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ABSTRACT

Objective: The orbit is an important cavity containing vision-related formations and important neurovascular structures, and adjacent to various regions. This study aimed to evaluation, by multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) images, the morphometry of orbit by examining its changes according to gender and body side, and developments according to age, in Turkish population.

Materials and Methods: A total of 200 individuals’ (94 females, 106 males; 400 sides) MDCT images were evaluated. Images were examined according to the gender, body side, and the anatomical structures development.

Results: The height, width and depth of the orbit were determined as 36.04±2.97 mm, 32.33±2.59 mm, 38.35±3.2 mm on the right side, 35.79±3.18 mm, 32.92±2.67 mm, 38.13±3.21 mm on the left side, respectively, in healthy subjects. The height and width of the orbit were found to be statistically significantly larger on both sides in men than in women (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Comprehensive information about the orbit and associated apertures is quite important for clinicians working in this area, damage to these structures can cause serious complications. In addition, determining the morphometry of the orbit can be used as an important parameter for determining gender, age and race in forensic medicine.
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ÖZ

Amaç: Ortialar bağırları sağlamların boşlukları, komşu olduğu bölgeler ve içerdiği yapılar sebebiyle oldukça önemlidir bir bölge. Çalışmamızda Türk popülasyonunun orbita morfometrisini, çok kesitli bilgisayarlı tomografi (ÇKBT) görüntülerinde inciyecek, vücut taratına göre farklılıklarını, yaşa bağlı gelişimini değerlendireceğiz.

Gereç ve Yöntem: 94’ü erkek, 106’sı kadın olmak üzere toplam 200 birey (400 tarafı) ÇKBT görüntüleri üzerinde alınmamı, görüntüle inciyecek, vücut taratı ve anatomi yaplarının gelişimine göre değerlendirildi.

Bulgular: Sağ tarafta orbita yüksekliği, genişliği ve derinliği sırasıyla 36.04±2.97 mm, 32.33±2.59 mm, 38.35±3.2 mm, sol tarafta ise 35.79±3.18 mm, 32.92±2.67 mm, 38.13±3.21 mm olarak belirlendi. Ortitaların genişliğini ve genişliği her iki tarafı da erkeklerde kadınlarla ortalama değerlerine göre ortalama 2 mm düşüktü. Ortitaların genişliğini ve genişliği bir de erkeklerde kadınlarla ortalama değerlerine göre ortalama 2 mm daha büyüktü. Ortitaların yüksekliğini ve genişliğini erkeklerde kadınlarla ortalama değerde 2 mm daha büyüktü.

Sonuç: Ortitaların genişliğini ve genişliğini erkeklerde kadınlarla ortalama değerde 2 mm daha büyüktü. Ortitaların yüksekliğini ve genişliğini erkeklerde kadınlarla ortalama değerde 2 mm daha büyüktü. Ortitaların yüksekliğini ve genişliğini erkeklerde kadınlarla ortalama değerde 2 mm daha büyüktü. Ortitaların genişliğini ve genişliğini erkeklerde kadınlarla ortalama değerde 2 mm daha büyüktü. Ortitaların yüksekliğini ve genişliğini erkeklerde kadınlarla ortalama değerde 2 mm daha büyüktü. Ortitaların genişliğini ve genişliğini erkeklerde kadınlarla ortalama değerde 2 mm daha büyüktü. Ortitaların genişliğini ve genişliğini erkeklerde kadınlarla ortalama değerde 2 mm daha büyüktü. Ortitaların genişliğini ve genişliğini erkeklerde kadınlarla ortalama değerde 2 mm daha büyüktü. Ortitaların genişliğini ve genişliğini erkeklerde kadınlarla ortalama değerde 2 mm daha büyüktü. Ortitaların genişliğini ve genişliğini erkeklerde kadınlarla ortalama değerde 2 mm daha büyüktü. Ortitaların genişliğini ve genişliğini erkeklerde kadınlarla ortalama değerde 2 mm daha büyüktü.
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Introduction

The orbit is an important anatomical region containing the eye and related formations and extensions of the central nervous system, with connections to the nasal and paranasal sinuses (1). Intraorbital structures, thanks to the four walls of the orbit, are separated from the formations associated with the brain and face. These walls have their own characteristics and are connected to neighboring structures by openings that allow the passage of important structures such as nerves and blood vessels (2). Therefore, even single lesions of the orbit can cause multiple complication (3).

Various diseases and event, including trauma, infection, and tumors, can affect the orbit. Orbit plays an important role in surgical procedures, in particular orbital decompression, enucleation, exenteration, optic nerve decompression and vascular ligation (4). Therefore, the orbit is an important anatomical region where tissues and organs belonging to different specialties such as ophthalmology, otolaryngology and neurosurgery are located. The surgical approach to the structures located in the orbit is difficult due to the fact that this region has a relatively small volume and the richness of neurovascular structures located in the region (5). Comprehensive anatomical information about the orbit is important for regional surgery (2). In addition, determining the morphometry of the orbit can be used as an important parameter for determining gender, age and race in forensic medicine. Accurate measurements of the orbital anthropometry are valuable for the purpose of designing protective equipment for the eye (6). Thus, we believe that the results of our study will contribute to the existing literature knowledge, the prevention of possible complications in surgical interventions, and shed light on possible new designs.

Materials and Methods

Images were performed with a 256-slice MDCT scanner (Siemens Somatom Flash, Erlangen, Germany). Imaging
parameters were as follows: kV = 120; mA = 160; rotation time = 0.5 s; collimation = 64 × 0.625; FOV = 220 mm. According to power analysis using the GPower 3.1.9.4. program, the sample size was detected in 200 cases (the effect size was 0.75, the reliability was 0.95, and the power was 0.95).

The images of 355 patients who underwent orbital or paranasal CT imaging for different complaints between 2013 - 2021 were evaluated. A total of 155 cases were not included (orbital fractures, pathology in orbital structures, tumors, patients who underwent orbital surgery, foreign body in the relevant region, and poor image quality). Images of a total of 200 individuals (400 sides, 94 male and 106 female), were evaluated. In these cases, prepubertal age was accepted as below 15 years old, postpubertal age was accepted as above 15 years old, and geriatric age limit was accepted as 60 years old (7,8). Taking into account these limits, the cases were evaluated in eight different groups as 0–9 years old, 10–14 years old, 15–19 years old, 20–29 years old, 30–39 years old, 40–49 years old, 50–59 years old, and over 60 years old. In axial and coronal images, height, width, depth, and lengths of the medial and lateral walls of the orbit were measured. Area of orbital apertura was calculated. Measurements were taken three times by the same person for both parties and averaged.

**Height and width of the orbit**

The height of the orbit was measured as the longest vertical distance between the midpoints of the supraorbital and infraorbital margins at the superficial level of the infraorbital foramen, in coronal section images (9,10) (Figure 1). In the same images, width of the orbit was measured as the longest horizontal distance between medial and lateral margins at the superficial level of the frontozygomatic suture (1, 9) (Figure 1).

**Depth of the orbit**

In order to determine the orbital depth, firstly, the level at which the optic nerve is monitored continuously was determined, in axial section images. In the section at this level, the line has been drawn from anterior corner of lateral wall of the orbit to anterior lacrimal crest. And from this line to midpoint of the optic canal descending perpendicularly another line was determined as depth of the orbit (1) (Figure 2).

**Lengths of the medial and lateral walls of the orbit**

The medial and lateral wall lengths of the orbit were evaluated on axial section images at the level where the optic nerve was monitored continuously and the superior orbital fissure was observed. The medial wall length was measured as distance between the anterior lacrimal crest and the anteromedial point of the optic canal (1, 4, 10, 11) (Figure 3A). The lateral wall length was measured as distance between the anterior corner this wall and the anterolateral margin of the superior orbital fissure (10-12) (Figure 3B).

**Area of orbital apertura**

The area of orbital apertura was calculated by using the software for both sides by following certain anatomical points on the edges of the orbit on coronal section images. This anatomic landmarks are supraorbital foramen, the anterior edge of flor of lacrimal fossa, frontozygomatic suture, lateral and infraorbital edges throughout ceiling of maxillary sinus, infraorbital foramen, junction point with this edge and medial margin. The area of the closed bone line drawn, provided that the medial edge passes through the bone line, is calculated automatically with the help of software (13, 14) (Figure 4).

**Statistical Analyses**

The SPSS for Windows version 24.0 program was used in the analysis, and the p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The data conformity to the normal distribution was tested with the Shapiro Wilk test. Student’s t-test (for normally distributed variables) and Mann–Whitney u test (for non-normally distributed variables) were used in the comparison of numerical variables in two groups. The Kruskal Wallis test and Dunn’s multiple comparison test (for non-normally distributed variables) were used to compare numerical variables in more than two groups.

**Results**

In our study, data from 400 orbit of a total of 200 individuals, 94 male (47%) and 106 female (53%), were evaluated. Individuals aged between 3 months old and 90 years old were examined in eight different age groups. The distribution of age groups by gender was similar (p=0.344). Morphometric measurements were made on the images and the reference values of these structures according to gender, side and age were determined in healthy individuals.

**Height, width and depth of the orbit**

The height and width of the orbit were found to be statistically significantly larger on both sides in males than in females (p<0.05). Depth of the orbit was found to be statistically significantly greater in males than in females on the left side (p<0.05), but its was not statistically significant right side (p>0.05).

The height, width and depth of the orbit were found to be greater in the right side than in the left side, but this difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05) (Table 1).

The height, width and depth of the orbit were compared according to age groups and a statistically significant difference was observed between the sides (p<0.05), the largest height of orbit was 40–49 age group, while the widest orbit was observed in the 50–59 age group, for both sides. The largest depth of the orbit
### Table 1. Orbital parameters according to body side and gender

|                         | Male (n=46) | Female (n=54) | p              |
|-------------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| Height of the orbit (mm)| 36.94±2.19 | 35.22±3.13    | 0.001*         |
| Width of the orbit (mm) | 36.46±2.56 | 34.21±2.69    | 0.001*         |
| Depth of the orbit (mm) | 32.79±2.34 | 31.79±2.65    | 0.001*         |
| Length of the medial wall (mm) | 38.71±2.66 | 38.71±2.66 | 0.281          |
| Length of the lateral wall (mm) | 36.89±2.48 | 36.89±2.48 | 0.014*         |
| Area of orbital apertura (mm²) | 38.5±2.65  | 38.5±2.65    | 0.070           |

*Show statistical significance p<0.05, SD: Standard Deviation

### Table 2. Orbital parameters according to age groups and body side

| Age groups | Height of the orbit (mm) | Width of the orbit (mm) | Depth of the orbit (mm) | Length of the medial wall (mm) | Length of the lateral wall (mm) | Area of orbital apertura (mm²) | p        |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| 0-9 (n=33) | 32.68±3.5                | 32.44±3.46              | 30.05±2.72             | 39.48±3.08                    | 35.22±3.74                    | 35.79±3.68                    | 0.001*  |
| 10-14 (n=15)| 34.17±2.64              | 34.39±2.12              | 30.82±1.92             | 30.46±2.18                    | 37.46±3.17                    | 37.63±3.09                    | 0.001*  |
| 15-19 (n=12)| 36.54±3.2               | 36.6±3.34               | 32.9±2.48              | 38.99±4.47                    | 38.13±4.23                    | 38.73±3.5                     | 0.001*  |
| 20-29 (n=22)| 36.03±1.61              | 35.61±1.82              | 32.48±1.84             | 32.49±1.88                    | 37.92±1.88                    | 38.13±1.76                    | 0.001*  |
| 30-39 (n=28)| 36.49±2.11              | 36.04±2.26              | 33.25±1.84             | 33.18±2.1                     | 39.37±2.38                    | 39.03±2.65                    | 0.001*  |
| 40-49 (n=30)| 37.81±2.54              | 37.63±3.19              | 33.02±1.49             | 32.85±1.92                    | 39.6±3.68                     | 38.57±2.96                    | 0.001*  |
| 50-59 (n=30)| 37.37±2.17              | 37.03±2.9               | 33.66±1.82             | 33.76±2.33                    | 39.22±2.77                    | 38.74±1.84                    | 0.001*  |
| 60 years and over (n=29)| 36.99±1.94             | 36.91±1.84              | 33.49±1.64             | 33.14±1.71                    | 39.01±3.07                    | 39.08±3.83                    | 0.001*  |

*Show statistical significance p<0.05, SD: Standard Deviation, Kruskal Wallis Test
Table 3 Multiple comparison table of orbital parameters according to age groups

| Height of the orbit on right | 0–9 | 10–14 | 15–19 | 20–29 | 30–39 | 40–49 | 50–59 | 60 years and over |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| 0–9                         |     |       |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 10–14                       | 0.350 |      |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 15–19                       | 0.001*  | 0.019* |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 20–29                       | 0.001*  | 0.046*  | 0.524 |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 30–39                       | 0.001*  | 0.012*  | 0.785  | 0.644 |       |       |       |                   |
| 40–49                       | 0.001*  | 0.001*  | 0.240  | 0.029* | 0.067 |       |       |                   |
| 50–59                       | 0.001*  | 0.001*  | 0.495  | 0.109  | 0.226  | 0.526 |       |                   |
| 60 years and over           | 0.001*  | 0.001*  | 0.590  | 0.154  | 0.305  | 0.419  | 0.858 |                   |

| Height of the orbit on left  | 0–9 | 10–14 | 15–19 | 20–29 | 30–39 | 40–49 | 50–59 | 60 years and over |
|------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| 0–9                          |     |       |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 10–14                        | 0.169 |      |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 15–19                        | 0.001*  | 0.103 |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 20–29                        | 0.001*  | 0.126  | 0.762 |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 30–39                        | 0.001*  | 0.052  | 0.993  | 0.702 |       |       |       |                   |
| 40–49                        | 0.001*  | 0.001*  | 0.063  | 0.010* | 0.019* |       |       |                   |
| 50–59                        | 0.001*  | 0.006*  | 0.450  | 0.204  | 0.345  | 0.156 |       |                   |
| 60 years and over            | 0.001*  | 0.002*  | 0.246  | 0.081  | 0.147  | 0.377  | 0.601 |                   |

| Width of the orbit on right  | 0–9 | 10–14 | 15–19 | 20–29 | 30–39 | 40–49 | 50–59 | 60 years and over |
|------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| 0–9                          |     |       |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 10–14                        | 0.147 |      |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 15–19                        | 0.001*  | 0.009* |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 20–29                        | 0.001*  | 0.034*  | 0.419 |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 30–39                        | 0.001*  | 0.001*  | 0.895  | 0.251 |       |       |       |                   |
| 40–49                        | 0.001*  | 0.003*  | 0.864  | 0.421  | 0.700 |       |       |                   |
| 50–59                        | 0.001*  | 0.001*  | 0.527  | 0.079  | 0.529  | 0.302 |       |                   |
| 60 years and over            | 0.001*  | 0.001*  | 0.670  | 0.133  | 0.712  | 0.444  | 0.795 |                   |

| Width of the orbit on left   | 0–9 | 10–14 | 15–19 | 20–29 | 30–39 | 40–49 | 50–59 | 60 years and over |
|------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| 0–9                          |     |       |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 10–14                        | 0.465 |      |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 15–19                        | 0.001*  | 0.014* |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 20–29                        | 0.001*  | 0.011*  | 0.825 |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 30–39                        | 0.001*  | 0.001*  | 0.540  | 0.321 |       |       |       |                   |
| 40–49                        | 0.001*  | 0.002*  | 0.920  | 0.693  | 0.512 |       |       |                   |
| 50–59                        | 0.001*  | 0.001*  | 0.271  | 0.115  | 0.543  | 0.198 |       |                   |
| 60 years and over            | 0.001*  | 0.001*  | 0.540  | 0.319  | 0.996  | 0.511  | 0.537 |                   |

| Depth of the orbit on right  | 0–9 | 10–14 | 15–19 | 20–29 | 30–39 | 40–49 | 50–59 | 60 years and over |
|------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| 0–9                          |     |       |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 10–14                        | 0.295 |      |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 15–19                        | 0.129 | 0.653 |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 20–29                        | 0.175 | 0.888  | 0.724 |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 30–39                        | 0.001*  | 0.065  | 0.211  | 0.056 |       |       |       |                   |
| 40–49                        | 0.003*  | 0.189  | 0.460  | 0.189  | 0.506 |       |       |                   |
| 50–59                        | 0.001*  | 0.119  | 0.332  | 0.112  | 0.709  | 0.766 |       |                   |
| 60 years and over            | 0.002*  | 0.151  | 0.391  | 0.147  | 0.613  | 0.875  | 0.890 |                   |

| Depth of the orbit on left   | 0–9 | 10–14 | 15–19 | 20–29 | 30–39 | 40–49 | 50–59 | 60 years and over |
|------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| 0–9                          |     |       |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 10–14                        | 0.060 |      |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 15–19                        | 0.044*  | 0.850 |       |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 20–29                        | 0.028*  | 0.937  | 0.879 |       |       |       |       |                   |
| 30–39                        | 0.001*  | 0.187  | 0.296  | 0.156 |       |       |       |                   |
| 40–49                        | 0.003*  | 0.594  | 0.770  | 0.592  | 0.335 |       |       |                   |
| 50–59                        | 0.001*  | 0.436  | 0.598  | 0.416  | 0.504  | 0.763 |       |                   |
| 60 years and over            | 0.001*  | 0.390  | 0.545  | 0.367  | 0.575  | 0.688  | 0.918 |                   |
|          | Length of the medial wall on right | Length of the medial wall on left | Length of the lateral wall on right | Length of the lateral wall on left |
|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0–9      |                                   |                                  |                                   |                                   |
| 10–14    | 0.04*                             | 0.16                             | 0.050                             | 0.126                             |
| 15–19    | 0.005*                            | 0.15                             | 0.05*                             | 0.091                             |
| 20–29    | 0.002*                            | 0.22                             | 0.001*                            | 0.057                             |
| 30–39    | 0.01*                             | 0.27                             | 0.001*                            | 0.055                             |
| 40–49    | 0.001*                            | 0.66                             | 0.001*                            | 0.055                             |
| 50–59    | 0.001*                            | 0.78                             | 0.001*                            | 0.055                             |
| 60 years and over | 0.001* | 0.77 | 0.001* | 0.055 |
|          |                                   | 0.95                             | 0.001*                            | 0.055                             |
|          |                                   | 0.69                             | 0.001*                            | 0.055                             |
|          |                                   | 0.77                             | 0.001*                            | 0.055                             |
|          |                                   | 0.19                             | 0.001*                            | 0.055                             |
|          |                                   | 0.17                             | 0.001*                            | 0.055                             |
|          |                                   |                                  |                                   |                                   |
| 10–14    | 0.48                              |                                  | 0.48                              |                                  |
| 15–19    | 0.68                              |                                  | 0.68                              |                                  |
| 20–29    | 0.71                              |                                  | 0.71                              |                                  |
| 30–39    | 0.89                              |                                  | 0.89                              |                                  |
| 40–49    | 0.41                              |                                  | 0.41                              |                                  |
| 50–59    | 0.31                              |                                  | 0.31                              |                                  |
| 60 years and over | 0.29 | 0.96 | 0.29 | 0.96 |
|          | 0.68                              |                                  | 0.68                              |                                  |
|          | 0.69                              |                                  | 0.69                              |                                  |
|          | 0.71                              |                                  | 0.71                              |                                  |
|          | 0.77                              |                                  | 0.77                              |                                  |
|          | 0.19                              |                                  | 0.19                              |                                  |
| 10–14    | 0.48                              |                                  | 0.48                              |                                  |
| 15–19    | 0.68                              |                                  | 0.68                              |                                  |
| 20–29    | 0.71                              |                                  | 0.71                              |                                  |
| 30–39    | 0.89                              |                                  | 0.89                              |                                  |
| 40–49    | 0.41                              |                                  | 0.41                              |                                  |
| 50–59    | 0.31                              |                                  | 0.31                              |                                  |
| 60 years and over | 0.29 | 0.96 | 0.29 | 0.96 |
| 0–9      |                                   |                                  |                                   |                                   |
|          |                                   |                                  |                                   |                                   |
| 0–9      |                                   |                                  |                                   |                                   |
Table 4  A comparison of height and width of orbit among various studies (mm) (Mean±SD).

| Height of the orbit | | Width of the orbit | |
|--------------------|----------------|------------------|---------|
|                    | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Right              | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left |
| Bones              |      |        |      |      |      |       |      |        |      |        |      |       |      |       |      |       |
| Sangwichien et al. (2007) (20) (n=101) (age range: 18-86 year) | 33.4±2.33 | 32.89±2.28 | 40.1±1.89 | 38.09±2.25 |
| Nitek et al. (1) (2009) (n=100) (No age information) | 3.36±0.27 cm | 3.36±0.26 cm | 3.36±0.29 cm | 3.36±0.28 cm | 3.36±0.27 cm | 42.6 | 42.4 | 40.3 | 41.6 |
| Rajangam et al. (2012) (9) (n=72) (No age information) | 35±0.27 | 33.7±0.26 | 32±0.28 | 30.8±0.21 | 41.7±0.21 | 40.8±0.19 | 37.2±0.16 | 36.9±0.16 |
| Kumar and Gnanagurudasan (2015) (n=50) (No age information) | 31.5±2.36 | 31.2±2.34 | 32.8±2.66 | 30.1±2.06 | 41.4±2.08 | 40.5±2.24 | 41.2±2.04 | 40.0±2.10 |
| Direct graphhry |      |        |      |      |      |       |      |        |      |        |      |       |      |       |      |       |
| Ghoral et al. (2017) (16) (n=101) (age range: 20-50 year) | 28.5±1.95 | 28.5±1.91 | 33.2±1.74 | 28.69±2.16 | 32.79±1.4 | 32.36±1.54 | 31.65±1.26 | 30.8±1.44 |
| Co-Beam Ct |      |        |      |      |      |       |      |        |      |        |      |       |      |       |      |       |
| Sinanoglu et al. (2016) (18) (n=182) (age mean: 32.6 year) | 40.3±4.0 | 40.3±4.2 | 33.5±2.5 | 34.3±2.7 | 37.3±3.1 | 38.3±3.0 | 30.2±2.2 | 29.7±2.2 |
| Weaver et al. (2010) (6) (n=39) (age mean: 45.1 year) | 32.4±1.89 | 31.75±2.51 | 32.44±1.89 | 31.75±2.51 |
| Kaya et al. (2014) (17) (n=112) (age range: 13-86 year) | 33.9±2.27 | 34.5±2.20 | 32.6±2.40 | 33.16±2.19 | 33.3±0.22 | 33.8±0.21 | 37.04±1.79 | 36.8±1.57 | 35.78±1.50 | 35.39±1.58 | 36.45±0.16 | 36.18±0.16 |
| CT |      |        |      |      |      |       |      |        |      |        |      |       |      |       |      |       |
| Ozer et al. (2016) (24) (n=198) (age range: 5-74 year) | 37.7 | 37.77 | 36.55 | 36.97 | 33.99 | 34.17 | 33.07 | 33.27 |
| Afia et al. (2018) (13) (n=92) (128 section) (age range: 18-65 year) | 37.3±3.2 | 36.9±2.9 | 35.9±2.8 | 35.9±2.8 | 37.2±4.7 | 36.7±4.1 | 37.1±3.7 | 37±3.6 |
| Acar et al. (2019) (14) (n=100) (128 section) (age range: 18-90 year) | 36.2±1.8 | 35.0±1.3 | 35.9±1.7 | 39.4±2.1 | 36.5±1.6 | 39.2±2 |
| El-Farouny et al. (2021) (19) (n=89) (128 section) (age range: 20-70 year) | 34.92±5.01 | 35.18±1.73 | 35.08±3.26 | 34.77±3.25 | 36.79±1.34 | 37.03±1.37 | 37.39±1.77 | 36.11±1.34 |
| MDCT |      |        |      |      |      |       |      |        |      |        |      |       |      |       |      |       |
| Present study | 36.9±2.19 | 36.4±2.52 | 35.22±3.33 | 35.03±3.51 | 32.97±2.34 | 32.87±2.32 | 31.76±2.68 | 31.77±2.85 |

CT: Computerized tomography, MDCT: Multidetector computerized tomography
Table 5: A comparison of lengths of the medial and lateral walls of orbit among various studies (mm) (Mean±SD).

| Method                          | Length of the medial wall (mm) | Length of the lateral wall (mm) |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                 | Male                           | Female                         | Total                           | Male                           | Female                         | Total                           |
|                                 | Left                           | Right                          | Left                            | Right                          | Left                           | Right                          |
| Karakas et al. (2002) [12]      |                                |                                |                                 |                                |                                | 41.7±3.1                        | 37.7±3.6                        |
| (age range: 30-50 years)        |                                |                                |                                 |                                |                                |                                |                                |
| Thanasil Huanmanop et al. (2007) [4] | 42.3±3.1                      | 41.8±2.5                       | 42.4±1.5                        | 41.8±2.1                       | 42.3±2.6                       | 42.1±2.1                       |
| Nitek et al. (2009) [1]         | 42.1                            | 42.6                            | 39.9                            | 40                             |                                |                                |                                |
| Kumar and Gnanagurudasan (2015) [10] (No age information) | 42.40±2.60                    | 41.84±2.10                     | 42.08±2.33                      | 41.64±2.18                     | 36.60±2.42                     | 35.44±2.79                     | 37.00±2.22                     | 35.72±1.97                     |
| Oester Jr et al. (2012) [11]    | 43.9±3.0                        |                                |                                 |                                |                                |                                |                                |                                |
| (Adult individual)              |                                |                                |                                 |                                |                                |                                |                                |                                |
| Nitek et al. (2015) [23] (Age mean: 56 year) | 40.40±2.76                    |                                |                                 |                                |                                | 46.7±3.3                        | 41.6±5.6                        | 45.7±4.0                        |
| Present study                   | 38.5±2.65                      | 38.68±2.47                     | 37.58±3.2                       | 37.72±3.26                     | 42.72±3.27                     | 42.73±3.22                     | 40.94±3.58                     | 41.31±3.05                     |

CT: Computerized tomography, MDCT: Multidetector computerized tomography
**Table 6** A comparison of area of orbital apertura among various studies (Mean±SD).

| Method         | Right | Female | Male | Total |
|----------------|-------|--------|------|-------|
|                | Left  | Right  | Left |       |
| Bones          |       |        |      |       |
| Nitek et al. (2009) | 10.83 cm² | 11.19 cm² | 11.39 cm² | 11.46 cm² |
| MDCT           |       |        |      |       |
| Attia et al. (2018) | 97.8±10.2 cm² | 97.2±10.8 cm² | 103.8±14.5 cm² | 104.7±11.1 cm² |
| (128 section)  |       |        |      |       |
| Acar et al. (2019) | 1205 ± 66 mm² | 1258± 67 mm² | 1246± 70 mm² |       |
| (128 section)  |       |        |      |       |
| El-Faroumy et al. (2021) | 100.40±7.23 cm² | 99.57± 6.14 cm² | 111.96± 11.44 cm² | 109.67±11.47 cm² |
| (128 section)  |       |        |      |       |
| Present study  | 994.74 ± 144.17 mm² | 991.14 ± 143.99 mm² | 1055.26 ± 117.82 mm² | 1048.57 ± 104.01 mm² |
| (256 section)  |       |        |      |       |

**MDCT:** Multidetector computerized tomography

was 30-39 age group in right side, 60 age and over in left side. The narrowest height, width and depth of the orbit were observed in the 0 – 9 age group (Table 2).

When the height and width of the orbit were evaluated according to age groups for both sides, it was observed that there was a statistically significant difference between the mean values between the 0-9 age range and the 10-14 age range and all other age groups (p<0.05). This result showed that the development of these structures continued until the age of 15-19 years on both sides, and reached the adult size from the age of 15-19 years (Table 3).

The difference between the mean values 0-9 age range years, except to 10-14, 15-19, 20–29 age range, all other age groups was found to be statistically significant. (p<0.05). In left side, depth of the orbit were evaluated according to age groups for both sides, it was observed that there was a statistically significant difference between the mean values between the 0-9 age range and the 10-14 age range and all other age groups (p<0.05) (Table 3). This result showed that the development of depth of the orbit continued until the age of 30-39 years, and reached the adult size from the age range, in right side. In contrast to in left, development of depth of the orbit continued until the age of 15-19 years, and reached the adult size from this age range (Table 3).  

**Medial and Lateral Wall Lengths of Orbit**

There was no statistically significant difference in the length of the medial wall of the orbit by gender for both sides (p>0.05). On the other hand, the length of the lateral wall was found to be statistically significantly larger on both sides in male than in female (p<0.05) (Table 1).

The medial and lateral wall lengths were found to be greater in left side than in right side, but this difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05). The medial and lateral wall mean lengths are given in Table 1 separately for each groups.

The medial and lateral wall mean lengths were compared according to age groups and a statistically significant difference was observed (p<0.05). The longest medial wall of the orbit were found to be 60 years old and over on the right side, 15-19 year group on the left side, and the longest lateral wall was observed to be 50-59 year group on the right side and 60 years old and over on the left side. The shortest lengths for these parameters were observed in the 0 – 9 age group for both sides (Table 3).

When the lateral wall was evaluated according to age groups for both sides, it was observed that there was a statistically significant difference between the mean values between the 0-9 age range and the 10-14 age range and all other age groups (p<0.05). This result showed that the development of these structure continued until the age of 15-19 years on both sides, and reached the adult size from the age of 15-19 years (Table 3).

**Area of orbital apertura**

The area of the orbital apertura was found to be statistically significantly larger in males than in females, on both sides (p<0.05) (Table 1).

The means area of the orbital apertura were larger in right sides than in left sides, but this difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05). The means areas of the orbital apertura are given in Table 1 separately for each groups.

When the areas of the orbital apertura was compared according to age groups, a significant difference was
found for both sides \(p<0.05\). On both sides, the age group with the largest area was 50-59 years, while the smallest area was 0-9 years (Table 3).

**Discussion**

The detailed anatomy of the orbit is important because it concerns many pathologies and surgical approaches, including orbital trauma, thyroid orbitopathy, and chronic orbital hyperesthesia (15).

Orbital nerves and their accompanying structures have a high risk of injury during orbital surgery. For this reason, the anatomy of the region has been the subject of study for many years. In most of the studies, dry bones have been examined, and the study data obtained with the MDCT method, which is a newly developed technology, is quite limited. In addition, researchers have generally focused on examining the differences in gender and in right-left sides, and studies evaluating the changes according to age in detail are very limited in the available literature. In our study, the MDCT method was used to examine the morphometry of orbit, its differences according to gender and body side, and its changes and developments according to age.

The skeleton plays an important role in determining gender from the point of view of forensic medicine. Morphological features of various structures of the skull have been the subject of studies due to the fact that it has the potential to identify the individual (1). The orbit is a valuable structure for determining gender, as it has a structure that is relatively resistant to trauma (16).

The studies in which the height of the orbit is evaluated are summarized in Table 4. It has been reported from these studies that the height of the orbit is generally not affected by gender. As in the study of Kaya et al. (2014) (17), Sinanoglu et al. (2016) (18) and Acar et al. (2019) (14), it was observed that the orbital height was statistically higher in males than in females in our study \(p<0.05\). This similarity suggests that the height of the orbit is influenced by race (These studies were conducted in the Turkish population.). In Nitek et al (2009)’s study (1), it was noted that this parameter varies depending on the body side and is significantly larger on the left side \(p<0.05\). Similarly to previous studies (9, 10, 13, 16, 19), it was found in our study that the height of the orbit was not affected by the body side. In our study, while the orbital height was similar to CT studies (13, 14, 17, 19), it was found that it was larger than the results of dry bone and direct graphy studies. The difference in the results of the study may be different methods, race and age groups. In dry bone studies, the age range of Sangvichien et al. (2007) (20) was reported as 18-86 years, while other studies do not have age information. In the study conducted with direct radiography, the age range was reported as 20-50 years. Although the Turkish population was examined in the study of Sinanoglu et al. (2016) (18), the results of this study are larger than our results. The reason for this situation may be the evaluation of only
adult individuals (average age: 32.6) in the relevant study. Because according to our study results, the orbital height reaches adult dimensions between the ages of 15 and 19.

The studies in which the width of the orbit is evaluated with various methods are summarized in Table 4. As in some studies (10, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19), it was found in our study that man have a statistically significantly wider orbit than female (p<0.05). Consistent with the dry bone (1, 9) and MDCT (13, 19) studies evaluating the orbital width according to the side, it was also found in our study that the width did not show a significant difference between the sides (p>0.05). In our study, it was observed that the orbital width was generally smaller than the other studies in the table, which is because it was thought that the method used may be due to the age and race differences of the individuals. In addition, in the studies that provided age information from the studies in the table, it was seen that only adults were included in the study. In our study, individuals between the ages of 3 months and 90 were evaluated, and it was determined that the 0-9 age group had the narrowest orbit and the 50-59 age group had the widest orbit. In addition, the fact that the orbital width reaches adult sizes in the 15 - 19 age group according to our study explains and supports this situation.

Orbital depth was found to be unaffected by the body side in Nitek et al’s (2009) (1) (n=100, male; right: 50.3 mm, left: 50 mm; female; right: 46.8 mm, left: 47 mm) research and in our study (p>0.05). In the study of Acar et al. (2019) (14) (n=100), it was reported that this value is more in male (46.5±2 mm) than in female (45.5±2.4 mm). In our study, it was also found that the lateral wall of the orbit was longer in male in left side (p<0.05), while in the right side was not affected by gender (p>0.05).

The orbit is an important region due to its close relationship with the paranasal sinuses and the neurovascular formations passing through the openings in its structure [21]. The anatomy of the medial orbital wall is important for the successful results of some orbital procedures such as ethmoidal vessel ligation, medial wall fractures, orbital decompression, ethmoid sinus exenteration, and transethmoidal sphenoidotomy. Damage to this structure may result in herniation of the orbital fat tissue into the ethmoidal sinus with careless dissection, complicating vision during surgery and resulting in enophthalmos after surgery [22]. An important anatomical point on this wall is the anterior lacrimal crest [12]. The studies in which the distance between the anterior lacrimal crest and the anteromedial of the optic canal is evaluated are summarized in Table 5. In accordance with the study of Thanasi Huanmanop et al. (2007) (4), it was also observed in our study that this distance was not affected in terms of gender and side (p>0.05). In the study of Kumar and Gnanagurudasan (2015) [10], it was reported that this distance was not affected by the body side difference, but it was longer in male than in female. Similarly, in the study of Nitek et al. (2009) [1], the medial wall was found to be longer in male than in female, while in the study of Nitek et al. (2015) [23] it was stated that this distance was longer on the right than on the left (p<0.05). In the study of Oester Jr et al (2012) [11], it was evaluated in healthy individuals and individuals with vision loss, and it was reported that there was no significant difference between the two groups (p>0.05).

The lateral wall of the orbit is an important site for lateral orbitotomy and excision of the lacrimal gland. The reference point in this area is the superior orbital fissure, through which important neurovascular structures pass [12]. Anterolateral edge of the superior orbital fissure and front corner of this wall with the distance between has been investigated in some studies and these studies are also summarized in Table 5. In the study of Kumar and Gnanagurudasan (2015) [10], it was reported that this distance is significantly longer on the right side than on the left. In our study, no difference was found in terms of the body side where it was located (p>0.05). In addition, in our study, it was found that the lateral wall is longer in male than in female, on both sides (p<0.05). In the study of Oester Jr et al (2012) [11], healthy and visually impaired individuals were evaluated and it was reported that there was no significant difference (p>0.05).

The studies in which the area of orbital apertura is evaluated with various methods are summarized in Table 6. As in some studies (13, 14, 19), it was found in our study that male have a statistically significantly larger area of orbital apertura than female (p<0.05). In the study of Nitek et al. (2009) [1], it was reported that the area of orbital apertura mainly depends on the body side and is statistically significantly larger on the left side. In contrast to this result, in the study of Acar et al. (2019) [14], it was found that the area of orbital apertura was not affected in terms of the body side. Similarly, the area averages in our study are not affected by the side where they are located (p>0.05).

Conclusion

The orbit is an important cavity containing vision-related formations and important neurovascular structures, and adjacent to various regions. Due to the varying sizes of this complex region among individuals, it has been studied by anatomists and clinicians for many years. Our study shows that the orbit is a dynamic structure, its dimensions continue to change with growth and development during youth and with the effect of aging throughout adulthood. In the available literature, studies have usually been conducted with adult individuals, and very few studies have evaluated only the young population. In our study, both pediatric and adult individuals were examined in 8 different age groups by accepting that prepubertal age limit is before 15, postpubertal age limit is after 15 and geriatric age limit is 60 [7, 8]. The development of height, width and lateral wall of the orbit continued until the age of 15-19 years, and reached the adult size from this age range. This result shows that the development of the
orbit is influenced by the pubertal onset. In addition, the effect of gender and the side where it is located on the orbital dimensions was investigated. The height, width, area, lateral wall of the orbit were found to be statistically significantly larger in males than in females \((p<0.05)\). In all the orbital parameters evaluated, it was found that the structure was not affected by the side in which it was located \((p<0.05)\).

In this study, we present reference ranges for the morphometry of orbits that maintain structural integrity and are intact in Turkish population, and evaluates them separately according to gender, body side and developmental age. Due to the neurovascular structures contained in this structure, there is a restriction for surgical procedures, as well as the fact that their size changes during growth increases the importance of this region. In addition, this study provides data that proves the evaluable of the orbit for gender determination, so we think that it will contribute to the existing literature for forensic medicine.
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