Mobilization of IMEs Integrated in the oriT of ICEs Involves Their Own Relaxase Belonging to the Rep-Trans Family of Proteins
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Abstract: Integrative mobilizable elements (IMEs) are widespread but very poorly studied integrated elements that can excise and hijack the transfer apparatus of co-resident conjugative elements to promote their own spreading. Sixty-four putative IMEs, harboring closely related mobilization and recombination modules, were found in 14 Streptococcus species and in Staphylococcus aureus. Fifty-three are integrated into the origin of transfer (oriT) of a host integrative conjugative element (ICE), encoding a MobT relaxase and belonging to three distant families: ICE\textit{St3}, Tn\textit{916}, and ICE\textit{6013}. The others are integrated into an unrelated IME or in chromosomal sites. After labeling by an antibiotic resistance gene, the conjugative transfer of one of these IMEs (named IME\textsubscript{oriTs}) and its host ICE was measured. Although the IME is integrated in an ICE, it does not transfer as a part of the host ICE (no \textit{cis}-mobilization). The IME excises and transfers separately from the ICE (without impacting its transfer rate) using its own relaxase, distantly related to all known MobT relaxases, and integrates in the oriT of the ICE after transfer. Overall, IME\textsubscript{oriTs} use MobT-encoding ICEs both as hosts and as helpers for conjugative transfer. As half of them carry \textit{lsa}(C), they actively participate in the dissemination of lincosamide–streptogramin A–pleuromutilin resistance among Firmicutes.
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1. Introduction

Integrative conjugative elements (ICEs) are mobile genetic elements (MGEs) integrated in bacterial chromosomes (or plasmids) that are able to excise as a circular form and transfer autonomously by conjugation (see [1,2] for reviews). These elements are widespread in bacterial genomes, even more than conjugative plasmids in almost all bacterial clades [3]. Therefore, they probably play a key role in horizontal transfer of genes, in particular, in virulence and antibiotic resistance spread [4,5]. ICE transfer is thought to be similar to transfer mediated by conjugative plasmids. The mechanistic aspects of conjugative transfer of plasmids are quite well characterized in Gram-negative bacteria and, more particularly, Proteobacteria, but conjugative transfer of ICE is less well known. Initiation of conjugative transfer requires nicking of DNA at a sequence called origin of transfer (oriT) by a protein called relaxase (or Mob for mobilization protein). The relaxase binds covalently to DNA and, by interacting with a so-called coupling protein (CP), brings one of the strands of DNA to an active transport system encoded by the conjugative element. This system of mating pair formation...
(MPF) is a macromolecular protein complex belonging to the type IV secretion systems (T4SS) [6]. The relaxase initiates not only the transfer but also intercellular rolling-circle replication (RCR), one strand being replicated in the donor strain, and the other strand being transferred in the recipient cell. In Gram-negative bacteria, relaxases belong to seven unrelated or very distantly related families that differ by their catalytic domains [3,6,7]. It should be emphasized that initiators of intercellular RCR, which are involved in the maintenance of plasmids, are unrelated to all families of relaxases from Gram-negative bacteria. All CPs from these bacteria are related and belong to the VirD4 family while MPFs were divided in six distantly related families [3,8]. The mechanisms of conjugation remain poorly understood in other clades, such as Firmicutes, a major group of Gram-positive bacteria, although they most often seem to be similar to those of Proteobacteria [6,9]. The known relaxases from Firmicutes belong to four of the six canonical families found in Proteobacteria and to two novel ones: MobM and MobT [7]. MobM relaxases belong to the tyrosine recombinase family and MobT relaxases belong to the Rep_trans family (with a conserved domain: PF02486) of RCR initiators. MobM relaxases are only encoded by plasmids belonging to the pCW3 family and MobT relaxases are only encoded by a large superfamily of widespread ICEs (Tn916 superfamily), which includes distantly related families such as Tn916, ICESl3, and ICE6013 families. All MobM-encoding plasmids and all MobT-encoding ICEs encode TcpA CPs that are unrelated to VirD4 [8] and MPFs belonging to a novel family, MPF\textsubscript{FATA} [10].

Another class of integrated mobile genetic elements, the integrative mobilizable elements (IMEs), are able to transfer by conjugation although they do not encode the MPF. All of the very few exhaustive searches of IMEs and ICEs in genomes revealed more IMEs than ICEs [11–14]. However, IMEs are difficult to detect and therefore remain very poorly studied [1,11]. Like ICEs, they can contribute to the fitness of their bacterial host by bringing new traits such as antibiotic resistance [11,12]. Like ICEs, they use a tyrosine recombinase, a serine recombinase, or a DDE transposase to excise from and integrate into the chromosome or plasmids. Unlike ICEs, they hijack or subvert the DNA transport system encoded by unrelated conjugative elements (ICEs or plasmids) to promote their own transfer [1,11]. To be mobilized by ICEs or plasmids, they need to harbor their own origin of transfer. Some do not encode a relaxase, so they carry an oriT that is sufficiently similar to that of their helper conjugative element to be recognized by its relaxase. Almost all IMEs from Firmicutes characterized until now encode their own relaxase that always belongs to the canonical families also found in Proteobacteria. However, most of the 144 putative IMEs revealed by the analysis of 124 genomes of streptococci encode putative relaxases belonging to five families of RCR initiators of viruses or plasmids [13]. Many of the IMEs that encode a putative relaxase belonging to RCR initiators encode a putative CP related to TcpA CPs [11]. Some of the 144 putative IMEs found by Coluzzi et al. [13] are integrated inside a host ICE, resulting in a matryoshka element. They encode a putative relaxase suggesting that they are mobilized in trans by conjugative elements. However, their integration in ICEs suggests that they could also be transferred as a part of the ICE (mobilization in cis, as defined previously [1]). All of these target genes are very conserved or essential to ICE transfer (for example, the gene encoding the VirD4 CP of the ICE) [12,13].

We previously identified a family of putative IMEs that are integrated inside the oriT of ICEs belonging to the Tn916 superfamily (called IME\textsubscript{oriT}s) [15]. Such IMEs were detected in four strains of \textit{Streptococcus agalactiae}, in two strains of \textit{Streptococcus mutans}, in one strain of \textit{Streptococcus mitis}, and in one strain of unidentified \textit{Streptococcus} species. Three of them carry a \textit{lsa}(C) gene, conferring cross-resistance to lincosamides, streptogramin A, and pleuromutilins in \textit{S. agalactiae} [16]. The insertion of the IME in a sequence of \textit{oriT}, which is conserved in diverse and broadly distributed ICEs from Firmicutes, provides a safe and widespread site of integration. Douarre et al. [17] later identified four additional IMEs of the IME\textsubscript{oriT} family by examining 531 genomes of \textit{S. agalactiae}. They performed conjugation experiments in order to study the transferability of two IMEs and of their cargo \textit{lsa}(C). Despite repetitive experiments, only one transconjugant was obtained. Characterization of this transconjugant indicated that the IME\textsubscript{oriT} was present in two copies (one integrated in a resident Tn916 and another in a resident ICE belonging to the ICESl3 family that is specifically integrated in
a tRNA\textsubscript{Lys} gene). Transfer of the ICE hosting IME\textsubscript{oriT} (specifically integrated in \textit{rpsI}) in the donor strain was not observed [17]. This raises interesting evolutionary questions about the interactions between IMEs and their helper and/or host conjugative element. If IME integration disrupts an essential sequence or gene of the ICE, does it impair ICE transfer or does the IME excise when the transfer system of the ICE is activated (as previously suggested by Coluzzi et al. [13])? Is the IME only mobilized \textit{in trans}, thus enabling its co-transfer with the ICE? Does the use of the ICE T4SS by the IME interfere with ICE transfer? Overall, is this IME a harmful pirate or a parasite of the ICE that hampers ICE transfer or a gentle hitchhiker that pacifically co-exists with the ICE?

In this study, an exhaustive search of this family of IMEs that target \textit{oriT} of ICEs revealed 64 elements, not only in many species of streptococci but also in one strain of \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}. In order to answer the questions raised above, we studied excision and transfer by conjugation of an IME\textsubscript{oriT}. For these purposes, we made genetic constructs to create adequate donor and recipient strains that were then used in various conjugation experiments. These mating assays demonstrated that this IME\textsubscript{oriT} can be mobilized \textit{in trans} but not \textit{in cis} by the ICE, and does not interfere with ICE transfer.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. \textit{In Silico} Search and Analysis of IMEs Encoding a Relaxase or an Integrase Related to the One of IME\textsubscript{SagLMG15084_oriT}

The relaxase (GenBank ID: EPW57073.1) and integrase (GenBank ID: EPW57075.1) of IME\textsubscript{SagLMG15084_oriT} described previously [15] were used as queries to find homologs by BlastP and tBlastN analyses (with default parameters on non-redundant protein sequences and nucleotide databases, respectively; last NCBI database interrogation on 2020/02/11). Hits with more than 40\% of amino acid identity with the whole query sequence were further analyzed. Sequences adjacent to the identified genes were extracted from genomes or contigs and then manually explored using Geneious Prime (version 2020.0.5, Biomatters Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand to identify the boundaries of the elements (search of direct repeats). IME\textsubscript{oriTs} were also identified using a previously described method [13] on 21 genomes of \textit{Streptococcus salivarius} sequenced in our laboratory (see more details in the accompanying paper [14]) and were added to the set of IMEs. Denominations of the IMEs include letters for the species (Sag for \textit{S. agalactiae}, San for \textit{Streptococcus anginosus}, Saur for \textit{S. aureus}, Saus for \textit{S. australis}, Ssal for \textit{S. salivarius}, Ssan for \textit{S. sanguinis}, Sth for \textit{S. thermophilus}) and the name of the bacterial strain that hosts the IME. Denominations also include the name of the target sequence (\textit{oriT} or \textit{oriT}\textsuperscript{*} if the IME is integrated in a secondary site).

A multiple alignment of the IME sequences was made using Clustal Omega 1.2.2 in order to construct a full distance matrix. This enabled the selection of representative sequences for further analyses. Based on the distance matrix obtained for IME sequences, sequences were aligned two-by-two using BlastN (with default parameters). These comparison files were then used to draw alignment graphs using Artemis Comparison Tool (ACT) provided by the Sanger Centre [18]. Manual editing of the comparison figure was performed using Inkscape.

Cargo genes of the putative IMEs were analyzed using the Conserved Domain search tool on NCBI website (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi).

2.2. Construction of Phylogenetic Trees

Sequences of the integrase genes, relaxase proteins and Lsa(C) proteins encoded by the putative IMEs were extracted using Geneious Prime (version 2020.0.5, Biomatters Ltd.) and aligned using ClustalW. Phylogenetic trees were built with MEGA7 [19] using the maximum likelihood method based on the Tamura-Nei model [20] without outgroup. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained
automatically by applying neighbor-joining and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the maximum composite likelihood approach and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value.

2.3. Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions

The bacterial strains used in this study are indicated in Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristics of the strains used in this study.

| Bacterial Species         | Strain Name                                                                 | Genotype 1                                                                 | Reference |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| *Streptococcus salivarius*| L11(ICE_SsalL11_fda :IME_SsalL11_ortT)                                      | Wild type strain carrying ICE_SsalL11_fda hosting IME_SsalL11_ortT          | [14]      |
| *S. salivarius*           | L25(ICE_SsalL25_fda :IME_SsalL25_ortT)                                      | Strain carrying ICE_SsalL25_fda labeled with a SpcR gene and hosting IME_SsalL25_ortT labeled with a KanR gene | [14] and this work |
| *S. salivarius*           | L45(ICE_SsalL45_rpsI :IME_SsalL45_ortT)                                      | Wild type strain carrying ICE_SsalL45_rpsI hosting IME_SsalL45_ortT         | [14]      |
| *S. salivarius*           | F1-4(ICE_SsalF1-4_fda :int)                                                 | Strain carrying ICE_SsalF1-4_fda with its integrase gene replaced by a CmR gene | [21] and this work |
| *Streptococcus thermophilus* | LMG18311(ICESt3)                                                             | Strain carrying ICESt3 integrated in fda and labeled with a CmR or SpcR gene | [22]      |
| *S. thermophilus*          | LMG18311(ICESt3:int)                                                        | Strain carrying ICESt3 integrated in fda and labeled with a CmR gene replaced by a CmR gene | This work |
| *S. thermophilus*          | LMG18311(ICESt3:intdel)                                                     | Strain carrying ICESt3 integrated in fda and labeled with a CmR gene deleted for its relaxase gene | [23]      |
| *S. thermophilus*          | LMG18311(ICESt3 :IME_SsalL25_ortT)                                          | Strain carrying ICESt3 integrated in fda and labeled with a CmR gene and hosting IME_SsalL25_ortT KanR     | This work |
| *S. thermophilus*          | LMG18311(ICESt3 :IME_SsalL25_ortT:intdel)                                   | Strain carrying ICESt3 integrated in fda and labeled with a CmR gene and hosting IME_SsalL25_ortT KanR deleted for its relaxase gene | This work |
| *S. thermophilus*          | LMG18311(ICESt3 Δint:IME_SsalL25_ortT)                                      | Strain carrying ICESt3 integrated in fda and SpcR with its integrase gene replaced by a CmR gene and hosting IME_SsalL25_ortT KanR | This work |
| *S. thermophilus*          | LMG18311(ICESt3 Δint:IME_SsalL25_ortT)                                      | Strain carrying ICESt3 integrated in fda and CmR deleted for its relaxase gene and hosting IME_SsalL25_ortT KanR | This work |
| *S. thermophilus*          | LMG1831 ΔpspE (ICESt3:int)                                                 | Strain deficient in exopolysaccharide production, EryR and carrying ICESt3 SpcR with its integrase gene replaced by a CmR gene | This work |

1 As described in the material and methods, Integrative Conjugative Elements (ICEs) and Integrative Mobilizable Elements (IMEs) are named according to the bacterial species and strain in which they were identified and their site of insertion. Deletion of genes introduced into the element are also mentioned (int for integrase, rel for relaxase, and espE for exopolysaccharides production locus). For more clarity, antibiotic resistance genes used to label the elements are not included in the element name but are indicated in the genotype column; SpcR, spectinomycin resistance; KanR, kanamycin resistance; CmR, chloramphenicol resistance; EryR, erythromycin resistance.

*S. salivarius* and *S. thermophilus* strains were grown in M17 broth supplemented with 0.5% lactose (LM17) at 37 or 42 °C, respectively, without shaking. Solid cultures were made in oxygen-free environment induced by GasPak utilization (BioMérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France). When required, cultures were supplemented with the following antibiotics: chloramphenicol (4 µg·mL⁻¹ for *S. thermophilus* or 8 µg·mL⁻¹ for *S. salivarius*), erythromycin 5 µg·mL⁻¹, spectinomycin (500 µg·mL⁻¹ for *S. thermophilus* or 800 µg·mL⁻¹ for *S. salivarius*), and kanamycin (500 µg·mL⁻¹ for *S. thermophilus* or 800 µg·mL⁻¹ for *S. salivarius*).

2.4. IME and ICE Tagging with a Resistance Gene and Deletion of Integrate and Relaxase Genes of the Integrative Elements

Overlap PCR was used to insert an antibiotic resistance gene in integrative elements of *S. salivarius* L25 as described previously [21]. Deletion of the integrase genes (of ICE SsalF1-4_fda in *S. salivarius*...
F1-4 and ICESt3 of *S. thermophilus* in LMG18311) was done by insertion of a chloramphenicol resistance cassette as described previously [24]. IME_SsalL25_oriT and 1-kb-upstream and downstream flanking regions were PCR-amplified before natural transformation of *S. thermophilus* LMG18311(ICESt3) as described by Gardan et al. [25]. The deletion strategy, used to construct IME_SsalL25_oriT∆rel to avoid introducing an antibiotic resistance gene and polar effect, was similar to the strategy used previously [23]. Sequence of the primers used is indicated in Table S1.

2.5. Excision Tests and Mating Experiments

Excision tests were done as described previously [21]. Sequence of the primers used is indicated in Table S1. Relevant amplicons, attI and attB were sequenced (Eurogentec, Angers, France).

Mating experiments using *S. salivarius* and *S. thermophilus* strains were made as described previously [21,24]. All the experiments done are listed in Table S3. At least three technical replicates of three independent biological replicates were done. Data are expressed as means ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed using the paired Students t-test. A p-value < 0.05 was considered as significant.

3. Results

3.1. Integrative Mobilizable Elements (IMEs) That Target oriT of Other Integrative Elements (IME_oriTs) Are Widespread in Firmicutes and Carry Different Cargo Genes

3.1.1. Distribution of IME_oriTs in Firmicutes

All the IMEs integrated in an oriT of an ICE that were previously described [15,17] encode very closely related putative relaxases and integrases (92 to 100% of amino acid identity). We chose to use the sequence of the putative relaxase and the integrase of IME_SagLMG15084_oriT to search for homologs in bacterial genomes available on the NCBI website and in 21 genomes of *S. salivarius* sequenced in our laboratory [14]. Sixty-nine proteins very closely related (91 to 100% of amino acid identity) to the relaxase of IME_SagLMG15084_oriT were found in 14 different *Streptococcus* species and in one strain of *S. aureus*. Analysis of these putative relaxases indicate that they all exhibit a PF2486 domain characteristic of Rep_trans_proteins. This family includes the MobT relaxases of ICEs belonging to the Tn916 superfamily (Tn916, ICEBs1, ICESt3) and the RCR initiators involved in the maintenance of many small plasmids of Firmicutes (for example, plasmids of the pT181 family like RepC of pT181 and RepD of pC221 [26]). Even though the three groups of Rep-trans proteins are only very distantly related (less than 20% of amino acid identity except for RepSTK1, see the phylogenetic tree presented in Supplementary Figure S3), sequence alignment indicate that they all display the five previously described characteristic motifs that include three acidic residues involved in cation coordination and a catalytic tyrosine [23,26]. Sequences adjacent to the putative relaxase and integrase genes were analyzed in order to identify and delineate putative IMEs. All the relaxase genes were found associated with direct repeats and an integrase gene, two characteristics of integrative elements. In five of these elements (elements found in two strains of *S. thermophilus*, in two strains of *S. anginosus*, and in one strain of *Streptococcus equinus*), the integrase gene is truncated (pseudogene). Thus, these elements were considered as defective and were not included in Table S2 that synthetizes results of the in silico search. Among the 64 detected putative IMEs, several almost identical elements were found in various species (Table S2): (i) IME_SagGB00984_oriT in 10 other strains of *S. agalactiae*, one strain of *Streptococcus cristatus* (98% of nucleotide identity) and one strain of *S. mitis* (99% of nucleotide identity); (ii) IME_SagUCN70 carrying lsa(C) found in another strain of *S. agalactiae*, four strains of *S. salivarius*, four strains of *Streptococcus parasanguinis*, two strains of *S. pseudopneumoniae*, and two strains of *Streptococcus* sp. (98 to 99% of nucleotide identity), and (iii) IME_SaurER01570.3_oriT carrying lsa(C) found in one strain of *S. aureus*, one strain of *S. oralis*, and two strains of *Streptococcus* sp. (100% of nucleotide identity).
3.1.2. Chromosomal Integration Sites of IME\textsubscript{oriTs}

In most of the cases (\(n = 53\)), integration of the IME occurred upstream the gene encoding the MobT relaxase: in Tn916-related ICEs (16 ICEs closely related to Tn916 that integrate in low G+C regions, one Tn5801 integrated in the 3' end of \(guaA\)), in ICES\textsubscript{i3}-related ICEs (15 integrated in the 3' end of a tRNALys gene, six in the 3' end of \(fda\), 7 in the 3' end of \(rpsI\), four in the 5' end of \(ebfC\) and three in the 3' end of \(rpmG\)), and in one ICE related to ICE\textsubscript{6013} (that integrates at many different sites \([27]\) in \(S. aureus\) (Table S2). For ICEs of the Tn916 superfamily, this region includes the origin of transfer (\textit{oriT}) of the ICE \([23,28]\). As described previously \([15]\), the IME more precisely integrates in a stem–loop sequence that likely includes the nicking site of the relaxase (by homology with the \textit{nic} sequence demonstrated for ICE\textit{Bsl1} by Lee and Grossman \([29]\)). Interestingly, an IME\textsubscript{oriT} was also detected inside an unrelated IME (integrated in \(rpmG\)) between the gene encoding a putative relaxase (that belongs to the Rep\textsubscript{trans} family, is devoid of HTH domain and is distantly related to the known MobT relaxases) and the gene encoding its putative TcpA CP (Table S2). In addition, eight of these putative ICEs were found integrated elsewhere in the chromosome (not inside another MGE). The integrases of these eight IMEs do not cluster together on a phylogenetic tree (see sequences indicated by a star in Figure S1). In the same way, the integrases of IMEs targeting different subfamilies of ICEs (Tn916-related elements, ICES\textsubscript{i3} elements or ICE\textsubscript{6013}) or different integration sites (in particular those targeting \(fda\), \(rpsI\), or \(rpmG\)) do not group together on the phylogenetic tree (Figure S1). Elements with identical integrases were even found integrated in different subfamilies of ICEs.

3.1.3. Cargo Genes of IME\textsubscript{oriTs}

In addition to the relaxase and recombination genes, required for the mobility of the IME and a regulator gene (found in all IME\textsubscript{oriTs}, except two), all the IME\textsubscript{oriTs} detected by the in silico analysis carry diverse cargo genes (Table S2 and Figure 1). The sole exception is IME\textsubscript{Smu11VS1\textsubscript{oriT} that contains only the three mobility genes (Figure 1). This diversity of cargo genes has an impact on the length of the IMEs that expands from 2.7 to 10.9 kb (IME\textsubscript{Smu11VS1} and IME\textsubscript{Smu11VS1\textsubscript{oriT}}, respectively, Figure 1).

Most of these cargo genes encode putative hypothetical proteins but some of them encode a protein with a specific domain that could give information about the possible function of the protein (Table S2).

Several encode proteins that could confer resistance against antibiotics, bacteriocins, or heavy metals (Table S2). In particular, half (\(n = 34\)) of the detected IMEs carry a \textit{lsa(C)} resistance gene that is known to confer cross-resistance to lincosamides, streptogramin A, and pleuromutilins in \(S. agalactiae\) \([16]\). Douarre et al. \([17]\) described in \(S. agalactiae\) a variant of \textit{Lsa(C)} that shares 93% of amino acid identity with \textit{Lsa(C)} found initially in \(S. agalactiae\) strain UCN70 \([16]\). This variant was found in 11 strains of \(S. agalactiae\) (including \(S. agalactiae\) GB00984, Figure 1), in one strain of \(S. mitis\), and in one strain of \(S. cristatus\) (Table S2). These IMEs are integrated in different sites (inside a Tn916-related element, inside an ICES\textsubscript{i3} element integrated in a tRNALys gene, and in the other locations indicated as \textit{oriT}'). A second variant of \textit{Lsa(C)} showing 96% of amino acid identity with \textit{Lsa(C)} of \(S. agalactiae\) strain UCN70 was discovered in two strains of \(S. pseudopneumoniae\) (Table S2). In addition, \textit{Streptococcus} sp. AM28-20 could encode an ABC-type multidrug transport system. Strain A12 also likely encodes an ABC transporter that could confer protection against lantibiotics (proteins with TIGR03732, TIGR03733, and TIGR03740 domain, respectively, Table S2). Lastly, a heavy metal resistance gene (\(Hg^{++}\) resistance operon) was found on the IMEs hosted by \(S. agalactiae\) LMG15084, 18RS21, and NCTC11079.

In silico analysis indicated that IME\textsubscript{oriTs} also convey genes that are not involved in resistance: a putative alkylation repair protein encoded by an IME found in \(S. anginosus\) (strain NCTC11064), a putative regulator (N terminal domain of TfoX competence regulator in 6 strains of \(S. agalactiae\), \(S. salivarius\) L11, and \(S. cristatus\) BCA6), a two-component system (in strain A12), and a protein with a domain found in chromosomal segregation proteins (in strains A12 and \(S. salivarius\) \([39-07]\)) (Figure 1 and Table S2).
Figure 1. Diversity of the IMEs carrying a relaxase related to the one of IME_SagLMG15084_\textit{oriT}. IMEs exhibiting more than 85% of nucleic acid identity on the whole sequence with another one are not indicated on the figure (see Table S2 for more details). The bacterial host species (Sag for \textit{Streptococcus agalactiae}, San for \textit{Streptococcus anginosus}, Saur for \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}, Saus for \textit{Streptococcus australis}, Sco for \textit{Streptococcus constellatus}, Smi for \textit{Streptococcus mitis}, Smu for \textit{Streptococcus mutans}, Sora for \textit{Streptococcus oralis}, Spn for \textit{Streptococcus pneumoniae}, Ssal for \textit{S. salivarius}, Ssan for \textit{Streptococcus sanguinis}, Ssp for unspecified \textit{Streptococcus} species, and Sth for \textit{S. thermophilus}) and the name of the strain is indicated in the name of the IME. When the IME is integrated in the origin of transfer of a mobile genetic element (MGE), the targeted ICE/IME is shown in brackets. \textit{oriT} is used for IMEs integrated elsewhere in the chromosome and NA when information is not available. Direct repeats (DRs) delimiting IMEs are shown as black bars. Coding DNA sequences (CDSs) appear as arrows (truncated genes are indicated by \textit{Δ}). The recombination genes (encoding the integrase (\textit{int}) and the recombination directionality factor (RDF) also known as excisionase (\textit{xis})) appear in red, the relaxase gene (\textit{rel}) in blue, and the regulation gene (\textit{reg}) in green. Genes encoding a hypothetical protein with unknown function appear in white. Cargo genes encoding proteins with a putative function inferred from an in silico analysis are indicated in color: in purple for a putative alkylation repair protein, in yellow for resistance operon_genes, in light green for a putative regulator (with a TfoX\_N domain), in brown for a two-component system, in orange for ABC transporters, and in pink for a putative chromosome segregation protein (SMC) and its adjacent gene (encoding a protein with a DUF4297 domain). A nucleic acid sequence identity between sequences higher than 75% is indicated in light grey and in dark grey when higher than 90%.
3.2. IME_orITs Identified in S. salivarius Strains L11, L25 and L45 Are Able to Excise from the Chromosome

In both L11 and L25 S. salivarius strains, an IME_orIT is integrated into orIT of an ICE of the ICES13 family, which is integrated in the 3’ end of fda. In S. salivarius L45, another IME_orIT is integrated in orIT of an ICES13-related ICE integrated in the 3’ end of rpsl. Excision of these three IMEs and of their host ICESs was tested by PCR for wild type strains. All the six integrative elements (IMEs and ICESs) were found to excise (Figure 2, data shown for elements of strain L25).

Figure 2. Excision of IME_SsalL25_orIT and its hosting ICE (ICE_SsalL25_fda) in S. salivarius L25. (a) Diagram showing the localization of PCR primers (numbered chevrons) used to detect (i) integrated forms of elements (attR and attL for right and left junctions, respectively) and excised forms (attI for circular form of the integrative elements and attB for the bacterial empty site) if (ii) the ICE/IME composite element excises, (iii) only the IME excises or (iv) both elements excise separately; (b) PCR products obtained with the primer pairs (numbers indicated at the bottom of the gel) when analyzing excision of IME_SsalL25_orIT and of its hosting ICE (ICE_SsalL25_fda). The sizes of the PCR fragments were confirmed by parallel migration of a DNA ladder (M for marker on the figure). The primers pairs used for these amplifications and expected sequence lengths are listed in Table S1.

3.3. IME_orIT of S. salivarius Strain L25 (IME_SsalL25_orIT) Can Be Transferred by Conjugation to Another S. salivarius Strain and to S. thermophilus

IME_SsalL25_orIT and the ICE hosting this IME (ICE_SsalL25_fda) were labeled by kanamycin and spectinomycin resistance genes, respectively, to study their transfer by conjugation. The recipient strain used in the mating pair was S. salivarius strain F1-4 that hosts an ICE of the ICES13 family integrated in fda. To immobilize this ICE in the recipient strain, the integrase gene of this ICE was deleted and replaced by a chloramphenicol resistance gene (ICE_SsalF1-4_fdaDelInt, Table 1). No excision of this ICE was detected (data not shown). Transfer was observed for both ICE_SsalL25_fda and IME_SsalL25_orIT (Figure 3). When transconjugants (TC) harbor the three elements, the sequences of orITs of the two ICESs are too similar to determine whether the IME_SsalL25_orIT has a preferred insertion site. Some of these transconjugants carried only IME_SsalL25_orIT and ICE_SsalF1-4_fdaDelInt (Figure 3). This does not exclude a co-transfer of ICE_SsalL25_fda in these transconjugants since the helper ICE could have been lost in the recipient strain after transfer due to the occupation of the fda site by ICE_SsalF1-4_fdaDelInt in the recipient strain.
we used *S. thermophilus* (LMG18311 (labeled by a chloramphenicol resistance gene) [22]. ICE and IME present in this LMG18311 strain oriT in an for the IME) gave no transconjugant, indicating that the IME_...LMG18311(ICE_SsalL25). In each experiment, four transconjugants were analyzed by PCR and all of them exhibited the same transconjugants were obtained at a frequency of 4.8 × 10^{-6} TC/donor cell. (Table S3). Figure 3. PCR analysis of four transconjugants (TC1 to TC4) obtained using the mating pair *S. salivarius* L25(ICE_SsalL25_fda::IME_SsalL25_oriT) (donor D)/S. salivarius F1-4(ICE_SsalF1-4_fdaDint) (recipient R). Specific regions were amplified to discriminate the elements atR for ICE_SsalF1-4_fdaDint and IME_SsalL25_oriT and the region of ICE_SsalL25_fda that includes accessory genes (different from the one of ICE_SsalF1-4_fda), indicated as var in the figure. Resistance phenotypes of the transconjugants are indicated above the wells: CmR, chloramphenicol resistance (conferred by ICE_SsalF1-4_fdaDint); KanR, kanamycin resistance (conferred by IME_SsalL25_oriT); and SpcR, spectinomycin resistance (conferred by ICE_SsalL25_fda). Only a few transconjugants were obtained using a *S. salivarius* strain as recipient. This is in agreement with previous results indicating poor conjugal transfer in the *S. salivarius* species in laboratory conditions [21]. IME_SsalL25_oriT was thus transferred (by transformation) to a *S. thermophilus* strain (LMG18311) that proved proficient for ICE transfer [22,30] and carries ICESt3 (labeled by a chloramphenicol resistance gene) [22]. ICE and IME present in this LMG18311 strain are able to excise (Figure S2). Further conjugation experiments were performed using this strain (LMG18311(ICESt3::IME_SsalL25_oriT)) as donor in the mating pairs. To increase the transfer frequency, we used *S. thermophilus* LMG18311ΔepsE mutant, as recipient strain [24]. Using the mating pair LMG18311(ICESt3::IME_SsalL25_oriT) (donor)/LMG18311ΔepsE (recipient), the frequencies of transfer of the ICE and of the IME were 3.3 × 10^{-4} ± 1.3 × 10^{-4} and 3.1 × 10^{-6} ± 1.5 × 10^{-6} TC/donor cell, respectively (Table S3). IME_SsalL25_oriT transfer was thus observed at a 100-fold lower frequency than ICE transfer. When the recipient strain already carries an ICESt3 element (that offers an integration site for the IME_oriT) the frequency of TC obtention was 3-fold higher (9.3 × 10^{-6} ± 6.5 × 10^{-6} TC/donor cell) (Table S3).

### 3.4. IME_SsalL25_oriT Can Be Mobilized in Trans

To check if IME_SsalL25_oriT can be mobilized in trans, other strains were constructed. The integrase gene of the ICE hosted by strain LMG18311(ICESt3) was deleted, resulting in LMG18311(ICESt3Dint). Excision and conjugal transfer of this element were not detected. IME_SsalL25_oriT was transferred (by conjugation) in this strain to obtain LMG18311(ICESt3Dint::IME_SsalL25_oriT). Then, epsE was also deleted in the LMG18311(ICESt3Dint) strain to obtain LMG18311ΔepsE(ICESt3Dint) strain. In conjugation experiments using the mating pair LMG18311(ICESt3Dint::IME_SsalL25_oriT) as donor and LMG18311ΔepsE(ICESt3Dint) as recipient, transconjugants were obtained at a frequency of 4.8 × 10^{-6} ± 4.0 × 10^{-6} TC/donor cell (Table S3). In each experiment, four transconjugants were analyzed by PCR and all of them exhibited the same profile (Figure 4). Since the ICE hosting the IME was immobilized in the donor strain, this indicated that IME_SsalL25_oriT was mobilized in trans, i.e., excised and hijacked the conjugation machinery of the ICE to transfer by conjugation. A control conjugation experiment using the mating pair LMG18311(ICESt3Dint::IME_SsalL25_oriT) (donor)/LMG18311ΔepsE (recipient without integration site for the IME) gave no transconjugant, indicating that the IME_SsalL25_oriT integrates efficiently only in an oriT (Table S3).
Transfer of the Helper ICE

whether it is at the expense of the ICE transfer rate. Thus, ICE with LMG18311 (or at a very low efficiency that was undetectable in the laboratory conditions) by that of the IME. A control conjugation experiment using the mating pair LMG18311 (ICE) as donor and the LMG18311 (ICE) strain as recipient. This ICE was still able to excise (data not shown) but no transconjugant was obtained (Table S3). Therefore, no integrase deletion (done by insertion of a chloramphenicol resistance cassette) was detected. The sizes of the PCR fragments were confirmed by parallel migration of a DNA ladder (M for marker on the figure). The primers pairs used for these amplifications and expected sequence lengths are listed in Table S1.

3.4. IME_SsalL25_oriT Can Be Mobilized in Trans

To check if IME_SsalL25_oriT is mobilized in trans, four transconjugants (TC1 to TC4) obtained using the mating pair LMG18311 (ICE St3 Δint::IME_SsalL25_oriT) (donor D)/LMG18311 ΔepsE (ICE St3 Δint) (recipient R). Specific regions were amplified to discriminate the recipient strain, ermB for ΔepsE, and attL for IME_SsalL25_oriT. The integrase deletion (done by insertion of a chloramphenicol resistance cassette) was detected with the amplification of the attR site of ICESt3. The sizes of the PCR fragments were confirmed by parallel migration of a DNA ladder (M for marker on the figure). The primers pairs used for these amplifications and expected sequence lengths are listed in Table S1.

3.5. The Relaxase of IME_SsalL25_oriT Is Needed for IME Mobilization and Does Not Contribute to the Transfer of the Helper ICE

The IME_orTs encode a protein belonging to the Rep_trans family that is very distantly related to known MobT relaxases such as the one of ICESt3 [23] and could be the relaxase of the IME. The gene of IME_SsalL25_oriT encoding this Rep_trans protein was deleted in strain LMG18311 (ICESt3::IME_SsalL25_oriT) and conjugation experiments were performed using this strain (LMG18311 (ICESt3::IME_SsalL25_oriTΔrel)) as donor and the LMG18311 ΔepsE (ICESt3 Δint) strain as recipient. No transfer of the IME was observed using this mating pair (Table S3). Thus, this protein is essential to its mobilization and cannot be complemented by the relaxase of the ICE. Therefore, it is the relaxase of the IME. Additional conjugation experiments were performed using the LMG18311 ΔepsE strain as recipient. Despite a frequency of ICE transfer reaching 3.5 × 10^-4 ± 2.0 × 10^-4 TC/donor cell, no co-transfer of the IME was observed (Table S3). Therefore, no cis-mobilization (i.e., passive transfer as a passenger of the ICE) of IME_orT could be detected.

IME_SsalL25_oriT was transferred by conjugation into a strain deleted for the relaxase gene of ICESt3 to obtain LMG18311 (ICESt3 Δrel::IME_SsalL25_oriT) used as donor in conjugation experiments with LMG18311 ΔepsE strain as recipient. This ICE was still able to excise (data not shown) but no transconjugant was obtained (Table S3). Therefore, the relaxase of the ICE cannot be complemented (or at a very low efficiency that was undetectable in the laboratory conditions used) by that of the IME.

3.6. IME_SsalL25_oriT and Helper ICE Do Not Compete for the Conjugation Machinery

Since IME_SsalL25_oriT needs to use the conjugation machinery of its helper ICE, we wondered whether it is at the expense of the ICE transfer rate. Thus, ICESt3 transfer was measured for three distinct structures: ICESt3 devoid of IME_SsalL25_oriT, ICESt3 hosting an IME_SsalL25_oriT and ICESt3 hosting an IME deleted for its relaxase gene (and thus unable to transfer [23]). Frequencies of ICESt3 transfer were similar (Table S3). This indicates that, in these laboratory mating assay conditions, IME mobilization does not impair the ICE transfer rate (Figure 5).

Conversely, immobilization of the helper ICE in the donor cell (using Δint mutant of ICESt3) does not have any impact on the IME transfer rate in the tested laboratory conditions (Table S3). This indicates that use of the conjugation machinery is not a limiting factor for ICE and IME transfer in the tested laboratory conditions.
was found inside an IME integrated in As suggested previously, this could also be the case for the IMEs [11].

These 64 elements are distributed in 14 streptococcal species and in Geobacillus stearothermophilus. It is worth mentioning that this IME also encodes a relaxase belonging to the enlarged MobT family. Therefore, this suggests that the IME_916 relaxases related to genuine MobTs from ICEs [12–15]. We previously proposed that the “Rep-trans” proteins of these elements are MobT relaxases, enlarging this family, and that these elements are IMEs [13]. Here, we showed for the first time that (i) the Rep_trans “RCR initiators” encoded by one of these types of elements (IME_916) are actually relaxases involved in their conjugative transfer; and (ii) these elements are IMEs that use the MPF_EA and TcpA of helper ICEs to promote their own transfer. This suggests that the tridimensional structures of the proteins are conserved despite a low conservation of the primary sequence, as previously described for the Rep proteins RepSTK1 of Geobacillus stearothermophilus and staphylococcal Rep proteins [26]. This works substantiates the very high prevalence of IMEs encoding relaxases related to RCR initiators involved in plasmid and virus replication [15]. It remains to be examined if plasmids harboring Rep-trans proteins can be mobilized by ICEs encoding a MobT relaxase. Such mobilization (by ICEBs1) has been described for plasmids that encode RCR Initiators of the Rep_1 family [32]. In addition to their function in conjugative transfer, the MobT/Rep_Trans proteins of Tn916 and ICEBs1 also catalyze the initiation of RCR needed for the maintenance of the excised ICEs [28,33]. As suggested previously, this could also be the case for the IMEs [11].

We previously described twelve members of this IME_916 family [15]. In this study, the extensive search of related IMEs in available bacterial genomes led to the identification of 52 new IME_916. These 64 elements are distributed in 14 streptococcal species and in S. aureus. Almost identical elements were found in distant species, indicating a very recent horizontal transfer of these elements. These elements target the oriT of ICEs belonging to three distantly related families (Tn916, ICESt3 and ICE6013) of the Tn916 superfamily that all exhibit a MobT relaxase. More surprisingly, one IME_916 was found inside an IME integrated in rpmG. It is worth mentioning that this IME also encodes a relaxase belonging to the enlarged MobT family. Therefore, this suggests that the IME_916 recognizes specifically the oriT associated to MobT relaxases and more precisely a very short conserved sequence that includes the site that is nicked by the relaxase of a very large array of integrated elements encoding very distant relaxases. However, some of IME_916 elements were also found integrated in other chromosomal sites (neither in ICEs nor in IMEs) even though they do harbor an integrase closely related to the one of the IMEs integrated in oriT. These sites could be secondary ones that present some similarities with the site targeted by the integrase.

**Figure 5.** Frequencies of ICESt3 transfer observed when the ICE does not host an IME_SsalL25_916 or hosts a wild-type IME_SsalL25_916 or an IME deleted for its relaxase gene (IME_SsalL25_916Δrel). Results were obtained from four independent biological replicates.

### 4. Discussion and Conclusions

MobT relaxases from ICEs of the Tn916 superfamily (Tn916, ICEBs1, and ICESt3) belong to a larger family of proteins, Rep_trans, that are classically considered as RCR initiators mainly involved in plasmid maintenance [23,31]. None has ever been shown to be involved in the transfer of a mobilizable element. However, we recently found that many integrative elements from streptococci, which do not encode any MPF protein, encode Rep_trans “RCR initiators” that are very distantly related to genuine MobTs from ICEs [12–15].

Results were obtained from four independent biological replicates.
Diverse cargo genes were found on the IMEs of the IME_\textit{oriT} family. Half of these elements carry \textit{lsa}(C) that confers cross-resistance to lincomamides, streptogramin A, and pleuromutilins. Thus, these IMEs play a major role in the dissemination of this particular cross-resistance. Interestingly, one of these IMEs carrying \textit{lsa}(C) is integrated in an ICE\textit{6013}. This is only the second description of an ICE\textit{6013} carrying an antibiotic resistance gene. The first description is an ICE\textit{6013} carrying a penicillin resistance gene through insertion of Tn\textit{552} but, in this case, the transposon disrupts the DDE transposase gene of the ICE and thus impairs its excision and transfer\cite{27}. In the present example, the ICE likely remains active, provided that IME first excises. We recently reported the contribution of different families of IMEs to the dissemination of antibiotic resistance in \textit{Streptococcus suis} \cite{12}. IMEs have been very poorly studied until now because they are difficult to detect in bacterial genomes due to their small size and high diversity. However, their role in antibiotic resistance dissemination and, in particular, in streptococci, should be better considered. In addition, three IMEs carry \textit{merA} that encodes a mercuric reductase conferring mercury resistance. This gene is frequently found on MGEs, in particular, in oral bacteria belonging to the Firmicutes group \cite{34} due to the use of mercury in dental care in the past. Furthermore, one IME could encode an ABC transporter protecting its bacterial host from lantibiotics. Bacteriocins are ribosomally synthesized, antimicrobial substances produced by many bacteria to survive in competitive environments \cite{35}. Bacteriocins from Firmicutes are classified into two main classes: (i) post-translationally modified bacteriocins (lantibiotics) and (ii) non-modified bacteriocins. Different mechanisms of immunity against lantibiotics have been described, including ABC transporters that export lantibiotics into the surrounding medium \cite{36}. This family of IMEs could also contribute to the fitness of the host by providing an additional alkylation DNA repair protein. Alkylating agents, which are widespread in the environment, also occur endogenously in bacteria as primary and secondary metabolites. Such compounds have intrinsically extreme cytotoxic and frequent mutagenic effects, to which organisms have developed resistance by evolving multiple repair mechanisms to protect cellular DNA \cite{37}.

Although the elements are integrated in ICEs, the experimental characterization of the mobility of an IME_\textit{oriT} failed to find any mobilization \textit{in cis} by its host ICE. This suggests that disruption of the origin of transfer of the ICE by integration of the IME impairs ICE transfer and that prior excision of the IME is required to visualize ICE transfer. Furthermore, conjugative transfer of the IME by mobilization \textit{in trans} was observed, albeit at a 100-fold lower frequency than that of ICE. This transfer does not impair the ICE transfer rate. This indicates that IME_\textit{oriT} benefits from the DNA transport system encoded by their helper ICE but does not impair ICE transfer. Similar interactions could also occur between the many IMEs that integrate inside the genes encoding conserved or essential proteins (such as VirD4 CP) of ICEs of another superfamily widespread in Firmicutes (Tn\textit{5252} superfamily) and their ICE host \cite{11,12}. The impact of an IME on the transfer and/or stability of their helper co-resident element has been studied in depth only for IMEs of the SGI-1 family from the proteobacterium \textit{Salmonella} \cite{38–42}. Although these IMEs are mobilized only by conjugative plasmids of the IncA/C incompatibility group, SGI-elements and IncA/C plasmids are never found together in clinical multidrug resistant isolates. Firstly, the conjugative plasmids transfer from the donor cell to a recipient cell strain harboring the quiescent IME, inducing the excision of the IME. Secondly, the IME subverts the conjugation apparatus of the plasmid to invade the donor cells harboring IncA/C plasmids by retrotransfer and then probably invades all the cells of the population that harbor the plasmids. Thirdly, it suppresses plasmid transfer and leads to plasmid loss in the cell by interfering with plasmid regulation. Therefore, in contrast to the IME_\textit{oriT} studied here, these IMEs are harmful pirates of conjugative IncA/C plasmids that await the arrival of the conjugative plasmid and then are probably transferred to all the cells harboring the plasmids and then eliminate these plasmids \cite{41,42}.

In conclusion, IMEs that integrate inside the origin of transfer of both ICEs and IMEs are widespread in streptococci and are also found in other Firmicutes. They vehicle cargo genes, particularly antibiotic resistance genes, and can thus participate in the dissemination of these threatening genes. They can also offer other helpful traits to their bacterial host (protection against bacteriocins or repair of alkylation
damage), which help them persist in bacterial communities. The IME_oriTs are the first elements that are clearly shown to use a conjugative element both as a host for their integration and as helper supplying their transfer engine (CP and MPF). Some IMEs, like SGII, can act as harmful pirates of their host/helper but some (such as IME_oriTs) can also be harmless hitchhikers of the ICEs. Other unrelated IMEs in Firmicutes may have similar capacities. Further studies are needed in order to decipher the mechanistic aspects of their mobilization.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4425/11/9/1004/s1, Figure S1: Phylogenetic tree of integrases of the identified IME_oriT, Figure S2: Excision of IME_SsalL25_oriT and its hosting ICESt13 in LMG18311, Table S1: Primers used in this study [43], Table S2: Characteristics of the IME_oriT identified in this work, Table S3: Summary of mating experiments.
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