Angiotensins and Huntington’s Disease: A Study on Immortalized Progenitor Striatal Cell Lines
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Neurons from mouse models of Huntington’s disease (HD) exhibit altered electrophysiological properties, potentially contributing to neuronal dysfunction and neurodegeneration. The renin–angiotensin system (RAS) is a potential contributor to the pathophysiology of neurodegenerative diseases. However, the role of angiotensin II (Ang II) and angiotensin (1-7) has not been characterized in HD. We investigated the influence of Ang II and angiotensin (1-7) on total potassium current using immortalized progenitor mutant huntingtin-expressing (Q111) and wild-type (Q7) cell lines. Measurements of potassium current were performed using the whole cell configuration of pCLAMP. The results showed that (1) the effect of Ang II administered to the bath caused a negligible effect on potassium current in mutant Q111 cells compared with wild-type Q7 cells and that intracellular administration of Ang II reduced the potassium current in wild type but not in mutant cells; (2) the small effect of Ang II was abolished by losartan; (3) intracellular administration of Ang II performed in mutant huntingtin-expressing Q111 cells revealed a negligible effect of the peptide on potassium current; (4) flow cytometer analysis indicated a low expression of Ang II AT1 receptors in mutant Q111 cells; (5) mutant huntingtin-expressing striatal cells are highly sensitive to Ang (1-7) and that the effect of Ang (1-7) is related to the activation of Mas receptors. In conclusion, mutant huntingtin-expressing cells showed a negligible effect of Ang II on potassium current, a result probably due to the reduced expression of AT1 receptors at the surface cell membrane. In contrast, administration of Ang (1-7) to the bath showed a significant decline of the potassium current in mutant cells, an effect dependent on the activation of Mas receptors. Ang II had an intracrine effect in wild-type cells and Ang (1-7) exerted a significant effect in mutant huntingtin-expressing striatal cells.
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INTRODUCTION

Huntington’s disease (HD) is a devastating, progressive neurodegenerative disease with autosomal dominant inheritance, characterized by involuntary movements, motor dysfunction, cognitive decline, and behavioral irregularities (1). HD is caused by an expanded CAG repeat (≥39) in the gene encoding the protein huntingtin. The expanded allele expresses a mutant form of huntingtin with acquired toxic properties (2). Expression of mutant huntingtin in the brain causes neuronal...
loss mainly in the striatum and cerebral cortex (3, 4). The mechanisms by which mutant huntingtin leads to pathology remain unclear. In neurons, potassium currents affect many functions including action potential frequency and neurotransmitter release, cell proliferation, and apoptosis (5). Interestingly, the electrophysiological properties of neurons are altered in HD mouse models, potentially contributing to neuronal dysfunction and neurodegeneration (6–8).

Evidence from studies in HD animal models links the renin–angiotensin system (RAS) to the pathophysiology of neurodegenerative diseases (9, 10). The brain RAS is a potential contributor to neurodegeneration and has been suggested to play a role in the etiology and progression of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (11) and Parkinson’s disease (PD) (12). Decreased angiotensin II (Ang II) receptor binding in the substantia nigra and striatum in the brain of patients with PD has been described, and evidence is available that neurons are able to synthesize angiotensinogen in ventrolateral medulla (13), thalamus, hypothalamus, and brain stem (13–15) and that Ang II is found in supraoptic nuclei and hypothalamus (16). Of particular interest is the observation that Ang II has been localized in nerve terminals (17) raising the possibility that the peptide is a neurotransmitter. Other studies revealed the presence of renin protein and mRNA in astrocytes (18), but the precise meaning of these findings is not known. Moreover, angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and AngII antagonists prevent cognitive decline in a chemically induced mouse model of HD (19) and in models of AD (20).

Over the last two decades, knowledge on the pathophysiology and molecular biology of HD has significantly extended, and the contribution of non-CNS tissues to the pathogenesis and clinical symptomatology is increasingly recognized. Interestingly, an important role of the immune system has been found in HD. High titers of T cell activating autoantibodies against Ang II type 1 receptors (AT1R) are present in HD patients as compared to healthy controls (21). Higher anti-AT1R antibody titers associated with early onset of disease and significantly correlated with disease duration and disease burden score (21). Moreover, activation of the peripheral immune system and in particular an upregulation of innate immune responses including microglia activation has been repeatedly reported in HD patients (22–24). Interestingly, the activity of ACE is significantly reduced in the caudate putamen of HD patients (25). However, no information is available showing the effects of angiotensins in HD. In this study, we investigated the effects of Ang II and Ang (1–7) in HD using immortalized progenitor mutant huntingtin-expressing Q111 and wild-type Q7 mouse striatal cell lines.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture

Immortalized progenitor cell lines STHdhQ111 mutant (Q111) and STHdhQ7 wild type (WT; Q7) were derived from striatal neurons from HdhQ111/Q111 and HdhQ7/Q7 mice (expressing 111 and 7 glutamine repeats, respectively) and were kindly provided by Dr. Marcy McDonald, Massachusetts General Hospital. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium supplemented with10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 mg/ml streptomycin, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 400 mg/ml G418. Cells were grown at 33°C in a 5% CO2 incubator. Cells with passages lower than 14 were used in all experiments.

Electrophysiology

Electrophysiological recordings of total potassium current were made using whole cell patch-clamp procedures in the voltage-clamp mode. Experiments were performed at room temperature with an Axopatch 200B amplifier and a Digidata 1400B interface (Molecular Devices, CA). Data acquisition and analyses were performed using pClamp 10. Cells were bathed in modified Tyrode’s solution containing (in millimolars) 137 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 1.35 CaCl2, MgSO4, 7 H2O, 0.3 NaH2PO4, 10 HEPES, 5 dextrose, pH adjusted to 7.4. Neurons in the culture dish (volume 2 ml) were superfused at a rate of 1–2 ml/min. The patch electrodes had resistances of 2–4 MΩ when filled with an internal pipette solution containing (mM): 130 KCl, 2 MgCl2, 0.25 CaCl2, 3 ATP, 5 dextrose, 5 EGTA, and 10 Hepes, pH adjusted to 7.2 with KOH. Series resistance was compensated (50%) with Axopatch 200B compensation circuitry. Standard recording conditions for total outward K current consisted in holding the membrane potential to −80 mV and applying depolarizing steps of 10 mV for 300 ms.

Membrane Levels of AT1 Receptor

Q7 (WT) and Q111 (mutant huntingtin-expressing) cells (1 × 10⁶ cells) were incubated with an anti-AT1 receptor primary antibody (5 μg; EMD Millipore, MA, USA) for 1 h at 4°C. The cells were then washed two times with 1× PBS and then incubated with an FITC-secondary antibody (1:500) for an additional hour at 4°C. Samples were analyzed by flow cytometry. Unlabeled cells were used to determine the autofluorescence level.

Flow Cytometry

All flow cytometric analyses were carried out using a four-color flow cytometer (FACS Calibur, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) equipped with a 488 nm argon-ion laser and a 635 nm red-diode laser. Cell size and granularity were determined on FSC versus SSC dot plots. Emission for FITC fluorescence from AT1 receptor was measured in the FL1 photomultiplier through a 530/30 nm bandpass filter. A total of 20,000 events were analyzed for each sample, and list-mode files were collected using Cell Quest software 3.3 (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) and analyzed using the FlowJo software vX.0.7 (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). The mean fluorescence intensities of A7 cells and Q111 mutants were obtained from the flow cytometric histogram plots.

Drugs

Angiotensins II, angiotensin (1-7), A779, and Bis-1 were form Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA.

Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Student’s t-test was used. Differences were considered significant when p < 0.05.
RESULTS

Poor Sensitivity of Mutant Q111 Huntingtin-Expressing Striatal Cells to Extracellular Ang II

To investigate the effect of Ang II on potassium current, the peptide was administered to the bath, and measurements of potassium current were performed before and after the administration of the peptide. As shown in Figure 1A, Ang II (100 nM) decreases the potassium current in normal Q7 striatal cells, and concurrently with the change of potassium current, the resting potential was found to be increased by about 8 ± 2.4 mV (n = 22) (p < 0.05). The effect of the peptide on potassium current was inhibited by valsartan (10−9M) as shown in Figure 1B. We found important to investigate if the mutant Q111 cells are also less sensitive to Ang II. Experiments performed on these cells showed that Ang II (100 nM) added to the bath had a negligible effect on potassium current as illustrated in Figure 1C.

Reduced Expression of AT1 Receptors in Mutant Q111 Cells

To investigate if the reduced effect of Ang II on potassium current in Q111 cells was related to a decreased expression of AT1R, flow cytometry analysis was performed in Q7 and Q111 cells. The results revealed a significant 67% reduction of AT1R levels in Q111 mutant huntingtin-expressing cells when compared with normal Q7 controls (see Figure 2).

Intracellular Ang II and Potassium Current

Previous findings indicated that intracellular Ang II changes the inward calcium current and cell communication in the heart and other tissues (26–34) and that AT1 receptors were found intracellularly near mitochondria (35). These observations indicate that endogenous or internalized Ang II can alter mitochondrial function including calcium release or enhancement of oxidative stress (35–38). It is then important to investigate if intracellular Ang II has an effect on potassium current in mutant huntingtin-expressing Q111 cells. To investigate this possibility, the peptide was added to the pipette solution and dialyzed into the cells using the patch-clamp technique. Figure 3A shows that in wild-type Q7 cells, the intracellular administration of the peptide reduces the potassium current while in mutant Q111 cells the same concentration of Ang II caused a negligible effect on the potassium current (see Figure 3B).

Q111 Mutant Cells Are Highly Sensitive to Ang (1-7)

Evidence has been provided that the activation of the ACE2/Ang (1-7)/Mas axis counteracts many effects of Ang II in the cardiovascular and central nervous systems (27). To investigate the influence of Ang (1-7) in HD, the heptapeptide was administered to the extracellular fluid, and its effect on potassium current was investigated in immortalized Q111 mutant huntingtin-expressing and wild-type Q7 mouse striatal cells. As shown in Figure 4A, the administration of Ang (1-7) (10−9M) to the bath elicited a decrease in the total potassium current in Q111 mutant cells. Interestingly, this effect is related to the activation of Mas receptors because A-799, which is a Mas receptor antagonist, added to the bath, abolished the effect of the heptapeptide (Figure 4A). In wild-type Q7 cells, the same dose of the heptapeptide elicited a small but statistically significant increase of potassium current as shown in Figure 4B.

Intracrine Effect of Ang (1-7) on Q111 and Q7 Cells

The presence of Mas receptors and Ang (1-7) in the cell nucleus (35, 39) raises the possibility that the heptapeptide plays an important role on cellular functions. We investigated the possible intracrine effect of Ang (1-7) on potassium current in both Q111 and Q7 cells. As it can be seen in Figure 4C, Ang (1-7) significantly reduced the potassium current in Q111 mutant cells. Comparative experiments performed on wild-type Q7 cells indicated a small but statistically significant increment of potassium current (Figure 4D).

DISCUSSION

The present results demonstrate for the first time that mutant huntingtin-expressing striatal cells are highly sensitive to Ang (1-7), a conclusion supported by the finding that the decrease of total potassium current elicited by Ang (1-7) was significantly greater in Q111 cells than in wild-type Q7 cells. The effect of Ang (1-7) in mutant huntingtin-expressing cells is related to the activation of Mas receptors because A-799 abolished the effect of the heptapeptide on potassium current. Evidence is available that the Mas receptor is found in various areas of the brain, including the hippocampus, amygdala, forebrain, piriform cortex, olfactory bulb, thalamus, and portions of the hypothalamus (40, 41) and that Ang (1-7) counteracts several effects of Ang II in these and other tissues (42, 43). Furthermore, Mas expression was also discovered in the monkey retina (44). The presence of Mas in areas, such as the hypothalamus, nucleus tractus solitarii, rostral, and caudal ventrolateral medulla, provides the basis for several effects produced by its agonist, Ang-(1-7), in the brain. Although the appreciable effect of Ang (1-7) on potassium current seen in mutant Q111 cells might be related to an enhanced expression of Mas receptors, further studies are needed to confirm this possibility.

Of particular interest was the finding that the effect of Ang II on potassium current in Q111 mutant cells was negligible, contrasting with the significant increase of potassium current elicited by the peptide in wild-type Q7 cells. The reason for the small effect of Ang II on mutant neurons was the appreciable decline of expression of Ang II type 1 receptors (AT1R) found in the cell membrane of these cells. Consistent with this finding is the observation that AT1R levels are significantly decreased in postmortem putamen of HD patients relative to control individuals (45). This finding seems to be in accord with recent studies showing that the immune system plays an important role in HD and that the presence of T cell activating autoantibodies against AT1R described in all stages of the disease (21) may explain the decline in AT1R levels found in HD patients. Not only AT1R seem
altered in HD but also the activity of the ACE is reduced. Studies performed in different regions of the calf and human brains with HD indicated a decline of ACE activity including a reduction of 83–92% in the globus pallidus, while the caudate and putamen of choreic patients display 62–69% decrease in the enzyme activity (25). These observations support the view that the ACE/Ang II/AT1 receptor axis is reduced in animal models and in patients with HD.

Evidence is available that independent of the conventional renin–angiotensin aldosterone (RAAS) system, different components of RAAS are expressed in cells of the cardiovascular system and play an important role on cardiovascular pathophysiology.
(26–33). Previous observations revealed that AT1R are present inside neurons near mitochondria leading to the hypothesis that endogenous or internalized Ang II can alter mitochondrial function and generate oxidative stress (35) (see also (34)). The finding that intracellular Ang II reduces the potassium current in wild-type Q7 cells indicates that the peptide has an intracrine action while in mutant Q111 cells the effect of intracellular Ang II was negligible, possibly due to a decreased expression of AT1R inside the cell. Of particular interest was the observation that intracellular Ang (1-7) reduced the potassium current in mutant cells, an effect opposite to that seen when the heptapeptide was added to the wild-type cells. The significance of these preliminary findings and their possible implications for HD are not known. Mutant huntingtin associates directly with brain mitochondria (46–48) disrupts calcium homeostasis, reduces ATP generation, and inhibits the mitochondrial trafficking (49). In mutant huntingtin-expressing Q111 cells, a significant increase in mitochondrial-generated superoxide is observed compared to wild-type cells (50). Moreover, mitochondrial bioenergetics and spare respiratory capacity are significantly
reduced in immortalized mutant huntingtin-expressing mouse Q111 cells compared to wild-type cells (50). Thus, it is possible that mitochondrial dysfunction and increased levels of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) may enhance the ACE2/Ang(1-7)/Mas axis in Q111 cells. Interestingly, blockade of the AT1R inhibits cardiac hypertrophy by induction of ACE2 gene expression, suppression of ROS generation, and enhancement of the ACE2/Ang(1-7)/Mas axis (51).

Electrophysiological studies performed on striatal neurons from Q175 mouse model of HD revealed that the frequency of spontaneous and miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) was decreased while the frequency of spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic currents was enhanced (52). Since Ang II reduces the potassium current and depolarizes the wild-type Q7 cells, it is possible that the lack of effect of Ang II on potassium current in mutant Q111 cells represents a compensatory mechanism against the decrease of EPSC described in striatal neurons in animal models of the disease.

**CONCLUSION**

The present observations indicate: (1) a significant decline in the expression of Ang II AT1R in mutant Q111 cells and a drastic decrease in the effect of the peptide on potassium current; (2) a large effect of Ang (1-7) of potassium current in mutant cells, an effect suppressed by a Mas receptor antagonist. These observations indicate that the ACE2/Ang (1-7)/Mas receptor axis of the RAS in mutant neurons is predominantly activated over the ACE/Ang
II/AT1R axis. Although we do not know the pathophysiological significance of these results, they support the view that the RAS is involved in the derangement of brain function seen in different degenerative diseases.
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