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Scope: GABA_A receptors are modulated by Sideritis extracts. The aim of this study was to identify single substances from Sideritis extracts responsible for GABA_A receptor modulation.

Methods and results: Single volatile substances identified by GC have been tested in two expression systems, Xenopus oocytes and human embryonic kidney cells. Some of these substances, especially carvacrol, were highly potent on GABA_A receptors composed of α1β2 and α1β2γ2 subunits. All effects measured were independent from the presence of the γ2 subunit. As Sideritis extracts contain a high amount of terpenes, 13 terpenes with similar structure elements were tested in the same way. Following a prescreening on α1β2 GABA_A receptors, a high-throughput method was used for identification of the most effective terpenoid substances on GABA-affinity of α1β2γ2 receptors expressed in transfected cell lines. Isopulegol, pinocarveol, verbenol, and myrtenol were the most potent modifiers of GABA_A receptor function.

Conclusion: Comparing the chemical structures, the action of terpenes on GABA_A receptors is most probably due to the presence of hydroxyl groups and a bicyclic character of the substances tested. We propose an allosteric modulation independent from the γ2 subunit and similar to the action of alcohols and anesthetics.
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1 Introduction

Odorants are able to stimulate signaling pathways and some of these compounds are known to potentiate GABA_A receptors (GABA_A Rs) [1–3]. Sideritis species are the basis for evening tea preparations consumed in Mediterranean countries, with their popularity possibly due to the sedative properties of Sideritis extracts [4]. The genus Sideritis, however, comprises more than 150 species. Tea preparations are predominantly used because of their anti-inflammatory, anticonvulsive, and antioxidative properties [4]. One of the sedative pathways of Sideritis may involve GABA_A Rs with extracts potentially causing an enhancement of GABA-gated currents similar to the actions of benzodiazepines.

GABA is the major inhibitory neurotransmitter in the central nervous system. GABA-induced activation of ionotropic GABA_A Rs leads to hyperpolarization of the membrane due to the influx of Cl⁻ ions into the postsynaptic neuron in the adult brain. GABA_A Rs are not only expressed in a wide variety of neurons in various brain regions, e.g. cortex, hippocampus, cerebellum, and olfactory bulb, but also in non-neuronal cells and peripheral tissues. Due to a large repertoire of identified subunits, there could be a huge variability of subunit combinations forming pentameric GABA_A,R complexes. Most of the heteromeric receptors contain α and β subunits with the α1β2γ2 composition representing the most abundant receptor isoform (around 60% of all GABA_A,Rs) in the brain [5]. Distinct subunit combinations have been found to either mediate sedative (e.g. α1β2γ2) or anxiolytic effects (e.g. α2β3γ2)
or both [6, 7]. Furthermore, GABA$_2$Rs are important as they bind anticonvulsants and anesthetics. The binding site for diazepam, a highly potent anticonvulsant drug, has been studied extensively and is localized at the interface of the $\alpha$ and the $\gamma_2$ subunits.

GABA$_2$Rs are members of the Cys-loop receptor superfamily, which also includes nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nACH$_{R}$), glycine receptors, and the serotonin receptors (5HT$_{1R}$). These all share a similar topological organization with a large extracellular N-terminus harboring a conserved disulfide bridge forming the eponymous Cys-loop. The N-terminus carries 10 $\beta$-sheets in an Ig-like structural organization and forms the orthosteric binding site, which is located between adjacent subunits of the tetrameric receptor complexes [8]. Site-directed mutagenesis studies have provided much information about this binding site, as well as binding sites for the many agents that can modulate the function of these receptors [9, 10].

Recently, it was shown that these receptors are also a target of volatile odorant extracts [3], and actions on GABA$_2$Rs were described for substances from the essential oils such as geraniol or linalool [11]. A subunit-specific action of a fragrant dioxane derivatives was demonstrated on $\beta_1$-containing GABA$_2$Rs, providing a novel tool to detect $\beta_1$-containing neurons e.g. in neurons of the hypothalamus [12].

Odorants bind to odorant receptors at the olfactory epithelium [13], but it is not yet clear how they reach the brain. The axons of the olfactory sensory neurons could send information to second-order neurons in the olfactory bulb or these compounds could simply diffuse through lipid membranes due to their small size and high hydrophobicity [14, 15]. Diazepam, for example, is able to pass the blood–brain barrier due to its hydrophobic nature [16]. An in vivo study has demonstrated that inhalation of essential oil extracted from Abies sachalinensis resulted in much higher concentrations of odorant compounds in the brain when compared to injection into the peritoneum [17]. Nevertheless, more detailed studies are required to solve this issue. Another behavioral study with the species Sideritis clandestina demonstrated the anxiolytic and antioxidant potential of plant extracts. Mice that had ad libitum access to S. clandestina tea over a period of 6 weeks showed decreased thigmotaxis time, an enhanced number of entries into a central area, and enhanced levels of reduced glutathione, a marker protein for antioxidant capacity [18].

Here, we have analyzed several odorant compounds originally identified in Sideritis species. Using GC analysis, we were able to show single components of these extracts and tested them separately on $\alpha_1$/$\beta_2\gamma_2$ or $\alpha_1\beta_2$ receptors expressed either in HEK293 cells or in Xenopus oocytes. Some terpenes, and also various terpene-derived compounds potentiated the GABAergic responses. A search for other terpenes with structural similarities revealed 13 additional candidates. A detailed study of the functional effects of these compounds has allowed us to identify, which structural features are important for their modulatory properties.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Chemicals

GABA $\geq$ 99%, DMSO $\geq$ 99.9%, 1-Octen-3-ol $\geq$ 98%, Carvacrol $\geq$ 98%, l-Carveol (mixture of cis and trans) $\geq$ 95%, Isopulegol $\geq$ 99%, Linalool $\geq$ 97%, Myrtenol $\geq$ 95%, trans-Pinocarveol $\geq$ 96%, (S)-cis-Verbenol $\geq$ 95%, $\alpha$-Pinene $\geq$ 98%, $\beta$-Pinene $\geq$ 99%, $\beta$-Caryophyllene $\geq$ 80%, $\beta$-Myrcene $\geq$ 95%, Caryophyllene oxide $\geq$ 95%, $\alpha$-Terpineol $\geq$ 96%, and all other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany). Dichloromethane (DCM) was obtained from Acros (Geel, Belgium) and freshly distilled before use. Reference compounds for GC-analyses were diluted with DCM to an appropriate concentration. Stock solutions of compounds at a concentration of 1 M were prepared using DMSO and dissolved in the appropriate buffer, prior to use. All reservoirs for control and compound application in electrophysiological recordings contained equivalent amounts of DMSO. The solvent showed no effect on GABA-mediated responses up to 0.1% concentration (data not shown).

### 2.2 GC-MS

#### 2.2.1 Preparation of Sideritis extracts

Extracts containing the volatile fraction of an infusion of Sideritis were prepared as follows: 5 g of crushed plant material was added to 150 mL of boiled water and stirred at 70°C for 1 h. After filtration and cooling to room temperature, the infusion was mixed with 50 mL DCM and the volatile fraction was separated via solvent assisted flavor evaporation [19]. After separation, the organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$ and concentrated using a Vigreux column and microdistillation [20]. The extract was reduced to a final volume of 200 $\mu$L. Samples of Sideritis arguta, Sideritis clandestina, Sideritis stricta, and Sideritis sipylea were extracted and diluted to an appropriate content with DCM, before analyses.

#### 2.2.2 GC-MS

Analyses were performed on a Thermo Trace GC Ultra (Thermo Scientific, S.p.A., Rodano, Italy) coupled with an ITQ 900 IT mass spectrometer. Analytical capillaries used were DB5 and DB-FFAP (J&W Scientific, Fisons Instruments, Mainz, Germany), in the dimension of 30 m $\times$ 0.25 mm $\times$ 0.25 $\mu$m. The GC temperature program started from initial 40°C, held for 7 min and increasing up to 250°C at a rate of 8°C/min, held for 15 min. Helium was used as carrier gas at constant flow of 0.8 mL/min and the injected sample volume was 2 $\mu$L. The temperature was set to 250°C for the transfer line and to 200°C for the ion source. MS detection mode was EI with a current of 70 eV (Full scan, $m/z$ 30–300). Identification of substances was achieved...
comparing the obtained mass spectra and retention indices in the samples to the spectra of reference substances injected in parallel [21]. Separation was performed on two different analytical gas chromatographic phases.

2.3 Electrophysiology

2.3.1 HEK293 cell preparation

HEK293 cells (Clontech, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France) were grown in Modified Eagle Medium with Earle’s Salts (MEM; PAA, Pasching, Austria), supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 1-glutamine (200 mM), and 50 U/mL penicillin and streptomycin at 37°C and 5% CO₂. HEK293 cells were transiently transfected using the Superfect transfection reagent (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Rat receptor cDNA (kindly provided by Profesor W. Sieghart, Vienna) was used to express a receptor composition of α1β2γ2L subunits. The cDNA stoichiometry was 1:1:2 α1β2γ2L to ensure the incorporation of gamma subunits into the receptor complex. To visualize transfected cells, cDNA encoding for green fluorescent protein was cotransfected.

2.3.2 Whole-cell patch clamp technique

Current amplitudes were measured by the patch clamp technique in a whole-cell configuration. Current signals were amplified with an EPC-9 amplifier (HEKA, Goettingen, Germany). After transfection (24–48 h), whole-cell recordings from HEK293 cells were performed by application of ligand using a U-tube. The external buffer consisted of 137 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM HEPES, pH adjusted to 7.2 with NaOH; the internal buffer was 120 mM CsCl, 20 mM N(Et)₄Cl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 2 mM MgCl₂, 11 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, pH adjusted to 7.2 with CsOH. Recording pipettes were fabricated from borosilicate capillaries with an open resistance of 3–6 MΩ. Oocytes were clamped at −50 mV using a Turbo Tec-03x npi amplifier (npi electronic GmbH, Tamm, Germany) and constantly superfused with ND96 during recording. Current traces were recorded at 300 Hz and filtered at 200 Hz using cell works software.

The concentration for GABA used in these experiments was 1 μM corresponding to an EC₅₀, as it has been previously shown that the use of a low GABA concentration is required to observe small effects by the modulators [2, 11]. Modulators were tested using GABA plus increasing concentrations of compound and with a control (GABA only) response after every compound application. Washout time between measurements was at least 3 min and was prolonged to 6 min for the highest concentrations. To exclude nonspecific measurements, only reversible effects were taken into account. Recordings were repeated with at least three oocytes from two independent batches. All experiments were carried out at room temperature (∼22°C).

2.3.3 Oocyte preparation

Following surgical preparation of the oocytes from the ovaries of Xenopus laevis, oocytes were isolated by enzymatic digestion at 19°C for 3–4 h with 600–700 U/mL type 2 collagenase from Clostridium histolyticum (CLS 2, Worthington, Lakewood, NJ, USA) dissolved in OR2 solution containing 82.5 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 1 mM HEPES (pH 7.4 with Tris). Defollicularized oocytes were obtained from the Institute of Cellular and Molecular Physiology (University of Erlangen-Nuernberg, Germany). The cDNAs of rat α1 and β2 GABA₃ subunits were subcloned into the pSGEM vector. Plasmids encoding for the human α1, β2, and γ2 subunits were provided by Bjarke Ebert, Lundbeck (Valby, Denmark) and directly used for in vitro transcription. The corresponding cRNA was synthesized with the help of a mMessage mMach T7 RNA polymerase kit (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA), following the manufacturer’s protocol. To express a functional GABA₃Rs, 1.2–2.4 ng of a 1:1 mixture of rat α1 and β2 receptor subunit cRNA was injected using a Nanoject II injector (Drummond Scientific, USA). The human α1β2γ2 receptor configuration was expressed by injecting 1.56–3.12 ng of a 1:1:3 mixture of the corresponding cRNA. The oocytes were incubated in ND96 buffer (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM HEPES, pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH) at 16–18°C for 24 h, before use.

2.3.4 Electrophysiological recordings

The oocytes were used after a 24 h incubation period. Activity of GABA₃Rs was measured with the two-electrode voltage clamp technique. Recording pipettes (GB 150F-10, Science Products, Hofheim, Germany) were manufactured with a P97 micropipette puller (Sutter Instruments, USA) and filled with 3 M KCl to give a resistance from 0.2–2 MΩ. Oocytes were clamped at −50 mV using a Turbo Tec-03x npi amplifier (npi electronic GmbH, Tamm, Germany) and constantly superfused with ND96 during recording. Current traces were recorded at 300 Hz and filtered at 200 Hz using cell works software.

2.4 Flexstation experiments

2.4.1 Cell culture and preparation

HEK293 cells were grown on 90 mm tissue culture plates containing DMEM/Glutamax medium (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in a humidified atmosphere. Cells were transiently transfected using polyethyleneimine (PEI, 25 kDa, linear,
Polyciences, Eppelheim, Germany). For this purpose, 5 µg of human α1 and β2 were diluted together with 10 µg of γ2 subunit DNA in 1 mL of serum-free medium followed by the addition of 90 µL PEI (1 mg/mL). After 10 min incubation at room temperature, the mixture was added dropwise to a 80–90% confluent plate, and incubated for 24 h. Cells were transferred to black 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-One, Stonehouse, UK), which were pretreated with 0.01% Cultrex poly-1-Lysine (Trevigen, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). After an additional 24 h, incubation cells were used for experiments.

### 2.4.2 Dye loading and compound preparation

Cells were washed twice with FLEX buffer (115 mM NaCl, 1 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM HEPES, 10 mM D-glucose, pH adjusted to 7.2 with NaOH). A total of 100 µL fluorescent membrane potential (FMP) blue dye (FLIPR Membrane Potential Blue Kit, Molecular Devices, Wokingham, UK) in Flex buffer (dilution 1:1000) was then added to each well. In case of modulation experiments, the appropriate concentrations of the substances were directly added with dye to the plates. The plates containing the transfected cells and the fluorescent dye (± a distinct concentration of modulatory substance) were incubated for 30 min at 37°C before starting the measurement. Then, another plate containing the appropriate GABA concentrations diluted in FLEX buffer was prepared.

### 2.4.3 Fluorometric assay

Dye-loaded cells (± a distinct concentration of modulatory substance) and the plate containing various GABA concentrations were transferred to the FlexStation II Device (Molecular Devices). Fluorescence measurements were performed using Softmax Pro software. Before GABA was applied, a baseline was recorded for 20 s. Each well was read five times with high PMT adjustment. Fluorescence values were processed using a peak kinetic reduction algorithm.

### 2.5 Analysis of data

Fluorescence data were normalized and the dose-response curves were generated using Prism 4 (GraphPad Software) with a sigmoidal dose response (variable slope) equation. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad InStat 3.0 (Graphpad Software). Comparisons with control application were made with one-way ANOVA method and Dunnett post hoc test. Within a particular concentration, the Tukey post hoc test was used to determine substance specific effects. p-values of significance were indicated in the appropriate figures and within the text.

### 3 Results

Single components of various *Sideritis* extracts were analyzed using GC to identify possible candidates for GABA$_A$R modulation (Fig. 1). These extracts are rich in terpenes. Specifically, the compounds α- and β-pinene, terpinen-4-ol, α-terpineol, β-caryophyllene and its oxide, sabine, α-phellandrene, linalool, eugenol, carvone, 1,8-cineole, 1-octen-3-ol, and carvacrol were, among others, found as quantitatively dominant or clearly detectable compounds characteristic for the investigated *Sideritis* varieties (Fig. 1). The following substances were selected for further investigation in physiological studies: α-pinene and β-pinene, sabine, α-phellandrene, and β-caryophyllene, linalool, 1-octen-3-ol, and...
carvacrol. Among these candidates are not only pure terpenes and terpene hydroxyl derivatives, but also substances that represent different chemical groups, e.g. 1-octen-3-ol as aliphatic alcohol, β-caryophyllene as sesquiterpene, and carvacrol as monoterpenoid phenol.

These substances were tested for their potentiating effect on GABA-evoked currents in injected Xenopus oocytes and transfected HEK293 cells expressing rat α1β2γ2 GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs (Fig. 2). The presence of the γ2 subunit was tested using a coapplication of Zn<sup>2+</sup>, which only inhibits α1β2 receptors (data not shown) [22], although recordings from both cell types yielded similar results arguing for an effect independent from the γ2 subunit. Application of compounds in the absence of GABA did not elicit any currents (data not shown). Pinenes had little or no modulatory effects; the current values using high concentrations (1mM) of either α-pinene or β-pinene showed only a small increase in the GABA-evoked responses of 0.35 ± 0.08 μA (compared to 0.25 ± 0.08 μA without α-pinene) and 0.35 ± 0.08 μA (compared to 0.24 ± 0.06 μA without β-pinene), in Xenopus oocytes and 1.37 ± 0.66 nA for α-pinene compared to 1.18 ± 0.58 nA without modulator, and 0.52 ± 0.16 nA for β-pinene compared to 0.29 ± 0.07 nA for GABA alone in transfected HEK293 cells (Table 1; see relative I-values of 1 μM GABA). Similarly, substances such as sabinene, α-phellandrene, and β-caryophyllene did not enhance responses (Fig. 2B). A significant potentiation, however, was observed for 1-octen-3-ol, linalool, and carvacrol (Fig. 2B). Therefore, carvacrol was tested in a dose-dependent manner using concentrations from 30 μM to 1 mM (Fig. 2C).

The relative current amplitudes compared to GABA alone showed that carvacrol at concentrations of 10 μM (1.8 fold potentiation) or greater significantly enhanced GABAergic currents (up to 4.5 fold, Fig. 2D). Thus, carvacrol was among the substances identified from Sideritis, the most potent modulator on GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs comparable to linalool and 1-octen-3-ol (Fig. 2B) [11].

To determine, if there are structural motives within the terpene family that may account for the observed modulatory effects on GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, we probed the effects of 13 structurally related terpenes (Table 2). Positive modulation was observed in almost all cases when the substances contained hydroxyl groups, and was enhanced when these substances showed a mono- or bicyclic character (Table 1). GABA-evoked responses were significantly potentiated up to 417% by 600 μM isopulegol with I<sub>abs</sub> values of 1.25 ± 0.43 μA compared to 0.3 ± 0.04 μA in the absence of the volatile compound (Fig. 3, Table 1). Less effective were cedrol, theaspireine, myrtenal, 2-methyl-3-buten-2-ol, carveol, and pinocarveol, which resulted in 2- to 3.8-fold potentiation (Fig. 3, see Table 1 for absolute current values). Substances that did not potentiate responses included α-thujone, nerolidol, nootkatone, β-citronellol, terpinolene,
Table 1. Current amplitudes of ionotropic GABA$_x$Rs modulated by odor substances

| Expression subunits | Expression system | GABA $I_{ab}$ [µA] | Modulator | GABA + modulator $I_{ab}$ [µA] | $I_{rel}$ (% of 1 µM GABA) | $n$ | Originally identified in Sideritis extract |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----|------------------------------------------|
| Rat α1β2   Xenopus oocytes |                  |                     |           |                          |                         |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.41 ± 0.07 | α-Phellandrene | 1000      | 0.46 ± 0.08 | 112 ± 19                | 3 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.24 ± 0.06 | β-Pinenone       | 1000      | 0.35 ± 0.08 | 134 ± 31                | 7 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.26 ± 0.05 | Linalool         | 1000      | 1.23 ± 0.25 | 473 ± 96                | 4 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 1.89 ± 0.64 | 1-Octen-3-ol     | 1000      | 6.40 ± 1.74 | 321 ± 87                | 4 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.58 ± 0.13 | Carveol          | 600       | 1.71 ± 0.26 | 294 ± 45                | 10                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.31 ± 0.10 | Pinocarveol      | 600       | 1.11 ± 0.32 | 358 ± 103               | 7                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.30 ± 0.04 | Isopulegol       | 600       | 1.25 ± 0.43 | 416 ± 143               | 8                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.18 ± 0.09 | Carvacrol        | 300       | 0.44 ± 0.22 | 414 ± 142               | 5 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.55 ± 0.25 | Myrtenol         | 300       | 2.55 ± 1.00 | 463 ± 181               | 7                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.38 ± 0.16 | Verbenol         | 300       | 1.00 ± 0.38 | 263 ± 99                | 7                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.25 ± 0.08 | α-Pinene         | 1000      | 0.35 ± 0.08 | 140 ± 32                | 7 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.23 ± 0.05 | Myrcene          | 1000      | 0.34 ± 0.06 | 147 ± 26                | 7 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.22 ± 0.04 | Caryophyllene oxide | 1000   | 0.24 ± 0.04 | 109 ± 18                | 7 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.052 ± 0.029 | Sabine | 1000 | 0.057 ± 0.034 | 109 ± 65 | 4 *                      | 8 |                                          |
| 1       | 0.04 ± 0.02 | β-Caryophyllene  | 1000      | 0.085 ± 0.04 | 212 ± 99                | 4 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.09 ± 0.04 | α-Terpenol       | 1000      | 0.16 ± 0.06 | 177 ± 66                | 3 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.51 ± 0.09 | Cedrol           | 600       | 1.09 ± 0.18 | 213 ± 35                | 11                       |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.53 ± 0.09 | Nerolidol        | 600       | 0.73 ± 0.11 | 137 ± 21                | 11                       |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.46 ± 0.28 | 2-Methyl-3-buteno-2-ol | 600 | 1.19 ± 0.28 | 258 ± 81                | 8                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.59 ± 0.13 | β-Citronellol    | 600       | 0.99 ± 0.18 | 167 ± 30                | 10                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.26 ± 0.05 | α-Santonin       | 600       | 0.57 ± 0.12 | 219 ± 46                | 3 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.31 ± 0.09 | Theaspiran       | 600       | 0.68 ± 0.15 | 219 ± 48                | 7                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.84 ± 0.27 | α-Thujone        | 600       | 0.52 ± 0.20 | 62 ± 24                 | 3                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.33 ± 0.06 | Terpinolene      | 600       | 0.69 ± 0.16 | 209 ± 48                | 6                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.37 ± 0.07 | Myrtenol         | 600       | 0.89 ± 0.18 | 240 ± 48.5              | 8                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.31 ± 0.02 | Nootkatone       | 600       | 0.46 ± 0.06 | 148 ± 19                | 7                        |     |                                          |
| Human α1β2γ2   Xenopus oocytes |                  |                     |           |                          |                         |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.79 ± 0.25 | Linalool         | 300       | 1.69 ± 0.84 | 213 ± 105               | 10                       |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.22 ± 0.05 | 1-Octen-3-ol     | 300       | 0.65 ± 0.11 | 295 ± 50                | 6                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.13 ± 0.08 | Carveol          | 300       | 0.59 ± 0.23 | 453 ± 176               | 8                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.35 ± 0.08 | Pinocarveol      | 300       | 1.67 ± 0.24 | 477 ± 68                | 8                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.49 ± 0.13 | Isopulegol       | 300       | 1.67 ± 0.035 | 340 ± 70 | 7                        | 6 |                                          |
| 1       | 1.72 ± 0.64 | Carvacrol        | 300       | 3.86 ± 1.46 | 224 ± 85                | 6                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.35 ± 0.12 | Myrtenol         | 300       | 2.58 ± 0.82 | 737 ± 234               | 7                        |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.11 ± 0.01 | Verbenol         | 300       | 0.89 ± 0.13 | 809 ± 118               | 6                        |     |                                          |
| Human α1β2γ2   HEK293 |                  |                     |           |                          |                         |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.51 ± 0.15 | α-Phellandrene   | 1000      | 0.83 ± 0.21 | 168 ± 42                | 3 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.29 ± 0.07 | β-Pinenone       | 1000      | 0.52 ± 0.16 | 179 ± 55                | 4 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 1.18 ± 0.58 | α-Pinene         | 1000      | 1.37 ± 0.66 | 116 ± 56                | 3 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 0.23 ± 0.04 | Sabine            | 1000 | 0.36 ± 0.06 | 156 ± 26                | 6 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 1.14 ± 0.62 | α-Caryophyllene  | 1000      | 1.34 ± 0.66 | 117 ± 57                | 7 *                      |     |                                          |
| 1       | 1.32 ± 0.61 | β-Caryophyllene  | 1000      | 1.53 ± 0.69 | 115 ± 52                | 3 *                      |     |                                          |

and α-santonin, most of which lack a hydroxyl group (Fig.3, Tables 1 and 2).

In addition to the 13 candidates resulting from a comparison of terpene structures, pinene metabolites were also investigated as pinenes were identified in all Sideritis extracts analyzed. Previous studies have shown that terpene substances may undergo major biotransformatory processes in vivo so that the active compounds might be represented by the formed derivatives [23]. Interestingly, pinene metabolites verbenol and myrtenol at 100 µM were able to enhance GABA-evoked current responses up to 493 ± 82% (verbenol) and 541 ± 94% (myrtenol) of the current magnitudes of the
Table 2. Terpenoid substances and their structural features used to study modulatory effects on GABA<sub>R</sub>s

| Compound          | Occurrence    | Class/functional group | Structure |
|-------------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------|
| 2-Methyl-3-buten-2-ol | Hop           | Hemi terpene           |           |
|                   |               | Hydroxy                |           |
| β-Citronellol     | Geranium      | Acyclic monoterpene    |           |
|                   |               | Hydroxy                |           |
| Terpinolene       | Scots pine    | Monocyclic monoterpene |           |
|                   |               | Hydroxy                |           |
| Carveol           | Spearmint     | Monocyclic monoterpene |           |
|                   |               | Hydroxy                |           |
| Isopulegol        | Lemon eucalyptus | Monocyclic monoterpene |           |
|                   |               | Hydroxy                |           |
| α-Thujone         | Artemisia     | Bicyclic monoterpene   |           |
|                   |               | Ketone                 |           |
| Myrtenal          | Cumin seed    | Bicyclic monoterpene   |           |
|                   |               | Aldehyde               |           |
| Pinocarveol       | Common gum sed | Bicyclic monoterpene   |           |
|                   |               | Hydroxy                |           |
| Nerolidol         | Neroli        | Sesquiterpene          |           |
|                   |               | Hydroxy                |           |
| Cedrol            | Cedar wood    | Sesquiterpene          |           |
|                   |               | Hydroxy                |           |
| α-Santonin        | Artemisia     | Sesquiterpene          |           |
|                   |               | Ketone                 |           |
| Nootkatone        | Grapefruit    | Sesquiterpene          |           |
|                   |               | Ketone                 |           |
| Theaspirane       | Wine          | Sesquiterpene          |           |

pinene metabolite used compared to GABA application alone (Fig. 3). Significant increases of the GABA-mediated currents were also observed at lower concentrations, e.g. 10 μM for myrtenol and 30 μM for verbenol (Fig. 4A–C).

Potent substances were tested in a high-throughput assay using a Flexstation device. Here, transfected HEK293 cells expressing the α1β2γ2 configuration of the human GABA<sub>R</sub>s were used. GABA EC<sub>50</sub>s were 1.4 μM for α1β2 and 20.8 ± 7.0 μM for α1β2γ2 GABA<sub>R</sub>s, comparable to previously published data (e.g. Boileau et al.) (Fig. 5A) [24]. A coapplication of diazepam (10 μM) led to an 8.3-fold decrease in GABA EC<sub>50</sub> (Fig. 5B, Table 3). Coapplication of terpenes (300 μM) myrtenol, verbenol, pinocarveol, and isopulegol together with increasing GABA concentrations caused a decrease in GABA EC<sub>50</sub> (Fig. 5). Myrtenol was identified as the volatile compound with the most pronounced effect on
The signifi-

cient value

the GABA-dose–response curve (7.4 fold decrease in GABA $EC_{50}$), not dissimilar to the effect of diazepam (Table 3), while the decrease was ~ fourfold for carveol and linalool (Fig. 5D, E, and H).

We also demonstrated that the effects of the terpenes and terpene-derived substances are similar in rat and human GABA$_A$Rs subunits. Human $\alpha$1$\beta$2 GABA$_A$Rs were expressed in oocytes and successful incorporation of the $\gamma$2 subunit demonstrated using Zn$^{2+}$: no block was obtained, in contrast to Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition for the $\alpha$1$\beta$2 configuration (Fig. 6A–D) [22]. Linalool and 1-octen-3-ol (1000 $\mu$M) enhanced GABA-evoked currents of $\alpha$1$\beta$2 GABA$_A$Rs (Fig. 2B). The coexpression of the $\gamma$2 subunit did not lead to significant changes in the effectiveness of GABA-current modulation by either linalool or 1-octen-3-ol (300 $\mu$M) (Fig. 6E). These data indicate that the action of the volatile substances was independent of the $\gamma$2 subunit. The effectiveness of other substances and pinene metabolites on human GABA$_A$Rs in an $\alpha$1$\beta$2$\gamma$2 configuration was similar to the effects observed on rat $\alpha$1$\beta$2 GABA$_A$Rs in oocytes and Flexstation data using human $\alpha$1$\beta$2$\gamma$2 in HEK293 cells. Modulation by pinocarveol, myrtenol, and verbolen achieved significance when used at concentrations > 10 $\mu$M whereas for carvacrol, isopulegol, and carveol concentrations of at least 30 $\mu$M were required (Fig. 6F and G). To determine the modulatory potency of these substances, however, concentration–response curves are required. The potentiating effect of structurally similar volatile substances is, therefore, independent from the origin of the GABA$_A$R subunits. Thus, these substances most probably interact with conserved sequence motifs of the $\alpha$1 and/or $\beta$2 GABA$_A$R subunits.

4 Discussion

Tea preparations from various Sideritis species are used as evening tea in Mediterranean countries. Previously, it was shown that mice with ad libitum access to Sideritis tea, improved in anxiolytic tests as well as showed enhanced antioxidative capacity in various brain structures. Various non-volatile compounds were identified that may account for the observed effects [18]. Moreover, it was shown that the volatile fraction of Sideritis extracts modulates $\alpha$1$\beta$2 and $\alpha$1$\beta$2$\gamma$2 GABA$_A$R subtypes [3,18]. Therefore, the action of the VOCs present in Sideritis extracts on GABA$_A$R may be a distinct part of the underlying mechanism for a sedative action of such tea preparations in addition to effects of nonvolatile compounds. The volatile extracts potentiated GABAergic responses similar to Lavender extracts, where the main component linalool modulates GABA$_A$R as well as other receptors such as voltage-dependent calcium channels [11,25–27]. In the present study, single components identified within various Sideritis extracts have been analyzed for their ability to modulate different GABA$_A$R subtypes. The extracts are rich in various terpenoids or terpene-derived structures including carvacrol and pinenes. Carvacrol was identified to...
significantly enhance α1β2 GABAₐRs with an effectiveness comparable to 1-octen-3-ol and linalool, which have been previously shown to modulate GABAₐRs [28]. Earlier studies on α-pinene and its modulatory effect on GABAₐRs expressed in Xenopus oocytes have demonstrated an increase in the GABA-evoked currents at millimolar concentrations [29]. Our results show that the single substances α-pinene, β-pinene, and β-caryophyllene led to a moderate enhancement of GABA-gated responses on GABAₐ α1β2 receptors similar to potentiation of the whole Sideritis extracts [3]. Other substances such as sabene, α-phellandrene, and caryophellene oxide had no influence on GABAergic currents. However, a combinatorial effect of substances in the extract was not investigated and can, therefore, not be excluded.

Table 3. Affinity changes of GABA EC₅₀ values obtained from FLIPR experiments

| GABAₐR subunits transfected | Agonist / modulator | pEC₅₀ [M] | EC₅₀ [µM] | Ratio [EC₅₀ / EC₅₀ mod] |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------|------------------------|
| Human α1β2γ2                | GABA                | 4.75 ± 0.21 | 20.80 ± 7.00 |                       |
|                             | Diazepam            | 5.37 ± 0.06 | 4.19 ± 0.86 | 8.29                   |
|                             | Linalool            | 4.96 ± 0.38 | 10.80 ± 0.41 | 3.22                   |
|                             | Carveol             | 5.45 ± 0.22 | 3.59 ± 0.60 | 3.86                   |
|                             | Isopulegol          | 5.49 ± 0.16 | 3.26 ± 0.69 | 4.36                   |
|                             | Pinocarveol         | 5.52 ± 0.06 | 3.03 ± 0.87 | 4.68                   |
|                             | Verbenol            | 5.56 ± 0.12 | 2.73 ± 0.76 | 5.21                   |
|                             | Theaspirane         | 5.18 ± 0.08 | 6.56 ± 0.83 | 2.06                   |
|                             | Myrtenol            | 5.73 ± 0.33 | 1.83 ± 0.46 | 7.40                   |

Mean values derived from Flexstation measurements (±SD). EC₅₀ values from GABAₐRs expressed in HEK293 cells from three independent experiments.
Figure 6. Modulatory effects of volatile terpenoid structures are independent of the origin of GABA\(_{\text{A}}\)Rs subunits. (A) Typical traces of \(\text{Zn}^{2+}\)-mediated inhibition of GABAergic currents on human \(\alpha1\beta2\) GABA\(_{\text{A}}\)Rs expressed in \textit{Xenopus} oocytes. (B) No \(\text{Zn}^{2+}\) inhibition was observed when human \(\alpha1\beta2\gamma2\) GABA\(_{\text{A}}\)Rs subunits are expressed. (C) Typical traces to application of various GABA concentrations measured from human \(\alpha1\beta2\gamma3\) GABA\(_{\text{A}}\)R expressing oocytes (D) GABA dose–response curve of the human \(\alpha1\beta2\gamma2\) GABA\(_{\text{A}}\)R expressed in \textit{Xenopus} oocytes. Data = mean ± SD, \(n = 5\); EC\(_{50}\) for GABA 59 ± 1.2 \(\mu\text{M}\), the Hill coefficient \(n_H\) was determined to 0.7. (E–G) Dose-dependent modulation of GABAergic currents by various terpenoids carvacrol, carveol, pinocarveol, isopulegol, myrtenol, and verbenol as well as linalool and 1-octen-3-ol as controls. *\(p < 0.5\); **\(p < 0.01\).

Pinenes and other monoterpenes are easily metabolized, e.g. by allylic oxidation in drosophila, rodents, and in humans. The effects of such secondary metabolites on the human central nervous system might be due to molecular and biochemical similarities [30, 31]. We observed that the substances, which harbored modulatory potential at GABA\(_{\text{A}}\)Rs shared structural similarities such as their cyclic character (mono- or bicyclic), and the presence of a hydroxyl group. Accordingly, 13 similar terpenoid structures have been analyzed as individual candidates. Seven of these showed a strong modulatory effect on GABA\(_{\text{A}}\)Rs of the \(\alpha1\beta2\) subtype. The same substances act similarly on GABA-induced currents on \(\alpha1\beta2\gamma2\) GABA\(_{\text{A}}\)Rs. A structural comparison revealed that almost all substances that lack modulatory potential did not contain a cyclic structure or they lacked a hydroxyl group. Recently, it was hypothesized that the adjacency of an isopropyl group to the hydroxyl group on a ring structure as present in propofol and menthol might share similar modulatory sites at GABA\(_{\text{A}}\)Rs [32]. Similar to the data obtained from \textit{Sideritis} extracts, data on single substances indicate that the modulation is independent from the presence of the \(\gamma2\) subunit [3]. The \(\gamma2\) subunit is important for the formation of the benzodiazepine-binding site between the \(\alpha1\) and the \(\gamma2\) subunit. Benzodiazepines such as diazepam are anticonvulsant drugs used to treat patients suffering from various forms of epilepsy [33]. Diazepam is, however, structurally dissimilar from terpene structures. Therefore, a modulatory action independent of the \(\gamma2\) subunit, i.e. via a different binding interface than diazepam, is not surprising [34, 35]. The X-ray structure of a GABA\(_{\text{A}}\)R homolog from prokaryotes ELIC provided evidence for two distinct binding sites for benzodiazepines an intrasubunit and an intersubunit binding site corresponding to a low-affinity and a high-affinity binding site of benzodiazepines [35]. Thus, distinct modulatory binding sites for benzodiazepines and for VOCs may also exist on the GABA\(_{\text{A}}\)Rs.

Hydrophobicity, however, is a common feature of both substance classes. A hydrophobic nature is a requirement for the VOCs and would enable them to reach their targets in the brain. Only a few reports are available that demonstrate and discuss the route of volatile odorants in vivo besides their binding to the olfactory receptors in the nasal epithelium [36–38]. Odorant receptors are G-protein- coupled receptors that lead to an enhancement of cAMP in the cell, which triggers downstream signaling cascades [15, 39].

These modulatory sites could have similar physiological consequences. Carvacrol, for example, was identified to harbor anxiolytic properties using an animal model of anxiety,
the elevated plus maze test. In contrast, no effect on locomotor activity was observed for carvacrol. Mice pretreated with carvacrol displayed an increase in latency for the development of convulsions, however, only when used at high concentrations and less effective than (-)-borneol or citral [36, 37]. Nevertheless, the concentrations of volatile odorants that are indeed present at CNS neurons are still unknown and need further studies for clarification of this issue.

Alcohols and anesthetics also modulate GABA<sub>Rs</sub> and other members of the Cys-loop receptor family [40, 41]. In vitro mutagenesis as well as X-ray crystallography of a bacterial homolog of the GABA<sub>Rs</sub> GLIC, isolated from Gloeobacter violaceus, implied that the motion of residues in the transmembrane regions 2, 3, and 4 enable a transient communication between the inter- and intrasubunit cavities for propofol and other anesthetics [41, 42]. The crystallization of GLIC in the absence and presence of ethanol intriguingly illustrated residues localized in the transmembrane cavities involved in ethanol binding. F238, for example, participates in the binding of ethanol and similar modulators, thereby stabilizing the open-state conformation [41]. Terpene structures that were able to modulate GABA<sub>Rs</sub> are characterized by a cyclic structure and the presence of a hydroxyl group, which seem to be required for modulation. Isopulegol, verbenol, and myrtenol were identified to harbor the highest potential of modulation specifically terpenes, as modulators of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor. Indeed, terpene binding shifts the equilibrium towards the open state, indicating agonistic properties. In summary, we have identified some distinct volatiles, specifically terpenes, as modulators of the GABA<sub>Rs</sub> providing an explanation of sedative effects. It was demonstrated by Löw et al. that in contrast to α1-containing receptors α2- and α3-containing receptors mediate anxiolytic effects [6]. If terpenes act in a subunit-specific manner or act nonspecifically, it still needs further investigation. Recordings from GABA<sub>Rs</sub> expressing neurons or brain slices might also prove useful to investigate the role of these substances in the target neuronal tissue. Thus, terpenes with distinct structural properties may mediate sedative or anxiolytic mechanisms involving GABA<sub>Rs</sub>.

We thank Dr. Volker Eulenburg for advice in the TEVC analysis, Prof. C. Korbmacher from the Institute of Cellular and Molecular Physiology, University of Erlangen-Nuernberg, Erlangen, Germany, for providing the Xenopus oocytes, and Rosa Weber for excellent technical assistance. Dr. Kerry L. Price is gratefully acknowledged for help with Flexstation experiments. SCRL is a Wellcome Trust Senior Research Fellow in basic biomedical science. The study is part of the Neurotrition Project, which is supported by the Emerging Field Initiative of the Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nuernberg. This project was supported by BMBF & Tubitak IntenC program and the Akdeniz University.

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

5 References

[1] Buchbauer, G., Jirovetz, L., Jager, W., Plank, C. et al., Fragrance compounds and essential oils with sedative effects upon inhalation. J. Pharm. Sci. 1993, 82, 660–664.
[2] Hossain, S. J., Aoshima, H., Koda, H., Kiso, Y., Potentiation of the ionotropic GABA receptor response by whiskey fragrance. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2002, 50, 6828–6834.
[3] Kessler, A., Villmann, C., Sahin-Nadeem, H., Pischetsrieder, M. et al., GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor modulation by the volatile fractions of Sideritis species used as ‘Greek’ or ‘Turkish’ mountain tea. Flavour Frag. J. 2012, 27, 297–303.
[4] Gonzalez-Burgos, E., Carretero, M. E., Gomez-Serranillos, M. P., Sideritis spp.: uses, chemical composition and pharmacological activities—a review. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2011, 135, 209–225.
[5] Möhler, H., Benke, D., Rudolph, U., Fritschy, J. M., GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors, in: Kew, J., Davies, C. (Eds.), Ion Channels: From Structure to Function, Oxford University Press, Canada 2010, pp. 263–276.
[6] Low, K., Crestani, F., Keist, R., Benke, D. et al., Molecular and neuronal substrate for the selective attenuation of anxiety. Science 2000, 290, 131–134.
[7] McKernan, R. M., Rosahl, T. W., Reynolds, D. S., Sur, C. et al., Sedative but not anxiolytic properties of benzodiazepines are mediated by the GABA(A) receptor alpha1 subtype. Nat. Neurosci. 2000, 3, 587–592.
[8] Brejc, K., van Dijk, W. J., Klaassen, R. V., Schuurmans, M. et al., Crystal structure of an ACh-binding protein reveals the ligand-binding domain of nicotinic receptors. Nature 2001, 411, 269–276.
[9] Kloda, J. H., Czajkowski, C., Agonist-, antagonist-, and benzodiazepine-induced structural changes in the alpha1 Met113-Leu132 region of the GABAA receptor. Mol. Pharmacol. 2007, 71, 483–493.
[10] Mihic, S. J., Whiting, P. J., Klein, R. L., Wafford, K. A. et al., A single amino acid of the human gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptor gamma 2 subunit determines benzodiazepine efficacy. J. Biol. Chem. 1994, 269, 32768–32773.
[11] Hossain, S. J., Hamamoto, K., Aoshima, H., Hara, Y., Effects of tea components on the response of GABA(A) receptors expressed in Xenopus Oocytes. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2002, 50, 3954–3960.
[12] Sergeeva, O. A., Kletke, O., Kragler, A., Poppek, A. et al., Fragment dioxane derivatives identify beta1-subunit-containing GABAA receptors. J. Biol. Chem. 2010, 285, 23985–23993.
[13] Buck, L. B., Receptor diversity and spatial patterning in the mammalian olfactory system. Ciba Found. Symp. 1993, 179, 51–64.
[14] Neuhaus, W., Trauner, G., Gruber, D., Oelzentz, S. et al., Transport of a GABAA receptor modulator and its derivatives from Valeriana officinalis L. s. l. across an in vitro cell culture model of the blood-brain barrier. Planta Med. 2008, 74, 1338–1344.
[15] Menini, A., Lagostena, L., Bocaccio, A., Olfaction: from odorant molecules to the olfactory cortex. News Physiol. Sci. 2004, 19, 101–104.

[16] Dubey, R. K., McAllister, C. B., Inoue, M., Wilkinson, G. R., Plasma binding and transport of diazepam across the blood-brain barrier. No evidence for in vivo enhanced dissociation. J. Clin. Invest. 1989, 84, 1155–1159.

[17] Satou, T., Matsuura, M., Takahashi, T., Umezlu, S. et al., Anxiolytic-like effect of essential oil extracted from Abies sachalinensis. Flavour Frag. J. 2011, 26, 416–420.

[18] Vasilopoulou, C. G., Kontogianni, V. G., Linardaki, Z. I., Iatrou, G. et al., Phytochemical composition of “mountain tea" from Sideritis clandestina subsp. clandestina and evaluation of its behavioral and oxidant/antioxidant effects on adult mice. Eur. J. Nutr. 2013, 52, 107–116.

[19] Engel, W., Bahr, W., Schieberle, P., Solvent assisted flavour evaporation—a new and versatile technique for the careful and direct isolation of aroma compounds from complex food matrices. Eur. Food Res. Technol. 1999, 209, 237–241.

[20] Bemelmans, J. M. H., Review of isolation and concentration techniques, in: Land, G. G., Nursten, H. E. (Eds.), Progress in Flavour Research, Applied Science Publisher, London 1979, pp. 79–98.

[21] Van den Dool, H., Kratz, P. D., A generalization of the retention index system including linear temperature programmed gas–liquid partition chromatography. J. Chromatogr. 1963, 11, 463–471.

[22] Hosie, A. M., Dunne, E. L., Harvey, R. J., Smart, T. G., Zinc-mediated inhibition of GABA(A) receptors: discrete binding sites underlie subtype specificity. Nat. Neurosci. 2003, 6, 362–369.

[23] Heinlein, A., Buettner, A., Monitoring of biotransformation of hop aroma compounds in an in vitro digestion model. Food Funct. 2012, 3, 1059–1067.

[24] Boileau, A. J., Li, T., Benkwitz, C., Czajkowski, C. et al., Effects of gamma2S subunit incorporation on GABA receptor macroscopic kinetics. Neuropharmacology 2003, 44, 1003–1012.

[25] Huang, L., Abuharmdah, S., Howes, M. J., Dixon, C. L. et al., Pharmacological profile of essential oils derived from Lavandula angustifolia and Melissa officinalis with anti-agitation properties: focus on ligand-gated channels. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 2008, 60, 1515–1522.

[26] Narsusuye, K., Kawai, F., Matsuzaki, K., Miyachi, E., Linalool suppresses voltage-gated currents in sensory neurons and cerebellar Purkinje cells. J. Neural. Transm. 2005, 112, 193–203.

[27] Schuwald, A. M., Noldner, M., Wilmes, T., Klugbauer, N. et al., Lavender oil-potent anxiolytic properties via modulating voltage dependent calcium channels. PLoS One 2013, 8, e69998.

[28] Johnston, G. A., GABA(A) receptor channel pharmacology. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2005, 11, 1867–1885.

[29] Aoshima, H., Haramoto, K., Potentiation of GABAergic receptors expressed in Xenopus oocytes by perfume and phytocid. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 1999, 63, 743–748.

[30] Adams, T. B., Gavin, C. L., McGowen, M. M., Waddell, W. J. et al., The FEMA GRAS assessment of aliphatic and aromatic terpene hydrocarbons used as flavor ingredients. Food Chem. Toxicol. 2011, 49, 2471–2494.

[31] Hold, K. M., Sirisoma, N. S., Ikeda, T., Narahashi, T. et al., Alpha-thujone (the active component of absinthe): gammaaminobutyric acid type A receptor modulation and metabolic detoxification. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A 2000, 97, 3826–3831.

[32] Watt, E. E., Betts, B. A., Kotey, F. O., Humbert, D. J. et al., Methylphenol suppresses voltage-gated currents in sensory neurons and modulation of recombinant rat alpha1beta2gamma2 GABA(A) receptor channels by diazepam. Neurosci. Lett. 1998, 256, 143–146.

[33] Struyf, R., Ramerastorfer, J., Price, K., Brams, M. et al., Pentamic ligand-gated ion channel ELIC is activated by GABA and modulated by benzodiazepines. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A 2012, 109, E3028–E3034.

[34] Melo, F. H., Venancio, E. T., de Sousa, D. P., de Franca Fonteles, M. M. et al., Anxiolytic-like effect of Carvacrol (5-isopropyl-2-methylphenol) in mice: involvement with GABAergic transmission. Fundam. Clin. Pharmacol. 2010, 24, 437–443.

[35] Quintans-Júnior, L. J., Adriana G., Guimarães, A. G., Araújo, B. E. S. et al., Carvacrol, (–) borneol and citral reduce convulsant activity in rodents. Afr. J. Biotech. 2010, 9, 6566–6572.

[36] Raza, M., Aghaasham, A. A., Alorainy, M. S., El-Hadiyah, T. M., Characterization of two mutations, M287L and Q266I, in the GABA1 glycine receptor subunit that modify sensitivity to alcohols. J. Neurosci. Methods 2007, 169, 306–335.

[37] Krautwurst, D., Yau, K. W., Reed, R. R., Identification of ligands for olfactory receptors by functional expression of a receptor library. Cell 1998, 95, 917–926.

[38] Borghese, C. M., Blednov, Y. A., Quan, Y., Iyer, S. V. et al., Characterization of two mutations, M287L and Q266I, in the alpha1 glycine receptor subunit that modify sensitivity to alcohols. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 2012, 340, 304–316.

[39] Sauguet, L., Howard, R. J., Malherbe, L., Lee, U. S., Corringer, P. J. et al., Structural basis for potentiation by alcohols and anesthetics in a ligand-gated ion channel. Nat. Commun. 2013, 4, 1697.

[40] Nury, H., Van Renterghem, C., Weng, Y., Tran, A. et al., X-ray structures of general anaesthetics bound to a pentameric ligand-gated ion channel. Nature 2011, 469, 428–431.

© 2013 The Authors. Molecular Nutrition & Food Research published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co.KGaA, Weinheim www.mnf-journal.com