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Abstract

In the last few years, transition metal-mediated reactions have joined the toolbox of chemists working in the field of fluorination for Life-Science oriented research. The successful execution of transition metal-catalyzed carbon–fluorine bond formation has become a landmark achievement in fluorine chemistry. This rapidly growing research field has been the subject of some excellent reviews. Our approach focuses exclusively on transition metal-catalyzed reactions that allow the introduction of –CFH₂, –CF₂H, –CₙF₂ₙ₊₁ and –SCF₃ groups onto sp² carbon atoms. Transformations are discussed according to the reaction-type and the metal employed. The review will not extend to conventional non-transition metal methods to these fluorinated groups.

Review

Introduction

The incorporation of fluorine or fluorinated moieties into organic compounds plays a key role in Life-Science oriented research as often-profound changes of the physico-chemical and biological properties can be observed [1-6]. As a consequence, organofluorine chemistry has become an integral part of pharmaceutical [6-16] and agrochemical research [16-20]. About 20% of all pharmaceuticals and roughly 40% of agrochemicals are fluorinated. Perfluoroalkyl substituents are particularly interesting as they often lead to a significant increase in lipophilicity and thus bioavailability albeit with a modified
stability. Therefore, it is of continual interest to develop new, environmentally benign methods for the introduction of these groups into target molecules. Recent years have witnessed exciting developments in mild catalytic fluorination techniques. In contrast to carbon–carbon, carbon–oxygen and carbon–nitrogen bond formations, catalytic carbon–fluorine bond formation remained an unsolved challenge, mainly due to the high electronegativity of fluorine, its hydration and thus reduced nucleophilicity [21]. The importance of this developing research field is reflected by the various review articles which have been published dealing with transition metal mediated or catalyzed fluorination [22-24], difluoromethylation [24], and trifluoromethylation reactions [22-28].

The present review focuses on fundamental achievements in the field of transition metal-catalyzed mono-, di- and trifluoromethylation as well as trifluoromethylthiolation of sp² carbon atoms. We present the different developments according to the reaction-type and the nature of the transition metal.

1 Catalytic monofluoromethylation

Monofluoromethylated aromatics find application in various pharmaceutical [29-32] and agrochemical products [18]. Although numerous methods for the catalytic introduction of a trifluoromethyl group onto aryl moieties have been reported in the literature [27,33-41], the incorporation of partially fluorinated methyl groups is still underdeveloped [42,43]. In most cases transition metals have to be employed in stoichiometric amounts.

1.1 Palladium catalysis

The first monofluoromethylation was reported by M. Suzuki (Scheme 1) [44]. Fluoromethyl iodide was reacted with pinacol phenylboronate (40 equiv) affording the coupling product in low yield (47%).

The Pd-catalyzed α-arylation of α-fluorocarbonyl compounds affording various quaternary α-aryl-α-fluorocarbonyl derivatives has been reported by J. F. Hartwig [45], J. M. Shreeve [46] and further investigated and generalized to both open-chain and cyclic α-fluoroketones by F. L. Qing [47,48]. However, further decarboxylation to the monofluoromethyl group proved difficult.

1.2 Copper catalysis

Recently a copper-catalyzed monofluoromethylation was described by J. Hu. Aryl iodides were submitted to a Cu-catalyzed (CuTC = copper thiophene-2-carboxylate) debenzylation fluoroalkylation with 2-PySO₂CHFCOR followed by desulfonylation (Scheme 2) [49]. It has been shown that the (2-pyridyl)sulfonyl moiety is important for the Cu-catalysis.

2 Catalytic difluoromethylation

The synthesis of difluoromethylated aromatics attracted considerable interest in recent years due to their potential pharmaceutical and agrochemical activity [42,50-56].

2.1 Copper catalysis

In contrast to widely used stoichiometric copper-mediated trifluoromethylations and the recent results of the Cu-catalyzed reaction described above, that of difluoromethylation has been more slowly developed. This is probably due to the lack of thermal stability of CuCHF₂ [42]. To the best of our knowledge, the direct cross-coupling of CuCHF₂ with aromatic halides has not been reported. H. Amii reported on the reaction of aryl iodides with α-silyldifluoroacetates in the presence of a catalytic amount of CuI (Scheme 3). The corresponding aryldifluoroacetates have been obtained in moderate to good yields and afforded, after subsequent hydrolysis of the aryldifluoroacetates and KF-promoted decarboxylation, a variety of difluoromethyl aromatics [57]. Unlike previous protocols where an excess of copper is required, this approach presents some advantages such as: (i) stability and availability of the required 2-silyl-2,2-difluoroacetates from trifluoroacetates or chlorodifluoroacetates [58-60]; (ii) high functional group tolerance as the reactions proceed smoothly under mild conditions; and (iii) the reaction being catalytic in copper.

J. Hu described the Lewis acid (CuF₂·2H₂O) catalyzed vinylic C–CHF₂ bond formation of α,β-unsaturated carboxylic acids through decarboxylative fluoroalkylation (Table 1) [61]. A wide
Scheme 2: Cu-catalyzed monofluoromethylation with 2-PySO₂CHFCOR followed by desulfonylation [49].

Scheme 3: Cu-catalyzed difluoromethylation with α-silyldifluoroacetates [57].

range of α,β-unsaturated carboxylic acids afforded the corresponding difluoromethylated alkenes in high yields and with excellent E/Z selectivity.

The putative mechanism for this copper-catalyzed decarboxylative fluoro-alkylation involves the iodine–oxygen bond cleavage of Togni’s reagent in presence of the copper catalyst to produce a highly electrophilic species (intermediate A). Then, the acrylate derivative coordinates to the iodonium salt A leading to intermediate B with generation of hydrogen fluoride, followed by an intramolecular reaction between the double bond and the iodonium ion to provide intermediate C. The presence of HF in the reaction medium promotes the decarboxylation step in intermediate C, and subsequent reductive elimination leads to the formation of the thermodynamically stable E-alkene. Finally, protonation of intermediate E regenerates the copper catalyst, thus allowing the catalytic turnover (Figure 1).

2.2 Iron catalysis
Similarly to the work of J. Hu and colleagues using copper catalysis, the group of Z.-Q. Liu reported on the decarboxylative difluoromethylation of α,β-unsaturated carboxylic acids. However, the latter used iron(II) sulfate as catalyst and zinc bis(difluoromethanesulfinate) as the fluoroalkyl transfer...
A handful of β-difluoromethylstyrenes were obtained in moderate yields and with complete diastereoselectivity (Scheme 4) [62].

### 3 Catalytic perfluoroalkylation

The transition metal mediated trifluoromethylation of aromatic compounds has been extensively reviewed in recent years by

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| PhSO₂F₂C–CF₂SO₂Ph | 70 | OMe | 88 | Et | 86 |
| OMe | 90 | CF₂SO₂Ph | 91 |
| CF₂SO₂Ph | 86 | Cl | 87 | Br | 86 |
| F | 82 | NO₂ | 82 | Br | 60 |
| CF₂SO₂Ph | 60 | MeO | 90 | OMe | 84 |
| CF₂SO₂Ph | 84 | MeO | 73 | Ph | 70 |

Table 1: Cu-catalyzed C–CH₂ bond formation of α,β-unsaturated carboxylic acids through decarboxylative fluoroalkylation [61].
Table 1: Cu-catalyzed C–CHF$_2$ bond formation of $\alpha$,$\beta$-unsaturated carboxylic acids through decarboxylative fluoroalkylation [61]. (continued)

| Cu-catalyzed C–CHF$_2$ bond formation of $\alpha$,$\beta$-unsaturated carboxylic acids through decarboxylative fluoroalkylation [61]. |
|---|
| CF$_2$SO$_2$Ph |
| 65 |
| CF$_2$SO$_2$Ph |
| 63 |

Figure 1: Mechanism of the Cu-catalyzed C–CHF$_2$ bond formation of $\alpha$,$\beta$-unsaturated carboxylic acids through decarboxylative fluoroalkylation [61].

several authors [23-28,63,64]. Nevertheless, aromatic trifluoromethylations catalytic in metal are still rare. This section reviews recent advances in this area and classifies the reactions according to metal type and reaction mechanism. One can identify two major approaches, trifluoromethylation via cross-coupling reactions or the more recent C–H functionalization.

3.1 Palladium catalysis

3.1.1 Trifluoromethylation of Csp$_2$–X bonds (X = halogen or sulfonate) by means of a nucleophilic CF$_3$-source. The first Pd-catalyzed aromatic trifluoromethylation of aryl chlorides with a nucleophilic source of CF$_3$ has been reported in 2010 by S. L. Buchwald et al. (Table 2) [38]. An excess of expensive (trifluoromethyl)triethylsilane (TESCF$_3$) in combination with potassium fluoride was used to provide the expected trifluoromethylated arenes in good yields, and a variety of functional groups is tolerated under the mild conditions of the process. The reaction with aryl bromides or triflates is less efficient. The success of this Pd-catalyzed trifluoromethylation is due to highly hindered phosphorus ligands like BrettPhos, which facilitate the reductive elimination step. However, the phosphine was changed for the less bulky ligand RuPhos for the reaction with ortho-substituted aryl chlorides. The authors presume a Pd(0)/Pd(II) catalytic cycle, which is supported by preliminary mechanistic studies.

In 2011, B. S. Samant and G. W. Kabalka developed improved conditions for the trifluoromethylation of aryl halides by carrying out the reaction in sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and toluene, and by using TMSCF$_3$ as a cheaper trifluoro-
Scheme 4: Fe-catalyzed decarboxylative difluoromethylation of cinnamic acids [62].

Table 2: Pd-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl and heteroaryl chlorides [38].
methylating agent [65]. The reverse micelles appear to prevent the decomposition of TMSCF₃ and provide an effective reaction site for oxidative addition of Ar–X and the Pd(0) catalyst, increasing the yields and allowing the use of aryl bromides as starting materials (Table 3). Free alcohols and amines are compatible with the reaction conditions, which was not the case with S. L. Buchwald’s methodology.

For the metal-catalyzed perfluoroalkylation of sp² carbons, vinyl sulfonates represent valuable alternative coupling part-

Table 2: Pd-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl and heteroaryl chlorides [38]. (continued)

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| A        | 76        | A        | 84        |
| B        | 72        | B        | 87        |
| B        | 72        | B        | 88        |
| B        | 84        | B        | 84        |
| C        | 90        | C        | 77        |
| C        | 87        | C        | 78        |

Table 3: Pd-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of bromoaromatic compounds in micellar conditions [65].

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Me       | 77        | OH       | 70        | CF₃      | 74        |
| O₂N      | 68        | HO       | 71        | OH       | 70        |
| HO       | 72        | H₂N      | 80        | CF₃      |           |
3.1.2 Trifluoromethylation by means of C–H activation and an electrophilic CF$_3$-source. In 2010, J.-Q. Yu and coworkers reported on the first Pd-catalyzed trifluoromethylation at C–H positions in aromatic compounds (Table 5) [67]. Pd(OAc)$_2$ (10 mol %) was used as the catalyst, and Umemoto’s sulfonium tetrafluoroborate salt as the CF$_3$ source rather than its triflate.

Table 4: Pd-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of vinyl sulfonates [66].

| Compound          | X = OTf | Yield (%) | Compound          | X = OTf | Yield (%) |
|-------------------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|
|                  |         |           | R = CF$_3$         | OTf     | 83        |
| Ph                 | OTf     | 81        |
|                  |         | OTf       | t-BuCF$_3$        | OTf     | 81        |
| Me                 | OTf     | 62        |
|                  |         | OTf       | t-BuN                  | OTf     | 53        |
|                  |         | OTf       | 84                |
|                  |         | OTf       | 74$^a$            |
|                  |         | OTf       | 36$^a$            |
|                  |         | OTf       | 71$^a$            |
|                  |         | ONf       | 73$^a$            |
|                  |         | ONf       | 51                |

$^a$[allyl]PdCl$_2$ was used instead of Pd(dbac)$_2$.
Table 5: Pd-catalyzed C–H trifluoromethylation employing Umemoto’s sulfonium tetrafluoroborate salt [67].

| Product | Yield (%)<sup>a</sup> | Product | Yield (%)<sup>a</sup> |
|---------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|
| ![Image](image1.png) | 86                     | ![Image](image2.png) | 0<sup>c</sup>         |
| ![Image](image3.png) | 82                     | ![Image](image4.png) | 88                     |
| ![Image](image5.png) | 84                     | ![Image](image6.png) | 75<sup>c</sup>         |
| ![Image](image7.png) | 83                     | ![Image](image8.png) | 75<sup>c</sup>         |
| ![Image](image9.png) | 83                     | ![Image](image10.png) | 58<sup>c</sup>         |
| ![Image](image11.png) | 78                     | ![Image](image12.png) | 53<sup>c</sup>         |
| ![Image](image13.png) | 75<sup>c</sup>         | ![Image](image14.png) | 74                     |
| ![Image](image15.png) | 72<sup>c</sup>         | ![Image](image16.png) | 82<sup>b</sup>         |
| ![Image](image17.png) | 74<sup>b</sup>         | ![Image](image18.png) | 88                     |

<sup>a</sup>Yields for isolated compounds. 15 mol % of Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> were used. 20 mol % of Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> were used.

analogue. Trifluoroacetic acid and copper(II) acetate as additives proved essential for achieving high yields of the desired trifluoromethylated arenes. 2-Arylpyridines, but also other aryl-substituted heteroarenes were successfully trifluoromethylated with complete regioselectivity in the position ortho to the aryl–heteroaryl bond, with moderate to high yields in most cases. Obviously, the heteroaryl group served as a directing group in this transformation. Interestingly, all isomers of
2-tolylpyridine were trifluoromethylated with highest yields; while in the case of chloro or methoxy groups, the efficiency of the reaction was dependent on the position of the substituent relative to the heteroaryl group. Notably, the chloro-substituted substrates required higher catalyst loadings for sufficient conversion. The authors also note that keto, ester and nitro substituents led to poor yields. The mechanism of this transformation and the role of the additives have not been elucidated yet.

The group of J.-Q. Yu further studied this reaction by adapting it to secondary N-arylbenzamides as more versatile substrates than arylpyridines [68]. In comparison with the previous reaction conditions, two equivalents of Cu(OAc)$_2$ had to be used instead of one, and N-methylformamide as an additive appeared essential. On the other hand, the counteranion of sulfonium in Umemoto’s reagent had no influence on the reaction. Variously substituted arenes underwent trifluoromethylation with moderate to excellent yields (Table 6). Interestingly, bromo-, chloro- or ester-substituted substrates were also converted, allowing further derivatization. As a preliminary investigation on the mechanism of the reaction, the authors prepared an analogue of the palladacyclic intermediate supposed to be involved in the first stages of the catalytic cycle and submitted it to the reaction conditions, in the presence or not of the amide additive and of Cu(OAc)$_2$ (Scheme 5). These results confirmed the indispensable involvement of these additives in the mechanism.

A complementary study by Z.-J. Shi and coworkers investigated the trifluoromethylation of acetanilides also using palladium(II) and copper(II) acetates as catalyst and additive respectively, with Umemoto’s reagent [69]. Pivalic acid (vs TFA in the case of J.-Q. Yu et al.) as an additive gave the best results. Diversely functionalized substrates were converted to the corresponding benzotri fluorides with up to 83% yield (Table 7). Striking features of the reaction were the ability to use alkoxy-carbonyl-, benzoyl, acetyl- and acetoxy-substituted acetanilides, and, above all, halogenated arenes including fluoro-, chloro-, bromo- and iodoacetanilides, rendering further functionalization possible. However, the presence of a methoxy or trifluoromethoxy group meta to the directing group shut down the reaction completely. Other directing groups were investigated. When hydrogen was replaced by methyl on nitrogen in the starting acetanilide, no reaction occurred; on the other hand, N-pivaloyl- and N-benzoylanilines were trifluoromethylated, albeit with lower yields than acetanilide. From the study of kinetic isotope effects in several experiments as well as of a Pd-insertion complex similarly to the work of J.-Q. Yu et al., the authors proposed a Pd(II)/Pd(IV) catalytic cycle starting with C–H activation of the substrate followed by oxidation of the complex with Umemoto’s reagent and completed by reductive elimination of the desired benzotri fluoride (Figure 2).

3.1.3 Perfluoroalkylation by means of C–H activation and a perfluoroalkyl radical-source. In contrast to the studies described above, the group of M. S. Sanford has developed a Pd-catalyzed perfluoroalkylation of arenes in the absence of directing groups [70]. Perfluoroalkyl iodides were used as the source of the fluorinated alkyl group. Under the optimized reaction conditions, a mixture of the iodide, 5 mol % Pd$_2$dba$_3$, 20 mol % BINAP, cesium carbonate (2 equiv) and the arene (large excess) were heated under air in the absence of a cosolvent (Table 8). Benzene, naphthalene and several disubstituted benzenes were successfully transformed with 39–99% NMR yields and 27–76% isolated yields (relative to the starting per-
Table 6: Extension of Yu’s C–H trifluoromethylation to N-arylbenzamides [68].

| Product | Yield (%)<sup>a</sup> | Product | Yield (%)<sup>a</sup> |
|---------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|
| ![Chemical Structure](image1) | 79 | ![Chemical Structure](image2) | 77 |
| ![Chemical Structure](image3) | ![Chemical Structure](image4) | ![Chemical Structure](image5) | ![Chemical Structure](image6) |
| ![Chemical Structure](image7) | ![Chemical Structure](image8) | ![Chemical Structure](image9) | ![Chemical Structure](image10) |
| ![Chemical Structure](image11) | ![Chemical Structure](image12) | ![Chemical Structure](image13) | ![Chemical Structure](image14) |
| ![Chemical Structure](image15) | ![Chemical Structure](image16) | ![Chemical Structure](image17) | ![Chemical Structure](image18) |
| ![Chemical Structure](image19) | ![Chemical Structure](image20) | ![Chemical Structure](image21) | ![Chemical Structure](image22) |
| ![Chemical Structure](image23) | ![Chemical Structure](image24) | ![Chemical Structure](image25) | ![Chemical Structure](image26) |
| ![Chemical Structure](image27) | ![Chemical Structure](image28) | ![Chemical Structure](image29) | ![Chemical Structure](image30) |

<sup>a</sup>Yields for isolated compounds. <sup>b</sup>2 equiv of Umemoto’s reagent were used for 48 h. <sup>9</sup>Indicates the initial CF<sub>3</sub> substituent present in the substrate.

fluoroalkyl iodide). N-Methylpyrrole was also perfluoroalkylated in high yield. The reaction proved very selective in several aspects, since 1,2- and 1,3-disubstituted benzenes were all preferentially functionalized at the 4-position; aryl C–H positions were perfluoroalkylated but not benzylic positions; and only the 2-position in N-methylpyrrole was functionalized. A tentative mechanism was proposed, based on the literature on each of the assumed steps of the catalytic cycle (Figure 3). After oxidative
addition of the perfluoroalkyl iodide onto palladium(0), the iodide ligand is replaced by aryl by C–H activation, and a reductive elimination of the desired product liberates the palladium catalyst. Experiments carried out by the authors were inconsistent with an alternative purely free radical pathway, but could not rule out caged and/or “Pd-associated” radical intermediates.

Another study by Y. H. Budnikova et al. described the electrochemical perfluoroalkylation of 2-phenylpyridine in the presence of palladium(II) catalysts (10 mol %) and starting either from 6H-perfluorohexyl bromide or perfluorohexanoic acid [71]. Interestingly, the latter reagent provided the highest yields, and the reaction appeared to proceed through an intermediate biaryl perfluoroalkylcarboxylate, which extrudes CO₂ to yield...
Figure 2: Plausible catalytic cycle proposed by Z.-J. Shi et al. for the trifluoromethylation of acetanilides [69].

Table 8: Sanford's Pd-catalyzed perfluoroalkylation at a C–H position of (hetero)arenes in the absence of directing groups [70].

| Product (isomer ratio) | Temp., Time | NMR (and isolated) yields (%) | Product (isomer ratio) | Temp., Time | NMR (and isolated) yields (%) |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| CF₃                    | 100 °C, 15 h| 26ᵃ                          | C₁₀F₂₁                 | 100 °C, 15 h| 76 (54)                       |
| (---)                  |             |                               | (2.2:1:0)              |             |                               |
| C₇F₁₃                  | 80 °C, 15 h | 81ᵃ                          | C₁₀F₂₁                 | 60 °C, 24 h | 77 (55)                       |
| (---)                  |             |                               | (2.2:1:0)              |             |                               |
| C₁₀F₂₁                 | 80 °C, 15 h | 79 (60)                       | C₁₀F₂₁                 | 60 °C, 24 h | 52 (52)                       |
| (---)                  |             |                               | (---)                  |             |                               |
| MeO                    | 80 °C, 15 h | 79 (76)                       | C₁₀F₂₁                 | 100 °C, 15 h| 39 (27)                       |
| MeO (20:1)             |             |                               | Cl⁻                   |             |                               |
| (17:1:2)               |             |                               | (20:1)                 |             |                               |
| MeO                    | 100 °C, 15 h| 99 (69)                       | C₁₀F₂₁                 | 100 °C, 15 h| 76 (34)                       |
| MeO (40:1)             |             |                               | (40:1)                 |             |                               |
| MeO                    | 100 °C, 15 h| 84 (59)                       | C₁₀F₂₁                 | 40 °C, 15 h | 99 (70)                       |
| (---)                  |             |                               | (20:1)                 |             |                               |
3.1.4 Trifluoromethylation by means of presumed C–H activation and a nucleophilic CF₃-source. A single study on palladium-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of sp²-C–H bonds was reported by G. Liu and coworkers [72]. It described the introduction of a CF₃ group at the 2-position of indoles using palladium acetate as a catalyst and the Ruppert–Prakash reagent TMSCF₃. A screening of reaction conditions showed that cesium fluoride proved the best base. PhI(OAc)₂ was the preferred oxidant over other hypervalent iodine compounds or sources of F⁺ or CF₃⁺; additionally, the presence of a bis(oxazoline) as a ligand was beneficial to the reaction, as well as that of TEMPO to prevent trifluoromethylation of the benzene ring as a side reaction. With these optimized reaction conditions, a series of indoles was successfully trifluoromethylated (Table 10). The nature of the substituent on nitrogen had a strong influence on yields. Alkyl or alkyl-derived groups as well as phenyl gave moderate to good results, but N-tosyl or N-H gave almost no desired product, if any. Indoles bearing substituents at the 2 or 3 position were ineffective. The yield of trifluoromethylated indoles is included in Table 10.

Table 9: Pd-catalyzed electrochemical perfluoroalkylation of 2-phenylpyridine [71].

| Perfluoroalkyl source     | Pd(II) catalyst | Yield (%) | Pd(II) catalyst | Yield (%) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| H(CF₂)₃Br                 | Pd(OAc)₂        | 10        | Pd(II) (o-C₆H₄Py)₂(OAc)₂ | ≤18        |
|                           |                 |           |                 |           |
| C₆F₁₃CO₂H                 |                 | ≤18       |                 | 81        |

Figure 3: Plausible catalytic cycle proposed by M. S. Sanford et al. for the perfluoroalkylation of simple arenes using perfluoroalkyl iodides [70].

Table 8: Sanford’s Pd-catalyzed perfluoroalkylation at a C–H position of (hetero)arenes in the absence of directing groups [70]. (continued)

| Compounds | Yield (%) | Reaction Conditions |
|-----------|-----------|---------------------|
| iPrO      | 80(69)    | 80 °C, 15 h         |

aGC yield (%).
positions were suitable substrates for respective 3- or 2-functionalization, although an ester group in position 3 led to a lower yield; a “naked” indole ring could be trifluoromethylated in a 39% yield. Electron-donating or -withdrawing groups on the benzo moiety were tolerated, and in particular, the presence of a halogen atom in position 5 gave yields almost as high as in the case of the unsubstituted analogue. By comparing the activities in the case of substrates bearing electron-donating and -releasing groups at the 5-position, and considering the regioselective 3-functionalization of N-methylindole, the authors proposed the following catalytic cycle: 1) electrophilic palladation of indole, 2) oxidation of the resulting Pd(II) species by the combination of the hypervalent iodine reagent and TMSCF$_3$ to give a CF$_3$-Pd(IV) intermediate, and 3) reductive elimination leading to the desired trifluoromethylindole.

### 3.2 Copper catalysis

#### 3.2.1 Trifluoromethylation of Csp$^2$–X bonds (X = halogen) by means of a nucleophilic CF$_3$-source.

In 2009, H. Amii et al. reported on the first general copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl iodides with TESCF$_3$ in presence of potassium fluoride [33]. After activation of the fluoroalkylsilane by the fluoride, the trifluoromethyl anion is generated and leads to the formation of the CF$_3$Cu species. Then, σ-bond metathesis between Ar–I and CF$_3$–Cu yields trifluoromethylated arenes with regeneration of CuI. To perform the reaction catalytically, the use of a diamine ligand was necessary to enhance the electron density at the metal center, thus increasing the rate of σ-bond metathesis. In this way, the copper catalyst is regenerated faster and avoids in situ decomposition of the CF$_3^-$ species. Heteroaromatic iodides and iodobenzenes bearing electron-withdrawing groups participated smoothly in cross-coupling reactions with good yields (Table 11).

Later, modified conditions were proposed by Z. Q. Weng et al. where N,N'-dimethylethylenediamine (DMEDA) and AgF were used instead of 1,10-phenanthroline and KF respectively [73]. In addition to activating the silyl group of the trifluoro-
methylating agent, the silver salt also acts as a stabilizer for the CF$_3^-$ species and prevents its self-decomposition (Figure 4). As a result, the more economical TMSCF$_3$ can be employed, and good yields were observed for both electron-rich and electron-poor aryl iodides in this cooperative silver-assisted copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylation (Table 12).

Even if the pioneering work of H. Amii and Z. Q. Weng resulted in the development of reliable and robust catalytic systems, they suffer from the lack of accessibility to inexpensive, stable and easy-to-handle reagents that could be used as convenient CF$_3$ sources for nucleophilic trifluoromethylations. The group of L. J. Gooßen et al. was the first to propose a new crystalline, air-stable (trifluoromethyl)trimethoxyborate as an alternative to Ruppert’s reagent [74]. This innovative reagent is readily accessible by reaction of TMSCF$_3$ with B(OMe)$_3$ and KF in THF, and allows the conversion of a broad scope of aryl iodides in high yields without the need for basic additives (Table 13).

Hemiaminals of trifluoroacetaldehyde are also considered to be convenient sources of trifluoromethyl anion [75]. H. Amii et al. reported on the use of an O-silylated hemiaminal as a cross-coupling partner for aromatic trifluoromethylation with a copper iodide/1,10-phenanthroline catalytic system [76]. Compound B was prepared from commercially available hemiacetal of fluoral and morpholine, following the procedure described by B. R. Langlois et al. [77]. Moderate to good yields were observed when the reaction was carried out in diglyme with cesium fluoride as a base (Table 14).

More recently, compounds derived from trifluoroacetic acid appeared to be a cheap and readily available nucleophilic trifluoromethyl source after decarboxylation at high temperature in the presence of stoichiometric amounts of copper salts [78,79]. In 2011, Y. M. Li et al. showed that the Cu-catalyzed C–CF$_3$ bond formation of iodoarenes could be achieved by using a sodium salt of trifluoroacetic acid as the source of CF$_3^-$ [80]. Ag$_2$O was chosen as an additive to promote the decar-

### Table 11: The first Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl iodides [33].

| Compound | Yield (%)$^a$ | Compound | Yield (%)$^a$ | Compound | Yield (%)$^a$ |
|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|
| O$_2$N   | 90           | O$_2$N   | 90           | NC       | 80           |
| EtO$_2$C | 89           | Cl       | 63           | n-Bu     | 44           |
| Cl       | 69           | N        | 99           | Me$^{$        | 63           |

$^a$NMR yield calculated by $^{19}$F NMR by using 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol as an internal standard.
**Table 12:** Cooperative effect of silver for the copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl iodides [73].

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| ![Image](Compound1) | 75<sup>b</sup> | ![Image](Compound2) | 89 | ![Image](Compound3) | 98<sup>b</sup> |
| ![Image](Compound4) | 64 | ![Image](Compound5) | 73 | ![Image](Compound6) | 59 |
| ![Image](Compound7) | 47 | ![Image](Compound8) | 66 | ![Image](Compound9) | 61 |
| ![Image](Compound10) | 70<sup>b</sup> | | | | |

<sup>a</sup>NMR yield calculated by <sup>19</sup>F NMR by using hexafluorobenzene as an internal standard. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield.

**Table 13:** Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of (hetero)aryl iodides with (trifluoromethyl)trimethoxyborate [74].

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| ![Image](Compound11) | 77 | ![Image](Compound12) | 83 | ![Image](Compound13) | 91 |
| ![Image](Compound14) | 74 | ![Image](Compound15) | 92 | ![Image](Compound16) | 70 |
| ![Image](Compound17) | 59 | ![Image](Compound18) | 91 | ![Image](Compound19) | 97 |
| ![Image](Compound20) | 81 | ![Image](Compound21) | 95 | ![Image](Compound22) | 76 |
Boxylation, and to accelerate the reductive elimination step by precipitation of AgI. To circumvent the use of moisture-sensitive sodium trifluoroacetate, M. Beller et al. employed a combination of methyl trifluoroacetate (MTFA) and cesium fluoride to generate the trifluoroacetate anion which decarboxylated under the reaction conditions (Figure 5). In most cases, the

### Table 13: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of (hetero)aryl iodides with (trifluoromethyl)trimethoxyborate [74]. (continued)

| Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|
| \( \text{Br} \) | 93 |
| \( \text{Br} \) | 82 |
| \( \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \) | 96 |
| \( \text{Me}_2\text{N} \) | 52 |

| Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|
| \( \text{Cl} \) | 75 |
| \( \text{S} \) | 85 |
| \( \text{O} \) | 95 |
| \( \text{O} \) | 84 |

### Table 14: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of (hetero)aryl iodides with O-silylated hemiaminal of fluoral [76].

![Chemical reaction diagram](image_url)

| Compound | Yield (%)<sup>a</sup> | Compound | Yield (%)<sup>a</sup> | Compound | Yield (%)<sup>a</sup> |
|----------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|
| \( \text{O}_2\text{N} \) | 77 | \( \text{O}_2\text{N} \) | 90 | \( \text{NO}_2 \) | 47 |
| \( \text{NC} \) | 93 | \( \text{EtO}_2\text{C} \) | 60 | \( \text{Cl} \) | 97 |
| \( \text{Br} \) | 53 | \( \text{Ph} \) | 53 | \( \text{n-But} \) | 40 |
| \( \text{EtO} \) | 57 | \( \text{HO} \) | 44 | \( \text{MeS} \) | 97 |
| \( \text{CF}_3 \) | 95 | \( \text{CF}_3 \) | 75 | \( \text{CF}_3 \) | 75 |

<sup>a</sup>NMR yield calculated by \(^{19}\text{F} \) NMR by using trifluoromethoxybenzene as an internal standard.
system does not necessitate the use of amine ligands excepted when aryl bromides are used instead of aryl iodides [81]. Aryl and heteroaryl products were formed in good to excellent yields with a good functional group tolerance (Table 15).

3.2.2 Trifluoromethylation of Csp²–H bonds by means of an electrophilic CF₃-source. In this section, the studies that are highlighted are distinguished by the nature of the substrates that are submitted to trifluoromethylation; indeed, all of them used

![Figure 5: Postulated reaction mechanism for Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation reaction using MTFA as trifluoromethylating agent [81].](image)

**Table 15: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of (hetero)aryl iodides and aryl bromides with methyl trifluoroacetate [81].**

| Compound | X = I | Yield (%)<sup>a</sup> | Compound | X = I | Yield (%)<sup>a</sup> |
|----------|------|----------------|----------|------|----------------|
| [6]       |      | 84           | [6]       |      | 93           |
| [6]       | Br   | 60<sup>b,c</sup> | [6]       | Br   | 61<sup>b,d</sup> |
| [6]       |      | 84           | [6]       |      | 88           |
| [6]       | Br   | 66<sup>b,d</sup> | [6]       |      | 47           |
| [6]       | Br   | 62<sup>b,c</sup> | [6]       |      | 78           |
| [6]       |       | 84<sup>b,d</sup> | [6]       |      | 69           |
| [6]       |      | 66           | [6]       |      | 92           |
| [6]       |      | 91           | [6]       |      | 80           |
| [6]       | Br   | 50<sup>b</sup> | [6]       | Br   | 95<sup>c</sup> |

<sup>a</sup>NMR yield calculated by GC using tetradecane as an internal standard, <sup>b</sup>20 mol % of 1,10-phenanthroline were added, <sup>c</sup>CsF replaced by CsTFA, <sup>d</sup>CsF replaced by CsCl.
M. Sodeoka and coworkers reported on the trifluoromethylation of indoles with Togni’s hypervalent iodine reagent in the presence of catalytic copper(II) acetate [82]. No additives were necessary, and this simple procedure allowed for the functionalization of various N–H as well as variously N-protected indoles with almost complete selectivity for the 2-position, even in the case of “naked” indoles (Table 16).

The same group also reported on two examples of Heck-type copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of vinyl(het)arenes at the terminal carbon [83]. The reaction actually proceeded by oxytrifluoromethylation of the vinyl group, followed by elimination of the oxygen-leaving group in the presence of p-toluenesulfonic acid (Scheme 6).

Similarly to the Pd-catalyzed C–H trifluoromethylation of acetanilides by Z.-J. Shi et al., a copper-catalyzed process was developed by C. Chen and C. Xi and colleagues for the func-

---

**Table 16: Sodeoka’s trifluoromethylation of indoles with Togni’s hypervalent iodine reagent [82].**

| Product | Isolated yield (%) (Time) | Yield based on recovered starting material (%) |
|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| ![Indole Structure](image) | Me | 79 (6 h) | 95 |
| | CO₂Me | 28 (24 h) | 58 |
| ![Indole Structure](image) | OMe | 72 (18 h) | 88 |
| | Br | 74 (24 h) | 90 |
| ![Indole Structure](image) | CO₂Me | 72 (24 h) | 79 |
| | NHBoc | 68 (24 h) | 76 |
| | NHAc | 79 (24 h) | 93 |
| ![Indole Structure](image) | 48 (24 h) | 86 |
| ![Indole Structure](image) | Me | 90 (6 h) | 95 |
| | Bn | 67 (18) | 85 |
| | Ac | 5 (24) | 16 |
| | Boc | 39 (24) | 60 |
| ![Indole Structure](image) | Me | 58 (6 h) | 62 |
| | Bn | 58 (6 h) | 76 |

*Reaction carried out at 50 °C.*
Scheme 6: Formal Heck-type trifluoromethylation of vinyl(het)arenes by M. Sodeoka et al. [83].

Table 17: Cu-catalyzed C–H functionalization of pivanilides [84].

| Product    | Temp. (°C) | Conversion (%) | Isolated yield (%) (NMR yield (%)) |
|------------|------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| H          | 30         | 93             | 65 (67)                           |
| Me         | 60         | 85             | 69 (70)                           |
| iPr        | 90         | 65             | 55 (60)                           |
| OMe        | 60         | 77             | 63 (67)                           |
| F          | 90         | 46             | 42 (46)                           |
| Cl          | 90         | 45             | 32 (42)                           |
| Br          | 90         | 55             | 49 (53)                           |
| CO₂Et¹     | 120        | 40             | 30 (35)                           |
| R          |            |                |                                   |
| MeO        |            |                |                                   |
| NH-Piv     |            |                |                                   |
| R           |            |                |                                   |
| MeO        |            |                |                                   |
| NH-Piv     |            |                |                                   |
| R           |            |                |                                   |

various N-aryl and N-hetarylpivalamides were successfully converted under a nitrogen atmosphere, with introduction of the CF₃ group predominantly ortho to the amide function (Table 17). Unlike the Pd-catalyzed reaction, this copper-catalyzed variant leads to a mixture of ortho-, meta- and para-
Table 17: Cu-catalyzed C–H functionalization of pivanilides [84]. (continued)

| Structure                  | Yield | Isolated Yield | Reaction Time |
|---------------------------|-------|----------------|---------------|
| ![](image1.png)           | 60    | 60             | 54 (58)       |
| ![](image2.png)           | 100   | ---            | 51 (---)      |
| ![](image3.png)           | 100   | ---            | 86 (---)      |
| ![](image4.png)           | 100   | ---            | 52 (---)      |

*aReaction time: 36 h. bThe isomer bearing CF<sub>3</sub> para to the amide group was also produced in 16% isolated yield.

Figure 6: Proposed catalytic cycle for the copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of (het)arenes in presence of a pivalamido group (C. Chen, C. Xi et al.) [84].
functionalized compounds, with ortho > para > meta as the preferred order of selectivity in the case of simple pivanilide. Moreover, additional experiments in the presence of TEMPO or phenyl N-tert-butyl nitronate (PBN) resulted respectively in no reaction and observation of the adduct of the CF$_3$ radical on PBN by Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR). These findings suggest a radical pathway for the mechanism of this reaction, as proposed by the authors and depicted in Figure 6.

As demonstrated recently by D. Bouyssi, O. Baudoin and coworkers, copper proved also able to catalyze the introduction of a CF$_3$ group at the “imino” C–H bond of N,N-disubstituted (het)aryldrazones [85]. Here again, a simple system consisting of Togni’s reagent and 10 mol % of copper(I) chloride could trifluoromethylate substrates efficiently without any additive nor heating, and in a short reaction time. The substituents on the terminal nitrogen atom had a strong influence on the reaction. Two alkyl substituents on nitrogen gave far better results than a single one; benzyl as well as phenyl groups were tolerated, although giving lower yields. A broad substitution pattern on the (hetero)aryl ring was compatible with the reaction, and the “imino” C–H was selectively trifluoromethylated (Table 18). When carrying out the reaction in the presence of TEMPO, the desired reaction was almost completely shut down, while a nearly quantitative $^{19}$F NMR yield was determined for the formation of the TEMPO-CF$_3$ adduct, giving evidence for a radical mechanism (Figure 7).

Very recently, K. J. Szabó et al. [86] and Y. Zhang and J. Wang et al. [87] simultaneously published their work on the trifluoromethylation of variously functionalized quinones. Both groups

### Table 18: Baudoin’s Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of N,N-disubstituted (het)aryldrazones [85].

| Product | Yield (%)$^a$ | Product | Yield (%)$^a$ |
|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|
| NMe$_2$ | 96           | Br      | 82           |
| NBn$_2$ | 61           |        |              |
| NPh$_2$ | 30           |        |              |
| NHMe    | --$^b$       |        |              |
| 1-piperidinyl | 88   |        |              |
| 4-morpholinyl | 86   |        |              |
| CN      | 99$^c$       | Cl      | 85           |
| F       | 56$^c$       |        |              |
| OH      | 65$^d$       |        |              |
| NMe$_2$ | 56           |        |              |
| MeO$_2$C | 82         |        |              |
| t-Bu'   | 73           |        |              |
| OMe     | 85           |        |              |

$^a$ Yield determined by $^{19}$F NMR.

$^b$ No reaction observed.

$^c$ TEMPO present.

$^d$ Phenyl N-tert-butyl nitronate (PBN) present.
Table 18: Baudoin’s Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of N,N-disubstituted (het)arylhydrazones [85]. (continued)

| Compound | Yield | Ref. |
|----------|-------|------|
| ![Image](image1) | 90% | 75% |
| ![Image](image2) | 80% | 60% |
| ![Image](image3) | 68% | |

*a*Yields for isolated compounds. *b*Complex crude mixture. *c*Volatile compound (78% NMR yield). *d*CuI was used as catalyst in DCM. *e*18 h reaction time; additional CuCl (10 mol %) and Togni’s reagent (0.5 equiv) were added after 15 h (68% conversion) to complete the reaction.

Figure 7: Proposed catalytic cycle for the copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of N,N-disubstituted (hetero)arylhydrazones by D. Bouyssi, O. Baudoin et al. [85].

observed the inefficiency of Umemoto’s sulfonium reagents in this reaction, whereas Togni’s benziodoxolone reagent gave the best results. Y. Zhang, J. Wang and coworkers used 20 mol % of copper(I) iodide in a 1:1 t-BuOH/DCM solvent system at 55 °C with 2 equivalents of Togni’s reagent [87]. On the other hand, K. J Szabó et al. had to use stoichiometric amounts of copper(I) cyanide and catalytic bis(pinacolato)diboron to achieve optimal yields, but a catalytic amount of CuCN could also produce the desired trifluoromethylated products if stoichiometric potassium or tetrabutylammonium cyanide were also added to the reaction medium [86]. Both groups noticed that in the presence of TEMPO as radical scavenger, the reaction was seriously inhibited, and TEMPO-CF₃ was obtained in high yields. Y. Zhang and J. Wang et al. proposed a plausible mechanism to account for this observation [87]. The mechanism is related to those described above for pivanilides (C. Chen, C. Xi et al.) or hydrazones (D. Bouyssi, O. Baudoin et al.) (Figure 8).

3.2.3 Perfluoroalkylation of Csp²–H bonds by means of a CF₃-radical source. Clearly Togni’s electrophilic reagent is able to generate the CF₃⁺ radical in the presence of catalytic copper(I) sources. However, generation of this radical and its use in copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of sp²-C–H bonds was described much earlier by B. R. Langlois et al. [88]. In their report, N-acetylpyrrole and a series of electron-rich benzenes were functionalized in moderate yields by using sodium trifluoromethanesulfinate (Langlois’s reagent) and tert-butyl peroxide with 10 mol % of copper(II) triflate (Table 19). The supposed mechanism implies single electron transfers where t-BuOOH and Cu(OTf)₂ serve as oxidants (Figure 9).
Interestingly, Langlois’s reagent was also used recently by P. S. Baran et al. for the generation of the CF₃⁺ radical and trifluoromethylation of heteroaromatic compounds [89]. Although copper(II) sulfate (10 mol %) led to improved yields, trifluoromethylation was found to proceed in the absence of added metallic catalysts, and it is believed that traces only of metals

| Product | CH₃CN/H₂O ratio | Isolated Yield (%) | Product ratio |
|---------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|
| OH      | 1:0             | 45                 | o/m/p = 4:1:6 |
| OC₂Me   | 1:0             | 21                 |               |
| NH₂     | 1:2             | 13                 | n.p. (2 isomers) |

Table 19: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation with Langlois’s sodium trifluoromethanesulfinate as CF₃ radical source [88].
Table 19: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation with Langlois's sodium trifluoromethanesulfinate as CF₃ radical source [88]. (continued)

| Compound | m/p | TEMPO/OAc | Product Ratio | Notes |
|----------|-----|-----------|---------------|-------|
| \( \text{NHAc} \) | 1:2  | 52        | o/m/p = 4:1:2 |       |
| \( \text{Cl} \) | 1:0  | 29        | 4-CF₃/3-CF₃ = 3:1 |       |
| \( \text{OMe} \) | 1:0  | 90        | 2-CF₃/6-CF₃/2,6-(CF₃)₂/4,6-(CF₃)₂ = 23:58:4:2.5 |       |
| \( \text{Ac} \) | n.p. | 35        |               |       |

*aReaction carried out under \( \text{N}_2 \). n.p. = not precized by the authors.*

3.2.4 Trifluoromethylation of \( \text{Csp}^2-\text{H} \) bonds by means of a nucleophilic CF₃-source. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one report in the literature by L. Chu and F.-L. Qing, where catalytic copper was used in the trifluoromethylation of \( \text{sp}^2-\text{C–H} \) bonds by a nucleophilic CF₃-releasing reagent [91]. In this paper, heteroarenes or arenes bearing acidic \( \text{sp}^2-\text{C–H} \) bonds were trifluoromethylated by the Ruppert–Prakash reagent in presence of catalytic copper(II), a base and an oxidant. The reaction conditions had to be slightly customized for each class.

![Figure 9: Mechanistic rationale for the trifluoromethylation of arenes in presence of Langlois’s reagent and a copper catalyst (B. R. Langlois et al.) [88].](image-url)
of substrates. The methodology was first developed for 2-substituted 1,3,4-oxadiazoles (Cu(OAc)$_2$/1,10-phenanthroline/r-BuONa/NaOAc/air, Table 20), then extended to benzo[d]oxazoles, benzo[d]imidazoles, benzo[d]thiazoles, imidazoles and polyfluorobenzenes (same system but di-tert-butyl peroxide as oxidant instead of air, Table 21); the nature of the copper(II) salt, the base and the oxidant had to be reassessed for the reaction of indoles (Cu(OH)$_2$/1,10-phenanthroline/KF/Ag$_2$CO$_3$). Interestingly, the results obtained for indoles could be directly compared to those reported by G. Liu and coworkers for the analogous, Pd-catalyzed, TMSCF$_3$-induced trifluoromethylation of the same substrates (section 3.1.4). It appears that the Cu-based system gave generally higher yields. L. Chu and F.-L. Qing compared stoichiometric and catalytic experiments and came to the conclusion that the reaction most probably proceeded via a trifluoromethylcopper(I) species, which would activate the C–H bond of the substrate and then be oxidized to a copper(III) complex, finally releasing the trifluoromethylated product by reductive elimination (Figure 10).

### 3.2.5 Trifluoromethylation of arylboron reagents with a nucleophilic CF$_3$-source under oxidative conditions.

F.-L. Qing reported on the first Cu-catalyzed cross-coupling of aryl- and alkenylboronic acids with TMSCF$_3$ under oxidative conditions (Table 22) [34,92]. Although the detailed mechanism remains to be elucidated, the authors presume that the reaction...
Table 20: Qing’s Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of 1,3,4-oxadiazoles with the Ruppert–Prakash reagent [91].

| Product | Isolated Yield (%) |
|---------|--------------------|
| H       | 89                 |
| Me      | 83                 |
| t-Bu    | 91                 |
| OMe     | 87                 |
| CF₃     | 72                 |
| NO₂     | 43                 |
| CO₂Me   | 81                 |
| Cl      | 83                 |

Table 21: Extension of Qing’s Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation to benzo[d]oxazoles, benzo[d]imidazoles, benzo[d]thiazoles, imidazoles and polyfluorobenzenes [91].

| Product | Yield (%)<sup>a</sup> |
|---------|-----------------------|
| Me      | 88 (95<sup>b</sup>)   |
| Ph      | 58                    |
| Br      | 75                    |
| Cl      | 30<sup>b</sup>        |

| Product | Yield (%)<sup>a</sup> |
|---------|-----------------------|
| Me      | 57<sup>b</sup>        |
| (CH₂)₂CH=CH₂ | 32<sup>b</sup> | |
| H       | 81                    |
| OMe     | 83                    |
| CF₃     | 69                    |

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yields, unless otherwise noted. <sup>b</sup> Some starting material was also recovered. <sup>c</sup> 19F NMR yield using an internal standard.
proceeds via generation of CuCF$_3$ followed by transmetallation with the arylboronic acid. The diamine stabilizes the CuCF$_3$ species. This facilitates the oxidation to Cu(II) or Cu(III) species which undergo facile reductive elimination.

3.2.6 Trifluoromethylation of arylboron reagents with an electrophilic CF$_3$-source. L. Liu found that the copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl, heteroaryl, and vinylboronic acids with Umemoto’s trifluoromethyl dibenzosulfonium salt can be performed under mild conditions and with tolerance towards a variety of functional groups (Table 23) [93].

Q. Shen reported on the copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl- and alkynylboronic acids employing Togni’s hypervalent iodine reagent. The reaction proceeds in good to excellent yields affording a wide range of trifluoromethylated products (Table 24) [94].

A similar approach has been reported by K.-W. Huang and Z. Weng employing organotrifluoroborates under base free conditions (Table 25) [95].

3.2.7 Radical trifluoromethylation of arylboron reagents. In contrast to previous approaches where relatively expensive trifluoromethylsilanes are required such as Ruppert–Prakash reagent (TMSCF$_3$) or TESCF$_3$ to generate a CF$_3$-nucleophile, and S-(trifluoromethyl)thiophenium salts or Togni’s reagent to generate a CF$_3^+$-electrophile, an alternative approach has recently been reported, by different groups, where highly reactive CF$_3$ radicals are generated.

M. S. Sanford has developed a mild and general approach for the Cu-catalyzed/Ru-photocatalyzed trifluoromethylation and perfluoroalkylation of arylboronic acids [96]. The ruthenium-bipyridyl complex plays a double role in this reaction, namely the generation of the CF$_3$ radical, and the oxidation of Cu(I) to Cu(II) under photoexcitation. Both products then combine to afford a Cu(III)CF$_3$ species, which undergoes transmetallation with the arylboronic acid. Finally, reductive elimination from...
Table 23: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl, heteroaryl, and vinyl boronic acids with Umemoto's trifluoromethyl dibenzosulfonium salt [93].

\[
\text{R} + \text{B(OH)}_2 + \text{Cu(OAc)}_2 (20 \text{ mol %}) + \text{2,4,6-Me}_3\text{Py} (2 \text{ equiv}) \rightarrow \text{R-CF}_3
\]

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Ph-CF\(_3\) | 70 | MeO-CF\(_3\) | 39 | MeO-CF\(_3\) | 65 |
| HO-CF\(_3\) | 60 | CF\(_3\) | 30 | CF\(_3\) | 65 |
| MeOOC-CF\(_3\) | 57 | CF\(_3\) | 52 | CF\(_3\) | 57 |
| NC-CF\(_3\) | 70 | Cl-CF\(_3\) | 78 | CF\(_3\) | 50 |
| H\(_2\)N-CF\(_3\) | 40 | CF\(_3\) | 59 | CF\(_3\) | 62 |
| S-CF\(_3\) | 64 | N-CF\(_3\) | 54 | CF\(_3\) | 51 |
| CF\(_3\) | 65 | Ph-CF\(_3\) | 46 | CF\(_3\) | 90 |

Table 24: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl- and alkenylboronic acids employing Togni's hypervalent iodine reagent [94].

\[
\text{CF}_3 + \text{C}-\text{I} + \text{Cul (5 mol %)} + \text{1,10-phenanthroline (10 mol %)} + \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 (2 \text{ equiv}) \rightarrow \text{R-CF}_3
\]

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| CF\(_3\) | 80 | CF\(_3\) | 53 | CF\(_3\) | 90 |
Table 24: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl- and alkenylboronic acids employing Togni's hypervalent iodine reagent [94]. (continued)

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| ![Chemical Structure](image1) | 85 | ![Chemical Structure](image2) | 90 | ![Chemical Structure](image3) | 90 |
| ![Chemical Structure](image4) | 90 | ![Chemical Structure](image5) | 95 | ![Chemical Structure](image6) | 90 |
| ![Chemical Structure](image7) | 70 | ![Chemical Structure](image8) | 85 | ![Chemical Structure](image9) | 50 |
| ![Chemical Structure](image10) | 75 | ![Chemical Structure](image11) | 55 | ![Chemical Structure](image12) | 70 |
| ![Chemical Structure](image13) | 76 | ![Chemical Structure](image14) | 73 | ![Chemical Structure](image15) | 80 |

Table 25: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of organotrifluoroborates with Togni's hypervalent iodine reagent [95].

\[
\text{R} \text{BF}_3\text{K} + \text{F}_3\text{C}O + \text{Cu(TFA)}_2 (30 \text{ mol %}) \text{ bipy (30 \text{ mol %})} \rightarrow \text{R} \text{CF}_3
\]

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| ![Chemical Structure](image16) | 95 | ![Chemical Structure](image17) | 91 | ![Chemical Structure](image18) | 60 |
| ![Chemical Structure](image19) | 92 | ![Chemical Structure](image20) | 89 | ![Chemical Structure](image21) | 94 |
| ![Chemical Structure](image22) | 69 | ![Chemical Structure](image23) | 50 | ![Chemical Structure](image24) | 39 |
| ![Chemical Structure](image25) | 42 | ![Chemical Structure](image26) | 72 | ![Chemical Structure](image27) | 82 |
| ![Chemical Structure](image28) | 65 | ![Chemical Structure](image29) | 81 | ![Chemical Structure](image30) | 65 |
| ![Chemical Structure](image31) | 51 | ![Chemical Structure](image32) | 50 | ![Chemical Structure](image33) | 70 |
Cu(III)(aryl)(CF₃) affords the desired aryl-CF₃ product (Figure 11 and Table 26).

M. Beller et al. investigated the copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl and vinyl boronic acids with in situ generated CF₃-radicals using NaSO₂CF₃ (Table 27 and Table 28) [97]. The CF₃ radical is generated from the reaction of TBHP (t-BuOOH) with NaSO₂CF₃. Transmetallation of the arylboronic acid with the Cu(II) species gives an aryl copper(II) complex. Combination of the CF₃ radical with this complex

### Table 25: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of organotrifluoroborates with Togni’s hypervalent iodine reagent [95]. (continued)

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| ![Structure](image1) | 65 | ![Structure](image2) | 65 | ![Structure](image3) | 65 |

### Table 26: Sanford’s Cu-catalyzed/Ru-photocatalyzed trifluoromethylation and perfluoroalkylation of arylboronic acids [96].

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| ![Structure](image4) | 70 | ![Structure](image5) | 70 | ![Structure](image6) | 84 |
| ![Structure](image7) | 72 | ![Structure](image8) | 64 | ![Structure](image9) | 65 |
| ![Structure](image10) | 64 | ![Structure](image11) | 93 | ![Structure](image12) | 42 |

Figure 11: Mechanism of the Cu-catalyzed/Ru-photocatalyzed trifluoromethylation and perfluoroalkylation of arylboronic acids [96].
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Table 26: Sanford’s Cu-catalyzed/Ru-photocatalyzed trifluoromethylation and perfluoroalkylation of (hetero)arylboronic acids [96]. (continued)

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| CF₃      | 39        | CF₃      | 64        | OH       | 63        |
| CF₃      | 68        | MeOOC    | 68        | HO       | 64        |
| CF₃      | 64        | MeO      | 66        | CF₃      | 67        |
| CF₃      | 48        | CF₃      | 56        | S        | 54        |
| F₃C      |           |          |           | 80       |           |

Table 27: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of (hetero)arylboronic acids [97].

| Compound  | Yield (%) | Compound  | Yield (%) | Compound  | Yield (%) |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| CF₃       | 74        | MeO       | 66        | OMe      | 61        |
| CF₃       | 73        | BnO       | 69        | MeS      | 47        |
| CF₃       | 39        | TBSO      | 68        | MeO      | 53        |
| CF₃       | 60        | OMe       | 57        | CF₃      | 58        |
| CF₃       | 58        | Br        | 41        | CF₃      | 39        |
| OCF₃      | 63        | MeO       | 34        |          |           |
Table 28: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of vinylboronic acids [97].

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| MeO      | 60        | Cl       | 70        | F        | 70        |
| Ph       | 56        | F        | 67        | F_3C     | 66        |

Figure 12: Proposed mechanism for the Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl- and vinyl boronic acids with NaSO_2CF_3 [97].

affords the arylcopper(III)CF_3 intermediate (Figure 12, Path A). Reductive elimination then gives the trifluoromethylated product and a Cu(I) complex which is re-oxidized to the active Cu(II) catalyst. The authors postulate also a second mechanism in which CF_3 radicals react with the Cu(II) catalyst to give the aryl copper(III) complex. This is followed by transmetallation with the aryl- or vinylboronic acid affording the same intermediate proposed in Path A (Figure 12, Path B).

3.2.8 Trifluoromethylation of α,β-unsaturated carboxylic acids. Carboxylic acids have often been reported as convenient reactants for metal-catalyzed decarboxylative cross-coupling reactions. The methodology developed by J. Hu et al. for the difluoromethylation of α,β-unsaturated carboxylic acids (section 2.1) has also been applied for the introduction of a CF_3 moiety [61]. Togni’s reagent was used as the electrophilic source of CF_3 and reacted with 4 equivalents of the (E)-vinylcarboxylic
acid in the presence of a Lewis acid catalyst (CuF\(_2\cdot2\)H\(_2\)O). Moderate to good yields were obtained for the transformation, but a slight erosion of the configuration of the double bond was observed in some cases (Table 29). The choice of the electrophilic trifluoromethylating agent seems to be crucial as no reaction was observed with Umemoto’s reagent.

Recently, Z.-Q. Liu et al. reported on a direct formation of C–CF\(_3\) bonds by using Langlois’s reagent as a stable and inexpensive electrophilic trifluoromethyl radical source to access trifluoromethyl-substituted alkenes [62]. Cinnamic acids were reacted with sodium trifluoromethanesulfinate and a catalytic amount of copper(II) sulfate in the presence of tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP) as the radical initiator. The reaction was achieved with \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated carboxylic acids bearing electron-donating groups, as well as with heteroarene substituted acrylic acids, and the desired products were isolated in modest to good yields (Table 30). Steric effects do not appear to have an influence on the outcome of the reaction.

The radical CF\(_3\)\(^+\) is generated by the reaction of TBHP with NaSO\(_2\)CF\(_3\) and the catalytic source of Cu(II). The Cu(I)
Table 30: Cu-catalyzed decarboxylative trifluoromethylation of α,β-unsaturated carboxylic acids with sodium trifluoromethanesulfinate [62]. (continued)

| Structure | Yield (%) |
|-----------|-----------|
| Me₂N-Ph-CF₃ | 79        |
| MeO-Ph-CF₃ | 52        |
| MeO-Ph-CF₃ | 82        |
| O-Ph-CF₃   | 72        |
| S-Ph-CF₃   | 42        |
| EtO-Ph-CF₃ | 60        |
| HO-Ph-CF₃  | 64        |
| HO-Ph-CF₃  | 68        |
| HO-Ph-CF₃  | 78        |
| OMe-Ph-CF₃ | 65        |
| COOH-Ph-CF₃| 68        |
| OMe-Ph-CF₃ | 80        |

Figure 13: Possible mechanism for the Cu-catalyzed decarboxylative trifluoromethylation of cinnamic acids [62].

reduced from the former step reacts with the cinnamic acid in the presence of TBHP to afford a cupric cinnamate, which then undergoes the addition of the trifluoromethyl radical to the double bond. The CF₃-substituted alkene is finally obtained after elimination of carbon dioxide and Cu(I) (Figure 13).

3.3 Catalysis by other metals than Pd and Cu
3.3.1 Ru-catalyzed perfluoroalkylation of Csp²–H bonds.
More than two decades ago, the group of N. Kamigata pursued extensive investigations on the perfluoroalkylation of alkenes, aromatics and heteroaromatics catalyzed by Ru(II)Cl₂(PPh₃)₃ [98-104]. In the course of their initial studies [98,100] aimed at the perfluoroalkylchlorination of terminal alkenes, they noticed that the corresponding 1-perfluoroalkyl-substituted alkenes were sometimes obtained along with the desired addition products (Scheme 9).

Afterwards, N. Kamigata et al. applied this system to arenes [99] and heteroarenes (furans, pyrroles and thiophenes) [102-104] and gave a full account of this work (Scheme 9) [101]. Monosubstituted benzenes gave mixtures of the ortho-, meta- and para-isomers. The reaction was much more regioselective.
in the case of thiophenes, where 2-perfluoroalkylated products were obtained, as long as at least one of the positions α to sulfur was unsubstituted; otherwise β-functionalization occurred. The same comment is applicable to pyroles bearing a small group on nitrogen, which gave the 2-perfluoroalkylated compound as the major product. For instance, N-TMS-pyrrole afforded a global yield of 78% of the 2-functionalized product as a mixture of the silylated and hydrolized compounds. On the other hand, the reaction of N-triisopropylsilylpyrrole favoured the 3-perfluoroalkylated product over its 2-isomer, due to the steric bulk of the TIPS group. Considering the mechanism of these reactions, the authors propose a radical pathway, and more specifically a pathway where the radicals “lie in the coordination sphere of the metal”. Indeed, the present radicals led to less side-reactions – in particular, oligomerization in the case of alkenes as substrates –, which shows that they exhibit “restricted reactivity” in comparison with “that of free radicals initiated by peroxides or diazo compounds and by photolirradiation” (Figure 14) [100].

Much later, another Ru-catalysis-based methodology for the introduction of CF$_3$ groups at C–H positions of arenes and heteroarenes was developed by D. W. C. MacMillan [105]. Again, trifluoromethanesulfonyl chloride was used as the CF$_3$
radical source. The difference with the work of N. Kamigata et al. is that the reaction takes place under photoredox catalysis, allowing much milder reaction conditions (23 °C for D. W. C. MacMillan et al. vs 120 °C for N. Kamigata et al.). Higher yields were obtained, especially in the case of pyrroles (2-Rf-pyrrole: 88% yield for D. W. C. MacMillan et al. (CF3) vs 0% for N. Kamigata et al. (C6F13); 2-Rf-N-Me-pyrrole: 94% yield (CF3) vs 18% (C6F13)). A wide range of substrates was functionalized (Table 31). Interestingly, the late-stage trifluoromethylation of pharmaceutically relevant molecules was also

Table 31: Ru-catalyzed photoredox trifluoromethylation of (hetero)arenes with trifluoromethanesulfonyl chloride [105].

| Product | Yield (%) & (isomer ratio) | Product | Yield (%) & (isomer ratio) |
|---------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
|          |                             |         |                             |
| R1,R2,R3 = Me,H,Me | 73      | R1,R2,R3 = H,H,OMe | 82      |
|          | 81                          | R1,R2,R3 = Me,H,Me | 78      |
|          | 78 (3:1)                    | R1,R2,R3 = H,H,OMe | 78      |
|          | 78                          | R1,R2,R3 = H,Me,OMe | 78      |
|          |                             | R1,R2,R3 = H,Cl,Cl | 70      |
|          |                             | R1,R2,R3 = H,Me,Me | 94      |
|          |                             | R1,R2,R3 = H,Me,Me | 78      |
| R1,R2,R3 = iPr,Me,OH | 85      | R1,R2,R3 = (OMe)3 | 86      |
|          |                             | R1,R2,R3 = SMe,Me,Me | 72      |
| R1,R2,R3 = H,NHBoc | 74      | R1,R2,R3 = H,OMe | 82      |
|          | 80 (3:1)                    | R1,R2,R3 = H,OMe | 78      |
|          | 84 (2:1)                    | R1,R2,R3 = H,OMe | 78      |
|          | 84 (2:1)                    | R1,R2,R3 = H,OMe | 78      |
| R1,R2,R3 = OMe | 87      | R1,R2,R3 = H,OMe | 78      |
|          |                             | R1,R2,R3 = H,OMe | 78      |
|          |                             | R1,R2,R3 = H,OMe | 78      |
| R1,R2,R3 = H,NHBoc | 74      | R1,R2,R3 = H,OMe | 78      |
|          | 80 (3:1)                    | R1,R2,R3 = H,OMe | 78      |
|          | 84 (2:1)                    | R1,R2,R3 = H,OMe | 78      |
carried out and proved successful (Figure 16). The mechanism of the reaction was similar to that proposed by N. Kamigata et al. (Figure 15).

A complementary study was published by E. J. Cho et al. in 2012 [106]. Here, terminal and internal alkene C–H bonds were trifluoromethylated under photoredox Ru-catalysis, using trifluoromethyl iodide instead of trifluoromethanesulfonyl chloride (Table 32). Interestingly, arenes were unreactive under the reaction conditions. The catalyst loading was very low (0.1 mol %) and the reactions proceeded at room temperature, giving generally high yields of the trifluoromethylalkenes. Two equivalents of DBU as an additive were optimal, since this reagent is assumed to behave both as a reductant and as a base in the proposed mechanism of the reaction. Thus, the Ru(I)/R(II) catalytic cycle is different from the mechanism proposed by D. W. C. MacMillan and coworkers (Ru(II)/Ru(III) cycle, Figure 17).

The same group also applied this methodology to the trifluoromethylation of indoles and a couple of other heteroarenes, under closely related conditions. Trifluoromethyl iodide, catalytic Ru(II)(bpy)₃Cl₂ and TMEDA, as the base, were used with acetonitrile as the solvent (Table 33). Electron-deficient heteroarenes and unactivated arenes were unreactive. The mechanism is analogous to the one depicted for alkenes [106].

Last but not least, a completely different strategy used by S. Blechert et al. involved the cross-metathesis of terminal olefins with perfluoroalkylethenes [108]. Thus, the reaction does not proceed through the direct introduction of CₙF₂ₙ₊₁⁺, CₙF₂ₙ₊₁* or CₙF₂ₙ₊₁−, but of a perfluoralkylmethylene (Scheme 10).

### 3.3.2 Ir-catalyzed perfluoroalkylation of Csp²–H bonds

As a preamble, it should be noted that D. W. C. MacMillan and E. J. Cho tested iridium complexes along with the ruthenium...
analouges in the photoredox catalytic reactions discussed in section 3.3.1. Although also active, the iridium catalysts showed lower selectivity and are more expensive [105-107].

A different strategy was simultaneously reported by the groups of J. F. Hartwig and Q. Shen [35,37]. The approach consists of a one-pot, two-stage reaction, with Ir-catalyzed borylation of an

Table 32: Photoredox Ru-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of terminal and internal alkene C–H bonds with trifluoromethyl iodide [106].

| Product       | Yield (%)⁷ | Product       | Yield (%)⁷ |
|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|
| $n$-C$_{10}$H$_{21}$\text{--}CF$_3$ | 95         | Ph\text{--}CF$_3$ | 90         |
| RO\text{--}CH$_2$\text{--}CF$_3$ | 80          |                  | 80         |
|                  | 80          |                  | 36%        |
|                  | 89          |                  | 36%        |
|                  | 90          |                  | 36%        |
| R\text{--}CH$_3$\text{--}CF$_3$ | 78          | n-C$_4$H$_9$\text{--} CF$_3$ | 80$^{b}$  |
|                  | 81          | n-C$_4$H$_9$\text{--} n-C$_4$H$_9$ | 80$^{b}$  |
**Table 32:** Photoredox Ru-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of terminal and internal alkene C–H bonds with trifluoromethyl iodide [106]. (continued)

| R–C (alkene) | Yield (%) | R–C (alkene) | Yield (%) |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| n-hept      | 85        | 4-Br-C_{6}H_{4} | 83        |
| 4-Cl-C_{6}H_{4} | 79          |             |           |
| MeO–C (alkene) | 55\textsuperscript{c} | HO–C (alkene) | 84\textsuperscript{d} |

\textsuperscript{a} Isolated yields, unless otherwise noted. \textsuperscript{b} Diastereomer ratio 1:4:1. \textsuperscript{c} \textsuperscript{19}F NMR yield. \textsuperscript{d} 17:1 ratio with the allyl-CF\textsubscript{3} isomer.

**Figure 17:** Proposed mechanism for the trifluoromethylation of alkenes with trifluoromethyl iodide under Ru-based photoredox catalysis (E. J. Cho et al.) [106].

**Table 33:** Trifluoromethylation of indoles with trifluoromethyl iodide under Ru-based photoredox catalysis [107].

| Product | Yield (%)\textsuperscript{a} | Product | Yield (%)\textsuperscript{a} |
|---------|----------------------------|---------|----------------------------|
| ![Indole structure 1] | 90 \textsuperscript{a} | ![Indole structure 2] | 95\textsuperscript{d} |
| ![Indole structure 3] | 94 \textsuperscript{a} | ![Indole structure 4] | 71 \textsuperscript{a} |
Table 33: Trifluoromethylation of indoles with trifluoromethyl iodide under Ru-based photoredox catalysis [107]. (continued)

| Isomer     | Yield | Isomer     | Yield |
|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| 95 (1.5:1)² |       | 92         |       |
| 92         |       | 86 (1.3:1)² |       |
| 92d        |       |            |       |

²Isolated yields unless otherwise noted. ²As a 1.5:1 mixture with the 3-CF₃ isomer; the major isomer is represented. ²As a 1.3:1 mixture with the 2-CF₃ isomer; the major isomer is represented. d¹⁹F NMR yield.

Scheme 10: Formal perfluoroalkylation of terminal alkenes by Ru-catalyzed cross-metathesis with perfluoroalkylethylenes (S. Blechert et al.) [108].

aromatic sp²-C–H bond, followed by a copper-mediated or -catalyzed perfluoroalkylation of the resulting arylboronic ester intermediate. Since the work by J. F. Hartwig et al. uses stoichiometric amounts of ex situ-prepared Cu-R₃ reagents, we will focus on the study by Q. Shen et al. – although, once again, both are closely related. In the latter, catalytic copper(II) thiophene carboxylate was used in the second stage in the presence of 1,10-phenanthroline as a ligand; Togni’s reagent served as the CF₃-source (Table 34). The interest of this reaction resides in the fact that the Ir-catalyzed borylation with bis(pinacolato)diboron is highly influenced by the steric bulk of the arene, and therefore leads to regioselective functionalization of the substrate. Arenes and heteroarenes, variously substituted, could undergo the reaction, including natural product related or complex small molecules (Figure 18) [37].

3.3.3 Ni-catalyzed perfluoroalkylation of Csp²–H bonds.

Two early reports by Y.-Z. Huang et al. described Ni-catalyzed perfluoroalkylation of anilines, benzene, furan, thiophene and pyrrole using α-chloroperfluoroalkyl iodides [109,110]. Notably, the reaction was rather selective: only ortho- or para-functionalized anilines were obtained (the ratio of which depended on the solvent), and 5-membered heterocycles all yielded the α-perfluoroalkylated products (Table 35). This selectivity differs from the one observed by N. Kamigata et al. in the case of ruthenium catalysts, where isomeric mixtures of α- and β-functionalized pyrroles were produced [101,104].

In 2001, Q.-Y. Chen and coworkers also reported a nickel-catalyzed methodology, with perfluoroalkyl chlorides as perfluoroalkylating reagents and in the presence of stoichiometric amounts of zinc(0) [111]. Here also, pyrrole led to a completely regioselective α-functionalization; N,N-dimethylaniline only gave the para-substituted product, whereas it led to a mixture of ortho- and para-perfluoroalkylated compounds with the system
Table 34: Ir-catalyzed borylation / Cu-catalyzed perfluoroalkylation of the resulting arylboronic ester intermediate [37].

| Product | Yield (%)<sup>a</sup> | Product | Yield (%)<sup>a</sup> |
|---------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|
| \[\text{Me} \text{CF}_3\] | 90 | \[\text{CO}_2\text{Et} \text{CF}_3\] | 80 |
| \[\text{CF}_3\] | 75 | \[\text{OTIPS} \text{Cl}\] | 50 |
| \[\text{Cl}\] | 75 | \[\text{CN} \text{Me}\] | 70 |
| \[\text{Me} \text{CO}_2\text{t-Bu}\] | 65<sup>b</sup> | \[\text{Me} \text{CF}_3\] | 65<sup>b</sup> |
| \[\text{O}\] | 72 | \[\text{CO}_2\text{t-Bu} \text{CF}_3\] | 50 |
| \[\text{Me} \text{Os}\] | 72 | \[\text{Boc}\] | 67<sup>b</sup> |

<sup>a</sup>Isolated yields. <sup>b</sup>1 mol % of the iridium complex and 2 mol % of the dtbipy ligand were used.

of Huang et al.; 4-aminoanisole yielded only the compound functionalized in the ortho-position with regard to the amino group (Table 36). Control experiments indicated a radical pathway for the mechanism (Figure 19).

Finally, it is noteworthy that the electrochemical metal-catalyzed ortho-perfluoroalkylation of 2-phenylpyridine, which we already discussed for its Pd-catalyzed variant, is also catalyzed by nickel complexes (Scheme 11) [71]. Actually, the nickel-based systems provided higher yields than the palladium-based one (see section 3.1.3). Considering control voltamperometric experiments, a Ni(II)/Ni(III) catalytic cycle seemed to be operating.

3.3.4 Fe-catalyzed perfluoroalkylation of Csp²–H bonds. In this section, all the studies that we will discuss used stoichiometric amounts of Fenton’s reagent (FeSO₄/H₂O₂) for the generation of perfluoroalkyl radicals.

Complementary work was carried out by E. Baciocchi et al. [112] and by F. Minisci et al. [90] in the perfluoroalkylation of pyrroles and indole and of benzene and anisole, respectively. The reactions were efficient (less than 30 min at room temperature). Better yields and regioselectivities were obtained for pyrrole derivatives than for benzene and anisole (Table 37 and Table 38). Interestingly, the order of preferential functionalization in the case of anisole here is meta ≈ para > ortho; on the
Figure 18: One-pot Ir-catalyzed borylation/Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of complex small molecules by Q. Shen et al. [37].

Table 35: Ni-catalyzed perfluoroalkylation of anilines, benzene, furan, thiophene and pyrrole using \( \omega \)-chloroperfluoroalkyl iodides [109,110].

| Product | Yield (%)\(^a\) | Product | Yield (%)\(^a\) |
|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| \( \text{Me-} \begin{array}{c} \text{NH}_2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Cl} \\ \text{CF}_2 \end{array} \end{array} \) | \( p:-40\) | \( \text{Me-} \begin{array}{c} \text{NEt}_2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Cl} \\ \text{CF}_2 \end{array} \end{array} \) | \( n = 2\) |
| \( \text{Me-} \begin{array}{c} \text{NH}_2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Cl} \\ \text{CF}_2 \end{array} \end{array} \) | \( p:-45\) | \( \text{Me-} \begin{array}{c} \text{Cl} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{CF}_2 \end{array} \end{array} \) | \( n = 4\) |
| \( \text{Me-} \begin{array}{c} \text{NH}_2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Cl} \\ \text{CF}_2 \end{array} \end{array} \) | \( p:-48\) | \( \text{Me-} \begin{array}{c} \text{Cl} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{CF}_2 \end{array} \end{array} \) | \( n = 6\) |
| \( \text{Me-} \begin{array}{c} \text{NH}_2 \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Cl} \\ \text{CF}_2 \end{array} \end{array} \) | \( 79\) | \( \begin{array}{c} \text{CF}_2 \end{array} \text{Cl} \) | \( n = 6\) |
contrary, all of the other perfluoroalkylation reactions of C–H bonds of anisole discussed so far and those we will discuss later [113] yielded ortho-perfluoroalkylated anisoles as the major products. F. Minisci and coworkers also obtained similar results when using a catalytic iron(III) salt in the presence of tert-butyl peroxide as oxidant.
T. Yamakawa et al. applied this Fenton-based generation of perfluoroalkyl radicals for the trifluoromethylation of uracil derivatives [114] as well as of various arenes and heteroarenes (pyridines, pyrimidines, pyrazines, quinolines, pyrroles, thiophenes, furans, pyrazoles, imidazoles, oxazoles, thiadiazoles, triazoles) [115]. The yields were low to excellent, depending on the substrate (Scheme 12 and Figure 20). Iron(II) sulfate and ferrocene were used alternately as catalysts in the presence or not of sulfuric acid, but other metals proved inactive. The procedures could be adapted to larger-scale synthesis (10 g).

3.3.5 Fe-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of arylboron reagents. S. L. Buchwald et al. developed an iron(II)-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of potassium vinyltrifluoroborates employing Togni’s reagent. The products are obtained in good yields and good to excellent E/Z ratios (Table 39) [116].
Table 38: Perfluoroalkylation of benzenes or anisoles employing Fenton’s reagent [90].

| Product | Reaction conditions | Conversion of n-C₄F₉ (%)ᵃ | Yield (%)ᵇ | Isomer ratio |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| [Ring] n-C₄F₉ | FeSO₄•7H₂O (70 mol %) 35% H₂O₂ (3 mmol) DMSO, rt | 41.9 | 95.4 | --- |
| [Ring] OMe n-C₄F₉ | t-BuOOH (2 equiv) AcOH, 115 °C | 42.2 | 97.6 | o:m:p = 16.1:43.4:40.5 |
| [Ring] n-C₄F₉ | Fe(OAc)₂OH (20 mol %) | 58.1 | 96.1 | --- |
| [Ring] OMe n-C₄F₉ | | 57.7 | 94.8 | o:m:p = 15.5:42.8:41.7 |

ᵃDetermined by ¹⁹F NMR.ᵇDetermined by GC or GCMS.

Scheme 12: Fe(II)-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of arenes and heteroarenes with trifluoromethyl iodide (T. Yamakawa et al.) [114,115].

3.3.6 Ag-catalyzed fluorodecarboxylation for the synthesis of trifluoromethylarenes. An alternative approach to access trifluoromethyl arenes without the use of trifluoromethylating reagents rely on an aryl CF₂–F bond disconnection. A clever example of this strategy has been described by V. Gouverneur et al. starting from aryl difluoroacetic acids [117]. The latters
can react with Selectfluor® and a catalytic amount of silver nitrate with good functional groups tolerance including ether, halide, ketone and amide. However, the presence of electron-withdrawing groups on the aromatic ring significantly decreases the yield of the transformation (Table 40). The benzylic radical generated during the reaction is probably stabilized by the two geminal fluorine atoms, by adopting an all planar geometry [118].
Table 40: Ag-catalyzed fluorodecarboxylation for the synthesis of trifluoromethylarenes [117].

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Ph-CF₃   | 86        | i-Bu-CF₃ | 77        | i-Pr-CF₃ | 66        |
| MeO-CF₃  | 82        | MeO-CF₃  | 86        | OMe-CF₃  | 88        |
| C₆H₆-CF₃ | 51        | AcHN-CF₃ | 86        | Br-CF₃   | 49        |
| C₆H₄-CF₃ | 56        | Br-CF₃   | 83        | HO₂-C-CF₃| 17        |
| HOOC-CF₃ | 49        | Me-CO-CF₃| 21        | O₃-C-CF₃ | 24        |

Scheme 13: Ytterbium-catalyzed perfluoroalkylation of dihydropyran with perfluoroalkyl iodide (Y. Ding et al.) [119].

3.3.7 Miscellaneous metals in the catalyzed perfluoroalkylation of Csp²–H bonds. In 1993, Y. Ding et al. described an ytterbium-catalyzed hydroperfluoroalkylation of alkenes with perfluoroalkyl iodides. Among them, dihydropyran led instead to the product of C–H perfluoroalkylation β to the oxygen atom [119]. The reaction proceeded in the presence of Zn dust, which was believed to serve as a reductant for the in situ generation of Yb(II) species. The latter would then be able to transfer an electron to the perfluoroalkyl iodide and generate the corresponding radical (Scheme 13).
Titanium dioxide was used as heterogeneous photocatalyst in the perfluoroalkylation of \( \alpha \)-methylstyrene with perfluorohexyl iodide by M. Yoshida et al. [120]. While the main product arose from the formal perfluoroalkylation of a methyl \( \text{sp}^3 \)-C–H bond, a byproduct corresponding to the functionalization of a methylene \( \text{sp}^2 \)-C–H bond was also obtained. The authors later applied this methodology to the perfluoroalkylation of arene C–H bonds (Table 41) [121]. The addition of methanol as an additive appeared critical playing the role of “hole shuttle”, and balancing the electron transfer to the perfluoroalkyl iodide.

In 2010, A. Togni and coworkers studied the trifluoromethylation of pyrroles, indoles, and various other heteroarenes or arenes in the presence of zinc salts, and with Togni’s hypervalent iodine reagents as the CF\(_3\)-source. Yields were highly dependent on the nature of the substrate; zinc catalysts were even sometimes detrimental to the reaction, because they facilitated the competitive decomposition of the starting material [122].

A more successful approach was later devised by the same group [113]. With methyltrioxorhenium as a catalyst and Togni’s benziodoxolone reagent, a wide scope of aromatic and heteroaromatic compounds was trifluoromethylated with modest to good yields; even ferrocene could serve as substrate and was trifluoromethylated on one of the Cp rings. Mixtures of isomers were obtained for unsymmetrical starting materials; for instance, anisole and chloro- or iodobenzene gave an ortho \( > \) para \( \approx \) meta preferential order of substitution, while toluene, acetophenone, \( N,N \)-dimethylaniline or nitrobenzene afforded the para-substituted compound as the major product. The reaction could be monitored by EPR, which showed an induction period and demonstrated the involvement of radical species in the reaction. The authors proposed a mechanism accounting for the EPR profile of the reaction and for the results of kinetic isotope effect experiments (Figure 21). In this mechanism, rhenium intervenes in the initiation step. It acts as a Lewis acid and activates the hypervalent iodine reagent, which is thus able to accept an electron by the substrate; this leads to the formation of a caged pair (aryl cation radical/reduced Togni’s reagent–rhenium complex), where iodine then transfers a CF\(_3\)-anion to the aryl cation. This recent methodology has already been applied the same year by others for the synthesis of trifluoromethylated corannulenes [123].

We discussed earlier the influence of copper sulfate on the trifluoromethylation of heteroarenes with Langlois’s reagent in the presence of tert-butyl peroxide (P. S. Baran et al.) [89]. In the same paper, the authors showed that cobalt perchlorate could also improve the yield of the uncatalyzed reaction. Iron

### Table 41: TiO\(_2\)-photocatalytic perfluoroalkylations of benzenes [121].

| Product | Yield (%)\(^a\) | Product | Yield (%)\(^a\) |
|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| R-\(n\)-C\(_6\)F\(_{13}\) | 51\(^b\) | R-\(n\)-C\(_6\)F\(_{13}\) | 44\(^c\) |
| Me-\(n\)-C\(_6\)F\(_{13}\) | 72\(^b\) | | 43 |
| Cl-\(n\)-C\(_6\)F\(_{13}\) | 13\(^b\) | | |

\(^a\)Isolated yields based on the starting perfluorohexyl iodide, unless otherwise noted. \(^b\)HPLC yield. \(^c\)6:1 isomer mixture; the major isomer is represented.
sulfate, on the other hand, gave the same yield as in the absence of added metals.

4 Catalytic trifluoromethylthiolation

Aryl trifluoromethyl sulfides (ArSCF$_3$) play an important role in pharmaceutical [124] and agrochemical research [16,125]. The trifluoromethylthio group belongs to the most lipophilic substituents as expressed by the Hansch lipophilicity parameter ($\pi = 1.44$) [126-129] and the high electronegativity of the SCF$_3$ group improves significantly the stability of molecules in acidic medium. One can place this substituent next to the ever-present CF$_3$ and the emerging OCF$_3$ substituent [55,56,130]. In contrast, aryl trifluoromethyl sulfides are key intermediates for the preparation of trifluoromethyl sulfoxides or sulfones.

Aryl trifluoromethyl sulfides can be obtained via reaction of trifluoromethylthiolate with an electrophile like aryl halides. On the other hand, they can also be obtained by reacting aryl sulfides or disulfides under nucleophilic or radical conditions with a trifluoromethylation reagent [16,55,124]. Very recently, several elegant approaches dealing with the direct introduction of the SCF$_3$-moiety have been developed in this field [131-133].

4.1 Palladium catalysis

S. L. Buchwald reported on the Pd-catalyzed reaction of aryl bromides with a trifluoromethylthiolate. Good to excellent yields of aryl trifluoromethyl sulfides have been achieved under mild conditions and the reaction has been extended to a wide range of aryl- and heteroaryl bromides (Table 42) [134]. This approach employs AgSCF$_3$ as SCF$_3$ source in order to circumvent the fact that many convenient SCF$_3$ salts are thermally unstable.

The drawbacks of this approach are the use of an expensive ligand, an expensive palladium salt, a quaternary ammonium additive, and a stoichiometric amount of an expensive silver SCF$_3$ derivative.

4.2 Copper catalysis

F.-L. Qing was the first to report on a copper-catalyzed oxidative trifluoromethylthiolation of arylboronic acids with the Ruppert–Prakash reagent TMSCF$_3$ and elemental sulfur (Table 43) [135]. This protocol is quite efficient, simple and allows for large functional group compatibility under mild reaction conditions. Another strength of the approach is that easily accessible starting materials are employed in presence of a "green" inexpensive catalyst system.

The putative mechanism is based on the formation of a Cu(I) disulfide complex generated in situ, which reacts with arylboronic acids and TMSCF$_3$ according to two possible pathways.
Table 42: Pd-catalyzed reaction of aryl bromides with trifluoromethylthiolate [134].

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| SCF₃     | 98        | SCF₃     | 98        | SCF₃     | 97        |
| NHPh     |           | NPh₂     |           | OPh      |           |
| SCF₃     | 97        | SCF₃     | 96        | SCF₃     | 93        |
| CN       |           | Ph       |           | Cy       |           |
| SCF₃     | 96        | SCF₃     | 99        | SCF₃     | 83        |
| O-Benz   |           | N-Benz   |           | O-Ph     |           |
| SCF₃     | 91        | SCF₃     | 98        | SCF₃     | 97        |
| O-acetamido | 1-Bu’O | (CH₂)₃CH₃ |           |           |           |
| SCF₃     | 94        | SCF₃     | 81        | SCF₃     | 93        |
| N-Benz   |           | N-Benz   |           | N-Benz   |           |
| SCF₃     | 96        | SCF₃     | 98        | SCF₃     | 96        |

A and B (Figure 22) leading to the intermediate complex L₆Cu(CF₃)(SAr) or L₆Cu(Ar)(SCF₃), respectively. Oxidation and reductive elimination gives then the expected aryl trifluoromethyl thioether.

O. Daugulis reported on the copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylthiolation via C–H activation of 8-aminoquinoline acid amides in presence of disulfide reagents and Cu(OAc)₂ in DMSO (Table 44) [136]. The use of inexpensive copper acetate and the
Table 43: Cu-catalyzed oxidative trifluoromethylthiolation of aryl boronic acids with TMSCF₃ and elemental sulfur [135].

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| PhSCF₃   | 82        | PhSCF₃   | 64        | PhSCF₃   | 91        |
| BrSCF₃   | 86        | t-BuSCF₃ | 84        | BrSCF₃   | 84        |
| MeOSCF₃  | 90        | MeOSCF₃  | 78        | MeOOCSCF₃| 67        |
| NCSCF₃   | 70        | PhSCF₃   | 89        | PhSCF₃   | 71        |
| O₂SCF₃   | 61        | O₂SCF₃   | 58        | H₂N          | 66        |

Figure 22: Mechanism of the Cu-catalyzed oxidative trifluoromethylthiolation of arylboronic acids with TMSCF₃ and elemental sulfur [135].
Table 44: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylthiolation via C–H activation [136].

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| ![Chemical Structure](image1) | 76 | ![Chemical Structure](image2) | 67 |
| ![Chemical Structure](image3) | 73 | ![Chemical Structure](image4) | 70 |
| ![Chemical Structure](image5) | 72 | ![Chemical Structure](image6) | 63 |
| ![Chemical Structure](image7) | 59 | ![Chemical Structure](image8) | 70 |
| ![Chemical Structure](image9) | 43 | ![Chemical Structure](image10) | 59 |

removable directing group are significant advantages of this approach. Bromide, ester, and chloride functionalities are tolerated and the reaction has been applied to aromatic as well as five- and six-membered heterocyclic substrates.

The 8-aminoquinoline auxiliary can be easily removed affording the trifluoromethylthiolated acid (Scheme 14).

L. Lu and Q. Shen reported on the use of an electrophilic trifluoromethylthio reagent based on Togni’s hypervalent iodine reagent for trifluoromethylation reactions (Table 45) [137]. Trifluoromethylthiolation of various substrates, such as β-ketoesters, aldehydes, amides, aryl, or vinyl boronic acids, or alkynes, have been achieved under mild conditions.

In order to avoid the preparation of trifluoromethylthiolation reagents by trifluoromethylations of sulfides, N. Shibata studied an approach based on the use of the easily accessible trifluoromethanesulfonyl (CF₃SO₂) unit which is stable and often found in commonly used organic reagents such as CF₃SO₂Cl,

Scheme 14: Removal of the 8-aminoquinoline auxiliary [136].
Table 45: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylthiolation of boronic acids employing a hypervalent iodine reagent [137].

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| t-BuSCF<sub>3</sub> | 90 | MeOSCF<sub>3</sub> | 92 | MeO<sub>2</sub>SCF<sub>3</sub> | 95 |
| MeSCF<sub>3</sub> | 89 | O<sub>2</sub>NOSCF<sub>3</sub> | 87 | O<sub>2</sub>NOSCF<sub>3</sub> | 64 |
| MeO<sub>2</sub>SCF<sub>3</sub> | 58 | BrSCF<sub>3</sub> | 87 | BrSCF<sub>3</sub> | 58 |
| MeO<sub>2</sub>SCF<sub>3</sub> | 65 | MeO<sub>2</sub>SCF<sub>3</sub> | 40 | MeO<sub>2</sub>SCF<sub>3</sub> | 75 |
| C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>SCF<sub>3</sub> | 57 |

CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Na, CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H, and (CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O. They designed a new electrophilic-type trifluoromethylthiolation reagent, a trifluoromethanesulfonyl hypervalent iodonium ylide [138]. It is easily synthesized in quantitative yield by the reaction of α-trifluoromethanesulfonyl phenyl ketone and phenyliodine(III) diacetate (PIDA).

In the presence of a catalytic amount of copper(I) chloride, this reagent trifluoromethylthiolates a wide variety of nucleophiles like enamines, β-keto esters and indoles allowing the C-sp<sup>2</sup>-trifluoromethylthiolation of vinylic C–H (Table 46) and aromatic (Table 47) bonds.

The reasonable mechanism for this reaction is shown in Figure 23. A copper carbenoid may initially be formed and decompose to a sulfonyl carbene (Path I, Figure 23). Or, the reagent could be activated by a copper(I) salt and generate a zwitterionic intermediate, which eliminates iodobenzene to form a carbene (Path II). Next, an oxirene (in equilibrium with carbene) rearranges to sulfoxide and collapses to the true reac-

Table 46: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylthiolation of vinylic C–H bonds with a trifluoromethanesulfonyl hypervalent iodonium ylide [138].

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| MeSCF<sub>3</sub> | 92 | MeO<sub>2</sub>SCF<sub>3</sub> | 89 |
Table 46: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylthiolation of vinylic C–H bonds with a trifluoromethanesulfonyl hypervalent iodonium ylide [138]. (continued)

| Compound | Reaction | Yield (%) |
|----------|----------|-----------|
| BrMeO2N   | 82       |           |
| MeO2N     | 77       |           |
| PhMeO2N   | 88       |           |
| PhOEt     | 87       |           |
| p-AnMeO2N | 96       |           |
| o-AnMeO2N | 94       |           |
| PhMeO2N   | 87       |           |
| p-TolMeO2N| 94       |           |
| m-AnMeO2N | 94       |           |
| PhO2N     | 97       |           |
| MeO2N     | 74       |           |
| PhO2N     | 84       |           |
| MeO2N     | 84       |           |


tive species, thioperoxoate. Electrophilic transfer trifluoro-
romethylthiolation to the nucleophile then yields the desired
products (Path III). In presence of an amine, a trifluoro-
rhomethylthiolated ammonium salt might be formed which is
subsequently attacked by the nucleophile yielding the final
product (Path IV).

4.3 Nickel catalysis
D. A. Vicic studied the use of the cheaper and more soluble
[NMe₄][SCF₃] reagent instead of AgSCF₃ used by S. L. Buch-
wald in his studies [125]. However, one major constraint in the
use of this reagent is that transition metal-catalyzed reactions
have to be realized under extremely mild and anhydrous condi-
tions. This inspired this group to employ a bipyridine nickel
system as a catalyst in order to activate aryl halides at room
temperature. They could show that the nickel catalyst allows the
efficient incorporation of the SCF₃ functionality into a variety
of aryl halides. Electron-rich aryl halides were better substrates
than electron-poor analogues (Table 48).

Conclusion
Over the last two years or so, organofluorine chemistry has
made an important step forward by adding transition metal
catalysis to its toolbox, to the benefit of chemists working in
Table 47: Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylthiolation of aromatic C–H bonds with a trifluoromethanesulfonyl hypervalent iodonium ylide [138].

![Chemical structure](image)

| Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) | Compound | Yield (%) |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| ![Chemical structure](image) | 83        | ![Chemical structure](image) | 83        | ![Chemical structure](image) | 6%        |
| ![Chemical structure](image) | 73        | ![Chemical structure](image) | 36        | ![Chemical structure](image) | 71        |
| ![Chemical structure](image) | 52        | ![Chemical structure](image) | 32        | ![Chemical structure](image) | 84        |

Figure 23: Mechanism of the Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylthiolation of C–H bonds with a trifluoromethanesulfonyl hypervalent iodonium ylide [138].
pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals and material sciences or diagnosis. Reactions that have been unimaginable some years ago have been the focus of researchers, many of them not necessarily experts in fluorine chemistry. In particular the organometallic chemistry community has contributed significantly. Despite this exciting progress, the catalytic introduction of fluorine and fluorinated groups is still in its infancy and much skill needs to be revealed regarding mechanism, the nature and amount of the metal employed and scale up of reactions for industrial applications.

This "Small atom with a big ego" (title of the ACS Symposium in San Francisco in 2000) will without any doubt continue to have a brilliant future.
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