Extended posterior approach for huge popliteal aneurysm extended to superficial femoral artery

Tomoki Cho¹, Hideyuki Iwaki¹ and Munetaka Masuda²

Abstract

Objectives: Generally, popliteal artery aneurysms have been addressed surgically by a medial, posterior, or lateral approach. We have designed a new posterior approach that exposes the superficial femoral artery and entire popliteal artery without dividing any muscles in a just prone position.

Methods and results: A 72-year-old man with huge popliteal aneurysm extended to superficial femoral artery was admitted to our hospital. Surgery was performed due to a high risk of rupture. An S-shaped skin incision was made in the popliteal fossa. We could not expose the proximal side of the giant aneurysm proximal to the foramen of the adductor magnus. We extended the skin incision to the proximal and exfoliated the medial side of semitendinosus muscle. We could expose the superficial femoral artery in this approach like in a medial approach. We could perform the interposition of great saphenous vein.

Conclusion: The advantages of this approach allowed for entire exposure of the popliteal aneurysm in the same patient’s position when we perform aneurysmectomy and bypass. It is possible for this approach to provide easy access to the superficial femoral artery proximal to the adductor hiatus and distal below-knee popliteal artery including the tibioperoneal trunk.
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Introduction

Generally, popliteal aneurysm has been able to be addressed surgically by a posterior approach only. However, if popliteal aneurysm is huge beyond the adductor hiatus or extending to the tibioperoneal trunk, it is necessary to deal with by additional approach, such as a medial and lateral approach.¹,² An operation by means of ligations proximal and distal side of an aneurysm and bypass graft insertion between of an aneurysm is performed by medial approach. But, the sac enlargement or even rupture due to retrograde collateral pathway toward the aneurysm during follow-up period has been reported. Accordingly, we designed a new surgical approach that is able to expose both of the superficial femoral artery (SFA) and entire popliteal aneurysm including distal popliteal artery in an only prone position. We obtained this patient’s publication consent.

Case report

A 72-year-old man with swelling and pain from the right mid-thigh to the popliteal fossa was admitted to our hospital. And, he had a symptom of intermittent claudication for several years. His right common femoral artery was palpable, but other arteries below popliteal region were not palpable. The computed tomography revealed right huge thrombosed popliteal aneurysm (maximum transverse diameter of 90 mm,
longitudinal diameter of 120 mm) extending from mid-SFA to middle popliteal arteries (Figure 1(a) and (b)). Basically, we perform an aneurysmectomy and bypass by a usual posterior approach for a popliteal aneurysm. However, as the aneurysm extended to the SFA in the patient, we thought that we cannot expose SFA proximal to a foramen of great adductor magnus in an only posterior approach and that we have to change a patient’s position and add a medial approach. So, we produced the additional method in addition to usual posterior approach to expose entire huge aneurysm including normal arteries proximal and distal sides of the aneurysm.

**Operation**
At first, the patient was placed in supine position on the operating table. A three skip incisions were made on right thigh to
harvest the great saphenous vein (SV). Next, his position was changed to prone position after harvest of the SV. A slight larger S-shaped skin incision than normal posterior approach was made in the center of the popliteal fossa (Figure 2(a)). First, normal below-knee popliteal artery after the aneurysm was exposed easily using normal posterior approach. Next, we began to expose the huge aneurysm along to popliteal artery in order to expose the entire aneurysm. However, we could not expose the entire aneurysm because the proximal side of the aneurysm was much beyond the adductor hiatus. At this time, we had to give up to the exposure of the entire aneurysm from the normal posterior approach. Therefore, we extended the skin incision to the proximal inside of the thigh, such as medial approach at the lower thigh to expose the entire aneurysm including proximal SFA beyond the aneurysm (Figure 2(b)).

Normally, it is possible for usual posterior approach to expose popliteal artery up to adductor hiatus from the inside of the semimembranosus muscle and the semitendinosus muscle (Figure 3(a)). However, only the usual posterior approach cannot expose SFA beyond the adductor hiatus. Therefore, we extended the skin incision and exposed SFA beyond the adductor hiatus from the outside of the semimembranosus muscle and the semitendinosus muscle, such as medial approach to expose SFA (Figure 3(b)). Using this method, in addition to the usual posterior approach, we could expose the adductor hiatus easily from extended posterior approach as well as a medial approach, and the SFA of the proximal side of the aneurysm was easily encircled with a vessel loop (Figure 3(c)). After the patient underwent systemic heparinization, the proximal and distal sites of aneurysm were clamped. The giant aneurysm was made an incision, and the branches from the aneurysm were completely closed. After that, SV graft was sewn in end-to-end fashion to the SFA with 6–0 monofilament running suture. After the proximal anastomosis was completed, the SV graft was placed under tension and tailored to the correct length. Then, distal anastomosis to the popliteal artery was performed with same procedure (Figure 3(d)). Suction drain was placed in the thigh and popliteal space. The wound was easily closed because reconstruction of the muscles was not required and enough space was gotten by complete resection of the huge aneurysm (Figure 3(e)).

The postoperative course was not eventful. The postoperative computed tomography revealed no complications including graft failure, lymphorrhea, and venous insufficiency (Figure 3(f)). We have ever performed popliteal aneurysmectomy and bypass by this approach for four limbs of three patients. For 2 years, all bypass grafts have been patent, and all patients have no intermittent claudication.

**Discussion**

Surgical approaches for popliteal aneurysm are generally selected either medial approach or posterior approach on
the basis of location of aneurysms. However, when a popliteal aneurysm ranges from SFA to popliteal artery, we cannot expose SFA and entire popliteal artery in an only posterior or medial approach. Then, it is difficult to treat aneurysms completely by each single approach only. We extended a skin incision of the normal posterior approach to the proximal side, and we succeeded in exposure of the entire aneurysm and the SFA proximal to the adductor hiatus using medial approach in addition to usual posterior approach at the just prone position. We named this approach as “Extended posterior approach” because we can get more wide surgical field than usual posterior approach. As the advantages of this approach, we can observe the entire aneurysm including proximal and distal arteries by a single skin incision and a single position, and we can deal with all small branches from the aneurysm under direct vision after dissection of the aneurysm.

Several long-term results of the medial and posterior approach for the treatments of popliteal aneurysms have been reported.3-5 Generally, popliteal aneurysm was remaining by treated with exclusion method and bypass jumped the aneurysm by separate two median approaches of above and below knee. An excluded aneurysm can be transmitted to systemic pressure from persistent retrograde flow through small branches from an aneurysm. As the result, an aneurysm will be growth and rupture. Mehta et al.6 and Ebaugh et al.7 reported that excluded popliteal aneurysms grow in 7%-23% of the patients after the operation.

Conclusion
This approach is much useful because it provides a good surgical field of entire popliteal aneurysm including proximal and distal arteries of the aneurysm.
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