New data on gersdorffite and associated minerals from the Peloritani Mountains (Sicily, Italy)
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Abstract. Gersdorffite, ideally NiAsS, and associated minerals from Contrada Zìlli (Peloritani Mountains, Sicily, Italy) have been characterized through electron microprobe analysis and X-ray diffraction. Primary minerals, hosted in quartz veins, are represented by gersdorffite, tetrahedrite-(Fe), and chalcopyrite with minor pyrite and galena. Rare aikinite inclusions were observed in tetrahedrite-(Fe) and chalcopyrite. Gersdorffite occurs as euhedral to subhedral crystals, up to 1 mm in size, with (Sb,Bi)-enriched cores and (Fe,As)-enriched rims. Its chemical composition is (Ni₀.⁷⁹₋₀.⁹₅Fe₀₁₈₋₀.₀₄Co₀₄₋₀.₀₁)(As₀.₉₀₋₁.₀₃Sb₀.₁₀₋₀.₀₆Bi₀.₀₂₋₀.₀₀₈S₀.₉₈₋₀.₉₂. It crystallizes in the space group P₂₁₃, with unit-cell parameters a = 5.₉₆₉₈(7) Å, V = 1₈₄.₈₈(7) Å³, and Z = ₄, and its crystal structure was refined down to R₁ = 0.₀₃₅. Associated tetrahedrite-(Fe) has chemical formula (Cu₅.₇₉Ag₀.₀₇₃Sb₀.₄₅Zn₀.₄₅)₂₋₄₆.₆₆(Sb₁.₉₅As₀.₁₇Bi₀.₀₃)ₓ₄.₁₅S₃₁₃.₀₆, with unit-cell parameters a = 1₀.₃₈₁₅(1₀) Å, V = 1₁₁₈.₉₃(3) Å³, and space group I-₄₃m. Its crystal structure was refined to R₁ = ₀.₀₂₇₇. Textural and crystallographic data suggest a polyphasic crystallization of gersdorffite under low-temperature conditions.

1 Introduction

The Peloritani Mountains, in northeastern Sicily (Italy), are the southernmost sector of the Calabria–Peloritani Arc, an arc-like structure connecting the Apennines and the Maghrebian Chain and bounded by the Pollino line, in the north, and the Taormina line, in the south (Messina et al., 200₄, and references therein). The area of the Peloritani Mountains has been known for the occurrence of several polymetallic Pb-Zn-Fe-As-Sb-Cu-Ag-W-(Au) ore deposits exploited since pre-Roman times; the last prospections were performed in the 1980s, and currently all mining activity has ceased (Saccà et al., 2015, and references therein). The first scientific contributions date back to the second half of the 19th century and the beginning of the 20th century (e.g., Seguenza, 185₆; Jervis, 1₈₈₁; La Valle, 1₈₉₈, 1₈₉₉; Traina, 1₉₀₅). After these pioneering studies, further contributions were mainly given around the half of the 20th century (e.g., Bossolasco and Bonetti, 1₉₄₀; Rodolico, 1₉₄₀; Baldanza, 1₉₄₈; Musumeci, 1₉₅₈) and between the end of the 1₉₇₀s and the 1₉₈₀s (Donati et al., 1₉₇₈; Ferla, 1₉₈₂/₁₉₈₃; Triscari and Saccà, 1₉₈₄; Triscari, 1₉₈₅; Oteri et al., 1₉₈₆). The occurrence of Ni minerals in the ore deposits from the Peloritani Mountains was first reported by Seguenza (1₈₅₆), who identified ullmannite, NiSbS. Later, La Valle (1₉₉₈) reported annabergite, Ni₃(AsO₄)₂·₈H₂O, from Pizzo Cipolla, near Mandanici. The only modern description of Ni minerals was given by Triscari (1₉₈₅), who studied gersdorffite from Contrada Zìlli, in the Fiúmedinisi area (Messina Province), in association with a tetrahedrite-group mineral.

Contrada Zìlli is considered to belong to the northern sector of the San Carlo mine (Fig. 1). The complex mineralogy of this area has been discussed by Donati et al. (1₉₇₈), Triscari and Saccà (1₉₈₂), and Oteri et al. (1₉₈₆). The ore bodies are hosted within the Mandanici Unit, a tectonic slice formed by low-to-medium-grade metamorphic rocks affected by Variscan metamorphism; Alpine orogeny is associated with cataclastic-to-mylonitic processes only (Carbone et al., 200₇, and references therein). At the San Carlo mine, the ore deposit is represented by quartz-carbonate veins with Ag-
bearing tetrahedrite-group minerals, chalcopyrite, bournonite, and minor pyrite, galena, sphalerite, stromeyerite, pentlandite, and bismuthinite (e.g., Oteri et al., 1986).

A sampling recently performed in Contrada Zillì allowed for the collection of new specimens whose study permitted a new crystal-chemical investigation on gersdorffite and tetrahedrite-(Fe) from this sector of northeastern Sicily, refining our knowledge about the ore mineralogy of the Peloritani Mountains. The results of this study are reported and discussed in this paper.

2 Experimental

In hand specimen, the studied samples are characterized by aggregates of metallic grey masses, up to 3 × 1.5 cm in size, with minor metallic patches of chalcopyrite, yellow in color, in a gangue formed by quartz only (Fig. 2a). Within the grey masses and in quartz, euhedral to subhedral octahedral grey crystals of gersdorffite were observed (Fig. 2b).

Mineralogical and textural features were investigated using polished samples with reflected light microscopy through a Zeiss Axioplan microscope (Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, University of Pisa, Italy). Backscattered electron images and chemical data were collected using a FEI Quanta 450 ESEM FEG equipped with a Bruker QUANTAX XFlash detector 6(10 operating in EDS mode (C.I.S.U.P., University of Pisa, Italy). Quantitative chemical data were collected using a Superprobe JEOL JXA 8200 electron microprobe at the “Eugen F. Stumpfl” laboratory, Leoben University, Austria. The analytical conditions were the following: WDS mode, accelerating voltage 20 kV, and beam current 10 nA, beam size 1 µm. The following standards (element, emission line) were used: pyrite (FeKα, S Kα), skutterudite (AsLα, CoKα), millerite (NiKα), chalcopyrite (CuKα), sphalerite (ZnKα), galena (PbMα), electrum (Ag Lα), stibnite (SbLα), and Bi metal (BiMα). The following diffracting crystals were used: TAP for As; LIF for Fe, Ni, Co, Cu, and Zn; PET for S, Pb, Ag, Bi, and Sb. The ZAF routine was applied for the correction of the recorded raw data. Counting times were 15 s for peak and 5 s for backgrounds. X-ray maps for selected elements were collected through the same analytical condition used for electron microprobe analyses.

Mineral identification was performed on the basis of X-ray powder diffraction using a 114.6 mm Gandolfi camera and Ni-filtered CuKα radiation. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected using a Bruker Smart Breeze diffractometer operating at 50 kV and 30 mA and equipped with an air-cooled Photon II CCD detector. Graphitemonochromatized MoKα radiation was used. The detector-to-crystal working distance was set to 50 mm. Intensity data were integrated and corrected for Lorentz, polarization, background effects, and absorption using the package of software APEX 3 (Bruker AXS Inc., 2016). Crystal structure refinements were performed using Shelxl-2018 (Sheldrick, 2015); neutral scattering curves were taken from the International Tables for Crystallography (Wilson, 1992). Crystallographic Information File (CIF) data are available in the Supplement.

3 Results

3.1 Ore mineralogy

The mineralogical characterization of the specimens from Contrada Zillì pointed out the association with chalcopyrite, gersdorffite, and tetrahedrite-(Fe), along with minor pyrite, galena, and aikinite. Chalcopyrite occurs as anhedral grains, and it is the third most abundant ore mineral in the studied samples, after gersdorffite and tetrahedrite-(Fe). Electron microprobe data for these two latter minerals are given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively; they will be described in detail below (see Sect. 3.1.1 and 3.1.2). Pyrite is relatively common, in subhedral to anhedral individuals, up to 0.5 mm across. Galena was observed as rare anhedral grains. When in quartz, euhedral to subhedral octahedral grey crystals of gersdorffite were observed (Fig. 2b).

Mineralogical and textural features were investigated using polished samples with reflected light microscopy through a Zeiss Axioplan microscope (Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, University of Pisa, Italy). Backscattered electron images and chemical data were collected using a FEI Quanta 450 ESEM FEG equipped with a Bruker QUANTAX XFlash detector 6(10 operating in EDS mode (C.I.S.U.P., University of Pisa, Italy). Quantitative chemical data were collected using a Superprobe JEOL JXA 8200 electron microprobe at the “Eugen F. Stumpfl” laboratory, Leoben University, Austria. The analytical conditions were the following: WDS mode, accelerating voltage 20 kV, and beam current 10 nA, beam size 1 µm. The following standards (element, emission line) were used: pyrite (FeKα, S Kα), skutterudite (AsLα, CoKα), millerite (NiKα), chalcopyrite (CuKα), sphalerite (ZnKα), galena (PbMα), electrum (Ag Lα), stibnite (SbLα), and Bi metal (BiMα). The following diffracting crystals were used: TAP for As; LIF for Fe, Ni, Co, Cu, and Zn; PET for S, Pb, Ag, Bi, and Sb. The ZAF routine was applied for the correction of the recorded raw data. Counting times were 15 s for peak and 5 s for backgrounds. X-ray maps for selected elements were collected through the same analytical condition used for electron microprobe analyses.

Mineral identification was performed on the basis of X-ray powder diffraction using a 114.6 mm Gandolfi camera and Ni-filtered CuKα radiation. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected using a Bruker Smart Breeze diffractometer operating at 50 kV and 30 mA and equipped with an air-cooled Photon II CCD detector. Graphitemonochromatized MoKα radiation was used. The detector-to-crystal working distance was set to 50 mm. Intensity data were integrated and corrected for Lorentz, polarization, background effects, and absorption using the package of software APEX 3 (Bruker AXS Inc., 2016). Crystal structure refinements were performed using Shelxl-2018 (Sheldrick, 2015); neutral scattering curves were taken from the International Tables for Crystallography (Wilson, 1992). Crystallographic Information File (CIF) data are available in the Supplement.

3 Results

3.1 Ore mineralogy

The mineralogical characterization of the specimens from Contrada Zillì pointed out the association with chalcopyrite, gersdorffite, and tetrahedrite-(Fe), along with minor pyrite, galena, and aikinite. Chalcopyrite occurs as anhedral grains, and it is the third most abundant ore mineral in the studied samples, after gersdorffite and tetrahedrite-(Fe). Electron microprobe data for these two latter minerals are given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively; they will be described in detail below (see Sect. 3.1.1 and 3.1.2). Pyrite is relatively common, in subhedral to anhedral individuals, up to 0.5 mm across. Galena was observed as rare anhedral grains. Finally, aikinite was identified as anhedral grains, with rounded edges, less than 50 µm in size, hosted in chalcopyrite and tetrahedrite-(Fe); in some cases, it is intimately associated with galena. Its chemical composition is the following (in wt % – average of three spot analyses): Cu 11.33(14), Pb 35.53(35), Bi 36.93(61), S 16.92(4), total 100.74(41). Antimony and Ag were sought, but they were found below the detection limit.
Its chemical formula, based on three cations per formula unit, is (with rounding errors) \( \text{Cu}_{1.02(1)}\text{Pb}_{0.98(2)}\text{Bi}_{1.01(1)}\text{S}_{3.01(1)} \), in good agreement with the ideal one.

### 3.1.1 Gersdorffite

Gersdorffite occurs as euhedral to anhedral grains (Fig. 2c), up to 1 mm in size. Sometimes, \{100\} cleavage can be observed (Fig. 2d). Along these surfaces, alteration products, represented by a low-crystalline (Fe,Cu,Co)-bearing Ni arsenate, occur. Backscattered electron (BSE) images collected on several euhedral crystals of gersdorffite showed their zoning (Fig. 3a). Electron microprobe data are given in Table 1. Figure 3 also shows the three lines along which analyses were performed using steps of 10 µm. The variation of Fe/(Fe+Co+Ni) and the As/(S+As+Sb+Bi) atomic ratios is also shown (Fig. 3b–d). The compositional variability of the studied gersdorffite can be described using triangular Ni–Co–Fe and Sb–As–S diagrams (Fig. 4). Nickel is mainly substituted by Fe, with only minor amounts of Co (Fig. 4a). Such a Ni\(^{2+} \leftrightarrow\) Fe\(^{2+}\) substitution is supported by the relation between these two transition elements (Fig. 5a); moreover, a slight Co decrease is coupled with an increase in Ni content (Fig. 5a). The Fe/(Fe+Co+Ni) atomic ratios obtained along the three lines shown in Fig. 3, as well as the X-ray maps reported in Fig. 6, suggest that Fe is mainly concentrated in the crystal rims. Figure 4b shows that all analyses fall around the 50 : 50 As / S boundary, in agreement with the ideal stoichiometry of gersdorffite. These two elements are replaced by minor Sb; minor amounts of Bi are not shown in Fig. 4b. Antimony is preferentially hosted in the crystal core (Fig. 6), substituting As (Fig. 5b). X-ray maps revealed the As enrichment of crystal rims, coupled with the Fe enrichment. Figure 5c reveals a positive correlation between As and Fe for As content higher than \( \sim 1 \) apfu; lower As content is characterized by rather constant Fe contents. Finally, X-ray maps suggest that Bi is more abundant in the brightest domains occurring in BSE images (Fig. 6).

According to Table 1, the general chemical formula of gersdorffite from Contrada Zillì, on the basis of 3 atoms per formula unit (apfu), can be written as \( \text{Ni}_{0.79–0.95}\text{Fe}_{0.18–0.34}\text{Co}_{0.04–0.01}\text{S}_{0.90–1.03}\text{Sb}_{0.10–0.00}\text{Bi}_{0.02–0.00}\text{S}_{0.98–0.92}. \) Its unit-cell parameters are \( a = 5.6968(7) \) Å and \( V = 184.88(7) \) Å\(^3\). The crystal structure was refined in the space group \( P2_13 \), in agreement with systematic absences, starting from the atomic coordinates given by Bayliss and Stephenson (1967), to \( R_1 = 0.0349 \) for 148 unique reflections with \( F_o > 4\sigma(F_o) \) and 12 refined parameters (Table 3). The occurrence of racemic twin was modeled, pointing to a ratio 0.72 : 0.28. The s.o.f. (site occupancy factor) at the Ni site was fixed to 1. Indeed, minor Co (\( Z = 27 \)) and Fe (\( Z = 26 \)) have very similar scattering factors as Ni (\( Z = 28 \)). Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters are given in Table 4. Nickel is coordinated by three S atoms and three As atoms. The following distances were observed: Ni–S 2.349(2) Å and Ni–
Table 1. Selected electron microprobe analyses of gersdorffite.

| Element | wt % | wt % | wt % | wt % | wt % | wt % | wt % | wt % | wt % | wt % |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Ni      | 33.20| 33.04| 32.76| 32.32| 31.79| 31.66| 31.57| 31.25| 30.76| 28.32|
| Co      | 0.81 | 0.60 | 0.77 | 0.72 | 1.03 | 1.08 | 0.86 | 0.81 | 0.49 | 1.35 |
| Fe      | 1.71 | 1.46 | 1.20 | 1.41 | 1.67 | 3.28 | 2.37 | 1.60 | 1.96 | 5.78 |
| Bi      | 0.03 | 0.90 | 0.22 | 1.06 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.35 | 2.76 | 2.32 | b.d.l.|
| Sb      | 2.79 | 5.09 | 3.07 | 4.81 | 3.55 | 2.01 | 1.79 | 6.72 | 6.32 | b.d.l.|
| As      | 44.11| 42.33| 42.92| 43.93| 44.71| 43.60| 38.35| 41.94| 46.47| 45.96|
| S       | 18.88| 18.22| 18.30| 18.02| 17.54| 18.99| 18.54| 17.84| 16.92| 18.57|

Total 101.53 | 101.64 | 99.24 | 99.56 | 99.69 | 101.53 | 99.08 | 99.33 | 100.71 | 100.49 | 99.66 |

| Element | apfu | apfu | apfu | apfu | apfu | apfu | apfu | apfu | apfu | apfu |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Ni      | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.88 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.80 |
| Co      | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| Fe      | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.17 |
| Bi      | –    | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | –    | –    | –    | 0.02 | 0.02 | –    |
| Sb      | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.17 |
| As      | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.90 | 0.98 | 1.03 |
| S       | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.96 |

Note: b.d.l. = below detection limits. Detection limits were (in wt %): Ni = 0.015, Co = 0.015, Fe = 0.015, Bi = 0.04, Sb = 0.035, As = 0.02, S = 0.01. apfu = atoms per formula unit, on the basis of 3 apfu.

Figure 3. (a) Backscattered electron image of a zoned crystal of gersdorffite (see Fig. 2c) associated with chalcopyrite (Ccp), tetrahedrite-(Fe) (Ttd), and quartz (Qz). Dashed lines A–B, C–D, and E–F represent the transects where spot analyses were performed using a step of 10 µm. Atomic ratios As/(S+As+Sb+Bi) and Fe/(Fe+Co+Ni) along the lines A–B (b), C–D (c), and E–F (d) are shown as red circles and green triangles, respectively. Omitted points in (d) correspond to weathering products along the E–F line.

As 2.405(2) Å (Table 5). Whereas the latter is close to the value reported by Bayliss and Stephenson (1967), the former is slightly longer. Indeed, the As site was found to have a pure As occupancy, whereas the S site was refined as a mixed (S, As) site, with a refined s.o.f. (S0.86As0.14). Actually, the refined As content is higher than that measured through electron microprobe analysis, resulting in the composition (As1.14S0.86). However, the occurrence of minor Sb has to be taken into account. The refined site scattering at the S and As sites is 51.38 electrons per formula unit (epfu), agreeing with an ideal population (S0.95As0.95Sb0.10) (corresponding to 51.65 epfu). Finally, the As–S distance is 2.313(4) Å, to be compared with those observed in other related sulfarsenides, e.g., in cobaltite, CoAsS, i.e., 2.292(1) Å (Fleet and Burns, 1990), and gersdorffite refined by Bayliss and Stephenson (1967), i.e., 2.306(10) Å.
The X-ray powder diffraction pattern of gersdorffite from Contrada Zillì confirms the results of the single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. Indeed, the identification of the different structural varieties of gersdorffite, i.e., ordered $P2_13$ ulmannite type (Bayliss and Stephenson, 1967); disordered $Pa3$ pyrite type (Bayliss, 1968); or distorted disordered $P1$ pyrite type, later interpreted as a twinned $Pca2_1$ structure (Bayliss and Stephenson, 1967; Bayliss, 1982), is possible based on the occurrence of the 001 and 011 reflections in the X-ray diffraction patterns (Bayliss, 1969). In the studied sample, only the 011 reflection (at 4.03 Å) was observed, whereas the 001 reflection was found to be absent; this is fully consistent with the $P2_13$ space group.

### 3.1.2 Tetrahedrite-(Fe)

Tetrahedrite-(Fe) forms anhedral grains. BSE images show its homogeneity (Fig. 3a). Quantitative chemical results are given in Table 2. The corresponding chemical formula, normalized on the basis of $\sum Me = 16$ apfu, is $(Cu_{5.79}Ag_{0.07})\sum_{5.86}(Cu_{3.96}Fe_{1.59}Zn_{0.45})\sum_{6.08}(Sb_{3.95}As_{0.17})\sum_{4.15}S_{13.06}$, allowing its classification as tetrahedrite-(Fe), in agreement with the nomenclature of tetrahedrite-group minerals (Biagioni et al., 2020a). Unit-cell parameters of tetrahedrite-(Fe) are $a = 10.3815(10) \text{ Å}$, $V = 1118.9(3) \text{ Å}^3$, and the space group is $I-43m$. The crystal structure of tetrahedrite-(Fe) was refined starting from the structural model of tetrahedrite given by Johnson and Burnham (1985). The occurrence of racemic twin was modeled (twin ratio 99 : 1). The following neutral scattering curves were used: Cu vs. $\Box$ at $M(2)$ and $M(1)$, Sb vs. As at $X(3)$, and S at S(1) and S(2) sites. The anisotropic structural model converged to $R_1 = 0.0270$ for 342 unique reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$ and 22 refined parameters (Table 3). Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters are given in Table 4. Selected bond distances are given in Table 5. The crystal structure of tetrahedrite-(Fe) from Contrada Zillì agrees with the general features of the members of the tetrahedrite isotypic group. It can be described as a framework of corner-sharing $M(1)$-centered tetrahedra, with cages hosting $S(2)$-centered $M(2)$ octahedra and four $X(3)S(1)_3$ trigonal pyramids (e.g., Biagioni et al., 2020a).

In tetrahedrite-(Fe) from Contrada Zillì, the tri- or quadrangular coordinated $M(2)$ site has an average $<\text{Me-S}>$ bond distance of 2.265 Å. Based on the chemical data and normalizing the occupancy of $(Cu+Ag) = 6$ apfu, the idealized site population at the $M(2)$ site should be $(Cu_{5.92}Ag_{0.08})\sum_{6.00}$. This site population corresponds to 29.24 electrons per site, in agreement with the refined site scattering, i.e., 29.25 electrons. Bond-valence sum (BVS) at $M(2)$, calculated using the bond parameters by Brese and O’Keeffe (1991), is 1.01 valence units (v.u.). The relatively large $U_{eq}$ value at this position is probably related to the actual splitting of this site into two flat pyramidal subsites, as previously noted by some authors (e.g., Andreasen et al., 2008; Welch et al., 2018). The tetrahedrally coordinated $M(1)$ site has an average $<\text{Me-S}>$ bond distance of 2.335 Å. This value agrees with previous results on Fe-bearing tetrahedrite-group minerals (e.g., Andreasen et al., 2008); its BVS, 1.56 v.u., is larger than the expected value of 1.33 v.u. Such an overbonding of tetrahedrally coordinated cations in the crystal structure of tetrahedrite-group minerals was reported by previous authors (e.g., Biagioni et al., 2020b). The $X(3)$ site has an average bond distance of 2.428 Å, fully consistent with a dominant Sb occupancy. The corresponding BVS value is 3.15 v.u. Its refined site scattering at the $X(3)$ site gives 49.74 electrons in agreement with the ideal one, i.e., 50.60 electrons per site. The S(1) site is four-fold coordinated, being bonded to two $M(1)$, one $M(2)$, and one $X(3)$. Its BVS is 2.15 v.u. S(2) is octahedrally coordinated by atoms hosted at $M(2)$ sites, with a BVS of 2.10 v.u. Both sites are fully occupied by S atoms.

### 4 Discussion

Gersdorffite from Contrada Zillì represents the first crystal-chemically well-characterized Italian occurrence of this nickel sulfarsenide, reported since the end of the 19th century and then found in several localities (e.g., Lovisato, 1894; Artini, 1903; Dessau, 1936; Triscari, 1985; Benvenuti, 1991; Carrozzini et al., 1991; Garuti et al., 2001; Moroni et al., 2019). However, only in the paper by Triscari (1985) is a quite full set of chemical and crystallographic data of gersdorffite given, along with some data on associated...
Table 3. Summary of parameters describing data collection and refinement for gersdorffite and tetrahedrite-(Fe).

| Crystal data | Gersdorffite | Tetrahedrite-(Fe) |
|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.13 \times 0.08 \times 0.08$ | $0.08 \times 0.07 \times 0.06$ |
| Space group | $P2_13$ | $I-43m$ |
| $a$ (Å) | 5.6968(7) | 10.3815(10) |
| $V$(Å$^3$) | 184.88(7) | 1118.9(3) |
| $Z$ | 4 | 2 |

Data collection and refinement

| Radiation, wavelength (Å) | MoKα, $\lambda = 0.71073$ |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Temperature (K) | 293(2) |
| Maximum observed $2\theta$ (°) | 54.57 | 62.85 |
| Measured reflections | 535 | 2879 |
| Unique reflections | 150 | 375 |
| Reflections $F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)$ | 148 | 342 |
| $R_{int}$ after absorption correction | 0.0309 | 0.0468 |
| $R_f$ | 0.0284 | 0.0310 |
| Range of $h, k, l$ | $-7 \leq h \leq 6$ | $-15 \leq h \leq 14$ |
| $R [F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)]$ | 0.0349 | 0.0270 |
| $R$ (all data) | 0.0352 | 0.0329 |
| $wR$(on $F_2^2$) | 0.0837 | 0.0570 |
| Goodness of fit | 1.322 | 1.074 |
| Number of least-squares parameters | 12 | 22 |
| Maximum and minimum residual peak ($e$/Å$^3$) | $0.99$ [at 0.90 Å from As(2)] | $0.53$ [at 0.24 Å from X(3)] |
| | $-0.76$ [at 1.60 Å from As(2)] | $-0.69$ [at 0.73 Å from X(3)] |

Table 4. Sites, site occupancy factor (s.o.f.), fractional atomic coordinates, and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (in Å$^2$) for gersdorffite and tetrahedrite-(Fe).

### Gersdorffite

| Site | s.o.f. | $x/a$ | $y/b$ | $z/c$ | $U_{eq}$ |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Ni   | Ni$_{1,00}$ | 0.0059(4) | 0.0059(4) | 0.0059(4) | 0.0117(7) |
| S    | S$_{0.86(2)}$As$_{0.14(2)}$ | 0.6158(5) | 0.6158(5) | 0.6158(5) | 0.0119(14) |
| As   | As$_{1,00}$ | 0.3814(2) | 0.3814(2) | 0.3814(2) | 0.0121(6) |

### Tetrahedrite-(Fe)

| Site | s.o.f. | $x/a$ | $y/b$ | $z/c$ | $U_{eq}$ |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| M(2) | Cu$_{1,001(16)}$ | 0 | 0 | 0.7830(3) | 0.0613(11) |
| M(1) | Cu$_{0.971(14)}$ | 1/4 | 1/2 | 0 | 0.0241(7) |
| X(3) | Sb$_{0.93(4)}$As$_{0.07(4)}$ | 0.2676(7) | 0.2676(7) | 0.2676(7) | 0.0196(3) |
| S(1) | S$_{1,00}$ | 0.11620(17) | 0.11620(17) | 0.3614(2) | 0.0179(6) |
| S(2) | S$_{1,00}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0218(16) |

In regards to this latter mineral, the chemical analysis by Triscari (1985) led to the chemical formula $(Cu_{6.00}Ag_{0.05})_{2}S_{6.05}(Cu_{4.07}Fe_{1.36}Zn_{0.57})_{2}S_{6.00}(Sb_{3.90}As_{0.05})_{2}S_{12.33}$, agreeing with our classification as tetrahedrite-(Fe) but showing a significant S deficit. The unit-cell parameter reported by Triscari (1985), $a = 10.377$ Å, can be compared with that refined in this work, i.e., $a = 10.382$ Å. Triscari (1985) attributed minor Sb and Cu detected in gersdorffite to admixed tetrahedrite-(Fe). In our opinion, this interpretation may be wrong, since such an Sb content should be associated with a corresponding high Cu content, in agreement with tetrahedrite-group mineral stoichiometry. On the contrary, it is likely that Sb replaces As and S, as observed in our study.
Table 5. Selected bond distances (in Å) for gersdorffite and tetrahedrite-(Fe).

|            | Gersdorffite | Tetrahedrite-(Fe) |
|------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Ni – S     | 2.349(2) × 3| M(1) – S(1) × 4   |
| As – S     | 2.405(2) × 3| M(2) – S(2) × 4   |
| Average    | 2.114       | $S(1) \times 2$  |
| As – S     | 2.313(4)    | X(3) – S(1) × 3   |

Recalculating the chemical data of gersdorffite given in Triscari (1985) on the basis of 3 apfu, the chemical formula (Ni$_{0.89}$Fe$_{0.05}$Co$_{0.01}$)$_{2.90}$(As$_{0.90}$Sb$_{0.09}$)$_{2.90}$S$_{1.05}$ can be obtained. In this way, the Sb-content calculated from the data of Triscari (1985) agrees with that found in our study. It is interesting to note that Sb is correlated with Bi. This latter element was not detected by Triscari (1985), although its occurrence in the ore deposits of the Peloritani Mountains was previously reported (e.g., Donati et al., 1978). The presence of Bi in gersdorffite is an uncommon feature. For instance, Henning et al. (1997) reported up to 0.12 wt % in gersdorffite from the Eastern Cape province, Republic of South Africa, whereas Voudouris et al. (2018) found up to 0.18 wt % Bi in samples from the Clemence deposit, in the Kamariza mining district, Greece. The Bi content of gersdorffite from Contrada Zillì (up to 2.76 wt %) can be compared with that reported by Grapes and Challis (1999) from the Calphurnia Creek, northwest Nelson, New Zealand, i.e., 2.80 wt %. However, to the best of our knowledge, the highest Bi content reported in gersdorffite was found by Persuad et al. (1988) in samples from the Dawn Lake U-Ni deposit, Saskatchewan, Canada, i.e., 14.53 wt %.

The unit-cell parameter of gersdorffite from Contrada Zillì, $a = 5.697$ Å, is in accordance with that given by Triscari (1985), i.e., $a = 5.694$ Å. Such values are close to that determined by Petruk et al. (1971) for stoichiometric Ni-AsS, i.e., $a = 5.6939$ Å. The observed unit-cell parameter of the Sicilian sample is likely the result of the opposite effect of the (Fe,Co)-to-Ni substitution, favoring a contraction of the unit-cell size, and the (As,Sb)-to-S replacement, inducing a cell expansion. Finally, the ordered $P2_13$ ullmannite-type structure proposed by Triscari (1985) is confirmed.

Space group symmetry and chemical composition, with only minor Fe and Co replacing Ni, as well as an As : S atomic ratio close to one, agrees with a low-temperature
crystallization, in accordance with the experimental works of Klemm (1965) and Hem and Makovicky (2004). However, these studies were performed on dry systems, and it is not possible to directly propose crystallization temperatures for hydrothermal systems (e.g., Mederski et al., 2021). In this respect, it may useful a comparison between gersdorffite from the Peloritani Mountains and that from the Monte Arsiccio mine, Apuan Alps (unpublished data). At this latter locality, gersdorffite has chemical composition (Ni_{0.61}Fe_{0.39})As_{0.99}S_{1.01} and crystallizes in the Pa3 polytype. Such a high-Fe content and pyrite-type disordered structure are compatible with a crystallization in a relatively high-temperature environment, in accordance with an estimated trapping temperature of 400–420°C proposed by Biagioni et al. (2020c) for fluid inclusions sampled in the same veins where gersdorffite was identified. Such values are similar to those proposed by Costagliola et al. (1998) for the nearby Pollone mine, i.e., ca. 450°C at 0.35–0.40 GPa. Likely, gersdorffite from the Peloritani Mountains crystallized well below $T = 400°C$.

Chemical zoning is a common feature observed in gersdorffite from several localities worldwide (e.g., Fanlo et al., 2006; Mederski et al., 2021). As shown in Fig. 6, crystals from Contrada Zillì are characterized by two distinct domains: a core relatively enriched in Sb and Bi is mantled by a (Fe,As)-rich rim. Different hypotheses could explain such a zoning. For instance, this could be the result of a two-step crystallization in slightly different physicochemical conditions. This could agree with the results of Censi and Ferla (1982/1983) who, on the basis of isotopic data, suggested the occurrence of multiple hydrothermal events recorded in the Peloritani Mountains. Moreover, in some cases, gersdorffite crystals seem to be corroded and replaced by tetrahedrite-(Fe). This could be the result of a further hydrothermal event, possibly leading to the remobilization of As and the addition of Cu.

5 Conclusions

The crystal-chemical study of samples from Contrada Zilli (Fiumedinisi, Messina Province) containing an assemblage of gersdorffite, tetrahedrite-(Fe), and chalcopyrite, along with other minor accessory phases, improved our knowledge on the ore mineralogy of the Peloritani Mountains, refining the previous knowledge on some of these minerals reported by Triscari (1985). Moreover, the first finding of aikinite in the ore deposits of the Calabro–Peloritani Arc is here reported. This is clearly related to the Bi-bearing nature of these ore bodies, also shown by the detectable amounts of Bi revealed in gersdorffite and the previously known occurrences of bismuthinite (e.g., Donati et al., 1978).

Crystal-chemical and textural features of gersdorffite open several questions about the geological evolution of the ore deposits of the Peloritani Mountains. Whereas its low-temperature crystallization seems very likely, other details remain unclear. For instance, the chemical zoning suggests a polyphasic crystallization, in agreement with some authors who proposed the superposition of several hydrothermal events (e.g., Censi and Ferla, 1982/1983). However, their timing is unknown. According to Triscari (1985), the vein system cropping out at Contrada Zilli is discordant with respect to the primary strata-bound sulfide ores and may be associated with the late-stage evolution of the area, but the role of Variscan and Alpine orogenic events in producing the current mineralogy and ore textures is debatable. For instance, Saccà et al. (2003) related the vein formation to late Variscan hydrothermal processes, whereas the role of the Alpine history is undefined. However, euhedral crystals of some sulfides have been observed in vugs (e.g., tetrahedrite crystals from the San Carlo mine – Musumeci, 1958); consequently, the role of Alpine history may be undervalued.

Further mineralogical studies, coupling single-crystal X-ray diffraction and electron microprobe data, along with an accurate sampling of ore bodies, will likely be able to improve our knowledge on the genesis and evolution of the ore deposits hosted in the Variscan basement of the Calabro-Peloritani Arc, an area sharing several geological similarities with other Italian areas well known for the occurrence of interesting ore minerals such as Sardinia and the Apuan Alps.
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