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**Abstract:** Ferritin is a spherical iron storage protein composed of 24 subunits and an iron core. Using biomimetic mineralization, magnetic iron oxide can be synthesized in the cavity of ferritin to form magnetoferritin (MFt). MFt, also known as a superparamagnetic protein, is a novel magnetic nanomaterial with good biocompatibility and flexibility for biomedical applications. Recently, it has been demonstrated that MFt had tumor targetability and a peroxidase-like catalytic activity. Thus, MFt, with its many unique properties, provides a powerful platform for tumor diagnosis and therapy. In this review, we discuss the biomimetic synthesis and biomedical applications of MFt.
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1. Introduction of Ferritin and Magnetoferritin

Ferritin belongs to a family of iron storage proteins, which was first isolated from horse spleen in 1937 by Laufberger [1]. Thereafter, ferritin was widely discovered in bacteria, fungi, plants, humans, and other mammals [1–3]. While amino acid sequences varied among species, a few key structural residues of the iron-binding motifs were highly conservative or conservatively substituted [4], thereby leading to an essentially similar architecture and structural feature among ferritins [5]. The typical structure of ferritin involves a protein shell and an iron core [2]. In general, 24 subunits form a spherical cage (outer diameter 12 nm, inner diameter 8nm) as the protein shell, whereas the iron core was formed in the protein cavity with a type of ferrihydrite (\(5\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\cdot9\text{H}_2\text{O}\)) (Figure 1) [5–7]. The ferrihydrite of natural ferritin is antiferromagnetic [8], however, the inorganic core of ferritin could be reconstituted with magnetic iron core (magnetite or maghemite) in vitro to form an artificial magnetic protein, which was termed as magnetoferritin (MFt) [2].

In humans, the shell of ferritin is composed of two types of subunits, an H chain of 21 kDa and an L chain of 19 kDa [2]. H and L stand for heavy and light, furthermore, the H chain was named for its discovery in mammalian heart, whereas the L chain was named for its discovery in mammalian liver [9]. The highly conserved di-iron binding site in the H chain binds and oxidizes ferrous ions, and this intrasubunit ferroxidase center does not exist in the L chain [10]. When the H chain catalyzes the oxidation of Fe (II) to Fe (III) with low solubility, spontaneous nucleation will occur, and result in the formation of the ferrihydrite core inside the cavity [11].
The iron core of nature ferritin can be removed by reduction, and inorganic clusters or nanoparticles can be synthesized in the cavity by mineralization [28]. The mineral core, semiconductor core, and metal/metal alloy core were all introduced to the modification or reformation of ferritin, such as Mn$_3$O$_4$ [29], Co$_3$O$_4$ [30], CaCO$_3$ [31], CdSe [32], ZnSe [33], Au [34], and CoPt [29], which could be synthesized with a unified shape and size distribution. Magnetite nanoparticles were found inside pathological tissues involving neurodegenerative diseases [35]. The core of native ferritin might turn from ferrihydride to magnetite, thereby forming biogenic magnetoferritin [19]. This might be the result from iron detoxification of ferritins by oxidizing toxic Fe (II) [36]. Similarly in vitro, Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (Fe$_3$O$_4$, γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$) can be synthesized into the empty shell of ferritin to form a novel biocompatible material [28]. And we call this nanomaterial with ferritin shell and magnetic iron oxide core as magnetoferritin, Mft. The ferritin provides a good template for the synthesis of uniform size nanoparticles, and the protein

Figure 1. The structure of native ferritin: (a) The spherical cage and iron core of ferritin; (b) ferritin is composed of H chain subunits and L chain subunits, with 8 hydrophilic channels, which formed a 3-fold axes. Reprinted with permission from Ref 7. Copyright 2010 Newlands Press Ltd.
shell also enable MFt non-interacting and well-dispersed [37]. MFt with an average size of 12 nm is generally superparamagnetic due to its magnetic iron oxide core. In addition, MFt showed low toxicity and excellent biocompatibility [38,39]. Subsequently, the synthesis and application of MFt was greatly expanded [2,40,41]. Genic recombinant ferritins composed of H chain ferritin (HfFt) were biologically synthesized with better properties for biomedical applications. Recently, it was found that MFt composed of only H Chains (MHfFt) has the ability to target tumor cells and has a peroxidase-like activity [41]. Transferrin receptor 1 (TfR1) is a typical type II transmembrane glycoprotein, which forms a homodimer on the surface of the cell membrane [42]. It has been reported that HfFt itself specifically binds to human cells via interacting with TfR1 [43]. After binding to TfR1 on the cell surface, H-ferritin is transported into intracellular bodies and lysosomes. Because tumor cells overexpress TfR1 [44], HfFt nanoparticles are expected to be a potential candidate for tumor targeting with high biocompatibility and safety. This means that MHfFt can target tumor cells without targeting ligand functionalization.

With its unique structural future, MFt exhibits stability, biocompatibility, and the capability to mineralize magnetic core inside the cage. MFt generally showed superparamagnetic behavior and magnetic anisotropy, which could be used in MRI and hyperthermia [45–47]. The shell of MFt can be functionalized by chemical and genetic engineering [2]. In addition, mineralization within the cavity can be modified to gain ideal properties. MFt provides a powerful nanoplatform for biomedical applications [1]. This biomimetic ferritin with superparamagnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$ or γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ core [2,28,48], MFt, has attracted worldwide attention. Here, an extensive overview is presented on the synthesis, properties, modifications, and biomedical applications of MFt.

2. Synthesis of Magnetoferritin

Mann et al. firstly reported the synthesis of the magnetic protein MFt [28]. The magnetic mineral core could be reconstituted in the nano cavity of horse spleen ferritin by utilizing the unusual stability of the ferritin at high temperatures and pH. Firstly, apoferritin (apoFt) was prepared by removing iron from native ferritin. Then, at 60 °C and pH 8.5, an Fe(II) solution was slowly added to an apoferritin solution with slow oxidation by air. Electron diffraction patterns cannot identify the crystals formed in the protein cavity as ferrimagnetic minerals, magnetite or maghemite. However, considering anaerobic reaction conditions and black mineral core, the most likely possibility seems to be magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$). Next, an improved synthesis used trimethylamine-N-oxide as an oxidant to obtain MFt, containing ferrimagnetic nanocrystals of various iron loadings (from 100 to 3150 Fe atoms/protein molecule) [49]. In this research, studies on magnetic properties suggested that the mineral cores of MFt synthesized above should be maghemite (γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$), rather than magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$). All MFt samples were superparamagnetic above 100 K. Samples with theoretical loadings more than 1000 Fe atoms exhibited greater dimensions than the protein cavity. When iron loadings increased, the superparamagnetic blocking temperature of the MFt also increased from 10 to 100 K. However, the initial core of horse spleen ferritin needed to be removed before reconstituting the magnetic mineral core, which was harmful for the shell of ferritin, and resulted in the aggregation of ferritin [28].

Uchida and co-workers designed a genetically engineered ferritin mutant, comprised of only H chain subunits, thereby avoiding the complex pretreatment of natural ferritin [40]. After prokaryotic expression and purification of recombinant ferritin from Escherichia coli, (NH$_4$)$_2$Fe(SO$_4$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and H$_2$O$_2$ were simultaneously added to a protein solution under an inert atmosphere, and mineralization was performed at 65 °C and pH 8.5 (Figure 2). The iron core (Fe$_3$O$_4$ or γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$) was homogeneously formed due to its different composition of subunits. This MFt allowed for improving the efficiency of biomimetic synthesis, while preserving the biological activity [41,50,51].
was synthesized in the protein cage of ferritin [55]. A solution of metal ions (Fe(III)) was added to the ferritin solution together to form cobalt-doped magnetoferritin (MHFt). Reprinted with permission from Ref 41 Copyright 2012 Nature publishing group.

Figure 2. (a) The synthesis and structures of magnetoferritin comprised of only H chain subunits; (b) cryo-transmission electron microscopy (CryoTEM) image of MHFt nanoparticles; TEM image of (c) HFT shell and (d) iron core. Reprinted with permission from Ref 41 Copyright 2012 Nature publishing group.

Ferritins from bacteria with different properties were also able to form MFT. The *pyrococcus furiosus* (thermostable hyperthermophilic archaeon) ferritin (PfFt) with high stability in extreme high temperature was introduced to the synthesis of MFT by Parker and co-workers [52]. The process of iron oxide mineralization was similar to that of ferritin mentioned above, while the reaction temperature was reach 85 °C. During the process of biomimetic mineralization in vitro, the iron oxide nucleation of PfFt was different from that of mammalian ferritins, which may be because of the different electrostatic potential of the interior PfFt, resulting in significantly lower field strengths of magnetic saturation. Different from the typical octahedral structure of ferritin, *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* ferritin (AfFt) is the only known tetracosameric ferritin forming a tetrahedral cage with four openings. Genetically modified AfFt, AfFt-AA, which could form an octahedral symmetry structure, exhibited a higher stability and a lower release of iron [53]. Amino acid residues Lys-150 and Arg-151 of AfFt were replaced by alanine, which enhanced the hydrophobic interactions of subunits at the 4-fold interface, resulting in the change from tetrahedral to octahedral symmetry. The large triangular pores were eliminated, and the reduction of Fe (III) was possible to be slowed down. This AfFt-AA with a high iron loading ability up to 7200 Fe atoms per cage [54].

Other metal ions can be also introduced into the core by doping during the synthesis to change the properties of MFT without changing the particle size [2]. By controlling mixed mineralization reactions of cobalt and iron oxides under mild biomimetic reaction conditions, Fe$_3$-XCo$_X$O$_4$ (X ≤ 0.33) was synthesized in the protein cage of ferritin [55]. A solution of metal ions (Fe$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ with different proportions) and H$_2$O$_2$ were added to ferritin solution together to form cobalt-doped MFT [36]. The chemical structure and magnetic properties of these nanoparticles could be tailored by chemical synthesis.

3. Magnetic Properties of Magnetoferritin

The core of natural ferritin consists of iron hydroxide (ferrihydrite) which is antiferromagnetic [57]. In contrast, biomimetic magnetoferritin contains an iron oxide core that consists of superparamagnetic magnetite (or maghemite) [40]. The iron hydroxide core of native ferritin usually consists of 2000–3000 (up to 4500) iron atoms [58]. It has previously been reported that the iron hydroxide core was disordered and non-uniform, which resulted in antiferromagnetic properties [59]. It also reported that small regions of the core can be superparamagnetic, which enable endogenous ferritin serve as
an MRI reporter protein [8,60]. However, the lower relaxivity further limited its use for biomedical approaches [61].

Compared with native ferritin, MFt containing an iron oxide core (Fe₃O₄, γ-Fe₂O₃) exhibited superparamagnetic behavior without remanence and coercivity [45]. And synthetic MFt can be prepared in large quantities through bioengineering, maintaining its high biocompatibility [40]. The artificially mineralized iron core exhibits higher blocking temperature, higher sensitivity to be magnetized, and larger anisotropy energy [59]. Different from magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles, magnetostatic interactions were hardly found among MFts due to their intact protein shells [62]. Additionally, MFts were well-dispersed, non-interacting, and randomly oriented. The magnetic properties could be tailored by changing the condition of mineralization, such as controlling the loading of iron and doping of other metal ions [2]. The saturation magnetization of the cores increased as the growth of its size, however, because of the limit of the inner diameter of the hollow sphere, the diameter of core was no more than 8–9 nm [63].

MFt is known as a superparamagnetic protein due to its iron oxide core, however, the magnetic particles inside the cavity are of various sizes and types due to differences in synthesis strategy [2]. The magnetic particles inside the cavity of MFt are generally composed of magnetite (Fe₃O₄) or maghemite (γ-Fe₂O₃). Magnetic oxide nanoparticles, such as γ-Fe₂O₃ generally are disordered, show broken exchange bonds, and a lower surface symmetry when the size decreases, which result in lower saturation magnetization and enhanced magnetic anisotropies [64,65]. The magnetic moment of MFt nanoparticles with γ-Fe₂O₃ core was ten times smaller than the crystalline maghemite particles of the same size, because the iron core synthesized inside apoferritin was poorly crystalline and irregular in shape [66]. However, the magnetic anisotropy was much larger and the intensity increases with decreasing size. It was also found that the increase of the loaded iron resulted in a bigger inorganic core and a smaller external diameter of protein. Those magnetic properties were also proved via SQUID (superconducting quantum interference device) and electron magnetic resonance [67]. The iron core could also be controlled as the mixture of hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) and maghemite (γ-Fe₂O₃) rather than magnetite (Fe₃O₄) in appropriate conditions [47]. Additionally, the coercivity and remanence coercivity were larger than those of Fe₃O₄ cores due to the hematite cores. At room temperature, this MFt were also superparamagnetic. Under special synthesis conditions, the iron oxide formed inside MFt cores has been exclusively controlled as magnetite (Fe₃O₄). In theory, the iron oxide content can be as high as 4500 iron atoms. Atom counting analysis by electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) indicated that the number of Fe atoms/cage was up to 8,400 atoms, which possibly accounted for the high density of magnetite [68]. MFt with Fe₃O₄ core are also superparamagnetic. While in cobalt-doped MFt, the exchange bias between antiferromagnetic Co₃O₄ and the ferrimagnetic Fe₃O₄ core enhanced the magnetic response as a function of temperature. Compared with Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles, cobalt ferrite nanoparticles exhibited a higher transverse r₂ relaxivity, and particularly enhanced magnetic anisotropy [69,70], a similar phenomenon also appeared on ferritin with cobalt doped. MFt with cobalt-doped core is also superparamagnetic at room temperature. In addition, the MFt can be paramagnetic rather after special modification. The core of tungsten-doped MFt is disordered with un-coupled atomic magnetic moments, resulting in paramagnetic behavior [71]. The paramagnetism could lead to an increased longitudinal relaxivity (r₁) and a reduced transverse relaxivity (r₂).

Electron diffraction patterns cannot clearly identify the crystals formed in the protein cavity, and Mossbauer spectroscopy requires too large quantities of samples to study the MFt cores. As magnetite and maghemite inside the cavity of MFt generally both showed superparamagnetic behavior, and they have similar diffraction spacings [28]. Several other methods have been used for the detection of crystals. By EELS some structural confusion between magnetite and maghemite can be clarified, and data for the number of Fe atoms was obtained [68]. Micro-Raman spectroscopy is also promising to distinguish iron oxides phases in future studies [72]. Several magnetooptical methods were used to study the iron core of ferritin, and magnetooptical and nuclear magnetic resonance effects might allow to discriminate between a ferrihydrite and a magnetite/maghemite core of ferritin [73].
Furthermore, Faraday rotation spectra and magneto-optical birefringence, which were more economical and convenient than Mossbauer spectroscopy, were introduced to discriminate between maghemite and magnetite cores [74,75].

4. Biomedical Applications

MFt has been widely applied in the biomedical field due to its unique properties, including tissue imaging, drug delivery, and medical diagnosis [1]. Artificial MFt has also been used as a model system of pathological ferritin to investigate the corresponding underlying biological mechanism involved [19]. Recently, MFt containing an iron oxide core achieved many advances in biomedical applications, and an additional modification has greatly expanded the field (Table 1).

Table 1. Magnetoferritin in biomedical applications.

| Ferritin Shell | Extra Modification | Properties of Iron Core | Applications                                                                 | References |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| apoFt          |                    |                         | MRI (T2)                                                                     | [48]       |
| Hft            | W doped            | Superparamagnetic       | Paramagnetic MRI (T1)                                                        | [71]       |
|                |                    | Superparamagnetic       | MRI (T2)                                                                     | [63]       |
|                |                    | Peroxidase              | Tumor diagnosis                                                              | [41]       |
|                | Polymer mediated   | Superparamagnetic       | Native tumor targeting                                                       | [41,76]    |
|                | RGD linked         | Superparamagnetic       | Tumor targeting, MRI (T2)                                                     | [40]       |
|                | α-MSH linked       | Superparamagnetic       | Selective targeting                                                          | [78]       |
|                | Ultrafine Fe2O3    | Superparamagnetic       | MRI (T1)                                                                     | [47]       |
|                | Co doped           | Superparamagnetic,      | Magnetize cells, MRI (T2)                                                     | [38]       |
|                |                    | Enhanced relaxivity     |                                                                             |            |
|                | Co doped           | Peroxidase              | Cancer diagnosis                                                             | [56]       |
|                | Semigenetic        | Superparamagnetic       | THG, OA and MRI (T2)                                                         | [79]       |
|                | 125I conjugated    | Superparamagnetic       | SPECT imaging, MRI (T2)                                                       | [80]       |
|                | Co doped           | Enhanced magnetic       |                                                                             |            |
|                |                    | Anisotropy              | Hyperthermia                                                                 | [46]       |
|                | Cy5.5 labeled      | High relaxivity         | NIRF imaging, MRI (T2)                                                        | [81]       |
|                | Re conjugated      | Superparamagnetic       | Radiotherapy, MRI (T2)                                                        | [82]       |
|                | Self-organized     | Magnetic scaffold       | Control bioprocess                                                           | [83]       |
|                | AfFt-AA             | Enhanced relaxivity     | MRI (T2)                                                                     | [54]       |
|                |                    | Assembly                | Control drug delivery                                                        | [37]       |

4.1. Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Iron oxide magnetic nanoparticles (MNP) have widely been used for MRI as T1 contrast agents and T2 contrast agents [84]. However, MNPs with great properties require complex modification steps to realize tissue targeting and biocompatibility [85]. MFt also showed superparamagnetic behavior without remanence and coercivity at room temperature [45,86]. MFt was synthesized with the template of ferritin, resulting in uniform size of 12 nm. Compared with MNPs, MFt is well-dispersed and non-interacting in the solution because of the protein shell. The ferritin shell also provides MFt with good biocompatibility, which is suitable for MRI in vivo [38]. The r2 relaxivity of MFt is comparable to known MNP MRI contrast agents [87]. In addition, MFt composed of H chains can target tumor cells without any modification [41], while magnetic properties can be changed by doping of other metal ions [88].

In general, MFt acted as T2 MRI agent with good performance [63]. Superparamagnetic iron oxide was synthesized in the cavity of horse spleen apoferitin with high r2/r1 ratio [48]. This MFt provided a good template for modification, which could be used as a contrast agent in MRI. The superparamagnetic core enhanced contrast enhancement for MRI, without cytotoxicity, and induction of reactive oxygen species [89]. MFts were well-dispersed, non-interacting due to its protein shell. Genetically modified ferritin, AfFt-AA, could also be a promising T2 contrast agent [54]. AfFt-AA showed high iron loading ability up to 7200 Fe per cage, and r1 and r2 values were higher than
non-modified MFt. This might because the special channel of AfPt-AA could affect the diffusion of water.

However, in T2-weighted MRI, the darker contrast might be considered artifacts. Natural ferritin iron and hemosiderin iron could also influence the r2 relaxation rates to generate the T2 MRI signals [90]. However, longitudinal relaxivity (T1) contrast agents can avoid artifacts. T1 agents can interact with local water protons and shorten their spin–lattice relaxation time, thereby resulting in signal brightening [91, 92]. A mixed iron oxide with tungsten was successfully deposited inside the ferritin cavity to achieve high T1 relaxivity [71]. After seeding an iron oxide core in apoferritin by the intrinsic ferritin ferroxidase, tungsten and iron were incorporated into the core. The relaxivity could be controlled tungsten addition. The doped tungsten iron that makes it difficult to accept electrons from oxygen bridges could disrupt coupling of atoms by superexchange, leading to formation of an amorphous crystal core. Different from general superparamagnetic MFt, this WFe-apoferritin was paramagnetic. In that case, the values of r2 and r2/r1 were reduced, whereas the surface area for water proton exchange increased. The paramagnetic WFe-apoferritin nanoparticles were detectable in vivo in nanomolar concentrations using T1-weighted MRI. The size of iron oxide nanoparticles which used as T1 contrast agents usually less than 5 nm [95]. Through controlled biomimetic mineralization, Cai and co-workers synthesized ultrafine (about 2.2 nm) ferritin-based iron oxide (hematite/maghemite) from genetically recombinant human ferritin [47]. The iron oxide cores were primarily hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) or maghemite (γ-Fe₂O₃), as determined by Raman spectra, and were superparamagnetic at room temperature. This MFt nanoparticles of 2.2 ±0.7nm had an r1 value of 0.86 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ and a r2/r1 ratio of 25.1 that allowed for gaining high spatial resolution steady-state images with long-term magnetic resonance imaging up to 2 hours after single injection of MFt (Figure 3). Furthermore, biodistribution examination also excluded the risk of iron overload. This study was meaningful for the development of a clinical application of Gadolinium free magnetic resonance contrast agents.

Figure 3. Magnetic resonance angiography of head and neck in mice injected with MFt and Gd-DTPA: (a) After injecting MFt, MFt provided continuous contrast enhancements within 2 hours; (b) after Gd-DTPA administered, short term blood pool imaging was observed compared with MFt. Reprinted with permission from Ref 47 Copyright 2019 Royal Society of Chemistry.
4.2. Multimodal Imaging

To increase our understanding on tumor diagnosis, in addition to applications in MRI, MFt is flexible to be modified with a functional molecule to realize multimodal imaging, such as positron emission tomography (PET) and fluorescence imaging [1]. Radionuclide 125I was conjugated with human heavy-chain ferritin iron nanocages as a novel MFt nanoprobe (125I-M-HFt), which could internalize into cancer cells via a tumor-specific HFt-TfR1 pathway [80]. Tumor detection in vivo was achieved by both magnetic resonance imaging and SPECT using specific targeting. HFt could bind to human cells via TfR1, which actively recycled independently of ligand binding, thus within a single intravenous injection of 125I-M-HFt highly contrast-enhanced SPECT/MRI tumor imaging was achieved without excessive non-radiolabeled probe blocking. A semigenetic system used a genetic component, which interacted with exogenous components, which realized deep tissue imaging with genetic targetability [94]. It was previously reported that MFt was internalized into cells and subsequent trafficked to lysosomes through semigenetic approaches [79]. By controlling the expression of ferritin receptors, cells could uptake biosynthetic MFt and native ferrihydrite-containing ferritin, which accumulated in lysosomes. This MFt with a magnetite core allowed multimodal and multiscale detection, such as third harmonic generation microscopy (THG), optoacoustic (OA), and MRI.

Tumors at early stages usually have a low tumor-tonormal ratio, which limits the sensitivity of targeted imaging-based nanoparticles. Nanoparticles use the circulation to be transferred to tumor sites, however, pre-angiogenic tumors are poorly connected to the blood stream, which limits targeting. HFt-based nanoparticles were transported across biological barriers, such as the endothelium and epithelium in vivo [50,81]. HFt labeled with the near-infrared emitting dye Cy5.5 inside the cage could be used in near-infrared fluorescence imaging whereas MHFt was used in MRI [81]. HFt-based nanoparticles could pass through intercellular tight junctions and be endocytosed from clathrin-coated pits and caveolae. It is worth noting that MHFt was used to detect brain tumors by MRI, and M-HFt could transport across the blood–brain barrier (BBB) surprisingly. In addition, it was found that BBB endothelial cells (ECs) were proven to overexpress TfR1, and HFt nano-carriers could cross the BBB via receptor-mediated transport without blocking in lysosomes of ECs [50]. The HFt maintained their intact structure and specifically accumulated in brain tumor sites. In tumor cells, HFt seemed to be enriched in lysosomes and degraded to release the stored components. HFt-based nanoparticles show great potential for applications in medical imaging.

4.3. Tumor Diagnosis In Vitro

In the presence of H$_2$O$_2$, iron oxide nanoparticles, like natural peroxidases, can catalyze the oxidation of peroxidase substrates, that result in a color change [95]. Similarly, MFt nanoparticles were used to target and visualize tumor tissues due to specific targeting of HFt to TfR1 along with peroxidase activity of the iron oxide core [41]. MHFt-based peroxidase staining visualized tumor tissues and provided detailed histopathological information in clinical diagnostics. After reaction of MHFt with cancer cells, H$_2$O$_2$ and peroxidase substrates were added. Via the hydrophilic channel of MFT, H$_2$O$_2$ could diffuse into its cavity, and interact with iron oxide that formed OH in situ. Peroxidase substrates nearby were oxidized by OH to generate an insoluble colored precipitate in the position of MFt, which had tumor targeting activity. Staining results of clinical tumor tissue samples realized the visualization of tumors with extreme high sensitivity and specificity (Figure 4). This study provided a fast, economic, and effective tool for cancer detection in the clinic.
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\[ \alpha \]

Dox-loaded HFt (HFt-Dox) to realize tumor targeted therapy [51]. MHFt with tumor targeting been reported that HFt delivered high doses of the tumor drug doxorubicin (Dox) as a nanocarrier, tumor cells as a nanocarrier. Afterwards, it found that HFt has native tumor targeting [41]. It has on the exterior surface of HFt, without altering the function of the cage [40]. It enabled HFt to target therapy as a nanocarrier. RGD-4C, a specific tumor targeting peptide, was genetically incorporated

\[ \text{4.4. Therapy of Cancer} \]

By loading various amounts of iron into recombinant HFt shells, MHFt nanoparticles were synthesized with controlled sizes of magnetite cores in the range of 2.7–5.3 nm. When increasing the size of ferrimagnetic cores, the relaxivity, saturation magnetization, and peroxidase-like activity of MHFt nanoparticles also increased [76]. However, the diameter of the ferritin cavity does not allow bigger \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) cores than 8 nm. To increase the peroxidase-like catalytic activity of MHFt, Zhang et al. reported doping of controlled cobalt into the magnetite cores [56]. MHFt of \( \text{Co}_x\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{O}_4 \) core was synthesized with proportions of cobalt of 0%, 9.0%, 17.2%, and 34.2%, respectively. When doping more cobalt into the magnetite cores, not only the size and shape factor of the cores slightly increased, but also the loop shape, saturation magnetization and coercivity of M-HFt changed like the Cobalt ferrite nanoparticles, as previously reported [96]. \( \text{Co}_3\text{O}_4 \) nanoparticles could oxidize TMB directly by \( \text{Co}^{3+} \) as catalysts [97], and it was found that cobalt-doped M-HFt nanoparticles could improve the catalytic activity, enhancing the enhanced staining efficacy, and maintaining a high targeting ability to tumor tissue. MFt with peroxidase-like catalytic activity made it economic and convenient for the diagnosis of tumor traditional immunohistochemical methods.

Fan et al. reported that nanozymes could be used in tumor therapy [98]. The nitrogen-doped porous carbon nanospheres killed tumor cells by performing their selective activity, with their own peroxidase, oxidase, catalase, and superoxide dismutase activities. In this study, ferritin was introduced to allow for tumor targeting while magnetic properties were lost. Developing MFt in cancer therapy in vivo will maintain the magnetic properties and nanozyme activity and has great potential.

\[ \text{4.4. Therapy of Cancer} \]

In addition to applications, such as tumor imaging and diagnosis, MFt could also be used for tumor therapy as a nanocarrier. RGD-4C, a specific tumor targeting peptide, was genetically incorporated on the exterior surface of HFt, without altering the function of the cage [40]. It enabled HFt to target tumor cells as a nanocarrier. Afterwards, it found that HFt has native tumor targeting [41]. It has been reported that HFt delivered high doses of the tumor drug doxorubicin (Dox) as a nanocarrier, Dox-loaded HFt (HFt-Dox) to realize tumor targeted therapy [51]. MHFt with tumor targeting magnetic proprietary allowed to combine cancer diagnosis and therapy. To target melanoma selectively, \( \alpha \)-melanocyte-stimulating hormone (\( \alpha \)-MSH) was genetically linked with MFt [78]. The MSH peptide enabled the MFt show more selectivity to target tumor cells. Additionally, the MFt surface was also modified with polyethylene glycol molecules to increase the time of blood circulation. The functional MFt retained the ability to encapsulate magnetic iron core and expected spherical cage-like structures,
which was expected to combine MRI agent and nanomedicine carrier in the diagnosis and treatment of melanoma.

Radiolabeled biomolecules, such as antibodies and DNAs have been widely applied in radiotherapy and in the detection of tumors. In addition, MFt was labeled with rhenium, which is in +1 oxidation state [82]. Due to the laboratory restrictions on the use of radioactive elements, for the initial study, the non-radioactive rhenium isotope ($^{187}$Re) was used instead of $^{188}$Re, while the radio rhenium compound was used for radiation therapy. Rhenium labeled MFt with high stability and low toxicity, and was still competent for T2 contrast agents in MRI, while preserving the tumor cell targeting ability. By doping of the core with cobalt, the modified MHFt was allowed hyperthermia for the therapy of tumor under the affection of alternating magnetic field [46] (Figure 5). Enhanced magnetic anisotropy enabled high efficiency of hyperthermia with reduced fields and frequencies. The cobalt doping along with loss of crystallinity resulted in enhanced magnetic anisotropy, which was in conflict with the reported formation of antiferromagnetic Co$_3$O$_4$ [55]. The semigenetic system, which allowed genetic targetability, enabled magnetic cell manipulation and cell ablation by photoablation or local magnetic hyperthermia [79]. Therefore, combining tumor imaging and tumor therapy is promising for practical applications, and different methods of cancer treatment may benefit from the use of MFt.

![Figure 5](image-url). Immunofluorescence analysis of the apoptotic enzyme caspase-3 in B16 cells: (a) control cells; (b) cells exposed to the magnetic field; (c) cells treated with HFt; and (d) cells treated with HFt and exposed to the magnetic field. The alternating magnetic field of amplitude $H_0 = 17.0$ kA/m and frequency $f = 183$ kHz was applied for 30 min. Reprinted with permission from Ref 46 Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.

4.5. Assembly of Ferritin for Biomedical Applications

Nanoscale ferritin could be assembled into a complex structure to expend biomedical functions in space and time [99]. PFFt with a Fe$_3$O$_4$-$\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ iron oxide core and photodegradable Newkome-type dendrons were assembled into micrometer-sized crystal complexes with a face-centered-cubic superstructure by Kostiainen and co-workers [37]. The nanoparticles with fcc superstructures showed different hysteresis of the field-dependent magnetization due to changed magnetostatic interactions. While micrometer-sized crystals were disassembled by a short optical stimulus, free MFt particles regained with typical magnetism of a single domain. The superstructures
allowed the switch between microscale and nanoscale, showing attractive advantages in biomedical applications, such as targeted drug delivery with magnetic control. To enhance relaxivity, a polymer, poly(N-methyl-2-vinylpyridinium) iodide-block-poly (ethylene oxide) diblock copolymer was used to mediate the self-assembly of MFt [77]. The self-assembly of MFt was mediated by the polymer, forming stable clusters with a hydrodynamic diameter of 200 nm exhibited lower r1 and higher r2 results in enhanced imaging contrast. Similar to iron oxide nanoparticles, MFt has also been incorporated into cellular spheroids to realize magnetic manipulation, such as fabrication of complex tissues structures [86]. Different from normal magnetic nanoparticles, MFt did not show any side effect on cell viability with long term interaction and high concentration. HFt was also used to fabricate biochemical and magnetic scaffolds [83]. Those micron-sized scaffolds could mimic the microtubule organizing centers of cells and be manipulated via magnetic interactions. In the future, it may be determined that MFt is involved in controlling more biological processes.

4.6. Other Bioapplications of Magnetoferritin

MFt could also be used as magnetic marker to magnetize cell. Recently, Carreira and co-workers synthesized a novel cationised MFt that could rapidly magnetize stem cell within one minute and magnetisation was maintained for several weeks [38]. \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{FeSO}_4\cdot \text{6H}_2\text{O}\) containing \(\text{CoSO}_4\cdot \text{7H}_2\text{O}\) oxidized inside the apoferritin cavity by \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) to achieve cobalt-doped MFt (about 1% (w/w) cobalt doping of the iron oxide). For cationisation, purified MFt was coupled with \(\text{N},\text{N}-\text{dimethyl}-1,3\)-propanediamine via an amide bond. Cationised MFt with an average particle diameter of 8.2 nm mineralized inside the cavity showed a similar magnetic saturation, susceptibility, and relaxivity with MFt. Due to the electrostatic adsorption of cat-MF to the cell surface, cat-MF realized rapid magnetic labelling, thereby providing lasting MRI contrast and excellent biocompatibility.

Fully-genetically encoded strategies have enabled to express ferritins in vivo. Magnetoferritin can be synthesized easily in vitro, however it is challenging to be formed genetically in vivo. Ferritin has been attached to an ion channel protein to perform noninvasive magnetic control [100,101]. The magnetic ferritin could be tugged or heated with a magnetic field and the membrane conductance could be controlled, however, it does not obey the basic laws of physics [102,103]. Another magnetic protein, magnetoreceptor (MagR) was proved to be the magnetoreceptor in the body and could form a magnetic protein biocompass with cryptochromes(Cry4) [104]. This biocompass is also contrary to the physical laws [102], however, Cao et al. reported the theoretical possibility of the magnetic moment in MagR [105]. In some pathological tissues, the core of native ferritin might turn from ferrihydrite to magnetite, thereby forming biogenic magnetoferritin [35]. Thus, the expression of magnetoferritin in vivo with good safety is worth being explored for further biomedical applications. Thus, magnetogenetics is an attractive field due to its wonderful prospects.

5. Conclusions

Ever since MFt was first synthesized in 1992, it has been widely applied in the biomedical field due to its good biocompatibility, economic accessibility, and unique chemical properties [2]. The synthetic strategies of MFt are flexible and effective, and the biomedical applications of MFt has achieved significant progress. However, the biological function of ferritin and the magnetic properties of the iron core remain to be elucidated. Identifying the biological mechanism of ferritin will help us better understand neurological diseases and iron excess in the body, which will provide novel ideas for biomimetic synthesis and tumor therapy. Though with biocompatibility, biological metabolic pathways and potential side effects of MFt are in urgent need of sufficient research to promote its clinical applications. The shell of MFt can be functionalized by chemical and genetic modifications, whereas the iron core can be modified to gain ideal and unknown properties. It is highly likely that, in the future, MFt will show its charm in tumor imaging, diagnosis, and therapy as a special nanoplatform.
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Abbreviations

MFt Magnetoferritin
HFt H chain ferritin
apoFt Apoferritin
MRI Magnetic resonance imaging
MHFt Magnetic HFt
TFR1 Transferrin receptor 1
PIFt Hyperthermophilic archaeon P. furiosus
AfFt Archaeoglobus fulgidus ferritin
EELS Electron energy-loss spectroscopy
SPECT Single-Photon Emission Computed Tomography
SQUID Superconducting quantum interference device
THG Harmonic generation microscopy
OA Optoacoustic
MNP Magnetic nanoparticle
NIRF Near-infrared fluorescence
α-MSH α-melanocyte-stimulating hormone
BBB Blood–brain barrier
ECs Endothelial cells
Dox Doxorubicin
ROS Reactive Oxygen Species
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