Non-Fermi-liquid behavior in metallic quasicrystals with local magnetic moments
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Motivated by the intrinsic non-Fermi-liquid behavior observed in the heavy fermion quasicrystal \( \text{Au}_{51}\text{Al}_{34}\text{Yb}_{15} \), we study the low-temperature behavior of dilute magnetic impurities placed in a two-dimensional quasicrystal. We find that a large fraction of the magnetic moments are not quenched down to very low temperatures \( T \), leading to a power-law distribution of Kondo temperatures \( P(T_K) \sim T_K^{-\alpha} \), with a non-universal exponent \( \alpha \), in a remarkable similarity to the Kondo-disorder scenario found in disordered heavy-fermion metals. For \( \alpha \leq 1 \), the resulting singular \( P(T_K) \) induces non-Fermi-liquid behavior with diverging thermodynamic responses as \( T \to 0 \).

PACS numbers: 71.10.Hf, 71.23.Ft, 75.20.Hr

Introduction. Fermi-liquid (FL) theory forms the basis of our understanding of interacting fermions. It works in a broad range of systems, from weakly correlated metals [1] to strongly interacting heavy fermions [2]. Over the past decades, however, the properties of numerous metals have been experimentally found to deviate from FL predictions [3, 4], and much effort has been devoted to the understanding of such non-Fermi-liquid (NFL) behavior. One interesting avenue is provided by quantum critical points (QCPs): NFL physics may occur in the associated quantum critical regime which is reached upon tuning the system via a non-thermal control parameter such as pressure, doping, or magnetic field [5, 6].

Remarkably, recent experiments have provided compelling evidence of NFL behavior without fine tuning in the heavy-fermion \textit{quasicrystal} \( \text{Au}_{51}\text{Al}_{34}\text{Yb}_{15} \) [7, 8]. Furthermore, Ref. 7 also reports that no NFL behavior emerges when one considers a crystalline approximant instead of the quasicrystal, suggesting that this NFL regime is associated with the particular electronic states present in the quasicrystal but not in the approximant [9–14]. Conventional QCP approaches have been employed to explain the fascinating behavior in this alloy [15, 16], but they completely ignore the quasicrystalline environment of the conduction electrons.

In this work we intend to close this gap by presenting a detailed calculation of the fate of isolated localized magnetic moments when placed in a two-dimensional quasicrystal. Our results for dilute impurities show that a considerable fraction of impurity moments is not quenched down to very low temperatures, leading to a power-law distribution of Kondo temperatures, \( P(T_K) \propto T_K^{\alpha-1} \), with a non-universal exponent \( \alpha \). This results in NFL behavior in both \( \chi \) and \( C/T \) as \( T \to 0 \): \( \chi \sim C/T \sim T^{\alpha-1} \) [17], a scenario very reminiscent of the Kondo effect in disordered metals [18–23]. Moreover, we show that the strong energy dependence of the electronic density of states (DOS) characteristic of a quasicrystal leads to a situation such that small changes in the model parameters (band filling, Kondo coupling, ...) may drive the system in and out of the NFL region.

\textit{Quasicrystalline wavefunctions}. A quasicrystal exhibits a small set of local environments, which reappear again and again, albeit not in a periodic fashion. Their pattern is not random either, since the structure factor shows sharp Bragg peaks, although their symmetry is noncrystallographic [24]. The \( n \)-fold symmetries (with values of \( n = 5, 8, 10, \ldots \)) seen in the diffraction pattern of quasicrystals arise due to the fact that the local environments occur with \( n \) equiprobable orientations.

The structure factor of quasicrystals is densely filled in reciprocal space with diffraction spots [24] of widely differing intensities. The brighter peaks are expected to lead to strong scattering of conduction electrons, giving rise to spikes in the DOS [25]. The scattering due to the remaining peaks, while weaker, results in wavefunctions which show fluctuations at all length scales. The Fibonacci chain, a one-dimensional quasicrystal, provides an example of such wavefunctions [9], often referred to as \textit{critical} [9–13], in analogy with those found at the Anderson metal-insulator transition [26, 27].

\textit{Octagonal tiling}. Here we consider a two-dimensional quasicrystal, the octagonal tiling [28], Fig. 1(a). This tiling is composed of two types of decorated tiles: squares and 45° rhombuses, which combine to create six distinct local environments with coordination number \( z = 3, \ldots , 8 \), Fig. 1(b).

As a minimal model to describe the electronic properties of quasicrystals, we consider a nearest-neighbor tight-binding Hamiltonian on the octagonal tiling
Figure 1. Quasicrystal geometrical and electronic properties. (a) Square approximant for the perfect octagonal tiling with \( N_a = 239 \) sites. (b) The six local site environments with \( z = 3, \ldots, 8 \) nearest neighbors. (c) The total DOS as a function of the energy for the \( N_a = 8119 \) approximant averaged over \( N_o = 64 \) twist angles.

\[
H_c = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} \left( c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma} \right)
\]

in standard notation. In the following, energies are measured in units of \( t \). In our calculation, we consider periodic approximants of the octagonal tiling, obtained by the standard method of projecting down from a higher dimensional cubic lattice [28–30]. We consider approximants of sizes \( N_a = 7, 41, 239, 1393, \) and 8119. To reduce finite-size effects we use twisted boundary conditions, i.e., \( \psi(\vec{r} + L\vec{e} + L\vec{y}) = e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{r}}e^{i\phi_\sigma} \psi(\vec{r}) \) for a sample of linear size \( L \). Our final answer is obtained averaging over \( N_o \) twist angles [31].

In Fig. 1(c) we show the total DOS for the octagonal tiling \( \langle \rho_c(\omega) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} \rho_i^c(\omega)/N_a \), with the local DOS at site \( i \) given by \( \rho_i^c(\omega) = \delta(\omega - E_i^c) \), where \( E_i^c \) is an eigenstate of \( H_c \) in (1) with energy \( E_i^c \) and the envelope denotes the average over boundary conditions. \( \langle \rho_c(\omega) \rangle \) has a strong energy dependence with several spikes and a pronounced dip at \( \omega \approx \pm 2.0t \). The large peak at \( \omega = 0 \) is due to families of strictly localized states, a consequence of the local topology of the octagonal tiling [12, 32]. The spatial structure of \( \rho_i^c(\omega) \) is discussed in Ref. [33], where we show that it is well-described by a log-normal distribution.

Local moments and large-\( N \) solution. We now move to the main topic of this letter: the investigation of the single-impurity Kondo effect in a metallic quasicrystal. Specifically, we consider the \( U \to \infty \) Anderson impurity model

\[
H = H_c + E_f \sum_\sigma n_f \sigma + V \sum_\sigma \left( f_{\ell \sigma}^\dagger c_{\ell \sigma} + c_{\ell \sigma}^\dagger f_{\ell \sigma} \right).
\]

This model describes a band of non-interacting electrons (\( e \)-band) which hybridize with a localized \( f \)-orbital located at site \( \ell \). The operator \( f_{\ell \sigma}^\dagger (f_{\ell \sigma}) \) creates (destroys) an electron with spin \( \sigma \) at the impurity site \( \ell \) and the \( U \to \infty \) limit imposes the constraint \( n_f \sigma = f_{\ell \sigma}^\dagger f_{\ell \sigma} \leq 1 \). \( E_f \) is the \( f \)-level energy, measured with respect to the chemical potential \( \mu \), and the hybridization \( V \) couples the impurity site to the conduction band. To obtain quantitative results, we now turn to a large-\( N \) limit of Eq. (2) that allows us to access arbitrary values of the model parameters [34–36]. It introduces two variational parameters \( Z_\ell \) (quasiparticle weights) and \( \ell_{\text{eff}} \) (renormalized \( f \)-energy levels), which are site-dependent in the case of a quasicrystal. These parameters are determined by minimization of the saddle-point free energy (see [33] for further details)

\[
F_{\text{K}}^f = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\omega) \text{Im} \left[ \ln \left[ G_{\ell \sigma}^f(\omega) \right] \right] d\omega
+ (\ell_{\text{eff}} - E_f)(Z_\ell - 1),
\]

where \( f(\omega) \) is the Fermi-Dirac distribution function. The quasiparticle \( f \)-level Green’s function is given by \( G_{\ell \sigma}^f(\omega) = (\omega - \ell_{\text{eff}} - Z_\ell \Delta_{\text{eff}}(\omega))^{-1/2} \), with the \( f \)-electron hybridization function given by \( \Delta_{\text{eff}}(\omega) = V^2 G_{\ell \sigma}^f(\omega) \), where \( G_{\ell \sigma}^f(\omega) = \sum_\sigma |\psi_\sigma^\ell(\ell)|^2 / (\omega - E_\ell^f) \) is the \( c \)-electron Green’s function. We define \( T_K \) as the (half)-width of the resonance at the Fermi level \( T_K^f = Z_\ell \text{Im} |\Delta_{\text{eff}}(0)| \) [37] and introduce the Kondo coupling \( J = 2V^2 / |E_f| \). The \( f \)-level occupation is simply given by \( n_{f \sigma} = 1 - Z_\ell \).

Because each site in the quasicrystal “sees” a different environment, encoded in \( \Delta_{\text{eff}}(\omega) \), we numerically solve Eq. (3), at \( T = 0 \), individually placing Kondo impurities at all \( N_a \) sites of the approximant. Therefore, for every single impurity problem we obtain a different value of \( T_K \), which we use to construct the distribution the Kondo temperatures \( P(T_K) \).

Power-law distribution of Kondo temperatures. For Kondo impurities placed in a disordered metal [18–23] it is well established that the distribution of Kondo temperatures possesses a power-law tail at low \( T_K \): \( P(T_K) \propto T_K^{-\alpha-1} \), with a non-universal exponent \( \alpha \) [38]. For \( \alpha < 1 \), \( P(T_K) \) becomes singular, and NFL behavior emerges in the system [17, 33].

Surprisingly, we observe the same phenomenology for quasicrystals, with sample results shown in Fig. 2. Here we show the corresponding \( P(T_K) \) for the octagonal tiling at \( \mu = -2.2t \) as a function of \( T_K / T_{K}^{\text{typ}} \) (we defined the typical value of \( T_K \) as \( T_K^{\text{typ}} \equiv \exp[\langle \ln(T_K) \rangle] \)). For approximants with \( N_a \geq 239 \) a clear power-law tail emerges for \( T_K < T_K^{\text{typ}} \) with an exponent which depends on the Kondo coupling \( J \) [33]. The dependence of \( T_K^{\text{typ}} \) on \( J \) is shown in the inset of Fig. 2, where we see that we obtain the expected exponential relation [2].

Given the strong energy variations of \( \langle \rho_c(\omega) \rangle \), Fig. 1(c), it is then natural to ask whether the form \( P(T_K) \propto T_K^{-\alpha-1} \) is observed at different locations of the Fermi level \( \mu \). We checked that this is indeed the case: in Fig. 3 we show how the exponent \( \alpha \) varies with \( J \) for
several values of $\mu$ (to extract the value of $\alpha$ we followed Ref. [39]). The dashed straight lines correspond to the expected behavior at low $J$ (Kondo limit) where we have $\alpha \propto J$ [33, 38].

While the curves $\alpha$ vs. $J$ are all qualitatively the same, there are important features associated to the position of $\mu$, and thus the value of $\langle \rho_c (0) \rangle$. Specifically for $\mu = -2.0t$ we enter the NFL regime for relatively high values of the Kondo coupling, $J \simeq 2.35t$, and with an average $f$-level occupation $\langle n_f \rangle \simeq 0.89$ not so close to unity (for all the other values of $\mu$ considered $\langle n_f \rangle \simeq 1$). Moreover, for $J = 2.2t$ the thermodynamic properties diverge as a power-law with an exponent $1 - \alpha \simeq 0.4$, but if we then vary $\mu$ by 10% we get $\alpha \gg 1$ and the system displays FL behavior.

To understand how a power-law distribution of Kondo temperatures emerges in this problem, we closely follow the arguments of Ref. [38]. In the Kondo limit, $\langle n_f \rangle \rightarrow 1$ and $J \rightarrow 0$, it is easy to show that $T_K^\alpha = T_K^{\alpha_0} \exp [-\theta^\alpha_0/(\pi \Delta^\alpha_0 (0)^2 /J \langle \Delta^\alpha_0 (0) \rangle)]$ and $T_K^\alpha = D \exp [-\pi (\Delta^\alpha_0 (0))^2 /J]$ [33]. Here $D$ is an energy cutoff and $\Delta^\alpha_0 (\omega) \equiv 1 - 1/G^\alpha_{\text{cav}} (\omega)$ is the local c-electron cavity function [40] with a single (double) prime denoting its real (imaginary) part. For $\Delta^\alpha_0 (0)$ distributed according to a Gaussian (see the inset of Fig. 3), it then follows immediately that, up to logarithmic corrections, $P \propto T_K^{\alpha - 1}$, with $\alpha = J \langle \Delta^\alpha_0 (0) \rangle /2\pi \sigma^2_h$, where $\sigma_h$ is the variance of $P \Delta^\alpha_0 (0)$) [33]. Physically, $\Delta^\alpha_0 (0)$ can be interpreted as a renormalized on-site site energy for the c-electrons. The simple Gaussian form of $P(\Delta^\alpha_0 (0))$, as in the usual disordered problem [38], suggests an effective self-averaging, in the sense that for local quantities like $\Delta^\alpha_0 (0)$ there seems to be no important distinction between disorder and quasiperiodic order. Nevertheless, we know that this surprising result cannot hold for all observables, since, e.g., transport in quasicrystals is known to display “super-diffusive” behavior [11–13].

Finite-size effects and NFL behavior at finite-temperatures. To check the robustness of our scenario against finite-size effects, we performed simulations on approximants of different sizes $N_a$. For all approximants, we find a minimum Kondo temperature in the sample, $T_{K}^{\text{min}}$. Below $T_{K}^{\text{min}}$, FL behavior is then restored within our model (all local moments are screened). From Fig. 2, we learn that the power-law distribution of Kondo temperature $P(T_K) \propto T_K^{\alpha - 1}$ emerges for $T_K < T_{K}^{\text{typ}}$. Taken together, these two observations imply, in principle, that the NFL range is restricted to the interval $T_{K}^{\text{min}} < T < T_{K}^{\text{typ}}$. However, our calculations show that $T_{K}^{\text{min}}$ vanishes as $N_a$ increases while $T_{K}^{\text{typ}}$ remains finite. We thus conclude that the NFL range actually extends down to $T = 0$ in an infinite quasicrystal [33].

To access the finite-temperature behavior of the system and to observe the anticipated NFL behavior, we consider a simple interpolative formula for the local-moment susceptibility, $\chi(T, T_K) = 1/(T + T_K)$, which captures

![Figure 2. Distribution of the local Kondo temperatures $P(T_K)$ on a log-log scale for several values of the Kondo coupling $J$; note that the curve corresponding to $J = 0.77t$ was scaled down. $T_K$ on the horizontal axis has been normalized by $T_K^{\text{typ}}$; the unrenormalized distributions are shown in Ref. 33. For $T_K < T_K^{\text{typ}}$ the distributions acquire a power-law form $P(T_K) \propto T_K^\alpha$, with the exponent $\alpha$ continuously varying with $J$. For $\alpha < 1$ the distribution is singular. (Notice that for $T_K \geq T_K^{\text{typ}}$, $P(T_K)$ is also power-law like, with an exponent that does not depend on $J$. This is not the power-law regime we refer to in this work). Inset: $T_K^{\text{typ}}$ as a function of $1/J$ on a semi-log scale. Here we considered $N_a = 1393$, $\mu = -2.2t$, and $N_{\phi} = 576$.](image-url)

![Figure 3. Power-law exponent $\alpha$ as a function of the Kondo coupling $J$ for five different positions of Fermi level $\mu$. The dashed lines are linear fits deep into the Kondo regime where we expect $\alpha \propto J$ to hold (see text). The horizontal dashed line corresponds to $\alpha = 1$ and marks the entrance into the NFL region. At this point we have an average $f$-level occupation $(n_f) = 1 - \langle Z \rangle = 0.970, 0.995, 0.890, 0.995, 0.960$ for $\mu = -0.5t, -1.8t, -2.0t, -2.2t, \mu = -3.5t$, respectively. Here we considered $N_a = 1393$ and $N_{\phi} = 576$. Inset: Distribution of the real part of the local c-electron cavity function fluctuations at the Fermi level $\Delta_n^\alpha = \Delta_n^\alpha (0) - \langle \Delta_n^\alpha (0) \rangle$ for three different values of $\mu$ (the color scheme is the same as in the main panel). Here we considered $N_a = 8119$ and $N_{\phi} = 64$.](image-url)
The quasicrystal heavy fermion Au$_{51}$Al$_{34}$Yb$_{15}$ shows NFL behavior with $\chi \sim T^{-0.51}$, $C/T \sim -\log(T)$ [7] or $\chi \sim T^{-0.55}$, $C/T \sim -T^{-0.68}$ [8]. Our results, however, predict the same NFL exponent for both $\chi$ and $C/T$, and this difference hampers a definite identification of quantum Griffiths effects [46]. On the other hand, the (Griffiths) power-law divergences are exact only at asymptotically low temperatures, where the regular contribution to the thermodynamic responses may be completely disregarded, and in general the results depend not only on the full form of the $P(T)$ curve but also on the particular shape of the scaling functions for the physical observables [33, 41], which may account for differences in the exponent. One such example is the transient $-\log(T)$ divergence in $\langle \chi(T) \rangle$, which is present for all values of the exponent $\alpha$ in the region $T \sim T_K^{\text{typ}}$, Fig. 4.

Interestingly, it was also reported that the temperature dependence of $\chi$ and $C/T$ of the quasicrystal Au$_{51}$Al$_{34}$Yb$_{15}$ differs from that of its crystalline approximant. Ref. 7 observes no NFL behavior for the approximant, whereas Ref. 8 does observe NFL behavior but with different powers as compared to the quasicrystal. To briefly address this intriguing result, we first notice that the size of the approximant unit cell considered in [7, 8] is small and thus it is reasonable to assume that the experimental situation is similar to the one illustrated in the inset of Fig. 4, where the NFL behavior is bound to be observed only in a relatively narrow range $T_{K_{\min}} \lesssim T \lesssim T_K^{\text{typ}}$. Moreover, due to the strong energy dependence of $\langle \rho_c(\omega) \rangle$, Fig. 1(c), especially for $\mu$ close to a dip (which seems to be case for Au$_{51}$Al$_{34}$Yb$_{15}$ [47]), tiny variations in parameters, such as the band filling or Kondo coupling, may drive the system to/from a NFL behavior. Therefore, care should be taken when drawing any conclusions from this distinct behavior.

Conclusions. Motivated by the recently observed NFL behavior in the heavy fermion quasicrystal Au$_{51}$Al$_{34}$Yb$_{15}$, we investigated the single-impurity Kondo effect in the octagonal tiling, a two-dimensional quasicrystal. We find a power-law distribution of Kondo temperatures $P(T_K) \propto T_K^{-\nu}$ and corresponding NFL behavior, in a surprising similarity to disordered metals. Therefore, a quasicrystalline conduction band provides a natural route to the emergence of a robust NFL behavior without the tuning of external parameters as doping, pressure, or external field. For the Kondo quasicrystalline lattice problem, we expect, based on the analogy to disordered systems [17], a similar NFL behavior to be observed. In addition, it would be interesting to investigate the feedback effect of the local moments, in particular moments with $T_K < T$, on the transport properties of the quasicrystalline conduction electrons.
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I. ELECTRONIC WAVEFUNCTIONS IN THE OCTAGONAL TILING

Based on the results for one-dimensional quasicrystals,1 we expect the resulting wavefunctions in quasiperiodic tight-binding models to be different both from the exponentially localized wave functions found in Anderson insulators as well from Bloch states found in a crystal. Such wavefunctions are the so-called critical wavefunctions. In real space, this means very large fluctuations of the wavefunction amplitude from site to site but with similar amplitudes on sites of similar local environment (the amplitude distribution is thus determined by the deterministic scale invariant geometry).

To probe the real space profile of the wavefunctions, we compute the inverse participation ratio

\[ P^{-1}_\nu = \sum_i |\psi^c_{\nu}(i)|^4, \]

where \( \psi^c_{\nu} \) is an eigenstate of \( \mathcal{H}_\nu \) (defined in Eq. [1] of the main text) with energy \( E^c_\nu \). The scaling of \( P^{-1}_\nu \) with the system size is related to the spatial structure of the electronic states. If we write \( P^{-1}_\nu \propto N^{-\beta} \), then \( \beta = 1 \) for extended and \( \beta = 0 \) for exponentially localized states. In a quasicrystal, because of the critical nature of the wavefunctions, we expect that \( 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \). It is important to point out that the converse it is not true. For instance, \( \beta \approx 1 \) does not necessarily imply an extended state. To establish such result, we need to study the scaling of the higher moments of the wavefunction distribution because the exponents that describe the scaling of these moments with \( N \) are not just multiples of each other – a property of multifractality.2-4 As mentioned above, this resulting multifractal character of wavefunctions is a consequence of the invariance of quasicrystals under scale transformations, a feature called inflation-deflation symmetry.5

In Fig. S1 we calculate \( P^{-1}_\nu \) at different positions in the band (but away from the band center) and obtain \( \beta \approx 0.90 \), which is close but smaller than one and consistent with a preponderance of multifractal eigenstates. Remarkably, this is similar to the value of \( \beta \) reported for the Penrose tiling.6

Another useful quantity that probes the nature of the wavefunction is the local density of states (LDOS)

\[ \rho^c_\nu(\omega) = \sum_\nu |\psi^c_{\nu}(i)|^2 \delta(\omega - E^c_\nu), \]

where the overline denotes average over boundary conditions. The distribution of the logarithm of \( \rho^c_\nu(\omega) \) is presented in Fig. S2. As we can see, the curves are all qualitatively the same, indicating that the spatial fluctuations of \( \rho^c_\nu \) are, to a good extent, energy-independent and well described by a log-normal distribution (see the inset). Specifically, the width of the distribution does not vary much with the energy, except for \( \omega = -2.0t \) where there is a slightly larger tendency to have \( \rho^c_\nu(\omega) \) smaller than its typical value.

Generally, a log-normal distribution of LDOS is expected to occur in an Anderson insulator,7 but it is also known that a log-normal also nicely describes the distribution of LDOS of disordered metals.8 Therefore, a careful finite size scaling study is required to establish the precise nature of the wavefunction based on \( P(\rho) \).8 We leave this more detailed investigation for a future work.

To conclude the discussion on the octagonal tiling,
we briefly mention our implementation of averaging over twisted boundary conditions (TBC). This step was instrumental in obtaining reliable results since it provides a controlled way to eliminate finite-size effects associated with spectral discreteness (we will come back to the role of finite-size effects latter). The average over TBC is completely equivalent to a periodic repetition of the $N_a$-site approximant in both directions using $N_0$ copies, hence the effective total linear system size is increased to $\sqrt{N_aN_0}$.

II. SLAVE BOSONS MEAN-FIELD EQUATIONS AND THE KONDO LIMIT

Minimizing the slave boson (SB) mean-field free energy, Eq. (2) in the main text, with respect to $\tilde{\varepsilon}_f$ and $Z_f$ we obtain the corresponding self-consistency equations

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\omega) \text{Im} \left[ \tilde{G}_f^t(\omega) \right] d\omega + Z_f - 1 = 0, \quad (S3)$$

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\omega) \text{Im} \left[ \tilde{G}_f^t(\omega) \Delta_{ff}(\omega) \right] d\omega + \tilde{\varepsilon}_{ff} - E_f = 0. \quad (S4)$$

In general, we solve these mean-field equations numerically at $T = 0$. They are algebraic non-linear equations on the parameters $Z_f$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{ff}$, which we solve using a globally convergent implementation of the Newton-Raphson algorithm. The integral over frequencies is performed using the Romberg method.

If we now move to the Kondo limit, where both $Z_f$, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{ff}$ $\rightarrow$ 0, we are able to solve Eqs. (S3) and (S4) analytically. In this limit, we ignore the frequency dependence of the hybridization function, $\Delta_{ff}(\omega) \simeq \Delta_{ff}(0) + i\Delta''_{ff}(0)$, and assume that the integrals are dominated by their values at the Fermi level. From Eq. (S3) we obtain

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_f + Z_f \Delta''_{ff}(0) \simeq 0. \quad (S5)$$

which reflects the well-known fact that in the Kondo limit the position of the Kondo peak, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_f + Z_f \Delta'_{ff}(0)$, moves to the Fermi level.

From Eq. (S4) we can now calculate the Kondo temperature (recall our definition $T_{K}^{f} = Z_f \Delta''_{ff}(0)$)

$$T_{K}^{f} = D \exp \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\Delta_{ff}(0) + |E_f|}{\Delta''_{ff}(0)} \right], \quad (S6)$$

where $D$ is an high-energy cutoff of the integral of the order of the bandwidth. We recover the usual Kondo expression, $T_{K}^{f} = D \exp [-1/J(\rho_f(0))]$, in the case of particle-hole symmetry, $\Delta_{ff}(0) = 0$, with $\Delta''_{ff}(0) = \pi V^2 \rho_f(0)$ and $J = 2V^2/|E_f|$.

III. ASYMPTOTIC EXPRESSION FOR $P(T_K)$

Each site of the tiling has a different local c-electron cavity function $\Delta_{cf}(\omega)$, reflecting the fact that the effective potential that one electron sees as it goes through the lattice changes from site to site. If we go one step further, we may consider its real part at the Fermi level, $\Delta_{cf}(0)$, as a renormalized on-site site energy for the c-electrons. According to the arguments presented in Ref. 12 for the case of weakly disordered Kondo systems, a power-law distribution for the Kondo temperature can be easily obtained provided that the fluctuations of $\Delta_{cf}(0)$, $\delta \Delta_{cf}(0) = \Delta_{cf}(0) - \langle \Delta_{cf}(0) \rangle$, follow a Gaussian distribution (see the inset of Fig. 3 of the main text). In disordered systems, the fluctuations of the local c-electron cavity function at a given site $i$ result from Friedel oscillations of the electronic wave functions induced by other impurities which may lie at a relatively long distance from $i$. Furthermore, at weak disorder, $\delta \Delta_{cf}$ takes the form of a linear superposition of contributions from single impurity scatterers, and thus of a sum of independent random numbers, for which we expect the central limit theorem to hold. From our numerical results, we then reason that a similar mechanism takes place in quasicrystals. This somewhat surprising resemblance between a quasicrystal and weakly disordered systems, rather than systems at the metal-insulator transition, is also present in different physical quantities, e.g. the level-spacing distribution. It indicates that a quasicrystal in higher dimensions may show a more conventional behavior in local quantities despite its multifractal eigenstates.

It is now a straightforward exercise to obtain the asymptotic expression $P(T_K) \sim T_K^{-1}$ following Ref. 12. We start by relating the $f-$level hybridization function $\Delta_{ff}$ with the local c-electron cavity function $\Delta_{cf}$ at the
impurity site $\ell$

$$\Delta'_\ell(\omega) = \frac{V^2 (\omega - \Delta'_{\ell}(\omega))}{(\omega - \Delta'_{\ell}(\omega))^2 + (\Delta''_{\ell}(\omega))^2}, \quad (S7)$$

$$\Delta''_{\ell}(\omega) = \frac{V^2 \Delta''_{\ell}(\omega)}{(\omega - \Delta'_{\ell}(\omega))^2 + (\Delta''_{\ell}(\omega))^2}, \quad (S8)$$

where, as usual, single (double) primes denote the real (imaginary) part. The next step is to take the Kondo limit making use of Eq. (S6). As the last assumption, we disregard fluctuation in the imaginary part of $\Delta_{\ell}(0)$, so we replace $\Delta''_{\ell}(0)$ by its average value $\langle \Delta''_{\ell}(0) \rangle$. Using Eqs. (S7) and (S8) we then obtain

$$T_K^0 = T_K^0 \exp \left[ -\pi \left( \frac{\langle \Delta'_{\ell}(0) \rangle}{J} \right)^2 \right], \quad (S9)$$

where $T_K^0 = D \exp [ -\pi \langle \Delta''_{\ell}(0) \rangle / J ]$. Inverting Eq. (S9) we may write

$$\delta \Delta'_\ell \simeq \ln^{1/2} \left[ \frac{T_K^0}{T_K} \right]^{1/\lambda}, \quad (S10)$$

with $\lambda = J \langle \Delta''_{\ell}(0) \rangle / \pi$ and we also considered the fact that for $T_K^0 \ll T_K$ we may drop the term $\langle \Delta'_{\ell}(0) \rangle$.

Since we assume that $P(\delta \Delta'_{\ell})$ is a simple Gaussian with variance $\sigma_c$, a direct change of variables gives, up to a negligible logarithmic correction,

$$P(T_K) \propto T_K^{-1}, \quad (S11)$$

with

$$\alpha = \frac{J \langle \Delta''_{\ell}(0) \rangle}{2\pi\sigma_c^2} \sim J \langle \rho_c(0) \rangle. \quad (S12)$$

So we see that $\alpha$ varies linearly with $J$ with a slope proportional to $\langle \rho_c(0) \rangle$.

To check the plausibility of our assumptions, we produced a scatter plot of the numerically calculated $T_K^0$ versus the exponent of the Kondo limit formulas for $T_K^0$ in Eqs. (S6) and (S9), Fig. S3. There, we see that all the points (one for each site in the quasicrystal approximant) follow a straight line, specially as $T_K^0$ decreases, clearly indicating a strong correlation between the full numerics and the asymptotic expressions for the $T_K^0$. Additional scatter around this straight line simply reflects departures from Eqs. (S6) and (S9), i.e. a situation where the value $T_K^0$ depends not only on $\Delta_{\ell}$ at the Fermi level, but on the entire spectral function. Moreover, as $T_K^0$ decreases the curves obtained from Eqs. (S6) and (S9) become more and more similar showing that the fluctuations in $\Delta_{\ell}(0)$ are indeed the dominant ones.

The power-law distribution of Kondo temperatures describes only the low-$T_K^0$ tail of the full distribution $P(T_K)$ and we then expect that our asymptotic expressions in Eqs. (S11) and (S12) to work better and better as $\alpha$ (or $J$) diminishes. To check this, we compare the exponent $\alpha$ from our numerical data with: (i) the exponent extracted from a distribution of $T_K$ generated according to Eq. (S6); and (ii) the asymptotic expression for $\alpha$ in Eq. (S12). In the inset of Fig. S3 we show that all three values of $\alpha$ nicely match for $J \lesssim 1t$.

IV. SINGULAR KONDO TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION AND NFL BEHAVIOR

As we discussed in the main text, the region in which $\alpha < 1$ corresponds to NFL behavior at low-$T$. To establish this link, we combine our $T = 0$ solution of the mean field equations (S3) and (S4) with the well-known scaling relations for the Kondo impurity problem. Essentially, we use the fact that the Kondo problem has a single energy scale, the Kondo temperature $T_K$, and that the observables can be written as universal functions of $T/T_K$.

For instance, for the local moment susceptibility we have

$$\chi(T, T_K) \propto \frac{1}{T_K^0} f \left( \frac{T}{T_K} \right), \quad (S13)$$

with the asymptotic forms of $f(x)$ given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 
  a - bx^2 & x \ll 1 \\
  (c/x)(1 - 1/\ln x) & x \gg 1
\end{cases}, \quad (S14)$$
where $a$, $b$, and $c$ are universal numbers. The average value of the susceptibility is then given by

$$\langle \chi(T) \rangle = \int dT_k P(T_k) \chi(T, T_k) = \chi_0 + \int_0^{T_K^{\text{max}}} dT_k T_K^{-\alpha} \frac{1}{T_k} f\left(\frac{T}{T_K}\right).$$

(S15)

Here, $T_K^{\text{max}} \sim T_K^{\text{typ}}$ is a cutoff below which the power-law for of $P(T_k)$ holds and we see that $\langle \chi(T) \rangle$ contains a regular part $\chi_0$ and a potentially singular contribution $\chi_s \propto T^{\alpha-1}$. For $\alpha < 1$ and at low-$T$, we may then disregard $\chi_s$ to obtain the anticipated NFL power-law divergence $\langle \chi(T) \rangle \propto T^{\alpha-1}$. The impurity specific heat divided by the temperature has a similar behavior and, accordingly, we get $\langle C/T \rangle \propto T^{\alpha-1}$.

Given that the SB mean-field approach can be applied at finite-$T$ (albeit resulting in an unphysical finite-temperature transition) it is then natural to ask ourselves whether it is legitimate to calculate $P(T_k)$ at $T = 0$, and follow the procedure described above, rather than solving the SB equations at finite-$T$ to explicitly calculate $\chi(T)$ and $\gamma(T)$. From our experience, the general conclusion is that the leading low-$T$ power-law behavior of $\chi$ or $C/T$ is not affected by these additional effects. Higher-$T$ behavior will of course be affected but as long as we are interested in leading low-$T$ asymptotics (the value of the power), the current procedure is well-defined, simply because the distributions $P(T_K)$ are very broad. Similar

Figure S4. Distribution of the local Kondo temperatures $P(T_k)$ as a function of $T_k$ on a log-log scale for several values of the Kondo coupling $J$. $J$ increases from the top to the bottom curve. We see that for $T_k \lesssim T_K^{\text{typ}}$ this distribution acquires a power-law form $P(T_k) \sim T_K^{-\alpha}$. The power-law exponent $\alpha$ continuously varies with the coupling $J$ and for $\alpha < 1$ we have a singular distribution (notice that for $T_k \gtrsim T_K^{\text{typ}}$, $P(T_k)$ is also power-law like, with a power that does not depend on $J$. This is not the power-law regime we refer to in this work). Here we considered $N_a = 1393$, $\mu = -2.0t$, and $N_\phi = 576$.

Questions have been raised in the more general context of Quantum Griffiths Phases and the Infinite-Randomness Fixed Point Behavior. There again one arrives at a similar conclusion: the $T = 0$ distribution of energy dominates even finite-$T$ behavior.

V. NUMERICAL CALCULATION OF THE POWER-LAW EXPONENT $\alpha$

Here we address how we calculate the power-law exponent $\alpha$ governing the low-$T_K$ part of the distribution of Kondo temperatures. The straightforward way is to plot $P(T_k)$ on a log-log scale and then extract $\alpha - 1$ as the slope of the resulting straight line. While well defined, this procedure extracts $\alpha$ not from the data itself, but from a given histogram. We complement the latter procedure calculating $\alpha$ directly from the data as explained in Ref. 19.

Given a data set containing $n$ observations $T_k \leq T_K^{\text{max}}$, where $T_K^{\text{max}}$ is the largest value of the energy scale for which the power law distribution holds, the value of $\alpha$ that is most likely to have generated our data is given by

$$\alpha = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left[ T_K^{\text{max}} / T_k \right]}.$$

(S16)

with an error

$$\sigma_\alpha = \alpha / \sqrt{n}.$$

(S17)

In practice, however, the greatest source of error comes from not choosing an optimal value for $T_K^{\text{max}}$, which we dub $T_K^{\text{max}*}$. We then implement two procedures to estimate $T_K^{\text{max}*}$. In the first one, we plot $\alpha \times T_K^{\text{max}}$ and define $T_K^{\text{max}*}$ as the point around which $\alpha$ is stable as
we vary $T^\text{max}$. The second procedure follows the spirit of a chi-square test. The idea is to investigate how well our data is fitted by a power-law distribution. Since we are now dealing with distributions, we implement the so-called Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test.\textsuperscript{11} The KS statistics $D_{KS}$ is defined as the maximum value of the absolute difference between the two cumulative distribution functions. We then attempt to minimize $D_{KS}$ as a function of $T^\text{max}$.

In Fig. S5 we illustrate the discussion above. In the main panel we show $P(T_K)$ on a log-log plot accompanied by a power-law fit to its low-$T_K$ tail. In the fit displayed here, we considered $T^\text{max} = 10^{-4}t$ and obtained $\alpha = 0.24$. In the inset we then show our two proposed tests to estimate $T^\text{max}$. We see that the the $D_{KS}$ statistics has a minimum around $T^\text{max} = 10^{-3}t$ and that in this region $\alpha$ is essentially flat as a function of $T^\text{max}$, with a value of $\alpha = 0.22 \pm 0.03$.

### VI. SIZE DEPENDENCE OF $P(T_K)$

In this work, we consider different values of $N_a$ in order to establish what happens for a true quasicrystal ($N_a \to \infty$). As we mention in the main text, for all approximants sizes $N_a$ we find a minimum Kondo temperature in the sample, $T^\text{min}_K$. For the smaller approximants, the six local environments of the octagonal tiling (Fig. 1(b) of the main text) appear in a modest number of different arrangements. In other words, their extended environment, including next-nearest and further neighbors is limited. This leads not only to an appreciable $T^\text{min}_K$ but also to few distinct values of $T_K$. As we increase the approximant size, these local environments appear in further unique configurations leading to more and more values of $T_K$ in the sample. Therefore, we expect the statistics of $T_K$ to improve with $N_a$, which can be clearly seen in Fig. S6, where, for instance, the peak around $T^\text{typ} \sim 10^{-3}t$ (which hardly varies with $N_a$) becomes ever more well defined as the system size increases. The most important, however, is the ubiquitous presence of the power-law tail at low-$T_K$ in Fig. S6 for all three approximant sizes with the same exponent (within error bars). Moreover, it is also clear from Fig. S6 that $T^\text{min}_K$ is suppressed with increasing $N_a$. Indeed, in the inset of Fig. S6 we find a power-law dependence of $T^\text{min}_K$ on $N_a$: $T^\text{min}_K \propto N_a^{-0.69}$. Such power-law finite size scaling (with a nontrivial power) is precisely what one expects in a critical state (in a conventional metal, for instance, one would expect power-law finite-size scaling—as it is gapless—but with integer powers).

Within our model, FL behavior is restored below $T^\text{min}_K$ as all local moments are then screened. Since the power-law distribution of Kondo temperature $P(T_K) \propto N_a^{-1}$ emerges for $T_K < T^\text{typ}$, we could expect, in principle, the NFL range to be constrained to the interval $T^\text{min}_K < T < T^\text{typ}$. However, as Fig. S6 shows, $T^\text{min}_K$ vanishes as $N_a$ increases while $T^\text{typ}$ remains finite. We thus conclude that the NFL range actually extends down to $T = 0$ in a real quasicrystal. Therefore, our results suggest that it is not their local structure, but the lack of long-distance periodicity which induces robust NFL behavior in quasicrystals.
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