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Chitosan-Based Layer-by-Layer Assembly: Towards Application on Quality Maintenance of Lemon Fruits
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We fabricated a novel chitosan-carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) layer-by-layer assembly system to facilitate the postharvest quality of the fresh produce. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), TA-XT2 texture analyzer, water vapor permeability (WVP) analyzer, and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were applied to compare the properties of chitosan and layer-by-layer assembly. The strategy involved the optimization of water vapor permeability, puncture strength, and elasticity properties of multiplayer polymer coating. Results showed that the lemon (Citrus limon (L.) Burm. f.), coated by chitosan-CMC layer-by-layer assembly, displayed significantly higher lemon firmness and vitamin C content with excellent morphology, as well as inhibited weight loss and flesh browning. This study could provide support for quality maintenance in the fruit and vegetable industry and make a significant contribution to the utilization of the natural polysaccharide as a viable resource.

1. Introduction

Recently, due to the increasing awareness of human health and environment pollution issues, the development of natural biodegradable polymers for the quality maintenance of fresh produce has been increasingly attractive [1]. Natural polysaccharides have been proved to be promising natural products and widely used as the edible coating of fresh fruits and vegetables [2]. Polysaccharide edible coatings are based on edible and biodegradable materials since they are sustainable, plentiful, environmental friendly, and biocompatible and have low toxicity, which meets the demand for human health free of chemical residues and environmental concerns [3].

Recently, the research on green and sustainable polymers has been increasingly attractive, such as alginate [4], polarclean [5], bamboo [6], cellulose [7], Tamisolve [8], and biocoatings [9]. Chitosan, the biodegradable polymer made from the deacetylation of natural polysaccharide chitin [10], exhibits the intrinsic antimicrobial activity and has been widely utilized in fresh produce [11]. Chitosan-based edible coating, due to its selective permeability to O2 and CO2 and excellent film-forming capacity [12], was demonstrated to be effective in inhibiting the decay development and in maintaining the quality of fresh produce [13]. Additionally, carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) is the anticipated material due to its nontoxicity, biodegradability, and good film-processing properties [14].

Layer-by-layer (LBL) is a novel nanoscale approach, which mainly leverages the electrostatic deposition of charged materials (such as the nanoparticles, polymers, and polyelectrolytes) onto the assembly surface [15, 16].
Additionally, other charged materials, such as DNA, proteins, polysaccharides, and nanoparticles, could be used in the LBL deposition approach, which could be used in combination with one another to provide various LBL assemblies [17]. In recent years, the LBL electrostatic deposition approach was applied to prepare biodegradable edible coatings which resulted in the notable quality improvement of the fresh produce [18]. Multilayered LBL edible coating, based on pectin and chitosan, was proved to prolong the shelf life of fresh-cut papaya [19]. LBL edible coating, made of alginate and chitosan, was reported to notably improve the microbial and physiological attributes of fresh-cut melon [20]. Lemon (Citrus limon (L.) Burm. f.) is the third most top species among all citrus worldwide after orange and mandarin and is cultivated in several countries all over the world [21]. The mature season of the lemon fruit is averagely from August to December in every year. Lemon fruit is abundant in carotenoids, flavonoids, minerals, vitamins, essential oils, and dietary fibres. The valuable biological properties, antioxidant, and free radical scavenging potentials, as well as the health beneficial effects of lemon have been abundantly been evident in several studies; And, also it was proved that the antimicrobial and antiinflammatory properties of lemon contributed to lowering the risk of cardiovascular disorders and certain types of cancer [22–25]. Therefore, it is used worldwide not only as a fresh ready-to-eat fruit, but also as ingredients in beverages, desserts, salads, and many meat and vegetable dishes and even herbs. Nevertheless, the major postharvest loss of fresh lemons resulted from the physiological disorders, weight loss, and fungal infection, which eventually lead to the commercial revenue losses, including nutrients loss, water loss, and decay development during storage and transportation [25]. The limited application of chemical residues and urgent demand of natural biodegradable materials motivated the new breakthrough in the industry of fresh produce such as lemon.

The efficacy of a chitosan-CMC LBL assembly, formed by CMC and chitosan, on postharvest quality maintenance of the lemon fruit was evaluated in the present study. The characteristic properties, including thickness, water vapor permeability (WVP), puncture strength (PS), and elasticity of LBL assembly, were investigated, and the representative quality attributes of the lemon fruit, including weight loss, total soluble solid (TSS), and titratable acidity (TA), were studied. This study may promote the application of CMC-chitosan or other polysaccharides on the postharvest quality maintenance of the fresh produce.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. Chitosan powder (molecular weight: 161.16 kDa; degree of deacetylation 95%) have been purchased from Zhejiang Golden Shell Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Yuhuan city, Zhejiang province, China). Glacial acetic acid and oxalic acid dihydrate of analytical grade have been purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Ascorbic acid and 2,6-dichlorophenolindophenol sodium salt and CMC of analytical grade (molecular weight 242.16kDa) have been purchased from Shanghai Runjie Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Lemon fruits were hand-harvested from the commercial orchard (Quzhou city, Zhejiang province, China). After harvest, the fruits were transferred to the laboratory in 2 h, manually sorted into uniform fruit size, and without any pest, or mechanical damage. All experiments were repeated three times for the reproducibility of the materials and the performance.

2.2. Preparation of Chitosan-CMC Assembly. Chitosan was dissolved in the acetic acid solution (1.0%, v/v) to make the chitosan solution (1.5%, w/v). CMC solution (1.5%, w/v) was prepared by dissolving CMC-sodium salt in sterilized water. The chitosan and CMC solutions were heated at 40°C and stirred for 20 min until absolutely dissolved. The chitosan-CMC LBL assembly was prepared by 1.5% chitosan and 1.5% CMC solution, and the pH was adjusted to pH 6.3. The resulting LBL assembly was air-dried and homogenously distributed in a flat plate.

2.3. Properties of Chitosan-CMC Assembly. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), coating mechanical properties, and water vapor permeability were measured according to the methodology proposed by Poverenov et al. [26].

2.3.1. Mechanical Properties. The thickness of the assembly was determined using the quartz crystal microbalance (QCM). The mechanical properties of the LBL assembly were determined by the TA-XT2 Texture Analyzer (Shanghai, China) with the stainless cylinder probe TA-52 (2 mm in diameter) at a speed of 1.0 mm s⁻¹. The puncture strength (PS) was measured by dividing the maximum force needed to break the assembly by the thickness, and the elasticity was defined as a distance that the assembly stretched before being broken.

2.3.2. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR). The FTIR spectra of the LBL assembly were obtained by recording the spectra from 400 cm⁻¹ to 4,000 cm⁻¹, with the resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and 100 scans in average.

2.3.3. Water Vapor Permeability (WVP). The WVP was determined according to the ASTM E-96 method (2005). The beaker full with 10 mL of distilled water was sealed with the assembly placed in desiccators containing dry silica gel (50% RH; 23°C). The beaker was allowed for 2 h to reach the equilibrium state and weighed every 8 h. The water vapor transmission rate (WVTR, g h⁻¹ m⁻²) was calculated by plotting the weight loss versus time in a linear regression (r ≥ 0.99) and dividing the slope by the exposed area of assembly (m²):

$$WVP = \frac{WVTR \times L}{\Delta P},$$

(1)
where \( L \) is the mean of assembly thickness (mm) and \( \Delta P \) is the partial water vapor pressure difference (kPa) across the two sides of the assembly.

2.3.4. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). The morphology of the LBL assembly was examined using a field emission SEM operated at 10 kV. The samples were mounted on metal stubs and coated with gold using a Polaron sputter coater.

2.4. LBL Assembly Coating Study. Lemon (Citrus limon (L.) Burm. f.) fruits were randomly divided into three groups. Then, the lemons were immersed in distilled water (control, CT), 1.5% chitosan, and chitosan-CMC LBL assembly (LBL) for 5 min. After completely air-dried, the lemons were stored in polyethylene terephthalate clamshell containers at 0°C for 20 days. Lemon samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen for later investigation of quality attributes. Three biological replications were carried out in the whole experiment.

2.5. Lemon Quality Attributes

2.5.1. Weight Loss. The results of weight loss were determined from 30 randomly selected lemons:

\[
W(\%) = \frac{m_0 - m_1}{m_0} \times 100\% ,
\]

where \( W \) is the mean weight loss, \( m_0 \) is the initial fruit weight before storage (g), and \( m_1 \) is the weight of the fruit after storage (g).

2.5.2. Lemon Firmness. Textural properties of the lemon fruit were assessed using the puncture test with a TA-XT2i Texture Analyzer. The maximum force required to puncture the lemon was recorded, and the ATA-52 5-mm diameter stainless steel cylinder probe at a speed of 0.5 mm s\(^{-1}\) was used for penetration to a depth of 10 mm [20].

2.5.3. Lemon Vitamin C. Determination of vitamin C content in lemon was determined by the 2,6-dichloroindophenol titrimetric method. The lemon extract was filtered, and titrated with 2,6-dichloroindophenol until the pink color persisted for 15–20 s [27]. Vitamin C content was determined by PAL-BX/ACID F5.

2.5.4. Lemon Color. The lemon peel color of five fruits for each treatment was evaluated with a Chroma Meter (CR-400).

2.5.5. Lemon Morphology and Microstructure. Five fruits were randomly selected from each group, and both the whole fruit and cross-sectional lemons were taken. 1 cm\(^2\) of size and a thickness of 1 mm of the lemon epidermis was cut into four small pieces, soaked in 2.5% formaldehyde solution at 4°C for 12 h, and rinsed three times with 0.2 M phosphate buffer (PBS) and then fixed in 2% osmium acid for 1 h, following by rinsing three times with PBS. After rinsing, the samples were successively soaked in 30%, 50%, 70%, 80%, 90%, and 95% ethanol for each 15 min to dehydrate and were then dehydrated twice with absolute ethanol for 20 min. The microstructure images were obtained after the samples were mounted on metal stubs and coated with gold.

2.5.6. Total Soluble Solid (TSS) and Titratable Acidity (TA). Five lemons were randomly selected in each treatment and squeezed with four layers of gauze. TSS and TA contents of lemon juice were determined by PAL-BX/ACID F5.

2.6. Statistical Analysis. All data were expressed as means ± standard deviations (SD) from three technical and biological replications. Microsoft Office Excel was used to calculate means and SD values. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a 95% confidence interval of the data was accomplished using SPSS 23.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A Tukey–Kramer test was utilized when significant differences \( p < 0.05 \) were detected. The data were analyzed and graphically plotted using Origin 8 software (OriginLab Software Inc. Hampton, Massachusetts, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Assembly Properties. The physical properties of the chitosan and chitosan-CMC LBL assembly are presented in Table 1. It is noted that, in spite of the greater thickness, the water vapor permeability of the LBL assembly was significantly (1.74 times) greater than that of chitosan \( p < 0.05 \), in agreement with their higher hydration level. The phenomenon was presumably related to the swelling behavior of the chitosan coating in the humid atmosphere, or related to the crosslinking between CMC and chitosan which results in a formation of a more loosely packed poriferous matrix rather than of a denser one and enlarges the intermolecular space and promotes the transport of water molecules in the assembly structure. It was consistently reported that the stratified structure and linear increase in the absorbance verified a linear increase in coating thickness [28]. In addition, the mechanical properties of the LBL assembly were significantly improved, in terms of both strength and elasticity \( p < 0.05 \), Table 1. The physical properties of the LBL assembly are vitally important to the further application in the fresh produce. It has been demonstrated that from the SEM images in Figure 1, the chitosan showed a smooth and uniform surface and the LBL deposition resulted in the drastic alteration in the coating morphology and the cross-sectional structure. Microstructure indicated the tight connection between chitosan and CMC.

The crosslinking structure and FTIR spectra of chitosan and chitosan-CMC LBL assembly are shown in Figure 2. It was proved that 1,570 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3,000–3,500 cm\(^{-1}\) contributed to the characteristic bands of chitosan. Results revealed that the process of chitosan ethylation did not break the representative structure of the indigenous chitosan molecule. The absorption band at 1603 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to COO\(^{-}\) stretching vibration; additionally, the 1086 cm\(^{-1}\)
contributed to the C–O stretching vibration. Results demonstrated that the characteristic position of the absorption peaks of the assembled LBL was basically consistent with the chitosan and CMC, indicating that the characteristic functional groups of the two polysaccharides were consistent with the crosslinking structure.

3.2. Lemon Quality Attributes. With regard to the weight loss, it was observed that the chitosan-CMC LBL assembly has effectively been beneficial to the quality maintenance of the lemon fruit (Figure 3(a)). Results showed that the weight loss of lemon was significantly inhibited by the LBL assembly, in comparison to the monolayer chitosan and control ($p < 0.05$). The benefit of the LBL assembly on fruit weight loss probably resulted from the increased WVP value than chitosan. The layer-by-layer coating helped pores sealing and alleviated the water loss of the lemon peel, thus improving the postharvest quality and shelf life of the fresh lemon fruit. Firmness is an important basic attribute for evaluation of fruit quality, which is widely used for quality supervision of the fresh produce during storage and transportation. It can be shown from Figure 3(b) that the lemon firmness was significantly increased by the LBL assembly after storage for 20 days ($p < 0.05$), followed by chitosan and control.

The regulation of TSS and TA content reflects the taste of the lemon fruit in response to the LBL assembly and chitosan coating. It can be seen from Figure 3(c) that, after 20 days of storage, the average content of lemon TSS in the control group was significantly higher than that in the other two groups, and the overall value was above 7.5% ($p < 0.05$). It might be from the significant inhibition of the respiration rate of the lemon fruit, and from the reduction of the nutrient decomposition, especially starch and other polysaccharides, by the exogenous application of both LBL assembly and monolayer chitosan ($p < 0.05$). There was no significant difference in the content of lemon TSS between monolayer and layer-by-layer treatments ($p > 0.05$). It was consistently found that the chitosan coating had no significant effect on

Table 1: Thickness, water vapor permeability (WVP), puncture strength (PS), and elasticity of chitosan and chitosan-CMC LBL assembly.

|                        | Thickness ($\mu$m) | WVP (g mm/kPa$^{-1}$ hm$^{-2}$) | PS (N/mm) | Elasticity (mm) |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Chitosan               | 26.3 ± 7.2$^b$    | 47.36 ± 0.00$^b$                | 252 ± 35$^a$ | 3.17 ± 0.52$^a$ |
| LBL assembly           | 43.5 ± 5.1$^a$    | 82.55 ± 4.64$^a$                | 314 ± 48$^a$ | 3.09 ± 0.25$^a$ |

Different letters indicated significant difference at $p < 0.05$.
Figure 2: Crosslinking structure (a) and FTIR spectra of chitosan (b) and chitosan-CMC LBL assembly (c).

Figure 3: Continued.
the TSS content of strawberry and citrus fruit by Arnon et al. [27]. Additionally, TA mainly refers to the organic acid in the lemon fruit and TA content could affect the acidity and taste related to fruit ripening and senescence. It could be proved from Figure 3(d) that the content of lemon TA in the chitosan group was significantly higher than that in the other two groups, both before and after storage, indicating that the difference was caused by the significant inhibition of the organic acid decomposition of the lemon fruit (\( p < 0.05 \)). It was also previously reported that the chitosan coating had significant effect on the TA content of papaya [29], grape, and strawberry by delaying the rate of TA decline [30]. Results indicated that the chitosan-CMC significantly increased the TA content, in comparison to chitosan and control groups, which provided further evidence that the LBL assembly beneficially maintained lemon taste quality after storage.

The color of the lemon peel can be used as a criterion for the evaluation of fruit ripeness and senescence during commercial storage and transportation. The \( L^* \) value indicated the brightness and freshness of the lemon peel. In the present study, there was no significant difference in the brightness of the lemon between the coating treatment and the control group, according to the Figure 3(e), while the chitosan-CMC LBL assembly did not significantly injure the fruit gloss and overall visual appearance (\( p > 0.05 \)).

Another quality attribute, the vitamin C content, has strong reducing ability and belongs to the important non-enzymatic active oxygen scavenging system in the fresh produce. It functions in free radical scavenging and
Figure 4: Morphology of lemon fruits before (a) and after storage (b).

Figure 5: Continued.
antioxidation, involving in the delay of lemon senescence. In the present study, there was no significant difference in the original vitamin C content of the three groups in the initial storage period ($p > 0.05$). After storage, the vitamin C content was significantly elevated by the LBL assembly, followed by chitosan monolayer coating ($p < 0.05$, Figure 3(f)), which improved the antioxidation capacity during lemon senescence process. This is consistent with the results of the longan fruit coated by the chitosan composite [31].

3.3. Lemon Morphology and Microstructure. Results of the lemon morphology clearly demonstrated that the edible coating, especially the chitosan-CMC layer-by-layer assembly significantly inhibited the flesh browning, while maintaining the surface glossiness and visual appearance of the lemon fruit after storage ($p < 0.05$, Figure 4). The SEM images of the lemon microstructure indicated that the exogenous coating affected the size and number of stomata in the lemon epidermis (Figure 5). The stomata is the channel of the air and water vapor exchange from the fresh lemon and is the invasion site of the pathogen; the opening and closing action of the stomata in the guarding cell is involved in the regulations of carbon assimilation, respiration, and transpiration. It was clearly shown that both chitosan monolayer and chitosan-CMC LBL assembly reduced the number and the opening of stomata, thus contributing to the transpiration inhibition and senescence delay of the lemon fruit. Results in the present study revealed that the LBL assembly tremendously enhanced the fruit barrier and protection against abiotic and biotic stress stimuli.

4. Conclusions

This study developed a novel chitosan-CMC layer-by-layer deposition assembly, which exhibited excellent water vapor permeability, puncture strength, and elasticity properties (1.25–1.74 times greater than that of chitosan). The research provides substantial evidence to support the hypothesis that the LBL assembly maintained the lemon quality, such as fruit firmness and vitamin C content, during storage at 0°C for 20 days. These findings provide an alternative route to maintain quality and postponing senescence of the postharvest fresh produce. The methodology and procedure proposed in the present study need to be further confirmed in dependence of the polymer concentrations and fruit cultivars.
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