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Sperm granuloma masquerading as a supernumerary testis
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ABSTRACT

Sperm granuloma is a common finding following vasectomy, with majority of the lesions located at the site of the vasectomy defect. Sperm granulomas are typically small and asymptomatic. We describe a case in which the nodule presented similarly to a supernumerary testis by radiographic features.

Introduction

Various lesions can occur following vasectomy that represent the body’s response to increasing fluid and pressure in the proximal ejaculatory system. The dissection of sperm into the vasal wall and extravasation into the interstitium can cause vasitis nodosa and sperm granuloma, respectively. While vasitis nodosa represent a benign proliferation of vasal epithelium cells, sperm granuloma is a granulomatous lesion that constitutes a foreign-body giant cell reaction to the extravasated sperm and commonly occurs in up to 42% of patients following vasectomy.1 While the majority of sperm granulomas are asymptomatic and less than 1 cm in size, they can occasionally present with pain and swelling of the upper pole of the epididymis, spermatic cord, and testis. Ultrasound typically shows a well-defined, hypoechogenic extratesticular lesion located within the epididymis or at the cut ends of the vas deferens.2

Case presentation

A 38-year-old man presented with 6 weeks of right-sided testicular pain and an enlarging intra-scrotal mass. He described the pain as a pressure, occasionally sharp, localized to the scrotal contents. The pain was worse with sitting and at the end of the day. The pain did not improve with naproxen. He reported an enlarging mass above the testicle that he noticed over the past several months. The mass was worse with sitting and at the end of the day. The pain did not improve with naproxen. He reported an enlarging mass above the testicle that he noticed over the past several months. The pain did not improve with naproxen. He reported an enlarging mass above the testicle that he noticed over the past several months. The pain did not improve with naproxen.

Given the firm nature of the structure, the timing of appearance after vasectomy (13 months later and enlarging per patient), and coarse calcifications, decision was made to proceed with inguinal exploration intraoperatively, the convoluted vas deferens was entering the structure. Gross examination revealed a 2.0 × 1.0 × 0.5 cm circular soft mass with a blue tinge and when opened by the pathologist, was noted to be filled with old blood (Fig. 2). The mass was excised—frozen section confirmed no evidence of malignancy. Histopathological examination of the right paratesticular mass revealed suture granuloma, sperm granuloma, vasitis nodosa, and fibrosis near the prior vasectomy site.
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Discussion

While sperm granulomas have been occasionally reported to produce tumor-like lesions, with firm nodule and cysts formation, we observed a sperm granuloma that mimicked as a supernumerary testis due to its appearance on ultrasound and time-course of its presence post-vasectomy. The only feature that was not consistent with a supernumerary testis was the lack of vascular flow on Doppler ultrasound. In situations where ultrasonography is indeterminate, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can confirm the nature of a supernumerary testis, as they have the same signal intensity to the normal testis. However, in this case, surgical excision was pursued due to the patient’s pain rather than advanced imaging or biopsy. Additionally, the lesion was enlarging in size with significant coarse calcifications which prompted us to rule out testicular malignancy, as one report has estimated an increased risk (6.4%) of malignancy in the setting of polyorchidism.\(^5\) The acoustic shadowing from the macrocalcification further obscured a definitive diagnosis, as this radiographic feature can be seen with regressed, or “burned-out”, testicular tumors.\(^6\) Some germ cell tumors have been reported to spontaneously regress as they outgrow their blood supply, resulting in a necrotic area that precipitates calcium deposition. While calcification can be observed in a granulomatous process, this is a relatively uncommon finding, reported to occur in less than 10% of sperm granulomas in one study.\(^5\)

Of note, the gross examination of the excised mass was also unusual. To our knowledge there is no report of sperm granuloma containing blood. Because the histology revealed an expected inflammatory change near the site of vasectomy, no further testing, such as immunohistochemical staining, was performed to rule out other blood-filled masses.

Conclusion

We present a sperm granuloma that mimicked a supernumerary testis with possible malignancy. The natural history underlying this case

Fig. 1. Sagittal greyscale ultrasound image shows a well-circumscribed, oval-shaped mass (white star) superior to the right testicle (labeled). The mass is isoechoic to the right testicle with similar echotexture. An echogenic, shadowing calcification (white dashed arrow) is noted within the supratesticular mass.

Fig. 2. Gross specimen of the excised right paratesticular mass.
was rather unconventional and did not convey a benign change that typically follows vasectomy. Because of the echogenicity of the nodule and the finding of an “intratesticular” calcification, differential diagnosis of a testicular neoplasm in a supernumerary testis was kept in mind.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Consent

Informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of the case report.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors do not have conflicts of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgements

None.

References

1. Cheng L. Urologic Surgical Pathology. fourth ed. St. Louis, MO: Elsevier; 2019. https://www.clinicalkey.com/#/browse/book/3-s2.0-C20160034927. Accessed October 13, 2019.
2. Oh C, Nisenbaum HL, Langer J, Rowling S, Arsdalen KNV. Sonographic demonstration, including color Doppler imaging, of recurrent sperm granuloma. J Ultrasound Med. 2000;19(5):333–335. https://doi.org/10.7863/jum.2000.19.5.333.
3. Bergholz R, Wenke K. Polyorchidism: a meta-analysis. J Urol. 2009;182(5):2422–2427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2009.07.063.
4. Coursey Moreno C, Small WC, Camacho JC, et al. Testicular tumors: what radiologists need to know—differential diagnosis, staging, and management. RadioGraphics. 2015;35(2):400–415. https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.352140097.
5. Schmidt SS, Morris RR. Spermatic granuloma: the complication of vasectomy. Fertil Steril. 1973;24(12):941–947.