Inhibition Performances of Nicotinamide Against Aluminum Corrosion in an Acidic Medium
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Abstract
The non-toxic anticorrosion properties of nicotinamide have been studied on aluminum in an acidic medium. The techniques included weight loss method, electrochemical measurements, quantum chemical calculations, Monte Carlo simulation, infra-red spectroscopy and scanning electron microscopy. This study gathers information about the inhibitor molecule adsorption onto the surface of metal specimens. Thermodynamic parameters were utilized to predict the adsorption mechanism. The dependence of corrosion inhibition efficiency on various parameters was examined, and it was seen that the increase in the inhibitor concentration and in temperature led to an increase in the inhibition efficiency. The dipole moment and $E_{\text{HOMO}}-E_{\text{LUMO}}$ influenced the inhibition efficiency, which was observed by quantum chemical studies.
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Introduction
Aluminum corrosion is the subject of critical technological importance, due to this metal’s increasing industrial applications [1-2]. Aluminum and its alloys represent an important category of materials, due to their wide applicability, especially in aerospace, household, industries, automotive, transportation and marine technology, mainly because of their good specific strength and excellent formability.
Corrosion inhibitors are the chemical compounds which, when added in small concentrations to the environment, minimize or prevent corrosion [3].
Considering the alternatives to toxic corrosion inhibitors, some studies used drugs as corrosion inhibitors of metals and their alloys [4-5]. Owing to their structural similarities with the commercially used corrosion inhibitors, and to their non-toxic behaviour, they have proved to be a great breakthrough in the formation of environmentally safe corrosion inhibitors [6-7].

Literature shows that Ethambutol [8], Ethanolamine and Ethylamine [9], Streptoquin and Septazole [10], Sulfamethoxazole [11], Streptomycin [12], Pheniramine [13], Thiadiazoles [14], Fluconazole [15], Miconazole Nitrate [16], Dicloxacillin [17], Atorvastatin [18], Ciprofloxacin [19], Tinidazole [20], Rhodanine Azosulpha [21], Mebendazole [22], Paracetamol and Carbamazepin [23], Anthranillic acid [24], Amoxicillin [25], Ampicillin [26], Cloxacillin [27] and Cefixime [28] are good corrosion inhibitors. In our search for non-toxic corrosion inhibitors, this work focused on using nicotinamide as an aluminum corrosion inhibitor in an acidic medium. Nicotinamide can serve as a potentially good aluminum corrosion inhibitor, due to the presence of N and O in its structure. The molecular structure of nicotinamide is given in Fig. 1.

![Figure 1. Schematic representation of nicotinamide’s structure.](image-url)

**Experimental**

**Weight loss method**

Aluminum (0.35% Fe, 0.25% Si, 0.05% Cu, 0.05% Zn, 0.03% Mg, 0.03% Mn, 0.03% Ti and the remainder (99.60% Al) was used. The aggressive solutions (0.2 M, 0.4 M, 0.5 M, 0.6 M, 0.8 M, 1 M, 1.25 M and 1.50 M HCl) were prepared by dilution of analytical reagent grade 98% HCl with distilled water. The initial inhibitory solution was prepared from the dissolution of (>98% purity) nicotinamide from LobaChem in HCl and H$_2$O.

Weight loss was measured on aluminum sheets with a surface area of 10 cm$^2$. These sheets were progressively rubbed with fine emery paper from 600 to 1200 grades, washed with water, followed by degreasing with acetone, then dried and weighed before immersion in 100 mL corrosive medium.

**Electrochemical measurements**

The working cathode (WE) for the potentiodynamic studies was formed from an aluminum rod, and was bound towards one side with a protected copper wire, which was then inserted in synthetic epoxy sap (ARALDITE), leaving the uncovered surface region of 1 cm$^2$ for the studies. The counter anode was platinum, and the reference electrode was a soaked calomel terminal (SCE) [29]. The capability of the metal terminal versus the reference cathode was measured.
with the help of a galvanostat. The utilized terminal framework was for potentiostatic polarization and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. Potentiodynamic polarization and potentiostatic polarization estimations were performed using a CHI 760 electrochemical analyser instrument which was under circulated air.

Quantum chemical calculations and Monte Carlo simulation
Quantum chemical analysis was performed using the MNDO and AM1 method of the MOPAC 6.0 quantum chemical package of Hyperchem 7.5. The algorithm used for computation was Polak-Rieberre, which is fast and accurate. Geometry optimization was performed on nicotinamide by DFT, employing the functional BLYP together with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA), using the DNP basis sets. A vibrational analysis was carried out for each optimized molecule, to ensure that they were at a minimum level on the potential energy surface (no imaginary frequency). The convergence criteria and the global orbital cut-offs were fine-tuned before the calculations. The tolerances of energy, gradient and displacement convergence were $1 \times 10^{-5}$ Ha, $2 \times 10^{-5}$ HaÅ$^{-1}$ and $5 \times 10^{-3}$ Å, respectively. Direct inversion in an iterative subspace (DIIS) and an orbital occupancy smearing parameter of 0.005 Ha were used to speed up SCF convergence. The effect of the aqueous solvent was simulated by re-optimizing all the geometries at the BLYP/DNP level, using COSMO (conductor-like screening model). Monte Carlo simulations using the Adsorption Locator and Forcite codes implemented in the 6.0 Material Studio software, from Accelrys Inc. (USA), were adopted to compute the adsorption energy of the interaction between nicotinamide and Al surfaces. For the whole simulation procedure, the Compass force field was used to optimize the structures of all components of the system of interest. The simulations were carried out in the simulation boxes (42 Å x 42 Å x 55 Å) with $\alpha = 90.00^\circ$, $\beta = 90.00^\circ$ and $\gamma = 90.00^\circ$, with periodic boundary conditions, in order to simulate a representative part of an interface devoid of any arbitrary boundary effects. The Al (111) planes were next enlarged to a 10 x 10 supercell. A vacuum slab of 50 Å thickness was built above the surfaces, to convert the system to 3D periodicity. The optimized inhibitor using the Forcite code was then added near the Al (111) surface, and a Monte Carlo simulation annealing procedure was carried out.

FTIR spectral analysis
The FTIR studies were performed by setting overnight the aluminum coupon in an aqueous solution of nicotinamide, trailed by drying in a microwave. Examination was made between pure nicotinamide and nicotinamide containing aluminum. Shimadzu-1800 FTIR was utilized for this investigation.

Surface morphological studies
Aluminum samples with the dimensions of 1 cm x 1 cm x 1 cm were utilized for SEM. The samples were submerged in 0.5 M HCl, with 4000 ppm of the inhibitor in 0.5 M HCl for 24 h, at room temperature. The specimens were removed from the solutions and dried in desiccators for 24 h, and utilized for SEM. SEM estimations were performed using a 6510LV GEOL JAPAN.
Result and discussion

Weight loss measurements

Aluminum coupons were exposed to 0.5 M HCl without and with the inhibitor. Weight loss of the coupon was carried out to calculate the corrosion inhibition efficiency, by using the following equation [29]:

$$\eta\% = \frac{W_0 - W_i}{W_0} \times 100$$

(1)

where $W_0$ and $W_i$ are the initial and the final weight of the aluminum coupon, respectively, and $\eta\%$ is the inhibition efficiency.

The results are shown in Table 1. It can be observed that, as the inhibitor concentration increases, the inhibition efficiency also increases, suggesting the formation of a protective layer by a wider surface coverage [29-30].

Table 1. Weight loss parameters for aluminum in 0.5 M HCl, without and with various concentrations of nicotinamide.

| Conc. (ppm) | $W_0$ | $W_i$ | $W_0-W_i$ | $\eta\%$ |
|------------|-------|-------|-----------|----------|
| 0.5 M HCl (blank) | 0.32  | 0.25  | 0.070     | 89.5     |
| 1000       | 0.34  | 0.34  | 0.008     | 92.1     |
| 2000       | 0.29  | 0.29  | 0.006     | 93.8     |
| 3000       | 0.32  | 0.32  | 0.004     | 96.1     |
| 4000       | 0.30  | 0.30  | 0.002     | 96.1     |

The inhibition efficiency of the inhibitor with respect to temperature has been studied. It was observed, as the temperature was increased, that the inhibition efficiency decreased [31-34]. The data are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Variation of the inhibition efficiency towards temperature, by weight loss method.

| Temperature (K) | $W_0$ | $W_i$ | $W_0-W_i$ | $\eta\%$ |
|----------------|-------|-------|-----------|----------|
| 298 blank      | 0.30  | 0.21  | 0.09      | 97.31    |
| 303 inhibitor  | 0.34  | 0.34  | 0.003     | 96.194   |
| 308 blank      | 0.29  | 0.19  | 0.09      | 84.32    |
| 313 inhibitor  | 0.27  | 0.25  | 0.017     | 82.644   |

The equation used in calculating the free energy of adsorption was:

$$\Delta G^u_{\text{ads}} = -2.303RT \log (55.5K_{\text{ads}})$$

(2)
where $T$ is the absolute temperature, and the constant value of 55.5 is the molar water concentration. $\Delta G^\circ_{ads}$ negative values suggest the spontaneity of the adsorption process and the stability of the adsorbed film onto the aluminum surface. It is usually accepted that $\Delta G^\circ_{ads}$ values around $-20$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ or lower indicate electrostatic interaction between charged metal surfaces and charged organic molecules in the bulk of the solution (physisorption process), while those around $-40$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ or higher involve charge sharing or charge transfer between the metal surface and organic molecules (chemisorption process) [35]. The calculated $\Delta G_{ads}$ and $K_{ads}$ values are presented in Table 3.

**Table 3.** Activation parameters for aluminum in a 0.5 M HCl solution, without and with different concentrations of nicotinamide.

| Concentration (ppm) | $E_a$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$) | $\Delta H^\circ_{ads}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$) | $\Delta S^\circ_{ads}$ (J mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$) | $\Delta G^\circ_{ads}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$) |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Blank (0.5 M HCl)   | 95.7                 | 54.6                                 | 22.0                            | -                                |
| 4000 ppm            | 138.3                | 61.2                                 | 24.1                            | -19.6                            |

It is worth noting that $-\Delta H^\circ_{ads}/R$ is the slope of the straight line ($\ln K_{ads}$ vs. 1/T, according to the Van’t Hoff equation:

$$\ln K_{ads} = - \left( \frac{\Delta H^\circ_{ads}}{RT} \right) + C$$

where $R$ is the gas constant ($R \approx 8.314$ J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$) and $T$ is the absolute temperature, respectively. The adsorption heat ($\Delta H_{ads}$) can be regarded as the standard adsorption heat ($\Delta H^\circ_{ads}$), because such experimental was carried out at the standard pressure and low solution concentration. The numerical value of $\Delta H^\circ_{ads}$ was calculated in Table 3. The negative sign of the standard adsorption heat indicated that the adsorption process of the inhibitor is an exothermic process, which explains that the corrosion inhibition efficiency decreased with increasing temperatures.

Thus, the standard adsorption entropy ($\Delta S^\circ_{ads}$) could be obtained by the following thermodynamic basic equation:

$$\Delta G^\circ_{ads} = \Delta H^\circ_{ads} - T\Delta S^\circ_{ads}$$

$\Delta S^\circ_{ads}$ positive values (in Table 4) suggest that the inhibitor adsorption is coupled with an increase of the system disorder.

Arrhenius equation and the alternative formulations were used to elucidate the corrosion inhibition mechanism [36-37].

$$GR = K exp \left( \frac{-E_a}{RT} \right)$$

$$CR = \frac{H_f}{Rk} exp \left( \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R} \right) exp \left( -\frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT} \right)$$
where $E_a$ is the activation energy of the corrosion process, $K$ is the pre-exponential factor, $R$ is the general gas constant, $h$ is the Plank’s constant, $N$ is Avogadro’s number, $\Delta S$ is the apparent entropy of activation and $\Delta H$ is the apparent enthalpy of activation.

**Figure 2.** Arrhenius plot for the 0.5 M HCl solutions with and without nicotinamide.

A plot of log of the corrosion rate obtained by weight loss measurement vs. $1/T$ gave a straight line, as shown in Fig. 2, with a slope of $-E_a/2.303R$. The activation energy values are listed in Table 3. $E_a$ values that are higher in the inhibitor presence than in its absence can be interpreted as an indication of the adsorption effects. In the inhibitor presence, $E_a$ increased values, in general, reflected its good ability to hinder aluminum corrosion under such conditions. In other words, the inhibitor adsorption onto the electrode surface led to the formation of a physical barrier that reduced the metal reactivity in the corrosion electrochemical reactions.

**Adsorption isotherm**

The type of adsorption isotherm can provide additional information about the properties of the tested compounds, and the adsorption depends on the compounds’ chemical composition, temperature and electrochemical potential at the metal/solution interface. In fact, the water molecules could also adsorb onto the metal/solution interface. Thus, the so-called adsorption can be regarded as a quasi-substitution process between the inhibitor in the aqueous phase [Inh$_{\text{sol}}$] and water molecules at the electrode surface [H$_2$O$_{\text{ads}}$]:

\[
\text{Inh}_{\text{sol}} + n \text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{ads}} \rightarrow \text{Inh}_{\text{ads}} + n \text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{sol}}
\]

where Inh$_{\text{sol}}$ and Inh$_{\text{ads}}$ are the inhibitor solutions dissolved in the aqueous solution and adsorbed onto the metallic surface, respectively. According to Langmuir adsorption isotherm, $\theta$ is related to the equilibrium adsorption constant ($K_{\text{ads}}$) and $C_{\text{inh}}$ by the following equation:
Fig. 3 shows the straight lines of $C_{\text{inh}}/\theta$ vs. $C_{\text{inh}}$ at different temperatures. These results show that all the linear correlation coefficients are almost equal to 1, and that the slopes are close to 1, which confirm that nicotinamide adsorption onto the aluminum surface obeyed the Langmuir adsorption isotherm.

\[ C_{\text{inh}}/\theta = 1/K_{\text{ads}} + C_{\text{inh}} \quad (7) \]

Figure 3. Langmuir adsorption isotherm plot for aluminum corrosion in the inhibitor presence.

**FTIR spectroscopy**

FTIR spectra of pure nicotinamide and the resulting solution of nicotinamide after 24 h of aluminum immersion are shown in Fig. 4. FTIR spectra show differences in the IR spectra, which were observed due to the difference in the interaction of aluminum with nicotinamide. The change in IR bands from 3436 to 2962 shows the interaction of N and O with Al, hence leading to the formation of the Al/nicotinamide complex.

**Table 4.** Aluminum corrosion parameters in 0.5 M HCl, in nicotinamide presence, at 298 K.

| Temp (K) | Conc. (ppm) | (-) $E_{\text{corr}}$ (mV) | $b_a$ (mV/dec) | $b_c$ (mV/dec) | $I_{\text{corr}}$ (mA/cm$^2$) | $\eta$ % |
|---------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------|
| 298     | 1000        | 711                         | 296.38         | 327.54         | 1.94                    | 93.31 |
| 298     | 2000        | 715                         | 308.45         | 336.24         | 1.23                    | 95.73 |
| 298     | 3000        | 703                         | 258.59         | 349.16         | 0.68                    | 97.65 |
| 298     | 4000        | 743                         | 268.88         | 257.86         | 0.002                   | 99.99 |
| 298     | 0.5 M HCl   | 700                         | 221.48         | 222.32         | 29.02                   |       |

**Electrochemical studies**

**Potentiodynamic polarization studies**

To analyse the impact of nicotinamide on the polarization behaviour of aluminum, solutions of different nicotinamide concentrations (1000, 2000, 3000
and 4000 ppm) were set up in 0.5 M HCl, and were then utilized for the polarization studies. The values of potential were plotted against the logarithm of current densities. Different computed parameters are given in Table 4.

![Figure 4. FTIR spectra of nicotinamide before and after aluminum immersion.](image)

It is clear from Table 4 that both cathodic and anodic slopes are affected in the inhibitor presence. It can, therefore, be assumed that nicotinamide gets adsorbed when inhibiting Al corrosion in the HCl solution, by the precipitation of chloride salt on both cathodic and anodic sites of the metal oxide surfaces. Fig. 5 gives the cathodic and anodic polarization bands for nicotinamide, at 298 K. The corrosion inhibition efficiency was ascertained utilizing the following expression [38]:

$$
\eta\% = \left( \frac{I_{\text{acid}} - I_{\text{inhibitor}}}{I_{\text{acid}}} \right) \times 100 \tag{8}
$$

where $I_{\text{acid}}$ is the corrosion current exhibited by aluminum in the acidic solution only, and $I_{\text{inhibitor}}$ is the corrosion current of the aluminum sample in the presence of inhibitor additives.

The estimations of $E_{\text{corr}}$ (corrosion potential), $b_c$ and $b_a$ are the primary components which determine the inhibitor behavior. $E_{\text{corr}}$ values remain practically consistent, which shows that this is a mixed type inhibitor [39-40]. This, however, does not give any data about anodic and cathodic disintegration processes. These are exceptionally characterized by $b_c$ and $b_a$ values. If $b_a > b_c$, 

this indicates that the anodic disintegration process is more prevalent, and that the inhibitor will perform as an anodic type of inhibitor; and if \( bc > ba \), then, the cathodic disintegration process is more prevalent, and the inhibitor will reveal to be of the cathodic type. In the present studies, \( E_{\text{corr}} \) values remain almost constant, indicating the presence of a mixed type of inhibitor.

![Figure 5. Tafel polarization curves for aluminum in 0.5 M HCl, in the presence of various nicotinamide concentrations, at 298 K.](image)

**Figure 5.** Tafel polarization curves for aluminum in 0.5 M HCl, in the presence of various nicotinamide concentrations, at 298 K.

![Figure 6. Nyquist plot for aluminum/0.5 M HCl, without and with various concentrations of nicotinamide.](image)

**Figure 6.** Nyquist plot for aluminum/0.5 M HCl, without and with various concentrations of nicotinamide.

**Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)**

Aluminum corrosion in an acidic solution in nicotinamide presence was explored by EIS at 298 K, after immersion for 30 min. Double layer capacitance values \( (C_{\text{dl}}) \) and charge transfer resistance values \( (R_{\text{ct}}) \) were measured from impedance estimation. The estimation of charge transfer resistance was determined by measuring the width of the semicircle. The double layer capacitance was figured out utilizing the following equation:

\[
C_{\text{dl}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi f_{\text{max}} R_{\text{ct}})}
\]  

(9)
where \( f_{\text{max}} \) is the frequency where the imaginary part of the impedance (i.e., \( Z'' \)) has maximum magnitude.

The corrosion inhibition efficiency was calculated by using the following equation:

\[
\eta_{\text{EIS}}(\%) = \left( \frac{R_{\text{ct}}\text{inhibitor} - R_{\text{ct}}\text{acid}}{R_{\text{ct}}\text{inhibitor}} \right) \times 100 \quad (10)
\]

where \( R_{\text{ct}}\text{inhibitor} \) is the charge transfer resistance exhibited by aluminum in nicotinamide presence in an acidic solution, and \( R_{\text{ct}}\text{acid} \) is the charge transfer resistance of the aluminum sample without and with nicotinamide.

Fig. 6 shows the Nyquist plot for aluminum/0.5 M HCl, without and with various concentrations of nicotinamide.

Fig. 7 and 8 show the Bode plots (Log Freq. (Hz) vs. (-) Phase (deg)) and (Log Freq. (Hz) vs. Log Z (Ohm/cm\(^2\))), respectively, for aluminum in 0.5 M HCl, without and with various nicotinamide concentrations.

![Bode plot](image)

**Figure 7.** Bode plot (Log Freq. (Hz) vs. (-) Phase (deg)) for aluminum in 0.5 M HCl, without and with various concentrations of nicotinamide.

Parameters obtained from EIS, and the calculated inhibition efficiency (IE %) are given in Table 5. \( R_{\text{ct}} \) value increases in the inhibitor presence, which, in turn, leads to a decrease in the corrosion current for aluminum in 0.5 M HCl. All nicotinamide concentrations perform best in 0.5 M HCl, by enhancing \( R_{\text{ct}} \) value and bringing down \( C_{\text{dl}} \) value. \( R_{\text{ct}} \) higher values in nicotinamide presence, as compared to the \( R_{\text{ct}} \) value of acid, are indicative of greater inhibition efficiency [41].

**Quantum chemical results**

The optimized structures, graphical surfaces of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and possible sites for electrophilic attack are displayed in Fig. 9. All quantum chemical parameters were obtained from the optimized structures of the lowest energy conformer.

The reactivity of nicotinamide was researched by investigating the frontier molecular orbitals. According to the frontier molecular orbital theory, the
chemical reactivity is strongly determined by the interaction of the highest unoccupied molecular orbital (HOMO) with the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of the interacting species [41].

![Bode plot](image)

**Figure 8.** Bode plot (Log Freq. (Hz) vs. Log Z (Ohm/cm²)) for aluminum in 0.5 M HCl, without and with various concentrations of nicotinamide.

**Table 5.** Impedance parameters for aluminum corrosion in 0.5 M HCl with nicotinamide.

| Conc. (ppm) | $R_{ct}$ (Ω cm²) | $C_{dl}$ (µ F cm²) | $\Theta$ | $\eta_{EIS}$ (%) |
|------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|-----------------|
| 1000       | 25.3             | 167.7             | 0.84   | 84.7            |
| 2000       | 40.6             | 84.3              | 0.90   | 90.4            |
| 3000       | 64.0             | 43.3              | 0.93   | 93.9            |
| 4000       | 70.6             | 3.2               | 0.94   | 94.5            |
| 0.5 M HCl  | 3.8              | 590.2             | ---    | ---             |

Other quantum chemical parameters were calculated so to have more insight into nicotinamide reactivity. The frontier molecular orbital energies (i.e., $E_{HOMO}$ and $E_{LUMO}$) provide information on the reactivity of chemical species. $E_{HOMO}$ is often associated with the electron donating ability of a molecule [42-43], and a higher $E_{HOMO}$ energy value indicates higher tendency of the molecule to donate electron(s) to the appropriate acceptor molecule with low energy and empty/partially filled molecular orbital. The results reported in Table 6 show the estimated values of quantum chemical parameters.

Molecules with large $\Delta E$ ($E_{LUMO} - E_{HOMO}$) values are highly stable and associated with low reactivity, while molecules with small $\Delta E$ values are generally highly reactive. A molecule with a small $\Delta E$ value is easily polarized, and can, therefore, be easily adsorbed onto the metal surface, resulting in appreciably good inhibition efficiency.
Figure 9. 3D mapped structure, total charge density, highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) for the studied compound (nicotinamide).

Table 6. Calculated quantum chemical parameters for nicotinamide.

| Parameter       | Value  |
|-----------------|--------|
| $E_{\text{HOMO}}$ (Hartree) | -8.6   |
| $E_{\text{LUMO}}$ (Hartree)   | 0.4    |
| $E_{\text{LUMO-HOMO}}$ (Hartree) | -1.2   |
| Dipole moment, $\mu$ (Debye) | 3.3    |

The dipole moment ($\mu$) is another index that is often used for the prediction of the relative ability of corrosion inhibitors. It is the measure of polarity in a bond, and it is related to the distribution of electrons in a molecule [45]. Inhibitors with high dipole moments tend to form strong dipole–dipole interactions with the metal, which result in strong adsorption onto the metal surface, thus leading to greater inhibition efficiency [46-47]. However, a survey of literature shows that, in most cases, experimental inhibition efficiencies do not always correlate with dipole moments [48-49]. The results reported in Table 6 show that nicotinamide has appreciable dipole moments, which facilitate its adsorption onto the aluminum surface.

Monte Carlo simulation results

Electronic properties alone are not adequate to foresee the pattern of the inhibition properties of the explored inhibitor, regardless of their ability to investigate the inhibition mechanism. We carried out Monte Carlo technique to test possible low energy searches of the inhibitors’ configuration space on a clean aluminum surface in an aqueous solution, as the temperature steadily diminished. The most stable low energy adsorption configurations of nicotinamide on (Al 111)/H$_2$O framework utilizing Monte Carlo studies are portrayed in Fig. 10 and Fig 11.

The qualities for the yields and descriptors of the Monte Carlo calculations are recorded in Table 7. It is very evident from this table that the adsorption energies of the inhibitors on the Al surface, in water presence, were expanded. It is by and large recognized that the essential phenomenon of aluminum corrosion inhibition occurs by adsorption. So, the adsorption energy can provide us with immediate information about the efficiency of inhibitors. In all cases, the adsorption energy of nicotinamide is far higher than that of water molecules (Table 7). This shows
the likelihood of a steady substitution of water molecules from the aluminum surface, bringing about the formation of a protective layer which thereby inhibits corrosion on the aluminum surface.

**Figure 10.** The most stable low energy configuration for nicotinamide adsorption on Al(111)/50H$_2$O system, obtained using the Monte Carlo simulation, side view.

**Figure 11.** The most stable low energy configuration for nicotinamide adsorption on Al(111)/50H$_2$O system obtained using the Monte Carlo simulation, top view.

**Table 7.** Outputs and descriptors calculated by the Monte Carlo simulation for the lowest adsorption configurations of nicotinamide on Al(111)/50H$_2$O interface (in kcal/mol).

| Al(111) Nicotinamide/50 H$_2$O | Total energy | Adsorption energy | Rigid adsorption energy | Deformation energy | Nicotinamide dEad/dNi | H$_2$O : dEad/dNi |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
|                                | -472.5       | -451.5            | -471.5                  | 20.0               | -38.6                 | -3.3               |

**Scanning electron microscopy**

The surface morphologies of plain aluminum surfaces and aluminum in 0.5 M HCl, without and with 4000 ppm of nicotinamide, are exhibited in Fig. 12. It is clear that plain aluminum, before immersion in a 0.5 M HCl solution, displays a smooth surface. The aluminum coupon immersed in a 0.5 M HCl solution, without inhibitors, showed a profoundly rough and disintegrated surface due to corrosion; the aluminum coupon immersed in a solution of 4000 ppm in 0.5 M HCl showed a visibly smooth surface with much less pores and cavities.
This indicates that nicotinamide provides a defensive covering on the aluminum surface, thus inhibiting corrosion [50].

![Figure 12. SEM images of aluminum surface: (a) plain aluminum, (b) aluminum immersed in 0.5 M HCl and (c) in the presence of a nicotinamide solution (4000 ppm) in 0.5 M HCl.](image)

**Conclusions**
Corrosion inhibition properties of nicotinamide were investigated on aluminum in 0.5 M HCl, using electrochemical methods, spectroscopic techniques, quantum chemical calculations and Monte Carlo simulations. The following conclusions were drawn from the results:
1. Nicotinamide studied in this work showed appreciable inhibition efficiency of 99.9% for aluminum corrosion in 0.5 M HCl, at 4000 ppm. The inhibition efficiency increased with increasing inhibitors concentration.
2. Potentiodynamic polarization study showed that nicotinamide is a mixed type inhibitor, and the EIS study revealed that this inhibitor formed a protective film on the aluminum surface. The experimental results showed that nicotinamide spontaneously adsorbs onto the aluminum surface, and conforms to the Langmuir adsorption isotherm.
3. SEM images also confirmed the formation of protective films by nicotinamide on the aluminum surface.
4. FTIR spectra revealed the occurrence of chemical interactions between nicotinamide and aluminum.
5. Quantum chemical parameters, such as $E_{\text{HOMO}}$ and $E_{\text{LUMO}}$, and dipole moments agree with the experimental results.
6. Monte Carlo studies reveal that the adsorption energy of the nicotinamide is far higher than that of water molecules, thereby suggesting the formation of a protective layer.
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