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ABSTRACT

To reduce the environmental pollution caused by cement manufacturing and to save both the economy and resources, it is required to use alternative waste materials of pozzolanic nature to partially replace cement in the construction industry. Among different cement replacement materials being used now-a-days, marble dust is the one on which limited research has been done especially on the performance of structural members like RC slabs. In this paper, marble dust has been used in different ratios as partial replacement of cement in concrete mix. RC one-way slabs as well as plain concrete standard samples were cast to determine their mechanical properties \textit{i.e.} compressive, tensile and flexural strengths, stiffness, first & ultimate load, crack patterns, modulus of elasticity, maximum deflection and durability \textit{etc.} It was found that by increasing the dosage of marble dust in plain concrete samples, workability was decreased. Compressive and tensile strengths of concrete increased up to 7.5\% replacement but decreased on further replacement. The permeability of concrete decreased with the addition of marble dust up to 10\% replacement, then it increased. The behaviour of one-way slabs showed an increase in the first crack load and ultimate failure capacity. Moreover, decrease in the deflection of slabs was observed with the increasing replacement of cement with marble dust. The resistance of concrete against corrosion of steel reinforcement also increased due to less migration of chloride ions. Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV) tests showed improved homogeneity and stiffness of concrete structure with the replacement of cement by marble dust.

Keywords: RC One-Way Slabs, Cement-Marble Dust Replacement, Concrete Permeability, Compressive and Tensile Strength, Chloride Ion Migration, UPV, Modulus of Elasticity & Flexural Strength, economical & Eco-friendly.

1. INTRODUCTION

Concrete is a heterogeneous material in which binding material and aggregates are mixed in a definite ratio for a specific concrete grade, according to the required strength and use. In concrete mix, cement is a costly item as compared to other ingredients, thus cost of concrete mix mainly depends on the amount of cement used. In last ten years in Islamic Republic of Pakistan 392 million tonnes of Portland Cement was consumed [1]. According to “All Pakistan Cement Manufacturers Association (APCMA)”, the cement industry dispatched 4.24 million tonnes cement in April, 2018, 17.46\% higher than 3.58 million tonnes in April, 2017 [2]. At present, Pakistan has an estimated functioning capacity of cement production between 46 - 49 million tonnes per annum and by 2020 it is expected to increase up to 76 million tonnes per annum [3]. During the manufacturing of cement, a lot of soil pollution, water pollution and air pollution occurs [4]. Thus, in order to avoid such undesired effects of cement manufacturing
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on economy and environment, it was needed to find some suitable cement replacer. In the past, a research was carried out in which furnace slag was used as a replacement of cement in concrete. The results stated that, furnace slag up to optimum dosage of 15% as replacement of cement, made the concrete immune to chloride and sulphates damages. Further addition, led to decrease in compressive, tensile and flexural strength of concrete [5]. Similarly, effect of utilizing waste marble dust as partial replacement of cement in concrete was also studied. The compressive strength and tensile strength of concrete increased up to 12.5% replacement of cement with waste marble powder, after that these decreased [6]. Sawdust concrete was also studied, in which waste sawdust was used as replacement of fine aggregates in concrete. The experimental results showed that, compressive and tensile strength of concrete decreased, as dosage of sawdust increased. However, decrease in unit weight of concrete was observed with the addition of sawdust [7].

Marble dust is one of the industrial solid waste materials that causes health problems and severely affects the environment [8]. Marble industry produces it from the processes of sawing, shaping, and polishing [9]. The generation of marble waste varies extensively from 30% in mechanized mines i.e. wire saw cutting methods to 65% at mines i.e. fracturing of rocks. The conventional techniques of extracting marble by blasting increases waste percentage by 60% to 70%. During marble quarrying, this dust is generated that blankets the adjacent areas, causing vegetation die-off and badly disturbing the fertility of top soil [10]. Marble and Granite are the sixth largest mineral extracted in Pakistan. According to the Pakistan’s Marble and Granite industry, it is estimated that 1.37 million tonnes of marble and granite were produced while 97% of it were consumed locally [11]. According to another estimate, there are 160.2 million tonnes of Marble reserves in the country out of which 98% are in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK) a province in Pakistan. Granite reserves, only at one place in Northern areas shows a total of 414 million tonnes while other reserves of Granite are spread all over KPK, Baluchistan and Sindh [8]. Marble industry in Pakistan is flourishing day by day due to its massive use in both modern residential and commercial buildings. Thus, huge amount of solid wastes of marble would be generated by these industries that would also have an adverse effect on the environment in near future. As Pakistan is an under developed country, aim of this research was to consume the solid wastes of marble in concrete, owing to its binding properties and easily availability, in order to save the environment from air, land and water pollution caused mainly by these waste materials. To save the natural reservoirs of the country that are used in the manufacturing of cement and to produce cheaper, high strength, stiffer and durable green concrete.

The structural properties of concrete have also been examined in the past. However, the effect of marble dust as pozzolanic material in RCC one-way slabs in terms of its flexural strength, stiffness, durability against migration of chloride ions (corrosion of steel reinforcement), water permeability and economy was rarely discussed especially focusing on indigenous materials. This study focused on the improvement of physio-chemical properties of concrete with marble dust addition.

2. MATERIALS

2.1 Cement

Type-I Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) was used in all batches of concrete mix. One of the most popular brands of the OPC in Pakistan is “Fauji Cement” which was used in this study. The chemical and physical properties of cement used are shown in Table 1.

2.2 Fine aggregates

Aggregates that pass through 4.75 mm sieve are fine aggregates, commonly called Sand. There are various types of sands found in Pakistan. However, “Lawrencepur Sand” was used in this research work. The sand was moist dried and free from impurities. The water absorption value of fine aggregates as found by AASHTO T-84 came out to be 1.55%, specific gravity was determined as per AASHTO T-84 and found to be 2.70. Similarly, sand equivalent was calculated as 79.3 following the procedure given in
AASHTO T 176-86. Particle size distribution of fine aggregates is shown in Table 2 and corresponding curve is plotted in Fig. 1.

Oven dried weight of sample: 1,121 g
Cumulative percentage retained: 256.4
Fineness modulus (FM): 256.4/100 = 2.564

Table 1: Chemical and physical composition of ordinary portland cement

| Sr. No | Parameter                            | Results  | ASTM limits     | Method       |
|--------|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|--------------|
|        | Chemical characteristics             |          |                 |              |
| 1      | Loss on Ignition (LOI)               | 2.0%     | 3.0% Max        | ASTM C-114   |
| 2      | Insoluble residue (IR)               | 0.48%    | 0.75% Max       |              |
| 3      | Sulphate (SO₃)                       | 4.0%     | 3.0% Max        |              |
| 4      | Silica (SiO₂)                        | 21.1%    |                 |              |
| 5      | Alumina (Al₂O₃)                      | 5.32%    |                 |              |
| 6      | Iron oxide (Fe₂O₃)                   | 3.68%    |                 |              |
| 7      | Lime (CaO)                           | 62.6%    |                 |              |
| 8      | Magnesia (MgO)                       | 1.70%    | 6.0% Max        |              |
| 9      | Sulfuric anhydride (SO₃)             | 2.44%    | 3.0% Max        |              |
|        | Physical characteristics             |          |                 |              |
| 1      | Fineness by Blaine’s air permeability method | 2,915 cm²/g | 2,600 cm²/g Min | ASTM C-204   |
| 2      | Initial setting time                 | 150 Min  | 45 min Max      | ASTM C-191   |
| 3      | Final setting time                   | 195 Min  | 375 min Max     | ASTM C-191   |
| 4      | Autoclave expansion                  | 0.004%   | 0.80% Max       | ASTM C-151   |
| 5      | Compressive strength 7-days          | 3,148 Psi | 2,760 Psi Min   | ASTM C-109   |

Table 2: Particle size distribution of fine aggregates (AASHTO T-27)

| BS Sieve size (mm) | ASTM Sieve No. | Cumulative retained (grams) | Retained (%age) | Passing (%age) | Specifications for passing limits (%age) |
|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|
| 9.5                | 3/8"           | 0                           | 0               | 100           | 100                                    |
| 4.75               | #4             | 40.4                        | 3.6             | 96.4          | 95-00                                  |
| 2.36               | #8             | 161.4                       | 14.4            | 85.6          | -                                      |
| 1.18               | #16            | 250.0                       | 22.3            | 77.7          | 45-85                                  |
| 0.600              | #30            | 590.7                       | 52.7            | 47.3          | -                                      |
| 0.300              | #50            | 810.4                       | 72.3            | 27.7          | 10-30                                  |
| 0.150              | #100           | 1,021.0                     | 91.1            | 8.9           | 2-10                                   |
| 0.075              | #200           | 1,089.6                     | 97.2            | 2.8           | 0-3                                    |

2.3 Coarse Aggregates

Aggregates retained on 4.75 mm sieve size are named as coarse aggregates. Coarse aggregates were selected as per ASTM C 33 - 03. Aggregates were acquired from local query known as “Margalla Crush”. The source of Margalla crush is in the north of Islamabad, the capital of Pakistan. For compact mix of concrete, two different sizes of the coarse aggregate were used i.e. 20 mm down and 10 mm down. The blend of concrete was prepared by using 80% by weight of 20 mm down sized aggregates and 20% by weight of 10 mm down sized aggregates. The water absorption value of coarse aggregates found by AASHTO T-85 came out to be 0.83%. Specific gravity was determined as 2.70 as per AASHTO T-85 and sand equivalent was calculated as 79.3 by following the AASHTO T 176-86. Particle size distribution of
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Fig. 1: Particle size distribution curve of fine aggregates (AASHTO T-27)

Fig. 2: Particle size distribution curve of coarse aggregates (AASHTO T-27)
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Table 3: Particle size distribution of coarse aggregates (AASHTO T-27)

| BS Sieve size (mm) | ASTM Sieve No. | Cumulative retained (grams) | Retained (%age) | Passing (%age) | Specifications for passing limits (%age) |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|
| 80                | -              | -                           | 0%              | 100%         | 100                                    |
| 40                | #3             | 250.0                       | 5.0%            | 95%          | 90-100                                 |
| 19.0              | 3/4''          | 2,000.0                     | 40.0%           | 60%          | -                                      |
| 9.5               | 3/8''          | 3,600.0                     | 72.0%           | 28%          | 20-55                                  |
| 4.75              | #4             | 5,000.0                     | 100.0%          | 0%           | 0-10                                   |
| 2.36              | #8             | 5,000.0                     | 100.0%          | 0%           | 0-5                                    |
| 1.18              | #16            | 5,000.0                     | 100.0%          | 0%           | -                                      |

Table 4: Chemical parameters of water

| Sr. No | Parameter                  | Content (ppm) | B.S. 3148 limits (ppm) |
|--------|----------------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| 1      | Total Alkalinity as CaCO3  | 91.20         | <1000                  |
| 2      | Sulphate as SO4^2-         | 17.86         | <1000                  |
| 3      | Organic matter             | 110.28        | -                      |
| 4      | Total dissolved solids     | 267.11        | <2000                  |
| 5      | Chlorides (Cl^-)           | 72.78         | <500                   |

Table 5: Specifications of steel reinforcement used (ASTM 615/A 615M – 00)

| Sr. No | Imperial bar size. | Exact dia (Inches) | Weight (lb/ft) | Area (In²) | Yield strength (psi) | Ultimate strength (psi) | Elongation (%) |
|--------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 1      | #3                 | 0.380              | 0.386          | 0.113      | 71,385               | 111,043                 | 14.06         |
| 2      | #4                 | 0.493              | 0.650          | 0.191      | 72,931               | 110,573                 | 14.06         |

cr aggregates is given in Table 3, while the corresponding curve is plotted in Fig. 2.

2.4 Water

Water is an essential component for making up of concrete because it actively contributes within the chemical process with cement. The thumb rule for using water is that, if it is drinkable then it can be safely use in the concrete mix. Thus, normal tap water sample was first chemically tested from the laboratory and then used for the purpose of casting as well as moist curing of all specimens. The properties of water used are given in Table 4.

2.5 Steel Reinforcement

Steel reinforcement used in this study was “Cold Twisted Deformed Steel Bars” one of the famous brands of Pakistan named “Pakistan Steel”. Two different diameters of steel i.e. 10 mm and 12 mm were used and their specifications and testing results are given in Table 5.

2.6 Marble dust

Marble dust was obtained from the local marble industry near Margalla Hills, Islamabad, Pakistan. The dust was obtained in purified form, as it is easily available both in raw and purified form in the local market. The sample material was tested in the laboratory for its physical and chemical properties. After testing, marble dust was sieved through 30 µm sieve and then used in the concrete mix in different dosages as cement replacement. The physical and chemical parameters of marble dust are shown in Table 6.
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2.7 Chemical Admixture

Chemical admixtures are the material apart from the aggregates, water and cement, add to the concrete mix to enhance its performance. Chemrite 520 BA organic polymer blend, the most commonly used water reducing and set retarding concrete admixture was used in this study. The technical parameters of the admixture are explained in Table 7.

| Sr. No | Chemical parameter | Approx. Value |
|--------|--------------------|---------------|
| 1      | Density at 25 °C   | 1.18 Kg/ltr   |
| 2      | pH value           | 7.0           |
| 3      | Chloride content   | Nil (EN 934-2) |
| 4      | Toxicity           | Non-Toxic     |

3. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAMME

ACI 211.1-91 (Absolute volume method) for the finalization of mix design was employed in this study [12]. Water cement (w/c) ratio was set constant as 0.45, to improve the consistency and workability of the fresh concrete. Superplastizer was added in the mix @ 1% of the total weight of cement used. The control mix was obtained by making the blend mix of 1:2:4 (Cement: Sand: Aggregate) concrete having specified characteristic compressive strength at moist curing age of 28 days was 22.9 MPa. The control mix was then compared with concrete containing marble dust partially replaced with cement.

Seven RCC one-way slabs with span ratio (longer dimension/shorter dimension) of 2.7 were cast. Plywood sheet formwork was designed and steel reinforcement mesh was tied using binding wires. Steel reinforcement mesh was placed in the formwork and concrete was poured in it. During the pouring, continuously rodding was done to remove air voids and to have better compaction. Surface finishing of the slab specimens was done using trowel. After 24 hours, all slab specimens were cured using hazencloth. At the moist curing age of 28 days, all slab specimens were white washed with white lime to easily mark the crack patterns. Loading platform was finalized following ASTM C 293-02, to determine its flexural response in terms of deflection, ultimate failure and crack pattern against the applied load.

The arrangement consists of RCC one-way slab with two longer sides supported. Load was applied with the help of jack at the centre of the slab. A load dial gauge was installed at the top of the jack for measuring the applied load. Arrangement for the application of the centre point loading was done [12, 13]. Strain gauges and LVDT were attached to the bottom of the slab specimens and load was applied in intervals. Cracks in the slabs produced against the applied load were noticed and marked with ink. The reinforcement & casting details and loading arrangement have been shown in Fig. 3, 4 and 5.

Following properties of plastic and hardened concrete were investigated under this experimental programme:

1. Workability of concrete under “Slump Loss Test (ASTM C 143)”.
2. “Compressive Strength under (ASTM C 39/C 39M-03)” and “Split Tensile Strength under (ASTM C 496/C 496M-04)”.
3. Permeability of concrete under “Automatic Concrete Water Permeability Test (DIN 1048)”.  
4. Durability of concrete against the migration
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Fig. 3: Reinforcement plan and x-section of RC one-way slab

Fig. 4: Casting of RC Slabs

Fig 5: Centre point regarding test setup ASTM C 293-2

The detail of the tests performed and specimens casted is shown in Table 8.

Table 8: Detail of the tests performed and specimens casted

| Sr. No | Specimen code | Cement replacement by marble dust | Cylinder specimens for compressive strength (No) | Cylinder specimens for tensile strength (No) | Cube specimens for water permeability (No) | RCC one-way-slab specimens (No) | Slab specimens for chloride migration (No) |
|--------|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1      | S1            | 0% MD                             | 3                                             | 3                                          | 2                                        | 1                                        | 2                                           |
| 2      | S2            | 2.5% MD                           | 3                                             | 3                                          | 2                                        | 1                                        | 2                                           |
| 3      | S3            | 5.0% MD                           | 3                                             | 3                                          | 2                                        | 1                                        | 2                                           |
| 4      | S4            | 7.5% MD                           | 3                                             | 3                                          | 2                                        | 1                                        | 2                                           |
| 5      | S5            | 10.0% MD                          | 3                                             | 3                                          | 2                                        | 1                                        | 2                                           |
| 6      | S6            | 12.5% MD                          | 3                                             | 3                                          | 2                                        | 1                                        | 2                                           |
| 7      | S7            | 15.0% MD                          | 3                                             | 3                                          | 2                                        | 1                                        | 2                                           |
| Total no. of specimens casted | 21 | 21 | 14 | 07 | 14 |

Shorer Dimension (12mm) 8 Nos.
Longer Dimension (10mm) 3 Nos.
Spacing C/C 6”
Clear Cover 0.75”

pattern of the Reinforced Cement Concrete (RCC) one-way slabs under “Center Point Loading Test (ASTM C 293-02)”.
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4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Slump test

During the casting of specimens, slump test for each mix of concrete was performed and their results are plotted in Fig. 6. From the graph it can be seen that, there is decrease in the workability of concrete with increase in the dosage of marble dust. Maximum slump loss of 85 mm was observed in control mix S1 (0% MD) and minimum slump loss was 55 mm in S7 (15% MD). The workability of concrete decreased up to 35% in S7 (15% MD) as compared to S1. Marble dust particles have more surface area, due to which it absorbed more water that resulted in decrease of workability of concrete [9].

4.2 Compressive Strength

For each mix, three cylinders of dimension D x L (152.5 mm x304.8 mm) were cast, following the mix design. Water cement ratio (w/c) was kept constant as 0.45 for all specimens. Total 21 cylinder specimens were casted and then tested under compression according to ASTM C 39/C 39-03 [14, 17]. Proper compaction was done by the use of vibrating table as well as rodding. The cylindrical concrete specimens were allowed to dry for 24 hours and then demoulded and submerged in the curing tank. After 28 days of curing, cylinder specimens were removed from the curing tank and dried with the towel and then tested under Uni-Axial Compression Testing Machine of 1,500 KN capacity. The rate of the loading was kept as 0.25 ± 0.05 MPa/s. The failure pattern of specimens after compressive strength test has been shown in Fig. 7 and results of compressive strength test are plotted in Fig. 8.

As dosage of marble dust in concrete was increased, compressive strength first increased up to S4 (7.5% MD), then decreased. The compressive strength of S1 (0% MD) was 22.9 MPa, where maximum compressive strength of S4 (7.5% MD) was 28.8 MPa. There was 27% increase in the compressive strength up to 7.5% replacement of cement with marble dust, after that decrease in compressive strength was observed. Owing to the filler properties of marble dust, it filled the voids that resulted in stronger bonding between aggregates and binding material and ultimately resulted in increased compressive strength of concrete.
4.3 Split Tensile Strength

Three cylinders of dimension 152.5 mm x 304.8 mm were cast for each mix, following the mix design. Water cement ratio (w/c) was kept constant as 0.45 for all specimens. Total 21 cylinder specimens were cast for determining the split tensile strength of concrete as per ASTM C 496/C 496 M-04, following the same procedure as adopted in case of compressive strength. The results of split tensile strength of concrete are shown in Fig. 9.

![Split Tensile Strength Chart](image_url)

Fig. 9: Split tensile strength result of all concrete mixes

Tensile behaviour of concrete followed the same pattern as in case of compressive strength. There was 25% increase in tensile strength of concrete up to 7.5% replacement of cement with marble dust in S4 (7.5% MD). After that, decrease in tensile strength was observed.

4.4 Concrete Water Permeability

Two concrete cubes of dimension 152.5 mm x 152.4 mm were cast for each mix. In this way, total 14 cubes were cast for determining the water permeability through concrete. After 28 days curing, cubes were dried and all sides were painted with synthetic paint except top and bottom sides. Water permeability test on concrete was carried out according to German standard DIN 1048. Water Pressure was applied with water tank that was connected to an air compressor with adjustable pressure valve. The pressure was adjustable from 0 to 30 bars. The hydrostatic pressure was applied on cube specimens for a time period of 600 seconds. Water permeated through cube specimen was directly collected and measured into a graduated cylinder. The permeability co-efficient was calculated according to the Formula (A). The water permeability test apparatus and their results are shown in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 respectively.

![Concrete Water Permeability Apparatus](image_url)

Fig. 10: Concrete water permeability apparatus

\[ K = \frac{cc \times h}{A \times t \times P} \]  

(A)

Where

- cc: Water permeated through specimen (cm³)
- P: Hydrostatic pressure in (cm. of water column)
- h: Specimen height (cm)
- A: Specimen surface area (cm²)
- t: Penetration time (sec.)

Up to 10% replacement of cement with marble dust in S5, porosity of concrete was decreased due to less voids and tight packing of aggregates with the binding material. In S5, decrease in permeability was 31% as compared to control mix. However, further increase in replacement of cement with marble dust resulted in increase of permeability due to poor packing.
4.5 RCC One-Way Slabs

4.5.1 First crack load

After the application of load, cracks in flexure started to appear on the slab. The load against which the first crack on the slab appeared was noted down for all specimens. The graph given in Fig. 12 shows the comparison of first crack load of all slab specimens.

The first crack load taken by control slab S1 (0% MD) was 67 KN, but on increasing the dosage of marble dust, first crack load was also increased as compared to control slab. The maximum first crack load was observed in S4 (7.5% MD) as 90 KN. Load bearing capacity of slabs increased up to 34% by 7.5% cement-marble dust replacement. Further addition of marble dust led to the decrease in load bearing capacity. The reason for high first crack load was due to tight packing of binding material with the aggregates.

4.5.2 Ultimate load

When loading was further increased, cracks started to appear on the bottom and sides of slab specimens resulted in the final failure of slabs. The load at this stage was declared as ultimate crack load and is shown in Fig. 13 for all slab specimens. Both flexural and shear failures were observed in all slab specimens.

The ultimate load taken by control slab S1 (0% MD) was 213 KN and the maximum ultimate load in S4 (7.5% MD) was 269 KN. The ultimate load carrying capacity of RC slabs increased up to 26% as compared to S1 (control slab), by replacing 7.5% cement with marble dust. It improved the bond strength and more energy was required to break the transition zone, that resulted in higher ultimate load value. Further addition led to the decrease in ultimate load capacity in S5 (10% MD), S6 (12.5% MD) and S7 (15% MD).

4.5.3 Stress-Strain Behaviour

Stress verses linear strain behaviour of slab specimens was observed by attaching linear strain gauges with it. With the increase in the applied stress, linear strain in all slab specimens also varied. The trend of stress verses linear strain of all one-way slab specimens is shown in Fig. 14.

Stress verses linear strain behaviour followed a definite pattern and could be defined in terms of modulus of elasticity from Fig. 15. Greater the value of modulus of elasticity, greater would be stiffness of structure and vice versa. In S1 (control slab), modulus of elasticity was calculated as 6.2 MPa. In S2 (2.5% MD) there was 4% increase in the modulus of elasticity as 6.5 MPa, more than the control slab. Further increase in the dosage of marble dust in S3 (5% MD) resulted in increase in the modulus of elasticity as 6.9 MPa. Maximum modulus of elasticity was 7.8 MPa in S4 (7.5% MD), after that it decreased in S5 (10% MD), S6 (12.5% MD) and S7 (15% MD).

4.5.4 Load-deflection behavior

Deflections in one-way slabs against the load were measured by LVDT. The behaviour of all RCC slab specimens against the applied load fell in three stages.
The first stage was elastic stage. In this stage, the load versus deflection graph is linear. The second stage was crack propagation stage. In this stage, the load versus deflection graph is nonlinear. The third stage was ultimate failure stage. In this stage, the maximum deflection values were recorded against the applied load till the failure of slab in flexural. The maximum deflection and load versus deflection behaviour of all slab specimens are shown in Fig. 16 and Fig. 17.

![Stress vs. Linear Strain Behaviour of All Slab Specimens](image1)

**Fig. 14: Stress vs. linear strain behaviour of all slab specimens**

![Modulus of Elasticity of One-Way Slabs](image2)

**Fig. 15: Modulus of elasticity of one-way slabs**
With the addition of dosage of marble dust up to 7.5%, decrease in the maximum deflection against the applied load was observed in S4 (7.5% MD). Further addition of marble dust led to increase in the deflection. Maximum deflection in S1 (control slab) was recorded as 1.60 mm against the ultimate load. With the addition of marble dust the maximum deflection also increased to 1.79 mm in S2 (2.5% MD) and 1.79 mm in S3 (5% MD). But at replacement of 7.5% cement with marble dust in S4, 5% decrease in maximum deflection as 1.52 mm against the ultimate load was observed. Further addition of dosage, resulted in increase of deflection as 2.43 mm in S5 (10% MD), 1.39 mm in S6 (12.5% MD) and 2.35 mm in S7 (15% MD). Thus, ductility of RCC structures can be increased with the addition of marble dust and it can give warning before failure.

### 4.5.5 Cracks pattern in RCC slabs

After the application of load with the help of loading jack, cracks in the slab started to appear. Failure pattern was observed both in flexure and shear and is shown in Fig. 18 to Fig. 24.
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Fig. 18: Failure of control slab S1 in Flexure

Fig. 19: Failure of slab S2 (2.5% MD) in flexure and shear

Fig. 20: Failure of slab S3 (5.0%) in Flexure

Fig. 21: Failure of Slab S4 (7.5%) in Flexure and Shear

Fig. 22: Failure of slab S5 (10.0% MD) in flexure

Fig. 23: Failure of slab S6 (12.5% MD) in flexure and shear

Fig. 24: Failure of slab S7 (15.0% MD) in flexure

It can be seen from Figs. 18 to 24, that cracks pattern in all slab specimens followed a definite pattern. In Fig. 18 (control slab), pure flexural cracks of medium width (1-2 mm) were marked. In Fig. 19, S2 (2.5% MD) shear and flexural cracks and in Fig. 20, S3 (5% MD) only flexural cracks were observed. By increasing the dosage of marble dust up to 7.5% in Fig. 21, both shear and flexural cracks were observed having width < 1 mm. By further increase in the dosage of marble dust in Fig. 22, S5 (10% MD), Fig.
23, S6 (12.5% MD) and Fig. 24, S7(15% MD), wide cracks having width > 2 mm in flexural and shear were observed. After the optimum dosage, bond strength became weak due to less amount of cement content that resulted in wider cracks.

4.6 Ultrasonic pulse velocity test (UPV)

The homogeneity and integrity of one-way slab specimens were predicted using Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity test (UPV) [15]. The UPV test conducted is shown in Fig. 25 and results of all RCC slab specimens are plotted in Fig. 26.

The highest average pulse velocity was recorded as 3.75 Km/s in S4 (7.5% MD) as compared to S1 (control slab). Higher value of pulse velocity means higher elastic modulus, indicating a stiffer structure. By increasing the dosage of marble dust as cement replacement in concrete up to 7.5%, durability and structural properties of concrete were improved. Further addition of marble dust, led to decease in the average pulse velocity. The structure having higher UPV values are less subjected to corrosion and other atmospheric effects, because of greater stiffness.

4.7 Chloride ion migration test

One of the possible mechanisms of deterioration that ruins the durability, strength and service life of RCC structures is corrosion of steel reinforcement. The core roots of corrosion of steel reinforcement in concrete are chloride attack and carbonation transformation. The chloride ion migration test is much important regarding the durability and strength of RCC structures against the attack of chloride ions. Two Plain Cement Concrete (PCC) slabs of dimensions 304.8 mm x 304.8 mm x 114.4 mm were cast for each dosage of marble dust. Water cement ratio (w/c) was kept constant as 0.45 for all specimens. After 28 days, slabs were taken out from the curing tank and cores of size 100 mm dia and 114.4 mm length were extracted from each slab specimen with core cutter apparatus. With the help of marble saw cutter, sides of the cores were cut and a central piece of 100 mm dia and 50 mm thickness was taken out as a specimen and tested according to NT Build 492 standard, against the migration of chloride ions. The testing apparatus is shown in Fig. 27 and Fig. 28.
In this phenomenon, an electrical potential from the outside was applied axially through the specimens that compelled the migration of external chloride ions into the concrete specimens. After 24 hours duration, the specimens were split axially and solution of silver nitrate was sprayed on the split sections. After spray, visible white silver chloride precipitations were formed on the surface and chloride penetration depth was directly measured with the help of scale. The chloride ion migration co-efficient was calculated from Formula B [16].

\[
D_{nssm} = \frac{0.0239(273+T)L(273+T)x_d}{(U-2)^t} - 0.0238 \sqrt{\frac{(273+T)Lx_d}{U-2}}
\]

In the above formula (B), non-steady-state migration co-efficient \((x10^{-12} \text{ m}^2/\text{s})\) is denoted by \(D_{nssm}\). Initial and final temperature readings (°C) of anolyte solution were noted down and their average was taken as \(T\). Voltage (V) was applied and its absolute value taken as \(U\). Similarly, \(L\) is the thickness of the specimen (mm), \(x_d\) is average value of penetration depth (mm) and \(t\) is the duration of test (hours).

The Catholyte is solution of 10% NaCl by mass in tap water and anolyte is solution of 0.3 N NaOH in distilled water. Cathode connected to the positive terminal and anode connected to the negative terminal of power supply. \(D_{nssm}\) values were calculated by Formula (B) and the results are plotted in Fig. 29.

It was found out that in S1 (control specimen) non-steady-state migration co-efficient was 9.7 and it started decreasing up to 5.7 in S4 (7.5% MD). Further addition of marble dust led to increase in the chloride ion migration co-efficient. The reason was that addition of marble dust resulted in higher resistance to migration of chloride ions as compared to the control specimen i.e. 100% cement concrete. The increase of resistance to chloride ion migration was due to micro sized marble dust particles. These micro sized particles contributed to a higher compactness of the mix by filling all the voids. Due to this, migration of chloride ions was blocked, resulted in lower values of migration co-efficient.

### 4.8 Cost comparison

For a mega project where thousands of cubic meter concrete is required, cost can be saved efficiently by cement replacement with marble dust. Cost comparison between ordinary concrete and 7.5% marble dust concrete for different classes of concrete, according to National Highway Authority of Pakistan (NHA) is shown graphically in Fig. 30.

The cost comparison was done by taking weights of all materials of concrete i.e. cement, aggregates, water and admixtures, required for one cubic meter of concrete, for each concrete class. The weights of each ingredient were then multiplied with their market price that gave cost of concrete per cubic meter. The most
commonly used class is A1 (21 MPa), for 100 cu.m concrete we can save an amount up to 123,300 PKR approximately. This margin of cost saving increases as we further move to high strength concrete class *i.e.* Class A2 (24 MPa), Class D2 (35 MPa) etc.

![Graph showing cost comparison between ordinary concrete and concrete with 7.5% marble dust]

Fig. 30: Cost of ordinary concrete vs. concrete with marble dust

5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusions

From the above experimental research, following conclusions can be summarized.

1. Workability of the concrete mix decreases with the addition of the marble dust content. Thus, addition of marble dust reduces the concrete bleeding and controls segregation of the mix. However, admixtures can be used to achieve required slump.

2. Addition of marble dust as cement replacement material, increases the compressive strength of concrete up to a certain limit. Marble dust up to 7.5% replacement of cement can be done in order to achieve high compressive strength. Further addition leads to decrease in compressive strength.

3. Addition of marble dust as cement replacement material, increases the split tensile strength of concrete up to a certain limit. Maximum split tensile strength can be achieved up to 7.5% replacement of cement with marble dust. Further increase of dosage of marble dust, decreases its split tensile strength.

4. Addition of marble dust as cement replacement material, improves the permeability of the concrete. Up to 10% cement replacement with marble dust can be done for non-porous and impermeable concrete. Further addition of marble dust, increases the porosity that results in increase of permeability of concrete.

5. Resistance of concrete against corrosion of steel reinforcement can be increased by using 7.5% marble dust, replaced with cement. At 7.5% dosage least chloride ion migration co-efficient was observed (mainly responsible for corrosion of steel reinforcement) as compared to control mix. Attack of chloride ions can be minimized by using marble dust in concrete.

6. For RCC structures i.e. one-way slabs, addition of marble dust decreases the deflection in slabs against the applied load up to 7.5% dosage. Moreover, structural load bearing capacity also increases up to 7.5% replacement of cement with marble dust. At this dosage, minimum deflection and strain was recorded. Cement up to 7.5%
replacement of marble dust makes one-way slab more stiffer (more modulus of elasticity) as compared to control slab.

7. Economical concrete can be produced, as economy is saved and solid waste materials are utilized in the concrete.

8. UPV values of one-way slab show that increasing dosage of marble dust up to 7.5% replacement of cement, increases its modulus of elasticity, density and makes it stiff that is less subjected to corrosion and other atmospheric changes.

5.2 Recommendations

It is recommended to use marble dust in RCC One-way slab, as it has great positive influence on the structural properties of concrete. However, dosage of marble dust as replacement of cement might be increased or decreased depending upon the purpose and use of concrete.
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