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Abstract: In this article, we use Lehmann alternative-II to extend the odd generalized exponential family. The uniqueness of this family lies in the fact that this transformation has resulted in a multitude of inverted distribution families with important applications in actuarial field. We can characterize the density of the new family as a linear combination of generalised exponential distributions, which is useful for studying some of the family’s properties. Among the structural characteristics of this family that are being identified are explicit expressions for numerous types of moments, the quantile function, stress-strength reliability, generating function, Rényi entropy, stochastic ordering, and order statistics. The maximum likelihood methodology is often used to compute the new family’s parameters. To confirm that our results are converging with reduced mean square error and biases, we perform a simulation analysis of one of the special model, namely OGE2-Fréchet. Furthermore, its application using two actuarial data sets is achieved, favoring its superiority over other competitive models, especially in risk theory.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, there has been a dramatic growth in the number of generalisations of well-known probability distributions. Most notable generalizations are achieved by (i) inducting power parameters in well established parent distributions, (ii) extending the classical distribution by modification in their functions, (iii) introducing special functions such as $W[K(x)]$ as generators and (iv) by compounding of distributions. This heaped surge of generalized families is due to the flexibility in modelling phenomenons related to the changing scenarios of contemporary scientific field including demography, actuarial, survival, biological, ecological, communication theory, epidemiology and environmental sciences. However, a clear understanding of the applicability of these models in most applied areas is necessary if one is to gain insights into systems that can be modeled as random processes. The model, thus obtained, acquires improved empirical results to the real data that is collected adaptively.

Although there exist many functions which act as generators to produce flexible classes of distributions, in this project, we will emphasize generalizations in which a ratio of survival function (sf) has been used in some form, commonly known as the odd ratio. In the reference [1], a proportional odd family viz. a viz. the Marshall Olkin-G (MO-G) was generalized by $sf K(x) = 1 - K(x)$, where $K(x)$ is the distribution function (cdf) of parent distribution, with the induction of a tilt parameter. Gleeton and Lynch, in the reference [2],...
used the odd function as generator when they defined a log odd family (OLL-G). In the reference [3], defined the odd Weibull family, as an asymptotically equivalent log-logistic model for larger values of $\theta$, the scale parameter. The reference [4] used the Transformed -Transformer (TX) family, due to the reference [5], to define odd Weibul-G families of distribution. Since then, a myriad of distributions has been generalized using odd function. Some of the important families include [6–31], among others.

Focussing on the origins and motivations of our proposed scheme, the authors in [32] proposed the odd generalized exponential family (which we refer to OGE-G) as a better alternative to generalized exponential (GE) family using Lehmann Alternative-I (LA-I). The cdf of the two parameter OGE-G family is mentioned below:

$$F_{OGE-G}(x; \alpha, \lambda, \psi) = \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda K(x; \psi)}}{K(x; \psi)} \right)^{\alpha}, \quad x > 0, \alpha > 0, \lambda > 0.$$ 

In the reference [33], an odd family of GE was proposed so-called generalized odd generalized exponential family (which we refer to OGE1-G). The cdf of OGE1-G family is presented as:

$$F_{OGE1-G}(x; \alpha, \beta, \psi) = \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda K(\alpha x; \psi)}}{1 - K(\alpha x; \psi)} \right)^{\beta}, \quad x > 0, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0.$$ 

Because of its capacity to simulate variable hazard rate function (hrf) forms of all traditional types in lifetime data analysis, we believe OGE-G offers a sensible combination of simplicity and flexibility. However, the relevance of OGE1-G to lifespan modelling in domains such as reliability, actuarial sciences, informatics, telecommunications, and computational social sciences (just to highlight a few) is still debatable. According to the reference [34], the Lehmann Alternative-II (LA2) approach has received less attention. This motivated us to use LA2 approach to develop the exponentiated odd generalized exponential (OGE2-G), in the same vein as OGE-G and OGE1-G. Adhering to the framework defined in the reference [5], if $T$ follows GE random variable (rv), then the cdf of OGE2-G family is mentioned below:

$$F_{OGE2-G}(x; \alpha, \beta, \psi) = \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{\lambda K(x; \psi)}{K(\alpha x; \psi)}} \right)^{\alpha}, \quad x > 0, \alpha, \beta > 0$$ 

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are shape parameter and $\psi$ is the vector of baseline parameter.

Consider the following points to emphasise the model’s distinctiveness; (i) In the literature, the proposed model in its current form has not been studied to the best of our knowledge, (ii) From an analytical standpoint, the OGE2-G family has a significantly better configuration and practicality than OGE-G and OGE1-G for inverted models with minimal chance to counter non-identifiability issues, (iii) The OGE2-G has several curious connections to other families. When $\alpha$ approaches 0, $F(x; \alpha, \beta, \psi)$ tends to GE with $\lambda = 1$, when $\alpha = 1$ $F(x; \alpha, \beta, \psi)$ tends to OGE-G, if $\alpha \to 0$ and $\beta = 1$ then $F(x; \alpha, \beta, \psi)$ tends to odd exponential (OE) (iv) This new dimension allowed us to explore models which are naturally constituted by LA2. The generalizations, thus attained, produced skewed distributions with much heavier tails enabling its practicality in risk evaluation theory with far better results, (v) The successful application of OGE2-G family motivates future research, as it outperforms nine well-established existing models, (vi) We present a physical explanation for $X$ when $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are integers. Consider there be a parallel system consisting of $\beta$ identically independent components. Suppose that the lifetime of a rv $Y$ with a specific $K(x; \psi)$ with $\alpha$ components in a series system such that the risk of failing at time $x$ is
represented by the odd function as $\frac{1-\mathcal{K}(x;\psi)^a}{\mathcal{K}(x;\psi)^a}$. Consider that the randomness of this risk is represented by the rv $X$, then we can assume the following relation holds

$$Pr(Y \leq x) = Pr(X \leq \frac{1 - \mathcal{R}(x;\psi)^a}{\mathcal{R}(x;\psi)^a}) = F(x;\alpha,\beta,\psi),$$

explicitly given in Equation (1). The OGE2-G family is offered and explored in this research, emphasising its diversity and scope for application to real-life phenomena. The major features of the OGE2-G family, including the pdf, hrf, qf, and ten unique models from OGE2-G family presented in Table 1, are provided in the first half. Then, certain mathematical properties of the OGE2-G such as series expansion of the exponentiated pdf, moments, parameter estimation, order statistics, Rényi entropy, stress-strength analysis and stochastic dominance results are investigated. Furthermore, Fréchet is specified as baseline model termed as OGE2 Fréchet (denoted as OGE2Fr) and the maximum likelihood (ML) technique is then used to construct statistical applications of the special model. We choose to study OGE2Fr specifically as its nested model include inverse-Rayleigh (IR) and inverse exponential (IE), favoring its suitability over sub-models as well. It is applied to fit two sets of premium data from actuarial field. Using key performance indicators, we reveal that OGE2Fr outperforms nine competing models. A portion pertinent to specific risk measures, of premium returns and the proposed model’s veracity is established. Furthermore, the estimation of risk measures for the examined data sets is then discussed, with an emphasis on the value at risk (VaR) and the expected shortfall (ES), is presented. Eventually, the estimation of risk measures for the examined data sets is then discussed, with the proposed methodology yielding a rather satisfying result. Equation (1) can be useful in modeling real life survival data with different shapes of hrf. Table 1 lists the

| Table 1. Distributions and corresponding $\frac{1-\mathcal{K}(x;\psi)^a}{\mathcal{K}(x;\psi)^a}$ functions. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Distribution** | $\frac{1-\mathcal{K}(x;\psi)^a}{\mathcal{K}(x;\psi)^a}$ | **$\psi$** |
| Fréchet ($x > 0$) | $[1 - e^{(a/x)^b}]^{-a} - 1$ | $(a, b)$ |
| Generalized exponential ($x > 0$) | $[1 - (1 - e^{-\lambda x})^b]^{-a} - 1$ | $(\lambda, b)$ |
| Power function ($0 < x < a$) | $[1 - (x/a)^b]^{-a} - 1$ | $(a, b)$ |
| Burr III ($x > 0$) | $[1 - (1 + x^{-c})^{-k}]^{-a} - 1$ | $(c, k)$ |
| Half-logistic ($x > 0$) | $[2(e^x + 1)^{-1}]^{-a} - 1$ | $(a)$ |
| Log-logistic ($x > 0$) | $[1 + (x/a)^b]^{-a} - 1$ | $(a, b)$ |
| Inverse Rayleigh ($x > 0$) | $1 - e^d/x^a$ | $-a - 1$ | $(\gamma)$ |
| Inverse Exponential ($x > 0$) | $1 - e^{-\gamma x}$ | $-a - 1$ | $(\gamma)$ |
| Normal ($-\infty < x < \infty$) | $1 - \phi(x;\mu,\sigma)$ | $-a - 1$ | $(\mu,\sigma)$ |
| Gumbel ($-\infty < x < \infty$) | $1 - e^{-e^{x/\sigma}}$ | $-a - 1$ | $(\mu,\sigma)$ |

The following is a breakdown of how the paper is constructed. In Section 2, we acquaint the readers to the new family with basic properties and ten potential baseline models which can become members of OGE2-G family. Section 3 is comprised of the mathematical properties of the OGE2-G family. Section 4 progresses by taking Fréchet (Fr) as sub-model to propose OGE2Fr and related statistical and inferential properties. Section 5 specifies two applications of actuarial data sets with emphasis on risk evaluation (premium returns) and the proposed model’s veracity is established. Furthermore, the
model is applied to compute some actuarial measures. Section 6 is the final section, with some annotations and useful insights.

2. The OGE2G Family

In this segment, basic statistical properties of the newly proposed family characterized by the cdf, in Equation (1) are presented. Functional forms of ten sub models are also defined.

2.1. Definition of pdf and hrf

The pdf in agreement with Equation (1) is given as (2).

\[ f_{OGE2-G}(x; \alpha, \beta, \psi) = \alpha \beta k(x; \psi) K(x; \psi)^{-\alpha - 1} e^{-\left\{ \frac{1 - \frac{1 - K(x; \psi)^{\alpha}}{K(x; \psi)^{\beta}}} \right\}} \]  

Using the results defined in Equations (1) and (2), the hrf is defined as

\[ \tau(x; \alpha, \beta, \psi) = \frac{f_{OGE2-G}(x; \alpha, \beta, \psi)}{1 - F_{OGE2-G}(x; \alpha, \beta, \psi)} = \alpha \beta k(x; \psi) K(x; \psi)^{-\alpha - 1} e^{-\left\{ \frac{1 - \frac{1 - K(x; \psi)^{\alpha}}{K(x; \psi)^{\beta}}} \right\}} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\left\{ \frac{1 - \frac{1 - K(x; \psi)^{\alpha}}{K(x; \psi)^{\beta}}} \right\}}} \right]^{\beta - 1} \]  

Theorem 1 shows how the OGE2 family is related to other distributions.

Theorem 1. Let \( X \sim OGE2-G(\alpha, \beta, \psi) \), then

(a) If \( Y = 1 - K(x; \psi)^{\alpha} \), then \( F_Y(y) = \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{y}{\beta}} \right)^\beta \), \( 0 < y < 1 \), and

(b) If \( Y = \frac{1 - K(x; \psi)^{\alpha}}{K(x; \psi)^{\beta}} \), then \( Y \sim GE(1, \beta) \).
3. Mathematical Properties of OGE2-G Family

To capture the family’s modelling capacity, numerous mathematical features of the OGE2-G are examined in this section. Some of the key results established in this section are then applied in Section 5.

3.1. Linear Expansion of cdf

We provide a useful expansion for (1) in terms of linear combinations of exp-G density functions using the following series expansion as

\[(1 - z)^{\eta - 1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i}{i! \Gamma(\eta - i)} z^i,\]

whereas the expansion holds for all \(|z| < 1\) and \(\eta > 0\) a non-integer value. Then, the cdf of OGE2-G class in (1) can indeed be phrased with

\[F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi_i H_\ell(x),\]

where

\[H_\ell(x) = K(\alpha; \psi)^\ell (x; \psi)\]

is the exp-G pdf with power parameter \(\ell\).

3.2. Numerous Types of Moments

The fundamental formula for the \(p\)th moment of \(X\) is supplied by (7) as

\[\mu_p = \sum_{i,j} \xi_{\ell} E(X_\ell^p),\]

where

\[E(X_\ell^p) = \int_0^\infty x^p h_\ell(x) dx.\]

Setting \(p = 1\) in (8) can provide explicit expression for the mean of several parent distributions.
A another expression for $\mu'_p$ is taken from (8) as far as the baseline qf is concerned

$$\mu'_p = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \xi_{ij} \tau(p, \ell - 1).$$

(9)

where $\tau(p, \ell - 1) = \int_0^1 Q_G(u)^p \, u^\ell \, du$.

The central moments ($\mu_p$) and cumulants ($\kappa_p$) of $X$ can follow from Equation (8) as $\mu_p = \sum_{k=0}^p \left( \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)} \right) \mu_{p-k}$ and $\kappa_0 = \mu'_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{p-1}{k-1} \kappa_k \mu'_{p-k}$ respectively, where $\kappa_1 = \mu'_1$.

The $r$th lower incomplete moment of $X$ can be determined from Equation (7) as

$$m_r(y) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \xi_{ij} \int_0^{G(y)} Q_G(u)^r \, u^r \, du.$$  (10)

For most $G$ distributions, the final integral may be calculated.

3.3. Inference Related to OGE2 Family

The strategy of maximum likelihood (MLL) approach is used to estimate the unknown parameters of the new class. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be $n$ observations from the OGE2-G density class (2) with parameter vector $\Theta = (\alpha, \beta, \psi)^\top$. Then the likelihood function $L(\alpha, \beta, \delta)$ on the domain $\Theta$ is defined as

$$L = n \log(\alpha + n \log(\beta) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log k(x_i; \psi) - (\alpha + 1) \log K(x_i; \psi) - V(x_i; \alpha, \psi) + (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left[ 1 - e^{-V(x_i; \alpha, \psi)} \right],$$

(11)

where $V(x_i; \alpha, \psi) = \frac{1 - K(x_i; \psi)^\alpha}{K(x_i; \psi)^\alpha}$.

The elements of the score vector $U(\Theta)$ are as described in the following:

$$U_\alpha = \frac{n}{\alpha} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log K(x_i; \psi) - V'(\alpha)(x_i; \alpha, \psi) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \beta - 1 \right) e^{-V(x_i; \alpha, \psi)} V'(\alpha)(x_i; \alpha, \psi) \left( 1 - e^{-V(x_i; \alpha, \psi)} \right),$$

$$U_\beta = \frac{n}{\beta} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left[ 1 - e^{-V(x_i; \alpha, \psi)} \right],$$

$$U_\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{k'(x_i; \psi)}{k(x_i; \psi)} \right] + (\alpha + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{k(x_i; \psi) k'(x_i; \psi)}{K(x_i; \psi)} \right] - V'(\delta_k)(x_i; \alpha, \psi) + (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{e^{-V(x_i; \alpha, \psi)} V'(\delta_k)(x_i; \alpha, \psi)}{1 - e^{-V(x_i; \alpha, \psi)}} \right],$$

where $V'(\alpha)(.)$ and $V'(\psi_k)(.)$ means the derivative of the function $V$ with respect to $\alpha$ and $\psi$, respectively.

The next elements are produced by the components of the score vector $J(\Theta)$. 
\[ J_{aa} = -\frac{n}{\alpha^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{k(x_i;\alpha,\beta,\psi_k) V'(\psi_k)}{K(x_i;\alpha,\beta,\psi_k)} - V''(\alpha^2) + (\beta - 1) \]
\[ \times \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-V(x_i;\alpha,\psi)} V'(x_i;\alpha,\alpha) + e^{-V(x_i;\alpha,\psi)} (V'(x_i;\alpha))^2}{(1 - e^{-V(x_i;\alpha,\psi)})^2}, \]
\[ J_{ab} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-V(x_i;\alpha,\psi)} V'(x_i;\alpha,\alpha)}{(1 - e^{-V(x_i;\alpha,\psi)})^2}, \]
\[ J_{ab} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{k(x_i;\alpha,\beta,\psi) k'(x_i;\alpha,\beta,\psi)}{K(x_i;\alpha,\beta,\psi)} - V''(\alpha^2) (x_i;\alpha,\psi) + (\beta - 1) \]
\[ \times \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\left[e^{-V(x_i;\alpha,\psi)} V'(\psi) (x_i;\alpha,\psi) - e^{-V(x_i;\alpha,\psi)} V''(\alpha^2) (x_i;\alpha,\psi)\right]}{(1 - e^{-V(x_i;\alpha,\psi)})^2} \]
\[ - (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-V(x_i;\alpha,\psi)} V'(\psi) (x_i;\alpha,\psi)}{(1 - e^{-V(x_i;\alpha,\psi)})^2} \]
\[ + (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\left[e^{-V(x_i;\alpha,\psi)} V''(\alpha^2) (x_i;\alpha,\psi) + e^{-V(x_i;\alpha,\psi)} [V''(\psi) (x_i;\alpha,\psi)]^2\right]}{(1 - e^{-V(x_i;\alpha,\psi)})^2}, \]

where \( V''(\alpha^2)(x) \) is the derivative of \( V'(\alpha)(x) \) with respect to \( \alpha \), \( V''(\alpha^2)(x) \) is the derivative of \( V'(\alpha)(x) \) with respect to \( \alpha \), and \( V''(\alpha^2))(x) \) is the derivative of \( V'(\psi)(x) \) with respect to \( \psi \).

### 3.4. Entropy

The Rényi entropy due to [36], is characterized as

\[ I_R(\gamma) = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \log \left( \int_0^\infty f'(x) dx \right), \]

Let us consider

\[ f'(x) = (\alpha \beta)^{\gamma} k'(x;\psi) K(x;\psi)^{\gamma(-\alpha - 1)} e^{-\gamma \left[ \frac{1 - K(x;\psi)\beta}{K(x;\psi)} \right]} \]
\[ \times \left\{ 1 - e^{-\frac{1 - K(x;\psi)\beta}{K(x;\psi)} \gamma(\beta - 1)} \right\}. \]
Expanding Equation (12) as in Section 3.1, the Rényi entropy reduces to

\[
I_R(\gamma) = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \log \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta_{ij} \int_0^{\infty} k^\gamma(x) R^{-(\alpha(\gamma + i - 1) - \gamma)} \, dx \right\},
\]

(13)

where \( \zeta_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{\beta} \Gamma(\gamma) \sum_{k=0}^{i} (-1)^{i+j} \left( \frac{\gamma + i - 1}{\gamma} \right) \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + i)}{\Gamma(\gamma + j)} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + i + j)}{\Gamma(\gamma + i + j + 1)} \).

3.5. Order Statistics

Assume that \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) is a random sample (RS) from the OGE2-G. Furthermore, assume that \( X_{i:n} \) denote the \( i \)th order statistic (OS). Consequently, pdf of \( X_{i:n} \) may be interpreted as

\[
f_{i:n}(x) = \frac{1}{\beta(i, n - i + 1)} f(x) F(x)^{i-1} (1 - F(x))^{n-i}.
\]

Inserting Equations (1) and (2) in the last equation, and expanding it as in Section 3.1, we get

\[
f_{i:n}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \eta_j h_m(x),
\]

(14)

where

\[
\eta_j = \frac{(-1)^{j}}{\beta(i, n - i + 1)} \left( \frac{n - i}{j} \right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \zeta_{jm}^\ast
\]

and

\[
\zeta_{jm}^\ast = (-1)^{m} \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{i+j+k}(k + 1)! \Gamma \{ \beta(i + j) \} \Gamma(\gamma + i)}{\Gamma(\gamma + j - i)! \Gamma(\gamma + j + 1)!} \left( \frac{i}{j} \right) \left( \frac{-\alpha(i + l + 1) - 1}{m} \right).
\]

3.6. Stress-Strength Reliability

Supp \( X_1 \sim \text{OGE2-G}(\alpha, \beta_1; \psi) \) and \( X_2 \sim \text{OGE2-G}(\alpha, \beta_2; \psi) \) are two continuous rvs with pdfs \( f_1(x) \) and \( f_2(x) \) and cdfs \( F_1(x) \) and \( F_2(x) \), therefore the reliability \( R \) is supplied via

\[
R = \mathbb{P}(X_1 > X_2) = \int_0^{\infty} f_1(x) F_2(x) \, dx.
\]

(15)

**Theorem 2.** Assume that \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) are two independent rvs established previously with constant parameters \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \). Eventually,

\[
R = \beta_1 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\beta_1 - 1}{i} \right) (-1)^i \Gamma(i + 1) - \beta_1 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\beta_1 - 1}{i} \right) \left( \frac{\beta_2}{j} \right) (-1)^j \Gamma(i + j + 1).
\]

(16)

**Proof.** Using Equations (1) and (2) in Equation (15), we have

\[
\int_0^{\infty} f_1(x) F_2(x) \, dx = \int_0^{\infty} \alpha_k(x; \psi) R^{-(\alpha + 1)} e^{-\frac{1}{R(x, \psi)^\alpha}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}_k \{ X(x, \psi)^\alpha \}^{i}}{\mathbb{E}_k \{ X(x, \psi)^\alpha \}^{i}} \right\} \times \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\beta_1 - 1}{i} \right) (-1)^i \left\{ 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}_k \{ X(x, \psi)^\alpha \}^{i}}{\mathbb{E}_k \{ X(x, \psi)^\alpha \}^{i}} \right\} \left( \frac{\beta_2}{j} \right) (-1)^j \left\{ 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}_k \{ X(x, \psi)^\alpha \}^{i}}{\mathbb{E}_k \{ X(x, \psi)^\alpha \}^{i}} \right\} \, dx.
\]
Equation (16) follows immediately after solving the integral with any mathematical software. □

3.7. Stochastic Ordering

Stochastic ordering has indeed been acknowledged as an essential tool for assessing comparative behavior in reliability theory and other disciplines. Assume X and Y be two rvs via cdfs, sfs and pdfs $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$, $F_1(x) = 1 - F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x) = 1 - F_2(x)$, and $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$, respectively. In the specific planning, the rv $X_1$ is considered to be lower than $X_2$:

1. Stochastic order (symbolized via $X_1 \leq_{st} X_2$) if $F_1(x) \leq F_2(x)$ for all $x$;
2. LL ratio order (symbolized via $X_1 \leq_{lr} X_2$) if $f_1(x)/f_2(x)$ is decreasing in $x \geq 0$;
3. Hazard rate order (symbolized via $X_1 \leq_{hr} X_2$) if $F_1(x)/F_2(x)$ is decreasing in $x \geq 0$;
4. Reversed hazard rate order (symbolized via $X_1 \leq_{rh} X_2$) if $F_1(x)/F_2(x)$ is decreasing in $x \geq 0$.

All these four stochastic orders studied in (1)–(4) are connected to one another as a result of [37] and the accompanying ramifications apply:

$$(X_1 \leq_{rh} X_2) \Leftrightarrow (X_1 \leq_{lr} X_2) \Rightarrow (X_1 \leq_{hr} X_2) \Rightarrow (X_1 \leq_{st} X_2).$$

when sufficient conditions are met, the OGE2-G distributions are ordered with regard to the strongest LL ratio ordering, as shown by the next theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Assume $X_1 \sim OGE2(\alpha_1, \beta; \psi)$ and $X_2 \sim OGE2(\alpha_2, \beta; \psi)$. If $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$, then $X_1 \leq_{st} X_2$.

**Proof.** First, we have the ratio

$$\frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} = \frac{\alpha_1 \beta k(x) K^{-\alpha_1 - 1} e^{-\left(\frac{x}{K}\right)^{\psi_1}} \left[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{K}\right)^{\psi_1}}\right]^{\beta - 1}}{\alpha_2 \beta k(x) K^{-\alpha_2 - 1} e^{-\left(\frac{x}{K}\right)^{\psi_2}} \left[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{K}\right)^{\psi_2}}\right]^{\beta - 1}}.$$

After simplification, we obtain

$$\frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} = \frac{\alpha_1 K^{(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)} e^{-\left(\frac{x}{K}\right)^{\psi_1}} + \left(\frac{x}{K}\right)^{\psi_1} \left[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{K}\right)^{\psi_1}}\right]^{\beta - 1}}{\alpha_2 \left[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{K}\right)^{\psi_1}}\right]^{\beta - 1}}.$$

Next,

$$\log \left[ \frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} \right] = -\log(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) + (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) \log(K) - \left\{ \frac{1 - K^{\alpha_1}}{K^{\alpha_1}} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{1 - K^{\alpha_2}}{K^{\alpha_2}} \right\} + (\beta - 1) \log \left[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{K}\right)^{\psi_1}}\right] - (\beta - 1) \log \left[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{K}\right)^{\psi_2}}\right].$$

If $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$, we obtain
where $\alpha$ is scale parameter.

Thus, $f_1(x)/f_2(x)$ is decreasing in $x$ and hence $X_1 \leq_{tr} X_2$. \hfill \square

4. OGE2-Fréchet Distribution

In this section, we study the first special model defined in Section 2.2, the OGE2-Fréchet (OGE2Fr), in view of its practical application.

The OGE2Fr model can be defined from (1) by taking $K(x; \psi) = e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\psi}\right)^b}$ and $k(x; \psi) = b a^b x^{-(b+1)} e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\psi}\right)^b}$, as cdf and pdf of the baseline Fréchet distribution with $a, b > 0$, respectively. The cdf and pdf of OGE2Fr distribution are, respectively, given by

$$F(x; a, \beta, a, b) = \left[1 - e^{-\left(1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^b}\right)^a}\right]^b, \quad x > 0, \quad a, \beta, a, b > 0, \quad (17)$$

and

$$f(x; a, \beta, a, b) = a^b a b \beta x^{-(b+1)} e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^b} \left(1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^b}\right)^{-a} \times \left[1 - e^{-\left(1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^b}\right)^a}\right]^b. \quad (18)$$

where $a$, $\beta$ and $b$ are shape parameters while $\alpha$ is scale parameter.

The hrf and qf of the OGE2Fr distribution are obtained as

$$b(x) = a^b a b \beta x^{-(b+1)} e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^b} \left[1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^b}\right]^{-a} \times \left[1 - e^{-\left(1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^b}\right)^a}\right]^{1 - \beta} \left[1 - \left(1 - e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^b}\right)^{-a}\right]^\beta. \quad (19)$$

$$Q(u) = a \left[\log \left(1 - \left[1 - \log \left(1 - u^{1/\beta}\right)\right]^{-1/\alpha}\right)\right]^{-1/b}. \quad (20)$$

Figure 1 depicts a visualisation of the pdf and hrf functions, exhibiting the range of shapes that all these functions can take at random input parametric values. The OGE2Fr distribution’s pdf can be gradually decreasing, unimodal, and right-skewed, with different curves, tail, and asymmetric aspects, as shown in Figure 1. The hrf, on the other hand, offers an extensive range of increasing, decreasing, unimodal, and increasing-decreasing-increasing (IDI) forms. Given a wide variety of hrf shapes being offered, the OGE2Fr distribution can in fact be a useful tool to model unpredictable time-to-event phenomena.

4.1. Linear Representation and Related Properties

The cdf of the OGE2Fr distribution is quite straightforward and is achieved by using the result defined in Equation (6) as

$$F(x) = F(x; a, \beta, a, b) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a \xi \left[ e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^b}\right]^{\ell} \quad \ell \geq 1, \quad (21)$$
where \( \ell \) is the power parameter and noting that \( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi_i \ell \) is unity.

For simplicity, we can rewrite the above result as

\[
F(x) = F(x : \alpha, \beta, a, b) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \xi_{\ell} e^{-\ell(a/x)^{b}}.
\]

By differentiating the last term, we can express the density of OGE2Fr model as follows

\[
f(x : \alpha, \beta, a, b) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \xi_{i} \Pi(x ; \ell, a, b),
\]  

(22)

where \( \Pi(x ; \ell, a, b) = \ell \beta a^{b} x^{-b-1} e^{-\ell(\frac{x}{a})^{b}} \) represents the Fréchet density function with power parameter \( \ell \). Equation (22) enforces the fact that OGE2Fr density is a linear combination of Fréchet densities. Thus, we can derive various mathematical properties using Fréchet distribution.

![Figure 1. (a) Plots of density and (b) hazard rate of the OGE2Fr model for some random parameter values.](image)

Moments are the heart and soul of any statistical analysis. Moments can be used to evaluate the most essential characteristics such as mean, variance, skewness, and kurtosis of a distribution. We now directly present the mathematical expressions for the moments of OGE2Fr model as follows. Let \( Y \) be a random variable with density \( \Pi(x ; \ell, a, b) \). Then, core properties of \( X \) can follow from those of \( Y \). First, the \( p \)th ordinary moment of \( X \) can be written as

\[
\mu_{p} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \xi_{i} \ell a^{p} \frac{\ell^{1-p/b}}{\Gamma(1-p/b)},
\]

(23)

Second, the cumulants (\( \kappa_{p} \)) of \( X \) can be determined recursively from (23) as \( \kappa_{3} = \mu_{3} - \sum_{k=1}^{3} \left( \frac{3-1}{k-1} \right) \kappa_{k} \mu_{3-k} \), respectively, where \( \kappa_{1} = \mu_{1} \). The skewness \( \gamma_{1} = \kappa_{3}/\kappa_{2}^{3/2} \) and kurtosis \( \gamma_{2} = \kappa_{4}/\kappa_{2}^{2} \) of \( X \) can be calculated from the third and fourth standardized cumulants. Plots of mean, variance, skewness and kurtosis of the OGE2Fr distribution are displayed in Figure 2. These plots signifies the significant role of the parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) in modeling the behaviors of \( X \).

Third, the \( p \)th incomplete moment of \( X \), denoted by \( m_{p}(y) = E(X^{p} \mid X \leq y) = \int_{0}^{y} x^{p} f_{OGE2Fr}(x)dx \), is easily found changing variables from the lower incomplete gamma function \( \gamma(v, u x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{v-1} e^{-u x}dx \) when calculating the corresponding moment of \( Y \).

Then, we obtain

\[
m_{p}(y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \xi_{i} a^{p} \frac{\ell^{1+p/b}}{\Gamma(1-p/b)} \Gamma \left( 1 - \frac{p}{b}, \ell \left( \frac{a}{x} \right)^{b} \right).
\]

(24)
Fourth, the first incomplete moment $m_1(z)$ is used to construct the Bonferroni and Lorenz curves as discussed in Section 3.2. Figure 3 provides the income inequality curves (Bonferroni & Lorenz) of the proposed distribution which can easily be derived from (24), respectively, where $q = Q_1(\pi)$ is the qf of $X$ derived from Equation (20).

Figure 2. Plots of (a) mean, (b) variance, (c) skewness and (d) kurtosis of the OGE2Fr distribution.

Figure 3. Plots of (a) Bonferroni curve and (b) Lorenz curve of OGE2Fr model.
4.2. Parameter Estimation

Let \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) be a sample of size \( n \) from the OGE2Fr distribution given in Equation (18). The log-likelihood function \( \ell = \ell(\Theta) \) for the vector of parameters \( \Theta = (\alpha, \beta, a, b) \) is

\[
\ell = n b \log(a) + n \log(\alpha \beta b) - (b + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{a}{x_i} \right)^b + n \left[ 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right]^{-a} \\
- (\alpha + 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left( 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right) + (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left( 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right)^{-a}.
\]

(25)

The components of score vectors \( U(\Theta) \) are

\[
U_\alpha = \frac{n}{a} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left( 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right]^{-a} \log \left( 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right) \\
+ (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right\}^{-a} \log \left( 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right),
\]

\[
U_\beta = \frac{n}{\beta} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left( 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right)^{-a},
\]

\[
U_a = \frac{n b}{a} - a b \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-b} - \frac{a b (\alpha + 1)}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i^{-b}}{e^{\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} - 1} - a b a \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i^{-b} \left\{ 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right\}^{-a}}{1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b}} \\
+ \frac{\alpha (\beta - 1) a b}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i^{-b} \left\{ 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right\}^{-a}}{1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \left\{ 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right\}^{-a}},
\]

\[
U_b = \frac{n}{b} + n \log(a) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(x_i) - a b \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{-b} \log \left( \frac{a}{x_i} \right) \\
- (\alpha + 1) a b \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i^{-b} \log \left( \frac{a}{x_i} \right)}{e^{\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} - 1} + a \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i^{-b} \log \left( \frac{a}{x_i} \right) \left\{ 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right\}^{-a}}{e^{\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} - 1} \\
+ a a (\beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i^{-b} \log \left( \frac{a}{x_i} \right) \left\{ 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right\}^{-a}}{e^{\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} - 1} \left\{ 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{a}{x_i}\right)^b} \right\}^{-a}.
\]

4.3. Order Statistics

For a random sample of \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) taken from the OGE2Fr distribution with \( X_{i:n} \) as the \( i \)th order statistic. For \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n \), the pdf corresponding to \( X_{i:n} \) can be expressed as
where $f(x)$ and $F(x)$ are the pdf and cdf of OGE2Fr distribution, respectively. Inserting Equations (17) and (18), and using the result defined in Section 3, we have

$$f_{i,a}(x) = \frac{1}{\beta(i,n-i+1)} f(x) F(x)^{i-1} \{1 - F(x)\}^{n-i},$$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta(i,n-i+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} (-1)^j \binom{n-i}{j} f(x) F(x)^{j+i-1},$$

where $f(x)$ and $F(x)$ are the pdf and cdf of OGE2Fr distribution, respectively. Inserting Equations (17) and (18), and using the result defined in Section 3, we have

$$f_{i,a}(x; m, a, b) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \eta_j m b a^b x^{-b-1} e^{-m(a/x)^b},$$

where

$$\eta_j = \frac{(-1)^j}{\beta(i,n-i+1)} \binom{n-i}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \zeta_m^n$$

and

$$\zeta_m = (-1)^m \sum_{i,k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{i} \frac{(-1)^{i+k+l}(k+1)!\Gamma\{\beta(i+j)\}}{i!k!\Gamma\{\beta(i+j) - k\}} \binom{i}{l} \left(-\alpha(i+l+1) - 1\right).$$

$f_{i,a}(x; m, a, b)$ is the probability density function of the OGE2Fr distribution with parameters $m, a, b$.

4.4. Stochastic Ordering

In several areas of probability and statistics, stochastic ordering and disparities are being adhered to at an accelerating rate. For example, in analyzing the contrast of investment returns to random cash flows; two manufacturers may use distinct technologies to make gadgets with the same function, resulting in non-identical life distributions or comparing the strength of dependent structures. Here, we use the term stochastic ordering to refer to any ordering relation on a space of probability measures in a wide sense. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two rvs from OGE2Fr distributions, with assumptions previously mentioned in Section 3. Given that $a_1 < a_2$, and for $X_1 \leq_{tr} X_2$, $f_1(x)/f_2(x)$ shall be decreasing in $x$ if and only if the following result holds Let $X$ and $Y$ be two rvs from OGE2Fr distributions, with assumptions previously mentioned in Section 3. Given that $a_1 < a_2$, and for $X_1 \leq_{tr} X_2$, $f_1(x)/f_2(x)$ shall be decreasing in $x$ if the following result holds

$$\frac{d}{dx} \log \left[ \frac{f_1(x)}{f_2(x)} \right] = (a_2 - a_1) b a^b x^{-(b+1)} e^{-(a/x)^b} \left[ 1 - e^{-(a/x)^b} \right]^{-1} \times \left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 \left(1 - e^{-(a/x)^b}\right)^{-a_1} \\ e^{- \left(1 - e^{-(a/x)^b}\right)^{-a_1} - 1} \end{array} \right]$$

$$+ (\beta - 1) b a^b x^{-(b+1)} e^{-(a/x)^b} \left[ 1 - e^{-(a/x)^b} \right]^{-1} \times \left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha_1 \left(1 - e^{-(a/x)^b}\right)^{-a_2} \\ e^{- \left(1 - e^{-(a/x)^b}\right)^{-a_2} - 1} \end{array} \right] < 0.$$
• \( \omega_1: \alpha = 0.3, \beta = 1.7, a = 0.8 \) and \( b = 0.5 \);
• \( \omega_2: \alpha = 0.5, \beta = 1.5, a = 0.8 \) and \( b = 0.7 \);
• \( \omega_3: \alpha = 1.5, \beta = 2.4, a = 1.5 \) and \( b = 0.5 \).

We use Equation (20) to generate the random observations. For each \( \omega_i \), the empirical bias and MSE values are the average of the values from \( N = 1000 \) simulated samples for given sample size \( n \). The formula to evaluate the mean squared error (MSEs) and the average bias (Bias) of each parameter, is given below:

\[
MSE(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\hat{\theta}_i - \theta)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad Bias(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\theta}_i - \theta.
\]

We report the results of the AE, Bias and MSE for the parameters \( \alpha, \beta, a \) and \( b \) in Table 2. The MSE of the estimators increases when the assumed model deviates from the genuine model, as anticipated. When the sample size grows larger and the symmetry degrades, the MSE shrinks. Generally speaking, the MSE decreases when the sample size grows. Similarly, when the asymmetry rises, the bias grows, and vice versa. As the kurtosis grows, the bias becomes smaller. In conclusion, it is apparent that the MSEs and Biases decrease when the sample size \( n \) increases. Thus, we can say that the MLEs perform satisfactorily well in estimating the parameters of the OGE2Fr distribution.

Table 2. AEs, MSEs and Biases for \( \omega_1, \omega_2 \& \omega_3 \).

| \( n \) | \( \theta \) | AE   | MSE   | Bias  | AE   | MSE   | Bias  | AE   | MSE   | Bias  |
|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| 50    | \( \alpha \) | 1.14 | 2.267 | 0.982 | 1.97 | 0.997 | 1.787 | 2.14 | 2.892 | 0.447 |
|       | \( \beta \)   | 2.27 | 1.367 | 0.531 | 2.14 | 1.459 | 0.508 | 3.04 | 1.667 | 0.771 |
|       | \( a \)     | 1.70 | 1.109 | 0.539 | 1.45 | 1.517 | 0.621 | 2.12 | 1.486 | 0.541 |
|       | \( b \)     | 1.23 | 0.997 | 0.408 | 1.67 | 0.899 | 0.447 | 1.19 | 1.035 | 0.546 |
| 100   | \( \alpha \) | 0.65 | 1.892 | 0.877 | 1.88 | 0.901 | 1.205 | 2.02 | 2.793 | 0.420 |
|       | \( \beta \)   | 2.03 | 1.213 | 0.509 | 1.99 | 1.312 | 0.472 | 2.91 | 1.522 | 0.656 |
|       | \( a \)     | 1.31 | 1.092 | 0.511 | 1.11 | 1.349 | 0.555 | 1.93 | 1.397 | 0.502 |
|       | \( b \)     | 0.82 | 0.901 | 0.388 | 1.40 | 0.835 | 0.420 | 1.08 | 0.923 | 0.522 |
| 300   | \( \alpha \) | 0.52 | 1.407 | 0.853 | 1.56 | 0.866 | 0.773 | 1.72 | 2.771 | 0.407 |
|       | \( \beta \)   | 2.33 | 1.809 | 0.477 | 1.83 | 1.242 | 0.443 | 2.67 | 1.487 | 0.629 |
|       | \( a \)     | 1.19 | 0.934 | 0.483 | 0.92 | 1.293 | 0.507 | 1.86 | 1.203 | 0.488 |
|       | \( b \)     | 0.78 | 0.866 | 0.363 | 1.32 | 0.801 | 0.417 | 0.87 | 0.847 | 0.497 |
| 400   | \( \alpha \) | 0.39 | 0.775 | 0.639 | 0.89 | 0.519 | 0.651 | 1.55 | 1.636 | 0.374 |
|       | \( \beta \)   | 1.89 | 0.686 | 0.393 | 1.59 | 0.897 | 0.370 | 2.53 | 0.883 | 0.409 |
|       | \( a \)     | 0.93 | 0.473 | 0.411 | 0.85 | 0.945 | 0.477 | 1.59 | 0.860 | 0.359 |
|       | \( b \)     | 0.55 | 0.519 | 0.287 | 0.81 | 0.569 | 0.374 | 0.57 | 0.580 | 0.338 |
| 500   | \( \alpha \) | 0.32 | 0.701 | 0.497 | 0.54 | 0.298 | 0.455 | 1.48 | 1.475 | 0.325 |
|       | \( \beta \)   | 1.67 | 0.529 | 0.376 | 0.51 | 0.774 | 0.323 | 2.46 | 0.661 | 0.373 |
|       | \( a \)     | 0.81 | 0.355 | 0.337 | 0.83 | 0.670 | 0.435 | 1.52 | 0.663 | 0.323 |
|       | \( b \)     | 0.49 | 0.393 | 0.264 | 0.75 | 0.411 | 0.325 | 0.49 | 0.444 | 0.317 |
| 600   | \( \alpha \) | 0.31 | 0.472 | 0.316 | 0.51 | 0.252 | 0.424 | 1.45 | 1.437 | 0.311 |
|       | \( \beta \)   | 1.65 | 0.333 | 0.288 | 0.49 | 0.554 | 0.311 | 2.45 | 0.516 | 0.361 |
|       | \( a \)     | 0.80 | 0.271 | 0.298 | 0.80 | 0.655 | 0.409 | 1.50 | 0.577 | 0.305 |
|       | \( b \)     | 0.50 | 0.313 | 0.224 | 0.71 | 0.405 | 0.317 | 0.50 | 0.440 | 0.313 |

5. Application of OGE2Fr to Premium Data

Most skewed distributions are suitable to measure risk measures associated with actuarial data. The risks involve credit, portfolio, capital, premiums losses, and stocks prices among others. We focus our attention on the stakes based on premiums. Premiums are the payments for insurance that the customer pay to the company to which they are insured. In this section, we apply the OGE2Fr lifetime model for the statistical analysis of...
two real life data sets both of which include premium losses. Our aim is to compare the fits of the OGE2Fr model with other well-known generalizations of the Fréchet (Fr) models given in Table 3.

The first premium data set, designated as PD1, is derived from complaints upheld against vehicle insurance firms as a proportion of their overall business over a two-year period. The study was conducted by DFR (Darla Fry Ross) insurance and investment company (2009–2016), registered in New York state. The most common complaints are over delays in the settlement of no-fault claims and non-renewal of insurance. Top of the list are insurers with the fewest upheld complaints per million USD of premiums. The companies with the greatest complaint ratios are at the bottom of the list. The data understudy is from the year 2016. The second premium data, denoted by PD2, signifies the net premiums written (in billions of USD) to insurers which, under Article 41 of the New York Insurance Law, are required to meet minimum financial security requirements. Table 4: Descriptives statistics of PD1 and PD2.

Table 3. The comparative fitted models.

| Distribution | Author(s)                                                                 | $\Theta$               |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| BFr          | Nadarajah and Gupta [38]; Barreto and Souza [39]                          | ($a, \beta, a, b$)     |
| KwFr         | Cordiero et al. [40]                                                       | ($a, \beta, a, b$)     |
| EGFr         | Cordiero et al. [41]                                                       | ($a, \beta, a, b$)     |
| MOFr         | Krishna et al. [42]                                                        | ($\theta, a, b$)       |
| ERr          | Nadarajah and Kotz [43]                                                    | ($\theta, a, b$)       |
| GaFr         | Da Silva et al. [44]                                                       | ($\gamma, a, b$)       |
| TLFr         | Abbas et al. [45]                                                          | ($\theta, a, b$)       |
| OLiFr        | Silva et al. [29]                                                          | ($\lambda, a, b$)      |
| Fr           | Fréchet [46]                                                               | ($a, b$)               |

Table 4. The descriptive statistics related to PD1 & PD2.

| Data | Sample Size | $\mu$ | $\sigma$ | Lowest | Highest | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|------|-------------|-------|----------|--------|---------|----------|----------|
| PD1  | 89          | 14.08 | 638.38   | 1.048  | 204.17  | 5.31     | 34.97    |
| PD2  | 34          | 3629.40 | 59,372,161 | 7.567 | 36,502.53 | 3.06 | 9.18|

The OGE2Fr model is validated through the discriminatory criterions (DCs) we considered for each data set. It includes the negative log-likelihood ($\hat{-\ell}$) of the model taken at the corresponding MLEs, the Akaike Information Criterion (AICs), Bayesian Information Criterion (BICs), Anderson-Darling (AD), Cramér-von Mises (CvM), and Kolmogrov-Smirnov (KS) as well as the $p$-value (P-KS) of the related KS test. We use the method of maximum likelihood estimation to estimate the unknown parameters as presented in Section 4.2. For each criterion (except $p$-value (KS)) with highest value), the smallest values is gained by the OGE2Fr model, indicating the best fit among its competitive models.

Some descriptive statistics related to these data are given in Table 4. The skewness and kurtosis are indicative of exponentially tailed data (reversed-J shape). The TTT plots for the both data sets are given in Figure 4. In particular, the TTT plots show largely decreasing hrf, permitting to fit OGE2Fr model on these data sets. The estimated hrf in Figure 5 matches Figure 4. In Table 5, we present the estimates (MLEs) along with their respective standard errors(SEs) while the DCs are listed in Table 6 for PD1 & PD2, respectively. For a more visual view, the estimated pdf, cdf, sf and Q-Q plots of the OGE2Fr model for two data sets are displayed in Figures 6 and 7. Furthermore, the PP-plots of OGE2Fr and its three other competitive 4-parameter models for PD1 and PD2 are displayed in Figures 8 and 9. The log-likelihood function profiles for PD1 and PD2, respectively, are provided in Figures 10 and 11 to highlight the universality of the MLEs of $\Theta$ vector. The graphical visualizations are indicative of nice fits for the OGE2Fr model.
Figure 4. TTT plots of (a) PD1 and (b) PD2.

Table 5. Estimates and standard errors for PD1 & PD2.

| Distribution | Θ      | MLE(PD1) | SE(PD1) | MLE(PD2) | SE(PD2) |
|--------------|--------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| OGE2Fr       | α      | 0.065    | 0.004   | 2.020    | 0.649   |
|              | β      | 1.177    | 0.145   | 0.298    | 0.143   |
|              | a      | 2.336    | 0.099   | 24.064   | 19.485  |
|              | b      | 5.685    | 0.109   | 0.327    | 0.124   |
| BFr          | α      | 5.623    | 4.692   | 30.671   | 13.743  |
|              | β      | 1.699    | 1.324   | 34.622   | 16.797  |
|              | a      | 0.855    | 0.646   | 11.391   | 9.267   |
|              | b      | 0.759    | 0.453   | 0.175    | 0.126   |
| KwFr         | α      | 6.581    | 4.779   | 6.282    | 3.189   |
|              | β      | 1.409    | 1.161   | 15.235   | 4.679   |
|              | a      | 0.606    | 0.496   | 5.735    | 3.726   |
|              | b      | 0.858    | 0.390   | 0.157    | 0.124   |
| EGFr         | α      | 12.927   | 9.199   | 6.758    | 5.059   |
|              | β      | 62.076   | 36.099  | 53.635   | 39.338  |
|              | a      | 21.399   | 17.982  | 22.629   | 16.084  |
|              | b      | 0.158    | 0.119   | 0.106    | 0.091   |
| MOFr         | θ      | 105.267  | 67.873  | 105.566  | 88.076  |
|              | a      | 0.060    | 0.028   | 0.302    | 0.224   |
|              | b      | 14.866   | 0.177   | 0.723    | 0.107   |
| EFr          | θ      | 1.409    | 1.161   | 1.097    | 0.554   |
|              | a      | 5.442    | 4.611   | 167.306  | 163.093 |
|              | b      | 0.858    | 0.391   | 0.452    | 0.128   |
| GaFr         | γ      | 5.642    | 3.889   | 14.715   | 5.016   |
|              | a      | 0.186    | 0.460   | 0.008    | 0.002   |
|              | b      | 2.060    | 0.769   | 1.603    | 0.395   |
| TLFr         | θ      | 0.075    | 0.009   | 0.122    | 0.098   |
|              | a      | 53.724   | 46.329  | 78.317   | 54.389  |
|              | b      | 0.953    | 0.114   | 0.425    | 0.089   |
| OLiFr        | λ      | 23.260   | 14.666  | 35.788   | 8.969   |
|              | a      | 6.036    | 0.653   | 8.583    | 1.458   |
|              | b      | 0.274    | 0.049   | 0.124    | 0.050   |
| Fr           | a      | 4.178    | 0.564   | 10.630   | 3.207   |
|              | b      | 1.042    | 0.087   | 0.469    | 0.061   |
Figure 5. Estimated hazard rate plots of (a) PD1 and (b) PD2 of OGE2Fr.

Table 6. The statistics $\hat{\ell}$, AIC, CAIC, BIC, HQIC, AD, CvM, KS and p-value (KS) for the PD1 & PD2.

| Distribution | $\hat{\ell}$ | AIC | CAIC | BIC | HQIC | AD | CvM | KS | p-Value (KS) |
|--------------|-------------|-----|------|-----|------|----|-----|----|-------------|
| Premium data 1 |             |     |      |     |      |    |     |    |             |
| OGE2Fr       | 302.22      | 612.44 | 612.92 | 622.39 | 616.45 | 0.38 | 0.077 | 0.074 | 0.69          |
| BFr          | 306.63      | 621.25 | 621.72 | 631.23 | 625.27 | 0.86 | 0.158 | 0.093 | 0.39          |
| KwFr         | 306.70      | 621.40 | 621.85 | 631.35 | 625.42 | 0.88 | 0.164 | 0.092 | 0.41          |
| EGFr         | 306.76      | 621.51 | 621.99 | 631.47 | 625.52 | 0.84 | 0.152 | 0.098 | 0.34          |
| MOFr         | 311.09      | 628.17 | 628.46 | 635.64 | 631.18 | 1.12 | 0.178 | 0.110 | 0.22          |
| EFr          | 306.70      | 619.40 | 619.69 | 626.86 | 622.41 | 0.88 | 0.164 | 0.092 | 0.41          |
| GaFr         | 305.72      | 617.44 | 617.72 | 624.90 | 620.44 | 0.77 | 0.146 | 0.090 | 0.45          |
| TLFr         | 305.65      | 617.29 | 617.58 | 624.76 | 620.30 | 0.71 | 0.133 | 0.090 | 0.43          |
| OLiFr        | 308.403     | 622.81 | 623.09 | 630.24 | 625.80 | 0.981 | 0.140 | 0.116 | 0.17          |
| Fr           | 306.79      | 617.58 | 617.72 | 622.56 | 619.59 | 0.95 | 0.180 | 0.094 | 0.39          |
| Premium data 2 |             |     |      |     |      |    |     |    |             |
| OGE2Fr       | 286.09      | 580.18 | 581.56 | 586.29 | 582.26 | 0.18 | 0.027 | 0.083 | 0.96          |
| BFr          | 287.43      | 582.87 | 584.25 | 588.97 | 584.95 | 0.29 | 0.042 | 0.101 | 0.84          |
| KwFr         | 287.45      | 582.89 | 584.27 | 588.99 | 584.97 | 0.30 | 0.044 | 0.100 | 0.86          |
| EGFr         | 288.21      | 584.41 | 585.79 | 590.52 | 586.50 | 0.39 | 0.053 | 0.102 | 0.84          |
| MOFr         | 288.66      | 583.32 | 584.11 | 587.89 | 584.86 | 0.40 | 0.060 | 0.103 | 0.83          |
| EFr          | 288.70      | 583.40 | 584.20 | 587.98 | 584.96 | 0.47 | 0.063 | 0.119 | 0.68          |
| GaFr         | 287.62      | 584.24 | 582.04 | 585.82 | 582.81 | 0.33 | 0.045 | 0.101 | 0.85          |
| TLFr         | 287.70      | 581.39 | 582.19 | 585.97 | 582.96 | 0.35 | 0.047 | 0.102 | 0.84          |
| OLiFr        | 287.52      | 581.54 | 581.84 | 586.62 | 582.60 | 0.310 | 0.077 | 0.094 | 0.894         |
| Fr           | 288.79      | 581.58 | 581.97 | 584.63 | 582.62 | 0.49 | 0.065 | 0.104 | 0.82          |

Figure 6. Cont.
Figure 6. Estimated (a) density, (b) cdf, (c) sf, and (d) QQ-plot for PD1.

Figure 7. Estimated (a) density (b) cdf (c) sf, and (d) QQ-plot for PD2.
Figure 8. PP-plots of (a) OGE2Fr alongside competitive (b) BFr, (c) KwFr and (d) EGFr (4-parameter models) for PD1.

Figure 9. Cont.
Figure 9. PP-plots of (a) OGE2Fr alongside competitive (b) BRf, (c) KwFr and (d) EGFr (4-parameter models) for PD2.

Figure 10. Profiles of the log-likelihood function for the parameters $\alpha$, $\beta$, $a$ and $b$, respectively, of the OGE2Fr for the PD1.

5.1. Actuarial Measures

One of the most important duties of actuarial sciences organizations is to assess market risk in a portfolio of instruments, which originates from changes in underlying factors such as equities prices, interest rates, or currency rates. One of the most important duties of actuarial sciences organizations is to assess market risk in a portfolio of instruments, which originates from changes in underlying factors such as equities prices, interest rates, or currency rates. We compute several important risk measures for the suggested distribution in this section, such as Value at Risk (VaR) and Expected Shortfall (ES), which are important in portfolio optimization under uncertainty.
5.1.1. Value at Risk

The quantile premium principal of the distribution of aggregate losses, commonly known as Value at risk (VaR), is the most widely used measure to evaluate exposure to risk in finance. VaR of a rv is the $p$th quantile of its cdf. If $X \sim \text{OGE2Fr}$ denotes a random variable with cdf (17), then its VaR is

$$
\text{VaR}_p = \left[a\left(-\log\left(1 - \left[1 - \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1/\beta}}\right)^{-1/\alpha}\right]\right)^{-1/\alpha}\right)\right]^{-1/b}.
$$

(27)

5.1.2. Expected Shortfall

Artzner et al. [47,48] recommended the use of conditional VaR instead of VaR, famously called Expected Shortfall (ES). The ES is a metric that quantifies the average loss in situations where the VaR level is exceeded. It is defined by the following expression

$$
\text{ES}_p = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^p \text{VaR}_x \, dx, \quad \text{where} \quad 0 < p < 1.
$$

The ES of OGE2Fr is given by

$$
\text{ES}_p = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^p \left[a\left(-\log\left(1 - \left[1 - \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1/\beta}}\right)^{-1/\alpha}\right]\right)^{-1/\alpha}\right)\right]^{-1/b} \, dx.
$$

(28)

Figure 12 illustrates VaR and ES for some random parameter combinations of OGE2Fr.

5.1.3. Numerical Calculation of VaR and ES

The results of OGE2Fr presented in Section 4 allowed us to further explore its application to these risk measures. From Table 5, we take the values of MLEs of PD1 and PD2, respectively, to measure the volatility associated with these measures. Higher values
of these risk measures signify heavier tails while lower values indicate a much lighter tail behavior of the model. It is worth mentioning that the OGE2Fr model produced substantially more significant results than its counterparts, indicating that the model has a heavier tail. In Table 7, we show the numerical results of VaRs and ESs of PD1 and PD2, respectively, of the proposed model. For the convenience of the reader, Figure 13 show the results graphically.

![Figure 12](image1.png)

**Figure 12.** Plots of (a) VaR (b) ES for some parameter values.

![Figure 13](image2.png)

**Figure 13.** Estimated VaR (a,c) with ES (b,d) for PD1 & PD2.
Table 7. Numerical measures of VaRs and ESs of PD1 & PD2.

| Level of Significance | VaRs(PD1) | ESs(PD1) | VaRs(PD2) | ESs(PD2) |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| 0.55                  | 6.782     | 3.245    | 698.117   | 184.508  |
| 0.60                  | 8.023     | 3.589    | 965.385   | 237.819  |
| 0.65                  | 9.581     | 3.988    | 1343.206  | 307.461  |
| 0.70                  | 11.588    | 4.456    | 1887.655  | 399.670  |
| 0.75                  | 14.263    | 5.016    | 2693.364  | 523.906  |
| 0.80                  | 18.005    | 5.704    | 3932.723  | 695.305  |
| 0.85                  | 23.638    | 6.581    | 5957.838  | 1309.704 |
| 0.90                  | 33.238    | 7.768    | 9636.239  | 1933.041 |
| 0.95                  | 54.610    | 9.578    | 18,069.512| 3659.730 |

6. Discussion

The OGE2-G class of distribution is proposed and studied with some mathematical properties such as ordinary and incomplete moments, mean deviations and generating functions. The maximum likelihood approach is used to estimate the model parameters. Then, we focussed our attention to one of the special member of the family defined with the Fréchet distribution, called the OGE2Fr distribution. We established the optimized maximum likelihood methodology in particular, with the goal of effectively estimating model parameters and validated their convergence by a simulation study, ensuring that the projections have asymptotic properties. To demonstrate the potentiality of the proposed model, two applications to real data sets are provided. The creation of various regression models, Bayesian parameter estimates, and studies of new data sets will all be part of a future effort. We feel that the OGE2-G family can be useful for professionals in statistical analyses beyond the scope of this research because of its several other features.
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The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

GE generalized exponential
LA-I Lehmann alternatives I
LA-II Lehmann alternatives II
OGE-G Odd generalized exponential
OGE1-G generalized odd generalized exponential distribution
OGE2-G generalized Odd generalized exponential distribution
sf survival function
hrf hazard rate function
pdf probability density function
cdf cumulative distribution function
rv random variable
OGE2Fr generalized Odd generalized exponential distribution
Fr Fréchet
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