Desensitization in kidney transplantation: Review
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Abstract
Sensitization to human leukocyte antigens (HLA) antigens is the main obstacle to getting the kidney either from a living or deceased donor. HLA sensitization occurs as the result of repeated pregnancy, blood transfusion, or previous transplantation. Overcoming the HLA incompatibility is one of the approaches to decrease the organ shortage. There are 3.5% highly sensitized patients among 3012 patients on the waiting list for kidney transplantation in Kazakhstan.

In this review, we have discussed most common approaches of desensitization for HS kidney recipients. Huge progress has been made in the field of desensitization in transplant medicine worldwide. We suppose, that implementation the desensitization protocols in clinical guidelines will improve patient survival and outcome after kidney transplantation.
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Introduction
In the last decade, organ transplantation is developing fast in Kazakhstan. Internationally approved guidelines are used in all transplant centers in Kazakhstan. According to the Global Burden of Disease Study, the number of chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients is one million individuals, which is equal to 5.5% of the general population [1]. Nearly 100 kidney transplantations from living donors and 30 kidney transplantations from deceased donors are performed annually in Kazakhstan. Currently, 3012 patients are waiting for kidney transplantation in Kazakhstan [2]. In Kazakhstan there is a burden of organ shortage, mostly due to the low rate of cadaveric transplantation, this feature is very common for all Asian countries [3]. Moreover, there is one more obstacle for recipients to receive either cadaveric or living graft- sensitization to the human-leucocyte antigens. Totally 416 cases of kidney transplantation were performed in our center. 56 kidneys from deceased donors and 360 from living donors.

The mean waiting time for recipients was 28 months in patients, who underwent transplantation from deceased donors. According to our data, where 416 consecutive kidney transplantations were analyzed, 5-year graft survival in the group of recipients from living donors was 86.58% and 52% from cadaveric donors. Thus, in our cohort, living donor kidney transplantation is a preferred treatment choice for patients with end-stage renal disease. However, for many patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) sensitization to human leukocyte antigens (HLA) antigens is the main obstacle to getting the kidney either from a living or deceased donor. HLA sensitization occurs as the result of repeated pregnancy, blood transfusion, or previous transplantation [4]. Overcoming the HLA incompatibility is one of the approaches to decrease the organ shortage. There are 3.5% highly sensitized patients among 3012 patients on the waiting list for kidney transplantation in Kazakhstan. Currently, there are no common guidelines for desensitization used in Kazakhstan. In this review, we discuss the current state of desensitization therapy for patients with HLA antibodies.

Desensitization strategies
There are no widely accepted guidelines for desensitization. The complex of approaches is used to eliminate circulating anti-HLA antibodies or lowering calculated panel reactive antibody (cPRA) in the plasma of potential kidney recipients (Figure 1). Plasmapheresis or immunoabsorption is used for the elimination of circulating anti-HLA antibodies. Monoclonal antibodies and intravenous immunoglobulin for prolonged inhibition of HLA antibody production.
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Figure 1 - Desensitization mechanism
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Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) is a blood product, which is widely used as a therapy option for patients, who experience antibody deficiency. The mechanism of action of therapeutic immunoglobulin depends on its dose and the type of disease [15]. Gamma globulin fraction of blood plasma produces IVIG, which inhibits T- and B-cell proliferation, cytokine production, and induces B-cell apoptosis [16,17]. These functions make IVIG an ideal agent for desensitization therapy. Desensitization protocols can have two general approaches: high dose IVIg or low dose IVIG combined with plasmapheresis and rituximab [18]. Although researchers declare improved survival rates and decreased graft loss, the biological pathways of desensitization agents are complex; therefore, the protocols are unstandardized, and the efficacy remains variable [19-22].

Discussion

Historically, attempts to overcome the HLA sensitization were initiated in early 1900-s [23]. The necessity of desensitization protocols implementation was dictated by increasing rate of highly sensitized patients. Patients, with a panel reactive HLA antibody level above 80 % are defined as highly sensitized (HS) kidney recipient candidates. According to the United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS), approximately 30% of the patients on the wait list are considered highly sensitized. Currently, only 6.5% of patients with a panel reactive HLA antibody (PRA) levels above 80% [i.e. highly sensitized (HS)] receive a transplant each year [24]. The patient cohort remain on dialysis, mortality rate is higher in comparison with HS patients, who underwent transplantation after desensitization. Vo et al reported that mean waiting time on dialysis in their cohort of HS patients was 114±56 months before desensitization and 4.4±4.9 months after desensitization [25]. According to the URRDS Renal Data System Report, the average wait times for cadaveric renal transplantation are long for sensitized patients with ESRD (4 to 5 years), but nearly exclusionary for high sensitized patients [26].

Another multicenter study by Orandi et al., stated that recipients of kidney transplants from incompatible live donors after desensitization had a significant survival benefit as compared with the waiting-list-or-transplant control group and the waiting-list-only control group (P<0.001 for both comparisons [27]. Regarding cost-effectiveness, multivariate analysis by Vo et al. showed 3-year savings based on a 3.4% Cedars-Sinai mortality rate for desensitized patients remaining on dialysis, is $15,428 per desensitized and transplanted patient.

In Kazakhstan, there are no generally accepted desensitization protocols. However, in Kazakhstan HS patients still have low possibility to get kidney either from deceased or living donor. Currently, desensitization drugs cannot be provided within the guaranteed volume of medical care that leads to high mortality on dialysis among HS ESRD patients.

In this review, we have discussed most common approaches of desensitization for HS kidney recipients. Huge progress has been made in the field of desensitization in transplant medicine worldwide. We suppose, that implementation the desensitization protocols in clinical guidelines will improve patient survival and outcome after kidney transplantation.
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