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Abstract

Gut microbiota are required for host nutrition, energy balance, and regulating immune homeostasis, however, in some cases, this mutually beneficial relationship becomes twisted (dysbiosis), and the gut flora can incite pathological disorders including colon cancer. Microbial dysbiosis promotes the release of bacterial genotoxins, metabolites, and causes chronic inflammation, which promote oxidative DNA damage. Oxidized DNA base lesions are removed by base excision repair (BER), however, the role of this altered function of BER, as well as microbiota-mediated genomic instability and colon cancer development, is still poorly understood. In this review article, we will discuss how dysbiotic microbiota induce DNA damage, its impact on base excision repair capacity, the potential link of host BER gene polymorphism, and the risk of dysbiotic microbiota mediated genomic instability and colon cancer.
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Introduction

The human gut is a complex consortium of trillions of microbes, which contain at least 100 times as many genes as the human genome [1]. The composition of intestinal microbiota is determined by various factors including host genetics [2], environment [3, 4], and diet [5]. Dietary habits are considered one of the main factors contributing to the diversity of human gut microbiota [5]. The main functions of the gut microflora include metabolic activities that result in the salvage of energy and the protection of the host against invasion by pathogenic microbes [6]. However, perturbation of the epithelial lining and mucous layer of the human intestinal tract probably causes alteration of the physiological and immunological reactions of the host to promote an inflammatory response and genomic instability.

What determines the colon microbiome’s contribution to the pathogenesis of colon cancer? One of the challenges in identifying a microbe as the cause of a disease is the possibility that the inciting microbe is no longer present at the time the disease is diagnosed. Because of this change in the microenvironment, the inciting microbes may have been eliminated or the microbe might have conducted a “hit and run” mechanism to trigger the disease. Previously published work from Sears, et al, proposed three different models to show how microbes provoke colon cancer. The first model represents a specific group of microbes that possess sufficient virulence mechanisms that may induce disease. The second model requires a host genetic factor that permits the virulence of a single microbe to initiate the disease. The third model requires a microbial community or two, acting sequentially and/or in synergy, that causes dysbiosis and influences colon carcinogenesis [7]. All three models could provoke chronic inflammation. Chronic inflammation may promote the release of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (RONs) that are thought to damage DNA. The cellular consequences of DNA oxidation by RONs can lead to
a number of different types of damage such as generation of 7, 8-hydroxy-2’-deoxyguanosine (8-Oxod-G), thymine glycol (Tg), abasic sites (AP), and oxidized deoxyribose sugars which lead to single and double strand DNA breaks (SSBs and DSBs) [8], crosslinking of DNA, and mutation [9-13]. These kinds of DNA damage can be repaired by a variety of DNA repair mechanisms including base excision repair (BER) [14-16]. BER repair systems are thought to play a significant role in survival and adaptation of microbiota, repair of microbiota, or bacterial pathogen induced DNA damage [17]. BER is the major repair pathway of DNA damage induced by RONs and is critical for maintaining genome stability during chronic inflammation and/or bacterial infection [18]. Aberrant function of BER significantly increases chronic inflammation to trigger genomic instability and colon cancer [18, 19]. BER is initiated by DNA glycosylases that recognize and cleave the microbiota mediated base lesions: including the removal of 8-Oxo-G paired with C which involves the bifunctional DNA glycosylase OGG1 [20-22], MUTYH that removes adenine mispaired with 8-oxoG lesions, and TDG that removes thymine glycol paired with G that eventually creates abasic (AP) sites [23] which are cytotoxic and mutagenic [24-26] and further processed by DNA glycosylase with AP-lyase activity or by APE-1 [27]. The single-nucleotide gap is filled by DNA polymerase beta (Pol β) and the nick is sealed by DNA ligase to complete the repair [27].

**Microbiota dynamics and oxidative DNA damage**

The healthy human gastrointestinal tract is dominated by obligate anaerobic bacteria, the Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes (Bacteroides, Bifidobacterium, Eubacterium, Clostridium, Peptococcus, Peptostreptococcus, and Ruminococcus) and Actinobacteria. However, during microbiota dysbiosis, there is an increase in facultative anaerobic bacteria (Escherichia coli, Shigella dysenteriae, Actinobacillus actionymycetemcomitans, Campylobacter spp., Helicobacter spp., Salmonella typhi, and H. ducreyi, cause DNA damage, cell cycle arrest, and/or apoptosis [47-50]. However, the mechanism of how microbial toxins induce base excision repair intermediate and its effect on base excision repair capacity is not yet clearly understood.

**Base excision repair dynamics and microbiota**

Base excision repair systems play a significant role in maintaining the genomic integrity of the host cells. BER removes base damage via a number of coordinated sequential reactions that detect and process the damaged base [51]. Modulation of the levels of individual BER enzymes leads to altered phenotypes in mouse model [52]. Evidence from several laboratories indicates that BER imbalances are detrimental [53, 54]. Dysbiotic microbiota may allow the accumulation of unrepaired DNA breaks and BER intermediates that can lead to genomic instability and carcinogenesis. The aberrant function of BER decreases the tumor latency in host carriers of dysbiotic microbiota. The dysbiotic microbiota may induce different types of DNA base lesions that are likely recognized and removed by different types of DNA glycosylases. Mammalian cells contain 11 different glycosylases each with a specialized function
DNA glycosylase initiates the BER process by removing the modified base, which generates an apurinic/apyrimidinic site. If the microbiota induces base damage recognized by bi-functional glycosylases that have an associated apurinic/apyrimidinic lyase activity, this further catalyzes the cleavage of the sugar-phosphate chain and the excision of the abasic residue leaving a single nucleotide gap. This gap is filled by DNA polymerase beta (Pol β) and the nick is sealed by the DNA ligase III/x-ray repair cross-complementing group 1 (XRCC1) complex. In contrast, if microbiota induces base damage and is recognized by monofunctional DNA glycosylases that lack lyase activity which leaves the phosphodiester bond at the 5’ side of the intact apurinic/apyrimidinic site, this will be incised by apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease (APE1/APEX1). Finally, Pol β, DNA ligase III, and XRCC1 will complete the repair process.

Does base excision repair polymorphism increase the risk of microbiota induced colon cancer?

Host genetics play an important role in the establishment and shaping of the gut microbiota [3]. Genetic association studies on cancer risk have focused on identifying the functional effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in candidate genes. Sequence variants in DNA repair genes are thought to modulate DNA repair capacity and consequently are suggested to be associated with an altered cancer risk [56]. Several genetic epidemiological studies have linked SNP variant in BER genes to human cancer [57]. However, the link between dysbiotic microbiota and SNP variant of BER genes that cause the loss of biological function has not yet been clearly documented. Several studies show that the impaired function of BER gives rise to genomic instability and cellular transformation [58]. Polymorphisms in BER genes could affect the accumulation of DNA lesions in colorectal mucosa, thus influencing colon cancer risk [59]. BER genes are the most common and well-studied [60], though some of the associations of BER gene polymorphisms and colon cancer risk have been inconsistent [61-63]. However, the characterization of dysbiotic microbiota as a risk factor to induce DNA damage for those who carry BER polymorphism has yet to be studied. The altered function of microbiota likely leads to a different type of DNA base damage that causes a substrate for different types of DNA glycosylase. The biological significance of genetic polymorphism of DNA glycosylases, APE1 and DNA polymerase beta, that are involved in colon cancer (Table 1) and its impact on dysbiotic microbiota are discussed below. However, the biological significance of BER SNPs variant and its impact on gastrointestinal tract microbiota dynamics in promoting the risk of colon cancer have not yet been understood.
Table 1. Single nucleotide polymorphisms likely a target risk for microbiota dysbiosis to initiate colon cancer. Germline variants of base excision repair enzymes, which are found in specific populations that are susceptible to colon cancer.

| Gene  | SNPID  | Polymorphism | Amino Acid Change | Population susceptible to CRC | References |
|-------|--------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| TDG   | rs3825988 | A>G         | Intron            | Asian                         | [62]       |
| TDG   | rs3751209 | G>A         | Intron            | Asian                         | [62]       |
| TDG   | rs2888605 | G>A         | V367M             | Caucasian                      | [62]       |
| MDB4  | rs140693  | C>T         | E346K             | East Asian, Korean             | [78]       |
| MGMT  | rs2308312 | A>G         | Intron            | European, African             | [123]      |
| MGMT  | rs2308327 | G>A         | K178R             | N. America                    | [123]      |
| MGMT  | rs12917   | C>T         | L84F              | N. America                    | [123]      |
| NEL1  | rs5745909 | C>T         | G160R             | Japanese                      | [124]      |
| NEL2  | rs8191683 | C>T         | Intron            | European, African             | [123]      |
| NEL2  | rs8191664 | A>G         | R257L             | European, Asian               | [123]      |
| AAG   | rs2259275 | C>G         | Intron            | European, East Asian          | [63]       |
| AAG   | rs2308312 | G>A         | R141Q             | European                      | [63]       |
| AAG   | rs2309321 | C>T         | R120C             | European                      | [63]       |
| OUG1  | rs1052133 | C>G         | S529C             | Asian, Caucasian              | [61]       |
| MUTYH | rs3219489 | G>G         | Q334H             | African American, European    | [150]      |
| MUTYH | rs3603993 | C>T         | G382D             | American, European            | [107, 108] |
| MUTYH | rs34612342| C>A         | Y165C             | Peruvian                      | [107, 108] |
| MUTYH | rs34612342| C>A         | Y179C             | Peruvian                      | [107, 108] |
| MUTYH | rs3603993 | C>A         | G96D              | European, Australia, N. America| [107, 108] |
| APEX1 | rs1760944 | T>G         | D148E             | Turkish, Polish               | [151]      |
| POLR  | rs1267858 | A>G         | R137Q             | Asians & North Americans      | [144]      |
| POLR  | rs313679  | C>G         | P242R             | Europeans, Asians              | [144]      |

TDG: Thymine DNA glycosylase (TDG) is a monofunctional DNA glycosylase and preferentially catalyzes the removal of thymine and uracil paired with guanine, and is also active on 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) paired with adenine or guanine [64, 65]. TDG also interacts with activation-induced deaminase and works with 5-methylcytosine hydroxylases TETs (ten-eleven translocation) to regulate active DNA demethylation [66, 67]. In addition, TDG interacts with transcription factors [68] and plays essential roles in epigenetic regulation [69]. In the human genome a heterozygous mutation in the TDG gene was identified in a rectal cancer patient [70, 71] suggesting that TDG may function as a tumor suppressor. The rs4135113 SNP variant of TDG, where G is mutated to A at position 818, has a minor allele frequency of approximately 10%; it is most commonly found in African and East Asian populations and is usually heterozygous [72]. Even though there is not any scientific evidence, it is possible to predict that the bacterial metabolites and toxins that are produced from gut microbiota in African and East Asian populations may induce base damage that causes more G/T mismatched pairs that increase mutagenesis in this rs4135113 SNP variant carrier population which contributes to the initiation of carcinogenesis.

MDB4: A methyl-CpG-binding DNA glycosylase (MDB4) is involved in the repair of mismatches arising from deamination of methyl-C in mammalian cells. In vitro experiments have shown that MDB4 excises mismatched thymine (T) bases from oligo templates [73, 74]. In addition, the mutation frequency at methyl-CpG sites is significantly increased in MDB4 knockout mouse [75] and shows reduced apoptosis in response to DNA damage [76]. Absence of MDB4 in mice also increases tumorigenicity in the tumor-susceptible APCMin/+ background [75]. The tumorigenic effect may be due to an increase in mutation frequency, decreased apoptosis, or a combination of both. Previous studies have suggested that gut microbiota derived antigens promote inflammation that may cause intestinal epithelial disruption and activate signaling pathways to enhance intestinal tumor load in the APCMin/+ mouse model of colon cancer [77]. However, the mechanism of how dysbiotic microbiota induce genomic instability and carcinogenesis in MDB4/APCMin/+ compound mutant as well was how dysbiotic microbiota impact those who carry the MDB4 SNP variant is still unclear and would be an interesting scientific question to explore in the future. For example, a single nucleotide polymorphism in the MDB4 gene (rs140693) has been associated with increased risk of colorectal cancer in Korean populations [78]. This polymorphism results in an amino acid change from Glutamine to Lysine at position 346 driving microsatellite instability [78]. However, whether the altered function of gut microbiota contributes for early onset genomic instability and cancer in this population that carries MDB4 SNP variants remains unknown. Thus, we have hypothesized that microbiota dysbiosis and MDB4 genetic polymorphism may decrease tumor
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latency and promote an early onset of colon cancers.  

MGMT: The DNA repair gene O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) is responsible of the elimination of alkyl groups from the O6-position of guanine and the O4-position of thymine. Altered function of MGMT may be involved in early steps of colorectal tumorigenesis through an increase of the mutational rate particularly with G-to-A point mutations of KRAS gene [79, 80]. Moreover, epigenetic silencing of MGMT during colon tumorigenesis is associated with hypermethylation of the CpG island in its promoter [81]. This transcriptional gene silencing is responsible for diminished DNA-repair of O6-alkylguanine adducts with the consequence of enhancing chemosensitivity to alkylating agents in particular temozolomide [82]. For colon cancer, the MGMT Ile143Val polymorphism may confer an increased or decreased risk depending on dietary exposure [83]. Individuals who carry this variant genotype have a higher risk for colon cancer with a higher intake of red or processed meat. However, whether this dietary exposure alters the function of microbiota to increase the risk of inflammation or metabolite product in a host that carries MGMT genetic polymorphism remains unknown. For example, L84F variant is found in up to 20% of the worldwide population and rescue MGMT-deficient cells in survival assays with alkylating drugs [84, 85]. However, L84F is not inactivated by O6BG treatment [86, 87] suggesting that the active site cysteine is not affected by O6-BG. In contrast, G160R is a rare variant found in Japanese populations and cannot fully rescue MGMT-deficient cells treated with an alkylating agent and resistant to O6BG [88].

AAG: The Alkyladenine DNA glycosylase (AAG) has a wide substrate specificity excising numerous structurally diverse lesions, some of which exert a mild effect (7-methylguanine), while others can be replication blocking and cytotoxic (3-methyladenine) [89]. The absence of AAG results in unrepaired alkylated DNA bases that block DNA replication, thus increasing cytotoxicity, and etheno adducts levels in the colon’s DNA [18]. In addition, inflamed colon tissue from ulcerative colitis patients have increased levels of AAG compared with un-inflamed tissue and is presumably induced to repair the DNA base damage that is inflicted by RONs during the inflammatory response [18]. It would be interesting to compare the microbiota dynamics altered in the gastrointestinal tract of AAG deficient mice to see if this promotes genomic instability and/or chronic inflammation. Western diet has been implicated to induce inflammatory immune response through multiple mechanisms and subsequently lead to colon cancer. Western diet has been proven to perturb the gut microbial population and increase colonic permeability to microbial products such as lipopolysaccharides (LPS), which promotes chronic inflammation in the colon [90, 91]. Repeated cycles of Dextran Sulfate Sodium feeding to animal models is an accepted mode of inciting chronic inflammation mimicking consumption of western diet. Previous studies have shown that AAG deficient mouse are more prone to DSS induced colitis upon co-treatment with azoxymethane (AOM) than wild type counterpart [18]. In addition, the AAG deficient mice displayed enhanced tumor multiplicity and development. In contrast, overexpression of AAG promotes microsatellite instability and colon cancer risk [92]. In addition, SNPs variant R141Q and R120C enhance non-specific binding of DNA that may promote genomic instability and cancer [93]. Therefore, the delicate balance of AAG and healthy diets are critical to maintain genomic integrity and prevent colon cancer.

OGG1: Human Oxoguanine Glycosylase 1 (OGG1) is a member of BER repair protein that is involved in the removal of a specific oxidative DNA damaged base 8-oxo-G. Previous studies reported that the inflammatory response in Ogg1–/– mice is lower than that of wild type mice and suggested that OGG1 is an inflammatory/immune system modulator [94]. Therefore, deficiency of OGG1 promotes a protective role against inflammatory lesions [95] and reduces the level of BER intermediates (AP sites) that are generated during bacterial infection [17]. Some of the mechanisms concerning how the lack of OGG1 protects the cells from inflammation or reduces BER intermediate mediated responses may be correlated with an inhibition of the T helper 1 type (Th1) response. This is known to promote inflammation, bacterial load, and epithelial cell damage [95]. Microbiota dysbiosis may induce inflammation that potentially causes 8-oxo-G lesions. Intriguing recent studies have shown that OGG1 binds the 8-oxoG base with a high affinity resulting in immune response [96, 97]. If the host is devoid of functional OGG1, then cells probably accumulate 8-oxoG or other BER intermediates to induce genomic instability and cancer. In contrast, OGG1 deficient mice that are protected from this inflammatory response [94] may suggest that the loss of OGG1 function does not cause immunological disequilibrium incited by microbiota dysbiosis. However, OGG deficient mice treated with DSS significantly increased adenocarcinoma development in the colon with a high incidence of tumor [19]. Furthermore, several reports have been published regarding the association of OGG1 SNP variant rs1052133 polymorphism and cancer [98, 99].
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Some epidemiological studies have indicated that this particular polymorphism poses a greater risk for colon cancer in the Caucasian and Asian population [99]. The amino acid change accompanying this single nucleotide polymorphism occurs at position 326 from Serine to Cysteine and has impaired glycosylase activity [100, 101]. The oxidative stress caused by inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α induces 8-oxoG and inactivate S326C variant of OGG1 increases the risk of cancer among homozygous individuals [102].

**MUTYH**: The MUTYH protein is a BER glycosylase involved in repair by excising adenine opposite 8-oxoguanine and 2-hydroxyadenine opposite guanine, thereby preventing G: C to T: A transversion caused by oxidative stress. The MUTYH protein directly interacts with various proteins involved in other DNA repair pathways [103, 104]. Several different mutations, mainly missense mutations, have been found. The two most common mutations in Western populations are Y179C and G396D, with probable different effects on MUTYH glycosylase function [105]. Interestingly, MUTYH was expressed at higher levels in the normal colon, and are directly involved in oxidative DNA damage repair. An increased susceptibility to spontaneous carcinogenesis in the intestine was observed in MUTYH-null mice [106]. Loss of MUTYH function may result in an increase of mutations in oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes due to the accumulation of 8-oxoG and this could promote tumorigenesis. Moreover, germline variant of MUTYH increases the susceptibility of European populations to multiple adenomas or polyposis [107]. For example, Y165C and G382D are the most common variants of the MUTYH protein [105]. Several of these MUTYH residues have important roles in the recognition of 8-oxoG in A:8-oxoG mismpairs [109-111]. In addition, other variants such as Y179C and G396D lost the ability of substrate recognition [112] and suggested that these germline variants may result in an increase of mutation on oncogene or tumor suppressor genes to initiate genomic instability and carcinogenesis. Thus, microbiota dysbiosis may increase the number of oxidative DNA damage (8-oxoG) that likely increase mutation to induce genomic instability colon cancer.

**NEIL 1,2,3**: The DNA endonuclease eight-like (NEIL) glycosylases have broader substrate specificity and are associated with the repair of different types of base lesions. NEIL1 repair pyrimidine lesions such as Tg and 5-hydroxyuracil (5-OHU) in duplex DNA [113] and single stranded and bubble DNA structures [114]. Both NEIL2 and NEIL3 prefer oxidized pyrimidine and some purine damages in single stranded DNA [114, 115]. NEIL1 is involved in removing lesions that block replication forks before they are encountered by the replicative DNA polymerases [116] while NEIL2 appears to function during transcription-coupled repair [114, 117]. NEIL3, which is expressed at higher levels in the normal colon, is directly involved in oxidative stress repair and removes lesions from quadruplex DNA [118] and further oxidation products, specifically guanidinohydantoin (Gh) and spirominodihydantoin (Sp) substrates [115, 119, 120]. Furthermore, NEIL3 is highly expressed in embryonic stem cells as well as pluripotent cells in the brain [121] and human cancer cells [122]. Genome wide association study has shown that NEIL1, 2, 3 are often found to carry mutations and are prevalent in different types of cancers. A specific germline variant of NEIL1, rs5745908, has been noted to contribute to the development of colon cancer in European populations [123] (Table 1). In addition, biochemical characterization of the G83D germline variant of NEIL1 revealed less glycosylase activity [124] that may contribute to the accumulation of an oxidative damaged base. Similarly, SNP variants of Neil 2 (rs8191683 and rs8191664) result in nucleotide changes: A: G and G: T respectively [123] and also contribute to familial colon cancers (Table 1). However, the functional impact of the SNP variant of NEIL3 has not yet been studied. In addition, microbiota dysbiosis mediated DNA damage and DNA repair capacities of NEIL1, 2, 3 SNP variants remain unknown.

**APE1**: Apurinic endonuclease I (APE1) is the key enzyme responsible for the removal of the apurinic/apyrimidinic sites created at the regions of single base DNA damage and subsequent generation of the 3′-OH termini [125]. In addition to its role in DNA repair, APE1 also functions as a reduction/oxidation (redox) factor in mammalian cells maintaining transcription factors (TFs) in an active reduced state, thus stimulating the DNA binding capacity of several TFs such as AP-1 (activator protein-1-fos/Jun), NFκB (nuclear factor-κB), HIF-1α (hypoxia-inducible factor 1-α), TP53, and others [126, 127]. Furthermore, high expression levels of APE1 enhance cellular resistance to chemotherapeutic agents in several tumor cells [128-130] as well as regulating inflammatory response [131]. It is possible that the dysbiotic microbiota enhance the level of APE1 to provoke redox role or DNA repair activity similar to pathogenic bacteria induced APE1 activity [132]. Even though genetic polymorphism of APE1 is not detected at the active site of endonuclease or the site required for acetylation that impairs redox function or DNA repair activity respectively, some reports have suggested that APE1 Asp148Glu polymorphism is associated...
with an increased risk of colorectal cancer in Turkish and Polish populations [133, 134]. The mutation of an aspartate residue to a glutamate residue potentially affects the stability of the protein structure and thus could affect the functionality of the enzyme in BER [135] (Table 1).

**DNA polymerase beta:** Pol β is a key enzyme during BER of oxidative DNA lesions. A number of studies suggest that approximately 30% of human tumors characterized to date express Pol β variant proteins [136]. Excision of damaged bases by DNA glycosylases generates a single nucleotide gap and a 5’-dRP group. The 8 kDa dRP lyase domain of Pol β functions in removing the 5’-dRP group and Pol β then fills in the gap. The regulation of cellular Pol β protein levels is vital as haploinsufficiency resulting in reduced BER capacity has increased susceptibility to cancer [137]. Furthermore, increased expression of Pol β also leads to increased spontaneous mutagenesis in mammalian cells [138], and points to evidence that tumor cell lines manifest increased Pol β expression [139, 140]. DNA polymerase variant that is found in colon cancer likely has slow polymerase activity or high infidelity in selection of the correct nucleotide [141, 142]. Two germline SNPs of the POLB gene (rs12678588 and rs3136797) have been previously identified and the variant alleles have been shown to be present in specific populations [143, 144]. The rs12678588 SNP results in a nonsynonymous amino acid substitution of glutamine for arginine at residue 137 (R137Q). Arg137 is methylated by the protein arginine N-methyltransferase 1 (PRMT1), leading to a reduction in proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) binding [145]. R137Q is a slow polymerase with decreased BER activity in cell extracts, and cells expressing this variant have increased formation of AP sites following methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) exposure [146]. However, the P242R variant of Pol β induces genomic instability and cellular transformation [144].

**Does chemoprevention strategy of colon cancer reduce DNA base damage?**

The pros and cons of the colonic microbiota may be a promising target for the development of a colon cancer therapeutic [147]. Using probiotics to modulate the altered function of microbiota or scavenge bacterial metabolites may prevent inflammation induced genomic instability and cancer. In addition, designing the strategy to increase beneficial gastrointestinal microbiota, stimulates innate and adaptive immunity likely critical for future chemoprevention approaches in colon cancer [148]. These strategies may be successful if probiotics include the host genetic and environmental factors. Alternatively, few studies have demonstrated that suppression of the inflammatory response by BER inhibitors likely promotes genomic integrity and/or delays the onset of cancer initiation [149]. Therefore, using BER inhibitor (such as PARP1 inhibitor) may possibly be an attractive target to suppress DNA damage response and excessive inflammation, acting potentially as a preventative agent for genomic instability and carcinogenesis. Therefore, the future focus will likely target inflammatory response and BER intermediates generated from the crosstalk between host base excision repair and the microbiota dynamics which will help to enable development and a more rigorous testing of probiotics as more natural and less disruptive treatments to prevent colon cancer.

**Concluding remarks**

The significance of many recent observations still needs to be established. For instance, many factors can contribute to microbiota dysbiosis, including host genetics, lifestyle, and exposure to microorganisms. Microbiota dysbiosis has the potential to cause immunological disequilibrium response that exert chronic inflammation or release RONs directly to initiate the accumulation of BER intermediates [17]. The normal function of BER is critical for the maintenance of genomic integrity and likely influences the response of the host to microbiota dysbiosis. In contrast, loss of BER function leads to accumulation of BER intermediates and inflammation in the intestine [18]. During the last few years, remarkable progress has been made in our understanding of the molecular mechanism of BER role in colon cancer. Nevertheless, many questions about the missing link of microbiota dysbiosis and genetic polymorphism of BER gene remain unanswered. Does microbiota dysbiosis first induce immunological disequilibrium or genomic instability in host gastrointestinal tract to drive cancer? Does microbiota dysbiosis decrease tumor latency for those who are the carriers of the genotype of BER germline variant? The future will uncover how microbiota dysbiosis manipulates the hosts’ genetics, and the quest for answers to these questions will occupy the field for years to come.
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