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Aims
Socioeconomic and racial disparities have been recognized as impacting the care of patients with cancer, however there are a lack of data examining the impact of these disparities on patients with bone sarcoma. The purpose of this study was to examine socioeconomic and racial disparities that impact the oncological outcomes of patients with bone sarcoma.

Methods
We reviewed 4,739 patients diagnosed with primary bone sarcomas from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) registry between 2007 and 2015. We examined the impact of race and insurance status associated with the presence of metastatic disease at diagnosis, treatment outcome, and overall survival (OS).

Results
Patients with Medicaid (odds ratio (OR) 1.41; 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.15 to 1.72) and uninsured patients (OR 1.90; 95% CI 1.26 to 2.86) had higher risks of metastatic disease at diagnosis compared to patients with health insurance. Compared to White patients, Black (OR 0.63, 95% CI 0.47 to 0.85) and Asian/Pacific Islander (OR 0.65, 95% CI 0.46 to 0.91) were less likely to undergo surgery. In addition, Black patients were less likely to receive chemotherapy (OR 0.67, 95% CI 0.49 to 0.91) compared to White patients. In patients with chondrosarcoma, those with Medicaid had worse OS compared to patients with insurance (hazard ratio (HR) 1.65, 95% CI 1.06 to 2.56).

Conclusion
In patients with a bone sarcoma, the cancer stage at diagnosis varied based on insurance status, and racial disparities were identified in treatment. Further studies are needed to identify modifiable factors which can mitigate socioeconomic and racial disparities found in patients with bone sarcomas.
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Article focus
This study aimed to assess the associations of racial disparities and socioeconomic status with diagnosis, treatment, and survival outcomes in patients with a primary bone sarcoma.

Key messages
Black and Asian/Pacific Islander patients are less likely to undergo surgery compared to White patients for primary bone sarcomas.
Patients with Medicaid, or those who are uninsured, were more likely to be diagnosed with metastatic disease diagnosis compared to patients with medical insurance.
Compared to patients with medical insurance, those with Medicaid had worse survival for chondrosarcoma of bone.
Strengths and limitations
- Using the population-based Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program, our study included a large dataset of bone sarcoma, which is a rare form of cancer.
- Racial disparities in treatment and survival for patients with bone sarcoma were identified for all bone sarcoma subtypes, providing information to promote health equity for patients.
- Further work is needed to examine the association of racial disparities and insurance status in patients with bone sarcomas.

Introduction
Primary bone sarcomas are rare malignancies which arise from mesenchymal cells, with an annual incidence of 1.0 per 100,000 people, accounting for 3,600 new cases of cancer in the USA per year. Early diagnosis of a bone sarcoma depends on clinical and imaging examination, and is confirmed via biopsy. Multidisciplinary management is essential for patients diagnosed with a bone sarcoma, with treatment typically including surgical resection with negative margins and chemotherapy. Through advancements in medical and surgical management, the five-year survival of patients with a bone sarcoma has improved over time, however there are likely socioeconomic factors which impact outcomes.

The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) endeavours to create awareness of disparities in cancer care and promote health equity. Racial disparities and insurance status are two factors that impact health equity. Previous studies have examined the impact of racial disparities and insurance status in patients with breast cancer, lung cancer, colorectal cancer, and many other common cancers. Currently there are a lack of data examining the impact of racial disparities and insurance status in patients with bone sarcoma, which might be due to the rare nature of this disease. Therefore, the purpose of the current study was to examine the impact of insurance status and race on oncological outcomes of patients with primary bone sarcomas.

Methods
Patient selection. The Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER)-18 registry was used to identify patients undergoing treatment for bone sarcoma between 2004 and 2015, using the International Classification of Diseases of the World Health Organization (ICD) codes C40.0-3, C40.8-9, C41.0-4, and C41.8-9. Exclusion criteria included patients diagnosed at the time of death, those without follow-up or a positive biopsy, patients with an unknown race, patients with an unknown metastatic stage, and lack of confirmation if they underwent surgery. To analyze the impact of insurance status, only patients with a diagnosis after 2007 were included, since insurance status was only available in SEER after 2007. In addition, patients aged ≥ 65 years were excluded due to their ability to enroll in Medicare. The remaining group of 4,739 patients were included in the study (Figure 1).

Exposures, covariates, and outcomes. Race was divided into four categories: White; Hispanic; Black; and Asian/Pacific Islander. Insurance status was divided into three categories: insured (non-Medicaid); Medicaid; and uninsured.

The demographic data included age, sex, and marital status. Tumour type was extracted based on ICD codes and divided into five categories: osteosarcoma, chordrosarcoma, Ewing’s sarcoma, chordoma, and other (unspecified malignant bone tumours, miscellaneous malignant bone tumours, odontogenic malignant tumours, and malignant fibrous neoplasms of bone). Tumour location was divided into six categories: lower limb; sacro-pelvic; scapular and upper limb; craniofacial; chest wall; and other (tumour site of others included short bones, mandible, vertebral column, overlap bones, joints, and cartilage, and bone not otherwise specified). In addition, the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) T, N, and M stages were obtained from the SEER database.

Outcome data included metastatic stage at diagnosis, overall survival (OS), and the use of surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy. OS was defined as the time from sarcoma diagnosis to all-cause mortality.

Statistical analysis. Analysis was performed using SPSS 24.0 (IBM, USA) and GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad, USA). Differences in patient characteristics according to the race and type of insurance were examined by the chi-squared test, the independent-samples t-test, or Z-test (compare column proportions and adjust p-values via the Bonferroni method in the chi-squared test). The association between race and insurance status with metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis, and the use of different treatments (surgery, chemotherapy, radiotherapy), were analyzed with multivariable logistic regression model and adjusted odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate survival outcomes between groups, and the comparisons were examined by the log-rank test. Multivariable Cox regression models with adjusted hazard ratio (HR) with 95% CIs were used to examine factors associated with survival. All tests were two-sided, and p-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Patient characteristics stratified by race. The patient group comprised 4,739 patients, including 2,807 (59.2%) White, 1,064 (22.5%) Hispanic, 526 (11.1%) Black, and 342 (7.2%) Asian/Pacific Islander (Table I). Compared to the White group, minority patients (Hispanic, Black, and Asian/Pacific Islander) were younger at the time of diagnosis (p < 0.001, independent-samples t-test). There was no difference in the sex distribution between the racial groups (p = 0.321, chi-squared test). White and Asian/Pacific Islander patients were more likely to be married...
at the time of diagnosis compared to Hispanic and Black patients ($p < 0.001$, chi-squared test).

Osteosarcomas were more common in Black patients (305 (58.0%)) compared to White patients (937 (33.4%)). Black (260 (49.4%)) and Hispanic (469 (44.1%)) patients were more likely to have a bone sarcoma in the lower limb compared to Asian/Pacific Islander (136 (39.8%)) and White (1,023 (36.4%)) patients ($p < 0.001$, chi-squared test). White patients were more likely to have a sacropelvic tumour than black patients (454 (16.2%) vs 61 (11.6%)).

White patients were more likely to present with an AJCC T1 tumour (1,315 (46.8%)) compared to Hispanic (434 (40.8%)) and Black (213 (40.5%)) patients ($p = 0.003$). Hispanic patients were more likely to present with metastatic disease (M1) compared to White patients (19.1% vs 14.7%, $p = 0.003$, chi-squared test). There was no difference in the use of surgery between White, Hispanic, Black, and Asian/Pacific Islander patients ($p = 0.340$, chi-squared test). Black patients had a lower use of radiotherapy (47 (8.9%)) compared to White patients (472 (16.8%) ($p < 0.001$, chi-squared test). Hispanic, Black, and Asian/Pacific Islander patients were more likely
Table I. Demographic and clinical characteristics by race (n = 4,739).

| Characteristic                  | White (n = 2,807) | Hispanic (n = 1,064) | Black (n = 526) | Asian/Pacific Islander (n = 342) | p-value |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Mean age, yrs (SD)              | 33.7 (18.6)       | 26.4 (16.3)          | 28.8 (16.5)     | 29.7 (17.3)                       | < 0.001‡ |
| < 18 yrs, n (%)                 | 803 (28.6)        | 441 (41.4)           | 190 (36.1)      | 108 (31.6)                        |         |
| 18 to 59 yrs, n (%)             | 1,733 (61.7)      | 579 (54.4)           | 317 (60.3)      | 215 (62.9)                        |         |
| ≥ 60 yrs, n (%)                 | 271 (9.7)         | 44 (4.1)             | 19 (3.6)        | 19 (5.6)                          |         |
| Sex, n (%)                      |                   |                      |                 |                                   | 0.321§  |
| Female                          | 1,215 (43.3)      | 433 (40.7)           | 238 (45.2)      | 145 (42.4)                        |         |
| Male                            | 1,592 (56.7)      | 631 (59.3)           | 288 (54.8)      | 197 (57.6)                        |         |
| Marital status, n (%)           |                   |                      |                 |                                   | < 0.001‡ |
| Married                         | 1,019 (36.3)      | 262 (24.6)           | 94 (17.9)       | 115 (33.6)                        |         |
| Not married                     | 1,721 (61.3)      | 785 (73.8)           | 412 (78.3)      | 221 (64.6)                        |         |
| Unknown                         | 67 (2.4)          | 17 (1.6)             | 20 (3.8)        | 6 (1.8)                           |         |
| Tumour type, n (%)              |                   |                      |                 |                                   | < 0.001‡ |
| Osteosarcoma                    | 937 (33.4)        | 511 (48.0)           | 305 (58.0)      | 148 (43.3)                        |         |
| Chondrosarcoma                  | 864 (30.8)        | 184 (17.3)           | 99 (18.8)       | 68 (19.9)                         |         |
| Ewing’s sarcoma                 | 582 (20.7)        | 196 (18.4)           | 35 (6.7)        | 56 (16.4)                         |         |
| Chordoma                        | 246 (8.8)         | 91 (8.6)             | 23 (4.4)        | 40 (11.7)                         |         |
| Others*                         | 178 (6.3)         | 82 (7.7)             | 64 (12.2)       | 30 (8.8)                          |         |
| Tumour site, n (%)              |                   |                      |                 |                                   | < 0.001‡ |
| Lower limb                      | 1,023 (36.4)      | 469 (44.1)           | 260 (49.4)      | 136 (39.8)                        |         |
| Upper limb                      | 367 (13.1)        | 113 (10.6)           | 73 (13.9)       | 41 (12.0)                         |         |
| Sacroplvic                      | 454 (16.2)        | 153 (14.4)           | 61 (11.6)       | 49 (14.3)                         |         |
| Craniofacial                    | 286 (10.2)        | 127 (11.9)           | 40 (7.6)        | 47 (13.7)                         |         |
| Rib, chest wall                 | 268 (9.5)         | 64 (6.0)             | 17 (3.2)        | 22 (6.4)                          |         |
| Others†                         | 409 (14.6)        | 138 (13.0)           | 75 (14.3)       | 47 (13.7)                         |         |
| AJCC T stage, n (%)             |                   |                      |                 |                                   | 0.003§  |
| T1                              | 1,315 (46.8)      | 434 (40.8)           | 213 (40.5)      | 162 (47.4)                        |         |
| T2-3                            | 1,026 (36.6)      | 457 (43.0)           | 222 (42.2)      | 128 (37.4)                        |         |
| Unknown                         | 466 (16.6)        | 173 (16.3)           | 91 (17.3)       | 52 (15.2)                         |         |
| AJCC N stage, n (%)             |                   |                      |                 |                                   | 0.008§  |
| N0                              | 2,641 (94.1)      | 969 (91.1)           | 477 (90.7)      | 317 (92.7)                        |         |
| N1                              | 69 (2.5)          | 34 (3.2)             | 18 (3.4)        | 7 (2.0)                           |         |
| Unknown                         | 97 (3.5)          | 61 (5.7)             | 31 (5.9)        | 18 (5.3)                          |         |
| AJCC M stage, n (%)             |                   |                      |                 |                                   | 0.003§  |
| M0                              | 2,393 (85.3)      | 861 (80.9)           | 443 (83.8)      | 299 (87.4)                        |         |
| M1                              | 414 (14.7)        | 203 (19.1)           | 85 (16.2)       | 43 (12.6)                         |         |
| Surgery, n (%)                  |                   |                      |                 |                                   | 0.340§  |
| No                              | 450 (16.0)        | 185 (17.4)           | 97 (18.4)       | 64 (18.7)                         |         |
| Yes                             | 2,335 (84.2)      | 879 (82.6)           | 429 (81.6)      | 278 (81.3)                        |         |
| Radiotherapy, n (%)             |                   |                      |                 |                                   | < 0.001§ |
| No                              | 2,335 (83.2)      | 900 (84.6)           | 479 (91.1)      | 280 (81.9)                        |         |
| Yes                             | 472 (16.8)        | 164 (15.4)           | 47 (8.9)        | 62 (18.1)                         |         |
| Chemotherapy, n (%)             |                   |                      |                 |                                   | < 0.001§ |
| No                              | 1,294 (46.1)      | 372 (35.0)           | 215 (40.9)      | 135 (39.5)                        |         |
| Yes                             | 1,513 (53.9)      | 692 (65.0)           | 311 (59.1)      | 207 (60.5)                        |         |
| Follow-up time, mths            |                   |                      |                 |                                   | < 0.001‡ |
| Mean (95% CI)                   | 42.9 (41.8 to 44.0) | 37.0 (35.2 to 38.8) | 39.8 (37.1 to 42.4) | 37.6 (34.5 to 40.8) |         |
| Median (IQR)                    | 38 (16 to 67)     | 29 (12 to 58)        | 31 (13 to 65)   | 30 (10.75 to 58.25)              |         |
| OS rate                         |                   |                      |                 |                                   | 0.336§  |
| Dead                            | 693 (24.7)        | 252 (23.7)           | 145 (27.6)      | 79 (23.1)                         |         |
| Alive                           | 2,114 (75.3)      | 812 (76.3)           | 381 (72.4)      | 263 (76.9)                        |         |

*Tumour type of others included unspecified malignant bone tumours, miscellaneous malignant bone tumours, odontogenic malignant tumours, and malignant fibrous neoplasms of bone.
†Tumour site of others included short bones, mandible, vertebral column, overlap bones, joints, and cartilage, and bone not otherwise specified.
‡Independent-samples t-test.
§Chi-squared test.
AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; CI, confidence interval; IQR, interquartile range; OS, overall survival; SD, standard deviation.
to receive chemotherapy compared to White patients (p < 0.001, chi-squared test).

**Patient characteristics stratified by insurance status.** Of the 4,739 patients, 3,401 (71.8%) were insured, 1,141 (24.1%) had Medicaid, and 197 (4.2%) were uninsured (Table II). Patients with Medicaid were younger than insured and uninsured patients (p < 0.001, chi-squared test). There was no difference in the sex portions based on insurance status (p = 0.464, chi-squared test). Patients with insurance were more likely to be married compared to patients with Medicaid and those without insurance (p < 0.001, chi-squared test).

Patients with Medicaid were more likely to present with an osteosarcoma (593 (52.0%)) and involving the lower limbs (526 (46.1%)). Patients with Medicaid and those without insurance were more likely to have a higher T stage (T2-3) and N stage (N1) at the time of diagnosis compared to patients with insurance. Patients with Medicaid (247 (21.6%)) and those without insurance (41 (20.8%)) were more likely to present with metastatic disease (M1) compared to patients with insurance (457 (13.4%)) (p < 0.001, chi-squared test).

Patients with Medicaid (910 (79.8%)) and those without insurance (155 (78.7%)) were less likely to undergo surgical resection compared to patients with insurance (2,878 (84.6%)) (p < 0.001, chi-squared test). There was no difference in the use of radiotherapy based on insurance status (p = 0.112, chi-squared test). There was no difference in the use of chemotherapy based on insurance status (p = 0.112, chi-squared test). Patients with Medicaid (2,878 (84.6%)) (p < 0.001, chi-squared test).

**Associations of racial disparities and insurance status with metastasis at diagnosis.** After adjusting for age, sex, marital status, tumour type, site, and stages (Table III), race was not associated with the presence of metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis (p = 0.867 (Hispanic vs White), p = 0.472 (Black vs White), and p = 0.240 (Asian/Pacific Islander vs White)). However, patients with Medicaid (adjusted OR = 1.41; 95% CI = 1.15 to 1.72) and those without insurance (adjusted OR = 1.90; 95% CI = 1.26 to 2.86) were at increased risk of presenting with metastatic disease compared to patients with health insurance. In addition, male sex (adjusted OR = 1.20; 95% CI = 1.00 to 1.43), patients with osteosarcoma (adjusted OR = 1.48; 95% CI = 1.03 to 2.13) or Ewing’s sarcoma (adjusted OR = 2.52; 95% CI = 1.73 to 3.69), sacropelvic tumours (adjusted OR = 2.06; 95% CI = 1.61 to 2.63), and higher AJCC T stage (adjusted OR = 2.72; 95% CI = 2.18 to 3.40) were at increased risk of presenting with metastatic disease at diagnosis. Patients with a chondrosarcoma (adjusted OR = 0.43; 95% CI = 0.28 to 0.66) or chordoma (adjusted OR = 0.18; 95% CI = 0.08 to 0.38) and craniofacial sarcomas (adjusted OR = 0.35; 95% CI = 0.19 to 0.65) were at less risk of presenting with metastatic disease at diagnosis.

In subgroup analyses based on the histological diagnosis, patients with an osteosarcoma, with Medicaid, had an increased risk of presenting with metastatic disease (adjusted OR = 1.42, 95% CI = 1.08 to 1.88). By contrast, race and insurance status were not significantly associated with a diagnosis of metastatic disease at presentation in patients with a chondrosarcoma, Ewing’s sarcoma, or chordoma (Table III). Patients with sacropelvic osteosarcomas (adjusted OR = 2.57; 95% CI = 1.71 to 3.87) and Ewing’s sarcomas (adjusted OR = 1.97; 95% CI = 1.30 to 2.97) were more likely to present with metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis.

**Associations of racial disparities and insurance status with treatment.** After adjusting for age, sex, marital status, tumour type, site, and stages, Black (adjusted OR = 0.63, 95% CI = 0.47 to 0.85) and Asian/Pacific Islander patients (adjusted OR = 0.65, 95% CI = 0.46 to 0.91) were less likely to undergo surgery compared to White patients (Table IV). Patients with Medicaid were less likely to undergo surgery compared to patients with insurance (adjusted OR = 0.80, 95% CI = 0.64 to 0.99). As expected, patients between the age of 18 and 59 years (adjusted OR = 0.59, 95% CI = 0.47 to 0.75), age ≥ 60 years (adjusted OR = 0.44, 95% CI = 0.29 to 0.66), with Ewing’s sarcoma (adjusted OR = 0.33, 95% CI = 0.23 to 0.47), upper limb sarcoma (adjusted OR = 0.72, 95% CI = 0.53 to 0.97), sacropelvic sarcomas (adjusted OR = 0.19, 95% CI = 0.15 to 0.25), higher N stage (adjusted OR = 0.54, 95% CI = 0.35 to 0.83), and metastatic disease (adjusted OR = 0.21, 95% CI = 0.17 to 0.26) were less likely to undergo surgical resection.

These trends were confirmed in a subgroup analysis focusing on chondrosarcoma; Black (adjusted OR = 0.31, 95% CI = 0.15 to 0.63) and Asian/Pacific Islander patients (adjusted OR = 0.22, 95% CI = 0.10 to 0.47) were less likely to undergo surgery compared to Whites; patients with Medicaid (adjusted OR = 0.48, 95% CI = 0.27 to 0.88) and those without insurance (adjusted OR = 0.35, 95% CI = 0.14 to 0.85) were less likely to undergo surgical resection compared to patients with medical insurance. In patients with Ewing’s sarcoma, Asian/Pacific Islander patients were less likely to undergo surgery compared to White patients (adjusted OR = 0.37, 95% CI = 0.20 to 0.69).

After adjusting for age, sex, marital status, tumour type, site, and stage, Black patients were less likely to receive chemotherapy compared to White patients (adjusted OR = 0.67, 95% CI = 0.49 to 0.91, Supplementary Table i). Patients with an osteosarcoma (adjusted OR = 5.85, 95% CI = 4.42 to 7.73), Ewing’s sarcoma (adjusted OR = 27.5, 95% CI = 17.3 to 43.6), sacropelvic sarcomas (adjusted OR = 1.42, 95% CI = 1.03 to 1.97), higher T stage (adjusted OR = 2.42, 95% CI = 1.92 to 3.05), higher N stage (adjusted OR = 2.84, 95% CI = 1.36 to 5.91), and metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis (adjusted OR = 5.25, 95% CI = 3.67 to 7.50) were associated with the use of chemotherapy. In subgroup analyses based on histological subtypes, the use of chemotherapy was not associated with race or insurance status (Supplementary Table i).

Race and insurance status were not significantly associated with the use of radiotherapy (Supplementary Table ii). Patients with craniofacial sarcoma were more likely to
Table II. Demographic and clinical characteristics by insurance type (n = 4,739), number (%).

| Characteristics                  | Insured (n = 3,401) | Medicaid (n = 1,141) | Uninsured (n = 197) | p-value |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| **Mean age, yrs (SD)**           | 33 (18)             | 24 (15)              | 34 (16)             | < 0.001‡ |
| < 18                             | 988 (29.1)          | 529 (46.4)           | 25 (12.7)           |         |
| 18 to 59                         | 2,111 (62.1)        | 575 (50.4)           | 158 (80.2)          |         |
| ≥ 60                             | 302 (8.9)           | 37 (3.2)             | 14 (7.1)            |         |
| **Sex**                          |                     |                      |                     | 0.464§  |
| Female                           | 1,464 (43.0)        | 491 (43.0)           | 76 (38.6)           |         |
| Male                             | 1,937 (57.0)        | 650 (57.0)           | 121 (61.4)          |         |
| **Marital status**               |                     |                      |                     | < 0.001§|
| Married                          | 1,302 (38.3)        | 139 (12.2)           | 49 (24.9)           |         |
| Not married                      | 2,013 (59.2)        | 982 (86.1)           | 144 (73.1)          |         |
| Unknown                          | 86 (2.5)            | 20 (1.8)             | 4 (2.0)             |         |
| **Tumour type**                  |                     |                      |                     | < 0.001§|
| Osteosarcoma                     | 1,239 (36.4)        | 593 (52.0)           | 69 (35.0)           |         |
| Chondrosarcoma                   | 994 (29.2)          | 164 (14.4)           | 57 (28.9)           |         |
| Ewing's sarcoma                  | 602 (17.7)          | 240 (21.0)           | 27 (13.7)           |         |
| Chordoma                         | 316 (9.3)           | 66 (5.8)             | 18 (9.1)            |         |
| Others*                          | 250 (7.4)           | 78 (6.8)             | 26 (13.2)           |         |
| **Tumour site**                  |                     |                      |                     | < 0.001§|
| Lower limb                       | 1,290 (37.9)        | 526 (46.1)           | 72 (36.5)           |         |
| Upper limb                       | 542 (15.9)          | 145 (12.7)           | 30 (15.2)           |         |
| Sacro pelvic                     | 416 (12.2)          | 158 (13.8)           | 20 (10.2)           |         |
| Craniofacial                     | 370 (10.9)          | 106 (9.3)            | 24 (12.2)           |         |
| Rib, chest wall                  | 291 (8.6)           | 66 (5.8)             | 14 (7.1)            |         |
| Others†                          | 492 (14.5)          | 140 (12.3)           | 37 (18.8)           |         |
| **AJCC T stage**                 |                     |                      |                     | < 0.001§|
| T1                               | 1,616 (47.5)        | 425 (37.2)           | 83 (42.1)           |         |
| T2-3                             | 1,241 (36.5)        | 514 (45.0)           | 78 (39.6)           |         |
| Unknown                          | 544 (16.0)          | 202 (17.7)           | 36 (18.3)           |         |
| **AJCC N stage**                 |                     |                      |                     | 0.007§  |
| N0                               | 3,188 (93.7)        | 1,040 (91.1)         | 176 (89.3)          |         |
| N1                               | 83 (2.4)            | 39 (3.4)             | 6 (3.0)             |         |
| Unknown                          | 130 (3.8)           | 62 (5.4)             | 15 (7.6)            |         |
| **AJCC M stage**                 |                     |                      |                     | < 0.001§|
| M0                               | 2,944 (86.6)        | 894 (78.4)           | 156 (79.2)          |         |
| M1                               | 457 (13.4)          | 247 (21.6)           | 41 (20.8)           |         |
| **Surgery**                      |                     |                      |                     | < 0.001§|
| No                               | 523 (15.4)          | 231 (20.2)           | 42 (21.3)           |         |
| Yes                              | 2,878 (84.6)        | 910 (79.8)           | 155 (78.7)          |         |
| **Radiotherapy**                 |                     |                      |                     | 0.112§  |
| No                               | 2,846 (83.7)        | 984 (86.2)           | 164 (83.2)          |         |
| Yes                              | 555 (16.3)          | 157 (13.8)           | 33 (16.8)           |         |
| **Chemotherapy**                 |                     |                      |                     | < 0.001§|
| No                               | 1,590 (46.8)        | 321 (28.1)           | 105 (53.3)          |         |
| Yes                              | 1,811 (53.2)        | 820 (71.9)           | 92 (46.7)           |         |
| **Follow-up time, mths**         |                     |                      |                     | < 0.001‡|
| Mean (95% CI)                    | 42.6 (41.6 to 43.6) | 36.3 (34.6 to 38.0)  | 36.8 (32.8 to 40.7) |         |
| **Overall survival rate**        |                     |                      |                     | 0.146§  |
| Dead                             | 813 (23.9)          | 302 (26.5)           | 54 (27.4)           |         |
| Alive                            | 2,588 (76.1)        | 839 (73.5)           | 143 (72.6)          |         |

*Including unspecified malignant bone tumours, miscellaneous malignant bone tumours, odontogenic malignant tumours, and malignant fibrous neoplasms of bone.
†Including short bones, mandible, vertebral column, overlap bones, joints, and cartilage, and bone not otherwise specified.
‡Independent-samples t-test.
§Chi-squared test.
AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; CI, confidence interval; SD, standard deviation.
Table III. Adjusted odds ratio for metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis in bone sarcomas.

| Characteristics | Metastatic disease | Metastatic osteosarcoma | Metastatic chondrosarcoma | Metastatic Ewing's sarcoma | Metastatic chordoma |
|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
|                 | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value |
| Race            |                    |         |                        |        |                    |         |                        |        |
| White           | 1.00               |         | 1.00                    |         | 1.00               |         | 1.00                    |         |
| Hispanic        | 1.02 (0.82 to 1.27) | 0.867   | 1.07 (0.79 to 1.45)     | 0.684   | 0.67 (0.30 to 1.51) | 0.335   | 1.05 (0.71 to 1.55)     | 0.820   |
| Black           | 0.90 (0.67 to 1.20) | 0.472   | 0.90 (0.63 to 1.30)     | 0.575   | 0.92 (0.32 to 2.63) | 0.880   | 1.49 (0.70 to 3.19)     | 0.303   |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0.81 (0.56 to 1.16) | 0.240   | 0.84 (0.51 to 1.40)     | 0.506   | 0.86 (0.25 to 2.98) | 0.813   | 0.80 (0.42 to 1.56)     | 0.518   |
| Insurance type  |                    |         |                        |        |                    |         |                        |        |
| Insured         | 1.00               |         | 1.00                    |         | 1.00               |         | 1.00                    |         |
| Medicaid        | 1.41 (1.15 to 1.72) | 0.001   | 1.42 (1.08 to 1.88)     | 0.013   | 1.55 (0.69 to 3.30) | 0.290   | 1.29 (0.90 to 1.86)     | 0.164   |
| Uninsured       | 1.90 (1.26 to 2.86) | 0.002   | 1.43 (0.73 to 2.79)     | 0.295   | 2.43 (0.89 to 6.64) | 0.084   | 1.77 (0.76 to 4.15)     | 0.187   |
| Age, yrs        |                    |         |                        |        |                    |         |                        |        |
| < 18            | 1.00               |         | 1.00                    |         | N/A                |         | 1.00                    |         |
| 18 to 59        | 0.82 (0.66 to 1.01) | 0.058   | 0.62 (0.46 to 0.83)     | 0.002   | N/A                |         | 1.27 (0.89 to 1.80)     | 0.185   |
| ≥ 60            | 1.33 (0.87 to 2.03) | 1.190   | 1.72 (0.89 to 3.31)     | 0.106   | N/A                |         | 0.90 (0.16 to 5.01)     | 0.900   |
| Sex             |                    |         |                        |        |                    |         |                        |        |
| Female          | 1.00               |         | 1.00                    |         | 1.00               |         | 1.00                    |         |
| Male            | 1.20 (1.00 to 1.43) | 0.048   | 1.26 (0.98 to 1.62)     | 0.074   | 1.46 (0.82 to 2.59) | 0.199   | 1.05 (0.76 to 1.45)     | 0.758   |
| Marital status  |                    |         |                        |        |                    |         |                        |        |
| Married         | 1.00               |         | 1.00                    |         | 1.00               |         | 1.00                    |         |
| Not married     | 0.94 (0.73 to 1.21) | 0.627   | 1.07 (0.72 to 1.60)     | 0.736   | 0.47 (0.26 to 0.87) | 0.016   | 1.35 (0.79 to 2.30)     | 0.277   |
| Unknown         | 1.30 (0.69 to 2.45) | 0.409   | 2.42 (0.94 to 6.25)     | 0.068   | 0.89 (0.19 to 4.05) | 0.876   | 1.67 (0.39 to 7.05)     | 0.488   |
| Tumour type     |                    |         |                        |        |                    |         |                        |        |
| Others*         | 1.00               |         | N/A                    |         | N/A                |         | N/A                    |         |
| Osteosarcoma    | 1.48 (1.03 to 2.13) | 0.033   | N/A                    |         | N/A                |         | N/A                    |         |
| Chondrosarcoma  | 0.43 (0.28 to 0.66) | < 0.001 | N/A                    |         | N/A                |         | N/A                    |         |
| Ewing's sarcoma | 2.52 (1.73 to 3.69) | < 0.001 | N/A                    |         | N/A                |         | N/A                    |         |
| Chordoma        | 0.18 (0.08 to 0.38) | < 0.001 | N/A                    |         | N/A                |         | N/A                    |         |
| Tumour site     |                    |         |                        |        |                    |         |                        |        |
| Lower limb      | 1.00               |         | 1.00                    |         | 1.00               |         | 1.00                    |         |
| Upper limb      | 0.95 (0.71 to 1.25) | 0.692   | 1.21 (0.84 to 1.74)     | 0.303   | 0.35 (0.13 to 0.97) | 0.043   | 0.71 (0.40 to 1.29)     | 0.262   |
| Sacropelvic     | 2.06 (1.61 to 2.63) | < 0.001 | 2.57 (1.71 to 3.87)     | < 0.001 | 1.34 (0.69 to 2.60) | 0.386   | 1.97 (1.30 to 2.97)     | 0.001   |
| Craniofacial    | 0.35 (0.19 to 0.65) | 0.001   | 0.43 (0.17 to 1.16)     | 0.097   | 0.36 (0.08 to 1.68) | 0.194   | 0.46 (0.15 to 1.40)     | 0.172   |
| Rib, chest wall | 1.02 (0.70 to 1.49) | 0.905   | 1.64 (0.76 to 3.54)     | 0.212   | 1.05 (0.48 to 2.33) | 0.902   | 0.78 (0.45 to 1.36)     | 0.380   |
| Others†         | 0.95 (0.71 to 1.27) | 0.714   | 0.77 (0.45 to 1.32)     | 0.347   | 0.63 (0.22 to 1.81) | 0.394   | 1.22 (0.77 to 1.94)     | 0.406   |
| AJCC T stage    |                    |         |                        |        |                    |         |                        |        |
| T1              | 1.00               |         | 1.00                    |         | 1.00               |         | 1.00                    |         |
| T2-3            | 2.72 (2.18 to 3.40) | < 0.001 | 2.26 (1.63 to 3.12)     | < 0.001 | 6.15 (3.06 to 12.4) | < 0.001 | 2.48 (1.69 to 3.65)     | < 0.001 |

Continued
receive radiotherapy as part of their care (adjusted OR = 16.7, 95% CI 11.9 to 23.5). In patients with Ewing’s sarcoma, Asian/Pacific Islander patients were less likely than other racial groups to receive radiotherapy compared to Hispanic patients (adjusted OR = 0.40, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.93).

**Associations of racial disparities and insurance status with survival.** Overall survival was not significantly different based on race in patients with bone sarcomas (Figure 2a). The five-year survival rate for White, Hispanic, Black, and Asian/Pacific Islander patients was 70.3%, 67.3%, 66.6%, and 67.8%, respectively (p = 0.210, log-rank). In patients with Ewing’s sarcoma, Black patients had worse OS than other racial groups (p = 0.049, log-rank test; Supplementary Figure a). In contrast, OS was significantly different based on insurance status (Figure 2b); the five-year survival rates for insured, Medicaid, and uninsured patients were 70.6%, 64.6%, and 67.1%, respectively (p = 0.001, log-rank test). Specifically, patients with Medicaid and uninsured patients had worse OS than insured patients with chondrosarcoma (p = 0.048, log-rank test; Supplementary Figure b).

After adjusting for age, sex, marital status, tumour type, site, stage, and surgery, we found that race and insurance status were not independently associated with OS in patients with bone sarcoma (Table V). In patients with chondrosarcoma, patients with Medicaid had worse survival compared to those with health insurance (adjusted HR = 1.65, 95% CI = 1.06 to 2.56).

**Discussion**

Treatment for primary bone sarcomas varies based on patient and tumour factors, and requires a multidisciplinary team for success. Although the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) has formulated guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment for patients with a bone sarcoma, the results of the current study highlight the impact of racial disparities and insurance status on the presentation, treatment, and survival in patients presenting with common bone sarcomas.

Patient characteristics varied according to their race and type of insurance. Chondrosarcomas and Ewing’s sarcomas were more common in White patients, whereas osteosarcomas were more common in Hispanic and Black patients. Although previous studies described racial differences in patients with Ewing’s sarcoma, differences in patients with osteosarcoma and chondrosarcoma have not been previously described. The higher incidence rate of osteosarcomas in Hispanic and Black patients may account for the younger age at presentation in these racial groups compared to White.

Surgery has become a key component in the treatment of patients with bone sarcoma. The results of the current study show that Black patients were less likely to undergo surgery compared to White patients. Racial disparities have been known to exist in the use of common surgical procedures when comparing Black and White patients. To address this, the USA Department of Health and Human services initiated an action to reduce racial health disparities. A recent study by Best et al showed that racial disparities still exist, and in some cases have even worsened over time. Although there are likely multiple factors which impacted the use of surgery in the treatment of patients with a bone sarcoma in the current study, one factor could be stage at presentation for patients, as previous studies have shown the time from diagnosis to treatment initiation (TTI) is associated with poorer survival patients with these tumours. This could be due to a lack of healthcare access for these patients due to various socioeconomic and geographical factors which were not captured in this study, and may play a role in these healthcare disparities.

Although Hispanic and Black patients were more likely to have metastases at diagnosis in the univariate analysis (Table I), race did not independently affect the likelihood of advanced stage at diagnosis in the adjusted analysis with the type of insurance (Table III). Indeed, higher proportions of Hispanic (456 (42.9%)) and Black patients (196, 37.3%) hold Medicaid than White (422 (15.0%)) and patients.

---

**Table III. Continued**

| Characteristics | Metastatic disease | Metastatic osteosarcoma | Metastatic chondrosarcoma | Metastatic Ewing’s sarcoma | Metastatic chordoma |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
|                | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | Adjusted OR (95% CI)   | Adjusted OR (95% CI)   | Adjusted OR (95% CI)   | Adjusted OR (95% CI) |
|                | p-value              | p-value                 | p-value                 | p-value                 | p-value          |
| Unknown        | 3.04 (2.33 to 3.95)  | < 0.001                 | 2.92 (1.94 to 4.40)    | < 0.001                 | 2.43 (1.76 to 10.2) |
| N0             | 1.00                 | 1.00                    | 1.00                    | 1.00                    | 1.00             |
| AJCC N stage   |                     |                         |                         |                         |                  |
| N1             | 5.21 (3.52 to 7.71)  | < 0.001                 | 7.64 (3.91 to 14.9)    | < 0.001                 | 2.98 (0.71 to 12.5) |
| Unknown        | 2.83 (2.03 to 3.96)  | < 0.001                 | 3.53 (2.15 to 5.80)    | < 0.001                 | 3.59 (1.03 to 12.6) |

p-values were calculated using multivariable logistic regression.

*Including unspecified malignant bone tumours, miscellaneous malignant bone tumours, odontogenic malignant tumours, and malignant fibrous neoplasms of bone.
†Including short bones, mandible, vertebral column, overlap bones, joints, and cartilage, and bone not otherwise specified.

AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; CI, confidence interval; N/A, not available; OR, odds ratio.
Table IV. Adjusted odds ratio for surgical treatment in bone sarcomas.

| Characteristics | Surgical treatment | Surgical treatment for osteosarcoma | Surgical treatment for chondrosarcoma | Surgical treatment for Ewing’s sarcoma | Surgical treatment for chordoma |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                 | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value |
| **Race**        |                    |         |                        |         |                        |         |                        |         |
| White           | 1.00               |         | 1.00                   |         | 1.00                  |         | 1.00                  |         |
| Hispanic        | 0.96 (0.76 to 1.22) | 0.629   | 0.82 (0.55 to 1.22)    | 0.629   | 0.78 (0.39 to 1.53)    | 0.629   | 1.11 (0.74 to 1.66)   | 0.629   |
| Black           | 0.63 (0.47 to 0.85) | 0.208   | 0.80 (0.51 to 1.25)    | 0.208   | 0.31 (0.15 to 0.63)    | 0.208   | 0.61 (0.28 to 1.34)   | 0.208   |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0.63 (0.46 to 0.91) | 0.857   | 1.29 (0.63 to 2.63)    | 0.857   | 0.22 (0.10 to 0.47)    | 0.857   | 0.37 (0.20 to 0.69)   | 0.857   |
| **Insurance type** |                |         |                        |         |                        |         |                        |         |
| Insured         | 1.00               |         | 1.00                   |         | 1.00                  |         | 1.00                  |         |
| Medicaid        | 0.80 (0.64 to 0.99) | 0.544   | 0.80 (0.55 to 1.15)    | 0.544   | 0.48 (0.27 to 0.88)    | 0.544   | 0.94 (0.63 to 1.37)   | 0.544   |
| Uninsured       | 0.77 (0.50 to 1.20) | 0.454   | 0.73 (0.33 to 1.65)    | 0.454   | 0.35 (0.14 to 0.85)    | 0.454   | 1.44 (0.57 to 3.61)   | 0.454   |
| **Age, yrs**    |                    |         |                        |         |                        |         |                        |         |
| < 18            | 1.00               |         | 1.00                   |         | 1.00                  |         | 1.00                  |         |
| 18 to 59        | 0.59 (0.47 to 0.75) | 0.032   | 0.60 (0.41 to 0.88)    | 0.032   | 0.75 (0.20 to 2.76)    | 0.032   | 0.74 (0.52 to 1.06)   | 0.032   |
| ≥ 60            | 0.44 (0.29 to 0.66) | 0.046   | 0.31 (0.15 to 0.77)    | 0.046   | 0.75 (0.17 to 3.22)    | 0.046   | 0.53 (0.12 to 2.35)   | 0.046   |
| **Sex**         |                    |         |                        |         |                        |         |                        |         |
| Female          | 1.00               |         | 1.00                   |         | 1.00                  |         | 1.00                  |         |
| Male            | 1.11 (0.92 to 1.33) | 0.308   | 1.13 (0.82 to 1.56)    | 0.308   | 1.19 (0.74 to 1.92)    | 0.308   | 1.03 (0.74 to 1.42)   | 0.308   |
| **Marital status** |                  |         |                        |         |                        |         |                        |         |
| Married         | 1.00               |         | 1.00                   |         | 1.00                  |         | 1.00                  |         |
| Not married     | 0.99 (0.78 to 1.25) | 0.012   | 1.07 (0.70 to 1.65)    | 0.012   | 1.09 (0.64 to 1.83)    | 0.012   | 1.26 (0.75 to 2.13)   | 0.012   |
| Unknown         | 1.44 (0.75 to 2.77) | 0.065   | 1.79 (0.48 to 6.69)    | 0.065   | 1.31 (0.36 to 4.74)    | 0.065   | 3.51 (0.73 to 16.8)   | 0.065   |
| **Tumour type** |                    |         |                        |         |                        |         |                        |         |
| Others*         | 1.00               |         | N/A                    |         | N/A                   |         | N/A                   |         |
| Osteosarcoma    | 1.46 (1.04 to 2.06) | 0.722   | N/A                    |         | N/A                   |         | N/A                   |         |
| Chondrosarcoma  | 2.32 (1.60 to 3.36) | 0.971   | N/A                    |         | N/A                   |         | N/A                   |         |
| Ewing’s sarcoma | 0.33 (0.23 to 0.47) | 0.032   | N/A                    |         | N/A                   |         | N/A                   |         |
| Chordoma        | 2.72 (1.67 to 4.42) | 0.065   | N/A                    |         | N/A                   |         | N/A                   |         |
| **Tumour site** |                    |         |                        |         |                        |         |                        |         |
| Lower limb      | 1.00               |         | 1.00                   |         | 1.00                  |         | 1.00                  |         |
| Upper limb      | 0.72 (0.53 to 0.97) | 0.287   | 0.94 (0.56 to 1.58)    | 0.287   | 0.57 (0.30 to 1.09)    | 0.287   | 0.73 (0.42 to 1.24)   | 0.287   |
| Sacropelvic     | 0.19 (0.15 to 0.23) | 0.693   | 0.13 (0.08 to 0.20)    | 0.693   | 0.70 (0.36 to 1.39)    | 0.693   | 0.17 (0.11 to 0.26)   | 0.693   |
| Craniofacial    | 0.89 (0.59 to 1.35) | 0.187   | 1.24 (0.52 to 2.62)    | 0.187   | 0.99 (0.42 to 2.31)    | 0.187   | 0.86 (0.38 to 1.98)   | 0.187   |
| Rib, chest wall | 1.01 (0.68 to 1.51) | 0.316   | 0.48 (0.20 to 1.15)    | 0.316   | 1.87 (0.77 to 4.65)    | 0.316   | 1.28 (0.73 to 2.23)   | 0.316   |
| Others†         | 0.87 (0.65 to 1.17) | 0.012   | 0.82 (0.47 to 1.42)    | 0.012   | 1.39 (0.55 to 3.50)    | 0.012   | 0.70 (0.44 to 1.10)   | 0.012   |
| **AJCC T stage** |                  |         |                        |         |                        |         |                        |         |
| T1              | 1.00               |         | 1.00                   |         | 1.00                  |         | 1.00                  |         |
| T2-3            | 0.90 (0.72 to 1.12) | 0.064   | 1.19 (0.79 to 1.78)    | 0.064   | 0.95 (0.52 to 1.75)    | 0.064   | 0.76 (0.52 to 1.12)   | 0.064   |
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Asian/Pacific Islander patients (67 (19.6%)) in our dataset (p < 0.001; Supplementary Table iii). These data indicate that the type of insurance has a major impact on cancer screening; the insured individuals are more likely to go for a cancer screening, which may contribute to the detection of bone sarcoma at an earlier stage. As expected, larger tumours and tumours of pelvis, sacrum, and coccyx were independent predictors of advanced stage at diagnosis, which was consistent with the published reports.24–26

The impact of insurance status and presentation with metastatic disease has been reported by previous studies.18,27 In our study, patients with Medicaid and those without medical insurance were more likely to present with metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis. However, if patients are able to receive adequate health insurance, the risk of presenting with advanced stage disease could be mitigated, as highlighted by a study by Ko et al.9 In that study, the authors noted that non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, and American-Indian or Alaskan Natives had a higher risk of presenting with locally advanced breast cancer, however if these patients had health insurance, the risk of presenting with locally advanced disease was cut in half. Smartt et al27 identified the impact of insurance disparities on patients with bone and soft-tissue sarcomas and found results similar to our study, however they did not consider the tumour type and location when analyzing the patients’ outcome. In the current study, we found that patients with chondrosarcoma covered by Medicaid had a worse outcome when compared to patients with health insurance. In addition, these patients were less likely to undergo surgery. Since the receipt of surgery strongly affects the prognosis of chondrosarcoma due to its refractoriness to chemotherapy and radiotherapy,28–31 worse prognosis in patients with Medicaid should be attributed to lower likelihood of receipt of surgery. However, having Medicaid remained an independent predictor of worse survival after adjusting with receipt of surgery. Further investigation with other factors including surgical quality (i.e. resection margins) and hospital-related factors (i.e. academic or non-academic) may provide better understanding of survival impact of insurance type.32 When using Medicaid insurance status as a marker for other socioeconomic status, Medicaid is a ‘safety-net’ programme and allows patients to enrol in coverage after a diagnosis of cancer. As such, these patients are likely presenting with advanced stage of disease, with patients with Medicaid insurance known to have delays in care.33–36

In addition to racial disparities in the use of surgery, previous studies have shown the impact of race on the outcome of patients with head and neck cancer,37 lung cancer,38 as well as brain cancer.39 Previous studies had shown that Black patients had worse survival compared to White patients, however if the patients underwent the same treatment protocols, these survival differences were mitigated.37–39 However, this may not be the case for all types of cancer.40,41 In our current study, we did not find an association between overall survival and race in patients with a bone sarcoma. However, instead of racial disparities, the key determinant in survival in patients with bone sarcoma is the interplay between multiple socioeconomic factors not captured in the current study.

The results of the current study should be interpreted considering certain limitations. Although this was a large study of patients with a bone sarcoma, a large proportion of the patients in the SEER database needed to be excluded due to a lack of data. Although we were able to focus on racial disparities and insurance status, we are unable to account for socioeconomic factors that were not captured by the SEER database, which likely have an impact on the outcome of the study. In addition, SEER does not provide information on when the patient...
Fig. 2

Kaplan-Meier curves comparing overall survival (OS) by a) race and b) insurance status. p-values were calculated using log-rank test.
### Table V. Adjusted hazard ratio for overall survival in bone sarcomas.

| Characteristics | Overall survival | Overall survival for osteosarcoma | Overall survival for chondrosarcoma | Overall survival for Ewing’s sarcoma | Overall survival for chordoma |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                 | Adjusted HR (95% CI) | p-value | Adjusted HR (95% CI) | p-value | Adjusted HR (95% CI) | p-value | Adjusted HR (95% CI) | p-value |
| **Race**        |                  |         |                      |         |                    |         |                      |         |
| White           | 1.00             |         | 1.00                 |         | 1.00              |         | 1.00                 |         |
| Hispanic        | 0.88 (0.75 to 1.02) | 0.098   | 0.93 (0.76 to 1.15) | 0.494   | 0.90 (0.56 to 1.46) | 0.675   | 0.81 (0.57 to 1.16) | 0.253 |
| Black           | 0.98 (0.81 to 1.18) | 0.840   | 1.00 (0.79 to 1.27) | 0.987   | 0.91 (0.52 to 1.61) | 0.750   | 1.47 (0.85 to 2.55) | 0.171 |
| Asian/Pacific islander | 1.05 (0.83 to 1.33) | 0.685 | 1.24 (0.91 to 1.70) | 0.176 | 1.48 (0.80 to 2.72) | 0.209 | 0.82 (0.46 to 1.50) | 0.525 |
| **Insurance type** |                |         |                      |         |                    |         |                      |         |
| Insured         | 1.00             |         | 1.00                 |         | 1.00              |         | 1.00                 |         |
| Medicaid        | 1.08 (0.94 to 1.25) | 0.279   | 1.06 (0.87 to 1.28) | 0.568   | 1.65 (1.06 to 2.56) | 0.025   | 1.08 (0.78 to 1.50) | 0.636 |
| Uninsured       | 1.08 (0.81 to 1.43) | 0.619   | 0.98 (0.64 to 1.51) | 0.935   | 1.83 (1.00 to 3.34) | 0.05    | 0.76 (0.33 to 1.77) | 0.525 |
| **Age, yrs**    |                  |         |                      |         |                    |         |                      |         |
| < 18            | 1.00             |         | 1.00                 |         | 1.00              |         | 1.00                 |         |
| 18 to 59        | 1.81 (1.56 to 2.10) | < 0.001 | 1.69 (1.39 to 2.06) | < 0.001 | 1.65 (0.51 to 5.31) | 0.404   | 1.85 (1.38 to 2.48) | < 0.001 |
| ≥ 60            | 2.69 (2.09 to 3.47) | < 0.001 | 3.10 (2.11 to 4.54) | < 0.001 | 2.76 (0.82 to 9.34) | 0.102   | N/A                 | 0.500 |
| **Sex**         |                  |         |                      |         |                    |         |                      |         |
| Female          | 1.00             |         | 1.00                 |         | 1.00              |         | 1.00                 |         |
| Male            | 1.09 (0.97 to 1.23) | 0.140   | 1.13 (0.96 to 1.34) | 0.144   | 1.26 (0.90 to 1.75) | 0.178   | 1.05 (0.79 to 1.38) | 0.748 |
| **Marital status** |               |         |                      |         |                    |         |                      |         |
| Married         | 1.00             |         | 1.00                 |         | 1.00              |         | 1.00                 |         |
| Not married     | 0.85 (0.73 to 0.98) | 0.028   | 0.83 (0.67 to 1.04) | 0.106   | 1.01 (0.71 to 1.43) | 0.968   | 0.54 (0.38 to 0.79) | 0.001 |
| Unknown         | 1.07 (0.75 to 1.52) | 0.725   | 0.84 (0.48 to 1.47) | 0.546   | 1.46 (0.73 to 2.93) | 0.290   | 0.82 (0.28 to 2.37) | 0.711 |
| **Tumour type** |                  |         |                      |         |                    |         |                      |         |
| Others*         | 1.00             |         | N/A                 |         | N/A              |         | N/A                 |         |
| Osteosarcoma    | 1.56 (1.25 to 1.95) | < 0.001 | N/A                 |         | N/A              |         | N/A                 |         |
| Chondrosarcoma  | 0.53 (0.41 to 0.69) | < 0.001 | N/A                 |         | N/A              |         | N/A                 |         |
| Ewing’s sarcoma | 0.67 (0.52 to 0.86) | 0.002   | N/A                 |         | N/A              |         | N/A                 |         |
| Chordoma        | 0.41 (0.29 to 0.59) | < 0.001 | N/A                 |         | N/A              |         | N/A                 |         |
| **Tumour site** |                  |         |                      |         |                    |         |                      |         |
| Lower limb      | 1.00             |         | 1.00                 |         | 1.00              |         | 1.00                 |         |
| Upper limb      | 0.86 (0.70 to 1.06) | 0.163   | 1.07 (0.83 to 1.38) | 0.601   | 0.65 (0.39 to 1.09) | 0.102   | 0.58 (0.32 to 0.94) | 0.065 |
| Sacro pelvic    | 1.56 (1.32 to 1.86) | < 0.001 | 1.98 (1.53 to 2.57) | < 0.001 | 1.40 (0.94 to 2.08) | 0.097   | 1.12 (0.78 to 1.62) | 0.534 |
| Craniofacial    | 1.26 (0.96 to 1.65) | 0.090   | 1.68 (1.16 to 2.44) | 0.006   | 0.67 (0.32 to 1.38) | 0.274   | 1.17 (0.54 to 2.51) | 0.697 |
| Rib, chest wall | 1.20 (0.92 to 1.56) | 0.176   | 1.21 (0.73 to 2.00) | 0.457   | 0.77 (0.47 to 1.27) | 0.312   | 1.01 (0.63 to 1.61) | 0.985 |
| Others†         | 1.23 (1.01 to 1.50) | 0.039   | 1.16 (0.86 to 1.57) | 0.328   | 1.01 (0.58 to 1.79) | 0.964   | 1.12 (0.74 to 1.69) | 0.588 |
| **AJCC T stage** |                |         |                      |         |                    |         |                      |         |
| T1              | 1.00             |         | 1.00                 |         | 1.00              |         | 1.00                 |         |
| T2-3            | 1.58 (1.36 to 1.84) | < 0.001 | 1.38 (1.12 to 1.70) | 0.002   | 2.09 (1.46 to 3.01) | < 0.001 | 1.40 (1.00 to 1.97) | 0.053 |
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obtained insurance and divide the type of insurance that patients have. Likewise, the data in SEER rely on coding of data, and as such this adds a possibility of coding error in these patients.

Overall, racial disparities and insurance status were found to impact the outcome of patients with primary bone sarcomas. Our analysis attempted to control for tumour variables within the confines of the analysis performed, and there are likely factors which are not accounted for in the SEER database which impact overall survival and metastatic disease at the time of presentation. Further work is needed to reduce socioeconomic disparities in the care of patients with bone sarcomas.

Supplementary material

Kaplan-Meier graphs comparing overall survival stratified by racial groups and insurance status, and tables displaying adjusted odds ratios for chemotherapy in bone sarcomas, radiotherapy in bone sarcomas, and association between race and insurance status.
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