Membranous glomerulonephritis (MN) is a chronic form of glomerulonephritis, associated with the presence of immune complexes beneath the podocytes on the subepithelial region of glomerular capillaries. MN is not a disease entity but one of relatively common patterns of glomerular injury that may be a manifestation of primary renal autoimmunological reaction or may evolve as a phenomenon secondary to wide spectrum of systemic processes.
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Introduction

Membranous glomerulonephritis (MN) is one of the most common types of chronic glomerulonephritis in the world. Morphologically MN is defined by progressive, diffused thickening of glomerular basement membrane (GBM), which is secondary to the accumulation of immune complexes in a subepithelial region of glomerular capillaries. The main clinical MN manifestation is significant proteinuria [1]. Membranous glomerulonephritis may be a manifestation of primary renal autoimmunological reaction or may evolve as a phenomenon secondary to various systemic processes. This review concentrates on the pathogenesis, morphology, and clinical associations of this relatively common glomerulopathy.

Epidemiology

Membranous glomerulonephritis is one of the most common types of glomerulonephritides (GNs) in adults worldwide: second after IgA nephropathy (IgAN) or third after IgAN and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) in Europe and Japan [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], regardless of the ethnicity [6]. In the US MN prevalence follows FSGS but precedes IgAN [6]. Interestingly, studies from different countries consistently show that MN is the most common GN in the elderly [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7].

According to several studies the incidence of MN has remained stable for many years [6, 8] ranging from 4.0 p.m.p./year in the Czech Republic [3] to 10.8 p.m.p./year in the UK [2]. Although some studies suggest growth in its incidence [2, 3], it might be related to the general increase in the number of renal biopsies performed each year [2, 3] and changes in biopsy policies, especially regarding elderly patients [3, 8]. Membranous glomerulonephritis incidence increases with age [3]. Peak frequency of MN is at around 60 years of age (55-64 years), and peak relative frequency is at approximately 70 years of age (65-74) [4]. The mean age of patients with histopathological diagnosis of MN is around 50 years [3, 4]. There is a male predominance among MN patients (male-to-female ratio around 1.4 : 1 to 2 : 1) in Europe [1, 3, 4]. In Asia the male-to-female
Membranous glomerulonephritis is not a disease entity but a common histopathological pattern of glomerular injury. Most commonly (70-80% of cases) it arises as a manifestation of local autoimmunological reaction (idiopathic or primary MN [pMN]), but it may also be a reflection of various infectious, systemic autoimmunological, drug toxicity-related, or neoplastic processes – secondary MN (sMN).

**Pathogenesis of membranous glomerulonephritis**

Membranous glomerulonephritis was not a separate entity until 1956, when Jones published his new staining method that showed GBM in better contrast and, therefore, detail. Using periodic acid silver methenamine sequence he was able to visualise “silver-positive club-shaped” projections of GBM, later described as “spikes” [9]. The immunological nature of this glomerulopathy was already suspected at that time, which was partially substantiated in 1957 by the recognition of gamma globulins deposited in glomeruli affected by MN [10]. However, the sequence of events leading to glomerular deposits and proteinuria as well as the antigen itself remained unknown.

A lot of light was shed on the pathophysiology of MN in 1959, when Heymann created an animal model of MN utilising homogenates of rat kidney cortex. This model exists in two forms: active and passive Heyman Nephritis (AHN and PHN). In the active model the administration of proximal tubular brush border elicits the production of autologous antibodies to renal antigen by the animal’s own immune system. In PHN MN evolves after the administration of anti-brush border antiserum generated in another animal and is composed of two phases: an initial heterologous phase, in which injected antibodies bind to podocytic antigens, and a subsequent autologous phase related to the formation of the animal’s own antibodies against the injected ones. In Heymann nephritis the immunologic reaction is targeted against antigenic complex (Heymann nephritis antigenic complex – HNAC). The HNAC main antigen is megalin, a glycoprotein expressed in both the brush border of proximal tubular cells and in pits on the sole of podocyte foot processes [11]. It has been shown that these pits are the initial spot of immune complex (IC) formation in both AHN and PHN models. Once formed, IC are rapidly shed into the subepithelial space, where they accumulate in the lamina rara externa of the glomerular basement membrane (GBM) until they obscure the slit diaphragm [11]. The IC deposition triggers complement activation, which mediates further podocytes damage and proteinuria. Although the Heymann nephritis model has greatly enriched the understanding of MN origin and evolution, the rest of the puzzle, namely the antigen (antigens) involved in pMN evolution in humans, remained unknown for years.

In the 1980s interest in the role of the antigen electrical charge in the GN pathogenesis arose because the glomerular wall is not only a size-selective but also a charge-selective barrier, due to its polyanionic composition. Border et al. [12] analysed the results of diverse forms of bovine serum albumin (BSA) injections into rabbits and found that the following lesions significantly differed: cationic BSA almost exclusively caused subepithelial deposits accompanied by proteinuria, while anionic and native BSA tended to cause mesangial deposits and hypercellularity [12]. Further experiments showed that IC were formed in situ secondary to cationic BSA binding to the podocytic basement membrane [13]. These experimental findings were then confirmed in 2011 in cases of early-childhood MN, associated with in situ subepithelial formation of IC containing cationic BSA and anti-BSA IgG antibodies [14].

In 2002 Debiec et al. published a case report of an antenatal MN caused by anti-neutral endopeptidase (NEP) antibodies aroused in the NEP-deficient mother [15, 16]. NEP is a zinc-dependent metallopeptidase present on the cell surface of many human and rabbit organs, including podocyte basement membrane [16]. NEP-deficiency was found to be a reflection of the NEP coding gene functional knock-out related to its autosomal recessive, truncating mutation [17]. A mother’s NEP deficiency leads to her immunisation against the foetal NEP with subsequent in situ IC formation in the subepithelial region of neonatal glomerular capillaries [15, 16]. The severity of the neonatal renal disease was found to be dependent on the anti-NEP antibodies titre as well as their subclass [18].

In 2009 Beck et al. published their breakthrough discovery of the 185-kD glycoprotein that was bound by antibodies present in the sera from patients with pMN [19]. Their work was a tremendous step forward in understanding MN pathogenesis because they not only found the target protein but also managed to identify it as an M-type phospholipase A2 receptor (PLA2R) and performed a detailed analysis of the circulating antibodies. The findings of Beck et al. opened a new path of research for new diagnostic and prognostic tools in MN. Over the last decade many studies focusing on aPLA2R-ABs and their antigen target were performed across the world [19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. aPLA2R-ABs titres were identified as a prognostic factor and early predictor of remission because changes in aPLA2R-AB levels precede the corresponding changes in MN activity (defined by the level of albuminemia and urine protein loss) [20] regardless of whether they arise spontaneously or in relation to immunosuppressive
treatment [20]. Some patients who produce low quantities of the antibody may be seronegative until the antibody has saturated the PLA2R binding sites on podocytes and only then become seropositive [24]. Since the absence of aPLA2R-ABs in an MN patient’s serum does not exclude the diagnosis of PLA2R-related MN [22], the additional method allowing for its recognition is the identification of PLA2R antigen in glomerular deposits [22, 23, 25]. PLA2R tissue staining was found to be particularly useful in the case of delayed serum sampling [22]; it also created the possibility of a retrospective diagnosis of PLA2R-related MN [22], especially because tissue preparation (frozen vs. paraffin embedded) did not significantly affect staining results [23]. Serologic and histologic techniques for detecting aPLA2R-ABs and PLA2R antigens are useful prognostic and diagnostic tools, helpful in the differentiation between pMN and sMN. Their correlation with pMN is still disputable, however, with some studies reporting nearly 100% specificity [25, 26] and others documenting aPLA2R-ABs presence in some cases of HBV-, HCV-, sarcoidosis-, and neoplasm-related sMN [19, 22, 27, 28]. The term “PLAR-related MN” seems therefore more suitable.

In 2014 Tomas et al. [29] discovered thrombospondin Type-1 Domain-Containing 7A (THSD7A), a transmembrane protein expressed on podocyte foot processes [29]. The prevalence of anti-THSD7A antibodies’ (a-THSD7A-ABs’) is about 10% of a-PLA2R-ABs-negative pMN patients [29, 30] and about 2.5-5% of all pMN patients in European and US cohorts [29]. Much higher prevalence (9.1% of pMN patients) was reported in a Japanese study [31]. Although initial studies spoke for the mutual exclusivity [29, 30], in 2016 the first two cases of dual positivity (the concomitant presence of a-THSD7A-ABs and a-PLA2R-ABs) were published [30]. Some similarities regarding antibodies against PLA2R and THSD7A were documented: IgG4 is a dominant/co-dominant subclass [29, 30, 31], and the level of antibodies seems to correlate with disease activity [29]. The fact that (in contrast to PLA2R) THSD7A is also expressed on murine podocytes has been exploited in a series of experiments, which revealed that murine THSD7As was bound by human antibodies from the sera of patients with THSD7A-related MN and that the injection of these sera into mice is followed by proteinuria development [32]. These observations proved the relationship between podocyte-directed auto-antibodies and the development of MN [32]. Patients with THSD7A-related MN show typical clinical presentation together with ordinary MN histomorphology [29, 30, 32] and do not significantly differ in basic clinical characteristics (age, urinary protein, serum albumin, serum creatinine) from patients with PLA2R-related MN [29, 31] except for gender: THSD7A-related MN is more prevalent in female patients [29].

Apart from the MN cases being a manifestation of local glomerular autoimmune reaction, MN may evolve as secondary to the presence of subepithelial IC containing antigens that are not a glomerular component. Secondary MN comprises about 30% of MN cases [35] and is itself a very heterogeneous group. Among the major causes are lupus nephritis (WHO class V) [34], various drugs such as NSAIDs [35], penicillamine [36, 37] and gold salts [36], hepatitis B virus [38] and other infections [39, 40], sarcoidosis [27], haematopoietic stem cell transplantation [41], and various malignancies [42, 43]. The association between these agents and conditions and MN evolution is largely based on the observation that their elimination leads to the resolution of proteinuria.

The relation between MN and malignancy seems particularly important. It was first described by Lee et al. in 1965 [44] and since then many studies were published confirming this phenomenon [43, 45, 46]. The prevalence of malignancies in MN patients ranges from 5 to 20%, particularly in those aged > 65 years [43, 44, 47, 48]. MN individuals are at 2 to 12 times higher risk of malignancy than other members of the general population after adjustment for age and gender [42]. The mechanism of this intriguing relationship remains unclear. Since the very beginning researchers suspected that renal lesions in malignancy-related MN could be a result of the host’s immune response to the neoplasm [44], but finding a direct link proved to be challenging because there are several factors that may be misleading [49]. There might be detection bias connected to the fact that MN patients are more vigorously screened for malignancies [49]. Also, both MN and malignancy tend to occur in elderly patients [49], and older age is the only significant risk factor of neoplasia-related MN [42, 43, 45, 46, 47]. Lastly, the use of alkylating agents, such as cyclophosphamide, in the treatment of MN can also increase the risk of subsequent malignancy [47]. The most common carcinoma related to MN is lung cancer [42, 47, 49], but numerous other locations (e.g. prostate, breast, gastrointestinal tract) were also reported [42, 43, 44, 49]. Although MN is actually the most frequent GN associated with solid tumours [44, 49], its relationship with haematological malignancies was also reported [43, 49].

One of the rare, peculiar types of MN is membranoïd-like glomerulopathy with masked IgG-κ. It is a type MN with a typical morphology in light microscopy and EM, which is negative for Ig and light chains in standard immunofluorescence on frozen tissue. Only the usage of formalin-fixed pronase-digested, paraffin-embedded tissue allows for the detection of IgG deposits with light κ chain restriction. The aetiology
of this condition is unknown, but the majority of cases reported so far were young individuals, mostly women with autoimmunological disorders [50].

**Genetic factors**

In search of causative factors for pMN, genetic studies were also involved that focused on genes related to inflammatory process [51, 52], autoimmunity [43, 54, 55], and PLA2R [53, 54, 55]. It was shown that the TNF-α (a pro-inflammatory cytokine) gene is associated with an increased susceptibility to MN [51]; a correlation between high-risk STAT4 (transcription factor involved in inflammation) allele and higher frequency of kidney failure in MN patients was also established [52]. These findings support the role of inflammatory response and cellular injury in the pathogenesis of MN. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) performed in large European [53] as well as Chinese [54] and Indian [55] cohorts of patients with pMN identified two genomic loci, HLA-DQA1 and PLA2R1, to be associated with higher risk of MN.

**Membranous glomerulonephritis morphological picture**

Membranous glomerulonephritis is a chronic glomerulonephritis related to the presence of immune complexes (IC) in the subepithelial region of glomerular basement membrane (GBM), causing diffuse GBM thickening accompanied by the effacement of the foot processes of the overlying podocytes. Morphological changes in glomeruli reflect structural responses of GBM to IC deposits and were ascribed by Ehrenreich and Churg to four stages [56] based on electron microscopy findings. The description of these stages was later extended to include lesion characteristics visualised through light microscopy and immunomorphological analysis. The initial phase of MN evolution is limited to IgG-containing IC accumulation on the outer aspect of GBM, which may be detected by immunofluorescence and electron microscopy (Figs. 1, 2). These deposits are finely granular, dispersed, and do not seem to evoke any changes in the neighbouring GBM except perhaps for small, focal depressions, which are inconsistently present. These early (stage 1) lesions are not recognisable in light microscopy [57]. In the second stage the deposits become larger and separated by GBM projections (Fig. 3), which may be demonstrated in light microscopy by Jones methenamine silver (JMS) stain in the form of silver-stained “spikes” on the outer GBM aspect (Fig. 4). In further MN stages deposits become entirely incorporated in thickened GBM (Fig. 5). With time the deposits undergo resorption, which results in their electron density variability reflected by the vacuolar appearance acquired by GBM in JMS staining (Figs. 6, 7).

Along the MN evolution there is a change in the appearance of deposits in immunomorphological visualisation: initially finely granular and uniform, later becoming more massive (Fig. 8). Deposits consist mostly of IgGs and C3; they stain most vividly in the initial stage, then gradually decrease in intensity. In the case of remissions (spontaneous or treatment-related) there is a decrease in the number of subepithelial deposits, and the persisting ones become more lucent, which is a hallmark of their resolution. Repeated kidney biopsies in patients with at least partial resolution of proteinuria...
**Fig. 3.** Membranous glomerulonephritis stage 2, hallmarked by the presence of subepithelial electron dense deposits separated by GBM projections. Electron microscopy

**Fig. 4.** Silver-stained spikes on the outer aspect of GBM. JMS stain

**Fig. 5.** Membranous glomerulonephritis stage 3, with subepithelial and intramembranous deposits. Electron microscopy

**Fig. 6.** Membranous glomerulonephritis stage 4. Electrolucent areas represent intramembranous deposits in the resolution phase. Electron microscopy

**Fig. 7.** Double contouring of some of glomerular capillaries, vacuolar appearance of the obliquely sectioned GBM. JMS stain

**Fig. 8.** Coarse granular IgG deposits along GBM. Immunofluorescence
additionally show the regression of abnormalities seen in the structure of podocytes [58].

In some cases (10.4 to 51.9% [59]) with a mean value of 23.7%) MN is complicated by the superimposition of secondary focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS). Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis is typically seen in more advanced stages (III and IV) [60] and correlates in more advanced stages with tubular atrophy and interstitial fibrosis [60]. The overlap of secondary FSGS upon MN is considered a scarring response to the immune complex-induced injury [59, 60].

The presence of tubulointerstitial lesions in the form of inflammatory infiltration, interstitial fibrosis (IF), and tubular atrophy (TA) is considered a negative prognostic factor, which correlates with worse patient outcome, greater decline in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), and quicker progression to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) [61]. Glomerular density (GD) defined as the relation between the number of non-sclerotic glomeruli and renal cortical area of the biopsy could be an earlier predictor of renal outcome in MN, since low GD was associated with a greater risk of eGFR decline or progression to ESRD [62]. However, it is a non-specific marker because nephron loss and the subsequent hyperfiltration are associated with a worse prognosis in many other glomerular diseases [62].

Other patterns such as “full house” staining for immunoglobulins, the presence of mesangial or subendothelial immune deposits, glomerular inflammatory infiltrates, fibrinoid necrosis, and crescent formation are not typical for MN.

Membranous glomerulonephritis clinical presentation, natural history, and prognosis

The main clinical presentation of MN in all age groups is nephrotic range proteinuria (NRP) [1] making MN the second cause of nephrotic syndrome (NS) in the whole adult population [3, 5] and the first in patients aged ≥ 65 years [1, 4, 7]. Approximately 73% of adult MN patients have nephrotic syndrome [1].

The mean level of proteinuria at the time of presentation in MN patients ranges from 3.9 to 9.1 g/day [63, 64]. About 25-30% of MN patients present with subnephrotic proteinuria. Microscopic haematuria can be present, but macroscopic haematuria almost never occurs. Other clinical presentations of MN, such as asymptomatic urinary abnormalities, are also possible but much less frequent [1].

At the time of MN presentation renal function is mostly preserved with a mean eGFR around 75 ml/min/1.73 m² [63] and approximately 30% of patients present with some degree of renal insufficiency.

Membranous glomerulonephritis is generally considered to be a nephropathy with a relatively good prognosis, with about 30% of patients experiencing spontaneous remission. In untreated patients the risk of end-stage renal failure is approximately 14% at 5 years, 35% at 10 years, and 41% at 15 years [65]. The tool most commonly used in individuals with MN for the prognosis prediction is the Toronto Risk Score. This risk calculation is based on time-average proteinuria (highest sustained six-month period of proteinuria), creatinine clearance at diagnosis, and the slope of creatinine concentration over six months [66]. Additionally, several factors not included in the Toronto Risk Score formula have been used in the MN prognosis prediction, such as urinary excretion of β2- and α1-microglobulins, as well as the titre of α-PLA2R-AB in the serum [67].

IgG classes in membranous glomerulonephritis

In pMN the dominating IgG subclass in the subepithelial IC is IgG4 [23]. Studies addressing the IgG subclass distribution across different stages of pMN show that in the early stage there is a domination of IgG1, whereas IgG4 is the most prevalent one in the later phase of this glomerulopathy evolution. It has also been documented that among rare pMN cases with segmental deposit distribution there was a dominance of IgG1 and IgG3, whereas in the global form of this glomerulopathy IgG4 was the main subclass present [46, 68, 69, 70, 71]. Notably IgG4 has been also recognised as a negative prognostic factor; it has been shown that it correlates with higher levels of plasminogen activator inhibitor type I (PAI1), and higher PAI1 levels lead to more severe fibrosis [72].

The origin of proteinuria and complement system activation in membranous glomerulonephritis

The principal cause of proteinuria in MN is podocyte injury [73]. Podocytes have several functions, including slit diaphragm formation and assembling of GBM, in which dozens of different proteins take part. One of the mechanisms responsible for proteinuria is a disturbance in the functional link between podocyte cytoskeleton and a slit diaphragm construction [74]. Such a disturbance may be triggered by IC-induced complement activation, in which sublytic quantities of C5-9 membrane attack complex (MAC) cause the dissociation of nephrin from podocyte cytoskeleton, loss of slit diaphragm integrity, and early protein loss [75, 76, 77]. The subsequent increase in actin and decrease in alpha-integrin expressions within podocytes lead to effacement of foot processes, with further proteinuria enhancement [78]. Apart from direct podocyte injury, C5b-9 complex may also exert an injurious effect through the induction of reactive
oxygen species (ROS) production with subsequent damage of podocyte lipids, membrane proteins, and GBM components, thus altering the cell membrane structure of podocytes essential for glomerular permeability maintenance.

The data collected so far on the complement system involvement in MN evolution is still not coherent. C3 and C5b-9 are universally present in subepithelial deposits, and, as was already mentioned, IgG4 seems to be the dominant/codominant subclass in PLA2R-associated MN [23, 79]. In contrast to other IgG subclasses, IgG4 does not activate the classical complement system, which points to the alternative or lectin pathways as the major operators of C5-9 complex formation in pMN. This phenomenon is reflected by a negative correlation between IgG4 and C1q staining in glomeruli of MN patients, although small admixtures of other IgG subclasses (particularly IgG1) may cause some C1q deposition [80]. The deposition of C3c (a short-lived breakdown product of C3), a constituent of lectin and alternative pathways, was shown in almost all cases of MN [68]. On the other hand, there are reports documenting the deposition of C4d, elaborated via classical or lectin pathways in 100% of MN cases. The above findings point to the lectin pathway as an operator of MN evolution, possibly with the cooperation of another two pathways [81]. It has been proposed that IgG1 may dominate in the early phase of MN development, causing an initial activation of the classic pathway, which subsequently could be replaced by IgG4 domination with the enhancement of alternative or lectin pathways [68]. It has also been shown that in pMN patients with a genetic and functional deficiency in lectin pathway the alternate pathway is probably the functional one that is activated [82]. In turn, the activation of classic pathway, marked by C1q deposition, is more closely related to sMN than pMN [80].

It is worth mentioning that complement activation stimulates the enforcement of protective mechanisms targeted against complement-dependent cytotoxicity, such as membrane attack complex (MAC) transportation (via endo- and subsequent exocytosis) through podocytes into the urinary space [83, 84]. Interestingly, proteinuria in MN may also occur via direct modification of podocyte biology by the antibodies themselves, without C5b-9 assembly [85, 86].

An additional mechanism proposed as an operator of proteinuria occurrence is podocyte injury-induced expression of matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9), which is thought to trigger collagen IV degradation and to alter GBM composition. B7-1 (CD80), a molecule that is normally expressed on B-cells and other antigen-presenting cells, seems to play a role in glomerular danger signalling [87]; it is expressed by podocytes under a variety of stress conditions. It was also shown to contribute to the pathogenesis of proteinuria by altering the podocyte cytoskeleton, which in turn leads to slit diaphragm disruption [87]. Another GBM constituent, suppression of which may play a role in the proteinuria development, is nephronecctin [74].

**Treatment**

The treatment of pMN has always been challenging, mostly due to the variable clinical course of pMN, which is difficult to predict because one third of MN patients attain spontaneous remission [88], while approximately 15% of them reach end-stage kidney disease after 10 years [89]. The overall kidney survival is quite high, reaching 86% after 10 years [89], but nephrotic syndrome (NS), which is the main clinical feature of MN, is associated with significant morbidity (malnutrition, increase in infection susceptibility, cardiovascular disorders, hypercoagulability etc.) [90, 91, 92]. There are no reliable indicators of spontaneous remission [92].

In 2012 Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) released pMN management guidelines, in which a restrictive treatment strategy was proposed: immunosuppression is reserved for patients with NS, who either show no improvement after six months of supportive therapy (diet modifications, reduction of protein loss via a decrease in glomerular filtration pressure), present severe, disabling NS symptoms, or their renal function is declining [90, 93, 94, 95]. Obviously, patients with remission of proteinuria are less likely to progress to ESRD [88, 90], but even partial remission (PR) improves the prognosis [88]. Although relapse is more frequent after a PR [96], immunosuppression is still worth trying because even after a relapse a second proteinuria remission remains a good prognostic factor [96].

The gold standard for pMN immunosuppressive treatment is the modified Ponticelli regimen: administration of glucocorticoids (GC) and alkylating agents (AA) alternating monthly for six months [91]. Alternative treatment options include calcineurin inhibitors (CNI), mycophenolic acid (MMF), rituximab, ACTH, and plasmapheresis [91].

**Membranous glomerulonephritis and kidney transplantation**

Membranous glomerulonephritis appears several times in the context of kidney transplantation because it can be the cause of ESRD, leading to the need of renal transplant, but it can also occur in the graft as recurrent disease (primary or secondary), de novo disease [97], or, extremely rarely, be of donor origin [98].

About 3% of all patients who undergo kidney transplantation reach ESRD due to MN [99]. The overall
MN prevalence in kidney grafts ranges from 1 to 5% [99, 100] in different studies and seems to be rising [100]. Clear distinction between recurrent MN (rMN) and de novo MN (dnMN) may be impossible because it requires pre-transplant diagnosis of the cause of ESRD in the native kidney, which is not always available [97]. Different approaches towards pre- and post-transplantation biopsy (e.g. protocol vs. clinically guided biopsy) lead to various data regarding rMN and dnMN prevalence described by different authors [97, 101].

De novo MN is often accompanied by morphologic signs of rejection [102, 103]. This leads to an interesting concept, first presented by Ponticelli and Glassock [102], that due to alloimmune podocyte injury there is exposure of cryptic podocyte antigens, which become the trigger for dnMN [103].

Summary and conclusions

Membranous glomerulonephritis is one of the most common types of glomerulopathy diagnosed on the basis of kidney biopsy examination. It reflects a certain pathomechanism of glomerular injury and should not be considered a disease entity. Membranous glomerulonephritis recognition imposes the implementation of a wide diagnostic repertoire, both clinical and morphological, aiming at the determination of the MN nature. Treatment decisions are individually tailored depending on the MN origin and its clinical associations.
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