Therapeutic efficacy of transarterial chemo-embolization with a fine-powder formulation of cisplatin for hepatocellular carcinoma
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Abstract

AIM: To evaluate the efficacy of transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) using a suspension of a fine-powder formulation of cisplatin (DDPH) in lipiodol (LPD) in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

METHODS: The subjects were 262 HCC patients treated with TACE using a DDPH-LPD suspension. The DDPH-LPD suspension was prepared by mixing 50 mg of DDPH into 10 mL of LPD. TACE was repeated when treated lesions relapsed and/or new hepatic lesions were detected. These patients received additional TACE using the same agent. TACE was repeated until complete regression of the tumor was obtained. The primary efficacy endpoint of the current study was the objective early response rate. Secondary efficacy endpoints were progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival.

RESULTS: The objective early response rate was 43.6%. Cumulative PFS rates were 56.7% at 6 mo, 23.1% at 12 mo, 13.4% at 18 mo, and 10.5% at 24 mo. The median PFS was 6.6 mo. Cumulative survival rates were 90.6% at 6 mo, 81.9% at 12 mo, 70.5% at 24 mo, and 58.8% at 36 mo. Median survival time was 46.6 mo. All adverse reactions were controllable by temporary suspension of treatment. No serious complications or treatment-related deaths were observed.

CONCLUSION: TACE using a suspension of DDPH in LPD may be a useful treatment for HCC.

© 2013 Baishideng. All rights reserved.
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INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a common primary liver cancer with a rising incidence worldwide[1]. In Japan, more than 30 000 people die of HCC each year[2]. Curative therapies such as resection, liver transplantation, and local ablative treatments may offer a chance of improved life expectancy, but these treatment modalities are applicable to only a small proportion of HCC patients. As a result, in patients with advanced HCC who are not
eligible for these curative therapies, transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) has been the mainstay treatment option with proven survival benefits[5,6]. Many studies of TACE have been reported; a method using lipiodol (LPD), an oily contrast medium used as a drug delivery system, is now widely used, and anticancer drugs such as doxorubicin (ADM), epirubicin, and other anthracyclines are often used[5,6]. However, the tumors have a high frequency of recurrence after TACE[8,9]. Moreover, HCC is not necessarily sensitive to these drugs[8,9]. Therefore, the therapeutic results of TACE for HCC should improve as anticancer drugs become more effective.

Cisplatin (CDDP), a platinum compound, is an effective anticancer agent used in the treatment of various malignancies[10,11]. Researchers have reported that TACE using a suspension of CDDP powder in LPD may be more effective against unresectable HCC than TACE using an ADM-LPD emulsion[10,11]. However, only a few institutions have used this for TACE because it is difficult to refine the CDDP powder. Since 2004, a fine-powder formulation of CDDP (DDPH, IA-call; Nippon Kayaku, Tokyo, Japan) has been available as a therapeutic agent for intra-arterial infusion in Japan. As a result, TACE using DDPH has become widespread in Japanese institutions. We have already used TACE with DDPH for HCC patients and reported favorable results[12]. The aim of this study was to elucidate the efficacy of this therapy by analyzing the clinical results of 262 HCC patients treated in this manner.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and patient eligibility

This clinical investigation was approved by the ethics committee of our institution, and informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study was designed as a single-institution, open clinical study. The primary efficacy endpoint of the current study was the objective response rate. Secondary efficacy endpoints were progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS).

Eligibility criteria were as follows: (1) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0-2; (2) age over 20 years; (3) diagnosis of HCC based on imaging or histological findings; (4) no indication for surgical resection or local ablation therapy such as radiofrequency ablation (RFA); (5) bidimensionally measurable hepatic lesions; (6) adequate hepatic function (serum total bilirubin < 3.0 mg/dL), and adequate renal function (serum creatinine < the upper normal limit); (7) no extrahepatic metastasis; (8) no tumor thrombus in the main trunk of the portal vein; or (9) no HCC treatment for 4 wk before study entry.

Enrolled were patients with HCC suitable for curative treatments such as surgical resection and local ablation therapy but who were of high risk for these therapies. A total of 262 consecutive patients who were to undergo TACE using DDPH between January 2006 and May 2011 were enrolled. All of the enrolled patients met the inclusion criteria.

Diagnosis

The diagnostic criteria for HCC via imaging were based on hyperattenuation in the arterial phase and hypovascularization in the portal phase on dynamic computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and tumor stain on angiography. When HCC could not be diagnosed by imaging alone, fine-needle biopsy using abdominal ultrasonography (US) was performed to obtain histological proof. Further assessment of HCC was conducted by measuring levels of α-fetoprotein (AFP) and des-γ-carboxy prothrombin (DCP).

Liver function was evaluated according to the Child-Pugh classification[14]. Tumor stage was assessed based on the tumor node metastasis (TNM) staging system of the Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan[15,16]. Portal vein tumor thrombosis (PVTT) grade was classified as follows: Vp0, no invasion of the portal vein; Vp1, invasion of the third or more distal branch of the left or right portal vein; Vp2, invasion of the second branch of the portal vein; Vp3, invasion of the first branch of the portal vein; and Vp4, invasion of the trunk of the portal vein.

Preparation of the agents for TACE

DDPH was mixed with LPD (iodized oil, Lipiodol Ultra-Fluide; Andre Guerget, Aulnay-sous-Bois, France). The DDPH-LPD suspension was prepared by mixing 50 mg of DDPH into 10 mL of LPD. The dosage of DDPH-LPD suspension was adjusted depending on the tumor size, number of tumors, degree of liver impairment, and renal function, but the maximum dose of DDPH-LPD suspension was not allowed to exceed 10 mL.

Treatment procedures

In all TACE procedures, hepatic angiography was performed by the femoral approach using a 4-Fr catheter and a 1.8-Fr to 2.4-Fr microcatheter. After confirming the hepatic arteries supplying the target tumor, a catheter was selectively inserted into the hepatic artery supplying the target tumor, and the DDPH-LPD suspension was injected. In patients with several tumors in the liver, superselective catheterization was performed for each lesion. If superselective catheterization was not possible, the DDPH-LPD suspension was injected into the right and left main hepatic arteries distal to the origin of the cystic artery. After the injection, arterioembolization was performed using porous gelatin particles (Gelpart; Nippon Kayaku, Tokyo, Japan) mixed with contrast medium.

All patients were followed up with US, CT, and/or MRI after 1 mo and then every 3 mo thereafter. Treatment was repeated by TACE alone when treated lesions relapsed and/or new hepatic lesions were detected. These patients received additional TACE using the same agent during the follow-up period unless the tumors progressed. TACE was repeated until complete regression of the tumor was obtained.

Evaluation of therapeutic efficacy

Tumor response was assessed by US, CT, and/or MRI at 1 mo from the start of treatment and every 3 mo thereafter.
ter. The response was classified according to the modified RECIST (mRECIST) criteria\(^\text{10}\), which take into account only the viable (arterially enhancing) component of the target tumors, and grade tumor response as follows: complete response (CR)-disappearance of any intratumoral arterial enhancement in all target lesions; partial response (PR)-at least a 30% decrease in the sum of diameters of viable target lesions, taking as reference the baseline sum of the diameters of target lesions; progressive disease (PD)-increase (at least 20%) in the sum of diameters of viable target lesions, taking as reference the smallest sum of the diameters of viable (enhancing) target lesions recorded since treatment started, or appearance of new lesions; stable disease (SD)-all other cases.

Toxicity was evaluated using the National Cancer Institute-Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 3.0 (CTCAE v3.0).

### Statistical analysis

Baseline data are expressed as means ± SD or as medians and range. Statistical analysis was performed in September 2011. The cumulative survival rate and PFS were calculated from the date of therapy initiation and assessed by the Kaplan-Meier life-table method, and differences were evaluated using the log-rank test. Univariate analysis of predictors for survival of patients was assessed using the Cox proportional hazards model. Significance was accepted at \(P < 0.05\). All analyses were performed using SPSS version 11 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, United States).

### RESULTS

#### Patient characteristics

The characteristics of the 262 patients are listed in Table 1. There were 176 male and 86 female patients, ranging in age from 32 to 92 years (median age, 70 years). There were 147 (56.1%), 93 (35.5%), and 22 (8.4%) patients with Child-Pugh Stages A, B, and C, respectively. The median diameter of the largest tumor was 32.5 mm (range, 8-300 mm). Serum AFP levels were > 10 ng/mL in 182 patients, and 146 patients were DCP-positive (> 40 mAU/mL).

#### Clinical efficacy

The median duration of follow-up was 17.0 mo (range, 2.0-64.0 mo). A total of 682 TACE procedures were performed in 262 patients. The median number of TACE procedures was 2 cycles (range, 1-13 cycles). Early response status in the 262 patients was assessed after the first course of therapy. As a result, 34 patients (13.0%) had CR, 80 patients (30.6%) had PR, 69 patients (26.3%) had SD, and 79 patients (30.1%) had PD [response rate (CR + PR/all cases) = 43.6%]. The disease control rate (CR + PR + SD/all cases) was 69.9%.

#### PFS

The median PFS was 6.6 mo. The PFS rates at 6, 12, and 24 mo were 56.7%, 23.1%, 13.4%, and 10.5%, respectively (Figure 1).

#### Survival

The median survival time (MST) was 46.6 mo. The cumulative survival rates at 6, 12, 24, and 36 mo were 90.6%, 81.9%, 70.5%, and 58.8%, respectively (Figure 1).

Cumulative survival rates of patients with no PVTT were 96.3% at 6 mo, 90.4% at 12 mo, 79.7% at 24 mo. On the other hand, cumulative survival rates of patients with PVTT were 68.6% at 6 mo, 49.0% at 12 mo, 33.7% at 24 mo. The survival rate was significantly higher in patients with no PVTT than in patients with PVTT (\(P < 0.001\), Figure 2A).

Moreover, cumulative survival rates were determined by PVTT grade in 60 patients with PVTT. Cumulative survival rates of patients with Vp1-2 were 87.6% at 6 mo, 67.0% at 12 mo, 52.8% at 24 mo. On the other hand, cumulative survival rates of patients with Vp3 were 51.0% at 6 mo, 32.5% at 12 mo, 16.2% at 24 mo. The survival rate was significantly higher in patients with Vp1-2 than in patients with Vp3 (\(P = 0.009\), Figure 2B).

Prognostic factors affecting patient survival were analyzed by examining 17 potential parameters (Table 2). Univariate analysis revealed 12 significant prognostic factors related to survival: stage (\(P < 0.001\)), Child Pugh classification (\(P = 0.005\)), JIS score (\(P < 0.001\)), total bilirubin (\(P = 0.031\)), albumin (\(P = 0.012\)), number of tumors (\(P < 0.001\)), maximum tumor size (\(P < 0.001\)), PVTT grade (\(P < 0.001\)), tumor distribution (\(P < 0.001\)),

### Table 1  Baseline characteristics of the 262 patients

| Characteristics | Value |
|----------------|-------|
| Enrolled patients | 262 |
| Age (yr) | Median (range) 70 (32-92) |
| Sex | Male/female 176/86 |
| Etiology | HBV/HCV/NBNC 30/170/62 |
| Child Pugh classification | A/B/C 147/95/22 |
| Number of tumors < 10/10 | 114/148 |
| Maximum tumor size (mm) | Median (range) 32.5 (8.0-300.0) |
| Stage | I/II/III/IV 17/45/33 |
| PVTT grade Vp0/Vp1-2/Vp3 | 202/27/33 |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL) | Mean ± SD 1.0 ± 0.7 |
| Albumin (g/dL) | Mean ± SD 3.4 ± 0.6 |
| Prothrombin time (%) | Mean ± SD 91.1 ± 8.4 |
| Platelet count (× 10^12/L) | Mean ± SD 8.9 ± 5.0 |
| AFP (ng/mL) | Median (range) 31.6 (1.0-1,000,000) |
| AFP-L3 (ng/mL) | Median (range) 4.0 (0-91.8) |
| DCP (mAU/mL) | Median (range) 60 (0-928 000) |
| Previous treatment | Yes/no |

Data are expressed as median values with ranges, mean ± SD, or number of patients. According to the modified RECIST (mRECIST) criteria. HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; NBNC: Negative for hepatitis B surface antigen and HCV antibody; PVTT: Portal vein tumor thrombosis; AFP: α-fetoprotein; AFP-L3: Lens culinaris agglutinin-reactive fraction of α-fetoprotein; DCP: Des-γ-carboxy prothrombin.
**A.** Comparison of cumulative survival rates between patients with no portal vein tumor thrombosis and those with portal vein tumor thrombosis. **B.** Comparison of the cumulative survival rates between patients with Vp1-2 and patients with Vp3. PVTT: Portal vein tumor thrombosis.

Figure 2  Cumulative survival rates. A: Comparison of cumulative survival rates between patients with no portal vein tumor thrombosis and those with portal vein tumor thrombosis; B: Comparison of the cumulative survival rates between patients with Vp1-2 and patients with Vp3. PVTT: Portal vein tumor thrombosis.

AFP ($P < 0.001$), DCP ($P < 0.001$), and therapeutic effect ($P < 0.001$).

Multivariate analysis showed 3 significant prognostic factors related to survival: PVTT grade ($P = 0.010$), AFP ($P = 0.002$), and therapeutic effect ($P < 0.001$).

**Adverse effects**

Table 3 summarizes the adverse effects. No treatment-related deaths occurred, and no grade 4 treatment-related adverse effects were noted. Fever and nausea were seen transiently in 50% or more patients, but both were mild. Leucopenia and thrombocytopenia occurred in 15 (5.7%) and 18 (6.9%) patients, respectively; these were also mild and transient. Although grade 2 or higher liver abscess and hepatic/renal failure were observed in 4 (1.4%) and 1 (0.4%) patients, respectively, these adverse reactions were controllable by medical treatment. In addition, hepatic arterial damage (HAD) after TACE was observed in one patient. Although one patient was observed to have slight wall irregularity of the hepatic artery, HAD associated with TACE did not interfere with catheterization at the next TACE session.

**DISCUSSION**

TACE plays a crucial role in the treatment of HCC without surgical resection or RFA. The survival benefit of TACE has also been confirmed by randomized, controlled trials and a meta-analysis.[14] The most commonly related deaths occurred, and no grade 4 treatment-related adverse effects were noted. Fever and nausea were seen transiently in 50% or more patients, but both were mild. Leucopenia and thrombocytopenia occurred in 15 (5.7%) and 18 (6.9%) patients, respectively; these were also mild and transient. Although grade 2 or higher liver abscess and hepatic/renal failure were observed in 4 (1.4%) and 1 (0.4%) patients, respectively, these adverse reactions were controllable by medical treatment. In addition, hepatic arterial damage (HAD) after TACE was observed in one patient. Although one patient was observed to have slight wall irregularity of the hepatic artery, HAD associated with TACE did not interfere with catheterization at the next TACE session.

**Table 3**

| Variable | Hazard ratio | 95%CI | $P$ value |
|----------|--------------|-------|-----------|
| Age ($\leq 65\text{ yr} > 65\text{ yr}$) | 1.085 | 0.772-1.525 | 0.638 |
| Gender (M vs F) | 0.861 | 0.607-1.222 | 0.403 |
| Previous treatment (no vs yes) | 1.258 | 0.911-1.738 | 0.164 |
| HCV antibody | 0.951 | 0.673-1.344 | 0.776 |
| PVTT grade (Vp0, 1, 2 vs Vp3) | 2.705 | 1.919-3.814 | $< 0.001$ |
| Child Pugh classification (A vs B or C) | 1.584 | 1.149-2.184 | 0.005 |
| JJS score (0-2 vs 3-5) | 2.285 | 1.638-3.189 | $< 0.001$ |
| Total bilirubin ($< 1.5\text{ mg/dL} > 1.5\text{ mg/dL}$) | 1.578 | 1.044-2.385 | 0.031 |
| Albumin ($> 3.5\text{ mg/dL} < 3.5\text{ mg/dL}$) | 1.527 | 1.100-2.119 | 0.012 |
| Number of tumors ($< 10\text{ vs } \geq 10$) | 1.920 | 1.378-2.675 | $< 0.001$ |
| Maximum tumor size ($< 50\text{ mm} > 50\text{ mm}$) | 2.052 | 1.404-2.998 | $< 0.001$ |
| PVTT grade (Vp0, 1, 2 vs Vp3) | 4.142 | 2.754-6.230 | $< 0.001$ |
| Tumor distribution | 2.237 | 1.464-3.420 | $< 0.001$ |
| (Unilateral vs Bilateral) | 2.131 | 1.539-2.949 | $< 0.001$ |
| AFP ($< 100\text{ ng/mL} > 100\text{ ng/mL}$) | 1.664 | 0.957-2.894 | 0.071 |
| AFP-L3 ($< 50\% > 50\%$) | 2.201 | 1.588-3.051 | $< 0.001$ |
| DCP ($< 100\text{ mAU/mL} > 100\text{ mAU/mL}$) | 3.419 | 2.382-4.909 | $< 0.001$ |
| Therapeutic effect (CR + PR vs SD + PD) | 3.992 | 2.240-5.135 | $< 0.001$ |
| Multivariate analysis of predictors of survival | 2.310 | 1.217-4.384 | 0.010 |
| PVTT grade (Vp0, 1, 2 vs Vp3) | 1.856 | 1.265-2.724 | 0.002 |
| AFP ($< 100\text{ ng/mL} > 100\text{ ng/mL}$) | 3.392 | 2.240-5.135 | $< 0.001$ |
| Therapeutic effect (CR + PR vs SD + PD) | 3.992 | 2.240-5.135 | $< 0.001$ |

The JJS score is obtained by simply adding both scores for the tumor, lymph node, metastasis (TNM) stage and Child-Turcotte-Pugh stage. HCV: Hepatitis C virus; JJS: Japan integrated staging; PVTT: Portal vein tumor thrombosis; AFP: α-fetoprotein; DCP: Des-carboxy prothrombin; CR: Complete response; PR: Partial response; SD: Stable disease; PD: Progressive disease.
used agent used in TACE for HCC treatment is ADM-LPD emulsion, followed by embolization with a gelatin sponge\cite{5,11,17,38}. The MST ranged from 18 to 34 mo with the use of TACE with ADM-LPD emulsion, but there is no clear evidence identifying the best chemotherapeutic agent for TACE.

DDDP is an effective anticancer agent used in the treatment of various malignancies\cite{19}. CDDP has been reported to exert its actions by binding to the DNA in cancer cells, inhibiting DNA synthesis and subsequent cellular division. The antitumor activity of CDDP is closely associated with the serum concentration of the drug\cite{21,30}.

The key point of intra-arterial infusion chemotherapy is the selective retention of anticancer drugs at a high concentration in the HCC for a long time. LPD shows very selective deposition within HCC, in which it remains concentration in the HCC for a long time. LPD shows the selective retention of anticancer drugs at a high concentration in cancer cells, inhibiting DNA synthesis and subsequent cellular division. The antitumor activity of CDDP is closely associated with the serum concentration of the drug\cite{19}.

Table 3  Adverse effects among the 262 patients $n$ (%)  

| Adverse effect        | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Nausea/vomiting       | 160 (61.1) | 48 (18.3) | - (−) | - (−) |
| General fatigue       | 28 (10.7)  | 17 (6.5)  | 1 (0.4)  | + (+)  |
| Fever                 | 168 (64.1) | 27 (10.3) | - (−) | - (−) |
| Abdominal pain        | 121 (46.1) | 54 (20.6) | - (−) | - (−) |
| Leucopenia            | 13 (4.9)   | 2 (0.7)   | - (−) | - (−) |
| Thrombocytopenia      | 16 (6.1)   | 2 (0.7)   | - (−) | - (−) |
| AST/ALT               | 154 (58.8) | 46 (17.6) | - (−) | - (−) |
| Liver abscess         | - (−)     | 2 (0.7)   | 2 (0.7)  | - (−) |
| Hepatic/Renal failure | - (−)     | - (−)     | 1 (0.4)  | - (−) |

Data are expressed as number of patients with percentages in parentheses. AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase.

Japanese institutions. We have already used TACE with DDPH-LPD suspension for HCC patients and reported favorable results\cite{19}. This article focused on the efficacy of this therapy by analyzing the clinical results of 262 HCC patients treated in this manner.

The MST in the current study was 46.6 mo. The cumulative survival rates at 6, 12, 24, and 36 mo were 90.6%, 81.9%, 70.5% and 58.8%, respectively. The outcome in the present study was superior to previous trials of TACE using ADM, epirubicin, and other anthracyclines\cite{5,6,13}. This could be explained as being due to the fact that TACE with ADM cannot be repeated as required because of the high frequency of adverse effects of ADM, such as leucopenia, severe vascular changes, and hepatic artery occlusion\cite{5,6,13}. In the current study, leucopenia and HAD were observed in only 15 (5.7%) and 1 (0.4%) patients, respectively. Considering that TACE is often repeated in most patients, longer latency of the hepatic artery is preferable for properly deploying the lipiodol mixture and embolic agents into the tumor. In addition, we concluded that anthracyclines such as ADM may be relatively less effective against HCC; this is because of the high expression level of P-glycoprotein, which transports antitumor agents such as anthracyclines or vinca alkaloids from cells with a high active efflux mechanism in HCC tumors\cite{31}.

Moreover, survival in the present study was superior to previous trials of TACE using drug-eluting beads\cite{24,28}. In these outcomes of previous trials of TACE using drug-eluting beads, the response rates were superior to the current study. Nevertheless, the cumulative survival rates of the patients in the current study were higher than those of the patients in the previous trials. Drug-eluting beads are known to give more distal vessel occlusion for a long-term period\cite{31}. Therefore, it is possible that TACE with drug-eluting beads could have a greater embolizing effect than TACE with DDPH-LPD suspension, and this would lead to increased tumor growth factor release in response to hypoxia, with a consequent probability of recurrence and reduced overall survival.

The presence of PVTT has traditionally been considered a contraindication for transarterial therapy\cite{31}. However, a recent study has revealed that TACE for patients with PVTT had survival benefits over conservative treatment\cite{32}. Compared with this recent study, cumulative survival rates of patients with PVTT in the present study were better. On the other hand, cumulative survival rates of patients with Vp3 in subgroup analysis of the present study were 51.0% at 6 mo, 32.5% at 12 mo, and 16.2% at 24 mo. In our previous study of hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy (HAIC) with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and pegylated IFN-α2b (PEG-IFNα-2b) for HCC patients with Vp3/4, cumulative survival rates were 83.8% at 6 mo, 77.8% at 12 mo, 55.6% at 24 mo\cite{33}. Although it is impossible to compare the results of TACE using a suspension of DDPH in LPD and HAIC using 5-FU and PEG-IFNα-2b for HCC patients with Vp3, we think that a randomized controlled study comparing these therapies in patients with Vp3/4 will be needed in the future.
The prognosis of HCC patients depends on many factors, such as tumor stage and liver function. In the current study, the prognostic factors in patients treated with TACE with DDPH-LPD suspension were investigated. Among the variables examined, PVTT grade (Vp0-2), AFP (\(\leq 100 \text{ ng/mL}\)), and therapeutic effect (CR+PR) were identified as being significantly associated with longer survival times on multivariate analysis. These results were similar to the result of a nationwide prospective cohort study by Takayasu et al., which was performed in 8510 patients with unresectable HCC who underwent TACE using an emulsion of lipiodol and anticancer agents followed by gelatin sponge particles.

Considering these facts, we conclude that TACE using DDPH-LPD suspension could be a useful treatment strategy for HCC patients. To confirm these results, randomized controlled trials comparing TACE using DDPH-LPD suspension with TACE using ADM-LPD emulsion or TACE using drug-eluting beads for patients with HCC are mandatory. Moreover, we think that a randomized controlled study comparing these therapies and HAIC for HCC patients with PVTT will be needed in the future.
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COMMENTS

Background
In recent years, transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) using an emulsion of doxorubicin (ADM) with lipiodol (LPD) (ADM-LPD emulsion) followed by embolization with a gelatin sponge has been commonly employed for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) treatment. However, HCC is not necessarily sensitive to these drugs.

Research frontiers
Cisplatin, a platinum compound, is an effective anticancer agent used in the treatment of various malignancies. Recently, a fine-powder formulation of cisplatin (DDPH, Ia-call; Nipponkayaku, Tokyo, Japan) has also been available since 2004 as a therapeutic agent for intra-arterial infusion in Japan. Researchers have recently reported that TACE using a suspension of cisplatin powder in LPD may be more effective against unresectable HCC as compared with that using ADM-LPD emulsion. Therefore, TACE using DDPH has become widespread in Japanese institutions.

Innovations and breakthroughs
In this article, the authors evaluated the effectiveness of TACE using DDPH-LPD for 262 HCC patients. The objective early response rate was 43.6%. Cumulative survival rates were 90.6% at 6 mo, 81.9% at 12 mo, 70.5% at 24 mo, and 58.8% at 36 mo. Median survival time was 46.6 mo. All adverse reactions were controllable by temporary suspension of treatment. No serious complications or treatment-related deaths were observed. The outcome in the present study was superior to previous trials of TACE using ADM-LPD. Moreover, survival in the present study was superior to previous trials of TACE using drug-eluting beads.

Applications
Although randomized, controlled trials comparing TACE using DDPH-LPD suspension with TACE using ADM-LPD emulsion or TACE using drug-eluting beads for patients with HCC are mandatory, the authors conclude that TACE using DDPH-LPD suspension could be a useful treatment strategy for HCC patients.

Terminology
TACE is a minimally invasive medical procedure to restrict a tumor’s blood supply. TACE is an interventional radiology procedure. The procedure involves gaining percutaneous access to the hepatic artery. When a blood vessel supplying tumor has been selected, alternating aliquots of the chemotherapy dose and of embolic particles, or particles containing the chemotherapy agent, are injected through the catheter. CDDP is a chemotherapy drug. It was the first member of a class of platinum-containing anti-cancer drugs that now also includes carboplatin and oxaliplatin. These platinum complexes react in vivo, binding to and causing crosslinking of DNA, which ultimately triggers apoptosis.

Peer review
This paper is well written. The clinical results are appropriately described. The authors present clinical evaluation of TACE of DDPH in lipiodol in the treatment of HCC. The data indicate that the treatment in HCC patients resulted in significantly better early response rate, overall survival, progression free survival and cumulative survival rates.
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