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Over the past 15 years, numerous studies have been conducted on the use of nanocarbons as biomaterials towards such applications as drug delivery systems, cancer therapy, and regenerative medicine. However, the clinical use of nanocarbons remains elusive, primarily due to short- and long-term safety concerns. It is essential that the biosafety of each therapeutic modality be demonstrated in logical and well-conducted experiments. Accordingly, the fundamental techniques for assessing nanocarbon biomaterial safety have become more advanced. Optimal controls are being established, nanocarbon dispersal techniques are being refined, the array of biokinetic evaluation methods has increased, and carcinogenicity examinations under strict conditions have been developed. The medical implementation of nanocarbons as a biomaterial is in sight. With a particular focus on carbon nanotubes, these perspectives aim to summarize the contributions to date on nanocarbon applications and biosafety, introduce the recent achievements in evaluation techniques, and clarify the future prospects and systematic introduction of carbon nanomaterials for clinical use through practical yet sophisticated assessment methods.

1. Introduction

Nanocarbons have been applied towards creating biomaterials for over 15 years, with the number of related papers increasing rapidly in this competitive field.[1–20] However, clinical biomaterials employing nanocarbons remain unavailable, largely due to short- and long-term biosafety concerns. Nanocarbon biomaterials may already be applicable in the clinical setting with present technologies provided the successful completion of biosafety assessments.

For over a decade, we have been conducting extensive research on the biomedical applications of nanocarbons, especially carbon nanotubes (CNTs), and have reached the cusp of the world’s first clinical use of CNT composite materials. These perspectives will summarize our achievements to date on nanocarbon applications and biosafety, introduce our recent sophisticated evaluation techniques, and clarify the path towards the clinical implementation of nanocarbon biomaterials through current and emerging assessment methods.

Although the present report focuses mainly on CNTs,[21,22] we have also extensively investigated carbon nanohorns (CNHs),[23,24] carbon nanofibers (CNFs),[25–27] and other nanocarbons.[28] Owing to the multitude of properties and experimental conditions for each material, however, additional perspectives are needed to address the full range of nanocarbon materials studied to date.

Biocompatibility and biodegradability are both important considerations in the development of nanobiomaterials. Whereas adequate biocompatibility is essential, biodegradability may be deemed desirable in some cases; many studies exist on such non-biodegradable nanobiomaterials as nano-sized hydroxyapatite, nano-sized gold particles, and nanomagnetic compounds.[29–31] Nanocarbons exhibit very high biocompatibility in relation to other nanobiomaterials. In contrast, they have low biodegradability.[12] These properties may be advantageous in applications where specific effects are desired without the need for biodegradability.

2. Progressively Advanced Clinical Applications of Nanocarbon Biomaterials

The nanocarbons reported by our group can be broadly considered for four biomaterial applications, which are now being tested for clinical use in a biosafe manner. These are: 1) nanocarbons complexed to a pre-existing bulk biomaterial and implanted into the body, 2) nanocarbon particles administered locally for...
Figure 1. Stages in the clinical application of nanocarbon-based biomaterials. The medical use of nanocarbons as biomaterials should only progress after demonstrating complete safety at each stage. The decision to proceed to Stage 4 requires extremely careful consideration.

life-threatening diseases, such as cancer, 3) nanocarbon particles given locally for non-life-threatening diseases, and 4) nanocarbon particles injected into the circulation for use as a drug delivery system (DDS), imaging modality, or other function (Figure 1).[3]

At present, applications (1) and (2) are feasible, but their safety in the clinical setting, such as possible accumulation with repeated administration, needs confirmation before proceeding to (3). Although the hurdle of application (4) is high, it will be achievable with sufficient clinical evidence.

2.1. Local Implantation of Nanocarbon and Pre-Existing Bulk Biomaterial Complexes

For this application, nanocarbons are joined with bulk materials used in existing biomaterials to improve therapeutic function. For example, polyethylene used in the sliding part of artificial joints is combined with nanocarbons as a reinforcement material to reduce the amount of wear,[33] or collagen used as scaffolds in bone regenerative medicine are complexed with nanocarbons to enhance bone restoration.[34–37] Very few nanocarbons are implanted into the body in such cases. Meanwhile, the pulmonary effects of inhaled nanocarbons, which have been studied worldwide, have been shown as safe depending on the exposure dose.[38–50] Owing to the small overall amount of nanocarbons and the even smaller amount of material released from implanted complexes, nanocarbons are being seriously considered for such applications. Small particle amounts will be tested to assess local tissue safety in addition to the biokinetics of particles when they enter the circulatory system from the local site.

As an example of biokinetic assessment, our earlier study investigated osteogenesis promoted in vivo using a collagen composite with multi-walled CNTs (MWCNTs), which have been reported to promote osteoblast proliferation in vitro (Figure 2). By intramuscularly implanting a collagen composite of MWCNTs as a DDS for recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 (rhBMP-2) into laboratory animals, the MWCNTs did not inhibit bone formation, but rather bound directly to the bone tissue and became incorporated into the bone. Moreover, the MWCNT-collagen composites did not induce inflammatory reactions in the muscle, causing little biological responses to the foreign substance. When complexed with MWCNTs, collagen promoted bone formation by BMP to a greater degree than collagen alone, without any adverse effects as osteolysis owing to good bone tissue affinity. This was the first evidence of MWCNTs promoting bone formation in vivo. Since then, there have been rapid advances in their applications with bone-related biomaterials and bone regenerative medicine worldwide.[37]

We have extensively studied the reactions of bone-forming osteoblasts and bone-degrading osteoclasts to MWCNTs in order to elucidate the mechanism of bone formation promotion by MWCNTs. First, MWCNTs attract calcium to raise calcium concentrations around osteoblasts, [34–37] Undifferentiated osteoblasts sense the elevation of calcium concentration and differentiate into mature osteoblasts. The differentiated osteoblasts begin osteogenesis, and in this process, a large amount of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) is released in the surrounding area. As ALP concentrations rise, calcification is induced around the MWCNTs. Repeated MWCNT-osteoblast interactions are considered to synergistically accelerate calcification and promote osteogenesis. Meanwhile, undifferentiated osteoclasts take up MWCNTs intracellularly to suppress the nuclear transfer of the NFATc1 transcription factor, thereby suppressing osteoclast differentiation. In addition to the biochemical interactions of MWCNTs with osteoblasts and osteoclasts, other factors may be involved in the mechanism of osteogenesis promotion by MWCNTs. In particular, cell adhesion to MWCNTs, vascular invasion around MWCNTs, and the relationships between MWCNTs and collagen molecules should all be further investigated.[51,52]
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2.2. Administration of Nanocarbon Particles Locally in Life-Threatening Diseases

The next application step has been the topical administration of nanocarbons in the form of particles. In this role, nanocarbons are made to exhibit their unique utility in DDSs and other applications. Such clinical uses preferentially commence testing for life-threatening diseases, such as cancer. First, a careful safety evaluation of particles in the local tissue and biokinetic assessment in the circulatory system of particles escaping via capillaries or lymphatic vessels are carried out. Once the systemic examinations reveal the organ(s) into which particles are deposited, local safety assessments of the affected tissues are performed in the same way as in the implanted tissue, albeit for the expected smaller amounts of nanocarbons. Confirming all safety aspects and achieving clinical application will significantly alter the way potentially fatal diseases are treated and greatly advance the world’s medical science.

To explain such an application, the use of CNFs supplemented with an anticancer agent for cancer-metastasized bone was examined, as described below.\(^{[51]}\) When cancer has metastasized to the bone, the patient’s quality of life decreases largely due to pain and functional impairment from bone destruction.\(^{[34–65]}\) Traditionally, DDSs for cancer treatment have been prepared with biodegradable materials; however, the cancer-metastasized bone environment varies widely and lacks order, and the timing of sustained drug release and other factors cannot be controlled satisfactorily with the use of conventional materials. CNFs are not biodegradable and can carry a wide variety of molecular species when processed to have pores on their surfaces. The CNFs used in our recent study were nearly nano-sized particles of 400 nm in diameter (Figure 3). We developed a novel control system for the cancer-metastasized bone environment by complexing the CNFs into an anticancer agent. To first introduce cisplatin (CDDP) into CNF surface pores, the CNFs were heated along with KOH to create pores of 2.8 nm in diameter. At a CNF-specific surface area of 3253 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\), pore volume of 2.27 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\), and CNF:CDDP mass ratio of 3:10, we succeeded in efficiently filling the CNF pores with CDDP in this case of hydrophobic CDDP and hydrophobic CDDP pores. When using other anticancer agents, some of which are hydrophilic and highly variable in polarity, the cancer-metastasized bone environment should also be taken into account. The prepared sample was successfully tested on target breast cancer cells in vitro and demonstrated cancer cell suppression effects. In a subsequent experiment, an in vivo bone model of cancer metastasis was prepared by injecting breast cancer cells into the rat tibia. The sample was injected into the same site, and images and tissue specimens were evaluated over time to quantify the cancer tissue suppression effect. Longitudinal blood measurements of the anticancer agent were taken as well. When an injection of breast cancer cells was followed by the administration of CDDP at 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (intravenously (i.v.) or locally (l.i.)) or CNF-CDDP at 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (l.i.), similar cancer tissue proliferation was observed in the CDDP (i.v.) group and the control group, whereas proliferation was highly suppressed in the CDDP (l.i.) group and the CNF-CDDP (l.i.) group. On the other hand, the blood CDDP concentration in the CDDP (l.i.) group was significantly higher than in the CNF-CDDP (l.i.) group. This study showed that CNF-CDDP had similar anticancer properties in the cancer-metastasized bone environment to CDDP alone and was less likely to release anticancer agents into the bloodstream and cause adverse reactions. Future topical applications of CNF-CDDP for cancer-metastasized bone are expected to effectively suppress cancer with lower incidences of adverse events.

Figure 2. MWCNTs accelerate the rate of ectopic bone formation by rhBMP-2 and collagen. An rhBMP-2/collagen composite or rhBMP-2/collagen/MWCNT composite is implanted in mouse back muscle and isolated 2 and 3 weeks later. a) Radiographs taken 2 weeks later. When using a DDS prepared by complexing MWCNTs with collagen (lower panel), larger bone of higher radiography opacity formed than in samples without complexing MWCNTs (upper panel). b) With a DDS consisting of the collagen/MWCNT composite, the ossicles that formed 2 weeks later had a significantly higher bone mineral content than those with collagen alone. c) Histological profile of ossicles isolated 2 weeks later. A thicker and denser trabecula had formed with the collagen-MWCNT composite than with collagen alone. d) Three weeks later, there are no differences in radiographic bone opacity or size between the two groups. e) Three weeks later, there are no significant differences in the mineral content or density of the newly formed bone between the two groups. f) Histological profile of the ossicles isolated 3 weeks later. In both cases, the bone tissue is normal, consisting of normal trabecula and hematopoietic bone marrow, with no remarkable differences between them. In the MWCNT composite tissue, the MWCNTs are incorporated uniformly into the trabecula and bone marrow. The MWCNTs had entered the trabecula and bound directly to the bone. *p < 0.05 between samples with and without CNTs (unpaired Student’s t-test). Hematoxylin-eosin staining. Original magnification ×20. Adapted with permission.\(^{[37]}\) Copyright 2008, Wiley-VCH.
Figure 3. a) The structure of the CF-CDDP is identified using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) as a fiber-entangling columnar structure of 400 nm in diameter and 20–100 μm in length. b) Surface distribution of platinum atoms. Transmission electron microscopy-energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy showed that the platinum atoms are distributed uniformly on the CF surface. c) A large number of pores of 2.79 nm in mean diameter are open on the CF-CDDP surface, with cisplatin present on the surface and inside pores. d) Tissue from a model of cancer metastasis to the bone prepared by injecting...
2. Administration of Nanocarbon Particles Locally in Non-Life-Threatening Diseases

Nanocarbon particles may be of clinical use for the treatment of non-life-threatening diseases, such as diabetes mellitus, and in bone regenerative medicine. The safety assessment approaches are exactly the same as in application (2), although the principle of therapeutic effect versus risk requires much more stringent standards. Once the evaluation methods for application (2) have been established, the expanded safety testing of a wide variety of nanocarbon uses in clinical practice will surely proceed to clinical use.

An example of such an application is an engineered 3D MWCNT block serving as a scaffold for bone tissue regeneration. In recent years, many studies have used composite materials that contained MWCNTs with high bone affinity as a scaffold in bone regenerative medicine as described in application (1); however, none have reported on constructing scaffolds using MWCNTs alone. In our experiment, MWCNT blocks were prepared in a 3D structure that maximized mechanical strength, and their efficacy as a scaffold for bone defect repair was assessed. When the MWCNT blocks were supplemented with rhBMP-2 and implanted into mouse back muscle, bone formed in close contact with the blocks at a mass similar to that obtained using collagen sheets, the gold standard for clinically used scaffolding in bone regeneration. With its adequate mechanical strength, the MWCNT block may serve as a spacer to fill bone defects and as a scaffold for bone regeneration induced by rhBMP-2.

2.4. Injection of Nanocarbon Particles into the Circulatory System as a DDS or for Imaging in Life-Threatening Diseases

Since the risk of this application is higher than those of applications (1) to (3), it will be necessary to carry out safety evaluations very carefully through intravenous administration in experimental animals to assess all organs in which nanocarbons accumulate. Unlike that for local escape, the amount of nanocarbons entering the circulatory system is considerably greater in application (4), thus requiring stringent biokinetic assessment. Recent advances in nanoparticle dispersal technology have revealed that organ accumulation is considerably less than previously expected, although target sites still need examination using adequate particle amounts. The development and successful clinical application of such safety assessment methods will lead to a therapeutic revolution for life-threatening diseases. Further research is required to ascertain whether nanocarbon particles have greater potential than other probes. For example, nanocarbons offer several key advantages, including the simultaneous addition of markers and anticancer agents to their surfaces owing to their high surface reactivity.

3. Basic Technologies for Safety Assessment

It will be imperative to establish reliable techniques for evaluating the safety of nanocarbons to proceed with the above clinical applications. The safety assessment of nanocarbons and pre-existing bulk-biomaterial composites may be performed according to International Organization for Standardization (ISO) guidelines, but will very likely be considered compliant; the quantity of nanocarbons is small and they barely decompose, and so testing results are expected to reflect those of the parent material. However, the biosafety assessment of nanocarbons alone in
adequate doses is warranted if any nanocarbons are suspected to be released, even for nanocarbon and bulk-material complexes. What we are clarifying at present in both cellular and animal studies are: 1) appropriate controls and 2) the dispersibility of nanocarbons. In animal studies, 3) biokinetic evaluation is important, for which we have developed various examination techniques have been proposed. Most importantly, clarifying 4) carcinogenicity is a key factor regarding the biological applications of nanocarbons in living organisms.

Many published studies of in vivo nanocarbon experiments have used rodent animal models. Moving forward, careful discussion and stringent standards will be required to apply experimental systems on the human body. For this reason, a systematic approach to confirm the safety of nanomaterials in a step-by-step process prior to clinical application is needed, such as beginning with a composite and then moving to nanoparticle use at localized sites in life-threatening diseases.

3.1. Appropriate Controls

Neither nanocarbons nor many nano-sized biomaterials have been clinically applied to date, mainly due to a lack of established safety assessment controls. Most chemical substances have standard positive and negative controls as stipulated by ISO guidelines, and there are clear positive and negative controls for bulk biomaterials. However, there are as yet no control standards for the biosafety evaluation of nano-sized materials. Hence, although the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development proposes that nanomaterials be evaluated in accordance with chemical substances, there is a general consensus that it is impossible to treat nanomaterials and chemicals equally. Fortunately, there exists an optimal negative control for nanocarbons: the highly purified carbon black that is a constituent of black tattoos. Black tattoos have been introduced into the human body since ancient times and have been demonstrated as safe in countless cases worldwide.[92–96] Moreover, there is no ambiguity on whether to make the mass, volume, or number of particles constant when comparing two materials, which is a problem with nanoparticles. Since nanocarbons are allotropes of carbon black, they can be easily compared on a mass-based basis; indeed, a significant number of papers have already used carbon black as negative controls.[93,95–97] Unfortunately, positive controls have not yet been identified for nanocarbons, although the use of ISO-specified positive controls for chemicals can be acceptable to ensure that the experimental system is functioning.

To date, we have conducted several short- and long-term implantation studies in vivo as well as an in vitro cytotoxicity study of MWCNTs and evaluated their biological safety in terms of the most fundamental parameters using the two kinds of carbon black in actual use in tattoos as reference materials (Figure 5).[97] All studies demonstrated that MWCNTs were highly safe to living organisms at levels equivalent to tattoos.

3.2. Dispersibility

A major advancement in recent nanocarbon safety assessment has been techniques for dispersing nanocarbons in solutions.
Improvements in the performance of nanoparticle dispersants and dispersion equipment have allowed some nanocarbons to disperse almost completely. A clear difference has been found between the results of previous safety studies using nanocarbons aggregated due to poor dispersibility and the findings with well-distributed nanocarbons. For example, several initial investigations examining the biokinetics of CNTs in the circulatory system of mice found that the organs in which CNTs accumulated differed widely to include the liver, spleen, lungs, and kidneys. However, the use of well-distributed CNTs surprisingly revealed the pancreas as the most likely place for accumulation, with little other organ involvement.\cite{89} Taken together, greater emphasis should be placed on the results of recently established well-distributed nanocarbon safety assessments in both cellular and animal studies.

We also investigated the influence of dispersants on MWCNT uptake into cells and revealed that the uptake of nanocarbons differed according to the dispersant used.\cite{107} This finding indicated that variability in the dispersants used in safety assessments might change the evaluation results of a given material. A standard ordinary water-bath sonicator was originally used for dispersing MWCNTs. However, although high-output sonication could increase MWCNT dispersibility, it also induced molecule cleavage; the MWCNTs were not necessarily dispersed in a monofilament or monoparticle state.\cite{108} When the ultimate goal is to deliver nanocarbons into the circulatory system, it is necessary to evaluate them in a fully dispersed state without morphological changes. A high-output sonicator that avoids local ultrasonic concentration by rotating the sample vial was developed to achieve this aim. We used this device to disperse MWCNTs and CNHs, confirm adequate dispersibility, and then expose the nanomaterials to the cell lines of interest.\cite{109,110} Among the well-dispersed nanocarbons, particulate CNHs were incorporated into macrophage-like cells, while fibrous MWCNTs were scarcely incorporated into macrophage-like cells or bronchial epithelium-derived cells (Figure 6). On the other hand, MWCNTs with insufficient dispersion became incorporated into macrophage-like cells in large amounts. The rotary high-output sonicator used in our studies is expected to become a standard instrument in the future as it ensures sufficient dispersion without physically damaging the nanocarbons.

### 3.3. Biokinetic Evaluation

The methods for evaluating biokinetics to date include preparing and observing tissue sections,\cite{111,112} attaching contrast materials to surfaces for labeling,\cite{113–115} carbon isotopes,\cite{116–118} and Raman’s assay.\cite{119–123} However, such problems as quantitative limitations in preparing and observing tissue sections, changes in the properties of nanocarbons, and the possibility of contrast material detachment from surfaces exist. Nanocarbons made from carbon isotopes are labor-intensive and technically demanding, and although Raman analyses can detect some nanocarbons, they are not applicable for many others.

In order to solve these shortcomings, assessment methods considering the characteristics of specific nanocarbons have been developed.\cite{124} For example, we have published a technique in which contrast medium molecules are placed in a hollow CNT cavity for dynamic assessment with conventional imaging equipment. The CNTs were called “peapods” due to the ordered arrangement of atoms, molecules, or particles in the central space. It was possible to observe biodistribution by imaging peapods containing contrast materials in biokinetic assessments. The main advantages of this method are that the contrast material does not fall out of the peapod and that biokinetics can be
evaluated under the conditions of unaltered CNTs. To evaluate the biodistribution of CNTs, we prepared peapods by labeling with a heavy metal for biokinetic assessment using MRI or CT (Figure 7). Specifically, double-wall CNTs containing gadolinium trichloride enabled gadolinium detection by MRI. Most recently, we have succeeded in developing CT-based evaluation of biokinetics using platinum in CNTs.[125] The novel peapod method has allowed us to evaluate systemic distribution consistently, conveniently, and without altering the surface properties of the target CNTs, even at a non-specialized facility.

Recently, measurements using infrared irradiation have greatly improved the detection of single-walled CNTs. It will also be necessary to combine multiple evaluation methods, such as examining downstream organs after elucidating the systemic distribution of nanocarbons.

Further to biodistribution, we have investigated the biokinetics of CNTs in detail. In one study, we prepared an isolated lymphatic vessel cavity perfusion system for analyzing the motion of nanocarbons in lymphatic vessels and the corresponding vessel responses (Figure 8).[126] Having reached biological tissue via the bloodstream after i.v. injection or direct administration to subcutaneous tissue, tumors, and other lesions, nanocarbons are known to enter lymphatic vessels and migrate through the lymphatic system or accumulate in lymph nodes. Therefore, importance should be placed on elucidating the biokinetics of the interactions of lymphatic vessels with nanocarbons. Our novel isolated lymphatic vessel cavity perfusion system enabled us to visually and quantitatively clarify the interplay between nanocarbons and lymphatic vessels towards establishing a new method of evaluating the biosafety of nanocarbons. We could successfully perfuse nanocarbons into isolated rat lymphatic vessels in vitro and comprehensively evaluate nanoparticle motion, spontaneous contractions and other responses of the lymphatic vessels, and histological vessel profiles (Video S1, Supporting Information). With this system, important information on nanocarbon biokinetics can be obtained and contribute significantly to a wide variety of clinical applications. The lymph vessel experiments described here are also planned for application in larger non-rodent mammals. Furthermore, this experimental system is highly versatile and is even applicable in human samples provided that all ethical issues are addressed.

3.4. Carcinogenicity

The most critical issue regarding the biosafety of nanocarbons is whether or not they induce tumors in various organs. In previous reports, no tumors were observed in accumulating organs when nanocarbons were introduced into the circulatory system of normal laboratory animals. However, inhaled nanocarbons have received mainstream attention for their carcinogenicity, with CNTs also being reported to form tumors when administered intraperitoneally to laboratory animals.[114] Thus, the most stringent conditions are needed for carcinogenicity testing. We recently examined tumorigenesis using a genetically modified carcinogenic rasH12 mouse line that has been recognized by the American food and drug administration (FDA) as a carcinogenicity assessment.
Figure 8. a) Schematic diagram of the entire isolated lymphatic vessel cavity perfusion system. The system comprises an isolated rat lymphatic vessel, an organ chamber to immobilize the vessel, a light microscope to examine the lymphatic vessel and nanomaterial being perfused into the vessel, a CCD camera, a TV monitor, a DVD recorder, a lymphatic vessel tracker, and a computer. The lymphatic vessel is shown in red, perfusion in the lymphatic vessel is in pink, and perfusion outside the lymphatic vessel is in blue. Krebs’ solution perfused in the lymph vessel is aerated with a gaseous mixture of 5% CO₂ and 95% N₂ to reproduce the anaerobic conditions in vivo. To maintain the chamber’s internal temperature between 37.5 and 38.5 °C, the perfusing solution is warmed using a constant-temperature vessel with a plate heater placed under the chamber. b) Procedure of setting lymphatic vessels. The method for isolating rat tissue around lymphatic vessels and joining a lymphatic vessel to the chamber is as follows: anesthetized Wistar rats are exsanguinated via the axillary artery and laparotomized, after which the iliac lymph nodes and surrounding lymphatic vessels, arteries, veins, and adipose tissue are ligated together and isolated. An iliac lymph node afferent lymphatic vessel is isolated from adipose tissue in a Petri dish filled with Krebs’ solution and cut into a piece of 2–3 mm length. Both ends of the lymphatic vessel piece are cannulated to a glass micropipette in the chamber and immobilized by suture ligation. A homogeneous dispersion of nanomaterials to be perfused in the lymphatic vessel is prepared by sonication. While applying an inside pressure of 6 cm H₂O to the specimen cavity and perfusing the outside of the specimen with Krebs’s buffer solution (pH 7.4, 37.5–38.5 °C) aerated with 5% CO₂ and 95% N₂, the lymphatic vessel is induced to contract spontaneously, and the buffer solution and nanomaterial dispersion are perfused into the cavity. LV: lymphatic vessel, LN: lymphatic node. Adapted with permission. [126] Copyright 2021, Elsevier.
animal for chemical substances.[127] MWCNTs were implanted into the subcutaneous tissue of rasH2 mice (Figure 9).[128] At 26 weeks post-implantation, all 10 mice in the MWCNT group and in the solvent-only group were alive, with only 1 mouse death (10%) in the carbon black group. In the positive control N-methyl-N-nitrosourea (MNU) group, 6 of the 10 animals were alive at week 26. Similar body weight changes were seen for the MWCNT group, solvent-only group, and carbon black group. In contrast, the MNU group exhibited marked time-dependent weight loss from week 12. Histologic examination found a spleen mass in 1 animal in the MWCNT group, which was identified as an inflammatory pseudotumor. No neoplasm was found in the 1 deceased animal in the carbon black group, although an inflammatory pseudotumor was found in the spleen of 1 of the 9 surviving animals. In another animal, a neoplasm had developed in the lung and was histologically diagnosed as adenoma. No neoplasms were found in the solvent-only group. In the MNU group, neoplasms were detected in all surviving and non-surviving animals (Table 1). In the MWCNT group, no neoplasm developed at the subcutaneous injection site where macrophage-phagocytosed CNTs had accumulated, nor were there any inflammatory cells, such as neutrophils and lymphocytes, around the CNTs. Similar findings were witnessed in the carbon black group.  

4. Nanocarbon Biomaterials Expected for Clinical Application in the Near Future

We have received encouragement from the Japanese Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency[129–131] (corresponding to the American FDA or the U.K. Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency) regarding the progression of CNT composites towards clinical testing. A sample case is described below.[133]
Table 1. Incidence of neoplastic changes in resH2 mice following injection with CNTs, carbon black, solvent only, or MNU test substance. Table is modified from a study by Takanashi et al. [128]

| Organ               | Diagnosis/total number | Control | Carbon black | VGNF | MNU |
|---------------------|------------------------|---------|--------------|------|-----|
| Skin (back area)    |                        | 10      | 10           | 10   | 10  |
|                     | Papilloma              | 0       | 0            | 0    | 2   |
|                     | Keratoacanthoma        | 0       | 0            | 0    | 0   |
| Skin (other areas)  |                        | 10      | 10           | 10   | 10  |
|                     | Papilloma              | 0       | 0            | 0    | 6   |
|                     | Keratoacanthoma        | 0       | 0            | 0    | 0   |
|                     | Basal cell tumor       | 0       | 0            | 0    | 0   |
| Spleen              | Inflammatory pseudotumor|         | 1            | 0    | 0   |
|                     | Hemangioma             | 0       | 0            | 0    | 0   |
| Hematopoietic system| Malignant lymphoma      | 0       | 0            | 0    | 2   |
|                     | Epithelial thymoma     | 0       | 0            | 0    | 0   |
| Kidneys             | Hemangioma             | 0       | 0            | 0    | 0   |
| Pancreas            | Hemangioma             | 0       | 0            | 0    | 0   |
| Lungs               | Adenocarcinoma         | 0       | 0            | 0    | 0   |
|                     | Adenoma                | 0       | 1            | 0    | 1   |
|                     | Hemangioma             | 0       | 0            | 0    | 0   |
| Forestomach         | Papilloma              | 0       | 0            | 0    | 10  |
|                     | Basal cell tumor       | 0       | 0            | 0    | 0   |
| Oral mucosa         | Squamous cell carcinoma|         | 0            | 0    | 0   |
|                     | Papilloma              | 0       | 0            | 0    | 3   |

Since ultrahigh-molecular-weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) used in artificial joint sliding elements wears quickly,[132–141] crosslinked UHMWPE is often used in total hip arthroplasty (THA).[142–152] However, crosslinked UHMWPE has low impact resistance and is hence easily breakable, with several published cases of breakage in THA.[153–159] For the same reason, crosslinked UHMWPE is avoided for total knee arthroplasty (TKA), which involves the convex contact of joint components. Indeed, artificial joint sliding elements pose the major problem of a trade-off between wear resistance and impact resistance, and no UHMWPE material has resolved the issue to date. In our study, we addressed this conundrum by complexing UHMWPE with MWCNTs (Figure 10) and evaluating their safety for use in artificial joints. Interestingly, the MWCNT/UHMWPE composites showed wear resistance equivalent to that of crosslinked UHMWPE as well as impact resistance comparable to that of non-crosslinked UHMWPE (Figure 11). The MWCNT/UHMWPE composites also met all of the criteria for an implantable medical device in a biosafety study based on the ISO10993 series. Taking into account the possible presence of MWCNTs in wear debris, MWCNTs contained in the wear debris of a converted-for-rat amount of approximately 1.5 times that expected for 50 years in the worst case were injected into rat knee joints and monitored for 26 weeks. A very mild inflammatory reaction occurred in the joint, but quickly became quiescent (Figure 12). The MWCNTs did not migrate to any other organ. Thus, such MWCNT/UHMWPE composites represent a new biomaterial expected to find safe clinical applications both in THA and TKA as the first artificial joint sliding elements to possess both high wear resistance and high impact resilience. Increased clinical testing of other nanocarbon biomaterials is expected in the near future.

5. Outlook

The immense clinical potential of nanocarbons is evident. Optimal controls are being identified, nanocarbon dispersal techniques have evolved, the selection of biokinetic evaluation methods has increased, and carcinogenicity testing under severe conditions is being developed. As the fundamental techniques for the biosafety assessment of nanocarbon biomaterials become more sophisticated, new preparations for clinical applications await. Ultimately, the biosafety of each developed product must be shown in logical and well-conducted experiments.

Pre-existing bulk biomaterials composed of nanocarbons will first be clinically applied. Nanocarbon particles will then be employed for the local treatment of cancer and other lethal diseases. Although this may require some encouragement of patient candidates, the expected benefits will be incredible. Encouragingly, a detailed review of previous reports has shown a high probability of success up to this stage. Moreover, the social perception of nanocarbons is changing favorably, and irrefutable evidence is accumulating on their tolerance and safety. It remains unclear at present whether nanocarbons can be administered into the circulatory system, but persistence and impending advancements will surely overcome this hurdle. We believe that the cumulative body of nanocarbon biomaterial research and development, to which numerous researchers have been devoted for over 15 years, will lead to paradigm shifts and major advances in global medicine by following the road towards clinical applications.
Figure 10. Preparation of MWCNT/UHMWPE composites. a) SEM images of UHMWPE, MWCNT, and MWCNT/UHMWPE composite powder kneaded using a twin-screw extruder with heating. b) When an MWCNT/UHMWPE composite prepared by thermal compression molding of a composite powder of UHMWPE and MWCNT is examined using light microscopy, a honeycomb structure is found comprising laminar MWCNT surrounding a lump of UHMWPE. Left: photomicrograph. Right: diagram of the planar structure. c) SEM image showing that the UHMWPE in contact with the MWCNT had dissolved and bound to the MWCNT. Adapted with permission.[33] Copyright 2020, American Chemical Society.

Figure 11. Mechanical properties of MWCNT/UHMWPE composites. PE: UHMWPE. XLPE: crosslinked UHMWPE. a) Tensile testing of MWCNT/UHMWPE composite test pieces showed that Young’s modulus is maximized at a 100:2 composition of UHMWPE and CNTs to make the composite harder than crosslinked UHMWPE. b) The temperature in the last stage of processing using a twin-screw extruder-kneader (surface treatment step) and the absorption energy of the prepared test piece in the Charpy impact test are measured. The impact absorption energy is maximized at 190 °C processing to a level equivalent to that obtained with non-MWCNT-composite UHMWPE. c) In the wear resistance test, cross-sectional areas with surface wear are measured and evaluated. When the test piece is processed at 190 °C in the surface treatment step of twin-screw extrusion-kneading, the wear resistance is maximized to a level equivalent to that of crosslinked UHMWPE. Adapted with permission.[33] Copyright 2020, ACS Publications.
Figure 12. Intra-articular reactions of MWCNTs alone. MWCNTs of 0.03 mg are dispersed in 80 μL of solution containing a dispersing agent and contrast medium. The dispersion is injected into rat right knee joints for evaluating histological profiles at 1 day, 1 week, 4 weeks, 12 weeks, and 26 weeks after injection (n = 4). a) Anterior skin of the rat knee joint is incised, and the MWCNT dispersion is injected into the articular capsule. b) Fluorography at the time of injection confirmed that the MWCNT dispersion did not leak out from the joint. c) Histologically, mildly inflammatory cells are found in the synovial membrane around the MWCNTs on day 1 and at week 1; however, the inflammatory reaction became quiescent at week 4. The MWCNTs are phagocytosed by macrophage-like cells in the synovial membrane, with some macrophage-like cells forming an assembly. The histological profiles at weeks 12 and 26 are similar to those at week 4, indicating that no inflammation had occurred over a long period. Scale bar: 20 μm. Adapted with permission.[33] Copyright 2020, American Chemical Society Publications.
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