A variable ionized disk wind in the black-hole candidate EXO 1846–031
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ABSTRACT

After 34 years, the black-hole candidate EXO 1846–031 went into outburst again in 2019. We investigate its spectral properties in the hard intermediate and the soft states with NuSTAR and Insight-HXMT. A reflection component has been detected in the two spectral states but possibly originating from different illumination spectra: in the intermediate state, the illuminating source is attributed to a hard coronal component, which has been commonly observed in other X-ray binaries, whereas in the soft state the reflection is probably produced by the disk self-irradiation. Both cases are attributed to a hard coronal component, which has been commonly observed in other X-ray binaries, whereas in the soft state the reflection is probably produced by the disk self-irradiation. Both cases support EXO 1846–031 as a low inclination system of ≈ 40°. An absorption line is clearly detected at ≈ 7.2 keV in the hard intermediate state, corresponding to a highly ionized disk wind (log ξ ≳ 6.1) with a velocity up to 0.06c. Meanwhile, quasi-simultaneous radio emissions have been detected before and after the X-rays, implying the co-existence of disk winds and jets in this system. Additionally, the observed wind in this source is potentially driven by magnetic forces. The absorption line disappeared in the soft state and a narrow emission line appeared at ≈ 6.7 keV on top of the reflection component, which may be evidence for disk winds, but data with the higher spectral resolution are required to examine this.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) harbor a compact object, either a neutron star or a black hole, and a companion star with a mass smaller than or similar to that of the Sun (e.g. Frank et al. 2002). X-ray transients spend most of their lives in a quiescent state, but show bright outbursts lasting for weeks to months with a recurrence time varying from months to decades (Tanaka & Shibazaki 1996; Chen et al. 1997; Tomsick & Kaaret 2000). Compared to super-massive black holes, stellar-mass black holes display much richer
and faster variability and thus provide an ideal window to study the evolution of extreme astrophysical phenomena, such as accretion and ejection processes, in an observable timescale (e.g. Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Poutanen & Fabian 1999; Belloni et al. 2000; Uttley et al. 2005, 2014; Altamirano & Méndez 2015; Wang et al. 2020).

A typical outburst starts with a rapid increase of the source luminosity by several orders of magnitude, and then the luminosity decreases over a much longer time period. Along with such an outburst, black-hole X-ray binaries (BHXBs) exhibit different characteristics in their spectra and fluxes (e.g. Miyamoto et al. 1991; van der Klis 1995; Méndez & van der Klis 1997; Remillard & McClintock 2006). Two main spectral states, soft and hard, have been generally distinguished in a complete cycle of an outburst. In the soft state, the spectrum shows prominent thermal emission from an accretion disk. In the hard state, the spectrum is dominated by non-thermal emission from a hot corona or the base of a jet (e.g. Markoff et al. 2005), where the soft photons from an accretion disk are inversely Compton scattered by the hot electrons of the corona. Additionally, an intermediate state occurs when the source transitions between these two states.

Apart from the thermal and non-thermal components, emission and absorption line features have also been widely observed in BHXBs, especially the broad emission line centered at 6.4–7 keV (e.g. Laor 1991; Miller 2007; García et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2018). As the most prominent feature of disk reflection, such emission line is produced when the non-thermal/hard emission illuminates the accretion disk (Lightman & White 1988; George & Fabian 1991; García et al. 2014; Dauser et al. 2014), though the illuminating source has also been attributed to the surface emission or the boundary layer in neutron star X-ray binaries (e.g. Ross & Fabian 2005; Cackett et al. 2010; Miller et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2019). Most recently, Connors et al. (2020) found one intriguing case in which the disk reflection in the very soft state in the BHXB XTE J1550–564 was produced by self-irradiation of the innermost part of the accretion disk.

In regard to the absorption lines discovered in BHXBs, the highly ionized ones have been attributed to the presence of disk winds, which have been suggested to be responsible for the quenching of jets (e.g. Miller et al. 2008; Neilson & Lee 2009). Such line features, for instance, Fe XXV and Fe XXVI absorption lines, are preferentially observed in systems with high inclination angles in the soft state (Ponti et al. 2012), e.g. 4U 1630–472 (Díaz Trigo et al. 2014; Miller et al. 2015), GRO J1655–40 (Miller et al. 2006a, 2008), H 1743–322 (Miller et al. 2006b, 2012) and GRS 1915+105 (Neilson & Lee 2009; Ueda et al. 2009). Theoretical studies show that such lines could be driven by radiation pressure, thermal or magnetic forces, or even the combination of these (e.g. Proga & Kallman 2002; Tomaru et al. 2019). However, the driving mechanism is still debated in accreting systems.

EXO 1846–031 was discovered on 1985 April 3, it was suggested that the source is a black-hole candidate (BHC) due to its ultrasoft spectral component observed with EXOSAT (Parmar et al. 1993). After 34 years quiescence, the Monitor of All-sky X-ray Image (MAXI/GSC) observed EXO 1846–031 being active from 2019 July 23. A low frequency quasi periodic oscillation (QPO) at 0.71 ± 0.01 Hz in the energy band 25–250 keV has been observed with the Hard X-ray Modulation Telescope (HXMT), dubbed Insight-HXMT, in the hard state (Yang et al. 2019). The QPO frequency increases with the source luminosity, which is a typical phenomenon observed in BHXBs. Besides that, disk reflection and disk winds have been observed in one Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR; Harrison et al. 2013) spectrum of this source (Miller et al. 2019). These authors report an outflowing velocity of 0.01c of the absorbing gas.

In this work, we examine the observed absorption feature with the NuSTAR observation of EXO 1846–031 in the hard intermediate state with a self-consistent photoionization model and explore its driving mechanism. We then further investigate how the outflow observed in the intermediate state, behaves in the following soft state with an Insight-HXMT observation. Finally, we discuss the origin of the absorption and emission lines in EXO 1846–031.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

The Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory (Swift; Gehrels et al. 2004) and NuSTAR observed the outburst of EXO 1846–031 on 2019 August 2 and 3. Insight-HXMT, observed the source on 2019 September 15 (we will use HXMT, short for Insight-HXMT, in the rest of this paper). More details about the observations are shown in Table 1.

The Swift/XRT data were taken in the Windowed Timing mode. We reduced the data using xrtpipeline following the official user’s guide 1. Using the NuSTAR Data Analysis Software (NuSTARDAS v2.0.0), we created the NuSTAR spectra and lightcurves of the source

---

1 https://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/analysis/xrt_swguide_v1_2.pdf
and the background with two circular extraction regions of 80″, one centered at the source and another one centered away from the source. The NuSTAR CALDB (v20200912) is applied in this work.

We extracted the HXMT lightcurves and spectra by following the official user guide 2 and using the latest software HXMTDAS (v2.02) (Zhang et al. 2020). The criteria for screening good time intervals is as follows: 1) the elevation angle >10°; 2) the geomagnetic cutoff rigidity >8 GeV; 3) the pointing offset angle <0.1°; 4) at least 300 s away from the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA). The background was estimated using the scripts LEBKGMAP and MEBKGMAP (Liao et al. 2020; Guo et al. 2020). The energy bands used in the spectral analysis are 1-9 keV and 10-20 keV for the low and medium energy (LE and ME) detectors, respectively (Chen et al. 2020; Cao et al. 2020).

The spectra were grouped into bins to have at least 30 counts (Cash 1979). To account for the neutral hydrogen column absorption of the interstellar medium, we employed the tbabs model and the wilm solar abundance (Wilms et al. 2000) in xspec (v12.11.1). In this paper, all uncertainties are quoted at 1-σ confidence level.

3. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Fig. 1 shows the long-term light curves in the 2–10 keV and 15–50 keV bands observed with MAXI and Swift/BAT in red and black, respectively. It is clear that the peak of hard X-rays precedes that of soft X-rays, showing the canonical evolution of outbursts in LMXBs (e.g. Remillard & McClintock 2006; Belloni 2010; Muñoz-Darias et al. 2011). Liu et al. (2020) conducted a timing analysis of EXO 1846–031 in the same outburst with HXMT and Neutron star Interior Composition Explorer (NICER). Based on the relative changes in the hardness ratio diagram and the fractional rms integrated in the 2−5 − 32 Hz, they classify the entire outburst into four spectral states, i.e. low hard, hard intermediate, soft intermediate and high soft (see the gray dashed lines in Fig. 1). According to their classification, our Swift/XRT and NuSTAR observations took place in the hard intermediate state and the HXMT observation took place in the soft state. Although it is unclear when the source left the soft state in the end because of the low count rate, this does not affect the results present in this paper.

We extracted the NuSTAR background-subtracted light curves in the 3–10 keV and 10–79 keV energy bands (Fig. 2) and calculated the hardness ratio. It is clearly shown that the count rate (the summation of FPMA and FPMB) gradually increases with time by around 10%, accompanied by a reduction of the hardness, i.e. a spectral softening. We hence separated the NuSTAR spectrum into two segments with similar counts, low-flux and high-flux, as the dashed line in Fig. 2 indicates. As to the background-subtracted HXMT light curve, the hardness ratio between the light curves in the 4–10 keV and 1–4 keV bands shows no clear trend with time (see the bottom panel of Fig. 2). We thus conduct a time-average spectral analysis with the HXMT observation.

3.1. Spectral analysis

Since the Swift, NuSTAR and HXMT observations caught EXO 1846–031 in different spectral states, we analyzed them separately and applied different models to fit the spectra with individual requirements.

\[ \text{Table 1. Swift, NuSTAR and HXMT observations of EXO 1846–031 used in this paper.} \]

| Mission/Instrument | ObsID       | MJD        | Exposure (ks) |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|
| Swift/XRT          | 00011500002 | 58697.3    | 1.1           |
| NuSTAR/FPMA        | 90501334002 | 58698.1    | 22.2          |
| NuSTAR/FPMB        |             |            | 22.6          |
| HXMT/LE            | P0214050022 | 58741.1    | 13.8          |
| HXMT/ME            |             |            | 24.6          |

\[ \text{Figure 1. Long-term lightcurves of EXO 1846–031 during its 2019 outburst observed with Swift/BAT (15–50 keV, black points) and MAXI (2–10 keV, red points). The magenta, yellow and blue bars represent the time of the Swift, NuSTAR and HXMT observations. The gray dashed lines indicate the spectral states of EXO 1846–031, taken from Liu et al. (2020). ‘LHS’, ‘HIMS’, ‘SIMS’ and ‘HSS’ are short for low hard, hard intermediate, soft intermediate and high soft states, respectively.} \]

2 http://enghxmt.ihep.ac.cn/sjfxwd/169.jhtml
In both models, `relxill` and `relxilllp`, we adopted the outer radius, $R_{\text{out}} = 400 \, R_g$ ($R_g = GM/c^2$). We assumed that the spin parameter, $a_*$, the inclination of the system, $i$, and the iron abundance, $A_{Fe}$, of the accretion disk do not vary on the time scales of the observation and hence we linked these parameters during the fit to be the same in the two segments. We linked the outer emissivity index to the inner one, $q_{\text{out}} = q_{\text{in}}$, to vary together in `relxill`. Compared to `relxill`, `relxilllp` assumes a lamppost geometry for the illuminating source. When we fitted this component, the spin parameter could not be constrained by the data. We thus fixed it at the upper limit, i.e. $a_* = 0.998$, allowing the maximum space parameter for the disk inner radius.

We got a reasonably good fit by including the reflection component, e.g. in the fit using `relxill`, the best-fit is $\chi^2 = 4329.2$ for 4132 dof. Meanwhile there is a soft excess present at below 5 keV. Adding the component `diskbb` to the model improves the fit with $\Delta \chi^2 = 103.8$ for 4 dof fewer. We therefore include this component in our model.

Additionally, a moderately broad absorption feature appears in the spectra (see Fig. 4). In both segments, the absorption feature is independent of the choice of the reflection model. For the high-flux segment, the strength of the absorption feature is consistent in both the FPMA and B spectra, whereas for the low-flux segment, the feature in the FPMA spectrum is subtle. To test if an absorption line is required in the fit of the low-flux spectra, we set the reflection fraction to a negative value which makes the individual flux of the reflection and the power law, we set the reflection fraction to a negative value which makes the individual flux of the reflection and the power law, we set $\rho_{\text{rel}} = 0.1$ in `relxill` and $\rho_{\text{rel}} = 0.2$ in `relxilllp`, respectively. In order to calculate the individual flux of the reflection and the power law, we set the reflection fraction to a negative value which makes `relxill` and `relxilllp` only account for the reflection component.

We show the best-fitting parameters and the individual fluxes, $F_{\text{dbb}}$, $F_{\text{rel}}$, $F_{\text{pl}}$, and total flux, $F_{\text{tt}}$, in Table 2. Ratio plots of the models are shown in Fig. 3. The fits of the model with either `relxill` or `relxilllp` are equally good and the common parameters in both models are consistent. The inclination angle of the accretion disk

3 http://www.sternwarte.uni-erlangen.de/~dauser/research/relxill/
Miller et al. (2019) have reported the presence of an absorption line in EXO 1846–031 as well as a higher inclination angle, 75°, than ours. To check if we have obtained the inclination angle at a clear global minimum and, to assess a possible correction between the absorption line and the inclination, we plotted $\chi^2$ confidence contours between the inclination and the line energy or width of the $\text{gabs}$ component. Fig. 5 shows that although the disk inclination is correlated to the energy of the $\text{gabs}$, the inclination angle is independent of the width of the $\text{gabs}$ and is lower than 45° at 90% confidence level. If we freeze the inclination angle at 75°, the fit worsens with $\Delta \chi^2 = 26.5$ for 1 dof fewer. We provide a further comparison at the end of Section 4.1.

To explore the physical properties of the absorbing gas which produces the absorption features, we created a grid of photoionization models with XSTAR (Kallman & Bautista 2001) using a power-law spectral input form with $\Gamma = 1.8$ based on the fit to the NuSTAR observation. For the other parameters of this grid of models, we set fixed values of a gas density at $n_{\text{gas}} = 10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$ and a covering fraction at $f = \Omega/4\pi = 0.5$, following the assumptions for disk winds in LMXBs (Miller et al. 2015), a source luminosity at $L = 2.2 \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the energy band 0.01–100 keV (a distance of 8 kpc is adopted from Miller-Jones et al. 2019). We calculated the turbulent velocity of the outflow with the best-fitting energy and width of $\text{gabs}$ and obtained $v_{\text{turb}} = 7000 \pm 4100$ km s$^{-1}$ for the low-flux segment and $v_{\text{turb}} = 13000 \pm 1700$ km s$^{-1}$ for the high-flux segment. We hence adopted an average turbulent velocity of 10000 km s$^{-1}$ as the input. The variable parameters are the iron abundance, $A_{\text{Fe}}$, the equivalent hydrogen column density, $N_{\text{gas}}$, ionization parameter, $\xi_{\text{gas}}$ and the shift velocity, $v$. The grid was read into XSPEC as a multiplicative model to fit the NuSTAR spectra, replacing the line model $\text{gabs}$, in which the iron abundance in the grid photoionization model was linked to that in the reflection model.

The absorption feature is well fit with the precalculated grid. We show the best-fitting parameters of the grid in Table 3. The rest of the parameters obtained here are consistent with those obtained from the fit with $\text{gabs}$. The measured parameters of the low-flux segment are not constrained as well as those of the high-flux, but both suggest a highly ionized and fast outflowing wind in EXO 1846–031.

Once the ionization parameter is obtained, we can use it to calculate the launching radius of the disk winds and the mass outflow rate. We define the launching radius of disk winds as $R_{\text{launch}} = \sqrt{L/n_{\text{gas}}\xi_{\text{gas}}}$ where $L$ is the ionizing luminosity, $n_{\text{gas}}$ is the gas den-
Table 2. Best-fitting parameters of the two NuSTAR segments of EXO 1846–031 with the models \texttt{tbabs*(diskbb+relxill+cutoffpl)*gabs} and \texttt{tbabs*(diskbb+relxilllp+cutoffpl)*gabs} in the energy band 3–79 keV.

| Components | \texttt{relxill} | \texttt{relxilllp} |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|            | low-flux        | high-flux       | low-flux        | high-flux       |
| \texttt{tbabs} | $N_H$ (10^{22} cm^{-2}) | 6.0f | 6.0f |
| \texttt{diskbb} | $T_{dbb}$ (keV) | 0.40 ± 0.04 | 0.52 ± 0.05 | 0.41 ± 0.04 | 0.52 ± 0.06 |
| | $N_{dbb}$ ($R_{dbb}^2/D_{10}^2 \cos i$) | 11849.7$^{+19985}_{-6830.4}$ 1772.2$^{+1961.5}_{-819.8}$ 9816.9$^{+17516.2}_{-5478.8}$ 1582.6$^{+1522.9}_{-779.1}$ |
| \texttt{relxill(lp)} | $q_{in}$ | 2.6 ± 0.4 | 1.9 ± 0.3 | - | - |
| | $h (R_g)$ | - | - | 10.2 ± 5.2 | 21.0$^{+478.4}_{-19.0}$ |
| | $A_{F_{6-7}}$ ($A_{F_{6-7}}$) | 5.0 ± 0.6f | 5.0 ± 0.5f |
| | $i$ (°) | 41.4$^{+2.6}_{-1.5}$ | 38.8$^{+1.6}_{-2.2}$ |
| | $a_*$ | 0.998f | 0.998f |
| | $\Gamma$ | 1.75 ± 0.01 | 1.81 ± 0.01 | 1.76 ± 0.01 | 1.82 ± 0.01 |
| | $E_{cut}$ (keV) | 62.5$^{+2.5}_{-2.0}$ | 63.2 ± 2.6 | 62.0$^{+2.4}_{-3.5}$ | 65.8$^{+2.0}_{-1.3}$ |
| | $\text{Reflfrac}$ | -1f | -1f | -1f | -1f |
| | $R_{in}$ ($R_g$) | 3.1$^{+2.7}_{-1.0}$ | 1.8$^{+1.9}_{-0.9}$ | 3.3$^{+1.7}_{-0.8}$ | 59.5$^{+1.2}_{-0.9}$ |
| | $\log \xi$ (erg cm$^{-s^{-1}}$) | 3.71$^{+0.04}_{-0.12}$ | 3.82 ± 0.05 | 3.72$^{+0.04}_{-0.11}$ | 3.91 ± 0.05 |
| | $N_{rel(lp)}$ (10^{-3}) | 2.0 ± 0.2 | 2.2 ± 0.2 | 8.2 ± 1.5 | 6.7$^{+3.4}_{-1.1}$ |
| \texttt{cutoffpl} | $N_{pl}$ | 1.2 ± 0.1 | 1.3 ± 0.1 | 1.2 ± 0.1 | 1.3 ± 0.1 |
| \texttt{gabs} | $E_{gabs}$ (keV) | 7.25 ± 0.05 | 7.24 ± 0.05 | 7.24 ± 0.04 | 7.23 ± 0.05 |
| | $\sigma_{gabs}$ (keV) | 0.17 ± 0.10 | 0.31 ± 0.04 | 0.17 ± 0.09 | 0.37 ± 0.07 |
| | strength (10^{-2}) | 2.0 ± 0.6 | 3.6 ± 0.6 | 2.0 ± 0.4 | 4.1 ± 1.0 |
| \texttt{const} | FPMA | 1f | 1f |
| | FPMB | 1.003 ± 0.001 | 1.003 ± 0.001 |
| | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. | 4122.2/4122 | 4124.7/4122 |

Note: The first and the second groups are corresponding to the fits with \texttt{relxill} and \texttt{relxilllp}, respectively. The symbol f indicates that the parameters are linked to vary across the observations; p means that the parameter pegs at its limit; f means that the parameter is fixed during the fit. All the unabsorbed fluxes, $F_{dbb}$, $F_{rel}$, $F_{pl}$ and $F_{it}$, are calculated in the energy band of 2–10 keV in units of $10^{-9}$ erg cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$.

sity and $\xi_{gas}$ is the ionization parameter (Tarter et al. 1969; Kallman & Bautista 2001). Regarding the wind mass outflow rate, we estimated it through $M_{wind} = \Omega\mu m_p v L/\xi_{gas}$, where $\Omega$ is the opening angle ($\Omega = 2\pi$ is assumed), $\mu$ is the average molecular weight of the gas ($\mu = 1.23$ is assumed as in some other black-hole systems, e.g. Janiuk et al. 2015; Trueba et al. 2019), $m_p$ is the mass of a proton and $v$ is the outflow velocity. The mass accretion rate is roughly estimated as $M_{acc} = L/(\eta c^2)$ where the efficiency $\eta = 0.1$ is assumed. The calculated launching radius and the ratio of the mass outflow rate over the mass accretion rate are also listed in Table 3. Overall, all the parameters of the photoionization model of the two segments are consistent within errors.

3.1.2. The soft component dominated state

For the HXMT observation, we jointly fitted the continuum of the LE and ME spectra in the energy bands 2–9 keV and 10–20 keV with the model \texttt{tbabs*(diskbb+cutoffpl)}. This gives a fit with $\chi^2 = 1053.6$ for 991 dof. Surprisingly, the spectrum in the soft state does not show the need of an absorption line as the source in the intermediate state, but requires a narrow emission line around 6.7 keV (see the residuals in Fig. 6a). Besides that, there seems to be a weak excess appearing at above 10 keV.
A variable ionized disk wind in EXO 1846–031

Figure 5. Contour plots for the energy or the width of the absorption line vs disk inclination at the 68% (red), 90% (green) and 99% (blue) confidence levels. The best-fitting values of these parameters are marked with a cross.

Table 3. The assumed input and measured output parameters of the xstar model applied to the NuSTAR segments and the derived wind properties in EXO 1846–031.

|               | xstar | low-flux | high-flux |
|---------------|-------|----------|-----------|
| input Γ -1.8  | -1.8  |          |           |
| L (10^{38} erg s^{-1}) | 2.2    |          |           |
| log n_{gas} (cm^{-3}) | 15     |          |           |
| f = Ω/4π      | 0.5   |          |           |
| v_{turb} (km s^{-1}) | 10000  | 10000    | 10000     |
| output N_{gas} (10^{22} cm^{-2}) | 1.00_{-0.20}^{+0.30} | 1.00_{-0.20}^{+0.30} | 1.00_{-0.20}^{+0.30} |
| log ξ_{gas} (erg cm s^{-1}) | 6.40_{-0.15}^{+0.90} | 6.23 ± 0.04 | 6.23 ± 0.04 |
| ν/c           | -0.05 ± 0.02 | -0.06 ± 0.01 | -0.06 ± 0.01 |
| measurement R_{launch} (10^{10} cm) | 0.03 ± 0.02 | 0.04 ± 0.002 |
| M_{wind} (10^{18} g s^{-1}) | 1.70_{-0.59}^{+0.75} | 3.01 ± 0.28 |
| M_{wind}/M_{acc} | 0.69_{-0.24}^{+0.53} | 1.23 ± 0.11 |

Figure 6. Residuals of the HXMT spectra to different models. The black and red lines represent the LE and ME spectrum, respectively.

Both features, an emission line at ∼ 6.7 keV and an excess at above 10 keV, hint to the presence of a reflection spectrum. After adding the reflection component relxill to the model, the fit improved with Δχ^2 = 76.9 for 6 dof fewer. However, Fig. 6b shows that the emission feature still ap-
pears. We hence added a \texttt{gaussian} component to account for this feature which improves the spectral fit by $\Delta \chi^2 = 40.5$ for 3 dof fewer. The overall model is \texttt{tbabs*(diskbb+gaussian+relxill+cutoffpl)}. Here \texttt{relxill} only accounts for the reflection spectrum when a negative reflection fraction is adopted. We show the individual component in Fig. 7a and the best-fitting parameters, as well as the individual flux of each component, in Table 4.

The disk temperature obtained from the fit to the \textit{HXMT} spectra is $0.94 \pm 0.01$ keV. The inner radius of the accretion disk is between $2.7 - 4.1 \ R_g$. Compared to the intermediate state, the higher \texttt{diskbb} temperature, higher photon index and smaller inner radius are consistent with the fact that the source evolves to the soft state. However, the hard component in this model is somehow negligible: adding this component has no effect on the fit. This result seems very odd that a reflection spectrum exists without an illuminating source, i.e. a hard coronal component in this case.

We then replaced the reflection component \texttt{relxill} with its lamppost version \texttt{relxilllp} to fit the \textit{HXMT} spectra. Again, the narrow emission line at $\sim 6.7$ keV appears on top of the broad line (see Fig. 6c). After adding a \texttt{gaussian} component, the best-fitting parameters in both models are consistent (see the relevant results in Figs. 6 and 7 and Table 4). Similar to the result with \texttt{relxill}, the hard component in \texttt{relxilllp} is also negligible.

We use the ratio, $R_s$, to parameterize the strength of the reflection as a ratio of the fluxes of the reflection component to that of the incident continuum in a selected energy band, as used by e.g. Tao et al. (2015); Dauser et al. (2016). We calculate $R_s$ in the 10–20 keV: for the case of \texttt{relxill}, $R_s \approx 2 \times 10^8$ and for the case of \texttt{relxilllp}, $R_s \approx 5 \times 10^8$. Dauser et al. (2016) report that the reflection strength is strongly dependent on the disk inclination angle and the coronal height if a lamppost geometry is assumed. Nevertheless, for no configuration such a high reflection strength is expected.

Inspired by the work of Connors et al. (2020), a reflection spectrum can be produced by the disk self-irradiation when the source is in a very soft state. While the inner accretion disk approaches the inner stable circular orbit (ISCO), the gravitational pull of the black hole could bend the thermal photons back and illuminate itself. The model, \texttt{relxillNS} (T. Dauser & J. García, in prep), was originally designed for the reflection originating from the accretion disk in a neutron-star system, in which the illuminating source is a single-temperature blackbody. As in Connors et al. (2020), we fixed the inner radius in \texttt{relxillNS} at the ISCO where the \texttt{diskbb} temperature is assumed to be sufficient enough to illuminate the disk. The electron density and the iron abundance of the accretion disk are degenerated and always pegs at their upper limits; we thus fixed the electron density, log $(n_e / \text{cm}^{-3}) = 19$, and allowed the iron abundance to vary.

We show the result of the fit with \texttt{relxillNS} in Figs. 6 and 7 and Table 4. The inclination angle and the iron abundance are consistent with the values obtained in the intermediate state. Besides that, the photon index derived from \texttt{relxillNS} is $1.97 \pm 0.09$, much lower than the ones derived from \texttt{relxill} and \texttt{relxilllp}.

If we do not include any reflection component in the model (see the residuals in Fig. 6f), the fit becomes significantly worse, $\Delta \chi^2 = 75.1$ for 7 dof more, with associated F-test probability $\sim 1 \times 10^{-13}$. In this step, we fixed the centroid energy and the width of \texttt{gaussian} at their best-fitting values, i.e. $E_{\text{gau}} = 6.73$ keV and $\sigma_{\text{gau}} = 0.14$ keV, to avoid affecting other features. Fig. 6f shows that besides the high energy hump, there is a slight excess centered at $5 - 6$ keV. Adding a single blackbody component, \texttt{bbbody} in \texttt{xspec}, we obtained a fit with $\chi^2 = 965.2$ for 988 dof which is worse than the fit with \texttt{relxillNS} with $\Delta \chi^2 = 21.2$ for 5 dof more. Meanwhile, the best-fitting photon index increases to 5.56$^{+0.71}_{-0.53}$ which makes this case less convincing.

Figs. 6b, c and d show that the residual feature at $\sim 6.7$ keV is independent of the choice of the reflection component. We calculated the line significance by simulating 1000 spectra using the \texttt{xspec} script \texttt{simftest} in which the centroid energy of the \texttt{gaussian} component are allowed to vary within its 1-$\sigma$ confidence region. Based on the model with \texttt{relxillNS}, the observed change in $\chi^2$ is 37.9 and the probability of finding such a change by chance is $1.1 \times 10^{-21}$ which corresponds to 9.5$\sigma$ significance.

To better understand the nature of this narrow emission line, we tested two possibilities here. We first tried the possibility of a distant reflector, fitting the line with an unblurred reflection component \texttt{xillver}. We linked all the parameters in \texttt{xillver} to those in \texttt{relxillNS} except for the ionization parameter and normalization. Neither setting the ionization parameter in \texttt{relxillNS} to be zero nor linking it to that in \texttt{relxillNS} could fit the line. The fit to the spectra with the model \texttt{tbabs*(diskbb+xillver+relxillNS+cutoffpl)} is reasonable, $\chi^2 = 946.0$ for 984 dof. However, the best-fitting ionization parameter is $3.77^{+0.17}_{-0.02}$ even higher than the one derived from \texttt{relxillNS}. This result is in conflict with our initial assumption of a distant reflection.
A variable ionized disk wind in EXO 1846–031

We then tried to see if the narrow line is the re-emission from disk winds although without the sign of an absorption feature. We produced a grid of models with xSTAR again but adopting a bbody input spectrum with a blackbody temperature of 0.92 keV. We applied the same assumptions for the gas density and covering fraction as listed in Table 3 but changed the luminosity and the turbulent velocity to $3.9 \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and 5000 km s$^{-1}$, respectively, based on the best-fitting parameters for the HXMT spectra. We replaced the component gaussian with the precalculated grid and obtained the best fit with $\chi^2 = 942.1$ for 982 dof, the hydrogen equivalent column density, $10^{15} < N < 10^{22}$, the ionization parameter, $\log \xi = 4.41^{+0.24}_{-0.44}$, the blueshifted velocity, $v < 0.008c$ and the normalization, $N = 0.13^{+0.66}_{-0.08}$. Apparently our data cannot constrain this complex photoionization model.

4. DISCUSSION

After over three decades of quiescence, the black-hole candidate EXO 1846–031 was again active. We studied its spectral properties in the hard intermediate and soft states in the 2019 outburst with NuSTAR and HXMT observations. A reflection component is present in both states that becomes weaker from the intermediate to the soft state. Fits with a reflection component yield an inclination angle of the accretion disk of $\sim 40^\circ$ with respect to the line of sight. Besides that, a moderately broad absorption line has been observed at $\sim 7.2$ keV in the intermediate state but it disappears in the soft state, whereas a narrow emission is present at $\sim 6.7$ keV instead. In the following sections we discuss the possible origin of the absorption and emission lines observed in EXO 1846–031.

4.1. Disk wind in the hard intermediate state

There is evidence for an anti-correlation between jets and disk winds in LMXBs: jets are present in the hard state and disk winds are present in the soft state (e.g. Miller et al. 2006b, 2008). However, some studies show that jets and disk winds can co-exist in the hard state (e.g. Homan et al. 2016; Gatuzz et al. 2020). Moreover, Homan et al. (2016) suggested that disk winds and jets do not need to be mutually exclusive in LMXBs when a source luminosity is higher than a few tens of percent of the Eddington luminosity.

Radio emissions of EXO 1846–031 have been detected with the VLA on August 1 (Miller-Jones et al. 2019) and MeerKAT on August 4 (Williams et al. 2019), respectively, indicating the presence of a jet. The NuSTAR observation, accompanied with an absorption line at $\sim 7.2$ keV was performed on August 3, in between the radio detection. Therefore, the jet and disk wind are probably present simultaneously in EXO 1846–031. Adopting a distance of 8 kpc to the source (Miller-Jones et al. 2019) and a BH mass of 3.24 $M_\odot$ (Strohmayer & Nicer Observatory Science Working Group 2020) gives an X-ray luminosity of $\sim 0.1 L_{\text{Edd}}$ on August 3, consistent with the conclusion reported by Homan et al. (2016). Adopting a larger BH mass, the corresponding X-ray luminosity would be lower than $\sim 0.1 L_{\text{Edd}}$, suggesting that for the co-existence of jets and disk winds, it is not essential that a source is
brighter than tens of percent of the Eddington luminosity.

Thermally driven winds may occur when the launching radius is greater than the Compton radius, $R_C$, where the gravitational and thermal pressures are equal. Previous work suggests that such winds can even be driven from 0.1 $R_C$ (Begelman et al. 1983; Woods et al. 1996). The Compton radius is given by

$$R_C = \frac{10^{10}}{T_{C,8}} \times \frac{M_{BH}}{M_\odot} \text{ cm},$$

where $T_{C,8}$ is in units of $10^8$ K. We calculate the Compton temperature via

$$T_{C,8} = \frac{h \int \nu E_\nu d\nu}{4k \int \nu E_\nu d\nu},$$

where $E_\nu$ is the illuminating spectrum, $h$ is the Planck constant and $k$ is the Boltzmann constant (Frank et al. 1985). When the spectrum is dominated by a cutoff power law in the intermediate state, we assume $E_\nu = K \nu^{-\Gamma}$, where $K$ is the normalization.
tion of cutofffpl. Adopting the best-fitting photon index and cutoff energy for either the low- or high-flux segment, this gives a Compton temperature of $T_{C,8} = 0.11 \pm 0.01$. Bringing this Compton temperature to Eq. 1, we obtain $R_C = 29.5 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{10}$ cm when adopting a BH mass of 3.24 $M_\odot$ from Strohmayer & Nicer Observatory Science Working Group (2020).

The launching radius is therefore about three orders of magnitude smaller than the Compton radius, $R_{\text{launch}} = 0.001 R_C$; for both segments. If we considered a larger BH mass for EXO 1846–031, the Compton radius would increase accordingly and hence the launching radius would be smaller, making it even less likely that such wind is driven by thermal expansion. Although by taking radiation pressure due to electron scattering into account, the launching radius could extend down to 0.01 $R_C$ (still one order of magnitude larger than our measurement), this requires a near-Eddington luminosity (Proga & Kallman 2002) or a sub-Eddington luminosity when the gas temperature $< 10^5$ K (Proga 2007). As to line interaction, it is only effective for the gas with low ionization (Proga et al. 2000). We therefore rule out the possibility of a radiation-driven wind in our case. This leaves magnetic forces as the only viable driving mechanism for the observed wind in EXO 1846–031.

Although disk winds have been largely detected in high-inclination systems, they have been detected in some low-inclination systems as well, for instance GX 340+0 (Miller et al. 2016), 1RXS J180408.9-342058 (Degenaar et al. 2016) and MAXI J1631-479 (Xu et al. 2020). Thermally driven winds are concentrated in the plane of the disk and hence the line of sight is more likely to intercept the wind in high-inclination systems (e.g. Miller et al. 2006b; Tomaru et al. 2020). On the other hand, Chakravorty et al. (2016) suggests that magnetically driven winds can be observable at low inclination angles, which would match with our observations, although Chakravorty et al. (2016) also reports that such winds can only be produced in the soft states. In conclusion, further studies are required to understand the observed winds/absorption lines in EXO 1846–031.

Draghis et al. (2020) applied the same reflection models relxilllp to fit the same NuSTAR observation of EXO 1846–031 as we did in this work. With a different model assumption, they derived different constraints of the system properties, e.g. a high spin parameter, $a_\ast = 0.995 - 0.998$, a high inclination angle of the accretion disk, $i \approx 73^\circ$ and a sub-solar iron abundance, $A_{Fe} = 0.58 - 0.87$. We re-examined the NuSTAR data to explore why we obtained so divergent results with the model tbabs*(diskbb+relxilll). The absorption line model is removed to avoid the effect of the degeneracy between relxill and gabs. The result shows that the reflection fraction (reflfrac in relxill) played a key role here. If we freeze the reflection fraction to be 1, as Draghis et al. (2020) assumed in their work, let the spin parameter free, and keep the other assumption for relxill as described in Section 3.1.1 in the fit, we would obtain a spin parameter, $a_\ast = 0.96 \pm 0.02$, a much higher inclination angle, $i = 84.4 \pm 0.2^\circ$ and a sub-solar iron abundance, $A_{Fe} = 0.67 \pm 0.03$, with a worse fit $\Delta \chi^2 = 112.3$ for 1 dof more. Because we split the NuSTAR observation into two segments, if we use the same assumption as in Draghis et al. (2020), we would have more free parameters in the model and thus cannot constrain parameters as well as they did. Since the only thing we want to show here is that the best-fitting parameters Draghis et al. (2020) obtained are strongly dependent on the value of the reflection fraction, we did not conduct this test further.

4.2. Illuminating source in the soft state

We conducted a spectral analysis of the observations of EXO 1846–031 in both the intermediate and soft states. For the spectra in the intermediate state, we fitted the continuum with the same model but fitted the reflection spectrum with either relxill or relxilllp. The best-fitting parameters are consistent within the two models and are in good agreement with the spectral state. However, the fit to the spectra in the soft state is debatable. As described in Section 3.1.2, we have fitted the HXMT spectra with three versions of the reflection models, relxill, relxilllp and relxillNS, which assume different types and geometries of its illuminating source.

In the fits with either relxill or relxilllp, the hard component, which is the required illuminating source for both models, is negligible. We define the reflection strength as a ratio of the reflected to the incident flux in the 10–20 keV where we see a Compton hump in the HXMT observation. The reflection strength is $2 \times 10^8$ for relxill and $5 \times 10^8$ for relxilllp, both of which are unrealistically high for any configuration.

Moreover, the best-fitting photon index derived from relxill or relxilllp is around 3. Such a high value of the photon index is normally observed in a ‘very high’ state (VHS) or ‘steep power-law’ (SPL) state in which the spectrum is characterized by a strong power-law component (Miyamoto et al. 1993; van der Klis 2004). This is in conflict with the fact that the soft component diskbb contributes over 96% of the total flux in this observation when fitting the reflection spectrum with
either \texttt{relxill} or \texttt{relxilllp}. If we freeze $\Gamma$ at 2, the fit worsens by $\Delta \chi^2 = 24.6$ meanwhile the best-fitting inclination angle peaks at 3°, not consistent with the value derived from the fit to the \textit{NuSTAR} spectrum in the intermediate state. Overall, both models are very doubtful for explaining the reflection features present in the \textit{HXMT} observation.

In terms of \texttt{relxillNS}, the model fits the data well and the derived iron abundance and the inclination angle of the accretion disk are in accordance with the ones derived from the fit to the \textit{NuSTAR} spectrum in the intermediate state. These results leaves us a possibility that we may observe another case of the disk self-irradiating reflection spectrum in a BHXB (the first one is reported by Connors et al. 2020). When the inner radius of the accretion disk reaches the ISCO, the gravity of the central compact source is strong enough to bend the light from the disk on itself. To explore if this is a physically viable theory for EXO 1846–031, we calculate the fraction of photons returning to the disk based on General relativistic ray tracing simulations (see more details in Connors et al. 2020). Using the code developed by Yang & Wang (2013) and adopting a standard prescription for the disk emission with zero torque condition (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Poutanen et al. 2007), we estimated the fraction as a function of spin. Assuming a spin of 0.998, the estimated fraction is about 18%, in good agreement with the observed value, i.e. $F_{\text{relns}}/F_{\text{fit}} = 18\%$ (see Table 4). This result suggests that disk self-irradiation could be the origin of the observed reflection feature in EXO 1846–031. However, it should be kept in mind that this is just a rough estimate of the photons returning to the disk, which could be very different from what the disk sees in reality when taking General Relativity energy shifts into account. Further exploration is beyond the scope of this work. More details will be presented in T. Dauser & J. García (in prep).

4.3. \textit{Origin of the narrow emission line in the soft state}

Disk winds, identified by absorption lines have been commonly observed in LMXBs in the soft state (e.g. Miller et al. 2008; Nielsen & Lee 2009; Miller et al. 2015). However, we only observed absorption lines in the intermediate rather than the soft state in EXO 1846–031. As we mentioned in Section 3.1.2, a narrow emission line at $\sim 6.7$ keV is present on top of the reflection component regardless of the choice of a reflection model. We have tested two possibilities for its origin, a distant reflection or re-emission from disk winds. The former has been ruled out since, for the same electron density, the fit of a distant reflection yields an even higher ionization parameter than the near one. The latter cannot be confirmed either since the overall model is too complex to be constrained by the \textit{HXMT} data.

Díaz Trigo et al. (2014) suggested another possible origin for a narrow emission line present in BHXBs. By analyzing six \textit{XMM-Newton} observations of 4U 1630–47, Díaz Trigo et al. (2014) interpreted the disappearance of a disk wind, i.e. absence of an absorption line, as a consequence of strong ionization of the wind when 4U 1630–47 transited from the high soft to the VHS/SPL and attributed a narrow emission line above 7 keV to arise in an optically thin jet while 4U 1630–46 was in the VHS/SPL. Although without including any radio observation in our work it is hard to tell the presence of jets in EXO 1846–031 in the soft state, the observed hard emission seems too weak to be responsible for that.

If the narrow line is not from disk winds, then the disk winds observed in the intermediate state disappeared in the soft state. Since the input source in the soft state is much brighter than the one in the intermediate state, the disk wind could become ‘transparent’ due to a strong ionization as Díaz Trigo et al. (2014) suggested. Overall, observations with the higher spectral resolution are required to examine this result.

5. CONCLUSION

We analyzed \textit{NuSTAR} and \textit{HXMT} observations of EXO 1846–031 in the hard intermediate and soft states. By fitting a reflection component we obtained an inclination angle of $\sim 40^\circ$ with respect to the line of sight. Our fit of the absorption line at $\sim 7.2$ keV indicates a highly ionized fast outflowing disk wind with an ionization parameter, log $\xi > 6.1$, and a velocity up to 0.06c. The quasi-simultaneous radio emissions reported in the literature suggest jets and disk winds probably co-exist in EXO 1846–031. With the modest spectral resolution of \textit{NuSTAR}, the observed wind appears to be magnetic driven.

A weak reflection component has been detected in the \textit{HXMT} observation in the soft state. We tried to fit it with the different versions of reflection models \texttt{relxillNS}, \texttt{relxill}, and \texttt{relxilllp} and all of them returned a reasonable fit. For the fit with \texttt{relxillNS}, this model describes the reflection spectrum well and the obtained inclination angle and the iron abundance are consistent with the ones derived in the intermediate state, implying a possible detection of self-irradiating disk reflection. Assuming a standard accretion disk with zero torque condition (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973), Connors et al. (2020) found that $\sim 5\%$ of the photons could return to the disk when a spin of 0.5 is adopted, which is well matched with their observation. In the case of EXO 1846–031, the fraction of the reflected flux with
respect to the direct emission is \( \sim 18\% \), over three times larger than their detection. However, using the same assumption and meanwhile adopting a spin of 0.998, the estimation of the returning photons is also being three times larger than that in Connors et al. (2020). Overall, this result states that disk self-irradiation is able to produce the reflection features observed in EXO 1846–031 in the soft state. As to the latter two models, both of them require a very large photon index and an extremely high reflection strength, making both scenarios less convincing. The origin of the narrow emission line at \( \sim 6.7 \) keV is still debatable. X-ray data with the higher spectral resolution, e.g. Chandra and X-Ray Imaging and Spectroscopy Mission (XRISM; Tashiro et al. 2018; XRISM Science Team 2020), are required to further investigate this.
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