroform-d was obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. Sodium S-dodecylthiosulfate was synthesized using the published procedure.11,18
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Water was purified by using a Barnstead Nanopure Diamond ion exchange resins purification unit.

**Synthesis of Ir Nanoparticles.** Tetra-n-octylammonium bromide (2.0 mmol) was dissolved in 25 mL of toluene. Precisely measured K₂IrCl₆ (0.40 mmol) dissolved in 25 mL of water was added to the organic solution. The mixture was continuously stirred until the aqueous layer was cleared signifying the completion of the phase transfer. The organic layer was separated and placed in a 250 mL round-bottomed flask. Sodium S-dodecylthiosulfate (0.80 mmol) along with another portion of tetra-n-octylammonium bromide (2.0 mmol) was added to the round-bottomed flask with the aid of 10 mL ofaq methanol (40% v/v). The reaction mixture was placed in 60 °C water bath and stirred for 15 min. Subsequently, 8.0 mL of a freshly prepared solution of sodium borohydride (1 M) was rapidly poured into the reaction mixture. Within 4 min, the color of the reaction changed from red to yellow to brown to finally black indicating the formation of nanoparticles. After stirring for 1 h, the nanoparticle mixture was removed from the water bath. Upon cooling to room temperature, the organic layer was washed with several aliquots of nanopure water and isolated using a separatory funnel. The organic solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. Lastly, the nanoparticle crude was extensively washed with ethanol, methanol, and acetone on a course frit funnel (F). Iridium nanoparticles were used extensively with ethanol, methanol, and acetone on a course frit funnel (F). Iridium nanoparticles were ultimately collected by reconstitution with chloroform, in which the solution was vacuum-dried to obtain solid powders.

**Characterization.** ¹H NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker AC400 FT-NMR operating at 400 MHz. UV−vis spectra were obtained by using a Shimadzu UV-2450 UV−vis spectrometer. Infrared spectra were acquired by attenuated total reflectance (ATR) using a PerkinElmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR spectrometer. Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were obtained with a JEOL 1200 EX II electron microscope operating at 90 keV. XPS measurements were performed using a Mg Kα source (XR50, Specs GmbH) and a hemispherical electron energy analyzer (PHOIBOS 100, Specs GmbH). Inverse micelle structures with AuCl₄⁻ were without any significant change in their intensity. The ¹H NMR spectra of [R₄N⁺][IrX₆⁻] in toluene-d₈ revealed the aCH₃-N peaks at δ 2.65 ppm, which have been dramatically shifted to more upfield from their original chemical shift of δ 3.40 ppm (Figure 2a). Tong et al. have reported similar dramatic shifts of aCH₃-N peaks of the tetra-n-octylammonium complex during the Brust−Schiffrin reaction using HAuCl₄ and proposed that this phenomena was due to the formation of inverse micelle structures with AuCl₄⁻ complexation in their pore. ²² Later, however, Kumar et al. have shown that the same shifts resulted from the ion-pair complex formation without the presence of an inverse micelle of tetracyanotannemonium. ²³,²⁴ On the basis of the latter hypothesis, our NMR data support the basis of the latter hypothesis, our NMR data support the formation of an ion-pair complex of tetra-n-octylammonium with IrX₆⁻. The interaction between the positively charged quaternary ammonium nitrogen and IrX₆⁻ was the primary complex in organic phase, however, failed to form a homogeneous solution at room temperature and could only be fully mixed after continuous stirring at a higher temperature of 60 °C. UV−vis spectra of IrX₆⁻ in the organic phase showed two strong absorption bands at 440 and 500 nm, which correspond to the presence of Ir⁴⁺-X (either Cl or Br) bonds, with a weak absorption band at 595 nm (Figure S1, Supporting Information).³³ These absorption bands for IrCl₆⁻ shifted to more upfield from their original chemical shift of δ 3.40 ppm (Figure 2a). Tong et al. have reported similar dramatic shifts of aCH₃-N peaks of the tetra-n-octylammonium complex during the Brust−Schiffrin reaction using HAuCl₄ and proposed that this phenomena was due to the formation of inverse micelle structures with AuCl₄⁻ complexation in their pore. ²² Later, however, Kumar et al. have shown that the same shifts resulted from the ion-pair complex formation without the presence of an inverse micelle of tetracyanotannemonium. ²³,²⁴ On the basis of the latter hypothesis, our NMR data support the formation of an ion-pair complex of tetra-n-octylammonium with IrX₆⁻. The interaction between the positively charged quaternary ammonium nitrogen and IrX₆⁻ was the primary

**Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanisms for Iridium Nanoparticle Formation during the Modified Brust−Schiffrin Reaction Using Dodecanethiol and S-Dodecylthiosulfate**

**Figure 1. Picture of solutions containing (a) K₂IrCl₆(aq) (0.4 mmol), (b) [R₄N⁺][IrCl₆⁻](toluene) (c) 1-dodecanthiol (0.8 mmol) added to b, (d) NaBH₄ (4.0 mmol) added to c, and (e) S-dodecylthiosulfate (0.8 mmol) and NaBH₄ (4.0 mmol) added to b.**

 may allow further expansion of available nanomaterials toward nanoparticles with exotic characteristics.

At the onset of this synthesis, aqueous IrCl₆⁻ was transferred to toluene-d₈ by employing a large excess of tetra-n-octylammonium bromide as a phase transfer agent. This transfer took place just as observed for gold nanoparticles accompanying the colorimetric change of both aqueous and organic phases (Figure 1: from a to b).²³ The [R₄N⁺][IrX₆⁻]
2.18 ppm was 1 to 3 in favor of dodecanethiolate, change indicates the probable reduction of Ir\(^{4+}\) to Ir\(^{3+}\), which progression in Figure S2 (Supporting Information). The color to bright yellow as demonstrated in Figure 1c and the reaction disulfide (triplet of triplet) rather than a triplet typically observed from spectra. The peaks at 2.18 ppm exhibited a multiplicity (triplet of triplet) rather than a triplet typically observed from \(\text{Ir}^{4+}-\text{X}\) (either Cl or Br) bonds. 33 The appearance of absorption bands at 440 and 500 nm, indicating the cleavage of \([\text{R}4\text{N}^+]_2[\text{IrX}_6^{2−}]\) solution but instead showed strong absorption bands centered at \(\sim 330\) nm. This result indicated the probable formation of the hydrolysis product rather than the desired reduction of \(\text{Ir}^{3+}\) to \(\text{Ir}^0\) after the addition of basic NaBH\(_4\) solution. The stable product of this hydrolysis reaction would be \(\text{Ir(OH)}_x\text{S}^{2−}\) or \(\text{Ir(OH)}_x\text{S}^2\)–33 insoluble \(\text{Ir(OH)}_x\text{S}^2\) (Na\(^{+}\)) would precipitate out in toluene as shown in Figure S4 (Supporting Information), and more soluble \(\text{Ir(OH)}_x\text{S}^2\) (Na\(^{+}\)) would result in the appearance of strong absorption bands at \(\sim 330\) nm. The UV data also suggested the reaction did not involve the reformation of \(\text{Ir}^{2−}\) or the formation of \(\text{IrO}_x\text{H}_x\text{O}_y\) which have strong absorption bands at \(\sim 440/500\) nm and \(\sim 580\) nm, respectively. 33 The NMR spectra of the reaction mixture after the addition of NaBH\(_4\) showed in Figure 2d indicated the full conversion of the dodecanethiolate ligand of the \([\text{Ir}^{3+}S]_3\) complex to its disulfide form in the presence of basic sodium borohydride solution.

In contrast to the alkanethiol, the addition of \(\text{S}-\text{dodecylthiosulfate}\) to the reaction mixture containing the \([\text{R}4\text{N}^+]_2[\text{IrX}_6^{2−}]\) adduct did not trigger any change in the solution color and absorption bands of \(\text{Ir}^{4+}-\text{X}\) at 440 and 500 nm in UV–vis spectra. The \(^{1}H\) NMR spectra of \([\text{R}4\text{N}^+]_2[\text{IrX}_6^{2−}]\) in toluene-\(d_8\) were also nearly unaffected by the addition of \(\text{S}-\text{dodecylthiosulfate}\), which showed \(\alpha\text{CH}_3-S\) peaks at \(\delta 3.28\) ppm (Figure 2e). A slight upfield shift from \(\delta 2.65\) ppm to \(\delta 2.48\) ppm was observed for the \(\alpha\text{CH}_3-N\) peaks. Since the structure of \(\text{S}-\text{dodecylthiosulfate}\) is highly reminiscent of hydrophobic ionic salts, the formation of an ion-pair complex of \([\text{R}4\text{N}^+]_2[\text{R}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_5]^{−}\) and the rapid equilibrium with \(\text{R}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_5\) would cause such a change in the chemical shifts. The overall spectroscopic results clearly demonstrated the low reactivity of the thiosulfate ligand precursors compared to thiols against the iridium complex.

The addition of NaBH\(_4\) to the reaction mixture containing \([\text{R}4\text{N}^+]_2[\text{IrX}_6^{2−}]\) and \(\text{S}-\text{dodecylthiosulfate}\) initially turned the solution color from dark brown to faint yellow implying the cleavage of the \(\text{Ir}-\text{X}\) bond, but the solution turned into dark black/blown within 5 min of NaBH\(_4\) addition (Figure S5, Supporting Information). This result suggested that the formation of Ir nanoparticles is slower than that of other nanoparticles including Au, Ag, and Pd, which undergo color changes into black/blown immediately upon the addition of CH\(_3\)–\(\alpha\text{CH}_3-S\) or a quartet observed from CH\(_3\)–\(\alpha\text{CH}_3-S\)–H. 37 The unusual splitting pattern of this peak indicates the occurrence of the spin–spin coupling of \(\text{Ir}−\text{H} (^3J)\) and \(\text{H}−\text{H} (^3J)\) for the \(\text{Ir}(\text{SR})_3\) complex. To our knowledge, however, such an interaction of three bond (\(\text{Ir}−\text{S}−\text{C}−\text{H}\)) \(\text{Ir}−\text{H}\) coupling has never been reported. Interestingly, the \(^3H\) NMR studies of \(\text{Ir}−\text{H}\) complexes have only shown the tendency for Ir to self-decouple for \(^3J\) coupling. 39 Considering the spin number (\(I = 3/2\)) of Ir, the coupling of \(\text{Ir}−\text{H} (^3J)\) should produce a quartet splitting instead of a triplet splitting as shown in Figure 2c, inset. Despite this inconclusive NMR splitting, the presence of this abnormal multiplicity strongly supports the presence of an \(\text{Ir}−\text{H} (^3J)\) interaction and thus the formation of the \(\text{Ir}−\text{S}\) bond.

The addition of NaBH\(_4\) a highly basic reducing agent, was enacted in order to produce Ir nanoparticles from an \(\text{Ir}(\text{SR})_3\) complex. Upon the addition of NaBH\(_4\), the color of the solution turned into strong orange as shown in Figure 1d. This color change was followed by the formation of insoluble gel-like materials during further stirring of the reaction mixture (Figure S4, Supporting Information). Moreover, UV–vis spectra of the reaction mixture did not resemble that of a typical nanoparticle solution but instead showed strong absorption bands centered at \(\sim 330\) nm. This result indicated the probable formation of the hydrolysis product rather than the desired reduction of \(\text{Ir}^{3+}\) to \(\text{Ir}^0\) after the addition of basic NaBH\(_4\) solution. The stable product of this hydrolysis reaction would be \(\text{Ir(OH)}_x\text{S}^{2−}\) or \(\text{Ir(OH)}_x\text{S}^2\)–33 insoluble \(\text{Ir(OH)}_x\text{S}^2\) (Na\(^{+}\)) would precipitate out in toluene as shown in Figure S4 (Supporting Information), and more soluble \(\text{Ir(OH)}_x\text{S}^2\) (Na\(^{+}\)) would result in the appearance of strong absorption bands at \(\sim 330\) nm. The UV data also suggested the reaction did not involve the reformation of \(\text{Ir}^{2−}\) or the formation of \(\text{IrO}_x\text{H}_x\text{O}_y\) which have strong absorption bands at \(\sim 440/500\) nm and \(\sim 580\) nm, respectively. 33 The NMR spectra of the reaction mixture after the addition of NaBH\(_4\) showed in Figure 2d indicated the full conversion of the dodecanethiolate ligand of the \([\text{Ir}^{3+}S]_3\) complex to its disulfide form in the presence of basic sodium borohydride solution.
NaBH₄ UV−vis spectra of the isolated Ir nanoparticles showed an exponential decay in absorbance with a decrease in energy, which is a typical characteristic of small nanosized colloids lacking surface plasmon resonance as is the case with Ir (Figure S6, Supporting Information). The ¹H NMR of the Ir nanoparticles isolated and redissolved in CDCl₃ revealed three broad resonances at δ 1.80−1.60, δ 1.50−1.20, and δ 0.90−0.80 ppm for the β-CH₂−CH₃, δ−CH₃, and δ−CH₂, respectively (Figure 2f). These peaks resulted from the attached dodecanethiolate stabilizers on the nanoparticle surface, as peak broadening has been indicative of ligand immobilization for other ligand-capped metal nanoparticles. Interestingly enough, the small core size of these nanoparticles allow the β-protons of attached organic ligand stabilizers to be visible; a rare event only observed for the small and monodisperse metal nanoparticles. Moreover, the high purity of the isolated Ir nanoparticles was proven to be attributed to the absence of resonances at δ 3.40 (tetra-octylammonium: αCH₃−N), δ 3.28 ppm (S-dodecylthiosulfate: αCH₃−N), δ 2.54 (didodecyl disulfide: αCH₃−S), and δ 2.18 (dodecanethiolate: αCH₃−S).

FT-IR spectra of Ir nanoparticles were also identical to those of metal nanoparticles previously generated from both dodecanethiol and S-dodecylthiosulfate (Figure S7, Supporting Information). Only the νCH₂ and νCH₃ stretches (3000−2800 cm⁻¹) and bendings (~1450 cm⁻¹) of dodecanethiolate monolayers were observed in the spectra. Any other significant peaks including sulfonate (~SO₃⁻) stretches at ~1350 (υS=O; strong) and ~1175 cm⁻¹ (ςS=O; strong) were not observed implying the cleavage of the thiosulfate S−S bond after the adsorption of thiosulfate on the Ir nanoparticle surface. The TEM image and the core size histogram of the final purified product are presented in Figure 3. The average core size of the Ir nanoparticles was determined to be 1.2 ± 0.3 nm by counting a total of ~2300 particles from multiple images. The small size and high monodispersity of these nanoparticles are quite novel for Ir nanostructures because such cluster-like, stable, and isolable Ir nanoparticles have never been reported previously. The overall organic and metallic weight fractions could be determined by thermogravimetric analysis (Figure S8, Supporting Information). The initial major volatilization of these iridium nanoparticles took place close to ~150 °C, which is quite similar to that in our prior studies involving palladium nanoparticles. After the final temperature of 600 °C was reached, 61.5% of the residual sample was still present signifying an organic fraction of 38.5% for these Ir nanoparticles.

XPS spectra of the Ir₄f (60.8 eV) and Ir₆d (63.8 eV) region for Ir nanoparticles are shown in Figure 4a. The broad XPS S₂p signal at 162.2 eV (Figure 4b) revealed the presence of an Ir−S bond on the Ir nanoparticle surface. Small traces of oxidized S, which are clearly differentiated from the high intense peaks observed for oxidized S species of the thiosulfate ligand precursor (Figure 4c), were observed at ~166−8 eV. Since the absence of unbound thiosulfate was confirmed by NMR and IR, the small amount of oxidized S species observed in XPS spectra was most likely due to the small presence of chemisorbed oxidized sulfur species such as sulfur trioxide or sulfite that were cleaved off from thiosulfate precursors. This result demonstrated that the removal of surface adsorbed oxidized sulfur species is somewhat incomplete for Ir nanoparticles.

Ultimately, one of the main reasons this reaction produces stable and isolable Ir nanoparticles was that all necessary reagents (the Ir complex, ligands, and reducing agent) for nucleation−growth−passivation of Ir nanoparticles form ionic pair complexes with tetraoctylammonium salts and, therefore, are present at close proximity to each other. The activation energy of the reaction is likely decreased thereby aiding the completion of the nanoparticle stabilization stage. In comparison, the thiol protocol involves the nonionic Ir(SR)₃,
complex as a key intermediate, and the hydrophobic alkyl tail parts of tetraoctylammonium might act as a kinetic barrier for the further reduction of Ir$^{3+}$ to Ir$^{0}$ by ion-paired BH$_4$$^-$. Small Ir clusters have been predicted to have magnetic moments larger than 0.5 $\mu_B$/atom. However, based on our knowledge, there has never been any published report on experimental work related to stable and unsupported Ir nanoparticles with a detectible magnetic moment. The successful synthesis of small Ir nanoparticles capped with dodecanethiolate ligands allowed us, for the first time, to examine the magnetic properties of the cluster-like Ir particles. Macroscopic magnetization measurements have been performed at temperatures ranging from 5 to 300 K using Quantum Design Physical Property Measurement System. The Ir nanoparticles were found to have a relatively large magnetic moment which increases with stronger external fields as shown in Figure 5a. The saturation magnetization, determined using TGA analysis of the nanoparticle sample, was found to be 12.2 emu/g of Ir at 300 K and 13.0 emu/g of Ir at 5 K. This value is higher than the saturation magnetization of dendrimer-encapsulated Ni nanoparticles and other alkanethiolate-capped metal nanoparticles. The preservation of the magnetic signal from 5 to 300 K (only a decrease of ~6%) is observed. The hysteresis loop at 300 and 5 K shows the nanoparticles to have a coercivity of 54 and 105 Oe, respectively. In addition, both the zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) temperature dependence of the magnetization were measured in a 100 Oe applied field (Figure 5b). The maximum in the ZFC curve and divergence in the ZFC and FC curves indicate a blocking temperature, $T_B$, of more than 300 K. The absence of any significant amount of magnetic impurity such as Fe was confirmed from XPS results. The overall characteristics of Ir nanoparticles suggest that they are “soft” magnetic materials with ferromagnetic properties. More in-depth studies on the magnetic behavior of Ir nanoparticles with different core sizes and Ir/thiolate compositions will be done and reported in the near future.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The synthesis of stable and isolable iridium nanoparticles with an average core size of ~1.2 nm was achieved by employing sodium S-dodecylthiosulfate as a ligand precursor. It was also demonstrated that employing dodecanethiol directly under identical conditions fails to yield iridium nanoparticles. To our knowledge, our article stands as the lone method for producing stable alkanethiolate-capped Ir nanoparticles using sodium borohydride reduction. Furthermore, our study provided insights into the Brust–Schiffrin mechanism and the reason thiosulfate ligands are preferred over thiol ligands for the synthesis of Ir nanoparticles. Finally, the produced Ir nanoparticles exhibited strong magnetic moments demonstrating the potential of these Ir nanoparticles for various technological applications.
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