Modulation of GSK-3β/β-Catenin Signaling Contributes to Learning and Memory Impairment in a Rat Model of Depression
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Abstract

Background: It is widely accepted that cognitive processes, such as learning and memory, are affected in depression, but the molecular mechanisms underlying the interactions of these 2 disorders are not clearly understood. Recently, glycogen synthase kinase-3 beta (GSK-3β)/β-catenin signaling was shown to play an important role in the regulation of learning and memory.

Methods: The present study used a rat model of depression, chronic unpredictable stress, to determine whether hippocampal GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling was involved in learning and memory alterations.

Results: Our results demonstrated that chronic unpredictable stress had a dramatic influence on spatial cognitive performance in the Morris water maze task and reduced the phosphorylation of Ser9 of GSK-3β as well as the total and nuclear levels of β-catenin in the hippocampus. Inhibition of GSK3β by SB216763 significantly ameliorated the cognitive deficits induced by chronic unpredictable stress, while overexpression of GSK3β by AAV-mediated gene transfer significantly decreased cognitive performance in adult rats. In addition, chronic unpredictable stress exposure increased the expression of the canonical Wnt antagonist Dkk-1. Furthermore, chronic administration of corticosterone significantly increased Dkk-1 expression, decreased the phosphorylation of Ser9 of GSK-3β, and resulted in the impairment of hippocampal learning and memory.

Conclusions: Our results indicate that impairment of learning and memory in response to chronic unpredictable stress may be attributed to the dysfunction of GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling mediated by increased glucocorticoid signaling via Dkk-1.
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Significance Statement
Growing evidence indicates the concurrence and interrelationship of depression and cognitive impairments. However, the detailed molecular mechanisms underlying the interactions of these 2 disorders have not been fully understood. Recently, glycogen synthase kinase-3 beta (GSK-3β)/β-catenin signaling was shown to play an important role in the regulation of learning and memory. The present study provides the first evidence that impairment of learning and memory in response to chronic unpredictable stress (CUS) may be attributed to the dysfunction of GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling mediated by increased glucocorticoid signaling via Dkk-1. Understanding the mechanisms that underlie hippocampal damage in response to stress/glucocorticoids may shed new light on the pathophysiology of mood disorders and stress-related cognitive dysfunctions and may lead to the identification of new therapeutic targets.

Introduction
Depression, with 10% to 20% lifetime prevalence, is one of the most common psychiatric illnesses that involves the disturbance of mood (Wong and Licinio, 2001). It is not only life-threatening but also has a negative impact on cognitive processes, especially learning and memory (Dolan, 2002; Trivedi and Greer, 2014; Dillon, 2015; McFarland and Vasterling, 2017; Pan et al., 2017). Growing evidence has shown that patients suffering from major depression often experience memory deficits even after the remission of mood symptoms (Airaksinen et al., 2004; Weiland-Fiedler et al., 2004; Reppermund et al., 2009). Furthermore, rodents that experience repeated stress demonstrate deficits in tasks assessing learning or memory (Song et al., 2006). However, the detailed molecular mechanisms underlying the interactions of these 2 disorders are not clearly understood.

Glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3) is a serine/threonine protein kinase. Four different phosphorylated regions have been described in GSK-3, and phosphorylation of regulatory serine residues (Ser21 in GSK-3α and Ser9 in GSK-3β) correlates with the inhibition of its kinase activity (Hughes et al., 1993; Wang et al., 1994). The GSK-3β/β-catenin pathway has been studied extensively in the context of the canonical Wnt pathway, which is an important regulator of mammalian neural development (Logan and Nusse, 2004; Ciani and Salinas, 2005). In the Wnt/β-catenin pathway, Wnt ligands bind to the Frizzled receptors and the co-receptors LRPS/6, which leads to the phosphorylation of Disheveled (Dvl) (Wharton, 2003). The activation of Dvl leads to the inhibition of GSK3β, which allows β-catenin to be stabilized, to accumulate in the cytoplasm and to translocate to the nucleus where it activates the transcription of T-cell factor/lymphoid enhancer factor (TCF/LEF) target genes, including the cell-cycle regulatory genes cyclin D1 and c-myc (Logan et al., 2004) and genes important for synaptic plasticity and memory (Arrázola et al., 2009). Wnt signaling is not only modulated by the presence or absence of Wnt ligands but also by antagonists such as the secreted Dickkopf (Dkk) glycoproteins, which bind to LRPS/LRPS, thereby preventing its interaction with Wnt ligands (Niehrs, 2006).

It is now widely accepted that the GSK-3β/β-catenin pathway plays an important role in the regulation of learning and memory (Maguschak and Ressler, 2008, 2011; King et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2017). In vivo activation of Wnt signaling increases excitatory synaptic transmission and improves episodic memory in adult wild-type mice (Vargas et al., 2014). Forte et al. report that learning rapidly activates GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling in the dorsal hippocampus and suggest that canonical Wnt signaling is necessary for hippocampal memory consolidation (Forte et al., 2013). Moreover, inhibition of GSK-3β facilitates the induction of long-term potentiation, which is the best characterized molecular and cellular component of the plasticity thought to underlie learning and memory, in the hippocampal CA1 and dentate gyrus regions (Hooper et al., 2007). However, it remains unclear whether GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling is involved in the deficits of learning and memory related to depression.

In the present study, we aimed to investigate whether the GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling would be related to the learning and memory changes in a rat chronic unpredictable stress (CUS) model, one of the most valid and relevant rodent models of depression (Willner, 2005; Duric et al., 2010; Banasr et al., 2010). In addition, we explored the mechanisms involved in regulation of the GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling pathway induced by CUS.

Materials and Methods

Animals
Experiments were performed on adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (Experimental Animal Center, Shanghai Medical College of Fudan University) weighing 200 g. Animals were housed 4/cage with food and water available ad libitum. All rats were kept on a 12-h-light/-dark cycle (lights on at 7 AM) in the same colony room, with temperature (21°C±2°C) and humidity (55%±5%) remaining constant. All experiments were conducted in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by Jiang Su Animal Care and Use Committee.

CUS Procedure and Drug Treatment

Animals were exposed to a variable sequence of mild and unpredictable stressors for 35 days as previously described (Xi et al., 2011). The CUS procedure contained 9 different stressors randomly arranged day and night across 35 consecutive days: 20 h of food and water deprivation, 18 h of water deprivation, 17 h of 45° cage tilt, overnight illumination, 21 h of wet cage, 5 minutes of swimming in water at 4°C, 30 minutes on a 160-Hz rocking bed, 1-minute tail pinch, and 2-h immobilization. The behavioral tests were operated and scored by trained and experienced observers who were blind to the condition of the animals. Three weeks after the beginning of the CUS, rats received SB216763 (2 mg/kg, i.p.) or saline treatment every other day for another 2 weeks. The dose of SB216763 and alternate day treatment schedule used in this experiment was selected based on previous study (Mao et al., 2009). SB216763 has been previously reported to cross the blood-brain barrier after i.p. injection (Selenica et al., 2007).

Sucrose Preference Test

The sucrose preference test was performed as previously described (Xi et al., 2011). The animals were allowed to consume water and 1% sucrose solution for 1 h after 20-h food and water
deprivation. The positions of the 2 bottles (right/left) were varied randomly across animals and were reversed after 30 minutes. The sucrose preference was calculated according to the following ratio: sucrose preference (%) = (sucrose intake (g) + water intake (g)) × 100%.

Forced Swim Test
The forced swim test was conducted as previously described (Xi et al., 2011). Briefly, rats were forced to swim individually in a cylindrical glass container (40 cm height, 30 cm diameter), which contained tap water (25°C ± 1°C) of 28 cm depth. Rats underwent a preswimming session of 15 minutes followed by 24 hours later by a swimming test of 5 minutes. All test sessions were recorded by a video camera from the opposite side of the cylinder. The time spent with minimal activity to keep respiration, passively floating in the water, was measured as immobility.

Morris Water Maze Test
The Morris water maze test was performed as previously described (Xi et al., 2011). The water maze was a 160-cm diameter black circular pool filled with opaque water (30 cm depth) at 25°C ± 1°C. An escape platform (11 cm diameter) was placed in the middle of one of the quadrants (1 cm below the water surface). The behavior of the animal was monitored by a video camera mounted in the ceiling above the center of the pool. In the acquisition trials, the rats were trained 120 seconds per trial and 4 trials per day starting at 4 different positions with 30-minute intervals for consecutive 4 days. Each trial began with the rat in the pool facing the sidewalls. If the rat failed to escape within 120 seconds, it was guided to the platform by the experimenter. When the rat escaped onto the platform, the rat was allowed to stay on the platform for 30 seconds before being returned to its home cage. The hidden platform was removed on day 5, and memory retrieval was examined by a probe trial that lasted for 30 seconds. The behavior of the rat was scored by the number of crossings over the platform location, and the time spent in the target quadrant during the probe test were recorded by a computerized video tracking system.

Western Blotting
After the behavioral test, hippocampal tissues were immediately frozen on dry ice after dissection and stored at -80°C. Western blotting was performed according to a standard protocol. Nuclear and cytoplasmic proteins were extracted using the NE-PER Nuclear Protein Extraction Kit (Thermo). In brief, 100-mg samples were resuspended in 1000 μL cytoplasmic extraction reagent I and homogenized with a probe sonicator. The mixture was incubated in an ice bath for 10 minutes. Then, 55 μL cytoplasmic extraction reagent II was added to the mixture, violently vortexed for 5 seconds, and incubated in an ice bath for 1 minute. The solution was then centrifuged at 16000 g for 10 minutes at 4°C. After removal of the supernatant, 500 μL of nuclear protein extraction reagent was added to the nuclear precipitate and vortexed on the highest setting for 15 seconds every 10 minutes for a total of 40 minutes. The mixture was centrifuged at 16000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C, and protein concentrations in the supernatant were detected by the Bradford method. Equal quantities of protein were loaded onto a 10% polyacrylamide gel containing 0.2% SDS for separation. The separated proteins were transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Millipore) and incubated overnight at 4°C with the following primary antibodies: GSK-3α (1:1000, Cell Signaling); phospho-Ser21-GSK-3α (1:1000, Abcam); GSK-3β (1:1000, Cell Signaling); phospho-Ser9-GSK-3β (1:1000, Cell Signaling); β-catenin (1:2000, BD Bioscience); α-tubulin (1:2000, Invitrogen); Wnt1 (1:1000, Abcam); Wnt3a (1:1000, Abcam); Wnt7a (1:1000, Abcam); Dkk-1 (1:500, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). After washing, the membranes were incubated with a secondary antibody solution (goat anti-mouse, or goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP; 1:5000, Santa Cruz) at room temperature for 2 hours followed by detection using the enhanced chemiluminescence method.

Construction and Preparation of Recombinant AAV
The rat GSK-3β cDNA was amplified from a rat hippocampal cDNA library and subcloned into an AAV2/8 backbone, which was generated from a pAAV-MCS-EGFP vector by digesting with EcoRI/Nhel. The control plasmid expressed only EGFP. The virus was generated using a triple-transfection, helper-free method as previously described (Zolotukhin et al., 1999). Briefly, human embryonic kidney-293 cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS and plated at a density of 8 × 10^5 cells in T-75 flasks. The following day, the cells were transfected with pAAV-GSK-3β, pHelper, and pAAV-RC plasmids (Gene Chem) with a standard calcium phosphate method. After 48 hours, cells were harvested and lysed (3 freeze/thaw cycles in dry ice-ethanol and 37°C baths). Then, the lysate was incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C, centrifuged for 20 minutes at 3700 g, and filtered through a 0.45-μm sterile syringe filter. Subsequently, viruses were purified using a modified caesium chloride centrifugation. The virus was then titered using an AAV ELISA kit (Progen) and stored at -80°C.

Stereotaxic Surgery and Infusions
Rats were anesthetized with Nembutal (i.p. 55 mg/kg) and mounted in a stereotaxic apparatus. Bilateral viral injections were performed with coordinates: -4.3 mm (anterior/posterior), -2.0 mm (lateral), and -4.2 mm (dorsal/ventral) relative to the bregma (Duric et al., 2010). Each hippocampal hemisphere was infused with a total of 2 μL of purified virus over a 15-minute period followed by 5 minutes of rest. Behavioral tests were performed 4 weeks after virus infusion. After behavioral testing, animals were perfused with phosphate-buffered saline followed by 400 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde (dissolved in 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4). Brains were immediately removed from the skull, postfixed overnight, followed by an incubation overnight in PBS containing 30% sucrose at 4°C. Brains were cut into 25-μm sections using a microtome to allow for staining with GFP (1:1000, Abcam) and β-catenin (1:1000, BD Bioscience).

Corticosterone Administration
Corticosterone (CORT, Sigma) was administered at a dose of 40 mg/kg (Gregus et al., 2005) suspended in 0.9% (w/v) physiological saline with 2% (v/v) polyoxyethylene glycol sorbitan monoleate (Sigma-Aldrich). Control animals were injected with vehicle (physiological saline). All injections were delivered i.c. per day between 9:00 AM and 11:30 AM for 21 consecutive days. The acute CORT treatment group received a vehicle injection once per day for 20 days, followed by a single CORT injection on day 21. The chronic CORT treatment group received a CORT injection once per day for 21 consecutive days, and the control group received a vehicle injection along the same time course. The sucrose preference test, forced swim test and Morris water maze test were carried out 24 hours after the last injection.
Measurement of Plasma CORT

Rats were killed by rapid decapitation the next morning (8:00 AM to approximately 10:00 AM) after the Morris water maze test. Blood samples were collected into EDTA in microcentrifuge tubes on ice, centrifuged at 800 g for 14 minutes at 4°C, and the plasma was collected and centrifuged further at 800 g for 7 minutes at 4°C. Plasma was stored at -80°C until analysis. Plasma CORT was analyzed by radioimmunoassay using the ImmuChem Corticosterone Double Antibody RIA kit (catalog no. 07-120102, MP Biomedicals). The assay sensitivity was 0.8 μg/dL and the intra- and inter-assay CVs were 6.8% and 7.6%, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

All data are expressed as the mean ± SEM. Paired Student’s t test was used to compare 2 experimental groups. Considering the acquisition trials of Morris water maze test were carried out on 4 consecutive days, repeated-measures ANOVA was initially performed. In all other cases, 1-way or 2-way ANOVA was used. Posthoc analyses were performed by the Bonferroni’s test for selected or multiple comparisons when P < .05.

Results

Impairment of Spatial Cognitive Performance Induced by CUS

Before CUS, there were no significant differences among the groups exposed to the sucrose preference test (P > .05) and the forced swimming test (P > .05). After CUS for 5 weeks, stressed rats showed a significant decrease in sucrose preference (P < .05; Figure 1A) and a significant increase in immobility time (P < .01; Figure 1B).

Figure 1. Effects of chronic unpredictable stress (CUS) on behavioral tests. (A) Results of sucrose preference in sucrose preference test. (B) Immobility time in forced swimming test. (C) In the acquisition trials of the Morris water maze test, CUS rats showed longer escape latency during training days 2 to 4. (D–E) In the probe trial, CUS impaired memory retrieval as indicated by fewer crossing times over the platform position and less time spent in the target quadrant. (F–G) There was no significant difference of swim distance and swim speed among groups. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (n = 6/group). *P < .05, **P < .01 vs control group.
Figure 1C showed the average escape latency onto a hidden platform in the acquisition trials of the Morris water maze test. The curves were similar between groups, with increasingly shorter latency on consecutive days. There was a significant effect of day \(F(3, 40) = 81.971, P < .001\) and CUS \(F(1, 40) = 61.964, P < .001\) on latency to find the platform. On further day-by-day analysis, the CUS group latencies were significantly longer than the control group on day 2 (\(P < .01\)), day 3 (\(P < .01\)), and day 4 (\(P < .05\)), while no significant difference in swimming velocity was observed between the 2 experimental groups (data not shown). In the probe trial, the CUS group displayed fewer crossings (\(P < .01\); Figure 1D) and less time swimming in the target quadrant (\(P < .05\); Figure 1E) compared with the control group. During the period of memory retrieval, the swim distance and swim speed were similar among groups (both \(P > .05\); Figure 1F–G).

**Effects of CUS on the GSK-3β/β-Catenin Signaling Pathway**

CUS exposure had no significant effect on the total protein levels of either GSK-3α or GSK-3β (both \(P > .05\); Figure 2A–B) in the hippocampus. We further examined the phosphorylation state of GSK-3 and found that phosphorylation only on the Ser9 residue of GSK-3β was significantly decreased after CUS exposure compared with the control group (\(P < .05\); Figure 2A–B), while phosphorylation on Ser21 of GSK-3α was not significantly changed (\(P > .05\)).

Because phosphorylation on Ser9 inactivates GSK-3β, a reduction in the inactivation of GSK-3β decreases cytosolic levels of β-catenin and its translocation from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. Therefore, the effects of CUS exposure on the total cellular level of β-catenin and the nuclear level of β-catenin were measured. Figure 2C showed that both total cellular levels and nuclear levels of β-catenin were significantly decreased compared with the control group (\(P < .05\) for total β-catenin, \(P < .01\) for nuclear β-catenin; Figure 2D).

**CUS-Induced Cognitive Impairment Is Reversed by GSK-3β Inhibition**

To study the role of GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling in the cognitive function of rats exposed to CUS, we used SB216763, a specific chemical inhibitor of GSK3β. ANOVA analysis revealed significant effects of CUS \(F(1, 20) = 18.714, P < .001\) and SB216763 \(F(1, 20) = 7.831, P = .01\) on the sucrose preference. Posthoc analysis showed that the sucrose preference of CUS+saline animals was significantly decreased compared with control+saline rats (\(P < .01\)), and this was reversed by chronic SB216763 administration (\(P < .05\)) (Figure 3A). In the forced swimming test, similar effects of CUS \(F(1, 20) = 13.031, P = .002\) and SB216763 \(F(1, 20) = 7.219, P = .014\) were demonstrated on the immobility time, with longer immobility in CUS+saline (\(P < .01\), compared with control+saline group) and a reversal of this effect in CUS+SB216763 (\(P < .05\), compared with CUS+saline group) (Figure 3B).

![Figure 2](image-url) Effects of chronic unpredictable stress (CUS) on GSK-3β/β-catenin expression. (A) Representative western blotting of total GSK-3α, phospho-Ser21-GSK-3α, total GSK-3β, phospho-Ser9-GSK-3β, and α-tubulin proteins. (B) Quantification of western-blotting signals of GSK3 and α-tubulin proteins. (C) Representative western blotting of total β-catenin, nuclear β-catenin, and α-tubulin proteins. (D) Quantification of western blotting signals of β-catenin and α-tubulin proteins. Data were ratios compared with α-tubulin protein. Values represent means ± SEM (n = 6/group). * \(P < .05\), ** \(P < .01\) vs control group.
We next assessed learning and memory performance in the Morris water maze test. In the acquisition trials, there was a significant effect of day \( [F(3, 80) = 202.115, P < .001] \), CUS \( [F(1, 80) = 40.840, P < .001] \) and SB216763 \( [F(1, 80) = 23.846, P < .001] \) on latency to find the platform. The CUS+SB216763 group showed significantly shorter latencies than the CUS+saline group on day 3 \( (P < .01) \), and day 4 \( (P < .01, \text{Figure 3C}) \) in day-by-day analysis.

In the probe trial, ANOVA revealed main effects for CUS and SB216763 treatment on crossing times \( [F(1, 20) = 12.468, P = .002 \text{ for CUS}; F(1, 20) = 13.852, P = .001 \text{ for SB216763}] \), but no significant effect on the time in target quadrant \( [F(1, 20) = 4.258, P = .052 \text{ for CUS}; F(1, 20) = 3.826, P = .065 \text{ for SB216763}] \). Posthoc tests demonstrated that SB216763 administration significantly reversed the decreased crossings \( (P < .01; \text{Figure 3D}) \) and time swimming in the target quadrant \( (P < .05; \text{Figure 3E}) \) induced by CUS. There were no differences in the swim distance and swim speed among the groups (data not shown). Western-blotting analysis of whole-hippocampal homogenates showed that chronic SB216763 administration significantly increased total cellular \( \beta \)-catenin \( (P < .05) \) but had no significant effect on the levels of nuclear \( \beta \)-catenin compared with the control+saline group (\text{Figure 3F–G}). Furthermore, SB216763 significantly prevented the

---

**Figure 3.** Influence of GSK-3\( \beta \) inhibition on behavior tests in chronic unpredictable stress (CUS) rats. (A–B) Effects of CUS and SB216763 treatment on sucrose preference and immobility time in forced swimming test. (C) SB216763 treatment restored the CUS-induced longer latencies in the acquisition trials of Morris water maze test. (D–E) In the probe trial, SB216763 treatment restored the CUS-induced fewer crossing times over the platform position and less time spent in the target quadrant. (F) Western-blotting analysis showing the effects of SB216763 treatment on hippocampal \( \beta \)-catenin expression. (G) Quantification of western-blotting signals of \( \beta \)-catenin and \( \alpha \)-tubulin proteins. Data are presented as mean ± SEM \((n = 6/group)\). *\( P < .05 \), **\( P < .01 \) vs control+saline group, #\( P < .05 \) vs CUS+saline group, ##\( P < .01 \) vs CUS+saline group.
CUS-induced decrease of both total cellular levels and nuclear levels of β-catenin compared with the CUS+saline group (P < .05 for total β-catenin, P < .01 for nuclear β-catenin; Figure 3F–G). Further ANOVA analysis showed significant effects for CUS and SB216763 treatment on both total cellular levels (F(1, 20) = 16.723, P = .001 for CUS; F(1, 20) = 18.863, P < .001 for SB216763) and nuclear levels of β-catenin (F(1, 20) = 11.670, P = .003 for CUS; F(1, 20) = 16.987, P = .001 for SB216763).

**Cognitive Impairment of Viral GSK-3β Expression**

To directly determine the influence of increased expression of GSK-3β on memory and depression behaviors in rats, an AAV vector was designed to express GSK-3β as well as the marker GFP to allow for detection of infected neurons. AAV-control or AAV-GSK-3β was bilaterally injected into the dorsal hippocampus of adult rats, although we also observed GFP+ cells outside hippocampus, probably as a result of the virus traveling up the cannula track (Figure 4A). To verify the expression and function of AAV-GSK-3β, we performed immunofluorescence to detect both GFP, expressed by virus-infected neurons, and β-catenin, a downstream target of GSK-3β. We found that AAV-GSK-3β injection significantly decreased levels of β-catenin colocalization with GFP compared with that in AAV-control rats (Figure 4B). We confirmed this in a separate cohort by Western-blotting analysis of rat hippocampus. AAV-GSK-3β infusion significantly increased GSK-3β levels (P < .01) and decreased total cellular level of β-catenin compared with AAV-control rats (P < .01), demonstrating that GSK-3β overexpression caused functional activation of GSK-3β/β-catenin pathway in the hippocampus (Figure 4C).

Four weeks after virus infusion, AAV-GSK-3β rats showed a significant decrease in sucrose preference (P < .05; Figure 4D) and a significant increase in immobility time (P < .05; Figure 4E). Animals infused with AAV-GSK-3β displayed longer latencies on day 2 (P < .05), day 3 (P < .01), and day 4 (P < .05, Figure 4F) in the acquisition trials of the Morris water maze test relative to AAV-control-infused animals. In the probe trial, the AAV-GSK-3β group displayed decreased crossings (P < .01; Figure 4G) and less time swimming in the target quadrant (P < .05; Figure 4H) compared with the AAV-control group, with no changes in swim distance or swim speed (data not shown).

**Effects of CUS on Wnt Ligands and Antagonists**

Because GSK-3β and β-catenin are the key downstream regulators in canonical Wnt signaling, we next investigated the effects of CUS exposure on protein levels of Wnt ligands and antagonists. Western blotting (Figure 5A) indicated that there were no significant changes in the levels of Wnt1, Wnt3a or Wnt7a, which are classified as canonical Wnt ligands, compared to the control group (all P > .05; Figure 5B). However, there was a significant increase (P < .05; Figure 5B) in the expression of Wnt signaling antagonist Dkk-1.

**Chronic Treatment of Corticosterone Induces Dkk-1 Expression and Cognitive Impairment**

To further examine the effects of CUS exposure on the regulation of Dkk-1, we hypothesized that stress-induced increases in CORT might be the key mediator. Figure 6A and B showed that chronic, but not acute, CORT treatment rats showed a significant decrease in sucrose preference (P < .01) and a significant increase in immobility time (P < .01). Morris water maze test analysis showed that acute CORT treatment had no significant effect on the latencies in acquisition trials compared with the control group (all P > .05; Figure 6C). However, chronic CORT treatment significantly elevated the latencies in acquisition trials on day 2 (P < .01), day 3 (P < .01), and day 4 (P < .01; Figure 6C) compared with the control group. In the probe trial, acute CORT treatment had no significant effect on the crossing times or the time in the target quadrant (both P > .05; Figure 6D–E), while the chronic CORT treatment group displayed decreased crossings (P < .01; Figure 6D) and less time swimming in the target quadrant (P < .05; Figure 6E) compared with the control group. During the memory retrieval phase, the swim distance and swim speed were similar among groups (data not shown).

We further examined the levels of plasma CORT of all 4 groups of rats. Compared with the control group, acute CORT treatment had no significant effect on plasma corticosterone (P > .05; Figure 6F), while the chronic CORT treatment group and CUS group displayed higher levels of CORT (both P < .01; Figure 6F). In addition, Western-blotting analysis showed that chronic, but not acute, CORT treatment significantly increased hippocampal levels of Dkk-1 (P < .05) and decreased phosphorylation of Ser9 on GSK-3β (P < .05; Figure 6G–H), whereas both treatments had no significant effect on the levels of total GSK-3β (both P > .05).

**Discussion**

The results of the present study demonstrated that exposure to CUS had a dramatic influence on spatial cognitive performance in the Morris water maze task and decreased the phosphorylation of Ser9 of GSK-3β as well as the total and nuclear levels of β-catenin in the hippocampus. Inhibition of GSK3β by SB216763 significantly ameliorated the cognitive deficits induced by CUS, while overexpression of GSK3β by AAV-mediated gene transfer significantly decreased cognitive performance in adult rats. Moreover, CUS exposure increased the expression of the canonical Wnt antagonist Dkk-1. Furthermore, chronic administration of CORT, the key mediator of stress-induced depressive-like behavioral changes and synaptic dysfunction, significantly increased Dkk-1 expression, decreased the phosphorylation of Ser9 of GSK-3β, and resulted in impairment of hippocampal learning and memory. These results suggest that elevated CORT levels could play a role in the regulation of the GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling that underlies learning and memory deficits in CUS.

It is now widely accepted that cognitive dysfunctions including attention, executive function, and memory persist in patients suffering from major depression (Morimoto and Alexopoulos, 2013; Trivedi et al., 2014; Dillon, 2015; Galecki et al., 2015). An effect size analysis of cognitive functioning in 726 patients with major depressive disorder, conducted using meta-analytic principles, found that the type of memory task most affected by depression was recollection (Zakzanis et al., 1998). Patients suffering from chronic major depression display volume reductions of the hippocampus (Campbell et al., 2004; Koolschijn et al., 2009), a region important for memory formation. The present experiments showed a deficit of spatial memory in rats exposed to CUS, supporting the hypothesis that depressed subjects show differential impairment on memory tasks that are dependent on the hippocampus. Our results are consistent with a previous report showing a deficit of spatial memory in the water maze task following chronic stress or learned helplessness in mice (Song et al., 2006) and the findings of spatial memory deficits in other animal models of depression (Sun and Alkon, 2004; Wright et al., 2006; Bondi et al., 2008).
Figure 4. Influence of GSK-3β overexpression on behavior tests in rats. (A) Rats received bilateral intrahippocampal infusions of AAV-control or AAV-GSK-3β-GFP. Representative images of GFP protein expression in dorsal hippocampus. Blue DAPI staining showed the nuclei. Scale bars = 100 μm. (B) Representative colocalization images from dorsal hippocampal neurons positive for GFP and β-catenin. Scale bars = 25 μm. (C) Western-blotting analysis showing the effects of AAV-GSK-3β injection on the expression of GSK-3β and β-catenin in hippocampus. (D–E) Effects of AAV-GSK-3β infusion on sucrose preference and immobility time in forced swimming test. (F) AAV-GSK-3β infusion rats showed longer escape latency during training days 2 to 4 in the acquisition trials of Morris water maze test. (G–H) In the probe trial, AAV-GSK-3β infusion rats showed fewer crossing times over the platform position and less time spent in the target quadrant. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (n = 6/group). *P < .05, **P < .01 vs AAV-control group.
The GSK-3β/β-catenin pathway has been shown to be regulated by chronic stress. Prenatal chronic mild stress significantly increased the expression of hippocampal GSK-3β (Li et al., 2014). Decreased levels of phosphorylated GSK-3β and β-catenin in the hippocampus have been demonstrated in rats subjected to forced swim stress for 14 consecutive days (Liu et al., 2012). In addition, chronic restraint stress significantly decreased phosphorylation levels of Ser9 of GSK-3β in the prefrontal cortex (Huang et al., 2015). Furthermore, GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling has been implicated in both the pathophysiology and treatment of depression (Crofton et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2017). For example, increases in GSK-3β activity have been found in the prefrontal cortex of postmortem depressed suicide victims (Karege et al., 2012). The GSK-3β gene may play a role in determining the regional gray matter volume differences of the right hippocampus and bilateral superior temporal gyrus in patients with recur- 

The possible mechanisms of GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling in regulating learning and memory are as follows. First, this signaling pathway has been shown to be involved in the regulation of hippocampal long-term potentiation (Chen et al., 2006; Hooper et al., 2007; Franklin et al., 2014), which is an activity-dependent enhancement of synaptic strength and is considered one of the physiological mechanisms that underlies learning and memory in the hippocampus (Citri and Malenka, 2008). Importantly, β-catenin, present at pre- and postsynaptic terminals, associates with the cytoplasmic domain of cadherin and directly links to the actin cytoskeleton through α-catenin (Gumbiner, 1996). Alterations of cadherin-catenin complexes are thought to influence synaptic size and strength (Murase et al., 2002), suggesting direct participation in synaptic remodelling. Furthermore, GSK-3β/β-catenin has an important role in the regulation of synaptic neurotransmission in hippocampal neurons (Ahmad-Annuar et al., 2006; Cerpa et al., 2008). In addition, as a key component of the Wnt signaling pathway, β-catenin may activate TCF/LEF target genes that are important for neurogenesis, synaptic plasticity, and neuronal death and survival (Clevers, 2006; Hui et al., 2015). Further work is required to determine the mechanisms associated with learning and memory impairments in response to stress.

Growing evidence indicates the concurrence and interrelationship of depression and cognitive impairments (Kuzis et al., 1997; Payne et al., 1998; Zubenko et al., 2003). However, the detailed molecular mechanisms underlying the interactions of these 2 disorders have not been fully understood. It has been suggested that decreased brain derived neurotrophic factor and CAMP-response element-binding protein levels in hippocampus could be involved (Song et al., 2006). In the present study, our results showed that CUS exposure impaired spatial cognitive performance and decreased the phosphorylation of Ser9 of GSK-3β and β-catenin levels in hippocampus, while inhibition of GSK-3β significantly ameliorated the cognitive deficits induced by CUS, indicating an important function of GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling in the interactions between these 2 disorders.

We further investigated the levels of Wnt ligands and antagonists to explore the mechanism of the phosphorylation of GSK-3β caused by CUS. The results showed no significant differences in the levels of Wnt1, Wnt3a, or Wnt7a but did show significantly increased expression of Dkk-1. Although previous studies support a critical role for Wnt ligands and antagonists in learning and memory (Tabatabade et al., 2012; Fortress et al., 2013), our study suggested that only Dkk1 might be involved in the regulation of learning and memory impairments induced by CUS.
reflecting differences between Wnt ligands and antagonists in response to chronic stress.

Because the elevated activity of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis has key implications in the pathogenesis of several stress-related psychiatric illnesses (de et al., 2005), we hypothesize that the mechanisms underlying the increased Dkk-1 expression induced by CUS may involve glucocorticoid signaling. A recent study has shown that dexamethasone, a glucocorticoid hormone receptor agonist, induces an upregulation of Dkk-1 in human neural stem/progenitor cells (Moors et al., 2012). In addition, mild restraint stress and CORT treatment enhanced the expression of Dkk-1 in the hippocampus of mice, while stress-induced hippocampal damage does not occur in mice that lack a Dkk-1 gene transcriptional enhancer (Doublereidge) (Matrisciano et al., 2011). Importantly, the Dkk-1 gene promoter contains at least 3 glucocorticoid-responsive elements, and the induction of Dkk-1 by dexamethasone mainly resulted from the activation of transcription through glucocorticoid-responsive elements in the Dkk-1 gene promoter in human osteoblasts (Ohnaka et al., 2004). Our results of increased Dkk-1 expression after chronic administration of CORT are consistent with these previous findings.

**Figure 6.** Effects of corticosterone (CORT) treatment on behavior tests and Dkk-1 expression in rats. (A–B) Effects of CORT treatment on sucrose preference and immobility time in forced swimming test. (C) Effects of CORT treatment on escape latency during training days 2 to 4 in the acquisition trials of Morris water maze test. (D–E) In the probe trial, rats treated with chronic CORT showed fewer crossing times over the platform position and less time spent in the target quadrant. (F) Effects of CORT treatment and CUS on plasma corticosterone levels. (G) Western-blotting analysis showing the effects of CORT treatments on hippocampal total GSK-3β, phospho-Ser9-GSK-3β, and Dkk-1 expression. (H) Quantification of western-blotting signals of total GSK-3β, phospho-Ser9-GSK-3β, Dkk-1, and α-tubulin proteins. Data are presented as mean ± SEM (n = 6/group). *P < .05, **P < .01 vs control group.
The action of glucocorticoids on target tissues is mediated by interactions with the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) or mineralocorticoid receptor (MR). Although the mechanism of CORT in increasing the expression of Dkk-1 is not clear, studies implicate GR-dependent regulation. The upregulation of Dkk-1 in primary cultured hippocampal neurons induced by CORT was attenuated by the GR blocker mifepristone but not by spironolactone, which blocks MR (Matrisciano et al., 2011). In addition, our hypothesis is also consistent with the evidence that excessive activation of GRs produces neurotoxic effects in the hippocampus, while activation of MRs is neuroprotective (Crochemore et al., 2005).

We acknowledge that the current studies on learning and memory deficits of CUS rats do not necessarily extrapolate to cognitive declines in depressed patients, which are involved in diminished ability to think and concentrate or indecisiveness, with devastating effects on executive functions, short- and long-term learning, and memory. In addition, effects of CUS on Wnt/GRS-3β-catenin signaling pathway were studied in the whole hippocampus; further studies will be needed to determine which subregions of hippocampus are specific to these effects.

In summary, our results suggest that learning and memory deficits resulting from long-term stress exposure are associated with the GSK-3β-catenin signaling pathway that links the upregulation of Dkk-1 induced by chronic CORT treatment. Understanding the mechanisms that underlie hippocampal damage in response to stress/glucocorticoids may shed new light on the pathophysiology of mood disorders and stress-related cognitive dysfunctions and may lead to the identification of new therapeutic targets.
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