Retromer stops beta-arrestin 1–mediated signaling from internalized cannabinoid 2 receptors
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ABSTRACT G protein–coupled receptors mediate their complex functions through activation of signaling cascades from receptors localized at the cell surface and endosomal compartments. These signaling pathways are modulated by heterotrimeric G proteins and the scaffold proteins beta-arrestin 1 and 2. However, in contrast to the events occurring at the cell surface, our knowledge of the mechanisms controlling signaling from receptors localized at intracellular compartments is still very limited. Here we sought to investigate the intracellular signaling from cannabinoid 2 receptor (CB2R). First, we show that receptor internalization is required for agonist-induced phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated protein kinases 1 and 2 (ERK1/2). Then we demonstrate that ERK1/2 activation is mediated by beta-arrestin 1 from receptors localized exclusively at Rab4/5 compartments. Finally, we identify the retromer complex as a gatekeeper, terminating beta-arrestin 1–mediated ERK phosphorylation. These findings extend our understanding of the events controlling signaling from endocytosed receptors and identify the retromer as a modulator of beta-arrestin–mediated signaling from CB2R.

INTRODUCTION

The endocannabinoid system is composed of two major receptors (CB1R and CB2R) together with the enzymes that produce and degrade their ligands (Pertwee et al., 2010; Kendall and Yudowski, 2017). Both receptors are members of the G protein–coupled receptor family (GPCR) and are mainly coupled to G\textsubscript{i/o} proteins. CB2Rs are highly expressed in immune cells such as macrophages and microglia, but they are also expressed at much lower levels in the CNS (Benito et al., 2008; Atwood and Mackie, 2010; Dhopeshwarkar and Mackie, 2014). Owing to their localization and roles during immune response, CB2R have been proposed as a potential therapeutic target to alleviate pain, tissue injury, and inflammatory diseases among other pathological states (Pertwee, 2001; Benito et al., 2008; Dhopeshwarkar and Mackie, 2014). However, its therapeutic modulation has been hampered by the intricate pharmacology and our limited understanding of this receptor. Complexity is further increased by their functional selectivity and biased signaling and their ability to activate or inhibit different subsets of signaling pathways with variable potencies and efficacies depending on the type of ligands, receptor species and localization (Urban et al., 2007; Atwood et al., 2012; Soethoudt et al., 2017).

Activation of CB2R results in the modulation of signaling cascades from receptors localized at the cell surface and in endosomal compartments (Brailoiu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016). These cascades include reduction of cAMP levels, activation of ion channels, and beta-arrestin recruitment among others (Felder et al., 1995; Bouaboula et al., 1996; Shoemaker et al., 2005; Soethoudt et al., 2017). Like other GPCRs, ligand binding can initiate CB2R endocytic trafficking. Receptors later recycle to the cell surface via Rab4–.
RESULTS

CB₂R-mediated activation of ERK occurs after receptor internalization

Phosphorylation of ERK1/2 downstream from CB₂R is mediated by beta-arrestin 1 and begins during the endocytic process and continues into endosomal compartments (Ahn et al., 2013; Delgado-Peraza et al., 2016b). Activation of CB₂R can also result in ERK1/2 phosphorylation in heterologous and native tissues such as immune cells and microglia, but the location and mechanisms driving phosphorylation have not been defined. To test whether activation of ERK1/2 by CB₂R occurs from receptors located at the cell surface or from internalized receptors, we exposed HEK293 cells stably expressing human CB₂R to the endocannabinoid 2-AG. Maximal ERK1/2 phosphorylation was observed within 5 min of agonist addition and was maintained at 15 min before returning to basal levels at 30 min (Figure 1, A and B). To determine whether receptors located at the cell surface or at intracellular compartments mediate the phosphorylation of ERK1/2, we inhibited receptor internalization by silencing the ubiquitous dynamin 2. Cells were transfected with small interfering RNA (siRNA) against human dynamin 2 and were incubated with 10 µM 2-AG. The effectiveness of siRNA treatments was confirmed by the reduction of dynamin 2 expression levels (Supplemental Figure 1). Silencing dynamin 2 resulted in a marked inhibition of ERK1/2 phosphorylation, indicating that ERK1/2 phosphorylation is mediated by internalized CB₂R (Figure 1, A and B). To verify that dynamin is involved in the agonist-induced removal of CB₂R, we investigated CB₂R endocytosis at the cell population level. Internalization of CB₂R was observed within 5 min of 10 µM 2-AG addition to the incubation media, reaching a constant steady state level within 15 min (Figure 1, C and D). These results are similar to previously published data utilizing rat CB₂R (Atwood et al., 2012). Pharmacological inhibition of dynamin by Dyngo 4a, completely prevented decreases in CB₂R surface fluorescence, supporting the notion that receptor endocytosis is mediated by dynamin (Figure 1D). Our previous work on the CB₁R demonstrated that the time receptors are clustered into endocytic pits, defined as receptor internalization is required for ERK1/2 activation. (A) Time course showing ERK1/2 phosphorylation in HEK293 cells stably expressing human SEP-CB₂R exposed to 10 µM 2-AG and in cells transfected with dynamin2 siRNA. Cell lysates were analyzed using Western blots with phospho-ERK1/2 (top panel) and total ERK1/2 (bottom panel). (B) Multiple experiments were quantified and normalized to total ERK1/2 levels. Data represents the mean ± SEM at each point (n = 9–10 independent experiments). (C) HEK293 cells expressing human SEP-CB₂Rs were exposed to 10 µM 2-AG and sequential confocal images were acquired. (D) Total fluorescence time-course analysis indicates there is a ligand-induced decrease in fluorescence associated with receptor internalization. The dynamin inhibitor Dyngo 4a prevented internalization (n > 5 independent experiments). (E) Total internal reflection image showing HEK293 cells expressing SEP-CB₂Rs in the presence of 10 µM 2-AG. Individual endocytic events appear as bright dots (yellow box). Kymographs were obtained from sequential images and individual endocytic events were easily identifiable (yellow rectangle). (F) Fluorescence intensity measurements from individual endocytic events depicting event formation, maturation, and removal from the cell surface. (G) Analysis of multiple endocytic dwell times from SEB-CB₂R and SEP-CB₂R elicited by 2-AG at the indicated concentrations. Results are depicted as box-and-whiskers plots representing maximum and minimum data and adjacent table (n > 120 events, five to seven cells/analysis).

Rab5-, and Rab11-dependent pathways (Grimsey et al., 2011; Atwood et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014), but Rab11-independent recycling has also been proposed (Leterrier et al., 2004). CB₂R localizes at the cell surface and at endosomal compartments can also modulate calcium levels (Brailoiu et al., 2014). However, our knowledge of the mechanism and signaling events elicited from CB₂R traversing the endosomal compartment is very limited.
were similar to the clathrin-mediated endocytic events described previously for the CB$_2$R and several other GPCRs (Figure 1E). Beta-arrestin 2 coexpressed in these cells and was rapidly recruited to individual endocytic events as previously observed for other receptors (Figure 1F and Supplemental Video 1). Analysis of single endocytic events demonstrated that CB$_2$R remain clustered in individual endocytic pits ~85 s before their removal, a much more rapid kinetics than CB$_1$R and other GPCRs under similar conditions (Figure 1G). Overall, these results indicate that 2-AG induces rapid CB$_2$R internalization via dynamin dependent mechanism and that ERK1/2-induced phosphorylation occurs after receptor internalization.

**ERK1/2 phosphorylation is mediated by beta-arrestin 1**

GPCRs can activate ERK1/2 via heterotrimeric G proteins or beta-arrestins (Gurevich and Gurevich, 2004; DeWire et al., 2007). Activation of rat CB$_2$R by the agonists CP55,940 or WIN55,212-2 results in strong recruitment of beta-arrestin 2 to the cell surface in HEK293 cells (Atwood et al., 2012). Our results also indicate that beta-arrestin 2 is rapidly recruited to the cell surface into CB$_2$R endocytic pits (Figure 1F and Supplemental Video 1). However, it is currently unknown whether beta-arrestins can modulate ERK1/2 phosphorylation downstream of CB$_2$R activation. To answer these questions, we first tested whether pertussis toxin (PTX)-sensitive Gi/o proteins were responsible for ERK1/2 phosphorylation. Overnight PTX preincubation (10 ng/ml) resulted in no significant changes in the phosphorylation kinetics of ERK1/2 induced by 2-AG (Figure 2, A and B). PTX functionality was simultaneously confirmed by inhibiting PTX-sensitive signaling from CB$_2$R in the same conditions as previously reported (Supplemental Figure 2) (Delgado-Peraza et al., 2016a). Next, we utilized silencing technology to reduce the protein expression levels of beta-arrestin 1 and beta-arrestin 2 in HEK293 cells stably expressing CB$_2$R. Cells were incubated with 10 µM 2-AG and ERK1/2 phosphorylation was analyzed. Strikingly, reduction of beta-arrestin 1 levels resulted in a significant decrease in ERK1/2 activation at the 5-min time point (Figure 2, C and D). However, signaling at 15 min was not affected (Figure 2, C and D). Interestingly, removal of beta-arrestin 2 had no effect on ERK1/2 phosphorylation (Figure 2, E and F). The effectiveness of siRNAs was confirmed in all experiments by Western blot (Supplemental Figure 1). This suggest that early ERK1/2 phosphorylation (~5 min) is mediated by beta-arrestin 1 after receptors are rapidly endocytosed.

To help define the roles of beta-arrestin during receptor endocytosis and signaling, we analyzed the recruitment kinetics of beta-arrestins to the cell surface utilizing TIRFM. HEK293 cells stably expressing CB$_2$R were transfected with either beta-arrestin 1 or beta-arrestin 2 tagged with RFP. Beta-arrestin recruitment analysis was performed as described before (Flores-Otero et al., 2014). As reported with the rat CB$_2$R treated with CP55,940 and WIN55,212 (Atwood et al., 2012) activation of the human CB$_2$R by 2-AG resulted in significant recruitment of beta-arrestin 2 to the cell surface (Figure 3, B, C, and D). In contrast, recruitment of beta-arrestin 1, which mediates intracellular phosphorylation of ERK1/2 (Figure 2), was not observed (Figure 3, A, C, and D). Interestingly, the fluorescence intensity from beta-arrestin 1 was decreased in the TIRF field, indicating a departure from the cell surface and suggesting a later engagement with CB$_2$R (Figure 3, C and D). Because beta-arrestin 1 mediates signaling from the CB$_2$R, and the decreased in fluorescence observed in beta-arrestin 1 in TIRF, we sought to investigate whether CB$_2$R colocalize with beta-arrestin 1 after internalization. To answer this, we performed live-cell confocal microscopy of HEK293 cells coexpressing CB$_2$R and beta-arrestin 1 before and after agonist incubation. In these cells, we observed a high degree of colocalization between receptors and beta-arrestin 1 in intracellular compartments only at the 5-min time point (Figure 3, E and F). These data together with ERK activation kinetics suggest that beta-arrestin 1–mediated ERK phosphorylation occurs after receptor internalization and might occur at endosomal compartments.

**ERK1/2 phosphorylation occurs at Rab4/5 compartments**

Rab GTPases are members of a large family of small GTPases involved in coordinating and mediating intracellular membrane trafficking events such as the endocytic and endo/lysosomal trafficking of surface receptors among others (Stenmark, 2009). To identify the intracellular compartment where CB$_2$R activates ERK1/2, we transfected HEK293 cells stably expressing CB$_2$R with dominant negative variants of Rab4 (S22N) or Rab11 (S29N) to specifically disrupt these intracellular compartments (Roman-Vendrell et al., 2012). Cells expressing CB$_2$R and either Rab4 (S22N) or Rab11 (S29N) were treated with 2-AG and ERK1/2 phosphorylation was analyzed by Western blot (Figure 4A). Cells expressing Rab4 (S22N) significantly impaired ERK1/2 activation by 2-AG. However, Rab11 (S29N) had no effect on ERK1/2 activation kinetics or magnitude when compared with
endosomes to the trans-Golgi network and to the plasma membrane in heterologous and primary cultures (Temkin et al., 2011; Choy et al., 2014; Small and Petsko, 2015). Interestingly, the retromer can also terminate signaling from internalized receptors (Feinstein et al., 2011, 2013). To investigate whether the retromer can terminate signaling mediated by beta-arrestins, we sought to disrupt the expression of VPS29, an essential component of the cargo recognition core in the retromer, by overexpression and separately by silencing technology (Cullen and Korswagen, 2012; Klingler et al., 2015; Small and Petsko, 2015). Cells expressing CB2R were either transfected with siRNA against human VPS29 or with wild-type VPS29 tagged with mCherry. Reduction of VPS29 expression was confirmed by Western blots (Supplemental Figure 1) and resulted in increased ERK1/2 phosphorylation when compared with control cells (Figure 5, A and B). On the contrary, overexpression of VPS29 resulted in a complete inhibition of ERK1/2 phosphorylation (Figure 5, A and B).

Further support of a VPS29 role during CB2R trafficking was obtained by confocal microscopy. HEK293 cells expressing CB2R were transfected with VPS29 mCherry and incubated with 2-AG. Colocalization between VPS29 and CB2Rs was analyzed by Pearson's correlation coefficient analysis from untreated and 5-min incubations. Significant increase in colocalization was observed in cells exposed to 2-AG when compared with nontreated (Figure 5C). We noticed that the effect of beta-arrestin siRNA is limited to only 5 min, while dynamin chemical inhibition affects the entire time course. This suggests that other signaling pathways that are independent of beta-arrestins, most likely endosomal G protein signaling, are eliciting ERK phosphorylation. We sought to test this hypothesis by chemically inhibiting Gβγ (Gue1654), Gi, or Go (substance P–related peptide) and Gq/11 (D-Trp7,9,10-substance P). However, we did not achieve any significant reduction of the observed signaling (Supplemental Figure 3). Finally, we followed CB2R trafficking and investigated if CB2R, after internalization, recycles back to the cell surface. We performed TIRFM to look for individual receptor recycling events as previously described (Flores-Otero et al., 2014) and observed a significant number of CB2R recycling events when compared with CB2R (Figure 6), suggesting a rapid turnover or receptors through the endocytic and recycling pathway back into the cell surface after ligand-induced endocytosis.

DISCUSSION

GPCR can signal in multiple waves and from different cellular compartments (Irannjejad and von Zastrow, 2014; Nogueras-Ortiz and Yudowski, 2016). The β2 adrenergic receptor, parathyroid hormone receptor and luteinizing hormone receptor are only a small example of a growing list of receptors that can signal from the endolysosomal compartment after their ligand-induced internalization (Feinstein et al., 2011; Irannjejad et al., 2013; Inda et al., 2016; Lyga et al., 2016). These signaling pathways can be mediated by heterotrimeric G proteins, or by the scaffold beta-arrestins, regulating the activation of kinases and gene expression (Maudsley et al., 2015; Delgado-Peraza et al., 2016a). However, our understanding of the roles and mechanisms controlling the inactivation of these signaling events is currently very limited. Our data show that activation of CB2R by 2-AG leads to the phosphorylation of ERK1/2 via beta-arrestin 1 after receptor internalization at the 5-min time point (Figures 1 and 2). Interestingly, preincubation with PTX or silencing beta-arrestin 2 did not prevent ERK1/2 activation indicating complex signaling events toward ERK1/2 at later time points (10–15 min), possibly mediated by PTX-insensitive G proteins (Figure 7).

Beta-arrestin 2 was robustly recruited to the plasma membrane on receptor activation, likely to mediate receptor desensitization.

controls (Figure 4, A and B). Next, confocal microscopy was utilized to verify the intracellular localization of receptors after agonist treatment. Cells stably expressing CB2R were transfected with either wild-type Rab4, Rab5, or Rab11 constructs tagged with mCherry and treated with 2-AG (Figure 4C). As signaling data from Figure 4A and imaging from Figure 1, E and F, suggested, internalized CB2R was highly localized with Rab4 and Rab5 labeled compartments within 5 min of agonist exposure and continued to colocalize at 15 min. Analysis of multiple cells in independent experiments indicated a high degree of colocalization between internalized receptors with Rab4/5 and only limited colocalization was observed with Rab11 as measured by pearson’s colocalization coefficient (Figure 4D). Taken together, these data indicate that ERK1/2 phosphorylation occurs early during the endocytic trafficking of the CB2Rs at Rab4/5 compartments.

Beta-arrestin 1–mediated ERK1/2 phosphorylation is terminated by the retromer

The retromer is a key component in the intracellular trafficking of transmembrane receptors, controlling their transport from the
and endocytosis (Figures 1 and 3). Interestingly, beta-arrestin 1 was not recruited to the plasma membrane. In fact, the fluorescence associated with beta-arrestin 1 was decreased on agonist addition, suggesting an active removal of this protein from the plasma membrane. Confocal imaging showed that CB$_2$R colocalized with beta-arrestin 1 and several other GPCRs (Figures 3 and 4). Taken together, our data support the idea of divergent roles of beta-arrestin 1 at likely Rab4 endosomal compartments (Figures 3 and 4).

Endocytosis of the CB$_2$R occurs rapidly as measured by receptor population approaches such as total surface expression. At the single receptor level, endocytosis and recycling of individual CB$_2$R was also extremely fast, especially when compared with other GPCRs (Figures 1G and 6), indicating a fast endocytic trafficking in these cells. Differences in receptor dwell times at individual endocytic pits might reflect different events occurring during the internalization process and not necessarily correlate with endocytic rates. Fast endocytic events (50–100 s) could reflect simple endocytosis while slow events (100–180 s) could reflect not only the removal or receptors but also the interaction of receptors with signaling molecules at the endocytic pits, likely regulating G protein independent signaling pathways.

After internalization, receptors clustered within minutes with beta-arrestin 1 and Rab4/5 endosomes, as analyzed in confocal images (Figures 3 and 4) and as described before for CB$_2$Rs and other GPCRs (Grimsey et al., 2011; Roman-Vendrell et al., 2012). Disruption of these compartments by dominant negative approaches resulted in impaired ERK1/2 phosphorylation by CB$_2$R (Figure 4) likely by the disturbance of normal vesicular functions.

How is beta-arrestin–mediated signaling terminated? Reduction or overexpression of VPS29, a key element in the receptor recognition core at the retromer complex, resulted in increased and impaired signaling respectively (Figure 5). Increase phosphorylation by siRNA against VPS29, might be explained by a prolonged receptor retention at Rab5/5 compartments, increasing signaling from these compartments. Furthermore, reduced ERK1/2 phosphorylation by VPS29 overexpression might result from accelerated expulsion of CB$_2$R from these intracellular compartments toward the plasma membrane or other compartments, where signaling is no longer promoted.

The roles of the retromer complex on GPCR trafficking include the delivery of internalized receptors to the cell surface and the termination of cAMP production (Feinstein et al., 2011; Temkin et al., 2011; Choy et al., 2014; McGarvey et al., 2016). Interestingly, in the case of the parathyroid hormone receptor, results suggested that a complex between beta-arrestin 1 and G$\alpha_1$2 subunits might regulate the intracellular production of cAMP levels by multiple rounds of G protein activation/ inactivation or by stabilizing a sustained coupling to active G$\alpha_s$. Further studies focusing on the dynamic interplay between receptors and signaling molecules at intracellular compartments should answer these and other key questions of the molecular mechanism underlying intracellular signaling. This work extends the functional roles of the retromer to blunting signaling mediated by beta-arrestins from CB$_2$R and identifies a G protein-dependent ERK activation
that also requires receptor internalization, further strengthening the notion of endosomal signaling from GPCRs (Irannejad and von Zastrow, 2014) (Figure 7). Further work should focus on identifying the mechanisms sustaining ERK activation after beta-arrestin dissociation and the intracellular signaling pathways in a more physiological cellular context.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

SEP-CB$_2$R construction and saturation binding assays

Super-ecliptic (GenBank: AY533296.1) human CB$_2$R (GenBank ID:1269/NM_001841.2) was synthesized by Genescript with a linker sequence (CCCATACGATTGCCAGATTACGCT) and subcloned into pCNA3.1(+) at the KpnI and BamHI sites. To determine the $K_d$, a saturation binding assay was performed on a membrane preparation of HEK293 cells transfected with human SEP-CB$_2$R essentially as described (D’Antona et al., 2006). Total binding involved using nine different radiolabeled [$^3$H]CP55,940 concentrations (0 nM–20 nM). Nonspecific binding was determined with 10 µM unlabeled CP55,940. Binding was terminated by subsequent filtration through Whatman GF/C filter paper with a Brandel cell harvester and radioactivity was measured. Each saturation assay was carried out in duplicate, and three independent experiments were performed. Data were analyzed by nonlinear regression using Prism 6.0 (Graphpad Software, San Diego, CA). The value determined, $K_d = 2.9 \pm 1.3$ nM is comparable to the wild-type CB2R (Pertwee et al., 2010).

Cell culture and generation of a stable SEP-CB$_2$R HEK293 cell line and other reagents

Human embryonic kidney cells (HEK293 cells) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and maintained at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$ in complete DMEM, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin-streptomycin, 2 mM glutamine, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). HEK293 cells were transfected with SEP-hCB2R_pCDNA3.1(+) using Effectene (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and harvested under G418 selection (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to manufacturers’ instructions. Selection was assessed using the Cellometer Vision CBA Image Cytometer (Nexcelom Bioscience, Lawrence, MA). Rab4, Rab11, and WT-VPS29 constructs were gifts from Mark von Zastrow (University of California, San Francisco). siRNA against dynamin2 (GS1785), VPS29 (GS51699), beta-arrestin 1 (GS408), and 2 (GS409) were purchased from Qiagen. Transfection of mammalian plasmids and siRNAs was carried out using Lipofectamine (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Gene silencing was confirmed using the following antibodies: anti-dynamin (cat. no. 17807; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), anti-VPS29 (cat. no. NB101-85288; Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO), anti-beta-arrestin 1 (cat. no. NB110-55485; Novus Biologicals), anti-beta-arrestin 2 (cat. no. NB22-24569; Novus Biologicals), and anti-GAPDH (cat. no. 32233; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) as loading control.

**ERK 1/2 phosphorylation assay**

Immunoblots were carried out as previously described with modifications detailed below (Delgado-Peraza et al., 2016a). SEP-hCB2R HEK293 cells with a passage number...
of 3–25 were plated for 2 d prior to serum deprivation carried over night. To observe the effects of PTX, overnight starvation was carried out using serum-free complete media supplemented with 10 ng/ml PTX. Afterward, cells were subjected to treatment with 10 µM 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG; Tocris Bioscience, Minneapolis, MN) as indicated in the figures. Prior to treatment with 2-AG, cells were incubated with either 5 µM of a Gqi/o/s antagonist peptide (Tocris; cat. no. 143675-79-0), 25 µM of the Gq inhibitor [D- Trp7,9,10]-Substance P (Tocris; cat. no. 89430-38-6) for 1 or 24 h for experiments depicted on Supplemental Figure 3. To stop CB2R activation, medium was aspirated and replaced with 100 µl of ice-cold radioc-immunoprecipitation assay buffer (RIPA; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) supplemented with 5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 30 mM β-glycerol phosphate, 30 mM sodium fluoride, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (all organic compounds were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich), and 1X protease/phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA). Protein were resolved in 10% polyacrylamide gels prior to transference to nitrocellulose membranes. Antibody nonspecific binding was blocked with Odyssey Blocking Buffer (Licit, Lincoln, NE). Membranes were incubated overnight at room temperature with a 1:500 dilution of phosphoERK1/2 (pERK) antibody (cat. no. 9101; Cell Signaling Technology) in blocking solution. pERK bands were visualized using the Odyssey Imaging System (Licit). Membrane were stripped and reprobed using a 1:500 dilution of ERK antibody for total ERK (cat. no. 4695; Cell Signaling Technology). ERK activation was assessed by normalizing the optical density of pERK bands to that of total ERK (Image Studio, Licor). Data are expressed as a fold change over the basal level of phosphorylation.

**CB₂R internalization**

SEP-CB₂R HEK293 cells were exposed to 10 µM 2-AG for 5, 15, and 30 min. At the end of treatment, ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to cells and quickly replaced with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) in PBS. Cells were incubated in PFA for 15 min at room temperature and then washed twice with PBS before confocal fluorescence microscopy analysis using a Nikon A1R Eclipse Ti-E inverted microscope with a N Apo 60x oil immersion objective (Nikon, Melville, NY). The NIS Elements Advanced Research Microscope Imaging Software (Nikon) was used for image acquisition. Fluorescence intensity analysis from the equatorial plane was carried out using the Fiji imaging processing software (ImageJ, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI).

**Kinetics of CB₂R endocytosis and recycling by TIRF.** To assess the kinetic properties of CB₂R internalization, SEP-hCB₂R HEK293 cells were subjected to total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRF) as described elsewhere (Yudowski and von Zastrow, 2011; Delgado-Peraza et al., 2016b). Briefly, cells seeded in PDL-coated 35-mm glass-bottom dishes (MatTek, Ashland, MA) were preincubated with Opti-MEM supplemented with 20 mM HEPES. Cells were placed on a stage warmer and agonist was added to the media. Imaging was performed utilizing a motorized Nikon Ti-E inverted microscope coupled to a CFI-Apo 100x 1.49 oil TIRF objective lens with color correction, a motorized stage with perfect focus and an iXonEM + DU897 back illuminated electron-multiplying charge-coupled device (EMCCD) camera (Andor, Belfast, UK). A 488-nm sapphire laser of 50 mW was used as light source (Coherent, Santa Clara, CA). Total time of live-imaging visualization and recording was less than 30 min. Recycling events were imaged at 10-Hz acquisition rate, whereas endocytosis was performed at 0.3 Hz. Analysis of fluorescence intensities was carried out using the Fiji imaging processing software (ImageJ, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI).

**In vivo colocalization studies.** To assess the localization of intracellular CB₂R with respect to vesicle trafficking markers, HEK293 cells were cotransfected with SEP-hCB₂R-RFP-Rab4, RFP-Rab5, RFP-Rab11, or RFP-VPS29 using Effectene (Qiagen). After 12 h of transfection, cells were seeded in 35-mm glass-bottom dishes (MatTek) coated with 0.5 mg/ml PDL (Sigma-Aldrich). At a time 24–48 h post-plating, media were changed to Opti-MEM supplemented with 20 mM HEPES (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and imaged by confocal fluorescence microscopy under a temperature controlled environment at ∼37°C (Stable “Z” Micro-Environmental Warming System; Bioptechs, Butler, PA). After 2 min of in vivo fluorescence assessment under control conditions, cells were treated with either vehicle or 5 µM 2-AG for 5 and 15 min followed membrane permeabilization with 0.01% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) in order to reveal intracellular SEP-hCB₂R. Fluorescence colocalization between SEP and RFP fluorophores was assessed using the Fiji imaging processing software by selecting intracellular ROI (ImageJ, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI).

**Statistical analyses**

Creation of graphs and statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Student’s t test was performed with results expressed as the mean ± SEM.
used to assess statistical differences among compared groups. Results were labeled *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.
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