Zeros of the partition function and phase transition
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The equation of state of a system at equilibrium may be derived from the canonical or the grand canonical partition function. The former is a function of temperature $T$, while the latter also depends on the chemical potential $\mu$ for diffusive equilibrium. In the literature, often the variables $\beta = (k_{B}T)^{-1}$ and fugacity $z = \exp(\beta \mu)$ are used instead. For real $\beta$ and $z$, the partition functions are always positive, being sums of positive terms. Following Lee, Yang and Fisher, we point out that valuable information about the system may be gleaned by examining the zeros of the grand partition function in the complex $z$ plane (real $\beta$), or of the canonical partition function in the complex $\beta$ plane. In case there is a phase transition, these zeros close in on the real axis in the thermodynamic limit. Examples are given from the van der Waal gas, and from the ideal Bose gas, where we show that even for a finite system with a small number of particles, the method is useful.

I. INTRODUCTION

In a senior level undergraduate or a beginning graduate course, examples of phase transition are often given from the classical van der Waals equation of state, and at a quantum level, from the Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of an ideal Bose gas. The treatment, naturally, focuses on the equation of state of the system as a function of physical parameters like the temperature $T$ and chemical potential $\mu$, which are real. It is instructive to learn, however, that the approach towards phase transition may be studied by examining the analytical behavior of the partition function for complex values of the parameters, even for a finite system where there is no discontinuity in the derivatives of the free energy.

For a system in thermal and diffusive equilibrium, it is convenient to calculate the ensemble average using the grand canonical partition function $Z(\beta, z)$, where $\beta = (k_{B}T)^{-1}$, $k_{B}$ being the Boltzmann constant and $z = \exp(\beta \mu)$ the fugacity. Note that there is an implicit volume dependence in $Z$, since the eigenenergies are volume dependent. We suppress this in our notation $Z(\beta, z)$ for simplicity. The grand canonical partition function is a sum of positive definite terms for real positive values of $\beta$ and $z$, and as such cannot have a zero in the physical domain of these variables. Lee and Yang considered a lattice gas with a hard core interaction. Because of the short-range repulsion between the particles, only a finite number of particles may be packed into a finite volume. As we shall see, this allows one to express $Z$ as a finite-degree polynomial in fugacity $z$. This polynomial is then completely defined in terms of its zeros on the complex fugacity plane. These zeros are all complex, coming in complex conjugate pairs. In the thermodynamic limit, the zeros coalesce in continuous lines, tending to pinch the positive real $z$ axis at a phase transition. In this paper, we show that this tendency sets in even at finite particle number and volume, with the zeros moving closer to the real axis as the particle number is increased. Even though complex, the closer a zero comes to the real axis, the more it dominates real thermodynamic properties. Note that the validity of the Lee-Yang method rests on the repulsive core between the particles.

Fisher pointed out that the zeros of the canonical partition function $Z_N(\beta)$ on the complex $\beta$ plane have an analogous behavior. However, Fisher zeros can give useful information even in the absence of the repulsive core. The Fisher zeros for ideal trapped bosons were studied in the context of BEC by Müllken et al. In this paper, following the work of Hemmer et al., we study the Lee-Yang zeros of the classical van der Waals gas (that has a phase transition with a critical temperature) and compare it with a Calogero gas (that has no phase transition). The ideal Bose gas (which undergoes a phase transition at BEC) is studied in some detail, both using the grand canonical and the canonical formalisms. The heat capacity per particle in the grand canonical and canonical ensembles are compared at BEC to check how close these are for finite particle number. Since there is no short-range repulsion in the ideal Bose gas, the Lee-Yang zeros are not meaningful, but the Fisher zeros are. Accordingly, we find the pattern of these on the complex $\beta$ plane for 50 and 100 atoms. Even for such small number of particles, we see a clear tendency for the zeros to close in on the real $\beta$ axis. The calculations are done for the exact $Z_N(\beta)$ as well as the more commonly used continuous density of states. This will be discussed after the patterns of zeros are presented. The grand partition function, on the other hand, is shown to have a pole at phase transition for real $z = 1$. A nontrivial modification over the ideal gas will be to introduce interparticle interaction through virial coefficients in the grand potential and study how the zeros of the grand

Part of this paper is based on the results reported in the unpublished undergraduate theses of Calvin Lobo and Allison MacDonald.
II. PARTITION FUNCTION

The canonical partition function (for fixed $N$) is defined as
\[ Z_N(\beta) = \sum_{E_i(N)} \exp(-\beta E_i(N)), \tag{1} \]
where $E_i(N)$ are the complete set of eigenenergies of the $N$-body system including states in the continuum, if any. The sum is taken over all states $i$ including the degeneracies. Since the energies $E_i(N)$ depend on the volume of the system, there is a volume dependence in $Z_N(\beta)$. The grand canonical partition function, on the other hand, allows for particle exchange (in addition to energy) via the reservoir, and is defined as
\[ Z(\beta, z) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{E_i(N)} \exp(-\beta E_i(N) + \beta \mu N) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} Z_N(\beta) z^N, \tag{2} \]
where the fugacity $z = \exp(\beta \mu)$. The simplest example is an ideal classical gas in a volume $V$. The $N$-particle canonical partition function is the $N$th power of the one-particle partition function $Z(\beta)$. The latter is calculated by integrating $\exp(-p^2/2m)$ over the phase space divided by $h^3$, where $h$ is the Planck’s constant. The net result is
\[ Z_N(\beta) = \frac{1}{N!} \left( \frac{V}{\lambda_T^3} \right)^N, \tag{3} \]
where $\lambda_T = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi h^2}{M k_B T}}$ is the thermal wave length, and the customary division by $N!$ has been made to preserve the extensive property of the entropy. By substituting Eq. (3) in Eq. (2), we obtain
\[ \frac{1}{V} \ln Z^{(0)}(\beta, z) = \frac{z}{\lambda_T^3}, \tag{4} \]
where the superscript on $Z$ denotes a non-interacting system.

More generally, for an interacting gas with short-range interparticle repulsion, Eq. (2) shows that $Z$ is a finite degree polynomial in $z$, and therefore may be completely defined in terms of its zeros. These zeros, however, cannot be on the real positive $z$-axis, since every term in Eq. (2) is then positive. Accordingly, for complex $z$ (but real positive $\beta$), Eq. (4) may be generalized to
\[ Z(\beta, z) = \prod_r \left( 1 - \frac{z}{z_r} \right), \tag{5} \]
where the zeros $z_r(\beta, V)$ come in complex conjugate pairs since the coefficients $Z_N(\beta)$ of the polynomial (2) are real. In case there is a phase transition at some temperature, a zero and its complex conjugate tend to pinch the real $z$-axis. If there are more than one phase transitions, there are segments on the real positive $z$-axis that are zero-free. The grand potential $\Omega = -k_B T \ln Z(\beta, z) = -PV$, hence $\beta P = \frac{1}{V} \ln Z(z, \beta)$. Yang and Lee\textsuperscript{2} proved in general that for $V \rightarrow \infty$, this limit exists, and $P$ increases monotonically in the zero-free segments. At the interface of two phases, the pressure $P$ remains continuous, but its slope as a function of $\ln z$ is not the same. Moreover, in the thermodynamic limit, the number density $\rho = \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln z} (\beta P)$ may be discontinuous as a function of $\ln z$ in the interface of two phases (see Finkelstein\textsuperscript{13}).

For our example in the next section, it is more relevant to take a finite volume $V$ which contains $N$ particles. If there is a hard-core repulsion, then there is a maximum number $N_{\text{max}}$ that can be accommodated in this volume. Then the infinite upper limit in the sum over $N$ in Eq. (4) is replaced by $N_{\text{max}}$, and $Z(\beta, z)$ is a polynomial of order $N_{\text{max}}$.

A. van der Waals gas

This is a classical example, studied in the context of Yang-Lee zeros by Hemmer et al.\textsuperscript{14} Equation (2) is used with the canonical partition function that is postulated to be
\[ Z_N(\beta) = \frac{1}{N!} (V - Nb)^N \exp \left( \frac{2a \beta}{V} \frac{N(N - 1)}{2} \right), \tag{6} \]
where $b$ is interpreted as the volume associated with the repulsive core, and $a$ as a measure of the outer attraction. For calculating $Z(\beta, z)$ using Eq. (2), one takes $N_{\text{max}} = V/b$. Note that the excluded volume effect, and the outer pair-wise attraction are both incorporated in the canonical $Z_N(\beta)$ above. The equation of state can easily be deduced from the postulated $Z_N(\beta)$. One obtains the Helmholtz free energy $F = -1/\beta \ln Z_N(\beta)$, and the pressure $P = -\left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial V} \right)_T$. A little algebra then yields the equation of state
\[ P + \frac{N^2}{V^2} \left( V - Nb \right) = N k_B T, \tag{7} \]
where we have assumed $N \gg 1$. One makes the Maxwell construction across the unphysical region in which $P$ decreases with $V$ to obtain the equation of state. The critical point is obtained by additionally imposing the condition that the first and second partial derivatives of $P$ with respect to $V$ (at constant temperature ) are zero; see for example Landau and Lifshitz\textsuperscript{15}.
Note, from Eq. (9), that \( \nu/b \) has the dimension of energy. Setting \( a = \nu k_B T \), and \( b = 1 \), Eq. (8) takes the form

\[
\nu = \frac{27 T_c}{8 T} \tag{9}
\]

For our calculations, we take \( N_{\max} = V/b = 40 \). In Fig. 1 we plot the zeros of \( Z(T_z) \) for three choices of \( \nu \), corresponding to \( T > T_c \), \( T = T_c \), and \( T < T_c \). Even for \( N_{\max} = 40 \), we clearly see the zeros closing in on the real \( z \)-axis for \( T \leq T_c \). system of 40 particles, i.e., \( V = 40 \), \( d = 1 \), \( N = 40 \). From left to right the

**III. IDEAL TRAPPED BOSONS AND BEC**

Quantum effects are manifest in a gas when the de Broglie thermal wavelength of a particle is larger than, or of the order of, the average interparticle spacing. BEC was first experimentally realized when neutral \( ^{87}\text{Rb} \) atoms\(^{15} \) and \( ^{23}\text{Na} \) atoms\(^{16} \) were magnetically trapped in a HO potential at a few hundred degrees nano-Kelvin. For a large number of identical bosons, a sizable fraction of them abruptly start occupying the lowest level even at a temperature much, much larger than the energy spacing \( \hbar \omega \). This is the condensation temperature. We follow the treatment of Ketterle and van Druten\(^{17} \) to find the behaviour of the chemical potential \( \mu \) as the temperature is lowered. Here both the temperature and chemical potential are taken to be real. Consider \( N \) ideal bosons in the grand canonical ensemble occupying a discrete spectrum of single-particle states with energies \( \varepsilon_n \) at temperature \( T \). Its grand partition function may be written as

\[
Z(\beta, z) = \prod_n \left( 1 - z \exp(-\beta \varepsilon_n) \right)^{-1} \tag{13}
\]

We then get

\[
\langle N \rangle = \frac{z}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \ln Z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\beta (\varepsilon_n - \mu)}}{1 - e^{-\beta (\varepsilon_n - \mu)}} \tag{14}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} e^{-\beta \varepsilon_n z^l} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} z^l Z_l(\beta),
\]
where \(Z_1(\beta)\) is the exact one-particle partition function and \(z = e^{\beta \mu}\). Since the occupancy factor of a state has to be positive, it follows from the first term on the RHS that the smallest value \(\mu\) can take is \(\varepsilon_0\), the lowest energy single-particle state. In the following, we choose \(\varepsilon_0 = 0\), so that \(z \leq 1\), and the power series in \(z\) does not involve large numbers. Up till now the formulae are general. We now specialize to a shifted harmonic oscillator energy function of temperature \(\langle N \rangle = 500\) (we have set \(\omega = 1\)). In Fig. 2 we plot the variation of the chemical potential and the fugacity as a function of \(T\) for a system of trapped bosons in a three-dimensional HO with \(\langle N \rangle = 500\).

Note that with the choice of zero-energy ground state, \(Z_1^{(1d)}(\beta) = 1/(1 - \exp(-\beta \omega))\). In Fig. 2 we plot the variation of the chemical potential and the fugacity as a function of temperature \(T\) when \(z_0 = 0\) for a system of bosons in a three-dimensional HO with \(\langle N \rangle = 500\).

\[
\langle N \rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} z^l \left(Z_1^{(1d)}(\beta)\right)^3. \tag{15}
\]

Noting that \(\ln(1 - x) = -\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^l}{l}\), a few steps give
\[
\ln Z(\beta, z) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^l}{l} Z_1(\beta). \tag{18}
\]

We now specialize to a shifted harmonic oscillator energy function of \(T\) when \(\langle N \rangle = 500\). For finite \(N\) and \(\langle N \rangle\), the canonical and grand canonical ensembles may yield different results.

Before concluding this section, we note that for ideal bosons, in the thermodynamic limit, there is an analytical simple pole at \(z = 1\), the condensation point. This may be seen from Eq. (19), which shows that there are poles at
\[
z_n = \exp(\beta \varepsilon_n). \tag{20}
\]

With our choice of \(\varepsilon_n \geq 0\), the RHS above is \(\geq 1\). But as the inset of Fig. 2 shows, physically allowed \(z \leq 1\). Therefore from Eq. (20), the only pole in the physical region is at \(z = 1\).

### A. Calculation of \(Z_N(\beta)\)

In order to obtain the grand partition function \(Z(\beta, z)\) from Eq. (2), we need to calculate the canonical partition function \(Z_N(\beta)\). As we shall see soon, the zeros of
the canonical partition function on the complex $\beta$ plane (called the Fisher zeros) are interesting in their own right. For an ideal boson or fermion gas, $Z_N(\beta)$ may be obtained from $Z_1(\beta)$ using a recursion relation\(^1\). We give an outline of the derivation of this important relation for ideal bosons. We start with the relation \(\text{[18]}\). On further expanding the exponential in a power series, and equating power by power to the series given by Eq. \(\text{[22]}\),

\[ Z(\beta, z) = 1 + zZ_1(\beta) + z^2Z_2(\beta) + z^3Z_3(\beta) + \ldots \]  

(21)

the desired recursion relation emerges, which for bosons is given by\(^1\)

\[ Z_N(\beta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_1(n\beta)Z_{N-n}(\beta). \]  

(22)

It is now straightforward to obtain $\langle E \rangle = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z_N(\beta)$, and its derivative with respect to $T$ to obtain the heat capacity. In Fig. 2 we plot $\frac{C_v}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial \langle E \rangle}{\partial T}$ as the graphs labelled “canon”.

**B. Fisher zeros for ideal bosons**

Fisher pointed out that there are complex zeros of the canonical partition function $Z_N(\beta)$ on the complex $\beta$ plane. For a fixed $N$, the number of zeros on the complex plane, denoted by $\beta_r$, is finite. Therefore $Z_N(\beta)$ may be expressed as a finite product $\prod \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{\beta_r} \right)$. This is unlike the Lee-Yang zeros whose validity was contingent on short-range interparticle repulsion. At a phase transition, the complex Fisher zeros close in on the real $\beta$ axis. The Helmholtz free energy for an $N$-particle system is given by $F = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z_N(\beta)$. Since $Z_N(\beta)$ is a sum of exponential positive terms in $\beta$, and is larger than unity (the contribution of the state at $\varepsilon = 0$), $F$ does not change sign, and always remains negative. Nevertheless, $Z_N(\beta)$ is an entire function of $\beta$ in the complex plane. For trapped bosons in a 3-dimensional HO, we may use the exact $Z_1(\beta)$ given by Eq. \(\text{[19]}\). Then, using the recursion relation \(\text{[22]}\), $Z_N(\beta)$ is a polynomial in the variable $y = e^{-\beta}$ in this example of a 3-dimensional HO\(^2\) (See Appendix A) The exact form of $Z_1(\beta)$ is taken, but whether $\varepsilon_0 = 3/2$ or $\varepsilon_0 = 0$ the zeros occur at the same positions in the complex $\beta$ plane. Only a small fraction of the zeros are shown in the Fig. 4.

Even for $N = 50$, there is a tendency for the zeros to approach the real $\beta$-axis, but clearly the system is not condensed. We also display the plot of zeros for $N = 100$ ideal bosons. Calculations are much easier if one uses $Z_1(\beta) = 1/\beta^3$, which is the leading term of the exact Eq. \(\text{[19]}\). This corresponds to a continuous single-particle density of states that grows quadratically. In Fig. 4 we show the pattern of complex zeros of the corresponding $Z_N(\beta)$ for $N = 50$ and $N = 100$. Comparison with Fig. 4 shows considerable difference: the number of zeros being much smaller for the case of continuous density of states. In the latter, $\beta_c$ is about 10 percent higher. In both, the estimated condensation temperature $T_c$ increases approximately as $N^{1/3}$.

**IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS**

We have shown that the advent of a phase transition in a system is reflected in the pattern of the complex zeros of the partition function. Strictly speaking, a phase transition takes place only in the thermodynamic limit. But even for a finite system with relatively small number of particles, the pattern of complex zeros begin to close in on the real fugacity or inverse temperature axis. For the grand partition function Lee-Yang zeros, it is imperative to have short-range repulsion in the interparticle...
interaction, whereas for the Fisher zeros of the canonical partition function, this is not necessary. In the case of BEC, a signal of a phase transition is a peak in the heat capacity per particle on the real temperature axis, as shown in Fig. 3. This peak shows up nicely even for \( N \) bosons with a continuous energy distribution.

![Diagram of Fisher zeros for systems of 50 or 100 trapped bosons with a continuous energy distribution.](image)

The Lee-Yang zeros in the van der Waal gas seem to close in towards the real \( z \) axis for \( T \leq T_c \). Finally, we note that even though there are no Lee-Yang zeros for the ideal Bose gas, the grand canonical partition function has a simple pole at \( z = 1 \), which was already noticed by Kastura \(^{21} \).
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### Appendix A: Fisher zeros

The Fisher zeros are the zeros of the \( N \)-particle canonical partition function \( Z_N(\beta) \) in the complex \( \beta \) plane. Given \( Z_0(\beta) = 1 \) and \( Z_1(\beta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta E_n} \), we can obtain \( Z_N(\beta) \) by the recursion

\[
Z_N(\beta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} Z_1(k\beta)Z_{N-k}(\beta). \tag{A1}
\]

If \( Z_1(\beta) \) is the canonical partition function of a single particle in a three-dimensional harmonic oscillator well, then

\[
Z_1(\beta) = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta \varepsilon_n} \right)^3 = \left( \frac{e^{-\beta \hbar \omega}/2}{1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega}} \right)^3. \tag{A2}
\]

where \( \varepsilon_n = (n + 1/2)\hbar \omega \). The calculation of \( Z_N(\beta) \) can be simplified by introducing \(^{20} \) \( y = e^{-\beta \hbar \omega} \) so that

\[
Z_N(y) = \frac{y^{3N/2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{N} (1 - y^3)} P_N(y). \tag{A3}
\]

The recursion relation \((A1)\) is reformulated as \( P_0(y) = P_1(y) = 1 \) and

\[
P_N(y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \prod_{j=N-k+1}^{N} \frac{(1 - y^3)^3}{(1 - y^3)^3} P_{N-k}(y). \tag{A4}
\]

The \( P_N(y) \) is a polynomial in \( y \) and when it is zero so is \( Z_N(y) \). In the case that \( \varepsilon_n = n\hbar \omega \) rather than \((n+1/2)\hbar \omega \) we have

\[
Z_1(y) = \frac{1}{(1 - y)^3}, \quad Z_N(y) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{N} (1 - y^3)} P_N(y), \tag{A5}
\]

where the \( P_N \) satisfy the same recursion \((A1)\). Thus the Fisher zeros will be the same irrespective of \( \varepsilon_0 = 0 \) or \( \hbar \omega/2 \).

Since \( P_N(y) \) is a polynomial the number of zeros is equal to its degree which increases rapidly with particle number. For example, for \( N = 50 \) there are 3495 zeros. We determine a subset to give a clear indication that the pattern of zeros pinches the positive real \( \beta \) axis.

In order to numerically obtain the zeros we use the Laguerre method since this method “is guaranteed to converge to a (zero) from any starting point.” \(^{22} \) Once a zero is found we deflate the polynomial to obtain a second distinct zero and repeat. For larger \( N \) the zeros may be close together so we check the accuracy of the zero \( y_0 \) by considering a small circle centred on \( y_0 \) in the complex \( y \) plane and by ensuring that the curves \( \text{Re}(P_N(y)) = 0 \) and \( \text{Im}(P_N(y)) = 0 \) intersect inside the small disc. By using a radius of \( 10^{-5} \) we have an estimate of the precision of the zero. Since we are interested in zeros that pinch the positive real \( \beta \) axis, we limit the variable \( y \) so that \( |y| < 1 \) which results in \( \text{Re}(\beta) > 0 \).

---
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