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Abstract

Educators learn through reflection on how power shapes their own practices, express their concerns about the constraints they face, and brainstorm solutions to address them. Educators create and adjust their philosophies and practices through reflection on how abstract theories manifest themselves in life (Gallego, 2014). The online discussion format affords students the opportunity to improve their reflective practices through the use of structured questions, supervised scaffolding, shared experience, and opportunities to connect theory to practice (Hutton & Smith, 1995; Lee, 2008; Pultorak, 1996; Zeki, 2010).

Online discussions offer educators a dynamic and energetic atmosphere in which they can interact with each other. The author argues that the synergy generated by online dialogue has the largest impact on the quality of teaching and learning. The online format affords students time and flexibility to reflect on ideas expressed in the discussion. The results of the study demonstrated that the use of structured online discussions contributed to the elevation of graduate students’ reflective practices as they contemplated the social and cultural issues that impact students’ learning and their educational practices.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Building on the works of Karl Marx, Michael Foucault, Edward Said, and Paulo Freire, the author uses the critical theory approach to empower learners to critically analyze and evaluate the complex impact of diversity on education. The author employs critical readings and discussion questions focused on diversity and social justice as tools to help students examine the social and cultural issues that impact their educational practices.

2 METHODOLOGY

The author examined the effects of purposeful readings and reflections on increasing awareness of graduate educational leadership students. A social justice theme was chosen for the doctoral course. Changes in students’ comments on issues such as poverty, gender inequality, cultural beliefs, and educational policies as well as their impact on students’ learning were recorded.

The participants in this study were seventeen doctoral students enrolled in educational leadership classes at a university in Southern United States. A majority of the participants were current school leaders. The students were enrolled in a hybrid class that required students to attend Zoom (a tele-conference forum that affords the teacher and students to meet synchronously via their computer cameras) class meetings and participate in a weekly online class discussion forum. The students’ comments in the online discussion forum were collected.

Students were asked to read assigned course texts and examine how social, political, cultural, and economic factors impact students’ learning and school practices. The students were to read assigned chapters ahead of class and come prepared with responses to weekly structured questions. The questions/prompts were posted on the course website ahead of class meetings. After Zoom class meeting, the students were to reflect on the readings and engage in an online class discussion as they relate to their educational practices for a whole week.

A content analysis method of students’ comments was used to determine if the students changed the level of their reflections as a result of their participation in the online discussion. Based on the earlier work of Van Manen (1977), Smith (1995), and Lee (2005) on reflection level classification, the author used a three-level hierarchy to classify students’ reflections:
a) Descriptive; in which the writer only gives a descriptive recount of a discussion, presentation or a text,
b) Analytical; in which the writer analyzes the text, discussion, or presentation in light of a personal experience or a perspective,
c) Critical; in which the writer considers the social, political, and historical factors that may have impacted the situation covered in the reading or discussion.

The author highlighted texts from students’ comments indicating different levels of reflections weekly. Each paragraph was assigned a reflection level based on the above classification. Individual graphs were drawn to determine if students’ comments demonstrated a change in their level of reflection after they participated in course activities (see example in Fig. 1).

3 RESULTS

Results demonstrated a majority of students started at the beginning of the course; mainly focusing on describing/summarizing the issues discussed in the readings and class. Through structured questions and class discussion, students were encouraged to take an in-depth look at concerns expressed in the readings. Students were prompted to examine how social issues may impact their own practices even though it may appear, at first, unrelated or inapplicable to their own context.

The results of this study clearly demonstrated that the delivery mode of the class, specifically, the use of the online discussion, has contributed to enhancing the level of students’ reflections as evidenced in their comments. Students were prompted to examine how social issues may impact their own practices even though it may appear, at first, unrelated or inapplicable to their own context.

The following paragraph is an example of a student’s reflection at the descriptive level. In this comment, the student is typically describing the process offered by the author without attaching to it a personal value or reflecting on what it means to students’ learning or how it affects her own practices.

With a banking system, there is an order to the process. As educators reflect on immovability in the process of leaning. Educators disseminate their knowledge and students absorb that knowledge and then utilize that knowledge to respond to assessments, create solutions to problems but there is never a question to the status quo.

This following comment is an example of a student’s reflection at the analytical level. In this paragraph, the student reflects on what Friere ideas mean and how the ideas relate to her own experience. She also link the ideas presented by the author to other literature she read and finally she discusses what these concepts mean to her.
My interpretation of Freire’s statement, “without this faith in people, dialogue is a farce which inevitably degenerate into paternalistic manipulation” (p. 91), is formulated through my personal experiences as well as how my beliefs as an educator have evolved in my own learning process. Leading up to this statement, Freire describes prerequisites to the dialogue as humility, hope, faith; yet, not naive. Focusing on one particular aspect, faith, which I understand as complete confidence and trust, I am wondering how likely those who have experienced some type of oppression will trust even those in a similar situation. After an examination of the literature across the social science field, Teschannen-Moran (2014), defined trust, “Trust as an individual’s or a group’s willingness to be vulnerable to another party based on the confidence that the latter party is benevolent, reliable, competent honest, and open” (p. 20-21). She describes trust as a convoluted dynamic concept that is ever evolving. I believe without the ability and willingness to arrive at this state of vulnerability, dialogue among those within the struggle will be dominated by the unwilling participant, therefore, supporting the divided role of the oppressor and the oppressed.

The following paragraph is one example of a reflection at the critical level. In this paragraph, the student not only considers the impact of the ideas presented by Friere on her personal experience, but also discusses what she will do in the future to positively impact students’ learning and improve her educational practices. She reflects on the long-term impact of social and cultural issues, discussed in the class and the readings, on students learning which elevates her reflection to the critical level.

I think Freire is very insightful and his views have caused me to really deliberate on my own education, the way I want to learn, and the way I hope to one day “teach.” It has also caused me to reflect on the current state of our union, and be glad that we are not as oppressive as some educational cultures in the world, but determined to uncover the oppression in our system by increasing the dialogue with others. Friere says, “the earlier dialogue begins, the more revolutionary the movement will be.” I see a real need right now to become more revolutionary and help others to see the oppression who “reduce men to the status of “things” which is where I believe our political discourse to have devolved.

4 LIMITATIONS

It is important to note that the study relied on the author’s interpretations of students’ comments to classify them into different reflective levels as a limitation for this study. Another limitation to the study is that students’ comments relied heavily on the instructor’s engineered questions of the discussion that elicited certain responses from the students, which may have had an impact on students’ responses. The availability of time (a full week for each discussion topic) allowed the teacher to post comments and questions for students every time they tended to stray from the issue to discuss, which helped keep them focused on the issues in the online discussion.

5 CONCLUSION

The online discussion offers a great chance for educators to enhance their teaching methods and provide students with a forum in which they can express their views and receive feedback. The students get exposed to different points of view, which help them expand their thinking and in many cases, alter their previously held beliefs. This is achieved largely by the fact students have the time to reflect, respond, argue and sometimes conduct their research just to provide support for their views. Thus, online class discussion affords students the chance to reflect on each other’s comments and respond to them in a comprehensive fashion.

The results indicated that a significant number of students have reflected at the first level at the beginning of the course but a large percentage of them have progressed to a higher classification by the end of the course. However, at the beginning, few students expressed frustration with the readings failing to see the connection between the context and their daily practices. This frustration was reported in Holloway and Gouthro’s (2012) research of undergraduate and graduate reflective writing. They attributed this resistance to the rarity of students’ exposure to critical theory and the use of serious analysis of sensitive issues such as discrimination, racism, etc. This rational was supported in the current study. Students commented they were never exposed to critical readings. They were rarely asked to examine the long-term impact of their own practices.

The online format help teachers and students create a true learning community where they can relate to each other and build strong rapport among each other at a more meaningful level. In this learning
community, all participants’ views are valid and respected. In this environment, teachers and learners are truly co-learners who are encouraged to consider each other’s views and think beyond their familiar boundaries. This factor could be the contributing element to increasing students’ reflective levels.

In conclusion, the online discussion forum proved itself to be a great tool for this educator to provide a think tank that allows participants to add to, making it one of the richest learning communities in our present day. The online discussion forum encourages teachers and students to reflect back on their practices, question their previously held beliefs and brainstorm ideas on how to better themselves as learners and teachers. In this study, the discussion enhanced educators’ understanding of factors impacting their practices, raised their awareness regarding their own biases and beliefs, increased their sensitivity to students’ needs, and establishes links between theory and practice (Genc, 2010).
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