A meta-analysis of ozone effect on tooth bleaching
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This systematic review assessed the effectiveness of ozone (O₃) in the color change of in-office tooth bleaching in vital teeth (TB) and the sensitivity control. Only randomized controlled clinical trials were included. Seven databases were used as primary search sources, and three additional sources were searched to capture the "grey literature" partially. The JBI tool was used to assess the risk of bias. TB was assessed using the ΔELab color change metric comparing tooth color pre- and post-bleaching. We meta-analyzed the ΔELab estimates per method and calculated the absolute standardized mean difference using random-effect models. The GRADE approach assessed the certainty of the evidence. The ΔELab estimates ranged from 1.28 when the O₃ was used alone to 6.93 when combined with hydrogen peroxide (HP). Two studies compared O₃ and HP alone, but their TB was similar (SMD = −0.02; 95%CI: −0.54; 0.49). The bleaching effectiveness for the combination of O₃ + HP compared to HP was similar (SMD = 0.38; 95%CI: −0.04; 0.81). Thus, based on the available literature, our findings suggest that O₃ is not superior to the conventional technique using HP on the change of tooth color. The O₃ did not present sensitivity when used alone. When O₃ was used in combination with HP, patients reported hypersensitivity only when O₃ was applied before HP, i.e., no sensitivity was perceived when O₃ was applied after HP.

Tooth bleaching of vital teeth has become popular over the last decades despite the adverse effects associated with the procedure, such as tooth sensitivity¹–⁵, gingival irritation¹⁶–¹⁹, inflammatory response of the pulp tissue¹⁰–¹³, reduction of the metabolism and cell viability¹⁴, changes in vascular permeability¹⁵, increased marginal micro infiltration in the tooth/restoration interface, and microhardness reduction of restorative materials¹⁶. Besides these adverse effects, studies have shown that the chemical components of bleaching gels may have cytotoxic and carcinogenic effects²,¹⁷.

The most common adverse effect after tooth bleaching therapy is tooth sensitivity, with a mean prevalence of 70% in patients during and after the procedure⁵. Such sensitivity may be related to the use of bleaching gels, which are made of hydrogen peroxide (HP)¹,²,⁶,¹². This material has low molecular weight and can spread through enamel and dentin, promoting tooth bleaching but potentially damaging pulp cells¹¹. The free radicals formed by the dissociation of HP are mainly responsible for the toxicity of this compound because its oxidative reactions may cause damage to odontoblasts and decrease their metabolic activity²,³,⁵.

Ozone (O₃) is a natural gas formed by three oxygen atoms, and it has been used for medical therapies since World War I¹⁸,¹⁹. Currently, health professionals use ozone therapy²⁰ for the treatment of several pathologies due to its high oxidation power, immune response, circulatory stimulation, analgesic and anti-inflammatory properties, and parasitological effect²¹–²³. In dentistry, O₃ effectively controls infections caused by viruses, protozoa, fungi, and bacteria²¹,²³,²⁴. Moreover, it seems to promote tissue repair and healing processes²⁴, prevention of dental caries²⁵–²⁷, remineralization of the tooth surface²⁵,²⁷, treatment of oral ulcers²⁷, treatment of gingivitis and periodontitis²⁸, pain control²⁴,²⁵,²⁸, endodontic treatment²⁹, halitosis¹⁹,²¹, temporomandibular disorders²⁰,²¹, complementary treatment of non-curious cervical lesions and tooth sensitivity²⁸–³⁰, and tooth bleaching²⁸–³².

Using O₃ for tooth bleaching is safe in conditions in which diffusion is an important factor, such as in hard dental tissues, as it works on their organic substances and can be used, for instance, to reduce tetracycline staining²⁸. However, the effectiveness of ozone therapy in tooth bleaching may depend on the application time, bleaching gel concentration, and gas flow rate³¹. There is still no consensus in the literature on the best usage protocol for O₃ and HP for tooth bleaching. Thus, this systematic review aims to evaluate whether O₃ can improve the clinical performance of tooth bleaching in vital teeth. The authors worked with the following hypotheses: (1)
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O₃ can promote color change in tooth bleaching better than HP, (2) O₃ associated with HP accelerates the effect of color change in tooth bleaching, and (3) O₃ reduces tooth sensitivity caused by tooth bleaching.

**Methods**

**Protocol and registration.** This systematic review followed the recommendations listed in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols (PRISMA)³⁴ and the Cochrane guidelines³⁵. The protocol of this systematic review was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO), under number CRD42018099190 (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/).

**Study design and eligibility criteria.** The systematic review aimed to follow the following guiding question, based on the PICO strategy: Do patients treated with tooth bleaching in vital teeth (patients) with ozone therapy (intervention) have improved clinical results of color change and tooth sensitivity (outcome) when compared to the conventional treatment with HP (control)?

Only randomized clinical trials (RCTs) reporting the use of O₃ alone or combined with HP gel as one of their study groups for tooth bleaching were included. There was no restriction of year, language, and publication status.

The exclusion criteria were: 1) studies not related to the topic; 2) reviews, observational studies, letters to the editor/editorials, personal opinions, books/book chapters, reports, conference abstracts, and theses; 3) laboratory studies; and 4) case reports and case series.

**Sources of information, search and study selection.** Cochrane, Embase, LILACS, PubMed, SciELO, Scopus, and Web of Science were the primary databases used for searching the studies. The OATD, OpenThesis, and OpenGrey databases were used to partially capture the “grey literature”. The Medical Subject Headings (MeSH), Health Sciences Descriptors (DeCS), and Embase Subject Headings (Emtree) resources were used for selecting the keywords. The Boolean operators “AND” and “OR” were used to enhance the research strategy through several combinations (Table 1). A manual search was also performed through a systematized analysis of the references of the studies that had previously achieved the eligibility step. The search was performed in May 2020. The results obtained from the primary databases were initially exported to EndNote Web (Clarivate Analytics, Philadelphia, USA), excluding the duplicates. Then, they were exported to Microsoft Word (Microsoft Ltd, Washington, USA) as well as the results obtained in the grey literature, in which the remaining duplicates were removed manually.

Before selecting the studies, a calibration exercise was performed among the reviewers. Subsequently, exclusion by titles (first phase), by abstracts (second phase), and by reading the full articles (third phase) was performed. All phases were independently evaluated by two evaluators (LD and MDMAC), and, in case of doubt or disagreement, a third evaluator (LRP) was always consulted to make a final decision.

**Data collection.** Prior to data extraction, both reviewers (LD and MDMAC) were calibrated by extracting the data from one article and comparing it with the third reviewer, with expertise in dental bleaching and systematic reviews. The reviewers extracted the following information: identification of the study (author, year, location), sample characteristics (number of patients, distribution by sex, and average age), characteristics of sample collection and processing (groups, materials used, application time and follow-up, teeth assessed), specific results: quantification of 1) color change using ΔE₇₆ₑ Lab, CIELab (a, b, and L) and 2) dentin sensitivity using the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS). We evaluated whether the studies respected the ethical criteria for the research development according to the current law in the countries of origin, whether the previous signature of the consent form was collected, whether the CONSORT was used as a guideline, and whether the studies were registered in databases of clinical trials. Lastly, the analysis and the results (bleaching effectiveness, O₃ effectiveness in bleaching, O₃ influence on sensitivity) were analyzed. In case of doubt regarding the data presented in the results of the studies, the authors were contacted.

**Risk of individual bias of the studies.** The JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis³⁶ (LD and MDMAC) assessed each domain independently regarding the potential risk of bias, as recommended by the PRISMA statement³⁴.

Each study was categorized according to the percentage of positive answers to the questions. The risk of bias was considered “High” when the study obtained 49% or less “yes” answers, “Moderate” when the study obtained 50% to 69% of “yes” answers, and “Low” when the study reached more than 70% of “yes” score.

**Summary measures and meta-analysis.** In order to assess bleaching effectiveness, the CIE₇₆ₑ Lab (L, a, b) system for measuring color difference was explored. From these data, the delta E (ΔEₑ Lab), which measures the color change between the pre- and post-bleaching periods for all bleaching methods, was calculated. As some studies did not provide the ΔEₑ Lab calculation, the estimate was calculated by the CIE76 formula: ΔEₑ Lab = \sqrt{ΔL^2 + Δa^2 + Δb^2}.

A meta-analysis with a random-effects model was performed using the Stata 16.0 software (StataCorp., College Station, TX, USA). The ΔEₑ Lab estimates from the different methods were compared by absolute standardized mean differences (SMD) to compare the bleaching effectiveness. We did not meta-analyzed the VAS measures since only one study²⁸ would be eligible, since the remaining studies²⁸,³⁰ did not show any variability on the VAS scale comparing comparing the pre- and post-bleaching periods.
| Database | Search strategy (May, 2020) |
|----------|----------------------------|
| PubMed   | (>"Bleaching, Tooth" OR "Teeth Whitening" OR "Hypersensitivity" OR "Whitening, Teeth" OR "Dentin Sensitivity" OR "Tooth Whitening" OR "Whitening, Tooth" OR "Teeth Bleaching" OR "Bleaching, Teeth" OR "Agents, Teeth Bleaching" OR "Colour Change" OR "Bleaching Agents, Tooth" OR "Teeth Whitening Agents" OR "Agents, Teeth Whitening" OR "Whitening Agents, Teeth" OR "Tooth Whitening Agents" OR "Agents, Tooth Whitening" OR "Whitening Agents, Tooth" OR "Teeth Bleaching Agents" OR "Agents, Teeth Bleaching" OR "Bleaching Agents, Tooth" OR "Agents, Bleaching" OR "Whitening Agents" OR "Agents, Whitening" OR "Sensitivity") AND ("Ozone" OR "Ozonotherapy" OR "Ozone Therapy" OR "O3")) |
| Scopus   | (TITLE-ABS-KEY (("Tooth Whitening" OR "Sensitivity" OR "Teeth Whitening Agents" OR "Teeth Bleaching" OR "Hypersensitivity" OR "Tooth Whitening Agents" OR "Agent, Color Change" OR "Dentin Sensitivity" OR "Bleaching Agents") OR TITLE-ABS-KEY (("Bleaching Agents, Tooth" OR "Teeth Whitening" OR "Ozone" OR "Ozonotherapy") AND (db:"LILACS")) AND (db:"LILACS")) AND (db:"LILACS")) AND (db:"LILACS")) |
| LILACS   | tw("Bleaching, Tooth" OR "Teeth Whitening") AND ("Ozone" OR "Ozonotherapy") AND (db:"LILACS")) AND (db:"LILACS")) AND (db:"LILACS")) AND (db:"LILACS")) |
| Scielo   | Bleaching, Tooth AND Ozone Whitening Agents AND Ozone Agents, Whitening AND Ozone Bleaching Agents, Teeth AND Ozone Agents, Tooth Whitening AND Ozone Sensitivity AND Ozone Color Change AND Ozone Hypersensitivity AND Ozone Dentin Sensitivity AND Ozone Tooth Whitening Agents AND Ozone Bleaching Agents, Tooth AND Ozone Teeth Whitening Agents AND Ozone Bleaching Teeth AND Ozone Teeth Bleaching AND Ozone Teeth Whitening AND Ozone Therapy Whitening Teeth AND Ozone Therapy Whitening Tooth AND Ozone Blanqueamiento de dientes AND Ozone [Spain] Blanqueadores AND Ozone [Spain] Blanqueadores dentales AND Ozone [Spain] Blanqueo de Diente AND Ozone [Spain] Blanqueo de Dientes AND Ozone [Spain] Sensibilidad AND Ozone [Spain] Hipersensibilidad AND Ozone [Spain] Sensibilidad a la Dentina AND Ozone [Spain] Agentes Blanqueadores Dentales AND Ozone [Spain] |
were treated with O3 associated with HP. From all patients, 77 were women, and 52 were men. The age of the
formed in Jordan28–30 and Turkey32. All studies28–30,32 respected the ethical criteria for research development
initial (before bleaching started) 28–30,32, after bleaching (24 h) 28–30, and immediately after bleaching and 48 h

Table 1. Strategies for database search.

| Database                                      | Search strategy (May, 2020)                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Embase http://www.embase.com                  | (‘bleaching, tooth’ OR ‘teeth whitening’ OR ‘hypersensitivity’ OR ‘whitening, teeth’ OR ‘dentin sensitivity’ OR ‘tooth whitening’ OR ‘whitening, tooth’ OR ‘teeth bleaching’ OR ‘bleaching, teeth’ OR ‘agents, tooth bleaching’ OR ‘color change’ OR ‘bleaching agents, tooth’ OR ‘teeth whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, teeth whitening’ OR ‘whitening agents, teeth’ OR ‘tooth whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, tooth whitening’ OR ‘whitening agents, tooth’ OR ‘teeth bleaching agents’ OR ‘agents, teeth bleaching’ OR ‘agents, bleaching’ OR ‘whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, whitening’ OR ‘sensitivity’) AND (‘ozone’ OR ‘ozonotherapy’ OR ‘ozone therapy’ OR ‘o3’)) |
| Web Of Science http://apps.webofknowledge.com/ | (‘bleaching, tooth’ OR ‘teeth whitening’ OR ‘hypersensitivity’ OR ‘whitening, teeth’ OR ‘dentin sensitivity’ OR ‘tooth whitening’ OR ‘whitening, tooth’ OR ‘teeth bleaching’ OR ‘bleaching, teeth’ OR ‘agents, tooth bleaching’ OR ‘color change’ OR ‘bleaching agents, tooth’ OR ‘teeth whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, tooth whitening’ OR ‘whitening agents, teeth’ OR ‘tooth whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, tooth bleaching’ OR ‘agents, teeth bleaching’ OR ‘agents, bleaching’ OR ‘whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, whitening’ OR ‘sensitivity’) AND (‘ozone’ OR ‘ozonotherapy’ OR ‘ozone therapy’ OR ‘o3’)) |
| Cochrane https://www.cochranelibrary.com/search | (‘bleaching, tooth’ OR ‘teeth whitening’ OR ‘hypersensitivity’ OR ‘whitening, teeth’ OR ‘dentin sensitivity’ OR ‘tooth whitening’ OR ‘whitening, tooth’ OR ‘teeth bleaching’ OR ‘bleaching, teeth’ OR ‘agents, tooth bleaching’ OR ‘color change’ OR ‘bleaching agents, tooth’ OR ‘teeth whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, tooth whitening’ OR ‘whitening agents, teeth’ OR ‘tooth whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, tooth bleaching’ OR ‘agents, teeth bleaching’ OR ‘agents, bleaching’ OR ‘whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, whitening’ OR ‘sensitivity’) AND (‘ozone’ OR ‘ozonotherapy’ OR ‘ozone therapy’ OR ‘o3’)) |
| OpenGrey http://www.opengrey.eu/               | (‘bleaching, tooth’ OR ‘teeth whitening’ OR ‘hypersensitivity’ OR ‘whitening, teeth’ OR ‘dentin sensitivity’ OR ‘tooth whitening’ OR ‘whitening, tooth’ OR ‘teeth bleaching’ OR ‘bleaching, teeth’ OR ‘agents, tooth bleaching’ OR ‘color change’ OR ‘bleaching agents, tooth’ OR ‘teeth whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, tooth whitening’ OR ‘whitening agents, teeth’ OR ‘tooth whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, tooth bleaching’ OR ‘agents, teeth bleaching’ OR ‘agents, bleaching’ OR ‘whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, whitening’ OR ‘sensitivity’) AND (‘ozone’ OR ‘ozonotherapy’ OR ‘ozone therapy’ OR ‘o3’)) |
| OpenThesis http://www.openthesis.org/          | (‘bleaching, tooth’ OR ‘teeth whitening’ OR ‘hypersensitivity’ OR ‘whitening, teeth’ OR ‘dentin sensitivity’ OR ‘tooth whitening’ OR ‘whitening, tooth’ OR ‘teeth bleaching’ OR ‘bleaching, teeth’ OR ‘agents, tooth bleaching’ OR ‘color change’ OR ‘bleaching agents, tooth’ OR ‘teeth whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, tooth whitening’ OR ‘whitening agents, teeth’ OR ‘tooth whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, tooth bleaching’ OR ‘agents, teeth bleaching’ OR ‘agents, bleaching’ OR ‘whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, whitening’ OR ‘sensitivity’) AND (‘ozone’ OR ‘ozonotherapy’ OR ‘ozone therapy’ OR ‘o3’)) AND (‘clinical trials’ OR ‘clinical studies’ OR ‘clinical investigation’ OR ‘clinical research’ OR ‘clinical evidence’)) |
| Open Access Theses and Dissertations (OATD) https://oatd.org/ | (‘bleaching, tooth’ OR ‘teeth whitening’ OR ‘hypersensitivity’ OR ‘whitening, teeth’ OR ‘dentin sensitivity’ OR ‘tooth whitening’ OR ‘whitening, tooth’ OR ‘teeth bleaching’ OR ‘bleaching, teeth’ OR ‘agents, tooth bleaching’ OR ‘color change’ OR ‘bleaching agents, tooth’ OR ‘teeth whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, tooth whitening’ OR ‘whitening agents, teeth’ OR ‘tooth whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, tooth bleaching’ OR ‘agents, teeth bleaching’ OR ‘agents, bleaching’ OR ‘whitening agents’ OR ‘agents, whitening’ OR ‘sensitivity’) AND (‘ozone’ OR ‘ozonotherapy’ OR ‘ozone therapy’ OR ‘o3’)) |

Certainty of evidence collection. The certainty of evidence and strength of recommendation were assessed with the Grading of Recommendation, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) tool. The GRADE pro-GDT software (http://gdt.guidelinedevelopment.org) was used for summarizing the results. This assessment was based on study design, methodological limitations, inconsistency, indirect evidence, imprecision, and other considerations. The quality of evidence was characterized as high, moderate, low, or very low.

Results
Study selection. A total of 12,703 results were found in ten electronic databases, including “gray literature”, in the first phase of the study selection. After analysis, only 17 studies were eligible for full-text analysis. The references of the 17 potentially eligible studies were evaluated, and no additional articles were selected. After reading the entire text, 13 studies did not meet the inclusion criteria and were eliminated: twelve were literary reviews, and one was a congress summary. Thus, four studies were included in this review (Fig. 1).

Characteristics of eligible studies. The studies were published between 2016 and 2018 and were performed in Jordan28–30 and Turkey32. All studies28–30,32 respected the ethical criteria for research development recommended in each country of origin, applying a consent form for all volunteers participating in the study. Only one study32 mentioned using the CONSORT as a guideline, and none of the studies clarified whether they were registered in clinical trial databases.

The total sample included 129 patients treated with tooth bleaching, with 57 in the control group treated with 38% HP and 72 treated with bleaching with O3. From the latter, 29 were treated with O3 alone, while 43 were treated with O3 associated with HP. From all patients, 77 were women, and 52 were men. The age of the patients in each study ranged between 24 and 50 years, 20 to 35 years28, 20 to 35 years29, and 19 and 33 years30. All studies used methods of color analysis, as follows: Spectrophotometer32, Colorimeter Konica28–30, and Vita Classical28–30. The color assessment was registered only in the maxillary dental arch at the following times: initial (before bleaching started)28–30, after bleaching (24 h)28–30, and immediately after bleaching and 48 h later32. Table 2 shows detailed characteristics of the eligible studies.
Risk of individual bias of the studies. Two eligible studies28,32 had a “moderate” risk of bias or methodological quality while two studies28,30 “low” risk of bias. Table 3 shows detailed information on the risk of bias of the studies included. Item 1 was marked as “Unclear” in two studies because the randomization method was not explicit28,29. Item 2 was marked as “Unclear” in one study because it did not describe the steps followed for hiding the sequence until attributing the interventions28, and marked as “No” in three studies28,30,32 because randomization was not explained. As for item 3, two studies were marked as “No” because they did not describe the baseline28,32. In item 4, two studies did not inform about participants blinding9,32. All four studies were marked as “No” in item 5 because they did not blind the operator28–30,32. In item 6, only one study was marked as “No” because it did not blind the evaluator from the result32. All studies were marked as “Not applicable” in item 9 because there was no participant dropout and the follow-up time was rather short28–30,32.

Specific results of the eligible studies. One of the studies assessed the result of color change in tooth bleaching immediately after applying the products and 48 h later32, while the remaining studies performed this assessment 24 h after the procedure26–30. These three studies also measured tooth sensitivity after bleaching28–30. In all studies and all experimental groups, the results of color change in tooth bleaching were positive for whitening the teeth, changing the initial color. Bleaching with O₃ presented statistically similar results to the groups using HP in the studies28–30.

Bleaching with HP (control group) induced tooth sensitivity in all studies analyzed, and ozone therapy applied alone or after the use of HP was able to eliminate the painful symptomatology and reduce the time of gel application without changing bleaching effectiveness. The ΔE⁰¹⁰ values was pre-informed in only one study32 and calculated for the others using the CIE76 formula, as mentioned by Gaurav37.

Synthesis of results and meta-analysis. Table 4 shows the results of color change and tooth sensitivity for each study. Although all groups achieved positive ΔE⁰¹⁰ estimates, indicating effective bleaching, there was high variability between study results. The ΔE⁰¹⁰ estimates ranged from 1.28 when the ozone therapy was used alone to 6.93 when combined with HP.

Figure 2 shows the comparison between the bleaching effectiveness of ozone therapy and HP alone. Only two studies compared these agents, which achieved a similar bleaching effectiveness (SMD = − 0.02; 95% CI: − 0.54; 0.49). On a similar note, comparing the effectiveness of O₃ and HP combined to HP alone showed that bleaching effectiveness was also similar between the techniques (SMD = 0.38; 95% CI: − 0.04; 0.81) (Fig. 3).

Regarding tooth sensitivity, ΔVAS scores comparing pre- and post-bleaching periods ranged from 0.0 to 3.2. The highest sensitivity score among all studies (ΔVAS = 3.20) was reported in the group treated with O₃ followed by HP. Two other studies using a similar combination but applying HP before the ozone reported no tooth sensitivity (Table 4).

Certainty of evidence. The GRADE tool assessed two outcomes (Bleaching effectiveness—O₃ vs. H₂O₂ and Bleaching effectiveness O₃ + H₂O₂ vs. H₂O₂). All outcomes were categorized as a very low level of certainty, which means the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimated effect. The two outcomes were downgraded in two levels due to risk of bias (limitations in randomization and blindness), imprecision (wide credible intervals and a low number of participants), and publication bias (three out of four articles were performed by the same research group). Table 5 shows more details for each outcome.

Discussion

This study aimed to assess the effect of O₃ on color change in tooth bleaching alone and combined with the HP-based bleaching gel, and reduction of tooth sensitivity from the bleaching process in vital teeth. The hypothesis is O₃ is more effective in the color change in tooth bleaching than HP was rejected, considering that the results based bleaching gel, and reduction of tooth sensitivity from the bleaching process in vital teeth. The hypothesis suggested that HP might whiten normal dentin by oxidizing the benzene ring of aromatic amino acids in dentin phosphoprotein (DPP), which is the main non-collagenous protein located in the organic–inorganic interface and responsible for the fluorescence and color of normal dentin96. Moreover, HP can change the translucency property of enamel that became slightly opaquer after bleaching. The O₃ is an unstable gas that rapidly releases nascent oxygen molecules to form oxygen. Additionally, O₃ can oxidize the components responsible for tooth discoloration, as chromophore groups may be broken by ozone, forming smaller molecules and resulting in a tooth bleaching effect by one of three mechanisms (bonding mechanism, substitution mechanism, or cleavage mechanism)28,29. Both mechanisms seem to have similar bleaching effectiveness, as observed in all studies, because there was no statistical difference between the bleaching techniques and protocols used.
The second hypothesis of the study was rejected. The association of O₃ with HP does not potentiate the bleaching effect of HP. Although the highest ΔE₅₄₅ values were observed in the groups with such association (6.93, 5.85, 5.3), they were not statistically significant in none of the eligible studies. Thus, although O₃ immediately provides a high amount of OH and O* compounds, such an amount cannot increase the bleaching effect with HP. It is worth noting that the decomposition of HP is slow, so its effectiveness becomes more evident for the in-office technique when at least two clinical sessions are performed. The four eligible studies showed that the in-office technique was performed in a single session, showing effective results and clinically perceptible ΔE₅₄₅.
Table 2. Summary of the main characteristics of the eligible studies. *n.r.* not reported or not applicable; $\Delta E$ color change variation according to the CIELAB system; $\Delta L$ variation of the black/white matrix axis in the CIELAB system; $\Delta a$ variation of the red/green matrix axis in the CIELAB system; $\Delta b$ variation of the yellow/blue matrix axis in the CIELAB system; VAS Visual Analogue Scale designed as a 10-cm horizontal line with the words "no pain" in one end and "worst pain" in the opposite end; O3: ozone; H2O2: hydrogen peroxide.

| Authors (Year) | Location | Method of color analysis | Average age of the individual and standard deviation (years) | Number of individuals eligible for analyses (% male) | Groups/materials | Result assessed | Color change | Tooth sensitivity | Follow-up | Effect of ozone on bleaching | Effect of ozone on sensitivity |
|----------------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Al-Omiri et al. (2016) | Amman, Jordan | Colorimeter Konica-Minolta CR-400 (Minolta Inc, Osaka, Japan) | $27 \pm 5$ | $26 (50)$ | $I—H_2O_2, 38\%$ (20 min) + $O_3, 38\%$ (60 s) II—$H_2O_2, 38\%$ (20 min) | A3 or darker/anterior teeth | Vita Classic L, $\Delta L, \Delta a, \Delta b$ | VAS | 24 h after bleaching | VAS | VAS positive |
| Aykut-Y etkiner et al. (2017) | Izmir, Turkey | Spectrophotometer (Vita Easyshade, Vident, Brea, CA, USA) | $36.2 \pm 8.7$ | $26 (7.7)$ | $I—H_2O_2, 40\%$ (40 min) II—$O_3, 40\%$ (40 min) | n.r./upper incisors | $\Delta E, \Delta L, \Delta a, \Delta b$ | Not applicable | Immediately and 48 h after bleaching | Positive | Not applicable |
| Al-Omiri et al. (2018) | Amman, Jordan | Colorimeter Konica-Minolta CR-400 (Minolta Inc, Osaka, Japan) | $25 \pm 4$ | $45 (46.7)$ | $I—O_3, 60\%$ (60 s) + $H_2O_2, 38\%$ (20 min) II—$H_2O_2, 38\%$ (20 min) + $O_3, 38\%$ (60 s) III—$H_2O_2, 38\%$ (20 min) | A3 or darker/anterior teeth | Vita Classic L, $\Delta L, \Delta a, \Delta b$ | VAS | 24 h after bleaching | Group I—no | Group II—positive |
| Al-Omiri and others (2018) | Amman, Jordan | Colorimeter Konica-Minolta CR-400 (Minolta Inc, Osaka, Japan) | $23 \pm 5$ | $32 (50)$ | $I—O_3, 60\%$ (60 s) II—$H_2O_2, 38\%$ (20 min) | n.r./anterier teeth | Vita Classic L, $\Delta L, \Delta a, \Delta b$ | VAS | 24 h after bleaching | Positive | Positive |

Table 3. Risk of bias assessed by the JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. The risk of bias was classified as high when the study reached up to 49% of "yes" score, moderate when the study reached from 50 to 69% of "yes" score, and low when the study reached more than 70% of "yes" score. Q.1—Was true randomization used for assigning the participants to treatment groups? Q.2—Was allocation to groups concealed? Q.3—Were treatment groups similar at the baseline? Q.4—Were participants blind to treatment assignment? Q.5—Were those delivering treatment blind to treatment assignment? Q.6—Were outcome evaluators blind to treatment assignment? Q.7—Were treatment groups treated identically other than the intervention of interest? Q.8—Was follow-up complete and, if not, were differences between groups in terms of their follow-up adequately described and analyzed? Q.9—Were participants analyzed in the groups to which they were randomized? Q.10—Were outcomes measured equally for treatment groups? Q.11—Were outcomes measured in a reliable way? Q.12—Was appropriate statistical analysis used? Q.13—Was the trial design appropriate for the topic and were any deviations from the standard RCT design considered in the conduct and analysis? / $\sqrt{\text{Yes}}$; – No; U Unclear; N/A not applicable.

| Authors (Year) | Q.1 | Q.2 | Q.3 | Q.4 | Q.5 | Q.6 | Q.7 | Q.8 | Q.9 | Q.10 | Q.11 | Q.12 | Q.13 | % yes/risk |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----------|
| Al-Omiri et al. (2016) | $\sqrt{\text{U}}$ | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | 75%/low |
| Aykut-Y etkiner et al. (2017) | $\sqrt{\text{U}}$ | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | 50%/ moderate |
| Al-Omiri et al. (2018) | $\sqrt{\text{V}}$ | $\sqrt{\text{U}}$ | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | 83%/low |
| Al-Omiri and others (2018) | $\sqrt{\text{V}}$ | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | 66%/moderate |

Values. However, the follow-ups were performed in a short time (immediate and 24 and 48 h), which complicates the analysis of the rebound effect that might show a different response from that obtained in the studies.

Another factor worth mentioning is that three of the eligible studies used HP for 20 min, which is different from the manufacturer's recommendation, and they still obtained acceptable values (3.41, 3.08, 3.15) of color change. Perhaps further studies may be performed to verify whether this reduction in application time might result in bleaching ability similar to the time indicated by the manufacturers, which is usually twice the one used in the eligible studies. The reduction of application time would be an important factor that could...
Table 4. Color difference results of the eligible studies and dentin sensitivity. *n.r.* not reported; \( \Delta \text{E} \) color change variation according to the CIELAB system; \( \Delta L \) variation of the black/white matrix axis in the CIELAB system; \( \Delta a \) variation of the red/green matrix axis in the CIELAB system; \( \Delta b \) variation of the yellow/blue matrix axis in the CIELAB system; VAS Visual Analogue Scale designed as a 10-cm horizontal line with the words “no pain” in one end and “worst pain” in the opposite end; \( O_3 \) ozone; \( H_2O_2 \) Hydrogen peroxide.

| Author (year) | Groups | N  | \( \Delta L \)  | \( \Delta a \)  | \( \Delta b \)  | \( \Delta \text{E} \)  | \( \Delta \text{VAS} \)  |
|---------------|--------|----|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Al-Omiri et al. (2016) | \( H_2O_2 \) 38% (20 min) + \( O_3 \) (60 s) | 13 | 4.70 (1.76) | −1.50 (0.83) | −4.86 (1.63) | 6.93 (5.97) | 0.00 (0.00) |
|                | \( H_2O_2 \) 38% (20 min) | 13 | 1.78 (2.27) | −0.73 (0.96) | −2.81 (2.28) | 3.41 (8.05) | 1.72 (0.50) |
| Aykut-Yetkiner et al. (2017) | \( O_3 \) (40 min) | 13 | 0.82 (1.72) | 0.22 (0.38) | 1.43 (1.50) | 1.66 (5.40) | n.r. |
|                | \( O_3 \) (20 min) + \( O_3 \) (60 s) | 13 | 0.57 (1.92) | 0.24 (0.71) | 1.12 (2.85) | 1.28 (8.42) | n.r. |
| Al-Omiri et al. (2018) | \( O_3 \) (60 s) + \( H_2O_2 \) 38% (20 min) | 15 | 3.42 (1.82) | −0.31 (0.82) | −4.73 (1.56) | 5.85 (5.96) | 3.20 (0.57) |
|                | \( H_2O_2 \) 38% (20 min) + \( O_3 \) (60 s) | 15 | 3.08 (2.15) | −0.65 (1.02) | 4.27 (2.18) | 5.30 (7.71) | 0.00 (0.00) |
|                | \( H_2O_2 \) 38% (20 min) | 15 | 1.45 (2.09) | −0.54 (0.96) | −2.66 (2.43) | 3.08 (8.01) | 1.60 (0.46) |
| Al-Omiri and others (2018) | \( O_3 \) (60 s) | 16 | 1.38 (1.87) | −0.55 (0.85) | −2.82 (1.57) | 3.19 (6.09) | 0.00 (0.00) |
|                | \( O_3 \) (20 min) | 16 | 1.62 (2.00) | −0.61 (0.92) | −2.63 (2.34) | 3.15 (7.68) | 1.31 (0.40) |

Figure 2. Forest plot of color change comparing group \( O_3 \) to group HP.

Figure 3. Forest plot of color change comparing group HP + \( O_3 \) to group HP.
reduce total chair time and the risk and intensity of tooth sensitivity because bleaching-induced damage of the dental tissue is cumulative and proportional to the amount of HP that reaches the pulp. The study that used O₃ before HP showed a perceptible increase in pain sensitivity after bleaching compared with the control group, which leads to the perception that the previous use of O₃ would both intensify the oxidative power of the gel and increase its diffusion power through the dental tissues, causing pain. Tooth sensitivity is caused by the increase in tooth permeability, changing hydraulic conductance, and dentin intratubular fluid movement, thus providing greater contact between bleaching agents and odontoblastic extensions and pulp tissue, intensifying and providing sensitivity. Two studies described lower sensitivity for the group treated with HP followed by O₃, while another study described higher sensitivity for the group treated with O₃ followed by HP. Thus, the order in which the products are applied might be relevant for preventing teeth sensitivity during the bleaching process.

These same studies also show that the use of O₃ alone does not cause tooth sensitivity as a side effect of whitening and that O₃ associated and used after HP was effective in preventing such an uncomfortable side effect when using PH in high concentrations. This confirms the third and last hypothesis. This factor can be explained by the anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and analgesic properties of O₃, which potentially restrict the inflammatory pathways. It has been known that O₃ is able to neutralize the neurochemical mediators related to pain sensitivity, to inactivate cyclooxygenase by reducing the release of prostaglandins, and to facilitate the metabolization and elimination of inflammatory mediators.

The side effects resulting from the use of bleaching gels show the need for alternatives that are more biologically compatible with tooth bleaching treatment. Studies reported that the deleterious effects to the dental pulp affected by technique protocol, gel concentration, and secondary components of the bleaching gel formula existent in the commercial product, such as stabilizers, thickeners, dyes, preservatives, and even gel viscosity that reaches the dental pulp might be responsible for affecting the level of diffusion and/or cytotoxicity. The manufacturers neither describe nor provide such products.

Our study is not free of limitations, which include some studies performed by the same author, the limited number of RCTs in the literature, the short follow-up period, and the small number of participants per group in the eligible studies. Further studies with a higher number of participants ought to be performed, considering the extensive variability in the ΔELab results between the groups. Another factor would be the follow-up time, as studies with longer follow-up time would be more interesting, considering there is a difference in the behavior of the values presented in the short and long terms (rebound effect) for the different products in several studies. The standardization of time of ozone use is also something to consider because the studies presented different usage periods, ranging from 1 to 40 min, without showing differences for the bleaching effect. The last limitation is related to the parameters of color assessment used in the studies because there are current assessment criteria such as WI and ΔE00 that are already established in the literature and considered more perceptive clinically. Such parameters would be ideal to complement the results found in this review, but they could not be calculated because one of the eligible studies did not present isolated L, a, and b values, and they were not even provided by the authors after being contacted via e-mail.

One aspect for consideration in the use of ozone therapy is the need for a financial investment to acquire the ozone generating equipment and the need for caution in handling due to the toxicity of the gas in the respiratory system, which requires technical training before use. However, the equipment would have other clinical
uses\textsuperscript{18,20–27} that are not highlighted in this review. The machine allows ozonizing liquids such as water and serum for use in dental procedures, as well as oil\textsuperscript{18,27}. During bleaching, although O\textsubscript{3} did not potentiate the use of HP, it was able to reduce tooth sensitivity to zero, which is one of the greatest challenges and side effects of the technique with HP. Considering such properties and clinical findings for ozone, studies directed to patients presenting clinical conditions considered limiting to conventional tooth bleaching, such as tetracycline staining, tooth sensitivity, and presence of non-carious cervical lesions (NCCL), would be relevant, thus observing their effectiveness and therapeutic clinical response.

Certainty of evidence and clinical implications. The evidence obtained with this systematic review and meta-analysis was classified as a very low certainty. This result may be explained mainly because of the lack of studies in the literature assessing the use of ozone for bleaching vital teeth. The imprescription found in the pooled estimates reflects the lack of available literature, as the number of participants included in the meta-analysis is one of the factors affecting the confidence interval of the pooled estimates. Moreover, three of the four included studies were published by the same group of researchers (potential risk of publication bias), showing the lack of studies on the topic in other locations in the world. In this context, one way to expand the certainty in estimates regarding the applicability of ozone for vital teeth bleaching is to perform further studies with a higher number of participants by different research groups that comprise different samples.

Other factors that contributed to downgrading the certainty of evidence were methodological limitations and inconsistency among the studies. As in other complementary therapies such as laser therapy, there is still no consensus regarding the optimal protocol for using ozone therapy to bleaching of vital teeth. As a consequence of such a de-standardization, the estimates of the effect of the studies were conflicting. Thus, further studies should establish a protocol of ozone application with strict and adequate methodologies.

Based on the current evidence, the strength of clinical recommendation for the use of ozone therapy for bleaching vital teeth is weak in favor of intervention. This recommendation was based on three main aspects: (1) The low certainty of evidence; (2) The effect estimates of effect found in the meta-analysis were not superior to ozone therapy for any of the outcomes; 3) The cost and investment required for the clinical use of ozone therapy.

Based on limited evidence, the use of O\textsubscript{3} (alone or associated) was not superior to the conventional use of HP for the bleaching of vital teeth. Moreover, O\textsubscript{3} cannot intensify the bleaching action of HP, but it showed positive effects for sensitivity.
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