Comparison of the effectiveness of penicillin and broad-spectrum β-lactam antibiotics in the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia in children
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Abstract

Introduction: Bacterial community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) in children is caused mostly by Streptococcus pneumoniae. The resistance of pneumococci to penicillin is increasing. However, most guidelines still prefer treatment with narrow-spectrum antibiotics. Therefore, we compared the effect of intravenous treatment with penicillin and broad-spectrum β-lactam antibiotics in children with CAP. The objective of our study was to assess the eligibility of treatment of bacterial CAP with intravenous penicillin.

Material and methods: We performed a prospective study and included 136 children hospitalised because of bacterial CAP. Patients were treated intravenously with either penicillin G or broad-spectrum β-lactam antibiotic monotherapy. Lung ultrasound and blood tests were performed at admission and after 2 days of treatment. The time interval from the application of antibiotics to permanent defervescence was recorded.

Results: Eighty-seven (64.0%) patients were treated with penicillin G, and 49 (36.0%) were treated with broad-spectrum β-lactam antibiotics. The median time to persistent defervescence was 5 h in the penicillin group and 8 h in the broad-spectrum group (p = 0.18). There were no significant differences between the treatment regimens regarding the effect on the consolidation sizes or C-reactive protein levels. However, the decrease in the white blood cell count was marginally greater in the penicillin treatment group (p = 0.05).

Conclusions: We have shown that penicillin is as effective as broad-spectrum antibiotics in the treatment of bacterial CAP in children. Although the resistance of pneumococci to antibiotics is increasing, clinicians should still use penicillin and other narrow-spectrum β-lactam antibiotics in the treatment of bacterial CAP in children.
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Introduction

Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) is one of the main causes of childhood mortality in the underdeveloped world, causing over 800 000 deaths annually in children under 5 years of age. In the developed world, CAP is still a common and potentially serious illness associated with significant morbidity [1, 2].
Vaccination with the pneumococcal vaccine reduced the incidence of classical bacterial pneumonia in developed countries. However, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* remains an important cause of CAP in children [3]. Most children with CAP can be treated as outpatients, and antibiotics are often prescribed, as there are no widely available biomarkers for the differentiation of viral from bacterial causes [4, 5].

The excessive use of broad-spectrum antibiotics promotes the spread of resistance across multiple bacterial species and has a detrimental effect on the host microbiome [6]. Therefore, most guidelines encourage the use of narrow-spectrum and penicillin-based antibiotics in children with (presumed) bacterial CAP [4]. The European Society for Paediatric Infectious Diseases 2012 guideline recommends either penicillin G or amoxicillin/clavulanic acid [7] for children with CAP aged 3 months to 5 years. Similarly, clinical practice guidelines from the Pediatric Infectious Disease Society and Infectious Disease Society of America suggest either ampicillin or penicillin G for inpatient treatment of CAP in a fully immunized child when local epidemiologic settings do not document high-level penicillin resistance [8]. However, broad-spectrum antimicrobial agents (including macrolides) are often prescribed in clinical practice in Europe and in the United States [9–11].

In Slovenia, the susceptibility of *S. pneumoniae* to penicillin is over 90% and is among the highest in the world [12]. Therefore, our national guidelines recommend intravenous penicillin as a first-choice treatment for bacterial CAP in hospitalised children, and the combination of amoxicillin and clavulanic acid is provided as an alternative, as shown in Table I [13]. However, the resistance of pneumococci to penicillin in Slovenia is increasing, and recent data from 2017 showed 0.4% resistance and 14.9% intermediate susceptibility to intravenous penicillin [14].

Several studies compared the effect of broad- and narrow-spectrum antibiotics in children with CAP and have shown comparable efficacy, measured by the length of stay, complication rate, decrease of white blood cells (WBC) count and C-reactive protein (CRP) level as well as by interval to permanent defervescence [15–17]. However, these studies were performed a decade ago and to the best of our knowledge, no such studies have been recently performed in European countries.

The research question of our study was: "Is penicillin G as effective as other β-lactam antibiotics in treating the bacterial CAP in hospitalized children?" We hypothesised that the effectiveness of treatment with penicillin G is comparable to that of broad-spectrum antibiotic treatment. More specifically, the duration of fever, regression of pneumonic consolidations, improvement of laboratory results, length of stay and complication rate in patients treated with penicillin G are comparable to those of treatment with broad-spectrum antibiotics. Therefore, the aim of the present research was to perform a prospective study comparing the effect of intravenous treatment with penicillin G and broad-spectrum β-lactam antibiotics.

### Material and methods

#### Participants

We performed a prospective study and included 136 children with bacterial CAP who were hospitalised in our Department of Pediatrics from October 1, 2014 to August 31, 2019. The age of the patients ranged from 4 months to 16 years. Pneumonia was diagnosed according to the British Thoracic Society (BTS) criteria [18] and confirmed with lung ultrasound (LUS). All the studied children were previously healthy and were not born prematurely. We excluded patients who had already been treated with antibiotics in the last 2 weeks before admission. In addition, we excluded patients who had already been admitted with severe CAP and immediately required management in the paediatric intensive care unit (ICU) or had a large pleural effusion (> 1 cm thick) at admission. Some patients were excluded only after the completion of treatment when alternative diagnoses or aetiologies were established. Bacterial CAP was excluded in all patients in whom we de-

### Table I. Intravenous antibiotic treatment of bacterial CAP in children according to the Slovenian national guidelines [13]

| Age                  | Antibiotic                      | Daily dose/kg: n1 |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| 4 months to 5 years  | Benzylpenicillin G (C)          | 150 000–250 000 units: 4 |
|                      | Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (A) | 100 mg (amoxicillin): 3–4 |
| 5–18 years           | Benzylpenicillin G or           | 150 000–250 000 units: 4 |
|                      | Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid     | maximal daily dose 24 million units |
|                      |                                  | 100 mg (amoxicillin): 3–4 |
|                      |                                  | maximal daily dose (amoxicillin): 4 g |
| 4 months – 18 years  | Cefuroxime                      | 100 mg: 3          |
| to penicillin        |                                  | maximal daily dose – 4.5 g |

1*Number of daily doses, C – treatment of choice, A – alternative treatment.*
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tected atypical bacteria (e.g., *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* or *Chlamydia pneumoniae*) as well as in all patients with normal serum procalcitonin (PCT) level (< 0.25 ng/ml) [19]. When no viruses or atypical bacteria were detected, either leucocytosis (> 15 × 10⁹/l) or alveolar infiltrate on CXR was sufficient for the diagnosis of bacterial CAP [20–22]. Bacterial CAP (co-infection or superinfection) was still considered in patients with leucocytosis and alveolar infiltrate on CXR, even when viruses were detected in the nasopharyngeal swab. When no aetiology could be established, the patient was also excluded from the study. The recruitment of patients for the study is presented in Figure 1. The final diagnosis of bacterial CAP was confirmed at discharge from the hospital by two senior paediatric pulmonologists.

Clinical characteristics and length of hospital stay were recorded. Body temperature was measured with a tympanic thermometer every hour from admission until permanent normalisation of body temperature (below 37.5°C), and the interval from administration of antibiotics to permanent defervescence was recorded. Complications that emerged during the treatment, such as large pleural effusion (> 1 cm thick – measured with LUS) or empyema, pulmonary abscess, need for transfer to the ICU and need for readmission (in 7 days), were recorded.

**Ethical approval and informed consent**

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of University Medical Centre, Maribor, Slovenia. The study was performed according to the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki, revised in 2000, Edinburgh, and with the understanding of all participants or their legal guardians (for children younger than 16 years), who signed an informed consent form.

**Diagnostic investigations**

Venous blood was collected from all participants at admission to obtain complete and differential blood counts, C-reactive protein levels and blood cultures. White blood cell and neutrophil counts were recorded. PCT levels were determined in most patients. Laboratory results of WBC count and CRP tests were repeated 48 h after admission. We collected nasopharyngeal swabs from all pa-

---

**Figure 1.** Recruitment of patients with bacterial community-acquired pneumonia. 271 patients with community-acquired pneumonia were enrolled initially. Of those, 5 were treated in the intensive care unit from admission, 37 had chronic illness or have been born premature, 68 had normal procalcitonin level, 27 received antibiotics before the admission, 27 were identified as atypical bacterial pneumonia, 62 as viral pneumonia and in 42 patients no aetiology could be identified. A large proportion of patients were excluded by more than one criterion

CAP – community-acquired pneumonia, PCR – polymerase chain reaction-based assay from nasopharyngeal swabs, normal PCT – serum procalcitonin level < 0.25 ng/ml, ↑ WBC – increased white blood cell count (> 15 × 10⁹/l), CXR – chest X-ray, ICU – intensive care unit.
patients for the detection of respiratory viruses and atypical bacteria using polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based assays. All microbiological assays were performed by the National Laboratory of Health, Environment and Food, Maribor, Slovenia.

All patients underwent LUS on the day of admission, followed by CXR in 119 (87.5%) patients. LUS was repeated after 48 h in 64 (47.1%) patients. CXR was performed in all patients with uncertain aetiology (e.g., detected viruses and leucocytosis) and at the discretion of the treating physician. A standard posteroanterior (PA) view was used, and the images were evaluated by a paediatric radiologist.

Lung ultrasound

In the last decade, several studies and meta-analyses have proven lung ultrasound (LUS) to be an excellent alternative to CXR [23, 24]. LUS is especially suitable for follow-up and monitoring of CAP [25, 26]. LUS was performed with a Sonosite (Sonosite, Inc. Bothell, WA, USA) portable ultrasound machine by a paediatric pulmonologist. We used a linear probe (13–6 MHz) in infants and toddlers and a curved probe (8–5 MHz) in older children and adolescents. LUS was performed according to the technique described by Copetti and Cattarossi [27]. Pleural effusion presence was also recorded. However, only the presence of consolidation was considered a diagnostic criterion for pneumonia in our study. Pneumonic consolidation was defined as the presence of hypoechoic or isoechoic (echogenicity similar to that of the liver) areas with dynamic air bronchograms and/or shred signs to distinguish between pneumonic consolidation and lung collapse [28, 29].

The dimensions of each consolidation were measured in the longitudinal, transverse and sagittal axes, and the largest diameter was recorded. At follow-up, the LUS regression/progression of consolidation sizes was evaluated as described above.

Antibiotic treatment

All the patients were treated with antibiotics administered intravenously according to the Slovenian national guidelines [13], as presented in Table I. The choice of antibiotic was at the discretion of the treating physician, and monotherapy was prescribed in all cases.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with IBM SPSS 24.0 software (IBM Inc., Armonk, NY, USA). Initial data were matched between treatment groups using propensity score matching by means of logistic regression (sampling without replace-

Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics

We included 136 children with CAP, 60 (44.1%) of whom were girls. Eighty-seven (64.0%) patients were treated with penicillin G and 49 (36.0%) with broad-spectrum β-lactam antibiotics; of those treated with broad-spectrum β-lactams, 42 (85.7%) were treated with a combination of amoxicillin and clavulanic acid and 7 (14.3%) with a third-generation cephalosporin antibiotic. Epidemiological, clinical, laboratory and LUS characteristics before the treatment are presented in Table II. No cases were excluded after propensity score matching.

Effect of treatment

The median time to persistent defervescence was 5 h (IQR = 9) in the penicillin group and 8 h (IQR = 17) in the broad-spectrum group (p = 0.18). The effect of therapy on the normalisation of body temperature is presented with a survival (Kaplan-Meier) graph – Figure 2.

We observed no significant differences between the treatment groups regarding the effect of therapy on the size of the LUS-detected consolidation (p = 0.99), neutrophil count (p = 0.33) or CRP content (p = 0.06) and a marginally better effect of penicillin than broad-spectrum β-lactams on the decrease in WBC count (p = 0.05) (Figure 3).

Regarding the rate of complications, we observed 6 (6.9%) cases of large pleural effusion that emerged during the treatment in the penicillin group and 6 (12.2%) cases in the broad-spec-
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**Table II. Epidemiological, clinical, laboratory and ultrasound characteristics of children with community-acquired pneumonia before antibiotic treatment according to the treatment regime**

| Characteristic                        | Penicillin treatment (n = 87) | Broad-spectrum antibiotic treatment (n = 49) | P-value |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|
| Crackles on auscultation, n (%)      | 19 (21.8)                    | 20 (42.6)                                   | 0.03    |
| Upper respiratory tract infection, n (%) | 37 (42.5)                  | 25 (51.0)                                   | 0.37    |
| Wheezes on auscultation, n (%)       | 5 (5.7)                      | 6 (12.2)                                    | 0.20    |
| Chest/abdominal pain, n (%)          | 42 (49.5)                    | 19 (39.8)                                   | 0.57    |
| Diminished breath sounds, n (%)      | 25 (28.1)                    | 15 (30.6)                                   | 0.85    |
| Respiratory distress, n (%)          | 7 (8)                        | 9 (18.4)                                    | 0.10    |
| Bronchial breathing, n (%)           | 16 (18)                      | 6 (12.2)                                    | 0.47    |
| Need for additional oxygen, n (%)    | 4 (4.6)                      | 2 (4.1)                                     | 1.00    |
| Chest X-ray infiltrate, n (%)        | 62 (72.3)                    | 35 (71.4)                                   | 0.47    |
| Pleural effusion, n (%)              | 5 (1)                        | 8 (5)                                       | 0.20    |
| Age, median (IQR) [months]           | 39.8 (31)                    | 34.7 (39)                                   | 0.30    |
| WBC count, median (IQR) [× 10^9/l]   | 22.8 (13.6)                  | 22.4 (13.4)                                 | 0.15    |
| CRP, median (IQR) [mg/dl]            | 149 (106)                    | 142 (146)                                   | 0.59    |
| Neutrophil count, median (IQR) [× 10^9/l] | 16.7 (12.6)             | 16.2 (12)                                   | 0.12    |
| LUS infiltrate size, median (IQR) [mm] | 30 (20)                     | 30 (23)                                     | 0.39    |

1Number of subjects with a particular characteristic (percentage in parentheses). 2Chest and/or abdominal pain was recorded only in patients aged at least 15 months. CAP – community acquired pneumonia, IQR – interquartile range, WBC – white blood cells, CRP – C-reactive protein, LUS – lung ultrasound.

trum group (p = 0.35). Only 4 (4.6%) patients were readmitted in the penicillin group and 2 (4.1%) in the broad-spectrum group (p = 0.86). In the penicillin treatment group, 2 (2.3%) patients needed a transfer to the ICU, compared with 1 (2.0%) patient in the broad-spectrum treatment group (p = 0.92). No other complications were observed. The median length of stay in both treatment groups was 2 days.

In addition, we analysed the effect of therapy, adjusting for sex and age, with a generalised linear model and still found an association of penicillin treatment with greater WBC count decline (p < 0.01) and a marginal association with neutrophil count decline (0.05). There was no association of treatment choice with CRP decline (p = 0.46) or regression of pneumonic consolidation detected with LUS (p = 0.86).

**Discussion**

In our prospective study, we found that treatment of CAP in children with narrow-spectrum intravenous penicillin is as least as successful as treatment with broad-spectrum β-lactam antibiotics.

We found that the median interval of 5 h from the administration of antibiotic therapy to permanent defervescence in the penicillin G treatment group was comparable to the 8-hour interval in the group of patients treated with broad-spectrum antibiotics. The effects of both treatment regimens on the laboratory results, regression of consolidations (measured with LUS) and the rate of complications were comparable. We observed a marginally significantly greater decrease in WBC count when patients were treated with penicillin. Amarillyo et al. compared the effect of parenteral penicillin G and cefuroxime for the treatment...
of CAP in Israeli children older than 10 years ago and found that treatment with cefuroxime was not superior. The median time to defervescence in their study was over 24 h, which is much longer than that in our study. The magnitude of the effect of treatment on WBC count and CRP was similar to our results. However, the mean time interval between the initial and follow-up laboratory results was approximately 3 days, which is a day longer than in our study [15]. According to the inclusion criteria and the initial laboratory results at admission, our patients were of similar severity. The better effect of therapy observed in our study can therefore be explained by the very high susceptibility of S. pneumoniae to β-lactam antibiotics in Slovenia [12]. The second explanation for the much shorter duration of fever in our study is the very strict criteria we used for the diagnosis of bacterial CAP, only after performing microbiological investigations to exclude viral and atypical bacterial CAP.

A study performed by Williams et al. in the United States also compared the effect of CAP treatment in children with broad- and narrow-spectrum antibiotics. However, they considered the combination of amoxicillin and clavulanic acid as well as the combination of macrolide and aminopenicillin as a narrow-spectrum drug regime. Nevertheless, the mean time to defervescence in the study performed by Williams et al. was 6.1 h in the narrow-spectrum group and 9.1 h in the group of patients treated with broad-spectrum antibiotics, which is very similar to our results [17].

In both of the above-mentioned studies performed in the United States [16, 17], the treatment of CAP with narrow-spectrum antibiotics was much less frequently applied than broad-spectrum drug therapy. This situation is in contrast...
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with the 2011 Paediatric Infectious Diseases Society/Infectious Diseases Society of America (PIDS/IDSA) guidelines for the management of children with CAP in which narrow-spectrum antimicrobial therapy is recommended for most hospitalised children [8].

One of the main outcomes of our study was the change in the size of LUS-detected consolidations, which was not used before for the purpose of evaluating treatment effects. Unlike CXR, LUS is an excellent tool for the early follow-up of CAP in children. LUS has already been established as a preferred method for the detection of pleural effusion and a sensitive tool for the detection of CAP in children [23, 28]. Moreover, several studies have shown that LUS findings parallel the clinical course of pneumonia, and the regression of pneumonic consolidations can already be observed after a few days of treatment [25, 26]. Buonsenso et al. have shown the potential usefulness of LUS in monitoring the response to antibiotic therapy in very small sample of children with CAP [30].

Broad-spectrum antibiotics are often prescribed in the emergency department and are then continued in the inpatient setting [31]. Therefore, one of the main reasons that the majority of our patients were treated with narrow-spectrum antibiotics could be the exclusion of all patients treated with antibiotics before admission.

CRP level and WBC count decrease are probably less useful as measures of the antibiotic efficacy in the adult population as pre-treatment values were found much lower (compared to children) with a median CRP level of 68.3 mg/dl and a median WBC count of 11.1 × 10⁹/l in adults with gram-positive bacterial pneumonia [32].

Our study has several limitations. There is no “gold standard” for the diagnosis of bacterial CAP in children. Blood culture is positive in less than 10% of children with uncomplicated bacterial CAP [33]. Sputum is seldom obtained from preschool children, and the results are not specific [34]. Although we used additional criteria for the diagnosis of bacterial CAP (Figure 2) very similar to those recently suggested by Bhuiyan et al. [22], we probably still excluded some children with bacterial CAP in whom we detected respiratory viruses and atypical bacteria. It is well known that the isolation of viruses or atypical bacteria from the upper airways is not direct proof of lower respiratory tract infection because prolonged viral shedding or asymptomatic colonisation is common in children [35]. Although our study was prospective, the patients were not randomly assigned to either treatment group. This potential selection bias stems from the clinical settings of the study and the preference of physicians (who were on duty at admittance) for narrow- or broad-spectrum antibiotics. However, using the propensity score, we found that both groups were matched before the treatment, and no patients needed to be excluded from the study.

In conclusion, we have shown that the treatment of CAP in children with penicillin G is at least as successful as treatment with broad-spectrum β-lactam antibiotics. Such results are even more important in the present era of the increasing resistance of bacteria to antibiotics. The translation of microbiological results into clinical practice is not always straightforward. However, the results of our study should encourage us to adhere to the guidelines that promote the use of narrow-spectrum antibiotics. Such clinical practice minimises the impact of antibiotic use on the emerging problem of bacterial resistance and the collateral damage effect on the microbiota [14].

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the paediatric radiologist Matija Žerdin, MD from the Department of Radiology, University Medical Centre, Maribor, Slovenia, for the interpretation of chest X-rays.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. urihttp://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/pneumonia (last assessed 28th June 2020).
2. Wysocki J, Sluzewski W, Guttermann E, Jouve S, Moscarello M, Balter I. Active hospital-based surveillance of invasive pneumococcal disease and clinical pneumonia in infants and young children in two Polish counties. Arch Med Sci 2016; 12: 629-38.
3. Tramper-Stranders GA. Childhood community-acquired pneumonia: a review of etiology and antimicrobial treatment studies. Paediatr Respir Rev 2018; 26: 41-8.
4. Gupta S, Lodha R, Kabra S. Antimicrobial therapy in community-acquired pneumonia in children. Curr Infect Dis Rep 2018; 20: 47.
5. Esposito S, Principi N. Defining the aetiology of paediatric community-acquired pneumonia: an unsolved problem. Expert Rev Respir Med 2019; 13: 153-61.
6. Melander RJ, Zurawski D V, Melander C. Narrow-spectrum antibacterial agents. Medchemcomm 2018; 9: 12-21.
7. Esposito S, Cohen R, Domingo JD, et al. Antibiotic therapy for pediatric community acquired pneumonia: do we know when, what and for how long to treat? Pediatr Infect Dis J 2012; 31: 78-85.
8. Bradley JS, Byington CL, Shah SS, et al. The management of community-acquired pneumonia in infants and children older than 3 months of age: clinical practice guideline by the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 53: 25-76.
9. Messinger AI, Kupfer Q, Hurst A, Parker S. Management of pediatric community-acquired bacterial pneumonia. Pediatr Rev 2017; 38: 394-409.
10. Florin TA, Byczkowski T, Gerber JS, Ruddy R, Kuppermann N. Diagnostic testing and antibiotic use in young children with community-acquired pneumonia in the United States, 2008-2015. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc 2020; 9: 248-52.

11. Poole NM, Shapiro DJ, Kronman MR, Hersh AL. Ambulatory antibiotic rescribing for children with pneumonia after publication of national guidelines: a cross-sectional retrospective study. Infect Dis Ther 2020; 9: 69-76.

12. Sader HS, Mendes RE, Le J, Flamm RK, Jones RN. Antimicrobial susceptibility of Streptococcus pneumoniae from North America, Europe, Latin America, and the Asia-Pacific region: Results from 20 years of the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program (1997-2016). Open Forum Infect Dis 2019; 6 (Suppl 1): 1-14-23.

13. Čižman M, Beović B. How to Prescribe Antimicrobial Drugs in Hospital. 2nd ed. Slovenian Medical Association, Ljubljana 2013.

14. urilhtp://www.imi.si/strokovnazduženja/skuopz/dokument/skoupztenenbaum porocilo_2017 CIP.pdf (last assessed 17th January 2020).

15. Amarilyo G, Glatstein M, Alper A, et al. IV penicillin G is as effective as IV cefuroxime in treating community-acquired pneumonia in children. Am J Ther 2014; 21: 81-4.

16. Williams DJ, Hall M, Shah SS, et al. Narrow vs broad-spectrum antimicrobial therapy for children hospitalized with pneumonia. Pediatrics 2013; 132: e1141.

17. Queen MA, Myers AL, Hall M, et al. Comparative effectiveness of empiric antibiotics for community-acquired pneumonia. Pediatrics 2014; 133: 23-9.

18. Harris M, Clark J, Coote N, et al. British Thoracic Society guidelines for the management of community acquired pneumonia in children: update 2011. Thorax 2011; 66 (Suppl 2): 1-23.

19. Stockmann C, Ampofo K, Killpack J, et al. Procalcitonin accurately identifies hospitalized children with low risk of bacterial community-acquired pneumonia. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc 2018; 7: 46-53.

20. Korppi M, Klekara O, Heiskanen-Kosma T, Soimakallio S. Comparison of radiological findings and microbial aetiology of childhood pneumonia. Acta Paediatr 1993; 82: 360-3.

21. Shuttleworth DB, Charnay E. Leukocyte count in childhood pneumonia. Am J Dis Child 1971; 122: 393-6.

22. Bhuiyan MU, Blyth CC, West R, et al. Combination of clinical symptoms and blood biomarkers can improve discrimination between bacterial or viral community-acquired pneumonia in children. BMC Pulm Med 2019; 19: 71.

23. Orso D, Ban A, Guglielmo N. Lung ultrasound in diagnosing pneumonia in childhood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Ultrasound 2018; 21: 183-95.

24. Pereda, MA, Chavez MA, Hooper-Miele CC, et al. Lung ultrasound for the diagnosis of pneumonia in children: a meta-analysis. Pediatrics 2015; 135: 714-22.

25. Urbanikowska E, Krenke K, Drobczyński Ł, et al. Lung ultrasound in the diagnosis and monitoring of community acquired pneumonia in children. Resp Med 2015; 109: 1207-12.

26. Musolino AM, Tomà P, Supino MC, et al. Lung ultrasound features of children with complicated and noncomplicated community acquired pneumonia: a prospective study. Pediatri Pulmonol 2019; 54: 1479-86.

27. Copetti R, Cattarossi L. Diagnosi ecografica di polmonite nell’età pediatrica. Radiol Medica 2008; 113: 190-8.

28. Volpicelli G. Lung sonography. J Ultrasound Med 2013; 32: 165-71.