Heterochronous Metastases of Lung Adenocarcinoma to Pancreas and Liver: A Case Report from Pathological Perspectives

Abstract: Immunohistochemistry (IHC) is a vital tool to distinguish tumor metastases from primary lesions in addition to morphologic analysis. In this study, a 64-year-old female with a past surgical history of lung adenocarcinoma 11 years ago was presented with recurrence of liver nodular lesions after multiple surgical procedures, including the Whipple procedure for pancreatic head adenocarcinoma and cytoreductive surgery for liver metastasis. Liver biopsy and review of the previous specimens, based on IHC analyses, suggested heterochronous metastases of lung adenocarcinoma to the digestive systems in a long-time span, instead of primary pancreatic adenocarcinoma. This case demonstrates the potential for misdiagnoses from morphologic analysis alone and suggests the necessity of IHC analyses to avoid misjudgment on tumor phenotypes, when a previous oncologic history is presented.
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Introduction
Pathology is the golden standard of diagnostic works in routine clinical settings. Morphologic pathology is fundamental for current diagnostic studies. In most circumstances, the morphologic criteria for cancer diagnosis do not encompass cancer’s proposed biologic hallmarks. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) assay, which visualizes explicitly the distribution and the amount of particular molecular markers in the tissue using specific antigen–antibody reaction, is a valuable adjunct for morphologic diagnosis. IHC is widely applied when the initial morphologic diagnosis is unclear, helping pathologists determine the final diagnosis based on molecular profiling.

IHC is also a vital tool to distinguish tumor metastases from primary lesions. For metastatic carcinoma of unknown primary origin, the pathologic approach is stepwise and considers information from its clinical context, morphology, and IHC if necessary. New emerging characteristic markers combined with established strategies in IHC could narrow down the range of suspected primary malignancies, allowing rapid and accurate identification of the primary origin. Sometimes without the IHC technology, it is hard to distinguish heterochronous metastases from primary tumors when a landscape review of past oncology history is absent.

In this study, we report a case demonstrating heterochronous metastases of lung adenocarcinoma to the digestive systems over ten years, where morphologic study alone without IHC assay failed to establish a correct diagnosis.
Case Presentation

A 64-year-old Asian female was presented to our hospital with a recurrence of liver nodular lesions after multiple surgical resections.

The patient had received lung lobectomy due to lung cancer in the right upper lobe 11 years ago (Figure 1A). Postoperative pathology showed a 4.0×3.9×3.0 cm moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma, with one positive mediastinal lymph node among all the 10 dissected lymph nodes (Figure 1B). She quit adjuvant chemotherapy after one cycle due to intolerance. No elevation of tumor markers had been reported perioperatively.

Seven years ago, the patient developed jaundice. CT assessment showed a pancreatic head mass with involvement of the distal common bile duct (Figure 2A). Results of tumor markers including CA19-9 were negative. She was then treated with radical pancreaticoduodenectomy, where a 2.5×2.0×2.0 cm moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma with negative lymph node metastasis was removed (Figure 2B). Afterward, she received adjuvant S-1 chemotherapy (consisting of tegafur 40 mg, gimeracil 11.6 mg, and oteracil 39.2 mg, administered orally twice a day) for 3 cycles (2 weeks per cycle followed by a one-week rest period), which was also discontinued due to adverse events.

The patient was then put on surveillance with an annual imaging assessment. Four years after the Whipple procedure, a follow-up examination showed a solitary liver lesion in segment VII (Figure 3A). She refused interventional treatment or chemotherapy and chose cytoreductive surgery to resect the segment VII lesion. Postoperative pathology demonstrated a 3.0 cm segment VII adenocarcinoma and suggested the diagnosis of liver metastasis (Figure 3B).

Recently, the patient was admitted for the recurrence of a liver lesion, along with multiple lung nodules (Figure 4A). Recurrence of the previous adenocarcinoma in the liver and lungs was the first impression. The tumor board reviewed her past medical history and proposed the possibility of metastases of the lung adenocarcinoma to the pancreas, liver, and lungs. Liver biopsy confirmed adenocarcinoma, with similar morphology, such as the acinar and tubular structures, to the previous specimens (Figure 4B). Further immunohistochemical studies demonstrated that the lung adenocarcinoma eleven years ago, the pancreatic adenocarcinoma seven years ago, the liver adenocarcinoma three years ago, and current liver adenocarcinoma all shared features of positive thyroid transcription factor-1 (TTF-1, clone SPT24) and Napsin A expressions (Figure 4C and D), indicating the exact pathological origin of the lung adenocarcinoma.

We then tested the adenocarcinoma specimen for mutations of driver genes in lung cancers as well as in the metastatic liver adenocarcinoma, and found an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) exon 19 non-frameshift deletion, which was associated with favorable prognosis,6 in both samples. The patient was then administrated with gefitinib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor for lung cancers with EGFR exon 19 mutations. Imaging reassessment one month later indicated a status of partial response, with the lung nodules disappeared and the liver metastasis significantly shrunk.

Discussions

Our case report described a rare situation – heterochronous metastases of lung adenocarcinoma to pancreas and liver over a long time span. The initial misdiagnosis was caused by missing detailed descriptions of the past medical history and not conducting IHC assays, as the record only showed “adenocarcinoma” without specific identification of its phenotype or tumor origin. Besides, morphologic pathology alone could not differentiate a metastatic tumor from a primary lesion. This case reminds us to remain vigilant about possible heterochronous metastases, especially in patients with a previous tumor history.

Lung adenocarcinoma is the most commonly diagnosed histological subtype of non-small-cell lung cancer, a predominant cause of cancer-related mortality.7 Extrathoracic metastases are widely found in the bones, brain, liver, and adrenal glands.8,9 Pancreatic metastases from lung adenocarcinoma have also been reported before,10–15 but the pancreatic lesions in most cases were presented as synchronous metastases. Heterochronous metastases in the pancreas, which were relatively rare, might be easily confused with primary pancreatic malignancy since adenocarcinoma is also a mainstream phenotype of pancreatic carcinoma.

The critical flaw in the current diagnostic approach is the absence of IHC assays for the pancreatic tumor from the Whipple procedure. In addition to the morphological pathology, IHC assays should be routinely performed for patients with previous oncologic history and tumors without clear origin.16 The secondary flaw is the omission of pathological comparison in morphology between the pancreatic tumor and the primary lung adenocarcinoma. The comparison of the current and past tumor pathological results should be established to be a standard operating
procedure for patients with previous oncologic problems to reduce similar mistakes.

The long-term survival after the pancreaticoduodenectomy is also a hint for the pathologists that some primary pancreatic cancers might be misdiagnosed. Pancreatic carcinoma features a poor prognosis with a 5-year survival of approximately 10%. On the contrary, localized lung adenocarcinoma, if treated promptly at an early stage, has a much better survival profile with a 5-year survival rate of around 50%. In our case, EGFR exon 19 deletion, a mutation subtype associated with longer progression-free survival, was found, which might also contribute to the late progression.

Meanwhile, the principle of monism also applied to the identification of malignancy origin in this case. According to Occam’s razor, the diagnosis of lung adenocarcinoma with heterochronous pancreatic and liver metastases should have a higher possibility to be true other than the complex situation of multiple primary tumors.

In conclusion, we reported an educational case where heterochronous pancreatic and liver metastases from a previous lung adenocarcinoma were misdiagnosed as primary pancreatic adenocarcinoma based on morphological analyses alone without IHC assays. This case suggests the necessity of IHC analyses for both current and archived pathology samples to avoid misjudgment on tumor phenotypes when a previous oncologic history is presented.

**Ethics Approval and Consent for Publication**
This study was approved by the research ethics committee of Shengzhou People’s Hospital, and written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images.

**Figure 1** (A) CT image and (B) postoperative pathology of lung adenocarcinoma 11 years ago. The yellow triangle indicates the location of lung adenocarcinoma.

**Figure 2** (A) MRI investigation with T2-weighted, arterial phase, venous phase images, and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), and (B) postoperative pathology of pancreatic mass 7 years ago. The yellow triangles indicate the location of pancreatic mass.

**Figure 3** (A) CT investigation with non-enhanced, arterial phase, venous phase, and delayed phase images, and (B) postoperative pathology of liver mass 3 years ago. The yellow triangles indicate the location of liver mass.
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