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ABSTRACT

Objective: Performing an in vitro evaluation of the biological effects on cell growth and viability of fibroblasts in PLGA membranes with and without simvastatin. Methods: Two groups of resorbable synthetic polymeric membranes were used: PLGA, with and without simvastatin, cut into a suitable format to fit to 24 thermometric wells. Fibroblasts were grown on resorbable membranes and evaluated for proliferation and viability at 24, 48 and 72 hours after the beginning of cultivation, being the tests performed in triplicate. For the cell growth analysis, the Trypan blue exclusion method was applied, while cell viability was observed by the MTT test. The results were statistically analyzed applying the Two-Way ANOVA, followed by the Bonferroni test, with 95% confidence interval and P value smaller than 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant. Results: Statistical difference (p <0.01) was seen between the control group (2.16x10⁴ ± 0.51 cells) and the PLGA group with simvastatin (1.58x10⁴ ± 0.36 cells) in the 48-hour period. After 72 hours, statistical differences (p <0.001) were observed between the PLGA group with simvastatin (1.66x10⁴ ± 0.49 cells) and the PLGA group without simvastatin (2.25x10⁴ ± 0.2 cells) when compared to the control group (2.81x10⁴ ± 0.33 cells) for cell proliferation. Statistical differences (p <0.05) were observed between the control group (0.27 ± 0.05) and the PLGA group with simvastatin (0.21 ± 0.03). Likewise, a statistical difference (p <0.001) was seen between the PLGA group without simvastatin (0.19 ± 0.02) and the control group after 24 hours. In the 48 – 72-hour period, statistical differences (p <0.001) were observed between the control group (0.36 ± 0.09 and 0.55 ± 0.05, after 48 and 72 hours respectively) and the PLGA group without simvastatin (0.26 ± 0.05 and 0.34 ± 0.07, after 48 and 72 hours respectively), as well as in the PLGA group with simvastatin (0.27 ± 0.04 and 0.31 ± 0.04, after 48 and 72 hours respectively) for the cell viability test. Conclusion: The association of simvastatin to PLGA membranes had an inhibitory effect on fibroblast proliferation, as well as induced a reduction in cell viability. Thus, the use of PLGA along with simvastatin may assist in guided bone regeneration.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Esse trabalho avaliou, in vitro, os efeitos biológicos no crescimento e viabilidade celular de fibroblastos em membranas de PLGA com e sem simvastatina. Materiais e métodos: Foram utilizados dois grupos de membranas reabsoríveis de polímeros sintéticos: PLGA com simvastatina e sem simvastatina, recortadas em formato apropriado para adaptar nas placas de 24 wells termométricas. Os fibroblastos foram cultivados em membranas reabsoríveis, sendo avaliadas em relação à proliferação e viabilidade em 24, 48 e 72 horas após o início do cultivo, os testes foram realizados em triplicata. Para a análise do crescimento celular foi utilizado o método de exclusão vital azul de Tripan, enquanto a viabilidade celular foi observada pelo teste MTT. Os resultados obtidos foram estatisticamente analisados utilizando Two-Way ANOVA, seguido pelo teste de Bonferroni, com intervalo de confiança de 95% e um valor de P inferior a 0,05 foi aceito como estatisticamente significativo. Resultados: Foi observado diferença estatística (p<0,01) entre o grupo controle (2,16x10⁴ ± 0,51 células) e o grupo PLGA com simvastatina (1,58x10⁴ ± 0,36 células) no período de 48 horas. Após 72 horas, diferenças estatísticas (p<0,001) foram observadas entre o grupo PLGA com simvastatina (1,66x10⁴ ± 0,49 células) e o grupo PLGA sem simvastatina (2,25x10⁴ ± 0,2 células) em comparação ao grupo controle (2,81x10⁴ ± 0,33 células) quanto a proliferação celular. Diferenças estatísticas (p<0,05) foram observadas entre o grupo controle (0,27 ± 0,05) e o grupo PLGA com simvastatina (0,21 ± 0,03), da mesma forma que, diferença estatística (p<0,001) foram observadas entre o grupo PLGA sem simvastatina (0,19 ± 0,02) e grupo controle após 24 horas. No período de 48 horas e 72 horas diferenças estatísticas (p<0,001) foram observadas entre o grupo controle (0,36 ± 0,55 ± 0,05, após 48 e 72 horas respectivamente) e o grupo PLGA sem simvastatina (0,26 ± 0,05 e 0,34 ± 0,07, após 48 e 72 horas respectivamente), assim como, o grupo PLGA com simvastatina (0,27 ± 0,04 e 0,31 ± 0,04, após 48 e 72 horas respectivamente) quanto ao teste de viabilidade celular. Conclusão: A associação de simvastatina às membranas de PLGA apresentou um efeito inibitório na proliferação de fibroblastos, bem como induziu uma redução da viabilidade celular destes, deste modo, sugere-se a utilização de PLGA associado à simvastatina como uma ferramenta para auxiliar na regeneração óssea guiada.

Termos de indexação: Fibroblastos. Ácido láctico. Simvastatina.

INTRODUCTION

Contemporary Implantology has a great need to predict results and present less traumatic surgical techniques for reconstruction and regeneration of tissues lost by pathological, physiological or traumatic processes. Currently, guided bone regeneration (GBR) and guided tissue regeneration (GTR) techniques are frequently applied, with the biological principle of creating a reserved space that facilitates selective cell proliferation and binding. The membranes act as a physical barrier with the purpose of creating spaces, preventing the proliferation of connective and epithelial tissues in bone and/or periodontal defects, stimulating bone and tissue regeneration [1,2].

In dental practice, the GBR and the GTR concepts have been applied since the late 1980’s [3]. Although different materials have been investigated for such procedures, resorbable membranes, based on animal collagen and synthetic polymers, are the materials most widely used [4].

Poly (1-lactic acid-co-glycolic acid), PLGA, is a synthetic copolymer of poly lactic acid (PLA) and polyglycolic acid (PGA) that has been used in the production of several therapeutic devices including tissue grafting, surgical suture wires and drug carrier membranes [5,6]. It is important to mention that its characteristics are excellent biocompatibility, controlled biodegradability, adjustable degradation rates, mechanical properties, thermal processability and drug controlled release [7]. The PLGA polymers’ biodegradable characteristics and biocompatibility, along with handling easiness, have made them an excellent choice for the development of resorbable membranes used in GBR and GTR [8].

The use of PLGA membranes, such as drug carriers, has created new perspectives for bone regeneration, with statins being the most promising drug group. Statins (among them, simvastatin) are irreversible and competitive inhibitors of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl Coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase that initially acts by displacing the natural substrate (HMG-CoA) in the cholesterol biosynthetic pathway, reducing the biosynthesis of mevalonate and, consequently, the cholesterol. Recent studies have reported that these drugs have several pleiotropic effects that induce an improvement in the regeneration of bone tissue, since they may change the mechanisms of bone formation and resorption [9,10]. However, the correct molecular mechanism of increased bone formation remains unknown. Nevertheless, there are in vitro and in vivo studies reporting that the stimulation of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and bone morphogenetic proteins 2 (BMP-2) are responsible for the anabolic effects of statins in bone metabolism [11,12].

Therefore, this study aimed at performing an in vitro evaluation of the biological effects of cell growth and viability in PLGA membranes with and without simvastatin.
METHODS

The survey was conducted at the São Leopoldo Mandic Dental School and Research Center, campus Campinas, Brazil, in the Cell and Molecular Biology Laboratory, after being approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the São Leopoldo Mandic Dental School and Research Center (Protocol 2015/0393).

Sample

Two groups of resorbable synthetic polymeric membranes were used: PLGA with simvastatin and PLGA without simvastatin. The membranes were produced from the PLGA Copolymer (Lactic Acid-Co-Glycolic Acid (RESOMER™ Evonik, USA) by the solvent evaporation method. These Aliphatic Esters have the property of being hydrolyzed and degraded by the Krebs cycle, resulting in Carbonic Gas and Water as metabolic products. The degradation time and, hence, absorption is related to the total mass of the membrane. On average, this period may take 90 to 120 days.

PLGA copolymer, in the ratio 3:1 (based polymer lactide: poly (Lactic acid-co-trimethylene carbonate), was dissolved in PA chloroform (10% w/v), at room temperature. After the complete dissolution of the polymer, particles of sucrose (75% w/w) with granulation of less than 500 μm were added. This solution was poured into Medical Grade Silicone molds with dimensions of 2 x 8 x 200 mm until the total evaporation of the solvent. The membranes were, then, sectioned with a scalpel blade to obtain square samples with 2 mm thickness and sides with 8 mm. Sucrose was removed using 1% polyvinyl alcohol solution PS ((C 2 H 4 O) n) in 24-hour baths, followed by 24-hour baths with distilled water under constant stirring.

For the samples containing simvastatin (SIM ≥ 97%, high performance liquid chromatography grade, HPLC, solid M = 418.57), the drug was diluted 5% in chloroform (10 ml) to which sucrose (75%) was added to the polymer already diluted in chloroform. The additional steps for obtaining the membrane with Simvastatin were the same as described above. After the samples' drying, they were sterilized by gamma radiation (20kGy) (CBE EMBRARRAD, Cotia-SP, Brazil)

The membranes were cut into a suitable format to fit in the 24 thermometric well plates, so that the proliferation and cell viability tests could be performed respectively.

Cell lines

The human fibroblast cell lines were obtained from the Cell Bank of the Cell Culture Laboratory, being provided by (São Leopoldo Mandic Institute and Research Center, Campinas). These cells were previously isolated by the primary culture of human gingival cells removed from volunteer patients by the explant technique.

Cell culture

Fibroblasts were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM, Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA), adding 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (Cultilab Ltda., Campinas/SP) and 1% antibiotic solution - antimycotic (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA), kept at 37°C temperature, humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2.

To maintain the cell viability, all procedures were performed in a laminar flow hood for the sterility of the materials and substances used for cell culture. The monitoring of cell growth was performed every 24 hours, using inverted phase microscope, and the culture medium was changed at 2 and 3 days. After reaching confluency, the cells were plated at 110 cells/mm 2 density in 24 well plates for cell proliferation and viability evaluation. As a controlling method, the cells were plated in polystyrene not containing the material studied.

Cell count

For the proliferation evaluation, the cells were counted at 24, 48 and 72 hour after culturing the membranes studied. Then, two groups were used with resorbable synthetic membranes: PLGA with simvastatin and PLGA without simvastatin. The medium was removed from the plate and the cells were washed with PBS (phosphate buffer solution), pH 7.0. Then, the cells were enzymatically removed from the plate with 500μl Trypsin at 37°C for 5 minutes. 10μl of cell suspension were, then, removed and mixed with 10μl of Tripan blue (for cytotoxicity assessment) and, 10μl were placed in a hemocytometer (Neubauer Chamber, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) and taken to inverted microscope (Nikon, Eclipse TS100) for counting and observation of the cell growth curvature.

The total number of cells was obtained by the following equation:
All the experiments were done in triplicates (3 wells for each membrane and control cells).

**Cytotoxicity evaluation (MTT)**

Cell cultures were tested for cell viability using the 3-(4,5-Dimethyl-thiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazole (MTT) assay. This assay evaluates the capability of metabolically active cells to reduce MTT by converting the tetrazolium yellow salts to purple formazan crystals and, therefore, the ability of the viable cells to cleave the tetrazole ring present in MTT by the action of enzymes dehydrogenases present in the active mitochondria, forming formazan crystals. In the cytotoxicity assay, 110 cells/mm² were used in 24 well plates, incubated for a period of 24, 48 and 72 hour at 37°C. Then, 540μL of DMEM culture medium and 60μL of the MTT solution (5mg / mL - Sigma, USA) were added under the membranes and control, being incubated for 3 hours at 37°C. After the total incubation period, the solution was removed and 600μL of 10% DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide) solution was added.

After the crystals solubilization, the quantification was performed on a ELX800 microplate reader (Epoch Biotek Instruments, Inc) at 590 nm, obtaining the absorbance measurements.

**Statistical analysis**

Results were expressed as mean ± E.P.M. (n = 6). Statistical analysis between the groups was performed applying the Two-way (ANOVA), followed by the Bonferroni test, with a 95% confidence interval, being p <0.05 accepted as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Considering the cell proliferation test, evaluating the average number of cells obtained in the groups, it was seen that the control group showed higher cell proliferation (p <0.001) than the PLGA experimental group with simvastatin, after 48 hours, and the PLGA group without simvastatin, after 72 hours. On average of the three lines, it was observed that the PLGA with simvastatin and the PLGA without simvastatin presented similar results. At 24 hours, despite the higher cell proliferation in the control group (1.68x10⁴ ± 0.36 cells), there was no statistical difference between the PLGA group without simvastatin (1.46x10⁴ ± 0.44 cells) and the PLGA group with simvastatin (1.40x10⁴ ± 0.72 cells). At 48 hours, statistical difference (p <0.01) was observed between the control group (2.16x10⁴ ± 0.51 cells) and the PLGA group with simvastatin (1.58x10⁴ ± 0.36 cells). Statistical differences (p <0.001) were also observed after 72 hours between the PLGA group with simvastatin (1.66x10⁴ ± 0.49 cells) and the PLGA group without simvastatin (2.25x10⁴ ± 0.2 cells) compared to the group control (2.81x10⁴ ± 0.33 cells). Therefore, PLGA membranes with simvastatin showed less cellular proliferation over time, when compared to PLGA membranes without simvastatin and the control group. The data are shown in figure 1.

**DISCUSSION**

Bone defects are a challenge to Dentistry, especially in rehabilitation specialties, such as Implantology.
The success of treatments depends on the quantity and quality of bone availability. Thus, grafting, for bone tissue gain, arose as an alternative to overcome such difficulty. It is important to mention that, uncontrolled resorption, especially of the autogenous graft, requires more effective materials for bone regeneration [13].

Resorbable and non-resorbable membranes are widely used in clinical practice to assist in the regeneration process of soft and hard tissues, being a viable alternative for the correction of tissue defects. However, the membranes should present some characteristics to be effective such as biocompatibility, physical and mechanical properties favoring its placement in human beings, good resistance and proper degradation medium not causing tissue neoformation [14]. Among the several membranes available in the market, the PLGA membrane stands out as a polymer with high structural strength and biocompatibility, as well as showing a great potential as a drug carrier [15].

In the present study, it was observed that the use of PLGA membranes was able to reduce fibroblast proliferation over a period of 72 hours, indicating that such membrane may reduce the formation of fibrous tissue, corroborating with the findings of Shen et al. [16], who demonstrated that PLGA membranes treated with carbon dioxide (CO2) plasma were effective in inhibiting fibroblast growth factors [16]. In addition to the inhibitory effect on the cell proliferation of fibroblasts, the present work showed that the PLGA membrane induces a decrease of fibroblast viability.

Several drugs are used as auxiliaries to tissue and bone regeneration, such as the simvastatin. In the literature, several studies have reported evidences on the efficacy of this statin to bone regeneration [17,18]. In our study, the tests performed showed that PLGA membranes with simvastatin were more effective in inhibiting fibroblasts, decreasing the viability of the remaining fibroblasts at all times. Wu et al. [19] concluded that the local application of simvastatin through a PLGA membrane promoted bone neoformation in rat alveolus after exodontics, suggesting the relation of this result to the osteoinductive and anti-resorptive properties of simvastatin.

In view of the results found in here, it can be suggested the association of simvastatin to PLGA membranes in GBR. Nath et al. [20] have demonstrated that PLGA microspheres can be used as simvastatin carriers for bone regeneration, being an effective system for the regeneration of bone tissue. The literature shows that, when working with PLGA nanoparticles as drug carriers, there is a linear relationship between the polymer concentration and the nanoparticle volume with different solvents [21].

Aiming at making the association of simvastatin to PLGA membranes more effective, it is necessary to emphasize the importance of drug release just on the surface that will be in contact with the bone tissue, reducing the formation of fibrous tissue in the bone defect and stimulating the osteogenic neoformation (figure 3). This can be achieved due to the easiness of working with PLGA as a carrier of several types of drugs and at different scales (nanoparticles, microparticles and membranes) [15].
CONCLUSION

Based on the experimental protocols adopted, it is suggested that simvastatin can be successfully incorporated to PLGA membranes for drug delivery at the surgical site, having the ability to inhibit fibroblast proliferation and reduce the viability of such cells. The inhibitory effect of proliferation and reduction of PLGA cell viability was enhanced after the addition of simvastatin to the membrane, in which the effects were evaluated up to 72 hours.
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