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ABSTRACT

Strategies are presented for discovering light, color-singlet technipions \( (\pi_T) \), produced in association with a vector boson through \( s \)-channel technirho production, at the Tevatron and LHC. Signal and \( W+\)jets background were simulated including detector effects. Tagging of \( b \)-quarks from the \( \pi_T \rightarrow b\bar{b} \) decay is found to be important to reduce the \( W+\)jets background. The kinematic properties of signal and background events are significantly different and simple cuts can be used to further improve the signal to background ratio.

I. Introduction

Discovery strategies for light, color singlet technipions at hadron colliders have been investigated. As pointed out by Eichten and Lane[1] they can be copiously produced through \( s \)-channel technirho production:

\[
q\bar{q} \rightarrow \rho_T^\pm \rightarrow V_1V_2
\]

where \( V_1V_2 = W^\pm Z, W^\pm\pi^0_T, \pi^\pm_T Z, \) or \( \pi^\pm_T\pi^0_T \); and through

\[
q\bar{q} \rightarrow \rho_0^T \rightarrow V_1V_2
\]

where \( V_1V_2 = W^+W^-, W^\pm\pi_T^\mp, \) or \( \pi_T^\pm\pi_T^\mp \).

The modes where \( W \) and \( Z \) are produced and subsequently detected in their leptonic decays are straightforward and largely free of background; see for example the ATLAS Technical proposal[2]. In this study, the dijet decays of the technipion have been investigated:

\[
\pi_T^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}
\]

\[
\pi_T^\pm \rightarrow c\bar{c}
\]

These will generally be expected to dominate as long as the \( t\bar{t} \) and \( b\bar{b} \) modes are kinematically accessible; in Topcolor models the top decay modes can remain forbidden even for larger masses.

II. Signal and Background

For definiteness the following process has been considered:

\[
q\bar{q} \rightarrow \rho_T \rightarrow W(\ell\nu)\pi_T(b\bar{b}),
\]

with \( m_{\rho_T} = 210 \text{ GeV} \) and \( m_{\pi_T} = 115 \text{ GeV} \). The signal is thus a \( W \) (reconstructed from lepton plus missing transverse energy) together with two jets, with a resonance in the dijet mass \( m_{jj} \).

The backgrounds are \( W+\)jets and \( t\bar{t} \). The latter has not yet been included in the study since it is small compared with the \( W+\)jets process for \( n = 2 \) jets, even if single \( b \)-tagging is applied. The signal cross sections are large: about 5 pb at the Tevatron, and 35 pb at the LHC[3]. The main issue is therefore dijet mass resolution and \( b \)-tagging.

Signal and background events were simulated using ISAJET. The signal topology was generated using the TCOLOR process with the \( WZ \) final state; the \( Z \) mass was set to \( m_{\pi_T} \) and the decay to \( b\bar{b} \) was forced.

Detector acceptance and resolution were modelled using a fast simulation[4]. Energy was deposited in cells of size \( \Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi = 0.1 \times 0.1 \) and was smeared for detector resolution: 15%/\( \sqrt{E(\text{GeV})} \pm 0.5\% \) for EM, and 50%/\( \sqrt{E(\text{GeV})} \pm 5\% \) for hadronic energy. Transverse shower spreading and calorimeter leakage were also modeled. Jets were found (up to \(|\eta| = 4 \)
Figure 2: Leading dijet invariant mass distribution for \(W(\ell \nu)\pi_T(b\bar{b})\) events at the LHC.

from the calorimeter towers using a cone of \(R = 0.7\). Missing transverse energy was calculated from the sum of the calorimeter towers over \(|\eta| \leq 5\).

Events were selected which satisfied the following criteria:

- A good \(W \to \ell \nu\) candidate, defined as:
  - lepton with \(p_T^\ell > 25\) GeV/c, \(|\eta^\ell| < 1.1\), and isolated (transverse energy within \(R < 0.4\) less than 10% of the lepton \(p_T\));
  - \(E_T^{\text{miss}} > 25\) GeV
  - Transverse mass \(m_T\) satisfying \(50 < m_T < 100\) GeV;

- At least two jets with \(E_T > 20\) GeV and \(|\eta^j| < 2.5\).

The lepton was required to be central, since (as Fig. 1 shows) this gives some improvement in signal-to-background. For \(b\)-tagging it was assumed that single tagging would be performed with an efficiency of 50% and a mistag rate of 1% for light quark jets.

The cross sections obtained for the Tevatron and LHC are listed in Table I. It will be seen that the signal-to-background ratio for this process is rather better at the Tevatron.
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Figure 2 shows the invariant mass distribution obtained for the leading jet pair in signal events. The peak has a resolution of about 15 GeV with tails from jet combinatorics.
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Figure 3: Leading dijet invariant mass distribution for technipion signal (dark) over the \(W^+\)jets background (light) at the Tevatron, before \(b\)-tagging. Vertical scale is events/10 GeV/2 fb\(^{-1}\). The background has been smoothed to simulate the full statistics.

Table I: Cross sections for signal and \(W^+\)jets background.

|        | \(W\pi_T\) | \(W^+\)jets |
|--------|------------|-------------|
| LHC    | 3.7 pb     | 2200 pb     |
| with 2 jets | 1.7 pb     | 250 pb      |
| with \(b\)-tag | 0.85 pb    | 2.5 pb      |
| Tevatron| 0.53 pb    | 170 pb      |
| with 2 jets | 0.10 pb    | 2.5 pb      |
| with \(b\)-tag | 0.05 pb    | 0.025 pb    |

For comparison, Fig. 5 shows the situation at the LHC after \(b\)-tagging.

III. Kinematic Properties of the Events

We note that there are significant differences in kinematic distributions between signal and background events. Cuts on these distributions may be used to further improve the background rejection, or they may be used as a way to confirm the presence of a signal by (for example) observing differences in these variables as a function of dijet mass.

Some variables of interest are:

- Transverse momentum of the leading dijet system, \(p_T^{jj}\);
- Pseudorapidity of the leading dijet system, \(\eta^{jj}\).
Figure 4: Leading dijet invariant mass distribution for technipion signal (dark) over the $W$+jets background (light) at the Tevatron, after $b$-tagging. Vertical scale is events/10 GeV/2 fb$^{-1}$.

- $\Delta \phi$ between the leading two jets;
- Dijet asymmetry $A = (E_{T1} - E_{T2})/(E_{T1} + E_{T2})$.

The dijet pseudorapidity and asymmetry do not offer much discriminating potential, but the $\Delta \phi$ and transverse momentum of the dijet system are distinctly different between signal and background, as can be seen from Fig. 4. Requiring, for example, $\Delta \phi > 2.3$, and $p_{T}^{jj} < 45$ GeV/c retains 60% of the signal while rejecting 74% of the $W$+jets background.

IV. Conclusions

Light, color-singlet technipions, produced in association with a vector boson through $s$-channel technirho production, can be discovered at hadron colliders in the $b\bar{b}$ decay mode. The signal to background ratio is somewhat better at the Tevatron but this physics can also be addressed at the LHC. Tagging of $b$-quarks is important to reduce the $W$+jets background. The kinematic properties of signal and background events are significantly different and simple cuts can be used to further improve the signal to background ratio.
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Figure 6: Distributions of $p_T$, $\eta$, asymmetry $A$ and $\Delta \phi$ for technipion signal (shaded) and $W$+jets background (outline) at the Tevatron.