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Abstract: A technique for lateral extra-articular tenodesis using proximal staple fixation is described as an adjunct to anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction. Lateral extra-articular tenodesis has been used in an effort to decrease failure rates in ACL-deficient patients with ligamentous laxity, prior failed ACL surgery, or grade 3 pivot-shift findings. Numerous surgeons have described combining ACL reconstruction with extra-articular surgery. The approach described in this article is easy and cost-effective. Moreover, because this technique uses a staple instead of a SwiveLock (Arthrex, Naples, FL) or other suture anchor, it limits the chance of conflicting with the tunnels for the ACL because there is no need to drill or punch additional tunnels.

For many years, anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury has been the primary focus when considering impaired knee stability. However, numerous articles have reported an anterolateral capsular injury (Segond fracture) associated with ACL tears. Despite this well-known association, little has been published on the anatomy of this region, and the importance of the anterolateral structures to rotational stability has been downplayed. Historically, some authors believed that anterolateral rotational instability caused by an ACL tear could be treated by extra-articular surgery rather than ACL reconstruction. Numerous techniques were developed that focused exclusively on extra-articular reconstruction to control the instability. The current standard of care is to reconstruct the ACL with autograft tissue and disregard any anterolateral injuries. However, the recent “rediscovery” and description of the anterolateral ligament (ALL) by Claes et al. in 2013 brought new attention to treatment of the anterolateral corner of the knee. In an effort to decrease failure rates in patients with ligamentous laxity, prior failed ACL surgery, or grade 3 pivot-shift findings, numerous surgeons have described combining ACL reconstruction with extra-articular surgery. They have reported improved knee stability and patient-reported outcomes (PROs). The anterolateral knee structures are composed of the ALL and iliotibial band (ITB), especially its deep fibers, also known as the Kaplan fibers. It has also been reported that the ITB is injured in 93% of cases of ACL-deficient knees. In addition, it is possible that the ITB plays a greater role in restraining instability than the ALL.

The most well-known technique for anterolateral tenodesis was first described by Lemaire. This technique has been shown to be successful by many surgeons, who have also slightly modified the original technique. Because the importance of anterolateral tissue reconstruction is now more established, we believe that the proper surgical technique should be used to address anterolateral knee surgery. The objective of this article is to describe our technique for lateral extra-articular tenodesis (LET) with proximal staple fixation that is efficient, is cost-effective, and may be less risky for the bone. Moreover, because this technique uses a staple instead of a SwiveLock (Arthrex, Naples, FL) or other suture anchor, it limits the chance of conflicting with the tunnels for the ACL because there is no need to drill or punch additional tunnels.
This approach is better able to control the pivot shift because the vector of pull is more horizontal than the ALL. This technique is presented as a supportive surgical procedure with ACL reconstruction as the primary treatment.

**Technique**

**Preoperative Workup**

Patients who present with suspected ACL injury to our institution undergo a standard history and physical examination, as well as preoperative magnetic resonance imaging. The physical examination is focused on assessment of anterolateral knee instability based on the Lachman and pivot-shift tests. When gross instability is observed, with at least grade 2 Lachman and pivot-shift findings and with no medial meniscal lesion on magnetic resonance imaging, LET after ACL reconstruction is considered. We also observe for the presence of recurvatum and generalized ligamentous laxity, which may serve as indications for LET as well.

**Step 1**

The patient is placed in the supine position on the surgical table. After anesthesia induction, the knee is prepared and draped in a sterile fashion. The tourniquet on the thigh is inflated to 200 to 300 mm Hg. First, intra-articular ACL reconstruction is performed. The knee is then positioned in 30° of flexion. We apply a lateral side bolster and a sandbag under the patient’s foot to maintain the knee position (Video 1).

**Step 2**

A slightly curved 10-cm-long incision is performed along the lateral side of the knee. It is placed over the ITB, starting proximally from the Gerdy tubercle (Fig 1). Dissection down to the ITB is performed, and Gelpi retractors are placed (Fig 2). Next, a Cobb retractor is used to separate the soft tissue from the ITB (Fig 3).

**Step 3**

Once the ITB is well visualized, a parallel blade knife is used to score a 1-cm-wide midsubstance ITB tissue strip (Fig 4). The aim is to obtain a strip of ITB tissue about 8 cm long. Metzenbaum scissors are used to complete the dissection and release the proximal end...
of the tissue strip. It is crucial to leave the distal attachment to the Gerdy tubercle intact.

**Step 4**

Next, No. 2 FiberWire (Arthrex) is used to whipstitch the proximal end of the previously harvested ITB strip. We aim to secure about 2 cm of this end.

**Step 5**

After identification of the lateral collateral ligament (LCL) position, a No. 15 blade scalpel is used to delineate its borders (Fig 5). It is advised to increase knee flexion and keep the knee in a slightly varus position to achieve proper LCL identification. It is also crucial not to violate the joint capsule during LCL dissection. Afterward, a Kelly hemostat is passed underneath the LCL, creating space under the LCL for the ITB tissue strip. It is passed from proximal to distal (Fig 6).

**Step 6**

The Kelly hemostat is used to grasp and pull the No. 2 FiberWire suture ends from distal to proximal, underneath the LCL. Next, the whole ITB tissue strip is passed under the preserved LCL.

**Step 7**

The knee is once again positioned in about 30° of flexion. The underside of the ITB is palpated and bluntly dissected so that the proper place for the ITB strip can be identified. The landmark is 2 cm proximal and slightly posterior to the native LCL femoral insertion.

**Step 8**

Once the proper place is identified, the ITB strip is secured in the aforementioned place using a small surgical staple (Richards staple; Smith & Nephew, Memphis, TN) (Fig 7). Next, the free end of the ITB strip is doubled over, and the remaining free ends of the No. 2 FiberWire are looped around the LCL and tied securely.

**Step 9**

On conclusion of the procedure, full range of motion of the knee is ensured and the Lachman and pivot-shift tests are performed to ensure its stability. The wounds are irrigated, and tourniquet pressure is released. Absorbable No. 2-0 Vicryl suture (Ethicon, Somerville, NJ).

**Table 1.** Advantages and Disadvantages of LET With Staple Fixation Technique

| Advantages                                                                                           |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gives additional stabilization to ACL repair or reconstruction                                      |
| Does not require expensive implants and instruments                                                 |
| Gives an option to perform looping over the LCL, therefore combining LET techniques                 |
| Avoids drill-hole convergence with ACL tunnels vs using a suture anchor                              |
| Risks and disadvantages                                                                               |
| Requires an additional skin incision during the ACL repair or reconstruction procedure                |
| Risks peroneal nerve injury if dissection is carried too far inferiorly                               |
| Risks LCL injury if proper identification and dissection are not performed                           |
| Requires the proper type and size of staple                                                          |

ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; LCL, lateral collateral ligament; LET, lateral extra-articular tenodesis.
NJ) is then used to close the subcutaneous layer, and No. 3-0 Vicryl is used to close the skin layer.

Postoperative Rehabilitation
After the procedure, early active range of motion and weight bearing as tolerated are suggested. We encourage the use of crutches for the first 10 to 14 days until adequate balance is maintained. A standardized postoperative ACL protocol is provided, and a full return to sports activity is considered no sooner than 6 months after surgery.

Discussion
The anterolateral knee soft tissues are composed of the anterolateral capsular ligament (i.e. the ALL) and ITB, with its deep Kaplan fibers spreading to the lateral meniscal insertion, distal femur, and joint capsule.23 Engebretsen et al.10 reported that adding LET to ACL reconstruction decreases the total forces experienced by the ACL graft by about 43%. Slette et al.7 reported in a systematic review that 7 of 8 studies showed a significant reduction in internal rotatory movement once the LET was applied. Furthermore, the modified Lemaire tenodesis, which is similar to our technique, was tested in cadavers by Inderhaug et al.,26,27 who concluded that this surgical procedure combined with ACL reconstruction restores normal knee kinematics regardless of knee position during graft fixation.13 In our technique, the ITB strip is fixed in 30° of flexion, which corresponds to the original Lemaire description.11 A study by Song et al.31 included 7 articles for further analysis and found that the LET procedure significantly decreased postoperative pivot shift when combined with ACL reconstruction. Consistent with the findings of Rezende et al.,19 no difference in PRO measures was reported.31

In conclusion, ACL reconstruction alone may not reconstitute native knee biomechanics, and other techniques to augment this procedure may be necessary to protect the knee. Some clinical studies have supported the idea of adding LET to support ACL graft incorporation, limit postoperative instability, and improve PRO measures without an increase in the complication rate. We are aware that the described technique requires an additional skin incision and additional hardware implantation. Nevertheless, we believe it is relatively easier and less expensive than previously reported techniques. To highlight the usability of our technique, possible risks and advantages are summarized in Table 1. Some key points from our experience using this technique are highlighted in Table 2. We believe our technique will benefit patients based on those who fit the described criteria because it is quick and reproducible; however, we are aware that further clinical study might be helpful to fully evaluate this technique.

Table 2. Key Steps, Pearls, and Pitfalls of LET With Staple Fixation Technique

| Key Steps                  | Pearls and Pitfalls                                                                 |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Limb positioning           | The patient is supine. The knee position must allow for full ROM intraoperatively. We place a sandbag under the hip and a bolster to allow easy access to the lateral side of the knee. |
| ITB identification         | It is crucial to properly expose the ITB before attempting to harvest the strip. The surgeon should be cautious when inferior to the ITB to avoid injury to the peroneal nerve. |
| ITB harvesting             | It is crucial to harvest at least an 8-cm tissue strip. The strip is left intact distally. |
| LCL identification         | It is crucial not to harm the LCL tendon. The surgeon should dissect slowly and palpate for a cord-like structure running perpendicular to the ITB. The surgeon should use as much blunt dissection as possible. |
| Staple fixation            | The Richards staple should have barbs (not smooth) to achieve proper fixation of the tissue strip and resist pulling forces during postoperative loading. |
| ITB strip looping          | It is crucial to make a loop over the staple and incorporate it with the rest of the ITB strip. This will prevent the LET from loosening over time. |

ITB, iliotibial band; LCL, lateral collateral ligament; LET, lateral extra-articular tenodesis; ROM, range of motion.
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