Numerical evaluation of multi-gluon amplitudes for High Energy Factorization

M. Bury and A. van Hameren

The H. Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics
Polish Academy of Sciences
Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342 Cracow, Poland

April 9, 2015

Abstract

We present a program to evaluate tree-level multi-gluon amplitudes with up to two of them off-shell. Furthermore, it evaluates squared amplitudes summed over colors and helicities for up to six external gluons. It employs both analytic expressions, obtained via BCFW recursion, and numerical BCFW recursion. It has been validated numerically with the help of an independent program employing numerical Dyson-Schwinger recursion.

1 Introduction

Factorization prescriptions are powerful tools to tame the complex calculations involving quantum chromodynamics (QCD) for scattering processes at collider experiments like at the Large Hadron Collider. They factorize contributions to cross sections according to the scales involved, and/or according to universality and accessibility via perturbation theory. Many factorization prescriptions are heuristic in nature, and some are proven, which means that perturbation theory and the treatment of possible singularities, along with the occurrence of large logarithms of ratios of scales, can be dealt with in a systematic manner.

Partonic scattering amplitudes form an essential ingredient in factorized calculations of cross sections for hadron collisions. Even though the partonic states are not physical, on-shell partonic amplitudes are well defined gauge invariant objects of the gauge theory QCD, using its
Lagrangian and the Lehmann Symanzik Zimmermann reduction formula. Factorization embeds the non-physical scattering amplitudes into physical cross sections. Recently, it has been shown that scattering amplitudes involving any number of off-shell external gluons can also be defined in a rigorous manner [11]. Such amplitudes are relevant in factorization prescriptions requiring off-shell initial-state partons, like High Energy Factorization (HEF) [2,3]. Recent developments and calculations involving such factorization prescriptions can be found in [4–12].

Calculations employing collinear factorization, for which the scattering amplitudes are completely on-shell, have been automated to the end for arbitrary processes, with essentially arbitrary multiplicities, and within essentially arbitrary models of quantum field theory [13–22]. By now, developments are heading at reaching this status to next-to-leading order in perturbation theory. This includes one-loop amplitudes and real-radiation contributions with all the complications arising due to mass singularities and the highly non-trivial phase space integration [23–29].

HEF requires partonic scattering amplitudes with off-shell initial state partons, and automation of the calculation of these has not been established. Systematic formulations of their calculation have been established [30–34]. In this paper, we present a program to numerically evaluate tree-level multi-gluon scattering amplitudes with up to two off-shell gluons as function of the gluon momenta, squared and summed over colors of all gluons, and summed over the spins of the on-shell gluons. It evaluates them via color-ordered helicity amplitudes that are calculated using the generalization of Britto-Cachazo-Feng-Witten (BCFW) recursion [35,36], described in [37], to include off-shell gluons. The program uses both hard-coded expression obtained via analytical BCFW recursion, and numerical BCFW recursion. Using the latter, color-ordered amplitudes may be calculated to essentially arbitrary multiplicity. Squared amplitudes summed over colors and helicities are provided for up to six external gluons.

This paper continues as follows: in Section 2 the amplitudes that the program calculates are defined. Section 3 explains how color is treated. Section 4 describes the usage of the program, and Section 5 introduces the program with which it was validated. Section 6, finally, contains the summary.

2 Definitions

We consider the generally factorized formula for the gluonic contribution to a cross section in hadron collisions

\[ \sigma(h_1(p_1)h_2(p_2) \rightarrow X) = \int d^4k_1 F_1(k_1) \int d^4k_2 F_2(k_2) \frac{\delta(g^*(k_1)g^*(k_2) \rightarrow X)}{4\sqrt{(k_1 \cdot k_2)^2 - k_1^2 k_2^2}}. \] (1)

This formula is very general, and \( g^* \) does not necessarily refer to an off-shell gluon. In collinear factorization, for example, we would have

\[ F_i(k_i) = \frac{1}{2N_c} \int_0^1 \frac{dx_i}{x_i} f_i(x_i, \mu) \delta^4(k_i - x_i p_i), \] (2)
where $f_i$ is the collinear pdf for a hadron of type $i$. We include the factors establishing averaging over spins and colors in $F_i$ here. In the hybrid HEF [38], for example, $F_2$ would be as above, while $F_1$ would be given by

$$F_1(k_1) = \frac{1}{N_c} \frac{d^2k_T}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \mathcal{F}_1(x_1, k_T, \mu) \delta^4(k_1 - x_1 p_1 - k_T),$$

(3)

where $\mathcal{F}_1(x_1, k_T, \mu)$ is the unintegrated gluon density.

The symbol $X$ stands for a partonic final state, for example a number of on-shell gluons. The partonic cross section $\hat{\sigma}$ is given by

$$\hat{\sigma}(g^* (k_1) g^* (k_2) \rightarrow X) = \int d\Phi(k_1, k_2 \rightarrow X) |\mathcal{A}(g^* g^* \rightarrow X)|^2 O(X).$$

(4)

The phase space integration includes the summation over all color and spin degrees of freedom. The observable $O$ turns the partonic final state into a physical final state, for example through a jet algorithm. This function also contains the necessary symmetry factors related to the final state. We concentrate on the amplitude $\mathcal{A}(g^* g^* \rightarrow X)$ from now on, for the case that $X$ stands for a number of on-shell gluons.

We will adopt the convention that momenta denoted by the letter $p$ are always light-like, while momenta denoted by the letter $k$ are not necessarily light-like. For the multi-gluon amplitudes we consider, this means that the initial-state momenta are denoted $k_1^\mu, k_2^\mu$, while the final-state momenta are denoted $p_3^\mu, \ldots, p_n^\mu$. Momentum conservation is imposed as

$$k_1^\mu + k_2^\mu + p_3^\mu + \cdots + p_n^\mu = 0,$$

(5)

so the initial-state momenta have negative energy.

Spin amplitudes with on-shell gluons depend on the momenta $p_i^\mu$ and polarization vectors $\epsilon_i^\mu$ associated with those gluons. On-shellness implies that for each on-shell gluon we have

$$p_i \cdot p_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_i \cdot \epsilon_i = 0.$$

(6)

Gauge invariance assures the Ward identity that any momentum proportional to $p_i^\mu$ may be added to $\epsilon_i^\mu$ without changing the amplitude:

$$\mathcal{A}(\ldots; p_i^\mu, \epsilon_i^\mu; \ldots) = \mathcal{A}(\ldots; p_i^\mu, \epsilon_i^\mu + z p_i^\mu; \ldots) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}. $$

(7)

Consequently, the amplitude only depends on the transverse part of $\epsilon_i^\mu$, and one may consider helicity amplitudes instead, which depend on $p_i^\mu$ and the helicity $\lambda_i$ which takes the two possible values $+$ or $-$. Regarding off-shell gluons, the amplitude depends on their momenta $k_i^\mu$, and on their “polarization vector” or direction $p_i^\mu$, satisfying

$$p_i \cdot p_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_i \cdot k_i = 0.$$

(8)
Within HEF, the direction is given by the momentum of one of the scattering hadrons, and the off-shell momentum is typically defined in terms of this and a transverse momentum via

\[ k_\mu^i = x_i p_\mu^i + k_{T,i}^\mu. \] (9)

Realize, however that given any momentum \( k_\mu^i \), one may construct an associated direction \( p_\mu^i \) satisfying Eq. (8) (see Appendix A). For the amplitude, the notion of transverse momentum is arbitrary to a certain degree, since one can shift a fraction of \( p_\mu^i \) to \( k_{T,i}^\mu \):

\[ k_\mu^i = x'_i p_\mu^i + k_{T,i}^\mu \quad \text{with} \quad x'_i = x_i - x, \quad k_{T,i}^\mu = k_{T,i}^\mu + x p_\mu^i. \] (10)

Interpreting this as a change of \( p_\mu^i \) rather than \( x_i \), the amplitude scales homogeneously with this change:

\[ \mathcal{A} \left( \ldots; k_\mu^i, \frac{x'_i}{x_i} p_\mu^i, \ldots \right) = \frac{x'_i}{x_i} \mathcal{A} \left( \ldots; k_\mu^i, p_\mu^i; \ldots \right). \] (11)

### 3 Color treatment

One issue regarding the color treatment as presented in [33] has to be settled. There, the amplitude with off-shell gluons is obtained by considering each of them as an auxiliary eikonal quark-anti-quark pair, carrying fundamental color indices. This situation is different from [1], where each off-shell gluon carries a single adjoint color index, and the well-known color decompositions, the one presented in [39] in particular, hold manifestly. It is not \textit{a priori} clear that these also hold in the formulation of [33]. In particular, one might expect that the so-called \( U(1) \)-gluons would contribute in the color-flow representation, connecting two eikonal quark lines. In this section, we will argue that this is not the case, and that the representations of [1] and [33] are indeed equivalent.

This is essentially guaranteed by the (proven) observation, stated in eq.(40) and eq.(41) of [33], that the \textit{induced vertices} of figure 4 in [33] are traceless. This also guarantees the equivalence of these vertices with those defined in [32]. Consider an amplitude in the color representation in which each gluon, be it on-shell or off-shell, is represented by a pair of fundamental color indices. For the on-shell gluons this is achieved by contracting the amplitude with \( T_{a_1}^{\alpha_1} \), where \( \alpha_1 \) is the adjoint color index of the external on-shell gluon. Tracelessness of the induced vertices implies tracelessness with respect to the color indices of the auxiliary eikonal quark-anti-quark pair, so for each pair of fundamental color indices referring to a gluon in the amplitude, on-shell and off-shell, we have

\[ M_{i_1 \cdots i_n}^{j_1 \cdots j_n} \delta_{i_g}^{j_g} = 0. \] (12)

Each pair \( i_1, j_1 \) may refer to a gluon, on-shell or off-shell, or an ordinary quark-anti-quark pair. The relation above only holds if \( g \) refers to a gluon. The general formula for the color-flow decomposition of the amplitude is given by [40,41]

\[ M_{i_1 \cdots i_n}^{j_1 \cdots j_n} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \delta_{i_1}^{j_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots \delta_{i_n}^{j_{\sigma(n)}} A'_{\sigma}, \] (13)
where the sum is over all $n!$ permutations $\sigma$ of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$. Thanks to Eq. (12), all partial amplitudes $A'_\sigma$ vanish if $l = \sigma(l)$ for any $l$ referring to a gluon. As a result, for the case there are only gluons, the decomposition reduces to

$$M_{i_1 \cdots i_n} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} \delta_{i_1 \sigma(1)} \delta_{i_2 \sigma(2)} \cdots \delta_{i_n \sigma(n)} A_\sigma,$$

(14)

where the sum is now over only $(n-1)!$ permutations. The change in notation from $A'_\sigma$ to $A_\sigma$ just indicates that the labelling is not identical, e.g. $A_{1234} = A'_{2341}$ etc.. We may return to the adjoint representation by contracting every fundamental pair $i, j$ with $T^a_{i,j}$, leading to the well-known formula

$$M_{a_1 \cdots a_n} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} \text{Tr} \{ T^{a_{\sigma(1)}} T^{a_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots T^{a_{\sigma(n-1)}} T^{a_n} \} A_\sigma.$$

(15)

The formulas above are not quite the same as in the mentioned references. One ingredient that is missing is that, if all gluons are on-shell, the partial amplitudes $A_\sigma$ are given by a single amplitude with permuted arguments:

$$A_\sigma(1, \ldots, n-1, n) = A(\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(n-1), n).$$

(16)

Each argument in the form of a number, say $l$, refers to both the momentum and the helicity of gluon $l$. This is easy to understand considering that all external gluons are essentially equivalent. If some of them are off-shell, this does not seem so obvious, but we may consider the case in which all of them are off-shell, and thus equivalent. Now, each number $l$ refers to the momentum and direction, or longitudinal momentum component, associated with off-shell gluon $l$. We may take the on-shell limit of gluon $l$, which in [37] is argued to lead to

$$M^{a_1 \cdots a_n} \rightarrow \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} \text{Tr} \{ T^{a_{\sigma(1)}} T^{a_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots T^{a_{\sigma(n-1)}} T^{a_n} \} A(\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(l^+), \ldots, \sigma(n-1), n)$$

$$+ \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} \text{Tr} \{ T^{a_{\sigma(1)}} T^{a_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots T^{a_{\sigma(n-1)}} T^{a_n} \} A(\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(l^-), \ldots, \sigma(n-1), n)$$

(17)

It is explained in [37] how this coherent sum over helicities turns into an incoherent sum for the squared amplitude. We see that for each helicity, the color decomposition including Eq. (16), still holds. The color decomposition presented in [39] also holds, since it is proven there using only group theory arguments, and is independent of the exact form of the partial amplitudes.
The program can be obtained from

http://bitbucket.org/hameren/amp4hef

The main directory contains a README file describing how to compile and use the program. The program is written in Fortran 2003, but it eventually provides a module that makes a few subroutines available that only take (arrays of) intrinsic integer, real and complex type variables as arguments. More specifically, the

module amp4hef

provides first all the

subroutine init_amp4hef

which does not take any arguments, and must be called once before any other routine. Furthermore, it provides the

integer, parameter :: fltknd = kind(1d0)

which is set to this value in the module amp4hef_spinors, which can be found in the source file amp4hef_spinors.f90. All real and complex variables are of this kind. Then, the module provides

subroutine construct_ng( Noffshel ,Nonshell ,momenta ,directions )
    integer , intent(in) :: Noffshell ,Nonshell
    real(fltknd) , intent(in) :: momenta(0:3,*), directions(0:3,*)

This routine takes external momenta and directions as arguments, and prepares all spinors etc. for the evaluation of amplitudes and matrix elements. The input Noffshell refers to the number of off-shell momenta, and should be 0, 1 or 2. The input Nonshell refers to the number of on-shell momenta. All momenta should be provided via the array momenta. The size of the second dimension should be at least \( n = N_{\text{offshell}} + N_{\text{onshell}} \). The initial-state momenta should be \( \text{momenta}(\mu, i) = k_1^\mu \) for \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), while the final-state momenta should be \( \text{momenta}(\mu, i) = p_1^\mu \) for \( i \in \{3, \ldots, n\} \), where \( \mu \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \). The size of the second dimension of the input directions should be at least Noffshell. This array should provide the directions associated with the off-shell momenta: \( \text{directions}(\mu, i) = p_1^\mu \). They should satisfy Eq. (8).

After calling subroutine construct_ng, the squared amplitude summed over colors and helicities can be evaluated for the given momenta and directions with

subroutine matrix_element_ng( rslt )
    real(fltknd), intent(out) :: rslt

The output of this routine is missing a factor \((4\pi\alpha_s)^{n-2}\), where \( n \) is the total number of gluons. The number of colors is fixed to \( N_c = 3 \). This can be changed in the file amp4hef_ng.f90. The output of this routine is normalized such that when \( k_1^\mu \rightarrow p_1^\mu \) for each off-shell gluon, then the result becomes the standard result with on-shell gluons only, including the sum over all their helicities.
Also after calling subroutine \texttt{construct\_ng}, color-ordered helicity amplitudes can be evaluated with

\begin{verbatim}
subroutine amplitude\_ng( rslt , helicity , permutation )
    complex(fltknd),intent(out) :: rslt
    integer ,intent(in) :: helicity(*)
    integer ,intent(in) :: permutation(*)
\end{verbatim}

The size of the array \texttt{helicities} should be at least \( n = \text{Noffshell} + \text{Nonshell} \). Its entries refer to the helicities of the on-shell gluons and follow the same enumeration as the momenta given to subroutine \texttt{construct\_ng}. Their values should be one of \(-1\) or \(+1\). The size of the array \texttt{permutation} should be at least \( n - 2 \), and only refers to the final-state gluons. Denoting \( \lambda_i = \text{helicities}(i) \) for \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \), and \( \sigma(i) = \text{permutation}(i) \) for \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n - 2\} \), this routine returns the value of

\[ \mathcal{A}(1^+, 2^+, 3^-, 4^-, 5^+) = \frac{1}{\kappa_1 \kappa_2} \frac{[12]^3[43]^3}{\langle 5|k_1 + k_2|2\rangle\langle 3|k_1 + k_2|1\rangle\langle 54|k_1 + k_2|^2} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\kappa_1 \kappa_2^2} \frac{(32)^3[51]^3}{\langle 2|k_2 + p_3|4\rangle\langle 3|k_2|1\rangle\langle k_2 + p_3|^2} + \frac{1}{\kappa_1^4} \frac{[25]^4[21]^3}{\langle 1|k_1 + k_2|2\rangle\langle 2|k_1|5\rangle\langle 2|k_1|23|34|45 \rangle} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{\kappa_2} \frac{1}{\langle 2|k_1 + k_2|2\rangle\langle 3|p_3 + p_4|1\rangle\langle 5|p_3 + p_4|2\rangle\langle 15|23|34 \rangle\langle 1|k_2|1|24 \rangle + \langle 13|34|21 \rangle} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\kappa_1} \frac{1}{\langle 2|k_2 + p_3|4\rangle\langle 2|k_1|5\rangle\langle 2|p_3 + p_4|1\rangle\langle k_1 + p_3|^2} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{\kappa_2} \frac{1}{\langle 3|k_2|1\rangle\langle 1|k_2|2\rangle\langle 3|k_1 + k_2|1\rangle\langle k_2 + p_3|^2} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} \frac{1}{\langle 32|12|^3[13]^4[51]^3} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{\kappa_2} \frac{1}{\langle 3|k_2|1\rangle\langle 1|k_2|2\rangle\langle 3|k_1 + k_2|1\rangle\langle k_2 + p_3|^2} \]

When both off-shell gluons go on-shell, the first term corresponds to the helicities \((1^+, 2^+)\), the second to \((1^+, 2^-)\), while the third and fourth combine to \((1^-, 2^+)\). The last two terms are irrelevant in that limit, and the helicities \((1^-, 2^-)\) correspond to a vanishing amplitude in the on-shell case.

The module \texttt{amp4hef} also provides the routines defined in the file \texttt{amp4hef\_ons\_xpr.f90}, which collects some expressions from literature for squared amplitudes summed over colors and
helicities [45]. These are mainly included to clarify the normalization conventions used in this program.

5 Validation

The main directory also contains an example directory, which again contains a directory with data files used for the validation of the program. These data files were produced with the help of A Very Handy LIBrary in Fortran, which employs Dyson-Schwinger recursion to numerically evaluate scattering amplitudes for arbitrary processes. It also provides and efficient phase space generator [46,47] and other tools for the evaluation of distributions of arbitrary observables using the Monte Carlo method of integration.

6 Summary

We presented a program to numerically evaluate multi-gluon amplitudes, which can be applied in factorized cross section calculations for hadron collisions which ask for off-shell initial-state gluons. It calculates squared amplitudes summed over colors and helicities for up to six external gluons with up to two of them off-shell. Analytical expressions for color ordered helicity amplitudes are employed for up to five external gluons with up to two of them off-shell. Higher multiplicities are evaluated using numerical BCFW recursion. The program has been validated with an independent library employing numerical Dyson-Schwinger recursion.
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A Construction of the direction

Given the Sudakov decomposition in terms of two light-like momenta $p_1^\mu$, $p_2^\mu$

$$k^\mu = x_1 p_1^\mu + x_2 p_2^\mu + k_1^\mu \quad \text{with} \quad p_1 \cdot k_1 = p_2 \cdot k_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_1 \cdot p_2 = \frac{1}{2} s \neq 0 ,$$

we may choose

$$p^\mu = p_1^\mu - \frac{x^2 k_1^2}{s} p_2^\mu - x k_1^\mu \quad \text{with} \quad x = \frac{1}{x_1} \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{x_1 x_2 s}{k_1^2}} - 1 \right) .$$

We then have

$$k^\mu = x_1 p^\mu + k_1^\mu$$

with $p^\mu$ defined above, and with

$$k_1^\mu = (1 + x x_1) k_1^\mu + \left( \frac{x^2 k_1^2}{s} x_1 + x_2 \right) p_2^\mu ,$$

satisfying

$$p \cdot p = p \cdot k_1^\mu = 0 .$$

Notice that $x \to 0$ for $x_2 \to 0$, so the construction is consistent. Also, if $k^\mu$ becomes light-like, that is if $x_1 x_2 s + k_1^2 \to 0$, we have $x \to -1/x_1$ and $k_1^\mu \to 0$.

Alternatively, if $k^\mu$ is given as the sum of two light-like momenta,

$$k^\mu = p_1^\mu + p_2^\mu ,$$

we may choose

$$p^\mu = p_1^\mu - p_2^\mu - \frac{1}{2 z} \langle p_1 | \gamma^\mu | p_2 \rangle + \frac{z}{2} \langle p_2 | \gamma^\mu | p_1 \rangle$$

for any $z \neq 0$, or in terms of spinors:

$$| p \rangle = | p_1 \rangle + z | p_2 \rangle , \quad | p \rangle = | p_1 \rangle - \frac{1}{z} | p_2 \rangle .$$

A decomposition like Eq. (25) can always be constructed given any auxiliary light-like momentum $q^\mu$ with $q \cdot k \neq 0$:

$$p_2^\mu = \frac{k^2}{2 q \cdot k} q^\mu \quad \text{and} \quad p_1^\mu = k^\mu - p_2^\mu .$$