Research paper

High-throughput analyses and Bayesian network modeling highlight novel epigenetic Adverse Outcome Pathway networks of DNA methyltransferase inhibitor mediated transgenerational effects

You Song a,*, Jorke H. Kamstra b, Yang Cao c, Jana Asselman d, Marc Angles d’Auriac e, Nikolai Friberg a, c, f

Keywords:
AOP
Weight of evidence
DNA methylation
5-Aza-cytidine
Daphnia
Quantitative response-response relationships

ARTICLE INFO

Editor: Dr. S Nan

A number of epigenetic modulating chemicals are known to affect multiple generations of a population from a single ancestral exposure, thus posing transgenerational hazards. The present study aimed to establish a high-throughput (HT) analytical workflow for cost-efficient concentration-response analysis of epigenetic and phenotypic effects, and to support the development of novel Adverse Outcome Pathway (AOP) networks for DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) inhibitor-mediated transgenerational effects on aquatic organisms. The model DNMT inhibitor 5-azacytidine (5AC) and the model freshwater crustacean Daphnia magna were used to generate new experimental data and served as prototypes to construct AOPs for aquatic organisms. Targeted HT bioassays (DNMT ELISA, MS-HRM and qPCR) in combination with multigenerational ecotoxicity tests revealed concentration-dependent transgenerational (F0-F3) effects of 5AC on total DNMT activity, DNA promoter methylation, gene body methylation, gene transcription and reproduction. Top sensitive toxicity pathways related to 5AC exposure, such as apoptosis and DNA damage responses were identified in both F0 and F3 using Gaussian Bayesian network modeling. Two novel epigenetic AOP networks on DNMT inhibitor mediated one-generational and transgenerational effects were developed for aquatic organisms and assessed for the weight of evidence. The new HT analytical workflow and AOPs can facilitate future ecological hazard assessment of epigenetic modulating chemicals.

1. Introduction

Heritable epigenetic marks, such as DNA methylation and histone modifications can in many cases reflect the life-time exposure history of an organism to environmental stressors (Mirbahai and Chipman, 2014). Among the epigenetic marks, DNA methylation is considered a master regulator of gene expression in eukaryotes (Law and Jacobsen, 2010) and has been frequently used as an (eco)toxicological biomarker to indicate effects of epigenetic modifiers (Vandegehuchte and Janssen, 2011; Kamstra et al., 2015; Meehan et al., 2018). While the rapid development of OMICS techniques allows measurements of genome-wide DNA methylation and gene expression profiles, the high costs for such analyses still limit our ability to fully understand the epigenetic changes across doses/concentrations of a stressor, exposure durations and multiple generations in a population. In addition to high-content (HC) OMICS tools, targeted high-throughput (HT) bioassays are also needed, allowing the inclusion of more life stages of an organism and exposure conditions of a stressor in the analysis to yield comparative dose/concentration-response data on a temporal scale. Such data can greatly facilitate the development of predictive (eco) toxicological approaches, such as Adverse Outcome Pathways (AOPs) for more efficient chemical safety assessment (Villeneuve et al., 2019).

Adverse Outcome Pathway (AOP) was introduced as a framework to assemble, integrate, evaluate and visualize toxicological data and knowledge relevant for regulatory-relevant adverse effects (Ankley
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An AOP causally links the molecular initiating event (MIE) of a chemical with its biological target, a cascade of key downstream events (KEs) at increasing levels of biological organization, and an adverse outcome (AO) into a pathway or pathway network using available information from relevant (forecaster) species and prototypical stressors. The essentiality of the KEs and the strength of the causal linkages (key event relationships, KERs) can be evaluated by Weight of Evidence (WoE) considerations (Becker et al., 2015). The applicability domains of an AOP can be further expanded to other species (taxonomic applicability) based on their phylogenetic similarities, and to other chemicals (chemical applicability) based on their structure-activity properties (Fay et al., 2017). Effects of epigenetic modulators are starting to be considered in the AOP framework in recent years (Angrish et al., 2018; Willett, 2018), however, the initial AOPs proposed are human-centric. Efforts to develop epigenetic AOPs for non-human but ecologically important species may greatly facilitate mechanistic understanding of epigenetic transgenerational effects induced by different environmental stressors and assist ecological hazard assessment of epigenetic modulating chemicals.

Among the epigenetic modulators, DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) inhibitors are a group of cytosine analogues with a common mechanism of action of hypomethylating cytosines in DNA. DNMT inhibitors, such as azacytidine and decitabine suppress DNMT activity by covalently trapping DNMT to the DNA incorporated cytosine analogues followed by degradation and subsequent DNA hypomethylation in organisms (Gnyszka et al., 2013). On the basis of this mechanism of action, DNMT inhibitors have been developed as medicines to treat oncological diseases by re-activating silenced anti-cancer genes such as tumor protein TP53 (Gnyszka et al., 2013). These chemicals, however, have also been reported to have negative impacts on organisms. It has been realized that incorporation of DNMT inhibitors such as azacytidine into the cellular DNA replication machinery can lead to sequestration of DNMTs through formation of covalent bond between the carbon-6 atom of the cytosine ring and cysteine thiolate of DNMTs (Santi et al., 1984; Chen et al., 1991). The formation of DNA-enzyme adducts is irreversible, as the beta-elimination of this bond via the carbon-5 atom is blocked by the cytosine ring and cysteine thiolate of DNMTs (Santi et al., 1984; Chen et al., 1991). The documented effects of DNMT inhibitors include induction of DNA strand breaks (Covey et al., 1986; Kiziltepe et al., 2007), activation of excessive apoptosis (Murakami et al., 1995; Kiziltepe et al., 2007; Khan et al., 2008; Ghanim et al., 2007; Palii et al., 2008), and DNA repair (Kiziltepe et al., 2007, Palii et al., 2008). The effects of DNMT inhibitors are hazardous to human in a long-term perspective remains inconclusive, and relatively little is known about their ecological hazards to aquatic organisms.

The water flea Daphnia have been widely used as aquatic invertebrate models for environmental epigenetic research (Harris et al., 2012; Jeremias et al., 2020) and AOP development (Song et al., 2017, 2020a, 2020b) due to their high ecological relevance, rapid reproductive cycles with genetically identical clones through parthenogenesis, ease to maintain under laboratory conditions and worldwide use as a standard OECD regulatory toxicity testing species (OECD, 2012). The present study, therefore used Daphnia magna as a prototypical species to investigate the transgenerational effects of a model DNMT inhibitor, 5-azacytidine.
(5AC), on aquatic organisms and to develop AOPs. High-throughput bioassays in combination with Gaussian Bayesian network (GBN) analysis were employed to identify key toxicity pathways associated with 5AC toxicity, and to support development and WoFe assessment of epigenetic AOP networks. The general hypothesis of the study was that exposure to 5AC could induce transgenerational effects on DNA methylation, gene transcription and reproduction in D. magna along defined AOPs. The main objectives of the study were to: 1) understand the relationships between chemical-mediated DNMT inhibition, DNA promoter methylation, gene body methylation, gene expression and multigenerational reproductive quality in D. magna; 2) develop and evaluate novel epigenetic AOP networks for DNMT inhibitor-mediated transgenerational effects on aquatic organisms.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Daphnia culture

Daphnia magna DHI strain (DHI Water & Environment, Hørsholm, Denmark) were cultured in 1 L glass beakers (20 daphnids/800 mL M7 medium) under favorable conditions (temperature 20 ± 1 °C, light:dark 16:8 h, pH 7.8 ± 0.2, dissolved oxygen >7 mg/L, density 1 daphnid per 40 mL medium), according to the principles of the OECD Test Guideline 211 (OECD, 2012). The culture media were renewed every two days and offspring were removed to avoid potential crowding effect. The daphnids were daily fed with concentrated green algae Raphidocelis subcapitata, corresponding to 0.1 mg carbon/daphnid/day (OECD, 2012). All test beakers were randomized to avoid potential bias.

2.2. Test chemical

The model DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) inhibitor 5-azacytidine (5AC, CAS 320–67–2, purity ≥ 98%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA) and stored at −20 °C. The test solutions of 5AC were freshly prepared shortly before the exposure/medium renewal due to the short half-life of this chemical. A 0.05 mol/L (M) stock solution was prepared first by dissolving 5AC in M7 medium. The final test solutions were made by diluting different volumes of the stock solution in M7 medium. The nominal exposure concentrations of 5AC were 5, 10, 20, 40 and 80 µM. This concentration range was chosen, as they were not expected to cause mortality in adult D. magna during 7 days exposure, and as low as 10 µg/L (approx. 40 µM) was reported to significantly reduce the fecundity in D. magna (Lindeman et al., 2019). In addition, a control containing pure medium was also included in the tests.

2.3. Exposure and sampling

The laboratory conditions for exposure studies were identical to that used for culturing, except that small (100 mL) glass beakers were used. A multigenerational test was set up to investigate the transgenerational effects of 5AC on different endpoints (Appendix, Fig. A1). Age synchronized (14–15d) adult female D. magna was used for exposure studies. Two daphnids were placed in the same test beaker and exposed in 80 mL of test solutions for 7 days (Appendix, Fig. A1), according to a previously described short-term screening (STS) protocol (Abe et al., 2015). A total of 20 beakers per treatment group (n = 20) were included at the start of the test (total number of beakers for 6 treatment groups: 120). Each beaker was considered an independent biological replicate and all beakers were randomized every two days. After 7 days, D. magna from 14 test beakers were immediately sampled. One individual was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until DNA analysis (1 daphnid per replicate, n = 6). The other one was sampled in 100 µL of RNALater (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), stored at 4 °C overnight and further stored at −80 °C until RNA analysis (1 daphnid per replicate, n = 6). Eight beakers were pooled into 4 replicates to have sufficient materials, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until total DNMT activity analysis (4 daphnids per replicate, n = 4). Animals in the remaining six replicates were briefly rinsed and transferred to new beakers containing clean media (2 daphnids/80 mL medium/beaker) for a 7-day recovery test (Appendix, Fig. A1). All replicates were sampled for DNA methylation (1 daphnid/replicate) and transcriptional (1 daphnid/replicate) analyses immediately after the recovery period. F1 neonates (<24 h old) were collected from the second brood of F0 (i.e., from the 6 replicates immediately sampled after exposure) during the exposure, whereas F2 and F3 offspring were collected from the last broods before termination of the F1 and F2 test, respectively (Appendix, Fig. A1). From F1, each generation (n = 6, 1 daphnid/40 mL medium/beaker) was tested for 21 days (Appendix, Fig. A1), according to the principles of the OECD D. magna Reproduction Test (OECD, 2012). Immediately after the F3 test, D. magna were sampled for DNA methylation (1 daphnid/replicate) analysis, but not for transcriptional analysis due to a lack of sufficient material as a result of reduced fecundity after exposure to 5AC. Cumulative fecundity (total number of viable offspring) was recorded for all generations. The test solutions were renewed every two days and D. magna were fed daily with concentrated green algae R. subcapitata, corresponding to 0.1 mg carbon/daphnid/day (OECD, 2012). All test beakers were randomized to avoid potential bias.

2.4. HT-MSHRM

A high-throughput (384-well microplate format) methylation sensitive high-resolution melt (HT-MSHRM) assay was downscaled for low input materials (i.e., DNA from single D. magna) and used to determine locus-specific promoter and gene body DNA methylation of 16 genes in F0 after exposure and recovery, and in F3 D. magna. The test genes were well-known biomarker genes or key regulators involved in major biological pathways, including one carbon metabolism (Dmnt3a2, Gntnt, Tet2, see Abbreviations for gene full names), apoptotic signaling (Aijfm1, Casp2, Dapk, Mchp), DNA damage responses (Atm, cell cycle regulation (Cdk, Hcf1), oxidative stress responses (Nrf2), cell migration (Limch1), juvenile hormone signaling (Met, Dmrt4), calcium signaling (Atp2c1) and small sugar metabolism (Galt). The gene body sequences were obtained from the WfleaBase (http://wfleaBase.org/) using the available D. magna genome and associated gene models (Orsini et al., 2016), whereas the promoter sequences were considered as 1000 base pairs (bp) upstream of transcription start site (TSS), as previously described (Lindeman et al., 2019). The promotors and the genes with genomic locations and associated wfeabase IDs are listed in Appendix (Tables A1 & A2). Primers for promoter (Appendix, Table A1) and gene body (Appendix, Table A2) methylation analyses were designed using MethPrimer v1.0 (https://www.urogen.org/cgi-bin/methprimer/methprimer.cgi) (Li and Dahiya, 2002). Primers were designed for amplification of both methylated and unmethylated DNA. An Agilent Bioanalyzer and High Sensitivity DNA Kit (Agilent technologies, Santa Clara, USA) was used to assess the specificity of PCR products and primer dimers. Unqualified primers were excluded and replaced with new pairs. All PCR products were specific and of the expected size (data not shown).

Genomic DNA (gDNA) from single D. magna was isolated using a Quick-DNA™ Tissue/Insect Microprep Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, USA). The DNA yield (>100 ng) and purity (260/280 > 1.8) were determined using a Nanodrop® ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies, Wilmington, USA). The purified gDNA was diluted and bisulflte converted using an EZ DNA Methylation-Lightning™ Kit (Zymo). The conversion efficiency was higher than 99.5% and the DNA recovery rate was higher than 80%, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The human HCT116 DKO methylated (100%) DNA (Zymo) was used as a quality control for bisulfite conversion. An unmethylated (0%) DNA standard was made by whole genome amplification (WGA) of pooled D. magna gDNA using a REPLI-g Mini/Midi Kit (Qiagen), following the producer’s instructions.

The HT-MSHRM assay (n = 6) was performed using the Bio-Rad CFX384 platform (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, USA). A Biomek 3000 Laboratory Automation Workstation (PerkinElmer, Waltham,
USA) was employed for high-throughput liquid handling. The PCR amplification reaction (10 µL) contained 2.5 µL (0.4 ng/µL) of bisulfite converted DNA samples or unmethylated DNA standard, 5 µL of ZymoTaq™ qPCR PreMix (Zymo) and 2.5 µL of 200 nM forward and reverse primer mixture. The PCR cycles were set to: 1) hot start at 95 ºC for 15 min; 2) 45 cycles of: denature at 94 ºC for 0.5 min, annealing at the primer-specific optimal temperature (Tm) for 0.5 min, extension at 63 ºC for 1 min; 3) final extension at 63 ºC for 10 min. A high-resolution melt analysis was performed after between 63 and 95 ºC with a temperature increment of 0.1 ºC.

The raw HT-MSHRM data was analyzed using the CFX Manager v3.0 and Precision Melt Analysis software v1.2 (Bio-Rad). Net Temperature Shift (NTS) was calculated by comparing the melt curve of a target sample with that of a non-methylated standard, based on the principles of a previous protocol (Kamstra et al., 2014). The NTS in the exposed groups were further normalized to the control to calculate fold changes prior to statistical analysis.

### 2.5. HT-qPCR

A high-throughput (384-well microplate format) quantitative real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (HT-qPCR) was employed to measure transcriptional changes of 34 selected genes (Appendix, Table A3). The test genes are well-known biomarker genes or key regulators involved in major biological pathways, including one carbon metabolism (Dmnt1, Dmnt3a1, Dmnt3a2, Gmnt, Mat, Ms, Mthfr, Mtrr, Sabbath, Tst2), apoptotic signaling (Acan1, Aifm1, Casp1, Casp2, Casp8, Dapk, Myncp, Tnfaip5), DNA damage responses (Atm, Ku70, Mdm2, P53, Rad50, Rev1), cell cycle regulation (Cdk, Hicfcl), oxidative stress responses (Nrfr2, Gstq4), cell migration (Lmch1), juvenile hormone signaling (Met, Dmrt4a), embryo development (Vtg1), calcium signaling (Ap2c2t1) and small sugar metabolism (Galt). Primers (Appendix, Table A3) were designed using Primer3 v4.0.0 (http:// primer3. ut. edu) and ordered from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, USA). The optimal annealing temperatures of the primers were obtained prior to sample analysis (Appendix, Table A3).

Total RNA was isolated using a ZR Tissue & Insect RNA MicroPrep™ kit (Zymo), as previously described (Song et al., 2016). The yield (>500 ng) and purity (260/280 > 1.8) of the RNA samples were measured using a Nanodrop® ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop). The integrity of the RNA was checked using an Agilent Bioanalyzer and RNA 6000 Nano chips (Song et al., 2016). Qualified samples with clear RNA integrity of the RNA was checked using an Agilent Bioanalyzer and RNA using a Nanodrop kit (Zymo), as previously described (Song et al., 2016). The yield (12.5, 6.25, 3.13, 1.57, 0.79, 0.39 ng) were made from pooled D. magna (4 individuals) using an EpiQuik™ DNA PreMix kit (Epigentek). All assay procedures were performed using the Bio-Rad CFX384 platform (Bio-Rad), as previously described (Song et al., 2016). A Biomek 3000 Laboratory Automation Workstation (PerkinElmer) was employed for high-throughput liquid handling. In brief, 600 ng total RNA was reversely transcribed into cDNA using qScript™ cDNA SuperMix (Quanta BioSciences, Gaithersburg, USA). The qPCR reaction (10 µL) consisted of 2.5 µL diluted cDNA template (1 ng/µL, total mass 2.5 ng), 5 µL of PerfeCTa® SYBR® Green FastMix® (Quanta BioSciences) and 2.5 µL of forward and reverse primer mixture (400 nm). A series of diluted cDNA (12.5, 6.25, 3.13, 1.56, 0.78, 0.39 ng) were made from pooled D. magna RNA and were included to generate standard curves for determination of amplification efficiencies (E) and correlation coefficient (R²). A no-reverse-transcribe control (NRT) and a non-template control (NTC) were included as additional quality controls. The thermo cycles for qPCR amplification were set to: 1) 95 ºC for 3 min; 2) 40 cycles of 95 ºC for 20 s, primer-specific Ta for 20 s, 72 ºC for 30 s; 3) a melt curve analysis for 30 s between 65 and 90 ºC with an increment of 0.5 ºC. Tests with amplification efficiency between 90% and 105% and correlation coefficient (R²) between 0.98 and 1 were considered valid. The relative expression of the target gene was calculated based on a combination of efficiency and the threshold cycle (Cq) value according to the Pfaffl Method (Pfaffl, 2001), and normalized to the geometric mean expression of the reference genes Actin alpha skeletal muscle (Actin), 18 S ribosomal RNA (18 s) and TATA-box-binding protein (Tbp), based on the ΔΔCq method (Vandesompele et al., 2002).

### 2.6. DNMT ELISA

A high-throughput (96-well microplate format) enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was employed to determine the total activity of DNMTs in F0 D. magna after the exposure. Nuclei were extracted from pooled D. magna (4 individuals) using an EpiQuik™ Nuclear Extraction Kit I (Epigentek, New York, USA). The total nuclear protein yield was determined using a Coomassie Plus (Bradford) Assay Kit (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA), based on the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976). The total DNMT activity HT-ELISA (n = 4) was performed using a fluorometric EpiQuik™ DNMT Activity/Inhibition Assay Ultra Kit (Epigentek). All assay procedures were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The absolute DNMT activities (RFU/h/mg nuclear protein) were calculated and further normalized to the control to calculate fold changes.

### 2.7. Basic statistical analyses

A ROUT test (Motulsky and Brown, 2006) was performed first to eliminate outliers in the raw data prior to normality and equal variance assessment. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA, normal distribution and equal variance) followed with Tukey post-hoc test, or Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test (no normality or equal variance) followed with Dunn’s post-hoc test was used to determine statistical differences (p < 0.05) between treatment groups. The basic statistical analyses were performed in Graphpad Prism v8 (Graphpad Software Inc., San Diego, USA).

### 2.8. Gaussian Bayesian network analysis

The quantitative relationships between key events in a toxicity pathway were evaluated using Gaussian Bayesian network (GBN). The GBN approach offers algorithms for prediction and inference where all variables are continuous and defined by a Gaussian prior distribution or a Gaussian conditional distribution. The linear additive relationship was assumed between the KEs, which was supported by the scatter plots and has good mathematical properties such as tractability and the availability of closed-form results for the inference procedures (Buja et al., 1989). The average and variation of the GBN coefficients were estimated using the resampling method. First, different data points of F0 DNMT activity, F0 promoter methylation, F0 gene expression, and F0 fecundity values within each of the 6 treatment groups were randomly sampled with replacement. A total of 180 observations were obtained to build a GBN and estimate the corresponding linear coefficients for the arcs of the GBN. Second, the procedures were repeated 10,000 times to construct 10,000 GBNs which were used to estimate the coefficients of the GBNs. Third, mean, median, and 95% highest density interval (HDI) (Turkkan and Pham-Gia, 1993) were calculated based on the 10,000 estimates. The 95% HDIs that did not include 0 were considered statistically significant (p < 0.05) (Appendix, Table A4). Multiplicative coefficient was calculated for each hypothetical toxicity pathway to indicate the overall sensitivity of the pathway subject to changes in SAC concentrations (Appendix, Table A5). The toxicity pathways were ranked by their absolute multiplicative coefficients. The higher absolute value indicates higher sensitivity of the pathway. The parameters of the second toxicity pathway network for transgenerational effects (i.e. F0-F3) were also estimated using the same method (Appendix, Table A6 & A7). All the analyses were performed in R software 4.0.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, https://www.R-project.org/).
2.9. AOP assembly and weight of evidence assessment

Conceptual AOPs were assembled following OECD’s handbook for AOP development and assessment (OECD, 2018). The WoE of the AOPs was assessed based on the Bradford Hill Considerations (Becker et al., 2015). The confidence levels of the KEs and KERs were scored as "High", "Moderate" or "Low", according to OECD’s AOP handbook (OECD, 2018). To expand the taxonomic applicability domain of the AOPs, the Sequence Alignment to Predict Across Species Susceptibility (SeqAPASS) tool (LaLone et al., 2016) was employed to identify susceptible species groups based on protein sequence similarities. Two D. magna proteins, Dnmt3 (GenBank acc. KZS08978.1) and Casp1 (GenBank acc. KZS14075.1) were used as query sequences for level 1 (primary amino acid sequence alignment) analysis in SeqAPASS, as these were the only D. magna proteins found in the database that were directly relevant for the AOPs. Dnmt3 was used to represent the MIE of the AOPs, whereas Casp1 was used to represent KE3 and KE9 of the AOPs. Furthermore, based on the level 1 analysis, the conserved domains of Dnmt3 (GenBank acc. cd11725) and Casp1 (GenBank acc. cd00032) were identified and used for level 2 (functional domain alignment) analysis. The chemical applicability domain of the AOPs was defined by data mining in the literature and public databases to collect a list of previously reported DNMT inhibiting chemicals.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Molecular initiating event

It has been widely accepted that DNMTs are the primary macromolecular targets of 5AC (Stresemann and Lyko, 2008). Although inhibition of DNMTs by 5AC has been well documented for vertebrates (Stresemann and Lyko, 2008), the potency of this chemical to affect invertebrate DNMTs has been largely unknown. A multigenerational decrease in global DNA cytosine methylation has been previously observed following 5AC exposure (Vandegehuchte et al., 2010; Lindeman et al., 2019), but as of yet no direct activity of DNMTs has been measured in D. magna. The present study showed that the total activity of DNMTs in F0 D. magna decreased in a concentration-dependent manner after 7 days exposure to 5AC, with a significant reduction of approx. 40% at the highest concentration (Fig. 1). This is to date the first direct evidence to support 5AC mediated DNMT inhibition as a potential MIE in aquatic invertebrates.

3.2. Key events

3.2.1. DNA methylation

Hypomethylation of genes as a general consequence of 5AC exposure in both vertebrates (Stresemann and Lyko, 2008) and D. magna (Lindeman et al., 2019), was also observed for the majority of the test genes in F0 after 7 days exposure to 40 and 80 μM 5AC in the present study (Fig. 2 & see SI-1 Fig. S2 for concentration-response curves). Several genes tested in the current analysis have also been previously reported to have reduced DNA methylation in D. magna after chronic exposure.

![Fig. 1. Total DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) activity (n = 4) in F0 Daphnia magna after 7 days exposure to 5-azacytidine. * denotes significant difference from the corresponding control.](image1)

![Fig. 2. A heatmap displaying DNA promoter methylation (n = 6), gene body methylation (n = 6) and gene transcription (n = 6) in F0 after 7 days exposure to 5-azacytidine, in F0 after 7 days recovery, and in F3. Each cell represents the log2 transformed mean fold change compared to the control. Red: increased compared to the control (0); green: decreased compared to the control. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image2)
(until releasing of the third brood) to 3.7 mg/L (approx. 15 µM) of 5AC (Athanasio et al., 2018), such as the cell migration regulator Limch1, the cell cycle regulators Hcfc1 and Cdk, and the plasma membrane calcium transporter Atp2c1. In contrast, no clear patterns of gene body methylation were identified (Fig. 2 and see Appendix, Fig. A2 for detailed concentration-response curves), except for the master regulator of oxidative response Nrf2 and Limch1 which showed significantly increased gene body methylation at the highest concentration and a clear concentration-dependent decrease in gene body methylation, respectively (Fig. 2 and Appendix, Fig. A2).

Interestingly, after 7 days recovery, the majority of the genes displayed significantly increased promoter methylation at 80 µM (Fig. 2 and Appendix, Fig. A2), whereas only the S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) regulator in the OCM Gamm and the mitochondrial initiator of apoptotic

![Fig. 3. Violin plots displaying transcriptional responses of biomarker genes in F0 Daphnia magna after 7 days exposure to 5-azacytidine (n = 6) and after 7 days recovery (n = 6). * denotes significant difference from the control (0).]
significant difference from the corresponding control. Observed, whereas no clear patterns were identified between gene methylation and its relation to gene expression, it seems that increased promoter methylation at 40 and/or 80 µM of 5AC, whereas significantly reduced gene body methylation was observed in the present study. In F3, most of the genes in F0 were significantly upregulated after 7 days exposure to 5AC, both genes and metabolites involved in OCM, apoptosis activator, and embryo development, calcium signaling and small sugar metabolism (Galt) were activated after exposure to 5AC, whereas suppressed after the recovery period (Figs. 2 and 3). Exposure to 5AC is known to affect OCM, induce ATR-mediated DNA DSB responses and cell cycle regulation (Kiziltepe et al., 2007), and trigger both caspase dependent and independent apoptotic pathways (Murakami et al., 1995; Kiziltepe et al., 2007) in mammalian cells. In D. magna, exposure to 10 mg/L (approx. 41 µM) of 5AC for 7 days was reported to alter the expression of genes involved in OCM (Dnmt1, Dnmt3a2, Grmt, Mek, Sahl, Metf), juvenile hormone signaling (Mef) and embryo development (Vtg1, Vtg2) in F0 and F1, however, with dissimilar directions of regulation (Lindeman et al., 2019). Another study showed that after chronic exposure (until releasing of the third brood) to 3.7 mg/L (approx. 15 µM) of 5AC, both genes and metabolites involved in OCM (Mat, Ms, Sahl, Bhmt, Mtrr, Grmt) were affected, albeit the responses were highly time-dependent (Athanasio et al., 2018).

3.3. Adverse outcomes

The adverse outcomes of 5AC have been documented for different species including D. magna. In the previous study by Vandegehuchte and coworkers, exposure to 5AC for 21 days significantly reduced reproduction in F0 D. magna, with a lowest-observed-effect-concentration (LOEC) of 16 mg/L (approx. 65 µM) corresponding to 54% reduction in cumulative fecundity (Vandegehuchte et al., 2010). A more recent study by Lindeman and colleagues also showed a significant reduction (12%) in cumulative fecundity in adult D. magna after 7 days exposure to 10 mg/L (approx. 41 µM) of 5AC (Lindeman et al., 2019). In line with the previous knowledge, the present study has also demonstrated that after 7 days exposure, the reproduction in F0 D. magna decreased in a concentration-dependent manner, with exposure to 40 and 80 µM of 5AC leading to a significant reduction in cumulative fecundity (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the 7 days recovery did not mitigate the effects of 5AC, with 40 and 80 µM of 5AC causing a more severe decline in fecundity than 10 mg/L (approx. 41 µM) of 5AC (Lindeman et al., 2019). In the previous study, the majority of the genes in F0 were significantly upregulated after 7 days exposure to 40 and/or 80 µM of SAC, whereas downregulated after 7 days recovery (Figs. 2 and 3). Apparent inverse relationships between gene expression and promoter methylation were observed, whereas no clear patterns were identified between gene expression and gene body methylation (Fig. 2). Since the promoter is highly dynamic and smaller than a gene body which often spans multiple kilobases in length, differences in gene body methylation are more difficult to assess. In some invertebrates, methylated exons have been associated with alternative splicing sites (Flores et al., 2012). Differential methylation at alternative splicing sites has been previously observed in Daphnia exposed to Microcystis aeruginosa (Asselman et al., 2017) and to salinity (Jeremias et al., 2018). Given the lack of clear patterns in both gene body methylation and its relation to gene expression, it seems that promoter methylation rather than gene body methylation is driving the transcriptional responses to 5AC. At the functional level, transcriptional responses of the 34 test genes indicate that multiple pathways, such as OCM, apoptotic signaling, DNA damage responses, cell cycle regulation, oxidative stress responses, cell migration, juvenile hormone signaling, signaling pathway Afim1 had significant increases in gene body methylation at 80 and 10 µM, respectively (Fig. 2 and Appendix, Fig. A2). These findings clearly indicate that 5AC mediated promoter hypomethylation was reversible. It is plausible that certain plasticity mechanisms exist to reactivate the DNA methylation machinery and compensate for the excessive demethylation during exposure.

In addition, transgenerational effects of SAC on DNA methylation were also observed in the present study. In F3, most of the genes had increased promoter methylation at 40 and/or 80 µM (Fig. 2 and Appendix, Fig. A2), with exceptions of the DNA demethylation regulator Tet2, the apoptosis activator Casp2 and the regulator of small sugar metabolism Galt which showed reduced promoter methylation (Fig. 2 and Appendix, Fig. A2). Significantly increased gene body methylation was found for Tet2 (20, 40 and 80 µM), Casp2 (20 and 80 µM) and Cdk (5 µM), whereas significantly reduced gene body methylation was identified for the DNA double-strand break (DSB) sensor Atm and the apoptosis regulator Dapk.

3.2.2. Transcriptional responses

It has been suggested that promoter methylation is in general associated with transcriptional suppression, whereas gene body methylation is normally correlated with increased gene expression (Moore et al., 2013). In the present study, the majority of the genes in F0 were significantly upregulated after 7 days exposure to 40 and/or 80 µM of SAC, whereas downregulated after 7 days recovery (Figs. 2 and 3). Apparent inverse relationships between gene expression and promoter methylation were observed, whereas no clear patterns were identified between gene expression and gene body methylation (Fig. 2). Since the promoter is highly dynamic and smaller than a gene body which often spans multiple kilobases in length, differences in gene body methylation are more difficult to assess. In some invertebrates, methylated exons have been associated with alternative splicing sites (Flores et al., 2012). Differential methylation at alternative splicing sites has been previously observed in Daphnia exposed to Microcystis aeruginosa (Asselman et al., 2017) and to salinity (Jeremias et al., 2018). Given the lack of clear patterns in both gene body methylation and its relation to gene expression, it seems that promoter methylation rather than gene body methylation is driving the transcriptional responses to 5AC. At the functional level, transcriptional responses of the 34 test genes indicate that multiple pathways, such as OCM, apoptotic signaling, DNA damage responses, cell cycle regulation, oxidative stress responses, cell migration, juvenile hormone signaling, concentration-response curves of cumulative fecundity in F0 Daphnia magna after 7 days exposure to 5-azacytidine (n = 12), cumulative fecundity in F0 after 7 days recovery in clean media (n = 6), and 21 days cumulative fecundity in F1 (n = 6), F2 (n = 6) and F3 (n = 6). Data are presented as mean±SD. * denotes significant difference from the corresponding control.

![Fig. 4](image-url) Concentration-response curves of cumulative fecundity in F0 Daphnia magna after 7 days exposure to 5-azacytidine (n = 12), cumulative fecundity in F0 after 7 days recovery in clean media (n = 6), and 21 days cumulative fecundity in F1 (n = 6), F2 (n = 6) and F3 (n = 6). Data are presented as mean±SD. * denotes significant difference from the corresponding control.
first truly unexposed progeny in this exposure setup, the results clearly indicate transgenerational effects of 5AC on \textit{D. magna} reproduction. Such transgenerational reproductive effects have not been documented for \textit{D. magna} or other aquatic invertebrates, albeit a multigenerational study reported that parental exposure to 2.9 mg/L (approx. 12 \mu M) of 5AC for 16 days led to significantly reduced reproduction in F1 \textit{D. magna}, whereas a non-significant decrease in reproduction was observed for F2 (Vandegehuchte et al., 2010). As no truly unexposed generation was investigated, this study could not confirm transgenerational effects of the chemical. Although not well studied in crustaceans, the transgenerational effects of 5AC have been reported for other aquatic organisms. For example, exposure of parental zebrafish (\textit{Danio rerio}) to 10 \mu M of 5AC during the early embryonic period (6–7 dpf) led to a significant reduction in body length in unexposed F2 and a shifted sex ratio to males in F1 (Ramstra et al., 2017). In another study where zebrafish were exposed to 25 and 75 \mu M of 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine from 0 to 7 dpf, a shift towards females was found in F0 (Ribas et al., 2017). In addition, ovaria were analyzed for RNA expression with a number of differentially expressed genes related to reproduction (Ribas et al., 2017). However, no direct effect on fecundity was reported in this study (Ribas et al., 2017).

3.4. Toxicity pathway characterization

To identify the most sensitive (influential) pathways contributing to the 5 AC toxicity and use these for AOP development, quantitative relationships between total DNMT activity, promoter methylation, gene expression and reproduction were established for the 16 genes (which have complete data) using the GBN approach (Appendix, Tables A4 & A6), and assembled into two hypothetical networks covering both F0 and F3 transmission pathways (Fig. 5B). By calculating the multiplicative coefficient for each hypothetical pathway (Appendix, Tables A6 & A7), the overall relevance of the pathways subject to the 5AC concentrations were ranked (Fig. 5). The results suggest that in the F0 network (Fig. 5A), the most sensitive (top 5) toxicity pathways were associated with cell migration (Lmch1), apoptosis (Casp2), oxidative stress responses (Nrf2) and DNA damage responses (Atm). On the basis of the current findings and existing knowledge on the mechanisms of action of 5AC, it is likely that besides direct effects on OCM, 5AC mediated apoptosis and DNA damage were also major contributors to the observed reproductive effects. Interestingly, besides Dnmt3a2, the Dnmt3a2 pathway was scored highly relevant for both F0 and F3 transmission networks, potentially highlighting a key role of caspase-mediated apoptosis in 5AC mediated reproductive toxicity. In fact, previous studies have suggested causal...
relationships between somatic cell apoptosis, ovarian follicle integrity, oocyte apoptosis, oogenesis and fecundity in mammals (Hussein, 2005; Tiwari et al., 2015) and invertebrates (Song et al., 2020a). It is therefore highly plausible that the observed reproductive decline in the present study was a direct consequence of impaired oogenesis, possibly due to oocyte apoptosis and/or somatic cell apoptosis mediated ovarian follicle breakdown. On the basis of this, the observed epigenetic effects occurring at the molecular level in the present study could be connected to the reported tissue/organ effects documented for D. magna via the common event of apoptosis. It should also be noted that the cell migration and oxidative stress responses pathways were also identified to be highly relevant for 5AC toxicity by the data-driven approach. These pathways may warrant further investigations.

3.5. AOP development and evaluation

3.5.1. AOP assembly

On the basis of relevant toxicity pathways identified in the present study and existing AOPs related to DNA damage, apoptosis and reproductive toxicity in the AOP repository database AOPWiki (https://aopwiki.org/, AOP#216), two new AOP networks on inhibition of DNMT activity leading to reduced population trajectory (Fig. 6) were assembled and submitted to AOPWiki (AOP#336–341). In network 1 (Fig. 6), both DNMT inhibition mediated global hypomethylation and DNA DSB can trigger caspase-dependent apoptosis, which affects oogenesis directly by inducing oocyte apoptosis, or indirectly via somatic cell death and subsequent destruction of ovarian follicles. Impaired oogenesis can lead to reduced fecundity (Fig. 6). “Caspase expression” is considered an important KE, as caspases are key regulators of apoptosis (McIlwain et al., 2013) and the caspase-dependent apoptotic pathway has been associated with SAC toxicity (Kiziltepe et al., 2007). Several caspase genes were upregulated in F0 after exposure, and the Casp2 promoter methylation was consistently suppressed in F0 and F3 in the present study. In addition, the Casp2 pathway was ranked as one of the top influential toxicity pathways in both F0 and F3. The KE term is generalized to be applicable to more species with different caspases. In network 2, DNMT inhibition mediated global hypomethylation in F0 can be transmitted across generations to the unexposed (F3) progeny. Through a similar caspase-dependent apoptotic pathway to impair oogenesis, fecundity in F3 can be reduced and such transgenerational reproductive effect can lead to population decline.

3.5.2. WoE assessment of KEs and KERs

The two AOP networks consist of a total of 17 KEs (MIE and AO as special KEs) and 18 KERs (Fig. 6). Among the KEs, the essentialities of reduced “DNMT activity” (MIE), reduced “global DNA methylation” (KE1), increased “caspase expression”, “inherited DNA methylation in F3” (KE8), fecundity in F0 (AO1) and fecundity in F3 (AO2) are considered “High” (Fig. 6 and Appendix, Table A8), as these KEs are supported by direct evidence associated with DNMT inhibitor exposures by both present and previous studies. In contrast, the essentialities of increased “DNA DSB” (KE2) and decreased “population trajectory” (AO3) are considered “Moderate” (Fig. 6 and Appendix, Table A8), as no direct supporting evidence was obtained from the present study, whereas some indirect evidence exists in the literature (Kiziltepe et al., 2007). The rest, especially the KEs associated with SAC mediated effects at higher levels of biological organization (i.e., tissue/organ) and in F3, are scored as “Low” (Fig. 6 and Appendix, Table A8), as these are hypothetical in the present study and are still lacking direct supporting evidence from the previous studies. Nevertheless, these KEs are highlighted as knowledge gaps and warrant further investigations. Among the 18 KERs, “reduced DNMT activity leading to reduced global DNA methylation”, “reduced global DNA methylation leading to increased caspase expression”, and “reduced global DNA methylation leading to inherited DNA methylation (F3)” were well supported by the current data and existing studies thus scored as “High”, whereas the rest with
Fig. 7. Multiple protein sequence alignment of the *Daphnia magna* DNA methyltransferase 3 (*Dnmt3, A*) and caspase 1 (*Casp1, B*) conserved domains with that in other taxa to define the taxonomic applicability domain of the Adverse Outcome Pathway (AOP) networks. Dashed lines indicate susceptibility cutoffs, above the line: susceptible; below the line: not susceptible.
3.5.3. Applicability domains

The AOPs are considered applicable to female animals at both juvenile and adult stages. The SeqAPASS analysis showed that the *D. magna* Dnmt3 (accession: KZS08978.1) conserved domain (accession: cd11725) had high protein sequence similarities to that of 32 taxonomic groups (439 species), of which 30 taxa (437 species) were considered susceptible groups to DNMT modulators (Fig. 7A and Appendix, Table A10). The MIE of the AOPs is therefore potentially applicable to a wide range of taxa, except for Lingulata and Hexanauplia. Alignment of the *D. magna* caspase 1 (accession: KZS14075.1) conserved domain (accession: cd00032) also showed high protein sequence similarities to 48 taxa (704 species), of which 46 taxa (703 species) were considered susceptible (Fig. 7B and Appendix, Table A10). The KE of “caspase expression” is therefore potentially applicable to most species except for Reticulomyxiidae and Haplophaeace. Chemicals that have previously been classified as DNMT inhibitors in different literatures are collected in a list as the chemical applicability domain of the AOPs (Appendix, Table A11).

3.5.4. Potential applications of the AOPs

The AOPs are applicable to a wide range of species/chemicals and can potentially be used to reduce future needs for toxicity testing of DNMT inhibitors. Besides DNMT inhibitors, the proposed AOPs may also serve as a mechanistic basis for understanding epigenetic effects mediated by other environmental stressors which share common pathways. For instance, depletion of s-adenosyl methionine (SAM) as a consequence of Arsenic exposure (Reichard and Puga, 2010) may likely lead to similar pathways downstream of DNMT inhibition in the proposed AOP networks. In the context of ecological hazard and risk assessment at the population level, epigenetic marks are considered sentinels of multigenerational population dynamics, and as such, potential early warnings for impact, or identifying possible barriers to population recovery following exposure to stressors. The proposed AOPs may aid such analyses by providing extensive mechanistic knowledge and multiple lines of evidence at different levels of biological organization. As AOPs are living documents (Villeneuve et al., 2014), these generalized models will be continuously refined with accumulating evidence.

4. Conclusion

The present study has integrated a high-throughput laboratory analytical workflow, Bayesian network modeling and bioinformatics to understand the transgenerational effects of DNMT inhibitors (5-azacytidine) on aquatic organisms (water flea), and to support the development of novel epigenetic AOP networks for cost-efficient ecological hazard and risk assessment of epigenetic modulators. The targeted laboratory tests have generated substantial concentration-response and multigenerational data to provide in-depth mechanistic and quantitative insights into the hazards of DNMT inhibitors. The high-throughput analytical workflow developed by the present study can be easily adopted by others, as the required instrument is normally available in most laboratories. The Bayesian network assisted toxicity pathway characterization was presented as a novel data-driven approach to effectively identifying key information from high volume and complex (eco)toxicological data. The world’s first epigenetic AOP network models for aquatic organisms were developed and have great potentials to inform and improve future risk assessment of DNMT inhibitors and other epigenetic modulators, thus greatly reducing (eco)toxicological testing needs. The complete experimental and data analytical workflow presented by this study also sets a good example for future *de novo* AOP and quantitative AOP (qAOP) development and evaluation based on limited empirical data.
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