The RACK1 Homologue from *Trypanosoma brucei* Is Required for the Onset and Progression of Cytokinesis*
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The receptor for activated C kinase 1 (RACK1) is a conserved scaffold protein that helps regulate a range of cell activities including cell growth, shape, and protein translation. We report that a homologue of RACK1 is required for cytokinesis in pathogenic *Trypanosoma brucei*. The protein, referred to as TRACK, is comprised of WD repeat elements and can complement *cpc2* null mutants of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. TRACK is expressed throughout the trypanosome life cycle and is distributed predominantly in a perinuclear region and the cytoplasm but not along the endoplasmic reticulum, mitochondrion, or cleavage furrow of dividing cells. When tetracycline-inducible RNA interference (RNAi) is used to deplete the cellular content of TRACK, the cells remain metabolically active, but growth is inhibited. In bloodstream forms, growth arrest is due to a delay in the onset of cytokinesis. By contrast, procyclic forms are able to initiate cytokinesis in the absence of TRACK but arrest midway through cell cleavage. The RNAi cells undergo multiple rounds of partial cytokinesis and accumulate nuclei and cytoplasmic extensions with attached flagella. The TRACK RNAi construct is also inducible within infected mice. Under these conditions parasites are eliminated from peripheral blood within 3 days post-infection. Taken as a whole, these data indicate that trypanosomes utilize a RACK1 homologue to regulate the final stages of mitosis. Moreover, disrupting the interaction between TRACK and its partners might be targeted in the design of novel therapies.

African trypanosomes are protozoan parasites that produce lethal infections in humans and livestock throughout sub-Saharan Africa. Trypanosomes have a complex life cycle that involves changes in morphology, biochemistry, and gene expression as the cells pass through a variety of different environments. Signal cascades are predicted to mediate complex life cycle events and initiate rapid changes in cell behavior. It is hypothesized that ablation of a signal or induction of an inappropriate signal will be lethal to these cells. From past experiments it is clear that trypanosomes have the potential to propagate signals involving Ca2+, cyclic nucleotides, eicosenoic acid, and phosphoryl transfer (1–4). However, in stark contrast with mammalian cells, where complex interacting signal networks have been described, a simple signal pathway has yet to be identified in *Trypanosoma brucei*. To remedying this situation we began to study a putative signal anchor protein and the pathways it regulates.

In general, anchor proteins help provide spatial organization to the signal process by allowing the formation of multimeric complexes at the appropriate location in the cell (5). Additionally, association with different anchors allows some signal kinases to participate in and distinguish between multiple pathways. The receptor for activated C kinase-1 (RACK1) is a WD repeat protein that forms ternary complexes with a range of signal proteins (for review, see Ref. 6). Target proteins interact with RACK1 through SH2 domains, PH domains, C2 domains, or specific regions (7–9). RACK1 serves to recruit signal proteins to specific membrane sites (10–15), to the cytoskeleton (16, 17), or to the 40 S ribosome (18–22). In yeast, all of the RACK1 function may result from its association with the ribosome (18), whereas the situation in other cell types appears to be more complex. In mammalian cells, RACK1 can shift location to the nucleus (9, 23) or plasma membrane (10–15) and associate with a wide range of partners, making it unlikely that all of its activity is limited to the ribosome. In the case of trypanosomes, cryoelectron microscopy failed to identify RACK1 on the 40 S ribosome (24), suggesting that the trypanosome RACK1 (TRACK) functions in pathways other than translation.

Sequences with similarities to RACK1 have recently been identified in *Leishmania*, *Crithidia*, and *T. brucei* (25–28). In none of these cases is the function known. The RACK1-related protein in *Leishmania* is called LACK and was identified because of its ability to serve as a protective antigen during infection (29). Although its function is not understood, partial knock-out of the *lack* gene locus results in cells that divide normally but cannot efficiently parasitize host cells (29). The trypanosome receptor for activated C kinase, or TRACK, was identified independently in two studies that evaluated differentially expressed genes. Rapidly dividing trypanosomes were found to have lower levels of track transcripts compared with G9-arrested stumpy forms (27) or cells undergoing apoptosis-like death in response to concanavalin A (28). Although TRACK and LACK have each been associated with cell growth and/or infectivity, the pathways they regulate are unknown.

In the present study we evaluate for the first time the function of TRACK. We report that TRACK plays a fundamental role in trypanosome cytokinesis. A similar result had been reported for RACK1 in the early zygote stage of *Caenorhabditis elegans* (30). When RNAi was used to knock down the *C. elegans* RACK1 homologue, the zygote initiated but failed to complete the first cytokinesis. Trypanosomes appear to lack some of the cell cycle checkpoints of other eukaryotes (31). Consequently, TRACK RNAi in *T. brucei* produces cells that undergo multiple rounds of partial cytokinesis. These data indicate that trypanosomes initiate cytokinesis without TRACK, but require TRACK for progression beyond the midpoint of cell cleavage. Moreover, each of the partially cleaved daughter cells progresses through the cell cycle at different rates. Collectively, these data identify a new function for RACK1 homo-
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**TRACK Regulates Cytokinesis in T. brucei**

logues. Moreover, because TRACK mediates an essential process in trypanosomes, we propose that its association with target proteins may be disrupted in the design of new therapies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Trypanosomes**—A PF cell line derived from AnTat1.1 bloodstream forms was kindly provided by E. Pays, Free University of Brussels. Additionally, 29-13 PF and 90-13 bloodstream form (BF) cells (32) were kindly provided by G. A. M. Cross, The Rockefeller University. Both the 29-13 cells and 90-13 cells express the T7 RNA polymerase and the tetracycline repressor protein. PF cells were maintained in SDM-79 supplemented with 50 µg/ml hygromycin and 15 µg/ml G418 with 2.5 µg/ml phleomycin as needed. BF 90-13 cells were maintained in HMI-9 medium (33) supplemented with 5 µg/ml hygromycin and 15 µg/ml G418. Where needed, 2.5 µg/ml of phleomycin was added. RNAi was induced with 1 µg/ml tetracycline.

**Phylogenetic Analysis**—BFs of pleiomorphic YTat1.1 and monomorphic M110 were obtained from rodent blood after DE-52 anion exchange chromatography, as described previously (34). Stumpy forms of YTat1.1 were obtained after inoculation of 1 × 10^6 BF cells into rats. Before the peak of parasitemia, cells were harvested by DE-52 anion exchange chromatography. Stumpy-form trypanosomes were transferred to Cunningham’s medium and cultured at 28 °C until a stable culture of procyclic forms was obtained (34). *Trypanosoma evansi*, *Trypanosoma equiperdum*, and *Trypanosoma equiperdum* cell homogenates were obtained as described previously (34).

**track Clones**—Genomic DNA was isolated from PF trypanosomes as described (35) and used as a template to amplify *track* by PCR. Vectors include pQE30 (Qiagen), pTSA.Hyg (36), pLEW100 (32), pALT4 (37), and pZJM (38). Forward primers for the complete coding region of *track* encompassed nucleotides 1–21, whereas the reverse primers encompassed nucleotides 933–953. The nucleotide sequence of *track* is at geneDB.org (Tb11.01.3170). Restriction sites were added to the primers. To express recombinant His<sub>6</sub>-TRACK, the complete coding region was cloned into the XhoI/BamHI site of pTSA.Hyg with the reverse primer PALT4. A 503-bp fragment of the *track* coding region was amplified by PCR and cloned into the XhoI/HindIII sites between the dual opposed promoters in pZJM. The forward primer encompassed nucleotides 13–32 of the track coding region, and the reverse primer encompassed nucleotides 497–516.

**Transformation of Trypanosomes**—The pZJM-TRACK<sub>i</sub> construct was linearized with NotI and electroporated into the 29-13 PF cell line or the 90-13 BF cell line. The pTSA construct was linearized with BssHII and electroporated into AnTat1.1. Electroporation was with a Gene Pulser (Bio-Rad). For BF cells, 100 µg of NotI-linearized pZJM-TRACK was added to 10<sup>8</sup> cells using the buffers and settings described (40). Cloned cell populations were obtained by serial dilution. The phenotypes observed in this study were obtained with multiple cloned cell lines and with independent transformations.

**Growth Studies**—Logarithmically growing cultures were diluted to a concentration of 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml (PF) or 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml (BF). Cell density was determined by counting trypanosomes with a Neubauer hemocytometer at the times indicated. Each culture was counted in duplicate, and the growth study was repeated a minimum of three times.

**Protein Expression**—Pasmid pDM31 encoding MalB.FLAG.RACK1 was kindly provided by D. Mochly-Rosen, Stanford University. Expressed protein was purified from soluble fractions on amylose-agarose following the manufacturer’s instructions (New England Biolabs). To prepare antibodies against TRACK, recombinant His<sub>6</sub>-TRACK was purified from inclusion bodies after solubilization with 100 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 10 mM Tris-Cl, 8 M urea, pH 8.0 (5 ml/g of wet weight). The sample was bound to nickel nitrilotriacetic acid-agarose, washed in the solubilization buffer adjusted to pH 6.3, and eluted in the same buffer at pH 5.9.

**Antibodies and Stains**—To produce rabbit antibodies against recombinant TRACK, 2 mg of the purified protein was sent to Covance (Richmond, CA). Antiserum containing the IgG fraction was obtained by protein A chromatography. Other primary antibodies used in this study include mouse anti-AU1 (Covance; 1:200 dilution, 1:1000 dilution Western blots); rat anti-parafagellar rod (T. Seebeck, University of Bern, 1:200 dilution); rat anti-glyceroldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (P. A. Michaels, Christian de Duve Institute of Cellular Pathology, Brussels, 1:1000 dilution); mouse E7 antibodies against β-tubulin (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa, 1:1000 dilution); mouse anti-tubulin (Sigma, 1:1000 dilution); rabbit anti-BiP (J. Bangs, University of Wisconsin, 1:200 dilution), rabbit anti-cytokrome C<sub>1</sub> (S. Hajduk, Marine Biology Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA, 1:1000 dilution); mouse anti-phosphotyrosine, phosphoserine, or phosphothreonine (Sigma, 1:1000 dilution). Secondary antibodies were purchased conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (Sigma), horseradish peroxidase (Jackson Immunolabs), Cy2 (Jackson Immunolabs), and Cy3 (Jackson Immunolabs). Stains include TOTO (Molecular Probes, 1:600 dilution); DAPI (Vectorshields, Vector Laboratories), and MitoTracker (Molecular Probes, 200 nM).

**Immunoblots**—Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred electrophoretically to nitrocellulose membranes using the Bio-Rad semidry transfer apparatus for 15 min at 15 V. Color was developed with alkaline phosphatase-labeled secondary antibodies and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolylphosphate-p-toluidine salt (BCIP) and nitro blue tetrazolium chloride (NBT). Phosphoprotein blots were developed using the luminol/enhancer system (SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescence kit, Pierce).

**Densitometry**—Individual bands on immunoblots were assigned an integrated density value using the SpotDenso program on the Alpha Innotech Imaging system. Linearity of the integrated density value with increasing protein was verified. The ratio of the integrated density value for TRACK and its loading control (either tubulin or glycerolaldihyde phosphate dehydrogenase) was calculated.

**Microscopy**—Cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS with Dulbecco’s salts; Invitrogen) and fixed for 45 min with 4% paraformaldehyde in the same buffer. After washing the cells in 50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5, the cells were allowed to settle for 1 h on Fisher (+) Gold positively charged microscope slides. To quantify nuclei and kinetoplasts, cells were mounted with Vectashield containing DAPI, and at least 300 random cells were evaluated per experiment, and each experiment was repeated at least twice. For protein localization, the fixed cells were permeabilized on the slide with 0.1% Triton X-100 or 0.1% IGEPAL CA-630 (Sigma) in PBS and blocked with 4% goat serum in PBS. Where indicated, MitoTracker (200 nM) was added to cells for 30 min before fixation. Primary antibody was added in the presence of 0.2% gelatin for 1 h at 37 °C. After three washes in PBS plus gelatin, cells were treated with secondary antibodies and DAPI as above and washed at least three more times. Cells were coated with Mounting Medium (Kirkgaard and Perry Laboratories) or with Vectashield containing DAPI. Microscopy was with a Nikon C1 Digital Eclipse Confocal E600 microscope using either DIC optics, epifluorescence, or scanning lasers as indicated in each figure. Images were collected with Metamorph or EZ-C1 software (Nikon).
**TRACK Immunoprecipitations**—Logarithmically growing wild-type PF cells were allowed to grow overnight to a density of 1.5 × 10⁷ cells/ml. Cells were washed in PBS containing Dulbecco’s salts and 1 g/liter glucose (Invitrogen), snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C. Cells were lysed at 2 × 10⁶ cells/200 µl in radioimmuno precipitation assay buffer (1 × PBS, 1% IGEPAL CA-630, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS) containing protease inhibitor mixture comprised of leupeptin (5 µg/ml), phenylmethylsulfonl fluoride (1 mM), E64 (20 µM), and phosphatase inhibitors (0.2 mM orthovanadate, 20 mM NaF, and 100 mM okadaic acid. The 10,000 × g supernatant was pre-cleared with 1 volume of Sephadex G25 for 3 h at 4 °C. The pre-cleared supernatants were incubated with anti-TRACK and precipitated with protein A-agarose. Pellets were boiled in SDS-PAGE sample buffer and analyzed by Western blots.

**Cell Cycle Analysis**—Cells were washed in PBS and suspended in 70% ethanol containing 5% glycerol. After an overnight incubation at −20 °C, the cells were washed in PBS with Dulbecco’s salts and incubated for 20 min at 37 °C with 10 µg/ml RNase A. Propidium iodide was added to a final concentration of 10 µg/ml, and the incubation was continued for an additional 1 h. The cells were analyzed with the FACScalibur cell sorter (BD Biosciences). Gating was determined with control cells for each experiment, and the same values were used for all treated cells. Cell cycle parameters were analyzed using ModFitLT Version 3.0.

**Schizosaccharomyces pombe Growth and Transformation**—The S. pombe strain SPB190 (his_leu1-32 ura4-D18 cpc2::ura4) was kindly provided by M. McLeod (State University of New York, Downtown Medical Center). Cells were grown in complex medium or YE (5 g of Difco yeast extract, 30 g of glucose, and amino acid supplements) for maintenance and unrestricted growth. Minimal medium was made by standard procedures (37) and used for growth of auxotrophic mutants, selection of transformants, and complementation assays. Leucine (250 mg/liter) and adenine (3.75 g/liter) were added to auxotrophic strains as needed. All S. pombe strains were grown at 30 °C unless otherwise specified. Transformation of S. pombe was by electroporation. Briefly, 40 µl of cell suspension at 1 × 10⁹ cells/ml in 1 M sorbitol was incubated with 100 ng of plasmid DNA on ice for 5 min. The pulse conditions were 1.5 kV, 25 microfarads and 200 ohms. Immediately after, 0.9 ml of ice-cold sorbitol was added to the cells, and they were plated onto minimal medium without any amino acid supplement. To test for expression of recombinant proteins, 2.3 mg of cell wet weight was suspended in 100 µl of distilled water to which an equal volume of 0.2 M NaOH was added for 5 min at room temperature as described (41). Insoluble material was pelleted, and the supernatant was boiled in SDS-PAGE sample buffer before analysis by SDS-PAGE and Western blot.

**Complementation Assays**—S. pombe strain SPB190 or SPB190 containing pALT2, pALT4.TRACK, or pCPC1.10 was grown to stationary phase (10⁶–10⁹ cells/ml) in yeast extract plus 25 µM adenine and then plated onto minimal medium and grown for 60 h at either 30 or 37 °C to test for recovery of morphology and viability at high temperature.

**RESULTS**

**TRACK Structure**—Effective signals require correct distribution of regulatory proteins within the cell. Anchor proteins have been identified that serve as scaffolds upon which signal complexes can assemble (5, 6). RACK1 has recently received attention because it forms productive ternary complexes with a wide range of partners (6). In T. brucei, an apparent homologue of RACK1 has been cloned and is referred to as TRACK (27, 28). Within the Sanger data base, track is identified as a tandemly repeated gene on chromosome 11 (www.geneDB.org). The genes are within a group that includes γ-tubulin-like interacting protein and Hsp70. TRACK is predicted to fold into a seven-bladed β-propeller comprised of WD repeat motifs (supplemental Fig. S1). Within the WD repeats, TRACK shares 64% identity with RACK1, falling to 34% identity in the loop regions. TRACK is also conserved in some of the regions known to interact with target proteins, including two protein kinase C interaction sites (the black box in supplemental Fig. S1) and one of two Ran kinase recognition sites, also referred to as Ran kinase domains (37).

**Yeast Complementation**—We tested the ability of track to complement a cpc2 null mutant cell line of the fission yeast S. pombe. RACK1 has already been shown to be a homologue of Cpc2 by this procedure (37). In S. pombe, Cpc2 is not essential for normal growth on complete medium at 30 °C. However, in minimal medium cells accumulate in the G2 phase of the cell cycle and become elongated (Fig. 1A). Here, cpc2Δ cells are transformed with a variety of plasmids, including empty expression vector (pALT2), the cpc2 coding region in vector pALT4 (pCPC1.10), or with pALT4.TRACK. Western blots with anti-TRACK antibodies reveal cross-reacting proteins only in cells that express Cpc2 (cpc2Δ::pCPC1.10) or TRACK (cpc2Δ::pALT4.TRACK) (Fig. 1B, lower panel). Additionally, cells that express Cpc2 or TRACK have their morphology restored (Fig. 1A, lower panels), whereas cells that receive the empty vector remain elongated (Fig. 1A, upper panel).

Growth of S. pombe, including cpc2Δ and all of the transformants, is equivalent in minimal medium at 30 °C (Fig. 1C). However, at the restrictive temperature of 37 °C, growth of cpc2Δ cells is greatly inhibited (Fig. 1C). The addition of an empty vector to these cells does not restore growth (upper right quadrant). However, the addition of track restores growth to the same extent as addition of yeast cpc2 (lower
FIGURE 2. TRACK is expressed throughout the trypanosome lifecycle and is not phosphorylated in vivo on tyrosine residues. A, Western blot of whole cell homogenates from trypanosome lifecycle stages. Each lane contains 20 μg of protein from strain YTat1.1 bloodstream forms (BF), G0-arrested short stumpy forms (SF), and insect stage procyclic forms (PF). Blots were developed for alkaline phosphatase with primary antibodies against β-tubulin, TRACK, or cytochrome (Cyt) c, as indicated. B, phylogenetic distribution of TRACK among kinetoplastid parasites. Whole cell homogenates (15 μg/lane) from T. brucei (T.b.) M110 BF, AnTat1.1 PF, T. evansi, T. equiperdum, and T. cruzi epimastigotes were blotted with anti-TRACK or mouse anti-RACK1. C, bacterially expressed recombinant MalB-FLAG-RACK1 (15 μg) was blotted with antibodies against TRACK or against RACK1. D, total cell homogenate was evaluated for proteins that cross-react with antibodies against phospho-Tyr (P-Y). E, pull-down with anti-TRACK antibodies and subsequent Western blot with antibodies against phospho-Tyr. Individual lanes include starting homogenates (whole cell), supernatant fraction (S), and pull-down fractions (P) after incubation with combinations of anti-TRACK antibodies, protein A beads, or cell homogenates. The upper panels used TRACK RNAi cells in the absence of tetracycline (Tet) (wild-type levels of TRACK) or presence of tetracycline (TRACK depleted). The lower panels used wild-type procyclic forms derived from AnTat1.1.

FIGURE 3. TRACK is localized to the cytoplasm and a region around the nucleus throughout the cell cycle in PF cells. PF cells derived from AnTat1.1 were transformed with the constitutive vector pTSA-TRACK.AU1. A, Western blot with mouse anti-AU1 shows the absence of cross-reacting protein in parental cell lines (lane a) and the presence of tagged TRACK in transformed cell lines. B, fluorescence microscopy shows the absence of AU1 staining in parental cells. C, transformed cells at different stages of the cell cycle were analyzed. G1 corresponds to one nucleus and one kinetoplast (1N1K). S/G2 corresponds to 1N2K. Post-mitotic corresponds to 2N2K. The nucleus was stained with TOTO, and the AU1 tag was identified with secondary antibodies conjugated to Cy2. Images were collected with a Nikon C1 Digital Eclipse Confocal E6000 microscope using the EZ-C1 software.
The quality of our life cycle stages is verified with antibodies against apoptosis-like death (28) or became division arrested (27). In dividing forms (Western blots reveal that TRACK is present in slender bloodstream forms (BF), short stumpy forms (SS) and procyclic forms (PF) (Fig. 2A). The quality of our life cycle stages is verified with antibodies against cytochrome c, which is absent in BF, increases in SS, and is fully expressed in PF trypanosomes (Torri et al. (42)). Tubulin serves as a loading control. Additionally, a protein related to TRACK is identified in several trypanosomatids, including T. brucei, T. evansi, T. equiperdum, and T. cruzi (panel B). Monoclonal antibodies against mammalian RACK1 do not cross-react with any of the trypanosomatid proteins (panel B). Nonetheless, when mammalian RACK1 is expressed as a fusion protein with maltose binding protein, our antibodies against TRACK recognize it (panel C). Taken as a whole, these data indicate that TRACK is present throughout the lifecycle of T. brucei and that a related protein is in other trypanosomatids. RACK1 contains epitopes that are not present on TRACK (anti-RACK1 blots), and it also contains epitopes that are shared (detectable with our anti-TRACK antibodies).

Phosphorylation of TRACK—The phosphorylation of Tyr-246 on RACK1 is one mechanism by which it can regulate cell growth (7, 43, 44). Tyr-248 of RACK1 can also be phosphorylated (7). We evaluated the in vivo phosphorylation of TRACK with the same antibody approach used by others to study RACK1 (7). The antibodies against phospho-Tyr recognize a range of proteins in trypanosome cell homogenates (Fig. 2D). Pull-down assays show that TRACK is not among them (Fig. 2E). As a control to ensure that the appropriate protein precipitated, the assay was repeated with cells depleted of TRACK by tetracycline (Fig. 2D). Taken as a whole, these data indicate that TRACK is present throughout the lifecycle of T. brucei and that a related protein is in other trypanosomatids. RACK1 contains epitopes that are not present on TRACK (anti-RACK1 blots), and it also contains epitopes that are shared (detectable with our anti-TRACK antibodies).

Localization of TRACK in PF Trypanosomes—TRACK is presumed to function as an anchor that tethers signal complexes to the appropriate location in the cell. Our rabbit antibodies against TRACK did not prove useful in localization studies. Therefore, we expressed an AU1 epitope-tagged version of TRACK in PF cells (Fig. 3). The AU1 antibodies do not co-localize with any protein in control cells (Fig. 3A, lane a) but recognized TRACK.AU1 (lane b). Densitometry of TRACK and the gliceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase loading control indicate that TRACK increases by no more than 9% in AnTat1.1:pTSA.TRACK.AU1 cells (supplemental Fig. S2). For localization studies, cells were labeled with mouse anti-AU1 and Cy2-conjugated secondary antibodies and counterstained with the nuclear stain TOTO. In wild-type cells, no AU1 labeling was observed (Fig. 3A, panel B). The distribution of TRACK.AU1 is shown during the cell cycle. Early in the division cycle, when the cells have one nucleus (N) and one kinetoplast (K) (1N1K) or have 1N2K, TRACK is concentrated in a region around the nucleus and extends into the cytoplasm (Fig. 3C, upper panels). As nuclear division commences, TRACK.AU1 remains concentrated in the region along the nuclear envelope (lower panel) and eventually surrounds each separated nucleus. An exclusion zone between the nuclei is often seen.

Although much of the TRACK.AU1 appears to be cytosolic, cell fractionation indicates that it is also associated with particulate material similar to the untagged TRACK (Fig. 4A). To establish whether the distribution of TRACK corresponds to organelles, cells expressing TRACK.AU1 were also labeled with antibodies against Tb.BiP (endoplasmic reticulum localization) or MitoTracker Red (mitochondrial localization) (Fig. 4B). TRACK.AU1 co-localizes with Tb.BiP along the cytoplasmic face of the nucleus but not along the nucleoplasmic face or in any other region of the cell. The labeling pattern for the mitochondrion is also distinct from that of TRACK.AU1.

TRACK Knockdown in PF Trypanosomes—To evaluate the role TRACK might play in cell function, RNAi was used. Double-stranded RNA was produced from dually opposed tetracycline-inducible T7 pro-
motors in the stably integrated vector pZJM.TRACK. Cloned cell lines were obtained by limiting dilution, and each exhibited the same phenotype upon induction of RNAi. Western blots show the level of TRACK expression for one of these clones (Fig. 5A). The monoclonal antibody E7 against β-tubulin is used as a loading control, and rabbit anti-TRACK is used to monitor the quantity of TRACK in the cell. For the parental 29-13 procyclic cell line, the addition of 1 μg/ml tetracycline is without effect on TRACK expression (Fig. 5A, top panel). In the absence of tetracycline, the RNAi cells also produce stable amounts of TRACK. By contrast, TRACK levels declined over a 4-day period after induction of RNAi with tetracycline. The levels remain low until day 7, when the cells began to recover.

Upon the addition of tetracycline, RNAi cells grow normally for 24 h and thereafter slow (Fig. 5B). After 96 h post-induction, the RNAi cell population has a cell density that is only 10% that of RNAi cells without tetracycline (Fig. 5B) or of parental cells in the presence of tetracycline (not shown). After this time cell growth recovers, as is occasionally the case with RNAi in trypanosomes. These data verify that TRACK is an essential component of T. brucei. Cell cycle progression was evaluated in an effort to understand the basis for growth arrest (Fig. 5C). As described by others, DAPI staining can distinguish between several cell cycle stages in T. brucei (45). The mitochondrial DNA (kinetoplast) divides during S phase, producing cells with one nucleus and two kineto-plasts (1N2K). Nuclear division follows, producing cells that have 2N2K. In control procyclic populations, ~78% of PF cells are 1N1K. Upon induction of RNAi for 72 h, the 1N1K population decreases significantly to 50%, and the 2N2K population increases by ~3-fold (Fig. 5C). Additionally, the number of cells with greater than 2 nuclei rose from 0% of the population to 11% of the population. These data indicate that cell cycle progression was impaired at a post-mitotic stage. After 48 h of RNAi induction ~5% of the cells appear as zoids with 0N and 1K. Zoids result from a misaligned cell cleavage. The population of zoids decreases to 0.3% of the population by 72 h. Remarkably, 20% of the RNAi cells eventually initiate a normal cytokinesis but appear stuck part way through (Fig. 5D). Because trypanosomes lack some cell cycle checkpoints (31), they undergo multiple rounds of partial cytokinesis. These cells have one or more distinct cleavage furrows with an attached flagellum on each of the resultant cytoplasmic extensions (Fig. 6, A–D, and confirmed with cells stained for nuclei (TOTO) and flagella (anti-paraflagellar rod) (Fig. 6, E–H). Each of the resultant cytoplasmic extensions with attached flagellum is fully motile. A movie of these cells can be found at faculty.smu.edu/lruben. Interestingly, the successive rounds of cytokinesis are non-synchronous in that only one of the partial-daughter cells divides at a time. The non-synchronous division produces an odd number of cytoplasmic extensions (Fig. 6, B–D), or as seen in panels D and F, two daughter cells remain connected by a cytoplasmic bridge, and one of these cells has already become stuck in the next round of mitosis.

When evaluated by flow cytometry, a 50% reduction in G1-phase cells is seen in the RNAi population (Fig. 7). The percentage of cells with greater than 4 C DNA content rises from 0.63% to nearly 23% of the population. The increase in DNA content is similar to reports for PF trypanosomes treated with other inhibitors that affect the cell cycle. For example, after 18 h of growth in either okadaic acid or vinblastine, trypanosomes fail to initiate cytokinesis, become multinucleate, and have increased DNA content (46, 47). However, none of these inhibitors produce the same phenotype as TRACK RNAi. The multinucleate cells are shown in the left panels, whereas the increase in DNA content is indicated on the right.

Altogether, these data demonstrate that cytokinesis in PF trypanosomes is discontinuous. TRACK is not required for the onset of cytokinesis, but it is essential for completion of the cleavage furrow. Additionally, the two fused daughter cells can each be followed into the next cell cycle, and although they share considerable cytoplasm, they progress through the cell cycle at different rates.

TRACK Knockdown in BF Trypanosomes—An unusual feature of the trypanosome cell cycle is the way in which conserved regulatory proteins can have different functions depending upon the lifecycle stage of...
the organism (40, 48–52). Here we evaluate whether a similar situation occurs with TRACK. The same tetracycline-inducible RNAi vector was electroporated into BF trypanosomes. Cells derived from two independent transformations were initially tested and gave the same results. After induction of RNAi with tetracycline, densitometry of Western blots reveals that the cell content of TRACK declines to ~48% of control levels over a 4-day period (Fig. 8A). Although the knockdown of TRACK is less complete than occurred in PF trypanosomes (Fig. 5A), the impact on growth is more severe. Cell division continues for a 24-h period and then is inhibited. Cell density begins to decrease after 48 h, and the cells do not recover even after 120 h of growth (Fig. 8B). The growth inhibition is accompanied by changes in the cell cycle (Fig. 8C). Within 72 h of RNAi induction, the percent of cells with 1N1K declines from 82% of the population to 45% of the population. Similar to the RNAi in PF cells, a 3-fold increase in the 2N2K population is observed. Cells that have additional kinetoplasts (K > 2) increase from 0 to 7.4% of the population (Fig. 8C). The cells in general appear to be stalled in a post-mitotic stage. Some of the morphology types are shown in cells where the nucleus is stained with TOTO and the flagella is labeled with antibodies against paraglellar rod (PFR, Fig. 9). Unlike the situation with PF cells, the RNAi BF cells do not exhibit partial cytokinesis. Many of the morphology types are those seen during a normal cell cycle. The kinetoplasts replicate and align laterally at the posterior end of the cell (Fig. 9B). The flagellum also replicates and moves to lateral positions on either side of the cell body. Unusual phenotypes are also observed. The replication of flagella continues in the absence of cytokinesis, and cells are observed with 4K4F and an indeterminate number of nuclei (Fig. 9, C–D). Overall, these data demonstrate that TRACK is essential for the initiation of cytokinesis in BF cells.

**TRACK Knockdown during Infections in Mice**—To verify that TRACK is important to trypanosome survival in the mammalian host, TRACK RNAi was induced during the course of infection within mice. Nine mice were divided into three groups, and each mouse was inoculated with TRACK RNAi trypanosomes or control 90-13 trypanosomes. The mice were either untreated or treated with 1 mg/ml doxycycline added to the drinking water to induce RNAi. Parasitemia was quantified in peripheral tail blood at the times indicated, and each curve plots the progression of infection in a single mouse. In mice infected with the TRACK RNAi trypanosomes, parasitemia was below the detectable levels of $1 \times 10^7$ trypanosomes per ml of peripheral blood by the third day of doxycycline treatment (Fig. 8D). Doxycycline did not by itself cure the trypanosome infection in control 90-13 parental BF cells (Fig. 8D). We did not specifically verify that TRACK became depleted because the RNAi cells died after 3 days. However, cells containing the knockdown construct for a non-essential gene (lysophospholipase) were evaluated by Western blot 3 days post-infection (inset to Fig. 8D). The specific loss of lysophospholipase is evident in the RNAi cells but not the parental cells. The sensitivity of BF cells to TRACK depletion indicates that TRACK, and its partners might be targeted in the design of novel therapies.

**DISCUSSION**

**TRACK and Cell Growth**—We began this project to investigate signal pathways that might be associated with growth regulation. Previous reports indicated that expression of track transcript is highest in cells whose growth is inhibited either by apoptosis-like cell death or during G0 growth arrest in the stumpy stage of the lifecycle (27, 28). In some mammalian cells overexpression of RACK1 is also associated with a slow growth rate (44). The phenotype is mediated by phosphorylation of Tyr-246 in RACK1 (7). Tyr-228 can also be phosphorylated (7). The kinetoplastid homologues LACK and CACK have Phe substitutions at each of these residues, and phosphotyrosines were not observed in TRACK. Therefore, any growth regulatory properties of TRACK occur independent of its phosphorylation on Tyr-246 or Tyr-228. Although overexpression of track mRNA correlates with slow growth, here we show that depletion of TRACK with RNAi also causes growth arrest. In the latter case the cells remain metabolically active, as indicated by full motility, but arrest during the process of cytokinesis.

The role of TRACK in the cell division process is dependent upon the lifecycle stage. When depleted of TRACK by RNAi, PF cells can initiate...
cytokinesis, whereas BF trypanosomes do not. BF cells are especially sensitive to the loss of TRACK, and cell division halts after 24 h of RNAi induction. The decreased number of cells with a 1N1K configuration and increase in cells with 2N2K is consistent with a preferential cell cycle arrest in a pre-cytokinesis state. In PF cells, cytokinesis initiates, but once this happens the progression is halted midway through cleavage. The cells continue to replicate nuclei, kinetoplasts, and attached flagella and continue to form multiple partial cleavage furrows. These data indicate that the role of TRACK is discontinuous in cytokinesis (that is, cytokinesis can initiate without TRACK but cannot progress beyond a mid-stage of cell cleavage). Because the daughter cells remain fused in the track RNAi cells, it is also possible to follow each progeny into the next cell cycle. Interestingly, the two cells progress at different rates even though they share considerable cytoplasm. A similar phenomenon has been reported for bud formation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* where the daughter cell takes longer than the mother cell to transit the next cell cycle (53). None of the other treatments that disrupt cytokinesis in *T. brucei* produce a similar phenotype to the TRACK RNAi (40, 46, 47, 51, 54–56).

**Trypanosomes and Cytokinesis**—The trypanosome genome appears to lack clear homologues of many yeast cell cycle proteins (57). Notably absent are components of the spindle-pole-body system, kinetochore structures, kinetochore motors, and checkpoint proteins. Nonetheless, cyclins and Cdc2-related kinases have been shown to have a role in G1/S progression (49, 50, 52), G2/M progression (49, 51, 58), and cell morphology (52). A role for NDR family kinases has been inferred by RNAi knockdown of the scaffold MOB1 (40). Further evidence for the importance of kinase cascades comes from RNAi depletion of the phophoserine-threonine binding protein 14-3-3, which along with the phosphatase inhibitor okadaic acid also disrupts cytokinesis (59, 47). Although the mechanism of action is not understood, synthesis of the glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored variant surface glycoprotein is also tied to completion of mitosis (48, 60).

Ultimately, the signaling cascades must impinge on a mechanical process to split the cells in two. The mechanical process is not known for *T. brucei*. Unlike the mammalian host, a role for actin is not apparent. Actin has not been localized to the cleavage furrow, and RNAi knockdown of actin in PF cells does not prevent cytokinesis (61). Instead, flagellar replication is a requirement for cell division. The onset of cytokinesis is inhibited by depletion of the flagellum attachment protein-1 (Fla1) (55), whereas the cleavage furrow can be misaligned by knockdown of intrflagellar transport proteins (56) or overexpression of microtubule-associated proteins CAP15 and CAP17 (54). The process is also disrupted with vinca alkaloids (46).

**TRACK and Cytokinesis**—The distribution of TRACK in *T. brucei* does not provide a ready explanation for how it modulates cytokinesis. None of the RACK1 homologues including Cpc2, LACK, or CACK has ever been localized by immunostaining to the mitotic apparatus, and the majority are associated with the cytoplasm (9, 20, 26), plasma membrane (10–15, 26), Golgi (62), or nucleus (23). We identify TRACK.AU1 in a perinuclear region where it co-localizes with a portion of the endoplasmic reticulum marker Tb.BiP. It is also found in the cytoplasm. A similar cytoplasmic distribution was found for the trypanosome MOB1 anchor protein, which also affects cytokinesis, although it does not
localize to the cleavage furrow (40). More recently, a MudPIT analysis of mammalian midbodies identified RACK1 among the cell division proteins (20). Based upon these observations, RNAi was used to knock down RACK1 in the early C. elegans zygote. Interestingly, the cells initiated but failed to complete the first cytokinesis (30). The phenotype was similar to that observed in the TRACK RNAi cells, except that in C. elegans the incomplete cleavage furrow disintegrated, whereas in T. brucei the incomplete cleavage furrow remains.

The ability of RACK1 to form ternary complexes with a range of receptors and signal proteins may account for much of its growth regulatory properties (6, 10–15). However, it has recently become apparent that RACK1 also associates with the translation machinery (18–22), and the control of protein synthesis is a separate mechanism by which regulatory processes that coordinate lifecycle events in T. brucei are not well understood. Here we report that TRACK is part of the cell division pathway in these organisms. The TRACK RNAi cells demonstrate that formation of the cleavage furrow is discontinuous in terms of its protein requirements, whereas cell cycle progression of fused cells is asynchronous. Growth studies of the TRACK RNAi cells demonstrate that TRACK is essential for cell survival in BF and PF cells. Current efforts are under way to identify TRACK partners and to identify proteins that change upon induction of TRACK RNAi.

Acknowledgments—We are grateful to our colleagues for their generosity with reagents. We thank G. Cross, The Rockefeller University, for the trypanosome cell lines, Tom Seebeck, University of Bern, for antibodies against trypanosome paragregarial rod, Jay Bangs, University of Wisconsin, for antibodies against Tb.GPDH, Steve Hajduk, Marine Biology Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA, for antibodies against Tb. cytochrome c6, P. Englend, Johns Hopkins University, for pZIM vector, D. Mochly-Rosen, Stanford University, for expression plasmid pDM31 containing rat RACK1, and Maureen MeLeod, State University of New York, Downtown Medical Center, for the S. pombe SBP190 null mutants and corresponding pAL(binding vectors). We also thank Jim Waddle, Southern Methodist University, for critical review of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Parsons, M., and Ruben, L. (2000) Parasitol. Today 16, 56–62
2. Ruben, J., Kelly, J. M., and Chakrabarti, D. (2003) in Molecular Medical Parasitology (Marr, J. J., Nilsen, T. W., and Komuniecki, R. W., eds) pp. 241–276, Academic Press, Inc., Amsterdam
3. Moreno, S. N., and Docampo, R. (2003) Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 6, 359–364
4. Kilunga, K. B., Inose, T., Okano, Y., Kabututu, Z., Martin, S. K., Lazarus, M., Duxenko, M., Sumi, Y., Kusakari, Y., Matsumura, H., Kali, Y., Sugiyama, S., Inaka, K., Inui, T., and Urade, Y. (2005) J. Biol. Chem. 280, 26371–26382
5. Schechtman, D., and Mochly-Rosen, D. (2001) Oncogene 20, 6339–6347
6. McCahill, A., Warwicker, J., Bolger, G. B., Houslay, M. D., and Yarwood, S. J. (2002) Mol. Pharmacol. 62, 1261–1273
7. Chang, B. Y., Harte, R. A., and Cartwright, C. A. (2002) Oncogene 21, 7619–7629
8. Rodriguez, M. M., Ron, D., Touhara, K., Chen, C. H., and Mochly-Rosen, D. (1999) Biochemistry 38, 13787–13794
9. Ron, D., Luo, J., and Mochly-Rosen, D. (1995) J. Biol. Chem. 270, 24180–24187
10. Ueascha, A., Smith, R., Minshull, R., Radaa, G., Seng, S., Croze, E., and Colamonici, O. (2001) J. Biol. Chem. 276, 22948–22953
11. Kiey, P. A., Sant, A., and O’Connor, R. (2002) J. Biol. Chem. 277, 22581–22589
12. Geijsen, N., Spaaergaren, M., Rajajmakers, J. A., Lammers, J. W., Koenderman, L., and Coffin, P. J. (1999) Oncogene 18, 5126–5130
13. Beson, A., Wilson, T. L., and Yong, V. W. (2002) J. Biol. Chem. 277, 22073–22084
14. Mortun, T., Hellberg, C. B., Burden-Gulley, S. M., Himman, J., Rhee, A., and Brady-Kalnay, S. M. (2001) J. Biol. Chem. 276, 14896–14901
15. Steele, M. R., McCahill, A., Thompson, D. S., MacKenzie, C., Isaacs, N. W., Houslay, M. D., and Bolger, G. B. (2001) Cell. Signal. 13, 507–513
16. Osmanagic-Myers, S., and Wiche, G. (2004) J. Biol. Chem. 279, 18701–18710
17. Won, M., Park, S. K., Hoo, K. L., Jung, Y. J., Chung, K. S., Kim, D. U., Kim, H. B., and Yoo, H. S. (2001) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 282, 10–15
18. Gerbasi, V. R., Weaver, C. M., Hill, S., Friedman, D. B., and Link, A. J. (2004) Mol. Cell. Biol. 24, 8276–8287
19. Sengupta, J., Nilsson, J., Gursky, R., Spahn, C. M., Nissen, P., and Frank, J. (2004) Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 11, 957–962
20. Shor, B., Calaycay, J., Roshbrook, J., and McLeod, M. (2003) J. Biol. Chem. 278, 49119–49128
21. Manuell, A. L., Yamaguchi, K., Haynes, P. A., Milligan, R. A., and Mayfield, S. P. (2005) J. Biol. Chem. 310, 10206–10211
22. Gao, H., Ayub, M. J., Levin, M. J., and Frank, J. (2005) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102, 10206–10211
23. Mougenot, E., Altare, F., Waki, A. E., Zheng, S., Coppola, T., Wang, Z. E., Waldmann, R., Lockshay, R. M., and Glaichenhaus, N. (1995) Science 268, 563–566
24. Taladrid, S., Gonzalez-Aseguinolaza, G., Marquet, A., and Larra, V. (1999) FEBS Lett. 443, 375–380
27. Matthews, K. R., and Gull, K. (1998) *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **95**, 81–95
28. Welburn, S. C., and Murphy, N. B. (1998) *Cell Death Differ.* **5**, 615–622
29. Kelly, B. L., Stetson, D. B., and Locksley, R. M. (2003) *J. Exp. Med.* **198**, 1689–1698
30. Skop, A. R., Liu, H., Yates, J., III, Meyer, B. J., and Heald, R. (2004) *Science* **305**, 61–66
31. Ploubidou, A., Robinson, D. R., Docherty, R. C., Oghadoyi, E. O., and Gull, K. (1999) *J. Cell Sci.* **112**, 4641–4650
32. Wirtz, E., Leal, S., Ochatt, C., and Cross, G. A. (1999) *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **99**, 89–101
33. Hirumi, H., and Hirumi, K. (1989) *J. Parasitol.* **75**, 985–989
34. Ruben, L., Ridgley, E. L., Haghighat, N. G., and Chan, E. (1991) *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **46**, 123–136
35. Medina-Acosta, E., and Cross, G. A. (1993) *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **59**, 327–329
36. Sommer, J. M., Cheng, Q. L., Keller, G. A., and Wang, C. C. (1992) *Mol. Biol. Cell* **3**, 749–759
37. McLeod, M., Shor, B., Caporaso, A., Wang, W., Chen, H., and Hu, L. (2000) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **20**, 4016–4027
38. Wang, Z., Morris, J. C., Drew, M. E., and Englund, P. T. (2000) *J. Biol. Chem.* **211**, 4998–5005
39. Traub-Cseko, Y. M., Costa-Pinto, D., and McMahon-Pratt, D. (1998) *Parasitol. Today* **14**, 41–42
40. Hammarton, T. C., Lillico, S. G., Welburn, S. C., and Mottram, J. C. (1998) *Parasitol. Today* **14**, 41–42
41. Kushnirov, V. V. (2000) *Yeast* **16**, 857–860
42. Torri, A. F., Bertrand, K. I., and Hajduk, S. L. (1993) *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **57**, 305–315
43. Mamidipudi, V., Zhang, J., Lee, K. C., and Cartwright, C. A. (2004) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **18**, 3245–3256
44. Woodward, R., and Gull, K. (1990) *J. Cell Sci.* **95**, 49–57
45. Grellier, P., Sinou, V., Garreau-de Loubresse, N., Bylen, E., Bouard, Y., and Schrevel, J. (1999) *Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton* **42**, 36–47
46. Das, A., Gale, M., Jr., Carter, V., and Parsons, M. (1994) *J. Cell Sci.* **107**, 3477–3483
47. Lillico, S., Field, M. C., Blandell, P., Coombs, G. H., and Mottram, J. C. (2003) *Mol. Biol. Cell* **14**, 1182–1194
48. Li, Z., and Wang, C. C. (2003) *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 20652–20658
49. Hammarton, T. C., Engstler, M., and Mottram, J. C. (2004) *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 24757–24764
50. Tu, X., and Wang, C. C. (2004) *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 20519–20528
51. Tu, X., and Wang, C. C. (2005) *Mol. Biol. Cell* **16**, 97–105
52. Lew, D. J., Marini, N. J., and Reed, S. I. (1992) *Cell* **69**, 317–327
53. Vedrenne, C., Giroud, C., Robinson, D. R., Besteiro, S., Bosc, C., Brincaud, F., and Baltz, T. (2002) *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **13**, 1058–1070
54. Kohl, L., Robinson, D., and Bastin, P. (2003) *EMBO J.* **22**, 5336–5346
55. Berriman, M., et al. (2005) *Science* **309**, 416–422
56. Hammarton, T. C., Clark, J., Douglas, F., Boshart, M., and Mottram, J. C. (2003) *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 22877–22886
57. Inoue, M., Nakamura, Y., Yasuda, K., Yasaka, N., Hara, T., Schnaufner, A., Stuart, K., and Fukuma, T. (2005) *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 14085–14096
58. Sheder, K., Vaughan, S., Minchin, J., Hughes, K., Gull, K., and Rudenko, G. (2005) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **102**, 8716–8721
59. Garcia-Salcedo, J. A., Perez-Morga, D., Gigon, P., Dilbeck, V., Pays, E., and Nolan, D. P. (2004) *EMBO J.* **23**, 780–789
60. Ron, D., Jiang, Z., Yao, L., Vagts, A., Diamond, I., and Gordon, A. (1999) *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 72039–72046
61. Loreni, F., Iadevaia, V., Tino, E., Caldarola, S., and Amaldi, F. (2005) *FEBS Lett.* **579**, 5517–5520
62. Baum, S., Bittins, M., Frey, S., and Seedorf, M. (2004) *Biochem. J.* **380**, 823–830
63. Angenstein, F., Evans, A. M., Settlage, R. E., Moran, S. T., Ling, S. C., Klintsova, A. Y., Shabanowitz, J., Hunt, D. F., and Greenough, W. T. (2002) *J. Neurosci.* **22**, 8827–8837
64. Ceci, M., Gaviraghi, C., Gorrini, C., Sala, L. A., Offenhauser, N., Marchisio, P. C., and Biffi, S. (2003) *Nature* **426**, 579–584