Identification and expression analysis of methyl jasmonate responsive ESTs in paclitaxel producing *Taxus cuspidata* suspension culture cells
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Abstract

**Background:** Taxol® (paclitaxel) promotes microtubule assembly and stabilization and therefore is a potent chemotherapeutic agent against wide range of cancers. Methyl jasmonate (MJ) elicited *Taxus* cell cultures provide a sustainable option to meet the growing market demand for paclitaxel. Despite its increasing pharmaceutical importance, the molecular genetics of paclitaxel biosynthesis is not fully elucidated. This study focuses on identification of MJ responsive transcripts in cultured *Taxus* cells using PCR-based suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) to identify genes involved in global pathway control.

**Results:** Six separate SSH cDNA libraries of paclitaxel-accumulating *Taxus cuspidata* P991 cell lines were constructed at three different post-elicitation time points (6h, 18h and 5 day) to identify genes that are either induced or suppressed in response to MJ. Sequencing of 576 differentially screened clones from the SSH libraries resulted in 331 unigenes. Functional annotation and Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of up-regulated EST libraries showed enrichment of several known paclitaxel biosynthetic genes and novel transcripts that may be involved in MJ-signaling, taxane transport, or taxane degradation. Macroarray analysis of these identified genes unravelled global regulatory expression of these transcripts. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis of a set of 12 candidate genes further confirmed the MJ-induced gene expression in a high paclitaxel accumulating *Taxus cuspidata* P93AF cell line.

**Conclusions:** This study elucidates the global temporal expression kinetics of MJ responsive genes in *Taxus* suspension cell culture. Functional characterization of the novel genes identified in this study will further enhance the understanding of paclitaxel biosynthesis, taxane transport and degradation.

Background

Although the supply of most plant-derived secondary metabolic products is through harvest of the whole plant, many of these commercially valuable compounds are produced in slow-growing or non-agriculturelly produced species [1]. Harvest from natural sources is often insufficient to provide adequate quantities of the product of interest in a sustainable manner. Plant cell culture provides an alternative production source in which environmental conditions can be more easily controlled, manipulated, and optimized to yield high quantities of these valuable products (i.e., secondary metabolites).

The anticancer drug paclitaxel (registered as a trademark Taxol® by Bristol-Myers Squibb) is a secondary metabolite with a complex chemical structure that has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of breast, ovarian and lung cancers, AIDS-related Kaposi’s sarcoma as well as coronary artery disease (http://www.fda.gov). The production of paclitaxel from *Taxus* cell cultures has been achieved by...
us and others [2-11]. Plant cell culture technology has received significant attention in meeting the demand for paclitaxel and this method of production of paclitaxel has been licensed by Bristol-Myers Squibb to Phyton Biotech Inc. (Fort Worth, TX).

Paclitaxel is a member of the taxane family of compounds that are produced by all species of yew. Over 400 taxanes have been reported from various Taxus species [12]. The structure of paclitaxel was determined by Wani et al. (1971) as a diterpenoid (molecular formula of C_{47}H_{51}NO_{14}) with a highly functionalized taxane skeleton [13]. Taxanes vary in the functional groups and side-chain components attached to the tricyclic taxane skeleton. Many steps of the biosynthetic pathway have been elucidated through the isolation of genes and enzymes from Taxus tissues and cultured cells [14-16]. Using molecular approaches, the genes encoding GGPP synthase [17], 10-deacetylbaccatin III:10-O-acetyl transferase [18], taxadiene synthase [19], cytochrome P450 taxane 10β-hydroxylase [20], 3'-N-debenzoyl-2'-deoxyTaxol N-benzoyltransferase [21], baccatin III:3-amino-3-phenylpropanoyl transferase [22], taxane 13α-hydroxylase [23], taxane 2α-O-benzoyltransferase [24], and tsa-4(20),11 (12)-dien-5a-ol-O-acetyl transferase [25] were identified.

The biotic elicitor methyl jasmonate (MJ) is an integral component of the signal transduction process that regulates the inducible defence systems of plants. Exogenous MJ is highly effective at eliciting secondary metabolite accumulation in a variety of plant cell culture systems [26]. Accordingly, paclitaxel accumulation is significantly enhanced upon MJ addition [27-31].

The non-model gymnosperm Taxus is evolutionarily diverged and quite distantly related to model angiosperm species. This presents significant challenges to genomics approaches for understanding paclitaxel synthesis and regulation, and necessitates the generation of Taxus-specific genetic information in order to establish gene-to-metabolite links. Genetic information for Taxus species has begun to emerge from transcriptome sequencing of Taxus organs (needles, bark, cambial meristematic cells etc.) [32-34]. However, a differentially-regulated transcriptome of paclitaxel accumulating cultured cells in controlled environmental conditions, and in response to secondary metabolite elicitors like MJ, needs to be characterized. Here we describe the results from transcript profiling experiments in Taxus culture cells to identify genes involved in global pathway control, including not only potential paclitaxel biosynthetic genes, but also genes that may be involved in MJ-signaling, taxane transport, or taxane degradation. Using a PCR-based suppression subtractive hybridization strategy, MJ responsive ESTs were isolated from the paclitaxel-accumulating P991 Taxus cuspidata cell line. Expression analysis of randomly selected differentially-regulated ESTs from the MJ-treated SSH libraries was also performed using macroarrays. Computational functional annotation and Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of up-regulated EST libraries show enrichment of several known paclitaxel biosynthetic genes as well as novel transcripts. RT-PCR analysis of a set of 12 candidate genes further confirmed the MJ-induced gene expression in the paclitaxel accumulating cell line. Hence, this study is useful in expanding our understanding of the molecular genetics of the complex paclitaxel biosynthesis process.

Results

Differential screening of MJ-responsive ESTs

P991 is a well characterized T. cuspidata cell line that produces higher amounts of taxanes after MJ elicitation [27,35]. This cell line was used to detect differentially expressed MJ responsive transcripts with a PCR-based cDNA subtraction method. Six distinct driver/tester combinations were used to construct six different SSH libraries. To generate libraries enriched for up-regulated genes, the combinations of driver/tester were as follows:

1) Driver = unelicited control at 6 hour time point; tester = MJ-elicited at 6 hour time point
2) Driver = unelicited control at 18 hour time point; tester = MJ-elicited at 18 hour time point
3) Driver = unelicited control at 5 day time point; tester = MJ-elicited at 5 day time point

In contrast, to produce SSH libraries enriched for down-regulated genes the opposite driver/tester combination (i.e. MJ-elicited driver and unelicited tester) was used with the same cDNA samples. Single pass sequencing was carried out on 288 recombinant clones each from the MJ up- and down-regulated SSH libraries (96 clones each from 6h, 18h and 5 day time point of both the up/down regulated libraries). Among the 576 clones sequenced, 548 were considered for contig assembly and annotation after excluding vector sequence contamination. All the EST sequences from the three up-regulated libraries were used together for contig assembly with CAP3. Similarly, the ESTs from the three down-regulated libraries were used together for assembly of down-regulated contigs. CAP3 assembly of the ESTs

Table 1 Overview T. cuspidata P991 SSH libraries

| Features | Up-regulated libraries | Down Regulated libraries |
|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Number of clones sequenced | 288 | 288 |
| Number of clean ESTs | 283 | 265 |
| Number of non-redundant ESTs | 155 | 176 |
| Total number of contigs | 32 | 23 |
| Total number of singletons | 123 | 153 |
| Number of randomly chosen ESTs used for macroarray analysis | 128 | 128 |
generated 32 MJ up-regulated contigs, 123 singletons and 23 MJ down-regulated contigs, 153 singletons (Table 1) [36]. Hence, the up-regulated libraries contain 155 unigenes (combination of contigs, Table 2, Additional file 1: Table S1 and singletons, Supplemental 2) and 176 unigenes are represented in the down-regulated libraries (Additional file 2: Table S2). The mean length of the ESTs obtained from all the libraries was 658 bp, which is adequate for sequence similarity based functional classification.

Functional classification of the unigenes
Putative functional annotation of the unigenes (Additional file 2: Table S2) was assigned by BLASTX analysis against the GenBank non-redundant database for sequence similarity (E-value ≤ 10^{-2}). Unigenes showing BLASTX homology at E > 10^{-2} were designated as unigenes with no match in the database. Approximately 47% of the unigenes fell into this category, and may be specific to Taxus species. Approximately 12% of the sequences represented evolutionarily conserved proteins whose function is not known. Many of the known paclitaxel biosynthetic genes were induced, as expected. Several novel transcripts that are similar, but not identical, to known taxane biosynthetic enzymes were identified. These included two genes that are similar to known taxane acyl transferases and five genes that are related to known taxane hydroxylase. Experimental characterization of these novel genes would be needed to definitively determine the exact acylation or...

### Table 2 Identity and description of contigs obtained from T. cuspidata up-regulated SSH libraries

| Contig | Accession No | Match with Accession No | Description | Query coverage (%) | E Value     |
|--------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| 03     | HE799317     | ABR16435                | Predicted Cytochrome P450 | 66         | 5.00E-72     |
| 08     | HE799322     | BAF46017                | Putative class I chitinase | 35         | 5.00E-64     |
| 21     | HE799335     | AAR13860                | Taxadiene synthase          | 92         | 4.00E-61     |
| 25     | HE799339     | ABK26019                | Unknown                   | 34         | 1.00E-42     |
| 30     | HE799344     | BAQ09813                | Thaumatin-like protein     | 76         | 2.00E-37     |
| 04     | HE799318     | AA41362                 | Taxadiene synthase          | 94         | 5.00E-30     |
| 28     | HE799342     | XP_002264098            | hypothetical protein          | 49         | 2.00E-27     |
| 26     | HE799340     | CAI56321                | putative leucanthocyanidin reductase | 68         | 3.00E-25     |
| 18     | HE799332     | XP_002516771            | putative Lipoxigenase       | 59         | 5.00E-25     |
| 15     | HE799329     | AAS9065                 | putative taxoid hydroxylase | 65         | 8.00E-22     |
| 17     | HE799331     | ABK21418                | Unknown                   | 72         | 2.00E-23     |
| 29     | HE799343     | ACH59388                | putative Chalcone synthase  | 36         | 3.00E-21     |
| 12     | HE799326     | AAO85809                | putative 5-adenosylmethionine synthetase | 43         | 3.00E-20     |
| 09     | HE799323     | BAD10865                | predicted 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase | 79         | 7.00E-18     |
| 11     | HE799325     | ZP_03319418             | Hypothetical protein        | 55         | 5.00E-16     |
| 01     | HE799315     | AAT73199                | predicted taxoid acyltransferase | 81         | 9.00E-16     |
| 14     | HE799328     | XP_002264858            | Hypothetical protein        | 66         | 9.00E-16     |
| 07     | HE799321     | XP_002973748            | Hypothetical protein (XP_002973748) | 32         | 1.00E-15     |
| 16     | HE799330     | XP_001768181            | similar to predicted protein (XP_001768181) | 47         | 2.00E-15     |
| 27     | HE799341     | ABR16535                | Unknown                   | 16         | 7.00E-15     |
| 02     | HE799316     | ABR17205                | putative DNA binding protein | 79         | 2.00E-13     |
| 05     | HE799319     | ACN40018                | Unknown                   | 60         | 2.00E-09     |
| 32     | HE799346     | ADQ12769                | Cytochrome P450            | 41         | 3.00E-09     |
| 06     | HE799320     | ABK22210                | Unknown                   | 39         | 8.00E-07     |
| 23     | HE799337     | ADK74829                | putative Immunomodulatory protein | 13         | 3.00E-05     |
| 20     | HE799334     | ABK23181                | Unknown                   | 11         | 3.00E-04     |
| 10     | HE799324     | ABK24846                | putative Gibberellin 3-beta-hydroxylase | 38         | 0.001       |
| 31     | HE799345     | ADM07415                | similar to Dormancy/auxin associated-like protein | 27         | 0.001       |
| 22     | HE799336     | YP_000089               | Cytotoxin Mcf               | 41         | 0.003       |
| 19     | HE799333     | XP_0022383086           | hypothetical protein        | 8          | 0.005       |
| 13     | HE799327     |                      | No hits in the database    | -          | -           |
| 24     | HE799338     |                      | No hits in the database    | -          | -           |
hydroxylation reactions catalyzed by the encoded enzymes.

Functional classification of MJ up-regulated unigenes was determined based on GO analysis for biological process, molecular function and cellular component (Additional file 3: Figure S1a-c). Among the biological process category, unigenes belonging to oxidation-reduction processes (GO:00055114), responses to stimulus (GO:0050896), small molecule biosynthetic processes (GO:0044283) and paclitaxel biosynthetic processes (GO:0042617) were over-represented. In the molecular function category, oxidoreductase activity (GO:0016491) was most significantly enriched, followed by iron ion binding (GO:0005506) and transferase activity (GO:0016740). Finally, in the cellular component category, proteins associated with intracellular organelles (GO:0043229), membranes (GO:0016020) and cytoplasmic parts (GO:0044444) were most enriched. KEGG pathway analysis of the encoded proteins further indicated MJ-induced paclitaxel, L-methionine and oxylin biosynthesis pathways in the up-regulated SSH libraries. There were too few truly down-regulated unigenes available (see below) for GO analysis of down regulated transcripts.

Evaluation of MJ-responsive differential expression using macroarrays
Probe sets designed from up- and down-regulated SSH libraries were spotted manually as macroarrays on nylon membranes and hybridized with different RNA targets. Of the 256 randomly chosen ESTs in the macroarray, 81 (71 up- and 10 down-regulated) ESTs showed a significant change in intensity following MJ elicitation (Additional file 4: Table S3). One set of genes showed the highest up-regulation trend at early time points (6h and 18h) following MJ elicitation (Figures 1a, b and 2), while MJ responsive expression of a separate set of genes exhibited a difference in expression pattern at the late time point (5 day; Figures 1c, 2). On the other hand, the majority (92.2%) of MJ down-regulated unigenes were found to be false positive SSH clones (Additional file 4: Table S3, Additional file 5: Figure S2, Additional file 6: Figure S3, Additional file 7: Figure S4) based on this analysis. Hierarchical clustering analysis of the temporal differential gene expression patterns of the individual ESTs in the macroarray (Figure 2) clearly demonstrated the distinctions between the MJ-responsive early, middle and late gene regulation.

Confirmation of MJ-induced expression by RT-PCR
Twelve randomly selected genes from the up-regulated SSH library were evaluated for transcriptional response in another paclitaxel-accumulating line T. cuspidata P93AF using semi-quantitative RT-PCR. All 12 genes were up-regulated at 6h after MJ elicitation, further supporting the early overall response observed in the macroarray (Figure 3). Transcript levels for flavonol synthase (D5_88H) and the pyridine nucleotidase-disulfide oxidoreductase family protein (18R_32H) went down after 6h. Cytochrome P450 (contig 32), unknown (contig 6), ACC oxidase (contig 9), leucoanthocyanidin reductase (contig 26) and lipoxygenase (contig 18) were elevated at both 6h and 18h. However, semi-quantitative RT-PCR could not detect transcripts of these genes on day 5 after elicitation. Transcripts encoding cytochrome P450 (contig 3), quinone oxidoreductase-like protein (18R_44D), hypothetical protein (contig 16), dirigent-like protein (18R_17A) and short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase family protein were at elevated levels on day 5 as well as at early time points. Elevated expression of these randomly chosen sequences in the T. cuspidata P93AF cell line upon MJ-elicitation further supported that regulated genes were successfully identified using the subtracted cDNA libraries from cell line P991.

Discussion
MJ potentiates secondary metabolite accumulation in a variety of plant suspension cell culture systems, and is now a widely-used augmenting tool for bio-active molecule production [26]. Accordingly, paclitaxel accumulation is significantly enhanced with MJ elicitation in T. cuspidata suspension cultured cells [27,37-40]. MJ is also implicated in specific induction of a wide range of secondary metabolite genes involved in biotic-stress response and wounding [41]. Conversely, primary metabolic genes such as those involved in photosynthesis, electron transport and cytoskeletal organization are either down-regulated or unaffected by MJ elicitation [42]. MJ elicitation and targeted cloning approaches have been effectively used in Taxus to identify specific taxane biosynthetic genes [43,44]. Therefore, an up-regulated cDNA library of MJ treated cells will presumably contain genes related to secondary metabolism, including paclitaxel biosynthesis.

SSH is a powerful genomics technique that enriches differentially regulated genes and has mostly been used to identify transcripts expressed in contrasting environmental conditions. SSH is a suitable approach in Taxus where the genomic information is currently scarce. We used the PCR-based SSH approach to identify MJ responsive (up- and down-regulated) transcripts that precede paclitaxel accumulation in the paclitaxel accumulating T. cuspidata P991 cell line. Putative up-regulation of as many as 155 unigenes and down-regulation of 176 unigenes of diverse functional groups suggested involvement of a spectrum of genes regulating paclitaxel biosynthesis, MJ-signaling and response, and potentially, taxane transport and taxane degradation. The up-regulated SSH library contained several previously known positively regulated genes that are likely to be directly involved in paclitaxel synthesis (Additional file 2: Table S2). For
instance, putative taxadiene synthase, taxane hydroxylase and taxane acyl transferases having strong sequence similarity with the corresponding known paclitaxel biosynthetic pathway genes were detected in the up-regulated library. Taxadiene synthase catalyses the first committed step of paclitaxel biosynthesis in *Taxus* by cyclization of the linear isoprenoid substrate geranyl geranyl diphosphate (GGPP) to form taxa-4(5),11(12)diene [19]. Four unigenes representing different isoforms of putative taxadiene synthase were up-regulated in the library. It will be interesting to unravel the individual contribution of each of these taxadiene synthase genes towards paclitaxel production. Another paclitaxel biosynthetic gene, taxoid 2-α-hydroxylase, produces 2α-hydroxy taxoids as starting materials for subsequent acylation at the C2-position of the taxane core that ultimately bears a benzoyl group, an important paclitaxel pharmacophore [45]. Five novel putative taxoid hydroxylases that are similar but not identical to known taxoid hydrolases (taxoid 2-alpha hydroxylase, taxoid 5-alpha hydroxylase, and taxoid 7-beta hydroxylase) were detected in the up-regulated library. Each might function to hydroxylate taxoids at specific positions. Similarly, novel taxane acyl transferases are not only important targets for genetic manipulation to improve paclitaxel production in genetically engineered host systems but also provides a means of attaching modified aroyl groups to taxoid precursors to improve drug efficacy [18,21,25,46]. Characterization of the two newly identified candidate acyl transferases in the library will further suggest roles for these novel enzymes in paclitaxel biosynthesis.

Apart from the paclitaxel biosynthesis genes, up-regulated genes that are potential candidates for regulation of the MJ response were also obtained. One such gene encodes ACC oxidase (1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase), which is the final step in the synthesis of the plant hormone ethylene [47]. Ethylene is known to be produced following MJ elicitation, and to function in suppression of the MJ signal. An increased production of paclitaxel upon application of ethylene synthesis inhibitors to elicited *Taxus* cultures was reported by Zhang et al., (2003) [48]. Disruption of this ACC oxidase gene may allow the MJ signal to be strengthened, which may lead to increased paclitaxel accumulation.

The library was also enriched with up-regulated genes that presumably have no direct role in paclitaxel accumulation. Good examples are the putative class I chitinase, thaumatin (also known as PR-5); chalcone synthase, and defensin, all of which are defense-related genes that have well-characterized roles in model plant species [49,50]. These genes are known to be induced by MJ in other plant species and thus are unlikely to be related to the synthesis of taxanes, which are unique to *Taxus* species. For several transcripts, a reliable prediction of general biochemical activity can be made, but predictions neither suggest nor challenge a role in paclitaxel synthesis. Genes in this class include three cytochrome P450 genes that lack significant similarity to the several known taxane biosynthetic pathway P450s, two distinct lipoxygenase genes, and a few other
enzyme encoding genes. Any of these genes could participate in the uncharacterized steps of the paclitaxel biosynthetic pathway (e.g., hydroxylation reactions and oxetane ring formation), but could equally well be unrelated to paclitaxel synthesis. Further characterization will be necessary to determine whether these genes have direct relevance to paclitaxel metabolism. Nevertheless, this strategy did not enable the isolation of all the known paclitaxel metabolism genes indicating that the collection of MJ-inducible genes identified here is not comprehensive. Sequencing of the total MJ-induced transcriptome will be required to identify transcripts for every gene related to paclitaxel accumulation.

In addition to confirming up- and down-regulated genes, macroarrays identified false positive clones that appeared in the libraries but were not actually differentially regulated in response to MJ. Although most genes identified as being up-regulated were confirmed as such by macroarray analysis, the false positive rate in the down-regulated libraries was 92.2%. SSH libraries of highly complex eukaryotes have often been reported to contain high percentages (30-50%) of false positive clones that escaped subtraction [51,52]. These high false positive rates are usually attributed to non-specific annealing of primers, partial hybridization of driver that allows tester amplification and non-specific annealing of suppression adaptors [53]. While any of these could have been factors in producing the high false positive rate in our down-regulated libraries, we also note the possibility that there could simply be very few genes that are down-regulated by MJ in the *Taxus* cultures. If few or no down-regulated transcripts occur, then the SSH approach is certain to be unsuccessful, and will identify a random set of highly expressed (but not differentially regulated) genes. Genes encoding cell wall proteins, ribosomal proteins and other highly expressed genes are well represented in the down-regulated libraries. The high false positive rate in the down-regulated libraries here indicates that the genes observed were not actually down-regulated by MJ.

The gene expression kinetics revealed differential temporal regulation of MJ responsive genes. Up-regulation of many of the MJ induced genes at early time points (6h) was highest, followed by middle time point (18h). MJ-responsive up-regulation of most genes, however, did not persist into the latest time point 5 day (Figure 2). However, a subset of late-induced genes was observed. In agreement to this study, similar expression trend was also observed previously in MJ-induced temporal expression kinetics of taxol biosynthesis genes in P991 cultured cells [27]. This trend was also observed in the
paclitaxel-producing *T. cuspidata* P93AF cell line, as semi-quantitative RT-PCR showed that all 12 genes were up-regulated at 6h but transcripts of only 5 of them were detected on day 5. These 12 genes tested here by RT-PCR do not represent any known genes related to taxol biosynthesis, but rather were selected as novel transcripts identified in this study. Furthermore, use of a second paclitaxel-producing cell line (P93AF) demonstrates the reproducibility of the gene expression changes occurring in *Taxus* cultured cells. Paclitaxel and other taxanes accumulate in the cultured cells at time points after 24h [27]. Therefore, persistent translated gene products of early MJ induced genes appear to play a pivotal role in taxane biosynthesis, while late MJ induced genes may be facilitating other functions such as taxane transport and degradation. Genes involved either in intracellular or extracellular paclitaxel transport and degradation in *Taxus* have not yet been characterized [54], but may be present among the ESTs identified here that could not be assigned functions based on molecular similarity to known proteins.

**Conclusions**

Several genes were differentially regulated in response to MJ in *Taxus cuspidata* cell lines. Among these genes, paclitaxel biosynthetic pathway genes were specifically induced in response to MJ. A majority of the up-regulated genes followed specific temporal expression kinetics. SSH was not sensitive enough to isolate tightly regulated down regulated genes. Functional characterization of the novel genes identified in this study will further enhance the understanding of paclitaxel metabolism and its regulation.

**Methods**

**Cell culture**

*Taxus cuspidata* cell line P991 was used for SSH library preparation and macroarray screening and cell line *Taxus cuspidata* P93AF was used for RT-PCR analysis. All cells were obtained as a gift from Dr. D. Gibson at the U.S. Plant Soil and Nutrition laboratory, USDA (Ithaca, NY). Cell cultures were maintained as described in Nims et al., (2006) [27]. MJ (100 μM final concentration) dissolved in 50% EtOH was added to suspension cultures on day 7 after subculture. Control (unelicited) cells were subjected to mock elicitation by adding an equal volume of 50% EtOH. Cells were harvested from elicited and unelicited cultures at 6 hours, 18 hours and 5 day post-elicitation.

**RNA purification**

Cells were collected by filtration from the media through Miracloth® (EMD Biosciences, San Diego, CA), flash frozen...
in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C in polypropylene tubes. Total RNA was extracted using guanidinium isothiocyanate and separated through a cesium chloride (CsCl) phase [55]. Poly(A) RNA was extracted using Poly(A) Purist MAG Purification kit (Ambion, Austin, TX). Quality checks of poly (A) RNA preparations were made by PCR using primers specific for 18S rRNA [56]. These primers were: Pt-MGB-18S-f (AGCCCTTGCGCTGGGC), Pt-MGB-18S-r (TGCCCCATCACTTTTGCATGTG). Quantification of total RNA and poly(A) RNA was performed with the Ribogreen fluorometric assay (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR).

Suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) libraries

Suppression Subtractive Hybridization (SSH) libraries using control and M1-elicited samples from Taxus cuspidata cell line P991 at 6 hours, 18 hours and 5 day post-elicitation were generated using the Clontech PCR-Select cDNA Subtraction Kit (Takara Bio Inc., Clontech, Mountain View, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For each SSH library two different double stranded cDNA pools (a 'tester' and 'driver') were used. Mirror orientation selection (MOS) was then performed to reduce background molecules [53]. Library products were separated on agarose gels. For each library, products below and above 500 base pairs were purified separately using Gel Purification Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and cloned into TOPO 2-1 vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA).

Sequence analysis of the cloned ESTs

Plasmids from independent clones were isolated and single pass sequencing was carried out. All EST sequences were screened through VecScreen software (NCBI:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) to remove vector contamination. Once cleaned of vector sequence, all the EST sequences from each up-regulated library and from each down regulated library were used separately for contig assembly with CAP3 [36]. The ESTs were grouped into contigs and singletons and were termed as unigenes (Accession No HE799315-HE799645, Additional file 8: Table S4). The unigene sequences were used to search for homology using the default setting of BLASTX program at NCBI. Each unigene was classified as a protein with known function, a protein with unknown function or a protein with no sequence match in the database. Furthermore, sequences with BLASTX hits were annotated according to gene ontology (GO) terms using Blast2GO software (www.blast2go.org[57]). The TrEMBL section of UniProtKB (http://www.uniprot.org) database was used to perform, enzyme class and pathway analysis.

Expression analysis by macroarray

Macroarrays constructed for this study comprised 256 SSH fragments from both the up-regulated and down-regulated libraries (128 up and 128 down). Bacterial cultures were grown overnight in LB media containing 50 μg/mL kanamycin. Plasmid DNA was purified using Qiaprep-miniprep (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). SSH fragments were amplified by PCR using adapter specific primers. Amplification of fragments via PCR was performed using ExTaq Hot Start Polymerase System (Takara Mirus Bio, Madison, WI). PCR conditions were as follows: 95°C for 2 minutes followed by 25X: 30 seconds, 95°C; 30 seconds, 62°C; 2 minutes, 72°C. This was then followed by 1X: 5 minutes, 72°C. PCR products were precipitated, dissolved in water and denatured in 0.01% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 0.2 N NaOH at 72°C for 15 minutes. Denatured PCR products were printed in duplicate on Zetaprobe membranes (BioRad, Hercules, CA) using hand held 96-pin replicating tool (V&P Scientific, San Diego, CA). Macroarray blots were submerged in neutralization solution (0.5 M Tris pH 7.4, 1.5 M NaCl), then in 6X sodium citrate/sodium phosphate (SCP) for 1 minute prior to UV cross-linking. Pre-hybridization of the membranes occurred for eight hours in 10% dextran sulfate (average molecular weight of 500,000kD; G.E. Health, Piscataway, NJ), 5.5X SCP, 0.9% n-lauroyl sulfate-sodium salt and 0.5 mg/ml heparin. Targets were labelled with 32P dCTP (G.E. Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Piscataway, NJ) using Superscript™ II Reverse Transcriptase (RT) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) from 300 ng polyA RNA. Hybridization was performed at 65°C for 18H, followed by washing twice with 2X SCP, 0.1% SDS for 15 minutes then twice using 0.2X SCP and 0.2% SDS for 15 minutes at 65°C. Blots were exposed to phosphorimagery screen for 24-48H then read by FLA-5000 phosphorimagery (Fujifilm Medical Systems Inc., Stamford, CT). Probes were stripped off of blots up to three times using 0.1% SDS at 100°C. Blots were checked for residual hybridization by exposing to film for 24H before re-hybridizing with a different target cDNA.

Signal intensities of each spot were captured using VisualGrid (GPC Biotech Inc, Waltham, MA). Following local background subtraction, the intensity values for each spot were globally normalized using LOWESS (locally weighted polynomial regression [58]) within and across all four technical replicate experiments. The relative fold change expression signal obtained from each clone found significant in one tailed student t-test in response to MJ over mock elicitation were considered for further analysis (≥ 2 fold for up and down). Additionally, relative fold change of three or more independent ESTs corresponding to a particular contig were analysed using Q-test with 95% confidence for finding outlying data points.

Gene expression analysis by RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from Taxus cuspidata P93AF cell line at 6h, 18h and 5 day after M1 elicitation as well
as from unelicited cells (18 h) using RNeasy Plant Mini kit (Qiagen). First strand cDNA was synthesized using Affinityscript™ cDNA synthesis kit (Stratagene) from 3μg total RNA following the manufacturer’s protocol. Primers (Additional file 9: Table S5) for each subtracted cDNA were designed using Primer3 (http://fokker.wi.mit.edu/primer3/input.htm). A touchdown PCR was performed for all the transcripts with annealing temperature varying from 64°C to 52°C. The number of cycles used to amplify each fragment was variable in order to detect the corresponding amplicon during linear amplification.

**Additional files**

Additional file 1: Table S1. The duplicate sequences obtained from the three up-regulated libraries corresponding to each contig.

Additional file 2: Table S2. Identity and description of unigenes derived from up- and down-regulated T. cuspidata SSH libraries.

Additional file 3: Figure S1. Gene Ontology mapping. GO mapping for T. cuspidata up-regulated unigenes by (A) biological process, (B) molecular function, and (C) cellular components.

Additional file 4: Table S3. Macroraay data from both the up- and down-regulated libraries hybridised with various RNA targets.

Additional file 5: Figure S2. Pattern of expression of clones from down-regulated libraries. Macroraay spotted with randomly selected clones (probes) from all six SSH libraries were hybridized with labelled RNA targets prepared from mock elicited and elicited cells at three time points: 6h, 18h, and 5 day. Expression profile plots for the set of samples corresponding to each down-regulated library are shown: 6h library probes. Expression is given as the fold-change in gene expression in the elicited culture over the un-elicited culture. Only results from probes showing a statistically significant change in expression (P < 0.05) are shown.

Additional file 6: Figure S3. Pattern of expression of clones from down-regulated libraries. Macroraay spotted with randomly selected clones (probes) from all six SSH libraries were hybridized with labelled RNA targets prepared from mock elicited and elicited cells at three time points: 6h, 18h, and 5 day. Expression profile plots for the set of probes corresponding to each down-regulated library are shown: 18h library probes. Expression is given as the fold-change in gene expression in the elicited culture over the un-elicited culture. Only results from probes showing a statistically significant change in expression (P < 0.05) are shown.

Additional file 7: Figure S4. Pattern of expression of clones from down-regulated libraries. Macroraay spotted with randomly selected clones (probes) from all six SSH libraries were hybridized with labelled RNA targets prepared from mock elicited and elicited cells at three time points: 6h, 18h, and 5 day. Expression profile plots for the set of probes corresponding to each down-regulated library are shown: 5 day library probes. Expression is given as the fold-change in gene expression in the elicited culture over the un-elicited culture. Only results from probes showing a statistically significant change in expression (P < 0.05) are shown.

Additional file 8: Table S4. List of sequences obtained from the SSH libraries.

Additional file 9: Table S5. List of primer sets used for RT-PCR analysis.
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