Numerical studies of the Pfaffian model of the $\nu = \frac{5}{2}$ fractional quantum Hall effect
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The Pfaffian model has been proposed for the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) at $\nu = \frac{5}{2}$. We examine it for the quasi-hole excitations by comparison with exact diagonalization results. Specifically, we consider the structure of the low-energy spectrum, accuracy of the microscopic wave functions, particle-hole symmetry, splitting of the degeneracies, and off-diagonal long range order. We also review how the $5/2$ FQHE can be understood without appealing to the Pfaffian model. Implications for nonabelian braiding statistics will be mentioned.

I. INTRODUCTION

$5/2$ is the only even denominator fraction securely observed in a single layer fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE). (The fraction $7/2$ is trivially related to it by particle hole symmetry in the second Landau level.) The model of noninteracting composite fermions predicts a compressible Fermi sea at half filled lowest Landau level, which provides a good description of the compressible state here. A promising scenario for the incompressible state at the half filled second Landau level at $\nu = 5/2$ is based on the idea of pairing of composite fermions, described by a Pfaffian wave function. Several studies have supported this interpretation.

The Pfaffian wave function is the exact ground state of a singular three-body model interaction (cf. Eq. (2) below). Exact solutions for quasiholes are also available for this model interaction. A case has been made, both from analytical arguments and numerical calculations, that these Pfaffian quasiholes have the remarkable property of nonabelian braiding statistics. Recently, the nonabelian statistics has taken additional importance because of proposals to test it experimentally, and to exploit it for quantum computation. That makes it important to perform an examination of the applicability of the Pfaffian model to the real, Coulomb solution. The Coulomb ground state wave function has been compared to the Pfaffian ground state wave function in the past and found to have overlaps in the range 0.69-0.87 for 8 to 16 particles. Our recent comparisons of the Pfaffian quasiholes and the real Coulomb quasiholes showed a worse agreement. Because the route to non-Abelions is via the Pfaffian model and the degeneracies it implies, these studies have relevance to nonabelian braiding statistics as well.

This paper briefly reviews our previous work, at the same time providing many new results relevant to this problem. In Sec. II the Pfaffian model is defined and some relevant results are reviewed. In Sec. III we comment on the particle-hole symmetry violation by the Pfaffian family of states. In Sec. IV the absence of off-diagonal long range order in the Pfaffian state is pointed out, and the relevance of this finding is discussed. In Sec. VI we check the assertion, commonly made in the literature, that the energy difference of the quasihole states, that are degenerate for the three-body model interaction, remains exponentially small for the Coulomb interaction. In Sec. VII we attempt to separate the postulated charge $\frac{1}{3}$ quasiholes for the model interaction as well as the Coulomb interaction. Finally, in Sec. IX we elaborate an alternative approach for the explanation of the $\frac{5}{3}$ FQHE. Short reports on parts of this paper have appeared elsewhere.

II. THE PFAFFIAN MODEL

Throughout this article we will assume that the lowest Landau level (LL) is full and inert, and the two-dimensional electron gas in the second LL is fully polarized. All calculations are performed in the spherical geometry. The objective is to determine the ground state and the low-energy excitations for the Coulomb interaction

$$V^{(C)} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{\epsilon} \sum_{i<j} \frac{1}{|r_i - r_j|},$$

in second LL at filling factor $\nu = 1/2$ ($\epsilon$ is the static dielectric constant of the host semiconductor). This problem is equivalent to electrons in the lowest Landau level interacting with an effective interaction $V^{\text{eff}}$. We will use the lowest LL to simulate the second LL physics in what follows.

The “Pfaffian model” considers a three-body model interaction, which in the spherical geometry takes the form

$$V^{\text{Pf}} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{\epsilon \ell_B} \sum_{i<j<k} P_{ijk}(L_{\text{max}})$$

where $P_{ijk}(L_{\text{max}})$ is the projection operator onto an electron triplet with orbital angular momentum $L_{\text{max}} = 3Q - 3$. The angular momentum $L_{\text{max}}$ corresponds to the closest possible configuration of an electron triplet. Thus, $V^{\text{Pf}}$ does not penalize the closest approach of two electrons, but there is an energy cost when three electrons are in their closest configuration.

This model has a unique, zero energy ground state at
\( \nu = 1/2 \) (Moore and Read):  
\[ \Psi_0^{\text{Pf}} = \text{Pf} \left( \frac{1}{z_i - z_j} \right) \Phi_1, \quad \Phi_1 = \prod_{i<j} (z_i - z_j), \]  
(3)

where “Pf” refers to “Pfaffian.” This wave function describes a paired state of composite fermions. This model also produces exact zero energy eigenfunctions for quasiholes, as the flux through the sphere is increased. These zero energy eigenstates are referred to as the “Pfaffian quasiholes (PfQH) states.” As \( |V^{\text{Pf}}, L^2| = 0 \), the states spanning the PfQH sector may be chosen with a definite orbital angular momentum \( L \). (See Ref. 14 for a thorough study of the PfQH sector on the sphere.) Appropriate linear combinations of these states produce spatially localized quasiholes. For two quasiholes at \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \), the wave function is given by

\[ \Psi_2^{\text{Pf}} = \text{Pf} \left( \frac{(z_i - \eta_1)(z_j - \eta_2) + (i \leftrightarrow j)}{z_i - z_j} \right) \Phi_1^2. \]  
(4)

For two coincident quasiholes, \( \eta_1 = \eta_2 \equiv \eta \), this reduces to a charge \( 1/2 \) vortex:

\[ \Psi_1 = \prod_i (z_i - \eta) \Psi_0^{\text{Pf}}. \]  
(5)

Separately, each quasihole has a charge deficiency of \( 1/2 \) associated with it. Unlike for the vortex, the density does not vanish at the center of a quasihole. Analogous wave functions can be written for an even number \((2m)\) of quasiholes. Exact wave functions for quasiparticles are not available.

Several wave functions can be associated for a given configuration of \( 2m \) quasiholes, which correspond, in the appropriate generalization of Eq. (4) to \( 2m \) quasiholes, to different ways of grouping half of the \( \eta \)'s with \( z_i \) and the other half with \( z_j \). It has been shown that only \( 2^{m-1} \) of these functions are linearly independent. Adiabatic braiding of quasiholes (which is feasible for a gapped system) can take the system from one linear combinations of PfQH states to another, which lies at the origin of nonabelian statistics of quasiholes.

To study bulk properties, it is convenient to formulate the problem of interacting electrons in the spherical geometry, in which the electrons move on the surface of a sphere and a radial magnetic field is produced by a magnetic monopole of strength \( Q \) at the center. Here \( 2Q \phi_0 \) is the magnetic flux through the surface of the sphere; \( \phi_0 = \hbar c/e \), and \( 2Q \) is an integer by Dirac’s quantization condition. Then wave functions in Eqs. (4)(5), which are written for the disk geometry, can be mapped to the sphere by the stereographic mapping, which amounts to the substitution

\[ (z_a - z_b) \rightarrow (u_b v_b - v_a u_b), \]  
(6)

for all coordinate differences, where \( u_a = \cos \beta \rho e^{-i\phi_a/2} \) and \( v_a = \sin \beta \rho e^{i\phi_a/2} \) are spinorial coordinates on the sphere. The orbital angular momentum quantum number is denoted by \( L \).

### III. PARTICLE-HOLE SYMMETRY

The exact Coulomb eigenstates in any given Landau level satisfy particle-hole symmetry, i.e., the exact eigenstates at \( \nu \) and \( 1 - \nu \) are related by particle-hole transformation. The wave functions in the CF theory satisfy particle hole symmetry to a very good approximation, even though there is no symmetry principle that so requires. For example, the wave functions at \( \nu = n/(2n - 1) \), given by \( \Psi_n/(2n-1) = P_{\text{LLL}} \Phi_1^{2n} \), are almost identical to the those obtained by particle-hole transformation of the wave functions \( \Psi_n/(2n'+1) = P_{\text{LLL}} \Phi_1^{2n'} \), with \( n = n' + 1 \).

The three body interaction does not satisfy particle-hole (p-h) symmetry. To get a feel for the extent to which this symmetry is broken, we have considered the system of \( N = 8 \) particles at \( 2Q = 15 \). In this case, particle hole transformation gives eight holes (to be distinguished from quasiholes) at \( 2Q = 15 \). We obtain the exact spectrum of the \( V^{\text{Pf}} \) model interaction, which is given in the upper left panel of Fig. 1. This system corresponds to four quasiholes, and has a number of zero energy states, which form the Pfaffian quasihole sector. We obtain the particle-hole conjugate of each eigenstate, called \( \Psi^c \), and calculate its energy expectation value for the \( V^{\text{Pf}} \) interaction. When there are several degenerate Pfaffian quasihole states, we diagonalize \( V^{\text{Pf}} \) in the subspace of the p-h conjugate states to obtain the energies. The resulting spectrum is shown in the top right column of Fig. 1. (For the Coulomb interaction, this exercise would produce a spectrum identical to the original one, apart from an overall energy shift.) We construct symmetrized states \( \Psi^\ast \propto (\Psi + \Psi^c) \), which satisfy particle-hole symmetry by construction; the resulting spectrum for these states is given in the lower left panel of Fig. 1. The lower right spectrum is for antisymmetrized states \( \Psi^\ast \propto (\Psi - \Psi^c) \).

Table I shows the squared overlaps between the original Pfaffian quasihole states with the various states obtained with the help of p-h conjugation. To handle the multiplicity of the PfQH sector for \( L = 0, 2, 4, 6 \) (cf. Fig. 1), the overlap between two subspaces has been defined in a basis-independent manner (see caption of Table I). The overlaps are not particularly high; for example, in the \( L = 0 \) part of the quasihole branch, which contains two states for \( N = 8 \), the overlap is 0.511, and deteriorates for higher \( L \)'s. Similar numbers are obtained for other states in the PfQH sector. The near orthogonality of \( \Psi \) and \( \Psi^c \) at \( L = 8 \) is accompanied by a very high energy of \( \Psi^c \).

These results demonstrate a substantial breakdown of the p-h symmetry by the \( V^{\text{Pf}} \) interaction. The Pfaffian quasihole band is absent in all of the new spectra; the states derived from the Pfaffian quasihole band are mixed up with other states. It has been shown that the particle-hole symmetrization \( (\Psi \rightarrow \Psi^\ast) \) of the Pfaffian wave function improves the overlap with the Coulomb ground state. Our results show, however, that this also

---

\[ \Psi \] is the magnetic field tensor, \( \phi_0 \) is the magnetic flux through the surface of the sphere; \( \phi_0 = \hbar c/e \), and \( 2Q \) is an integer by Dirac’s quantization condition. Then wave functions in Eqs. (4)(5), which are written for the disk geometry, can be mapped to the sphere by the stereographic mapping, which amounts to the substitution

\[ (z_a - z_b) \rightarrow (u_b v_b - v_a u_b), \]  
(6)

for all coordinate differences, where \( u_a = \cos \beta \rho e^{-i\phi_a/2} \) and \( v_a = \sin \beta \rho e^{i\phi_a/2} \) are spinorial coordinates on the sphere. The orbital angular momentum quantum number is denoted by \( L \).
destroys the degeneracy of PfQH sector. One can ask whether the nonabelian statistics of the Pfaffian quasiholes is robust to p-h symmetrization; we are not able resolve this question definitively by a direct calculation of the braiding phases, which requires much larger systems.

FIG. 1: Upper left panel shows the original spectrum of $V^{PF}$ with $N = 8, 2Q = 2N - 1$ (four quasiholes); the Pfaffian quasihole states have zero energy. Also shown are the spectra for the p-h conjugate states (top right), the p-h symmetrized states (bottom left), and p-h antisymmetrized states (bottom right). The diamonds show the states derived from the Pfaffian quasihole branch.

| $L$ | $O(L = 0)$ | $O(L = 2)$ | $O(L = 3)$ | $O(L = 4)$ | $O(L = 5)$ | $O(L = 6)$ | $O(L = 8)$ |
|-----|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|     | 0.511      | 0.431      | 0.357      | 0.255      | 0.001      | 0.233      | $4 \times 10^{-7}$ |

TABLE I: Squared overlaps between the subspaces spanned by the zero-energy states and the subspaces spanned by their particle-hole conjugate, particle-hole symmetrized, and particle-hole antisymmetrized images, respectively. Squared overlaps are defined as $O = \sum_{i,j} \langle \Psi_{4-qh,i} | \Psi_{4-qh,j} \rangle^2 / N$, where $N$ is the number of degenerate multiplets of $V^{PF}$ at $L$, and $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$.

IV. OFF-DIAGONAL LONG RANGE ORDER

We wish to stress that the Pfaffian wave function does not represent a true superconductor; the pairing of composite fermions opens a gap to produce FQHE but does not establish long range phase coherence in the electronic state. For this purpose, we calculate the off-diagonal long range order parameter:

$$|G(r_1, r_2, r'_1, r'_2)| = \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{\psi}^\dagger(r_1) \hat{\psi}^\dagger(r_2) \hat{\psi}(r_2) \hat{\psi}(r_2) | \Psi_0 \rangle,$$

where $\hat{\psi}(r)$ and $\hat{\psi}^\dagger(r)$ are the usual annihilation and creation field operators. We place the primed coordinates near the north pole, separated by a distance equal to the magnetic length, and the unprimed coordinates at the south pole, also separated by a distance equal to the magnetic length. The results in Table II obtained by Monte Carlo calculation, demonstrate the absence of off-diagonal long-range order in the Pfaffian wave function.

| $N$ | $G(r_1, r_2, r'_1, r'_2)$ |
|-----|--------------------------|
| 4   | 0.0005(9)                |
| 6   | 0.001(2)                 |
| 8   | 0.0000(1)                |
| 10  | 0.0002(5)                |

TABLE II: Off-diagonal long-range order parameter $G(r_1, r_2, r'_1, r'_2)$ with $r_1$ and $r_2$ separated by $l_B$ about the north pole, and $r'_1$ and $r'_2$ separated by $l_B$ about the south pole for the paired CF wave function Pf$(1/(z_1 - z_3))\Phi_7$.

V. TESTING THE PFAFFIAN QUASIHOLE WAVE FUNCTION

We have recently carried out comparisons between the Pfaffian and Coulomb quasiholes. Figs. 2, 3 and 4 show the spectra for states with two and four quasiholes for $N = 10$ and 12 electrons. For 10 electrons, the Pfaffian model predicts zero energy states at $L = 1, 3, 5$ and $L = 0^2, 1^0, 2^4, 3^3, 4^4, 5^2, 6^3, 7^1, 8^2, 9^0, 10^1$, respectively (the superscript denotes the degeneracy), for two and four quasiholes. These states form the Pfaffian quasihole band. For 12 electrons, the Pfaffian quasihole band contains states at $L = 0, 2, 4, 6$ for two quasiholes and $L = 0^3, 1^0, 2^4, 3^3, 4^5, 5^2, 6^3, 7^2, 8^3, 9^1, 10^2, 11^0, 12^1$ for four quasiholes. For 14 electrons, the Pfaffian quasihole band for two quasiholes has states at angular momenta $L = 1, 3, 5, 7$.

The Coulomb spectra in Figs. 2, 3, and 4 do not show well defined bands that have a one-to-one correspondence with the Pfaffian quasihole bands. Ref. 22 gives overlaps between the Pfaffian and Coulomb quasiholes states, which are generally worse than for the ground state. Fig. 5 depicts for the two quasiholes state (for 14 electrons) the “total overlap,” defined as $O = \sum_{L=1,3,5,7} \langle \Psi_{L}^{PF} | \Psi_{L}^{Coul} \rangle^2 / 4$ where $\Psi_{L}^{PF}$ is the two quasiholes state with $L = L$ and $\Psi_{L}^{Coul}$ is the Coulomb ground state with $L_z = L$. This figure shows the dependence of the overlap on the form of the interaction; by
VI. ENERGY SPLITTING OF THE PFAFIAN QUASIHOLE STATES

The Pfaffian model predicts a $2^{m-1}$ degenerate wave functions for any given configuration of $2m$ quasiholes, which is responsible for the emergence of nonabelian braiding statistics. Any deviation from the model interaction $V_{Pf}$ lifts this degeneracy, but a case can be made that if the energy splitting of these states remains exponentially small as a function of the distance between the quasiholes, the idea of nonabelian statistics remains experimentally relevant. It would be of interest to test how the splitting behaves in a realistic calculation. Unfortunately, a good model for the Coulomb quasiholes is not available, and it is not known how separated quasiholes can be produced in exact diagonalization studies\(^{22}\) (Section VII). We study how the Coulomb interaction splits the degeneracy while restricting to the PfQH sector. In light of the above comparisons, such a restriction is not necessarily a valid approximation, because the Coulomb interaction causes a substantial mixing with states outside of the PfQH sector. However, a more accurate cal-

increasing the $V_1$ pseudopotential of the Coulomb interaction by 0.03 units, it is possible to increase the overlap from 0.3 to 0.6. For large $\delta V_1$, the solution is essentially the lowest-LL Coulomb solution, Fig. 3 thus shows that the Pfaffian wave functions provide a comparable description of the state in the lowest two Landau levels.
The calculation requires at least four quasiholes, which we place on the sphere at maximal separation, i.e., at the vertices of a regular tetrahedron. The Coulomb interaction in the first and second LLs is diagonalized in the space spanned by two Pfaffian quasihole wave functions. The overlap and interaction matrices are calculated by Monte Carlo methods; an orthonormal basis is found by the standard Gram-Schmidt procedure; and the interaction is diagonalized in this basis. The Coulomb interaction in the second LL is simulated in the lowest LL by an effective interaction of the form

$$V_{\text{eff}}(r) = \frac{1}{r} + \sum_{i=0}^{M} c_i r^i,$$

(8)

where the coefficients $c_i$ are fixed so that the lowest LL pseudopotentials $V_m^{(1)}$ of the second LL Coulomb pseudopotentials $V_m^{(1)}$ for odd integral values of $m$. (For relevant formulas, see Ref. 23.)

As apparent in Fig. 6, the lowest LL Coulomb interaction and the effective second LL interaction give different results for small ($N \leq 30$) systems. Because the energy splittings are very close in the $30 < N < 54$ range, we study larger systems ($N > 54$) with the lowest LL Coulomb interaction only. It is likely that the long distance behavior of the splitting does not depend on the Landau level index (given that the interaction at long distances is independent of the LL index). Fig. 7 shows the lowest LL splitting.

The energy splitting is a nonmonotonic function of $N$ (or $R$). Near the local minima the error in the logarithm of the energy splitting is seen to become very large. We therefore ask how the value of the ODLRO parameter at the local maxima decays with distance. While inconclusive, our results are most consistent with a power law decay of the splitting: A straight line fits at all the four bumps in the log-log plot (Fig. 8), but not in the semilog plot (not shown). A study of larger numbers of particles will be required for further confirmation, which is impractical at this stage, but assuming a power law, the energy splitting decays with an exponent $\alpha = -2.37(6)$. We stress again that the fact that the Coulomb interaction causes a substantial mixing with the non-PfQH sector diminishes the value of the calculation presented in this section.

### VII. SEPARATING QUASIHOLES

For the purpose of braiding statistics it is necessary to consider spatially localized states of quasiholes. In Ref. 22 we have studied states of two quasiholes in the pres-
ence of delta function impurities that attract the quasiholes. We take the impurities to be placed at one or both of the poles so the eigenfunctions have a well defined $L_z$ (although they do not have a well defined $L$ quantum number). We also assume sufficiently weak strengths for the impurity potential, so they do not cause a mixing of the Pfaffian quasihole states with higher energy states. Our principal results are as follows.

For two Coulomb quasiholes, at first sight, one may expect that even a single delta function should produce well separated quasiholes, because it can bind one of them, which then should repel the other. As seen in Fig. 9(c, d), neither one nor two delta functions produce separated quasiholes. In fact, the charge profile is practically identical for the two cases. The situation is more restrictive for the Coulomb interaction because, instead of many degenerate states, we have a single ground state multiplet with a definite $L$. All that weak disorder can do is cause a mixing between the different $L_z$ components of the ground state multiplet. For the case of two delta functions at the two poles, $L_z$ is a good quantum number, so the delta functions only lift the degeneracy of the $L_z$ states. The lack of quasihole separation in space is attributable to the fact that the ground state now has a more or less definite $L$. The absence of exact degeneracy inhibits quasihole localization.

VIII. IMPLICATIONS FOR BRAIDING STATISTICS

The Pfaffian quasiholes are believed to obey non-abelian braiding statistics. Our finite system studies of the Coulomb solutions do not provide a clear confirmation of the Pfaffian model, and therefore of the non-abelian statistics. We cannot rule out the possibility that the Pfaffian physics will be recovered in the thermodynamic limit. It is useful to recall, in this context, how the fractional abelian braiding statistics\textsuperscript{27, 28, 29} of the quasiparticles of the $\nu = n/(2n + 1)$ states has been confirmed theoretically. There, the CF theory provides a qualitatively valid description the quasiparticle band, as well as accurate wave functions. These wave functions are then used for large systems to establish the abelian statistics\textsuperscript{30, 31}. These calculations also demonstrate that the braiding statistics is not well defined when quasiparticles are overlapping, which is why its confirmation requires large systems. The nonavailability of accurate wave functions for the $5/2$ quasiparticles or quasiholes prevents similar calculations of their braiding properties.
IX. AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH FOR 5/2 FQHE

It is not known how the Pfaffian wave functions can be improved for the two body Coulomb interaction, due to lack of variational parameters. Further, the pairing of composite fermions is viewed as arising from an instability of the CF Fermi sea, but the CF Fermi sea is not a limiting case of the Pfaffian wave function. These observations have motivated us to approach the 5/2 FQHE from the CF Fermi sea end, without assuming any pairing at the outset. The idea is straightforward. We know that noninteracting composite fermions do not show FQHE at 5/2; our approach is to include the residual interactions between them by constructing a basis of “noninteracting,” or the “unperturbed,” CF ground and excited states and rediagonalizing the Coulomb interaction in that subspace to obtain the spectrum for “interacting” composite fermions. This is known as the CF diagonalization, and the relevant techniques are described in the literature. As usual, we simulate the second LL physics in the lowest LL by working with an appropriate effective interaction. We work at the same flux value as the Pfaffian wave function, but because of a technical reason, we work with holes, rather than electrons. (Holes are not to be confused with quasiholes.) By particle hole symmetry, the number of holes is given by \( N_h = (2Q + 1) - N = N - 2 \) at \( 2Q = 2N - 3 \). In what follows, composite fermions are made by attaching vortices to holes rather than electrons. We show results at “zeroth order” CF diagonalization (when only the lowest energy unperturbed states are considered) and “first order” CF diagonalization (which also includes states with one higher unit of “kinetic energy”). The composite fermion kinetic energy levels are called \( \Lambda \) levels.

Figure shows the excitation spectra at the half filled second LL for \( N_h = 12, 14, 16 \) and 20 obtained by CF diagonalization at the zeroth and the first orders. \( (N_h = 18) \) is not considered as it aliases with \( \nu = \frac{5}{2} \) of holes.) The residual interaction between composite fermions lifts the degeneracy between various states to produce an incompressible state already at the lowest (zeroth) order, which neglects \( \Lambda \)-level mixing. Although the energy gaps change by up to 50% in going from the the zeroth to the first order, the incompressibility is preserved, indicating that while \( \Lambda \)-level mixing renormalizes composite fermions, it does not cause any phase transition. The overestimation of gaps at the zeroth order may be attributed to the very small dimensions of the CF basis. All CF basis states are perturbations of the noninteracting CF Fermi sea, making it explicit that a rearrangement of composite fermions near the CF Fermi level is responsible for the \( \frac{5}{2} \) FQHE. Although there is some ambiguity as to which excitation is to be identified with the transport gap (corresponding to a far separated quasiparticle-quasihole pair), an inspection indicates a gap of \( \sim 0.02 \), which is consistent with the earlier results from exact diagonalization.

Figure shows analogous results for the half filled lowest LL. The zeroth order CF diagonalization generates the lowest band, and the first order generates the next band. The energies of states in the lowest band do not change appreciably from zeroth to the first order. The energy gap between the two lowest bands can be understood as the energy cost of exciting one more CF particle-hole pair. No such bands are seen for half filled second LL.

It is not known how this description of the 5/2 FQHE relates to the Pfaffian model. In particular, a natural description of the quasiparticles is as excited composite fermions (which are heavily renormalized by the residual interaction). From this perspective, there is no reason to suspect that they would obey nonabelian statistics, although that cannot be ruled out as the residual interaction causes nonperturbative change.
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