Eleven COVID-19 Outbreaks with Local Transmissions Caused by the Imported SARS-CoV-2 Delta VOC — China, July–August, 2021
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Starting from December 2019, Wuhan, China, encountered the first outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (1–2). The epidemic was successfully suppressed by strict containment so that the number of infected people was reduced to 0 on April 8, 2020 (3–4). After that, China experienced roughly 3 dozen outbreaks with local transmission caused by imported severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). These outbreaks were then contained by an effective suppression strategy, and the number of infected people has successfully reached zero again. However, local outbreaks of COVID-19 have reappeared in several areas in China recently and were caused by the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant of concern (VOC) (5).

As of August 26, 2021, a total of 1,390 cases of COVID-19 had been reported in 50 cities in 19 provincial-level administrative divisions (PLADs) (Figure 1), all of which were found to involve the Delta variant.

Furthermore, these cases were found to stem from 12 genetically distinct Delta variant imported sources that were grouped into 11 related outbreaks or sporadic case incidents, resulting in 10 geographically separated epidemics including the following areas (Figure 1): Nanjing City of Jiangsu Province; Zhengzhou City of Henan Province; Xiamen City of Fujian Province; Wuxi City of Jiangsu Province; Dehong City of Yunnan Province; Pudong District of Shanghai Municipality; Songjiang District of Shanghai Municipality; Haikou City of Hainan Province; Ningbo City of Zhejiang Province; and Bortala Prefecture of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region.

Starting from July 20, 2021, the number of daily reported infections increased gradually but reached a peak reporting period from July 31 to August 11, 2021. During this peak period, the number of everyday reported cases exceeded 50, and a total of 969 cases were reported during this period, which comprised 69.7% of all cases reported during this series of epidemics. After August 12, the number of daily reported cases decreased significantly.

INVESTIGATION AND RESULTS

The spatial distribution of the cases was shown in Figure 1. The 5 areas with the highest cumulative reported cases were as follows: Yangzhou City of Jiangsu Province (570 cases, 41.0%), Nanjing City of Jiangsu Province (235 cases, 16.9%), Zhengzhou City of Henan Province (139, 10.0%), Wuhan City of Hubei Province (88 cases, 6.3%), and Zhangjiajie City of Hunan Province (76 cases, 5.5%). There were 1,390 cases reported in total, 108 (7.8%) were asymptomatic cases and 1,282 (92.2%) were confirmed symptomatic infections; 660 (47.5%) cases occurred in males; and the median age of the patients was 44 years (interquartile range: 29–58). Populations of all age groups were affected, with most cases occurring in patients aged 20–70 years old. The median age of confirmed and symptomatic infections (45 years) and asymptomatic infections (32 years) differed significantly (P<0.05). The occupational categories that comprised the highest proportions and exceeded 10% of the total were as follows: homemakers and unemployed persons (16.0%), retired persons (13.3%), laborers (13.3%), farmers (11.1%), public venue attendants (10.8%), and students (10.2%). More data were available in Table 1.

Nanjing City Epidemic

Of this series of epidemics, the epidemic in Nanjing City affected the largest geographical area and had the most significant cumulative number of cases. The investigation revealed that the index case, which was
reported on July 20, 2021 most likely happened in a cabin cleaning staff member servicing Air China Flight CA910 from Moscow Sheremetyevo International Airport (SVO) to Nanjing Lukou Airport (NKG) on July 10. The genome of the strain of Delta VOC detected in the index case was identical to the genome of the strain isolated from an imported patient found in a passenger of the flight, who was tested while in mandatory two-week quarantine. Furthermore, this identical strain was detected in several other local cases in Nanjing in the days after the index case was found.

After the virus was introduced to airport staff through the cleaning staff member, it most likely first spread to other cleaning staff members and then to airport passengers, local communities, and families. This resulted in further community transmission, eventually spilling over to other cities and provinces.

From July 20 to 29, 2021, the reported infections in this epidemic were mostly limited to Nanjing. However, starting on July 30, the proportion of reported infections in neighboring Yangzhou City gradually exceeded that of Nanjing. As of August 26, 2021, this epidemic resulted in a total of 1,162 reported infections across 38 cities in 14 PLADs. The 5 areas with the highest number of reported illnesses were as follows: Yangzhou City (570 cases), Nanjing City (235 cases), Wuhan City (88 cases), Zhangjiakou City (76 cases), and Jingmen City (58 cases). Nanjing Lukou Airport was fully closed for prevention and control measures starting on July 26.

**Zhengzhou City Epidemic**

The first reported cases of the Zhengzhou City epidemic occurred in a pregnant woman and a cleaning staff member in the Sixth People’s Hospital of Zhengzhou. After the cases were reported on July 31, all hospital-related personnel, including staff members, patients, and accompanying persons, immediately underwent nucleic acid testing, which yielded a total of 32 infection cases that were found that day. The index case was most likely in an accompanying person visiting a patient in the Tuberculosis (TB)
Complications Department of the Sixth People’s Hospital of Zhengzhou; this accompanying person started experiencing symptoms on July 24. Previously, the Sixth People’s Hospital of Zhengzhou admitted two imported cases of COVID-19 from the Yangon City of Myanmar. The cases occurred in persons entering China in early July and were admitted to the hospital for isolation treatment. Since the isolation ward for COVID-19 at the hospital was adjacent to TB ward, the transmission was suspected to occur that first infected attendants and patients of the department, then spread through the rest of the hospital through close contact with other medical staff, cleaning staff, accompanying persons, and other populations. Afterward, COVID-19 likely spilled out to the rest of Zhengzhou and 5 other cities in Henan Province.

As of August 26, a total of 167 cases have been linked to this epidemic that stemmed from the Sixth People’s Hospital of Zhengzhou. The genetic sequence of the first reported case, the likely index case, and the imported cases from Myanmar were homologous (Figure 2), so the imported cases were the possible source of this epidemic. The Sixth People’s Hospital of

| Characteristics                  | Total, n (%) | Asymptomatic infections, n (%) | Confirmed, symptomatic infections, n (%) | P-value |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|
| Sex                              |              |                               |                                        |         |
| Male                             | 67 (10.2)    | 660 (47.5)                    | 593 (89.8)                             | <0.05   |
| Female                           | 41 (5.6)     | 730 (52.5)                    | 689 (94.4)                             |         |
| Age                              |              |                               |                                        |         |
| Mean ± standard deviation        | 32.0 ± 17.8  | 43.1 ± 20.8                   | 44.1 ± 20.7                            | <0.05   |
| Median (interquartile range)     | 32 (17–46)   | 44 (29–58)                    | 45 (30–59)                             |         |
| Range (minimum–maximum)          | 1–77         | 0–91                          | 0–91                                   |         |
| <18 years old                    | 28 (14.4)    | 194 (14.0)                    | 166 (85.6)                             | <0.05   |
| 18–59 years old                  | 75 (8.6)     | 873 (62.8)                    | 798 (91.4)                             |         |
| ≥60 years old                    | 5 (1.5)      | 323 (23.2)                    | 318 (98.5)                             |         |
| Occupation                       |              |                               |                                        |         |
| Homemakers and unemployed persons| 9 (4)        | 223 (16.0)                    | 214 (96)                               | <0.05   |
| Retirees                         | 2 (1.1)      | 185 (13.3)                    | 183 (98.9)                             |         |
| Worker                           | 28 (15.1)    | 185 (13.3)                    | 157 (84.9)                             |         |
| Farmer                           | 5 (3.2)      | 154 (11.1)                    | 149 (96.8)                             |         |
| Public venue attendant           | 9 (6)        | 150 (10.8)                    | 141 (94)                               |         |
| Student                          | 23 (16.2)    | 142 (10.2)                    | 119 (83.8)                             |         |
| Public staff                     | 7 (10)       | 70 (5.0)                      | 63 (90)                                |         |
| Toddler/child                    | 7 (12.1)     | 58 (4.2)                      | 51 (87.9)                              |         |
| Sanitation staff                 | 1 (1.9)      | 53 (3.8)                      | 52 (98.1)                              |         |
| Medical staff                    | 3 (8.1)      | 37 (2.7)                      | 34 (91.9)                              |         |
| Catering industry                | 1 (5.9)      | 17 (1.2)                      | 16 (94.1)                              |         |
| Teacher                          | 3 (17.6)     | 17 (1.2)                      | 14 (82.4)                              |         |
| Driver                           | 0 (0)        | 11 (0.8)                      | 11 (100)                               |         |
| Other                            | 10 (11.4)    | 88 (6.3)                      | 78 (88.6)                              |         |
| Epidemic center                  |              |                               |                                        |         |
| Nanjing, Jiangsu                 | 101 (8.7)    | 1,162 (83.6)                  | 1,061 (91.3)                           | <0.05   |
| Zhengzhou, Henan                 | 1 (0.6)      | 167 (12.0)                    | 166 (99.4)                             |         |
| 9 other outbreaks                | 6 (9.8)      | 61 (4.4)                      | 55 (90.2)                              |         |
| Total                            | 108 (7.8)    | 1,390 (100)                   | 1,282 (92.2)                           |         |
Zhengzhou and its neighboring communities were closed for prevention and control measures starting on July 31.

**Other Epidemics**

Since July 20, 2021, 9 other smaller-scale epidemics/outbreaks have been reported and investigated in other parts of the country; all 9 outbreaks were suspected originated from imported cases or cargos contaminated by SARS-CoV-2. As of August 26, a total of 61 cases had been reported in the following areas: Dehong City of Yunnan Province (40 cases); Xiamen City of Fujian Province (5 cases); Wuxi City of Jiangsu Province (1 case); Pudong District of Shanghai Municipality (8 cases, from three independent sources); Songjiang District of Shanghai Municipality (2 cases); Haikou City of Hainan Province (1 case); Ningbo City of Zhejiang Province (1 case); and Bortala Prefecture of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region (3 cases).
The resulting investigation yielded a variety of causes. The Dehong Epidemic was most likely due to imported cases in individuals entering China through the land border with Myanmar. The Xiamen Outbreak was most likely caused by pilots of international cargo flights from the United States. The source of the Delta variant in the sporadic cases incidents in Wuxi and Songjiang District was related to imported cases in local designated hospitals. The source of the first outbreak in Pudong was most likely due to a ground crewmember of Pudong Airport shuttle crews for international flights. The source of the sporadic cases in Haikou and Ningbo and the second outbreak in Pudong were most likely to be spread by international cargo porters in the airports of or ports the three cities. The Bortala Prefecture Outbreak was probably due to transmission by international cargo or container. Genetic sequencing (Figure 2), molecular epidemiological analysis, and epidemiological methods indicated the source of the Delta variant of the 7 COVID-19 epidemics/outbreaks were linked to the following countries/areas: the Dehong outbreak was related to imported cases from Myanmar; the Nanjing outbreak from Russia; the Wuixi outbreak from the Philippines; the Zhengzhou outbreak from Myanmar; the Xiamen outbreak from the United States; the Ningbo outbreak from Russia; and the second Pudong District outbreak (one of the two sources) from Ethiopia; the Songjiang District outbreak from Hong Kong SAR, China. No highly homologous genome sequences were found of the other three outbreaks related to the Delta variant (Xinjiang, Haikou, and one of the two Pudong outbreaks in Shanghai) among the SARS-CoV-2 database of domestic and on Global Initiative on Sharing Avian Influenza Data-EpiCoV (GISAID-EpiCoV) platform (https://www.gisaid.org). The detected strains circulated in different periods but were closely related.

**PUBLIC HEALTH RESPONSE**

Following the emergence of the epidemics, provincial authorities initiated an emergency response, and the National Health Commission (NHC) immediately formed a working group that included experts from China CDC and delegated them to Nanjing, Zhangjiajie, Yangzhou, Zhengzhou, Wuhan, and other affected areas to provide onsite guidance. Several affected venues, including Nanjing Lukou Airport and the Sixth People’s Hospital of Zhengzhou, were closed to respond to the epidemic and reduce transmission through prevention and control measures.

Prevention and control of these epidemics were still based on the previous strategies and measures (6). Risk areas were delineated, at-risk people and close contacts were determined and tracked, large-scale population nucleic acid screening was implemented, and detection and management of the sources of infection were completed as early as possible. Responsible societal stakeholders were mobilized to encourage compliance and cooperation by fully utilizing existing community-led grassroots grid management systems.

**DISCUSSION**

The sources of SARS-CoV-2 infections for several epidemics inside China after the wave of Wuhan outbreak have been found previously. For instance, imported cases and related transmission were caused by flights (7), carried by crewmembers (8), carried by community members (9), and spread in hospital settings (10). Increasing awareness and remaining vigilant will help prepare the necessary emergency response resources and prevent the uncontrolled spread of COVID-19.

The measures utilized in these responses and other strategies helped terminate community transmission, strengthen infection control, and prevented spread in critical venues such as medical institutions, designated patient isolation facilities, and nursing homes. As is known, the transmission of Delta variant was even faster and more severe than the prototype strain that was isolated in Wuhan (5); in order to ensure the suppression of this virus, the time period for considering a contact to be a close contact was lengthened to increase the sensitivity of close contact identification to find infected individuals. Furthermore, the timely release of information and communication of risks allowed for heightened public awareness and, when coupled with improved health education for the public, helped achieve better use of emergency response resources and better outcomes for the epidemic prevention and control strategies. Through careful implementation of various active and effective measures, the number of cases in this series of epidemics has been reduced to zero.
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