The Corrosion and Wear Behaviors of a Medium-Carbon Bainitic Steel Treated by Boro-Austempering Process
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Abstract: The effects of boro-austempering treatment on growth kinetics of borided layers, microstructure, and properties in a medium-carbon bainitic steel were investigated. The microstructure, distribution in coatings, corrosion, and wear properties of boro-austempered steels were characterized by a microscope, field-emission electron probe micro analyzer, scanning vibrating electrode technique system and wear resistance machine. The results show that the corrosion resistance of steels in different corrosive mediums was significantly enhanced by boro-austempering treatment. In addition, the wear performance of borided layers was improved by more than two times compared to bainitic substrates, proving a better wear property of samples treated through the boro-austempering route. The solubility of carbon and silicon in borides is very little. In addition, the dual-phase coating of FeB and Fe2B was observed, and the internal stress induced during the growth of Fe2B and FeB was almost eliminated. The preferential crystallographic growth directions of Fe2B and FeB are [001] and [010], respectively, which belongs to the (100) plane. Finally, the kinetics equation \( d^2 = 0.125 \cdot t \) of the borided layers at 1223 K was established.
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1. Introduction

Bainitic steels are widely used as structural materials of bridges, engineering machinery, industrial equipment, oil, and gas pipelines, etc. High strength bainitic steels with adequate corrosion resistance and wear property are largely in demand. Many efforts have been made to meet the requirements by composition design and optimization of processing routes [1–4]. For example, Wang et al. [5] successfully fabricated a novel 35MnSiCrNiAlMo bainitic steel with yield strength, tensile strength, and elongation of 1400 MPa, 1530 MPa and 14%, respectively, by slowly cooling from the temperature slightly higher than martensite start temperature (\( M_S \)) to the temperature that was 20 °C lower than \( M_S \). A small amount of Ni was added in high carbon bainitic steels to achieve excellent properties with a 2000 MPa grade ultrahigh strength [6]. However, it took 30 h to finish the bainitic reaction. The ultimate tensile strength of ~1755 MPa and elongation of ~18.1% in a medium-carbon bainitic steel was obtained through ausforming with a strain of 0.2 at 573 K [7]. Moreover, multi-step austempering treatments for bainitic transformation were proposed to refine bainite laths and improve properties [8,9].

Summarizing the existing literatures, it can be seen that the excellent properties of bainitic steels are achieved mainly through the following three methods. The first method is adding alloying elements to refine the microstructure and thus enhance the properties [10,11]. The second method is to use the complicated multi-step processing route...
or long austempering time [12,13]. The third method is to utilize deformation processing [14,15]. However, there are few studies attempting to enhance the surface properties of bainitic steels [16–18], which provides another effective way to tailor the properties and expand the application range of high-strength bainitic steels. Fabijanic et al. [16] produced the nitrocarburised layer on high-carbon bainitic steels by nitrocarburising treatment after finishing the bainitic reaction. The fatigue properties and hardness were improved by shot peening on bainitic steels [17]. It should be pointed out that bainite transformation and surface modification process are performed separately in works of literature [16,17]. Oliveira et al. [18] claimed that the boro-austempering treatment (the integrated boriding and austempering process) was a promising alternative to increase the wear resistance of advanced high-strength bainitic steels. previous study [19] indicated that the borided layers and bainite transformation in the center matrix were not significantly affected by each other during the boro-austempering treatment. From a commercial viewpoint, boro-austempering treatment has some advantages.

Since the boro-austempering treatment is successfully applied in cast irons [20,21], it has attracted much attention. However, very limited studies have been performed to fabricate high-strength bainitic steels by boro-austempering [18,19]. Though the hardness, compound phase and corrosion resistance in 0.5% NaCl of boro-austempered steels were investigated in the author’s previous study [19], several related key issues remain unsolved. For example, the corrosion resistance of boro-austempered steels in different corrosive mediums and the surface wear resistance have not been fully clarified. The kinetics of the as-prepared borided layers needs to be further investigated. The alloying element distributions from the coating to the substrate are also not clear. Therefore, the effects of boro-austempering treatment on microstructure, corrosion, and wear behaviors of medium-carbon steel were investigated in the present study. The results provide the theoretical guidance for producing bainitic steels with higher surface corrosion resistance and excellent wear property by boro-austempering.

2. Materials and Methods

The chemical composition of the investigated steel is Fe-0.4C-2.0Si-2.8Mn-0.5Al (wt.%), which was refined in a 50 kg vacuum induction furnace and then cast into an ingot. Afterwards, the ingot was hot-rolled to a 12 mm plate. Figure 1 displays the schematic of experimental procedures. Rectangle samples with dimensions of 50 × 30 × 20 mm³ were immersed in a salt bath at 1223 K for austenization and boriding, as indicated in Figure 1a. The salt bath was composed of ~95 Na₂B₄O₇ and ~5% aluminum as the reducing agent for generating active boron atoms. The isothermal holding time at 1223 K was designed to be 0.5, 1, 2, 6, and 8 h. After that, samples were immediately put into another salt bath (50% KNO₃ + 50% NaNO₂) of 623 K for bainitic precipitation, followed by oil-cooling to the ambient temperature. To compare the difference of microstructure and properties between boro-austempered samples and merely austempered samples, another set of samples were selected and subjected to a similar heat process (without boriding, Figure 1b). Samples subjected to different treatments are represented by symbols in Table 1.

Before microstructural characterization and performance examination, all samples were ultrasonically cleaned in water and organic solvent. A Zeiss optical microscope (OM, Oberkochen, Germany), VHX-5000 ultra-depth three-dimensional microscope (KEYENCE, Osaka, Japan), and Nova 400 Nano field-emission scanning electron microscope (SEM, FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA) equipped with an electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) technique were utilized for microstructural characterization. The alloying element distributions were detected by an EPMA-8050G electron probe micro analyzer (SHIMADZU, Kyoto, Japan). The corrosion resistance of produced layers and substrate in different corrosive mediums were evaluated via potentiodynamic polarization measurement (PPM) and an in situ scanning vibrating electrode technique (SVET) system. The potentiometric polarization curves were measured by a Zahner-Zennium electrochemical workstation (Zennium, Germany) with an electrochemical cell composed of three electrodes: a tested
sample acting as a working electrode, a saturated Hg/HgCl electrode working as the reference electrode, and a platinum foil serving as the counter electrode. For boro-austempering samples (Figure 1a) and the comparable sample (Figure 1b), the exposed areas were the as-prepared layer and the main bainite constituent, respectively. The open-circuit potentials of the boro-austempered sample and comparable sample in the same corrosive medium were almost equal, i.e., $-0.77$ V(SCE), $-0.21$ V(SCE), and $-0.41$ V(SCE) in alkaline, acidic, and neutral environments, respectively. The specimen preparation and detailed measuring method of the SVET system were stated in the references [22,23]. The friction coefficient was assessed by a BMT-I multifunctional surface performance comprehensive tester (Jingcheng Testing Technology Co., Ltd., Jinan, China). A SiC ball with a diameter of 5 mm was selected with the following parameters: A load of 50 N, sliding speed of 50 times per minute, and reciprocating slip length of 5 mm. The wear performance was evaluated by an ML-100 wear resistance machine (Jingcheng Testing Technology Co., Ltd., Jinan, China). The sliding speed was designed as 0.2 m/s, and the applied load was 88 N. The remaining weight of the samples were measured every 20 min, and the total sliding time was 80 min. The adhesion strength between the fabricated layer and bainitic substrate of steels was determined according to the VDI 3198 standard, and the hardness was measured by an HV-1000A Vickers hardness tester.
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**Figure 1.** Schematic experimental procedures: (a) boro-austempering treatment; (b) merely austempering.

### Table 1. The represented symbols of samples with different treatments.

| Treatments         | 1223 K + 2 h | Boriding | Boriding | Boriding | Boriding | Boriding |
|--------------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Samples            | NA-2         | BA-0.5   | BA-1     | BA-2     | BA-6     | BA-8     |

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Microstructure Characterization and Kinetics Analysis

Figure 2 exhibits the microstructure of substrates in samples BA-2 and NA-2. The microstructures of both samples are analogous, consisting of mostly lath bainite (LB) and martensite/austenite islands (M/A).

To clarify the influence of boriding time on prior austenite grain size (PAGS), the prior austenite grains and boundaries of samples BA-1, BA-2, BA-6 and BA-8 are exhibited in Figure 3. The PAGS were calculated based on the diagonal method used in the literature [24]. The average value of two diagonals, drawn randomly in each grain, is calculated as grain size, as shown in Figure 3a. The average PAGS can be obtained by multiple measurements, as listed in Table 2. It can be seen from Table 2 that the austenite grains grow up at a very slow speed as the boriding time increases at 1223 K. Hence, boriding time at 1223 K has no significant influence on PAGS.
Figure 2 exhibits the microstructure of substrates in samples BA-2 and NA-2. The microstructures of both samples are analogous, consisting of mostly lath bainite (LB) and martensite/austenite islands (M/A).

To clarify the influence of boriding time on prior austenite grain size (PAGS), the prior austenite grains and boundaries of samples BA-1, BA-2, BA-6 and BA-8 are exhibited in Figure 3. The PAGS were calculated based on the diagonal method used in the literature [24]. The average value of two diagonals, drawn randomly in each grain, is calculated as grain size, as shown in Figure 3a. The average PAGS can be obtained by multiple measurements, as listed in Table 2. It can be seen from Table 2 that the austenite grains grow up at a very slow speed as the boriding time increases at 1223 K. Hence, boriding time at 1223 K has no significant influence on PAGS.

Figure 3. SEM images to measure the prior austenite grains of boro-austempered samples with varied boriding time: (a) 1 h; (b) 2 h; (c) 6 h; (d) 8 h.

| Samples  | BA-0.5 | BA-1        | BA-2        | BA-6        | BA-8        |
|----------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Average PAGS (µm) | /      | 31.1 ± 8.4  | 30.4 ± 7.8  | 34.0 ± 8.9  | 34.0 ± 9.2  |
| Coating thickness (µm) | 3.4 ± 0.8 | 6.9 ± 1.1  | 28.6 ± 1.4  | 48.4 ± 2.1  | 62.9 ± 3.7  |

Table 2. Average PAGS and coating thickness of different boro-austempered samples.

Figure 4 presents the cross-sectional morphology of produced layers in boro-austempered samples. The produced layers after etching with 4% nital can be easily distinguished from substrate microstructure. It is observed that the produced layers are smooth and homogeneous with comb-like or needle-like morphologies. The depth of the compound layer of samples treated by different boriding times was measured by plotting random lines at the borided layers. The average values are given in Table 2. It can be seen that
the thickness of the compound layers increases from 3.4 to 62.9 µm with the increase of boriding time.

Figure 4. Cross-sectional OM morphologies of boro-austempered samples with different boriding times: (a) 0.5 h; (b) 1 h; (c) 2 h; (d) 6 h; (e) 8 h.

According to the classical kinetic theory [25], the squared thickness of an as-produced layer as a function of the treated time at a given temperature can be described as Equation (1), and the fitting curve is given in Figure 5.

\[ d^2 = K \cdot t \]  

(1)

where K is a constant, representing the growth rate of produced coating at a given temperature. It is shown that the growth rate of the produced coating (the slope of the curve) is 0.125 µm²/s.

Due to the limited thickness of the produced layers at short borided durations, sample BA-8 was used to characterize the crystallographic growth direction of borides and the distributions of alloying elements from surface to substrate. Figure 6 displays the EBSD images of the borided coating of sample BA-8. The dual-phase coating of FeB and Fe₂B was obtained and a bcc structure formed between adjacent columnar Fe₂B grains, as displayed in Figure 6c. In addition, the disorientation at positions (1) and (2) indicates that the boundaries between the bcc structure and Fe₂B grains as well as Fe₂B and FeB grains are low-angle boundaries, which is beneficial to the strength. The misorientation at position (3) reveals the typical high-angle boundary of adjacent Fe₂B grains, which may be related to the intrinsic “toughness” of Fe₂B. Moreover, it is known that internal stress is introduced by the formation of Fe₂B and FeB [26]. The kernel average misorientation (KAM) map (Figure 6a) shows that the internal stress induced during the growth of Fe₂B and FeB is almost eliminated. It is reported that the FeB first formed at the surface during boriding, and then the Fe₂B formed as matched to the crystallographic growth direction of FeB [26]. As confirmed by the inverse pole figures (IPF) in Figure 6b,d,e, the preferential crystallographic growth directions of Fe₂B and FeB are [001] and [010], respectively, which belongs to the (100) plane.
Figure 5. Square of the coating thickness versus boriding time and fitting curve.

Due to the limited thickness of the produced layers at short borided durations, sample BA-8 was used to characterize the crystallographic growth direction of borides and the distributions of alloying elements from surface to substrate. Figure 6 displays the EBSD images of the borided coating of sample BA-8. The dual-phase coating of FeB and Fe$_2$B was obtained and a bcc structure formed between adjacent columnar Fe$_2$B grains, as displayed in Figure 6c. In addition, the disorientation at positions 1) and 2) indicates that the boundaries between the bcc structure and Fe$_2$B grains as well as Fe$_2$B and FeB grains are low-angle boundaries, which is beneficial to the strength. The misorientation at position 3) reveals the typical high-angle boundary of adjacent Fe$_2$B grains, which may be related to the intrinsic “toughness” of Fe$_2$B. Moreover, it is known that internal stress is introduced by the formation of Fe$_2$B and FeB [26]. The kernel average misorientation (KAM) map (Figure 6a) shows that the internal stress induced during the growth of Fe$_2$B and FeB is almost eliminated. It is reported that the FeB first formed at the surface during boriding, and then the Fe$_2$B formed as matched to the crystallographic growth direction of FeB [26]. As confirmed by the inverse pole figures (IPF) in Figures 6b,d,e, the preferred crystallographic growth directions of Fe$_2$B and FeB are [001] and [010], respectively, which belongs to the (100) plane.

The map scanning along the depth of the produced layer from the surface of sample BA-8 is revealed in Figure 7, conducted on an EPMA-8050G electron probe micro analyzer. It is observed that there is a large amount of boron (B) content in the coating and fewer carbon (C) atoms, and the boride contains no silicon (Si) content. Si hinders the growth of borided layers, and C impedes the diffusion of B atoms. Carbon atoms prefer to gather at the interface between the layers and substrate, as reported in the author’s previous study [19]. Moreover, Figure 7c shows that the boron concentration near the surface is higher than in other regions. It is known that FeB contains a higher boron content than Fe$_2$B [26]. Hence, the coating near the surface is supposed to be FeB, and the coating near the substrate is Fe$_2$B.
The indentation method was utilized to characterize the adhesion strength and surface brittleness of as-prepared layers on the bainitic steel. The indentation test was conducted on the Rockwell-C hardness machine (Laizhou Hengyi Testing Instrument Co., Ltd. Yantai, China), and the indentation morphology of sample BA-8 was observed by OM, as presented in Figure 8a. According to the level of cracking and coating delamination, the indentation rating is classified from HF1 to HF6 in the VDI-3198 standard [27], as presented in Figure 8b. Only indentations classified as HF1 and HF2 correspond to superior adhesion [28,29]. Based on the standard, the indentation rating of the as-prepared layer was evaluated to be HF2, indicating the superior adhesion strength of the produced layer with the bainite matrix. It is known that the disadvantage of mere boriding is the large brittleness and ease of flaking off with deformation, especially with a longer boriding duration [28]. Hence, tempering processes are normally conducted to reduce the brittleness and raise the adhesive strength between the borided layer and the matrix. In the boro-austempering process, the subsequent isothermal holding at 623 K for one hour for bainitic precipitation acts equivalent to the tempering process of borided layers. Consequently, the brittleness of borided layers evidently decreased, and the adhesion strength of the produced layer on bainitic steels increased. This is one of the advantages of boro-austempering processing routes.

Figure 8. (a) OM indentation morphology of produced layer on bainitic steel; (b) the VDI-3198 standard of indentation ratings.

Figure 9 reveals the hardness profiles from the outermost produced layer to the substrate. Due to the limited thickness of produced layers, the hardness of samples BA-6
and BA-8 were measured, and the load was designed to be 50 gf with a dwelling time of 10 s. It is interesting to observe that an extremely hard surface layer with Vickers hardness values over 1500 HV is synthesized on the treated samples by the boro-austempering processing route. The hardness of the compound layers is about 3.5-fold of the bainitic substrate. Türkmen et al. [30] reported that the hardness of borided layers was in the range of 1200~2000 HV. Toktaş et al. [31] obtained the hardness of 1300~1700 HV of borided layers. Mariani et al. [21] demonstrated that the microhardness of borided layers on ductile cast irons was 1400~1700 HV. Similar results were also revealed by Oliveira et al. [18]. In this study, the attained hardness of borided layers on bainitic steels was consistent with those found in the above-mentioned literature.

3.3. Corrosion Behavior

Polarization curves at the stabilized open circuit potential were used to detect the corrosion kinetics of samples in 10% NaOH, 0.5 mol/L HCl and 3.5% NaCl solutions for simulating alkaline, acidic and neutral environments, respectively. Figure 10 exhibits the potentiodynamic polarization curves of boro-austempered sample BA-2 and its comparable sample NA-2, respectively. The related values of free corrosion current density \( I_{\text{corr}} \) and free corrosion potential \( E_{\text{corr}} \) of samples BA-2 and NA-2 in different corrosive mediums can be extracted from polarization curves, as listed in Table 3. The values of \( E_{\text{corr}} \) in different corrosive mediums of sample BA-2 are larger, and the \( I_{\text{corr}} \) data are smaller compared to those of sample NA-2, testifying that the boro-austempered sample yields better corrosive performance in alkaline, acidic, and neutral environments. The improved corrosion resistance by boro-austempering treatments is mainly ascribed to the formation of FeB and Fe\( _2 \)B. It was well accepted that a dense and uniform borided layer formed during the boriding process. This is conducive to suppressing the entry of corrosive medium. On the other hand, the bonding force between FeB and Fe\( _2 \)B phases is much greater than the matrix’s, which is beneficial to resisting the corrosive damage. In addition, it can be observed that the anodic curves of samples in 10% NaOH fluctuate, which is related to the activation–passivation transition on the surface of the sample [32].
Because of the excellent corrosion behaviors of boro-austempered samples [19], the surface of the boro-austempered sample (BA-2) in the present study was artificially scratched by an electric marker to expose the matrix, working as an active anode around the harder produced layer before in situ SVET tests. According to the corrosive medium used during SVET tests in the literature [23,33], the 0.5% NaCl solution was also chosen in the present study. Figure 11 gives the current density maps of the BA-2 sample at various immersion times in 0.5% NaCl solution. It is directly observed that anodic activity is detected at the beginning of immersion (Figure 11a). In addition, the scratched area exhibits a relatively higher current density as compared to circumambient as-prepared layers during the immersed period. Moreover, the anodic current density successively increases from 47.8 mA/cm² after 0.5 h exposure, and finally to 139 mA/cm² after 3.5 h exposure, while the corroded area gradually contracts during immersion in 0.5% NaCl solution. Therefore, the corrosion reaction is supposed to proceed predominantly along the depth direction instead of horizontal propagation. The above phenomenon demonstrates the excellent corrosion performance of the fabricated layers on bainitic steels.

Table 3. The $I_{corr}$ and $E_{corr}$ of samples BA-2 and NA-2 in different corrosive mediums.

| Samples | 10% NaOH | 0.5 mol/L HCl | 3.5% NaCl |
|---------|----------|---------------|-----------|
|         | $I_{corr}$ (A/cm²) | $E_{corr}$ (V) | $I_{corr}$ (A/cm²) | $E_{corr}$ (V) | $I_{corr}$ (A/cm²) | $E_{corr}$ (V) |
| BA-2    | $2.54 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-0.895$ | $5.50 \times 10^{-5}$ | $-0.193$ | $2.13 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-0.466$ |
| NA-2    | $3.93 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-0.899$ | $1.49 \times 10^{-4}$ | $-0.230$ | $3.04 \times 10^{-6}$ | $-0.491$ |
3.4. Wear Behaviors

Friction tests of different samples were conducted on a BMT-I multifunctional surface performance comprehensive tester. The friction coefficients of samples were continuously recorded during the whole process, as presented in Figure 12. It can be observed that the average friction coefficient of the untreated sample (NA-2) is about 0.75, while the average friction coefficients of the boro-austempered samples (BA-2 and BA-8) are around 0.60. Carrera-Espinoza et al. [34] and Almeida et al. [35] reported that the friction coefficients of produced layers by only boriding were smaller than the samples without boriding. Hence, the changing trend of the friction coefficient of as-prepared layers after the boro-austempering process is similar to that in boriding only. Generally, the wear rate is positively correlated with the friction coefficient, which means the larger the friction coefficient, the larger the wear rate. Hence, the larger friction coefficient of sample NA-2 resulted in larger wear loss under the same wear condition. Therefore, the wear performance of bainitic steels is significantly enhanced by boro-austempering treatment.

Figure 11. Effect of the immersion time on in situ SVET images of boro-austempered steel in 0.5% NaCl solution: (a) 0.5 h; (b) 1.5 h; (c) 2.5 h; (d) 3.5 h.
Figure 12. Friction coefficients recorded under dry sliding for different samples.

Figure 13 displays the morphologies of wear tracks in samples BA-2 and NA-2. It is observed that there are abrasion grooves along the sliding direction in both BA-2 and NA-2, and both samples exhibit the integrity of wear track regardless of different friction coefficients. In addition, there are some peeling areas in the boro-austempered sample (BA-2), as shown in Figures 13c,d. This may be attributed to a different wear mechanism from pure abrasion of the sample without boriding (NA-2). Gutierrez-Noda et al. [36] claimed that it was the delamination wear mechanism for borided samples. It is reasonable to speculate that the delamination wear mechanism is also applicative for boro-austempered samples. Moreover, the wear track depth and width in both samples were measured by an ultra-depth, three-dimensional microscope. The average wear track width and depth of the non-borided sample are 718 ± 15 and 12.43 ± 3.23 \( \mu \text{m} \), respectively, while the average wear track width and depth of the boro-austempered sample are 408 ± 7 and 2.88 ± 1.07 \( \mu \text{m} \), respectively. It should be emphasized that the wear track of the untreated sample is approximately 1.7 times wider and 4.3 times deeper than the boro-austempered sample. Since the subsequent isothermal holding at 623 K for one hour for bainitic precipitation acts equivalently as the tempering process of borided layers, the brittleness of the produced layer is significantly reduced. Meanwhile, the intrinsic higher-hardness of the borided layer further improves the wear resistance, and consequently, the shallow and narrow wear tracks occupy the surface of boro-austempered steels.
Wear tests were conducted on an ML-100 wear resistance machine. Figures 14 and 15 present the wear loss of samples BA-2 and NA-2 at different wear durations and the wear morphologies of the samples, respectively. The wear loss of the comparable sample NA-2 (450 mg) is 2.2 times the boro-austempered sample BA-2 (204 mg), demonstrating that the as-prepared layer on the surface significantly improves the wear resistance of the bainitic steel. In addition, for the boro-austempered sample (BA-2) in Figure 14b, the wear rate (the slope of the wear loss curve) increases after a 720 m sliding distance, meaning that the as-prepared layer begins to desquamate. This may be related to the thinner layers (28.6 µm) of sample BA-2. Regarding the wear morphologies of samples in Figure 15, the parallel scratches denoting two-body wear are observed in two samples. However, it is apparent that the parallel scratches in sample NA-2 are more intense and deeper than those of the boro-austempered sample (BA-2), which is consistent with the results of wear loss.
4. Conclusions

The effects of boro-austempering treatments on growth kinetics in borided layers, microstructure, and properties in medium-carbon bainitic steel were investigated. The main conclusions obtained are as follows. The corrosion resistance of steels in 10% NaOH solution, 0.5 mol/L HCl solution, and 3.5% NaCl were apparently improved via boro-austempering treatment. In addition, the surface friction coefficient reduced by 20% after boro-austempering, and the wear resistance of the as-prepared layer improved up to 2.2 times compared to the bainitic substrates. The produced layer on the bainite matrix exhibited superior adhesion strength. Moreover, the boundaries between the bcc structure and Fe₂B grains as well as between Fe₂B and FeB grains were low-angle boundaries. The preferential crystallographic growth directions of Fe₂B and FeB are [001] and [010], respectively, which belongs to the (100) plane. Furthermore, there were large amounts of boron content in the coating and fewer carbon atoms, and the boride contained no Si element. Si hindered the growth of borided layers, and C impeded the diffusion of B atoms. Finally, the thickness of compound layers ranged from 3.4 to 62.9 μm in the boriding time, and the kinetics equation \( d^2 = 0.125 \cdot t \) for the as-prepared layers on the tested steel at 1223 K was obtained.
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