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ABSTRACT

Objectives To investigate the impact of maternal age on pregnancy outcomes with special emphasis on adolescents and older mothers and to investigate the differences in demographic profile between adolescents and older mothers.

Methods This study is a secondary analysis of pregnancy outcomes of women in Riyadh Mother and Baby cohort study according to maternal age. The study population was grouped according to maternal age into five subgroups; <20, 20–29, 30–34, 35–39 and 40+ years. The age group 20–29 years was considered as a reference group. Investigation of maternal age impact on maternal and neonatal outcomes was conducted with adjustment of confounders using regression models.

Results All mothers were married when conceived with the index pregnancy. Young mothers were less likely to be illiterate, more likely to achieve higher education and be employed compared with mothers ≥ 40 years. Compared with the reference group, adolescents were more likely to have vaginal delivery (and least likely to deliver by caesarean section (CS); OR=0.6, 95% CI 0.4 to 0.9, while women ≥40 years, were more likely to deliver by CS; OR=2.9, 95% CI 2.3 to 3.7. Maternal age was a risk factor for gestational diabetes in women ≥40 years; OR 1.7, 95% CI 1.3 to 2.1. Adolescents had increased risk of preterm delivery; OR 1.5, 95% CI 1.1 to 2.1 and women ≥40 years had similar risk; OR, 1.3, 95% CI 1.1 to 1.6.

Conclusion Adverse pregnancy outcomes show a continuum with the advancement of maternal age. Adolescents mother are more likely to have vaginal delivery; however, they are at increased risk of preterm delivery. Advanced maternal age is associated with increased risk of preterm delivery, gestational diabetes and CS.

INTRODUCTION

Maternal age is a determining factor for pregnancy outcomes. The impact of maternal age on obstetric and neonatal outcomes was evaluated by several studies from many settings, especially in adolescents and older women.

The age of motherhood is underpinned by complex socioeconomic, educational and cultural factors, which differ significantly for different communities.1 Furthermore, at the same maternal age group, the pregnancy
Advanced maternal age is becoming a feature in many communities around the world. Many women in Europe and most women in USA choose to postpone parenthood, especially among those with tertiary education, to pursue a career of work and better social and financial status. This choice is driven by the economic crisis, unemployment and job instability. 

Postponement of motherhood found support from the social norms and customs of the Western communities which showed increased leniency for voluntary childlessness and non-traditional, outside marriage and living arrangements. Similarly, in many communities in the Saudi Arabia and other Middle Eastern countries, women continue to have children beyond the age of 35 years, encouraged by the stable financial status of the family, where the husband is the main bread winner and the inclination to have large families.

Conversely, poor economic status at the family and community levels, low education achievement and lack of sex education are recognised factors behind teenage pregnancy in some communities in USA and UK. Adolescents’ pregnancy in many low and middle-income countries is mostly recognised among less educated and low socioeconomic sectors of the community and is associated with poor use of health services, poor maternal nutrition and high rate of maternal, neonatal and infant morbidities and mortality. Few studies examined the influence of maternal age on the pregnancy outcomes in Saudi Arabia, none of which compared the socioeconomic profile of adolescents or older mothers with the reference group. They were consistent in their findings that adolescents’ pregnancy is associated with preterm delivery and low birth weight but no other major morbidities when compared with adults’ pregnancy. This may be attributed to the socioeconomic profile of pregnant adolescents in Saudi Arabia and the free access and use of maternity care.

Conversely, advanced maternal age (≥35 years) was found to be associated with increased risks of maternal and fetal adverse outcomes such as increased rate of caesarean section (CS) delivery, gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), stillbirth, pre-eclampsia, placenta previa, preterm delivery and increased perinatal mortality. Moreover, the prevalence of pregestational diabetes (pre-GDM) and hypertension (HTN) are expected to be significantly higher in older mothers, similar to the general population of the same age. These comorbidities further contributed to the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes in this age group.

Pregnant Saudi women are eligible for free antenatal care in primary healthcare centres with referral to hospitals for some investigations such as ultrasound scanning or for management of complications. All deliveries take place in hospitals which contributed effectively to improving maternity health as 100% of deliveries are attended by professional healthcare providers with maternal mortality ratio of less than 13/100 000. The objectives of this study were:

1. To investigate the impact of maternal age on obstetric and neonatal outcomes of women from Riyadh Mother and Baby (RAHMA) multicentre cohort study, with special emphasis on adolescents and older mothers;
2. To investigate the differences in the demographic and socioeconomic profile between adolescents and older pregnant women.

**METHODS**

This study is a secondary analysis of the maternal and neonatal outcomes of women recruited for RAHMA multicentre cohort study according to maternal age. RAHMA is a hospital-based prospective cohort study conducted in three hospitals in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Recruitment of the cohort commenced in November 2013 and data collection concluded in March 2015. RAHMA study recruited more than 14 000 pregnant women and their newborn from three hospitals representing ministry of health, military and university hospitals. All Saudi women were eligible to participate and 14 568 were consented. A standardised data collection sheet was used to abstract all obstetric and laboratory data available in women’s medical record and a self-administered questionnaire inquiring about sociodemographic data were used for data collection.

Because RAHMA has systematically recruited a large number of pregnant women, it is expected to provide accurate estimate of the indices of maternal morbidity in Riyadh and to a great extent in Saudi Arabia, bearing in mind that more than 25% of the Kingdom’s population (7.3 million) lives in Riyadh and that all women deliver in hospitals. The detailed methodology of the study has been previously reported.

For this study, the population was grouped according to maternal age into five subgroups; <20, 20–29, 30–34, 35–39 and 40+ years to investigate the effect of maternal age on the pregnancy outcomes. We considered women in age group 20–29 years as reference group.

We compared the four maternal age groups with the reference group with respect to sociodemographic characteristics such as educational level and working status as well as exposure to second-hand smoke exposure (SHS). In addition, maternal characteristics such as body mass index (BMI), associated chronic diseases including obesity, hypertension, pre-GDM and anaemia; and obstetric history such as parity, were compared between the maternal age groups. Conditions associated with the index pregnancy such as GDM, pre-eclampsia and pregnancy-induced hypertension were compared.

We investigated the influence of maternal age on the following maternal and neonatal outcomes; gestational age at the time of delivery (preterm, full term and post date), rate of induction of labour, mode of delivery (normal vaginal birth, CS, instrumental delivery),
maternal admission to intensive care unit (ICU), Apgar score at 5 min, birth weight, macrosomia, admission to neonatal ICU (NICU), rate of stillbirth and congenital anomalies detected by mid-trimester ultrasound scan.

To detect the effect of maternal age on the outcomes of pregnancy, we controlled for maternal characteristics with proven confounding effects on the maternal and neonatal outcomes using multivariate logistic regression models.

Definitions
For the purpose of the study, we considered the following definitions:

1. Due to the variable cut-off values for the diagnosis of GDM and pre-GDM in the three participating hospitals, we collected the results of the Oral Glucose Tolerance Test between 24 and 34 gestation weeks and the fasting blood glucose ≤14 weeks gestation and then reclassified the participants as non-diabetic, pre-GDM or GDM based on the following WHO cut-off values:

   Gestational diabetes mellitus should be diagnosed at any time in pregnancy if one or more of the following criteria are met:
   - Fasting plasma glucose 5.1–6.9 mmol/L (92–125 mg/dL);
   - One-hour plasma glucose ≥10.0 mmol/L (180 mg/dL) following a 75 g oral glucose load;
   - Two-hour plasma glucose 8.5–11.0 mmol/L (153–199 mg/dL) following a 75 g oral glucose load.

   Diabetes in pregnancy should be diagnosed if one or more of the following criteria are met:
   - Fasting plasma glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL);
   - Two-hour plasma glucose ≥11.1 mmol/L (200 mg/dL) following a 75 g oral glucose load;
   - Random plasma glucose ≥11.1 mmol/L (200 mg/dL) in the presence of diabetes symptoms.

2. All participating hospitals follow the same diagnostic criteria for hypertensive disorders of pregnancy which based on the report of the American national working group on high blood pressure in pregnancy. In brief, pre-eclampsia is defined as new onset of elevated blood pressure after 20 weeks pregnancy in a previously normotensive woman (≥140 mm Hg systolic or ≥90 mm Hg diastolic on at least 2 occasions 6 hours apart) in addition to proteinuria of at least 1+ on a urine dipstick or ≥300 mg in a 24-hour urine collection. Eclampsia is defined as seizures in a pre-eclamptic woman that cannot be attributed to other causes. Gestational hypertension is defined as new onset of elevated blood pressure (≥140 mm Hg systolic or ≥90 mm Hg diastolic on at least two occasions 6 hours apart) after 20 weeks of gestation in a previously normotensive woman.

3. Macrosomia is defined as birth weight of ≥4.0 kg.
4. Low birth weight (LBW) is defined as birth weight <2.5 kg.
5. In the three participating hospitals, the pregnancy was considered viable after completion of 24 weeks gestation calculated from the last menstrual period or early ultrasound scan.
6. Postdate pregnancy is defined as pregnancy that continues after 41 completed weeks of gestation.
7. Preterm birth is defined as birth before 37 gestation weeks. It is subclassified to late preterm births (34–36 weeks’ gestation) and very preterm birth (<34 weeks).
8. Maternal intrapregnancy BMI was calculated from maternal weight at 28–30 weeks gestation and height with the following cut-off values as suggested by Catalano et al: normal weight (BMI <28.4 kg/m²), overweight (BMI 28.5–32.9 kg/m²) and obese (BMI ≥33 kg/m²).
9. Anaemia in pregnancy is defined according to the WHO as haemoglobin level <11 g/dL and severe anaemia as haemoglobin level ≤7 g/dL.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive statistics for the criteria of the studied sample used percentages and mean ± SD deviation. Comparison of numeric values was conducted by analysis of variance test and the association between the categorical variables was assessed by χ² test and p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Multivariate logistic regression models were used to adjust comparisons for confounding factors and adjusted OR and 95% CI are reported. Maternal BMI, parity, pre-GDM and pre-existing HTN were included as confounders in the adjusted analyses based on their well-known associations with maternal and fetal outcomes. For the purpose of this study, gestational age, birth weight and history of previous CS were added to the confounders in the analyses of CS based on their clinically well-known associations. Adjustment of sociodemographic profile was considered based on the clinical evidence of its confounder effect. The ORs of LBW were estimated among women with singleton full-term delivery only (37–41 weeks). SHS was considered as a confounder in low birth weight and preterm delivery because of its clinical significance. As the reported prevalence of pre-eclampsia and pregnancy-induced hypertension were low, all pregnancy-associated hypertensive disorders were grouped as one outcome in the analysis.

The SPSS V.21.0 statistical package is used for the analyses (IBM SPSS, Statistics for windows).

Ethical approval
The institutional review boards of the following institutions approved the main cohort study (RAHMA): King Abdullah International Medical Research Centre, approval letter 11/062; King Fahad Medical City Research Centre, approval letter 013–017 and King...
Saud University, approval letter 13-985. The study was conducted according to the principles of Declaration of Helsinki.

RESULTS

From the RAHMA cohort, 14514 participants were included in this study. The youngest participant in this study was 15 years of age and the eldest was 54 years old.

Nearly half of the participants (48.0%) were between 20 and 29 years, while only 2.0% were below 20 years and 6.4% were ≥40 years (table 1). The majority of the participants were housewives; with comparable proportions between the different age groups (table 1). All participants were married when conceived with the index pregnancy. Only two women (1.2%) from those <20 years old were illiterate, compared with 51 women (10.2%) from the age group ≥40 years. Nearly 45% of women who were 20–34 years old, achieved university or higher education, compared with only 27.7% of women ≥40 years of age. Exposure to SHS was reported consistently high among all age groups (20%–23%) (table 1).

The majority of women <20 years (71.3%) were primiparous, while only 1.4% from women ≥40 years delivered for the first time. The proportion of women who have five children or more increased across the age groups to a maximum of 86.6% in women ≥40 years (table 1).

Almost 90% of the cohort were booked in the respective hospital and attended regular antenatal care with an increased trend across the age groups (table 1). The prevalence of anaemia in cohort was 32.8% and that of severe anaemia is 2.1%, with the least prevalence among adolescents (table 1).

The mean BMI of the participants showed an increased trend through the age groups with the lowest among children or more increased across the age groups (table 1). In addition, the prevalence of obesity during pregnancy (BMI>33 kg/m²) was high among the whole cohort, showing a trend of increasing proportions across age groups from as low as 22.2% among mothers <20 years to as high as 57.6% among mother ≥40 years.

The rate of associated medical disorders during pregnancy was highest among women aged ≥40 years. The prevalence of pre-GDM of the reference age group (20–29 years) was 2.4%, which is comparable to the prevalence of those <20 years (1.7%). However, the prevalence of pre-GDM increases exponentially among mother ≥40 years to reach 12.7%. In addition, a gradient of increased prevalence of pre-GDM is evident with the increased maternal age (figure 1).

Similarly, the highest prevalence of hypertensive disorders during pregnancy was noted among women ≥40 years (9.7%), which was more than threefold the prevalence in the reference group (2.8%) with an increased prevalence across the age groups. A smaller increase in the prevalence of all hypertensive disorders (3.4%) and pre-eclampsia (2.4%) was noticed in women <20 years compared with the reference group (table 1).

High prevalence of GDM was detected in this cohort; with the lowest prevalence reported in women <20 years (17.7%) and the highest was in women ≥40 years (33.9%) (table 2 and figure 2). Although the effect of maternal age as a risk factor for GDM was attenuated for all age groups when adjustment for maternal BMI and parity (table 3), it persisted as a risk factor for group 30–34, 35–30 and 40+ years with the highest risk for group 5; OR 1.7, 95% CI 1.3 to 2.1.

There were no significant differences between the groups in the prevalence of postdate pregnancy, IOL and maternal admission to ICU or structural abnormalities detected by mid-trimester ultrasound scan (table 2).

The risk of premature delivery, macrosomia, neonatal admission to NICU, stillbirth, CS delivery and GDM increased with the increase in maternal age (table 2, figure 2). However, after adjustment for confounders, maternal age was not a risk factor for any neonatal complication other than prematurity (table 3). Compared with the reference group, women <20 years have increased risk of preterm delivery; OR 1.5, 95% CI 1.1 to 2.1. In addition, women ≥40 years had similar risk; OR, 1.3, 95% CI 1.1 to 1.6.

Compared with the reference group, mothers women <20 years were more likely to have vaginal delivery (84.4%), p<0.01 (table 2), and least likely to deliver by CS, OR 0.6, 95% CI 0.4 to 0.9, p<0.01 (table 3). Moreover, women ≥40 years were more likely to deliver by CS (33.8%), p<0.01, and least likely to have vaginal delivery (64.6%), p<0.01 (table 2). After adjustment of confounders, maternal age persisted as risk factor for CS delivery in age groups 30–39 years and increased the odds for such delivery by more than twofold in women ≥40 years, OR 2.9, 95% CI 2.3 to 3.7 (table 3).

After adjustment of confounders, maternal age was no longer a risk factor for hypertensive disorders in women <20 years; however, it increased the odds by more than threefold, OR 3.3, 95% CI 2.1 to 5.3 for mothers ≥40 years (table 3).

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study concurred with previous reports that adolescents’ pregnancy is associated with increased risk of preterm delivery but reduced risk of CS delivery.

It confirmed that older women had nearly fourfold increased risk for CS compared with the reference group, in addition to increased risk of preterm birth, GDM and pregnancy-associated hypertensive disorders. Furthermore, older mothers are more likely to suffer from chronic diseases and their complications in pregnancy, including obesity, anaemia and pre-GDM.

A trend of changing in the sociodemographic characteristics in the Saudi female population is noticeable in the present cohort. There was significant improvement in the educational and the employment status across maternal age groups. The majority of mothers in this cohort was literate; however, there is marked difference
## Table 1  Sociodemographic and obstetric characteristics of participating women

|                         | Total (n=14514) | Group 1 <20 years (n=296) | Group 2 20–29 years (n=6994) | Group 3 30–34 years (n=3809) | Group 4 35–39 years (n=2483) | Group 5 40+ years (n=932) | p Value |
|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Maternal age            | 29.9±5.9        | 18.3±0.9                  | 25.4±2.6                      | 31.9±1.4                      | 36.7±1.4                      | 41.7±1.9                  | <0.01   |
| Working status          |                 |                           |                               |                               |                               |                           |         |
| Housewife               | 10709 (86.8)    | 240 (93.8)                | 5305 (88.5)                   | 2740 (84.6)                   | 1764 (84.7)                   | 659 (86.4)                | <0.01   |
| Employed/student        | 1623 (13.2)     | 16 (6.3)                  | 686 (11.5)                    | 499 (15.4)                    | 318 (15.3)                    | 104 (13.9)                |         |
| Missing                 | 2183            | 40                       | 1003                          | 570                           | 401                           | 169                       |         |
| Education               |                 |                           |                               |                               |                               |                           |         |
| Illiterate              | 233 (2.7)       | 2 (1.2)                   | 71 (1.6)                      | 57 (2.6)                      | 52 (3.7)                      | 51 (10.2)                 | <0.01   |
| School                  | 480 (55.8)      | 137 (84.6)                | 2391 (55.1)                   | 1178 (52.9)                   | 803 (57.1)                    | 310 (62.1)                |         |
| University/higher       | 3585 (41.5)     | 23 (14.2)                 | 1879 (43.3)                   | 993 (44.6)                    | 552 (39.2)                    | 138 (27.7)                |         |
| Missing                 | 5876            | 134                      | 2653                          | 1580                          | 1076                          | 433                       |         |
| SHS                     | 2607 (22.5)     | 49 (19.7)                 | 1193 (21.8)                   | 726 (23.2)                    | 454 (22.7)                    | 185 (24.2)                | 0.46    |
| Missing                 | 2909            | 47                       | 1526                          | 684                           | 483                           | 169                       |         |
| Parity                  | 2.3±2.2         | 0.4±0.6                   | 1.2±1.2                       | 2.6±1.7                       | 3.9±2.2                       | 5.7±2.6                   | <0.01   |
| Missing                 | 17              | 0                        | 10                            | 3                             | 3                             | 1                         |         |
| Primiparous             | 3242 (22.4)     | 211 (71.3)                | 2513 (36.0)                   | 386 (10.1)                    | 120 (4.8)                     | 13 (1.4)                  | <0.01   |
| Multiparous             | 6949 (47.9)     | 85 (28.7)                 | 3891 (55.7)                   | 2090 (54.9)                   | 771 (31.1)                    | 112 (12.0)                |         |
| Parity≥5                | 4306 (29.7)     | 0 (0.0)                   | 580 (8.3)                     | 1330 (34.9)                   | 1589 (64.1)                   | 806 (66.6)                | <0.01   |
| Gestational age at delivery | 38.4±1.7    | 38.4±3.1                  | 38.7±2.3                      | 38.5±2.4                      | 38.4±2.3                      | 38.3±2.4                  | <0.01   |
| Multiple gestation      | 418 (2.9)       | 4 (1.4)                   | 176 (2.5)                     | 138 (3.6)                     | 77 (3.1)                      | 23 (2.5)                  | <0.01   |
| Regular antenatal care  | 12810 (88.5)    | 241 (81.4)                | 6072 (87.1)                   | 3395 (89.3)                   | 2253 (91.2)                   | 849 (91.5)                | <0.01   |
| Missing                 | 44              | 0                        | 21                            | 7                             | 12                            | 4                         |         |
| Anaemia                 |                 |                           |                               |                               |                               |                           |         |
| Hb<11 g/dL              | 3463 (32.8)     | 47 (22.5)                 | 1697 (34.2)                   | 914 (32.4)                    | 578 (31.1)                    | 227 (31.8)                | <0.01   |
| Hb≤7 g/dL               | 223 (2.1)       | 4 (1.9)                   | 97 (2.0)                      | 68 (2.4)                      | 42 (2.3)                      | 12 (1.7)                  | 0.62    |
| Missing                 | 4851            | 87                       | 2035                          | 986                           | 624                           | 218                       |         |
| BMI                     | 31.7±5.8        | 28.8±5.3                  | 30.7±5.5                      | 32.5±5.7                      | 33.2±5.9                      | 34.0±5.8                  | <0.01   |
| Obesity (BMI≥33 kg/m²)  | 4805 (38.8)     | 60 (22.2)                 | 1818 (30.5)                   | 1439 (44.0)                   | 1031 (49.0)                   | 457 (57.6)                | <0.01   |
| Missing                 | 2000            | 23                       | 969                           | 517                           | 362                           | 129                       |         |
| Pre-GDM                 | 416 (4.3)       | 3 (1.7)                   | 107 (2.4)                     | 116 (4.5)                     | 106 (6.0)                     | 84 (12.7)                 | <0.01   |
| Missing                 | 4825            | 115                      | 2519                          | 1205                          | 714                           | 272                       |         |
| HTN                     | 546 (4.0)       | 10 (3.4)                  | 197 (2.8)                     | 155 (4.1)                     | 94 (3.9)                      | 90 (9.7)                  | <0.01   |

Continued
between adolescents’ literacy level, where only 1.2% were illiterate compared with 10% illiteracy rate among mothers 40 years or more. These findings confirmed previous reports by the WHO on Saudi women education and employment where Saudi females’ employment rates have risen by 85% from the year 2009 to 2013, and the literacy rates that increased from 79.7% in 2004 to 85.0% in 2010.21 22 This improvement in the sociodemographic indicators has reflected positively on all pregnancy outcomes including the maternal mortality ratio which declined from 46/100,000 in 1990 to 23/100,000 in 2000 and further to 12/100,000 in 2015.23

The rate of adolescent pregnancy in this study was 20 per 1000, which is lower than the 60 per 1000 incidence reported during 1999 from Riyadh.24 Data from World Bank about adolescent fertility reported a decline in rate in the Saudi Arabia from 124 per 1000 in 1960 to 9 per 1000 in 2014.25 This decline of teenage pregnancy can be explained by improvement in educational level and the socioeconomic status of women as part of the Saudi community.

The favourable outcomes of adolescents’ pregnancy shown by the current study, apart from increase prevalence of preterm birth, can be attributed to the favourable socioeconomic conditions and family support, as all women were married, in addition to the improved medical care for the young pregnant women. Unlike adolescents’ pregnancy in other communities,7 26 27 teenage mothers in this study had high rate of attending regular antenatal care and have the lowest rate of anaemia among the study population (table 1). Our findings are consistent with previous reports from Saudi Arabia on the outcomes of adolescents pregnancy.29

In this cohort, the rate of pregnancy in women 35 years or older is 23% which is higher than rates reported from other parts of Saudi Arabia3 but similar to figures reported from other parts of the world such as Finland28 and the
USA. The demographic characteristics of women 35 years or older indicate that only 6% of this group had conceived for the first time and that most of them have five or more children. Women 40 years or more have the highest illiteracy rate in the cohort and the least higher education rate. These findings indicate that pregnancy in advanced maternal age in the Saudi community is not due to postponement of motherhood but rather due to continuing pregnancy and childbirth beyond the age of 35 years. Considering the education and work status of this group, one can conclude that pregnancy at this age group was motivated by reasons other than pursuing a career of work or higher education.

In this study, many adverse pregnancy outcomes showed a continuum of increased prevalence with the advancement of maternal age. These results concur with the findings of other investigators and with similar reports from Saudi Arabia. The association between advanced maternal age and adverse pregnancy outcomes has been explained in part by the increased prevalence of pregestational chronic diseases such as diabetes, hypertension and obesity, in addition to the ageing process, which affects the reproductive system similar to other systems in the human body.

The impact of maternal age as a risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcome was attenuated considerably when we considered chronic disease as risk factors for adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes (table 3). However, similar to previous reports, advanced maternal age remained a risk factor for preterm delivery, GDM, pregnancy associated hypertension and CS delivery. Our results showed that preterm delivery is more prevalent in adolescents and those above 40 years demonstrating a U-shape distribution (figure 2). This concurred with previous reports which confirmed that maternal age is a risk factor for premature birth. The increased risk of preterm delivery among teenagers has been explained by the low socioeconomic conditions of the teenage mothers and increased nutritional demands of pregnancy. However, physical immaturity including short cervix and small uterine volume may be a better explanation to high prevalence of preterm delivery in our cohort due to the stable socioeconomic condition observed in this group. Similar to previous reports,

| Maternal outcomes | Total | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 | Group 4 | Group 5 | p Value |
|-------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Prematurity       | 1214 (8.7) | 32 (11.1) | 532 (7.9) | 326 (9.0) | 226 (9.4) | 98 (10.9) | <0.01 |
| <34 week          | 395 (2.8) | 15 (5.2) | 164 (2.4) | 126 (3.5) | 56 (2.3) | 34 (3.8) |       |
| 34–36 week        | 819 (5.9) | 17 (5.9) | 368 (5.5) | 200 (5.5) | 170 (7.1) | 64 (7.1) |       |
| Postdate          | 215 (1.5) | 8 (2.7) | 109 (1.6) | 54 (1.4) | 33 (1.3) | 11 (1.2) | 0.35 |
| IOL               | 2260 (15.6) | 58 (18.9) | 1134 (16.3) | 582 (15.4) | 349 (14.1) | 137 (14.8) | 0.06 |

| Delivery mode     | Normal vaginal | Instrumental delivery | CS | GDM | ICU | p Value |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----|-----|-----|---------|
|                   | 10226 (71.0) | 10 (4.0) | 3596 (25.0) | 2348 (24.2) | 64 (0.4) | <0.01 |
|                   | 249 (84.4) | 11 (3.7) | 35 (11.9) | 32 (17.7) | 0 (0.0) | <0.01 |
|                   | 5212 (75.2) | 408 (5.9) | 1314 (19.0) | 867 (19.4) | 32 (0.5) | <0.01 |
|                   | 2567 (67.9) | 109 (2.9) | 1107 (29.3) | 688 (26.4) | 18 (0.5) | <0.01 |
|                   | 1596 (64.6) | 49 (2.0) | 827 (33.5) | 537 (30.4) | 11 (0.4) | <0.01 |
|                   | 601 (64.9) | 12 (1.3) | 313 (33.8) | 224 (33.9) | 3 (0.3) | 0.9 |

| Neonatal outcomes | Birth weight | Macrosomia | LBW | APGAR<7 | NICU | Stillbirth | Structural anomalies | p Value |
|-------------------|--------------|------------|-----|---------|------|------------|----------------------|---------|
|                   | 3.1±0.6 | 2.9±0.6 | 3.1±0.5 | 3.1±0.6 | 3.1±0.6 | 3.1±0.6 | <0.01 |
|                   | 397 (3.3) | 0 (0.0) | 148 (2.6) | 110 (3.5) | 99 (4.8) | 40 (5.2) | <0.01 |
|                   | 759 (6.0) | 21 (8.3) | 421 (6.8) | 187 (5.6) | 94 (4.3) | 36 (4.5) | <0.01 |
|                   | 269 (1.9) | 9 (3.1) | 118 (1.7) | 70 (1.9) | 45 (1.8) | 27 (2.9) | 0.06 |
|                   | 657 (4.6) | 14 (4.8) | 283 (4.1) | 194 (5.1) | 112 (4.6) | 54 (5.8) | 0.04 |
|                   | 190 (1.3) | 7 (2.4) | 85 (1.2) | 43 (1.1) | 36 (1.6) | 19 (2.0) | 0.05 |
|                   | 385 (2.9) | 5 (1.8) | 177 (2.8) | 105 (3.0) | 60 (2.7) | 38 (4.5) | 0.15 |

CS, caesarean section; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; ICU, intensive care unit; IOL, Induction of labour; LBW, low birth weight; NICU, neonatal ICU.
the preterm birth among older women may be attributed to iatrogenic preterm delivery indicated by the pregestational comorbidities and the associated complications.

In the current study, the risk of developing GDM increased progressively with the increase in maternal age to a stage where every third woman at the age of ≥40 developed GDM (figure 2). This increased risk could be explained by the increase in insulin resistance and impairment of the function of β cells of the pancreas as the maternal age advances and BMI increases.37

In spite of the low prevalence of all pregnancy-associated HTN in this study, the prevalence has progressively increased with advancing maternal age and the risk of developing HTN was nearly threefold among the eldest group compared with the reference group. Pre-eclampsia rate showed two peaks; one in adolescents and a lesser peak in women over 40 years; however, this U-shape prevalence did not persist after adjustment of confounders. These findings suggest that although advanced age might not be the only responsible risk factor for all hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, its effects cannot be ignored.40

Although young mothers were less likely to be delivered by CS, the risk for operative delivery was increased by almost threefold for women aged 40 years and above (table 3, figure 2). These results are consistent with previous reports10 29 and may be explained by the optimum health status of young mothers with low parity and with no prior caesarean deliveries in contrast to the older age women with high parity and pregestational chronic diseases, which may indicate CS delivery.

We noticed a trend of a decreased risk of low birth weight as maternal age increases (table 3) even after adjustment of confounders especially in the age group 35–39 years. Different patterns of associations between maternal age and low birth weight were reported by investigators.38 39 Most are related to race/ethnicity, maternal weight gain and nutritional habits of young and older mothers.40 Similar factors may explain our results especially that we have not investigated the nutritional habits of the participants nor the maternal weight gain in this study.

Although maternal age was not a risk factor for the other maternal and neonatal adverse outcomes shown in table 2, these adverse outcomes show the same pattern of continuum through maternal age. Other risk factors which are associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes including obesity, pre-GDM and high parity are prevalent in the cohort and increased in frequency with the increase in maternal age (table 1).
The prevalence of pregestational chronic diseases in this cohort was exceptionally high in advanced maternal age, especially for diabetes and obesity, compared with reports from Australia and Israel. Previous studies reported significant increase in the prevalence of both overweight and obesity with advancement of maternal age among Saudi women. The rates of obesity and overweight in pregnancy were high among all age groups with almost 60% of the women in the advanced age groups reported to be obese. Maternal obesity is associated with increased risk of GDM, macrosomia, stillbirth and CS delivery.

The results of the current study showed that the prevalence of pre-GDM gradually increased with advancing maternal age until it reached near that of the general

| Table 3 | The crude and adjusted OR for maternal and neonatal outcomes |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Group 1 (n=296)  | Group 3 (n=3808)  | Group 4 (n=2438)  | Group 5 (n=932)  |
|         | <20 years        | 30–34 years       | 35–39 years       | 40+years         |
|         | 2.0%             | 26.1%             | 17.0%             | 6.4%             |
| Prematurity |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Crude OR (95% CI) | 1.4 (1.1 to 2.1)* | 1.1 (1.0 to 1.3)  | 1.2 (1.1 to 1.4)* | 1.4 (1.1 to 1.8)* |
| Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 1.5 (1.1 to 2.1)* | 1.1 (1.0 to 1.4)  | 1.2 (1.1 to 1.8)* | 1.3 (1.1 to 1.6)* |
| LBW |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Crude OR (95% CI) | 1.2 (0.8 to 1.9)  | 0.8 (0.7 to 0.9)* | 0.6 (0.5 to 0.8)* | 0.6 (0.4 to 0.9)* |
| Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 1.1 (0.7 to 1.9)  | 0.9 (0.6 to 1.1)  | 0.7 (0.5 to 0.9)* | 0.7 (0.5 to 1.1)  |
| Stillbirth |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Crude OR (95% CI) | 2 (0.9 to 4.3)    | 0.9 (0.6 to 1.3)  | 1.2 (0.8 to 1.8)  | 1.6 (1.1 to 2.8)* |
| Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 1.6 (0.2 to 12.6) | 1.0 (0.5 to 2.3)  | 0.9 (0.3 to 2.4)  | 1.2 (0.3 to 4.7)  |
| Macrosomia |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Crude OR (95% CI) | –                 | 1.4 (1.1 to 1.7)* | 1.9 (1.5 to 2.5)* | 2.1 (1.5 to 3.0)* |
| Adjusted OR (95% CI) | –                 | 1.0 (0.6 to 1.4)  | 0.9 (0.5 to 1.5)  | 1.0 (0.5 to 2.1)  |
| APGAR<7 |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Crude OR (95% CI) | 1.8 (0.9 to 3.6)  | 1.1 (0.8 to 1.5)  | 1.1 (0.8 to 1.5)  | 1.7 (1.1 to 2.7)* |
| Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 1.1 (0.1 to 8.5)  | 1.0 (0.5 to 2.1)  | 0.9 (0.4 to 2.2)  | 2.1 (0.8 to 6.2)  |
| CS |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Crude OR (95% CI) | 0.6 (0.4 to 0.8)* | 1.8 (1.6 to 1.9)* | 2.2 (1.9 to 2.4)* | 2.2 (1.9 to 2.5)* |
| Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 0.6 (0.4 to 0.9)* | 1.9 (1.6 to 2.1)* | 2.5 (2.1 to 2.9)* | 2.9 (2.3 to 3.7)* |
| IOL |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Crude OR (95% CI) | 1.3 (0.9 to 1.7)  | 0.9 (0.8 to 1.0)  | 0.8 (0.7 to 0.9)* | 0.9 (0.7 to 1.1)  |
| Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 1.1 (0.7 to 1.6)  | 1.1 (0.9 to 1.3)  | 1.0 (0.9 to 1.2)  | 1.4 (1.0 to 1.8)  |
| GDM |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Crude OR (95% CI) | 0.9 (0.6 to 1.3)  | 1.5 (1.4 to 1.7)* | 1.9 (1.7 to 2.2)* | 2.6 (2.1 to 3.1)* |
| Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 0.9 (0.6 to 1.4)  | 1.3 (1.2 to 1.5)* | 1.5 (1.3 to 1.8)* | 1.7 (1.3 to 2.1)* |
| Pregnancy Associated HTN |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Crude OR (95% CI) | 1.2 (0.7 to 2.4)  | 1.3 (1.1 to 1.6)* | 1.5 (1.1 to 1.9)* | 3.4 (2.5 to 4.3)* |
| Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 1.6 (0.7 to 3.7)  | 1.2 (0.9 to 1.7)  | 1.4 (1.1 to 2.0)* | 3.3 (2.1 to 5.3)* |
| NICU |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Crude OR (95% CI) | 1.2 (0.7 to 2.0)  | 1.3 (1.1 to 1.5)* | 1.2 (0.9 to 1.4)  | 1.5 (1.1 to 2.0)* |
| Adjusted OR (95% CI) | 0.3 (0.1 to 1.2)  | 1.1 (0.8 to 1.6)  | 1.4 (0.9 to 2.1)  | 1.4 (0.7 to 2.7)  |

*p Value<0.05.

CS: adjusted for BMI, parity, pregnancy-associated HTN, all DM, birth weight, gestational age, history of previous CS, education and employment. IOL: adjusted for BMI, parity, pregnancy-associated HTN, DM, birth weight and gestational age. LBW: (full-term singleton): adjusted for BMI, parity, gestational age, SHS, HTN, all diabetes. Macrosomia: adjusted for BMI, parity, gestational age, HTN, diabetes, education and employment. Preterm: adjusted for parity, HTN, BMI, SHS, DM, education and employment. Stillbirth: adjusted for parity, HTN, BMI, SHS, DM, education and employment. APGAR: <7 adjusted for BMI, parity, gestational age, SHS, HTN, diabetes. GDM: adjusted for parity, BMI. Pregnancy-associated (pregnancy-induced and pre-eclampsia) HTN: adjusted for parity, BMI, GDM, pre-existing HTN. NICU: adjusted for parity, gestational age, birth weight, HTN, DM.BMI, body mass index; CS, caesarean section; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertension; ICU, intensive care unit; IOL, induction of labour; LBW, low birth weight; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.
Saudi population of 24% in the eldest age group (figure 1). Pre-GDM is associated with many adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes including CS delivery, macrosomia, congenital malformations, stillbirth and preterm delivery.  

Almost one-third of the participants of this study were grandmultiparae. In addition, nearly 90% of women 40 years or above were of high parity. Studies from Saudi Arabia, which investigated the effects of high parity on the pregnancy outcomes, showed strong association between grandmultiparity and adverse pregnancy outcomes independent of maternal age.  

Grandmultiparity was found to be associated with malpresentation, abnormal placentaion, antepartum haemorrhage, CS delivery, anaemia and increased perinatal mortality.  

CONCLUSION

Adverse pregnancy outcomes show a continuum with the advancement of maternal age. Adolescents mother are more likely to have vaginal delivery and less likely to be delivered by CS, compared with adults. However, they are at increased risk of preterm delivery. Advanced maternal age is associated with increased risk of preterm delivery, GDM and CS delivery. Pregestational chronic diseases, among Saudi mothers, are major risk factors for adverse pregnancy outcomes especially with the advancement of maternal age.
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