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ABSTRACT

Objective: This study evaluated the presence and concentration of PAHs in singed and unsinged hides and skin of slaughter cattle and goats and smoked and non-smoked fish in Awka urban area of Anambra State, Nigeria.

Methods: Sixty samples of singed and unsinged hides and skin for were collected from Kwata slaughterhouse in Awka town where fish tissues were also collected from fish smoking spots in Awka urban. The samples were examined for the presence PAHs such as: benzo[a]pyrene; benzo[a]anthracene; benzo[k]fluoranthene and indeno[1-2-3cd]pyrene using gas chromatography equipped with flame ionization detector.

Results: The result showed that the mean concentrations (µg/kg) of benzo[a]pyrene and indeno[1-2-3cd]pyrene in unsinged cattle hides were 7.89±7.77 and 2.25±0.97 respectively. The mean concentrations upon singeing were of 15.81±14.93 and 3.55±2.89. The mean concentrations (µg/kg) of benzo[a]pyrene; benzo[k]fluoranthene and indeno[1-2-3cd]pyrene in unsinged goat skins were 6.52±10.77; 6.58±5.93 and 3.48±0.00 respectively. When the goatskin was singed, the mean concentrations were 17.50±26.03; 10.84±11.19 and 8.79±11.77. The mean concentrations (µg/kg) of benzo[a]pyrene; benzo[k]fluoranthene and indeno[1-2-3cd]pyrene in non-smoked fish were 8.65±9.67; 2.11±2.10 and 54.76±60.35 respectively. When the fish were smoked, the mean concentrations of 26.42±40.21; 6.64±11.11 and 599.36±1395.61 were recorded respectively. In all species of animals sampled, no trace of benzo[a]anthracene was detected.

Conclusion: The mean concentrations of all carcinogenic PAHs detected in this work were below the maximum permissible level set by World Health Organization (WHO) and European Commission (30µg/kg) with the exception of indeno[1-2-3cd]pyrene in smoked and non-smoked fish, which was far above the permissible level.
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INTRODUCTION

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are among ubiquitous chemicals that abound in the environment. They constitute a large class of organic compounds, containing 2 or more fused aromatic rings made up of carbon and hydrogen atoms [1].

When food items, particularly meat, meat products and fish are subjected to thermal treatment (singeing, smoking, roasting, grilling or barbecuing), PAHs are formed as a result of incomplete combustion or thermal decomposition of organic materials [2]. Pyrolysis of fats in the thermal treatment at high temperature (singeing, smoking, roasting, grilling or barbecuing), PAHs are formed as a result of incomplete combustion or thermal decomposition of organic materials [2]. Pyrolysis of fats in the thermal treatment at high temperature (singeing, smoking, roasting, grilling or barbecuing), PAHs are formed as a result of incomplete combustion or thermal decomposition of organic materials [2]. Pyrolysis of fats in the thermal treatment at high temperature (singeing, smoking, roasting, grilling or barbecuing), PAHs are formed as a result of incomplete combustion or thermal decomposition of organic materials [2]. Pyrolysis of fats in the thermal treatment at high temperature (singeing, smoking, roasting, grilling or barbecuing), PAHs are formed as a result of incomplete combustion or thermal decomposition of organic materials [2]. Pyrolysis of fats in the thermal treatment at high temperature (singeing, smoking, roasting, grilling or barbecuing), PAHs are formed as a result of incomplete combustion or thermal decomposition of organic materials [2]. Pyrolysis of fats in the thermal treatment at high temperature (singeing, smoking, roasting, grilling or barbecuing), PAHs are formed as a result of incomplete combustion or thermal decomposition of organic materials [2]. Pyrolysis of fats in the thermal treatment at high temperature (singeing, smoking, roasting, grilling or barbecuing), PAHs are formed as a result of incomplete combustion or thermal decomposition of organic materials [2]. Pyrolysis of fats in the thermal treatment at high temperature (singeing, smoking, roasting, grilling or barbecuing), PAHs are formed as a result of incomplete combustion or thermal decomposition of organic materials [2]. Pyrolysis of fats in the thermal treatment at high temperature (singeing, smoking, roasting, grilling or barbecuing), PAHs are formed as a result of incomplete combustion or thermal decomposition of organic materials [2]. Pyrolysis of fats in the thermal treatment at high temperature (singeing, smoking, roasting, grilling or barbecuing), PAHs are formed as a result of incomplete combustion or thermal decomposition of organic materials [2].
Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in animal products (Hides and Skin), ready-to-eat meat (Suya) and smoked fish. It is against this background that this study was designed to assess the presence and concentration of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study area is Awka urban, in Anambra State, Nigeria. Awka, the capital of Anambra state is situated on Latitude 6° 12' 25''N and 7° 04' 04'' E, with an elevation of 136m. Awka is the capital of Anambra State, Nigeria. Anambra State is one of the five states that make up the South East geopolitical zone. It has interstate boundaries with Delta State to the west, Imo and Rivers States to the south, Enugu State to the east and Kogi State to the north. It derives its name from the Anambra River (a tributary of the River Niger). The monsoon winds from the Atlantic create seven months of heavy tropical rains, which occur between the months of April and October and followed by five months of dryness between the months of November and March. The temperature of Awka is generally 27° to 30° Celsius, between June and December, but rises between 32° to 34° Celsius, between January and April with the last few months of the dry season marked by intense heat.

**Study design**

The study design was a cross-sectional survey involving PAHs assessment in singed and unsigned hides and skin of cattle and goats and smoked and non-smoked fish.

**Sampling procedure and sampling sites**

Samples were collected from cattle hides and goats skin after slaughtering and singeing at “Kwata” slaughterhouse, Awka. About 20g portion of hides and skin were cut from each slaughtered animal. A systematic sampling technique of one out of every five animals slaughtered for processing was adopted for sample collection.

Fish tissues (muscle) were collected before and after the fish have been smoked for at least 6 h. Purposive sampling technique was used to identify 6 major fish smoking spots that have a high level of patronage.

**Sample size and transportation**

Sample size was 60 samples, comprising 20 samples each from singed and unsigned hides from slaughtered cattle; 20 samples each from singed and unsigned skin from slaughtered goats and 20 samples each from smoked and non-smoked fish tissues. The 60 samples were arrived at, using the formula n = z²*p*q / [13]; where z is constant 1.96; p = prevalence of previous study; d = Confidence interval, expressed as decimal (0.05); and n = sample size. The prevalence for determination of the sample size was based on the work of [14] which was 4%. A minimum sample size of 59 was arrived at. The samples collected were put in different sterilized cellophane bags, which were labeled accordingly and tied tightly. They were then placed in a cooler containing ice blocks at 32 °C and transported to the laboratory (Springboard Laboratory, Awka, Anambra state) for analysis immediately. The remaining samples were kept at 7° C.

**Laboratory analysis**

**Pretreatment**

Chemical drying of the samples was performed by grinding with Sodium sulphate (Na₂SO₄), which is a drying agent until the samples were reduced to fine consistency, so as to increase the surface interaction of solvent and matrix. This increased the homogeneity of the samples so as to increase the extractability of the analytes in the samples. Extraction of the samples was done using Soxhlet Extraction method first of all, rinse all the glass apparatus by petroleum ether and dry it in the oven at 102 °C and after removing it keep in the desiccator. 5 gram of ground and dried sample was weighed and placed it in the thimble. The thimble was placed in the soxlet extractor. A clean 150 ml round bottom flask was filled with 90 ml petroleum ether. The whole setting was placed on a heating mantle and the petroleum ether allowed to boil for several hours almost 6 h, the sample was allowed to cool and almost all the solvent collected after distillation and sample weighed. Florisil cleanup method was used using traditional column chromatography. In the column cleanup protocol, the column is packed with the appropriate amount of adsorbent, topped with a water adsorbent, and then loaded with the sample extract. Elution of the analytes is effected with a suitable solvent(s) leaving the interfering compounds on the column. The eluate was further concentrated prior to gas chromatographic analysis.

**Data analysis**

Singed and unsigned goats skin, and smoked and non-smoked fish were compared using student’s t-test. The concentrations in the three animals’ species were subjected to one way ANOVA. Significance was accepted at p<0.05.

**RESULTS**

The results from this study showed that cattle hides and goat skin from Kwata slaughterhouse and fish in Awka area in Awka town accumulated varying levels of PAHs. Butchers used bamboo woods and animals fats for singeing hides and skin while fish sellers used charcoal fire for smoking fish. Out of 16 known PAHs components, 10 were detected in cattle hides, 12 in goatskin and 11 were detected in fish.

**Mean concentrations of PAHs**

Among the well-known human carcinogenic PAHs (a) benzo[a]pyrene; (b) benzo[a] fluoranthene; (c) benzo[a] anthracene; (d)benzo[1-2-3cd]pyrene (IARC, 1973 and EPA, 1985), only three components were detected in all the samples submitted.

**Cattle hides**

The mean concentration of carcinogenic PAHs in unsinged hides were 0.89±7.77 for benzo[a]pyrene and 2.25±0.97 for indeno[1-2-3cd]pyrene and none above maximum permissible levels (MPL) 30μg/kg (EC, 2011). Two out of 8 components of non-carcinogenic PAHs detected were above the MPL, they are pyrene 42.42±22.76 and benzo[b]fluoranthene 60.49±48.89 as seen in table 1.

Upon singeing, the levels of these human carcinogenic PAHs increased but none above the MPL, for benzo[a]pyrene from 0.89±7.77 to 1.81±4.93 and indeno[1-2-3cd]pyrene from 2.25±0.97 to 3.55±2.89. The two detected human non-carcinogenic PAHs components increased; pyrene from 42.42±22.76 to 53.12±41.02 and benzo[b]fluoranthene from 60.49±48.89 to 89.84±42.27.

| PAHs                  | USH   | SH    | t-value | p-value | MPL   |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|---------|---------|-------|
| Benzo[a]pyrene        | 0.89±7.77 | 1.81±14.93 | -1.49   | 0.15    | 30μg/kg |
| Phenanthrene          | 0.81±0.50 | 2.28±0.72   | -3.76   | 0.01*   |       |
| Pyrene                | 42.42±22.76 | 53.12±41.92 | -0.56   | 0.59    |       |
| Fluoranthene          | 1.04±0.22 | 2.31±0.82   | -2.40   | 0.06    |       |
| Chrysene              | 7.58±1.00 | 9.12±7.55   | -0.37   | 0.70    |       |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene  | 60.49±48.89 | 89.84±42.27 | -0.79   | 0.48    |       |
| Acenaphthylene        | 0.99±0.67 | 3.91±2.60   | -1.89   | 0.13    |       |
| Acenaphthene          | 1.52±0.18 | 0.76±0.80   | -1.28   | 0.25    |       |
| Fluorene              | 1.93±0.96 | 2.68±2.00   | -0.47   | 0.67    |       |
| Indeno[1-2-3cd]pyrene*| 2.25±0.97 | 3.55±2.29   | -0.73   | 0.49    | 30μg/kg |

(**)= Human Carcinogenic PAHs, (*) = There is significant difference (significance accepted at p<0.05); USH = Unsinged Hides; SH = Singed Hides; MPL = Maximum permissible level (EC, 2011).
Goatskin

The mean concentration of human carcinogenic PAHs were; 0.52±10.77 for benzo[a]pyrene; 6.58±5.93 for benzo[k]fluoranthene and 3.48±0.00 for indeno[1-2-3]pyrene. Out of the 9 non-human carcinogenic PAHs, only pyrene was detected above MPL-35.01±13.36.

Table 2: Mean concentration (µg/kg) of PAHs in goat skin at kwata slaughterhouse

| PAHs                  | USS          | SS           | t-value | p-value | MPL        |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|------------|
| Benzo[a]pyrene**      | 0.52±10.77   | 1.50±26.03   | -1.18   | 0.26    | 30µg/kg    |
| Phenanthrene          | 0.65±0.74    | 1.90±2.13    | -1.11   | 0.30    |            |
| Pyrene                | 35.01±3.36   | 123.77±59.02 | -3.38   | 0.01    |            |
| Fluoranthene          | 19.49±5.69   | 90.06±172.26 | -0.90   | 0.39    |            |
| Chrysene              | 3.04±1.00    | 4.44±5.39    | -0.43   | 0.68    |            |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene  | 6.86±13.86   | 18.58±35.22  | -0.45   | 0.67    |            |
| Acenaphthylene        | 1.46±1.95    | 3.07±3.40    | -0.59   | 0.60    |            |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene**| 6.58±5.93    | 10.94±11.19  | -0.76   | 0.47    | 30µg/kg    |
| Naphthalene           | 1.38±1.26    | 5.23±4.85    | -1.33   | 0.25    |            |
| Indeno[1-2-3]pyrene** | 3.48±0.00    | 8.79±1.77    | -0.37   | 0.78    | 30µg/kg    |
| Acenaphthene          | 0.40±0.00    | 0.70±1.05    | -0.56   | 0.62    |            |

(*) = Human Carcinogenic PAHs; (**) = There is significant difference (significance accepted at p≤ 0.05); USS = Unsinged skin; SS= Singed skin; MPL = Maximum permissible level (EC, 2011)

Fish

The mean concentrations of human carcinogenic PAHs in non-smoked fish were, 0.65±9.67 for benzo[a]pyrene; 2.11±2.16 for benzo[k]fluoranthene and 54.76±60.35 for indeno[1-2-3]pyrene. On smoking the levels of human carcinogenic PAHs increased; for benzo[k]fluoranthene from 2.11±2.16 to 6.64±11.11 and indeno[1-2-3]pyrene from 54.76±60.35 to 599.36±1395.61 which is far above MPL. Upon smoking, the mean concentration of some non-human carcinogenic PAHs increased; pyrene 28.12±16.45 to 34.59±38.80; fluoranthene from 23.60±21.38 to 51.29±78.94; Chrysene from 21.36±19.30 to 135.96±273.32; benzo[b]fluoranthene 15.75±25.12 to 164.00±380.05 and fluorine from 11.88±7.13 to 32.91±36.48.

Table 3: Mean concentrations (µg/kg) of PAHs in fish in awka town

| PAHs                  | NSF          | SF           | t-value | p-value | MPL        |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|------------|
| Benzo[a]pyrene**      | 0.65±9.67    | 2.42±40.21   | 0.55    | 0.59    | 30µg/kg    |
| Phenanthrene          | 0.86±1.09    | 3.12±2.17    | -1.63   | 0.16    |            |
| Fluoranthene          | 28.12±16.45  | 34.59±38.80  | 0.52    | 0.61    |            |
| Chrysene              | 23.60±21.38  | 51.29±78.94  | -0.59   | 0.59    |            |
| Acenaphthylene        | 21.36±19.30  | 135.96±273.32| 0.63    | 0.54    |            |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene  | 15.75±25.12  | 6.64±11.11   | -0.91   | 0.38    | 30µg/kg    |
| Naphthalene           | 1.34±0.94    | 1.88±2.43    | -0.91   | 0.41    |            |
| Fluorine              | 11.88±7.13   | 32.91±36.48  | 0.64    | 0.59    |            |
| Indeno[1-2-3]pyrene** | 54.76±60.35  | 599.36±1395.61| -0.76 | 0.47    | 30µg/kg    |

(**) = Human Carcinogenic PAHs; NSF = Non-smoked fish; SF = Smoked fish; MPL = Maximum permissible level (EC, 2011); There is no significant difference in mean concentration of NSF/SF (significance accepted at p≤ 0.05)

DISCUSSION

The finding in this present study showed that PAHs were present in the sample analyzed in variable quantity which agrees with recent work conducted by [15] who observed the appreciable amount of PAHs both raw and smoked food items. The finding in this present study however contrast with the report of [1] at Ammosoma, Niger Delta, Nigeria who detected 15 PAHs in reasonable quantity in the roasted food items and 3 were found in 'Suya'beef, but none was detected in the raw food items and roasted plantain. The high concentration of PAHs recorded in this study in the unsinged hides and skin and non-smoked fish may be attributed to the presence of PAHs in the local environment which the animals could easily have come in contact with through scavenging in open waste or refuse dumps, free range grazing drinking water from polluted streams and drains, polluted lakes and rivers and exposure to atmospheric depositions especially from automobile fumes, agricultural chemicals and open burning of solid wastes. The industrial activities within Awka area of Anambra State, which constitute the majority of the cattle and goat feed during free ranching as practiced in Nigeria [16]. Apart from the slight commercial activities in Awka, the available concentration of the PAHs in raw food could be hypothetically linked to proximity of Awka close to the heavily populated and industrially active city of Onitsha. Also, weather changes and wind dispersion could transport the substances and become deposited at non-impact areas [17]. Most PAHs do not dissolve easily in water. They stick to solid particles and settle to the bottom of lakes or rivers thus may account for the presence and high concentrations of PAHs in the non-smoked fish in this work. [14], in their work reported that there were a high concentration of PAHs in dump sites in Akwa. This shows that refuse dumps sites are the possible major source of PAHs in Akwa. This may suggest that food animals awaiting slaughter slab in Kwata may have been exposed to these pollutants either through scavenging or grazing at these dump sites. The high concentration of some of these PAHs in smoked fish samples than in singed hides and skin could be due to the longer smoking duration of fish (6hours) than that of hides and skin (30 min to 1hour) as observed by [18]; higher fat content of fish as observed by [19] and pyrolysis resulting from smoking the levels of these human carcinogenic PAHs increased but none above MPL (30µg/kg); benzo[a]pyrene from 0.52±10.77 to 1.50±26.03; benzo[k]fluoranthene from 8.68±5.93 to 10.84±11.19 and indeno[1-2-3]pyrene from 3.48±0.00 to 8.79±11.77. Fluoranthene and Fluorine increased above MPL19.49±26.49 to 90.06±172.26 and 10.87±0.00 to 30.27±0.00 respectively as shown in table 2.
from melted fat from fish dropping onto the heat source [20]. Among the well-known human carcinogenic PAHs: benzo[a]anthracene; benzo[a]pyrene; benzo[k]fluoranthene and indeno[1-2-3cd]pyrene (IARC, 1973 and EPA, 1985), only three components were detected in all the samples analysed; benzo[a]pyrene (BaP); benzo[k] fluoranthene (BkF); and indeno[1-2-3cd]pyrene (IP). The mean concentration of human carcinogenic PAHs in all the three species of animals was below the EC, maximum permissible level except Indeno[1-2-3cd]pyrene (IP) in fish (non-smoked and smoked fish) which was far above EC, MPL. This might pose health problems to those who might have consumed fish from the source of this sample. This shows that there may be high accumulations of PAHs from the source of water (lake, or river) from which the sampled fishes were collected.

In this work IP mean concentration in non-smoked and smoked fish were above EC, MPL (54.76 and 599.36µg/kg respectively) and these were in contrast with the reports of [4] which showed lower mean concentration of IP (6.4µg/kg in smoked fish and not available in non-smoked fish). Findings in this present study however, agreed with the rest of human carcinogenic PAHs detected (i.e. BaP and BkF), which were not above EC, MPL 30µg/kg, [21]. The range of values of concentration of BaP/IP in smoked fish recorded in this present study agreed with the range reported by Akpan et al. (1994); was different from that of [4] and [22] which had 2.4-31.2µg/kg and 11.1-66.9µg/kg respectively.

CONCLUSION

In this study, the elevated PAHs concentration in the samples processed may be attributed to the incomplete combustion of the materials. The study concluded that human carcinogenic PAHs contaminant in the fish exceeds the acceptable limit, and therefore of public health risk when such fish is consumed.
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