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Renewable production of high density jet fuel precursor sesquiterpenes from *Escherichia coli*
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Aviation fuels are an important target of biofuels research due to their high market demand and competitive price. Isoprenoids have been demonstrated as good feedstocks for advanced renewable jet fuels with high energy density, high heat of combustion, and excellent cold-weather performance. In particular, sesquiterpene compounds (C₁₅), such as farnesene and bisabolene, have been identified as promising jet fuel candidates.

**Results:** In this study, we explored three sesquiterpenes—epi-isozizaene, pentalenene and α-isocomene—as novel jet fuel precursors. We performed a computational analysis to calculate the energy of combustion of these sesquiterpenes and found that their specific energies are comparable to commercial jet fuel A-1. Through heterologous MVA pathway expression and promoter engineering, we produced 727.9 mg/L epi-isozizaene, 780.3 mg/L pentalenene and 77.5 mg/L α-isocomene in *Escherichia coli* and 344 mg/L pentalenene in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. We also introduced a dynamic autoinduction system using previously identified FPP-responsive promoters for inducer-free production and managed to achieve comparable amounts of each compound.

**Conclusion:** We produced tricyclic sesquiterpenes epi-isozizaene, pentalenene and α-isocomene, promising jet fuel feedstocks at high production titers, providing novel, sustainable alternatives to petroleum-based jet fuels.

**Keywords:** Sesquiterpene, Jet fuel, Epi-isozizaene, Pentalenene, α-Isocomene, FPP-responsive promoter engineering
blend with 12% higher volumetric net heat of combustion than conventional jet fuel [13].

In the interest of expanding the scope of multicyclic hydrocarbons as jet fuel alternatives, we sought to produce three novel tricyclic sesquiterpenes: epi-isozizaene, pentalenene and α-isocomene. These sesquiterpenes were primarily identified as antibiotic metabolites [14]. Epi-isozizaene and pentalenene are produced by several Streptomyces species [15–18], while α-isocomene is mainly isolated from plants such as *Isocoma wrightii*, *Berkheya radula* and *Matricaria recutita* [19–21]. Their production and recovery efficiency from the natural producers, however, are very low when considering the quantity and the purity. In this study, we propose that these multicyclic sesquiterpenes can be used as jet fuel blending agents. We predict the specific energy of combustion for these three compounds using computational approaches and we engineer microbes for the scalable production of these jet fuel candidates.

In previous research, the epi-isozizaene (EIZS), pentalenene (PentS) and α-isocomene (MrTPS2) synthases were identified [22–24], and their in vitro activities on farnesyl diphosphate (FPP) were verified. Despite these findings, little has been reported on the synthesis of these sesquiterpenes using conventional industrial hosts, such as *S. cerevisiae* and *E. coli*, to achieve reasonable product titers. Here, we expressed the three sesquiterpene synthases in *E. coli* separately to produce epi-isozizaene, pentalenene and α-isocomene. We utilized both the endogenous methylenedioxythiol phosphate (MEP) pathway and the heterologous mevalonate (MVA) pathway for efficient FPP supply in *E. coli* (Fig. 1). We codon-optimized each of the sesquiterpene synthases and engineered their promoters to boost sesquiterpene production. To reduce production costs associated with chemical inducers and to minimize human supervision during fermentation process, we developed an inducer-free system for production of these sesquiterpenes by introducing previously identified FPP-responsive dynamic promoters [25]. Finally, we introduced the pathway for pentalenene production into *S. cerevisiae*, an important industrial host, and demonstrated production levels comparable to those achieved using *E. coli*.

**Fig. 1** The heterologous mevalonate (MVA) pathway and the native 2-C-methyl-6-erythritol 4-phosphate (MEP) pathway for tricyclic sesquiterpene production. The endogenous MEP pathway consisting of 9 genes condenses pyruvate and G3P and contributes to FPP supplementation. FPP is converted into three sesquiterpenes by epi-isozizaene synthase (EIZS), pentalenene synthase (PentS) and α-isocomene synthase (MrTPS2). Pathway enzymes are AtoB acetoacetyl-CoA thiolase, HMGS HMG-CoA synthase, HMGR HMG-CoA reductase, MK mevalonate kinase, PMK phosphomevalonate kinase, PMD mevalonate diphosphate decarboxylase, DXS 1-deoxy-d-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase, DXR 1-deoxy-d-xylulose 5-phosphate reductoisomerase, ispD 4-diphosphocytidyl-2C-methyl-2-oxo-erythritol synthase, ipk 4-diphosphocytidyl-2-C-methyl-2-oxo-erythritol kinase, ispF 2-C-methyl-6-erythritol 2,4-cyclophosphate synthase, ispG 1-hydroxy-2-methyl-2-(E)-butenyl 4-diphosphate synthase, ispH 1-hydroxy-2-methyl-4-butenyl 4-diphosphate reductase, idi IPP isomerase, and ispA FPP synthase. Pathway intermediates are MVA mevalonate, G3P glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate, MEP 2-C-methyl-6-erythritol 4-phosphate, HMBPP 1-hydroxy-2-methyl-2-(E)-butenyl 4-pyrophosphate, IPP isopentenyl diphosphate, DMAPP dimethylallyl diphosphate, and FPP farnesyl diphosphate.
**Results**

**Energy calculation of hydrogenated multicyclic sesquiterpenes**

Several tricyclic sesquiterpenes \( \text{C}_{15} \) have recently been reported with cetane numbers and cold properties comparable to conventional jet fuels [13]. In addition, the rings and the strained structure of these compounds are generally considered to contribute to increased volumetric energy density.

To further verify their suitability as jet fuel precursors, we calculated the energy of combustion for the hydrogenated products of three sesquiterpenes epi-isozizaene, pentalenene and α-isocomene (epi-isozizaene, pentalenene, and α-isocomane, respectively) using Gaussian 09 software by B3LYP method [26]. First, the isodesmic reactions were designed to calculate the theoretical enthalpy of these hydrogenated compounds. Sequentially, the combustion reaction equation was set to obtain the standard enthalpy of formation and specific energy of compounds. The detailed calculation methods and steps are described in the Additional file 1. The specific energies of epi-isozizaene, pentalenane and α-isocomane were calculated as 42.584 MJ/kg, 42.609 MJ/kg and 42.783 MJ/kg, respectively, which is similar to that of the commercial jet fuel Jet A-1 (42.80 MJ/kg) [27].

In this calculation, we did not include the changing of vaporization enthalpy \( (\Delta_{\text{vap}} H_m) \) of water with temperature. When the heat release of water from gas into liquid is considered, the specific energy of these compounds will increase 2.559 MJ/kg (as \( \Delta_{\text{vap}} H_m \) (100 °C) = 40.63 kJ/mol). In summary, the calculated values of combustion energy of these hydrogenated sesquiterpenes are high enough for these compounds to be promising jet fuel candidates.

**Verification of tricyclic sesquiterpene production in E. coli**

For microbial production of the three jet fuel precursor candidates, the sesquiterpene synthases responsible for production of these sesquiterpenes were identified in the literature. Epi-isozizaene synthase (EIZS) from *Streptomyces coelicolor* [17, 28], pentalenene synthase (PentS) from *Streptomycyes* UC5319 [22, 23], and α-isocomene synthase (MrTPS2) from *Matricaria recutita* (chamomile) [20] were selected among those reported terpene synthases, and expressed in *E. coli* after the gene sequences were codon-optimized to generate coEIZS, coPentS and coMrTPS2. We compared solubility of these codon-optimized enzymes with the original EIZS without codon-optimization as a control. All the terpene synthases tested showed clear bands on SDS-PAGE gel in the whole cell lysate and supernatant, suggesting that they are soluble and well expressed in *E. coli* (Additional file 1: Figure S1).

*Escherichia coli* has an endogenous isoprenoid pathway (MEP pathway) that produces FPP, a substrate for sesquiterpene synthases, even though the natural level of FPP is quite low [29]. To confirm the in vivo activity of these three sesquiterpene synthases, we cultured strains harboring each plasmid expressing these enzymes under control of P\(_{\text{ter}}\). A decane overlay was used for in situ extraction of the product, and the collected decane layer was analyzed using GC–MS. We found that cultures expressing coEIZS and coPentS produced single products which showed fragmentation pattern of epi-isozizaene and pentalenene, respectively (Fig. 2a, b). On the other hand, the culture expressing coMrTPS2 produced a broad spectrum of compounds, including α-isocomene, silphinene, modeph-2-ene, β-isocomene, \((-\)-(E)-β-caryophyllene and α-humulene, with α-isocomene being the major product (Fig. 2c).

To enhance sesquiterpene production, we increased the expression levels of the genes encoding coEIZS, coPentS and coMrTPS2 using a stronger inducible promoter (Fig. 3). The use of the T7 promoter \( (P_{\text{T7}}) \) significantly improved biosynthesis of epi-isozizaene and pentalenene compared to strains harboring a plasmid with P\(_{\text{ter}}\)-driven terpene synthases, probably due to the increased terpene synthase levels. The titers of epi-isozizaene and pentalenene improved 11.8- and 2.4-fold to 2.82 mg/L and 0.45 mg/L, respectively, after 48 h. Interestingly, no significant titer improvement was observed for cells harboring the P\(_{\text{T7}}\)-driven α-isocomene synthase; the titer was still more than an order of magnitude lower than those of pentalenene and epi-isozizaene, which was probably due to low enzyme activity of coMrTPS2.

**High titer sesquiterpene production using the heterologous mevalonate pathway**

The native MEP pathway is tightly controlled by endogenous regulation and may not supply sufficient FPP for high titer sesquiterpene biosynthesis [8]. We have observed a generally low production level of sesquiterpenes with the native MEP pathway in *E. coli* when terpene synthases are overexpressed. Previous studies showed that terpene production can be dramatically improved by introducing a heterologous mevalonate (MVA) pathway as the flux to FPP is significantly increased with the heterologous pathway [7, 30]. The eight-enzyme MVA pathway converts acetyl-CoA into FPP [30], and a system in which the genes encoding those enzymes are harbored on a single medium-copy plasmid (“plasmid 1”) and the terpene synthase is harbored on a high-copy plasmid (“plasmid 2”) is well established (Fig. 4a) [31].

We first used an inducible promoter system to express MVA pathway enzymes and the terpene synthase. We co-transformed the “plasmid 1” containing MVA pathway...
Fig. 2. Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) profile of biosynthetic sesquiterpenes. 

a Retention time and fragmentation pattern of biosynthetic epi-isozizaene (RT: 7.32 min) [17].

b Retention time and fragmentation pattern of produced pantalenene (RT: 6.56 min) [45].

c Retention time and fragmentation pattern of a broad spectrum of products from MrTPS2. The mixed products include silphinene (RT: 6.67 min), modeph-2-ene (RT: 6.90 min), α-isocomene (RT: 6.95 min), β-isocomene (RT: 7.15 min), caryophyllene (RT: 7.25 min), α-humulene (RT: 7.47 min) [20, 46].
genes under IPTG-inducible promoter (P_{lacUV5}) and "plasmid 2" containing the terpene synthase under control of either P_{trc} or P_{T7} into E. coli DH1. This resulted in the "inducible" sesquiterpene production systems: strain CL1601 and CL1605 for epi-isozizaene production, CL1607 and CL1611 for pentalenene production, CL1613 and CL1617 for α-isocomene production (Table 1).

In general, expression of the MVA pathway resulted in considerable production of target sesquiterpenes. As shown in Fig. 4, the titers of epi-isozizaene and pentalenene were significantly increased to 215.8 mg/L and 221.7 mg/L after 72 h in P_{trc} strains CL1601 and CL1607 (i.e., P_{trc} is driving sesquiterpene synthases), respectively, compared to those without the MVA pathway. When P_{T7} was driving the sesquiterpene synthases in strains CL1605 and CL1612, the titers increased by 3.2- and 3.5-fold over those strains under P_{trc} respectively. For α-isocomene biosynthesis, the titer increase achieved by swapping terpene synthase promoters was much smaller than values observed for epi-isozizaene. The α-isocomene titer was 41.8 mg/L in strain CL1613 when P_{trc} was used to drive expression of MrTPS2; the titer increased to 50.2 mg/L in strain CL1617 in which P_{T7} drives MrTPS2. In general, these results confirm that a heterologously expressed MVA pathway is much more efficient in sesquiterpene production than the endogenous MEP pathway. Regarding significant titer improvements observed when terpene synthases were driven by P_{T7}, we reasoned that the strong pulling effect by high terpene synthase levels driven by P_{T7} may provide a driving force for the entire pathway by depleting the intermediate metabolite as substrates for the corresponding enzymes as well as by relieving the inhibition of mevalonate kinase by FPP. From the targeted proteomics data (Additional file 1: Figure S10) and the metabolomics data (Additional file 1: Figure S9), we could see that the level of coEIZS in strain CL1605 was much higher than that in strain CL1601, and the FPP level in strain CL1605 was lower than that in CL1601. This negative correlation indicates that the high terpene synthase levels achieved by stronger, P_{T7}-driven coEIZS gene expression may significantly rewire pathway flux towards the conversion of FPP into epi-isozizaene.

**Introduction of the FPP-responsive dynamic system for sesquiterpene production**

The overproduction of FPP using an inducible heterologous MVA pathway substantially improved sesquiterpene titers. Inducible promoters, however, cannot regulate the intracellular FPP level in response to changes of cell growth or environmental conditions, and they may lead to suboptimal intracellular FPP concentrations, which can be toxic to cells [25]. In addition to the lack of dynamic control, the conventional inducible promoters require both the use of expensive chemicals such as IPTG as inducer and human supervision for induction timing. These make the inducible systems less economically feasible in a working industrial setting. To address these challenges, two FPP-responsive promoters P_{gadE} and P_{rstA} were previously found to be useful in creating an auto-inducible isoprenoid production system [25]. The gadE promoter (P_{gadE}) down-regulates expression of genes under its control when the FPP level is high, while the rstA promoter (P_{rstA}) up-regulates gene expression at high FPP levels. Therefore, using P_{gadE} for expression of FPP-producing enzymes and P_{rstA} for expression of FPP-utilizing enzymes results in a dynamic system to control FPP levels and produce sesquiterpenes at high titers [25]. Guided by these findings, we systematically studied the effects of P_{gadE} and P_{rstA} on tricyclic sesquiterpene production.

We first constructed plasmids expressing each terpene synthase under control of P_{rstA} and confirmed...
their expression by testing terpene production using the endogenous MEP pathway. We observed the production of 0.54 mg/L epi-isozizaene, 0.19 mg/L pentalenene and 0.01 mg/L α-isocomene without adding any inducer; these levels are comparable to the production via the strain with terpene synthases under IPTG-induciblePtrc (Fig. 3). Then, the IPTG-inducible MVA pathway was co-expressed with each of three terpene synthases under control of Ptrsa, Ptr7, or an FPP-responsive promoter Prsa with a high-copy origin (colE1) and ampicillin resistance gene. The specific titers of epi-isozizaene, pentalenene, and α-isocomene in strain CL1602, CL1608, and CL1614 were 125.9 mg/L, 180.4 mg/L, and 8.4 mg/L, respectively. The titers of epi-isozizaene and pentalenene were slightly lower than those of strains with terpene synthases under control of the IPTG-induciblePtrc. They were significantly lower than those from strains that usedPtr7 for terpene synthase expression. It is probably due to much lower terpene synthase level in the strains withPtrsa than those in the strain withPtr7. The titers of α-isocomene, however, were not straightforward

Fig. 4 Sesquiterpene production with the MVA pathway and promoter engineering. a Plasmid architecture. A two-plasmid system for sesquiterpene production. Plasmid 1 contains MVA pathway genes producing FPP from acetyl-CoA either under a PlacUV5 (inducible) or an FPP-responsive promoter PgadE (dynamic) with a medium-copy origin (p15A) and chloramphenicol resistance gene. Plasmid 2 contains a sesquiterpene synthase gene under eitherPtrc, Ptr7, or an FPP-responsive promoter Prsa with a high-copy origin (colE1) and ampicillin resistance gene. b Epi-isozizaene production. c Pentalenene production. d α-isocomene production. Titters of compounds were measured at 24, 48 and 72 h. Promoters for both plasmid 1 and plasmid 2 are listed to describe strains as well as strain names in x-axis. Data represent averages of three biological replicates with standard deviation as error bars.
to explain in the same way as α-isocomene producing strains show more complex products profile as shown in Fig. 2c probably due to the promiscuity of α-isocomene synthase. But the general trend could still be explained by much lower terpene synthase level in the strains with PrstA than those in the strain with PT7.

An FPP-responsive promoter \( P_{gadE} \) was introduced for the MVA pathway gene expression to build a complete dynamic system for sesquiterpene production as previously reported [25]. We prepared sets of six strains for each sesquiterpene synthase by combining two MVA pathway plasmids (either IPTG-inducible or FPP-responsive) and three terpene synthase plasmids (under either IPTG-inducible (Ptrc and PT7) or FPP-responsive (PrstA) promoters) as shown in Table 1; CL1601–CL1606 for epi-isozizaene production, CL1607–CL1612 for pentalenene production, and CL1613–CL1618 for α-isocomene production.

### Table 1 Description of \( E.\ coli \) base strains, plasmids and gene clusters used in this study

| Plasmid reference | Plasmid name | Relevant genotype | References |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|
| JBEI-2704         | placUV5-MevT-MBIS | p15A, CmR | [44] |
| JBEI-2872         | pgadE-MevT-MBIS  | p15A, CmR | [25] |
| JBEI-15857        | pTrc99a-EIZS   | ColE1(pBR322) ori, AmpR | This study |
| JBEI-15862        | pTrc99a-coEIZS  | ColE1(pBR322) ori, AmpR | This study |
| JBEI-15867        | pTrc99a-coPentS | ColE1(pBR322) ori, AmpR | This study |
| JBEI-15865        | pTrc99a-coMrTPS2| ColE1(pBR322) ori, AmpR | This study |
| JBEI-15856        | pTrc99a-his-EIZS| ColE1(pBR322) ori, AmpR, his tag | This study |
| JBEI-15855        | pTrc99a-his-coEIZS | ColE1(pBR322) ori, AmpR, his tag | This study |
| JBEI-15854        | pTrc99a-his-coPentS | ColE1(pBR322) ori, AmpR, his tag | This study |
| JBEI-15853        | pTrc99a-his-coMrTPS2 | ColE1(pBR322) ori, AmpR, his tag | This study |
| JBEI-15849        | prstA-coEIZS   | ColE1(pBR322) ori, AmpR | This study |
| JBEI-15863        | prstA-coPentS  | ColE1(pBR322) ori, AmpR | This study |
| JBEI-15864        | prstA-coMrTPS2 | ColE1(pBR322) ori, AmpR | This study |
| JBEI-15866        | pBbe7a-coEIZS  | ColE1(pBR322) ori, AmpR | This study |
| JBEI-15858        | pBbe7a-coPentS | ColE1(pBR322) ori, AmpR | This study |
| JBEI-15859        | pBbe7a-coMrTPS2 | ColE1(pBR322) ori, AmpR | This study |
| JBEI-15861        | pRSLeu2d-coPentS | 2µ ori, pBR322 ori, Leu2d | This study |

### Strain name

| Strain name | Description | References |
|-------------|-------------|------------|
| CL1601      | JBEI-2704 + JBEI-15862 | This study |
| CL1602      | JBEI-2704 + JBEI-15849 | This study |
| CL1603      | JBEI-2872 + JBEI-15849 | This study |
| CL1604      | JBEI-2872 + JBEI-15862 | This study |
| CL1605      | JBEI-2704 + JBEI-15866 | This study |
| CL1606      | JBEI-2872 + JBEI-15866 | This study |
| CL1607      | JBEI-2704 + JBEI-15867 | This study |
| CL1608      | JBEI-2704 + JBEI-15863 | This study |
| CL1609      | JBEI-2872 + JBEI-15863 | This study |
| CL1610      | JBEI-2872 + JBEI-15867 | This study |
| CL1611      | JBEI-2704 + JBEI-15858 | This study |
| CL1612      | JBEI-2872 + JBEI-15858 | This study |
| CL1613      | JBEI-2704 + JBEI-15865 | This study |
| CL1614      | JBEI-2872 + JBEI-15864 | This study |
| CL1615      | JBEI-2872 + JBEI-15864 | This study |
| CL1616      | JBEI-2872 + JBEI-15865 | This study |
| CL1617      | JBEI-2704 + JBEI-15859 | This study |
| CL1618      | JBEI-2872 + JBEI-15859 | This study |
| CL1619      | \( S.\ cerevisiae \) EPY300 harboring JBEI-15861 | This study |
pentalenene (CL1607, CL1608, CL1611) production, the use of dynamic promoter for the expression of the MVA pathway resulted in product titers that were less dependent on the type of promoter driving the terpene synthase (Fig. 4b, c). When the IPTG-inducible MVA pathway was used, there was a significant improvement in terpene production with strains containing the \( P_{\text{PT7}} \)-driven terpene synthases over strains with \( P_{\text{ptrc}} \) or \( P_{\text{rstA}} \) driving epipseudoazaene and pentalenene synthase expression (Fig. 4). This suggests that the cyclization of FPP to sesquiterpene products by the terpene synthase is the primary bottleneck in the pathway, and the activity or expression level of terpene synthase is a primary determinant of the titer when the IPTG-inducible MVA pathway was used. In contrast, when the dynamic promoter was used for FPP production via the MVA pathway for epi-pseudoazaene (CL1604, CL1603, CL1606) and pentalenene (CL1610, CL1609, CL1612) production, there was smaller fluctuation in terpene production among strains with three different promoters driving terpene synthase expression (Fig. 4b, c). This result makes sense as the dynamic promoter (\( P_{\text{gadE}} \)) can regulate the MVA pathway gene expression and, therefore, the metabolic flux to maintain the FPP level balanced. The combination of \( P_{\text{gadE}} \)-MVA with \( P_{\text{rstA}} \) or \( P_{\text{ptrc}} \)-driven sesquiterpene synthase enabled the cell to balance FPP production and consumption and, therefore, to auto-regulate the levels of this toxic intermediate as proven by the measured intracellular FPP levels (Additional file 1: Figure S9). The combination of \( P_{\text{PT7}} \)-driven sesquiterpene synthases with \( P_{\text{gadE}} \)-MVA also achieved a balanced flux and considerable epi-pseudoazaene titer, but it is considered mainly due to the high expression level of coEIZS (Additional file 1: Figure S10). Because the FPP-responsive dynamic system auto-regulates the production pathway in case of FPP depletion or FPP over-accumulation, the dynamically controlled system can improve sesquiterpene production through balanced FPP levels.

For epi-pseudoazaene production, three strains with dynamically regulated MVA pathway showed comparable titers to the highest producing inducible system (CL1605) after 48 h, but their production level at 24 h was about half of those with the inducible MVA pathway (Fig. 4b). This trend, however, does not apply to pentalenene-producing system. For pentalenene production, the highest titer was achieved using strain CL1611 which has the MVA pathway under control of \( P_{\text{lacUV5}} \) and coPentS under control of \( P_{\text{PT7}} \). The inducible system with \( P_{\text{PT7}} \) driving the terpene synthase (CL1611) showed a significantly higher titer than the other pentalenene strains. With the exception of CL1611, the titers at 24 h were more than twofold higher in strains with a dynamically regulated MVA pathway than in those with the inducible MVA pathway. It is an interesting result and probably caused by the difference in the activity and the availability of two terpene synthases. Since FPP is a toxic intermediate, the FPP consumption efficiency by terpene synthase affects the balance of the entire pathway as well as the titer. The kinetics of EIZS and PentS are not available (and it would be out of scope of this work), but the differences in the activity and the relative amount of soluble enzyme shown in the SDs-PAGE results of these two terpene synthases (Additional file 1: Figure S1) would be responsible for the different trends in FPP accumulation and production formation in these strains.

Strain CL1609 had the full dynamic system and produced the second highest pentalenene titer and the highest OD\(_{600}\) (Additional file 1: Figure S8). This indicates more sensitive stress-responsive dynamic regulation in CL1609. The cell growth of CL1610 and CL1612 (Additional file 1: Figure S7) restricted compound biosynthesis. For \( \alpha \)-isocome production, the worst performer was strain CL1614 containing \( P_{\text{lacUV5}} \)-MVA and \( P_{\text{rstA}} \)-coMrTPS2, probably due to the low level of terpene synthase expression driven by weak promoter (\( P_{\text{rstA}} \)) leading to intracellular FPP accumulation and the MVA pathway expression unbalanced. This unbalance was avoided in strain CL1615 by the dynamic regulation of the MVA pathway under \( P_{\text{gadE}} \) and this strain showed a decent level of product. Interestingly, the best producer was strain CL1616, which contains the dynamically controlled MVA pathway and the IPTG-inducible terpene synthase (\( P_{\text{ptrc}} \)-coMrTPS2). A similar system with a stronger IPTG-inducible promoter driving the terpene synthase (CL1618) showed a comparable titer at 24 h, but the production did not improve much after 24 h and showed a large standard deviation. These observations suggest FPP production in CL1618 was not well balanced for terpene production. It is possible that the initial consumption of FPP by highly abundant terpene synthase in the early production stage triggered rapid FPP biosynthesis under dynamic promoter (\( P_{\text{gadE}} \)). This, however, may have resulted in a rapid accumulation of FPP and have disrupted the MVA pathway through unidentified process to halt FPP production. In summary, when an inducible promoter (\( P_{\text{lacUV5}} \)) drives expression of the genes encoding the MVA pathway, we observed that the combination with \( P_{\text{PT7}} \)-driven terpene synthase, which is expected to have the highest terpene synthase level, achieved the highest sesquiterpene production.

Combinations of the dynamic promoter (\( P_{\text{gadE}} \))-driven MVA pathway and gene coEIZS or coPentS driven by either inducible or dynamic promoters resulted in reasonably high epi-pseudoazaene and pentalenene titers regardless of terpene synthase promoter strength, showcasing the advantages of a dynamic system over
an inducible system. Although the fully dynamic system containing both FPP-responsive promoters ($P_{gadE}$ and $P_{radA}$) did not lead to the highest production, large amounts of sesquiterpenes were still obtained for epi-isozizaene (549.7 mg/L) and pentalenene (608.5 mg/L).

**Omics-aided evaluation of IPTG-inducible and dynamic promoter systems**

We investigated changes in MVA pathway enzyme expression and metabolite production in response to the dynamic promoters compared to the IPTG-inducible system using various omics technologies. We chose epi-isozizaene production strains with 4 different combinations of promoters (strains CL1601 and CL1604 with $P_{trc}$-coEIZS, and CL1605 and CL1606 with $P_{T7}$-coEIZS; Table 1) and collected their metabolomics (Additional file 1: Figure S9) and proteomics (Additional file 1: Figure S10) data at six time points over 48 h. The concentrations of acetyl-CoA decreased after 4 h in all four strains, and MVA concentrations, which are the highest among the pathway metabolites monitored, increased at early production phases. MVA levels then slowly decreased in all four strains, but MVA concentration reached much higher level in strains with $P_{T7}$ (CL1605 and CL1606) than the other strains before it started to decrease. Interestingly, while the MVA levels in strain CL1605 were almost 20 times higher than that in strain CL1601 at the late fermentation phase, other metabolites such as Mev-P, IPP/DMAPP, and FPP showed similar levels in CL1601 and CL1605. From the proteomics analysis shown in Additional file 1: Figure S10, we found that CL1601 expressed the lowest levels of MVA pathway proteins overall among these four strains, which may explain the poor production titer observed from this strain. Compared to CL1601, the enzyme levels were significantly higher in CL1605. Especially for proteins AtoB, HMGS and HMGR, strain CL1605 expressed about 5–10 times more enzymes than CL1601. This may explain the markedly higher levels of MVA in CL1605. It is interesting to observe that the use of strong promoter ($P_{T7}$) for terpene synthase gene expression improved both the level of coEIZS enzyme as intended and the expression of upstream pathway enzymes. In our speculation, this is related to the deficiency of FPP in early stage (Additional file 1: Figure S9) due to high level of terpene synthase. FPP is an essential metabolite for *E. coli* growth and when the strain experiences a deficit of FPP, it pushes the pathway to produce more FPP for survival. As a result, the first three enzymes of the MVA pathway were expressed more. However, the next two enzymes (MK and PMK) levels remained quite low as previously observed [44] and this may have limited the conversion of MVA to the next intermediates.

We observed dynamic changes in intracellular FPP concentrations from strain CL1604 harboring $P_{gadE}$-MevT-MBIS (JBEI-2872) and $P_{trc}$-CoEIZS plasmids (JBEI-15862) (Additional file 1: Figure S9F). In this case, the FPP level decreased in the first 2 h, increased between 2 and 6 h, decreased again between 6 and 8 h, then eventually kept slowly accumulating in the cell. This observation is consistent with previous findings [25]. However, we did not observe a similar trend in strain CL1606, which harbors JBEI-2872 and JBEI-15866 expressing epi-isozizaene synthase under a strong promoter ($P_{T7}$), where FPP concentration was constantly maintained at very low level. This behavior might have resulted from the strong promoter ($P_{T7}$) expressing excessive epi-isozizaene synthase, which depleted intracellular FPP in CL1606. The low FPP level de-represses $P_{gadE}$ and makes it behave constitutively in CL1606. This is consistent with generally higher levels of the first three enzymes, which are directly influenced by the promoter strength, in strain CL1606 over strain CL1604 (Additional file 1: Figure S10).

**Large-scale production, purification and NMR characterization of the biosynthetic sesquiterpenes**

Epi-isozizaene and pentalenene are not commercially available in large quantities. Experimental testing of these compounds for jet fuel specification, however, requires a large quantity of these compounds. Therefore, it is required to demonstrate a larger-scale fermentation of these sesquiterpenes producers to achieve this goal. To demonstrate scalability and the downstream purifications process, we performed a large-scale production of these jet fuel precursors in 4-L flasks with batch culture (TB medium with 0.4% glycerol) using the inducer-free dynamic *E. coli* strains CL1603 and CL1609 for epi-isozizaene and pentalenene, respectively. During large-scale production, the cultures were overlayed with 20% nonane instead of decane to facilitate sesquiterpene extraction, as the boiling temperature of nonane (151 °C) is lower than that of decane or dodecane (174.1 °C or 216.2 °C, respectively), which makes it easier to recover the product from the overlay by evaporation of the solvent.

About 0.8 L and 0.5 L of nonane were collected from the epi-isozizaene biosynthesis cultures and the pentalenene biosynthesis cultures, respectively, using a separatory funnel. The products were recovered from the nonane overlay by a series of purification steps as described in “Methods” section. We used NMR to identify the product and to check its purity (i.e., the specificity of terpene synthase toward the expected product) and confirmed these two compounds with the purities over 90% and assigned their NMR spectra (Additional file 1: Figures S2–S5).
Finally, we prepared about 1 mL epi-isozizaene and 0.66 mL pentalenene with over 90% purity from large-scale batch cultures (4 L for epi-isozizaene and 2.5 L for pentalenene), which contains 1.08 g epi-isozizaene and 0.66 g pentalenene. The recovery efficiencies were about 49% and 43%, respectively, when considering the production titer from GC analysis.

Sesquiterpene production in yeast

The Baker’s yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, has been the host of choice for industrial production of various bio-products and widely used for terpene biosynthesis. Therefore, in addition to production in *E. coli* we sought to demonstrate the production of one of these sesquiterpenes, pentalenene in *S. cerevisiae* (Fig. 5).

![Fig. 5 Pentalenene production in S. cerevisiae.](image)

Fig. 5 Pentalenene production in *S. cerevisiae*. a The *S. cerevisiae* host engineered for the production of antimalarial precursor amorphadiene was re-engineered to produce pentalenene by overexpressing pentalenene synthase (PentS) in a plasmid. ERG10 acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase, IDI1 isoprenyl diphosphate isomerase, ERG20 farnesyl diphosphate synthase. Genes in blue arrows (upc2-1, tHMGR, ERG20 and PentS) are overexpressed. b Production titer and c cell growth (OD600) with CSM medium and YEP medium supplemented with 1.8% galactose/0.2% glucose.

We employed a previously reported host strain engineered for high FPP production (EPY300) [32] (Fig. 5a). To efficiently convert FPP to pentalenene, we introduced the codon-optimized gene *coPentS* under the control of a galactose promoter on a high-copy plasmid (2 μ) containing the auxotrophic Leu2d marker, as previously used to achieve high levels of amorphadiene [33]. We used both defined CSM medium and non-selective, rich, mixed carbon YEP medium supplemented with 1.8% galactose/0.2% glucose for culturing the yeast cells. Consequently, higher cell density and production were achieved using the rich medium. After 120 h, 344.7 mg/L pentalenene was obtained in mixed carbon YEP medium, which was 17.5-fold higher than that in CSM medium (Fig. 5b).
Discussion
In this work, we proposed three tricyclic sesquiterpenes as promising jet fuel precursors. The calculated combustion energies of the target sesquiterpenes show positive results for their use as jet fuels. Additionally, we engineered microbes to produce these jet fuel precursors at high titers to demonstrate the potential of microbial jet fuel manufacturing. In particular, we developed an inducer-free system using previously identified FPP-responsive promoters and obtained a respectable amount of sesquiterpenes, allowing future application to the industrial fermentation process.

The engineered MVA pathway is a very efficient FPP supply platform for sesquiterpene biosynthesis and the increased FPP flux drives the implementation of FPP-responsive promoters (P<sub> gadE </sub> and P<sub>T7</sub> promoters) used in this study, and this implies that there are more factors that affect efficiency of the dynamically regulated system and it may require additional adjustment to achieve balanced enzyme expression and optimized pathway performance. Finally, we demonstrated the production of one of these sesquiterpenes in <i>S. cerevisiae</i>, a microbial host that is widely used for biofuel production. Much work including terpene synthase enzyme engineering (for a better activity and specificity) and culture medium and process optimization (for scale-up) would be needed to improve the titer, yield, and productivity of these sesquiterpenes in <i>S. cerevisiae</i>.

Tricyclic sesquiterpenes are expected to have several advantages over the already commercialized acyclic biojet fuel precursor, farnesene (or Biofene<sup>®</sup> from Amyris) as versatile feedstocks to jet fuels. First, they have higher densities than farnesene (around 1.0 g/mL vs 0.81 g/mL of farnesene), which deliver higher volumetric net heats of combustion [13, 34]. Considering small increases in volumetric energy density can have major impacts on profitability of airlines, especially for long haul flights, higher density fuel would be preferred over lower density fuels. Also, while farnesene has four double bonds and requires four molar equivalents of dihydrogen to generate the actual fuel molecule (saturated hydrocarbons, farnesanes), tricyclic sesquiterpenes have only one double bond and require just one dihydrogen molecule per fuel molecule, making the refining process less expensive.

In the past decade, the farnesene biosynthetic pathway has been extensively studied and optimized [35–37]. Currently, a production of $2.2/kg has been achieved and the estimated price will become even lower in the near future [38]. Since tricyclic sesquiterpenes and farnesene share a large portion of their biosynthetic pathways, we expect that an engineering strategy similar to that used to produce farnesene could be applied to achieve high productivity and yield of tricyclic terpenes. In this study, we have achieved only about a gram scale production to demonstrate the production, isolation, and characterization. This amount, however, might be not quite enough for any jet fuel specification testing as it requires much larger amounts of fuel candidates to test standard jet fuel specification such as ASTM D1655. The successful demonstration of the downstream purification processes we presented in this report would be a fundamental work for producing large quantities of sesquiterpene compounds in future. More studies including production scale-up will be also needed for further validation of these new jet fuel compounds.

Conclusions
Sesquiterpenes and sesquiterpene-derived molecules are promising alternatives to aviation fuels. In the present study, we proposed tricyclic sesquiterpene compounds, epi-isozizaene, pentalenene and α-isocomene as jet fuel precursors to expand the scope of bio-jet fuels. The sesquiterpene synthases were introduced into <i>E. coli</i> with either endogenously or heterologously expressed isoprenoid pathways, and the production pathway was engineered to increase the product titer. IPTG-inducible and dynamically controlled systems were compared for sesquiterpene production; proteomics and metabolomics analysis of these systems explain the different behaviors of various strains. In addition, we generated a yeast strain for one of the jet fuel targets, pentalenene, to explore an alternate host for large scale fermentation. In summary, the engineered strains produced tricyclic sesquiterpenes at high titers with 727.9 mg/L epi-isozizaene, 780.3 mg/L pentalenene and 77.5 mg/L α-isocomene in <i>E. coli</i> in a batch culture with 10 g/L glucose, and 344 mg/L pentalenene in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> with YPG medium. The current study will provide a solid ground toward sustainable alternatives to high energy density fuels for the long-term global energy demand.

Methods
Plasmids and strains construction
All plasmids and strains used in this study are available on the JBEI public registry (https://public-registry.jbei.org) and listed in Table 1 along with a brief description of production strains. <i>E. coli</i> DH10B was used for plasmid construction, and <i>E. coli</i> DH1 and <i>E. coli</i> DH1 (DE3) were used as hosts for terpene production and protein expression. Plasmids containing eight genes for the mevalonate pathway (<i>araB</i>, <i>hmgs</i>, <i>hmgr</i>, <i>mk</i>, <i>pmk</i>, <i>pmd</i>, <i>idi</i>, <i>ispA</i>) were introduced into <i>E. coli</i> host, enabling production
of FPP from acetyl-CoA. Five genes (hmgs, hmgr, mk, pmk, pmd) originated from \textit{S. cerevisiae} and three genes (atoB, idi, ispA) are native \textit{E. coli} genes. For sesquiterpene production in \textit{E. coli}, four terpene synthase genes \{the original \textit{EIZS} from \textit{Streptomyces} and three \textit{E. coli} codon-optimized genes, \textit{coEIZS}, \textit{coPentS} and \textit{coMrTPS2}\}, which were amplified from \textit{S. cerevisiae} templates synthesized by IDT (Iowa, USA) were cloned into pTrc99 at Ncol and Xbal sites, to yield the plasmids JBEI-15857, JBEI-15862, JBEI-15867 and JBEI-15865, respectively. To insert an N-terminal His-tag for protein purification, PCR was performed using the primers that included a His tag sequence and the PCR product was cloned into pTrc99 vector yielding plasmids JBEI-15856, JBEI-15855, JBEI-15854 and JBEI-15853, respectively. Genes \textit{coEIZS}, \textit{coPentS} and \textit{coMrTPS2} were cloned into vector pPrstA-RFP [25] via the isothermal assembly method [39] using a commercial Gibson Assembly® Master Mix kit (New England Biolabs) to yield plasmids prstA-coEIZS, prstA-coPentS and prstA-coMrTPS2, respectively. Plasmid pBB7a-coEIZS, pBB7a-coPentS and pBB7a-coMrTPS2 were also constructed using vector pBb7a-RFP between Ndel and Xhol sites. For pentalenene production in \textit{S. cerevisiae}, \textit{coPentS} was cloned into vector pRSLeu2d [31] between the \textit{Nhel} and \textit{Xhol} sites. All the promoter strength was indicated by RFP florescence as shown in Additional file 1: Figure S7.

Sesquiterpene production in \textit{E. coli}

For MVA pathway expression, \textit{E. coli} DH1 was co-transformed with an MVA pathway plasmid JBEI-2704 (for the IPTG-inducible system) or JBEI-2872 (for the dynamic system) and a plasmid harboring one of each terpene synthase gene. Pre-cultures of \textit{E. coli} strains were diluted 1:100 into EZ rich defined medium (Teknova, Hollister, CA) containing 10 g/L glucose as carbon source and ampicillin (100 µg/mL) and chloramphenicol (30 µg/mL) for plasmid maintenance. The cell cultures were grown at 37 °C until \textit{OD}_{600} reached ~0.6, and induced with 0.5 mM IPTG while shaking at 30 °C; 20% decane was added for in situ product extraction. At 24 h, 48 h and 72 h time points, 10 µL of the decane layer was taken and diluted into 990 µL of ethyl acetate for GC–MS analysis. Caryophyllene was used as an internal standard for the production of epi-isozizaene and pentalenene, while pentalenene was used as internal standard for the production of α-isocomene since the strain with MrTPS2 also produces detectable amount of caryophyllene.

Detection of sesquiterpenes with GC–MS

The decane fraction from the culture medium was analyzed by GC–MS (Thermo Trace Ultra with PolarisQ MS) with a TR-5MS column (30 m × 0.25 mm ID × 0.25 µm film) using the following conditions: inlet at 250 °C, 1.1 mL min⁻¹ constant flow, transfer line at 300 °C, ion source at 200 °C, scan \textit{m/z} 50–300. Oven: 100 °C for 4 min, ramp at 30 °C min⁻¹ to 250 °C, hold for 1 min. Samples of 1 µL were injected into the GC. The products were analyzed in total ion monitoring mode and selective ion monitoring mode (\textit{m/z} 204).

Large-scale production, purification, and characterization of the biosynthetic sesquiterpenes

For the preparation of sesquiterpene compounds episoizizaene and pentalenene, the \textit{E. coli} strains for sesquiterpene production were cultured at 30 °C for 72 h after induction. One liter of Terrific Broth (Difco) with 4% glycerol was used for batch production in a 4-L flask overlaid with 200 mL of nonane to facilitate sesquiterpene extraction. The nonane overlay was collected from the culture medium using a separatory funnel and dried over sodium sulfate. Nonane was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the crude sesquiterpenes were loaded onto a silica gel column for column chromatography using hexanes as the mobile phase. The product containing fractions were pooled and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was obtained as colorless oil and further purified by preparative silver ion thin-layer chromatography (Ag-TLC) for nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis. Silver nitrate was adsorbed onto thin-layer silica by methods described previously [40]. TLC plates (500 µm layer thickness) were sprayed with an AgNO₃ solution (5% w/v in methanol), dried at 110 °C for 10 min and allowed to cool at room temperature directly before use. Plates were developed in hexane and the pure product was dissolved in n-pentane and concentrated under reduced pressure. The structure of the isolated epi-isozizaene and pentalenene dissolved in CDCl₃ was analyzed by 1H and 13C NMR using a 500 MHz NMR spectrometer (Bruker DRX-500). NMR spectrum of biosynthetic epi-isozizaene: \(^1\text{H}\) NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.22 (ddp, \(J = 17.0, 9.1, 1.2\) Hz, 1H), 2.13–2.03 (m, 1H), 1.83 (dd, \(J = 7.4, 5.3\) Hz, 1H), 1.80–1.76 (m, 2H), 1.76–1.71 (m, 1H), 1.62–1.54 (m, 1H), 1.48 (dd, \(J = 10.5, 5.3\) Hz, 1H), 1.43 (t, \(J = 1.5\) Hz, 3H), 1.40 (d, \(J = 10.0, 1.9\) Hz, 1H), 1.38–1.34 (m, 1H), 1.26–1.21 (m, 1H), 1.18 (tdd, \(J = 11.5, 3.4, 2.1\) Hz, 1H), 1.00 (s, 3H), 0.98 (s, 3H), 0.92 (d, \(J = 6.4\) Hz, 3H) (Additional file 1: Figure S2). \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 143.0, 127.5, 52.7, 47.2, 40.5, 39.7, 37.0, 32.5, 28.7, 28.4, 27.3, 25.1, 24.4, 14.1, 12.9 (Additional file 1: Figure S3). NMR spectrum of biosynthetic pentalenene: \(^1\text{H}\) NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.15 (h, \(J = 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 2.66 (ddh, \(J = 9.3, 4.5, 2.1\) Hz, 1H), 2.54 (d, \(J = 9.4\) Hz, 1H), 1.86–1.80 (m, 1H), 1.78 (ddd, \(J = 12.5, 6.1, 3.0\) Hz, 1H), 1.73 (dd, \(J = 13.1, 1.0\) Hz, 1H), 1.62 (h, \(J = 1.4\) Hz, 4H), 1.37–1.23 (m, 1H)
Metabolite analysis and targeted proteomics

All metabolites were analyzed by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS; Agilent Technologies 1200 Series Rapid Resolution HPLC system and Agilent Technologies 6210 time-of-flight mass spectrometer (TOF–MS) (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) on a SeQuant® ZIC®-pHILIC column (150 mm length, 2.1-mm internal diameter, and 5-µm particle size). Targeted proteomics was performed using an Agilent 1290 liquid chromatography system coupled to an Agilent 6460 QQQ mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) via selected reaction monitoring (SRM) at the same points of the samples of metabolites. The sample preparation and detailed method of metabolite analysis and targeted proteomics are presented in Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods.

Pentalenene production in S. cerevisiae

Pre-cultures of S. cerevisiae strain harboring the plasmid pRsLeu2d-coPentS were used to inoculate at an OD$_{600}$ of 0.05 into 5 mL of Complete Supplemental Mixture (CSM; MB Biomedicals, Solon, OH) medium or rich mixed carbon yeast extract peptone (YEP; 1% yeast extract, 2% peptone) medium supplemented with 1.8% galactose/0.2% glucose and overlayed with 10% decane. At 72 h, 96 h and 120 h, 10 µL decane layer was sampled and diluted 100 times into ethyl acetate with caryophyllene as the internal standard. For pentalenene quantification, the samples were analyzed by GC–MS as described above.

Energy calculation

The thermodynamic parameters of the two compounds were calculated by means of density functional theory (DFT) method using Gaussian 09 software. All the DFT calculations were performed using the hybrid B3LYP method with the 6-311+G(2d,p) basis set level [26, 41], which can provide a nice balance between cost and accuracy, and is known to perform very well for the prediction of geometries of compounds. The specific energy was calculated following the steps of the isodesmic reaction to get the standard enthalpy of formation, as well as considering the combustion reaction equation to get the enthalpy values of combustion. Isodesmic reactions have been found to be a more accurate computational approach than atomization enthalpy calculations or heat of atomization calculations as demonstrated previously in calculating enthalpy of formation of formaldehyde [42]. It has also been used in other bond energy calculation [43]. The detailed calculation methods and steps are shown in the Additional file 1: Supplementary Methods.

Additional file

Additional file 1. Supplementary figures and supplementary methods. Figure S1: Purification and characterization of sesquiterpene synthases. Figures S2 and S3: $^{1}$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of biosynthetic epí-isozizane. Figures S4 and S5: $^{1}$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of biosynthetic pentalenene. Figure S6: Epí-isozizane production in E. coli/OH1 via the native MEP pathway catalyzed by the epí-isozizane synthase. Figure S7: The RFP florescence indicating promoter strength. Figure S8: OD$_{600}$ of E. coli strains for sesquiterpenes production. Figure S9: Metabolite analysis. Figure S10: Targeted proteomic analysis of pathway enzymes.
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