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**Abstract** — Geoneutrino is a new channel of information about geochemical composition of the Earth. We analyzed here the following problem. What statistics do we need to distinguish between predictions of Bulk Silicate Earth model and Hydridic Earth model for Th/U signal ratio? We obtained the simple formula for estimation of error of Th/U signal ratio. Our calculations show that we need more than 22 kt ⋅ year exposition for Gran-Sasso underground laboratory and Sudbury Neutrino Observatory. We need more than 27 kt ⋅ year exposition for Kamioka site in the case of stopping of all Japanese nuclear power plants.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Geoneutrino is a new channel of information about geochemical composition of the Earth. Geoneutrino is antineutrino emitted in a decay chain of U, Th and ⁴⁰K located in the Earth’s interior. The first direct measurement of geoneutrino flux was made by the Borexino collaboration \([1]\) and the KamLand collaboration \([2]\). Number of events in these detectors depends on the uranium mass in the Earth \(m(U)\), the thorium mass in the Earth \(m(Th)\) and on their distribution in the Earth. The Bulk Silicate Earth (BSE) model \([3]\) gives

\[
\begin{align*}
  m_{\text{BSE}}(U) &= 0.81 \times 10^{17} \text{ kg}, \\
  m_{\text{BSE}}(Th) &= 3.16 \times 10^{17} \text{ kg}, \\
  m_{\text{BSE}}(K) &= 0.49 \times 10^{21} \text{ kg}.
\end{align*}
\]

This amount distributes only in Crust and Upper Mantel in the frame of BSE model. Basic idea of BSE model \([3]\) is that the Earth chemical composition must be the same as meteorite chemical composition. The meteorites come mostly from Asteroid Belt (AB). So, the Earth chemical composition must be the same as AB chemical composition.

Chondritic ratio is one of the main characteristic of AB chemical composition and varies from 2.6 to 4.2 \([4, 5]\). The average value for solar system is proposed to be:

\[
R(\text{Th}/\text{U})_{\text{AB}} = \frac{m_{\text{Th}}}{m_{\text{U}}} = 3.9. \quad (1)
\]

The asteroid belt is the region of the Solar System located roughly between the orbits of the planets Mars and Jupiter. Some of the debris from collisions can form meteoroids that enter in the Earth’s atmosphere. Of the 50000 meteorites found on Earth to date, 99.8 percent are believed to have originated in the asteroid belt.

There is the alternative Earth model \([7, 8]\) named Hydridic Earth model (HE) which predicts the primordial chemical elements composition of the Earth. The basic idea of this model is the dependence of planet chemical composition on the distance from the Sun.

Vladimir Larin \([7]\) used the idea that the separation of the chemical elements in the solar system (chemical differentiation) was originated from the magnetic field of the Protosun. He found a correlation between the ratio of the Earth crust chemical element abundances to Sun chemical element abundances and the first ionization potential of these elements. The observed correlation is theoretically \([8]\) interpreted as a Boltzmann distribution. The numerical model was successfully tested for the observed solar normalized chemical compositions of the Earth, Mars and chondrites.

The 18.3% of the Earth primordial mass is predicted to be Hydrogen \([8]\). The inner Earth would have been and still could be hydrogen rich. The most part of primordial hydrogen have escaped to atmosphere and space through the degassing of the mantle. Model suggests that large amounts of hydrogen are still located in the core.

On the base of HE model the work \([6]\) calculated U, Th and ⁴⁰K abundances in the Earth: \(m(U) = 3.15 \times 10^{17} \text{ kg}, m(Th) = 5.42 \times 10^{17} \text{ kg}, m(⁴⁰K) = 2.63 \times 10^{19} \text{ kg}\) and obtained Th/U mass ratio for the Earth:

\[
R(\text{Th}/\text{U})_{\text{HE}} = \left( \frac{m_{\text{Th}}}{m_{\text{U}}} \right)_{\text{HE}} = 1.72. \quad (2)
\]

This value is different from chondritic Th/U mass ratio of 3.9 usually used. The accurate measurement of this ratio could permit to choose between BSE model and HE model.

The ability of discrimination between HE ans BSE models is limited not only by experimental uncertainty.
but also by uncertainty of theoretical predictions. The main uncertainty arises from the unknown distribution of Th and U concentrations in the Earth interior. The prediction of Th/U signal ratio is free from this uncertainty.

We analysed here the following problem. What statistics and what level of background must the geoneutrino detector have for discrimination between predictions of Bulk Silicate Earth model and Hydridic Earth model?

2. COUNTING RATE OF EVENTS IN GEONEUTRINO DETECTOR

The detector can record the geoneutrino from U and Th decays through the reaction of inverse beta decay:

\[ \bar{\nu} + p = e^+ + n. \]  

This reaction has threshold equal to 1.806 MeV.

We calculated for BSE model the counting rate of events in geoneutrino detector from thorium and uranium decays separately and from nuclear reactors as background. The results are shown for 1 kt \( \cdot \) year exposition in Table 1 and figure for detector consisting from \( C_nH_2n \) scintillator and locating at Gran Sasso site. In Table 2 the results are shown for same detector but locating at Sudbury site and in Table 3 at KamLAND site. We used the programs written by V. Sinev and results described in [9]. We calculated the number of events of reactor atineutrinos for Kamioka site in the case of stopping of all Japanese nuclear power plants and in the case of running.

We have from figures of Table 1 for the signal ratio

\[ \frac{S_{Th}}{S_{U}_{BSE}} \]

\[ \frac{S_{Th}}{S_{U}_{BSE}} = 0.28. \]  

This signal ratio is proportional to R. So we can calculate this ratio for value (2) \( R_{HE} = 1.72 \):

\[ \frac{S_{Th}}{S_{U}_{HE}} = 0.28 \cdot \frac{1.72}{3.9} = 0.12. \]  

To discriminate the difference between (4) and (5) the experimental accuracy should be better than:

\[ \delta \frac{S_{Th}}{S_{U}}(m, t, \eta) < \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{S_{Th}}{S_{U}_{BSE}} - \frac{S_{Th}}{S_{U}_{HE}} \right). \]  

where \( \delta \frac{S_{Th}}{S_{U}}(m, t, \eta) \) — the error of obtained Th/U signal ratio by geoneutrino detector with fiducial mass \( m \) and with efficiency \( \eta \) during the operational time \( t \).

### Table 1. Number of events for 1 kt \( \cdot \) year exposition for Gran Sasso

| Energy range (MeV) | \( S_{Th} \) |
|-------------------|--------------|
| \( E = 1.5–2.5 \)  | 20           |
| \( E = 1.0–1.5 \)  | 14           |

| Energy range (MeV) | \( S_{U} \) |
|-------------------|--------------|
| \( E = 1.5–2.5 \)  | 12           |
| \( E = 1.0–1.5 \)  | 9            |

| Energy range (MeV) | \( S_{R} \) |
|-------------------|--------------|
| \( E = 1.5–2.5 \)  | 3            |
| \( E = 1.0–1.5 \)  | 2            |

### Table 2. Number of events for 1 kt \( \cdot \) year exposition for Sudbury

| Energy range (MeV) | \( S_{Th} \) |
|-------------------|--------------|
| \( E = 1.5–2.5 \)  | 12           |
| \( E = 1.0–1.5 \)  | 8            |

| Energy range (MeV) | \( S_{U} \) |
|-------------------|--------------|
| \( E = 1.5–2.5 \)  | 8            |
| \( E = 1.0–1.5 \)  | 6            |

| Energy range (MeV) | \( S_{R} \) |
|-------------------|--------------|
| \( E = 1.5–2.5 \)  | 4            |
| \( E = 1.0–1.5 \)  | 2            |

### Table 3. Number of events for 1 kt \( \cdot \) year exposition for KamLAND in the case of stopping of all Japanese nuclear power plants

| Energy range (MeV) | \( S_{Th} \) |
|-------------------|--------------|
| \( E = 1.5–2.5 \)  | 4            |
| \( E = 1.0–1.5 \)  | 3            |

| Energy range (MeV) | \( S_{U} \) |
|-------------------|--------------|
| \( E = 1.5–2.5 \)  | 3            |
| \( E = 1.0–1.5 \)  | 2            |

| Energy range (MeV) | \( S_{R} \) |
|-------------------|--------------|
| \( E = 1.5–2.5 \)  | 2            |
| \( E = 1.0–1.5 \)  | 1            |

### Table 4. Number of events for 1 kt \( \cdot \) year exposition for KamLAND in the case of running of all Japanese nuclear power plants

| Energy range (MeV) | \( S_{Th} \) |
|-------------------|--------------|
| \( E = 1.5–2.5 \)  | 8            |
| \( E = 1.0–1.5 \)  | 7            |

| Energy range (MeV) | \( S_{U} \) |
|-------------------|--------------|
| \( E = 1.5–2.5 \)  | 7            |
| \( E = 1.0–1.5 \)  | 6            |

| Energy range (MeV) | \( S_{R} \) |
|-------------------|--------------|
| \( E = 1.5–2.5 \)  | 6            |
| \( E = 1.0–1.5 \)  | 4            |
3. EVALUATION OF Th/U SIGNAL RATIO

Detector measuring antineutrino spectra from geoneutrinos can see the total spectrum generating by uranium and thorium isotopes. But because the fact that uranium spectrum extends to higher energies (figure) we have possibility to separate spectra of uranium and thorium isotopes. But because the fact that neutrinos can see the total spectrum generating by uranium from reactor background, we can obtain the necessary exposition on the level of reactors background because $S_T = S_R + S_U + S_{Th}$.

We can obtain the necessary exposition $m \cdot t$ from the case of running of all Japanese nuclear power plants and the values (4) and (5):

$$m \cdot t > \frac{9 \cdot 95}{0.8 \cdot 28^2 \cdot (0.28 - 0.12)^2} = 130.7 \text{ kt} \cdot \text{y}.$$  (14)

We see from (13) that for KamLAND geodetector with feducial mass $m = 5$ kt is necessary about 5.5 years of exposition to distinguish between (4) and (5) in the case of stopping of all Japanese nuclear power plants.

To demonstrate the influence of background from the nuclear power plants we substitute to (12) the values $S_T, S_U$ from Table 3 for KamLAND laboratory in the case of stopping of all Japanese nuclear power plants and the values (4) and (5):

$$m \cdot t > \frac{9 \cdot 50}{0.8 \cdot 28^2 \cdot (0.28 - 0.12)^2} = 27.7 \text{ kt} \cdot \text{y}.$$  (13)

We can write from (7) and (8) taking into account that $\alpha$ is near to 1:

$$\delta S_{Th} = \sqrt{(\delta S_{T,1})^2 + (\delta S_{T,2})^2} = \sqrt{S_{T,1}^2 + S_{T,2}^2} = \sqrt{S_T}.$$  (9)

We obtained the simple formula to estimate the error:

$$\delta \left( \frac{S_{Th}}{S_U} \right) = \frac{\delta S_{Th}}{S_U} = \frac{\sqrt{S_T}}{S_U}. \quad (10)$$

We have from (10) and (6):

$$\delta \left( \frac{S_{Th}}{S_U} \right) = \frac{\left( \frac{S_{Th}}{S_U} \right)_{BSE} - \left( \frac{S_{Th}}{S_U} \right)_{HE}}{\eta \cdot \left( \frac{S_{Th}}{S_U} \right)_{BSE} \cdot \left( \frac{S_{Th}}{S_U} \right)_{HE}}.$$  (11)

The formula (12) gives us the dependence of necessary exposition on the level of reactors background because $S_T = S_R + S_U + S_{Th}$.

We can estimate from (13) that for KamLAND detector location the case of running of all Japanese nuclear power plants and the values (4) and (5):

$$m \cdot t > \frac{9 \cdot 99}{0.8 \cdot 44^2 \cdot (0.28 - 0.12)^2} = 22.5 \text{ kt} \cdot \text{y}.$$  (15)

These calculations shows that it is necessary to build the new generation geoneutrino detector with
the fiducial mass not less than 5 kt and with the background from nuclear power plants not too higher than the signal from U geoneutrino.

5. CONCLUSIONS

(1) We propose to use the Th/U signal ratio $\frac{S_{Th}}{S_U}$ to distinguish between predictions of Bulk Silicate Earth model and Hydridic Earth model.

(2) We obtained the simple formula to estimate the error of signal ratio (10): $\delta \left( \frac{S_{Th}}{S_U} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{S_{Th}}{S_U}}$.

(3) We calculated the signals $S_{Th}$ and $S_U$ for Gran-Sasso underground laboratory site, Sudbury Neutrino Observatory site and for Kamioka site (Tables 1, 2, 3).

(4) We calculated the signals from the nuclear power plants $S_{R,1}$ and $S_{R,2}$ for Gran-Sasso underground laboratory site, Sudbury Neutrino Observatory site and for Kamioka site (Tables 1, 2, 3).

(5) We obtained that for Gran-Sasso underground laboratory site, Sudbury Neutrino Observatory site is necessary the exposition not less than 22 kt · year to distinguish between predictions of Bulk Silicate Earth model and Hydridic Earth model (12, 13).

(6) We obtained that for Kamioka site is necessary the exposition 27.7 kt · year to distinguish between predictions of Bulk Silicate Earth model and Hydridic Earth model (14) in the case of stopping of all Japanese nuclear power plants.

(7) Our calculations shows that it is necessary to build the new generation geoneutrino detector with the fiducial mass not less than 5 kt and with the background from nuclear power plants not too higher than the signal from U geoneutrino.
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