Kinetic modeling of *Shewanella baltica* KB30 growth on different substrates through respirometry
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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Shewanella baltica* KB30 was isolated from seawater collected in Kandalaksha Bay, White Sea (Russia). This strain is known for its ability to grow on a pool of different substrates, including carbohydrates, carboxylic and amino acids, and lipids. However, no data are available on its metabolic efficiency in relation to the use of different carbon sources typologies. This work represents the first attempt to characterize *S. baltica* by its heterotrophic kinetic performance.

**Results:** Growth and substrate consumption, during the biodegradation of sodium acetate, glucose, tween 80 and peptone, were analyzed through a respirometric method. To find the model best fitting the experimental data and to obtain the kinetic parameters, the equations of Monod, Moser, Contois and Tessier were applied. The kinetic behavior of *S. baltica* was fitted to Monod model for sodium acetate and tween 80, while it was adjusted to Contois model for glucose and peptone. In this regard, peptone was consumed faster than the other substrates, as indicated by the highest values of substrate degradation rate, which exceeded 60 mg O₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹.

**Conclusions:** Proteolytic metabolism was favored than lipidic and glucidic metabolism, which could contribute much more to mineralization and recycling of proteins than lipids and carbohydrates.
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showed an uncommon adaptation to temperature variations, growing from 0 to over 35 °C, but above all, revealing their kinetic performance concerning different substrates. Thus, in this work, the aerobic heterotrophic kinetics of strain KB30 was studied by respirometry using different models (Monod, Moser, Contois and Tessier) to compare the consumption rate of various organic substrates and to predict bacterial biomass production. Respirometric experiments were carried out in a stirred tank bioreactor under controlled batch conditions.

Methods

Microorganism and culture conditions

The strain of *S. baltica* KB30 used in this study was isolated in a previous work from seawater collected in Kandalaksha Bay (White Sea, Russia) [8], maintained at 4 °C in the microorganism culture collection of DEB (Department of Ecological and Biological Sciences, University of Tuscia) and sub-cultured on plate count agar (PCA, Difco, USA) slants when necessary.

Bioreactor inoculum preparation

Biomass for liquid cultures (pre-inoculum) was obtained in PCA plates (incubated at 20 °C for 24 h), from PCA slants, by the streak method. After incubation, biomass was recovered by sterile spatulas and transferred into 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks filled with 50 mL of M9 minimal saline medium supplemented with 10 g L^−1^ of glucose. Flasks were then incubated at 20 °C and 150 rpm in an orbital shaker (24 h) to obtain the adequate cell density (OD\textsubscript{600} = 6.0). Biomass from flasks was then harvested by centrifugation (7000 rpm for 10 min), washed twice with M9 (without glucose), re-suspended in 10 mL of the same medium and added to the bioreactor to a cell density of ca. 0.3 (OD\textsubscript{600}). Cell density, used for both the flask and bioreactor cultures, was measured through spectrophotometry (OD\textsubscript{600}). The calibration curve was done with bacterial counts.

Experimental system and respirometric assays

The bioreactor used for the respirometric experiments consisted of an Applikon 3 L (total volume) autoclavable stirred tank reactor controlled by an ADI 1010 Bio Controller and an ADI 1025 Bio Console (Applikon Dependable Instruments BV, NL). The bioreactor was equipped with autoclavable polarographic DO (Ingold, CH) and pH (Hamilton, USA) probes (Fig. 1a). The ADI 1010 Bio Controller was set up for automatic temperature (20.0 ± 0.1 °C) and stirrer speed control (300 rpm, six-bladed Rushton-type impellers). Aeration was maintained at 1.0vvm by a rotometer. Since pH in the bioreactor was stable throughout the experiment (7.25 ± 0.50), pH control was not necessary.

One liter of M9 was prepared, transferred to the bioreactor, inoculated as reported above and aerated for 4 h to achieve a stable DO concentration.
Bioprocess parameters (pH, DO, agitation and temperature) were acquired using Biowatch software (Applikon Dependable Instruments BV, NL).

Respirometers show the consumption of DO as a result of bacterial respiratory metabolism in relation to a given carbon source. For the accurate characterization of bacterial heterotrophic kinetic behavior, in relation to the different nutrients, different concentrations of the same substrate are required. Due to the microbial metabolism, each addition of organic substrate should produce a DO decrease to a minimum value. Then, DO must increase again until a stable value is reached, which is achieved when the organic substrate is totally metabolized (Fig. 1b). The use of diverse substrates is important to evaluate different rates of respiratory metabolism [23, 24]. During respirometric experiments cultures were under limited but not limiting carbon source conditions.

Stock solutions of sodium acetate (5.0 g L\(^{-1}\)), glucose (2.5 g L\(^{-1}\)), tween 80 (0.2 g L\(^{-1}\)) and peptone (1.0 g L\(^{-1}\)) were prepared in order to establish the same gradient of substrate concentration (S). Since *S. baltica* KB30 can use for its growth a very differentiated pattern of carbon sources, including organic acids, sugars, lipids and amino acids [22], the substrates for respirometric investigations had been chosen as prototypic compounds of principal cell metabolism (glucidic, proteolytic and lipolytic). As
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required by the kinetic method, the concentration of the different organic substrates was expressed as the chemical oxygen demand (COD) (mg O₂ L⁻¹), determined in accordance with standard methods [25].

The respirometric tests for S. baltica KB30 were carried out using three different concentrations of each substrate (S₁, S₂ and S₃) prepared by diluting the stock solutions (35, 70 and 100%, respectively). For the various substrates, 10 mL of each dilution were added in sequence to the inoculated M9 when DO reached a maximum steady level (Fig. 1b), sign of total substrate consumption by KB30. Corn steep liquor (0.1 mL) was added to each experiment to improve cell growth. The experiments were carried out in triplicate.

The time course of DO, measured as percentage saturation, was monitored and converted into respiromograms (time course of DO concentration) corresponding to the different kinetic experiments related to sodium acetate, glucose, tween 80 and peptone (Fig. 2).

**Kinetic modeling**

For a more comprehensive characterization of the heterotrophic kinetics, the models of Monod, Moser, Contois and Tessier were applied and compared. These models allowed adjustment of the process kinetics for the different substrates tested. In this regard, the purpose of using different models was to understand which one permitted a more efficient empirical interpretation of the biodegradation of the various substrates since each model is fitted to a different kinetic behavior. The best fitting model for the experimental data was chosen based on the lowest least-squared error (LSE) between empirical and theoretical data:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mu_{\text{theoretical}} - \mu_{\text{empirical}})^2.
\]

In light of this, the weighted sum of squares of differences between the empirical and theoretical values was minimized to yield the most appropriate kinetic parameters for the considered model [26]. In order to compare the efficiency of the different models, the empirical specific growth rate (µ_\text{empirical}) was calculated from the respirometers obtained for each substrate.

The respirometric experiments permitted the estimation of the following kinetic parameters: yield coefficient referred to the total suspended solids (TSS), YTSS (mg TSS mg COD⁻¹), maximum specific growth rate, µ_m (h⁻¹) and substrate half-saturation coefficient, KS (mg O₂ L⁻¹).

The dynamic oxygen uptake rate (R_S, mg O₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹) was obtained through the derivation of DO depending on the time for each respirogram corresponding to the different substrates. The oxygen consumption (OC, mg O₂ L⁻¹) was determined from the numerical integration of R_S for each addition (dilution) of organic substrate, as shown in Eq. (1):

\[
OC = \int_{t_0}^{t} R_S \, dt.
\]

According to Helle [23], the yield coefficient referred to oxygen, Y_{O₂}, is calculated as indicated in Eq. (2):

\[
Y_{O_2} = \frac{S - OC}{S}.
\]

The value of YTSS was obtained through Eq. (3):

\[
Y_{\text{TSS}} = \frac{Y_{O_2}}{f_{cv}}
\]

where \( f_{cv} \) is a conversion factor (1.48 mg COD mg TSS⁻¹).

The value of \( \mu_{\text{empirical}} \) was determined considering the relation between the cell growth rate (r_x, mg TSS L⁻¹ h⁻¹) and substrate degradation rate (r_{su}, mg O₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹), according to Leyva-Díaz et al. [24]. The calculation of r_{su} was carried out from the derivation of S depending on the time in Eq. (2). The value of \( \mu_{\text{empirical}} \) is shown in Eq. (4):

\[
\mu_{\text{empirical}} = \frac{Y_{\text{TSS}} \cdot R_S}{(1 - Y_{O_2}) \cdot X_T}
\]

where \( X_T \) is the biomass concentration (mg TSS L⁻¹).

Biomass concentration was calculated from the OD_{600} of samples (2 mL) taken before each addition of substrate. A calibration curve, correlating biomass concentration (as total suspended solids, TSS) and OD_{600} was prepared using several dilutions of S. baltica KB30 biomass. The biomass concentration (TSS) of the various dilutions was analyzed according to the APHA [25].

Equation (5) shows the relation between biomass concentration and OD_{600} for S. baltica KB30:

\[
X_T \left(\text{mg TSS L}^{-1}\right) = 2140.3 \cdot \text{OD}_{600} - 325.2
\]

The correlation coefficient (R²) between X_T and OD_{600} was 0.9995.

The concentrations of the different substrates, expressed as COD, and the biomass concentrations, measured as TSS, are indicated in Table 1.

The kinetic parameters \( \mu_m \) and KS were assessed according to the schematic diagram shown in Fig. 1c, as indicated by Leyva-Díaz et al. [24].

The theoretical specific growth rate (\( \mu_{\text{theoretical}} \)) was evaluated for each model by using the Solver add-in facility of Microsoft Office Excel, by considering Eqs. (6)–(9).

The Monod model empirically establishes a saturation-type equation to describe the specific rate of microbial
Fig. 2 Time course of dissolved oxygen (DO) in a typical respirometric experiment carried out with *Shewanella baltica* KB30 for the metabolization of the selected substrates: sodium acetate (a), glucose (b), tween 80 (c) and peptone (d)
growth in relation to the concentration of a limiting substrate [20], according to Eq. (6):

$$
\mu = \frac{\mu_m S}{K_S + S}
$$

(6)

where $\mu$ is the specific growth rate (h$^{-1}$).

A different approach for assessing the kinetic parameters is the Moser model, which is also an unstructured kinetic model (as is the Monod model) and is also based on $S$ [20]. This model is described by Eq. (7):

$$
\mu = \frac{\mu_m S^n}{K_S + S^n}
$$

(7)

where $n$ is a constant as the exponent of $S$. The Moser model was studied for $n = 2$.

The Contois model describes an inverse relation between the microbial concentration and its specific growth rate, described by Eq. (8) [27], as the specific growth rate decreases when the microbial concentration increases:

$$
\mu = \frac{\mu_m S}{K_C X_T + S}
$$

(8)

where $K_C$ is a growth coefficient of the Contois function.

The value of $\mu$ depends on the substrate and biomass concentrations. However, $\mu$ is only a function of $S$ in the Monod model.

The Tessier model is another unstructured model, which relates $\mu$ and $S$ through an exponential function [28], according to Eq. (9):

$$
\mu = \mu_m \left(1 - e^{-\frac{S}{K_T}}\right)
$$

(9)

The value of $r_{su}$ was obtained from the relation between the biomass produced and the substrate consumed indicated through $Y_{TSS}$, according to Eq. (10):

$$
r_{su} = -\frac{\mu \cdot X_T}{Y_{TSS}}
$$

(10)

Statistical analysis

When necessary, data were analyzed with a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and significance of differences was assessed by pair-wise multiple comparison procedure (Tukey test). Statistical analysis was carried out by the software SigmaStat 2.0 (Jandel, San Rafael, CA, USA).

Results and discussion

The ability of strain KB30 to use a wide array of substrates (95) had been tested by Pesciaroli et al. [22] using the Biolog Microarray System together with those of many other Arctic bacteria. However, the KB30 specific metabolic competences had never been discussed in details and no further investigations, indicating which metabolic choices are favored by the strain, were done. $S$. baltica KB30 was able to degrade a number (37) of carbon sources (Fig. 3) in line with the outcome of Deng et al. [29]. These authors, in the frame of the “Shewanella Federation” consortium, performed an extensive study, carrying out a detailed genetic and physiological characterization of various $S$. baltica strains. They stated that the following carbon sources were used by all studied strains: cis-aconitic acid, sucrose, d-gluconic acid, l-glutamic acid, dextrin, maltose, $\alpha$-d-glucose, l-serine, N-acetyl-d-glucosamine, lactic acid, and inosine. Strain KB30 shared these features; most of them were observed also in other $S$. baltica strains studied by the Biolog Microarray System [9] or by extensive genomic/metabolic analyses [15]. The use of glycogen, gentiobiose, cellobiose, sucrose, d-gluconate, and citrate, included in the initial description of this species [9], was confirmed for KB30 also. On a numerical basis, the favorite carbon sources were carboxylic acids, carbohydrates and amino acids with 12, 11 and 9 compounds, respectively. However, the number of carbon source used for growth is not sufficient to represent the efficiency of the bacterial metabolism to degrade the different substrates and, consequently, which metabolic pathways could be considered as preferential. For these reasons, the KB30 heterotrophic metabolism was analyzed by respirometric/kinetic methods, using representative substrates of the mentioned different categories. The selection was also guided by possible potential application of the study.

Table 2 shows the kinetic parameters corresponding to the various models characterizing the biodegradation of the different substrates by $S$. baltica KB30. The accuracy
of the models is given through the LSE values. By applying these models for the kinetics of cell growth and substrate consumption, a description of cellular behavior and substrate degradation was provided under different organic sources [21].

Monod's was the best fitting model to describe the kinetic performance of KB30 in relation to the biodegradation of sodium acetate and tween 80, as it showed the lowest LSE with values of $1.0661 \times 10^{-6}$ h$^{-2}$ and $6.4165 \times 10^{-5}$ h$^{-2}$, respectively (Table 2). For glucose and peptone, the best adjustment for the kinetic behavior was obtained by the Contois model, showing LSE values of $1.7037 \times 10^{-5}$ h$^{-2}$ and $1.9104 \times 10^{-4}$ h$^{-2}$, respectively (Table 2). LSE values are often used to determine the quality of model fitting of experimental data (concerning $\mu_{\text{empirical}}$), as reported by Annuar et al. for the kinetic modeling of bacteria such as *Pseudomonas putida* [28].

Very scarce information are available concerning the kinetic performance of *Shewanella* species in relation to the utilization of various substrates. However, even with the limited existing information, it is possible to underline consistent metabolic differences between strain KB30 and others of same genus. Both the Monod and Moser models were used by Miroliaei et al. [20] to characterize the performance of microbial fuel cells constituted by *Shewanella* sp. and *Escherichia coli*, describing their growth and substrate uptake kinetics in batch experiments. The values of $\mu_m$ obtained by these authors for *Shewanella* sp. regarding glucose metabolization (1.54 and 0.72 h$^{-1}$ for the Monod and Moser models, respectively), were higher than those obtained in this study for *S. baltica* (0.0840 ± 0.0021 and 0.0811 ± 0.0020 h$^{-1}$ for the Monod and Moser models, respectively), as indicated in Table 2. This difference could be related either to different operational and experimental conditions, affecting the bacterial dynamics and metabolism, or to actual diverse metabolic competences between the two strains.

Tang et al. studied *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 in minimal media by biochemical methods [21]. With acetate, they achieved a $\mu_m$ value (0.28 h$^{-1}$) higher
than those obtained in this study, which ranged from 0.0934 ± 0.0024 to 0.1385 ± 0.0035 h⁻¹ (Table 2). Thus, *S. oneidensis* MR-1 metabolizes acetate faster than *S. baltica* KB30.

Considering the different kinetic models, consumption of each substrate was analyzed through $r_{su}$, as observed in Fig. 4. The bacterium clearly degraded the various organic compounds at different rates: for all the kinetic models analyzed, $r_{su}$ was highest with peptone, followed by tween 80, sodium acetate and glucose (Fig. 4). $\mu_m$ is the maximum specific growth rate on a given substrate. Its lowest value for glucose indicated that this compound was biodegraded more slowly than the other substrates, as $r_{su}$ is directly proportional to $\mu_m$ according to Eqs. (6)–(10). Therefore, the assimilation of peptone was faster than that of the other carbon sources. Peptone is an organic substrate (protein hydrolysate) containing nitrogen sources such as free amino acids and oligopeptides. Therefore, in strain KB30 proteolytic metabolism was probably faster than lipolytic and glucidic metabolism, as confirmed by the respirometry results related to tween 80 and glucose (Fig. 4). Bacteria with very high proteolytic capacity, accompanied by extremely scarce ability to degrade sugars and related compounds, are known, as shown by Juárez-Jiménez et al. for *Delftia tsuruhaten-sis* BM90, which is practically unable to degrade carbohydrates [30]. Strain KB30 is known for its ability to use a complex pattern of carbon sources including various sugars, amino acids, lipids and organic acids, showing a marked composite metabolism [22], but the respirometry results suggest that its proteolytic pathways could be favored. On the other hand, the faster metabolism on peptone could be justified by the higher bioavailability of its nitrogen sources, if compared with that contained in M9 (NH₄Cl).

The rather good capacity of strain KB30 to metabolize lipids, even with a lower rate than that of peptone (Fig. 4), could find exploitation in biotechnology. An interesting application for environmental issues would be the biological removal of pollutant compounds chemically correlated to tween 80 (C₆₄H₁₂₄O₂₆, PM 1310 g mol⁻¹), such as surfactants and/or emulsifiers. Since KB30 is able to use a wide pattern of lipids and/or fatty acids [22], this applicative potential would be even higher.

The pattern obtained by the kinetic study indicated that carbohydrate metabolism of strain KB30 was rather slow, as shown by the lowest value of $r_{su}$ for glucose (Fig. 4).

The kinetic results obtained in this work somehow reflect those stated by Pesciaroli concerning the strain KB30 extracellular enzyme pattern [31]. The bacterium was able to produce proteases and lipases, while no production of hydrolytic enzymes targeting natural polysaccharides (such as cellulose, starch, pectin and chitin) was detected. This probably suggests that its role in nature is

| Substrate       | Model      | $\mu_m$ (h⁻¹) | $K_s$ (mg O₂ L⁻¹) | $Y_{TSS}$ (mg TSS mg OD⁻¹) | LSE (h⁻²) |
|-----------------|------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Sodium acetate  | Monod      | 0.1163 ± 0.0029a | 4.9267 ± 0.3518a | 0.6695 ± 0.0295a | 1.066 × 10⁻⁶ |
|                 | Moser      | 0.0946 ± 0.0024a | 1.8571 ± 1.2750a | 3.1754 ± 10⁻⁵ | 9.433 × 10⁻⁶ |
|                 | Contois    | 0.1385 ± 0.0035a | 0.0230 ± 0.0016a | 6.1539 ± 10⁻⁶ | 3.1754 ± 10⁻⁵ |
|                 | Tessier    | 0.0934 ± 0.0024a | 5.3119 ± 0.3794a | 3.1754 ± 10⁻⁵ | 6.1539 ± 10⁻⁶ |
| Glucose         | Monod      | 0.0840 ± 0.0021b | 1.6077 ± 0.1148b | 0.6681 ± 0.0294b | 2.5382 × 10⁻⁵ |
|                 | Moser      | 0.0811 ± 0.0020b | 1.4311 ± 1.0232b | 2.5382 × 10⁻⁵ | 2.1119 × 10⁻⁵ |
|                 | Contois    | 0.0886 ± 0.0022b | 0.0701 ± 0.0005b | 1.7037 × 10⁻⁵ | 1.7037 × 10⁻⁵ |
|                 | Tessier    | 0.0799 ± 0.0020b | 4.6965 ± 0.3353b | 1.7588 × 10⁻⁵ | 1.7588 × 10⁻⁵ |
| Tween 80        | Monod      | 0.1162 ± 0.0029a | 0.5780 ± 0.0413b | 0.6678 ± 0.0294a | 6.4165 × 10⁻⁵ |
|                 | Moser      | 0.1107 ± 0.0028b | 1.3073 ± 0.0933b | 7.4084 × 10⁻⁵ | 6.4165 × 10⁻⁵ |
|                 | Contois    | 0.1202 ± 0.0030c | 0.0046 ± 0.0003c | 8.1371 × 10⁻⁵ | 7.4084 × 10⁻⁵ |
|                 | Tessier    | 0.1089 ± 0.0027a | 1.3941 ± 0.0995a | 8.3179 × 10⁻⁵ | 8.3179 × 10⁻⁵ |
| Peptone         | Monod      | 0.2815 ± 0.0071c | 10.2421 ± 0.7313c | 0.6687 ± 0.0294a | 7.6023 × 10⁻⁴ |
|                 | Moser      | 0.2032 ± 0.0051d | 39.9392 ± 2.8517d | 1.0938 × 10⁻³ | 7.6023 × 10⁻⁴ |
|                 | Contois    | 0.2062 ± 0.0052d | 0.0039 ± 0.0003 | 1.9104 × 10⁻⁴ | 1.9104 × 10⁻⁴ |
|                 | Tessier    | 0.2144 ± 0.0054d | 9.6725 ± 0.6906c | 9.0604 × 10⁻⁴ | 9.0604 × 10⁻⁴ |

The kinetic parameters assessed were $\mu_m$ (maximum specific growth rate), $K_s$ (substrate half-saturation coefficient) and $Y_{TSS}$ (yield coefficient referred to total suspended solids), with the evaluation of LSE (least-squared error). Data are the mean of three replicates (± SD). For each kinetic model, column means followed by the same superscript letter were not significantly different (P > 0.01) as determined by the Tukey test.

(1) $K_s$ (mg O₂ L⁻¹)² in the Moser model (for n = 2).

(2) $K_s$ (mg O₂ mg TSS⁻¹) replaces $K_s$ in the Contois model.
more oriented to the mineralization and recycling of proteic and lipidic matrices than to carbohydrates and glucidic substrates.

The bacterial growth was also evaluated through $r_x$ for the consumption of the various organic sources, as observed in Fig. 5. The highest $r_x$ was obtained during degradation of peptone for all the kinetic models studied, as the values of $Y_{TSS}$ were similar for the different substrates used. Therefore, the highest concentration of biomass produced by $S. baltica$ KB30, measured as $X_T$ depending on time, was recorded on peptone.

**Conclusions**

This work represented the first attempt to characterize the kinetic performance of $S. baltica$ during its growth on various carbon sources using several models. Actually, the use of different models, allowing best fitting for the consumption of each substrate, permitted a comprehensive approach to the question. The biodegradation of sodium acetate and tween 80 was best fitted to Monod’s model while the Contois’ showed the best adjustment of the experimental data for glucose and peptone utilization. As stated by the kinetic parameters, biodegradation of peptone was faster than that of the other carbon sources. Thus in $S. baltica$ KB30, proteolytic metabolism appeared to be favored compared to lipidic and glucidic metabolism. The foregoing statements suggest that, in natural environments, $S. baltica$ KB30 would contribute much more to the mineralization and recycling of protein and lipid than carbohydrates. In addition, its rather great competence in metabolizing lipids could find use in environmental issues (bioremediation), such as the removal of surfactants and/or emulsifiers. On the whole, the results obtained in this work contribute to fill a gap in the

---

**Fig. 4** Substrate degradation rate ($r_x$) of $Shewanella baltica$ KB30 during the respirometric experiments in relation to substrate concentration ($S$), measured as chemical oxygen demand in ($mg \text{O}_2 \text{ L}^{-1}$), obtained by different models: Monod (a), Moser (b), Contois (c) and Tessier (d)
knowledge of this metabolically interesting cold-adapted microorganism, which deserves to be studied in view of possible applications. Studies in this sense are currently in course.
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