Seroprevalence of 12 serovars of pathogenic *Leptospira* in red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) in Poland
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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Leptospira* spp. infect humans and a wide range of domestic and wild animals, but certain species such as small rodents and red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) play a particular role as reservoirs and transmission of leptospirosis as they easily adapt to many habitats including human environments. To investigate the significance of red foxes in the epidemiology of leptospirosis in Poland, a seroprevalence survey was conducted. During the 2014–2015 hunting season, blood samples of 2134 red foxes originating from the central-eastern part of Poland were collected. Serum samples were tested by a microscopic agglutination test for the presence of specific antibodies to *Leptospira* serovars Icterohaemorrhagiae, Grippotyphosa, Sejroe, Tarassovi, Pomona, Canicola, Hardjo, Ballum, Australis, Bataviae, Saxkoebing and Poi.

**Results:** Antibodies to at least one serovar were detected in 561 sera (26.3%). The highest seroprevalence was found in the Subcarpathia (41.6%) and Warmia-Masuria (40.3%) provinces. Antibodies were mainly directed against serovars Poi (12.4%), Saxkoebing (11.3%), and Sejroe (6.0%).

**Conclusions:** Exposure of red foxes to certain *Leptospira* serovars seems to be common in central and eastern Poland. In addition, the high prevalence of antibodies against *Leptospira* spp. in foxes may indicate a potential risk of infection for humans and other species coming into contact with these animals.
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**Background**

Leptospirosis caused by pathogenic spirochetes of the genus *Leptospira* is an important but sometimes neglected infection that affects people and animals worldwide. Leptospirosis is a re-emerging major public health problem in many countries and is one of the most widespread zoonoses. It is an excellent example validating the “One Health” approach, where the relationship between humans, animals and ecosystems needs to be considered in order to better understand and manage a disease [1]. Some serovars of *Leptospira* can chronically infect domestic and wild animals and in particular small rodents. In addition to rodents, other wild animal species such as the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) may act as a reservoir [2]. The bacteria are occasionally transmitted through direct contact with mammal hosts, but the majority are usually transmitted via contact with contaminated soil and water [3], where leptospires’ survival outside the host is favoured by warm moist conditions [4]. The red fox lives throughout Europe, mainly inhabiting forests, meadows, coastal dunes and urbanized areas [5]. The Polish hunting statistics for 2015 indicate that the population of red foxes in Poland is 190,000–200,000 individuals, with a tendency to remain stable [6]. Red foxes prey upon small rodents, among other animals and the red fox may transmit leptospirosis to humans. A recent study indicate that small mammals might be an important source of human leptospirosis as both rodents and...
humans share infections caused by *Leptospira* spp. from the same serogroups [7]. The aim of the present study was to determine the seroprevalence for *Leptospira* spp. in red foxes from central and eastern Poland.

**Methods**

**Sample collection and study area**

Blood samples from red foxes (*n* = 2134) were collected during the 2014–2015 hunting seasons in Poland. Blood was taken from the thoracic cavity or heart of animals culled primarily through the rabies monitoring program. The samples originated from 134 counties of nine provinces of Poland and were mainly collected from the central and eastern (49–55°N, 17–23°E) parts of the country (Fig. 1). Blood samples were centrifuged at 4500 g for 30 min and serum stored at −20 °C until analysis.

**Microscopic agglutination test**

Serum samples were tested by a microscopic agglutination test (MAT) using a range of 12 *Leptospira* serovars representative of 10 serogroups found in Europe: Icterohaemorrhagiae (RGA strain, representing the Icterohaemorrhagiae serogroup), Grippotyphosa (Moskva V strain, Grippotyphosa serogroup), Sejroe (M84 strain, Sejroe serogroup), Tarassovi (Perepelicyn strain, Tarassovi serogroup), Pomona (Pomona strain, Pomona serogroup), Canicola (Hond Utrecht IV strain, Canicola serogroup), Hardjo (Hardjoprajitno strain, Sejroe serogroup), Ballum (MUS127 strain, Ballum serogroup), Australis (Ballico strain, Australis serogroup), Bataviae (Swart strain, Bataviae serogroup), Saxkoebing (MUS24 strain, Sejroe serogroup) and Poi (Poi strain, Javanica serogroup) [8, 9]. The selection of the serovars used was based on their common identification in previous European studies [10–13] reporting *Leptospira* spp. in wild carnivores.

Each serovar was grown in 10 mL of Ellinghausen–McCullough–Johnson–Harris (EMJH) medium, at 30 ± 1 °C for at least 4 but no more than 8 days depending on the serovar. The concentration of bacteria was adjusted to 1–2 × 10^8 cells/mL using a Helber counting chamber. The sera were initially diluted 1:50 and screened for antibodies to the 12 serovars. A volume of each antigen equal to the diluted serum volume was added to each well with a final serum dilution of 1:100 in the screening test. The final concentration of antigen after mixing with the diluted serum was 1–2 × 10^8 cells/mL. The plates were incubated at 30 ± 1 °C for 2–4 h and subsequently examined by dark-field microscopy. The titre was defined as the highest dilution where ≥ 50% of the antigen suspension added to the tested serum was agglutinated. When agglutination was observed, the relevant sera were end-point tested using twofold dilutions ranging from 1:100 to 1:25,600.

The quality control of the MAT was performed by using certified reference *Leptospira* strains and anti-*Leptospira* rabbit antisera (Veterinary Sciences Division, AFBI, OIE Leptospira Reference Laboratories, Belfast, and the WHO/FAO and National Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Leptospirosis, Royal Tropical Institute (KIT), Amsterdam, the Netherlands). Testing of the samples was conducted at the National Reference Laboratory of Leptospirosis, National Veterinary Research Institute in Pulawy, Poland using an accredited method according to PN/EN ISO/IEC 17025-2005.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was used to study the impact of the season, sex, age and population density of foxes on *Leptospira* seroprevalence. It was based on logistic regression models to describe the influence of several variables *X*_1*, *X*_2*, ..., *X*_n* on the dichotomous variable *Y*:

\[
P(Y = 1|X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) = \frac{e^{(\beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i X_i)}}{1 + e^{(\beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i X_i)}}
\]

where \( \beta_i \) is the regression coefficient for \( i = 0, \ldots, n \), \( X_i \) are independent variables (measurable or qualitative) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

The maximum likelihood method was used to estimate the model’s coefficients. The Wald test was used to evaluate the significance of individual variables. Evaluation of model fit to data was performed using the likelihood ratio (LR) test.

Five predictors (4 qualitative and 1 quantitative) were included in the modelling:

- **sampling season** (spring: March–May, summer: June–August, autumn: September–November, or winter: December–February);
- **sex** (male, female);
- **age** (young, adult);
- **province** (LD: Łódzkie; MP: Lesser Poland; MA: Masovia; OP: Opolskie; PK: Subcarpathia; PM: Pomera­nia; SL: Silesia; SW: Świętokrzyskie; WM: Warmia-Masuria); (Fig. 1) and
- **fox density in counties in 2015** (No/km²).

The dependent variable was the qualitative result of the study. Analysis was performed for results without distinguishing between serovars (*Leptospira* spp.: positive/
### Table 1 Total number of red foxes from Poland hunted in 9 Polish provinces between 2014 and 2015

| Province/season | Result (Leptospira sp.) | Sex | Age | Females | Males | Unknown | Total No of seropositive | % of anti-Leptospira sp. antibodies positive (95% CI) |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|---------|-------|---------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| LD              | − + − + − +             |     |     | 45      | 14    | 35      | 14                       | 254                                           |
|                 | − + − + − +             |     |     | 81      | 27    | 26      | 12                       | 264 (21.1–32.3)                               |
|                 | − + − + − +             |     |     | 39      | 9     | 19      | 6                        | 166 (52)                                     |
| Spring          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 12      | 4     | 3       | 1                        | 19.1 (14.9–23.8)                             |
| Autumn          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 12      | 6     | 7       | 2                        | 75    (14.9–23.8)                             |
| Winter          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 21      | 8     | 23      | 13                       | 128 (40)                                     |
| MP              | − + − + − +             |     |     | 56      | 18    | 60      | 8                        | 101 (41.6 (31.9–51.8)                         |
|                 | − + − + − +             |     |     | 30      | 9     | 92      | 12                       | 61 (19.1 (14.9–23.8)                          |
| Unknown         | − + − + − +             |     |     | 36      | 10    | 37      | 3                        | 75    (14.9–23.8)                             |
| Spring          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 17      | 6     | 5       | 2                        | 57    (16)                                   |
| Autumn          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 3       | 2     | 18      | 3                        | 56    (14)                                   |
| MA              | − + − + − +             |     |     | 47      | 30    | 80      | 42                       | 199 (72)                                     |
| Unknown         | − + − + − +             |     |     | 47      | 30    | 80      | 42                       | 199 (72)                                     |
| OP              | − + − + − +             |     |     | 25      | 13    | 29      | 6                        | 173 (52)                                     |
| Unknown         | − + − + − +             |     |     | 45      | 12    | 42      | 9                        | 30.1 (23.3–37.5)                             |
| Summer          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 4       | 1     | 11      | 3                        | 57    (16)                                   |
| Autumn          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 21      | 12    | 18      | 3                        | 128 (40)                                     |
| PK              | − + − + − +             |     |     | 19      | 17    | 1       | 1                        | 101 (41.6 (31.9–51.8)                         |
| Unknown         | − + − + − +             |     |     | 19      | 17    | 1       | 1                        | 101 (41.6 (31.9–51.8)                         |
| Unknown         | − + − + − +             |     |     | 36      | 16    | 3       | 5                        | 131 (42)                                     |
| Unknown         | − + − + − +             |     |     | 36      | 16    | 3       | 5                        | 131 (42)                                     |
| PM              | − + − + − +             |     |     | 43      | 17    | 7       | 4                        | 131 (42)                                     |
| Winter          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 43      | 17    | 7       | 4                        | 131 (42)                                     |
| SL              | − + − + − +             |     |     | 61      | 11    | 62      | 8                        | 321 (36)                                     |
| Unknown         | − + − + − +             |     |     | 106     | 8     | 55      | 9                        | 11.2 (8.0–15.2)                              |
| Spring          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 7       | 2     | 3       | 4                        | 30 (5)                                       |
| Summer          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 13      | 2     | 22      | 4                        | 84 (14)                                      |
| Autumn          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 23      | 5     | 28      | 1                        | 131 (10)                                     |
| Winter          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 18      | 2     | 9       | 3                        | 76 (7)                                       |
| SW              | − + − + − +             |     |     | 40      | 8     | 73      | 11                       | 260 (38)                                     |
| Unknown         | − + − + − +             |     |     | 73      | 14    | 36      | 5                        | 14.6 (10.6–19.5)                             |
| Spring          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 8       | 1     | 5       | 2                        | 21 (3)                                       |
| Summer          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 5       | 2     | 13      | 3                        | 42 (5)                                       |
| Autumn          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 13      | 1     | 27      | 7                        | 69 (14)                                      |
| Winter          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 24      | 4     | 28      | 4                        | 128 (16)                                     |
| WM              | − + − + − +             |     |     | 73      | 36    | 30      | 26                       | 375 (151)                                    |
| Winter          | − + − + − +             |     |     | 73      | 36    | 30      | 26                       | 375 (151)                                    |
| Total           | − + − + − +             |     |     | 343     | 117   | 315     | 94                       | 2134 (561)                                   |

LD Łódzkie, MP Leśny Poland, MA Masovia, OP Opolskie, PK Subcarpathia, PM Pomorania, SL Silesia, SW Świętokrzyskie, WM Warmia-Masuria
negative) and for each serovar separately. The selection of
variables for modelling was based on analytical stepping
methods (step-wise). For qualitative variables, 0–1 cod-
ing for \( k - 1 \) variables was used (Table 2).

The following classes of variables were reference classes
in models: ‘summer’ for sampling season, ‘female’ for sex,
‘young’ for age and ‘SL’ for province. Parameters of sig-
ificant and best fit logistic regression models obtained
for each analysis are shown in Table 3. The accepted

![Geographic distribution of red foxes seropositive for pathogenic \textit{Leptospira} in Poland. LD Łódzkie, MP Lesser Poland, MA Masovia, OP Opolskie, PK Subcarpathia, PM Pomerania, SL Silesia, SW Świętokrzyskie, WM Warmia-Masuria, DS Lower Silesia, KP Kuyavian-Pomerania, LB Lubuskie, LU Lubelskie, PD Podlaskie, WP Greater Poland, ZP West Pomerania](image)

| Sampling season | Spring | Autumn | Winter |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Spring          | 1      | 0      | 0      |
| Summer          | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| Autumn          | 0      | 1      | 0      |
| Winter          | 0      | 0      | 1      |

Table 2: Dichotomous coding for qualitative variables with an example of sampling season
### Table 3 Results of the best fit logistic regression models obtained for each analysis

| Significance assessment of model (P value of LR test) | Independent variable | Coefficient (β) | Std. error | P value (Wald) | Odds ratio | Confidence OR − 95% | Confidence OR + 95% |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| < 0.001                                             | Absolute term (β₀)   | −2.92912       | 0.296482   | < 0.001       | 0.05       | 0.03                | 0.10                |
|                                                    | LD                   | 1.216036       | 0.233494   | < 0.001       | 3.37       | 2.13                | 5.33                |
|                                                    | MP                   | 0.671037       | 0.228562   | 0.003         | 1.96       | 1.25                | 3.06                |
|                                                    | MA                   | 1.68051        | 0.237135   | < 0.001       | 5.37       | 3.37                | 8.55                |
|                                                    | OP                   | 1.388372       | 0.247953   | < 0.001       | 4.01       | 2.46                | 6.52                |
|                                                    | PK                   | 1.769046       | 0.269941   | < 0.001       | 5.87       | 3.45                | 9.96                |
|                                                    | PM                   | 1.534127       | 0.265823   | < 0.001       | 4.64       | 2.75                | 7.81                |
|                                                    | SW                   | 0.555254       | 0.259964   | 0.03          | 1.74       | 1.05                | 2.90                |
|                                                    | WM                   | 1.630786       | 0.20659    | < 0.001       | 5.11       | 3.41                | 7.66                |
|                                                    | Fox density (No/km²) | 1.142803       | 0.307487   | < 0.001       | 3.14       | 1.72                | 5.73                |
| < 0.001                                             | Absolute term (β₀)   | −1.50766       | 0.198255   | < 0.001       | 0.22       | 0.15                | 0.33                |
|                                                    | Spring               | 0.267965       | 0.280004   | 0.34          | 1.31       | 0.75                | 2.26                |
|                                                    | Autumn               | 0.0834         | 0.232275   | 0.72          | 1.09       | 0.69                | 1.71                |
|                                                    | Winter               | 0.688467       | 0.211402   | 0.01          | 1.99       | 1.31                | 3.01                |
| < 0.001                                             | Absolute term (β₀)   | −6.41457       | 0.839692   | < 0.001       | 0.002      | 0.0003              | 0.008               |
|                                                    | Fox density (No/km²) | 2.913659       | 0.989553   | 0.003         | 18.42      | 2.65                | 128.30              |
|                                                    | Adult                | −1.18961       | 0.553268   | 0.03          | 0.30       | 0.10                | 0.90                |
| 0.003                                              | Absolute term (β₀)   | −5.71115       | 0.543301   | < 0.001       | 0.003      | 0.001               | 0.01                |
|                                                    | Fox density (No/km²) | 2.364823       | 0.677533   | < 0.001       | 10.64      | 2.82                | 40.19               |
| 0.015                                              | Absolute term (β₀)   | −3.43721       | 0.318798   | < 0.001       | 0.03       | 0.02                | 0.06                |
|                                                    | LD                   | 1.130284       | 0.386552   | 0.003         | 3.10       | 1.45                | 6.61                |
|                                                    | MP                   | 0.35268        | 0.419714   | 0.40          | 1.42       | 0.62                | 3.24                |
|                                                    | MA                   | 0.85591        | 0.422493   | 0.04          | 2.35       | 1.03                | 5.39                |
|                                                    | OP                   | 0.110974       | 0.514159   | 0.83          | 1.12       | 0.41                | 3.06                |
|                                                    | PK                   | 1.228934       | 0.461089   | 0.008         | 3.42       | 1.38                | 8.44                |
|                                                    | PM                   | 1.047612       | 0.44818    | 0.02          | 2.85       | 1.18                | 6.87                |
|                                                    | SW                   | 0.408686       | 0.434724   | 0.35          | 1.50       | 0.64                | 3.53                |
|                                                    | WM                   | 0.880843       | 0.376223   | 0.02          | 2.41       | 1.15                | 5.05                |
| < 0.001                                             | Absolute term (β₀)   | −6.36907       | 0.610643   | < 0.001       | 0.002      | 0.0005              | 0.01                |
|                                                    | Fox density (No/km²) | 2.843724       | 0.730836   | < 0.001       | 17.18      | 4.10                | 72.02               |
| 0.024                                              | Absolute term (β₀)   | −2.77882       | 0.325736   | < 0.001       | 0.06       | 0.03                | 0.12                |
|                                                    | Spring               | 0.445929       | 0.436438   | 0.31          | 1.56       | 0.66                | 3.68                |
|                                                    | Autumn               | 0.408323       | 0.368228   | 0.27          | 1.50       | 0.73                | 3.10                |
|                                                    | Winter               | 0.806557       | 0.34165    | 0.02          | 2.24       | 1.15                | 4.38                |
significance level was alpha = 0.05. STATISTICA data analysis software in version 10 (StatSoft, Inc.) and ArcGIS 10.4.1 for Desktop Standard (ESRI, Inc.) were used for statistical and spatial data analysis. Red fox demographics were derived from the Polish Hunting Association-PZL [6].

Results

Antibodies against a Leptospira serovar was found in 561 serum samples (26.3%). The highest seroprevalence was observed in foxes hunted in the Subcarpathia (41.6%) and Warmia-Masuria provinces (40.3%) (Table 1, Fig. 1). Specific antibodies were mainly directed against Poi (12.4%), Saxkoebing (11.3%), and Sejroe (6.0%) serovars with serum antibody titres up to 1:25,600 in individual animals (Table 4). When analysing the logistic regression model of positive and negative serostatus (excluding data related to individual Leptospira serovars), a significant influence of the area (province) and associated density of foxes on the serostatus was found. The model showed that all provinces had significantly greater odds for having seropositive foxes than the reference SL province, in which the lowest percentage of seropositive foxes was observed. The highest odds ratio (OR = 5.87) with the highest seroprevalence was shown for the PK province. In addition, with an increase of fox density by one animal per km², the probability of detecting seropositive animals increased more than threefold and it almost doubled in winter when compared to summer. However due to data deficiencies e.g. sampling date, seasonal influence on the obtained serological results was analysed using a separate logistic regression model.

Based on analyses for individual serovars, an increase of fox density by one animal per km² increased the risk of being seropositive by 2.8, 10.6, 17.2 and 18.4 times for the serovars Poi, Grippotyphosa, Australis and Icterohaemorrhagiae, respectively. The models also show a significant influence of the province on the proportion of seropositive samples. A significantly higher risk of being seropositive to Sejroe serovar was observed in the LD Łódzkie, MP Leser Poland, MA Masovia, OP Opolskie, PK Subcarpathia, PM Pomerania, SW Świętokrzyskie, WM Warmia-Masuria
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(OR = 3.1), MA (OR = 2.4), PK (OR = 3.4), PM (OR = 2.9) and WM (OR = 2.4) provinces compared to the SL province.

When compared to the reference SL province, antibodies to the Saxkoebing and Poi serovars were more prevalent in foxes from all provinces except SW (OR from 2.0 to 7.0), and MP province (OR from 9.4 to 39.1) respectively. An impact of the season on the seroprevalence to particular serovars was observed. Antibodies against serovars Saxkoebing and Poi were ~ 2 and 4 times more frequent, respectively, during the winter period than during summer. The age of the foxes influenced the serostatus for some serovars such as Icterohaemorrhagiae that was detected more frequently in young foxes (OR = 3.3) and Poi found more often in adults (OR = 1.5) (Table 3). Using a one-factor model the association between influence of sex on serostatus was not significant (LR-test P = 0.0525, OR = 1.44, 95% CI 0.99–2.09).

### Discussion

Other serological surveys have shown that red foxes are frequently exposed to *Leptospira* spp. of different serovars [10, 11, 13]. However this is the first prevalence study on the occurrence of antibodies to a broad range of *Leptospira* serovars in a red fox population in eastern Europe. The high seroprevalence (26.3%) in red foxes in Poland is comparable to that found in Spain (47.1%) [10] and Croatia (31.3%) [13] but higher than in other European countries such as Germany (1.9%) [14] and Norway (9.9%) [11]. Hypothetically any pathogenic *Leptospira* may infect domestic and wild animals, but in practice only a small number of serovars are endemic in any particular region.

Antibodies against serovar Poi were the most commonly detected. Exposure of foxes to this serovar is not surprising given the results of previous Polish studies where serogroup Javanica (to which serovar Poi belongs) was also reported in horses, goats, and sheep [15–17]. Besides serovar Poi, antibodies against serovar Sejroe were also prevalent in foxes. This is consistent with other studies as serovars Hardjo, Sejroe and Saxkoebing (all belonging to the Sejroe serogroup) are widely prevalent in animals in Europe [18–21]. MAT reactions to serovar Hardjo commonly detected in sheep and cattle [18–20, 22, 23] were not common in foxes. The presence of seropositive animals to this serogroup could be mainly attributed to Sejroe or Saxkoebing serovars (Table 4). It may be associated with fox diet as the main source of food for red foxes are wild small mammals, which are known reservoirs of Saxkoebing and Sejroe serovars [24]. Antibodies to Sejroe serogroup were previously detected in pigs, dogs, horses and cattle in Poland confirming a widespread exposure of different animal species to leptospires from this serogroup [15, 25–28]. In addition, this indicates an endemic occurrence of this serovar and a possible role of the environment in pathogen transmission. The observed regional differences in exposure to different *Leptospira* serovars may be related to active circulation of *Leptospira* spp. in the environment [12].

Studies conducted in other European countries provide scientific evidences that the most common serovar among red foxes is serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae [10, 11, 13], which however seems to be rare in the Polish red fox population (Table 4). As leptospires are sensitive to desiccation, the regional differences in climate conditions may have a significant influence on seroprevalence in general.

| Serovar          | No of antibody-positive samples (%) | Prevalence of serovar (95% CI) (%) |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                  | 1:100 | 1:200 | 1:400 | 1:800 | 1:1600 | 1:3200 | 1:6400 | 1:12800 | 1:25600 | Total |
| Icterohaemorrhagiae | 8 (0.4) | 3 (0.1) | 3 (0.1) | 1 (0.05) | 2 (0.1) | 1 (0.05) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0.8 (0.5–1.3) |
| Grippotyphosa     | 6 (0.3) | 16 (0.75) | 8 (0.4) | 4 (0.2) | 1 (0.05) | 2 (0.1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 1.7 (1.2–2.4) |
| Sejroe            | 39 (1.8) | 37 (1.7) | 30 (1.4) | 16 (0.75) | 2 (0.1) | 2 (0.1) | 0 | 0 | 1 (0.05) | 127 | 6.0 (5.0–7.0) |
| Tarassovi         | 0 | 1 (0.05) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1 (0.0–0.3) |
| Pomona            | 7 (1.5) | 7 (1.5) | 8 (0.4) | 8 (0.4) | 2 (0.1) | 2 (0.1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1.6 (1.1–2.2) |
| Canicola          | 0 | 2 (0.1) | 1 (0.05) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.1 (0.0–0.4) |
| Australis         | 7 (1.5) | 11 (0.5) | 1 (0.05) | 7 (1.5) | 2 (0.1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1.3 (0.9–1.9) |
| Saxkoebing        | 63 (3.0) | 55 (2.6) | 66 (3.1) | 44 (2.1) | 8 (0.4) | 3 (0.1) | 2 (0.1) | 0 | 1 (0.05) | 242 | 11.3 (10.0–12.8) |
| Ballum            | 0 | 1 (0.05) | 1 (0.05) | 1 (0.05) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.1 (0.0–0.4) |
| Poi               | 64 (3.0) | 68 (3.2) | 63 (3.0) | 34 (1.6) | 11 (0.5) | 19 (0.9) | 4 (0.2) | 1 (0.05) | 1 (0.05) | 265 | 12.4 (11.1–13.9) |
| Bataviae          | 1 (0.05) | 1 (0.05) | 3 (0.1) | 3 (0.1) | 0 | 1 (0.05) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0.4 (0.2–0.8) |
| Hardjo            | 0 | 2 (0.1) | 0 | 1 (0.05) | 0 | 0 | 1 (0.05) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.2 (0.1–0.5) |
or for some serovars in particular. In that aspect, Poland differs from other countries such as Spain and Croatia where the seroprevalence of *Leptospira* spp. in foxes has been investigated [10, 13]. Although the studies were conducted on a reasonable number of hunted animals originating from different locations across the country, the number of tested serum samples of red foxes did not fully reflect the size of the animal population present in the studied provinces. It could be taken as a major limitation to interpretation of the occurrence and prevalence of tested *Leptospira* serovars in the Polish population of red foxes. Nevertheless, the findings still provide useful data on the seroepidemiology of red foxes exposed to different *Leptospira* serovars in this part of Europe and their role as an important source of zoonotic *Leptospira* spp. for humans.

Conclusions
Red foxes of central and eastern Poland, particularly in the Subcarpathia and Warmia-Masuria regions, are highly exposed to *Leptospira* spp. Due to the high prevalence of foxes, their predatory behaviour and their varied diet mainly composed of small mammals, they could be considered as sentinel animals of environmental contamination with leptospires. Interactions between animals require further epidemiological investigations to elucidate the role of wild carnivores as a reservoir of rarely occurring *Leptospira* serovars pathogenic for other animals and humans.

Abbreviations
DS: Lower Silesia; EMUH: Ellinghausen–McCullough–Johnson–Harris medium; KP: Kuyavian-Pomerania; LB: Lubuskie; LD: Łódzkie; LR: likelihood ratio; LU: Lubelskie; MA: Masovia; MAT: microscopic agglutination test; MP: Lesser Poland; OP: Opolskie; OR: odds ratio; PD: Podlaskie; PM: Pomerania; SL: Silesia; SW: Świętokrzyskie; WM: Warmia-Masuria; WP: Greater Poland; ZP: West Pomerania.

Authors’ contributions
JZ designed and coordinated the study. SZ and AN were responsible for the laboratory work and preliminary data analysis under the supervision of JZ, AJ and ZP. AS was involved in the analyses of epidemiological data. LB performed the statistical analyses. ZA, AJ and AB had the main responsibility of checking and authoring the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details
1 Swine Diseases Department, National Veterinary Research Institute, Partyzantow 57, 24-100 Pulawy, Poland; 2 University Veterinary Center of Medicine UJ-UR, University of Agriculture in Krakow, Mickiewicza 24/28, 30-059 Krakow, Poland; 3 Epidemiology and Risk Assessment Department, National Veterinary Research Institute, Partyzantow 57, 24-100 Pulawy, Poland; 4 Veterinary Hygiene Research Station, Wroclawska 170, 45-836 Opole, Poland.

Acknowledgements
We are grateful to the hunters of all nine provinces. Many thanks to Jolanta Sajna from the Warsaw Veterinary Hygiene Research Station (Ostróleka field division) and to Zofia Klimeczak from the Bydgoszcz Veterinary Hygiene Research Station for data and serum samples from foxes; without their help this investigation would not have been possible. The authors would like to warmly thank Artur Rzezutka for useful comments and editing of the manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials
All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
All procedures were carried out according to the ethical standards for the use of animal samples. The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of Life Sciences in Lublin under Grant of Approval No. 30/2016.

Funding
This study was supported by the Polish National Science Centre (Grant No. 2013/09/B/NZ7/02563). The open access publication fee was funded by the KNOW (Leading National Research Centre) Scientific Consortium “Healthy Animal—Safe Food”, Ministry of Science and Higher Education resolution no. 05-1/KNOW2/2015.”

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 7 September 2017 Accepted: 24 May 2018
Published online: 31 May 2018

References
1. Jancoes M, Bertherat E, Scheider C, Belmain S, Munoz-Zanzi C, Hartskeerl R, et al. Towards a ‘one health’ strategy against leptospirosis. Planet@Risk. 2014;2:204–6 (Special Issue on One Health (Part I/II): GRF Davos).
2. Levett PN. Leptospirosis. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2001;14:296–326.
3. Bharti AR, Nally JE, Ricaldi JN, Matthias MA, Díaz MM, Lovett MA, et al. Leptospirosis: a zoonotic disease of global importance. Lancet Infect Dis. 2003;3:757–71.
4. Ellis WA. Leptospirosis: *Leptospira* spp. serovars Pomona, Kennewicki, Bratislava, Muenchen, Tarassovi, Canicola, Grippotyphosa, Hardjo, others—abortion and stillbirths. In: Zimmerman JJ, Kariker LA, Ramirez A, Schwartz KJ, Stevenson GW, editors. Diseases of swine. 10th ed. New York: Wiley; 2012. p. 770–8.
5. National Geographic. Animals. Washington, D.C. 2017. https://www.nationalgeographic.com/animals/mammals/r/red-fox/. Accessed 04 Mar 2018.
6. Polish Hunting Association-PZL. Warsaw. 2015. http://www.czempinpilow.pl/palio/htmlrum_in_.instance?pdf=www&PageID=21&C AT=czempin MATERIALY. Accessed 04 Mar 2018.
7. Stritof Majetic Z, Galloway R, Ruzic Sabljic E, Milas Z, Mozjec Perko V, Habus J et al. Epizootiological survey of small mammals as *Leptospira* spp. reservoirs in Eastern Croatia. Acta Trop. 2014;131:111–6.
8. OIE Terrestrial Manual 2014. Chapter 2.1.12. Leptospirosis. http://www.oie.int/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/2.01.12_LEPTO.pdf. Accessed 04 Mar 2018.
9. Wolff JW. The laboratory diagnosis of leptospirosis. Illinois: Charles C Thomas Publishers; 1954. p. 31–51.
10. Millán J, Candela MG, López-Bao JV, Pereira M, Jiménez MA, León-Vizcaíno L. Leptospirosis in wild and domestic carnivores in natural areas in Andalucía, Spain. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2009;9:549–54.
11. Akerstedt J, Liliehaug A, Larsen IL, Eide NE, Arneemo JW, Handeland K. Serosurvey for canine distemper virus, canine adenovirus, *Leptospira interrogans*, and *Toxoplasma gondii* in free-ranging canids in Scandinavia and Svalbard. J Wildl Dis. 2010;46:474–80.
12. Mointet M, Fournier-Chambillon C, André-Fontaine G, Aulagnier S, Mesplède A, Blanchard B. Leptospirosis in free-ranging endangered
European mink (*Mustela lutreola*) and other small carnivores (*Mustelidae, Viverridae*) from southwestern France. J Wildl Dis. 2010;46:1141–51.

13. Slavica Ž, Cvetnić Z, Milas Z, Janicki Z, Turk N, Konjević D, et al. Incidence of leptospiral antibodies in different game species over a 10-year period (1996–2005) in Croatia. Eur J Wildl Res. 2008;54:305–11.

14. Müller H, Winkler P. Results of serological studies of *Leptospira* antibodies in foxes. Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr. 1994;107:90–3.

15. Arent ZI, Kedzierska-Mieszowska S. Seroprevalence study of leptospirosis in horses in northern Poland. Vet Rec. 2013;172:269.

16. Czopowicz M, Kaba J, Smith L, Szalus-Jordanow O, Nowicki M, Witkowski L. Leptospiral antibodies in the breeding goat population of Poland. Vet Rec. 2011;169:230–4.

17. Krawczyk M. Serological studies on leptospirosis in sheep. Med Weter. 1999;55:397–9.

18. Little TW, Stevens AE, Hathaway SC. Serological studies on British isolates of the Sejroe serogroup I. The identification of British isolates of the Sejroe serogroup by the cross agglutinin absorption test. J Hyg (Lond). 1986;97:123–31.

19. Little TW, Stevens AE, Hathaway SC. Serological studies on British isolates of the Sejroe serogroup of leptospira II. An evaluation of the factor analysis method of identifying leptospires using strains belonging to the Sejroe serogroup. Epidemiol Infect. 1987;99:107–15.

20. Slavica A, Dezdek D, Konjevic Z, Sindicic M, Stanin D. Prevalence of leptospiral antibodies in the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) population of Croatia. Vet Med (Praha). 2011;56:209–13.

21. Lange S. Seroepidemiological studies of the detection of leptospires of the Sejroe group in cattle in middle Thuringia. Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr. 1992;105:374–7.

22. Arent Z, Frizzell C, Gilmore C, Mackie D, Ellis WA. Isolation of leptospires from genital tract of sheep. Vet Rec. 2013;173:582.

23. Ellis WA. Animal leptospirosis. In: Adler B, editor. Leptospira and leptospirosis, vol. 387., Current topics in microbiology and immunology Berlin: Springer; 2015. p. 99–137. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-45059-8_1.

24. Sebek Z, Siol W, Siol-Vogt B, Kock M, Strunzner D, Valova M. First evidence of the leptospirosis natural foci of the serotype Saxkoebing in Austria. Geogr Med Suppl. 1989;2:17–22.

25. Krawczyk M. Serological evidence of leptospirosis in animals in northern Poland. Vet Rec. 2005;156:88–9.

26. Wasirski B. Occurrence of *Leptospira* sp. antibodies in swine in Poland. Bull Vet Inst Pulawy. 2007;51:225–8.

27. Wasirski B, Pešak Z. Occurrence of leptospiral infections in swine population in Poland evaluated by ELISA and microscopic agglutination test. Pol J Vet Sci. 2010;13:695–9.

28. Wasirski B. Infections of swine caused by *Leptospira* serovars of serogroup Sejroe—possibilities of recognition with the use of PCR. Bull Vet Inst Pulawy. 2014;58:521–6.