2,2-Hydroxyphenylbenzoxazole as a selective chelating agent for complexation with Tin and Zinc: a voltammetry study
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Abstract
A highly selective and sensitive adsorptive stripping procedure for simultaneous determination of trace tin and zinc is presented in this study. The method is based on the adsorptive accumulation of the complexes of Sn (II) and Zn (II) ions with 2, 2-hydroxyphenylbenzoxazole (HBO) onto a hanging mercury drop electrode, followed by the reduction of the adsorbed species by differential pulse cathodic stripping voltammetry. The optimal experimental conditions include the use of 0.1 M acetate buffer (pH 5.0), 2.0×10\textsuperscript{-5} M HBO, an accumulation potential of -0.35 V (versus Ag/AgCl), an accumulation time of 90 s and a scan rate of 100 mV/s. Under optimized conditions, linear calibration curves were established for the concentration of Sn(II) and Zn(II) in the range of 0.2-100.0 µg/L and 0.1-120.0 µg/L, respectively, while the detection limit is 0.09 µg/L for tin and 0.01µg/L for zinc for a 90 s adsorption time. The method was applied to the determination of tin and zinc in biological samples with satisfactory results.
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Introduction
Heavy metals are natural components of earth’s crust. They cannot be degraded or destroyed to a small extent. They enter our bodies via foods, drinking water and air. As trace elements, some heavy metals (e.g. copper and zinc) are essential to maintain the metabolism of human body. However, at higher concentrations, they can be toxic. Heavy metals poisoning can result, for instance, from drinking water contamination (e.g. lead pipes, Cd from glass ceramics ware), high ambient air concentrations near emission sources, or intake via food chain. Heavy metals are dangerous because they tend to bio accumulate. Although tin is not a highly toxic element, high concentration of tin can cause diarrhea. The main source of tin uptake by man is food, the exception being some polluted industrial areas, where tin concentration in water and air is high. The maximum tolerance level of tin in food defined by the WHO is 250 µg/g and the tin contents in environmental and biological samples cover a wide range, reaching from µg/g quantities in...
canned foods down to ng/g and even Pg/g quantities in water [1]. For this reason, a highly sensitive and selective method is required for reliable measurement of tin. Environmental elements such as tin and zinc ions have been tested and assessed over the past few years from both the toxicological and human health viewpoint. Zinc is relatively rare in nature, but has a long history of use. Its compounds are widely used in, for example electroplating, pharmaceuticals, paints, rubber, dye, wood preservatives, ointments and battery industries [2]. Also, zinc is present in high protein foods. Human body contains around 2.0 g zinc and its large doses can cause fever, chills, pulmonary manifestation and gastroenteritis. It can also cause vomiting, nausea, anemia, renal failure and internal organ damage. Several analytical techniques, such as atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) [3], thermo-spray flame furnace atomic spectrometry [4], inductive coupled plasma- mass spectrometry (ICP-mass) [5], voltammetric methods [6-14] etc., are available for the quantification of tin and zinc. However, maintenance and operational cost of these techniques are expensive and require adequate expertise. Therefore, the analysis is often limited to the laboratory level only. Electrochemical methods have shown numerous advantages including speed of analysis, good selectivity and sensitivity, good performance with saline matrixes like river water and the possibility of simultaneous analysis of mixtures [15,31]. At this time, a few organic compounds such as Morin [6], mixture of dimethylglyoxim and oxine [7], cupferron [8], catechol [16], tropolone [17,18], chloranilic acid [19], propyl gallate [20], phenylfluorone [21] and 8-hydroxyquinoline [22] as complexing agent have been reported for the determination of zinc and tin ions at ultra-trace level. In this paper, a novel adsorptive stripping voltammetry method is reported for the simultaneous quantification of tin and zinc. The method is based on the reduction of the complexes of metal ions with HBO (Figure 1) after accumulation at the surface of a hanging drop mercury electrode. This method was used for simultaneous determination of both metal ions in biological samples with the satisfactory results.

Experimental

Materials and methods

Apparatus and reagents

Stripping voltammetric experiments were carried out with a Metrohm (Herisau, Switzerland) VA Stand 747 polarographic connected to a Metrohm Trace Analyser 746 control unit. Multimode electrode was used in the hanging mercury drop electrode (HMDE) mode. Platinum rod and Ag/AgCl, KCl salt electrodes were used as auxiliary and reference electrode, respectively. pH measurements were
made with a Metrohm Model 780 pH meter. Solutions were prepared by dissolving analytical grade reagents in double distilled water. A 1×10^{-3} M HBO (Merck) was prepared by dissolving appropriate amount of HBO in 100.0 mL double distilled water. Stock solutions of tin and zinc (1000 mg/L) were prepared by dissolving appropriate amount of tin chloride and zinc nitrate salts in water and diluting to 100.0 mL in a volumetric flask (to prevent the hydrolysis of tin chloride and zinc nitrate salts in which 2 drop of nitric acid 0.02% was added). Standard solutions of tin and zinc were daily prepared by dilution of (1000 mg/L). Buffer solution was prepared from acetic acid and sodium acetate (0.1 M).

**Adsorptive stripping procedure**

The sample solution (10 mL), containing 2.0×10^{-5} M HBO and acetate buffer (pH=5.0) is pipetted into the voltammetric cell. The stirrer was switched on and the solution was purged with nitrogen gas for 4 min. After forming a new HMDE, the accumulation potential of -350 mV vs. Ag/AgCl electrode was applied for 90 s. At the end of accumulation time, the stirrer was switched off and after 10 s, at the some potential to allow equilibrium, the voltammetric curve was recorded with negative going differential pulse.

**Results and discussion**

HBO is known to yield the defined complexes in the presence of different metals [28]. Figure 2 displays a cathodic stripping pulse voltammograms for the system. The blank solution (HBO and buffer) does not show any peak current in this potential range (Figure 2). A solution containing the metal ions (in the absence of HBO) in the buffer solution shows metal peak currents. With the addition of HBO to Sn (II) and Zn (II)-buffer solution, as indicated in Figure 2, and two large cathodic peaks were obtained. The peak currents were increased with increasing accumulation time. The tin and zinc stripping peaks were registered at about -300 and -1000 mV vs. Ag/AgCl respectively and the current was used as a measure of analytical signal.

**Adsorptive characteristic of Sn and Zn-HBO complexes**

Preliminary experiments were carried out to identify the general features, which characterize the behaviour of the Sn and Zn-HBO system on mercury drop electrode. Figure 2a shows the voltammograms of a solution containing 2.0×10^{-5} M HBO at pH 5.0 (acetate buffer) after 50 s accumulation at -100mV. As illustrated in Figure 2, tin and zinc reduction currents increased due to the addition of HBO to the solution. These responses increased when an accumulation time preceded the potential scan. These reduction currents increased linearly with increasing metal concentrations. With respect to the facts that the metal reduction currents increased due to the addition of HBO to the solution and the dependence of peak current on the accumulation time, it can be concluded that the metals-HBO complexes were adsorbed on the surface of electrode.

**Effect of operational parameters**

**Effect of pH**

Among various electrolytes examined for adsorptive stripping study, the best results were obtained in acetate buffer media. The influence of pH on the cathodic stripping peaks currents of tin and zinc was studied in the pH range of 3.0-5.5 (Figure 2). It was found that at pH 5.0, the peak currents of tin and zinc were at the maximum value. Due to the metals hydroxide formation at higher
pH and protonation of ligand at lower pH values, the pH 5.0 was selected.

**Figure 2.** Differential pulse voltammograms of: a) a solution containing 0.1 M acetate buffer pH 5.0; b) a solution containing $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M HBO; c) solution containing 30 and 50 µg/L tin and zinc.

**Figure 3.** a) Dependence of peak heights on the pH value and b) related voltammograms. Conditions: 30 µg/ml Sn (II), 50 µg/L Zn (II); $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M HBO; $E_{acc} = -100$ mV; $t_{acc}=50$ s.

*Effect of HBO concentration*

The effect of HBO concentration on the sensitivity of proposed method was also studied. The results (Figure 4) show that the cathodic stripping peak currents of Sn and Zn-HBO complexes were increased by increasing the HBO concentration up to $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$M, level-off at higher concentrations. An optimum HBO concentration of $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$M was selected for further experiments.
Effect of accumulation potential
The effect of accumulation potential on the peaks currents of tin and zinc was examined over the range from 0.0 to -450 mV. As can be seen in Figure 5, at the potential of -350 mV the peak currents were independent to the accumulation potential. As it is obvious, the peak currents are independent of accumulation potential at potentials more negative than -350 mV. At this potential, the complexes were adsorbed on the surface of electrode and the response signals are due to the reduction of complexes during the cathodic sweep. So, an accumulation potential of -350 mV was used as the optimized analytical procedure.

Effect of accumulation time and scan rate
Figure 6 shows plots of the cathodic peak current in differential pulse voltammetry versus accumulation time and effect of scan rate on the sensitivity of the method, respectively. In Figure 6, at first, the peak currents increased
linearily with accumulation time indicating that before the adsorptive equilibrium is reached, the longer accumulation time, more metal-HBO was adsorbed and thus the peaks currents become larger. However, after a specific period of accumulation time, the peaks currents tend to level-off; illustrating that the adsorptive equilibrium was achieved.

**Figure 6:** a) Dependence of peak heights on the accumulation time. Conditions: 30 µg/L Sn (II), 50 µg/L Zn (II); 2.0 × 10⁻⁵ M HBO; E_{acc} = -350 mV; b) Dependence of peak heights on the Scan rate. Conditions: 30 µg/L Sn (II), 50 µg/L Zn (II); 2.0 × 10⁻⁵ M HBO; E_{acc} = -350 mV, t_{acc} = 90 s.

**Linear range and detection limit**
To verify the linear relationship between peak currents and metal concentrations, calibration graphs were constructed under optimum conditions and 90 s accumulation times. The results of this study indicated that the current-concentration relationship $i=7.751 C_{Sn} +10.31$ and $i=2.123 C_{Zn} +4.747$ (correlation coefficients greater than 0.999) was linear in the concentration range of 0.2 -100.0 µg/L for tin and 0.1- 120.0 µg/L for Zinc. The detection limit (DL) of procedure was calculated based on the equation $3σ/m$, where $m$ is the slope of the calibration curve and $σ$ is the standard deviation of blank. Detection limits of this method were obtained as 0.09 and 0.01 µg/L for tin and zinc, respectively.

**Interference studies**
Possible interferences by other metals with the cathodic adsorptive stripping voltammetry of tin and zinc was investigated by addition of the interfering ion to a solution containing 30.0 µg/L tin and 50.0 µg/L zinc using the optimized conditions. The results of the study are summarized in Table 1.

| Ions          | Tolerance limit Sn(II) | Tolerance limit Zn(II) |
|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Br⁻, Cl⁻, SCN⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, Na⁺, Cs⁺, Ag⁺, Al₁³⁺, NO₃⁻, ClO₄⁻, SO₄²⁻, BrO₃⁻ | 1000                    | 1000                    |
| Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, Mg²⁺, NH₄⁺ | 500                    | 500                    |
| Fe³⁺, Co²⁺, Mn²⁺, Cr³⁺ | 100                    | 100                    |
| Cd²⁺, Pb²⁺ | 20                     | 20                     |
| Sn⁴⁺, Li⁺, I⁻ | 12                     | 12                     |
Real sample analysis
The proposed method was successfully applied to the determination of tin and zinc in biological samples. Human hair samples (Ca. 5.0 g) were first washed with acetone, chloroform, shampoo and water, then digested in solution containing 6 M HClO₄ and 3 M HNO₃ at 200 °C until the sample was colourless, and heated to dryness. The human blood serum was prepared according to literature [29,30]. In order to eliminate the matrix effect, the standard addition method was used. The data obtained for samples, spiked with known amounts of tin and zinc, showed good recoveries (Table 2).

| Sample          | Added Sn(II) (µg/L) | Added Zn(II) (µg/L) | Found Sn(II) (µg/L) | Found Zn(II) (µg/L) | Recovery Sn(II) (%) | Recovery Zn(II) (%) |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Blood Serum     | 0                   | 0                   | 10.81              | 17.0               | -                  | -                  |
|                 | 10                  | 10                  | 19.92              | 27.2               | 91.1               | 102                |
|                 | 15                  | 15                  | 26.42              | 31.9               | 104.06             | 99.3               |
| Human hair      | 0                   | 0                   | 14.65              | 22.55              | 0.0                | 0.0                |
|                 | 10                  | 10                  | 24.0               | 33.0               | 93.5               | 104.5              |
|                 | 15                  | 15                  | 30.0               | 37.9               | 102.33             | 102.33             |

Conclusion
The present study demonstrated that the adsorptive stripping analysis of tin and zinc in the presence of HBO (2,2-hydroxyphenylbenzoxazole), is an excellent method for determination of trace amounts of these cations. The presented system provides a wide linear dynamic range for determination of tin and zinc in real samples (Table 3). Therefore, the presented system offers a practical method for the determination of tin and zinc, which has advantages of high sensitivity, selectivity, simplicity and speed.

Table 3a. Comparison of proposed method with other reports (for zinc).

| Species | Technique  | Ligand      | LR(M)               | LOD(M)      | Reference |
|---------|------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Zinc    | AdCSV      | (Morin)     | 1.54×10⁻³, 1.07×10⁻⁶ | 9.2×10⁻¹⁰   | 7         |
| Zinc    | SWASV with Bismuth film electrode | - | 0.6×10⁻⁹ | 9 |
| Zinc    | DPASV with glassy carbon electrode | 1.53×10⁻⁸, 2.77×10⁻⁷ | 1.07×10⁻⁸ | 10 |
| Zinc    | DPASV HCl 0.1M pH 4 | - | 8.00×10⁻⁹, 2.5×10⁻⁷ | 1.98×10⁻⁸ | 11 |
| Zinc    | DPASV      | -           | 1.9×10⁻⁸, 1.08×10⁻⁶ | 5.00×10⁻⁹ | 13 |
Table 3b. Comparison of proposed method with other reports (for tin).

| Species | Technique | Ligand | LR(M)        | LOD(M) | Reference |
|---------|-----------|--------|--------------|--------|-----------|
| Tin     | UV/Visible Spectrophotometric | Bromopyrogallol(BPR) | 8.4×10<sup>-7</sup>-2.1×10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.5×10<sup>-7</sup> | 16        |
| Tin     | DPASV     | Oxalic acid-Methylen blue | 1.6×10<sup>-7</sup>-8.4×10<sup>-6</sup> | 8.4×10<sup>-6</sup> | 17        |
| Tin     | Spectrofluorometry | 1-(2-pyridylazo)-2-naphtol(PAN) | 8.4×10<sup>-9</sup>-6.7×10<sup>-6</sup> | - | 18        |
| Tin     | DPASV     | Chloranilic acid | 2.1×10<sup>-9</sup>-2.1×10<sup>-7</sup> | 1.9×10<sup>-7</sup> | 19        |
| Tin     | Electrochemical hydride generation atomic fluorescence spectrometry | - | - | 1.2×10<sup>-8</sup> | 20        |
| Tin     | DPASV     | - | 8.4×10<sup>-9</sup>-8.4×10<sup>-7</sup> | 7.5×10<sup>-9</sup> | 21        |
| Tin     | DPASV     | Bromopyrogallol red (BPR) | 8.4×10<sup>-9</sup>-4.2×10<sup>-7</sup> | 5×10<sup>-10</sup> | 22        |
| Tin     | Hydride generation-inductivity coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry(ICP-OES) | Dibasic ammonium citrate buffer pH 6.5 | - | 8.4×10<sup>-10</sup> | 23        |
| Tin     | SWASV     | - | 2.1×10<sup>-6</sup>-2.3×10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.0×10<sup>-6</sup> | 24        |
| Tin     | Spectrophotometric | Diacetylmonoxime p-hydroxybenzoylhydrazone(cationic micellar medium) | 0.008-0.5mg/L | - | 25        |
| Tin     | DPASV     | 0.2 M HNO<sub>3</sub> | 2.5×10<sup>-8</sup> | - | 26        |
| Tin     | Gas Phase chemiluminescence with ozone oxidation –Flow injection hydride generation and cryotrapping | 2.6×10<sup>-9</sup> | - | - | 27        |
| Tin     | DPASV     | HBO | 8.4×10<sup>-10</sup>-8.4×10<sup>-7</sup> | 7.5×10<sup>-10</sup> | This work |
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