Serological study of Neospora caninum infection in dogs in central China
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Abstract – Neospora caninum is a protozoan parasite that causes abortion in cattle as well as reproduction problems and neurological disorders in dogs. Dogs are important in the epidemiology of N. caninum because they act as definitive hosts, shedding oocysts in the environment. To investigate the seroprevalence of N. caninum infection in dogs in central China, 1176 serum samples were collected from domestic dogs in Henan province, central China between March 2015 and February 2016 and tested for IgG antibody against N. caninum, using the indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT). The overall seroprevalence of N. caninum was nearly 15% (172/1176). No significant difference was observed between this seroprevalence according to sex and breed of dogs (p > 0.05). The infection rate in rural dogs (18%) was higher (p < 0.05) than in urban dogs (11%). The prevalence of N. caninum infection in dogs increased (p < 0.05) with age. The results of the present study indicate the high prevalence of N. caninum antibodies in dogs in Henan province, central China. Sanitary conditions and animal health must be improved to prevent the transmission risk of N. caninum by dogs.
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Introduction

Neospora caninum is an intracellular protozoan parasite that causes the disease neosporosis. It has a worldwide distribution and is known to cause neuromuscular disorders in dogs and abortion in cattle, the latter leading to substantial economic losses in the dairy industry [15, 17, 24, 33]. Canids such as dogs, dingoes, gray wolves, and coyotes (but not foxes) are its definitive hosts and a variety of animals ranging from birds to mammals can act as its intermediate hosts [11, 14, 20, 27].

Dogs are important in the epidemiology of N. caninum because they act as definitive hosts, shedding oocysts into the environment [20, 23], which is a major risk factor for the...
occurrence of miscarriages and stillbirths associated with *N. caninum* in cattle and other intermediate hosts [2, 3, 9]. Moreover, the parasite can be transmitted transplacentally in dogs for several generations [6, 7]. Neosporosis can cause severe neuromuscular disorders such as ascending paralysis with hyperextension of the hind limbs, especially in congenitally infected dogs [17]. Antibodies to *N. caninum* have been reported in dogs worldwide [21, 28, 29]. There have also been some surveys of *N. caninum* infections in dogs in some provinces or cities of China in recent years (Table 1, [1, 16, 18, 19, 26, 30, 32, 35]). However, little information is available on the epidemiology of *N. caninum* infections in dogs in central China. In 2014, Yang et al reported the infection rate of *N. caninum* in dogs from Henan province to be 0.0% (0/31) [35]. But only 31 serum samples of dogs from Henan province were tested for antibodies to *N. caninum* in Yang et al’s study [35]. The number of serum samples tested was too small to objectively reflect the overall infection status of *N. caninum* in dogs in Henan province. Therefore, the objective of the present survey was to determine the seroprevalence of *N. caninum* in domestic dogs in Henan province, central China.

**Materials and methods**

**Ethics statement**

The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Review Committee of the Xinxiang Medical University (Reference No. 2015018).

**The study site**

The study was conducted in Henan province, located in the central part of mainland China, covering an area of 167,000 km², with a population of approximately 106.01 million. Its geographical position is at east longitude 110°21’–116°39’ and at north latitude 31°23’–36°22’. The Yellow River passes through central Henan. The area has a continental monsoon climate, with four distinctive seasons. There are 17 provincial cities distributed across Henan province, with the city of Zhengzhou as its capital. Five cities including Anyang (35°13’–36°22’ N, 113°37’–114°58’ E), Sanmenxia (33°31’–35°05’ N, 110°21’–112°01’ E), Zhengzhou (34°16’–34°58’ N, 112°42’–114°13’ E), Xinyang (31°36’–31°52’ N, 114°01’–114°06’ E), and Shangqiu (33°43’–34°52’ N, 114°49’–116°39’ E), located in the northern, western, central, southern, and eastern parts of Henan province, were selected for sample collections.

**Sample collection**

A total of 1176 blood samples from domestic dogs were collected in these five cities in Henan province between March 2015 and February 2016. Dog owners were asked for details on the animal’s age, sex, source, breed, rearing conditions, and medical history, using a structured questionnaire. Blood samples were centrifuged and sera were recovered and transferred to 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes. All sera were then stored at −80 °C until testing for the anti-*N. caninum* antibodies.

**Determination of antibodies against *N. caninum***

An indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT) was performed to detect anti-*N. caninum* antibodies in dog sera. The test procedures were performed using a *Neospora caninum* FA Substrate Slide according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Catalog No. SLD-IFA-NC, VMRD Inc, Washington, USA). Teflon-masked slides were coupled with *N. caninum* NC-1 tachyzoites maintained in Vero cells. Anti-Canine IgG FITC Conjugate (Catalog No. 035-10, VMRD Inc, Washington, USA) was used as secondary antibody. On each slide, sera from canines positive for *N. caninum* and from canines negative for *N. caninum* (Catalog No. 211-P-NC-CAN and 211-N-NC-CAN, VMRD Inc, Washington, USA) were included as a control. Dog sera were screened at a titer of 1:50 cut-off value [28, 34].

**Statistical analysis**

Differences in *N. caninum* prevalence for certain variables such as age, breed, and sex were analyzed using a chi square test. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 20 software for Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA). The differences were considered statistically significant if *p* < 0.05.

**Results**

In the present study, 1176 dogs were tested for the presence of antibodies against *N. caninum* using the IFAT. As shown in Table 2, the overall recorded seroprevalence of *N. caninum* in dogs in Henan province, central China was 14.63% (172/1176). Seropositive dogs from different cities were: 16.07% of 224 from Anyang, 20.43% of 235 from Sanmenxia, 14.84% of 256 from Zhengzhou, 9.50% of 242 from Xinyang, and 12.33% of 219 from Shangqiu.

The seroprevalence of *N. caninum* in males was 15.72% (97/617) and in females 13.42% (75/559) (Table 2). Although the seroprevalence in males was higher than the females, the difference was not significant (*p* > 0.05).

The seroprevalence of *N. caninum* infection was 13.61% (101/742) in purebred dogs and 16.36% (71/434) in cross-bred dogs, showing no significant difference by breed (*p* > 0.05). The prevalence of *N. caninum* infection in rural dogs (18.17%) was significantly higher compared to that of dogs raised in urban areas (11.33%) (*p* < 0.01).

The prevalence of *N. caninum* infection in dogs increased significantly (*p* < 0.05) with increasing age. The highest prevalence of infection (18.60%) was detected in six-year-old or older dogs, followed by intermediate prevalence (15.18%) in the 3–6 year age group, while the prevalence found in dogs in the ≤3 year age group was 10.06% (Table 2).
The detection of antibodies against *N. caninum* has been undertaken worldwide in dogs [25, 28, 29, 34]. The reference method for this detection is the IFAT [5, 8, 28]. A 1:50 cut-off in IFA T has been recommended and commonly used for canine sera [25, 28, 34]. Hence, in the present study, we used the IFAT to determine the seroprevalence of *N. caninum* in dogs and used a titer of 1:50 as the positive threshold titer.

Out of the 1176 dog serum samples tested in this study, 172 were classified as seropositive for *N. caninum*, giving a seroprevalence of 14.63%. The prevalence of 14.63% was lower than the prevalence of 21.7% in central Poland [12], 32.0% in Italy [28], and 19.4%–33.0% in Iran [10, 12, 13, 22], but higher than the reported prevalence of 3.6% in Korea [25], 7.9% in Portugal [21], and 12.4% in Brazil [31]. These differences, as previously commented, may be explained by the use of different serological tests, survey periods, sample sizes, and type of dog population.

In the present study, a higher prevalence rate of *N. caninum* was found in males than in females, but the difference was not significant (*p* > 0.05). These findings are consistent with the observations made by others [21, 25]. However, some researchers found female dogs to be affected more than males [12, 31].

Most of the reports find no specific breed susceptibility or a higher seroprevalence of *N. caninum* in mixed breed dogs [21, 23]. In the present study, although the seroprevalence in cross-breed dogs was higher than in purebred dogs, the difference was not significant (*p* > 0.05). However, Robbe et al. have suggested higher seropositivity in purebred dogs [28]. The role of breeds in the epidemiology of canine neosporosis is not well established and requires further research.

The present survey also showed that *N. caninum* seroprevalence was higher in rural dogs than in urban dogs (*p* < 0.01).

### Table 1. The prevalence of *Neospora caninum* infection in dogs in the People’s Republic of China.

| Provinces/cities | Year of sampling | No. tested | Positive (%) | Method | Reference |
|------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|--------|-----------|
| Qinghai          | 2006            | 80         | 25 (31.25)   | ELISA  | [26]      |
| Urumchi          | <2010           | 29         | 5 (17.24)    | ELISA  | [1]       |
| Shenyang         | 2012            | 212        | 74 (34.91)   | Nested-PCR | [18] |
| Henan            | 2013            | 31         | 0 (0.0)      | NAT    | [35]      |
| Anhui            | 2013            | 22         | 0 (0.0)      | NAT    | [35]      |
| Jilin            | 2013            | 43         | 6 (13.95)    | NAT    | [35]      |
| Beijing          | 2013            | 186        | 1 (0.54)     | ELISA  | [16]      |
| Yanbian          | <2015           | 27         | 3 (11.11)    | ELISA  | [30]      |
| Kuerle           | 2015            | 61         | 14 (22.95)   | ELISA  | [32]      |
| Chuzhou          | 2013            | 89         | 3 (3.37)     | Nested-PCR | [19] |
| Bengbu           | 2013            | 31         | 2 (6.45)     | Nested-PCR | [19] |

*1 Years of sampling are listed as published in the references. In cases where this information was not available, the year listed here is the year when the study was published, as indicated by “<”.

*2 ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; NAT: *Neospora* agglutination test.

### Table 2. Seroprevalence of *Neospora caninum* infection in dogs in Henan province, central China.

| Variable | No. examined | No. positive | Prevalence (%) | X²   | p-value |
|----------|--------------|--------------|----------------|------|---------|
| Region   |              |              |                |      |         |
| Anyang   | 224          | 36           | 16.07          | 12.724 | 0.013  |
| Sanmenxia| 235          | 48           | 20.43          |      |         |
| Zhengzhou| 256          | 38           | 14.84          |      |         |
| Xinyang  | 242          | 23           | 9.5            |      |         |
| Shangqiu | 219          | 27           | 12.33          |      |         |
| Sex      |              |              |                |      |         |
| Male     | 617          | 97           | 15.72          | 1.247 | 0.264  |
| Female   | 559          | 75           | 13.42          |      |         |
| Breed    |              |              |                |      |         |
| Pure breed| 742         | 101          | 13.61          | 1.656 | 0.198  |
| Cross-breed| 434        | 71           | 16.36          |      |         |
| Area     |              |              |                |      |         |
| Urban    | 609          | 69           | 11.33          | 10.988 | 0.001  |
| Rural    | 567          | 103          | 18.17          |      |         |
| Age (years) |            |              |                |      |         |
| <3      | 318          | 32           | 10.06          | 9.043 | 0.011  |
| 3 ~ 6   | 573          | 87           | 15.18          |      |         |
| >6      | 285          | 53           | 18.6           |      |         |
| Total   | 1176         | 172          | 14.63          |      |         |

### Discussion

The detection of antibodies against *N. caninum* has been undertaken worldwide in dogs [25, 28, 29, 34]. The reference method for this detection is the IFAT [5, 8, 28]. A 1:50 cut-off in IFAT has been recommended and commonly used for canine sera [25, 28, 34]. Hence, in the present study, we used the IFAT to determine the seroprevalence of *N. caninum* in dogs and used a titer of 1:50 as the positive threshold titer.

In the present study, a higher prevalence rate of *N. caninum* was found in males than in females, but the difference was not significant (*p* > 0.05). These findings are consistent with the observations made by others [21, 25]. However, some researchers found female dogs to be affected more than males [12, 31].

Most of the reports find no specific breed susceptibility or a higher seroprevalence of *N. caninum* in mixed breed dogs [21, 23]. In the present study, although the seroprevalence in cross-breed dogs was higher than in purebred dogs, the difference was not significant (*p* > 0.05). However, Robbe et al. have suggested higher seropositivity in purebred dogs [28]. The role of breeds in the epidemiology of canine neosporosis is not well established and requires further research.

The present survey also showed that *N. caninum* seroprevalence was higher in rural dogs than in urban dogs (*p* < 0.01).
This interesting finding may be attributed to feeding habits (like eating raw meat containing parasite cysts), differences in welfare, and different living environments.

In the present study, there appears to be a strong tendency for elevated risk of pathogen contact with increasing age, suggesting postnatal exposure to *N. caninum*. Similar observations from Brazil [4], Poland [12], and Iran [10] confirm this finding.

In conclusion, a high prevalence of *N. caninum* infection was found in domestic dogs in Henan, central China. Sanitary conditions and animal health must be improved to prevent the transmission risk of *N. caninum* by dogs.

**Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest in relation with this paper.

**Acknowledgements.** This study was supported by the Doctoral Scientific Research Activation Foundation of Xinxiang Medical University (No. XYBSKYZZ201504), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81502313), and the Key Scientific and Technological Project of Xinxiang City (No. ZG15014).

**References**

1. Abdushukur S, Chen L, Yang F, Memet Y, Bayin C. 2010. Survey on the epidemiological features of neosporosis in dogs in Urumchi. Xinjiang Animal Husbandry, 11, 33–35 (in Chinese).
2. Arunvipas P, Inpanksawat T, Jittapalapong S. 2012. Risk factors of *Neospora caninum* infection in dogs and cats in dairy farms in Western Thailand. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 44(5), 1117–1121.
3. Asadpour R, Jafari-Joozani R, Salehi N. 2013. Detection of *Neospora caninum* in ovine abortion in Iran. Journal of Parasitic Diseases, 37(1), 105–109.
4. Azevedo SS, Batista CS, Vasconcellos SA, Aguiar DM, Ragozo AM, Rodrigues AA, Alves CJ, Gennari SM. 2005. Seropidemiology of *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* in dogs from the state of Paraíba, Northeast region of Brazil. Research in Veterinary Science, 79(1), 51–56.
5. Dubey JP. 2003. Review of *Neospora caninum* and neosporosis in animals. Korean Journal of Parasitology, 41(1), 1–16.
6. Dubey JP, Koestner A, Piper RC. 1990. Repeated transplacental transmission of *Neospora caninum* in dogs. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association, 197(7), 857–860.
7. Dubey JP, Lindsay DS. 1989. Transplacental *Neospora caninum* infection in dogs. American Journal of Veterinary Research, 50(9), 1578–1579.
8. Ghalmi F, China B, Jenkins M, Azzag N, Losson B. 2014. Comparison of different serological methods to detect antibodies specific to *Neospora caninum* in bovine and canine sera. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation, 26(1), 136–140.
9. Ghalmi F, China B, Kaidi R, Losson B. 2011. *Neospora caninum* is associated with abortion in Algerian cattle. Journal of Parasitology, 97(6), 1121–1124.
10. Gharekhani J, Tavoosidana G, Akbarein H. 2014. Serological study of *Neospora caninum* infection in dogs and cattle from west of Iran. Comparative Clinical Pathology, 23(5), 1203–1207.
11. Gondim LF, McAllister MM, Pitt WC, Zemlicka DE. 2004. Coyotes (*Canis latrans*) are definitive hosts of *Neospora caninum*. International Journal for Parasitology, 34(2), 159–161.
12. Godzidz K, Wrzesien R, Wielgosz-Ostolska A, Bien J, Kozak-Ljunggren M, Cabaj W. 2011. Prevalence of antibodies against *Neospora caninum* in dogs from urban areas in Central Poland. Parasitology Research, 108(4), 991–996.
13. Haddadzadeh HR, Sadrebaazzaz A, Malmasi A, Talei Ardakani H, Khazraii Nia P, Sadreshirazi N. 2007. Seroprevalence of *Neospora caninum* infection in dogs from rural and urban environments in Tehran, Iran. Parasitology Research, 101(6), 1563–1565.
14. King JS, Slapeta J, Jenkins DJ, Al-Qassab SE, Ellis JT, Windsor PA. 2010. Australian dingoes are definitive hosts of *Neospora caninum*. International Journal for Parasitology, 40(8), 945–950.
15. Klauck V, Machado G, Pazinato R, Radaveli WM, Santos DS, Berwaguer JC, Braunig P, Vogel FF, Da Silva AS. 2016. Relation between *Neospora caninum* and abortion in dairy cows: Risk factors and pathogenesis of disease. Microbial Pathogenesis, 92, 46–49.
16. Lai PA, Li MW, Zhai XJ, Tian HY. 2015. Epidemiological investigation of some zoonosis in pet dogs and cats in Beijing. Chinese Journal of Veterinary Medicine, 51(5), 69–70 (in Chinese).
17. Langoni H, Matteucci G, Medici B, Camossi LG, Richini-Pereira VB, Silva RC. 2012. Detection and molecular analysis of *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* from dogs with neurological disorders. Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical, 45(3), 365–368.
18. Li J, He P, Yu Y, Du L, Gong P, Zhang G, Zhang X. 2014. Detection of *Neospora caninum*-DNA in feces collected from dogs in Shenyang (China) and ITS1 phylogenetic analysis. Veterinary Parasitology, 205(1–2), 361–364.
19. Li WC, Liu DY, Zhou L, Cao JT, Mei N, Zhang H, Chen C, Chen T, Han MM, Zhang W, Fan XL, Gu YF. 2015. Prevalence of *Neospora caninum* infection in the intestine of pet dogs in some areas of Anhui and Zhejiang, China. Journal of Parasitology & Parasitic Diseases, 33(4), 283–286 (in Chinese).
20. Lindsay DS, Dubey JP, Duncan RB. 1999. Confirmation that the dog is a definitive host for *Neospora caninum*. Veterinary Parasitology, 82(4), 327–333.
21. Maia C, Cortes H, Brancal H, Lopes AP, Pimenta P, Campino L, Pereira VB, Silva RC. 2012. Detection and molecular analysis of *Toxoplasma gondii* from dogs with neurological disorders. Veterinary Parasitology, 205(1–2), 361–364.
22. Malmasi A, Hosseininejad M, Haddadzadeh H, Badii A, Bahonar A. 2007. Serologic study of anti-*Neospora caninum* antibodies in household dogs and dogs living in dairy and beef cattle farms in Tehran, Iran. Parasitology Research, 100(5), 1143–1145.
23. Nazir MM, Maqbool A, Akhtar M, Ayaz M, Ahmad AN, Ashraf K, Ali A, Alam MA, Ali MA, Khalid AR, Lindsay DS. 2014. *Neospora caninum* prevalence in dogs raised under different living conditions. Veterinary Parasitology, 204(3–4), 364–368.
24. Nematomallah A, Moghaddam GH, Jafarri R, Helan JA, Norouzi M. 2013. Study on outbreak of *Neospora caninum*-associated abortion in dairy cows in Tabriz (Northwest Iran) by serological, molecular and histopathological methods. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine, 6(12), 942–946.
25. Nguyen TT, Choe SE, Byun JW, Koh HB, Lee HS, Kang SW. 2012. Seroprevalence of Toxoplasma gondii and Neospora caninum in dogs from Korea. Acta Parasitologica, 57(1), 7–12.

26. Niu XY, Ma LQ. 2008. Serodiagnosis of Neospora Caninum infection in shepherd dogs of Wulan County of Qinghai Province. China Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Medicine, 35(2), 124–125 (in Chinese).

27. Prandini da Costa Reis R, Crisman R, Roser M, Malik R, Slapeta J. 2016. Neonatal neosporosis in a 2-week-old Bernese mountain dog infected with multiple Neospora caninum strains based on MS10 microsatellite analysis. Veterinary Parasitology, 221, 134–138.

28. Robbe D, Passarelli A, Gloria A, Di Cesare A, Capelli G, Iorio R, Traversa D. 2016. Neospora caninum seropositivity and reproductive risk factors in dogs. Experimental Parasitology, 164, 31–35.

29. Sharma R, Kimmitt T, Tiwari K, Chikweto A, Thomas D, Lanza Perea M, Bhaiyat MI. 2015. Serological evidence of antibodies to Neospora caninum in stray and owned Grenadian dogs. Tropical Biomedicine, 32(2), 286–290.

30. Song H, Yu LZ, Sun JY, Wang JX, Qi HY, Li T, Jin CM. 2015. Seroprevalence of Neospora caninum infection in pet dogs in Yanbian of Jilin Province. Jilin Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine, 36(12), 7–8 (in Chinese).  

31. Valadas S, Minervino AH, Lima VM, Soares RM, Ortolani EL, Gennari SM. 2010. Occurrence of antibodies anti-Neospora caninum, anti-Toxoplasma gondii, and anti-Leishmania chagasi in serum of dogs from Para State, Amazon, Brazil. Parasitology Research, 107(2), 453–457.

32. Wang CM, Liu ML, Chen QL, Xu ZM, Bayin C. 2015. Seroprevalence of Neospora caninum and Toxoplasma gondii in dogs from Kuerle. Xinjiang Animal Husbandry, 11, 36–40.

33. Weston JF, Heuer C, Parkinson TJ, Williamson NB. 2012. Causes of abortion on New Zealand dairy farms with a history of abortion associated with Neospora caninum. New Zealand Veterinary Journal, 60(1), 27–34.

34. Yakhchali M, Javadi S, Morshed A. 2010. Prevalence of antibodies to Neospora caninum in stray dogs of Urmia, Iran. Parasitology Research, 106(6), 1455–1458.

35. Yang Y, Zhang Q, Kong Y, Ying Y, Kwok OC, Liang H, Dubey JP. 2014. Low prevalence of Neospora caninum and Toxoplasma gondii antibodies in dogs in Jilin, Henan and Anhui Provinces of the People’s Republic of China. BMC Veterinary Research, 10, 295.

Cite this article as: Wang S, Yao Z, Zhang N, Wang D, Ma J, Liu S, Zheng B, Zhang B, Liu K & Zhang H: Serological study of Neospora caninum infection in dogs in central China. Parasite, 2016, 23, 25.