Search for standard-model Z and Higgs bosons decaying into a bottom-antibottom quark pair in proton-antiproton collisions at 1.96 TeV

CDF Collaboration; et al; Kilminster, Benjamin; Clark, A; Lister, A; Wu, X

Abstract: The Collider Detector at Fermilab collected a unique sample of jets originating from bottom-quark fragmentation (b-jets) by selecting online proton-antiproton (p^−p) collisions with a vertex displaced from the p^−p interaction point, consistent with the decay of a bottom-quark hadron. This data set, collected at a center-of-mass energy of 1.96 TeV, and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.4 fb−1, is used to measure the Z-boson production cross section times branching ratio into b^−b. The number of Z→b^−b events is determined by fitting the dijet-mass distribution, while constraining the dominant b-jet background, originating from QCD multijet events, with data. The result, \( (p^−p→Z)\times B(Z→b^−b) = 1.11\pm0.08\text{(stat)}\pm0.14\text{(syst)} \text{nb} \), is the most precise measurement of this process, and is consistent with the standard-model prediction. The data set is also used to search for Higgs-boson production. No significant signal is expected in our data and the first upper limit on the cross section for the inclusive p^−p→H→b^−b process at \( \sqrt{s}=1.96 \text{ TeV} \) is set, corresponding to 33 times the expected standard-model cross section, or \( \sigma = 40.6 \text{ pb} \), at the 95\% confidence level.
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The Collider Detector at Fermilab collected a unique sample of jets originating from bottom-quark fragmentation ($b$-jets) by selecting online proton-antiproton ($p\bar{p}$) collisions with a vertex displaced from the $p\bar{p}$ interaction point, consistent with the decay of a bottom-quark hadron. This data set, collected at a center-of-mass energy of 1.96 TeV, and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.4 fb$^{-1}$, is used to measure the $Z$-boson production cross section times branching ratio into $b\bar{b}$. The number of $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ events is determined by fitting the dijet-mass distribution, while constraining the dominant $b$-jet background, originating from QCD multijet events, with data. The result, $\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow Z) \times B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 1.11 \pm 0.08$(stat) $\pm 0.14$(syst) nb, is the most precise measurement of this process, and is consistent with the standard-model prediction. The data set is also used to search for Higgs-boson production. No significant signal is expected in our data and the first upper limit on the cross section for the inclusive $p\bar{p} \rightarrow H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ process at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV is set, corresponding to 33 times the expected standard-model cross section, or $\sigma = 40.6$ pb, at the 95% confidence level.
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I. INTRODUCTION

This paper reports the most precise measurement of $\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow Z) \times B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ production cross section times branching ratio and the first inclusive search for the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ process in proton-antiproton ($p\bar{p}$) collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 1.96 TeV. These results arise from the analysis of the data set collected by the Collider Detector at Fermilab (CDF) with an online requirement of one jet with a displaced vertex from the primary $p\bar{p}$ interaction vertex (secondary vertex), consistent with a $b$-hadron decay ($b$-jet).

The identification of the $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decay is challenging at hadron colliders because of the overwhelming irreducible background from multijet production [as is predicted by quantum chromodynamics (QCD)], but it represents a benchmark capability as it validates the experimental techniques used in searches for events with $b$ quarks in the final states. In addition, it can be used for a direct test of the calibration of the jet-energy scale (JES) for $b$-jets, defined as a factor that measures the discrepancy between the effect of the detector response and energy corrections in real and simulated $b$-jets. Finally, a large sample of $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ events, combined with an algorithm for the identification of the charge of the $b$ quarks, allows the measurement of the $b\bar{b}$ forward-backward asymmetry. Such a measurement near the $Z$-pole can be sensitive to interference effects between tree-level $Z$-boson exchange and possible non-standard-model amplitudes [1].

The ATLAS [2], LHCb [3] and CMS [4] collaborations have measured the $pp \rightarrow Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ process at various $Z$-boson momenta and rapidities at the Large Hadron Collider. At the Tevatron the only determination of the $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ decay was reported by the CDF collaboration, which determined $\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow Z) \times B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ with a relative uncertainty of 29% [5]. Owing to a new, larger, and unique data set, and an analysis technique that uses data to determine the invariant-mass spectrum of the various multijet background contributions, the measurement reported in this paper improves the precision of the previous CDF analysis by almost a factor of 2.

Beyond its intrinsic value, the $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ measurement allows a validation of the background description for the first inclusive search of the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ process. Such a search has been recently reported by the CMS Collaboration [4]. Despite its large branching ratio [6], the coupling of the Higgs boson to $b$ quarks has been established only recently [7,8]. In addition, the inclusive search is sensitive to a broad class of non-standard-model contributions. For example, new scalar particles decaying into $b\bar{b}$ quark pairs are foreseen in models where they act as mediators for dark matter interactions [9,10].

II. THE CDF DETECTOR

The data used in this analysis were collected during Run II at the Tevatron with the CDF II detector [11]. The CDF II detector was a multipurpose azimuthally symmetric magnetic spectrometer with a large tracking volume inside a magnet solenoid. Outside the solenoid, there were sampling calorimeters, surrounded by the steel flux return of the solenoid and by muon detectors.

III. EVENT SELECTION

Data were collected with a dedicated online event-selection (trigger) algorithm [12], designed and optimized to select events with hadronic $b$-quark decays. Because of the large background from multijet production, fully hadronic triggers suffered for a high event-accept rate, which saturated the CDF data-taking bandwidth. This algorithm was implemented in the CDF II software in April 2008. It was the only online selection for fully hadronic $b$-jets capable of collecting data at instantaneous luminosities of up to $4 \times 10^{32}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ while meeting the data-acquisition timing and bandwidth requirements. Data collected by this algorithm correspond to a total of 5.4 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity.
The concept subtending this trigger is to use the online measurement of the impact parameter [13] as an additional discriminating variable that allows relaxing the jet-energy thresholds, thus minimizing the sculpting on the dijet-mass distribution in the mass range of the Z and Higgs bosons. The trigger algorithm is structured into three levels. At the first level, at least two central (|η| < 1.5 [14]) calorimetric energy deposits with $E_T > 5$ GeV and two charged particles with $p_T > 2$ GeV/c must be reconstructed. At level 2, jets with $E_T > 15$ GeV and |η| < 1.0 are reconstructed using a fixed-cone algorithm [15] with a radius, $\Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \phi^2}$, of 0.7. At least two tracks with impact parameter $d_0 > 90 \mu m$ matched to one of the jets have to be identified. The distance of the secondary vertex from the primary vertex in the transverse plane, $R_b$ [16], is required to be greater than 0.1 cm. At level 3, the trigger algorithm confirms level-2 requirements using offline-quality tracks and jet variables.

After these requirements, the final output rate is 7 Hz, well below the CDF II maximum output rate of 150 Hz [12]. The efficiencies for $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ and $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ signal events are typically of 13% and 6%, respectively.

Jets are reconstructed offline using the fixed-sized cone algorithm with $\Delta R = 0.7$. The event selection requires two central (|η| < 1) jets with $E_T > 22$ GeV. Identification of $b$ hadrons in the event is performed with the SECVTX algorithm [17]. The algorithm looks for a vertex displaced from the collision point, which is likely to be produced by the decay of a $b$ hadron. The $b$-jet candidates selected by the trigger are required to contain a secondary vertex identified by the SECVTX algorithm, and are referred to as the $b$-tagged trigger jet. The sample of events containing the two leading-$E_T$ jets, of which one is the $b$-tagged trigger jet and the other is not required to be $b$-tagged (the other jet) and is referred to as the single-tagged sample. The events of the sample used to search for $b\bar{b}$ resonances are required to have two SECVTX $b$-tagged jets. If more than two SECVTX $b$-tagged jets are identified in an event, the two with the largest $E_T$ are chosen. We refer to this sample as the double-tagged sample. The double-tagged sample contains 925338 events.

IV. EVENT SIMULATION

Simulated events are used to evaluate the acceptances for the $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$, and $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ signal processes, and to determine the efficiencies of the SECVTX algorithm for the various jet-flavor hypotheses by exploiting $b\bar{b}$, $c\bar{c}$, and light-quark samples. Samples are generated using PYTHIA [18] with the CTEQ5L [19] set of parton distribution functions (PDFs). The different responses of the online and the offline $b$-tagging algorithms in data and simulated jets are reconciled by using correction scale factors. While the $b$-tagging efficiencies can be determined in simulated samples, more complex is their extraction in data, where the $b$-quark content of the sample is not known a priori.

The procedure to extract the $b$-tagging efficiencies in data uses events with muons reconstructed inside the jet cone, which are likely to originate from semileptonic decays of $b$ hadrons, $B \rightarrow \mu X$. Because of the high mass of $b$ hadrons, the muon momentum transverse to the jet axis, $p_{T,rel}$, is typically larger than for muons from generic semileptonic hadron decays. A data control sample, collected using a trigger that required the presence of a muon with $p_T > 8$ GeV/c, is exploited to that end. The fraction of $b\bar{b}$ events in this data set is determined by fitting the $p_{T,rel}$ distribution of muons reconstructed inside a jet cone. Then the $b$-tagging efficiencies, and therefore the factors to scale such efficiencies from simulation to data, are determined as functions of the jet $E_T$. They are considered constant in the analysis, with a value of $0.86 \pm 0.01$ (stat) $\pm 0.04$ (syst) for the offline $b$-tagging and $0.68 \pm 0.01$ (stat) $\pm 0.03$ (syst) for the trigger and offline combined $b$-tagging. The small energy dependence observed is accounted for in the systematic uncertainties. The systematic uncertainties of the scale factors comprise the different response of the tagging algorithm to $b$-jets with a muon with respect to fully hadronic $b$-jets and the uncertainty on the modeling of the jet direction.

V. BACKGROUND MODEL

The search for $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ and $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ candidates is conducted by looking for an enhancement over the continuum background in the invariant-mass distribution of the two leading-$E_T$ $b$-tagged jets, $m_{ij}$, in the double-tagged sample. This sample is predominantly composed of $b$-jet pairs from multijet production, but the fraction of events with one jet initiated by a charm or light quark and wrongly identified as a $b$-jet is not negligible a priori.

The multijet background composition of the sample cannot be determined reliably from simulation, which is affected by large theoretical uncertainties. A data-driven method is used to evaluate and model this background contribution following Ref. [20]. This method allows a determination of the shapes of the invariant-mass distribution of the backgrounds from data, by exploiting the single-tagged sample. We refer to these shapes as background templates. The normalizations are determined with a fit to the binned mass distribution of the double-tagged sample and are part of the results of the analysis. The signal templates are derived from the double-tagged samples selected from simulated samples of $Z$- and Higgs-boson decays.

In order to determine the background templates, the flavor-dependent bias introduced by the SECVTX tagging is reproduced on the other jet of the single-tagged sample by weighting it with tagging probabilities for $b$, $c$, and light-quark jets; we refer to this jet as the simulated flavor-tagged jet. The invariant mass of the dijet formed by the
The reduced systematic uncertainty due to the finite size of the simulated are the quadratic sum of the statistical uncertainty and the that from light quarks is calculated under the various flavor hypotheses.

This method allows for predictions of the shape of the background by using the single-tagged sample, which is expected to have negligible signal contamination (0.3% estimated using a simulated signal sample). Simulated $b\bar{b}$, $c\bar{c}$ and light-quark samples are employed only to determine the per-jet probabilities that a jet initiated by a $b$, $c$, or light quark is tagged as a $b$-jet by the SECVTX algorithm as functions of jet $E_T$ and $\eta$. These probabilities are referred to as tagging matrices.

This procedure relies on the assumption that the $b$-tagged trigger jet in the single-tagged sample is initiated by a $b$ quark. The invariant mass of the secondary vertex, $M_{SV}$, is used to determine the heavy-flavor content of the $b$-tagged trigger jet sample. The quantity $M_{SV}$ is defined as the invariant mass of all charged particles originating from the secondary vertex, where all particles are assumed to be pions. This variable is sensitive to the flavor of the parton initiating the jet. Light quarks and gluons, where only track mismeasurements may generate a secondary vertex tag, have larger $M_{SV}$ values than those originating from $c$ quarks. Figure 1 shows the $M_{SV}$ distribution of $b$-tagged trigger jets from the single-tagged sample, fitted with a binned likelihood as the sum of three contributions: jets initiated by $b$ quarks, $c$ quarks, and light quarks. The $M_{SV}$ templates of the $b$-, $c$-, and light-quark jets are obtained from simulated $b\bar{b}$, $c\bar{c}$, and light-quark dijet samples, respectively. In the single-tagged sample, the fraction of the $b$-tagged trigger jets originating from $b$ quarks is $(75 \pm 2)\%$, the fraction from $c$ quarks is $(7 \pm 1)\%$, and that from light quarks is $(18 \pm 2)\%$, where the uncertainties are the quadratic sum of the statistical uncertainty and the systematic uncertainty due to the finite size of the simulated templates. The reduced $\chi^2$ is 0.76, with 21 degrees of freedom.

By requiring $M_{SV} > 1.8$ GeV/$c^2$ for the $b$-tagged trigger jets in the single-tagged sample, we strongly reduce the contamination from $c$ and light quarks, thus achieving a nearly pure sample of $b$-tagged trigger jets originating from $b$ quarks. This sample is the starting point for the construction of the background templates. The untagged jet is weighted using the probabilities, functions of $E_T$ and $\eta$, that it would be tagged by the SECVTX algorithm if it was a jet initiated by a $b$, $c$, or light quark. The weighting probabilities are provided by the flavor-dependent tagging matrices. The background templates are the invariant-mass distributions of the dijets formed by the $b$-tagged trigger jet and the weighted untagged jet, under the three flavor hypotheses. The contribution of events where both tagged jets are not initiated by $b$ quarks, i.e., $c\bar{c}$ and $q\bar{q}$ dijets, is expected to be negligible and it is not considered.

The background components are classified as $Bb, Bb, Bc, cB, Bq$ and $qB$. Uppercase $B$ indicates the $b$-tagged trigger jet of the single-tagged sample, while lowercase letters correspond to the flavor hypotheses obtained from the tagging matrices, where $q$ indicates the light quarks, applied to the untagged jet. The order of the letters follows the decreasing-$E_T$ ordering of the jets. Since the dijet-mass distribution templates built with the $b$-tagging and the $c$-tagging matrices are indistinguishably similar ($Bb$ and $Bc$, as well as $bB$ and $cB$ pairs, respectively), they are merged assuming a fixed 5% $c$-jet contribution. A systematic uncertainty is assigned for this assumption. Therefore, four different templates are used to model the multijet background, $Bb + Bc, Bb + cB, Bq$, and $qB$.

VI. FITTING PROCEDURE

The dijet-mass signal templates are obtained from simulated events. The fit to the measured dijet invariant-mass distribution is performed by maximizing a binned likelihood, defined as

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\sum_j n_s^i P_s(m_{12}^i) + \sum_k n_b^i P_b(m_{12}^i)}{\sum_j n_s^i + \sum_k n_b^i},$$

where $L$ is the product, over all bins, of the probabilities that the event in the $i$th bin of invariant mass belongs to a signal or background process. These probabilities are described by the background PDFs $P_b(m_{12})$ plus the $Z$- and the H-boson signal PDFs $P_s(m_{12})$. The free parameters are the number of signal ($n_s^i$) and background ($n_b^i$) events, which are constrained to be non-negative.

Figure 2 shows the result of the fit to the dijet-mass distribution for the double-tagged sample. The resulting yields are listed in Table I where the uncertainties are statistical only. The fit returns a sizable $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ signal component, while no $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ signal is found. The Higgs-boson event selection efficiency is 1.5%, as estimated from simulation. According to the predicted total Higgs-boson
production cross section and branching ratio into a pair of $b$ quarks, the signal acceptance, the trigger and selection efficiencies, and data-to-simulation scale factors, we expect about 36 Higgs-boson events in this sample. In Fig. 2, the Higgs-boson component in Fig. 2 is magnified $10^3$ times with respect to this expectation for illustrative purposes. The background contribution constituted by dijets with one of the two tagged jets initiated by a light-quark, is compatible with zero, indicating that data are dominated by pairs of heavy-flavor jets. The reduced uncertainty is reported. If the yield is compatible with 0, the signal acceptance, the trigger and selection efficiencies, and data-to-simulation scale factors, we expect the double Higgs-boson component in Fig. 2 is magnified $10^3$ times the expected standard-model cross section.

![Search for a SM H → b̅b](image)

FIG. 2. Dijet mass distribution in double $b$-tagged events, with the results of the fit overlaid. The normalization of the Higgs-boson signal is set to $10^3$ times the expected standard-model cross section for illustrative purposes.

The $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ event sample allows for a measurement of the scale factor that matches simulated and observed calorimeter energy scales for $b$-jets. The scale factor is determined by fitting the $b\bar{b}$ dijet-mass distribution in data multiple times, using in each a different $Z$-signal mass template corresponding to a specific choice of the jet-energy scale. The $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ yield is determined independently in each fit. The energy of each jet of the $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ simulated sample is multiplied by a factor $k_{\text{JES}}$, which varies between 0.90 and 1.10 in steps of 0.01. This range largely covers the possible variation of this parameter, as established in a previous CDF analysis [5]. The value $k_{\text{JES}}^\text{min}$ that minimizes the $\chi^2$ is chosen as the central jet-energy scale that matches simulation to data. The standard deviation on $k_{\text{JES}}^\text{min}$ is determined to be the $k_{\text{JES}}$ interval corresponding to a symmetric unit variation in $\chi^2$.

### VII. SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES

The $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ cross section and jet-energy scale measurements are affected by systematic uncertainties. Some of them are related to differences between data and simulation, while others are related to the signal extraction procedure. The systematic uncertainty due to the statistical fluctuations of the background templates is estimated by generating simplified simulated experiments that fluctuate the number of events in each bin of the background templates and measuring the resulting bias. The effect of the finite size of the simulated signal template is evaluated analogously, and it affects both the cross section and the jet-energy scale measurement. The systematic uncertainty due to the fixed proportion of $c$-jets in the $b\bar{b}$ and $b\bar{b}$ background templates is set by varying this percentage from 0 to 10%. The data-to-simulation online and offline $b$-tagging scale factors are affected by systematic uncertainties that propagate to the evaluation of the signal cross section. Two separate scale factors are evaluated. One parametrizes the combined data-to-simulation response of the trigger and the offline $b$-tagging, and it is applied to the $b$-tagged trigger jet. The other parametrizes the offline $b$-tagging scale factor, and it is applied to the second $b$-tagged jet. The systematic uncertainties related to these two sources are 100% correlated. Their energy dependence is also taken into account. The systematic uncertainty on the signal efficiency due to the CDF jet-energy correction is estimated by shifting the energy of simulated jets by the amount prescribed by the standard correction. Finally, the effect of lower or higher final-state radiation on the simulated signal is evaluated by generating samples with different final-state radiation tunings. The effect on the measurement of a particular choice of PDF is measured by generating samples using the CTEQ6L PDF set. Not all the PDF sets are considered since the impact of these variations is

| Component     | Event yields |
|---------------|--------------|
| $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ | <250 (1σ) |
| $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ | $(16.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^4$ |
| $b\bar{b} + Bc$ | $(68.1 \pm 1.1) \times 10^4$ |
| $bb + CB$ | $(19.4 \pm 1.3) \times 10^4$ |
| $Bq$ | <175 (1σ) |
| $qB$ | <61 (1σ) |
TABLE II. Summary of the systematic uncertainties on the 
$Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ measurements. Dashes indicate that the corresponding 
uncertainty does not apply.

| Source                               | JES       | Cross section |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Integrated luminosity                | Not applicable | 6%            |
| Background template fluctuations     | 0.4%      | 3%            |
| $c$-quark component in $b\bar{b}$ templates | 0.5%      | 2%            |
| Simulated signal sample size         | 0.2%      | 3%            |
| $b$-tagging energy dependence        | 0.4%      | 5%            |
| $b$-tagging scale factor             | Not applicable | 5%            |
| Trigger and $b$-tagging combined     | Not applicable | 4%            |
| scale factor                         |           |               |
| Jet-energy correction                | Not applicable | 1%            |
| Final-state radiation                | Not applicable | 3%            |
| Parton distribution functions        | Not applicable | 1%            |
| Total                                | 0.8%      | 13%           |

negligible. A summary of the systematic effects considered 
is shown in Table II.

VIII. RESULTS

The $Z$-boson production cross section times the $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ 
branching ratio is calculated from Eq. (2). The measured value of $\sigma_Z \times B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 1.11 \pm 0.08$ (stat) $\pm 0.14$ (syst) nb is consistent with the next-to-leading order theoretical 
calculation [21] which predicts $\sigma_Z \times B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 1.13 \pm 0.02$ nb. The significance of the signal contribution 
exceeds 5$\sigma$, as determined using simplified simulated 
experiments, including statistical and systematic uncertainties. The measured $b$-jet-energy scale is $k_{JES} = 0.993 \pm 0.022$ (stat) $\pm 0.008$ (syst).

Since no significant $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ signal is found, a 95% con-
fidence-level (C.L.) upper limit is set to the cross section for 
inclusive Higgs-boson production followed by decay into a $b\bar{b}$ pair using the modified frequentist $CL_s$ method [22,23]. 
Simplified simulated experiments are generated based on the background description and the various assumed signal 
strengths. As a test statistic we use the distribution of the 
difference in $\chi^2$ between fits with a signal-plus-background 
model and background-only model, as resulting from fits to the 
simplified simulated experiments. The systematic uncer-
tainties associated with the Higgs-boson search are the same 
as those considered for the $Z$-boson measurement. These 
uncertainties may affect both the normalization and the shape 
of the invariant-mass distributions. In the limit calculation 
they are introduced, using a Bayesian technique, as nuisance 
parameters. The posterior density is then marginalized with 
respect to these assuming Gaussian prior densities.

Figure 3 shows the expected and observed $CL_s$ values as 
functions of the cross section times the branching ratio 
normalized to the standard-model $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ prediction. The observed (expected) upper limit at 95% C.L. on the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ process is 33 (46) times the standard-model cross 
section, which corresponds to a cross section of 40.6 (56.6) pb. This represents the first inclusive limit of the $p\bar{p} \rightarrow H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ process at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV.

The measurements reported in this paper can be con-
sidered the CDF legacy for the $\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow Z) \times B(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ 
determination and the inclusive Higgs-boson production 
cross section limit.
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