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Suppressed Undergrowth of Siberian Spruce (Picea obovata Ledeb.) in Early Ontogeny: One-Way Ticket or Survival Strategy?
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Abstract: The study examined the growth characteristics of the Siberian spruce (Picea obovata Ledeb.) under the canopy of coniferous forests in early ontogeny. We revealed that spruce undergrowth in adverse conditions is suppressed, is slow to grow and has xylorhizomes. The result is a significant difference in height, age and stage of ontogeny that affects the forest’s growth dynamics. The formation of xylorhizomes changes the relative dimensions of the above-ground part and the plant’s absolute height from 42 to 75%, depending on age. We identified two periods of growth intensity (slow and stable) and the critical age for spruce undergrowth to move from one stage of ontogeny to the next. If it does not make the transition, it will die. There are two strategies for developing spruce undergrowth: a “direct” path during rapid growth and a “waiting” path when the plants are suppressed. Such growth pathways in the pre-generative stage of the Siberian spruce’s ontogeny allow the undergrowth, even in a suppressed state, to survive in an adverse environment under the forest canopy.
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1. Introduction

Tree growth is a prolonged and complex process involving multiple ontogenetic stages from germination to maturity [1]. The initial stages are the most critical [2] because they determine growth and, as a result, the development of sustainable forest ecosystems.

Natural forest regeneration is influenced by density [3], age [4], canopy closeness and understory state [5,6], microsite condition [7], soil temperature [8], undergrowth spread [9], and wildfire [10]. Since the maximum sun availability is at the top of a canopy, light levels are lessened exponentially with each vegetation layer [11]. Therefore, light is crucial to the successful regeneration of trees under a closed canopy.

Siberian spruce (Picea obovata Ledeb.) represents a constituent of the boreal taiga that extends across millions of square kilometers in eastern European Russia and Siberia. As one of the major tree species in Russia (and perhaps in the world), it represents the largest standing timber resource by volume [12]. On the Ufa Plateau, Siberian spruce and other conifers form extensive forests.

The spruce genus (picea) contains the most light-dependent tree species, and their regeneration is strongly affected by basal area or canopy density [13]. Furthermore, spruce is more substrate-restricted than other tree species because its seeds are tiny [14]. The bryophyte cover affords a safe micro-habitat for tree seedlings and makes a good seedbed
for conifers [15]. Based on an analysis of the moss cover, it directly affected coniferous seedling height when it was at a depth of less than 4 cm [16]; however, an excessively thick moss cover adversely affects the emergence and survival of current-year seedlings [17–19]. Moreover, previous studies have shown that decaying logs are suitable seedbeds for tree seedlings and that conifer undergrowth occurred much more frequently on trunks than in soil [20–23].

Below the forest canopy, extreme light deficiency affects the development of tree undergrowth. Shade tolerance diminishes with age [24] and is associated with an extension in the proportion of a plant’s non-photosynthetic parts (roots, branches); minimal light and nutrient availability promotes depressed growth.

The ability to persist for a long time in juvenile, immature or mature virginile stages are peculiar to many typical violent species [25]. Most published studies have tried to estimate the age of Picea species based on classical methods, such as calculating the annual growth of branches [26] or counting rings at ground level [27–29], but since there are no earliest annual rings, it is difficult to ascertain the year of suppressed undergrowth, which can lead to significant mistakes in age measurement [30–32]. There is some evidence that the regeneration of spruce in broad-leaved coniferous forests [33] and fir (Abies sibirica Ledeb.) in coniferous forests [34] occurs unsatisfactorily: undergrowth is depressed and stunted, and xylorhizomes form.

Forests are critical for the sustainability of ecological systems and climate protection, especially in carbon sequestration [35–38]. Because Siberian spruce is one of the world’s primary timber species, studying the characteristics of its regeneration and forming sustainable forest ecosystems with it is especially relevant. The ontogeny of various Pinaceae species has been studied in some detail [39–44], but that of the Siberian spruce is not well understood [45–47]. Therefore, the characteristics of its growth in early ontogeny is a focus of current research.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Site Descriptions

The sites of this study are four mature natural conifer forests on the Ufa Plateau, which is within the water-protection zone of the Pavlovka Reservoir (Ufa River). The location of each site is shown in Figure 1. The Ufa Plateau, in the eastern part of Central Russia and west of the Ural Mountains, is located in the Ufa River basin, rising 150–200 m above the surrounding areas to an absolute height of 400–450 m. We have presented the physiographic and climatic characteristics of the Ufa Plateau before [33,34,48].

Studies that have been carried out in coniferous forests (closed mature forests with mossy ground cover) are summarized in Table 1. Extensive bryophyte mats dominated by the green mosses Pleurozium schreberii (Brid.) Mitt., Hylocomium splendens (Hedw.) Lindb., H. polysetum (Hedw.) Lindb, Dicranum scoparium Hedw., D. polysetum Sw. (Hedw.) De Not., Ptilium crista-castrensis (Hedw.) De Not., and Rhodobrium roseum (Hedw.) Limpr.
A fir–spruce–moss forest (FSMF) is associated with slopes having southern, southeastern and southwestern exposures and with sustainably wetted humus–carbonate mountain-forest soil developed on the sediments of limestone rubble-clay diluvia. In addition to mosses, the living ground cover features various species of sedge (Carex digitata L., C. macroura Meinch., C. muricata L., and C. rhizina Blytt ex Lindbl.), a common forest growth...
found on 20% of the investigated territory. A spruce–moss forest (SMF) grows on steep, shady slopes with humus–carbonate mountain-forest soil formed on loamy, rubble of large blocks, eluvio-deluvia limestone deposits. In the grass layer, various species of small fern (*Gymnocarpium dryopteris* (L.) Newm., *G. robertianum* (Hoffm.) C.Chr.) cover about 8% of the area. Pine–moss (PM) and larch–moss (LM) forests occupy slopes with perenni- 

2.2. Sample Collection and Analysis

Research in the study area was conducted from 2008 to 2020. Three trial plots were laid out for each type of forest for a total of 12. There was no consistent approach to the size of the plots: large plots are more time-consuming and expensive, whereas small ones are not large enough to characterize the forests comprehensively [51,52]. In our case, we used the most commonly practiced 0.25 ha trial plots (50 × 50 m) [53,54].

The forest inventory was based on standard method guidelines [55,56]. The stand density was determined by the commonly used measure of the total basal area of all trees [52]. There is a distinction between the absolute and relative density of forest stands. Absolute density is expressed as the sum of the cross-sectional areas of all trees in the stand (m² per ha) at breast height. Relative stand density is expressed as a decimal places of unit. The completely closed stand, optimal for the age, height and habitat conditions of a particular tree species was taken as a unit. In our work, we determined the relative stand density. Growth class (also called bonitet) is an inventory feature that defines the possible productivity of a stand and the growth rate of trees. It is determined using the Orlov table [57], and depends on the average age and height of trees and the stand origin (seminal or vegetative). The higher the height of stand at the age considered, the higher the growth class, which is visually determined by the distance between the whorls, taking into account the age and height of the stand. Class I and II stands are high-growth class; class III–IV is medium growth; class V is low growth; and classes Va and Vb are unproductive. The stand formula, which describes the tree species composition of the forest, was determined based on the stock volume [57]. Each species’ portion in the volume was defined by a composition coefficient (in integers), and an abbreviated name (first letter) was assigned to each species. The sum of all coefficients is 10, i.e., 100% of the stand stock volume. If the stock of a tree species is between 2 and 5% of the total forest stock, it is marked with a “+” symbol in the formula. If the stock is less than 2%, it is marked as “single”.

The quantity of spruce undergrowth throughout the research period was determined three times (in 2008, 2013 and 2019) and followed by data averaging. For this purpose, sample plots were evenly distributed within the trial plots. For small undergrowth, the sample plot was 0.25 m²; for large undergrowth, 4 m². Each trial plot had 40 sample plots for small undergrowth and 20 sample plots for large.

Each year, plants were selected for analysis at random from sample plots. The principle was that all possible habitats with different light modes (shady, medium-shady and open) and ground cover (moss mats, grass cover and fallen trunks) should be represented. The number of sample plots for each forest type was at least 30 each year. The plant samples were collected and processed following the Pridnya [58] method recommendations for Siberian spruce. Within each type of forest, 20–40 samples of spruce undergrowth were
collected. In total, we collected and examined 905 juvenile plants, 1391 immature plants and 294 virginile plants.

Illumination under the canopy was measured at noon for 12–14 h using a light meter (TKA-Lux, Russia). The luminance level inside the trial plots ranged from 500–1500 lx (shady habitats) and 1501–15,000 lx (medium-shady) to 15,001–50,000 lx (open and forest gaps). In general, depending on the luminance level below the canopy, the forests were placed in the following row (minimum to maximum values): SMF–LMF–FSMF–PMF.

According to the aboveground height, the undergrowth was divided into small (up to 50 cm) and large (over 50 cm). We estimated the height of plants in the field using a measuring tape with an accuracy of 0.5 cm. For each collected plant, the hypocotyl location was determined, which was necessary to define the ratio of the above and underground parts of the spruce undergrowth and calculate its exact age. In the laboratory, a digital caliper with an accuracy of 0.5 mm was used to measure the length of small undergrowth; a measuring tape was used to measure the length of large undergrowth to within 0.1 cm. We also noted the presence or absence of lateral and pseudo-whorl shoots to determine the age at which they begin to form. All measurements of trees height/length were performed in triplicate.

The pith node count method [32,58–60] was used to examine growth characteristics, the biological and calendar age of the undergrowth. This method is based on an anatomical analysis of the visible traces of apical buds (air cavities) on the stem’s longitudinal section. The work methods (the study of apical growth, definition of biological and calendar age, description of relative growth dynamics) were reported in detail [33,34,48]. All data (trees height/length, diameter, density and amount) from tests were subjected to statistical processing [61], and all calculations (descriptive statistics) were conducted using MS Excel and Statistica 8.0 software. The mean with the standard error (±SEM) was calculated and presented in graphs (Figure 2); in Tables 1–3, the measured values are averaged, and the ±SEM there is less than 5%.

Table 2. The ratio (%) of the above-ground part (height above soil level) to the total height of the Siberian spruce (Picea obovata Ledeb.) undergrowth (together with the buried part). Range of height is in parenthesis.

| Age, Years | Fir–Spruce–Moss Forest | Spruce–Moss Forest | Larch–Moss Forest | Pine–Moss Forest |
|------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 6–10       | 71 (40–94)              | 75 (32–100)        | 50 (27–79)        | 54 (38–72)      |
| 11–15      | 66 (40–93)              | 51 (36–65)         | 51 (34–75)        | 50 (28–74)      |
| 16–20      | 66 (32–83)              | 66 (28–84)         | 56 (38–82)        | 46 (32–63)      |
| 21–25      | 72 (39–94)              | 69 (54–92)         | 59 (22–91)        | 41 (27–59)      |
| 26–30      | 74 (56–88)              | 70 (61–82)         | 57 (40–74)        | 58 (42–80)      |
| 31–35      | 73 (66–79)              | 74 (58–100)        | 54 (36–77)        | 42 (23–87)      |

Table 3. The distribution of the undergrowth of Siberian spruce (Picea obovata Ledeb.) along ontogeny stages (% of the total amount of plants of this age group).

| Age Groups (Biological Age) | Fir–Spruce–Moss Forest | Spruce–Moss Forest | Larch–Moss Forest | Pine–Moss Forest |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| j                          | im                     | v                  | j                  | im               | v               | j       | im     | v     |
| 2–5                         | 77                     | 23                 | -                  | 75               | 25               | -       | 74     | 26    | -    |
| 6–10                        | 33                     | 66                 | 1                  | 34               | 63               | 3       | 30     | 62    | 8    |
| 11–15                       | 24                     | 73                 | 3                  | 22               | 72               | 6       | 20     | 70    | 10   |
| 16–20                       | 7                      | 66                 | 27                 | 8                | 68               | 24      | -      | 54    | 46   |
| 21–30                       | -                      | 50                 | 50                 | -                | 51               | 49      | -      | 30    | 70   |
| 31–40                       | -                      | 22                 | 78                 | -                | 23               | 77      | -      | 21    | 79   |
| 41–50                       | -                      | 8                  | 92                 | -                | 9                | 91      | -      | 100   | -    |
| 51 and older                | -                      | -                  | 100                | -                | -                | 100     | -      | -     | -    |
Figure 2. Growth dynamics in height (left scale) and relative growth (right scale) of the undergrowth of Siberian spruce (*Picea obovata* Ledeb.) in (A) fir–spruce–moss forest, (B) spruce–moss forest, (C) pine–moss forest, and (D) larch–moss forest. Curves: 1, growth dynamics; 2, relative growth. In graphs: lines (mean), error bars (±SEM).
Based on the rise and end of reproductive function, plant ontogeny can be divided into stages (formation and development, change and death) according to their proportion in the organism [62]. Plant ontogeny stages have two peculiar classifications: one specifically for trees [63]; the other, for perennial herbaceous plants initially [64] but subsequently improved by other scientists [39,65–67], is now frequently used for a range of plant life, from trees to annuals, of varied ecological and geographical distribution [68,69]. To define the ontogeny phases of suppressed spruce undergrowth, we practiced Serebriakov’s classification [39] and indicated the stages as juvenile (j), immature (im), and virginile (v).

3. Results and Discussion

There was insufficient regeneration of spruce under the canopy of the studied forest types. The greatest amount of small spruce undergrowth was found under the canopy of the FSMF and LMF, and the smallest under the SMF and PMF because of smaller crown density. Overall, there was a significant prevalence of small over large undergrowth: in the SMF, 25.6% of the small undergrowth passed into large undergrowth (i.e., it grew to a height $\geq 50$ cm) compared to 22.0% in the PMF, 4.0% in the FSMF and 1.7% in the LMF. The spruce undergrowth was evenly distributed, 60–90%, in the trial plots. Most of the young trees grew in the moss cover and some spruce were found on micro-relief sites (micro hills) formed from decaying wood.

Most of the spruce undergrowth formed xylorhizomes: FSMF, 90.0%; SMF, 80.0%; PMF, 87.0%; and LMF, 77.0%. Xylorhizome formation in spruce is similar to that in fir [34] and linden [48]. (The xylorhizome formation scheme was introduced earlier [33]). By age 20, 96% of the spruce formed at least one adventitious root on the buried part of the stem. The xylorhizome formation resulted in a change to the relative size of the above-ground part of the plant (height above soil level) and its absolute length (including the buried parts). Depending on forest type, this ratio varied on average from 41 to 75%, and the height of individual spruce was only 22% of the total height (above soil level plus buried part) (Table 2).

Spruce growth dynamics changed due to a large amount of suppressed undergrowth with xylorhizomes (Figure 2). The highest, but not statistically valid, increases in height and relative growth rates for undergrowth were found in the SMF and PMF, with minimal increases in the FSMF or LMF. Most spruce grew more than 50 cm and developed into large undergrowth only at age 32–33. In contrast, depending on the amount of light, the height of young Norway spruce ($Picea abies$ (L.) H. Karst.) by age 35 may vary between 1 and 8 m [70]. According to other data, Norway spruce seedlings take 30–60 years to grow to 1.3 m [71,72]. Lin et al. [73] estimated that it takes 20–30 years for Norway spruce seedlings to grow to a height of 50 cm and extra 20–30 years to reach 1.3 m. However, some spruce below the forest canopy in a supportive environment (especially under good light conditions) reached 50 cm by age 15. The most suppressed undergrowth was represented by 30–35-year-old plants 9–11 cm tall. Having analyzed the growth dynamics in height and relative growth, which indicated a change in growth rate, we distinguished two periods of growth intensity (slow and stable) for the aerial part of spruce.

The peculiarity of the slow-growth period is that annual growth for the current year was less than for previous ones. This period ranged from 12 (PMF) to 18 (FSMF) years. There were significant differences in relative growth. The smallest, but statistically not valid, relative growth in spruce undergrowth occurred in permafrost habitats, indicating a lower growth rate.

During the stable growth period, the annual growth for the current year was equal to, or slightly higher than, that of previous years. There were no significant differences in relative growth during this period (age 12–18, depending on forest type), which continued through the study.

These two growth periods were associated primarily with the characteristics of the spruce habitat. At the earliest ontogenetic stages (the first 10–15 years), the undergrowth, on a bryophyte cover, must take water and nutrients from the latter. The root system
gradually overcame the moss cover and entered the soil, after which the plant absorbed more nutrients and water and grew more quickly. Furthermore, growth rates were closely related to plant development. For example, much of the spruce undergrowth up to age 9–12 consisted of single-axis plants, which have very few shadow needles and cannot produce enough photosynthetic products. This explains the slow growth of spruce through the first period. By 9–10 years, more than 90% of the spruce had lateral branches that increased the assimilation surface, generated photosynthetic products, and contributed to growth. Crown growth occurred exponentially, with most plants forming third-order and pseudo-whorl branches.

Data relating the age of a tree to its ontogeny stage are highly inconsistent. The ash (Fraxinus excelsior L.) undergrowth in European Russia broad-leaved forests can grow to age 15 in the juvenile, up to 27 in the immature and up to 30 in the virginile stage [74]. The maximum age of spruce (Picea abies (L.) H. Karst.) undergrowth in spruce broad-leaved and pine forests of the “Bryansk forest” reserve (Central Russia) can reach 6 years in the juvenile, 25 years in the immature and 48 years in the virginile stage [75]. Under the adverse conditions of permafrost, Siberian spruce grow very slowly, and trees with a trunk diameter of 10 cm are maybe 100 years old or more [12].

We established that the most prolonged juvenile period in spruce lasted 20 years, and the immature period continued for as long as 50 (Table 3). These ages are critical for spruce undergrowth; if the plant does not cross into the following stage of ontogeny after approaching this age, it will die.

A woody plant’s ontogeny contains morphological and functional features that reveal themselves in changes to its structural-functional characteristics at different stages throughout its life. These structures, or combinations thereof, are peculiar to a given ontogeny stage, but only when they exist can ontogeny stages be determined. Their appearance, signaling transition to the next stage, precedes determination (production and accumulation of matter), the acquisition of readiness for further growth (conclusion of several physiological–biochemical reactions), hidden or embryonic growth and development, and finally, apparent growth and progress. Simultaneously, the appearance of qualitative neo-ogenesis leads to future changes in quantitative characteristics. It follows that plants must not only have morphological structures or their combinations unique to that stage, but also an equally diverse number of characteristics [35,42,65].

The morphological criteria for recognizing the ontogeny stages of spruce are the first lateral (from juvenile to immature) and first pseudo-whorl (from immature to virginile) branches. Considering these criteria and the results of our research, we clarified the following stages of ontogeny in the pre-generative development of Siberian spruce: pre-juvenile (sprouting), juvenile, immature and virginile.

3.1. Pre-Juvenile Ontogeny Stage (p)

The germinating ability of spruce seeds was quite significant (about 85%). The first seedlings emerged from May to June, and seed sprouting was epigeal (i.e., occurred above ground). Seedlings stayed well preserved for several years because hygroscopic green mosses, which absorb moisture from precipitation and the air and have low thermal conductivity [76] protected them from drought and cold. Within the habitats, the average hypocotyl length was 2.6 cm.

3.2. Juvenile Ontogeny Stage (j)

The mechanisms of shadow tolerance begin to appear early in conifers. In the Norway spruce example [77], variations in the red to far-red light ratio triggered changes in gene expression for hormone signaling and pigment biosynthesis, which further ensured this species would be resistant to shading. After wintering, the spruce moved to the juvenile stage. In spring, the apical bud of a one-year-old plant burst, and the stem started growing. During the second year, most of the plants examined did not break their lateral buds, and the central shoot (primary axis) did not branch. However, if the apical bud was damaged
(for example, due to a late spring frost), one (even two or three) lateral bud(s) opened, from which replacement branches emerged. Over time, the strongest, most viable branch remained; the others dried up and died. Towards the end of the second growing season, the apical bud and two or three lateral buds reformed, usually at the upper part of the central shoot closer to the apical bud. The initiation of branching (formation of the first lateral shoots) depended on the type of forest. Most spruce undergrowth in the FSMF and SMF formed lateral shoots before age 9, while in the PMF and LMF, it was at 9–12. Microecological conditions of undergrowth settlement also affected the formation time of the first lateral shoot. In the third or fourth years, in a supportive microenvironment (micro hills from decaying wood or inside forest gaps), aside from the apical buds, one or two lateral buds opened at the central shoot. These spruce generated the first lateral shoot at this time and passed into the immature stage. The first lateral shoots in an adverse microhabitat began to form later, and only the apical bud opened annually. It is necessary to point out that in the juvenile spruce, the cotyledons were preserved during the first 3 to 6 years. They served as an extra assimilating surface and, to some extent, compensated for the undeveloped assimilation apparatus of such plants. An analysis of wood increments has shown that the juvenile period in conifers can last for the first 10–20 years [78], which is consistent with our data on the maximum age of young spruce at this stage.

3.3. Immature Ontogeny Stage (im)

The presence of lateral shoots and increased branching order are signs a plant has entered the immature stage. Ordinarily, one lateral shoot appears, but in rare cases two or three appear, and the spruce grows the primary axis and lateral branches. In addition to the apical bud, each lateral branch has 2–4 lateral buds that form on every annual shoot in its topmost part. However, the increase in the branching order is slow. In a supportive microenvironment, third-order branches appear at age 8 and the fourth-order at 18. The growth and branching of lateral shoots are monopodial like the central shoot (primary axis). In addition to the apical bud, 1–4 lateral buds burst annually. The greater the branching order, the smaller their annual increments (e.g., third-order branches grow more slowly than second-order, fourth-order branches grow more gradually than third-order). In addition, a study of the growth of Sitka spruce (Picea sitchensis (Bong.) Carr.) and Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii Mirb. (Franco) var. menziesii) showed that shading below part of the crown slowed growth or killed branches (approximately half of the branches died) while the remaining branches continued to grow and develop [79–82]. When a spruce grows to 15–25 cm, it forms a well-developed, widely conical crown, sometimes umbrella-shaped. The conical crown has also been observed for other coniferous species [83]. The umbrella-shaped crown forms in an adverse environment when lateral branch extension is greater than the increment of the primary shoot. Some shade-tolerant deciduous and coniferous species also change the shape and structure of the crown below the canopy [84,85]. We found that, with increasing age under these conditions, the height of the above-ground part of the immature spruce did not change, while other parameters (the length of the central shoot, including the buried part, the diameter of the shoot at the surface of the soil) increased. This phenomenon is linked to xylorhizome formation when the annual height increase becomes less noticeable as the buried part of the central shoot’s size is enlarged.

3.4. Virginile Ontogeny Stage (i)

This stage starts with the pseudo-whorl shoot formations on the central axis and lasts until the first generative branches emerge. The early development of pseudo-whorl shoots is mainly observed in spruce growing in forest gaps, but under shadowy conditions, the formation time of these branches shifts to a later age. The buds of the pseudo-whorl branches formed on the central shoot, indicating that spruce began to grow under sufficient light conditions, mainly due to reduced competition from herbs and shrubs. The life expectancy of the pseudo-whorl branches declined with the illumination, but overall their existence is much shorter (5–15 years) than that of the whorl shoots (decades). Pseudo-
whorl sprouts significantly raised the assimilating surface. Branches formed during the immature phase, gradually stopped, and died; only the strongest ones typically grew well through the early years of the transition to the virginile stage, where spruce can grow up to 80 years or more. The oldest we found was 85.

Generalizing the results allowed us to identify some peculiarities in the pre-generative Siberian spruce ontogeny. In addition to being substantially diverse in linear size, the spruce undergrowth of the comparable biological age may be at various stages and vary in quality. There are two ways the spruce undergrowth develops, depending on the microenvironmental conditions (Figure 3). The “direct” way occurs in supportive environments, and is characterized by fast growth, accumulation of the most biomass, quick passage to successive stages, and, consequently, rapid capture of living space. It enables the undergrowth to settle and intensively develop new areas.

![Figure 3. Scheme of the ontogeny of the Siberian spruce (Picea obovata Ledeb.).](image)

Ways of development: I—in supportive environments (“direct” path); II—in adverse environments (“waiting” mode). Ontogeny states: \( p \) = pre-juvenile (seedlings), \( j \) = juvenile; \( im \) = immature; \( v \) = virginile; \( G \) = generative. The arrows indicate possible transitions.

When the environment does not allow spruce to grow along the direct path, the undergrowth goes into “wait” mode for improved growth and development conditions. Along this path, the undergrowth is suppressed and is characterized by three features: slow and steady growth, which allows it to outgrow the layers of bryophyte, grass and shrub gradually; a developmental delay in moving from one stage to the next; and the formation of a widely conical or umbrella-shaped crown to make maximum use of available sunlight energy.

The adaptive mechanism that permits a spruce to enter the waiting mode and survive for a long time is to form a xylorhizome. In a coenopopulation, these suppressed plants can
hold territory, and if growth conditions improve, this undergrowth acts as the population’s reserve. This property of shade-tolerant species (including the *Picea* genus) allows for competition for light compared to less tolerant species [86]. Hence, the direct or waiting growth pathway of the spruce undergrowth under the forest canopy is not static. If environmental conditions improve (i.e., primarily light), the undergrowth can pass from waiting to fast growth unless a critical age is approached at a given ontogeny stage. This transition is theoretically possible because forest gaps (where the lighting conditions are better) are known to be settled first by a new generation of plants rather than by large suppressed trees [87].

4. Conclusions

Our studies into spruce undergrowth under the forest canopy focused on morphological responses to the environment. We found that plants of similar biological age, growing in adverse conditions below the canopy, not only differed significantly in linear size but were in various stages of ontogeny. This age-range heterogeneity of undergrowth can be understood as an adaptation to preserve plant ontogeny.

Xylorhizome formation allowed the suppressed spruce to survive under adverse conditions for a long time. The presence of xylorhizomes caused changes to the size ratio of the above-ground (height above the soil) and the underground part of the plants, which affected growth dynamics because spruce undergrowth at identical linear dimensions was at different stages. We identified two growth strategies: a direct path of rapid growth and a waiting path in which the undergrowth remains in a suppressed state. These pathways allow the undergrowth to survive despite adverse environmental conditions. At the population level, spruce grows and develops in various ways, expands its ecological niche, reduces elimination under constantly changing environmental conditions, and maintains many plants, which ensures the stability of the spruce population.
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