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Abstract. Industrial development in Indonesia, manufacturing and services, are required to be able to manage the company very well. However, in practice, the company's activities are always faced with risks. In general, the risk can be defined as a situation faced by a person or a company in which there is a possibility that harm. The level of risk faced losses due to highly variable depending on the cause and effect influence. To be able to manage (risk management), it can use FMEA (Failure Mode and Effect Analysis). FMEA is a method of analyzing potential failure are applied in product development, system engineering and operational management and is one of a qualitative risk assessment. Using FMEA can also note the value of the RPN (Risk Priority Number) to determine improvement priorities at risk. But there are weaknesses in the use of FMEA, namely RPN calculation is only done by multiplying the severity, occurrence and detection alone and irrespective of the degree of importance of each input, to the FMEA method is integrated using fuzzy logic. Fuzzy FMEA is aimed at obtaining the highest fuzzyRPN value which will be used as the focus of improvements to minimize the possibility of these risks occur back. The results were obtained 7 out of 18 types of risks that have a high priority for repairs. Risk troublesome computer (hank / die) while doing photo editing scored the highest RPN 540 (scale 1-1000) and also the highest FRPN 9 (scale 1-10). There is a difference in value between RPN and FRPN. FRPN value obtained from the fuzzification, generate value by taking into account the degree of interest of any given input.

1 Introduction

The risk is related to uncertainty, this happens because of lack or unavailability of adequate information about what is going to happen. Something uncertain might be beneficial or detrimental to the company. According to Wideman (1992), which raises uncertainty the potential to benefit known as the chance (opportunity), while uncertainties which give rise to these adverse effects is called the risk. For the creation of good corporate governance cannot be separated from the application of risk management so that risk management is a vital force for good corporate governance. Risk management is very important so that it becomes an urgent matter that should be applied, because it is through the implementation of a formal risk management, structured and integrated company will be able to adapt to the business environment.

The main objective of risk management is to keep operational activities that do not cause losses which exceed the ability of business entities to absorb such losses or endangering its survival. One element of risk that will inevitably arise in the business life activity at a business entity is operational risk, and is also the oldest and the inherent risk that comes before other risks (Muslich, 2007). Basically operational risk management is the understanding that the operational risks faced by the company can be identified, measured, controlled, mitigated and reported correctly through the implementation of the risk management framework. Optimus Creative Company is one of the services in the field of graphic design, photography, yearbook and event organizer. In the process of operation, the company is always confronted with a variety of business operational risks derived from changes in external and internal environment relating to business management. Some of the business operating risks among which the delay in payment by the consumer, the delay in the printing process, errors in the editing photos, errors in the printing results, delays in delivery, complaints from consumers and others. In order to carry out its activities in order to minimize the potential occurrence of such risks, the company is in need of policies and guidelines for risk management so that goals and objectives can be achieved. The method used to overcome this problem is by applying Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) and the use of fuzzy logic.

Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) is one method of failure analysis / potential failure are applied in product development, system engineering and operational management and is one risk measurement / risk measurement category qualitative risk assessment.
Risk management is closely related to the company's sustainability. If the company carries out the management of risk, the company can avoid bankruptcy or even be able to generate increased profits. Risk management is a series of procedures and methodologies used to identify, measure, monitor and control risks arising from the business operations of a company (Hanggraeni, 2010). On the Project Risk Management PMBOK (2004), risk management is a systematic process of planning, identifying, analyzing, responding to and controlling risk. Risk management is intended to ensure the sustainability of profitability and growth in line with the vision and mission of the company. In the control strategy and business risk management, corporate identification and risk maps (risk mapping), quantification and measurement of risk (risk measurement and assessment), risk management (risk treatment) as well as risk management policies (Hanggraeni, 2010). Risk Management process starting from identifying risk, analyzing risk, manage risk, risk management implementation and control of the application of risk management (ISO 31000-risk management system).

### 2.4 FMEA (Failure Mode and Effect Analysis)

Use of the method FMEA (Failure Mode and Effect Analysis) was first created in 1960 by the Aerospace Industry. In 1974, the Navy developed the so-called FMEA Procedure Mil-Std-1629 in which FMEA format is often used today. FMEA became popular after being used by the automotive industry to be implemented Integration into the product development process of their cars to overcome the problems of low product quality. FMEA until now still used primarily to prevent accidents and incidents at work (Mc Dermott, 2009).

| Rating | Description | Criteria |
|--------|-------------|----------|
| 10     | Extremely dangerous | Failure could cause the death of a customer (patient, visitor, employee, staff member, business partner) and/or total system breakdown, without any prior warning. |
| 9      | Very dangerous | Failure could cause a major or permanent injury and/or serious system disruption with interruption in service, with prior warning. |
| 8      | Dangerous | Failure could cause a minor to moderate injury with a high degree of customer dissatisfaction and/or major system problems requiring major repairs or significant re-work. |
| 7      | Moderate danger | Failure could cause a minor injury with some customer dissatisfaction and/or major system problems. |
| 6      | Low to moderate danger | Failure could cause a very minor or no injury but annoys customers and/or results in minor system problems that can be overcome with minor modifications to the system or process. |
| 5      | Slight danger | Failure could cause no injury and the customer is unaware of the problem; however, the potential for minor injury exists. There is little or no effect on the system. |
FMEA is a structured procedure to identify and prevent as much as possible modes of failure. FMEA is used to identify the sources and root causes of quality problems. According to Wideman (1992), FMEA can be done by:
- Identify and evaluate the potential failure of a product and its effects.
- Registration process (document the process).
- Identify actions that could eliminate or reduce the chance of potential failure occurs.

In the use of FMEA methods have involved three things that assist in determining the risk to be able to know the value of the RPN (Mc Dermott, 2009), namely:
- Severity is the impact that arises when an error occurs. Table scale levels to the severity (damage) can be seen in Table 1.
- Occurrence is the likelihood or probability or frequency of occurrence of errors. Table scale levels for occurrence can be seen in Table 2.
- Detection is possible to detect a fault will occur or before the impact of these errors occur. Table scale levels for detection can be seen in Table 3.

### Table 2. Occurrence rating scale

| Rating | Description | Potential failure rate |
|--------|-------------|------------------------|
| 10     | Certain probability of occurrence | Failure occurs at least once a day, or failure occurs almost every time. |
| 9      | Failure is almost inevitable | Failure occurs predictably, or failure occurs every 3–4 days |
| 8      | Very high probability of occurrence | Failure occurs frequently, or failure occurs about once per week. |
| 7      | Moderate high probability of occurrence | Failure occurs approximately once per month. |
| 6      | Moderate probability of occurrence | Failure occurs occasionally, or failure occurs once every 3 months. |
| 5      | Low probability of occurrence | Failure occurs rarely, or failure occurs about once per year. |
| 4      | Remote probability of occurrence | Failure almost never occurs; no one remembers the last failure. |

Source: Silva, M. M, 2014

### Table 3. Detection rating scale

| Rating | Detection | Definition |
|--------|-----------|------------|
| 1      | No chance of detection | There is no known mechanism for detecting the failure. |
| 2      | Very remote/unreliable chance of detection | The failure can be detected only with a thorough inspection, and this is not feasible or cannot be readily performed. |
| 3      | Remote probability of detection | The error can be detected with a manual inspection, but no process is in place, so that detection left to chance. |

Source: Silva, M. M, 2014

### 2.5 Types of FMEA (Failure Mode and Effect Analysis)

There are two types of FMEA according to Mc Dermott, Mikulak & Beauregard (2009), namely:
1. Design / product FMEA.
   Design / product FMEA is an analytical technique based on the design of engineering / team that includes a potential failure mode causes of mechanical failures that arise in the process. The systematic approach is taken in parallel, formal and all documents related to the design engineer through several processes. This design FMEA is used to analyze the product before input into the production process. Design FMEA focus on the mode of failure caused by the design.
2. Process FMEA.
   Process FMEA is an analytical technique manufacturing or assembly process where in it contains a potential failure mode causes of mechanical failures that appeared in the production process. Each item of all the systems, sub-systems all the components should be evaluated. FMEA process is used to analyze the production and assembly process. FMEA of this type focused on the mode of failure caused by the production or assembly process.

### 2.6 Procedure of FMEA (Failure Mode and Effect Analysis)

There are ten steps in the procedure FMEA according to Mc Dermott, Mikulak & Beauregard (2009). Even this procedure applies to all types of FMEA, good product / design and process. The ten steps are:
1. Review the process or product.
2. Conduct brainstorming failure mode (a potential failure mode).
3. Register effects of the failure of each failure mode.
4. Establish severity weights for each effect of failure.
5. Establish the weight of occurrence for each failure mode.
6. Establish the weight of detection for each failure mode/effect failure.
7. Calculate the value of the RPN (risk priority number) for each effect of failure. RPN is a measurement of the relative risk by multiplying the value of severity, occurrence and detection. Within the scope of FMEA, this value can range from 1 to 1000. Mathematically it can be expressed as follows:
\[ RPN = (S) \times (O) \times (D) \]
In equation is the multiplication between the severity (S), occurrence (O) and detection (D). RPN is determined before setting a corrective action recommendations and used to prioritize action.
1. Prioritize failure modes that have the highest RPN.
2. Take measures to eliminate or reduce the failure modes that have the highest risk.
3. Recalculating the value of the RPN after the failure mode have been reduced or eliminated.

2.7 Fuzzy Logic
Fuzzy logic is a proper way to map an input space into an output space. There are several reasons why people use fuzzy logic, among others (Kusumadewi, 2002):
1. The concept of fuzzy logic is easy to understand. Mathematical concepts underlying the fuzzy reasoning is very simple and easy to understand.
2. Fuzzy logic is very flexible.
3. Fuzzy logic can tolerate data that is not appropriate.
4. Fuzzy logic is able to model non-linear functions are very complex.
5. Fuzzy logic can develop and apply the experiences of experts directly without having to go through the training process.
6. Fuzzy logic can work with techniques conventional control.
7. Fuzzy logic is based on natural language.

2.8 Fuzzy FMEA (Failure Mode and Effect Analysis)
Fuzzy logic is one method to analyze systems that contain uncertainty (Kusumadewi, 2002). Research using Fuzzy Logic will get more accurate results than using conventional FMEA. According to Xu et al. (2002) and Yeh & Hsieh (2007), some weaknesses Conventional FMEA namely:
1. Statement of the FMEA often subjective and qualitative described in natural language.
2. Three levels of severity parameter (S), occurrence (O) and detection (D) are assumed to have similar interests, it turns out in practice the weight of the interests of all three parameters are not the same.
3. The value of risk priority number (RPN) were produced by multiplying the level of S, O and D may imply a representation of risk.
To overcome the weakness - the weakness of the method is based on fuzzy logic is often used to manipulate the linguistic terms used directly in making a critical assessment. According to Iqbal et al. (2013), Fuzzy system is a knowledge-based system that is built on the expertise and experience in the form of fuzzy IF-THEN rules. Fuzzy inference method FMEA performed using Mamdani or often known by the method of Max - Min introduced by Ebrahim Mamdani in 1975. To get a fuzzy output requires four steps:
1. Arranging the Fuzzy membership function.
2. Create a rule-based fuzzy logic.
3. Perform Fuzzy inference process.

3 Case Study
Optimus Creative was founded in 2010, located in Sarimanah, Bandung, West Java. Optimus Creative is a creative company advertising agency, Graphic Design, Photography, Videography and Event Organizer. Services offered by this company is more focused on the annual book production services (yearbook) for each of the schools in the city of Bandung. Optimus Creative has opened various branches in Yogyakarta, Jakarta, Tasikmalaya, so today has been a lot of work together in making the school yearbook with more than 100 school.

3.1 FMEA Application
In making the yearbook through six processes, namely the presentation, meeting concept, photo session, photo editing, printing and delivery. The entire process is not immune from the risks experienced. To be able to identify the overall risk and knowing the weights for each risk, then the distribution of questionnaires to all employees who work at the company’s operations. The results of the questionnaire were as follows:

| No | Production Process | Risk Identification | Weighted Average |
|----|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 1  | Presentation       | leaflets materials deleted/lost/not getting carried away | 4 |
| 2  | Facilities on site statement presentations inadequate | 2 |
| 3  | Team members did not attend the presentation | 2 |
| 4  | Termination of unilateral presentation by the client | 5 |
| 2  | Meeting Concept   | Disagreements about the concept of team and client | 2 |
| 6  | The objection about the payment schedule | 4 |
| 3  | Photo Session     | Equipment for photo shooting is damaged | 5 |
| 8  | Bad weather when the concept of photo out door | 2 |
| 9  | Some students were absent/late when the photo session | 3 |
| 10 | The late photographer attended | 2 |
| 11 | Errors photo location information | 2 |
| 12 | Unable to permit the shooting location (location not in a public area) | 3 |
The results in Table 4 are then selected risks that have the highest average weight (score 5) for further processed using FMEA method. The main objective was to determine the method FMEA RPN value for each risk. RPN value is obtained by multiplying the value of severity, occurrence and earlier detection that these values have been obtained through brainstorming and document review. RPN value can be seen in Table 5.

| Potential Failure | Potential Effect(s) of Failure | SEV | Potential Cause(s) of Failure | OCC | Current Process Control | DET | RPN | Code |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----|-----|------|
| Termination of unilateral presentation by the client | Presentation materials conveyed not so big possibility of cooperation is not established. | 6   | Appearance and delivery of material less attractive presentation of the company's presentation team. | 2   | Re-discuss with the client to schedule a presentation. | 8   | 96  | a1   |
| Equipment for photo session is damaged | The scheduled completion of the photo session is too late, the catch is less good photos. | 5   | Treatment of equipment was not regularly | 4   | Replacement of the components of the equipment have been damaged when the photo | 4   | 80  | a2   |
| Computer problems (hank on/off) | Photo editing workmanship exceeds the specified deadline | 10  | Treatment of the computer is not on a regular basis, companies do not have a generator or a UPS (Uninterruptible Power Supply) to avoid the computer suddenly died due to power cuts. | 6   | Repairs done when knowing troubled computer | 9   | 540 | a3   |
| File data is lost | Photo editing workmanship exceeds the specified deadline and also can lead to the repetition of the previous process. | 10  | The process data storage is not good, data storage is only performed in a data storage medium | 2   | Storage of data stored on more than two data storage media | 6   | 120 | a4   |
| The printer is trouble | Book page printout was not optimal (there is a shadow, the color is not clear, etc.) and workmanship mold exceeds specified deadline. | 9   | Printing work overload and lack of oversight and periodic maintenance of the printing press. | 7   | The execution stops after finding trouble printing machine. | 6   | 378 | a5   |
| Result of the finishing not appropriate | Poor final quality books and pages in the book are easily separated from the book cover stitching. | 7   | Employees who work on finishing the book lacks focus and is not thorough. | 6   | Conduct an examination of the results of the book before the book entered the process of packaging and shipping. | 8   | 336 | a6   |
| Delay in delivery | Causing complaints or protests from the students and the school. | 9   | Work on editing and printing is delayed, stalled in the journey time of delivery, fault location information delivery. | 4   | Confirm beforehand with the school about delays in the delivery process | 9   | 324 | a7   |
3.2. Application of Fuzzy FMEA

In the processing of fuzzy FMEA using conventional FMEA result, Matlab 8 is used as a tool pengoalan data. Fuzzy Logic Matlab toolbox is a software program that has been used in calculating the values of Fuzzy RPN. A model that was built in the techniques of Fuzzy FMEA has 3 inputs (severity, occurrence and detection) and 1 output variable (FuzzyRPN) (Kumru, 2012).

There are 5 levels of membership function in the input variables, ie Almost None, Low, Medium, High and Very High (Kumru, 2012). Of the five membership functions are then producing 125 fuzzy rules, which are used as a factor in determining the value fuzzyRPN. As for the variable output used 10 level membership function in output variables, namely None, Very Low, Low, High Low, Medium Low, Medium, High Medium, Low High, High and Very High (Kumru, 2012)

![Fig 1. Fuzzy model](image1)

![Fig 2. Input variable membership function](image2)

![Fig 3. Output variable membership function](image3)

3.3 Defuzzification

Input from the process is the set Fuzzy defuzzification resulting from the process of composition and output is a value of One technique used in defuzzification is Center Of Gravity (Centroid). In Centroid, the output value is obtained based on the gravity of the decision-making process yield curve. In this study, defuzzification is used to find the value of output in the form of FRPN value of the input that has been entered. Inputs come from both the severity, occurrence and detection that have been obtained from the results of FMEA risk identification using conventional methods (Table 5). Here are the results obtained from the FRPN defuzzification process using Matlab 8:

| Potential Failure | S | O | D | RPN | Priority | FRPN | Priority |
|-------------------|---|---|---|-----|----------|------|----------|
| Computer problems (hang on/off) | 10 | 6 | 9 | 540 | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| The printer is trouble | 9 | 7 | 6 | 378 | 2 | 8 | 3 |
| Result of the finishing not appropriate | 7 | 6 | 8 | 336 | 3 | 7 | 4 |
| Delay in delivery | 9 | 4 | 9 | 324 | 4 | 9 | 2 |
| File data is lost | 10 | 2 | 6 | 120 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Termination of unilateral presentation by the client | 6 | 2 | 8 | 96 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| Equipment for photo session is damaged | 5 | 4 | 4 | 80 | 7 | 7 | 6 |

Based on Table 6 there are the difference between the value and ranking among the NDP and FRPN. This is due to calculations using RPN simply done by multiplying the severity, occurrence and detection alone and irrespective of the degree of importance of each input. While FRPN value obtained from the fuzzification, generate value by taking into account the degree of interest of any given input. In the process of defuzzification calculations have put the rules that prioritize the handling of the problem over to the cause of the risk. Whereas in the calculation of RPN, RPN value generated simply by multiplying the value of severity, occurrence and detection only causing less accurate calculations with the NDP and the different results with calculations FRPN. Basically the method of fuzzy FMEA FMEA is more consistent than conventional methods.

3.4 Risk Handling

Here are some strategies for each risk than risk having the highest priority to low priority based on the calculation FRPN (Fuzzy Risk Priority Number):

1. Risk Priority 1: Computer problems (hang on / off) when editing pictures with a value FRPN 9
   - Risk Mitigation
     - Installation of double generator or UPS (Uninterruptible Power Supply) for all workstations
     - Regular checks of the computer used (at least 1x1 month)
     - Reset the computer's power cable installation on the layout of photo editing special work

2. Risk Priority 2: The delay in the delivery process with a value of RPN 9
- Risk Mitigation
  - Confirm the address with the recipient before sending
  - Avoid delivery time during peak hours on the go
  - Check the condition of the vehicle before transporting (avoid crashes vehicle)

3. Risk Priority 3: The print engine trouble during the process of printing the value FRPN 8
   - Risk Mitigation Strategies
     - The treatment is good against the printing press, especially after use
     - Regular checks periodically for printing machines (minimum 1x1 month)
     - Reset the printing schedule (avoid overload)
     - Do not use a printing machine that has been aged> 10 years
     - Setting up a special printing machine technician

4. Risk Priority 4: Results of faulty finishing (disagree) with the value FRPN 7
   - Risk Mitigation Strategies
     - Application written SOP for the process of finishing a book
     - Routine supervision of the workforce
     - Procurement training for old and new workers
     - Perform quality control before packaging

5. Risk Priority 5: Dismissal of unilateral presentation by the client (the school) with a value FRPN 7
   - Risk Mitigation Strategies
     - Prepare materials with interesting
     - Election of members of attractive, physically attractive to the team presentation
     - Using language that remained polite during the presentation
     - Procurement training (public speaking) routine for the team presentation

6. Risk Priority 6: Equipment damaged when the photo photo session with the value FRPN 7
   - Risk Mitigation Strategies
     - Treatment of photo equipment properly and regularly
     - Examination of photo equipment on a regular basis (at least 1x1 month)
     - Storage equipment was stored in a place that is not wet or humid
     - Avoid carry equipment that is not good (easy to fall)

7. Risk Priority 7: File photo editing data is lost with the value FRPN 6
   - Risk Mitigation Strategies
     - Preparation of a data base with the online system
     - Implementation of additional applications of the data autosaved
     - Storage of data is done in more than two data storage media (external hard drive, etc.)
     - Implementation of data recovery application if at any time the data is lost / deleted

3.5 Risk Control

Controlling risk is done by organizing regular meetings periodically to evaluate the handling of such risks during this time. The formation of a team or a special division that handles risk management can also be done as a way of controlling risk. During the meeting, it can be evaluated the risks that usually happens, if there are new risks arising, eating could be immediately documented to be analyzed great danger and action to handle, and if there is a risk that is no longer relevant can also be eliminated. In addition, through regular meetings could be used to discuss current risk management strategies and continue to improve the strategy.

4 Conclusion

Of the many known risks identified are 7 risks that have the highest weight for processing by the FMEA. The use of FMEA simply multiplying severity, occurrence and detection alone to obtain the value of the RPN regardless of the degree the interests of each input. To cover the shortfall which is owned by the FMEA method is then integrated using fuzzy logic, then the difference of the results obtained with the conventional FMEA FuzzyFMEA. From the results obtained fuzzyRPN knowable risks becoming a priority for rapid repairs. The company can make the handling and controlling the risks that these risks do not occur in the future. One way is by applying risk mitigation actions for each risk and set up a special division that handles risk management.
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