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A Multi-Enzymatic Cascade Reaction for the Synthesis of Vidarabine 5′-Monophosphate
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Abstract: We here described a three-step multi-enzymatic reaction for the one-pot synthesis of vidarabine 5′-monophosphate (araA-MP), an antiviral drug, using arabinosyluracil (araU), adenine (Ade), and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) as precursors. To this aim, three enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of nucleosides and nucleotides were used in a cascade mode after immobilization: uridine phosphorylase from Clostridium perfringens (CpUP), a purine nucleoside phosphorylase from Aeromonas hydrophila (AhPNP), and deoxyadenosine kinase from Dictyostelium discoideum (DddAK). Specifically, CpUP catalyzes the phosphorolysis of araU thus generating uracil and α-β-arabinose-1-phosphate. AhPNP catalyzes the coupling between this latter compound and Ade to form araA (vidarabine). This nucleoside becomes the substrate of DddAK, which produces the 5′-mononucleotide counterpart (araA-MP) using ATP as the phosphate donor. Reaction conditions (i.e., medium, temperature, immobilization carriers) and biocatalyst stability have been balanced to achieve the highest conversion of vidarabine 5′-monophosphate (≥95.5%). The combination of the nucleoside phosphorylases twosome with deoxyadenosine kinase in a one-pot cascade allowed (i) a complete shift in the equilibrium-controlled synthesis of the nucleoside towards the product formation; and (ii) to overcome the solubility constraints of araA in aqueous medium, thus providing a new route to the highly productive synthesis of araA-MP.
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1. Introduction

Nucleoside and nucleotide analogues are synthetic, chemically modified molecules that can mimic the natural building blocks of DNA/RNA synthesis; they can act, indeed, as antimetabolites, thus exerting anticancer/antiviral effects [1]. Upon cellular uptake, nucleosides are activated in vivo to 5′-triphosphates by a sequential phosphorylation reaction catalyzed by host cell kinases or virus-encoded kinases. This means that nucleoside analogues must not only be substrates for polymerases, they also need to be recognized and phosphorylated by kinases [2]. Once phosphorylated, nucleotide analogues can elicit their effects by impairing nucleic acid synthesis [3].

Some modified nucleosides are frequently used as 5′-monophosphate prodrugs. The use of 5′-monophosphates allows both for circumventing the poor water solubility of the parent nucleosides and the first activation step through phosphorylation which is often a rate-limiting reaction [2]. This is the case of the antiviral drug vidarabine (Vira-A®, arabinosyladenine, araA) which was the first of
the FDA-approved nucleoside analogues to be administered systemically in clinics [4]. Similarly, the 2-fluorinated counterpart of vidarabine (Fludara®, arabinosyl-2-fluoroadenine 5′-monophosphate, F-araA-MP) is used as 5′-monophosphonate in the treatment of haematological malignancies [5].

Chemical methods for nucleoside/nucleotide synthesis involve multi-step routes [6,7] which often require harsh reaction conditions and are plagued by moderate yields.

In recent decades, several biocatalytic approaches have been described exploiting enzymes that are naturally involved in the biosynthesis of nucleosides and nucleotides such as nucleoside phosphorylases (NPs, EC 2.4.2.x) and deoxyribonucleoside kinases (dNKs, EC 2.7.1.x) [8–13]. NPs act in the salvage pathway of nucleobases and catalyze the reversible conversion of (deoxy)ribonucleosides to their corresponding free base and α-ν-(deoxy)ribose-1-phosphate in the presence of inorganic orthophosphate (phosphorolysis). The reversibility of phosphorolysis reaction can be exploited for synthetic applications: if a second nucleobase reacts with α-ν-(deoxy)ribose-1-phosphate, the formation of a new nucleoside can result (transglycosylation) [10]. dNKs catalyze the transfer of the γ-phosphate group from a nucleotide (generally ATP) to the 5′-hydroxyl group of nucleosides thus forming the corresponding 5′-mononucleotide [14]. The potential of NPs and dNKs in the synthesis of nucleoside/nucleotide analogues has been already demonstrated by several papers published in recent years [9–12,15–17]. Uridine phosphorylase from Clostridium perfringens (CpUP) and a purine nucleoside phosphorylase from Aeromonas hydrophila (AhPNP) were used in the preparative synthesis of araA yielding 3.5 g/L of the desired product (purity 98.7%) [18]. Moreover, an enzymatic approach for the phosphorylation of araA to araA-MP and of F-araA to F-araA-MP using either a deoxyribonucleoside kinase from Drosophila melanogaster (DmdNK) [11], a deoxyadenosine kinase from Dicyostelium discoideum (DddAK) [12], or human deoxycytidine kinase (HsdCK) [17] has been developed.

Biocatalysts are not only environmentally benign reagents and highly selective, but they are compatible with each other within certain ranges of operating conditions [19,20]. This feature enables integration of sequential biocatalytic transformations in one-pot cascades for the synthesis of complex molecules [21]. The design of enzymatic networks in one-pot systems, as occurring in living cells, has evident advantages allowing the increase of reaction rate, higher yields and also overcoming substrate/product inhibition [22]. Moreover, running artificial cascades using immobilized biocatalysts can provide a spatial compartmentalization of each biocatalyst thus favoring substrate channeling [23]. Using immobilized multi-enzyme systems allows the recycling of the biocatalysts, simplifies the downstream processing, and improves enzyme stability with evident benefits for process economics. Immobilization can also affect the microenvironment surrounding the biocatalyst and, indeed, its catalytic properties. By fine-tuning the carrier properties in terms of charge or hydrophilicity/lipophilicity, enzyme performance can be modulated [24–26] and customized for each process.

In this work we reported on the design of a one-pot linear cascade reaction based on the sequential (“tandem”) use of three enzymes by coupling the transglycosylation reaction catalyzed by immobilized CpUP and AhPNP to give araA [18] and the 5′-phosphorylation of araA into araA-MP catalyzed by DddAK [12] (Scheme 1 and Figure S1).

**Scheme 1.** Three-enzyme cascade reaction for the synthesis of araA-MP. Legend: araU (arabinosyluracil), P_i (inorganic orthophosphate), araA (arabinosyladenine or vidarabine), araA-MP (vidarabine-5′-monophosphate), ATP (adenosine 5′-triphosphate), ADP (adenosine 5′-diphosphate). Biocatalysts: CpUP (uridine phosphorylase from *Clostridium perfringens*), AhPNP (purine nucleoside phosphorylase from *Aeromonas hydrophila*), DddAK (deoxyadenosine kinase from *Dicyostelium discoideum*).
2. Results and Discussion

2.1. A Three-Enzyme Cascade for the Synthesis of araA-MP

Developing a multi-enzyme system requires a deep understanding of each single biotransformation to set-up a concerted and viable reaction. The challenge is to tune conditions in each bioconversion step for catalyst activity and stability (i.e., medium, temperature, pH) as well as substrate specificity. Therefore, the starting point of this work were the results previously achieved (see Introduction) in the synthesis of araA by NP-catalyzed transglycosylation [18] and in the kinase-catalyzed phosphorylation of araA [12], with the aim to integrate these biotransformations and demonstrate the feasibility of the multi-enzyme cascade (Scheme 1 and Figure S1).

Specifically, two are the main advantages envisaged for running the synthesis of vidarabine 5′-monophosphate in a linear multi-enzymatic one-pot cascade: (i) transglycosylation is an equilibrium-controlled reaction. Once that it is coupled to the phosphorylation, that is irreversible, the equilibrium can be shifted towards the product formation; (ii) the final product (araA-MP) is water soluble. Therefore, the drawback of poor water solubility of vidarabine [18] can be overcome because as soon as the nucleoside is formed, it is converted in the soluble monophosphate. This strategy allows to perform the reaction in fully aqueous medium and results into an easier scale-up of the biotransformation. In this case, N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), which was required as a co-solvent in the gram scale synthesis of araA by a one-pot transglycosylation [18], could be avoided, thus increasing the greenness of the bioprocess.

A further advancement of the cascade design, still related to the equilibrium-controlled transglycosylation (first two steps of Scheme 1), is the use of a reduced amount of the nucleoside acting as the “sugar donor” (araU, Scheme 1). In the “one-pot, two-enzyme” synthesis of araA by transglycosylation (Figure S1) a 2:1 molar excess of araU was used to shift the biotransformation toward the product formation. However, the highest conversion achieved was 80% [18]. The additional increase of the molar ratio of the “sugar donor”, albeit araU is commercially available at a reasonable cost, would still not be beneficial for economic reasons (cost of the reagent) and because it would result in the formation of a higher amount of uracil (by-product) and a poorer atom economy, thereof. In the cascade mode, the use of an excess of araU was indeed avoided since a 1:1 molar ratio of the reagents (araU and adenine) was sufficient to achieve a quantitative conversion as a result of the equilibrium shift due to the action of DddAK (with a positive effect on cutting costs and waste generation).

All the enzymes were used as immobilized biocatalysts according to our previous investigations. Thus, CpUP and AhhPNP were used upon covalent immobilization on glyoxy-agarose [18], whereas DddAK was immobilized by a ionic interaction on an epoxy carrier (Sepabeads® EC-EP) previously coated with polyethyleniminine (PEI), followed by cross-linking with a polyaldehyde (CL) [12,27]. In agreement also with recent reports [25,26], this latter approach results in a sort of “co-immobilization” of the co-factor (ATP, in this case), thus ensuring a self-sufficient source of it for an efficient catalysis. On the other hand, CpUP and AhhPNP immobilized on glyoxy-agarose were active and stable in the continuum synthesis of araA both in batch [18] and in a flow mode (unpublished data).

2.1.1. Reaction Temperature and pH

From our previous investigations, temperature might have been a critical parameter. In fact, a temperature higher than 37 °C was found to be detrimental for DddAK [12]. It was thus natural to run the reaction at 37 °C and at 25 °C for a comparative evaluation. The temperature affects reaction kinetics and, as expected, the reaction at 37 °C had a 2.5-fold faster kinetics, although after 24 h the highest conversion (>95%) was reached also by the reaction run at 25 °C. For setting-up the three-enzyme cascade, we decided to set the temperature at 25 °C both to avoid heating (and thus reducing energy consumption and process costs), and to increase the half-life of the biocatalysts. This assay was performed in 10 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.5 (5 mL) containing 2 mM of each reagent (araU, adenine, ATP, MgCl2) and the three biocatalysts (CpUP, 6 IU; AhhPNP, 15 IU; DddAK, 0.2 IU). It is
worth it to point out that the quantitative conversion of adenine into araA-MP which was achieved under these conditions (reaction assay) confirmed the feasibility of the synthesis by the designed three-enzyme cascade.

As for the reaction pH, immobilized CpUP and AhPNP have an opposite activity profile in the pH range 6–8. On one hand, at pH < 7, CpUP loses its activity, on the other hand, when pH > 8, the activity of AhPNP dramatically decreases [18]. A balance between activities of both biocatalysts was found at pH 7.5. This value was also compatible with activity and stability of DddAK, which was routinely used at pH 8 [12]. Integration of multiple enzymes makes often necessary to switch from the optimal activity and stability pH and temperature window of each biocatalyst towards a trade-off solution.

2.1.2. Immobilization Carrier

In the one-pot transglycosylation reaction catalyzed by CpUP and AhPNP previously reported [10, 18], it was demonstrated that both enzymes retain their activity and are stable upon immobilization on glyoxyl-agarose under the following operational conditions: 25 °C, pH 7.5, 25 mM phosphate buffer containing DMF as co-solvent up to 30% v/v [18]. On the other side, for the enzymatic phosphorylation of araA previously described by Serra I. et al. [12], immobilization of DddAK on a PEI-coated epoxy carrier (Sepabeads®-PEI-CL) was found to generate a positive effect on the catalysis due to the “creation” of an ATP-rich microenvironment. When designing a cascade reaction catalyzed by immobilized enzymes, the immobilization carrier can exert (less or more predictable) effects on the catalysis, depending on its interaction with the other biocatalysts as well as substrates and/or products and/or cofactors with different chemical features (e.g., polarity, presence of net charges etc.). Co-immobilization of enzymes on the same carrier could be desirable for bioconversions involving multi-enzymatic cascade reactions [28]. Such a strategy might overthrow effects deriving from multiple interactions and also mimic “natural” cascade reactions in which enzymes are usually in close proximity to one another [29]. Co-immobilization is frequently used for co-factor-depending enzymes (i.e., oxidoreductases) to fix on the same carrier both the enzyme catalyzing the main biotransformation and an ancillary enzyme responsible for the regeneration of the co-factor [30–33]. However, due to the complexity of this approach for our case-study, we here opted to have the enzymes immobilized on the same carrier, but separately, for individual optimization, taking advantage from the accumulated data on CpUP, AhPNP and DddAK in the single bioconversions (phosphorolysis, transglycosylation, and phosphorylation) (Figure S1).

To this aim, NPs and DddAK were immobilized, individually, on either glyoxyl-agarose (a) or Sepabeads®-PEI-CL (b). These biocatalysts were used for the synthesis of araA-MP and compared with the combination of CpUP and AhPNP immobilized on glyoxyl-agarose and DddAK immobilized on Sepabeads®-PEI-CL (c). A fourth combination of differently immobilized enzymes was assayed with the aim to further corroborate the role of the carrier in the modulation of the microenvironment surrounding the enzyme [12]. Therefore, CpUP and AhPNP were immobilized on Sepabeads®-PEI-CL and DddAK on glyoxyl-agarose (d). The results achieved in the cascade reaction catalyzed by the three-enzyme systems (a–d) are reported in Table 1 and Figure 1.

| Entry | Immobilization Carrier | Conversion |
|-------|-------------------------|------------|
| a     | Glyoxyl-agarose         | 97%        |
| b     | Sepabeads®-PEI-CL       | 56%        |
| c     | Glyoxyl-agarose (CpUP & AhPNP) Sepabeads®-PEI-CL (DddAK) | >99% |
| d     | Sepabeads®-PEI-CL (CpUP & AhPNP) Glyoxyl-agarose (DddAK) | 39% |

1 The assay was run at 25 °C in 10 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.5 (5 mL) containing araU, adenine, ATP, MgCl₂ (2 mM). Biocatalysts: CpUP (6 IU), AhPNP (15 IU), DddAK (0.2 IU). Endpoint: 24 h. Experiments were performed in duplicate. The data points are mean values of duplicate reactions. Deviation from mean values was below 5% (a and c). A higher deviation from mean values was registered for the enzymatic systems b and d.
“abundance” of net positive charges deriving from the amount of Sepabeads®. Taking into account that all the immobilized biocatalysts were freshly prepared and assayed (entry d), the reaction did not achieve the full conversion as for the immobilized systems (a) and positive profile registered for the biotransformation (c) (see Figure S2, Supplementary Materials).

Interestingly, quantitative conversions (>95%) were observed when all the enzymes were immobilized either on glyoxyl-agarose (entry a, Table 1, and Figure 1), or when NPs were immobilized on glyoxyl-agarose and DddAK on Sepabeads®-PEI-CL (entry c, Table 1, and Figure 1). Although a striking difference between the immobilized “systems” (a) and (c) did not emerge, from the inspection of chromatograms at the 6 h-endpoint it is evident that the conversion of araA into araA-MP is slower when all the enzymes are immobilized on glyoxyl-agarose (a) in comparison with the substrate/product profile registered for the biotransformation (c) (see Figure S2, Supplementary Materials).

On the contrary, when all the enzymes were immobilized either on Sepabeads®-PEI-CL (entry b), or only the NPs were immobilized on this carrier whereas DddAK was immobilized on glyoxyl-agarose (entry d), the reaction did not achieve the full conversion as for the immobilized systems (a) and (c). Taking into account that all the immobilized biocatalysts were freshly prepared and assayed for their activity before use, we speculated that this result might be ascribed to the effect of the “abundance” of net positive charges deriving from the amount of Sepabeads®-PEI-CL in the reaction medium. Although NPs can catalyze the synthesis of araA also when these enzymes are immobilized on Sepabeads®-PEI-CL (entries b and d), araA that is progressively formed might be hardly phosphorylated into the corresponding monophosphate as ATP is prone to ionically interact also with the PEI-coating of immobilized NPs, thus becoming less available for the phosphorylation reaction and thus reversing the positive effect of the ATP-rich microenvironment observed both in the mono-enzyme phosphorylation reaction of araA [11,12] and in the cascade derived by the straightforward combination of the three individual reactions (entry c, Table 1, and Figure 1). This behavior is consistent with recent reports on the co-immobilization of phosphorylated cofactors (other than ATP) that results into their homogeneous co-localization across the porous surface of the carrier and their free diffusion between the positively charged surface of the carrier and the active site of the enzyme [24,25]. On these premises, the cascade reaction run by using all the enzymes immobilized on glyoxyl-agarose (entry a, Table 1, and Figure 1) should be not affected by any specific effect due to immobilization microenvironment, neither for the better (entry c) nor for the worse (entries b and d); the final conversion into araA-MP was, indeed, complete, although it proceeded at a rate slower than that of system (c).

All the reactions were monitored up to 24 h. It is worth noting that, whereas the enzyme system (d) appeared to be detrimental for the biotransformation as a whole (the highest conversion, 39%, was achieved after 6 h and maintained at 24 h), the time course of the reaction catalyzed by the enzyme system (b) suggests that an increase of the reaction conversion over time cannot be ruled out (Figure 1). From the results of the immobilization screening, we opted for the enzyme system (c) to proceed with the reaction scale-up.

Figure 1. Time course of araA-MP synthesis catalyzed by CpUP, AhPNP, and DddAK immobilized according to Table 1. Experimental conditions as reported in Table 1 (reaction assay conditions).
2.2. Reaction Scale-Up and Product Downstream

The three-enzyme cascade reaction for the synthesis of araA-MP was scaled-up stepwise from 2 mM up to 25 mM in a final volume of 10 mL (Figure 2) by using the enzyme system (c) (see Table 1). Quantitative conversions were obtained in all reactions. The reaction scale-up to 25 mM gave 95.5% conversion after 81 h, corresponding to 82.9 mg of araA-MP.

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** Time course of araA-MP synthesis (scale-up): araA-MP (black circle) and adenine (hollow circle). (A) 5 mM, (B) 10 mM, (C) 25 mM. Experiments were performed in duplicate. The data points are mean values of duplicate reactions. Deviation from mean values was below 5%.

The reaction was purified by semipreparative chromatography affording araA-MP in 55% yield and 90% purity. As a result of the progressive adenosine-5′-monophosphate (ADP) dephosphorylation, the final reaction mixture contained adenosine-5′-monophosphate (AMP) as the main impurity (besides uracil). Separation of regioisomers AMP and araA-MP is hard to achieve either by chromatography or by other techniques and, in fact, the purification step emerged as the main limiting step of this cascade reaction that would benefit from the use of a catalytic (instead of stoichiometric) amount of ATP and an ATP recycling system [34]. Although a systematic study about recyclability and re-use of the biocatalysts was not carried out yet, the mixture of the immobilized enzymes was used for 2 consecutive reaction cycles and retained completely its activity (the same final conversion was achieved at the endpoint). Moreover, from previous data [18], CpUP and AhPNP immobilized on glyoxyl-agarose were stable up to 5 reaction cycles (1 working week) even in the presence of DMF as the co-solvent, thus suggesting that these biocatalysts are very robust for a repeated use.

3. Materials and Methods

All nucleosides and nucleotides were from Sigma-Aldrich and/or Alfa Aesar (Milano, Italy). Solvents, polyethylenimine (PEI) MW 600 and 25,000, dextran MW 6000 [12] and 100,000 [10] were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or VWR International (Milano, Italy). All solvents were HPLC grade.
Sepabeads® EC-EP was kindly supplied by Resindion s.r.l. (Binasco, Milano, Italy). Sepharose™ CL-6B was purchased from Amersham Biosciences AB (Uppsala, Sweden). Regenerated cellulose tubular membrane for dialysis was from Membrane Filtration Products, Inc (Seguin, TX, USA). Biotransformations were monitored by using a HPLC Merck Hitachi L-7100 equipped with a UV detector L-7400 and column oven L-7300 (Darmstadt, Germany). The reaction mixture (from the 25 mM scale-up) was purified by using a preparative Flash Chromatography Reveleis® Purification System (Grace, Columbia, MD, USA and Büchi Italia s.r.l., Cornaredo, Italy) or an AKTA Basic100 Instrument (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden).

Protein concentration assay was performed on a Shimadzu spectrophotometer UV 1601 (Shimadzu, Milan, Italy) by the Bradford method [35] using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard. BSA standard was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milano, Italy).

AhPNP and CpUP were prepared as fusion proteins with an N-terminal His6 tag following an established protocol [9,10]. DddAK was produced as a fusion protein with a GST-tag (that was cleaved by using thrombin), as reported by Piškur et al. [36]. Specific activities of free biocatalysts were as follow: AhPNP 39 U/mg, CpUP 68 U/mg, DddAK 1.9 U/mg.

3.1. Preparation of Sepabeads®-PEI and Aldehyde Dextran

Sepabeads® PEI and aldehyde dextran were prepared following a standard protocol [8]. Briefly, polyethylenimine (PEI, MW=600 Da or MW=25,000 Da) (6.6 g) and NaCl (3.76 g) were dissolved in water (64.3 mL). The pH was adjusted to 11.0 using NaOH and then Sepabeads® EC-EP (5 g) was added. The reaction was carried out for 24 h at room temperature under mechanical stirring. The suspension was then filtered under reduced pressure and the carrier was first washed with 1 M NaCl pH 11.0 and then with deionized water. The carrier was stored at 4 °C.

Dextran (1.67 g) was suspended in deionized water (50 mL) and different percentages of NaIO4 (0.435 g or 0.87 g) were added to obtain 10% or 20% of oxidation degree, respectively [8,11,12]. The reaction was carried out for 2 h at room temperature and then the solution was dialyzed (3500 Da molecular weight cut-off, MWCO) against deionized water.

3.2. Immobilization on Sepabeads®-PEI and Cross-Linking with Aldehyde Dextran. General Procedure

The immobilization on Sepabeads®-PEI was performed as previously reported [8,37]. The activated carrier (Sepabeads®-PEI, 1.0 g) was suspended in 5 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5 containing the soluble enzyme (for NPs the loading was 10 mg/g of carrier, while for DddAK the loading was 1 mg/g of carrier) in a final volume of 14 mL. The suspension was kept under mechanical stirring at room temperature and, after 1 h, aldehyde dextran (1.4 mL) was added and allowed to stir for 1 additional hour; the pH was adjusted to 10.05 using NaOH (for DddAK the temperature was lowered to 4 °C, whereas AhPNP and CpUP were kept at room temperature) and NaBH4 was added (1 mg/mL of suspension). The reaction was carried out for 30 min under mechanical stirring. The immobilized preparations were then filtered and washed with 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 5.0 and deionized water.

3.3. Preparation of Aldehyde-Agarose (Glyoxyl-Agarose)

Glyoxyl-agarose was prepared as reported by Guisán et al. [38]. Briefly, agarose (5 g) was suspended in deionized water (1.4 mL) at 4 °C under mechanical stirring. A 1.7 M solution of NaOH (2.4 mL) containing 28.5 mg/mL NaBH4 was added. Etherification was carried out in an ice bath by adding glycidol dropwise (1.7 mL). The reaction was carried out for 18 h. After the incubation period, the suspension was filtered, and the carrier was washed with deionized water. Oxidation was initiated by adding 100 mM NaIO4 (34.3 mL). The reaction was carried out for 2 h at room temperature, then the carrier was filtered under reduced pressure and washed with deionized water and stored at 4 °C.
3.4. Immobilization on Aldehyde-Activated Agarose (Glyoxyl-Agarose). General Procedure.

Immobilization on glyoxyl-agarose was performed following the protocols previously reported [8, 39]. Briefly, glyoxyl-agarose (1 g) was suspended in 100 mM carbonate buffer (11.1 mL) at pH 10.05. After the addition of the desired amount of protein (the loading was 10 mg/g of carrier for NPs and 1-2 mg/g of carrier for DdAK), the suspension (14 mL) was kept under mechanical stirring for 3 h. Chemical reduction of Schiff bases was carried out over 30 min by adding NaBH₄ (14 mg) to the mixture. The immobilized enzyme was then filtered and washed with 10 mM phosphate buffer pH 5.0 and deionized water.

3.5. Enzymatic Activity Assays

The enzymatic activities of all biocatalysts before and after the immobilization were checked by a standard activity assay, as detailed below.

3.5.1. NP Activity Assay (Phosphorolysis Reaction)

Activity of AhPNP and CpUP was determined by phosphorolysis [10] using a solution of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5 (10 mL) containing the nucleoside substrate (5 mM inosine for AhPNP and 5 mM of 2′-deoxyuridine for CpUP, respectively). The reaction was started by adding the enzyme (10-15 mg of immobilized enzyme) and kept under mechanical stirring at room temperature. At different times (5 and 10 min), samples were withdrawn, filtered using a pipette filter device and analyzed by HPLC (sample dilution 1:5). The chromatographic column was a Kromasil RP18 (5 µm, 250 × 4.6 mm); eluent A: 0.01 M phosphate buffer pH 4.6 and eluent B: MeOH 90%; isocratic elution: 97% A and 3% B, flow: 1 mL/min; T = 35 °C; λ = 260 nm. Retention times: inosine (Ino): 10.8 min; hypoxanthine (Hpx): 5.9 min; 2′-deoxyuridine (dUrd): 8.2 min; uracil (U): 3.9 min. The activity of AhPNP and CpUP was expressed in international units (IU). One IU corresponds to the amount of enzyme that produces 1 µmol of product per min at a defined temperature and pH.

Conversion percentage was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Conversion percentage} = \left(\frac{\text{product peak area}}{\text{product peak area} + \text{substrate peak area}}\right) \times 100.
\]

3.5.2. DdAK Activity Assay (Phosphorylation Reaction)

Activity of DdAK was determined using a solution of 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH 8 (1 mL) containing 1 mM 2′-deoxyadenosine (substrate), 2 mM ATP and 2 mM MgCl₂ as previously reported [11, 12]. The reaction was started by adding the enzyme (10 mg of immobilized enzyme) and kept under mechanical stirring at 37 °C. At different times (5 and 10 min), samples were withdrawn, filtered using a pipette filter device and analyzed by HPLC (sample dilution 1:1). The column was a Kromasil RP18 (5 µm, 250 × 4.6 mm) kept at 35 °C, the flow rate was 1 mL/min. Mobile phase: eluent A: 2 g NH₄H₂PO₄ +0.5 g (NH₄)₂HPO₄ dissolved in 1 L of bi-distilled water +30 mL MeOH; eluent B: CH₃CN 90%; gradient elution: 0 (100% A)-8 min. (100% A)-22 min. (70% A–30% B)-23 min. (100% A). Retention times: adenosine triphosphate (ATP): 3.2 min; adenosine diphosphate (ADP): 3.6 min; adenosine monophosphate (AMP): 5.9 min; 2′-deoxyadenosine (dAdo): 16.5 min; 2′-deoxyadenosine monophosphate (dAdo-MP): 10.0 min. The activity of DdAK was expressed in IU. One IU corresponds to the amount of enzyme that produces 1 µmol of dAdo-MP per min at a defined temperature and pH.

Conversion percentage was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Conversion percentage} = \left(\frac{\text{product peak area}}{\text{product peak area} + \text{substrate peak area}}\right) \times 100.
\]

3.6. Synthesis of araA-MP (Analytical Scale)

A solution of 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.5 (5 mL) containing araU, Ade, ATP, MgCl₂ (2 mM) was prepared. The reaction was started by adding the enzymes (6 IU CpUP, 15 IU AhPNP, 0.2 IU DdAK) and kept under mechanical stirring at 25 °C or 37 °C. At different times (30 min, 1 h, 3 h,
6 h and 24 h), samples were withdrawn, filtered using a pipette filter device and analyzed by HPLC (sample dilution 1:1). The reaction was repeated by using a 5 mM concentration of the reagents in a 10 mL final volume (15 IU CpUP, 37.5 IU AhPNP, 0.5 IU DdΔAK), and further scaled-up to 10 mM (30 IU CpUP, 75 IU AhPNP, 1 IU DdΔAK). Concentration of MgCl$_2$ was kept constant (2 mM).

The synthesis of araA-MP was monitored by HPLC at 260 nm as reported in paragraph 3.5.2. [11,12]. Retention times: adenosine triphosphate (ATP): 3.2 min; adenosine diphosphate (ADP): 3.6 min; uracil (U): 4.3 min; adenosine monophosphate (AMP): 5.9 min; vidarabine monophosphate (araA-MP): 6.4 min; arabinosyluracil (araU): 10.2 min; adenine (Ade): 12.6 min; vidarabine (araA): 16.5 min. All products were identified by comparing their retention times with those of authentic samples.

The percentage of conversion was calculated from the depletion of Ade (substrate) and monitoring the formation of the nucleotide (araA-MP, product): The percentage of conversion of the multi-enzymatic reaction was calculated as follows:

$$\frac{\text{araA-MP peak area}}{\text{araA-MP peak area} + \text{adenine peak area}} \times 100.$$ 

3.7. Synthesis of araA-MP (Scale-Up)

To a solution (10 mL) of 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer araU (61.05 mg, 25 mM), Ade (33.78 mg, 25 mM), ATP (137.75 mg, 25 mM) and MgCl$_2$ (1.9 mg, 2 mM) were added. The final pH was set to 7.5 with diluted NaOH. The immobilized enzymes (30 IU CpUP, 75 IU AhPNP, 1 IU DdΔAK) were then added and the mixture was kept under mechanical stirring at 25 °C. The reaction was monitored by HPLC (see paragraph 3.6 for chromatographic conditions and $t_R$). Once the conversion was complete (81 h), the reaction was stopped by filtering off the enzymes. Purification of araA-MP was accomplished by preparative HPLC using an AKTA Basic100 Instrument (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) (a) or a Flash Chromatography Reveleris$^\text{®}$ Purification System (b). Chromatographic conditions were as follows: (a) column, Phenomenex Jupiter RP18 (10 µm, 250 × 10 mm, Merck); flow rate, 5 mL/min; λ, 260 nm and 280 nm; mobile phase A = 20 mM (NH$_4$)$_2$HCO$_3$ pH 5 and B = MeOH, isocratic elution: 3% MeOH for 2 column volumes, followed by a gradient elution from 3% to 40% MeOH in 2 column volumes; (b) column, Vydac 150HC RP18 (10 µm, 150 × 20 mm, Grace); flow rate, 10 mL/min; λ, 260 nm and 280 nm; mobile phase A = 20 mM (NH$_4$)$_2$HCO$_3$ pH 5 + 2% MeOH and B = MeOH, isocratic elution: 100% A, step gradient to 30% B at 20 min. Purified fractions were freeze-dried (white solid). Yield = 51–55%. The product was analyzed by HPLC (see paragraph 3.6) resulting in a mixture of araA-MP and AMP (82% and 18%, respectively). Further purification runs afforded the title product with a purity (HPLC) of 90%, being AMP the only contaminant.

4. Conclusions

In this work the synthesis of vidarabine-5′-monophosphate was successfully redesigned through a multi-enzymatic one-pot cascade reaction. Two NPs (CpUP and AhPNP) endowed with a different substrate specificity (for pyrimidine nucleosides and purine nucleosides, respectively) were coupled to a deoxyribonucleoside kinase whose substrate specificity was directed exclusively toward adenine nucleosides. Orchestration of enzyme substrate specificity played a key role for the feasibility of the biotransformation along with tuning of the reaction and immobilization conditions. Substrates were fully converted into the target compound in aqueous medium at 25 °C and pH 7.5, thus paving the way for the true optimization of this reaction which might be applied to the synthesis of other 5′-mononucleotides, such as the antileukemia agent fludarabine-5′-monophosphate. In this frame, this “tandem” multi-enzymatic biotransformation will need to be engineered by adding to the cascade an in situ ATP regeneration system, both to reduce the amount of ATP necessary as the phosphate donor and to control AMP formation as the main by-product (besides uracil). Nevertheless, the cascade reaction here described is the first example reported to date for easily accessing nucleotide 5′-monophosphates based on the concurrent use of NPs and deoxyribonucleoside kinases. This reaction design could be exploited, indeed, after an enzymatic screening, for the synthesis of other high-added value nucleoside-5′-monophosphates.
Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/10/1/60/s1, Figure S1: Comparison between the proposed three-enzyme cascade system and the previously reported transglycosylation [18] and phosphorylation [12] reactions. Figure S2: HPLC chromatograms of the multi-enzyme cascade reaction catalyzed by NPs and DdAK immobilized on glyoxyl-agarose (system a), and NPs on glyoxyl-agarose and DdAK on Sepabeads®-PEI-CL (system c) (endpoint: 6 h).
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