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Abstract

Background: Tumor microenvironments present significant barriers to penetration by antibodies and immunoconjugates. Tumor microenvironments, however, are difficult to study in vitro. Cells cultured as monolayers exhibit less resistance to therapy than those grown in vivo and an alternative research model more representative of the in vivo tumor is more desirable. SS1P is an immunotoxin composed of the Fv portion of a mesothelin-specific antibody fused to a bacterial toxin that is presently undergoing clinical trials in mesothelioma.

Methodology/Principal Findings: Here, we examined how the tumor microenvironment affects the penetration and killing activity of SS1P in a new three-dimensional (3D) spheroid model cultured in vitro using the human mesothelioma cell line (NCI-H226) and two primary cell lines isolated from the ascites of malignant mesothelioma patients. Mesothelioma cells grown as monolayers or as spheroids expressed comparable levels of mesothelin; however, spheroids were at least 100 times less affected by SS1P. To understand this disparity in cytotoxicity, we made fluorescence-labeled SS1P molecules and used confocal microscopy to examine the time course of SS1P penetration within spheroids. The penetration was limited after 4 hours. Interestingly, we found a significant increase in the number of tight junctions in the core area of spheroids by electron microscopy. Expression of E-Cadherin, a protein involved in the assembly and sealing of tight junctions and highly expressed in malignant mesothelioma, was found significantly increased in spheroids as compared to monolayers. Moreover, we found that siRNA silencing and antibody inhibition targeting E-Cadherin could enhance SS1P immunotoxin therapy in vitro.

Conclusion/Significance: This work is one of the first to investigate immunotoxins in 3D tumor spheroids in vitro. This initial description of an in vitro tumor model may offer a simple and more representative model of in vivo tumors and will allow for further investigations of the microenvironmental effects on drug penetration and tumor cell killing. We believe that the methods developed here may apply to the studies of other tumor-targeting antibodies and immunotoxins in vitro.

Introduction

Solid tumors remain a major problem despite a vast number of anti-cancer agents available. Advances in antibody-based therapies represent a promising new approach to treating solid cancer, yet a major challenge involves delivering sufficient amounts of antibodies and immunoconjugates within tumor masses [1]. For an anti-cancer antibody agent to be successful, it must satisfy two requirements: (a) the agent must be effective in the tumor microenvironment, and (b) the agent must reach the tumor cells in optimal quantities.

Tumor microenvironments are difficult to study in vivo and have been extensively studied primarily at the monolayer level in vitro. Cancer cells cultured as monolayers exhibit less resistance to therapy than those grown in vivo and may be explained by “multicellular resistance,” a mechanism for drug resistance attributed to cell-cell contacts, cell-matrix contacts, and the three-dimensional (3D) shape found in tissue [2–4]. Multicellular
in vitro multicellular cancer spheroids, therefore, have begun to bridge the complexity gap between monolayer cell culture and in vivo tumors and have become valuable models in the study of drug resistance [6].

Mesothelioma is a fatal cancer of the mesothelium and predominantly forms from previous exposure to asbestos [7]. Malignant mesothelioma (MM) is often resistant to chemotherapy [9] and radiation [9]. Prognosis is poor and average survival ranges from a few months to less than 2 years [10]. To investigate apoptotic resistance in mesothelioma, Broaddus and colleagues recently reported that mesothelioma cells acquired resistance when formed into 3D spheroids in vitro [11]. These spheroids exhibited many features of the tumor microenvironment, modeling the avascular region of tumors that is dependent on diffusion. Antibody-based therapy has emerged as a new promising strategy to treat mesothelioma and other solid tumors [12]. However, tumor microenvironments that present significant barriers to penetration by antibodies and immunoconjugates have been studied only in vivo. A simple, reliable, high-throughput and less expensive in vitro tumor model should be very useful for characterizing and screening antibodies and immunoconjugates for cancer therapy.

Mesothelin is a tumor differentiation antigen that is normally expressed in low levels on the mesothelial cells lining the pleura, peritoneum and pericardium [12]. Mesothelin is highly expressed in mesothelioma, as well as ovarian cancer and lung cancer [12,13], and has been shown to be a biomarker for the diagnosis of mesothelioma [14]. Although the biological function of mesothelin remains unclear, mesothelin’s limited expression in normal tissue and high expression in various cancers make it an attractive candidate for immunotherapy [12]. The mucin CA125/MUC16 is also highly expressed at the cell surface in mesothelioma and ovarian cancer [15]. The binding of mesothelin to CA125/MUC16 may play a role in the implantation and peritoneal spread of tumors by cell adhesion [15]. The recombinant immunotoxin SS1P is currently in clinical trials for mesothelioma. SS1P is composed of the Fv portion of an anti-mesothelin monoclonal antibody (mAb) fused to a 38 kDa Pseudomonas exotoxin-A (PE) fragment [12]. After binding to mesothelin, the immunotoxin is internalized, undergoes processing in the endocytic compartment and the immunotoxin fragment containing the ADP-ribosylation domain is transported to the endoplasmic reticulum. It is then translocated to the cytosol where it inhibits elongation factor-2 activity, leading to inhibition of protein synthesis and ultimately cell death.

The goal of the present work is to establish a basic in vitro 3D spheroid model of human mesothelioma and to investigate how the tumor microenvironment affects the penetration and killing activity of the immunotoxin SS1P targeting mesothelioma. This approach shows that 3D tumor microenvironments increase the number of tight junctions and inhibit SS1P penetration within tumor spheroids. We also demonstrate how this new method can be used to identify potential new therapeutic targets (e.g., E-Cadherin) highly expressed in 3D mesothelioma, but not in monolayers. The method developed here is simple and may easily apply to the studies of other tumor-targeting antibodies and immunoconjugates in vitro.

Methods

Cell lines

The human mesothelioma cell line NCI-H226 was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Rockville, MD). The cell line was maintained as adherent monolayer cultures in RPMI 1640 medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone, Logan, UT), L-glutamine, pyruvate, nonessential amino acids, and penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen) and incubated in 5% CO₂ with balance of air at 37°C. Cells were seeded at 2×10³/mL in 1-T75 tissue culture flasks (Corning Incorporated, Acton, MA). After four days of growth, this cell density did not produce confluence. Cells were harvested and the media were changed twice a week. Cells were confirmed to be negative for mycoplasma.

The two primary cell lines (NCI-M-03 and NCI-M-13) were established from the ascites of MM patients being treated at the National Cancer Institute (NCI). The ascites were obtained on Institutional Review Board approved protocols and patients signed informed consent. Briefly, the ascites (100–1000 mL) were collected and spun down at 1000 rpm at room temperature for 5 minutes; the cell pellets were washed twice with PBS, red blood cells were removed by BD Pharm LyseTM-Lysing Buffer kit (BD Bioscience, Franklin Lakes, NJ) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cells were resuspended in RPMI 1640 [Invitrogen] supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (Lonza, Walkersville, MD), 2 mM Glutamine (Invitrogen), 100 units penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen), and 1 mM Sodium Pyruvate (Invitrogen). The cells were seeded into 175 mL culture flasks at a density of 2.5–4.0×10³ cells/mL. After a 24 hour incubation at 37°C in a humidified, 5% CO₂ atmosphere overnight, the medium containing non-adherent cells was replaced with fresh medium. The media were changed twice a week.

Spheroid formation

A 96-well Greiner suspension culture plate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was coated with 50 μL of 5 mg/mL of poly-HEMA (poly-2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate; Sigma-Aldrich) in 95% ethanol and evaporated with lid on at room temperature for 72 hours [11]. Mesothelioma cells were grown to near confluency and dissociated into single cells with Accutase (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Each well contained 10,000 cells for one spheroid. The plate was then centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 10 minutes to initiate cell-cell interaction and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 24 hours. The spheroids are stable for 48–72 hours and can be easily transferred using a regular pipette without dissociating.

To treat spheroids with an inhibitory mAb against E-Cadherin, a pretreatment group of NCI-H226 cells was incubated with 1 μg/mL of SHE78-7 (cat. #13-5700; Invitrogen) for 30 minutes and then seeded as spheroids. The post-treatment group of cells was seeded as spheroids and then incubated with 1 μg/mL of SHE78-7 for 30 minutes. Both groups of spheroids were incubated for 48 hours and then treated with different concentrations of SS1P or BL22 as a PE toxin control or cycloheximide (Sigma) as a positive control. Finally, cell growth inhibition or viability assays were performed to assess the anti-tumor activity of SS1P.

Flow cytometry

Cells were incubated with 5 μg/mL of MN (mesothelin mAb; cat. # 200-301-AM8; Rockland, Gilbertsville, PA). Binding was detected with goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated with Alexa488 (Sigma-Aldrich). The fluorescence associated with the live cells was measured using FACScalibur (BD Biosciences).

Cell growth and viability assays

Cell growth was measured by WST assays. Ten thousand NCI-H226 cells were seeded in each well of a 96-well plate as either monolayer or spheroids, and each well was treated with different concentrations of SS1P or BL22 at 24 hours. Cycloheximide at...
10 µg/mL was used as a positive control. The samples were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO2 for 72 hours, when monolayer samples had reached approximately 80% confluency. Cell viability was measured using the WST-8 Cell Proliferation Assay Kit (Dojindo, Rockville, MD) [13]. Briefly, monolayer cells were incubated with WST-8 for 2–3 hours while spheroids were incubated overnight at 37°C. The absorbance of the sample at 450 nm was measured with a reference wavelength of 650 nm. Cell growth inhibition was expressed as 50% inhibition of cell viability, which is halfway between the level of viability in the absence of toxins and that in the presence of cycloheximide.

Cell viability was assessed by an ATP measurement assay. Ten thousand NCI-H226 cells were seeded in each well of a 96-well plate as either monolayer or spheroids in RPMI growth media, and each well was treated with different concentrations of SS1P or BL22 at 24 hours. The samples were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO2 for 72 hours. Cell viability was measured using the CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay Kit (Promega, Madison, WI). Briefly, cells were incubated with CellTiter-Glo Reagent and mixed on an orbital shaker for 2 minutes to induce cell lysis and incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes to stabilize luminescent signal. The absorbance of the sample was measured in terms of relative light units using VICTOR3 Multilabel Counter model 1420 (PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Waltham, MA).

To evaluate the anti-tumor cytotoxicity of SS1P on primary mesothelioma cell lines, 5×10⁴/well of cells were seeded in a 24-well plate. The following immunotoxins were added at various concentrations: SS1P (0, 0.1, 1, 10 and 100 ng/mL), BL22, an immunotoxin against human CD22, as a negative control (0, 0.1, 1, 10 and 100 ng/mL) and HB21, an immunotoxin recognizing the human transferrin receptor, as a positive control at 10 ng/mL. Cells were incubated for 96 hours, then washed twice with PBS; fixed with 10% neutral buffered formalin solution (Sigma) at room temperature for 5 minutes, and crystal violet dye at a concentration of 1mg/mL was added and incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature. The cells were washed, dried and destained in 1% acetic acid. Color intensity was determined by a Versamax microplate reader (Molecular Device, Sunnyvale, California) at a wavelength of 595 nm.

Confocal laser scanning fluorescence microscopy

Confocal laser scanning fluorescence microscopy imaging (Zeiss LSM 710; Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) was performed by placing spheroids in an 8-chambered borosilicate coverglass (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). SS1P was labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 Protein Labeling Kit (Invitrogen) and incubated with spheroids at 10 µg/mL, and 15 µm thick optical sections were imaged every 15 minutes for 16 hours. Images were taken in both phase contrast and green fluorescence. Fluorescence intensity was measured with ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

SiRNA knockdown

NCI-H226 cells were seeded in a 6-well plate at 1.5×10⁵ cells/well in 2 mL of RPMI growth media and incubated for 24 hours. Cells were then transfected with 400 pmol of E-Cadherin siRNA (cat. #4390828; Ambion, Austin, TX) or Silencer siRNA as a negative control (cat. #4390843; Ambion) in serum-free RPMI media with 1% glutamine mixed with Oligofectamine reagent (cat. #12252-011; Invitrogen). After 36 hours of incubation at 37°C, 5% CO2, cells were grown as monolayers or spheroids for 24 hours and then treated with different concentrations of SS1P or BL22. The percentage of E-Cadherin knockdown was evaluated via western blot using 150 µg of cell protein lysate per lane. Cell growth inhibition was measured using a WST-8 assay kit.

Electron microscopy preparation

A spheroid cell pellet was fixed in 4% formaldehyde/2% glutaraldehyde (Tousimis, Rockville, MD) in 0.1M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4), then post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Ft. Washington, PA) in the same buffer. The pellet was dehydrated in a series of alcohol and propylene oxide and then embedded in epoxy resin for thin-section transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using a Hitachi...
H7600 TEM (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a charged couple device camera (AMT, Danvers, MA). To quantitatively evaluate cellular junctions, we examined three representative spheroids. In each spheroid, 50 cells were randomly chosen to calculate the numbers of tight junctions, gap junctions, and desmosomes.

For scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using S3000N (Hitachi) analysis, spheroids were treated in tetramethylsilane solution (Electron Microscopy Sciences) at the end of alcohol dehydration and then allowed to evaporate. Spheroids were lightly coated with platinum palladium for SEM examination.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunohistochemical stains were performed and interpreted at PhenoPath Laboratories (Seattle, WA) with optimized protocols using a panel of standard markers for the diagnosis of MM: mesothelin, cytokeratin 5/6, calretinin, HBME-1, thrombomodulin, and WT-1.

**Western blot analysis**

NCI-H226 cells were allowed to grow for 48–72 hours. After seeding until approximately 60% confluent, $2 \times 10^6$ monolayer cells were centrifuged and collected, washed with 1 mL PBS and resuspended in 100 μl immunoprecipitation assay buffer containing 2% SDS and protease inhibitors (‘‘Complete Mini-EDTA Free’’ protease inhibitor tablet, Roche, Mannheim, Germany) to solubilize cells or spheroids [13]. Four cycles of freezing at $-80^\circ$ and thawing at 37°C were repeated. Protein lysate was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 1 minute, supernatant was collected and protein concentration was measured via Coomassie Plus Protein Assay (Thermo Scientific/Pierce, Rockford, IL). Samples containing 50 μg of cell protein lysate per lane were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred onto PVDF membranes, and incubated with a primary rabbit antibody. The primary antibodies used include E-Cadherin mAb (cat. #3195; Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA), polyclonal anti-ZO-1 (cat. #61-7300; Invitrogen), polyclonal anti-Connexin 32 (cat. #71-0600; Invitrogen), polyclonal anti-Mcl-1 (cat. #4572; Cell Signaling), Bcl-xl mAb (cat. #2764; Cell Signaling), polyclonal anti-BAX (cat. #66-499; Millipore, Temecula, CA), polyclonal anti-BID (cat. #2002; Cell Signaling), or Bcl-2 mAb (cat. #2870; Cell Signaling). Primary antibodies were detected by secondary goat anti-rabbit antibodies conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP; Invitrogen). HRP-conjugated β-actin mAb (cat. #5125; Cell Signaling) was used as a control. Signals were visualized by an enhanced Luminol-based chemiluminescent western blotting detection kit (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). Western blots shown are representative images of five individual experiments.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with Prism (version 5) for Windows (GraphPad Software). Raw data were analyzed by “analysis of variance” with Dunnett’s and Newman-Keuls multiple comparison post tests. $p$ values<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Establishment of human mesothelioma spheroids**

To investigate the penetration and killing activity of SS1P in mesothelioma microenvironments in vitro, we developed 3D tumor spheroids. Spheroids have been observed in the pleural fluid of human MM and linked to increased malignancy [16]. We used the NCI-H226 mesothelioma cell line, which was originally isolated from a patient with malignant mesothelioma [17]. The NCI-H226 cells were cultured in DMEM:F12 (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco) and 1% non-essential amino acids (Gibco) in a humidified incubator at 37°C and 5% CO2. The medium was changed daily. Cells were used at passage 5 (approximately 20-30 passages).

Figure 2. Anti-tumor immunotoxin activity on mesothelioma monolayers and spheroids. Cells treated with SS1P and BL22. A. Cell growth inhibition (WST-8 assays) of NCI-H226. IC$_{50}$ of SS1P for spheroids was $>1000$ ng/mL. IC$_{50}$ for monolayers was $10$ ng/mL. B. Cell viability...
from the pleural fluid of a mesothelioma patient (ATCC), and may also be used to grow clinically relevant MM tumors in mice (MH and MF, unpublished data). We cultured each spheroid from 10,000 cells. After 24 hours of incubation, we found the formation of tight spheroid disks with smooth edges which appeared to be uniform with a diameter of approximately 700 mm with a thickness of 150 mm (Fig. 1A). We also cultured two primary cell lines (NCI-M-03 and NCI-M-13) from MM patients and used the same protocol to make spheroids. As shown in Fig. 1A, NCI-M-13 formed compact mesothelioma spheroids similar to the NCI-H226 spheroids while NCI-M-03 formed mostly aggregates.

To further characterize the NCI-H226 spheroid model, we decided to perform immunohistochemistry analysis with the markers generally used for the diagnosis of MM. Given that there is no overall consensus about how many and which markers should be used, we chose a panel of recommended antibodies for mesothelin, cytokeratin 5/6, calretinin, HBME-1, thrombomodulin, and WT-1 [17]. As shown in Fig. 1B, mesothelin, calretinin and WT-1 were expressed in almost all cancer cells. Interestingly, HBME-1, cytokeratin 5/6 and thrombomodulin were differentially expressed in the spheroid in only a few cancer cells, but not in others. The expression of these markers in spheroids was in general consistent with those of previously published mesothelioma specimens [17,18].

**Anti-tumor activity on tumor spheroids**

We then used SS1P to investigate how tumor microenvironments affect the killing activity and penetration of an antibody agent. The NCI-H226 cells cultured as monolayers and spheroids were treated with SS1P and the anti-CD22 immunotoxin (BL22) was included as a negative control. In cell growth inhibition (WST) assays (Fig. 2A), after 72 hours of immunotoxin treatment, the IC$_{50}$ of SS1P for spheroids was >1000 ng/mL. IC$_{50}$ for monolayers was ~5 ng/mL. C. Primary mesothelioma lines NCI-M-03 and NCI-M-13 treated with 100 ng/mL of SS1P, 2D, monolayers; 3D, spheroids. *p*<0.01. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014640.g002

Mesothelin expression in tumor spheroids

Our first step in investigating the possible causes for increased drug resistance was to determine whether or not it was associated with the reduction of antigen expression. This was done by measuring the cell surface expression of mesothelin in NCI-H226 tumor spheroids by flow cytometry. As shown in Figure 3, the mean fluorescence intensity was 1367 for monolayer cells and 1034 for spheroid cells. This showed that the expression of mesothelin between monolayer and spheroid cells is comparable and indicated that the drug resistance of spheroids to SS1P was not due to a reduction of mesothelin expression.

Since SS1P was not able to kill greater than 50% of the NCI-H226 cells cultured as a spheroid at saturated concentrations, yet was able to kill all of the cells cultured as a monolayer (Fig. 2), we hypothesized that such drug resistance may be partially due to the poor penetration of SS1P within tumors.

Penetration of immunotoxin in tumor spheroids

We made a fluorescence-labeled SS1P molecule and developed a strategy to examine the time-lapse penetration of immunotoxin...
in spheroids by confocal microscopy (supplemental videos S1 and S2). We labeled SS1P with Alexa488 (green fluorescence) and evaluated the cross section close to the middle of an NCI-H226 spheroid at hours 0, 8 and 16 using confocal microscopy (Fig. 4A and 4B). At hour 0, the green fluorescence was confined to the outer surface of the spheroid, and spread towards the center of the spheroid at hour 8 without ever reaching the center. We then quantitatively measured the fluorescence intensity and showed the increase in green fluorescence (SS1P) until 4 hours, after which the intensity plateaued (Fig. 4C). The results demonstrate the incomplete penetration of SS1P.

Given that the mesothelin expression between spheroids and monolayers was similar, even at a saturated concentration of SS1P, an incomplete penetration is unlikely to be caused by the depletion of SS1P. We therefore postulated that the incomplete penetration of the drug may be attributed to a multicellular resistance involving cell contact in spheroids.

Cell contact in spheroids

To investigate cellular contact in spheroids, we studied the ultrastructure of spheroids by SEM (Fig. 5A and 5B) and TEM (Fig. 5C and 5D). Interestingly, SEM images (Fig. 5B) show the presence of long and branching microvilli on cell surfaces, a feature characteristic of well-differentiated MM in vivo [19]. As shown in Figure 5 (C, D and E), TEM results show that the overall number of tight junctions in spheroids is the highest among

![Figure 4. Penetration of Alexa488-labeled immunotoxin SS1P in tumor spheroids. A. SS1P labeled with Alexa488 (green fluorescence). A cross section close to the middle of an NCI-H226 spheroid evaluated at hours 0, 8 and 16 using confocal microscopy. B. Overlay of bright field and fluorescence images of a spheroid. C. Mean fluorescence intensity of SS1P (see Methods). Scale bars, 400 μm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014640.g004](#)
cell contacts. Interestingly, the number of tight junctions and desmosomes seems higher in the core area than the rim area in spheroids; however, such an increase is modest based on TEM analysis of three representative spheroids (see Methods) (Fig. 5E) \((p>0.05)\). Only a few gap junctions are present in spheroids.

The results we obtained from SEM and TEM analysis strongly suggest that mesothelioma spheroids contain characteristic features of MM in vivo and that a greater number of tight junctions may contribute to the multicellular resistance that we observed under confocal microscopy in the preceding experiment.

Expression of cell junction proteins

Based on TEM observations, we hypothesized that an altered expression of intercellular junction molecules might be involved in the poor penetration of SS1P in mesothelioma spheroids. As shown in Figure 6, we examined the expression of a panel of cell contact proteins. Expression of E-Cadherin, a protein involved with tight junctions, was significantly increased in spheroids. ZO-1, another tight junction protein, was also modestly increased in spheroids. However, Connexin-32, the protein responsible for the formation of gap junctions, was absent in both monolayers and spheroids. The elevated expression of E-Cadherin in spheroids found in our study may be related to the increase in the number of tight junctions, since E-Cadherin plays a crucial role in their sealing and assembly [20].

Bcl-2 signaling proteins

Previous studies on B cells of chronic lymphocytic leukemia showed that the mitochondria-dependent Bcl-2 signaling pathway of apoptosis plays a critical role in the killing of targeted cancer
cells by immunotoxins [21]. To examine the potential effects of Bcl-2 signaling on the resistance of SS1P in tumor spheroids, we examined the protein expression of several Bcl-2 signaling molecules in spheroids and monolayers (Fig. 6). Figure 6A shows an increase of prosurvival Mcl-1 in spheroids as compared to monolayers. The expression of Bid, Bak, and Bax was not changed in spheroids whereas the expression of Bcl-xL was modestly increased in spheroids. The expression of Bcl-2 was not detectable in either spheroids or monolayers. The increased expression of Mcl-1 may play a role in the inhibition of immunotoxin-induced apoptosis in spheroids. A previous study indicated that high expression of Mcl-1 in 3D lung cancer spheroids based on the H1299 cell line caused its drug resistance [22].

E-Cadherin siRNA silencing and antibody inhibition

To further investigate the role of E-Cadherin in the penetration of SS1P, we pursued two experimental approaches. First, we silenced the expression of E-Cadherin using siRNA. As shown in Fig. 7A, greater than 80% of E-Cadherin protein expression was reduced in both monolayers and spheroids. As compared to the off-target siRNA control, E-Cadherin-specific siRNA silencing sensitized the cells to immunotoxin therapy at 1 ng/mL and 10 ng/mL of SS1P while E-Cadherin knockdown had little
enhancement at 0.1 ng/mL of SS1P (Fig. 7B). Furthermore, we added an inhibitory mAb (SHE78-7) against E-Cadherin and found that it significantly enhanced the anti-tumor activity of SS1P if the mAb was added before the formation of spheroids (Fig. 7C and 7D). However, when SHE78-7 was added after the formation of spheroids, it did not improve SS1P immunotoxin therapy (Fig. 7D).

Discussion

In the present study, we developed a simple 3D mesothelioma spheroid model to study how tumor microenvironments affect the penetration and killing activity of SS1P in vitro. We found that SS1P was not able to kill greater than 50% of mesothelioma cells cultured as a spheroid, but killed all of the cells cultured as a monolayer. To understand this disparity in cytotoxicity, we made fluorescence-labeled SS1P molecules and established an approach based on time-course confocal microscopy to examine the penetration of SS1P within spheroids. We characterized and quantitatively measured the number of cell junctions in spheroids by electron microscopy. In addition, we found that the expression of E-Cadherin, a major protein involved in the sealing and assembly of tight junctions, was significantly increased in spheroids.

Two Phase I clinical trials of SS1P were completed at the NCI in mesothelioma and ovarian cancer patients [12]. Based on our findings, anti-tumor therapy by a combination of SS1P and chemotherapy is currently ongoing in patients with newly diagnosed pleural mesothelioma. The combination of SS1P with chemotherapy is based on results from in vivo mouse models showing marked synergy between SS1P and chemotherapy [23–26]. Our results indicate that poor penetration is a major mechanistic factor for the resistance of SS1P in mesothelioma.

We believe this method has additional applications for identifying novel molecular targets in tumors. We show in this work that E-Cadherin is highly expressed in 3D mesothelioma but not in monolayers. Interestingly, E-Cadherin is among one of the most important biomarkers that have been proposed as useful in the diagnosis of MM [34,35]. It will be of great interest to further evaluate E-Cadherin and other cell adhesion molecules as potential therapeutic targets in mesothelioma.

Supporting Information

Video S1 Time-lapse penetration of immunotoxin in spheroids by confocal microscopy (green fluorescence). Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014640.s001 (9.60 MB AVI)

Video S2 Time-lapse penetration of immunotoxin in spheroids by confocal microscopy (overlay of bright field and fluorescence images). Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014640.s002 (9.74 MB AVI)
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