Telemetric ECG Monitoring During Physical Activity in Field Tests

ABSTRACT: In this paper we show how it is possible to measure ECG signal with telemetric ECG body-sensor during physical activity in regular conditions. Based on previous studies we choose position and type of fixation for sensor. Participant made three different tests, first test was shuttle run test where speed of running was increased every minute, second test was Cooper 2400 m test, and third test was 100 m maximal sprint. We measure ECG signal in all three tests and analyse it with special software for Holter analysis.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays sudden cardiac death presents extremely high risk for sudden death of professional sportsmen and recreational athletes. Cardiovascular disease causes more than 90 % of sudden deaths during physical activity [1]. Two France studies reported a daily incidence of 3 sudden deaths and 4 myocardial infarctions during physical activity in the general population [2,3]. Studies from USA shows sudden cardiac death incidence between sportsmen in high schools and colleagues is 1:200.000 per year [4,5,6], while European studies shows that incidence is even higher, 1- 1,6:100.000 [7,8]. Considering the fact that 55-80 % of the athletes who died of sudden cardiac death had no prior symptoms of heart disease [9], the question is what else should be done for the prevention of sudden cardiac death, and to detect the people who has increased risk of sudden cardiac death.

Telemetric ECG body sensor used in this paper was already used in previous pilot studies made during light physical activity [10], and in maximal laboratory exercise stress test (EST) [11]. Since an EST with ECG monitoring is usually performed in laboratory conditions, our goal is to determine whether it is possible to measure an ECG during regular sport activities, because it will offer a significant advantage for the prevention of cardiovascular accidents [12].
Aim of this study was to evaluate body-sensor ECG signals during three different field tests, and to analyse the quality of the recorded ECG signal. Next aim was to determine if different types of sensor fixation influenced the ECG signal. The use of a telemetric ECG during physical activity could be very beneficial for medical doctors and sports scientists, and the most of all for professional and recreational athletes.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Study Population

In this study was included one participant, age 24 years. He was a student at the Faculty of Sport and Physical Education at the University of Belgrade. He was healthy and without known previous cardiac problems. Before the tests the study purpose, and its protocol were explained to him, and he signed consent for participation in the study.

2.2 Experimental Setup

ECG measurements during the field tests were made with wireless ECG body sensors Savvy (Saving d.o.o., Ljubljana, Slovenia) [13], which is a certified medical device, described in detail in the study of Trobec et al. [14]. The body sensor is light and nonobstructive for users, which allows long-term exercise ECG measurements. The sensor is fixed on the body via two self-adhesive skin electrodes. An Android application, MobECG, which runs on a smartphone, captures and displays the measured data and saves it in the smart phone’s memory for further processing.

![Figure 1. Sensor position and type of sensor fixation in the first test (left-hand) and in the second test (right-hand)](image)

The sensor position should be close to the heart to obtain appropriate amplitude of ECG signal. In addition, its position should avoid large muscles, due to the signals from the electrical muscular activity (EMG) that could disturb the ECG [14,15]. In this study was used position Left Inferior (L1), shown as most adequate in previous study [11]. In the L1 position the sensor electrodes are at the positions V1 and V2 of standard precordial leads, and the sensor is translated by approximately 10 cm, below the xiphoid, where the influence of muscular disturbances is expected to be minimal (see Figure 1). The ECG electrodes were positioned 5 cm apart [16]. Before the positioning, we cleaned the skin of the subjects with diluted ethanol.

The participant made six tests, two shuttle run, two Cooper 2400 m, and two 100 m sprint test. In the first test the ECG body sensor were fixed with self-adhesive medical Omniplast 2,5 cm tape (Paul Hartmann AG, Heidenheim, Germany), specially designed to fix Holter electrodes. Both parts of the sensors were fixed together with one, approximately 40-cm-long strip of tape. In the second test sensor were fixed with standard Polar belt made for measurements of the heart rate (HR) with Polar system.

The measured ECG data were continuously stored in the mobile phone memory and transferred to the personal computer. The ECG
2.3 Measurement Protocol
Every test was made in a separate day, except 100 m sprints where both tests were made in the same day, with 30 min pause in between tests. Before performing the tests, the electrodes and sensors were positioned at the LI position and fastened with self-adhesive tape. After that the participant sat down and waited for 5 minutes, while the ECG was recorded. After 5 minutes the participant started with the test. When the test was finished the participant sat down and rested for 5 minutes, while the ECG was still recording. The next day the participant took part in a second test. In the second test sensors were fixed with Polar belt. The ECG recording protocol was the same as on the previous day. The next type of test, under the same protocol, were performed in the next 2 days. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the Faculty of Sport and Physical Education (IRB approval No. 02-1359/18-2), University of Belgrade, and the Helsinki Declaration.

3. Results

In the first shuttle run test participant successfully made 11 minutes of the test (11/2) with the maximal heart rate (HR) 196 beats per minute (bpm), while in second test he successfully made 10 minutes of the test (10/10) with the maximal HR 192 bpm. In the first Cooper test he run 11:49 minute, with the maximal HR 192 bpm, and in the second test he run 13:10 min, with the maximal HR 193 bpm. In the 100 m sprint test he run 13:45 sec in the first test, with maximal HR 172 bpm, while in second test he run 13:84 sec, with the maximal HR 179 bpm.

Analyse of the signal show that ECG signal was of adequate quality in both shuttle run tests, while in Cooper and in 100 m sprint test signal was of adequate quality only in second test when sensor was fixed with a Polar belt. An example of screenshot of a typical HR signal in bpm, and correct QRS complex detection of a signal which is of adequate quality for interpretation and for further analysis is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. An example of adequate quality signal](image)

An example of screenshot of a signal which is not of adequate quality for interpretation and for further analysis is shown in Figure 3.

The computerized heart-rate analysis was successful for most of the time during both shuttle run tests and during Cooper and 100 m tests when sensor was fixed with Polar belt. During the visual inspection we also found erroneously detected QRS complexes, using the Holter interpretation software, often because of the excessive artefacts that come from the intense activity (right-hand part of Figure 4).
Figure 3. An example of a signal which cannot be interpreted

Figure 4. Visualization of the detected heart beats using the Holter interpretation software QR. The correctly interpreted QRS complexes are shown in the left-hand panel. An example of the erroneously interpreted QRS complexes is shown in the right-hand panel

4. Discussion

This paper show that a wireless ECG body sensor can be used for non-obstructive measurements of an ECG during some regular physical activities. The aim of this study was to check if wireless ECG body sensors can be used during field tests. The results show that the type of fixation and the type of test influence the results. When sensor was fixed with Polar belt signal was of adequate quality during entire test, but when sensor was fixed with Omniplast tape the signal was of adequate quality only in shuttle run test, when the speed of running starts with 8.5 km/h and increases 0.5 km/h every minute, while in tests when speed of running was constantly high the signal was not acceptable. In that case maximal speed of running in shuttle run test was
between 13.5 and 14.0 km/h, and this was very similar to previous laboratory study which confirm that ECG signal on a treadmill was of adequate quality for speeds of running up to 13.5 km/h.

The diagnostic ability of the ECG body sensor, used in this study, has been compared in various previous pilot studies with other similar devices [14] and with standard 12-lead ECG [14,17]. Previous studies shown that ECG body sensor, even so simple, can also detect most of the arrhythmic events, e.g., atrial or ventricular fibrillation, exstrasystole, tachycardias, bradycardias, etc. The analysed measurement methodology can provide basic information about the heart rhythm’s status. In the case of any detected abnormality the users can be directed to further diagnostics with a standard stress test and medical personnel.

5. Conclusion

The presented results are a motivation for further study, where more participants will be included. With such a telemetric approach it might be possible, to the best of our knowledge for the first time, to routinely measure ECG signals in real conditions, e.g., when users are running in nature, during a significant activity. In the presented paper an appropriate method of sensor fixation was found; however, to confirm this conclusion study should be extended, and heterogenous group of participants, e.g., male and female, should be included.
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