A new reference database for beta-delayed neutrons
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Abstract. A new database containing all available experimental and evaluated β-delayed neutron data is presented in this paper. The database is the product of an international effort coordinated by the International Atomic Energy Agency. It comprises a microscopic section including all available experimental data on beta-decay half-lives, β-delayed neutron emission probabilities and spectra, as well as new systematics and global theoretical calculations for comparison. The beta-delayed neutron data for individual precursors have been benchmarked against available data on macroscopic properties such as total delayed-neutron yields and spectra, delayed-neutron decay curves and time-dependent group parameters using the summation method. All available measured macroscopic data have also been compiled in the macroscopic section of the database. The dedicated database is available online on the IAEA server (URL: http://www-nds.iaea.org/beta-delayed-neutron/database.html).

1 Introduction

Since the first detection of β-delayed neutrons in 1939 there has been significant effort to understand the mechanism of delayed-neutron emission. In the 70’s a large number of measurements of delayed-neutron emission probabilities ($P_n$ values) for fission products (and also for low-mass nuclei in the non-fission region) were performed around nuclear reactors. During the last decade there has been a renewed interest in the experimental and theoretical study of β-delayed neutron emission at the next generation of radioactive beam facilities mainly focussed on neutron-rich nuclei far off the stability line. For this reason, novel detectors have been built to operate at these major accelerator facilities to measure the delayed-neutron decay characteristics of β-delayed neutron-emitters. In parallel, efforts to quantify aggregate properties of delayed neutron emission in fissile materials are ongoing. These efforts are motivated by the need for improved β-delayed neutron data for a wide range of applications such as calculations of the decay heat produced in reactors, planning of future advance fuel technology, calculating anti-neutrino spectra from reactors, r-process nucleosynthesis, and nuclear structure physics. Although half-lives and $P_n$ data are available in several compiled and evaluated libraries such as ENSDF, NUBASE, NuDat, etc., a complete documentation of measurements and evaluation procedures is often missing for these properties. Previous dedicated
compilations and evaluations also suffer from incompleteness, and have in the meantime become outdated. Measured neutron spectra are not available in any database.

In light of the above, the creation of a modern and comprehensive database where the user could retrieve various types of pertinent information related to beta-delayed neutrons was timely. A Consultants’ meeting organized by the IAEA brought together experimentalists, nuclear data evaluators, and theoreticians to explore such a possibility [1]. The recommendations of the report [1] lead to an IAEA Coordinated Research Project (CRP) (2013-2018) [5] whose objective was to develop a Reference Database for beta-delayed neutrons [2–4] that would cover both microscopic and macroscopic data. The scope of the CRP included: (i) Compilation and evaluation of experimental half-lives and $P_{\text{sn}}$ for delayed-neutron precursors, (ii) Establishing standards in different mass regions, for which several independent and reliable measurements are available, (iii) Developing new empirical systematics based on the new evaluated $P_n$ data, (iv) Calculating beta-decay half-lives and $P_n$ values using available empirical and microscopic models, (v) Testing the new evaluated beta-decay half-lives and $P_n$ values against macroscopic data and benchmark experiments, (vi) Measuring total delayed neutron yields (TDN) and spectra for a range of actinides, (vii) Re-evaluating 6- and 8-group representations based on new measurements, and (viii) Developing systematics for 6- and 8-group representations.

The results obtained during the IAEA CRP have been described in detail in the final CRP article submitted to Nuclear Data Sheets [6]. In this present paper, we discuss selected outputs of the CRP and present the retrieval interface to the new online database (http://www-nds.iaea.org/beta-delayed-neutron/database.html).

2 Microscopic data

Based on the Atomic Mass Evaluation 2016 (AME2016) [7], 621 out of the 2451 known nuclei are $\beta$-delayed neutron emitters. In 2011, prior to the CRP, only 216 $\beta$-delayed neutron emitters had been measured: 81 nuclides in the light mass region ($Z < 29$), 134 nuclides in the fission region with $Z = 29–57$, and a single nuclide, $^{210}\text{Tl}$ [8], in the mass region with $Z > 57$.

At present, 298 $\beta_1n$-emitters have been measured ($P_{1n}$ values) which constitutes 48% of all $\beta_1n$-emitter. For multi-neutron emitters, however, only 23 $P_{2n}$ values (7.7% of the total), 4 $P_{3n}$ values (2.9% of the total), and 1 $P_{4n}$ value (1.7% of the total) have been measured. The situation is going to change significantly in the near future, as over 250 new $\beta_2n$-delayed neutron emitters will be measured by the “Beta-delayed neutrons at RIKEN” (BRIKEN) project [9] and data already measured at other facilities such as the RIBBL separator at Lanzhou accelerator facility in China, CERN-ISOLDE, IGIOSOL, EURICA at RIKEN Nishina Center, Beta-Paul Trap at CARIBU (Argonne National Laboratory) and GRIFFIN at TRIUMF/ISAC, will also become available thus changing the landscape of measured $\beta$-delayed neutron emitters.

For the purposes of the CRP, all experimental data published up until May 2018 were considered. Beta-decay half-lives and $\beta$-delayed neutron emission probabilities $P_n$ for 649 $\beta$-delayed neutron emitters were compiled and evaluated using the standard procedures adopted for the Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data File (ENSDF). The number 649 includes newly discovered neutron-rich nuclei at RIKEN, most of these potential $\beta$-delayed neutron emitters, which are not listed in AME-2016. Of these 649 measured nuclides, 221 were in the lighter mass region ($Z < 29$) and 428 in the fission mass region ($Z > 28$).

Some of these 649 measured $\beta$-delayed neutron emitters satisfy certain well-defined conditions and can be recommended as ‘standards’ for $\beta$-delayed neutron 1n emission: $^9\text{Li}$, $^{16}\text{C}$, $^{17}\text{N}$, $^{49}\text{K}$, $^{83}\text{Ga}$, $^{87}\text{Br}$, $^{89}\text{Br}$, $^{90}\text{Rh}$, $^{141}\text{La}$, $^{145}\text{Cs}$, $^{146}\text{Cs}$, and $^{157}\text{Cs}$. The main criteria for recommending a $\beta$-delayed neutron ‘standard’ are: a) easy production of large quantities at various facilities using clean beams, b) measured in four or more experiments using reliable methods and c) all measured values are consistent within an uncertainty less than 5%.

New systematics were developed based on the new $(T_{1/2}, P_{1n})$ evaluated data using the empirical formulas of Kratz and Herrmann [12], McCutchan et al. [13] and Miernik [14]. While these global systematics show considerable scatter, the corresponding systematics along a given $Z$ are much more compact and were used to identify outliers that need to be further investigated [11].

The new $(T_{1/2}, P_{1n})$ tables were compared systematically with global models of beta-decay, namely with the density functional model coupled to the continuum quasiparticle random-phase approximation (DF+eQRPA) of Borzov [15], the relativistic Hartree-Bogoliubov+QRPA model (RQRPA) of Markietin et al. [16], and the microscopic-macroscopic finite-range droplet model (FRDM) of Möller et al. [17]. Figure 1 shows a comparison between the three mentioned models and the new evaluated CRP data for Co isotopes.

3 Macroscopic data

Recent activities related to macroscopic delayed-neutron data have predominantly taken place at IPPE (Obninsk). New measurements of TDNs and of relative abundances of delayed neutrons and half lives of their precursors were performed at IPPE for neutron-induced fission of $^{232}\text{Th}$, $^{233}\text{U}$, $^{235}\text{U}$, $^{238}\text{U}$, $^{239}\text{Pu}$, $^{241}\text{Np}$, and $^{241}\text{Am}$ in the energy range from thermal to 18 MeV (see Ref. [6] and references therein). Composite delayed-neutron energy spectra were also measured for thermal neutron-induced fission of $^{235}\text{U}$ [18]. All the available experimental data relevant to neutron-induced fission have been compiled in the new macroscopic
database making it a comprehensive repository of integral delayed-neutron data. The database includes both the compilations of delayed-neutron parameters of Spriggs and Campbell [19] and Tuttle [20] as well as the new IPPE data on TDNs, relative abundances and half-lives in 6- and 8-group models with covariance and correlation data, and composite delayed-neutron energy spectra. Furthermore, new systematics were developed for the time-dependent parameters and new recommendations were made for both 6- and 8-group parameters for thermal, fast and high-energy neutron-induced fission of \( ^{232}\text{Th} \), \( ^{233}\text{U} \), \( ^{235}\text{U} \), \( ^{236}\text{U} \), \( ^{238}\text{U} \), \( ^{237}\text{Np} \), \( ^{239}\text{Pu} \) and \( ^{241}\text{Am} \) actinides. Details can be found in [6] and in references therein.

Macroscopic data were also used to benchmark the newly evaluated \((T_{1/2}, P_n)\) data for individual precursors using the summation method. The impact of the new CRP data on the TDNs for fast neutron-induced fission of \( ^{238}\text{U} \) is shown in Fig. 2. What is clear from the figure is the strong sensitivity of the results to the fission yield data. In fact, it appears that the TDNs are more sensitive to the fission yield data than the \( P_n \) data. The calculated TDNs agree with the recommended values of Ref. [19] only when JEFF-3.1.1 fission yields are used in the summation calculations. These results stress the need for further investigation into the fission yield libraries.

4 Beta-delayed neutron reference database

The compiled experimental data, evaluations, systematics as well as model calculations and recommendations produced by the CRP are included in the reference database which is available online at: http://www-nds.iaea.org/beta-delayed-neutron/database.html.

The database comprises two sections, the microscopic data and macroscopic data section. In each section the user is able to search per nuclide, energy, and ranges of masses, half-lives \( T_{1/2} \) and \( P_n \) values. The data can also be downloaded as csv files. A purely numerical table containing the microscopic \((T_{1/2}, P_n)\) values has been prepared specifically to be used in summation calculations and can also be downloaded as a csv file.

For the microscopic data, the user is also able to plot the evaluated data and compare them with systematics and model calculations. In the macroscopic section, the user is able to compare the compiled and recommended data for TDNs with the corresponding data in the evaluated libraries. A snapshot of the macroscopic database is shown in Fig. 3.

5 Conclusions

The revitalized interest in the measurement of nuclear decay properties of the most neutron-rich nuclei has lead to the development of new detection technologies that have been used in radioactive beam facilities to explore the \( \beta \)-delayed neutron emission landscape.

The international collaboration coordinated by the IAEA has reviewed both the experimental and theoretical efforts related to the decay of individual \( \beta \)-delayed neutron emitters that were published until May 2018. Although the full impact of the new \((T_{1/2}, P_n)\) data still needs to be explored, it is clear that microscopic theories need to be improved to include deformation, pairing and the competition of Gamow-Teller and First-Forbidden transitions consistently.

The results of summation and time-dependent calculations of TDNs indicate that the higher \( P_n \) branching ratios measured with improved experimental methods for the key \( \beta \)-delayed neutron emitters in nuclear fuel, such as \( ^{131}\text{I} \), influence the TDNs, delayed-neutron activity and reactivity.
However, these calculations are rather sensitive to fission yield data and their uncertainties. It is therefore recommended that fission yield data are investigated and updated to reflect the advances in both experimental and theoretical methods. Another important aspect of the summation calculations which however, has not been investigated thoroughly as it was beyond the scope of the CRP, is the impact of the uncertainties of both the $P_{xn}$ data and fission yields and their correlations on integral quantities.

The new measurements of integral data for major and minor actinides at a range of incident energies have been used to test the new microscopic data, develop new systematics for the time-dependent parameters with better predictive power and recommend new 6- and 8-group model parameters. What remains to be done is to verify and validate these new recommended group models.

All the microscopic and macroscopic data are readily available to the user community at the IAEA online Reference Database (http://www-nds.iaea.org/beta-delayed-neutron/database.html).
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