Socioeconomic inequalities in adolescent health complaints: A multilevel latent class analysis in 45 countries
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Abstract
Our study evaluated the relationship between adolescent health complaints and socioeconomic position in 45 countries. Data are from the 2017/2018 international Health Behaviour in School-aged Children survey which used proportionate sampling among adolescents aged 11 to 15 years old (n=228,979). Multilevel, multinomial regression analysis assessed the association between the multilevel latent classes with socioeconomic status (SES; at the household and country level). Three distinct latent classes were identified: No Complaints, Psychological Complaints, and a Physical and Psychological Complaints class; where, low household SES was highest for the physical and psychological complaints class. The findings suggest that health promotion policies and interventions among adolescents should consider the specific needs of adolescents living with low household SES as they report more subjective health complaints.
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Background

Adolescence is often considered the healthiest time of life (Patton et al. 2016); yet, a recent report finds that 35% of adolescents experience multiple psychosomatic health complaints in Canada and European countries (Inchley et al. 2020). Subjective health complaints (i.e., psychosomatic complaints) are self-reported health complaints that often have no obvious organic cause and are often viewed as a stress-reaction to psychosocial tensions (Ravens-Sieberer et al. 2009). According to the shared vulnerability model, physical pain is associated with anxiety disorders and other negative emotional responses among adolescents and adults (Asmundson and Katz 2009; Jastrowski Mano et al. 2019). For adolescents, the shared vulnerability model (Jastrowski Mano et al. 2019) suggests that the development and maintenance of chronic pain and poor mental health have shared individual predisposing factors such as stressors, traumatic events, vulnerabilities, and low threshold for alarm. Increased sensitivity to stress gives rise to negative emotional and cognitive responses, such as catastrophizing, fear, and anxiety. These responses ensure the maintenance of pain and poor mental health by increasing avoidance behaviours, cognitive biases, and autonomic nervous system arousal – ultimately resulting in a disabling condition of chronic pain and anxiety (Jastrowski Mano et al. 2019). Health complaints can negatively impact adolescents’ daily life, functional status, and mental health (Potrebny et al. 2017).

Many factors are associated with multiple health complaints, including behavioral, demographic, environmental, and socioeconomic factors (Ravens-Sieberer et al. 2009; Ottová-Jordan et al. 2015; Vačiūnas and Šmigelskas 2019). Namely, socioeconomic inequalities are recognized as important determinants of health and well-being among the general population (Marmot 2004; Wilkinson and Pickett 2009) and among adolescents (Currie et al. 2012; Chzhen et al. 2016). Early research in health complaints found that a lower socioeconomic status (SES) was associated with higher odds of health complaints (Ravens-Sieberer et al. 2009) and that both relative and absolute differences in household SES accounted for a significant variation in adolescent health complaints (Elgar et al. 2013). The self-determination theory postulates that ongoing psychological growth, integrity, and wellbeing can be achieved when basic psychological needs are met (Deci and Ryan 2000). The theory defines basic psychological needs as feelings of autonomy, competence, and relatedness or social connectedness (Deci and Ryan 2000). Di Domenico and Fournier (2014) built upon these ideas by examining whether the association of SES and health complaints were moderated or mediated by basic psychological needs. Subjective SES and objective household SES were positively associated with greater need for fulfillment, which in turn was negatively associated with health complaints. These findings suggest that low individual and household SES are associated with more health complaints, possibly through unmet basic psychological needs.

Further, macro-level socioeconomic factors, such as national income inequality and national wealth, are associated with negative health outcomes (Wilkinson and Pickett 2009). While early past evidence finds that variations in health complaints among adolescents are mostly explained by individual-level (not macro-level) SES (Holstein et al. 2009; Ottová-Jordan et al. 2015), investigations in the current socioeconomic context are warranted. Given the rising prevalence of health complaints among adolescents, an up to date, in-depth analysis is needed to investigate the association between multiple health complaints and socioeconomic inequalities.

Evidence on adolescent health complaints has also been informed by modelling health complaints’ data as a single continuous score (Ravens-Sieberer et al. 2008; Cosma et al. 2020). However, health complaints are not a continuous scale but distinct and correlated physical and psychological health symptoms (Hetland et al. 2002; Gariepy et al. 2016). Measuring health complaints on a continuous scale limits our understanding of the nature of the complaints and their associations with social and health measures. For example, the number of complaints might be the same for a certain group, but the type and severity of the complaints may vary across that group. The extent to which individuals with similar complaints may have similar health and social determinants or outcomes are independent of the number of complaints reported. Thus, novel methodological approaches in measuring psychosomatic complaints that take into consideration the nature and co-occurrence of complaints are warranted.

A novel approach when assessing associations between several correlated variables is to identify which are experienced together and to statistically identify underlying (latent) classes of these variables in a data-driven manner. Latent class analysis (LCA) is a person-centred approach to investigating sets of characteristics and experiences (Lanza et al. 2007). The approach addresses a common challenge in health research where multiple overlapping predictors of a health outcome each explain a small amount of variation in an outcome (Collins and Lanza 2010). Therefore, mutually controlled associations are not necessarily of most import, nor do they resemble the natural clustering of risk and protective factors in real-world settings. Clinical and policy interventions rarely require evidence on singular statistical associations between specific variables.

Often, interventions require evidence about profiles of risk and resilience while adjusting for correlations between
adolescents from the same area or location. This can be achieved using a multilevel latent class analysis (MLCA) which identifies which complaints make up each latent class (Henry and Muthén 2010). MLCA shows how adolescents experience multiple health complaints in their daily life, which tend to co-occur and how many distinct classes can be identified. An advantage of the MLCA is that it takes accounts for the clustered nature of the data which is especially important in international investigations (Henry and Muthén 2010). Then, these latent classes can be used as a dependent variable and associations can be drawn with other factors.

The study’s aims and hypotheses

Drawing from the shared vulnerability model (Asmundson and Katz 2009; Jastrowski Mano et al. 2019), the self-determination theory (Deci and Ryan 2000), Di Domenico and Fournier (Di Domenico and Fournier 2014), and co-occurrence methodology (Lanza et al. 2007; Henry and Muthén 2010), this study examined (1) the co-occurrence of patterns of psychosomatic health complaints among adolescents by identifying latent classes of health complaints and (2) the relationship between the latent classes of multiple health complaints with socioeconomic status among adolescents from 45 countries participating in 2017/2018 HBSC survey. Based on the literature, we hypothesized that (1) physical pains and psychological complaints co-occurred (Jastrowski Mano et al. 2019), possibly in the same latent classes. We also hypothesized that (2) adolescents in classes with more health complaints were more prevalent at lower socioeconomic status, in less wealthy countries, and more unequal in countries with more income inequality (Marmot 2004; Wilkinson and Pickett 2009).

Methods

The Host Study: Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) survey

Data are from the 2017/2018 international Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) survey. HBSC is a school-based survey that is carried out every four years in collaboration with the World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe and a host of researchers across 45 countries in Europe and North America. The HBSC aims to investigate adolescents’ health and well-being (subjective health, health complaints, life satisfaction), social environments (family, peers, school environment), and health behaviours (tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, drug use) across Europe and North America. This international questionnaire allows for national and cross-national investigations and promotes the collaboration of multidisciplinary teams of researchers across the respective countries.

Survey administration

Adolescents filled the surveys during school hours, and it took approximately 45 minutes to complete. The data were collected by following the international data protocol (Inchley et al. 2020). Ethical approval was granted by the appropriate country or region from a university-based review board or equivalent body. Surveys were administered by researchers or teachers using a standard protocol. Standardized information about the study were provided to adolescents and their caregivers. Either active-consent or passive-consent approaches depending on country and school board requirements were used. In addition to flexible withdrawal procedures, surveys were collected in adolescents’ respective classrooms during school hours with their teacher or an HBSC researcher present to provide a secure experience. For representative sampling, probability proportion to size sampling of adolescents at schools was used. Additional details on the HBSC survey design and development are available elsewhere (Roberts et al. 2007, 2009; Inchley et al. 2020).

Participants

In each country, representative samples of 11-, 13-, and 15-year-old adolescents from general schools were selected. In our analyses, a total of 45 countries and regions across Europe and North America were included, comprising 1,008 schools and 228,979 adolescents aged 11-15 years old. The mean age was 13.5 years old (SD=1.6), and the sample was comprised of 50.6% female, and 49.4% males (the option for “non-gender binary” was not available across all countries participating in the international survey).

Measures

Multiple health complaints checklist (dependent variable)

The HBSC measures multiple health complaints using a checklist which assesses the following physical and psychological complaints: headache, abdominal pain, backache, dizziness, feeling low, irritability or bad mood, feeling nervous, and sleeping difficulties. The scale has proven validity across countries (Haugland and Wold 2001; Ravens-Sieberer et al. 2009; Hagquist et al. 2019).
Micro-level socioeconomic status (independent variable)

Household SES was measured using the HBSC Family Affluence Scale (FAS), a 6-item measure of common material assets in the home (Currie et al. 2008): “Do you have your own bedroom for yourself?”, “How many bathrooms are in your home?”, “Does your family own a car, van or truck?”, “How many times did you and your family travel out of your country for a holiday last year?”, “Does your family have a dishwasher at home?” and “How many computers does your family own?”. FAS has been found to be a valid measure of adolescent SES across several countries (Molcho et al. 2007; Hobza et al. 2017; Corell et al. 2021).

Macro-level socioeconomic status (independent variables)

We included two macro-level variables that are strong determinants of adolescent health: national wealth and income inequality (Dorling et al. 2007; Swift 2011; Ward and Viner 2017). For national wealth, we used national gross domestic product per capita (in trillions of current United States dollars), provided by the World Bank from 2018 (The World Bank 2018). As for income inequality, we used 2017’s National Gini coefficients from the Standardized World Income Inequality Database (Solt 2016).

Control variables (independent variables)

The control variables in the model were: gender, age, family meals together, drunkenness, tobacco smoking, and cannabis use. These have been reported to be associated with the socioeconomic status gradient as well as with health complaints (Elgar et al. 2013; Coley et al. 2018).

Data analyses

Data management

Multiple health complaints checklist The question in the HBSC survey asks “In the last 6 months, how often have you had the following? (Please mark one box for each line)” with each line containing one of the complaints. Response categories included: about every day, more than once per week, about every week, about every month or rarely/never. Each psychological and physical complaints was dichotomized in our analyses with adolescents either having: (i) frequent (more than once a week) or (ii) infrequent (once a week or less) complaints. This was done in-line with previous HBSC research (Inchley et al. 2020) and for simpler interpretation of the latent classes (Henry and Muthén 2010; Hammami et al. 2019).

Micro-level socioeconomic status: Household SES (independent variable) A total FAS score was calculated (ranging from 0 to 13). It was then harmonized by transforming the total score on the FAS scale to weighted proportional ranks (ridit scores) (Mackenbach and Kunst, 1997; Elgar et al. 2017). This resulted in a SES index (of material deprivation, or slope index of inequality) that ranged from 0 (lowest household SES, or the most deprived) to 1 (highest household SES, or the least deprived), with a mean of 0.5 (Elgar et al. 2017). We then reversed the index to have 0 represent the highest household SES (i.e., least deprived) and 1 represent the adolescents living with the lowest SES (i.e., most deprived) (Elgar et al. 2017).

Summary statistics

Summary statistics for the multiple health complaint variables and covariates were reported as a percent and as frequencies.

Multilevel latent class analysis (MLCA)

MLCA has been described in detail by Henry and Muthén (2010); MLCA grouped the multiple health complaints into latent classes grouping the most co-occurring complaints into classes. In addition to identifying latent classes, MLCA considers the dependence of students within the same school, unlike the latent class analysis (LCA). For our analyses, 25 models were evaluated for model fit. The appropriate model was chosen after assessing: lowest Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), highest entropy and the interpretability of the classes – as recommended and reported in previous research (Lanza et al. 2007; Henry and Muthén 2010; Hammami et al. 2019).

Previous MLCA analyses among adolescents show that MLCA classes are constant across gender, despite gender differences being observed across the adolescents’ behaviours that were included in the MLCA (Hammami et al. 2019); thus, our current analyses did not use a gender-specific MLCA. MLCA was conducted in Mplus (Muthén and Muthén 2018), while Stata 16.0 (Stata Press 2019) was used for all other analyses.

Regression analyses

We used mixed-effects, weighted, multinomial regression models to regress the multiple health complaints’ latent classes onto SES and the control variables. Models 1 and 3 show the odds of being in a latent class with psychological complaints versus no complaints, Models 2 and 4 show the
odds of being in a latent class with psychological and physical complaints versus no complaints. All models accounted for the three-level clustered nature of the data at the level of the individual, school, and country.

**Results**

**Participant characteristics**

Table 1 shows summary statistics from this sample of adolescents. It displays the complaints in decreasing manner: feeling irritable (42.7%), feeling nervous (42.0%), sleep difficulties (34.9%), feeling low (30.4%), headaches (29.1%), backaches (21.6%), abdominal pain (19.5%) and feeling dizzy (17.5%).

**Multilevel latent class analysis (MLCA)**

**MLCA fit statistics**

Table 2 shows the fit statistics for the 25 MLCA models including: the fixed effects models, random effects models, and random effects models with a continuous factor. The models with the common factor offered better fit statistics than the models without the common factor (judging by lower log-likelihood, BIC, and higher entropy).

Among the models, the model that best described latent classes of multiple health complaints in our sample was the three school and three student latent classes and used a continuous factor, considering the interpretability of the classes. The fit statistics for this model were: 48 free parameters, -914604.3 Log-likelihood, 1829800.6 BIC, and 0.746 entropy. This model also offered a variety of school and student classes to reflect the heterogeneity of the sample, in line with previous research (Lanza et al. 2007; Henry and Muthén 2010; Hammami et al. 2019).

**MLCA findings**

The MLCA revealed three profiles of student latent classes: (1) the No Complaint group of adolescents who reported no health complaints (44.0% of adolescents), (2) the Psychological Complaints group with predominantly psychological complaints (43.1%) and (3) the Physical and Psychological Complaints group which reported both physical and psychological complaints (12.9%).

Among those in the Psychological Complaints group, 31.7% complained of sleep difficulties, 25.2% of irritability and 21.0% of dizziness, while less than 10% complained of headaches (9.8%), nervousness (8.3%) and feeling low (3.1%) (Fig. 1). Among those in the Physical and Psychological Complaints group, 18.1% complained of irritability,
16.6% of nervousness, 15.3% of headaches, 14.6% of sleep difficulties, 13.4% of feeling low, 9.6% of dizziness, 6.8% of abdominal pain and 5.1% of backaches (Fig. 1). While both groups reported health complaints, adolescents in the Psychological Complaints group complained more specifically about three mostly psychological complaints, whereas adolescents in the Psychological and Physical Complaints group reported a low prevalence of a wide range of health complaints.

Fig. 2 shows adolescent’s distribution in the three school and three student latent classes. The first school latent class consisted of 1.5% of the schools, the second 43.7% of the schools and the third consisted of 54.8% of schools. Although the first latent class of schools only consists of 1.5% of schools, these schools are important to identify since they have the highest proportion of students who belong to the no complaints latent class (63.2%) and the highest proportion of adolescents belonging to the physical and psychological complaints latent class (32.2%), relative to the other school latent classes, with the remaining 4.7% of adolescents in the Psychological and Physical Complaints group reported a low prevalence of a wide range of health complaints.

Table 1 (continued)

|                     | Percent | Frequency |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|
| Drunk behaviour in last 30 days |         |           |
| No                  | 93.8    | 200,360   |
| Yes                 | 6.2     | 12,990    |
| Total               | 100     | 213,350   |
| Smoked in last 30 days |        |           |
| No                  | 93.0    | 201,491   |
| Yes                 | 7.0     | 15,090    |
| Total               | 100     | 216,581   |
| Cannabis use in last 30 days |        |           |
| No                  | 94.4    | 90,997    |
| Yes                 | 5.6     | 5,290     |
| Total               | 100     | 96,287    |

Frequent = more than once a week, infrequent = once a week or less.

Regression analyses

Table 3 shows the regression models of the health complaints’ latent classes on household SES and control variables. Both national wealth and national income inequality were not significant in the Models 1 and 2; thus, country level variables are not found to be associated with latent classes of health complaints among adolescents in HBSC’s international sample in 2017/2018.

Table 3 also shows results from the models described above while omitting the macro-level variables (Models 3 and 4). Model 3’s results show that adolescents with the lowest household SES were 14% (Odds ratio [OR] = 1.14, 95% Confidence Interval [C.I.] = 1.05, 1.24) more likely to belong to the Psychological Complaint group than the No complaint group. Model 4’s results show that adolescents with the lowest household SES were 24% (OR = 1.24, 95% C.I. = 1.11, 1.37) more likely to belong to the Physical and Psychological complaints group than the No complaint group.

Discussion

This study identified three different profiles of health complaints among adolescents across 45 countries and assessed their association with material deprivation. Our findings indicate that adolescents belong to one of three health complaint classes: no multiple health complaints, psychological complaints, and a combination of physical and psychological complaints. Low household SES was highest in the latent class with physical and psychological complaints. At the macro-level, the country level indicators national wealth and income inequality were not associated with latent classes of health complaints in our sample of HBSC international adolescents in 2017/2018.

A comprehensive approach to studying health complaints supports a better understanding and more-informed choices directed at preventing, treating, and managing their association with negative health outcomes in adolescence and later in life. Health complaints do not occur in isolation and profiles of health complaints may play key roles in the development of physical complaints, psychological complaints, and poor mental health outcomes. For example, it was recently reported that sleep-related problems partially mediated the association between irritability and anxiety (Poznanski et al. 2018). Our study also found that irritability co-occurred with sleep difficulties in both latent classes of adolescents. Another recent study found that adolescents with physical
complaints (specifically migraines) experienced more anxiety than their pain-free counterparts in a case-control design (Uçar et al. 2020). Similarly, we found that the latent class with high physical and psychological complaints experienced headaches, sleep difficulties, irritability, as well as nervousness, and feeling low – the latter two complaints were not tested by Uçar and colleagues (2020). Our study builds on this work and advances the literature by showing which health complaints co-occur.

![Table 2](image)

Our findings on the co-occurrence of psychosomatic health complaints are supported by the shared vulnerability model among adolescents (Jastrowski Mano et al. 2019). The shared vulnerability model suggests that physical pain and anxiety have shared predisposing vulnerabilities, emotional responses, and maintenance factors (Jastrowski Mano et al. 2019). The most frequently reported complaint among adolescents in our study was irritability (reported among 42.7% of adolescents) and it co-occurred with different complaints across the latent classes. Among one group of
adolescents, irritability predominantly (i.e., more than 10% of adolescents reported said complaint) co-occurred with sleep difficulties and dizziness and among another group it predominantly co-occurred with nervousness, headaches, sleep difficulties, and feeling low. Not only do health complaints co-occur, a systematic review found that health risk behaviours (e.g., smoking, physical inactivity, sexual risk behaviours, unhealthy diet) also co-occur and that the strongest predictor of engaging in multiple risk behaviours is low SES (Meader et al. 2016).

Our study found that household SES was associated with more health complaints, consistent with previous research (Ravens-Sieberer et al. 2009; Elgar et al. 2013). This finding can be explained by the social causation hypothesis which posits that stress and other factors associated with deprivation contribute to mental problems among children and
adolescents (Reiss 2013). Domenico and Fournier (2014) further explain the association between deprivation and mental health by suggesting that basic psychological needs act as moderating factors between SES and number of health complaints. They found that when basic psychological needs are met, it negatively moderated the association between SES and the number of health complaints (Di Domenico and Fournier 2014).

Also consistent with previous research, we found that psychosomatic classes were not associated with national wealth and income inequality (Holstein et al. 2009; Ottová-Jordan et al. 2015). This indicates that adolescent health complaints are sensitive to household SES but not country-level wealth or inequality. Similar associations were reported in an earlier HBSC study on life satisfaction (Levin et al. 2011) and health complaints (Cosma et al. 2020; Dierckens et al. 2020). This suggests that household SES plays a stronger role in adolescents’ wellbeing relative to their country’s wealth or inequalities consistently up to the years 2017/2018 (i.e., before the COVID-19 pandemic).

Strengths of our study include our application of MLCA in examining classes of symptom profiles rather than the traditional monotonic approach (more is worse). Our study identified classes of symptoms that co-occur and the

|                | Model 1 \(^a\) | Model 2 \(^b\) | Model 3 \(^a\) | Model 4 \(^b\) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Household SES  | 1.16***        | 1.27***        | 1.14**         | 1.24***        |
|                | (1.08 - 1.24)  | (1.15 - 1.40)  | (1.05 - 1.24)  | (1.11 - 1.37)  |
| Gender         |                |                |                |                |
| Males (Ref.)   | 1.03           | 1.06           | 1.03           | 1.06           |
| Females        | 1.91***        | 4.35***        | 1.91***        | 4.29***        |
|                | (1.72 - 2.12)  | (3.75 - 5.05)  | (1.73 - 2.10)  | (3.73 - 4.94)  |
| National wealth, country level | 1.03 | 1.06 | - | - |
|                | (0.94 - 1.14)  | (0.91 - 1.24)  | -              | -              |
| Income inequality, country level | 0.18 | 0.23 | - | - |
|                | (0.0081 - 4.06)| (0.0013 - 40.1)| -              | -              |
| Age            | 1.11***        | 1.20***        | 1.11***        | 1.20***        |
|                | (1.09 - 1.14)  | (1.13 - 1.28)  | (1.09 - 1.14)  | (1.13 - 1.28)  |
| Family meals together |        |                |                |                |
| Every day (Ref.) |                |                |                |                |
| Most days      | 1.25***        | 1.51***        | 1.25***        | 1.49***        |
|                | (1.19 - 1.31)  | (1.39 - 1.64)  | (1.19 - 1.31)  | (1.37 - 1.62)  |
| About once a week | 1.57***        | 2.39***        | 1.57***        | 2.36***        |
|                | (1.44 - 1.71)  | (2.13 - 2.68)  | (1.45 - 1.71)  | (2.10 - 2.65)  |
| Less often     | 1.83***        | 2.87***        | 1.85***        | 2.87***        |
|                | (1.67 - 2.01)  | (2.51 - 3.27)  | (1.69 - 2.02)  | (2.53 - 3.26)  |
| Never          | 2.31***        | 4.77***        | 2.34***        | 4.72***        |
|                | (1.96 - 2.73)  | (4.11 - 5.53)  | (1.20 - 2.73)  | (4.06 - 5.48)  |
| Drunk behaviour in last 30 days |        |                |                |                |
| No (Ref.)      |                |                |                |                |
| Yes            | 1.30***        | 1.80***        | 1.30***        | 1.80***        |
|                | (1.22 - 1.38)  | (1.66 - 1.96)  | (1.22 - 1.38)  | (1.65 - 1.95)  |
| Smoked in last 30 days |        |                |                |                |
| No (Ref.)      |                |                |                |                |
| Yes            | 1.64***        | 2.37***        | 1.64***        | 2.37***        |
|                | (1.49 - 1.80)  | (2.09 - 2.68)  | (1.50 - 1.79)  | (2.09 - 2.69)  |
| Cannabis use in last 30 days |        |                |                |                |
| No (Ref.)      |                |                |                |                |
| Yes            | 1.24**         | 1.62***        | 1.26***        | 1.62***        |
|                | (1.08 - 1.42)  | (1.38 - 1.89)  | (1.10 - 1.43)  | (1.39 - 1.90)  |

\(* * * p<0.001, ** p<0.01, * p<0.05\)

\(\)Outcome: Latent class with psychological complaints versus no complaints (Ref.)

\(\)Outcome: Latent class with physical and psychological complaints versus no complaints (Ref.)
social change, and the promotion of health and well-being among adolescents. Adolescents with low irritability were found to have lower levels of anxiety and depression symptoms as compared to their peers. Adolescents with high irritability were associated with lower emotional self-regulation and poorer social functioning, as well as higher levels of depression and anxiety symptoms. Adolescents with moderate irritability had a mixed profile with both positive and negative characteristics.

The authors found that adolescents with high irritability had low emotional self-regulation and those with moderate irritability had low behavioural control. Both groups of adolescents had higher depression and anxiety symptoms as well when compared with their low irritability peers. Adolescents with low irritability were associated with high positive well-being characteristics. Such findings would help direct public health policies to focus on reducing socioeconomic inequalities in the society; as inequalities are associated with a higher prevalence of health risk behaviours, health complaints among children and adolescents (Pillas et al. 2014; Meader et al. 2016; Carrilero et al. 2021). Developing more equitable societies means sustained investments in structural and social changes that promote neighborhood physical spaces, access to resources (e.g., health care, social care, education, healthy food, and drinking water), and fostering cultural norms to value healthy communities (Dubowitz et al. 2016).

Future research may wish to evaluate the co-occurrence of health complaints with positive health behaviours and experiences (Petersen et al. 2019). For example, Elvin et al. (2021) identified latent profiles for irritability with positive well-being characteristics. The authors found that adolescents with high irritability had low emotional self-regulation and those with moderate irritability had low behavioural control. Both groups of adolescents had higher depression and anxiety symptoms as well when compared with their low irritability peers. Adolescents with low irritability were associated with high positive well-being characteristics. As such, the authors suggested promoting programs that emphasize positive functioning among adolescents by focusing on developing emotional self-regulation, behavioural control, and pro-social functioning among other positive well-being characteristics (Elvin et al. 2021). Research and policies benefit from such findings as they provide holistic understandings of adolescents’ co-occurring health related behaviours (both positive and negative) in the aim of leveraging these findings and positive well-being characteristics towards healthier policies and programs for adolescents’ health. Practical implications of our findings suggest that improving health complaints among adolescent populations should consider their background, socio-demographics, and possibly other social and structural determinants of health.

**Conclusion**

Our study presented a novel approach in evaluating physical and psychological health complaints among adolescents by grouping co-occurring complaints into latent classes and assessing the association between these latent classes of health complaints with individual and macro-level SES across adolescents in Canada and countries in Europe using multilevel analysis. We found that adolescents belong to one of three distinct latent classes of latent classes: no health complaints, psychological complaints, or physical and psychological health complaints. Among the group of adolescents with psychological complaints, irritability predominantly co-occurred with sleep difficulties and dizziness and among the group with physical and psychological health complaints, irritability predominantly co-occurred with sleep difficulties and dizziness and among the group with physical and psychological health complaints, irritability predominantly co-occurred with nervousness, headaches, sleep difficulties, and feeling low. Understanding co-occurring complaints will inform future studies as to which complaints to further investigate in developmental, prospective, and causal investigations with health outcomes. Adolescents who reported lower SES also reported being in a latent class with health complaints; however, this was only significant at the household-level, not at the macro/country-level.
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