EFFECT OF LIGHT CURING MODES AND ETHANOL IMMERSION MEDIA ON THE SUSCEPTIBILITY OF A MICROHYBRID COMPOSITE RESIN TO STAINING
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this in vitro study was to evaluate the susceptibility of a hybrid composite resin (Filtek Z250 – 3M ESPE) to staining, when light cured in four different modes and immersed in two different media. Composite resin specimens were randomly prepared and polymerized according to the experimental groups (conventional - 550 mW/cm² / 30 seconds; soft start - 300mW/cm² / 10 seconds + 550 mW/cm² / 20 seconds; high intensity – 1060 mW/cm² – 10 seconds; pulse delay – 550 mW/cm² – 1 seconds + 60 seconds of waiting time + 550 mW/cm² – 20 seconds) and immersed in one of two media (distilled water or absolute ethanol) for 24h. Next, the specimens were immersed in a 2% methylene blue solution for 12 hours. Afterwards, the specimens were washed and prepared for the spectrophotometric analysis. For statistical analysis, two-way ANOVA (4X2) and Tukey’s test were performed on the data at 0.05 confidence level. Soft start showed the least staining, and was statistically different from the high intensity and pulse delay light curing modes (p<0.05). Conventional light curing unit did not show statistically significant differences from any other groups (p>0.05). There were no significant differences between the two immersion media (p>0.05). The soft start polymerization mode showed lower susceptibility of the composite resin to staining than high intensity and pulse delay, irrespective of the immersion medium.

Uniterms: Light-curing modes; Composite resins; Staining; Storage media.

INTRODUCTION

Dental composite resin is the most frequently used direct tooth-colored restorative material1. Improvements in composite mechanical properties have made them more reliable for use in posterior teeth than they were some years ago14,17. However, a high degree of polymerization means high polymerization shrinkage. The development of shrinkage during polymerization is the major disadvantage of light cured composites and compomers13,16. The conversion of the monomer molecules into a polymer network is accompanied by a closer packing of the molecules, causing contraction in the composite9,10. This contraction creates mechanical stresses in the resin composite, which can disrupt the marginal seal between the composite restoration and dentin or enamel9. Polymerization shrinkage leads to several clinical problems, such as marginal discoloration, restoration fractures, solubility of the bonding system and marginal leakage12.

There are three phases in polymerization shrinkage: the pre-gel, gel point and post gel phase, but the only one capable of disrupting the marginal seal between the composite restoration and dentin/enamel is the post-gel phase10,12. This occurs because, in the phase before the gel point, the monomers can still move or slip into new positions within the resin matrix, without causing stress at the interface22. As the number of monomers converted into polymers increases, the flow gradually decreases, while the resin composite becomes stiffer and the material becomes strong enough to exert forces or stress in the bond system9,10. Post gel polymerization results in clinically significant stress in the composite-tooth bond and surrounding tooth structure24, leading to adhesion failure2.

Adhesive bond strength, restorative material elastic...
Experimental Groups | Light Curing Intensity | Light Curing Unit
--- | --- | ---
Conventional | 550 mW/cm² / 30 s | XL 3000 – 3M Espe
Soft Start | 300mW/cm² / 10 s + 550 mW/cm² / 20 s | XL 3000 – 3M Espe
High Intensity | 1060mW/cm² – 10 s | Optilux 501C - Demetron
Pulse Delay | 550mW/cm² – 1 s + 60 s of waiting time + 550mW/cm² – 20 s | XL 3000 – 3M Espe

Asmussen and Hansen (1986) studied the relation between composite resin surface softening and discoloration, and concluded that the softening effect on a composite resin surface can increase its susceptibility to staining. Material color stability over the time is an important factor in the success of an esthetic restoration. An unacceptable color match is one of major reasons for composite restoration replacement. Thus, this study investigated the effect of different polymerization modes and ethanol immersion on the composite resin susceptibility to staining. The null hypotheses to be tested were: (1) there is no difference in the susceptibility to staining of composite resin light-cured by different polymerization modes; (2) specimens immersed in water or ethanol present no difference in the susceptibility to staining.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A hybrid composite resin Filtek Z250 (3M-ESPE Dental Products, St. Paul, MN, USA) was used in this study. Forty cylindrical specimens were prepared using Teflon ring moulds (5.0 mm in internal diameter and 2.0 mm depth) held between two glass slabs separated by milar matrix strips, and then pressed with a 500 g load. The cavity was filled in one increment and was randomly polymerized according to the four experimental light curing mode groups (Table 1). The initial intensity of soft start group was obtained by polymerization with the light tip at a distance of 0.9 cm from the composite resin top surface (controlled by an electronic digital caliper).

Specimens were stored in distilled water at 37°C for 24 hours and the top surface of each specimen was polished with flexible aluminum oxide disks (Sof-Lex Pop-on® - 3M ESPE) under water spray. All specimens were randomly assigned to one of the two media (distilled water or absolute ethanol) at 37°C for 24 hours. After this period, the specimens were washed in tap water, immersed separately in 4 mL of 2% methylene blue solution for 12 hours at 37°C and thereafter, rinsed in tap water and air-dried.

Each specimen was weighed and ground into powder in a hard tissue mill (Marconi Equip. Ltda, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil). The resulting powder was weighed, and, if the difference between the initial and final weight was greater than 10%, the specimen should be discarded. In this study, no specimens were discarded. The powder from each specimen was immersed for 24 hours in a glass tube containing 4 mL of absolute alcohol PA in order to dilute the methylene blue.
Next, the solutions were centrifuged (Tomy –IC 15AN – Tomy Ind., Tokyo, Japan) at 3000 rpm for 3 minutes. The supernatant (floating solution) was analyzed with a spectrophotometer (Beckman DU-65 - Instruments, Inc., Fullerton, CA, USA) adjusted to a measurement wavelength of 668 nm.

To calibrate the spectrophotometer, the absorbance of the standard solutions (0.1; 0.2; 0.3; 0.5; 1; 2; 4; 6 µg/mL) was determined at wavelengths ranging from 400 to 700 nm, and the maximum value was obtained at 668 nm. At this wavelength, the absorbencies for the standard solutions were obtained. With these values, a coefficient of linear correlation (r=0.9998) and a straight-line equation (y = a + bx) were determined. The following relation was obtained: Absorbance = 0.2716 x (dye concentration) - 0.0075. To calculate the quantity of the dye concentration (mg/mL) in the experimental samples, the “y” was changed for the absorbency value of each specimen.

For statistical analyses, two-way ANOVA and Tukey’s test were performed on the data at 0.05 confidence level. The values presented normal and homogeneous distribution. The Shapiro-Wilk’s test (test for normality) (p>0.05) confirmed the normality and homoscedasticity of the groups.

RESULTS

Results of the staining test are presented on Table 2.

ANOVA (Table 3) showed no statistically significant differences (p>0.05) between the two immersion media. There were statistically significant differences (p<0.05) among the four polymerization modes. The Tukey’s test was applied to individual comparisons and showed that the soft start polymerization mode had the lowest staining means, significantly different from the high intensity and pulse-delay curing modes (p<0.05). The conventional polymerization mode did not present statistically significant differences from any other polymerization mode (p>0.05) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

An important factor in the success of an esthetic restoration is the material color stability over time. The susceptibility of a resin composite to staining may, subject to several factors, be associated with the polymerization mode. Under the experimental conditions of this study, the results showed that different polymerization methods can alter composite resin susceptibility to staining. Thus, the first null hypothesis was rejected. In relation to the immersion media, there was no statistically significant difference between water and ethanol media. Thus, the second null hypothesis was accepted.

Resin discoloration may be associated with its affinity for stains and water sorption due to its lower monomer conversion degree. Water sorption is associated with

### TABLE 2- Results of staining means for the experimental groups (light curing modes) (µg/mL). Means with the same lowercase letter were not statistically different (p<0.05) for comparisons between the same medium. Means with the same uppercase letter were not statistically significant different (p<0.05) for comparisons between the same polymerization mode

| Experimental Groups   | Water Media | Ethanol media |
|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Conventional          | 0,356 A ab  | 0,372 A ab    |
| Soft Start            | 0,290 A a   | 0,350 A a     |
| High Intensity        | 0,424 A b   | 0,408 A b     |
| Pulse Delay           | 0,394 A b   | 0,422 A b     |

### TABLE 3- Results of 2-way ANOVA

| Source of variation   | DF  | SQ  | MQ  | F    |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Light curing modes (LCM) | 3   | .0006 | .0002 | 3.9330 * |
| Immersion media (IM)   | 1   | .0000 | .0000 | .8911 NS |
| LCM X IM               | 3   | .0001 | .0000 | .4472 NS |
| Treatments             | 7   | .0007 | .0001 |       |
| Error                  | 32  | .0015 | .0015 |       |

DF – degree of freedom; SQ – Sum of squares; MQ – Mean square; * - statistically significant difference; NS - no statistically significant difference.
polymer degradation, and can also be associated with the type of polymer. Asmussen and Petutzfeldt reported that light intensity and polymerization time can modify polymer structure formation. Polymerization with pulse delay causes little growth at the centre of the polymer and, consequently, propagation will predominantly add one molecule of monomer after another to a growing polymer chain. This results in a more linear oligomer or polymer structure with relatively few cross-links. The final cure will activate a large part of the remaining camphoroquinone and thus give rise to a multitude of growth centers that will increase the tendency to form a branched polymer. The authors of the above-mentioned study credit the polymer structures formed with the pulse delay technique to the softness of the surface after being immersed in ethanol. This polymer structure may be associated with a greater sorption of liquid, resulting in more susceptibility to staining. The results of the present study corroborate with this statement.

The high intensity polymerization mode did not show significant differences from pulse delay and conventional polymerization. Initial high intensity develops higher polymerization shrinkage stress due the rapid and immediate polymerization reaction. A high initial intensity can result in a polymer structure with higher cross-link density, due the large amount of monomer that reacts, generating several growth centers. However, a supposition for the high susceptibility to staining of this polymerization mode is that 10 seconds of polymerization are not sufficient for an effective cure at a deeper layer of the sample. Aguiar, et al. (2005) showed that reduced polymerization times at high intensity provide an unsatisfactory cure at the deepest layer of the composite resin, and this under-cured layer is more susceptible to staining.

The Soft Start technique showed less susceptibility to staining and it did not show statistically significant differences from conventional technique. Asmussen and Petutzfeldt reported that conventional polymerization with a continuous intensity will initiate a multitude of growth centers, resulting in a polymer structure with higher cross-link density. It may be compared with a ladder in which each original monomer molecule represents a step. In the above-mentioned study, the intensity for the conventional technique was 450 mW/cm². In the soft start groups of the present study, the initial intensity was 300 mW/cm², which is a value close to the one used in Asmussen’s study. Based on these values, it can be supposed that both soft start groups and conventional groups (550 mW/cm²) had comparable polymer structures and showed an equivalent behavior regarding to the susceptibility to staining. However, the conventional technique presented an intermediate behavior, and showed no statistically significant differences from either pulse delay and high intensity, or the soft start group. The intermediate intensity may explain these results.

Another theory studied concerned the immersion medium. It was tested water and ethanol. Ethanol simulates the wet intra-oral environment provided by saliva and water. It was expected that the ethanol medium could increase the resin composite susceptibility to staining. Ethanol causes resin composite surface to soften, by removing the polymer structure such as unreacted monomer, oligomers and linear polymers, or imparting a opener structure to the polymer, thereby facilitating the sorption of pigment agents and increasing wear. In a composite resin with the same degree of monomer conversion, it may be that dissolution by ethanol is more selective in a relatively linear polymer than in one that is expected to have a more cross-linked structure. However, ethanol did not increase the susceptibility to staining for any polymerization mode. In other studies that associated softening agents with staining, the pigment agent was associated with the softening agent. Aguiar, et al. (2004) used alcoholic and aqueous staining solutions to test susceptibility of colored restorative materials to staining, and concluded that alcoholic solutions had higher staining means than aqueous ones. In the mentioned studies, the pigment penetrated at the same time as the ethanol altered the polymeric matrix. In the present study, ethanol was first applied to the resin composite and then the pigment agent was applied. It is possible that ethanol removed the organic matrix responsible for absorbing the pigment, thus not interfering in the staining.

In vivo studies must been done to confirm the relation of composite polymerization and staining susceptibility. It is difficult to establish a direct relation between in vitro and in vivo outcomes. However, in vitro studies may guide one to conclusions that can be later confirmed in vivo and then improves the clinical activity. Further studies must also been done with another dyes associated with dietary habits, such as consumption of coffee, soft drinks, alcoholic drinks and others.

**CONCLUSION**

Within the limits of this study, it may be concluded that:
1. The ability of a composite resin surface to be stained was not related to the two immersion media studied;
2. The mode of polymerization can affect the staining of composite resin restorations;
3. The soft start polymerization mode resulted in the lowest staining for the composite resin evaluated, statistically different from high intensity and pulse delay, and similar to conventional light curing mode.
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