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ABSTRACT

We present chemical abundance measurements for 47 damped Ly-α systems (DLAs), 30 at z > 4, observed with the Echellette Spectrograph and Imager and the High Resolution Echelle Spectrometer on the Keck telescopes. H I column densities of the DLAs are measured with Voigt profile fits to the Ly-α profiles, and we find an increased number of false DLA identifications with SDSS at z > 4 due to the increased density of the Lyman-α forest. Ionic column densities are determined using the apparent optical depth method, and we combine our new metallicity measurements with 195 from previous surveys to determine the evolution of the cosmic metallicity of neutral gas. We find the metallicity of DLAs decreases with increasing redshift, improving the significance of the trend and extending it to higher redshifts, with a linear fit of \(-0.22 \pm 0.03\) dex per unit redshift from \(z = 0.09 \sim 5.06\). The metallicity “floor” of \(\approx 1/600\) solar continues out to \(z \sim 5\), despite our sensitivity for finding DLAs with much lower metallicities. However, this floor is not statistically different from a steep tail to the distribution. We also find that the intrinsic scatter of metallicity among DLAs of \(\sim 0.5\) dex continues out to \(z \sim 5\). In addition, the metallicity distribution and the \(\alpha/Fe\) ratios of \(z > 2\) DLAs are consistent with being drawn from the same parent population with those of halo stars. It is therefore possible that the halo stars in the Milky Way formed out of gas that commonly exhibits DLA absorption at \(z > 2\).

Subject headings: galaxies: abundances — galaxies: ISM — galaxies: evolution — galaxies: general — Galaxy: halo — quasars: absorption lines

1. INTRODUCTION

The recent detection of star-forming galaxies out to \(z \sim 8\) (Bouwens et al. 2010; Bunker et al. 2010) provides new information about the crucial formative stages of galaxy evolution. One of the important goals of these studies is to identify the high-redshift progenitors of current galaxies and their stellar populations. A valuable diagnostic tool that links the properties of high-redshift galaxies to their descendants is their chemical properties (Pettini 2006). While chemical properties are exceedingly difficult to extract from the faint starlight emitted by star-forming galaxies at high redshift, they are more easily determined from protogalactic gas detected in absorption against bright background quasars (e.g., Pettini 2006; Prochaska et al. 2003a). If the metallicity of such gas were to resemble the metallicity of, say, globular clusters, then a reasonable first guess is that stars in globular clusters form out of this gas. The evolution of the metallicity and other properties of this gas could then provide information about the sequence of events leading to the formation of current galaxies.

The high-redshift Universe is occupied by populations of objects spanning a wide range of metallicities. While the mean metal abundances of dense, compact objects such as quasars is given by \([M/H] \approx 0\) (Hamann & Ferland 1999), diffuse large-scale configurations such as the Ly-α forest clouds have \([M/H] = -3\) (Aguirre et al. 2004). In fact, observers have now even identified gas without any trace of heavy elements (Fumagalli et al. 2011a). With a mean metal abundance of \([M/H] = -1.4\) (Pettini 2006), the damped Ly-α absorption systems (DLAs; see Wolfe et al. 2003, for a review) are galactic-scale objects that lie between these two extremes. The purpose of this paper is to present the results of a new survey for the metal abundances of DLAs out to \(z \sim 5\). We focus on DLAs for several reasons. First, since they are detected in absorption, DLAs are unbiased with respect to luminosity and presumably mass. Second, in contrast to all other classes of quasar absorption systems, the gas in DLAs is mainly neutral. In fact, DLAs dominate the neutral-gas content of the Universe out to \(z \approx 5\) (Prochaska et al. 2005; O'Meara et al. 2007), and exhibit properties indicating that they are neutral-gas reservoirs for star formation at high redshift (Nagamine et al. 2004; Wolfe & Chen 2006). Moreover, the large optical depth at the Lyman limit of the neutral gas in DLAs (typically \(\tau_{LL} \approx 10^5\) eliminates the need for uncertain ionization corrections to deduce the metal abundances. Accordingly, the most accurately determined abundances at high redshift are for DLAs (Wolfe et al. 2005). Third, because DLA gas likely originates in the outer regions of high-\(z\) galaxies (e.g., Rafelski et al. 2011), chemical and dynamical information would be preserved due to the long time-scales for dissipation and dynamical mixing (Freeman & Bland-Hawthorn 2002).

Our primary goal is to measure the cosmic metallicity, \((Z) \equiv \frac{\log_{10}(\Omega_{\text{metals}}/\Omega_{\text{gas}}) - \log_{10}(M/H)}{\log_{10}(M/H)_{\odot}}\) (Lanzetta et al. 1995), at redshifts \(z > 4\). Previously we determined \((Z)\) by measuring the column-density weighted mean metal abundances (see Prochaska et al. 2003b) in redshift bins containing equal numbers of DLAs. The data statistically significant decline in \((Z)\) with increasing redshift, given by \((\dot{Z}) (z) = (-0.26 \pm 0.07) z - (0.59 \pm 0.18)\), which was confirmed at \(z < 4\) by independent measurements (Kulkarni et al. 2005, 2007, 2010). While the evolution of \((Z)\) appears linear in redshift, it is non-linear in time, with the slope, \(d(Z)/dt\), becoming significantly steeper at earlier cosmic times. Since the...
Prochaska et al. (2003b) sample contained only 10 DLAs with $z > 4$, and its derivatives were poorly determined at such high redshifts. Therefore, we aim to determine whether this trend continues back to $z \approx 5$. Prochaska et al. (2003b) also reported (1) a “metallicity floor” at $[\text{M}/\text{H}] = -3.0$, because no metal abundances were found below this value despite their sensitivity to $[\text{M}/\text{H}]$ values well below $-4.0$, and (2) a large dispersion of $[\text{M}/\text{H}]$ at all redshifts. We wish to investigate whether these phenomena persist at higher redshifts, as at some point in time the gas should be pristine (Fumagalli et al. 2011a). Although increasing the redshift range of our survey to $z \approx 5$ corresponds to an increase in survey time interval of only $\approx 0.5$ Gyr, we shall show that this is a critical time interval in which, as stated above, $d(Z)/dt$ becomes increasingly steep with decreasing $t$.

In addition, we wish to assess if there is a systematic bias in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) $N_{\text{HI}}$ statistics at $z > 4$ (Prochaska & Wolfe 2009, Noterdaeme et al. 2009), such as the column density distribution function, total covering fraction, and cosmological $N_{\text{HI}}$ mass density. These statistics are determined solely from the discovery spectra of the SDSS database, with low resolution of FWHM $\sim 2\text{\AA}$ and sometimes at low signal-to-noise (S/N) (with a cut at S/N $> 4$). The analysis of the damped Ly-$\alpha$ profile is significantly affected by line-blending with the Ly-$\alpha$ forest ‘clouds’, and the increase of the density of the Ly-$\alpha$ forest at high redshift may lead to an overestimate of the $N_{\text{HI}}$ measurements and an increased false positive identification rate for DLAs. While such biases are likely to be small at $2 < z < 4$ (Prochaska et al. 2005), our new measurements resolve out the Ly-$\alpha$ forest and enable the constraint of such a bias at $z > 4$.

Another goal is to compare the metallicity distribution of DLAs with those of known stellar populations. Previous studies (Pettini et al. 1997, Pettini 2004, 2006) have shown that the distribution of metallicities at $z > 2$ disagree with known stellar populations in the Galaxy. However, if high redshift galaxies are surrounded by a thick disk of neutral gas (Prochaska & Wolfe 1997b; Wolfe et al. 2003, 2008; Rafelski et al. 2009, 2011; Fumagalli et al. 2011b), then stars that formed in situ in such gas at high redshift should be present in $z = 0$ galaxies, such as the Milky Way. These stars would presumably have a similar metallicity distribution as the DLA gas. We wish to investigate whether or not this disagreement persists in our sample that is larger in size and extends to higher redshifts.

The outline of this paper is as follows: The observations and data reduction are presented in §2 and the analysis of the resultant spectra is detailed in §3. In §3.1 Voigt profiles are fitted to the damped Ly-$\alpha$ profiles to determine the H I column densities, $N_{\text{HI}}$. We discuss the possible elemental abundances that can be used to determine the metallicity of DLAs in §3.2. Column densities of the metal lines are determined from the apparent optical depth method (Savage & Sembach 1991; Prochaska et al. 2001b) and combined with the $N_{\text{HI}}$ values to determine element abundances for the DLAs in §3.3. This sample is augmented by unbiased abundances obtained from the literature as described in §3.4. We justify the use of various elements for determining the metallicities of DLAs in §3.5. The determination of $Z$ as a function of redshift and time is presented in §3.6 and a comparison of the DLA metallicity distribution with that of halo stars is shown in §3.7. In §4 we discuss the metallicity distribution and evolution, and its implications for halo star formation. Specifically, we compare recent simulations to our data and discuss the metallicity evolution in §4.1 and consider the implications of the metallicities and $\alpha$-enhancement of DLAs for halo star formation in §4.2. In §5 we summarize the results.

Throughout this paper we adopt a cosmology with $(\Omega_M, \Omega_L, h) = (0.3, 0.7, 0.7)$.
15 pixel$^{-1}$ (11 km s$^{-1}$). Observations were reduced on the fly to determine if the DLA candidate did not meet our threshold criteria for being a DLA, i.e., N(HI) $> 2 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$. If it did not, then we abandoned obtaining more exposures, resulting in reduced S/N values for those targets. These quasars are nonetheless listed in Table 1 and the corresponding DLAs are marked with the footnote b. Table 1 also lists the median S/N per pixel at $\lambda \approx 8300\AA$ corresponding to the typical wavelength of the measured metal absorption lines. The average S/N of the ESI spectra with confirmed DLAs is 25, with a minimum S/N of 14. The mean S/N of the spectra with DLA candidates not meeting our criteria is 15, with a minimum S/N of 9.

All ESI observations prior to 2010, and all HIRES observations were observed at the same slit position to facilitate the removal of cosmic rays between exposures. In order to decrease the effects of fringing in ESI observations $\sim 4$ km s$^{-1}$, and the HIRES observations were reduced with HIRED (Prochaska et al. 2003b), both of which are publicly available. All the data were extracted with optimal extraction, and the data were continuum fit using the $x$ _continuum routine within XIDL.

### Table 1: Journal of Observations

| QSO          | R.A. (J2000) | Dec. (J2000) | r (AB) | i (AB) | $z_{\text{exp}}$ | $z_{\text{cont}}$ | Date (UT) | Instr. (arcsec) | Slit (s) | S/N$^a_{\text{exp}}$ |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|----------|-----------------|
| J0040-0915   | 00 40 54.7   | -09 15 27   | 20.48  | 19.18  | 4.98            | 4.74            | 2010 Jan 20 | ESI        | 0.50   | 2280            |
|              |              |             |        |        |                 |                 | 2011 Jan 16 | HIRESr    | 0.86   | 7200            |
|              |              |             |        |        |                 |                 | 2011 Jan 24 | HIRESr    | 0.86   | 3600            |
| J0210-0018   | 02 10 43.2   | -00 18 18   | 20.48  | 19.20  | 4.72            | 4.57            | 2010 Jan 20 | ESI        | 0.50   | 2880            |
| J0331-0741   | 03 31 19.7   | -07 41 43   | 20.56  | 19.12  | 4.71            | 4.19            | 2010 Jan 20 | ESI        | 0.75   | 4320            |
| J0747+4434   | 07 47 49.7   | +44 34 17   | 19.62  | 17.35  | 4.43            | 4.02            | 2009 Mar 22 | ESI        | 0.75   | 3600            |
| J0759+1800   | 07 59 07.6   | +18 00 55   | 21.06  | 19.16  | 4.86            | 4.66            | 2010 Jan 20 | ESI        | 0.75   | 4320            |
| J0813+3508   | 08 13 33.3   | +35 08 11   | 20.81  | 19.13  | 4.92            | 4.30            | 2010 Apr 21 | ESI        | 0.75   | 1400            |

Note: Units of right ascension are in hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are in degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds. Photometry from SDSS [Abazajian et al. 2009]. This table is available in its entirety in a machine-readable form in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.

6 See http://www.ucolick.org/~xavier/IDL/
7 See http://www2.keck.hawaii.edu/inst/esi/ESIRedux/
8 See http://www.ucolick.org/~xavier/HIRedux/
9 All of the bona-fide DLAs exhibit at least one positive detection.

...
Figure 1. ESI spectra of 25 quasars with 30 DLAs at $z > 4$. Fluxes (black curves) and 1-$\sigma$ error arrays (green curves) are normalized to unit continuum. The orange lines are zero flux. $z > 4$ Damped Ly$\alpha$ lines, denoted by red arrows, are listed in order of increasing value of the largest redshift DLA for each spectrum.
methodology and is justified by the fact that the uncertainties are dominated by systematic errors: continuum fitting and line-blending. In our experience, a standard χ² analysis yields unrealistically small error estimates (Prochaska et al. 2003a).

We place very conservative error estimates on the N_HI values by manually selecting values that ensure that the error region encompasses all possible solutions for N_HI. These values systematically increase with increasing redshift due to the increased Ly-α forest blending, and the reader should consider them to be roughly at the 95% confidence level.

The sample of candidate DLAs all have N_HI ≥ 2 × 10^{20} cm⁻² based on the SDSS spectra with spectral resolution FWHM ∼ 2 Å. At low redshift, this is sufficiently high resolution to obtain relatively accurate N_HI, and therefore yields only a small number of false candidates. However, as we move to higher redshift, the Ly-α forest becomes significantly more dense, and the damped Ly-α profiles in the SDSS spectra are significantly affected by line-blending with random Ly-α forest clouds. Since the ESI spectra resolve out much of the Ly-α forest, we can therefore obtain more robust values of N_HI. In order to confirm a candidate DLA from SDSS to be a true DLA, we require N_HI ≥ 2 × 10^{20} cm⁻² in the ESI spectrum.

We fitted N_HI Voigt profiles to 68 candidate DLAs from SDSS, and confirm 51 as bona-fide DLAs. Figures 2 and 3 show the Voigt profile fits of 51 newly confirmed DLAs ordered by increasing RA. The velocity centroid of the DLA is determined from the low-ion metal transitions, and some panels have more than one DLA candidate marked, and in those cases the central DLA at zero velocity is the DLA for the information given in that panel. The velocity interval of the individual panels varies with column density such that the wings of the damped profile are presented.

The higher density of the Ly-α forest at higher redshifts results in more line blending than at lower redshift, and therefore sometimes one or both of the wings are not clearly defined, and in these cases we rely on the core of the line. In a few other cases, a strong Ly-α absorber is blended with one side of the DLA’s core, and the resultant profile is constrained by the core on the other side, and the wings on one or both sides. For example, the Voigt profile fit of J1200+4618 at z_abs = 4.477 turns up more sharply than the data on the blue side of line center due to confusion with one or more Ly-α absorbers at v ∼ −900 km s⁻¹. The same effect can be seen in J1201+2117 at z_abs = 4.158, and a few other DLA fits. These challenges are not a problem, as the redshift is determined from the low-ion metal lines, and we therefore have sufficient information for a robust determination of N_HI.

The 17 false candidates consist of multiple lower column density lines that are blended together in the lower resolution SDSS data. Of the 68 candidate DLAs in Table 1, 46 of them have z > 4. Of those 46 candidate z > 4 DLAs, 14 are false positives, leaving a total of 32 confirmed DLAs with z > 4. As a result, we find that ~30% of z > 4 DLAs are misidentified in SDSS due to line-blending. In contrast, for our sample of 22 z < 4 DLAs, we find 3 false candidates, none of which are one of the 14 z > 3 candidate DLAs obtained in our 2008 run. To investigate this further, we limit ourselves to the more homogeneous part of the sample that were identified with the automated routine from DR5 SDSS data (Prochaska & Wolfe 2009), excluding the manually selected DR7 SDSS DLAs (see table). Also, we exclude 2 DLAs that were lower than N_HI ≥ 2 × 10^{20} cm⁻² in the SDSS data, but were measured in the ESI spectra to meet the DLA criterion.

This removes 5 false candidate DLAs (4 of which are at z > 4) and 9 confirmed DLAs from the sample, leaving a total of 56 candidate DLAs. Out of these 38 candidate z > 4 DLAs, 10 are false positives, leaving a total of 28 confirmed DLAs with z > 4, and a ~26% misidentification rate. For the 18 z < 4 DLAs, we find 2 false candidates, and a ~11% misidentification rate. We note that one of these two DLAs is found in a quasar with z_{em} = 4.9, and therefore is severely affected by the z > 4 Ly-β forest, which is uncommon, and therefore the misidentification rate at z < 4 is likely closer to ~6%. Despite the small number statistics, there clearly is a larger percentage of false positives at z < 4 than at z > 4.

We compare the N_HI values determined from the DR5 SDSS data and from our ESI data in Figure 4. The top panel is the ESI N_HI versus the SDSS N_HI, while the bottom panel are number counts of misidentified DLAs. The dotted line marks the minimum criteria to be a DLA, N_HI ≥ 2 × 10^{20} cm⁻².

There is general good agreement between the ESI N_HI values and the SDSS N_HI values within their uncertainties. In addition, the histogram shows that the primary misidentifications occur for DLAs near the N_HI = 2 × 10^{20} cm⁻² limit. Some of these misidentified systems are super Lyman limit systems, although the majority are due to a combination of lines in the Lyman-α forest. Studies using DLA identifications from SDSS at z > 4 (Prochaska & Wolfe 2009) therefore need to apply a correction for the increased false positive rate before determining the column density distribution function, the total covering fraction, and the integrated mass density of H I gas. The histogram in Figure 4 suggests that this correction mainly needs to be applied for the lowest N_HI DLAs.

For such future corrections, we break down the ~26% misidentification rate into random and systematic misidentifications. Of the 10 false positives from DR5, 3 are random misidentifications with high N_HI absorbers at the correct redshift that do not quite meet the criteria of N_HI ≥ 2 × 10^{20} cm⁻², but are within 1 − 2σ of their previous measurements. The other 7 false positives are systematic misidentifications due to a combination of many lower N_HI absorbers masquerading as a single higher N_HI absorber. Figure 5 shows Voigt profile fits to both the original SDSS data and the higher resolution ESI data for the 7 systematic misidentifications from DR5.

We expect that a number of absorbers with N_HI < 2 × 10^{20} cm⁻² will randomly be measured above this value, and that a number of absorbers with N_HI > 2 × 10^{20} cm⁻² to be measured below this value. We check if the number of random misidentifications measured is as expected from the uncertainties and the column density distribution function using the Eddington bias formalism (Eddington 1913, Teerikorpi 2004). We limit ourselves to DLAs with N_HI ≤ 20.5, as above this N_HI the problem is small (see Figure 4) and a random error is unlikely to move an absorber with N_HI < 2 × 10^{20} cm⁻² to a higher N_HI. The observed number of DR5 DLAs with z > 4 and N_HI < 20.5 is 9, to which we add the 3 random misidentifications for a total of 12 absorbers. We use an uncertainty of 0.2 dex, and model the column density distribution function. The expected number of DLAs from the Eddington bias formalism is 8.4, resulting in an observed difference of 3.6 DLAs. This is consistent with the 3 random misidentifications measured, especially given the small number statistics considered here.

We note that in our survey for DLAs, we reject proximate DLA systems that are found to be within 3000 km s⁻¹ of the quasar emission redshift, as these DLAs may be
Figure 2. Voigt profile fits to 51 confirmed DLAs ordered by RA, with the x-range based on the $N_{\text{HI}}$. Blue curves are best-fit profiles and gray shade includes 95% confidence limits surrounding best fits. The red dotted line marks the velocity centroid of the DLA determined from the low-ion metal transitions, with possible multiple components as determined by the metal lines. Individual panels include quasar name, DLA redshift and H I column density. The green line represents the uncertainty.
Figure 3. Continuation of Figure 2.
physically associated or otherwise affected by the quasar (see Prochaska et al. 2008, Ellison et al. 2010, 2011). This removes our highest redshift confirmed DLA (z = 5.18, J1626+275), and it is not included in the analysis of the metallicity evolution, although we include it for completeness in our tables.

### 3.2. Determining DLA metallicities

A principal goal of this paper is to trace the build-up of heavy elements in neutral gas across cosmic time. In the Sun, oxygen (O) dominates by number and contributes \( \approx 50\% \) of the heavy element mass budget (Lodders 2010). This fraction is likely even higher in the early universe when Type Ia supernovae had less time to enrich the iron (Fe) peak elements (see §3.7). Indeed, O is commonly observed to be enhanced in metal-poor environments such as our Galactic halo (e.g. Bessell et al. 1991). Ideally, one would assess the heavy element mass density through measurements of O. Unfortunately in DLA analysis, the O I transitions are generally either too weak or too strong to permit a precise estimate of its abundance relative to hydrogen. After oxygen, carbon (C) and neon (Ne) contribute \( \approx 30\% \) of the heavy element mass in the Sun. The same challenges as for oxygen apply to C, and there are no Ne transitions available for DLAs. It is evident that we are forced to use a proxy for the heavy element abundance.

The elements nitrogen (N), magnesium (Mg), silicon (Si), sulfur (S), and Fe each contribute \( \approx 5\% \) of the heavy element mass density in the Sun and each of these can, in principle, be measured in DLAs. To best trace the dominant elements (O, C, Ne), one would prefer an element that is produced and expelled in tandem, i.e. predominantly in the supernovae explosions of massive stars. Both Si and S are good candidates since they lie along the \( \alpha \)-element sequence of nucleosynthesis, are produced in massive stars, and are easily measurable in high redshift DLAs. Si is a volatile element with negligible depletion and with multiple lines of varying oscillator strengths. Alas, these lines are often in the Ly-\( \alpha \) forest, making them measurable in only a fraction of DLAs. Si has many lines of varying oscillator strengths at multiple wavelengths, which results in at least one line being unsaturated and outside the forest for the majority of DLAs. While Si has nearly twice the mass density of S, it is a mildly refractory element and its gas-phase measurement may under-

\[ \text{estimate the total metal abundance} \quad \text{(Prochaska \& Wolfe 2002; Vladilo et al. 2011).} \]

Considering all of these aspects together, we conclude that S is the best option and use its abundance as a proxy for the heavy element mass density in DLAs wherever possible. Under the expectation that metal-poor gas is relatively undepleted, we adopt Si as the second choice, especially in low metallicity systems. We further investigate the possibility of depletion of Si in §3.3 where we determine that it is not significantly depleted in DLAs based on current measurements.

Within the DLA literature, zinc (Zn) has played a special role in estimating the metallicity (e.g. Meyer et al. 1989; Pettini et al. 1990, 1994, 1997; Akerman et al. 2005). This reflects both observational convenience and the fact that Zn is non-refractory. Specifically, Zn has two strong transitions at 2026 and 2062 Å, that almost always lie outside the Ly-\( \alpha \) forest, and are rarely saturated due to their low oscillator strengths and low Zn abundances. Unfortunately, Zn is only a trace element which contributes \( \approx 10^{-4} \) of the mass density for the heavy elements. Furthermore, the weakness of the Zn transitions make it impossible to measure its abundance in low metallicity DLAs, and the large rest-frame wavelengths of the transitions make them difficult to measure at high redshift. In addition, the nature of Zn is not fully understood; Zn is not an \( \alpha \)-element, nor an Fe peak element. While Zn is often observed to track Fe, theoretical work on the nucleosynthesis of Zn often predicts it may originate in massive stars (Woosley \& Weaver 1995; Hoffman et al. 1996; Umeda \& Nomoto 2002; Fenner et al. 2004). On the more positive side, Zn tracks the relative abundances of S and Si in DLAs, and empirically is a fair substitute for these elements (see §3.3). Therefore, when O, S, and Si are either not covered by our spectra or are saturated, and the Zn transitions are available, we use the Zn abundance as a proxy for the heavy element mass density.

In the small number of cases where none of the above elements are available, we are left with using elements such as Fe, nickel (Ni), or aluminum (Al). As Fe and Ni are refractory elements, they will be depleted at high metallicities. At low metallicities, we can measure the intrinsic abundance of Fe and Ni, which tracks the abundances of S and Si well, with an offset due to the \( \alpha \)-enhancement of DLAs. We determine the \( \alpha \)-enhancement in §3.3 and §3.4 from the abundance of Fe combined with an \( \alpha \)-element abundance. We apply an [\( \alpha /Fe \)] offset of 0.3 dex, which is slightly different than that used in some previous studies (0.4; e.g. Prochaska \& Wolfe 2002; Prochaska et al. 2003b), but is more representative of [\( \alpha /Fe \)] of DLAs (Wolfe et al. 2008, and see below in §3.4 and §3.5). In addition, we also determine [Fe/H] from iron peak elements for all DLAs, as this is useful for studying the chemistry of DLAs, and for an estimate of the dust-to-gas ratio, as Fe is a depleted element for DLAs with [M/H] > −1 (Wolfe et al. 2005). In cases where Fe is not available, we determine [Fe/H] using Ni with a 0.1 dex correction, or Al. We note that whenever we use an Fe peak element for the metallicity, we add the uncertainty in [\( \alpha /Fe \)] of 0.16 to the [Fe/H] uncertainty in quadrature.

10 Aside from the few cases where oxygen is measured precisely.

11 We note that the y-axis of Figure 8 in Wolfe et al. (2005) is mislabeled. The tick labeled 0.5 should be labeled 0.7.
3.3. Measured Element Abundances

In this subsection we describe the derivation of ionic column densities for all of the new confirmed DLAs using the apparent optical depth method (AODM; Savage & Sembach 1991). This method can uncover hidden saturated lines by comparing the apparent column density per unit velocity, $N_a(v)$, for multiple transitions of a single ion. We then calculate $N_a(v)$ for each pixel from the optical depth equation, and sum over the velocity profile of each transition to obtain the total column density, $N$. If multiple lines for a single ion are available, we then take the weighted mean of the column densities from unsaturated lines. The AODM technique yields column densities that agree well with line fitting, and it is easier to apply to a large data set such as this one (Prochaska et al. 2001b). We use the wavelengths and oscillator strengths shown in Table 2 of Morton (2003) and the meteoritic solar abundances shown in Table 1 of Asplund et al. (2009).

The medium resolution of ESI could result in possible saturation of unresolved weak metal lines if they were particularly narrow (Penprase et al. 2010), and such lines should normally be treated as lower limits. However, in this study we obtained HIRES followup in order to 1) check for saturation of narrow weak metal lines from the ESI measurements by resolving the lines, and 2) obtain metallicities that would otherwise not be measurable with ESI due to blending of lines or weak transitions. By targeting the most likely problematic candidates with HIRES, we reduce the saturation issues. Specifically, we followed up DLAs with narrow lines whose metallicity was based on single metal lines, as these are the most likely culprits. In the cases where a line looked potentially saturated without available HIRES data, we used a different line instead. In a few cases, this resulted in an Fe-element based metallicity rather than an $\alpha$-element based metallicity.

We therefore use the remaining ESI sample without applying saturation corrections. In addition, if we did miss a small number of saturated DLAs, they would not affect the main conclusions, as we have sufficient measurements per redshift bin such that $\langle Z \rangle$ is not sensitive to any individual measurement (see §3.4). Lastly, as we probe higher redshifts, the

Figure 5. Voigt profile fits to the 7 systematic misidentifications of DLAs from SDSS. We alternate panels showing the SDSS data and the ESI data, and label each panel accordingly. The blue curves are best-fit profiles to the SDSS data from Prochaska et al. (2005) and Prochaska & Wolfe (2009), or the ESI data from this work. Individual panels include quasar name, DLA redshift and H I column density. The gray shade includes 95% confidence limits surrounding best fits, the red dotted line marks the velocity centroid of the DLAs as determined from the low-ion metal transitions, and the green line represents the uncertainty.
common element transitions used for metallicity determination in DLAs are less likely to be saturated due to their lower metallicities at higher redshifts (Prochaska et al. 2003b and this study).

In Table 2 we present new metallicity measurements for 47 DLAs, with 30 of them at \( z > 4 \). Four DLAs do not have measurable metallicities due to a lack of unsaturated relevant lines redward of the Lyman-\( \alpha \) forest, and are not in the table. We do note, however, that each of these exhibits obvious metal absorption and therefore has a metallicity \([M/H] \sim -2\). Another is a proximate DLA, and is included in the table. Column (1) gives the quasar coordinate name obtained from the SDSS survey, column (2) gives the DLA absorption redshifts determined from the metal transition lines, and column (3) gives the logarithm of the measured \( N_{\text{HI}} \) values. Columns (4) and (5) give the alpha element flag and metallicity, and column (6) and (7) give the Fe flag and metallicity. Column (8) and (9) give the flag and final metallicity used in this paper. The flags in columns (4) and (6) state which transitions are used and if they are detections or limits, as described in the Table notes. We also provide the measured elemental column densities of these DLAs in the online material in the appendix. In addition, we show the velocity profiles for those metal-line transitions in the online material.

The uncertainty in [\( \alpha/\text{H} \)], [Fe/H], and [M/H] in Table 2 include the uncertainty of \( N_{\text{HI}} \) added in quadrature, and therefore are dominated by the uncertainty in \( N_{\text{HI}} \). We ignore the error in the continuum fit in our error calculations for metal-line transitions, although it may be important for very weak transitions. We set a minimum error of 0.1 dex for all metallicity measurements, and note that our main results are not sensitive to the uncertainties in individual measurements (see bootstrap method in §3.6).

In Figure 6 we plot the 46 metallicities obtained in this paper as a function of redshift (not including the proximate DLA). The figure outlines which elements are used to obtain \([M/H]\) and the uncertainties of those measurements. The symbols and colors indicate the origin of the \([M/H]\) measurements, with blue triangles representing S II, green filled circles representing Si II, and red plus crosses representing Fe II. We note that the metallicities derived from Fe II include an \( \alpha \)-enhancement correction, with \([M/H] = [\text{Fe/H}] + 0.3 \) dex (see §3.3 and §3.5).

3.4. Element Abundances from the Literature

In this subsection we describe the compilation of metallicities of DLAs from the literature. Over a thousand DLAs have been identified by SDSS (e.g. Prochaska et al. 2005; Prochaska & Wolfe 2009; Noterdaeme et al. 2009), and many hundreds have been observed with a wide variety of telescopes and instruments with varying resolution, \( S/N \), and wavelength coverage. Similar to Prochaska et al. (2003b), we restrict our analysis to DLAs observed at high-resolution (\( R > 5000 \)) and high \( S/N \) (15 pixel\(^{-1}\)), and require them to meet the DLA criteria of \( N_{\text{HI}} \geq 2 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\). In addition, we avoid samples with metallicity biases, such as metal poor samples (e.g. Pettini et al. 2008; Penprase et al. 2010; Cooke et al. 2011), or metal rich samples (e.g. Herbert-Fort et al. 2006; Kaplan et al. 2010). We make an exception for low-redshift DLAs with \( z \lesssim 1.5 \), as these are generally found based on strong metal lines (e.g. Khare et al. 2004; Kulkarni et al. 2005; Meiring et al. 2006; Périou et al. 2006). Therefore, other than for DLAs in our lowest redshift bin, the literature sample is not (explicitly) metallicity biased.

In Figure 7 we plot the compilation of 195 metallicities literature abundance values as a function of redshift. The figure outlines which elements are used to obtain \([M/H]\) and the uncertainties of those measurements. The symbols and colors indicate the origin of the \([M/H]\) measurements, with blue triangles representing S II, green filled circles representing Si II, red plus crosses representing Fe II, brown boxes representing Zn II, and gold stars representing O I, and combination of limits (black diamonds). We also present these values in Table 3 where column (1) gives the quasar name, column (2) gives the DLA absorption redshifts, and column (3) gives the logarithm of the measured \( N_{\text{HI}} \) values. Columns (4) and (5) give the alpha element flag and metallicity, and column (6) and (7) give the Fe flag and metallicity. Column (8) and (9) give the flag and final metallicity used in this paper, and column (10) cites the references. Similar to Table 3 the flags in columns (4) and (6) state which transitions are used and if they are detections or limits, as described in the Table notes. The uncertainties in the table include the uncertainty in \( N_{\text{HI}} \) added in quadrature.

We combine the 195 literature abundance values with our new ESI and HIRES observations for a total of 241 abundances. We investigate the abundance distribution of DLAs,
and here only include the 207 DLAs with $z > 1.5$, as $z < 1.5$ DLAs are selected for the presence of strong metal lines and are therefore biased. In Figure 8, we plot the metallicity distribution function for DLAs, and the black line is a Gaussian fit with a mean $[M/H]$ of -1.51 and a width $\sigma = 0.57$. We note that this dispersion is not due to the uncertainties in the measurements, with a mean uncertainty of 0.13$\pm$0.05. In addition, we consider the distribution of $\alpha$/Fe values for DLAs with $z > 1.5$ that have an abundance of both an alpha and an iron peak element. For these DLAs, we also require that $[\alpha/H] < -1$, as Fe is depleted by dust in DLAs with higher metallicities (Vladilo et al. 2011). Figure 9 plots the $[\alpha/Fe]$ distribution function for 154 DLAs, and the black line is a Gaussian fit with a mean $[\alpha/Fe]$ of 0.30 and a width $\sigma = 0.16$. The mean uncertainty of $[\alpha/Fe]$ is 0.08$\pm$0.05, which is smaller than the dispersion of $[\alpha/Fe]$, suggesting there may be intrinsic variations between DLAs. Nonetheless, we also calculate the standard deviation of the mean, and find that the mean value of $[\alpha/Fe]$ is 0.30$\pm$0.02 (see also §3.5).

### 3.5. Comparisons of Elemental Abundances

We use a number of different ions for determining our elemental abundances in the order O, S, Si, Zn, and Fe as described in Table 1. In this subsection we justify our practice of mixing these elements in our analysis of DLA metallicities by comparing S, Si, and Fe to Zn in Figure 10.
measurements are based on a different set of DLAs than those described in §3.4. These measurements are based only on very high resolution measurements from HIRES or UVES as compiled by Vladilo et al. (2011), with additional data from the present study and from Wolfe et al. (2008). We note that for these comparisons we are not concerned about any metallicity biases, and therefore use all data that is available.

In Figure 10 we compare different elemental abundances, and any any observed trends may be related to dust, nucleosynthesis, and even ionization. Panel (a) in Figure 10 plots [S/Zn] versus [Zn/H], Panel (b) plots [Si/Zn] versus [Zn/H], Panel (c) plots [Fe/Zn] versus [Zn/H], and Panel (d) plots [Si/S] versus [Si/H]. A standard Kendall Tau test shows that they are all correlated, with the strongest anti-correlation in Panel (c) for [Fe/Zn] and [Zn/H]. However, we must correct the correlation coefficients for the fact that the ratios comprising the x and y axes contain the same elements. For instance, Panel (a) in Figure 10 contains Zn in both axes, and therefore the Kendall Tau test should find a correlation even if there is none. To account for this effect, we calculate partial correlation coefficients following the prescription in Akritas & Siebert (1996), and find that only [Si/Zn] versus [Zn/H] and [Fe/Zn] versus [Zn/H] (Panels (b) and (c)) are anti-correlated at greater than 3σ. However, we caution the reader that for all but [Fe/Zn] versus [Zn/H], we are looking for trends close to the limits of what the data can provide. While we correct for the partial correlation, a small number of outliers can effect the correlation.

First, we find a minor 2-3σ anti-correlation in Panel (a) for [S/Zn] and [Zn/H]. While this is not very significant, there does appear to be a set of values above the dashed line at low metallicity, and below the dashed line at high metallicity. This may be due to a nucleosynthetic effect, or due to small number statistics. Panel (b) shows what appears to be an anti-correlation between [Si/Zn] and [Zn/H], and to investigate this we therefore also compare Si with S in panel (d). Panel (d) shows a 2σ anti-correlation between [Si/S] and [S/H], although this anti-correlation is sufficiently weak and near zero that there may be no trend. In fact, other than a few outliers, there is no obvious correlation visible by eye. This suggests that the minor anti-correlation observed in Panel (b) may be a selection effect, or some currently not understood effect. We therefore conclude that if there is any dust depletion in Si like in the local ISM, it is a small effect, otherwise it would have been clearly observed in panel (d). We note that it is of course possible that any individual DLA is depleted, which may explain the two outliers in the figure. Lastly, [Fe/Zn] versus [Zn/H] are anti-correlated as expected because Fe is depleted, and is expected to show higher depletion at higher metallicities. In fact, at low metallicities, Panel (c) shows that there is a flattening of the [Fe/Zn] trend, suggesting that depletion in Fe is not large for low metallicities, as found previously by Vladilo et al. (2011). We therefore use S, Si, Zn, and Fe to measure elemental abundances, although only use Fe at lower metallicities.

When using different elements for determining metallicities, a correction must be applied when combining α-enhanced elements with Fe-peak elements (see §4.4). While S and Si are α-enhanced in the Milky Way, the nature of Zn is less clear. The comparisons in Figure 10 shows that [Fe/Zn] is offset from 0 even at low metallicities, while [Si/Zn] and [S/Zn] are around 0, suggesting that Zn is enhanced in a way similar to α-elements, rather than Fe-peak elements. This supports treating Zn as an α-element in our metallicity evolution plots, as well as in our measurement of the α-enhancement of DLAs.

We can also use this same high resolution dataset as in Figure 10 to explore the α-enhancement of DLAs with higher precision than in Figure 9. Figure 11 plots the α-enhancement, [α/Fe] as a function of the metallicity using Si, S, and Zn as alpha elements. This shows that while there is a large scatter in the [α/Fe] values, at low metallicities ([α/H] < −1.0) the [α/Fe] distribution flattens out to a mean value of 0.27 ± 0.02, where the uncertainty is the standard deviation of the mean. A Gaussian fit to [α/Fe] has a mean of 0.26 and a width σ = 0.12, which matches that found for the larger lower resolution unbiased sample used in most of this paper. At higher metallicities, there is a correlation of [α/Fe] with [α/H], possibly caused by the depletion of Fe, which is why we limit ourselves to lower metallicity DLAs ([M/H] < −1) when comparing the α-enhancement of DLAs (see §3.7). This systematic offset of [α/Fe] from 0 in the regime where Fe is not depleted suggests that DLAs are alpha enhanced.
Figure 10. Comparison of different elements used to determine metallicities of DLAs. Panel (a) compares S and Zn, Panel (b) compares Si and Zn, Panel (c) compares Fe and Zn, and Panel (d) compares Si and S. The Kendall Tau test shows that they are all technically correlated. The correlation in Panel (a) may be spurious, and that of in Panel (b) may be due to selection effects. Panel (c) is clearly correlated, although at low metallicities flattens out. Panel (d) suggests that Si is not more significantly depleted than S, aside from a few special cases.

Figure 11. $\alpha$-enhancement, $[\alpha/Fe]$, as a function of the metallicity using Si, S, and Zn as alpha elements. At low metallicities ($[\alpha/H] < -1.0$) the $[\alpha/Fe]$ distribution flattens out to a mean value of $0.27 \pm 0.02$. At higher metallicities, there is a correlation of $[\alpha/Fe]$ with $[\alpha/H]$, which may be caused by the depletion of Fe. This systematic offset of $[\alpha/Fe]$ from 0 in the regime where Fe is not depleted (low metallicity) suggests that DLAs are alpha enhanced.

3.6. Evolution of Cosmic Metallicity

We trace the build-up of heavy elements in neutral gas across cosmic time by investigating the metallicity evolution of DLAs out to $z \approx 5$. The following analysis presents the results under the assumption and expectation that dust obscuration has a modest effect on the majority of DLA sightlines (Ellison et al. 2001b; Herbert-Fort et al. 2006; Jorgenson et al. 2006; Meiring et al. 2006; Khare et al. 2007). In Figure 12 we plot the metallicity as a function of redshift for both our measurements and those from the literature with a total of 241 abundances. Specifically, we plot the metallicities from the literature, and overplot our new $[M/H]$ measurements as stars, with ESI measurements as green stars and HIRES measurements as gold stars. Of these new $[M/H]$ measurements, 30 are at $z > 4$.

There are three interesting features in this plot: 1) there exists a large dispersion in $[M/H]$ at all redshifts, 2) there appears to be a metallicity “floor” at $[M/H] \approx -3$, and 3) the average $[M/H]$ decreases with increasing redshift. The large dispersion in Figure 12 is not an observational error, but is due to intrinsic scatter amongst the DLAs. The dispersion in $[M/H]$ is $\sim 0.5$ dex, and does not appear to evolve with redshift. The metallicity “floor” occurs at $[M/H] \approx -3$, with no DLAs existing at $[M/H] < -2.8$ despite the sensitivity of our spectra for finding DLAs with $[M/H]$ lower than $-4$. The implications of the scatter and the metallicity “floor” will be discussed in §4.1.

The evolution of $[M/H]$ is investigated by computing the cosmological mean metallicity, $\langle Z \rangle$, where

$$
\langle Z \rangle = \log \left( \frac{\sum_i 10^{[M/H]_i} N(HI)_i}{\sum_i N(HI)_i} \right),
$$
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and $i$ represents each bin of DLAs as a function of redshift (Lanzetta et al. 1995, Prochaska et al. 2003b). Stated differently, $(Z) = \log(\Omega_{M}/\Omega_{HI}) - \log(\Omega_{M}/\Omega_{HI})_\odot$, where $\Omega_{M}$ and $\Omega_{HI}$ are the comoving densities of metals and of atomic hydrogen. We bin the data such that each bin contains an equal number of DLAs (26 DLAs/bin), except for the lowest and highest redshift bins which contain 34 and 25 DLAs respectively. We maximize the number of bins while keeping the resultant values uncorrelated and require a sufficient number of DLAs per bin such that we obtain Gaussian distributions in the bootstrap analysis for determining our uncertainties. $(Z)$ is plotted as blue crosses in Figure 12 and the error bars represent $1\sigma$ confidence limits based on a bootstrap error analysis described below.

The $(Z)$ statistic is dominated by the systems with the largest $N_{HI}$ and [M/H] values (although we find no correlation of $N_{HI}$ with [M/H]), and therefore the measurement uncertainty is determined by sample variance rather than statistical error. We calculate the uncertainties on $(Z)$ by using a bootstrap method, where we replace a random fraction ($1/e \approx 37\%$) of the DLAs in each bin with other DLAs from that bin. We repeat this 1000 times, and then take the standard deviation of all the column density weighted metallicity measurements. We chose the number 1000 because it is sufficiently large such that the distribution of $(Z)$ resembles a Gaussian distribution, and increasing this number does not change the result. This method is conservative, and includes all the uncertainties associated with the variance of the sample, if the distribution of the bootstrap values are Gaussian. We note that we have sufficiently high $N_{HI}$ DLAs in our sample such that the distribution is close to Gaussian, and the results are insensitive to the addition of any single DLA (see also Prochaska et al. 2003b). The only exception to this is the inclusion of J1201+2117 at $z_{abs} = 3.79$, which has an extreme velocity width showing absorption lines over a 1500 km s$^{-1}$ range. Regardless of how we bin our data, the cosmic metallicity bin including this DLA is slightly elevated as evidenced in the $z \sim 4$ bin in Figure 12. The effect of this DLA on the binned cosmic metallicity is included in the bootstrap analysis, resulting in a slightly larger uncertainty for this value.

The black dotted line in Figure 12 is a linear fit to the $(Z)$ values and their bootstrap uncertainties. In order to properly treat the uncertainties, we perform a chi-square minimization fit to a logarithmic function on the linear values of the cosmic metallicities and their associated uncertainties from the bootstrap. We find that $(Z) = (-0.22 \pm 0.03)z - (0.65 \pm 0.09)$, excluding rounding errors. This is a reasonable, although non-physical, fit to the data to describe the slope of the evolution. This yields an $6.7\sigma$ detection in the evolution of $(Z)$, which is a significant improvement over the previous $3\sigma$ detections by Prochaska et al. (2003b), Kulkarni et al. (2005), Kulkarni et al. (2007), and Kulkarni et al. (2010). While the values of the fit are extremely similar to what was found previously by Kulkarni et al. (2010), the significance of the evolution is improved upon by the larger lever arm obtained by sampling DLAs at higher redshift, more DLAs at lower redshift, and a larger sample size. We note that the sample compiled from the literature (see Table 3) is somewhat different than that compiled by Kulkarni et al. (2010), since we exclude some studies due to potential metallicity bias, which may also affect the results. Regardless, there is good agreement of the slope and intercept of these semi-independent studies.

The larger the number of cosmic metallicity measurements, the better we can determine the evolution of the cosmic metallicity. However, if we reduce the number of DLAs per bin too significantly, then our binned values will be plagued by small number statistics, and the bootstrap may not result in Gaussian distributions. As a test to investigate our sensitivity to

Figure 12. DLA metal abundance, [M/H], versus redshift. Red plus signs from the literature. The metallicities from ESI are green stars and those from HIRES are gold stars. The 9 blue crosses with error bars show cosmic metallicity, $(Z)$, where horizontal error bars are determined such that there are equal numbers of data points per redshift bin. The vertical error bars represent $1\sigma$ confidence levels given from our bootstrap analysis. Black straight line is linear fit to the $(Z)$ data points.
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binning, we apply a running bin with 30 DLAs per bin. Each bin adds and removes one DLA while increasing in redshift, resulting in 202 bins. This methodology results in correlated values, as each DLA is used many times, and thus is not used as our primary technique. However, it is a good test of the effect of binning on our data. This yields \( \langle Z \rangle = (-0.19 \pm 0.03)z - (0.74 \pm 0.09) \), which is a 6.3\( \sigma \) detection in the evolution of \( \langle Z \rangle \). On the other hand, removing the lowest redshift bin completely, and thereby only using DLAs with \( z > 1.5 \), results in \( \langle Z \rangle = (-0.22 \pm 0.05)z - (0.66 \pm 0.15) \), which is a 4.6\( \sigma \) detection in the evolution of \( \langle Z \rangle \). We note that all the fit values are completely consistent with the previous measurements by Prochaska et al. (2003b, 2008; Murphy et al. 2007). Correcting for this bias of \( \sim 0.1 \) dex (Nestor et al. 2008) results in \( \langle Z \rangle = (-0.19 \pm 0.03)z - (0.74 \pm 0.09) \), which is a 6.3\( \sigma \) detection in the evolution of \( \langle Z \rangle \). On the other hand, removing the lowest redshift bin completely, and thereby only using DLAs with \( z > 1.5 \), results in \( \langle Z \rangle = (-0.22 \pm 0.05)z - (0.66 \pm 0.15) \), which is a 4.6\( \sigma \) detection in the evolution of \( \langle Z \rangle \). We note that all the fit values are completely consistent with the previous measurements by Prochaska et al. (2003b), confirming that the mean metallicity of the universe in neutral gas is doubling about every billion years at \( z \sim 3 \).

### 3.7. Comparison of Metallicities of DLAs and Halo Stars

DLAs are widely believed to be the progenitors of today’s disk galaxies and act as neutral gas reservoirs for star formation at high redshifts (Nagamine et al. 2004b; Wolfe & Chen 2006; Wolfe et al. 2008; Rafelski et al. 2011). Stars forming \textit{in situ} out of low metallicity DLA gas would result in low metallicity stars, which should be observable in the Milky Way today. However, previous studies found that the metallicity distribution of DLAs disagree with known stellar populations in the Galaxy (Pettini et al. 1997; Pettini 2004, 2006). On the other hand, recent studies of metal-poor DLAs suggest that their [C/O] distribution is in good agreement with metal-poor halo stars (Penprase et al. 2010; Cooke et al. 2011).

Here we investigate whether the increase in sample size and redshift yields a metallicity distribution in better agreement with Galactic stellar populations. We compare the metallicity distributions of DLAs with the thin disk stars, thick disk stars, and halo stars, although we mainly focus on the halo stars as the metallicities of the other two are systematically too high (as we show below). We limit ourselves to DLAs at \( z > 2 \), corresponding to an age of \( \geq 10 \) billion years, similar to the ages of halo stars in the Milky Way. However, the results are insensitive to including DLAs at \( z > 1.5 \).

Halo stars generally have low metallicities and are often selected based on their metallicities (e.g. Beers & Sommer-Larsen 1995). However, there is an overlap in the metallicity of different stellar components in the galaxy (Unavane et al. 1996; Chiba & Beers 2000). Therefore, Venn et al. (2004) select stars based purely on their kinematics, compile a large dataset from a number of publications, and provide probabilities of each star consisting of a thin disk, thick disk, or halo star, based on their kinematics. Here, we select stars from the Venn et al. (2004) sample of local stars with an 80% or better probability of being identified as each type of star and having both \( \alpha \) and Fe element abundances measured. This results in a sample of 201 thin disk stars, 109 thick disk stars, and 207 halo stars (Venn et al. 2004; Edvardsson et al. 1993; Hanson et al. 1998; Fulbright 2000, 2002; Reddy et al. 2003).

While Venn et al. (2004) sample does not select stars based on their metallicity, the sample is still metallicity biased as the studies it uses preferentially target metal poor objects. This is a well known bias, and its effects are discussed in depth for a different sample of halo stars by (Schörck et al. 2009). In that case, the metallicity distribution function was offset by 0.3 dex lower than the intrinsic distribution. While larger less biased samples of stellar metallicities and classifications exist, these data have not been made public (e.g. Carollo et al. 2010). In addition, while our DLA sample is not metallicity biased per redshift bin, it is slightly biased as a whole due to the metallicity evolution with redshift. If we had targeted additional high or low redshift DLAs, it would slightly change our metallicity distribution function by decreasing or increasing the mean metallicity respectively. Since we are relatively evenly sampled at \( z > 2 \), we expect this to be a small effect. Moreover, a comparison of stellar metallicities with those of DLAs should be approached with caution, as all the stars from any given stellar population in the Milky Way could have formed out of just a small number of DLAs. Also, while it is logical to assume that the Milky Way is a representative object, there will be variations between galaxies that affect the comparison. Hence, while we expect the metallicities of stars formed out of DLA gas to be similar to those of stars in the Milky Way, they do not need to be exact matches. It is, however, illustrative to compare the metallicity of DLAs with those of different stellar populations.

In Figure 13, we plot histograms comparing the abundances of 195 DLAs at redshifts \( z > 2 \) (red), with a) thin disk stars, b) thick disk stars, and c) halo stars (blue). For the DLAs, we use the same metal abundances as used in Table 2 and Figure 12. For the stars, we use the \( \alpha \)-element abundances tabulated in Venn et al. (2004). The histograms are normalized to have equal area and a maximum value of 1.0. We compare the stars to DLAs with \( z > 2 \), as the majority of the halo stars are believed to have formed by then (Freeman & Bland-Hawthorn 2002; Bullock & Johnston 2005; Robertson et al. 2005; Johnston et al. 2008). This cut includes the vast majority of our sample, and therefore has a negligible effect on the comparisons. A visual comparison of histograms immediately rules out the possibility of any agreement of the metallicity distributions of the thin disk or thick disk stars with DLAs. However, the halo star metallicity distributions look similar, and the median [M/H] of the two distributions are basically the same, with values of -1.54 and -1.53 for the DLAs and halo stars respectively.

We apply the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test to find the probability of each of the distributions being drawn from the same parent population as the DLA metallicities. Similar to the visual comparison, the thin disk and thick disk stars are ruled out at \( \sim 0\% \) probability, i.e., the null hypothesis cannot be rejected at a high confidence level. However, the halo stars have a probability of 3\%, i.e., the null hypothesis cannot be rejected with more than 2\( \sigma \) confidence. The two samples are therefore consistent with being drawn from the same parent population. Furthermore, we reiterate the warning mentioned above, that the two distributions do not need to match exactly. For instance, the metal poor tail shown here for halo stars is
not evident in the metallicity distribution function from SDSS (see Figure 2 in Carollo et al. 2010), and is due to the metal poor bias mentioned previously. In any case, this comparison hints at an overlap between the metallicity distributions of high redshift DLAs and halo stars in the Milky Way. We discuss the implications of this in §4.2.

Another possible comparison of DLAs and halo stars can be made by studying the chemistry of the two populations. Specifically we can compare the ratio of $\alpha$-elements to Fe abundances to determine whether gas is $\alpha$-enhanced. $\alpha$-elements are produced in high mass stars and then are ejected by Type II supernovae (SNe), while Fe is produced in both Type II and Type Ia SNe. Therefore, if stars are formed shortly after the Type II SNe explode, but before the Type Ia SNe do, they will have enhanced [$\alpha$/Fe] ratios (Matteucci & Recchi 2001). While the exact lifetimes of SNe is an active area of research, Type II SNe (and other $\alpha$-element producers such as Type Ib and Ic SNe) generally need $10^6$-$10^7$ years to explode, while Type Ia SNe need more than $10^8$-$10^9$ years (Kobayashi & Nomoto 2009). What is important is that Type Ia SNe take significantly more time to produce the Fe elements than the other SNe require to produce $\alpha$-elements.

In Figure 14 we plot histograms of the [$\alpha$/Fe] ratios for the 207 halo stars (blue) and a) 138 $z > 2$ DLAs that have abundances for both an Fe and an $\alpha$-element, and b) a subset 115 of those 138 DLAs that also have [M/H] $< -1$. We note that it is possible that the Fe abundances are depleted onto dust grains, especially for the higher metallicity systems. However, at lower metallicities, the [$\alpha$/Fe] ratio of DLAs is relatively constant (see Figure 11 and Prochaska & Wolfe 2002, Wolfe et al. 2005). If there was dust depletion in the sample, it would move the red histogram to the right in Figure 14. The sample in Figure 14b reduces such depletion issues, as the lower metallicity DLAs will have Fe less or not at all depleted (see Figure 11). In comparison, the mean alpha enhancements of the thin disk and thick disk are $\sim 0.16$ and $\sim 0.20$ respectively, inconsistent with those of DLAs.

We apply the K-S test to the [$\alpha$/Fe] ratios for DLAs and halo stars, and find the probability that the two distributions are drawn from the same parent population to be 3% for the 138 DLAs in Figure 14, and 40% for the 115 DLAs in Figure 14b. We therefore cannot reject the null hypothesis with more than 1 $\sigma$ confidence, and find that the [$\alpha$/Fe] ratio distribution for the DLA sample and the halo stars are compatible. This suggests that both the halo stars and the DLA gas are $\alpha$-enhanced by $\approx 0.3$ dex, suggesting that halo stars could be formed out of DLA gas. This result is different than some previous studies (e.g. Pettini et al. 1999, Centurión et al. 2000, Molaro et al. 2000, Ledoux et al. 2002a, Vladilo 2002, Nissen et al. 2004, 2007), mainly due to a different interpretation of the behavior of Zn (see §3.2 and §3.5), and previous small number statistics. For instance, Nissen et al. (2004) find no [S/Zn] enhancement in DLAs, and therefore conclude that there is no $\alpha$-enhancement. However, we find that Zn behaves like an $\alpha$-element, and therefore does not constrain the [$\alpha$/Fe] ratio.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Metallicity Evolution

The principal result of this study is that we find a continued decrease in the metallicity of DLAs with increasing redshift out to $z \approx 5$. We improve the significance of this trend to $6.7 \sigma$ and extend it to higher redshifts. These observations of the metallicity evolution tightly constrain the star formation his-
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The normalization of the metal abundance of DLA gas in the simulations is remarkably similar to the observed values for all three models. In addition, the evolution of the metal abundance is very similar for two of them. The models by Davé et al. (2011) and Cen (2012) agree within their uncertainties, although they strictly do have steeper slopes than the data. On the other hand, the models by Fumagalli et al. (2011b) show no real evolution in the DLA metallicity, which may be due to sampling a small number of halos, or other issues in the simulation. In all, it is reassuring that different models with different hydro-formulations seem to broadly agree on the metal content, and match the measured values. However, although these models treat metal diffusion, mixing, and feedback differently, the broad agreement between the models suggests that perhaps the metals are mostly locked in the high density gas where stars are produced (private communication, Michele Fumagalli 2012).

In addition to the continued decrease in metallicity, Figure 12 reveals two interesting features. The first is the large dispersion of [M/H] at $z > 4$ that matches the results at lower redshift (Prochaska et al. 2003b). This result is not an observational uncertainty but is due to intrinsic scatter amongst the DLAs. The scatter does not appear to evolve with redshift, suggesting that it is intrinsic to the DLAs, possibly due to the wide range of masses of the galaxies hosting DLAs (Neel-eman et al. 2012 in prep), as suggested by the mass-metallicity correlation found both at low redshift (Tremonti et al. 2004) and at high redshift (Erb et al. 2006, Prochaska et al. 2008).

The second feature is a metallicity “floor” at [M/H] $\approx -3$, which is also consistent with the results at lower redshift (Prochaska et al. 2003b; Penprase et al. 2010). We note that we cannot currently rule out the alternative possibility that rather than a floor, this cutoff is due to the tail of the presumably gaussian distribution not being adequately sampled (see Figure 9). This is investigated in Penprase et al. (2010), who find that if it is the gaussian tail, the probability of finding a DLA with [M/H] $\leq -3$ is extremely small.

If the observed metallicity “floor” is real, then it is likely a physical lower limit of the metallicity of DLAs out to at least $z \approx 5$, which may continue out to even larger redshifts. We note that if there is gas with very low metallicity, it has a very small cross-section at $\approx 5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. The observed DLA metallicities are systematically higher than those of the Ly-$\alpha$ forest clouds which have [M/H] $\approx -3$ (Aguirre et al. 2004), and therefore even the lowest metallicity DLA gas is part of a distinctly different population. Moreover, the extension of this lower limit of [M/H] at higher redshift has implications for the chemical evolution of the universe as suggested by Prochaska et al. (2003b; Penprase et al. 2010). We note that if there is gas with very low metallicity, it has a very small cross-section at [M/H] $\approx -3$. The observed DLA metallicities are systematically higher than those of the Ly-$\alpha$ forest clouds which have [M/H] $\approx -3$ (Aguirre et al. 2004), and therefore even the lowest metallicity DLA gas is part of a distinctly different population. Moreover, the extension of this lower limit of [M/H] at higher redshift has implications for the chemical evolution of the universe as suggested by Prochaska et al. (2003b; Penprase et al. 2010).

![Graph showing metallicity evolution](image)

Figure 14. Histogram comparing $\alpha$/Fe ratios of 207 halo stars with a) 138 DLAs at $z > 2$ and b) 115 DLAs at $z > 2$ with [M/H] $< -1$. The DLAs are selected such that they have both $\alpha$ and Fe elemental abundances measured. The histograms are normalized to have equal area and a maximum value of 1.0. The red left shaded region represents the DLAs and the blue right shaded region represents the halo stars. The $\alpha$/Fe ratios of DLAs is consistent with that of halo stars.
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Figure 15. Comparison of the DLA metal abundance evolution from simulations to the data. The gray plus signs are all DLAs used in this study, the blue crosses with error bars show the cosmic metallicity, $\langle Z \rangle$, and the black straight line is a linear fit to the $\langle Z \rangle$ data points. The red diamonds are mean metallicities of DLAs extracted from the simulation presented in Davé et al. (2011). The green triangles by models from Fumagalli et al. (2011b) based on simulations by Ceverino et al. (2010) using an atomic fraction calculation based on Blitz & Rosolowsky (2006). The green triangles are from Fumagalli et al. (2011b) based on simulations by Ceverino et al. (2010) using an atomic fraction calculation based on the formalism by Krumholz et al. (2009). Lastly, the gold squares are directly from Cen (2012).

The normalization of the metal abundance of DLA gas in the simulations is remarkably similar to the observed values for all three models. In addition, the evolution of the metal abundance is very similar for two of them. The models by Davé et al. (2011) and Cen (2012) agree within their uncertainties, although they strictly do have steeper slopes than the data. On the other hand, the models by Fumagalli et al. (2011b) show no real evolution in the DLA metallicity, which may be due to sampling a small number of halos, or other issues in the simulation. In all, it is reassuring that different models with different hydro-formulations seem to broadly agree on the metal content, and match the measured values. However, although these models treat metal diffusion, mixing, and feedback differently, the broad agreement between the models suggests that perhaps the metals are mostly locked in the high density gas where stars are produced (private communication, Michele Fumagalli 2012).

In addition to the continued decrease in metallicity, Figure 12 reveals two interesting features. The first is the large dispersion of [M/H] at $z > 4$ that matches the results at lower redshift (Prochaska et al. 2003b). This result is not an observational uncertainty but is due to intrinsic scatter amongst the DLAs. The scatter does not appear to evolve with redshift, suggesting that it is intrinsic to the DLAs, possibly due to the wide range of masses of the galaxies hosting DLAs (Neel-eman et al. 2012 in prep), as suggested by the mass-metallicity correlation found both at low redshift (Tremonti et al. 2004) and at high redshift (Erb et al. 2006, Prochaska et al. 2008).

The second feature is a metallicity “floor” at [M/H] $\approx -3$, which is also consistent with the results at lower redshift (Prochaska et al. 2003b; Penprase et al. 2010). We note that we cannot currently rule out the alternative possibility that rather than a floor, this cutoff is due to the tail of the presumably gaussian distribution not being adequately sampled (see Figure 9). This is investigated in Penprase et al. (2010), who find that if it is the gaussian tail, the probability of finding a DLA with [M/H] $\leq -3$ is extremely small.

If the observed metallicity “floor” is real, then it is likely a physical lower limit of the metallicity of DLAs out to at least $z \approx 5$, which may continue out to even larger redshifts. We note that if there is gas with very low metallicity, it has a very small cross-section at $\approx 5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. The observed DLA metallicities are systematically higher than those of the Ly-$\alpha$ forest clouds which have [M/H] $\approx -3$ (Aguirre et al. 2004), and therefore even the lowest metallicity DLA gas is part of a distinctly different population. Moreover, the extension of this lower limit of [M/H] at higher redshift has implications for the chemical evolution of the universe as suggested by Prochaska et al. (2003b; Penprase et al. 2010).
for the primordial gas in these galaxies. Either the primordial gas does not exist in the neutral phase in high redshift galaxies, or always coincides with a metal enriched region (Prochaska et al. 2003b). Simulations of primordial metal enrichment including Population III stars show that a single pair-instability supernova can enrich a halo to [M/H] ≈ −3 (Wise et al. 2012), which would explain and be consistent with the observed “floor”. Such a pre-enrichment of the ISM by Population III stars resulting in a minimum metallicity would also improve the agreement of simulated galaxies and low-mass dwarf galaxies (Tassis et al. 2012).

While the evolution of ⟨Z⟩ is linear in redshift, it is nonlinear in time, with the slope, d⟨Z⟩/dt, becoming significantly steeper at earlier cosmic times. In Figure 16 we plot the metallicity as a function of time rather than redshift. We truncate the plot at z = 1.6 in order to focus on the higher redshift part of the plot which contains the most rapidly changing metal abundances. The black dotted line is the linear fit to ⟨Z⟩ versus redshift, shown as a function of time, and clearly shows the steepening of ⟨Z⟩ going back in time. While this trend line is not a physical model, it shows the importance of obtaining metallicities of the highest redshift DLA systems, as undertaken in this study. Moreover, we show the metallicity prediction from simulations of primordial metal enrichment as a black diamond (Wise et al. 2012), which would require a drop in metallicity below what is extrapolated from the data.

An intriguing property of the [M/H] values in Figure 15 is that the highest redshift DLAs in our sample appear to have metallicities below the linear trend in ⟨Z⟩. Specifically, 6 of the 7 DLAs at z > 4.7 have [M/H] values below the linear fit ([Z]/Z ≈ −1.6 at z = 4.8), with a column density weighted mean ⟨Z⟩ = −2.1_{−0.6}^{+0.4} (The DLA that does not lie is the lowest redshift of the group). We note that the linear fit is not a physical model, and therefore does not constrain our expectations. However, the physical models shown in Figure 15 do not currently show a sudden drop in metallicity, so if real, this would mark a departure of ⟨Z⟩ below the linear fit persists at at z > 4.7.

4.2. Comparison with Halo Stars

The metallicity measurements at high redshift also enable us to investigate whether any known stellar populations in our Galaxy have the same metallicity distribution as the high redshift DLAs. Previously, no known population was known to have the same metallicity distribution as DLAs (Pettini et al. 1997; Pettini 2004, 2006). This was because the metallicity of thin and thick disks were too metal rich for DLAs, while the halo stars were too metal poor. However, we showed in §3.7 that it is possible that the metallicity distribution of DLAs at z > 2 is compatible with that of halo stars. Specifically, we cannot rule out, with a high degree of confidence, that DLAs are the progenitors of halo stars. We stress that this is the first time that the metallicity distribution of any known population of stars has been shown to be consistent with being drawn from the same parent population as DLAs.

There are two primary differences between our study and previous studies, which compared the DLA metallicity distributions to known stellar populations (Pettini et al. 1997; Pettini 2004, 2006). First, we use α-elements for abundance measurements (primarily S and Si), while the other studies use Zn. Second, we probe a higher redshift range out to z ~ 5, as opposed to z ~ 3.5. Both Zn and Si yield reliable abundance measurements, which are consistent with each other (see §3.5), although, the oscillator strengths of Zn are smaller than Si, making it much more difficult to detect low metallicity DLAs. Additionally, the wavelengths of Zn transitions are larger than Si, making Zn measurements at high redshift more difficult to obtain as they are redshifted into the near-infrared.

The past comparisons of the metallicity distributions included upper limits of Zn together with detections, skewing the distribution towards metallicities higher than that of the intrinsic population. Furthermore, by sampling higher redshifts, we include lower metallicity DLAs, since the metallicity of DLAs evolves with time. Together, our sensitivity to lower metallicity systems and our inclusion of higher redshift systems account for the different metallicity distribution presented here.

In addition to the metallicity distribution, the chemistry of the DLAs and halo stars is consistent, based on the comparison of the [α/Fe] ratios. Both populations have enhanced α-elements ([α/Fe] ≈ 0.3), suggesting that stars formed shortly after the ISM was enriched by type II supernovae, but before the type Ia supernovae had a chance to go off (Matteucci 2003; Venn et al. 2004). Together, these results suggest that the halo stars formed out of gas that has undergone the same number of generations of star formation as DLAs, which occurred before type Ia supernovae contributed significantly. It is therefore possible that the halo stars in the Milky Way formed out of z > 2 DLAs.

There are two primary formation scenarios for the Galactic halo stars (for a more thorough review, see Helmi 2008). The first is an in-situ scenario with the formation of halo stars from in-falling gas towards the Galactic center, which later collapse onto the plane (e.g. Eggen et al. 1962; Samland & Gerhard 2003). The second is an accretion scenario where a number of smaller galaxies merge to form the Galactic halo (e.g. Searle & Zinn 1978; Bullock & Johnston 2005; Moore et al. 2006). The later is often considered the more favored scenario, due to its resemblance to the model of hierarchical assembly with the collapse of small haloes of cold dark matter (CDM) (White & Rees 1978). It is likely that the answer lies somewhere in-between, with both processes playing a role (Majewski 1983; Chiba & Beers 2008; Helmi 2008; Font et al. 2011). In addition, while Galactic halo stars used to be considered a single stellar population, recent evidence suggests the existence of an inner and an outer halo (Carollo et al. 2003; Lai et al. 2009; Carollo et al. 2010). The formation mechanism of these two populations are likely different; with the inner halo formed from a combination of accretion of early rapid mergers and in-situ formation, and the outer halo formed purely from the disruption of lower mass satellite galaxies and accretion (Carollo et al. 2007; Zolotov et al. 2009; Carollo et al. 2010; Cooper et al. 2010).

The Galactic stellar halo formation scenarios are compatible with halo stars formed out of DLAs gas. Specifically, these scenarios include the mergers of lower mass systems that form the majority of the stars. While nearby dwarf spheroidal galaxies were originally thought to lack stars with [Fe/H] ~ −3 (Helmi et al. 2006), fainter systems have sufficiently low metallicity that they could be contributors to the Galactic halo (Kirby et al. 2008; Koch et al. 2008; Norris et al. 2008; Frebel et al. 2010; Lai et al. 2011). Such low metallicity stars are even now seen in more massive dwarf spheroidal galaxies (Kirby et al. 2011b). In addition, while initially the α-enhancement of the dwarf galaxies did not match the stellar halo (Shetrone et al. 2003; Geisler et al.)
formed in the quiescent DLAs. which randomize and increase the kinetic energy of the orbits of the stars kinematics of halo stars than DLAs would necessarily be caused by mergers, the decreased efficiency of star formation is that the diffuse gas.

Gnedin & Kravtsov (2010) conclude that the main reason for efficient than what would be predicted by the KS relation.

The metallicity evolution also has implications for the star formation rate (SFR) efficiency at high redshift. In general, the SFR per unit area is related to the gas density via the locally established Kennicutt-Schmidt (KS) relation (\(\Sigma_{\text{SFR}} \propto \Sigma_{\text{gas}}\)). At \(z \approx 3\), the in situ SFR of DLA gas was found to be less than 5% of what is expected from the KS relation in isolated regions (Wolfe & Chen 2006), and \(\sim 10\%\) of the expected rate in the outskirts of LBGs (Rafelski et al. 2009, 2011a). This means that the SFR of DLA gas is less efficient than what would be predicted by the KS relation. Gnedin & Kravtsov (2010) conclude that the main reason for the decreased efficiency of star formation is that the diffuse ISM in high redshift galaxies has a lower metallicity and dust-to-gas ratio, both of which are needed to cool the gas and form stars. In this case, since the metallicities of DLAs decrease with redshift, we expect that the efficiency of star formation should be correlated with redshift. In order to further our understanding of the effect of metallicity on the SFR efficiency, and measure the evolution of the KS relation with redshift, measurements over a range in redshift are required. This study provides the metallicities of the DLA gas over a large redshift range, setting the stage for such studies.

5. SUMMARY

The purpose of this study is to measure the metallicities of DLAs with \(z > 4\) in order to determine the metallicity evolution of DLAs out to \(z \sim 5\). We obtained high resolution spectroscopy of 68 quasars using the ESI and HIRES instruments on the Keck Telescopes and find the following:

(1) We fit \(N_{\text{HI}}\) Voigt profiles to 68 candidate DLAs from SDSS, and confirm 51 of them to have \(N_{\text{HI}} \geq 2 \times 10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (see Figures 2 and 3). For the 47 \(z > 4\) DLA candidates, 15 are false positives leaving 32 confirmed DLAs with \(z > 4\) (see Figure 1), tripling the sample of \(z > 4\) DLAs that have high resolution metallicity measurements to a total of 39. While at lower redshifts the majority of candidate DLAs from SDSS are confirmed, at \(z > 4\) the number of false positives is large, with \(\sim 30\%\) misidentified due to line-blending with random Ly-\(\alpha\) forest clouds. Studies using DLA identifications from SDSS at \(z > 4\) therefore need to apply a correction for the increased false positive rate for the lowest \(N_{\text{HI}}\) DLAs before determining the column density distribution function, the total covering fraction, and the integrated mass density of H I.

4.3. Star Formation Rate Efficiency

We note that if the halo stars formed out of DLA gas, then the higher kinematics of halo stars than DLAs would necessarily be caused by mergers, which randomize and increase the kinetic energy of the orbits of the stars formed in the quiescent DLAs.
(2) We consider the different elements available to measure the metallicity of DLAs, and find that the metallicity is determined with the highest confidence from elements in the following order: O, S, Si, Zn, Fe. We compare the elemental abundances determined from different ions in Figure 10 and conclude that S, Si, and Zn all result in similar metallicities. In addition, we find that for low metallicity DLAs, the metallicity can be determined from Fe, with a correction due to the alpha enhancement of DLAs. In Figures 9 and 11 we show that DLAs are alpha enhanced, with a mean value of 0.27 ± 0.02.

(3) We measure metal abundances, [M/H], for a total of 47 DLAs (see Table 2 and Figure 6), with 30 at z > 4 (one of which is a proximate DLA). We find that the cosmic metallicity, (Z), continues to decrease with increasing redshift to z ∼ 5 (see Figure 12). Specifically, we find that (Z) = (−0.22±0.03)z − (0.65±0.09), which is a 6.7σ detection in the evolution of (Z) and is a significant improvement over the previous ~ 3σ detections by Prochaska et al. (2003b), Kulkarni et al. (2005), Kulkarni et al. (2007), and Kulkarni et al. (2010). If we remove our lowest redshift bin which may be slightly metallicity biased, and thereby only using DLAs with z > 1.5, we find that (Z) = (−0.22±0.05)z − (0.66±0.15), which is a 4.6σ detection in the evolution of (Z). The fit values are completely consistent with the previous measurements by Prochaska et al. (2003b), confirming that the mean metallicity of the universe in neutral gas is doubling about every billion years at z ∼ 3. Additionally, the normalization and evolution of the metal abundance of simulations agree remarkably well with our data, as shown in Figure 15.

(4) We find that the large dispersion of [M/H] of ∼ 0.5 dex measured at z ≤ 4 (Prochaska et al. 2003b) continues out to z ∼ 5 (see Figure 6). This result is not an observational uncertainty, but is due to intrinsic scatter amongst the DLAs. The scatter does not appear to evolve with redshift, and could be due to the wide range of masses of the galaxies hosting DLAs (Neeleman et al. 2012 in prep).

(5) We find that the metallicity “floor” at [M/H]∼3 measured at z ≤ 4 (Prochaska et al. 2003b) continues out to z ∼ 5 (see Figure 6). Stated differently, we find no DLAs with [M/H] < −2.8 despite the sensitivity of our spectra for finding DLAs with [M/H] ≥ −4.5. This is likely a physical lower limit of the metallicity of DLAs out to at least z ≈ 5, which may continue out to even larger redshifts.

(6) We find that the metallicity distribution and the α/Fe ratios of z > 2 DLAs is consistent with being drawn from the same parent population as halo stars (see Figures 13 and 14). This is the first time that the metallicity distribution of any known stellar population has been shown to be consistent with being drawn from the same parent population as DLAs. It is therefore possible that the halo stars in the Milky Way formed out of gas that commonly exhibits DLA absorption at z > 2.

Altogether, we have measured the evolution of the metal abundances of DLAs out to z ∼ 5, which tightly constrain the star formation history of z ∼ 5 galaxies and the processes that transport metals from star-forming regions to the ambient ISM. The 6 highest redshift DLAs in our sample all have both [M/H] and (Z) values below the linear fit. Future observations should therefore focus on obtaining metallicities at z > 4.7 to further constrain the metallicity evolution of DLAs, as they will have the largest impact. Lastly, we find that the metallicity distribution and α-enhancement of DLAs is similar to Galactic halo stars, enabling the possibility that halo stars form out of DLA gas.
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A. ONLINE MATERIAL

Table 4 provides the column density measurements for the entire new DLA sample. Column (1) gives the quasar coordinate name obtained from the SDSS survey, column (2) gives the DLA absorption redshifts determined from the metal transition lines. Column (3) gives the ion measured, column (4) gives the wavelength of said ion, column (5) gives the oscillator strength used, and column (6) gives the instrument used. Column (7) gives the velocity interval over which the equivalent width and column density are measured, and column (8) gives the rest frame equivalent width. Column (9) gives the measured column density, and column (10) gives the adopted column density value combining measurements for multiple transitions.

Figure set 17 shows the velocity profiles of element transitions for the DLAs in Table 4. Every column density measurement in this table is shown in these Figures. These velocity profiles are a mix of HIRES and ESI data, as specified in Table 4 although it should be quite obvious based on the resolution of the data in the Figures.

Fig. Set 17. Velocity profiles of DLAs.
Table 4

| QSO    | $z_{\text{abs}}$ | Ion | $\lambda$ (Å) | log $f$ | Instr. | $v_{\text{out}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $W_{\lambda}^0$ (mÅ) | log $N$ | log $N_{\text{adopt}}$ |
|--------|------------------|-----|---------------|---------|--------|------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|
| J0040-0915 | 4.7394   | C II | 1334.5323 | -0.8935 | ESI    | [-80, 100] | 449.4 ± 8.0 | > 14.64 | > 14.64 |
|        |                  | C IV | 1548.1950 | -0.7194 | HIRES  | [-40, 70]  | 126.9 ± 21.9 | > 13.60 | 13.77 ± 0.03 |
|        |                  | O I  | 1550.7700  | -1.0213 | HIRES  | [-40, 70]  | 99.7 ± 7.5  | 13.77 ± 0.03 |
|        |                  | Al II | 1302.1685 | -1.3110 | HIRES  | [-40, 70]  | 258.5 ± 7.5  | > 14.91 | > 14.91 |
|        |                  | Si II | 1670.7874 | 0.2742  | HIRES  | [-40, 70]  | 189.7 ± 18.1 | > 12.97 | > 12.97 |
|        |                  | Si IV | 1393.7550 | -0.8962 | HIRES  | [-40, 70]  | 229.5 ± 22.1 | > 14.18 | > 14.18 |
|        |                  | Fe II | 1393.7550 | -0.2774 | HIRES  | [-40, 70]  | 182.7 ± 9.8  | 13.49 ± 0.05 | 13.34 ± 0.04 |
|        |                  |      |             |         |        |                 |                 |         |                        |
| J0040-0915 | 4.7394   | C IV | 1548.1950 | -0.7194 | HIRES  | [-40, 70]  | 126.9 ± 21.9 | > 13.60 | 13.77 ± 0.03 |
|        |                  | O I  | 1550.7700  | -1.0213 | HIRES  | [-40, 70]  | 99.7 ± 7.5  | 13.77 ± 0.03 |
|        |                  | Al II | 1302.1685 | -1.3110 | HIRES  | [-40, 70]  | 258.5 ± 7.5  | > 14.91 | > 14.91 |
|        |                  | Si II | 1670.7874 | 0.2742  | HIRES  | [-40, 70]  | 189.7 ± 18.1 | > 12.97 | > 12.97 |
|        |                  | Si IV | 1393.7550 | -0.8962 | HIRES  | [-40, 70]  | 229.5 ± 22.1 | > 14.18 | > 14.18 |
|        |                  | Fe II | 1393.7550 | -0.2774 | HIRES  | [-40, 70]  | 182.7 ± 9.8  | 13.49 ± 0.05 | 13.34 ± 0.04 |

Note. — This table is available in its entirety in a machine-readable form in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.

a Velocity interval over which the equivalent width and column density are measured.
b Rest equivalent width.
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Figure 17. Velocity profiles of metal transitions for each DLA in Table 1. These figures are available in the online Figure set.
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