Application of the Ozonation Pre-treatment for Biodegradation of Aqueous Solutions of Methyltert-Butyl Ether
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Abstract: The effectiveness of ozone treatment for improving the biodegradability of recalcitrant pollutants has been proved by investigating the ozonation reaction of Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) a bioresistant gasoline oxygenate. Laboratory scale experiments have been carried out, at room temperature, by bubbling, for 120 min, ozonated air (3.4 ppm min$^{-1}$) into 3 liter of an alkaline (pH=11.5) aqueous solution (100 mg L$^{-1}$) of MTBE. The experimental results indicated that during the ozonation, complete MTBE degradation occurs in 100 min and after this time, ozone consumption goes on very slowly. At the end of the ozonation, after 100 min, the initial value of COD (256 mg O$_2$ L$^{-1}$) is 98 and correspond to a relative removal of about 62%. As for MTBE solution biodegradability expressed as (BOD5)/(COD) ratio, during the first 90 min its value regularly increases from lowest (0.01) up to a maximum of 0.68 that corresponds to an ozone consumption of 1.25 mg per each mg of COD initially present in the solution. The experimental results for determining the rate of MTBE removal due to stripping showed that about 14% of MTBE strips out after an hour of sparging with oxygen gas. The fraction of MTBE oxidized and/or striped increases to about 28% (in pH=7) and 70% (in pH=11.5) with ozonation over the same time period.
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INTRODUCTION

Biodegradability and toxicity of organic substances are significant factors for determining their behavior in a natural environmental and in the biological treatment of wastewater with respect to general organic substances that are relatively readily biodegraded, their degrees of biodegradability have been studied by many researchers [1]. However, more studies are required on substances that are very hard to biodegrade, specially Ether-bonded compounds, i.e. Metyl tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE) [2]. MTBE is commonly added to gasoline and has been utilized for the past tow decades [3]. The environmental fate of MTBE has become a subject of renewed interest due to the large quantities of this compound that are now being used to oxygenate gasoline [4]. MTBE is emitted by different sources into the aquatic environment. Leakage from underground storage tanks (LUSTs), Recreational watercraft on lakes and effluents represent possible point sources by releases of MTBE into the environment [5, 6]. Its presence in effluents has in fact been reported by the chemical industry [5]. The occurrence of MTBE in the hydrological cycle and its impact as a no point source contaminant has been investigated in several studies [7, 8]. Biological treatment of wastewater, groundwater and aqueous hazardous waste is often the most economical alternative when compared with other treatment options. The ability of a compound to undergo biological degradation is dependent on a variety of factors, such as concentration, chemical structure, substituents of the target compound, pH and the presence of inhibitory compounds. Although many organic molecules are readily degraded, many other synthetic and naturally occurring organic molecules for example; MTBE, are biorecalcitrant [9-11]. A rather innovative approach is provided by the so called "integrated-processes" where the effectiveness of combining biological and physico-chemical treatments is specifically designed to be synergetic rather than additive [11]. Several chemical processes, which use oxidizing agents such as, ozone, hydrogen peroxide, etc. have been carried out to mineralize many synthetic organic chemicals. However, costs associated with chemical oxidation alone can often be prohibitive for wastewater treatment. A potentially viable solution is the integration of chemical and biological treatment processes as an economical in wastewater. The chemical process would be used as a pre-treatment in order to increase the biodegradability of the wastewater.
In these combined processes, if we want to determine the variation of biodegradability as a function of the chemical reaction conditions (time of pre-treatment, concentration of the oxidizing agent, temperature, etc.), a biodegradability test is required. Methods for measuring biodegradability in these systems have been proposed by a number of authors. BOD and BOD/COD or BOD/TOC are commonly used [12-15]. Other biodegradability measures including substrate destruction, oxygen uptake, EC (50) toxicity measurements, cell growth counts and intracellular ATP levels also have been used [16].

Within the group of chemical oxidation processes, ozonation is one of the methods that gives better results in the oxidation of refractory contaminants [14,17,18]. Refractory or recalcitrant compounds are those which resist aerobic microbial wastewater treatment under conductive environmental conditions. Compounds susceptible to ozonolysis are those containing C=C double bonds, specific functional groups (e.g. OH, CH, OCH3) and atoms carrying a negative charge (N, P, O, S and nucleophilic carbons) [15] which their ozonation in water usually produces oxygenated organic products and low molecular weight acids that are more biodegradable [13, 14, 19-21]. There are only very few works in literature [19, 22, 23] that report results of integrated chemical and biological oxidation of wastewaters, showing the effect of the chemical pre-treatment on the performance of the biological reactor. According to that, the effect of ozonation on the biodegradability of aqueous solutions of MTBE has been studied in this work. BOD/COD ratio and COD removal has been chosen as biodegradability indicator.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Ozone was generated from oxygen gas by a OZNSR model ozonator. Reagent grade MTBE (MW=88.15, >99.9%) supplied by MERCK and deionized water (< 5 µΩ cm−1 resistivity) were used in all the experiments. Potassium indigo trisulfonate, C16H10N2O14S4K3 (at 100% purity) was purchased from ACROS Co. Other chemicals used in this study were reagent grade and supplied by MERCK.

The concentration of Methyltert-buty1 ether and the sum of oxidation intermediates were determined by using a gas chromatograph equipped with flame-ionization detector (GC-FID, PU4410-PHILIPS) and with a SE30 column. Residual ozone concentration in the chemical reactor and ozone average concentration in off-gas (with 2% errors in sampling) were measured by Indigo Colorimetric and Iodometric Methods, respectively (Standard methods, sections 4500-O3 B and 4500-cl B.) [25]. For pH measurements an Orion pH electrode pH/T°C model 520 A was used. pH prob was checked for true readings before any the run of the test. BOD5 was measured according to the procedures stipulated in Standard Methods [25] section 5210 B and to this subject, Inoculums was taken from the refinery wastewater plant in Esfahan (Iran). Chemical oxygen demand (COD) was also followed according to Dichromate Reactor Digestion (Hach Method 8000) by reagents for low COD.

A 3 L pilot-scale plant, made of glass, has been used in batch operation with MTBE and continuous with ozone (Fig.1). Liquid recirculates through a peristaltic pump at a flow rate of 9 L h−1. Ozone gas with a fixed rate of 400 mg h−1 at 1 L h−1 O2 flow was bubbled into the reactor near the bottom through a glass dispersion tube (PYREX glass). Gas-liquid contact was ensured with a torrent-type injector together with a special design for the hydraulic mixer that helps contact and mass transfer of ozone from gas phase to aqueous phase. The reactor was charged with a 100 mg L−1 (1.13 mmol L−1) MTBE aqueous solution. The pH of the reaction solution was adjusted to 11.5 [25].

All experiments were performed at temperature 24±0.5°C. samples were periodically (at 10 min intervals over 120 min run time) withdrawn for pH, GC-FID, COD, BOD5 and residual ozone analysis. For determining of ozone concentration in the off-gas, the exit gas from reactor was passed through tow washing bottles filled with 200 ml of 2% potassium iodide solution each 10 min. In ozonation runs, the residual aqueous ozone was quenched with 1 N Na2S2O3.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The transformation of MTBE and the variation of COD and BOD5 during ozonation are shown in Fig. 2. The results showed that as proceeding of MTBE transformation COD decreased and BOD5 initially increased and then decreased. The maximum value of BOD5 was 65.7 mg L−1 that occurred at 90 min from reaction start. The results also show significant decreases of COD within 60 min of ozonation. COD reduction after completely degradation of MTBE indicates that after MTBE degradation, ozone continues to react presumably by further oxidizing some “primary” MTBE degradation by-products. The figure also shows significant decreases of COD within 60 min of ozonation.

Figure 3 shows the variation of MTBE concentration and pH during ozonation. The results shows that with the addition of ozone to the reaction mixture, the rate of degradation was enhanced and pH value was decreased more than one unit during the experiment. Of course, such a pH trend indicates the formation of acid by-products.

MTBE decay curve reported shows that its degradation is completely over within 100 minutes. Nevertheless, COD reduction (Fig. 2) partially go on.

Figure 4 shows the sum intermediates produced due to MTBE degradation and ozone concentration in aqueous
mixture and off-gas from reactor with ozonation time. The total ozone amount out of the reactor has been calculated by integrating the profile in Fig. 4 resulting in 44.86 mg O$_3$. Accordingly, TE (transfer efficiency) and the transferred dose result in 44% and 100.4 mg L$^{-1}$, respectively.

Biodegradability of intermediates formed throughout ozonation was measured by BOD$_5$/COD in comparison to COD in aliquots withdrawn periodically. Figure 5 shows the respective measurements along with the BOD$_5$ to COD. From the technological stand point, assuming the ratio (BOD$_5$/COD) as indicative of solution biodegradability and looking at Fig. 5 where...
such a ratio is reported versus the amount of ozone consumed per mg of initial chemical oxygen demand (COD) during the ozonation, it results that the maximum biodegradability enhancement \([\text{BOD}_5/\text{COD}=0.68]\) is achieved after 90min of ozonation. As Fig. 5 shows the biodegradability indicator in ozonation primary stage increased slowly, due to primary by-products production, as ozonation progressed, this parameter increased faster, due to secondary by-products production.

Initial \(\text{BOD}_5\) values for MTBE without ozonation were lowest (4 mg L\(^{-1}\)) reflecting the recalcitrant nature of MTBE with respect to biodegradation; however, as ozonation progressed \(\text{BOD}_5\) increased with ozonation time indicating that intermediates of the ozonated solutions were more biodegradable. The increasing ratios of \(\text{BOD}_5\) to COD indicated an increasingly more biodegradable nature of the ozonated solutions. For biodegradable wastewater, \(\text{BOD}_5\) typically accounts for about 60-70% of the ultimate BOD (\(\text{BOD}_5\)); the latter would more closely approximate the COD for easily degraded wastes. Thus, at 70 min of ozonation time, the \(\text{BOD}_5\)-to-COD ratio of 0.68 suggested the treated solution was very favorable for biodegradation. Experiments were carried out to examine the effect of sparging and ozonation on the rate of removal MTBE from aqueous mixture. The results shown in Fig. 6 showed that about 14% of MTBE strips out after an hour of sparging with oxygen gas. The fraction of MTBE oxidized and/or stripped increases to about 28% and 70% with ozonation by direct and indirect reactions, respectively over the same time period. On the other hand the concentration of MTBE is reduced by about 2 orders of magnitude after 40 min of ozonation in the presence of hydroxide ions. Consequently, it is dominated by reaction with hydroxyl radicals. Safarzadeh et al (2000) have reported that 10% and 30% of MTBE remove from aqueous mixture after an hour with oxygen gas and ozonation (in neutral pH), respectively.

**CONCLUSION**

The conclusions of this study are:

* An ozonation time of 60-70min is needed to biodegradability enhancement of MTBE and afterwards intermediates are amenable to biological degradation.
* While ozonation is capable of complete degradation of the recalcitrant given sufficient time (Other studies), a combination of an chemical oxidation followed by a well-established biological unit processes (a sequential chemical-biological treatment scheme) may be both technically and economically advantageous.
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