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Abstract
In this article we find finite field analogues of certain product formulas satisfied by the classical hypergeometric series. We express product of two $\text{2}_1\text{F}_1$-Gaussian hypergeometric series as $\text{4}_3\text{F}_3$- and $\text{3}_2\text{F}_2$-Gaussian hypergeometric series. We use properties of Gauss and Jacobi sums and our earlier works on finite field Appell series to deduce these product formulas satisfied by the Gaussian hypergeometric series. We then use these transformations to evaluate explicitly some special values of $\text{4}_3\text{F}_3$- and $\text{3}_2\text{F}_2$-Gaussian hypergeometric series. By counting points on CM elliptic curves over finite fields, Ono found certain special values of $\text{2}_1\text{F}_1$- and $\text{3}_2\text{F}_2$-Gaussian hypergeometric series containing trivial and quadratic characters as parameters. Later, Evans and Greene found special values of certain $\text{3}_2\text{F}_2$-Gaussian hypergeometric series containing arbitrary characters as parameters from where some of the values obtained by Ono follow as special cases. We show that some of the results of Evans and Greene follow from our product formulas including a finite field analogue of the classical Clausen’s identity.

Keywords: Hypergeometric series, Gauss and Jacobi sums, Hypergeometric series over finite fields
Mathematics Subject Classification: 33C05, 33C20, 11T24

1 Introduction and statement of results
For a complex number $a$, the rising factorial is defined as $(a)_0 = 1$ and $(a)_k = a(a + 1) \cdots (a + k - 1)$, $k \geq 1$. For a non-negative integer $n$, and $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{C}$ with $b_i \not\in \{\ldots, -3, -2, -1, 0\}$, the (generalized) hypergeometric series $\text{n+1}_F_n$ is defined by

$$
\text{n+1}_F_n \left( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n+1} \mid b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \right) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_{n+1})_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_n)_k} \cdot x^k,
$$

which converges absolutely for $|x| < 1$. In 1980s, Greene [12,13] introduced a finite field, character sum analogue of classical hypergeometric series that satisfies summation and transformation properties similar to those satisfied by the classical hypergeometric series. Let $p$ be an odd prime, and let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field with $q$ elements, where $q = p^r$, $r \geq 1$. Let $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ be the group of all multiplicative characters on $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. We extend the
The domain of each $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q}$ to $\mathbb{F}_q$ by setting $\chi(0) = 0$ including the trivial character $\epsilon$. For multiplicative characters $A$ and $B$ on $\mathbb{F}_q$, the binomial coefficient $\binom{A}{B}$ is defined by

$$\binom{A}{B} := \frac{B(-1)}{q} f(A, B) = \frac{B(-1)}{q} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} A(x) \overline{B}(1 - x), \quad (1.2)$$

where $f(A, B)$ denotes the usual Jacobi sum and $\overline{B}$ is the character inverse of $B$. For positive integer $n$, Greene [13] defined the $n+1$-hypergeometric series over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by

$$n+1F_n\left(\begin{array}{c} A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n, x \\ B_1, \ldots, B_n \end{array} | \chi \right) = \frac{q - 1}{x} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q}} \left( \frac{A_0 \chi}{B_1 \chi} \right) \left( \frac{A_1 \chi}{B_1 \chi} \right) \cdots \left( \frac{A_n \chi}{B_n \chi} \right) \chi(x), \quad (1.3)$$

where $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n$ and $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n$ are multiplicative characters on $\mathbb{F}_q$. Hypergeometric series over finite fields are also known as Gaussian hypergeometric series.

There are other finite field analogues of the classical hypergeometric series. For example, see [11,16,18]. For a multiplicative character $\chi$, let $g(\chi)$ denote the Gauss sum as defined in Section 2. For $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n, B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, the McCarthy’s finite field hypergeometric function $n+1F_n^*\chi$ is given by

$$n+1F_n^*\chi \left(\begin{array}{c} A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n \\ B_1, \ldots, B_n \end{array} | x \right) = \frac{1}{q - 1} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q}} \prod_{i=0}^{n} \frac{g(A_i \chi)}{g(A_i)} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{g(B_j \chi)}{g(B_j)} g(\chi) \chi(-1)^{n+1} \chi(x). \quad (1.4)$$

In [18, Proposition 2.5], McCarthy proved that his finite field hypergeometric series is closely related to Greene’s hypergeometric series. To be specific, let $A_0 \neq \epsilon$ and $A_i \neq B_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then for $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we have

$$n+1F_n^*\chi \left(\begin{array}{c} A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n \\ B_1, \ldots, B_n \end{array} | x \right) = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{A_i}{B_i} \right)^{-1} \right] n+1F_n\left(\begin{array}{c} A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n \\ B_1, \ldots, B_n \end{array} | x \right) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q. \quad (1.5)$$

In a recent paper [11], Fuselier et al. introduce another version of hypergeometric series over finite fields in a manner that is parallel to that of the classical hypergeometric series by considering period functions for hypergeometric type algebraic varieties over finite fields. For multiplicative characters $A, B, C$, their $2F_1$-hypergeometric series is given by

$$2F_1 \left[ \begin{array}{c} A_v, B_v \\ C_v \end{array} | x \right] := \frac{1}{f(B, BC)} 2F_1 \left[ \begin{array}{c} A_v, B_v \\ C_v \end{array} | x \right], \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$2F_1 \left[ \begin{array}{c} A_v, B_v \\ C_v \end{array} | x \right] := \frac{q^2}{(q - 1)^2} BC(-1) \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q}} \left( \frac{A}{\chi} \right) \left( \frac{B}{\chi} \right) \left( \frac{C}{\chi} \right) \chi(x) + \delta(x) f(B, BC).$$
Here \( \delta \) denotes the function defined on \( \mathbb{F}_q \) by \( \delta(0) = 1 \) and \( \delta(x) = 0 \) if \( x \neq 0 \). The relationship between the above finite field hypergeometric series and the Greene’s hypergeometric series is the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \binom{A}{B} &= \frac{qBC(-1)^{2-x}}{J(B, BC)} 2F_1 \left( \frac{A, B}{C} \mid x \right) + \delta(x) .
\end{align*}
\] (1.7)

We note that, since we have used the definition of the binomial coefficient given by Greene, the above definition of \( 2F_1 \) series differs from its original definition given in [11] by a factor of \( q^2 \).

Throughout this paper, \( A, B, C, D, E, F, S, \lambda, \psi \) denote multiplicative characters on \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

\section{1.1 Product formulas for Gaussian hypergeometric series}

Greene [13] found several transformation formulas satisfied by the Gaussian hypergeometric series analogous to those satisfied by the classical hypergeometric series. Since then many mathematicians have obtained finite field analogues of transformation and summation identities satisfied by the classical hypergeometric series (see for example [6, 7, 9–11, 18, 22]). Finite field hypergeometric series are known to be related to various arithmetic objects. Some of the biggest motivations for studying finite field hypergeometric functions have been their connections with Fourier coefficients and eigenvalues of modular forms and with counting points on certain kinds of algebraic varieties. Assuming the conjecture of van Geemen and van Straten, McCarthy and Papanicolas [19] related the eigenvalue of the Hecke operator of index \( p \) of a Siegel eigenform of degree 2 and level 8 to \( 4F_3 \). The following identity played a crucial role in their proof:

\[
\begin{align*}
4F_3 \left( \frac{\psi, \varphi, \varphi, \varphi, 1}{\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \varepsilon, 1} \right) = 2F_1 \left( \frac{\psi, \varphi}{\varepsilon, 1} \right) \cdot 3F_2 \left( \frac{\chi_4, \psi, \varphi}{\varepsilon, 1} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

In [7, 8], Evans and Greene expressed \( 3F_2 \)-hypergeometric series as a product of \( 2F_1 \)-hypergeometric series over finite fields from where they deduced certain special values of \( 3F_2 \)-hypergeometric series including a finite field analogue of the Clausen’s identity. In this paper, we prove finite field analogues of certain product formulas satisfied by the classical hypergeometric series. In the following theorem, we express a \( 4F_3 \)-hypergeometric series as a product of two \( 2F_1 \)-hypergeometric series over finite fields.

\textbf{Theorem 1.1} Let \( A, B, C \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \) be such that \( A^2, B^2 \neq \varepsilon, A^2 \neq C, \) and \( B^2 \neq C \). For \( x \neq 1 \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \binom{A^2}{B^2} &= \frac{qAB(4)g(A^2)g(ABC)g(ABC\psi)}{g(B^2)g(B^2)g(A^2Cg(\psi))} 2F_1 \left( \frac{A^2, B^2}{A^2B^2C} \mid x \right) - \frac{(q - 1)AB(4)g(A^2)g(ABC)g(ABC\psi)}{g(B^2)g(B^2)g(A^2Cg(\psi))} \left( 3F_2 \left( \frac{A^2, B^2, ABC}{A^2B^2C} \mid 4x(1 - x) \right) - 2F_1 \left( \frac{A^2, B^2}{A^2B^2C} \mid x \right) \right) \delta(ABC)
\end{align*}
\]
Let $A, B \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ be such that $A^2, B^2, A \overline{B} \neq \varepsilon$, $A^2 \neq C$, and $B^2 \neq C$. For $x \neq \pm 1/2$, we have

$$
2F1\left(\frac{A^2}{C}, \frac{B^2}{C}; x\right) 2F1\left(\frac{A^2}{C}, \frac{B^2}{C}; x\right) = \frac{qAB(4g(A^2)g(ABC)g(ABC\bar{C}))}{g(B^2)g(AB\bar{C})g(C^2)} 4F3\left(\frac{A^2}{C}, \frac{B^2}{C}, AB, AB\phi; \frac{A^2}{C}, \frac{B^2}{C}, C, A^2B^2C; 4x(1-x)\right).
$$

We show that many interesting results proved by Evans, Greene, and Ono follow from the above transformation including a finite field analogue of the Clausen’s classical identity. We have stated Theorem 1.1 with minimum conditions on the parameters so that certain known results can be deduced, and therefore there are some extra terms in the formula. The extra terms will disappear if we put some additional conditions on the parameters. For example, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.2** Let $A, B, C \in \mathbb{F}_q$ be such that $A^2, B^2, A^2B^2, A^2\overline{B}C^2 \neq \varepsilon$, $A^2 \neq C$, and $B^2 \neq C$. For $x \neq 1, \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{align*}
2F1\left(\frac{A^2}{C}, \frac{B^2}{C}; x\right) 2F1\left(\frac{A^2}{C}, \frac{B^2}{C}; x\right) &= \frac{qAB(4g(A^2)g(AB)g(AB\bar{C})g(ABC\bar{C}))}{g(B^2)g(AB\bar{C})g(C^2)} 4F3\left(\frac{A^2}{C}, \frac{B^2}{C}, AB, AB\phi; \frac{A^2}{C}, \frac{B^2}{C}, C, A^2B^2C; 4x(1-x)\right).
\end{align*}$$

If we apply (1.5) to Corollary 1.2, we obtain the following identity satisfied by the McCarthy’s finite field hypergeometric series.

$$\begin{align*}
2F1\left(\frac{A^2}{C}, \frac{B^2}{C}; x\right) 2F1\left(\frac{A^2}{C}, \frac{B^2}{C}; x\right) &= \frac{qAB(4g(A^2)g(AB)g(AB\bar{C})g(ABC\bar{C}))}{g(B^2)g(AB\bar{C})g(C^2)} 4F3\left(\frac{A^2}{C}, \frac{B^2}{C}, AB, AB\phi; \frac{A^2}{C}, \frac{B^2}{C}, C, A^2B^2C; 4x(1-x)\right).
\end{align*}$$

The above identity is a finite field analogue of the following identity [2, (6.1)] satisfied by the classical hypergeometric series:

$$\begin{align*}
2F1\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}, \frac{\beta}{\gamma}; x\right) 2F1\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}, \frac{\beta}{\gamma}; x\right) &= 4F3\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}, \frac{\beta}{\gamma}, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + 1); \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1; 4x(1-x)\right).
\end{align*}$$

The following transformation satisfied by the classical hypergeometric series is equivalent to the Clausen’s identity [2].

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{split}
2F1\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}, \frac{\beta}{\gamma}; x\right) 2F1\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}, \frac{\beta}{\gamma}; x\right) = \frac{2\alpha + 2\beta}{2\alpha + 2\beta} 4x(1-x).
\end{split}
\end{align*} \quad (1.8)
$$

From Theorem 1.1, we prove the following result which is a finite field analogue of (1.8).

**Theorem 1.3** Let $A, B \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ be such that $A^2, B^2, A\overline{B}\phi, AB, AB\phi \neq \varepsilon$. For $x \neq 1, \frac{1}{2}$, we have
\[2F_1 \left( \frac{A, B}{AB\varphi} \mid 4x(1-x) \right)^2 \]
\[= \frac{AB(4)(g(B)^2g(A\varphi)^2)}{qg(A^2)g(B^2)} \cdot 3F_2 \left( \frac{A^2, B^2, AB}{A^2B^2, A\varphi} \mid 4x(1-x) \right) + \frac{g(B)^2g(A\varphi)^2A\varphi(x-x^2)}{q^2g(A^2)g(B^2)}. \]

We note that a finite field analogue of the Clausen's identity was also obtained by Evans and Greene [7, Thm 1.5]. Theorem 1.3 can also be deduced from [7, Thm 1.5] by taking \(S = B, C = AB\varphi\), and then employing Lemmas 2.3 and 2.1.

The following identity expresses a \(4F_3\) classical hypergeometric series as a product of two \(2F_1\) classical hypergeometric series [2, (7.4)].

\[2F_1 \left( \frac{\alpha, \beta}{\gamma} \mid x \right) \cdot 2F_1 \left( \frac{\gamma - \beta, 1 - \beta}{\alpha - \beta - 1} \mid x \right) = (1-x)^{\beta - \alpha - \gamma} \cdot 4F_3 \left( \frac{\alpha, \gamma - \beta, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \gamma - \beta), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \gamma - \beta + 1)}{\alpha + \gamma - \beta} \mid \frac{-4x}{(1-x)^2} \right). \]

(1.9)

In the following theorem, we prove a finite field analogue of (1.9).

**Theorem 1.4** Let \(A, D, E \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\) be such that \(A^2, E^2, A^2D^2E^2, A^2D^2E^3 \neq 0\), \(A^2 \neq D^2\), and \(D^2 \neq E^2\). For \(z \neq 1\), we have

\[2F_1 \left( \frac{A^2, E^2}{D^2} \mid z \right) \cdot 2F_1 \left( \frac{D^2E^2, E^2}{A^2E^2} \mid z \right) = \frac{E^2(z)}{q} (1-z^2) + \frac{ADE(4)A^2D^2E^2(1-z)g(AED)g(AED\varphi)}{g(\varphi)} \cdot 4F_3 \left( \frac{A^2, D^2E^2, ADE, ADE\varphi}{A^2D^2E^2, D^2, A^2E^2} \mid \frac{-4z}{(1-z)^2} \right). \]

If we assume \(z^2 \neq 1\) in Theorem 1.4, then (1.5) yields

\[2F_1 \left( \frac{A^2, E^2}{D^2} \mid z \right) \cdot 2F_1 \left( \frac{D^2E^2, E^2}{A^2E^2} \mid z \right) = \frac{A^2D^2E^2(1-z)^2}{A^2D^2E^2(1-z^2)} \cdot 4F_3 \left( \frac{A^2, D^2E^2, ADE, ADE\varphi}{A^2D^2E^2, D^2, A^2E^2} \mid \frac{-4z}{(1-z)^2} \right) \]

which is an exact finite field analogue of (1.9).

The following is another product formula satisfied by the classical hypergeometric series [2, (6.3)].

\[2F_1 \left( \frac{\alpha, \beta}{\gamma} \mid x \right) \cdot 2F_1 \left( \frac{\alpha, \gamma - \beta}{\gamma} \mid x \right) = (1-x)^{-\alpha} \cdot 4F_3 \left( \frac{\alpha, \beta, \gamma - \alpha, \gamma - \beta}{\gamma, \frac{1}{2}y, \frac{y+1}{2}} \mid \frac{-x^2}{4(1-x)} \right). \]

(1.10)

We prove the following result which is a finite field analogue of (1.10).
Theorem 1.5 Let $A, B, C \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ be such that $A, B, C^2 \neq 1$ and $A, B \neq C^2$. For $x \neq 1$, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
&2F_1 \left( A, B \mid C^2 \right) 2F_1 \left( A, C^2 \mid x \right) = \frac{qAB(-1)\overline{AB}(1-x)c^{C^2}(x)}{g(A)g(B)g(AC^2)g(BC^2)} \delta \left( \frac{x-2}{x-1} \right) \\
&+ \frac{q\psi(-1)\overline{C}(1-x)c\overline{AC}g(BC^2)}{g(\phi)g(AC^2)g(B)} 4F_3 \left( A, B, \overline{AC}^2, \overline{BC}^2 \mid C^2, C, C^2, C, \phi \mid \frac{-x^2}{4(1-x)} \right) \\
&+ \frac{(q-1)\psi(-1)\overline{C}(1-x)c\overline{AC}g(BC^2)}{g(\phi)g(AC^2)g(B)} \left[ \frac{(q-1)}{q} \overline{C}^2 \left( A, B \mid C^2 \mid \frac{-x^2}{4(1-x)} \right) - qAC(-1) \delta(BC^2) \right] \\
&- \frac{(q-1)\overline{C}(1-x)c\overline{AC}(x)c(1-x)}{qg(A)g(BC^2)g(AC^2)} \left( (q-1) \delta(AC) \delta(BC^2) - qBC(-1) \delta(AC) - qAC(-1) \delta(BC^2) \right) \\
&+ (q-1)\psi(-1)\overline{C}(1-x)c\overline{AC}g(BC^2) \delta(BC^2) - qBC(-1)\psi(x-1) \delta(AC) - qAC(-1)\psi(x-1) \delta(BC^2). 
\end{align*}
\]

If we put some additional conditions on the parameters in Theorem 1.5, we readily obtain the following identity.

Corollary 1.6 Let $A, B, C \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ be such that $A, B, C^2, A^2C^2, B^2C^2 \neq 1$ and $A, B \neq C^2$. For $x \neq 1$, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
&2F_1 \left( A, B \mid C^2 \right) 2F_1 \left( A, C^2 \mid x \right) = \frac{qAB(-1)\overline{AB}(1-x)c^{C^2}(x)}{g(A)g(B)g(AC^2)g(BC^2)} \delta \left( \frac{x-2}{x-1} \right) \\
&+ \frac{q\psi(-1)\overline{C}(1-x)c\overline{AC}g(BC^2)}{g(\phi)g(AC^2)g(B)} 4F_3 \left( A, B, \overline{AC}^2, \overline{BC}^2 \mid C^2, C, C^2, C, \phi \mid \frac{-x^2}{4(1-x)} \right) \\
&+ \frac{(q-1)\psi(-1)\overline{C}(1-x)c\overline{AC}g(BC^2)}{g(\phi)g(AC^2)g(B)} \left[ \frac{(q-1)}{q} \overline{C}^2 \left( A, B \mid C^2 \mid \frac{-x^2}{4(1-x)} \right) - qAC(-1) \delta(BC^2) \right] \\
&- \frac{(q-1)\overline{C}(1-x)c\overline{AC}(x)c(1-x)}{qg(A)g(BC^2)g(AC^2)} \left( (q-1) \delta(A) \delta(BC^2) - qBC(-1) \delta(A) - qAC(-1) \delta(BC^2) \right) \\
&+ (q-1)\psi(-1)\overline{C}(1-x)c\overline{AC}g(BC^2) \delta(BC^2) - qBC(-1)\psi(x-1) \delta(A) - qAC(-1)\psi(x-1) \delta(BC^2). 
\end{align*}
\]

If we assume $x \neq 2$ in Corollary 1.6, then (1.5) yields

\[
2F_1 \left( A, B \mid C^2 \right) 2F_1 \left( A, C^2 \mid x \right) = -\overline{A}(1-x)4F_3 \left( A, B, \overline{AC}^2, \overline{BC}^2 \mid C^2, C, C^2, C, \phi \mid \frac{-x^2}{4(1-x)} \right),
\]

which is an exact finite field analogue of (1.10).

1.2 Special values of Gaussian hypergeometric series

Finding special values of Gaussian hypergeometric series is an important and interesting problem. Special values of Gaussian hypergeometric series play an important role in solving many old conjectures and supercongruences. Many special values of $2F_1$- and $3F_2$-Gaussian hypergeometric series are obtained by using different techniques (see for example [1, 3, 4, 8, 13–15, 20–22]). Finding values of Gaussian hypergeometric series containing arbitrary characters at specific values of the argument is a difficult problem. In this article, we have used our product formulas to find special values of $4F_3$- and $3F_2$-hypergeometric series. In the following theorem, we find special values of $4F_3$-hypergeometric series at general values of the argument.
Theorem 1.7 Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Let $A \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q}$ be such that $A^2 \notin \{\varepsilon, \psi, \chi_4, \overline{\chi}_4\}$. For $x \neq 0, 1$, we have

$$(i) \quad _4F_3\left(\begin{array}{c}
A^2, \ A^2\varphi, \ A^2\chi_4, \ A^2\overline{\chi}_4 \\
A^4, \ \psi
\end{array} \mid 4x(1-x) \right) = \frac{\overline{\chi}_4(2)}{g(\varphi)g(A^2\chi_4)g(A^2\overline{\chi}_4)} \left(\frac{1+\varphi(1-x)}{2}\right)
$$

$$\times \left(1+\frac{\varphi(x)}{2}\right) \left(\overline{\chi}_4(1+\sqrt{1-x}) + \overline{\chi}_4(1-\sqrt{1-x})\right) - \frac{A^2\varphi(x)\overline{\chi}_4(2)(x-1)g(\varphi)}{g(A^2\chi_4)g(A^2\overline{\chi}_4)} \delta \left(1-2x/(1-x)^2\right),$$

$$(ii) \quad _4F_3\left(\begin{array}{c}
A^2, \ A^2\varphi, \ A^2\chi_4, \ A^2\overline{\chi}_4 \\
A^4, \ \psi
\end{array} \mid -4x(1-x) \right) = \frac{\overline{\chi}_4(2)}{g(\varphi)g(A^2\chi_4)g(A^2\overline{\chi}_4)} \left(\frac{1+\varphi(1-x)}{2}\right)
$$

$$\times \left(1+\frac{\varphi(x^2-x)}{2}\right) \left(\overline{\chi}_4\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}}\right) + \overline{\chi}_4\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}}\right)\right) - \frac{A^2\varphi(x)\overline{\chi}_4(2)A^4\varphi(x-1)g(\varphi)}{g(A^2\chi_4)g(A^2\overline{\chi}_4)} \delta(1-x^2).$$

We note that the above formulas are well-defined. Since $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $x-1$ is a square if and only if $1-x$ is a square. In (i), if $x$ or $1-x$ is not a square, then the term containing the product $(1+\varphi(x))(1+\varphi(1-x))$ will disappear. In (ii), if $x$ or $1-x$ is not a square, then the term containing the product $(1+\varphi(x^2-x))(1+\varphi(1-x))$ will disappear.

Putting $x = \frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 1.7 (i) we find the following special value of a $4F_3$-Gaussian hypergeometric series.

Corollary 1.8 Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Let $A \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q}$ be such that $A^2 \notin \{\varepsilon, \psi, \chi_4, \overline{\chi}_4\}$. We have

$$_4F_3\left(\begin{array}{c}
A^2, \ A^2\varphi, \ A^2\chi_4, \ A^2\overline{\chi}_4 \\
A^4, \ \psi
\end{array} \mid 1 \right) = \left(\frac{1}{g(\varphi)g(A^2\chi_4)g(A^2\overline{\chi}_4)}\right) \left(2 + \overline{\chi}_4(1+\sqrt{2}) + \overline{\chi}_4(1-\sqrt{2})\right) - \frac{g(\varphi)}{g(A^2\chi_4)g(A^2\overline{\chi}_4)}$$

if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$;

if $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$.}

In [20], Ono found several special values of $2F_1$- and $3F_2$-Gaussian hypergeometric series containing trivial and quadratic characters as parameters by counting points on CM elliptic curves. We find the following special value which generalizes a result of Ono.

Theorem 1.9 Let $A \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_q}$ be such that $A^2, A^6 \neq \varepsilon$. Then we have

$$_3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
A^2, \ A^6, \ A^4\varphi \\
A^8, \ A^4
\end{array} \mid -8 \right) = \frac{\overline{\chi}_4(256)g(A^2)g(A^6)}{g(A^2)} \left(\left(A^3\varphi\right) + \left(A^3\psi\right)\right)^2 - \frac{\overline{\chi}_4(4096)}{q}$$

$$- \frac{q-1}{q^3}\overline{\chi}_4(4096)\varphi(2)g(A^2\varphi)g(A^2\psi)\delta(A^4\varphi).$$

Putting $A = \chi_4$ in Theorem 1.9 we readily obtain the following special value obtained by Ono [20] when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Corollary 1.10 Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We have

$$_3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi, \ \varphi, \ \varphi \\
\varepsilon, \ \varepsilon
\end{array} \mid -8 \right) = \left(\left(\chi_4\varphi\right) + \left(\overline{\chi}_4\varphi\right)\right)^2 - \frac{1}{q}.$$
Suppose that $C$ is a multiplicative character whose order is not equal to 1, 2, 4. Then for $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ we have

$$3F_2 \left( \varphi, \frac{C^2 \varphi}{C^2}, \frac{C \varphi}{C}, -1 \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{q}, & \text{if } C \chi_4 \neq \square; \\ \frac{1}{q} + \frac{2}{q^2} \text{Re}(J(D, \varphi)J(\overline{D} \chi_4 \varphi)), & \text{if } C \chi_4 = D^2. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 1.12** Suppose that $C$ is a multiplicative character which is a square and its order is strictly greater than 4. Then we have

$$3F_2 \left( \overline{C}, \frac{C^3 \varphi}{C^3}, \frac{C \varphi}{C}, -1 \right) = \begin{cases} -\frac{C(4)}{q}, & \text{if } q \equiv 11 \pmod{12}; \\ \frac{C(4)}{q} \left[ q + 2\text{Re}(J(C, \chi_3)J(\overline{C}, \chi_3)) \right], & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}. \end{cases}$$

In the following theorem, we find values of $3F_2$-hypergeometric series at $x = -8$.

**Theorem 1.13** Let $A \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ be such that $A^2, A^6 \neq \varepsilon$ and $A^4 \neq \varphi$. Then we have

$$3F_2 \left( \frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{\varphi}{A^4} \right) = \frac{g(\varphi)}{g(A^2)g(A^4)} \left( \frac{A^2}{A^4} \right)^{-1} \left[ \left( \frac{A}{A^2} \right) + \left( \frac{\varphi A}{A^2} \right) \right] \cdot \left( \frac{A}{A^2} \right) + \left( \frac{\varphi A}{A^2} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{q-1}{q} \left( \frac{A^2}{A^4} \right)^{-1} 3F_2 \left( \frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{\varphi}{A^4} \right) \delta(A^4) - \frac{1}{q^2} \left( \frac{\varphi}{A^4} \right) \left( \frac{A^2}{A^4} \right)^{-1}$$

$$- \frac{(q-1)g(\varphi)}{q^2g(A^2)g(A^4)} \left( \frac{A^2}{A^4} \right)^{-1} \delta(A^4) + q.$$

We remark that Corollary 1.10 also follows from Theorem 1.13 by taking $A = \chi_4$. We also show that the following result of Evans and Greene [7, Thm 1.9] follows from Theorem 1.13.

**Theorem 1.14** Suppose that $A$ is a multiplicative character whose order is not equal to 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8. Then we have

$$3F_2 \left( \varphi, \frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{\overline{A^2}}{A^4} \right) = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\overline{A^2}(4)J(A^2, A^6)}{q^2J(A^2, A^2)} \left[ J(A^2, A)^2 + J(A^2, A\varphi)^2 \right].$$

In the following theorem we find values of $3F_2$-hypergeometric series at $x = 4$.

**Theorem 1.15** Suppose that $S$ is a multiplicative character which is a square and its order is strictly greater than 4. Then we have

$$3F_2 \left( \frac{S^2}{S^2}, \frac{S}{S^2}, \frac{S^2 \varphi}{S^2}, 4 \right) = -\frac{\varphi(-1)S(16)}{q} + \frac{S(16)S(27)J(S, S)}{J(S^2, S)} \left\{ \begin{array}{cl} 0, & \text{if } q \equiv 11 \pmod{12}; \\ \left( \frac{S}{\chi_3} \right) + \left( \frac{S}{\chi_3^2} \right)^2, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}. \end{array} \right.$$
We note that the above result was also proved by Kalita and the second author by counting points on certain algebraic curves over finite fields, see for example [3, Thm 1.7]. Here we present a different proof using our product formulas.

2 Notation and preliminaries

We first recall some definitions and results from [13]. Let \( \delta \) denote the function on multiplicative characters defined by

\[
\delta(A) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } A \text{ is the trivial character;} \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

We also denote by \( \delta \) the function defined on \( \mathbb{F}_q \) by

\[
\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } x = 0; \\
0, & \text{if } x \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

The binomial coefficient \( \binom{A}{B} \) defined in (1.2) satisfies many interesting properties. For example, we list the following from [13]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{A}{\varepsilon} \right) &= \left( \frac{A}{A} \right) = -\frac{1}{q} + \frac{q-1}{q} \delta(A); \\
\left( \frac{\varepsilon}{A} \right) &= -\frac{A(-1)}{q} + \frac{q-1}{q} \delta(A); \\
\left( \frac{A}{B} \right) \left( \frac{C}{A} \right) &= \left( \frac{C}{B} \right) \left( \frac{CB}{AB} \right) - \frac{q-1}{q^2} B(-1) \delta(A) + \frac{q-1}{q^2} AB(-1) \delta(B).
\end{align*}
\]

We next recall some properties of Gauss and Jacobi sums. For further details, see [5]. Let \( \zeta_p \) be a fixed primitive \( p \)-th root of unity in \( \mathbb{C} \). The trace map \( \text{tr} : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_p \) is given by

\[
\text{tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^q + \alpha^{q^2} + \cdots + \alpha^{q^{p-1}}.
\]

Then the additive character \( \theta : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C} \) is defined by

\[
\theta(\alpha) = \zeta_p^{\text{tr}(\alpha)}.
\]

For \( \chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \), the Gauss sum is defined by

\[
g(\chi) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(x) \theta(x).
\]

We let \( T \) denote a fixed generator of \( \mathbb{F}_q^\times \).

Lemma 2.1 ([13, (1.12)]) If \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), then

\[
g(T^k)g(T^{-k}) = qT^k(-1) - (q-1)\delta(T^k).
\]

Lemma 2.2 ([11, (17)]) For \( A \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{g(A)} = \frac{A(-1)g(A)}{q} - \frac{(q-1)}{q} \delta(A).
\]
Lemma 2.3 ([13, (1.14)]) For $A, B \in \hat{F}_q$ we have

$$J(A, B) = \frac{g(A)g(B)}{g(AB)} + (q - 1)B(-1)\delta(AB).$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we can re-write the binomial coefficient in terms of Gauss sums as follows.

Lemma 2.4 If $A, B \in \hat{F}_q$ then we have

$$\binom{A}{B} = \frac{B(-1)g(A)g(B)}{qg(AB)} + \frac{q - 1}{q} \delta(AB).$$

The orthogonality relation for multiplicative characters is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5 ([13, (1.16)]) If $x \in F_q$ then we have

$$\sum_{\chi \in \hat{F}_q} \chi(x) = (q - 1)\delta(1 - x).$$

Another important product formula for Gauss sums is the Davenport–Hasse relation.

Lemma 2.6 (Davenport–Hasse relation [17]) Let $\chi$ be a character of order $m$ on $F_q^\times$, for some positive integer $m$. For character $A$ on $F_q^\times$ we have

$$m - 1 \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} g(\chi^i A) = g(A^m)\overline{A}^m \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} g(\chi^i).$$

We use Davenport–Hasse relation for $m = 2, 3, 4$. When $m = 2$, we have the following identity.

Lemma 2.7 For $A \in \hat{F}_q$, we have

$$g(A)g(\varphi A) = g(A^2)g(\varphi)\overline{A}(4).$$

For $m = 3$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8 Let $\chi_3$ be character of order 3. Then for $A \in \hat{F}_q$, we have

$$g(A)g(\chi_3 A)g(\chi_3^2 A) = g(A^3)g(\chi_3)g(\chi_3^2)\overline{A}(27).$$

For $m = 4$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9 Let $\chi_4$ be character of order 4. Then for $A \in \hat{F}_q$, we have

$$g(A)g(\chi_4 A)g(\varphi A)g(\chi_4^3 A) = g(A^4)g(\chi_4)g(\varphi)g(\chi_4^3)\overline{A}(256).$$

Lemma 2.10 ([13, (1.8)], [18, Thm 2.2]). For $A, B, C, D \in \hat{F}_q$ we have

$$\frac{1}{q - 1} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{F}_q} g(A \chi)g(B \chi)g(C \overline{\chi})g(D \overline{\chi}) = \frac{g(AC)g(AD)g(BC)g(BD)}{g(ABCD)} + q(q - 1)AB(-1)\delta(ABCD).$$
**Lemma 2.11** [11, Thm 8.11] Let $A \in \hat{F}_q^\times$ be such that $A \neq \epsilon, \phi$. For $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{2F}_1 \left[ \begin{array}{c}
A, \\
\phi
\end{array} \left| A\phi \right| x \right] &= \left( \frac{1 + \phi(x)}{2} \right) (A^2(1 + \sqrt{x}) + A^2(1 - \sqrt{x})). \\
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4}
\]

We remark that the formula (2.4) is well-defined and the value of the hypergeometric series will be equal to 0 if $x$ is not a square. We now recall three transformation formulas of Greene.

**Theorem 2.12** [13, Thm. 4.4 (i), (ii), and (iii)] For $A, B, C \in \hat{F}_q^\times$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$,
\[
\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad \mathbf{2F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c}
A, \\
B
\end{array} \left| C \right| x \right) &= A(-1) \mathbf{2F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c}
A, \\
B
\end{array} \left| \frac{1 - x}{x} \right| \right) \\
&+ A(-1) \left( \frac{B}{AC} \right) \delta(1 - x) - \left( \frac{B}{C} \right) \delta(x), \\
(ii) \quad \mathbf{2F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c}
A, \\
B
\end{array} \left| C \right| x \right) &= C(-1) \overline{A}(1 - x) \mathbf{2F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c}
A, \\
\overline{B}
\end{array} \left| \frac{x}{x - 1} \right| \right) \\
&+ A(-1) \left( \frac{B}{AC} \right) \delta(1 - x), \\
(iii) \quad \mathbf{2F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c}
A, \\
B
\end{array} \left| C \right| x \right) &= \overline{B}(1 - x) \mathbf{2F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c}
A, \\
\overline{B}
\end{array} \left| \frac{x}{x - 1} \right| \right) + A(-1) \left( \frac{B}{AC} \right) \delta(1 - x).
\end{aligned}
\]

**Lemma 2.13** For $A, B, C \in \hat{F}_q^\times$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $x \neq 0, 1$, we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{2F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c}
A, \\
B
\end{array} \left| C \right| x \right) &= BC(-1) \overline{A}(x) \mathbf{2F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c}
A, \\
\overline{A}
\end{array} \left| \frac{1}{x} \right| \right).
\end{aligned}
\]

**Proof** Using Theorem 2.12 (i) and (ii) we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{2F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c}
A, \\
B
\end{array} \left| C \right| x \right) &= ABC(-1) \overline{A}(x) \mathbf{2F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c}
A, \\
\overline{A}
\end{array} \left| \frac{x - 1}{x} \right| \right). \\
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.5}
\]

Again using Theorem 2.12 (i) in (2.5) we complete the proof. \hfill \Box

The following lemma gives values of McCarthy’s finite field hypergeometric series at $x = 1$.

**Lemma 2.14** For $A, B, C \in \hat{F}_q^\times$ we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{2F}_1 \left( \begin{array}{c}
A, \\
B
\end{array} \left| C \right| 1 \right)^* &= \frac{g(AC)g(BC)}{g(C)g(AB)g} + \frac{q(q - 1)AB(-1)}{g(A)g(B)g(C)} \delta(AB). \\
\end{aligned}
\]

**Proof** The proof follows directly by using (1.4) and Lemma 2.10. \hfill \Box

To deduce the special values obtained by Evans and Greene from our product formulas, we need to use the fact that $A(-1) = -1$ if $A$ is a non-square character. In the following two lemmas, we prove this fact. We do not know if the result already exists in the literature.
Lemma 2.15 Let \( A \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times \) be of order \( m > 1 \). Then \( A(-1) = -1 \) if and only if \( m \) is even and \( q^{-1/m} \) is odd.

Proof Let \( g \) be a generator of the cyclic group \( \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times \). Since \( m \) is the order of the character \( A \), therefore \( A(g) = \zeta \), a primitive \( m \)-th root of unity. We have \( A(-1) = A(g^m) = \zeta^m \). Suppose that \( A(-1) = -1 \). Then \( (-1)^m = A^m(-1) = 1 \), and hence \( m \) is even. Also, \( \zeta^m = A(-1) = -1 = \zeta^2 \). This gives \( \frac{m}{2} \equiv m \pmod{m} \), and hence \( q^{-1/m} \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \) or equivalently \( q^{-1/m} \) is odd. Conversely, if \( m \) is even and \( q^{-1/m} \) is odd then \( q^{-1/m} \equiv \frac{m}{2} \pmod{2} \). Hence, \(-1 = \zeta^2 = \zeta^{-1} \). This implies that \( A(-1) = -1 \). \( \square \)

Lemma 2.16 If \( A \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times \) is not a square, then \( A(-1) = -1 \).

Proof Let \( m \) be the order of the character \( A \). Then \( G = \langle A \rangle \) is a cyclic subgroup of \( \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times \) of order \( m \). Since \( A \) is not a square character, so \( A^2 \) is not a generator of \( G \). This implies that gcd\((2, m) = 2 \), that is \( m \) is even. We next prove that \( q^{-1/m} \) is odd. Otherwise, \( \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times \) will have an element of order \( 2m \), say \( B \). Then we must have \( \langle A \rangle = \langle B^2 \rangle \). This is a contradiction to the fact that \( A \) is not a square. Hence \( q^{-1/m} \) is odd. Using Lemma 2.15 we complete the proof of the lemma. \( \square \)

3 Proofs of the product formulas
In this section we prove the product formulas satisfied by the Gaussian hypergeometric series. In [23] we defined a finite field analogue of the classical Appell series \( F_4 \), and proved several identities satisfied by \( F_4 \) over finite fields. Our work on Appell series \( F_4 \) plays a crucial role in the proofs of the main results of this article. For \( A, B, C \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times \) and \( x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q \), we define the finite field analogue of Appell series \( F_4 \) by

\[
F_4(A; B, C, C'; x, y) = \frac{1}{(q-1)^2} \sum_{\lambda, \chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times} \frac{g(A\chi \lambda)g(B\chi \lambda)g(C\chi \lambda)g(C'\chi \lambda)}{g(A)g(B)g(C)g(C')} \chi(x)\lambda(y). \tag{3.1}
\]

In [23, Theorem 1.2], we expressed finite field Appell series \( F_4 \) as a product of McCarthy’s \( 2F_1 \)-hypergeometric series under the condition that \( A, B, C \neq \varepsilon \). To deduce some interesting special values of Gaussian hypergeometric series from our product formulas, we need to allow \( C = \varepsilon \). In the following theorem, we restate Theorem 1.2 of [23] and present a brief proof.

Theorem 3.1 Let \( A, B, C \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times \) be such that \( A, B \neq \varepsilon, B \neq C, \) and \( A \neq C \). For \( x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q \) with \( x, y \neq 1 \), we have

\[
F_4 \left( A; B, C, AB\overline{C}; \frac{-x}{1-x}(1-y), \frac{-y}{1-x}(1-y) \right) \cdot \frac{-x}{1-x}(1-y) \cdot \frac{-y}{1-x}(1-y)
= 2F_1 \left( A; B, C \mid \frac{-x}{1-x} \right) 2F_1 \left( A; B, AB\overline{C} \mid -\frac{y}{1-y} \right) \cdot \frac{-x}{1-x}(1-y) \cdot \frac{-y}{1-x}(1-y) \cdot \frac{-x}{1-x}(1-y) \cdot \frac{-y}{1-x}(1-y)
\]

\[
= \frac{q^2AC(-1)\overline{B}C(y)(1-x)B(1-y)}{g(A)g(B)g(C)g(ABC)(1-xy)}. \]
Proof The result holds trivially if $xy = 0$. Therefore, we assume that both $x$ and $y$ are nonzero. From [23, Thm 1.1] we have

$$L := \bar{A}(1-x)\bar{B}(1-y)F_1 \left( A; B; C, AB\bar{C}, \frac{-x}{(1-x)(1-y)}(1-x)(1-y) \right)^*$$

$$= \frac{1}{(q-1)^2} \sum_{\psi, x \in \mathbb{F}_q} 2F_1 \left( \bar{\psi}, A\psi \bar{AB}\bar{C} | 1 \right)^* 2F_1 \left( \bar{\psi}, B\chi \bar{C} | 1 \right)^*$$

$$\times \frac{g(Ag(B)\bar{g}(\bar{x})g(\bar{y})g(\bar{\psi})}{g(A)g(B)} \psi(-x)\chi(-y).$$

Lemma 2.14 yields

$$L = \frac{1}{(q-1)^2} \sum_{\psi, x, \chi} \left( \frac{g(\bar{\chi})g(\bar{\psi})g(\bar{C})g(\bar{\psi})g(\bar{\chi})g(\bar{\psi})}{g(A)g(B)g(Cg(\bar{\psi})g(\bar{\chi})g(\bar{\psi})} + \frac{q(q-1)A\psi \chi(-1)\delta(B\chi \bar{C})}{g(\bar{\chi})g(\bar{\psi})g(\bar{\chi})g(\bar{\psi})} \right) \frac{g(A)g(B)g(\bar{x})g(\bar{\psi})g(\bar{\psi})}{g(A)g(B)}$$

$$\times \frac{g(\bar{\chi})g(\bar{\psi})g(-x)\chi(-y)}{g(A)g(B)g(\bar{C})g(\bar{C})g(\bar{\psi})} + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3,$$

where

$$\alpha_1 = A(-1) \frac{q}{q-1} \sum_{\psi, x, \chi} \frac{g(\bar{\chi})g(\bar{\psi})g(\bar{C})g(\bar{\psi})g(\bar{\chi})g(\bar{\psi})}{g(A)g(B)g(Cg(\bar{\psi})g(\bar{\chi})g(\bar{\psi})} \chi(y) \psi(x) \delta(\bar{C}\chi \bar{\psi}),$$

$$\alpha_2 = B(-1) \frac{q}{q-1} \sum_{\psi, x, \chi} \frac{g(\bar{\chi})g(\bar{\psi})g(\bar{C})g(\bar{\psi})g(\bar{\chi})g(\bar{\psi})}{g(A)g(B)g(Cg(\bar{\psi})g(\bar{\chi})g(\bar{\psi})} \chi(y) \psi(x) \delta(\bar{C}\chi \bar{\psi}),$$

$$\alpha_3 = q^2AB(-1) \sum_{\psi, x, \chi} \frac{\psi(-x)\chi(-y) \delta(\bar{C}\chi \bar{\psi}) \bar{\delta}(\bar{B}\chi \bar{\psi})}{g(A)g(B)g(\bar{C})g(\bar{C})}.$$
Using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.5 we have
\[
\alpha_1 = -\frac{q^3 AC(-1)BC(y)\delta(1-xy)}{g(A)g(B)g(C)g(ABC)} + \frac{q^2 AC(-1)BC(y)\delta(C)}{g(A)g(B)g(C)g(ABC)} \\
+ \frac{q^2 AC(-1)BC(y)}{g(A)g(B)g(C)g(ABC)} - \frac{q(q-1)A(-1)BC(y)}{g(A)g(B)g(C)g(ABC)} \delta(C).
\] (3.6)

From (3.2) we have
\[
L = \frac{1}{(q-1)^2} \sum_{\substack{\psi, \chi \\ \tau \psi \neq BC}} \frac{g(\overline{ABC}\tau)g(BC\psi)g(C\bar{\psi})g(B\bar{C}\chi)g(A\psi)g(B\chi)}{g(A)g(B)g(C)g(ABC)} \\
\times g(\overline{\chi})g(\bar{\psi})(-x)\chi(-y) + \beta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3,
\]
where
\[
\beta = \frac{BC(-1)}{(q-1)^2} \sum_{\psi} \frac{g(\overline{\psi}A\psi)g(BC\psi)g(B\bar{C}\psi)g(C\bar{\psi})g(A\psi)g(B\chi)}{g(A)g(B)g(C)g(ABC)} \\
\times g(\overline{\chi})g(\bar{\psi})(x)\chi(y) + \frac{q-1}{q} \beta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3.
\] (3.7)

Employing Lemma 2.1 on \(g(C\psi g(C\bar{\psi})\) and \(g(\psi)g(\bar{\psi})\) we have
\[
\beta = \frac{q^2 B(-1)}{(q-1)^2} \sum_{\psi} \frac{g(\overline{\psi}A\psi)g(BC\psi)g(B\bar{C}\psi)g(A\psi)}{g(A)g(B)g(C)g(ABC)} BC(y)\psi(xy) - \beta_1 - \beta_2 + \beta_3.
\] (3.8)

where
\[
\beta_1 = \frac{q BC(-1)}{q-1} \sum_{\psi} \frac{g(\overline{\psi}A\psi)g(BC\psi)g(B\bar{C}\psi)g(ABC)}{g(A)g(B)g(C)g(ABC)} \psi(-1)\delta(C\psi),
\]
\[
\beta_2 = \frac{q BC(-1)}{q-1} \sum_{\psi} \frac{g(\overline{A}\psi)g(A\psi)g(BC\psi)g(ABC)}{g(A)g(B)g(C)g(ABC)} C\psi(-1)\delta(\psi),
\]
\[
\beta_3 = BC(-1) \sum_{\psi} \frac{g(\overline{\psi}A\psi)g(BC\psi)g(B\bar{C}\psi)g(ABC)}{g(A)g(B)g(C)g(ABC)} \delta(C\psi)\delta(\psi).
\]

Since \(\beta_1\) is nonzero only when \(\psi = \overline{\psi}\), so after putting \(\psi = \overline{\psi}\) and then using Lemma 2.1 and the fact that \(BC, A\overline{C} \neq \varepsilon\), we obtain
\[
\beta_1 = \frac{q^3 AC(-1)BC(y)\overline{C}(xy)}{(q-1)g(A)g(B)g(C)g(ABC)}.
\] (3.9)
Similarly,

$$\beta_2 = \frac{q^3AC(-1)BC(y)}{(q - 1)g(A)g(B)g(\overline{C})g(\overline{ABC})} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\beta_3 = \frac{q^2A(-1)\overline{BC}(y)}{g(A)g(B)g(\overline{C})g(\overline{ABC})} \delta(C). \tag{3.11}$$

Putting (3.8) and (3.4) into (3.7) we obtain

$$L = \frac{BC(-1)}{q(q - 1)^2} \sum_{\psi, \chi} \frac{g(\overline{ABC})g(\overline{BC})g(\overline{C})g(\overline{A})g(\overline{B})g(\overline{C})g(\overline{ABC})}{g(A)g(B)g(C)g(\overline{ABC})}$$

$$\times g(\psi)g(\overline{C})g(x)g(\chi) - \frac{q - 1}{q} \beta_1 - \frac{q - 1}{q} \beta_2 + \frac{q - 1}{q} \beta_3 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3. \tag{3.12}$$

Multiplying both numerator and denominator by $g(BC)g(\overline{BC})$, and then using Lemma 2.1 and (1.4) we have

$$L = 2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c}
A \\
B \\
C
\end{array} \mid x \right) 2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c}
B \\
\overline{C} \\
\overline{ABC}
\end{array} \mid y \right)$$

$$- \frac{q - 1}{q} \beta_1 - \frac{q - 1}{q} \beta_2 + \frac{q - 1}{q} \beta_3 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3. \tag{3.13}$$

Using Theorem 2.12 (ii) in (3.13), and then combining (3.5), (3.6), (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) we find that

$$L = \overline{A}(1 - x)\overline{B}(1 - y)2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c}
A \\
B \\
C
\end{array} \mid \frac{-x}{1 - x} \right) 2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c}
B \\
A \\
\overline{ABC}
\end{array} \mid \frac{-y}{1 - y} \right)$$

$$- \frac{q^2AC(-1)\overline{BC}(y)}{g(A)g(B)g(\overline{C})g(\overline{ABC})} \delta(1 - xy).$$

Finally, multiplying both sides by $A(1 - x)B(1 - y)$ we complete the proof of the theorem.

$\square$

In the following lemma, we re-write Theorem 3.1 in terms of Greene’s finite field hyper-geometric series.

**Lemma 3.2** Let $A, B, C \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ be such that $A, B \neq \varepsilon$, $B \neq C$, and $A \neq C$. For $z, w \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $z, w \neq 1$ we have

$$2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c}
A \\
B \\
C
\end{array} \mid z \right) 2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c}
A \\
B \\
\overline{ABC}
\end{array} \mid w \right) = \frac{A(-1)g(B)g(\overline{C})g(\overline{ABC})}{qg(B)g(\overline{BC})g(\overline{ABC})} F_4\left(\begin{array}{c}
A; B; C; \overline{ABC}; z(1 - w), w(1 - z)
\end{array} \mid z \right)$$

$$+ \frac{qB(-1)\overline{A}(1 - z)\overline{BC}(w)\overline{C}(1 - w)}{qg(A)g(B)g(\overline{C})g(\overline{ABC})} \delta \left(\frac{1 - w - z}{(1 - z)(1 - w)}\right).$$
The change of variables using (1.5) in Theorem 3.1 we have
\[
2F_1 \left( \frac{A}{C}, \frac{B}{C} \mid -\frac{x}{1-x} \right) 2F_1 \left( \frac{A_{BC}}{A\overline{BC}} \mid -\frac{y}{1-y} \right) = \left( \frac{B}{C} \right) \left( \frac{B}{A\overline{BC}} \right) \\
\times \left[ F_4 \left( A; B; C; A\overline{BC}; \frac{-x}{(1-x)(1-y)}, \frac{-y}{(1-x)(1-y)} \right) + \frac{\chi A^2 C(-1)\overline{BC}(y)A(1-x)B(1-y)}{g(A)g(B)g(\overline{C})g(A\overline{BC})} \delta(1-xy) \right]^{(3.14)}
\]

Applying Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.1, and then putting \( z = \frac{-x}{1-x} \) and \( w = \frac{-y}{1-y} \) in (3.14), we complete the proof.

We now present a proof of our main product formula Theorem 1.1.

Proof The result is trivially true if \( x = 0 \). So, we assume that \( x \neq 0 \). Let
\[
L := 2F_1 \left( \frac{A^2}{C}, \frac{B^2}{C} \mid x \right) 2F_1 \left( \frac{A^2}{A\overline{BC}} \mid x \right).
\]
Using Lemma 3.2, we have
\[
L = \frac{g(B^2)g(\overline{C})g(A\overline{BC})}{qg(B^2)g(B^2\overline{C})g(A^2\overline{C})} F_4 \left( A^2; B^2; C, A^2\overline{B}^2; x(1-x), x(1-x) \right) + I_1,
\]
where
\[
I_1 = \frac{q^2\chi A^2(1-x)C\overline{B}^2(x)}{g(A^2)g(B^2)g(B^2\overline{C})g(A^2\overline{C})} \delta \left( 1 - 2x \right).
\]
Now employing (3.1) into (3.15) we have
\[
L = \frac{1}{q(q-1)^2} \sum_{\chi, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \frac{g(A^2\chi \lambda)g(B^2\chi \lambda)g(\overline{C}\chi \lambda)g(A^2\overline{B}^2\overline{C}\lambda)g(\overline{\lambda})g(\overline{\chi})}{g(A^2)g(B^2)g(B^2\overline{C})g(A^2\overline{C})} \chi \lambda (x - x^2) + I_1.
\]
The change of variables \( \chi \mapsto \overline{\chi} \lambda \) yield
\[
L = \frac{1}{q(q-1)^2} \sum_{\chi, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \frac{g(A^2\chi)g(B^2\chi)g(\overline{C}\chi \lambda)g(A^2\overline{B}^2\overline{C}\lambda)g(\overline{\lambda})g(\overline{\chi})}{g(A^2)g(B^2)g(B^2\overline{C})g(A^2\overline{C})} \chi (x - x^2) + I_1
\]
Using Lemma 2.10, we have
\[
L = \frac{1}{q(q-1)^2} \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \frac{g(A^2\chi)g(B^2\chi)g(A^2\overline{B}^2\chi)}{g(A^2)g(B^2)g(B^2\overline{C})g(A^2\overline{C})} \chi (x - x^2) + I_1 + I_2,
\]
where
\[
I_2 = C(-1) \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^2} \frac{g(A^2\chi)g(B^2\chi)\chi(x - x^2)}{g(A^2)g(B^2)g(B^2\overline{C})g(A^2\overline{C})} \delta(B^2\overline{C})
\]
\[
\delta(B^2\overline{C})
\]
\[
C(-1) \left[ \frac{g(AB\chi g(\overline{AB})AB(x - x') + g(\overline{AB}\psi g(AB\psi)\overline{AB}\psi(x - x'))}{g(AB^2)g(\overline{B}C)g(\overline{A}C)} \right].
\]

(3.18)

The last equality is obtained by putting \( \chi = \overline{AB}, \overline{AB}\psi \). Now using Lemma 2.2 in (3.17), we have

\[
L = \frac{1}{q^2(q - 1)} \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \frac{g(A^2\chi)g(B^2\chi)g(\overline{C}\chi)g(\overline{A}^2\overline{B}^2\chi)g(\overline{A}^2\overline{B}^2\overline{C}\chi)}{g(AB^2)g(\overline{B}C)g(\overline{A}C)} g(\chi)(x - x') + I_1 + I_2 - I_3,
\]

(3.19)

where

\[
I_3 = \frac{1}{q^2(q - 1)} \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \frac{g(A^2\chi)g(B^2\chi)g(\overline{C}\chi)g(\overline{A}^2\overline{B}^2\chi)g(\overline{A}^2\overline{B}^2\overline{C}\chi)}{g(AB^2)g(\overline{B}C)g(\overline{A}C)} g(\chi)(x - x') \delta(A^2B^2\chi^2)
\]

\[
= I_2 + \frac{(q - 1)g(\overline{AB})g(\overline{AB})AB(x - x')}{q^2g(AB^2)g(\overline{B}C)g(\overline{A}C)} [(q - 1)\delta(AB)\delta(AB\overline{C}) - qAB(-1)\delta(AB\overline{C})]
\]

\[
- qABC(-1)\delta(AB)] + \frac{g(\chi)}{q^2g(AB^2)g(\overline{B}C)g(\overline{A}C)} \left[ (q - 1)\delta(AB\psi)\delta(AB\psi) \right].
\]

(3.20)

The last equality is obtained by putting \( \chi = \overline{AB}, \overline{AB}\psi \) and using Lemma 2.1 on \( g(AB)g(AB), g(\overline{AB})g(\overline{AB}), g(\overline{ABC})g(\overline{AB}\psi) \), \( g(AB\psi)g(AB\psi) \) and \( g(AB\psi)g(\overline{AB}\psi) \). Now using Lemma 2.7 on \( g(A^2B^2\chi^2) \), (3.19) reduces to

\[
L = \frac{AB(4)}{q^2(q - 1)} \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \frac{g(A^2\chi)g(B^2\chi)g(\overline{C}\chi)g(\overline{A}^2\overline{B}^2\chi)g(\overline{A}^2\overline{B}^2\overline{C}\chi)}{g(AB^2)g(\overline{B}C)g(\overline{A}C)} g(\chi)(4x - 4x') + I_1 + I_2 - I_3.
\]

Multiplying both numerator and denominator by \( q^2g(\overline{A}^2)g(\overline{AB})g(\overline{AB}\psi) \) and then rearranging the terms we have

\[
L = \frac{q^2AB(4)}{(q - 1)g(B^2)g(\overline{B}C)g(\overline{A}C)} \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \frac{g(A^2\chi)g(\overline{C}\chi)(x)(-1)}{g(A^2)} \left( \frac{g(B^2\chi)g(\overline{A}^2\overline{B}^2\chi)(x)(-1)}{g(AB\psi)} \right) \chi(4x - 4x') + I_1 + I_2 - I_3.
\]

Lemma 2.4 yields

\[
L = \frac{q^2AB(4)}{(q - 1)g(B^2)g(\overline{B}C)g(\overline{A}C)} \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \frac{A^2\chi}{x} \left( \frac{B^2\chi}{A^2B^2\chi} \right) \left( \frac{AB\chi}{C\chi} \right) \left( \frac{- q - 1}{q} \delta(AB\overline{C}) \right) \chi(4x - 4x') + I_1 + I_2 - I_3.
\]
Using (1.3) we have

\[
L = \frac{qAB(4)g(\overline{A})g(\overline{AB})g(\overline{ABC})}{g(B^2)g(B^2C)g(ABCg)} A_2, B_2, AB, AB_{\varphi} \mid x(1 - x) \right] F_3 \left( A_2, B_2, AB, AB_{\varphi} \mid x \right)
\]

\[
- \frac{(q - 1)AB(4)g(A^2)g(AB)g(ABCg)}{g(B^2)g(B^2C)g(ABCg)} A_2, B_2, AB, AB_{\varphi} \mid x \right] \left( 1 - 2x \right) \delta(AB) \]

\[
+ \frac{q^2 g(A^2)g(B^2)g(ABCg)}{g(A^2)g(B^2)g(ABCg)} \left( 1 - 2x \right) \delta(AB) + qAB_{\varphi}(1 - x) A_{\overline{B}}(x - x^2) \]

Finally employing (3.16), (3.18), and (3.20) into (3.21), we complete the proof of the theorem.

We now state a special case of Theorem 1.1 which will be used to prove Theorem 1.3 and to derive certain special values.

**Corollary 3.3** Let \( A, B \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \) be such that \( A^2, B^2, AB_{\varphi} \neq e \). For \( x \neq 1 \) we have

\[
2F_1 \left( A_2, B_2 \mid AB_{\varphi} \right) = \frac{qAB(4)g(A^2)}{g(B^2)g(ABCg)} A_2, B_2, AB, AB_{\varphi} \mid x \right) F_2 \left( A_2, B_2, AB, AB_{\varphi} \mid x \right)
\]

\[
\frac{g(AB_{\varphi})g(AB_{\varphi})g(\overline{AB_{\varphi}})}{q^2 g(B^2)g(ABCg)} A_{\overline{B}}(x - x^2) + \frac{qAB_{\varphi}(1 - x) A_{\overline{B}}(x - x^2)}{g(A^2)g(B^2)g(ABCg)} \delta(AB) \]

\[
\frac{q(AB_{\varphi})(AB_{\varphi})(\overline{AB_{\varphi}})}{q^2 g(A^2)g(B^2)g(ABCg)} A_{\overline{B}}(x - x^2) \left( 1 - 2x \right) \delta(AB) + qAB_{\varphi}(-1). \]

**Proof** The result is trivially true if \( x = 0 \). So, let \( x \neq 0 \). Putting \( C = AB_{\varphi} \) in Theorem 1.1 and using the fact that \( g(x) = -1 \) we have

\[
2F_1 \left( A_2, B_2 \mid AB_{\varphi} \right) = -\frac{qAB(4)g(A^2)}{g(B^2)g(ABCg)} A_2, B_2, AB, AB_{\varphi} \mid x(1 - x) \right) F_2 \left( A_2, B_2, AB, AB_{\varphi} \mid x \right)
\]

\[
\frac{(q - 1)AB(4)g(A^2)}{g(B^2)g(ABCg)} A_2, B_2, AB, AB_{\varphi} \mid x(1 - x) \right) F_2 \left( A_2, B_2, AB, AB_{\varphi} \mid x \right)
\]

\[
\frac{qAB_{\varphi}(1 - x) A_{\overline{B}}(x - x^2)}{g(A^2)g(B^2)g(ABCg)} \left( 1 - 2x \right) \delta(AB) + (q - 1)AB_{\varphi}(AB_{\varphi})(\overline{AB_{\varphi}}) \left( 1 - 2x \right) \delta(AB)
\]

\[
\frac{(q - 1)AB_{\varphi}(AB_{\varphi})(\overline{AB_{\varphi}})}{q^2 g(A^2)g(B^2)g(ABCg)} A_{\overline{B}}(x - x^2) \left( 1 - 2x \right) \delta(AB) + qAB_{\varphi}(-1). \]

Using (1.3) and (2.1) we have

\[
-\frac{qAB(4)g(A^2)}{g(B^2)g(ABCg)} A_2, B_2, AB, AB_{\varphi} \mid x(1 - x) \right) F_3 \left( A_2, B_2, AB, AB_{\varphi} \mid x \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{AB(4)g(A^2)}{g(B^2)g(ABCg)} A_2, B_2, AB, AB_{\varphi} \mid x \right) F_2 \left( A_2, B_2, AB, AB_{\varphi} \mid x \right) - I_1. \]
where

\[ I_1 = \frac{qAB(4)g(\ell)g(\chi)}{g(\ell)g(AB\phi)^2} \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \left( A^2 \chi \right) \left( B^2 \chi \right) \left( AB\chi \right)^x (4x - 4x^2) \delta(AB\phi \chi) \]

\[ = -\frac{g(AB\phi)g(AB\phi)g(AB\phi)}{q^2g(\ell)g(AB\phi)} AB\phi(x - x^2). \tag{3.24} \]

The last equality is obtained by putting \( \chi = \overline{AB}\phi \), and then using Lemma 2.4 and \( g(\varepsilon) = -1 \). Finally, combining (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24), we complete the proof. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** From [12, (4.33)], we have

\[ 2F_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} A^2, B^2 \\ AB\phi \end{array} \middle| x \right) = \frac{B(-1)g(B)^2g(AB\phi)}{g(B)g(AB\phi)} 2F_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} A, B \\ AB\phi \end{array} \middle| 4x(1 - x) \right). \tag{3.25} \]

Using the given conditions \( x \neq 1, \frac{1}{2} \) and \( AB, AB\phi \neq \varepsilon \), Corollary 3.3 yields

\[ 2F_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} A^2, B^2 \\ AB\phi \end{array} \middle| x \right)^2 = \frac{qAB(4)g(\ell)g(AB\phi)}{g(\ell)g(AB\phi)^2} 3F_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} A^2, B^2, AB \\ A^2B^2, AB\phi \end{array} \middle| 4x(1 - x) \right) \]

\[ + \frac{g(AB\phi)g(AB\phi)g(AB\phi)}{q^2g(\ell)g(AB\phi)} AB\phi(x - x^2) + \frac{(q - 1)g(AB\phi)\overline{AB}\phi(x - x^2)}{qg(\ell)g(AB\phi)} AB\phi(-1). \tag{3.26} \]

Combining (3.25) and (3.26), and then employing Lemma 2.1 we complete the proof. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.4** The result is trivially true if \( z = 0 \). Let \( x \neq 0 \). Putting \( C = D^2 \) and \( B = D\overline{E} \) in Theorem 1.1, we have

\[ 2F_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} A^2, D^2\overline{\ell}^2 \\ D^2 \end{array} \middle| x \right) 2F_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} A^2, D^2\overline{\ell}^2 \\ A^2D^2 \end{array} \middle| x \right) \]

\[ = \frac{qADE(4)g(A^2)g(AED\phi)g(AED\phi)}{g(D^2E^2)g(\ell^2g(A^2D^2)^2g(\phi))} 4F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} A^2, D^2\overline{\ell}^2, ADE\overline{\ell}, ADE\phi \\ A^2D^2E^2, D^2, A^2E^2 \end{array} \middle| 4x(1 - x) \right) \]

\[ + \frac{qA^2D^2(1 - x)E^2x}{g(\ell)g(D^2E^2)g(\ell^2g(A^2D^2)^2g(\phi))} \frac{(1 - 2x)}{(x - 1)^2}. \tag{3.27} \]

Using (1.5) we find that

\[ 2F_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} A^2, D^2\overline{\ell}^2 \\ D^2 \end{array} \middle| x \right) 2F_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} A^2, D^2\overline{\ell}^2 \\ A^2D^2 \end{array} \middle| x \right) \]

\[ = \left( \frac{D^2\overline{\ell}^2}{D^2E^2} \right)^{-1} 2F_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} A^2, D^2\overline{\ell}^2 \\ D^2 \end{array} \middle| x \right) 2F_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} D^2\overline{\ell}^2, A^2 \PLL{2} \end{array} \middle| x \right) \]

\[ = \frac{g(D^2\overline{\ell}^2)g(D^2)}{g(\ell^2g(A^2D^2)^2g(\phi))} A^2D^2E^2(1 - x) 2F_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} A^2, E^2 \\ D^2 \end{array} \middle| \frac{x}{x - 1} \right) 2F_1 \left( \begin{array}{c} D^2\overline{\ell}^2, E^2 \\ A^2E^2 \end{array} \middle| \frac{x}{x - 1} \right). \tag{3.28} \]
The last equality is obtained by using Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.12 (ii). Now using (3.28) in (3.27) and Lemma 2.1 we have

\[
2F_1 \left( \frac{A^2}{D^2}, \frac{E^2}{D^2} \mid \frac{x}{x-1} \right) 2F_1 \left( \frac{D^2E^2}{A^2E^2} \mid \frac{x}{x-1} \right) \\
= \frac{AD\hat{E}(4)A^2D^2E^2(1-x)g(AED)g(AED\psi)}{g(q)} \binom{A^2, D^2E^2, ADE, ADE\psi}{A^2D^2E^2, D^2, A^2E^2} \quad _4F_3 \left( \frac{A^2}{D^2}, \frac{D^2E^2}{A^2E^2}, A^2D^2E^2 \mid 4x(1-x) \right) \\
+ \frac{E^2(1-x)E^2(x)}{q} \left( \frac{1-2x}{(x-1)^2} \right).
\]

Finally, putting \( z = \frac{x}{x-1} \), we complete the proof of the theorem. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.5** The result is trivially true if \( x = 0 \). So, let \( x \neq 0 \). Let

\[
L := 2F_1 \left( \frac{A}{C^2}, \frac{B}{C^2} \mid x \right) 2F_1 \left( \frac{A}{C^2}, \frac{C^2B}{C^2} \mid x \right).
\]

Using Theorem 2.12 (i) and (ii) we have

\[
L = A(-1)\hat{A}(1-x)_2F_1 \left( \frac{A}{C^2}, \frac{B}{C^2} \mid x \right) 2F_1 \left( \frac{A}{AB^2C^2}, \frac{1}{1-x} \right). \quad (3.29)
\]

Employing Lemma 3.2 into (3.29) yields

\[
L = \frac{\hat{A}(1-x)g(B)g(\hat{C}^2)g(\hat{ABC}^2)}{qg(B)g(\hat{BC}^2)g(\hat{AC}^2)} \binom{A; B; C^2, AB\hat{C}^2; \frac{x^2}{x-1}, 1}{A; B; C^2, AB\hat{C}^2; \frac{x^2}{x-1}, 1} + I_1, \quad (3.30)
\]

where

\[
I_1 = \frac{qAB(-1)\hat{A}^2B(1-x)\hat{C}^2(x)}{g(A)g(B)g(\hat{AC}^2)g(\hat{BC}^2)} \delta \left( \frac{x-2}{x-1} \right). \quad (3.31)
\]

Using (3.1) in (3.30) we obtain

\[
L = \frac{\hat{A}(1-x)}{q(q-1)^2} \sum_{\chi, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q} \frac{g(A\chi\lambda)g(B\chi\lambda)g(\hat{C}^2\chi)g(\hat{ABC}^2\chi)g(\hat{\lambda})g(\hat{\lambda})}{g(A)g(B)g(\hat{BC}^2)g(\hat{AC}^2)} \chi \left( \frac{x^2}{x-1} \right) + I_1.
\]

Using Lemma 2.10 yields

\[
L = \frac{\hat{A}(1-x)}{q(q-1)} \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \frac{g(A\chi)g(B\chi)g(\hat{C}^2\chi)g(\hat{ABC}^2\chi)g(\hat{\chi})}{g(A)g(B)g(\hat{BC}^2)g(\hat{AC}^2)} \chi \left( \frac{x^2}{x-1} \right) + I_1 + I_2, \quad (3.32)
\]

where

\[
I_2 = AB(-1)\hat{A}(1-x) \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \frac{g(\hat{C}^2\chi)g(\hat{\chi})}{g(A)g(B)g(\hat{BC}^2)g(\hat{AC}^2)} \chi \left( \frac{x^2}{x-1} \right) \delta(2\chi^2).
\]
\[ \frac{qAB(-1)\overline{A}(1-x)C^2(x)C(1-x)}{g(A)g(B)g(BC^2)g(AC^2)}[\varphi(1-x) + 1]. \]  

(3.33)

The last equality is obtained by putting \( \chi = \overline{\varphi}, \overline{\varphi} \), and then using Lemma 2.1 and the fact that \( C^2 \neq \varepsilon \). Now using Lemma 2.2 in (3.32) we have

\[
L = \frac{\overline{A}(1-x)}{q^2(q-1)} \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} g(A\chi)g(B\chi)g(C^2\chi)g(\overline{AC}^2\chi)g(BC^2\chi)g(\overline{AC}^2\chi)g(\chi) \chi \left( \frac{x^2}{x-1} \right) + I_1 + I_2 - I_3,
\]

(3.34)

where

\[
I_3 = \frac{\overline{A}(1-x)}{q^2} \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} g(A\chi)g(B\chi)g(C^2\chi)g(\overline{AC}^2\chi)g(BC^2\chi)g(\overline{AC}^2\chi)g(\chi) \chi \left( \frac{x^2}{x-1} \right) \delta(C^2\chi^2)
\]

\[= \frac{\overline{A}(1-x)\overline{C}(x-1)}{q^2g(A)g(B)g(BC^2)g(\overline{AC}^2)} \left[ g(A\overline{C})g(B\overline{C})g(\overline{AC})g(BC)g(C) + g(A\overline{C}\varphi)g(B\overline{C}\varphi)g(\overline{AC}\varphi)g(BC\varphi)g(C\varphi) \varphi(x-1) \right].
\]

The last equality is obtained by putting \( \chi = \overline{\varphi}, \overline{\varphi} \). Using Lemma 2.1 on \( g(A\overline{C})g(\overline{AC}), g(B\overline{C})g(BC), g(A\overline{C}\varphi)g(\overline{AC}\varphi), g(BC\varphi)g(\overline{AC}\varphi), g(C\varphi)g(BC\varphi) \) and \( g(C\varphi)g(\overline{AC}\varphi) \) with the fact that \( C^2 \neq \varepsilon \), we have

\[
I_3 = I_2 + \frac{(q-1)\overline{A}(1-x)\overline{C}(x-1)C(1-x)}{qg(A)g(B)g(BC^2)g(\overline{AC}^2)} \left[ (q-1)\delta(A\overline{C})\delta(B\overline{C}) - q\overline{AC}(-1)\delta(A\overline{C}) - q\overline{AC}(-1)\delta(B\overline{C}) + (q-1)\psi(1-x)\delta(A\overline{C}\varphi)\delta(B\overline{C}\varphi) - q\overline{AC}(-1)\varphi(x-1)\delta(BC\varphi) - q\overline{AC}(-1)\varphi(x-1)\delta(BC\varphi) \right].
\]

(3.35)

Now using Lemma 2.7 in (3.34) we have

\[
L = \frac{\overline{A}(1-x)\overline{C}(4)}{q^2(q-1)} \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} g(A\chi)g(B\chi)g(C^2\chi)g(\overline{AC}^2\chi)g(BC^2\chi)g(\overline{AC}^2\chi)g(\chi) \chi \left( \frac{x^2}{4(x-1)} \right) + I_1 + I_2 - I_3.
\]

Multiplying both numerator and denominator by \( q^4g(A\overline{C})g(BC\varphi)\varphi(-1) \) and then rearranging the terms we have

\[
L = \frac{q^2\varphi(-1)\overline{C}(4)\overline{A}(1-x)g(AC)g(BC\varphi)}{(q-1)g(\overline{AC})g(BC^2)} \sum_{\chi \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \frac{g(A\chi)g(\overline{C}^2\chi)\chi(-1)}{qg(A)} \left( \frac{g(B\chi)g(C^2\chi)\chi(-1)}{qg(BC^2)} \right) \left( \frac{g(A\chi)g(\overline{C}^2\chi)\chi(-1)}{qg(\overline{AC})} \right) \chi \left( \frac{x^2}{4x-4} \right) + I_1 + I_2 - I_3.
\]

(3.36)
Using Lemma 2.4 and the fact that \(A, BC^2 \neq \epsilon\) in (3.36) we have

\[
L = \frac{q^2 \varphi(-1)C(4\bar{A}(1-x)g(\bar{AC})g(BC\varphi)}{(q-1)g(\varphi)g(AC^2)g(B)} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \binom{A\chi}{\chi} \binom{B\chi}{C^{2}\chi} \left[ \frac{AC^2\chi}{C\chi} - \frac{q-1}{q} \delta(\mathbb{AC}) \right]
\]

\[
\times \left[ \frac{BC^2\chi}{C\varphi\chi} - \frac{q-1}{q} \delta(BC\varphi) \right] \chi \left( \frac{x^2}{4\chi - 4} \right) + I_1 + I_2 - I_3.
\]

Employing (1.3) yields

\[
L = \frac{q\varphi(-1)C(4\bar{A}(1-x)g(\bar{AC})g(BC\varphi)}{g(\varphi)g(AC^2)g(B)} 4F_3 \left( \frac{A, B, \bar{AC}^2, BC^2, \varphi}{C, 1, 1, 1} \right)
\]

\[
+ \frac{(q-1)\varphi(-1)C(4\bar{A}(1-x)g(\bar{AC})g(BC\varphi)}{g(\varphi)g(AC^2)g(B)} \left[ \frac{q-1}{q} 2F_1 \left( \frac{A, B, \varphi}{C^2, 1, 1} \right) \delta(\mathbb{AC}) \delta(\mathbb{BC}) \right]
\]

\[
- 3F_2 \left( \frac{A, B, \bar{AC}^2, BC^2, \varphi}{C, 1, 1, 1} \right) \delta(\mathbb{AC}) - 3F_2 \left( \frac{A, B, \bar{AC}^2, BC^2, \varphi}{C, 1, 1, 1} \right) \delta(\mathbb{BC})
\]

\[
+ I_1 + I_2 - I_3.
\]

Finally combining (3.31), (3.33), (3.35) and (3.37), we complete the proof. \(\square\)

### 4 Values of Gaussian hypergeometric series

In this section, we will deduce the special values of Gaussian hypergeometric series. We first state two results of Greene on special values of Gaussian hypergeometric series.

**Lemma 4.1** ([13, (4.11)]) Let \(A, B \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\). Then we have

\[
2F_1 \left( \frac{A, B}{AB} \mid -1 \right) = \begin{cases} \binom{C}{A} + \binom{\varphi}{A}, & \text{if } B = C^2; \\ 0, & \text{if } B \neq C \end{cases}
\]

**Lemma 4.2** ([13, (4.14)]) Let \(A, B \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\). Then we have

\[
2F_1 \left( \frac{A, B}{A^2} \mid 2 \right) = A(-1) \begin{cases} \binom{C}{A} + \binom{\varphi}{A}, & \text{if } B = C^2; \\ 0, & \text{if } B \neq C \end{cases}
\]

**Proof of Theorem 1.7** Putting \(B = A\chi_A, C = A^4\) in Theorem 1.1 and then using Lemma 2.1 we have

\[
4F_3 \left( \frac{A^2, A^2\varphi, A^2\chi_A, A^2\chi_A \mid 4x(1-x)}{A^4, \varphi} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{A^2\chi_A(4)g(A^2)g(\varphi)g(\bar{A}^2\varphi)^2}{qg(A^2)g(A^2\chi_A)g(A^2\chi_A)} 2F_1 \left( \frac{A^2, A^2\varphi}{A^4 \mid x} \right) 2F_1 \left( \frac{A^2, A^2\varphi}{\varphi \mid x} \right)
\]

\[
- \frac{A^2\varphi(x)A^2\chi_A(4)A^6(1-x)g(\varphi)}{qg(A^2\chi_A)g(A^2\chi_A)} \delta \left( \frac{1-2x}{(1-x)^2} \right).
\]

Using Theorem 2.12 (i) we have

\[
2F_1 \left( \frac{A^2, A^2\varphi}{A^4 \mid x} \right) 2F_1 \left( \frac{A^2, A^2\varphi}{\varphi \mid x} \right)
\]
\begin{align*}
&= \, _2F_1 \left( A^2, A^2 \varphi \mid (1 - x) \right) \, _2F_1 \left( A^2, A^2 \varphi \mid x \right) \\
&= \frac{J(A^2 \varphi, A^2 \varphi)}{q^2} \left( 1 + \varphi(1 - x) \right) \\
&\times \left( \frac{1 + \varphi(x)}{2} \right) \left( \frac{A^4(1 + \sqrt{1 - x}) + A^4(1 - \sqrt{1 - x})}{A^4(1 + \sqrt{x}) + A^4(1 - \sqrt{x})} \right). \\
\end{align*}
(4.2)

The last equality obtained by using (1.7) and Lemma 2.11. Finally, using (4.2), (4.1), Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.1 we complete the proof of (i). Replacing \( x \) by \( \frac{x}{x - 1} \) in Theorem 1.7 (i), we complete the proof of (ii).

\[ \Box \]

**Proof of Theorem 1.9**  Putting \( x = -1, B = A^2 \varphi \) in Corollary 3.3 and then using Lemma 2.1 on \( g(A^2)g(A^2) \) and \( g(A^4)g(A^4) \) we have

\begin{align*}
&= \, _3F_2 \left( A^2, A^6, A^4 \varphi \mid -8 \right) = \frac{\overline{A}(256)g(A^2)^2g(A^6)}{qg(A^2)} \, _2F_1 \left( A^2, A^6 \varphi \mid -1 \right) \\
&= - \frac{\overline{A}(4096)}{q} \left( \frac{q - 1}{q^2} \right) \varphi(2) \overline{A}(4096)g(A^2 \varphi)g(A^2 \varphi)\delta(A^4 \varphi). \\
\end{align*}
(4.3)

We complete the proof by combining Lemma 4.1 and (4.3).

\[ \Box \]

**Proof of Theorem 1.11**  Putting \( A = \chi_4 \) and \( x = \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{2} \) in Corollary 3.3 and then using Lemma 2.1 we have

\begin{align*}
&= \, _3F_2 \left( \varphi, B^2, B \chi_4 \mid -1 \right) = \frac{\overline{\chi}_4(4)g(B^2)\overline{g}(\chi_4)g(B \varphi)}{qg(\varphi)} \, _2F_1 \left( \varphi, B^2 \chi_4 \mid \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{2} \right) \\
&= B \chi_4(-1) \frac{0}{q} + \frac{\overline{\chi}_4(4)(\chi_4 \chi_4 B)}{qg(\varphi)} \left( \frac{D \varphi}{\chi_4} + \frac{D \varphi}{\chi_4} \right), \\
\end{align*}
(4.4)

where \( B \neq \varepsilon, \varphi, \chi_4, \overline{\chi}_4 \). From [22, Thm. 1.11], we have

\begin{align*}
&= \, _2F_1 \left( \varphi, B^2 \chi_4 \mid \frac{1 + \sqrt{2}}{2} \right) \\
&= \chi_4(4)B(-4)g(\chi_4 B)g(\chi_4 g(B \varphi)) \left\{ \begin{array}{cl}
0, & \text{if } B \neq \square; \\
\left( \frac{D}{\chi_4} + \frac{D \varphi}{\chi_4} \right), & \text{if } B = D^2.
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
(4.5)

Since \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \), we have \( \chi_4(4) = \varphi(2) = 1 \). Now, combining (4.5), (4.4), and Lemma 2.1 we find that

\begin{align*}
M := \, _3F_2 \left( \varphi, B^2, B \chi_4 \mid -1 \right) \\
&= \, _3F_2 \left( \varphi, B^2 \chi_4 \mid -1 \right) \\
&= B(-1) \frac{0}{q} + \frac{B(4)\overline{g}(\chi_4)^2g(B \varphi)^2g(B^2)}{qg(\varphi)} \left\{ \begin{array}{cl}
0, & \text{if } B \neq \square; \\
\left( \frac{D}{\chi_4} + \frac{D \varphi}{\chi_4} \right)^2, & \text{if } B = D^2.
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
(4.6)
When \( B = D^2 \) we have
\[
M = -\frac{1}{q} + \frac{D^2(4)}{q^2g(\varphi)}g(\chi_4)^2g(D^2\varphi)^2g(\overline{D^4})\left[\left(\frac{D}{\chi_4}\right) + \left(\frac{D\varphi}{\chi_4}\right)^2\right]
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{q} + \frac{g(\chi_4)g(D\chi_4)g(D\overline{\varphi})}{q^2g(\overline{\chi_4})}\left[\left(\frac{D}{\chi_4}\right) + \left(\frac{D\varphi}{\chi_4}\right)^2\right].
\]

The last equality is obtained by using Lemma 2.7 on \( g(D^2\varphi) \) and Lemma 2.9 on \( g(\overline{D^4}) \). Employing Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.1 we find that
\[
M = \frac{D(-1)g(D)g(D\varphi)g(D\chi_4)}{q^2g(D\chi_4)} + \frac{D(-1)g(\overline{D})g(D\varphi)g(D\overline{\chi_4})}{q^2g(D\overline{\chi_4})} + \frac{1}{q}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.7)

Now using Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.1 we have
\[
J(D, \varphi)J(\overline{D\chi_4}, \varphi) = \frac{ag(D)g(\overline{D\chi_4})}{g(D\varphi)g(D\overline{\chi_4})}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.8)

Using \( g(A) = A(-1)g(\overline{A}) \), (4.8) yields
\[
J(D, \varphi)J(\overline{D\chi_4}, \varphi) = \frac{ag(D)g(\overline{D\chi_4})}{g(D\varphi)g(D\overline{\chi_4})}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

Combining (4.9), (4.8), (4.7) and Lemma 2.1 we find that
\[
M = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{2}{q^2}Re(J(D, \varphi)J(\overline{D\chi_4}, \varphi)),
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.10)

where \( B = D^2 \). By Lemma 2.16 we have \( B(-1) = -1 \) if \( B \) is not a square. Now, using (4.10) in (4.6) we have
\[
3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi, & B^2, & B\chi_4 \\
B^2\varphi, & B^2\chi_4
\end{array}\middle| -1\right) = \begin{cases}
\frac{1}{q}, & \text{if } B \neq \square; \\
\frac{1}{q} + \frac{2}{q^2}Re(J(D, \varphi)J(\overline{D\chi_4}, \varphi)), & \text{if } B = D^2.
\end{cases}
\]

Clearly, \( B \neq \varepsilon, \varphi, \chi_4, \overline{\chi_4} \) if and only if \( B\overline{\chi_4} \neq \varepsilon, \varphi, \chi_4, \overline{\chi_4} \). We complete the proof of the theorem by putting \( B = C\chi_4 \).

**Proof of Theorem 1.12** Let \( S \) be a multiplicative character which is a square, and let its order be strictly greater than 4. Putting \( A = \sqrt{S^3} \), \( B = \sqrt{S} \) and \( x = \frac{2 + \sqrt{3}}{4} \) in Corollary 3.3 and then using Lemma 2.1 we have
\[
\begin{align*}
3F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}
S^3, & S, & \sqrt{S} \\
S^2, & \sqrt{S}\varphi
\end{array}\middle| \frac{1}{4}\right) &= \frac{S(4)g(\overline{S})g(S^2\varphi)^2}{ag(S^3)} \cdot 2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c}
S^3, & S \\
S\varphi
\end{array}\middle| \frac{2 + \sqrt{3}}{4}\right)^2 - \frac{S(4)}{q}. \\
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4.11)

From [22, Theorem 1.10], we have
\[
2F_1\left(\begin{array}{c}
S^3, & \sqrt{S}\varphi \\
S^4
\end{array}\middle| \frac{4}{2 \pm \sqrt{3}}\right) = \frac{S^3(\sqrt{3})S(16)g(S^2\varphi)g(\sqrt{S})}{S^4(\sqrt{3} \pm 2)g(\varphi)g(\sqrt{S})}.
\]
Employing Lemma 2.13 into (4.12), and then using Lemma 2.1, we deduce from (4.11) that

\[ M = 3F_2 \left( \frac{S^3}{S^2}, \frac{S}{S^2}, \frac{S}{S\phi} \mid \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{-S(4)}{q} + \frac{\phi(-1)S(27)S\phi(S\sqrt{S})g(\sqrt{S})^2f(\sqrt{S}, \sqrt{S\phi})^2}{g(S^3)g(\sqrt{S^3})^2g(S)^2} \left[ \left( \frac{S}{\chi_3} \right) + \left( \frac{S}{\chi_3^2} \right) \right]^2, \]

if \( q \equiv 11 \pmod{12} \); \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{12} \).

Using Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.1 in (4.13), for \( q \equiv 1 \pmod{12} \), we have

\[ M = -\frac{S(4)}{q} + \frac{qS(16)S(27)\phi(S\sqrt{S})g(\sqrt{S})^2}{g(S^3)g(\sqrt{S^3})^2g(S)^2} \left[ \left( \frac{S}{\chi_3} \right) + \left( \frac{S}{\chi_3^2} \right) \right]^2. \]

Using Lemma 2.7 on \( g(\sqrt{S^3}) \), and then employing Lemma 2.1 we find that

\[ M = -\frac{S(4)}{q} + \frac{qS(4)S(27)\phi(S\sqrt{S})}{g(S^3)^2g(S)^2} \left[ \left( \frac{S}{\chi_3} \right) + \left( \frac{S}{\chi_3^2} \right) \right]^2. \]

Using Lemma 2.4, Lemma 2.8, and Lemma 2.1 yield

\[ M = -\frac{S(4)}{q^2} \left[ q + \frac{ag(\chi_3^2)^2}{g(S\chi_3^3)g(S\chi_3^2)} + \frac{ag(\chi_3)^2}{g(S\chi_3)g(S\chi_3)} \right]. \]

Using Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.7 we have

\[ J(\sqrt{S}, \chi_3)J(\sqrt{S}, \chi_3) = \frac{ag(\chi_3)^2}{g(S\chi_3)g(S\chi_3)}. \]

Using \( g(A) = A(-1)g(\overline{A}) \), (4.15) yields

\[ J(\sqrt{S}, \chi_3)J(\sqrt{S}, \chi_3) = \frac{ag(\chi_3^2)^2}{g(S\chi_3^3)g(S\chi_3^2)}. \]

Now, employing (4.16), (4.15) and (4.14) into (4.13) we have

\[ 3F_2 \left( \frac{S^3}{S^2}, \frac{S}{S^2}, \frac{S}{S\phi} \mid \frac{1}{4} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{-S(4)}{q}, & \text{if } q \equiv 11 \pmod{12}; \\ \frac{S(4)}{q} \left[ \frac{q}{q} + 2Re(J(\sqrt{S}, \chi_3)J(\sqrt{S}, \chi_3)) \right], & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}. \end{cases} \]

Using (1.3), Lemma 2.4, and Lemma 2.1 we find that

\[ 3F_2 \left( \frac{S^3}{S^2}, \frac{S}{S^2}, \frac{S}{S\phi} \mid \frac{1}{4} \right) = 3F_2 \left( \frac{S}{S^2}, \frac{S}{S\phi} \mid \frac{1}{4} \right). \]

Combining (4.17) and (4.18), and then putting \( S = \overline{C} \) we complete the proof of the theorem. \( \square \)
**Remark 4.3** By Lemma 2.15 we have $\varphi(-1) = -1$ if $q \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ and $\varphi(-1) = 1$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$. To deduce Theorem 1.12 from [8, Theorem 1.3], we need to use the values of $\varphi(-1)$ accordingly.

**Proof of Theorem 1.13** Putting $B = A$, $C = A^4$ and $x = 2$ in Theorem 1.1 we have

$$
\binom{3}{2} F\left(\frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4} \mid 2\right) = \frac{q g(\overline{A^2}) g(A^4) g(A^4 \varphi)}{g(A^2)^2 g(A^6) g(\varphi)} 2 F_{3} \left(\frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{\varphi}{A^4} \mid -8\right) - \frac{(q - 1) g(A^2) g(A^4) g(A^4 \varphi)}{g(A^2)^2 g(A^6) g(\varphi)} 3 F_{2} \left(\frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{\varphi}{A^4} \mid -8\right)\delta(A^2) + \frac{(q - 1) g(\overline{A^2})}{q g(A^2)^2 g(A^6)} \left[\delta(A^2) + q\right].
$$

(4.19)

From (1.3), (2.1) and using (2.3) on $\left(\frac{A^2}{x} \frac{x}{A^4}\right)$ with the fact that $A^2 \neq \varepsilon$, we obtain

$$
\binom{4}{3} F_{3} \left(\frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{\varphi}{A^4} \mid -8\right) = \frac{q}{q - 1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left(\frac{A^2}{x} \frac{x}{A^4}\right) \left(\frac{\varphi}{A^4}\right) x(-8) = \left(\frac{A^2}{A^4}\right)^{2} \binom{3}{2} F_{2} \left(\frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{\varphi}{A^4} \mid -8\right) + \frac{1}{q^2} \left(\frac{\varphi}{A^4}\right).
$$

(4.20)

Using (1.5) and the fact that $A^2 \neq \varepsilon$ we have

$$
\binom{2}{1} F_{1} \left(\frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4} \mid 2\right) = \left(\frac{A^2}{A^4}\right)^{-1} \binom{2}{1} F_{1} \left(\frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4} \mid 2\right) = \frac{g(\overline{A^2}) g(\varphi)}{g(A^2)^2 g(\varphi A^4)} 2 F_{1} \left(\frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4} \mid 2\right).
$$

(4.21)

The last equality is obtained by using Lemma 2.4. Finally, employing (4.21), (4.20), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 4.2 into (4.19) we complete the proof. \[\square\]

**Proof of Theorem 1.14** Using Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.1 in Theorem 1.13 we have

$$
\binom{3}{2} F_{2} \left(\frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{\varphi}{A^4} \mid -8\right) = \frac{g(\varphi) g(A^2)}{q g(A^2)^2 g(\varphi A^4)} + \frac{g(A) g(\overline{A^2}) g(\varphi) g(A^4 \varphi)}{q g(A^2)^2 g(\varphi A^3) g(A^4 \varphi)} + \frac{g(\overline{A^2}) g(A^2) g(\varphi A^4)}{q g(A^2)^2 g(\varphi A^3) g(A^4 \varphi)}.
$$

(4.22)

Now (1.3) and Lemma 2.4 yield

$$
\binom{3}{2} F_{2} \left(\frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{\varphi}{A^4} \mid -8\right) = \frac{g(\varphi) g(A^2)}{g(A^2)^2 g(\varphi A^4)} 3 F_{2} \left(\frac{\varphi}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4} \mid -8\right).
$$

(4.23)

Combining (4.23) and (4.22) we have

$$
\binom{3}{2} F_{2} \left(\frac{\varphi}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4}, \frac{A^2}{A^4} \mid -8\right) = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{g(A) g(\overline{A^2})}{q g(A^2) g(\varphi A^3)} + \frac{g(\overline{A^2}) g(A^2)}{q g(A^3) g(\varphi A^3)}.
$$

(4.24)
Using Lemma 2.3 we find that
\[
\frac{A^2(4)}{q^2}f(A^2, A^6) \left[J(A^2, A)^2 + J(A^2, A^6)^2\right] = \frac{A^2(4)}{q^2} \left[\frac{g(A)^2g(A^6)g(A^2)}{g(A^3)^2} + \frac{g(A^2)^2g(A^6)g(A^2)}{g(A^3)^2}\right] = \frac{g(A)}{gq(A^3)^2}g(A^2) + \frac{g(A)}{gq(A^3)^2}g(A^2),
\]
(4.25)

The last equality is obtained by using Lemma 2.7 on \(g(A^2)\) and \(g(A^6)\), and then we use Lemma 2.1. We now complete the proof by combining (4.25) and (4.24).

**Proof of Theorem 1.15**

Given that \(S\) is a character which is a square and its order is strictly greater than 4. Putting \(A = S^3, B = S^2\varphi, C = S^2\) and \(x = \frac{4}{2+\sqrt{3}}\) in Theorem 1.5 and using the fact that \(g(\varphi) = -1\) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
2F_1\left(\frac{S^3}{S^2}, \frac{S^2\varphi}{S^4} \mid \frac{4}{2+\sqrt{3}}\right) &= \frac{(q-1)S(256)S(\sqrt{3} - 2)S^3(2 + \sqrt{3})}{g(S^3)g(S^2\varphi)^2g(S)} \\
&+ \frac{(q-1)\varphi(-1)S(16)S^3(\sqrt{3} - 2)S^3(\sqrt{3} + 2)g(S)}{g(\varphi)g(S)g(S^2\varphi)} \cdot 4F_2\left(\frac{S^3}{S^4}, \frac{S^2\varphi}{S^4}, \frac{S}{S^2}, \frac{S^2\varphi}{S^2} \mid 4\right) \\
&- \frac{q\varphi(-1)S(16)S^3(\sqrt{3} - 2)S^3(\sqrt{3} + 2)g(S)}{g(\varphi)g(S)g(S^2\varphi)} \cdot 4F_3\left(\frac{S^3}{S^4}, \frac{S^2\varphi}{S^4}, \frac{S}{S^2}, \frac{S^2\varphi}{S^2} \mid 4\right).
\end{align*}
\]
(4.26)

Now using (1.3) and (2.1) we have
\[
4F_3\left(\frac{S^3}{S^4}, \frac{S^2\varphi}{S^4}, \frac{S}{S^2}, \frac{S^2\varphi}{S^2} \mid 4\right) = -\frac{1}{q} \cdot 3F_2\left(\frac{S^3}{S^4}, \frac{S^2\varphi}{S^4}, \frac{S}{S^2} \mid 4\right) + I_1,
\]
(4.27)

where
\[
I_1 = \sum_{\chi} \left(\frac{S^3}{S^3}\chi\right)\left(\frac{S^2\varphi}{\chi}\chi\right)\left(\frac{S}{S^2}\chi\right)\chi(4)\delta(\overline{S^2}\varphi\chi) = -\frac{\varphi(-1)S(16)}{q} \cdot \left(\frac{S^3}{S^2}\varphi\right) = -\frac{S(16)g(\varphi)g(\overline{S^2}\varphi)}{q^2g(S)g(S^3)} = -\frac{\varphi(-1)S(16)g(\varphi)}{qg(S)g(S^3)g(S^2\varphi)}.
\]
(4.28)

The above equality is obtained by putting \(\chi = S^2\varphi\) and then using (2.2), Lemma 2.4, and Lemma 2.1. By combining (4.26), (4.12), (4.27), (4.28) and Lemma 2.1, we have
\[
3F_2\left(\frac{S^3}{S^4}, \frac{S^2\varphi}{S^4}, \frac{S}{S^2} \mid 4\right) = -\frac{\varphi(-1)S(16)g(\varphi)}{g(S^3)g(S^2\varphi)g(S)} + \frac{\varphi(-1)S(1024)S(\overline{S})g(\varphi)g(\overline{S^2}\varphi)g(\sqrt{S}S\sqrt{S^2}\varphi)}{qg(S)g(\sqrt{S}S^2)^2f(\sqrt{S})g(S^3)g(S^2\varphi)^2} \\
\times \left\{0, \left(\frac{S}{\chi_3}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{S}{\chi_3^2}\right)^2\right\}, \text{ if } q \equiv 11 \text{ mod } (12); \quad \text{if } q \equiv 1 \text{ mod } (12).
\]
(4.29)
Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.1 yield

\[
\frac{\varphi(-1)S(1024)S(27)g(S)g(\psi)g(S^2\psi)g(\sqrt{S}, \sqrt{S^2}\psi)^2}{qg(S)g(\sqrt{S}g(S^2\psi)g(\sqrt{S}^2, \sqrt{S^2}\psi)^2} = q\varphi(-1)S(1024)S(27)g(S)g(S^2\psi)g(S^3)^2g(\psi)
\]

The last equality is obtained by using Lemma 2.7 on \( g(\sqrt{S^3}\psi) \) and then using Lemma 2.1. Combining (4.30) and (4.29) we have

\[
3F_2 \left( \frac{S^3}{S^4}, \frac{S^2\psi}{S^2}, \frac{S}{S^2} \mid \frac{4}{4} \right) = \frac{-\varphi(-1)S(16)g(S)}{g(S^3)^2g(S^2\psi)g(S)} + \frac{\varphi(-1)S(16)S(27)g(S)g(S^2\psi)g(S^3)^2g(\psi)}{qg(S)}
\]

\[ \times \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } q \equiv 11 \mod (12); \\ \left[ \left( \frac{S}{\chi_3} \right) + \left( \frac{S}{\chi_3^2} \right) \right]^2, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \mod (12). \end{cases} \]  

(4.31)

Lemma 2.4 and (1.3) yield

\[
3F_2 \left( \frac{S^3}{S^4}, \frac{S^2\psi}{S^2}, \frac{S}{S^2} \mid \frac{4}{4} \right) = \frac{g(S^3)g(\psi)}{g(S^2\psi)g(S)} 3F_2 \left( \frac{S^3}{S^4}, \frac{S}{S^2}, \frac{S^2\psi}{S^2} \mid \frac{4}{4} \right). \]  

(4.32)

Using (4.32) in (4.31) and then using Lemma 2.1 we have

\[
3F_2 \left( \frac{S^3}{S^4}, \frac{S}{S^2}, \frac{S^2\psi}{S^2} \mid \frac{4}{4} \right) = -\frac{\varphi(-1)S(16)}{q} + \frac{S(16)S(27)g(S)g(S^3)}{g(S)^2} \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } q \equiv 11 \mod (12); \\ \left[ \left( \frac{S}{\chi_3} \right) + \left( \frac{S}{\chi_3^2} \right) \right]^2, & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \mod (12). \end{cases} \]  

(4.33)

Using Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.1 we have

\[
\frac{J(S, S)}{J(S^3, S)} = \frac{g(S)g(S^3)}{g(S)^2}. \]  

(4.34)

Finally, combining (4.34) and (4.33) we complete the proof.

\[ \square \]
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