Improved synthesis of bis(chloromethyl)arene monomers
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Abstract—We report here on the experimental conditions for radical chlorination that provide improved yields of 2,5-bis(chloromethyl) pyridine and pyrazine, as well as on theoretical calculations which were performed in order to gain some insight regarding the factors that affect reactivity in these systems. The difficulties encountered previously in the methyl functionalization of N-heteroarenes are not due to radical selectivity that produces low yield of the dichlorides or to lower reactivity of this type of compounds, but to the post-halogenation reactions that occur on the desired products. Therefore, a careful handling during and after the reaction allowed us to find conditions for product optimization of α, α′-dichlorinated monomers.

Keywords: bis(Halomethyl)aryl; monomers; halogenation; product optimization; conjugated polymers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Our interest in bis(halomethyl)aryl compounds such as 2,5-bis(halomethyl) derivatives of benzene or nitrogenated arenes stems from their diverse use as monomers or as key intermediates in monomer syntheses for the preparation of polyconjugated polymers of the polyarylene vinylene type by the Gilch route [1] or the sulfonium salt route [2]. In particular, nitrogenated arenes are frequently incorporated in conjugated polymers in order to improve their electron affinity [3]. These dihalo monomers can be obtained starting from the dicarboxylic acid using a standard synthetic route consisting of four steps, namely oxidation of the methyl groups to the corresponding dicarboxylic acids, methylation, reduction to the diol and their final conversion to the α,α′-dihalide [4]. However, the radical halogenation of benzyl positions offers a one-step procedure that gives good results for the bromina-
tion of symmetric dimethylaryl derivatives such as dimethylnaphtalene [5]. Never-
theless, the high selectivity of the radical bromination usually makes the proce-
dure cumbersome when both methyl group reactivities differ due to steric or elec-
tronic effects. Thus, the bromination of 2-cyano-5-methoxy-\textit{p}-xylene gave sub-
stantial amounts of the asymmetric \( \alpha, \alpha \)-brominated product, 2-cyano-5-methoxy-
4-(dibromomethyl)toluene, along with the desired 1,4-bis(bromomethyl)-2-cyano-
5-methoxybenzene [6]. On the other hand, it is well known that radical chlori-
nation is less selective than bromination. Therefore, N-chlorosuccinimide (NCS) rad-
ical chlorination could offer acceptable results in cases such as the chlorina-
tion of 2,5-lutidine, where a difference in reactivity between both methyl groups
is to be expected. However, although the radical halogenation of arenes using
N-bromosuccinimide is a frequently used procedure for methyl functionalization,
the radical chlorination is less common. In particular, methyl group halogenation
in heterocyclic systems using NCS as the chlorine source has been the subject of
only a few literature reports [7–10], in which low yields and instability of prod-
ucts are commonplace. In addition, the reaction product usually consists in mix-
tures of mono-, di- and even polychlorinated compounds from which the desired
dichloride is not easy to isolate. We report here a study of the radical chlorina-
tion of 2,5-dimethylbenzene, 2,5-dimethylpyridine and 2,5-dimethylpyrazine that
allowed us to find experimental conditions that provide improved yields of the
\textit{bis}(halomethyl)aryl compounds. The by-products were identified and character-
ized by NMR spectroscopy. Moreover, theoretical calculations were performed in order
to gain some insight regarding the factors that affect reactivity in these systems.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Melting points reported are not corrected. Elemental analyses were made in the
Universidad de Buenos Aires, INQUIMAE. \( ^1\text{H}-\text{NMR} \) and \( ^{13}\text{C}-\text{NMR} \) spectra were
recorded on a Bruker ARX300 spectrometer using CDCl\(_3\) dried over molecular
sieves. For spectra using CCl\(_4\) as a solvent, D\(_2\)O in a concentric inner tube was used
as lock standard. UV irradiation experiments were conducted under illumination
from a 60-W Hanau mercury lamp. Benzoyl peroxide was recrystallized twice
from ethanol. Tetrachloromethane and chlorobenzene were distilled and kept over
molecular sieves. \textit{para}-Xylene with both methyl groups having >98\% \( ^{13}\text{C} \) label
was obtained from Isotec (Cambridge, MA, USA).

2.1. Syntheses of the dichlorides

2.1.1. 2,5-\textit{Bis}(chloro-\( ^{13}\text{C} \)-methyl)benzene (2a). Either \textit{para}-xylene for the trial
tests or \textit{para}-xylene-\( \alpha, \alpha' \)-di-\( ^{13}\text{C} \) (1.0 g, 9.2 mmol) for the final run and finely di-
vided N-chlorosuccinimide (NCS, 3.56 g, 28 mmol) were mixed in 7 ml chloroben-
zene and heated at 130\(^{\circ}\)C for 2 h, while the mixture was irradiated with a UV lamp.
Then the solvent was distilled and the remaining solid was extracted with hot CCl\(_4\).
(4 × 10 ml). The solid was recrystallized in cyclohexane. M.p. 97–99°C (lit. 99–101°C for the material with normal isotopic abundance).

2.1.2. 2,5-Bis(chloromethyl)pyridine (2b). Two portions of dibenzoylperoxide (BPO) were added to a stirred suspension of 2,5-dimethylpyridine (1.6 g, 15 mmol) and finely divided NCS (5.01 g, 38 mmol) in 60 ml of boiling tetrachloromethane. One portion of BPO (0.1 g) was added at the beginning of the reaction and a second one (0.05 g) was added after 4 h. The stirred mixture was heated under nitrogen at reflux temperature for 24 h, and after cooling to 0–5°C the precipitated succinimide was filtered off and washed with tetrachloromethane. The combined filtrates were stored at 0°C and then concentrated under reduced pressure immediately before chromatographic purification. The rotary evaporator bath temperature was maintained at 40°C during all work-up procedures. Chromatography of the oily residue (silicagel; eluent: first dichloromethane/hexane (2:1), then dichloromethane) yielded first a mixture of 3b and 3′b, and then 2b (48%) as a colorless oil. 2b was dissolved in tetrachloromethane (20 ml) and stored at 4°C. A small portion of the oil was set aside and it crystallized after standing overnight at −14°C. M.p. 43–44°C (lit. [9] 44–46°C).

2.1.3. 2,5-Bis(chloromethyl)-pyrazine (2c). 2,5-Dimethylpyrazine (2.1 g, 19 mmol), NCS (6.41 g, 48 mmol) and BPO (0.12 and 0.06 g) in 70 ml anhydrous tetrachloromethane were treated as described above. Chromatographic purification (eluent: dichloromethane) first afforded 3c/4c mixtures, then 4c/2c mixtures and finally 2c (55%) as a colorless oil. 2c was dissolved in tetrachloromethane (20 ml) and stored at 4°C. A small portion of the oil was recrystallized in hexane at 4°C. M.p. 67–68°C. Anal. calcd. for C₆H₆Cl₂N₂: C, 40.71; H, 3.42; Cl, 40.05; N, 15.82. Found: C, 40.31; H, 3.89; N, 15.57.

2.2. Benzylic proton reactivities studies

Aliquots were periodically withdrawn from the reaction mixture, filtered through cotton and then analyzed by NMR spectroscopy. In order to carry out the analyses, and since only the dichlorides 2a, 2b and 2c were obtained in a pure form, all the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR signals for the rest of the di- and trichlorinated products were assigned from NMR data obtained from mixtures containing variable amounts of these byproducts and confirmed with the help of 2D NMR experiments. The following numbering system to describe the proton and carbons in the range of structurally similar compounds was applied to the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra (Scheme 1).

2.2.1. α,α’-Dichloride-α, α’-¹³C (2a). ¹H-NMR: δ 4.56 (d, 4H ¹J_C–H 150.9 Hz), 7.34–7.41 (4H ¹J_C–H 150.9 Hz). ¹³C-NMR: δ 45.9 (C¹,2), 128.9 (C⁴,⁵,⁷,⁸), 138.0 (C³,⁶).
2.2.2. α,α,α′-Trichloride-α,α′-13C (3a). 1H-NMR: δ 4.57 (d, 2H, 1 J_C−H 151.1 Hz), 6.69 (d, 1H, 1 J_C−H 178.3 Hz), 7.40–7.46 (2H), 7.51–7.59 (2H). 13C-NMR: δ 45.3 (C), 71.2 (C).

2.2.3. α,α-Dichloride-α,α′-13C (4a). 1H-NMR: δ 2.36 (d, 3H, 1 J_C−H 126.8 Hz), 6.68 (d, 1H, 1 J_C−H 178.1 Hz), 7.40–7.46 (2H), 7.51–7.59 (2H). 13C-NMR: δ 21.22 (C), 71.8 (C).

2.2.4. α-Monochloride-α,α′-13C (5a). 1H-NMR: δ 2.34 (d, 3H, 1 J_C−H 126.5 Hz), 4.55 (d, 2H, 1 J_C−H 150.9 Hz), 7.11–7.19 (2H), 7.25–7.30 (2H). 13C-NMR: δ 21.17 (C), 46.8 (C).

2.2.5. α,α′-Dichloride (2b). 1H-NMR: δ 4.58 (s, 2H), 4.67 (s, 2H), 7.49 (dd, 1H, J_o 8.0 Hz, J_m 2.3 Hz), 8.56 (d, 1H, J_m 2.3 Hz). 13C-NMR: δ 42.6 (C), 45.9 (C), 122.9 (C), 132.9 (C), 137.7 (C), 148.7 (C), 156.5 (C).

2.2.6. α,α,α′-Trichloride (3b). 1H-NMR: δ 4.59 (s, 2H), 6.72 (s, 1H), 7.78 (dd, 1H, J_o 8.0 Hz, J_m 2.3 Hz), 8.56 (d, 1H, J_m 2.3 Hz). 13C-NMR: δ 42.4 (C), 71.0 (C), 121.1 (C), 134.1 (C), 137.9 (C), 148.4 (C), 157.9 (C).

2.2.7. α,α,α′-Trichloride (3′b). 1H-NMR: δ 4.68 (s, 2H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 7.56 (dd, 1H, J_o 8.2 Hz, J_m 2.5 Hz), 8.68 (d, 1H, J_m 2.5 Hz). 13C-NMR: δ 46.0 (C), 68.7 (C), 122.8 (C), 135.3 (C), 135.6 (C), 146.5 (C), 158.2 (C).

2.2.8. α,α′-Dichloride (2c). 1H-NMR: δ 4.71 (s, 4H), 8.71 (s, 2H). 13C-NMR: δ 43.4 (C), 143.5 (C), 151.5 (C).

2.2.9. α,α,α′-Trichloride (3c). 1H-NMR: δ 4.72 (s, 2H), 6.80 (s, 1H), 8.71 (s, 1H). 13C-NMR: δ 43.4 (C), 68.8 (C), 142.0 (C), 142.6 (C), 152.4 (C), 154.9 (C).

2.2.10. α,α-Dichloride (4c). 1H-NMR: δ 2.63 (s, 3H), 6.77 (s, 1H), 8.44 (s, 1H), 8.88 (s, 1H). 13C-NMR: δ 21.4 (C), 69.1 (C), 141.5 (C), 143.1 (C), 150.2 (C), 152.8 (C).
2.2.11. α-Monochloride (5c). $^1$H-NMR: δ 2.59 (s, 3H$_2$), 4.67 (s, 2H$_1$), 8.44 (s, 1H$_5$), 8.61 (s, 1H$_8$). $^{13}$C-NMR: δ 21.3 (C$_2$), 43.9 (C$_1$), 143.3 (C$_5$), 143.9 (C$_8$), 149.0 (C$_6$), 153.5 (C$_3$).

2.3. Molecular modeling

Molecular modeling was performed by first using the 6-31G* program to model geometries and then using the 6-31G** program to calculate electronic densities [13]. The minimization operations for close shell molecules (RHF) were performed using the conjugate gradient method where minimization was halted by setting the gradient option at 0.01 kcal/Åmol. Then, a vibrational spectrum calculation was performed on each of the minimized structures. A local minimum and not at saddle point was assigned to the molecular structure when the spectrum showed only positive vibrational modes.

3. RESULTS AND DISSCUSSION

In principle, we were interested in 1,4-bis(chloro-$^{13}$C-methyl)benzene, which could be used for assaying blockiness in conjugated co-polymers through $^{13}$C–$^{13}$C-NMR coupling [11]. In this case, the shortest synthetic route is the radical chlorination of $^{13}$C-labeled $p$-xylene. Therefore, we perform first a series of experiments with the non-labeled $p$-xylene, 1a, in order to find the best conditions for benzylic chlorination. Table 1 shows that in this case high temperature and UV irradiation gave the fastest reactions and higher yields of 2a, although it was obtained along with trichloride 3a, the dichloride 4a and traces of the monochloride 5a. We then tried this procedure with nitrogen-containing compounds. Regrettably, under these conditions 1b as well as 1c gave only viscous dark oils that showed complex $^1$H-NMR spectra and were rather soluble in protic solvents, including water. Most probably, these oils were mixtures of oligomeric pyridinium or pyrazinium salts resulting from self-condensation of the chlorinated products. Since the reaction conditions at elevated temperature employed for $p$-xylene could not be used, we performed the chlorination of the nitrogen-containing compounds at the reflux temperature of tetrachloromethane using benzoyl peroxide as the radical initiator instead. Although comparable standard conditions have been used for methyl chlorination of 1b and 1c previously, the reported yields for the dichloride 2b were 20% [7], 23% [8] and 29% [9] while in the case of 1c the reaction afforded 70% yield of the monochloride 5c [10].

We found that both 1b and 1c afforded the desired dichlorides along with variable amounts of monochlorinated, isomeric dichlorides and trichlorinated compounds (see Fig. 1). In order to find the best reaction conditions we followed the chlorination reactions by $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy. The $^1$H-NMR analysis of aliquots which were withdrawn from the reaction mixture of dimethylpyridine, 1b, indicated that a substantial amount of the methyl groups (ca. 70%) reacted after 4 h while
Table 1.
Reaction conditions and results for the radical chlorination with NCS

| Substrate | Substrate/NCS ratio (mol/mol) | Initiator | Solvent | Bath temperature (°C) | t (h) | Yield |
|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------------------|-------|-------|
|           | 1:3                          | BPO       | PhCl    | 115                    | 0.2   | 70    |
|           | 1:3                          | BPO       | PhCl    | 115                    | 3.0   | 78    |
|           | 1:2                          | UV light  | PhCl    | 130                    | 0.2   | 70    |
|           | 1:3                          | UV light  | PhCl    | 130                    | 2.0   | 86    |
|           | 1:3                          | UV light  | PhCl    | 120                    |       | Decomposed |
|           | 1:2.2                        | BPO       | CCl4    | 77                     | 24    | 46    |
|           | 1:2.5                        | BPO       | CCl4    | 85                     | 24    | 54    |
|           | 1:2.5                        | BPO       | CCl4    | 85                     | 24    | 68    |

Yield is percentage of α, α'-dichlorinated product, as measured by $^{1}{H}$-NMR.

most of them (>97%) had at least one hydrogen substituted after 24 h. However, an equimolar mixture of the two α, α, α'-trichlorides 3b and 3'b (46% yield based on the $^{1}{H}$-NMR spectra) was also formed along with the α, α'-dichloride 2b (54% yield). Also, the $^{1}{H}$-NMR spectra of aliquots withdrawn from reaction mixtures of 1b showed two signals in 1:1 ratio at 6.28 and 6.20 ppm that we assigned to the CHCl₂ groups belonging to the trichlorides 3b and 3'b (see Fig. 1). The first fractions of the chromatographic purification afforded a mixture of both trichlorides, which could be easily, separated (ca. 35% isolated yield) from 2b. But instead attempts to decrease 2a trichlorination using shorter reaction times or lower NCS/substrate ratios yielded variable amounts of the monochlorinated products 5b and 5'b whose separation from 2b was very difficult. On the other hand, longer reaction times and/or higher NCS/substrate ratios only led to an increase in the amount of the trichlorides as expected, e.g., 60% after 48 h using a 1:3 NCS/substrate ratio. Likewise, the $^{1}{H}$-NMR analysis of the reaction mixture showed that chlorination of dimethylpyrazine, 1c, afforded the desired α, α'-dichloride 2c (64% yield) along with α, α-dichloride 4c (9% yield) and the α, α, α'-trichloride 3c (27% yield). Here, the presence of small amounts the α, α-dichloride 4c made the isolation of α, α'-dichloride 2c more difficult. Once more, the use of lower NCS/substrate ratios led to more complex mixtures that included the monochlorinated compound 5c.
Figure 1. Radical chlorination products of 1a, 1b and 1c. Selected frequencies (in CCl₄) observed in the ¹H-NMR spectra of aliquots withdrawn from reaction mixtures are included.
Thus, by adjusting the reaction conditions we were able to obtain isolated yields after chromatographic purification of 45–48% and 50–55% for 2b and 2c, respectively. We also found that the nitrogenated dichlorides are particularly stable in solutions of solvents with low polarity. It must be noted that careful handling of the reaction mixtures and products always at or near room temperature was critical. Equally important, the non-polar solvent must be removed only prior to purification or subsequent use in a reaction. Otherwise, they were handled and stored in solution. Conceivably, these non-polar solvents retard the substitution reactions at the benzylic positions that produce ionic products. Indeed, solutions of the dichlorides in CCl₄ kept at 4°C were stable for weeks, but removal of the solvent led to dark polymeric materials after the dichlorides were left standing on a bench for a few hours or at 4°C for a few days.

We also noted that the ¹H-NMR spectra of aliquots withdrawn at early stages of the halogenation revealed that both signals of the two methyl groups of 1b decrease at almost the same rate. Moreover, as mentioned before, the two CHCl₂ signals corresponding to both trichlorides 3b and 3b′ have identical intensities. This behavior indicated a lack of selectivity in the halogenation of either methyl or methylene groups, despite the fact that chlorine atoms are electrophilic radicals and that the electronegative nitrogen atoms have a deactivating effect on adjacent positions in radical halogenations because they decrease hydrogen atom electron densities [12].

As a matter of fact, the experimental observations were supported by computer modeling studies of substrates 1a, 1b and 1c and their monochlorides done on geometries minimized at the ab initio quantum mechanical level. Thus, after single-point 6-31G** calculations on the minimized 6-31G* geometries of 1a, 1b and 1c, no substantial differences were found between the average partial positive charges on the hydrogen atoms of the methyl groups, which indicate the extent of the electronic populations decrement (Fig. 2). Moreover, the electronic populations of hydrogen atoms on both methyl groups in 1b were rather similar. Thus, it

![Figure 2](image-url)
can be seen in Fig. 2 that the average partial charges in the benzylic hydrogen atoms in molecules with none, one and two nitrogen atoms in the aromatic ring are slightly incremented from 0.125 to 0.130, and then to 0.136. These results indicate that the nitrogen substitution bears little effect on the aforementioned electronic populations and, consequently, on the hydrogen atom relative reactivities due to chlorine kinetic selectivity. On the other hand, the chlorine substitution should decrease the electronic density at the remaining hydrogen atoms attached to the substituted benzylic carbon, therefore, making subsequent substitutions in that carbon atom more difficult to occur. We observed this to be the case. For example, the monochlorinated product 5c can be subsequently substituted at the methyl group to give the desired $\alpha,\alpha'$-dichloride 2c or at the methylene group to give the $\alpha,\alpha'$-dichloride 4c. Though the methyl chlorination is preferred on statistical grounds over the methylene chlorination on only a 1.5:1 ratio, we observe that the actual ratio of 2c/4c in the mixture at earlier stages of the reaction was ca. 5:1. Similarly, the 2a/4c ratio in the reaction mixture was ca. 6:1. Accordingly, the calculated average partial charge for 5c on the benzylic hydrogen at the methyl carbon is 0.141, while at the methylene carbon it is 0.190. The calculated values at similar positions in 5a are 0.130 and 0.176. Thus, the substitution is faster at the methyl carbon due to both statistical and electronic factors. Therefore, this reaction should be successful with more structurally complex compounds if attention is paid to avoid excessive trichlorination and, in case of nitrogen containing monomers, post-halogenation reactions.

In conclusion, the difficulties encountered previously in the methyl functionalization of N-heteroarenes by chlorine substitution are not due to radical selectivity that produces low yield of the dichlorides or to a lower reactivity of the nitrogenated compounds in comparison with the one displayed by p-xylene. Instead, the difficulties are due to post-halogenation reactions that occur in polar media at or above room temperature. In addition, these chlorination reactions always produce mixtures of reaction products whose nature can lead to an easier separation in certain cases. Thus, it is easier to isolate the desired dichlorides from the trichlorides than from the monochlorides or the structurally isomeric $\alpha,\alpha'$-dichlorides. Therefore, a careful handling during and after the reaction allowed product optimization of $\alpha,\alpha'$-dichlorinated monomers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support for this research was provided by ANPCyT and SGCyT-UNS. M. F. A. thanks CONICET for a fellowship. M. N. S. thanks CIC for a fellowship.

REFERENCES

1. B. R. Hsieh, W. C. Wang, Y. Yu, Y. Gao, T. E. Goodwin, S. A. Gonzalez and W. A. Feld, Macromolecules 31, 631 (1998).
2. A. Kraft, A. C. Grimsdale and A. B. Holmes, Angew. Chem. Int. Edn. 37, 402 (1998).
3. Y. Z. Wang and A. J. Epstein, Acc. Chem. Res. 32, 217 (1999).
4. R. O. Garay, H. Naarmann and K. Müllen, Macromolecules 27, 1922 (1994).
5. R. O. Garay, M. N. Sarimbalis, R. S. Montani and S. A. Hernandez, Designed Monomers Polymers 3, 231 (2000).
6. P. M. Lahti, A. Sarker, R. O. Garay, R. W. Lenz and F. E. Karasz, Polymer 35, 1312 (1994).
7. X.-C. Li, F. Cacialli, R. Cervini, A. B. Holmes, S. C. Moratti, A. C. Grimsdale and R. H. Friend, Synth. Met. 84, 159 (1997).
8. S. Gillissen, M. Jonforsen, E. Kesters, T. Johansson, M. Theander, M. R. Andersson, O. Inganäs, L. Lutsen and D. Vanderzande, Macromolecules 34, 7294 (2001).
9. G. R. Newkome, G. E. Kiefer, Y.-J. Xia and V. Gupta, Synthesis, 676 (1984).
10. A. Hirschberg and P. E. Spoerri, J. Org. Chem. 26, 2356 (1961).
11. J. A. Sanborn and P. M. Lathi, Designed Monomers Polymers 3, 245 (2000).
12. G. A. Russell, in: Free Radicals, J. K. Kochi (Ed.), Vol. 1, Chapter 7. Wiley, New York, NY (1973).
13. Hypercube, HyperChem Release 7.03. Hypercube, Gainesville, FL (2000).