Geography is essential for reproductive isolation between flurally diversified morning glory species from Amazon canga savannahs
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The variety, relative importance and eco-evolutionary stability of reproductive barriers are critical to understanding the processes of speciation and species persistence. Here we evaluated the strength of the biotic prezygotic and postzygotic isolation barriers between closely related morning glory species from Amazon canga savannahs. The flower geometry and flower visitor assemblage analyses supported pollination by the bees in lavender-flowered *Ipomoea marabaensis* and recruitment of hummingbirds as pollinators in red-flowered *Ipomoea cavalcantei*. Nevertheless, native bee species and alien honeybees foraged on flowers of both species. Real-time interspecific hybridization underscored functionality of the overlap in flower visitor assemblages, questioning the strength of prezygotic isolation underpinned by diversification in flower colour and geometry. Interspecific hybrids were fertile and produced offspring in nature. No significant asymmetry in interspecific hybridization and hybrid incompatibilities among offspring were found, indicating weak postmating and postzygotic isolation. The results suggested that despite floral diversification, the insular-type geographic isolation remains a major barrier to gene flow. Findings set a framework for the future analysis of contemporary evolution of plant-pollinator networks at the population, community, and ecosystem levels in tropical ecosystems that are known to be distinct from the more familiar temperate climate models.

The natural world biodiversity is recognizably discontinuous1. Gaps in morphology often separate groups of organisms that we call species2-4. In sexually reproducing organisms, the occurrence and evolution of reproductive barriers, counteracting the blending effects of gene flow, are thought to be essential for the evolutionary independence of populations5. In plants, 70% of taxonomic species and 75% of phenotypic clusters correspond to reproductively independent lineages, showing that reproductive isolation is an essential cause of plant biodiversity6. Thus, understanding reproductive isolation remains a central question in studies focused on the origin of species, process of speciation7-9.

The formation of a zygote is a key chronological landmark to distinguish reproductive barriers acting before and after successful fertilization, the so-called prezygotic and postzygotic isolation8. Prezygotic isolation mechanisms are commonly split into premating and postmating isolation. Premating, prepollination barriers in plants...
are exemplified by habitat isolation\textsuperscript{10,11}, phenological differences, e.g. differences in flowering time\textsuperscript{11}, and pollinator specificity\textsuperscript{22}. Events happening after pollen grain deposition at a flower stigma surface constitute postmating or else known as postpollination isolation barriers in plants, which comprise pollen–pistil incompatibilities and congeneric pollen disadvantages\textsuperscript{23}. Postmating barriers underpinned by gametic competition are documented in birds\textsuperscript{14}, fish\textsuperscript{15} and insects\textsuperscript{16}. Reduced hybrid viability and infertility are two major phenotypic manifestations of postzygotic isolation both in plants and animals\textsuperscript{9,17}. The genetic architecture of postzygotic isolation is explained by the Bateson–Dobzhansky–Muller genetic incompatibilities\textsuperscript{18} and epigenetic variation\textsuperscript{19}. In plants, presence-absence variation among duplicated gene copies can cause recessive embryo lethality\textsuperscript{20} and sterility\textsuperscript{21}. Autoimmune responses due to epistatic interactions between natural genetic variants lead to common hybrid necrosis\textsuperscript{22}. Structural genome variation, such as translocations and chromosome copy number disparity are the common causes of meiosis abnormalities that abort plant and animal gametogenesis, underpinning various degrees of infertility\textsuperscript{23–25}, although plant meiosis seems to be lacking in the pachytenepoint control\textsuperscript{25} and genic incompatibilities\textsuperscript{26} could be more common causes pollen unviability\textsuperscript{27}. Chromosomal inversions can play a role in local adaptation and diversification, for example in butterflies\textsuperscript{28} and monkeyflowers (\textit{Mimulus})\textsuperscript{29}. One defining characteristic of a metazoan species can be a coadapted mitonuclear genotype that is incompatible with the coadapted mitochondrial and nuclear genotype of other populations\textsuperscript{30}. Intraspecific coadaptation between the nuclear and cytoplasmic genomes influences both viability and fertility of plant hybrids\textsuperscript{31}.

Although plants vary in patterns of reproductive isolation, studies of species from twenty-one genera suggested that prepollination barriers were often very strong in plants and contributed more to total reproductive isolation than postpollination and postzygotic barriers\textsuperscript{8}. The major biotic players in prepollination isolation are thought to be pollinators\textsuperscript{12}. Sexual reproduction in 87% of flowering plants is dependent on animals for pollen transfer\textsuperscript{30}. In a basic scenario, animals are attracted by the flower shape, colour, scent, and rewarded with nectar and pollen as a food\textsuperscript{31–34}. To function in plant reproductive isolation, mutualistic plant-pollinator interaction must show pairwise specificity. The strong innate pollinator preferences in colour, spatial achromatic flower properties, or scent can underpin mutualism specificity\textsuperscript{35–37}. Thus, the concept of pollination syndromes proposes that specific suites of flower traits evolved in response to natural selection imposed by different pollinators\textsuperscript{38,39}. The Grant-Stebbins model can explain pollinator-driven floral diversification\textsuperscript{40–42}. In this model, geographical differences in pollinator abundance drive adaptive divergence in floral traits across plant populations leading to pollination ecotypes and establishment of a prezygotic reproductive barrier, the so-called floral isolation\textsuperscript{31}. Pollinators flexibility in preference due to learning associations between rewards and colour, or due to a variable perception of colour in different environments or plant communities make pollinator imposed selection context-dependent, adding the next layer of sophistication and complexity to floral isolation mechanisms\textsuperscript{43,44}. Reward economics is another factor affecting plant-pollinator interactions\textsuperscript{45}. For example, genetic analysis in \textit{Mimulus}\textsuperscript{46}, ecological studies of Cerrado savannahs plant communities\textsuperscript{47}, artificial manipulations of nectar volumes in \textit{Penstemon spectabilis} all argue that mean nectar offer is the only parameter related to hummingbird visitation frequency, regardless of the flower colour or pollination syndrome\textsuperscript{46,47}.

The natural hybrid zones between either currently diversifying populations or at secondary contacts provide evidence for the leakage of prezygotic reproductive barriers. At least 23% of plant species and 10% of animal species are known to hybridize\textsuperscript{29}. Depending on the strength and a type of postzygotic isolation, the evolutionary role of interspecific hybrids can have dramatically different consequences. The hybrid sterility can be resolved by polyploidization, which is a typical path in plant allopolyploid speciation\textsuperscript{39}. If interspecific hybrids do not suffer severe sterility problems, they can give rise to new homoploid species in plants and birds\textsuperscript{90,131}; facilitate genetic rescue and demographic recovery\textsuperscript{32,29}; or underpin introgression of favourable traits, the so-called adaptive introgression\textsuperscript{40,45}. One hypothesis is that the Bateson–Dobzhansky–Muller genetic incompatibilities\textsuperscript{18} and epigenetic variation\textsuperscript{19} explained the evolvement of invasiveness\textsuperscript{56} and recent adaptations to the changing environment, for example in fish\textsuperscript{37}. Thus, interspecific introgression can play an essential general role in the process of natural selection by contributing to the generation of intraspecific phenotypic variation, in addition to the \textit{de novo} mutations, recombination, and standing phenotypic variation\textsuperscript{31}. At the opposite end of the interspecific hybridization effect spectrum is species extinction by genetic and demographic swamp\textsuperscript{58}.

Here, we determine how reproductive isolation and interspecific hybridization contribute to the evolution of \textit{Ipomoea cavalcantei} and \textit{I. marabaensis} morning glory species that inhabit Amazon savannah-like ecosystems known as cangas\textsuperscript{43}. This system has several advantages that allow selection and gene flow to be analysed in nature. Firstly, canga evolved on iron laterite rock outcrops found at some isolated mountain tops in Carajás mountain range at the similar elevations of ca. 700 m above sea level; are surrounded by a very dense mountainous rain forest, the canopy of which towers at 10–20 meters, and are often separated by the ravines in the eroding mountainous landscape, indicative of the insular type geographic isolation\textsuperscript{62} (Supplementary Fig. S1). Secondly, species have a largely allopatric distribution between canga islands that are spaced at a relatively small geographic scale\textsuperscript{63} (Fig. 1a). Thirdly, taxonomists proposed that \textit{I. cavalcantei} and \textit{I. marabaensis} are sister species\textsuperscript{60}. Our phylogenetic analysis supported close species relationship\textsuperscript{61}. Next, possible hybridization zone may exist, as suggested by the reports of individuals with intermediate morphological characteristics\textsuperscript{62,63}. Finally, the major interspecific phenotypic differences are flower shape, size and colour, red in \textit{I. cavalcantei} and lavender in \textit{I. marabaensis}\textsuperscript{59,60,63}. The blue-to-red flower colour transition is thought to underpin a shift in pollinator specialization from bees to hummingbirds in \textit{Ipomoea}\textsuperscript{64,65}. In the genus, flowers are predominantly coloured by the pelargonidin-based (red hues) and cyanidin-based (blue-purple hues) anthocyanins\textsuperscript{39,66} and to a lesser extent by carotenoids\textsuperscript{67}. The ancestral floral colour is blue-purple\textsuperscript{61,68}. Several independent transitions to other colours have occurred in this genus, including at least four red-flowered lineages\textsuperscript{68}. The red flower colour in cypress vine morning glory \textit{I. quamoclit} is determined by the down-regulation of the flavonoid 3′ hydroxylase (F3′H) in flower tissues, which redirects metabolic flow towards biosynthesis of pelargonidin\textsuperscript{64,65}.
The experimental design of this study tested two hypotheses: (i) floral isolation is an important component of prezygotic isolation between *I. cavalcantei* and *I. marabaensis*; (ii) interspecific hybridization can enhance gene flow that contributes to the generation of phenotypic variation in the *I. cavalcantei* and *I. marabaensis* species complex.

Results
Species flowering time overlapped at sympatry and migration sites. We re-evaluated distribution of red-flowered *I. cavalcantei* and lavender-flowered *I. marabaensis* individuals in thirteen canga islands within the Carajás National Forest (Fig. 1a; Supplementary Table S1). Sympatry was found only in cangas N4 and N5. *I. cavalcantei* was common in canga N4, whereas *I. marabaensis* was not abundant and only appeared as groups of 10–20 individuals close to the canga-forest boundaries. It was difficult to compare the historical abundance of *I. cavalcantei* and *I. marabaensis* in canga N5, of which only 9% is available for studies. At two N5 survey sites, both species were intermixed in comparable frequencies and the distance between plants with red and lavender flowers varied between 0.5 to 10 meters (Supplementary Fig. S2), indicating that species were exposed to similar animal pollinator assemblages. A single *I. cavalcantei* migrant surrounded by numerous *I. marabaensis* plants was found in allopatric N8 that is 6-km-distant from sympatric N4 (Supplementary Fig. S2).

To determine the potential role of flowering timing in prezygotic isolation, we followed species for three years. During the dry seasons, perennial morning glories shed leaves and did not flower. During the wet seasons, depending on the time of rainfall, *I. marabaensis* flowering started at the end of January and ended at the end of May or early June. *I. cavalcantei* began flowering at the mid-to-end of February and continuing to the end of May.
beginning of June. In March and April, both species flowered abundantly at all sympathy (N4, N5) and migration (N8) sites, e.g., Supplementary Fig. S2, indicating a limited role of flowering time differences in reproductive isolation.

Phenotypic screening reveals variation in flower colour, shape, and size. To assess the constancy\(^49\) of the red flower colour in *I. cavalcantei* and identify possible examples of interspecific gene flow effects\(^44\), populations in canga N1, N2, N3, N4, N5, and N6 were screened for the qualitatively distinguishable colour variation (Fig. 1b). We found seventeen *I. cavalcantei*-like individuals that had pink flowers (cangas N3, N4, N5, e.g., Fig. 2ab; eleven plants with purplish (N3, N4, N5), e.g., Fig. 2c and two pure white (N3, N4) flower colours. The colour patterning due to lower intensity along the corolla rays that gave a star-like appearance distinguished three pink flowered individuals from sympatric N4 (Figs. 1b; 2b,h). A similar screen of *I. marabaensis* populations revealed variation in lavender colour patterning and intensity (Fig. 1b). The extreme phenotypic groups included four individuals with white limbs and lavender tubes in allopatric N6; one with pure white flowers in sympatric N4; six with pink flowers in sympatric N5 (Fig. 2f). Variation in flower size and flower limb shape is illustrated in Supplementary Figs. S3 and S4.

Plants with intermediate flower morphological characters hereafter referred to as putative interspecific hybrids (PH), distinguished sympathy cangas N4 (n = 7) and N5 (n = 34). PH plants had intense magenta coloured tubular flowers (Figs. 1b and 2d). Magenta flower colour in *Ipomoea purga* is due to the production of a mixture of pelargonidin (red) and cyanidin (blue/purple) compounds\(^50\).

Coordinated changes in limb contour and throat width had been a major trend of floral shape divergence. To determine whether the flower shape diversification fits contrasting hummingbird versus bee pollination syndrome trait suites\(^38,39,45\), principal component analysis of morphospace defined by 15 anatomical landmarks on frontal flower images was carried out. PC analysis revealed two main components explaining 77.2% of phenotypic variation between *I. cavalcantei* (n = 507) and *I. marabaensis* (n = 647). The PC1 (56.8%) was related to a difference in limb contours. Sampled individuals of *I. cavalcantei* and *I. marabaensis* were grouped into distinct clusters that were separated by the PC2 (Fig. 3a; Supplementary Table S2). The PC2 (20.4%) emphasized on differences in flower throat aperture, narrower in *I. cavalcantei* and wider in *I. marabaensis*, which is consistent with an idea of specialization toward hummingbird pollination in *I. cavalcantei*.

Flower and leaf shape traits distinguished canga-delimited populations and emphasized on both additive and dominant genetic effects in putative hybrids. To assess the combined effects of genetic drift and gene flow in shaping phenotypic variation, we quantified trait variation between canga-delimited populations using descriptive statistics of four flower and one leaf shape parameters. Results pointed out several emerging patterns (Figs. 3 and S5; Supplementary Tables S2, S3). Firstly, the statistically significant differences suggested a consistent subdivision of samples into groups. For example, throat width in tubular flowers can be a significant evolutionary trait that may control access to the nectar by size discrimination between flower visitors. Pairwise comparison of throat width between five *I. cavalcantei* samples indicated four distinct groups of populations (Fig. 3b). Secondly, the sympathy effect was noted. For the trait “Flower diameter”, *I. marabaensis* sample from sympatric canga N4 was different from all other conspecific samples, closer to *I. cavalcantei* samples and indistinguishable from the PH individuals (Fig. 3c). Thirdly, the analysis of leaf length/width ratio illustrated the distance effects, which showed that among Northern cangas, *I. marabaensis* individuals from N8 and N9 had the narrowest leaves in the species. The leaf width increased in allopatric cangas Morro 1, Morro 2, N7 and N6, the latter being closest (2 km) to sympatric canga N4 (Fig. 3e).

The value distributions in PH samples from N4 and N5 were pairwise similar, indicating a single group for all analysed traits. The mean values for three measured flower parameters were intermediate between *I. cavalcantei* and *I. marabaensis* samples from sympatric cangas, which is consistent with additive gene action (Fig. 3, Supplementary Table S3). The angle between the tube axis and limb plane assigned all *I. cavalcantei* and PH samples to a single group that was different from four groups of all *I. marabaensis* samples (Fig. 3d). This result indicated stabilizing selection on the angle trait in *I. cavalcantei* and genetic dominance.

Variation in reproductive organ positions. To compare the role of reproductive organ positions, which are important adaptive flower geometry traits influencing the efficiency of pollen removal and deposition by distinct pollinators\(^45,50\), we analysed dissected flowers. In *I. cavalcantei*, five anther-bearing filaments, the so-called stamens, were similar in length and largely elevated over the flower throat, i.e., were exerted (Fig. 2g,h). Five stamens of *I. marabaensis* were included and differed in length, two longer than other three (Fig. 2k,n), indicating sub-functionalization, e.g., the long and short anthers in *Brassica rapa* made different contributions to pollination efficiency of nectar-feeding bumblebees\(^71\). PH plants had five stamens of similar length, a phenotype that can be the second example of genetic dominance (Fig. 2i,m). Stigma-bearing styles (n = 358) were largely exerted in *I. cavalcantei* (Figs. 2l and 3f), which is consistent with adaptation to hummingbird-pollination. However, 24styles were included (7%); 8, 12 and 4 were from allopatric N3 (9%, n = 90), sympatric N4 (14%, n = 82) and N5 (13%, n = 30), respectively (Fig. 2l). No style inclusion was found in N1 (n = 92) and N2 (n = 42). The styles were more exerted in N1 (1.1 ± 0.33 cm) as compared to four conspecific samples, i.e., 0.45 ± 0.37 cm (Fig. 3f). Samples of *I. marabaensis* (n = 354) were similar to each other, except Sossego population, and all had included styles (Figs. 2n and 3f).

In hermaphroditic flowers, spatial separation of the anthers and stigma, the so-called herkogamy, is a common strategy to reduce sexual interference between the respective male and female flower functions\(^35,72\). Measurements of the distance from stigma to the distal tip of the longest stamen in flowers showed that anthers were mostly positioned below stigmas, i.e., largely descending herkogamy, both in *I. cavalcantei* (90%) and *I. marabaensis*. 

\(^{49}\) I. cavalcantei

\(^{50}\) I. marabaensis
(82%) (Supplementary Fig. S5; Table S3). Nevertheless, flowers in which stigmas were in contact with anthers, or were below anthers can be found within variation range in both species (Fig. 2h,l,n). Herkogamy72,73 is considered an adaptive trait in self-compatible species, decreasing the likelihood of self-pollination and increasing the

Figure 2. Flower geometry and colour differences. In panels (a) to (f), flowers of *I. cavalcantei* and *I. marabaensis* are to the left and the right, respectively; bars = 2 cm. In (g–k), images of flowers photographed at approximately 45° angle to the flower axis to illustrate reproductive organ positions at the flower throat, not on the same scale. The (l–n) panels show the range of the stigma positions pointed with black arrows, scale bar = 1 cm in (l) applies to (m,n). (a) Cup-shaped pink flower of line EB139 from canga N4. (b) EB079 pink flower (N4) has a longer tube, broader limb, very pale rays - star pattern. (c) Purplish flower colour in line EB123, canga N3. (d) Flower of a hybrid EB081 from canga N4 has magenta colour. (e) EB178 hybrid from canga N5. (f) Intense-pink coloured flower of *I. marabaensis*-like line EB197 from canga N5. (g) *I. cavalcantei* reproductive organs, anthers supported by filaments, collectively stamens, and style ending with stigma are exserted. (h) Close up of EB079 pink flower in (b); anthers are relatively low, stigma is included. (i) EB081 hybrid. Reproductive organs are included. (j) Pink coloured flower of *I. marabaensis*-like line EB197. (k) *I. marabaensis* has included styles and stamens that are barely visible at this angle. (l) Red *I. cavalcantei* and the rightmost EB079 pink flowers. (m) Magenta colour flowers. (n) The leftmost intense pink EB197 and *I. marabaensis* flowers. Note that the flower tube insides are intensely coloured in *I. marabaensis*, indicative of the “signpost” patterning guiding visiting pollinators.
Figure 3. Flower trait analysis. (a) Principal component analysis of morphospace. Schematic flower outlines point 15 anatomical landmarks (dots) and measured parameters (lines). Panels (b) to (f) represent descriptive statistics of the canga-delimited trait diversification illustrated with boxplots. The band inside the box is a median value; box spans the upper and lower quartiles; box-connected lines are whiskers showing the lowest and highest datum still within 1.5 interquartile range; dots are outliers. Letters above each plot represent statistically significant differences at p < 0.05 according to the Wilcoxon posthoc test. Individual boxplots correspond to canga-delimited samples, the ID’s of which are listed along X-axis as cangas N1 to N9; S11 is S11 plateau canga; SO – Sossego granitic inselberg; T – canga Tarzan. Cangas N4 and N5 are sympatric and host putative hybrids N4H, N5H; *I. cavalcantei*, N4C, N5C; and *I. marabaensis*, N4M, N5M. Labels M1 and M2 correspond to the samples from cangas Morro 1 and Morro 2. Sample species identity is shown by boxplot colouring: red - *I. cavalcantei*; blue - *I. marabaensis*; black – putative hybrids that here are treated as an independent taxon. (b) Variation in flower throat diameter. (c) Flower diameter, when viewed along the tubular flower axis. (d) The angle between the tube axis and limb plane. (e) Leaf variation in shape and size among *I. marabaensis* populations exceed variation in *I. cavalcantei*. (f) Distances from the stigma to the plane at flower throat. Values >0 or <0 correspond to exserted or included styles, respectively.
opportunity for outcrossing by abiotic or biotic pollen vectors. The role of herkogamy in plant-pollinator interactions of self-incompatible species is less clear7,8.

_I. cavalcantei and I. marabaensis are self-incompatible species_. Ipomoea species can be either self-compatible or self-incompatible74,75. To understand the reproductive mode, we isolated flowering shoots of _I. cavalcantei_ (canga N1, n = 16; N4, n = 11) and _I. marabaensis_ (N6, n = 15; N8, n = 15). Individuals produced 603 and 557 flowers, respectively, none of which developed into fruit capsules (Supplementary Table S4). In _ex-situ_ collection, hand-pollination of flower pistils with pollen from the same flower, i.e., self-pollination did not produce seeds both in _I. cavalcantei_ (27 flowers of 10 individuals) and _I. marabaensis_ (14 flowers of 3 individuals) (Supplementary Table S5). Analysis of natural progeny from six _I. cavalcantei_ mothers in sympatric canga N4 showed outcrossing (Supplementary Table S6). Next, we performed intraspecific crosses (Supplementary Table S7). Out of nineteen tested _I. cavalcantei_ parental combinations, the 82% seed set was obtained from only ten plant pairs. Similar cross-pollinations between _I. marabaensis_ plants gave 85% seed set from four combinations out of seven tested. Genotype-dependent rejection of pollen deposited on stigmas is characteristic of gametophytic or sporophytic self-incompatibilities in plants and can explain the seed set failure in some parental combinations. Thus, four lines of experimental evidence suggested self-incompatibility (SI) genetic system in both species, implying an obligatory dependence of _I. cavalcantei_ and _I. marabaensis_ reproductive success on biotic and abiotic pollen vectors.

_Homoeologous single nucleotide polymorphisms were infrequent_. To identify molecular markers that can be used for species identification, the homoeologous single nucleotide polymorphisms (h-SNP)76, we determined partial sequences of ten nuclear genes of _I. cavalcantei_ (n = 46), _I. marabaensis_ (n = 48) and PH plants (N4, n = 5; N5, n = 20). In a total of 6097 base pairs (bp), 128 and 135 single nucleotide polymorphic sites (SNP) characterized _I. cavalcantei_ and _I. marabaensis_, respectively (Supplementary Table S8). Among 161 SNP’s found in both species, five were multiallelic (one in _ANS_, one in _WD40_ and three in _UF3GT_), others were biallelic. In our sampling, likely h-SNPs occurred only in _MYB_ and _F3′H_ (Fig. S6). At other polymorphic sites, identical bases were found in both species, which indicated that the majority (97%) of found SNPs reveal molecular diversification within the species, supporting the idea of a close interspecific relationship.

_Interspecific genetic differentiation supported the known functional role of F3′H and MYB gene loci in flower colour shift from blue to red_. To characterize gene allele diversity and distribution, we phased polymorphisms into haplotypes, which showed that each gene was represented by a minimum of 4 to a maximum of 25 alleles in _I. cavalcantei_ _MYB_ and _I. marabaensis_ _ANS_, respectively (Supplementary Table S8). The near-complete interspecific separation among major tested haplotypes was evident only for the _F3′H_ and _MYB_ gene orthologs (Figs. 4 and S6; S7). Major haplotypes in other genes were commonly shared between the species. For example, _bHLH_ haplotypes H01, H02, H03, H04, H05, H08, and H15, which account for 167 chromosomes out of the 228 scored (73%), were all found between both _I. cavalcantei_ and _I. marabaensis_ individuals. The network haplotype partitioning agreed with standard population genetics statistics. The pairwise _FST_ varied from 0.07 among _RB2–2_ haplotypes to 0.53 and 0.71 amid _F3′H_ and _MYB_ haplotypes, respectively (Supplementary Table S8). Thus, the identification of h-SNPs and high interspecies genetic structuring is consistent with a functional role of _F3′H_ and _MYB_ in a flower colour shift64–66. Analysis of _dN/dS_ ratio67 in our dataset of partial protein coding regions suggested only stabilizing selection and indicated intragenic recombination in _WD40_ (Supplementary Table S9).

_Interspecific gene admixture analysis suggested fertility of F1 natural hybrids_. To characterize interspecific hybridization molecularly, we analysed ten multiallelic loci using software STRUCTURE76,79 that allows distinguishing F1 hybrids from their progeny and detect introgression between species in animals and protein coding regions suggested only stabilizing selection and indicated intragenic recombination in _WD40_ (Supplementary Table S5). In a total of 6097 base pairs (bp), 128 and 135 single nucleotide polymorphic sites (SNP) characterized _I. cavalcantei_ and _I. marabaensis_, respectively (Supplementary Table S8). The pairwise _FST_ varied from 0.07 among _RB2–2_ haplotypes to 0.53 and 0.71 amid _F3′H_ and _MYB_ haplotypes, respectively (Supplementary Table S8). Thus, the identification of h-SNPs and high interspecies genetic structuring is consistent with a functional role of _F3′H_ and _MYB_ in a flower colour shift64–66. Analysis of _dN/dS_ ratio67 in our dataset of partial protein coding regions suggested only stabilizing selection and indicated intragenic recombination in _WD40_ (Supplementary Table S9).

_Magenta flower hybrids comprised self-incompatible, male and female fertile individuals_. To validate the molecular admixture analysis results, first, we followed the seed set by the plants with magenta flowers in canga. Most hybrid plants produced seeds, showing the natural generation of F2 or and BC progeny. Seeds were viable and the resulting offspring seedlings developed into photoautotrophic plants maintained in growth chambers. We genotyped progeny of four plants, EB138 (Q = 0.71), EB047 (Q = 0.86), EB015 (Q = 0.56) and EB081 (Q = 0.44). All analysed offspring were a result of outcrossing (Supplementary Table S6). To verify whether this result can be explained by self-incompatibility or male sterility, we transferred four F1 hybrids to _ex-situ_ collection (EB015, Q = 0.56; EB022, Q = 0.41; EB081, Q = 0.44; EB088, Q = 0.41) and tested them for seed set after assisted self-pollination. All self-pollinated flowers aborted (Supplementary Table S5). Pollen staining suggested 95–99% pollen viability (Supplementary Table S11), arguing against meiosis abnormalities. To determine whether viable pollen is functional, we pollinated flowers of several _I. cavalcantei_ and _I. marabaensis_ mother plants, which
resulted in seed development in some parental combinations (Supplementary Table S12). The results strongly suggested functional SI in hybrids, which can explain the failure of crosses in some of the parental combinations. To find out if hybrids will accept pollen from either *I. cavalcantei* or *I. marabaensis*, reciprocal pollination were performed. Seeds developed with some, but again not all parental combinations regardless of the species pollen origins (Supplementary Table S12). We also obtained F2 progeny seeds from some crosses between hybrids, e.g., EB081 × EB015; EB081 × EB161 (Q = 0.62). Thus, four tested F1 hybrids are likely to be fully male and female fertile.

**Species cross-hybridized in real-time.** To determine the potential directionality of interspecific hybridization that can be underpinned by the postmating reproductive barriers, events from pollen deposition on stigma to zygote formation, we performed crosses based on mother-father matrix comprising several individuals of *I. cavalcantei* and *I. marabaensis*. The outcome of both intraspecific and interspecific crosses was “either all or nothing,” depending on a given combination of individuals (Supplementary Table S13), which is consistent with the operation of homologous SI systems. Viable interspecific F1 hybrid seeds were produced irrespective of the cross direction. We obtained seeds also by pollinating *I. cavalcantei* rescued from sympatric canga N4 by pollen of *I. marabaensis* originating from remote allopatric canga N8 or granitic inselberg near copper mine Sossego. Comparable rates of seed set after hand-pollinations was observed in four directions (Supplementary Tables S7, S13). Thus, *I. cavalcantei* and *I. marabaensis* readily cross-hybridized by hand-pollination.

To determine whether interspecific hybridization can occur during a single growing season in nature, we collected seeds from a red-flowered *I. cavalcantei* migrant in canga N8 and a lavender-flowered *I. marabaensis* plant...
of natural interspecific hybrids was ongoing in N8 and N5. F3′ of which 12 germinated. Three offspring plants were interspecific heterozygotes at an interspecific heterozygote at this locus, possibly an admixed individual. Thus, I. marabaensis or hybrids. The MYB locus was not informative, because was with pollen from either I. cavalcantei was

The nectar rewards of I. cavalcantei and I. marabaensis flowers were similar and relatively large.

Flower visitor assemblies of native animal species overlapped.

To determine whether studied morning glories offered any rewards for visiting pollinators, in addition to pollen, we analysed nectar production. Flowers of I. cavalcantei plants (n = 3) and I. marabaensis (n = 3), produced 64 ± 19 μL and 75 ± 13 μL of nectar, respectively (Supplementary Table S14, S15). Four F1 hybrids produced on average 77 μL of nectar. As compared to six Argentinian Ipomoea species and 46 plant species from Brazil Cerrado savannahs ecosystems, both morning glories from canga produced the largest volumes of nectar, suggesting a potential to reinforce and modulate pollinator behaviour through reward economics.

Other common nectar robbers were carpenter bees and some hummingbirds. Trigona exemplified an overlap between flower visitor assemblies.

To determine whether hummingbirds forage nectar on morning glories, we conducted field observations at allopatric N1, sympatric N4, N5, and allopatric N6. At least nine species of hummingbirds foraged nectar on I. cavalcantei (Figs. 6a–c; and S8). The birds also visited pink flowers of I. cavalcantei and magenta colour flowers. We did not see hummingbirds visiting I. marabaensis flowers in sympatric canga N4, N5 or in our ex-situ collection. To verify this observation, we compared hummingbird response to the flowering shoots of I. marabaensis and Dyckia duckei (family Bromeliaceae) in allopatric canga N6 where species grew in proximity to each other often as close as half a meter. Hummingbirds were commonly feeding on the orange flowers of the bromeliad but ignored I. marabaensis flowers during the ten days of 76-man-hours observations by two people. The results suggested active morning glory species discrimination by the hummingbirds at the study locations.

To identify other potential pollinators, we surveyed flower visitor communities of I. cavalcantei as compared to I. marabaensis. Orchid bees Eulaema cingulata, E. bombiformis foraged on flowers of both species (Fig. 6g,h, j,k). These bees have 20–25 mm long tongues, which are likely to enable animal’s access to nectar in Ipomoea tubular flowers despite the large animal body size. Interestingly, pollinator switches from short-tongued bees via long-tongued bees to hummingbirds appear to have taken place repeatedly in the genera Nasa, Loasa and Caiophora; nectar offerings are high in both orchid bee-pollinated species and hummingbird-pollinated species in a genus Costus. Trigona spp. bees were frequent visitors of I. cavalcantei, I. marabaensis, and magenta flower hybrids (Supplementary Fig. S9). Trigona bees can have a dual role as pollinators and nectar robbers in both plant species. Besides, Trigona bees often destroyed stamens and styles, reducing plant reproductive success (Supplementary Fig. S9). Other common nectar robbers were carpenter bees and some hummingbirds (Supplementary Fig. S9). The I. marabaensis-specific legitimate flower visitor community included butterflies, two species of bumblebees (Bombus brevivillus; B. transversalis) and Scinax spp. tree frogs (Fig. 6d–f). Interestingly, tree frogs were consistently found inside of I. marabaensis flowers on all days of fieldwork in canga N6. Various species of beetles were legitimate visitors, but species differed between morning glories. Thus, native bee species exemplified an overlap between flower visitor assemblies.
Alien Africanized honeybees foraged on *I. cavalcantei* and *I. marabaensis* flowers. Africanized race of honeybees has been introduced to Brazil in 1957. In *canga*, we observed *Apis mellifera* identified as an Africanized race (Supplementary Fig. S10). To determine if alien pollinator can have any role in our model, we characterized honeybee behaviour in greater detail. Honeybees foraged nectar and pollen on *I. marabaensis* (Fig. 6l) and were also visiting *I. cavalcantei* flowers (Fig. 6i; Supplementary Video 1) at a frequency one honeybee per plant every minute (Supplementary Table S16). Honeybees were persistent in attempts to enter *I. cavalcantei* flower tubes, even in cases when flowers have been already occupied either by another honeybee or the beetles or by *Trigona* bees (Supplementary Video 2). Honeybees had difficulties in exiting relatively narrow *I. cavalcantei* flower tubes (Supplementary Table S17; Supplementary Video 3). For 27% of the bees, it took longer than 30 seconds to exit the flower, while many bees were trapped for up to 8 hours (Supplementary Video 4). 68% of visiting honeybees did not touch *I. cavalcantei* stigmas (Supplementary Table S16). Honeybees were persistent in attempts to enter *I. cavalcantei* flower tubes, even in cases when flowers have been already occupied either by another honeybee or the beetles or by *Trigona* bees (Supplementary Video 2). Honeybees had difficulties in exiting relatively narrow *I. cavalcantei* flower tubes (Supplementary Table S17; Supplementary Video 3). For 27% of the bees, it took longer than 30 seconds to exit the flower, while many bees were trapped for up to 8 hours (Supplementary Video 4). 68% of visiting honeybees did not touch *I. cavalcantei* stigmas (Supplementary Table S17). On some exits, bees were not ending on a flower limb but were entangled in a filament-anther-style bundle, which can promote inter-individual pollen transfer by honeybees (Supplementary Video 5), emphasizing on the interplay between flower geometry and pollen transfer by vectors. In *canga* N1, we found three dead honeybees inside of *I. cavalcantei* flowers, one in a flower tube filled with rainwater, indicating that flower tube exit difficulties can increase honeybee mortality while foraging on *I. cavalcantei* (Supplementary Video 6). We collected eighteen rainwater-free flowers with trapped...
honeybees; four honeybees were found dead the next day morning. Results suggested that honeybees were both attracted and maladapted to I. cavalcantei flowers, while for some animals it was a fatal attraction.

**Discussion**

Here, we provide phenotypic and molecular evidence for the natural interspecific hybridization between taxonomically recognized red flowered I. cavalcantei99 and lavender flowered I. marabaensis99. We show that four magenta flowered plants with an admixture coefficient (Q) around 0.5 were fertile, bidirectionally crossing with parental species and in between. It was not feasible to assess in the wild the Bateson-Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibilities92. In the laboratory, all F1 and F2/BC natural and artificial offspring between I. cavalcantei, I. marabaensis and magenta flowered plants were fully autotrophic and did not show hybrid necrosis. Thus, available data indicated weak postzygotic isolation in our model, similar to the case studies in plant genera Aquilegia93,94, Petunia95, Costus96, Caiophora98, Mimulus94. In contrast, at least four examples of the incipient intraspecific postzygotic incompatibilities underpinned by different mechanisms distinguish ecotypes of Arabidopsis thaliana93,96,20,22. Multiple gene flow barriers characterize sunflower ecotypes radiating by adaptation to different habitats97. Sterility due to pollen unviability separates three species and some subspecies in a serpentine soil specialist Streptanthus glandulosus species complex97. It is not well understood why plant lineages vary in evolvement and establishment of postzygotic isolation98. The establishment of a complete reproductive isolation between lineages of fruit fly requires 4 My98. Time-to-speciation among plants and animals is estimated at 2 My99; 1 My more than 10–14 My in interfertile tulip trees101, 16 My in largely interfertile species in the genus Jamesbrittenia102 and 60 My in ferns103. To understand whether divergence time insufficiency is one critical reason for weak postzygotic isolation and to reconfirm the taxonomic assertion of the sister species status98, it will be essential to estimate the age of I. cavalcantei and I. marabaensis diversification by the detailed phylogenetic reconstruction103 of the Ipomoea genus clade Murucoides92.

The described diversification in floral traits was consistent with contrasting specialization towards pollination by hummingbirds in I. cavalcantei versus bees in I. marabaensis. Keeping in mind that legitimate flower visitation by the animals does not identify the actual and the most efficient pollinators45,104, our field work suggested that hummingbirds and bumblebees could mediate reproductive floral isolation between I. cavalcantei and I. marabaensis. However, we also show that orchid bees, Trigona bees, and alien honeybees were frequent legitimate visitors of both species, potentially lowering the efficiency of floral isolation. The studied morning glories are perennials of unknown longevity in the wild. Magenta flowered hybrids could be decades old, indicating that interspecific hybridization is a rare event and floral isolation is rather stringent. The real-time interspecific hybridization in canga N8 and N5 showed both functionality of the overlap in flower visitor assemblages and substantial imperfection of floral isolation. This conclusion is in line with a consensus that floral isolation usually acts in concert with other prezygotic and postzygotic isolation barriers to gene flow95. Our controlled pollinations showed an efficient bidirectional interspecific hybridization between I. cavalcantei and I. marabaensis, suggesting weak post-mating prezygotic isolation. In several plant species, floral isolation is enforced by ecogeographic isolation, such as adaptations to growth at different altitudes98 or to different soils102,107. In the Carajas mountain range covered by the rain forest, canga savannah-like ecosystems evolved on the sparsely distributes plateaus that are found at similar altitudinal elevations, and are separated by the maximum of 40 km to the distant S11, and by a maximum of 17 km in the Northern range92. I. cavalcantei and I. marabaensis are found in all types of canga microhabitats, such as shrubby patches, exposed iron-laterite rocks, wetlands, and grasslands92. Our previous common garden tests of growth substrates from different cangas did not reveal an effect on I. cavalcantei and I. marabaensis seedling growth92. Sympathy and migration sites characterized here can be considered as the natural reciprocal transplant experiments. Collectively, these findings do not support a critical role of habitat specialization as floral isolation reinforcement mechanism. Thus, current data point insular-type geographic isolation100 as a significant gene flow barrier between morning glories in canga savannahs. Plant species can arise in sympathy11,105 but most models of speciation by floral diversification10,41, gradual or snowball accumulation of postzygotic barriers106 assume some degree of the initial geographic isolation. Whether geographic isolation as a barrier to gene flow can be used in delineation of the taxa boundaries is questionable11 but it has been one of the major arguments in description of a new orangutan species107. Delineation of I. cavalcantei and I. marabaensis either as species, or subspecies, or morphotypes of the same species matters for the species-counting-dependent disciplines such as macroecology and conservation biology, and in communication with public and regulatory agencies93,100,109. The major eco-evolutionary question concerns the stability of I. cavalcantei and I. marabaensis floral diversification at the face of gene flow102. Genetic analysis of plant species with contrasting pollination syndromes showed tight genetic linkage of loci specifying major pollination syndrome traits113,112, such as visible colour, UV absorption, floral scent production, pistil length, and stamen length in the genus Petunia, leading to the idea of “speciation island” that resists dissolution by recombination in interspecies hybrids114. Pleiotropy, chromosomal inversions, tight genetic linkage and single allele mechanisms113,114, as well as divergent selection113,114, can counteract homogenizing effects of gene flow. Our screening of phenotypic variation provides initial cues about genetic linkage of loci specifying major pollination syndrome traits111,112, such as visible colour, UV absorption, and establishment of postzygotic isolation7,8. The establishment of a complete reproductive isolation between lineages of fruit fly requires 4 My98. Time-to-speciation among plants and animals is estimated at 2 My99; 1 My more than 10–14 My in interfertile tulip trees101, 16 My in largely interfertile species in the genus Jamesbrittenia102 and 60 My in ferns103. To understand whether divergence time insufficiency is one critical reason for weak postzygotic isolation and to reconfirm the taxonomic assertion of the sister species status98, it will be essential to estimate the age of I. cavalcantei and I. marabaensis diversification by the detailed phylogenetic reconstruction103 of the Ipomoea genus clade Murucoides92.

We show the generation of the interspecific natural F2/BC progeny; admixed individuals that largely resembled either of the parental species, which strongly argue for the interspecific gene flow in our model. Gene flow can explain the occurrence of I. cavalcantei-specific h-SNP’s in I. marabaensis populations from S11 and N8; however, this finding is inconsistent with our proposal of the key role of MYB and F3’H in flower colour
respond very fast, within a few generations, to a change in pollinators118. Thus, the current, probably stochastic, plants with inserted styles can benefit from pollination by the recently arrived honeybees. Plants can I. cavalcantei of plant reproductive organs, flower shape, size, which could impact the reproductive success of individuals, e.g., I. marabaensis flowers in some I. marabaensis plants, for example. Introgressive adaptation, genetic, and demographic rescues are considered as beneficial consequences of interspecific hybridization for the species. From the ecological perspective, both ecosystems modeling115 and field studies116,117 demonstrated that intraspecific genetic variation could play a key role in structuring ecological plant-herbivore networks, which may, in turn, affect network persistence at a face of extrinsic and environmental ecological changes. We show intraspecific variation in geometry of plant reproductive organs, flower shape, size, which could impact the reproductive success of individuals, e.g., I. cavalcantei plants with inserted styles can benefit from pollination by the recently arrived honeybees. Plants can respond very fast, within a few generations, to a change in pollinators118. Thus, the current, probably stochastic, flower geometry and colour variation has a deterministic potential for adaptation to the changing environments and contrasting selective pressures imposed by the evolving pollinators and herbivores communities.

Methods

Canga ecosystems and study organisms. Amazon canga savannas comprise 856 species of seed plants119. Ipomoea cavalcantei119 is only known from five cangas, N1 to N5216, that collectively measure about 20 km². The closely related species I. marabaensis120 is more broadly distributed16, common in other canga and occurs on granitic inselberg near copper mine Sossego121. Cangas can be subdivided into microhabitats, such as grasslands, exposed iron lateritic rocks, shrubby vegetation, small wetlands119. We found studied morning glories in all homologous terrestrial microhabitats and along altitudinal gradients (lowest 656 m to highest 813 m, average 700 m above sea level) encountered in canga ecosystems122. I. cavalcantei and I. marabaensis are perennial morning glories with woody stems and enlarged storage roots. In shrubby habitats, both species are vines that often reach the canopy (up to 6 meters) to display their flowers. In open habitats, plant stems are short and erect, i.e., shrubby habit. Flowers of both species last less than a day and were already fully open at five o'clock in the morning when it is still dark. Flower limbs of I. marabaensis are mechanically weak, floppy and easily damaged by heavy rain and strong wind; depending of a cloud cover, limbs begin to wilt at mid-day or early afternoon. In contrast, I. cavalcantei flowers are mechanically strong; flower limbs are rigid and persist in full turgor up to 15–16 pm, corolla abscission happens at some time after sunset or the next day; flowers tissues were under strong turgor to the extent that it was not unusual to see flower tubes burst open along the axis. Post- anthesis, flowers can shed at an abscission zone in the peduncle, most commonly if not fertilized, in both species.

Screening for flower colour variation and establishment of ex situ collection. We assumed that most of the deviations in flower colour can be underpinned by low-frequency recessive alleles and that homozygous plants showing phenotype will be relatively rare. In the field screening, therefore, we intended to cover the maximal areas of cangas to identify colour variants, i.e., screening in all 13 cangas false coloured in Fig. 1a and in inselberg Sossego. To minimize the damage to the ecosystem in dense shrubby habitats and canga-forest boundaries, we visually scanned the vegetation canopy from the roads or paths laid down by geological surveys in preceding decades. The machete-assisted entries into those habitats were made to access the individual with unusual colour flowers. Representative wild types and colour variants from canga-mine boundaries were rescued to ex situ collection by excavating plant storage roots and replanting them in VALE Zoobotanical park (Paraúapebas, Pará, Brazil).

A randomized sampling of phenotypic variation. To characterize standing phenotypic variation, individuals growing at least 5 meters apart were sampled at several sites per canga (Supplementary Table S1). For flower size and shape measurements, a single flower per individual was collected; sepals, anthers, and styles were removed. Intact flower corollas or dissected flowers were photographed from the front and the side next to a ruler. Digital flower images were used for trait measurements. For the leaf length/width ratio, five leaves per individual were measured with a ruler for the leaf lamina length along midvein and maximal width. The sample sizes are summarized in Supplementary Table S2.

Geometric morphometry analysis. To evaluate the variation of the shape of the limbs, a file with the “.tps” extension was created with the help of the software tpsUtil v.1.40 (http://life.bio.sunysb.edu/morph/index.html)119, which serves as a database for storing Cartesian coordinates of the anatomical landmarks highlighted in the images. Fifteen anatomical landmarks were manually marked using the software tpsDig v.2.12. The *.tps file was used as input in the software MorphoJ v.2.0120, where Procrustes fit was calculated. From the obtained values, a covariance matrix was calculated, which was used to calculate a principal components analysis in order to visualize the variation of form among the studied groups. The scatterplots of the first two main components were used to observe variation of the shape of the species in the morphospace. The effects of allometry were calculated through multivariate regression analysis121,122, procrustes residues being the dependent variables, and the size of the centroid, the independent variable122. A permutation test (10,000 cycles) was performed concomitantly with multivariate regression analysis as a test of significance122. The sample sizes are summarized in Supplementary Table S2.
Trait descriptive statistics.  The corolla diameter and the diameter of the tube opening were measured in frontal view, and in lateral view the length of the corolla tube and the angle formed by the petals using software ImageJ v.1.52a (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/docs/guide/146.html)132,133. The tube length of the flowers was measured from the base of the tube to the opening of the petals. The angle was measured between the tube and the floral limb. To characterize reproductive organ geometry, distances from the stigma to the distal tip of the longest stamen (herkogamy) and from stigma to the plane of the flower throat were measured. For each linear and angle measurement, a Shapiro-Wilk test was performed to test the normality of the distribution. A Kruskal-Wallis test was performed to verify if there was a difference between the means of measurements between flowers from different cangas, with Wilcoxon post-hoc test. All statistical analyses were performed with software R v.3.4.1125. The graphs were generated with the help of the package ggplot2126.

Reproductive mode, controlled pollinations, and seed viability.  To understand whether species can produce seeds after self-pollination in the wild, we removed flowers at anthesis and developing fruits from the flower-bearing shoots, leaving at least ten flowers buds. Shoots were bagged with a nylon mosquito-proof mesh. Bags were tied at the bottom around the stems. Two months later, the bags were collected to count absced flowers and to assess fruit set. The reproductive mode of the species and cross-fertility of individuals was also studied using controlled pollinations. All mothers in controlled pollinations were from ex situ collection. Since plants were maintained outdoors, flowers had to be isolated with cheesecloth bags. Flower buds at 1–2 days before anthesis were emasculated, covered by a bag which was sealed with a tread around flower peduncle. At anthesis, bags were cut-open at the top, pollen was deposited on stigmas using ethanol-sterilized forceps, and bags re-sealed. Pollen donors were either from ex situ collection or from canga residents. To avoid pollen contamination, anthers were taken only from either bag-isolated flowers, or from flowers that developed from harvested flower buds one to two days before anthesis; the latter kept on the water in an insect-free herbarium room of VALE Zoobotanical park. Hand-pollinations were performed between 7 am and 12 pm. The stigma receptivity window was not studied here. Pollination events were considered as failed when bagged flowers absceded within a week. Pollen viability staining127 was performed using anthers from flower buds one day before anthesis. Seed viability was tested by germination and seedling growth under controlled environment in growth chambers. A seed was considered as viable only if germinated seedling developed more than three fully expanded true leaves, i.e., was fully photoautotrophic.

Flower visitors.  Approximately 200 man-hours of field studies were dedicated for observations, still and digital video recordings of flower visitor communities, both illegitimate and legitimate, in cangas N6 and N8 (allopatric I. marabaensis populations); N1 (allopatric I. caulicantei) and N4, N5 (sympatric canga). We collected alien honeybees for the race identification, which was carried out as detailed in Supplementary Fig. S10. We did not engage in field work at dawn and late night, thus nocturnal pollinator groups such as nectarivorous bats and species-rich moth were not followed.

DNA extraction, PCR amplifications and amplicon sequencing.  For gene allele frequencies analyses, the sampling of I. caulicantei populations (total n = 46) included: (i) randomly selected red-flowered individuals (N1, n = 7; N2, n = 4; N3, n = 4; N4, n = 13; N5, n = 4); (ii) alternative colour selected plants, i.e. pink (N4, n = 7); purplish (N4, n = 6); white (N3, n = 1). For I. marabaensis (total n = 48), randomly selected samples included (N4, n = 3; N5, n = 2; N6, n = 3; N7, n = 2; N8, n = 12; S11, n = 13; SO, n = 2; T, n = 2); colour variants, i.e. intense lavender or purple (N6, n = 2; N7, N = 2), white limb (N6, n = 2), intense pink (N5, n = 3). The putative hybrid sample (total n = 25) comprised DNA from sympatric N4 (n = 5, all magenta coloured flowers) and N5 (n = 20, variable colours as in Fig. 1b). Additional DNA samples were sequences to analyse progeny plants for reproductive mode. DNA sequence dataset was prepared as previously described82. Primer sequences and modifications to PCR cycling programming62 are in Supplementary Table S18.

Analysis of molecular variation.  To analyse population genetic structure and gene flow between the species, we sequenced parts of 10 nuclear genes. Because of the blue-to-red flower colour shift, six genes in our dataset were likely orthologs of the Ipomoea ANS, F3′H, WAXY, MYB1, WD40 and bHLH132. Next, we have chosen to analyse three genes for the twelve-subunit RNA polymerase II that is at the central core of eukaryotic genomes transcription133. In the ARABIDOPSIS thaliana, the major difference between the RPB2–1 and RPB2–2 duplicated genes is a reduction in a number of introns in RPB2–2. This structural feature enabled the design of RPB2–1/2 gene-specific primer pairs, avoiding gel purification of amplicons produced with previously recommended primers133. The third RNA polymerase II gene analysed here was expected to encode for subunit 3 (RPB3)134. The tenth gene was granule-bound starch synthase (WAXY) that plays a housekeeping role in carbohydrate metabolism and has been a popular gene in phylogenetic studies of Ipomoea135. Generated amplicons were end-sequenced and analysed by the online blast-N and blast-X tools at NCBI database136. We considered 93–99% DNA sequence identity over the entire length of the query as reasonable support for the gene orthology.

To identify polymorphic sites in our DNA sampling, nucleotide sequence chromatograms were aligned to a reference sequence using “map to reference” tool in the software suite Geneious v.11.0.3 (Biomatters). A
high-quality sequence from *I. cavalcantei* or *I. marabaensis* was arbitrary chosen as a reference. Sequence mismatches and ambiguities were scored in excel files as polymorphic sites. To build haplotype networks, we used the software suite PopArt v.1.7. Networks shown were built with the TCS algorithms as implemented in PopArt. The full-length haplotype sequences were used as well in calculations of population genetics statistics (observed and expected heterozygosity; nucleotide diversity π; Tajima’s D; Fu’s Fs; FST) with the software Arlequin v.3.5.2.2. Genetic partitioning of individuals into groups/populations without any prior assumptions was performed with the software STRUCTURE v.2.3.4. The data files for STRUCTURE analysis were either multilocus biallelic or multilocus multiallelic. In biallelic loci analyses, by default, we have chosen SNP's that best discriminated well between *I. cavalcantei* and *I. marabaensis*. Twenty replicate runs were conducted for every value of K between 1 and 5, with a burn-in of 50.000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) steps followed by 50.000 iterations. The STRUCTURE run output files were further processed online by Structure Harvester vA.2.39 and CLUMPAK140. The admixture coefficient (Q-value) generated from STRUCTURE was used to classify individuals into wild types and hybrids, using conventions proposed by others86–83. Plants were considered F1 hybrids when Q-values ranged between 0.4 and 0.6. Plants with Q-values less than 0.1 or more than 0.9 were classified as wild type *I. marabaensis* or *I. cavalcantei*, respectively. Samples with Q values in ranges 0.1–0.4 and 0.6–0.9 were classified as backcrosses or F2 progeny82,83.

To comprehend evolutionary forces that have acted on genes, we analysed sequence alignments using web application Datamonkey 2.077. Specifically, Fixed Effects Likelihood (FEL)77 maximum likelihood method was used to identify of sites that may have experienced pervasive diversifying or purifying selection by individually testing whether or not the ratio of relative rates of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitutions, dN/dS ≥ 1 at each site in the alignment; Mixed Effects Model of Evolution (MEME)141 performed a likelihood ratio test for detecting individual sites subject to episodic diversifying selection; positive selection affecting individual branches was estimated using the adaptive Branch-Site Random Effects Likelihood method (aBSREL)142, gene-wide episodic selection was estimated by Branch-Site Unrestricted Statistical Test for Episodic Diversification (BUSTED)143. In addition, screening alignments for evidence of phylogenetic incongruence, which can be a hallmark of recombination or gene conversion was performed using the software Genetic Algorithm for Recombination Detection (GARD)144.

Parentage analysis. Seeds collected from individual mother plants were germinated and resulting progeny was grown in growth chambers. DNA was extracted from preserved leaf tissues of mothers and fresh leaves from progeny (PR) plants. Genotypes were identified by sequencing amplicons of four genes (ANS, MYB, UFGT, WD40). The parentage of offspring, i.e., self-fertilization or outcross, was inferred from genotyping at all four loci per individual. The progeny plant was considered as the result of pollination from a different individual than a mother only when the plant was scored as outcross at least at one locus. To assess real-time interspecies hybridization among migrants or at sympatry, h-SNP’s at MYB1 and F3H were scored in respective amplicons.
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Data supporting the findings in this study are available from the corresponding author upon a request.
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