PHARMACOKINETICS OF CIPROFLOXACIN IN BROILER CHICKENS AFTER SINGLE INTRAVENOUS AND INTRAINGUINAL ADMINISTRATION
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ABSTRACT

The trial was performed on 10 clinically healthy Ross hybrid chickens, 5 from each gender, weighing 2.75-2.84 kg. The tested quinolone was applied at the same dose for both routes of application – 10 mg/kg of body weight. Ciprofloxacin hydrochloridum% solution for i.v. and 1% solution for intraingluvial treatment were prepared. In a crossover study design, ciprofloxacin hydrochloridum was administered as 5% solution for i.v. bolus injection to broiler chickens and after 14 days as 1% solution for intraingluvial administration into a crop to the same birds. Serum ciprofloxacin concentrations were assayed by HPLC with UV detection at a wavelength of 279 nm. After intravenous injection the following pharmacokinetic parameters were determined: t1/2b = 9.07 h; t1/2a = 0.36 h; MRT = 10.20 h and MRT = 10.75 h; AUC0→∞ = 19.560 μg.h/mL and AUC0→24 = 19.843 μg.h/mL. After intraingluvial application parameters determined by the two pharmacokinetic models were as: t1/2a = 0.86 h; t1/2b = 7.20 h and t1/2b = 7.89 h; MRT = 12.67 h and MRT = 12.93 h; AUC0→∞ = 11.340 μg.h/mL and AUC0→24 = 11.973 μg.h/mL; Cmax = 2.841 μg/mL and Cmax = 2.638 μg/mL; Tmax = 0.48 h and Tmax = 0.39 h; t1/2abs. = 0.146 h; MAT = 2.47 h and MAT = 2.18 h; F = 57.91% and F = 63.89%. These results suggested that a dose of 10 mg/kg of body weight provides maximum plasma concentration after intraingluvial administration and is effective in the control of many infectious diseases of poultry.
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INTRODUCTION

Ciprofloxacin is a fluorinated quinolone with a broad antimicrobial spectrum and high bactericidal activity. It is highly effective against a variety of bacteria – Gram-negative, including Pseudomonas and Enterobacteriaceae, as well as some Gram-positive organisms and many anaerobes and facultative anaerobes. In-vitro tests of the effects of different quinolones against some bacterial pathogens in veterinary practice demonstrated that it is most efficient against Gram-negative microorganisms and mycoplasmae (1, 2). The pyperazine ring to position 7 of the ciprofloxacin molecule is responsible for its activity against Pseudomonas, while the fluoroine atom at position 6 confers activity against some Gram-positive bacteria (3, 4).

The bactericide activity of ciprofloxacin, similarly to other fluoroquinolones, is due to the effect on bacterial DNA gyrase (5). The drug suppresses DNA gyrase through interaction with microbial DNA (2).

Ciprofloxacin is well tolerated by animals after parenteral application (6-13). In the organism, it is metabolised in the liver. In humans, there are four ciprofloxacin metabolites (sulfociprofloxacin, oxociprofloxacin, desethylciprofloxacin and formyl-ciprofloxacin), which are excreted with the bile. In animals, it is converted only to two metabolites – desethyl-enciprofloxacin and oxociprofloxacin, detected in the urine of calves and monkeys (6, 14).
The possible use of ciprofloxacin for treatment of infections, provoked by Mycoplasma and secondary infections (respiratory colibacillosis and pasteurellosis) in birds and pigs requires detailed information about the pharmacokinetic behaviour — distribution, metabolism, elimination and bioavailability of ciprofloxacin in food-producing animal species. Therefore, the purpose of the present experiment was to follow up the pharmacokinetics of this fluoroquinolone in broiler chickens after intravenous and intraingluvial application.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals and housing

The present pharmacokinetic experiment was conducted with 10 sexually mature Ross broiler chickens from both sexes, 60 days of age, weighing 2.75 to 2.840 kg. They were reared in compliance with the standards for the species and fed a compound feed for stock layers. The ambient temperature in the premise was 22-23°C, with continuous ventilation and mixed lighting regimen: 12-hour light period between 8.00 AM and 8.00 PM. The birds were floor reared, and food and water were provided ad libitum. Before the beginning of the pharmacokinetic trial, the birds were neither vaccinated nor treated with other drugs.

Drugs

In this study, the substance ciprofloxacin hydrochloridum was used (Actavis Ltd, Sofia, Bulgaria), dissolved ex tempore in sterile distilled water to obtain 5% solution for intravenous and 1% solution for oral (intraingluvial) application.

Experimental design

The tested fluoroquinolone was applied once, intravenously or intraingluvially at a dose of 10 mg/kg of body weight after preliminary dissolution in sterile distilled water to obtain 5% solution for intravenous and 1% solution for oral (intraingluvial) application.

Blood sampling

Blood samples of 1 mL were collected from the left wing’s v. brachialis before drug application and at post treatment hours 0.085, 0.17, 0.33, 0.50, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 12 and 24. The serum was separated by centrifugation at 1500×g for 10 min. Blood serum samples was stored frozen at −25°C over 3 days until analysis.

Analysis of samples

For assays, ciprofloxacin hydrochloridum substance purchased from Actavis Ltd – Sofia was used, as well as acetonitrile HPLC grade (Merck); triethylamine (TEA; Merck); tetrabutyl ammonium hydrochloride 40% (TBA, Merck); phosphoric acid 85% (Merck) and perchloric acid for analysis 70% (Merck). The water used in the analyses was treated through a Millipore purifying system.

Chromatography system

Serum ciprofloxacin concentrations were assayed by HPLC with UV detection according to the slightly modified method of Imre et al. (15). The difference consisted in substituting blood serum for plasma. The chromatography was run on HPLC system Waters equipped with quaternary pump, HPLC with UV detector, protected and analytical columns Lichrospher (Beckman).

The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile and water (1:1), supplemented with 3% potassium phosphate buffer, 2% TEA and 2% TBA. Mobile phase pumping rate was 1.2 ml/min. Chromatograms were integrated with a computer program.

The reference standard of ciprofloxacin was used to prepare a stock solution, which was used to fortify serum from untreated chikens (control serum).

The calibration curve for ciprofloxacin consisted of 7 standard solutions that ranged from 0.05 to 10 μg/mL and included a blank (0 μg/mL) sample. The calibration curve was accepted if the linear coefficient of determination (R²) was ≥ 0.99 and if
the calibration curve concentrations could be back-calculated to within < 15% of the true concentration of the standard. All serum, calibration, quality-control, and blank serum samples were prepared in an identical manner.

**Preparation of samples**

Blood proteins were precipitated by consecutive addition of two acids – 85% phosphoric acid and 70% perchloric acid to 100 μL serum, homogenisation by a vortex mixer and centrifugation at 1500×g for 10 min. One hundred μL aliquots of the supernatant were injected in the HPLC system.

**Assay validation**

Serum ciprofloxacin concentrations were calculated from standard curves from spiked matrix standards. The method of external calibration curves was used for assay validation.

The limit of quantitation (LOQ) for ciprofloxacin was 0.035 μg/mL, and the limit of detection (LOD) – 0.005 μg/mL. The precipitation of serum proteins did not alter the recovery of ciprofloxacin. Intra-day and inter-day coefficients of variation of the method ranged between 5.9–9.19%, and 6.7–12.1%, respectively.

**Pharmacokinetic analysis**

The TopFit, v. 2.0. software was used for pharmacokinetic investigation of serum concentration time curves after single intravenous or intraingluvial application (16). For description of drug behaviour, two pharmacokinetic models were used – compartmental and non-compartmental analysis (17). The pharmacokinetic modelling was done according to Akaike’s criterion (18). Pharmacokinetic parameters were presented as means (Means) ± standard error (SE). The absolute bioavailability (F) after intramuscular injection of fluoroquinolone solution was calculated as per the equation:

\[
F (\%) = \left[ \frac{(AUC_{0-\infty, p.o.} \times D_{i.v.})}{(AUC_{0-\infty, i.v.} \times D_{p.o.})} \right] \times 100.
\]

Mean absorption times (MAT) were calculated by the formula:

\[
MAT = MRT_{p.o.} - MRT_{i.v.} \quad (16).
\]

**RESULTS**

The mean serum ciprofloxacin concentrations assayed after single intravenous or intraingluvial application to broiler chickens are presented on Fig. 1. After the i.v. injection of the aqueous solution of the drug, serum levels remained higher than 0.24 μg/mL up to the 24th h (Fig. 1).

**Table 1.** Selected pharmacokinetic parameters of ciprofloxacin after single intravenous application to broiler chickens at a dose of 10 mg/kg of body weight (mean ± SE)

| Parameter | Units | Compartmental analysis | Parameter | Units | Non-compartmental analysis |
|-----------|-------|------------------------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|
|           | Mean  | SE                     |           | Mean  | SE                        |
| \( t_{1/2a} \) | h     | 0.36                   | \( t_{1/2b} \) | h     | 9.82                      |
|           | 0.01  |                        |           | 0.97  |                           |
| \( t_{1/2g} \) | h     | 9.07                   | MRT       | h     | 10.75                     |
|           | 1.87  |                        |           | 0.76  |                           |
| MRT       | h     | 10.20                  | AUC<sub>0–24 h</sub> | µg.h/mL | 19.027                    |
|           | 1.28  |                        |           | 1.26  |                           |
| \( k_{12} \) | h<sup>-1</sup> | 2.741               | AUC<sub>0–∞</sub> | µg.h/mL | 19.843                    |
|           | 1.14  |                        |           | 0.42  |                           |
| \( k_{11} \) | h<sup>-1</sup> | 0.348               | Cl<sub>a</sub> | mL/min/kg | 0.31                      |
|           | 0.52  |                        |           | 0.01  |                           |
| \( k_{a} \) | h<sup>-1</sup> | 1.408               | V<sub>c</sub> | L/kg | 4.86                      |
|           | 0.36  |                        |           | 0.34  |                           |
| Cl<sub>h</sub> | mL/min/kg | 0.36            | r<sup>2</sup> | -  | 0.995                     |
|           | 0.12  |                        |           | 0.09  |                           |
| AUC<sub>0–∞</sub> | µg.h/mL | 19.563          |               |       |                           |
| V<sub>d(area)</sub> | L/kg | 5.43               |               |       |                           |
| V<sub>s</sub> | L/kg | 4.83               |               |       |                           |

\( t_{1/2a} \) – distribution half-life; \( t_{1/2b} \) – elimination half-life; MRT – mean residence time; \( k_{12} \) – transfer rate constant from the central to the peripheral compartment; \( k_{11} \) – transfer rate constant from the peripheral to the central compartment; \( k_{a} \) – elimination rate constant; V<sub>s</sub> – steady-state volume of distribution; V<sub>c</sub> – volume of distribution in the central compartment; V<sub>d</sub> – volume of distribution; Cl<sub>h</sub> – total body clearance; AUC<sub>0–24 h</sub> – area under the serum concentration curve from time 0 to 24 h; r<sup>2</sup> – correlation coefficient.
After the intravenous application, ciprofloxacin concentrations in 8 treated broilers exhibited a biexponential relationship with time, with an initial distribution phase ($t_{1/2a}$) (approximately up to 0.75 h), followed by a slower elimination phase ($t_{1/2b}$) and a long elimination half-life (Table 1). For the other two birds (both of them male), drug concentrations were monoexponential with respect to time.

Serum concentrations after the intraingluvial application of the tested fluoroquinolone were detectable as early as the first blood sampling – by hour 0.08 and were over the limit of quantification (LOQ) until the 24th hour (Fig. 1). The parenteral application of 5% solution of the drug resulted in rapid attainment of maximum serum concentrations ($C_{max}$) – after about 20-25 min. Intraingluvially treated birds exhibited biexponential reduction of fluoroquinolone levels in all birds, rapid distribution ($t_{1/2a}$ phase) and prolonged elimination ($t_{1/2b}$ phase).

The rate of absorption of ciprofloxacin in intraingluvially treated birds is presented in Table 2 and were characterised by pharmacokinetic parameters $t_{1/2abs}$ and MAT.

![Figure 1. Serum ciprofloxacin concentrations after single intravenous (i.v.) or intraingluvial (p.o.) application to broiler chickens](image)

| Parameter | Units | Compartmental analysis | Parameter | Units | Non-compartmental analysis |
|-----------|-------|------------------------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|
| $t_{1/2a}$ | h     | 0.86 ± 0.09            | $t_{1/2b}$ | h     | 7.89 ± 1.14               |
| $t_{1/2b}$ | h     | 7.20 ± 0.84            | MRT       | h     | 12.93 ± 0.87              |
| MRT       | h     | 12.67 ± 0.76           | $AUC_{0→24h}$ | µg.h/mL | 11.97 ± 0.62            |
| $t_{1/2abs}$ | h | 0.15 ± 0.01           | $AUC_{0→∞}$ | µg.h/mL | 12.98 ± 1.10           |
| $AUC_{0→∞}$ | µg.h/mL | 11.34 ± 1.11     | $C_{max}$ | µg/mL | 2.638 ± 0.46             |
| $C_{max}$ | µg/mL | 2.841 ± 0.28         | $T_{max}$ | h     | 0.39 ± 0.17               |
| $T_{max}$ | h     | 0.48 ± 0.09           | MAT       | h     | 2.18 ± 0.29               |
| MAT       | h     | 2.47 ± 0.34           | F         | %     | 63.89 ± 0.34             |
| F         | %     | 57.91 ± 0.11         | $r^2$     | -     | 0.987 ± 0.34             |

$t_{1/2a}$ – distribution half-life; $t_{1/2b}$ – elimination half-life; $t_{1/2abs}$ – absorption half-life; MRT – mean residence time; MAT – mean absorption time; $AUC_{0→24h}$ – area under the plasma concentration curve from hour 0 to hour 24; $C_{max}$ – maximum serum concentrations after intraingluvial application; $T_{max}$ – time to reach maximum serum concentration; F – absolute bioavailability of the drug; $r^2$ – correlation coefficient
DISCUSSION

In this study, serum ciprofloxacin concentration and the pharmacokinetic behaviour of the tested fluoroquinolone were established in adult clinically healthy broiler chickens. It should be emphasized that after intravenous application to broiler-type birds, the drug exhibited an initial rapid distribution phase (up to 0.36 h, followed by a continuous elimination phase. This finding is in agreement with results reported by other researchers on the administration of this antibiotic in different broiler chicken strains at various dose rates (9, 11, 12, 19). Similarly to others’ reports (9, 12) in 8 out of the ten i.v. injected chickens, serum curves were well fitted to a two-compartmental open pharmacokinetic model. In injected broiler chickens, ciprofloxacin was characterised with a long elimination period, as evidenced by elimination half-life of the drug (t1/2b) and mean residence time (MRT) values calculated by both used pharmacokinetic models (compartmental and non-compartmental analysis) which were higher than data obtained in broiler chickens by García Ovando et al. (t1/2b = 3.11 ± 0.25 h), Raina et al. (t1/2b = 5.79 ± 1.14 h), Anadón et al. (t1/2b = 8.84 ± 2.13 h), but similar to those of Atta and Scharif (t1/2b = 9.01 ± 0.79 h) (9, 11, 12, 19). The longer elimination half-life (t1/2b) in i.v. treated broiler chickens in our experiment was probably due to the different used ciprofloxacin dosage. It is obvious that if concentration is higher, then the elimination process is longer.

The area under the concentration time curve (AUC0→∞) after i.v. administration of ciprofloxacin to broiler chickens (Table 1) was higher than those reported by Raina et al. (AUC0→∞= 4.54 ± 0.59 μg.h/mL), Garcia Ovando et al. (AUC0→∞= 5.67 ± 0.52 μg.h/mL), Anadón et al. (AUC0→∞= 17.71 ± 4.75 μg.h/mL) and lower than that observed by Atta and Scharif in chickens (AUC0→∞= 78.04 ± 9.45 μg.h/mL) (9, 11, 12, 19).

It was demonstrated that after intraingluvial application, ciprofloxacin was rapidly absorbed from the digestive tract of chickens, as indicated by the values of t1/2abs, T max and C max (Table 2). Our values are comparable to those published by other research teams (9, 12, 20).

In this pharmacokinetic study, the elimination half-life (t1/2b) and the mean residence time (MRT) (Table 2) following intraingluvial application of the fluoroquinolone, determined by both pharmacokinetic models – compartmental and non-compartmental analysis – were longer than those reported by Raina et al. (t1/2b = 4.37 ± 0.36 h and MRT = 6.17 ± 0.49 h) (12), and similar or close to data of Anadón et al. (t1/2b = 11.89 ± 1.95 h; MRT = 13.32 ± 2.65 h) (20). Hypothetically, the differences vs Raina et al. could be attributed to the different applied doses and experimental design, as well as to the different broiler chicken strains used (20).

The absolute bioavailability (F) of the tested fluoroquinolone after oral administration into the crop, was lower than that reported by Anadón et al. (F = 70.09 ± 9.8%) in broiler chickens treated via the same route at 8 mg/kg (12).

When evaluating data after the single crop application of ciprofloxacin to 2-month-old broiler chickens, the presence of individual antimicrobial drug concentrations > 0.1 μg/mL between post treatment hours 0.08 and 12 deserves to be pointed out, as it contributes to a 12-hour antimicrobial activity higher than MICs of a number of clinically important microbial pathogens for this poultry species.
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