Hip dysplasia and osteoarthrosis
A survey of 4 151 subjects from the Osteoarthrosis Substudy of the Copenhagen City Heart Study
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Introduction   Hip dysplasia (HD) is assumed to be an etiological factor in the development of premature hip osteoarthrosis (OA). We established the prevalences of HD and OA in adults according to qualified radiographic discriminators, and investigated the relationship between HD and OA.

Methods   Wiberg’s CE angle (CE), Sharp’s angle, the femoral head extrusion index, the acetabular depth ratio (ADR), the radiographic OA discriminators of Croft, and of Kelgren and Lawrence, and also minimum joint space width (JSW) ≤ 2 mm were applied to the standing, standardized pelvic radiographs of 1 429 men (22–93 years), and 2 430 women (22–92 years).

Results   The 4 HD discriminators were interrelated. A negligible sex-related difference in acetabular morphology was found, male acetabulae being slightly more dysplastic than female acetabulae. However, differences between the sexes for right and left CE angles were within 1.0°, and within 1.4° for right and left Sharp’s angles. There were no cases of hip subluxation (breakage of Shenton’s line ≥ 5 mm). Average CE angle was 34° in men (SD 7.3°), and 35° in women (SD 7.6°). Applying a CE cut-off value of 20° for designation of definite hip dysplasia, we found a prevalence of hip dysplasia of 3.4%. Approximately 2% of cases were unilateral and 1.4% of cases were bilateral. We found significant relationships between radiographic OA discriminators and the CE angle, femoral head extrusion index and ADR. Odds ratios ranged from 1.0 to 6.2. Compared to subjects with OA in morphologically normal hips, a trend towards younger age in subjects with HD and OA was noted, but this was not strictly significant.

Interpretation   We found that HD is not uncommon in the general population. The assumption that HD is an etiological factor in the development of hip OA was confirmed.

Hip dysplasia (HD) has been assumed to be a significant etiological factor in the development of premature hip osteoarthrosis (OA) in adults (Wiberg 1939, Severin 1941, Pauwels 1951, Stulberg and Harris 1974, Stulberg and Harris 1974, Stulberg et al. 1975, Cooperman et al. 1983, Salter et al. 1984, Ganz 1988, Murphy et al. 1990, Croft et al. 1991, Hansson et al. 1993). To evaluate the magnitude of this predisposition, information on the prevalence of HD in the general population is required. To our knowledge, no such information exists in the literature. The prevalence of radiographic hip OA according to qualified discriminators is also required. We established the prevalence of HD in the adult cohort of 4 151 subjects from the Osteoarthrosis Substudy of the third Copenhagen City Heart Study, and investigated the relationship of HD to hip OA according to specified radiographic discriminators.

Material and methods   The Osteoarthrosis Substudy of the Copenhagen City Heart Study (CCHS III)

The CCHS is a longitudinal health survey of an
adult cohort randomly selected from the residents of the county of Österbro in Copenhagen. The survey has been registering health and social factors pertaining to the participants since the beginning of 1976 (Schnohr et al. 2001).

From 1991 to 1994, antero-posterior (AP) pelvic and lumbar spine radiographs were recorded in 4 151 participants. There were 1 533 men with an average age of 62 (23–93) years, and 2 618 women with an average age of 65 (22–92) years. Radiographs were obtained in the standing position. The feet pointed straight forward, and the lower extremities were positioned in neutral abduction-adduction along the functional axis of the lower extremity. In AP pelvic radiographs, the X-ray beam was centered two finger-breadths over the symphysis pubis in the vertical midline. In lateral lumbar spine radiographs, the X-ray beam was centered at the apical midpoint of the iliac crista. Tube to film distance was 120 cm in all cases. All radiographs were taken by the same two radiography technicians.

Radiographic parameters of hip dysplasia
Acetabular morphology was assessed by the acetabular depth ratio (ADR) of Murray and Stulberg (cut-off value for definite dysplasia set at ≤ 250), and Sharp’s angle (cut-off value set at ≤ 45°). Containment of the femoral head by the acetabulum was assessed by Wiberg’s center-edge (CE) angle (cut-off value set at 20°), and the femoral head extrusion index of Heyman and Herndon (cut-off value set at ≥ 25%) (Wiberg 1939, Heyman and Herndon 1950, Sharp 1961, Murray 1965, Stulberg and Harris 1974) (Figure 1 a–d). The center of the femoral head was localized using Mose’s template: a transparent plastic sheet with concentric, high-definition circles 1 mm apart. Sphericity of the femoral head was characterized using the following categories: 1) spherical, 2) coxae plana, and 3) irregular. Shenton’s line was evaluated. Pelvic rotation was assessed using Tönnis’ foramen obturator index (FOI), in which maximum horizontal width of the right obturator foramen was divided by left obturator foramen width (Tönnis 1976). Pelvic
inclination was measured in lateral lumbar spine radiographs as the angle between the horizontal plane and a line parallel to the cranial articulating surface of the sacrum.

**Radiographic parameters of hip OA**

Minimum joint space width (JSW) was measured at three locations: 1) at the lateral margin of the subchondral sclerotic line (‘the sourcil’), 2) at the apical transection of the weight-bearing surface by a vertical line through the center of the femoral head, and 3) at the medial margin of the weight-bearing surface bordering on the fovea. Minimum JSW was selected as the smallest of these 3 measurements. One observer (SJ) performed all measurements using a 0.1-mm graded magnifying glass.

Absence or presence of radiological OA was determined according to 1) the OA classification of Croft (grades 3–5), 2) Kellgren and Lawrence (K-L) (grades 2–4) using a radiographic atlas (Kellgren and Lawrence 1957, Kellgren 1963, Croft et al. 1990) (Table 1), and 3) Minimum JSW ≤ 2 mm regardless of other features of OA, according to Lanyon et al. (2003).

**Exclusion criteria regarding radiographs**

In a cadaver study, we have found that extreme pelvic rotation can have a significant influence on the radiological pelvic morphometry (Jacobsen et al. 2004). Keeping within ± 3° of error in measurements of the CE angle and Sharp’s angle, FOI inclusion limits of 0.7–1.8 were applied to radiographs.

We have found that extreme pelvic inclination during standing pelvic X-ray recordings constitutes a possible source of error for the precise measurement of JSW. Consequently, radiographs with pelvic inclinations outside 2 SD of the mean were omitted from the study. Median pelvic inclination was 38° (0–82), and 1 SD was 9.4°. Inclusion limits for pelvic inclination thus ranged from 19° to 56°. Radiographs in which measurements were inaccurate due to obesity of the subject were omitted.

**Exclusion criteria regarding subjects**

The following exclusion criteria were applied: 1) previous hip surgery of any hip except total hip arthroplasty, 2) previous fractures of any hip, 3) former treatment of childhood hip disorders, and 4) a history of rheumatoid arthritis or other inflammatory arthritis of any joint.

**Reproducibility**

Intraobserver reproducibility of measurements of JSW and index angles was assessed by blinded re-reading (also by SJ) of a subset of 50 CCHS III radiographs 1 month after the first reading, using intraclass coefficients (ICC). Intraobserver reproducibility of Croft, and Kellgren and Lawrence OA grade assignments were performed in another subset of 100 radiographs after 1 month. Reproducibility of OA grading was assessed by kappa statistics.
Statistics

Interrelationships of radiographic HD discriminators were assessed by Spearman’s correlation coefficient. Sex-related differences of HD or minimum JSW were assessed by paired samples t-test. Age differences between subjects with hip OA and HD, and subjects with OA in morphologically normal hips were assessed by independent samples t-test. The relationship of HD to OA was investigated by chi-square tests and odds ratios.

A significance level of \( p < 0.05 \) was assumed. SPSS statistical software version 11.5 was used for all calculations (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

Results

Inclusion

Applying FOI limits of 0.7–1.8 meant that 188 of 4151 (4.5%) of the CCHS-III pelvic radiographs had to be excluded from further analysis. Applying limits of pelvic inclination within 2 SD of the mean meant that an additional 34 cases (0.8%) had to be excluded. Applying individual exclusion criteria resulted in the final inclusion of 1429 men with an average age of 61 (23–93) years, and of 2430 women with an average age of 61 (22–92) years.

Reproducibility

ICC of JSW measurements ranged from 0.81 to 0.87. ICC of index angles ranged from 0.85 to 0.91. Repeatability of Croft’s OA grading was moderate; \( \kappa = 0.56 \) for the right hips, and \( \kappa = 0.46 \) for the left hips. If dichotomized into grades, 0°–3° denoting absent or slight OA, and grades 4°–5° denoting definite OA, kappa values increased to 0.65 for right hips, and 0.65 for left hips. Repeatability of K–L OA grading was \( \kappa = 0.55 \) for right hips, and \( \kappa = 0.51 \) for left hips. If dichotomised into grades, 0°–1° denoting absent or doubtful OA, and grades 2°–4° denoting definite OA, kappa values increased to 0.82 for right hips, and 0.85 for left hips.

Hip morphology

There were no cases of hip subluxation (breakage of Shenton’s line ≥ 5 mm). Interrelationships of the radiographic discriminators, basic values and sex-related differences in measured acetabular morphology are summarized in Table 2. Sex-related distributions of right and left hip CE angles are pre-
sented in Figure 2 a-b. We found significant interrelationships between the radiographic discriminators of acetabular morphology (all p < 0.001). The correlation coefficient of Sharp’s angle to the other HD discriminators was weakest. We found sex-related differences in acetabular morphology, male acetabulae being marginally more dysplastic than female acetabulae. However, mean differences between sexes for CE angles were within 1.0°, and for Sharp’s angles they were within 1.4°. For ADR, the mean difference between sexes was within 7%. For all practical clinical purposes, we find these differences negligible. In 3770 hips (97.7%) the minimum JSW for females was significantly larger than minimum JSW for males (p = 0.004). Applying the radiographic OA discriminators of Croft and of Lawrence and Kellgren, male hip OA ranged from 3.9% to 4.9%, and female hip OA ranged from 2.0% to 2.3%. The prevalences of radiographic hip OA became equalized if the discriminator of minimum JSW ≤ 2 mm (regardless of other features of OA) was applied (Table 2).

HD prevalences are summarized in Table 3. Although not strictly significant, we found a trend

Prevalences of OA and HD

Mean minimum JSW was 3.6 (0.0–7.0) mm (SD 0.8 mm) for men, and 3.7 (0.0–8.0) mm (SD 0.8) for women. On average, minimum JSW for females was significantly larger than minimum JSW for males (p = 0.004). Applying the radiographic OA discriminators of Croft and of Lawrence and Kellgren, male hip OA ranged from 3.9% to 4.9%, and female hip OA ranged from 2.0% to 2.3%. The prevalences of radiographic hip OA became equalized if the discriminator of minimum JSW ≤ 2 mm (regardless of other features of OA) was applied (Table 2).

HD prevalences are summarized in Table 3. Although not strictly significant, we found a trend

| Prevalences of hip OA and hip dysplasia |
|-----------------------------------------|
| **Men (n = 1 429)**                     |
| **Women (n = 2 430)**                   |
| **Right hip**                           |
| **Left hip**                            |
| **Right hip**                           |
| **Left hip**                            |
| Croft OA 3–5°                           | 70 (4.9) | 61 (4.3) | 49 (2.0) | 52 (2.1) |
| K–L OA 2–4°                            | 69 (4.8) | 56 (3.9) | 51 (2.1) | 52 (2.3) |
| JSW ≤ 2 mm                             | 54 (3.8) | 56 (3.9) | 87 (3.6) | 86 (3.5) |
| CE Δ ≤ 20°                             | 51 (3.6) | 44 (3.1) | 86 (3.5) | 85 (3.5) |
| Sharp Δ ≥ 45°                           | 48 (3.4) | 46 (3.2) | 205 (8.4) | 199 (8.2) |
| Migration ≥ 25%                        | 80 (5.6) | 65 (4.5) | 57 (2.3) | 33 (1.4) |
| ADR ≤ 250                              | 153 (10.7) | 140 (9.8) | 204 (8.4) | 186 (7.7) |

CE = Wiberg’s center edge angle; Migration = lateral migration index of Heyman & Herndon; ADR = Stulberg’s acetabular depth ratio; JSW = minimum joint space width (thickness of articular cartilage).
of subjects with OA and HD being younger than subjects with hip OA in normal hips (Table 4).

The relationship between HD and OA

We found statistically significant relationships between OA discriminators and the CE angle, the migration index, and ADR (Table 5). Odds ratios ranged from 1.0 to 6.2. The relationship between minimum JSW and the CE angle is presented graphically in Figure 3.

THA and HD

There were 43 right hip THAs and 34 left hip THAs at the time of the index radiographs. 12 subjects had bilateral THAs. We have no information on indication status for the THAs; nor have we access to preoperative radiographs. For unilateral THAs, we found no statistically significant differences in HD between the contralateral “healthy” hips and other hips that were included (with p ranging from 0.2 to 0.5 in right hips, and 0.2 to 0.6 in left hips).

Discussion

The etiology of hip OA is multifactorial. Mechanical and genetic factors seem to be most important (Cicuttini and Spector 1996, Spector et al. 1996, Jordan et al. 2000). Altered biomechanics of the hip caused by dysplasia and subluxation has been assumed to predispose it to osteoarthrosis (Wiberg 1939). In vivo and in vitro studies, as well as biomechanical computations, have shown that reduced areas of load transfer in dysplastic hips may lead to premature degeneration of cartilage (Afoke et al. 1987, Legal 1987, Hadley et al. 1990, Bergmann et al. 1993, Michaeli et al. 1997). However, while hip subluxation invariably seems to lead to early hip OA, the relationship between mild or moderate dysplasia and OA has not been clearly demonstrated. There have only been a few longitudinal studies, which included relatively few patients. Wiberg’s own longitudinal study (with a follow-up of 28 years) only included 44 patients, and 7 of the 17 hips illustrated in his original study were subluxated (Wiberg 1939, Cooperman et al. 1983). A significant relationship between dysplasia and degeneration has been confirmed lately by MRI and arthroscopy studies (Leunig et al. 1997, 2001, McCarthy et al. 2001, McCarthy and Lee 2002).

Table 4. Age differences between subjects with hip dysplasia and hip OA and subjects with hip OA in morphologically normal hips. OA discriminator: minimum JSW < 2.0 mm

|                | Right hip |                | Left hip |
|----------------|-----------|----------------|----------|
|                | n         | Median age     | p        | SED | 95% CI | n         | Median age     | p        | SED | 95% CI |
| CE ≤ 20°       | 10        | 65 (41–86)     | 0.2      | 3.6 | –1.9 – 12.5 | 9         | 67 (53–82)     | 0.3      | 3.6 | –3.3 – 10.9 |
| CE > 20°       | 130       | 72 (36–89)     | –        | –   | –        | 133       | 71 (33–89)     | –        | –   | –        |
| Mig. ≥ 25%     | 16        | 70 (53–86)     | 0.7      | 2.9 | –4.5 – 7.1 | 10        | 67 (56–82)     | 0.6      | 3.0 | –4.8 – 8.4 |
| Mig. < 25%     | 122       | 72 (37–85)     | –        | –   | –        | 131       | 71 (33–89)     | –        | –   | –        |
| ADR ≤ 250      | 24        | 59 (24–87)     | 0.01     | 4.6 | 2.6 – 20.8 | 13        | 67 (53–80)     | 0.3      | 2.3 | –2.3 – 7.5 |
| ADR > 250      | 134       | 72 (36–89)     | –        | –   | –        | 129       | 71 (53–80)     | –        | –   | –        |

CE = Wiberg’s center edge angle; Migration = lateral migration index of Heyman & Herndon; ADR = Stulberg’s acetabular depth ratio; SED = standard error of difference; CI = 95% confidence interval.

Figure 3. The relationship between CE angle and minimum JSW.
Table 5. The relationship between coxarthrosis and hip dysplasia for both sexes according to predefinitions (n = 3 859)

|                  | Croft's score 3°–5° | K–L’s score 2°–4° | JSW ≤ 2.0 mm |
|------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|
| **Right hip**    |                      |                  |             |
| CE >20°          | 106/3.571 = 2.9%     | 107/3.570 = 2.9% | 130/3.545 = 3.6% |
| CE ≤20°          | 12/125 = 9.6%       | 12/125 = 9.6%   | 10/127 = 7.8% |
| p                | 0.001               | 0.001           | 0.02        |
| OR (95% CI)      | 3.4 (1.7–6.0)       | 3.2 (1.7–5.9)   | 2.1 (1.1–4.1) |
| Sharp <45°       | 111/3.450 = 3.2%    | 111/3.450 = 3.2%| 132/3.427 = 3.8% |
| Sharp ≥45°       | 7/246 = 2.8%        | 8/245 = 3.2%    | 8/245 = 3.2% |
| p                | 0.47                | 0.53            | 0.86        |
| OR (95% CI)      | 0.8 (0.4–1.9)       | 1.0 (0.5–2.1)   | 0.8 (0.4–1.7) |
| Migration% <25%  | 98/3.577 = 2.7%     | 100/3.575 = 2.7%| 122/3.551 = 3.4% |
| Migration% ≥25%  | 19/118 = 16.1%      | 18/119 = 15.1%  | 16/121 = 12.6% |
| p                | 0.00                | 0.00            | 0.00        |
| OR (95% CI)      | 5.8 (3.4–0.9)       | 5.4 (3.1–9.2)   | 3.8 (2.2–6.6) |
| ADR >250         | 92/3.366 = 2.7%     | 95/3.363 = 2.8% | 117/3.338 = 3.2% |
| ADR ≤250         | 26/330 = 7.8%       | 24/332 = 7.2%   | 23/334 = 6.6% |
| p                | 0.00                | 0.00            | 0.007       |
| OR (95% CI)      | 2.8 (1.8–4.5)       | 2.5 (1.6–4.0)   | 1.9 (1.2–3.1) |
| **Left hip**     |                      |                  |             |
| CE >20°          | 104/3.592 = 2.8%    | 101/3.594 = 2.8%| 133/3.560 = 3.7% |
| CE ≤20°          | 9/119 = 7.5%        | 10/118 = 8.4%   | 9/120 = 7.5% |
| p                | 0.01                | 0.04            | 0.04        |
| OR (95% CI)      | 2.6 (1.2–5.2)       | 3.0 (1.5–5.9)   | 2.0 (0.9–4.0) |
| Sharp <45°       | 109/3.470 = 3.1%    | 106/3.472 = 3.0%| 138/3.439 = 4.0% |
| Sharp ≥45°       | 4/241 = 1.6%        | 5/240 = 2.0%    | 4/241 = 1.6% |
| p                | 0.24                | 0.55            | 0.08        |
| OR (95% CI)      | 0.5 (0.1–1.4)       | 0.6 (0.2–1.6)   | 0.4 (0.1–1.1) |
| Migration% <25%  | 99/3.624 = 2.7%     | 97/3.625 = 2.6% | 131/3.590 = 3.6% |
| Migration% ≥25%  | 13/85 = 15.2%       | 14/84 = 16.6%   | 10/88 = 11.3% |
| p                | 0.00                | 0.00            | 0.03        |
| OR (95% CI)      | 5.5 (3.0–10.3)      | 6.2 (3.4–11.3)  | 3.1 (1.5–6.1) |
| ADR >250         | 99/3.400 = 2.9%     | 96/3.402 = 2.8% | 129/3.367 = 3.8% |
| ADR ≤250         | 14/311 = 4.5%       | 15/310 = 4.8%   | 13/313 = 4.1% |
| p                | 0.16                | 0.08            | 0.75        |
| OR (95% CI)      | 1.5 (0.8–2.7)       | 1.7 (0.9–2.9)   | 1.0 (0.6–1.9) |

CE = Wiberg’s center edge angle. K–L = the osteoarthrosis score of Kellgren and Lawrence. Croft = Croft’s osteoarthrosis score. 95% CI = 95% confidence interval of the mean difference. OR = Odds ratio. JSW = Minimum joint space width.

We have investigated the prevalence of acetabular dysplasia in the general population by applying commonly used radiographic discriminators of dysplasia to the pelvic radiographs of 3 859 subjects of the CCHS III cohort. A multitude of geometric radiographic indices for the description of hip morphology have been developed. We have chosen Wiberg’s CE angle, Sharp’s angle, the femoral head extrusion index and the acetabular depth ratio. These complement each other and are easy to use in clinical and epidemiological studies.

The CE angle decreases in acetabular dysplasia and coxa magna, which increases the head radius. The center of the head becomes displaced laterally and inferiorly. If subluxation occurs, it may move further laterally and superiorly. The angle increases in coxa plana, where the center of the femoral head moves cranially, and in OA where the center of the head migrates cranially due to reduced cartilage height. In adults, Stulberg found average angles of 37° (26–48) in men, and 35° (24–46) in women. Murphy found angles averaging 37°. Cut-off value for definite dysplasia varies considerably. Wiberg
found a physiological range of 20–40° in normal adults. Tönnis estimated normal values between 26° and 30° at 17–20 years of age. The same range was found by Fredensborg in adults. A CE angle of less than 20° is considered by most investigators to be diagnostic of definitive acetabular dysplasia, while a CE angle of 25–20° is considered to be borderline normal (Stulberg and Harris 1974, Fredensborg 1976, Tönnis 1976, Murphy et al. 1990, Delaunay et al. 1997). This parameter has some limitations. The CE angle relates acetabular coverage to the position of the femoral head and is dynamic in nature, but does not indicate the shape or depth of the acetabulum. It does not assess vertical migration of the femoral head: in cases with borderline subluxation and broken Shenton’s line, the CE angle may be the same as in the purely dysplastic joint. The true lateral acetabular margin is difficult to identify in many AP radiographs. The lateral margin may be obscured by the supero-posterior curvature, osteophytes, or the most lateral portion of the acetabular surface may be so oblique that it does not participate in the actual articulation. We have chosen to designate the readily identifiable lateral margin of the subcondral sclerotic ‘sourcil’ (Fr: eyebrow) as our lateral point of reference (Katz 1979).

Qualified radiographic case definitions of both OA and HD and proper size of the background material are essential in the study of childhood hip diseases and secondary development of OA. We have found that most studies investigating this relationship have been based on urograms and colon radiographs, with little or no information on tube-to-film distance, pelvic orientation, magnification, or centering of the X-ray beam (Croft et al. 1991, Lau et al. 1995, Smith et al. 1995, Lavy et al. 2003). Furthermore, most OA case definitions used have either been Croft’s classification based solely on male urograms, or the classification of Lawrence and Kellgren, which is notoriously problematic regarding reproducibility (Sun et al. 1997).

The assumption of HD being prearthrotic was confirmed in this study, with odds ratios ranging from 1.0 to 6.2. Mild to moderate HD is not an unusual condition in the population; prevalences range from 3.5% to 10.7% depending on the index used. Also, we established a trend for subjects with OA and HD being somewhat younger than subjects with hip OA in normal hips. Using the Croft or Kellgren and Lawrence OA classifications emphasizing the formation of subcondral sclerosis and osteophytes, there is a tendency to overestimate male OA prevalence, while underestimating female OA prevalence. If minimum JSW ≤ 2.0 mm was used exclusively as the OA discriminator, female hip OA prevalence superseded male prevalences in older age groups. HD is only one of several factors influencing the development of hip OA. Age and genetic factors are also important. During the reading of radiographs, we have encountered several cases of HD in elderly subjects with no radiological signs of OA (Figure 4).

During the past decade, pelvic realignment osteotomies in younger adults with HD have become increasingly popular to prevent secondary OA (Ganz et al. 1988, Søballe 2003). This development has precipitated the need for further research in this field. Longitudinal studies of larger cohorts, to establish the range and rate at which secondary OA develops in response to incongruency of the hip joint, are especially relevant.
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