Characterization of Soiling Bands on the Bottom Edges of PV Modules
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Soiling of photovoltaic (PV) modules, especially non-uniform soiling, can lead to PV power loss. For example, soiling bands at the bottom edge of framed modules are caused by the accumulation of water and dirt at a lower tilt angle. However, few studies have investigated this issue. In this study, industrial and commercial metal rooftop PV power stations in central and eastern China were investigated, with a focus in Xi’an and Kaifeng cities. The results show that (1) soiling bands were widespread, even in Guangzhou city, where annual rainfall is approximately 1,800 mm; (2) soiling bands were found during every month in Xi’an city, even from July to September when heavy rainfall occurs frequently; (3) three types of soiling bands were observed on modules (rectangular, transverse trapezoidal, and triangular), with double triangular, arch, and shallow U-shape types also being observed in landscape-oriented modules; (4) the mean relative difference of the maximum direct current due to soiling bands between one maximum power point tracking system and its control from June 19 to October 2, 2019 in Kaifeng city was approximately 4.7%.
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INTRODUCTION

The accumulation of dust, dirt, and other contaminants on photovoltaic (PV) modules is an important environmental factor that reduces energy generation from PV power plants (Gostein et al., 2014; Klugmann-Radziemska, 2020), especially in arid and semi-arid areas (Kimber et al., 2006; Sayyah et al., 2014; Cordero et al., 2018; Dahlioui et al., 2019). Factors influencing the settlement of contaminants, the effect of soiling on PV system performance, and relevant mitigation methods have been studied for many years (Mani and Pillai, 2010; Sarver et al., 2013; Suellen et al., 2016; Jamil et al., 2017; Said et al., 2018). However, previous research has focused on the effect of uniform soiling distributions on PV power stations installed at a high tilt angle, with few studies on the effect of non-uniform soiling distribution, especially at a lower tilt angle. This is because the tilt angle is designed to be similar to the latitudinal angle of the PV system in order to receive maximum PV energy (Cano et al., 2014), and very few studies have been performed near the equator. Another reason is that utility-scale PV power plants distributed on the metal roofs of industrial and commercial buildings have previously been very rare before 2016 in China. According to the author’s investigation in China, the tilt angle of the metal roof is typically 2–3° and the PV modules lie flat on the roof.

Studies show that the effect of non-uniform soiling on PV energy generation is proportionally greater than that of uniform soiling (Schill et al., 2015; Maghami et al., 2016; Molin, 2018). This is...
because the PV cells in the modules are connected in a series and the cell with the heaviest soiling will regulate the current flowing through the PV module (John, 2015). Soiling tends to primarily accumulate at the bottom of the PV modules and sometimes at the top (Lorenzo et al., 2013; Qasem et al., 2014), owing to wind or rain transport. In this study, soiling at the top or sides of modules is neglected because it is relatively rare, as observed in the field investigation. Conversely, bottom-edge soiling is widely distributed in PV stations with a low tilt angle, especially on the metal roofs of industrial and commercial buildings, and even on the PV carport with a low tilt angle. PV stations on the metal roofs of industrial and commercial buildings is one kind of building applied/attached PV (BAPV), which indicates the PV system is added/attached or applied to a building, while PV carport is one kind of building integrated PV (BIPV), which illustrates the concept of replacing the traditional building envelop, such as window, wall, roof by PV (Reddy et al., 2020). Both of them, especially BIPV, have been focused by researchers in recent times (Karthick et al., 2018, 2020; Pichandi et al., 2020; Ramanan et al., 2020).

Soiling at the module bottom edge not only affects the free space between the solar cells and frame but also the solar cell surface, which significantly influences the performance of PV installations (Lorenzo et al., 2013). For example, bottom-edge soiling of 0.5% of the total area of a module, covering ~25% of the bottom right corner cell and ~9% of its neighbor, resulted, at peak, in a 9% power loss with a tilt angle of approximately 5° at a pre-construction site-survey station in the southwest US. Furthermore, the module was only cleaned by a > 5 mm rainfall event (Gostein et al., 2015). In another study, an energy yield loss of up to 10–20% was observed for PV cells owing to soiling bands on the edge of framed cells (Ibrahim, 2011). For PV cells in a landscape (long edge down) configuration, a 20–26.7% power loss was observed due to 5–10 cm soiling bands on the bottom edge of modules with a tilt angle of approximately 3° at a rooftop PV power station in Shaxi, China (Yan and Yao, 2018). Meanwhile, Ilse et al. reported that the shading of only 50% of a single solar cell due to dust accumulation can trigger the bypass diode of one string, which can reduce the power production of a typical three-string module by one third, and may even cause complete power loss if the bottom rows of cells are fully covered (Ilse et al., 2019).

Therefore, it is important to investigate soiling bands at the bottom edge of PV modules. However, presently, there are no systematic studies on the distribution characteristics, formation mechanisms, shape characteristics, effects on modules, and effective removal methods of PV-soiling bands. While conducting other research on PV modules, the authors of this study happened to find a wide distribution of bottom-edge soiled PV modules at commercial metal rooftop PV power stations in several parts of central and eastern China (especially Xi’an city). Photographs of soiling bands were captured and exhibited their unwanted characteristics, such as hotspots and hazy appearances. As a non-systematic paper, the authors hope to attract more scholars and owners of PV power stations to pay attention to the adverse effect of soiling bands, and to encourage its systemic study for finding a reliable and low-cost solution.

FORMATION OF SOILING BANDS

For modules with a frame, soiling is particularly likely to accumulate at the bottom edge, especially at lower tilt angles (Gostein et al., 2013; Molin, 2018). This is because the frame typically extends approximately 1–3 mm above the front glass, enabling water, dust, and dirt to easily accumulate at the bottom of the module (Pedersen et al., 2016). Under the driving force of gravity, small amounts of precipitation, snow, dew, frost, or cleaning water are typically insufficient to move the dust particles up the frame and carry them to the bottom of the PV module, which directly leads to the formation of a stagnant area at the bottom edge (John, 2015). Particle-laden runoff then flows to the stagnant area, and when the speed of runoff decreases to zero, most of the particles sink to the bottom of the stagnant area. In the field investigation, the authors in this study found that once a stagnant area exists, it is difficult to clean the stagnant area through natural causes, including moderate rainfall; this is because the strength of the raindrops is weakened by the upper surface of the stagnant area, water exhibits a good buffer effect, and raindrops have little effect on particles deposited at the bottom of the stagnant area. After evaporation of the stagnant water, a soiling band is formed, the shape of which is similar to that of the original stagnant water pool.

REGIONAL AND SEASONAL DISTRIBUTION OF SOILING BANDS

Due to the buffer role of the stagnant water, soiling deposited at the bottom of the module does not flow away with rainfall, but becomes increasingly thick with increased rainfall during seasons with light to moderate rainfall, such as autumn, winter, and spring in northern China. In serious cases, the color of the module cell is not visible over the entire area covered by the soiling band.

In this study, photographs of industrial and commercial metal rooftop PV power stations were collected in several provinces in central and eastern parts of China from 2018 to 2020, as shown in Figure 1, where soiling bands were widely observed. Even at Guangzhou city in Guangdong province, where the average annual rainfall is approximately 1,800 mm, soiling bands were observed due to less frequent heavy rainfall in the dry season lasting from late October to March with generally cool and dry weather (Chen et al., 2012).

We also collected photographs from May 2018 to June 2020 of a PV power station installed on an industrial and commercial metal rooftop in Xi’an, Shaanxi province (photographs from August and October 2018 and January 2020 were missing), as shown in Figure 2. The tilt angle of this PV power station is approximately 3°. Soiling bands were observed at this power station during every month of the year. July and August, which experience heavy rainfall, had the smallest bands with only a thin layer of soiling. From March–June and September–November, soiling bands were severe along the lower edges, but the non-soiling areas were relatively clean. This is primarily due to the light–moderate rainfall experienced during these months. Conversely, December–February experienced a smaller amount...
of rainfall with a lower frequency; this, along with severe winter pollution led to thicker soiling bands, with a layer of dust covering the non-soiling area. Light and moderate rainfall cleaned only the non-soiling area, while only heavy rainfall cleaned the soiling bands. For example, as shown in Figure 2, the soiling bands disappeared in the photographs in July 2019 and May 2020, both of which were taken after a heavy rain event.

**FIGURE 1** | Photographs of soiling bands on industrial and commercial metal rooftop PV power stations in several provinces in central and eastern China from 2018 to 2020.

**FIGURE 2** | Photographs of soiling bands on industrial and commercial metal rooftop PV power stations in several provinces in central and eastern China from 2018 to 2020.

**SHAPES OF SOILING BANDS**

PV modules may be installed in either portrait (short edge down) or landscape (long edge down) configurations (Karthick et al., 2020). Previous field investigations conducted by the authors in this study have shown that modules in most industrial and commercial metal rooftop PV power stations with a lower tilt angle are installed in a portrait configuration, with few of them being installed in a landscape configuration at the central and eastern parts of China.

During field investigations, it was found that the shape of bottom edge soiling bands mainly depends on the tilt angle in up-down and left-right directions, as well as on the deformation of the PV modules. The tilt angle in the up-down direction depends on the tilt angle of the metal rooftop and the tilt angle in the left-right direction depends on the height differences in the left and right directions that are caused by construction errors.

For the portrait configuration, the length of the shorter side of the PV module was generally 1 m approximately and the bracket was typically placed in the left-right direction. Therefore, deformation of the center of the shorter side was
not evident. Consequently, only three soiling band shapes were observed, namely: rectangular, transverse trapezoidal, and triangular (Figure 3). For the landscape configuration, the length of the longer side of the module was approximately 1.65–2 m and the bracket was typically placed in the up-down direction. Therefore, deformation of the center of the shorter edge was more evident. Consequently, more soiling band shapes were observed, in addition to those observed in portrait configuration, namely: double triangular, arch, and shallow U-shape (Figure 3).

ADVERSE EFFECT OF SOILING BANDS ON THE MAXIMUM DIRECT CURRENT

Part of the incoming radiation to PV cells covered by soiling bands is absorbed and reflected by the soiling band, thereby reducing the output of the entire module. Even if only one cell is covered fully or partially, the current flowing through the PV module will be affected due to the series connection of the cells (John, 2015).

An experiment to determine the influence of soiling bands on power generation was conducted in a PV power station installed on an industrial and commercial metal rooftop in Kaifeng city (latitude 34.8° and longitude 114.3°), Henan Province, China, from June 19 to October 2, 2019. The tilt angle of this PV power station is approximately 4°. However, data from June 28, August 10, and August 17 were missing. One PV string inverter was selected due to its stable operation since installation. One PV string inverter comprised four maximum power point tracking systems (MPPTs), with each MPPT controlling three arrays in shunt connection and each array containing 20 modules in a series connection. The maximum direct current (MDC) of each MPPT was recorded every 2 min and the mean MDC for 1 day was calculated by eliminating the zero values. MPPT one and MPPT two were chosen for testing as they were distributed adjacent to each other and exhibited the minimum difference according to data collected prior to the experiment between May 25 and June 18, 2019 (Figure 4). MPPT one refers to the experimental group with no soiling band due to manual cleaning; however, the area not covered by soiling bands was not cleaned. MPPT two is the control group that was not cleaned. The relative differences (RD) between the daily maximum current of MPPT one \( I_{mc} \) and MPPT two \( I_{ms} \) were calculated by Eq. (1).

\[
RD(\%) = \frac{I_{mc} - I_{ms}}{I_{ms}} \times 100
\]

Figure 4 shows that RDs gradually increased with instances of light rain or moderate rain that occurred between two thunderstorms; subsequently, these values suddenly dropped to the same range as those recorded before the experiment. This indicates that soiling bands began to form and gradually became thicker after light or moderate rain, before disappearing after thunderstorms. A maximum RD of approximately 20% was recorded on September 3 after two light rain events and one
moderate rain event. RDs of 14% on July 28 and 10% on June 27 were also observed. The mean $I_{mc}$ value was 8.57 A and the mean $I_{ms}$ value was 8.19 A from June 19 to October 2, 2019, resulting in a mean RD of 4.7% lower than the power loss 9% in Gostein et al. (2015) and 10–20% in Ibrahim (2011) and 20–26.7% in Yan and Yao (2018). The reason is the thunderstorms only occurring between June and September, can clean the soiling bands in Kaifeng city. That means the RD of 4.7% is smaller than that in any other months, and even the annual RD.

Furthermore, the RD did not change substantially with dramatic changes in daily irradiation, which would be indicated by dramatic changes in $I_{mc}$ or $I_{ms}$. Thus, RD changed only slightly between sunny and overcast days. For example, the difference in $I_{mc}$ between August 22 and August 27 was approximately three times. However, the difference in RD between August 22 and August 27 was only approximately 6.5%.

**OTHER ADVERSE EFFECTS OF SOILING BANDS**

**Hotspots**

Similar to the effect of partial shading, soiling bands at the bottom edges of the framed modules resulted in a non-uniform incoming radiation to the PV cell, which can lead to hotspots (Al Dowsari et al., 2014). Temperature differences of up to 23°C have been observed between non-covered cells and cells covered by soiling bands (Lorenzo et al., 2013). Unlike localized overheating caused by semi-homogeneous deposits, hot bands, or bird droppings (Cipriani et al., 2020), the entire area covered by soiling bands exhibits a higher temperature than other areas, which was also observed in our field investigation.

**Hazy Appearance**

After cleaning the soiling bands, a hazy appearance of the glass corresponding to the location of soiling bands was observed at the lower edge of the PV modules during a few months after installation at Xi’an, which is not observed on the modules covered by partial shading. This phenomenon was also examined in Sánchez-Friera et al. (2011) and is thought to be caused due to sedimentation of rainwater and an ion exchange between alkalis in the glass and H+ ions in the water (Lombardo et al., 2005; Manganiello et al., 2015). However, the color of the hazy appearance is reminiscent of the oxidation of the antireflection layer (as shown in Figure 3 in Sánchez-Friera et al., 2011); this layer may be another reason for the hazy appearance of the lower edge of the module.

**Fast Aging**

Compared to the non-soiling areas of PV modules, the stagnant water corresponding to the location of the soiling bands has a longer invasion time due to the seal between the glass and the metal frame; this water can damage the seal and eventually reduce the service life of PV modules (John, 2015; Manganiello et al., 2015).
CONCLUSION

As a type of non-uniform PV soiling, soiling band development at the bottom edge of PV modules is widespread in PV stations with lower tilt angles, especially in industrial and commercial metal rooftop PV power stations such as those in central and eastern China. This study elucidated that heavy soiling bands were observed in every month with no heavy rainfall, even if the average annual rainfall reached 1,800 mm. Even in months with heavy rainfall, thin soiling bands were found after one instance of light or moderate rainfall in Xi'an city. Moreover, the thickness of the soiling band gradually increased with instances of light or moderate rainfall, and then suddenly decreased to zero with heavy rainfall. In addition to the serious effects on the PV power output, hotspot formation, hazy module appearance, and fast aging of PV modules also resulted from the development of soiling bands. Nevertheless, more in-depth research on the adverse effects of soiling bands is required in future and should focus on:

- The relationship between the width of the soiling bands and the tilt angle;
- The influence of the width and thickness of the soiling bands on the power generation losses;
- The generation mechanism of hazy appearance and its influence on the power generation losses, and the removal methods;
- Long term observation of the influence of soiling bands on power generation losses;
- The relationship between rain intensity and precipitation and the formation and disappearance of soiling bands;
- The removal methods of the soiling bands;
- The mechanism and speed of the fast aging of modules due to the soiling bands.
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