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ABSTRACT

Background: Global advances in ophthalmology have created a greater need for ocular parameters in different clinical and diagnostic fields. One important ophthalmic parameter is the curvature of cornea which is commonly needed for intraocular lens power calculation before cataract and refractive surgery and helps ophthalmologists in contact lens fitting, diagnosis of several eye conditions such as keratoconus, keratoglobus and Marfan’s syndrome.

Subjects and Methods: The data for the study were retrospectively collected from the case files of patients who had undergone cataract surgery from January 2017 to July 2017 in a private eye hospital Raipur, Chhattisgarh. The data collected were horizontal & Vertical curvature of cornea which was done by manual keratometer for calculating intraocular lens power (after applying various formulas) to be implanted during cataract surgery. Then by using various statistical methods the results were interpreted.

Results: The total number of patients taken for the study were 600 and the total number of eyes were 600, out of which 300 (50%) were males and 300 (50%) females, with the age ranging from 45 to 80 years. The mean corneal refractive power for the total sample were K1 (vertically) 44.18±1.89D, K2 (horizontally) 44.74± 1.88D, ranges from 39 D to 51 D. Although corneal refractive power was slightly higher in female as compared to male but the difference was not significant. The values were almost similar in right and left eyes.

Conclusion: The analysis might provide normative data for curvature of cornea required for IOL calculation in cataract patients of Chhattisgarh region. Data of the range will be useful as reference values in case the surgery is to be done at high volumes in surgical camps in rural areas where biometry equipment may not be available. There were no significant differences noted between male and female, right and left eyes in central Indian population.
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INTRODUCTION

The cornea is an important ocular structure involved in the mediation of visual perception. It is the most significant refractive surface of the eye, contributing approximately two thirds of the eyes refractive power [1]. The total converging power of normal eye is slightly less than +60 D and contribution of cornea in an average subject is about + 43 D [2]. Corneal refractive power is attributable to its shape and the relatively large difference between its refractive index (1.376) and that of air [1].
Vision can be significantly affected by relatively small changes in its structure and parameters. Measurement of corneal parameter is important in the diagnosis and management of ocular diseases such as keratoconus and glaucoma, fitting of contact lenses with refractive surgery such as LASIK laser, photorefractive keratectomy for myopic correction and also calculation of intraocular lens power for cataract surgery [3]. The average values of dimensions of the optical components depends on race, age and gender [4]. Keratometry estimates the radius of curvature, mean dioptic power (D) of cornea. It measures central 2-3 mm of the anterior cornea in main meridian (horizontal and vertical) and secondary calculation of the full optic power of the cornea [5] and expressed in Diopter (D) or mm of radius of curvature. Measurement of corneal refractive power can be done by variety of instruments such as keratometer, IOL Master or corneal topographer[6,7]. Higher corneal power are indicative of higher risk of Keratoconus [8]. A corneal curvature reading below 42 D is also sign of an abnormal condition such as Marfan’s syndrome [9].

The findings may provide information on the normal anatomy of the eye, be helpful for issues of corneal refractive surgery, and provide hints for diseases. This retrospective study was designed to evaluate the preoperative assessment of keratometry readings of Chhattisgarh population undergoing cataract surgery and set standards for adult old age central Indian population. In addition, the differences among the genders and the laterality were observed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a retrospective observational study conducted at private eye hospital in Raipur Chhattisgarh within a period of seven months from January 17 to July 2017. A total of 600 patients comprising of 300 male and 300 female, age ranging from 45 to 80 years, complained of gradual painless diminution of vision were diagnosed as having senile mature or immature cataract in one or both the eyes and advised for IOL surgery were included for the study. Maximum number of patients were in the age group of 60 to 65 years. Straight forward senile cataract patient with no other ocular complications were included in the study.

After being informed consent had been obtained, keratometry was done with manual keratometer using Karl Zeis keratometer. Corneal refractive power was measured in both vertical (k1) and horizontal (K2) meridian in diopter. It can be converted to radius of curvature in millimeters after applying formula Diopeter = 337.5/R (r= radius of curvature). Age, gender and laterality were also recorded as per the proforma. The data collected were analysed statistically. The objective of this study was to determine the average corneal refractive power in vertical and horizontal meridian of the Chhattisgarh population undergoing cataract surgery. This preliminary report will help in proper estimation of intraocular lens power for post operative optimum visual outcome where the keratometry is not available and also provide normative value for corneal refractive power which is helpful in diagnosis of keratoconus, keratoglobus and helpful for contact lens fitting.

RESULTS

A total of 600 patients, out of which 50% male and 50% female age ranging from 45 to 80 years were included in the study. The mean±SD keratometry reading K1 (vertical) and K2 (horizontal) of all cases were 44.18±1.89 D and 44.74±1.88 D respectively.

Table 1: gender based descriptive statistics of keratometric readings.

| Parameters | Male       | Female     | Range       |
|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| K-1 (Mean±SD) | 43.91 ± 1.87D | 44.45 ± 1.91D | 39.5 -50D   |
| K-2 (Mean±SD) | 44.39 ± 1.91D | 45.1 ± 1.87D  | 39 -51D    |

Table 1 shows gender based keratometric value in vertical (k1) and horizontal curvature (K2) of anterior surface of cornea. In male the mean corneal refractive power in vertical meridian (K1) was 43.91 ± 1.87D and in female 44.45 ± 1.19 D, while horizontal corneal refractive power (K2) were 44.39 ± 1.91D and 45.1 ± 1.87D respectively in male and female. The mean keratometry readings in women was slightly, though not significantly, higher than men.

Table2 shows keratometric readings ranged from 39-51 D in right and left eyes, in male and
female both. In our study gender based keratometric values in right and left eyes were almost equal in male and female. In males, corneal refractive power mean±SD K1 (vertical) 43.7±1.77D, mean±SD K2 (horizontal) 44.33±1.98D in right eye as compared to mean±SD K1 (vertical) 44.13±1.84D, mean±SD K2 (horizontal) 44.46±1.84D in left eye. In female these values were mean±SD K1 (vertical) 44.39±1.92D, mean±SD K2 (horizontal) 45.03±1.92D in right as compared to mean±SD K1 (vertical) 44.51±1.91D, mean±SD K2 (horizontal) 45.17±1.81D in left eye.

Table 2: Gender based keratometric values in right and left eyes.

|                | Male                      |                     | Female                      |                     |
|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
|                | Right eye                 | Left eye            | Right eye                   | Left eye            |
| K-1            | 43.7                      | 44.13               | 44.39                       | 44.51               |
| K-2            | 44.33                     | 44.46               | 45.03                       | 45.17               |
| SD             | 1.77                      | 1.84                | 1.92                        | 1.91                |
| Range          | 39-50                     | 39-50               | 39.5-49.5                   | 40.5-50             |

Table 3: Distribution of corneal curvature in male and female.

| Range   | Male                      | Female                      |
|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
|         | Right eye                 | Left eye                    | Right eye                 | Left eye            |
| K-1     | 1                         | 3                           | 1                           | 3                   |
| K-2     | 2                         | 2                           | 2                           | 2                   |
|<42      | 27                        | 18                          | 15                          | 10                  |
|42.1 - 44| 50                        | 54                          | 50                          | 53                  |
|44.1 - 46| 59                        | 58                          | 63                          | 51                  |
|46.1 - 48| 16                        | 16                          | 17                          | 25                  |
|>48.1    | 1                         | 3                           | 2                           | 4                   |

DISCUSSION

Worldwide there has been a variation in the mean age of patient undergoing cataract surgery ranges from 45 to 80 years. Describing the normal range of the corneal refractive power is important in the choice of formula used for intra ocular lens (IOL) power calculation in cataract surgery. Several factors influence the corneal refractive power, including age, sex, race, ethnicity and refractive status of the eye as well as certain anthropometric factors. In our study out of 600 cases, the mean±SD keratometry readings K1 (vertical) and K2 (horizontal) were 44.18±1.89 D and 44.74±1.88 D respectively. Our study is quite similar with study from Hyderabad with keratometric values as K1: 44.00±1.83 D and K2: 44.78±1.88 D[10] and population based study done in rural Central India the mean vertical corneal refractive power K1 was 44.62±1.74 D and the mean horizontal power K2 was 44.60±1.68 D [11]. Also similar with study done by Mustafa et al[12] where mean values were K1;43.46±1.68 D and k2;4.41±1.98 D. A study from Swat reported the mean K1 as 42.48±2.17D and the mean K2 as 42.65±2.10 D, lower than ours mean[13]. In the study from Western Nepal observed values were K1 43.64±1.45 D and K2 44.29±1.47 D respectively, it is quite similar with our findings[14].The readings obtained were almost similar, but the small amount of discrepancies could be due to the type of instrument used for calculation, sample size, racial and genetic factor also play important role.

Gender based comparison of the corneal curvature readings in our study found higher K1 and
K2 in females than males but the difference was not significant. The mean±SD K1 in male (43.91±1.87D) was lower than females (44.45±1.91D). The mean±SD K2 for male (44.39±1.91D) was lower than in female (45.1±1.87D). There has been variability in the mean keratometric value among Pakistani population (K1-44.00 D, K2-44.78 D and K1-42.65 D, K2-42.48 D) K1 in male 43.68 ±1.80 K2- 44.47 ±1.81D while in female k1- 44.31 ±1.80D k2- 45.05 ±1.89D. [10,13].

Similar gender based comparison reported earlier by Mustafa hameed [12] in Rawalpindi, where mean keratometry readings were significantly higher in females as compared to males. K1 in males: (42.95 ±1.54D), Females: (43.88 ±1.67D). The mean±SD K2 for male (43.9 ±1.66D) was significantly lower than female (44.78 ±1.70D). Similar differences were found in population based central Indian eye study in Maharashtra in which the mean vertical corneal refractive power K1 was 45.02D ±1.67D in female versus 44.18 ±1.72 D in male, K2 44.9 ±1.6D in female versus 44.27 ±1.71D in male [11]. Also reported that the horizontal and vertical corneal refractive power not vary significantly between right and left eyes) Gender differences in ocular biometry among cataract patients of western Nepal from Shrishti Shreshtha [14] found deeper corneas in female K1, 43.79 ±1.51D, K2 44.41 ± 1.54D compared to male K1 43.51 ±1.4 D, K2 44.6±1.4 D.

Most of the Keratometric values in adult population aged 40 to 81 years having relatively steeper corneal curvature in female due to short axial length and shorter stature and partly due to genetic and environmental factor between men and women. Female subjects may also experience some physiological changes due to menstruation which could affect their ocular dimensions at the time of the study (Lam & Loran 1991) [15].

In our study 38.33% of K1 values fell in between 42-44D, and 41% having horizontal corneal power K2 between 44-46 D while Muhammad Saleem [16] found the dioptric power of vertical meridian (K1) 37-48 D and the same was the case with horizontal meridian of cornea (K2). Majority of K1 readings fall between 42-44 D (45%) and majority of K2 readings between 42-44 were (49%). Rashid13 noticed 40.84% of cases between 42-44 D for K1 and 41.20% between 42-44 D in case of K2 readings. Naz[17] noted 42.5% cases of K1 between 42-44 D and 27% cases of K2 between 42-44 D, this was also comparable to the study done by Siahmed K, et al’[18] Asif Iqbal et al.[19].

CONCLUSION

Normal values of corneal refractive power for central Indian were established at the end of this study. The differences in corneal refractive power could be attributed to the difference in gender and race. The results will serve as future reference for eye care practitioners in making clinical decisions and management of patients particularly in central India.
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