Spatiotemporal analysis of hand, foot and mouth disease data using time-lag geographically-weighted regression
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Abstract

Hand, Foot, and Mouth Disease (HFMD) is a common and widespread infectious disease. Previous studies have presented evidence that climate factors, including the monthly averages of temperature, relative humidity, air pressure, wind speed and Cumulative Risk (CR) all have a strong influence on the transmission of HFMD. In this paper, the monthly time-lag geographically-weighted regression model was constructed to investigate the spatiotemporal variations of effect of climate factors on HFMD occurrence in Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, China. From the spatial and temporal perspectives, the spatial and temporal variations of effect of climate factors on HFMD incidence are described respectively. The results indicate that the effect of climate factors on HFMD incidence shows very different spatial patterns and time trends. The findings may provide not only an in-depth understanding of spatiotemporal variation patterns of the effect of climate factors on HFMD occurrence, but also provide helpful evidence for making measures of HFMD prevention and control and implementing appropriate public health interventions at the county level in different seasons.

Introduction

Hand, Foot and Mouth Disease (HFMD) is a common, acute infectious disease resulting in millions of cases and even death among children under five years old. It is caused by different species of enteroviruses, most commonly Coxsackievirus A16 and Enterovirus 71 (Yang et al., 2011; Zeng et al., 2015) that may change with the variation of climate. In addition, human activity and contact frequency may greatly determine the eventual disease occurrence (Cheng et al., 2018). China is one of the most affected countries where HFMD has been made statutorily notifiable in May 2008 (Xing et al., 2014). The intensity and frequency of the HFMD outbreaks are also different from place to place due to the extensive area where the disease occurs in China, as there may be very different climatic and environmental conditions.

Various research approaches have been used to investigate the spatial and temporal patterns of HFMD outbreaks and the risk factors, such as climate factors and socio-economic environment. In the state of Sarawak in Malaysia, spatial and temporal distributions of HFMD were studied based on Geographical Information Systems (GIS), (Sham et al., 2014). In China, time series analysis methods were adopted to achieve a short-term epidemic prediction for HFMD occurrence (Lai et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018), and space–time scanning was applied for the detection of spatiotemporal clusters and the identification of high-risk locations (Hong et al., 2017; Qian et al., 2016) revealing that HFMD occurrence shows autocorrelation characteristics. Using linear regression model, Ma et al. (2010) demonstrated that climate factors play important role in predicting HFMD activity, which could assist in explaining the winter peak detected in recent years. The geographical detector method was implemented to test the association between HFMD with a single climate factor and their interaction (Wang et al., 2013), while a distributed lag non-linear model was used to quantify the effect of the Average Temperature (AT) and relative Humidity (AH) on HFMD incidence in Huainan and Hefei, China, respectively (Xu et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2017). The boosted regression tree model, combining the advances of the traditional regression models and the machine-learning methods, were employed by Zhang et al., 2016 to determine the optimal lag for the climate factors at which the variance of HFMD occurrence was most explained, and to evaluate the effects of these climate factors on HFMD occurrence at the optimal lag. A Bayesian spa-
The Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR) model, originally proposed by Brunsdon et al. (1996), is a popular and powerful tool in exploring spatial nonstationarity of a regression relationship. Subsequently, GWR models with their variants have been applied to various fields for geo-referenced data analysis. In addition of the recent applications in, for instance, hedonic house price modelling (Lu et al., 2014), geology (Duan and Li, 2016), ecology (Song et al., 2016) and public health (Wabiri et al., 2016), GWR models with their various variants have also been employed to detect the association between HFMD incidence and climate factors, social and economic factors, air pollutants, etc. (Hu et al., 2012; Dong et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Hong et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2019). In the GWR model, temporal effects are assumed to be constant over space because the weighting matrix is calculated only based on spatial information. In fact, however, there is possibility that the effect of explanatory variables on the response variable is not only spatially non-stationary, but also temporally so, meaning that the underlying process is spatiotemporally non-stationary. Time is also an essential dimension relating to various physical processes that often provides valuable information. To fill this gap, Huang et al. (2010) proposed a so-called Geographically and Temporally Weighted Regression model (GTWR) by incorporating the time factor into the GWR model. Fotheringham et al. (2015) also extended GWR to a new version of GTWR (here called TL-GWR) by separating the selection of the optimal spatial bandwidth from that of the temporal bandwidth. Only previous time (lag time) neighbours are taken into account and their impact on the current state are estimated in the TL-GWR model. This model is useful because a set of spatial bandwidths are selected adaptively at different time points. In contrast to GWR models, the TL-GWR model considers the interaction of space and time and make it possible to simultaneously explore the spatial and temporal non-stationarity of a regression relationship based on the constructed spatiotemporal weight matrix. As mentioned above, the transmission and dispersal of HFMD are closely associated with climate factors and the effect of these parameters on HFMD incidence may change over space and time. To the best our knowledge, the TL-GWR model has not yet been applied to the analysis of HFMD data. Therefore, this model is of great potential in analysing the spatiotemporal variation patterns of the effect of climate factors on HFMD incidence by providing useful evidence for finding disease epidemic patterns that could indicate suitable control measures.

Materials and Methods

HFMD data based on the 102 counties from January to December in 2016 were provided by the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Statistics Bureau. The climate data, consisting of the monthly Average Temperature (AT), relative humidity (AH), Air Pressure (AP), wind speed (AW) and monthly cumulative precipitation (CR) for each county, came from the Ecological and Agricultural Meteorology Center of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region. In addition, the geographic information of each county, including name, code, and longitude and latitude, came from the National Catalogue Service for Geographic Information.

Preliminary hand, foot and mouth disease data processing

Consideration that the number of reported HFMD cases in each county is closely associated with the size of the exposed population in that county, it was felt suitable to use the monthly Cumulative Incidence (CI) in each county as the response variable to model the relationship to the climate factors. The monthly CI is defined by the ratio of the number of monthly reported HFMD cases O(i) under 9 years old to the size of the total population P(i) of this age group at risk in that county. However, the crude CI may suffer from the problem of zero CI values, mainly caused by the geographic coded error or missing reports. Here, we adopted a hierarchical Bayesian model with both structured and unstructured random effects (Haining 2003; Huang et al., 2014) to adjust the crude CI of HFMD. Subsequently, the adjusted monthly CI was used as the response variable for analysis. The adjusting process is described as follows illustrating the spatial distribution and temporal trend of the values of the adjusted CI:

Let r(i) be the risk probability that a child in the ith county infects HFMD. The hierarchical Bayesian model is then formulated as:

$$O(i) \sim B(r(i), P(i)), \logit(r(i)) = \mu + v(i) + e(i)$$

where $\mu$ represents the mean effect of disease risk; $e(i)$ independently and identically distributed $N(0, \sigma^2_e)$ and captures the spatial unstructured nonstationarity; and $v(i)$ a spatially structured random variable describing the spatial dependence of the relative risk and defined by the intrinsic Gaussian spatial autoregression process with the conditional distribution given $v(j)$ being:

$$v(i) | v(j) \sim N \left( \sum_{j \neq i} w_{ij}^e v(j), \frac{\sigma^2_e}{\sum_{j \neq i} w_{ij}^e} \right)$$

where $j$ denotes the neighbour of site $i$ with the spatial contiguity weights $w_i^e$ for a given $i$ defined as $w_{ij}^e = 1$ if there is a common edge between $j$ and $i$; 0 if otherwise; and $w_{ii}^e = 0$. The gamma distributions are taken as prior distributions of $1/\sigma^2_e$ and $1/\sigma^2_v$, namely, $1/\sigma^2_v \sim \gamma(0.001, 0.001)$ and $1/\sigma^2_v \sim \gamma(0.5, 0.0005)$ with a non-information prior assumed for $\mu$. By means of the WinBUGS 1.4 package, the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulation was used to solve the hierarchical Bayesian model, where the length of burn-in sequence was set at 6,500. The outputs of the hierarchical Bayesian model were the adjusted CI values for the 102 counties at a given month. Figure 1 shows the spatial distributions of the adjusted CI (1/10000) values of the counties averaged by the cold season (from May to September) and the warm season (from November to April), respectively, and the temporal trend of those values averaged over the 102 spatial units. It

These data from each county were provided by the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Statistics Bureau. The climate data, consisting of the monthly Average Temperature (AT), relative humidity (AH), Air Pressure (AP), wind speed (AW) and monthly cumulative precipitation (CR) for each county, came from the Ecological and Agricultural Meteorology Center of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region. In addition, the geographic information of each county, including name, code, and longitude and latitude, came from the National Catalogue Service for Geographic Information.

Materials and Methods

HFMD data based on the 102 counties from January to December in 2016 were provided by the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region’s Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Of the total 13,928 reported HFMD cases, we retained 13,416 cases of the children under 9 years old in 2016 for analysis.
was observed that, on average, the adjusted CI values exhibited both significant spatial non-stationarity and notable temporal non-stationarity.

**TL-GWR model and bandwidth optimization**

Fotheringham et al. (2015) proposed a geographically and temporally weighted regression, called the TL-GWR model, in which the spatial bandwidths are adaptively selected at different time periods. That is, the TL-GWR model is of the form:

\[ y_i = \beta_0 (u_i, v_i, t) + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j (u_i, v_i, t) x_{ij} + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \tag{Eq. 3} \]

where \( \{ y_i; x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{ip}\}_{i=1}^{n} \) are the observations of the response variable \( Y \) and the explanatory variables \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p \) at a set of spatial locations \( \{(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2), \ldots,(u_n, v_n)\} \), where \( n \) is the number of spatial locations of data observations at time period \( t \); and \( q \) the number of time lags in addition to those from the same time period. \( \beta_j (u_i, v_i, t) \) represent the unknown coefficients of local coordinates and the time for describing spatiotemporally varying patterns of each explanatory variable. \( \{\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^{n} \) denote errors assumed to be independent and identically distributed random variables with zero mean and the same variance \( \sigma^2 > 0 \).

The calibration method of GWR model proposed by Brunsdon et al. (1996) can still be used to estimate the coefficients \( \{\beta_j (u_i, v_i, t)\}_{j=1}^{p} \), but in this case, in addition to those from the time period \( t \), while the data collected at prior time periods are named \( t-1, t-2, \ldots, t-q \), with \( q \) being the number of time lags is incorporated in the GWR model, in which the weight matrix \( W \) is constructed in a different way in order to capture simultaneously both spatial and temporal non-stationarities.

Using the locally weighted Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) procedure, the estimator of the coefficient vector \( \beta(u_i, v_i, t) = \beta_0 (u_i, v_i, t), \beta_1 (u_i, v_i, t), \ldots, \beta_q (u_i, v_i, t) \) at \( (u_i, v_i, t) \) can be computed by,

\[ \hat{\beta}(u_i, v_i, t) = (X^TW_iX)^{-1}X^TW_iY \quad \text{(Eq. 4)} \]

where \( X \) is the design matrix of the explanatory variables and \( Y \) is the observation vector of the response variable

\[ W_i(b_{b_0}, b_{b_1}, \ldots, b_{b_q}) = \text{Diag}(w^{b_{b_0}}, w^{b_{b_1}}, \ldots, w^{b_{b_q}}) \quad \text{(Eq. 5)} \]

is the weight matrix with the segregated spatial bandwidths over time, where

\[ w^{b_i}(b_{b_0}, b_{b_1}) = \text{Diag}(w^{b_{b_0}}, w^{b_{b_1}}, \ldots, w^{b_{b_i}}) \quad t_s = t-1, t-2, \ldots, t-q \tag{Eq. 6} \]

The elements in \( w^{b_i}(b_{b_0}, b_{b_1}) \) can be generated by the Gaussian spatiotemporal kernel function, that is

---

**Figure 1.** Spatial distribution of the adjusted CI values averaged by time (A) in the cold season; (B) in the warm season; (C) temporal trend of those values averaged over the counties in Inner Mongolia in 2016.
In this paper, because of the HFMD data availability from January to December in 2016, local coefficients for regression points located at months April, March, February, and January were estimated using only 3, 2, 1, and 0 time lag periods respectively. The number of time lags was taken as 4 months because data points located more than 4 months away from the regression month in which the regression point is located would have little or negligible influence on the estimation of local coefficients at the regression point.

A set of optimal spatial bandwidths and the optimal temporal bandwidth for each regression month are listed in Table 1. A temporal bandwidth of value 0 would mean that only a spatial bandwidth is used, for example, January. As shown in Table 1, in general, a decreasing trend of the optimal spatial bandwidths was exhibited when the data points incorporated into model were located farther away in time from the regression month. However, the decreasing trend of the optimal spatial bandwidths was interrupted for regression months March, April and November.

**Tests for non-stationarity of parameter estimation**

For each regression month (from January to December), a specific TL-GWR model was constructed by incorporating data from lag time period into GWR model. The spatial non-stationarity of parameter estimates was assessed by comparing twice the standard errors (2sd) of the global ordinary OLS estimates with the interquartile (IQ) of parameter estimates for the TL-GWR model. The larger values of IQ mean that there was significantly spatial nonstationarity at the corresponding time period (Fotheringham et al., 2002). The testing results are summarized in Table 2, in which the statistically significant factors are marked with "*". As shown in Table 2, the statistical significance of effects of climate factors on HFMD incidence mainly concern occurrences in the cold season.

**Evaluation of the TL-GWR model**

It is worth comparing the performance of the TL-GWR model, in which data points from previous time periods were incorporated.
Evaluation of the TL-GWR model

It is worth comparing the performance of the TL-GWR model, in which data points from previous time periods were incorporated into the GWR model, against the GWR model, in which the local parameters were estimated at each time point only using data points from this time period. The CV scores for each month of both TL-GWR and GWR are described in Figure 2, where it can be seen that there is a similar trend of the CV score of the both model (GWR and TL-GWR). However, the CV score of the TL-GWR model was lower than that of the GWR model. The result means that the performance of the TL-GWR is superior to that of the GWR for all months of the study except for January where it had the same CV score.

Table 1. Optimal temporal and spatial bandwidths January-December in 2016.

| Variable | Jan. | 2sd | Feb. | 2sd | Mar. | 2sd | Apr. | 2sd | May | 2sd |
|----------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|
| Inter    | 0.42*| 0.258| 0.658| 0.124| 0.395*| 0.242| 0.038| 0.237|
| AT       | 0.65*| 0.615| 0.259| 0.336| 1.062*| 0.567| 0.124| 0.534|
| AH       | 0.283| 0.629| 0.125| 0.339| 0.539| 0.603| 0.083| 0.534|
| AP       | 0.29 | 0.355| 0.044| 0.168| 0.399*| 0.255| 0.052| 0.272|
| CR       | 0.29 | 0.279| 0.107| 0.158| 0.596*| 0.296| 0.063| 0.252|
| AW       | 0.121| 0.282| 0.048| 0.126| 0.091| 0.260| 0.051| 0.290|

Table 2. Non-stationarity test of parameters for the TL-GWR model January-December in 2016.

| Variable | Jan. | 2sd | Feb. | 2sd | Mar. | 2sd | Apr. | 2sd |
|----------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|
| Inter    | 0.049| 0.284| 0.079| 0.310| 0.041| 0.272| 0.046| 0.232|
| AT       | 0.283| 0.502| 0.137| 0.511| 0.089| 0.510| 0.118| 0.275|
| AH       | 0.446| 0.551| 0.245| 0.588| 0.058| 0.531| 0.089| 0.351|
| AP       | 0.120| 0.372| 0.115| 0.427| 0.045| 0.428| 0.059| 0.266|
| CR       | 0.099| 0.386| 0.424*| 0.415| 0.170| 0.359| 0.051| 0.314|
| AW       | 0.154| 0.348| 0.162| 0.358| 0.052| 0.319| 0.086| 0.274|
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Results and discussion

Spatial patterns of the estimated coefficients

Figures 3 to 8 shows the spatial variation patterns of the effect of each explanatory variable including the intercept term on the response variable for the two seasons (cold and warm seasons).

Figure 3 reflects the basic spatial variation patterns of HFMD incidence in different seasons. It can be shown that, HFMD incidence varies obviously in the two seasons with the largest values take place over space in the warm season.

Figure 4 shows the choropleth maps of the estimated coefficient of the AT in cold and warm seasons. From Figure 4A, it can be observed that, in the cold season, AT has a negative influence on HFMD incidence in a number of counties (almost all) of the north-eastern and eastern parts of the region with the strongest negative influence in Genhe City, Hailaer District, Ewenki Autonomous Banner, Arhorqin Banner and Wengniute Banner. This influence turns to be positive in the south-western area, which is relatively consistent with the region of the higher HFMD incidence in Figure 1A. However, in the warm season, AT influences HFMD incidence in all counties positively (Figure 4B), which is consistent with the results of existing literatures (Zhang et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2016; Song et al., 2019).

The seasonal maps of the coefficient estimator of AH are depicted in Figure 5. The negative influence of AH on HFMD incidence in almost all counties, except for the middle part of the region and some other counties, appears in the cold season (Figure 5A). In the warm season, the influence turns to be positive in almost all counties (in Figure 5B), which is relatively consistent with the study results of Onozuka and Hashizume (2011) and Yang et al. (2017; 2018).

Figure 6 reflects the spatial variation characteristics of the estimated coefficient of monthly average AP in different seasons. As can be observed in Figure 6, from cold season to warm season, except for the north-eastern area, the significant variation where the positive influence turns into negative, appears in the other counties. In addition, except for the slightly positive effect in a few of counties, Figure 6B shows that the AP has basically a negative influence on HFMD incidence in the warm season. In these two seasons, the negative influence of the AP on HFMD incidence is upheld in the north-eastern part of whole region, which might mean that the AP is a protective factor for the epidemic of HFMD in these counties.

From the choropleth maps of the coefficient estimator of CR in Figure 7A, it can be seen that, in the cold season, except for the slightly positive influence in the north-eastern part of the area, the negative effect of the CR on HFMD incidence takes place in most of counties of Inner Mongolia. The results shown in Figure 7B indicate that the CR influences HFMD incidence positively in the whole region with the intensity increasing from east to west, with the strongest positive impact of the CR on HFMD incidence in the south-western part of the region.
Figure 8 depicts the choropleth maps of the estimated coefficient of AW. As seen in Figure 8A, in the cold season, except for the strongest negative effect in the middle counties, the impact in the other counties is positive with the strongest positive impact of the AW on the CI appearing in the north-eastern area and the western-most counties. However, because there are relatively sparse sample points in the extreme West, the explanation should be taken as cautious. From Figure 8B, in the warm season, the areas influence by the negative effect of the AW on HFMD incidence gradually extend from the middle sideways. The slight positive influence mainly appears in the north-eastern area, while the strongest positive influence is observed in the westernmost counties.

Figure 4. Spatial variation of the estimated coefficient of the AT averaged by the seasons: (A) in the cold season; (B) in the warm season.

Figure 5. Spatial variation of the estimated coefficient of the AH averaged by the seasons: (A) in the cold season; (B) in the warm season.
Temporal patterns of the estimated coefficients

Figure 9A depicts the temporal trend of the logarithm HFMD incidence adjusted by climate factors and is relatively consistent with the trend of HFMD incidence shown in Figure 1C. From the temporal trend chart of the AT in Figure 9B, it can be seen that it positively influences the CI from January to December. The largest intensity appears in June and December, approximately corresponding to the highest incidence in Figure 1C. Combined with the findings in Figure 4, we may draw the conclusion that AT is a risk factor of HFMD transmission and dispersal during the warm season. In addition, in the cold season, the AT may be a risk factor for the epidemic of HFMD in the south-western part. Figure 9C illust-
Figure 8. Spatial variation of the estimated coefficient of the AW averaged by the seasons: (A) in the cold season; (B) in the warm season.

Figure 9. Temporal variation of the estimated intercept and coefficients of climate factors averaged over the counties: (A) Intercept; (B) Average temperature; (C) Average relative humidity; (D) Average air pressure; (E) Cumulative precipitation; and (F) Average wind speed.
brates that the AH has the strongest positive effect in September and the strongest negative influence in March. Figure 9D shows that the influence of the AP is consistently negative from February to June with the largest intensity appearing in June and it has a positive effect on the CI in the other months with the largest intensity appearing in December. Figure 9E illustrates that the effect of CR shows a temporal “∩”-formed trend in the warm season with the strongest positive effect taking place in July and August, approximately corresponding to the highest incidence of HFMD in Figure 1C. Combined with the findings shown in Figure 7, it might mean that the CR is a risk factor for HFMD transmission in the summer. Figure 9F shows that the effect of the AW is consistently negative from April to July with the largest intensity appearing in April. Except for the negligible influence in March and July, the effect in the other months is positive with the largest intensity taking place in December.

Conclusions

Previous investigations found significant relationships between climate factors and HFMD incidence (Cheng et al., 2018; Dong et al., 2016; Hong et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2010; Onozuka and Hashizume, 2011; Song et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2018). The relationship of climate factors and the transmission of HFMD is spatiotemporally non-stationary. The GWR model incorporating the time factor (TL-GWR) in this paper was used for analysing the spatial and temporal non-stationarities of the impact of climate factors on the occurrence of childhood HFMD in Inner Mongolia, China. The detailed spatiotemporal variation patterns of the effect of climate factors on HFMD incidence were uncovered based on the outputs of the TL-GWR model.

The results presented here are not only useful for a full understanding of the relationship between climate factors and HFMD occurrence, but also helpful in making measures for protecting and controlling HFMD at the county level in different seasons. This study also demonstrates the strengths and potentials of the TL-GWR model in detecting spatiotemporal non-stationarity of a regression relationship. However, there are still much room for the improvement of the TL-GWR model. First, one of the important issues for the TL-GWR model is to develop the optimal spatial and temporal bandwidth selection procedure to deal with the computational complexity, especially for big datasets. Second, the local tests would be developed to judge the statistical inferences of spatiotemporal characteristics shown by the estimated coefficients.
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