The transition from highly to fully stretched polymer brushes in good solvent
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Abstract

The stretching of brushes of long polymers grafted to a planar surface is investigated by Monte Carlo simulations in the limit of very high grafting densities, as achieved in recent experiments. The monomer density profiles are shown to deviate considerably from the parabolic limiting form predicted by self-consistent field theory. A rapid transition is observed from parabolic to fully stretched polymers, characterized by a dramatic change in the end-monomer height distribution and by a clear cross-over in the slope of the brush height versus scaled grafting density.

PACS numbers: 68.47.Mn, 68.47.Pe, 61.25.Hq, 82.35.Lr
There have been numerous experimental [1], theoretical [2, 3, 4] and simulation [5, 6] studies of polymer “brushes” grafted to substrates, confirming the Alexander scaling of the brush height with grafting density [7]. They show that the monomer density profiles go over to a universal parabolic profile as a function of the distance from the surface, for sufficiently strong stretching, as predicted by self-consistent field theory (SCF) [3, 4, 8]. Recent experimental techniques based on surface-initiated polymerization [9, 10] allow higher grafting densities than previously achieved. In this letter we analyse the break-down of SCF theory for long chains and high grafting densities by advanced Monte Carlo simulations of polymers in good solvent. Upon increasing the grafting density, we observe a transition from the parabolic regime to fully stretched brushes which correlates with a dramatic change in the end-monomer density profile. The exposure of the end monomers of very dense brushes opens new possibilities for the development of chemically active soft surfaces.

Consider a layer of N identical flexible polymers of \( M \) monomers and contour length \( L = (M - 1)b \) (where \( b \) is the segment length), in good solvent. The first monomer of each chain is chemically grafted to a grafting site on a planar substrate of area \( A \); the dimensionless grafting density is defined as \( \sigma = Nb^2/A \). For convenience \( b \) will henceforth be the unit length. The key length scales are the mean distance between grafting sites, \( d \sim \sigma^{-1/2} \), the radius of gyration of an isolated polymer, \( R_g \sim L^\nu \) (where the Flory exponent \( \nu = 0.588 \) under good solvent conditions) and the brush height \( h \) which, according to the Alexander-de Gennes mean field argument [2, 7], scales like

\[
h \sim L \sigma^{1-\nu} \sim L \sigma^{0.35} \sim Ld^{-0.7}
\]

i.e. the chains stretch upon increasing the grafting density due to their mutual repulsion. This scaling has been confirmed by neutron scattering diffraction experiments [1]. An important dimensionless parameter is \( \sigma_g = \sigma R_g^2 \sim R_g^2/d^2 \). When \( \sigma_g < 1 \), polymers grafted to neighbouring sites do not overlap and the height \( h \) of quasi-independent coils scales like \( h \sim R_g \sim L^\nu \) (“mushroom regime”). When \( \sigma_g > 1 \), neighbouring coils interact and begin to stretch, i.e. \( h \sim L \). This regime has been widely studied within SCF theory [2, 3, 8] and by MC simulations of various models, involving in general rather short chains (\( L < 100 \)) [5, 6, 8, 11, 12]. The polymer “brush” may be characterized by the monomer distribution function \( P(z) \), where \( z \) is the vertical distance from the substrate, normalized such that \( \int_0^L P(z)dz = N \). Within SCF, the dimensionless control parameter is \( \beta = (h/R_g)\sigma \) (where \( R_g \sim L^{1/2} \) is the radius of gyration of the non-interacting, Gaussian chain), and in the strong stretching regime \( \beta >> 1 \), SCF reduces to its “classical” limit, which
Figure 1: (Color on-line) Scaled monomer distribution function versus scaled altitude for brushes of SAW polymers of length \( L = 50 \), and several grafting densities \( 0.002 \leq \sigma \leq 0.5 \). The two lowest \( \sigma \) correspond to the “mushroom” regime, while deviations from SCF scaling occur for \( \sigma \geq 0.25 \). The inset shows the end monomer altitude profiles versus \( z/L \) for the same values of \( \sigma \).

This scaling is confirmed by MC simulations, with deviations from the parabolic shape at small and large \( z \) in the case of moderate stretching \([5, 6, 8, 11, 12]\). Some deviations from a universal master curve, due to a flattening of the profiles at intermediate heights, have been observed in simulations at the highest grafting densities \( \sigma_g \) explored so far \([8, 13, 14]\). Meanwhile experimental grafting techniques based on surface-initiated polymerization \([9]\) have achieved extremely high grafting densities, up to nearly one site per \( \text{nm}^2 \) \([9, 10]\).

To explore the structure of polymer brushes in the regime of such high grafting densities, corresponding to the regime of \( \sigma_g >> 1 \), which may be achieved by increasing the grafting density \( \sigma \) or considering very long polymers, we have carried out extensive MC simulations of the simplest
lattice model of flexible polymers in good solvent, namely self (and mutually) avoiding walks (SAW) on a cubic lattice. The grafting sites are on a square lattice of identical spacing \( b \). We used “annealed” grafting conditions whereby the first monomer of each chain can jump between nearest neighbor grafting sites in order to speed up equilibration \[12\]. Three types of MC moves were used: discrete translations of entire chains on the square lattice in the \( x - y \) grafting plane; chain re-growth moves based on the Configurational Bias Monte Carlo (CBMC) algorithm \[15\]; segment re-growth moves based on CBMC with fixed end-points \[15\]. This combination of moves is expected to guarantee good equilibration of the simulated brush up to very high grafting densities (corresponding to moderately high monomer volume fractions in the brush). The initial conditions were generated with fully stretched polymer conformations attached to randomly chosen grafting centres on the square lattice. The grafting centres were allowed to diffuse on the square lattice (annealed sampling), which is equivalent to a random sampling of fixed grafting points, followed by a statistical average over the randomly chosen initial configuration \[12\]. After initial equilibration, statistical averages of the monomer and end-monomer profiles were taken over typically \( 2 \times 10^8 \) MC moves.

We have systematically computed the monomer and end-monomer distribution functions \( P(z) \) and \( P_M(z) \) over a wide range of \( \sigma \), and for lengths \( L = 50, 200, 400 \) and 800. Scaled profiles for short chains (\( L = 50 \)) and several \( \sigma \) are shown in Fig. 1. The universal scaling regime is reached for \( \sigma > 0.04 (\sigma_g > 0.6) \), and persists up to \( \sigma = 0.25 (\sigma_g \approx 4) \). Below \( \sigma \approx 0.04 (\sigma_g < 0.6) \), interactions between neighbouring grafted polymers become negligible so that the “mushroom” regime is reached, and the profiles no longer follow the SCF scaling. The end-monomer profile \( P_M(z) \) moves to higher \( z \) as \( \sigma \) increases, as one might expect. The probability of the end monomer “returning” towards the grafting surface decreases with increasing \( \sigma \) \[8\]. Fig. 2 shows similar results, but for longer chains (\( L = 200 \)). The mushroom regime is only observed for \( \sigma < 0.004 (\sigma_g < 0.3) \), while the scaling regime is satisfied for \( 0.01 \leq \sigma \leq 0.05 (0.8 \leq \sigma_g \leq 4) \). For \( \sigma > 0.05 \), the profiles deviate increasingly from the scaling prediction, and for \( \sigma > 0.2 (\sigma_g > 16) \) \( P(z) \) flattens and stretches considerably. Concomitantly the end-monomer profiles \( P_M(z) \) move further and further towards their upper limit, \( z = L \). These trends are considerably enhanced in the case \( L = 800 \), as shown in Fig. 3. The SCF scaling regime only holds for \( \sigma < 0.02 (\sigma_g < 10) \) while for higher \( \sigma \), \( P(z) \) flattens and stretches towards the rectangular profile \( (P(z) = 1, z < L; P(z) = 0, z > L) \) which is the exact limit for the lattice model, when \( \sigma = 1 \) (each polymer then reduces to a rod of length \( L \) because of the excluded volume constraint). This strong stretching behavior
correlates well with the behavior of $P_M(z)$, which undergoes a dramatic transition around $\sigma = 0.1$ ($\sigma_g = 40$), when the peak in the end-monomer distribution function sharpens and moves towards $z = L$ (in the limit $\sigma \rightarrow 1$, $P_M(z) \rightarrow \delta(z-L)$).

The mean height $h$ of the brush is the first moment of the probability density $P(z)$; the MC results for the ratio $h/R_g$ are plotted in Fig. 4 vs $\sigma_g^{(1-\nu)/2\nu}$ for $L = 200, 400$ and $800$. The data show a clear cross-over between two linear regimes with different slopes around $\sigma_g \simeq 10$. The linearity agrees with the prediction of Alexander-de Gennes scaling [11], but the change in slope suggests a cross-over from the SCF regime to the regime dominated by strong excluded volume correlations which enhance stretching of the chains. Although the slope ($\simeq 5.2$) of the low $\sigma_g$ regime is close to that of the earlier estimates obtained for different polymer models [5, 6], a clear-cut cross-over to the strong stretching regime has not been reported earlier. Indications in that direction are contained in the early work of Grest et al. [16, 17]. By using a continuous model of polymer brushes, they observed deviations from the SCF scaling regime for grafting densities of 0.15 and chains 200 monomers long, resulting in enhanced stretching of the brush. However there was no clear evidence of a sharp transition between the SCF and the fully stretched scaling regimes, similar to that shown in the end-monomer distribution function (Fig. 3), or in the scaling plot (Fig. 4) of the mean brush height. This was probably due to insufficient polymer length and to the presence of an attractive potential between the monomers.

Let us now consider the “roughness” of the brush/solvent interface may be characterized by the relative fluctuation $f_M$ of the end-monomer altitude, $\left[\langle z_M^2 \rangle - \langle z_M \rangle^2 \right]^{1/2} / \langle z_M \rangle$ which is readily derived from $P_M(z)$. The MC results for $f_M$ are plotted in Fig. 4 versus $\sigma$ for $L=50$ and $800$. The difference is dramatic: while $f_M$ saturates rapidly at a value close to 0.4 for the shortest chains, the fluctuation is seen to decrease steadily for the longer chains, pointing to a relatively smooth upper interface of the brush. It is worthwhile to note that the corresponding fluctuation of the center of mass (CM) of the chains follows very similar curves, slightly below those for $f_M$.

Sampling of stretched polymer conformations at high grafting densities becomes increasingly difficult for long polymers, such that $\sigma_g > 10^2$. A way out is to switch to a multi-blob representation of the grafted chains, as recently proposed for homopolymers and block copolymers in the bulk [18, 19]. Within this coarse-graining procedure each chain is divided into $n$ blobs of length $l = L/n$ and radius of gyration $r_g \sim l^\nu$. The average blob density within the volume of the brush of height $h$ is $\rho_b = Nn/(Ah) \sim NLh^{(1-3\nu)/2\nu}$, while the overlap density of blobs is $\rho_b^* \sim 1/r_g^3 \sim 1/l^{3\nu}$ so that $\rho_b/\rho_b^* \sim (L/n\sigma^{1/2\nu})^{3\nu-1}$. The minimum number of blobs required to ensure that blobs...
Figure 2: (Color on-line) Same as in Fig. [1] but for $L = 200$ and $0.002 \leq \sigma \leq 0.25$. Increasing deviations from SCF scaling regime are observed for $\sigma > 0.05$

do not, on average, overlap is hence $n \sim \sigma_{s}^{1/2\nu}$. Effective interactions between the CM’s of the bonded and non-bonded blobs and between a blob and the substrate, are determined by averaging over monomer degrees of freedom for given CM-CM distances. The coarse-grained multi-blob model requires effective pair potentials $v(r)$ and $\phi_1(r)$ between the CM’s of non-bonded and bonded blobs on the same or different (in the case of $v(r)$) chains, as well as an effective wall-blob potential $\psi(z)$, and an effective tethering potential $\phi_2(r)$ between the CM of the first blob and the grafting centre. All these effective interactions are determined by inverting MC results for the pair distribution functions between the CM’s of a single grafted polymer made up of a small number of blobs [18, 19, 20], and are assumed to be transferable to finite grafting density conditions, as long as the system is in the weak overlap ($\rho_b < \rho^*_b$) regime. The form of the repulsive potential
Figure 3: (Color on-line) End-monomer height distribution function of grafted SAW polymers of length $L = 800$ versus $z/L$ for grafting densities $0.002 \leq \sigma \leq 0.25$. Note the rather sharp transition to full stretching when $\sigma \simeq 0.05$, which contrasts with the gradual stretching observed for shorter chains in Fig. 1-2. Inset: scaled monomer distribution function versus scaled altitude for the same values of $\sigma$. Deviations from the SCF scaling regime set in for $\sigma \gtrsim 0.01$, and the profiles become nearly rectangular (full stretching) at the highest grafting densities.

$v(r)$ is Gaussian to a good approximation

$$
\frac{v(r)}{k_B T} \simeq A e^{-\alpha (r/r_g)^2}
$$

with $A \simeq 1.8$ and $\alpha \simeq 0.8$. The tethering potential $\phi_1(r)$ is well represented by the sum of $v(r)$ and a harmonic spring potential, while $\phi_2(r)$ is a similar harmonic spring potential, and the wall-blob potential $\psi(z)$ is an exponential repulsion of range $\simeq r_g$ [20]. MC simulations of the multiblob representation of grafted polymers sample single blob and total chain displacements with a standard Metropolis acceptance criterion, which are sufficient because of the softness of the above
Figure 4: (Color on-line) Plot of the mean height $h = \langle z_M \rangle$ of a brush of SAW polymers (divided by $R_g$), versus $\sigma_g^{(1-\nu)/(2\nu)}$ for $L = 50, 200, 400$ and $800$. The slopes of the two straight lines fitted to the low $\sigma_g$ and high $\sigma_g$ data indicate a cross-over from the SCF regime to the fully stretched regime around $\sigma_g \simeq 10$. The slope ($\simeq 5.2$) of the low $\sigma_g$ regime (dashed line) is close to earlier estimates obtained for different polymer models [5, 6]. Inset: relative fluctuation $f_M = \left[ \langle z_M^2 \rangle - \langle z_M \rangle^2 \right]^{1/2} / \langle z_M \rangle$ of the brush height versus $\sigma_g^{(1-\nu)/(2\nu)}$ for $L = 50$ and $L = 800$. The fluctuations drops rapidly beyond the cross-over density, pointing to the homogeneity of the highly stretched brush.

Effective potentials. If $n$ is chosen such as to satisfy the weak overlap condition above, the effective interactions can be extracted from low density MC simulations. This coarse-graining leads to an enhancement of sampling efficiency by several orders of magnitude, because of the reduction of the total number of degrees of freedom by a factor $l$, and the softness of the effective interactions. MC results for the CM probability densities, as determined for coarse-grained polymer chains of $n = 5$ and $20$ blobs are shown in Fig. 5. The profiles are seen to obey the SCF scaling at intermediate grafting densities, and to exhibit the enhanced stretching at very high grafting densities, in good
agreement with the full monomer-level MC results of Fig. 1.[4]

In summary, we have shown by MC simulations of long grafted polymer chains that the brush profile and height undergo a rapid transition when the grafting density \( \sigma_g = \sigma R_g^2 > 10 \). Beyond that value, the probability density \( P(z) \) switches rapidly from universal, quasi-parabolic profile to a quasi-rectangular shape, while the fluctuations of the end-monomer altitude drops sharply, pointing to a rather flat brush/solvent interface. These changes are induced by correlations between monomers on neighbouring chains, which are neglected in SCF theory. We conjecture that these correlations will lead to an effective, entropic repulsion between stretched polymers, similar to the
Helfrich interaction \cite{21} between stacked membranes, which in turn may induce an ordering of the grafting centres on the substrate if these centres are mobile, as for copolymers anchored at a liquid-liquid interface, just as the Helfrich repulsion leads to a lamellar phase of stacked membranes. Our results point to new possibilities in experimental realization of chemically active surfaces, because the height distribution of the end monomer controls the chemical activity of the brush. Moreover the activity of such soft surfaces could be controlled by the length of the grafted polymers.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Barbara Capone for the her help with the calculation of effective blob-blob interactions, and to Wilhelm Huck for an enlighting discussion. IC acknowledges a grant from the Marie-Curie FP6 program.

\begin{thebibliography}{9}
\bibitem[1]{1} P. Auroy, L. Auvray, and L. Léger, Phys. Rev. Lett. \textbf{66}, 719 (1991).
\bibitem[2]{2} P. G. de Gennes, Macromolecules \textbf{13}, 1069 (1980).
\bibitem[3]{3} S. T. Milner, T. A. Witten, and M. E. Cates, Macromolecules \textbf{21}, 2610 (1988).
\bibitem[4]{4} R. R. Netz and M. Schick, Macromolecules \textbf{31}, 5105 (1998).
\bibitem[5]{5} K. Binder, Eur. Phys. J. E \textbf{9}, 293 (2002).
\bibitem[6]{6} T. Kreer \textit{et al.}, J. Chem. Phys. \textbf{120}, 4012 (2004).
\bibitem[7]{7} S. Alexander, J. Phys. (Paris) \textbf{38}, 983 (1977).
\bibitem[8]{8} C. Seidel and R. R. Netz, Macromolecules \textbf{33}, 634 (2000).
\bibitem[9]{9} D. M. Jones, A. A. Brown, and W. T. S. Huck, Langmuir \textbf{18}, 1265 (2002).
\bibitem[10]{10} K. Ohno \textit{et al.}, Macromolecules \textbf{38}, 2137 (2005).
\bibitem[11]{11} M. Murat and G. S. Grest, Macromolecules \textbf{22}, 4054 (1989).
\bibitem[12]{12} P. Y. Lai and K. Binder, J. Chem. Phys. \textbf{95}, 9288 (1991).
\bibitem[13]{13} S. Pal and C. Seidel, Macromol. Theory Simul. \textbf{15}, 668 (2006).
\bibitem[14]{14} G.-L. He \textit{et al.}, Macromolecules \textbf{40}, 6721 (2007).
\bibitem[15]{15} D. Frenkel and B. Smit, \textit{Understanding Molecular Simulations} (Accademic Press, London, 2002).
\bibitem[16]{16} G. S. Grest, Macromolecules \textbf{24}, 418 (1994).
\bibitem[17]{17} G. S. Grest and M. Murat, Macromolecules \textbf{26}, 3108 (1993).
\end{thebibliography}
[18] C. Pierleoni, C. I. Addison, J. P. Hansen, and V. Krakoviack, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 128302 (2006).
[19] C. Pierleoni, B. Capone, and J. P. Hansen, to be published (2007).
[20] P. G. Bolhuis, A. A. Louis, J. P. Hansen, and E. J. Meijer, J. Chem. Phys. 114, 4296 (2001).
[21] J. Charvolin, J. F. Joanny, and J. Zinn-Justin, Liquids at Interfaces (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990), Vol. 114.