Purifying synthetic high-strength wastewater by microalgae *Chlorella vulgaris* under various light emitting diode wavelengths and intensities
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**Abstract**

The high-strength wastewater is now well known as a threat to the natural water since it is highly possible to arouse water eutrophication or algal blooms. The effects of various light emitting diode wavelengths and intensities on the *Chlorella vulgaris* biological wastewater treatment system was studied in this research. The various nutrient removals and economic efficiencies represented similar variation trends, and these variations under both high C and N loading treatments were similar too. The order for microalgae *C. vulgaris* reproduction in terms of dry weight and nutrient removal efficiency both were red > white > yellow > blue, under high carbon and nitrogen loading treatments, indicating that the red light was the optimum light wavelength. Furthermore, considering the optimal light intensity in terms of nutrient removal efficiency was 2500 and 2000 μmol/m²·s, while in terms of economic efficiency was 1000, 1500 and 2000 μmol/m²·s. Therefore, the optimum light intensity was found to be 2000 μmol/m²·s. In addition, the optimal experimental illumination time was determined as 120 h. *Chlorella vulgaris* microalgae biological wastewater treatment system utilized in this research was able to purify the high-strength carbon and nitrogen wastewater effectively under optimum light wavelength and intensity.
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**Background**

The high-strength wastewater has attracted increasing interests over the past decades owing to its significant effects on water bodies [1]. For instance, the fermentation slurry is rich in carbon (C), nitrogen (N), phosphorus, and other nutrients and highly possible to cause water eutrophication and algal blooms [2,3]. Another kind of typical high-strength wastewater is chemical fertilizer agricultural wastewater which has been reported as one of the principal sources of non-point source pollution [4,5]. It is able to greatly cause surface-water eutrophication and groundwater nitrate enrichment [4]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop a bio-system to effectively treat high-strength wastewater. The existing centralized wastewater treatment systems based on the packed-bed biofilm reactors or up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket reactors are not practicable in rural areas of China since their high construction costs and land requirements [6,7]. Nonetheless, the stabilization ponds based on microalgae biological wastewater treatment system has attracted increasing interest due to its high nutrient removal efficiency, low construction costs, and freedom from spatial restrictions [8].

A lot of literatures suggested that the microalgae can efficiently absorb nutrient elements from high-strength wastewater by virtue of their extremely high photosynthetic efficiency [9]. Kumar *et al.* [10] reported that treating digested piggery effluent by *Chlorella vulgaris* could achieved 100% total phosphorus (TP) and 78% NH4+-N removal efficiency. Lim *et al.* [11] reported that *C. vulgaris* has great potential for bioremediation of agro-industrial high-strength wastewater such as rubber effluent and palm oil mill effluent. Phang and Chu [12] reported that *C. vulgaris* was shown to be a versatile microalga that is able to grow under various harsh conditions (e.g. high NaNO3 or NH4Cl levels) and tolerant to high levels of heavy metals, especially Mn, Cr, Zn and...
Cd. Furthermore, in the process of purifying high-strength wastewater, the wastewater itself also has the potential to be an economic culture medium for *C. vulgaris*, which can produce some types of value-added products, since it can be freely obtained and possesses the nutrients required for microalgae growth [13,14]. Arroyo *et al.* [15] indicated that *C. vulgaris* showed great potentials as future industrial bioenergy producers due to its robustness, high growth rate, and high oil content, and mixotrophic culturing condition. Ryckebosch *et al.* [8] suggested that high-strength wastewater were able to be utilized as an inexpensive nutrient medium for culturing certain species of microalgae for harvesting as potentially valuable microalgae biomass or metabolic product.

However, the microalgae biological wastewater treatment system always suffers from bad light intensities and wavelengths [16]. In the open air, varying illumination intensities are likely to inhibit microalgae growth because of a shortage in light energy, e.g., very low light intensities during rainy days, or the photoinhibition caused by excessive irradiance, e.g., very high light intensities at noontimes during summer. More importantly, lighting utilization efficiency significantly affects the overall microalgae reproduction process. Indeed, the microalgae require optimal illumination to achieve the maximum photosynthetic rate and nutrient removal efficiency economically [17]. Consequently, using artificial light sources to culture microalgae indoor is an alternative solution. The light wavelength and intensity of artificial light sources are important factors for microalgae growth in biological wastewater treatment systems [17]. The ordinary light sources, such as filament or fluorescent lamps, are less economical and efficient for microalgae metabolism than the light sources with specific narrow bands [18,19]. This is because the ordinary light sources are only a combination of efficient and inefficient light spectra for microalgae growth or even emit spectra outside of the absorption band of microalgae chlorophyll pigments [20]. Therefore, the light emitting diode (LED) is considered to be the optimal light source for microalgae biological wastewater treatment systems because it had the characteristics of narrow-band wavelength and cost-effective power consumption [17]. Consequently, the light wavelength and intensity are both essential factors to microalgae biological wastewater treatment system. For instance, Matthijs *et al.* [21] reported that the monochromatic red LED light was able to support the growth of microalgae *Chlorella spp.*, while the partial exposure to blue LED light did not maintain the microalgae reproduction. However, the effects of various LED light wavelengths and light intensities on the treatment of high-strength wastewater by microalgae biological wastewater treatment system remain largely unknown.

This research focused on the responses of the microalgae biological wastewater treatment system to various LED light wavelengths and intensities in terms of the produced dry weight (DW) of *C. vulgaris*, the nutrient removal efficiencies of microalgae, and the economic efficiencies for synthetic wastewater purification, under synthetic high C and N loading wastewater. The optimal light wavelength was determined and analyzed to predict and explain the performance of the microalgae biological wastewater treatment system under high-strength wastewater loading. The optimum light intensity was confirmed by analyzing the nutrient removal economic efficiencies under various light intensities.

**Materials and methods**

The *C. vulgaris* (FACHB-31) microalgae strain was purchased from FACHB-Collection, Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The LEDs used in this study had widths of 26 mm and lengths of 600 mm; purchased from Canal Optoelectronic Technology Co., Ltd, P.R. China (Table 1). The LEDs with various light intensities were customized. For health and safety reasons, as well as for comparison of the parallel experiments, the synthetic high-strength wastewater was utilized in this research. It was a modification of Organisation of Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) standard wastewater [22]. There were two experimental categories: 600 g/m³ glucose, 100 g/m³ carbamide, 15 g/m³ NaH₂PO₄, 1.5 g/m³ KH₂PO₄, 4 g/m³ CaCl₂, and 2 g/m³ MgSO₄ for high C loading treatment; 200 g/m³ glucose, 300 g/m³ carbamide, 15 g/m³ NaH₂PO₄, 1.5 g/m³ KH₂PO₄, 4 g/m³ CaCl₂, and 2 g/m³ MgSO₄ for high N loading treatment. Therefore, the influent wastewater concentrations were: chemical oxygen demand (COD) 603.27±11.38 mg/L, total nitrogen (TN) 53.82±7.21 mg/L, and TP 5.08±0.69 mg/L for high C loading treatment; COD 208.16±12.05 mg/L, TN 154.82±8.75 mg/L, and TP 5.13±0.64 mg/L for high N loading treatment. The pH level was adjusted to 6.50±0.15 using H₂SO₄. The mean value of the oxidation reduction potential (ORP) of wastewater was 60.25±8.40 mV. All treatments were performed in quadruplicate.

**Experimental procedure**

The experiments were conducted by 1000 mL Erlenmeyer flask containing 400 mL synthetic wastewater and 200 mL *C. vulgaris* suspension which initial DW was 90.55±8.75 mg/L. All treatments were maintained in an illuminating incubator (SPX-400I-G, Boxun Industry & Commerce Co., Ltd, P.R. China) at a temperature of 25.0±0.5°C and a 12-hour light–dark cycle (light period was between 8:00 AM and 8:00 PM). The LEDs were installed as light sources in the illuminating incubator. All treatments were sampled and analyzed daily at 2:00 PM during the 8 d/192 h experimental period. In addition,
the artificial shaking was performed to the flasks daily prior to sampling.

The optimal light wavelengths for microalgae DW and nutrient removal efficiency were determined by exposing the treatments to red, white, yellow and blue light at the constant light intensity of 2500 μmol/m²·s. Then, the treatments were illuminated by the optimal light wavelengths under light intensities 500, 1000, 1500, 2000, 2500 and 3000 μmol/m²·s to determine the optimum light intensity range.

**Sampling and analyses**

The DW of *C. vulgaris* was measured by the following procedures: first, 15 mL culture suspensions were filtered using glass microfiber filter (GF/C, Whatman, USA); second, the filter with attached *C. vulgaris* cell was dried at 100°C for 24 h and then cooled to room temperature in a desiccator; finally, DW was determined from the difference between the filter weights before and after filtration. The filtrates of the cultures were analyzed for COD, TN and TP using closed reflux titrimetric method, persulfate method and ascorbic acid method, respectively [23]. The pH and ORP were measured by a pH meter (Orion 250 Aplus ORP Field Kit, USA). The light intensity was measured by a waterproof light meter inside the medium (CEM, DT-1308, Shenzhen Everbest Machinery Industry Co., Ltd, P.R. China).

The nutrient removal efficiency was calculated as follows:

\[
R = \left( 1 - \frac{C_i}{C_0} \right) \times 100 \tag{1}
\]

where \( R \) is the nutrient removal efficiency (%), \( C_0 \) and \( C_i \) are the nutrient concentrations in the initial wastewater and the culture filtrates (mg/L), respectively.

The economic efficiency for nutrient removal efficiency of synthetic wastewater was calculated as follows:

\[
E_{\text{nutrient}} = \frac{R}{kTP} \tag{2}
\]

Where \( E_{\text{nutrient}} \) is the economic efficiency for nutrient removal efficiency (USD), \( R \) is the nutrient removal efficiency (%) in Eq. 1, \( k \) is the cost per unit of energy consumption (USD/kW·h), \( T \) is the actual illumination time (h) and \( P \) is the LED energy consumption during the actual illumination time (W). The relationships between energy consumption and light intensity of various LED light wavelengths were shown in Table 1.

**Statistical analyses**

All statistical analyses were performed by SPSS software [24]. The different effects of variation in light wavelengths and intensities on microalgae reproduction and nutrient removal efficiency were tested by ANOVA. Duncan’s multiple range tests was used to further assess differences among light wavelengths that were significant in ANOVA. A probability level of \( P= 0.05 \) was used as the threshold for significance.

**Results**

**Variation of physicochemical properties**

The variation trends of pH and ORP values of *C. vulgaris* suspension under all light wavelengths treatments for both high C and N loading treatment were similar. The pH values of *C. vulgaris* suspension culture increased smoothly through the experimental period from an initial value of 6.50±0.15 to 8.32±0.57, 8.25±0.81, 8.49±0.51 and 8.47±0.64 under the treatment of red, white, yellow and blue light wavelength, respectively. Su et al. [25] reported a similar result, who observed that the pH values increased from 6.5 to 8.5 via purifying soybean processing wastewater by *Chlorella pyrenoidosa*. This increase was attributed to the decreased dissolved carbon dioxide concentration and the removal of organic nutrients during the process of the microalgae photosynthesis [26]. The ORP values smoothly decreased from 60.25±8.40 to 50.84±7.21, 53.26±6.95, 51.56±6.34 and 51.36±6.72 mV under the treatment of red, white, yellow and blue light wavelength, respectively. Su et al. [25] reported a similar result, who observed that the pH values increased from 6.5 to 8.5 via purifying soybean processing wastewater by *Chlorella pyrenoidosa*. This increase was attributed to the decreased dissolved carbon dioxide concentration and the removal of organic nutrients during the process of the microalgae photosynthesis [26]. The ORP values smoothly decreased from 60.25±8.40 to 50.84±7.21, 53.26±6.95, 51.56±6.34 and 51.36±6.72 mV under the treatment of red, white, yellow and blue light wavelength, respectively, during the experimental period. This was because the accumulation of metabolic products and the consumption of nutrients in the synthetic wastewater.

**Optimal light wavelengths**

Table 2 demonstrated the microalgae DW with various light wavelengths under the constant light intensity of

| Light source | Light wavelengths (nm) | FWHM* | 500 | 1000 | 1500 | 2000 | 2500 | 3000 |
|--------------|------------------------|-------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|
| Red          | 640–680                | 660   | 0.95| 2.07 | 3.91 | 4.96 | 8.74 | 10.49|
| White        | 380–670                | -     | 0.68| 2.01 | 4.42 | 6.42 | 8.42 | 10.41|
| Yellow       | 590–600                | 595   | 4.35| 6.93 | 10.41| 11.91| 14.41| 16.92|
| Blue         | 460–470                | 465   | 0.96| 3.00 | 8.52 | 12.52| 16.52| 20.51|

*FWHM: full width at half maximum.
2500 μmol/m²·s. The results for high C and N loading treatments were similar. The red light wavelength achieved significantly higher (P<0.05) microalgae DW than the rest of the light wavelengths, whereas the blue light wavelength achieved significantly lower (P<0.05) microalgae DW than the rest of the light wavelengths. Therefore, the order for microalgae Chlorella vulgaris reproduction in terms of DW was red > white > yellow > blue under both high C and N loading treatments, indicating that the red light was the optimum light wavelength for microalgae C. vulgaris reproduction [27,28]. These results were similar to the literatures. Matthijs et al. [21] also reported that the red light was optimal light wavelength for Chlorella pyrenoidosa culture.

Table 2 shows the nutrient removal efficiency of microalgae with various light wavelengths under the constant light intensity of 2500 μmol/m²·s. The results for high C and N loading treatments were similar. The removal efficiency of red light wavelength was significantly higher (P<0.05) than the rest of the light wavelengths, whereas that of blue light wavelength was significantly lower (P<0.05) than the rest of the light wavelengths. So, the order for microalgae C. vulgaris nutrient removal efficiency was red > white > yellow > blue under both high C and N loading treatments. Therefore, the red light was the optimum light wavelength for the nutrient removal efficiency. As show in Table 2, COD, TN, and TP removal efficiency achieved 82.12±11.28%, 76.04±8.39%, and 57.88±7.43%, respectively, for high C loading and 81.90±5.29%, 61.31±5.79%, and 29.25±5.32%, respectively, for high N loading. The effects of microalgae biological wastewater treatment system in this research were much better than that in the literatures. Yang et al. [29] found that the maximal COD removal efficiency was only 71.2% when cultivating C. pyrenoidosa with cassava ethanol fermentation under continuous polychromatic wavelengths. Bhatnagar et al. [30] also reported that only 30% TP removal efficiency was achieved when treating municipal wastewaters by Chlorella minutissima in an oxidation pond. These phenomena indicated that the optimal light wavelength, rather than ordinary light, was able to achieve higher nutrient removal efficiency [31].

Optimal light intensity

Time course of microalgae growth and nutrient removal efficiency under various light intensities

Time course of microalgae growth with red light wavelength under various light intensities (i.e., 500, 1000, 1500, 2000, 2500 and 3000 μmol/m²·s) at high C and N loading treatment are shown in Figure 1. The variation trends of microalgae growth were similar under high C and N loading treatment. But the DW values under 500 and 3000 μmol/m²·s were much lower than others during the experimental period. The 500 μmol/m²·s was too low to maintain the growth of microalgae. The insufficient light intensity resulted in biomass loss and slower growth rates, as microalgae consumed carbohydrates and oxygen during photorespiration, but were unlikely to cause fatal damage. While, the light intensity of 3000 μmol/m²·s was too high to avoid photoinhibition. The excessive light intensity was able to damage or kill microalgae, because it overloaded their photosystems and could even bleach out the pigments [32,33].

Time course of nutrient removal efficiency with red light wavelength under various light intensities (i.e., 500, 1000, 1500, 2000, 2500 and 3000 μmol/m²·s) at high C and N loading treatment are shown in Figures 2, 3 and 4. The removal efficiencies of COD, TN and TP demonstrated similar variation trends, and the variation trends of nutrient removal were also similar under high C and N loading treatments. This is in agreement with the variation of DW curves in terms of time course (Figure 1). The nutrient removal efficiencies under 500 and 3000 μmol/m²·s were much lower compared with others since the 500 μmol/m²·s was insufficiently low to maintain
the metabolic process of microalgae, whereas 3000 μmol/m²•s was too high to avoid photoinhibition [34].

The mean values of removal efficiencies of COD, TN and TP demonstrated similar variation trends and the variation trends of nutrient removal were also similar under high C and N loading treatments (Table 3). There were no significant differences (P>0.05) between the nutrient removal efficiency of 500 and 3000 μmol/m²•s light intensity treatments, while they were both significantly lower (P<0.05) than the other light intensity treatments (Table 3). Furthermore, there were no significant differences (P>0.05) between the light intensity treatment of 2500 and 2000 μmol/m²•s, but they were both significantly higher (P<0.05) than the light intensity 1500 μmol/m²•s (Table 3). Specially, the light intensity 1000 μmol/m²•s was significantly lower (P<0.05) than the rest of moderate light intensity treatments (i.e., 1500, 2000 and 2500 μmol/m²•s) (Table 3).

Economic efficiencies under various light intensities

The coefficient of cost per unit, k, in Eq. 2 was calculated to be 969.3×10⁻⁴ USD/kW•h based on the prices in Shanghai City. The energy consumptions of the red light LED for various light intensity treatments were showed in Table 2. The optimal experimental illumination time was determined as 120 h since the maximum microalga

**Figure 1** Time course of microalgae growth with red light wavelength under various light intensities: (a) High C loading and (b) High N loading.
DW was achieved during 120 h to 192 h while the highest nutrient removal efficiency was achieved at 120 h. Then, the actual illumination time was 60 h according to the 12-hour light–dark cycle. Therefore, the mean values of economic efficiencies of nutrient removal efficiencies under various light intensities by red light wavelength were demonstrated in Table 3 according to the calculation of Eq. 2.

The economic efficiencies of COD, TN, and TP removal effect demonstrated similar variation trends, and these variations under both high C and N loading treatments were similar. The economic efficiencies of nutrient removal under 500 and 3000 μmol/m²•s light intensity was significantly lower (P<0.05) than those of the other treatments since the nutrient removal efficiencies of them were much lower than the others (Table 3). Referring to the treatments at moderate light intensities (1000, 1500, 2000 and 2500 μmol/m²•s), there were no significant differences (P>0.05) among the light intensity treatments under 1000, 1500 and 2000 μmol/m²•s, while they were significantly higher (P<0.05) than the light intensity treatments under 2500 μmol/m²•s (Table 3).

Discussion
The microalgae reproduction capacity was largely dependent on the characteristic of light wavelength. The
Microalgal green pigment chlorophyll could absorb the red light wavelength more efficiently than other light wavelength [21]. However, the wavelengths of blue (460 nm to 470 nm) (Table 1), which was characterized by shorter wavelengths, had a much higher probability of striking the light harvesting complex at its peak electrical energy, resulting in too much energy for photosynthesis, which inevitably caused photoinhibition [27]. So the chlorophyll pigment of *C. vulgaris* was not good at absorbing blue light wavelength [28]. On the other hand, the red light wavelength was able to avoid photoinhibition since it had relatively longer wavelength [21]. Particularly, the wavelength of white light (380 nm to 670 nm) is a combination of the red wavelength and other growth-inefficient wavelengths (Table 1). Therefore, the DW of white showed a value between red and yellow.

The results about nutrient removal efficiency to various light wavelengths were agreed with the microalgae DW variation mentioned above. The *C. vulgaris* reproduction requires abundant nutrient for the synthesis process of nucleic acid, phospholipid and protein [10,31]. Therefore, the phosphorus in the synthetic wastewater was removed by the assimilation effects of *C. vulgaris* cells [31]; while the nitrogen was removed in the form of organic nitrogen during the synthesis process of *C. vulgaris* cells [10]. The microalgae green pigment chlorophyll could absorb the red light wavelength more efficiently than other light wavelength [21]. However, the wavelengths of blue (460 nm to 470 nm) (Table 1), which was characterized by shorter wavelengths, had a much higher probability of striking the light harvesting complex at its peak electrical energy, resulting in too much energy for photosynthesis, which inevitably caused photoinhibition [27]. So the chlorophyll pigment of *C. vulgaris* was not good at absorbing blue light wavelength [28]. On the other hand, the red light wavelength was able to avoid photoinhibition since it had relatively longer wavelength [21]. Particularly, the wavelength of white light (380 nm to 670 nm) is a combination of the red wavelength and other growth-inefficient wavelengths (Table 1). Therefore, the DW of white showed a value between red and yellow.

The results about nutrient removal efficiency to various light wavelengths were agreed with the microalgae DW variation mentioned above. The *C. vulgaris* reproduction requires abundant nutrient for the synthesis process of nucleic acid, phospholipid and protein [10,31]. Therefore, the phosphorus in the synthetic wastewater was removed by the assimilation effects of *C. vulgaris* cells [31]; while the nitrogen was removed in the form of organic nitrogen during the synthesis process of *C. vulgaris* cells [10]. The microalgae green pigment chlorophyll could absorb the red light wavelength more efficiently than other light wavelength [21]. However, the wavelengths of blue (460 nm to 470 nm) (Table 1), which was characterized by shorter wavelengths, had a much higher probability of striking the light harvesting complex at its peak electrical energy, resulting in too much energy for photosynthesis, which inevitably caused photoinhibition [27]. So the chlorophyll pigment of *C. vulgaris* was not good at absorbing blue light wavelength [28]. On the other hand, the red light wavelength was able to avoid photoinhibition since it had relatively longer wavelength [21]. Particularly, the wavelength of white light (380 nm to 670 nm) is a combination of the red wavelength and other growth-inefficient wavelengths (Table 1). Therefore, the DW of white showed a value between red and yellow.

The results about nutrient removal efficiency to various light wavelengths were agreed with the microalgae DW variation mentioned above. The *C. vulgaris* reproduction requires abundant nutrient for the synthesis process of nucleic acid, phospholipid and protein [10,31]. Therefore, the phosphorus in the synthetic wastewater was removed by the assimilation effects of *C. vulgaris* cells [31]; while the nitrogen was removed in the form of organic nitrogen during the synthesis process of *C. vulgaris* cells [10]. The microalgae green pigment chlorophyll could absorb the red light wavelength more efficiently than other light wavelength [21]. However, the wavelengths of blue (460 nm to 470 nm) (Table 1), which was characterized by shorter wavelengths, had a much higher probability of striking the light harvesting complex at its peak electrical energy, resulting in too much energy for photosynthesis, which inevitably caused photoinhibition [27]. So the chlorophyll pigment of *C. vulgaris* was not good at absorbing blue light wavelength [28]. On the other hand, the red light wavelength was able to avoid photoinhibition since it had relatively longer wavelength [21].特别地，白色光的波长（380 nm to 670 nm）是一个红光波长和其他生长不良波长的组合（Table 1）。因此，白色光的DW值介于红色和黄色之间。

实验结果表明，营养物在不同光波长下的去除效率与上述微藻类DW变化一致。*C. vulgaris*的繁殖需要丰富的营养物质进行核酸、磷脂和蛋白质的合成过程。因此，合成污水中的磷通过细胞的同化作用被去除；而氮则以有机氮的形式在合成过程中被去除。
pigment chlorophyll in the *C. vulgaris* could absorb the red light wavelength high efficiently for the process of photosynthesis, whereas the other light wavelengths could only be partially absorbed. The LED red light wavelength could enhance photosystem II relative to photosystem I [21]. The white light wavelength represented a medium nutrient removal effects, ranking between the red and yellow light. This was because the emission spectrum band of the white light wavelength nearly completely covered that of the red light wavelength (Table 1) and thus, the white wavelength resulted in a combination of the nutrient removal effects of the entire light spectrum.

Under moderate light intensities (1000, 1500, 2000 and 2500 μmol/m²•s), in general, the microalgae DW increased quickly from 0 h to 120 h (Figure 1). It was because that the period from 24 h to 124 h served as the logarithmic phase, in which the microalgae cells growth very fast due to the nutrients in the wastewater were sufficient and the metabolic waste of microalgae had not accumulated richly yet [33,34]. Then, the DW nearly remained unchanged from 120 h to 192 h served as the stable phase. In this period, the microalgae reproduction process slowed down compared to the logarithmic phase due to the nutrient depletion and toxic metabolic product accumulation [33]. Finally, the DW decreased from
192 h to 240 h served as the decline phase, in which the available nutrients and resources were soon depleted and the waste products had already accumulated richly [27,33]. Furthermore, the maximum microalga DW was obtained and the waste products had already accumulated richly available nutrients and resources were soon depleted 192 h to 240 h served as the decline phase, in which the microalgae assimilation process. Adequate illumination intensity was essential to microalgae cultures and metabolism [10,31]. Higher light intensities provided sufficient light to promote the reproduction process of microalgae [29], which allowed the microalgae to absorb carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus from the synthetic wastewater as nutrient sources for its own assimilation [25,26]. Therefore, under both high C and N loading, the optimal light intensity in terms of nutrient removal efficiency was 2500 and 2000 μmol/m²•s. The lower light intensities treatments consumed less power, although higher light intensity treatment achieved relatively high nutrient removal effect (Table 1). So the optimal light intensity in terms of economic efficiency of nutrient removal was 1000, 1500 and 2000 μmol/m²•s, under both high C and N loading. Generally speaking, taking both nutrient removal effects and economic efficiency into account, the optimum light intensity was deemed to be 2000 μmol/m²•s.

### Conclusions

The red light was found to be the optimal wavelength for both C. vulgaris microalgae DW and nutrient removal efficiency. The various nutrient removals and economic efficiencies represented similar variation trends, and these variations under both high C and N loading treatments were similar too. Furthermore, the optimal light intensity in terms of nutrient removal efficiency was 2500 and 2000 μmol/m²•s, while in terms of economic efficiency was 1000, 1500 and 2000 μmol/m²•s. Therefore, the optimum light intensity was deemed to be 2000 μmol/m²•s. In addition, the optimal experimental illumination time was determined as 120 h.
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**Table 3 Mean values ± SD of the nutrient removal efficiency and the economic efficiency under various light intensities by red light wavelength**

| Items          | Light intensity (μmol/m²•s) | Nutrient removal efficiency (%) | Economic efficiency (USD) |
|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                |                             | COD | TN | TP | COD | TN | TP |
| High C loading | 500                         | 7.08±1.34 | 10.97±1.53 | 7.48±0.76 | 12.81±2.54 | 19.86±3.30 | 13.54±1.72 |
|                | 1000                        | 47.58±4.87 | 45.77±6.96 | 29.18±6.82 | 39.52±7.63 | 38.02±5.38 | 24.24±4.07 |
|                | 1500                        | 67.49±8.25 | 58.64±10.75 | 41.32±8.80 | 29.68±4.32 | 25.79±4.61 | 18.17±3.81 |
|                | 2000                        | 78.87±9.49 | 74.93±8.34 | 55.83±10.65 | 27.34±3.75 | 25.98±3.59 | 19.35±4.78 |
|                | 2500                        | 82.12±11.28 | 76.04±8.39 | 57.88±7.43 | 16.16±3.05 | 14.96±4.19 | 11.39±2.18 |
|                | 3000                        | 8.31±0.85 | 10.84±1.42 | 8.37±1.13 | 1.36±0.38 | 1.78±0.29 | 1.73±0.43 |
| High N loading | 500                         | 8.16±1.78 | 11.24±1.23 | 9.26±1.33 | 14.77±2.31 | 20.34±1.04 | 16.76±2.37 |
|                | 1000                        | 47.45±7.56 | 44.33±7.77 | 29.02±6.07 | 39.41±2.71 | 36.82±3.10 | 24.11±2.74 |
|                | 1500                        | 68.70±8.44 | 68.50±10.66 | 39.17±6.54 | 30.21±2.84 | 30.12±2.11 | 17.23±1.92 |
|                | 2000                        | 80.77±7.49 | 78.87±7.15 | 52.93±8.29 | 28.00±3.09 | 27.34±3.21 | 18.35±2.38 |
|                | 2500                        | 81.90±8.26 | 81.16±7.04 | 52.72±7.79 | 16.11±2.75 | 15.97±2.59 | 10.37±2.48 |
|                | 3000                        | 8.93±1.32 | 10.25±1.19 | 8.91±1.39 | 1.46±0.34 | 1.68±0.27 | 1.46±0.15 |

a, b, c, d: Values with different superscript letters in the same column indicate significant differences at P = 0.05, according to Duncan’s multiple range tests.

---

Ge et al. Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering 2013, 11:8
http://www.ijehse.com/content/11/1/8
Page 9 of 10
Acknowledgements
This study was sponsored by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No. 41003041), the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Project No. Y1510231), the Science and Technology Department of Zhejiang Province (Project No. 2012C23056), and the Beijing Green Future Environment Foundation.

Author details
1College of Biological Chemistry and Science, Jiaxing University, Jiaxing, P.R. China. 2Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Fudan University, Shanghai, P.R. China.

Received: 13 January 2013 Accepted: 2 June 2013

References
1. Chen M, Chen J, Sun F: Estimating nutrient releases from agriculture in China: an extended substance flow analysis framework and a model. Sci Total Environ 2010, 408:5125–5136.
2. Loria ER, Sawyer JE, Barker DW, Lundvall JP, Lopinor JC: Use of anaerobically digested swine manure as a nitrogen source in corn production. Agron J 2007, 99:1119–1129.
3. Terhoeven-Urselmans T, Scheller E, Raubuch M, Ludwig B, Joergensen RG: Published: 13 June 2013 Received: 13 January 2013 Accepted: 2 June 2013 Fudan University, Shanghai, P.R. China.

Effect of C/N ratios on the performance of earthworm eco-filter for treatment of domestic wastewater. Washington DC: American Public Health Association; 1995:17–185.
4. APHA-AWWA-WPCF: Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 1995:19–142.
5. Renault M, Legendre L, Demers S: Application of light-emitting diodes in bioreactors: flashing light effects and energy economy in algal culture (Chlorella pyrenoidosa). Biotechnol Bioeng 1996, 50:98–107.
6. Ye F, Li XY: Evaluation of nutrient releases from agriculture in China: an extended substance flow analysis framework and a model. Sci Total Environ 2010, 408:5125–5136.
7. Zhao YJ, Yan C, Li YL, Li JH, Yang M, Nie E, Zheng Z, Luo XZ: Estimating nutrient releases from agriculture in China: an extended substance flow analysis framework and a model. Sci Total Environ 2010, 408:5125–5136.
8. Wang B, Lan CD: Biomass production and nitrogen and phosphorus removal by the green alga Neochloris oleoabundans in simulated wastewater and secondary municipal wastewater effluent. Biotechnol Technol 2011, 25:5639–5644.
9. Das P, Lee W, Azz S, Obbaid JP: Enhanced algae growth in both phototrophic and mixotrophic culture under blue light. Biotechnol Bioeng 2011, 102:8883–8897.
10. Kebede E, Ahlgren G: Optimum growth conditions and light utilization efficiency of Spirulina platensis (= Arthrosira fusiformis) (Cyanophyta) from Lake Chitu, Ethiopia. Hydrobiologia 1996, 332:99–109.
11. Yang CQ, Ding ZY, Zhang KZ: Growth of Chlorella pyrenoidosa in wastewater from cassava ethanol fermentation. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 2008, 24:2919–2925.
12. Bhatnagar A, Bhatnagar M, Chinnasamy S, Das K: Chlorella minutissima – a promising fuel alga for cultivation in municipal wastewaters. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 2010, 161:523–536.
13. Munoz R, Gueyisse B: Algal-bacterial processes for the treatment of hazardous contaminants: A review. Water Res 2006, 40:2799–2815.
14. Chinnasamy S, Bhatnagar A, Bhatnagar M, Chinnasamy S, Das K: Cultivation of Chlorella pyrenoidosa in soybean processing wastewater. Biotechnol Bioeng 2011, 102:8984–8990.
15. Wang B, Lan CD: Biomass production and nitrogen and phosphorus removal by the green alga Neochloris oleoabundans in simulated wastewater and secondary municipal wastewater effluent. Biotechnol Technol 2011, 25:5639–5644.
16. Kebede E, Ahlgren G: Optimum growth conditions and light utilization efficiency of Spirulina platensis (= Arthrosira fusiformis) (Cyanophyta) from Lake Chitu, Ethiopia. Hydrobiologia 1996, 332:99–109.
17. Yang CQ, Ding ZY, Zhang KZ: Growth of Chlorella pyrenoidosa in wastewater from cassava ethanol fermentation. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 2008, 24:2919–2925.
18. Bhatnagar A, Bhatnagar M, Chinnasamy S, Das K: Chlorella minutissima – a promising fuel alga for cultivation in municipal wastewaters. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 2010, 161:523–536.
19. Munoz R, Gueyisse B: Algal-bacterial processes for the treatment of hazardous contaminants: A review. Water Res 2006, 40:2799–2815.
20. Arocho TH, Wei W, Ruan R, Hu B: Mixotrophic cultivation of Chlorella vulgaris and its potential application for the oil accumulation from non-sugar materials. Biomass Bioenergy 2011, 35:2245–2253.
21. Pilon L, Berberouh H, Kandilian R: Radiation transfer in photobiological carbon dioxide fixation and fuel production by microalgae. J Quant Spectrosc Radiat Transf 2011, 112:2639–2660.
22. Chojnacka K, Nowotny A: Evaluation of Spirulina sp. growth in photoautotrophic, heterotrophic and mixotrophic cultures. Enzyme Microb Technol 2004, 34:461–466.
23. Rothert M, Legendre L, Denvers S: Photosynthetic and pigment responses of seace microalgae to changes in light intensity. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 1986, 101:211–226.
24. Javanmandian M, Palsson BO: High-density photoautotrophic algal cultures: design, construction, and operation of a novel photobioreactor system. Biotechnol Bioeng 1991, 38:1182–1189.

Cite this article as: Ge et al.: Purifying synthetic high-strength wastewater by microalgal Chlorella vulgaris under various light emitting diode wavelengths and intensities. Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering 2013 11:8.

www.biomedcentral.com/submit

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

• Convenient online submission
• Thorough peer review
• No space constraints or color figure charges
• Immediate publication on acceptance
• Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
• Research which is freely available for redistribution