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Abstract

Background: Mitochondrial (mt) gene arrangement has been highly conserved among vertebrates from jawless fishes to mammals for more than 500 million years. It remains unclear, however, whether such long-term persistence is a consequence of some constraints on the gene order.

Results: Based on the analysis of codon usage and tRNA gene positions, we suggest that tRNA gene order of the typical vertebrate mt-genomes may be important for their translational efficiency. The vertebrate mt-genome encodes 2 rRNA, 22 tRNA, and 13 transmembrane proteins consisting mainly of hydrophobic domains. We found that the tRNA genes specifying the hydrophobic residues were positioned close to the control region (CR), where the transcription efficiency is estimated to be relatively high. Using 47 vertebrate mt-genome sequences representing jawless fishes to mammals, we further found a correlation between codon usage and tRNA gene positions, implying that highly-used tRNA genes are located close to the CR. In addition, an analysis considering the asymmetric nature of mtDNA replication suggested that the tRNA loci that remain in single-strand for a longer time tend to have more guanine and thymine not suffering deamination mutations in their anticodon sites.

Conclusions: Our analyses imply the existence of translational constraint acting on the vertebrate mt-gene arrangement. Such translational constraint, together with the deamination-related constraint, may have contributed to long-term maintenance of gene order.

Background

The animal mitochondrial (mt)-genome generally encodes 13 protein, 2 rRNA, and 22 tRNA genes. Although their arrangement is rather variable among invertebrate mt-genomes, a typical gene arrangement has been highly conserved among vertebrate mt-genomes from jawless fishes to mammals with some exceptions [1,2]. This implies an extremely long-term persistence of mt-gene order probably for > 500 million years across diverse clades of vertebrates. However, it has been unclear whether such high-conservation of gene order is a consequence of some constraints, or whether it results only by sharing a common ancestry.

This has been a long-standing enigma for more than 20 years since the initial reports of the whole mt-genome sequence of vertebrates [3]. To address this problem, we analyzed codon usage and tRNA gene arrangements of the vertebrate mt-genomes to examine possible constraints on the gene order of vertebrate mt-genomes.

Results and Discussion

Amino acid usage and tRNA gene arrangement

We began by focusing on the fact that all of the 13 proteins encoded by the vertebrate mt-genomes are transmembrane proteins [3], which are rich in hydrophobic amino acid residues (Fig. 1). The frequency of codon usage for hydrophobic amino acids is, consequently, higher in the vertebrate mt-genome (0.624, the mtREV matrix [4]) compared to a general nuclear genome (0.490, the JTT matrix [5]). Based on this observation, we divided the mt-tRNA genes into two groups...
according to the hydrophobicity of their corresponding amino acids, and then examined their positions in the vertebrate mt-genomes (Fig. 2). This revealed that genes for tRNAs that specify hydrophobic amino acids (Fig. 2, colored magenta) are located close to the control region (CR; [6]) compared with the other genes for tRNAs specifying hydrophilic amino acids (Fig. 2, colored blue; p = 0.0295, Mann-Whitney U-test, U = 27,000, n₁ = 10, n₂ = 12; the detailed data based on human mt-genome is shown in Table 1).

Such tRNA gene localization may indicate that, in the typical vertebrate mt-genomes, highly-used tRNA genes are located in the genomic region close to the CR, where the transcription efficiency is thought to be relatively high. The transcription of the vertebrate mt-genome is initiated from regulatory elements within the CR [6,7], and thus, the complete transcription of the genes into mRNA and functional RNAs would be more successful in the genomic region closer to the CR. In fact, the two rRNA genes immediately adjacent to the CR (12S and 16S; see Fig. 2) are highly expressed [8]. Likewise, the tRNA genes localized close to the CR, which specify hydrophobic residues, would also be highly expressed. Such an efficient production of the highly-used tRNAs may be favorable for translation of vertebrate mt-genomes.

### Correlation between codon usage and tRNA gene position

Given the increasing availability of full-length mt-genome sequence data from a broad range of vertebrate
species, the tRNA gene arrangement with regards to amino acid usage can be assessed more quantitatively by analyzing a correlation between physical (base pair [bp]) distance from the CR to each tRNA gene and codon usage of the mt-genome. We analyzed correlation between these two parameters using both parametric and non-parametric methods based on the mt-genome sequences of 47 representative vertebrates from jawless fish, cartilaginous fish, ray-finned fish, amphibians, reptiles, birds, and mammals (species names are listed in Table 2). Among these 47 species, 33 represent the “evolutionarily stable” mt-gene orders including the typical gene arrangement of vertebrates and slightly rearranged gene orders of lamprey and birds (supplementary Fig. S1 [see Additional file 1]). The remaining 14 species from ray-finned fish, amphibians, and reptiles represent rearranged gene orders within these lower taxa. More rearranged mt-genomes were sampled from ray-finned fish (8 species) than from amphibians and reptiles (6 species) because ray-finned fish is a sister group to all tetrapods, and shows greater diversity in terms of mt-gene rearrangements.

In the correlation analysis, we aimed to eliminate the effects of shared common ancestry [9] based on independent contrast analysis [10] of the codon usage and tRNA positions. Independent contrasts for these two variables were estimated using the program CAIC [11] based on a composite tree of the sampled species that was constructed from recent molecular phylogenies for the major clades of vertebrates (supplementary Fig. S2 [see Additional file 1]). This method focuses on differences only between sister lineages or nodes in a phylogeny, which have arisen after a split, therefore yielding sets of independent “contrasts” [10]. Our data points were derived from ancestral states (namely, various nodes across the tree) based on independent contrasts of tRNA genes (listed in supplementary Table S1, Table S2, and Table S3 [see Additional file 1]). Thus, many data points were above the level of major clades such as mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, actinopterygians, chondrichthysans, and agnathans, and the total number of data points became much smaller than the number of pair-wise comparisons.

As a result, we found a significant correlation between the tRNA positions and codon usage (Fig. 3; evolutionarily stable gene orders: Pearson’s correlation coefficient $r = -0.1260$, one-tailed $p = 0.0104$, $n = 336$; Spearman rank-correlation coefficient $r_s = -0.0827$, $t = -2.2171$, one-tailed $p = 0.0136$, $n = 336$, d.f. = 334; rearranged gene orders within lower taxa: Pearson’s correlation coefficient $r = -0.2209$, one-tailed $p = 0.0001$, $n = 308$; Spearman rank-correlation coefficient $r_s = -0.2336$.

### Table 2 List of the species studied and the DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank accession numbers of their mitochondrial genome sequences

| Scientific name                | Common name       | Accession No. |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| **Evolutionarily stable gene orders** |
| Agnatha                        |
| Eptatretus burgeri             | Inshore hagfish   | AJ278504      |
| Myxine glutinosa               | Atlantic hagfish  | AJ404477      |
| Petromyzon marinus             | Sea lamprey       | U11880        |
| Chondrichthyes                 |
| Chimaera monstrosa             | Rabbitfish        | AJ310140      |
| Scyllorhinus canicula          | Catshark          | Y16067        |
| Squalus acanthis               | Spiny dogfish     | Y18134        |
| Heterodontus francisci         | Horn shark        | AJ310141      |
| Raja radiata                   | Thorny skate      | AF106038      |
| Actinopterygii                 |
| Lepisosteus spatula            | Alligator gar     | AP004355      |
| Cyprinus carpio                | Common carp       | AP009047      |
| Gadus morhua                   | Atlantic cod      | X99772        |
| Pangu major                    | Seabream          | AP002949      |
| Paralichthys olivaceus         | Bastard halibut   | AB028664      |
| **Amphibia**                   |
| Ambystoma mexicanum            | Axolotl           | AJ584639      |
| Andrias japonicus              | Giant salamander  | AB208679      |
| Bombina bombina                | Fire-bellied toad | AY458591      |
| Xenopus laevis                 | Clawed frog       | M10217        |
| Ichthyophis glutinosus         | Caecilian         | AY456251      |
| **Reptilia**                   |
| Chelonia mydas                 | Seaturtle         | AB012104      |
| Geochelone pardalis            | Tortoise          | DQ080041      |
| Gekko gecko                    | Tokay             | AY282753      |
| Iguana iguana                  | Iguana            | AJ278511      |
| Geocalamus acutus              | Worm lizard       | AY605476      |
| **Aves**                       |
| Gallus gallus                  | Chicken           | X52392        |
| Nipponia nippon                | Crested ibis      | AB104902      |
| Vidua chalybeata               | Widowfinch        | AF090341      |
| Falco peregrinus               | Peregrine falcon  | AF090338      |
| Buteo buteo                    | Buzzard           | AF380305      |
| **Mammalia**                   |
| Homo sapiens                   | Human             | AF347015      |
| Mus musculus                   | Mouse             | AY172335      |
| Bos taurus                     | Cattle            | AY526085      |
| Canis lupus                    | Gray wolf         | DQ480505      |
| Balaenoptera musculus          | Blue whale        | X72204        |
Table 2 List of the species studied and the DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank accession numbers of their mitochondrial genome sequences (Continued)

| Rearranged gene orders within lower taxa | Actinopterygii | Amphibia | Reptilia |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| Eurypharynx plecanoides                 | Pelican eel    | AB046473 |
| Saccopharynx lavenbergi                | Gulper eel     | AB047825 |
| Gonostoma gracile                      | Slender fangjaw| AB016274 |
| Myctophum affine                       | Lantern fish   | AP002922 |
| Caenorinchus kishinouyei               | Grenadier      | AP002929 |
| Aspasma minima                         | Clingfish      | AP004453 |
| Aulostomus chinesisis                  | Trumpet fish   | AP009197 |
| Chlorurus sordidus                     | Parrot fish    | AP006567 |

|                      | Pit viper      | EU913477 |
|----------------------|----------------|----------|
| Boa constrictor      | Boa            | AB177354 |
| Diodon semicannatus  | Colubrid snake| AB008539 |
| Gloydus blomhoffii   |                |          |

For all of the 47 mt-genomes: Pearson’s correlation coefficient $r = 0.0722$, Stouffer’s combined $p = 0.3128$; the results of the correlation in the respective 22 tRNAs are shown in the supplementary Fig. S2 [see Additional file 1]). This implies that not a few gene order rearrangements without improvement have existed for some periods of evolutionary time. It is noted, however, that the correlation between tRNA position and codon usage was significant (or marginally significant)
Figure 3 A linear regression plot between position of tRNA genes and usage of the corresponding codon. The data points were derived from an independent contrasts analysis using the program CAIC [11] based on vertebrate mt-genome sequences from (A) 33 species with evolutionarily stable gene order, (B) 14 species with rearranged gene orders within lower taxa, and (C) all of the 47 species selected (Table 2) and recent molecular phylogenies for vertebrates (supplementary Fig. S2 [see Additional file 1]). Data points for the tRNA genes that specify hydrophobic and hydrophilic amino acids are colored magenta and blue, respectively; exceptionally, the data points for the tRNA-Leu (CUN) and tRNA-Thr are colored light pink and light blue, respectively. The regression lines were derived from the all data points in each plot. When the tRNA genes of H-strand and L-strand were analyzed separately, the correlation remained negative for either of the strands (data not shown).
for the above three cases only. Their novel gene order arrangements might be maintained through some forms of natural selection.

On the basis of these sets of analyses, we propose that the tRNA gene arrangement of vertebrate mt-genomes, and possibly that of an ancestral, original vertebrate mt-genome, may be adaptive with regard to translational efficiency. The genes close to the CR, where the transcription initiation sites of both strands exist, appear to be highly expressed in the vertebrate mt-genomes [8]. Consequently, genes of tRNAs specifying highly-used codons would be favorably located close to the CR to ensure the efficient translation of the protein-coding genes in the vertebrate mt-genomes.

Mitochondrial gene arrangement and translational constraint

On the basis of the results obtained from our analyses, we suggest the existence of translational constraint on the positions of mt-tRNA genes, but not on their gene copy numbers, in the vertebrate mt-genomes, although the constraint may be weak. In nuclear genomes, translational selection is known to promote adaptation of tRNA gene number to the usage of the corresponding codon [12,13]. Clear association of tRNA gene number with codon usage has been observed in the genomes of various organisms ranging from *E. coli* to humans [14-19]. The vertebrate mt-genome is also likely exposed to translational selection because vertebrates are considered to be metabolically active and have high rates of ATP synthesis. However, translational selection would not act at the level of tRNA gene numbers in the vertebrate mt-genome since it is extremely compact and the number of contained genes is limited.

Recently, some studies suggest the replication and translational constraints affected the positions of translational genes such as RNA polymerase, rRNA, and tRNA genes in bacterial genomes [20], and abundant and broadly expressed genes in the human genome [21]. Such constraints associated with translation and gene expression may also have limited the gene order rearrangement of vertebrate mt-genomes, specifically the rearrangements which interfere with transcriptional efficiency of mt-tRNAs (see Fig. 3B, Fig. 4B, and supplementary Fig. S3 and Fig. S4 [see Additional file 1]). This constraint may have driven the conservation of the mt-gene arrangement among vertebrates from jawless fishes to mammals for more than 500 million years.

Gene-order rearrangements are often found in vertebrate mt-genomes within lower taxonomic categories such as families, genera, and species (166/769 = 21.6% of species [22]), however, there are no extensive rearrangements shared across higher taxa, which are likely to have persisted for long evolutionary periods of
time [1]. This observation further implies the existence of constraint on vertebrate mt-gene orders, possibly through translational efficiency as discussed above. Exceptionally, mt-genomes of birds and lampreys show some little deviation from the typical gene order; either of the bird or lamprey have mt-genomes showing some changes in tRNA-Glu, tRNA-Thr, and tRNA-Pro gene positions (see supplementary Fig. S1 [see Additional file 1]). These non-typical gene orderings, however, interfere little with the correlation reported above (when birds and lampreys were excluded; \( r = -0.1499, \) one-tailed \( p = 0.0071, n = 267 \)). This also implies that the deviations of the tRNA-Leu (CUN) and tRNA-Thr from the supported relationship (see Fig. 3) do not arise from the rearrangements of birds and lampreys.

We found two tRNA genes that contradict our notion. The tRNA-Leu (CUN) and tRNA-Thr genes were located distant from their putative transcription start site in the CR, but the usage of their corresponding codons was relatively high (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). The presence of such outlier tRNAs is not strange because mt-tRNA genes are also under constraints associated with their other functions such as punctuation markers during the pre-mRNA processing of adjacent genes [7]. However, one attractive possible constraint on mt-tRNA position is deamination gradients during replication and possibly transcription of the mt-DNA [23]. Deamination commonly occurs in single-stranded DNA exposed during replication or transcription, causing mutations from adenine to guanine and from cytosine to thymine [23,24]. Consequently, the gene loci exposed in single strand for longer time in replication and transcription are more prone to suffer these deamination mutations. In the replication of mtDNA [25,26], the Heavy (H)-strand is initially replicated from the H-strand replication origin \( (O_H) \) in the CR. The parental, original H-strand is exposed as a single strand (see right part of the Fig. 5A, gray line). Subsequently, using this original H-strand as a lagging strand, the Light (L)-strand is replicated from the L-strand replication origin \( (O_L) \) in a WANCY region [26] (Fig. 5A, green arrow). Based on this view, the tRNA genes located more distant from the \( O_L \) along the direction of L-strand replication would be exposed as a single strand for a longer time. Consequently, such tRNA genes are more likely to undergo the deamination mutations. A similar deamination gradient might also exist in transcription initiated from the CR [23].

The present analysis considering the asymmetric nature of mtDNA replication provided results match with the above prediction (Fig. 5). The tRNA loci that are expected to be exposed as a single strand for a longer time tend to have more guanine (G) and thymine (T) in their anticodon region on the coding strands (Fig. 5A: tRNA loci located between the \( O_L \) and \( O_H \) along the direction of L-strand replication: \( r = 0.1750 \) one-tailed \( p = 0.2838, n = 13 \); Fig. 5B: all tRNA genes: \( r = 0.4281 \) one-tailed \( p = 0.0234, n = 22 \)). This suggests that the tRNA gene arrangement of typical vertebrate mt-genome is also adaptive in avoiding mutations in anticodons through deamination during replication, and possibly, in transcription [23]. Regarding the correlation coefficients, the deamination-related constraint may be stronger than the codon/amino-acid usage-related constraint discussed above.

**Conclusion**

In this paper, we propose that the high conservation of the gene arrangement of the vertebrate mt-genome is underpinned not only by a shared common ancestry, but also by translational constraint acting on the tRNA gene arrangement. This conclusion can be derived from the simple observation that the mt-tRNA genes corresponding to hydrophobic amino acids, which are frequently used in translation of the mt-genes, are localized close to the CR. In addition, an analysis considering the asymmetric nature of mtDNA replication suggested that deamination-related constraint against mutations in tRNA anticodons is also an important determinant of the tRNA gene arrangement in the typical vertebrate mt-genome. The translational constraint together with the deamination-related constraint may have contributed to shaping and maintaining the typical gene order of the vertebrate mt-genomes.

**Methods**

**Taxonomic sampling of mitochondrial genome data**

To consider variation in codon usage and gene arrangement across typical vertebrate mt-genomes, we chose five species from each of mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, actinopterygians, and chondrichthyans, and three species from agnathans, for which only few mt-genome sequences were available in databases. Those 33 mt-genomes are defined as “evolutionarily stable” gene orders. In addition, to include mt-genomes that have rearranged gene orders within lower taxa, we chose eight species from actinopterygians and three species from reptiles and amphibians, respectively. Those 14 mt-genomes are defined as “rearranged gene orders within lower taxa”. Species names and GenBank accession numbers of the mt-genomes are listed in Table 2: these species were selected to represent a broad niche breadth. The invertebrates could not be analyzed in this study, because a transcription system of the mt-genome and a sound phylogenetic frame are unclear for most of them.
Measuring codon usage and the position of each tRNA gene

The usage of each codon was counted in the sequence of the 13 protein-coding genes (ND1, ND2, ND3, ND4, ND4L, ND5, ND6, CO I, CO II, CO III, ATPase6, ATPase8, and Cyt b) of the mt-genomes examined. The overlapping codons between ATPase 8 and ATPase 6, and between ND4L and ND4 were considered once for each gene, because the open reading frame was different among these neighboring genes. To measure the position of each tRNA gene, base-pair distances from the 3' end of CR to the 5' end of each tRNA gene were counted in their respective positions on the H- and L-strands of mt-genome sequences. Although the accurate locations of the transcription start sites of mt-genome are unknown in most of the vertebrate species, it is assumed that the transcription start site for heavy and light strands may differ in distance from the 3' end of CR on the respective strand. Therefore, we examined whether such supposed differences affect the analysis in this study, and we found that the hypothetical differences of ±150 bp and ±500 bp in distance, which are based on a reference [27], do not affect the significance of the results of Mann-Whitney U-test and correlation analyses shown in the Results. Thus, we considered that measuring the positions of tRNA genes based on their base pair distances from the 3' end of the CR is justified.

Regression analysis considering the effects of shared common ancestry

To examine whether the frequency of usage of each codon varies with the position of its corresponding...
tRNA gene (the base-pair distance from the CR), we calculated Pearson’s correlation coefficient ($r$) and Spearman rank-correlation coefficient ($r_s$), and evaluated the significance of the relationship both parametrically and non-parametrically, respectively. To account for the effect of shared common ancestry [9], “independent contrasts” [10] for these two variables were estimated using the program CAIC [11] based on a composite tree of the sampled species (see Additional file S2). The typical mt-gene order has predominated and persisted in most of the major vertebrate lineages for more than 500 million years, however, local gene order rearrangements and codon usage variation have been observed and described in vertebrates [1,2,28]. By considering such potential changeability of mt-gene order and codon usage, we regarded the data points obtained from independent contrast analysis as virtually independent of each other, although all vertebrate mt-genomes share a common ancestor. The analysis using the program CAIC was performed using logarithmically transformed data to focus on the proportional change in the variables. The validity of this approach is discussed in the CAIC User’s Guide [29].

Additional material

Additional file 1: Supplementary figures and tables. This PDF file includes supplementary figures S1–S5 and tables S1–S4.
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