Weight hierarchy of a class of linear codes relating to non-degenerate quadratic forms
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1 Introduction

Let $p^n$ be a power of an odd prime $p$. Denote $F_{p^n}$ the finite field with $p^n$ elements and $F_{p^n}^*$ the multiplicative group of $F_{p^n}$.

If $C$ is a $k$-dimensional $F_p$-vector subspace of $F_p^n$, then it is called an $[n, k, d]$-ary linear code with length $n$ and minimum Hamming distance $d$ [10]. For linear code $C$, the concept of generalized Hamming weights(GHW) $d_r(C)(0 < r \leq k)$ can be viewed as the extension of Hamming weight (see [13], [15]). Let $[C, r]_p$ be the set of all $r$-dimensional $F_p$-vector subspaces of $C$. For $V \in [C, r]_p$, define $\text{Supp}(V) = \{i | x_i \neq 0 \text{ for some } x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \in V\}$. Then we define the $r$-th generalized Hamming weight(GHW) $d_r(C)$ of linear code $C$ by

$$d_r(C) = \min\{|\text{Supp}(V)| V \in [C, r]_p\}.$$
In particular, \( d_1(C) = d \). And \( \{d_i(C) | 1 \leq i \leq k \} \) is called the weight hierarchy of \( C \).

Generalized Hamming weight of linear codes has been an interesting topic in both theory and practice for many years. In 1991, Wei in the paper [18] presented his classic results, in which GHW was shown that it can characterise the cryptography performance of linear codes used on the wire-tap channel of type \( II \). From then on, much more attention was paid to the generalized Hamming weight. A detailed survey on the results up to 1995 about GHW can be found in [17]. Afterwards lots of authors devoted themselves to generalized Hamming weight about particular classes of codes [1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 11, 12, 19, 20]. Recently, Minghui Yang et al. in their work [20] gave a very constructive method for the GHWs of irreducible cyclic codes. Generally, it is hard to settle the weight hierarchy of a linear code.

Ding et al. proposed a generic construction of linear code as below [4, 5]. Let \( \text{Tr} \) be the trace function from \( F_{p^m} \) to \( F_p \) and \( D = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n\} \) be contained in \( F_{p^m}^* \). Define a \( p \)-ary linear code \( C_D \) with length \( n \) as following.

\[
C_D = \{(\text{Tr}(xd_1), \text{Tr}(xd_2), \ldots, \text{Tr}(xd_n)) : x \in F_{p^m}\}
\]  

and \( D \) is called the defining set. Many classes of linear codes with few weights were obtained by choosing properly defining sets [3, 15, 21, 22, 24]. In this paper, we discuss the generalized Hamming weights of a class of \( p \)-ary linear codes \( C_D \), whose defining set was chosen to be

\[
D = D_a = \{x \in F_{p^m}^* | f(x) = a\}, \quad a \in F_p.
\]

Here \( f \) is a non-degenerate quadratic form over \( F_{p^m} \).

And the weight hierarchy of \( C_{D_a} \) can be deduced by Theorem 9 in [25], in which Mr. Wan used the theory of finite projective geometry for the purpose.

In reference [23], Kelan Ding and Cunsheng Ding presented the linear codes \( C_{D_a} \) of the case \( a = 0 \) for the special quadratic form \( \text{Tr}(X^2) \) and determined their weight distributions. In [22] and [7], the weight distributions of \( C_{D_a} \) for general quadratic forms were settled. By the main results of them, we know that \( C_{D_a} \) is an \( m \)-dimensional linear code. So we can employ a general formula for linear codes defined in (1) to calculate \( d_r(C_{D_a}) \). We give it in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** (Theorem 1, [16]) For each \( r(1 \leq r \leq m) \), if the dimension of \( C_D \) is \( m \), then \( d_r(C_D) = n - \max\{|D \cap H| : H \in \{F_{p^m}, m - r\}_p\} \).

The rest of this paper is organised as follows: in Section 2, we present some basic definitions and results on quadratic form over subspace of finite fields and dual spaces; in Section 3, using the results in Section 2, we give all the generalized Hamming weights of linear codes defined in (2); in Section 4, we give the conclusion of this paper.
2 Quadratic Form over Subspace of Finite Fields

We may view $F_{p^m}$ as an $m$-dimensional vector space over $F_p$. Namely, $F_{p^m}$ is $F_p$-linearly isomorphic to $F_{p^m}$. We fix $m$ elements $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_m \in F_{p^m}$ as a basis and express each vector $X \in F_{p^m}$ in the unique form $X = x_1v_1 + x_2v_2 + x_mv_m$, for some $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m \in F_p$. By the isomorphism, we can write $X = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m)^T$, where $T$ represents the transpose of a matrix.

Now we fix a basis $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_m \in F_{p^m}$. Recall that a map $f : F_{p^m} \rightarrow F_p$ is called a quadratic form over $F_{p^m}$ with values in $F_p$ if

$$ f(X) = f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} a_{ij}x_ix_j, a_{ij} \in F_p, $$

for each element $X = x_1v_1 + x_2v_2 + x_mv_m$. Denote $F(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}[f(X + Y) - f(X) - f(Y)]$, so $f(X) = F(X, X)$. If $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, we can write $f(X) = X^TA$, where $A$ is the symmetric matrix $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$. The rank $R_f$ of quadratic form $f$ is defined to be the rank of matrix $A$. It is well-known that $a_{ii} = f(v_i), a_{ij} = F(v_i, v_j)$.

For a subspace $H \subseteq F_{p^m}$, the dual space $H^\perp$ of $H$ under quadratic form $f$ is defined by

$$ H^\perp = \{ x \in F_{p^m} | f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) \text{ for each } y \in H \}. $$

Let $G$ be another subspace of $F_{p^m}$. If $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for each $x \in H$ and $y \in G$, we say that $H$ and $G$ are perpendicular to each other under quadratic form $f$. It is denoted by $H \perp G$. It is well-known that $R_f + \dim(F_{p^m}^\perp) = m$.

If $R_f = m$, we say that the quadratic form $f$ is non-degenerate. And the discriminant $\Delta(f)$ of the quadratic form $f$ is defined as the determinant $\det(A)$ of $A$. We can find a invertible matrix $M$ such that $M^TAM$ is a diagonal matrix $A = diag(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_{R_f}, 0, \cdots, 0)$. When $0 < R_f < m$, we also can define the discriminant $\Delta(f) = \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{R_f}$. When $R_f = 0$, we define $\Delta(f) = 1$. It is easy to see that $\Delta(f)$ varies with the change of the basis of $F_{p^m}$. But $\overline{7}(\Delta(f))$ is an invariant, which is called the sign of the quadratic form $f$. We denote it by $\epsilon_f$. Here $\overline{7}$ is the quadratic character of $F_p$. Meanwhile, $\overline{7}(0)$ is defined to be zero.

Let $H$ be a $d$-dimensional subspace of $F_{p^m}$. Restricting the quadratic form $f$ on $H$, we get a quadratic form over $H$ in $d$ variables. It is denoted by $f|_H$.

Similarly, we define the dual space $H^\perp|_H$ of $H$ under $f|_H$ in itself by

$$ H^\perp|_H = \{ x \in H | f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y) \text{ for each } y \in H \}. $$

Let $R_{f|_H}, \Delta(f|_H)$ be the rank and discriminant of $f|_H$ over $H$, respectively. Obviously, $H^\perp|_H = H \cap H^\perp$ and $R_{f|_H} = d - \dim(H^\perp|_H)$.

For $k$ elements $\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_k \in F_{p^m}$, the discriminant $\Delta(\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_k)$ of them is defined as the determinant of the matrix $M = (F(\beta_i, \beta_j))_{1 \leq i,j \leq k}$.

From now on, we discuss only the non-degenerate quadratic forms. Its symmetric matrix is $(F(v_i, v_j))_{1 \leq i,j \leq m}$ if we fix an $F_p$-basis $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_m$. 

of $F_{p^m}$. Thus we have that the discriminant $\Delta(f) = \Delta(v_1, v_2 \cdots v_m)$. For a subspace $H$ of $F_{p^m}$, we know that $\dim(H) + \dim(H^\perp) = m$ and denote $R_H = R_{\mu \perp} \Delta_H = \Delta(f_H)$. If we fix an $F_p$-basis $\mu_1, \mu_2 \cdots \mu_d$ of $H$, then $\Delta_H = \Delta(\mu_1, \mu_2 \cdots \mu_d)$. Let $v(x)$ be a function over $F_p$ defined by $v(x) = p - 1$ if $x = 0$, otherwise $v(x) = -1$. For $a \in F_p$, set $\overline{D_a} = \{ x \in F_{p^m} | f(x) = a \}$. Then we have following results.

**Proposition 1.** Let $H$ be a $d$-dimensional ($d > 0$) subspace of $F_{p^m}$, then

$$|H \cap \overline{D_a}| = \begin{cases} p^{d-1} + v(a)\overline{\pi(-1)^{k_H} \Delta_H} p^t - \frac{R_H t + 2}{2} & \text{if } R_H \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ p^{d-1} + \overline{\pi(-1)^{k_H} \Delta_H} p^t - \frac{R_H t + 1}{2} & \text{if } R_H \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** It is the natural result of quadratic forms from Theorem 6.26 and 6.27 in [13]. We omit the details.

**Proposition 2.** For each $r(0 < 2r < m)$, there exist an $r$-dimensional subspace $H \subseteq F_{p^m}$ ($m > 2$) such that $H \subseteq H^\perp$, i.e., $H \perp H$.

**Proof.** It suffices to prove that there exist $r$ elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r \in F_{p^m}$ satisfying the following two conditions:

1. $F(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq r$;
2. $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r$ are linearly independent over $F_p$.

If $r = 1$, by Lemma 1, $\overline{D_0} \neq \{0\}$. So it is true for $r = 1$. Suppose we have $k$ elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k$, which satisfy the two conditions. It might as well assume $2k + 2 < m$. Otherwise, the proof is finished. Let $H_k = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k \rangle$. So $H_k^\perp$ is an $(m - k)$-dimensional subspace. By Proposition 1, $|\overline{D_0} \cap H_k^\perp| = p^{m-k} - \frac{R_H t + 1}{2}$ if $t$ is even, otherwise $|\overline{D_0} \cap H_k^\perp| = p^{m-k-1}$. Here $t = R_H^k$. Let $V_k = \{ x \in H_k^\perp | F(x, y) = 0, y \in H_k^\perp \}$. So $\dim(V_k) = m - k - t$. Since $V_k \subseteq H_k, m - k - t \leq k$. Hence $m - 2k > 2$. Therefore $|\overline{D_0} \cap H_k^\perp| > p^{m-k-1} - (p - 1)p^{m-k-2} = p^{m-k-2} > p^k$. We obtain that there is an element $\alpha_{k+1} \in (\overline{D_0} \cap H_k^\perp) \setminus H_k$. So $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}$ are $(k+1)$ elements that match the conditions as above. By mathematical induction, we finish the proof.

**Proposition 3.** Let $m = 2s > 2$. There exists an $s$-dimensional subspace $H_s \subseteq F_{p^m}$ such that $H_s = H_s^\perp$ if and only if $\epsilon_f = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{4}}$.

**Proof.** By Proposition 2, there exist $(s - 1)$ elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{s-1}$ that match the following conditions:

1. $F(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq s - 1$;
2. $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{s-1}$ are linearly independent over $F_p$.

Let $H_{s-1} = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{s-1} \rangle$. So $H_{s-1}^\perp$ is an $(s + 1)$-dimensional subspace and the rank of quadratic form $f(x)$ over $H_{s-1}^\perp$ is $2$. Let

$$H_{s-1}^\perp = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s, \alpha_{s+1} \rangle$$
and \( H_2 = \langle \alpha_s, \alpha_{s+1} \rangle \). It is easy to see \( \Delta_{H^+_{s-1}} = \Delta(\alpha_s, \alpha_{s+1}) \) and \( H_2 \cap H^+_{s-1} = \{ \} \). Suppose

\[
H^+_{s-1} = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{s-1}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{s-1} \rangle
\]

So \( \Delta_m = \Delta(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s, \alpha_{s+1}, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{s-1}) = (-1)^{s+1} \det(M^2) \Delta_{H^+_{s-1}} \).

Here \( M \) is the square matrix \((F(\alpha_i, \beta_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq s-1}\). By Proposition 1, we have

\[
|H^+_{s-1} \cap D| = p^s + \overline{\theta}((-1)^s \Delta(f))(p - 1)p^{s-1}.
\]

If \( \overline{\theta}(\Delta(f)) = (-1)^{\frac{m(s-1)}{2}} \), then

\[
|H^+_{s-1} \cap D| = 2p^s - p^{s-1} > p^{s-1}.
\]

So we can choose one element \( \alpha_s \in (H^+_{s-1} \cap D) \cap H_{s-1} \) and set \( H_s = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s \rangle \). It is easy to check \( H^+_{s} = H_s \). If \( \overline{\theta}(\Delta(f)) = -(-1)^{\frac{m(s-1)}{2}} \), then \( |H^+_{s-1} \cap D| = p^{s-1} \). So \( H^+_{s-1} \cap D \) must be \( H_{s-1} \). In this case, the conclusion of the proposition is untenable. We complete the proof.

### 3 Weight Hierarchy of Linear Codes of (2)

In this section, we settle the weight hierarchy of the linear code defined in (2).

#### 3.1 Case 1: \( a \neq 0 \)

**Theorem 1.** If \( m \) is even, then for the linear codes defined in (2) we have

\[
d_t(C_{D_a}) = \begin{cases} 
p^{m-1} - p^{m-r-1} - ((-1)^{\frac{m(s-1)}{2}} \epsilon_f + 1) \frac{m^2}{2}, & \text{if } 1 \leq r \leq \frac{m}{2}, \\
p^{m-1} - 2p^{m-r-1} - ((-1)^{\frac{m(s-1)}{2}} \epsilon_f \frac{m}{2}), & \text{if } \frac{m}{2} \leq r < m, \\
p^{m-1} - (-1)^{\frac{m(s-1)}{2}} \epsilon_f \frac{m^2}{4}, & \text{if } r = m.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** We only give the proof of the case: \( \epsilon_f = (-1)^{\frac{m(s-1)}{2}} \). The proof of the other case: \( \epsilon_f = (-1)^{\frac{m(s-1)}{2}} \) is similar.

For \( 1 \leq r < \frac{m}{2} \), let \( t = R_{H_{m-r}} \), the rank of \( f(x) \) over some \( (m-r) \)-dimensional subspace \( H_{m-r} \). By Proposition 2,3, \( 0 \leq \text{dim}(H_{m-r} \cap H^+_{m-r}) \leq r \).

So \( 0 \leq m - r - t \leq r \). Hence \( m - 2r \leq t \leq m - r \).

If \( t = m - 2r \), we may construct

\[
H_{m-r} = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{m-2r}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r \rangle, \quad H_r = H_{m-r} = \langle \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r \rangle, \\
H_{m-2r} = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{m-2r} \rangle, \quad H_{2r} = H_{m-2r} = \langle \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r, \beta_{r+1}, \ldots, \beta_{2r} \rangle.
\]

Because \( H_{m-2r} \cap H^+_{m-2r} = \{ \} \),

\[
\Delta_m = \Delta(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{m-2r}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r, \beta_{r+1}, \ldots, \beta_{2r})
\]

\[
= \Delta_{H_{m-r}} \Delta(\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r, \beta_{r+1}, \ldots, \beta_{2r}) = (-1)^t \Delta_{H_{m-r}} \det(M^2).
\]

Here \( M \) is the square matrix \( M = (F(\beta_1, \beta_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq r, r+1 \leq j \leq 2r} \). Hence for \( t = m - 2r \), by Proposition 1, \( |D_a \cap H_{m-r}| = p^{m-r-1} - \overline{\theta}((-1)^t) \epsilon_f \frac{m^2}{4} = \)
\[ p^{n-r-1} + p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}. \] So by Proposition 1, we have that \( \max\{|D_a \cap H| : H \in [F_{p^m}, m - r]_p \} = p^{n-r-1} + p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}. \)

For \( \frac{m}{2} \leq r < m, \) by Proposition 2, there is such an \((m - r - 1)\)-dimensional subspace \( H_{m-r} = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{m-r-1} \rangle \) that \( H_{m-r} \subseteq H^\perp_{m-r-1}. \) By Proposition 1, \( |D_b \cap H^\perp_{m-r-1}| > 0 \) with \( b \in F^*_p. \) We choose an element \( \alpha_{m-r} \in (D_b \cap H^\perp_{m-r-1}) \) and get an \((m - r)\)-dimensional subspace \( H_{m-r} = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{m-r-1}, \alpha_{m-r} \rangle. \)

By the construction of \( H_{m-r} \), we know that the rank of \( f(x) \) over \( H_{m-r} \) is 1 and \( \Delta_{H_{m-r}} = b. \) So by Proposition 1, \( |D_a \cap H_{m-r}| = p^{n-r-1} + p^{\frac{n-2}{2}}. \)

Also by Proposition 1, we have that \( \max\{|D_a \cap H| : H \in [F_{p^m}, m - r]_p \} = 2p^{m-r-1}. \) By Proposition 1, we have \( |D_a| = p^{n-1} - \epsilon_f(1)\frac{m-1}{2} p^\frac{m}{2}. \) Then the desired results follow directly from Lemma 1. And we complete the proof.

**Theorem 2.** If \( m \) is odd and \( \overline{\eta}(a) = (-1)^{\frac{(m-1)(m-1)}{4}} \epsilon_f, \) then for the linear codes defined in (2) we have

\[
d_r(C_{D_a}) = \begin{cases} 
  p^{m-1} - p^{m-r-1}, & \text{if } 1 \leq r < \frac{m}{2}, \\
  p^{m-1} + p^{\frac{m-2}{2}} - 2p^{m-r-1}, & \text{if } \frac{m}{2} < r < m, \\
  p^{m-1} + p^{\frac{m-1}{2}}, & \text{if } r = m.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** For \( 1 \leq r < \frac{m}{2}, \) we may construct subspaces

\[
H_{m-r} = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{m-2r}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r \rangle, H_r = H^\perp_{m-r} = \langle \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r \rangle,
\]

\[
H_{m-2r} = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{m-2r} \rangle, H_{2r} = H^\perp_{m-2r} = \langle \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r, \beta_{r+1}, \ldots, \beta_{2r} \rangle.
\]

Because \( H_{m-2r} \cap H^\perp_{m-2r} = \{0\}, \)

\[
\Delta_m = \Delta(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{m-2r}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r, \beta_{r+1}, \ldots, \beta_{2r}) = \Delta_{H_{m-r}}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r, \beta_{r+1}, \ldots, \beta_{2r}) = (-1)^{r-1} \Delta_{H_{m-r}} \det(M^2).
\]

Here \( M \) is the square matrix \( M = (F(\beta_i, \beta_j))_{1 \leq i \leq r, r+1 \leq j \leq 2r}. \) Note that \( \overline{\eta}(a) = (-1)^{\frac{(m-1)(m-1)}{4}} \epsilon_f. \) So \( |D_a \cap H_{m-r}| = p^{m-r-1} + \overline{\eta}((-1)^{\frac{(m-1)(m-1)}{4}} \epsilon_f p^{\frac{m-1}{2}}) = p^{m-r-1} + \frac{p^{m-r-1}}{2}. \) By Proposition 1, we have that \( \max\{|D_a \cap H| : H \in [F_{p^m}, m - r]_p \} = p^{n-r-1} + p^{\frac{m-1}{2}}. \)

For the case: \( \frac{m}{2} < r < m, \) the proof is the same as that of Theorem 1.

By Proposition 1, we have \( |D_a| = p^{n-1} - \epsilon_f(1)\frac{m-1}{2} p^\frac{m}{2}. \) Then the desired conclusions follow from Lemma 1. And the proof is completed.

**Theorem 3.** If \( m \) is odd and \( \overline{\eta}(a) = (-1)^{\frac{(m-1)(m-1)}{4}} \epsilon_f, \) then for the linear codes defined in (2) we have

\[
d_r(C_{D_a}) = \begin{cases} 
  p^{m-1} - p^{m-r-1} - p^{\frac{m-2}{2}}, & \text{if } 1 \leq r < \frac{m}{2}, \\
  p^{m-1} - p^{\frac{m-2}{2}} - 2p^{m-r-1}, & \text{if } \frac{m}{2} < r < m, \\
  p^{m-1} - p^{\frac{m-1}{2}}, & \text{if } r = m.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** The proof is similar to those of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. We omit the details.
3.2 Case 2: $a = 0$

**Theorem 4.** If $m$ is even and $ε_f = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{4}}$, then for the linear codes defined in (2) we have

$$d_r(C_{D_0}) = \begin{cases} p^{m-1} - p^{m-r-1}, & \text{if } 1 \leq r \leq \frac{m}{2}, \\ p^{m-1} + (p-1)p^{m-r} - p^{m-r}, & \text{if } \frac{m}{2} \leq r \leq m. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Denote by $H_k$ a $k$-dimensional subspace in $F_p^{m}$. By Lemma 1 and Proposition 2 and 3 as above, it is easy to know $d_r(C_{D_0}) = |D_0| - p^{m-r}$, if $\frac{m}{2} \leq r \leq m$.

For $1 \leq r < \frac{m}{2}$, let $t = R_{H_{m-r}}$, the rank of quadratic form $f(x)$ over some $(m-r)$-dimensional subspace $H_{m-r}$. For $0 \leq \dim(H_{m-r} \cap H_{m-r}) \leq r$, so $0 \leq m - r - t \leq r$. Hence $m - 2r \leq t \leq m - r$.

If $r = 1$, then $d_r(C_{D_0})$ is the minimum Hamming distance of $C_{D_0}$. The result for this case can be obtained in reference [22].

For the case $\frac{m}{2} > r \geq 2$, if $t = m - 2r$, we may construct

$$H_{m-r} = \langle α_1, β_1, β_2, α_{m-2r}, β_1, β_2, β_{r+1}, \ldots, β_{2r} \rangle,$$

$$H_{m-2r} = \langle α_1, β_1, β_2, α_{m-2r}, β_1, β_2, β_{r+1}, \ldots, β_{2r} \rangle.$$

Because $H_{m-r} \cap H_{m-2r} = \{0\}$,

$$\Delta_m = Δ(α_1, α_2, \ldots, α_{m-r}, β_1, β_2, \ldots, β_r) = (-1)^{r+1} Δ_{H_{m-r}} \det(M^2).$$

Here $M$ is the square matrix $M = (F(β_i, β_j))_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq 2r}$. Notice that $ε_f = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{4}}$. Hence for $t = m - 2r$, by Proposition 1, $|D_0 \cap H_{m-r}| = p^{m-r-1} + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{4}} Δ_{H_{m-r}}((p - 1)p^{m-r-1} - p^{m-r}) = p^{m-r-1} + (p - 1)p^{m-r}$.

So by Proposition 1, we have that max$\{D_0 \cap H : H \in F_p^m, m - r\}$ = $p^{m-r-1} + (p - 1)p^{m-r}$, Then the desired results follow directly from Lemma 1. And we complete the proof.

**Theorem 5.** If $m = 2s > 2$ is even and $ε_f = (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{4}}$, then for the linear codes defined in (2) we have

$$d_r(C_{D_0}) = \begin{cases} (p - 1)(p^{m-2} - p^{\frac{m-r}{2}}), & \text{if } r = 1, \\ p^{m-1} - p^{m-r} - (p - 1)(p^{\frac{m-r}{2}} + p^{\frac{m-r}{2}}), & \text{if } 2 \leq r \leq \frac{m}{2}, \\ p^{m-1} - p^{m-r} - (p - 1)p^{\frac{m-r}{2}}, & \text{if } \frac{m}{2} < r \leq m. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** We only give the proof of the two cases: $2 \leq r < \frac{m}{2}$ and $r = \frac{m}{2}$. The proofs of the remaining cases are the same as that of Theorem 1.

For $2 \leq r < \frac{m}{2}$, let the symbols be as in the proof of Theorem 1. By the proof of Theorem 1, we have $|D_0 \cap H_{m-r}| = p^{m-r-1} - (p - 1)p^{\frac{m-r}{2}}$ if $t = m - 2r$. 

Title Suppressed Due to Excessive Length
If \( t = m - 2r + 2 \), by Proposition 3, we can choose such a subspace \( G_{r-2} = \langle \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_{r-2} \rangle \) that \( G_{r-2} \subset \mathbb{G}_{r-2}^+ \). So the rank of \( f(x) \) over \( \mathbb{G}_{r-2}^+ \) is \( m - 2r + 4 \).

By Proposition 1, \( |\mathbb{T}_a \cap \mathbb{G}_{r-2}^+| = p^{m-r+2-1} \pm p^{m-r+2-1 - \frac{m-2r+4}{2}} > 0 \) with \( a \in F_p^* \). So we can choose an nonzero element \( \epsilon_{r-1} \in \mathbb{T}_a \cap \mathbb{G}_{r-2}^+ \) and get an \((r-1)\)-dimensional subspace \( G_{r-1} = \langle \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_{r-2}, \epsilon_{r-1} \rangle \). It is easy to see that the rank of \( f(x) \) over \( G_{r-1} \) is 1 and \( G_{r-1} \cap \mathbb{G}_{r-2}^+ = G_{r-2} \). So the rank of \( f(x) \) over \( \mathbb{G}_{r-2}^+ \) is \( m - 2r + 3 \). By Proposition 1, \( |\mathbb{T}_b \cap \mathbb{G}_{r-1}^+| = p^{m-r} \pm p^{m-r+1 - \frac{m-2r+4}{2}} > p^{r-1} \) with \( b \in F_p^* \). So we obtain an \( r \)-dimensional subspace \( H_r = \langle \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_{r-1}, \epsilon_r \rangle \) by choosing \( \epsilon_r \in (\mathbb{T}_b \cap \mathbb{G}_{r-1}^+) \setminus G_{r-1} \) and the rank of \( f(x) \) over \( H_r \) is 2. So we can construct another \((m-r)\)-dimensional subspace \( H_{m-r} = H_r^+ \) and set

\[
H_{m-r} = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{m-2r+2}, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_{r-2} \rangle.
\]

Let \( H_{m-2r+2} = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{m-2r+2} \rangle \) and set

\[
H_{m-2r+2}^+ = \langle \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{r-1}, \epsilon_r, \epsilon_{r+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{2r-2} \rangle.
\]

Because \( R_{H_{m-r}} = m - 2r + 2 \), we get \( H_{m-2r+2}^+ \cap H_{m-2r+2} = \{0\} \) and

\[
\Delta_m = \Delta_{H_{m-r}} \cdot \Delta(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{r-1}, \epsilon_r, \epsilon_{r+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{2r-2}) = (-1)^r \Delta_{H_{m-r}} ab \det(M^2).
\]

Here \( M \) is the square matrix \( M = (F(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j))_{1 \leq i \leq r-2, r+1 \leq j \leq 2r-2} \). According to the selection of \( \epsilon_{r-1}, \epsilon_r \), we know that \( \eta(\Delta_{H_{m-r}}) \) may take 1 or \(-1\). Hence for \( t = m - 2r + 2 \), by Proposition 1, \( |\mathbb{T}_0 \cap H_{m-r}| = p^{m-r-1} \pm (p-1)p^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \).

For \( r = \frac{m}{2} \), let \( H_s \) be an \( s \)-dimensional subspace. We have \( 1 \leq R_{H_s} \leq s \) since \( \epsilon_j = (-1)^{\frac{m-j}{2}} \) by Proposition 3. If \( R_{H_s} = 1 \), then \( |\mathbb{T}_0 \cap H_s| = p^{s-1} \). If \( R_{H_s} = 2 \), then \( |\mathbb{T}_0 \cap H_s| = p^{s-1} + \eta(-\Delta_{H_s})(p-1)p^{s-2} \). By Proposition 2 and Proposition 3, there exists an \((s-2)\)-dimensional subspace \( H_{s-2} \) such that \( H_{s-2} \subset H_s^+ \). Set \( H_{s-2} = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{s-2} \rangle \). So the rank of quadratic form \( f \) over \( H_{s-2} \) is 4. By Proposition 1, \( |\mathbb{T}_a \cap H_{s-2}^+| = p^{s+1} \pm p^{s-1} > 0 \) with \( \alpha \in F_p^* \). We choose an element \( \alpha_{s-1} \in \mathbb{T}_a \cap H_{s-2}^+ \) and get an \((s-1)\)-dimensional subspace \( H_{s-1} = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{s-2}, \alpha_{s-1} \rangle \). The rank of quadratic form \( f \) over \( H_{s-1} \) is 3. Also by Proposition 1, \( |\mathbb{T}_b \cap H_{s-1}^+| = p^s \pm p^{s-1} > p^{s-1} \) with \( \alpha \in F_p^* \). So we choose an element \( \alpha_s \in \langle \mathbb{T}_b \cap H_{s-1}^+ \rangle \setminus H_{s-1} \) and get an \( s \)-dimensional subspace \( H_s = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{s-2}, \alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s \rangle \). By the construction of \( H_s \), we know that the rank of \( f \) over \( H_s \) is 2 and \( \eta(\Delta_{H_s}) = \eta(\Delta(\alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s)) \) may take \(-1\) or \(1\). So by Proposition 1, \( |\mathbb{T}_0 \cap H_s| = p^{s-1} \pm (p-1)p^{s-2} \).

In a word, we have that when \( 2 \leq r \leq \frac{m}{2} \), \( \max(\{I \cap H \colon H \in [F_p^m, m - r_1]\} = p^{m-r-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{m-4}{2}} \). Then we arrive at the conclusion by Lemma 1. And the proof is finished.
Theorem 6. If \( m \) is odd, then for the linear codes defined in (2) we have

\[
d_r(C_{D_n}) = \begin{cases} 
  p^{m-1} - p^{m-r-1} - (p-1)p^{\frac{m-1}{2}}, & \text{if } 1 \leq r < \frac{m}{2}, \\
  p^{m-1} - p^{m-r}, & \text{if } \frac{m}{2} < r \leq m.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. We only give the proof of the case: \( 2 \leq r < \frac{m}{2} \). For an \((m-r)\)-dimensional subspace \( H_{m-r} \), let \( t = RH_{m-r} \). We have \( m - 2r \leq t \leq m - r \). By Proposition 2, we can choose such an \((r-1)\)-dimensional subspace \( G_{r-1} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{r-1}) \) that \( G_{r-1} \subset H_{r-1} \). So the rank of \( f \) over \( H_{r-1} \) is \( m - 2r + 2 \).

By Proposition 1, \( |D_a \cap G_{r-1}^\perp| = p^{m-r} \pm p^{\frac{m-r}{2}} \) > 0 with \( a \in F_p^* \). So we can choose an nonzero element \( \beta_r \in D_a \cap G_{r-1}^\perp \) and get an \( r \)-dimensional subspace \( H_r = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{r-1}, \beta_r) \). It is easy to see that the rank of \( f \) over \( H_r \) is 1 and \( H_r \cap H_r^\perp = G_{r-1} \). So the rank of \( f \) over \( H_r^\perp \) is \( m - 2r + 1 \). We construct an \((m-r)\)-dimensional subspace \( H_{m-r} = H_r^\perp \) and set

\[ H_{m-r} = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{m-2r+1}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{r-1} \rangle. \]

Let \( H_{m-2r+1} = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{m-2r+1} \rangle \) and set

\[ H_{m-2r+1}^r = \langle \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{r-1}, \beta_r, \beta_{r+1}, \ldots, \beta_{2r-1} \rangle. \]

Because \( RH_{m-r} = m - 2r + 1 \), we get \( H_{m-2r+1}^r \cap H_{m-2r+1} = \{0\} \) and

\[
\Delta_m = \Delta(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{m-2r+1}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{r-1}, \beta_r, \beta_{r+1}, \ldots, \beta_{2r-1}) \\
= \Delta_{H_{m-r}} \cdot \Delta(\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_{r-1}, \beta_r, \beta_{r+1}, \ldots, \beta_{2r-1}) \\
= (-1)^r \Delta_{H_{m-r}} \cdot \det(M^2).
\]

Here \( M \) is the square matrix \( M = (F(\beta_i, \beta_j))_{1 \leq i \leq m-r, 1 \leq j \leq r-1} \). According to the selection of \( \beta_r \), we know that \( \Delta(\Delta_{H_{m-r}}) \) may take 1 or -1. Hence for an \((m-r)\)-dimensional subspace \( H_{m-r} \) with \( RH_{m-r} = m - 2r + 1 \), by Proposition 1, we have \( |D_0 \cap H_{m-r}| = p^{m-r-1} \pm (p-1)p^{\frac{m-r}{2}} \). Applying Proposition 1 once again, we have that when \( 2 \leq r < \frac{m}{2} \), \( \max\{|D_0 \cap H| : H \in \{F_{p^m} - H_{m-r}\} \} = p^{m-r-1} + (p-1)p^{\frac{m-r}{2}} \). Using Lemma 1, we can obtain the results in the theorem. The proof is finished.

4 Concluding Remarks

Quadratic forms are ones of the well-known polynomials in the theory of algebra. In the paper, we restrict quadratic forms over finite fields of odd characteristic on their subspaces and prove the existence of some special subspaces and their dual spaces related to non-degenerate quadratic forms. Then we determine completely the weight hierarchy of a class of linear codes from non-degenerate quadratic forms. We hope that our results may help to research the generalized Hamming weight of other linear codes.
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