Minimally Invasive Technique For Removal of A Mandibular Radicular Cyst: A Case Report
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Abstract
The removal of anodontogenic jaw cyst usually requires at least elevation of the mucoperiosteal flap for adequate access to the cystic lesion and its complete enucleation. In this report, we present a simple intra-socket flapless cystic removal technique for a radicular cystic lesion related to the mandibular first molar. This technique produces an optimal outcome and gives the patient the most comfortable surgical experience.

Introduction
A large percentage of the work of oral and maxillofacial surgeons involves the management of radicular cysts. Cystic jaw lesions are characterized as non-painful, slow-growing lesions, unless they have been infected, with radiographic features such as well-defined corticated borders. A unique pathognomonic feature of these lesions is that cystic fluid can be aspirated from them.1 The traditional cyst enucleation approach required access by mucoperiosteal flaps and bone removal, particularly if the lesion overlying cortex was still intact. The procedure may be followed by apicoectomy or tooth extraction after complete lesion enucleation. Current good clinical practice for patients is to ensure that every treatment is simple. For both the patient and the operator, complexity often contributes to difficulty.2 Therefore, in this report, we present a simple intra-socket cystic removal technique that allows optimal outcome yet gives the patient the most comfortable surgical experience.

Case Report
An 18-year-old Asian female patient was referred to the specialty clinic of the Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department at Taibah University in Madinah,
Saudi Arabia, for extraction of a badly decayed lower left second molar (Figure 1).

After a thorough clinical examination of the patient and an initial radiographic examination of the orthopantomogram, we found a well-circumscribed periapical radiolucent lesion that had well-defined corticated margins. It correlated to the remaining root apices of tooth #36 (Figure 2). The lower boundary of the lesion was hyperdense.

![Fig. 1: Clinical intraoral photograph showing the remaining mandibular left first molar roots](image1)

Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) identified a radicular radiolucent lesion with a width of 14.7 mm and a height of 8.2 mm (Figure 3).

![Fig. 3: CBCT sagittal view showing a well-circumscribed corticated lesion related to 36 RR, 14.7 m in length, 8.2 mm in height, with the lower border of the lesion showing a hyperdense bone area](image3)

The differential diagnosis of a periapical radiolucency with an ossified border included a radicular cystic lesion with a sclerotic border or a periapical sclerotic border granuloma. We discussed the procedure with the patient, who signed informed consent on the day of the surgery.

**Management**

The procedure was performed under local anesthesia. The results of the aspiration test were negative and we decided to begin the procedure by extracting the remaining roots. After simple extraction via luxation using apexo and then cross-bar elevators, we inspected the site and decided to enucleate the lesion without using any mucoperiosteal flaps through the extraction socket itself.

Using universal bonerongeurs, we removed the intervening interseptal bone. We started lesion enucleation occlusally and deepened it by using a bone curette with the aid of a large piece of gauze that was also used to push the curette. This technique was repeated on each side of the bony socket. The bony boundaries of the socket were very clean and during the process, the gauze also assisted in achieving hemostasis (Figures 4, 5).

After full excision of the lesion, we sutured the socket with two interrupted non-resorbable sutures on the hemostatic gel only, as we did not use any graft in this case. The patient was given post-extraction instructions and antibiotics and analgesics were administered. After 1 week, the sutures were removed.
The excised lesion was immediately placed in 10% formalin and submitted to the histopathological department. The final biopsy report indicated a cystic process lined with stratified squamous epithelium marked by lymphocytic exocytosis and spongiosis. Connective tissue capsules revealed areas of hemorrhoea, hemosiderin pigmentation, multinucleated giant cells that engulf cholesterol, and inactive odontogenic epithelium (Figure 6).

**Discussion**

Cystic lesions accompanied by areas of calcification have recently been reported to grow as a foreign body reaction in young patients, including in populations in Saudi Arabia as reported by Elsayed et al. The intra-socket method described in this report was our department's first experience in using this approach. This method has the potential to prevent most postoperative complications precipitated by traumatic surgery itself, such as postoperative discomfort, edema, and reduced opening of the mouth. In addition, it may accelerate surgical intervention.

One of the most important developments in dentistry in the last decade is CBCT. It can significantly improve the diagnosis and recovery planning of patients when used properly. CBCT may correctly describe the dimension, shape, and extent of apical lesion.
Enucleation of the radicular cyst through the extraction socket in the posterior mandibular region is not a traditional method, but it has shown good accessibility because of the broad access through the remaining molar root site. It is difficult to remove a cystic lesion through the narrow sockets of other anterior or premolar areas. Being wider buccolingually and mesiodistally, the posterior mandibular region is a more appropriate site to use.
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