The spliceosome catalyzes debranching in competition with reverse of the first chemical reaction
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ABSTRACT
Splicing of nuclear pre-mRNA occurs via two steps of the transesterification reaction, forming a lariat intermediate and product. The reactions are catalyzed by the spliceosome, a large ribonucleoprotein complex composed of five small nuclear RNAs and numerous protein factors. The spliceosome shares a similar catalytic core structure with that of fungal group II introns, which can self-splice using the same chemical mechanism. Like group II introns, both catalytic steps of pre-mRNA splicing can efficiently reverse on the affinity-purified spliceosome. The spliceosome also catalyzes a hydrolytic spliced-exon reopening reaction as observed in group II introns, indicating a strong link in their evolutionary relationship. We show here that, by arresting splicing after the first catalytic step, the purified spliceosome can catalyze debranching of lariat-intron-exon 2. The debranching reaction, although not observed in group II introns, has similar monovalent cation preferences as those for splicing catalysis of group II introns. The debranching reaction is in competition with the reverse Step 1 reaction influenced by the ionic environment and the structure of components binding near the catalytic center, suggesting that the catalytic center of the spliceosome can switch between different conformations to direct different chemical reactions.
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INTRODUCTION
Splicing of pre-mRNA proceeds via two consecutive transestertification reactions, forming a lariat intermediate and product. In the first step of the reaction, the 2′-OH group of the branch adenosine residue attacks the phosphodiester bond of the 5′ splice site to free the 5′ exon and form lariat-intron-exon 2 through 2′-5′-phosphodiester linkage. In the second step, the 3′-OH group of the free 5′ exon attacks the phosphodiester bond of the 3′ splice site to join the two exons and excise the intron in the lariat form (Ruskin et al. 1984; Konarska et al. 1985). These reactions take place on the spliceosome, which is assembled by sequential binding of five small nuclear RNAs, U1, U2, U4, U5, and U6, and numerous protein factors to the pre-mRNA (for review, see Burge et al. 1999; Brow 2002; Will and Lührmann 2006; Wahl et al. 2009).

The spliceosome is a highly dynamic machine that undergoes multiple structural rearrangements throughout the assembly pathway. Structural changes of the spliceosome are mediated by DEAH-box RNA helicases coupling with ATP hydrolysis (Staley and Guthrie 1998). Two DEAH-box ATPases are required for the catalytic steps. Prp2 is required for the first step to destabilize U2 snRNP components SF3a and SF3b (Warkocki et al. 2009; Lardelli et al. 2010; Liu and Cheng 2012). Subsequent binding of Yju2 and Cwc25 promotes the first reaction in an ATP-independent manner (Liu et al. 2007b; Chiu et al. 2009). After the reaction, Yju2 and Cwc25 need to be destabilized from the catalytic center, mediated by Prp16 (Tseng et al. 2011), which then allows the binding of Slu7, Prp18, and Prp22 to promote the second reaction independently of ATP (Frank and Guthrie 1992; Horowitz and Abelson 1993; Ansari and Schwer 1995; Schwer and Gross 1998). Prp22 is further required to catalyze the release of mRNA, dependent on its ATPase function (Company et al. 1991; Arenas and Abelson 1997; Wagner et al. 1998; Martin et al. 2002).

Despite the energy requirement for the spliceosome to remodel its structure during the catalytic steps, both transestertification reactions are readily reversible on affinity-purified spliceosomes when incubated under proper ionic conditions (Tseng and Cheng 2008). By blocking mRNA release with a Prp22 mutant protein defective in its helicase function, the purified spliceosome can catalyze reverse splicing of the second step (R2) when incubated in the absence of KCl, without requiring ATP or the addition of extra splicing factors. Upon addition of KCl, the reaction is reversed again to generate spliced products, suggesting that the presence of KCl favors the forward procession of the second reaction (F2). KCl is also required to promote the reverse reaction of the first transestertification (R1) on the spliceosome formed on 3′ splice site-mutated pre-mRNA ACAC, which is blocked for the
second reaction. Furthermore, the presence of KCl also allows
the occurrence of the spliced-exon reopening (SER) reaction
(Tseng and Cheng 2008), which is hydrolytic cleavage of the
mRNA precisely at the splice junction, and has been observed
in some group II introns (Jarrel et al. 1988). The SER and R2
reactions appear to be in competition with each other, as in-
cubation conditions favoring one reaction would disfavor the
other (Tseng and Cheng 2008).

Here, we show that the spliceosome can also catalyze de-
branching of lariat intron-exon 2. Splicing intermediates ac-
cumulate on the spliceosome when Prp16 is depleted from
the extract. When such spliceosomes were isolated and incu-
bated, the splicing intermediates were converted to pre-
mRNA by reverse splicing (R1), or debranched to form linear
intron-exon 2 (DBR). The debranching reaction is indepen-
dent of the debranching enzyme Db1 but requires KCl. R1
and DBR reactions also favor opposite ionic conditions, indi-
cating competition between these two reactions. Intriguingly,
adding tags to the amino terminus of Cwc25 or Yju2 biased
the reaction preference toward R1 under all conditions.
These results suggest that the reactions catalyzed by the splice-
osome may be directed by the conformation of the spliceo-
some in the catalytic center, which can be modulated by
changes of the ionic environment or the structure of the pro-
tein binding to the catalytic center.

RESULTS

The spliceosome catalyzes the debranching reaction

We have previously demonstrated reverse splicing of the first
step by using a 5’ splice site-mutated pre-mRNA ACAC to
block the second reaction and isolate the spliceosome con-
taining splicing intermediates. Such R1 reactions require
KCl. Reversal of the second step that occurs on the spliceo-
some blocked for message release, however, does not require
KCl (Tseng and Cheng 2008). The spliceosome formed
with ACAC pre-mRNA is arrested in the Step 2 conformation,
KCl (Tseng and Cheng 2008). The spliceosome formed
in Prp16-depleted extracts is ar-
rested after the replacement of Yju2/Cwc25 with Slu7/Prp18/Prp22.
Conceivably, more drastic structural change of the spliceo-
some might be involved in this R1 reaction than in the R2 re-
action, which occurs within the Step 2 conformation. We then
examined ionic requirements for the R1 reaction that oc-
curred within the Step 1 conformation by depletion of Prp16.

The spliceosome formed in Prp16-depleted extracts is ar-
rested after the first reaction, accumulates splicing intermedi-
ates and Yju2/Cwc25, and is presumably retained in the Step 1
conformation. The spliceosome precipitated by anti-HA anti-
body, when Cwc25 is tagged with HA, contained primarily
splicing intermediates (Fig. 1A, lane 2). When the purified
spliceosome was incubated in buffers containing 4 mM
MgCl₂ of various pHs, splicing intermediates were found to
be converted to pre-mRNA (lanes 3–6), indicating the occur-
rence of the R1 reaction. The efficiency of R1 increased with
increasing pH. No errors were detected when 40 individual
clones of RT-PCR products spanning the 5’ splice junction
were sequenced (data not shown), indicating high precision
of the R1 reaction. The results show that the R1 reaction that
occurs within the Step 1 conformation does not require KCl.
KCl is likely required for switching the Step 2 to Step 1 confor-
mation without displacement of components. Interestingly,
in incubation in the presence of 150 mM KCl resulted in the gen-
eration of an RNA species that migrated slightly faster than
pre-mRNA (lanes 7–10), corresponding in size to the linear
intron-exon 2 generated by incubation of lariat-intron-exon
2 RNA with the debranching enzyme (lane 16). Primer exten-
sion analysis of gel-purified products confirmed its being lin-
ear intron-exon 2 (Fig. 1B). The presence of NaCl slightly
increased the efficiency of the R1 reaction but did not promote
formation of linear intron-exon 2 (Fig. 1A, lanes 11–14).

Linear intron-exon 2 can be generated by either cleavage
of pre-mRNA at the 5’ splice junction or by debranching
of lariat-intron-exon 2. Group II introns have been shown to
catalyze hydrolytic cleavage of the 5’ splice site to form linear
intron-exon 2 (Podar et al. 1995; Daniels et al. 1996), but the
debranching reaction has not been reported. We sought to
determine whether linear intron-exon 2 was generated by
debranching of lariat-intron-exon 2 or by cleavage of pre-
mRNA. The spliceosome was formed in Prp16-depleted ex-
tacts to accumulate splicing intermediates and purified by
precipitation with anti-Ntc20 antibody (Fig. 1C, lane 2).
The purified spliceosome was incubated in the absence (lanes 3,4)
or in the presence (lanes 5,6) of NaCl to drive the R1 re-
action and accumulate pre-mRNA. The spliceosome in the
pellet fraction was washed and reincubated with a buffer con-
taining MgCl₂ and KCl (lanes 4,6) to drive hydrolytic cleavage
reactions. The result shows that linear intron-exon 2 was pro-
duced only from the spliceosome containing lariat-intron-
exon 2 (lane 4) but not from that containing pre-mRNA
(lane 6), suggesting that linear intron-exon 2 was the de-
branching product of lariat-intron-exon 2.

In cells, lariat introns are debranched by a debranching
enzyme, Db1, after release from the spliceosome (Martin
et al. 2002). The DBR1 gene can be disrupted with little effect
on cellular growth (Chapman and Boeke 1991). To confirm
that the debranching reaction was catalyzed by the spliceo-
some independent of Db1, we formed the spliceosome in
Prp16-depleted extracts prepared from dbr1Δ yeast cells
(Fig. 1D). The spliceosome was purified by precipitation
with anti-Ntc20 antibody (lane 2). After incubation in the
presence of KCl (lane 3), the supernatant (lane 5) and pellet
(lane 4) fractions were separated. The results show that the
spliceosome retained its ability to catalyze the R1 and de-
branching reactions. Furthermore, all RNA species remained
associated with the spliceosome in the pellet fraction. These
results confirm that the debranching reaction is catalyzed by
the spliceosome independently of Db1.

Db1 catalyzes debranching of lariat-introns released after
disassembly of the spliceosome, yielding linear introns with
a phosphate at the 5′ end and a hydroxyl group at the 3′ end (Ruskin and Green 1985; Arenas and Hurwitz 1987). We examined whether the spliceosome-catalyzed debranching reaction also yielded 5′-phosphorylated RNA by testing whether the RNA can be phosphorylated without pretreatment with phosphatase (Fig. 1E). Spliceosomes were formed in Prp16-depleted extracts using pre-mRNA with low radioactivity and precipitated with anti-Ntc20 antibody. RNA isolated after incubation to stimulate the debranching reaction and subjected to phosphorylation using polynucleotide kinase and γ-32P-ATP without (lane 4) or with (lane 5) pretreatment with calf intestine alkaline phosphatase. In parallel, the linear form of intron-exon 2 RNA was also isolated from spliceosomes assembled on ACAC pre-mRNA and treated with Dbr1 (lanes 8–10). Purified RNA was subjected to phosphorylation using polynucleotide kinase and γ-32P-ATP without (lanes 4,9) or with (lanes 5,10) pretreatment with calf intestine alkaline phosphatase. (R) 1/10 of reaction mixture, (SP) spliceosome, (DBR) debranching reaction catalyzed by the spliceosome, (Dbr1) debranching reaction catalyzed by the debranchase.

**Characterization of the debranching reaction**

It is intriguing that KCl is required for the debranching reaction, whereas NaCl does not support the reaction. We, therefore, examined the ionic requirement for the debranching reaction in a systematic manner. Figure 2A shows that, while all monovalent cations efficiently stimulated the R1 reaction (cf. lane 3 for no addition of monovalent cation), K+ and NH4+ (lanes 6,9) promoted the debranching reaction most effectively. The efficiency of the debranching reaction decreased with increasing size of the monovalent cation (lanes 7,8), and no debranching reactions were detected with Na+ (lane 5) or Li+ (lane 4). Such ionic preference coincides with that of exon 2 RNAs, indicating the presence of a phosphate group at their 5′ ends.
group II introns for supporting its structure and the splicing activity, as recently revealed from biochemical and crystallographic analyses (Marcia and Pyle 2012).

Divalent cation Mg$^{2+}$ is required for splicing of all types of introns and for the activity of many ribozymes. In many cases, other metal ions can replace the requirement of Mg$^{2+}$ (Chen et al. 1997; Sontheimer et al. 1997; Shan et al. 1999; Frederiksen et al. 2012). Figure 2B shows that 4 mM Ca$^{2+}$ or Mn$^{2+}$ stimulated R1 in the presence of KCl (lanes 3–7) or presence of 150 mM KCl (lanes 8–12) or 150 mM NaCl (lanes 13–17); (C) 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 4 mM MgCl$_2$, 150 mM KCl (lane 1), 60 mM NaPO$_4$, pH 8.8, 4 mM MgCl$_2$, and 30 mM NaCl (lane 17). (R) 1/10 of reaction mixture, (SP) spliceosome, (KP) KPO$_4$, (NaP) NaPO$_4$.

We also examined the effect of phosphate on the reverse and debranching reactions (Fig. 2C). Phosphate is required for high efficiency of yeast pre-mRNA splicing (Lin et al. 1985). In the presence of K$^+$, phosphate also promoted debranching with high efficiency (lane 5), better than with KCl (lane 4). However, both R1 and debranching were inhibited in sodium phosphate (lane 7). Since phosphate promotes the splicing reaction, presumably it would inhibit reverse splicing. Conceivably, the combination of Na$^+$ and phosphate would result in the inhibition of both debranching and reverse splicing. On the other hand, repression of reverse splicing by phosphate may give the spliceosome a greater chance to catalyze debranching in potassium phosphate. In this context, R1 and DBR may be in competition with one another, depending on the ionic environment of the spliceosome. In line with this, a previous study of the spliceosome, in which mRNA release was blocked, has suggested the reverse splicing reaction of the second step (R2) and the SER reaction being competitive with one another (Tseng and Cheng 2008).

We, therefore, examined whether R1 and DBR also have different preferences for ionic environments. Titration with monovalent cations in the presence of 4 mM Mg$^{2+}$ revealed that R1 and DBR have opposite preferences for KCl concentration (Fig. 3A). While R1 increased with increasing KCl or NaCl concentrations, DBR decreased with increasing KCl concentrations. Titration with Mg$^{2+}$ revealed that the efficiency of R1 increased with increasing concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$ up to 20 mM in the absence (Fig. 3B, lanes 3–7) or presence of 150 mM KCl (lanes 8–12) of 150 mM NaCl; 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 4 mM MgCl$_2$; and 150 mM NaCl (lane 6), or 60 mM NaPO$_4$, pH 8.8, 4 mM MgCl$_2$, and 30 mM NaCl (lane 7). (R) 1/10 of reaction mixture, (SP) spliceosome, (KP) KPO$_4$, (NaP) NaPO$_4$.

**Inhibition of the debranching reaction by N-terminally tagged Cwc25 and Yju2**

It is not surprising that the ionic environment of the pre-Prp16 spliceosome can direct the type of the reaction,
presumably by influencing conformational dynamics of the spliceosome. Conditions that support the conformational state for R1 suppress the DBR, and vice versa. A conformational model has been proposed for the two catalytic steps of the spliceosome based on a wealth of genetic data from analysis of spliceosomal components, protein factors, and RNA elements, important for the catalytic reactions (Query and Konarska 2004; Liu et al. 2007a). It is reasonable to think that the conformation of the catalytic center can also be modulated by the structure of the proteins binding to the catalytic center of the spliceosome. Yju2 and Cwc25 are required for the first reaction. Cwc25, in particular, plays a key role in positioning the 5' splice site and the branch site, as the reaction takes place immediately upon its binding to the spliceosome. We modified the structure of Cwc25 by adding four copies of the V5-epitope to either the N terminus (4V5-Cwc25) or C terminus (Cwc25-4V5) of Cwc25. Spliceosomes were assembled in Prp16-depleted 4V5-Cwc25 (Fig. 4A, lanes 1–8) or Cwc25-4V5 (lanes 9–16) extracts, and precipitated with anti-V5 antibody (lanes 2,10). The precipitated spliceosome was incubated with 1 mM, 4 mM, or 8 mM MgCl₂ in the absence or presence of KCl to elicit R1 and DBR. When V5 was tagged at the C terminus of Cwc25, a similar pattern for R1 and DBR was observed as in untagged Cwc25, in which R1 favored higher Mg²⁺ concentrations and DBR favored lower Mg²⁺.
concentrations, but only in the presence of KCl (lanes 11–16). Strikingly, when V5 was tagged at the N terminus of Cwc25, DBR was completely inhibited, and strong R1 was seen under all conditions with nearly all splicing intermediates converted to pre-mRNA (lanes 3–8). When the spliceosome was purified by precipitation with antibodies against Ntc20, the DBR inhibitory effect was not evident (data not shown), indicating that the binding of antibody to the V5-tag at the N terminus was essential to drive the spliceosome into an R1-permissive conformation.

We then examined the effect of the structure of Yju2 on directing R1 vs. DBR reactions and found that adding a His-tag to the N terminus of Yju2 was also inhibitory of the DBR (Fig. 4B). Splicing extracts prepared from the CWC25-HA strain were depleted of both Prp16 and Yju2 and supplemented with recombinant His-Yju2 or Yju2-His. The His-tag at the N terminus of Yju2 contains 14 extra amino acid residues in addition to six histidine residues, whereas at the C terminus, only six histidine residues are present in the tag. For the Yju2 untagged control, the extract was only depleted of Prp16 without the addition of recombinant Yju2. After the splicing reaction, spliceosomes were purified by precipitation with anti-HA antibody and incubated under conditions favoring R1 (8 mM MgCl₂) (lanes 3,7,11) or DBR (1 mM MgCl₂ and 150 mM KCl) (lanes 4,8,12). Like untagged Yju2, Yju2-His promoted R1 at 8 mM Mg²⁺ (lanes 3,11), and promoted DBR at 1 mM Mg²⁺ (lanes 4,12). With His-Yju2, DBR was nearly completely inhibited, but R1 was not further enhanced (lane 8). In this case, only 20 extra amino acid residues present at the N terminus of Yju2 were sufficient to prevent the spliceosome from switching to the DBR conformation, since the antibody did not bind Yju2. Together, these results show that changing the structure of either Cwc25 or Yju2 at the N terminus can influence conformational dynamics of the spliceosome, which may affect the geometry of the catalytic residues of the spliceosome to direct different reactions.

**The debranching reaction is inhibited by branchpoint A-to-G mutation**

We further investigated whether the debranching reaction is affected by mutation of the nucleotides that form the branch structure. Pre-mRNA with an A to G change at the branchpoint (brG) splices less efficiently than wild-type pre-mRNA or the 3′ splice site mutant ACAC, whereas the 5′ splice site mutant, G1A, splices poorly. Like ACAC, splicing of brG or G1A pre-mRNA was severely impeded in the second step, resulting in the accumulation of lariat-intron-exon 2 (Fig. 5A). The G1A mutant spliced poorly, with only a very small amount of lariat-intron-exon 2 generated (lane 4), which could be enriched by precipitation of the spliceosome (Fig. 5C, lane 2). Spliceosomes formed in Prp16-depleted Cwc25-HA extracts were isolated by precipitation with anti-HA antibody and incubated with 0.01–20 mM MgCl₂ in the presence or absence of KCl (Fig. 5B,C). As with wild-type pre-mRNA (Fig. 3B), the G1A spliceosome also catalyzed R1 and DBR (Fig. 5C), and the efficiency of R1 increased with increasing Mg²⁺ concentrations. Nevertheless, DBR is less efficient in the G1A mutant, indicating that changing G to A in the first position of the intron disfavors the conformation for DBR in the context of the lariat structure. The branchpoint nucleotide, however, has a much greater impact on DBR when changed to G, as no debranched product was detected (Fig. 5B). Interestingly, the brG mutant had an opposite preference.
for Mg\(^{2+}\) concentration for the R1 reaction. Efficient R1 reactions were observed at 0.01 mM Mg\(^{2+}\) both in the presence and absence of KCl, and the efficiency decreased with increasing Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations. The mechanism for reverse preference is currently unknown.

**The debranching reaction occurs on the Prp16-associated and post-Prp16 spliceosomes**

The debranching reaction described above was observed on the spliceosome isolated at the pre-Prp16 stage with Cwc25 associated. To see whether the spliceosome can catalyze DBR only at this specific stage, we isolated Prp16-bound spliceosome and Prp22-bound spliceosome to see whether DBR can occur on these spliceosomes. While the Prp16-bound spliceosome is in the first-step conformational state, the Prp22-bound spliceosome is in the second-step conformation with Yju2/Cwc25 having been replaced by Slu7/Prp18/Prp22. For the Prp16-bound spliceosome, the splicing reaction was performed in Prp16-depleted extracts supplemented with HA-tagged Prp16 mutant protein D473A, and the spliceosome was isolated by precipitation with anti-HA antibody (Fig. 6A). The prp16-D473A protein, which carries a mutation in the ATPase motif, is able to bind to the spliceosome but unable to promote destabilization of Yju2/Cwc25 and itself (Tseng et al. 2011). When the purified spliceosome (lane 3) was incubated with Mg\(^{2+}\) in the absence (lane 4) or presence (lane 5) of KCl, efficient DBR was observed in the presence of KCl (lane 5), indicating no interference of DBR by the presence of Prp16.

For Prp22-bound spliceosome, the spliceosome was assembled in Prp22-4V5 extracts with ACAC pre-mRNA to block the second reaction and isolated by precipitation with anti-V5 antibody. We have previously shown that, when the purified ACAC spliceosome was incubated in the presence of KCl, both splicing of the second step (F2) and reverse splicing of the first step (R1) could occur (Tseng and Cheng 2008). When the spliceosome was incubated with Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations from 0.01 to 2 mM in the presence of KCl (Fig. 6B), linear intron-exon 2 was generated in addition to pre-mRNA and spliced products (lanes 9–13), indicating that DBR can also take place on the post-Prp16 spliceosome. Nevertheless, the reaction was less efficient but shows a similar Mg\(^{2+}\) dependency as the pre-Prp16 spliceosome. A summary for the reactions that the spliceosome can catalyze and conditions that favor R1 or DBR is shown in Figure 7.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we discovered and characterized a previously unidentified debranching reaction catalyzed by the spliceosome. Debranching of lariat-introns is the first step in degradation of excised introns after the splicing reaction (Martin et al. 2002). The debranching enzyme Dbr1 is not associated with the spliceosome and catalyzes the reaction on free lariat-intron RNA molecules after the spliceosome is disassembled. Although the debranched product, linear intron-exon 2, catalyzed by the spliceosome also contains a 5′ phosphate similar to that generated by the debranchase, the reaction is totally independent of the enzymatic activity of the debranchase, as the reaction takes place in dbr1Δ extracts. Notably, a putative debranched product of lariat-intron-exon 2 associated with the spliceosome has previously been observed but was not further characterized to clarify whether its formation was associated with the debranchase activity (Ansari and Schwer 1995). The DBR reaction represents a novel reaction that the spliceosome can catalyze in addition to the previously uncovered SER reaction.

The DBR reaction can occur efficiently on the spliceosome stalled in the first step by depletion of Prp16 or by using the ATPase mutant of Prp16 to prevent the release of Yju2 and Cwc25 from the spliceosome. At this conformational state, reverse of the first splicing reaction can also occur. The DBR reaction, being hydrolysis of the 2′-5′ phosphodiester bond of the lariat intermediate, can be viewed as the first half of the R1 reaction, in an analogy to SER as the first half of the R2 reaction. Reverse splicing of the first step via transesterification requires the 3′-OH of exon 1 to be positioned in a precise geometry. Conceivably, the lariat-intermediate may become more vulnerable to hydrolytic cleavage when the 3′-OH of exon 1 is out of place under certain conditions. Although DBR is not expected to occur during normal splicing reactions, as...
it proceeds more slowly than the forward or reverse transesterification reaction, it provides novel insights into chemical flexibility of the spliceosome, which is able to catalyze both hydrolytic and transesterification reactions in both steps. It also reveals that the spliceosome is designed in such a way that both catalytic steps are mechanistically analogous to each other.

The DBR reaction requires Mg$^{2+}$, favors high pH, and has a preference for specific sizes of monovalent cations. K$^+$ and NH$_4^+$ ions give the highest activity of the DBR, and the activity decreases with increasing size of ions. Monovalent cations of a smaller size, such as Li$^+$ and Na$^+$, hardly support the DBR reaction. Interestingly, a recent study of Oceanobacillus iheyensis (Oi) group IIC intron domains 1–5 shows a similar preference for the size of monovalent cations for the self-splicing activity of this ribozyme (Marcia and Pyle 2012). Crystal structural analysis of this intron has revealed binding of two K$^+$ ions near the active site, together with two Mg$^{2+}$ ions, forming a heteronuclear metal ion center (Marcia and Pyle 2012). The group II introns and spliceosomal introns share similar catalytic core structures and chemical mechanisms and are evolutionarily related. It is conceivable that spliceosomal introns also require binding of K$^+$ ions to support the active structure for the debranching reaction. However, the reverse reaction does not require monovalent cations but is more efficient in their presence without a clear distinction between different sizes (Fig. 2A). Using purified precatalytic spliceosomes to chase the first reaction, we found that the forward splicing reaction is also independent of monovalent cations (data not shown), suggesting that binding of monovalent cations may influence the geometry of the catalytic core of the spliceosome to discriminate between transesterification and hydrolytic reactions. Coincidentally, splicing of the Oi group IIC intron proceeds through a hydrolytic pathway. It is worth noting that, while low K$^+$ concentrations could promote DBR, the R1 reaction increases with increasing monovalent cation concentrations, tempting us to speculate on the existence of two K$^+$ binding sites in the catalytic core, one with high affinity and the other with low affinity. In this scenario, occupancy of the high affinity, K$^+$-specific site promotes DBR, whereas occupancy of the low affinity, nonspecific site facilitates R1. This is in contrast to the roles of K$^+$ in binding to the Oi group IIC intron, in which proper sizes of the ion is necessary to rigidify the divalent ion binding sites and stabilize reaction intermediates (Marcia and Pyle 2012). How the catalytic residues are positioned in response to K$^+$ binding on the spliceosome remains to be elucidated.

Although R1 can occur in the absence of monovalent cations, it proceeds more efficiently at higher Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations, under which conditions DBR is greatly reduced. These results suggest that R1 and DBR may be in competition with one another, determined by the conformational state of the spliceosome in the catalytic center. Ionic environments can influence the equilibrium between the two conformational states so that conditions that promote the conformation for DBR would disfavor the R1 reaction, and vice versa.

Such a conformational model has been proposed for the two catalytic steps of the spliceosome (Query and Konarska 2004; Liu et al. 2007a). In this two-state conformational model, it has been hypothesized that the conformational states of Step 1 and Step 2 are in competition with one another. Mutations that affect the stability of one conformational state result in relative stabilization of the other and, consequently, stimulate the reaction of the other step (Liu et al. 2007a). Our results echo this conformational model and further extend it to multiple conformational states, which can be modulated by different ionic environments, as the basis of different chemical reactions. We have previously shown that both steps of transesterification are reversible (Tseng and Cheng 2008). Furthermore, the purified spliceosome arrested before mRNA release can catalyze the SER reaction when incubated in the presence of Mn$^{2+}$. Like R1 and DBR, incubation conditions that promote the reverse reaction of the second step (R2) repress the SER reaction (Tseng and Cheng 2008), suggesting that the conformational states for R2 and SER are in competition with one another.

The debranching enzyme is a metallophosphoesterase that uses Mn$^{2+}$ as a cofactor (Khalid et al. 2005). The optimal Mn$^{2+}$ concentration for the debranching activity is 2–4 mM. Mg$^{2+}$ can replace Mn$^{2+}$ but is much less effective in stimulating its enzymatic activity (Khalid et al. 2005). The spliceosome-catalyzed debranching reaction was also stimulated by both Mg$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$. The optimal concentration for Mg$^{2+}$ was 1–4 mM, and for Mn$^{2+}$ was 0.01–0.1 mM, with no reaction detected at 0.001 mM (data not shown), indicating that Mn$^{2+}$ is more effective in stimulating the debranching reac-
tion. The spliceosome thus shares a similar property with the debranching enzyme in using Mn$^{2+}$ for the debranching reaction, raising the question of the evolutionary relationship between the two catalytic systems. Interestingly, both DBR and R1 reactions occur at low frequency at 1 mM Mn$^{2+}$, and R1 becomes more vigorous above 1 mM Mn$^{2+}$ (Fig. 3C). It is conceivable that Mn$^{2+}$ may have high affinity for the catalytic center of the spliceosome, and binding of a limiting amount of Mn$^{2+}$ directs the spliceosome to the DBR conformational state. With increasing concentrations, more Mn$^{2+}$ may bind to the spliceosome, which then directs the spliceosome to the R1 conformation. At 1 mM Mn$^{2+}$, the spliceosome may be retained in an intermediate state, with low R1 and DBR activities.

Our results also showed that, when a His-tag was added to Yju2, or when four copies of V5-tag were added to the N terminus of Cwc25, the DBR reaction was completely inhibited, whereas the R1 reaction was not affected. Adding the tag to the C terminus of the protein did not alter the pattern of the reactions. It is not surprising that the structures of Yju2 and Cwc25 can also influence the conformation of the catalytic core of the spliceosome since these two proteins are required only for the first catalytic reaction (Liu et al. 2007b; Chiu et al. 2009). Cwc25, in particular, is recruited to the spliceosome immediately prior to the reaction and is destabilized after the reaction, mediated by Prp16 (Tseng et al. 2011). Cwc25 has also been shown to cross-link to the pre-mRNA in the 3′ tail a few bases downstream from the branchpoint, suggesting that it is situated at or near the catalytic center of the spliceosome during the first step (Chen et al. 2013). Conceivably, altering the structure of the proteins that bind at or near the catalytic center may impact the conformation in the catalytic core of the spliceosome. Thus, adding sequences to the N terminus of Yju2 or Cwc25 may distort the conformation of the spliceosome to prevent transition to the conformational state for DBR. In fact, when Cwc25 was tagged with V5 at the N terminus, the spliceosome precipitated with anti-V5 antibody strongly favored R1 under all conditions. This R1-prone effect is from the combination of the tag at the N terminus of Cwc25 and the binding of the antibody to the tag. Precipitation of the spliceosome with anti-Ntc20 antibody did not exhibit such an effect (data not shown), indicating that a large body of mass added to the N terminus of Cwc25 is needed for the R1-prone effect. Nevertheless, adding only 20 amino acid residues to the N terminus of Yju2 was sufficient to block the DBR. These results suggest that the N terminus of Yju2 may be in close contact with the other structural elements of the catalytic core so that even a small change in the structure can block the transition to the conformational state of DBR. On the other hand, the N terminus of Cwc25 may fit in the catalytic core more loosely and can tolerate more structural change without impacting the balance between different conformational states. However, further binding of the antibody greatly stabilizes the R1 conformation and completely inhibits the DBR. These results also suggest that the N termini of these two proteins may be organized spatially near each other in the catalytic core of the spliceosome and, therefore, exhibit similar effects on modulating the conformation, although to different extents.

Mutation at the branchpoint or at the 5′ splice site did not inhibit the R1 reaction. However, the DBR reaction was significantly suppressed in the G1A mutant and totally inhibited in the brG mutant. This indicates that, while the R1 conformation is insensitive to base changes at the branchpoint or the 5′ splice site, the DBR conformation is destabilized when these bases are changed. Unexpectedly, the brG mutant has an opposite Mg$^{2+}$ preference for the R1 reaction, in favor of lower Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations independent of KCl. The brU mutant behaves in a similar way to the brG mutant (data not shown). It is not clear how a change of the base at the branchpoint would reverse the Mg$^{2+}$ preference for R1. Considering that Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations as low as 10 µM are sufficient to efficiently stimulate R1 in the brG mutant, it is plausible that a high-affinity Mg$^{2+}$ binding site may be created in brG to promote the R1 conformation. Increasing Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations may result in binding of more Mg$^{2+}$, which destabilizes the R1 conformation.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Yeast strains

| Strain   | Description                                      |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------|
| BJ2168   | MATa prc1 prb1 pep4 leu2 trp1 ural3              |
| YSCC22   | MATa prc1 prb1 pep4 leu2 trp1 ural3 PRP22-4V5   |
| YSCC25   | MATa prc1 prb1 pep4 leu2 trp1 ural3 CWC25-HA    |
| YSCC254  | MATa prc1 prb1 pep4 leu2 trp1 ural3 4V5-CWC25    |
| YSCC255  | MATa prc1 prb1 pep4 leu2 trp1 ural3 CWC25-4V5    |
| YSCC10   | MATa prc1 prb1 pep4 leu2 trp1 ural3 dbr::LEU2   |
| YSCC22   | MATa prc1 prb1 pep4 leu2 trp1 ural3 CWC25-HA    |
| BJ2168   | MATa prc1 prb1 pep4 leu2 trp1 ural3              |

#### Oligonucleotides

The following oligonucleotides were used:

For sequencing

| Oligonucleotide | Sequence                        |
|----------------|---------------------------------|
| A1             | CTTCATCACAACGGTAG                |
| A2             | TTTACACCTACCTGCTT                |
| A5             | CGATTGCTCATTGCTT                 |

For primer extension

| Oligonucleotide | Sequence                        |
|----------------|---------------------------------|
| A6             | TCTTACAGTTAAATGGGATGG           |
| A7             | AAACATATAATAAGCAAC              |

For construction of pRS406.CWC25.4V

| Oligonucleotide | Sequence                        |
|----------------|---------------------------------|
| C25-1          | GGCCTGGATTGGTGCTGCGGATTTT       |
| C25-5          | CGCCAACGTCATTTCTAGTTCCAGGAG     |
| C25-6          | GGCCACACTTCATTTCTAGTTGCCAGG     |
| C25-7          | CCGGTATACCGTCACCAAGGGTGTTCC     |

For sequencing

| Oligonucleotide | Sequence                        |
|----------------|---------------------------------|
| A1             | CTTCATCACAACGGTAG                |
| A2             | TTTACACCTACCTGCTT                |
| A5             | CGATTGCTCATTGCTT                 |

For primer extension

| Oligonucleotide | Sequence                        |
|----------------|---------------------------------|
| A6             | TCTTACAGTTAAATGGGATGG           |
| A7             | AAACATATAATAAGCAAC              |

For construction of pRS406.CWC25.4V

| Oligonucleotide | Sequence                        |
|----------------|---------------------------------|
| C25-1          | GGCCTGGATTGGTGCTGCGGATTTT       |
| C25-5          | CGCCAACGTCATTTCTAGTTCCAGGAG     |
| C25-6          | GGCCACACTTCATTTCTAGTTGCCAGG     |
| C25-7          | CCGGTATACCGTCACCAAGGGTGTTCC     |

For sequencing

| Oligonucleotide | Sequence                        |
|----------------|---------------------------------|
| A1             | CTTCATCACAACGGTAG                |
| A2             | TTTACACCTACCTGCTT                |
| A5             | CGATTGCTCATTGCTT                 |
Antibodies and reagents

The anti-V5 antibody was purchased from Serotec Inc. Protein A-Sepharose was from Sigma, AMV reverse transcriptase from Promega, SuperScript III reverse transcriptase from Invitrogen, and Ni-NTA Agarose from Qiagen.

Immunodepletion

Immunodepletion of Prp16 was performed by incubation of 100 μL of splicing extracts with 50 μL of the anti-Prp16 anti-serum coupled to 50 μL of protein A-Sepharose.

Immunoprecipitation

Splicing was carried out with Prp16-depleted extracts at 25°C for 30 min using wild-type or mutant actin pre-mRNA. The spliceosome was precipitated with anti-Ntc20 antibody, or with antibody against HA or V5 when protein was tagged with epitope, and washed three times with 1 mL NET-2 buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.05% NP-40) and once with 1 mL of 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8 (for pH titration, dH2O was used in the final wash instead). In experiments testing divalent cation effects, 50 μM of EDTA was included in NET-2, and EDTA was removed by one wash with 1 mL of NET-2.

Reactions on the precipitated spliceosome

The precipitated spliceosome was incubated with 30 μL of 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8 (or 6.8, or 7.4, or 8.0 in specific experiments), 4 mM MgCl2 (or MnCl2, or CaCl2, or ZnCl2), with or without 150 mM KCl or other monovalent ions at 25°C for 60 min.

Primer extension

The reaction mixtures for the debranching reaction were fractionated by electrophoresis on 8% polyacrylamide/8 M urea gels. Individual RNA species were excised from gels and eluted overnight in 450 μL of elution buffer containing 0.3 M NaOAc, pH 5, 2 mM EDTA, and 0.1% SDS. Following phenol-chloroform extraction and EtOH precipitation, RNA was dissolved in 7 μL of annealing buffer, containing 32P-labeled oligonucleotide A6 or A7, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 75 mM KCl, and 3 mM MgCl2, and incubated at 100°C for 2 min, then 45°C for 5 min, and then mixed with 3 μL of a solution containing 1 unit Rnasin, 50 units SuperScript III reverse transcriptase, and 33 mM DTT, and incubated for 1 h. Extension products were analyzed by electrophoresis on 12% polyacrylamide/8 M urea gels.

Sequencing of the splice junction

For sequence analysis of the splice junction, DNA fragments were generated by RT-PCR using primers A1 and A2 for the mRNA spliced junction and primers A1 and A5 for the 3′ splice site, and cloned into plasmid vector pGEM-T.
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