COMPARISON OF INTRAVENOUS LIGNOCAINE VS NALBUPHINE IN ATTENUATION OF HEMODYNAMIC RESPONSE TO Awake Tracheal EXUTUBATION IN ENT SURGERIES
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare intravenous lignocaine vs. intravenous nalbuphine in terms of mean change in heart rate and mean arterial pressures (MAP) during awake tracheal extubation.

Study Design: Randomized control trial.

Place and Duration of Study: Main Operation Theatre, Combined Military Hospital Rawalpindi, from May 2016 to Dec 2016.

Methodology: After approval of the study by the institution’s research ethics committee, the patients meeting inclusion criteria were assigned randomly to one of the two groups by lottery method. On return of spontaneous ventilation, patients in group L received intravenous lignocaine 1.5 mg/kg while group N patients received intravenous Nalbuphine 0.2mg/kg. Data recorded immediately (T1) and 5min after (T2) extubation.

Results: After stratification of data in terms of age, gender, duration of surgery and ASA classification, evaluation of hemodynamic parameters (HR and MAP) between the two groups was done. The mean change in HR in group L was 6.66 ± 1.53 bpm and in group N was 4.43 ± 1.35. The mean change in MAP in group L was 4.90 ± 1.49 mm of Hg and in group N was 3.23 ± 1.33. p-value in both parameters was found to be less than 0.05 and thus declared significant.

Conclusion: We concluded that intravenous nalbuphine, in the dose of 0.2mg/kg, is a better attenuator of hemodynamic response to extubation as compared to intravenous lignocaine and provides better stability of Heart rate and Mean arterial Pressure.
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INTRODUCTION

Tracheolaryngeal manipulations during laryngoscopy, intubation and awake extubations lead to a variety of undesirable hemodynamic upsets including wide swings in heart rate and blood pressure. These wide changes can have detrimental effect on susceptible individuals and may precipitate into acute cardiac failure, Arrhythmias and cerebrovascular injuries. The anesthetist has to face the difficult task of stabilizing hemodynamic parameters while ensuring a smooth extubation as coughing, breath holding and movements can further increase intracranial pressures, intraocular pressures and post-operative hematomas/hemorrhage. In light of above mentioned morbidities, significant energies are focused on reducing sympathetic overdrive during airway manipulations.

Various methods and drugs have been tried during airway manipulations to achieve hemodynamic stability and reduce the effects of sympathetic overdrive. These drugs include lignocaine, opioid, Beta blockers and a wide range of other drugs yet a lot of study still needs to be done on finding the best possible agent.

Lignocaine is a very useful agent given before extubation to achieve hemodynamic stability especially in awake cases. Studies showed significant decrease in MAP and HR with lignocaine compared to control group. Opioids are another class of drugs used for aforementioned...
purpose. In various studies throughout the world fentanyl family has proven to be significant hemodynamic stabilizer\textsuperscript{12,13}.

In Pakistan, modern opioids such as remifentanil and fentanyl family are not easily available. Nalbuphine is readily available in OT and Trauma care setups. Thus, more pragmatic approach demands further research on nalbuphine for its role as attenuator of hemodynamic parameters especially in comparison to the more commonly used Lignocaine. In a study conducted in 2014, Nalbuphine group showed significant hemodynamic stability\textsuperscript{14}. When comparing nalbuphine vs. fentanyl in a study published in 2014, results revealed insignificant difference between the two drugs in stabilizing heart rate\textsuperscript{15}.

It cannot be stressed more that the results of this study can be significant in terms of Nalbuphine’s superior analgesic effects postoperatively as well. The dose of Nalbuphine administered at the time of extubation will not only have beneficial effects of cardiovascular system during emergence from general anaesthesia but will also help in good pain relief in post-operative period especially recovery room. This is an important aspect considering the cost ratio where nalbuphine is normally given in recovery room after lignocaine administration during emergence and extubation; we can utilize a one-time nalbuphine dose pre-extubation without requiring any lignocaine administration.

No international or local study is available comparing lignocaine and nalbuphine in terms of better attenuator of intubation response, the two most commonly used drugs for blunting the sympathetic drive during airway manipulations. In light of this, the study has been designed to compare the hemodynamic stability of lignocaine vs nalbuphine in awake tracheal extubation. The study should benefit not only the anesthetists in operation theatres but also the patients requiring intubations in wards and emergency trauma centers as nalbuphine is readily available in Pakistan hospital setups. This would in turn benefit the patients as well as the hospital by raising the standards of hospital care systems and preventing avoidable morbidities.

**METHODOLOGY**

After the approval of ethical committee of CMH Rawalpindi, Randomized control study was done from May 2016 to Dec 2016 at Main Operation Theatre Combined Military Hospital Rawalpindi. Sample size was calculated using WHO Calculator. By using mean change in MAP as 3 ± 2 for IV Lignocaine group\textsuperscript{13} and 6.01 ± 4.29 for Nalbuphine group\textsuperscript{14}. Sample size turned out to be 30 in each group. Non-probability consecutive study sampling technique was used.

A total of 60 cases, meeting the inclusion criteria on pre-anesthetic evaluation, were enrolled in the study, to compare the intravenous Lignocaine vs. intravenous Nalbuphine in terms of mean change in heart rate and Mean Arterial Pressures (MAP) during awake tracheal extubation.

Inclusion criteria constituted patients aged 18-60 yrs, ASA 2 or less with surgery time less than 5 hours and undergoing Elective ENT procedures. All patients falling out of above mentioned criteria or undergoing emergency surgery, difficult prolonged intubation timings or multiple intubation attempts or with history of hypertension, Diabetes mellitus, reduced cardiopulmonary reserves, Allergies were excluded. The patients meeting inclusion criteria were assigned randomly to one of the two groups by lottery method.

Pre-medication was done by I.V nalbuphine 0.1 mg/kg, IV metoclopramide 10mg stat, and induction by I.V propofol 2 mg/kg, I.V Atracurium 0.5 mg/kg. Patients were intubated with cuffed endotracheal tube (size 5-8mm). Following intubation, maintenance of Anaesthesia was achieved by isoflurane MAC 1.5-2%. At the last skin suture, inhalational agent was turned off. End tidal CO2 was kept in slightly higher limits to generate apneic threshold, however, regular breaths were given to ensure end tidal CO2 remained below 55mm Hg. Study drug was administered on return of spontaneous ventilation followed by reversal of neuromuscular blockade.
by neostigmine 0.02 mg/kg with Glycopyrrolate 0.004 mg/kg. Extubation was performed 3 minutes after the administration of study drug.

Group L was administered I.V plain lignocaine 1.5 mg/kg. Group N was administered I.V Nalbuphine 0.2 mg/kg. Heart rate (HR) and mean arterial pressures (MAP) were recorded immediately before extubation (T1) and 5 min after tracheal extubation (T2). No Beta blocker or antihypertensive drug was given during the whole procedure. Extubation was performed in supine position with all patients in position parallel to the floor. HR was analyzed by standard ECG monitors. MAP was assessed using standardized automated NIBP (Noninvasive Blood Pressure Monitoring).

The results were subjected to statistical analysis using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 16.0, Chicago, SPSS Inc. Mean ± SD of heart rate and MAP was calculated at T1 and T2 and net changes analyzed using t-test between the two groups. Effect modifiers like age, gender, duration of surgery and ASA were controlled by stratification. Post stratification, student t-test was applied and p-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

Based on the data analyzed, age distribution of the patients was done showing 14 (23.3%) were between ages of 20-29, 21 (35%) between 30-39 years old, 15 (25%) were between 40-49 and 10 (16.7%) fell in the ages of 50-59. Mean ± SD was calculated as 38.8 ± 10.0 years for group L and 35.7 ± 9.89 years for group N. Fifty one (85%) patients were male while females constituted 9 (15%). Analysis of duration of surgery (min) showed Mean ± SD of 150.7 ± 21.9 min for group L and 149.99 ± 17.9 min for group N. Forty one (68%) of total cases were ASA I whereas 19 (31%) were ASA II. The heart rate and MAP values at two timelines for both groups is shown in table-I. The net change in HR and MAP from T1 to T2 was evaluated in both groups in terms of mean ± SD (table-II).

The data was stratified for age, gender, surgery duration. Stratification for ASA Classification revealed p-value of less than 0.05, for net changes in HR and MAP, in both ASA I and ASA II groups. Age stratification was done based on cohorts between 20 to 29, 30 to 39, 40 to 49, 50 to 59 years and results were found to be significant in all age groups. Stratification in terms of gender variations revealed significantly low p-values in heart rate and MAP changes except in MAP change in females that was found to have p-value of 0.756 between lignocaine and nalbuphine groups. Surgery time as effect modifier revealed significant difference in Heart rate changes between both groups. In terms of changes in MAP, results were found to be significant (p-value <0.05) however p-value was found to be 0.327 for surgery time of 160-179 min and 0.148 for surgery time beyond 180 min.

**DISCUSSION**

The main response to Airway manipulations in larynx chiefly comprise of laryngopharyngeal reflexes to noxious stimuli leading to Cough, breath holding, Laryngospasm and the sympathetic/parasympathetic surge of neurotransmitters leading to wide variation in hemodynamic component of body16-19.

Pertinent to the benefits of suppressing intubation response is the critical point of differentiation whether one is taking into consideration and criteria, the final outcome (peri-op myocar-
dial infarction, death) or relative outcomes such as tachycardia, angina, ischemia or arrhythmias.

The vital organs including heart, brain, kidneys all depend upon the mean Arterial pressures to sustain the respective perfusion pressures. This mean arterial pressure in turn is dependent chiefly on cardiac output and systemic vascular resistance. A change in any of these cofactors will negatively effect the fine oxygen demand supply relationship of the organs and therefore can be detrimental in long term care and prognosis.

This is the key reason for establishing that certain vulnerable and compromised patients may not tolerate the hemodynamic and sympathetic outburst effects of airway manipulation unless a quality pharmacological measure is available to keep the parameters in a fine balance. Such vulnerable/compromised patients inarguably include patients with eclampsia, raised intracranial pressures, hypertension crisis or those presenting for cardiac surgeries. These cases have already a compromised balance of oxygen demand supply and minor change in the balance can lead to catastrophic results peri-operatively and post-operatively.

To counter the hemodynamic parameters, anesthetists have tried a lot many drugs and methods. Lignocaine has been used for its hemodynamic stability role. Lignocaine blocks Na channels and raises the threshold for action potential thus modifying sympathetic outflow. In numerous other studies, opioid family has shown significant results. In Pakistan where fentanyl family drugs are not easily available, nalbuphine is useful alternative in operation theatres and trauma care settings.

The results of our study clearly demonstrate superior abilities of intravenous nalbuphine in attenuating hemodynamic responses to airway manipulations during extubation, in comparison to lignocaine. The p-value of less than 0.05, in both Heart rate changes and Mean Arterial Pressure changes, was found and thus declared significant. This can be co-related indirectly with many local and international researches. Lignocaine and opioids are both proven attenuators of hemodynamic responses.

In a study conducted in 2011 by Deok Hee Lee, lignocaine proved to be significant attenuator of both MAP and HR during airway manipulation compared to control group, p-value of less than 0.05 was seen. The use of Lignocaine as attenuator of hemodynamic responses has been used for many decades. In a study done by Arun Bidwai in 1979, he proved significant attenuation effects of intravenous lignocaine on extubation.

Remifentanyl has been studied for its hemodynamic stability effects extensively. In 2011, Lee et al compared the attenuation effects of 1.5 mg/kg I.V lignocaine with Remifentanyl, an opioid of fentanyl family. It was found that Remifentanyl was a far better attenuator of both HR and MAP during extubation period than lignocaine. Thus opioids are as effective attenuators of intubation responses, if not better than lignocaine.

In 2014, Mohammad Tariq observed the attenuation effects of 0.2 mg/kg nalbuphine and found significant (p<0.05) attenuation of HR and MAP during airway manipulation. In the same year, Sharma et al compared nalbuphine vs fentanyl for attenuation of intubation responses and found both drugs comparable in terms of heart rate.

Finally, results of major studies throughout the world have shown lignocaine and nalbuphine as significant attenuators of intubation response. No study was hitherto done on comparing these two drugs with one another. This study was strongly required in Pakistan where fentanyl family is only available in major centers and majority of hospitals have easy access to Nalbuphine as an opioid of choice. Thus it can be established with confidence that Nalbuphine can be used as a far superior attenuator of hemodynamic responses during extubation than lignocaine, as has been shown by our study as well.

We are of the view that nalbuphine use should be encouraged for attenuating hemody-
namic responses to awake extubation, compared to traditional lignocaine use. It has both hemodynamic stability effect and its added analgesic effects have more beneficial results for the patients post operatively. Anyhow limitation of our study is that it is a single center study and the sample size is not enough to generalize it to the whole population. Therefore, large multi centers study should be carried out before strongly recommending this drug for routine awake extubation.

CONCLUSION

We concluded that intravenous nalbuphine, in the dose of 0.2 mg/kg, is a better attenuator of hemodynamic response to extubation as compared to intravenous lignocaine and provides better stability of Heart rate and Mean arterial Pressure.
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