Lessons learned and questions raised during and post-COVID-19 anthropopause period in relation to the environment and climate
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Abstract

In the first part, this work reports that during the global “anthropopause” period, that was imposed in March and April 2020 for limiting the spread of COVID-19, the concentrations of basic air pollutants over Europe were reduced by up to 70%. During May and June, the gradual lift of the stringent measures resulted in the recovery of these reductions with pollution concentrations approaching the levels before the lockdown by the end of June 2020. In the second part, this work examines the alleged correlations between the reported cases of COVID-19 and temperature, humidity and particulate matter for March and April 2020 in Europe. It was found that decreasing temperatures and relative humidity with increasing concentrations of particulate matter are correlated with an increase in the number of reported cases during these 2 months. However, when these calculations were repeated for May and June, we found a remarkable drop in the significance of the correlations which leads us to question the generally accepted inverse relation between pandemics and air temperature at least during the warmer months. Such a relationship could not be supported in our study for SARS-CoV-2 virus and the question remains open. In the third and last part of this work, we examine the question referring to the origin of pandemics. In this context we have examined the hypothesis that the observed climate warming in Siberia and the Arctic and the thawing of permafrost could result to the release of trapped in the permafrost pathogens in the atmosphere. We find that although such relations cannot be directly justified, they present a possible horrifying mechanism for the origin of viruses in the future during the developing global warming of our planet in the decades to come. Overall the findings of our study indicate that: (1) the reduction of anthropogenic emissions in Europe during the “anthropopause” period of March and April 2020 was significant, but when the lockdown measures were raised the concentrations of atmospheric pollutants quickly recovered to pre-pandemic levels and therefore any possible climatic feedbacks were negligible; (2) no robust relationship between atmospheric parameters and the spread of COVID-19 cases can be justified in the warmer part of the year and (3) more research needs to be done regarding the possible links between climate change and the release of new pathogens from thawing of permafrost areas.
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1 Introduction

The recent unprecedented pandemic crisis is so large, that the scientific community has been tempted to investigate the possible links and feedbacks between COVID-19 and the environment (Gautam and Hens 2020). Obviously, the pandemic has many negative effects influencing our lives, health and the economy (Wang et al. 2020). However, COVID-19 had also one positive effect for the atmospheric environment, namely the reduction of air pollution at several parts of the world due to the lockdown measures (Liu et al. 2020a, b; Muhammad et al. 2020). Paradoxically, this improvement in air quality may be beneficial for the health and well-being of the local populations despite the pandemic risk. On the other hand, most of the reduction in anthropogenic emissions was associated with the transport sector, while industrial pollution sources and adverse weather conditions were still found to increase the concentrations of air pollutants at specific areas (e.g., Wang et al. 2020). Significant improvements in air-quality conditions have already been reported for India (Gautam et al. 2020; Gautam 2020a, b), China (Dutheil et al. 2020; Gupta et al. 2020) and for specific cities like Delhi, London, Paris and Wuhan (Bherwani et al. 2020).

In this study, we focus on the links and feedbacks between COVID-19 and the environment both during and after the lockdown period based on station measurements over Europe. In this manner, we examine both the effects of the COVID-19 lockdown measures on the atmospheric environment and vice versa, i.e., the possible effects of atmospheric variables on pandemic spread. We present air quality measurements of NO2, CO, O3, and PM2.5 concentrations in Europe during the lockdown phase (March–April 2020) and during the recovery phase (May–June 2020). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report the variability in air-quality conditions, before, during, and after the lockdown period, based on station measurements over the entire Europe. This “anthropopause” period imposed by COVID-19 measures provided a formidable opportunity to study air pollutants in Europe under the conditions of reduced anthropogenic activity. Air quality measurements are discussed in the first part of this work where we found out that air pollutants have been reduced at levels normally anticipated not before 2050 if the European Union Green Agenda is fully implemented. Next this work tackles important questions related to the alleged interrelations between environmental conditions (temperature, moisture, PM2.5 concentrations) and the spread of COVID-19 cases. The last part of the paper examines a hypothesis, according to which the observed climate warming at high latitudes and the thawing of permafrost could facilitate the release of pathogens in the atmosphere.

2 Air quality during the anthropopause period in Europe

A global anthropopause period was imposed through the lockdown due to the COVID-19 pandemic outbreak. During March–April 2020, the lockdown of transport and various businesses related activities have resulted in significant reduction of emissions. We have used the Eionet platform (https://discomap.eea.europa.eu/map/fme/AirQualityExport.htm) air quality data provided by the European Environmental Agency (EEA), to study the anomalies (in percentage) of 4 selected air pollutants. The percentage anomalies for NO2, CO, O3 and PM2.5 are shown in Fig. 1. In this figure, the anomalies were calculated in percent from the mean of the 5-year period 2015–2019. As can be seen from Fig. 1, during the lockdown of transport and other activities the concentrations of basic air pollutants...
such as NO\textsubscript{2}, CO, PM\textsubscript{2.5} have all dropped in the range from $-10$ up to $-60$ or $-70\%$. As expected, surface O\textsubscript{3} levels have increased by more than $10\%$ in most parts of Europe, which is observed in the most polluted regions of the continent and at urban stations due to the reduction in the main ozone destruction mechanism in urban environments (NO titration) following the substantial decrease of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts 1997). These changes were unprecedented and so coherent spatially, that the Europe as a whole had air quality characteristics foreseen to occur only after the possible implementation of European decisions that will take place until 2050 towards a neutral carbon environment. Figure 2 shows the time series of percent anomalies for NO\textsubscript{2} during the period June 2015–June 2020, based on the Eionet network. The points in the timeseries represent biweekly NO\textsubscript{2} concentration percentage departures from the corresponding 5-year (2015–2019) mean during the period 2015–2020. The horizontal dashed lines indicate $\pm 2\sigma$ and $3\sigma$ confidence levels. From that figure one can easily see the overall European response of NO\textsubscript{2} concentration on a biweekly basis from July 2015 to July 2020. The abrupt and highly significant drop in NO\textsubscript{2} concentration is evident during the antropopause period.

Fig. 1 March–April 2020 percentage anomalies from 2015 to 2019 climatology based on Eionet network

Fig. 2 Time series of percent anomalies for biweekly mean NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations in Europe during the period 2015–2020, based on the Eionet network
(March and April 2020) followed by a recovery phase in May and June 2020. It is important to mention here that the observed changes to the concentrations of the above short-lived pollutants are mostly relative to local air-quality considerations. Any possible impacts on the actual global climate would require much longer periods of emission limitations before any expected effect can be detected.

3 The large-scale spread of infections and the alleged role of atmospheric parameters in Europe

The COVID-19 outbreak has caught by surprise China’s Wuhan area before Christmas 2019 where stringent measures forced people to stay at home. The population in the Western world started being affected much later close to February 2020. Stringent measures were not taken worldwide and in fact WHO has characterized COVID-19 as pandemic on 12 March 2020 (World Health Organization—WHO 2020). In Europe the first cases followed a day to day increase in geometrical progression throughout the month of March 2020 which also marks the beginning of the declining phase in China. Some countries took early measures (such as Greece), while others implemented measures later on. Some took no measures at all, such as Sweden. A detailed table which includes the measures taken by different countries is provided in Appendix Table 4.

Here we examine the alleged interrelationship between atmospheric temperature, humidity, particulate matter concentrations and the mean daily number of COVID-19 cases at individual European countries and in Europe as a total. Although the global spread of the infection occurs primarily through social contact, traveling, aviation, trade, etc., the variability of meteorological conditions could also be related to the changes in the daily reported number of cases. The role of environmental conditions on infection spread has been previously reported for pandemics (Hemmes et al. 1960; Kissler et al. 2020; Lin et al. 2006). Recent publications address the relation of COVID-19 cases with the meteorological conditions either on a global scale (Huang et al. 2020; Lal et al. 2020; Sobral et al. 2020) or at specific cities and countries Benedetti et al. 2020; Briz-Redón and Serrano-Aroca 2020; Demongeot et al. 2020; Gunthe et al. 2020; Menebo 2020; Şahin 2020). Other papers have studied separately the effects of meteorology from those of air pollution on the number of COVID-19 infections or deaths in Europe (Ogen 2020; Zoran et al. 2020a, b) and elsewhere (Wang et al. 2020).

In this context, we have re-examined the relationship that was alleged in some of the above papers to exist between air temperature, humidity and daily number of COVID-19 cases. In that sense, we have correlated the day-to-day variability of COVID-19 cases with major environmental parameters such as the daily mean temperature and relative humidity and the daily mean concentrations of suspended particulates with diameter less than 2.5 μm. Meteorological and air quality data for the regression analysis were taken from Copernicus ERA5 reanalysis (Hersbach et al. 2020) and CAMS NRT Global, respectively (https://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/cams-nrealtime/levtype=sfc/). The sources for pandemic COVID-19 cases are shown in Appendix Table 5 (last update July 2020). In view of the difficulties involved in reporting the cases on time for every day, we decided to calculate the 5-day average for each dataset which pertains to a given region and country in Europe. The number of regions for each country are shown in Tables 1 and 2 which also show the number of pairs entering each correlation. For each 5-day period, we calculated the average number of new reported COVID-19 cases normalized by the population.
Table 1  Correlation coefficients between the number of new COVID-19 cases during a 5-day period and the mean 5 days temperature, relative humidity, PM2.5 and CVI for each month from March to June 2020

|        | Portugal (7 regions) | Spain (19 regions) | Italy (21 regions) | U.K. (10 regions) | France (13 regions) | Belgium (3 regions) | Netherlands (12 regions) | Germany (15 regions) | Switzerland (23 regions) | Poland (15 regions) | Sweden (21 regions) | Norway (11 regions) | Balkans (6 regions) | Europe (176 regions) |
|--------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| March  |                      |                    |                     |                   |                     |                     |                        |                      |                          |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|        |                      |                    |                     |                   |                     |                     |                        |                      |                          |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|        | Temperature          |                    |                     |                   |                     |                     |                        |                      |                          |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|        | −0.18 (35) −0.33* (105) | −0.45* (95) | −0.07 (50) −0.38* (65) | −0.7* (15) −0.47* (60) | −0.3* (80) −0.12 (115) | −0.22 (80) 0.02 (105) 0.03 (55) | 0.29 (28) −0.14* (860) |                      |                          |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|        | Relative humidity    |                    |                     |                   |                     |                     |                        |                      |                          |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|        | 0.02 (35) −0.03 (105) | 0.07 (95) −0.38* (50) | −0.59* (65) −0.9* (15) −0.68* (60) | −0.64* −0.34* (80) (115) | −0.7* (80) −0.47* (105) 0.12 (55) −0.08 (28) −0.12 (860) |                      |                          |                     |                      |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|        | PM 2.5               | 0.36 (35) 0.17 (105) | 0.2 (95) 0.47* (50) 0.29 (65) 0.5 (15) 0.47* (60) 0.28 (80) 0.38* (115) | 0.37* (80) 0.07 (105) −0.11 (55) 0.72* (28) 0.22* (860) |                      |                          |                     |                      |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|        | CVI                  | 0.36 (35) 0.37* (105) | 0.43* (95) 0.43* (50) 0.46* (65) 0.87* (15) 0.69* (60) 0.52* (80) 0.43* (115) | 0.6* (80) 0.21 (105) −0.16 (55) 0.65* (28) 0.29* (860) |                      |                          |                     |                      |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| April  |                      |                    |                     |                   |                     |                     |                        |                      |                          |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|        | Temperature          | −0.23 (42) −0.47* (126) | −0.68* (114) 0.06 (60) −0.46* (78) | −0.16 (18) 0.14 (72) −0.19 (96) −0.39* (138) | 0.1 (96) 0.2 (126) −0.26 (66) 0.05 (36) −0.17* (1032) |                      |                          |                     |                      |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|        | Relative humidity    | 0.27 (42) −0.4* (126) | −0.22 (114) 0.28 (60) −0.51* (78) | −0.29 (18) −0.42* (72) −0.11 (96) −0.23* (138) | −0.25 (96) −0.32* (126) 0.42* (66) −0.25 (36) −0.01 (1032) |                      |                          |                     |                      |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|        | PM 2.5               | 0.39 (42) 0.08 (126) 0.11 (114) 0.38* (60) 0.14 (78) 0.03 (18) 0.35* (72) 0.31* (96) 0.11 (138) | 0.16 (96) −0.03 (126) 0.01 (66) 0.36 (36) 0.19* (1032) |                      |                          |                     |                      |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|        | CVI                  | 0.3 (42) 0.4* (126) 0.57* (114) | 0.45* (60) 0.49* (78) 0.51 (18) 0.53* (72) 0.57* (96) 0.43* (138) | 0.1 (96) −0.24* (126) 0.2 (66) 0.42 (36) 0.3* (1032) |                      |                          |                     |                      |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
| May    |                      |                    |                     |                   |                     |                     |                        |                      |                          |                     |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|        | Temperature          | 0.07 (42) −0.17 (126) | −0.1 (114) −0.08 (60) −0.12 (78) | −0.51 (18) −0.03 (72) −0.23 (96) −0.35* (138) | 0.15 (96) 0.15 (126) −0.23 (11) −0.07 (36) −0.09 (977) |                      |                          |                     |                      |                     |                     |                     |                     |
Table 1 (continued)

|                        | Portugal (7 regions) | Spain (19 regions) | Italy (21 regions) | U.K. (10 regions) | France (13 regions) | Belgium (3 regions) | Netherlands (12 regions) | Germany (15 regions) | Swiss (23 regions) | Poland (15 regions) | Sweden (21 regions) | Norway (11 regions) | Balkans (6 regions) | Europe (176 regions) |
|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Relative humidity      | 0.07 (42) 0.14 (126) − 0.08 (114) | 0.28 (60) − 0.25 (78) | 0.09 (18) − 0.09 (72) | 0.13 (96) | 0.27* (138) | −0.01 (96) − 0.16 (126) | 0.56 (11) | 0.05 (36) | 0.02 (977) |
| PM 2.5                 | 0.26 (42) −0.03 (126) | 0.43* (60) 0.22 (78) | 0.29 (18) 0.26 (72) − 0.18 (96) − 0.25* (138) | 0.47* (96) − 0.13 (126) | 0.64 (11) | 0.02 (36) | 0.1 (977) |
| CVI                    | 0.26 (42) 0.11 (126) 0.08 (114) | 0.46* (60) 0.35* (78) | 0.7* (18) 0.31* (72) − 0.02 (96) 0 (138) | 0.4* (96) − 0.26* (126) | 0.46 (11) | 0.18 (36) | 0.19* (977) |
| **June**               |                      |                    |                    |                   |                     |                     |                        |                    |                   |                    |                     |                   |                   |
| Temperature            | 0.15 (7) −0.09 (126) | 0.17 (114) −0.04 (60) | −0.23 (78) −0.52 (18) −0.33* (72) | 0.04 (96) 0.03 (138) | 0.05 (96) | 0.16 (126) | −0.21 (6) | 0.09 (931) |
| Relative humidity      | 0.07 (7) 0.11 (126) −0.25* (114) | 0.05 (60) −0.14 (78) | 0.26 (18) 0.08 (72) −0.08 (96) 0.04 (138) | 0.14 (96) −0.14 (126) | 0.35 (6) | 0.15* (931) |
| PM 2.5                 | 0.29 (7) 0.24* (126) | 0.24 (114) 0.25 (60) 0.27 (78) −0.01 (18) −0.13 (72) −0.05 (96) 0.03 (138) | 0.36* (96) −0.01 (126) | −0.36 (6) | 0.12 (931) |
| CVI                    | 0.24 (7) 0.32* (126) | 0.15 (114) 0.37* (60) 0.49* (78) | 0.46 (18) 0.16 (72) −0.07 (96) −0.02 (138) | 0.26 (96) −0.15 (126) | −0.11 (6) | −0.01 (931) |

*Denotes statistically significant correlations at 99% c.l
Table 2  Correlation coefficients between the number of new COVID-19 cases during a 5-day period and the mean 5 days temperature, relative humidity, PM2.5 and CVI for the bi-monthly periods March–April, May–June and the 4-month period March–June 2020

|                  | Portugal (7 regions) | Spain (19 regions) | Italy (21 regions) | U.K. (10 regions) | Belgium (3 regions) | Netherlands (12 regions) | Germany (15 regions) | Switzerland (23 regions) | Poland (15 regions) | Sweden (21 regions) | Norway (11 regions) | Balkans (6 regions) | Europe (176 regions) |
|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|                  |                      |                    |                    |                   |                    |                          |                      |                          |                     |                     |                     |                   |                     |
| March–April      |                      |                    |                    |                   |                    |                          |                      |                          |                     |                     |                     |                   |                     |
| Temperature     | −0.14 (77) − 0.38*   | −0.59*             | 0.21 (110) − 0.18  | 0.21 (33)         | 0.22 (132)         | −0.05 (176)              | −0.26* (253)         | 0.38* (231)              | −0.19 (121)         | 0.21 (64) − 0.13 |
|                  | (231)                | (209)              | (143)              | (176)             | (253)              | (176)                    | (231)                | (176)                    | (121)               |                     |                     | (1892)            |
|                  |                      | −0.11 (209)        | −0.02 (110)        | −0.56* (33)       | −0.72* (132)       | −0.62* (176)             | −0.43* (253)         | −0.11 (176)              | −0.57* (231)         |                      |                     |                   |                     |
|                  | 0.27 (77) − 0.16     | 0.26* (231)        | −0.02 (110)        | −0.56* (33)       | −0.72* (132)       | −0.62* (176)             | −0.43* (253)         | −0.11 (176)              | −0.57* (231)         | 0.3* (121) − 0.25 | −0.06               | (1892)            |
|                  | (231)                | (209)              | (110)              | (143)             | (33)               | (132)                    | (176)                | (253)                    | (176)               | (1892)               |                     |                   |                     |
|                  | 0.09 (49) − 0.22*    | −0.07 (252)        | −0.16 (120)        | −0.24* (156)      | −0.68* (144)       | −0.36* (192)             | −0.29* (276)         | −0.23* (192)             | −0.33* (252)         | −0.23 (11) − 0.07 | 0.11 (1908)         | (42)               |
|                  | (252)                | (228)              | (120)              | (156)             | (36)               | (144)                    | (192)                | (276)                    | (192)               | (121)               | 0.56 (11) 0.05 (42) | 0.13 (1908)        |
|                  | 0.06 (49) 0.05 (252)| 0.22* (228)        | −0.22* (156)       | −0.21 (36)        | −0.11 (144)        | −0.02 (192)              | 0.14 (276)           | 0.26* (252)              | −0.19* (252)         | 0.56 (11) 0.05 (42) | 0.13 (1908)         | (42)               |
|                  | (252)                | (228)              | (156)              | (36)              | (144)              | (192)                    | (192)                | (252)                    | (252)               | (121)               |                     |                   |                     |
|                  |                      |                    |                    |                   |                    |                          |                      |                          |                     |                     |                     |                   |                     |
| May–June         |                      |                    |                    |                   |                    |                          |                      |                          |                     |                     |                     |                   |                     |
| Temperature     | 0.32 (49) 0.14 (252)| 0.1 (228) 0.22 (120)| 0.27* (156)       | −0.11 (36)        | −0.06 (144)        | −0.23* (192)             | −0.16* (276)         | 0.33* (192)              | 0.11 (252)          | 0.65 (11) − 0.02 | 0.1 (1908)          | (42)               |
|                  | (252)                | (228)              | (120)              | (156)             | (36)               | (144)                    | (192)                | (276)                    | (192)               | (121)               |                     |                   |                     |
|                  | 0.27 (49) 0.07 (252)| 0.07 (228) 0.25* (120)| 0.24* (156)       | −0.15 (36)        | −0.09 (144)        | −0.24* (192)             | −0.15 (276)          | 0.36* (192)              | 0.1 (252)           | 0.64 (11) 0.02 (42)| 0.11 (1908)         | (42)               |
|                  | (252)                | (228)              | (156)              | (36)              | (144)              | (192)                    | (192)                | (276)                    | (192)               | (121)               |                     |                   |                     |
| March–June | Portugal (7 regions) | Spain (19 regions) | Italy (21 regions) | U.K. (10 regions) | France (13 regions) | Belgium (3 regions) | Netherlands (12 regions) | Germany (15 regions) | Swiss (23 regions) | Poland (15 regions) | Sweden (21 regions) | Norway (11 regions) | Balkans (6 regions) | Europe (176 regions) |
|------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Temperature| 0.02 (126) − 0.5* (483) | −0.6* (437) | −0.09 (230) | −0.43* (299) | −0.46* (69) | −0.37* (276) | −0.42* (368) | −0.42* (529) | 0.35* (368) | 0.49* (483) | −0.21 (132) | −0.07 (106) | 0.11 (3800) |
| Relative humidity| 0.15 (126) − 0.13 (483) | 0.13 (437) | 0.02 (230) − 0.39* (299) | −0.39* (69) | −0.45* (276) | −0.38* (368) | −0.23* (529) | 0.27* (368) | −0.3* (483) | 0.3* (132) | −0.2 (106) | 0.09 (3800) |
| PM 2.5     | 0.29* (126) | 0.33* (483) | 0.29* (437) | 0.36* (230) | 0.37* (299) | 0.28 (69) | 0.16* (276) | 0.11 (368) | 0.27* (529) | 0.15* (368) | 0.04 (483) | −0.13 (132) | 0.4* (106) | 0.05 (3800) |
| CVI        | 0.2 (126) | 0.26* (483) | 0.33* (437) | 0.37* (230) | 0.35* (299) | 0.29 (69) | 0.14 (276) | 0.12 (368) | 0.27* (529) | 0.18* (368) | 0.02 (483) | −0.01 (132) | 0.4* (106) | 0.05 (3800) |

*Denotes statistically significant correlations at 99% c.l.
of each region which were afterwards correlated with the climatic anomalies of the atmospheric parameters (T, RH) together with PM2.5 daily mean concentrations. The correlations were calculated for 18 European countries as shown in both Tables 1 and 2, where the Balkans include Slovenia, Croatia, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Montenegro, Albania and Greece. We should note here that different countries have different testing rates. Low testing rates may well mask the true infection numbers. However the large number of pairs entering our correlations (in Tables 1 and 2) ensures that statistically speaking, the significance of the correlations ensures the percentage of variance explained by environmental parameters without referring to the true infection numbers. The last column in Tables 1 and 2 shows the correlations for Europe as a whole between COVID-19 cases, temperature, relative humidity, PM2.5. More specifically, Table 1 shows the above-mentioned correlations for individual months (March, April, May, June), while Table 2 shows the correlations for the 2 bimonthly samples (March–April and May–June) as well as the 4-month period (March–June 2020). Statistically significant correlations at better than the 99% confidence level are shown by an asterisk next to the correlation coefficient. Both Tables 1 and 2 show in parentheses for each country and for the Europe as a whole, the number of regions from which data were provided and in parenthesis the number of pairs entering each correlation.

In both tables, we have calculated an index (COVID-19 Index, hereafter CVI) to describe the interrelationship between the atmospheric variables discussed above and the number of COVID-19 cases. In order to do so, we use a multiple regression analysis in which the dependent variable COVID-19 index (CVI) is the mean daily number of new cases in each group and the independent variables are the temperature (°C), the relative humidity (%), and the daily anomalies of PM2.5 concentrations (in μg m⁻³) as shown in Eq. 1. The CVI index is correlated with the number of new cases in Europe explaining about 9% of their total variance during March and April, 4% during May and 0% during June (Table 1).

\[ CVI = a_0 + a_1 T + a_2 (RH) + a_3 PM2.5 \]  

(1)

The multiple regression analysis for Eq. (1) in Europe takes the form:

\[ CVI = 0.302 - 0.041T - 0.004(RH) + 0.059(PM2.5) \]  

(2)

\[ a_0 = 0.302 \pm 0.023, \quad a_1 = 0.041 \pm 0.005; \quad a_2 = 0.004 \pm 0.001 \quad \text{and} \quad a_3 = 0.059 \pm 0.006 \]

To summarize, part of the variance of the reported 5-day averaged number of COVID-19 cases in Europe for March and April can be explained by an index based on the atmospheric variables used. More specifically, temperature and humidity are anti-correlated with the number of COVID-19 cases, while PM2.5 is positively correlated. So, the number of new COVID-19 cases shows an increase at lower temperature (cold), lower humidity (dry) and high concentrations of particulates only during March and April. The correlation between particulates and CVI can be expected in view of the fact that smaller particulates have negative effects on respiratory diseases (Dockery and Pope 1994). However when we repeated the above calculations for May and June, the correlations proved to be insignificant. More specifically the CVI correlation coefficient for the entire 4-month period March–June in Europe drops to 0.05 (Table 2). These results raise a question on whether the spread of COVID-19 disease depends on atmospheric conditions, or not. Based on the contradicting results between the two different periods (the anthropopause and post-anthropopause), the existence of such a relationship cannot be supported and the above analysis remains inconclusive. The spread of the disease is affected primarily
by social distancing and the implementation of lockdown measures (e.g., Bherwani et al. 2020). In fact, we have been witnessing an increase in COVID-19 cases during the summer months of 2020 globally.

4 A working hypothesis on acceleration of climate change in Siberia and the Arctic and the possible implications for the emergence of pathogens from the permafrost

An additional mechanism connecting climate change with epidemic infections could be that viruses originating from melting glacier or thawing permafrost in the arctic can be transferred both by the wind and the migratory birds toward lower latitudes, eventually enhancing the complexity for infection dynamics. Similar hypotheses on the release of deadly infection vectors from exposed carcasses due to thawing of permafrost in arctic regions have been discussed for anthrax (Revich and Podolnaya 2011; Revich et al. 2012; Hueffer et al. 2020). The viruses could be hidden in the melting ice and thawing of permafrost regions in the Arctic in view of the evolving global warming and its acceleration in this part of the world (IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2013). Pathogenic viruses and microbes have been found to survive very long periods of time buried in permafrost regions (Tumpey et al. 2005; Biagini et al. 2012; Legendre et al. 2014). For example Legendre et al. 2014 (Legendre et al. 2014) found a still infectious 30,000-year-old virus (named Pithovirus sibericum) in a Siberian permafrost sample. Tumpey et al., 2005 managed to reconstruct the Spanish influenza virus from a victim that was buried in Alaska since 1918 and Biagini et al. 2012 detected a smallpox related virus (variola virus) in mummies buried in Siberian permafrost from the late 17th to early 18th.

Permafrost is the soil layer that remains permanently frozen throughout the year under various permafrost types at higher latitudes (i.e., continuous, discontinuous, sporadic and isolated) (Brown et al. 1997). In Central Asia, several mixed types of permafrost start from NW China and Mongolia and extend northward toward Siberia. Long-term measurements of deep permafrost temperatures at depths of 10–200 m in Central Asia and Russia (Romanovsky et al. 2010; Zhao et al. 2010) have shown a continuous warming trend over the last decades (1972–2009). Climate warming leads also in thickening of the active soil layer, i.e., the upper soil region that responds to the seasonal ambient conditions (temperature and precipitation) (Streletskiy et al. 2015). The consecutive melting of permafrost layers from year to year due to climate warming could eventually result to the exposure of gradually deeper permafrost layers and thus increase the possibility for exposure of contaminating sources such as buried carcasses, cemetery graves and fossils along the migrating birds’ pathways and stopover sites (Clairbaux et al. 2019). Lower latitude permafrost areas such as the regions of north Mongolia and south Russia (e.g., Irkutsk, Lake Baikal) are more susceptible to inter-annual temperature changes and to global warming (Hueffer et al. 2020). Following this hypothesis, the acceleration of temperature increase in the Arctic and the melting of permafrost in these areas could be related to the release of present and future “unknown” viruses. That scenario is horrifying, particularly if one considers that migrating bird pathways and wintering areas can also be modified in view of global warming continuation (Romanovsky et al. 2010). From the climatological point of view, Central Asia is one of the most vulnerable regions for manmade climate change. The 140 years (1880–2020) continuous record of mean temperature at the station of İrkutsk
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layer depth (Tyszkowski et al. 2015). Such water and wetland ecological complexes are natural resting biotopes for a variety of species like Anseriformes and Gruiformes especially at the south parts of Siberia (Sivay et al. 2012). In a recent study by Sharshov et al. (2017), the virus of influenza was detected in 185 birds from a total of 2300 samples obtained from wild migratory birds in the south of Western Siberia during 2007–2014. Certain viruses including influenza persist in environmental ice (glaciers, snow, permafrost) for years, centuries, millennia, or even longer (Rogers et al. 2004). Therefore, it is possible that a continuous alteration of wetland biotopes landscapes due to climate warming and the consecutive melting of soil layers after centuries of remaining at permafrost stage could assist the re-emergence of ancient virus agents in the environment. The virus agents remain frozen in birds’ feces and are exposed back in spring and summer through melting and thawing processes to generate a seasonal infection cycle (Yu et al. 2010). For the interested reader, we provide in Appendix calculations of back trajectories for the prevailing air masses up to 10 days before the onset of major pandemics. Finally, the extremely rapid urbanization of large regions of biotopes and brutal changes of landscape in the past few decades in China may have also played a role to enhance the genetic diversity of certain pathogens.

5 Conclusions

The findings of our study can be summarized as follows:

1. The COVID-19 anthropopause period resulted in a remarkable drop of atmospheric pollutant concentrations in Europe, resembling the anticipated 2050 Green Deal conditions. The comparisons are presented as percentage anomalies from the 2015–2019 average values. The reduction in NO₂ concentrations is pronounced over the entire continent ranging between − 10 and − 20% in the south and east Europe and − 50% in central Europe. This reduction in NO₂ is accompanied by an increase of up to 30% in O₃ as an expected result (titration). The reduction of CO concentrations is also found to be more than − 30% especially in Italy and central Europe with only a few stations presenting a statistical increase (mainly in the Iberian Peninsula). Particulate matter (PM2.5) concentrations also present significant reduction of − 10 to − 20% at most stations.
2. The improvement of air quality in Europe lasted only for 2 months during the lockdown (March and April 2020). As soon as the lockdown measures were waived, the concentrations of pollutants in the atmosphere quickly recovered to pre-pandemic levels. The recovery phase took little more than two months. No climatic implications can be justified from this short-term perturbation of anthropogenic emissions.

3. Our analysis on the possible correlation between meteorology, air quality and the number of daily reported COVID-19 cases in Europe resulted in the construction of a tentative statistical index that explained more than 9% of the total variance in the reported COVID-19 cases for March and April 2020. However, the correlations dropped to insignificant levels when we repeated our calculations for May and June 2020. This shows that any suggested relation between temperature, humidity and virus spread cannot be justified and most likely the global spread of the infection occurs primarily through social contact, traveling, aviation, trade, etc.

4. Seven out of ten influenza and coronavirus pandemics from 1889 to the present originated in China. Significant climate warming, thawing of permafrost soil and glacier retreat is evident in Siberia and the Arctic in coincidence with the increase of epidemics in Southeast Asia. The possible release of frozen viruses at these areas and the possible changes in migrating birds’ routes due to climate change cannot be excluded in studying past and future epidemic hazards.

The significant correlation that was found between virus spread, colder temperatures and lower relative humidity levels during the first two months of the pandemic (March and April 2020) is in accordance with previous correlation studies on the connections between COVID-19 and meteorology for China (Liu et al. 2020a, b; Wang et al. 2020), Iran (Ahmadi et al. 2020), US and Italian regions (Livadiotis 2020) and at global scale (Wu et al. 2020a, b) during the same period. Contradictory results have been reported by a correlation study in Brazil (Auler et al. 2020) where high temperatures and intermediate relative humidity are found to favor the spread of COVID-19. On the other hand, the correlation between the increased PM levels and virus infections is also in agreement with previous COVID-19 studies (Comunian et al. 2020; Fattorini and Regoli 2020; Frontera et al. 2020; Sasidharan et al. 2020). However, as shown in our analysis, such correlations can be misleading because these relations are not robust when repeated for a longer period of time. The persistence of the infection at the north hemisphere during the summer months is also an indication that the variability of COVID-19 cases is not significantly correlated with air temperature variability.

The findings of this paper bring us before new challenges and directions in climate change research. Glacier retreating and thawing of permafrost layers expected in the forthcoming decades may result in new and unknown epidemics posing new horrifying threats to mankind. It is important that combined atmospheric and epidemiological studies as well as detailed scientific expeditions should be organized to investigate such health-related aspects of climate change. Species like bats and other exotic mammals are believed to be the largest reservoir for SARS-CoV-like viruses (Cheng et al. 2007; Cupertino et al. 2020; Fan et al. 2019) and therefore the humanity needs to revisit certain social customs and traditional diets which can threaten our lives and result in pandemic disasters like the one we experience at present.
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See Tables 4 and 5
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Table 4  Measures taken by each country during COVID-19 pandemic

| Country   | Measures                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Italy     | 4 March: full closure of all schools and universities nationwide                                                                         |
| Spain     | 14 March: all citizens in quarantine except for those working in healthcare or other vital activities, closing all non-critical businesses |
| Portugal  | March 18: the entirety of the Portuguese territory in a State of Emergency April 2–17: extension of the State of Emergency               |
| UK        | 18 March: Closed schools                                                                                                                                 |
|           | 21 March: Closed bars, restaurants, cafes and other entertainment venues                                                                 |
|           | 22 March: Advised vulnerable people to stay at home                                                                                                                                                   |
|           | 23 March: Initiated Lockdown Phase, Closed most businesses                                                                                |
| Germany   | 16 March: Non-essential public services closed                                                                                                                                                      |
|           | 22 March: Public gatherings banned                                                                                                                                                                    |
| France    | 13 March: closure of all non-essential public places                                                                                      |
|           | 6 March: mandatory home confinement                                                                                                         |
| Belgium   | 29 January: travel notice advising against non-essential flights to China, Hong Kong excluded                                              |
|           | 10 March: the government advised citizens to cancel any indoor scheduled events to be attended by more than 1,000 people for the month of March |
|           | 12 March: federal phase of crisis management = closure of schools, discos, cafes and restaurants, cancellation of all public gatherings for sporting, cultural or festive purposes |
|           | 17 March: additional measures = Stricter social distancing measures from noon the following day until 5 April, with non-essential travel prohibited, non-essential shops to close, gatherings banned, with penalties for corporate and individual persons who failed to comply with the restrictions |
| Netherlands| 12 March: Gatherings of more than 100 people banned                                                                                      |
|           | 13 March: Prison visitations limited to legal affairs                                                                                     |
|           | 15 March: All food and beverage outlets, bars, cafes, restaurants, gyms, saunas, sex clubs and coffee shops required to close, except for takeaway and delivery services. Schools closed |
|           | 17 March: All education services closed                                                                                                                                                               |
|           | 23 March: Visits to youth, disability and psychiatric care restricted                                                                      |
|           | 23 March: Ban on non-essential outdoors activities, gatherings with more than 2 people banned, 1.5 m introduced                               |
| Poland    | 12 March—10 April: All schools were closed                                                                                              |
|           | 20 March: An official epidemic was declared                                                                                              |
|           | 24 March: home restriction                                                                                                               |
| Switzerland | 20 March: the government announced that no lockdown would be implemented, but all events or meetings over 5 people were prohibited            |
|           | 13 March: cancelling of classes in all educational establishments until 4 April 2020, and banning all events (public or private) involving more than 100 people |
| Sweden    | 11 March: limiting freedom of assembly by banning all gatherings larger than 500 people                                                                                                             |
|           | No mandatory lockdown                                                                                                                    |
| Norway    | 12 March: a national lockdown was announced                                                                                              |
|           | 13 March, Norway introduced a ban on visits to Norway through Oslo airport                                                             |
Meteorological conditions before the onset of historical pandemics and a possible hypothesis on pathogens transport by migrating birds

See Figs. 5 and 6

As seen in Fig. 5, the eight identified major migratory routes are mostly meridional and the majority of them extend to more than one continent (Shupeng and van Genderen 2008; Zhang et al. 2014). Wild birds such as waterfowls and cranes follow the Asian flyways in autumn, moving from the northern latitudes of Central and Eastern Asia toward the wintering grounds in China (e.g., Lake Qinghai and Yangtze River delta, see (Wang et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2014). Particularly in China, a total of 53.6 million hectares of wetlands such as lakes, swamps, rivers as well as artificial wetlands provide breeding and wintering sites

Table 4 (continued)

| Country                | Measures                                                                 |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Greece                 | 10 March: suspension of school operation                                  |
|                        | 13 March: close down all cafes, bars, museums, shopping centres, sports facilities and restaurants in the country |
|                        | 6 March, all retail shops were also closed and all services in all areas of religious worship of any religion or dogma were suspended. Supermarkets, pharmacies, food outlets that offer take-away and delivery only, as well as some other businesses, remained open |
|                        | 23 March: significant restrictions on all nonessential transport and movement |
| Albania                | 8 March: all schools for two weeks, ordered cancellation of all large public gatherings, and asked sports federations to cancel scheduled matches |
|                        | 12 March: 72-h curfew during which only transportation of basic needs such as food and medicine would be permitted, a three-month loan holiday, and the forced closure of garment factories and call centres |
|                        | 15 March: hardening of its lockdown                                      |
| Montenegro             | 13 March: initial round of precautionary measures                         |
| Slovenia               | 16 March: all educational institutions, public transport, all restaurants and bars |
|                        | 20 March: De facto quarantine (with some exemptions)                      |
| Croatia                | 1 February: preparedness measures for the coronavirus epidemic to be prepared for all scenarios |
|                        | 24 February: additional measures that Croatia will take against the spread of coronavirus, with enhanced control of border crossings to Italy |
|                        | 3 March: people 60 and those suffering from chronic diseases, according to which they should avoid visiting and entering overcrowded public areas |
|                        | 16 March: two-week suspension of classes in schools and colleges         |
| Bosnia-Herzegovina     | 11 March: 2-week shutdown of all schools, high schools and universities   |
|                        | 18 March: an order that banned all public gatherings, suspending the operation of all catering facilities for the preparation and sale of food and beverages, restaurants, pizzerias, confectioneries, beauty salons, hookah bars, coffee bars, discos, tea shops, cafes, private dentists |
|                        | 20 March: order which banned the movement of people under the age of 18 and over 65 |
|                        | 22 March: a curfew was introduced every day from 18:00 until 05:00        |
for several species including cranes, gulls, ducks, and geese (Wang et al. 2018). A recent study (Deng et al. 2019) shows that the Greater White-fronted Geese autumn migration starts from the Russian Arctic breeding sites in late September moving to wintering areas in southeast China in late October. These worldwide migrating pathways provide a possible natural avian carrier network which should be considered seriously in any mechanism.
explaining interspecies transmission of viruses. Additionally, earlier studies on the seasonality and origin of pathogenic infections suggest the possibility of long-range airborne transport for influenza virus (Hammond et al. 1989) and transport of avian influenza by dust storms outbreaks (Chen et al. 2010).

In order to investigate the possible atmospheric connections for the historical pandemics of Table 3 we perform a back-trajectory analysis at the onset regions with the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model (HYSPLIT) (Stein et al. 2015). HYSPLIT runs after 2005 are driven by the Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) 1° × 1° fields from NOAA’s National Centers for Environmental Prediction Reanalysis data sets (https://ready.arl.noaa.gov/READYcmet.php). The runs before 2005 are driven by NOAA’s CDAS reanalysis dataset (https://psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/data.ncep.reanalysis.html) that are available at 2.5° × 2.5° grid from 1948 onward. No HYSPLIT runs have been performed for the 1918 Spanish Flu and the 1889 Russian Flu due to the lack of meteorological data in these eras.

From the cluster analysis shown in Fig. 6, the 5 out of 6 outbreaks which occurred in China were associated with air masses arriving from the northern sector (Mongolia, Siberia). These backtrajectories are seen to intersect the three major migrating birds’ flyways...
in Asia (Central Asian, East Asian–Australian and East African–West Asian). The onset season of the epidemics reported in Table 3, mainly autumn and winter, coincide with the southward migration of waterfowl species from their breeding summer regions toward their wintering places in China (G. Wang et al. 2008; Wille et al. 2017). The only exception is the Hong Kong flu of 1968 (yellow line in Fig. 6a) that is reported to outbreak in July 1968. For this pandemic Saunders-Hastings and Krewski, 2016 have shown that the responsible H3N2 agent was the result of an antigenic shift (i.e., merging of different strains of a virus to form a new virus subtype) on the H2N2 virus that caused the 1957 Asian flu in the same area which can explain the local outburst of the infection during a summer month. Caution should be given also to the San Diego back trajectory in Fig. 6b (magenta line) which is associated with the outbreak of the Swine Flu pandemic in April 2009. Its direction is again from the north extending up to the Aleutian Islands in the Arctic and interfering with the East Asian–Australian, Pacific Americas and Mississippi Americas flyways. Finally, the MERS 2012 trajectory (blue line in Fig. 6c) indicates a mostly regional origin of the air masses arriving in Jeddah during November 2012 and also possible interference with the Black Sea—Mediterranean flyway. Recirculation of the viruses along the annually migration flyways and spillover of the infection to other avian and mammalian hosts adds to the complexity of epidemic dynamics and the need to clarify its possible association with atmospheric processes. We caution that our trajectory analysis provides only an indication that air mass intersection with the aerial flyways could facilitate virus transport before the onset of the epidemics under study. It is obvious that the actual processes that are responsible for the initiation of an epidemic at a specific place and time are very complex and include a number of biological and social parameters. The possible role of migrating birds needs further elucidation (Tsiodras et al. 2008) and could be considered as additional parameter to this complexity.
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