TECHNICAL ADVANCE

A 22-nt artificial microRNA mediates widespread RNA silencing in Arabidopsis
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SUMMARY

It is known that 22-nucleotide (nt) microRNAs (miRNAs) derived from asymmetric duplexes trigger phased small-interfering RNA (phasiRNA) production from complementary targets. Here we investigate the efficacy of 22-nt artificial miRNA (amiRNA)-mediated RNA silencing relative to conventional hairpin RNA (hpRNA) and 21-nt amiRNA-mediated RNA silencing. CHALCONE SYNTHASE (CHS) was selected as a target in Arabidopsis thaliana due to the obvious and non-lethal loss of anthocyanin accumulation upon widespread RNA silencing. Over-expression of CHS in the pap1-D background facilitated visual detection of both local and systemic RNA silencing. RNA silencing was initiated in leaf tissues from hpRNA and amiRNA plant expression vectors under the control of an Arabidopsis RuBisCo small subunit 1A promoter (SSU). In this system, hpRNA expression triggered CHS silencing in most leaf tissues but not in roots or seed coats. Similarly, 21-nt amiRNA expression from symmetric miRNA/miRNA* duplexes triggered CHS silencing in all leaf tissues but not in roots or seed coats. However, 22-nt amiRNA expression from an asymmetric duplex triggered CHS silencing in all tissues, including roots and seed coats, in the majority of plant lines. This widespread CHS silencing required RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE6-mediated accumulation of phasiRNAs from the endogenous CHS transcript. These results demonstrate the efficacy of asymmetric 22-nt amiRNA-directed RNA silencing and associated phasiRNA production and activity, in mediating widespread RNA silencing of an endogenous target gene. Asymmetric 22-nt amiRNA-directed RNA silencing requires little modification of existing amiRNA technology and is expected to be effective in suppressing other genes and/or members of gene families.
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INTRODUCTION

In plants, diverse populations of small RNAs (sRNAs) are produced by the dicing action of members of the DICER-LIKE (DCL) protein family from structurally distinct double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) precursor transcripts (Xie et al., 2004). These sRNAs act both locally and as mobile signals for the regulation of gene expression, providing sequence specificity to the RNA-induced silencing complex, RISC (Palaquí et al., 1997; Dunoyer et al., 2010; Molnar et al., 2010; Parent et al., 2012).

In Arabidopsis thaliana (Arabidopsis), small-interfering RNAs (siRNAs) of 21-, 22- and 24-nucleotides (nt) in length are processed from perfectly self-complementary dsRNA precursors by the hierarchical and redundant activity of DCL4, DCL2 and DCL3 respectively (Deleris et al., 2006; Fusaro et al., 2006). These dsRNAs are produced from either replicating viruses, the activity of plant encoded RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASES (RDR) or from inverted repeat encoding genes that are transcribed into hairpin RNA (hpRNA) (Lindbo et al., 1993; Waterhouse et al., 1998). hpRNA transgenes and virus vectors are widely used in the knock-down of homologous transgenes, invading viruses or endogenous transcripts (Watson et al.,...
2005). However, some instability of hpRNA transgene expression and hpRNA-mediated RNA silencing is observed, particularly in the silencing of endogenous targets, due to self-targeting for transcriptional gene silencing (TGS) and a lack of transitive amplification of the silencing signal from endogenous transcripts (Vaistij et al., 2002; Himber et al., 2003; Dong et al., 2011).

 Mature microRNAs (miRNAs) are primarily processed as ~21-nt duplexes from stem-loop miRNA precursor transcripts (pri-miRNA and pre-miRNA) by the action of DCL1 (Park et al., 2002; Reinhart et al., 2002). One strand of the miRNA duplex is preferentially selected by DOUBLE-STRANDED RNA BINDING1 (DRB1, also known as HYPO-NASTIC LEAVES1, HYL1) for loading into AGONAUTE1 (AGO1), the catalytic core of RISC (Baumberger and Baulcombe, 2005; Eamens et al., 2009). The loaded miRNA guides RISC to identify and subsequently cleave complementary target mRNAs via AGO1 slicer activity (Baumberger and Baulcombe, 2005). Modification of miRNA precursor transcripts to include a desired duplex sequence allows for the efficient and highly specific cleavage of selected targets via artificial miRNA (amiRNA)-directed RNA silencing (Schwab et al., 2006; Eamens et al., 2009, 2011). Aside from a unique class of miRNA that are processed by DCL3 into 24-nt duplexes, miRNAs are not reported to mediate DNA methylation and as such do not self-target for TGS (Wu et al., 2011).

 A subset of miRNA/target transcript interactions triggers RDR6-mediated dsRNA synthesis from the cleaved transcript (Peragine, 2004; Vazquez et al., 2004; Allen et al., 2005). The miRNA-directed cleavage site sets the register for phased dsRNA processing by components of the siRNA biogenesis pathway into predominantly 21-nt trans-acting siRNAs (ta siRNAs) (Allen et al., 2005; Xie et al., 2005; Yoshikawa et al., 2005). The first tasiRNAs to be described were shown to be generated from transcripts with dual target sites for miR390, in a manner dependent on miR390-loaded AGO7 binding the 5′ target site without cleavage while the 3′ miR390 target site is cleaved (Axtell et al., 2006; Montgomery et al., 2008). Recently, 21- or 22-nt miRNAs derived from precursors that have an asymmetric single-nucleotide bulge in the miRNA/miRNA* duplex have been implicated in tasiRNA biogenesis (Chen et al., 2010; Cuperus et al., 2010; Manavella et al., 2012). These miRNAs derived from asymmetric duplexes trigger phased siRNA (phasiRNA) production from the miRNA cleavage site to the 3′ end of a target transcript, despite loading into the same AGO as non-phasiRNA triggering miRNAs, AGO1 (Cuperus et al., 2010). Artificial TAS (a TAS) transcripts that harbour target sites for tasiRNA generating miRNAs mediate effective RNA silencing of complementary targets and have been demonstrated to offer greater sRNA silencing signal mobility than either amiRNAs or hpRNA-derived siRNAs (de la Luz Gutiérrez-Nava et al., 2008; Tretter et al., 2008; de Felippes et al., 2010, 2012).

 These recent findings provide another avenue to exploit endogenous RNA silencing mechanisms through the artificially triggered production of phasiRNAs from targeted transcripts. To evaluate the capacity for endogenous, non-TAS transcripts to support phasiRNA production, and to determine the relative strength and spread of the resulting silencing signal, we took advantage of PAP1/MYB75 (AT1G56650) transcription factor-mediated over-expression of the anthocyanin pathway in the pap1-D background (Borevitz et al., 2000; Weigel et al., 2000; Shi and Xie, 2010). In Arabidopsis pap1-D plants, we assessed the capacity of various RNA silencing plant expression vectors to mediate widespread silencing of the endogenous CHALCONE SYNTHASE (CHS, AT5G13930) locus. CHS is involved in the initial steps of anthocyanin biosynthesis and is required for accumulation of pigments responsible for the brown colour of wild-type Arabidopsis seed coats and the purple colour of leaves and roots in pap1-D plants (Shirley et al., 1995). Here we demonstrate that an asymmetric duplex derived 22-nt amiRNA, but not a conventional 21-nt amiRNA, can trigger phasiRNA production from an endogenous, non-TAS transcript. Furthermore, we show that the amplification and diversification of the RNA silencing signal enhances both the strength and spread of the 22-nt amiRNA-mediated silencing phenotype.

RESULTS

Widespread silencing with an SSU promoter-driven hpRNA targeting a GFP transgene

The expression domain of the Arabidopsis RuBisCo small subunit 1A (AT1G67090) gene promoter (SSU) was assessed by visual screening of fluorescence in lines transformed with an SSU promoter-driven GFP transgene (SSU_GFP). GFP fluorescence was markedly weaker in SSU_GFP transgenics than in plant lines that expressed a Cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter (35S) driven GFP transgene (35S_GFP). GFP fluorescence in SSU_GFP transformants was also restricted to developing aerial tissues, including the hypocotyl, cotyledons, petioles and leaves (Figure 1a). Despite this low level and localised SSU promoter-driven expression, a hpRNA targeting a 278-bp region of GFP (the central ‘P’ region of GFP, T1 plants, n = 2) efficiently silenced the 35S_GFP transgene in all plant tissues of two independent transgenic lines when these alleles were combined by genetic crossing (Figure 1a). This widespread silencing of GFP was observed despite the low level of hpRNA-derived siRNAs detected by northern blotting (Figure 1b). Transitive production of siRNAs corresponding to the ‘P’ region of GFP (downstream of the hpRNA targeted ‘F’ region) was readily
detected, a finding that suggested that the observed silencing phenotype was mostly directed by this sRNA class (Figure 1b). The strong systemic silencing response associated with RDR6-dependent transitive siRNA production is well documented in hpRNA-directed silencing of transgenes (Vaistij et al., 2002).

Silencing is restricted in SSU_hpCHS plants

In contrast with the strong systemic silencing response observed in hpRNA-directed silencing of GFP, a similar SSU promoter-driven hpRNA transgene targeting CHS (SSU_hpCHS) triggered only limited silencing in rosette leaves of pap1-D transformants (T1 plants, n = 21). Anthocyanin accumulation persisted in the hypocotyl, young leaves, petioles, roots and seed coat of pap1-D/SSU_hpCHS plants (Figure 2) and appeared to have no effect on anthocyanin accumulation in wild-type Arabidopsis (Col-0) transformants (SSU_hpCHS, T1 plants, n = 19).

The accumulation of hpRNA-derived CHS ‘H’ region-specific siRNAs in tissues sampled from SSU_hpCHS transformed plants was only detectable in pap1-D transformants and is thought to remain below detection sensitivities in Col-0 transformants (Figure 3). Surprisingly, a low level of transitivity produced ‘S’ region-specific siRNAs were also detected in pap1-D transformants (Figure 3). These results suggest limited transitive amplification of the silencing signal from the over-expressed endogenous CHS transcript in the pap1-D background may account for the detectable quantity of both ‘H’ and ‘S’ region-specific siRNAs in these plant lines.

Enhanced local silencing in SSU_amiR21-CHS lines

An SSU promoter-driven amiRNA vector was designed to produce symmetric 21-nt duplexes that targeted CHS (SSU_amiR21-CHS) in the Arabidopsis MIR159B backbone (Eamens et al., 2009, 2011). SSU_amiR21-CHS transformed plants from both Col-0 (T1 plants, n = 3) and pap1-D backgrounds (T1 plants, n = 21) displayed silencing of CHS in all aerial tissues except the seed coat (Figure 4). Anthocyanin accumulation persisted in the roots of pap1-D transformants, but not to the extent observed in non-transformed controls (Figure 4).

CHS silencing was evident in young leaves and petioles, tissues in which the SSU_hpCHS transgene had caused no observable effect. This finding may suggest that the processing or effector components of the siRNA pathway are limited in these tissues. However, the observation that higher levels of hpRNA expression are able to overcome this limitation, as reported for 35S_hpCHS plants (Figure 2), does not support this explanation. An alternative possibility is that hpRNA-derived siRNAs take longer to accumulate to an effective level than amiRNAs in these tissues.

Widespread silencing in SSU_amiR22-CHS lines

A vector similar to SSU_amiR21-CHS was designed to yield asymmetric 22-nt miRNA/21-nt miRNA* duplexes. Twenty-two nucleotide amiRNA vectors were generated by deleting
a single nucleotide from the miRNA* strand sequence, a
modification that results in the production of a 22-nt
guide strand (Figure S1). RNA silencing was readily obser-
vable in Col-0 (T1 plants, n = 42) and pap1-D (T1 plants,
n = 27) backgrounds transformed with the 22-nt, CHS-
targeting amiRNA vector (SSU_amir22-CHS). As with the

Figure 2. 35S and SSU promoter-driven hpRNA-mediated silencing of CHS in Arabidop-
sis Col-0 and pap1-D backgrounds. 35S_hpCHS reduced anthocyanin accumulation
in all tissues of Col-0 and pap1-D plants. Tissue restricted silencing of CHS was evident in
mature leaves of pap1-D/SSU_hpCHS plants.

Figure 3. Northern blot analysis of sRNAs in
Arabidopsis plants that harboured the
35S_hpCHS and SSU_hpCHS transgenes.
‘H’ region-specific siRNAs were readily detected
in 35S_hpCHS and pap1-D/35S_hpCHS plants
and to lower levels in the pap1-D/SSU_hpCHS
line. Transitive, ‘S’ region-specific siRNAs only
accumulated to detectable levels in pap1-D/
SSU_hpCHS plants.
SSU_amiR21-CHS vector, CHS silencing was observed in all aerial tissues, however CHS silencing was also observed in both seed coats and roots of some SSU_amiR22-CHS lines (Figure 4). In the Col-0 background (Col0/SSU_amiR22-CHS plants), 34/42 T1 plants produced at least some yellow seed, including 19 plants that produced yellow seed only. In the pap1-D background (pap1-D/SSU_amiR22-CHS plants), 24/27 T1 plants produced at least some yellow seed and 12 of these produced yellow seed only. The widespread silencing of CHS expression may be mediated by mobile phasiRNAs that are generated in high concentrations in neighbouring tissues. An alternative explanation may be that low levels of SSU promoter expression in the seed coat is only detected due to the strong silencing response mediated by phasiRNA production in these lines.

Northern blotting confirmed the size of the sRNA silencing signal produced from the two amiRNA vectors (21-nt and 22-nt respectively), and confirmed the transitive production of phasiRNAs from the region downstream of the amiR22-CHS cleavage site (Figure 5). Accumulation of CHS-specific phasiRNA species was lower in Col-0 plants, a finding that suggested that phasiRNA production scales with target transcript abundance (Figure 5). The lack of silencing in the seed coats and roots of some SSU_amiR22-CHS lines was associated with lower levels of both the triggering 22-nt amiRNA and the resulting phasiRNAs in both Col-0 and pap1-D transformants. These results

Figure 4. Artificial miRNA-directed CHS silencing in Col-0 and pap1-D. The 21-nt miRNA (SSU_amiR21-CHS)-mediated an efficient local silencing response in all aerial tissues. The 22-nt miRNA (SSU_amiR22-CHS)-mediated widespread silencing, including the seed coats of most plants (34/42 in Col0/SSU_amiR22-CHS and 24/27 in pap1-D/SSU_amiR22-CHS). The SSU_amiR22-CHS transformed plants that did not display systemic silencing expressed a phenotype comparable with that displayed by SSU_amiR21-CHS transformed plants.

Figure 5. Northern blot analysis of sRNA accumulation in SSU_amiR21-CHS and SSU_amiR22-CHS plants. Among the amiRNA expressing lines, the accumulation of sRNAs specific to the sequence downstream of the amiRNA cleavage site (phasiRNA accumulation) was only detected SSU_amiR22-CHS transformed lines. SSU_amiR22-CHS transformed lines that displayed widespread CHS silencing (yellow seed) accumulated both the 22-nt amiRNA and phasiRNAs to higher levels than lines that displayed only local silencing (brown seed). The SSU_hpCHS transgene contains sequences that overlap with the phasiRNA probe, however these sequences were only detected in the pap1-D background.
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mirror the observed efficacy of amiRNAs in local silencing and suggest a requirement for a strong local silencing signal to mediate a widespread silencing response (Figure 5).

Characterisation of phasiRNA production by sRNA sequencing

Sequencing of sRNA-only fractions confirmed the accumulation of hpRNA-derived ‘H’ region siRNAs, and transitively produced ‘S’ region siRNAs in the pap1-D background expressing the SSU_hpCHS vector (Figure 6 and Table S2). No abundant ‘C’ region (S’ of ‘H’) specific siRNAs were detected, and indicated a predominantly 5’ to 3’ transitive spread (Figure 6 and Table S2). In pap1-D/SSU_amiR21-CHS and pap1-D/SSU_amiR22-CHS lines, the most abundant sRNA reads corresponded to the predicted amiRNA guide strands (Figure 6 and Table S3). In contrast with SSU_amiR21-CHS, the SSU_amiR22-CHS vector triggered production of abundant phasiRNAs 3’ from the miRNA target site (Figure 6 and Table S4). These phasiRNAs were demonstrated to be in phase with the predicted amiRNA-directed cleavage site, and further validated the 22-nt amiRNA as the trigger for their production (Table S5).

22-nt amiRNA-mediated widespread CHS silencing requires RDR6 activity

To confirm that phasiRNA production was responsible for the widespread silencing phenotype observed in SSU_amiR22-CHS lines, plants that expressed strong amiRNA-directed silencing phenotypes were genetically crossed with the RDR6 defective plant line, rdr6 (sgs2-1) (Elmayan et al., 1998; Mourrain et al., 2000). F2 progeny, confirmed to be homozygous for rdr6 and to also harbour the SSU_amiR22-CHS transgene, displayed local silencing as observed in SSU_amiR21-CHS lines (Figure 7a). However, anthocyanin accumulation persisted in roots and seed coats in the rdr6 background despite localised miRNA-directed RNA silencing (Figure 7a). The phasiRNA dependent nature of the widespread silencing phenotype was further validated by the lack of detectable phasiRNA production in all plants that harboured the RDR6 mutation (Figure 7 b).

DISCUSSION

hpRNA-mediated silencing

The expression domains observed in SSU_GFP expressing plant lines overlapped closely with those previously reported for a similar promoter sequence, a 1971-bp region upstream of the Arabidopsis Rubisco small subunit 1A (AT1G67090) locus (Sawchuk et al., 2008). This promoter fragment was reported to direct expression in cotyledons, leaves, flowers, siliques and in the root tips of developing embryos and young seedlings. Expression was also reported to be conspicuously absent from the vasculature, including progenitor preprocambial cells (Sawchuk et al., 2008). Despite the restricted expression of the SSU promoter, complete systemic silencing of a constitutively expressed 35S promoter-driven GFP transgene was
triggered by the SSU_hp'F' construct. This systemic silencing was associated with the transitive production of siRNAs from the region 3' of the hpRNA targeted fragment, as reported previously (Braunstein et al., 2002; Vaistij et al., 2002).

In our assays, the SSU promoter-driven expression of hpCHS did not display systemic spread of endogenous CHS silencing in either the wild-type or the upregulated pap1-D background. This finding is in contrast with the systemic RNA silencing observed when the endogenous NITRATE REDUCTASE (NIA) was upregulated in Nicotiana tabacum (Palauqui and Vaucheret, 1998). Importantly, however, transitorily produced siRNAs were detected in the pap1-D background and suggested that high levels of expression are likely to promote a systemic silencing response.

Figure 7. Widespread CHS silencing is dependent on RDR6 catalysed dsRNA production and associated phasiRNA accumulation. (a) SSU_amirR22-CHS expression mediated a local, but not a systemic, silencing response in the rdr6 mutant background. A SSU_amirR22-CHS line in the pap1-D background that displayed the systemic silencing phenotype was crossed with an rdr6 mutant (sgs2-1) line. The systemic silencing phenotype was lost in F2 plants that were homozygous for the rdr6 mutant allele, despite harbouring the SSU_amirR22-CHS transgene and displaying a strong local silencing phenotype. (b) Northern blot assessment of siRNA accumulation, amiRNA and phasiRNAs, in the presence or absence of RDR6 activity.
Transitively produced siRNAs were only detected in lines that expressed the SSU_hpCHS vector, and not in lines that expressed a similar hpRNA fragment under the control of the 35S promoter. It is possible that the strong 35S promoter-driven silencing signal prevents the accumulation of transitively produced siRNAs, probably due to a higher rate of primary siRNA-directed degradation of targeted transcripts. These targeted transcripts may otherwise serve as a template for RDR6 catalysed dsRNA production. In these experiments, the detection of transitively siRNAs in the presence of the relatively weak SSU promoter-driven hpCHS (compared with the constitutive and ubiquitous 35S_hpCHS) was further facilitated by the high level of CHS expression in the pap1-D background.

SSU_hpCHS-mediated silencing was observed in all tissues of mature leaves, including the vasculature, despite this region being reported to lack SSU promoter-driven expression (Sawchuk et al., 2008). This result is probably due to the 10–15 cell spread of the transitivity independent local silencing signal (Himber et al., 2003).

21-nt amiRNA-mediated RNA silencing

Intriguingly, silencing of CHS was not observed in petioles and young leaves of lines that expressed the SSU_hpCHS vector, a result that may be attributed to restricted SSU promoter expression (Sawchuk et al., 2008). However, SSU promoter-driven amiRNA (SSU_amir21-CHS)-mediated CHS silencing was efficient in clearing anthocyanin from these tissues in transformed plants. This result suggests an inefficiency of hpRNA-derived siRNA production and/or reduced siRNA-directed RNA silencing efficiency as compared with amiRNA-directed silencing, at least in these issues. The efficient silencing in SSU_amir21-CHS lines was still limited in its distribution, with persistent anthocyanin accumulation in roots and seed coats, reflecting limited or absent SSU promoter expression in these tissues.

22-nt amiRNA-mediated silencing

The limited distribution of SSU promoter-driven 21-nt amiRNA- and hpRNA-mediated RNA silencing of an endogenous target gene, CHS, was overcome by the use of a 22-nt amiRNA vector. In addition to highly efficient miRNA-directed RNA silencing, 22-nt amiRNAs trigger the production of transitive and reportedly highly mobile phasiRNAs. 22-nt amiRNA-directed phasiRNA production also offers the advantages of a heterogeneous sRNA population allowing multiple target complementarity not possible with conventional amiRNA vectors. This simple modification to existing amiRNA-directed silencing technologies should facilitate the triggering of widespread and efficient RNA silencing of target genes and/or gene families in diverse species.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Vectors

The constructs used in this paper are outlined in the supplemental materials (Figure S2). Amplicons were generated with the Expand Long Template PCR system (Roche Diagnostics, Sydney, Australia) and region-specific primers (Table S1), and cloned into the pGEM-T Easy cloning vector (Promega, Sydney, Australia) and region-specific primers (Table S1), and cloned into the pGEM-T Easy cloning vector (Promega, Sydney, Australia) for restriction enzyme digestion-based cloning.

The 35S_GFP transgene was constructed in the pORE04 backbone and carries the 35S promoter and GFP CDS between NotI and KpnI sites and the HSP terminator between MtuI and NcoI sites (Coutu et al., 2007; Nagaya et al., 2009). The SSU_GFP vector consists of the expression cassette from pBSF12 inserted into pORE04 (Nhel/EcoRI digest) with the sfab fragment including KDEL (BamHI/XhoI digest) replaced with the GFP CDS (Tabe et al., 1995; Christiansen et al., 2000; Coutu et al., 2007). The SSU_GFP expression cassette includes the SSU promoter (1720-nt of upstream sequence from Arabidopsis RbBiso small subunit 1A (AT1G67090) finishing 5-nt upstream of the start codon) and Nicotiana tabacum RbBiso small subunit terminator sequence. Vector p3-SSU carries the SSU promoter released from SSU_GFP (BamHI/Sall digest) and directionally cloned into pORE03 (Coutu et al., 2007).

The ‘F’ sequence (oligos GFP’F’-F and GFP’F’-R_CACC) of the GFP CDS was cloned into pENTR-D via the use of TOPO Cloning System (Life Technologies, Melbourne, Australia). The F’ fragment was recombined into pHELLSGATE12 (Heliwell and Waterhouse, 2003) using LR Clonase II enzyme
mix (Life Technologies) to create the inverted repeat vector, pHG12-hp'F'. The ‘F’ inverted repeat was released as a Not fragment and inserted into a similarly digested p3-SSU to create vector SSU_hp'F'.

A central region of the CHS CDS, termed the ‘H’ region, was amplified from a clone of the CHS CDS with two sets of primers that contained different restriction endonuclease overhangs at their 5’ termini (CHS'H'-F, KpnI/CHS'H'-R, Xhol and CHS'H'-F, Clal/CHS'H'-R, BamHI, Table S1). These amplicons were cloned into pOP, a modified pORE03 vector that harboured the PDK intron from pHANNIBAL (Wesley et al., 2001) between Xhol and SpeI sites, using the terminal restriction sites to produce pOP_hpCHS. The inverted repeat was transferred from pOP_hpCHS to p3-SSU between MluI and XhoI sites to create vector SSU_hpCHS.

The Arabidopsis MIR159B backbone of pBlueGreen (Eamens et al., 2009, Eamens and Waterhouse, 2011), including the LacZ gene for blue/white selection was released by Xhol and Clal digestion and directionally cloned into a Sall and Clal digested p3-SSU vector to create vector SSU_amiR. amiR21-CHS and amiR22-CHS amplicons (CHSamiR-R with CHSmiR-F or CHSmiR22-F respectively) were digested with Lgul and cloned into the similarly digested SSU_amiR vector to produce vectors SSU_amiR21-CHS and SSU_amiR22-CHS respectively (Eamens and Waterhouse, 2011). Artificial miRNA primer design for entry into pBlueGreen vectors is automated at http://www.p-bluegreen.com.

**Plant lines**

The pap1-D line (Borevitz et al., 2000; Weigel et al., 2000; Shi and Xie, 2010) was obtained from Mandy Walker (CSIRO Plant Industry, Adelaide). Arabidopsis transformation was performed by Agrobacterium tumefaciens (Agrobacterium)-mediated transformation (Clough and Bent, 1998; Martinez-Trujillo et al., 2004). The 35S_hpCHS line is transformed with pHANNIBAL-hpCHS as described previously (Wesley et al., 2001). Selection for pORE04 derived vectors was performed on MS media that contained 20 mg L^{-1} kanamycin and for pORE03 derived vectors on soil by spraying with 0.2 g L^{-1} Basta™. In the pap1-D background, selection of plant lines that harboured the SSU_hpCHS, SSU_amiR21-CHS and SSU_amiR22-CHS was conducted by visual screening for silencing phenotypes. The sgs2-1 (dreh) mutant was obtained from Herve Vaucheret, INRA, Versailles, France.

**Total RNA extraction and quantification**

Total RNA was extracted from aerial tissues of 3-week-old plants grown on MS media using TRIZOL™ Reagent (Life Technologies, USA) as per manufacturer’s instructions with modifications as described by Smith and Eamens (2012).

**Northern blot**

Twenty micrograms (20 µg) of total RNA was separated on 15% polyacrylamide gels by electrophoresis as described by Smith and Eamens (2012). For ribonucleotide probes, the corresponding sequence cloned into pGEM-T Easy cloning vector (Promega) was transcribed with either T7 or SP6 polymerase (Promega) in the presence of α-32P UTP. The resulting transcripts were hydrolyzed with 200 µm carbonate buffer to yield fragments of ~50-nt lengths. U6 and amiRNA oligoprobes were prepared from oligos U6 and amiRNA-CHS by 3’ end-labelling with α-32P CTP using terminal deoxynucleotide transferase (Fermentas). Images of exposed northern blot filters were cropped and realigned for figure presentation.

**sRNA sequencing and data analysis**

Small RNA sequencing was performed by the Australian Genome Research Facility (AGRF, Melbourne, Australia) in an Illumina Hi-Seq instrument using the Illumina CASAVA pipeline version 1.8.2. Short RNAs were sequenced as 100-nt single end reads from pap1-D:SSU_hpCHS (~11 million (M) reads) pap1-D:SSU_amiR21-CHS (~18 M reads) and pap1-D:SSU_amiR22-CHS (~17 M reads). A pap1-D plant was included in these analyses as a control (15 M reads). Data quality was assessed using fastqc (Babraham Bioinformatics, http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc) prior to further analysis. Adaptor sequences and reads with lengths shorter than 18-nt were trimmed using fastx_clipper from the FASTX-Toolkit (Hannon Lab, http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit). Alignments were performed using bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009) against the prebuilt Arabidopsis thaliana TAIR10 index. Alignments were sorted and indexed using SAMtools (Li et al., 2009). UEA sRNA toolkit (Stocks et al., 2012) was run locally against the TAIR10 cDNA representative gene model to assess phasing from the predicted 22-nt amiRNA cleavage site (Chen et al., 2007). The sequence data have been deposited in NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE49792 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE49792).
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**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

**Table S1.** Oligonucleotide primer and probe sequences used in this study.

**Table S2.** sRNA accumulation in the pap1-D background expressing the SSU_hpCHS.

**Table S3.** amiRNA accumulation in the pap1-D background expressing SSU_amiR21-CHS and SSU_amiR22-CHS.

**Table S4.** Distribution of sRNA accumulation in the pap1-D background expressing SSU_amiR21-CHS and SSU_amiR22-CHS.

**Table S5.** Phasing analysis of SSU_amiR22-CHS directed phasiRNA production.

**Figure S1.** A single nucleotide (U) was deleted (~) from the oligonucleotide corresponding to the amiRNA* strand (blue) resulting in a predicted asymmetric ‘bubble’ in the duplex structure.

**Figure S2.** Diagram of the constructs used in these experiments.
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