A steep-slope MoS$_2$-nanoribbon MOSFET based on an intrinsic cold-contact effect
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Abstract—We propose a steep-slope MoS$_2$-nanoribbon field-effect transistor that exploits a narrow-energy conduction band to intrinsically filter out the thermionic tail of the electron energy distribution. We study the device operation principle and the performance dependence on the design parameters through atomistic self-consistent quantum simulations. Our results indicate that the device can provide high $I_{\text{ON}}/I_{\text{OFF}}$ ratios, compatible with electronic applications, albeit biased at ultra-low voltages of around 0.1 V.
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I. INTRODUCTION

STEEP-slope transistors, namely transistors able to break the 60 mV/dec thermal limit of the subthreshold swing (SS), have attracted substantial interest as energy-efficient beyond-CMOS switches. Several physical mechanisms, including energy filtering via tunneling [1], impact ionization [2] and negative gate capacitance [3], have been proposed as possible options to design transistors with subthermionic SS.

Recently, the rise of 2D materials has introduced further opportunities, relying on the possibility to exploit tailored band structures [4], obtained both by choosing in a wide portfolio of unary or compound materials [5], and by effectively tuning their properties by lateral confinement, modification of the geometry and the passivation of edges, combination in heterostructures [6], [7], strain or electrical gating.

In this paper, we propose a steep-slope transistor based on an armchair nanoribbon of MoS$_2$ with dangling bonds passivated by hydrogen atoms. As in traditional tunnel field-effect transistors (TFETs), the subthermionic behavior is obtained by cutting-off the Boltzmann tail of the current. However, while in TFETs this is achieved by inducing a band-to-band tunneling, which usually severely degrades the ON current, the proposed device exploits a lack of available states, intrinsically resulting from the nanoribbon band structure. This translates to a filtering effect analogous to that which could be induced by cooling down both the source and drain regions [8]. Compared to other recently proposed cold-source architectures [8], the device does not require heterojunctions, and thus avoids the detrimental effect of defects at the interface between different materials.

II. DEVICE AND MODEL

The device geometry is sketched in Fig. 1. A 20-dimer wide (≈3.2 nm) MoS$_2$ armchair nanoribbon with hydrogen-passivated edges is stacked between 3 nm thick HfO$_2$ layers. Close to the source and drain contacts the nanoribbon is electrostatically doped by means of two back gates, 5 and 9 nm long, respectively. The source contact extension
been shortened with respect to the drain counterpart for numerical reasons, in order to help the potential stabilization and facilitate convergence. Throughout the paper, the back gates are held at the same voltage of 1.2 V, corresponding to an n-type doping of $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The transistor is controlled with a 15 nm long top gate, unless otherwise stated.

The MoS$_2$ nanoribbon is described by the 22-orbital tight-binding Hamiltonian formulated in Ref. [9], obtained by projection of the corresponding density functional Hamiltonian on a basis of maximally localized Wannier functions [10]. The ballistic transport through the device is simulated within the non-equilibrium Green's function formalism, by self-consistently coupling the transport and Poisson equations on a finite element grid.

The band and lattice structure of the nanoribbon is reported in Fig. 2 in panels (a) and (b), respectively. As previous works have pointed out [11], [12], the lateral confinement results in the appearance within the band gap of MoS$_2$ of states localized at the nanoribbon edges. Here, we will focus in particular on the couple of almost degenerate, isolated narrow bands plotted in bold blue in Fig. 2 (a). The energy width of these bands is smaller than 0.14 eV and they are more than 0.6 eV apart both from above and underlying bands. Fig. 2(c) provides a map of the local density of states (LDOS) on the nanoribbon plane at the energy corresponding to the minimum of these bands and demonstrates the exponential localization at the edges. As far as states on the opposite edges are well separated, these bands and their distance from the neighboring ones are independent of the nanoribbon width. Sizable modifications, particularly an increase of the band splitting, only occurs for sub-7 dimers widths.

According to ab-initio computations [11], the nanoribbon is semiconducting, with the Fermi level lying in the gap between the isolated bands and the hole-like bands immediately below (see Fig. 2(a)). If a current flow through the selected bands is enabled, the energy spectrum of the current will be nonzero only in a narrow window equal to the band width. In a MOSFET architecture, this entails a suppression of the spectral components of the current through the source and drain contacts at energies higher than the upper edge of the band. Electrons are therefore entirely back-scattered toward the source when they reach the upper edge of the band (dashed curves in the figure). In the cases (a) and (b), electrons start to be transmitted over the barrier. However, they still cannot reach the drain contact because no states at the same energy are available on the drain side. Electrons are therefore entirely back-scattered toward the source when they reach the upper edge of the band (dashed curves in the figure). In the cases (a) and (b), the leakage current through the device is only due to tunneling. This current is effectively modulated by the top gate, which results in a subthermionic SS of $\sim 22 \text{ mV/dec}$. At large enough $V_{\text{GS}}$ (case (c)), the top of the barrier is pushed below the upper edge of the band at the drain contact, therefore establishing an overlap window between available states at source and drain and enabling thermionic conduction. The threshold value of $V_{\text{GS}}$ beyond which the device turns on is around 0.25 V and is associated to the sub-1 mV/dec subthreshold swing segment of the transfer characteristic. The apparent decrease of $V_{\text{DS}}$ for increasing $V_{\text{GS}}$ in the maps is due to the rearrangement of the self-consistent potential required to maintain the charge neutrality at the contacts [13].

It can be easily argued from the above discussion that an energy overlap between states on the source and the drain side can be induced only for $V_{\text{DS}}$ smaller than the energy width of the conducting band. For larger $V_{\text{DS}}$ the device cannot be turned on in ballistic conditions. We also underline that the subthermionic operation of the device in ballistic conditions is driven by the effective cooling-down of the drain, while the energy filtering at source does not play any essential role.

The evolution of the transfer characteristics as a function of $V_{\text{DS}}$ can be understood from Fig. 4 illustrating the bottom of the conduction band and the corresponding current spectrum for the three considered values of $V_{\text{DS}}$ and for $V_{\text{GS}} = 0.125 \text{ V}$. The plot shows that the tunneling current spectrum always peaks at the upper edge of the band at the drain contact...
is a direct consequence of the corresponding downshift of the top of the barrier. 

The device requires small fabrication tolerances, as large edge roughness can suppress the transmission [17]. While advances in fabrication techniques indicate that defectless MoS$_2$ nanoribbons down to sub-nanometer width can be manufactured [18], we also point out that hydrogen-passivated MoS$_2$ armchair nanoribbons can be more generally used as cold-source injectors in composite structures based on planar [3] or van der Waals heterojunctions [7]. In these devices, the nanoribbon can be in principle shortened below the edge roughness correlation length. Particularly, the variety of possible van der Waals heterojunctions allowed by the absence of lattice matching constraints leaves open many opportunities of optimization and material-device co-design.
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