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Abstract
Since 1970, numerous governments have established strategic petroleum reserves (SPRs) in relation to oil supply interruptions. In this study, important oil reserves, physical oil supply disruption and social welfare losses due to physical distribution of oil supply have been measured. The physical oil supply disruption has been measured in the form of oil supply vulnerability index and oil volatility index of the South Asian economies. Analysis reveals that the accumulation and drawdown of important national crude oil strategic petroleum reserves where the state wants to optimize individual social welfare while individuals hold over stock optimize their earnings levels. The monetary deciding factors utilize the government’s optimum important stockpile policy and simultaneously the amount and economic factors vital for the nongovernment market to actuate the optimum accumulation and nonaccumulation of important fossil fuels stockpile. Additionally, findings show that India is the lowest crude oil insecure country while Afghanistan and Bangladesh are the highest insecure countries in terms of oil supply. India’s topmost mark shows a bigger possibility to alter the fossil fuels producers while Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan and Nepal have the minimum mark corroborating the group as the utmost producer risk exposed nations.
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Introduction
The country’s economic development, industrial output, national defence and transportation all benefit from the country’s access to a key energy resource, especially crude oil. With almost 70% of its crude oil imported, China is the world’s largest crude oil importer (Chien et al. 2021a, b, c, d, e, f, g; Van Moerkerk and Crijns-Graus 2016). Crude oil price has been much correlated with international oil prices because of market-oriented reform. According to most analyses, the oil market is a price taker in international oil markets. Thus, it is a major emergency to establish your own pricing structure to erase the “Asian Premium” economic loss. There are numerous studies arguing that in order to have a competitive oil market with own crude oil futures markets in China, a full and competitive crude oil futures market needs to be built (Chien et al. 2021a, b, c, d, e, f, g; Iqbal et al. 2020). In order to develop a market for medium sour crude oil and hedge investment risks, China’s first crude oil futures contract was formally listed on the Shanghai International Exchange (INE) on March 26, 2018. It has lately been examined (Li et al. 2021a, b, c, d, e; Qi and Yang 2018). Downside risk shocks to international benchmark oil increase the contract’s volatility. In truth, there are some ideas and influences that further open up China’s oil market (Mohsin et al. 2018). The creation of China’s crude oil futures market, however, is still in its early stages. When speaking of whether the crude oil futures have integrated into the global oil markets, the first thing to note is if they have done so (Mohsin et al. 2020a, b; Mohsin et al. 2018; Mohsin et al. 2021).
Additionally, if it is connected to the world market, how its price influences other market prices, meaning how global oil prices influence one another. Lastly, it is worth considering whether it ends up serving as the barometer for medium sour crude oil in a region and a handy way to manage risk (Mohsin et al. 2019; Mohsin et al. 2020a, b; Mohsin et al. 2021; Qi et al. 2021).

The coronavirus epidemic has had a significant impact on the dynamics of global financial markets over the last few months. The most prominent effect has been the sharp collapse in the stock and oil markets, followed by a subsequent resurgence (He et al. 2020; Mohsin et al. 2020a, 2020b; Yang et al. 2021). Investors appear to be more focused on oil markets, as oil demand may provide insight into if and how the global economy can recover from the destruction wrought by the coronavirus pandemic (Chernysheva et al. 2019; Li et al. 2021a, b, c, d, e). On March 26, 2018, the Shanghai International Energy Exchange (INE) debuted and began trading Chinese crude oil futures. Acemoglu, for example, suggests that increased diversity allows for the gradual allocation of funds in their most productive application and reduces the variability of development through more productive specialization (Das and Kannadhasan 2020; Sadiq, Hsu et al. 2021). However, there is inconsistent evidence that finance promotes growth or reduces volatility. For example, whereas Mokni et al. (2020) indicates that finance promotes growth, Demirer et al. (2020) show that the financial advantages of supporting growth can only be achieved to some extent. There is equally contradictory evidence of volatility reduction in the financial aspects. Financial depth plays an important role in stemming output, consumer and investment volatility but only to some extent. At very high levels, like many advanced nations, financial depth increases consumption and volatility for investment. Çepni et al. (2021) give some preliminary support that oil price shocks may have fuelled the growth of China’s oil futures market (Huang et al. 2020; Tiep et al. 2021; Nguyen et al. 2021). As a result of the crude oil revolution, the US output has the potential to play a large role in balancing global demand and supply, implying that the current low oil price scenario may remain (He et al. 2021a, b; He et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2021). Additionally, a recent study discovered that the output elasticity of unconventional refineries to price fluctuations is approximately three to four times that of conventional reservoirs. This combination creates an impenetrable floor for oil prices (Ikram et al. 2019a, b; Ikram et al. 2019a, b; Sun et al. 2019).

This article contributes to the current body of knowledge in two ways. To begin, this is a preliminary investigation and analysis of tactical decisions about crude oil import and transportation planning in light of physical risks: Are they significant or not? This complements earlier research that has focused exclusively on oil price uncertainty. Second, this article conducts numerical tests on a quasi-real example, and the qualitative elements of the results provide managerial insight. For example, if only oil price fluctuation is included, the actual financial risk will be significantly more than it appears, as some possibilities will result in severe cost effects. Additionally, when physical hazards are taken into account, more forward crude oil will be purchased in the forward market to protect against the same risks. Finally, when physical risks are considered, the external part of forward oil purchases will be significantly influenced by risk correlations. Thus, forward purchases are influenced by factors other than crude oil and transportation costs. These managerial insights can assist a crude oil importer in comprehending the function of physical hazards and so making more informed decisions.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: literature review discussed in the “Literature review” section; the methodology is discussed in the “Data and methodology” section; derived findings are given and narrative in the “Results and discussion” section, while the “Conclusion and policy implications” section gives a conclusion pertaining to the abridged findings of the research.

**Literature review**

Crude oil is strategically vital to all countries, and it is frequently critical to the national economy of a crude oil importer. Due to the global crude oil market’s high degree of integration, other exporters’ crude oil prices will also be impacted. Along with political risks and fluctuations in the price of crude oil, exporters face transportation concerns. The vast majority of crude oil is transported by ship (Alemzero et al. 2020a, b). However, the straits and canals that comprise the maritime transit network are vulnerable to hazards posed by surrounding countries’ political instability, as well as terrorism, piracy, conflict and other catastrophic events (Ji et al. 2020; Xueying et al. 2021). For instance, the Middle East’s political instability jeopardises the safety of the Bab el Mandeb and the Suez Canal. If particular straits or canals are closed, the transit of crude oil will be harmed (Feng et al. 2020; Tian et al. 2020; Li et al. 2021c; Zhao et al. 2020).

A composite indicator is a valuable tool for analysing complex system performance. It involves various performance indicators and, if used properly, incorporates multiple stakeholders with different priorities (He, Zhang et al. 2021; Li et al. 2021a, b, c, d, e; Zhang et al. 2020). Generally, indicator aggregation approaches include PCA, DEA and TOPSIS, and AHP and MCDA. Due to the broad usage of these methodologies in the field of energy performance evaluation, they are highly effective. When analysing the relative oil vulnerability of 26 net oil importers in 2004, PCA was used to integrate eight different variables into the...
oil vulnerability composite index. Based on nine factors, PCA was employed by Makinde and Lee (2019) to investigate and compare the geopolitical economic index of energy security of 28 EU countries from 2004 to 2013. Building information management (BIM) influences on building energy management, which was the goal of Liu et al. (2021). AHP and MCDA have their benefits, however they all rely on decision makers providing subjective information. For PCA, the principal components interpretation is not clearly defined enough to fully reflect the original variable’s meaning. While preserving the original data, the DEA model has the ability to both weight and aggregate in its CI building process. In addition, the DEA has begun to be employed in energy security assessment. The supply risk, economic risk, international trade risk and dependence risk in China’s oil import security were all assessed using a DEA index.

**Estimation of demand**

The key aims are to help policymakers create strategic plans that rely on detailed investigations and on previsionary models and procedures that are confirmed, validated and tested. Generally, there are a number of phases in the forecast to predict Saudi Arabia’s oil demand. In this regard, wide number of nations constructed a model of aggregate demand. This clearly demonstrates Saudi Arabia’s experience, especially in the transport and other oil consuming industries. Pan et al. (2017) have created an econometric model in which the ratio of total demand and total income is determined rather than per capita in order to assess oil consumption growth in Saudi Arabia. Time series prediction models can be utilised as a function of previous data to estimate future values. As key approaches can also be experienced, different prediction techniques such as time series, artificial neural networks, flouted time series and/or other applicable new prediction methods. Policymakers and stockholders may be more concerned with prediction performance than with sample data, as they may wish to use the model to forecast future volatility to inform future decisions (Baloch et al. 2020; Sun et al. a, b, c, d; Vermeulen et al. 2020).

**Data and methodology**

**The indicators system of risk identification**

**Physical supply risk**

Supply volatility contemplates the unanticipated interruption of crude oil distribution, which could not be managed on the spot via the marketplace, and it estimates the risk of handiness and convenience crude oil distribution. Wu et al. (2021) suggested distribution risk signals for assessing the physical interruption risks. The signals of crude oil distribution volatility are formulated and suggested to estimate the long-run and short-run distribution risk. A component of distribution volatility with its microcomponent assesses the crude oil interruptions in a specific nation and at a targeted marketplace (Baloch et al. 2020; Muller 2020).

Crude oil geopolitical risk (Zheng et al. 2021) is the exposing of economic systems to physical crude oil distribution interruption and important command of crude oil distribution, prevailing macroeconomic terrain, the collapse of political governance and volatile governmental structures of crude oil exportation economies, and ineffective government are the principal aspects of crude oil geopolitical distribution risk HHI (Herfindahl–Hirschman Index) that is thought to be a traditional estimate of crude oil variegation. The HHI index estimates and manages the amount of compactness of oil and gas distribution. The study encapsulates the HHI index to country risk (CRS) explained by ICRSG. ICRSG is the global benchmark utility-scale types of nations risk comprising 140 economies and assumes figures from zero for high top risk to hundred for least risk. ICRSG supervises the government leadership, army in governmental leadership, faith in politics, monetary, graft and economic systems risk information. The non-static factor structure is compact types of bottom-up embodiments, which assigns the evolution of functional and applicable variables between t and t+1 in reaction to previous and present fiscal parameters, the monetary measure and physical quantity. This dual elucidation typifies quantitative and technological capacity of industry evolution and concurs to abandoned overdue standardized cumulative industry significance that has central earnings in the event of big disappearance from the indicator balance and significant vacillation of output limits in the course of time. For now, specific preference and equal importance do not give robust and perspective findings. Four variables are applied to derive the financial efficiency index, which denote a South Asian nation’s efforts for generating earnings, equally at a similar period by keeping off from the accounting system of formation (Anh Tu et al. 2021; Chien et al. 2021a, b, c, d, e, f, g). FID from several nations has country risks (CRS) and the capability for exportation (CE). The PE and CRS indexes highlight an evaluation of the risk of FDI of any risky nation (Wang et al. 2021).

\[
\text{RIES–CRS}_k = \text{HHI–CRS}_k \times \text{DEP}_k
\]
\[
= \sum_{q=1}^{N} W_{kq}^2 \times \text{CRS}_q
\]
\[
(1)
\]

\[
\text{RIES–CRS}_k = \text{HHI–PE} \times \text{DEP}_k
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{N} W_{kq}^2 \times \frac{1}{\text{PE}}
\]
\[
(2)
\]

\[
\text{RIES–PE} = \text{HHI–PE} \times \text{DEP}_k
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{N} W_{kq}^2 \times \frac{1}{\text{PE}} \times \text{CRS}_k
\]
\[
(3)
\]
where RIES represents the perils in energy supplies, “CRS” represents nation’s risk (risk score from ICRSG), whereas, Herfindahl Hirschman Index (based on Chinese provinces which has been labelled as a single body for the analysis sake), i.e., HHI’s, as the DEP shows an energy dependence from state, the PE estimates the prospect of importation in a particular nation. \( W_{kq} = \frac{X_{kq}}{\sum X_{kq}} \) highlights the portion of energy traders in q in cumulative energy importation of nation I. The fourth parameter is a macroeconomic parameter of GDP, which measures quantitatively the amount of goods and services produced in an economy relative to the exact amount of GHGs emitted. Within these parameters, equity investing, debt investing (Bank and bond investing), is assumed to be an input parameter, while asset turnover ratio, market capitalization and gross savings are vital to expositor the models 1, 2 and 3 and could be applied to estimate financial efficiency index of a single country.

**Oil price volatility**

The volatility of the oil price depends, as Sadiq et al. (2020) explained, on the combined results of invariant and variable components. Invariant factors include feedstock prices, exploratory costs, costs for boiling, chemical composition, costs for production, distribution expenses, marketing costs, packaging and storage costs, whereas the main international trading currency of the oil com is the world economic activity, production level, level of consumer and exchange value of the US dollar. An economic models, statistical tools and forecasting techniques should be used to establish a supply balance and demand strategy in order to accurately predict the demand for several petroleum products consumed by each sector. The transport sector is acknowledged to be one of the world’s major energy users. The Kingdom’s energy consumption is to be examined for all sub-sectors of transport, including in the consumer behaviour of cars, aviation, rail, pipelines and shipping (Chien et al. 2021a, b, c, d, e, f, g).

Economic growth means the growth of potential output, for example, in the situation of full employment, manufacturing growth. Another reason why price volatility happens is economic expansion. Cooper (2003) claimed that crude oil usually tends to decrease when economies replace more energy-efficient capital stocks and/or expand their less power-intensive service sectors. A major amount examined by policymakers is crude oil price elasticity for demand. However, it does measures the reaction or sensitivity to price changes consumed in different sectors by oil demand and identifies all main factors of influence, such as economic and indicators, sales of vehicles, development, technology factors, demographic factors, environment, government policy, infrastructure of public transport and the use of fuel-efficient means of transportation (Chien et al. 2020).

**Imported oil risk**

Because crude oil imports have increased significantly since 1996, we expand on classic portfolio theory by developing a risk index model for crude oil imports in order to objectively examine changes in crude oil import hazards. The findings indicate that the risk of crude oil imports is significantly influenced by worldwide oil price fluctuations. Thus, classic portfolio theory is insufficient to quantify the risk associated with crude oil imports. The variegation parameter and reliance fraction are interacted to give a truthful scenario of variegation and reliance, which is discovered to be more appropriate estimation in relative terms with HHI index.

\[
HHI \times DEP_i = D_i \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_{iq}^2
\]

(4)

DEP\textsubscript{i} stands for crude oil importation reliance, estimated as, \( DEP_q = \frac{NIMP}{PNCOM} \) where NIMP and NCOM stand for net crude oil importation and cumulative crude oil use of a nation i correspondingly. Different three crude oil distribution risk indexes, according to the altered variegation index, justify the imported oil supply risk. Oil imports reliance is usually applied to estimate the crude oil distribution risk of a country. It should be said that, as a result of the more diverse energy mix, a more probably import reliant nation might not be susceptible to a high-level perils of crude oil importation types (Bianconi and Yoshino 2014; Subiyakto and Sebastian 2020; Uwizeyimana 2020). Hence, it is imperative by integrating the variegation and crude oil importation reliance indexes to estimate the crude oil distribution perils. It is has thought that the same quantum of crude oil imports from some other crude oil distributors sees similar risks, according to the four types of variegation indexes and the crude oil distribution perils (Sun et al. 2020a, b, c, d, e; Valencia 2020).

**Aggregation through DEA-like composite indicator and principal component analysis**

**DEA-like composite indicator**

The given problems are overcome by the help of a DEA-like model used for aggregation. The sum of the collective score of performance for all the underlying indicators of entity i are evaluated through model (2). A set of indices g\textsubscript{1}, g\textsubscript{2},...,g\textsubscript{m} is
achieved by solving model (2) for each entity i (Zhou et al. 2007). Model (2) is extended through an identical linear programming DEA model, given as,

\[ bl_i = \max \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{yi}y_{ij} \]

\[ s.t. \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{yi}y_{ij} \leq 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m \]

\[ W_{yi} \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]

and,

\[ gl_i = \min \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{yi}y_{ij} \]

\[ s.t. \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{yi}y_{ij} \leq 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m \]

\[ W_{yi} \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]

The performance score of each entity to aggregate subindicators is measured by model (3) where it selects the worst set of weights Munda and Nardo (2009) and Reig-Martínez et al. (2011). Considering relevant data, models (2) and (3) are considered as the two DEA-like models, used to calculate performance scores for each entity. A CI can be formed to combine the models into a collective index:

\[ (CI)\lambda = \frac{gl_i - gl}{gl - gl} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{bl_i - bl}{bl - bl} \]

Within the range of [0, 1], characterized as linear scaling min–max, the value of parameter \( \lambda \) can be adjusted in model (4). Although a specific preference does not exist, the linear aggregation of all subindicators needs adjusting parameters; a normalized version of \( gl_i \) is attained if \( \lambda = 0.5 \), which is a neutral choice at CI. A normalized version of \( bl_i \) is achieved in case of \( \lambda = 1 \). However, model (7) establishes a compromise between the indexes for other than 0 and 1 cases if \( \lambda = 0 \). Three expected qualities, (i) \( 0 < CI \leq 1 \), (ii) CI is unit invariant and (iii) CI is invariant to RHS of the constraints in models (5) and (6), are achieved by CI. Model (11) presents a standardized index with its values in the interval of [0, 1], as shown in property (1).

\[ L_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{yi}y_{ij} \leq L_j, \quad J = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n \]

\[ L_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{yi}y_{ij} \leq U_j, \quad J = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n \]

Two sets are added to the models (8) and (9), signified as \( L_j \) and \( U_j \), representing contribution of upper and lower bound limits satisfying the condition of \( 0 \leq L_j \leq U_j \leq 1 \) in the construction of CI. A number of practical considerations pose as a reason behind the restriction in weights flexibility (Hafezi and Torabi 2010; Yang et al. 2021). Based on MEPI with the same weights, all 17 indicators are aggregated for the South Asian countries.

**Principal component analysis**

A different approach applied in the present analysis is the principal component analysis (PCA). It is a multiple variable mathematical estimation approach that changes a group of unrelated indicators (components) unto correlated parameters. The linear aggregation of these factors is first parameters. The core idea surrounding the PCA is the data reduction magnitude and the modification of exclusive explained and exploratory coordinates. An understanding of crude oil volatility index incorporates the latent or unseen parameter. The crude oil unpredictability ought to put on in a straight-line correlation with various parameters and stochastic positions when the crude oil volatility index (OVIK) is comprises of the OVI of the nation ‘Q’ = \( Q \times 1 \) \( Q \times 9 \) that is equally of recommended variables comparable to the nation ‘k’ and \( \epsilon \) is the stochastic term.

\[ OVI_k = \beta_1 x_{1k} + \beta_2 x_{2k} + \beta_3 x_{3k} + \beta_4 x_{4k} + \beta_5 x_{5k} \]

The initial process is the standardization prior to grouping the variable. Consequently, the standardization of the variables is needed to ensure that they are directly correlated via index of oil volatility (Chandio et al. 2020; Sun et al. 2020a, b, c, d, e). Differences in crude oil volatility index comprises of dual perpendicular part with changes as a result of the stochastic term and the variance of the suggested factors in the crude oil volatility index. The estimation for the standardization is done in the following manner.

\[ x_{ik} = \frac{\min(x_{ik})}{\max(x_{ik}) - \min(x_{ik})} = \frac{x}{\max} \]

A different standardization approach has been applied to standardize benefit kind parameter as modelled in Eq. (7)

\[ x_{ik} = \frac{\max(x_{ik} - x_{ik})}{\max(x_{ik}) - \min(x_{ik})} \]

The adaptations change the suggested parameters on one to zero magnitude. The estimation of 5 × 5 relationship matrix A of the entire chosen standardized parameters due to the PCA primarily rely on the association matrix or covariance matrix so in this weightiness’s straight line aggregation the PCAs are inherently either 0s or 1s as a result weights of a single PC emanates from the covariance matrix eigenvectors.

It is fundamental to that \( \lambda_Q \) is the var (\( P_Q \)) and thus \( \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \ldots + \lambda_5 \) is the complete variation in OVI. Thus in relation, \( \lambda_Q/ \sum \lambda_Q \) is proportionate to the portion sum change made for by \( P_Q \). Ultimately, crude oil volatility is estimated
as an adjusted total of 11 where the weightings are changes of back to back PCA.

\[ \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5 + \lambda_6 + \lambda_7 + \lambda_8 + \lambda_9 = 0 \]

is total variation.

\[ OVI_k = \frac{\lambda_1 P_{1j} + \lambda_2 P_{2j} + \lambda_3 P_{3j} + \lambda_4 P_{4j} + \lambda_5 P_{5j}}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5} \quad (13) \]

Weighted factors elementary structure of the crude oil volatility index assist its better content as a result of the weighted aggregation of the standardized of these factors ensures an appropriate demonstration of the comparative importance for a single parameter by evaluating the volatility index mark (Sadiq et al. 2021).

Data

Crude oil distribution security data was accessed from diverse channels such as from the IEA, BP database and WDI. Crude oil production and use data are accessed from the BP statistical reviews and the USEIA. Data integrated into the regional political crude oil distribution risk gathered PRS set, i.e., International Country Risk Guide (ICRSG). IRCG keeps a complete dataset of monthly political, economic and financial risk ratings for 140 nations since 1980. Nations that have higher marks in the database have minimum risk levels. Political risk rankings score give purposeful content of political steadiness given by ICRSG score. Importation of crude oil of a single distributor’s data was accessed from the UN commodity commercial database. Furthermore, data concerning every single nation’s crude oil distributor’s origin (the quantity of percent of oil importation from which distributors) was derived from www.trademap.org.com. Distance between ports is taken from the maps of global seaports and sea (https://www.mapsofworld.com) and from different data systems like https://guides.lib.umich.edu, website of crude oil and important ministries of the South Asian nations. Projected concessions of India are taken from statistical yearbook of India, crude oil factors correlated data derived from the from Pakistan State Oil (PSO), whereas other resources comprise Bhutan interactive data portal, UNO statistical yearbook, Central Bureau of Statistics of Nepal, Sri Lanka, Maldives and Afghanistan.

Results and discussion

Strategic petroleum reserves and oil supply disruption

The authors use three market situations: the regular, interrupted and very interrupted. We again, build a dual type of the balance of costs presuming no stock variation: least costs ($30, $45 and $65 per barrel) and high-level costs ($50, $65 and $80 per barrel) existing in a single market condition. Because the framework analyses the short-term interruptions, we apply a short-term demand elasticity of 5%.

Table 1 depicts the interruption points of the nations in South Asia that could eventually presume payment for forgoing short-term earnings, while the chance for-gone of this dual plan of actions are dependent on the South Asian nations’ earnings nonsubjective equation. In a majority of situations, for an economically communicative elucidation, the likelihood of the configuration with respect to the amount of having is based on the end reference point.

It shows the evaluation of the variable according to location territorial scenario reserves. Importation crude oil by GDP estimates the price of importation of crude oil in reference to the GDP Afghanistan attaining the biggest figure of 0.276 while India attains the least figure of 0.007. Bangladesh, Bhutan and Nepal contain figures of 0.917, 0.13661 and 0.198 correspondingly whilst this nation purchase large consignments of crude oil that eventually reduces the prices of foreign crude oil, and at the same time, Asian nations brought in smaller amounts of foreign crude oil resulting in huge expenditures. Thievery and different financial losses are encapsulated in these costs that are huge in other nations and fewer in others respectively (Alemzero, Iqbal et al. 2020; Alemzero, Sun et al. 2020a, b, c, d, e).

In Table 2, it is instructive to note that the variables applied in the equation for the South Asian nation have some semblance, excluding the GDP-crude oil cost elasticity, \( \alpha \), that changes significantly among the nations, mirroring the different degrees of susceptibility to crude oil costs headwinds. We use to apply the costs reductions rates of 0.99 one-fourths the government sector actors and a binary cost reduction variable for the personal actors of 0.059 and 0.92 of fourths. The authors further apply separate crude oil costs assumptions, one with least and the other with maximum indicator costs. Figure 1 shows the analysis of different assumptions, the one-fourth personal reduction variable (0.95) and maximum reference costs. A one-fourth discount

| Disruption level | Normal | Disrupted | Very disrupted |
|------------------|--------|-----------|---------------|
|                  | 77.2   | 21.7      | 3.12          |
| Disruption       | 52.3   | 72.5      | 15.7          |
| Very Disruption  | 1.2    | 29.31     | 77.7          |
parameter (0.92), and a high-level cost where the one-fourth reduction parameter is (0.95), and low indicator costs. Within these assumptions, we applied a q equivalent to 11,900. Within the premise of high-level indicator costs, we equate k equivalent to 11,900 and a w(i) equivalent to 282 and 428 for, correspondingly, interrupted and too interrupted market conditions. Again, within the assumption of low-level costs, we equate k equivalent to 11,900 and a w(i) equal to 282 and 428 for interrupted and too interrupted conditions, correspondingly.

Results interruption denotes that customers ought to settle for quite bigger amounts in this phase than in regular times. Each grouping i is classified via amount of crude oil concessions \( Q_{∞, i} \), whereas the beginning merchandising cost of crude oil operating expenses for the crude oil producers starts the crude oil production (Sun et al. 2020a, b, c, d, e).

### Macroeconomic impacts and crude oil distribution volatilities

Oil has developed into a significant determinant, affecting macroeconomic activity and stock market indexes in unique ways in various areas of the world, notably after the 1973 oil crisis. Additionally, petroleum products are acknowledged as a critical source of energy and power throughout the world, and are acquiring significant importance as a tool for developed nations’ endurance and security. The purpose of this article is to determine whether macroeconomic uncertainty can be used to explain and forecast crude oil futures market volatility. The empirical findings in this study provide compelling evidence of macroeconomic uncertainty variables’ influence and predictability on crude oil volatility (Agyekum et al. 2021; Othman et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2021).

Market liquidity is a determinant variable of the cumulative crude oil volatility index. Among all the nations, Afghanistan and Bhutan attain figures equivalent to 0.61 and 0.42 correspondingly which depicts a deplorable state for these nations. Afghanistan, Bhutan, Nepal and Bangladesh attain similar figure of 0.001 that is an improvement concerning market liquidity. Lesser market liquidity nations, specifically Afghanistan, Pakistan, Nepal, Bhutan and Bangladesh, attain a modified capability than previous nations to change distributors within the distributors of crude oil. Up to present times, the world’s economy has witnessed three crude oil costs wars. The crude oil price increases in 1973, 1978 and 2003 and climbed to its pinnacle in 2008 of $147 per barrel; all these took place before the excessive crude oil demand growth. If growth in crude oil distribution cannot cancel out that of demand growth, costs increase. In addition, crude oil in the form of a nonstandardized good does not respond to the world’s market fundamentals terrain.

![Fig. 1 Oil supply risk score](image-url)
Oil supply risk

Broadly speaking, crude oil distribution risks of South Asian nations are largely determined by cash availability in the markets. It is noted that in South Asian nations, India got the topmost mark of 9.00 mirroring bigger prospects to vary the crude oil distributors. Exposure to market risk is a proxy for an economy’s market vulnerability. In this context, the World Bank published two studies, the impact of increasing oil prices on low-income countries and the poor, and the susceptibility of African nations to oil price shocks outlining the primary causes of these economies vulnerability to rising oil prices. Numerous studies, including UNDP/ESMAP (2005), IAEA (2005), ESMAP (2005), IEA (2004) and ORNL (2006), demonstrate that the market risk or macroeconomic consequences of higher oil prices (such as increased inflation and unemployment and negative balance of payments effects) are dependent on the cost of oil in national income, the degree of reliance on imported oil, oil consumption per unit of GDP and share. Exposure to supply risks is a proxy for an economy’s supply vulnerability. There is a substantial corpus of literature on indicators for assessing oil supply risk. South Asian’s crude oil importation safety was endangered from the foreign reliance point of distribution channel, where the accumulated crude oil importation source was the key danger parameter (Li et al. 2021b; Chien et al. 2021c; Iqbal et al. 2021).

The unfavourable consequences on crude oil exploration and production, the costs levels and the government finances ratio mean that our estimates conduct like an opposite cumulative fossil fuels demand shocks. For instance, the produce dynamics are related to those as a result of cumulative uncertainty shocks that connote unfavourable cumulative demand headwinds as alluded in Leduc and Liu (2016). This explains the fall in global actual activity in reaction to the reduction in crude oil costs that indicate total uncertainty that is revealed to have unfavourable bearings on the global economy (Ehsanullah et al. 2021; Hsu et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021).

Secondly, pertaining to the world’s crude oil markets, nevertheless, growth in the global crude oil supply reduces global crude oil costs per barrel. The response of international crude oil markets reduces crude oil costs vulnerability somewhat below global crude oil supply and increase crude oil costs. For example, crude oil costs’ uncertainty collapse performs a crucial part in the explanation of variances in the US crude oil supply. Prior macroeconomic parameters actual performance still fell due to the huge crude oil distribution uncertainty in the markets, despite the effects of total cumulative uncertainty precated for crude oil supply more than cumulative uncertainty produces a 1% difference at the pinnacle point. Intriguingly, they depress the crude oil costs straight away, as prior. The findings mean that, at minimum to some magnitude, uncertainty emanating from (or sending via ) the crude oil aspect, in particular, could act as a catalyst for macroeconomics and crude oil area evolving terrain, as the impacts of uncertainty diffusing from total economic system to crude oil area are precaptured by the addition of cumulative uncertainty.

In Fig. 1, South Asian crude oil importation security was nevertheless endangered from the foreign reliance point of distribution channels, but the growing reliance on crude oil importation assumed a key risk concern. Crude oil needs in the South Asian nations have reduced, while in developing nations, the majority of them, demand has grown and is a catalyst of the global crude oil demand increases. Within the horizon between 2011 and 2015, international global crude oil supply expanded by 7.7%; cumulative crude oil importation expanded by 8.7%, while South Asian nations’ crude oil imports expanded by 25.8%. Hence, the expansion in crude oil importation reliance endangers the region’s energy importation security outlook, rather than an amalgamated crude oil importation source. Generally, in 2008, the global financial crunch impacted the oil markets by depressing demand and supply that resulted in significant volatility since the 1980s.

Oil supply vulnerability index

The following nations are the least performing ones: Nepal, Bhutan, Bangladesh and Afghanistan. Inversely, Pakistan, Sri Lanka and India are the top performers.

Table 3 present the net figures of crude oil insecure nations. Nations scoring values greater than one are placed as insecure nations, namely, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Nepal, Bhutan, Bangladesh and Afghanistan. Similarly, nations getting marks less than one nevertheless greater than 0.50 are said to be less insecure in reference to Table 3. The total crude oil distribution volatility index attains contrasting robust results regarding a single parameter of crude oil volatility. On the mean figures, market risk parameters changed course to be important than the distribution risk parameters in deciding the total crude oil volatility of the chosen nations. This means that programs geared toward reducing market risks might be useful in solving the challenges of crude oil volatility other than

| Country     | OVI | Rank |
|-------------|-----|------|
| India       | 0.81| 7    |
| Sri Lanka   | 0.87| 6    |
| Pakistan    | 1.06| 5    |
| Bangladesh  | 1.12| 4    |
| Nepal       | 1.32| 3    |
| Bhutan      | 1.23| 2    |
| Afghanistan | 1.50| 1    |

Table 3 Overall oil vulnerability index score and composite indicator score
the programs that are geared towards handling the distribution risk.

The strategy of combining these distinct indicators into a composite index of oil vulnerability has been taken using the principal component technique. This indicator quantifies individual economies’ relative sensitivity to changes in the international oil market, with a higher index indicating greater susceptibility. The findings indicate that there are significant disparities in the values of specific oil sensitivity indicators and the overall oil vulnerability index between countries (both inter- and intraregional).

This is elucidated in detail by the reason those market hazards is generally controlled by domestic parameters in the form of crude oil intensity and purchasing power, which are comparatively less difficult to handle as relative to locally blessed natural reserves or regional outlook hazards (that is broadly ascertained outwardly by a factor such as political instability in the producing nation). In addition, much emphasis ought to be placed on crude oil preservation and replacement policies (like lowering import requirements, maximizing crude oil efficiency), which aids in bringing energy use and producing equilibrium and, hence, handling the dual perils from the marketplace and the producing side.

The share of single parameters shows a changing pattern that attains 0.21 cost factor, 0.12 GDP per head, 0.16 regional outlook crude oil perils, 0.08 market liquidity, 0.07 crude oil reliance, 0.17 variegation, 0.05 US $ unpredictability index, 0.12 crude oil cost vulnerability index and 0.04 transportation peril. Cost is gauged as an amount of importation of crude oil over GDP. It has the maximum share in cumulative crude oil unpredictability index.

In Fig. 2, the variation of crude oil has a significant share having the amount of 0.17. After expenditure and variegation, regional political outlook parameter is the 3rd maximum share within the general volatility index by possessing a figure 0.16 share mark in total distribution peril index. The share of transport perils parameter in total crude oil insecure index and the numerical complex possesses the figure 0.04. Reasonably, the nations possessing the least time travelled between the shipping ports confront lesser transport perils, while nations confronting the maximum distance travelled between the cargo ship confront higher perils. The transport hazard is impacted by regional outlook political state of shipping channels such the Malacca and the Hormuz termed as the most high-risk channels for oil importation.

**Complex crude oil distribution index score**

Crude oil importation risks comprises imports expenditure, exporter’s crude oil volume, interstate battle of crude oil-supplying nations, transport peril, situational perils and disconnected energy use, and different economical components are key parameters determining crude oil distribution security for crude oil costumers.

Figure 3 shows the oil supply risk index score. The lesser insecure nations with comparative mean scores above 0.50 and less than 0.60 are Pakistan, Bhutan and Sri Lanka, while the least insecure nations that possess comparatively mean marks of less than 0.50 are Afghanistan and Bangladesh. The nation findings’ divergence regarding the CI score implies some parameter variations and variations in territorial structure. For instance, the ICRSG labels Afghanistan as the topmost dangerous nation. CI equally shows the mean mark of specific territorial structures. The mean CI of the chosen South Asian nations is equivalent to these nations, Pakistan, Nepal, Bhutan and Sri Lanka.

Broadly speaking, the mean CI of these nations is found between 0.50 and 0.55. India’s CI mean mark is 0.68, and it found higher than the entire mean marks of the study group (0.51). On the other hand, Afghanistan and Bangladesh have a mean CI (Fig. 4) that is found beneath the mean CI of the study unit. Specific grounds might result in a mean CI achievement mark. In reference to the GDP expansion, the real pathway is beneath the contrary pathway; thus, the impacts are inauspicious.
Table 4 depicts similar findings, highlighting every year’s confidence interval to be zero, implying that the mathematical non significance of the treated group effects. In Table 4, the component regressed of the treated group is presented. It shows South Asia’s GDP expansion ratio would be bigger excluding a deliberate plan of action. The expansion in expenditure for growth in SPR differs, in many ways, with the beginning cost and amount, and the elasticities of global crude oil use and distribution. We discovered that growing reserves acquisition by one million per annum from the monitored cost and amount within the established case scenarios expanded the cost of crude oil in the reference year by $0.02 to $0.05 per barrel. The overall yearly buyout expenditure comprising welfare variations for 1 million barrels of crude oil in addition to the yearly welfare expenditure from the cost expansion altered by $22 million to $300 million per annum. The elasticity of expenditure regarding stock variation changed from less than with 0.01–0.024 with a mean of 0.019.

In Table 5, regarding the gross domestic product expansion ratio, the real pathway is beneath the contrary fact pathway; thus, the effect is negative. We found that the unfavourable treated impacts’ mathematically nonsignificant at the 5% level since the real pathway within the regressed confidence intervals’ maximum and minimum boundary. An economic consequence depicts that Afghanistan and Bangladesh are grappling with different likely macroeconomic, natural, and regional political menace. India’s topmost mark shows a bigger possibility to vary the crude oil distributors while Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan and Nepal attain the lowest marks affirming the group as the most distribution peril linked countries. The attainment of the world’s crude oil security comprises a unified energy program plan of action comprising crude oil use sustainability and crude oil distribution at the domestic and global levels. Every country possesses its peculiar risk life cycle due to single indicators, and thus demands a particular policy instrument to decrease its crude oil distribution hazards.

**Sensitivity analysis**

Sensitivity analysis was performed by computing the CI for each nation using an adjustment variables parametric quantity of 0.5. The ambiguous nature of the adjustment’s numerous data may have an effect on CI’s composite index mark. As a result, we created nine more figures to calculate the composite index mark from 2001 to 2016 to determine whether variation in the figures of could have an effect on the CI marks. To
demonstrate the resultant’s robustness, we generated nine additional values, namely, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.9. The following Table 6 summarises the initial created marks for CI from 2001 to 2016.

Thus, the suggested methodology makes us expand the sensitivity operation of the CI by decreasing the uncertainty in the weights allotment of several inherent parameters and that it is plausible to apply $\lambda$ figure as 0.5 to estimate the CI mark. Referencing our base scenario, we apply $\lambda$ figure as 0.5 to estimate the CI mark. Referencing our base scenario, we apply at it is plausible to appdisruption period.

Our reasoning for these empirical findings is as follows. To begin, geopolitical risk has the potential to significantly improve the forecasting performance of crude oil volatility. From an oil supply standpoint, geopolitical events and political instability in oil-exporting countries may result in a disruption of crude oil supply. Following that, oil refineries are directly impacted, and the negative impact soon spreads to the tyre, garment, construction and transportation industries, among others. Oil product shortages unavoidably have an effect on

| Country     | Actual | Counterfactual | Point | Interval     | Predicted |
|-------------|--------|----------------|-------|--------------|-----------|
| Afghanistan | 35.25  | 31.16          | 1.09  | (0.69, 1.56) | 35.25     |
| Nepal       | 35.25  | 31.16          | 1.09  | (0.69, 1.56) | 35.25     |
| Bhutan      | 35.25  | 31.16          | 1.09  | (0.69, 1.56) | 35.25     |
| Maldives    | 35.25  | 31.16          | 1.09  | (0.69, 1.56) | 35.25     |
| India       | 35.25  | 31.16          | 1.09  | (0.69, 1.56) | 35.25     |
| Pakistan    | 35.25  | 31.16          | 1.09  | (0.69, 1.56) | 35.25     |
normal economic activity and people’s living conditions. As a result, and in line with our findings, geopolitical risk should be considered when evaluating crude oil volatility behaviour.

To start our robustness analysis, we change the elasticities to the limitations in the boundary in robustness and 0.02–0.075 for supply. Changing these elasticities in a similar manner within the USA and the international settings contains some foreseeable analysis. Reducing global and South Asia’s demand to the lowest limit (demand to the 1 or 0.025 for supply), well-being expenditure decreases from 30 to 40%, whereas reducing them entirely to their inelastic boundary nearly decreases well-being expenditure in twofold. These findings, due to the fact the variation for South Asia does not respond to costs in the equation. Furthermore, South Asia’s demand needs more elastic expansion welfare expenditure of crude oil purchase in excess of creating elasticity for South Asia’s supply. This determination is equally deduced from a robustness estimation test, which is done on the past by explained varying figure of the λ system. The varying figure for the mathematical test that the entire coefficients of the cumulative uncertainness in the crude oil cost uncertainness model are equivalent 0 is 0.38. It is imperative to note that the scale of the effects on crude oil output is quite the same as crude oil costs. Hence, while the cumulative uncertainness of crude oil output, the effects of costs and the local crude oil marketplace are self-reliant on uncertainties. This implies that South Asia has some of the knowledge to be a summation of uncertainness in the explanation for the global crude oil marketplace evolving market terrain; nevertheless, South Asia has an equally autonomous knowledge that bears on cumulative crude oil markets.

Conclusion and policy implication

The study used DEA-like composite indicator and principal component analysis to measure the oil supply risk in South Asian countries. Simultaneously, the current study evaluates the strategic petroleum reserves associated with the physical disruption of oil supply. Our findings provide compelling evidence for the idea that the price of oil is extremely sensitive to the health of the world’s main economies. For oil-rich countries where oil revenues account for a significant amount of government revenue, these revenues can have a substantial effect on macroeconomic performance and undermine the effectiveness of fiscal policies. For instance, a sudden decline in the price of oil can worsen severe macroeconomic volatility and contribute to social instability in nations that are overly reliant on oil. A huge inflow of foreign cash as a result of a spike in the price of oil might result in a major appreciation of the real exchange rate, reducing the competitiveness of these oil-rich countries’ key import and export sectors. As a result, creating an efficient liquidity management policy, as well as a sound fiscal and monetary policy, is critical for strengthening oil-producing countries’ ability to deal with excessive oil price volatility.

Our analysis shows that there exist major variances within the risk probabilities of the nations. For instance, Afghanistan and Bangladesh are being confronted with several probably economical, natural and regional perils outlook. India’s topmost mark mirrors a chance to vary its sources of getting crude oil while Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan and Nepal attain the lowest marks corroborating the group as the most exposed risk. The attainment of international security comprises an all-encompassing energy program, encapsulating crude oil sustainably use and crude oil distribution at local and global levels. Every nation is characterized by unique risk features as a result of single parameters and hence requires a particular program instrument to its crude oil distribution hazards. Country-wide crude oil distribution insecurity programs ought to take precedence in order to achieve this aim.

The policy implications we offer are given below:

First, for crude oil importation threats, it is imperative to foreign crude oil reliance and maximizes energy infrastructure, preserving our natural endowments, deploy and scale up renewables and decrease crude oil use due to the reason that it impacts profoundly on crude oil importation security.

Second, crude oil importation nations ought to woo FDI in local crude oil development economies to decrease their reliance on foreign crude oil. Least-developed nations ought to guarantee the safety of foreign investments so as to secure their crude oil importation. Crude oil importation nations ought to encourage two-sided and shared collaborations with different crude oil importation nations, which might likely reduce crude oil importation reliance internationally.

Third, there is the necessity to confirm the differences in crude oil importation origins to bring about crude oil distribution steadiness. For instance, crude oil importation nations might reduce and variegate the importation reliance from high-level peril regions such as South Asia to more politically steady regions like the Baltic and North America.

South Asian countries have emerged as one of the most active economic regions in the last decade, increasing the region’s reliance on oil consumption in daily life and manufacturing operations. Additionally, the region’s efficient financial system is projected to play a critical role in ensuring the region’s economic stability in the future. As a result, it is necessary to discern between the interrelationships between the oil price and the South Asian stock market indices.

To this end, the increasing use of electricity may assist lower South Asian countries’ dependence on crude oil. In contrast, primary energy is most abundant in chosen countries across the study period in a complimentary relationship with crude oil. The financial crisis has a considerable effect on the price for crude oil in South Asia, according to the elasticity of financial crisis, which itself is defined by a dummy variable.
When physical dangers are taken into consideration, the correlation between forward oil purchases depends on the geographical dispersion of such purchases. Reduced hazards always come with higher total risks, and these risks should be kept at a minimum. Forward purchases are more than just a function of price fluctuations and transit expenses.

As a result, it is critical for policymakers and investors to precisely understand and forecast the volatility of China crude oil futures. Certain recent studies have discovered that numerous macroeconomic uncertainty indicators have a significant effect on crude oil volatility. Historically, among the different sources of uncertainty, geopolitical risk and economic policy uncertainty have been seen as the most potent. Additionally, the December 2019 Coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak has wreaked havoc on the world economy and financial markets.

Physical dangers are also considered, therefore the forward market purchases additional oil in order to guard against the same threats. Forward prices are more than projected spot prices, and this increases overall costs. On the other hand, since fewer risky scenarios are removed from the crude oil price “only crude oil price” configuration, the financial risks are minimised.

There are some issues with this study that future research could help to improve.

1. To calculate a reduction, the volume of transported crude oil through straits and canals is utilised as the base. Fascinating future studies might include capacity decrease calculations for straits and canals.
2. To explain this second point, we will note that the capabilities of straits and canals that are not influenced by catastrophic events are supposed to be limitless. Adding an appropriate upper and lower limit for them would be a future possibility.
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