Device and Circuit Analysis of Double Gate Field Effect Transistor with Mono-Layer WS$_2$-Channel at Sub-2 nm Technology Node
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Abstract: In this work, WS$_2$ was adopted as a channel material among transition metal dichalcogenides (TMD) materials that have recently been in the spotlight, and the circuit power performance (power consumption, operating frequency) of the monolayer WS$_2$ field-effect transistor with a double gate structure (DG WS$_2$-FET) was analyzed. It was confirmed that the effective capacitance, which is circuit power performance, was greatly changed by the extrinsic capacitance components of DG WS$_2$-FET, and the spacer region length ($L_{SPC}$) and dielectric constant ($K_{SPC}$) values of the spacer that could affect the extrinsic capacitance components were analyzed to identify the circuit power performance. As a result, when $L_{SPC}$ is increased by 1.5 nm with the typical spacer material ($K_{SPC} = 7.5$), increased operating speed (+4.9%) and reduced active power (–6.8%) are expected. In addition, it is expected that the spacer material improvement by developing the low-k spacer from $K_{SPC} = 7.5$ to $K_{SPC} = 2$ at typical $L_{SPC} = 8$ nm can increase the operating speed by 36.8% while maintaining similar active power consumption. Considering back-end-of-line (BEOL), the change in circuit power performance according to wire length was also analyzed. From these results, it can be seen that reducing the capacitance components of the extrinsic region is very important for improving the circuit power performance of the DG WS$_2$-FET.
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1. Introduction

Over the past few decades, semiconductor technology has made progress through scaling down and performance improvements of semiconductors according to Moore’s Law [1] and the Dennard scaling rule [2]. The planar MOSFET process was successfully replaced and commercialized because the so-called FinFET had better electrostatic control. This success of FinFET has led to the 5 nm technology node and is expected to reach beyond the technology node with the introduction of EUV [3,4]. Thanks to these structural changes and the success of FinFET through process optimization, the introduction of a gate-all-around (GAA) structure has recently been actively attempted in academia and industry. Among them, the nanosheet structure is in the spotlight as a strong candidate because it has gate controllability for channels superior to FinFET and more immunity for short channels [5–7]. It is expected that scaling due to such a structural change will have a limitation of less than or equal to 3 nm technology node, and a new channel material is attracting attention. Germanium and various III-V material-based channels have better carrier mobility than silicon channels and thus have better electrical properties [8,9]. Additionally, the channel application of two-dimensional materials is actively being studied [10]. Among them, it is noted that a TMD material is thin and thus may effectively reduce a short channel effect and replace silicon due to its excellent interface characteristics and excellent mobility characteristics due to an absence of dangling bond due to Van der Waals bonding [11,12].
addition, the results of device characteristic analysis through process developments such as contact resistance and doping technology and atomic level analysis have recently been announced [13]. In addition, recently, research on TMD materials has been actively conducted, and research on a FinFET device in which a single-layer TMD material is vertically aligned has been conducted [14–18]. Recently, Z.Ahmed presented DG FET with mono-layer WS$_2$ channels and device and circuit power performance that multi-stacked them, showing the possibility of using TMD in sub-2 nm technology node [19]. In this work, the quantitatively analyzed effect of extrinsic components of DG WS$_2$-FET on circuit power performance to optimize circuit power performance based on these research results was performed, and a device design guideline for scaling down to improve circuit performance based on DG WS$_2$-FET is presented. In addition, the changes in circuit power performance according to various circuit layout types were analyzed.

In this work, based on the DG WS$_2$-FET proposed by Z.Ahmed [19], a circuit model library was developed, and device and circuit co-analysis was performed. Through this, the effect of front-end-of-line (FEOL), middle-of-line (MOL), and BEOL on the circuit in DG WS$_2$-FET technology is analyzed, and optimization through changes in the performance of the circuit by various $K_{SPC}$ and contacted gate pitch (CGP) by spacer length is analyzed ($CGP = L_{CH} + L_{CNT} + 2L_{SPC}$). Through this, we present a circuit process development guide for TMD materials that are spotlighted as next-generation materials beyond silicon.

2. Device and Circuit Co-Analysis of DG WS$_2$-FET

The scaling-down technology based on the CGP and metal pitch (MP) becomes the core of the semiconductor scaling technology, enabling low power and high operating speed. However, silicon technology is facing limitations, and TMD continues to scale down beyond its limitations due to its material characteristics. Figure 1 shows the DG WS$_2$-FET used in this work. Based on the CGP for the 2 nm technology node [19], CGP by various spacer lengths is presented and summarized as a physical parameter in Table 1. The source/drain extension region below the spacer was considered a heavily doped region with a carrier density ($N_{SD}$) of $1.6 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$, and the resistance of the extension region is 16 $\Omega \mu$m.
The electrical properties of DG WS$_2$-FET were obtained using atomistic analysis and the calibrated commercial TCAD simulator. The calibration process of DG WS$_2$-FET in Figure 1 was performed using the I-V transfer curve based on the atomistic level simulation of Ref. [19], and through this process, the C-V characteristic curve was obtained to secure the electrical characteristics of DG WS$_2$-FET. Note that an effective mobility (= 200 cm$^2$/V·s) of the monolayer WS$_2$ channel was estimated in previous work [19] through atomistic calculation, and we take this value in I-V characteristics. Based on the obtained I-V and C-V data, circuit model library generation was performed by using BSIM-IMG [20]. Figure 2 shows the overall BSIM-IMG model parameter extraction flow used in this work. Figure 3a is I-V transfer curve that can confirm the consistency of reference device simulation and performed circuit simulation. The off current (I$_{OFF}$) was the current flowing through the channel when V$_{GS}$ = 0 V and V$_{DS}$ = 0.6 V (supply voltage), and it was targeted at 2 nA. Figure 3b,c are the drain current change and gate capacitance change according to the change of L$_{SPC}$, respectively. As shown in Figure 3b, when the L$_{SPC}$ increases, the current of the DG WS$_2$-FET decreases because of the resistance component in the extension.

| Physical parameters |
|----------------------|
| EOT1(EOT2), NBODY, NSD, L, W, EPSROX, EPSRSUB...etc. |
| C$_{GS}$ vs V$_{GS}$ |
| CGSL, CGDL, CKAPPAS, CKAPPAD, DLC...etc. |
| I$_{DS}$ vs V$_{GS}$ @ Low V$_{DS}$ |
| CIT, CDSC : sub-threshold region. |
| U0, UA, RSW(RDW) : Strong inversion region. |
| I$_{DS}$ vs V$_{GS}$ @ High V$_{DS}$ |
| ETA0, DSUB, CDSCD : sub-threshold region. |
| VSAT, PTWG : Strong inversion region. |

Figure 2. BSIM-IMG model parameter extraction flow used in this work.

Region (R$_{EXT}$) increases. This phenomenon is the same as the general phenomenon that appears in devices such as silicon FinFET [21]. However, although the I$_{ON}$/I$_{OFF}$ ratio and subthreshold swing (SS) are noticeably changed in silicon FinFET, there is little I$_{ON}$/I$_{OFF}$ ratio and SS change because the L$_{SPC}$ change is very small in this work (in all cases of L$_{SPC}$ = 8 nm ~ 9.5 nm of DG WS$_2$-FET, the I$_{ON}$/I$_{OFF}$ ratio is about 1.33 $\times$ 10$^5$, and SS is about 69 mV/dec). As L$_{SPC}$ increases in Figure 3c, the gate capacitance decreases because the capacitance component by the gate fringe field (C$_{EXT}$) and the capacitance component between the gate and MOL contact (C$_{MOL}$) are affected by the L$_{SPC}$. That is, it can be seen that L$_{SPC}$ is a key parameter that scales R$_{EXT}$ and C$_{MOL}$, which are parasitic components excluding the intrinsic components of the device. In addition, it can be expected that there will be a change in the extrinsic component not only in the L$_{SPC}$ but also in the change in the spacer material. Therefore, the influence of the lower dielectric constant of the spacer (K$_{SPC}$) was also investigated. As shown in Figure 3d, the gate capacitance is significantly reduced by reducing K$_{SPC}$ as C$_{EXT}$ and C$_{MOL}$ are reduced by the influence of K$_{SPC}$. 
ION/IOFF ratio and SS change because the LSPC change is very small in this work (in all cases of LSPC = 8 nm ~ 9.5 nm of DG WS2-FET, the ION/IOFF ratio is about 1.33 × 10^5, and SS is about 69 mV/dec). As LSPC increases in Figure 3c, the gate capacitance decreases because the capacitance component by the gate fringe field (C EXT) and the capacitance component between the gate and MOL contact (CMOL) are affected by the LSPC. That is, it can be seen that LSPC is a key parameter that scales REXT and CMOL, which are parasitic components excluding the intrinsic components of the device. In addition, it can be expected that there will be a change in the extrinsic component not only in the LSPC but also in the change in the spacer material. Therefore, the influence of the lower dielectric constant of the spacer (KSPC) was also investigated. As shown in Figure 3d, the gate capacitance is significantly reduced by reducing KSPC as CEXT and CMOL are reduced by the influence of KSPC.

![Graphs showing I-V transfer curves and gate capacitance changes](image)

**Figure 3.** (a) I–V transfer curve of DG WS2-FET. The black line is when high voltage (V_DS = 0.6 V) is applied, and the red line is when the low voltage (V_DS = 0.01 V) is applied; (b) I–V transfer curve according to LSPC when high voltage applied; (c) gate capacitance according to LSPC; and (d) gate capacitance according to KSPC.

The circuit simulator and circuit scheme used in this work are Synopsys’ HSPICE and inverter ring-oscillator with fan-out = 3 (FO3 INV RO), respectively, which are widely used in the industry. The FO3 INV RO circuit is depicted in Figure 4a and consists of 15 stages. The R/C component of the BEOL load was attached between the output of one inverter and the input of the next stage. From the INV RO circuit simulation results as shown in Figure 4b, the average signal delay can be extracted to obtain a frequency representing the speed of the operation, and the active dynamic power at the same static power can be extracted.

![Circuit simulation results](image)

**Figure 5a** illustrates the change in circuit power performance when considering contact resistance (R_CNT) and MOL R/C components (R_MOL, C_MOL) with intrinsic channel. A contact resistance of 80 Ω·µm, the target value of Ref. [19], was adopted. In the developed circuit model, R_CNT, R_MOL, and C_MOL were considered by attaching these components to both ends of the source and drain of BSIM-IMG model for the DG WS2-FET. Based on V_DD (supply voltage) = 0.7 V, when R_CNT was considered under the same power condition, the operation frequency was decreased by 35.6%, and in addition, considering R_MOL, it was confirmed that there was a decrease of 2.6%, and when C_MOL is added, it is decreased by 35.1%.
The elements that determine the circuit operation characteristics were analyzed using the segmentation technique. This is possible by extracting the operating frequency, the IDDA (active current), and the IDDQ (leakage current) from the inverter ring oscillator circuit.

Figure 4. (a) Schematic of inverter ring oscillator with fan–out 3, which includes distributed interconnect RC components; (b) the transient simulation results of designed inverter ring oscillator.

Figure 5. (a) Circuit power performance (power consumption, operating frequency) when contact resistance and MOL components are added to the intrinsic channel; (b) analysis of results of the effect of various components on circuit using \( R_{\text{EFF}} \); (c) analysis of results of the effect of various components on circuit according to \( L_{\text{SPC}} \) using \( C_{\text{EFF}} \); (d) analysis of results of the effect of various components on circuit according to \( K_{\text{SPC}} \) using \( C_{\text{EFF}} \). The figure inserted in (d) shows resistances for channel (\( R_{\text{CH}} \)), extension (\( R_{\text{EXT}} \)), contact (\( R_{\text{CNT}} \)), and MOL (\( R_{\text{MOL}} \)) and capacitances for channel (\( C_{\text{CH}} \)), extension (\( C_{\text{EXT}} \)), and MOL (\( C_{\text{MOL}} \)), respectively.

The elements that determine the circuit operation characteristics were analyzed using the segmentation technique. This is possible by extracting the operating frequency, the IDDA (active current), and the IDDQ (leakage current) from the inverter ring oscillator circuit.
The operating behavior, and the calculating effective resistance ($R_{\text{EFF}}$) and capacitance ($C_{\text{EFF}}$), represent the circuit operating speed and power consumption [22]. The circuit characteristics were analyzed by adjusting the WS$_2$ channel, contact resistance, and MOL of the circuit model during circuit simulation, and the effects of each component were observed in $R_{\text{EFF}}$ and $C_{\text{EFF}}$.

The $R_{\text{CH}}$ characteristics that vary with the gate voltage of the device are all reflected in the $R_{\text{EFF}}$ obtained from the simulation, including the dynamic behavior characteristics of the circuit, which are shown in Figure 5b. The ratio in which the channel and the extension region form the resistance was extracted from $V_{\text{DS}} = 0.6$ V and $V_{\text{GS}} = 0.6$ V under the condition that only FEOL is considered. In Figure 5b, it can be seen that as the $L_{\text{SPC}}$ becomes larger, the $R_{\text{EFF}}$ also increases. In particular, the effect of the channel, the contact resistance, and the MOL resistance on circuits is almost constant, even if $L_{\text{SPC}}$ changes, and it can be seen that $R_{\text{EXT}}$ increases. $R_{\text{EXT}}$ increased by about 24% as $L_{\text{SPC}}$ increased from 8 nm to 9.5 nm. This fact can be explained in Figure 3b as the $L_{\text{SPC}}$ increases and the current decreases. In Figure 5c, it can be observed that as $L_{\text{SPC}}$ increases, $C_{\text{MOL}}$ mainly decreases and the total $C_{\text{EFF}}$ decreases. It can be seen from Figure 5d that the $C_{\text{EFF}}$ decreases as the $K_{\text{SPC}}$ decreases. $C_{\text{EXT}}$ and $C_{\text{MOL}}$ can be called the parasitic capacitance components, and as the $K_{\text{SPC}}$ decreases, it can be seen that the $C_{\text{EXT}}$ and $C_{\text{MOL}}$ gradually decrease. Through Figure 5b–d, the $R_{\text{EFF}}$ can be improved through $L_{\text{SPC}}$ scaling, and the importance of the $C_{\text{EFF}}$ can be understood through the change of the spacer material.

Figures 6 and 7 show the results of inverter ring oscillator circuit simulation according to the changes in $K_{\text{SPC}}$ and $L_{\text{SPC}}$. Figure 6 shows that the operating frequency is improved by 13% to 37% at $V_{\text{DD}} = 0.7$ V based on the default $K_{\text{SPC}} (=7.5)$. As confirmed in Figure 5c, the operating speed of the circuit was improved through the reduction of the capacitance by the $K_{\text{SPC}}$.

![Figure 6. Power versus frequency for DG WS$_2$-FET according to $K_{\text{SPC}}$.](image)

![Figure 7. Power versus frequency for DG WS$_2$-FET according to $L_{\text{SPC}}$.](image)

As a result of Figure 7, which shows that the performance increases as the $L_{\text{SPC}}$ increases, it can be seen that even if the $R_{\text{EXT}}$ increases and the overall resistance increases,
the performance is improved due to the capacitance component reduced by the $L_{\text{SPC}}$. At $V_{DD} = 0.7$ V, the frequency increases by 2% to 5% and the power decreases by 3% to 7% based on the default $L_{\text{SPC}} (=8$ nm). Since the increased $L_{\text{SPC}}$ from the point of view of area scaling is not positive, the improvement of the $K_{\text{SPC}}$ is more effective.

Through Figure 8, the effect of the wiring length and BEOL load on the circuit can be analyzed. The wire resistance of the BEOL load was applied as $R_{W} = 1447 \Omega/\mu$m, and the wire capacitance was applied as $C_{W} = 208 \text{aF/}\mu$m [23]. As the $L_{\text{SPC}}$ changes from 8 nm to 9.5 nm, the $C_{W}$ changes from 42 nm to 45 nm. Figure 8a shows a power-frequency curve by a BEOL interconnect according to two wiring lengths of 25 CGP and 10 CGP. In each CGP case, it can be seen that the speed change according to the wiring length is 32% to 34%, and the effect of the BEOL component on the circuit is significant. In Figure 8b, the effect of the BEOL load on delay was analyzed by dividing the wiring length into 5 CGP, 25 CGP, and 100 CGP, into short, medium, and long cases, respectively. Based on 25 CGP, the delay decreased by 32% at 5 CGP, and at 100 CGP, the delay increased by 2.5 times. Figure 8c is an analysis of the delay of the circuit according to fan-out dependency when considering the BEOL load. As the fan-out number increases and the total number of inverters in the circuit increases, the delay increases. In addition, it can be seen that not only the delay by the fan-out number increases but also the delay by each component (FEOL, MOL, and BEOL) increases. Figure 8 shows that while the FEOL and MOL processes are of course important, the performance improvements through the BEOL process optimization are essential.

![Figure 8](image_url)

**Figure 8.** (a) Power versus frequency for DG WS2-FET according to CGP due to changes in $L_{\text{SPC}}$ with BEOL load ($K_{\text{SPC}} = 7.5$). The wire length is 25 CGP, 10 CGP. (b) Analysis of delay of designed inverter ring oscillator with BEOL load. The wire length was considered in three cases (5 CGP, 25 CGP, and 100 CGP) in the BEOL load. (c) Analysis of delay of designed inverter ring oscillator with BEOL considering fan-out dependency.

3. Conclusions

This work analyzes the effect of performance change through $L_{\text{SPC}}$ scaling and $K_{\text{SPC}}$ change and the FEOL, MOL, and BEOL components of TMDC FET technology on the circuit based on the previous work using the WS$_2$ channel transistor of the double gate structure. In particular, it was confirmed that increasing $L_{\text{SPC}}$ is more beneficial to circuit power performance, but there is a trade-off from the viewpoint of area, and it was also seen that the change in $K_{\text{SPC}}$ has a great influence on speed improvement. This work confirmed that BEOL optimization is very important, as well as FEOL and MOL, through the effect of the BEOL load by various CGP cases and wiring lengths and the fan-out number on the circuit.
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