Exploring structure-property relationships in magnesium dissolution modulators

Tim Würger1,2, Di Mei3, Bahram Vaghefinazari1, David A. Winkler3,4,5,6, Sviatlana V. Lamaka3, Mikhail L. Zheludkevich1,7, Robert H. Meißner1,2 and Christian Feiler1,2

Small organic molecules that modulate the degradation behavior of Mg constitute benign and useful materials to modify the service environment of light metal materials for specific applications. The vast chemical space of potentially effective compounds can be explored by machine learning-based quantitative structure-property relationship models, accelerating the discovery of potent dissolution modulators. Here, we demonstrate how unsupervised clustering of a large number of potential Mg dissolution modulators by structural similarities and sketch-maps can predict their experimental performance using a kernel ridge regression model. We compare the prediction accuracy of this approach to that of a prior artificial neural networks study. We confirm the robustness of our data-driven model by blind prediction of the dissolution modulating performance of 10 untested compounds. Finally, a workflow is presented that facilitates the automated discovery of chemicals with desired dissolution modulating properties from a commercial database. We subsequently prove this concept by blind validation of five chemicals.
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INTRODUCTION

As the lightest structural engineering metal, magnesium (Mg) is a promising material for advanced technologies that will ameliorate climate change through enhanced battery technologies and improved transport applications1–12. Magnesium is useful for light-weight automotive3–5 and aerospace components6,7, as anode material for energy storage systems8–11 and as base material for bioreabsorbable medical implants12–17. Due to high abundance, relatively low cost, and versatility, Mg and Mg-based alloys are being increasingly employed for these and other industrial applications. However, due to its comparably high chemical reactivity, many target implementations also require domain-specific tailoring of the degradation behavior of Mg. In transport applications, corrosion needs to be prevented to avoid material failure. In medical applications, where Mg is used in stents or bone screws, its corrosion rate needs to be controlled in an environment-specific way, as different treatments and/or patients imply different healing rates. For energy applications, for example, Mg-air primary batteries in which Mg is employed as anode material, a constant Mg dissolution rate is desired.

Clearly, benign degradation modulating strategies are needed for these applications. Several strategies, such as alloying and surface coatings, were developed to control the corrosion of Mg-based engineering materials18–20. However, these protective schemes need to be improved to achieve better control over the degradation properties of Mg. Small organic molecules, which form complexes with ions (e.g., iron) that accelerate the corrosion process, have shown great potential to control the dissolution properties of pure Mg materials and its alloys21. The properties of these modulators of magnesium dissolution can be tailored to specific target applications, e.g., as component of an active protective coating or as a part of the electrolyte of an Mg-air battery22–25. The massive advantage of organic dissolution modulators is their almost unlimited chemical space, providing countless potential solutions for almost all applications. The number of available organic compounds is increasing rapidly, with ~120 million organic compounds being reported over the last decade alone26. It has been estimated that the number of organic compounds with potentially useful properties is ~10^63 and is thus essentially infinite27. Automation and robotics technologies are also expanding rapidly and enable modern combinatorial chemistry techniques that can synthesize larger and more diverse chemical libraries. Clearly, synergies with computer-assisted synthesis approaches will further extend this exponential rise in available organic compounds28.

Consequently, the most challenging task is to select molecules with beneficial properties for specific applications from this effectively infinite chemical space of small organic molecules. Experimental approaches alone cannot possibly explore more than a tiny fraction of the vast space of compounds with potentially useful dissolution modulating properties, despite impressive developments in high throughput techniques29–32. Fortunately, data-driven computational methods33–40 can efficiently explore larger areas of chemical space with orders of magnitude less time and effort. Hence, they offer a very efficient way to preselect a short list of promising candidates prior to experimental investigation. Additionally, computational techniques can provide deeper insight into the underlying chemical mechanisms and most important chemical functional moieties41–46. A combination of experimental and computational methods constitutes a sound foundation for a data-driven discovery of modulators. Machine learning techniques that model complex quantitative structure-property relationships can predict target properties of hitherto unsynthesized or untested compounds33–35,47,48. These methods require large, reliable, chemically diverse and balanced training data sets to make the most accurate predictions that can be generalizable to a
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broad range of materials. As data-driven models are not capable of reliably predicting the performance of molecules with poorly represented features (e.g., functional groups, elemental species) in the training data, the underlying training set has to reflect the complexity of the relevant chemical environment. Predictions made by these models are most accurate for compounds that lie within or in the neighborhood of the domain of applicability of the model (the span of values over which each molecular feature in the training set varies).

In an extensive experimental study employing hydrogen evolution experiments, Lamaka et al. measured the corrosion inhibition performance of over 150 organic compounds for nine distinct Mg-based materials.21 Previously, a workflow was developed for modeling the experimentally-derived corrosion inhibition values in this database using high-throughput calculations and machine learning algorithms. It was demonstrated in recent works that a single descriptor alone cannot adequately describe the complexity of materials degradation. In our recent study, we employed the Smooth Overlap of Atomic Positions (SOAP) kernel and sketch-map to connect molecular similarities of 74 compounds to their inhibition efficiencies (IEs) for commercially pure Mg containing 220 ppm iron impurities (CPMg220). The SOAP kernel condenses the structural properties of all chemicals to pairwise similarity values, whereas sketch-map projects the resulting high-dimensional similarity matrix onto two dimensions. The resulting two-dimensional structure-property landscape elucidated the relationships between the molecular structure and corrosion inhibition performance by the formation of similarity clusters. We demonstrated that projecting untested organic compounds onto this map with out-of-sample embedding allows qualitative predictions of their corrosion inhibition performance by alignment to clusters in the similarity landscape. Importantly, the computed SOAP kernel can be employed directly as input to a kernel ridge regression (KRR) model that performs quantitative predictions of IEs for these unknown chemicals.

This study extends our previous modeling work and comprises three parts. Firstly, the robustness of the KRR model is benchmarked against an artificial neural network (ANN) model that was trained on a combination of atomistic and structural molecular descriptors. To allow comparisons of the accuracy of the two approaches, both models were trained using identical training data. Secondly, the database was augmented by 74 additional compounds with unknown performance, of which 10 were subsequently used to validate the KRR model by blind prediction of their IEs. Clusters of molecular similarity in the corresponding sketch-map generated from all 152 molecules formed the basis for the selection process. Finally, a proof of concept workflow is presented that provides automated selection of untested compounds with promising properties for experimental testing by screening a large molecular database. These synergistic computational approaches should significantly improve the predictive power and model interpretation of the underlying machine learning models, thus paving the way for the discovery or rational design of bespoke Mg dissolution agents.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Comparison of model robustness
The KRR model was validated by comparing its key performance indicators to those of an ANN model generated in a recently published study. Despite the ANN performed well in terms of prediction accuracy, its performance depends on the careful selection of molecular descriptors that can strongly influence the prediction outcome and interpretation of the models is often problematic. Hence, combining all structural features in a global similarity measure, defined by SOAP, provides an attractive approach to reduce the complexity of the model input. Employing the resulting SOAP kernel as input for KRR allows physically interpretable predictions that can be directly ascribed to the molecular structure. The KRR model was trained using the same data set and was validated using the same seven untested compounds as for the ANN model. The underlying SOAP kernel was generated for all 78 compounds, of which 71 had structural similarity values used as a training set and are represented on a sketch-map (Fig. 1). Hyperparameters for the SOAP kernel and KRR were fine-tuned in a grid search with k-fold cross validation (see Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Fig. 1 in the Supplementary Information), resulting in a hyperparameter set of $r_c = 3.0\,\text{Å}$, $\zeta = 0.3$, $\xi = 0.6$ and $\gamma = 0.3$, as well as the regularization parameter $\sigma_{\text{KRR}} = 11$. All hyperparameters are defined and explained in the "Methods" section. The seven compounds used for external test set validation of the model predictions are projected onto this map and highlighted. It is clear that the four stronger inhibitors cluster together (see Fig. 1, right) while the three compounds having weak inhibition to strong dissolution properties are clustered near each other on the left-hand side of the sketch-map. The KRR model-predicted IEs (see Fig. 2) for the seven test compounds with a higher $R^2$ of 0.79 and slightly higher root mean square error (RMSE) of 36% compared to the ANN model ($R^2 = 0.74$, RMSE = 33%) from the earlier study (see Supplementary Fig. 2)33. However, with only seven compounds the difference between the ANN and KRR models is not highly significant. A Pearson rank correlation test was also conducted on the KRR-predicted and experimentally determined values. Similar to the ANN study there was a strong correlation ($r = 0.89$). The $p$-value of 0.007 indicates acceptable statistical significance for the model.

Validation of the predictive model
A blind prediction step is an excellent way to assess the predictive power of a model. Therefore, a second sketch-map was generated based on the SOAP kernel built from 74 small organic molecules with unknown experimental IE values and the 78 chemicals used to train the initial sketch-map and KRR models (Fig. 3). The untested compounds are depicted as gray dots while the already tested training set compounds are color-coded according to their IE. The untested compounds comprise chemicals with similar functional groups to those in the sketch-map training set. As these
compounds sit in or near the domain of applicability of the original sketch-map model, the predictions are expected to be reliable. Only biologically benign, inexpensive, small organic molecules were included in the untested set. The molecular weight of training compounds was < 350 Da while the majority of the test set molecules (64) used for prediction had molecular weights < 200 Da. Small anti-corrosion additives are required to increase the efficiency of protective coatings without impairing their structural integrity. Considering the IEs of the training structures, we identified and selected six clusters by visual inspection. Results of a k-means clustering substantiate the cluster definitions (see Supplementary Fig. 4). In Fig. 3, the mean IE values of molecules in the training set clusters decrease in the order b (71 ± 15%) > d (65 ± 6%) > c (50 ± 27%) > e (37 ± 57%) > f (3 ± 24%). Clearly, some of the untested compounds mapped onto clusters of modulators with inhibitory effects (b, c, d) while others generated new clusters (a) or were located in map regions corresponding to compounds with highly diverse properties, ranging from strong accelerators to effective inhibitors (f). The mean predicted IE values for molecules in the test set in defined clusters decrease in the order b (51 ± 9%) ≈ c (48 ± 14%) > d (39 ± 10%) ≈ a (35 ± 11%) > e (24 ± 5%) > f (−35 ± 12%), indicating a qualitatively accurate prediction.

A total of 10 chemicals representative of each cluster, were randomly selected and tested experimentally under the same conditions as the compounds used for training of the sketch-map model. As the experimental performance of compounds located within cluster a is an uncharted area of the sketch-map, the number of compounds selected for the blind testing was in proportion to the size of the cluster. The general agreement between predicted and experimental values is good except for benzamide (see Table 1) that is predicted to have a moderate inhibiting effect (44%) whereas the experiment showed it was a dissolution accelerator with an IE of −43 ± 30%. The discrepancy may be due to benzamide precipitating at an inhibitor concentration of 0.01 M while the model was trained on data with a 0.05 M modulator concentration. Hence, compound 3 was excluded from correlation of experimental and predicted results (see Fig. 4). Additional information on the training and test errors of the KRR are provided in Supplementary Fig. 3. More detailed information on the experiments are provided in Supplementary Table 3. A Pearson rank correlation for the remaining nine chemicals resulted in a correlation coefficient of 0.85 and a p-value of 0.004. As Table 1 shows, most of the predicted IE values agree with the experimentally determined values within experimental error. It is noteworthy that the IE values of aliphatic compounds in the blind testing set (7, 8, 9, 10) are overestimated. The tetracarboxylic acid 7 is located in one of the tightest clusters d which might explain the small IE variation within the cluster (see Fig. 3). Chemicals in the training set that cluster in d have a mean inhibition efficiency of 65 ± 6%.

Fig. 2 Performance evaluation of the KRR model. Correlation of predicted test set IEs from the KRR model with experimental values from a prior study33. The blue line is a linear least square fit of the predicted and measured values. The RMSE value is in absolute percent. The orange, dashed line represents the ideal correlation. The error bars depict the standard deviation of the experimentally derived IE.

Fig. 3 Sketch-map representation of 152 molecular structures. The sketch-map is based on molecular similarities of 78 tested (colored according to IE) and 74 untested (depicted in gray) modulators. Six clusters are identified. The mean IEs of the clusters are shown in the lower left corner.
Hence, untested compounds mapped close to this cluster should exhibit a similar IE. The in silico model estimates the IE value of 7 to be significantly lower than the mean value of the data points defining the cluster, a trend in agreement with the lower experimental value of 27 ± 17% IE. The complex speciation of the tetracarboxylic acid at pH between 7 and 10 may be responsible for some of the prediction error, which is nonetheless only slightly outside one standard deviation of the experimental error. The aliphatic diol 8 is located in cluster e (mean of 37 ± 57% IE). There are only two compounds allocated to this cluster. One acts as an efficient inhibitor while the other is a moderate corrosion accelerator, consistent with the very large standard error in the mean value of this cluster. However, the predicted performance of diol 8 has the correct trend with an IE value significantly lower than the mean IE of the cluster. The urea 10 and the amino acid 9 are located in cluster f that contains modulators also with highly diverse IEs ranging from weak inhibitors to potent accelerators. While the value of the piperidone compound 10 is quite accurate, the model heavily underestimates the accelerant properties of β-alanine (IE_{pred} = −7 %) compared to the measured IE (−86 ± 17%) for CPMg220. Again, at a final pH around 10 the amino acid will be ionized, and the chemotype may not be adequately represented in the training data (a potential issue whenever the chemical diversity is large compared to the size of the data set). The accelerator properties of both compounds were confirmed by subsequent validation experiments.

| Compound | IE pred. [%] | IE exp. [%] | H₂ volume [mL · cm⁻²] | Final pH | Cluster |
|----------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|----------|---------|
| Reference: 0.5% Sodium chloride NaCl | - | 0 | 23.5 ± 3.8 | 10.5 | - |
| 1 Pyrazinecarboxylic acid | 18 | 1 ± 41 | 21.9 ± 9.0 | 10.5 | a |
| 2 2-Aminopyridine-3-carboxylic acid | 30 | 54 ± 16 | 10.8 ± 0.2 | 9.4 | a |
| 3 Benzamide | 44 | −43 ± 30 | 35.3 ± 6.1 | 10.5 | a |
| 4 Dimethyl 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylate | 63 | 64 ± 19 | 10.0 ± 2.4 | 8.8 | b |
| 5 2-Nitrotetraphthalic acid | 60 | 68 ± 16 | 7.8 ± 0.5 | 10.9 | b |
| 6 Dimethyl 5-hydroxyisophthalate | 55 | 29 ± 24 | 14.6 ± 4.4 | 9.2 | c |
| 7 1,2,3,4-Butanetetracarboxylic acid | 53 | 27 ± 17 | 17.6 ± 1.7 | 9.1 | d |
| 8 1,2-Hexanediol | 28 | 2 ± 19 | 21.3 ± 2.7 | 10.4 | e |
| 9 β-Alanine | −7 | −86 ± 17 | 44.7 ± 1.7 | 10.1 | f |
| 10 N,N-Trimethyleneurea | −26 | −37 ± 26 | 33.2 ± 4.9 | 10.5 | f |

IEs for compounds with a carboxylic acid moiety were determined as the sodium salt in the hydrogen evolution experiments. Experimental uncertainties were calculated from three experiments, except for 1, 3, and 10 where four experiments were done. Values for final pH after immersion tests are provided.
while testing compounds are expected to show values of IE near zero when tested. Clearly, the search for effective dissolution modulators in the vast chemical space of compounds with potentially useful properties requires very efficient tools. Manual selection of compounds for experimental screening is often biased by the individual chemical intuition, compound availability, cost, toxicity, and experience. Hence, whole regions of chemical space that may contain highly effective modulators (islands of utility) can easily be overlooked. This provided the motivation for the development of data-driven methods for unbiased identification of chemical leads, as depicted in Fig. 5.

Contrary to the models’ general overestimation of IE values in the four aliphatic compounds, the predicted IE values for the five aromatic molecules 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 agree with the experimental IE values within experimental error. The isophthalate 6 is associated with the molecular cluster c and the predicted value of 55% IE is in good agreement with the mean of the compounds that were used for training of the model. The pyrazine derivative 1 and the pyridine derivative 2 are located within cluster a which represents an uncharted area of the sketch-map. Although both predictions are in good agreement with the experimentally derived values, more experimental data points are necessary to provide robustness for predictions in this region of similarity space. The neighboring cluster b includes the pyridine derivative 4 as well as the nitro-substituted modulator 5. The performance prediction of the two modulators is in good agreement with the experimental IEs. Modulators 4 and 5 have the highest predicted inhibiting effect that was confirmed by the conducted hydrogen evolution experiments. Furthermore, the similarity observed in trends for molecules in clusters a and b suggest they may in fact be members of a single larger cluster, something that could be confirmed by experimental IE measurements of chemicals lying between the two clusters. In summary, the predictions of the compounds in the blind test set is in qualitative agreement with the experimental IE values determined subsequently.

Uncharted similarity space

Of the 64 modulators whose predicted properties were not checked experimentally, 9 are located outside the defined clusters. The complete list of predicted values is provided in Supplementary Table 2 (Excel). One of these modulators lies close to cluster c and is likely to be a good inhibitor. Two others map to the top left area of the sketch-map close to cluster f so they will probably also be effective dissolution accelerators. The remaining six compounds map between clusters a, b and f. As a and b contain inhibiting agents while f contains weak inhibitors and accelerators, these six compounds are expected to show values of IE near zero when tested.

Chemical space – the final frontier

Clearly, the search for effective dissolution modulators in the vast chemical space of compounds with potentially useful properties requires very efficient tools. Manual selection of compounds for experimental screening is often biased by the individual chemical intuition, compound availability, cost, toxicity, and experience. Hence,
increased computational cost for computation of SOAP kernels. Also, when screening large databases, it is very important to understand how the model’s domain of applicability maps onto the database, as molecules well outside the model domain will be poorly predicted. Nevertheless, the hits in this example still replicate local structural motifs in substantially smaller molecules that may play a key role in corrosion inhibition behavior.

To assess the accuracy of the workflow in the discovery of lead structures, a database provided by Thermo Fisher Scientific, containing 7094 commercially available small organic chemicals, was subsequently screened. Again, the SOAP-REMatch kernel ($r_c = 3.0\ \text{Å}, \xi = 0.3, \zeta = 0.6, \gamma = 1.0$) was computed for all structures (7094 + 152). A sketch-map colored with KRR-based IE predictions using this kernel is depicted in Fig. 6. For the selected 2-nitrophthalic acid (5, large black-rimmed dot) to the underlying SOAP kernel, five highly similar molecules can be determined from the global similarity matrix (dashed black-rimmed dots) along with their predicted IE values. As illustrated in the inlay, high similarities in the high-dimensional space do not automatically result in close proximity in two-dimensional space.

Fig. 6  Sketch-map comprising compounds of a commercial database and 152 individual chemicals (7246 in total). The dots are colored according to predicted IEs by means of KRR. The KRR model was trained on 78 experimental IEs (black-rimmed dots). By referencing the selected 2-nitrophthalic acid (5, large black-rimmed dot) to the underlying SOAP kernel, five highly similar molecules can be determined from the global similarity matrix (dashed black-rimmed dots) along with their predicted IE values. As illustrated in the inlay, high similarities in the high-dimensional space do not automatically result in close proximity in two-dimensional space.
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Table 2. KRR-predicted and experimental IEs of five compounds to validate the similarity-based discovery workflow.

| Compound                          | IE_{KRR} [%] | IE_{exp} [%] |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| 11 2-Nitrobenzoic acid            | 72           | 23 ± 25      |
| 12 3-Nitrophthalic acid           | 83           | 73 ± 17      |
| 13 4-Nitrophthalic acid           | 82           | 70 ± 20      |
| 14 1,2,4-Benzotriacarboxylic acid | 80           | 80 ± 16      |
| 15 3-Hydroxy-4-nitrobenzoic acid  | 74           | 13 ± 31      |

IEs for compounds with a carboxylic acid moiety were determined as the sodium salt in the hydrogen evolution experiments. Experimental uncertainties were calculated from three experiments. $R^2$ (0.84) and RMSE (36%) are derived from a linear least squares fit of the predicted and measured values.

values. For a larger training dataset, the cutoff radius $r_c$ of the SOAP kernel can be adapted to higher values to better capture the impact of such structural features.

In summary, machine learning models based on structural similarities and kernel ridge regression (KRR) were generated that predict the ability of small organic compounds to modulate the corrosion of commercially pure magnesium (CPMg220). The accuracies of the models were determined by test set property predictions and by comparison with an artificial neural network (ANN) model from a prior study using identical training and testing data. The ANN and the KRR-based models both make qualitatively correct predictions of the modulation properties of compounds in test sets for the corrosion of CPMg220. A total of 74 untested compounds were subsequently mapped into a sketch-map model along with 78 modulators with experimentally known IE values. The sketch-map contained six main clusters of molecular similarity. While five of the clusters mapped both tested and uninvestigated chemicals, one cluster was exclusively comprised of unknown dissolution modulating molecules. To further assess the robustness of the KRR model, 10 compounds were selected for a blind testing study, taking at least one compound from each cluster. The results support the claim that the model can predict the effect of small organic molecules on the corrosion of CPMg220, as the predicted values are in good agreement with the experimental values. This suggests that the predicted IE values for the remaining 64 compounds are likely to be good estimates. These modeling methods constitute a promising way to rapidly identify the most promising molecules for specific target applications that have reduced toxicity, environmental impact, and cost. Furthermore, the validation results of the employed model show that solubility is an additional important factor that needs to be considered in the selection process of target chemicals, where such data is available. However, further experimental validation is an important final step, especially for chemicals in unlabeled regions of the sketch-map. Expanding the size, diversity, and quality of data used to train these models is also needed to improve their robustness and domain of applicability. This could include using molecular structures closer to their "mode of action", i.e. solvated, at correct pH or in complex formations. Additionally, clustering the data already in high-dimensional space, for example employing the probabilistic analysis of molecular motifs (PAMM) approach, could increase the accuracy of cluster definitions and thus the qualitative predictive performance of the developed model. Finally, a Python-based workflow for automated screening of large molecular databases using chemical similarities has been developed. The findings provide a proof of concept for the proposed method—a promising strategy for an unbiased identification of efficient candidates to combat the degradation of Mg-based materials. Naturally, the presented concept is not limited to Mg and can be adopted to explore the structure-property landscape of e.g. Al-, Cu- and steel-based materials in a similar fashion by employing a corresponding experimental database to train the model. Clearly, the employed machine learning-based strategies facilitate an intuitive and fast screening of large databases to identify similar compounds, simplifying the search for modulators with potentially useful properties, and dramatically decreasing the time and resources required relative to those for experimental discovery methods.

METHODS

Corrosion experiments

As commercial magnesium processing includes several steps, preventing the inclusion of metallic impurities, such as iron, is nearly impossible. These impurities generate local galvanic cells in the material that accelerate corrosion and increase hydrogen evolution and Mg dissolution. As Mg dissolution predominantly occurs in intermetallic contact areas, the process releases impurities that re-deposit on the surface, thus increasing the cathodic area and the corrosion rate. Molecules that form stable complexes with the impurities (e.g. Fe$^{3+}$/2-) constitute a promising strategy to modulate the degradation properties of Mg. They also provide starting points for building an extensive database of magnesium dissolution modulators.

In corrosion experiments, hydrogen evolution is measured in the presence of modulators and referenced to the sodium chloride electrolyte in the absence of these compounds. The effect of a modulator is quantified by the inhibition efficiency (IE), which is positive for corrosion inhibitors and negative for corrosion accelerators. We used IEs for CPMg220 from an experimental database of modulator performance, collected in a prior work, to train the machine learning model. Only agents with a molar concentration of 0.05 M in the experiment were selected. The full chemical composition of CPMg220 from Optical Discharge Emission Spectroscopy (SPECTROLAB with Spark Analyser Vision Software) is listed in Supplementary Table 1. Additional hydrogen evolution experiments were performed to validate the predictions of the model and to extend the database using the same experimental set-up and CPMg220 alloy as reported by Feiler et al. Eudiometers (Art. Nr. 259110-500 from Neubert-Glas, Germany) were used for these investigations. Water displaced by evolved hydrogen was automatically quantified (SKX series from OHAUS coupled with USB data logger OHAUS 30268984) and the data recorded for further processing using an in-house Python script. A flask below the eudiometer was filled with a piece of the bulk Mg sample and 500 mL of electrolyte (0.5 wt.% NaCl) without (reference) and with addition of a dissolution modulator. The reference value was determined from the normalized volume of hydrogen evolved ($V_{0,H_2}$) after 20 h of immersion. Mg samples were also exposed to an electrolyte solution containing 0.05 M of dissolution modulator for 20 h with the initial pH being adjusted by NaOH/HCl to 6.8 ± 0.5 and the volume of evolved hydrogen quantified ($V_{inh,H_2}$). The testing time is considered to be sufficient as the hydrogen evolution rate is in a steady state after ∼10 h. The impact of the modulator on the corrosion of magnesium is given by the inhibition efficiency according to the following equation:

$$\text{IE} = \frac{V_{0,H_2} - V_{inh,H_2}}{V_{0,H_2}} \times 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
Molecular similarity
Apart from the quality, quantity, and diversity of data used to train machine learning models, the largest determinant of model quality is the type of molecular descriptors or features used to represent the organic molecule modulators. SMILES strings (Simplified Molecular Input Line-Entry System, text-based representations of the structure of almost any organic molecule) were used to generate molecular structures using OpenBabel. In contrast to the previous work where the molecular geometries were first optimized using density functional theory (DFT) and an implicit solvent model, here the structural optimization tool of Avogadro is used that employs the accurate but computationally less expensive GAFF force field to obtain optimized geometries in vacuo. The structural and chemical similarities between the dissolution modulators were transformed to high-dimensional space using the SOAP kernel in combination with a regularized entropy match (REMatch) strategy. While the SOAP kernel compares local atomic environments of the molecular compounds, the REMatch kernel condenses the local similarities between two structures into a global similarity measure. A local environment is defined in a spherical region of radius $r_c$ around an atom and is built by a superposition of Gaussian functions with width $\xi$. The structural information surrounding an atom directly correlates with the size of $r_c$. The SOAP kernel contains the translationally and rotationally invariant overlap between two local environments and can be raised to a power $n$ with similar molecular properties, thus indicating a structure-property relationship. Clusters can be identified by visual inspection or using a variety of different clustering algorithms in low- and high-dimensional space.

Supervised and unsupervised learning
Machine learning methods are trained on experimental data and molecular descriptors (features) that are mathematical representations of molecular and physicochemical properties of small organic molecules. After assigning the IEs of magnesium dissolution modulators to all structures, the SOAP-REMatch kernel method can be used with KRR for qualitative and quantitative prediction of the target values, respectively. Once a two-dimensional sketch-map visualization is created and labeled with the appropriate IEs, clusters can form that predominantly contain compounds with similar molecular properties, thus indicating a structure-property relationship. Clusters can be identified by visual inspection or using a variety of different clustering algorithms in low- and high-dimensional space.

Untested candidates can be projected onto the sketch-map using out-of-sample embedding, a reproduction of the distances to previously defined landmark points, to obtain qualitative predictions of the potential degradation modulating effect of these unknown materials based on their relative locations to map clusters. Clearly, an unknown compound projected into, or close to, a cluster dominated by a particular molecular property would be expected to show similar behavior. Although this approach is helpful to obtain an estimate of a compound’s effect on the corrosion rate, some applications require quantitative predictions. The sketch-map visualization can be complemented with quantitative predictions of the IE using a KRR model. The synergistic combination of both methods provides a powerful approach for the design of magnesium corrosion modulators that exploits the great efficiencies of in silico methods. Thus, a comprehensive sketch-map based on a SOAP-REMatch kernel from all structures in a training and test set can be used to virtually screen a large number of potential candidates. Concurrently, their degradation modulating performance can be predicted with KRR, either to validate the inhibition performance of a known modulator, or to predict the degradation modulating properties of unsynthesized and/or untested organic compounds.
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