Immersive Virtual Reality: A Safe, Scalable, Non-opioid Analgesic for Military and Veteran Patients
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In Iraq and Afghanistan over 75% of the combat casualties suffered by U.S. troops have involved explosive devices. Improvements in body armor and advances in military medicine have significantly reduced the number of combat-related fatalities, but have greatly increased the number of U.S. active component personnel suffering painful trauma injuries. Unfortunately, so far, advances in pharmacologic analgesia pain medications have not kept pace with advances in survivability. For many active component personnel and Veterans, pain is a top health complaint from patients. The opioid epidemic has increased the urgency of developing powerful non-pharmacologic approaches for the management of pain. Immersive VR is proving to be a powerful non-opioid pain management technique for acute pain. However, the cost and usability limitations of pre-2016 VR clinical products resulted in limited treatment adoption rates for clinical use. In recent years, VR technology has become increasingly immersive, portable, and miniaturized, requiring minimal technical expertise to operate, and low-cost, factors that are likely contributing to the recent increase in the clinical use of VR analgesia. VR is greatly benefitting from a growing string of major technological breakthroughs and VR treatment improvements that will likely continue to increase the effectiveness and suitability of VR analgesia for military and VA patients. Regarding acute pain, we propose that the next revision to the current Tactical Combat Casualty Care guidelines consider including VR as an effective and hemodynamically safe approach to the current management of acute trauma pain in military personnel during medical procedures. With recent miniaturization and ruggedization, VR can potentially be used closer to the battlefield in the future. Beyond distraction, innovative VR therapy techniques designed to help reduce chronic pain are discussed. Recent breakthroughs in the mass production of inexpensive, highly immersive lightweight stand alone VR systems and augmented reality systems increase the potential for widespread dissemination of VR analgesia for acute and potentially for chronic pain. For example, the U.S. military recently purchased 22 billion dollar’s worth of Microsoft Hololens mixed reality systems (e.g., for training). Expanded
INTRODUCTION

The mental and physical demands of deployment readiness training, combat, and other military duties place soldiers at an increased risk of acute and chronic pain (Bader et al., 2018; Reif et al., 2018). In one study, more than 50% of active component (AC) soldiers reported at least one non-combat injury in 2017, 70% of which were physical overuse-related non-combat musculo-skeletal injuries (Molloy et al., 2020). In Iraq and Afghanistan over 75% of the combat casualties suffered by U.S. troops have involved Explosive Devices (Belmont, Schoenfeld and Goodman, 2010). These include enemy roadside bombs that explode up into the U.S. soldiers Humvees, rocket propelled grenades, and suicide bombers. Improvised Explosive Devices (IEDs) often lead to blast injuries of U.S. troops, resulting in lost limbs, traumatic brain injury, severe burns, and severe psychological problems such as PTSD and depression. Advanced body armor, more heavily armored military vehicles, and improvements in military medicine have helped reduce fatalities, but with large increases in injured survivors. Snipers targeting U.S. forces often injure a limb without hitting a major organ, leading to severe and painful but survivable bullet wounds (e.g., limb amputations).

Controlling acute procedural pain during physical and occupational therapy (PT/OT) is a widespread medical problem. Repetitive excessive acute pain, such as during daily wound care, and PT/OT contributes to sensitization and increased anticipatory pain (Gardner et al., 2017) and is a factor in delayed wound healing (Upton et al., 2012). For active component soldiers scheduled to re-deploy after recovery, the higher physical standards for active component soldiers returning to duty often involves more aggressive and painful rehabilitation therapy. Furthermore, military patients often have polytrauma, e.g., one or often several physical injuries combined with traumatic brain injury and/or symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder, which can exacerbate pain during rehab exercises (e.g., headaches and flashbacks, in addition to severe burns).

Pharmacologic analgesia for acute pain. Post-surgical pain, and persistent post-trauma pain are risk factors for the onset of chronic pain (McGreavy et al., 2011). Persistent pain is associated with changes to nociceptive pathways in the central and peripheral nervous system that lead to pain sensitization and the transition from acute to chronic pain (Fregroso et al., 2019; Glare et al., 2019). The provision of adequate pain relief is considered a fundamental human right (Kharasch and Brunt, 2016), and the effectiveness of opioids has made them the most commonly administered drug for analgesia and supplementary sedation during the perioperative period (Casserly and Alexander, 2017). Furthermore, opioids have long been considered a critical part of the “balanced anesthesia” concept (Egan, 2019). Unfortunately, opioid side effects (e.g., constipation, urinary retention, delirium, disordered sleep (Garimella and Cellini, 2013; Rogers et al., 2013; Morina et al., 2015; Gupta et al., 2020), get worse with higher opioid doses, limiting dose increases. In addition, undermedication, and large individual differences in response to opioids and other pain medications, limit the effectiveness of pain management strategies based solely on pharmacologic analgesia. As a result, for a wide range of medical procedures, moderate to severe pain and anxiety during medical procedures is common (Correll et al., 2014; Shoa et al., 2012; Hoffman et al., 2019;2020a,b; Maani et al., 2011a,b).

Prescription opioids have been the leading cause of death by overdose, reflecting 68% of all U.S. drug overdose deaths (Wilson et al., 2020). Of note, surgical patients, even those that only require minor outpatient procedures, are at an increased risk of chronic opioid use or abuse (Sun et al., 2016). Patients innocently initially take the pills to control their pain, but some become addicted and inappropriately continue to take pills beyond when they should wean off.

The military has long been interested in nurturing the development of powerful new non-pharmacologic acute pain management techniques that do not cloud the soldiers’ decision process and that support deployment readiness (Cleeland et al., 2003; Tanielian and Farmer, 2019).

Immersive virtual reality (VR), typically associated with the entertainment and video gaming industry, is increasingly also being applied as a therapeutic modality (Bailenson, 2018). For example, immersive virtual reality is proving to be an unusually effective non-pharmacologic analgesic that can be used in addition to traditional pain medications to help reduce acute pain, such as during painful medical procedures. The breakthrough findings that immersive VR can serve as a non-drug analgesic were first introduced in the late 1990s treating patients during burn wound care and physical therapy range of motion exercises (Hoffman et al., 2000a,b; Hoffman 1998).

Adjuvative VR has been shown to reduce the pain of patients with very large severe burns (covering more than 1/3rd of the patient’s body) who remain conscious during burn wound cleaning sessions in the ICU tank room. Compared to No VR, patients getting wound care reported a 40% reduction in pain intensity during virtual reality, and VR continued to be effective when used again during several separate/repeated daily wound cleaning/debridement sessions (Hoffman et al., 2019;2020). A growing number of studies on the application of VR analgesia have replicated the VR analgesia results in burn patients and during a wide range of other painful medical procedures (Atzori et al., 2018a; Carrougher et al., 2009; Indovina et al., 2018; Garrett et al., 2014; Hoffman et al., 2011; Malloy and Ming, 2010; Morris et al., 2009; Hoffman et al., 2000a,b; Hoffman, 1998; Trost, 2021a). Furthermore, VR has been shown to support research and development of VR analgesia customized for the unique needs of military and VA patients is recommended.
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rehabilitation in clinical settings and to encourage at-home adjunctive treatment adherence (Tran et al., 2021; Hoffman, Boe et al., 2020).

Despite the promising results of clinical applications of VR as a new acute pain control technique, initial adoption rates in clinical practice were slow before 2016, primarily due to the high cost and the cumbersome nature of VR systems (but also bureaucratic billing issues).

Beginning in 2016, the new "Oculus" generation of VR first began to become commercially available, and since then, VR from a number of major tech companies has undergone a dramatic punctuated equilibrium of advancements during the 5 years between 2016 and 2021, with further major advances on the near horizon. In 2021, in a very competitive new VR helmet market, the Quest2 (Quest2 Pro, and Quest 3) is an inexpensive, lightweight, and highly portable, untethered, self contained, stand alone VR helmet that delivers high-quality crisp graphics that are remarkably immersive and can be viewed using VR helmets without the need for any computers. The Oculus Quest2, Quest2 Pro and Quest3 include optical hand tracking/cyber-hand technology already integrated. The cost of this highly immersive Quest2 VR system in 2021 is currently $299 per helmet, 1/1000 the cost of a comparable helmet in 2013 of $36,500 for one helmet (e.g., expensive pre-Oculus military VR goggles used successfully by Hoffman et al. (2019)). The lightweight VIVE Focus3 and Ultra lightweight, untethered, portable, 100 degree FOV immersive VR eyeglasses called VIVE Flow are now available for purchase from VIVE.com. Other manufacturers are also reducing the size and weight of VR goggles/immersive VR eyeglasses.

Emerging evidence is pointing towards continued rapid evolution of increasingly more immersive but affordable VR systems that will be able to further amplify the potency of adjunctive VR distraction for acute pain, and VR therapy for persistent pain (Al-Ghamdi et al., 2020; Wender et al., 2009; Hoffman et al., 2006; Hoffman, 2021; Keefe et al., 2012). Acute pain laboratory studies have helped isolate factors that increase analgesic effectiveness. Goggles that stimulate peripheral vision are more effective at reducing pain than narrower field of view goggles (Hoffman et al., 2006b), and interactive worlds such as SnowWorld (see Figure 1) are more effective than passive versions of the same worlds (Wender et al., 2009). Several recent studies have shown that immersive add-ons such as interactive eye tracking (Al Ghamdi et al., 2020), and interactive avatar cyber-hands (see Figure 2) (Hoffman, 2021), significantly increase VR analgesia for acute pain. Unlike opioid-based analgesia, carefully designed adjunctive VR pain distraction treatments customized for patients (e.g., designed to minimize simulator sickness) had little or no side effects, even with more immersive VR systems, i.e., higher (more immersive, more effective) doses of VR distraction. And there is likely considerable room for further increasing the effectiveness of VR analgesia, as the technology and knowledge about VR analgesia advances.

Mechanism of Action

Although a number of studies have found that VR reduces acute pain, Hoffman (2021) is one of the first studies to test the mechanism of how VR works. In the first acute pain study to test whether avatars can enhance VR analgesia for acute pain (see Figure 2), Hoffman (2021) found that picking up a virtual object with their cyberhand increased participants illusion of “being there” in the computer-generated world, increased pain reduction, and reduced accuracy on an attention demanding task. This pattern of results implicates an attentional (distraction) mechanism for how VR reduces pain (Hoffman, 2021, see also; Birnie et al., 2017; Gold, Belmont and Thomas 2007).

Neuroimaging studies have explored what is happening in the brain when VR reduces acute pain. During a study by Hoffman et al. (2004), healthy civilian volunteers reported feeling considerable pain during brief thermal pain stimuli (at a safe and tolerable temperature). During functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), participants’ brains showed significant pain-related brain activity during the No VR condition (Figure 3, No Virtual Reality). As shown in Figure 3, during VR, these same participants reported significant reductions in pain, and during VR, fMRI brain scans found significant reductions in metabolic activity in brain regions typically associated with the perception of acute pain - the thalamus, primary and secondary somatosensory cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, and insula (see Figure 3). In other words, VR significantly reduced pain-related brain activity.

Furthermore, in a followup fMRI study published 3 years later, the amount of pain reduction during VR alone was comparable to the analgesia from a moderate dose of the opiate hydromorphone, and the adjunctive combination of VR and opioids was the most effective pain relief treatment (Hoffman et al., 2007).

Brain scan studies are beginning to increase our understanding of the neurobiological mechanism(s) of
FIGURE 2 | A person interacting with virtual objects in a virtual living room, using embodied cyberhands, in a recent Mayday Fund supported study (Hoffman, 2021). Copyright Hunter Hoffman, www.vrpain.com, living room demo came with the high resolution, extra wide (180 degree) field of view XTAL helmet by VRgineering.com.

FIGURE 3 | fMRI brain scans show intense pain related brain activity during no VR, and significant reductions in pain-related brain activity during VR. Hoffman et al., 2004. Images by Todd Richards and Aric Bills, copyright Hunter Hoffman, www.vrpain.com.
immersive VR and the interplay of VR with cortical activation (Li et al., 2011), an important area of future research. Although laboratory pain studies with healthy volunteers are very informative, more clinical pain brain scan research on active component and Veteran patients experiencing pain with and without VR is needed. Traditional fMRI brain scans measuring acute pain-related brain activity require the participant to be physically present in the brain scanner tube during the pain. So in the studies by Hoffman et al., 2004; 2006a; 2007, healthy volunteers received brief thermal pain stimuli during an fMRI scan. Participants received “No VR” during part of the scan and “YES VR” during fMRI during the other part of the same brain scan, treatment order randomized. Measuring clinical pain-related brain activity of severe burn patients during burn wound care was not possible using traditional fMRI. During fMRI scans, the participant’s body is positioned deep inside a huge tube, and everyone but the patient must leave the room during the scan. No metal objects are allowed in the room, so it would be challenging if not impossible to measure pain and pain-related brain activity of a severe burn patients during burn wound care in a traditional fMRI scanner.

But we WERE recently able to measure patients’ clinical pain during burn wound care, using Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT) imaging. With the SPECT technique, the patient receives an injection during the painful burn wound cleaning/debridement procedure (Bermo et al., 2021). The tracers in the injection go to the parts of the brain that are metabolically active at the time of injection (e.g., during painful wound care). The burn patients who volunteered to get SPECT brain scans did not need to be in the scanner at the time of injection. The patterns of pain-related brain activity during wound care were temporarily “frozen in time”. In the study by Bermo et al. (2020), the patient received their usual painful burn wound care/scrubbing in the wound care room, with their usual wound care nurse. Patients received an injection of the tracer, but no scan during wound care. After the wound care was over and their burn wounds were rebandaged, the patients were then taken downstairs to the SPECT Scanner, and the image of the brain activity at the time of the injection (during wound care), frozen in time by the injected tracer, was captured by the scanner. Although the SPECT scanning technique involves the use of short acting radioisotopes, the ability to freeze the patterns of brain activity at one time point, and image them at another time (e.g., up to 2 hours after the painful event), has a lot of potential for increasing our understanding of clinical acute and chronic pain of actual patients during painful wound care or other medical events (e.g., headaches). Another related example is epileptic seizures. Traditional fMRI brain scans require that the patients hold very still during the scan, deep inside the magnet tube. With SPECT, you can give the patient an injection during the seizure, and later go to the scanner to collect the image from the patient’s brain, after they are no longer having a seizure. This can help locate the epicenter of the epileptic activity during the recent seizure.

In addition to the studies of burn patients, and analog laboratory pain studies, the analgesic benefits of VR distraction for acute pain have also been reported in patients with blunt force trauma, venipuncture of cancer patients, dental procedures, urological endoscopic surgeries, minor orthopedic surgery procedures, and pain during physical therapy range of motion skin stretching exercises (Hoffman et al., 2020; Trost et al., 2021a; Garrett et al., 2014; Wiederhold et al., 2007). In some cases VR may also help reduce the total dose of opioids used during medical procedures (Firoozabadi et al., 2020; Kipping et al., 2012; Lew et al., 2020), with one study reporting a 39% reduction in opioid medication for patients who received virtual reality during burn wound care (McSherry et al., 2018). Furthermore, in some instances, conducting minor surgical procedures in the outpatient clinic using VR in combination with oral opioids (see Figure 4), can replace the use of much higher dose intravenous (IV) sedation/anesthesia when the same surgical procedure is performed in the operating room (Firoozabadi et al., 2020). Recent reports highlight the safety and efficacy of VR distraction for the management of acute pain during painful medical procedures (Le May et al., 2021; Hoffman et al., 2019; 2020).
Use of VR Distraction for Active Component Soldiers

The first use of VR analgesia for military patient populations involved two separate case studies of soldiers with combat-related burn injuries (Maani et al., 2008; Maani et al., 2011a) and a small, randomized control repeated measures study of 12 soldiers with combat-related burn injuries from improvised explosive devices (IED). The soldiers were injured during a combat deployment to Iraq and Afghanistan as part of Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) and Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF) (Maani et al., 2011b, see Figure 5). The findings from Maani et al., 2011b showed significant reductions in 1) Time spent thinking about pain, 2) Pain unpleasantness, 3) Worst pain, and an 8 fold increase in 4) Fun during wound care (See Figure 6).

In addition to using it in the hospital, VR has potential as a field analgesic that does not impair cognition or decision-making in the way that pharmacological agents can (van Steenbergen et al., 2019).

For a number of reasons, injured soldiers are frequently undermedicated at the point of injury (e.g., on the battlefield), and during medical evacuation (Malchow and Black, 2008). For example, soldiers frequently report acute trauma pain and are often hemodynamically unstable shortly after a severe combat injury. Opioids can further increase hemodynamic instability in some patients (Gordon et al., 2004), a serious medical concern.

Nonpharmacologic VR may prove valuable as a novel analgesic technique that could be used closer to the battlefield, e.g., on the battle field, and/or during transport from the battlefield to a medical facility. At present, limited research is available on the tolerance or effectiveness of VR analgesia during medical transportation requiring flight, but occasional breaks from VR are likely to minimize nausea (Weech et al., 2019). In addition, calm, non- nauseogenic VR worlds such as SnowWorld (Hoffman et al., 2019), are specifically designed to minimize motion sickness. However, until recently the lack of commercially available, lightweight, wide field of view, high-tech VR helmets suitable for widespread military use for VR analgesia has been a barrier to widespread clinical adoption of this promising new acute pain analgesia treatment into everyday military use. Stand alone helmets (e.g., Quest2/Quest2 Pro/Quest3 or HTC VIVE Focus 3 helmet), or the new ultra lightweight and highly portable (100 degrees FOV) VIVE Flow immersive VR eyeglasses, are may prove especially useful. https://www.vive.com/us/product/vive-flow/overview/

Although very little is known about using augmented reality for pain distraction, the use of see through augmented reality goggles may prove valuable on the battlefield and/or during Medivac. Similarly 22 billion dollars worth of Microsoft Hololens goggles have reportedly been purchased and 120,000 ruggedized and customized for military versions of the Hololens are coming into circulation in the U.S. military during the next 5 years https://www.forbes.com/sites/moorinsights/2021/04/06/why-microsoft-won-the-22-billion-army-hololens-2-ar-deal/?sh=6fb6067a5d43. In addition to see-through augmented reality mode, Hololens goggles may be used in occlusive mode, to achieve a portable, moderately immersive VR distraction experience for injured patients during medivac (although there are patent filings suggesting that the Hololens 3 will have much wider field of view, the Hololens 2 currently has approximately 50 degrees field of view as of 2021, compared to approximately >100 degree FOV for the fully immersive untethered ultra lightweight VIVE FLOW VR helmet or Quest2/Quest2 Pro/Quest3). The blinder on the VIVE FLOW and the Quest helmets can easily be removed, allowing patients to see the real ground via peripheral vision, and be more aware of their real world surroundings while in VR. Keeping partial view of the physical horizon in the real world helps reduce simulator sickness.

FIGURE 5 | A soldier with a combat-related burn injury during burn wound debridement while in VR. A custom robot-like arm goggle holder suspended the VR goggles near the patients face, so he did not have to wear a VR helmet on his head, which had burns. Image and copyright Hunter Hoffman, www.vrpain.com.

FIGURE 6 | Soldiers with IED combat related burn injuries reported large reductions in acute pain during burn wound care with adjunctive VR vs. standard of care, (Maani et al., 2011b). Image and copyrights Hunter Hoffman, www.vrpain.com.
The Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) and the Department of Defense (DoD) have played and continue to play a critical role in the development of the internet, fighter pilot flight training simulators, surgical simulators (Satava et al., 2020), immersive virtual reality (IVR) and augmented reality technologies (AVR) for the training of combat and non-combat military personnel (Mowery and Simcoe, 2002). Simulators are also being used to train units in Tactical Combat Casualty Care (TCCC).

The landmark report on the occurrence of combat fatalities occurring during pre-hospital care and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) and the Defense Health Agency (DHA) Joint Trauma System’s Tactical Combat Casualty Care (TCCC) protocol (Butler et al., 2018) have led to “…unprecedented decreases in preventable combat death in military units that have trained all of their members in TCCC” (Butler, 2017 p. e1563). A recent review of the TCCC recommendations highlights hemorrhage and resuscitation control as one of the top 3 recommendations in the care of combat-injured patients to reduce combat fatalities further. Reducing opioids (with VR) could potentially reduce opioid induced hemodynamic instability, reducing the risk of hemorrhage. In light of the growing evidence supporting the efficacy of VR as a non-drug analgesic for acute pain, we propose that the TCCC consider adding VR distraction to the TCCC guidelines/recommendations for treating acute pain.

**Chronic Pain in Veterans**

Compared to civilians, in Veterans, chronic pain is often more severe and is frequently a function of polytrauma and is accompanied by complex medical and psychiatric issues (Nahin, 2017; O’Malley et al., 2020; Sandbrink et al., 2020). Chronic pain often begins with musculoskeletal and spinal cord injuries, military combat, blasts, and explosions, burns, amputations, vehicle accidents, and/or traumatic brain injury. The wide range of etiologies of chronic pain present significant challenges for treatment (Benavides et al., 2021; Zoas, 2021). Some treatment approaches may work for some chronic pain patient populations but not others. There is not a singular approach that benefits everyone. Sometimes it’s a combination of approaches that work. Virtual Reality is so intuitive that even patients who are new to technology are often surprisingly receptive to using virtual reality. And recent research shows that a positive experience in VR made veterans more open to consider pain control technique for chronic pain. Immersive VR may help enhance the effectiveness of some of the abovementioned non-pharmacologic treatments, as well as brand new techniques unique to VR.

**Using Virtual Reality Avatar Therapy to Reduce Chronic Pain**

Some types of chronic pain (e.g., Chronic Regional Pain Syndrome, CRPS) typically develop in an arm, hand, leg or foot, or lower back after an acute trauma (e.g., after injury or after surgery, or after a stroke) and are characterized by persistent pain (e.g., burning), and in some cases, greatly exaggerated and debilitating sensitivity to pain. Although the pathogenesis of chronic pain is still poorly understood, central sensitization, by which nociceptive input can trigger increased excitability of neurons in central nociceptive pathways, is likely a relevant mechanism in some types of chronic pain (Bruehl and Warner, 2010; Woolf, 2011). In addition to severe pain, patients with chronic pain also suffer from significant impairments in function and impaired psychological well being. There is currently no known cure for chronic pain. Treatment remains exceptionally difficult (Harden et al., 2013). Many commonly used interventions are invasive (e.g., nerve blocks, spinal cord stimulators, opioid analgesics), have significant side effects, or have not rigorously been shown to be efficacious (Kingery, 1997; Perez, Kwakkel, Zuurmond, De Lange, and management, 2001). Chronic pain is one of the leading causes of hospital visits, and chronic pain causes an enormous economic burden in the United States in annual hospital costs.
and lost income/reduced productivity. Thus, a new low cost, non-drug analgesia technique that can help patients achieve long term reductions in persistent chronic pain levels (during their everyday lives when patients are not in VR) would be a highly valuable, cost-saving and humanitarian medical advance with important clinical implications.

The Challenges of Treating Chronic Pain

Because moving or touching the hand or limb affected by chronic pain can be extremely painful, patients often avoid using their hypersensitive injured limb or body part (e.g., lower back). Chronic pain patients often develop a psychological avoidance, phobia/fear of movement, and disuse can lead to muscle atrophy, loss of strength, and reduced functionality (permanently reduced range of motion). As a result of disuse, skin, bones and muscles may begin to deteriorate, and the patient may experience tightening of their hands and feet, and in some cases the patient’s fingers or toes may contract into a fixed position.

As an example of maladaptive neuroplasticity, if disused long enough, the part of the brain cortex that controls the body part affected by chronic pain (e.g., the patient’s hand) can shrink from disuse, and the patient can develop a distorted homunculus. For example, amputees who develop phantom limb pain sometimes show distortions in their cortical maps. And indeed the theories behind why mirror therapy (and VR avatar therapy) may help some chronic pain patients (e.g., CRPS) is based in part on encouraging results from phantom limb patients, which is also a challenging chronic pain disease. For some phantom limb patients, mirror therapy helped make their phantom limb and phantom limb pain disappear and helped normalize their distorted homunculus (Ramachandran and Ramachandran, 1996; Chan et al., 2007).

As summarized by Moseley (2004, p 192), “Shrinkage of the cortical representation of the affected limb in the primary somatosensory cortex (luotonen et al., 2002) and disrupted body schema (Schwoebel et al., 2001) have both been observed in CRPS1 patients, and also in amputees with phantom limb pain and post-stroke patients” (Coslett, 1998; Flor et al., 1995). According to Moseley (2004, p 192–193), “in both amputees with phantom limb pain and in stroke patients, a primary goal is to activate cortical areas that subserve the affected limb, which leads to symptomatic and functional improvements (Liepert et al., 2000; Flor et al., 2001) and which in turn correlate with cortical reorganization (Kopp et al., 1999; Flor et al., 2001).”

The Innovative Use of Mirror Therapy for Treating Chronic Pain

Mirror therapy uses a mirror to help trick the brain of chronic pain patients. The patient positions a mirror such that when the patient moves their healthy limb, their brain perceives the mirror reflection as the limb that is affected by chronic pain (except healthy looking and moving without pain instead of the stump that remains hidden from the patients view during mirror therapy). Research shows that for patients with phantom limb pain and some other chronic pain syndromes (e.g., Complex Regional Pain Syndrome, or CRPS), this type of therapy (mirror therapy) helps improve function and reduce pain (Smart et al., 2016; Méndez-Rebolledo et al., 2017; Taylor et al., 2021).

Mirror-therapy is inexpensive, simple and widely available, but compliance with homeworks is a major factor for improvement (Kuys et al., 2012). Unfortunately, patients often find mirror therapy difficult to self-administer correctly, repetitive (Moseley, 2008), and some may find it boring, which may reduce compliance with low tech mirror therapy homework assignments. We predict that mirror therapy is also less attention grabbing than interactive immersive avatar VR therapy, so the patients may experience more flair up pain while doing physical/occupation therapy via home based mirror therapy. Feeling increased pain during mirror therapy movement exercises could discourage the patient’s cooperation with their healthcare providers (e.g., reducing compliance with homeworks), reducing long term therapeutic outcome.

Researchers have begun exploring ways to use immersive virtual reality embodiment (aka avatar VR) to treat chronic pain. Although this line of research is in the early stages, preliminary results are encouraging (Matamala-Gomez et al., 2019). Several studies to date have shown significant short term reductions in chronic pain during avatar VR. In many of these early studies, the avatars were static. For example, the Chronic Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) patient laid their real arm on a real table such that when they put on the VR helmet, the virtual arm they saw laying on a virtual table in the virtual world was co-located with their real arm. Researchers then manipulated the size, shape, color and transparency of the virtual body part, and measured how those manipulations affected the patients chronic pain ratings during the VR sessions (Matamala-Gomez et al., 2019; Matamala-Gomez et al., 2019; Matamala-Gomez et al., 2020). In another study using static avatars to treat chronic pain, the stationary avatar pulsed with each of the patient’s heartbeats. Seeing their avatar light up slightly each time the patient’s heart beat helped reduce chronic pain in CRPS patients, while the patient was in VR (Solcà et al., 2018). According to Solcà et al. (2018) multisensory integration (e.g., a pulsing avatar) is key to giving patients a compelling illusion of ownership of the avatar.

Giving patients with paralyzed legs the illusion of control over virtual limbs (i.e., virtual walking), can help reduce neuropathic pain in patients with spinal cord injuries (Austin and Siddal, 2019; Trost, et al., 2021b). As reviewed by Trost, et al. (2021a), most acute pain studies are using VR as a distraction, whereas for most studies exploring the use of VR for treating chronic pain, distraction is not the target of the treatment. Instead, when used to treat chronic pain, “virtual reality is used as an adjunct to deliver psychological coping skills (e.g., cognitive behavioral therapy), improve mood, promote movement such as...increased lumbar flexion among back pain sufferers with fear of movement.” (Trost, 2021a, p. 327).

Although the preliminary results using VR analgesia to treat chronic pain are encouraging, as noted by Trost, et al., 2021a, p 327, regarding VR chronic pain studies, “…most of the studies to date do not meet criteria for “high-quality evidence” or RCT status”.
Dynamic avatars controlled by optical hand tracking technology have only recently become widely commercially available, and affordable, a major technological development by the new multi-billion dollar VR helmet companies. We predict that dynamic avatars (e.g., cyberhands) can be designed to be unusually potent for reducing chronic pain and improving long term outcome instead of just short term improvements. While wearing a VR helmet, the patient sees two computer generated virtual hands (a right cyberhand and a left cyberhand) in the virtual world. Patients cannot see their real hands, because the helmet blocks their view of the real world. Miniature cameras embedded in the VR helmet track the patients real hand locations and movements, and the virtual hands (cyberhands) seen by the patient in VR, mimic their real hand movements in real time. Patients can use their real hands and fingers to reach out their cyberhand in VR and interact with virtual objects in the computer-generated world via their avatar cyberhands (see Figure 2).

Compared to static avatars, this illusion of ownership of the virtual body known as embodiment, (e.g., these cyberhands are my hands) is much more compelling and convincing with dynamic avatars (e.g., cyberhands).

Hoffman (2021) found that interacting with virtual objects via embodied cyberhands and movable cyberfingers made VR significantly more effective at reducing acute pain while the person was in VR (Hoffman, 2021).

VR distraction can also temporarily reduce chronic pain (Wiederhold et al., 2014; Rawlins et al., 2021), and we predict may temporarily reduce chronic pain during PT/OT sessions. And that may help increase patient’s compliance with the therapists. While the direct analgesic benefits of VR distraction may be fairly short lived, what patients do while in VR may have lasting longer term benefits, e.g., therapeutic movements that will help activate their atrophied homunculus, and/or learning a mindfulness coping skill while in VR (e.g., controlled breathing), that they can use to reduce their anxiety in the real world when they are not wearing a VR helmet. In addition, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy delivered via VR treatments can help correct misconceptions the patients have (e.g., teaching them the counterintuitive notion that movement may increase their pain in the short term and the day after, but may help reduce their chronic pain in the long term, Keefe et al., 2012). Based on our recent laboratory study of the effect of cyberhands on VR analgesia for acute pain (Hoffman, 2021, see Figure 2), we predict that avatar VR treatment for chronic pain patients will be unusually attention grabbing and will non-pharmacologically reduce chronic procedural pain during physical/occupational therapy and will make movements of the affected limb less painful during physical/occupational therapy. In addition, during VR, patients will be able to use their hands/cyberhands to interact with virtual objects and perform simple tasks requiring manual dexterity. These interactions with objects in the virtual world will help motivate patients to physically move their affected limb. We predict that dynamic VR avatar therapy will improve both short term and long term pain scores and functional status (i.e., long term reductions in chronic pain, improved limb range of motion in the real world), and Avatar VR therapy will have long term psychological benefits (reduced depression, reduced negative emotions, reduced centralized pain sensitivity, reduced fear of movement, and/or improved quality of life).

Dynamic Immersive Avatar VR (cyberhands) is designed to stimulate the parts of the patients’ brain associated with the chronic pain affected limb. As mentioned earlier, this is a logic similar to the logic of treating chronic pain in amputees who have also stopped using their affected (e.g. amputated) hand, which is in pain (their phantom limb). In other words, the rationale for why VR avatar therapy might work is based on the same logic as using mirror therapy for phantom limb patients.

We predict that dynamic avatar VR will promote exercise in the affected limb to more intensely engage neural pathways in a way designed to stimulate the part of the patient’s brain that controls the affected limb (the motor cortex, and also the contralateral primary somatosensory cortex).

**VR Mindfulness**

Chronic pain is associated with greater levels of stress, and depression, which results in significant reductions in patients’ quality of life and leads to substantial direct and indirect healthcare costs (Skadberg, Moore, and Elledge, 2020). Stressful events, depression and other negative psychological influences can exacerbate chronic pain. A substantial body of research supports the efficacy of traditional mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) for the reduction of stress and anxiety that can help reduce chronic pain (Kabat-Zinn 1982, 1990; Kabat-Zinn et al., 1985). Traditional mindfulness practice is known to be associated with an increase in positive emotions, a reduction in negative emotions, improved emotion regulation, and reduced stress. However, the accompanying training (typically in person, in groups, at scheduled times) and a commitment to a regular practice that MBSR approaches require is a frequent adoption barrier (Flett et al., 2019).

During mindfulness VR, using Mindfulness RiverWorld (see Figure 7), patients listen to instructions that teach mindfulness skills by observing sights and sounds. In pilot studies, VR mindfulness skills training reduced negative emotions and increased positive emotions in patients with borderline personality disorder (Nararro-Haro et al., 2016), paralysis following spinal cord injury (Flores et al., 2018), and severe burns (Gomez et al., 2017). In a randomized controlled study, patients with Generalized Anxiety Disorder who received traditional mindfulness + VR Mindfulness, had significantly higher completion rates (fewer dropouts) than patients who received traditional mindfulness only (Navarro-Haro et al., 2019).

**Additional Treatments**

In addition to its application for acute, brief, and chronic pain management, VR is increasingly being used for the treatment of the frequently accompanying psychiatric challenges that are commonplace post-deployment such as anxiety and depression. And reducing PTSD remains a core mandate of the DoD and the VA (Rizzo and Shilling, 2017). Therapeutic VR is showing promise for the treatment of these common co-occurring psychiatric symptoms.
VR graded exposure therapy (VR-GET) has shown particular promise for PTSD (Difede and Hoffman, 2002; Difede et al., 2014; McLay et al., 2014; Rothbaum et al., 2014; Rizzo and Shilling, 2017; Norr et al., 2018; Gramlich et al., 2021) a condition that affects between 9 and 30% of Veterans (Neria, 2021).

Trypanophobia or fear of needles is a common phobia that can cause unnecessary distress, anxiety, and rumination, may in some cases require physical restraint during the procedure (e.g., Atzori et al., 2018). Avoidance of a simple venipuncture procedure can prevent potentially lifesaving medical care, particularly vaccinations. VR distraction has shown efficacy for the temporary management of pain, fear and distress associated with venipuncture using VR distraction (e.g., SnowWorld) in a range of populations - children, adults with autism spectrum disorders, and cancer patients (Atzori, 2018; Gold and Mahrer, 2018; Chan et al., 2019; Love and Love., 2021). Alternatively, instead of using VR for distraction to temporarily get the patient through the venipuncture, permanently reducing the persons fear of needles can often be achieved in a few cognitive behavioral therapy sessions involving exposure therapy (e.g., VR exposure therapy in “Virtual Needle World”), guided by a clinical therapist.

Future Directions

In the future, patients may find home-based physical therapy homework exercises more interesting and fun using networked avatar VR enhanced PT/OT homework sessions. Information collected from the patient by the VR system can be used to keep track of how often patients are doing their physical therapy or mindfulness exercises, and to measure and give them feedback about their improvements over time. Networked multiplayer VR (e.g., Wendrich et al., 2016) allows therapists and patients to meet in a common shared virtual environment, and “buddy systems” where pairs of patients can support each other during VR sessions during their treatment. VR is becoming an established research and treatment option, and the rapid advances in VR technology, continued reduction in hardware and software costs, and the application of VR to a growing number of medical conditions, suggests that if results warrant, its use for chronic pain reduction will likely increase. The familiarity of Veterans with VR makes it a readily adoptable modality in the treatment of the complex physical and psychiatric disorders typical of this population (Kramer et al., 2010). For example, there is recent evidence that Veterans who briefly try VR distraction are impressed by the temporary reduction in pain they experience while in VR (i.e., VR distraction), and become more receptive/open minded to trying other non-pharmacologic analgesia treatments that might give them short term breaks from their pain while in VR (Rawlins et al., 2021) as well as long term solutions to their chronic pain discussed above (VR Mindfulness skills training, VR CBT, and VR Neuroscience pain education).

VR is readily incorporated into telehealth and telepsychiatry initiatives to offer access to healthcare to remote locations (e.g., patients living in rural communities) and as a cost-saving solution (Miner et al., 2016; Pierce et al., 2020; Sampaio et al., 2021a,b). Emerging reports point to the readiness of clinicians to add VR to their highly effective telehealth and telepsychology practice, particularly during the global pandemic (Sampaio et al., 2021a,b). The new generation of VR systems supports avatars (e.g., cyberhands and soon wireless full body tracking, full avatar bodies, face tracking and eye tracking), and the addition of “embodied” presence is expected to enhance efficacy, as it enhances the patient’s experience, increases patient’s sense of “physical control” of their body (albeit a virtual body). Avatar VR may be useful for some chronic pain patients (Matamala-Gomez, Diaz et al., 2019) and also for a wide range of acutely painful medical procedures (Hoffman, 2021).
CONCLUSION

We propose a revision to the current TCCC guidelines (see Montgomery et al., 2021) to include the addition of VR as an effective and hemodynamically safe approach to the current management of acute trauma pain in military personnel during medical procedures. Future research is needed on the feasibility of using VR acute pain distraction at point of injury, and during transport to emergency care (e.g., using lightweight VR goggles, or ultralightweight immersive eyeglasses, or Hololens mixed reality glasses). VR can also be used to support recovery from chronic pain by augmenting the efficacy of already established psychotherapeutic approaches such as CBT, exposure therapy, Dialectical Behavioral Therapy (Linehan, 1993), Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction (Kabat-Zinn, 1985) and other mindfulness based therapies. Furthermore, VR has been shown to support rehabilitation in clinical settings and to encourage at-home treatment adherence (Tran et al., 2021). Moreover, VR is a useful platform to provide interactive pain neuroscience education (PNE) about perioperative pain and expected recovery (Lounw et al., 2020). In addition to psychosocial information about chronic pain, VR can enhance physical rehabilitation by providing brief analgesia during rehabilitation exercises, and the therapeutic physical movements may in turn help reduce chronic pain (Garcia et al., 2015; Keefe et al., 2012; Trost, 2021ab). Expanded research and development of VR analgesia customized for the unique needs of military and VA patients is recommended (Chan et al., 2018, Matamala-Gomez et al., 2019, Moseley, 2004, Perez et al., 2001, Siedlecka et al., 2014).
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