Advanced Strategies to Tailor the Nucleation and Crystal Growth in Hybrid Halide Perovskite Thin Films
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Remarkable improvement in the perovskite solar cell efficiency from 3.8% in 2009 to 25.5% today has not been a cakewalk. The credit goes to various device fabrication and designing techniques employed by the researchers worldwide. Even after tremendous research in the field, phenomena such as ion migration, phase segregation, and spectral instability are not clearly understood to date. One of the widely used techniques for the mitigation of ion migration is to reduce the defect density by fabricating the high-quality perovskite thin films. Therefore, understanding and controlling the perovskite crystallization and growth have become inevitably crucial. Some of the latest methods attracting attention are controlling perovskite film morphology by modulating the coating substrate temperature, antisolvent treatment, and solvent engineering. Here, the latest techniques of morphology optimization are discussed, focusing on the process of nucleation and growth. It can be noted that during the process of nucleation, the supersaturation stage can be induced faster by modifying the chemical potential of the system. The tailoring of Gibbs free energy and, hence, the chemical potential using the highly utilized techniques is summarized in this minireview. The thermodynamics of the crystal growth, design, and orientation by changing several parameters is highlighted.
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INTRODUCTION

Hybrid halide perovskites (HHPs) have appeared in a supporting role as visible light sensitizers (Kojima et al., 2009) in the solar cell industry and have suddenly stolen the spotlight by emerging as an active material for high-efficiency solar cells (Heo et al., 2016; Zhao Y. et al., 2018, Zhao et al., 2018 B.). Perovskite solar cells (PSCs) have reached the efficiency of 25.5%, (NREL) which is equivalent to that of crystalline Si solar cells from merely 3.8% in 2009 (Kojima et al., 2009). This extraordinary success of these materials comes owing to their astonishing optoelectronic properties such as long charge carrier diffusion length (Zhang et al., 2017), large carrier lifetime (Wehrenfennig et al., 2014), high absorption coefficient (Chen et al., 2015), ambipolar charge transport (Srivastava and Bag, 2020), and tunable band gap (Atourki et al., 2016). However, such escalation in the efficiency of PSCs, in almost a decade, has not been a cakewalk. The credit goes to the researchers working around the globe employing various device fabrication and designing techniques. These techniques include optimizing the perovskite thin film morphology (Li et al., 2018), incorporating transport layers and interfacial engineering for better charge extraction and band alignment in device architecture (Liu et al., 2016; Srivastava et al., 2021b), band gap tuning of the perovskite active layer in order to match the absorption of the active layer with the AM 1.5 solar spectrum (Atourki et al., 2016), defect
passivation (Zheng et al., 2017), and trap elimination (Yu et al., 2018). Although there have been a huge scientific interest and attention in this field, scientists still need to reach a consensus on the exact reason behind such a phenomenal success of these materials even in the amorphous phase. Perovskites combine the properties of inorganic materials such as high photoluminescence quantum yield (Lin et al., 2018), long carrier diffusion lengths (Shi et al., 2015), high color purity to that with the properties of organic materials such as solution processibility (Rodriguez-Gutiérrez et al., 2018; Wang P. et al., 2019) at low temperature, and high production yield. Given that the HHP materials can be stabilized (Bag et al., 2015; Nie et al., 2016), these materials have the potential to disrupt the mature silicon solar cell market. Even though the efficiencies of the HHP-based solar cells and light-emitting diodes are reaching their peak values, there are still several phenomena such as ion migration (Zhang T. et al., 2019; Srivastava et al., 2021a), phase segregation (Samu et al., 2019), and spectral instability (Mondal et al., 2019), which are not fully understood to date.

Due to the interdependence of material properties, they are entangled in a greatly complex way. Major complexity arises due to ion migration which is the main reason for the degradation in perovskites. One of the highly used strategies to minimize ion migration is the reducing defect density in the crystal structure by fabricating the high-quality perovskite thin films. In this review, several reports focusing on understanding and controlling the crystallization process to achieve some best quality perovskite films are discussed. The perovskite film morphology is a key factor in deciding the carrier lifetime, the carrier diffusion lengths, and ultimately the device performance (Ko et al., 2015). For the high-performance perovskite solar cells, large grains with interconnected grain boundaries are needed, while for the high-efficiency light-emitting diodes, small grains with pinhole-free film morphology are essential. Therefore, it is crucial to understand the crystal growth and control in accordance with the application. Good perovskite film morphology does not always refer to a smooth, pinhole-free, and compact perovskite film but is also expected to have favorable interfacial electrical properties. Using the antisolvent treatment and controlling the dripping delay, Kumar et al. demonstrated that film morphology can be tuned by tuning the route of the crystal growth, and thus, both the smooth and the textured perovskite film can be designed accordingly (Kumar R. et al., 2020). These textured films show better charge injection/extraction properties at the perovskite/electron transport layer interface in the perovskite light-emitting diode as compared to the archetypical smooth films.

In the last decade, a vast amount of research to maximize the efficiency of the HHP-based devices is based on the optimization of the hybrid perovskite crystal design, size, and growth orientation. A plethora of strategies and methods have been used to obtain uniform, pinhole-free, full coverage, and smooth perovskite layers. These methods involve changing the perovskite precursor solution concentration and temperature, optimizing the coating parameters such as the spin speed and time, tuning the annealing temperature of thin films. In addition to these methods, new techniques such as composition (Kang and Park, 2019) and thermal engineering (Srivastava et al., 2018), additive engineering in the perovskite precursor solution (Tavakoli et al., 2019; Giuliano et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021), air-assisted drying (Ding et al., 2019), humidity tuning (Gangishetty et al., 2016), vapor-assisted annealing (Sheng et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2015; Karlsson et al., 2021), and surface passivation layer capping (Chen P. et al., 2018; Tavakoli et al., 2019; He et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2021) are also employed. To gain a deeper insight into this, we need to understand film optimization through the process of the crystal growth and design. Recently, three techniques which have gained attention and provided advanced mechanisms to optimize the film morphology by controlling the crystallization and growth mechanism are regulating the substrate temperature, antisolvent treatment, and solvent engineering. In this review, we focused on these latest advanced techniques for the controlled perovskite crystal growth and design. The basic model of nucleation and growth of the perovskite crystals during thin film fabrication is described. Latest articles and research on this topic will be reviewed and analyzed to summarize a generalized nucleation and growth model.

NUCLEATION AND GROWTH OF PEROVSKITE CRYSTALS

In any solution processable technique, such as spin coating, crystal growth can be considered as a two-step process; the first step is the nucleus formation (nucleation), and the second step is the growth of nuclei into larger crystals. The nucleation of crystals can be of two types: homogeneous and heterogeneous nucleation. Homogeneous nucleation occurs spontaneously without any external assistance within the solution. However, heterogeneous nucleation requires an external site to occur (e.g., colloidal particles in the solution and substrate surfaces). Both of these types of nucleation can occur in the solution on the colloidal particle sites. The produced nuclei can assemble into bulk clusters and grow into exaggerated film morphology when dropped on a substrate, leading to incompact films with incomplete coverage and a large number of defects. Therefore, nucleation on the substrate is the ideal approach for a high-quality perovskite film.

Nucleus formation on the substrate is initiated when the solution is supersaturated and the concentration of the precursor solution is sufficiently higher than its solubility. The solution in the supersaturated state is prerequisite to yield pure perovskite phases. This supersaturation stage can be achieved sooner by preconditioning the precursor or the coating substrate. One of the commonly used techniques to assist this process is the temperature treatment. The input of thermal energy facilitates a faster nucleation process, leading to uniform perovskite thin films. In addition, the intermediate phases or the perovskite precursor solvate (solvent–solute interaction) significantly influences the final perovskite film formation. These always occur in the thin film during the processing methods such as antisolvent treatment or solvent engineering.

Nucleation and growth are best understood in terms of chemical potential (\(\mu\)), which in the simplest term is the energy released or absorbed by the addition (removal) of a
particle into (from) temperature, which is the archetypical lab conditions for solution processing, the chemical potential ($\mu$) can be calculated from a thermodynamic potential called Gibbs free energy (G).

$$\mu = \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial N_i} \right)_{T,P,N_i,j},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The process of nucleation and growth can be tuned by regulating the chemical potential of the thermodynamic system. There are several thin film processing methods by which the chemical potential of the nucleic site can be altered. Few of the latest techniques being followed these days include the variation in the substrate or solvent temperature and variation in the rate of solvent extraction at the time of spin coating or by changing the vapor pressure of the ink, which have to be used in order to fabricate perovskite films. Here, we focused on how the crystallization kinetics is modulated and the crystals are designed to yield a high-quality perovskite thin film by changing the substrate temperature before film fabrication, antisolvent treatment of the film during spin coating, and solvent engineering of the precursor solution.

**CRYSTALLIZATION CONTROL BY SUBSTRATE TEMPERATURE TREATMENT**

We have already discussed earlier that the formation of perovskite crystals is a two-step process: nucleation and growth. In addition, during the complete process, the temperature plays a very significant role. The chemical potential ($\mu$) and, hence, Gibbs free energy (G) of the system can be significantly tuned by modulating the temperature of the process. The temperature of the system can be changed either during nucleation or during growth. The fabrication of the perovskite thin films using spin coating involves mainly two steps. The first is spin coating the precursor solution onto the substrate (nucleation) and annealing the coated substrate at an optimized temperature (growth). In this article, we focused on how the morphology of the perovskite thin film can be tuned by playing with the process of nucleation of the perovskite crystals. The process of nucleation can be controlled either by changing the temperature of the precursor solution before spin coating or preheating the substrate. The technique of preheating the substrate before coating the perovskite layer has been explored recently. It is one of the latest advanced strategies used to modulate the mechanism of crystal growth and, hence, the crystal design. There have been few studies on the effect of substrate temperature on the morphology of the perovskite film. Among some of the earlier studies is a report by Tidhar et al. (2014) in which the SEM images of methylammonium lead bromide (MAPbBr$_3$) films coated on substrates with different temperatures were examined. It was noted that preheating the substrate affects the degree of surface-induced nucleation. With the increase in temperature, the number of nucleation events increased, leading to a larger number of smaller crystals and hence better coverage. In another study, Zheng et al. demonstrated the thermally induced Volmer–Weber growth mechanism during the hot casting of methylammonium lead iodide (MAPbI$_3$) perovskite films (Zheng et al., 2015). In this report, the partially coated perovskite thin film transforms from the branch-shaped morphology to island-shaped and then finally evolves to a full coverage film with densely packed islands with the increase in the casting temperature. It is suggested that the thermally directed thermodynamics of the crystalline perovskite film formation decides the morphology and film growth mode. Later, Nie et al. demonstrated a solution-based hot-casting technique to grow pinhole-free and continuous perovskite thin films with the crystalline grains of a millimeter scale, resulting in hysteresis-free solar cells with efficiencies approaching 18% (Nie et al., 2015). Figure 1 shows the schematic representation of the hot-casting technique used, which involves the casting of a hot (~70°C) mixture of lead iodide (PbI$_2$) and methylammonium chloride (MACl) solution onto the substrate maintained at temperature of up to 180°C followed by a subsequent spin coating of the precursor. They have attributed that the excess solvent present on the substrate at a temperature above the crystallization temperature for the formation of the perovskite phase allows the prolonged growth of the perovskite crystals and hence yielding large crystalline grains.

In 2018, Srivastava et al. described the underlying theory of crystallization thermodynamics altered by the preheating temperature which leads to a significant change in the film morphology (Srivastava et al., 2018). In a single-step deposition process of the perovskite film, when the precursor is spin coated on the substrate, phase transformation takes place from the liquid (L) to the crystalline (β) phase. The variation of free energy for the two phases as a function of temperature is shown in Figure 2A. The value of $\Delta G$ is zero at the equilibrium melting point $T_m$. Above $T_m$, $\Delta G > 0$ is not favorable for spontaneous transformation, whereas below $T_m$, $\Delta G < 0$ favors spontaneous phase transformation. In this study, the perovskite film was coated on the substrates preheated to five temperatures below $T_m$ ($T_m$ for MAPbI$_3$ = 120°C).

Once the condition for spontaneous phase transformation is satisfied, homogeneous nucleation with the nucleating particle of a critical radius is preferred for the uniform and full coverage films. The rate of nucleation ($I$) is given by the product of the number of critical sized particles ($N^*$) and the frequency at which they become supercritical, i.e., the frequency at which they cross the interface to join the product phase ($\nu'$), as given by the equation (Raghavan, 2011)

$$I = N^* \nu' = N_i s^* \exp \left( - \frac{\Delta f^* + \Delta H_d}{RT} \right),$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $N_i$ is the number density of atoms in the parent phase, $s^*$ is the number of atoms in the parent phase facing the critical sized particles, $\nu$ is the lattice vibration frequency, $\Delta f^*$ is the free energy change for the formation of a spherical particle with critical radius $r^*$, $\Delta H_d$ is the activation energy for the diffusion across the interface, $R$ is the gas constant, and $T$ is the temperature of the transformation. The temperature dependance of the nucleation
rate \((I)\) according to this equation is shown in Figure 2A. It shows that the nucleation rate is maximum at temperature just below the \(T_m\), which agrees with the observed results. It was found that the perovskite film coated on the substrate with a preheating temperature of 100°C has an optimum morphology for the high open-circuit voltage and uniform charge and ion diffusion across the interface. Moreover, the dependence of the growth rate \((U)\) with the temperature reveals that the growth of the nucleated particles is maximum at a temperature just below the maximum nucleation temperature. Hence, in this study, the films were annealed at the temperature of 100°C for 10 min. This study suggests that the mechanism of fast nucleation followed by delayed growth is the best method to obtain high-quality perovskite films (Figure 2). A similar observation was also noted by Li et al. in their study of effect of substrate temperature on the microstructure of PbI₂ films (Li et al., 2020). They reported that increasing the processing substrate temperature eliminates the larger voids in the films leading to uniform films with distributed surface pores. This is attributed to the enhanced solvent evaporation rates, which reduces solvent dewetting at the substrate and increases the nucleation rates of PbI₂ crystals to yield more uniform and homogeneous films.

The temperature-assisted nucleation of the perovskite crystals was also utilized for the fabrication of large-area perovskite films using a solution shearing technique by Shin and group (Kim et al., 2018). They reported that with the increase in the substrate temperature, the evaporation rate and, hence, supersaturation increases drastically, and this allows the system to bypass the intermediate solvent states leading to the direct formation of granules. A similar observation was also made by Zhang H. et al. (2019). They reported that the color of the freshly prepared perovskite film changes from reddish brown to charcoal with an increase in temperature. The exhibited red color is considered as an intermediated phase, and hence, the portion of the

**FIGURE 1** Processing scheme for the perovskite thin film using hot-casting methods and observations for a large-area millimeter-scale crystal grain formation for a perovskite \([\text{PbCH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{I}_3-x\text{Cl}_x]\)-based thin film. (A) Hot-casting scheme for a large-area crystal growth \([\text{ITO, indium tin oxide; FTO, fluorine-doped tin oxide; PEDOT:PSS, poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate}]. \) (B) Optical micrographs illustrating the grain formation as a function of the substrate temperature with the casting solution maintained at 70°C. (C) Large-area grain formation using the casting solvents with high boiling points. (D) Comparison of the grain size as a function of the processing temperature obtained for the hot-casting and conventional post-annealing methods. Reproduced with permission (Nie et al., 2015). Copyright 2015, American Association for the Advancement of Science.
intermediate phase drops as the substrate temperature increases. They claimed that the fresh perovskite films coated at a low substrate temperature have a pure intermediate phase with a uniform dendritic structure which transforms into smooth cubic phase films after annealing. However, in the films coated at higher substrate temperature, the δ phase begins to appear along with the intermediate phase. As a result, the morphology of the fresh perovskite films changes to the coexisting dendritic and island-like structure. After annealing, the original island morphology still remains and leads to a rough surface.

In contrast to the fabricating perovskite thin films on the preheated substrates, Wang et al. developed a new method of spin coating the perovskite films on frozen substrates to control the position of the nuclei and the crystal growth orientation simultaneously (Wang G. et al., 2019). They introduced a new method called the nuclei position-control and growth-guidance (NPCG) method to obtain the high-quality perovskite films co-evaporated p-i-n perovskite solar cell efficiency is investigated (Roß et al., 2020). They found that the films co-deposited on the colder substrate (−2°C) exhibited larger micrometer-sized crystals, while the films fabricated on the substrate at RT (23°C) only produced grains of 100 nm extent. They reported that the substrate temperature directly affects the adsorption rate of MAI and thus impacts the crystal formation kinetics. In another study by Marcel et al., the impact of the substrate temperature on the co-evaporated p-i-n perovskite solar cell efficiency is investigated (Roß et al., 2020).

They analyzed the evaporation of pure precursor materials MAI and PbI₂ and reported that the adhesion of MAI is significantly decreased at the elevated substrate temperature, while it remains almost unaltered for PbI₂. This substrate temperature-dependent adhesion behavior of MAI highly influences the co-evaporation process and directly affects the perovskite composition in the film. They reported that the optimal substrate temperature window for the perovskite deposition is close to the room temperature. They demonstrated that at high temperature, even very high MAI...
rates cannot incorporate enough MAI for precise stoichiometry. While at low temperatures (\(<-25^\circ\text{C}\)), the conversion of MAI with PbI$_2$ is inhibited, leading to the formation of the unreacted amorphous film. Therefore, tailoring of the optimum substrate temperature for both spin coating (Ko et al., 2015; Chen J. et al., 2018) and vacuum co-evaporation (Parveen et al., 2020; Ghosh and Giri, 2021) is important to obtain the high-quality hybrid perovskite thin films with low defect density and required grain size.

**CRYSTALLIZATION CONTROL BY ANTISOLVENT TREATMENT**

Crystallization in the perovskite films can be controlled by the fast extraction of solvent with the help of an antisolvent. A fluid (liquid, vapor, or supercritical gas) can work as an antisolvent, given that it is miscible with the solvent of the perovskite ink; at the same time, perovskite precursors are expected to show poor miscibility with the antisolvent. The antisolvent treatment method helps the perovskite ink to reach the supersaturation state and form the nucleus sites. Formation of nuclei is governed by the variation in Gibbs free energy (G). For homogeneous nucleation, change in the Gibbs free energy is given by the following equation (Kumar et al., 2020a)

$$\Delta G = \Delta G_s + \Delta G_v = 4\pi r^2 \gamma + \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3 \Delta G_v,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\gamma$ is the interfacial energy or surface energy between the supersaturated solution and crystalline surface, and $\Delta G_v$ is the bulk free energy per unit volume. From here, the critical free energy and critical nuclei radius can be estimated as (Lee et al., 2018)

$$\Delta G^* = \frac{16\pi r^2 \gamma}{3(\Delta G_v)^2}; \quad r^* = \frac{2\gamma}{\Delta G_v},$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

To achieve the system with stable nuclei for further growth, the critical Gibbs free energy and critical radius of the nuclei need to
be reduced. This requires a decrease in the surface energy between the supersaturated solution and the crystalline surface. The addition of additives such as benzylamine (PMA) in a/an solvent/antisolvent is known to decrease the surface energy (γ) and help in achieving uniform, compact, and small grain-sized perovskite films suitable for the high-performance light-emitting diodes (LEDs) (Lee et al., 2018).

Maxima in the Gibbs free energy can be considered as the starting point of phase transformation from a liquid to solid phase. Therefore, G_max corresponds to the equalization of chemical potentials of liquid and nucleus sites. Now, the role of the antisolvent treatment is to increase the chemical potential of the nucleus site and cause a positive gradient in the μ–n curve, which is the characteristic signature of Frank–van der Merwe (FM) growth. Thus, by tuning between the Volmer–Weber (VW) and FM growth, we controlled the crystallization dynamics to achieve the required film morphology. The volume of the antisolvent, dripping delay in antisolvent treatment, and the rate of pouring of the antisolvent can tune the perovskite film morphology by controlling the chemical potential of the nucleus sites. Kumar et al. used antisolvent dripping delay to achieve textured film morphology (Kumar et al., 2020). They found that the textured films take the Stranski–Krasnov (SK) growth kinetics to present micro islands on top of the smooth film. SK growth in the solution processable techniques is the combination of a highly stable Volmer–Weber (VW) growth mode and poorly stable Frank–van der Merwe (FM) growth mode. VW growth is characterized by the negative slope of μ_n, which indicates a strong adatom–adatom interaction, whereas a positive differential of μ_n implies a strong adatom–surface interaction, and it is responsible for the FM growth mode, as shown in Figure 4A. Therefore, SK growth can be seen as a positive derivative of the μ–n curve followed by a negative differential of μ afterward (Figure 4D, highlighted regions). The perovskite film was found to be decorated by the formation of micro islands with the base diameter in the range of 1–2 μm in size. The textured perovskite film shows improved photoluminescence, with an improved charge injection, and light outcoupling. The antisolvent dripping delay method can be utilized to prepare both the textured and smooth films by optimizing the dripping delay in an antisolvent treatment (Kumar et al., 2020). Vaynzof et al. have demonstrated the effect of the antisolvent application rate on the photovoltaic performance of the perovskite solar cells. They utilized six different antisolvents—ethyl acetate (EA), butyl acetate (BA), diethyl ether (DEE), ethanol (EtOH), chlorobenzene (CB), and 1,2-dichlorobenzene (DCB)—to test the effect of the application rate of an antisolvent. They found that the slow application (low rate of antisolvent dripping) of the antisolvent results in high-quality uniform films, leading to device efficiencies reaching more than 20%, whereas the fast application of the antisolvent results in incomplete coverage films and no functional perovskite solar cells when BA or DCB or DEE was utilized. On the other hand, EA, CB, or EtOH only show a slight decrease in the photovoltaic performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021). In the precipitation test of A site molecule in a mixture of solvent and antisolvent mixtures, the antisolvents which resulted in only a slight decrease in the PV performance (An et al., 2021).
separation between dimethylformamide: dimethyl sulfoxide (DMF: DMSO) and DEE is observed, which indicates the poor miscibility of the antisolvent with the solvents for the perovskite ink (Figure 5C).

Recently, Jeong et al. achieved 25.6% power conversion efficiency in the perovskite solar cell using antisolvent treatment along with pseudo-halide anion engineering. They utilized diethyl as the antisolvent to the perovskite precursors dissolved in a mixture of DMF and DMSO (4:1) (Jeong et al., 2021). Although, the antisolvent treatment has resulted in the best performing devices, the commonly used antisolvents such as toluene, chlorobenzene (CB), and dichloromethane (DCM) are found to contaminate the drinking water due to their high toxicity level. Therefore, to scale up the production of the perovskite-based devices, we need to look forward to the eco-friendly antisolvents such as methoxybenzene (PhOMe) and Tert-butyl alcohol (tBuOH). Zhang et al. compared the performance of CB-treated and PhOMe-treated perovskite solar cells. They found that the perovskite solar cells prepared by PhOMe antisolvent treatment reached 19.42% PCE which is even better than CB processed PSCs (19.02%). They found that PhOMe treatment results in a larger grain size with reduced grain boundaries and defect density as compared to the CB-treated PSCs (Zhang et al., 2018). Similarly, Kim et al. used tBuOH as an alternative to the highly toxic antisolvents in a fully roll-to-roll processed PSCs (all layers except the electrodes) and achieved 19.1% for the gravure-printed flexible PSCs using tBuOH; they also achieved 23.5% PCE for glass-based spin coated PSCs. Application of tert-butyl alcohol: ethyl acetate (tBuOH:EA) can extract DMF/DMSO without dispersing formamidinium lead iodide (FAPbI3) or dissolving FAI. The strong coordination of tBuOH:EA makes this possible (Kim et al., 2020). Antisolvent treatment has also been very fruitful in achieving >20% external quantum efficiencies in the perovskite light-emitting diodes, Lin et al. fabricated the visible light-emitting perovskite LED, with EQE 20.3%; in his work they utilized toluene as the antisolvent for fabricating the high-performance perovskite LEDs (Lin et al., 2018).

In a comparative study of different antisolvents, Jung et al. found that a nonpolar antisolvent such as chlorobenzene (CB) or o-dichlorobenzene (o-CB) with a larger dipole moment, as compared to toluene, can induce the liquid to a crystalline phase transformation instantaneously via bypassing the intermediate phase (CH3NH3I–PbI2–DMSO) (Figure 6) (Jung et al., 2014). The intermediate phase is generally observed when toluene is used as an antisolvent. Using toluene as an antisolvent leads to produce larger grain size as compared to the grain size obtained by the antisolvents such as CB or o-CB. Therefore, using antisolvents with larger dipole moments can result in small grain-sized films with compact, pinhole-free perovskite film morphology suitable for fabrication of the perovskite LEDs; on the other hand, the antisolvents with a low dipole moment can be
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Kumar et al. (2021) investigated the effect of the solvent ratio in a binary solvent system. They found that 20–40% of DMF or GBL mixture in DMSO resulted in a non-optimized morphology (Cai et al., 2015). In this method, DMSO plays a dual role of a solvent and also that of a coordinating agent to form a stable intermediate abduct, whereas DMF and/or GBL acts as solvent only. As a result, for the optimized perovskite, Fang et al. tested the performance of dimethylacetamide (DMAc)/N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) cosolvent-based perovskite solar cells and DMAc/DMSO cosolvent-based devices. The PCE of devices fabricated by the DMAc/NMP cosolvent was found to be 17.38%, which is of 10% improved efficiency as compared to the devices fabricated by DMAc/DMSO cosolvents (Fang et al., 2017). They also found that the devices fabricated by the DMAc/NMP cosolvent system reached the PCE of 17.09% without the need of thermal annealing, thus indicating that a proper selection of solvents can significantly enhance the performance of perovskite solar cells.
of the cosolvent system can lead to device fabrication at low temperatures as low as room temperature.

Solvent Additive Method

Solvent additives can be used to optimize the surface energy of the solvent, and thus, according to Eq 4, the role of the solvent additives is to control the critical Gibbs free energy of nucleation and critical nuclei radius. Giuliano et al. demonstrated that the addition of α-terpineol into the perovskite precursor solution can improve the crystallinity, uniformity, and photovoltaic performance (Giuliano et al., 2021). In another study, Zhang et al. studied several classes of solvents to improve the interfacial contact between the active perovskite layer and nonwetting HTL layer. In this study, on the effect of solvent additive, four different types of solvent additives were added into the perovskite precursor solution. They found that the contact angles for ethyl acetate (EA), chlorobenzene (CB), 2-butanol, and H₂O-added solutions showed the contact angles (with precursor) of 19.2°, 22.4°, 21.6°, and 47.4°, respectively. The surface coverage for the controlled samples (without any solvent additive) was found to be around 20–30% only. Surface coverage for EA and CB additives was improved to more than 95% (Zhang et al., 2021). They found a positive correlation between the contact angle and grain size obtained by adding various solvent additives. Although H₂O as a solvent additive yielded large grain sizes suitable for the solar cell application, however, poor film coverage remains a challenge. Therefore, they explored the effect of H₂O + CB as a mixed additive to combine the advantage of excellent film coverage along with the larger grain size. By optimizing the ratio of CB and H₂O, they achieved the champion cell efficiency of 22.1% with a mixed-cation perovskite (FA₀.₈₃Cs₀.₀₇MA₀.₁₃PbI₂.₆₄Br₀.₃₉) stoichiometry.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have reviewed the latest, advanced strategies to control the perovskite crystal growth in fabricating the high-quality hybrid halide perovskite thin films, suitable for optoelectronic devices. It has been found that the key parameter deciding the nucleation and subsequent growth of the nucleated particles is the Gibbs free energy. We mainly focused on the three most popular strategies, namely, substrate temperature treatment, antisolvent treatment, and cosolvent engineering. We found that these techniques are found to be highly effective in controlling the film morphology and obtaining the high-performance perovskite solar cells and perovskite light-emitting diodes. The condition for the nucleus formation is to achieve the supersaturation of the perovskite precursor solution,
either by heat treatment or via solvent extraction by an antisolvent treatment. Preheating the substrate can affect surface-induced nucleation and control the crystal growth. It has been observed that the formation of uniform pinhole-free perovskite films can be realized by elevating the substrate temperature close to the equilibrium melting point ($T_m$). However, only those substrate temperatures below $T_m$ are thermodynamically favorable. The temperature-dependent growth rate reveals that the growth of the nucleated particles is maximum when the substrate temperature is kept closer to $T_m$. The chemical potential of the nucleic site can be tuned in order to play a significant role in improving the crystallization process; a slow rate of antisolvent dripping is found to result in better quality perovskite films and solar cells. Apart from this, the solvent boiling point, coordination affinity, and dipole strength also play a significant role in deciding the ultimate film morphology, defect density, and charge transfer resistance. Solvents with a higher coordination affinity such as DMSO help to slow down the crystal growth rate and achieve the larger sized grains suitable for the perovskite solar cells. An optimized ratio of the low and high coordination affinity solvent can help to control the grain size and film morphology in accordance with the application.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

MB conceptualized the problem and JK and PS executed the experiment and wrote the manuscript.

**FUNDING**

This work was supported by the Department of Science and Technology, India, under the award no. DST/INT/SWD/VR/P-13/2019 dated 14/12/2020.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

MB acknowledges the Department of Science and Technology, India, under the award no. DST/INT/SWD/VR/P-13/2019 dated 14/12/2020 for partial support. PS and JK acknowledges the Ministry of Education, Government of India for a PhD fellowship.

**REFERENCES**

An, Q., Vieler, L., Goetz, K. P., Telschow, O., Hoftetter, Y. J., Buschbeck, R., et al. (2021). Effect of Antisolvent Application Rate on Film Formation and Photovoltaic Performance of Methylammonium-Free Perovskite Solar Cells. *Adv. Energ. Sustain. Res.* 2, 2100061. doi:10.1002/aers.202100061

Atourki, L., Vega, E., Mari, B., Mollar, M., Ait Ahsaine, H., Bouabid, K., et al. (2016). Role of the Chemical Substitution on the Structural and Luminescence Properties of the Mixed Halide Perovskite Thin MAPbI$_3$-xBrx (0 ≤ x ≤ 1) Films. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 371, 112–117. doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2016.02.207

Author Anonymous (n d). NREL Perovskite Efficiency Chart. Natl. Renew. Energy Lab.

Bag, M., Ren, L. A., Adhikari, R. Y., Karak, S., Liu, F., Lahti, P. M., et al. (2015). Kinetics of Ion Transport in Perovskite Active Layers and its Implications for Active Layer Stability. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 137, 13130–13137. doi:10.1021/jacs.5b08535

Cai, B., Zhang, W.-H., and Qiu, J. (2015). Solvent Engineering of Spin-Coating Solutions for Planar-Structured High-Efficiency Perovskite Solar Cells. *Chin. J. Catal.* 36, 1183–1190. doi:10.1016/S1872-2067(15)60925-9

Chen, J., Zuo, L., Zhang, Y., Lian, X., Fu, W., Yan, J., et al. (2018a). High-Performance Thickness Insensitive Perovskite Solar Cells with Enhanced Moisture Stability. *Adv. Energ. Mater.* 8, 1800438. doi:10.1002/aenm.201800438

Chen, P., Bai, Y., Wang, S., Lyu, M., Yun, J. H., and Wang, L. (2018b). In Situ Growth of 2D Perovskite Capping Layer for Stable and Efficient Perovskite Solar Cells. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 28, 1–10. doi:10.1002/adfm.201706923

Chen, Q., De Marco, N., Yang, Y., (Michael), Song, T.-B., Chen, C.-C., et al. (2015). Under the Spotlight: The Organic-Inorganic Hybrid Halide Perovskite for Optoelectronic Applications. *Nano Today* 10, 355–396. doi:10.1016/j.nantod.2015.04.009

Ding, J., Han, Q., Ge, Q. Q., Xue, D. J., Ma, J. Y., Zhao, B. Y., et al. (2019). Fully Air-Bladed High-Efficiency Perovskite Photovoltaics. * Joule* 3, 402–416. doi:10.1016/j.joule.2018.10.025

Fang, X., Wu, Y., Lu, Y., Sun, Y., Zhang, S., Zhang, J., et al. (2017). Annealing-Free Perovskite Films Based on Solvent Engineering for Efficient Solar Cells. *J. Mater. Chem. C* 5, 842–847. doi:10.1039/c6tc04944c

Gangishetty, M. K., Scott, R. W. J., and Kelly, T. L. (2016). Effect of Relative Humidity on Crystal Growth, Device Performance and Hysteresis in Planar Heterojunction Perovskite Solar Cells. *Nanoscale* 8, 6303–6307. doi:10.1039/c5nr04179a

Ghosh, J., and Giri, P. K. (2021). Vacuum Deposited PbI$_2$ Film Grown at Elevated Temperatures for Improved Efficiency of CH3NH3PbI$_3$ Based Planar Perovskite Solar Cells. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 139, 111255. doi:10.1016/j.materresbull.2021.111255

Giuliano, G., Bonasera, A., Scopelliti, M., Chilliura Martinino, D., Fiore, T., and Pignaturo, B. (2021). Boosting the Performance of One-Step Solution-Processed Perovskite Solar Cells Using a Natural Monoterpene Alcohol as a Green Solvent Additive. *ACS Appl. Electron. Mater.* 3, 1813–1825. doi:10.1021/acsaelm.1c00084

He, M., Liang, J., Zhang, Z., Qiu, Y., Deng, Z., Xu, H., et al. (2020). Compositional Optimization of a 2D-3D Heterojunction Interface for 22.6% Efficient and Stable Planar Perovskite Solar Cells. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 8, 25831–25841. doi:10.1039/d0ta09209f

Heo, J. H., Lee, M. H., Han, H. J., Patil, B. R., Yu, J. S., and Im, S. H. (2016). Highly Efficient Low Temperature Solution Processable Planar Type CH3NH3PbI$_3$ Perovskite Flexible Solar Cells. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 4, 1572–1578. doi:10.1039/c5ta09520d

Jeong, J., Kim, M., Seo, J., Lu, H., Ashlay, M., Mishra, A., et al. (2021). Pseudo-Halide Anion Engineering for a-FAPbI$_3$ Perovskite Solar Cells. *Nature* 592, 381–385. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03406-5

Jung, J. W., Williams, S. T., and Jen, A. K.-Y. (2014). Low-Temperature Processed High-Efficiency Flexible Perovskite Solar Cells via Rationally Optimized Solvent Washing Treatments. *RSC Adv.* 4, 62971–62977. doi:10.1039/c4ra13212b

Kang, D. H., and Park, N. G. (2019). On the Current-Voltage Hysteresis in Perovskite Solar Cells: Dependence on Perovskite Composition and Solvent Washing Treatments. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 31, 1805214. doi:10.1002/adma.201805214

Karlsson, M., Yi, Z., Reichert, S., Luo, X., Lin, W., Zhang, Z., et al. (2021). Fully Air-Bled High-Efficiency Perovskite Photovoltaics. *Joule* 5, 117. doi:10.1016/j.joule.2020.08.009

Kumar et al. Nucleation and Crystal Growth in Halide Perovskite
Kim, M. K., Lee, H. S., Pae, S. R., Kim, D.-J., Lee, J.-Y., Gereige, I., et al. (2018). Effects of Temperature and Coating Speed on the Morphology of Solution-Processed Halide Perovskite Thin-Films. J. Mater. Chem. A. 6, 24911–24919. doi:10.1039/C8TA08565J
Kim, Y. Y., Yang, T. Y., Suohon, R., Kemppainen, A., Hwang, K., Jeon, N. J., et al. (2020). Roll-to-Roll Gravure-Printed Flexible Perovskite Solar Cells Using Eco-Friendly Antisolvent Bathing with Wide Processing Window. Nat. Commun. 11, 1–11. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18940-5
Ko, H. S., Lee, J. W., and Park, N. G. (2015). 15.76% Efficiency Perovskite Solar Cells Prepared under High Relative Humidity: Importance of PbI2 Morphology in Two-Step Deposition of CH3NH3PbI3. J. Mater. Chem. A. 3, 8808–8815. doi:10.1039/C5TA00658a
Kojima, A., Teshima, K., Shirai, Y., and Miyasaka, T. (2009). Organometal Halide Perovskites as Visible-Light Sensitizers for Photovoltaic Cells. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 6050–6051. doi:10.1021/ja809598r
Kumar, J., Kumar, R., Frohna, K., Moghe, D., Bag, M., Kumar, R., et al. (2020). Unraveling Antisolvent Dripping Delay Effect on Stranski Krastanov Growth of CH3NH3PbBr3 Thin Films: A Facile Route for Preparing Textured Morphology with Improved Opto-electronic Properties. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 22, 26592–26604. doi:10.1039/D0CP05467D
Kumar, J., Kumar, R., Frohna, K., Moghe, D., Stranka, S. D., and Bag, M. (2020a). Unraveling Antisolvent Dripping Delay Effect on Stranski Krastanov Growth of CH3NH3PbI3 Thin Films: A Facile Route for Preparing Textured Morphology with Improved Opto-electronic Properties. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 22, 26592–26604. doi:10.1039/D0CP05467D
Kumar, R., Kumar, J., Srivastava, P., Moghe, D., Kabra, D., and Bag, M. (2020b). Unveiling the Morphology Effect on the Negative Capacitance and Large Ideality Factor in Perovskite Light-Emitting Diodes. ACS Appl. Mater. Inter. 12, 34265–34273. doi:10.1021/acsami.0c04489
Lee, D.-K., and Park, N.-G. (2021). Materials and Methods for High-Efficiency Perovskite Solar Modules. Sol. RRL. 6, 2100455. doi:10.1002/solr.202100455
Lee, S., Park, J. H., Nam, Y. S., Lee, B. R., Zhao, B., Di Nuzzo, D., et al. (2018). Growth of Nanosized Single Crystals for Efficient Perovskite Light-Emitting Diodes. Nano Lett. 22, 3417–3423. doi:10.1021/acs.nanolett.7b09148
Li, B., Shi, J., Lu, J., Tan, W. L., Yin, W., Sun, J., et al. (2020). Facile Deposition of Mesoporous PbI2through DMF:DMSO Solvent Engineering for Sequentially Deposited Metal Halide Perovskites. ACS Appl. Energ. Mater. 3, 3358–3368. doi:10.1021/acsaeem.201902391
Li, Y., Ji, L., Liu, R., Zhang, C., Mak, C. H., Zou, X., et al. (2018). A Review on Morphology Engineering for Highly Efficient and Stable Hybrid Perovskite Solar Cells. J. Mater. Chem. A. 6, 12845–12875. doi:10.1039/C5TA04120B
Lin, K., Xing, J., Quan, L. N., de Arquer, F. P., Gong, X., Lu, J., et al. (2018). Perovskite Light-Emitting Diodes with External Quantum Efficiency Exceeding 20 Per Cent. Nature 562, 245–248. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0575-3
Liu, Y., Bag, M., Renna, L. A., Page, Z. A., Kim, P., Emrick, T., et al. (2016). Understanding Interface Engineering for High-Performance Fulleren/Perovskite Planar Heterojunction Solar Cells. Adv. Energ. Mater. 6, 1501606. doi:10.1002/aenm.201501606
Lohmann, K. B., Patel, J. B., Rothmann, M. U., Xia, C. Q., Oliver, R. D. J., and Herz, L. M. (2014). High Charge Carrier Mobilities and Lifetimes in Organolead Trihalide Perovskite Solar Cells. Adv. Funct. Mater. 24, 4798–4804. doi:10.1002/adfm.201400556a
Mondal, N., De, A., and Samanta, A. (2019). Achieving Near-Unity Photoluminescence Efficiency for Blue-Violet-Emitting Perovskite Nanocrystals. ACS Energ. Lett. 4, 32–39. doi:10.1021/acsenergylett.8b01909
Nie, W., Blancon, J.-C., Neukirch, A. J., Appavoo, K., Tsai, H., Chhowalla, M., et al. (2016). Light-Activated Photocurrent Degradation and Self-Healing in Perovskite Solar Cells. Nat. Commun. 7, 11574. doi:10.1038/ncomms11574
Nie, W., Tsai, H., Asadpour, R., Blancon, J.-C., Neukirch, A. J., Gupta, G., et al. (2015). High-Efficiency Solution-Processed Perovskite Solar Cells with Millimeter-Scale Grains. Science 347, 522–525. doi:10.1126/science.aaa4072
Parveen, S., Obaidulla, S. M., and Giri, P. K. (2020). Growth Kinetics of Hybrid Perovskite Thin Films on Different Substrates at Elevated Temperature and its Direct Correlation with the Microstructure and Optical Properties. Appl. Surf. Sci. 530, 147224. doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2020.147224
Raghavan, V. (2011). Materials Science and Engineering. Prentice-Hall of India Pvt.Ltd.
Zhang, H., Zhao, C., Li, D., Guo, H., Liao, F., Cao, W., et al. (2019a). Effects of Substrate Temperature on the Crystallization Process and Properties of Mixed-Ion Perovskite Layers. J. Mater. Chem. A. 7, 2804–2811. doi:10.1039/c8ta10170a

Zhang, M., Wang, Z., Zhou, B., Jia, X., Ma, Q., Yuan, N., et al. (2018). Green Anti-Solvent Processed Planar Perovskite Solar Cells with Efficiency Beyond 19%. Sol. RRL 2, 1700213. doi:10.1002/solr.201700213

Zhang, T., Hu, C., and Yang, S. (2019b). Ion Migration: A "Double-Edged Sword" for Halide-Perovskite-Based Electronic Devices. Small Methods 4, 1900552. doi:10.1002/smtd.201900552

Zhao, B., Bai, S., Kim, V., Lamboll, R., Shivanna, R., Auras, F., et al. (2018a). High-Efficiency Perovskite-Polymer Bulk Heterostructure Light-Emitting Diodes. Nat. Photon 12, 783–789. doi:10.1038/s41566-018-0283-4

Zhao, Y., Tan, H., Yuan, H., Yang, Z., Fan, J. Z., Kim, J., et al. (2018b). Perovskite Seeding Growth of Formamidinium-Lead-Iodide-Based Perovskites for Efficient and Stable Solar Cells. Nat. Commun. 9, 1607. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04029-7

Zheng, X., Chen, B., Dai, J., Fang, Y., Bai, Y., Lin, Y., et al. (2017). Defect Passivation in Hybrid Perovskite Solar Cells Using Quaternary Ammonium Halide Anions and Cations. Nat. Energ. 2, 17102. doi:10.1038/nenergy.2017.102

Zheng, Y. C., Yang, S., Chen, X., Chen, Y., Hou, Y., and Yang, H. G. (2015). Thermal-induced Volmer-Weber Growth Behavior for Planar Heterojunction Perovskites Solar Cells. Chem. Mater. 27, 5116–5121. doi:10.1021/acs.chemmater.5b01924

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Kumar, Srivastava and Bag. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.