Synapse development organized by neuronal activity-regulated immediate-early genes

Seungjoon Kim1, Hyeonho Kim1 and Ji Won Um1

Abstract
Classical studies have shown that neuronal immediate-early genes (IEGs) play important roles in synaptic processes critical for key brain functions. IEGs are transiently activated and rapidly upregulated in discrete neurons in response to a wide variety of cellular stimuli, and they are uniquely involved in various aspects of synapse development. In this review, we summarize recent studies of a subset of neuronal IEGs in regulating synapse formation, transmission, and plasticity. We also discuss how the dysregulation of neuronal IEGs is associated with the onset of various brain disorders and pinpoint key outstanding questions that should be addressed in this field.

Introduction
Numerous studies have shown that neural activity plays an important role in regulating synaptic strength, neuronal membrane properties, and neural circuit refinement1–3. In particular, sensory experiences continually influence brain development at synaptic, circuit, and organismal levels, as Hubel4 and Wiesel5 elegantly demonstrated in their work on visual cortex organization during the critical period5. The effects of sensory experience are manifested by neurotransmitter release at presynaptic terminals, their reception at postsynaptic membranes, and depolarization of postsynaptic neurons by increased concentration of cytoplasmic calcium. This increase in cytoplasmic calcium activates a program of gene expression in the nucleus6–9. Including classic immediately-early genes (IEGs) such as Fos and Jun, a number of activity-regulated transcription factors have been identified and extensively investigated10,11. These studies have led to an important hypothesis that transcriptional regulation is a key mechanism by which neuronal activity can trigger experience-dependent synaptic changes and maturation of neural circuits.

More than dozens of neuronal IEGs were identified by Paul Worley, Elly Nedivi, and colleagues12, owing to the use of the subtractive hybridization method, in combination with various neural stimulation protocols, most notably seizure paradigms. Among them, cAMP-responsive element-binding protein (CREB) has been the most studied in regulating activity-dependent synapse development and plasticity13. Its physiological significance in neuronal development and cognitive behaviors has been consistently shown across a variety of model organisms including mouse, Drosophila, and Aplysia13. In addition to CREB, other IEGs have been extensively investigated12. Intriguingly, distinct IEGs are influenced by neuronal stimulation or activity blockade in a pathway-specific and stimulus-specific manner. For example, Egr1 mRNA levels are N-methyl-D-aspartate-type glutamate receptor-dependent, whereas c-fos levels are not14,15. Moreover, different IEGs exhibit distinct temporal window with different activation kinetics. Synaptic activity also drives long-term changes in neuronal structure and function, contributing to learning and memory, via mechanisms involving transcriptional activation through
second messenger systems. A mechanistic concept for differential regulation of neuronal IEGs was utilized for mapping specific neural functions onto neuronal activity in different brain regions.

In the current review, we discuss three exemplary IEGs (activity-regulated cytoskeleton-associated protein (Arc/Arg3.1), neuronal Per/Arnt/Sim (PAS) domain protein 4 (Npas4), and Homer protein homolog 1a (Homer1a)) that play important roles in distinct facets of synapse and neural circuit development, as excellent reviews for the other neuronal IEGs are available. We describe the involvement of the three IEGs in synapse formation, transmission, plasticity, and cognitive behaviors. We also discuss the possible implications of these proteins in some brain disorders.

**Activity-regulated cytoskeleton-associated protein**

Arc (also known as Arg3.1) is one of the most tightly regulated molecules. Neuronal activity regulates its transcription, translation, trafficking, localization, and stability. Unlike other IEG products, Arc is not a transcription factor, but acts as an effector involved in various neuronal signaling pathways. Thus, Arc mRNA is rapidly transcribed in response to neuronal activity, and precisely targeted to activated synapses in neuronal dendrites.

Induction of Arc levels in an activity-dependent manner significantly elevates the proportion of thin spines and reduces surface α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptor density, which prevents network hyperexcitability, and contributes to maintenance of neuronal circuit homeostasis. Arc induction is also required for late long-term potentiation (LTP) and memory consolidation, which is regulated by local actin polymerization. Arc knockout (KO) mice exhibited a failure to form long-term memories, while their short-term memories were intact. These behavioral defects are associated with the alteration in LTP in vivo. In addition, Arc mediates metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGluR)-dependent long-term depression through facilitation of AMPA receptor endocytosis (Fig. 1). One of the proposed mechanisms by which Arc plays a regulatory role in AMPA receptor trafficking is via its interaction with components of the endocytic machinery, dynamin-2 and endophilin. Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase IIβ plays a role in the targeting of synaptic activity-induced Arc to strong stimulation experienced-inactive synapses where Arc mediates AMPA receptor clearance. Arc is also required for homeostatic plasticity. In particular, visual experience-induced Arc controls internalization of AMPA receptors, and as such, regulates homeostatic plasticity of excitatory synaptic transmission in layer 2/3 neurons of the visual cortex.
the visual cortex. Arc KO mice displayed abnormal ocular dominance plasticity, suggesting that Arc is required for the experience-dependent processes that establish synaptic connections in visual cortex.

In addition to regulating synaptic plasticity, Arc is involved in synaptic pruning. Specifically, Arc mediates elimination of redundant climbing axons to Purkinje cell in the developing cerebellum. Neuronal activity-dependent myocyte enhancer factor 2 (MEF2) generates Arc transcripts, and subsequently activates local dendritic mGluR5 proteins to promote the translation of MEF2-induced Arc mRNAs, which are prerequisite for Arc’s mediation of structural and functional synapse elimination in hippocampal neurons.

Arc is expressed rapidly and operates in the nucleus. Nuclear Arc level is modulated by synaptic activity, and controls the homeostatic response by increasing promyelocytic leukemia protein expression and decreasing AMPA receptor GluA1 subunit transcription. In addition, nuclear Arc interacts with histone acetyltransferase TIP60 and modifies chromatin structures, suggesting that nuclear Arc mediates an epigenetic pathway to orchestrate neuronal activity-dependent transcription programs.

Mounting evidence supports the diverse roles of Arc at synapses and within the nucleus, but a precise mechanism for Arc localization is largely elusive. A recent study showed that extracellular signal-regulated kinase-mediated phosphorylation of Arc facilitates its cytosolic localization. In addition to phosphorylation, Arc is rapidly sumoylated during LTP consolidation in the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus, which allows Arc to concentrate at the synapse to modulate actin cytoskeletal dynamics. Moreover, Arc expression is subject to ubiquitination and glycogen synthase kinase-3-mediated phosphorylation. In particular, RING domain-containing ubiquitin ligase Triad3A/RNF216 ubiquitylates Arc, which is then rapidly degraded via proteasome, suggesting that loss of Triad3A might preclude Arc-dependent forms of synaptic plasticity. A recent study showed that missense mutations in Triad3 identified in patients with Gordon Homes Syndrome (GHS) resulted in defective Arc ubiquitination, thereby leading to impaired spatial learning and memory. Therefore, Arc dysregulation may contribute to cognitive impairment and dementia observed in patients with GHS.

**Neuronal PAS domain protein 4**

Npas4 is a brain-specific transcription factor, the expression of which is regulated by neuronal activity, similar to Arc. Npas4 plays a role in inhibitory synapse development by regulating the activity-dependent gene programs in cultured neurons. More specifically, an enriched environment-induced Npas4 leads to an increase in the number of inhibitory synapses on the soma, and concurrently, a decrease in the number of inhibitory synapses on the apical dendrites of CA1 hippocampal pyramidal neurons. Mechanistically, the inhibition mode within these subcellular compartments appears to be differentially tuned by distinct sets of Npas4 downstream targets. For instance, brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) specifically mediates Npas4-mediated somatic inhibition (Fig. 1), while other downstream targets (mostly uncharacterized) are thought to mediate dendritic inhibition. In addition, Npas4 mediates activity-dependent neurite outgrowth through cyclin-dependent kinase 5-dependent phosphorylation of synapsin I proteins in hippocampal cultured neurons. In olfactory bulb interneurons, sensory experience immediately increases Npas4 protein levels, which is pivotal for dendritic spine formation.

In addition to regulating inhibitory synapse structure and transmission, Npas4 also controls the homeostatic inhibitory–excitatory balance by regulating adult visual cortical plasticity. For excitatory–inhibitory balance within neural circuits, Npas4 activates distinct programs of late-response genes in a cell-type-specific manner. The late-responsive Npas4 downstream genes differentially regulate the patterns of synaptic inputs onto inhibitory and excitatory neurons, promoting inhibitory actions toward excitatory neurons while inducing excitatory actions toward inhibitory neurons. Moreover, Npas4 shapes the structure and function of prefrontal inhibitory circuits during adolescence in a sex-specific manner, suggesting that Npas4 might be involved in the pathophysiological pathways underlying neuropsychiatric disorders that emerge during adolescence.

Npas4 is known as one of the activity-regulated inhibitor of death (AID) genes. AID genes are the core components of a genomic survival program that is induced by nuclear calcium signaling in response to neuronal activity. Intriguingly, synaptotagmin-10 (Syt10) was first identified as the neuroprotective downstream target of Npas4, suggesting a role for the Npas4-Syt10 pathway in neuronal survival against excessive synaptic activity leading to excitotoxicity.

Npas4 has been linked to cognitive functions. Specifically, in the lateral nucleus of the amygdala, Npas4 level is elevated in a learning-dependent manner, and is responsible for the fear memory formation without affecting innate fear and expression of fear memory. In CA3 hippocampal neurons, Npas4 level is specifically increased after contextual learning, regulating transcriptional programs required for contextual memory formation. In addition, Npas4 mRNA levels are decreased in the hippocampus of aged memory-impaired, but not memory-unimpaired, mice, suggesting that Npas4 may contribute to preservation of hippocampus-related cognition.
Npas4 deficiency was characterized by impaired spatial recognition memory, decreased anxiety-like behavior, and increased depression-like behavior\(^6^4\). These KO phenotypes suggest that Npas4 may be a prominent candidate factor for anxiety, depression, and related cognitive disorders. The role of Npas4 in regulating social behaviors has not been clearly determined; Coutellier et al.\(^6^4\) showed that Npas4 KO mice were less social than wild-type littermates, whereas Jaehne et al.\(^6^5\) reported that the Npas4 KO mice exhibited normal social behaviors. Intriguingly, Npas4 KO mice exhibited long-lasting stress-related cognitive defects during adolescence.\(^6^6\) The cognitive impairments observed in Npas4 KO mice were accompanied by reduced migration of neuroblast cells in the subventricular zone to cortical regions.\(^6^6\) Further investigations should determine whether cell biological and behavioral phenotypes reported in Npas4 KO mice (e.g., cognitive deficits and stress-induced altered neurogenesis) are causally linked, and associated with brain disorders implicated in Npas4 dysfunctions.

**Homer protein homolog 1a**

The Homer protein family consists of three members (Homer1–3).\(^6^7\) Each Homer transcript generates several alternative splicing variants. One of two major splice variants, Homer1a (a shorter variant of Homer1), is induced by neuronal activity and is therefore considered an IEG.\(^6^8\) Homer proteins typically possess a conserved N-terminal Ena/VASP homology I (EH1) domain, and a coiled-coil domain at the C terminus. The EH1 domain of Homer1 binds to a PPXXF motif present in various synaptic molecules, such as SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains proteins (Shanks), group I mGluRs, and inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate (IP\(_3\)) receptors.\(^6^9,7^0\) The coiled-coil domain of Homer1 mediates its dimerization, which enables Homers to physically and functionally link mGluRs with IP\(_3\) receptors.\(^7^1\) However, Homer1a lacks the coiled-coil domain and thus acts in a dominant-negative manner to disengage endogenous Homers with other effectors of mGluR\(_5\).\(^6^8\) (Fig. 1). Homer1a is expressed both in excitatory and inhibitory neurons across various brain regions, such as amygdala, hippocampus, primary somatosensory cortex, and dorsal striatum.\(^7^2\)

Subcellularly, Homer1a is primarily located at the postsynaptic density where Homer1a competes with other constitutively expressed Homer proteins for interactions with Homer-binding proteins. As such, Homer1a negatively regulates excitatory synapse structure and function.\(^7^3\) Expression of Homer1a following neuronal activation leads to the dissociation of the mGluRs/IP\(_3\) receptor complex and a reduced mGluR-mediated calcium response.\(^6^8\)

While Homer1a KO mice showed normal spine number, morphology, and memory acquisition, and intact short-term memory, they exhibited impaired fear memory consolidation and reconsolidation,\(^7^4\), suggesting a specific role of Homer1a in various stages of long-term, but not short-term, fear memory formation. Homer1a is epigenetically upregulated in the hippocampus and amygdala during the consolidation of cued fear conditioning mediated by BDNF.\(^7^5\) In addition, Homer1a prevents the development of pain-related synaptic plasticity in the amygdala through disruption of mGluR1 signaling within the basolateral amygdala in an animal model of arthritis.\(^7^6\)

Homer1a is crucial for the mGluR-mediated homeostatic scaling process.\(^7^7,7^8\). In particular, Homer1a as a molecular integrator of arousal and sleep drives homeostatic downscaling, which is active during sleep for synaptic remodeling.\(^7^9\) Similarly, Homer1a regulates calcium homeostasis,\(^8^0\) activity-induced presynaptic and postsynaptic structural plasticity,\(^8^1\) and dendritic targeting of mGluR5.\(^8^2\)

Several animal models of epilepsy exhibit a marked increase in Homer1a mRNA levels in hippocampal dentate gyrus granule neurons,\(^8^3,8^4\), and epileptiform stimulus-induced synapse loss involves elevated Homer1a expression.\(^8^5\) These results suggest that Homer1a may participate in a negative feedback loop to reduce network excitability. In addition to epilepsy, Homer1a could potentially be involved in depression-like behavior.\(^8^6,8^7\) Intriguingly, knockdown of Homer1a by RNA interference in medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) enhanced depressive-like behavior in mice, and overexpression of Homer1a in mPFC showed anti-depressant effects.\(^8^8\) Given that various anti-depressant treatments increase Homer1a expression in mPFC, Homer1a may be a key molecular player in anti-depressant therapy, although the mechanism(s) underlying its anti-depressant action require further elucidation.

Alteration of Homer1a levels was observed in the hippocampus and cingulate gyrus of human patients with various neuropsychiatric disorders, including schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and major depression.\(^8^9\) Intriguingly, in Fmr1 KO mouse model of fragile X syndrome, mGluR5 is more associated with shorter Homer1a rather than the longer Homer isoforms.\(^9^0,9^1\) Deletion of Homer1a restores the association of mGluR5 with the longer Homer isoforms, leading to correction of a subset of phenotypes observed in the Fmr1 KO mice.\(^9^0\) It remains to be solved how Fmr1 deficiency induces disrupted mGluR5–Homer interactions, but the altered interactions of mGluR5 with Homer may be an important mechanism underlying cognitive brain disorders involving mGluR5 dysfunction. Furthermore, Homer1a was reported to counteract amyloid-β-induced downregulation of potassium channel activity, hinting its potential as a therapeutic target for Alzheimer’s disease.\(^9^2\). Supporting
this notion, transcranial magnetic stimulation triggers effects on calcium-activated potassium channel facilitating large conductance and leading to enhanced hippocampal LTP and reduced cortical excitability, in Homer1a-dependent manner. Collectively, these studies pinpoint Homer1a as a prominent biomarker and potential therapeutic target for certain brain disorders.

Conclusions and perspectives
It is increasingly apparent that IEGs are critical for the structure, function, and plasticity of both excitatory and inhibitory synapses across various cell types and brain regions. In this review, we highlighted only a subset of IEGs that function in distinct manners to regulate specific aspects of synapse development. Although IEGs have been continually utilized as markers for labeling active population of neurons, their physiological significance remains to be fully clarified. In the future, other neuronal IEGs beyond those discussed in this review should be intensively studied towards a fuller understanding of how IEGs organize synapse development and by extension neural circuit development. Unquestionably, these future directions will aid in clearly defining the role of environment in development of various neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders.

Acknowledgements
This work was supported by grants from the Ministry for Health and Welfare Affairs, Republic of Korea (HI15C3026 to J.W.U.)

Conflict of interest
The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher’s note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 14 November 2017 Accepted: 29 November 2017.

Published online: 9 April 2018

References
1. Chaudhury, S., Sharma, V., Kumar, V., Nag, T. C. & Wadhwa, S. Activity-dependent synaptic plasticity modulates the critical phase of brain development. Brain Dev. 38, 355–363 (2016).
2. Walmsley, B., Bernston, A., Leao, R. N. & Fyffe, R. E. Activity-dependent regulation of synaptic strength and neuronal excitability in central auditory pathways. J. Physiol. 572, 313–321 (2006).
3. West, A. E. & Greenberg, M. E. Neuronal activity-regulated gene transcription in synapse development and cognitive function. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 3, 1–21 (2011).
4. Hubel, D. H. Exploration of the primary visual cortex, 1955–78. Nature 299, 515–524 (1982).
5. Wiesel, T. N. Postnatal development of the visual cortex and the influence of environment. Nature 299, 385–391 (1982).
6. Greenberg, M., Ziff, E. & Greene, L. Stimulation of neuronal acetylcholine receptors induces rapid gene transcription. Science 234, 80–83 (1986).
7. Lanahan, A. & Worley, P. Immediate-early genes and synaptic function. Neurorob. Learn. Mem. 70, 37–43 (1998).
8. Nedivi, E., Wu, G. Y. & Clene, H. T. Promotion of dendritic growth by CPG15, an activity-induced signaling molecule. Science 281, 1863–1866 (1998).
9. Sheng, M. & Greenberg, M. E. The regulation and function of c-fos and other immediate early genes in the nervous system. Neuron 4, 477–485 (1990).
10. Chandra, R. & Lobo, M. K. Beyond neuronal activity markers: detect immediate early genes in striatal neuron subtypes functionally mediate psychostimulant addiction. Front. Behav. Neurosci. 11, 112 (2017).
11. Chen, L. F., Zhou, A. S. & West, A. E. Transcribing the connectome: roles for transcription factors and chromatin regulators in activity-dependent synapse development. J. Neurophysiol. 118, 755–770 (2017).
12. Leebrecht, S. & Nedivi, E. The function of activity-regulated genes in the nervous system. Physiol. Rev. 89, 1079–1103 (2009).
13. Kandel, E. R. The molecular biology of memory: cAMP, PKA, CRE, CREB-1, CREB-2, and CPEB. Mol. Brain 5, 14 (2012).
14. Cole, A. J., Saffan, D. W., Baraban, J. M. & Worley, P. F. Rapid increase of an immediate early gene messenger RNA in hippocampal neurons by synaptic ChodrA/Arg3.1 translation and mGluR-dependent LTD through activity-dependent mRNAs. J. Physiol. 567, 43–56 (1999).
15. Widen, W. et al. Differential expression of immediate early genes in the hippocampus and spinal cord. Neuron 4, 603–614 (1990).
16. Abraham, W. C., Dragoum, M. & Tate, W. P. The role of immediate early genes in the stabilization of long-term potentiation. Mol. Neurobiol. 5, 297–314 (1991).
17. Kubik, S., Miyashita, T. & Guszowski, J. F. Using immediate-early genes to map hippocampal subregional functions. Learn. Mem. 14, 758–770 (2007).
18. Guzowski, J. F., McNaughton, B. L., Barnes, C. A. & Worley, P. F. Environment-specific expression of the immediate-early gene Arc in hippocampal neuronal ensembles. Nat. Neurosci. 2, 1120–1124 (1999).
19. Link, W. et al. Somatodendritic expression of an immediate early gene is regulated by synaptic activity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92, 5734–5738 (1995).
20. Lyford, G. L. et al. Arc, a growth factor and activity-regulated gene, encodes a novel cytoskeleton-associated protein that is enriched in neuronal dendrites. Neuron 14, 433–445 (1995).
21. Korb, E. & Finkbeiner, S. Arc in synaptic plasticity: from gene to behavior. Trends Neurosci. 34, 591–598 (2011).
22. Shepherd, J. D. & Bear, M. F. New views of Arc, a master regulator of synaptic plasticity. Nat. Neurosci. 14, 279–284 (2011).
23. Farris, S., Lewandowskia, G., Cox, C. D. & Steward, O. Selective localization of Arc mRNA in dendrites involves activity- and translation-dependent mRNA degradation. J. Neurosci. 34, 4481–4493 (2014).
24. Na, Y. et al. Real-time imaging reveals properties of glutamate-induced Arc/Arg3.1 translation in neuronal dendrites. Neuron 91, 561–573 (2016).
25. Steward, O., Wallace, C. S., Lyford, G. L. & Worley, P. F. Synaptic activation causes the mRNA for the IEG Arc to localize selectively near activated postsynaptic sites on dendrites. Neuron 21, 741–751 (1998).
26. Peebles, C. L. et al. Arc regulates spine morphology and maintains network stability in vivo. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 18173–18178 (2010).
27. Guzowski, J. F. et al. Inhibition of activity-dependent Arc protein expression in the rat hippocampus impairs the maintenance of long-term potentiation and the consolidation of long-term memory. J. Neurosci. 20, 3993–4001 (2000).
28. Messaoudi, E. et al. Sustained Arc/Arg3.1 synthesis controls long-term potentiation consolidation through regulation of local actin polymerization in the dentate gyrus in vivo. J. Neurosci. 27, 10445–10455 (2007).
29. Rath, N. et al. Arc/Arg3.1 is essential for the consolidation of synaptic plasticity and memories. Neuro 52, 437–444 (2006).
30. Chowdhury, S. et al. Arc/Arg3.1 interacts with the endocytic machinery to regulate AMPA receptor trafficking. Neuro 52, 445–459 (2006).
31. Jakamett, V. et al. Experience-induced Arc/Arg3.1 primes CA1 pyramidal neurons for metabotropic glutamate receptor-dependent long-term synaptic depression. Neu 80, 72–79 (2013).
32. Park, S. et al. Elongation factor 2 and fragile X mental retardation protein control the dynamic translation of Arc/Arg3.1 essential for mGluR-LTD. Neuron 59, 70–83 (2008).
33. Waung, M. W., Pfeffer, B. E., Nosyreva, E. D., Ronesi, J. A. & Huber, K. M. Rapid translation of Arc/Arg3.1 selectively mediates mGluR-dependent LTD through persistent increases in AMPAR endocytosis rate. Neuron 59, 84–97 (2008).
34. Okuno, H. et al. Inverse synaptic tagging of inactive synapses via dynamic interaction of Arc/Arg3.1 with CaMKII. Cell 149, 886–898 (2012).
35. Böge, J. C., Na, Y., Kuhl, D., Worley, P. F. & Huganir, R. L. Arc-dependent synapse-specific homeostatic plasticity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108, 816–821 (2011).
36. Gao, M. et al. A specific requirement of Arc/Arg3.1 for visual experience-induced homeostatic synaptic plasticity in mouse primary visual cortex. J. Neurosci. 30, 7168–7178 (2010).

37. Shepherd, J. D. et al. Arc/Arg3.1 mediates homeostatic synaptic scaling of AMPA receptors. Neuron 52, 475–486 (2006).

38. McCurry, C. L. et al. Loss of Arc renders the visual cortex impervious to the effects of sensory experience or deprivation. Nat. Neurosci. 13, 450–457 (2010).

39. Mukuni, T. et al. Arc/Arg3.1 is a postsynaptic mediator of activity-dependent synapse elimination in the developing cerebellum. Neuron 78, 1024–1035 (2013).

40. Wilkerson Julia, R. et al. A role for dendritic mGluR5-mediated local translation of Arc/Arg3.1 in MEF2-dependent synapse suppression. Cell Rep. 7, 1589–1600 (2014).

41. Korb, E., Wilkinson, C. L., Delgado, R. N., Lovero, K. L. & Finkbeiner, S. Arc in the nucleus regulates PVAL-dependent GuA1 transcription and homeostatic plasticity. Nat. Neurosci. 16, 874–883 (2013).

42. Horjoh, S. et al. Higher Arc nucleus-to-cytoplasm ratio during sleep in the superficial layers of the mouse cortex. Front. Neural Circuits 11, 60 (2017).

43. Chris, H. E. et al. A-type-specific gene expression chromatin-modifying complex regulates Arc expression(1,2). eNeuro 2, 0020–14-2015 (2015). pii: ENEURO.

44. Wei, C. et al. Nuclear Arc interacts with the histone acetyltransferase Tip60 to modify H4K12 acetylation(1,2,3). eNeuro 2, 0019–14-2014 (2014). pii: ENEURO.

45. Nicklaenko, O., Erken, M. S., Patil, S., Bito, H. & Bramham, C. R. Stimulus-evoked ERK-dependent phosphorylation of activity-regulated cytoskeleton-associated protein (Arc) regulates its neuronal subcellular localization. Neuroscience 360, 68–80 (2017).

46. Nair, R. R. et al. Dynamic Arc SUMOylation and selective interaction with F-actin-binding protein Drebrin A in LTP consolidation in vivo. Front. Synaptic Neurosci. 9, 8 (2017).

47. Greer, P. L. et al. The Angelman syndrome protein Ube3A regulates synapse development by ubiquitinating Arc. Cell 140, 704–716 (2010).

48. Mabb Angela, M. et al. Triad3A regulates synaptic strength by ubiquitination of the Angelman syndrome protein Ube3A. Neuron 78, 1024–1035 (2013).

49. Sheikh-Mamaghani, Y. & Furuchi, T. The Homer family proteins. Genome Biol. 8, 206 (2007).

50. Xiao, B. et al. Homer regulates the association of group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors with multivalent complexes of homer-related, synaptic proteins. Neuron 21, 707–716 (1998).

51. Braakman, P. R. et al. Homer: a protein that selectively binds metabotropic glutamate receptors. Nature 386, 284–288 (1997).

52. Tu, J. C. et al. Homer binds a novel proline-rich motif and links group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors with IP3 receptors. Neuron 21, 717–726 (1998).

53. Brakeman, P. R. et al. Homer: a protein that selectively binds metabotropic glutamate receptors. Nature 386, 284–288 (1997).

54. Tu, J. et al. Homer binds a novel proline-rich motif and links group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors with IP3 receptors. Neuron 21, 717–726 (1998).

55. Yoshida, S. et al. Npas4 regulates Mdm2 and thus Dcx in experience-induced homeostatic synaptic plasticity in mouse primary visual cortex. J. Neurosci. 30, 7168–7178 (2010).

56. Mikkelsen, S. J. et al. Nuclear calcium signaling controls expression of a large gene pool: identification of a gene program for acquired neuroprotection induced by synaptic activity. PLoS Genet. 5, e1000604 (2009).

57. Wolfek, A. M. H. et al. Identification of synaptotagmin 10 as effector of Npas4-mediated protection from excitotoxic neurodegeneration. J. Neurosci. 36, 2561–2570 (2016).

58. Plotsk, J. E., Norony, M. S., Nguyen, T., DeLone, R. J. & Schafe, G. E. The neuronal PAS domain protein 4 (Npas4) is required for new and reactivated fear memories. PLoS ONE 6, e23760 (2011).

59. Zhang, S. J. et al. Nuclear calcium signaling controls expression of a large gene pool: identification of a gene program for acquired neuroprotection induced by synaptic activity. PLoS Genet. 5, e1000604 (2009).

60. Day, E., Wilkinson, C. L., Delgado, R. N., Lovero, K. L. & Finkbeiner, S. Arc in the nucleus regulates PVAL-dependent GuA1 transcription and homeostatic plasticity. Nat. Neurosci. 16, 874–883 (2013).

61. Horjoh, S. et al. Higher Arc nucleus-to-cytoplasm ratio during sleep in the superficial layers of the mouse cortex. Front. Neural Circuits 11, 60 (2017).

62. Chris, H. E. et al. A-type-specific gene expression chromatin-modifying complex regulates Arc expression(1,2). eNeuro 2, 0020–14-2015 (2015). pii: ENEURO.

63. Wei, C. et al. Nuclear Arc interacts with the histone acetyltransferase Tip60 to modify H4K12 acetylation(1,2,3). eNeuro 2, 0019–14-2014 (2014). pii: ENEURO.
90. Ronesi, J. A. et al. Disrupted Homer scaffolds mediate abnormal mGluR5 function in a mouse model of fragile X syndrome. Nat. Neurosci. 15, 431–440 (2012).

91. Giuffrida, R. et al. A reduced number of metabotropic glutamate subtype 5 receptors are associated with constitutive homer proteins in a mouse model of fragile X syndrome. J. Neurosci. 25, 8908–8916 (2005).

92. Yamamoto, K. et al. Suppression of a neocortical potassium channel activity by intracellular amyloid-β and its rescue with Homer1a. J. Neurosci. 31, 11100–11109 (2012).

93. Wang, F. et al. Improvement of spatial learning by facilitating large-conductance calcium-activated potassium channel with transcranial magnetic stimulation in Alzheimer's disease model mice. Neuropharmacology 97, 210–219 (2015).