The international cacao collection in CATIE, Costa Rica contains nearly 1200 accessions of cacao, mainly from the center of genetic diversity of this species. Among these accessions, the United Fruit clones (UF clones) were developed by the United Fruit Company in Costa Rica, and they represent one of the earliest groups of improved cacao germplasm in the world. Some of these UF clones have been used as key progenitors for breeding resistance/tolerance to Frosty Pod and Black Pod diseases in the Americas. Accurate information on the identity and background of these clones is important for their effective use in breeding. Using Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) markers, we genotyped 273 cacao germplasm accessions including 44 UF clones and 229 reference accessions. We verified the true-to-type identity of UF clones in the CATIE cacao collection and analyzed their population memberships using maximum-likelihood-based approaches. Three duplicate groups, representing approximately 30% of the UF clones, were identified. Both distance- and model-based clustering methods showed that the UF clones were mainly composed of Trinitario, ancient Nacional and hybrids between ancient Nacional and Amelonado. This result filled the information gap about the UF clones thus will improve their utilization for cacao breeding.
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Table 1. Summary list of 273 analyzed cacao accessions including UF clones, Trinitario clones and the ten reference germplasm groups

| Population/group     | Origin                | Sample size | Provider                  |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| United Fruit (UF)    | Costa Rica            | 44          | CATIE, Costa Rica         |
| Nacional             | Ecuador               | 20          | INIAP, Ecuador            |
| Criollo              | Puerto Rico, Honduras, Nicaragua | 20  | SPCL, TARS, USDA         |
| Amelonado            | Puerto Rico, Honduras, Nicaragua | 20  | SPCL, TARS, USDA         |
| LCT EEN (Curaray)    | Ecuador               | 20          | INIAP, Ecuador            |
| IMC (Iquitos)        | Peru                  | 20          | ICG, T, Trinidad          |
| Nanay                | Peru                  | 25          | ICG, T, Trinidad          |
| Parinari             | Peru                  | 20          | ICG, T, Trinidad          |
| Scavina/Ucayali (Contamana) | Peru       | 20          | ICG, T, Trinidad and ICT, Peru |
| Purus                | Brazil                | 25          | SPCL, TARS, USDA         |
| French Guiana        | French Guiana         | 25          | CIRAD, France             |
| Trinitario           | Trinidad              | 14          | ICG, T, Trinidad          |

United Fruit Company was formed in 1899 when the Boston Fruit Company merged with the Tropical Trading and Transport Company, then with several other companies that produced, imported and marketed bananas from the Caribbean islands, Central America and Colombia. The principal founder was Minor C. Keith (the banana king of Costa Rica), who developed banana plantations in Costa Rica beginning in the 1870s. The company started cocoa production in the early 20th century, hoping to replace financial losses resulting from problems in the banana industry of this country (Johnson et al. 2007, Keithan 1940).

In 1907, cacao seedlings derived from pods introduced from Trinidad and Tobago were planted on the Caribbean coasts of Costa Rica and Panama. The pods from Trinidad were described as a Forastero Amelonado type having much larger pods and beans than the Amelonado cacao grown in Limon at that time. In 1936, after years of random hybridization and selection for pod size, bean size and yield, and after surveying thousands of trees in Limon, Costa Rica, advanced breeding lines were selected. The 12 best trees were clonally propagated and designated with UF (for United Fruit) numbers (Table 1). In mid-1940s, the United Fruit Company expanded the planting of cacao (and oil palm) as replacement crops on the west coast of Costa Rica, because of the widespread incidence of Panama disease on banana. Extensive evaluation was carried out in the Quepos Los Rios farm, using planting materials introduced from Limon and other undocumented sources. An additional group of UF clones were selected after multi-location trials involving Almirante, Limon and Quepos. Today, a total of 44 UF clones are maintained at CATIE, Costa Rica in the “International Cocoa Collection” (IC3). Some of these clones, such as UF-12, UF-273 and UF-712 have been used as key progenitors for breeding new cacao varieties having resistance/tolerance to Frosty Pod disease. This disease, caused by *Moniliophthora roreri*, occurs in most major cacao producing areas in the Western Hemisphere, but will potentially spread to the major cocoa producing regions in Asia and Africa (Evans 2007, Phillips-Mora and Wilkinson 2007).

So far, the utilization of the UF clones as progenitors outside the Americas (e.g. Southeast Asia and West Africa) has been minimal. The lack of information on genetic background of the UF clones is one of the reasons for their limited utilization in breeding. The UF clones have been characterized morphologically and genotyped using SSR markers and a field guide has been developed based on these works (Johnson et al. 2007), which significantly improved our understanding about genetic diversity in this germplasm group. However, because at that time the diversity analysis was not conducted in the context of the entire cacao primary gene pool, the genetic background of the UF clones, in terms of their ancestry and relationships with other known germplasm groups, was not analyzed. It is believed that the UF clones were selected from MATINA (an Amelonado cacao variety in Costa Rica) material and Nacional type germplasm from Ecuador (Bartley 2005), but detailed information has not been available. The main objective of the present study was to verify the genetic identity and analyze the ancestry and genetic background of these breeding lines. The resultant information will be highly useful for improving the accuracy and efficiency of cacao genebank management in CATIE and will facilitate the further use of this germplasm in breeding new varieties with enhanced resistance to diseases, productivity and quality attributes.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant materials**

The 44 UF Clones were sampled from the international cacao germplasm collection at CATIE, located in Turrialba in the province of Cartago, Costa Rica (Table 1). These trees were morphologically characterized in the 1970s (Engels 1981) based on a comprehensive list of morphological descriptors. Two examples of UF clones, showing different pod shapes were presented in Fig. 1. In addition to the 44 UF clones, 229 international clones were included in this experiment as references. These 229 reference clones represent 10 known germplasm groups of cultivated cacao, as classified by Motamayor et al. (2008). Genetic identities of these clones were determined through an international initiative of DNA fingerprinting of cacao (Zhang et al. 2009a, 2009b) and various studies on cacao germplasm management (Cosme et al. 2016, Ji et al. 2013, Motilal et al. 2010). The majority of the reference clones were maintained in the
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Fig. 1. Matured pods (fruits) of two UF clones, showing the pod shape of Nacional hybrid (UF-613) and Trinitario (UF-677).

two international gene banks in Trinidad and Costa Rica (Motilal et al. 2010, Zhang et al. 2009a, 2009b). These reference trees were sampled from the original collections maintained at Marper Farm and San Juan Estate in Trinidad, and Cabiria Farm at CATIE in Costa Rica. The rest of the reference clones were from the cacao samples collected by the USDA ARS Sustainable Perennial Crops laboratory from various national collections, including the Agricultural Research Institute (INLAP) of Ecuador and the Tropical Crop Institute (ICT) of Peru and Comissão Executiva do Plano da Lavoura Cacaueira and (CEPLAC) of Brazil. The summary list of these ten groups and UF clones were listed in Table 1.

Two healthy young leaves were collected from each tree, and the samples were dried in silica gel and sent to the USDA Beltsville agricultural Research Center, Maryland, USA for genotyping. DNA was extracted from dried leaf samples with the DNeasy® Plant Mini Kit (QiaGen, Inc., Valencia, CA), which is based on the use of silica as an affinity matrix. The remainder of the extraction method followed manufacturer’s suggestions. DNA was eluted from the silica column with two washes of 50 μL Buffer AE, which were pooled, resulting in 100 μL DNA solution. Using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE), DNA concentration was determined by absorbance at 260 nm. DNA purity was estimated by the 260/280 ratio and the 260/230 ratio.

SNP markers and genotyping

Forty-eight SNP markers were selected from 1560 putative candidate SNPs based on cDNA sequences from a wide range of cacao organs (Allegre et al. 2012, Argout et al. 2008, Bocanca, personal communication). The selection of SNPs was based on the level of polymorphism and their distribution across the ten chromosomes in cacao. The chosen 48 markers were used to design and manufacture primers for a SNPtype™ genotyping panel by the Assay Design Group at Fluidigm Corporation (San Francisco, CA). The full list of the 48 SNPs and their flanking sequences are presented in Table 2. Genotyping was performed on the high-throughput Fluidigm EP1™ system, using the Fluidigm SNPtype Genotyping Reagent Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and nanoFLUIDIC 48.48 Dynamic Array™ IFCs (Integrated Fluidic Circuit; Fluidigm Corp.). These chips automatically assemble PCR reactions, enabling simultaneous testing of up to 48 samples with 48 SNP markers. Fluorescent intensity was measured with the EP1™ reader and plotted in two axes. Genotypic calls were made using the Fluidigm SNP Genotyping Analysis program.

Data analysis

Key descriptive statistics for measuring informativeness of the SNP markers were calculated, including observed heterozygosity, expected heterozygosity, and probability of identity (Evett and Weir 1998, Waits et al. 2001). The program GenAIEx 6.2 (Peakall and Smouse 2012) was used for computation. For clone or duplicate identification, pairwise multilocus matching was applied among individual varieties and the reference clones, using the same program. Statistical rigor was assessed for match declaration using the probability of identity (PID) that two individuals may share the same multilocus genotype by chance (Waits et al. 2001). In computing PID, it was assumed that all individual genotypes were siblings (PID-sib), which was defined as the probability that two sibling individuals drawn at random from a population have the same multilocus genotype (Evett and Weir 1998, Waits et al. 2001). The overall PID-sib is the upper limit of the possible ranges of PID in a population, thus providing the most conservative number of loci required to resolve all individuals, including relatives (Waits et al. 2001). This can be computed using the following equation:

\[ P_{ID-sib} = 0.25 + (0.5 \Sigma p_i^2) + [0.5(\Sigma p_i^2)^2] - (0.25 \Sigma p_i^4) \]

where \( p_i \) is the frequency of the \( i \)th allele.

The computation was carried out using the program GenAIEx 6.2 (Peakall and Smouse 2012). Accessions with different names that were fully matched at the genotyped SNP loci were declared duplicates or synonymous accessions.

After examining duplicates in the analyzed samples, assignment test was applied to infer population membership and admixed ancestry (hybrids or ancestral forms) of the UF clones, using a model-based clustering method implemented in the software program STRUCTURE (Pritchard et al. 2000). The UF clones were analyzed, together with samples in the 10 reference groups that potentially had made ancestral contribution to these farmer selections. The number of clusters (K-value) was set to 10, assuming that each of the 10 populations may have contributed to the UF clones. Ten independent runs were assessed for K = 10. The run with the highest Ln Pr (\( \lambda | K \)) value of the 10 runs was chosen and presented in a bar plot. Q-value was used to present the ancestral contribution (membership) from each germplasm group. Accessions possessing ≥25% membership (Q-value)
Table 2. Forty-eight SNPs and their flanking sequences used for genotyping cacao germplasm accessions in CATIE, Costa Rica

| SNP code | Chromosome number | SNPs and Flanking sequences |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| TcSNP25  | 9                 | TTTATGTTACTTTGGTGGGTGTGGTTTGTTGATTTTTGTTTGCATTATTTTGTGGAC[C/G]GGACCTTAGTA |
| TcSNP32  | 4                 | TCACTTTTGAATATAGAAGATGGATGATATTGTGCAAAAATAATACCATAGT[G/C]TTAGGGCTGTTGAGG |
| TcSNP139 | 8                 | AAATAACTAGTTACCAAGCTGATTTGTTGTGAGGAG[TC/G]TTGACCCATTACAAACCCGACAGT |
| TcSNP144 | 10                | ACCGACACAGGATACCGTGAATGGGGAACTATGAAGGCAGCTTGCTATGTGGGA[A/C]ATTTGCACT |
| TcSNP150 | 5                 | ATACCAGTCTAGCTCTGTCATACTGGGAACCCACCACCGTTGCTGCTTGCAGAAG |
| TcSNP193 | 9                 | GCATAGAAATTACGACAGCCCAATTTCTTGTTAAAAGTTCTGTTTAAT[A/G]CTGTACTGCTGAATAAT |
| TcSNP226 | 9                 | AAGCCCAAGGCCCAAGGCTACGCGACGAAGGCAGTGAACCAGGCGCTGAGGGGGC[G/C]CAGAGG |
| TcSNP230 | 10                | TGAAGCTGGAGGGATTGTGGAGAGTGTTGGTGAGGGTGTGACTGACAACCAGGTGA[T/C]CATGTCCC |
| TcSNP242 | 9                 | AGCAATCCGCCAAACAAGCCCAAAAACCCGGCTTGCTT[C/T]CTTTGCGCAGAGAAACATTTGGG |
| TcSNP309 | 6                 | ATACGATGAAGAAAATAATTACATTATTTAGTACAGAAAGCCATAGCC[T/C]CCTCAAAACATA |
| TcSNP372 | 4                 | TCTGAATTGGAAATGAGTGAGATGGGTGTGAGTAATGGGGGTAGTGGGCTGTTGAAAG |
| TcSNP429 | 2                 | TGGATGGATTATAAAGGTTGAGATGAATGACGCCGGGGAGTTGAAGAACTTGATGGGCAAG |
| TcSNP469 | 7                 | AGCCATGCGCGAACAAAGCCACCGTTAACCAGGCTACACT[G/A]GAGACGTTCACC |
| TcSNP529 | 1                 | ATAGGGCTTGTAATGACTCTACTGCTACAAAGCAAAAGGTC[A/G]AAGGAATAGGCTGATAGG |
| TcSNP534 | 1                 | TGGAAATAGTTCCATTTGAAATGTTGAGTGCAGCCTTTTAAGCAACTGTACTGACA[T/C]TATAGGTTG |
| TcSNP560 | 10                | GAGCCGAGAATACAAACGGCAGGTAGGACACAGACAGACGGCAAGAAAGAGGCGAGGG |
in a given cluster were considered as receiving a significant ancestry contribution from that cluster (genetic group). Accessions possessing ≥75% membership were considered to be a member of that cluster. Accessions possessing >25% but <75% membership were considered as hybrids of two (or more) clusters.

After assignment test, multivariate analysis was used to provide a complementary assessment of the relationship among the UF clones and their relationships with reference clones from international genebanks. In this analysis, we included only ancestry populations that are relevant to the origin of the UF clones, based on the result of assignment test. Pair-wise genetic distance was computed for every pair of accessions, using the genetic distance procedure in GenAlEx 6.2 (Peakall and Smouse 2006). The same program was then used to perform Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA), based on the pairwise distance matrix. Both distance and covariance were standardized.

Of the 48 SNP markers, 44 were successful in genotyping across all 313 samples. The remaining four SNPs (Tc1038, Tc1144, Tc1165 and Tc226) had a low success rate (<90%) thus were removed from the data set. A total of 44 polymorphic SNPs were retained for further analysis. Based on the 44 SNP markers, the expected heterozygosity in the UF clones was 0.741, whereas the observed heterozygosity was 0.694. Inbreeding coefficient was negligible in the UF clones. This result revealed that UF clones are mainly composed by hybrids involving different germplasm groups.

Multilocus matching, based on 44 SNP markers, revealed three synonymous groups, involving 16 clones (Table 3). SNP profiles of the repeated genotyping on DNA samples that had been independently extracted from the same accessions showed that genotyping results were highly consistent. The probability that two UF clones will have the same genotype at the 44 SNP loci is approximately 1 in
Table 3. Identified synonymous groups (duplicates) in the UF clones maintained in CATIE, Costa Rica based on SNP markers (showing truncated profiles of 48 SNPs)

| Synonymous group | Sample name | TcSNP 25 | TcSNP 32 | TcSNP 139 | TcSNP 144 | TcSNP 150 | TcSNP 151 | TcSNP 193 | TcSNP 226 | TcSNP 230 | TcSNP 242 | TcSNP 309 | TcSNP 372 |
|------------------|-------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1                | UF 10A      | G G      | A T      | G T       | A C       | G T       | C T       | A C       | C G       | A G       | C T       | C T       | A T       |
| 1                | UF 601      | G G      | A T      | G T       | A C       | G T       | C T       | A C       | C G       | A G       | C T       | C T       | A T       |
| 1                | UF 168      | G G      | A T      | G T       | A C       | G T       | C T       | A C       | C G       | A G       | C T       | C T       | A T       |
| 1                | UF 601      | G G      | A T      | G T       | A C       | G T       | C T       | A C       | C G       | A G       | C T       | C T       | A T       |
| 1                | UF 650      | G G      | A T      | G T       | A C       | G T       | C T       | A C       | C G       | A G       | C T       | C T       | A T       |
| 1                | UF 654      | G G      | A T      | G T       | A C       | G T       | C T       | A C       | C G       | A G       | C T       | C T       | A T       |
| 1                | UF 667      | G G      | A T      | G T       | A C       | G T       | C T       | A C       | C G       | A G       | C T       | C T       | A T       |
| 1                | UF 668      | G G      | A T      | G T       | A C       | G T       | C T       | A C       | C G       | A G       | C T       | C T       | A T       |
| 1                | UF 676      | G G      | A T      | G T       | A C       | G T       | C T       | A C       | C G       | A G       | C T       | C T       | A T       |
| 1                | UF 677      | G G      | A T      | G T       | A C       | G T       | C T       | A C       | C G       | A G       | C T       | C T       | A T       |
| 2                | UF 29       | C G      | A T      | G T       | C C       | G T       | C T       | A C       | C C       | A A       | C C       | C T       | A T       |
| 2                | UF 242      | C G      | A T      | G T       | C C       | G T       | C T       | A C       | C C       | A A       | C C       | C T       | A T       |
| 2                | UF 705      | C G      | A T      | G T       | C C       | G T       | C T       | A C       | C C       | A A       | C C       | C T       | A T       |
| 3                | UF 716      | C G      | A T      | G G      | C C       | G T       | C T       | A C       | C C       | A G       | C C       | T T       | A T       |
| 3                | UF 717      | C G      | A T      | G G      | C G      | C G      | C T       | A C       | C C       | A G       | C C       | T T       | A T       |

1,000,000 for the tested UF clones, as computed by the multilocus matching procedure implemented in GenAlEx 6.5. In total, the duplicated accessions accounted for approximately 30% of the UF clones maintained in this collection. The clones in the three synonymous groups were excluded in subsequent analyses of genetic diversity and population structure.

Model-based assignment test showed that out of the 44 UF clones, twelve were identified as classical Trinitario (Table 4). These clones (UF 613, 650, 652, 654, 666, 667, 668, 672, 676, 677, 678 and 679) are descendants of Trinidad and Tobago germplasm and were selected in 1936 by the United Fruit Company in Limon, Costa Rica, after years of random hybridization and selection. At that time the germplasm of Upper Amazon Forastero had not been collected and used in cacao breeding. Therefore Criollo and Amelonado were the only available parental lines. The result is comparable with the early selections (e.g. the Imperial College Selections) in Trinidad and Tobago, which are mainly hybrids between Criollo and Amelonado.

In addition to the Trinitario type accessions, two Nacional type clones, UF-20 and UF-712, were revealed in the present study (Table 4). Both clones have a Q-value above 95%, thus are assigned to the Nacional group, showing their full Nacional membership. These clones reflected the introduction of native cacao germplasm from Ecuador after the UF Company’s purchase of large cacao plantation in Tenguel, Ecuador. After the catastrophic disease attack in the 1910s–1920s, cacao production was replaced by banana in the coast of Ecuador and some of the cacao germplasm was transferred to Costa Rica.

The last group of UF clones was all Nacional hybrids, with Nacional membership ranging from 0.25 to 0.77 (Table 4). This group of UF clones probably represented selections in the breeding program of United Fruit Company during a later stage (1944–1950) when the indigenous germplasm from Ecuador was hybridized with Amelonado cacao in Costa Rica.

Result of the distance-based Principal Coordinates Analysis (Fig. 2) fully supported the Bayesian clustering outcome. The plane of the first three main PCO axes accounted for 25.3%, 12.9% and 9.0% of total variation, respectively. The relevant reference clones were clustered in six groups, which matched well with their known classification in cacao germplasm groups. The three types of UF clones, including classical Trinitario, ancient Nacional and Nacional hybrids were clearly separated in the PCoA plot (Fig. 2).

Discussion

The International Cacao Collection at CATIE (Centro Agronómico Tropical de Investigación y Enseñanza) in Costa Rica and ICGT in Trinidad and Tobago are two universal collections covering all of the known genetic groups (CacaoNet 2012). As with most other cacao germplasm collections, information gaps on passport data remain to be filled. Some primary and secondary contributors of germplasm were unable to guarantee the authenticity of the material supplied. This is considered a common cause of the introduction of mislabeled accessions into cacao collections (Motilal et al. 2013, Wadsworth and Harwood 2000). Significant efforts have been made to solve the problem of mislabeling in some international cacao collections (Motilal et al. 2013, Zhang et al. 2009b); however, the problem in most of the various national collections has not been fully resolved. In the past few years, microsatellite markers have been widely used in cacao genotyping and individual identification, enabling systematic assessment of genetic identity in national and international cacao genebanks (Motilal et al. 2009, 2010, Zhang et al. 2009b). Reference SSR profiles of cacao clones have been deposited in the International Cacao Germplasm Database at the University of Reading, UK (http://www.icgd.rdg.ac.uk/index.php). However, comparison of genotyping results from different laboratories has not
Molecular characterization of the UF clones of cacao using SNP

The present study demonstrated that SNP-based multilocus fingerprints significantly improved the efficiency of genotype identification. The “UF Clones” in Costa Rica was one of the earliest groups of improved cacao germplasm now maintained in the international germplasm collection in CATIE, Costa Rica. Although morphological characterization has been done on these clones, accurate identification of an individual clone had not been achieved. Our result showed that synonymously mislabeled clones can be accurately identified through the comparison of a small set of SNP markers. Moreover, since SNP genotyping can be done in high-throughput fashion and executed by a centralized service provider, as shown by the recent example in West Africa (Padi et al. 2015), verification of large numbers of trees can be achieved rapidly with reasonable cost.

In addition to accurate genotype identification, this small set of SNP markers allowed us to clarify the genetic background of the UF clones, by comparing them with the ten known germplasm groups. Through multivariate clustering analysis and assignment test, we delineated their origin and genetic background. Both distance- and model-based clustering methods showed that the UF clones were composed of Trinitario and Nacional background. This genetic background is highly similar to the Refractario cacao maintained...
in the international genebank in Trinidad (Zhang et al. 2008). Refractario cacao was collected from the coast of Ecuador by J.F. Pound after the catastrophic disease infection in the 1920s. However the proven resistance to Frosty Pod in some of the UF clones, such as UF-712 and UF-273, make them highly valuable for cacao breeders.

The present study used a germplasm panel of 229 accesses to represent the 10 known germplasm groups. The classification system of 10 germplasm clusters was reported by Motamayor et al. (2008) based on 90 SSR markers. In the present study, we were able to differentiate the 10 germplasm clusters based on the 44 SNP markers. This reference germplasm panel allowed us to assess ancestry admixture or infer parentage for the UF clones. In addition to the UF clones, the CATIE cacao collection contains several other groups of improved cacao germplasm including ARF (Área de Recursos Fitogenéticos), CC (Cacao Center Selections) and PMCT (Programa de Mejoramiento de Cultivos Tropicales). For these breeding lines, the reference germplasm panel of 10 clusters is being used to verify population membership and/or recorded pedigrees, which are essential for maintaining correct passport information records and to facilitate a better use of these breeding lines for cacao genetic improvement.

Frosty Pod caused by *Moniliophthora roreri*, is a major concern due to its devastating effects on yields and limited available control measures (Evans 2007, Phillips-Mora and Wilkinson 2007). The breeding program in CATIE, Costa Rica started breeding for improved resistance to FPR in early 1990s. The UF clones have been used as the main source for FPR resistance (Phillips-Mora et al. 2005). *Moniliophthora roreri* was confined to northwestern South America until the 1950s; now it is found in 11 countries in tropical America. *M. roreri*, is a very aggressive pathogen that has the capacity to survive under extreme environmental conditions; has a rapid dispersal mechanism and the propensity for human dispersion, and is capable of infecting most commercial cacao genotypes, all of which makes Frosty Pod disease a substantial threat to the worldwide cultivation of cacao (Bailey et al. 2013). Preventive breeding in uninfected cacao-producing regions has been proposed but this practice has not been implemented except by Brazil (Gutierrez et al. 2016, Phillips-Mora et al. 2007). So far, in Southeast Asia and West Africa where 85% of the world’s cocoa production are based, sources of resistance to FPR are still needed to be incorporated in the breeding program or in seed gardens. Lack of information on the genetic background of these breeding lines may partially explain breeders’ reluctance to use the UF germplasm. The present study fills the information gap regarding their origin and genetic background, and the FPR-resistant UF clones, with a Nacional background that differs from the currently used progenitors in Asia and Africa, should be incorporated in their breeding programs and seed gardens.
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