Atyid Shrimps Production and Biomass Turnover in Masanga Mabe Equatorial Rain-Forest Stream of Congo Basin (Kisangani, DR Congo)
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Abstract: Decapod crustaceans are one of the dominant faunal components of most tropical streams. However, several aspects concerning their biology and ecology are poorly known, especially in intertropical Africa. The aim of this study was to investigate the production of the atyid shrimps in Masanga Mabe, an equatorial lowland forest stream of Kisangani region. Samplings were undertaken biweekly from September 2000 to August 2003 with Surber net in four study sites. A total of 14,878 atyid shrimps specimens were sampled, measured for carapace length, weighted and identified. Atyid production was estimated by size frequency method according to sites (1, 2 and 3). Atyid composition showed the existence of two species: C. africana (Caridina africana) Kingsley 1882 and C. togoensis (Caridina togoensis) Hilgendorf 1893. Specific production ranged from 1.35 g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹ (grams dry mass per square meter per year) to 8.4 g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹ for C. africana and 0.95 g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹ to 4.55 g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹ for C. togoensis. Mean annual P/B ratios varied from 3.89 year⁻¹ to 4.37 year⁻¹ for C. africana and from 3.83 year⁻¹ to 3.98 year⁻¹ for C. togoensis.
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1. Introduction

Decapods are clearly visible and extensively widespread elements of the tropical freshwater communities [1-6] where they can play the same role that of Amphipods and Isopods in the temperate regions [2, 7, 8]. Thus, they can be considered like one of the most important groups of the tropical rivers [6].

They form an important proportion of the benthic biomass of the tropical rivers [9-11] and their behavior as detritivorous, omnivorous or predatory can affect directly or indirectly the benthic communities as well as the functional processes like the organic debris decomposition [5, 6, 12-14]. Their presence and abundance are indicators of the integrity of the river and its riparian zone [4].

Quantifying the flux of energy through a population is fundamental to understand its role and its importance in a community. The secondary production, which integrates the density and the other parameters of the life cycle, is the best indication to measure the success of a population. The majority of studies concerning the production of the benthic invertebrates of freshwaters has especially been centered on the Insects. Only less than 5% of the evaluations of production inventoried by Benke [15] concerned the Crustaceans. Of these, more than 90% were dedicated to the Amphipods and Isopods that are supplanted by the Decapods in the tropical rivers.

In spite of their abundance and their potential ecological importance, research on the Decapods in Africa, notably in Democratic Republic of Congo, is limited mainly to the taxonomic investigations and it exists very few works on their ecology and biology.

The aim of the study was the evaluation of annual secondary production of the Caridina populations and their biomass turnover according to the sites.
2. Study Area

This study has been achieved in the Masanga Mabe stream of the Masako Forest Reserve (Fig. 1). The Masako Forest Reserve is situated to 15 km in the northeast of Kisangani on the former road leading from Kisangani to Buta. It is included in the big buckle formed by the Tshopo river at 500 m of altitude and 0°36' N and 25°13' E [16-18]. It has a surface of 2,105 ha. It has a climate that belongs in the Af type (hot and humid climate with an average temperature of the coldest month higher than 18°C and a rainfall distributed over the year) of the classification of Köppen-Trewartha [19].

The stream is entirely in the fallow zone and has a length of about 3.2 km. Four study sites were selected according to longitudinal gradient.

3. Material and Methods

Samplings were undertaken biweekly from September 2000 to August 2003 with Surber net of 0.5 mm of mesh size, 33 cm of side of the horizontal setting and 55 cm of depth in four study sites selected according to longitudinal gradient [20, 21]. Each sample took an exploited surface of 0.5445 m² which took the major habitats of the station into account [22, 23].

A total of 14,878 atyid shrimps specimens were sampled, preserved in formalin 4%, measured for carapace length [24, 25] using ocular micrometer, weighted with Sartorius balance of 0.01 g of precision and identified using keys of De Man [26], Monod [27] and Day et al. [28]. Atyid production and biomass turnover were estimated by size frequency method according to sites [15, 21, 29-31].

For permitting a comparison of the productions estimated in WM (wet weight) with those of the other authors expressed in other units, notably in DM (dry weight), the following equation (according to Benke [21], Wetzel [32] and Lindegaard [33]) allowing the conversion of a mass unit to another has been used:

\[
1 \text{ g DM} = 6 \text{ g WM} = 0.9 \text{ g AFDM (ash-free dry mass)} = 0.5 \text{ g C} = 5 \text{ kcal} = 21 \text{ J}
\]

The SPSS software has been used to make different calculations [34].

Fig. 1  Geographic position of Masako Forest Reserve and its hydrography.
4. Results

Atyid composition showed the existence of two species: *C. africana* (*Caridina africana*) Kingsley 1882 and *C. togoensis* (*Caridina togoensis*) Hilgendorf 1893. Specific production ranged from 1.35 g·DM·m$^{-2}$·year$^{-1}$ (grams dry mass per square meter per year) to 8.4 g·DM·m$^{-2}$·year$^{-1}$ for *C. africana* and 0.95 g·DM·m$^{-2}$·year$^{-1}$ to 4.55 g·DM·m$^{-2}$·year$^{-1}$ for *C. togoensis*. Their intersite and annual variations ranked with those of density and biomass into the same species.

The highest values of secondary production have generally been observed in the station 3 that is situated upstream and the total production generally tends to increase from downstream to upstream (Table 1). The station 4 is not concerned by the analysis because of its very low number of shrimps.

Table 1 also shows that the production contribution of *C. africana* is generally the most important in each station during the whole period of survey.

The *P/B* (production to biomass) ratio (or biomass turnover) observed varied from:
- 3.74 year$^{-1}$ to 4.72 year$^{-1}$ for *C. africana* with an annual average varying from 3.89 year$^{-1}$ (year 1) and 4.37 year$^{-1}$ (year 2);
- 3.22 year$^{-1}$ to 4.84 year$^{-1}$ for *C. togoensis* with an annual average varying from 3.83 year$^{-1}$ (year 3) to 3.98 year$^{-1}$ (year 2).

The biomass turnover varied within each species according to the stations and years (Table 2).

5. Discussion

Table 2 shows the intersite variations of the caridean production during the 3 years of observation. These variations go together with those of density and biomass of these two species. Dessai [20] and Tumbiolo and Downing [35] affirm that the production is bound directly to the biomass. On his side, Benke [36] declares that the production can be raised because of a great biomass or a high *P/B* ratio or to the two factors at a time. Yam and Dudgeon [14]
observed that the caridean production in the forest streams of Hong Kong varied according to biomass and density.

The production estimated during the first year, for each of the species, is generally at least 2 times inferior to those of the second and third years which are similar. Actually, Dudgeon [37] declares that it can be a considerable interannual variation of the production within a same species. He has observed, for example, a variation of 8% to 537% for the eight species of collector Trichoptera met in Hong Kong streams. Also, Dessaux [20] has observed that the first 2 years evaluations of production of Gammarus in the French Rhone were similar whereas the last cycle of survey presented values of production about 3.5 weak times. Mantel and Dudgeon [5] and Yam and Dudgeon [14] made the same report also while studying the production of Macrobranchium hainanenses and Caridina in the Hong Kong forest streams, respectively.

The production of the Caridina in Masanga Mabe stream is extensively dominated by the species C. africana. It would be bound mainly to densities and biomasses generally high explainable in particular by its high fertility and fast growth when compared to C. togoensis (personal observations).

The highest values have generally been observed at the station 3 that is situated upstream and the total production, generally, tends to increase from downstream to upstream. Actually, Winterbourn et al. [38] have observed also that the evaluations of production of Deleatidium sp., the most abundant insect of the streams of the western coast of South Island (New Zealand), presented a general decrease with the increase of the size of the river. The production was raised more in the smallest rivers. Tumbiolo and Downing [35] think that this reduction of production according to the downstream would be bound to the greatly negative effect of the depth on the production.

According to Wetzel [32], the most common values of annual production of macroinvertebrates would be situated between 0.1 g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹ and 1 g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹. The values observed in Masanga Mabe stream (0.95-8.49 g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹) are therefore generally a lot higher than the common values. This would be bound, contrary to the benthos of temperate region, to a long active growth period due to the water temperature that remains high (> 20 °C) during whole year but also to the permanent availability of quality foods and in sufficient quantity. Actually, Benke [36] thinks that the quantity and the quality of foods constitute the most important limiting factors for the benthic production. Murphy et al. [39], Pringle et al. [40] and Dangles [41] affirm that the benthic accumulation of the allochthonous detritus in the forest headwaters streams is an important resource colonized by macroinvertebrates for eating and having shelter. Chadwick and Huryn [42] and Smock et al. [43], studying the role of the habitat in the determination of macrobenthic production, have concluded that the production was always high on the bottom rich in plant debris than that of sandy one.

Table 3 shows some values of caridean production estimated by the size frequency method in some lotic and lentic systems.

Table 3 shows that the data do not seem to depart from those observed elsewhere on the Caridina. These values are even sufficiently high for C. africana. But one is not able, as Yam and Dudgeon [14], to explain the substantial differences of production between the Caridina, but they reflect the disparity of the conditions to which these species are submitted in different habitats.

The analysis of Table 2 shows that the P/B ratios observed are located in the interval of 3 year⁻¹ to 9 year⁻¹ for the shrimps which are univoltine species [15]. Concerning the variation of P/B ratios, sometimes enormous, within a same species, Benke [36] thinks that it is bound to the particular environmental conditions to which is submitted a population, notably the types of habitats, the climate and other factors.
Table 3  Production values of some *Caridina* in different freshwater systems.

| Species         | Ecosystem                              | Production (g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹) | Reference             |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| *Caridina nilotica* | Victoria Lake (littoral zone)        | 4.2                          | Ignatow et al. [44]   |
| *Caridina nilotica* | Sibaya Lake (littoral zone, South Africa) | 24.4                         | Hart [3]              |
| *Caridina cantonensis* | Forest streams (Hong Kong)    | 2.14-3.74                   | Yam and Dudgeon [14]  |
| *Caridina serrata*     | Forest streams (Hong Kong)            | 1.18-1.33                   | Yam and Dudgeon [14]  |
| *C. africana*          | Masanga Mabe stream (Kisangani)       | 1.35-8.49                   | This study            |
| *C. togoensis*         | Masanga Mabe stream (Kisangani)       | 0.95-4.55                   | This study            |

Table 4  Some *Caridina* P/B ratios estimated in different freshwater systems.

| Species         | Ecosystem                              | P/B (year⁻¹) | Reference             |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| *C. cantonensis* | Forest streams (Hong Kong)            | 2.02-3.89    | Yam and Dudgeon [14]  |
| *C. serrata*     | Forest streams (Hong Kong)            | 2.92-3.17    | Yam and Dudgeon [14]  |
| *C. africana*    | Masanga Mabe stream (Kisangani)       | 3.89-4.37    | This study            |
| *C. togoensis*   | Masanga Mabe stream (Kisangani)       | 3.83-3.88    | This study            |

that act on and regulate the population.

Table 4 shows some values of *Caridina* P/B ratios estimated by the size frequency method in some lotic and lentic systems.

The analysis of Table 4 shows that the values of the caridean biomass turnover found in Kisangani (3.83-4.37 year⁻¹) are higher than those observed elsewhere on other *Caridina* (2.02-3.86 year⁻¹). Indeed, Wetzel [32] affirms that the production by unit of biomass generally increases with the reduction of the latitude following the elongation of the season of the active growth.

6. Conclusions

The intersite variations of the *Caridina* production in Masanga Mabe stream during the 3 years of observation go together with those of density and biomass of these two species (*C. africana* and *C. togoensis*).

This production is dominated extensively by *C. africana* and varied from 1.35 g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹ to 8.49 g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹ for *C. africana* and from 0.95 g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹ to 4.55 g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹ for *C. togoensis*. The highest values of production have generally been observed in the station 3 that is situated upstream and the total production generally tends to increase from downstream to upstream.

The values of secondary production observed in Masangamabe stream (0.95-8.49 g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹) are generally a lot higher than the common values of production of macroinvertebrates situated between 0.1 g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹ and 1 g·DM·m⁻²·year⁻¹ [33]. However, these data do not seem to depart from those observed elsewhere on the *Caridina* [3, 14, 44].

The average P/B ratio varies from 3.89 year⁻¹ to 4.37 year⁻¹ for *C. africana* and from 3.83 year⁻¹ to 3.98 year⁻¹ for *C. togoensis*. These ratios are therefore sufficiently high for these *Caridina* that are univoltine species and are located in the interval of 3 year⁻¹ to 9 year⁻¹.

The values of *Caridina* biomass turnover found in Kisangani (3.83-4.37 year⁻¹) are higher than those observed in the forest streams of Hong Kong [14].
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