Swallowing dysfunction in cancer patients
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Abstract

Purpose Dysphagia (swallowing dysfunction) is a debilitating, depressing, and potentially life-threatening complication in cancer patients that is likely underreported. The present paper is aimed to review relevant dysphagia literature between 1990 and 2010 with a focus on assessment tools, prevalence, complications, and impact on quality of life in patients with a variety of different cancers, particularly in those treated with curative chemoradiation for head and neck cancer.

Methods The literature search was limited to the English language and included both MEDLINE/PubMed and EMBASE. The search focused on papers reporting dysphagia as a side effect of cancer and cancer therapy. We identified
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relevant literature through the primary literature search and by articles identified in references.

**Results** A wide range of assessment tools for dysphagia was identified. Dysphagia is related to a number of factors such as direct impact of the tumor, cancer resection, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy and to newer therapies such as epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors. Concomitant oral complications such as xerostomia may exacerbate subjective dysphagia. Most literature focuses on head and neck cancer, but dysphagia is also common in other types of cancer.

**Conclusions** Swallowing impairment is a clinically relevant acute and long-term complication in patients with a wide variety of cancers. More prospective studies on the course of dysphagia and impact on quality of life from baseline to long-term follow-up after various treatment modalities, including targeted therapies, are needed.
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**Introduction**

Swallowing of food and liquids including saliva is a complex biomechanical interaction of physiology and anatomy that occurs in four stages: oral preparatory, oral, pharyngeal, and esophageal [1, 2]. The oral phases of swallowing are voluntary, followed by an involuntary reflex, requiring fast and precise coordination between sensory input and motor function controlled by the medulla in the brain stem and by the cerebral cortex. The material being swallowed is a critical factor in determining the type of swallow. For example, as the volume increases, the duration and width of opening of the upper esopharyngeal sphincter as well as the duration of airway closure increase [3]. Similarly, viscosity of the bolus affects the swallowing process. Six cranial nerves and over 25 muscles are involved, and any neurological or structural defect affects swallowing. This may result in dysphagia (swallowing dysfunction), a symptom indicating a delay in the passage of solids or liquids from the oral cavity to the stomach.

Dysphagia and odynophagia (painful swallowing) are common in cancer patients. Most studies on this complication are performed in patients with head and neck cancers (HNC), but swallowing disorders may also develop in patients with other malignancies. The current narrative review will summarize current literature on dysphagia in cancer aimed to inform health care professionals on this debilitating and potentially life-threatening complication. We will focus on assessment tools, prevalence, complications, and impact on quality of life in patients with a variety of different cancers, particularly in those treated with curative chemoradiation (CRT) for HNC. In addition, future directions of research in this area will be discussed.

**Methodology**

A research librarian performed literature searches using MEDLINE/PubMed and EMBASE. Search terms included dysphagia, odynophagia, aspiration, swallowing dysfunction, esophagitis, cancer, head and neck neoplasms, chemoradiation, targeted therapies, deglutition, deglutition disorders. The period searched was between 1990 and 2008, with an updated search completed by the Section Head (JRD) from 2008 to 2010, which included MEDLINE, EMBASE, and SCOPUS databases and a search of the references of relevant articles. The search focused on studies reporting dysphagia as a side effect of cancer and cancer therapy. Searches were limited to studies involving human subjects that were published in English. Both original research and review articles were considered for inclusion in this narrative review. The majority of the papers involved patients with HNC, but a limited number of papers...
dealing with other cancers was identified. Papers were categorized into dysphagia assessment, dysphagia in HNC and non-HNC, complications, and impact on quality of life.

**Dysphagia assessment**

Assessment of dysphagia typically includes both clinical and instrumental evaluations, whereas in some studies, the presence of a feeding tube or dietary changes are used as surrogate markers for dysphagia [4].

Clinical swallowing examination includes a medical history, oral/oropharyngeal examination, and swallow trials. Key indicators of swallowing problems include a history of swallowing complaints, incidents of coughing/choking or regurgitation, difficulty or avoidance of some food consistencies, poor nutrition, presence of a feeding tube, any prior oral/oropharyngeal resection or chemoradiation, history of pneumonia or respiratory disease, and any prior neurological problems. Oral/oropharyngeal examination involves inspection of the oral cavity and oral health including dental status (number of teeth, caries, periodontal disease), dental prosthesis, oral dryness, as well as evaluation of the strength, motion, and symmetry of the tongue, lips, jaws, and soft palate. Furthermore, sensory perception of the lips, cheeks, palate, tongue, and pharynx is evaluated. Observing symptomatic dysphagia includes a swallowing test, which incorporates trials of various food and fluid consistencies. Bolus size and characteristics (taste, temperature) can be modified to examine any change. Multiple swallows may be observed to explore fatigue effects throughout a meal.

Level of swallowing disability as determined from the clinical bedside assessment can be quantified using the Royal Brisbane Outcome Measure for Swallowing (RBHOMS) [5]. The RBHOMS is a swallowing disability rating scale, designed to monitor difficulties in everyday swallowing function based on clinical indicators of swallowing, not specific diet/fluid consistencies. Psychometric analysis reveals it to be a valid clinical tool for documenting change in swallowing disability over time and across patients with different etiologies.

Objective assessment of swallowing function and swallow safety is frequently performed using videofluoroscopy of swallowing (VFSS). It allows radiographic assessment of the structures and dynamics involved in all phases of the swallowing process. This procedure is often referred to as modified barium swallow (MBS), which is a validated instrument developed by Logemann and coworkers [6]. Patients are given measured volumes, and viscosities of food and the findings are scored with the Swallowing Performance Status Scale (SPS) [7], which provides an accurate assessment of the presence and severity of dysphagia and aspiration risk by combining clinical and radiographic data (Table 1). The Dysphagia Outcome and Severity Scale may

| Table 1 The Swallowing Performance Status scale [7] |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Grade 1: normal |
| Grade 2: within functional limits—abnormal oral or pharyngeal stage but able to eat a regular diet without modifications or swallowing precautions |
| Grade 3: mild impairment—mild dysfunction in oral or pharyngeal stage; requires a modified diet and swallowing precautions to minimize aspiration risk |
| Grade 4: mild-to-moderate impairment with need for therapeutic precautions—mild dysfunction in oral and pharyngeal stage; aspiration noted on exam; requires a modified diet and swallowing precautions to minimize aspiration risk |
| Grade 5: moderate impairment—moderate dysfunction in oral or pharyngeal stage; aspiration noted on exam; requires a modified diet and swallowing precautions to minimize aspiration risk |
| Grade 6: moderate-to-severe dysfunction—moderate dysfunction of oral and pharyngeal stage; aspiration noted on exam; aspiration noted on exam; requires a modified diet and swallowing precautions to minimize aspiration risk |
| Grade 7: severe impairment—severe dysfunction with significant aspiration or inadequate transit to esophagus; nothing by mouth; requires primary enteral feeding support |
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be used to document functional outcomes and diet status [8]. The penetration–aspiration scale encompasses an 8-point, equal-appearing interval scale to describe penetration and aspiration events [9]. In addition, the oropharyngeal swallow efficiency is a global measure of the safety and the speed of the swallow which is determined by measuring the percentage of bolus swallowed into the esophagus divided by the total (oral and pharyngeal) transit time. VFSS can be combined with conventional or high-resolution manometry, which permits correlation of the motility of anatomic structures with the resulting intraluminal pressure patterns. Another objective tool is Fiberoptic Endoscopic Evaluation of Swallowing (FEES). FEES visualizes the pharynx using a transnasal endoscopic tube and detects premature food or fluid leakage from the oral cavity with increased risk for aspiration, but it does not provide information on the oral stages of swallowing. FEES can be combined with sensory testing (FEESST). Direct endoscopy under anesthesia can be used to visualize (and dilate) structures in more inferior parts of the pharynx. Computed tomography (CT) scans have a role in evaluating radiation therapy (RT)-induced thickness of several swallowing structures. Advances have been made in the digital processing of videofluoroscopic or endoscopic evaluation to derive objective measurements [10]. In addition, predictive modeling has now become available for swallowing dysfunction [11].

A number of patient- and clinician-rated scales have been developed to assess subjective dysphagia and its impact on quality of life (QOL) in cancer patients. The Swallowing Questionnaire Quality of Life questionnaire (SWAL-QOL and SWAL-CARE) [12] and the MD Anderson Dysphagia Inventory (MDADI) are validated dysphagia-specific QOL instruments. The SWAL-QOL is a 44-item QOL instrument that defines cutoff scores aimed to identify patients with dysphagia [13]. The MDADI is a validated dysphagia-specific QOL instrument consisting of 20 questions with global, emotional, functional, and physical subscales. Other instruments with subscales or domains on dysphagia and QOL include the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) QLQ C-30 (global quality of life scale) and Head and Neck Module, the Performance Status Scale for HNC patients (PSS-H&N) [14], the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy Head and Neck Module (FACT-H&N) [14, 15], the University of Washington Quality of Life Revised (UW-QOL-R) [16], the Head and Neck Cancer Inventory (HNCI) [17], and the University of Michigan Head and Neck Quality of Life survey (HNNQL) [18]. The Oral Mucositis Daily Questionnaire (OMDQ) [19] and the Oral Mucositis Weekly Questionnaire-Head and Neck (OMWQ-HN) are validated scales that have been developed to assess mucositis-associated mouth and throat pain, as well as its impact on function (including swallowing) and overall well-being [20]. Recently, the Vanderbilt Head and Neck Symptom Survey (VHNSS) has been validated to screen for symptoms, including swallowing in HNC patients treated with chemoradiation [21], and the Sydney Swallow Questionnaire (SSQ) has been developed to assess self-reported physiological oral and pharyngeal swallowing function [22]. In addition, acute and chronic dysphagia can be assessed using the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) and the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group/European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer's (RTOG/EORTC) Acute and Late Radiation Morbidity Scoring System. The Therapy Outcome Measure (TOM) is a clinician-rated instrument that comprises ten scales relating to a range of communication and swallowing disorders [23]. The Head and Neck Performance Status Scale (HNPS) is also a clinician-rated instrument evaluating speech, normalcy of diet, and eating in public [24].

There is discrepancy in the literature with respect to the correlation between subjective and objective swallowing evaluation. For example, Pauloski et al. [25] reported excellent correlation between swallow function assessed by VF and patient-reported dysphagia. In contrast, Jensen et al. [26] found little concordance between dysphagia-related complaints and objective parameters. Objective evaluation may underestimate dysphagia severity experienced by patients. In reverse, patients may not report any difficulty with swallowing, but silent aspiration may occur without coughing [27]. In addition, patients may not necessarily show abnormality in all aspects of swallowing [28]. Thus, combining several subjective and objective evaluation techniques providing complimentary information is considered useful.

Swallowing outcomes can be also graded using the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF, WHO) [29]. The ICF describes and measures health and disability associated with different health conditions. It encompasses three domains describing impairment of body function or structure (i.e., swallow dysfunction assessed by VF or MBS), activity limitation (measured by clinician- or patient-rated scales), and participation restriction (reduced ability to be involved in work or social situations) [30]. QOL is not part of the ICF classification, but the ICF can be used to study the complex interrelationships among functioning, contextual factors, and individuals’ perceptions of their QOL.

Head and neck cancers

The primary treatment modalities for HNC include surgery and radiotherapy, with an increasing role for chemotherapy and molecularly targeted therapies. All treatment modalities
may result in acute and long-term swallowing dysfunction, but dysphagia may also be present prior to therapy.

**Pre-treatment dysphagia**

Dysphagia can be a result of malignancies in the head and neck region, particularly pharyngeal, tongue, and esophageal cancers. The frequency and severity of pre-treatment dysphagia and its sequelae (e.g., aspiration) depend on tumor stage and localization, since the tumor can affect the motility of structures involved in swallowing [31–35]. Pauloski et al. [31] reported a prevalence of pre-treatment dysphagia in 28.2% in patients with stage T2 or more oral cancer, 50.9% in pharyngeal cancer, and 28.6% in laryngeal cancer. Other studies also showed significant rates of pre-treatment dysphagia and aspiration (summarized in Table 2). In addition to dysphagia caused by the tumor, increased age may be associated with increased baseline swallowing dysfunction [36]. Patients who cannot swallow adequately before treatment are at greater risk for chronic swallowing dysfunction after treatment [27, 37]. However, most studies on the prevalence of chronic dysphagia begin assessment at 3 months after treatment when acute effects have resolved whereas prospective studies starting prior to cancer treatment are necessary to distinguish between tumor- and treatment-associated dysphagia.

**Dysphagia following surgery**

Surgical interventions for HNC result in anatomic or neurologic insults with site-specific patterns of dysphagia [38]. Transection of muscles and nerves, loss of sensation, and scar tissue may all affect functioning of tissues vital for swallowing [39]. The swallowing deficits that occur after surgical resections vary with the site of the tumor [40], the size of the tumor [41], the extent of surgical resection [42], and possibly the type of reconstruction [43]. In general, the larger the resection, the more swallowing function will be impaired. However, resection of structures vital to bolus formation, bolus transit, and airway protection such as the tongue, tongue base, and the larynx will have the greatest impact on swallowing function [44, 45]. Resection of the anterior floor of mouth has been found to have a limited impact on swallowing function [46], except when the geniohyoid or mylohyoid muscles are involved [47]. Surgery disrupting the continuity of the mandibular arch without reconstruction has a profound negative impact on swallowing function. Resection of tumors involving the palate and maxillary sinus often creates defects that need reconstruction to restore oral function. Papers by Mittal et al. [44] and Manikantan et al. [48] provide a detailed review of surgical procedures and dysphagia and aspiration risk. Improvements in diagnostic techniques leading to refinements of indications for surgery and minimally invasive surgical

| Tumor stage | Study type                  | Number (N) of patients/controls | Dysphagia (%)/assessment instrument | Aspiration (%)/assessment instrument | Reference     |
|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| T2 or more  | Prospective case/contr       | 352/104                         | Oral, 28.2%; pharynx, 50.9%; larynx, 28.6%; VFS, MBS | Oral, 14%; Oropharynx, 30%; Larynx, 67%; Hypopharynx, 80% VFS | Pauloski et al. [32] |
| III–IV      | Retrospective survey         | 79/large normative group        | Mild impairment, 28%                | Mild-moderate impairment, 34% VFS, MBS, SPS | Stenson et al. [33] |
| III–IV      | Prospective observational study | 27/no contr                      | 41% VFS                            | 14% VFS                              | Rosen et al. [106] |
| IV          | Prospective observational study | 22                              | 17% MBS/VFS                        |                                      | Eisbruch et al. [88] |
| II–IV       | Retrospective study          | 63                              | 71.5%, grade 1–3; 17.5%, grade 4–5; 11%, grade 6–7/ MBS, SPS | 8% VFS                              | Nguyen et al. [107] |
| III–IV      | Prospective observational study | 36                              | Mild to severe dysphagia: oral, 5%; oropharynx, 33%; larynx, 29%; hypopharynx, 52% VFS, MBS, SPS |                                      | Nguyen et al. [35] |
| All tumor stages | Retrospective study | 236                              |                                      |                                      |               |

Contr controls, MBS modified barium swallow procedure, SPS swallowing performance status scale, VFS videofluoroscopy

In part adapted from Platteaux et al. [53]
techniques are promising advances to reduce dysphagia in HNC patients [49].

Dysphagia associated with (chemo)radiation

Primary radiotherapy for HNC is conventionally given up to a total dose of 70 Gy in daily fractions of 2 Gy, five fractions a week during 7 weeks. Intensified schedules (hyperfractionation and/or acceleration) and the use of chemoradiotherapy (CRT) have been shown to have greater efficacy than surgical treatment in terms of regional control and survival in some cancers, such as tonsillar, nasopharynx, and base of tongue. CRT has become the standard of care for HNC where possible [50, 51]. However, organ preservation does not always translate into preservation of function [44, 52]. CRT regimens have more acute and chronic side effects as compared to conventional radiotherapy alone. The severity of radiation-induced dysphagia is dependent on total radiation dose, fraction size and schedule, target volumes, treatment delivery techniques, concurrent chemotherapy, genetic factors, percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) tube or nil per os, smoking, and psychological coping factors (reviewed by [53]). Patients with advanced tumors seem less likely to have worsening of swallowing following CRT [54].

The most common acute oropharyngeal complications include mucositis, edema, pain, thickened mucous saliva and hyposalivation, infection, and taste loss, which may all contribute to acute odynophagia and dysphagia. By 3 months after treatment, acute clinical effects have largely resolved, and normal swallowing function is restored in the majority of patients. Unfortunately, a continuing cascade of inflammatory cytokines triggered by oxidative stress and hypoxia may damage the exposed tissues, and dysphagia may develop even years after the completion of treatment. Late sequelae that may contribute to chronic dysphagia include reduced capillary flow, tissue atrophy and necrosis, altered sensation, neuromuscular fibrosis leading to trismus and stricture formation, hyposalivation, and infection including dental diseases (e.g., radiation caries and periodontal attachment loss). Lee et al. [55] reported the results of a retrospective study of 199 patients treated with CRT. Of 82 patients who underwent swallowing evaluation, 41 (21% of total) patients were found to have a stricture. Predictors of stricture formation included twice-per-day radiation, hypopharyngeal malignancy, and female sex. Moreover, lymphedema, radiation-induced damage to neural structures, and genetic predisposition may play a role in chronic dysphagia [11, 39].

Intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) has emerged as an effective technique to deliver the full radiation dose to the tumor and regions at risk, while reducing exposure of surrounding healthy tissues. Eisbruch and coworkers [56] have identified dysphagia and aspiration risk structures (DARS). In particular, damage to the tongue base, pharyngeal constrictors, the larynx, and autonomic neural plexus was found to be crucial in the development of post-radiotherapy dysphagia. Studies confirmed the association between reducing the radiation dose to DARS by IMRT or brachytherapy and decreasing the dysphagia risk [11, 57–61]. Roe et al. [62] performed a systematic review of the literature on swallowing outcomes following IMRT. Based on the results of 16 studies (published between 2001 and 2009), a benefit of IMRT was found, but a meta-analysis was not possible due to the heterogeneous nature of the studies. A recent longitudinal long-term follow-up study by Feng et al. [63] reported that IMRT sparing of DARS did not compromise locoregional control rates in patients with oropharyngeal cancer and was effective to reduce chronic dysphagia. Protection of the glottic larynx via beam-split IMRT techniques is promising for the prevention of aspiration [64].

Dysphagia associated with epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is commonly over-expressed in a variety of cancers including HNC and is an attractive molecular target. Monoclonal antibodies and small-molecule inhibitors have been developed to inhibit EGFR pathways. Cetuximab has been shown to enhance the effects of radiotherapy and improves survival at 5 years in patients with advanced HNC [65]. Acne-like skin rash is a frequently reported side effect of cetuximab, but most reports to date suggest that mucositis and dysphagia are not increased as compared to radiotherapy alone [65, 66]. In contrast, a small study on concurrent RT and cetuximab in patients with locally advanced HNC found more severe mucositis and acute dysphagia than reported previously [67]. In a study that combined panitumumab, carboplatin, and paclixatel with IMRT in patients with advanced HNC, the incidence of grade 3 mucositis and dysphagia was greater than 94% [68].

Xerostomia and dysphagia

There is evidence of the importance of saliva in the initiation and the efficiency of swallowing [69]. In normal circumstances, the swallowing process is initiated when cohesive forces between food particles in the bolus are strongest [70, 71]. Difficulty in swallowing and risk of choking may arise if peak cohesive force is not reached because of alterations in salivary flow and viscosity. Dysphagia has been reported in patients with different etiologies of salivary gland dysfunction measured by patient-reported outcomes and VF [72]. Teguh et al. [73] found a strong association between dry mouth, thick saliva, and dysphagia-related QOL. However, hyposalivation was not associated with objective swallow disturbances 12 months after CRT for HNC; rather, changes in the
perception of swallow ability and diet choices were found to have changed [74].

A meta-analysis [75] evaluating the efficacy of amifostine, a cytoprotectant to reduce damage to salivary glands in HNC patients treated with radiation, showed a significant decrease in the prevalence of dysphagia ($P=0.04$; odds ratio $=0.26$; confidence interval, 0.07–0.92). This may be an effect of decreased mucosal toxicity leading to less fibrosis and late dysphagia, rather than an effect of reduced salivation. Brizel et al. [76] confirmed that the administration of amifostine may have a modest effect on reducing late dysphagia in patients treated with (C)RT.

Non-head and neck cancers

Acute as well as chronic dysphagia may develop in any cancer patient with oropharyngeal mucositis as a result of treatment toxicity to the esophagus or secondary infection. For example, acute dysphagia is estimated to occur in up to 20% of patients after high-dose RT and in up to 40% in patients treated with concurrent chemotherapy for lung cancer [77, 78]. Dysphagia may also develop in patients with a wide variety of malignancies treated with targeted therapies. For example, Sonis and coworkers [79] reported dysphagia in 8.5% of patients who developed mammalian target of rapamycin-associated stomatitis. In selected cases, swallowing may be affected by the tumor itself. This is reported to occur in a small percentage of patients with lung cancer, but because of the frequency of this cancer, a large number may be affected. The most common cause of lung cancer-related dysphagia is mediastinal disease compressing the esophagus [80].

Metastatic breast cancer may occasionally involve the gastrointestinal tract and may cause swallowing problems. Lobular breast carcinoma is most likely to metastasize into the oropharynx or the esophagus [81]. Dysphagia has also been described as a presenting symptom of thyroid cancer [82] or as a late side effect of treatment with radioactive iodine [83].

Swallowing may be impaired in patients with acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), as a result of bullos esophagitis [84]. In addition, chronic GVHD may result in submucosal fibrosis and occasionally in esophageal strictures. There may be fibrotic peri-oral skin changes, muscles may be involved, and salivary production may be impaired. Oropharyngeal swallow abnormalities assessed by VF were also found to be present in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) recipients without chronic GVHD [85].

Impact on quality of life

QOL reflects the health status of patients by assessing symptoms and functioning and the psychosocial response to possible limitations. Odynophagia and dysphagia and their complications may result in a marked decrease of overall QOL and health-related (HR)QOL. Eating and drinking may become difficult or even impossible, give no pleasure, and absorb a long time. The ability of taking different types of food may be hampered, and problems with eating in public may be experienced, all of which may result in isolation and depressive symptoms. The presence of a feeding tube has been shown to be a powerful predictor of QOL 1 year post-treatment. Tube feeding is time consuming and is associated with significant costs [91]. Patients treated with CRT for oropharyngeal tumors had better emotional and functional scores of subscales of the MDADI than patients who underwent surgery followed by RT [92]. Teguh and coworkers [73] performed a study on the dose administered to swallowing structures and swallowing-related QOL. They found a clear dose–effect relationship for radiation to the superior constrictor muscle and long-term swallowing outcomes and QOL. Langendijk and coworkers [93] found HRQOL to be more seriously affected by swallowing disorders than by comparable grades of xerostomia, particularly in the first 12 months after completion of CRT for HNC. IMRT reduced morbidity including dysphagia and improved HRQOL in a prospective long-term follow-up study [94]. One study found dysphagia contributing to
HRQOL deterioration at 6 months with improvement at 12 months, but not completely up to baseline levels in patients who underwent surgery for HNC [95]. Dysphagia in HNC has a significant impact on QOL not only in patients but also in their caregivers [96]. Dysphagia is also known as a barrier for patients to return to work after treatment [97]. A gradual worsening of symptoms including dysphagia has been reported by patients treated with high-dose CRT for lung cancer, but this had no clear impact on the overall QOL at 18 months [98].

Several limitations should be noted with respect to QOL studies in patients with HNC and other cancers. Many trials only report clinician-rated CTCAE scores ≥3, which may not provide an adequate estimate of the burden of dysphagia [99]. Furthermore, tumor- and treatment-related symptom control issues affect the domains of physical and functional well-being, whereas differences in coping capacity may result in less consistent effects of these symptoms on social and emotional well-being [100]. In addition, dysphagia is not an isolated symptom; it rather manifests as a symptom complex that includes numerous components (e.g., oropharyngeal or esophageal pain, speech impairment, dry mouth, taste alterations, the presence of a feeding tube, coughing, pulmonary complications, altered self-image).

Concluding remarks and future directions

Swallowing impairment is a clinically relevant acute and long-term complication in patients with a variety of different cancers, particularly in those treated with curative CRT for HNC. It is important to note that CRT, which is considered to be “organ-sparing” as compared to radical surgery, does not necessarily translate into functional preservation.

Swallowing problems have a multifactorial and complex etiology, and our current insight into the prevalence of swallowing dysfunction in cancer patients is still limited. Most studies are performed in HNC patients, but the heterogeneous nature of studies with respect to design, inclusion criteria, and dysphagia assessment makes it difficult to obtain a clear insight in the prevalence and severity of dysphagia and aspiration after different treatment modalities. These conditions are likely underreported [52, 98]. Instrumental testing is crucial before, during, and after CRT in HNC patients to document swallow function and diagnose aspiration, but also, patient-reported measurements should be included. Clinicians tend to assign a lower grade of severity than patients, and even low observer-reported dysphagia rates might represent clinically significant dysphagia [100]. There is a clear need to perform prospective studies on the course of swallow functioning and impact on QOL from baseline to long term after various (new) HNC treatment modalities as well as in patients with non-HNC, particularly in those who underwent allogeneic HSCT.

Radiation therapy to the head and neck area can result in acute and long-term dysphagia that may increase in severity over time even years after the completion of radiotherapy. Advances in radiation therapy leading to more accurate delineation of radiation targets in the head and neck is an important area of investigation since this may result in better tumor control and promises reduced toxicity [57]. In addition, the use of radioprotectors such as amifostine may improve swallow outcomes. The addition of chemotherapy can increase acute toxicity including swallowing problems.

Data are inconclusive whether the addition of chemotherapy results in an increased risk of long-term dysphagia. Studies are needed to determine whether acute inflammation associated with oropharyngeal mucositis predisposes for late dysphagia. Pauloski et al. [101] reported that impaired oral intake in the first year post-CRT was associated with chronic mucosal changes. There is recent evidence from an experimental study that RT-induced mucositis is associated with fibrosis [102]. Exuberant fibrosis results in a loss of elasticity that may contribute to detrimental functional consequences, including acute and late dysphagia [39]. Agents capable of ameliorating RT- and CT-induced mucositis, particularly those that decrease inflammation and the pathogenic fibrotic repair response, may minimize late complications, including swallowing problems. More research should also be performed to determine the impact of molecular targeted therapies on swallow function in HNC patients and non-HNC patients.

Management of swallowing disorders is beyond the scope of the review, but needs evidence-based data to formulate multidisciplinary supportive care approach [103]. A systematic review indicated a positive effect of involvement of speech-language pathologists in the prevention and treatment of oropharyngeal dysphagia in cancer and non-cancer patients [28].

Screening in clinical practice to identify patients who may be in need of swallow rehabilitation should be performed routinely, but ideally, efforts are aimed at identifying risk patients and developing cost-effective preventative measures. Langendijk et al. [11] developed a predictive model for dysphagia risk after curative (C)RT. Werbrouck [60] found dose-related parameters as well as genetic polymorphisms in DNA repair genes to be predictive for acute dysphagia. More studies into genetic profiles associated with enhanced or reduced toxicity risk are warranted. Sonis [104] suggested that when a patient develops one complication as a result of cancer treatment, it is likely that he/she will develop other toxicities in a predictable pattern [105]. Studies into symptom clusters of acute and chronic toxicities, including C(RT), and targeted therapy-induced dysphagia may help to better understand common pathobiological
mechanisms, which may lead to more effective management strategies. Looking at symptom clusters rather than at isolated symptoms seems also important to evaluate the total burden to patients and to estimate costs.
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