The 20S Proteasome Splicing Activity Discovered by SpliceMet
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Abstract

The identification of proteasome-generated spliced peptides (PSP) revealed a new unpredicted activity of the major cellular protease. However, so far characterization of PSP was entirely dependent on the availability of patient-derived cytotoxic CD8+ T lymphocytes (CTL) thus preventing a systematic investigation of proteasome-catalyzed peptide splicing (PCPS). For an unrestricted PSP identification we here developed SpliceMet, combining the computer-based algorithm ProteaJ with in vitro proteasomal degradation assays and mass spectrometry. By applying SpliceMet for the analysis of proteasomal processing products of four different substrate polypeptides, derived from human tumor as well as viral antigens, we identified fifteen new spliced peptides generated by PCPS either by cis or from two separate substrate molecules, i.e., by trans splicing. Our data suggest that 20S proteasomes represent a molecular machine that, due to its catalytic and structural properties, facilitates the generation of spliced peptides, thereby providing a pool of qualitatively new peptides from which functionally relevant products may be selected.

Introduction

The multiple subunit 20S proteasome is the central catalytic unit of the ubiquitin proteasome system (UPS) and catalytic core of the 26S proteasome that is built by the association of the two 19S regulator complexes with the catalytic 20S core [19S-20S-19S]. With its N-terminal threonine residues as the single active site of the protease, the catalytic subunits 20S-α subunits [α1, α2] and 20S-β subunits [β1, β2, β5], with concomitant formation of immunoproteasome subtypes possessing altered proteolytic properties as well as by the IFN-γ-induced up-regulation of the proteasome activator subunits PA28-α and PA28-β [3,4]. Peptides generated by the 20S proteasome were so far thought to exhibit a linear sequence identical to that found in the unprocessed parental protein. This view was dramatically changed by the identification of three epitope peptides derived from the melanocyte protein gp100, the SP100 nuclear phosphoprotein and fibroblast growth factor (FGF-5), which represented fusions of proteasomal cleavage products and were shown to be generated by proteasomes [5–8]. Proteasome-catalyzed peptide splicing was proposed to be a transpeptidation reaction whereby the acyl-enzyme intermediate is stabilized at the active site formed by the N-terminal threonine of the catalytic subunits for a time span that is sufficient to allow the N-termini of the released peptide fragments to make a nucleophilic attack on the ester bond of the acyl-enzyme intermediate thereby forming a new peptide bond and producing the spliced peptides [6,9]. Under physiological conditions proteolysis is normally favoured over hydrolysis. Therefore the formation of new immunologically relevant MHC class I ligands by proteasome catalyzed peptide splicing (PCPS) was exciting and raised the possibility that reverse proteolysis may be functionally more frequent and important than previously thought. Nevertheless, as only three spliced epitope peptides had been reported in the literature since their initial discovery in 2004 it was assumed that PCPS might rather be a rare event. It was also emphasized, however, that presently available database search algorithms fail to detect peptide splicing products [10]. Moreover, the fact that identification of spliced peptides remained fortuitous due to the dependence on the accidental availability of patient derived CTLs so far prevented a systematic investigation of PSP.

It appears reasonable to assume that, similar to conventional proteasomal cleavage products, not every spliced peptide will fulfil the quality requirements of a MHC class I ligand. Thus, considering the generation of spliced antigenic peptides recognized by patient derived CTL, one might predict that the cellular
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MHC class I molecules present antigenic peptides derived from endogenously expressed foreign or aberrant protein molecules to the outside world so that they can be specifically recognised by cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) at the cell surface. Responsible for the generation of these peptides is the 20S proteasome, which is the major proteolytic enzyme of the cell. These peptides were so far believed to exhibit a linear sequence identical to that found in the unprocessed parental protein. Using patient derived CTL it was previously shown that by proteasome catalyzed peptide splicing, i.e., by fusion of two proteasome generated peptide fragments in a reversed proteolysis reaction, novel spliced antigenic peptides can be generated. To resolve the CTL dependence of spliced-peptide identification we here performed experiments, which combined mass spectrometric analysis of proteasome generated peptides with a computer based algorithm that predicts the masses of all theoretically possible spliced peptides from a given substrate molecule (SpliceMet). Using this unrestricted approach we here identified several new spliced peptides of which some were derived from two distinct substrate molecules. Our data reveal that peptide splicing is an intrinsic additional catalytic property of the proteasome, which may provide a qualitatively new peptide pool for immune selection.

Results

SpliceMet

The SpliceMet method is organized into two main experimental blocks characterized by 7 main steps (Figure 1). To reduce the number of possible proteasome generated spliced peptides (PSP) the first block utilizes the following 4 main steps that are subsequently investigated in the second block. The first experimental block combines the computational algorithm ProteaJ with proteasome in vitro digests of a synthetic peptide of choice and mass spectrometric (MS) analyses as follows:

1) Calculation of all combinatorially possible PSP and setting of the ProteaJ database. The digestion of the substrate of length L, with a sequence of amino acids αi, i = 1…L, may result in

\[ S_{CP} = \frac{1}{2} (L - L_{ext} + 1)(L - L_{ext} + 2) \]

cleavage products (PCP) each of which can be denoted as PCPα where the product starts at the position j = jLext+1...L(N-terminus). \( L_{ext} \) describes the minimal length of a PCP that can produce a PSP (here \( L_{ext} = 2 \)). Any two PCPα and PCPβ may be spliced into \( PSP_{α/β} \). For the total amount of generated products \( S_{all} \), including PCP and PSP, we have i = 1…L-

\[ L_{ext}+1, j = iL_{ext}+1...L, k = 1...L_{ext}-1, n = k+L_{ext}+1...L \] and we can calculate

\[ S_{all} = \sum_{i = 1}^{L-L_{ext}} \sum_{j = i+1}^{L-L_{ext}} \sum_{k = 1}^{L_{ext} - 1} \sum_{n = k+L_{ext}+1}^{L} \frac{1}{4} (L - L_{ext} + 1)^2 (L - L_{ext} + 2)^2 = S_{total} \]

Note that

\[ S_{all} = \frac{1}{4} (L - L_{ext} + 1)^2 (L - L_{ext} + 2)^2 = S_{total} \]

PSP can be classified into two main groups: αε splicing (PSPαε) and trans splicing (PSPtrans), whereby αε splicing occurs in the same order as in the substrate (PSPαεnormal, where i+Lext+1≤k+1≤n-Lext) or in reverse order (PSPαεreverse, k+Lext+1≤k+1≤Lext). The total number of all PSP is then

\[ S_{PSP} = \frac{1}{4} (L - L_{ext} + 3)(L - L_{ext} + 2) \]

Lext+1...L=Lext+1. The number of pure trans PSP can be calculated as

\[ S_{trans} = S_{PSP}-S_{PSP}_{αεnormal} \]

Table 1 summarizes the conditions for each product and their total amount.

Estimation of mass-to-charge ratios (m/z) of all possible PSP. To list all possible PSP, in which four indices (unsigned) define the sequence, we computed the molecular weight (Mr, calc.) of each peptide and the corresponding m/z values for charge states \( z = 1, 2, 3 \). Since the m/z values of PSP can differ by less than the mass accuracy of 0.5 Da for the used ESI-ion trap mass spectrometer (LCQ-classic & DECA XP instruments), we clustered all m/z values into groups with a m/z range of 0.2 Da (accordingly to the MS instrument resolution). For each group we determined the average m/z value thereby obtaining a set of theoretical m/z values that may be further analyzed.

2) Matching with the LC-ESI/MS full spectra. The presence of the theoretical m/z values was detected among MS signals of the digestion products of the investigated peptide of choice.

3) Peak detection of all the computed m/z values. In the LC-ESI mass chromatogram we identified the significant peaks for each theoretical m/z value. For each theoretical m/z value either no peak or several peaks could be detected and defined by their m/z and retention time (RT).

4) Analysis of m/z time-dependent kinetics and establishment of an inclusion list for the LC-ESI/MS measurements. In time-dependent processing experiments (signal intensity versus time of digestion) identified peaks that did not fulfill the following criteria were eliminated from the candidate list: i. initial intensity (t = 0) smaller than MAX (e.g. here = 107 for measurements by DECA XP MAX instrument); ii. monotonously ascending signal intensity towards a maximum followed by a monotonously decline in case assay condition allowed re-entry of the PSP. It was assumed that the monotonous increase resulted from the continuous production of PSP and the decrease from the "re-entry" event.

Next, we defined \( t_{max} \) as the digestion time when the highest amount of generated PSP was observed and sorted all pairs (m/z, RT) with respect to \( t_{max} \) into groups indexed as g of the size \( D_g \). If \( D_g > D_{max} \) (here 15 depending on MS resolution) then the corresponding group was split into subgroups \( g_j \) of size smaller than \( D_{max} \). The number of groups determined the number of additional up-scaled processing assays in which the absolute concentration of substrate and proteasome were increased keeping the relative substrate/proteasome ratio constant, whereas the total
number of subgroups represented the number of requested new MS runs. The resulting m/z, RT, tmax established the inclusion list.

The second block consists of the following 3 steps:

5) **LC-ESI-MS/MS analysis with inclusion list.** Precursor ion selection for MS/MS analysis was performed using the established inclusion list enabling the fragmentation analysis of even low-abundance peptides. MS/MS spectra were analyzed with Bioworks software version 3.3 (Thermo Fisher) using the ProteaJ database. Significant hits which were annotated as PSP showed a peptide probability p<0.00005.

**Figure 1. SpliceMet.** Applying the computer program ProteaJ on a peptide sequence of choice, m/z values of all theoretically possible proteasomal cleavage (PCP) and splicing (PSP) products are calculated (1st step). This is followed by an in vitro digest of the synthetic substrate and the comparison of the obtained MS signals with the theoretical m/z values (2nd). Matching of the signals and verification of peptide generation kinetics results in an inclusion list for LC-ESI-MS/MS analysis required for identification of the PSP (3rd, 4th). For final confirmation, the MS/MS spectra (5th) and the HPLC-RT of proposed PSP (6th) are compared with those of the analogous synthetic peptides. For the identification of those PSP candidates that do not fully satisfy these requisites, the generation of PSP is up-scaled followed by HPLC fractionation with an extended gradient and the fractions are analyzed by nano-LC-MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS (7th).
Table 1. Computation of cleavage and splicing products.

| products | conditions | total amount |
|----------|------------|-------------|
| all fragments | \( i = 1...L, j = k, n = 1...L \) | \( \frac{1}{4} (L - L_{\text{ext}} + 1)^3 (L - L_{\text{ext}} + 2)^2 \) |
| PCP | \( i = 1...L, j = k, n = 1...L \) | \( \frac{1}{3} (L - L_{\text{ext}} + 1)(L - L_{\text{ext}} + 2) \) |
| cis - normal | \( i = 1...L, j = k, n = 1...L \) | \( \frac{1}{24} (L - 2L_{\text{ext}} + 1)(L - 2L_{\text{ext}})(L + 3 - 2L_{\text{ext}})(L + 2 - 2L_{\text{ext}}) \) |
| cis - reverse | \( i = 1...L, j = k, n = 1...L \) | \( \frac{1}{24} (L - 2L_{\text{ext}} + 1)(L + 4 - 2L_{\text{ext}})(L + 3 - 2L_{\text{ext}})(L + 2 - 2L_{\text{ext}}) \) |

Described are the conditions to compute all products of a specific type (PCP, cis-normal PSP and –reverse PSP). The indices i, j, k and n are the amino acid positions of the product, e.g. PSP\(_{13\text{mer}}\), L is the length of the substrate, L\(_{\text{ext}}\) is the minimal length of a PCP that can produce a PSP. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000830.t001

6) Comparison with synthetic peptides. All identified PSP resulting from step 5 were manually confirmed by comparison with synthetic peptides of the same sequence. The candidate PSP and their synthetic analogues had to exhibit a similar RT (delta RT < 0.5 min) and fragmentation pattern in the LC-ESI-MS/MS analysis.

7) Validation of PSP sequences by MALDI-TOF. In some experiments the requirements outlined in step 5 and 6 were not fully met requesting further MS identification. In this case, we proceeded by fractionating the digestion products by reverse phase (RP)-HPLC and by analyzing each fraction by LC-ESI-MS/MS using an inclusion list with the \( m/z \) values of the PSP candidates. Their RT in the HPLC run was also compared with that of the corresponding synthetic peptides. Those fractions with MS/MS and RT that matched the PSP were lyophilized and fractionated again using a more focused HPLC method to decrease the number of peptides in each fraction. The up-scaled fractions were subsequently compared with the RT of the synthetic PSP and analyzed by nano-LC-MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS/MS.

Validation of SpliceMet

For proof of principle we initially investigated 20S proteasome catalyzed peptide splicing during proteasomal degradation of the synthetic 13mer peptide (gp100\(_{\text{PMEL17 40-52}}\)RTKAWNRQLYPEW), previously shown to serve as substrate for PSP generation [6]. For the experiments we used 20S proteasomes of Lymphoblastoid cell Lines (LcL), which possess splicing activity [7] and predominantly resemble the immunoproteasome subtype [13,14]. Following each step of SpliceMet we obtained a progressive decrease of the number of candidate PSP leading to the identification of the previously described PSP gp100\(_{\text{PMEL17 40-42/47-52}}\) [6] by LC-ESI/MS/MS at the 6th step of SpliceMet (Figure 2). The substantial reduction of PSP in the candidate list (Table 2) and the final identification of the PSP gp100\(_{\text{PMEL17 40-42/47-52}}\) validated our analysis method.

To verify the hypothesis of the occurrence of a proteasome-dependent splicing reaction we performed in vitro digestions in which the unmodified 13mer gp100\(_{40-52}\) peptide was applied to proteasomal processing in the presence of the same peptide but with the heavy amino acid residues \(^{13}\text{C}^6\text{Lys}\) and \(^{15}\text{N}\text{Leu}\) (RTK"AWNRQ"LYPEW). As shown in Figure 3, we indeed detected PSP variants as being the results of \( \text{cis} \) (variants \( \alpha \& \beta \)) or of \( \text{trans} \) (variants \( \beta \& \gamma \)) splicing, demonstrating that PCPS can occur not only in \( \text{cis} \) but also in \( \text{trans} \) (see also Figure S1).

Identification of nine new PSP in the proteasomal digestion of gp100\(_{35-57}\)

By applying SpliceMet we investigated the generation of new PSP derived from the proteasomal degradation products of the 23mer peptide gp100\(_{35-57}\), which is a N- and C- terminally extended version of gp100\(_{40-52}\) by LcL. 20S proteasome (Figure 4A). In these experiments we identified eight new PSP, four of which were identified at step 6 (Figure 4) and four at step 7 of SpliceMet (Table 3 & Figure S2). We also identified a ninth PSP

Figure 2. By applying SpliceMet we identified the known PSP produced by digestion of the synthetic 13mer gp100\(_{40-52}\) by 20S proteasomes. Sequence of the substrate gp100\(_{40-52}\) and of the PSP gp100\(_{40-42/47-52}\) and its ESI-MS/MS spectrum (double protonated with \( m/z \) 610.8) are shown. In the spectra B- and Y-ions are reported. Ions' loss of water is symbolized by ' . In the experiments (100 \( \mu \)l of reaction) 4 nmol of gp100\(_{40-52}\) were cleaved for 36 hours by 1 \( \mu \)g 20S proteasome purified from LcL.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000830.g002
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Table 2. PSP candidate reduction by applying SpliceMet.

| SpliceMet steps | number of m/z | number of sequences |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|
| gp10040–52       | 2580 (100)    | 2                   |
| gp10035–57       | 7229 (100)    | 4                   |

Reduction of number of PSP candidates during the progression of SpliceMet step by step. The number of possible PSP detectable in the in vitro digestion of a peptide declines continuously during the consecutive steps of SpliceMet (Figure 1). Here the PSP number reduction observed for the 13mer gp10040–52 and 23mer gp10035–57 is reported both as total number and as a percentage compared to the theoretical PSP number (in brackets). The values are referring to the number of possible PSP at the end of the SpliceMet step. For example, although 5664 PSP could be generated from gp10040–52 assuming 2 as the minimum length of the native PCP (Lns), only 2580 represent the m/z value clusters (obtained with a cluster range of 0.2) that will be matched with the LC-ESI/MS full spectrum at the beginning of step 2. Moreover, up to step 4 the numbers are referred to as the number of m/z values whereas from step 5 they are referred to as the possible sequence because they have been identified by MS/MS.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000830.1002

with the sequence [VSRQL] [VSRQL] derived from splicing of two distinct molecules of the PCP gp10035–39 (Figure 5). The identification of this PSP was of particular relevance because it was the first example of PSPs detected in in vitro proteasomal digestion of a single peptide sequence.

PSP formation is a general phenomenon not restricted to the gp10035–57 sequence

Since the sequence requirement for PCPS are not yet known one might argue that the observed frequent PSP generation when gp10035–57 was used as substrate was due certain gp10035–57 sequence specificities. To test this we applied SpliceMet for the analysis of PSP derived from another polypeptide sequence of the same protein, i.e. gp100201–229.

Although in this investigation we have considered only one peptide, splicing activity remains a general mechanism in the cell. However, the relevance of a particular PSP may depend on parameters like substrate length L and the minimal number of m/z values detected.

In our experiments we observed a substantial number of peak clusters (obtained with a cluster range of 0.2) that will be matched with the LC-ESI/MS full spectrum at the beginning of step 2. Moreover, up to step 4 the numbers are referred to as the number of m/z values whereas from step 5 they are referred to as the possible sequence because they have been identified by MS/MS.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000830.1002

Discussion

SpliceMet

The aim of our study was to develop a method for the identification of spliced peptides which would allow the identification of any theoretically possible PSP and which was independent of adventitiously available CD8+ T cells and T-cell recognition assays permitting the detection of only a single spliced epitope peptide. The availability of such a method would greatly facilitate systematic studies required to elucidate the molecular mechanism of PCPS. Therefore we have developed and applied a method – SpliceMet – that, by combining computational and experimental methods, facilitates the identification of proteasome-generated spliced peptides.

Although in this investigation we have considered only polypeptide substrates up to a length of 30 amino acid residues, SpliceMet could also be applied to longer peptides or proteins to further our understanding of the mechanisms that govern PCPS and, in particular, trans-splicing. It has to be pointed out however that an increase in substrate length will lead to an exponential expansion of the ProteaJ database as well as the number of peaks detectable by MS and therefore will require the application of restricting parameters such as size or sequence quality to match this approach with the capacity of the presently available MS technologies.

In our experiments we observed a substantial number of peak spectra at the 5th step of SpliceMet, which could not be identified with sufficient confidence due to the low MS/MS quality. The number of unidentified spectra depends on the size of the ProteaJ database and technical difficulties of MS analysis. Therefore, to reduce the number of unidentifiable spectra we incorporated the 7th step into our method. Indeed, up-scaling of the digestion products by two rounds of HPLC fractionation permitted a better separation of the digestion products thereby limiting the number of overlapping peptides with similar m/z and RT and increased product concentration in this manner facilitating the identification of PSP by MS. Furthermore, at step 7 we analyzed the sample with a second MS instrument, a MALDI-TOF/TOF mass spectrometer, which has a higher resolution and sensitivity than the used ESI-ion trap mass spectrometer. Its application in other studies allowed the identification of peptides not previously detected by ESI-MS/MS, not only because of the higher sensitivity but also due to the different method of ionization and detection, which led to the identification of a complementary pool of peptides [15,16]. Accordingly, we used both techniques to identify as many PSP as possible. LC-ESI/MS analysis was primarily adopted because it is a less time consuming technique and allowed the analyses of as large a number of samples as needed at SpliceMet step 4. Likely, a further minimization of unidentified spectra could be obtained by exploiting the high performance of the new generations of MS analyzers.

The computational algorithm ProteaJ is based on a combinatorial approach. Therefore the amount of calculated PSP strongly depends on parameters like substrate length L and the minimal number of m/z values detected. In preliminary experiments we were not able to identify any new PSP (data not shown).

SpliceMet applications and PSP implications

By applying SpliceMet we here showed that 20S proteasomes possess a substantial in vitro splicing activity. Since in vitro experiments for generation of spliced and non-spliced epitope
peptides are known to closely resemble the in vivo situation [3] our data reveal that 20S proteasomes represent a molecular machine that facilitates the generation of spliced peptides from its own cleavage products. Therefore, our data may have considerable biological implications in that they provide evidence that proteasome-dependent protein degradation results in the generation of a second, so far undetected pool of spliced peptides, from which novel potentially functionally relevant peptides can be selected. Indeed, the two previously identified PSP were shown to be MHC class I epitopes recognized by CTL of human patients [6,7]. This and the relatively high number of PSP that we identified raises the possibility that peptide splicing in general may lead to an increase in the peptide pool available for epitope selection. For example, from the melanocytic gp100PMEL17 tumor antigen (661 amino acids) 1,786,862 9mers with a unique sequence could be theoretically produced. Of these, a maximum of 652 are unspliced proteasomal cleavage products while the rest (99.96%) represent theoretical PSP. At the moment we do not have any sufficient information to judge on how many of these PSP (as well as normal PCP) are really produced and which percentage of them may efficiently bind MHC class I molecules. Based on our preliminary data we are tempted to speculate that specific PCP are generated more efficiently than PSP even if the MS signal of some PSP (e.g. gp10047–55/35–39) was as high as that of many PCP (data not shown). Nevertheless, if, for example PCP were produced 1000-fold more efficiently than any given PSP, spliced peptides generated from gp100PMEL17 would still represent a significant peptide pool (i.e. the 73.26% of the 9mers derived

**Figure 3. Generation of PSP by proteasomal trans splicing.** (A) To demonstrate the generation of a PSP, by the binding of two fragments originated from two distinct molecules of substrate, 5 nmol gp10040–52 and its heavy analogue with amino acids C6-Lys and N-Leu (RTK**AWNRQL**YPEW) were digested together for 36 hours by 1.5 μg LcL 20S proteasomes in 100 μl buffer. Theoretically four different PSP could be generated from the cis or trans ligation of the proteasomal fragments [RTK] and [QLYPEW] with sequences [RTK][QLYPEW]: gp10040–42+47–52ψ, [M+H] = 1220.7; gp10040–42+47–52ψ, [M+H] = 1221.7; gp10040–42+47–52ψ, [M+H] = 1226.7; gp10040–42+47–52ψ, [M+H] = 1227.7. (B) LC-MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS spectra at RT = 41.3 min show peaks which can be assigned to all four possible PSP of gp10040–42+47–52 (for MS/MS spectra see Figure S1). doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000830.g003
from the digestion of gp100PMEL17 from which antigen spliced peptides could be selected. This basic computational analysis assumes that the splicing of proteasomal cleavage products can occur also in vivo. Our observation that the in vitro splicing reaction not only occurs in cis but also in trans indirectly supports such an assumption. The existence of the trans PSP implies the likely situation that two or more substrate molecules are present at the same time within the proteasomal cavity as suggested by some excellent previous studies [17–19] or that the cleavage products of a first substrate molecule remain within the catalytic chamber while a second molecule of substrate is cleaved. Very recently, Dalet and co-workers investigated trans proteasome splicing in vivo, providing some very interesting albeit not final insights. They showed that PSPtrans were generated in vivo when the precursor peptides of FGF-5 and gp100 were electroporated into COS cells, whereas only the FGF-5-derived PSPtrans (and in a very small amount) could be detected by CTL assay when COS cells were transfected with FGF-5 or gp100 plasmid [8]. Taken into account the high number of PSPtrans, we identified within in vitro digestion products of four peptides, we are led to conclude that further studies in vitro and in vivo on different cellular and proteasome models are required to clarify this phenomenon.

An extensive application of SpliceMet on a wide range of polypeptide substrates would also help to identify putative peptide sequence motifs that facilitate the proteasomal splicing reaction. For example, in seven of the nine gp10035–57-derived PSP, the sequence VSR represents the N-terminus of those PCP, which according to the transpeptidation model [6,20] perform a nucleophilic attack on the acyl–enzyme intermediate, thereby forming the detected PSP. Likewise, for four PSP the sequence YPEW represents the C-terminus, which forms the acyl–enzyme intermediate that is subsequently attacked by the second PCP generating the new PSP. From these observations one might infer a higher affinity of these two peptide sequences for a transpeptidation reaction. However, only a more extensive investigation of this specific issue with SpliceMet, covering a large number of different polypeptides would allow to validate such a hypothesis.

For this and other aims, studies performed with the help of SpliceMet could be powered if coupled with algorithms for the prediction of proteasomal cleavages, mathematical modeling of degradation kinetics as well as of the MHC class I antigen presentation [21–26]. Such an approach would also facilitate the reduction of the theoretical PSP number, which might represent a limitation of SpliceMet application to very long proteins such as gp100PMEL17. By combining the SpliceMet results with the estimation of these and other algorithms it would be theoretically possible to restrict the PSP identification to a group of PSP possessing features of interest (e.g. epitope-specific for a defined HLA I haplotype) and to predict their altered expression upon proteasome modification [24].

Methods

I. Peptides and peptide synthesis

All peptides were synthesized using Fmoc solid phase chemistry as previously described [27]. Exception had to be made for heavy analogues of gp10035–52. The isotopically labeled amino acids \(^{15}\)N-L-Fmoc-L-Leucine (3eq, amino acid, 3eq, HBTU, 6eq, DIPA in DME) and L-Lysine-\(^{15}\)N-Fmoc, L-N-T-Boc, \(^{13}\)C\(_6\) (1.92eq amino acid, 1.92eq HBTU, 3.84eq, DIPA in DME) were coupled over night. The sequence enumeration for the peptides gp10035–52, gp10035–57 and gp10039–229 is referred to the human gp100PMEL17 sequence described by Adema and colleagues [28], for the peptide pp8916–40 is referred to the murine cytomegalovirus pp89 protein described by Lyons et al. [29]. The peptide sequence here named gag-pol29–58 is a modified version of the sequence 29–57 of the HIV gap-pol protein as described by Reitz et al. [30], where a Valin was inserted before the Thrreon 53. All peptide sequences were extrapolated on the web site \(http://www.uniprot.org/\).

| Peptide (gp100) | Sequence | Mr, calc | PSP type | Identification step of SpliceMet |
|----------------|-----------|----------|----------|-------------------------------|
| 49–52/35–39    | [YPEW][VSRQL] | 1176.59  | cis, reverse | 6 |
| 49–50/35–37    | [YPEW][VSR] | 935.45   | cis, reverse | 6 |
| 47–55/40–42    | [QLYPEWTEA][RTK] | 1520.76  | cis, reverse | 6 |
| 47–55/35–39    | [QLYPEWTEA][VSRQL] | 1718.86  | cis, reverse | 6 |
| 47–52/35–37    | [QLYPEW][VSR] | 1176.59  | cis, reverse | 7 |
| 47–48/35–39    | [QL][VSR] | 842.50   | cis, reverse | 7 |
| 45–52/35–37    | [NRLQ][YPEW][VSR] | 1446.74  | cis, reverse | 7 |
| 37–38/49–57    | [RRQ][YPEW][TEAQR] | 1462.70  | cis, normal | 7 |
| 35–39/35–39    | [VSRQL][VSRQL] | 1184.70  | trans | 7 |

The PSP identified by the application of SpliceMet on the proteasome-mediated digestion of the substrate gp10035–57 are here described. PSPnormal or PSPreverse result from splicing in the same order as the substrate or in reverse order to the substrate, respectively. PSPtrans are derived from the splicing of two non-overlapping sequences of the original substrate. In contrast, PSPtrans necessarily originate from two distinct substrate molecules because of the overlapping sequences of the two peptides spliced together.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000830.t003
II. Cell cultures

Lymphoblastoid cell lines (LcLs) are human B lymphocytes immortalized with Epstein Barr virus (EBV) which mainly express active immunoproteasomes [13, 14]. LcLs were cultured in RPMI1640 medium supplemented with 10% FCS.

III. 20S proteasome purification

20S proteasomes were purified from 3*E + 09 LcLs as previously reported [31]. The purity of 20S proteasome preparation was verified by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis (12, 5% poly-acrylamide gel stained with Coomassie dye) (Figure S6). Furthermore, a non-proteasome proteolytic activity of the preparation was tested and excluded (data not shown) by the digestion of 40 μM gp10040–52 for 24 hours by 1 μg of LcL 20S proteasomes in presence of 400 μM Lactacystin (previously incubated with 20S proteasomes at room temperature for 10 min).

IV. In vitro digestion of synthetic peptide substrates

Synthetic peptides at different concentrations (from 40 to 100 μM) were digested by 0.25–1.5 μg 20S proteasomes in 50–100 μl Hepes buffer (Hepes 20 mM, KCl 1 mM, MgCl 0.5 mM, DTT 1 mM, NaN3 1 mM, pH 7.3) for different time periods (from 20 min to 48 hours) at 37°C. Digestions were stopped by acidic inactivation and frozen. Digestions were performed also in TEAD buffer (Tris 20 mM, EDTA 1 mM, NaN3 1 mM, DTT 1 mM, pH 7.2) and no remarkable differences compared to Hepes buffer emerged (data not shown). In contrast, for SpliceMet step 7, 1.1 μmol of the peptides (at the final concentration of 100 μM) were digested for 24 hours by 62 μg of LcL 20S proteasomes in 10 ml Hepes buffer and the products up-scaled by RP-HPLC separation. All experiments reported in this study were repeated at least twice and each set of experiments was measured by each MS instrument at least twice.

V. LC-ESI MS

In LC-runs the peptide separation was carried out on a 2.1 mm (RPC C2/C18, 100 mm × 2.1 mm, 3 μm, 120 Å, Amersham) and a 1 mm RP column (Beta Basic-18, 100 mm × 1 mm, 3 μm, 150 Å, Thermofisher) using a Surveyor system (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA). The mobile phase (A) was 100% water containing 0.05% (v/v) TFA and (B) was 70:30 (v/v) acetonitrile/water containing 0.045% (v/v) TFA or 0.1% acetic acid for the PSP identifications reported in Figure 3. Online MS analysis was performed by DECA XP MAX iontrap instrument (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) and by LCQ-classic iontrap (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) after HPLC separation (HP1100, Agilent). MS data were acquired with a triple scan method in positive ion mode (MS - mass range 250–2000 m/z, zoom scan, MS/MS).

Table 4. PSP identified in proteasomal digestions of three additional polypeptides.

| Substrate | Sequence | Mr, calc | PSP Type | Identification step of SpliceMet |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------|---------------------------------|
| gp100201–230 | [AHSSSAFTIDQVPFSVSVSRQL] | 1301.60 | Trans | 6 |
| gag-pol29–58 | [AVNPGLLEVTESEG] | 1439.58 | Trans | 6 |
| pp8916–40 | [PTNL] | 1381.61 | Trans | 6 |
|          | [PTNLGP] | 1943.89 | Trans | 6 |

To verify that the relatively high PSP number was not peculiar to the sequence gp10035–57 we extended our investigation to three additional peptides. Six new PSP were identified within their products of in vitro proteasomal digest by applying SpliceMet. Three of them derived from the digestion of the sequence gp100201–230, one from HIV gag-pol29–58 and two from MCMV pp8916–40. All of them were produced by a trans splicing reaction.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000830.g005

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000830.t004

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000830.s005

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000830.s006
of ESI/MS data was accomplished using Bioworks version 3.3 (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA). Database searching was performed using the ProteaJ database and the following parameters: no enzyme, mass tolerance for fragment ions 1 amu. In time-dependent processing experiments (signal intensity versus time of digestion) we analyzed the kinetics of the identified peaks by using LCQuan software version 2.5 (Thermo Fisher). At step 3 of SpliceMet the significant peaks for each theoretical m/z value in the LC-ESI mass chromatogram were identified by Bioworks peak detection algorithm with a signal-to-noise ratio larger than 6 (here = 2).

VI. Digestion product up-scaling by RP-HPLC
Further identification of the PSP at step 7 of SpliceMet was performed by MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS analysis of the gp100<sub>35-37</sub> digestion products separated by two distinct rounds of RP-HPLC. In the first round 57 fractions were collected, lyophilized and analyzed by LC-ESI/MS to identify PSP candidates. The fractions containing the PSP candidates were then separated with more focused gradients (different for each selected fraction of the first round of HPLC separation) on the same column obtaining 47 fractions, which were lyophilized and investigated by MALDI-TOF/TOF-MS analysis. Each round was obtained by collecting the eluted fractions of the 5-15 runs (5–20 μl each) to maintain a good separation of the digestion products on the chromatogram. The runs were carried out on the column C18 (33 × 4.6 mm; ODS1 1.5 μm) by the HPLC Beckman SytemGold and different gradients of acetonitrile.

VII. Nano-LC-MALDI-TOF-TOF-MS
Peptide separation was carried out using an Ultimate HPLC system (Dionex, Idstein, Germany). Samples were concentrated on a trap column (PepMap C18, 5 mm × 300 μm × 5 μm, 100 Å, Dionex) and eluted onto an analytical column (PepMap C18, 150 mm × 75 μm × 3 μm, 100 Å, Dionex). The mobile phase (A) was 2.98% (v/v) acetonitrile/water containing 0.05% (v/v) TFA and (B) was 20:80 (v/v) acetonitrile/water containing 0.045% (v/v) TFA. Runs were performed at a flow rate of 200 nL/min using a binary gradient 0–15% B in 4 min, 15–60% B in 45 min, 60–100% B in 5 min. Column effluent was mixed with MALDI matrix (5 mg/ml 2-cyano-4-hydroxy-cinnamic acid in 70:30 (v/v) acetonitrile/water containing 0.1% (v/v) TFA, 1 μl/min) and spotted at ten second intervals on MALDI steel targets using a Probot fractionation device (Dionex). MS analysis was performed on a 4700 Proteomics Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Framingham, MA, USA). MS data were acquired in positive ion mode in the mass range 800–4000 m/z by accumulation of 1200 laser shots per spot and processed with default calibration. MS/MS spectra were generated by 1 keV collisions and accumulation of 2500 to 10000 laser shots. Analysis of MALDI MS data was accomplished using MASCOT version 2.1 (Matrixscince, London, UK). Database search was performed using ProteaJ database and the following parameters: no enzyme, mass tolerance for precursors, +/- 0.3 Da. Spectral images for manual validation were prepared with Data Explorer Software version 4.8 (Applied Biosystems).
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