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Pityriasis Rosea-like eruptions following COVID-19 mRNA-1273 vaccination: A case report and literature review
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Pityriasis rosea (PR) is a self-limited disease with exanthematous papulosquamous rashes mostly associated with reactivation of human herpesvirus (HHV)-6 or HHV-7. PR-like eruptions, which occur along with peripheral eosinophilia, interface dermatitis, and eosinophils on histopathology, may result from medications or vaccinations. Previously, PR-like eruptions had been noted following vaccination for influenza or other vaccines. During this pandemic, acute COVID-19 infection has been related to PR or PR-like eruptions in several cases. Various COVID-19 vaccines associated with PR-like eruptions were rarely reported. Herein, we report a case of cutaneous PR-like eruptions following COVID-19 mRNA-1273 vaccination.
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Introduction

Pityriasis rosea (PR) is a self-limited exanthematous papulosquamous disease, usually associated with reactivation of either human herpesvirus (HHV)-6 or HHV-7, while PR-like eruptions are reactions to vaccinations or medications.1 PR or PR-like rashes have been identified after vaccination against H1N1 influenza, human papillomavirus, smallpox, poliomyelitis, hepatitis B, diphtheria, pneumococcal infections, and tuberculosis.1–3 During this pandemic, COVID-19 has been associated with PR or PR-like eruptions following acute infection.4,5 PR-like eruptions were also reported to be associated with different COVID-19 vaccines.6,7 The exact pathogenetic mechanism that leads to PR or PR-like eruptions after infection or vaccination is still unclear. Herein, we report a case of cutaneous PR-like eruptions following COVID-19 mRNA-1273 (Moderna) vaccination.

Case report

A 40-year-old male patient developed skin rash seven days after the first dose of the COVID-19 mRNA-1273 vaccine.
The severe itching skin lesions developed over the lower abdomen initially, but spread to the neck, trunk, and four limbs afterward. There were no fever, myalgia, or other associated systemic symptoms. The patient had urticaria several months ago, but denied any history of recent infections, drug exposure, contact with COVID-19 patients, or similar skin rashes in personal or family history. Urticaria was diagnosed at another clinic and treated with oral antihistamines. His urticaria showed no obvious improvement, so a hypersensitivity reaction to COVID-19 mRNA-1273 vaccination was suspected. The patient was given systemic prednisolone 15 mg/day, but the skin lesions persisted.

Ten days after the onset of the rash, he came to our dermatology outpatient clinic for further evaluation of the skin rashes. The cutaneous examination revealed multiple variously sized oval erythematous papules and plaques with central darkening and collarette scales over the neck, trunk, back, and four limbs (Fig. 1). No herald patch, oral or genital lesions were noted. He had no oropharyngeal lesions, either. These plaques on the patient’s body were slightly distributed along the cleavage lines with a Christmas tree pattern.

Histopathological examination demonstrated scattered foci of angulated parakeratosis with slight acanthosis and mild spongiosis in the epidermis as well as mixed lymphohistiocytic and eosinophilic infiltrates surrounding the perivascular spaces in the superficial dermis (Fig. 2). No basal hydropic degeneration was noted. These findings were compatible with PR or PR-like drug hypersensitivity reaction. However, the patient did not accept other

![Figure 1](a) Multiple oval erythematous plaques with peripheral scales over the trunk and proximal extremities. (b) Close-up of plaques with the trailing scales.

![Figure 2](a) Histopathological findings of scattered foci of angulated parakeratosis with slight acanthosis and mild spongiosis in the epidermis (Skin, original magnification x100, H&E). (b) Mixed lymphohistiocytic and eosinophilic infiltrates surrounds the perivascular spaces in the superficial dermis (Skin, original magnification x400, H&E).
investigatory laboratory examinations. The patient was treated with oral prednisolone 30 mg/day for five days and tapered gradually in the following two weeks. There was no recurrence of the skin lesions after a follow-up for two months.

Discussion

PR or PR-like rashes have been described after vaccination against H1N1 influenza, human papillomavirus, diphtheria, poliomyelitis, smallpox, pneumococcal infections, hepatitis B, and tuberculosis.1 According to the criteria proposed by Drago et al., typical PR rather than PR-like eruptions were considered if there were non-itching discrete exanathematos lesions with the herald patch, no eosinophilia in the peripheral blood count, and no eosinophils in the histopathological findings.8 Our patient likely had PR-like eruptions due to the lack of herald patch, severe itching, and eosinophils in the histopathological findings. Because he did not accept other investigatory laboratory examinations, the eosinophil count in the blood as well as the antibody titers of HHV-6 and HHV-7 were unavailable.

PR or PR-like eruptions after the COVID-19 vaccination are rarely reported (Table 1). According to a 405 cases series reported by Català et al., cutaneous reactions after COVID-19 vaccination were classified as injection-site (COVID-ARM, 32.1%), urticaria (14.6%), morbilliform (8.9%), papulovesicular (6.4%), PR-like (4.9%) and purpuric (4%) reactions.6 The incidences of BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and AZD1222 related PR-like cutaneous reactions were 6.7%, 3.4%, and 4.2%, respectively. In another registry-based study of 414 cases by McMahon et al., only four cases (0.97%) of PR-like eruptions after COVID-19 vaccination were described.7 The incidences of the first dose BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 vaccine-related PR were 5.9% and 0.4%, respectively. Recently, a case series of 31 patients with PR following COVID-19 vaccination revealed that 45.2% (14 cases) had received BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine, while 54.8% (17 cases) had received inactivated CoronaVac vaccine.9 The average onset time was 12.7 days following vaccination, and patients recovered in 7.8 weeks on average after treatment with topical corticosteroids and oral antihistamines. Remarkably, a higher percentage of PR after inactivated COVID-19 vaccine injection was noted.

Adya et al. reported a patient whose cutaneous histopathologic examination revealed epidermal spongiosis, perivascular lymphocytic infiltrate in the papillary dermis, and extravasated red blood cells in the papillary and reticular dermis.10 Another study by Akdas et al. demonstrated focal parakeratosis in mounds with exocytosis of lymphocytes, spongiosis in the epidermis, and extravasated red blood cells in the dermis.11 Cyrenne et al. described the lesional biopsy result with parakeratosis, interface changes, and scattered dyskeratotic keratinocytes.12 The pathological findings in our patient showed parakeratosis with slight acanthosis and mild spongiosis in the epidermis. Mixed lymphohistiocytic and eosinophilic infiltrates surrounding the perivascular spaces in the superficial dermis were also noted. Although these findings were compatible with PR-like eruptions, the eosinophilic

---

**Table 1** PR or PR-like eruptions after COVID-19 vaccination.

| Study                           | No  | Age | Sex | Vaccine  | Treatment       | Underlying Dz |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|----------|----------------|---------------|
| Català et al.6                  | 11  | N.A. | N.A. | BNT162b2 | N.A.           | N.A.          |
| McMahon et al.7                 | 5   | N.A. | N.A. | mRNA-1273| N.A.           | N.A.          |
|                                | 4   | N.A. | N.A. | AZD1222  | N.A.           | N.A.          |
| Temiz et al.9                   | 3   | N.A. | N.A. | BNT162b2 | N.A.           | N.A.          |
|                                | 1   | N.A. | N.A. | mRNA-1273| N.A.           | N.A.          |
| Adya et al.10                   | 14  | 27–61| M   | ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 | O.A. + T.C. | –             |
| Akdaş et al.11                  | 17  | 26–59| F   | Corona Vac | O.A. + T.C. | –             |
| Cyrenne et al.12                | 14+ | 45  | M   | BNT162b2 | T.C.           | Alopecia areata |
|                                 | 14  | 40  | M   | BNT162b2 | O.A. + doxycycline | –          |
| Marcantonio-Santa Cruz et al.13 | 2   | 22  | F   | BNT162b2 | T.C.           | –             |
| Busto-Leis et al.14             | 26  | 54  | F   | BNT162b2 | T.C.           | N.A.          |
| Carballido et al.15             | 1   | 35  | M   | BNT162b2 | O.A. + T.C. | N.A.          |
| Abdullah et al.16               | 1   | 40  | M   | mRNA vaccine | T.C. | –             |
| Dormann et al.17                | 1   | 19  | F   | AZD1222  | –              | –             |
| Huang et al.18                  | 2   | 19  | M   | BIBP-CorV | Valacyclovir + T.C. | N.A.        |
|                                 | 1   | 51  | M   | BIBP-CorV | Ganciclovir | –             |
| Current case                    | 1   | 30  | M   | mRNA-1273| Oral steroids | Urticaria     |

*a* N.A.: not available.  
*b* O.A.: oral antihistamines.  
*c* T.C.: topical corticosteroids.  
*d* The type of vaccine was not available.
infiltrates surrounding the perivascular spaces in the superficial dermis were different from those in the previous literature. Only one report by Marcantonio-Santa Cruz et al. showed a superficial perivascular infiltrate with scattered eosinophils in the cutaneous biopsy of the patient. Our patient may have developed a delayed-type hypersensitivity reaction, just like the drug-induced PR-like eruption.

It has been reported previously that PR is a manifestation of COVID-19 infection. The exact pathogenetic mechanism that leads to PR or PR-like eruptions after viral infection is still unclear. The SARS-CoV-2 virus spike protein was found on endothelial cells and lymphocytes of PR-like skin lesions, indicating a direct association between SARS-CoV-2 infection and PR. It is also possible that SARS-CoV-2 infection may distract the cell-mediated control of HHV-6 or HHV-7, resulting in the reactivation of herpes viruses and PR manifestation.

Several mechanisms may explain the PR or PR-like eruptions development after COVID-19 vaccination. Firstly, it was suspected that cell-mediated immune response may develop against the molecular structural mimicry of the specific viral epitope after vaccination. Secondly, the COVID-19 vaccine may trigger PR by reactivation of HHV-6 or HHV-7. Català et al. hypothesized that a strong specific immune response against SARS-CoV-2 or the S protein from vaccines may distract the cell-mediated control of another latent virus. Whether driven by vaccines, infections, drugs, or other factors, immune-related herpes virus reactivation may be involved in the pathogenesis of PR or PR-like eruptions. However, the serological evidence for HHV-6/7 reactivation following COVID-19 vaccination is not found in the literature. Thirdly, vaccines may trigger a delayed-type systemic hypersensitivity response, similar to medication-induced PR-like eruptions.

In conclusion, we report a case of cutaneous PR-like eruptions with eosinophils in the histopathological findings following COVID-19 mRNA-1273 vaccine injection. Further studies on direct tissue and serological examination for evidence of HHV-6 and HHV-7 reactivation are mandatory to confirm the causative link between PR-like eruptions and COVID-19 vaccination.
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