Bullying and Discrimination Experiences among Korean-American Junior High School Students
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The incidence of bullying experiences has increased dramatically in recent decades and with little research on Korean-Americans. The goal of this study was to examine the experiences of six to eight grade Korean-Americans and to explore the relationships between bullying, discrimination, depression, and ethnic composition of the school, as set forth by Shin, D’Antonio, Son, Kim, and Park (2011). Path analyses for the victims, bystanders, bullies, and bully-victim combination, respectively, revealed several key findings and differences between middle school and high school. Taken together with Shin et al. (2011), these results suggest that as adolescents grow older, the ethnicity of the individuals or the ethnic composition of the schools may be more influential in the bullying experience.
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Introduction

Bullying can affect anyone, and most individuals have been involved in bullying, either as a victim, bully, bystander or some combination of the three. Bullying is defined as an imbalance of power and intentional and repetitive acts that are involved in interpersonal aggression (Olweus, 1993; Smith & Morita, 1999). Bullying can occur many different ways: physically, verbally, psychologically, and electronically (cyber bullying). The prevalence rates for victims are as little as 10% to as much as 70%, with as little as 5% to as much as 13% reported bullying others (Kessel Schneider, O’Donnell, Stueve, & Coulter, 2012; Nansel et al., 2001; Perkins, Craig, & Perkins, 2011; Peskin, Tortolero, & Markham, 2006; U.S. Department of Education, 1999). Additionally, more people are involved as bystanders than as victims. Studies have found that 85% to 88% of bullying events involved two to four bystanders (O’Connell, Pepler, & Craig, 1999).

Negative effects of bullying

Bullying can lead to negative effects for everyone involved. Victims generally report more internalizing symptoms, such as loneliness and withdrawal (Graham & Juvonen, 1998a; Kaltiala-Heino, Rimpelä, Marttunen, Rimpelä, & Rantanen, 1999), psychosomatic complaints, anxiety, depression (Kaltiala-Heino, Rimpela, Rantanen, & Rimpela, 2000), and suicidal ideation (Bonanno & Hymel, 2010; Kaltiala-Heino et al., 1999). In addition, they report worse physical health (Gini & Pozzoli, 2013; Knack, Jensen-Campbell, & Baum, 2001), are aggressive (Hanish & Guerra, 2000), and have academic problems, such as: truancy, performing poorly, feeling unsafe, delinquency, and even drop out of school (Juvonen, Nishina, & Graham, 2000; Smith, Talamelli, Cowie, Naylor, & Chauhan, 2004). Bystanders are also at risk for negative consequences; however, there is contrasting literature on the severity of the negative outcomes on bystanders. Juvonen, Graham, and Schuster (2003) report that those who were “uninvolved” did not report loneliness, social anxiety, nor depression to the same extent as victims or bully-victims. A possible moderating factor could be the type of bystander, as discussed by Salmivalli, Lagerspetz, Björkqvist, Österman, and Kaukinen (1996). There are those who join the bully in making fun of the victim (“assistants”), those who offer positive reinforcement to the bullies, such as laughing (“reinforcers”), those who do not get involved and observe from a distance (“outsiders”), and those who intervene in favor of the victim (“defenders”). There are potentially different consequences for each type of bystander, which could explain Rivers, Poteat, Noret, and Ashurt’s (2009)...
findings. Rivers et al. (2009) reported that bystanders actually experienced more mental health concerns than that of bullies and victims. The bystanders in Rivers et al. (2009) might have been assistants instead of outsiders, which could have brought about negative effects. Participants reported symptoms such as anxiety, paranoid ideation, and obsessive-compulsiveness, and depression.

The negative effects for bullies are also unclear. Bullies report externalizing symptoms, such as conduct problems, academic challenges, aggressiveness, attention difficulties, and increased likelihood to use drugs and alcohol (Ericson, 2001). They also show internalizing symptoms including eating disorders, anxiety, psychosomatic disorders, depression, and suicidal ideation (Cook, Williams, Guerra, Kim, & Sadek, 2010; Espelage & Holt, 2001; Kaltiala-Heino et al., 2000; Kumpulainen et al., 1998; Kumpulainen & Räisänen, 2000; Kumpulainen, Räisänen, & Henttonen, 1999; Roland, 2002; Sourander, Helstelä, Helenius, & Pihl, 2000).

Bully-victims may be the most at risk of all four groups, as they most likely to experience externalizing and/or internalizing symptoms. These symptoms include somatic complaints, physical injury, anxiety, increased possibility of being referred for a psychiatric assessment, depression, low self-esteem, and issues at school, such as: absenteeism, school feeling unsafe negative attitudes toward school, and delinquency. They are also more at risk to engage in risky behaviors such as substance abuse, aggression, self-harm, and suicidal ideation (Berkowitz & Benbenishty, 2012; Kumpulainen et al., 2001; Nansel et al., 2001; Srabstein & Piazza, 2013; Swearer, Song, Cary, Eagle, & Mickelson, 2001).

Bullying in Asian-Americans

The majority of studies have used Caucasian-American samples when examining bullying, which contributes to the data being inconclusive for the prevalence rates based on ethnicity. Peskin, Tortolero, and Markham (2006) found that Blacks are more likely than Hispanics to bully and victimize. However, Peskin, Tortolero, Markham, Addy, and Baulmer (2007) found that Blacks compared to Hispanics were not more likely to be victims, nor bullies. Prevalence rates for Asian Americans are more consistent. Mouttapa, Valente, Gallaher, Rohrbuch and Unger (2013) had an ethnically diverse sample and found that Asian Americans were most likely to be the victimized ethnic group. Surprisingly, Asian-Americans recorded more victimization even after controlling for Asian-Americans being majority or minority ethnic group. While Moran, Smith, Thompson, and Whitney (1993) found that there were no differences in victimization or bullying for Asian and White children, aged nine to fifteen, they, along with Boulton (1995), found that Asians were more likely to be the target of racist name calling. This discrimination is related to a list of negative side effects for Asian-Americans, including: distress, depression, and alienation from peers (Grossman, 2005; Liang, Grossman, & Deguchi, 2007; Qin, Way, & Mukherjee, 2008).

While Asian-Americans are a leading target for bullying and discrimination (Mouttapa et al., 2004), there are some groups of Asian-Americans that are more vulnerable (e.g., Korean-American youth; Uba, 1994). Kuo (1984) found that Korean-American adults were much more likely to report depressive symptoms than Philippine-Americans, Japanese-Americans, and Chinese-Americans. This high depression prevalence rate has been replicated for Korean-American adolescents (Hovey, Kim, & Seligman, 2006; Kim & Cain, 2008).

Several studies have found that increased acculturative stress is associated with increased depression for Korean-Americans (Choi, 1997; Kuo & Tsai, 1986; Oh, Koedke, & Sales, 2002; Shin, 1994). This is further enhanced by the fact that there is a wide cultural gap between foreign-born parents who hold onto traditional values and the U.S. born or U.S.-raised adolescents who have more mainstream values (Lee, 1997; Rhee, 1996; Ying, 1998). Hovey et al. (2006), in line with Aldwin and Greenberger (1987) investigated further and found that those who had stronger Asian cultural ties were more likely to have lower self-esteem, depression, and anxiety. In fact, Oh et al. (2002) found the opposite of Hovey et al. (2006); Korean-Americans who gave up their Korean values and traditions were more likely to report depressive symptoms. A possible reason for these findings could be that there is a lot of stress to balance keeping one’s culture, as well as assimilating into a new culture, which causes acculturation dissonance. If that is the case, it is not a matter of keeping one’s values or having new one’s but rather the debate that goes along with it. One can suspect, that seeing as Korean-Americans report more depressive symptoms than other Asian-American groups (Kuo, 1984), they may be struggling more with acculturation dissonance. Immigrants or children of immigrants are prone to acculturation dissonance, which could bring about mental health problems, language barriers, racism, and separation from family (Sue, 1994). While all immigrant groups are at risk for these negative outcomes, Korean-Americans may be more prone to negative mental health outcomes. The bullying experience, regardless of role, may relate to Korean-Americans feeling discriminated against and depressed.

Hypotheses

There are two objectives of this study with the first one being to examine if Korean-American victims feel more depressed and discriminated against compared to non-victims. As supported by previous literature, those who are victims are hypothesized to report higher levels of depression and discrimination of the four groups (i.e., victims, bystanders, bullies, and bully-victims; Shin et al., 2011; Shrawky & Rhee, 2004), with bystanders reporting the least amount of depression and discrimination. It was hypothesized that bullies and bully-victims would also report higher levels of depression than non-bullies and non-bully-victims, as per Shin et al. (2011). The second objective was to explore the model set forth by Shin et al. (2011) related to participants’ experiences of discrimination. Discrimination was broken down to four components: unfair treatment, positive stereotypes, negative stereotypes, and discomfort. The path analyses were exploratory regarding the relationships between unfair treatment, positive stereotypes, negative stereotypes, discomfort, white composition at school, and the bullying experience.

Method

Participants

Participants consisted of 125 (57 male and 67 female) Korean-American students from middle schools in New Jersey and New York. The age range was between 10 to 14 years old (M=12.5, SD=1.0) with 60.5% of the participants
The means and standard deviations for victims, bystanders, bullies, and bully-victims are shown in Table 2.

**Depression:** The results support the hypothesis that victims were more likely to experience depression than non-victims, \( t (78.95) = -2.82, p < .01, d = .46 \). The mean of the victims (\( M=15.66 \)) approached the clinical threshold (16) as set forth by Radloff (1977; see Table 2). Those who identified as bystanders did not experience an increase

| Location            | Was Victimized | Observed Bullying | Bullied Others |
|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Cafeteria           | 37.8%          | 62.2%             | 48.7%          |
| After school        | 35.6%          | 47.8%             | 43.2%          |
| Homeroon            | 33.3%          | 39.8%             | 27%            |
| In Class            | 26.7%          | 31.6%             | 35.1%          |
| Hallway             | 24.4%          | 65.3%             | 24.4%          |
| Bus                 | 24.4%          | 31.6%             | 16.2%          |
| Online/text messaging | 22.2%        | 26.5%             | 16.2%          |
| Gym                 | 15.6%          | 40.8%             | 29.7%          |
| Before school       | 13.3%          | 29.6%             | 8.1%           |
| Sporting events     | 11.1%          | 22.5%             | 16.2%          |
| Bathroom            | 4.4%           | 20.4%             | 8.1%           |
| Dances              | 2.2%           | 16.3%             | 5.4%           |

**Comparisons of bullying groups**

The means and standard deviations for victims, bystanders, bullies, and bully-victims are shown in Table 2.
in depression compared to non-bystanders, \( t(121) = - .44, p = .66 \). Also, those who reported bullying others did not report higher levels of depression than non-bullies, \( t(118) = .53, p = .60 \). Contrary to the hypothesis, those who were bully-victims did not report feeling more depressed than those who were in the non-bully-victim group, \( t(123) = - .78, p = .44 \).

**Table 2.** Most frequent reasons why Bullying experience occurred

| Location                  | Was Victimized | Observed Bullying | Bullied Others |
|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Country of origin         | 33.3%          | 15.6%             | 10.5%          |
| Being different           | 25.5%          | 52.1%             | 31.6%          |
| Getting good grades       | 23.5%          | 12.5%             | 13.2%          |
| Clothes the victim wears  | 21.6%          | 41.7%             | 21.1%          |
| Being fat                 | 21.6%          | 53.1%             | 30%            |
| Color of their skin       | 19.6%          | 20.8%             | 16.2%          |
| Being a whim              | 17.7%          | 49%               | 32.5%          |
| Looking funny             | 15.7%          | 44.8%             | 17.5%          |
| Being short               | 15.7%          | 32.3%             | 26.3%          |
| Cannot get along with others | 11.8%   | 44.8%             | 29%            |
| Being skinny              | 9.8%           | 11.5%             | 8.1%           |
| Being gay                 | 9.8%           | 20.8%             | 18.4%          |
| Crying a lot              | ---            | 37.5%             | 29%            |
| Way the victim talks      | ---            | 34.4%             | 29%            |
| Friends being weird       | ---            | 32.3%             | 10%            |
| Getting angry often       | ---            | 22.9%             | 18.4%          |
| Being in special education| ---            | 20.8%             | 10.5%          |
| Getting bad grades        | ---            | 16.7%             | 13.2%          |
| Being poor                | ---            | 13.5%             | 13.2%          |
| Being disabled            | ---            | 12.5%             |                |

**Discrimination:** Expectedly, victims experienced higher levels of discrimination than non-victims, \( t(116) = - 2.46, p = .015, d = .46 \). Bystanders did not experience significantly more discrimination compared to non-bystanders, \( t(121) = -.49, p = .62 \). Those who identified as bullies, also, did not report higher levels of discrimination than non-bullies, \( t(118) = -.71, p = .48 \). Although those who were in the bully-victim group did not report higher levels of discrimination than the non-bully-victim group, the results were in that direction, \( t(123) = - 1.53, p = .130 \).
Positive Stereotype: Victims showed significant differences in being discriminated against via positive stereotyping than non-victims, $t(117) = -3.29, p < .01, d = .61$. Bystanders also reported higher levels of witnessing discrimination via positive stereotyping, $t(121) = -2.18, p = .03, d = .48$. Bullies did not experience higher levels of positive stereotyping, $t(118) = -.22, p = .83$. However, bully-victims reported experiencing higher levels of positive stereotyping, $t(123) = -2.00, p = .048, d = .45$.

Discomfort: Victims showed significant differences in feeling discomfort as a result of being discriminated against compared to non-victims, $t(89.91) = -2.15, p = .03, d = .28$. Neither bystanders ($t(121) = .72, p = .47$), bullies ($t(58.69) = -1.23, p = .22$), nor bully-victims ($t(29.17) = -1.31, p = .20$) reported experiencing higher levels of discomfort.

Unfairness: Victims report of unfairness was approaching an alpha level of .05, $t(117) = -1.82, p = .07$, but it was not significant. Bystanders ($t(121) = .25, p = .80$), bullies ($t(118) = -.39, p = .70$), and bully-victims ($t(123) = -.92, p = .36$) also did not report higher levels of unfairness.

Negative Stereotype: None of the four groups, victims ($t(117) = -1.40, p = .17$), bystanders ($t(121) = .22, p = .83$), bullies ($t(118) = -1.40, p = .16$), and bully-victims ($t(123) = -.88, p = .38$), reported higher levels of negative stereotype.

Bullying experiences and their mental health outcomes

Path analyses with manifest variables were used to assess how well the bullying models presented by Shin et al. (2011) replicated for a younger school age population. All path analyses were undertaken with LISREL 8.80. Model fit was assessed via several indices: $\chi^2$ – goodness-of-fit-statistic, CFI, and RMSEA comparative fit measures, and the SRMR residual-based fit. These indices have differing strengths and weaknesses. The pattern of those indices was used to determine the overall adequacy of the model. Individual parameter estimates (direct effects, indirect effects, and correlations) were tested via t-tests.

**Figure 1.** The victim model. Note: Standardized coefficients are shown (N=114); * $p < .05$; † $p < .10$.

**Figure 2.** The bystander model. Note: Standardized coefficients are shown (N=118); * $p < .05$; † $p < .10$. 
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Figure 3. The bully model. *Note: Standardized coefficients are shown (N=115). *p < .05.

Figure 4. The bully-victim model. *Note: Standardized coefficients are shown (N=120). *p < .05.

Victims: The results of the victims’ model are presented in Figure 1. Model modification results indicated one additional path (victim to positive stereotype) was added to the path provided by Shin et al. (2011). This modified model was generally supported: \( \chi^2 (4, N = 114) = 7.75, p = .10, RMSEA = .09, \) and \( CFI = .91. \) Only the SRMR (.07) indicated mediocre fit (values of .05 or less are indicative of well-fitting models). From Figure 1, it can be seen that two paths were not statistically significant, as compared to Shin et al. (2011). Specifically, the paths of white composition at school to victim and to unfair treatment were not replicated. Furthermore, there was no statistically significant indirect effect of white composition on depression. This non-significant indirect effect replicates the findings of Shin et al. (2011).

Bystander: The model for bystanders of bullying is represented in Figure 2. Modification indices supported the inclusion of an additional path (positive stereotype to unfair treatment). This model was supported for the junior high students: \( \chi^2 (7, N=118) = 11.31, p = .13 \) and \( RMSEA = .07. \) The CFI (.89) and SRMR (.06) suggested the model provided only a marginally adequate fit. Only two paths were not statistically significant compared to Shin et al. (2011), bystander to unfair treatment and U.S. born to depression (see Figure 2). There was only one statistically significant indirect effect. Specifically, positive stereotype had a significant impact on depression (.11, p < .05), which was mediated by unfair treatment.

Bully: The bully model is shown in Figure 3. Modification indices support the inclusion of an additional path (unfair treatment to discomfort). This model was supported: \( \chi^2 (9, N=115) = 10.83, p = .29, RMSEA = .04, \) and \( CFI = .97. \) Only the SRMR indicated marginal support (.07). There were no significant indirect effects. There were also three direct paths that were not supported by Shin et al. (2011; see Figure 3). Specifically, there was no relationship between white composition on positive stereotype, bullying on unfair treatment, and bullying on discomfort.

Bully-victims: In Figure 4, the results of the bully-victim model are presented. Model modification indices supported the inclusion of an additional path (positive
Discussion

As mentioned before, the bullying experience affected everyone involved, victims, bullies, and bystanders. There was an alarmingly high victim rate of approximately 42.9% among the Korean-American junior high school students who participated in the study. That means that more than two of every five students are being bullied, which is very high. The majority of students took part in the bullying experience as bystanders (72.8%) and are still at risk for developing negative side effects of bullying (Rivers et al., 2009).

Previous research anticipated the prevalence rate of bullies to be within 5 to 13% (Nansel et al., 2001; Perkins et al., 2011; Peskin et al., 2006); however, this study found that the prevalence rate of a Korean-American being a bully was (32.5%). This elevated prevalence rate for Korean-Americans is consistent with Shin et al. (2011) for Korean-Americans (31.5%); however, other research indicates that Asian-Americans are less likely to be bullies (Moran et al., 1993). Based on this study and Shin et al. (2011), Korean-Americans are two to six times more likely to be a bully than other ethnicities. Future research is needed to confirm these results.

As hypothesized based upon the literature, victims reported higher levels of depression ($M=15.66$), which approached the clinically significant level of 16, as determined by the instrument. However, the hypotheses for bullies and bully-victims reporting higher rates of depression were not supported. This could be because the bullies and bully-victims were ashamed to report depression, as it is looked down upon in the Asian-American community (Gary, 2005; Leong & Lau, 2001) and the bullies and bully-victims may try to keep up their strong and imperturbable façade.

In this study, discrimination was examined as a whole and by its individual components. Discrimination was broken down to unfair treatment, positive stereotype, negative stereotype, and discomfort, based upon Shin et al. (2011). As predicted, victims experienced more discrimination than non-victims. Victims thought they were discriminated against via positive stereotyping and general discomfort. Bystanders saw discrimination occur via positive stereotyping, and bully-victims thought they were discriminated against via positive stereotyping. Building the results upon one another, it appears that victims are more likely to suffer from depression due to discrimination, specifically positive stereotyping. Asian American individuals are often seen as model citizens, in that they do not get into trouble often and do well in school. This positive stereotyping could actually be doing more harm than good.

Looking at these models as a whole, white composition affected the bullying variable for bystander and had a negative effect on bullies. Unfair treatment affected depression, as in Shin et al. (2011). Positive stereotyping had more impact in this study for middle school students than for high school students (Shin et al., 2011). In the bystander and bully-victim models, positive stereotyping affected unfair treatment and indirectly affected depression. This could be because a lot of pressure is put on these individuals by their culture and parents to perform well. The pressure by their parents may have an additive effect with stereotyping, further increasing the depression. The bystanders may not be able to handle the pressure and thus become depressed. As in Shin et al. (2011), no evidence was found for an indirect effect of white composition on depression.

Two of the biggest factors that are related to depression are being treated unfairly and positive stereotyping. These findings show that the middle school-aged Korean-Americans experience depression as a result of being a victim of bullying and being treated unfairly, just as the high school-aged Korean-Americans do. These path analyses for middle school and high school (Shin et al., 2011), can be used to create culturally and age appropriate...
intervention and prevention models. The fact that positive stereotyping appears to be much more of a factor in the middle-school Korean-Americans, can help individuals tailor the bullying prevention and intervention plans toward limiting positive stereotyping. As such, intervention and prevention strategies could include educating staff and students about the dangers of positive stereotyping.

While these results are insightful, there are a few limitations to the study. The participants were from the New York and New Jersey school districts. Many Korean-Americans living in New York and New Jersey have a large Korean-American community surrounding them, which could moderate the effects of bullies and acculturation. This could affect the generalizability of the results.

It was also difficult to assess whether the prevalence of victims and bullies for Korean-Americans were high. There were no other ethnic groups in the study to compare the data. Future researchers should look at the prevalence rates across different ethnic groups.

Future research should also focus on the long-term effects of bullying-induced depression and discrimination and how that plays a role for Korean-Americans. It is possible that minorities are at a higher risk for bullying, possibly intensifying the long-term effects.
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