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**Abstract**

This study aimed to evaluate the effect of microplastics on *Spirulina* sp., the pigment phycocyanin in *Spirulina* sp., and the effect of *Spirulina* sp. on the degradation of PE and PP plastic. The interaction of *Spirulina* sp. with microplastic (PE and PP) was conducted by adding the microplastic (500 mg/500 mL, with a size of 0.5–1 mm²) to microalgae culture. The optical density was measured for 30 days to determine the growth of *Spirulina* sp. Harvesting was performed to obtain dry *Spirulina* sp. biomass. Phycocyanin was obtained through extraction by mixing 0.1 g dry *Spirulina* sp. biomass with 25 mL of 1% CaCl₂ in an ultrasonic water bath at 50 kHz, 300 W at 30 °C for 15 min. The results showed that the growth rate of *Spirulina* sp significantly decreased (p < 0.05) with treatment of PE (SP + PE) (0.0228/day) and PP (SP + PP) (0.0221/day), compared to the control (Sp-Control) (0.0312/day). Scanning electron microscopy and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analyses of *Spirulina* sp. biomass with the addition of PE and PP revealed surface damage of *Spirulina* sp. cells and loss of carboxyl groups from proteins in *Spirulina* sp. at wavelengths of 1397–1450 cm⁻¹. In addition, *Spirulina* sp. had decreased the intensity of amine and amide groups from proteins at wavelengths of 3280, 1637, and 1537 cm⁻¹ in the microplastic treatment. The phycocyanin yield and protein content in *Spirulina* sp. control were 19.69% and 0.108%, respectively, with PE treatment and by 8.7% and 0.121%, respectively, with PP treatment. Moreover, the investigation of PE and PP treated by *Spirulina* sp. showed more significant changes of functional group indicated by the formation of hydroxyl (3286 cm⁻¹), carbonyl (1700 cm⁻¹), ester (1750 cm⁻¹), and primary alcohol (1085 cm⁻¹). The results of the EDX microplastic analysis showed a decrease in carbon in PE (1.62%) and PP (1.08%) compared to the control (Sp-Control) (0.0312%). These FTIR and EDX analysis also proved that microplastics have experienced degradation when treated by *Spirulina* sp. cell culture.

1. Introduction

Polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP) are the most produced and used thermoplastics in the industrial sector. Plastic PE and PP represent up to 85% of the synthetic plastics produced and are mainly used for single-use packaging (Issac and Kandasubramanian, 2021). According to the Indonesian Olefins, Aromatic and Plastics Industry Association, PP production reached 70% in 2019 and PE production almost reached 90% of the total plastic production in Indonesia. PE and PP are polyolefins with a long linear hydrocarbon chain, which makes them difficult to degrade naturally, and it can take more than 100 years to degrade PE and PP plastics (Chamas et al., 2020). The physical and chemical properties of the polymers further affect their degradability. Crystallinity plays a role in polymer strength and stiffness (Sarmah and Rout, 2018, 2019). PE plastic bags have a crystallinity of 60%–80%, and PP used as packaging for mineral water, bottle caps, drinking straws, and others has a crystallinity of 55%–70%. PP has a higher resistance to cracks, acids, organic solvents, and electrolytes and better hydrophobic properties owing to its high molecular weight of 42 g/mol, tensile strength of 31–41 mPa, and hardness of 98%. PE has a lower molecular weight than PP (28 g/mol),...
tensile strength of 17–33 mPa, and hardness of 60%–69% (Galeski, 2003; Khoironi et al., 2020). Furthermore, PP also has a triple bond (alkyne, C≡C) in its chain structure so that it has greater strength than PE, whereas PE has a double bond (alkene, C=C) (Sutar et al., 2018). These properties confer PE and PP with high stability and strong resistance, which is the primary reason for their use in industries. However, in combination with a long linear hydrocarbon chain, these same properties make the natural decomposition of PE and PP plastics difficult and lengthy.

However, Li et al. (2018) report that PE and PP plastic waste accumulation in water systems eventually degrade into microsized plastics especially due to physical factors. The size of the plastic molecules strongly influences the ability of plastics to release additives (Koelmans et al., 2013). The smaller plastic size affects the increasing number of additives released into the environment. Zhu et al. (2020) and Chae et al. (2019) report that the plastics size affects the ability of microorganisms, especially microalgae, to adsorb additive particles that cause cell membrane damage and growth inhibition. During degradation, plastics release toxic additives that have been added during manufacturing, such as plasticizers, polychlorinated biphenyls, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethylene, and heavy metals such as cadmium, chromium, bromium, copper, and titanium (Campanale et al., 2020). The release of additives or toxic chemical compounds as a result of the degradation process has the potential to be more harmful to the environment (Nava and Leoni, 2021). Capulupo et al. (2020) investigated the effect of chemical additives in plastics on the microalgae Raphidocelis subcapitata (freshwater) and Skeletonema costatum (marine) and reported that almost all additive particles inhibited algae growth.

Microalgae Spirulina sp. are the largest algae-based food products in the United States and Asia. They are generally recognized as safe for consumption and are certified by the Food and Drug Administration (Lucas et al., 2018). Spirulina sp contain important organic functional groups such as hydroxyl from polysaccharides and carboxyl from proteins. The protein in Spirulina sp. is the blue pigment phycocyanin, a protein composed of a collection of peptides that form polypeptides, with each peptide being composed of amino acids containing a carboxyl group. Besides carboxyl groups, phycocyanin also consists of carbonyl groups, amines, amides, phosphoryl, and sulfonyl (Omytryk et al., 2014). The pyocyanin is mostly used for its anti-oxidant, anti-cancer, and anti-inflammation properties (Hadiyanto et al., 2016). For food and feed applications, phycocyanin from Spirulina sp. extract should contain non-toxic, non-carcinogenic properties and must be free of contaminants, including microplastics (Karthick Raja Namastivayam et al., 2019).

Several studies have shown the effects of microplastics exposure to microalgae based on several effect criteria (Nava and Leoni, 2021). During cultivation, microalgae can produce extracellular polymeric substance (EPS), and the presence of microplastics can stimulate the EPS generation (Song et al., 2020; Chentir et al., 2017). EPS has the potential to form hetero-aggregates with microplastic particles in the biodegradation process (Cunha et al., 2019). The additives released upon plastic degradation also contribute to adhering to the algal cell surface and penetrating the EPS, consequently damaging microalgal cells (Song et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020). Restrepo-Florez et al. (2014) reported that the interaction between microorganism colony and plastic result in changes of functional groups and physical properties of plastic. In addition, the increase of carbonyl group intensity and the decrease in molecular weight were observed by Sivan (2011) and Mukherjee et al. (2015), when microalgae interact with the polyethylene surface. The interaction also give an impact on PET surface in form of surface erosion as indication of early phase of degradation by Bacillus subtilis (Nakkabi et al., 2015). The interaction between Chlamydomonas reinhardtii with HDPE and PP microplastics was also investigated by Lagarde et al. (2016), and they found a similar phenomena of surface morphological changes. The reduction of carbon compound in microplastic was also observed by Sarmah and Rout (2018). However, until now, no studies have been reported on the effects of PE and PP microplastics degradation on microalgae Spirulina sp. and their effects on phycocyanin in Spirulina sp after being contaminated by PE and PP microplastics. Therefore, studies on the interaction between microplastics and Spirulina sp., with a focus on phycocyanin will be of value. This study aimed to determine the effect of microplastics PE and PP on Spirulina sp., the phycocyanin pigments contained therein, and the role of Spirulina sp. in the degradation process of PE and PP plastics.

2. Materials and methods

The plastics used in this study were PE obtained from single-use white plastic packaging and PP obtained from single-use mineral water bottles of the brand “AQUA.” Microalgae Spirulina sp. culture were obtained from Neoalgae Company (Sukoharjo, Central Java, Indonesia). Spirulina sp. cultivation, phycocyanin extraction, and the analysis of the results were conducted at the UPT C-BIORE Laboratory, Diponegoro University, Indonesia. The following treatments were Spirulina sp. without microplastic treatment (Sp control), Spirulina sp. with PE treatment (Sp + PE), and Spirulina sp. with PP treatment (Sp + PP).

2.1. Preparation of microplastics

Microplastics were prepared by cutting unused white plastic packaging bags for PE and disposable mineral water bottles for PP. The microplastic was prepared by cutting the plastic bottle to 0.5–1 mm². After the cutting process, the microplastics were washed with ethanol – ethanol aims to clean the contaminants on the plastic – and dried at room temperature for 24 h. Next, 500 mg of PE and 500 mg of PP microplastics were carefully weighed and added into a bioreactor containing 2 L of Spirulina sp. culture.

2.2. Preparation of Spirulina sp.

Spirulina sp. was cultivated in three 2-L glass bioreactors, each equipped with an aerator for oxygen supply and illuminated by conventional LED lights (3000 lux). The nutrition comprised a mixture of 15 ppm Triple Super Phosphate (TSP), 70 ppm urea, and 1 g/L NaHCO3 and was administered every 5 days. For 30 days, pH was maintained at 7–8 and temperature at 24°C–26°C. The optical density (OD) was measured using a spectrophotometer (OPTIMA SP-300) to determine cell concentrations in Spirulina sp. Chlorophyll-α levels were determined at a wavelength of 680 nm using ultrapure water as a blank solution. Increasing chlorophyll-α levels indicated growth of Spirulina sp. On the first day, OD was measured and obtained a value of 0.42 for the three variables.

2.3. Harvesting of Spirulina sp.

Stainless steel wire-mesh screens of 40 μm were used during the harvesting process. This filters were used to filter the microplastics out of the mixture, where the biomass and filtrate were separated (Khademi, 2014). Finally, the wet biomass of Spirulina sp. was dried at 30°C–35°C for 24 h to get the dry biomass of Spirulina sp. After obtaining the dry biomass of Spirulina sp., the extraction process was carried out.

2.4. Extraction of phycocyanin

The extraction of phycocyanin from Spirulina sp. was performed using a Krisbow ultrasonic cleaner (tool item #10639597) and a method conducted by Ilter et al. (2018) and Prabathas et al. (2011) was used. First, a glass beaker was filled with a mixture of 0.1 g of dry Spirulina sp. biomass and 25 ml 1% CaCl2 solvent and it was then covered with aluminum foil. The three glass beakers was placed in an ultrasonic water bath filled with aquadest, and the ultrasonic treatment operated for 15 min, with heating power 300 W, ultrasound frequency 50 kHz, and a bath temperature at 30°C. As the phycocyanin dissolved into the solvent, it
accumulated into the supernatant, which was then separated from the Spirulina sp. residue in a centrifuge at speed 4,000 rpm for 15 min. In this extraction method, the ultrasonic waves use liquid as a propagation medium, which increases the intensity of energy transfer, thereby maximizing the yield of the extraction process compared with conventional methods (Shirsath et al., 2012).

2.5. Phycocyanin analysis

Analysis of proteins of phycocyanin from Spirulina sp. was performed at the Center for Industrial Pollution Prevention Technology, Semarang, Indonesia (SNI 01-2891-1992 item 7.1). The phycocyanin yield, purity, and concentration were determined using a spectrophotometer (Spectroquant Prove 100). Phycocyanin was noted at a peak of 620 nm. Its purity was calculated based on the absorbance ratio of 620/280 nm (Pagsel et al., 2019). The concentration of phycocyanin was measured at absorbances of 280, 620, and 652 nm. Finally, the concentration was measured in accordance with the procedure described by Brandt et al. (1989):

$$PC = \frac{OD_{652} - 0.474OD_{526}}{5.34}$$

where $PC$ is the concentration of phycocyanin (mg/mL) and $OD_{620}$ is the amount of phycocyanin present in the Spirulina sp extract. The alophycocyanin content in the extract is given by $OD_{652}$. Using this formula, we obtained the formula for phycocyanin yield. Extraction yield was defined in accordance with the procedure described by Silveira et al. (2007):

$$Yield = \frac{PC \times V}{DB}$$

where $yield$ is the result of phycocyanin extraction expressed in milligrams of phycocyanin per gram of dry biomass (%), $V$ is the volume of the solvent (mL), and $DB$ is the dry biomass (g).

2.6. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analyses

FTIR analysis was performed using dried Spirulina sp. biomass. The purpose of FTIR analysis was to determine the effect of microplastics on the content of organic groups in Spirulina sp., especially the carboxyl, amine, and amide groups, which are indicators of the formation of phycocyanin protein compounds. FTIR analysis was performed to evaluate the changes in polymer functional groups and SEM analysis as well as to observe changes in the surface morphology of Spirulina sp. and microplastics. Energy-dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis was conducted to assess the changes in the composition of PE and PP organic elements due to the degradation process of Spirulina sp. (Dianratri et al., 2020).

2.7. Statistical analysis

The experiment was applied in triplicates and the results were as means ± standard error of the mean. Prior to ANOVA analysis, the t-test for two-sample with unequal variances was conducted to evaluate the differences of their means. Then, analysis of variance was performed by one-way ANOVA, following Tukey’s HSD (honest significant difference) Post Hoc multiple comparison analysis, using GradPrism 9. A value of $p < 0.05$ was used to determine a significant difference.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Influence of microplastics on Spirulina sp. growth

The results show that $OD_{max}$ of Spirulina sp. without microplastic treatment in (Figure 1) was 0.994 ± 0.015 higher than that of Spirulina sp. treated with PE and PP microplastics, i.e., 0.912 ± 0.021 and 0.886 ± 0.009 (Dianratri et al., 2020). The results in Figure 1 also confirm that the growth rate of Spirulina sp. without microplastic treatment was higher than that of Spirulina sp. treated with PE and PP microplastics, i.e., 0.0312, 0.0221, and 0.0228 day$^{-1}$, respectively.

The decreased microalgal growth due to the presence of microplastic is statistically significant, ($p < 0.05$) as shown in (Table 1). From the t-test with unequal variance statistical analysis, it is shown that there was significant difference of population means between Sp-control and Sp + PE (t-critical two tail (2.31)<$t_{stat}$ (4.96)) and also Sp-control and Sp + PP (t-critical two tail (2.31)<$t_{stat}$ (6.41)). However, there was no significant difference of growth rate of microalgae treated with Polyethylene (PE) and Polypropylene (PP) (t-(critical two tail (2.31)>$t_{stat}$ (1.35)).

The presence of microplastics can interfere with the intensity of light that enters the Spirulina sp. culture for photosynthesis (Reichelt and Gorokhkova, 2020). Inhibition of photosynthesis results in decreased oxygen production, leading to reduced microalgae density in the culture. This finding was supported by Li et al. (2020), who reported that over a period of 6 days, the presence of microplastics in microalgal cultures caused their density to decrease, the chlorophyll level to reduce, and their surfaces to be damaged, resulting in a reduction in growth rates of 45.8%. The damaged surfaces were reported by Zhu et al. (2020), who stated that additive compounds released by microplastics become highly toxic on their interaction with Spirulina sp. These toxic additives then damage the cell membranes of Spirulina sp. and reduce their growth rate. Barreto et al. (2019) and Zhu et al. (2020), reported that particles of microplastics such as ions in heavy metals are factors that cause toxicity. The ions could inhibit the photosynthesis process and induce oxidative stress response, resulting in the growth inhibition of algae. Similarly, PE and PP microplastics, which have additive particles such as copper, titanium, and bromium cause the growth of Spirulina sp to decrease.

In contrast, the growth rate of Spirulina sp. in the presence of microplastics continued to increase, showing the adaptability of Spirulina sp. as it utilized microplastics as a carbon source for growth (Sarmah and Rout, 2019; Khoriorni et al., 2019). In the interaction of thermoplastics and algal, algae cells can capture and store carbon dioxide in biomass form permanently. Consequently, carbon dioxide will not be emitted back into

| System       | $OD_{max}$ | Growth rate (day$^{-1}$) |
|--------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Sp control   | 0.994 ± 0.015 | 0.0312 ± 0.0076$^a$   |
| Sp + PE      | 0.912 ± 0.021 | 0.0221 ± 0.0081$^b$   |
| Sp + PP      | 0.886 ± 0.009 | 0.0228 ± 0.0074$^b$   |

Figure 1. Microalgal growth of Spirulina for all three treatments with and without (control) microplastic. The error bars were standard error ($n = 3$).
the environment (Hadiyanto et al., 2012). Tian et al. (2014) investigated the growth phases of microorganisms and demonstrated their ability to survive in stressful conditions caused by the presence of microplastics. On comparing the growth rate of *Spirulina* sp. affected by microplastics, there was no difference in growth rate of *Spirulina* sp. affected by PE and by PP microplastics (Table 1). However, the maximum biomass achieved by *Spirulina* cultivated in PE microplastic (OD = 0.916) is higher than those cultivated in PP (OD = 0.886). This may be because PE is more easily decomposed than PP, so that PE releases carbon faster and is used by *Spirulina* sp. for photosynthesis (Lagarde et al., 2016).

### 3.2. SEM analysis to evaluate changes in the surface morphology of *Spirulina* sp. and microplastic

The damage caused to *Spirulina* sp. cell walls after interaction with microplastics was visualized using SEM analysis over a period of 30 days and shown in Figure 2. The results of SEM analysis showed morphological changes in *Spirulina* sp. with and without microplastic treatment in Figure 2. In *Spirulina* sp without microplastic treatment, the resulting EPS production was under normal conditions because there were no threatening foreign bodies in the culture (Vimal Kumar et al., 2017). Microplastics are used by microalgae as a carbon source and their presence results in increased production of EPS, indicating that microalgae do experience stress (Sarmah and Rout, 2019). In Figure 2A, *Spirulina* sp-control have a plain appearance and are surrounded by EPS. Damage to *Spirulina* sp cells due to the presence of microplastic particles can be seen on the uneven cell surface compared to *Spirulina* sp. control. In *Spirulina* sp. with microplastic treatment, the EPS production increased as shown in Figures 2B and C, and the EPS production in *Spirulina* sp. with PE microplastic treatment appeared to be higher than *Spirulina* sp with PP treatment. These results are in accordance with the research of Lagarde et al. (2016), who reported that microalgae genes were involved in EPS production, and that microalgae EPS production with HDPE treatment was more dominant than PP treatment. Furthermore, their results showed higher EPS production following interaction with HDPE than that after interaction with PP. Moreover, *Spirulina* sp. has a fragile cell wall and may appear with many cavities because of damage by microplastics. This is evident in the number of microplastic particles and additives that managed to enter and adhere to microalgal cells. Oxidized microplastics in *Spirulina* sp. culture may release its additive particles in the form of ions which were much smaller than the microplastics themselves. Although it was not in our investigation, this phenomena was suggested by Song et al. (2020), who described the additive particles attached to the surface of microalgae cell covered by EPS as a form of protection. Wang et al. (2017) reported that EPS in small amounts is less effective in protecting the cell membrane from contamination, resulting in easier entry for microplastic additives and eventually damaging the cell membrane structure.

The SEM analysis results of the surface morphology of microplastics are shown in Figure 3. As shown in Figure 3A, B, C, and D, a smoother surface was observed on PE than on PP before treatment. The surface of PP was rougher and less even. This is due to the hydrophobic nature and higher molecular weight of PP and hence, the surface roughness of PP is higher than that of PE. Hydrophobicity can be measured by the contact angle of water on the solid surface. A surface is called hydrophobic if the contact angle of water on it is less than 90°. Conversely, if the contact angle of water on it is less than 90°, the surface is hydrophilic (Sakti et al., 2017). PE's contact angle of water is 86–94° and for PP, this is 95°. This indicates that PP tends to be more hydrophobic (Myshkin and Kovalov, 2018). Furthermore, Sakti et al. (2017) have reported that hydrophobicity also plays a role in the immobilization of biomolecules. The higher the molecular weight (MW), the better the level of immobilization of the...
molecules, and the added hydrophobic properties of the plastic (MW PE 28 g/mol, MW PP 42.07 g/mol) (Sutar et al., 2018).

After treatment with *Spirulina* sp., the SEM results of PE revealed many cracks in the form of crystals filled with a white substance. This white substance was EPS slime produced by *Spirulina* sp., which plays an important role in the biodegradation process by adhering to and damaging the surface of PE microplastics (Lagarde et al., 2016). *Spirulina* sp. produces EPS when it digests any nutrient in culture, including microplastics. When *Spirulina* sp. produces EPS, it accumulates and forms a biofilm on the surface of any solid object in contact with *Spirulina* sp., including microplastics in culture. The biofilm will be utilized by bacteria/fungi/microorganisms that play a role in the degradation process of the microplastic surface. This can be seen in the SEM results, where the surface of the plastic looks like a crack. This crack is an indicator that the degradation process occurs due to the presence of EPS, which forms a biofilm on microplastics surface (Restrepo-Flórez et al., 2014). Restrepo-Flórez et al. (2014) add that PE is composed of crystalline and amorphous regions. Microorganisms are reported to prefer amorphous regions. Corrosion of PE surfaces by cyanobacteria appears to be scattered and non-uniform, indicating that amorphous regions of the polymer were more susceptible to degradation by cyanobacteria. When the more accessible amorphous regions are depleted, microorganisms continue on smaller crystals, resulting in an increased proportion of larger crystals (Arutchelvi et al., 2008). This supports the SEM results in that PE appears to be dominated by a crystalline form and provides evidence of PE biodegradation into its monomeric components. Damage to the PE surface indicates the fragility of PE, following the interaction with *Spirulina* sp. However, erosion and blistering were uneven on the PP surface owing to stronger hydrophobic properties, rendering its surface more resilient. The morphological shape of PP is similar due to damage caused by mechanical friction, which may be caused by several factors, including the use of an aerator in the stirring process. The SEM results of PE and PP indicate that microorganisms and EPS play an important role in the biodegradation process of polymers.

In addition to SEM, which is used to visualize the polymer biodegradation process, EDX analysis was performed to identify the inorganic elements distributed in the polymer following interaction with *Spirulina* sp. The results of the EDX analysis are shown in (Table 2).

Both PE and PP microplastics experienced only a slight decrease in carbon: 1.62% in PE and 1.08% in PP (p > 0.05). EDX results which showed a decrease in carbon and compound changes in microplastics after treatment did not show a significant difference. The percentage of

| Compound          | PE Before (%) | PE After (%) | PP Before (%) | PP After (%) |
|-------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Carbon            | 97.72 ± 0.07  | 96.1 ± 0.02  | 99.64 ± 0.01  | 98.56 ± 0.01 |
| Calcium oxide     | 1.01 ± 0.01   | -            | 0.18 ± 0.025  | -            |
| Titanium dioxide  | 0.85 ± 0.01   | 1.62 ± 0.02  | -             | -            |
| Copper (II) oxide | 0.41 ± 0.02   | 0.41 ± 0.08  | 0.36 ± 0.025  | 0.3 ± 0.012  |
| Sodium oxide      | -             | 1.17 ± 0.075 | -             | 0.37 ± 0.014 |
| Zinc oxide        | -             | 0.24 ± 0.081 | -             | -            |
| Zirconium dioxide | -             | 0.46 ± 0.082 | -             | 0.59 ± 0.011 |

Figure 3. SEM analysis results of the surface morphology of microplastics. PE without treatment (A), PE treated with *Spirulina* sp. (B), PP without treatment (C), and PP treated with *Spirulina* sp. (D).

Table 2. Results of the EDX analysis of the elemental composition in PE and PP before and after treatment with *Spirulina* sp.
carbon reduction in PP was smaller than that in PE due to the hydrophobic properties of PP. One of the causes for this is the characteristics of each microplastic in degradation. According to Singh and Sharma (2008), polymer degradation is preceded by an oxidation and hydrolysis process from water to enable it to damage or introduce gaps within hydrophobic polymers. PP is more difficult to oxidize and, accordingly, its hydrolysis is more difficult. Owing to the hydrophobic nature of PP, a longer time is needed for its hydrolysis and for the formation of gaps or holes. This is in contrast with PE, whose surface is more easily damaged by hydrolysis (Gewert et al., 2015). The PE surface is more easily damaged and releases its carbon content more easily, whereas the surface of PP is more difficult to damage, and it releases less carbon than PE. After the gaps are formed, biodegradation occurs and microorganisms start utilizing the carbon from the microplastics as nutrients and produce EPS. This is supported by Sheng et al. (2010), who stated that EPS on biofilms formed on the surface of plastics has the ability to absorb metals and organic compounds. EPS will then help in attacking and destroying the hydrophobic properties of the plastic and increase its hydrophilic properties. In addition, EPS also helps break down more complex polymer chain bonds to produce shorter structure chains that can undergo degradation (Gu, 2003).

The EDX analysis results proved that *Spirulina* sp. degraded microplastics following interaction for 30 days. This finding corroborates those of Sarmah and Rout (2018), who found that after interacting with microalgae over 42 days of cultivation, PE microplastics released 4% carbon. Research by Khoironi et al. (2019) showed that following interaction with *Spirulina* sp. over 112 days of cultivation, PET microplastics released 48.61% carbon, and PP microplastics released 36.7% carbon. Sarmah and Rout (2019) reported that the interaction time affects the amount of carbon released and then utilized by Cyanobacteria to absorb carbon in their biomass. Furthermore, some new inorganic elements appearing or missing are identified in plastic after the treatment of *Spirulina* sp. This is because plastics consisting of chemical additives that are added during the manufacturing process (including Ca, Na, K, Zr, and Zn) have the ability to release and distribute these chemical compounds into culture as harmful contaminants. Plastics are also able to absorb other compounds from the culture, such as nutrients added to the culture of *Spirulina* sp. (Khoironi et al., 2019; Teuten et al., 2009). This inorganic element can be derived from nutrients added to *Spirulina* sp. media and from the release of additive compounds from plastic added during the manufacturing process plastic itself. Rummel et al. (2017) added that the distribution of these chemical pollutants can be through biofilms that are formed on the plastic surface when the aggregation process is in progress.

### 3.3. FTIR analysis to evaluate the changes in the organic functional groups of *Spirulina* sp. and microplastics

The FTIR results in Figure 4 show an increase in the percentage of transmittance (%T), indicating that the intensity of the components at all peaks decreased with PE treatment, and the intensity of some peaks were not detected by PP treatment. At a wavelength of 3281 cm⁻¹ a decrease in the intensity of the protein amine component (NH₃) is indicated, after the interaction with PE and PP microplastics. Likewise, at wavelength 1637 cm⁻¹ there was a decrease in the intensity of the secondary amide protein component (C–N) and a carbonyl group (C=O). Dmytryk et al. (2014) similarly reported that the stretching of the primary amide protein components indicates the presence of carbonyl groups at 1650 cm⁻¹.

![Figure 4. FTIR analysis of Spirulina sp. control, Spirulina sp. + PE treatment, and Spirulina sp. + PP treatment (Dianratri et al., 2020).](image-url)
Both amine and amide protein intensities in *Spirulina* sp. treated with PE were higher than those treated with PP. The carboxylate group (COO−) of protein at 1450 to 1397 cm$^{-1}$ in the *Spirulina* sp. control group (83.51%) disappeared following PE and PP treatment. Besides the decreasing protein intensity, the intensity of the hydroxyl group (O–H) of polysaccharides also decreased and even disappeared. A study by Diantrati et al. (2020) reported changes in peaks at 1078 and 1245 cm$^{-1}$, indicating the presence of a hydroxyl group in polysaccharides, decreased intensity with PE treatment, and decreased and eventually disappeared intensity following PP treatment. Similarly, wavelength 875 cm$^{-1}$ indicated the presence of polysaccharides and proteins in the phosphoryl (P–O) and sulfonate (SO$_3^−$) groups in *Spirulina* sp. Both disappeared following PE and PP treatment. *Spirulina* sp. treated with PE lost one polysaccharide peak at 875 cm$^{-1}$, and PP treatment led to the loss of two polysaccharide peaks at 1245 and 875 cm$^{-1}$. The FTIR results showed that the damage to *Spirulina* sp. cells caused by microplastics could reduce the quality of *Spirulina* sp. biomass, reduce the productivity of protein and polysaccharides, and even lead to their disappearance (Diantrati et al., 2020).

The decreased quality of biomass because of cell damage stems from the biosorption properties of *Spirulina* sp. (Dmytruk et al., 2014). Biosorption is the ability to absorb carbon contaminants and heavy metal additives, such as cadmium, chromium, cobalt, titanium, and copper, released during the biodissolution process. High metals are often removed with *Spirulina* sp. because it biosorbs C$_3^+$, C$_2^+$, C$_{1}^+$, and Ti$^2+$ ions (Biologoi et al., 2014). Its capacity to bind and absorb heavy metals is high because of its functional groups found in the cell wall and cytoplasm. Such functional groups are carboxyl, hydroxyl, amines, sulfonates, and phosphoryl, the carboxyl and hydroxyl protein groups from polysaccharides have negative ions (anions), whereas the heavy metals in microplastics have positive ions (cations), allowing them to bind. This bonding causes damage to *Spirulina* sp. cells and decreases the biomass quality, especially the protein and polysaccharide content. This is in line with Sadiq et al. (2011) and Clément et al. (2013), who studied the interaction between the microalgae *Chlorella* sp. and *Scenedesmus* sp. and TiO$_2$, an additive in microplastics. They noted peak changes in the carboxyl, amine, hydroxyl, and carboxyl groups after TiO$_2$ metal interaction. Dmytruk et al. (2014) studied the interactions between *Spirulina* sp. and heavy metal copper, another additive in microplastic. They noted a shift in the peaks of the amine, amide, and carboxyl groups and a peak shift at wavelength 1300 to 1000 cm$^{-1}$, showing the presence of −OH, meaning that the hydroxyl group interacted with metal ions because hydroxyl is one of the main binding sites on the surface of the biomass. They did not detect the phosphoryl or sulfone groups introduced by Cu(II) at peak 830 to 862 cm$^{-1}$.

The FTIR results proved that *Spirulina* sp. exposed to microplastics experiences decreased quality of *Spirulina* sp. compared to the *Spirulina* sp. control. However, *Spirulina* sp. contaminated with PE microplastic had a higher biomass quality than contaminated PP microplastic. In PE treatment, the intensity of the content of organic functional groups such as protein and polysaccharides was still higher than *Spirulina* sp after PP treatment. The results of *Spirulina* sp with PP treatment showed that the intensity of the polysaccharide and protein showed a smaller quantity and even was not detected. This proves that damage to *Spirulina* sp. cells by PP microplastic was greater than that by PE microplastic. The SEM analysis supported these results, as it showed damage to the cell surface inflicted by microplastics.

The purity, yield, and protein content of phycocyanin extracted from *Spirulina* sp. are shown in Figure 6. Figure 6a shows that the microplastic PE and PP also reduce the purity of phycocyanin (p < 0.05), however, the type of microplastic materials did not give significant effect to the purity (p < 0.05). Furthermore, the amount of phycocyanin was also influenced by the amount of yield after the extraction process (p < 0.001). The presence of microplastics interferes with the distribution of light that enters the culture for photosynthesis, causing the growth of *Spirulina* sp to be inhibited (Song et al., 2020). The microalgae growth was inhibited by microplastic and therefore, the biomass and the extracted phycocyanin were also decreased (Figure 6b). Very small particles may be more
likely to inhibit the growth of microalgae through adsorption on the surface of the algal cell; for instance, inducing shading, blocking algal pores or gas exchanges, and embedding in microalgae cells (Fu et al., 2019).

The structure of phycocyanin comprises several important groups, namely carboxyl groups (COO\(^{-}\)), sulfones (SO\(_3\)), carbonyl (C=O), amines (NH\(_3\)), and amides (CN, NH), as shown in (Figure 7).

The decrease in phycocyanin in *Spirulina* sp. is associated with the FTIR results of *Spirulina* sp. Each of the phycocyanin's peptides is composed of amino acids containing a carboxyl group. The results of the FTIR analysis of *Spirulina* sp. biomass are shown in (Figure 4) (Dianratri et al., 2020). Wavelength 1450 to 1397 cm\(^{-1}\) indicated the loss of carboxyl groups, and a sulfone group was lost at 875 cm\(^{-1}\). These results are in accordance with Dmytryk et al. (2014), who studied interactions...
between *Spirulina* sp. and the heavy metal copper (Cu), an additive in PE and PP microplastics. Their results showed a decrease in the intensity of the carboxyl groups and the loss of sulfone groups in *Spirulina* sp. introduced by Cu (II) at peaks from 830 to 862 cm\(^{-1}\). The loss of carboxyl and sulfone groups is the biggest factor in the decrease in phycocyanin in *Spirulina* sp., where these two groups are part of the phycocyanin structure, as shown in Figure 7. Besides the carboxyl and sulfone groups, the amine (NH\(_3\)) and amide (CN, NH) groups associated with nitrogen also affected phycocyanin production.

The decrease in phycocyanin can be attributed to the availability of nitrogen within the biomass culture of *Spirulina* sp. after PE and PP microplastics are added. Sufficient availability of nitrogen may spur on the growth of *Spirulina* sp. and help produce good-quality biomass. However, a decreasing nitrogen concentration in the algae culture leads to disrupted photosynthesis by microalgae. This further leads to inhibited growth of *Spirulina* sp. and its biomass and subsequently decreased phycocyanin production. This chain of events is supported by Richardson et al. (1969), who studied the effect of nitrogen on the growth and composition of algae *Chlorella sorokiniana* and *Oocystis polymorpha*. They concluded that a nitrogen decrease of 4%–10% resulted in drastically reduced oxygen evolution, chlorophyll content, and production of microalgal tissue. The FTIR results on *Spirulina* sp. reflected this. They showed a decreased intensity of amine and amide groups after interacting with microplastics. The amine (NH\(_3\)) and amide (CN, NH) groups in the biomass of *Spirulina* sp. are derivatives of nitrogen and important for the formation of phycocyanin compounds. The FTIR analysis of *Spirulina* sp. in Figure 4 showed that the amine group (NH\(_2\)) was detected at wavelength 3281 cm\(^{-1}\), the primary protein amide group (CN) at wavelength 1637 cm\(^{-1}\), and the secondary amide group (NH) at wavelength 1537 cm\(^{-1}\) (Dianratri et al., 2020). What followed was a change in the amine and amide organic compound groups, indicating the presence of nitrogen after treatment of *Spirulina* sp. with microplastics.

The results of amine and amide groups in Figure 8 showed that the %T of nitrogen increased after the addition of microplastics, as follows: %T of *Spirulina* sp. with PP treatment > %T of *Spirulina* sp. with PE treatment > %T of *Spirulina* sp. control. The increase in %T was inversely proportional to the decreased amine and amide group intensity linked to decreased nitrogen and phycocyanin formation. Thus, when %T increases, the nitrogen content decreases. According to Pavia et al. (2014), a %T nearing 100% is indicative of degradation caused by the decreased intensity of microalgal cell components. This was supported by previous research by Boussiba and Richmond (1980), who reported that phycocyanin, a protein compound in *Spirulina* sp., may degrade due to nitrogen deficiency. Furthermore, Safi et al. (2014) examined the effect of nitrogen contents on the total protein production from several types of microalgae. Their results showed that a decrease in nitrogen is directly proportional to the decrease in total proteins produced. Recently, Baroni et al. (2020) examined extracellular organic matter (EOM), specifically the protein and carbohydrates, in several microalgae with a ratio of nitrogen supply in kultur. The result is that nitrogen-deficient microalgal cells had less EOM (protein) per unit cell than when filled with nitrogen.

The results in Figure 8 prove that the presence of PP and PE microplastics can result in reduced growth and damaged *Spirulina* sp. cells and may negatively affect the nitrogen levels used by *Spirulina* sp. to form protein compounds, specifically phycocyanin. When microalgae are cultured in nitrogen-deficient conditions, the most prominent effect is a decrease in phycocyanin intensity, because phycocyanin is the most important pigment in microalgae *Spirulina* sp. and may reach 20% in dry weight of the cell protein (Hadiyanto et al., 2016).

4. Conclusion

The 30-day interaction between PE and PP microplastics and *Spirulina* sp., led to cracks in the surface of microplastics, and the emergence of new functional groups (carbonyl, carboxylic acid, hydroxyl, etc.) indicated that microplastics were degraded by *Spirulina* sp. and microplastics also can significantly inhibit *Spirulina* sp growth (p < 0.05). A major factor in the decreased growth rate of *Spirulina* sp is the damage to *Spirulina* sp. cells due to microplastic additive particles that attack the cell surface during the microplastic degradation process and disrupted photosynthesis due to reduced light. The FTIR analysis showed that the decreased growth rate was directly proportional to the quality of *Spirulina* sp. biomass. All organic groups (especially proteins and polysaccharides) showed a decrease in intensity with PE treatment, and the intensity of organic groups was not detected with PP treatment. The decreased intensity of the organic functional groups greatly affected the quality of the biomass produced. The phycocyanin content in *Spirulina* sp. was similarly affected. The loss of carbohydrate and sulfonate groups showed that the presence of proteins in the biomass was the primary cause for the decreased phycocyanin content. *Spirulina* sp. and phycocyanin are often used in various industries, especially in the food industry. They must be free of contaminants, including microplastics that cause economic losses because it becomes unsafe and unfit for sale for consumption. Thus, further research is needed to identify the type of additive released by microplastic and its mechanism in inhibiting microalgae growth.
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