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FCGR2A Could Function as a Prognostic Marker and Correlate with Immune Infiltration in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma
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Objective. We aim to investigate the correlation between FCGR2A mRNA level and prognosis of head and neck squamous cancer (HNSC) in public databases. In addition, we investigated the correlation between FCGR2A expression and clinicopathological characteristics and tumor-infiltrating immune cells in HNSC patients.

Methods. FCGR2A mRNA expression in multiple cancers was analyzed based on Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis. A protein-protein interaction network was obtained based on the STRING database. The 10 proteins most closely related to FCGR2A (i.e., CD3G, PLCG2, LAT, LYN, SYK, FCGR3A, PIK3R1, HCK, ITGAM, and ITGB2) were screened, followed by establishing the protein-protein interaction network. The correlation between FCGR2A expression and immunocytes was investigated, together with the effects of FCGR2A on the metastasis, recurrence, and survival of HNSC. Results. FCGR2A expression in several carcinoma tissues was significantly higher than that of adjacent tissues. Significant differences were noticed in the HNSC samples and the adjacent tissue samples except the seven samples of grade 4. There were statistical differences between the FCGR2A expression in tissues of grade 1, grade 2, and grade 3 (P < 0.05). In the tissues of grade 4, the expression of FCGR2A was the lowest. The FCGR2A protein was a type of II-a receptor in γFc of the low-affinity immunoglobulin, which could bind with the Fc region of the immunoglobulin γ. There was a correlation between the FCGR2A gene and the distal HNSC metastasis. FCGR2A gene expression was correlated with the survival and prognosis. The GSE65858 dataset was selected for the validation. The FCGR2A expression was significantly correlated with total survival (P = 0.0107) and progression-free survival (P = 0.0362). Conclusions. Our findings shed light on the importance of FCGR2A in HNSC and illustrated a potential relationship between FCGR2A and tumor-immune interactions.

1. Introduction

Head and neck squamous cancer (HNSC), one of the most common types of human malignancy, shows an annual incidence of more than 780,000 cases worldwide [1–3]. To date, the treatment options of HNSC include surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy; however, it leads to a mortality rate of up to 50% [4]. Currently, most of the studies on HNSC are mainly focused on disease prevention, early screening, identification of target molecules, and the establishment of new therapies. To our best knowledge, there are still some disadvantages in the prediction of prognosis among HNSC patients. Therefore, it is urgent to screen specific and sensitive biomarkers for the evaluation of an individualized treatment regimen.

The FCGR2A gene, responsible for encoding the FcγRIIa protein, is localized at 1q23 [5] consisting of 10 exons and 9 introns. It is a member of the immunoglobulin Fc receptor (FCGR) gene on the surface of cells involved in immune responses. Based on its function, FcγRIIa is divided into two subtypes including FcγRIIa and FcγRIIb, which are classified as IgG receptors with low to moderate affinity. It is mainly involved in binding with IgG, and is expressed in the neutrophils, monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells
(DCs), B lymphocytes, and platelets. In a previous study, FCGRs generated by the natural killer (NK) cells, macrophages, and DCs could modulate the antibody-dependent cytotoxicities, which were crucial for the elimination of cancer cells. FCGR2A gene polymorphism was reportedly associated with the susceptibility of inflammation-related diseases, such as atherosclerosis [6], Takayasu arteritis [7], systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) [8], and ulcerative colitis [9].

**Figure 1:** Expression of FCGR2A mRNA and protein expression in normal tissues.
To our best knowledge, few studies focused on the investigation on the oncogenic roles of the FCGR2A gene in HNSC in a systematic manner. In this study, we evaluated the association between FCGR2A mRNA level and HNSC prognosis in public databases such as TCGA, TISCH, Exocarta, and CPTAC. Moreover, we investigated the correlation between FCGR2A expression and clinicopathological characteristics or the tumor-infiltration in HNSC patients. Our findings shed light on the importance of FCGR2A in HNSC and contributed to the investigation on the potential relationship between FCGR2A and tumor-immune interaction and its underlying mechanism.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Expression of FCGR2A mRNA. The Human Protein Atlas database (http://www.proteinatlas.org/) was utilized to analyze the expression of FCGR2A in multiple cancers. In addition, the expression profile of the mRNA and protein in the normal tissues and cells was analyzed. Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA, http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/) was utilized to analyze the expression differences of FCGR2A in different cancers and the adjacent cancer tissues, followed by depicting the plot. Moreover, the expression of FCGR2A in HNSC was explored using UALCAN dataset (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu) based on the gender, age, and other classification standards.

2.2. FCGR2A Protein Interaction Network and the Gene Enrichment Analysis. The protein-protein interaction network was obtained based on the STRING database. On this basis, the top 10 proteins related to FCGR2A (CD3G, PLCG2, LAT, LYN, SYK, FCGR3A, PIK3R1, HCK, ITGAM, and ITGB2) were screened, followed by establishing the protein-protein interaction network. In the homepage of Metascape (http://metascape.org), enrichment analysis was performed after entering the 11 genes including FCGR2A, CD3G, PLCG2, LAT, LYN, SYK, FCGR3A, PIK3R1, HCK, ITGAM, and ITGB2. Then, KEGG pathway analysis, GO analysis, and gene sets were integrated and visualized. Finally, the 11 genes encoding these proteins including FCGR2A were entered into Metascape.

2.3. Correlation between FCGR2A Expression and Immunocytes. The TIMER database [11] (http://cistrome.dfci.harvard.edu/TIMER/) was utilized to determine the association between FCGR2A expression and the immune...
infiltration. To begin with, the purity estimation was acquired using the tool CHAT [12] and validated by a diluted series with known tumor/normal mixture ratios. On this basis, we established the correlation between FCGR2A expression and tumor purity. Pearson’s correlation was utilized for the analysis. Next, FCGR2A-expressing tumors in HNSC showed various immunocyte infiltration levels, including B lymphocytes, CD4+ T lymphocytes, CD8+ T lymphocytes, neutrophils, macrophages, and DCs.

2.4. Effects of FCGR2A on the Metastasis, Recurrence, and Survival of HNSC. To investigate the prognostic role of

![Figure 5: FCGR2A transcription in subgroups of patients with HNSC, stratified based on gender, race, and other criteria (UALCAN). (a) Relative expression of FCGR2A in normal and HPV-positive and HPV-negative HNSC samples. (b) Relative expression of FCGR2A in normal and HNSC patients with grade 1, 2, 3, and 4 tumors. (c) Relative expression of FCGR2A in normal or HNSC patients with stage N1, N2, N3, and N4. (d) Relative expression of FCGR2A in normal patients or in HNSC patients at stages 1, 2, 3, and 4. (e) Relative expression of FCGR2A in normal, Caucasian, African-American, and Asian HNSC patients. (f) Relative expression of FCGR2A in normal, male, and female HNSC patients. The central marker was the median; the edges of the box were the 25th and 75th percentiles. The t-test was used to estimate the significance of difference in gene expression levels between groups. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.](image-url)
FCGR2A mRNA in HNSC, the Kaplan-Meier plotter [13] (http://www.kmplot.com) was used to determine the prognostic significance. Based on the GEOquery package, the HNSC GSE65858 was downloaded from the GEO database [14] for the prognosis analysis. There were 253 tumor samples in the GSE65858 database, among which 179 were human papilloma virus- (HPV-) negative samples. The sequencing platform was Illumina HumanHT-12 v4.0. Downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database, the Long-term Outcome and Gene Expression Profiling Database of Pancancer (LOGpc) platform was used for analyzing the overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DSS) of HNSC patients.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Kaplan-Meier method was used for estimating the survival curve. To compare the survival curve, the log-rank test was utilized for the hazard ratio (HR) and the P value of the Kaplan-Meier plotter and GEPIA. Spearman’s analysis was utilized for the analysis of the correlation of genes.

3. Results

3.1. Expression of FCGR2A mRNA. According to the Human Protein Atlas website, the FCGR2A gene was expressed in various tissues. Serum FCGR2A mRNA was the highest among these tissues (Figure 1). The enriched cell types of...
Figure 8: Network diagram of enrichment of gene cluster. (a) Different colors according to enrichment item markers of different functions. (b) Different color shades according to P values. The size of each node was proportional to the number of input genes.
the blood expressing the FCGR2A gene included neutrophils, eosinophils, and monocytes (Figure 2). In the cellular views, FCGR2A was mainly expressed in the Golgi body and the cell membrane (Figure 3).

In the GEPIA website, we compared the expression of FCGR2A in the adjacent cancer tissues and the cancer tissues. Expression of FCGR2A in the carcinoma of the bile duct, carcinoma of the esophagus, the glioblastoma multiforme, HNSC, the clear cell carcinoma of the kidney, renal papillary cell carcinoma, low-grade cerebral glioma, ovary serous cystadenocarcinoma, carcinoma of the pancreas, melanoma, and gastric cancer tissues was significantly higher than that of the corresponding adjacent tissues. In contrast, the expression of FCGR2A in the adrenocortical carcinoma, diffuse large B cell lymphoma, acute myeloid leukemia, pulmonary squamous carcinoma, and thymus cancer tissues was significantly lower than that of the corresponding adjacent tissues (Figure 4).

3.2. Expression of FCGR2A in HNSC. We compared the HNSC samples with the adjacent tissues based on the UALCAN website and tried to investigate the correlation between FCGR2A expression and the clinicopathological factors. As shown in Figure 5, significant differences were noticed in the HNSC samples and the adjacent tissue samples except the seven samples of grade 4, based on various clinicopathological factors. Compared with HPV-positive samples, the FCGR2A expression in the HPV-negative HNSC patients showed a significant increase ($P < 0.05$). The expression of FCGR2A was associated with the tumor grading, in a grade-dependent manner. There were statistical differences between the FCGR2A expression in tissues of grade 1, grade 2, and grade 3 ($P < 0.05$). In the tissues of grade 4, the expression of FCGR2A was the lowest. However, there were only seven samples, and we could not evaluate the reliability of the results. There were no statistical differences in the expression of FCGR2A among the samples obtained from cases of different gender, race, and tumor staging ($P > 0.05$).

3.3. FCGR2A Protein Interaction Network and Gene Enrichment Analysis. Upon entry of FCGR2A into the STRING website, the gene database of Homo sapiens was selected. The data indicated that the FCGR2A protein was a type of II-a receptor in the γFc of the low-affinity

Table 1: Correlation between FCGR2A copy number variation and immune infiltration abundance in HNSCC.

| Variable  | CNA level     | $P$ value, HNSCC | $P$ value, HNSCC-HPVneg | $P$ value, HNSCC-HPVpos |
|-----------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| B cell    | Arm-level deletion | $6.52E-08$     | $2.26E-04$              | $1$                    |
|           | Diploid/normal            | $1$                   | $1$                     | $1$                     |
|           | Arm-level gain           | $1.40E-01$         | $6.70E-01$              | $1.30E-01$             |
|           | High amplification       | $8.60E-01$         | $6.32E-01$              |                         |
|           | Arm-level deletion       | $1.33E-06$         | $9.28E-05$              | $1$                    |
| CD8+ T cell| Arm-level gain           | $4.48E-05$         | $1.64E-04$              | $6.00E-02$             |
|           | High amplification       | $3.35E-01$         | $7.26E-01$              |                         |
|           | Arm-level deletion       | $2.26E-03$         | $7.29E-02$              | $1$                    |
| CD4+ T cell| Diploid/normal           | $1$                   | $1$                     | $1$                     |
| Macrophage| Arm-level gain           | $4.41E-02$         | $8.43E-02$              | $3.62E-01$             |
|           | High amplification       | $3.22E-02$         | $1.57E-01$              |                         |
|           | Arm-level deletion       | $7.85E-04$         | $1.81E-03$              | $1$                    |
| Neutrophil| Diploid/normal           | $1$                   | $1$                     | $1$                     |
|           | Arm-level gain           | $8.84E-02$         | $2.36E-02$              | $6.15E-01$             |
|           | High amplification       | $7.60E-01$         | $7.81E-01$              |                         |
|           | Arm-level deletion       | $6.85E-03$         | $1.20E-02$              | $1$                    |
| Dendritic cell| Arm-level gain       | $3.85E-07$         | $1.34E-05$              | $1.57E-02$             |
|           | High amplification       | $1.50E-02$         | $1.82E-02$              |                         |
|           | Arm-level deletion       | $5.29E-05$         | $7.38E-04$              | $1$                    |
|           | Diploid/normal           | $1$                   | $1$                     | $1$                     |
|           | High amplification       | $1.17E-03$         | $1.51E-02$              | $3.78E-02$             |

HNSCC: head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; HNSCC-HPVneg: HPV-negative, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; HNSCC-HPVpos: HPV-positive, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.
immunoglobulin, which could bind with the Fc region of the immunoglobulin γ. It could promote the phagocytosis of the antigens. Besides, it triggered the cellular responses targeting the pathogens and soluble antigens through binding with the IgG.

We then screened the top 10 proteins that were closely related to FCGR2A including CD3G, PLCG2, LAT, LYN, SYK, FCGR3A, PIK3R1, HCK, ITGAM, and ITGB2. On this basis, the protein-protein interaction network was established (Figure 6). Subsequently, the genes encoding the 11 proteins including the top 10 proteins and the FCGR2A protein were entered into the Metascape website, using H. sapiens as the type. Initially, we confirmed all the enriched items that were subject to statistical analysis, such as GO/KEGG, the typical signaling pathway, and hallmark gene sets. The enriched plot was obtained based on the P value and enriched factors (Figure 7). Afterwards, the enrichment plot was transmitted to the network topology. Each item was represented by a circle node. Its size was positively correlated to the gene amount. The items with a similarity score of >0.3 were linked by a line, of which the line thickness represented the similarity score (Figure 8).

3.4. Correlation between Expression of FCGR2A and Immunocytes in TIMER. In this study, the TIMER database was utilized to investigate the correlation between FCGR2A expression and immune infiltration of HNSC (Table 1). The scatter plot displayed the corrected partial Spearman’s correlation and the statistical significance. On this basis, there was a negative correlation between FCGR2A expression and the HNSC purity. Meanwhile, there were significant differences in the 6 types of immunocytes and FCGR2A expression (P < 0.05), especially the DCs, neutrophils, and macrophages. There was a correlation between FCGR2A expression and the 6 infiltrating immunocytes regardless of the HPV negativity or positivity (Figure 9). This implied that FCGR2A expression was correlated with the immune infiltration of HNSC.

The SCNA model was utilized to explore the association between the FCGR2A gene copy number variation (CNV) and the richness of the immune infiltration. Based on the CNA, we determined the infiltration of 6 types of immunocytes. GISTIC 2.0 served as the grouping standards for the CNA which were divided into 5 types including deep deletion (-2), arm-level deletion (-1), diploid/normal (0), arm-level gain (1), and high amplification (2). Except the CD4+ T lymphocytes from the HPV-negative samples, there was a significant correlation between the FCGR2A expression and arm deletion in the immunocytes in all the HNSC samples and HPV-negative HNSC samples. In contrast, there was no correlation between the FCGR2A expression and arm deletion in the immunocytes between all the HNSC samples and HPV-
Figure 10: Continued.
positive HNSC samples. As shown in Figure 10, the infiltration of DCs was higher in the cells with no anomaly in the chromosome structure or those with enlarged arms.

To further investigate the correlation between FCGR2A and various immune infiltration cells, TIMER was used to investigate the correlation between FCGR2A expression and the immune-labeled genes (Table 2) in B lymphocytes, T lymphocytes, NK cells, DCs, macrophages, monocytes, neutrophils, eosinophils, basophils, mastocytes, platelets, megakaryocytes, and red blood cells. Our data showed that FCGR2A expression was correlated with the expression of 21 immune markers in the HNSC samples. In the adjacent cancer tissues, the expression of FCGR2A was correlated with 16 immune markers.

### 3.5. Roles of FCGR2A Gene in the Evaluation of HNSC Prognosis

In this section, PROGgene V2 was used for the correlation between the FCGR2A gene and the distal metastasis or local recurrence in the HNSC samples. These data indicated a correlation between the FCGR2A gene and distal HNSC metastasis ($P = 0.012$). In contrast, there was no correlation between local recurrence and the expression of the FCGR2A gene ($P = 0.13$, Figure 11).

Subsequently, the LOGpc database was used for analyzing the survival of HNSC patients, followed by analysis of TCGA data. This indicated that the FCGR2A gene expression was correlated with survival and prognosis (Figure 12). To be specific, there were statistical differences in the survival probability between the high FCGR2A mRNA samples and low FCGR2A mRNA samples. Meanwhile, the GSE65858 dataset was selected for the validation. The FCGR2A expression was significantly correlated with total survival ($P = 0.0107$) and progression-free survival ($P = 0.0362$, Figure 13). This further validated that expression of FCGR2A was correlated with survival and prognosis of HNSC patients.

### 4. Discussion

A majority of HNSC patients are diagnosed at the locally advanced stages of III and IV [15]. In clinical settings, the main treatment option of HNSC is the combination of surgical resection and adjuvant radiotherapy, or concurrent radiotherapy and chemotherapy [16, 17]. Tumor staging and pathology analysis are crucial for the prognostic prediction, which is also an important basis for the guidance of the optimal adjuvant therapy. To date, there are no specific biomarkers for therapeutic strategies of HNSC. Fortunately, several biomarkers have been developed as potential factors to predict and evaluate the prognosis of patients. For instance, the Wnt/β-catenin pathway could inhibit cell apoptosis and promote the growth of squamous cell carcinoma. Additionally, the Wnt/β-catenin pathway was regulated by a variety of antagonistic genes that were differentially expressed in HNSC. This demonstrated a relationship with therapeutic sensitivity, which may serve as a potential biomarker for treatment and prognosis of HNSC [16, 18]. To date, there are still some disputes on the prognostic prediction of these biomarkers [19]. Thus, identification of independent markers associated with the prognosis of HNSC is conducive to their clinical application.

The FCGR2A gene encodes a member of a family of immunoglobulin Fc receptor genes that was identified on the surface of many immune response cells [20]. The FCGR2A protein is considered as a cell surface receptor on phagocytic cells (e.g., macrophages and neutrophils), which is involved in the process of phagocytosis [21]. According to the previous description, FCGR2A was mainly reported to bind with the IgG and was expressed in the neutrophils, monocytes, macrophages, and DCs [22]. Fc-gamma receptor polymorphisms were associated with efficacy but not with toxicity in wild-type KRAS, cetuximab-treated colorectal
**Table 2:** Correlation between FCGR2A and immune markers of various immune cells in HNSCC tumor samples and adjacent tissues.

| Immunocytes        | Immune-labeled genes | HNSCC tissues |          | Adjacent HNSCC tissues |          |
|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
|                    |                      | **P value**   | **R**    | **P value**            | **R**    |
| B lymphocytes      | CD19                 | 0.45          | −0.033   | 0.038                  | 0.31     |
|                    | CD2                  | 5.70E-13      | 0.31     | 0.22                   | 0.19     |
| T lymphocytes      | CD3D                 | 6.60E-06      | 0.2      | 0.14                   | 0.22     |
|                    | CD3E                 | 6.90E-11      | 0.28     | 0.22                   | 0.19     |
|                    | CD56                 | 1.70E-05      | 0.19     | 0.18                   | 0.2      |
| NK cells           | CD94                 | 4.20E-14      | 0.32     | 0.006                  | 0.41     |
|                    | NKp46                | 3.60E-07      | 0.22     | 0.035                  | 0.32     |
| Dendritic cells    | CD11c                | 0             | 0.52     | 1.30E-14               | 0.87     |
|                    | CD11b                | 1.80E-07      | 0.23     | 6.20E-13               | 0.84     |
| Macrophages        | CD68                 | 0             | 0.62     | 1.70E-07               | 0.69     |
|                    | CD163                | 0             | 0.78     | 1.20E-10               | 0.79     |
| Monocytes          | CD14                 | 0             | 0.73     | 5.10E-10               | 0.78     |
|                    | CD116                | 1.80E-07      | 0.23     | 6.20E-13               | 0.84     |
| Neutrophils        | CD44                 | 8.90E-10      | 0.26     | 0.12                   | 0.24     |
|                    | CD55                 | 0.87          | −0.007   | 0.14                   | 0.23     |
|                    | CD45                 | 0             | 0.52     | 2.80E-06               | 0.64     |
| Eosinophils        | CD125                | 0.0012        | 0.14     | 0.97                   | 0.0061   |
|                    | CD193                | 1.30E-14      | 0.33     | 0.45                   | 0.12     |
| Basophils          | CD22                 | 0.99          | −0.00075 | 0.00064               | 0.49     |
|                    | CD45                 | 0             | 0.52     | 2.80E-06               | 0.64     |
| Mastocytes         | CD117                | 0.00021       | 0.26     | 9.10E-11               | 0.8      |
|                    | CD203c               | 0.065         | 0.081    | 0.0022                 | 0.45     |
|                    | CD41                 | 0.028         | −0.096   | 0.78                   | 0.043    |
| Platelets          | CD42a                | 0.064         | 0.081    | 0.6                    | 0.082    |
|                    | CD42b                | 0.7           | 0.017    | 0.0032                 | 0.43     |
| Megakaryocyte      | CD41b                | 0.028         | −0.096   | 0.78                   | 0.043    |
| Red blood cells    | CD235a               | 0.27          | −0.049   | 0.32                   | 0.15     |

**Figure 11:** FCGR2A gene expression and recurrence or metastasis curve in HNSC patients. (a) Recurrence curve. (b) Metastasis curve. Red: high gene expression; green: low gene expression.
cancer patients. Additionally, in a study focused on the exploration of the influence of genetic variants on susceptibility to chronic periaortitis, Alberici et al. reported that FCGR2A was a gene hallmark for the autoimmunity among the patients with chronic periaortitis [20]. Moreover, in a study that investigated the association of CD1 and FCGR2A gene polymorphisms with Guillain-Barré syndrome susceptibility, Zhang et al. indicated that FCGR2A gene polymorphisms may contribute to GBS risk in Caucasians and revealed a certain trend toward significance in the association of the exon 2 of the CD1E gene with GBS in Caucasians [23]. Up to now, there are few studies focusing on the expression of FCGR2A among HNSC patients. Our data showed that FCGR2A expression was correlated with the expression of 21 immune markers in the HNSC samples, while in the adjacent cancer tissues, the expression of FCGR2A was correlated with 16 immune markers. This contributed to the illustration on the roles of the FCGR2A

**Figure 12:** Survival curve of FCGR2A gene and HNSC in TCGA samples. (a) Overall survival (OS) curve. (b) Disease-specific survival (DSS) curve.

**Figure 13:** Survival curve of FCGR2A gene and head and neck cancer in GSE65858 data. (a) Overall survival (OS) curve. (b) Progression-free survival (PFS) curve.
gene in radiotherapy resistance among carcinomas of nasopharynx patients.

The circulating FCGR2A gene was comparatively higher than that of the other tissues. In the cellular level, the FCGR2A gene was mainly expressed on the Golgi body and the cell membrane. As revealed by the GEPIA website, FCGR2A level in partial cancer tissues was comparatively higher than the adjacent normal tissues, including carcinoma of bile duct, esophagus carcinoma, clear cell carcinoma of kidney, and gastric cancer. In the HNSC patients with HPV negativity, the expression of FCGR2A in cancer tissues was higher than that of the adjacent tissues. Paradoxically, in certain types of tumor, the expression of FCGR2A in the cancer tissues was significantly lower than the adjacent tissues. To date, little is known about the association between the expression of FCGR2A and the prognosis of these patients, as most of the studies focused on the FCGR2A polymorphism. In our study, the expression of FCGR2A mRNA and protein was reported to be associated with the tumor staging from grade 1 to grade 3. Interestingly, in those with grade 4 cancer, the expression of FCGR2A showed a decline in all the 7 cases. This may not present a trend as the sample size is not adequate. Besides, our data indicated that there was no correlation between FCGR2A expression and gender, race, and tumor staging.

In this study, we screened the top 10 proteins associated with FCGR2A, which formed a potential protein interaction network involving the activation of the FCGR signaling pathway. After network analysis, these proteins were involved in FCGR activation, immunological response activation, phagocytosis, PI3KCl signaling pathway, PTP1B signaling pathway, integrin-mediated signaling pathway, CD28 family cosimulation, and bacterial response. According to the GBM/OV microarray database in the Timer website, the expression of FCGR2A was associated with the function of 6 types of immunocytes. Then, the TIMER databases were employed to investigate the correlation between the expression of FCGR2A in the immunocytes of the HNSC patients and the expression of immune marker genes, which indicated that the FCGR2A gene may be involved in the immune infiltration of the HNSC. In addition, infiltration of tumor immunocytes refer to a process in which immunocytes are transmitted to the cancer tissues through circulation. On this basis, it was possible to isolate the infiltrated immunocytes from the cancer tissues. According to a previous study, the infiltration of immunocytes in cancer tissues was considered to be related to the clinical outcomes in cancer patients. Therefore, infiltration of immunocytes in cancer tissues may serve as a drug target in clinical treatment.

PROGgeneV2 is a software displaying the prognostic implications of genes in various cancers. To our best knowledge, most of the studies on FCGR2A have been focused on the inflammation and cerebral vascular diseases. For instance, in a recent study, there was variation in the expression of the FCGR2A gene in the platelets after onset of myocardial infarction [24]. Besides, FCGR2A single nucleotide polymorphism conferred susceptibility to childhood-onset idiopathic nephrotic syndrome [25]. Moreover, there was genetic variation of human neutrophil Fcy receptors and SIRPa in antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity toward cancer cells [26]. In this study, it was used to investigate the correlation of FCGR2A gene expression and the distal metastasis and local occurrence of HNSC. The results showed that the FCGR2A gene was correlated with distal metastasis rather than local recurrence in HNSC patients. Subsequently, the LOGpc database was utilized to investigate the correlation between FCGR2A gene expression and survival of HNSC patients. These data indicated that FCGR2A gene expression was associated with the survival and prognosis of the HNSC patients. Based on the validation using GSE65858, there was a significant difference in the FCGR2A expression and the total survival rate of HNSC cases. Meanwhile, there was a significant association between FCGR2A expression and progression-free survival. This further validated the correlation between FCGR2A expression and the survival prognosis among HNSC patients. All these implied that FCGR2A may serve as an effective factor for the prediction of prognosis of HNSC.

There are some limitations in our study. We only predicted the correlation between FCGR2A mRNA in tumor immunology and its prognostic value based on online tools. In future, experimental studies are required to further illustrate the exact role of FCGR2A mRNA via downregulating or upregulating its expression.

5. Conclusions

In summary, our study provided insights into understanding the potential role of FCGR2A in tumor immunology and its prognostic value. FCGR2A mRNA level was correlated with prognosis and immune infiltrating levels in HNSC, which indicated that it could be used as a prognostic biomarker. In the future, further studies are required to evaluate the potency of the FCGR2A inhibitor interfering with immune cells.
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