Safety and Efficacy of Higher Order Multifetal Pregnancy Reduction: A Single-Center Retrospective Study
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Abstract

Objective This research was aimed to study the safety and efficacy of higher order multifetal pregnancy reduction (MFPR).

Study Design This was a retrospective study of patients from an academic maternity center between 2005 and 2015. We evaluated outcomes of 131 consecutive patients who underwent higher order MFPR (quadruplets and greater). MFPR was performed at 11 to 18 weeks of gestation in all cases. In total, 122 of 131 cases of higher order multiple pregnancy were reduced to twins. We discuss the perinatal outcomes of patients who underwent higher order MFPR, followed by a comparative analysis between the 122 cases of MFPR that were reduced to twins and 101 cases of nonreduced twin pregnancies.

Results The study included 104 sets of quadruplets, 20 sets of quintuplets, 5 sets of sextuplets, 1 set of septuplets, and 1 set of octuplets. The perinatal outcomes of the 131 cases were as follows: pregnancy loss, preterm deliveries at 28 to 33 (±6/7) weeks, and preterm deliveries at 34 to 36 (±6/7) weeks occurred in 23.66, 9, and 37% of cases, respectively. The mean time of delivery was 36.56 ± 1.77 weeks, and mean birth weight was 2,409.90 ± 458.16 g, respectively. A total of 122 cases that were reduced to twins were compared with nonreduced twins. The pregnancy loss rate for reduced twins was significantly higher than that for nonreduced twins. The preterm labor rate, mean delivery week, mean birth weight, birth-weight discordance, incidence of gestational diabetes mellitus, and pregnancy-induced hypertension were not significantly different between the groups (p > 0.05).

Conclusion Perinatal outcomes were significantly improved by reducing the number of fetuses in higher order multifetal pregnancies. This study involved a large, diverse sample population, and the results can be used as a reference while conducting prenatal counseling.
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In the last few decades, clinical evaluation of global variation in the incidence of multifetal pregnancy is largely a result of assisted reproduction technologies (ART).1 In the United States alone, the rate of triplets and higher order multifetal gestations increased by 400% between the 1980s and 1990s, peaking at 193.5 per 100,000 births in 1998.2 This was followed by a modest decrease to 153.4 per 100,000 births by 2009.2 The rate of multiple gestations accounted for 1% of total pregnancies in Japan.3

With an increasing number of fetuses, the rates of fetal and maternal complications become significantly higher. For the mother, the risks include gestational diabetes, hypertensive disease, renal disease, cardiovascular disease, emotional difficulties, and financial pressure.4–7 There is also a higher risk of...
pregnancy loss, fetal growth restriction, preterm delivery, and of complications associated with preterm delivery, such as respiratory disease and cerebral palsy.5–9

Multi-fetal pregnancy reduction is widely used to decrease fetal and maternal risk during birth.10,11 Substantial data regarding multifetal pregnancy reduction (MFPR) for twin and triplet pregnancies have been reported12–14; however, studies of higher order multifetal pregnancy (quadruplets and greater) are limited because of their relative rarity compared with twins and triplets. Abel and coworkers reported 40 cases of triplets, 10 cases of quadruplets, and no cases of quintuplets.15 In the study by Fajolü et al., there were 15 cases of triplets, 6 cases of quadruplets, and only one case of quintuplets reported.8 In another study, there were 35 cases of quadruplets, 11 of quintuplets, 1 of sextuplets, and 1 of septuplets reported.16 To understand the risks, we conducted a single-center, retrospective study of a large population of women who underwent higher order multifetal pregnancy reduction including 104 cases of quadruplets, 20 cases of quintuplets, 5 cases of sextuplets, 1 case of septuplets, and 1 case of octuplets. To our knowledge, the present study includes considerably more quadruplets and overall cases with multiple fetuses from a single-center compared with previous studies.

Materials and Methods

Study Participants
Between January 2005 and December 2015, 131 higher order multiple pregnancy patients were admitted to the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University. This institution is a referral center located in a large metropolitan area. The department of Obstetrics and Gynecology is affiliated with the division of maternal-fetal medicine and consultants. The first case of MFPR in the Republic of China was performed during the second trimester in this institution. Our center also has the largest division of maternal-fetal medicine and cases of MFPR in China. As such, numerous multiple pregnancy patients are referred to this institution. All higher order pregnancies were induced by ART, and MFPR was performed at 11 to 18 weeks of gestation in all cases. The control group consisted of 101 pregnancies with nonreduced twins. Theses 101 patients received prenatal care and delivered in the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Provincial Hospital Affiliated to the Shandong University between January 2013 and December 2015.

Procedure

Informed consent was obtained, and the risks associated with higher order multifetal pregnancy and the operational procedure for reducing the number of fetuses were explained to all participants and their spouses. Participants then made a final decision and provided informed signed consent. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University.

Depending on the number of fetuses, the MFPR was performed over one to three sessions. Two or three fetuses were reduced per session. If two or three sessions were required to complete the procedure, the subsequent session was planned 5 to 7 days later. In cases where multifetal reduction to twins was recommended, maternal preference was the final determination factor.

In all higher order multifetal pregnancies, the reduction procedure was undertaken 24 to 72 hours after a detailed combined transvaginal and transabdominal examination of fetal size. Anomalies and nuchal translucency (NT) were evaluated by an experienced sonographer (a consultant in fetal medicine). If a fetal anomaly or an increased risk of chromosomal or structural malformation was suspected, the fetal reduction was targeted selectively to the impacted fetus. If no fetal anomaly was suspected, the smaller sac/sacs or the sac/sacs proximal to the uterine fundus were considered. To preserve a healthy pregnancy outcome, fetal and maternal factors were taken into account while selecting the target fetus/fetuses for reduction.

Ultrasound-guided transabdominal intracardiac injection of potassium chloride (KCI) was administered by the same surgeon. The techniques used and follow-up treatments have been described elsewhere.17

Outcome Measures

Based on the patient’s medical records, the gestation period was established. The following types of pregnancy loss were recorded: (1) abortions (up to 4 weeks after fetal reduction and before 28 weeks of gestation) and (2) intrauterine fetal death (up to 28 weeks of gestation). We calculated the delivery rate at 28 to 33 (±6/7) weeks, delivery rate at 34 to 36 (±6/7) weeks, mean gestational age at delivery, mean birth weight, and the rate of birth-weight discordance (BWD), which is the difference in the birth weight of twins. BWD was calculated by larger weight—the smaller weight/larger weight. While there was no consensus on the precise threshold of BWD that might be associated with complications, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) considers a 15 to 25% difference in weight between twins to be discordant.18 We defined a difference of more than 20% as discordant. The incidence of gestational diabetes and pregnancy-induced hypertension in cases reduced to twins and nonreduced cases was also recorded.

Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 25.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL). A probability of 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Descriptive statistical methods were used to evaluate the outcomes of MFPR. Chi-square and Fisher’s exact tests were used to compare pregnancy loss rate, delivery rate at 28 to 33 (±6/7) weeks, delivery rate at 34 to 36 (±6/7) weeks, the rate of BWD, and the incidence rate of both gestational diabetes and pregnancy-induced hypertension. The independent t-test was used to compare mean gestational age at delivery and mean birth weight in the two groups.

Results

with higher order multi-fetal pregnancy (including 104 sets of quadruplets, 20 quintuplets, 5 sets of sextuplets, 1 set of septuplets, and 1 set of octuplets). MFPR was performed at 11
to 18 weeks of gestation in all cases. One hundred and twenty-two cases were reduced to twins (in China, with the effect of the family plan policy, couples preferred to have two babies in one delivery), three cases were reduced to a single fetus, five cases were reduced to triplets, and one patient pregnant with sextuplets experienced a miscarriage after the first session (Table 1).

One hundred and four cases of quadruplets were reduced to twins. The rate of pregnancy loss, preterm deliveries at 28 to 33 ($+6/7$) weeks and preterm deliveries at 34 to 36 ($+6/7$) weeks was 20.19, 7.22, and 38.55%, respectively. The mean delivery time was 36.71 weeks, and the mean birth weight was 2,450.42 g. In regard to the quintuplets, 1 case was lost after the first session, and one set of sextuplets was delivered at 34 to 36 ($+6/7$) weeks. One patient pregnant with septuplets underwent three sessions of MFPR which resulted in preterm loss after the third session. One set of octuplets was reduced to twins after three sessions of reduction. Delivery was performed at 35 weeks and both babies survived (Table 2).

Compared with 101 cases of nonreduced twins, the pregnancy loss rate for reduced twins when MFPR was performed at 11 to 14 ($+6/7$) gestational weeks or after 15 gestational weeks was found to be significantly higher. The preterm labor rate, delivery weeks and mean birth weight, BWD rate, incidence of gestational diabetes, and pregnancy-induced hypertension were not significantly different between groups ($p > 0.05$; Table 3).

### Discussion

Number of several studies on twins and triplets have reported fetal reduction in multifetal pregnancy. Limited reports are available from studies of quadruplets in comparison, and fewer reports are available on higher order multifetal pregnancy. Many studies have reported higher order multifetal pregnancy reduction but often they are neither conducted in single centers nor performed by the same physician. Our study included a larger sample size of 131 patients comprising 104 sets of quadruplets, 20 sets of quintuplets, 5 sets of sextuplets, 1 set of septuplets, and 1 set of octuplets. Most importantly, the procedure was performed by a single physician at a single center. This makes the outcomes of this study more reliable for use as a reference while conducting prenatal counseling.

For pregnancies involving quintuplets or multiple fetuses, it is best to perform multiple procedures where two or three fetuses are targeted in one session. That way, a sudden decrease

### Table 1: Higher-order multi-fetal pregnancy reduction

| Starting number of fetuses | Number of patients | Number of sessions | Number of fetuses after MFPR |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| 4                          | 104                | 1                  | 2 (104 cases)               |
| 5                          | 20                 | 1–2                | 1 (1 case) 2 (14 cases) 3 (5 cases) |
| 6                          | 5                  | 1–2                | 1 (1 case) 2 (3 cases) 4 (1 case) |
| 7                          | 1                  | 3                  | 1 (case)                     |
| 8                          | 1                  | 3                  | 2 (1 case)                   |

*The total number of higher-order multiple pregnancy.

*The number of reduced to twins among all higher-order multi-fetal reduction.

### Table 2: The outcomes of higher-order multi-fetal pregnancy reduction

| Starting number of fetuses | Number of patients | Pregnancy Loss (%) | Preterm laboue (%) 28–33$+6/7$ weeks n (%) | 34–36$+6/7$ weeks n (%) | Delivery weeks (range) | Fetal weight (g) (range, n: number of fetuses) |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 4                          | 104                | 21(20.19)          | 6(7.22)                                    | 32(38.55)              | 36.71 ± 1.64 (31.86–40.00) | 2450.42 ± 438.88 (1300–3500, n = 166)      |
| 5                          | 20                 | 8(40)              | 3(25)                                       | 3(25)                  | 36.09 ± 2.60 (31.00–39.57) | 2144.62 ± 544.31 (1160–3400, n = 26)       |
| 6                          | 5                  | 1(20)              |                                            | 1(25)                  | 37.11 ± 0.43 (36.71–37.71) | 2364.29 ± 241.03 (2050–2700, n = 7)        |
| 7                          | 1                  | 1(100)             |                                            |                        | 35                     | 2100 (2000,2200, n = 2)                    |
| 8                          | 1                  |                    | 1(100)                                     |                        |                        |                                             |
| Total                      | 131                | 31(23.66)          | 9(9)                                       | 37(37)                 | 36.56 ± 1.77 (31.00–40.00) | 2409.90 ± 458.16 (1160–3500, n = 301)      |
of uterine volume can be avoided. The subsequent session should be planned after 5 to 7 days of postoperative observation.

The greatest risk of higher order multiple pregnancies is associated with a higher risk of fetal loss and pregnancy loss. Dicey and coworkers reported that at least 53% of triplets and 65% of quadruplets will result in spontaneous reduction of one or more fetuses. They found that pregnancy loss occurred in 12 to 16% of cases at 24 weeks for triplets and 28 weeks for quadruplets. In this study, pregnancy loss was defined as abortions (up to 4 weeks after fetal reduction and before 28 weeks of gestation), and intrauterine fetal death (up to 28 weeks of gestation). All cases in this study resulted in fetal reduction. The pregnancy loss rate for quadruplets, quintuplets, and sextuples was 20.19, 40, and 20%, respectively. Loss of a set of septuplets after the third session was also documented. The results suggest that with an increasing number of fetuses, the pregnancy loss rate also increases.

The comparison between reduced twins and nonreduced twins showed a significant difference in pregnancy loss rate, which is in contrast to previous findings where no difference was noted between the two groups. In our study, the pregnancy loss rate in reduced twins was higher than reported in previous studies. This is primarily due to three factors. First, in earlier studies, more triplets and multiple pregnancies were included. Our study included quadruplets and multiple fetuses. Pregnancy loss depended not only on the surgical operator's experience but also on the starting number of fetuses, and the number of fetuses reduced. Second, among the 122 cases that were reduced to twins, only 15 underwent MPRF at 11 weeks of gestation. Most cases in previous studies underwent MPRF in the second trimester. Third, spontaneous abortion was defined as before 24 weeks in previous studies and as before 28 weeks in this study (according to the Chinese Ministry of Health). Some babies who were born at 24 to 28 weeks were abandoned to rescue.

In this study, preterm deliveries at 28 to 33 (±6/7) weeks and preterm deliveries at 34 to 36 (±6/7) weeks were observed in 9 and 37% of cases, respectively. The mean time of delivery and mean birth weight of the 131 cases was 36.56 ± 1.77 weeks and 2,409.90 ± 458.16 g, respectively. Preterm delivery is inversely related to the number of fetuses in utero. The main gestational age at delivery is 40 weeks for single fetuses, 35 to 37 weeks for twins, 33 weeks for triplets, and 29 weeks for quadruplets. There is similar risk in gestational age during delivery and mean birth weight. According to the National Center for Vital Statistics, the average birth weight for triplets, quadruplets, and higher order gestations was 1,666, 1,371, and 1,253 g, respectively. The risk of cerebral palsy also increased with fetal number, and is related to gestational age and birth weight at time of delivery. The results of our study suggest that perinatal outcomes were significantly improved by reducing the number of fetuses. One hundred and twenty-two cases of reduced twins were compared with nonreduced twins. Preterm labor rate, delivery weeks, and mean birth weight were not significantly different between groups. Results from our study are similar to previous studies and suggest that reducing the number of fetuses did not increase the rate of preterm labor and birth weight.
We defined BWD as a more than 20% difference in weight between twins. In a previous study, multifetal pregnancies with at least one growth-restricted fetus were found to be associated with a 7.7-fold increased risk of major neonatal morbidity. In another study, the rate of BWD in twins born in the group with triplets and higher order multiples was 13.9%. In our study, the BWD was 9.59% when MFPR was performed at 11 to 14 (+6/7) gestational weeks and 9.05% when MFPR was performed after 15 gestational weeks. Therefore, our results show an improvement compared with the previous study.

Women with higher order multiple pregnancies are at increased risk for pregnancy-associated complications during pregnancy and delivery. This is supported by a previous study that characterized the association between higher order multiples and gestational diabetes, pregnancy-induced hypertension, and anemia hemorrhage. In this study, the incidence rate of gestational diabetes and pregnancy-induced hypertension for reduced twins from higher order multiples was 5.48 and 12.33%, respectively, when MFPR was performed after 11 to 14 (±6/7) gestational weeks and 4.55 and 9.09%, respectively, when MFPR was performed after 15 weeks of gestation. Compared with cases that did not undergo reduction, the result was not statistically significant. Therefore, reducing fetuses did not increase the rate of gestational diabetes or pregnancy-induced hypertension in women with multiple pregnancies.

Limitations and Conclusion

The majority of patients were transferred from outside the institution. We were not able to collect any data with regard to previous pregnancies in these patients. Our study also lacks adequate information on medical history, such as infertility treatment type. The study included a limited number of cases of sextuplets and beyond, and hence we speculate a reasonable bias in the results. However, there were a significant number of quadruplets and quintuplets included. In addition, this was a retrospective study, which means that confounding factors may have had an impact on overall outcomes and data interpretation.

Our study shows that perinatal outcomes were vastly improved by reducing the number of fetuses in higher order multifetal pregnancy. This single-center study involved a large and diverse sample size of 131 consecutive patients with higher order multifetal pregnancy (104 sets of quadruplets, 20 sets of quintuplets, 5 sets of sextuplets, 1 set of septuplets, and 1 set of octuplets). The results of this study can be used as a reference while conducting prenatal counseling.
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