Viral Etiologies of Meningitis in Patients with Presumed Pyogenic Meningitis at University Hospitals in Ethiopia
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Introduction
Viral meningitis is common in most resource-limited settings, posing a challenge for the management and prognosis of suspected patients. No study has been done on the detection of either viral or viral–bacterial co-infection among presumed pyogenic meningitis cases in Ethiopia. We, therefore, aimed to determine the distribution of cytomegalovirus (CMV) and human enteroviruses (HEVs) among patients with presumptive pyogenic meningitis at University hospitals in Ethiopia.

Methods: Viral nucleic acid was extracted from 86 repository CSF samples, which were collected from patients presumptively diagnosed with pyogenic meningitis between 2012 and 2013. PCR was done consecutively to investigate the possible viral etiologic agents of meningitis.

Results: HEVs were detected in 11 (12.8%) of the analyzed samples while none of the 86 samples were tested positive for CMV. Viral-bacterial co-infections were found among 4/11 (36.4%) confirmed cases. The majority of the patients (10/11) with HEVs were younger aged ≤ 19 years old.

Conclusions: In this study, the magnitude of HEVs was shown to have a significant role in presumed pyogenic meningitis cases. Therefore, we recommend presumed pyogenic meningitis cases to be inspected for viral etiologies and improve meningeval symptoms interpretations.
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Introduction
Aseptic meningitis has been posing a challenge globally. Depending mainly on the immune status, the age of the patient, as well as the specific viral agent causing the disease, the illness can range from an asymptomatic course to a serious case with possible fatal outcomes. Of the many infectious and non-infectious agents, viruses are the most common causes of aseptic meningitis. Human enteroviruses (HEVs) have been reported as the primary cause of viral meningitis, accounting for more than 85% of all global cases, while human herpesviruses (mainly HHV-1 to 5) have been causing 4% of the meningitis cases globally. Patients with presumed pyogenic meningitis are more likely to acquire respiratory viral infections, such as HEVs. Such incidences were observed in past meningococcal meningitis epidemics.

The overlapping clinical features of meningitis caused by different etiologic agents is a diagnostic problem in developing countries including Ethiopia owing to
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a shortage of finance, expendable supplies, and laboratory facilities. The clinicians from developing settings mainly depend on clinical investigation and their medical judgments and experience to distinguish between bacterial and viral meningitis, and these clinical assessments could result in misdiagnosis. The turbid CSF may not guarantee the absence of viral etiologies of meningitis. Therefore, identifying viral and bacterial etiologic agents of meningitis is an essential step for better management and prognosis of suspected patients. In our previous study, about 67% of patients with presumptive pyogenic meningitis tested negative for the common bacterial etiologies. This study was aimed at determining the magnitude and etiologic agents of viral meningitis among patients presumptively diagnosed as pyogenic meningitis.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Setting**

A cross-sectional study was conducted on repository samples, which were collected from three teaching hospitals in Ethiopia; Tikur Anbessa specialized hospital, Hawassa University teaching hospital, and University of Gondar hospital in collaboration with Armauer Hanson Research Institute (AHRI). All of these institutions are full-fledged government-affiliated University hospitals serving as referral hospitals and are located in the meningitis belt of the Africa region. Between 2012 and 2013, CSF samples were collected from patients suspected of pyogenic meningitis, as part of the clinical workup and consecutively stored at AHRI.

**Inclusion Criteria**

Pyogenic/bacterial meningitis is defined by the presence of polymorphonuclear leukocytes (pus cells) in CSF, turbid appearance of CSF besides the meningeal symptoms. However, our previous study included not only turbid but also clear CSF samples for bacterial detection, as clearness of CSF could not assure the absence of bacterial causes of meningitis. Hence, all patients who were clinically diagnosed with pyogenic meningitis in 2012/2013 at three teaching hospitals that had a stored CSF sample and complete data record were consecutively included in this study regardless of their sex and age. Accordingly, a total of 86 CSF samples with complete data were included in the current study. These samples were previously characterized as PCR positive (32) and PCR Negative (54) for bacterial etiologies in our previous study. The three common bacterial etiologies previously detected among those PCR positive were *N. meningitidis, S. pneumoniae*, and *H. influenzae*.

**Cytomegalovirus PCR Amplification**

DNA was first extracted using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instruction. Conventional PCR was then carried out in a 25 μL reaction mix consisting of 12.5 μL Platinum™ Hot Start PCR Master Mix (Invitrogen; the United States), 1 μL of each (10 μM) HCMV forward primer (5’-TCGACGGTTCCACACAGATG-3’) and HCMV reverse primer (5’-GTGTTAGACGGCAGAAAGG-3’), 5.5 μL molecular grade water, and 5 μL of template DNA. The thermal cycling condition includes initial denaturation at 95 °C for 15 min, 35 cycles with denaturation at 95 °C for 30 seconds, annealing at 60 °C for 30 sec, and elongation at 72°C for 45 sec followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 10 minutes. 2% agarose gel electrophoresis was performed to confirm the amplified PCR product (729 bp).

**Human Enteroviruses RT-PCR**

RNA was extracted using the Abbott Real-time HIV-1 M2000rt machine (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, USA). Two-step RT-PCR was conducted for the amplification of HEVs. HEVs specific primers used were PanEV2-5’NTR F: 5’-CATGGTCGAAGCTGCGATTG A-3’ and PanEV2-5’NTR R: 5’-CACCCAAGTAGTC GGTTCGC-3’ (144bp) to detect all HEVs. Reverse transcription was performed in a 20 μL reaction mix; with 15 μL master mix composed of 2 μL of 10x RT buffer, 1 μL of 10 mM each dNTP, 2 μL of reverse primer (10 μM), 0.5 μL of RNase inhibitor (40 units/μL), 1 μL of Omniscript reverse transcriptase, 8.5 μL RNase-free water and 5 μL template RNA. The reaction mixture was then incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes to synthesis cDNA. PCR amplification was done by adding 5 μL cDNA to 15 μL PCR master mix (constituting of 10 μL hot start mix, 1 μL of forward and reverse primer each [10 μM] and 3 μL molecular grade water). The thermal cycling was adjusted at 95°C for 15 minutes, and 45 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 3s, followed by annealing and extension at 60 °C for 60 seconds. The amplicon was visualized on 1.5% agarose gel. This protocol was modified from a previously published article.
Table 1 Bivariate Correlation of Demographic and Clinical Variables with PCR Positivity for HEVs at AHRI, 2019

| Variables                  | HEVs           | p-value | COR   | 95% C.I. |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------|-------|----------|
|                            | Positive (%)   |         |       |          |
| Age 0–19 yrs.              | 10 (19.6)      | 41 (80.4) | 0.049 | 8.29     | 1.01, 68.1 |
| 19+ yrs.                   | 1 (2.9)        | 34 (97.1) |       |          |
| Sex Male                   | 8 (15.7)       | 43 (84.3) | 0.34  | 0.5      | 0.12, 2.05 |
| Female                     | 3 (8.3)        | 32 (91.7) |       |          |
| Fever Yes                  | 8 (13.3)       | 52 (86.7) | 0.82  | 0.85     | 0.21, 3.49 |
| No                         | 3 (11.5)       | 23 (88.5) |       |          |
| Impaired consciousness Yes | 7 (14.6)       | 41 (85.4) | 0.58  | 1.45     | 0.39, 5.38 |
| No                         | 4 (10.5)       | 34 (89.5) |       |          |
| Vomiting Yes               | 8 (13.3)       | 52 (86.7) | 0.82  | 0.85     | 0.21, 3.49 |
| No                         | 3 (11.5)       | 23 (88.5) |       |          |
| Stiffness Yes              | 5 (9.4)        | 48 (90.6) | 0.25  | 2.1      | 0.6, 7.65  |
| No                         | 6 (18.2)       | 27 (81.8) |       |          |
| Seizure Yes                | 6 (17.6)       | 28 (82.4) | 0.28  | 0.5      | 0.14, 1.78 |
| No                         | 5 (9.6)        | 47 (90.4) |       |          |
| CSF appearance Clear       | 5 (17.2)       | 24 (82.8) | 0.38  | 0.57     | 0.16, 2.04 |
| Turbid                     | 6 (10.5)       | 51 (89.5) |       |          |

Abbreviations: yrs., years; Fever, temperature ≥ 38 o c; COR, crude odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Quality Control
Positive controls from NATrol (Helvatica Health Care, Geneva Switzerland) and molecular grade water were used as positive and negative quality controls respectively to assure the quality of the laboratory result.

Statistical Analysis
Data were checked and cleared for incompleteness and then entered into Epi-data version 3.1. SPSS v25.0 was used for statistical analysis. Bivariate analysis was performed to test for association between dependent and independent variables. An association with a p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Approval
Before data extraction and utilization of the stored samples, an ethical issue specifically, waiver of consent was obtained from the AHRI/ALER ethics review committee. The review committee has also approved the study protocol with a reference number Reg.PO14/18.

Result
A total of 86 presumptively diagnosed pyogenic meningitis patients were enrolled in this study. Of these, 59.3% (n=51) were male patients with a male to female proportions of 1.46:1. The age of patients varies from 2 days to 78 years old. About 70% of the patients (n=60) were from the University of Gondar hospital. The overall magnitude of HEVs among patients presumptively diagnosed as pyogenic meningitis was 12.8% (11/86), while they all have tested negative for CMV. The majority of the study participants were in age between 2 days to 19 years old (n=51) and tuned to have a higher HEVs positivity rate (19.6%) (Table 1.). The younger age of patients was associated with HEVs positivity (p<0.05). Despite this, none of the clinical characteristics showed a significant association with HEVs meningitis (Table 1.). Among the 11 cases for HEVs meningitis, 10 were aged less than 19 years old. Most of these HEVs positive individuals’ had fever (8/10), impaired consciousness (7/10), vomiting (7/10), and seizure (6/10). Half of the patients with HEVs (under 19 years) had turbid CSF appearance; which could be suggestive of the need for inspection of turbid CSF samples for viral etiologies. The overall association of dependent and independent variables is summarized in (Table 1.).

Among those HEVs positive individuals, 4 (4/11: 36.4%) of them were co-infected with at least one bacterial pathogen. Specifically, of those previously PCR positive
Table 2 PCR Findings with Specific Etiologic Agents in Patients Presumptively Diagnosed Pyogenic Meningitis at AHRI, 2019

| PCR Finding                  | Bacteria Identified | Total |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------|
|                             | No | N. meningitidis | S. pneumoniae |
| Negative                    | 47 | 0              | 0             | 47 |
| Positive for bacteria       | 0  | 18             | 10            | 28 |
| Positive for Enteroviruses* | 7  | 0              | 0             | 7  |
| Positive for bacteria + Enteroviruses* | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Total                       | 54 | 21             | 11            | 86 |

Note: *CMV was negative in all assayed samples.

for N. meningitides (n=21) and S. pneumoniae (n=11) samples,14 three and one patients were concomitantly infected with HEVs, respectively (Table-2). Among the presumptively diagnosed patients and confirmed PCR negatives (n = 54) for the three common bacterial etiologies (N. meningitides, S. pneumoniae, and H. influenzae),14 7 were positive for enteroviruses in the current study.

Nearly 13% (7/54) of the patients negative for common bacterial causes of meningitis were misdiagnosed for pyogenic meningitis or infected with pathogens not included in the diagnostic panel of our previous study;14 while 12.5% (4/32) of the confirmed pyogenic meningitis cases were co-infected with enteroviruses.

The outcome of patients was examined after their discharge. The overall death was reported in 10 of the 86 study participants (11.6%), 3 patients with confirmed bacterial meningitis (S. pneumoniae). Seven dead patients were negative for the common bacterial etiologies (N. meningitidis, S. pneumoniae, and H. influenzae), HEVs, and CMV. The cause of the death might be due to the less common bacterial agents or other viruses. None of the HEVs positive patients have died nor reported developing any sequelae (all of them fully recovered without distinct disability and impact).

Discussion
Viral central nervous system (CNS) infections remain a major public health concern, because of the associated morbidity and mortality. Viral meningitis is a relatively neglected area of medicine in the developing world since they require advanced laboratory settings.17 Among the viral etiologic agents responsible for meningitis, HEVs remain to be the predominant pathogens in both children and adults.4,18 In the current study, viral pathogens were detected in 12.8% (11/86) of patients presumptively diagnosed as pyogenic meningitis in both confirmed and non-confirmed meningitis cases.

The finding in the current study was relatively lower as compared to the majority of studies in European countries; Georgia (18.6%),11 France (43.4%),10 Greece (28.8%),18 England (47%),4 Germany (36%),20 Ireland (61.9%),21 Asian countries; China (37.7%),22 Iran (18–43.3),23–25 and South Korea (38.4%),26 and the USA (35.5%).27 The possible reasons for such variations in the distribution of the viral pathogen may be differences in the study population, age, season of case occurrence, and inflammatory changes.20,24–26 The other difference could be explained by the fact that this study was conducted on presumed pyogenic meningitis, while other studies sampled presumed viral meningitis cases.1

Our study did not detect CMV, which was expected since the herpesviruses family collectively causes about 4% of viral meningitis cases, mostly by herpes simplex virus (HSV-2).6 However, the zero prevalence was very lower compared to the finding in Iraq (8.4%)28 and Malawi (14%), Sub-Saharan Africa.29 This could be due to the variation in the spectrum of causes of viral meningitis in a given population.

Studies show that HEV’s positivity varies with age.1,25 For example, in Iran neonates were more (37.1%) affected than children (34.7%).25 In South Korea, the younger ages had a lower percentage of lymphocyte, and lower CSF protein levels, suggestive for HEVs meninitis.26 These study findings were in line with the current study since younger-aged patients were shown to have more HEVs meningitis (19.6%) (Table 1). Viral meningitis was thought to vary between 14–25% in Africa.12 However, our finding was lower similar to the finding in Angola; where HEVs were detected in 3% of children with presumed bacterial meningitis,12 9.8% of patients with a sign of meningitis in Tunisia.3 In Uganda and Malawi, viruses had attributed for 5.9%10 and 26%30 of children CNS
infections, respectively. The difference in clinical/presumptive diagnosis and study participants may be the reason for the observed variations.

The Enteroviral contribution of meningitis at Jimma university medical center, Ethiopia, was 9%, which was comparable with our finding (12.8%). However, the use of pan-enteroviruses primer which can detect multiple numbers of HEVs may increase our study detection rate. The burden and specific causes of viral meningitis had shown a slight variation with geographic location and study subjects.

This study has found four simultaneous bacterial and viral CNS co-infections (3 HEVs + N. meningitides and 1 HEVs + S. pneumoniae) (Table 2.). Similar to our finding, four patients were co-infected with bacteria or malaria in Angola, one patient was shown to had both Enterovirus and S. pneumoniae in Georgia, Uganda, and Iran (Enterovirus + K. pneumoniae). Among the 27 childhood viral CNS infections reported from Uganda, 8 were due to CMV (6 occurred concomitantly with bacterial agents), and in Malawi, 9% of patients were known to have malaria and CNS virus. These study findings have shown the frequent occurrence of concomitant CNS infections in confirmed viral or bacterial meningitis cases.

To our knowledge, this study is the first study to explore concomitant bacterial-viral CNS infections in Ethiopia. However, our study was having limitations concerning the inclusion of data from CSF cellular and chemical profile, and the small sample size constrained to assess possible associated factors with HEVs meningitis. The detection of enteroviruses in suspected bacterial meningitis cases, and that co-infections directs the need to inspect turbid CSFs for viral etiologies and improve interpretations of meningeal symptoms.
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