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Purpose. Outbreaks caused by infectious diseases are now serious public health events. At present, most hospitals have a high number of fever clinic attendances. In order to improve the efficiency of fever clinic screening, timely detection and control of infection sources, early detection, early isolation, and early treatment, our hospital explored the construction and effect of our fever clinic management model during the response period by constructing a fever clinic regular management model based on the principles of infection control.

Methods. 1300 cases (September 2021 to February 2022) with or without epidemiological history were divided into the control group (without epidemiological history) and the observation group (with epidemiological history) and patients were given differentiated management. A model of permanent management of a fever clinic during the epidemic was set up and evaluated by implementing the person responsible for epidemic positions, optimizing tertiary care, and strengthening nosocomial infection protection for health care workers.

Results. The results showed that patients in the observation group had a lower age of onset, a longer consultation time, and a higher proportion of patients with fever, which was different from the control group (P < 0.05). Compared with the control group, the proportion of routine blood tests, the proportion of four respiratory virus tests, and the per capita cost were higher in the observation group, and the differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05). There were no missed diagnoses, underreporting, cross-infections, or nosocomial infections in either group, and there were no significant differences between the two groups in terms of patients’ evaluation of management quality and satisfaction with management (P > 0.05). The skill level, management attitude, and standardized operation of outpatient clinic managers improved after the construction of a fever clinic standing management model based on infection control, and the recognition of patients was higher in the observation group (P < 0.05).

Conclusion. The construction of a fever outpatient routine management model based on the principle of infection control is conducive to the standardized implementation of the management and treatment of health care workers, early detection of the source of transmission to cut off the transmission route, avoiding cross-infection and nosocomial infection, and ensuring the safety of patients and health care workers.

1. Introduction

Outbreak epidemics caused by infectious diseases are currently serious public health events, and usually infectious diseases can be transmitted through direct contact with infected individuals, body fluids and excreta of infected individuals, and objects contaminated by infected individuals and can be transmitted through airborne, waterborne, food-borne, and contact transmission, with widespread and rapid infectivity [1, 2]. The dramatic increase in the number of patients in a short period of time poses a whole new challenge to medical institutions, and the fever clinic is the first line of defense in the prevention and control of infectious diseases in hospitals, and the consequences of this epidemic would have been unthinkable without the fever clinic for the initial screening of febrile patients for infectious diseases [3]. With the process of global integration, infectious diseases will also spread across national borders to all over the world, and the new infectious diseases, as well as the speed and spread of infectious diseases, are
unanticipated. Therefore, during epidemics, in order to ensure the safety of the medical system and social stability, medical institutions should pay attention to and improve the construction of fever clinic management systems, both in terms of department construction, personnel management, and hardware construction, should be thoroughly considered [4, 5].

At present, China has entered a period of normalized prevention and control of infectious diseases, and the management of infectious diseases has also entered a stage of normalized prevention and control [6]. However, in the turbulent situation of global epidemic, domestic epidemic prevention and control still face the pressure of external prevention of importation and internal prevention of rebound, and it is imperative to strengthen the construction of fever clinics and comprehensive control of nosocomial infections. Research reports on fever clinics in China in recent years have shown that the construction of fever clinics across the country is severely weakened or even virtual, revealing many problems in the prevention and control of infectious diseases [7, 8]. The reasons for this situation are the lack of a reasonable systematic orientation of the fever clinic, the irrationality of the medical and nursing staff, the lack of ability of the medical and nursing staff to screen and handle febrile diseases, and the lack of identification, prevention, and control of infectious diseases. This shows that the previous management model of fever clinics had more drawbacks, which could not effectively screen patients with new coronary pneumonia and increased the risk of cross-infection within the hospital [9, 10]. The prevention and control of infectious diseases should not be taken lightly, which requires us to gradually form a set of systematic long-term prevention and control strategies, combine the infectiousness of diseases, the patient's condition, and the hospital's condition, and continuously optimize the management mode of fever clinics to timely detect and control the source of infection, achieve early detection, early isolation, and early treatment [11, 12]. Since the outbreak, based on the principle of infection control, our hospital has built a model for the regular management of fever clinics and implemented it to strengthen the standardized management of all aspects by implementing the person responsible for the epidemic and optimizing the three-level treatment. The following is a report on the regular management of our hospital's independent fever clinic based on the principle of infection control.

2. Objects and Methods

2.1. Subjects. We selected 1300 patients who attended our fever clinic from September 2021 to February 2022, and divided them into the control group (no epidemiological history) and the observation group (with epidemiological history) according to whether they had epidemiological history or not and gave them different management and treatment.

2.2. Medical History Collection. The fever clinic doctors collected detailed clinical information from patients, asking about gender, age, temperature, clinical manifestations, time of consultation, complaints, symptoms, epidemiological history, underlying medical history, previous diagnosis and treatment, and conducting necessary physical examination; nurses were responsible for specimen sampling.

2.3. Inclusion Criteria. Age ≥14 years; patients with a relevant epidemiological history of pneumonia or influenza, with or without fever or respiratory symptoms; patients with fever or respiratory symptoms, normal or reduced white blood cell count and imaging signs of pneumonia; The study was approved by the hospital's medical ethics committee and patients signed an informed Consent Form.

2.4. Exclusion Criteria. Patients with severe cardiac, pulmonary, hepatic, or renal insufficiency; patients with psychiatric disorders; severe malignancies; autoimmune diseases; and patients without significant symptoms, signs, or epidemiological history, except for the purpose of health checkups or consultations.

2.5. Methods

2.5.1. Reagents. (1) Terminal blood analysis was performed using the reagents accompanying the LH750/755 automatic hematology analyzer (Beckman, USA); (2) C-reactive protein was detected using rapid CRP detection reagents (Guangdong Wan Torch Testing Instruments Co.)

2.5.2. Instruments. (1) Peripheral blood analysis was performed by our laboratory using LH750/755 automatic hematology analyzer (Beckman, USA); (2) C-reactive protein was performed using i-CHROMATM Reader immunofluorescence analyzer.

2.5.3. Peripheral Blood Examination. The specimen collection was completed by the medical and nursing staff of the special window of fever clinic in our hospital clinical examination room, and the examination was performed by the outpatient clinical examination room.

2.6. Management Methods. To perform high quality outpatient management service work for all patients and optimize the outpatient management model, the specific elements include the following.

2.6.1. Strengthen Medical Staff Management. ☑ Strengthen the preservice training work. Pay attention to the management of protective equipment, arrange special personnel to carry out preservice training on the wearing of protective equipment for medical staff, strengthen the follow-up supervision of on-the-job staff, and do a good job of medical staff protection to avoid infection of medical staff. ☑ Strengthening skills training. Using a variety of training methods such as online meetings, on-site exchanges, and individual training, to exchange and learn about the content of knowledge related to the treatment and care of infectious
diseases; to improve the service capacity and level of medical workers in all aspects. ③ Strengthen the psychological intervention of medical workers. Understand and try to meet the daily needs of medical staff, make reasonable adjustments in light of the actual situation, help medical staff reduce work pressure, and at the same time encourage medical staff to master self-regulation methods to alleviate adverse psychology and promote their ability to devote themselves to their work.

2.6.2. Establishment of Emergency Planning System and Leadership Group and Implementation of Post Responsible Persons. Equipped with auxiliary medical and technical personnel, logistic support personnel and disinfection and cleaning personnel, and urgently dispatched the chief of respiratory department and the head nurse with rich practical experience and strong years of experience to carry out comprehensive coordination of fever clinic scheduling, personnel ranking and material management. A consultation expert group composed of the chief physicians of the emergency department, respiratory medicine, ICU, and radiology departments was formed to conduct expert consultation on difficult cases encountered in the fever clinic, as well as confirmed and suspected cases screened out. A series of emergency plans were prepared at the same time.

2.6.3. Infection Prevention and Control. A disinfector was installed in the fever clinic, and ozone disinfection was performed in the unoccupied state, and air disinfection was performed and registered in the occupied state. Disinfection of floors and objects with disinfectant solution, disinfection of thermometers with 1,000 mg/L chlorine-containing disinfectant solution, and wiping of stethoscopes with ethanol; nurses checked and recorded the use of disinfectant solution; garbage was collected and run by dedicated staff.

2.6.4. Patient Differentiation Management. ① The fever clinic was divided into contaminated, semipolluted, and clean areas, and the three areas were clearly divided without cross-contamination; the contaminated area had independent functional areas such as registration room, pharmacy, test room, CT room, waiting room, consultation room, detention room, and infusion room; the semipolluted area had two buffer rooms and treatment room; the clean area was a special living area for medical staff. ② According to the requirements of the Health and Wellness Commission, our hospital installed the only prescreening and triage channel at the entrance of the emergency clinic, where all incoming patients complete prescreening and triage (temperature measurement and epidemiological history inquiry). Patients were registered and triaged in a timely manner after entering the outpatient clinic.

2.6.5. Triage Process. Fever clinic staff promptly reminded patients to wear masks, understood patients’ basic conditions, i.e., any history of contact with patients with infectious diseases, measured patients’ body temperature, and registered patients’ basic information. Patients with no epidemiological history, 24h temperature ≥37.3°C or respiratory symptoms registered routinely asked for medical history and physical examination and were triaged to a specialist after completing the appropriate tests. Patients with an epidemiological history, high-to-intermediate-risk epidemic areas, and aggregated morbidity were triaged to special fever clinics, where routine blood tests, four tests for respiratory viruses, and a chest CT were performed and then isolated for observation. Patients excluded from diagnosis by ruling out infection were triaged to specialists and treated accordingly to their condition. Patients with confirmed infections will be admitted to the specialist for treatment, and the fever clinician will call back until their symptoms disappear.

2.6.6. Preaching to Patients and Family Members. All patients and their family members entered the fever clinic were required to wear masks correctly, and the triage nurse was responsible for teaching them the methods, making health education, instructing precautions such as collecting specimens, popularizing knowledge of infectious diseases and personal protection methods, and instructing family members not to directly touch items used by patients and to disinfect them immediately after contact.

The fever clinic operated on a 24-hour basis, with one attending physician or higher in charge of the clinic during the operation period, and secondary protection was implemented throughout the consultation process in strict accordance with infectious disease prevention and control requirements.

2.7. Observation Index

① Comparison of the percentage of completion of auxiliary examination results: statistics on the completion of routine blood tests, four items of respiratory virus, chest CT, and other auxiliary examinations in the two groups of patients, per capita.

② Effectiveness of the operation of the standing management model: Operation of the standing management model was analyzed in terms of missed diagnosis, underreporting, and cross-infection of medical staff.

③ Evaluation of patient management quality scores, nursing skill level, nursing attitude, satisfaction rate, and incidence of cross-infection. Management quality score: Based on the actual situation of the outpatient department, we made our own “Outpatient Management Quality Survey Scale,” surveyed patients, evaluated nursing staff behavior, work content, nursing skill level and nursing attitude, and obtained patients’ scores on outpatient management quality to determine the management quality of the outpatient department management model. A full score of 100 points was obtained, with higher scores indicating better nursing skill levels and nursing
attitudes, and from this, the effect of management process optimization was determined.

Satisfaction rate: Based on the actual situation of the outpatient department, we made our own “Outpatient Management Satisfaction Survey Scale” and conducted research on patients to evaluate the behavior and nursing attitude of nursing staff, and based on the patients’ scores, we determined the patients’ recognition and satisfaction with the management mode. The total score was 0–100, of which ≥95 was very satisfied, 80–94 was satisfied, 60–79 was average, and <60 was dissatisfied. Satisfaction rate = (very satisfied + satisfied)/total number × 100%.

2.8. Statistical Methods. The SPSS 22.0 statistical software was used to process the data, and the measurement data were expressed as the “mean ± standard deviation,” and the t-test was used to compare the means between groups; the counting data were calculated as percentages, and the corrected \( \chi^2 \) test was used to compare the rates between groups. The test level \( \alpha = 0.05 \), and the difference was considered statistically significant at \( P < 0.05 \).

3. Results

3.1. Analysis of the Results of Basic Patient Data. The clinical data of the patients included in this study were collated, i.e., patient age, gender, clinical symptoms, and body temperature. Compared with the control group, patients in the observation group had lower age of onset, longer consultation time, and higher proportion of febrile patients, and all differences were statistically significant (\( P < 0.05 \)). There was no statistically significant difference in the proportion of gender, age, and proportion of clinical symptoms between the two groups (\( P > 0.05 \)) as shown in Table 1.

3.2. Analysis of Patients’ Auxiliary Examination Results. Compared with the control group, the proportion of routine blood tests, the proportion of four respiratory virus tests, and the per capita cost were higher in the observation group, and

### Table 1: Analysis of the results of basic patient data.

| Indicators                  | Control group (\( n = 598 \)) | Observation group (\( n = 702 \)) | \( t/\chi^2 \) value | P Value |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Gender (\( n, \% \))       | Male 305 (51.00)                | Female 293 (49.00)               | 0.166                | 0.684   |
| Age (years; mean ± SD)     | 38.46 ± 3.14                    | 34.78 ± 1.79                    | 0.001                |         |
| Body temperature ≥37.3°C (n, %) | 171 (28.60)                | 249 (35.47)                     | 0.009                |         |
| Throat discomfort/ soreness |                                |                                  |                      |         |
| Muscle aches and pains      | 7 (1.17)                        | 8 (1.14)                        | 0.003                | 0.958   |
| Lethargy                    | 15 (2.51)                       | 15 (2.14)                       | 0.198                | 0.657   |
| Diarrhea                    | 17 (2.84)                       | 16 (2.28)                       | 0.415                | 0.520   |
| Difficulty in breathing     | 20 (3.34)                       | 21 (2.99)                       | 0.019                | 0.891   |
| Cough                       | 221 (36.96)                     | 230 (32.76)                     | 2.506                | 0.113   |
| Stuffy/runny nose           | 12 (2.01)                       | 16 (2.28)                       | 0.114                | 0.736   |
| Chest pain                  | 11 (1.84)                       | 10 (1.42)                       | 0.350                | 0.554   |
| Duration of visit (minutes; mean ± SD) | 22.43 ± 3.12 | 34.25 ± 3.31 | 65.882 | 0.001 |

3.3. Analysis of Operation Effect. The number of missed and underreported cases during the operation of the infection control-based fever clinic standing management model was 0 (0.00%), the number of nosocomial infections that occurred was 0 (0.00%), and the number of cross-infections among obligated personnel was 0 (0.00%).

3.4. Comparative Analysis of Management Quality Scores. There was no statistically significant difference between the management quality scores of the two groups (\( P > 0.05 \)). After the regular management of the fever clinic, the patients in the observation group rated the nursing level and nursing attitude of the nursing staff significantly better than those in the control group and the differences were all statistically significant (\( P < 0.05 \)) as shown in Figure 1.

3.5. Analysis of Patient Satisfaction Rate. The satisfaction rate of patients in the observation group was 99.86% and the satisfaction rate of patients in the control group was 99.50% for the normative management mode, and it was found that the satisfaction rates of patients in both the groups were close to each other and the difference was not statistically significant (\( P > 0.05 \)) as shown in Table 3.

4. Discussion

The key to the prevention and control of infectious diseases lies in the cutting off of transmission routes, and the fever clinic is the main place for the prevention and control of infectious diseases, which can screen patients with infectious diseases at an early stage, give them isolation treatment in...
time, and cut off the transmission routes of infectious diseases [13]. However, some infectious diseases do not always present with febrile symptoms, and it is difficult to fully identify infected patients based on body temperature alone. Furthermore, normal patients attending the clinic may present with atypical clinical features of infectious diseases, making it difficult to identify infected cases. Therefore, medical institutions need to carry out prevention and control according to the characteristics of the epidemic [14, 15]. Studies have shown [16] that patients with a previous epidemiologic history are more likely to be infected with infectious diseases than those without a previous epidemiologic history, and therefore the isolation and protection of such patients should be emphasized. Patients with no epidemiological history are at risk of potential infection by the virus, influenced by other factors, and also at risk of infectious diseases. Therefore, in order to effectively control the development of infectious diseases, we zoned patients according to the presence or absence of epidemiological history, strengthened the disinfection of the fever clinic area, strictly followed the national disinfection and sterilization protocols established for fever clinics, and carried out medical staff protection according to the national fever clinic medical staff protection requirements.

In this study, there was no statistically significant difference in the proportion of clinical symptoms between patients in the control group and the observation group, while the rate of chest CT examination in patients in the observation group was significantly higher than that in the control group. There were no cases of missed diagnosis or underreporting of infected patients, and no cases of nosocomial infection or cross-infection during the implementation of the normalized management model. By strengthening skills training and psychological interventions for medical workers in their daily work, we can effectively control the occurrence of nosocomial infections and cross-infections and reduce the rate of underreporting by improving the service ability and level of medical workers and promoting their all-round commitment to their work [17–19].

The results of this study also showed that the proportion of routine blood tests and the proportion of four tests for respiratory viruses were higher in patients in the observation group than in those in the control group. With the passage of time, the patients’ awareness of the symptoms related to infectious diseases and the possible consequences was deeper, their own resistance to screening was lower, and the high importance of the special fever clinic process for

| Indicators                  | Control group (n = 598) | Observation group (n = 702) | t/y^2 value | P Value |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------|
| Routine blood tests (n, %)  | 472 (78.93)             | 681 (97.01)                 | 105.238     | 0.001  |
| Respiratory virus quadruple test (n, %) | 88 (14.72)             | 567 (80.77)                 | 563.600     | 0.001  |
| Chest CT (n, %)             | 120 (20.07)             | 569 (81.05)                 | 482.168     | 0.001  |
| Per capita cost (yuan; mean ± SD) | 356.82 ± 251.09         | 736.71 ± 295.43            | 24.741      | 0.001  |

Table 2: Analysis of patients’ auxiliary examination results.

![Figure 1:](image_url) Comparative analysis of management treatment scores. Note: In the figure, A is the control group and B is the observation group. Figure (a) shows the management quality score, (b) shows the nursing level score, and (c) shows the nursing attitude score. * is the comparison between the two groups, P < 0.05.

| Indicators                  | Control group (n = 598) | Observation group (n = 702) | χ^2 value | P Value |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Very satisfied (n, %)       | 346 (57.86)             | 387 (55.13)                 | —         | —      |
| Satisfied (n, %)            | 153 (25.59)             | 198 (28.21)                 | —         | —      |
| General (n, %)              | 96 (16.05)              | 116 (16.52)                 | —         | —      |
| Dissatisfied (n, %)         | 3 (0.50)                | 1 (0.14)                    | —         | —      |
| Satisfaction rate (n, %)    | 595 (99.50)             | 701 (99.86)                 | 1.358     | 0.244  |

Table 3: Analysis of patient satisfaction rate.
infectious diseases enhanced the screening of infected patients to a higher degree [20]. The study also found that the average cost difference between the two groups was more than double, which was also directly related to the different proportions of routine blood, respiratory virus quadruple test, neo-coronavirus nucleic acid test, and the chest CT examination, indirectly indicating that the triage process optimized the screening process for COVID-19 infection and accelerated the patient’s consultation process. After the operation of the permanent management model, in order to obtain the corresponding evaluation from the side of the responsible subject, this paper sent out a satisfaction questionnaire for patients, and the satisfaction rate was over 99%, which shows that patients give very high affirmation to the management model. The application of the standing management model can effectively shorten the time for fever clinic nurses to classify and study febrile patients and patients in epidemic areas, and patients with nonneocoviral infections are rapidly triaged to the corresponding departments to improve patient consultation satisfaction [21].

Shortcomings: (1) Various indicators are affected by policy adjustments; therefore, there may be some abnormal fluctuations in the observed data; (2) This study is preliminary data and further research is still needed. The above shortcomings may interfere with the accuracy of the results of this study, and further studies with extended observation times are needed to further confirm the findings of this study.

As mentioned above, fever clinics have a large number of susceptible people and carriers, and the concentration of people and the long stay of patients make it easy for nosocomial infections to occur. The fever clinic should continuously adjust its operation mode, improve the professional skills of medical staff and their ability to respond to emergencies, and realize the management of patients according to their epidemiological history to prevent cross-infection.
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