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ABSTRACT

Twenty (20) sample regimes were collected for each sample matrix. The samples were pretreated and digested for the determination of selected heavy metals of interest (As, Cd, Cr, Hg, Ni and Pb. The results reveals that mean concentrations of elements in the water samples decreases in the sequence as follows Hg > Ni > Cr > Pb > As > Cd. This clearly confirmed that Hg has the highest concentration followed by Ni, with Cd being the least. The mean concentrations of elements in the cat fish organs flesh, Gills, Kidney and liver of Barkin-Ladi pond water samples are given in table 4 above. The results confirmed the differences of accumulation of element in different catfish organs. The element concentrations in the cat fish samples decreased in the sequence as Ni > Cr > Pb > Hg > As > Cd, for the gills Ni > Hg > Cr > Pb > As > Cd, for kidney Ni > Pb > Hg > Cr > As > Cd, for liver Ni > Cr > Cd > Pb > Hg > As. A two-way ANOVA showed that there was no significant difference between the mean concentrations of elements in the organs of the same fish species, while there is significant difference in the mean concentration between the organs of the different fish species investigated. The correlation analysis was carried out to determine the relationship between the concentrations of element in water sample of the study area. The result above reveals that there is a significant correlation between Cd and Pb, and also there is a significant relationship between Cr and Ni elements. While no significant correlation was observed between the other pairs. These implied that the pairs either have the same source or chemical species. Generally, the ponds were shown to be polluted with heavy metals thus the risk of bioconcentration and bioaccumulation of these heavy metals in the aquatic lives, thus endangering human health directly when consumed or through the food chain.
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1.0 Introduction

Water is essential for the health of humans and other living things and adequate supply of safe drinking water is important for the socio-economic development of a nation (Ibrahim). Drinking water pollution has continued to generate unpleasant implications for health and economic development in Nigeria and the third world in general (Rashmi et al, 2019; Nnaji and Omotugba, 2014). It serves as a significant utility in irrigation of agricultural lands, generation of hydro-electric power, municipal water supply, fishing, boating and body-contact recreation, communication as well as unending domestic activities of man and animals (Chapman, 1996). It also serves as a receiver of industrial waste, domestic waste and wastewater resulting from other uses of water (Chapman, 1996). Environmental deterioration is then a natural outcome of rapid population growth, agricultural practices, industrialization and urbanization of society (Teri, 2000).

The indiscriminate discharge of industrial effluents, raw sewage wastes and other anthropogenic wastes pollute most of the environments and affect survival and physiological activities of target organisms. Pollution of the aquatic environment by inorganic chemicals has been considered a major threat to the aquatic organisms including fishes (Nangbes et al, 2018) Metals in particular have a tendency to accumulate and undergo food chain magnification. Heavy metals affect all groups of organisms and ecosystem processes, including microbial activities (Baby et al, 2010). The bioaccumulation of heavy metals in living organisms and biomagnification in them describes the processes and pathways of these pollutants from one trophic level to another, exhibiting the higher bioaccumulation ability in the organisms concerned. Some of these organisms, like fishes, are consumed by
human beings. They could also cause several catastrophic diseases like Minamata and Itai-Itai and many other cardiovascular diseases (Baby et al, 2010).

According to Nnaji and Omotugba (2014), in a recent research conducted on several sources of drinking water, they discovered that all other metals were within desirable limits except magnesium (Mg), cadmium (Cd) and lead (Pb). Mean values for Mg were higher than the 0.20 mgL⁻¹ SON maximum limit. Cd was not detected at sampling point 12 but exceeded the WHO and SON limits in all the other sites including the water works.

The contamination of fresh waters with a wide range of pollutants has become a matter of concern over the last few decades. Among the various toxic pollutants, heavy metals are particularly severe in their action due to persistence biological amplification through the food chain (Shah and Altindau, 2005). Heavy metals have long being recognised as serious pollutants of the aquatic ecosystem. The heavy metals that are toxic to many organisms at very low concentrations and are never beneficial to living beings are Hg, Cd, and Pb (Dahunsi, 2012).

The increase of human populations and their anthropogenic activities have considerably contributed to the weakening in water quality, including accumulation of heavy metals in the ground and coastal waters (Agrawal et al; Kaushal et al, 2015; Akan et al, 2012). Heavy metals are among the most common environmental pollution index and their occurrence in water system indicate the presence of natural or anthropogenic sources, such as geologic weathering, mining practices, industrial activities, urban development, as well as deposition from the atmosphere and biological systems (Nangbes et al, 2019, 2018, 2014, Akan et al, 2012). The behaviors of heavy metals within aquifer has been an issue of increasing concern in environmental studies over the past few years because of the metals’ ecotoxicity features, persistence, and bioaccumulation as well as bio-magnification in the aquatic systems (Nangbes et al, 2018; Armid et al, 2017; Agrawal et al, 2016; Dahunsi et al, 2012; Akan et al, 2012).

According to Armid et al (2017) Pb can exist in aquatic system in a much higher concentration than other heavy metals. They showed that Pb had the highest concentrations in the water system of Kendari Bay and is severely polluted with heavy metal Pb to 210 time more than other metals. This showed a serious potential health hazard to both plant and animals within and around the aquifer. Transportation of metals in fish tissues occurs through the blood where the ions are usually bound to other biochemical substances such as proteins. The metals are brought into contact with the organs and tissue of the fish and consequently accumulated to a different extent in different organs or tissues of the fish (Dahunsi et al, 2012). Once heavy metals are accumulated by an aquatic organism, they can be transported through the upper class of the food chain (Ayandiran et al., 2009).

Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in tissues of marine organisms has been identified as an indirect measure of the abundance and availability of metals pollution levels in the marine environment (Nangbes et al, 2018; Udiba et al, 2014). Monitoring fish tissue contamination therefore serves an important function as an early warning indicator of sediment contamination or related water quality problems and enables appropriate action to be taken in order to protect public health and the environment. Trace elements contents of tissues of Clarias gariepinus from River Galma, River Kubanni and Fish farms in Zaria, Nigeria were investigated. The metals entering the aquatic ecosystem may not directly cause damage to organism but they can be deposited in aquatic organism through the
Effect of bioconcentration, bioaccumulation and other food chain processes thus gets to man in concentrations that poses threats to human health via consumption of seafoods (Nangbes, 2018; Adewoye and Fawole, 2002).

Heavy metal pollution is known to be the cause of various diseases globally, such as the minamata disease (organic mercury poisoning), il'tai-itai disease (cadmium poisoning), arsenous acid poisoning, and airpollution-related asthma (Matsuo, 2003). Marine ecosystems are highly complex, dynamic and subject to many internal and external relationships that are subject to change over time.

The pollutants that enter the inshore waters and estuaries create serious problems causing extensive damage to the life and activities of the living aquatic organisms and even to mass mortality. Among the pollutants, accumulation of heavy metals in marine ecosystems is of global importance (Baby et al, 2010).

According to recent research findings (Dahunsi et al 2012), fish can be a good pollution bioindicator. The research finding in the work of Dahunsi et al (2012) to study the bioaccumulation of cadmium and lead showed that the highest bioaccumulation of lead was 0.4680 mg/L while the least was 0.0660 mg/L. Cadmium bioaccumulation in the head had the highest value to be 2.0424 mg/L while the lowest value was 0.0101 mg/L. In the head capsule on the other hand, the highest accumulation of lead was found to be 0.3918 mg/L while its lowest value was 0.1677 mg/L. The highest value for cadmium in the head capsule was 2.0249 mg/L while its lowest was 0.0058 mg/L. It was revealed in the study that fish can bioaccumulate heavy metals from a polluted environment, which often result in reduction or impairment of natural population size and could be a veritable source of these metals to man.

Lead is a cumulative poison and a possible human carcinogen (Adedjei and Okocha, 2011) while for mercury, toxicity results in mental disturbance and impairment of speech, hearing, vision and movement Adepoju-Bello and Alabi, 2005). In addition, lead and mercury may cause the development of autoimmunity in which a person’s immune system attacks its own cells. This can lead to joint diseases and ailment of the kidneys and circulatory system and neurons. At high concentrations, lead and mercury can cause irreversible brain damage.

Mercury (Hg) is one of the most hazardous environmental pollutants due to its toxicity and its accumulation in aquatic organisms. The relative toxicity of mercury depends on its chemical form, methyl mercury being one of the most toxic substances existing in the environment. The consumption of fish is the main route of exposure of humans to monomethylmercury, which represent the main form of mercury in fish due to biomagnification in the marine food chain (Bakre)-dunola, 2005). According to (Akan et al, 2012; Mehdi et al, 2013; Mensoor and Said, 2018), the order of mercury concentrations in tissues of the fish species was as follows: liver > gill > muscle and in tissues of the kingfisher species was as follows: feather > liver > kidney > muscle.

Therefore, liver in fish and feather in kingfisher exhibited higher mercury concentration than the other tissues (Huang et al, 2019; Ishaq et al, 2014). There was a positive correlation between mercury concentrations in fish and kingfisher species with size of its food items.

The aim of the current study is to investigate the distribution of the heavy metals As, Cd, Cr, Hg, Ni and Pb in abandoned mining pond of Barkin Ladi water and the fish saples (Tilapia zili and Clarias gariepinus) in order to consider their sources and pollution safe status.
2.0 Description of Sampling Sites

Barkin-ladi is a local government in Plateau state of Nigeria at latitude 9°32 north and 8°54 east. It has an area of 1,032km2. The speciation study covered seven mining ponds. They are one of the notable areas because of their large industrialization that potentially contribute to soil pollution. The locations of the sample sites are shown in table 1 below.

**Table 1: Geographical locations of sampling sites**

| S/No. | NAME OF LOCATION                          | LATITUDE      | LONGITUDE     | ELEVATION (MASL) |
|-------|-------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1     | MAIYANGA DIRIS                            | 09°24.551’    | 008°54.415’   | 1373            |
| 2     | KUBA (B)                                  | 09°25.138’    | 008°55.287’   | 1398            |
| 3     | MAIJAHOTA                                 | 09°26.902’    | 008°55.607’   | 1377            |
| 4     | RW                                        | 09°28.014’    | 008°55.447’   | 1402            |
| 5     | LOKO KASSA I                              | 09°33.692’    | 008°54.457’   | 1278            |
| 6     | LOKO KASSA II (BIG DAM) BEHIND GWOL MOTEL | 09°33.698’    | 008°54.527’   | 1279            |
| 7     | LOKOJORO (KASSA)                          | 09°33.907’    | 008°54.030’   | 1299            |
| 8     | DIRECTLY BEHIND GWOL MOTEL                | 09°33.774’    | 008°53.969’   | 1304            |
| 9     | SOUTH OF B/LADI                           | 09°32.483’    | 008°53.005’   | 1288            |
| 10    | MARARABAN KANTOMA                         | 09°30.869’    | 008°59.997’   | 1297            |
| 11    | GONAN YASHI (BOKKOS ROAD, B/LADI)         | 09°31.748’    | 008°54.091’   | 1295            |
| 12    | MARIT                                     | 09°32.966’    | 008°53.173’   | 1287            |
| 13    | RAKUNG I                                  | 09°32.877’    | 008°53.115’   | 1289            |
| 14    | RAKUNG II                                 | 09°32.820’    | 008°53.115’   | 1300            |
| 15    | NGWETTI                                   | 09°33.009’    | 008°53.270’   | 1291            |
| 16    | BOYI                                      | 09°33.097’    | 008°54.230’   | 1294            |
| 17    | DANGU                                     | 09°32.914’    | 008°53.503’   | 1285            |
| 18    | KWORROS                                   | 09°32.420’    | 008°53.576’   | 1290            |
2.1 Sample Collection and Pretreatment

2.1.1 Sample Collection

Water and fish samples were collected from twenty (20) different ponds in Barkin-Ladi LGA of Plateau State. At each pond, fish samples were bought from the local fishermen and washed with tap water and then properly rinsed with distilled water. The samples were then dissected with plastic knife and organs of interest removed and air dried to constant weight. The water samples for each pond were also collected by the fishermen from the four geographical cardinal points and the middle.
2.2 Digestion of samples

2.2.1 Fish

Acid digestion of samples  Acid mixture (10 mL, 70% high purity HNO₃ and 65% HClO₄, 4:1 (v/v) was added to the beaker containing 2 g dry sample according to Mehdi et al, 2013). The mixture was then digested at 80 °C till a transparent solution was achieved. After cooling, the digested samples were filtered using Whatman no.42 filter paper and rinsed severally to ensure complete washing of the filter paper. The filtrate was diluted to 50 mL with redistilled water. Determination of the heavy metals in the filtrate of the fish organ digests was achieved by atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Model 6800 with graphite furnace Model GFA 7000, Hydride unit was used for determination of mercury).

2.2.2 Water

The samples were preserved by adding 1.5 mL of conc. HNO₃ to each 1 liter of sample and the pH adjusted to 2.0 by the use of pH meter. Nitric acid digestion was employed in accordance with APHA method (1998).

2.3 Elemental Analysis of Samples

The resulting solutions were stored in sample bottles in the Chemistry Laboratory, Plateau State University Bokkos at room temperature before been taken to Engineering Laboratory of Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University (ATBU), Bauchi for the determination of Pb, Cd, Cr and Ni which were measured directly on each final solution using a 210 VGP (AAS) Scientific Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer.

3.0 Results and Discussion

The study was carried out to examine the concentration of elements in pond water and fish samples in Barkin-Ladi. Twenty samples were collected data are replicate of 3 for each element. And some were not detected. Mean results obtained were as given in tables 2, 3 and 4 for the water, Clarias gariepinus and Tilapia zili organs respectively.

3.1 Statistical analysis

The normal statistical tolls were applied to the data to ascertain the degree of accuracy of analytical determinations. Also the raw data was subjected to a two-way ANOVA and Pearson correlation matric to understand the interaction and source of the heavy metal pollutants using SPSS statistical tool version 23.

3.2 Results and Discussion

The average results obtained for the water and fish sample matrices were as given in tables 2, 3 and 4 for water, Clarias gariepinus and Tilapia zili fish organs respectively.

| Table 2: Mean concentration of elements for 20 sampling stations in water sample |
| Parameter | N  | Mean  | Std. Dev. |
| As        | 19 | 0.061 | ±0.031    |
| Pb        | 20 | 0.109 | ±0.060    |
Table 2 reveals that mean concentrations of elements in the water samples decrease in the sequence as follows Hg > Ni > Cr > Pb > As > Cd, similar to the work reported by Udiba et al (2014). This clearly confirmed that Hg has the highest concentration followed by Ni, with Cd being the least.

Table 3: The mean concentrations of elements for 20 sampling stations in different organs of *Clarias gariepinus* fish (Mean±SD)

| Parameter | Flesh          | Gills          | Kidney         | Liver          |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| As        | 0.021±0.011    | 0.025±0.013    | 0.015±0.007    | 0.024±0.015    |
| Cd        | 0.014±0.005    | 0.019±0.008    | 0.012±0.004    | 0.275±0.023    |
| Cr        | 0.742±0.147    | 0.269±0.136    | 0.251±0.126    | 0.496±0.081    |
| Hg        | 0.101±0.050    | 0.304±0.067    | 0.285±0.048    | 0.194±0.038    |
| Ni        | 1.944±0.632    | 0.594±0.234    | 2.903±0.547    | 4.099±0.608    |
| Pb        | 0.268±0.170    | 0.141±0.108    | 0.639±0.120    | 0.198±0.080    |

**Fig.2** A plot of mean concentration of elements in water sample of Barkin-Ladi Mining Ponds
The mean concentrations of elements in the cat fish organs flesh, Gills, Kidney and liver of Barkin-Ladi pond water samples are given in table 4 above. The results confirmed the differences of accumulation of element in different catfish organs. The element concentrations in the cat fish samples decreased in the sequence for the flesh as Ni > Cr > Pb > Hg > As > Cd, for the gills Ni > Hg > Cr > Pb > As > Cd, for kidney Ni > Pb > Hg > Cr > As > Cd, for liver Ni > Cr > Cd > Pb > Hg > As. These trends concurred with other similar works (Nangbes et al, 2018; Udiba et al, 2014; Dahunsi et al, 2012; Adewale and Fawole, 2002).

**Fig.3** Mean concentration of elements among Cat fish organs samples from Barkin-Ladi Ponds

**Table 4:** The mean concentrations of element in different organs of *Tilapia zili* fish from study area (Mean±SD)

| Parameter | Flesh   | Gills   | Kidney  | Liver   |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| As        | 0.024±0.008 | 0.015±0.005 | 0.027±0.019 | 0.029±0.014 |
| Cd        | 0.015±0.005 | 0.019±0.009 | 0.017±0.008 | 0.018±0.008 |
| Cr        | 0.184±0.033 | 0.451±0.072 | 0.522±0.102 | 0.344±0.061 |
| Hg        | 0.190±0.061 | 0.302±0.062 | 0.227±0.064 | 0.147±0.033 |
The mean concentrations of elements in the *Tilapia zili* fish organs flesh, Gills, Kidney and liver of Barkin-Ladi pond water samples are given in table 4 above.

The element concentrations in the tilapia fish samples decreased in the sequence for the flesh as Ni > Hg > Cr > As > Cd > Pb for the gills Ni > Cr > Hg > Pb > Cd > As for kidney Ni > Cr > Hg > As > Cd > Pb, for liver Ni > Cr > Hg > Pb > As > Cd, thus agreeing with the works of other researchers (Nangbes et al, 2018, Ishak et al, 2014; Akan et al, 2012)

![Fig.4 Mean concentration of elements among Tilapia fish organs samples from Barkin-Ladi ponds](image-url)
### Table 5: ANOVA for Cat Fish

|     | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F     | Sig.  |
|-----|----------------|----|-------------|-------|-------|
| **As** |                |    |             |       |       |
| Between Groups | 0.001 | 3  | 0           | 2.049 | 0.115 |
| Within Groups  | 0.01  | 68 | 0           |       |       |
| Total          | 0.011 | 71 |             |       |       |
| **Cd** |                |    |             |       |       |
| Between Groups | 0.994 | 3  | 0.331       | 1949.6| 0.000 |
| Within Groups  | 0.012 | 72 | 0           |       |       |
| Total          | 1.006 | 75 |             |       |       |
| **Cr** |                |    |             |       |       |
| Between Groups | 3.189 | 3  | 1.063       | 67.828| 0.000 |
| Within Groups  | 1.191 | 76 | 0.016       |       |       |
| Total          | 4.381 | 79 |             |       |       |
| **Hg** |                |    |             |       |       |
| Between Groups | 0.523 | 3  | 0.174       | 64.445| 0.000 |
| Within Groups  | 0.206 | 76 | 0.003       |       |       |
| Total          | 0.729 | 79 |             |       |       |
| **Ni** |                |    |             |       |       |
| Between Groups | 132.176 | 3  | 44.059      | 157.03| 0.000 |
| Within Groups  | 21.324 | 76 | 0.281       |       |       |
| Total          | 153.5 | 79 |             |       |       |
| **Pb** |                |    |             |       |       |
| Between Groups | 3.022 | 3  | 1.007       | 65.49 | 0.000 |
| Within Groups  | 1.169 | 76 | 0.015       |       |       |
| Total          | 4.191 | 79 |             |       |       |
It can be seen from table 5 that there is no significant difference the mean of the results obtained within groups but there a significant difference between groups. This can be attributed to the difference in the bioaccumulation capacity of the organs as well as their physiology and micro environmental exposure rates to the heavy metals investigated.

**Table 6: ANOVA for Tilapia Fish**

| Metal | Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F     | Sig. |
|-------|----------------|----|-------------|-------|------|
| As    | Between Groups | 0.002 | 3 | 0.001 | 3.944 | 0.012 |
|       | Within Groups  | 0.01 | 61 | 0     |       |      |
|       | Total          | 0.012 | 64 |       |       |      |
| Cd    | Between Groups | 0   | 3 | 0     | 1.029 | 0.385 |
|       | Within Groups  | 0.004 | 74 | 0     |       |      |
|       | Total          | 0.004 | 77 |       |       |      |
| Cr    | Between Groups | 1.298 | 3 | 0.433 | 85.29 | 0     |
|       | Within Groups  | 0.386 | 76 | 0.005 |       |      |
|       | Total          | 1.684 | 79 |       |       |      |
| Hg    | Between Groups | 0.257 | 3 | 0.086 | 26.86 | 0     |
|       | Within Groups  | 0.243 | 76 | 0.003 |       |      |
|       | Total          | 0.5  | 79 |       |       |      |
| Ni    | Between Groups | 20.325 | 3 | 6.775 | 63.12 | 0     |
|       | Within Groups  | 8.158 | 76 | 0.107 |       |      |
|       | Total          | 28.483 | 79 |       |       |      |
| Pb    | Between Groups | 0.148 | 3 | 0.049 | 30.31 | 0     |
|       | Within Groups  | 0.08 | 49 | 0.002 |       |      |
|       | Total          | 0.227 | 52 |       |       |      |
Similarly for the tilapia fish, it can be seen from table 6 that there is no significant difference the mean of the results obtained within groups but there a significant difference between groups. This can be attributed to the difference in the bioaccumulation capacity of the organs as well as their physiology and microenvironmental exposure rates to the heavy metals investigated as well as the different feeding and foraging habitats (Huang et al, 2019).

Table 7: Depict the correlation matrices of element pair in water sample of the present study

| Correlations | AS | Cd  | Cr  | Hg  | Ni  | Pb  |
|--------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| AS           | Pearson Correlation | 1   | -0.151 | -0.101 | -0.116 | -0.118 | -0.042 |
|              | Sig. (2-tailed)      | 0.537 | 0.681 | 0.635 | 0.631 | 0.863 |
|              | N                 | 19  | 19  | 19  | 19  | 19  |
| Cd           | Pearson Correlation | -0.151 | 1   | -0.085 | 0.596 | -0.432 | 0.682 |
|              | Sig. (2-tailed)      | 0.537 | 0.723 | 0.006 | 0.057 | 0.001 |
|              | N                 | 19  | 20  | 20  | 20  | 20  |
| Cr           | Pearson Correlation | -0.101 | -0.085 | 1   | -0.061 | 0.606 | 0.205 |
|              | Sig. (2-tailed)      | 0.681 | 0.723 | 0.798 | 0.005 | 0.386 |
|              | N                 | 19  | 20  | 20  | 20  | 20  |
| Hg           | Pearson Correlation | -0.116 | 0.596 | -0.061 | 1   | -0.031 | 0.258 |
|              | Sig. (2-tailed)      | 0.635 | 0.006 | 0.798 | 0.897 | 0.273 |
|              | N                 | 19  | 20  | 20  | 20  | 20  |
| Ni           | Pearson Correlation | -0.118 | -0.432 | 0.606 | -0.031 | 1   | -0.376 |
|              | Sig. (2-tailed)      | 0.631 | 0.057 | 0.005 | 0.897 | 0.102 |
|              | N                 | 19  | 20  | 20  | 20  | 20  |
| Pb           | Pearson Correlation | -0.042 | 0.682 | 0.205 | 0.258 | -0.376 | 1 |
|              | Sig. (2-tailed)      | 0.863 | 0.001 | 0.386 | 0.273 | 0.102 |
|              | N                 | 19  | 20  | 20  | 20  | 20  |

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

The correlation analysis was carried out to determine the relationship between the concentrations of element in water sample of the study area. The result above reveals that there is a significant correlation between Cd and Pb, and also there is a significant relationship between Cr and Ni elements (Mensoor and Saaid, 2018). While no
significant correlation was observed between the other pairs. These implied that the pairs either have the same source of chemical species (Nangbes et al, 2019; Nangbes et al 2014; Ashraf et al, 2012

Generally, the ponds were shown to be polluted with heavy metals thus the risk of bioconcentration and bioaccumulation of these heavy metals in the aquatic lives, thus endangering human health directly when consumed or through the food chain.

4.0 Conclusion and Recommendation

4.1 Conclusion

The research indicates that the abandoned tin mining ponds are somewhat polluted with toxic heavy metals which can bioaccumulate or bioconcentrate in aquatic lives. These metals are potential health hazards to the populace who use such pond water for agricultural purposes as a result of pollution.

4.2 Recommendation

Generally, the ponds were shown to be polluted with heavy metals thus the risk of bioconcentration and bioaccumulation of these heavy metals in the aquatic lives, thus endangering human lives as result of their consumption. This calls for a bioremediation strategy to be undertaken on the ponds which are viable economic potentials for the production of fisheries.
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