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Large amplitude conformational changes in self-assembled multi-stranded aromatic sheets
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Abstract: The orchestration of ever larger conformational changes is made possible by the development of increasingly complex foldamers.

Aromatic sheets, a rare motif in synthetic foldamer structures, have been designed so as to form discrete stacks of intercalated aromatic strands through the self-assembly of two identical subunits. Ion-mobility ESI-MS confirms the formation of compact dimers. X-ray crystallography reveals the existence of two distinct conformational dimeric states that require large changes to interconvert. Molecular dynamics simulation validates the stability of the two conformations and the possibility of their interconversion.

In biopolymers, conformational changes of large amplitude or with long range effects are associated with important molecular functions. They mediate cooperative binding between distant sites, for example the dioxygen binding sites of hemoglobin tetramers,[1] they allow for the remote transfer of information, as in G protein-coupled receptors,[2] and they give rise to distinct protein-protein associations, induced by e.g. domain swapping.[3] There is obvious interest in mastering and implementing such processes in synthetic chemical systems and important progress is being made in this direction using foldamers’ inherent conformational equilibria, including conformational changes induced by ligands, light or electrons.[4] These efforts mark a transition from the mere control of molecular structures to the orchestration of dynamics.[5] As improvements in foldamer design and synthesis give access to increasingly large and complex objects,[6,7] one may expect novel types of conformational dynamics to emerge. Here, we report the unexpected discovery of a complex conformational change in a multi-stranded aromatic sheet foldamer. While aromatic foldamers have been known as notoriously rigid folded molecules,[8] we find that increasing their size gives access to new types of deformation.

We have reported on the folding of aromatic sheets stabilized by interactions between π systems and by rigid turns such as T5 (Figure 1b) that hold aromatic groups at a distance...
suitable for face-to-face stacking\cite{9} Interest for these systems stemmed from the low occurrence of sheets among foldamer structures when compared to helices, and the apparent greater difficulty to design discrete sheets that do not further aggregate and precipitate.\cite{10} Compound 1 (Figure 1a) was prepared as a representative of earlier designs. Its structure was fully characterized in solution through a complete assignment of its NMR spectra (Figure 2b, Figures S16-S20) and in the solid state (Figure 2a) to establish that the strand curvature associated with the A units (Figure 1c) gives rise to a head-to-head arrangement of stacked aromatics within a curved two-stranded sheet.\cite{9b} A marker of the stability of the sheet conformation is the slow rotation on the NMR timescale of the dimethyl-para-phenylenediamine rings of $T^S$ (S22).

As an extension of this work, we devised that turn $T^T$ (Figure 1d) might promote the intercalation of an aromatic group and thus give access to unprecedented discrete self-assembled aromatic-sheets (Figure 1e). Derivatives of N,N-dibenzyl 2,6-pyridinedicarboxamide have indeed been shown to bind to aryl compounds, including within macrocyclic structures, through both hydrogen bonding and aromatic stacking.\cite{11} Using a pyridine N-oxide $P^{NO}$ as a hydrogen bond acceptor, we thus designed sequence 2 (Figure 1f), comprised of both a short turn $T^T$ and a long turn $T^S$. Based on energy-minimized molecular models, 2 was expected to dimerize through a reciprocal intercalation.

![Figure 2](image-url)

Figure 2. a) Crystal structure of 1. b) Part of the $^1H$ 400 MHz NMR spectrum of 1 in CDCl$_3$ at 298 K. c) Part of the $^1H$ 700 MHz NMR spectrum of 2 in toluene-d$_8$ at 298 K. d) Collision cross section ($^{129}$CCS$_{av}$) of 2$_2$ measured by drift tube ion mobility ESI-MS (black circles) in He and calculated from snapshots sampled from a molecular dynamics simulation (green bars). A molecular model of 2$_2$ with some fraying at one end (red arrow) is shown at right. The corresponding calculated CCS is indicated on the graph, illustrating that the experimental and calculated CCS distribution of 2$_2$ are both narrow and indicative of a very compact conformation.

The synthesis of 2 was carried out using classical aromatic amide coupling steps and is presented in the supporting information. Its NMR spectrum in toluene-d$_8$ showed sharp resonances indicating the presence of well-defined species (Figure 2c). However, the number of peaks was larger than expected for a C$_2$ symmetrical dimer. In other nonpolar solvents, the lines tended to broaden, indicating faster dynamics, but the spectrum remained complex regardless of temperature (Figures S23-S28). Dilution experiments down to 0.54 mM\cite{12} did not produce any change, suggesting that if an aggregate was present, its dissociation constant was below this value (Figure S21). The ESI mass spectrum clearly showed the formation of a 2$_2$ dimer. Furthermore, an ion mobility analysis\cite{13} generated a collision cross-section profile that matched well with the calculated profile of a compact interdigitated sheet conformation (Figure 2d, Figure S38). However, these data alone did not allow to ascertain the very structure of 2 and reasons for NMR signal multiplicity.

Essential evidence came from two crystal structures of 2, which validated the initial design but also uncovered unanticipated structural variations (Figure 3). Both structures showed the expected dimerization as depicted in Figure 1e, in particular the reciprocal intercalation, the hydrogen bonding of $P^{NO}$ N-oxide of one strand to the $T^T$ amide NH of the other strand ($d_{O-H-N} \approx 2.1$ Å, Figure 3e)), and the formation of a face-to-face stack of six $A_6$ segments. In addition, the structures also demonstrated two conformations, C1 (Figure 3a-e, crystallized from CH$_2$Cl$_2$/hexane) and C2 (Figure 3f-j, crystallized from CHCl$_3$/MeOH) that display considerable differences, as highlighted by dashed lines throughout Figure 3. Through a complex and large amplitude sliding of the various $A_6$ segments with respect to one another (Figure 3d,i), the $T^S$, $T^T$ and pivaloyl (piv) units undergo a large swing, while the overall architecture is preserved. Among notable differences between C1 and C2 is the fact that $A_6$ segments are perfectly flat and stacked perpendicular to the structure main axis in C1 (Figure 3c), whereas they are tilted and slightly $M$-helically twisted in C2 (Figure 3h).\cite{14} The complex (Figure 2c) or broad (Figure S23-S28) NMR spectra of 2 are consistent with the large conformational changes required to interconvert C1 and C2. Furthermore, one cannot exclude the existence of intermediate or alternate conformational states, for example a $P$-helically twisted diastereomeric analogue of C2.\cite{14}

We endeavored to investigate C1 and C2 using molecular dynamics simulations (MD) to assess their stability (Figure S29-S37). Energy minimization of the C1 and C2 structures from the crystal data did not give rise to notable changes and produced the starting coordinates for 10 ns MD runs performed every 100 degrees from 200 K up to 900 K. Several parameters were systematically monitored over time: the hydrogen bond distances at each NO-$T^T$ contact, as well as the $T^S$-$T^T$, $T^T$-$T^T$, and the piv-piv distances (Figure S29). Up to 400 K both C1 and C2 were found to be stable (Figure 4a, Figures S30, S31a,b, movies S1, S2). Intramolecular hydrogen bonds did not disrupt and limited fluctuations allowed for the discrimination of two distinct C1 and C2 conformational ensembles. As can also be seen in the crystal structures (Figure 3), C2 is characterized by a $T^S$-$T^T$ distance about half the piv-piv distance, whereas these two parameters are comparable in C1. These features are well reproduced by MD. Perhaps the most characteristic difference between the two is the
During these runs the MD simulations are suggestive of sharp transition between C1 and C2, without dissociation, supporting the existence of (at least) two distinct ensembles of conformations. The C1->C2 transition entails that the two T units pass each other. During this process, the isobutoxy side chains that they carry (Figure 4b) undergo extensive contacts that may then constitute a barrier. For future developments, one may take advantage of the proximity between these side chains to install functionalities conducive of attractive or repulsive forces, that would stabilize one or the other conformer. A step further would be to introduce functionalities that would allow for the controlled switching between the two. The concept of aromatic sheet self-assembly may also be extended using turn units that do not allow for the intercalation of just one aromatic, such as T, but of two or more.[16] Furthermore, the original half-pipe architecture may be exploited for the purpose of molecular recognition, particularly with regards to the fact that functional groups in position 9 of the A monomers (here only methyl groups) all converge towards the interior of the cavity.

MD runs performed at higher temperatures (500-900 K) led to larger fluctuations. At the highest temperatures, disruption of the hydrogen bonds and local unfolding occurred frequently yet the structures remained integral. During these runs the fluctuations were large enough to create junctions between the C1 and C2 conformational spaces, and some C1->C2 or C2->C1 transitions occurred (Figure 4b, Figures S31c,d, S32b, movie S3). All the parameters that discriminate C1 and C2 then changed in a concerted manner, in particular the piv-piv and T5-T6 distances. Overall, the MD simulations are suggestive of sharp transition between C1 and C2, without dissociation, supporting the existence of (at least) two distinct ensembles of conformations. The C1->C2 transition entails that the two T units pass each other. During this process, the isobutoxy side chains that they carry (Figure 4b) undergo extensive contacts that may then constitute a barrier. For future developments, one may take advantage of the proximity between these side chains to install functionalities conducive of attractive or repulsive forces, that would stabilize one or the other conformer. A step further would be to introduce functionalities that would allow for the controlled switching between the two. The concept of aromatic sheet self-assembly may also be extended using turn units that do not allow for the intercalation of just one aromatic, such as T, but of two or more.[16] Furthermore, the original half-pipe architecture may be exploited for the purpose of molecular recognition, particularly with regards to the fact that functional groups in position 9 of the A monomers (here only methyl groups) all converge towards the interior of the cavity.

Figure 3. Top views (a,f), front views (b,g), side views (c,h), details of intramolecular π-π stacking (d,i) and hydrogen bonding (e,j) of two crystal structures of 2a demonstrating distinct conformers C1 (a-e) and C2 (f-j).[16] In a-d and f-i, T5, T6 and the terminal 2-fbutyl groups are shown as orange, green and black space filling models, respectively. The rest of the molecules are shown in tube representation. The A units are shown in red or blue to distinguish the two molecules within each 22 dimer. Included solvent molecules, side chains, and hydrogen atoms (except amide NH in e and j) have been omitted for clarity.

Figure 4. a) Overlay of ten snapshots of the 10 ns MD simulation of C1 at 400K showing limited fluctuations at this temperature. b) Snapshots of a C1->C2 transition during the MD simulation of C1 at 500K. Side chains and hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity except the isobutoxy side chains of T in b).
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