Association between dietary quality and executive functions in school-aged children with autism spectrum disorder
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Background: It is well known that children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) had executive functions deficit. However, it is still unclear whether the poor dietary quality is related to the impairment of executive functions. The current study aimed to explore the association between dietary quality and executive functions in children with ASD.

Methods: A total of 106 children with ASD (7.7 ± 1.3 years) and 207 typically developing (TD) children (7.8 ± 1.3 years) were enrolled from Guangzhou, China. The Chinese version of Behavior Rating Scale of Executive function (BRIEF), the working memory subscales of the Chinese version of Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Fourth Edition (WISC-IV), and the Stroop Color-Word Test (SCWT) were used to measure the participant’s executive functions. The food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) was used to collect the dietary intake information, and the Chinese Diet Balance Index (DBI) was used to evaluate the dietary quality. Generalized linear models were used to estimate the association between dietary quality and executive functions.

Results: In children with ASD, Low Bound Score (LBS) was positively correlated with the working memory subscale score of BRIEF ($\beta = 0.23$, 95% CI: 0.02–0.44, $P < 0.05$), while High Bound Score (HBS) and LBS were positively correlated with the organizable subscale score of BRIEF ($\beta = 0.44$, 95% CI: 0.11–0.77, $P < 0.01$; $\beta = 0.19$, 95% CI: 0.01–0.37, $P < 0.05$). Compared to TD children, children with ASD had a higher proportion of moderate and high levels of insufficient dietary intake (moderate level, 37.7% vs. 23.2%, high level, 4.7% vs. 1.4%) and moderate level of unbalanced dietary intake (36.8% vs. 21.3%), higher scores on all subscales of BRIEF ($P < 0.01$), and lower score on the working memory (81.3 ± 32.3 vs. 104.6 ± 12.5, $P < 0.01$), while there was no difference on the SCWT.

Conclusion: Poor dietary quality was associated with the impairment of working memory and organizational capacity in children with ASD. This
Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a developmental disorder characterized by social communication deficits and repetitive/unusual sensory-motor behaviors (1), with a median prevalence of 1% (2). ASD is highly disabling, resulting in a heavy public health burden (3). Numerous studies have shown that children with ASD had abnormal executive functions. Executive functions refer to a set of cognitive abilities responsible for goal-directed behavior (e.g., working memory, attention, planning, response inhibition, mental flexibility, and self-monitoring) (4, 5). Executive functions have an important role in everyday behaviors across the life span (6). Literature showed that the impairment of executive functions might associate with worse core symptoms (7, 8), poor quality of life (9), and impaired mental and physical health (10–12) in children with ASD. Thus, exploring the risk factors for the impairment of executive functions in children with ASD is become increasingly important and will help to propose feasible treatment intervention plans for children with ASD in the future.

Although there were many studies on dietary problems in children with ASD, the traditional dietary evaluation was based on specific foods or nutrient intake, with inconsistent results. Evans et al. (13) compared dietary patterns among American children and found that children with ASD consumed significantly more sweetened beverages and snack foods and fewer fruits and vegetables than typically developing (TD) children. Meguid et al. (14) found that Egyptian children with ASD consumed more carbohydrates but lower protein than healthy controls. Tsujiguchi et al. (15) found that children with ASD consumed more carbohydrates but slightly less protein, fat, minerals, and vitamins than children without ASD in Japan. A nutritional survey in Chongqing, China suggested that Children with ASD consumed fewer macronutrients and vitamin A (16). Because of dietary quality's support on the morphological development, neurochemistry, and neurophysiology of the human brain (17), effects on synaptic plasticity (18), influence on the gut microbiome (19), it was suggested that dietary quality might be associated with executive functions (20).

Up to now, there are many studies exploring the relationship between dietary quality and executive functions in TD children (see Supplementary Table S1). Riggs et al. (21) found that the overall executive function measured by Behavior Rating Scale of Executive function (BRIEF) was negatively correlated with the intake of high-calorie snacks, and positively correlated with the intake of fruits and vegetables. Guerrieri et al. (22) measured inhibitory control using the stop-signal task and found that there was no relationship between inhibition and calorie intake. However, another longitudinal study showed that there was a positive relationship between inhibitory control measured by BRIEF and the intake of “high-calorie, low nutrient snacks” (23). Such inconsistent conclusions also exist in working memory, cognitive flexibility, and other components of executive functions.

Notably, comparatively little is known about the association between dietary quality and executive functions among children with ASD. Firstly, few studies have focused on children with ASD. Secondly, the dietary assessment methods used in previous studies cannot accurately reflect the complexity of dietary quality. Thirdly, there was a lack of overall assessment of the executive functions in children. To address these gaps, we used the revised Chinese Diet Balance Index (DBI_16) to comprehensively evaluate the dietary quality of Children with ASD, including indices of insufficient, excessive, or imbalanced dietary intake. We also used the parent-reported questionnaire of BRIEF and face-to-face experimental tasks to comprehensive evaluate the executive functions. BRIEF included 2 indices: the Behavioral Regulation Index (BRI) and the Metacognition Index (MI). BRI reflects the ability of the child to shift cognitive set and modulate emotions and behaviors via appropriate inhibitory control. MI indicates the child’s ability to initiate, plan, organize, and sustain future-oriented problem-solving in working memory. Corresponding to the two indices, the face-to-face experimental tasks used in the current study included working memory subscales of the Chinese version of Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Fourth Edition (WISC-IV) and the Stroop Color-Word Test (SCWT), a classic inhibitory control task.

In short, the purpose of the study was to explore the relationship between dietary quality and executive function in children with ASD.
Methods

Participants

We used the baseline data of the ongoing cohort of “the Guangzhou Study of Children with ASD” in Guangzhou, China. We selected a subsample of 107 children with ASD and 209 TD children from Jan, 2021 to Sep, 2021. One child with ASD missed data from subscales of BRIEF and 2 TD children with Intelligence Quotient (IQ) below 80 were excluded. Finally, 106 children with ASD (89 boys and 17 girls) and 207 TD children (113 boys and 94 girls) were included in the final analysis. All the 313 participants took part in SCWT. But only 76 children with ASD and 173 TD children finished SCWT, because it was based on literacy. We obtained working memory index and a full-scale intelligence quotient (FSIQ) of 99 children with ASD and 207 TD children, who completed WISC-IV. All the children with ASD were diagnosed by a combination of the Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS) and an expert clinician. Their diagnosis was further confirmed by two professional child psychiatrists using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Revision (DSM-5) criteria. Detailed inclusion criteria for both groups were as follows: (1) chronological age between 6 years 0 months and 12 years 0 months; (2) voluntary participation of the children’s parents. Exclusion criteria included: (1) dyslexia, seizures, head trauma, cerebral palsy, severe visual or auditory impairment, other movement disorders; (2) genetic or chromosomal abnormalities.

Procedure

Children underwent face-to-face measures performed by trained psychometrists or research assistants at the research center. Information on demographic, physical activity, executive functions, and dietary intake status was obtained through in-person interviews with primary caregivers or validated tools/questionnaires. All the parents of the participants signed informed consent forms. The study was approved by the Ethical Review Committee for Biomedical Research, Sun Yat-Sen University (2015-No.29).

Measures

Assessment of executive functions

We assessed executive functions by parent-reported questionnaire and face-to-face experimental tasks. The rating scale we used was the Chinese version of BRIEF for children aged 6 to 18 years. The BRIEF is a validated 86-item questionnaire with the Cronbach α coefficient ranging from 0.74 to 0.96 (24). It includes the following subscales: inhibit, shift, emotional control, initiate, working memory, plan/organize, organization of materials, and monitor. The subscales form 2 indices: BRI and MI. The Global Executive Composite (GEC) combines the 2 indices and represents the summary measure of executive functions. The 86 items are rated using the following responses: never, sometimes, or often, coded 1, 2, or 3, respectively. A higher score indicates greater perceived impairment of executive functions. The subscales and total scores were calculated and standardized into Z-scores.

Children’s executive functions were also assessed by face-to-face experimental tasks including working memory subscales of the Chinese version of WISC-IV, and the classical inhibitory control tasks (i.e., SCWT). The WISC-IV’s working memory index is a commonly index to test working memory of executive functions. Working memory subscales include Digit Span and Letter-Number Sequencing subtests; Digit Span assesses short-term working memory by asking the child to repeat a series of increasingly long number sequences forward and then backward; Letter-Number Sequencing is a well-validated measure of manipulation working memory. The tester read a series of numbers and letters, then asked the child to recall the numbers in ascending order and the letters in alphabetical order. The SCWT is a classical test of inhibition (25), which was computerized using E-Prime 2.0 in current study. This test consisted of three subtasks, namely reading the color words, naming the colors, and naming the color of the ink rather than the words. Each subtask consisted of 10 trials, and each trial presented a color or a color word. The interviewer manipulated buttons on the keyboard to record responses and asked the participants to respond as accurately and quickly as possible. We calculated the differences between the incongruent and neutral conditions in terms of mean reaction time (I-NRT) and correct rate (N-I %Cor).

Assessment of dietary quality

The dietary intake information of children was collected by a parent-reported Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ). The FFQ contains 20 kinds of food such as cereal, vegetables, fruit, animal food, condiments. The questionnaire had been validated before the study (26), the average Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) for test-retest reliability was 0.398. The respondent of FFQ (i.e., the participant’s parent or primary caretaker) needed to indicate how many times last seven days the participant ate a given food and to describe the size of the usual serving relative to a standard serving on the days they had eaten the food (1 serving = 1 egg size). Then we calculated the daily intake of various foods [intake frequency (times/week) × average intake per time /7]. The dietary quality was assessed by the DBI_16 revised by He et al. (27), which consisted of eight food group-level indicators including cereals, vegetable and fruit, dairy and soybean, animal food, empty energy food (cooking oil, alcoholic beverage), condiments (addible sugar, salt), variety and water. The DBI_16
indicators were calculated by the insufficient, appropriate, and excessive dietary intake based on the recommendations of Dietary Guidelines for Chinese Residents-2016. Being evaluated to insufficient, excessive or imbalanced dietary intake according to the recommendations is considered to be poor dietary quality. For foods that are emphasized as “appropriate amount” in the Dietary Guidelines, the index value should reflect both insufficient intake and excessive intake, so there are positive and negative bidirectional values, such as cereals and animal foods (including red meat and products, poultry and game, fish and shrimp, and eggs); For foods that are emphasized as “more to eat” in the Dietary Guidelines, the index value mainly evaluates the degree of insufficient, so the index value is negative, such as vegetable and fruit, dairy and soybean, variety and water; The index values are positive for foods that are highlighted as “less to eat” in the Dietary Guidelines, such as cooking oil and salt, because they focus on assessing the degree of excess. According to the DBI_16 standard, the dietary intake of children with ASD and TD children was calculated to obtain the scores of each single index of DBI_16 and combine to values of the high bound score (HBS), low bound score (LBS), and diet quality distance (DQD). HBS is the positive-sum value of all the DBI_16 index scores, reflecting the situation of children's excessive dietary intake, with the score ranging from 0 to 44. LBS is the absolute value of the sum of all negative values in the DBI_16 index score, which reflects the insufficient dietary intake of children, with the score ranging from 0 to 72. DQD is the absolute value of all the DBI_16 index scores, which comprehensively reflects the overall situation of children's dietary intake, and the score ranges from 0 to 96. And HBS, LBS, and DQD were divided into five levels: no problem (= 0), almost no problem (HBS: 1~9, LBS: 1~14, DQD: 1~19), low level (HBS: 10~18, LBS: 15~29, DQD: 20~38), moderate level (HBS: 19~27, LBS: 30~43, DQD: 39~57) and high level (HBS: 28~44, LBS: 44~72, DQD: 58~96). (The detail of DBI_16 was shown in Supplementary Table S2).

Assessment of covariates
Demographic information
The children’s age, gender, maternal age and education level, paternal age and education level, and per capita monthly household income were reported by parents via questionnaire.

Anthropometric measurements
Anthropometric measurements were taken by trained staff according to the standard procedure of anthropometric procedures and data collection developed by WHO. The weight and height were averaged by two measurements, and the body mass index (BMI) was estimated by dividing weight (kg) by height² (m²). The definitions of overweight and obesity were using the screening thresholds for overweight and obesity in Chinese school-age children and adolescents released by the National Health and Family Planning Commission in 2018 (28).

Physical activity
Physical activity was assessed by the International Physical Activity Questionnaire short format which included vigorous physical activity, moderate physical activity, and walking. For the above activities, respondents were asked to review the activities of at least 10 min each time in the past 7 days and answer the number of days of activities and the average daily duration. Based on the total metabolic equivalent (MET), physical activity status was classified into three categories: low, moderate, and high (29).

Intelligence quotient
IQ was assessed via the Chinese Version of WISC-IV, which provides a full-scale intelligence quotient (FSIQ) based on the sum of scores from the 10 core subtests (30). FSIQ < 70 was defined as intellectual disorder (ID).

Statistical analyses
To compare the differences in characteristics between ASD and TD children, we calculated means and standard deviations for continuous variables using independent sample t-tests, and calculated percentages for categorical variables using chi-square tests. We used generalized linear models to compare the differences in dietary quality and executive functions between the two groups and to investigate the associations between dietary quality and executive functions in both groups. We fitted the crude model without any adjustments and adjusted the model by adjusting for child’s age, sex, maternal education level, paternal education level, family income, category of physical activity, BMI, and with or without ID (FSIQ ≥ 70 /< 70). The results were presented as coefficient estimates (β) with a 95% confidence interval (CI). For all analyses, the statistical significance was set at P-value < 0.05 (two-sided). We conducted all statistical analyses with R 4.0.3 statistical software (31).

Results
Demographic characteristics
The demographic characteristics of the included children are shown in Table 1. The mean age of children with ASD was 7.7 ± 1.3 years. There were 84.0% of children with ASD were boys. Compared with TD children, children with ASD had lower scores in the FSIQ (92.0 ± 18.8 vs. 112.9 ± 12.8, P < 0.01), a higher proportion of the low and moderate levels of physical activity (10.4% vs. 3.4% and 43.4% vs. 36.2%, P < 0.01), and lower maternal education level (41.5% vs. 24.6%, P < 0.01) and family economic situation (57.5% vs. 25.1%, P < 0.01). There were no differences between groups in children's age, category of BMI, or paternal education level.
The association of DBI_16 indicators with executive functions in children with ASD and TD children

Table 2 shows the association between DBI_16 indicators and executive functions in children with ASD. LBS was positively associated with the working memory subscale score of BRIEF (β = 0.23, 95% CI: 0.02–0.44, P < 0.05). HBS and LBS had positive associations with the organizable subscale score of BRIEF (β = 0.44, 95% CI: 0.11–0.77, P < 0.01; β = 0.19, 95% CI: 0.01–0.37, P < 0.05) in the adjusted model, while other indicators had no association with other components of executive functions.

In addition, there was a null association between DBI_16 indicators and all executive function scores in the adjusted models in TD children (see Table 3).

The comparison of DBI_16 indicators and components between children with ASD and TD children

As shown in Figure 1 (detailed data are shown in Supplementary Table S3), the distribution of LBS and DQD had a significant difference between children with ASD and TD children. Children with ASD had a higher proportion of the moderate and high levels of insufficient dietary intake (moderate level, 37.7% vs. 23.2%, high level, 4.7% vs. 1.4%) and the moderate level of unbalanced dietary intake (36.8% vs. 21.3%) comparing with TD children. As shown in Figure 2 (detailed data are shown in Supplementary Table S4), children with ASD had more insufficient dietary intake in the fruit (−3.8 ± 1.4 vs. −3.4 ± 1.5, P < 0.01), dairy (−2.3 ± 1.8 vs. −1.9 ± 1.6, P < 0.05) and dietary variety (−4.1 ± 1.9 vs. −3.7 ± 1.9, P < 0.05) categories than TD children.

The comparison of executive functions between children with ASD and TD children

Table 4 shows the comparison of executive functions in children with ASD and TD children. Compared to TD children, children with ASD had higher BRIEF total score (66.3 ± 9.2 vs. 53.9 ± 8.6, P < 0.01), dimensions scores (BRI, 60.8 ± 10.5 vs. 48.6 ± 7.8, MI, 67.9 ± 9.1 vs. 56.8 ± 9.3, P < 0.01) and all subscale scores, lower working memory score (81.3 ± 12.3 vs. 104.6 ± 12.5, P < 0.01). There was no difference in reaction time and correct rate of SCWT between the two groups.

Discussion

The current study showed that higher HBS was associated with worse organizeable ability, while higher LBS was associated with worse organizeable ability and working memory in children with ASD. Children with ASD had more problems of insufficient and unbalanced dietary intake compared to TD children. Children with ASD also had lower scores of BRIEF and working memory than TD children, while there was no significant difference in SCWT. To our knowledge, it was the first study using the DBI_16 scores to evaluate the dietary quality of Chinese children with ASD and explore the association of dietary quality with executive functions in children with ASD.

The most important finding of this study was that excessive and insufficient dietary intake in children with ASD was both positively correlated with impairment of organizeable ability and...
TABLE 2 The association of DBI_16 indicators with executive functions in children with ASD.

| Executive functions | HBS Crude model | Adjust model | LBS Crude model | Adjust model | DQD Crude model | Adjust model |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
|                     | β (95% CI)      | β (95% CI)   | β (95% CI)      | β (95% CI)   | β (95% CI)     | β (95% CI)   |
| **BRIEF**           |                 |              |                 |              |                 |              |
| GEC                 | 0.15 (−0.20, 0.51) | 0.24 (−0.14, 0.62) | 0.07 (−0.13, 0.26) | 0.10 (−0.11, 0.31) | −0.01 (−0.21, 0.20) | −0.02 (−0.23, 0.18) |
| BRI                 | −0.02 (−0.43, 0.39) | 0.01 (−0.42, 0.45) | −0.08 (−0.30, 0.15) | −0.09 (−0.32, 0.15) | 0.09 (0.15, 0.33) | 0.08 (0.16, 0.31) |
| Inhibit             | 0.06 (−0.41, 0.54) | 0.13 (−0.39, 0.65) | 0.08 (−0.18, 0.34) | 0.09 (−0.19, 0.37) | −0.05 (−0.32, 0.23) | −0.07 (−0.35, 0.20) |
| Shift               | −0.20 (−0.61, 0.21) | −0.19 (−0.62, 0.24) | −0.22 (−0.44, 0.00) | −0.21 (−0.44, 0.03) | 0.15 (0.09, 0.38) | 0.18 (0.06, 0.41) |
| Emotion control     | 0.04 (−0.37, 0.45) | 0.05 (−0.39, 0.48) | −0.22 (−0.44, 0.00) | −0.13 (−0.37, 0.10) | 0.13 (0.10, 0.37) | 0.11 (0.12, 0.35) |
| MI                  | 0.24 (−0.11, 0.59) | 0.36 (−0.02, 0.73) | −0.09 (−0.31, 0.14) | 0.20 (−0.00, 0.40) | −0.06 (−0.27, 0.14) | −0.08 (−0.28, 0.12) |
| Initiate            | −0.01 (−0.41, 0.39) | −0.01 (−0.45, 0.43) | 0.06 (−0.16, 0.28) | 0.13 (−0.11, 0.36) | −0.08 (−0.32, 0.15) | −0.07 (−0.30, 0.16) |
| Working memory      | 0.11 (−0.28, 0.50) | 0.28 (−0.11, 0.68) | 0.16 (−0.05, 0.38) | 0.23 (0.02, 0.44) | −0.13 (−0.36, 0.09) | −0.15 (−0.37, 0.08) |
| Plan/organize       | 0.24 (−0.15, 0.63) | 0.36 (−0.06, 0.79) | 0.10 (−0.12, 0.31) | 0.15 (−0.08, 0.38) | 0.00 (−0.23, 0.23) | −0.02 (−0.25, 0.20) |
| Organize            | **0.44** (0.14, 0.74)*** | **0.44** (0.11, 0.77)** | **0.21** (0.04, 0.38)* | **0.19** (0.01, 0.37)* | **−0.04** (−0.22, 0.14) | **−0.09** (−0.27, 0.09) |
| Monitor             | 0.26 (−0.14, 0.65) | 0.40 (−0.03, 0.83) | 0.11 (−0.10, 0.33) | 0.19 (−0.08, 0.39) | −0.03 (−0.26, 0.20) | −0.04 (−0.27, 0.19) |
| **SCWTT***          |                 |              |                 |              |                 |              |
| N-1 %Cor            | −0.00 (−0.01) | 0.00 (0.01, 0.00) | 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) | 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) | 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) | 0.00 (−0.00, 0.00) |
| L-NRT               | 3.73 (−16.53, 23.99) | −0.40 (−23.52, 22.72) | −2.38 (−13.28, 8.51) | −5.25 (−16.95, 6.45) | 3.39 (8.57, 15.35) | 6.14 (−6.63, 18.91) |
| Working memory testb | 0.80 (−0.46, 2.07) | −0.09 (−1.07, 0.89) | 0.44 (−0.25, 1.13) | 0.06 (−0.47, 0.59) | −0.08 (−0.81, 0.65) | −0.09 (−0.64, 0.46) |

DBI_16, The Chinese Dietary Balance Index; 16 LBS, Low Bound Score; HBS, High Bound Score; DQD, Diet Quality Distance; CI, confidence interval; GEC, Global executive component; BRI, Behavioral regulation index; MI, Metacognition index; SCWTT, Stroop Color-Word test; I-NRT, the difference between the incongruent and neutral conditions in terms of mean reaction time; N-I %Cor, the difference between the incongruent and neutral conditions in terms of correct rate.
Crude model: without adjustment.
Adjust model: adjusted for child’s age, sex; maternal education level, paternal education level, family income, level of physical activity, BMI category and with or without intellectual disorder (FSIQ ≥ 70 < 79).

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

*76 autistic children finished SCWTT; b99 autistic children finished Working memory test.
The bold values represented statistically significant association between executive functions and the DBI_16 indicators.

Working memory. These two components of executive function were all belong to Metacognition Index, which indicated that diet quality might have more effect on the ability of initiate, plan, organize, and sustain future-oriented problem-solving in working memory. The possible reason was that MI is particularly sensitive to brain-based deficits and disruptions in brain connectivity (32), and poor diet quality might associate with impairment of brain function (17). In future, more studies are needed to explore the underlying mechanisms involved. It is worth noting that the associations between dietary quality and executive functions were only observed in BRIEF, not in working memory test of WISC-IV. A possible reason is that
TABLE 3 The association of DBI_16 indicators with executive function in TD children.

| Executive functions | HBS |                  | LBS |                  | DQD |                  |
|---------------------|-----|------------------|-----|------------------|-----|------------------|
|                     | Crude model β (95% CI) | Adjust model β (95% CI) | Crude model β (95% CI) | Adjust model β (95% CI) | Crude model β (95% CI) | Adjust model β (95% CI) |
| BRI                 | GEC | 0.04 (−0.21, 0.30) | 0.09 (−0.17, 0.36) | −0.12 (−0.27, 0.03) | −0.08 (−0.23, 0.07) | 0.14 (−0.01, 0.28) | 0.12 (−0.04, 0.27) |
|                     | GEC | −0.07 (−0.30, 0.17) | −0.02 (−0.26, 0.22) | −0.09 (−0.22, 0.05) | −0.06 (−0.19, 0.08) | 0.06 (−0.07, 0.20) | 0.05 (−0.09, 0.19) |
|                     | Inhibit | 0.09 (−0.18, 0.35) | 0.11 (−0.16, 0.38) | −0.06 (−0.22, 0.09) | −0.04 (−0.19, 0.12) | 0.09 (−0.06, 0.25) | 0.07 (−0.08, 0.23) |
|                     | Shift | −0.19 (−0.45, 0.05) | −0.18 (−0.43, 0.08) | −0.07 (−0.21, 0.07) | −0.03 (−0.18, 0.11) | 0.01 (−0.13, 0.15) | −0.02 (−0.17, 0.12) |
|                     | Emotion control | −0.12 (−0.37, 0.12) | −0.05 (−0.31, 0.20) | −0.08 (−0.22, 0.06) | −0.07 (−0.21, 0.08) | −0.11 (−0.18, 0.10) | 0.04 (−0.10, 0.20) |
|                     | MI | 0.13 (−0.15, 0.40) | 0.17 (−0.11, 0.46) | −0.13 (−0.29, 0.03) | −0.09 (−0.25, 0.07) | 0.18 (0.02, 0.34)* | −0.15 (0.00, 0.32) |
|                     | Initiate | 0.08 (−0.20, 0.36) | 0.08 (−0.22, 0.38) | −0.14 (−0.30, 0.02) | −0.10 (−0.27, 0.07) | 0.16 (0.00, 0.32)* | −0.14 (0.00, 0.21) |
|                     | Working memory | 0.08 (−0.20, 0.36) | 0.14 (−0.15, 0.42) | −0.16 (−0.32, −0.00)** | −0.11 (−0.27, 0.05) | 0.19 (0.03, 0.35)* | −0.16 (0.00, 0.32) |
|                     | Plan/organize | 0.13 (−0.17, 0.43) | 0.20 (−0.11, 0.51) | −0.10 (−0.27, 0.08) | −0.06 (−0.24, 0.12) | 0.14 (0.03, 0.31) | 0.12 (0.05, 0.31) |
|                     | Organize | 0.12 (−0.14, 0.39) | 0.11 (−0.17, 0.40) | −0.09 (−0.26, 0.08) | −0.08 (−0.24, 0.08) | 0.13 (0.03, 0.28) | −0.04 (0.04, 0.29) |
|                     | Monitor | 0.08 (−0.21, 0.38) | 0.15 (−0.15, 0.45) | −0.09 (−0.26, 0.08) | −0.04 (−0.22, 0.13) | 0.12 (0.05, 0.29) | −0.10 (0.08, 0.27) |
| SCWBT*              | N−1 %Cor | 0.00 (−0.00, 0.00) | 0.00 (−0.00, 0.00) | 0.00 (−0.00, 0.00) | 0.00 (−0.00, 0.00) | 0.00 (−0.00, 0.00) | 0.00 (−0.00, 0.00) |
|                     | I−NRT | −2.75 (−15.03, 9.52) | −0.10 (−13.20, 9.92) | 1.16 (−6.30, 8.61) | 1.48 (−6.31, 9.28) | −2.17 (−9.61, 5.28) | −1.56 (−9.45, 6.33) |
|                     | Working memory test | 0.53 (−0.22, 0.05) | 0.54 (−0.36, 0.09) | 0.13 (−0.09, 0.34) | −0.08 (−0.24, 0.08) | −0.08 (−0.29, 0.14) | −0.05 (−0.11, 0.22) |

DBI_16, The Chinese Dietary Balance Index; HBS, Low Bound Score; LBS, High Bound Score; DQD, Diet Quality Distance; CI, confidence interval; GEC, Global executive component; BRI, Behavioral regulation index; MI, Metacognition index; SCWT, Stroop Color-Word test; I-NRT, the difference between the incongruent and neutral conditions in terms of mean reaction time; N-1 %Cor, the difference between the incongruent and neutral conditions in terms of correct rate.

Crude model: without adjustment; Adjust model: adjusted for child’s age, sex, maternal education level, paternal education level, family income, level of physical activity, BMI category and FSIQ.

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

*173 TD children finished SCWT, †207 TD children finished Working memory test.

The bold values represented statistically significant association between executive functions and the DBI_16 indicators.

BRIEF has higher ecological effect compared to psychometric tests (33), it is based on more representative environmental situations (34). But as an objectively psychometric tests, working memory test of WISC-IV may better reflect the state of brain development (33). Consistent with previous animal experiments and interventional study in ASD, Zilkha, N. et al. (35) found that a high-fat and imbalanced diet exacerbates cognitive rigidity and social deficiency in the BTBR mouse model of autism. A randomized controlled trial showed that diet modification based on the Chanyi approach in 1 month had positive effects on improving mental flexibility, response inhibition, and planning in children with ASD (36). However, it is controversial on the correlation between dietary quality and specific components of executive functions in normal population. Some studies (37–42) suggested that dietary quality was associated with inhibitory control, while the other studies (22, 43–46) showed that there
was no association between dietary quality and inhibitory control. On the other hand, some studies (47–49) suggested that dietary quality was associated with working memory, while the other studies (47, 50–54) showed that there was no association between dietary quality and working memory. We speculated that compared to the TD children, the organizable ability and working memory in children with ASD may be more susceptible to dietary quality.

A moderate supply of nutrients could support the morphological development, neurochemistry, and neurophysiology of the human brain (17). First, the transfer of energy from foods to neurons might be fundamental to the control of brain function. Processes related to the management of energy in neurons can affect synaptic plasticity and have the potential to affect cognitive function (18). Second, some dietary components have been identified as having positive effects on cognitive ability (18, 55), such as minerals (e.g., Zn) and certain vitamins (e.g., B vitamins) are cofactors for enzymes that synthesize neurotransmitters, which could influence the development of cognition throughout childhood (56, 57); Dietary lipids (e.g., Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids) can affect myelin sheath integrity and nerve cell membranes, so as to impact the brain function (18). Third, growing evidence indicated the importance of dietary components in influencing non-genetic events (e.g., DNA methylation, transcriptional activation, translational control, and posttranslational modifications), which cause a potentially heritable phenotypic change. In addition, there was evidence that dietary quality can influence the gut microbiome (58), and changes in the gut microbiome may impact executive functions through the “gut-brain axis” (19). Therefore, poor dietary quality may lead to changes in the brain structure and function, particularly in the brain regions associated with cognitive function (i.e., frontal cortical regions) involved, through synapse formation, in neurogenesis, myelination. The dimension of working memory refers to the ability to hold information in their mind and manipulate this information to achieve task completion, and it has been related to the frontal regions including the bilateral superior and middle frontal gyri, bilateral frontal polar regions, and precuneus gyrus (59). The dimension of organization represents the individual’s organization within their environment and extends to the state of their work, living, and storage spaces (60), which involved the brain region including the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, the anterior and posterior cingulate areas, and the parietal cortex (59, 61). The brain function of working memory and organization involved might be sensitive to the insufficient specific nutrients or energy which caused by insufficient dietary intake (i.e., cereals, animal foods, vegetable and fruit, dairy product). On the other hand, excessive dietary intake refers to the excess of foods that are emphasized as “less to eat” and “appropriate amount” in the Dietary Guidelines (i.e., cereals, animal foods, empty energy food and condiments), which might affect the function of brain regions involved by organizable ability through energy metabolism and other pathways. But the direction of the effects could also be reversed. Another
possibility is that the impairment of executive function results in poor dietary quality. For example, studies have shown that inhibitory control may play a role in inhibiting dietary-related ideas or appetitive behaviors, and working memory may help children with better dietary quality by maintaining and updating dietary-related strategy (62). In future, more studies are needed to explore the underlying mechanisms involved.

Furthermore, we have compared the difference on the dietary quality and executive function between children with ASD and TD children to understand the association among them well. We found that compared to TD children, children with ASD had more problems of dietary quality including overall insufficient and unbalanced dietary intake. Further analysis showed that children with ASD had more insufficient dietary intake in the fruit, dairy and dietary variety categories than TD children. A few studies showed that there was no difference in dietary intake between children with ASD and TD children (63–65), however, the majority of studies showed similar results to ours (66–68). Insufficient and unbalanced dietary intake problems may be caused by the following factors. First, children with ASD often exhibit repetitive and stereotypical behaviors, and other uncontrolled behaviors at mealtimes (69, 70). These behaviors put them at higher risk for eating problems such as food rejection, high frequency of single food intake, pica (71–73). Second, dietary quality generally improved with the increase of income level and education level (74–77). However, children with ASD in the current study had lower family income and maternal education levels compared to TD children. Third, children with ASD are more likely to present with food allergies include especially milk/dairy, nuts, and fruits (78–81), which may lead to insufficient and unbalanced dietary intake. It is well known that dietary quality is a vital determinant of physical growth and mental development, poor dietary quality can contribute to non-communicable diseases (82), lead to worse mental health (83), and impair brain integrity and functionality (84), therefore, more attention should pay to the
dietary management of children with ASD. Besides, we found that compared to TD children, children with ASD performed significantly worse on all subscales of BRIEF and working memory test, but had intact performance in SCWT. Executive function has long been considered to play a role in the specific impairments of ASD, such as social impairment, restricted and repetitive behavior patterns. However, the characteristic of executive function in ASD remains unclear because different studies used different assessment tool. Most studies used BRIEF to assess manifestations of executive function in everyday life of children, and showed that children with ASD had the impairment of executive functions, which suggested that children with ASD might have specific difficulties in complex, unstructured everyday problem-solving situations. However, it should be considered that BRIEF was parents’ or teachers-reported which was sensitive for rater bias. Conversely, experimental tests (e.g., working memory test and SCWT) were administered directly to children with more objective. Most studies indicated that working memory in children with ASD had impairment. However, the impairment of inhibitory control in autistic children without ID was less prominent compared to the impairment of working memory. Most of the children with ASD in the current study were without ID, and most of them were recruited from mainstream educational schools, these might be why we found that children with ASD had relatively normal inhibitory control ability but poor working memory.

The current study had several strengths. First, it was the first study using the DBI_16 scores to evaluate the dietary quality of Chinese children with ASD and explore the association of dietary quality with executive functions in children with ASD. Second, the executive function of children with ASD was evaluated by both objective and subjective measures (i.e., BRIEF, working memory subscale of WISC-IV, and SCWT) to comprehensively reflect children’s reality-based executive functions. Third, the dietary quality was assessed by DBI_16 rather than single food intake, which can represent the overall dietary information of children with ASD. However, some limitations should be considered. First, the current study was a cross-sectional design, thus it was impossible to determine the causal nature of the association between dietary quality and executive function in children with ASD. Further longitudinal studies or interventional studies are needed to confirm the relationship. Second, ASD represents a heterogeneous group of disorders, and most of the children with ASD in the current study were without ID, so the results couldn’t reflect the association in autistic children with ID directly. Third, the study did not explore the relationship between dietary quality and cognitive flexibility which was an important component of executive function, and more studies were needed. Fourth, the dietary assessment in the current study was subjective reported, which could not accurately calculate the specific amounts of macronutrients and determine the intrinsic nutrient levels. Furthermore, the self-reported investigation might be influenced by parents’ recall bias and personal expectations. Therefore, more objective investigations are needed to comprehensively collect children's dietary intake information.

### Conclusion

Poor dietary quality was associated with the impairment of working memory and organizational capacity in children with ASD. Compared to TD children, children with ASD had poorer dietary quality and executive functions. This study emphasized the importance of dietary quality in executive functions among children with ASD, and attention should be paid to improving their dietary quality.
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