Electron and Hole Capture Cross-Sections of Fe Acceptors in GaN:Fe Epitaxially Grown on Sapphire

T. AGGERSTAM,1,2 A. PINOS,1 S. MARCINKEVIČIUS,1 M. LINNARSSON,1 and S. LOURDUDOSS1

1.—Department of Microelectronics and Applied Physics, Royal Institute of Technology, Electrum 229, 164 40 Kista, Sweden. 2.—e-mail: aggis@imit.kth.se

Carrier trapping of Fe3+/Fe2+ deep acceptors in epitaxially grown GaN:Fe on sapphire was studied by time-resolved photoluminescence. For the investigated Fe doping levels on the order of $10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3}$, the luminescence decay times are strongly dependent on the Fe concentration, indicating that Fe centers act as predominant nonradiative recombination channels. Linear dependence of the decay time on the iron concentration allows estimation of the electron capture cross-section for the Fe3+ ions, which is equal to $1.9 \times 10^{-15} \text{cm}^2$. The upper bound for the cross-section of the hole capture of Fe2+ was evaluated as $1 \times 10^{-15} \text{cm}^2$.
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**INTRODUCTION**

AlGaN/GaN high electron mobility transistors (HEMTs) are excellent candidates for high-power and high-frequency applications at elevated temperatures. For its performance to be brought out fully, a HEMT structure is grown on a semi-insulating (SI) layer or substrate to secure drain-source current saturation, channel pinch-off and low loss at high frequencies. Since SI GaN substrates are expensive, an epitaxial layer of an SI buffer layer on a relatively cheap sapphire substrate is normally used.1 A straightforward way of achieving semi-insulation is to compensate the residual donors by deep acceptors. Fe acts as a deep acceptor in GaN,2,3 and it has been employed to dope buffer layers in AlGaN/GaN HEMT structures.4,5 The unintentionally doped buffer layer is otherwise n-type, which would result in parallel conduction in HEMT structures. Because of this technological importance, properties of Fe in GaN have been studied quite extensively. These studies, however, were mainly concentrated on Fe energy levels within the host material as well as intra-ion transitions. Properties of free carrier capture and recombination at the Fe centers, including capture cross-sections, have barely been approached. An electron capture cross-section of $2.3 \times 10^{-16} \text{cm}^2$ for Fe-related centers has been evaluated by Polyakov and co-workers in Fe-implanted and annealed layers by means of admittance spectroscopy.6 However, as the authors state in their subsequent publication,7 annealing does not completely eliminate implantation-induced defects; thus, this cross-section is attributed to Fe complexes with irradiation defects rather than to pure Fe centers. For a study of deep Fe acceptors, which are Fe3+ in the neutral state and Fe2+ in the excited state, doped samples are preferable. In this article, we estimate carrier capture cross-sections in Fe-doped GaN based on experimental time-resolved photoluminescence (PL) data.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE**

The GaN films studied were grown by low-pressure metal–organic vapor phase epitaxy (LP-MOVPE) on c-plane sapphire. Trimethylgallium (TMG) and ammonia were used as precursors. Ferrocene (Cp2Fe) was used as the iron source. A film was

---
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Figure 1 shows spectrally integrated PL transients for the GaN:Fe layers measured at the energy of the GaN band gap (3.5 eV). The signal decay is of a single exponential type, which suggests nonradiative recombination as the main carrier recombination channel. Comparison of the PL transients for the Fe-doped and the undoped samples allows us to conclude that this nonradiative recombination proceeds through the Fe centers, since, for the undoped sample (inset in Fig. 1), the PL decay is at least one order of magnitude slower than that for the Fe-doped samples. Obviously, doped and undoped GaN layers contain numerous native carrier traps and recombination centers related to point and extended defects. However, their influence on carrier capture in the Fe-doped layers can be considered to be minor for the Fe concentrations used in this study. This assumption is based on the X-ray data and the relatively long recombination time in the undoped GaN layer. It is known that the broadening of the FWHM of the X-ray rocking curve in the (102) asymmetric orientation is associated with edge-type threading dislocations. From the little variation in FWHM of the X-ray rocking curves with increasing Fe concentration, see Table I, it is clear that the concentration of the trap-inducing dislocations should be relatively constant in all the samples. The latter observation suggests similar concentrations of the native traps and related carrier capture times in the Fe-doped and the undoped samples.

The PL decay times for all Fe-doped layers are gathered in Fig. 2. The decay times were extracted from the PL transients after deconvolution with the system response. The decay times are inversely proportional to the total Fe concentration. Observed dependence of the PL decay times on the Fe ion concentration allows us to evaluate cross-sections of the electron capture to the Fe centers. Here, we assume that all iron dopants are either in the Fe$^{3+}$ or Fe$^{2+}$ state. Residual donors, which would ionize neutral Fe$^{3+}$ into excited Fe$^{2+}$, are present at concentrations that are much lower than that of the Fe ions. Therefore, prior to the photoexcitation, the majority of Fe ions are in the neutral state, i.e., Fe$^{3+}$, with only 1 × 10$^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ in the Fe$^{2+}$ state. During the PL process, [Fe$^{2+}$] is a dynamic quantity because of the photoexcited electron and hole trapping (electron trapping changes Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$; hole trapping changes it back). Nevertheless, the single exponential decay with time constant $\tau$ agrees with a linear model in...
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which a small fraction of the total Fe concentration is ionized during the recombination dynamics. In the opposite case, the PL decay would experience multi-exponential behavior. If, accordingly, both Fe$^{3+}$ or Fe$^{2+}$ concentrations are considered constant during the recombination, the PL decay time $\tau$ and the center concentrations can be related as follows:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = v_e\sigma_e[Fe^{3+}] + v_h\sigma_h[Fe^{2+}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $[Fe^{3+}]$ and $[Fe^{2+}]$ denote concentrations of neutral and ionized Fe centers, $v_{e(h)}$ and $\sigma_{e(h)}$ are the electron and hole thermal velocity and capture cross-section, respectively. The values of $v_e$ and $v_h$ used are 2.4 $\times$ 10$^7$ cm/s and 1.2 $\times$ 10$^7$ cm/s and are obtained with values of electron and hole effective mass equal to $m_e = 0.20m_0$ and $m_h = 0.80m_0$, respectively. The total iron concentration measured by SIMS is $[Fe] = [Fe^{3+}] + [Fe^{2+}]$. One can rewrite Eq. 1 as:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = v_e\sigma_e[Fe] + (v_h\sigma_h - v_e\sigma_e)[Fe^{2+}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

According to this model, by plotting $1/\tau$ versus $[Fe]$, one should obtain a straight line, which, indeed, is the case, see Fig. 2. This also confirms that Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ is the predominant nonradiative recombination channel. The slope linear dependence allows one to evaluate $\sigma_e$ for Fe$^{3+}$ as $1.9 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$. We evaluated the hole capture cross-section by simulating PL transients with a numerical model that took into account radiative and nonradiative carrier recombination as well as the measurement system’s temporal resolution. In the simulations, the concentration of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ states was treated dynamically; trapping of Fe and native defects was considered. Figure 3 shows simulation results for the sample (a) with $1.7 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$ Fe concentration performed for the electron capture cross-section $\sigma_e = 1.9 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$ and different hole capture cross-sections. As can be seen, successful fitting of calculated and experimental values requires a hole capture cross-section of $\sigma_h = 1 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$. With larger cross-sections, the calculated PL decay is too fast compared to the experimental data. For $\sigma_h < 1 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$, the variation of hole capture cross-section does not have a major influence on the shape of the PL transient. Thus, $\sigma_h = 1 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$ can be assumed as the higher limit of the hole capture cross-section for Fe$^{2+}$ in GaN. The hole capture-cross section seems to assume a lower value than the corresponding parameter for the electrons. This is in accord with the multiphonon capture mechanism valid for the Fe recombination centers and the Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ level position in GaN. PL excitation measurements show that the acceptor level is situated in the band gap at 2.5 eV from the valence band, with an excited level at 3 eV from the valence band. This means that energetically Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ levels are closer to the conduction than to the valence band. In the multiphonon capture model, $\sigma \propto \exp (-E_i/kT)$, where $E_i$ is the trap binding energy. Clearly, with the Fe centers being closer to the conduction band, electron trapping is favored.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, carrier trapping due to Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ in epitaxially grown GaN:Fe was studied by...
time-resolved photoluminescence. For the investigated Fe doping levels of the order of $10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$, the luminescence decay times were strongly dependent on the Fe concentration, indicating that Fe centers act as predominant nonradiative recombination channels. Inverse linear dependence of the decay time on the iron concentration allows one to estimate carrier capture cross-sections for the Fe ions. The electron capture cross-sections for Fe$^{3+}$ ions was evaluated as $1.9 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$. For the hole capture for Fe$^{2+}$, the upper cross-section limit of $1 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$ was derived.
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