Rapid direct detection of pathogens for diagnosis of joint infections by MALDI-TOF MS after liquid enrichment in the BacT/Alert blood culture system
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Abstract

Pathogen identification is a critical step during diagnosis of infectious diseases. Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time-Of-Flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) has become the gold standard for identification of microorganisms cultured on solid media in microbiology laboratories. Direct identification of microbes from liquid specimen, circumventing the need for the additional overnight cultivation step, has been successfully established for blood culture, urine and liquor. Here, we evaluate the ability of MALDI-TOF MS for direct identification of pathogens in synovial fluid after liquid enrichment in BacT/Alert blood culture bottles. Influence of synovial specimen quality on direct species identification with the MALDI BioTyper/Sepsityper was tested with samples inoculated from pretested native synovia with concomitant inoculation of blood or pus, or highly viscous fluid. Here, we achieved >90% concordance with culture on solid medium, and only mixed-species samples posed significant problems. Performance in routine diagnostics was tested prospectively on bottles inoculated by treating physicians on ward. There, we achieved >70% concordance with culture on solid medium. The major contributors to test failure were the absence of a measurable mass signal and mixed-specimen samples. The Sepsityper workflow worked well on samples derived from BacT/Alert blood culture bottles inoculated with synovial fluid, giving concordant results to identification from solid media. Host remnant material in the inoculum, such as blood or pus, had no detrimental effect on identification score values of the BioTyper system after processing with the Sepsityper workflow, and neither had the initial viscosity of the synovial sample.

Introduction

Over the last decades, the numbers of prosthetic joint replacements have continuously increased and are forecast to increase even further with the ageing population [1]. This in turn
correlates with a higher number of associated prosthetic joint infections (PJIs). Implant-associated infections have significant consequences on morbidity and mortality and place a high economic burden on the healthcare system [2].

For focused therapy of PJI, identification of pathogens from synovial fluid is highly relevant. Consequently, aspiration of synovial fluid with microbiological analysis is recommended by the AAOS guidelines for joint infections [3]. However, several challenges exist in the diagnostic procedures of PJI. Most importantly, samples in association with PJI or bone infections after fracture fixation generally have low bacterial densities with concomitant cellular debris, so that direct bacterial identification out of these samples is difficult [4]. Enrichment steps, e.g. in liquid medium and prolonged incubation (up to 2 weeks), increase sensitivity and specificity [5,6]. They allow observation of slow growing small colony variants [7] or fastidious bacteria, and lead to improved diagnosis [8]. Any possible reduction in processing time will likely have a positive effect on treatment.

For microbes cultured on solid media, Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time-Of-Flight Mass Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) has become the gold standard for species identification. This technology has brought significant changes in processing of microbiological probes and replaced most cost- and time intensive phenotypic biochemical assays. For identifying microbial species out of liquid culture, MALDI-TOF is already regularly used for blood specimen (reviewed in [9,10]) and is highly standardized during routine diagnostics through commercialized assays such as the Sepsityper workflow [11]. These procedures circumvent the need for an additional overnight cultivation step. A first study has demonstrated the feasibility of such assays also for orthopedic samples using the BACTEC system [12].

Here, we examined whether BacT/Alert blood culture vials inoculated with synovial fluid were suitable for the Sepsityper workflow, if this yielded microbial identification concordant with the standard culture procedure, and if different levels of host residue influence these results.

**Results and discussion**

Several experimental factors influence MALDI-TOF mass spectrum quality when bacteria are analyzed from clinical samples. Residual host material ionizes along with microbial markers. If the host material is in excess, this can lead to spectra representing the host rather than the microbe. Consequently, a main goal of sample pre-processing is eliminating host material, and enriching for microbial cells. A possible option is amplification through liquid culture. This may however introduce several components into the sample interfering with the MALDI-TOF process [13]. In older blood culture systems, charcoal and salt ions from the culture media were shown to interfere with the results [14]. Even after successful amplification, liquid cultures will still contain remnants of host materials, such as blood or tissue cells, soluble proteins, or mucus. These materials generate background noise mass peaks, overlapping with microbial spectra and interfere with the interpretation of bacterial proteome profiles [15]. Therefore, amplicon pretreatment removing host cells and proteins, while further concentrating the microbes, improves spectrum quality.

To test if the residues contained in synovial inoculum had an influence of species identification, we used a total of 23 native synovial samples (Table 1) over a course of 5 weeks, which contained a visible degree of host residue (blood, pus), or were highly viscous. The samples had been analyzed by culture on solid media and were additionally inoculated into pediatric BacT/Alert blood culture bottles. All bottles automatically flagged positive by the next morning (~16h). Using the Sepsityper workflow, 21/23 (91%) of the samples gave concordant results with culture on solid media. Out of these, only two missed the manufacturer-recommended
threshold of 2.000 for species-level identifications. Acceptance of lower score values down to 1.700 when using the Sepsityper protocol, however, appear feasible [9]. The two remaining samples (9%) were from mixed-species specimens. In one case (#11) the initial mixed result could not be confirmed by reanalysis, and the Sepsityper identification was concordant with this second culture result (Table 1). Most importantly, there was no apparent hindrance through blood, pus, or high viscosity present in the inoculum.

In order to test if this procedure also worked outside artificial laboratory conditions, we prospectively applied it on positively flagged specimen from our routine diagnostics. There, specimen had been inoculated on ward by the treating physician, and the specific condition of the inoculum was unknown (Fig 1). A total of 468 blood culture bottles inoculated with synovia from 355 clinical specimens were received during the study period, out of which 87 were flagged positive. Fifty bottles were excluded because they were not made immediately available for the study, mainly because they flagged positive during the night leading to unrepresentatively high microbial yield, leaving 37 bottles to be tested by the Sepsityper workflow.

Pathogen identification concordant with results from isolates cultured on solid agar was achieved in 30/37 (81%) samples at the first try, however 7/37 (19%) again not at levels required for species-level identifications. One sample gave discordant species-level matches

### Table 1. Results of spiked culture Sepsityper identification.

| Nr. | consistency | joint | routine identification | score | Sepsityper identification |
|-----|-------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|---------------------------|
| 1   | blood, viscous | hip   | Enterobacter cloacae    | 2.47  | Enterobacter cloacae      |
| 2   | blood, pus    | hip   | Streptococcus agalactiae| 2.30  | Streptococcus agalactiae  |
| 3   | blood, pus    | hip   | Staphylococcus aureus   | 2.19  | Staphylococcus aureus     |
| 5   | blood, viscous| spine | Staphylococcus aureus   | 2.24  | Staphylococcus aureus     |
| 6   | pus           | spine | Staphylococcus aureus   | 2.13  | Staphylococcus aureus     |
| 7   | blood         | hip   | Streptococcus pyogenes  | 2.44  | Streptococcus pyogenes    |
| 9   | blood, viscous| hip   | Enterococcus faecium    | 2.00  | Enterococcus faecium      |
| 10  | blood, pus    | n.r.  | Staphylococcus aureus   | 2.33  | Staphylococcus aureus     |
| 12  | blood, viscous| knee  | Escherichia coli        | 2.39  | Escherichia coli          |
| 13  | blood, viscous| n.r.  | Escherichia coli        | 2.30  | Escherichia coli          |
| 14  | clear, viscous| knee  | Staphylococcus aureus   | 2.34  | Staphylococcus aureus     |
| 15  | clear, viscous| knee  | Escherichia coli        | 2.28  | Escherichia coli          |
| 16  | pus           | knee  | Staphylococcus aureus   | 2.37  | Staphylococcus aureus     |
| 17  | clear, viscous| knee  | Staphylococcus aureus   | 2.39  | Staphylococcus aureus     |
| 18  | pus           | knee  | Staphylococcus aureus   | 2.37  | Staphylococcus aureus     |
| 19  | pus, viscous  | n.r.  | Staphylococcus aureus   | 2.29  | Staphylococcus aureus     |
| 20  | pus, viscous  | hip   | Staphylococcus epidermidis| 2.16 | Staphylococcus epidermidis|
| 21  | pus, viscous  | knee  | Staphylococcus epidermidis| 2.03 | Staphylococcus epidermidis|
| 22  | blood         | knee  | Staphylococcus epidermidis| 2.23 | Staphylococcus epidermidis|
| 11  | blood, pus    | n.r.  | Candida albicans / C. parapsilosis | 2.14 | Candida parapsilosis |
| 23  | blood, viscous| hip   | Escherichia coli / Enterococcus faecalis | 1.57 | no reliable identification |

n.r. not recorded.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243790.t001
for the bottle (Streptococcus mitis) and solid agar (Streptococcus pneumoniae). Reliable distinction of S. pneumoniae from viridans group streptococci is important because of the different pathogenic properties of these organisms, and this has also previously been achieved by MALDI-TOF MS by others [16].

Five out of 37 samples (14%) contained mixed-species cultures of either Staphylococcus aureus combined with different enterobacteria, or Pseudomonas aeruginosa combined with Enterococcus faecium (4 samples of the same patient). Mixed cultures have previously been shown to be problematic, where the ratio of the organisms is unequal [17,18]. Among our samples, only in one the result indicated a mixed culture with both species, in the other four only a single species was found with high score values, however, plating of the culture indicated no more than 4-fold differences in cfu between each of the two species present. In the six remaining samples, identification was not achieved due to the complete lack of mass signals, potentially attributable to the loss of the bacterial pellet or too low cell counts in the culture (Table 2).

**Conclusion**

In summary, the Sepsityper workflow also worked well on mono-species samples derived from BacT/Alert blood culture bottles inoculated with synovia. The major contributor to failed detection was the absence of mass signals. The level of concordant identifications in both our specimen groups was comparable to those achieved by others for synovia [12], or blood cultures (summarized in [9,10]). In the case of mixed cultures, the test was not able to reliably detect the mixture, despite apparent similar cfu numbers. Host remnant material in the inoculum, such as blood or pus, had no detrimental effect on identification score values of the MALDI BioTyper system, and neither had the initial viscosity of the synovial sample.
Table 2. Results of prospective Sepsityper identification.

| Type of blood vial | Location/ Joint side | bacterial species identified from direct ID by Sepsityper | MALDI-TOF score | Reference identification |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| **concordant species-level identification (score ≥ 2)** |
| aerobic hip left | Streptococcus agalactiae | 2.51 | Streptococcus agalactiae |
| aerobic knee left | Staphylococcus lugdunensis | 2.18 | Staphylococcus lugdunensis |
| aerobic knee left | Staphylococcus lugdunensis | 2.29 | Staphylococcus lugdunensis |
| aerobic hip right | Staphylococcus capitis | 2.19 | Staphylococcus capitis |
| aerobic elbow left | Staphylococcus aureus | 2.44 | Staphylococcus aureus |
| aerobic hip left | Streptococcus dysgalactiae | 2.10 | Streptococcus dysgalactiae |
| aerobic knee right | Staphylococcus capitis | 2.13 | Staphylococcus capitis |
| aerobic hip right | Escherichia coli | 2.41 | Escherichia coli |
| aerobic knee right | Streptococcus agalactiae | 2.00 | Streptococcus agalactiae |
| aerobic hip right | Staphylococcus aureus | 2.37 | Staphylococcus aureus |
| aerobic shoulder right | Streptococcus dysgalactiae | 2.01 | Streptococcus dysgalactiae |
| aerobic knee right | Staphylococcus epidermidis | 2.24 | Staphylococcus epidermidis |
| aerobic knee right | Escherichia coli | 2.56 | Escherichia coli |
| anaerobic hip left | Propionibacterium acnes | 2.25 | Propionibacterium acnes |
| anaerobic knee left | Propionibacterium acnes | 2.41 | Propionibacterium acnes |
| anaerobic knee left | Propionibacterium acnes | 2.37 | Propionibacterium acnes |
| anaerobic hip right | Enterobacter cloacae | 2.42 | Enterobacter cloacae |
| anaerobic knee left | Staphylococcus epidermidis | 2.11 | Staphylococcus epidermidis |
| **concordant species-level identification, but only with genus level scores (≥ 1.7–1.999)** |
| aerobic hip left | Staphylococcus epidermidis | 1.85 | Staphylococcus epidermidis |
| aerobic knee left | Staphylococcus epidermidis | 1.71 | Staphylococcus epidermidis |
| anaerobic knee left | Staphylococcus epidermidis | 1.96 | Staphylococcus epidermidis |
| anaerobic knee left | Streptococcus dysgalactiae | 1.75 | Streptococcus dysgalactiae |
| anaerobic hip left | Propionibacterium acnes | 1.70 | Propionibacterium acnes |
| aerobic hip right | Streptococcus constellatus | 1.98 | Streptococcus constellatus |
| **concordant species-level identification, but with scores below significance threshold (< 1.7)** |
| aerobic knee left | Staphylococcus epidermidis | 1.65 | Staphylococcus epidermidis |
| **discordant species complex-level identifications** |
| anaerobic hip right | Streptococcus pneumoniae | 2.08 | Streptococcus oralis |
| **Mixed cultures** |
| aerobic hip left | Staphylococcus aureus | 2.29 | S. aureus, E. cloacae, E. kobei |
| aerobic hip right | Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 2.23 | P. aeruginosa, E. faecium |
| anaerobic hip right | Enterococcus faecium | 2.41 | Enterococcus faecium |
| aerobic hip right | Enterococcus faecium, Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 2.17 | Enterococcus faecium, Pseudomonas aeruginosa |
| anaerobic hip right | Enterococcus faecium | 2.40 | Enterococcus faecium |
| **no identification** |
| aerobic hip right | no peaks found | n.a. | Campylobacter coli |
| aerobic hip right | no peaks found | n.a. | Streptococcus oralis |
| aerobic hip right | no peaks found | n.a. | Streptococcus oralis |
| anaerobic shoulder n.r. | no peaks found | n.a. | Streptococcus aureus |
| anaerobic knee left | no peaks found | n.a. | Propionibacterium acnes |

n.r., not recorded, n.a. not applicable.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243790.t002
Materials and methods

Sample acquisition and study design

For assessment of method feasibility, pediatric aerobic FCS-supplemented blood culture vials (BacT/ALERT PF Plus bioMérieux, Nürtingen, Germany) were inoculated with refrigerated synovial fluid that was previously found to be culture positive by our routine diagnostic procedures. Samples were selected on the basis that they had to be viscous, ideally with large proportions of blood and/or pus. Positively flagged culture vials were processed by MALDI-TOF MS as described below.

For prospective study, we used blood culture specimens, where the vials had been routinely inoculated by treating physicians with synovial fluid, transported to the microbiology lab, and cultivated in an automated microbial detection system (BacT/ALERT3D, bioMérieux) for up to 14 days. Only those samples that were made available for the study without delay were included for downstream processing by MALDI-TOF. The collection period was January 01, 2018 to March 07, 2019.

Routine culture

Standard microbiological cultures were performed on all specimen included into the study by plating on Columbia agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood (bioMérieux) under aerobic conditions, on Chocolate agar (bioMérieux) under atmosphere enriched with 9% CO₂ for 48h and, under anaerobic conditions on Columbia agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood (bioMérieux) for 48 h at 37°C. Reference identifications from culture plates were performed using the same MALDI Biotyper system as below, using standard procedures.

MALDI-TOF MS analysis

Direct processing of the samples with the Sepsityper kit (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) was carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions and as described in the literature for blood cultures [19]. In detail, 1 mL of the medium from a positive blood culture bottle was mixed with 200 μL of the lysis reagent and thoroughly mixed for 10 sec. After short incubation at room temperature, this mixture was passed over a spin column (Sigma-Aldrich, SC1000-1KT) for 2 minutes at 2000 rpm in a table top centrifuge and the filter discarded. Microbial cells were harvested from the flow through by centrifugation 1 min at 11500 x g. The supernatant was removed by aspiration and discarded, and the pellet washed with 1 mL saline. After further centrifugation, the supernatant was decanted and the pellet was re-suspended to 300 μL with deionized water. After addition of 900 μL 100% ethanol the cells were again harvested by centrifugation for 2 min at 11500 x g. The supernatant then was decanted, any residual ethanol then was removed and the pellet was dried at room temperature.

For extraction, 70% formic acid and an equal volume of 100% acetonitrile were added to the pellet and each mixed carefully. The sample was centrifuged for 2 min at maximum speed and 1 μL of the supernatant then was transferred to a sample spot on a MALDI target plate. After the sample spot had air dried it was overlaid with 1 μL matrix solution (Bruker Daltonics) and dried again. Three spots on the MALDI target plate were used for each sample. Afterwards identification of species was performed using a MALDI BioTyper SMART system using the standard settings (Bruker Daltonics, database version 2018).
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