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Vague incidence graph (VIG), belonging to the FG family has good capabilities when facing with problems that cannot be expressed by FGs. When an element membership is not clear, neutrality is a good option that can be well-supported by a VIG. The previous definitions limitations in connectivity concept have led us to offer new definitions in VIGs. Hence, in this paper, the VIG and its matrix form are proposed. Vague incidence subgraph (VISG) is defined with several properties. Incidence pairs, paths, and connectivities between pairs in VIGs are introduced. Likewise, different types of strong and cut pair in VIGs are examined with their properties. Universities are one of the most important centers for human education and play an important role in the development of the country. But the point to be very careful is that the employees of a university must do their job in the best possible way. Therefore, we have tried to identify the most effective person in a university according to its performance by presenting an application.

1. Introduction

The FG concept serves as one of the most dominant and extensively employed tools for multiple real-word problem representations, modeling, and analysis. To specify the objects and the relations between them, the graph vertices or nodes and edges or arcs are applied, respectively. Graphs have long been used to describe objects and the relationships between them. Many of the issues and phenomena around us are associated with complexities and ambiguities that make it difficult to express certainty. These difficulties were alleviated by the introduction of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [1]. The fuzzy set focuses on the membership degree of an object in a particular set. Kaufmann [2] represented FGs based on Zadeh’s fuzzy relation [3, 4]. Rosenfeld [5] described the structure of FGs obtaining analogs of several graph theoretical concepts. The notion of vague set theory, the generalization of Zadeh’s fuzzy set theory, was introduced by Gau and Buehrer [6] in 1993. Akram et al. [7, 8] studied regularity in vague intersection graphs. Samanta and Pal [9, 10] defined fuzzy competition graphs and some remarks on bipolar fuzzy graphs. Ramakrishna [11] introduced the concept of VGs and studied some of their properties. Borzooei et al. [12, 13] investigated domination in VGs. The strong path between nodes in FG are formulated in [14]. Darabian and Borzooei [15] presented new results in vague graphs. Many operations with their properties in FG theory have been clearly explained in [16]. Ghorai and Pal [17] established various types of FG with their several properties. Some basic definitions of paths, circuit, strong and complete FG, and their applications are briefly discussed in [18]. Strong arcs and paths of generalized FGs and their real applications are given in [19]. Dinesh [20] first defined the notion of FIGs. Different types of nodes and properties of FIG have been discussed in [21, 22]. The geodesic distance and different types of nodes in bipolar fuzzy graphs are introduced in [23]. Poulik and Ghorai [24–26] initiated degree of nodes and indices of bipolar fuzzy graphs with applications in real life systems. Kosari et al. [27, 28] investigated new concepts in VGs. Zeng et al. [29] introduced certain properties of single-valued neutrosophic graphs. Rashmanlou et al. [30, 31] defined product vague graphs and cubic graphs. Hussain
and et al. [32] studied neutrosophic vague incidence graph. Rao et al. [33] defined domination in vague incidence graph. VIGs have a wide range of applications in the field of psychological sciences as well as the identification of individuals based on oncological behaviors. With the help of VIGs, the most efficient person in an organization can be identified according to the important factors that can be useful for an institution. Likewise, a VIG is capable of focusing on determining the uncertainly combined with the inconsistent and indeterminate information of any real-world problem, in which FGs may not lead to adequate results. Therefore, in this paper, the VIG and its matrix form are introduced. VISG is defined with several properties. Incidence pairs, paths, and connectivities between pairs in VIGs are proposed. Also, different types of strong and cut pair in VIGs are examined with their properties. Finally, an application of VIG has given.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 1 (see [34]). Let \( G = (V, E) \) be a graph. Then, \( G^* = (V, E, I) \) is called an incidence graph (IG), so that \( I \subseteq V \times E \). If \( V = \{p, q\} \) and \( E = \{(p, pq)\} \), then \( (V, E, I) \) is an IG even though \((q, pq) \notin I \). The pair \((p, pq)\) is called an incidence pair or simply a pair. If \((p, pq), (q, pq), (q, qr)\), \((r, qr) \in I\), then \( pq \) or \( qr \) are called neighbor edges.

Definition 2 (see [20]). Let \( G^* \) be an IG and \( \sigma \) be a FSS of \( V \) and \( \mu \), a FSS of \( E \). Let \( \psi \) be a FSS of \( I \). If \( \psi(v, \psi) \leq \sigma(v)\land \mu(pq), \forall v \in V \) and \( pq \in E \), then, \( \psi \) is called a FI of graph \( G^* \) and \( G = (\sigma, \mu, \psi) \) is named a FIG of \( G^* \).

Definition 3 (see [6]). A VS A is a pair \((t_A, f_A)\) on set \( V \) which \( t_A \) and \( f_A \) are taken as real valued functions which can be defined on \( V \rightarrow [0, 1] \) so that \( t_A(p) + f_A(p) \leq 1, \forall p \in V \).

Definition 4 (see [11]). A VG is defined to be a pair \( G = (A, B) \), which \( A = (t_A, f_A) \) is a VS on \( V \) and \( B = (t_B, f_B) \) is a VS on \( E \subseteq V \times V \) so that for each \( pq \in E \), \( t_B(pq) \leq t_A(p)\land t_A(q) \), \( f_B(pq) \geq f_B(p)\lor f_B(q) \).

Definition 5 (see [12]). Let \( G \) be a VG and \( m, n \in V \).

(i) A path \( p : m = m_0, m_1, \cdots, m_t = n \) in \( G \) is a sequence of distinct nodes so that \( t_B(m_{i-1}, m_i) > 0 \), \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, t \) and the length of the path is \( t \).

(ii) If \( p : m = m_0, m_1, \cdots, m_t = n \) be a path of length \( t \) between \( m \) and \( n \), then \( (t_B(mn))^k \) and \( (f_B(mn))^k \) are defined as

\[
(t_B(mn))^k = \sup \{t_B(m_1, m_2)\land \cdots \land t_B(m_{k-1}, n)\},
\]

\[
(f_B(mn))^k = \inf \{f_B(m_1)\lor \cdots \lor f_B(m_{k-1}, n)\}.\tag{1}
\]

(\( (t_B(mn))^{\infty}, (f_B(mn))^{\infty} \)) is said to be the strength of connectedness between two nodes \( m \) and \( n \) in \( G \), which \( (t_B(mn))^{\infty} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}}(t_B(mn))^k \) and \( (f_B(mn))^{\infty} = \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}}(f_B(mn))^k \).

(iii) If \( t_B(mn) \geq t_B^E(mn) \) and \( f_B(mn) \leq f_B^E(mn) \), then the arc \( mn \) in \( G \) is called a strong arc. A path \( m - n \) is strong path if all arcs on the path are strong.

Definition 6 (see [12]). For a VG \( G \), if \( t_B(mn) \geq (t_B(mn))^{\infty} \) and \( f_B(mn) \leq (f_B(mn))^{\infty} \), then the edge \( ab \) is called a strong edge of \( G \).

All the basic notations are shown in Table 1.

### Table 1: Some basic notations.

| Notation | Meaning |
|----------|---------|
| FG       | Fuzzy graph |
| VS       | Vague set |
| IG       | Incidence graph |
| FSS      | Fuzzy subset |
| VI       | Vague incidence |
| VIPSG    | Vague incidence partial subgraph |
| VISG     | Vague incidence subgraph |
| VSG      | Vague subgraph |
| CVIG     | Connected vague incidence graph |
| SP       | Strong pair |
| FI       | Fuzzy incidence |
| CP       | Cut pair |

3. New Concepts of Vague Incidence Graph

Definition 7. \( G = (A, B, C) \) is called a VIG of underlying crisp-IG \( G^* = (V, E, I) \), if:

\[
\mathcal{A} = \{(t_A(m), f_A(m)) | m \in V\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{B} = \{(t_B(pq), f_B(pq)) | pq \in E\},
\]

\[
\mathcal{C} = \{(t_C(m, pq), f_C(m, pq)) | (m, pq) \in I\},
\]

so that \( A, B \) are VSs on \( V \) and \( E \subseteq V \times V \), respectively. \( C = (C', C') \) is a mapping from \( V \times E \) to \([0, 1] \times [0, 1]\) and we have:

\( t_B(pq) \leq t_A(m)\land t_A(q), f_B(pq) \geq f_A(m)\lor f_A(q), t_C(m, pq) \leq t_A(m)\land t_B(pq), f_C(m, pq) \geq f_A(m)\lor f_B(pq), \forall \) \( m \in V, pq \in E, 0 \leq t_A(m) + f_A(m) \leq 1, 0 \leq t_B(pq) + f_B(pq) \leq 1, 0 \leq t_C(m, pq) + f_C(m, pq) \leq 1 \).

Example 1. Consider an incidence graph \( G^* = (V, E, I) \) so that \( V = \{p, q, r, s\}, E = \{pq, qr, qs, rs, ps\} \) and \( I = \{(p, pq), (q, pq), (q, qr), (q,qs), (s, qs), (r,rs), (s,rs), (s,ps), (p, ps)\} \) as shown in Figure 1. Clearly, \( G = (A, B, C) \) is a VIG of \( G^* \), denoted in Figure 2, which
Example 2. Consider the VIG $G$ of Figure 2. Here, the number of nodes in $G$ is 4 and the number of edges is 5. So, the matrix form of $C$ is given below as

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
$$

where $\psi = (t_C(p, p, p, p, q, f_C(p, p, p, p, q))).$

Definition 9. A VIG $H = (A', B', C')$ called a VIPSG of the VIG $G = (A, B, C)$ if $t_{A'}(p) \leq t_A(p)$, $f_{A'}(p) \geq f_A(p)$, $t_{B'}(pq) \leq t_B(pq)$, $f_{B'}(pq) \geq f_B(pq)$, $t_C(p, pq) \leq t_C(p, pq)$, and $f_C(p, pq) \geq f_C(p, pq)$, for all $p \in V$ and $pq \in E$. Also, $H$ is called a VIG of the VIG $G$, if $V' \subseteq V$, $E' \subseteq E$, $C' \subseteq C$, $t_{A'}(p) = t_A(p)$, $f_{A'}(p) = f_A(p)$, $t_{B'}(pq) = t_B(pq)$, $f_{B'}(pq) = f_B(pq)$, $t_C(p, pq) = f_C(p, pq)$, and $f_C(p, pq) = f_C(p, pq)$, for all $p \in V$ and $pq \in E$.

If we delete a node or an edge from a VIG, then, the effects are initiated in next propositions.

Proposition 10. A VIG of a VIG $G$ must be a VIPSG of $G$.

Proof. Let $H$ be a VIG of the VIG $G$. Then, from the definitions, we have $H$ satisfies all the conditions to be a VIPSG of the VIG $G$. So, $H$ is a VIG of the VIG $G$.

Proposition 11. If $\tilde{H}$ is a VIG of the VIG $\tilde{G}$, then the VIG $H$ is a VSG of the VIG $G$.

Theorem 13. A complete-VIG is a strong VIG.
Consider the CVIG $G$ of Figure 4.

**Example 4.** Consider the CVIG of Figure 4.

Here, $C'(p, pq) \land C'(q, qr) \land C'(q, qr) = 0.1$, $C'(p, pq) \lor C'(q, qr) \land C'(q, qr) = 0.7$, $C'(p, pr) \land C'(r, pr) \land C'(r, qr) = 0.1$, $C'(p, pr) \lor C'(r, pr) \lor C'(r, qr) = 0.7$. So, $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, qr) = 0.1$, $\text{ICON}_{G}(q, qr) = 0.7$, and $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) = 0.1$. Therefore, the incidence strength of connectedness between $p$ and $qr$ is $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, qr) = (0.1, 0.7)$. Therefore, the incidence strength of connectedness between $p$ and $qr$ is $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, qr) = 0.1$.

**Definition 15.** Let $ab$ be an edge of a VIG $G$. If $C'(p, pq) > 0$, $C'(q, qr) > 0$, $\psi'(p, pq) > 0$, and $\psi'(q, qr) > 0$, then $(p, pq)$ and $(q, qr)$ are called pairs. G is said to be connected if there is an incidence path between every pair.

**Theorem 16.** Let $G = (A, B, C)$ be a VIG and $H = (A', B', C')$ be a VISG of $G$. Then, for any pair $(p, pq)$ in $G$, $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) \geq \text{ICON}_{H}(p, pq)$ and $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) \leq \text{ICON}_{H}(p, pq)$.

**Proof.** Consider $H$ is a VISG of $G$. From the definition of VISG, we have $C'(p, pq) = C'(p, pq)$ and $C'(q, qr) = C'(q, qr)$, for all pairs $(p, pq)$ in $H$. Hence, $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) = \text{ICON}_{H}(p, pq)$ and $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) \leq \text{ICON}_{H}(p, pq)$.

Case 1. Suppose $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq)$, $\text{ICON}_{H}(p, pq)$, and $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq)$ lies on the same incidence pair of $H$ and $H$ lies on different pairs of $H$ and $H$. Now, there arises two cases.

Case 1. Suppose $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq)$, $\text{ICON}_{H}(p, pq)$, and $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq)$ lies on the pairs $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ in $G$ and $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ in $H$. This means both the pairs $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ and $(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ are the pairs of $G$. If $C'(w_1, w_2, w_3) = C'(w_1, w_2, w_3)$ and $C'(w_1, w_1, w_3) = C'(w_1, w_1, w_3)$, then $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) = \text{ICON}_{H}(p, pq)$ and $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) = \text{ICON}_{H}(p, pq)$. If $C'(w_1, w_1, w_3) \neq C'(w_1, w_1, w_3)$ or $C'(w_1, w_1, w_3) \neq C'(w_1, w_1, w_3)$ or both, then $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) > \text{ICON}_{H}(p, pq)$ or $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) < \text{ICON}_{H}(p, pq)$ or both. Hence, in any case, $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) \geq \text{ICON}_{H}(p, pq)$ and $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) \leq \text{ICON}_{H}(p, pq)$.

**Definition 17.** A pair $(pq)$ in $G = (A, B, C)$ is SP if $C'(p, pq) = 0.1$, $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) = 0.1$, $C'(q, qr) = 0.1$, $\text{ICON}_{G}(q, qr) = 0.6$, and $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) = 0.7$, $\text{ICON}_{G}(q, qr) = 0.1$, $\text{ICON}_{G}(q, qr) = 0.6$.

**Example 5.** Consider the VIG $G$ as Figure 5.

Here, $C'(p, pq) = 0.1$, $C'(p, pq) = 0.5$, $C'(q, qr) = 0.1$, $C'(q, qr) = 0.6$, $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) = 0.1$, $\text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) = 0.1$, $\text{ICON}_{G}(q, qr) = 0.1$, $\text{ICON}_{G}(q, qr) = 0.6$. Hence, $C'(p, pq) = \text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) = 0.1$, $C'(p, pq) = 0.5 < \text{ICON}_{G}(p, pq) = 0.7$ and $C'(q, qr) = \text{ICON}_{G}(q, qr) = 0.1$, $C'(q, qr) = \text{ICON}_{G}(q, qr) = 0.1$. Therefore, $(p, pq)$ and $(q, qr)$ are SPs.
must be less than the true part of the strength of all the paths without 
ð over all pairs \( pq \), \( \Delta \) pair.

Proof. For a pair \( \langle w, p, k \rangle \) in \( G \), then, the pair \( \langle p, q \rangle \) is a SP.

Again, if there are more than one pair \( \langle p, q \rangle \) in \( G \) so that \( C^I(p, q) = \vee C^I(w, p, k) \) and \( C^I(p, q) = \wedge C^I(w, p, k) \), for all pairs \( (w, p, k) \) in \( G \), then the true part of the strength of all the paths without \( \langle p, q \rangle \) must be greater than \( C^I(p, q) \).

Table 3: The level of staff capability.

| Name     | Rostami | Falah | Asadi | Mahdavi | Bagheri | Karami |
|----------|---------|-------|-------|---------|---------|--------|
| \( t_A \) | 0.3     | 0.3   | 0.5   | 0.4     | 0.3     | 0.2    |
| \( f_A \) | 0.4     | 0.2   | 0.2   | 0.3     | 0.5     | 0.5    |

Theorem 18. Let \( G = (A, B, C) \) be a VIG and for a pair \( \langle p, q \rangle \), \( C^I(p, q), C^I(p, q) = \langle \vee C^I(w, p, k), \wedge C^I(w, p, k) \rangle \), for all pairs \( (w, p, k) \) in \( G \). Then, the pair \( \langle p, q \rangle \) is a SP.

Proof. Let \( \langle p, q \rangle \) be a pair in the VIG \( G \). If there is an unique pair \( \langle w, p, k \rangle \) in \( G \) so that \( C^I(p, q, w) = \vee C^I(w, p, k) \) and \( C^I(p, q, w) = \wedge C^I(w, p, k) \), for all pairs \( (w, p, k) \) in \( G \), then the true part of the strength of all the paths without \( \langle p, q \rangle \) must be greater than \( C^I(p, q) \).

\( \langle p, q \rangle \) is a pair.

Now from the definition, we have \( C^I(p, q) = \vee \langle C^I(w, p, k), C^I(p, q) = \wedge C^I(w, p, k) \rangle \), for all pairs \( (w, p, k) \) in \( G \). Hence, \( \langle p, q \rangle \) is a SP.

Definition 19. Let \( G \) be a VIG and \( H \) be a VISG of \( G \) so that for a pair \( \langle p, q \rangle \) in \( G \), \( H = G - \{ \langle p, q \rangle \} \). If \( \text{ICONN}_{G}^{I}(w, w \ k) \) > \( \text{ICONN}_{H}^{I}(w, w \ k) \) and \( \text{ICONN}_{G}^{I}(w, w \ k) < \text{ICONN}_{H}^{I}(w, w \ k) \), for some pair \( \langle w, w \ k \rangle \) in \( G \), then, the pair \( \langle p, q \rangle \) is called an incidence-CP of \( G \).

Theorem 20. Let \( \langle p, q \rangle \) be a pair in a VIG \( G = (A, B, C) \) so that \( C^I(p, q) = \wedge t_A(p, t_B(q)) \) and \( C^I(p, q) = \vee f_A(p), f_B(q) \). Then, the pair \( \langle p, q \rangle \) is a SP of \( G \).

Proof. Let \( H = (A, B, C) \) be a VISG of a VIG \( G = (A, B, C) \) so that \( H = G - \{ \langle p, q \rangle \} \), where \( \langle p, q \rangle \) is a pair of \( G \). If \( H \) is disconnected, then \( \langle p, q \rangle \) should be a CP of \( G \). Then, \( \text{ICONN}_{H}^{G}(p, q) > \text{ICONN}_{H}^{G}(p, q) \) and \( \text{ICONN}_{H}^{G}(p, q) < \text{ICONN}_{H}^{G}(p, q) \). Then by definition, \( \langle p, q \rangle \) is a sp. If \( H \) is connected, then \( \exists \) \( \langle p, pr \rangle \) for some \( p \neq r \), so that \( \langle p, pr \rangle \) and \( \langle q, pr \rangle \) lies in an incidence path from \( p \) to \( q \) in \( H \). Then, \( \text{ICONN}_{H}^{G}(p, q) \leq \text{ICONN}_{H}^{G}(p, pr), C^I(q, pr) < \text{ICONN}_{H}^{G}(p, q) \). Then by definition, \( \langle p, pr \rangle \) lies in an incidence path from \( p \) to \( q \) in \( H \). Then, \( \text{ICONN}_{H}^{G}(p, q) \leq \text{ICONN}_{H}^{G}(p, pr), C^I(q, pr) < \text{ICONN}_{H}^{G}(p, q) \). Thus, \( C^I(p, q) \geq \text{ICONN}_{H}^{G}(p, q) \) and \( C^I(p, q) \geq \text{ICONN}_{H}^{G}(p, q) \). Therefore, \( \langle p, q \rangle \) is a SP of \( G \).

Theorem 21. Every pair of a complete VIG is a sp.

Proof. Let \( \langle p, q \rangle \) be a pair in a complete-VIG \( G = (A, B, C) \). Then \( C^I(p, q) = \wedge \{ t_A(p), t_B(q) \} \) and \( C^I(p, q) = \vee \{ f_A(p), f_B(q) \} \). Now, by Theorem 20, it can be proved that \( \langle p, q \rangle \) is a SP of \( G \). Since \( \langle p, q \rangle \) is arbitrary in the complete-VIG \( G \), so all the pairs of \( G \) are SP.

4. Application of Vague Incidence Graph to Find the Most Effective Person in a University

Science and education are always very important issues for any country that has a very high status. Because if any society has higher education, it will naturally have higher progress and prosperity. Note that the emergence of science and knowledge is equal to the creation of man. Man has always sought to understand and comprehend. Science and knowledge are very important in human life. The role of
science in human life is to teach human beings the path to happiness, evolution, and construction. Science enables man to build the future the way he wants. Science is given as a tool at the will of man and makes nature as man wants and commands. Today, the importance of science and knowledge on humanity is not hidden, and all human schools and heavenly religions emphasize the acquisition of science and knowledge and consider the progress and advancement in the path of science as honorable. Science and knowledge are two wings that human beings can fly to infinity. The value of each human being is determined by how they are used. But one of the educational centers that play an important role in educating people are universities. Therefore, the university staff must fulfill their responsibilities in the best possible way so that there is no disruption in education. Therefore, in this section, we try to introduce the most effective staff of a university with the help of an VIG. To do this, we consider the nodes of this graph as the staff of an university and the edges as the influence of one employee on another employee. For this university, the staff is as follows: \( E = \{ \text{Rostami(RO)}, \text{Falah(FA)}, \text{Karami(KA)}, \text{Asadi(AS)}, \text{Mahdavi(MA)}, \text{Bagheri(BA)} \} \).

(i) Rostami has been working with Falah for 8 years and values his views on issues

(ii) Asadi has been the head of the university for a long time, and not only Falah but also Karami is very satisfied with Asadi’s performance

(iii) For a university, the protection of educational tools and student files is very important. Mahdavi is a suitable person for this job

(iv) Rostami and Karami have a long history of conflict

(v) Falah has a very important role in the research work of the university

Given the above, we consider a VIG. The nodes show each of the university staff. Each staff member has the desired ability as well as shortcomings in the performance of their duties. Therefore, we use of vague set to express the weight of the nodes. The true membership shows the efficiency of the employee, and the false membership shows the lack of management and shortcomings of each staff. But the edges show the level of relationships and friendships between employees. If these relationships are stronger, then the student education process will be faster. Hence, the edges can be considered as a vague set so that the true membership shows a friendly relationship between both employees and the false membership shows the degree of conflict and difference between the two officials. Name of employees and level of staff capability are shown in Tables 2 and 3. The adjacency matrix corresponding to Figure 6 is shown in Table 4.

Figure 6 shows that Rostami has 30% of the power needed to do the university work as university educational director but does not have the 40% knowledge needed to be the boss. The incidence edge Karami-Bagheri shows that there is only 20% interaction and friendship between these two employees and unfortunately they have 60% disagreement and conflict. It is clear that Rostami, Kazemi and Asadi obey Fallah. Fallah’s dominance rate over all three is equal to 20%. Clearly, Asadi is the most influential employee of the university because he controls all five of the university staff and also he has the highest amount of knowledge among the university staff, which is equal to 50%.

5. Conclusion

Vague incidence graph has various applications in modern science and technology, especially in the fields of neural networks, computer science, operation research, and decision making. Hence, VIG and VISG are defined and their properties are explained by several examples. Also, different incidence paths and their strengths, connectedness, and properties are introduced. Finally, the strength of connectedness between pairs in VIG and VISG are investigated. In our future work, we will study the concepts of covering, matchings, and independent dominating on VIGs and investigate their properties with some examples.
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