A Bidirectional Absorptive Common-Mode Filter Based on Interdigitated Microstrip Coupled Lines for 5G “Green” Communications
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ABSTRACT This paper proposes a bidirectional absorptive common-mode filter (A-CMF) for 5G “green” communication systems. The A-CMF is a balanced-to-balanced structure using interdigitated coupled lines to replace normal double parallel-coupled lines for enhanced coupling and easy manufacturing. The resistors are introduced to dissipate the common-mode (CM) noises into heat and thus to avoid the noises being reflected and still existing in the communication system. This novel A-CMF features an intrinsic CM noises absorption while maintaining its differential-mode (DM) filtering characteristics. As a proof-of-concept demonstration, one microstrip prototype is fabricated in a two-layer printed circuit board (PCB) and the measurements are consistent with the simulations. The DM signals can pass through this A-CMF without being attenuated from 1.38 GHz to 5.19 GHz but the CM noises are suppressed throughout the broad frequency range between 0.72 GHz and 8 GHz. It is worth noting that this A-CMF realizes a wide band with 90% absorption efficiency of CM noises from 2.18 GHz to 4.97 GHz.

INDEX TERMS Absorptive common-mode filter (A-CMF), common-mode (CM), differential-mode (DM), noise absorption, reflectionless filter, green communication.

I. INTRODUCTION

Compared to the current 4G network, 5G communication systems need to support higher data rates, much broader bandwidths, and massive connectivity. Thus, it’s extremely important to cope with the demands of intense user and energy consumption. Ascending “green” communication approaches not only meets the 5G standards but also benefits the environment and human health. To cater for “green” 5G implementations, numerous technologies for power allocation and energy efficient have been proposed including massive multiple input multiple output (MIMO), internet of things (IoT), ambient energy harvester (EH), and so on.

There will be a strong requirement for massive connectivity in the future IoT and massive MIMO scenarios, where the device activity patterns are typically sporadic. By utilizing compressed sensing techniques, the activities of devices can be easily detected by the base station [1]. In this way, the devices are designed being “hibernating” most of time for saving energy and operate once being activated. In most of the proposed approaches for energy efficient implementations, the main attention has been paid on optimizing the allocation. In addition, sensors forming the wireless sensing networks in the IoT are typically in very large numbers while being power hungry. Energy self-sustaining wireless sensing networks have been presented and an EH has been designed to simultaneously illuminate RFID tags with the output RF second harmonic signal and drive a RF amplifier with the output dc power [2]. Noting that it’s the first time the proposed RF EH utilizes not only the dc power but also the second harmonic signal. A wearable EH has also been reported, which can harvest high RF energy and transfer...
the “hotspots” energy into high dc voltage and power [3]. Plus, a wideband and high gain antenna has been proposed for low energy density far-field RF energy harvesting [4]. Through those energy-saving techniques, the EH “recycles” ambient RF energy and realizes energy autonomy. Therefore, noise suppression and noise absorption techniques over wide frequency ranges have attracted an increasing attention since the common-mode (CM) noises are a kind of RF energy commonly existing in communication systems.

High-frequency CM noises induce electromagnetic interference (EMI) or radio frequency interference (RFI) emission, which do great harm to the electronic systems especially when they are radiated by antennas. Thus, the noise-induced issues are a great challenge for the massive implementation of truly “green” 5G systems. That is why typical low noise amplifiers are widely researched [5], [6]. Due to the noise figure being a key performance factor for low noise amplifiers, a generalized multiband matching network has been proposed and a triband low noise amplifier has been fabricated using a novel impedance matching structure [5]. By employing a common-gate-common-source balun topology, a broadband low noise amplifier has been also put forward [6]. Furthermore, differential topologies have attracted a significant attention due to their inherent immunity to the environmental noises and electromagnetic interferences.

Many balanced RF front-end components have been introduced including balanced antennas [7], [8], balanced filters [9]–[14], and balanced power dividers [15]–[17]. By using textile substrates, a patch antenna and a low noise amplifier have been integrated into an active receiving antenna with optimized noise characteristics [7]. A self-filtering low-noise horn antenna has been introduced, which can self-filter the captured noises [8]. Based on stepped-impedance resonators (SIRs), balanced bandpass filters feature high selectivity and CM suppression by loading a capacitor or a resistor on the SIR [9]. A balanced bandpass filter has been presented with high CM suppression, tunable operating frequency, and constant bandwidth [10]. A compact differential ultra-wideband bandpass filter has been brought out with CM suppression [11]. Using dual-mode ring resonators, two balanced filters have been proposed with wideband CM suppression capabilities [12]. By introducing an embedded defected ground structure, a varactor- and stub-loaded dumbbell-shaped resonator has been used in a dual-band differential filter for CM suppression [13]. High CM suppression for a balanced dual-band bandpass filter has been acquired by using a planar via-free composite right-/left-handed resonator [14]. A planar compact single-ended-to-balanced power divider has been put up with high suppression of the CM noises [15]. Based on balanced architectures, filtering power dividers have been proposed with broadband CM suppression [16] and enhanced in-band CM suppression [17]. All mentioned balanced components above focus on the CM noise suppression. However, the suppressed CM noises are reflected and still exist in the communication system. The concept of the noise absorption is “green” and totally different from the noise suppression to an effectively complete extinction of the RF CM noise from the system. Namely, the RF CM noises are used up and no longer existing in the system. The noise absorption technique enables a new form of “green” technologies that the RF components absorb the noise and interference energy.

To address electromagnetic interference and RF interference problems in RF differential systems, several absorptive common-mode circuits have been recommended [18]–[26]. A broadband CM noise absorption circuit has been put forward using resistors for high-speed differential digital systems [18]. Afterwards, several balanced-to-balanced power dividers have been introduced with CM noise absorption characteristic based on resistors [19], [20] and mode-conversion approach [21].

As shown in Fig. 1, the reflective common-mode filter (R-CMF) is normally devised to reflect CM noises back to the previous blocks of circuits. Thus, CM noises inevitably incur RFI problems to further degrade the system performance. In order to implement energy-efficient communication systems, the absorptive common-mode filter (A-CMF) has been invented in recent years to eliminate the reflected CM noises. A planar wideband bandpass filter realizes the function of CM absorption together with the performance of bandpass filtering for the first time [22]. This A-CMF has a consistent bandwidth for both differential-mode (DM) filtering and CM absorption. Hereafter, the CM absorption efficiency at the operating frequency has been defined for the first time and 96% absorption efficiency has been reached using resistors [23]. Instead of using resistors or resistive materials, a resistor-free A-CMF was brought up later using the dielectric loss of PCB for CM noise absorption [24]. Here, a gap-coupled resonator was adopted to achieve noise absorption and was fabricated in a four-layer PCB. All mentioned techniques above realize CM noise absorption by using resistors or lossy dielectric. Nevertheless, the broadband absorption and high absorption efficiency are still very hard to obtain simultaneously. Recently, an A-CMF with a broad 95% absorption band was realized in a four-layer PCB [25]. This approach extended two absorption frequency bands into a single broad band and finally provided 95% absorption efficiency through a wide band. Subsequently, a bidirectional A-CMF was achieved by using a patterned ground structure in a two-layer PCB [26]. Moreover, 98% absorption efficiency and a broadband CM suppression were achieved.
In this paper, a bidirectional A-CMF is proposed and fabricated in a two-layer PCB using three-stage microstrip lines and four resistors. By adopting interdigitated coupled lines to replace the normal double parallel-coupled lines, the A-CMF can be easily manufactured. The introduced resistors in the symmetrical horizontal line dissipate CM noises into heat without affecting DM filtering performance. Using the even- and odd-mode design approach, the equivalent even- and odd-mode bisections and the constraint rules are obtained, while the effect of the main circuit parameters is thoroughly analyzed. Finally, the circuit prototype is simulated, fabricated, and the measured results agree well with the full wave EM simulation results. It is worth mentioning that this A-CMF realizes a wide absorption band with 90% absorption efficiency of CM noises from 2.18 GHz to 4.97 GHz.

II. PROPOSED STRUCTURE AND ANALYSIS

The 3D structure of the proposed A-CMF is exhibited in Fig. 2. It’s a four-port balanced-to-balanced differential structure, where the signals flow into the right differential input ports and flow out of the left differential output ports. The differential signals flowing into the structure is filtered but the CM noises flowing into the model is absorbed by the resistors.

A. CONCEPT OF A-CMF

For a unidirectional A-CMF, the CM scattering parameters (S-parameters) $|S_{CC11}|$ and $|S_{CC21}|$ at the operating frequency need to be zero. $|S_{CC11}|$ being zero means that the CM noises are dissipated inner the structure instead of being reflected at the input ports. $|S_{CC21}|$ being zero promises the CM noises are attenuated and failed to be transmitted. Under these requirements, resistors are necessary in the CM equivalent circuit. For a bidirectional A-CMF, an extra CM S-parameter $|S_{CC22}|$ at the operating frequency is demanded to be zero. $|S_{CC22}|$ being zero means that the CM noises are dissipated inner the structure instead of being reflected at the output ports.

In addition, the DM S-parameter $|S_{DD11}|$ need to be zero and $|S_{DD21}|$ to be 1 to protect the integrity of the DM signals from attenuation. Moreover, the CM noise absorption efficiency is defined by $1 - |S_{CC11}|^2 - |S_{CC21}|^2$, which can represent the absorption ability of the A-CMF.

B. PROPOSED STRUCTURE

The circuit structure of the novel A-CMF is indicated in Fig. 3. It is a three-stage architecture symmetric with respect to the horizontal line. The differential input ports are defined by ports 1 and 2 and the differential output ports are defined by ports 3 and 4. The first- and third-stage are comprised of coupled lines with the even- and odd-mode characteristic impedances of $Z_e$ and $Z_o$ and branch lines with the characteristic impedances of $Z_1$, $Z_2$, $Z_3$, and $Z_5$, respectively. The second middle stage is consisted of branch lines with the characteristic impedances of $Z_4$ and $Z_6$ cascaded with two resistors $R_1$ and $R_2$. Extra two grounded resistors $R$ are located at the first- and third-stage for CM noise absorption. All the electrical lengths of the microstrip lines are selected as $\theta (=90^\circ)$ at the operating frequency.

As the proposed circuit structure is a reciprocal four-port network, the mixed S-parameters matrix ($S^m$) can be represented by the single-ended S-parameters matrix ($S^{std}$) [22].

$$S^m = A S^{std} A^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-a)

$$S^m = \begin{bmatrix}
S_{DD11} & S_{DD12} & S_{DC11} & S_{DC12} \\
S_{DD21} & S_{DD22} & S_{DC21} & S_{DC22} \\
S_{CD11} & S_{CD12} & S_{CC11} & S_{CC12} \\
S_{CD21} & S_{CD22} & S_{CC21} & S_{CC22}
\end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-b)

$$A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-c)

$$S^{std} = \begin{bmatrix}
S_{11} & S_{12} & S_{13} & S_{14} \\
S_{21} & S_{22} & S_{23} & S_{24} \\
S_{31} & S_{32} & S_{33} & S_{34} \\
S_{41} & S_{42} & S_{43} & S_{44}
\end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1-d)

For an A-CMF, the CM conditions should be satisfied by the following (2). Noting that (2-a) is for bidirectional A-CMF and (2-b) is for unidirectional A-CMF. Additionally, the DM conditions are restricted by (3).

$$|S_{CC11}| = |S_{CC21}| = |S_{CC22}| = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (2-a)
The following derivations take the bidirectional A-CMF for example. Supposed that DM signals (CM noises) will not convert into CM noises (DM signals). That is,

\[ |S_{CD11}| = |S_{CD22}| = |S_{CD21}| = |S_{CD12}| = 0 \quad (4-a) \]

\[ |S_{DC11}| = |S_{DC22}| = |S_{DC21}| = |S_{DC12}| = 0 \quad (4-b) \]

Based on (2-a), (3), and (4), the S-parameters matrix of the four-port network can be simplified.

\[ S_{std} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & -S_{41} & S_{41} \\
0 & 0 & S_{41} & -S_{41} \\
-S_{41} & S_{41} & 0 & 0 \\
S_{41} & -S_{41} & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \quad (5) \]

Finally, the S-parameters matrix of the even- and odd-mode equivalent circuit are acquired.

\[ S_{even} = \begin{bmatrix}
S_{CC11} & S_{CC12} \\
S_{CC21} & S_{CC22}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \quad (6-a) \]

\[ S_{odd} = \begin{bmatrix}
S_{DD11} & S_{DD12} \\
S_{DD21} & S_{DD22}
\end{bmatrix} = -2S_{41} \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \quad (6-b) \]

### C. DIFFERENTIAL-MODE ANALYSIS

Under the DM signals excitation, the symmetrical horizontal line is a perfect electrical wall and the corresponding odd-mode equivalent circuit is depicted in Fig. 4(a). There is no current flowing into the resistors. The electrical lengths of all the microstrip lines are quarter of wavelength at the operating frequency.

The equivalent DM basic circuit as an inset in Fig. 4(b) consists of a branch line with the characteristic impedance of \( Z_6 \) and two cascaded double parallel-coupled lines with the even- and odd-mode characteristic impedances of \( Z_6 \) and \( Z_0 \). This equivalent basic circuit is similar with the SIR introducing three transmission poles in the passband [27]. The simulated results of the basic circuit at 3.5 GHz are provided in Fig. 4(b). The four resonant frequencies with the \( |S_{DD11}| \) valleys are observed at 2.3 GHz (\( f_{TZ3} \)), 3.1 GHz (\( f_{TZ1} \)), 3.9 GHz (\( f_{TZ2} \)), and 4.7 GHz (\( f_{TZ4} \)), respectively.

Compared with the basic circuit, a pair of short-ended stubs with the characteristic impedance of \( Z_2 \) are paralleled at the two sides of the middle line constructing a pair of extra transmission zeros (TZs). By introducing a pair of short-ended stubs with the characteristic impedance of \( Z_1 \) shunted in the input and output ports, two additional TZs are achieved. The odd-mode equivalent circuit can be categorized as a stub-loaded multiple-mode resonator. Therefore, the circuit simulations of the multiple-mode resonance behaviors are shown in Fig. 5 and the corresponding circuit parameters are listed in Table 1.

In Fig. 5, seven resonant frequencies with the valleys of \( |S_{DD11}| \) are observed at 1.1 GHz (\( f_{TZ6} \)), 1.4 GHz (\( f_{TZ4} \)), 2.2 GHz (\( f_{TZ2} \)), 3.5 GHz (\( f_{TZ1} \)), 4.8 GHz (\( f_{TZ3} \)), 5.6 GHz (\( f_{TZ5} \)), and 5.9 GHz (\( f_{TZ7} \)), respectively. The three TZs of \( f_{TZ2}, f_{TZ1}, \) and \( f_{TZ3} \) are brought out by the basic circuit, \( f_{TZ6} \) and \( f_{TZ7} \) are introduced by the shorted stubs with the impedance of \( Z_2, f_{TZ4} \) and \( f_{TZ5} \) are contributed by the shorted stubs with the impedance of \( Z_1 \). Observed from the equation (6-b) and the simulations, the odd-mode equivalent half-circuit is a bandpass filter.

To investigate the frequency responses of the odd-mode half-circuit, the circuit parameters of \( Z_e, Z_o, \) and \( Z_6 \) are
TABLE 1. The circuit parameters of the A-CMF with double parallel-coupled lines.

| A-CMF with Double Parallel-Coupled Lines | $\theta$ @ 3.5 GHz |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4, Z_5, Z_6, Z_7, R_1, R_2, R$ | 90°               |
| 119 $\Omega$, 86 $\Omega$, 88 $\Omega$, 21 $\Omega$, 104 $\Omega$, 46 $\Omega$, 120 $\Omega$, 23 $\Omega$, 29 $\Omega$, 20 $\Omega$, 150 $\Omega$ |                  |

selected with various values shown in Fig. 6. The coupling coefficient is defined by $C$, which equals to $(Z_e-Z_0)/(Z_e+Z_0)$. As $C$ decreases, the reflection coefficient $|S_{DD11}|$ is lower. The two adjacent TZs of $f_{TZ4}$ and $f_{TZ6}$ merge into a TZ located in the left as the similar with the two adjacent TZs of $f_{TZ5}$ and $f_{TZ7}$ merge into a TZ located in the right. The middle $f_{TZ1}$ disappears as $C$ increases. In Fig. 6(b), the simulated $|S_{DD11}|$ decreases among $f_{TZ2}$ and $f_{TZ3}$, $f_{TZ6}$ and $f_{TZ4}$, $f_{TZ5}$ and $f_{TZ7}$ but increases separately among $f_{TZ4}$ and $f_{TZ2}$, $f_{TZ3}$ and $f_{TZ5}$ while the impedance $Z_6$ increases.

To provide a quantitative view on the effect of the two pairs of the shunted stubs, the frequency responses with different characteristic impedances of the stubs can be observed from Fig. 7. The locations of the resonant frequency points are constant so long as the electrical lengths are 90° without change. In Fig. 7(a), the DM reflection coefficient $|S_{DD11}|$ increases between $f_{TZ2}$ and $f_{TZ3}$ but decreases between $f_{TZ4}$ and $f_{TZ2}$, $f_{TZ3}$ and $f_{TZ5}$ while the characteristic impedance of $Z_2$ increases. Fig. 7(b) illustrates the DM reflection coefficient $|S_{DD11}|$ decreases with the characteristic impedance of $Z_1$ increases.

D. COMMON-MODE ANALYSIS

Under the CM signals excitation, the symmetrical horizontal line is a perfect magnetic wall and the corresponding
even-mode equivalent circuit is shown in Fig. 8(a). The resistances and the characteristic impedances of the microstrip lines in the symmetrical line are twice of the original values. Besides, the electrical lengths of all the microstrip lines are selected as 90° at the operating frequency. Furthermore, based on the corresponding circuit parameters listed in Table 1, the CM responses at 3.5 GHz with bidirectional absorption are plotted in Fig. 8(b). There are three TZs at 2.6 GHz (\(f_{TZ2}\)), 3.5 GHz (\(f_{TZ1}\)), and 4.4 GHz (\(f_{TZ3}\)), respectively.

Observed from Fig. 9, the characteristic impedance of \(Z_4\) is a main variable affecting the CM absorption responses. Moreover, the resistors \(R_1\) and \(R_2\) independently influence the input CM absorption and the output CM absorption. The resistors \(R\) effect both input and output CM responses. All these variables only influence the CM responses without any effect on the DM filtering responses. Fig. 9 illustrates that the insertion loss \(|S_{CC21}|\) and the input and output reflection coefficient \(|S_{CC11}|\) and \(|S_{CC22}|\) all decrease with \(Z_4\) increases. In addition, the TZs \(f_{TZ2}\) and \(f_{TZ3}\) gather to the middle \(f_{TZ1}\) with \(Z_4\) increases.

In Fig. 10(a), the CM input reflection coefficient \(|S_{CC11}|\) decreases while the resistance \(R_1\) decreases and the CM output reflection coefficient \(|S_{CC22}|\) decreases while the resistance \(R_2\) decreases given in Fig. 10(b). The TZs \(f_{TZ2}\) and

**FIGURE 8.** (a) Equivalent circuit of the proposed A-CMF under the CM signals excitation and (b) the CM responses \(|S_{CC11}|\), \(|S_{CC21}|\), and \(|S_{CC22}|\).

**FIGURE 9.** The ideal simulated CM responses of the A-CMF with different characteristic impedance of \(Z_4\): (a) the input reflection coefficient \(|S_{CC11}|\), (b) the output reflection coefficient \(|S_{CC22}|\), (c) the insertion loss \(|S_{CC21}|\).
FIGURE 10. The ideal simulated CM responses of the A-CMF with different resistors of $R_1$, $R_2$, $R$: (a) the input reflection coefficient $|S_{CC11}|$ with different $R_1$, (b) the output reflection coefficient $|S_{CC22}|$ with different $R_2$, (c) the insertion loss $|S_{CC21}|$ with different $R$, (d) the input and output reflection coefficient $|S_{CC11}|$ and $|S_{CC22}|$ with different $R$.

TABLE 2. Performance comparison of the A-CMF.

| Refs. | Fabrication | CM-Stopband (GHz) | CM-ABW (GHz) | DM-BW (GHz) | Absorption | Years |
|-------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|-------|
| [22]  | PCB (2-layer) | 1.51-2.62         | 80% (1.51-2.62) | 1.51-2.62   | Resistor (N/A) | 2017  |
| [23]  | PCB (4-layer) | 2.0-2.3           | 95% (2.1-2.2) | 0-5         | Resistor (U)  | 2017  |
| [24]  | PCB (4-layer) | 2.4-2.7*          | 90% (2.4-2.5) | 0-7         | Substrate (N/A) | 2018 |
| [25]  | PCB (4-layer) | 1.7-7.0*          | 95% (1.9-4.1) | 0-6.4       | Resistor (N/A) | 2019 |
| [26]  | PCB (2-layer) | 1.7-7.5           | 80% (1.8-3.0) | 0-7.4       | Resistor (B)  | 2019  |
|       | PCB (2-layer) | 1.7-4.2           | 80% (1.8-3.0) | 0-7.4       | Resistor (U)  | 2019  |
| This  | PCB (2-layer) | 0.72-8            | 90% (2.18-4.97) | 1.38-5.19   | Resistor (B)  | 2019  |

CM-Stopband: defined by $|S_{CC22}| < -10$ dB. CM-ABW: defined by the corresponding absorption efficiency $1-|S_{CC11}|^2-|S_{CC22}|^2$. DM-BW: defined by $0>|S_{CC11}|>-3$ dB. U: Unidirectional. B: Bidirectional. * Estimated value.

$f_{TZ3}$ keep away from the middle $f_{TZ1}$ with $R_1$ and $R_2$ decrease. Demonstrated in Fig. 10(c) and Fig. 10(d), $|S_{CC21}|$ slightly decreases but $|S_{CC11}|$ and $|S_{CC22}|$ increase with the resistor $R$ increases.
III. IMPLEMENTATION AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this paper, a bidirectional A-CMF operating at 3.5 GHz for 5G “green” communications is implemented. The design steps are summarized as follows:

1. Determine the operating frequency point $f_0$ as 3.5 GHz.
2. Determine the impedance parameters of $Z_e$, $Z_o$, $Z_1$, $Z_2$, and $Z_6$ according to the expected DM filtering responses.
3. Determine the impedance and resistance parameters of $Z_3$, $Z_4$, $Z_5$, $R$, $R_1$, and $R_2$ to acquire expected CM absorption responses.

Following the above steps, the ideal electrical parameters of the proposed A-CMF are listed in Table 1. Since the interdigitated coupled lines with enhanced degree of coupling are used to make up the filter with better return loss in the wide passband [28]. Thus, for easy manufacturing and enhanced coupling, interdigitated coupled lines are adopted to replace the normal double parallel-coupled lines.

A. IMPLEMENTATION

To prove the above theory, the proposed A-CMF is simulated and fabricated on the substrate of Rogers 4350B with the relative dielectric constant of 3.66, the loss tangent of 0.0037, and the thickness of 0.508 mm. By properly designing, the structure parameters and the size of the proposed filter are optimized using Advanced Design System (ADS). The

B. DIFFERENTIAL-MODE FILTERING RESPONSE

The EM simulated results of the DM responses are shown in Fig. 13(a). The 3-dB bandwidth of $|S_{DD21}|$ is from 1.3 GHz to 5.4 GHz realizing good selectivity of this filter. The EM simulated return loss $|S_{DD11}|$ is better than 10 dB from 1.3 GHz to 5.7 GHz. In Fig. 13(b), the measured results show that the DM signals can pass through this A-CMF almost without being attenuated from 1.38 GHz to 5.19 GHz. The bandwidth of $|S_{DD11}|$ lower than $-10$ dB is from 1.41 GHz to 5.72 GHz.
C. COMMON-MODE NOISE SUPPRESSION AND ABSORPTION

The EM simulated and measured results of the CM responses are shown in Fig. 14. The EM simulated CM absorption bandwidth is from 2.5 GHz to 5.0 GHz under the conditions that the $|S_{CC11}|$, $|S_{CC22}|$, and $|S_{CC21}|$ are all lower than $-10$ dB. In Fig. 14(b), the measured $|S_{CC21}|$ is below $-10$ dB from 0.72 GHz to 8 GHz, which means the CM noises are suppressed through a broad band. The measured CM return loss $|S_{CC11}|$ at the input port is below $-10$ dB from 2.08 GHz to 5.02 GHz, which means the noises are absorbed by the resistors instead of being reflected to the previous block. The measured CM return loss $|S_{CC22}|$ at the output port is below $-10$ dB from 2.34 GHz to 5.04 GHz. It is worth noting that this A-CMF realizes a wide absorption band with 90% absorption efficiency of CM noises from 2.18 GHz to 4.97 GHz plotted in Fig. 14(c).

D. PERFORMANCE COMPARE WITH STATES-OF-THE-ART A-CMFS

Finally, the performances of the proposed bidirectional A-CMF are compared with the related previous A-CMFs, as summarized in Table 2. It is seen that the proposed circuit is fabricated in a simple two-layer PCB with bidirectional absorption, good DM transmission, wideband CM suppression, and high CM absorption efficiency through a broad bandwidth. Among all the listed A-CMFs, the adopted fabrication process of a two-layer PCB is simple and cost-efficient [22], [26]. But the operating bandwidth and the absorption efficiency both have great space to improve. Latest, two circuits are presented with bidirectional absorption and unidirectional absorption, respectively. The DM bandwidth is quite broad but the CM absorption efficiency is only 80% from 1.8 GHz to 3.0 GHz [26]. These A-CMFs achieve a high absorption efficiency for unidirectional CM noise absorption but are fabricated in a complex four-layer PCB [23]–[25].

IV. CONCLUSION

A novel bidirectional A-CMF in a two-layer PCB is proposed with wide CM stopband, high CM absorption efficiency, wide CM absorption bandwidth, and good DM transmission responses. The interdigitated coupled lines are introduced to replace the normal double parallel-coupled lines for enhanced coupling and easy manufacture. The function of absorbing the CM noises from both directions within a broad band can be widely used for 5G “green” communications and several differential communication systems with high demand of electromagnetic compatibility.
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