Abstract

Objective: We describe left ventricular (LV) volumes, myocardial and trabeculated muscle mass and strains with Cardiac magnetic resonance of a large cohort (n=81) who fulfilled the morphologic criteria of left ventricular noncompaction (LVNC) and had good ejection fraction (EF >55%) and compare them with healthy controls (n=81). Male and female patients were compared to matched controls and to each other. We also investigated the LV trabeculated muscle mass cutoff in male and female patients with LVNC.

Methods: 81 participants with LVNC and 81 healthy controls were included. Male and female patients were compared to matched controls and to each other. We also investigated the left ventricular trabeculated muscle mass cut-off in male and female LVNC patients.

Results: The LV parameters of the LVNC population were normal, but they had significantly higher volumes, myocardial and trabeculated muscle mass, and a significantly smaller EF than the controls. Similar differences were observed after stratifying by sex. The optimal LV trabeculated muscle mass cutoffs were 25.8 g/m^2 in men (area under the curve: 0.81) and 19.0 g/m^2 in women (area under the curve: 0.87). The patients had normal global strains but a significantly worse global circumferential strain (patients vs controls: −29.9±4.9 vs. −35.8±4.7%, p<0.05) and significantly higher circumferential mechanical dispersion than the controls (patients vs. controls: 7.6±4.2 vs. 6.1±2.8%; p<0.05). No disease-related strain differences were noted between men and women.

Conclusion: The LV functional and strain characteristics of the LVNC cohort differed significantly from those of healthy participants; this might be caused by increased LV trabeculation, and its clinical relevance might be questionable. The LV trabeculated muscle mass was very different between men and women; thus, the use of sex-specific morphologic diagnostic criteria should be considered.
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Introduction

Left ventricular noncompaction (LVNC) is a rare disease with excessive endomyocardial trabeculation in the apical part of the heart. In many cases, LVNC is an incidental finding in asymptomatic patients with good left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (EF); however, LVNC can manifest as severe heart failure and dilated cardiomyopathy (1). Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging has become the number one diagnostic modality due to its superior signal-to-noise ratio compared to echocardiography, thereby allowing better visualization of the endocardial borders and ease in differentiating between noncompacted and compacted myocardium (2). Several morphological criteria for LVNC have been published, and the criterion given by Petersen et al. (3, 4) is most commonly applied: the ratio of noncompacted/compacted myocardial layers >2.3 measured in end-diastole, Zemrak et al. (5) demonstrated in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis that 27.5% of the 2742 volunteers free of clinically recognized cardiovascular disease had a higher noncompacted/compacted ratio than the diagnostic cutoff value. These data suggest that the lack of accepted diagnostic standards might result in overdiagnosis (6). Moreover, we have inconsistent information about normal LV trabeculation in men and women, and the importance of sex regarding the diagnostic criteria.
of LVNC is (7, 8). These observations highlight the need for additional diagnostic criteria for a morphologic-only analysis (9).

For this cardiac imaging focused study, we recruited a large cohort who fulfilled the morphological criteria of LVNC and had good EF and no comorbidities, aiming to describe the LV volumetric parameters and the myocardial and trabeculated muscle mass of this population, as measured with threshold-based papillary and trabeculated muscle quantification software, and to study the LV strain characteristics with CMR feature-tracking. Male and female patients were compared with each other to describe the differences between sexes. Moreover, we investigated the different cutoff points of LV trabeculated muscle mass for male and female patients with LVNC to differentiate them from healthy subjects.

Methods

Patient characteristics

Between October 2007 and February 2019, a total of 351 patients fulfilled the two most often used morphologic criteria of LVNC set by Petersen et al. (3) (noncompacted/compacted ratio >2.3, Fig. 1) and Jacquier et al. (10) (trabeculated LV mass >20% of the total LV mass, Fig. 1). A total of 81 patients with good LV ejection fraction (>55%) and no known cardiovascular or other comorbidities were included in this retrospective study (age: 35.6±14.7 year, male: n=44) (11). The exclusion criteria were reduced LV EF (<55%, n=180), presence of ischemic, valvular or congenital heart disease (n=57), presence of significant comorbidities (e.g., diabetes, hypertension, chronic kidney disease, chronic liver failure, n=9), and technical reasons (artifacts, short-axis cine images performed after the injection of contrast agent, n=49) (12, 13). We selected 81 sex-matched healthy volunteers from similar age groups who did not have any cardiovascular or other systemic diseases and who did not have excessive endocardial trabeculation (noncompacted/compacted >2.3 or trabeculated LV mass >20% of the total LV mass) measured on the short axis cine images (age: 38.2±12.8 year, male: n=44). All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Ethical approval was obtained from the Central Ethics Committee of Hungary, and all participants provided informed consent.

Image acquisition and analysis

The cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) examinations were performed with a 1.5 T MR scanner (Achieva, Philips Medical System, Eindhoven, the Netherlands) and a 5-channel cardiac coil. Retrospectively gated, balanced, steady-state free precession cine images in 2-, 3-, and 4-chamber long-axis views and breath-hold short-axis cine images were acquired from base to apex with a temporal resolution of 25 phases per cardiac cycle. The slice thickness was 8 mm with no interslice gap, and the field of view was 350 mm on the average, adapted to body size.

Figure 1. Short-axis image of a participant who fulfilled the Petersen (a) and Jacquier (b) morphologic criteria of left ventricular noncompaction. The orange line represents the compacted myocardial layer, the blue line represents the noncompacted layer (a), the green area represents the compacted and noncompacted myocardium while the red line borders the endocardial trabeculation (b).
When the contrast agent was given, the cine images were acquired before its injection. Endo- and epicardial borders were manually traced on the short-axis images in end-systolic and end-diastolic phases by two observers (A.S.Z. with 7 years of experience and A.R.K. with 2 years of experience). A threshold-based papillary and trabeculated muscle quantification analysis software (the MassK module of Medis Suite, version 3.0, Medis Medical Imaging Systems, Leiden, the Netherlands) was used to calculate the following LV parameters from the short-axis images: end-systolic volume, end-diastolic volume, stroke-volume, EF, end-diastolic myocardial mass, and end-diastolic papillary and trabecular mass. This semiautomatic software differentiates muscle from the blood pool based on their different signal intensities; thus, each voxel is classified as either blood or myocardium according to the chosen threshold, which was set to the default value (50%) (14). Endocardial borders included the trabeculated muscle, and papillary muscles were excluded from the trabeculation unless they were indistinguishable. All the measured parameters were indexed to body surface area. We used the normal values reported by Alfaikih et al. (11) as this is the setup reference value of the postprocessing software. We tested the inter-observer agreement on 10 randomly selected patients and controls with the interclass correlation coefficient (ICC). Global ICC, which represents the inter-observer agreement of all measured LV parameters, was 0.92 (interpreted as: 0.4-0.75 - fair to good, greater than 0.75 - excellent).

**Feature-tracking analysis**

Commercially available software was used for the feature-tracking strain analysis (QStrain, Medis Suite, version 3.0, Medis Medical Imaging Systems, Leiden, the Netherlands). The endocardial contours of the left ventricle were manually traced in the 2-, 3-, 4-chamber long-axis and the short-axis views, excluding the endocardial trabeculation and papillary muscles. Global longitudinal strain (GLS), global radial strain (GRS), global circumferential strain (GCS), and rotation (ROT) were measured as described elsewhere (15). The standard deviation of the time-to-peak strains between segments was analyzed in both the long-axis and short-axis views to determine the degrees of intraventricular synchronous contraction in the longitudinal and circumferential directions [longitudinal mechanical dispersion (SD-TTP-LS) and circumferential mechanical dispersion (SD-TTP-CS)].

When the contrast agent was given, the cine images were acquired before its injection. Endo- and epicardial borders were manually traced on the short-axis images in end-systolic and end-diastolic phases by two observers (A.S.Z. with 7 years of experience and A.R.K. with 2 years of experience). A threshold-based papillary and trabeculated muscle quantification analysis software (the MassK module of Medis Suite, version 3.0, Medis Medical Imaging Systems, Leiden, the Netherlands) was used to calculate the following LV parameters from the short-axis images: end-systolic volume, end-diastolic volume, stroke-volume, EF, end-diastolic myocardial mass, and end-diastolic papillary and trabecular mass. This semiautomatic software differentiates muscle from the blood pool based on their different signal intensities; thus, each voxel is classified as either blood or myocardium according to the chosen threshold, which was set to the default value (50%) (14). Endocardial borders included the trabeculated muscle, and papillary muscles were excluded from the trabeculation unless they were indistinguishable. All the measured parameters were indexed to body surface area. We used the normal values reported by Alfaikih et al. (11) as this is the setup reference value of the postprocessing software. We tested the inter-observer agreement on 10 randomly selected patients and controls with the interclass correlation coefficient (ICC). Global ICC, which represents the inter-observer agreement of all measured LV parameters, was 0.92 (interpreted as: 0.4-0.75 - fair to good, greater than 0.75 - excellent).

**Feature-tracking analysis**

Commercially available software was used for the feature-tracking strain analysis (QStrain, Medis Suite, version 3.0, Medis Medical Imaging Systems, Leiden, the Netherlands). The endocardial contours of the left ventricle were manually traced in the 2-, 3-, 4-chamber long-axis and the short-axis views, excluding the endocardial trabeculation and papillary muscles. Global longitudinal strain (GLS), global radial strain (GRS), global circumferential strain (GCS), and rotation (ROT) were measured as described elsewhere (15). The standard deviation of the time-to-peak strains between segments was analyzed in both the long-axis and short-axis views to determine the degrees of intraventricular synchronous contraction in the longitudinal and circumferential directions [longitudinal mechanical dispersion (SD-TTP-LS) and circumferential mechanical dispersion (SD-TTP-CS)].

We used the CMR-FT normal values presented by Peng et al. (16). The inter-observer agreements of the measured global strain parameters were good-to-excellent [ICC (95% confidence interval): GLS: 0.96 (0.89–0.98), GRS: 0.98 (0.96–0.99), GCS: 0.96 (0.89–0.98), ROT: 0.68 (0.19–0.87), SD-TTP-LS: 0.87 (0.68–0.95), SD-TTP-CS: 0.75 (0.38–0.90)].

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables are presented as the mean and standard deviation. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess whether the data fitted a normal distribution. An independent-sample t-test was used to compare parameters that fitted a normal distribution; otherwise a Mann-Whitney test was applied. Receiver operating characteristic curves and optimal cutoff values for the LV trabecular mass index were calculated. MedCalc Statistical Software version 17.9.5 (MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium) was used for statistical calculations.

**Results**

First, we compared the LV functional parameters, calculated with the threshold-based software, between the LVNC and control groups. The measured functional parameters in the patients were in the normal range; however, compared with the controls, the LVNC group had significantly higher end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes and LV myocardial and trabecular mass values and a significantly lower EF (Table 1).

We segregated the LVNC and control groups by sex and found similar results in both sexes. The stroke-volume did not...
differ between the patients and controls or between men and women (Table 1).

Finally, we compared the LV parameters of men and women in both the patient and control groups and found that all the parameters, except the EF, were significantly higher in men than in women (Table 1).

By studying the optimal LV trabecular mass index cutoff values to differentiate between patients with LVNC and healthy controls, we found that the optimal cutoffs were 25.8 g/m² in men (area under the curve: 0.81, 95% confidence interval: 0.71–0.88, sensitivity: 63.6%, specificity: 93.2%) and 19.0 g/m² in women (area under the curve: 0.87, 95% confidence interval: 0.77–0.93, sensitivity: 75.7%, specificity: 89.2%, Fig. 2). Patients with a higher LV trabecular mass index value than the proposed cutoffs are more likely to have LVNC than those who are below the described cutoffs.

Discussion

In this retrospective study, we describe the LV myocardial mechanics of a large cohort who fulfilled the morphological criteria of LVNC and had good LV EF and evaluate the differences between male and female patients.
The volumetric and myocardial mass values were in the normal range; however, the LVNC group had significantly larger end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes, and a significantly smaller EF, than the control group. These results correlate with those presented by Zemrak et al. (5) who state that higher LV trabeculated muscle/total myocardial mass ratio is associated with lower LV EF and higher LV volumes in a population free of clinically recognized cardiovascular disease. However, no association was found between increased LV trabeculation and increase in LV volumes or decrease in LV function during the 9.5-year follow-up of the same study population (5). Another study also revealed that the morphological diagnosis of LVNC was not associated with adverse clinical events during the almost 7 years of follow-up (17). The diagnosis of LVNC based on morphological criteria exclusively might be insufficient, and an integrated diagnostic algorithm with additional anamnestic and clinical information should be used to avoid overdiagnosis (1).

Well-known biometric alterations between sexes were present in our male and female control groups, and these alterations could be the cause of significant differences between the functional parameters of male and female patients with LVNC (8). Previous studies with other techniques have described the different trabeculated volumes and different thickness but not the differing trabeculated muscle mass of noncompacted and compacted myocardium between healthy men and women (8). Gender-related differences were also present in our study in the trabeculated muscle mass values of both patients and controls. As a novelty, our results show that the optimal trabecular mass index cutoff value for LVNC was very different for men and women, suggesting that the diagnostic cutoffs should be sex-specific. We did not find any information about the trabeculated muscle mass value of male and female patients with LVNC or its diagnostic cutoff values. The LV trabecular mass index might be a useful parameter, but further studies are required, as the sensitivities of the proposed cutoff values were quite low in our study. Grothoff et al. (18) previously proposed a cutoff value for noncompacted myocardial mass index regardless of gender of 15 g/m², although their study included a smaller LVNC population, and they used a different method to measure trabeculated myocardium mass.

Of the studied feature-tracking strain parameters, GLS was not different between the LVNC group and the controls in our study. The normal GLS value, in addition to the good EF, suggest normal LV function and no presence of subtle LV dysfunction in this patient cohort. According to the literature, normal GLS values are associated with good prognosis in different patient populations with preserved EF. Furthermore, Andreini et al. (19) have described that LVNC patients with good LV EF, good stroke volume, and without LV dilatation have less cardiac events and excellent outcome and survival rate (20-22). In contrast to our results, a recent publication described decreased GLS in patients with LVNC with a median LV EF of 54%, which is lower than the mean EF of our LVNC group (23). We know from mathematical and echocardiographic studies that for patients with higher than 50% LV EF, GLS can vary more with less effect on the EF than in patients with decreased LV function, which can explain these diverse results (24). The GLS values in male patients and male controls were significantly reduced (but still in the normal range) compared to those in female patients and controls, which seems to be a sex-related difference rather than an LVNC-related phenomenon (25, 26).

In contrast to GLS, GCS and GRS in patients with LVNC were significantly reduced compared to controls, and this significance did not change after we divided the groups by sex. These results correlate with the findings of a recent study of a pediatric LVNC population with good EF, although this was performed with speckle tracking echocardiography (27). CMR studies on healthy populations have revealed that increased LV trabeculation is associated with impaired circumferential strain, even after adjustments for age, sex and body mass index; however, the relationship between LV hypertrabeculation and decreased circumferential strain is unclear (28, 29). In addition to decreased GCS, the circumferential mechanical dispersion, which describes the interventricular disynchrony, was higher in patients than in controls. We do not have enough information yet to evaluate the clinical relevance of this statistically significant result because the feature-tracking normal values for the standard deviation of time-to-peak circumferential strain (%) are not available. Previous studies conducted on healthy populations have revealed that mechanical dispersion is higher in participants with longer QTc time and mechanical dispersion is also predictive of arrhythmic risk in different diseases (30-33). Further follow-up studies are necessary to investigate the possible prognostic role of these parameters in this patient population.

Regarding the changes in GRS, radial thickening arises from both longitudinal and circumferential shortening; thus, compared to that in controls, the significantly decreased GRS value in patients may be due to the significantly decreased GCS. The difference in GRS between male and female patients may be due to the small number of patients when the groups were separated by sex. GRS is less reproducible than the other two global strain parameters and shows large differences between studies regarding a normal range; thus, the importance of GRS needs further evaluation (25, 34, 35).

Study limitations
The main limitation is that we needed to exclude patients who received contrast agents prior to SA cine imaging (n=26) because both the functional parameters and strain values are altered when measured on postcontrast SA cine images (10, 11).

Conclusion
The functional parameters of this large cohort, which fulfilled the morphologic criteria for LVNC, were in the normal range but differed significantly from those of healthy controls, which might be caused by the increased amount of LV trabeculation. The decreased GCS and GRS values and increased circumferential mechanical dispersion can also be related to excessive trabeculation. The LV trabeculated muscle mass is
very different between men and women; thus, the use of sex-specific morphologic diagnostic criteria should be considered.
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