Ultraviolet Fe II emission in $z \sim 2$ quasars
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ABSTRACT

We present spectra of six luminous quasars at $z \sim 2$, covering rest wavelengths 1600–3200 Å. The fluxes of the UV Fe II emission lines and Mg II $\lambda 2798$ doublet, the line widths of Mg II, and the 3000 Å luminosity were obtained from the spectra. These quantities were compared with those of low-redshift quasars at $z = 0.06 - 0.55$ studied by Tsuzuki et al. In a plot of the Fe II(3295 Å)/Mg II flux ratio as a function of the central black hole mass, Fe II(3295 Å)/Mg II in our $z \sim 2$ quasars is systematically greater than in the low-redshift quasars. We confirmed that luminosity is not responsible for this excess. It is unclear whether this excess is caused by rich Fe abundance at $z \sim 2$ over low-redshift or by non-abundance effects such as high gas density, strong radiation field, and high microturbulent velocity.
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1 INTRODUCTION

According to the models of explosive nucleosynthesis, much of the iron comes from Type Ia supernovae, while α elements such as O and Mg come from Type II supernovae. Because the difference in lifetime of the progenitors, it is generally considered that the iron enrichment delays relative to α elements by 1–2 billion years (Hamann & Ferland 1993; Yoshii et al. 1996, 1998). If Fe II/Mg II, the relative strengths of Fe II emission lines and the Mg II $\lambda 2798$ doublet, reflects the Fe/Mg abundance ratio, there will be a break in Fe II/Mg II at high redshift. Despite of much efforts made by many observational groups (e.g., Elston et al. 1994; Kawara et al. 1996; Dietrich et al. 2002, 2003; Iwamuro et al. 2002, 2004; Freudling et al. 2003; Maiolino et al. 2003; Tsuzuki et al. 2006; Matsuoka et al. 2007, 2008a; Kurk et al. 2007), there have been found no signs of such a break; Fe II/Mg II looks constant from low-redshift up to $z \sim 6.5$ with large scatter.

No break in Fe II/Mg II might reflect a significantly shorter delay-time of 0.2–0.6 Gyr, as suggested by Friaçã & Terlevich (1988), Matteucci & Recchi (2001), and Granato et al. (2004). The expected break can also be obscured by non-abundance effects. Simulations of Fe II emitting regions, assuming either photoionization or shocks, imply that the Fe abundance is not only one parameter which controls the Fe II strength, but several non-abundance factors can also affect it. Such non-abundance factors include spectral energy distribution (SED) of the central source, strength of the radiation field, and the gas density of Broad Emission Line Region (BELR) clouds. Recently, Verner et al. (2003) and Baldwin et al. (2004) pointed out that a large microturbulence velocity may be responsible for strong Fe II emission. Tsuzuki et al. (2006) have studied non-abundance factors by using spectra of a low-redshift sample of 14 quasars, covering wide rest wavelengths 1000–7300 Å, and claimed that the Fe II strength correlates with the mass of the central black hole, the line width, and the X-ray photon index.

In this paper, we present spectra of six quasars at $z \sim 2$, and compare with those in the low-redshift sample. Throughout this paper, a cosmology with $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$, and $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ is assumed.

2 OBSERVATIONS

Six quasars were selected for optical spectroscopy from the catalog by Véron-Cetty & Véron (2003). According to the catalog, these are luminous with $M_B = -28$ at $z = 0.2 - 2.3$, bright enough to take optical Fe II emission lines through near-infrared spectroscopy at a later opportunity.

GMOS on Gemini-South Telescope are used in the long-slit mode with grating R150_G5326 and order sorting filter
In the shaded area in Figure 1, the telluric absorption features are seen. We have not applied any correction for the telluric absorption. Instead, the intensities in the shaded areas were estimated by fitting a linear function to assumed data points locating on either side free from the telluric features.

### 3.1 Fe II UV emission lines

Fe II emission lines are heavily blended with each other, forming the broad features from 2000–3000 Å. It is desirable to observe a wide range of wavelengths in such a way that the power-law and Balmer continua are accurately determined as made by Tsuzuki et al. (2006). However, observing such a wide range is not feasible in most cases. In fact, the present observations are limited to a rest wavelength range from 1600–3200 Å.

We applied a simple alternative in which a linear function is fit to the data in rest wavelengths 2190–2230 Å and 2660–2700 Å. Differences between the spectrum and the resultant best-fit function, which are marked as shaded in Figure 2, are summed up in a wavelength range of 2240–2650 Å. The summed-up differences, as denoted by $f(2240–2650 \text{ Å})$, should contribute significant part of $\text{Fe II}(2000–3000 \text{ Å})$ which is the total Fe II emission line flux in 2000–3000 Å. To check the relationship between them, we have applied this alternative to the low-redshift quasars studied by Tsuzuki et al. (2006) for which $\text{Fe II}(2000–3000 \text{ Å})$ is known. The results are shown in Figure 3(a). This figure indicates that the relation is linear and $f(2240–2650 \text{ Å})$ is approximately 40% of $\text{Fe II}(2000–3000 \text{ Å})$. A least-squares fitting to the data gives the following relation:

$$\log \text{Fe II} = \log f + 0.402(\pm 0.142)$$

Here $\text{Fe II} \equiv \text{Fe II}(2000–3000 \text{ Å})$ and $f \equiv f(2240–2650 \text{ Å})$.

This relation will be used to convert observed $f(2240–2650 \text{ Å})$ to the total flux of the Fe II emission lines in 2000–3000 Å in the later part of this paper.

### 3.2 Mg II emission lines

To measure the flux and the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the Mg II λ2798 doublet, Tsuzuki et al. (2006) fitted a single Gaussian component to the spectrum where the power-law and Balmer continua, and Fe II emission features were already subtracted. Again, we are not allowed to apply their method because of our limited wavelength range.

Our alternative is illustrated in Figure 4. A linear function is fit to the data in 2660–2700 Å and 2930–2970 Å where contributions from the Fe II and Mg II emission lines are relatively weak and thus the power-law continuum can be defined. This fitted function is subtracted from the spectrum, as shown in Figure 4. To measure the Mg II FWHM, we first applied smoothing to that subtracted spectrum, then measured the velocity range within which the flux becomes more than half of its maximum value and defined it as FWHM. To minimize contributions from Fe II emission, we only integrate the flux within $v(Mg II) < 3000–3050 \text{ Å}$ would be a better choice than 2930–2970 Å if the CCD fringe pattern can be well removed in these wavelengths.

---

**Figure 1.** Spectra of six quasars. The shaded area indicates the region where the spectra are affected by the telluric absorption.
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Table 1. Observing Log for Gemini Quasars

| Object       | \( \alpha \) | \( \delta \) | Redshift\(^a\) | \( M_B \) | \( E_{B-V} \) | Exposure Time | Date          |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|---------|----------|--------------|---------------|
| B0226−104    | 02 28 39.2  | −10 11 10  | 2.276          | −29.7   | 0.03     | 120          | 2004 Sep 18   |
| B0421+019    | 04 24 08.6  | +02 04 25  | 2.059          | −27.8   | 0.19     | 600          | 2004 Sep 18   |
| CTFQ254      | 04 30 14.6  | −36 26 47  | 2.118          | −27.7   | 0.02     | 1500         | 2004 Sep 18   |
| FIRSTJ2149−0811 | 21 49 48.2 | −08 11 16  | 2.128          | −28.9   | 0.04     | 150          | 2004 Sep 20   |
| LBQS2209−1842 | 22 12 10.4  | −18 27 38  | 2.093          | −27.4   | 0.03     | 960          | 2004 Sep 20   |
| PHL424       | 23 13 24.5  | +00 34 45  | 2.087          | −28.5   | 0.04     | 300          | 2004 Sep 21   |

\(^a\) Redshift as determined from fit of Mg\text{II} emission line.
\(^b\) Absolute \( B \) magnitude with \( \Omega_m = 0.3 \), \( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.7 \), and \( H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \).
\(^c\) Galactic extinction \( E_{B-V} \) taken from Schlegel et al. (1998).

Figure 2. Measuring the UV Fe\text{II} line flux. A linear function is fit to the data in the continuum windows (thick bars). The best-fit function is indicated by the dashed line. The shaded area indicates \( f(2240−2650 \text{ Å}) \), which is then converted to Fe\text{II}(2000−3000 Å) using equation (1).

FWHM and define it as \( f(\text{Mg II} < \text{FWHM}) \). Again, we used the low-redshift sample by Tsuzuki et al. (2006) to check that the relation between \( f(\text{Mg II} < \text{FWHM}) \) and the total Mg\text{II} flux \( \text{Mg II}(\text{total}) \). The results are shown in Figure 3(b)−(c). \( f(\text{Mg II} < \text{FWHM}) \) has linear relation to the total Mg\text{II} line flux measured by Tsuzuki et al. (2006), and the least-squares best-fit to the data gives the following relation:

\[
\log \text{Mg II}(\text{total}) = \log f(\text{Mg II} < \text{FWHM}) - 0.049(\pm 0.084)(2)
\]

This will be used to obtain \( \text{Mg II}(\text{total}) \) in the later part of this paper. It is noted that there are no significant differences in FWHM of Mg\text{II} between the measurements by Tsuzuki et al. (2006) and our alternative.

3.3 Luminosity

McLure & Jarvis (2002) gives a method for estimating black hole masses of quasars using the FWHM of the Mg\text{II} emission line and the continuum luminosity at 3000 Å. The equation is as follows:

\[
\frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{M_\odot} = 3.37 \left( \frac{\Lambda L_{3000}}{10^{37}\text{ W}} \right)^{0.47} \left( \frac{\text{FWHM}(\text{Mg II})}{\text{km s}^{-1}} \right)^2
\]

Note that the uncertainty of equation (3) is a factor of 2.5.

Hence the monochromatic luminosity \( \Lambda L_{3000} \) has to be measured in order to estimate the black hole mass. Unfortunately, however, our spectra are significantly affected by telluric absorption at 3000 Å. We thus extrapolated the linear
function, which was used to measure $f(Mg^{II} < \text{FWHM})$, to 3000 Å and read the value at 3000 Å as a monochromatic flux. Figure 3(d) compares the 3000 Å luminosity $\lambda L_{3000}$ measured by Tsuzuki et al. (2006) and our extrapolated 3000 Å luminosity $\lambda L_{3000}$. Again, the linear relation was obtained as follows:

$$\log \lambda L_{3000} = \log \lambda L_{3000} - 0.112(\pm 0.079)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

This will be used to convert extrapolated luminosities to the real luminosity in the later part of this paper.

4 RESULTS

In Table 2, the $Fe^{II}$ emission line flux $Fe^{II}(2000 - 3000 \text{ Å})$, the Mg $II$ line flux $Mg^{II}(\text{total})$, the FWHM (Mg $II$), the 3000 Å luminosity $\lambda L_{3000}$, and the blackhole mass $M_{BH}$ derived from equation (3), are given. Note that, for B0226-104, Mg $II$ emission line is heavily affected by telluric absorption line, so that we did not measure Mg $II$ II emission line flux and Mg $II$ FWHM. A plot of $Fe^{II}(2000 - 3000 \text{ Å})/Mg^{II}(\text{total})$ against $M_{BH}$ is given in Figure 5(a) and 3000 Å luminosity $\lambda L_{3000}$ in Figure 5(b).

5 DISCUSSION

Analyzing 14 low-redshift quasars, Tsuzuki et al. (2006) found the correlation between the flux ratio $Fe^{II}(UV)/Mg^{II}$ and the blackhole mass. This relation is shown by the dotted line in Figure 5(a). Filled circles are our quasars at $z \sim 2.0$ and open circles are low-redshift quasars at $z = 0.06 - 0.55$ by Tsuzuki et al. (2006). Our quasars have an absolute luminosity of $M_B < -27.4$, which are much luminous than the low-redshift quasars having an absolute luminosity of $M_B > -26.3$.

As can be seen in Figure 5(a), the five $z \sim 2$ quasars do not follow the correlation found by Tsuzuki et al. (2006). All of them have $Fe^{II}(UV)/Mg^{II}$ greater than expected from the Tsuzuki’s correlation. What is the cause of the large $Fe^{II}(UV)/Mg^{II}$ value in the $z \sim 2$ quasars relative to the low-redshift quasars? Because the five $z \sim 2$ quasars are much luminous than the 14 low-redshift quasars, the luminosity effect is examined. As shown in Figure 5(b), the luminosity effect is not responsible for the large $Fe^{II}(UV)/Mg^{II}$ value in the $z \sim 2$ quasars. The real cause would be evolution in $Fe^{II}(UV)/Mg^{II}$ or non-abundance effects such as the spectral energy distribution of the continuum from the central source, the strength of the radiation field and the gas density of BLR clouds as well as the microturbulence of BLR gas (Verner et al. 2003; Baldwin et al. 2004). Further investigations are required using large samples of quasars.
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**Figure 4.** Measuring the Mg $II$ flux. Since there are Fe $II$ emissions underneath Mg $II$, we measured the flux only over the velocity range $-\text{FWHM} < v(MgII) < \text{FWHM}$ and defined it as $f(MgII < \text{FWHM})$ (shaded area).
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Table 2. Measured Physical Quantities

| Object         | \( \text{Fe II} (2000–3000 \, \text{Å}) \) \(^{a}\) \([10^{-14} \, \text{ergs s}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-2}]\) | \( \text{Mg II(total)} \) \([10^{-14} \, \text{ergs s}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-2}]\) | \( \text{FWHM(Mg II)} \) \([\text{km/s}]\) | \( \lambda L_{3000} \) \([10^{37} \, \text{W}]\) | \( M_{BH} \) \([10^{9} \, \text{M}_\odot]\) |
|----------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| B0226–104      | 19.3 (+7.5/−5.4)                                 | —                                               | —                               | —               | —               |
| B0421+019      | 5.46 (+2.1/−1.5)                                 | 1.24 (+0.26/−0.22)                              | 4410 (+520/−470)                | 369 (+74/−62)   | 1.05 ± 0.269    |
| CTQ254         | 3.11 (+1.2/−0.87)                                | 0.726 (+0.16/−0.13)                             | 4750 (+570/−510)                | 161 (+32/−27)   | 0.828 ± 0.212   |
| FIRSTJ2149−0811| 14.1 (+5.5/−3.9)                                 | 2.16 (+0.46/−0.38)                              | 3900 (+460/−410)                | 500 (+100/−83)  | 0.951 ± 0.243   |
| LBQS2209−1842  | 8.78 (+3.4/−2.5)                                 | 1.70 (+0.36/−0.30)                              | 4180 (+500/−440)                | 360 (+72/−60)   | 0.935 ± 0.239   |
| PHL424         | 19.5 (+7.6/−5.5)                                 | 2.81 (+0.60/−0.49)                              | 5000 (+590/−530)                | 587 (+120/−98)  | 1.69 ± 0.431    |

\(^a\) Fe II is defined in a wavelength range of 2000–3000 Å.

\(^b\) FWHM of the Mg II emission line.

Figure 5. (a) Relation between Fe II(UV)/Mg II flux ratio and black hole mass. Filled circles are our quasars at z ∼ 2.0. Open circles are low-redshift quasars at z = 0.06 – 0.55 by Tsuzuki et al. (2006). Dotted line indicates the correlation found in Tsuzuki et al. (2006). (b) Same as in (a), but for 3000 Å luminosity.