Structural Biology Helps Interpret Variants of Uncertain Significance in Genes Causing Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders
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Context: Variants of uncertain significance (VUSs) lack sufficient evidence, in terms of statistical power or experimental studies, to allow unequivocal determination of their damaging effect. VUSs are a major burden in performing genetic analysis. Although in silico prediction tools are widely used, their specificity is low, thus urgently calling for methods for prioritizing and characterizing variants.

Objective: To assess the frequency of VUSs in genes causing endocrine and metabolic disorders, the concordance rate of predictions from different in silico methods, and the added value of three-dimensional protein structure analysis in discerning and prioritizing damaging variants.

Results: A total of 12,266 missense variants reported in 641 genes causing endocrine and metabolic disorders were analyzed. Among these, 4123 (33.7%) were VUSs, of which 2010 (48.8%) were predicted to be damaging and 1452 (35.2%) were predicted to be tolerated according to in silico tools. A total of 5383 (87.7%) of 6133 disease-causing variants and 823 (55.8%) of 1474 benign variants were correctly predicted. In silico predictions were noninformative in 5.7%, 14.4%, and 16% of damaging, benign, and VUSs, respectively. A damaging effect on 3D protein structure was present in 240 (30.9%) of predicted damaging and 40 (9.7%) of predicted tolerated VUSs (P < 0.001). An in-depth analysis of nine VUSs occurring in TSHR, LDLR, CASR, and APOE showed that they greatly affect protein stability and are therefore strong candidates for disease.

Conclusions: In our dataset, we confirmed the high sensitivity but low specificity of in silico predictions tools. 3D protein structural analysis is a compelling tool for characterizing and prioritizing VUSs and should be a part of genetic variant analysis.

Sequencing projects have yielded thousands of novel genetic variants, and many thousands more are likely to be discovered in the near future as a result of large genetic projects, such as the 100,000 Genomes Project, already under way in the United Kingdom, and a major U.S. initiative aimed at sequencing 1 million individuals. Variants with uncertain significance (VUSs) are a well-known problem among the research community involved in genetic studies. VUSs lack sufficient evidence in terms of statistical power or experimental studies to permit unequivocal determination of their damaging or tolerating effect. VUSs are often identified in
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patients whose clinical phenotype and family history strongly suggest the presence of a
genetic background. However, the lack of clarity on the biological significance of VUSs affects
our ability to stratify patients into diagnostic and therapeutic algorithms and hinders
screening of their relatives, as well as prenatal screening and counseling.

The magnitude of the problem posed by VUSs is exemplified by the fact that one tenth of
variants (24,081 variants of 252,843 unique records with assertion criteria) reported in ClinVar
(one the largest international repositories of genetic variants, widely used by the medical and
genetics community) [1] are reported as “unclassified” or “conflicting interpretation.”

To understand the functional contribution of genetic variants to the clinical phenotype and
response to therapy and, hence, improve diagnostic and therapeutic clinical algorithms, it is
crucial to combine recent advances in sequencing technology with in silico variant prediction
methods, which can help prioritize variants for further in vitro testing. Several in silico
predictive tools have been developed over the years and are widely used by the scientific
community for predicting the damaging (or tolerated) effect of genetic variants causing amino
acid substitutions. Indeed, genetic variants can affect protein folding and stability, protein
function, protein-protein interaction, and protein subcellular localization.

In silico prediction methods are typically based on evolutionary conservation alone [e.g.,
Sorting Tolerant From Intolerant (SIFT)] [2] or in combination with the physico-chemical
properties of the residue under investigation (e.g., PolyPhen2) [3]. SIFT and PolyPhen2
scores are included in the Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor and are also reported in the
Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) database [4]. However, in silico predictions suffer
from several limitations [5]. In particular, a recent study showed that they tend to over-
estimate variants with a deleterious effect [6]. The human genome contains an excess of rare
variants (those with a frequency <1%) [4,7]. These variants are potential disease candi-
dates when identified in patients. If one considers that there is enrichment in SIFT- and
PolyPhen2-predicted damaging variants among the rare compared with common variants
[7], it becomes clear that new approaches to prioritize potentially damaging variants are
urgently needed.

Endocrine and metabolic disorders have a high incidence and prevalence in the general
population [8]. We used the set of genes causing these disorders to assess (1) the frequency of
VUSs, (2) the concordance rate of variant predictions from widely used in silico methods, and
(3) the added value of three-dimensional (3D) protein structure analysis to help discern and
prioritize damaging variants that require further in vitro testing.

1. Materials and Methods

A. Dataset

We extracted 307,135 human, missense, germline variants from the ClinVar database [1].
ClinVar variant classification was adopted. Variants classified as “variant of unknown
significance/suspicious” (those for which there is limited evidence that the variant could be
causative of disease) and variants of “unknown significance” (those for which the reported
evidence in the literature is incomplete and/or contradictory) where included in the VUS set.
An additional 76,520 human missense variants were extracted from UniProt, the databank of
proteins (UniProt Release: 2016_05 of May 10, 2016) [9]. In UniProt, variants are classified as
“disease_causes” (29,463 variants with evidence of disease association), “polymorphisms”
(39,756 variants with no evidence of disease association), and “unclassified” (7301 variants
with uncertain implication in disease because evidence against or in favor of a pathogenic role
is limited or literature reports are conflicting). The latter were also included in the VUS
nonredundant dataset. Endocrine and metabolic disorders were identified by using the
International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) and codes obtained from
Chapter IV, “Endocrine, Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases (E00-E90),” and from Chapter
II, “Malignant Neoplasms of Thyroid and Other Endocrine Glands (C73-C75).” The Online
Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) database [10] was interrogated to identify additional
protein-coding genes responsible for endocrine-metabolic disorders. ClinVar, UniProt, OMIM, and ICD-10 entries were cross-referenced to extract all protein-coding genes causing endocrine and metabolic disorders and their unique variants. Only variants that could be mapped onto the canonical protein sequences were studied. When the wild-type residue could not be mapped onto the UniProt protein sequence, cases were excluded from the final dataset. All variants were mapped onto a 3D protein structure (when this was available), as described below.

**B. Variant Predictions**

The tolerated or damaging effect of each variant in the dataset was obtained from the following widely used variant predictors: SIFT [2], PolyPhen2 [3], MutationAssessor [11], and Condel [12]. SIFT and MutationAssessor assess the effect of a variant based on its sequence conservation, whereas PolyPhen2 uses sequence conservation and structural features. Condel integrates predictions from two tools, MutationAssessor and FATHMM [13], to generate a new consensus score [12]. The default threshold recommended for each program was used to predict the damaging or tolerated effect of each variant. We applied a binary definition to each variant: damaging vs tolerated. Because PolyPhen2 and MutationAssessor provide multiple effect definitions, we considered the PolyPhen2 predictions “probable deleterious” and “possibly deleterious” and the MutationAssessor predictions “high” and “medium” impact [11] as “damaging,” whereas the PolyPhen2 score “benign” and the MutationAssessor predictions “neutral” and “low” impact as “tolerated.”

**C. Structural Analysis**

Experimental structures [x-ray and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) coordinates] were extracted from the ProteinDataBank (PDB) [14] and variants were mapped onto structures. In the presence of multiple structures covering the query amino acid position, the best structure (i.e., the one with the highest resolution) was chosen. Structures covering fewer than 100 residues were not used. In the presence of two structures with similar resolution, the one covering the longest amino acid sequence was chosen.

To study the effect of amino acid variation, mutant structures were generated by removing the side chain of the residue under investigation (query residue) and any surrounding residue with at least one atom within a 5 Å distance to any atom of the query residue. The mutant side chain of the query residue and the wild-type side chains of the surrounding residues were reintroduced by using the SCWRL4 program [15]. Because this procedure could introduce a bias during the repacking, for each mutant structure we also recreated the wild-type structure. We assessed the validity of this repacking method by comparing the wild-type and corresponding repacked wild-type structures on a set of 606 human, high-quality protein structures (defined as resolution <2.0 Å, MolProbity score < 2.0 [16], ≤5% of residues with bond length outliers (>4σ), ≤5% of residues with bond angle outliers (>4σ), and ≤5% of residues with Cβ deviation outliers (>0.25 Å) [17] extracted from the independent dataset of the top 8000 structures (available at [http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu/databases/top8000.php](http://kinemage.biochem.duke.edu/databases/top8000.php)) in the PDB (Supplemental file 606proteins.xls) [18]. Thirty proteins were in common with the set of proteins causing endocrine or metabolic disorders. The average distance between the backbone atoms of the superposed repacked wild-type and mutant structures measured by the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) was 0.027 ± 0.118 (RMSD mean ± SD). Furthermore, we used this dataset of 606 human protein-coding genes for which high-quality protein structures were available to assess the accuracy of structural analysis in discriminating between deleterious and benign variants.

The structural effect of each VUS was assessed by comparing the properties of the wild-type to the mutant residue by analyzing the structural changes introduced by the mutant residue. In particular, the following structural changes were evaluated: (1) change in solvent accessibility (from buried to exposed or vice-versa), (2) breakage of salt or disulfide bridges,
disallowed torsion angles, (4) change in the charge of a buried residue, (5) introduction of a steric clash, (6) replacement of a buried hydrophobic residue with a hydrophilic one, (7) disruption of secondary structure (i.e., introduction of proline in a secondary structure motif, such as an α helix), (8) substitution of a buried glycine with any other amino acid, (9) substitution to proline, (10) substitution from glycine to any other residue in the "sharp turn" (as defined by the DSSP program, https://swift.cmbi.umcn.nl/gv/dssp/index.html), and (11) change in cavity volume (cavity filling or cavity expanding).

The following features were considered: (1) salt bridge, defined as at least one pair of atoms on oppositely charge groups within a 5 Å distance; (2) disulfide bridge (S-S bridge), defined as the side chains of two cysteines at a 3.3 Å distance; (3) disallowed torsion angles, as described in Lovell et al. [17]; (4) "hydrophobic" residues (V, I, L, M, F, W, C, A) and hydrophilic residues (R, K, E, D, Q, N) [19]; (5) charged residues (D, E, H, K, R) [20]; (6) secondary structure: helices, strands, and turns were calculated by using DSSP [21]; (7) the amino acid percentage relative surface accessibility area calculated by dividing its total surface area with that in the extended conformation (φ = Ψ = 180°) of the Gly–X–Gly tripeptide. Residues were defined as solvent accessible if relative surface accessibility area was ≥9%; otherwise residues were considered buried [22].

A steric clash score was calculated by using the "clashlistcluster" shell script from the MolProbity library. A clash was defined as a van der Waals overlap ≤0.4 Å, and clash score was defined as the average number of clashes per 1000 atoms [18]. We evaluated only local clashes that occurred within a 20 Å radius from Cα of the mutant residue and considered a mutation to be "damaging" when a mutant model had high clash score (≥30) [18] and a ≥70% increase in clash score between mutant and repacked wild-type structures. The cavity volume was calculated by KVFinder [23] by using its default setting. Amino acid substitutions, which affect protein stability, can cause a change in cavity volume that ranges from 5 Å³ to 150 Å³ [24–26]. In our independent dataset of 606 human structures, a 70 Å³ change in cavity volume maximizes the true-positive vs false-positive ratio and significantly discriminates between disease-causing and neutral variants (χ² = 23.81; P < 0.001).

2. Results

We analyzed 641 unique protein-coding genes (Supplemental file 641_genomes.txt) causing endocrine and metabolic disorders and harboring 12,266 unique missense variants, of which 4123 (33.7%) were VUSs. These variants occur in genes known to cause endocrine or metabolic disorders and are strong disease-causing candidates when identified in patients with these disorders. Moreover, in our database, 6133 (50%) variants were classified as deleterious ("pathogenic/likely pathogenic" in ClinVar or "disease-causing" in UniProt), 1474 (12%) as benign ("benign or likely benign" in ClinVar and "polymorphisms" in UniProt). The remaining variants were annotated as "risk_factor" (n = 22), "protective" (n = 3), and "involved in drug response" (n = 5). For 495 variants, no clinical significance was reported in ClinVar.

A. In silico Prediction Tools Overestimate the Damaging Effect of “Neutral” Variants

In silico predictions for variants classified as benign and deleterious were analyzed: 5383 of 6133 (87.7%) deleterious variants were correctly identified as damaging by all or most in silico predictors, and 823 of 1474 (55.8%) benign variants were correctly predicted to be tolerated (Table 1). We thereafter analyzed in silico predictions for 4123 VUSs: 2010 (48.8%) were predicted to be damaging and 1452 (35.2%) to be tolerated. For the remaining VUSs, in silico results were noninformative because there were equal numbers of "damaging" and "tolerated" predictions for each variant (Table 1).

In silico predictions tools thus appear to have a high sensitivity and a low specificity, at least for variants occurring in genes known to cause endocrine and metabolic disorders. Although our results cannot at present be generalized to the whole proteome, our findings
confirm what was previously reported on a smaller dataset of variants in genes causing epilepsy syndromes [27].

### B. Structural Predictions for VUSs

We assessed the added value of 3D structural analysis in prioritizing VUSs, based on their damaging effect on protein structure (Supplemental file VUS_predictions.xls). First, we tested whether structural analysis was able to differentiate between disease-causing and benign variants on an independent dataset of 606 human proteins, for which a high-quality 3D structure (see Materials and Methods) was available. One or more possibly damaging structural changes were present in 851 of 1990 deleterious variants, compared with 361 of 2280 benign variants ($\chi^2$ test, $P < 0.001$), thus suggesting that 3D structural analysis is informative in discriminating between damaging and neutral variants.

We then performed structural analysis on the impact on protein structure of the 4123 VUSs from our dataset of proteins involved in endocrine and metabolic disorders and compared the results with predictions from widely used in silico tools, namely SIFT, PolyPhen2, MutationAssessor, and Condel (Table 2). The 4123 VUSs were distributed among 247 proteins. We examined the 365,464 structural files (x-ray and NMR coordinates) deposited in the PDB. At least one structural file was available for 118 (47.8%) proteins, and we were able to map and perform structural analysis for 1389 VUSs (number of PDB files analyzed: 162). 3D structural analysis could be performed in 776 (38.6%) of 2010 variants that were predicted to be damaging by all or most in silico predictors and in 410 (28.2%) of 1452 variants that were predicted to be tolerated according to all or most in silico predictors.

### Table 1. In silico Predictions for Genetic Variants Identified in Genes Causing Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders

| Clinical Significance | Damaging | Tolerated | Noninformative | Total, n |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| Disease-causing       | 5383 (87.8) | 403 (6.6) | 347 (5.7) | 6133 |
| Benign                | 439 (29.8) | 823 (55.8) | 212 (14.4) | 1474 |
| VUS                   | 2011 (48.9) | 1452 (35.1) | 661 (16) | 4124 |

Predictions were classified as “noninformative” in the presence of an equal number of “damaging” and “tolerated” responses from different software for the same variant (e.g., variant X predicted to be “damaging” by SIFT and Condel and “tolerated” by PolyPhen2 and MutationAssessor).

| Prediction                  | Total VUSs Analyzed, n | VUSs Mapped Onto 3D Structure, n (%) | Effect on 3D Structure, n (%) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Damaging                    |                        |                                      |                             |
| By all predictors           | 1343                   | 536 (39.9)                           | 182 (34)                    | 354 (66)                     |
| By most predictors          | 667                    | 240 (36.0)                           | 58 (24.1)                   | 182 (75.8)                   |
| Total                       | 2010                   | 776 (38.6)                           | 240 (39.9)                  | 536 (69.1)                   |
| Noninformative              |                        |                                      |                             |
| Equal number of “damaging” and “tolerated” predictions | 661 | 203 (30.7) | 27 (13.3) | 176 (85.7) |
| Tolerated                   |                        |                                      |                             |
| By all predictors           | 819                    | 227 (27.7)                           | 24 (10.6)                   | 203 (89.4)                   |
| By most predictors          | 633                    | 183 (28.9)                           | 16 (8.7)                    | 167 (91.3)                   |
| Total                       | 1452                   | 410 (28.2)                           | 40 (9.7)                    | 370 (90.3)                   |
damaging effect on protein structure stability was more likely to be observed in VUSs predicted to be damaging rather than tolerated (240 vs 40; \(P < 0.001\)) (Table 2). Interestingly, variants with a full, rather than partial, concordance on their damaging effect were also more likely to cause structural damage (182 vs 58 variants; \(P < 0.01\)). However, this was not observed for variants predicted to be tolerated \((P = 0.43)\).

In 661 VUSs (16%), there were equal numbers of damaging and tolerated \textit{in silico} predictions for each variant (noninformative predictions). 3D structural analysis could be performed on 203 variants (30.7%), with a damaging effect on protein structure stability observed in 27 (13.3%) VUSs.

Overall, there was agreement between 3D structural analysis and \textit{in silico} predictions, and our results demonstrated the added value of 3D structure analysis in identifying the VUSs that are likely to be nontolerated and that may require validation by \textit{in vitro} experiments or by genetic confirmation on other affected family members.

3. Description of Cases

Manual in-depth analysis of VUSs that had several damaging structural features suggesting a deleterious effect was performed (Supplemental Table S1).

A. Thyroid-Stimulating Hormone Receptor

Thyroid-stimulating hormone receptor (TSHR) is a G protein–coupled receptor that plays a crucial role in thyroid hormone metabolism. Mutations in this gene have been identified in several widely spread thyroid conditions, such as autonomous toxic thyroid adenomas, Graves disease, and autoimmune hypothyroidism [28].

\textit{p.Gly132Arg} (rs760874290) is reported in ClinVar under “conflicting interpretations of pathogenicity” because it has been deposited by three different submitters as “benign,” “likely pathogenic,” and of “uncertain significance.” A literature search showed that \textit{p.Gly132Arg} has been identified in compound heterozygosity with \textit{p.Arg450His} in two patients with congenital hypothyroidism: a 14-year-old Japanese patient (pretreatment thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) level, 18 mU/L (normal range, 0.5 to 5.0 mU/L); free T4, 1.6 ng/dL (normal range, not available); mild thyroid hypoplasia) and a 16-year-old Korean girl (pretreatment TSH levels, 16.0 mU/L; normal thyroid on ultrasonography; 99mTc uptake, 0%) [29]. \textit{p.Gly132Arg} was also identified in single heterozygosity in an 11-year-old Korean girl (pretreatment TSH level, 41.5 mU/liter; normal thyroid on ultrasonography; reduced 99mTc uptake at 1.7% (normal uptake, 2.5% to 7%)) [30]. \textit{In silico} predictions for \textit{p.Gly132Arg} were as follows: SIFT score, tolerated; MutationAssessor score, neutral; Condel score, neutral; PolyPhen2 score, probably damaging.

Structural analysis was performed by using the crystal structure of the extracellular domain of the human TSHR (PDB ID: 2XWT, 1.9 Å). Gly132 is located in the extracellular leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain of the TSHR. The large concave surface of the TSHR LRR represents the site of interaction between TSHR and TSH, as well as TSHR and its autoantibodies [31]. Glycine 132 is located on the \(\beta\) strand of the fifth LRR repeat, between residues Phe130 and Phe134, which interact with Lys91 and Tyr88, respectively, in the TSH–TSHR model complex (and with Pro97 in the thyroid-stimulating autoantibody M22–TSHR complex) [32]. Our structural analysis shows that replacement of the small and neutral Gly132 with the large and charged side chain of arginine is likely to induce an important conformational change, which could displace Phe130, thus altering the ability of TSHR to bind TSH, as well as TSHR autoantibodies. An extensive literature search revealed that the TSHR-Gly132Arg mutant generated \textit{in vitro} has normal expression levels. Competitive TSH-binding studies showed absent binding activity and a cAMP response of 26% compared with wild-type; these findings support the results of our analysis, which suggest that the Gly-to-Arg substitution results in functional TSHR impairment rather than protein misfolding [29].
B. Apolipoprotein E

Liver-derived apolipoprotein E (APOE) is a main ligand for low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor (LDLR) and has a crucial role in removing from circulation triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, which are a major risk factor for ischemic heart disease. APOE has also a major role in brain lipid metabolism, and the APOE ε4 isoform is a well-established risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease [33].

p.Leu46Pro (rs769452) is reported in ClinVar under “uncertain significance.” This variant was first reported in a 6-year-old girl with an LDL cholesterol level of 1.97 g/L, a high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level of 0.70 g/L, a triglyceride level of 0.85 g/L, and a strong history of familial hypercholesterolemia (the lipid profile of the patient’s affected mother, who was a carrier of this mutation, is not available) [34]. Of note, this variant has also been associated with an increased risk for late-onset Alzheimer disease [35]. In silico predictions are as follows: SIFT, tolerated; MutationAssessor, medium effect; PolyPhen2, possibly damaging; Condel, damaging. Structural analysis was performed using the NMR solution structure of the human APOE receptor–binding domain (PDB ID: 2KC3, 1.9 Å). Leu46 is located in an α helix, and substitution to proline is likely to induce a bend in the helix, which can greatly destabilize the APOE structure and lead to protein misfolding. A literature search revealed that the effect of this variant on the structure of APOE isoform 4 (APOE ε4, Leu28Pro) was studied in vitro. The mutant protein was thermodynamically unstable and resulted in early degradation [36].

C. Calcium-Sensing Receptor

Calcium-sensing receptor (CASR) is a family C G protein–coupled receptor expressed on the cell surface of tissues involved in calcium homeostasis. It senses perturbations in extracellular calcium levels and modulates parathyroid hormone secretion and renal Ca²⁺ excretion accordingly [37]. CASR-inactivating mutations result in familial hypocalciuric hypercalcemia when in heterozygosity, and severe neonatal hyperparathyroidism when in homozygosity [38, 39].

p.Gly36Arg (rs193922420) is reported in ClinVar under “conflicting interpretation of pathogenicity.” The condition associated with this variant was familial hypocalciuric hypercalcemia, although no clinical data were available. This variant is predicted to be damaging by all in silico predictors. Our structural analysis (PDB ID: 5FBK, 2.1 Å) showed that Gly36 is part of a β strand in the extracellular domain (ECD) domain, close to a Ca²⁺ binding site. Substitution of glycine to the large, charged arginine is predicted to cause a steric clash and disrupt CASR’s protein structure.

p.Gly143Arg (rs769256610) was identified in heterozygosity in a 65-year-old woman with familial hypocalciuric hypercalcemia. Her laboratory data were as follows: total calcium, 10.7 mg/dL (normal range, 8.5 to 10.5 mg/dL); ionized calcium, 5.68 mg/dL (normal range, 4.48 to 5.28 mg/dL); phosphate, 3.0 mg/dL (normal range, 2.2 to 4.1 mg/dL); parathyroid hormone, 40 ng/L (normal range, 10 to 65 ng/L); 25-hydroxyvitamin D, 38 ng/mL (normal range, 9.0 to 52.0 ng/mL); 24-hour urine calcium, 40 mg (normal range, 50 to 250 mg). Spine T score was −2.3 SD, indicating low bone mineral density. Her brother also had mild hypercalcemia (values not reported). His CASR genotype was not reported. This variant is reported in ClinVar under “uncertain significance” and is predicted to be damaging by all in silico predictors. Structural analysis was performed by using the experimental crystal structure of the extracellular homodimer domain of CASR (PDB ID: 5K5T, 3.1 Å). Gly143 is in the short loop connecting a β sheet with an α helix of the LB1 domain of CASR. Moreover, Gly143 is close to one of the four Ca²⁺ binding sites. Substitution of the glycine with arginine is likely to cause a steric clash, thus destabilizing the CASR structure (Fig. 1). Interestingly, substitution of Gly143 with glutamic acid has been demonstrated to lead to a reduction in the amount of mature glycosylated CASR, with little or no response to Ca²⁺ [40]. We postulate that substitution of Gly143 with arginine will have a similar effect on CASR structure and function.
p.Cys568Gly (rs1060502851) is reported in ClinVar under “uncertain significance.” The conditions associated with this variant are familial hypocalciuric hypercalcemia and autosomal-dominant hypocalcemia. No clinical data on the clinical phenotype were available. In silico predictions for this variant are as follows: PolyPhen2, benign; SIFT, damaging; Condel, damaging; MutationAssessor, not available. Structural analysis was performed by using the crystal structure of the human CASR extracellular domain (PDB ID: 5K5T, 3.1 Å) and showed that Cys568 forms a disulfide bridge with Cys582. Substitution of Cys568 with any other amino acid disrupts this crucial structural element, thus causing structural damage to CASR (Fig. 1). No in vitro functional studies are available on the effect of this variant. However, other amino acid substitutions of Cys582 (p.Cys582Tyr and p.Cys582Phe), which are likely to disrupt the same disulfide bridge, have been shown to cause familial hypocalciuric hypercalcemia, neonatal severe hyperparathyroidism, and autosomal-dominant hypocalcemia.

D. Low-Density Lipoprotein Receptor

The LDLR is an important contributor to the removal of LDL cholesterol from the circulation. Deleterious genetic variants in this gene are responsible for familial hypercholesterolemia (FH). Heterozygous FH, which has a prevalence of approximately 1:200 in some European populations, is an important risk factor for premature cardiovascular disease [41]. More than 1000 genetic variations have been detected in this gene so far. There is great interest in understanding which LDLR variants affect LDLR because this can aid in the identification of individuals at increased risk for premature cardiovascular disease.

p.Cys284Ser (rs879254693) is reported under “uncertain significance” in ClinVar. It was described in patients from the Norway FH cohort [42] and is predicted to be damaging by SIFT and Condel and tolerated by PolyPhen2. Structural analysis was performed by using the crystal structure of the human LDLR/proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 complex (PDB ID: 3P5C, 4.2 Å). Cys284 forms a cysteine bond with Cys302. Substitution with serine disrupts this bond because it is likely to cause structural damage to the LDLR protein (Fig. 1).

p.Cys329Tyr (rs761954844) is located in the epidermal growth factor–like 1 domain of LDLR. It is classified as of “conflicting interpretation of pathogenicity” in ClinVar because it has been annotated as “likely benign” as well as “likely pathogenic” and “pathogenic.” This variant was first reported in two unrelated Chinese patients with a lipid profile and family history suggesting FH. Moreover, it was shown to cosegregate with the FH phenotype in affected relatives of both patients [43]. This variant has since been reported in several patients with possible heterozygous FH from different ethnic background [44–46]. In silico predictions suggest a deleterious effect of this variant (PolyPhen2, Condel, and SIFT; no prediction available from MutationAssessor). Structural analysis was performed by using the

Figure 1. Structural analysis of VUSs occurring in LDLR and CASR. (Left) p.Cys284Ser abolishes a cysteine bond in the LDLR protein. (Middle) p.Gly143Arg may disrupt Ca²⁺ (presented as a sphere) binding site in CASR protein. (Right) p.Cys568Gly is likely to disrupt a disulfide bridge in the CASR protein.
crystal structure of the extracellular domain of the LDLR (PDB ID: 1N7D, 3.7 Å). Cys329 forms a cysteine bond with Cys318, thus helping to stabilize the LDLR structure. Substitution with tyrosine disrupts such a bridge, thus causing structural instability. Indeed, previous in vitro studies have shown a marked reduction in the mutant LDLR expression on the cell surface and its ability to bind and internalize LDL [47].

p.Ser499Pro (rs879254921) is reported under “conflicting interpretation of pathogenicity” in ClinVar because it was reported as “likely benign,” as well as “likely pathogenic.” Ser499 occurs in the ligand-binding region (epidermal growth factor precursor homology domains) of LDLR. In silico predictions are as follows: PolyPhen2, benign; MutationAssessor, medium effect; SIFT, damaging; Condel, damaging. Structural analysis was performed by using the crystal structure of the human LDLR (PDB ID: 1IJQ, 1.5 Å) and revealed that Ser499 is located within an α helix. Its substitution with proline is likely to cause a bend in the α helix, thus causing major disruption to the LDLR structure.

p.Cys698Trp (rs879255137) is reported in ClinVar under “conflicting interpretation of pathogenicity” because it has been reported as both “likely pathogenic” and of “uncertain significance” in patients with FH (no clinical data available). In silico predictors suggest a damaging effect. Structural analysis was performed by using the crystal structure of the human LDLR (PDB ID: 1IJQ, 1.5 Å) and revealed that Cys698 forms a disulfide bond with Cys711. Substitution of Cys698 with any other residue disrupts this structurally important bond.

4. Discussion

In this study, we quantified the burden of VUSs in genes causing endocrine and metabolic disorders and showed that they represent a third of known variants in these genes. Personalized medicine relies on the ability to identify actionable genetic variants (i.e., variants that influence a patient’s response to treatment or prognosis) [48]. For example, patients with heterozygous FH have a higher cardiovascular risk than the general population, and such a genetic diagnosis dictates a more aggressive treatment of hypercholesterolemia compared with patients with simple dyslipidemia [49].

The first step toward the goal of implementing actionable genetic variations into clinical practice is the identification of variations, which affect phenotype. Missense variants (i.e., those leading to an amino acid change) are compelling candidates because they can directly modify protein structure and/or function. A large proportion of human genetic variations are missense variants, and identification of those of clinical significance remains a major challenge in modern genetics. Several variant effect predictors trained on large datasets of neutral and damaging variations occurring across the whole proteome have been developed over the years. However, their specificity remains low [50,51]. In our dataset, 88% of known damaging variants and almost half of known benign variants were predicted to be damaging by some of the most widely used in silico predictions tools.

Other authors have reported a high sensitivity but low specificity for in silico tools used to assess the effect of variants in disease-causing genes involved in the pathogenesis of epileptic encephalopathies [27]. Recently, in vitro testing of variants identified in the cancer gene TP53 and predicted to be damaging by in silico tools revealed that only half of the variants affected TP53 activity [6]. Overprediction of potentially damaging variants generates a high number of genetic variations that require further in vitro testing, an expensive and time-consuming process. Therefore, approaches to filtering genetic variants are urgently needed.

In this study, we used 3D structural analysis to assess the impact of VUSs on protein function and structure stability. We first assessed the utility of this method on an independent dataset of proteins and demonstrated that it accurately identifies enrichment of damaging structural effects among known deleterious variants, compared with benign variants. We then applied this approach to filter VUSs. Although structural analysis was possible for only one third of VUSs in our dataset, there was concordance between in silico predictions and structural analysis. Furthermore, we were able to identify a subset of predicted damaging VUSs, which are potentially disease-causing. The relatively low number
of VUSs that could be structurally analyzed reflects the still limited number of proteins for which a good-quality experimental 3D structure is available.

A major international effort is ongoing to solve the experimental structure of clinically important proteins, as demonstrated by the steadily growing availability of structures in PDB, from 23,000 in 2003 to the current >133,000. Although an experimental 3D structure is available only for less than a third (6064) of human proteins (and in most cases, it covers only part of the protein), the structural coverage of the human proteome can be greatly enhanced by using homology modeling. Although the use of homology modeling to understand the impact of variants on protein structure is successfully used [52,53], it requires in-depth manual curation and cannot be performed by an automated process. For this reason, in this study, we chose to perform a structural analysis only in cases for which a 3D structure was available, thus limiting the number of VUSs that could be analyzed.

Our case studies demonstrate the value of structural analysis in helping us understand the molecular mechanisms by which a variant can affect phenotype and cause disease, for example, by disrupting structurally important bonds and destabilizing protein structure. Such information cannot be obtained by prediction tools, such as PolyPhen2, SIFT, or CADD, which return only a binary response: damaging or tolerated. Understanding how a genetic variant affects phenotype is essential in guiding in vitro experiments [54], especially in the presence of VUSs.

Our case studies also highlight the importance of building gene and disease-specific databases, with curated detailed information on genetic variants. Many of our case studies were variants, which have been deposited in ClinVar or other publicly repositories as both benign and pathogenic. Through extensive literature search, we were able to obtain additional information on these variants and, in some cases, retrieved experimental data that validated our structural predictions. This is an enormously time-consuming process that requires manual curation. Curated databases that allow automatic retrieval of variant and patient information will become invaluable when initiatives, such as the 100,000 Genome Project, release a vast amount of novel genetic data that require interpretation.

A limitation of this study is that we chose to perform structural analysis only on VUSs and not on the entire set of benign and damaging variants in genes causing endocrine and metabolic disorders. Instead, we chose to test our structural approach on a dataset of benign and damaging variants in a different dataset of proteins (not linked to endocrine or metabolic disorders), to avoid potential bias. Another limitation is that we performed structural analysis on monomeric proteins and did not assess the impact of amino acid substitutions on protein-protein interactions. Protein interaction sites are a hot spot for damaging variants [55]. Identification of VUSs that affect protein interaction and potentially disrupt biological pathways is part of future work, as it can also assist in guiding in vitro studies [54]. Our ability to understand which biological pathway is affected by a variant is important, especially because several proteins causing endocrine and metabolic disorders are pleiotropic and can thus cause different disorders according to where in the protein they occur [56].

In conclusion, VUSs are an important burden in our understanding of the genetic basis of endocrine and metabolic disorders and in the identification of actionable variants. Although the use of multiple in silico variant predictors may be helpful in prioritizing potentially damaging variants for further in vitro testing, in-depth understanding of the molecular mechanisms of the disease under investigation and the structure, function, and biological network of the proteins involved in its pathogenesis remains crucial. Protein structural analysis is a compelling tool for prioritizing genetic variants and should be used more extensively, especially for assessing VUSs.
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