Occurrence characteristics of branching structures in equatorial plasma bubbles: a statistical study based on all-sky imagers in China
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Key Points:
- Branching structure is a high occurrence phenomenon during the evolution of EPBs but not all EPBs are observed to develop branching.
- Occurrence times of BSEPBs vary with local time, and most of the BSEPBs begin to appear between 21:00 and 22:00 LT.
- BSEPBs occurrence is related to solar activity and geomagnetic activity.
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Abstract: Branching structure (BS) is a very important phenomenon in the evolution of equatorial plasma bubbles (EPBs), the mechanism of which is widely studied from observation and from simulation. However, occurrence characteristics of branching structure of equatorial plasma bubbles (BSEPBs) have not been well addressed. In this work, we used seven-years (2012−2018) of observations from two all-sky imagers to study occurrence of BSEPBs in detail. These data reveal a high incidence of BS in EPB cases; in particular, most EPBs occurring on days with geomagnetic disturbances exhibited BS. Periods when all EPBs exhibited BS increased significantly in the 2014 solar maximum. Occurrence times of BSEPBs varied with local time; most of the BSEPBs began to appear between 21:00 and 22:00 LT. During the solar maximum, some BSEPBs were observed after midnight. The data also reveal that BSEPBs are characterized primarily by two branches or three branches. Multi-branching appeared only in the solar maximum. EPB events with different coexisting branching structures increased from 2012 to 2014 and decreased from 2014 to 2018. These results strongly suggest that BSEPB occurrence is related to solar activity and geomagnetic activity, and thus provide a new perspective for future studies of EPBs as well as enriching our understanding of ionospheric irregularity.
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1. Introduction
The ionosphere usually becomes unstable after sunset, promoting development of some plasma density depletions in the low-latitudes, which are called equatorial spread F or equatorial plasma bubbles (EPBs). It is generally accepted that EPBs are caused by Rayleigh-Taylor-like instability (Ott, 1978; 1981; Kelley, 2009; Makela and Otsuka, 2012). As EPBs evolve, some exhibit branching structure (BS). The branching structure of equatorial plasma bubbles (BSEPBs) is a very important phenomenon.

Over the past years, equatorial plasma bubbles exhibiting branching structure have been detected by different instruments (e.g., Woodman and La Hoz, 1976; Tsunoda et al., 1982). Woodman and La Hoz (1976) detected BSEPBs in radar observations. Similarly, Tsunoda et al. (1982) observed BSEPBs in ALTAIR radar data. Mendillo and Baumgardner (1982), and Mendillo and Tyler (1983) observed the BSEPB phenomenon repeatedly in airglow observations. Meanwhile, some satellite observations confirmed the presence of branching in EPBs (Aggson et al., 1996). Based on so many observations, generation of BSEPBs has been widely studied. Aggson et al. (1996) used observations from satellites to determine that appearances of BS in EPBs are related to the electric field arising from the plasma depletion region. Some studies have suggested that occurrences of BSEPB are due to the polarization electric fields associated with EPBs (Li GZ et al., 2011; Wu K et al., 2017). Makela et al. (2006) presented evidence that BSEPBs are caused by secondary instabilities. A number of simulations have also been performed to study the evolution of BSEPBs. Zalesak et al. (1982) used a three-layer model to simulate a simple BSEPB under a certain diffusion parameter and a ratio of conductivity relative to the background. Huba and Joyce (2008) showed that a 2D simulation code (NRLESF2) could generate multiple BSEPBs. Yokoyama et al. (2014) reproduced the nonlinear growth of EPB and BSEPB process, using a three-dimensional high-resolution bubble model. Although the evolution of BSEPBs has been widely studied and simulated, their occurrence characteristics have not been investigated in detail.
In this paper, we used seven-years (2012–2018) of observations from two all-sky imagers located at Fuke and Qujing, China to study BSEPB occurrence characteristics. Statistical results show that BS occurs with a high incidence in the evolution of EPBs. However, not all EPBs are accompanied by BS occurrence. Occurrence times of BSEPBs change with local time. Furthermore, their occurrence characteristics are found to be related to solar activity and geomagnetic activity.

2. Instrumentation
The airglow data used in this study were from two all-sky imagers, which were deployed at low-latitude regions in southern China. One of them operates over Fuke, China (Geographic: 19.5° N, 109.1° E; Geomagnetic: 9.5° N, 178.4° W); the other operates over Qujing, China (Geographic: 25° N, 104° E; Geomagnetic: 15.1° N, 176.0° E). Their locations are indicated by the stars in Figure 1. The imagers have the same design. Each all-sky imager consists primarily of a fish-eye lens with a 180° field of view, a CCD detector (1024 × 1024 pixel), and an interference filter (630.0 nm). The integration time is 3 min. An introduction to the two all-sky imagers has been given in several previous publications (e.g., Wu K et al., 2018, 2020, 2021).

3. Observations and Results
In Figure 2, we present some typical examples of EPBs with BS. A red line denotes the main stem of an EPB and a yellow line denotes BS on an EPB. Images of Figure 2 are from two all-sky imagers. For these images, we used an unwarping (Garcia et al., 1997) process to remove the effects of compression and curing by the all-sky imagers. These images have been mapped into a corresponding geographic range; in this process, the airglow layer was assumed to be at 250 km. Figure 2 shows EPBs associated with various BSs, such as no BS (a), two BSs (b, c), three BSs (d), and multi-BS (e, f) (more than three branches). It is generally true that most EPBs display one or more occurrences of BS in their development. These BSs are related to the morphological characteristics and evolutions of the EPBs. Unfortunately, occurrence characteristics of BSEPBs have not previously been well addressed.

In order to study occurrence characteristics of BSEPBs, we used
2012–2018 (seven years) of observation data from the two stations. We investigated every EPB event for evidence of BS to determine BS incidence rate. During the statistical process, we considered only branching of the main stem; we did not count subbranching (branches of branches). Table 1 gives data categories of two all-sky imagers, including year, total EPB days, no BS EPB days, days on which some EPBs exhibited BS, and days on which all EPBs were observed to branch. Numbers in brackets represent the subset of geomagnetically disturbed days (if Dst index is < −30 nT before all EPBs disappear, we defined it as a geomagnetically disturbed day) within each category. Figure 3 shows occurrence characteristics derived from data collected by the two all-sky imagers. In Figure 3, the black column presents total EPB days; the gray column indicates EPB days on which no branching was observed; the green column presents days on which BS was observed in some EPBs; the red column indicates days when all EPBs had BS; the white column gives the subsets of geomagnetically disturbed days.

These data presented in Figure 3 reveal some significant occurrence characteristics of BSEPB. It can be seen that BS appeared on most days when EPBs were observed (in every year, the values in the gray column are much less than the ones green column plus red column). In other words, BS is a high occurrence phenomenon during the evolution of EPBs. On most days when EPBs were observed, at least one of them exhibited branching; however, it is worth noting that not all EPBs were observed to branch. Also worthy of note is that in every year the numbers in the green column are relatively larger than those in the red column; in other words, days on which all EPBs exhibit branching structure are relatively rare though not as rare as days on which no branching of EPBs is observed; in other words, on most EPB days, some EPBs exhibit BS but others do not. The data in Figure 3 also reveal another interesting fact — that the frequency of occurrence of branching EPBs appears to be linked to solar activity. During the solar maximum (2014 in our data set), days of EPB with BS increase significantly. In particular, the values in the red column increased significantly; that is, an increased incidence of EPBs with branching structure was observed, clearly related to solar activity; the incidence of branching EPBs was highest during the solar maximum. Note also that numbers in the white column correlate strongly with those in the BS column, which means that on days when geomagnetic disturbances were observed, most EPBs exhibited BS, strongly suggesting a link between geomagnetic activity and the occurrence of BSEPBs.

Another variable of interest to the study of occurrence characteristics of BSEPB is the occurrence time of the first BS in every BSEPB case. These data are given in Table 2, which presents year, efficient days (except for the days without branching EPBs and days in which occurrence time of first BS cannot be determined), and occurrence times of first BSEPB. These results are displayed in Figure 4: the black column presents efficient days; the gray column presents days on which the first BS appeared between 20:00 and 21:00 LT; the green column presents days on which the

---

Table 1. BS occurrence observed by all-sky imagers at Qujing and Fuke.

| Year | Total EPB days | Days when no EPBs exhibit BS | Days when some EPBs exhibit BS | Days when all EPBs exhibit BS | Station |
|------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| 2012 | 20(11)         | 3(2)                        | 17(9)                          | 0                             |         |
| 2013 | 24(3)          | 3(0)                        | 19(3)                          | 2(0)                          | Qujing |
| 2014 | 56(6)          | 3(1)                        | 37(5)                          | 16(0)                         |         |
| 2015 | 21(6)          | 3(1)                        | 16(5)                          | 2(0)                          |         |
| 2016 | 8(4)           | 2(2)                        | 6(2)                           | 0                             |         |
| 2017 | 0              | 0                           | 0                              | 0                             |         |
| 2018 | 1(0)           | 1(0)                        | 0                              | 0                             |         |

| Year | Total EPB days | Days when no EPBs exhibit BS | Days when some EPBs exhibit BS | Days when all EPBs exhibit BS | Station |
|------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| 2012 | 0              | 0                           | 0                              | 0                             |         |
| 2013 | 46(8)          | 7(1)                        | 34(6)                          | 5(1)                          |         |
| 2014 | 92(31)         | 5(2)                        | 52(24)                         | 35(5)                         |         |
| 2015 | 39(19)         | 6(4)                        | 30(15)                         | 3(0)                          | Fuke   |
| 2016 | 25(7)          | 4(1)                        | 19(6)                          | 2(0)                          |         |
| 2017 | 11(2)          | 2(0)                        | 8(2)                           | 1(0)                          |         |
| 2018 | 12(5)          | 5(1)                        | 7(4)                           | 0                             |         |

Note: BS = branching structure; EPB = equatorial plasma bubble. Numbers in brackets represent the subset of geomagnetically disturbed days in each category.
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first BS appeared between 21:00 and 22:00 LT; the blue column records the days when first BS appeared between 22:00 and 23:00 LT; appearances between 23:00 and 24:00 LT are presented in the red column; the yellow column indicates days on which the first BS appeared after midnight; the white column within each column records days on which geomagnetic-disturbance was detected. Examination of the data in Figure 4 reveals that the appearance times of BSEPBs varied with local time. For branching EPBs, most of the first instances of BS appeared between 21:00 and 22:00 LT. Others often appeared between 22:00 and 24:00 LT. Interestingly, appearances of the first BS after midnight began to occur during the solar maximum (for example 2014). Note also that comparison of days with and without geomagnetic disturbances reveals no correlation with appearance time of BS; thus we find no obvious link between geomagnetic activity and BS appearance time.

To investigate further the occurrence characteristics of BSEPB, we used statistics to investigate the significance of various structural forms of BS. Detailed data are shown in Table 3, which displays, for each year, total BS days (EPB days on which no branching was observed are not included), two-BS days, three-BS days, multi-BS days, and days when various BSs were observed to exist simultaneously. Figure 5 presents the statistical result of these different BSs. In Figure 5, the black column presents total BS days; the gray column, days when two-branch EPBs were observed; the green column, three-branch EPB days; the blue column, days on which branching higher than three was observed; the red column, days on which BSs with different structures were observed to exist simultaneously; the white column in each parent column records the subset of days on which geomagnetic disturbances were observed. Figure 5 reveals some significant statistical results. Certain BS types occurred more frequently than others. When branching was observed, most were two- or three-branch structures. Structures with more than three branches ("multi-branch") appeared only in 2014, the year of highest solar activity. Moreover, Figure 5 shows that days on which various forms of BSEPB were observed to coexist increased from 2012 to 2014 and decreased from 2014 to 2018. There was also a positive correlation between BSEPB count and solar flux; thus, BSEPB occurrence appears to be related to solar activity.

4. Discussion

In Section 3, occurrence characteristics of BSEPB were investigated in detail. Statistical results of seven-years (2012–2018) of observations from two all-sky imagers reveal that BS appears to be a high occurrence phenomenon in the evolution of EPBs. However, not every EPB exhibited BS during its evolution. Meanwhile, this analysis demonstrates that occurrence times of BSEPBs varied with local time, and that their occurrence characteristics were related to solar activity. These results will enhance our understanding of EPB evolution.

BS is an important morphological feature of EPBs. By studying the occurrence of BS, we can better understand the stability and complexity of EPBs. Occurrence of BS should be an important reflection of EPB stability. It is generally accepted that Rayleigh–Taylor instability is the most plausible mechanism triggering EPBs. However, the evolution of EPBs will be affected by other factors, such as secondary instabilities known to be associated with EPBs. Many studies have found that EPBs usually are influenced by secondary instabilities along the EPB wall (Makela et al., 2006; Yokoyama et al., 2014). Secondary instabilities will cause BS to appear in the EPB. Secondary instabilities usually occur on the western wall of an EPB, which can promote the development of a BS (Tsunoda et al., 1982). Makela et al. (2006) reported that secondary instabilities...
developed in the unstable wall of EPBs and caused BSs to develop. Huba et al. (2008) used a 2-D simulation code to show that multiple BS can be caused by secondary instabilities. Yokoyama et al. (2014) used a three-dimensional high-resolution numerical model to produce BS processes caused by secondary instabilities, suggesting that secondary instabilities play an important role in the development of BSEPBs. Growth of secondary instabilities was affected by many factors, such as magnetic field, electric field, and density gradient (Kelley, 2009). Although many simulations have produced BSs by using secondary instabilities, it is difficult to measure empirically these secondary instabilities associated with EPBs. Moreover, in these simulations, secondary instabilities associated with EPB were a main reason for triggering the BS. We thus propose to use the characteristics of BS to study the complexity and stability of an EPB case; in other words, the occurrence of BS can be used to predict the intensity of the hard-to-observe secondary instabilities associated with EPBs and the stability strength of EPBs, which means that it is important to understand the occurrence characteristics of BSEPBs.

In addition, the relationship between BSEPBs and solar activity is an issue worthy of discussion. Based on the statistical results presented in Section 3, solar activity appears to affect the occurrence characteristic of BSEPBs. A number of studies have found that solar activity can affect EPB occurrence (Tsunoda, 1985; Su SY et al., 2006, 2007). Incidence rates of EPBs usually change with solar activity: there is higher incidence of EPBs during high solar activity years (Huang CY et al., 2002; Stolle et al., 2006). But the relationship between BSEPBs and solar activity has not been well understood. Our study indicates that the occurrence of BS is related to solar activity. An obvious increase in total days of all EPBs with BS was observed in 2014, the year in which solar cycle 24 reached its maximum. Moreover, that proportion had been rising each year from 2012 to 2014, and fell from its 2014 peak in 2015 and again in 2016. The occurrences of BS varieties were similar to the year varieties of solar flux. In other words, occurrence of various BSEPB structures appear to be affected by solar activity. High solar activity can effect the ionosphere environment, thereby contributing to occurrences of BSEPB. During high solar activity, the bottom altitude of the ionosphere usually is higher than when solar activity is lower (Vichare and Richmond, 2005; Fejer et al., 2008a; Stolle et al., 2008; Su SY et al., 2008). Solar activity favors the growth of instabilities, which promote the occurrence of
BSEPBs. Since the appearance of BS is a common part of EPB evolution, the factors beneficial to occurrence of EPBs are also advantageous for increased BS incidence. Significantly more vertical drifts of the F region are observed in years of high solar activity than in years of lower solar activity (Fejer et al., 1979; Batista et al., 1996; Abdu et al., 2006). Many studies have shown that the occurrence of EPBs is linearly correlated with nighttime equatorial upward plasma drift (Vichare and Richmond, 2005; Fejer et al., 2008a). Stronger upward plasma drift during a solar maximum should cause a higher incidence rate of BSEPBs. Besides, due to larger electron densities and temperatures, instability is more likely to occur in those EPBs, which suggests that EPBs should be more prone to a complex structure during solar maximum; that is, we can expect a higher incidence rate of BSEPB. Various BS forms of EPB indicate the complexity of EPB. It is a highly complex EPB case when there are two or more branches (three-branch structure and multi-branch – more than three branches). The statistical results presented in Figure 5 showed that complex EPB events were related to solar activity; note that the multi-branch structure appeared only in the year of highest solar activity, and that the days of coexistence of various BSEPB structures increased obviously during that year (2014). These results also indicate a relationship between BSEPB and solar activity.

As for evidence of a relationship between occurrence of BSEPBs and local time, Figure 4 demonstrates that the BSEPB occurrence changed with local time. The first BSEPB most often occurred after 20:00 LT but it could occur at any time during the lifetime of a given EPB. The largest number of first appearances of branching was observed between 21:00 and 22:00 LT, the frequency of occurrence gradually decreasing after 22:00 LT except for the year 2014. This result is similar to the pattern of occurrence characteristic of EPBs versus local time. Stolle et al. (2006) report, using CHAMP data from 2001–2004, that the highest occurrence of EPBs in those years appeared between 21:00 and 22:00 LT. Xiong C et al. (2010), using data from CHAMP and GRACE, also found an EPB occurrence peak between 21:00 and 22:00 LT. In summary, observations of EPBs and of EPBS with branching structure both peak in the same time interval. Interestingly, instances of first BSEPB after midnight were observed primarily during the high solar activity year, 2014, suggesting that high solar activity may restrain the starting time of BS in some EPBs.

An important aspect of BS is the relationship between occurrence of BSEPB and geomagnetic activity. Some previous studies have reported that the occurrence of EPBs is affected by geomagnetic activity (e.g., Abdu et al., 2003; Keskinen et al., 2006; Sahai et al., 2009; Patra et al., 2016; Aa et al., 2018). Geomagnetic storms can cause equatorial ionospheric variations by Prompt Penetrating Electric Fields (PPEF) and Disturbance Dynamo Electric Fields (DDEF), which are supposed to cause EPBs (Kelley et al., 1979; Richmond et al., 2003). Results presented in Figure 3 confirm that BS was observed on most EPB days characterized by strong geomagnetic disturbances. Occurrence of BSEPBs can be expected, also, to correlate with geomagnetic activity because BS is a common feature of the evolution of EPBs. Table 4 and Figure 6 illustrate this observation. In storm time, increases in the hourly AE indices and long-lasting AE indices can be used to indicate PPEF and DDEF (Ahn et al., 1992; Fejer et al., 2008b; Kelley, 2009). Using the criterion from Fejer and Scherliess (1995), PPEF or DDEF may occur when an hourly variation of AE indices is greater than 125 nT, or a four hours’ average is greater than 300 nT on a geomagnetic disturbed day. When AE indices satisfy the above criterion during the evolution of an EPB, we defined the disturbed day as a disturbed AE day. In Figure 6, EPBs show BS on most of the disturbed AE days, indicating that PPEF or DDEF caused by the geomagnet-
Occurrence of BSEPB should be affected by disturbance winds and westward winds during geomagnetic disturbances. During disturbance geomagnetic activity, the winds are observed to be mainly westward at low latitudes (Xiong C et al., 2015). When the wind blows westward, the wind-induced Pedersen current flows downward, and gradient-drift instability can occur at the eastern wall of EPB, where the plasma density gradient is eastward. Meanwhile, due to the Coriolis force, the enhanced equatorward wind at disturbed periods also will have a westward component that will work on the eastward wall of EPB, causing secondary instabilities. Similar findings of BSEPB from secondary instabilities have been reported by Makela et al. (2006), instabilities caused by disturbance winds and westward winds associated with increased geomagnetic activity.

5. Summary

In this study, we used seven-years (2012–2018) of observations from two all-sky imagers to investigate detailed occurrence characteristics of branching structures of EPB. The main findings can be summarized as follows:

(1) Branching structure is a high occurrence phenomenon during the evolution of EPBs; most are observed to branch. However, not all EPBs are observed to develop branching.

(2) Occurrence characteristics of branching structures are related to solar activity. The number of EPB days on which EPB branching was observed increased significantly during high solar activity (for example, in 2014), especially the number of days on which all EPBs were observed to exhibit branching.

(3) Time of branching occurrence varied with local time. Also, although within the aggregated data for the entire seven-year period the most common time interval in which a day’s first branching structure appeared was 21:00 to 22:00 LT, during the solar maximum year (2014), first EPB branching structures were observed to be delayed on some days until after midnight.

(4) In some EPBs, more than one form of branching structure was observed to occur in the course of the EPB’s evolution, such as two-branch structure, three-branch structure and multi-branch (more than three branches) structure. Two-branch and three-branch structures appeared most frequently; multi-branch structure appeared only in the high solar activity year, during which instances of branching structure coexistence were also observed to increase significantly.

(5) Branching structure may be related to EPB stability. During high solar activity, there is poor stability in EPBs, especially between 21:00–22:00 LT.
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