Molecular Dynamics of Open Systems: Construction of a Mean-Field Particle Reservoir
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The simulation of open molecular systems requires explicit or implicit reservoirs of energy and particles. Whereas full atomistic resolution is desired in the region of interest, there is some freedom in the implementation of the reservoirs. Here, a combined, explicit reservoir is constructed by interfacing the atomistic region with regions of point-like, non-interacting particles (tracers) embedded in a thermodynamic mean field. The tracer molecules acquire atomistic resolution upon entering the atomistic region and equilibrate with this environment, while atomistic molecules become tracers governed by an effective mean-field potential after crossing the atomistic boundary. The approach is extensively tested on thermodynamic, structural, and dynamic properties of liquid water. Conceptual and numerical advantages of the procedure as well as new perspectives are highlighted and discussed.

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have proven as a powerful means of investigation of molecular systems for half a century now. The steady increase of computing resources has brought larger system sizes and longer time scales into the scope of direct simulations, which may soon be run in parallel to experiments. Diverse application fields know about situations where the region of interest exchanges particles with an environment, for example, droplet evaporation, condensation where the region of interest exchanges particles with an environment, for example, droplet evaporation, condensation, or hybrid techniques where the insertion and removal of particles in the MD simulations are performed with a Monte Carlo approach. Similar recent efforts in the direction of grand canonical MD and the construction of a particle reservoir can be found in refs. [25–27]. Here, we present a solution in which, different from the abovementioned schemes, molecules are inserted dynamically by converting point particles from a reservoir into molecules, building on ideas of the Adaptive Resolution Simulation (AdResS) technique. The approach may also be seen as a computational magnifying glass that allows one to model and observe at high resolution only the region of acute interest, thereby focusing computational resources on the essential degrees of freedom. We evaluate the concept’s usefulness exemplarily for the simulation of liquid water, based on a number of thermodynamic, structural, and dynamic properties. So far, the reservoir of point particles primarily fulfills the role of a thermodynamic bath that ensures physical consistency in the high-resolution region. As a perspective, the reservoir particles may then act as tracers coupled to hydrodynamic fields in a continuum model, which would enable hybrid multi-scale simulations. The Adaptive Resolution Simulation (AdResS) technique has been developed in the past years to fulfill the role of such a magnifying glass in molecular dynamics. In AdResS, the space is divided into two large regions: a high resolution (atomistic) and a low resolution (coarse-grained) region. The interface of these regions is characterized by a transition region where interactions, via a space-dependent switching function, smoothly change from atomistic to coarse-grained and vice versa. The method has been applied with success to several challenging systems, including solvation of large molecules and ionic liquids to cite a few. Recent developments of the method have shown that changing resolution through a space-dependent function slows computational performance and is overall not convenient for the implementation of the algorithm or its transferability from one code to another. For
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this reason, the design of a simpler interface was proposed that is based on an abrupt change of resolution without a switching function and that was extensively tested and shown to be accurate and efficient.\cite{1900014} In parallel, Kremer and coworkers, within the framework of AdResS utilizing a smooth switching function, proposed to remove the two-body coarse-grained interactions in the coarse-grained region, reducing it to a gas of non-interacting particles.\cite{1900014} In the current work, we merge both ideas, creating a system with an abrupt interface between a region of atomistic resolution and a thermodynamic reservoir of non-interacting particles.
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The original version of AdResS, illustrated in Figure 1a, defines the coupling between different regions of resolution through a smooth interpolation of the force between pairs of molecules ($\alpha$, $\beta$):

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = w(X_{\alpha})w(X_{\beta})F_{\alpha\beta}^{AT} + [1 - w(X_{\alpha})w(X_{\beta})]F_{\alpha\beta}^{CG}$$

(1)

Here, $F_{\alpha\beta}^{AT}$ is the total force exerted by the atoms of molecule $\beta$ on molecule $\alpha$ due to atomistic interactions; $F_{\alpha\beta}^{CG} = -\nabla[U^{\text{CG}}(R_{\alpha} - R_{\beta})]$ is the coarse-grained force between the centers of mass of both molecules, $R_{\alpha}$ and $R_{\beta}$; and the switching function $w(X)$ interpolates smoothly between 1 and 0 in the transition region $\Delta$ with $X = n \cdot R$ denoting the projection of the position $R$ on the interface normal $n$. The global thermodynamic equilibrium across the entire simulation box is assured by the thermostat and by the thermodynamic force $F_{\alpha}(x)$. The latter is a position-dependent force, $F_{\alpha}(x) = (M/\rho_0)\nabla p(x)$, that counteracts the pressure gradient between regions of different resolution,\cite{42} which would result from entropy differences due to the mismatch in the number of degrees of freedom. It is calculated iteratively during the equilibration run from the gradient of the mass density $\nabla \rho^{\text{CG}}(x)$ in the $\Delta$ region at the $k$-th iteration step:

$$F_{\alpha}^{k+1}(x) = F_{\alpha}^{k}(x) - c(M/\rho_0 N_T)\nabla \rho^{\text{CG}}(x),$$

where $M$ is the mass of a molecule, $N_T$ the isothermal compressibility, $c$ a constant to control the convergence rate, and $\rho_0$ the equilibrium density.\cite{28,46,48} The constant $c$ is tuned by starting from a small value (about 0.0015, i.e., slow convergence) and after a certain amount of iterations, stepwise increased. When variations become larger than the convergence rate of the previous step then it is stepwise reduced until final convergence is reached with the criterion: $\max_{x}[\Delta w(x) - \Delta w_{\text{ref}}] \leq 0.02$. After $F_{\alpha}(x)$ has been determined, it remains fixed during the production runs.

In the latest variant of the method, proposed in ref.\cite{1900014}, the simulation box is divided in only two regions of different resolution, atomistic (AT $\cup$ $\Delta$) and coarse-grained (CG), with the transition region $\Delta$ using the unchanged atomistic interactions throughout. The coupling is achieved in a direct way (Figure 1b) by the pair potential $U_\Delta = \sum_{x \in \Delta} \sum_{y \in \text{CG}} U^{\text{CG}}(R_{\alpha} - R_{\beta})$ acting only between pairs of particles at different sides of the $\Delta$/CG interface. The minimum size of the $\Delta$ region is fixed by the cutoff of the intermolecular (non-bonded) interaction. This implies that molecules of the AT region do not have any direct interaction with the molecules of the CG region and as a consequence the corresponding dynamics is determined only by atomistic interactions. The same set up can be used for large molecules, for example, long polymers, in which case only the non-bonded interactions are subject to the adaptive resolution process according to the
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**Figure 1.** a) Set-up of the original version of AdResS: in AT, molecules have atomistic resolution, in $\Delta$, molecules have hybrid atomistic/coarse-grained resolution, according to the switching function $w(x)$, and in CG molecules have coarse-grained resolution. b) Set-up of the latest version of AdResS: in the $\Delta$ region a switching function is no more required. Atomic and coarse-grained resolution molecules are directly interfaced and the $\Delta$ region is large enough to allow molecules to be in equilibrium with the environment before entering into the AT region. Atomic molecules interact with coarse-grained molecules and vice versa only within a cut-off length equal to the width of the $\Delta$ region along the $x$-direction via a coarse-grained potential acting on the center of mass of each molecule. c) The AdResS set-up of this work. The atomistic region is directly interfaced with a reservoir of non-interacting point-like particles brought into equilibrium through the action of the $\Delta$ region. Differently from the standard AdResS, where the thermodynamic force is calculated only in $\Delta$, in this case the thermodynamic force is calculated over the whole $\Delta$ $\cup$ TR region. We must not that, for simplicity, we depict half of our system in the figure. In reality, the AT region is sandwiched between $\Delta$ and TR regions on both sides in the $x$-direction. As a consequence only the tracers experience the periodic boundary condition along the $x$-direction, that is, the direction along which molecules change resolution.
position of each polymer segment in the box, while intramolecular interactions remain atomistic in nature regardless of the segment’s position, see, for example, refs. [51,52]. Further, the thermodynamic force and the thermostat act only in the Δ and CG regions, that is, the dynamics in the AT region follows the untweaked atomistic Hamiltonian. In Δ, the thermodynamic force is applied together with a capping force to ensure that the sudden change in a molecule’s resolution does not generate unphysically large forces before a local equilibration is established (i.e., avoiding that a CG molecule crosses the CG/AT interface, instantaneously gains atomistic features, and is found in an undue overlap configuration with surrounding atomistic molecules). The evaluation of observables is restricted to the AT region, that is, molecules in the Δ region are excluded as this region is contaminated by artifacts of the coupling. This simplified approach was tested with success in the case of both liquid water and ionic liquids.[25] The natural question that arises is whether the basic characteristics of molecular adaptivity, used in ref. [32], can be simply truncated each Cartesian component of the free energy function (energetic part), \( F_{\text{th}}(x) \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\) nm\(^{-1}\)) along the \( x \)-axis in the region of major interest. By construction, \( F_{\text{th}}(x) = 0 \) in the AT region. Symbols are actual data, and the line shows a smooth interpolation. d) Thermodynamic potential \( \phi(x) \) corresponding to the force data of (b).

Figure 2. a,c) Mean profiles of the particle density across the entire box; (c) shows a zoomed view of the central region. The gray band indicates the accuracy goal of 1% deviation from the target value. b) Thermodynamic force \( F_{\text{th}}(x) \) along the \( x \)-axis in the region of major interest. By construction, \( F_{\text{th}}(x) = 0 \) in the AT region. Symbols are actual data, and the line shows a smooth interpolation. d) Thermodynamic potential \( \phi(x) \) corresponding to the force data of (b).
structural properties display the same accuracy of the original tube-like setup. Further technical details can be found at the end.

In the following, we evaluate the new coupling scheme based on the mean density profile and various pair and auto-correlation functions. The mean density profile \( \rho(x) \) obtained from AdResS production runs (Figure 2a) is flat across the entire simulation box and reproduces the equilibrium value of the atomistic system of interest. We conclude that the action of the thermodynamic force is concentrated in the transition region (Delta 1), whereas the production runs show a flat profile across the entire simulation box (Figure 2b). The latter exhibits the mean density profile \( \rho(x) \) of the particle number in the AT region (red) compared with results from the equivalent subdomain of the fully atomistic reference simulation (black). From the slight discrepancy in the peak values, the blue line indicates the fit to a Gaussian distribution. The data points are smooth interpolations between the data points. Further technical details can be found at the end.

In Figure 3, we present a comparison of thermodynamic, structural, and dynamic properties of the AT region of the present AdResS approach (red data points) with a fully atomistic simulation (black). a) Mean profile of the orientation of the water dipole in the AT and \( \Delta \) regions; the angle \( \theta \) is measured relative to the \( x \)-axis. The thermodynamic force is concentrated in the transition region (Delta 1). The relative deviation is 2%. These structural properties display the same accuracy of the original tube-like setup. Further technical details can be found at the end.

In the following, we evaluate the new coupling scheme based on the mean density profile and various pair and auto-correlation functions. The mean density profile \( \rho(x) \) obtained from AdResS production runs (Figure 2a) is flat across the entire simulation box and reproduces the equilibrium value \( \rho_0 \) of the atomistic reference simulation within 1% (Figure 2c), except very close to the \( \Delta \) interface, where the relative deviation is 2%. These accuracy goals were chosen by us in the equilibration run as a convergence criterion for the determination of the thermodynamic force.

The thermodynamic force \( F_{th}(x) \), used throughout in the production run, is plotted in Figure 2b with the corresponding thermodynamic potential \( \phi(x) \) shown in Figure 2d. The latter exhibits a barrier next to the AT region and, towards the TR region, a larger trap of depth 1.1 \( k_B T \). In addition, we obtained some fine structure in \( \phi(x) \), which is needed to achieve the small roughness of the density profile \( \rho(x) \). In the AT region, \( F_{th}(x) = 0 \) and \( \phi(x) = 0 \) by construction, and \( F_{th}(x) \) vanishes rapidly in the TR region, within less than the cutoff length \( r_c \). Hence, the potential \( \phi(x) \) rapidly approaches a constant \( \phi_{TR} \) as the distance to the \( \Delta \) region increases. Tracer particles that enter the atomistic region gain an energy difference of about \( \Delta \phi \) between the AT and TR regions, but this is outside of the atomistic system of interest. We conclude that the action of the thermodynamic force is concentrated in the transition region \( \Delta \),
while the thermostat in the TR and Δ regions is sufficient to keep the rest of the large tracer region in equilibrium.

We checked further that the passage of molecules through the transition region is not hindered and that there is a proper exchange between the AT and TR regions. Qualitatively, this is confirmed by coloring all molecules according to their initial region and monitoring their diffusion as time progresses, see figures S1 and S2, Supporting Information. As a more stringent test, we analyzed the fluctuations of the particle number in the AT region and compared their probability distribution \( p(N) \) with that of the equivalent subregion in a fully atomistic reference simulation, see Figure 3b. The peak values, \( N_{\text{AT}} \approx 1.400 \), differ slightly by about 1.5% due to marginal differences in the density (Figure 2c). Yet, after correcting for this, both distributions neatly collapse and approximately resemble a Gaussian distribution (Figure 3c).

Detailed structural properties in the atomistic region have been verified in terms of the radial distribution functions \( g_{\text{OO}}(r) \), \( g_{\text{OH}}(r) \), and \( g_{\text{HH}}(r) \) of oxygen and hydrogen atoms. The results from the adaptive simulation are virtually indistinguishable from the fully atomistic reference, see Figure 3d–f; in particular, all maxima and minima of the curves are very well reproduced. Eventually, we have tested the dynamics in the AT region by computing the molecular dipole–dipole autocorrelation functions, \( C_{\mu\mu}(t) \), and the velocity–velocity autocorrelation function, \( C_{\mu v}(t) \), as well as the hydrogen bond correlation function \( C_{\text{Hb}}(t) \) (Figure 3g–i) for the specific definitions of the aforementioned correlation functions see ref. [49]. The agreement with the results from the fully atomistic simulation is rather satisfactory.

Our results show that we have constructed a hybrid simulation scheme that couples a small open system of atomistic resolution with a thermodynamic bath of point particles (tracers) such that both subsystems are in thermodynamic equilibrium. The reservoir is characterized by its temperature and mass density, which can be viewed as a thermodynamic mean field the tracers are embedded into while displaying their canonical fluctuations. In the atomistic region, we have shown on the example of liquid water that the scheme reproduces thermodynamic, structural, and dynamic properties with high fidelity. Higher accuracy can be achieved with finer parametrizations of the thermodynamic force and a tighter convergence criterion for the resulting density profile. In practice, the huge TR region used here on purpose can be replaced by a much smaller volume as there are no spatial correlations between the tracers.

The new method provides a stark simplification over previous AdResS setups: i) it avoids the smooth force interpolation in the transition region, ii) there is no need for double resolution of molecules due to the absence of a coarse-grained potential, iii) it uses a computationally trivial reservoir. Thus, our approach opens a perspective towards efficient non-equilibrium simulations, which would benefit from the easy insertion of reservoir particles. Further, the simple structure of the coupling suggests extensions that satisfy additional constraints, such as momentum conservation, with the ultimate goal of coupling the atomistic region to fluid-mechanical continuum fields.

The full atomistic system of reference consists of 27 648 SPC/E water molecules in a cuboid box of dimensions 60.00 nm \( \times \) (3.72 nm)\(^3\) at temperature \( T = 300 \text{ K} \), mass density \( \rho_0 = 10^3 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \), and pressure 1 bar. Simulations were performed with GROMACS\(^{[54]} \) 5.1.0 in the NVE ensemble with a time step of 2 fs. The van der Waals and electrostatic interactions were treated with the “switch” method and the reaction-field method, respectively,\(^{[49]} \) and the cutoff radius for each was set to \( r_c = 1.2 \text{ nm} \) as in our previous study\(^{[52]} \). After equilibration, observables were recorded from one production run over 10 ns.

The corresponding AdResS simulations were run with a modified and extended GROMACS code, with the following essential differences to the reference setup: the elongated box is divided along the long \( x \)-axis in one AT region (\( L_{\text{AT}} = 3.0 \text{ nm} \)), two Δ regions with \( L_{\Delta} = 1 \text{ nm} \), and two large TR regions connected through periodic boundaries (\( L_{\text{TR}} = 55 \text{ nm} \) in total). Thus, on average only 2,300 water molecules are treated atomistically (\( \text{AT} \cup \Delta \) region). For tracers entering the Δ region, atomistic forces are capped at a threshold of \( 2,000 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ nm}^{-1} \). The stochastic dynamics integrator (Langevin thermostat) was applied in the \( \Delta \cup \text{TR} \) region with a relaxation time constant of 0.05 ps and a time step of 2 fs, while in the AT region the thermostat part was switched off. Here we have employed the simplest option of a single thermostat acting on \( \Delta \cup \text{TR} \), however the option of thermostating the two regions separately may be technically more efficient (see, e.g., ref. [55]) and it will be explored in future work. The thermodynamic force \( F_{\mu}(x) \) points along the \( x \)-axis by symmetry and calculated from cubic splines parametrized on a uniform grid of spacing 0.16 nm. It is obtained iteratively during the equilibration procedure, which is interrupted every 1 ns to readjust \( F_{\mu}(x) \); after 30 iterations, the desired flatness of the density profile \( \rho(x) \) of 1% was achieved. The AdResS production run was then performed with the converged thermodynamic force for a duration of 10 ns.
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