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We present experimental results on optical trapping of Yb-doped β–NaYF sub-wavelength-thickness high-aspect-ratio hexagonal prisms with a micron-scale radius. The prisms are trapped in vacuum using an optical standing wave, with the normal vector to their face oriented along the beam propagation direction, yielding much higher trapping frequencies than those typically achieved with microspheres of similar mass. This plate-like geometry simultaneously enables trapping with low photon-recoil-heating, high mass, and high trap frequency, potentially leading to advances in high frequency gravitational wave searches in the Levitated Sensor Detector, currently under construction. The material used here has previously been shown to exhibit internal cooling via laser refrigeration when optically trapped and illuminated with light of suitable wavelength. Employing such laser refrigeration methods in the context of our work may enable higher trapping intensity and thus higher trap frequencies for gravitational wave searches approaching the several hundred kHz range.

The field of levitated optomechanics is both rapidly developing and of high scientific interest, with a number of impressive recent results including achieving cooling to the quantum ground state [1, 2], high resolution surface force mapping [3–5], material limited GHz rotations [6], microscopic material studies [7], and high precision force [8] and acceleration [9] sensitivity. Near term goals include contributions to dark matter and energy searches [10] and astrophysics, including searches for high frequency gravitational waves (GWs) in the Levitated Sensor Detector (LSD), currently under construction [11].

With the first detection of gravitational waves [12], there have been a number of proposed and constructed experimental efforts to extend the observable GW frequency spectrum, such as the upcoming LISA mission [13, 14] and various other proposals [15–25]. Levitated sensors have been identified as a promising route to application of GW detection. Larger diameter (~300 kHz) [1, 2], the near-isotropic nature of their light scattering yields significant photon recoil heating, and their low mass is undesirable for the application of GW detection. Larger diameter (~10 µm) spherical particles have significant mass, but have only been realized in sub-kHz-frequency trapping configurations [9, 28]. The disc-like geometry of high-aspect-ratio hexagonal prisms allows the ideal combination of high mass, high frequency, and low photon-recoil-heating, and exhibits a clear improvement over levitated spheres, both heating and greater mass of the levitated particle. Both can be enabled by trapping disc-like objects instead of spheres [11].

In this Letter, we demonstrate optical trapping and study the motional dynamics of high aspect ratio, high mass, high mechanical frequency hexagonal prisms in vacuum. We compare the measured motional spectra with simulations, and we illustrate the potential advances for high frequency gravitational wave detection made possible by trapping particles of this geometry. The main advance here is in achieving optical trapping and characterizing the dynamics of a dielectric object with a low-photon-recoil geometry while maintaining a large mass and high trapping frequency, which is essential for realizing the design sensitivity for the LSD gravitational wave search at frequencies above 10 kHz [11].

While small spherical particles can be trapped at high frequency (~300 kHz) [1, 2], the near-isotropic nature of their light scattering yields significant photon recoil heating, and their low mass is undesirable for the application of GW detection. Larger diameter (~10 µm) spherical particles have significant mass, but have only been realized in sub-kHz-frequency trapping configurations [9, 28]. The disc-like geometry of high-aspect-ratio hexagonal prisms allows the ideal combination of high mass, high frequency, and low photon-recoil-heating, and exhibits a clear improvement over levitated spheres, both heating and greater mass of the levitated particle. Both can be enabled by trapping disc-like objects instead of spheres [11].
in the regime when the sensor is dominated by thermal noise and when the sensor is limited by photon recoil. While these objects are of a lower mass than in our ideal design of LSD [11], this work represents a significant step along the technical roadmap and prepares us for trapping more customized similar objects in the final detector.

Since the first experimental demonstration of cold Brownian motion [29], solid-state laser refrigeration [30] has proven to be an effective way of preventing detrimental photothermal heating of optically-levitated materials. In addition, NaYF and other rare-earth-doped crystals have been studied before in the context of optical vacuum levitation [31, 32] and have been shown to exhibit laser refrigeration when trapped with light of an appropriate wavelength. Previous experiments with both cubic (α) and hexagonal (β) phases of NaYF have either been with low mass subwavelength particles [32] or not optically levitated in vacuum [33]. By choosing such a material for the sensor, in the future laser refrigeration methods may enable higher trapping intensity and higher trap frequencies for gravitational wave searches approaching the several hundred kHz frequency range. To produce multi-µm-scale high-aspect ratio particles relevant for GW detectors, we recently developed a novel hydrothermal synthesis [34] to drive growth of the hexagonal beta NaYF into thin, wide plates that was not previously possible [30].

Finally, as a general tool for precision sensing experiments, high aspect ratio trapped objects enable levitation of a multi-micron scale object with mechanical frequencies in the tens of kHz, much higher than what is possible for similar mass spherical objects at similar optical powers [9, 28], due to the sub-wavelength size of the object in the optical axis. Additionally, β-NaYF has a density roughly double that of SiO$_2$, further increasing the mass of the trapped particle. Due to common noise sources at lower frequencies for example from ground vibration, or acoustic noise, this is a useful experimental platform for measurements, e.g. accelerometry, that would benefit from a sensor with larger mass and higher bandwidth.

**Experimental Setup** – A top-view schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. A. Two focused counter-propagating linearly polarized Gaussian beams, which are obtained from a 1550 nm laser via polarization-maintaining fiber-optic couplers and circulators, create a standing-wave optical dipole trap. Yb-doped β-NaYF hexagonal prisms are released from a glass substrate when driven by a piezoelectric transducer under 2 - 12 mbar of N$_2$ gas [35] and trapped in one of the anti-nodes of the standing wave (total power at the trap $P \approx 475$ mW, and beam waist $w \approx 12 \mu$m). We study two batches B1 and B2, with aspect ratios of approximately 8:1 (2.5 µm in diameter and 300 nm thick) and 15 - 25:1 (3 - 5 µm in diameter and 200 nm thick), respectively. The β-NaYF crystals are hydrothermally grown in an autoclave, resulting in a distribution of particle radii and thicknesses

![FIG. 1. (a) Setup. The hexagonal prism is trapped at the conjoined foci of two 1550 nm counter-propagating linearly polarized beams. Fiber-based detection is achieved through homodyne-like interference of light coupled back into the polarization-maintaining fiber and the reference light out of the 95/5 coupler through a fiber photodetector (bandwidth of 1.6 GHz). Scattered light is also detected through a series of three biased photodiodes (PDs) and two quadrant photodetectors (QPDs) viewing the prism from the side, bottom, and top diagonal directions, as indicated. (b) Scanning electron beam micrograph of a cluster of NaYF hexagonal prisms from the B2 sample. (c) Optical micrograph of NaYF prism, adapted from Ref. [34]. (d) Spectral density of the motional signal at 2.2 mbar recorded by one of the QPD detectors for two different size hexagonal prisms, batch B1 (top $P = 475$ mW) and B2 (bottom $P = 250$ mW), respectively, with dimensions as described in the text. Motion corresponding to five principal degrees of freedom ($x, y, z, \theta_x, \theta_y$) of the hexagon is visible, due to the non-perfectly-orthogonal viewing angle of the detector. Vertical lines indicate calculated frequencies from a finite-element simulation for similar prisms, showing qualitative agreement.](see Supplementary Material).

To characterize the motional dynamics of the prisms in the trap, we employed multiple independent detection mechanisms, as illustrated in Fig. 1a. Light scattered from the prism is partially coupled back into the polarization-maintaining fiber and interferes with the ref-
ference light out of the 95/5 optical coupler to achieve homodyne-like interferometric detection through a fiber photo-detector. The image of the prism is projected onto two quadrant photo-detectors from the side and bottom of the vacuum chamber respectively. Additionally, scattered light is directly detected by three free-space biased photodiodes which view the prism from the side, bottom, and top diagonal windows of the chamber. Along the optical axis the positional information of the prism is encoded mainly in the intensity due to the much larger (few µm) transverse dimensions of the prism.

**Theoretical Model**– Optical trapping of spheres in the Rayleigh, Mie-Lorentz and geometric optics regime is a fairly well studied problem experimentally and theoretically. Furthermore, several novel geometries have been explored in the sub-wavelength (Rayleigh) regime. The expected form for non spherical objects optically trapped in the Mie-Lorentz regime is a topic of recent theoretical investigation [36]. While a sphere trapped in the Mie-Lorentz regime will exhibit 3 degrees of freedom, in contrast for a high-aspect-ratio radially symmetrically object (i.e a disk or disk like object) we would expect to see 5 degrees of freedom, since the 6th degree of freedom (that of the hexagonal prism spinning around its most symmetrical axis) is poorly optically coupled. Our β−NaYF hexagons are neither perfectly symmetric nor optically isotropic so we would expect to see a coupling to the output light in the case that the rotations around that axis were driven. This could be explored in future experiments for example by using circularly polarized trapping light, since β-NaYF is birefringent.

Approximate, first-order solutions for a thin disc are given in [36]. The analytic approach predicts the mode ordering in frequency space that we observe, however due to the non-infinitesimal thickness of the hexagonal prisms used in the experiment we use a finite element model implemented in PYGDM2 [37] to compute the expected optical forces and frequencies of the trapped prisms. Computed frequencies for our geometries are overlaid over experimental data in Fig. 1d.

**Observed dynamics**– Power spectral density data for the motion of two sizes of hexagonal prisms, lower aspect ratio B1 and higher aspect ratio B2, trapped using 475 mW of laser power at a pressure of 2.2 mbar are shown in Fig. 2 for each of the detection mechanisms described previously. We pinpoint the mechanical resonances of the prisms by identifying peaks at same frequencies in multiple detectors. Peaks corresponding to primary translational and rotational mechanical resonances \( x, y, \theta_x, \theta_y, \) and \( z \) are denoted by vertical dashed lines. All other peaks are identified as known harmonics, sidebands, or electronic noise in the system. The conversion to nm/√Hz is done for a harmonic trapping potential by assuming thermal equilibrium and fitting a strongly coupled peak to a Lorentzian function (see Supplementary Material). The thermally-driven torsional root-mean-squared amplitudes of the modes \( \theta_x \) and \( \theta_y \) can be estimated by the equipartition theorem: \( \theta_{x(y)} = \frac{1}{2\pi f_{x(y)}} \sqrt{\frac{k_B T}{I}} \), where \( f_{x(y)} \) is the observed torsional frequency, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T \) is the temperature, and \( I \) is the moment of inertia. The calculated root-mean-square amplitudes of \( \theta_x \) and \( \theta_y \) are 1.7×10^{-2} rad and 1.5×10^{-2} rad respectively for B1 and 9.1×10^{-3} rad and 4.7×10^{-3} rad for B2. We do not observe free rotations or bending modes, tested up to 10 MHz, as expected since the \( \theta_x \) and \( \theta_y \) modes of the prism are small amplitude, stable linear oscillatory modes about...
the plane of the standing wave’s interference fringes and bending modes are not predicted to be observable within our resolution for this geometry. Since no free rotations are observed, we also do not observe the presence of a significant precession term as in Ref. [38].

Mode Splitting— The analytic model for a thin disc from Ref. [36] predicts a small amount of mode splitting along x/y and \( \theta_z/\theta_y \) axes, with the effect increasing the further the levitated object is from the Rayleigh regime - specifically in the radial axis. Therefore a wider hexagonal prism will have a less degenerate set of x/y and \( \theta_z/\theta_y \) modes. This effect can be seen in both the outputs of the numerical model and the experimental data. Specifically in Fig. 2, the x/y modes for the radially smaller B1 hexagon are nearly degenerate and the \( \theta_z/\theta_y \) modes are much closer together than for the radially larger B2 hexagon. Mode splitting is also often seen in levitated particles in the Rayleigh limit, caused by the asymmetric potential generated by polarisation dependent focusing of the beam [39]. Since our prisms are well into the Mie-Lorentz regime, separation between the modes results both from the polarization dependent focusing bias of the lens and geometry-dependent scattering resonances within the levitated particle [34].

Finite element comparison— Fig. 1d shows the PSD of an optically trapped hexagonal prism with values from the finite element model (FEM) overlaid. The location of the peaks in the PSD is broadly in line with that computed by the FEM. It is of note that the frequency of the z degree of freedom is mainly dependent on the thickness of the hexagonal prism, while the mode splitting is mainly dependent on its radius. We assume the prism has uniform thickness, and surface roughness is not modelled. Differences in the observed frequency of the x/y motional modes to those predicted by the FEM may be driven by edge effects due to the prism’s tapered shape (see Fig. S1 in Supplementary Material). Due to memory and CPU time driven resolution constraints the FEM assumes a flat face on the hexagons prism’s thin edge. Differences may also result due to geometry dependent Fabry-Perot and whispering gallery-mode-like resonances within the levitated prism [34].

Sensitivity to gravitational waves— For the application of gravitational wave detection, a disc-shaped particle or hexagonal prism can be suspended in a standing wave in an optical cavity. For such a configuration, as discussed in Ref. [11], the minimum detectable strain \( h_{\text{limit}} \) for a particle with center-of-mass temperature \( T_{\text{CM}} \) is approximately

\[
h_{\text{limit}} = \frac{4}{\omega_0^2 L} \sqrt{\frac{k_B T_{\text{CM}} \gamma_g b}{M}} \left[ 1 + \frac{\gamma_{\text{sc}}}{N_i \gamma_g} \right] H(\omega_0),
\]

for a particle of mass \( M \) trapped in a cavity with response function \( H(\omega) \approx \sqrt{1 + 4\omega^2/\kappa^2} \) for a cavity of linewidth \( \kappa \). Here \( N_i = k_B T_{\text{CM}}/h\omega_0 \), \( \gamma_g = \frac{32 P}{\pi \rho b} \) is the gas damping rate at pressure \( P \) with mean gas speed \( \bar{v} \) for a plate or disc of thickness \( t \) and density \( \rho \), and \( b \) is the bandwidth.

The photon recoil heating rate for a plate- or disc-shaped structure is [11, 26, 42] \( \gamma_{\text{sc}} = \frac{\nu_{\text{disc}} V_{\text{disc}}}{4 L} \int dV (e^{-1}) \hat{F}_{\text{disc}} \), where \( \hat{F}_{\text{disc}} \) is inversely proportional to the disc-limited finesse \( F_{\text{disc}} \), i.e. \( 2\pi \) divided by the fraction of photons scattered by the disc outside the cavity mode. The integral is performed over the extent of the suspended particle. Here \( V_{\text{c}} \) is the cavity mode volume [26]. For a sub-wavelength spherical particle of volume \( V \) in the Rayleigh regime, \( \gamma_{\text{sc}} = \frac{2}{5} \frac{\pi \omega_0 V}{A} \frac{1}{(e^{-1}) \hat{F}_{\text{disc}}} \).

At higher pressure, the sensitivity is limited by gas collisions, while at ultra-high vacuum, \( \gamma_{\text{sc}}/N_i \gamma_g > 1 \)
and eventually the sensitivity is limited by photon recoil heating. The thermal-noise limited sensitivity generally scales as $\sqrt{P}$ in the gas-dominated, ballistic regime. At a given pressure, we can define a figure of merit $\eta_{\text{thermal}}$ which describes the dependence on particle mass, geometry and trapping frequency as $\eta_{\text{thermal}} = \frac{\omega_0^2}{M \Omega_{\text{int}}}$, where $\omega_0$ is the trapping frequency along the cavity axis, $l = r$ or $l = t$ for spheres of radius $r$ or plates of thickness $t$, respectively. Correspondingly, we define figure of merit $\eta_{\text{recoil}} = \frac{\omega_0^3}{M \Omega_{\text{int}}}$ for sensitivity to GWs in the photon-recoil dominated limit.

In Fig. 3b, we show $\eta_{\text{thermal}}$ for several recent experimentally realized configurations. When comparing objects of similar mass, the higher frequencies obtained for our hexagonal prisms show a significant improvement. Although the values of $\eta_{\text{thermal}}$ realized in the present work are only marginally better than those obtained for some of the smallest nanospheres trapped at very high frequencies (driven by the $\omega_0^2$ scaling), by raising the trapping intensity we estimate for our geometry it should be possible to greatly exceed the highest values obtained in these experiments.

In ultra-high vacuum, disk or hexagonal prism shaped objects show a distinct advantage, due to the more-directional nature of their scattering (as shown in Fig. 3a) in contrast to the nearly isotropic scattering from small spherical particles in the Rayleigh regime. In Fig. 3b we plot $\eta_{\text{recoil}}$, focusing on the higher frequency domain with a comparison between nanoparticles in the Rayleigh limit and hexagonal prisms of thickness 200 nm and diameter 5 $\mu$m. The hexagonal prisms outperform the nanoparticles by two or more orders of magnitude for a disc-limited finesse of $F_{\text{disc}} = 10^5$, reasonable for a suitably apodized disc or prism trapped in a beam with waist equal to half the particle radius [11, 42]. For further GW sensitivity, a stack-like geometry (c.f. Ref. [11]) may be possible by using a central NaYF spacer and two endcaps with high refractive index low-loss material such as Si or Al$_2$O$_3$, e.g. deposited by pulsed laser deposition [43] or evaporation.

**Conclusion**– In conclusion, we present the first optical trapping of high aspect ratio Yb-doped $\beta$-NaYF hexagonal prisms, and compare the observed dynamics to a numerical model. For these prisms or other disc shaped sensors, the figure of merit $\eta$ for sensitivity to gravitational waves significantly improves upon other spherical levitated systems both in the gas damping limited regime and the photon recoil heating limited regimes. NaYF has been shown in other experiments to exhibit anti-Stokes fluorescence cooling, when illuminated with light of a suitable wavelength. Quasi-spherical nanocrystals with irregular morphologies prepared through top-down milling have also been cooled while being optically levitated [31]. Laser refrigeration of optically levitated disc-like objects could allow higher trapping intensities and frequencies, yielding higher sensitivity to gravitational waves well above 100 kHz. Transferring these prisms, or objects of a similar geometry, into a Michelson-type 1 meter cavity instrument represents a next step in the technical roadmap of the Levitated Sensor Detector [11]. The successful trapping of these high aspect ratio hexagonal prisms therefore represents a significant step forward in developing >10 kHz gravitational wave astronomy.
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