Silicone, once thought to be safe for cosmetic use because of its thermal stability, now has been described as a catalyst to end-organ toxicity and multi-organ dysfunction. Silicone was first introduced for cosmetic surgery during the World War II era and was initially thought to be inert with no immediately recognized local or systemic response. It was not until months to years later that side effects such as migration, granuloma formation, embolic phenomena, hypercalcemia, and renal failure became evident. The current literature suggests that likelihood of silicone embolic reactions is in direct correlation with the quantities of silicone injections used. These emboli are met with a stress response by the body and result in direct toxicity to the organs.

More research is necessary to identify the pathophysiology of silicone-induced renal failure. There have only been 5 cases reported in the literature of silicone-related renal failure and only one case report of renal failure after gluteal silicone injections. This is a literature review and case report of a 31-year-old woman with successful surgical therapy for silicone-induced renal failure.

CASE REPORT

This is a 31-year-old white woman who presented with stage 3 kidney disease (estimated glomerular filtration rate 30–59), bilateral gluteal silicone granulomas, hypercalcemia, hypertension, adrenal insufficiency, and elevated blood glucose. She began to notice a gradual decline in her health over a 6-year period while receiving gluteal silicone injections from an unlicensed individual impersonating a medical specialist. A 2-year course of prednisone treatments and vacuum-assisted wound care had failed to improve her left gluteal wound, and her renal function continued to decline. The patient had elevation of renal enzymes suggestive of renal damage. Review of the patient’s condition with her nephrologist leads to the hypothesis that this was due to granuloma formation in the kidneys.

The patient history included a prior attempt at granuloma resection using sharp debridement to remove fat necrosis and retained silicone around 1 site on her left buttock that was left open and draining. This surgical wound never healed, and she was being treated with vacuum-assisted wound closure therapy with the sponge being changed every third day (Table 1).
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Physical examination revealed bilateral nodularity in the skin and deep tissues of both buttocks and an open draining wound of the left mid-gluteal region. One culture was taken at our facility during the surgical excision, and it was tested for aerobic and anaerobic organisms. She had no growth on culture results of her chronic left buttock wound with no organisms seen on gram stain (Fig. 1).

She was taken to the operating room and underwent radical excision of silicone granulomas bilaterally with flap advancements and closures (Fig. 2). During her operation, large areas of infiltrating granulomatous material and hyperfirm scarring were evident throughout her tissues extending into her gluteal muscles obscuring normal anatomical planes of dissection. The dissection was taken to the level of the gluteus fascia using the pulsed radiofrequency energy device. The resected skin ellipses were measured at 26 × 11 cm with a total of 2–3 L of tissue and silicone resected (Fig. 3). During the course of the 4-hour procedure, the patient required 2 units of blood, several units of hetastarch in sodium chloride, and 100 mg of albumin and lost an estimated 2.5 L of blood. She was admitted to the intensive care unit and went on to make a full recovery.

Interestingly on 2-month follow-up, her renal function had improved with creatinine at 1.35 mg/dL and blood urea nitrogen of 22 mg/dL, and her hypercalcemia had resolved. As expected, her pathology report showed foreign-body granulomatous reactions with cysts containing a mucous-like clear fluid.

### DISCUSSION

Historically, migration of liquid silicone has been a concern within the plastic surgery specialty, and there are well-documented reports of hepatic and pulmonary embolization. Although no renal biopsy was conducted on our patient, we postulate this as a possible cause of her renal insufficiency.

It has long been known that silicone injection leads to development of a foreign-body reaction in the form of chronic granulomatous inflammation. This reaction starts with active inflammation followed by chronic inflammation taking months to years to mature. Many who present with severe granulomatous reactions develop calcitriol-related hypercalcemia along with renal failure. Steroids such as dexamethasone have been observed to downregulate synthesis of 1,25 dihydroxy-vitamin D in macrophages, leading to decreased calcium reabsorption. Steroid injections seem to provide a temporary solution.

In addition to local inflammatory reactions described above leading to hypercalcemia and subcutaneous nodular disfigurement, silicone injections in various body regions have the ability to migrate to various organs in the body including...
the kidneys.9 How exactly silicone leads to renal failure seems to be multifactorial. There is some evidence that silicone may cause renal failure by direct toxicity, granulomatous inflammation in tubulointerstitial compartment, monoclonal gammopathy, osmotic nephrosis, microscopic polyangiitis, and focal segmental necrotizing glomerulonephritis.2,3

In our review of the literature, there are only 5 reports of renal failure after silicone injections and 1 report of silicone granulomas has been successfully completed to stabilize and restore renal function in a patient with silicone-induced renal failure (Table 2).

It is most likely that the cause of the nephrotoxicity and renal failure was due to direct silicone toxicity as the renal function remained stable and even slightly improved with removal of the offending foreign substance from the body.

Table 2. A Summary of the Literature Reviewed for This Case Report and Treatment Outcomes

| Case Reports                     | Type(s) of Silicone Exposure | Renal Effects                                      | Method(s) of Treatment                                      | Outcome                  |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Khan and Sim1                   | Silicone injections to bilateral hips | Chronic renal failure a decade later | Low-dose steroids (prednisone 2.5 mg daily) | Responded well, renal indices improved, hypercalcemia resolved |
| Visnyei et al.2                 | Silicone injections for body contouring | Acute kidney injury a decade later | IV hydration, pamidronate, Methylprednisolone | Renal function normalized |
| Tan et al.3                     | Bilateral breast implant with silicone shell | Focal segmental necrotizing and crescentic glomerulonephritis | Pulse dose of methylprednisolone, cyclophosphamide, and plasma-pheresis | Good clinical response |
| Figueres et al.4                 | Silicone breast implants | Chronic renal failure with IgG κ associated with free monoclonal κ light chains | This study reported no treatments but highlighted other literature recommending removal of implants resulting in disappearance of monoclonal immunoglobulins | Not reported in this article |
| Hitoshi et al.5                  | Augmented mammoplasty with silicone injections directly into bilateral breasts | Severe hypertension (220/160), ANA + renal failure Cr 2.6, BUN 44 | ACE-I: enalapril 20 mg/d, nifedipine 60 mg/d, prazosin 1 mg/d | Blood pressure responded and decreased to acceptable levels on day 12 |
| Uretsky et al.9                  | Bilateral augmented mammoplasty | Clinical findings consistent with PSS/scleroderma renal crisis | Both breast implants removed POD 11 | Creatinine declined after day 16 of treatment |
| D’Ythurbide et al.10            | Gluteal liquid silicone injections to bilateral glutei | Chronic renal failure (Cr 13.3 mg/dL), massive proteinuria, AA-amyloidosis on biopsy | Authors stated excision of exogenous material was “technically impossible” | After 6 mo, SAA was undetectable but patient still required hemodialysis |

ACE-1, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ANA, antinuclear antibody; IV, intravenous; POD, postoperative day; PSS, progressive systemic sclerosis; SAA, serum amyloid A.
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