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ABSTRACT

Background: About one-third of children are involved in bullying in primary school. Parenting style, as family background, plays an essential role in bullying. This study aimed to compare the effects of the parent training programs of Coaching Approach Behavior and Leading by Modeling (CALM) and Supportive Parenting for Anxious Childhood Emotions (SPACE) on rumination and anxiety in mothers with a bully son.

Methods: This was a quasi-experimental study with pre-test, post-test and a control group design. The setting was the primary schools for boys in district 4 of Tehran City, Iran, in 2020. The statistical population of the research included the mothers of bully sons in one of these schools, i.e., selected using a voluntary convenience sampling technique. In total, 60 mothers whose sons scored higher on the Illinois Bullying Scale (IBS) were selected as the subjects and randomly assigned into 3 groups of 20 individuals (2 intervention groups & 1 control group). The necessary data were collected by the IBS, the Ruminative Response Scale (RRS), and the Self-Anxiety Scale. The intervention group subjects attended CALM or SPACE training programs for 13 two-hour weekly sessions. A three-month follow-up was also performed. The collected data were analyzed using repeated-measures Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) in SPSS 22.

Results: The present study findings suggested a significant difference between the intervention and control groups in rumination (P=0.0001, F=47.54) and anxiety (P=0.0001, F=86.34) in the post-test phase. However, no significant difference was found between CALM (42.80±2.71) and SPACE (42.16±2.71) programs respecting the effects on rumination (P=0.36). In contrast, SPACE (44±2.71) and CALM (39.46±2.71) programs indicated significant differences concerning their impact on anxiety (P<0.032); the CALM program presented a greater impact on reducing anxiety than SPACE. The follow-up results indicated that the CALM program presented a greater retention effect than SPACE on decreasing anxiety in the studied mothers (42.76±1.02, P=0.0001).

Conclusion: The obtained data revealed that the CALM and SPACE programs were effective in reducing maternal rumination and anxiety. However, CALM was more effective than SPACE in reducing maternal anxiety. School counselors, mental health professionals, psychiatric nurses, and school health nurses are suggested to apply the study findings.
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1. Introduction

Before the 1990s, bullying was considered a subset of aggressive behavior in children and adolescents. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention studied bullying in particular to prevent violence in 2019 and considered it a type of violence threatening individuals’ health (Dawoud 2020). Peter-Paul Heinemann, a Swedish physician, first coined the term mobbing in 1969. Based on his observations, he proposed a hypothesis for understanding human destructive behavior. Another Swedish psychologist, Dan Olweus, later presented a different definition of a bully and a victim child. He considered a bully as an aggressive and impulsive individual who requires domination (Thomas Cook 2020). About one-third of children in primary school are involved in bullying (De Vries et al. 2017). Currently, 15%-30% of students are affected by bullying and its consequences (Hosseini & Rhmadrash 2020). The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) data suggest that 246 million children and adolescents experience school violence, annually. In 2019, 32% of students in the world were bullied (Muhoplihiah, Tentama & Yuzarion 2020). According to studies conducted in Iran, about 80% of students believe in the presence of bullying. Another study reported a prevalence of bullying to be 38.4% among students aged 10 to 14 years (Hosseini & Rhmadrash 2020; Khodabakhshi-Koolae & Darestanti-Farahani 2020). Olweus defines bullying as a negative, repetitive activity characterized by a power imbalance between the bully and the victim. It can be considered an intentional and repeated physical, verbal, or psychological pressure on a weaker subject by an individual or a group of stronger individuals, i.e., usually associated with an unequal power between the involved parties. Bullying includes 4 main components, as follows: voluntariness and intentionality; persistence; power imbalance between the parties to the conflict, and occurrence in familiar social groups. Bullying is demonstrated either physically, directly or indirectly, and emotionally (Hosseini & Rhmadrash 2020). It is considered a multifactorial phenomenon, i.e., affected by the accumulation of individual, family, school, and social factors (Valle & Williams 2020).

Maternal mental health problems, such as depression and anxiety increase the risk of behavioral problems in children (Garcia & O’Neil 2020). Parents’ mental health, their interaction with the child, and other life events, i.e., interpreted by the child significantly impact the assessment of children’s behavioral problems. The lifetime prevalence of anxiety disorders in females is approximately 40%; twice as high as in males (Gregory et al. 2020). High levels of parental anxiety lead to inadequate formation of Emotion Regulation (ER) skills. Anxiety in mother-child relationships, in turn, affects the social learning processes; thus, such conditions lead to unsuitable parent-child role...
modeling and the child learns inefficient ER strategies (Jamali & Khodabakhshi-Koolaee 2019).

Anxiety is a response to real or potential threats and can jeopardize an individual’s state of balance and homeostasis (Garcia & O’Neil, 2020). It is a common type of emotional disorder that disrupts a subject’s psychological functioning (Pang, Tu & Cai 2019). Research on rumination dates back to studies by scholars, like Aron Temkin Beck followed by Nolen-Hoeksema’s research that highlighted a strong relationship between rumination thoughts and emotional disorders. Ruminations predict the onset of anxiety and triggers psychological stimulation and a negative emotional state (Feldhaus et al., 2020). It is a subject’s mood in response to anxiety and involves an extensive passive focus on disturbing symptoms and their causes. Individuals often tend to reflect on the causes and negative consequences of events and overlook solutions (Chen et al. 2017). Over the past 3 decades, numerous interventions and programs were developed and implemented in different parts of the world, especially in Europe and North America, to combat bullying; these programs were often school-based (Olweus, Solberg & Breivik 2020).

Olweus Bullying Prevention Program (OBPP) is the most widely used anti-bullying program in schools. Most of these programs are student-centered (Olweus, Solberg & Breivik 2020; Karats & Ozturk 2020; Bandi 2019).

Coaching Approach Behavior and Leading by Modeling (CALM) program is an adaptation of Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT). Polyafico et al. modified the treatment techniques based on parent-child interactions to target separation anxiety disorders as well as other anxiety disorders, such as social anxiety, generalized anxiety disorder, and specific phobias. The first stage involves Child-Directed Interactions (CDI). The second step addresses the special and unique aspect of the program. At this stage, special skills are taught to the parents, known as the DADDS (D: Escrie fearing situation; A: Pproach feared situation modeling); D: Inect command for child to approach; S: Tate intent to remain in situation and provide selective attention) steps, and are determined based on positive attention to model brave behaviors and ignore anxiety-related symptoms (Bandi 2019; Polyafico, Comer & Albano 2013). It is a direct and short-term treatment that uses an innovative method with live guidance and indirect training through the bug in the ear to treat various anxiety problems in children. It requires skills training for parents to effectively manage their child’s problematic behaviors (Polyafico, Comer & Albano 2013).

The Supportive Parenting for Anxious Childhood Emotions (SPACE) program was developed by Lebowitz. It is a suitable treatment for children aged 2-8 years and relies on parental education (Lebowitz 2013). It consists of 8 treatment parts and 5 modules at the discretion of the therapist, which allows treatment specifically with parents without the participation of their children (Lebowitz et al. 2014).

The SPACE program is an intervention for parental adaptive behaviors and concerns the child’s anxiety. It does not teach specific skills to parents; however, SPACE focuses on how parents interact with the child and the characteristics of their relationship (Bui, Charney & Baker, 2020). There exists a gap in the literature despite the key role played by parents in increasing their child’s ability to adapt to bullying. Accordingly, relatively few studies have focused on mental health and increasing parental adjustment (Benatov 2019). The analysis of anti-bullying programs indicates that an essential element of successful programs is to involve parents in parenting education sessions (Van Niejenhuis, Huitsing, & Veenstra 2020). Previous research identified the positive impact of anti-bullying programs. However, programs that cover broader areas, like parent-teacher involvement are more effective (Khodabakhshi Koolaee et al. 2015; Grief Green et al. 2020). Although the role of parenting is a widely researched area, variables, such as rumination and maternal anxiety in how to manage a bully child remain unaddressed. Research on the SPACE program has focused on anxiety disorders (Lebowitz, 2013) and obsessive-compulsive disorders (Lebowitz et al. 2014). Furthermore, studies on the CALM program have mainly focused on anxiety (Polyafico, Comer & Albano 2013). These programs were translated into Persian for the first time and implemented among the Iranian population. These programs were developed to help mothers solve their children’s behavioral problems. Besides, child bullying, as one of the most important issues in schools and families, has been addressed with a focus on educating mothers. The present study aimed to determine the effects of the CALM and the SPACE programs on rumination and anxiety in mothers with bully sons.

2. Materials and Methods

This was a quasi-experimental study with pre-test, post-test and a control group design. A three-month follow-up was also performed in this research. The setting was boys’ primary schools in district 4 of Tehran City, Iran, in 2020. The study population included the mothers of bullying sons who were recruited by voluntary convenience sampling method; accordingly, they were randomly assigned into 3 research groups. To this end, the researcher referred to one of the branches of the educational complex in Sepehr-e Marefat, in district 4 of Tehran City, in May 2021.
The bullying students were first identified with the help of the school principals and deputy principals as per the inclusion criteria. Next, the Illinois Bullying Scale (IBS) was administered to 70 bullying children. Eventually, 60 children who obtained the highest scores on the IBS were selected. The inclusion criteria were students generating disciplinary problems as per the school’s disciplinary records and engaging in bullying behaviors, as diagnosed by the IBS. The exclusion criteria were absence from >2 training sessions and the mother’s or child’s simultaneous participation in another psychological program. The sample size was calculated for each group to be 15 subjects based on the effect size of 0.25, alpha of 0.05, and test power of 0.80. The research subjects were assigned into two intervention groups and one control group by random blocking method (n=20/group) (the sample attrition rate equalled 15).

The following instruments were used to collect the necessary data in this research:

**The Illinois Bullying Scale (IBS):** The IBS was developed by Espelage and Holt (2001). It contains 18 items and 3 subscales, including bullying (9 items), victimization (4 items), and aggression (5 items) (Espelage & Holt 2001). Each item is scored on a five-point Likert-type scale, ranging from never (0) to ≥7 times (4). Each subscale is measured via a separate score. Subscale scores are computed by summing the respective items. Scores range from 0 to 74. A high score on each subscale indicates the respondent’s greater frequent engagement in the same behavior. The reliability index for the whole scale was estimated equal to 0.87 using Cronbach’s alpha coefficient (Espelage & Holt 2001). In Iran, Balootbangan and Talepasand translated the questionnaire into Persian and reported the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient as 0.87 for the total scale. The Content Validity Ratio (CVR) of the scale’s translated version was 0.72 and its Content Validity Index (CVI) was measured as 0.81 (Akbari Balootbangan & Talepasand, 2015). In this research, the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient for the total scale was equal to 0.75.

**The Ruminative Response Scale (RRS):** The RRS is a subscale of the Response Styles Questionnaire developed by Nolen-Hoeksema and Morrow (1991). The scale contains 22 items, scored based on a four-point Likert-type scale, ranging from never (1) to 4 (often), depending on the extent to which the respondent uses rumination as a response to boring moods. The total score is calculated as the sum of all individual items. The minimum and maximum obtainable scores are 22 and 88, respectively. The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of this scale ranges from 0.88 to 0.91 (Nolen-Hoeksema & Morrow 1991). Mansouri et al. (2012), in Iran, translated the scale into Persian and reported the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of 0.90 for the total scale. The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of its Persian version in this research was equal to 0.85.

**Zung Self-rating Anxiety Scale (SAS):** It was developed by Zung (1971). The SAS contains 20 items that measure anxiety levels. Each item is scored on a 4-point Likert-type scale. The total score ranges from 20 to 80. In total, 15 items measure emotional symptoms and 5 items assess physical symptoms. Items 5, 9, 13, and 19 are scored reversely. The reliability coefficient of this scale is 0.80, indicating its high reliability. The reliability of the scale was calculated as 0.87 using Cronbach’s alpha coefficient in Iran. Besides, its face validity and content validity were also confirmed (Setyowati, Chung & Yusuf 2019). The reliability index of the scale was calculated as 0.77 by Cronbach’s alpha coefficient in this study.

The researcher contacted the students’ mothers and provided the required explanations about the research project. Then, the RRS and SAS were completed by all participating mothers in the intervention and control groups. The research participants in each intervention group attended 13 training sessions.

The two programs were translated from English to Persian and divided into 45-minute sessions. The content of the SPACE program was extracted and translated into Persian by the researchers following a study by Lebowitz and associates (Lebowitz et al. 2014). This protocol was performed in thirteen 45-minute sessions. The CALM program was also translated and implemented in thirteen 45-minute sessions, following previous studies (Puliafito, Comer & Albano 2013; Cooper-Vince et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2019). The content of the training sessions is presented in Tables 1 and 2. The sessions were conducted in groups by the researcher in the school hall of Sepehr-e Marefat Educational Complex, in district 4 of Tehran City, Iran. The mothers in both research groups attended the sessions two days a week (Sundays & Tuesdays) from 10 AM to 12 PM. All related guidelines, brochures, and pamphlets were prepared and distributed to the mothers. The researcher also provided her phone number to the mothers for further questions and guidance.

The questionnaires were re-administered to all study groups at the end of the intervention, also at the three-month follow-up phase to assess the retention effects of the provided programs. The chart of the study process is illustrated in Figure 1. Finally, after 3 months, the follow-up examination was administered to all research
Table 1. The content of the CALM program

| Session | Participants  | Content                                                                 |
|---------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1       | Mother        | Teaching child-directed interaction skills (Praise, Reflection, Imitation, Description, Enthusiasm; PRIDE) |
| 2       | Mother/Child  | Reviewing the child’s behavior and bullying at home                      |
|         |               | Teaching practical and avoidance skills                                   |
|         |               | Using positive feedback                                                  |
| 3       | Mother/Child  | Using direct praise                                                       |
|         |               | Explaining anger control                                                  |
| 4       | Mother/Child  | Discussing the family social support network                             |
| 5       | Mother/Child  | Discussing descriptive and negligence skills                             |
|         |               | Reminding that the child should not attend the next session              |
| 6       | Mother        | Introducing the DADDS steps and their role in encouraging the child for engaging in brave behaviors |
| 7       | Mother/Child  | Focusing on describing the situation                                      |
|         |               | Approaching the situation                                                |
|         |               | Delivering a direct message to the child                                 |
|         |               | Paying selective attention to the child’s performance                     |
| 8       | Mother/Child  | Providing positive feedback to parents                                   |
|         |               | Practicing more intensively to learn skills to prepare for generalizing what has been learned in the sessions to the outside world |
| 9       | Mother/Child  | Reviewing the child’s skills and behaviors                               |
|         |               | Reviewing instructions and adjusting them to the child’s age             |
| 10 & 11 | Mother/Child  | Providing positive feedback to parents for a good relationship with their child |
|         |               | Directing parents to a higher level of control of anxiety                |
| 12      | Mother/Child  | Providing positive feedback to parents for a good relationship with their child |
|         |               | Directing parents to a higher level                                       |
|         |               | Encouraging the continuous practice of skills for reducing and management of her anxiety and negative thoughts |
| 13      | Mother        | Group discussion for evaluating the outcomes                             |

Participants. The collected data were analyzed using repeated-measures Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) in SPSS 22.

3. Results

The study participants’ group-wise demographic data are presented in Table 3. There was no significant difference in age, educational level, and occupational status between the research subjects (P>0.05).

Table 4 displays the Mean±SD scores of the dependent variables in pre-test, post-test, and follow-up stages in all study groups. The Independent Samples t-test data indicated no significant differences in the pre-test scores of the intervention groups and the control group concerning the dependent variables (P>0.05).

As per Table 4, SPACE and CALM programs could reduce the mean scores of rumination and anxiety reported by the mothers in the post-test and follow-up stages. However, inferential statistics were used to examine if differences were significant. It was revealed that both study programs were effective in reducing maternal rumination and anxiety in the post-test and follow-up stages. Before running the repeated-measures ANOVA, the assumptions of parametric tests were checked. Accordingly, the results of the Shapiro-Wilk test suggested that the assumption of the normal distribution of the data for rumination and anxiety in the intervention and control groups was established in the pre-test, post-test, and follow-up stages (P<0.05). Besides, the assumption of homogeneity of variances was measured by Levene’s test. The relevant results were not significant, indicating that the assumption of homogeneity of variances was established (P>0.05). Furthermore, the Box’s M test data revealed that the equality of multiple variance-covariance matrices was established; thus, the F-test could be implemented. The results of Mauchly’s test of sphericity indicated that the data sphericity assumption was not observed for rumination and anxiety. Accordingly, the assumption of sphericity was not established, indicating the relationships between the variables were subject to change the values of the dependent variable; thus, enhancing the odds of type I error rates. Accordingly, the alternative analysis (Greenhouse–Geisser correction)
was used to reduce the odds of type I error by reducing the degree of freedom.

The results of Greenhouse–Geisser correction test identified that the time or step of assessment significantly affected maternal rumination and anxiety; this finding explained 45% and 60% of the differences in the vari- ances of these variables, respectively. Besides, the effect of group membership (SPACE & CALM programs) was significant on maternal rumination and anxiety scores; accordingly, this statistic explained 27% and 39% of the difference in the scores of these variables, respectively. These findings suggested that the effect of the type of treatment and time factor interaction on rumination and maternal anxiety scores was significant and explained 22% and 51% of the difference in maternal rumination and anxiety scores, respectively. The statistical power indicates high statistical accuracy and adequate sample size. Overall, SPACE and CALM programs affected maternal rumination and anxiety in different steps. Table 5 demonstrates the pairwise comparisons of the mean scores of rumination and anxiety in the study participants according to the evaluation step.

As per Table 5, there was a significant difference between the mean scores of rumination and anxiety in the pre-test, post-test, and follow-up stages. This finding suggests that SPACE and CALM programs significantly reduced rumination and anxiety in the post-test and follow-up steps, compared to the pre-test stage. The results of descriptive statistics signified a decrease in the mean scores of maternal rumination and anxiety after the intervention. There was no significant difference in the mean scores of maternal rumination and anxiety between the post-test stage and follow-up stages. Accordingly, it can be argued that the mean scores of maternal rumination and anxiety reduced significantly on the post-test and the observed changes in these two variables were also retained in the follow-up stage. Overall, the CALM and SPACE programs significantly reduced the mean scores of maternal rumination and anxiety in the post-test and also follow-up stages. Table 6 lists the pairwise comparisons of the mean scores of rumination and anxiety in the study participants by group.

Moreover, significant differences existed between the CALM (45.80), SPACE (42.16), and control (54.36) groups in terms of rumination (P<0.05) (Table 7). Therefore, the CALM and SPACE programs reduced the examined mothers’ rumination. However, there was no significant difference in the mean scores of ruminations between the CALM (45.80) and SPACE (42.16) groups.
(P≥0.05); accordingly, the CALM and SPACE programs were not different respecting effectiveness in reducing the mothers’ rumination. Moreover, there was a significant difference between the mean scores of the anxiety of the mothers in the CALM (44) and SPACE (39.46) groups (P<0.05); thus, both provided programs effectively reduced the examined mothers’ anxiety (P<0.05). Furthermore, the SPACE program was more effective than the CALM program in reducing the study participants’ anxiety.

4. Discussion

The present study compared the effects of the CALM and SPACE programs on rumination and anxiety in mothers with bully sons. The relevant results suggested that the SPACE program was more effective...
the examined mothers’ anxiety. The SPACE program is dynamic and adaptive. It simulates the treatment space with real-life conditions and problems; thus, it can generalize the findings to environments outside the research setting. Besides, the mother and child can simultaneously participate in the SPACE program; this is a crucial advantage of this program. The present study findings were in line with those of a study by Huang et al. (2019). They cited that school-based anti-bullying programs with a parent component are beneficial for controlling bullying children. Parents’ participants in school programs help the parent-child relationships (Huang et al. 2019).

Kaminetsky (2020) stated that that the CALM program increased mothers’ positive parenting skills, while their negative parenting skills decreased following treatment. This result highlights that the CALM program can be effective for reducing maternal and child anxiety. The mother’s behavior can prepare the child to learn coping skills or be exposed to further problems (Brumariu & Kerns, 2015). Accordingly, Brumariu and Kerns (2015) found a relationship between the emotions of mothers and children and the onset of anxiety symptoms. The mothers of more anxious children provide less attention, love, and affection for their children and talk less to the child when something goes wrong. Additionally, more anxious mothers express less affection and love to their children; they are less likely to engage in conversations with the child when encountering a problem. Moreover, more anxious children are less likely to talk to others. Finally, they demonstrated that the type of behavior and emotions of anxious mothers present a reciprocal effect on their children’s anxiety. Furthermore, the CALM program familiarizes parents with the basic concepts of support and adjustment (Brumariu & Kerns, 2015). Lebowitz et al. (2020) argued that the SPACE

Table 4. The obtained descriptive statistics for rumination and anxiety in the study groups

| Variables | Groups | Mean±SD | Pre-test | Post-test | Follow-up |
|-----------|--------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Rumination | CALM   | 51.85±9.30 | 41.60±9.02 | 43.95±8.79 |
|           | SPACE  | 48.45±9.23 | 38.25±8.94 | 39.80±8.91 |
|           | Control| 55.0±7.62 | 52.45±13.43 | 55.65±7.19 |
| Anxiety   | CALM   | 48.90±8.08 | 41.05±8.59 | 42.05±8.29 |
|           | SPACE  | 45.95±11.16 | 35.85±9.03 | 36.60±8.81 |
|           | Control| 49.05±8.45 | 50.0±8.38 | 49.65±8.44 |

Table 5. The repeated-measures ANOVA test data to examine within-group and between-group differences respecting rumination and anxiety

| Variables | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Squares | F   | P   | Effect Size | Power |
|-----------|----------------|----|--------------|-----|-----|-------------|-------|
| Rumination|                |    |              |     |     |             |       |
| Steps     | 1849.37        | 1.50| 1233.82      | 47.54| 0.0001| 0.455       | 1     |
| Grouping  | 4708.57        | 2  | 2354.29      | 10.63| 0.0001| 0.272       | 0.986 |
| Group-step interaction | 626.79 | 2.99| 209.08      | 8.08 | 0.0001| 0.22        | 0.988 |
| Error     | 2217.16        | 85.43| 25.95          |     | 0.0001| 0.602       | 1     |
| Anxiety   |                |    |              |     |     |             |       |
| Steps     | 1187.37        | 1.09| 1086.04      | 86.34| 0.0001| 0.396       | 0.993 |
| Grouping  | 3070.98        | 2  | 1535.49      | 16.96| 0.0001| 0.511       | 1     |
| Group-step interaction | 818.79 | 2.18| 374.45      | 29.77|     |             |       |
| Error     | 783.83         | 62.31| 12.57          |     |     |             |       |
program is highly appropriate for reducing anxiety in children. This program helps mothers and children by involving parents in the treatment process (Lebowitz et al., 2020). However, in another study, they identified no difference between the SPACE program and the cognitive-behavioral therapy, where both approaches were effective (Lebowitz et al., 2020). Furthermore, both programs were effective in reducing rumination in mothers. Ruminants focus on the content of thoughts and self-criticism. Self-Criticism and rumination are incompatible psychological processes that adversely affect parenting and increase parental stress (Lebowitz et al., 2020). Moreira and Canavarro (2018) studied the effects of rumination on parental stress and mindful parenting dimensions, including careful listening; compassion for the child; non-judgmental acceptance of parental performance; child’s emotional awareness, and ER in parents. The relevant results indicated that rumination was reversely associated with all aspects of mindful parenting dimensions and parental stress (Moreira & Canavarro, 2018). Generally, programs developed to inform and educate mothers can help reduce children’s behavioral problems. They are also effective in reducing parenting stress (Khodabakhshi Koolaee et al., 2015). Moreover, a short video tutorial for avoidant parents who had difficulty in effective communication with their child reduced their problematic communication and avoidance behaviors (Ewing et al., 2020). Jamali and Kolabakhshi-Koolaee concluded that the parenting behavior management training program reduces aggression and disobedience in boys (Jamali & Khodabakhshi-Koolaee, 2019).

Overall, the CALM and SPACE programs were effective in reducing anxiety and rumination symptoms in the examined mothers. However, the CALM program was more effective in reducing anxiety symptoms than the

| Variable | Stage | Contrast       | Mean Difference | SE  | P     |
|----------|-------|----------------|-----------------|-----|-------|
| Rumination | Pre-test (51.76) | Post-test (44.10) | 7.66 | 1    | 0.0001 |
|          |       | Follow-up (46.46) | 5.30 | 0.60 | 0.0001 |
|          |       | Pre-test (51.76) | -7.66 | 1    | 0.0001 |
|          |       | Follow-up (46.46) | -2.36 | 0.76 | 0.009  |
| Anxiety  | Pre-test (47.96) | Post-test (42.30) | 5.66 | 0.57 | 0.0001 |
|          |       | Follow-up (42.76) | 5.20 | 0.58 | 0.0001 |
|          |       | Pre-test (47.96) | -5.66 | 0.57 | 0.0001 |
|          |       | Follow-up (42.76) | -0.46 | 0.14 | 0.15   |
SPACE program. The CALM program further emphasis on parent-child interactions (Harcourt, Jaseperse & Green 2014; Lebowitz & Shimshoni 2018). Besides, the CALM program provides the parent the power to coach and supervise during the session and enjoys a more suitable feedback system; therefore, it can help improve the parent-child relationship and parenting skills (Comer et al., 2012).

The research sample was limited to the mothers of male primary school students in Sepehr-e Marefat Educational Complex, district 4 of Tehran, i.e., a study limitation.

5. Conclusion

The obtained results revealed that the mothers of bullying children experience high levels of anxiety and rumination. Therefore, parenting education programs, such as CALM and SPACE can improve mothers’ awareness and parenting skills. These programs also reduce their rumination and anxiety. Parents are at the forefront of identifying, preventing, and helping the treatment of their children’s bullying behavior; thus, applying parent training programs can play an effective role in reducing mothers’ and their children’s psychological problems. The school counselors, health professionals, psychiatric nurses, and school health nurses are suggested to apply the collected findings.
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