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Abstract

Objectives: To find out parenting style implemented by parents of preschool children and the influence of parenting style of parents toward independence.

Methods/Statistical Analysis: The research method applied was quantitative approach. The population in this research was as many as 260 students. The number of samples determined based on cluster random sampling technique was 90 students. The data collection technique was conducted by doing validity testing, reliability, instrument, normality test, linearity test and hypothesis test which are processed by using SPSS 16.0 for windows program.

Findings: The research findings show that most of the parents of preschool children at Ratu Agung District of Bengkulu City implemented democratic parenting style. The percentages of independence level of preschool children were as follow: 16.67% of preschool children categorized as having high independence level, 67.78% of preschool children categorized as having moderate independence level, and 15.55% of preschool children categorized as having low independence level. There was positive and significant influence between democratic parenting style toward independence. It was proven by the value of rcalculation of democratic parenting style variable and independence variable which was 0.236, while rtable was 0.207. It can be seen that rcalculation was higher than rtable. The amount of contribution of democratic parenting style toward independence was 5.6%, while 94.4% was determined by other variables or factors which were not discussed in this research.

Application/Improvements: A positive influence between democratic parenting style towards the children independence occured. The parents had better to be open-minded, close to be like friend to the children and care to their needs.
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1. Introduction

Independence is very important in a person's life because with independence children can become more responsible in meeting their needs and foster self-confidence in children. A child who has a sense of independence will be able to adjust to the environment, and the environment of the child itself, and can overcome difficulties that occur. Independence can be interpreted as a free personality form of dependence, not as a person without socialization but as self-directed through environmental influences. The practice of parenting has a significant influence on cognitive development and academic outcomes⁵ and also in early childhood independence. Early life experiences play an important role in child development and can provide the foundation for future health⁶. The idea of human development encourages in the context of the environment in which one lives⁷.

Physical factors in the environment have also been shown to affect child development⁸ and early childhood independence. A clean and safe environment for children is essential to ensure a positive development trajectory. Improved levels of health with the physical environment⁹. Children living in solid conditions have a higher
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2. Research Methodology

2.1 Participant

The population of this study took the sample size by 25% of the total number of preschool children that were selected as population. So that the number of preschool children samples are as many as two Sub-districts. Then the two sub-districts are selected randomly. The result was selected two sub-districts namely Nusa Indah with 62 children and Kebun Kenanga with 28 children, so that
the total samples were 90 children in Ratu Agung District of Bengkulu City.

2.2 Instrument

In this study, the instrument used was the test scale. There were two scales used, the first scale was the parenting pattern scale that refers to the description of the influence of “Parenting style” on the behavior of children and based on the two dimensions of parenting style which are demandingness and responsiveness\(^{17}\). The second scale was the scale of Independence in which the emergence of independence does not happen just like that, one of the factors that affect the independence of children is the parenting pattern applied by parents\(^{16,17}\). Based on several theories that have been mentioned, the researchers agree with the theory\(^{18}\) that the validity criterion is reduced to 0.25 with consideration that the number of items that pass to be sufficient (Table 1).

Table 1. Summary of the result of validity test of parents’ parenting style variable and independence variable

| No. | Variable          | Total of Item |          |          |          |
|-----|------------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|
|     |                  | Total Item    | Valid Item| Failed Item|          |
| 1.  | Parenting Style  | 47            | 32       | 15       |          |
| 2.  | Independence     | 40            | 22       |          |          |

Reliability is expressed by the reliability coefficients\(^{14}\) whose numbers are in the range from 0 to 1.00. The higher the reliability coefficient close to 1.00, it means the higher the reliability. Conversely the lower coefficient close to the number 0 means the lower the reliability.

The data will also be analyzed with the help of Statistical Package for Social Science program (SPSS version 16.0 for windows) to find out whether or not there is any influence of parenting style and students’ emotional intelligence. The process of data analysis assisted SPSS program such as checking item validity and item reliability, and test of normality, linearity and hypothesis.

The sampling technique used was random cluster sampling of Preschool Children of Ratu Agung District of Bengkulu City which was chosen as research location. Research was conducted on Wednesday and Thursday 22\(^{nd}\)-23\(^{rd}\) of January 2017. The following research was a presentation of data descriptions of each variable obtained by researchers in the field.

3. Results and Discussion

The instrument used by the researcher to obtain data was by test scale. The first scale prepared by the researcher consisted of 47 items that were used as trial scale. After conducting the trial, there were 15 items that were crossed so that there were only 32 items as shown in Table 2.

From the 32 Items of the valid and reliable trial test scale then composed to be the scale that was used as research instruments. Table 3 shows the explanation of items based on parenting dimension classification.

The total of respondents who were 90 students. Based on the calculation using Microsoft Excel, the researcher calculated the score of each student for every parenting
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The earlier scale composed by the researcher consisted of 40 items used as trial scale. After conducting the trial, there were 18 items dropped therefore the items left were 22 items, as shown in Table 4.

The 22 trial scale items which were valid and reliable were constructed to be the scale that was used as the research instrument. The ideal maximum score of independence were 22x4=88 and the ideal minimum score was 22x1= 22. Based on the calculation using SPSS 16.0 for Windows.

The research result regarding the independence led to data is shown in Table 5.

In order to decide the weight of emotional category intelligent, therefore the researcher used these following formulas:

\[
X \geq \mu + 1 \cdot \sigma \quad \text{Category of High}
\]

\[
\mu - 1 \cdot \sigma \leq X < \mu + 1 \cdot \sigma \quad \text{Category of Moderate}
\]

\[
\mu - 1 \cdot \sigma < X \quad \text{Category of Low}
\]

Notes:

\(X = \text{Score} \quad \mu = \text{mean} \quad \sigma = \text{standard of deviation.} \) Based on the data above, independence category was obtained as shown in Table 6.

Based on the research result, it was known that the independence level of preschool children in the District of Ratu Agung Bengkulu City in which the preschool children who had high independence score were 15 children or 16.67%, the children who had moderate independence score were 61 children or 67.78%, the children who had low independence score were 14 children or 15.55%. Thus, generally, most of the preschool children in the District of Ratu Agung Bengkulu city has moderate independence. For further information, it can be seen from the histogram in Figure 1.

The normality test was used by One-Simple Kolmogorov Smirnov. The result of normality test could confirm that all data were normally distributed with significance value 0.475 for the independent variable and 0.597 for the parenting style variable. The minimum requirement for normal distribution had been acquired where the significance value must be bigger than 0.05. Linear test also used ANOVA Table. The result of linear

---

### Table 4. List of independence items

| Items          | Items Number                                      | Items’ Total |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Dropped Items  | 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19,20, 21, 23, 32, 34, and 38 | 18           |
| Valid and Reliable Items used in this research | 3, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 35, 36, 37, 39, and 40 | 22           |

### Table 5. Independence data

| Valid (N) | 90 |
| Missing   | 0  |
| Mean      | 68.6000 |
| Median    | 68.5000 |
| Std. Deviation | 6.46825 |
| Minimum   | 46.00 |
| Maximum   | 85.00 |

### Table 6. Category of independence

| Interval | Frequency | Percentage (%) | Category |
|----------|-----------|----------------|----------|
| X ≥ 75,07 | 15 Children | 16.67 | High |
| 62.13 ≤ X < 75,07 | 61 Children | 67.78 | Moderate |
| X < 62.13 | 14 Children | 15.55 | Low |
| Total | 90 Children | 100% | |

Notes:

- \(X = \text{Score} \quad \mu = \text{mean} \quad \sigma = \text{standard of deviation.} \) Based on the data above, independence category was obtained as shown in Table 6.
test indicated that parenting style had linear relation with the children's independence. It was proven by the linear significance value of 0.016 for the parenting style and 0.017 for the children's independence. A condition that must present with a linear data is that the linear significance value must be lower than 0.05.

Figure 1. Histogram of independence category of preschool children.

The hypothesis test using simple regression analysis technique with the regression value result were the $r_{value}$ parenting style and independence variable which were 0.236. $r_{value}$ was positive, $R_{table}$ with the N=90 and the element of error 5% which was 0.207. In conclusion it was proven that $r_{value}$ was bigger than $r_{table}$ (0.236>0.207) and can be concluded that there was a strong relation between democratic parenting style variable and independence. Determination index ($r^2$) could be seen from the $R$ square of regression analysis table. The value of R square was 0.055. Thus, the influence of parenting style (X) towards independence (Y) was 0.055x100% = 5.4%, while 94.4% was determined by variable or other factors which were not discussed in this research. According the Hypothesis test above, $H_0$ was rejected and $H_a$ was accepted. Hence, it can be concluded that there was a significance and positive influence between the parenting style towards the independence of preschool children.

Based on the research result, the preschool children who had high independence category were 15 children. The preschool children who had independence which were categorized as moderate criteria consisted of 61 children. On the other side, the total of preschool children who had low independence score were only 14 children. This result is in line with the interview result that was conducted in Ratu Agung district Bengkulu City at the moment before the research had been started. The interview was conducted through one of class teachers whose class were chosen the be the interview source. The result of the research showed that most of the preschool children parents in Ratu Agung District Bengkulu City implemented democratic parenting style. The result of the research proved that the parenting style, especially democratic parenting style gave positive and significant contribution towards the independence of preschool children. The high value of correlation coefficient between the parenting style and independence was 0.236. Even though the correlation value of parenting style and the independence of preschool children was law, this still showed the improvement of parenting style that was implemented, therefore the better the independence of preschool children was. The determination index ($R^2$) of this research was 0.056. In conclusion, the contribution of parenting style (X) towards the independence (Y) was 0.056x100% = 5.6%. Meanwhile the 94.4% was determined by variable or other factors that were not discussed in this research.

4. Conclusion

From the research result and discussion that was obtained about the parenting style influence towards the independence, it can be concluded that:

a. Most of the parents of preschool children, District of Ratu Agung Bengkulu City implemented democratic parenting style that gave demandingness and responses properly to the children.

b. The average of independence level of preschool children in the District of Ratu Agung was categorized moderate.

c. There was a positive and significant influence between democratic parenting style towards the children independence.

From the research result regarding the parenting style influence towards the independence of preschool children in Ratu Agung District, the researcher proposed a recommendation which the parents had better to be open-minded in socialization, close to be like friend to the children and care to their needs, problems so that they will feel given attention and appreciated and comfortable. The parents are supposed to listen the children's problem from
the perspective of them. The teachers are also expected to give a more intensive guide and role model of independence in problem-solving according to the children ability, in the process of learning personal independence so that their whole potential can be improved and created an independent person. The teachers are expected to cooperatively work with the parents so that the development of the children in home can be continued in the school by being supported by learning activities that are the regular activities for independent learning.
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