Macrophages Maintain Epithelium Integrity by Limiting Fungal Product Absorption

Highlights

- Epithelium in the distal colon requires macrophages (Mφs) for survival
- Distal colon Mφs insert “balloon-like” protrusions (BLPs) in the epithelium
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- BLP+ Mφs prevent the absorption of fungal toxins, protecting the barrier integrity
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In Brief

Protrusions on distal colonic macrophages orchestrate fluid sampling, which is critical to protect epithelial cells from absorbing fluids enriched in fungi toxins/metabolites.
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SUMMARY

The colon is primarily responsible for absorbing fluids. It contains a large number of microorganisms including fungi, which are enriched in its distal segment. The colonic mucosa must therefore tightly regulate fluid influx to control absorption of fungal metabolites, which can be toxic to epithelial cells and lead to barrier dysfunction. How this is achieved remains unknown. Here, we describe a mechanism by which the innate immune system allows rapid quality check of absorbed fluids to avoid intoxication of colonocytes. This mechanism relies on a population of distal colon macrophages that are equipped with “balloon-like” protrusions (BLPs) inserted in the epithelium, which sample absorbed fluids. In the absence of macrophages or BLPs, epithelial cells keep absorbing fluids containing fungal products, leading to their death and subsequent loss of epithelial barrier integrity. These results reveal an unexpected and essential role of macrophages in the maintenance of colon-microbiota interactions in homeostasis.

INTRODUCTION

The intestinal tract provides a unique environment because it is continuously exposed to food antigens as well as to the commensal microbiota. It comprises different regions with distinct anatomies and physiological roles. Maintenance of the intestinal barrier is critical given that it controls the absorption of nutrients, electrolytes, and water from the gut lumen into the blood circulation and further prevents the absorption of toxic luminal substances (Al-Bahrani et al., 2010). Accordingly, disruption of the intestinal barrier leads to multiple pathological situations ranging from nutrient deprivation (Fishman et al., 2014) and inflammatory bowel diseases (Anbazhagan et al., 2018; Michielan and D’Incà, 2015) to sepsis (Yoseph et al., 2016) and multi-organ failure (Al-Bahrani et al., 2010; de Haan et al., 2009; Swank and Deitch, 1996).

Among the different regions of the intestine, the distal colon is particularly important for fluid absorption. On the one hand, the distal colon epithelium is exposed to the elevated osmotic pressure needed to induce water absorption by epithelial cells to dehydrate and solidify feces (Naftalin, 1994). On the other hand, the distal colon faces a tremendous amount of microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, archaea, and viruses (Donaldson et al., 2016; Flynn et al., 2018; Leonard et al., 2018; Scupham et al., 2006). In particular, fungi are more abundant in the distal colon and can produce metabolites that trigger apoptosis of intestinal epithelial cells (Upperman et al., 2003). The colonic mucosa must therefore tightly regulate fluid absorption to avoid potential entry of toxic fungal metabolites into epithelial cells and further into the blood circulation. The mechanisms underlying such regulation remain unknown.
Fluid absorption in the distal colon is achieved by a single-layered epithelium displaying a selective permeability. Permeability is controlled by the presence of (1) an abundant mucus, which consists of net-like polymers (Matsuo et al., 1997) and acts as a physical mesh separating the colon luminal content from the epithelium (Kamphuis et al., 2017), and (2) junctional complexes, which seal the space between epithelial cells (Ballard et al., 1995). Fluid absorption through epithelial cells is tightly coordinated by sodium pumps, ionic channels, transporters (e.g., ENaC, Na/K-ATPase), and Aquaporins (Masyuk et al., 2002). Altogether, these components control the selective absorption of nutrients, electrolytes, and water. This process, referred to as intestinal permeability, continuously adapts to fluctuations in the availability of nutrients and electrolytes and to the body salt/water balance (Martinez et al., 2012; De Santis et al., 2015). Intestinal permeability is also regulated by the microbiota (Yu, 2018; Martinez-Guryn et al., 2018) and immune cells (Dalton et al., 2006; Groschwitz et al., 2009; Musch et al., 2002). How the dialog between intestinal epithelial cells, microbes, and immune cells is orchestrated to maintain intestinal permeability in homeostasis remains unclear.

In homeostatic conditions, one of the most abundant types of intestinal immune cells are macrophages (Mφs), which mainly differentiate from monocytes in response to local cues. Intestinal Mφs reside either within the lamina propria or the muscle layer, where they participate in a variety of biological processes, including the degradation of microorganisms (Smith et al., 2011), silent clearance of apoptotic bodies (Cummings et al., 2016; Sisirak et al., 2018), tissue repair (Pull et al., 2005), and gastrointestinal motility (Muller et al., 2014). Intestinal Mφs also limit inflammation (Shouval et al., 2017; Ueda et al., 2010; Zigmond et al., 2014) and facilitate the survival of local FOXP3+ T regulatory cells and tolerance to food (Brockmann et al., 2017; Mazzini et al., 2014). The function of Mφs is tightly regulated by the microbiota (Schulthess et al., 2019) and, accordingly, they are more abundant in the colon than in the small intestine (Denning et al., 2011; Nagashima et al., 1996). Interestingly, in the colon, Mφs can be found in close association with epithelial cells (Kang et al., 2020; Nagashima et al., 1996). Disruption of Mφs’ sub-epithelial localization participates in the loss of intestinal barrier integrity observed in inflammatory bowel diseases such as ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease (Nalle and Turner, 2015). Mφs are, therefore, ideally positioned to orchestrate epithelial cell-microbiota interactions for maintenance of colon homeostasis.

Here, we investigated the role of Mφs in the integrity and function of the colon epithelium in homeostasis. We show that sub-epithelial Mφs perform a rapid quality check of the fluids absorbed through distal colon epithelial cells, protecting them from being poisoned by luminal fungal metabolites.

**RESULTS**

**Mφ Control Epithelial Cell Survival and Barrier Integrity in the Distal Colon**

The gut immune system is highly compartmentalized, with different cell types distributed in gradients along the intestine (Mowat and Agace, 2014). This particularly concerns Mφs, which are present in greater amounts in the colon as compared to the small intestine. Colonic Mφs display an anergic phenotype (Bain et al., 2013; Smythies et al., 2005) and their loss correlates with the development of ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease (Rubio et al., 2018), suggesting a contribution to the maintenance of epithelial integrity. However, the mechanism(s) by which Mφs achieve such a homeostatic function in vivo remains unknown. To address this question, we depleted Mφs using the CD64DTR mouse model (Baranska et al., 2018) and evaluated the state of the epithelium (Figure 1A). We analyzed both proximal and distal colons as they are known to exhibit differences both in physiology (Dolman and Edmonds, 1975; Foster et al., 1986; Hardin et al., 1999) and microbiota composition (Flynn et al., 2018; Leonardi et al., 2018). The efficient depletion of colonic Mφs was verified by flow cytometry and immunostaining in both colon segments (Figure S1A). Unexpectedly, we found that Mφ depletion led to massive apoptosis of epithelial cells in the distal but not the proximal colon (Figures 1B and 1C). Death...
of epithelial cells in the distal colon was also observed when Mφs were depleted by injecting anti-CSF1 receptor antibodies in C57BL/6J mice (Figures S1B and S1C). Of note, if the presence of apoptotic cells merely resulted from the lack of scavenging by Mφs, equal numbers of dead cells should be detected in distal and proximal colons (Figure 1C). Our results, therefore, suggest that Mφs facilitate the survival of epithelial cells in the distal colon specifically.

To evaluate the impact of epithelial cell death on epithelium permeability, we infused CD64<sup>mTR</sup> and CD64<sup>sTR</sup> mice intrarectally with a hypotonic solution containing the small fluorescent molecule hydrazide and measured its appearance in the blood. We found that hydrazide was more abundant in the blood of Mφ-depleted mice compared to control animals (Figure 1D), indicative of an increase in barrier permeability in these animals. Altogether, these results show that Mφs are needed for epithelial cell survival and intestinal barrier integrity in the distal colon.

**Distal Colon Mφs Insert “Balloon-like” Protrusions in between Epithelial Cells**

These results prompted us to investigate distribution of Mφs and their physical interaction with epithelial cells in the proximal and distal colon. To address this question, we performed whole-mount immunostaining of proximal and distal colon sections isolated from CD11c-Cre/R26<sup>mTmG</sup> mice (Caton et al., 2007; Muzumdar et al., 2007). In this mouse model, all cells that express CD11c throughout their differentiation, which include intestinal Mφs (and dendritic cells, DCs), switch from membrane-tomato to membrane-GFP expression, allowing better visualization of these phagocytes in the tissue.

Using these animals, we observed a phagocyte population in the distal colon that physically interacted with epithelial cells through membrane protrusions shaped as balloons (Figures 1E and 1F), which we will refer to as “balloon-like” protrusions (BLPs) hereafter. The cell bodies of these cells were localized around the opening of the crypts (Video S1). On average, each cell displayed three BLPs that crossed the basement membrane and contacted the base of epithelial cells. Of note, BLPs do not correspond to the “transepithelial dendrites” previously described in the small intestine (Rescigno et al., 2001; Vallon-Eberhard et al., 2006) as they do not reach the lumen of the colon. Immunostaining showed that BLP<sup>+</sup> cells resembled bona fide intestinal Mφs (CD11b<sup>+</sup> MHCII<sup>+</sup> F4/80<sup>+</sup> CX3CR1<sup>+</sup> CD64<sup>-</sup> CD103<sup>-</sup> CX3CR1-GFP<sup>+</sup>; Figure S2) and accordingly, they were lost in toxin-injected CD64<sup>sTR</sup> mice (Figure S1A) (Mowat and Bain, 2011). Strikingly, reduced numbers of BLPs were observed in the proximal colon (Figures 1E and 1G), even though proximal crypts contained a similar number of Mφs as their distal counterpart (Figure 1H). Observation of proximal colon Mφs at higher resolution showed that they also displayed protrusions, which, however, were rather thin and without balloons (Figures 1F and 1I). We conclude that the distal colon is enriched with Mφs equipped with peculiar balloon-like membrane protrusions inserted at the base of epithelial cells.

**BLPs Are Filled with Epithelial Cell Membranes and Endolysosomal Compartments**

We next analyzed the inner structure of BLPs using high-resolution confocal microscopy. We found that BLPs were approximately 1–5 μm in diameter, some of them forming thin extensions (about 0.3 μm in diameter and 5–10 μm in length; Video S2) that extended between epithelial cells (Figure 2A, left). BLPs were filled with membranes and vesicles devoid of actin (Figure 2A, right). Most BLP internal vesicles in CD11c-Cre/R26<sup>mTmG</sup> mice were Tomato<sup>+</sup>, indicating that they originated from cells that do not express CD11c (Figure 2B, upper panel). Given the intra-epithelial localization of BLPs, we hypothesized that these membranes might come from epithelial cells. To test this hypothesis, we generated Villin: Cre-ER<sup>T2</sup>/R26<sup>mTmG</sup> mice (el Marjou et al., 2004; Muzumdar et al., 2007) in which intestinal epithelial cells express membrane GFP (mGFP). Analysis of distal colon sections from these animals showed that BLPs were filled with GFP-positive membranes (Figure 2B, lower panel), showing that they contain membranes and vesicles of epithelial origin.

Correlative light-transmission electron microscopy analysis showed that BLP inner vesicles varied from 10 to 200 nm in diameter and were partly filled with electron-dense material, suggesting that they might contain lysosomes (Figure 2C). Accordingly, most BLPs were positive for the LAMP1, LAMP2, and Rab7A late-endosomal/lysosomal markers (LAMP1-positive, 73.3% ± 3.5%; LAMP2-positive, 62% ± 2.5%; Rab7A-positive, 63.6% ± 3.6%) (Figure 2D). In contrast, the marker of early endosomes, EEA1, was barely detected in BLPs (EEA1-positive, 8.8% ± 3.6%, Figure 2D). Consistent with their late-endosomal/lysosomal origin, all BLPs were also enriched in major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II and associated invariant chain, CD74 or Ci (100%; Figure 2E). Of note, as we did not observe these two molecules in the epithelium, this result suggests that the endolysosomal markers found within BLPs were most likely expressed in the Mφs themselves rather than internalized from neighboring cells. These data show that BLPs are filled with endolysosomal compartments that contain membranes internalized from epithelial cells.

**Figure 2. BLPs Contain Epithelial Cell Membranes and Are Enriched in Endolysosomal Compartments**

(A) BLPs (arrow) with thin membranous extensions (arrowheads). Maximum z-projection of a 7 μm (left) and a single slice (right) of distal colonic Mφs from CD11c: Cre/R26<sup>mTmG</sup> mouse; mGFP (green); F-actin (red); DNA (blue); deconvolved. Scale bars: 10 μm, 1 μm on the magnified inset.

(B) Inner compartments of BLPs are filled with membranous material, derived from CD11c-negative cells (top panel, distal colon of CD11c: Cre/R26<sup>mTmG</sup> mouse), in part from the epithelium (bottom panel, distal colon of Villin: Cre-ER<sup>T2</sup>/R26<sup>mTmG</sup> mouse; intestinal epithelial cells express membranous GFP [green]), BLPs (MHC class II staining [red]). Maximum z-projections of 1 μm, deconvolved. Scale bar: 1 μm.

(C) Correlative electron microscopy (left) of BLP from distal colon of CD11c: Cre/R26<sup>mTmG</sup> mouse; arrow indicates a BLPs found on the confocal image (mGFP [green]; membrane tdTomato, boxed [red]) and overlaid with the low-magnification TEM image; borders or the same BLPs are highlighted with yellow dashed line on the higher-magnification TEM image (right). Scale bar: 1 μm.

(D) LAMP2, LAMP1, Rab7A, and Rab11 staining of BLPs, maximum z-projections of 1–2 μm, deconvolved. Scale bars: 2 μm.

(E) MHCII, CD74, and F4/80 staining of BLPs. Maximum z-projection of 1–2 μm, deconvolved. Scale bars: 2 μm. See also Video S2.
Figure 3. BLP+ Mϕs Have Distinct Transcriptomic Profile and Express CD11c as a Specific Marker

(A) Single-cell RNA-seq experiment workflow. Mϕs were isolated from proximal/distal colon by FACS and sequenced. 2,106 Mϕs were identified, n = 1,176 for proximal and n = 930 for distal colon, and shown on a t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (tSNE) representation; cells isolated from proximal (orange), cells from distal colon (blue) (top 22 PCs computed on the top 1,000 variable genes, vst method).

(B) Selection of clustering parameters was based on the silhouette score and expected fraction of BLP+ Mϕs in proximal and distal colon obtained from IHC (mean fraction of BLP+ Mϕs out of all Mϕs; data pooled from two independent experiments). The selected clustering solution (graph-based clustering, 30 neighbors, resolution = 0.3) revealed the presence of two Mϕ subpopulations, displayed on the same tSNE as in (A) and colored accordingly: cluster 0, containing

(legend continued on next page)
BLP+ Mφ Are CD11c<sup>high</sup>

We next asked whether BLP+ Mφs constitute a unique cell population, distinct from its BLP- counterpart. To address this question, we FACs-sorted terminally differentiated Mφs from both proximal (majority of Mφs are BLP+) and distal colons (majority of Mφs are BLP-) of a C57BL/6J mouse and compared their gene expression profiles using single-cell RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) (Zheng et al., 2017) (Figure 3A; Figure S3). To reliably identify transcriptionally different Mφ subpopulations, we adopted a semi-supervised approach to group the cells based on their gene expression profile. First, we explored different solutions obtained by varying the input with a graph-based clustering algorithm (Satija et al., 2015) to identify the cluster whose relative abundance was greater in the distal than in the proximal colon, as observed for BLP+ Mφs. Based on the percentages of BLP+ Mφs quantified from colon sections (Figure 3B), we selected feasible solutions as the ones where the fraction of putative BLP+ Mφs was between 50% and 70% in the distal colon and below 40% in the proximal colon. Second, we scored the solutions using the silhouette width, a measure of the similarity of each cell to the cells of the same cluster compared to the cells belonging to the neighboring cluster. The optimal solution was then defined as a feasible one whose average silhouette width of all cells (silh(C)) and of putative BLP+ Mφ (silh(BLP+ Mφ)) was maximal.

The optimal solution identified with this approach contained five clusters (Figures S4A and S4B; Table S1). Only two of them, clusters 0 and 1, expressed high levels of all Mφ markers (CD45, CD11b, F4/80, CD64, MHCII), hence the other three, comprising 140 cells overall, likely contained contaminants (e.g., epithelial cells and fibroblasts, Figure S4C) and were therefore removed. In total, we collected 2,106 Mφs: 1,354 cells in cluster 0 and 1,752 cells in cluster 1 (Figure 3B). Whereas the distal colon sample included 58% of cells from cluster 1, the proximal colon only included 18%. This result suggested that cluster 1 is enriched for BLP+ Mφs, whereas cluster 0 is enriched for BLP- Mφs. To test this hypothesis, we stained tissue sections with anti-CD11c (Itgax) antibodies, as the CD11c gene was found to be more expressed in cluster 1 as compared to cluster 0 (Figure 3B). Strikingly, we observed that these antibodies specifically labeled sub-epithelial BLPs+ Mφs in the distal colon (Figure 3C). Importantly, the percentage of BLP+ Mφs found by tissue staining (Figure 3B) was similar to the percentage of CD11c<sup>high</sup> Mφs defined by flow cytometry and imaging in the distal colon (Figure 3D), suggesting that tissue disaggregation leads to the limited death of BLP+ Mφs. Altogether, these results strongly suggest that cluster 1 is enriched for BLP+ Mφs.

BLP+ Mφs Exhibit a Distinct Gene Expression Profile

To identify the genes specific for BLP+ Mφs, we then extracted the genes that are differentially expressed in clusters 0 and 1. We found that 88 and 122 genes were respectively up- and downregulated in BLP+ Mφs as compared to BLP- Mφs (Figure 3E; Table S2). Among them, several genes could be directly or indirectly linked with microbiota recognition and inflammatory disorders in the colon, such as Igα3 (Esteban et al., 2011; Kobayashi et al., 2006; Linden et al., 2013), plaur (Genua et al., 2015; Wiersinga et al., 2010), Itlb1 (Seo et al., 2015), Itlb2 (Rogier et al., 2017), and cd9 (Suzuki et al., 2009).

We next compared our results to a recently published study (Kang et al., 2020) in which single-cell RNA-seq was used to identify the presence of distinct Mφ subpopulations in the murine colon. This comparison showed that one of these subpopulations, cluster 4, which corresponded to terminally differentiated Mφs (Figure S4D), shared 60 genes with the signature of our BLP+ Mφ, including CD11c (Figure 3F). In contrast, the cluster described in that study as cluster 6 strongly resembled our BLP- Mφs, with 59 overlapping genes. Consistent with our results, these two subpopulations were found to be spatially segregated within the colonic stroma; cluster 4 was localized closer to the epithelium, whereas cluster 6 was closer to the muscle layer. Interestingly, both clusters 4 and 6 were decreased in germ-free mice (Kang et al., 2020). These results suggest that BLP+ colonic Mφs correspond to a specific Mφ subpopulation that is enriched in the distal colon, forms tight interactions with epithelial cells, and might be influenced by the microbiota.

Intestinal Fungi Augment the Formation of BLPs

These results prompted us to evaluate the impact of the microbiota on BLP+ Mφs. The colon harbors the largest amount of microorganisms in the body, where commensal bacterial and fungal populations share the intestinal niche (Donaldson et al., 2016; Leonardi et al., 2018). To assess the potential effect of bacteria and fungi on the formation of BLPs, we treated mice with a broad-spectrum antibiotic cocktail or anti-fungal agents. While there was no effect of antibiotics (Figure 4A), two anti-fungal agents, fluconazole and amphotericin B, significantly decreased the number of BLPs (Figures 4A and 4B) without altering the total number of Mφs (Figure S5A). We have previously highlighted that these drugs efficiently reduce the amount

964 cells in proximal and 390 cells in distal colon, and cluster 1, containing 212 cells in proximal and 540 cells in distal colon. Cluster 1 expresses CD11c as a specific marker.

(D) Percentage of CD11c<sup>+</sup> Mφs out of all Mφs in proximal and distal colon determined by imaging (top, % of CD11c<sup>+</sup> Mφs out of F4/80<sup>+</sup> CD103<sup>-</sup> MHCII<sup>+</sup> cells) or flow cytometry (bottom, % of CD11c<sup>+</sup> Mφs out of CD45<sup>+</sup>CD11b<sup>+</sup>CD103<sup>-</sup>CD64<sup>+</sup>/F4/80<sup>+</sup>Ly6C<sup>-</sup> MHCII<sup>+</sup> cells); dots represent average number per individual mice; three independent experiments. Mean ± SEM, Mann-Whitney test, "p < 0.01.

(E) Heatmap of the top 20 significantly up- and downregulated genes between BLP+ Mφs (cluster 1) and BLP- Mφs (cluster 0), respectively, sorted by non-decreasing p value; entries represent the scaled (Z score) normalized expression, values < –2.5 or >2.5 are clipped; genes found respectively up- and down-regulated in Kang et al. (2020) are highlighted in bold (see F).

(F) (Top) Comparison of significantly upregulated genes in BLP+ Mφs (cluster 1, 88 genes, in blue) and in cluster 4 from Kang et al. (2020) (166 genes, in gray). Fisher’s exact test using 10,788 detected genes in the 2,106 Mφs as background. (Bottom) Comparison of significantly upregulated genes in BLP+ Mφs (cluster 0, 121 genes, in pink) and cluster 6 from Kang et al. (2020) (166 genes, in gray). See also Figures S3 and S4 and Tables S1 and S2.
Figure 4. Intestinal Fungi Stimulate BLP Formation and Account for Epithelial Death in the Absence of M\(\text{ip}\)

(A) Number of BLPs, normalized per crypt in the distal colon of mice treated with antibiotic cocktail (AB) or anti-fungal agent (fluconazole, Fluc). Dots represent average number per individual mouse; four independent experiments. Mean ± SEM, multiple comparison Kruskal-Wallis test, *p < 0.05.

(B) Number of BLPs, normalized per crypt in the distal colon of mice treated with anti-fungal agent (amphotericin B, AmphB). Dots represent average number per individual mouse; two independent experiments. Mean ± SEM, Mann-Whitney test, *p < 0.05.

(C) Number of BLPs, normalized per crypt in the distal colon of mice treated with antibiotic cocktail, fluconazole, amphotericin B, or in combinations of antibacterial and anti-fungal agents. Dots represent average number per individual mouse; two independent experiments. Multiple comparison Holm-Sidak’s test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001.

(D) Number of BLPs, normalized per crypt, in germ-free mice colonized with bacteria (Schaedler flora, ASF) or fungi (C. albicans). Dots represent average number per individual mouse; two independent experiments. Kruskal-Wallis test, *p < 0.05.

In (A and D), M\(\text{ip}\)s (F4/80, cyan) and crypts (laminin, purple); maximum z-projections of 30 μm. Scale bars: 10 μm.

(E) Number of apoptotic (cleaved caspase 3+) epithelial cells per crypt in the distal colon of germ-free mice colonized with bacteria (Schaedler flora, ASF) or fungi (C. albicans). Dots represent average number per individual mouse; two independent experiments. CD64\(^{\text{WT}}\) or CD64\(^{\text{DTR}}\) littermates were treated with anti-fungal agents (fluconazole or amphotericin B in separate sets of experiments) or antibiotic cocktail before M\(\text{ip}\) depletion; 44 h after the first DT injection distal colons were processed for IHC staining. All mice received DT injections. (E and F) Apoptotic cells (cleaved caspase 3 staining, red); F-actin (green), Scale bars: 20 μm. Data pooled from three (fluconazole and antibiotic cocktail treatment) and two (amphotericin B treatment) independent experiments. Mean ± SEM, multiple comparison Dunn’s test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. See also Figure S5.
of intestinal fungi in mice (Wheeler et al., 2016) but using distinct mechanisms of action (Anderson et al., 2014; Bolard et al., 1993; Morrow, 1991). Tissue staining showed that the number of subepithelial M\(_\text{\Phi}\)s was also unchanged in mice treated with anti-fungal drugs (Figure S5A), and that these cells displayed long thin protrusions instead of BLPs (Figure S5B), as observed in the proximal colon. The combination of fluconazole or amphotericin B with antibiotics showed no additive effect (Figure 4C), suggesting that these two anti-fungal agents do not act on BLPs indirectly, i.e., by leading to the expansion of colonic bacteria. These results suggest that distal colon-resident fungi might promote the formation of BLPs in subepithelial M\(_\text{\Phi}\)s.

To directly assess the role of fungi in the induction of BLPs, we colonized germ-free mice with altered Schaedler flora (ASF), a well-defined community of eight bacterial species (Li et al., 2018), or with the gut fungal pathobiont Candida albicans. Notably, fungal colonization induced strong BLP formation by M\(_\text{\Phi}\)s in the distal colon of germ-free mice that were otherwise devoid of these structures, whereas bacterial colonization did not influence the formation of BLPs (Figure 4D). No change in the number of subepithelial M\(_\text{\Phi}\)s was observed (Figure S5A). While colonization of germ-free mice with pathobiont, Candida albicans, led to epithelial cell death, bacteria had no effect (Figure 4E). Altogether, these results support a role for the mycobiota in the formation of BLPs in the distal colon, where these microorganisms are particularly abundant.

**Intestinal Fungi Are Responsible for Epithelial Cell Death in the Distal Colon of M\(_\text{\Phi}\)-Depleted Mice**

So far, our results suggest that in the distal colon, there is a population of CD11c\(^{\text{high}}\) M\(_\text{\Phi}\)s that form BLPs in response to local fungi. On the other hand, we found that M\(_\text{\Phi}\) depletion was associated with massive epithelial cell apoptosis in the distal colon but not the proximal one (Figure 1). We, therefore, hypothesized that by forming BLPs, M\(_\text{\Phi}\) might protect epithelial cells from fungi-induced death. Indeed, fungi have been shown to produce many toxic molecules, including toxins and metabolites that can be deleterious for the host (Bukelskien, 2006; Egbuta et al., 2017; Upperman et al., 2003; Weber et al., 2008). To test this hypothesis, we pre-treated CD64\(^{\text{DTR}}\) mice with anti-fungal agents before M\(_\text{\Phi}\) depletion and assessed the impact of such treatment on epithelial cell survival. Strikingly, we found that anti-fungal treatment rescued the epithelial cells from death in M\(_\text{\Phi}\)-depleted animals (Figure 4F). The number of apoptotic colonocytes in mice treated with either fluconazole or amphotericin B prior to M\(_\text{\Phi}\) depletion was comparable to those observed in M\(_\Phi\)-containing mice. In contrast, epithelial cell death was not rescued when M\(_\Phi\)-depleted mice were treated with antibiotics (Figure 4F). We conclude that fungi are most likely responsible for the death of epithelial cells observed in the distal colon of M\(_\Phi\)-depleted mice. These results further suggest that M\(_\Phi\)s might protect epithelial cells from fungi-induced cell death.

**BLP+ M\(_\Phi\)s Sample the Fluids Absorbed through Epithelial Cells**

How do M\(_\Phi\) detect fungi in the distal colon? Colonic M\(_\Phi\)s use C-type lectin receptors such as Dectin-1, Dectin-2, and Mincl to recognize fungi cell-wall constituents and orchestrate anti-fungal immunity (Iliev et al., 2012; Leonardo et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018). However, we did not observe any difference in the level of expression of Dectin-1 and Dectin-2 in M\(_\Phi\)s sorted from the distal and proximal colon (Figure S5C). In addition, Dectin-1\(^{\text{KO}}\) M\(_\Phi\)s formed similar numbers of BLPs in the distal colon as compared to wild-type animals (Figure S5D). These data suggest that BLP+ M\(_\Phi\)s might not respond to intestinal fungi through the recognition of β-glucan by Dectins. This is consistent with BLPs not reaching the colon lumen and the microorganisms it contains.

BLP characterization showed that they were filled with membranes from epithelial cells and enriched in endolysosomal compartments (Figure 2). We, therefore, hypothesized that BLPs might sample fungal metabolites/toxins indirectly through the fluids absorbed by the epithelial cells they are in contact with. Indeed, epithelial cells in the distal colon possess specific mechanisms that optimize the absorption of water and facilitate stool dehydration (Masyuk et al., 2002). Such mechanisms might allow BLP+ M\(_\Phi\)s to sample the environment in the absence of direct contact with the local microbiota. To assess whether BLP+ M\(_\Phi\)s respond to fluid absorption by epithelial cells, we infused CD11c-Cre/R26\(^{\text{M\(_\Phi\)-glucan}}\) mice intra-rectally with a hypertonic solution. We found that such treatment increased the number of BLPs as fast as 10 min after infusion (Figure 5A) and returned to steady state in 30 min. This increase was also observed when fluid absorption was stimulated by aldosterone injection, a corticosteroid hormone which increases sodium and thus water absorption specifically in the distal colon (Figure 5B). To the opposite, when inhibiting water absorption by treating animals with the laxative Bisacodyl, we observed a significant decrease in the number of BLPs (Figure 5C). Noticeably, this decrease was abrogated when mice were pre-treated with indomethacin, which inhibits the action of Bisacodyl (Ikarashi et al., 2011). Tracing the fluid absorbed through epithelial cells using Alexa-633-labeled hydrazide (a 0.5–1.5 kDa low molecular weight compound) showed an important accumulation of the dye inside the BLP+ M\(_\Phi\) network as fast as 5 min upon infusion (Figures 5D and 5F). These results show that the fluid absorbed through the epithelium of the distal colon stimulates the formation of BLPs in associated M\(_\Phi\)s wherein this fluid accumulates. Importantly, we found that the formation of BLPs upon fluid absorption was increased when fungi were present (Figure 5E) while the efficiency of epithelial fluid absorption was unchanged (Figure S5E), supporting our hypothesis that BLPs sample fluids for the presence of fungal products.

**M\(_\Phi\)s Protect Epithelial Cells from Being Poisoned by Fungal Toxins**

To directly test this hypothesis, we searched for a molecule to be used as a generic fungal metabolite, toxic for epithelial cells when concentrated. We turned our attention to gliotoxin. Indeed, this fungal metabolite was shown to induce apoptosis in epithelial cells (Upperman et al., 2003) and to be produced by both pathobionts and food spoilage fungi such as Penicillium chrysogenum (Ismail and Papenbrock, 2015; Lewis et al., 2005), which is abundant in the murine intestinal tract (Wheeler et al., 2016). Of note, gliotoxin was also found to be produced by Candida spp., including Candida albicans, even though there are
conflicting reports on this subject (Bertling et al., 2010; Kosalec et al., 2008; Kupfahl et al., 2007; Shah and Larsen, 1991; Shah et al., 1995; Tshabalala et al., 2016). To investigate whether Mɸs can sense fungal metabolites present in the fluids absorbed by epithelial cells, we infused fungi-depleted C57BL/6J mice with a hypotonic solution containing or not containing gliotoxin (Figure 6A). We found that gliotoxin-containing solution stimulated BLP-formation as soon as 5 min after the infusion (Figure 6B). While the epithelium kept absorbing the gliotoxin-free hypotonic solution, it stopped the absorption of the one containing gliotoxin 20 min upon infusion (Figure 6C). Similar results were obtained when using two additional fungi toxins: Candida-lysin from pathobiont Candida albicans and T-2 toxin from commensal Fusarium sporotrichoides (Marasas et al., 1987; Wheeler et al., 2016) (Figure 6D). These data show that epithelial cells sense and stop absorbing fluids that are poisoned with fungal toxins.

To investigate whether this is an intrinsic capacity of epithelial cells or requires associated BLP+ Mɸs, we performed a similar experiment in CD64WT or CD64DTR littermates injected with diphtheria toxin (DT) and infused with the gliotoxin-containing hypotonic solution (Figure 6E). Remarkably, we found that while DT-injected CD64WT mice stopped the absorption of gliotoxin, Mɸ-depleted CD64 DTR mice kept absorbing (Figure 6E). To assess whether this uncontrolled absorption of gliotoxin had long-term effects on epithelium...

Figure 5. BLP Sample Fluids Absorbed through the Epithelium.
(A–C) Number of BLPs normalized per crypt upon stimulation/inhibition of intestinal fluid absorption. (A) Mice (CD11c: Cre/R26mTmG) received an intra-rectal infusion of hypotonic solution and were sacrificed 5, 10, 20, or 30 min later. (B) Mice were injected with aldosterone and sacrificed 2, 4, 6, or 8 h later. (C) Mice (C57BL/6J) were force-fed with a laxative (bisacodyl) and sacrificed 5 h later. Indomethacin injection (IMC) 15 min before the gavage was used as an inhibitor of bisacodyl. Dots represent average number per individual mouse; data pooled from three (A and B) or two (C) independent experiments. Mean ± SEM, multiple comparison Kruskal-Wallis test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

(D) Maximal z-projection of distal colonic Mɸs 5 min after intra-rectal infusion of hydrazide (a polar low molecular weight membrane-impermeant dye used as a water tracer) in hypotonic solution. mGFP (green), hydrazide (red); z-projection of 20 μm. Scale bar: 10 μm.

(E) Number of BLPs normalized per crypt in C57BL/6J mice pre-treated with anti-fungal agent (fluconazole, fluc) and infused with hypotonic solution. Dots represent average number per individual mouse; five independent experiments. Mean ± SEM, multiple comparison Kruskal-Wallis test, *p < 0.05.

(F) Maximal z-projection of distal colonic Mɸs forming BLPs, filled with hydrazide after intra-rectal infusion. CD11c: Cre/R26mTmG mouse, left: z-projection of 8 μm, scale bar: 2 μm; and individual optical planes at different depths of the same BLP, scale bar: 1 μm.
homeostasis, we infused CD64WT and CD64DTR littermates with the gliotoxin-containing hypotonic solution and sacrificed them 6 h later. In these experiments, distal colon epithelial cells underwent massive apoptosis when Mφs were depleted, confirming that these phagocytes protect epithelial cells from being poisoned by the fungal toxin (Figure 6F). We conclude that in the distal colon, Mφs endow epithelial cells with the ability to recognize toxic fluids and stop absorption, maintaining epithelial integrity and local homeostasis.

**BLPs Are Needed for Mφs to Prevent Epithelium Poisoning by Fungi Toxins**

These results highlight the essential role of Mφs in stopping the absorption of toxin-containing fluids through epithelial cells. Are BLPs required for this process? As shown in Figure 2, BLPs are enriched in CD74+ endolysosomal compartments, and CD74 expression was slightly increased in cluster 1 (log fold change 0.4). CD74, also known as invariant chain, controls MHC class II trafficking to endocytic compartments, and its expression typically leads to the expansion of endolysosomal compartments (reviewed in Schröder, 2016). It was also shown to enhance the internalization of extracellular fluid by macropinocytosis (Chabaud et al., 2015). We thus hypothesized that CD74 might help BLP+ Mφs internalizing within endolysosomes the fluid and small vesicles released by epithelial cells.

To test this hypothesis, we infused fluconazole-treated CD74WT and CD74KO mice with a hypotonic solution containing gliotoxin (Figure 7A). We found that the number of BLPs was equivalent in the two groups of mice at steady state (Figure 7B). While the number of BLPs increased in wild-type mice 5 min after gliotoxin infusion, it did not in CD74KO animals, suggesting a decrease in uptake of extracellular fluids. Accordingly, CD74KO subepithelial Mφs exhibited reduced level of hydrazide internalization (Figure 7C). This result suggests that CD74 is required for BLP formation in response to fluid absorption through epithelial cells, indicating that CD74KO mice constitute a valuable model to evaluate the implication of BLPs in fluid sampling by Mφs. Quantification of fluid absorption showed no difference between CD74WT and CD74KO 5 min after infusion of gliotoxin (Figure 7D, graph). This is consistent with a wild-type epithelium absorbing equivalent amounts of toxin-rich fluid at this early time point (Figure 6C). Strikingly, while in wild-type mouse, absorption of gliotoxin-containing solution stopped 20 min after infusion, this decrease in absorption was not observed in the epithelium of CD74KO mice (Figure 7D). In agreement with these results, we found that CD74KO epithelial cells later undergo massive apoptosis (Figure 7E). These findings strongly suggest that BLPs orchestrate fluid sampling by distal colon Mφs, which is critical to protect epithelial cells from absorbing fluids enriched in fungal toxins/metabolites.

**DISCUSSION**

The intestinal barrier separates the gut lumen from the internal milieu. It acts as a selectively permeable filter that allows the absorption of nutrients, electrolytes, and water, which can then reach the blood circulation for organ irrigation. At the same time, because the intestine lumen also contains many toxic substances produced by the microbiota, absorption must be tightly regulated to prevent intoxication and disease. Indeed, dysregulation of intestinal barrier permeability is a leading cause of sepsis-related mortality in critically ill patients and IBD. While mechanisms that regulate intestinal permeability have been widely studied in the small intestine, little is known on how the colon, whose main physiological function is fluid absorption and which contains the highest microorganism burden, protects itself from toxic microbial products.

Here, we describe a mechanism driven by a particular population of CD11chigh subepithelial Mφs, which ensures rapid quality check of absorbed fluids to maintain barrier integrity. For this, Mφs use BLPs inserted at the base of the epithelium, which sample the fluids absorbed through epithelial cells. If fluids are overloaded with fungal metabolites/toxins, Mφs instruct epithelial cells to stop absorption, preventing epithelial cell poisoning and death. This could for example occur through the secretion of prostaglandin 2 (PGE2) by Mφs, which increases Aquaporin localization at the apical membrane of epithelial cells in vitro (karashi et al., 2011). In the absence of Mφs or BLPs, epithelial cells absorb fluids independently of their fungal toxin/metabolite load and undergo apoptosis, compromising barrier integrity. These results suggest that, in homeostasis, the permeability of the intestinal barrier is differentially regulated depending on the local physiological function of the specific intestine segment.

---

**Figure 6. Mφs Protect Epithelial Cells from Death by Limiting Fungal Toxin Absorption**

(A) C57BL/6J littermates were treated with anti-fungal agent (fluconazole), received intra-rectal infusion of hypotonic solution (1:1 H2O/PBS) with or without gliotoxin, and were sacrificed 5 or 20 min later. Hydrazide was used as the water tracer.

(B) Number of BLPs normalized per crypt in C57BL/6J mice pre-treated with anti-fungal agent and infused with hypotonic solution with or without gliotoxin. Control (non-infused) group is indicated as “Nil.”

(C) Number of hydrazide+ epithelial cells normalized per crypt in C57BL/6J mice pre-treated with anti-fungal agent and infused with hypotonic solution with or without gliotoxin.

(D) Number of BLPs normalized per crypt in C57BL/6J mice pre-treated with anti-fungal agent (fluconazole, flu) and infused with hypotonic solution containing DMSO (H2O-infused control group), Candidalysin or T2 toxin. Non-infused control groups are indicated as “Nil.”

(E) CD64WT or CD64DTR littermates were treated with anti-fungal agent (fluconazole) before Mφ depletion; 20 h after the first DT injection mice received intra-rectal infusion of gliotoxin (in hypotonic solution + hydrazide) and were killed 5 min, 20 min, or 6 h later. All mice were injected with DT. Mφ depletion was confirmed by F4/80 staining, basement membrane (laminin, purple); maximum z-projections of 30 μm. Scale bars: 20 μm.

(F) Maximum z-projection of distal colon sections of Mφ-depleted animals 6 h after gliotoxin infusion. Apoptotic cells (cleaved caspase 3, red) staining, F-actin (green). All mice were injected with DT.

In (A–F), dots represent average number per individual mouse; data pooled from three (B and C) or two (D–F) independent experiments. Mean ± SEM. In (B–E), multiple comparison Kruskal-Wallis test. In (F), Mann-Whitney test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.
Figure 7. CD74-dependent BLP Formation Protects Epithelial Cells from Death by Limiting Fungal Toxin Absorption

(A) CD74<sup>WT</sup> or CD74<sup>KO</sup> littermates were treated with an anti-fungal agent (fluconazole) and infused intra-rectally with a hypotonic solution containing gliotoxin. Hydrazide was used as the water tracer. Mice were killed 5 min, 20 min, or 4 h after the infusion, and distal colon samples were processed for IHC staining.

(B) Number of BLPs formed 5 min after gliotoxin infusion. 

(C) Hydrazide uptake

(D) 20 min after Gliotoxin infusion

(E) 4h after Gliotoxin infusion

(legend continued on next page)
and on its microbial content. Mφs thus emerge as key players in the orchestration of such regulation.

In the small intestine, Mφs form transient transepithelial dendrites visible by live imaging (Rescigno et al., 2001; Vallon-Eberhard et al., 2006). In response to microbial signals, these protrusions extend between epithelial cells and reach the gut lumen where they capture bacteria or dietary antigens. They express tight-junctional proteins to form adhesions with epithelial cells during crossing the barrier, which ensures the maintenance of the epithelium integrity (Rescigno et al., 2001). They, therefore, appear as different from the colonic BLPs described here. Although BLPs also penetrate the basement membrane and occupy the intercellular space of the epithelium and do not directly contact the colon lumen. These differences are in line with the distinct properties of epithelia in the small and large bowels. While paracellular permeability is elevated in the small intestine epithelium, reflecting its physiological role in nutrient absorption and establishment of food tolerance, the colonic epithelium exhibits limited paracellular permeability (Gitter et al., 2000). This helps the colonic epithelium to resist local mechanical stress imposed by elevated osmotic pressure and stool solidification, preventing loss in barrier integrity. Mφs might, therefore, have developed an alternative sampling strategy in the context of such tightly sealed colonic epithelium. They form BLPs, which sample fluids absorbed through or between epithelial cells, rather than directly engulfing the content of the colon lumen, which contains a huge number of microorganisms. Although the paracellular route allows sampling of the fluid absorbed through the epithelium, a process needed for stool formation, the transcellular route might detect transient events of barrier leakage that result from stretch and shear mechanical insult. These findings suggest that sampling mechanisms by the peripheral immune system are adapted to both the local cues and physiological function(s) of the gut segment.

We unexpectedly found that distal colon BLP+ Mφs respond to the presence of fungi products. Similar results were observed with two different anti-fungal agents, fluconazole and amphotericin B, which target distinct fungal species through different mechanisms (Anderson et al., 2014; Bolard et al., 1993; Morrow, 1991). These results are consistent with fungi being particularly enriched in the distal colon (Iliev et al., 2012). We did not obtain any evidence that bacterial compounds stimulate the formation of BLPs. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that bacteria insensitive to the used antibiotics or absent from the ASF flora could still stimulate formation of BLPs.

How do subepithelial BLP+ Mφs detect fungal products? Our data strongly suggest that Dectins might not be involved in this process in homeostatic conditions given that (1) the number of BLPs does not vary between wild-type and Dectin 1KO mice and (2) the level of expression of these receptors are equivalent in Mφs isolated from proximal (majority are BLP-) and distal colon (majority are BLP+). This is coherent with previous findings showing that the distal colon contains a thick mucus layer that physically separates the microbiota from the epithelial cells; inner parts of this mucus are sterile (Kamphuis et al., 2017). Therefore, in homeostasis, there is no contact between subepithelial Mφs and the colon lumen, impairing Dectin engagement by their ligands, which are part of the fungal cell wall. Mφs use BLPs to sample the fluids absorbed through epithelial cells, which carry the whole spectrum of fungal metabolites, providing a comprehensive picture of local mycobiota composition. Whether BLP+ Mφs directly sense fungal products absorbed by the epithelium or detect stress compounds released by poisoned epithelial cells requires additional investigations. This is in sharp contrast with what happens in response to barrier rupture or in the presence of invading fungal species: in that case, Mφs physically contact fungi and use Dectin-1 to mount effective anti-fungi immune responses (Iliev et al., 2012; Leonardi et al., 2018).

Similar results were obtained using three different fungi toxins: gliotoxin, which is produced by both pathobionts and commensals, Candida albidans, from pathobiont Candida albicans, and T-2 toxin, from commensal Fusarium sporotrichoides (Marasas et al., 1987). In all cases, the number of BLPs increased upon toxin inoculation in fungi-depleted mice, indicating that BLP+ Mφs recognize all three fungi metabolites. This result suggests that BLPs do not only respond to fungi compounds produced by pathogenic species but to a broad variety of fungi metabolites. The BLP response may thus be critical not only to sense potentially dangerous fungi species but also to detect the over-growth of commensal fungi, whose metabolites might compromise the survival of epithelial cells if too abundant. Accordingly, we found that commensal fungi are indeed responsible for epithelial cell apoptosis in Mφ-depleted mice. Defining the precise nature of the commensal fungi involved will require additional investigations. An interesting candidate is the commensal species Fusarium sporotrichoides, as it is targeted by both fluconazole and amphotericin B (Wheeler et al., 2016) and produce the T-2 toxin (Marasas et al., 1987). How BLP+ Mφs themselves resist toxins remains an open question; it could be envisioned that BLPs maintain toxins away from the cell body of these cells.

In conclusion, here we highlight a so-far uncovered homeostatic function of CD11c+ subepithelial Mφs in the distal colon: they help the epithelium maintain its integrity in an environment subjected to elevated physical and chemical insults that result from osmotic pressure, feces solidification, and an important microbial load. How BLP+ Mφs instruct epithelial cells to absorb or not absorb fluids in homeostasis and whether alterations in such mechanisms lead to pathologies such as IBD and cancer shall next be addressed.
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### Key Resources Table

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| Rat IgG2b kappa monoclonal anti-CD45, PE/Cyanine5.5 conjugated, clone 30-F11, dilution for flow cytometry – 1 to 500 | ThermoFischer Scientific, eBiosciences™ | Cat# 35-0451-82; RRID: AB_469718 |
| Armenian Hamster IgG monoclonal anti-CD3 epsilon, APC/Cyanine7 conjugated, clone 145-2C11, dilution for flow cytometry – 1 to 200 | BioLegend | Cat# 100330; RRID: AB_1877170 |
| Rat IgG2a kappa monoclonal anti-CD19, APC/Cyanine7 conjugated, clone 6D5, dilution for flow cytometry – 1 to 200 | BioLegend | Cat# 115530; RRID: AB_830707 |
| Rat IgG2b kappa monoclonal anti-CD11b, PE/Cyanine7 conjugated, clone M1/70, dilution for flow cytometry – 1 to 200 | BioLegend | Cat# 25-0112-82; RRID: AB_469588 |
| Armenian Hamster IgG monoclonal anti-CD103, PE conjugated, clone 2E7, dilution for flow cytometry – 1 to 200 | ThermoFischer Scientific, eBiosciences™ | Cat# 12-1031-82; RRID: AB_465799 |
| Rat IgG2a kappa monoclonal anti-F4/80, PE/Cyanine5 conjugated, clone BM8, dilution for flow cytometry – 1 to 20 | BioLegend | Cat# 123112; RRID: AB_893482 |
| Mouse IgG1 kappa monoclonal anti-CD64, Brilliant Violet™ 421 conjugated, clone X54-5/7.1, dilution for flow cytometry – 1 to 13 | BioLegend | Cat# 139309; RRID: AB_2562694 |
| Rat IgG2c kappa monoclonal anti-Ly6C, PE/Dazzle™ 594 conjugated, clone HK1.4, dilution for flow cytometry – 1 to 333 | BioLegend | Cat# 128044; RRID: AB_2566577 |
| Rat IgG2b kappa monoclonal anti- mouse I-A/I-E, Alexa Fluor™ 700 conjugated, clone M5/114.15.2, dilution for flow cytometry – 1 to 400 | BioLegend | Cat# 107622; RRID: AB_493727 |
| Armenian Hamster IgG1 1/2 monoclonal anti-CD11c, APC conjugated, clone HL3, dilution for flow cytometry – 1 to 100 | BD Biosciences | Cat# 550261; RRID: AB_398460 |
| Rat IgG2b monoclonal anti-Dectin-1, FITC conjugated, clone 2A11, dilution for flow cytometry – 1 to 5 | Bio-Rad | Cat# MCA2289FT; RRID: AB_2081659 |
| Rat IgG2a monoclonal anti-Dectin-2, FITC conjugated, clone KVa7-6E7, dilution for flow cytometry – 1 to 5 | Milttenyi Biotec | Cat# 130-102-212; RRID: AB_2660824 |
| Rat DA/HA IgG2b kappa monoclonal anti-CD11b, Brilliant Violet™ 421 conjugated, clone M1/70, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 100; dilution for whole-mount – 1 to 20 | BD Biosciences, BD Horizon™ | Cat# 562605; RRID: AB_11152949 |
| Rat IgG2b monoclonal anti-CD11b, unconjugated, clone 5C6, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 100; dilution for whole-mount – 1 to 50 | Bio-Rad | Cat# MCA711G; RRID: AB_323167 |
| Goat IgG polyclonal anti-CD103, unconjugated, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 100; dilution for whole-mount – 1 to 50 | R&D systems | Cat# AF1990; RRID: AB_2128618 |
| Rat IgG2a monoclonal anti-CD64, unconjugated, clone AT152-9, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 100; dilution for whole-mount – 1 to 50 | Bio-Rad | Cat# MCA5997; RRID: AB_2687456 |
| Rat IgG2b monoclonal anti-F4/80, unconjugated, clone Cl:A3-1, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 100; dilution for whole-mount – 1 to 50 | Bio-Rad | Cat# MCA497R; RRID: AB_323279 |

*(Continued on next page)*
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| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Rat IgG2b monoclonal anti-mouse I-A/I-E, unconjugated, clone M5/114.15.2, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 100; dilution for whole-mount – 1 to 50 | BioLegend | Cat# 107602; RRID: AB_313317 |
| Rat IgG2b kappa monoclonal anti-mouse I-A/I-E, Brilliant Violet™ 510 conjugated, clone M5/114.15.2, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 20 | BioLegend | Cat# 107636; RRID: AB_2734168 |
| Rat (WF) IgG2b kappa monoclonal anti-CD74, unconjugated, clone In-1, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 100, dilution for whole-mount – 1 to 50 | BD Biosciences, BD PharMingen™ | Cat# 555317; RRID: AB_395727 |
| Rabbit IgG monoclonal anti-Cleaved Caspase 3 (Asp175), unconjugated, clone 5A1E, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 100 | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 9664S; RRID: AB_2070042 |
| Rabbit IgG polyclonal anti-Laminin, unconjugated, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 200, dilution for whole-mount – 1 to 100 | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# L9393; RRID: AB_477163 |
| Rat (SD) IgG2a kappa polyclonal anti-CD107a (LAMP1), unconjugated, clone 1D4B, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 100 | BD Biosciences, BD PharMingen™ | Cat# 553792; RRID: AB_2134499 |
| Rat IgG1 kappa monoclonal anti-CD107b (LAMP2), unconjugated, clone M3/84, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 100 | BioLegend | Cat# 108502; RRID: AB_313383 |
| Rabbit IgG monoclonal anti-EEA1, clone C45B10, unconjugated, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 100 | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 3288S; RRID: AB_2096811 |
| Rabbit IgG monoclonal anti-Rab7, clone D95F2, unconjugated, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 100 | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 9367S; RRID: AB_1904103 |
| Rabbit IgG monoclonal anti-Rab11, clone D4F5, unconjugated, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 100 | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 5589S; RRID: AB_10693925 |
| Rat IgG2b kappa monoclonal anti-mouse CD16/CD32, Mouse BD Fc Block™, dilution for flow cytometry – 1 to 200 | BD Biosciences | Cat# 553142; RRID: AB_394657 |

Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins

| Reagent | Source | Identifier |
|---------|--------|------------|
| LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain Kit, dilution for flow cytometry – 1 to 1000 in protein-free buffer | ThermoFischer Scientific | Cat# L34965 |
| Phalloidin, Rhodamine conjugated, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 200, dilution for whole-mount – 1 to 100 | ThermoFischer Scientific | Cat# R415 |
| Phalloidin, Alexa Fluor™ 488 conjugated, dilution for cryosections – 1 to 200, dilution for whole-mount – 1 to 100 | ThermoFischer Scientific | Cat# A12379 |
| DAPI (4',6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole, Dihydrochloride), dilution for cryosections – 1 to 400, dilution for whole-mount – 1 to 200 | ThermoFischer Scientific | Cat# D1306 |
| Hydrazide coupled with Alexa Fluor™ 633 | ThermoFischer Scientific | Cat# A30634 |
| Gliotoxin from Gliocladium fimbriatum | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# G9893-5MG |
| T-2 toxin from Fusarium sp. | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# T4887-25MG |
| Candidalysin from Candida albicans | Peptides International | Cat# PCT-4494-v |

Deposited Data

| Deposited Data | Source | Identifier |
|----------------|--------|------------|
| Spectral um-mixing macro code | This paper | Mendeley Data [https://doi.org/10.17632/bdfyurfsv9.1](https://doi.org/10.17632/bdfyurfsv9.1) |
| Single Cell RNaseq datasets | This paper | Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), GSE146131 |
| Original/source data for figures | This paper | Mendeley Data [https://doi.org/10.17632/zwhhrht7bd.1](https://doi.org/10.17632/zwhhrht7bd.1) |
RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

**Lead Contact**
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by Lead Contact Ana-Maria Lennon-Duménil (ana-maria.lennon@curie.fr).

**Materials Availability**
This work did not generate new unique reagents.

**Data and Code Availability**
The scRNaseq datasets generated in this study are available for download at the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO): GSE146131.
Spectral un-mixing Fiji macro code is available on Mendeley Data: https://doi.org/10.17632/bdfyprfsv9.1
Original/source data for figures in the paper is available on Mendeley Data: https://doi.org/10.17632/zwhhrht7bd.1.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

**Mice**
Animal care and use for this study were performed in accordance with the European and French National Regulation for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals used for Experimental and other Scientific Purposes (2010/63/UE) for the care and use of laboratory animals. Experimental procedures were specifically approved by the ethics committee of the Institut Curie CEEA-IC #118 (Authorization APAFIS#26130-2020062023431460-v1 given by National Authority) in compliance with the international guidelines. All mice were maintained in the SPF animal facility of Institut Curie (Paris, France) prior to use. Germ free (GF) mice were bred and maintained within sterile vinyl isolators at Weill Cornell Medical College Mouse Facility. Altered Schaedler flora (ASF) mice were generated from germ- free wild-type C57BL/6 mice upon inoculation with ASF community (Li et al., 2018), bred for at least 5 generations for fully immunocompetent progeny and maintained within sterile vinyl isolators. All animal experiments were approved and are in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines at Weill Cornell Medicine. Experiments were performed on 8 to 16 weeks-old male or female mice. CD11c:Cre/R26mTmG mice were generated by crossing CD11c:Cre+/- mice (Caton et al., 2007) with the tdTomato/GFP reporter mice, where membrane-targeted green fluorescent protein (GFP) is expressed upon Cre activation (Muzumdar et al., 2007) and labels CD11c compartment. Villin:Cre:R26mTmG mice were generated by crossing Villin:Cre-ERT2+/-mice, bearing tamoxifen-dependent Cre recombinase in intestinal epithelial cells (el Marjou et al., 2004) with the tdTomato/GFP reporter mice (Muzumdar et al., 2007). Tamoxifen (0215673891, MP Biomedicals) was prepared as described previously (Metzger and Chambon, 2001) in sunflower seed oil (S5007, Sigma-Aldrich). In order to induce sufficient labeling of intestinal epithelial cells' membrane, mice were i.p. injected with tamoxifen stock solution (50 mg/kg) for 5 consecutive days. C57BL/6J mice (females) were purchased from Charles River. CD64DTR+/- mice (Baranska et al., 2018) were a kind gift from Sandrine Henri and Bernard Malissen and used for macrophage

**REAGENT or RESOURCE SOURCE IDENTIFIER**

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains** | | |
| Mouse: R6/2: B6CBA-Tg(HDexon1)f62Gpb/3J | The Jackson Laboratory | JAX: 006494 |
| Mouse: DectinKO: B6.129S6-Clec7atm1Gdb/J | The Jackson Laboratory | JAX: 012337 |
| Mouse: CD11c:Cre | S. Amigorena (Institut Curie) | Caton et al. (2007) |
| Mouse: mt/mG | Leqn Luo (Stanford) | Muzumdar et al. (2007) |
| Mouse: Villin: Cre: B6.C57BL/6N-Tg(Villin-CreERT2)1SR | Institut Curie | el Marjou et al. (2004) |
| Mouse: CD64DTR+/- | Sandrine Henri and Bernard Malissen (Marseille Université) | Baranska et al. (2018) |
| Mouse: CD74KO/KO | H. Ploegh (Harvard) | Viville et al. (1993) |
| Mouse: C57BL/6J | Charles River | JAX: 000664 |
| **Software and Algorithms** | | |
| ImageJ | Schneider et al., 2012 | https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/ |
| Spectral un-mixing macro code | This paper | Mendeley Data https://doi.org/10.17632/bdfyprfsv9.1 |
| Single Cell RNaseq datasets | This paper | Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), GSE146131 |
| Scientific Volume Imaging, Huygens Software | https://svi.nl/Huygens-Software | https://svi.nl/Huygens-Essential |
depletion experiments. Animals were i.p. injected with unnicked diphtheria toxin from Corynebacterium diphtheriae (50 μg/kg, solution was prepared in PBS, right before use from 1mg/mL stock solution kept at −80°C, Sigma-Aldrich). Number of injections was dependent on the duration of experiment and on experimental design. For the intestinal barrier integrity experiments, mice received two i.p. injections, 24 h apart and sacrificed 20 h after the second injection. For infusion experiments, mice received one i.p. injection 20 h prior to infusion. CD64DTR received two i.p. injections, 24 h apart and sacrificed 20 h after the second injection. For infusion experiments, mice received one was dependent on the duration of experiment and on experimental design. For the intestinal barrier integrity experiments, mice were sacrificed after 4% Paraformaldehyde (PFA) /PBS solution (5 mL per segment) for 1 h at RT with mild agitation. PFA 4% solution was prepared on the same day (30525-89-4, Electron Microscopy Sciences) and distilled water (15230001, ThermoFisher Scientific). After fixation, tissue was washed 3 times with PBS (10010001, ThermoFisher Scientific) and processed for the staining.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Tissue preparation**

To ensure the same segmentation of the colons across the experiments, colons, including intra-basin part and anus, were removed from the animals, washed with PBS (10010001, ThermoFisher Scientific) at room temperature (RT) and separated onto proximal and distal parts ex vivo. First, anus and rectum were cut out (approximately 4 mm from the anus), second proximal colon was defined as the first 1/4 of the residual tube, starting from the caecum; distal colon was defined as last 4/4, and segments were separated with the scalpel. Segments were further perpendicularly cut into 3-4 mm pieces and fixed in 4% PFA /PBS solution for 1 h at RT or overnight (O/N) at 4°C (until tissue fragments sunk). After, tissue was embedded with OCT (OCT, 4583, Sakura finetek France SAS) in plastic base molds (62352-15, Euromedex), frozen at −20°C and cut on the cryostat using SuperFrost Plus Adhesion slides (631-9483, VWR, Menzel Gläser). Tissue sections were left for several minutes to melt and covered in PBS to prevent drying until the permeabilization step. Sections were then permeabilized with 0.2% Triton x100 (T8787, Sigma-Aldrich)/PBS solution for 1 h at RT; blocked with 3% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA, m/v, IgG-Free, Protease-Free, 001-000-162, Jackson Immuno Research), serum of the host of the secondary antibody (donkey or goat serum, depending on the staining, 1:20 dilution, 017-000-121 and 005-000-121 respectively, Jackson Immuno Research) in 0.05% Triton x100/PBS solution for 1 h at RT; and stained with primary antibody O/N in humidified chambers at RT. Sections were then washed 3 times with 0.05% Tx100/PBS solution for 1 h; incubated with secondary antibodies, DAPI and phalloidin, depending on the staining, at RT; and stained with primary antibody O/N in humidified chambers at RT. Sections were then washed 3 times with 0.05% Tx100/PBS solution for 1 h; incubated with secondary antibodies, DAPI and phalloidin, depending on the staining, for 4 h at RT; washed 3 times in 0.05% Tx100/PBS solution for 1 h and mounted using AquaPolyMount (18606-5, Polysciences). In case the FACS-conjugated antibodies were used (CD11c-APC, CD64-BV421) for co-labeling with other antibodies, they were added after the secondary antibody wash-out and incubated O/N at RT. After samples were later washed 3 additional times with 0.05% Tx100/PBS solution for 1 h and mounted. After drying for 1 h in the dry incubator at 37°C or O/N at the RT, samples were ready for the imaging. All antibodies (references and dilutions) are presented in Table S3.

**Whole-mount staining of colonic tissue**

Fixed tissue was sliced perpendicularly to the intestinal length with the scalpel into 1 mm tissue sections and placed in the Eppendorf tubes filled with PBS (1 tube per staining, 4-6 sections per tube). The staining was performed as described above with slight modifications: permeabilization was performed with 1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 1 h at RT (500 uL per Eppendorf tube), all antibody solutions and washing steps were performed using 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS, under mild shaking conditions (2 mL per Eppendorf tube) and concentration of antibodies was increased (see the Table S3, 150 uL of the final antibody solution per Eppendorf tube). Incubations with antibodies were done without agitation.

**Confocal Microscopy**

Images were acquired using an inverted confocal microscope (Leica DMi8, SP8 scanning head unit), equipped with HC PL APO CS2 40x/1.30 OIL objective, with a regular pixel size of 284 nm (x, y dimensions), 0.5 - 1 um (z dimension) and a resolution of 1024x1024 pixels. For high-resolution BLP structural imaging, deconvolution was used. For these acquisitions we have performed oversampling (pixel size of 36 nm (x, y dimensions) and 0.2 (z dimension)) on the zoomed fragment of the tissue. For all types of acquisition Hybrid Detectors were used. Deconvolution was performed with Huygens Essential Software pack.

**Correlative light - transmission electron microscopy (TEM)**

Distal colon tissue of CD11c: Cre/R26tmTg mice was fixed in 4% PFA prepared in 0.1M phosphate buffer from 16% aqueous stock (30525-89-4, Electron Microscopy Sciences) and incubated 1 h at RT with mild agitation. Tissue was then washed 3 times in the regular PBS without Ca2+ and Mg2+ and cryoprotected by O/N incubation with 2.3M sucrose at 4°C. Freezing of the tissue and cryosectioning was done as described above and sections were mounted in PBS: glycerol (1:1) without additional staining. BLPs were located using the inverted confocal microscope (Leica DMi8, SP8 scanning head unit) and obtained their x, y, z coordinates.
Coverslips were then removed and samples were processed for the immunogold staining. The immunolabeling of cryosections was done as described previously (Melo et al., 2014), with some modifications. In brief, after 30 min in blocking buffer (0.1% gelatin (104070, Merck), 10% Normal goat serum (ab7481, Abcam) and 1% BSA (A8647, Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS), sections were immunolabelled with rabbit anti-GFP antibodies (ab290, Abcam) followed by 1.4 nm Nanogold labeled Fab’ anti-rabbit secondary antibody (#2004-5, Nanoprobes). After washing in PBS, sections were fixed in 2% (vol/vol) glutaraldehyde for 5 min, washed in water and silver enhanced (HQ Silver enhancement kit, Nanoprobes, NY). Finally, tissue was processed for osmication, dehydration, Epon embedding and sectioned on the coordinates obtained previously for the each BLPs. Images were acquired with a digital camera Quemesa (SIS) mounted on a Tecnai Spirit transmission electron microscope (FEI) operated at 80kV. Montage of the EM and fluorescent confocal images was done in AdobePhotoshop.

Isolation of Intestinal Cell Suspensions and flow cytometry
For preparation of single-intestinal-cell suspension colons were opened with scissors along the intestinal length, proximal and distal colons were separated, washed 3 times in different baths of PBS without Ca2+ and Mg2+. Next, tissues were incubated on a magnetic stirrer in complete medium (CM, 2% heat-inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) in Ca2+-, Mg2+-free Phenol Red 10X HBSS; H4385 Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA diluted to 1x in filtered H2O) in the presence of 1 mM DTT (D9779, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and EDTA (15575-038 from Invitrogen) at 37°C for 30 min and subsequently incubated with 1 mM EDTA in 5% FBS/PBS at 37°C for 10min. This was followed by incubation with 15mM HEPES (15630-056 from GIBCO) in 1% FBS/PBS at RT for 7 min without agitation. The supernatants containing intestinal epithelial cells were discarded. Isolated tissues were collected and digested using 0.15 mg/mL LiberaseTM TL (054010200001, Roche) and 0.1mg/mL DNase1 (10104159001, Roche) in HBSS at 37°C for 45 min with magnetic agitation. Tissues were then filtered through 100μm cell strainer, and washed in HBSS. Single-cell suspensions were then stained with live-dead stain and Fc-block in a protein-free buffer for 30 min on ice, washed with FACS-buffer (1% BSA, 5% FCS and 2 mM EDTA in PBS), stained with FACS-conjugated antibodies for 20 min on ice, washed and analyzed by flow cytometry. Counting Beads (ACBP-100-10, Spherotech) were used for quantification of cell numbers in the sample and OneComp eBeads Compensation Beads (01-1111-42, Thermofisher Scientific, were used as single-color controls for compensation. Dilutions of reagents can be found in Table S3. Data was acquired on BD LSRII cytometer and processed with FlowJo vX software. Cell-sorting was performed on BD FACSAria sorter.

Single Cell RNA-sequencing using dropseq

RNAseq libraries preparation
Cellular suspension (~5000 cells, with expected recovery of ~3000 cells) of sorted Mφ from proximal and distal colon of 8 weeks old female C57BL/6J mouse were loaded on the 10X Chromium Controller instrument (10X Genomics) according to the manufacturer's protocol, based on the 10X GEMCode proprietary technology, both samples were processed in one batch. The Chromium Single Cell 3¿ v2 Reagent kit (10X Genomics) was used to generate the cDNA and prepare the libraries, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The libraries were then equimolarly pooled and sequenced on a Novaseq 6000 (Illumina) in Paired-end 26x91. A coverage of 400M reads per sample was targeted, in order to obtain 100 000 reads per cell. The raw data were then demultiplexed and processed with the Cell Ranger software (10X Genomics) v2.1.1.

Quality check, read alignment, and computation of the UMI counts
FASTQ files are obtained from BCF files using the cellranger mkfastq command from CellRanger v2.1.1. Sequencing quality is assessed using FastQC v11.8. cellranger count command (default parameters) is used to map the reads to the annotated mm10 genome (accession: GCA_000001635.6, gene build: 2016-01), compute UMI counts, and call cellular barcodes.

Processing of the gene-cell expression matrix
The gene-cell expression matrix for each sample is imported in R using Seurat v3.0.0 and normalized as follows: the UMI count of each gene in cell j is augmented by 1, divided by the total UMI count for cell j, multiplied by 10000, and log-transformed. Cells with less than 200 or more than 4000 detected genes (UMI count>=1) are filtered out. Only the genes detected in>=2 cells in at least one sample are retained. Normalized matrices for the two samples are concatenated to obtain a joint normalized matrix M' and gene expression is standardized (Z-score) to obtain a scaled matrix M'.

Generation of the clustering solution
Feature selection methods and clustering parameters are let vary in order to optimize the definition of the cell populations.

Feature selection is performed on M (defined above) using either mean.var.plot or vst method from Seurat. They both model the expression dispersion of each gene in order to detect the candidates that show the highest variability across cells. For mean.var.plot, the average expression is set in [0.1, 0.1] and the scaled dispersion is d such that the two conditions M<sub>φ</sub> and M<sub>h</sub> simultaneously hold.

A PCA dimensionality reduction is possibly performed on M' (defined above) after feature selection. The first 50 principal components (PCs) are calculated using an SVD approximation. The number of PCs to be retained is automatically defined using the approach defined as follows. First, a p value ρ<sub>φ</sub> is computed for each component PC<sub>n</sub> using the JackStraw method (Seurat, default parameters). To identify the contribution to the standard deviation that is likely due to noise, we compute the standard deviation σ<sub>noise</sub> explained on average by PC<sub>40</sub>...PC<sub>50</sub>. The optimal number of top PCs is set to the maximal h such that the two conditions σ<sub>n</sub><sup>3</sup> 1.25ρ<sub>φ</sub> and ρ<sub>φ</sub> ≤ 10<sup>−9</sup> simultaneously hold.
Clustering is done using the Waltman and van Eck algorithm, either on the selected features or on the top h PCs, by varying the number of neighbors k in {30, 40, 50} and the resolution parameter r from 0.1 to 0.5 in steps of 0.1. Overall, 180 clustering solutions are generated: 6 ways to select the features, followed or not by PCA, 3 choices for k and 5 choices for r in the clustering step.

**Selection of the optimal clustering solution and identification of cell populations**

Given a clustering solution C, a silhouette width \( \text{silh}(j) \) is computed for each cell j using the \( n \times n \) matrix D, where n is the number of cells and \( D_{jk} \) is the euclidean distance between cell j and cell k, computed on the same space where C is calculated (features or PCs). The average silhouette width across a cluster c will be referred to as \( \text{silh}(c) \).

For each solution, the putative BLP+ M\( ^{+} \) cluster is defined as the largest whose fraction of cells in the distal colon is greater than in the proximal colon. Among the solutions C whose expected fraction of BLP+ M\( ^{+} \) (based on IHC) is < 0.4 in the proximal colon and comprised between 0.5 and 0.7 in the distal colon, we select the one such that the average between \( \text{silh}(C) \) and \( \text{silh}(\text{BLP}^{+}\text{M}^{+}) \) is maximal. The optimal solution contains five clusters (top 1000 variable genes selected with vst, selection of the first 22 PCs, and clustering with parameters \( k = 30 \) and \( r = 0.1 \)). An ISNE is computed (using default parameters) on the same input used for clustering.

**Differential expression analysis and clusters annotation**

Differential expression analysis between each cluster and its complementary or between two clusters is performed using the MAST method on the optimal solution defined at the previous step. Genes detected (UMI count > 0) in \( 3\% \) 10% cells in one of the two conditions, with |log2FC| > 0.5, and adjusted p value < 0.05 (Bonferroni correction) are defined as differentially expressed. Two clusters expressing higher level of fibroblast markers (Acta2, Dcn, Des, Lamb1, Lam4, Lamc1, Tpm2, Nid1) and of epithelial cell markers (Krt8, Muc2, Krt19, Car1, Krt18, Car4), respectively, and one cluster expressing lower level of the M\( ^{+} \) marker Itgam (Cd11b) are filtered out, resulting in two remainder clusters for downstream analysis, which will be referred to as BLP+ M\( ^{+} \) and BLP- M\( ^{-} \), respectively.

**Comparison with published colon M\( ^{+} \) datasets**

Processed single-cell RNA-Seq data from monocyte-derived phagocytes in mouse colon are retrieved from (Kang et al.). First, we assess the expression of mature M\( ^{+} \) signatures (Schridde et al.), namely, the expression of upregulated genes from P1 (monocytes) to P4 (mature M\( ^{+} \)), in the seven M\( ^{+} \) sub-populations identified by Kang et al. Second, we compare the two M\( ^{+} \) sup-populations whose size is decreased in germ-free mice compared to specific pathogen-free mice, corresponding to clusters 4 and 6 in (Kang et al.), to BLP+ M\( ^{+} \) and BLP- M\( ^{-} \). The genes tested for differential expression between cluster 4 and 6 that are detected in \( 3\% \) 10% cells in either cluster 4 or 6, with |log2FC| > 0.5, and adjusted p value < 0.05 (Bonferroni correction) are defined as differentially expressed. We intersect the upregulated DEGs in BLP+ M\( ^{+} \) with the upregulated DEGs in cluster 4 and compute the probability of observing an equal or higher odds-ratio (maximum-likelihood estimate) with a Fisher’s exact test (using the R function `fisher.test`) using the genes detected in at least 20 cells across BLP+ M\( ^{+} \) and BLP- M\( ^{-} \) as background. Comparison of the upregulated DEGs in BLP+ M\( ^{+} \) and in cluster 6 is evaluated with the same procedure.

**Microbiota depletion**

Antibiotics cocktail was prepared as described previously (Qiu et al., 2015) and contained Ampicillin A9393 1mg/mL+ Gentamicin sulfate G4918 1mg/mL+ Vancomycin 861987 0.5mg/mL+ Metronidazole M1547 1mg/mL+ Neomycin trisulfate salt N1876 1mg/mL all products purchased at Sigma-Aldrich). For fungi depletion, fluconazole (0.5 mg/mL, F8929, Sigma-Aldrich) and amphotericin B (1 mg/kg, A9528, Sigma-Aldrich) were used. Mice were force-fed for 10 (for fluconazole and antibiotics treatment) or 14 (for amphotericin B treatment) consecutive days by using plastic feeding tubes (FTP-20-38-50, Phymep) greased with Vaseline. Volume of solutions per 20 g mouse). Solutions were pre-heated to 37°C just before infusion into the animals. For each solution, the putative BLP+ M\( ^{+} \) cluster is defined as the largest whose fraction of cells in the distal colon is greater than in the proximal colon. Among the solutions C whose expected fraction of BLP+ M\( ^{+} \) (based on IHC) is < 0.4 in the proximal colon and comprised between 0.5 and 0.7 in the distal colon, we select the one such that the average between \( \text{silh}(C) \) and \( \text{silh}(\text{BLP}^{+}\text{M}^{+}) \) is maximal. The optimal solution contains five clusters (top 1000 variable genes selected with vst, selection of the first 22 PCs, and clustering with parameters \( k = 30 \) and \( r = 0.1 \)). A TSNE is computed (using default parameters) on the same input used for clustering.

**Bacterial and Fungal colonization of germ-free mice**

8-10-week-old germ-free mice were orally either force-fed with Altered Schaedler flora (ASF) or C. albicans. After bacterial or fungal colonization, all mice were maintained within sterile vinyl isolators for 3 weeks and then sacrificed to obtain colonic tissues. For bacterial colonization, cecal contents from an ASF mouse were directly diluted with 5 mL sterile PBS to obtain ASF solution. Each germ-free mouse was fed with 300 \( \mu \)L ASF cecal contents solution. For fungal colonization, C. albicans strain (SC 5314, (1 \( \times \) 10\(^{8}\) CFU/ mouse) were cultured in Sabouraud dextrose broth (SDB) and then washed by sterile PBS twice before oral inoculation.

**Intra-rectal infusions**

The main driving force for water absorption by colonic epithelium is the osmolarity gradient (Masyuk et al., 2002), with the colonic stroma osmolarity of 800-1000 mOsmol/kg (Thiagarajah et al., 2001). Based on these studies, we have chosen to infuse the solution which is hypotonic to the stroma (DPBS with Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) with osmolarity of 290-315 mOsmol/kg, half-diluted in the distilled water). Intra-rectal infusions

Hydrazide coupled with Alexa Fluor\(^{TM}\) 633 (A30634, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to trace fluid absorption. Stock solution (25x, 10 mg/mL) was prepared by diluting 1 mg into 100 uL of sterile distilled water and kept at −20°C prior to use. Final infusion solution contained 0.4 mg/mL Hydrazide Alexa Fluor\(^{TM}\) 633, DPBS (10x with Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\), 1:20 diluted), and sterile distilled water.
Bisacodyl, a stimulant laxative, which stimulates Cl− secretion in the colon and not in the small intestine, was used to decrease water absorption (Engelhorn et al., 2014; Ikarashi et al., 2011). As described previously (Ikarashi et al., 2011), bisacodyl was administered by oral gavage (20 mg/kg, B1390, Sigma-Aldrich) and mice were sacrificed 5 h later. In addition, indomethacin (10 mg/kg, I7378, Sigma-Aldrich) was i.p. injected 15 min before oral administration of bisacodyl, to inhibit the bisacodyl activity, other conditions were kept the same as described above. Control animals were injected with the solvent and sacrificed 2 h and 8 h after the injection.

Colonic permeability measurement
To monitor the efficiency of systemic absorption through the colon mucosa, mice were infused with Hydrazide Alexa FluorTM 633 in hypotonic solution as described above. To measure efficiency of absorption into the blood, 2 min 30 s prior to the end of the infusion, thorax was cut open and blood was collected by cardiac puncture from the left ventricle. Since absorption of hemoglobin may interact with absorption/emission of Alexa FluorTM 633, we applied following procedures to avoid hemolysis, such as: (1) use of pre-heated 20G needles with 1mL syringe (2) horizontal positioning of the syringe during the cardiac puncture to decrease the force applied to collect blood (3) slow blood collection and withdrawal into the 2 mL Eppendorf tubes, on average collection was taking 2 min and withdrawal ~ 30 s. After the blood collection, Eppendorf tubes were placed in the dark and were left to coagulate for 30 min at RT. After blood was centrifuged at 2200 rcf at 10-15°C for 15 min and serum (supernatant) was collected into new 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes. After the second centrifugation at 2200 rcf at 10-15°C for 10 min, serum (supernatant) was placed in 96 well plate, calibration curves were prepared by serial dilutions of Hydrazide Alexa FluorTM 633, and fluorescence intensity was read on CLARIOstarTM plate reader (BMG LABTECH Inc). To measure the efficiency of epithelial absorption, colon tissues were fixed and stained with phalloidin, DAPI and Laminin antibodies. After z stacks were acquired for every section and amount of Hydrazide positive epithelial cells were quantified and normalized per number of crypts.

Stimulation of water absorption by aldosterone administration
We used aldosterone injections to stimulate water absorption specifically in distal colon (Garty, 2000; Goldschmidt et al., 2004; Greig et al., 2002). To partially repress endogenous aldosterone production, mice received 0.3% of NaCl in drinking water at least 5 days prior to the injection, as described previously (Fakitsas et al., 2007; Turchin et al., 2006). Mice were after i.p. injected with aldosterone (10 mg/kg, in 0.3% ethanol and 0.9% NaCl, A9477, Sigma-Aldrich), sacrificed after 2, 4, 6 and 8 h and tissue were treated as described above. Control animals were injected with the solvent and sacrificed 2 h and 8 h after the injection.

Laxative treatment
Bisacodyl, stimulant laxative, which stimulates Cl− secretion in the colon and not in the small intestine was used to decrease water absorption (Engelhorn et al., 2014; Ikarashi et al., 2011). As described previously (Ikarashi et al., 2011), bisacodyl was administrated by oral gavage (20 mg/kg, B1390, Sigma-Aldrich) and mice were sacrificed 5 h later. In addition, indomethacin (10 mg/kg, I7378, Sigma-Aldrich) was i.p. injected 15 min before oral administration of bisacodyl, to inhibit the bisacodyl activity, other conditions received injection of the solvent.

Anti-CSF1R antibody – mediated macrophage depletion
To produce anti-CSF1R antibody we used the hybridoma cell line AFS98, which was cultured in protein-free hybridome medium (PFHM-II Gibco ref: 12040-077) in disposable reactor cell culture flasks (CELLine 1000 Wheaton ref: WCL1000-1) for 7 days, and antibodies were purified with disposable PD10 desalting columns (GE healthcare ref: 17-0851-01). Mice were i.p. injected with 37.5 mg/kg of antibodies, diluted in PBS every 24 h for 3 consecutive days and killed 24 h after the 3rd injection.
QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Image analysis and processing
Image analysis and processing was performed using Fiji software (Schindelin et al., 2012). Quantification of BLPs and MIP were performed using the CellCounter build-in plugin, by manually counting the number of BLPs and crypts on every slide of the acquired z stack. 3D reconstructions and movies were created using Imaris software.

For experiments with more than 4 colors staining, un-mixing approach was used to remove fluorophores spillover. As described in (Gerner et al., 2012), we used single stain tissues to obtain compensation coefficients that were after applied to perform spectral un-mixing using an homemade Fiji macro.

First, we have chosen the fluorophores combination to minimize crosstalk in between fluorescent emissions when it was impossible to separate the dyes on excitation. A maximum of a 9 colors configuration with 4 lasers set up was used (Figure S6A). Second, to choose the fluorophore for each particular antigen, we evaluated the staining efficiency. To do so, we performed individual staining for each particular antigen using the same fluorophore and then we attributed the less efficient staining to the channel that receive less spillover contribution from the other channels. Additionally, staining that label the same cells/structures were put in channels with minimized cross-talk. Third, to obtain compensation coefficients, single stained tissues were imaged with the same microscope settings used for tissues stained with the whole panel of antibodies. Coefficients were calculated as described previously (Roederer, 2002) and imported into the matrix (Figure S6B). After inverse matrix was calculated using Excel and contained compensation coefficients used for spectral un-mixing. Additional details are available on the macro code.

Statistics
Statistical significance was calculated between two groups by Mann-Whitney test. Multiple comparison Kruskal-Wallis, Dunn’s and Holm-Sidak’s tests were used to calculate statistical significance between multiple groups. Analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8 software. Error bars represent SEM and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001).
Figure S1. Mϕ Depletion Efficiency Using CD64DTR and Anti-CSF1R Antibody-Mediated Models, Related to Figure 1

(A) Mϕ depletion efficiency using CD64DTR model analyzed by imaging and flow cytometry in the proximal and the distal colon.
(B) Mϕ depletion efficiency using anti-CSF1R antibody–mediated model analyzed by imaging and flow cytometry in the proximal and the distal colon.
(C) Number of apoptotic epithelial cells per crypt in the distal or proximal colon of control or anti-CSF1R antibody-injected mice. Maximum z-projections of ~30 μm, scale bar - 50 μm. Data pooled from 2 independent experiments, dots represent average number per individual mouse. Results are presented as mean ± SEM, Mann-Whitney test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.0001.
Figure S2. BLP-Forming Mφ Express Common Intestinal Macrophage Markers, Related to Figure 1
(A) Cell bodies of Mφ are indicated with yellow stars, arrows indicate BLPs. CD11b, MHCII, F4/80 staining of distal colon Mφ from CD11c: Cre/R26mTmG mouse, z-projection of 12 μm. Bottom panel (left): CD11b, CD103 staining of CX3CR1-GFP mouse, z-projection of 14 μm.
(B) CD64 and MHCII staining of colon Mφ from CD11c: Cre/R26mTmG mouse, z-projection of 11 μm, scale bars – 20 μm.
Figure S3. Gating Strategy Used to Isolate Mφ from the Distal and the Proximal Murine Colon, Related to Figure 3
The gating strategy begins on the top row, left.
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Figure S4. Single-Cell RNA Sequencing of Colonic Macrophages, Related to Figure 3

(A, left) tSNE computed on the top 22 PCs obtained on the 1000 most variable genes (vst method). Cells are colored by the sample. (A, right) tSNE computed on the top 22 PCs obtained on the 1000 most variable genes (vst method). Cells are colored by the cluster (number of neighbors = 30, resolution = 0.3).

(B) Distribution of the log-normalized expression levels of genes used for sorting terminally-differentiated Mφ across clusters (gated on alive, CD45+, CD3-, CD19-, CD103-, F4/80+, CD64+, Ly6C-, MHCII+).

(C, top panel) Distribution of the log-normalized expression levels of fibroblast/myofibroblasts markers across clusters. (C, bottom panel). Distribution of the log-normalized expression level of epithelial cell markers across clusters.

(D) Clusters 4 and 6 from Kang et al., consist of terminally differentiated (mature) Mφ. Venn diagram of the set of cluster 4, 6 and 7 markers (top 50 upregulated genes, gene AF251705 is excluded because it is not associated to any official gene name, Kang et al.) and the terminally differentiated macrophage signature, defined as the genes showing log2(FC) > 3 from P1 (monocytes) to P4 (mature Mφ) by Schridde et al. (65 genes).
Figure S5. Effect of Microbiota on Colonic Macrophages and Epithelium, Related to Figure 4
(A) Number of Mφ in mice treated with anti-fungal agents (fluconazole and amphotericin B), and in germ-free mice, reconstituted with fungi (C. albicans) or bacteria (ASF), analyzed by flow cytometry and imaging.
(B) Number of extensions normalized per number of Mφ in mice treated with antibiotic cocktail or fluconazole. Dots represent average number per individual mouse, data pooled from 2 independent experiments. Results are presented as mean ± SEM, Mann-Whitney test, Kruskal-Wallis test was used in germ-free reconstitution experiments and in (B).
(C) Dectin-1 and Dectin-2 staining of Mφ isolated from the distal and proximal colon. Gated on Mφ, gray histogram – negative control (FMO), orange – proximal colon Mφ, blue – distal colon Mφ. A representative example from 2 independent experiments, performed with 6 mice in total.
(D) Number of BLPs analyzed by IHC staining and normalized per crypt of Dectin-1WT and Dectin-1KO mice. Dots represent average number per individual mouse, results are presented as mean ± SEM, Mann-Whitney test. (D) Number of BLPs normalized per crypt in mice pre-treated with anti-fungal agent (fluconazole, fluc) and infused with hypotonic solution. Pooled data from 5 independent experiments.
(E) Number of Hydrazide+ epithelial cells normalized per crypt upon hypotonic solution infusion with hydrazide used as the water tracer. Pooled data from 5 independent experiments. Dots represent average number per individual mouse, results are presented as mean ± SEM, Dunn's multiple comparison test, *p < 0.05.
Figure S6. Spectral Unmixing Protocol, Related to STAR Methods

(A) Combination of fluorophores and detection configuration used for 9-color imaging with 4 lasers.

(B) Compensation coefficient matrix obtained with single-color labeled controls.

(C) Example of spectral unmixing with APC and Alexa680 dyes, scale bar – 50 μm.