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Profiling of the Major Phenolic Compounds and Their Biosynthesis Genes in *Sophora flavescens* Aiton
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Sophorae Radix (*Sophora flavescens* Aiton) has long been used in traditional medicine in East Asia due to the various biological activities of its secondary metabolites. Endogenous contents of phenolic compounds (phenolic acid, flavonol, and isoflavone) and the main bioactive compounds of Sophorae Radix were analyzed based on the qualitative HPLC analysis and evaluated in different organs and at different developmental stages. In total, 11 compounds were detected, and the composition of the roots and aerial parts (leaves, stems, and flowers) was significantly different. trans-Cinnamic acid and p-coumaric acid were observed only in the aerial parts. Large amounts of rutin and maackiaiin were detected in the roots. Four phenolic acid compounds (benzoic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, and chlorogenic acid) and four flavonol compounds (kaempferol, catechin hydrate, epicatechin, and rutin) were higher in aerial parts than in roots. To identify putative genes involved in phenolic compounds biosynthesis, a total of 41 transcripts were investigated. Expression patterns of these selected genes, as well as the multiple isoforms for the genes, varied by organ and developmental stage, implying that they are involved in the biosynthesis of various phenolic compounds both spatially and temporally.

1. Introduction

Sophorae Radix (*Sophora flavescens* Aiton) belongs to the Fabaceae family and is widely distributed in Asia (especially in Korea, China, Japan, and India) and some European countries [1]. The roots of Sophorae Radix, known as “Kosam” in Korean (“Kushen” in Chinese), have been used as a functional food ingredient and traditional herbal medicine as an antipyretic, diuretic, and anthelmintic, as well as for the treatments of diarrhea, gastrointestinal hemorrhage, and eczema [2].

More than 200 compounds have been isolated and identified from Sophorae Radix, including alkaloids, flavonoids, terpenoids, and other compounds [1, 3, 4]. Among these compounds, the main active compounds are alkaloids and flavonoids [5]. Alkaloid composition and content vary between the organs of Sophorae Radix, such as the roots, stems, leaves, flowers, and seeds [6]. The 27 alkaloids have been isolated and identified from the roots, and another 20 alkaloids have been reported from the aerial parts, flowers, and seeds of Sophorae Radix [1]. The alkaloid components, such as matrine, oxymatrine, sophocarpine, and sophoridine, are rare in the plant kingdom and are largely found in *Sophora* species [7]. They have various pharmacological effects, including antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, antiallergic, antiarrhythmia, antihepatitis, and regulation of the immune system [8–11].
Flavonoids are a class of low molecular weight phenolic compounds and are widely distributed in the plant kingdom. They have been classified into six subgroups: flavones, flavonols, flavanones, flavan-3-ols, isoflavones, and anthocyanidins [12]. The dried roots of Sophorae Radix contain flavonoids, such as kurarinidin, kurarinone, isokurarinine, norkurarinone, pterocarpin, formononetin, triflorihizin, daidzein, umbelliferone, maackiain, kurarinidinol, kurarinol, neo-kurinol, and norkurarinol [13, 14]. These flavonoid compounds have diverse biological functions, such as flower coloring, UV light protection, auxin transport, defense, allelopathy, anticancer, antiasthmatic, anti-inflammatory, and antimicrobial activities [5, 15–17].

The benefits of phenolic compounds for both plants and humans have inspired efforts to enhance their effects through genetic modification. Phenolic compounds biosynthetic pathway and its regulation have been almost completely elucidated in plants [18]. Many of the structural and regulatory genes have been characterized in Arabidopsis thaliana, tomato, maize, tobacco, soybean, alfalfa, and petunia [19]. These genes were genetically modified in E. coli, yeast, and plants to enhance flavonoid content [19, 20]. CHI, an early enzyme of the flavonols biosynthesis pathway, was found to be the key gene for increasing flavonol production [21]. Overexpression of petunia CHI and CHS genes in tomatoes was sufficient for the accumulation of rutin and naringenin contents, respectively. However, RNAi inhibition of the tomato CHS1 gene resulted in a strong reduction of both naringenin and quercetin levels [22]. Overexpression of F3H and FLS showed no effects on flavonoid levels compared to nontransgenic controls, but F3H RNAi tomatoes resulted in a 20% decrease in wild-type rutin levels [21, 23]. Seed oil extracts overexpressed with multigene (CHS, CHI, and DFR) from petunia into flax exhibited higher levels of quercetin (46–90%), kaempferol (70–83%), and anthocyanin (198%) than the control [24]. A clear reduction in quercetin-3-rutinoside level was obtained by introducing FLS RNAi construct to tomatoes [23]. In soybeans, RNAi silencing of the tomato CHS1 gene resulted in a 95% reduction in total isoflavonoids from petunia into flax exhibited higher levels of rutin (46–90%), kaempferol (70–83%), and anthocyanin (198%) than the control [24]. A clear reduction in quercetin-3-rutinoside level was obtained by introducing FLS RNAi construct to tomatoes [23]. In soybeans, RNAi silencing of the tomato CHS1 gene resulted in a 95% reduction in total isoflavonoids from petunia into flax exhibited higher levels of rutin (46–90%), kaempferol (70–83%), and anthocyanin (198%) than the control [24]. A clear reduction in quercetin-3-rutinoside level was obtained by introducing FLS RNAi construct to tomatoes [23]. In soybeans, RNAi silencing of the tomato CHS1 gene resulted in a 95% reduction in total isoflavonoids from petunia into flax exhibited higher levels of rutin (46–90%), kaempferol (70–83%), and anthocyanin (198%) than the control [24]. A clear reduction in quercetin-3-rutinoside level was obtained by introducing FLS RNAi construct to tomatoes [23]. In soybeans, RNAi silencing of the tomato CHS1 gene resulted in a 95% reduction in total isoflavonoids from petunia into flax exhibited higher levels of rutin (46–90%), kaempferol (70–83%), and anthocyanin (198%) than the control [24]. A clear reduction in quercetin-3-rutinoside level was obtained by introducing FLS RNAi construct to tomatoes [23]. In soybeans, RNAi silencing of the tomato CHS1 gene resulted in a 95% reduction in total isoflavonoids from petunia into flax exhibited higher levels of rutin (46–90%), kaempferol (70–83%), and anthocyanin (198%) than the control [24]. A clear reduction in quercetin-3-rutinoside level was obtained by introducing FLS RNAi construct to tomatoes [23]. In soybeans, RNAi silencing of the tomato CHS1 gene resulted in a 95% reduction in total isoflavonoids from petunia into flax exhibited higher levels of rutin (46–90%), kaempferol (70–83%), and anthocyanin (198%) than the control [24]. A clear reduction in quercetin-3-rutinoside level was obtained by introducing FLS RNAi construct to tomatoes [23]. In soybeans, RNAi silencing of the tomato CHS1 gene resulted in a 95% reduction in total isoflavonoids from petunia into flax exhibited higher levels of rutin (46–90%), kaempferol (70–83%), and anthocyanin (198%) than the control [24]. A clear reduction in quercetin-3-rutinoside level was obtained by introducing FLS RNAi construct to tomatoes [23]. In soybeans, RNAi silencing of the tomato CHS1 gene resulted in a 95% reduction in total isoflavonoids from petunia into flax exhibited higher levels of rutin (46–90%), kaempferol (70–83%), and anthocyanin (198%) than the control [24]. A clear reduction in quercetin-3-rutinoside level was obtained by introducing FLS RNAi construct to tomatoes [23].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material. Different organs (roots, leaves, and stems) were separately harvested from Sophorae Radix collected in Damyang (Jeollanam-do, Korea) in April 2016. The height of the sampled plants was 150–200 centimeters. Leaves were grouped according to length and width, which represented different developmental stages (Table 1). Stems were divided into three categories based on diameter (Table 2). The flower samples were collected from the wild Sophorae Radix transferred to the experimental farm of the Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology (Daejeon, Korea) after 90 days of growing. All samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C for HPLC analysis and total RNA isolation.

2.2. Identification of Phenolic Compounds Biosynthesis Genes in Sophorae Radix. Phenolic compounds biosynthesis genes of Glycine max, Cicer arietinum, and Arabidopsis thaliana were searched from NCBI GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and the KEGG database (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/kegg2.html). The collected genes were subjected to a BlastN search against our internal transcriptome database of Sophorae Radix (unpublished data) to identify their homologs in the Sophorae Radix genome. Only resultant sequences with e-value of <1e−100 and identity of >50% were considered as orthologous genes. In total, 21 Sophorae Radix phenolic compounds biosynthesis genes were selected, and gene-specific primers for the 41 transcript IDs were designed with Primer3 (v. 0.4.0) (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/primer3/) and used for semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis. The accession numbers of the genes and primer sets used in this study are listed in Supplementary Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

2.3. Total RNA Extraction and Semiquantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA was isolated using the Trizol reagent (Gibco-BRL) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Total RNA (1μg) was reverse-transcribed by a ReverTra Ace-α Kit (TOYOBO) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The cDNA was diluted 10-fold, and 1μl of diluted cDNA was used in a 20μl PCR reaction. Semiquantitative RT-PCR was performed using the cDNA as the template. The primers were designed using Primer3 (Table S1).

| Table 1: Sizes of collected leaves of Sophorae Radix: SL, small leaves; ML, medium leaves; LL, large leaves. |
|---|---|
| **Length (cm)** | **Width (cm)** |
| SL | 2.1 ± 0.2 |
| ML | 4.3 ± 0.2 |
| LL | 5.4 ± 0.3 |

| Table 2: Sizes of collected stems of Sophorae Radix: SS, small stems; MS, medium stems; LS, large stems. |
|---|---|
| **Diameter (cm)** |
| SS | 0.2 ± 0.1 |
| MS | 0.5 ± 0.1 |
| LS | 1.1 ± 0.2 |
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performed using gene-specific primers and actin11 (SfACT11) as the housekeeping gene. PCR was performed with a 5 min denaturation at 94°C, followed by 28 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec each at 55°C, followed by 72°C for 1 min. PCR products were analyzed by using 1.2% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide (EtBr), and visualized under ultraviolet light by Gel Doc™ XR+ image system (Bio-Rad). The densitometry data for band intensities was generated by analyzing the gel images using the Image Lab™ Software (Bio-Rad).

2.4. Extraction and Quantitative HPLC Analysis of Phenolic Compounds in Sophorae Radix. Phenolic compounds extraction was performed according to previously described methods with some modification [27]. Freeze-dried samples (100 mg) were extracted using 3 ml of MeOH. Samples were then vortexed at 24°C for 5 min and stored at 60°C for 30 min. After centrifugation at 4,000 rpm for 5 min, the supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 μm PTFE syringe filter (Advantec DISMIC-13HP, Toyo Roshi Kaisha) for HPLC analysis. The HPLC analysis of flavonoids was performed on a Futecs model NS-4000 HPLC apparatus equipped with a C18 column (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm, RStech). The mobile phase was gradient prepared from mixtures of acetonitrile and 0.15% acetic acid; the column was maintained at 30°C. The flow rate was 1.0 ml/min, and the injection volume was 20 μl. Different compounds were quantified on the basis of peak areas and calculated as equivalents of representative standard compounds. All samples were run in triplicate.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Analysis of Phenolic Compound Contents in Sophorae Radix. Previous studies have reported abundant phenolic compounds in Sophorae Radix, such as rutin, quercetin, kaempferol, kurarinol, and maackiain [13, 28–30]. To investigate the amounts of phenolic compounds in the various organs and developmental stages, we analyzed different sized leaves and stems of Sophorae Radix with its roots (Tables 1 and 2). In total, 11 compounds were detected in Sophorae Radix: 6 phenolic acids (t-cinnamnic acid, benzoic acid, p-coumaric acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, and chlorogenic acid), 4 flavonols (kaempferol, catechin hydrate, epicatechin, and rutin), and 1 isoflavone (maackiain) (Figure 1(b) and Supplementary Table 1). Caffeic acid and ferulic acid were detected in all four organs and ranged within 6.62 ± 0.21 μg/g dry wt. (R)~12.67 ± 0.44 μg/g dry wt. (ML) and 5.40 ± 0.04 μg/g dry wt. (LS)~8.79 ± 0.14 μg/g dry wt. (R), respectively. All detected compounds were found in the stems except rutin and maackiain, which were the major components of Sophorae Radix. Only the stems contained tissue-specific compounds, including t-cinnamic acid, chlorogenic acid, and catechin hydrate. Among the six phenolic acids, benzoic acid and chlorogenic acid were the most abundant compounds detected in the stems and the flowers or stems, respectively. Those were lowest in older tissues (MS, LS) and highest in SS (121.83 ± 2.16 μg/g dry wt. and 115.94 ± 0.46 μg/g dry wt., resp.). Benzoic acid was the major phenolic acid compound in the flowers (F; 29.12 ± 0.48 μg/g dry wt.), trans-Cinnamic acid was detected only in stems at minimal levels and ranged within 0.46 ± 0.01–0.62 ± 0.01 μg/g dry wt. Additionally, p-coumaric acid occurred in higher levels in the leaves (8.57 ± 0.43–10.28 ± 0.29 μg/g dry wt.) than in the stems (2.73 ± 0.03–5.47 ± 0.10 μg/g dry wt.); it was not detected in the roots or flowers. Although caffeic, chlorogenic, ferulic, and p-coumaric acids are derived from t-cinnamic acid [31], we found that t-cinnamic acid was detected only in the stems at minimal levels (Figure 1(b) and Supplementary Table 1). Flavonoids were differentially taken up and transported long distances to distal tissues via cell-to-cell movement in Arabidopsis thaliana [32]. Thus, t-cinnamic acid as a precursor to other phenolic acids might move from the stem to other organs in Sophorae Radix. Among four flavonol compounds, rutin was detected at high levels in the roots and small leaves (261.03 ± 10.07 μg/g dry wt. and 352 ± 1.02 μg/g dry wt., resp.), while ML, LL, and F exhibited low levels of rutin (5.46 ± 0.15 μg/g dry wt., 6.3 ± 0.08 μg/g dry wt., and 4.8 ± 0.20 μg/g dry wt., resp.). Kaempferol was observed in the roots, stems, and flowers with a range of 2.23 ± 0.03 μg/g dry wt. (SS)~36.91 ± 7.82 μg/g dry wt. (R). Epicatechin occurred in the leaves and stems, where older leaves had a greater accumulation and younger stems had a lower accumulation. Catechin hydrate was present in stems alone and showed similar amounts among the SS, MS, and LS (Figure 1(b) and Supplementary Table 1). The isoflavone maackiain was detected in the roots at high levels (218.17 ± 22.04 μg/g dry wt.) and in the large leaves (23.59 ± 5.14 μg/g dry wt.). 32 phenolic compounds from the stems and leaves of Sophorae Radix by metabolite profiling and demonstrated that the composition of the roots and the aerial parts were significantly different [33]. Compared to the roots, the stems and leaves of Sophorae Radix possessed more isoflavonoids than prenylated flavonoids [6]. In the present study, the amounts of six phenolic acid compounds (t-cinnamic acid, p-coumaric acid, benzoic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, and chlorogenic acid) and four flavonoid compounds (kaempferol, catechin hydrate, epicatechin, and rutin) were 44.31-fold and 2.28-fold higher in aerial parts than roots, respectively. Isoflavonoid content (maackiain) was 9.24-fold higher in R than aerial parts significantly (Supplementary Table 1).

3.2. Candidate Genes Involved in Phenolic Compounds Biosynthesis of Sophorae Radix. The phenolic compounds biosynthetic pathway has been extensively characterized in plants (Figure 1(a)). According to the chemical structures of phenolic compounds, genes for the phenolic compounds biosynthesis pathway can be classified into four groups: phenylpropanoids, phenolic acids, flavonols, and isoflavones [34–36]. To identify putative genes involved in Sophorae Radix, we collected mRNA sequences of 21 phenolic compounds biosynthesis genes from Glycine max and Cicer arrietinum (Fabaceae), as well as Arabidopsis thaliana. We obtained a total of 41 transcripts as the phenolic compounds biosynthesis genes in Sophorae Radix using our internal transcriptome database of it. Multiple copies of the transcripts were detected for SfC4H, SfCOMT, SfCHR, SfFLS, SfIFR, SfJOMT, and SfI3′H (Supplementary Table 2), suggesting that Sophorae Radix uses multiple isoforms of these phenolic compounds biosynthesis genes and that its diverse phenolic compounds...
Figure 1: Continued.
Figure 1: (a) A schematic presentation of general flavonoid biosynthetic pathway. Multiple arrows indicate two or more steps in the pathway, and flavonoids that analyzed in this study are highlighted in red. PAL, phenylalanine ammonia lyase; CNL, cinnamoyl-CoA ligase; C4H, cinnamic acid 4-hydroxylase; C3H, p-coumaroyl ester 3-hydroxylase; COMT, caffeic acid 3-O-methyltransferase; 4CL, 4-coumaroyl-CoA ligase; CHS, chalcone synthase; CHR, chalcone reductase; CHI, chalcone isomerase; F3H, flavanone 3-hydroxylase; F3′H, flavanone 3′-hydroxylase; FLS, flavonol synthase; UFGT, UDP glucose: flavonoid-3-O-glucosyltransferase; FLS, flavonol synthase; DFR, dihydroflavonol 4-reductase; LAR, leucoanthocyanidin reductase; ANS, anthocyanidin synthase; ANR, anthocyanidin reductase; IFS, isoflavone synthase; IOMT, isoflavone O-methyltransferase; I3′H, isoflavone 3′-hydroxylase; I2′H, isoflavone 2′-hydroxylase. (b) Contents of flavonoid compound in roots, leaves, stems, and flowers of Sophorae Radix (ug/g dry weight). R, roots; SL, small leaves; ML, medium leaves; LL, large leaves; SS, small stems; MS, medium stems; LS, large stems; F, flowers.

levels in stems, and similar amounts were expressed in roots, leaves, and flowers. SfPAL was low in all organs, although higher expression levels were detected in SL, ML, and F (Figure 2(a)). A previous study reported that the expression of PAL and C4H in tea leaves were in accordance with the catechin concentration [38, 39]. However, transcript levels of SfPAL and SfC4H did not correlate to flavonoid contents in our results (Figures 1(b) and 2(a)). Phosphorylation of PAL in French bean [40] and ubiquitination of PAL by KFB proteins in Arabidopsis thaliana were discovered [33]. Conservation of the phosphorylation site in PAL from diverse species suggests that phosphorylation of PAL may be a ubiquitous regulatory mechanism in higher plants; therefore the expression of SfPAL and SfC4H might be regulated by phosphorylation and other posttranscriptional modifications.

We analyzed the expression patterns of five transcripts related to phenolic acid biosynthesis (Figure 2(b)). Very low SfCNL transcription levels were detected in leaves and SS, whereas SfC3H was expressed in leaves (highest in ML) and stems (highest in LS). Different expression levels in each organ suggested that they were specific for the biosynthesis of various phenolic acids in different organs. Among the three SfCOMT transcripts, SfCOMT_1 was predominantly



3.3. Expression Analysis of Phenolic Compounds Biosynthesis Genes in Different Organs and Developmental Stages of Sophorae Radix. To investigate the expression patterns of phenolic compounds biosynthesis genes, semiquantitative RT-PCR was performed in different organs of Sophorae Radix (roots, leaves, stems, and flowers) and during different developmental stages (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 1). The highest levels of SfPAL, the first enzyme in the phenylpropanoid biosynthetic pathway, were expressed in ML, while other organs expressed low levels (Figure 2(a)). Transcript levels of SfC4H and SfACL, the genes encoding central enzymes on the phenylpropanoid pathway, varied among organs and developmental stages. SfC4H_1 was expressed in roots, leaves, and stems; it decreased as leaves grew and increased as stems grew. The other transcript for SfC4H, SfC4H_2, was detected in all organs and expressed the highest level in SS. SfACL exhibited higher expression
Figure 2: Semiquantitative RT-PCR transcript analysis of the flavonoid biosynthesis genes in root, leaves, stems, and flower of Sophorae Radix. (a) Phenylpropanoid biosynthetic genes. (b) Phenolic acid biosynthetic genes. (c) Flavonoid biosynthetic genes. (d) Isoflavonoid biosynthetic genes. Transcript levels were determined after normalization with Actin11 as the reference gene. R, root; SL, small leaf; ML, medium leaf; LL, large leaf; SS, small stem; MS, medium stem; LS, large stem; F, flower.
expressed in all organs, except in LL that showed high transcription levels of \(SfCOMT_3\) (Figure 2(b)). \(SfCOMT_2\) exhibited the highest transcription level in R compared to the other organs, while \(SfCOMT_3\) was detected at higher levels in the leaves and flowers. The unique expression patterns of \(SfCOMT\) transcripts among organs could alter the accumulation pattern of phenolic acid compounds. It was reported that the reduced \(COMT\) expression contributed to lignin, \(p\)-coumaric acid, and ferulic acid content in maize [26]. However, such correlations were not detected in our results.

The 20 transcripts were identified (Figure 2(c)) as flavonoid biosynthesis genes from our in-house transcriptome data (in progress). The expression patterns of three \(SfCHS\) isoforms, the first enzyme specific for the flavonoid pathway, were distinguishable by organs or developmental stages. \(SfCHS\) transcripts were substantially present in ML and SS compared to other samples. \(SfCHS1\) and \(SfCHS3\) transcripts were most abundant in F and LL, respectively. Three homologs of \(SfCHR\) also showed distinct expression patterns compared to each other. R even exhibited all three transcript patterns. The expression of \(SfCHR_2\) was at a very low level compared to the other homologs in F. The leaves showed all three homolog expressions with decreased expression pattern by aging for \(SfCHR_1\) and \(SfCHR_3\) and vice versa for \(SfCHR_2\). In stems, \(SfCHR_1\) was expressed at very low levels; \(SfCHR_2\) showed the major expression especially in SS and the expression of \(SfCHR_3\) with lower level than in leaf was decreased by aging. Transcript levels of \(SfCHI1B1\) and \(SfCHI\), which catalyze the second step of the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway, were notably low in all organs except the roots. The \(SfCHI2A\) transcript was highly abundant in SL and ML compared to other organs (2.6- and 2.4-fold higher than in R), respectively. \(SfF3H\) and \(SfF3\) were highly expressed in R and LL, respectively. Of the four \(SfFLS\) transcripts, \(SfFLS_1\) and \(SfFLS_2\) were highly expressed in the roots and leaves, while \(SfFLS_3\) and \(SfFLS_4\) were highly expressed in the leaves alone. Overall, \(SfF3H\), \(SfF3\) and \(SfFLS\) transcripts were highly expressed in the roots and leaves (Figure 2(c)). As depicted in Figure 1(b), the rutin contents exist in high amounts in R and SL, implying that the accumulation of rutin may be related to the expression of these genes. The Arabidopsis thaliana genome contains five \(AtFLS\), and this redundancy was explained by \(Arabidopsis thaliana\) using multiple isoforms of \(FLS\) with different substrate specificities to mediate the production of flavonoids in a tissue-specific manner [41]. In the present study, the multiple isoforms of flavonoid biosynthesis genes in Sophorae Radix demonstrated organ-specific expression patterns, implying that they might have different physiological processes for biosynthesis depending on the organ. \(SfUGGT\) was highly expressed in LL and F. Transcript levels of \(SfDFR\) were high in F but low in other organs. Transcript levels of \(SfANS\) in SL and ML were highest among the flavonoid biosynthesis genes. In leaves, \(SfANS\) transcript levels increased as stem age increased and decreased as leaf age increased. Relatively high expression of \(SfLAR\) was detected in all organs (Figure 2(c)). A previous study reported that flavonoid biosynthetic genes were differentially regulated by the interaction of various transcription factors, such as \(TTZ\), \(TT8\), and \(TTG\), in \(Arabidopsis thaliana\) [42] and by the MYB-bHLH-WD40 transcription factors (MBW complex) in maize [43]. In the case of rice, \(OsCHII\) could interact physically with \(OsF3H\), \(OsF3\), \(OsDFR\), and \(OsANSI\) by forming a flavonoid multienzyme complex [44]. It has been also asserted that a protein-protein interaction between \(IFS\) and \(CAH\) could work as a tandem anchor that tethers the enzyme complex to the endoplasmic reticulum with \(CHS\), \(CHR\), and \(CHI\) in soybean [45]. The expression patterns of our selected genes in Sophorae Radix varied depending on the organ as well as the developmental stage. This implied that the genes must interact with other complexes and that they are spatially and temporally involved in the biosynthesis of various phenolic compounds.

Among the isoflavonoid biosynthesis genes of Sophorae Radix (Figure 2(d)), \(SfIFS\) was expressed at the highest levels in R, SL, ML, LL, and F but at lower levels in the stems, regardless of age. Of five \(SfIFR\) transcripts, the highest expression of \(SfIFR_1\) occurred in LL. \(SfIFR_2\) was the most abundantly expressed \(SfIFR\) transcript in SS, MS, LS, and F. Among the isoflavonoid biosynthesis genes, \(SfIFR_3\) was the most abundant in SL and ML. \(SfIFR_3\), \(SfIFR_4\), and \(SfIFR_5\) showed sufficient expression levels in SL and ML, implying that these genes might play a role in the biosynthesis of isoflavonoids in young leaves. \(SfIFR_6\) was the most abundant \(SfIFR\) transcript in R, and similar amounts of it were expressed in SL, ML, and LL. \(SfIOMT_1\) was predominantly expressed in SL and ML, while \(SfIOMT_2\) was expressed in high level in SL, ML, LL, and SS. Three \(SfIOMT\) transcripts were expressed, with \(SfIOMT_2\) as the predominant transcript in SL and ML. All three \(SfIOMT\) transcripts were rarely expressed in the stems or flowers (Figure 2(d)). These results suggested that genes in isoflavonoid biosynthesis pathway were mainly expressed in the roots and younger leaves.

4. Conclusions

In this study, 6 phenolic acid compounds, 4 flavonol compounds, and 1 isoflavone were evaluated in different organs and at different developmental stages of Sophorae Radix (\(Sophora flavescens\) Aiton). The composition of these compounds between the roots and aerial parts was significantly different. The total amounts of 6 phenolic acids (\(t\)-cinnamic acid, benzoic acid, \(p\)-coumaric acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, and chlorogenic acid) were 1.58-fold lower in the roots compared to the aerial parts. The total amounts of 4 flavonoids (kaempferol, catechin hydrate, epicatechin, and rutin) and 1 isoflavone (maackiain) were 1.34-fold higher in the roots than in the aerial parts. In particular, large amounts of rutin and maackiain were detected in the roots. The phenolic compounds biosynthesis genes in Sophorae Radix were identified and their expression patterns were examined in the roots, leaves, stems, and flowers. The multiple isoforms for the phenolic compounds biosynthesis genes were detected and those transcripts showed spatially and temporally specific expression patterns. These patterns could contribute to the diverse components of phenolic compounds in Sophorae Radix. Our results could be a stepping-stone for understanding
the varying compositions of phenolic compounds in different organs and developmental stages in Sophorae Radix. This knowledge could also aid in the identification of the major genes for large-scale production of valuable phenolic compounds in the functional food industry.
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Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Figure 1: relative level of the flavonoid biosynthesis genes in root, leaves, stems, and flower of Sophorae Radix. (a) Phenylpropanoid biosynthetic genes. (b) Phenolic acid biosynthetic genes. (c) Flavonoid biosynthetic genes. (d) Isolavonoid biosynthetic genes. Transcript levels were determined after normalization with Actin11 as the reference gene. Data are means of relative band intensities of three replicates and standard deviation (SD). R, root; SL, small leaf; ML, medium leaf; LL, large leaf; SS, small stem; MS, medium stem; LS, large stem; F, flower. Supplementary Table 1: flavonoids contents in different organs of Sophorae Radix (μg/g dry weight): R, root; SL, small leaves; ML, medium leaves; LL, large leaves; SS, small stems; MS, medium stems; LS, large stems; F, flowers. Supplementary Table 2: blast search results of flavonoid biosynthetic genes in Sophorae Radix. Supplementary Table 3: primers used in this study for semiquantitative RT-PCR of flavonoid biosynthetic genes. (Supplementary Materials)

References

[1] X. He, J. Fang, L. Huang, J. Wang, and X. Huang, “Sophora flavescens Ait.: traditional usage, phytochemistry and pharmacology of an important traditional Chinese medicine,” Journal of Ethnopharmacology, vol. 172, pp. 10–29, 2015.

[2] K. Bae, Medicinal Plants of Korea, K. Bae, Ed., Kyo-Hak Pub, Seoul, Republic of Korea, 2000.

[3] X.-L. Piao, X. S. Piao, S. W. Kim, J. H. Park, H. Y. Kim, and S.-Q. Cai, “Identification and characterization of antioxidants from Sophora flavescens,” Biological & Pharmaceutical Bulletin, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 1911–1915, 2006.

[4] J. Y. Ling, G. Y. Zhang, Z. J. Cui, and C. K. Zhang, “Supercritical fluid extraction of quinolizidine alkaloids from Sophora flavescens Ait. and purification by high-speed counter-current chromatography,” Journal of Chromatography A, vol. 1145, no. 1-2, pp. 123–127, 2007.

[5] M. Kuroyanagi, T. Arakawa, Y. Hirayama, and T. Hayashi, “Antibacterial and antiandrogen flavonoids from Sophora flavescens,” Journal of Natural Products, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 1595–1599, 1999.

[6] C. Zhang, Y.-M. Wang, F.-C. Zhao et al., "Phenolic metabolites from the stems and leaves of sophora flavescens," Helvetica Chimica Acta, vol. 97, no. 11, pp. 1516–1525, 2014.

[7] Y. C. Kim, H.-S. Kim, Y. Wataya et al., "Antimalarial activity of lavandulyl flavanones isolated from the roots of Sophora flavescens," Biological & Pharmaceutical Bulletin, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 748–750, 2004.

[8] L. Zhang, L. Xu, S.-S. Xiao et al., "Characterization of flavonoids in the extract of Sophora flavescens Ait. by high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with diode-array detector and electrospray ionization mass spectrometry," Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 1019–1028, 2007.

[9] H. Kim, M. R. Lee, G. S. Lee, W. G. An, and S. I. Cho, "Effect of Sophora flavescens Aiton extract on degranulation of mast cells and contact dermatitis induced by dinitrofluorobenzene in mice," Journal of Ethnopharmacology, vol. 142, no. 1, pp. 253–258, 2012.

[10] J. Lu, S. Ye, R. Qin, Y. Deng, and C.-P. Li, "Effect of Chinese herbal medicine extracts on cell-mediated immunity in a rat model of tuberculosis induced by multiple drug-resistant bacilli," Molecular Medicine Reports, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 227–232, 2013.

[11] W. Wang, R. L. You, W. J. Qin et al., "Anti-tumor activities of active ingredients in Compound Kushen Injection," Acta Pharmacologica Sinica, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 676–679, 2015.

[12] A. Ghasemzadeh and N. Ghasemzadeh, "Flavonoids and phenolic acids: role and biochemical activity in plants and human," Journal of Medicinal Plant Research, vol. 5, no. 31, pp. 6697–6703, 2011.

[13] S. S. Kang, J. S. Kim, K. H. Son, H. W. Chang, and H. P. Kim, "A new prenylated flavanone from the roots of Sophora flavescens," Fitoterapia, vol. 71, no. 5, pp. 511–515, 2000.

[14] S. Sato, J. Takeo, C. Aoyama, and H. Kawahara, "Na+-Glucose cotransporter (SGLT) inhibitory flavonoids from the roots of Sophora flavescens," Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 3445–3449, 2007.

[15] H. P. Bais, S.-W. Park, T. L. Weir, R. M. Callaway, and J. M. Vivanco, "How plants communicate using the underground information superhighway," Trends in Plant Science, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 26–32, 2004.

[16] D. Treutter, "Significance of flavonoids in plant resistance and enhancement of their biosynthesis," The Journal of Plant Biology, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 581–591, 2005.

[17] B. X. Hoang, D. G. Shaw, S. Levine, C. Hoang, and P. Pham, "New approach in asthma treatment using excitatory modulator," Phytotherapy Research, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 554–557, 2007.

[18] B. Weisshaar and G. I. Jenkinson, "Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis and its regulation," Current Opinion in Plant Biology, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 251–257, 1998.

[19] B. Winkel-Shirley, "Flavonoid biosynthesis. A colorful model for genetics, biochemistry, cell biology, and biotechnology," Plant Physiology, vol. 126, no. 2, pp. 485–493, 2001.

[20] E. I. Hwang, M. Kaneko, Y. Ohnishi, and S. Horinouchi, "Production of plant-specific flavanones by Escherichia coli containing an artificial gene cluster," Applied and Environmental Microbiology, vol. 69, no. 5, pp. 2699–2706, 2003.

[21] S. R. Muir, G. J. Collins, S. Robinson et al., "Overexpression of petunia chalcone isomerase in tomato results in fruit containing increased levels of flavonols," Nature Biotechnology, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 470–474, 2001.
22] E. G. W. M. Schijlen, C. H. R. De Vos, S. Martens et al., “RNA interference silencing of chalcone synthase, the first step in the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway, leads to parthenocarpic tomato fruits,” *Plant Physiology*, vol. 144, no. 3, pp. 1520–1530, 2007.

23] A. Bovy, E. Schijlen, and R. D. Hall, “Metabolic engineering of flavonoids in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum): The potential for metabolomics,” *Metabolomics*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 399–412, 2007.

24] M. Žuk, A. Kulma, L. Dyminańska et al., “Flavonoid engineering of flax potentiate its biotechnological application,” *BMC Biotechnology*, vol. 11, article 10, 2011.

25] S. Subramanian, M. Y. Graham, O. Yu, and T. L. Graham, “RNA interference of soybean isoflavone synthase genes leads to silencing in tissues distal to the transformation site and to enhanced susceptibility to Phytophthora sojae,” *Plant Physiology*, vol. 137, no. 4, pp. 1345–1353, 2005.

26] J. Piquemal, S. Chamayou, I. Nadaud et al., “Down-regulation of caffeic acid O-methyltransferase in maize revisited using a transgenic approach,” *Plant Physiology*, vol. 130, no. 4, pp. 1675–1685, 2002.

27] S.-J. Kim, I. S. M. Zaidul, T. Suzuki et al., “Comparison of phenolic compositions between common and tartary buckwheat (Fagopyrum) sprouts,” *Food Chemistry*, vol. 110, no. 4, pp. 814–820, 2008.

28] L. Paniwynk, E. Beaufoy, J. P. Lorimer, and T. J. Mason, “The extraction of rutin from flower buds of *Sophora japonica*,” *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 299–301, 2001.

29] Y. Qi, A. Sun, R. Liu, Z. Meng, and H. Xie, “Isolation and purification of flavonoid and isoflavonoid compounds from the pericarp of Sophora japonica L. by adsorption chromatography on 12% cross-linked agarose gel media,” *Journal of Chromatography A*, vol. 1140, no. 1-2, pp. 219–224, 2007.

30] Y. H. Lo, R. D. Lin, Y. P. Lin, Y. Liu, and M. Lee, “Active constituents from Sophora japonica exhibiting cellular tyrosinase inhibition in human epidermal melanocytes,” *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 124, no. 3, pp. 625–629, 2009.

31] M. E. Goleniowski, G. A. Bongiovanni, L. Palacio, C. O. Núñez, and J. I. Cantero, “Medicinal plants from the ‘Sierra de Comechingones’, Argentina,” *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 107, no. 3, pp. 324–341, 2006.

32] C. S. Buer, G. K. Muday, and M. A. Djordjevic, “Flavonoids are differentially taken up and transported long distances in Arabidopsis,” *Plant Physiology*, vol. 145, no. 2, pp. 478–490, 2007.

33] K. Zhang, K. Lu, C. Qu et al., “Gene Silencing of BnTT10 Family Genes Causes Retarded Pigmentation and Lignin Reduction in the Seed Coat of Brassica napus,” *Plants*, vol. 8, no. 4, Article ID e61247, 2013.

34] J. Bogs, A. Ebadi, D. McDavid, and S. P. Robinson, “Identification of the flavonoid hydroxylases from grapevine and their regulation during fruit development,” *Plant Physiology*, vol. 140, no. 1, pp. 279–291, 2006.

35] A. Nagamatsu, C. Masuta, M. Senda et al., “Functional analysis of soybean genes involved in flavonoid biosynthesis by virus-induced gene silencing,” *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 778–790, 2007.

36] S. D. Castellarin and G. Di Gaspero, “Transcriptional control of anthocyanin biosynthetic genes in extreme phenotypes for berry pigmentation of naturally occurring grapevines,” *BMC Plant Biology*, vol. 7, article no. 46, 2007.

37] U. Mathesius, G. Keijzers, S. H. A. Natera, J. J. Weinman, M. A. Djordjevic, and B. G. Rolfe, “Establishment of a root proteome reference map for the model legume Medicago truncatula using the expressed sequence tag database for peptide mass fingerprinting,” *Proteomics*, vol. 1, no. 11, pp. 1424–1440, 2001.

38] B. N. Singh, B. R. Singh, R. L. Singh, D. Prakash, B. K. Sarma, and H. B. Singh, “Antioxidant and anti-quorum sensing activities of green pod of *Acacia nilotica L.*,” *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 778–786, 2009.

39] H. Liu, J. Cao, and W. Jiang, “Evaluation and comparison of vitamin C, phenolic compounds, antioxidant properties and metal chelating activity of pulp and peel from selected peach cultivars,” *LWT- Food Science and Technology*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 1042–1048, 2015.

40] E. G. Allwood, D. R. Davies, C. Gerrish, B. E. Ellis, and G. P. Bolwell, “Phosphorylation of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase: Evidence for a novel protein kinase and identification of the phosphorylated residue,” *FEBS Letters*, vol. 457, no. 1, pp. 47–52, 1999.

41] D. K. Owens, A. B. Alerding, K. C. Crosby, A. B. Bandara, J. H. Westwood, and B. S. J. Winkel, “Functional analysis of a predicted flavonol synthase gene family in arabidopsis,” *Plant Physiology*, vol. 147, no. 3, pp. 1046–1061, 2008.

42] A. Baudry, M. A. Heim, B. Dubreucq, M. Caboche, B. Weisshaar, and L. Lepiniec, “TT2, TT8, and TTG1 synergistically specify the expression of BANYULS and proanthocyanidin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis thaliana,” *The Plant Journal*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 366–380, 2004.

43] E. Grotewohl, M. Chamberlin, M. Snook et al., “Engineering secondary metabolism in maize cells by ectopic expression of transcription factors,” *The Plant Cell*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 721–740, 1998.

44] C. H. Shih, H. Chu, L. K. Tang et al., “Functional characterization of key structural genes in rice flavonoid biosynthesis,” *Planta*, vol. 228, no. 6, pp. 1043–1054, 2008.

45] M. Dastmalchi, M. A. Bernards, and S. Dhaubhadel, “Twin anchors of the soybean isoflavonoid metabolon: Evidence for tethering of the complex to the endoplasmic reticulum by IFS and CAH,” *The Plant Journal*, vol. 85, no. 6, pp. 689–706, 2016.