GMM estimation of simultaneous spatial panel data dynamic models with high order models approach
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Abstract. This paper shows the process of changing Simultaneous Spatial panel data dynamic Equations (SSPDD) into a high order model, which is then, parameterized in the high order model using the General method of Moment (GMM). The estimation steps start by forming the reduced form equation, and then form the instrumental Variable (IV) vector which is used to form the moment matrix \( g_{nt}(\theta) \). This moment matrix is used to calculate the estimated value of the model parameters. In this paper, the results of parameter estimation have not been tested with data or simulations so that it is recommended to do further testing using simulation data.

1. Introduction
Econometric spatial modeling at this time has arrived at the simultaneous modeling stage, with panel data as the data used, however panel data dynamic spatial modeling is nevertheless rarely carried out.

Recent research on the model was carried out through [6] who used the Quasi Maximum Likelihood (QMLE) method to estimate the parameters with \( n \) and \( T \) scenarios that tend to be infinite. In the simultaneous equation system, one of the things that is usually done is to form a "reduced form" equation to get the parameters of the structural equation. The results of this method can produce interesting results if there are only 2 equations, but if the equation is more than two (\( m > 2 \)) it will be difficult to do the "reduced form" formation. Therefore [6] form a multivariate model for the simultaneous model to be "quasi reduced form". The identification of the parameters of the quasi reduced form for the structural model parameters equals to the traditional linear simultaneous equation model. GMM estimation used by [5] for the spatial autoregressive model in the interrelated networks system. The model used by [5] is an equation with several models whose parameter estimation is performed simultaneously. In the equation, each spatial lag has a different coefficient that is difficult to estimate by using Maximum Likelihood method (MLE) because when the distribution form of disturbance is normal, the Jacobian transformation will be complicated. In addition, the form of disturbances is assumed to correlate between equations so that the estimates made by [3] cannot be applied directly to this model. The equation model used by [5] is a static model that is a model with no time lag on its endogenous variables.

Based on the above description, this paper describes the steps taken by [5] on the spatial simultaneous dynamic equations model because according to [5] when the number of rows and columns of spatial weight matrix (W) are equal (symmetrical), the system equation of interrelated networks can be simultaneous equations model. The spatial simultaneous dynamic equation model will be brought into the High Order model form nearing the form of the Spatial Dynamic Data Panel Equation model that is developed by [4]. Furthermore, the GMM estimates developed follow the way of [4].
2. Simultaneous Spatial Panels Data Dynamic (SSPDD) Models

Spatial data modeling in its development no longer involves a single equation but has developed towards simultaneous modeling, one of them was done by [2] and [1]. [2] used the simultaneous spatial data panel model with SEM model approach for regional growth model, and the estimation method used is Generalized Spatial Three-Stage Least Squares (GS3SLS). While [1] use simultaneous spatial autoregressive model with random effect and parameter estimation method used is Error Component 3 Stage Least Squares (EC3SLS) method. Simultaneous spatial panel data dynamic models have been developed by [6], namely Multivariate and Simultaneous Equation Dynamic Panel Spatial Autoregressive Models, with QMLE parameter estimation approach.

The parameter estimation method is also developed from MLE, QMLE, to IV (Instrumental Variable) GMM method. Each estimation method has its advantages and disadvantages. The OLS method obviously cannot be used in the dynamic model because the variable $y_{t-1}$ is treated as a fixed variable while $y_t$ is a random variable. Using the MLE method to estimate parameters of the spatial panel data dynamic model has difficulties (not feasible) in the calculation when N and T are large [4]. This difficulty is caused by a spatial weight matrix that cannot be normalized when the time effect (T) is removed which will make it difficult to estimate the parameters, when there are many matrixes of spatial weights in the model.

QMLE and MLE methods have the same weaknesses when large T but smaller than N with fixed effects are simultaneously estimated with other parameters in the model, because the asymptotic distribution and consistency of the estimator will be biased and will not centre on zero The convergence rate of QMLE will probably not be worth as much as $\sqrt{nT}$ [6]. The GMM equation is expected to solve all the above difficulties as a consistent GMM estimate of $\sqrt{nT}$, asymptotically normal and relatively efficient. The Simultaneous Spatial Panel Data Dynamic Model (SSPDD) used is the SAR model adapted from [4] and [1] models with the number of equations $m = 2$ as follows:

$$y_{n1,t} = \delta_{11}W_nY_{n1,t} + \eta_{11}W_nY_{n1,t-1} + \tau_{11}Y_{n1,t-1} + k_{11}Y_{n2,t} + \beta_{11}X_{n1,t} + c_{n1} + \nu_{11,t} \quad (1)$$

$$y_{n2,t} = \delta_{12}W_nY_{n2,t} + \eta_{12}W_nY_{n2,t-1} + \tau_{12}Y_{n2,t-1} + k_{12}Y_{n1,t} + \beta_{12}X_{n1,t} + c_{n2} + \nu_{12,t} \quad (2)$$

with $y_{n1,t}$ is an N x 1 vector of the response variable, where $y_{n1,t} = (y_{11t}, ..., y_{n1t})$, $y_{n2,t} = (y_{12t}, ..., y_{n2t})$, and $y_{n1,t-1}$ is an N x 1 vector of variable predetermined lag 1, and $y_{n1,t-1} = (y_{11t-1}, ..., y_{n1t-1}), y_{n2,t-1} = (y_{12t-1}, ..., y_{n2t-1})$. $\nu_{nt} = (\nu_{1t}, ..., \nu_{nt})$ is an N x 1 column vector of error with $\nu_{nt} \sim N(0, I\sigma_v^2)$. $W_n$ is an N x N spatial weights matrix $X_{nt}$ is an N x K explanatory variable matrix, $c_{nm} = Nxl$ column vector of individual effects, $m = 1,2$.

3. Methods

The methodology of the research in this paper follows the following steps:
1) Change the dynamic panel data spatial simultaneous equation (SSPDD) into the form of High Order Model in the following manner:
   a. Form a matrix $Z_{n1,t}$ and $Z_{n2,t}$
   b. Form the equation (1) and (2) into a matrix $Y_{n,t}$
   c. Forming a matrix in the previous step becomes a matrix of the High Order Spatial Autoregressive model
2) Transform the High Order Spatial Autoregressive model to eliminate the fixed effect with an orthonormal matrix $F_{T,T-1}, F_{n,n-1}$ to eliminate individual effects variables $\tau_{n0}$ and time effect $\delta_{00}$ in ways:
   a. Form matrix $J_T = (I_T - \frac{1}{T}I_Tl_T')$
   b. Look for the eigenvector matrix $F_{T,T-1}$ form $J_T$
   c. Form an orthonormal matrix $[F_{T,T-1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}I_T]$
   d. Forming an endogenous variable transformation matrix

$$Y_{nt}' = [y_{n1}', y_{n2}', ..., y_{nT-1}'] = [y_{n1}, y_{n2}, ..., y_{nT}]F_{TT-1}$$
and endogen lag matrix

\[ Y_{nt-1}^{(s-1)} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{n0}^{(s-1)}, & y_{n1}^{(s-1)}, & \ldots, & y_{nt-2}^{(s-1)} \end{bmatrix} = \{ y_{nt0}, y_{nt1}, \ldots, y_{ntn-1} \} F_{T,T-1} \]

e. Form a transformation matrix for predetermined variables \( Z_{nj}^*, V_{nT}^*, c_n^* \) by multiplying each with \( F_{T,T-1} \)

3) Form the reduced form equation from the variables that have been transformed in the previous step by:

a. Calculate matrix \( S_{nT}(\delta) \) with \( \delta = (\delta_{11}, \delta_{12})'; \eta = (\eta_{11}, \eta_{12})' \)

b. Calculate matrix \( A_n \)

c. Making reduced form matrix from the equation High Order Spatial Autoregressive.

4) Estimate reduced form model parameters that have been obtained in the previous step with the following steps:

a. Form the following Instrumental Variable \( Q_{nT} \).

b. Calculate matrix \( J_{nT-1} = I_{T-1} \otimes I_n \)

c. Form a linear moment component

\[ Q_{nT-1} J_{nT-1} V_{nT-1}'(\theta) \]

for \( l = 1, 2, \ldots, m \).

d. Form a quadratic moment component

\[ V_{nT-1}'(\theta) J_{nT-1} P_{nT-1} J_{nT-1} V_{nT-1}(\theta) \]

e. Based on the components of the linear moment and the quadratic moment then form the moment condition matrix \( g_{nT}(\theta) \)

f. Calculate matrix \( \Delta_{nm,T} \)

g. Calculate matrix \( \omega_{nmT} \)

h. Determine the moment matrix \( \Sigma_{nT} \) based on the matrix \( \Delta_{nm,T} \) and \( \omega_{nmT} \).

i. Form matrix \( g_{nT}(\theta) \Sigma_{nT}^{-1} g_{nT}(\theta) \)

j. Get an \( \hat{\theta} = (\delta, \eta, \tau, \beta', k)' \) value estimator by decreasing \( \min_{\theta \in \Theta} g_{nT}(\theta) \Sigma_{nT}^{-1} g_{nT}(\theta) \).

### 4. Result and Discussion

According to [5], The Simultaneous Equation Model is one form of an Interrelated Network model if the spatial weight matrix \( W \) of the first equation and the second equation have the same dimensions.

So, in this paper the SSPD equation is then brought into matrix form as the step by [5] as follows:

where and. By changing notation, the equation 3 above will be: Furthermore, equation (4) is formed into the High Order Spatial Autoregressive model as established [4] as follows:

\[(Y_{n1T} - \bar{Y}_{n1T}) = \delta_{11} W_n \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Y_{n1T} \end{bmatrix} + \eta_{11} W_n \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Y_{n1T} \end{bmatrix} + \delta_{12} W_n \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Y_{n2T} \end{bmatrix} + \eta_{12} W_n \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Y_{n2T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Y_{n1T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Y_{n2T} \end{bmatrix} \]

\[(Z_{n1T} - \bar{Z}_{n1T}) = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \beta_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[(Y_{n1T} - \bar{Y}_{n1T}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Y_{n1T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Y_{n2T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Z_{n1T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Z_{n2T} \end{bmatrix} \]

\[(Z_{n1T} - \bar{Z}_{n1T}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Z_{n1T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Z_{n2T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Z_{n1T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Z_{n2T} \end{bmatrix} \]

where \( Z_{n1T} = (X_{n1T}, Y_{n1T}) \) and \( Z_{n2T} = (X_{n2T}, Y_{n2T}) \). By changing notation, the equation 3 above will be:

\[ Y_{nt} = \delta_{11} W_n Y_{nt1} + \eta_{11} W_n Y_{nt1} + \delta_{12} W_n Y_{nt2} + \eta_{12} W_n Y_{nt2} + Z_{nt1} \beta + \tau_0 Y_{nt1} + C_n + V_{nt} \]

With \( Y_{nt} = (Y_{n1T}, Y_{n2T}) \), \( \delta_{11} W_n = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{12} \end{bmatrix} \),

\[ \eta_{11} W_n = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{12} \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ Y_{nt-1} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{n1T} \\ Y_{n2T} \end{bmatrix}, Z_{nt} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{n1T} \\ Z_{n2T} \end{bmatrix}, V_{nt} = \begin{bmatrix} V_{n1T} \\ V_{n2T} \end{bmatrix}, \beta_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}, \tau_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{11} \\ \tau_{12} \end{bmatrix} \]

Furthermore, equation (4) is formed into the High Order Spatial Autoregressive model as established [4] as follows:
\[
Y_{nt} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \delta_j W_{nj} Y_{nt} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \eta_j W_{nj} Y_{nt-1} + Z_{nt} \beta_0 + \tau_0 Y_{nt-1} + c_{N0} + v_{nt}, t = 1,2, \ldots, T
\] (5)

\[Y_{nt} = (y_{1t}, y_{2t}, \ldots, y_{10t}, y_{11t}, y_{12t}, \ldots, y_{1nt})'\text{ or can be formed into } Y_{nt} = (y_{11t}, y_{12t}, \ldots, Y_{nt})'\text{ is an N x 1 vector endogenous variable and } Z_{nt}\text{ is a N x K exogenous variable matrix.}

\[V_{nt} = (v_{1t}, v_{2t}, \ldots, v_{10t}, v_{11t}, v_{12t}, \ldots, v_{1nt})'\text{ is an N x 1 disturbance term vector where } v_{it} \sim N(0, \sigma^2_0)\text{. While } W_{nj} Y_{nt}\text{ and } W_{nj} Y_{nt-1}\text{ are dependent spatial lag variable, where } W_{nj}\text{ is an N x N spatial weights matrix non stochastic and form based on } y_{it}\text{ between spatial units with } j=1,2,\ldots,p. \text{ The matrix } W_{nj}\text{ can be a rownormalized matrix or not. } \delta_j = (\delta_{10}, \delta_{20}, \ldots, \delta_{p0})'\text{ and } \eta_j = (\eta_{10}, \eta_{20}, \ldots, \eta_{p0})'\text{ is a spatial autoregressive parameter and } C_{N0} = (c_{10}, c_{20}, \ldots, c_{N0})'\text{ is a column vector of individual effects.}

Model (5) will be a high order SAR model if } p \geq 2 \text{ and then to avoid unnecessary variables, the individual effect variables } C_{N0}\text{ must be eliminated by transforming them with the matrix } F_{TT-1}\text{ obtained from the matrix } F_{TT-1} = \left( I_T - \frac{1}{T} l_T l_T' \right)\text{ which is the othonormal matrix of the eigenvector } J_T = \left( I_T - \frac{1}{T} l_T l_T' \right)\text{. } F_{TT-1}\text{ is a T x (T-1) eigenvectors matrix that is connected with eigenvalues of one and } l_T\text{ is an vector with T dimension.}

The dependent variable matrix } [Y_{11t}, Y_{12t}, \ldots, Y_{nt}]\text{ can be transformed to be an N x (T-1) matrix so, }

\[ [Y_{n1t}', Y_{n2t}', \ldots, Y_{nt-1t}'] = [Y_{n1t}, Y_{n2t}, \ldots, Y_{nt}] F_{TT-1}\]

and

\[ Y_{nt-1}' \neq Y_{nt-1}'\]

Multiplication by } F_{TT-1}\text{ above applies also to variables } V_{nt}\text{ and } Z_{nt}\text{. Since } l_T F_{TT-1} = 0\text{ then } [c_{N0}, \ldots, c_{N0}] F_{TT-1} = 0\text{ so that individual effects can be eliminated by orthonormal matrix transformations. After transformation process then the equation or model (5) will be,}

\[Y_{nt} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \delta_j W_{nj} Y_{nt} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \eta_j W_{nj} Y_{nt-1} + Z_{nt} \beta_0 + \tau_0 Y_{nt-1} + V_{nt}', t = 1,2, \ldots, T - 1
\] (6)

With

\[V_{nt}' = \left( \frac{T - t}{T - t + 1} \right)^{1/2} \left[ Y_{nt} - \frac{1}{T - t} \sum_{h=t+1}^{T} V_{nh} \right]\]

And

\[Y_{nt-1}' = \left( \frac{T - t}{T - t + 1} \right)^{1/2} \left[ Y_{nt-1} - \frac{1}{T - 1} \sum_{n=t}^{T-1} V_{nh} \right]\]

Elimination of time effect is not done on this model because the model used is a fixed effect model. Estimation parameter for model (6) cannot be done by MLE method according to [4] with the following consideration:

a) If } W_{nj}\text{ can not be roughly normalized the SAR structure can not be defined.

b) The existence of a variable with time lag as an explanatory variable then the variable will be correlated with the disturbance term after being transformed by } F_{TT-1}\text{. For this reason, the GMM method is used to estimate model (6) because the method does not require a SAR form for } J_n Y_{nt}\text{ and is free of asymptotic bias. To estimate the model parameter (6), it is necessary to reduce the form from the following equation (5).}

\[Y_{nt}' = A_n Y_{nt-1}' + S_n^{-1}(Z_{nt} \beta_0 + V_{nt}')\]

With

\[S_n(\delta) = I_n - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \delta_j W_{nj}, \quad S_n \equiv S_n(\delta_0)\]

And
For each spatial lag $W_{nj}y_{nt}^*$ for $j = 1, 2, ..., p$ by defining $G_{nj} \equiv W_{nj}S_n^{-1}$ then it is obtained,

$$
W_{nj}Y_{nt}^* = G_{nj}(R_{nt}^o) + G_{nj}V_{nt}^r
$$

(8)

With $\lambda_0 = (\tau_0, \eta_0, \beta_0')$ and $R_{nt}^o = \left[ Y_{nt-1}^{(s-1)}, W_nY_{nt-1}^{(s-1)}, Z_{nt}^* \right]$ is a predetermined variable in equation (8) with:

$$
W_{nj}Y_{nt-1}^{(s-1)} = \left( W_{n1}Y_{nt-1}^{(s-1)}, ..., W_{np}Y_{nt-1}^{(s-1)} \right)
$$

For the linear moment, then the preparation of data and form the moment condition. A Matrix of Instrumental Variables (IV) is formed by $J_nQ_{nt}$ which $J_n = I_n - \frac{1}{n}I_n'$ cause $J_nl_n = 0$ and $Q_{nt}$ having a fixed dimension of column $q$ greater than or equal to $k_x + 2p + 1$ the example $Q_{nt}$ is:

$$
[Y_{nt-1}, W_nY_{nt-1}, W_nZ_{nt}, W_nZ_{nt}^*, W_nZ_{nt}^2, Z_{nt}^*]
$$

(9)

To estimate the equation (6) it should be noted that between $Y_{nt-1}^{(s-1)}$ and $V_{nt}^*$ are correlated, therefore it is necessary for IV $y_{nt-1}^{(s-1)}, W_{nk}y_{nt}^{(s-1)}$ and $W_{nj}y_{nt}^*$ on each t. So that required IV for eksplanatory variable as follows:

$$
J_n \left[ Y_{nt-1}^{(s-1)}, W_nY_{nt-1}, W_nY_{nt-1}^{(s-1)} \right]
$$

(10)

Let $V_{nt-1}^{(s-1)}(\theta) = (V_{nt-1}^{(s-1)}(\theta), ..., V_{nt}^{(s-1)}(\theta))'$ which $V_{nt}^{(s-1)}(\theta) = S_n^r(\lambda)y_{nt}^* - Z_{nt}^*\delta$ with $\theta = (\delta_0, \lambda_0)'$ and $\lambda_0 = (\tau_0, \eta_0, \beta_0')$. Estimate IV that connected with moment linear is $Q_n^{nt-1}J_nY_{nt-1}^{(s-1)}(\theta)$

The vector $P_{nt}V_{nt}$ can not be correlated with $J_nV_{nt}^*$ in equation (6), for a NXN non stochastic matrix $P_{nt}$ according to the content of $tr(P_{nt}J_n) = 0$, here the value,

$$
P_{n1} = W_n - tr(W_nJ_n)/ (W_nJ_n)/(n - 1)
$$

and

$$
P_{n2} = W_n^2 - tr(W_nJ_n)/ (W_nJ_n)/(n - 1)
$$

While the $P_{nt}V_{nt}^*$ may be correlated with $G_{nj}V_{nt}^*$ in equation (7).

Defined $P_{nt1T-1} = I_{T-1} \otimes P_{nt}$, then the quadratic moment is $V_{nt-1}^{(s-1)}(\theta)J_{nt-1}P_{nt1T-1}J_{nt-1}V_{nt-1}^*(\theta)$ for $l = 1, 2, ..., m$ and the moment of its condition with the approximate number of finite moments is,

$$
g_{nt}(\theta) = \left( V_{nt-1}^{(s-1)}(\theta)J_{nt-1}P_{nt1T-1}J_{nt-1}V_{nt-1}^*(\theta) \right)
$$

(11)

For the GMM estimate a covariance matrix is required from the function of moment $E(g_{nt}(\theta_0)g_{nt}(\theta_0))$ which can be approximated by,

$$
\Sigma_{nt} = \sigma_0 \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{n(T-1)} & \Delta_{nm}T & 0_{mxq} \\
0_{qxm} & \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} & 1 & 0_{qxm} \\
\frac{1}{n(T-1)} & Q_{nt-1}^{nt-1}Q_{nt-1} & 0_{qmq} & 0_{qmq} \\
\end{pmatrix}
$$

(12)

With

$$
\omega_{nm} = [vec_D(J_{nt-1}P_{nt1T-1}J_{nt-1}), ..., vec_D(J_{nt-1}P_{nt1T-1}J_{nt-1})],
$$

$$
\Delta_{nm} = [vec_D(J_{nt1T-1}P_{nt1T-1}J_{nt-1}), ..., vec_D(J_{nt1T-1}P_{nt1T-1}J_{nt-1})]
$$

And $\Delta_{nm}$ is an $(m \times m)$ matrix, then the approximate number of finite moments is $E(g_{nt}(\theta_0)g_{nt}(\theta_0))$ which can be approximated by,

$$
\hat{\theta}_{on} = \arg\min_{\theta \in \Theta} g_{nt}(\theta) \sum_{nt}^{-1} g_{nt}(\theta)
$$

(13)
Has asymptotic distribution,
\[
\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{onT} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N \left(0, \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{T-1} (D_n T \Sigma_{nT}^{-1} D_n T)^{-1}\right)
\] (14)

In [4] it is also mentioned that the resulting GMM estimator is consistent by \(\sqrt{nT}\), asymptotically normal, and efficient. Instrumental Variables (IV) used for obtaining the best linear and quadratic moment conditions, in which the number of IV will increase as the time period increases. Some studies also prove that the resulting GMM estimates are consistent, when T is relatively small compared to N.

5. Conclusion
This paper introduces the Simultaneous Spatial Panel Data Dynamic (SSPDD), where the model can be used to analyse the empirical problems widely involving the interaction between the individual and the time in a different area. The SSPDD model is subsequently brought into the High Order model where it cannot be estimated using the MLE method due to the complexity of the computation process, so GMM estimation was developed to obtain the model parameter estimation. The model and parameter estimation method produced in this paper still require further testing, especially the asymptotic distribution of the estimated parameters that have been generated. So, data simulation has not been done in this paper because it is still in the process of working for the next research.
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