First high-precision direct determination of the atomic mass of a superheavy nuclide
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We present the first direct measurement of the atomic mass of a superheavy nuclide. Atoms of $^{257}$Db ($Z = 105$) were produced online at the RIKEN Nishina Center for Accelerator-Based Science using the fusion-evaporation reaction $^{208}$Pb($^{51}$V, 2n)$^{257}$Db. The gas-filled recoil ion separator GARIS-II was used to suppress both the unreacted primary beam and some transfer products, prior to delivering the energetic beam of $^{257}$Db ions to a helium gas-filled ion stopping cell wherein they were thermalized. Thermalized $^{257}$Db$^+$ ions were then transferred to a multireflection time-of-flight mass spectrograph for mass analysis. An alpha particle detector embedded in the ion time-of-flight detector allowed disambiguation of the rare $^{257}$Db$^+$ time-of-flight detection events from background by means of correlation with characteristic $\alpha$ decays. The extreme sensitivity of this technique allowed a precision atomic mass determination from 11 events. The mass excess was determined to be 100,063(231)stat(132)sys keV/$c^2$. Comparing to several mass models, we show the technique can be used to unambiguously determine the atomic number as $Z = 105$ and should allow similar evaluations for heavier species in future work.
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The unambiguous identification of superheavy nuclei is a longstanding issue that has largely been achieved through cross-bombardment experiments in recent years [1–3]. For the hot fusion superheavy nuclides (SHN) located “northeast” of $^{263}$Rf, however, cross bombardment does not fully resolve the question [4] as all such nuclides thus far produced exhibit decay chains, which terminate in spontaneous fission prior to reaching well-known nuclides. Results from efforts to unambiguously determine $Z$ using characteristic x-rays [5] have yet to garner widespread acceptance, either. The Provisional Report of the 2017 Joint Working Group of IUPAC and IUPAP suggested that direct determination of the atomic mass with a sufficient precision could, in many cases, be a valid means to fully determine the $A$ and $Z$ of an uncertain nuclide, particularly if decay information were simultaneously obtained. A first effort in this direction has recently shown some promise by directly verifying the mass number of a superheavy nuclide [7], however, without a level of mass precision needed to confirm the atomic number.

Beyond identification of SHN, the precise determination of atomic masses is vital to understanding the heaviest elements. Proper evaluation of the possible production—both in the laboratory [8,9] and in the cosmos [10,11]—of nuclides in the island of stability, theorized to be composed of exceptionally long-lived SHN [12], requires accurate atomic masses in the heavy and superheavy region.

Among isotopes of transuranium elements, directly determined atomic masses are rare [13–15]; for SHN ($Z \geq 104$)
directionally determined atomic masses are completely absent. Atomic masses of most \( Z > 92 \) nuclides are determined indirectly, often through long decay chains. Herein we report the first direct measurement of the atomic mass of an SHN, \(^{257}\text{Db}\). The measurement was performed by combination of a multireflection time-of-flight mass spectograph (MRTOF-MS) and the newly developed \( \alpha\text{-TOF} \) detector [16], greatly improving the sensitivity of the MRTOF-MS by providing correlational data between time-of-flight (ToF) and subsequent \( \alpha \) decay. This measurement, the first to utilize \( \alpha \)-decay correlated time-of-flight mass spectroscopy, serves as both a cross check for our previous indirect measurement of the mass of \(^{257}\text{Db} \) [13] and a proof-of-principle for future efforts to measure nuclides in the hot-fusion superheavy island, which do not connect to well-known nuclei via \( \alpha \) decay, and where typical yields will be on the order of a few per day.

Atoms of \(^{257}\text{Db} \) were produced in the fusion-evaporation reaction \(^{208}\text{Pb}^{(51}\text{V}, 2n)^{257}\text{Db} \) [17]. The RIKEN Ring Cyclotron provided a 306 MeV beam of \(^{51}\text{V}^{13+} \) with maximum intensity of \( \approx 500 \) pA. The beam impinged upon a rotating target wheel comprised of aluminum energy degraders and \(^{208}\text{Pb} \) targets. The targets were made from \(^{208}\text{Pb} \) enriched to 99.6\% and deposited on a 30 \( \mu \text{g/cm}^2 \) carbon backing, with a typical lead thickness of 360 \( \mu \text{g/cm}^2 \). Aluminum energy degraders of 12 \( \mu \text{m} \) thickness were utilized to reduce the beam energy to 243 MeV at target center. A detector angled 45\(^\circ \) to the beam axis, located near the target wheel, measured the rate of elastic recoils from the target, providing a means to measure the effective primary beam dose.

The gas-filled recoil ion separator GARIS-II [18] transported \(^{257}\text{Db} \) while suppressing the primary beam and various transfer products. It was filled with dilute helium gas at 70 Pa. From previous experience with \(^{257}\text{Db} \) [19] the selective dipole (D1 in Fig. 1) was set to 1.42 T.

As shown in Fig. 1, after exiting GARIS-II the beam passed through rotatable Mylar energy degraders prior to entering a helium-filled gas cell. The gas cell was cryogenically cooled to 60 K and pressurized to 200 mbar room-temperature equivalent. The Mylar degrader thickness was chosen to reduce the energy of \(^{257}\text{Db} \) to be commensurate with the stopping power of the helium in the gas cell. A static electric field transported stopped ions to a traveling-wave radio-frequency (RF) ion carpet [20,21] with a 0.74-mm-diameter exit orifice. After exiting the gas cell, ions were transported through a differentially pumped region by use of quadrupole RF ion guides and trapped in a segmented linear Paul trap, which is part of a three-trap suite used to prepare analyte and reference ions for analysis by the MRTOF-MS using the concomitant referencing method [13,22] that allows analyte ions to be accumulated with nearly 100\% duty cycle. The traps were cryogenically cooled to \( \approx 150 \) K to minimize the probability of stored ions charge exchanging with residual background gases.

The MRTOF-MS, a device finding widespread use in recent years [23–33], consists of a pair of ion mirrors separated by a field-free drift region. The outermost electrode of each mirror is switched to allow ions to enter and exit. Ions are stored in the MRTOF-MS for a time sufficient to allow the ions to reflect a specific number of times and achieve a time focus. During the measurement reported herein, the mass resolving power at the time focal point was typically \( R_m \approx 250,000 \), with flight times of \( t \sim 10 \) ms for \( A/q \approx 85 \) ions. To preclude detector dead time leading to undercounting that could affect the reference peak shape, the reference ion source was adjusted so as to detect one reference ion \( ^{85}\text{Rb}^+ \) or \( ^{133}\text{Cs}^+ \) per cycle on average.

Stable molecular ions produced in the gas cell or transfer products not removed by GARIS-II may have mass-to-charge ratios significantly differing from the analyte ion and will make fewer or more reflections than the analyte ions and may, by happenstance, appear at the same ToF as the analyte ions. As such, erroneous attribution is a concern with MRTOF-MS measurements [22,24]. In the case of analyte ions detected at a rate of a few per day, however, confidence in the ability to exclude background noise (dark counts [34], cosmic rays, and \( \alpha \) or \( \beta \) decay from, e.g., transfer product ions), or even extremely low-yield molecular ions with mass-to-charge ratio nearly identical to the analyte, becomes an issue of concern.

To overcome these issues we have developed a novel \( \alpha\text{-TOF} \) detector [16] based on a commercial MagneToF ion detector. Incoming ions strike a specially coated impact plate, which then releases secondary electrons. The secondary electrons are isochronously guided by a permanent magnet through an electron multiplier to produce a detectable ion impact signal. An ion’s time-of-flight, defined as the duration starting with ejection from the ion trap and ending with detection of the ion impact signal, is measured using a time-to-digital converter (MCS6 from FAST ComTec).

We have embedded a silicon PIN diode in the impact plate of a MagneToF ion detector. The PIN diode’s energy resolution is \( \sigma_E \approx 140 \) keV. High-confidence measurements can
be achieved by evaluating “α-decay-correlated ToF events” in which an α-decay event (“α single”) of a proper energy is observed within a proper duration subsequent to an ion impact signal (“ToF single”) with timing consistent with the expected analyte ion.

Since the α-TOF detector’s location precludes α-particle energy calibration by off-line sources, 185Hg was produced via the 190Pt(51V, 5n) reaction prior to production of 257Db. The 5653 keV and 5372 keV α particles from the α decay of 185Hg [35,36] were used to calibrate the α-TOF’s silicon PIN diode.

Separately, the incoming rate of 185Hg was measured on an insertable silicon PIN diode array located between GARIS-II and the gas cell. Using the measured rate of 185Hg in MRTOF-MS time-of-flight spectra, the efficiency from gas cell through to α-TOF was determined to be between 4% and 5% for ToF detection.

Ions impact the α-TOF detector with an energy of ≈2 keV/q, implanting a few angstroms deep and geometrically limiting the detection efficiency to 45%. The recoil from an α particle emitted toward the detector is sufficient to eject the daughter atom from the detector surface. Thus sequential α particles along the decay chain cannot be observed. Fortunately, when an α particle is emitted away from the detector, the daughter is generally not removed from the surface and there is a similar 45% probability for detection of the daughter’s α decay. The lifetimes of nuclides in the 257Db decay chain allow the evaluation to extend out four decays, through 245Es. Accounting for the α-decay branching ratios of each nuclide [37,38] the total likelihood to detect one of the α decays in the 257Db decay chain would be 65%. An initial effort to measure 257Db+ (based on previous experience [13,39,40]) produced no correlated event candidates after a dose on target of 4.7 ± 1017 particles. As the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Atomic Spectra Database [41] lists the 3rd ionization potential of Db as being 23.1 ± 1.6 eV, compared to helium’s 24.6 eV 1st ionization potential, it was possible that 257Db+ ions would be delivered from the gas cell. As such, the transport conditions were set for A/q ≈ 85 and an effort was made to measure 257Db+3. During 105 hours of measurement, with a total dose of 1.1 × 1018 particles, a total of 14 decay-correlated event candidates were observed, which was consistent with the evaluated system efficiency.

A ToF gate such that t ∈ Lt ± 50 ns, where Lt is the expected ToF of 257Db+3 based on the 2016 Atomic Mass Evaluation (AME16) [42] excludes superfluous ions. An energy gate of $E_{\text{th}} > 7.0 \text{ MeV}$ encompass all α decays from 245Es, 249Md, 253Lr, and 257Db (see top panel of Fig. 2). Any gated ToF single followed within 120 s by a gated α-decay single was considered a correlated event candidate. The 120-s time window was chosen to ensure that the likelihood of missing a late-coming α-decay event was well below 10%.

Figure 2 plots the correlated events observed in this letter in terms of detected α-decay energy and decay time; events are named in order of occurrence. The right panel of Fig. 2 shows the anticipated decay time probability distributions [43] for each nuclide; multiple curves are shown for 257Db and 253Lr to represent known isomers. Similarly, the upper panel shows the detector response curves for each α decay, which could

be observed in the 257Db decay chain. The events form two clusters corresponding to 257Db or 253Lr and 249Md or 245Es.

The full spectrum (above 7 MeV) of α singles is shown in grey in the top panel of Fig. 2. The large peak centered near 7.5 MeV is presumed to be from 211Po. While the N = 126 neutron shell closure in 208pb may suppress direct production of 211EsPo (T1/2 = 516 ns) at this beam energy, its EC decay parent 211At (T1/2 = 7.214 h) can be produced in the decay of extremely short-lived multinucleon transfer products 212Fr (T1/2 = 86 ns), 210Ac (T1/2 = 12 µs), and 223Pa (T1/2 = 5.1 s). While evidence of 211mPo+ was identified in the time-of-flight spectra, its short-lived progenitors were not seen, likely having decayed before being extracted from the gas cell. Based on the 120 s coincidence window and 235 observed α decays commensurate with 211Po in the course of 105 hours of data accumulation, during which 37 ToF singles events in the vicinity of 257Db+3 were observed (see Fig. 3), we could expect to observe ≈3 coincidental correlations with 211Po decay. A similar evaluation [44] indicates that less than one coincidental correlation would be expected for the higher-energy α-decay signals. In consideration of this, we exclude events E4, E7, and E10 from our analysis of the atomic mass of 257Db as their energies and decay times are more consistent with 211Po than with any nuclide in the 257Db decay chain.

To determine the atomic mass, we typically make use of a single-reference method [45] to evaluate the mass of an analyte ion using only one species of reference ion. The mass-to-charge ratio of the analyte ion can then be related to that of the reference ion by $(A/q)_{\text{analyte}} = \rho^{2} \times (A/q)_{\text{reference}}$, where $\rho^{2}$ is the actual experimental data, given by $\rho^{2} = \left(\frac{T_{\text{analyte}}-T_{0}}{T_{\text{reference}}-T_{0}}\right)^{2}$, where $T_{0}$ represents some inherent delay between the ions starting their movement in the analyzer and the start of the clock, while $T_{\text{analyte}}$ and $T_{\text{reference}}$ are the
times-of-flight of the analyte and reference, respectively. Based on $\rho^2(8^{+}\text{Rb}^{+}/208^{+}\text{Pb}^{+})$ measured at the end of the online experiment, it was determined that $t_0 = 75(4)$ ns.

To exclude confusing an ion with significantly different $A/q$ for our intended analyte ion, spectra are typically made at different numbers of oscillations in the MRTOF-MS reflection chamber [22]. The times-of-flight $t_{\text{analyte}}$ and $t_{\text{reference}}$ would typically be determined by fitting the analyte and reference ions’ spectra with a response function known to well-reproduce the data. In this letter, however, it was not possible to perform such fittings on the analyte ions’ spectral peaks as the number of events at any given number of laps did not exceed three. Rather, for each analyte ion we made such a fitting for the reference ions spanning 7.5 s before and after the analyte ion’s detection and used the individual analyte ion’s ToF as $t_{\text{analyte}}$. The result of this analysis is shown in Fig. 3, where the $\alpha$-decay-correlated ToF events are distinguished from uncorrelated events. The data for the $\alpha$-decay-correlated ToF events are tabulated in Table I along with the various characterizing qualities of each.

As the data is an admixture of spectra having differing flight paths, reliable fitting is precluded and we use algebraic weighted averaging to deduce $A/q$ of $257^{3+}\text{Db}$. The spectral peak is known to exhibit a slight asymmetry, which could lead to a systematic error in such an evaluation. To ascertain the likely degree of such error, we used a data set comprising 3358 consecutive sets of 10 analyte ions of $^{185}\text{Au}^{2+}$ taken during preparation for the $^{257}\text{Db}$ measurements. Multiplying the uncertainty of each data set by its Birge ratio [46] produced a nearly normal distribution: 51% were within 1-$\sigma$ of the AME16-derived $\rho^2$ value, 83% within 2-$\sigma$, and 95% within 3-$\sigma$.

After renormalizing the uncertainties, the weighted average ratio $A/q(257^{3+}\text{Db})/A/q(8^{+}\text{Rb}^{+})$ was determined to be $\rho^2 = 1.009$ $311$ $901(973)$, the systematic uncertainty deriving from $\Delta t_0 = 4$ ns. This gives a mass excess of $100063(231)$ keV. The directly determined value differs from the previous value, which was determined indirectly from $Q_{\alpha}$ values connecting $257^{3+}\text{Db}$ to $249^{2+}\text{Md}$, by $-171(321)$ keV. This indicates that the accepted $Q_{\alpha}$ values for $257^{3+}\text{Db}$ and $253^{2+}\text{Lr}$ are accurate on the 100 keV level.

257Db exhibits at least one long-lived isomeric state [38]. Neither the state order nor the isomeric excitation have been confirmed as yet. While NUBASE [47] presently recommends an isomeric excitation of 140 keV based on systematics, $\alpha$-decay studies of $257^{3+}\text{Db}$ populated by $\alpha$ decay of $261^{3+}\text{Bh}$ suggest a 370 keV isomeric excitation [49]. In this letter, neither the mass resolution of our MRTOF nor the energy resolution of the $\alpha$-TOF detector were sufficient to resolve the two states in $257^{3+}\text{Db}$. As such, we must supplement the statistical uncertainty in the measured atomic mass with a systematic uncertainty accounting for the admixture of ground and isomer. A recent study of $^{257}\text{Db}$ [48] indicates an isomeric yield of $39(7)$% for the shorter-lived state. As such, we assume the ToF-correlated $\alpha$-decay events measured were nearly evenly split between the two states. Splitting the difference between NUBASE and Ref. [49] we therefore add 130 keV/$c^2$ systematic uncertainty.

Among SHN, identification becomes ever more challenging with distance from species, which could be produced in macroscopic quantities, particularly for production by hot fusion—where charge particle evaporation is more likely than in cold fusion—and in multinucleon transfer reactions. To prove the degree to which the present technique may be applied to such a problem, consider Fig. 4. We present the span of $A = 257$ mass excess predictions from a comprehensive selection of global mass models [30–57] (in blue), along with those from AME16 (in green). We superimpose on that the mass excess measured for each $\alpha$-decay-correlated ToF event presumed to correspond to $257^{3+}\text{Db}$.

In the $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{51}\text{V}, 2n)^{257}\text{Db}$ reaction, the $A = 257$ nuclides, which could be produced are limited by available neutrons and protons in the compound nucleus, as shown by the red box in Fig. 4. As such, it is clear that the analyte ion was $^{257}\text{Db}$. It is
FIG. 4. Mass excess determined for each α-decay correlated ToF event in this work compared to mass excess ranges for $A = 257$ isobars as determined by various mass models \([50–57]\) (blue hash) along with values from AME16 \([42]\) (green hash). The red box designates nuclides whose production would be physically possible in the $^{208}$Pb($^{51}$V, X) reaction.

worth noting that, had a multinucleon transfer reaction been employed, the α-TOF detector could use differences in the decay properties of $^{257}$Db and $^{257}$Am to distinguish between them.

Considering the demonstrated measurement precision and the typical variance of mass excess from theoretical models, it would be feasible to precisely determine $Z$ in many—but certainly not all—cases of presently-known nuclides by α-decay correlated ToF spectroscopy.

In this letter we have presented a new technique to mass analyze extremely low-yield species using α-decay correlated ToF spectroscopy, and demonstrated a method of mass evaluation based on single-ions. Over the course of a five-day online measurement we observed eleven $^{257}$Db correlated α-ToF events, from which the mass excess of $^{257}$Db was determined to be $100\,063(23)\,\text{keV}/c^2\left(\delta m/m_{\text{mass}} = 9.7 \times 10^{-7}\right)$, in good agreement with our previous indirect mass determination \([13]\), and a determination of $Z$ based on comparison with mass models was demonstrated. This could be useful as a cross check of cross-bombardment studies. Additionally, the observed predominance of triply-charged $^{257}$Db delivered from the helium gas cell indicates that the third ionization potential of dubnium must be less than $24.5$ eV, restricting the range given by NIST \([41]\).

The techniques presented here will be used in future measurements to directly confirm the identities of hot-fusion superheavy nuclides sufficiently far from the valley of β-decay stability, such as $^{288}$Mc/Fr, having sufficient separation to discern $Z$. Eventually, it may be applied to identification of multinucleon transfer products. Such reactions populate both sides of the valley of stability, making identification by mass spectroscopy impossible without utilizing decay correlated measurement.

To better resolve isomeric states in future measurements, efforts are underway to improve the energy resolution of the α-TOF detector. Similarly, improvements in the MRTOF mass resolving power will allow the precision presented herein to be achieved with as few as 3 correlated α-ToF events in future measurements; if the isomer in $^{257}$Db has an excitation energy exceeding $300$ keV it could be resolved in the ToF spectrum.
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