ABSTRACT

Objectives The primary objective was to estimate the incidence of patients in the Central Denmark Region triaged to bypass the local emergency department without being part of a predefined fast-track protocol. The secondary objective was to describe these triage decisions in more detail with regard to the most common diagnoses, incidence of direct referral sorted by the prehospital critical care team (PHCCT) and the destination hospital.

Setting and participants The emergency medical service in the Central Denmark Region primarily consists of emergency medical technician (EMT)-staffed ambulances and anaesthesiologist-EMT-staffed PHCCTs. Patients treated by the nine ground-based PHCCTs in the region constituted the study population. The inclusion criteria were all patients treated by the PHCCTs during 2013 and 2014. The exclusion criteria were interhospital transfers, and patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, stroke or were in active labour.

Endpoints Incidence of prehospital critical care anaesthesiologist-initiated direct referral, prehospital tentative diagnoses and transport destination.

Results During the study period, the PHCCTs treated 39,396 patients and diverted 989 (2.5%) patients not covered by a predefined fast-track protocol to a specialised hospital department. ‘Resuscitated from cardiac arrest’ (n=143), ‘treatment and observations following road traffic accident’ (n=105) and ‘observation and treatment for an unspecified disease/condition’ (n=78) were the most common prehospital tentative diagnoses, accounting for 33.0% of all diverted patients. In total, 943 (95.3%) of the PHCCT-diverted patients were diverted to a department at Aarhus University Hospital.

Conclusion Our results demonstrate that in 1 out of 40 patient contacts, the anaesthesiologist-staffed PHCCTs in the Central Denmark Region divert critically ill and injured patients directly to a specialised hospital department, bypassing local emergency departments and potentially reducing time to definitive care for these patients. There may be a potential for increased referral of patients with no predefined fast-track directly to specialised departments in the Central Denmark Region.
inversely related to time from onset of symptoms to reperfusion.10 11 In the Central Denmark Region, predefined fast-track protocols route patients with STEMI or stroke, as well as women in labour and children under the age of 15, to specific departments rather than local EDs. The EMS includes nine rapid response vehicles staffed with a prehospital critical care anaesthesiologist and a specially trained emergency medical technician (EMT) or paramedic. These PHCCTs initiate advanced prehospital diagnostic and therapeutic procedures and triage critically ill and injured patients to the most appropriate treatment facility.12–18 The PHCCTs may decide to transport patients with no predefined fast-track directly to a specialised department, bypassing local EDs. This management protocol represents an attempt to reduce the delay of vital diagnostic and therapeutic procedures, hence potentially improving patient outcome.19 In these patients, critical decision-making replaces standardised criteria for fast-tracking.

International research suggests that implementing regional trauma systems may improve prehospital triage and thereby potentially reduce mortality and morbidity.20–26 We have been unable to identify studies reporting similar data from unselected patient populations. The extent to which the PHCCTs in the Central Denmark Region choose to bypass local EDs in patients not included in a predefined fast-track protocol is not known.

Our primary objective was to estimate the incidence of patients in the Central Denmark Region triaged to bypass the local ED who were not part of a predefined fast-track protocol. Our secondary objective was to describe these triage decisions in more detail with regard to the most common diagnoses, incidence of direct referral sorted by the PHCCT and the destination hospital.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design
We conducted a retrospective descriptive study.

Setting
The Central Denmark Region includes both urban and rural areas, covering 13,005 km² with a population of 1.282 million, which is equivalent to 98.6 inhabitants per square kilometre as of 31 December 2014.27 Medical dispatch in the Central Denmark Region has been described elsewhere.28 29

The Central Denmark Region has a two-tiered EMS system, wherein the first tier consists of 64 ground ambulances staffed with EMTs on an intermediate or paramedic level (EMT-I and EMT-P, respectively). The second tier consists of 10 PHCCTs. Rapid response vehicles deploy nine of the PHCCTs, and the tenth team staffs a helicopter emergency medical service (HEMS). The most rural parts have an additional intermediate tier with three rapid response vehicles staffed with nurse anaesthetists.12 Aarhus University Hospital is the main referral centre in the Central Denmark Region offering secondary and tertiary levels of care, and it is the only hospital offering all specialties and relevant subspecialties. It is also the major trauma centre for the region. Further information can be found at the hospital website.30 District general hospitals offer secondary-level healthcare services and are comparable in composition with that of many district general hospitals in the UK.

Participants
The inclusion criteria were all patients treated by the ground-based PHCCTs. The exclusion criteria were interhospital transfers, patients with STEMI, patients with stroke and women in labour. Data from patients primarily treated by the HEMS of the Central Denmark Region were excluded from this study given that the ground-based EMS and the HEMS use different patient journal systems. However, all PHCCT-diverted patients initially seen by the PHCCTs but subsequently transported by HEMS directly to specialised department were included in our study.

Variables and endpoints
We searched the regional prehospital database for patients fulfilling our search criteria. Please see our data set, available in the Figshare Repository (DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.5852967), for a comprehensive overview.

The following was our primary endpoint:
► Number and proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients. The following were our secondary endpoints:
► Number and proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients among patients treated by the different PHCCTs.
► Number and proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients from the PHCCTs located less than 70 km from Aarhus University Hospital.
► Number and proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients from the PHCCTs located greater than 70 km from Aarhus University Hospital.
► Prehospital tentative diagnosis.
► Receiving hospital.

Data sources and data collection
We retrospectively searched the regional prehospital critical care database for all relevant patient contacts from 1 January 2013 until 31 December 2014. We performed an exhaustive overview of all possible prehospital diagnoses and all possible receiving hospitals for each of the Central Denmark Region’s PHCCTs. For each PHCCT, we identified all patient transports where the combination of diagnoses and receiving hospital represented a diversion rather than a transport to the nearest ED while not being part of a predefined fast-track. Finally, we verified the eligibility through crosschecking the identified relevant missions with our exclusion criteria, as well as excluding all patients admitted at the nearest hospital.

Study size
Sample size and power calculations were not performed.
**Statistical methods**
We used descriptive statistics.

**Patient and public involvement**
No patients were involved in the development and design of the study.

**RESULTS**

**Overall study population**
The total number of PHCCT contacts was 39,396. In total, 6,192 (15.7%) were treated on scene only. Another 19,388 (4.9%) were declared dead, and 23,816 (79.4%) were admitted to the hospital.

**Total number and proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients**
The PHCCTs diverted 989 (2.5%) patients who were not in active labour or did not experience a STEMI or a stroke directly to a specialised hospital department.

**Distribution of PHCCT-diverted patients sorted by PHCCT**
The number and proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients sorted by the different PHCCTs are presented in table 1.

**Comparison of the number and proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients from PHCCTs at a distance more than and less than 70 km from Aarhus University Hospital**
The proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients sorted by PHCCT is on average 2.9 times lower in those PHCCTs with a travel distance of 75–150 km to Aarhus University Hospital compared with teams located 40–65 km away from Aarhus University Hospital (table 1 and figure 1).

**DISCUSSION**
To our knowledge, this is the first detailed description of patient-tailored triage by PHCCTs.

**Total number and proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients**
We were not able to identify any similar studies providing a basis for comparison of the direct referral rate. One might speculate that there may be an unexploited potential for triage of patients directly to specialised departments in the Central Denmark Region. It is essential that critical decision-making, including triage, is continuously optimised. The consequence of substandard triage may be increased time to definitive care and potentially increased mortality and morbidity and reduced patient safety.

**Number and proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients sorted by PHCCT**
The proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients ranges from 0.6% to 6.1% in the different PHCCTs of the Central Denmark Region. The geography of the Central Denmark Region and differences in demography, infrastructure and resources at the local hospitals are possible factors
contributing to variations in the number and proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients from the different PHCCTs.

In Aarhus, the university hospital consists of five clinical centres at different locations, each housing several highly specialised departments. Given that we only have access to information regarding the clinical centre the patients are transported to and not the specific department, we excluded all patients who may have been transported to the ED by default or may have fulfilled the criteria for a predefined fast-track protocol. This exclusion may explain the apparent low incidence of patient-tailored triage within the city of Aarhus.

Comparison of the number and proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients from PHCCTs located at a distance more than 70 km from Aarhus University Hospital with those located less than 70 km away

The number of PHCCT patient contacts is unsurprisingly lower in the rural parts of the Central Denmark Region due to the smaller population. A more liberal HEMS dispatch to the western and more rural parts of the Central Denmark Region could explain why the proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients is reduced on average by approximately a factor of 3, comparing the PHCCTs with a travel distance of more than 70 km to the university hospital with those less than 70 km away (table 1 and figure 1). Furthermore, the PHCCTs may occasionally consider the travel time to Aarhus University Hospital from more rural areas to be too long due to the patient’s condition and the need for urgent in-hospital treatment, for example, damage control surgery.

Distribution of PHCCT-diverted patients sorted by prehospital diagnosis

According to the European Emergency Data Project, five critical conditions, which are defined as the ‘first hour quintet’, are both life-threatening and of great economic importance. The ‘first hour quintet’ consists of cardiac arrest, respiratory failure, severe trauma, chest pain (including acute coronary syndrome) and stroke. These conditions represent typical emergency patients for which prehospital critical care can have a crucial impact. Approximately 1200/100 000 inhabitants per year in the Capital Region of Denmark, the Central Denmark Region and the Region of Southern Denmark presented to the EMS with one of these five conditions over a 6-month period in 2011. As regional fast-track protocols cover the triage of patients with suspected acute coronary
syndrome and stroke but not patients with cardiac arrest, respiratory failure or severe trauma, PHCCT-provided triage may be essential. Therefore, it may be considered appropriate that ‘resuscitated from cardiac arrest’ and ‘multiple trauma’ are among the most frequent diagnoses for PHCCT-diverted patients (table 3). Our data do not allow us to estimate the degree of overtriage and undertriage in our system.

Distribution of PHCCT-diverted patients sorted by receiving hospital

As the main referral centre and trauma centre, it is not surprising that the majority of PHCCT-diverted patients were transported to Aarhus University Hospital.

Bias

In the process of identifying all relevant diagnoses and receiving hospitals for the PHCCT-diverted patients in the Central Denmark Region, certain diagnoses or hospitals could have been overlooked. Thus, the overall proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients would probably be underestimated.

Our data set did not allow us to identify children under the age of 15 given that all patients were anonymous and lacked any specifying characteristics, that is, age. However, we know from service experience that the vast majority of children treated by the PHCCTs are triaged to the nearest paediatric department. Through the exclusion of patients admitted to the nearest hospital, we have also excluded most children. This exclusion criterion hopefully minimises the potential bias from not being able to exclude children under the age of 15.

Given that trauma triage by the PHCCTs in children follows the same principles as in adults, the potential selection bias from not being able to exclude children suffering from major trauma is diminished. The low incidence of cardiovascular diseases among children, such as STEMI and stroke, is also important to bear in mind; however, this does not necessarily reduce this bias.

With regard to the secondary endpoint ‘Number and proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients among patients treated by the different PHCCTs’, there is likely some underestimation of the number and proportion of

---

**Table 2** Distribution of PHCCT-diverted patients among the 10 most frequent prehospital diagnoses

| Rank | Prehospital diagnosis | Description | n (%) |
|------|-----------------------|-------------|-------|
| 1    | DI460                 | Resuscitated from cardiac arrest | 143 (14) |
| 2    | DZ041                 | Observation and treatment following road traffic accident | 105 (11) |
| 3    | DZ03/DZ039            | Observation and treatment after a non-specified condition | 78 (8) |
| 4    | DI619                 | Cerebral haemorrhage | 65 (7) |
| 5    | DR568D                | Convulsions, unspecified | 58 (6) |
| 6    | DZ043                 | Observation and treatment following other accidents | 48 (5) |
| 7    | DI713/DI718           | Dissecting/ruptured aortic aneurysm | 47 (5) |
| 8    | DZ033                 | Observation and treatment following injury to the nervous system | 41 (4) |
| 9    | DS060                 | Concussion/mild TBI | 41 (4) |
| 10   | DT079                 | Polytrauma | 33 (3) |
| Total|                       |             | 659 (67) |

%, percentage of patients with the given diagnosis out of all PHCCT-diverted patients in the Central Denmark Region (n/989); n, number of PHCCT-diverted patients with given diagnosis.

PHCCT, prehospital critical care team; TBI, traumatic brain injury.

**Table 3** Distribution of PHCCT-diverted patients sorted by receiving hospital

| PHCCT     | Receiving hospital | University hospital | Regional hospital | Total |
|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------|
|           | AUH    | AAUH | OUH | RH | Viborg | Holstebro | Total |
| Lemvig    | 23     | 1    |     | 1  | 1      | 1         | 26    |
| Holstebro | 36     | 1    |     |     | 5      |           | 42    |
| Herning   | 53     | 1    | 1   |    | 1      | 1         | 55    |
| Viborg    | 52     | 4    | 1   |    | 3      | 1         | 60    |
| Silkeborg | 171    | 1    | 7   | 1  |        |          | 180   |
| Randers   | 153    | 2    |     | 1  | 1      | 2         | 155   |
| Grenaa    | 170    | 1    | 1   |    |        | 2         | 172   |
| Horsens   | 233    | 3    | 2   | 8  |        | 1         | 246   |
| Aarhus    | 52     | 1    |     |    | 1      |           | 53    |
| Total     | 943    | 10   | 3   | 15 | 14     | 4         | 989   |

The four university hospitals represent the Central, North, Southern, Zealand and Capital Regions, separately.

AAUH, Aalborg University Hospital; AUH, Aarhus University Hospital; OUH, Odense University Hospital; PHCCT, prehospital critical care team; RH, Rigshospitalet.
diverted patients from the four most western PHCCTs due to more frequent HEMS dispatch.

The PHCCTs in Herning and Holstebro did not register patient contacts in the electronic prehospital patient database of the Central Denmark Region until June/July 2013. Thus, the total number of contacts and PHCCT-diverted patients in this study is underestimated. However, data obtained from the remaining study period should be representative with regard to the proportion of PHCCT-diverted patients in Herning and Holstebro, as there were no major structural changes of the EMS of the Central Denmark Region at that time.

Perspective

This study aims to elucidate an anaesthesiologist-staffed prehospital critical care services’ impact on patient flow through the healthcare system. We were not able to identify any other studies describing this topic. The findings therefore provide new knowledge into the complex prehospital critical decision-making processes performed by PHCCTs. These findings may serve as a basis for further investigations into prehospital triage in our region and for other physician-staffed prehospital critical care services. Further studies are warranted to document the efficacy and precision of this triage.

CONCLUSION

Our results demonstrated that in 1 in 40 contacts, that is, approximately 500 times a year, the PHCCTs in the Central Denmark Region divert critically ill and injured patients not included in a predefined fast-track protocol directly to a specialised department, potentially reducing time to definitive care for these patients. There may be a potential for increased diversion of non-fast-track patients directly to specialised departments in the Central Denmark Region.
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