Catmint (Nepeta nuda L.) Phylogenetics and Metabolic Responses in Variable Growth Conditions
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Nepeta nuda (catmint; Lamiaceae) is a perennial medicinal plant with a wide geographic distribution in Europe and Asia. This study first characterized the taxonomic position of N. nuda using DNA barcoding technology. Since medicinal plants are rich in secondary metabolites contributing to their adaptive immune response, we explored the N. nuda metabolic adjustment operating under variable environments. Through comparative analysis of wild-grown and in vitro cultivated plants, we assessed the change in phenolic and iridoid compounds, and the associated immune activities. The wild-grown plants from different Bulgarian locations contained variable amounts of phenolic compounds manifested by a general increase in flowers, as compared to leaves, while a strong reduction was observed in the in vitro plants. A similar trend was noted for the antioxidant and anti-herpesvirus activity of the extracts. The antimicrobial potential, however, was very similar, regardless the growth conditions. Analysis of the N. nuda extracts led to identification of 63 compounds including phenolic acids and derivatives, flavonoids, and iridoids. Quantification of the content of 21 target compounds indicated their general reduction in the extracts from in vitro plants, and only the ferulic acid (FA) was specifically increased. Cultivation of in vitro plants under different light quality and intensity indicated that these variable light conditions altered the content of bioactive compounds, such as aesculin, FA, rosmarinic acid, cirsimaritin, naringenin, rutin, isoquercetin, epideoxyloganic acid, chlorogenic acid. Thus, this study generated novel information on the regulation of N. nuda productivity using light and other cultivation conditions, which could be exploited for biotechnological purposes.
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INTRODUCTION

The mint family Lamiaceae has been well known for its importance as a source of aromatic oil, wood, ornamentals, culinary and medicinal herbs, which determines the strong interest toward the detailed studies of related members (Mint Evolutionary Genomics Consortium, 2018). Lamiaceae has been annotated as the sixth largest angiosperm family including 12 subfamilies (Zhao et al., 2021). The subfamily Nepetoideae is monophyletic and comprises nearly all aromatic species within Lamiaceae and the presence of rosmarinic acid (RA) is one of their characteristics (Harley et al., 2004). Nepetoideae is the largest subfamily (~3,400 species) spread throughout all continents except Antarctica (Hedge, 1990; Harley et al., 2004), which is divided into three tribes, Elsholtzieae, Mentheae, and Ocimeae (Harley et al., 2004). The tribe Mentheae comprises the largest number of genera and species of any tribe within Nepetoideae and Lamiaceae (Zhao et al., 2021). Many plants in this group are of great economic and ecological importance, which attracts the attention of scientists (Zhao et al., 2021).

The genus Nepeta L. (Catmints) includes species that are mostly herbaceous perennials (Formisano et al., 2011; Süntar et al., 2017; Salehi et al., 2018; Aćimović et al., 2020; Sharma et al., 2021). In the Bulgarian flora, the genus Nepeta is represented by 4 species: Nepeta cataria L., N. nuda L., N. parviflora M. Bieb. and N. ucrainica L. (Assyov et al., 2012). Nepeta nuda L. belongs to the Euro-Asian floristic element and it is widely distributed across Bulgaria in all floristic regions and over a wide range of elevations up to 1900 m a.s.l. (Assyov et al., 2012). Nepeta nuda can grow at full sun to light shade conditions, usually in woodlands, meadows, and fencerows (Pădure, 2004). A previous report placed N. nuda among the most frequently visited by honeybees melliflerous plants (Bozek, 2003). In Bulgaria, N. nuda is known also as “naked (or hairless) catmint” that likely refers the naked or sparse short hairy stem and leaves (Asenov, 1989).

It has been shown that N. nuda differs from the most studied N. cataria (catnip) by the lack or decreased amounts of monoterpenoid nepeta lactones (Baser et al., 2000; Mišić et al., 2015). Nepeta lactones are the major chemical constituents of the essential oil in many Nepeta species. They can be contained in large amounts, occur either in low amounts or absent entirely (Baser et al., 2000). Three different Nepeta chemotypes have been recognized as follows: (1) Nepetalactone, (2) caryophyllene oxide, and (3) 1,8-cineole/linalool. According to previous studies, N. nuda belongs to the 1,8-cineole chemotype although the composition of the essential oil could depend on the environmental conditions, plant growth stage, and analytical method (De Pooter et al., 1987; Handjieva et al., 1996; Chalchat et al., 1998; Kökkilä et al., 1998; Pădure et al., 2008; Alim et al., 2009; Gkinis et al., 2010; Kilic et al., 2011; Bozari et al., 2013; Mišić et al., 2015; Mamadalieva et al., 2016; Baranauskiene et al., 2019). Nepeta lactones have both insect pheromone and insect repellent activities against the phytophagous insects (Eisner, 1964; Uenoyma et al., 2021). Catmint nepeta lactones are known to excise domestic cats and many wild felid species including lions, tigers, and ocelots, however, the benefit for the mint plants is still unclear (Eisner, 1964; Lichman et al., 2020). In the traditional medicine, the N. nuda decoction is used internally against cystitis prostate gland inflammation, externally against wounds, and on the stock udder for mastitis treatment (Kozhuharova et al., 2014). The genus Nepeta is rich in iridoid glycosides and phenolic compounds that exhibit a broad spectrum of pharmacological properties, such as antioxidant, antimicrobial, phytotoxic, antiparasitic, and antiviral activities (Handjieva et al., 1996; Formisano et al., 2011; Süntar et al., 2017; Sharma et al., 2021). A recent study demonstrates that two Balkan endemics, N. rranjensis Diklic & Milojević and N. argolica Bory & Chaub. in Bory subsp. argolica, contain two nepeta lactones and 1,5,9-epideoxyloganic acid (1,5,9-cDLA) as major iridoids (Anićić et al., 2021). The composition and importance of N. nuda phenolic compounds have been also previously reported, giving a special emphasis to phenolic acids, their derivatives, and flavonoids (Mišić et al., 2015; Aras et al., 2016b; Fraga et al., 2017; Dienaite et al., 2018; Smiljković et al., 2018; Sarirkurukcu et al., 2019; Hinkov et al., 2020; Anićić et al., 2021).

The secondary metabolites can play a main role in phytoimmunity acting as phytotoxins for competing plants, pathogens, and herbivorous organisms (Mithöfer and Boland, 2012; Cheng and Cheng, 2015). The content of phenolic compounds can correlate with the bioactivity of N. nuda extracts. Aqueous extracts exhibit allelopathic activity by inhibiting the growth of wheat and cucumber (Dragoeva et al., 2017). On the other hand, they possess antioxidant, anti-proliferative and antiviral activities (Dienaite et al., 2018; Hinkov et al., 2020). Nepeta nuda tincture is effective against oral pathogens (Smiljković et al., 2018). A study on the antiparasitic activity showed a very high potential of methanol and hexane extracts of N. nuda against the parasite Tripanozoma brucei rhodesiens without having toxic side effects on the host cells (Kirmizibekmez et al., 2011). Environmental factors such as light, temperature (Alberti, 2020; Zhiponova et al., 2020a), and soil composition (Pădure et al., 2008; Zhiponova et al., 2020b), additionally affect plant growth and biosynthetic potential. Compared to wild-grown plants, the in vitro cultivation ensures controlled and sterile conditions on a nutrient medium of known composition. The main disadvantage of in vitro cultivation is the slow growth and altered metabolic activity of the plant (Kachchina-Toteva et al., 2014; Yordanova et al., 2017). The Nepeta nuda has been propagated in vitro and successfully adapted to ex vitro conditions (Nedelkova et al., 2012; Dragolova et al., 2015).

The first aim of this work was to establish DNA barcode regions for precise taxonomic identification of N. nuda. Next, we investigated the environmental impact on N. nuda immune response including metabolic adjustment. The interdependence of various biological activities (antioxidant, antiviral, and antibacterial), as linked to phenolic and iridoid compounds in flowers and leaves of wild-grown vs. in vitro cultivated N. nuda was assessed. Since the optimal bioactive potential of wild-grown plants occurs during flower development, in the proposed in vitro cultivation system a light formula stimulating flowering by different light intensities was tested. The effect of light composition and intensity was estimated regarding phytochemical composition.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Nepeta nuda plants were collected from natural habitats (Pirin; Rhodopes; and two samples Rila #1 and Rila #2 collected from the same location with 3-week difference) in Bulgaria during the flowering period (Supplementary Table S1). Samples of vouchers were deposited to the Herbarium of Sofia University “St. Kliment Ohridski,” Sofia, Bulgaria (the vouchers are listed in Supplementary Table S1). In vitro plants were maintained in the collection of the Department of Plant Physiology at the Faculty of Biology, as described by Nedelkova et al. (2012) and Dragolova et al. (2015). The plants were grown under sterile controlled conditions on MS (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) medium with 3% sucrose and 0.7% agar under white light (80 µmol m−2 s−1 photosynthetic active radiation, cool white fluorescent TL-D 36W/54-765 1SL/25 Philips), photoperiod 16 h light/8 h dark, 25±1°C, 60–70% humidity. The plants were passaged every 4 weeks via shoot tips. The ex vitro plants were adapted for 3 months in a greenhouse and transferred to soil for 1 year. Wild-grown N. nuda (in situ Pirin and ex vitro) and in vitro cultured plants (Dragolova et al., 2015) were used for comparative analyses (n ≥ 15; Supplementary Table S1). For dry weight (DW), the plants were dried in the dark at room temperature. The plant extracts preparation is described in Supplementary Material and summarized in Supplementary Table S2.

For the light treatments, the internodes from in vitro cultivated plants were grown for 5 weeks under different light quality and intensity as discussed in the following: White (W) light (80 µmol m−2 s−1; fluorescent) as a control, and a combination of blue and red light (blue:red:far red 15%:75%:10%) with two different light intensities: BR (240 µmol m−2 s−1; LED), and BRS (40 µmol m−2 s−1, LED, i.e., as “shadowed”) (Zhiponova et al., 2020a). The similar-looking plants were selected for analysis (n ≥ 20).

The DNA Barcoding Analysis

Genomic DNA Isolation, PCR, and Sequencing

The total genomic DNA was isolated using the DNeasy Plant Kit (QIAGEN) from frozen leaf material from in vitro N. nuda plants (Supplementary Table S1). The DNA barcode sequences of four different DNA regions are as follows: In the nuclear (ITS) (nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer), rbcL (plastid gene encoding ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase large subunit), matK (plastid gene encoding matuKase, tRNA-Lys), and trnH-psbA (plastid intergenic spacer region) were analyzed. The primer sequences (synthesized by Microsynth, Gottingen, Germany) and PCR conditions are shown in Supplementary Table S3. PCR amplification was performed in 20-µl reaction mixtures containing approximately 30 ng of genomic DNA, 1 × PCR buffer, MgCl2 (2.0 mM for ITS, 1.5 mM for matK, 2.5 mM for rbcL, and trnH-psbA), 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.2 µM of each primer, and 1.0 U Taq DNA Polymerase (Solis BioDyne). The quality of PCR products was checked on 1% agarose gel in TBE buffer containing GoodViewTM staining dye. Successful amplicon products were sequenced in both directions by Microsynth with the primers used for PCR amplification (Supplementary Table S4).

Sequence Analyses and Construction of Phylogenetic Trees

The DNA sequences of in vitro N. nuda for each barcode region (Supplementary Table S4) were edited in Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) ver. 10.2.4 software (Kumar et al., 2018). The Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) implemented in MEGA and the Barcode of Life Data System (BOLD) database (Ratnasingham and Hebert, 2007) were used to retrieve sequences similar to N. nuda. The set of database sequences and respective DNA barcodes for N. nuda were subjected to CLUSTAL W alignment in MEGA. The alignment files were used for the construction of phylogenetic trees in the software program Geneious (Biomatters, New Zealand) using the genetic distance Tamura–Nei model (Tamura and Nei, 1993), the clustering method UPGMA and the resampling method bootstrap with 500 replicates. The alignment files for genes rbcL, matK, and trnH-psbA were concatenated by name in Geneious software after making database accession names unified to species level. The derived concatenated file was used to obtain a consensus tree applying the same parameters as for individual DNA barcode trees.

Quantification of Total Phenolics and Total Flavonoids Content

The procedures for spectrophotometric quantification of phenolics and flavonoids are described by Zhiponova et al. (2020b), and performed with spectrophotometer Shimadzu UV 1800 (Kyoto, Japan). The content of polyphenols was determined according to Singleton et al. (1999) by a standard curve created using the known concentrations of gallic acid (GA). The content of flavonoids was measured according to the protocol of Chang et al. (2002) by a standard curve based on the known concentrations of quercetin (Q).

Determination of Antioxidant Activity

The extracts obtained by different solvents (Supplementary Table S2A) were tested to determine 50% effective concentration (EC50) for radical scavenging activity using stable 2,2′-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical (Blois, 1958). The extract concentrations between 50 and 200 µg ml−1 were prepared in 1.5 ml methanol followed by the addition of 0.5 ml of 0.1 mM DPPH. Methanol was used as a blank and a mixture of methanol (1.5 ml) and DPPH (0.5 ml) was utilized as a negative control. The samples were incubated for 30 min in the dark, and the absorbance was measured at λ = 517 nm with spectrophotometer Shimadzu UV 1800 (Kyoto, Japan). The results are given as EC50 in µg ml−1 extract.

The crude methanol extracts from plant variants were prepared as described in Supplementary Material and presented in Supplementary Table S2B. The DPPH-radical-scavenging activity was measured using Trolox as a standard, according to the method of Brand-Williams et al. (1995). The reaction included 80 µl extract (1 mg DW ml−1) and 1920 µl 0.06 mM DPPH solution in methanol, and the samples were incubated at
room temperature in the dark for 30 min. After the calibration against methanol, the absorbance was detected at \( \lambda = 515 \text{ nm} \) with spectrophotometer Shimadzu UV 1800 (Kyoto, Japan). The radical–scavenging activity was measured in \( \mu \text{M} \) Trolox \( \text{ml}^{-1} \) via a standard curve. The DPPH–scavenging activity was expressed as mM Trolox per gram DW (mM Trolox gDW\(^{-1}\)).

**Antiviral Activity**

Madine and Darby bovine kidney (MDBK) cells (purchased from ATCC) were used and grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Sigma–Aldrich) supplemented with 8% fetal calf serum (FCS, Sigma–Aldrich) (with 8 \( \mu \text{g ml}^{-1} \) gentamycin, SANDOZ, and 10 mM HEPES buffer, Sigma–Aldrich). The maintenance medium contained FCS with reduced concentration of 4%. The strain F of *Human alphasherpesvirus* type 1 (HHV-1) (purchased from ATCC) was propagated in MDBK cells and stored at \(-70^\circ \text{C}\) (BINDER GmbH, Germany) until used. This HHV-1 strain is sensitive to the antiviral agent acyclovir (Hinkov et al., 2020). The virus titer was determined by cytopathic effect (CPE) assay using the method of Reed and Muench (1938) and plaque assay (Dulbecco, 1952) in MDBK.

**Cytotoxicity Assay**

The cell toxicity was monitored by determining the effect of the *N. nuda* extracts on MDBK cell viability by a colorimetric method (MTT assay) (Mossam, 1983). The confluent monolayers of MDBK cells in 96-well plates (Corning®) were overlaid with 0.1 ml/well maintenance medium, 0.1 ml/well of the serial 2-fold dilutions of the extracts (3 well/dilution), and incubated at \(37^\circ \text{C}\) (BINDER GmbH, Germany) for 72 h. At least three wells in the plate were used as controls without a treatment (0.1 ml/well maintenance medium added only). On the third day, 20 \( \mu \text{l} \) of MTT (Sigma–Aldrich) [5 mg ml\(^{-1}\) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Sigma–Aldrich)] was added to each well; the monolayers were incubated for 2 h at \(37^\circ \text{C}\). The resulting formazan precipitate was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). After the incubation for few minutes at room temperature to ensure that all crystals were dissolved, the absorbances at \( \lambda = 540 \text{ nm} \) were measured (ELISA reader Multiscan MX, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA). The percentage of viable treated cells was calculated relative to the untreated controls: \[(\text{OD}_{\text{exp}})/(\text{OD}_{\text{cell control}})\] \times 100, where (OD\(_{\text{exp}}\)) and (OD\(_{\text{cell control}}\)) indicate the absorbance of the test sample and the cell control, respectively. The maximum non-toxic concentration (MNC) was defined as the highest extract concentration that did not cause damage or death to the treated MDBK cells. The 50% cytotoxicity concentration (CC\(_{50}\)) was determined as the test compound concentration required for the reduction of cell viability by 50%.

**The MTT-Based Colorimetric Assay for Detection of HHV Replication Inhibition**

A modification of the MTT assay developed for screening anti-HHV compounds (Takeuchi et al., 1991) was used. Two experimental setups differing in the time of extract addition were employed. The confluent monolayers in 96-well plates were overlaid with 0.1 ml/well of virus suspension (low multiplicity of infection (MOI) 100 TCID 50 (tissue culture infectious dose 50)/well). In the first experimental setup, 0.1 ml serial 2-fold dilutions of the extracts (at least 3 wells/dilution) or 0.1 ml maintenance medium were added into wells. The latter served as a virus control immediately after the inoculation. In the second experimental setup, the plates were incubated for 1 h at \(37^\circ \text{C}\) to allow the virus to adsorb and dilutions of the extracts or maintenance medium (control) were subsequently added. Uninoculated cells were used as a cell control. On the fifth day, 20 \( \mu \text{l} \) of MTT (5 mg ml\(^{-1}\) in PBS) was added to each well and the monolayers were incubated for 2 h at \(37^\circ \text{C}\). The medium with MTT was removed and the resulting formazan precipitate was dissolved in DMSO. The extinctions were determined at \( \lambda = 540 \text{ nm} \). The percentage of protection was calculated by the formula:

\[
\frac{\left(\text{OD}_{\text{exp}}\right) - \left(\text{OD}_{\text{virus control}}\right)}{\left(\text{OD}_{\text{cell control}}\right) - \left(\text{OD}_{\text{virus control}}\right)} \times 100
\]

where (OD\(_{\text{exp}}\)), (OD\(_{\text{virus control}}\)), and (OD\(_{\text{cell control}}\)) indicate the absorbance of the test sample, the virus control and the cell control, respectively. The effective concentration 50 (EC\(_{50}\)) was determined as the extract concentration inhibiting viral replication by 50%.

**Antibacterial Activity**

The following bacterial strains were purchased from the Bulgarian National Bank for Industrial Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (NBIMCC): The Gram-negative bacteria *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* NBIMCC 3730 and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* NBIMCC 3670, and the Gram-positive bacteria *Bacillus cereus* NBIMCC 1085 and *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923. The bacterial strains were cultured overnight at \(37^\circ \text{C}\) on Muller–Hinton agar (MHA) medium.

**Disk Diffusion Assay**

The extracts were loaded onto sterile paper discs (6 mm in diameter) to obtain final concentration of 5 mg per disc. The 20-ml MHA medium was poured into sterile Petri dishes and inoculated with bacterial suspension (0.1 ml/10\(^8\) CFU ml\(^{-1}\)). The paper discs with the extracts were placed on the top of agar medium. The Petri dishes were incubated for pre-diffusion at \(4^\circ \text{C}\) for 2 h. Sterilized disks loaded with 5% DMSO were used as negative controls. Tetracycline (30 \( \mu \text{g/disk} \)) was used as positive reference standard to determine the sensitivity of each bacterial strain tested. The inhibition zones were measured after 24-h incubation period at \(37^\circ \text{C}\). Two replicates and two biological repeats were conducted for each extract. The disk diffusion assay was applied to test the standard phenolic compounds ferulic acid (FA), GA, and Q (Sigma) against the studied bacterial strains.

**Microdilution Assay**

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was performed by a serial dilution technique using 96-well microtiter plates, according to Wiegand et al. (2008). The experimental inoculum was prepared in two steps as follows: The bacterial suspension was adjusted to McFarland 0.5 and 50 \( \mu \text{l} \) were diluted
in 10-ml liquid MH medium to a concentration $5 \times 10^5$ CFU ml$^{-1}$. The plates were prepared by dispersing 50-µl MH broth into each well. The serial dilutions in the range 40–0.3125 mg ml$^{-1}$ were prepared for each extract directly in the wells. Next, 50 µl of the bacterial inoculum was added into each well reaching a final volume 100 µl. The bacterial growth was detected by measuring the absorbance at $\lambda = 600$ nm by ELISA reader (DR-200B, Hiwell Diatek Instruments, Wuxi City, China) at 0 and 24 h after the incubation of plates at 37°C. For positive controls, penicillin G at concentrations in the range range30–0.235 µg ml$^{-1}$ for Gram-positive bacteria, and bactericidal concentration gentamicin at concentrations in the range 20–0.156 µg ml$^{-1}$ for Gram-negative bacteria were used. The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) was determined as the lowest extract concentration inducing 99.9% growth inhibition of the bacterial inoculum after 24 h incubation at 37°C. To additionally confirm the absence of bacterial growth, 10 µl from the defined MBC were plated on agar MH medium.

Identification and Quantification of Phytochemicals in Methanol Extracts

Identification of Phytochemicals Using UHPLC-LTQ OrbiTrap XL

The identification of phytochemicals in methanol Soxhlet extracts (Supplementary Table S2B) was performed by using UHPLC-LTQ OrbiTrap XL according to Banjanac et al. (2017). The UHPLC separation was carried out on an Acella 600 system coupled to the LTQ OrbiTrap XL mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Data were acquired only in the negative ionization mode of the spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Switzerland), separated and analyzed at TSQ Quantum Access Max QQQ mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Data analysis was performed with Accela 600 system coupled to the LTQ OrbiTrap XL mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Data were acquired only in the negative ionization mode of the spectrometer. The total amount of compounds in samples was calculated based on the calibration curve of pure compounds and expressed as µg g$^{-1}$ DW. To search the literature on the presence of all these compounds in Nepeta or some other species, the SciFinder database was used.

The UHPLC/qqqMS2 Quantification of Major Phenolics

Phenolics in the methanol extract from dried plant material (Supplementary Table S2B) were chromatographically separated and analyzed at TSQ Quantum Access Max QQQ mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Switzerland), as described by Anićić et al. (2021). The Xcalibur software (version 2.2) was used for instrument control, data acquisition, and analysis. The total amount of compounds in samples was calculated based on the calibration curve of pure compounds and expressed as µg g$^{-1}$ DW. To search the literature on the presence of all these compounds in Nepeta or some other species, the SciFinder database was used.

The UHPLC/qqqMS2 Quantification of Major Iridoids

Quantification of iridoids in the methanol extract from dried plant material was performed in negative ion HESI mode of the UHPLC/qqqMS2 instrument, as described by Anićić et al. (2021). Quantification of 1,5,9-EDLA acid was carried out using calibration curves of isolated standards, and the total amount of compounds in the samples was expressed as µg g$^{-1}$ DW.

Analytical parameters and validation protocol of the quantitative UHPLC/qqqMS2 method used for the analysis of phenolic and iridoid compounds are provided in Supplementary Table S5.

Statistical Analysis

All measurements were performed in the period 2019–2021 and included plant material from 3 to 6 biological repeats and technical replicates ($n \geq 3$). Each biological repeat contained plant material from an average 15 plants ($n \geq 15$). The results are presented as mean values ± standard errors (SE). To evaluate statistical differences among the treatment variants, one-way ANOVA followed by Holm–Sidak test was performed using Sigma Plot 11.0 software. The differences were considered significant at $p < 0.05$. The data processing for the antiviral assay was done by the Origin 9.1 program. In Sigma Plot, the Pearson’s correlation coefficient ($R$) was calculated using average parameter values of the biological repeats for the variants ($n \leq 6$) with a level of significance 0.05. The principal component analysis (PCA) of the metabolite content in N. nuda light variants was performed in R 3.6.3 using prcomp function after logarithmic normalization of the data set. PCA plot was done with ggbiplot R package.

RESULTS

Phylogenetic Position of N. nuda

The genetic discrimination of N. nuda was a prerequisite step for characterization and validation of its taxonomic position. To achieve this goal, we applied the DNA barcoding method based on the conserved regions ITS and chloroplast (rbcl, matK, trnH-psbA) genomes (Supplementary Table S4). The generated DNA barcode sequences were submitted to the BOLD database (accession number BUL002-22). It should be noted the lack of other accessions in databases belonging to the species N. nuda. For the construction of phylogenetic trees, we retrieved Nepeta accessions from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and BOLD databases, which resulted in a set of 56 sequences for ITS, 44 for rbcl, 44 for matK and 5 for trnH-psbA. Among these sequences, we selected accessions with high homology to N. nuda and covered all the available in genebanks species diversity, as the very short fragments were discarded. To make the tree less redundant, one to two accessions per Nepeta species from databases were retained, while other accessions with identical sequences and names were discarded. Among the used markers, the ITS-derived sequences for Nepeta species were the most enriched in BOLD and NCBI databases, and the phylogenetic tree included 47 Nepeta species. The studied N. nuda appeared to be most similar to N. sheilae (98.7% identity) in a subclade together with N. schiraziana, N. deflersiana, N. isaurica, N. congesta, N. heliotropifolia, N. crassifolia, and N. cataria (Figure 1). The number of Nepeta species for rbcl, and matK regions was 15 and 10, respectively (Figures 2A,B). For

1https://scifinder-n.cas.org/?referrer=scifinder.cas.org

2www.boldsystems.org

3https://www.boldsystems.org/index.php/Public_RecordView?processid=BUL002-22
trnH-psbA, only NCBI accessions corresponding to six Nepeta species were found (Figure 2C). The taxonomic assignment based on chloroplast markers was not congruent with the data for the ITS marker. The data for all three chloroplast DNA barcodes revealed that N. nuda falls into one cluster with N. italica, N. grandiflora, N. hemsleyana, N. cataria, and N. tuberosa (Figure 2D). The DNA barcode rbcL showed 100% identity of N. nuda to N. italica, N. grandiflora, and N. cataria. Furthermore, N. nuda was very close to N. italica, N. grandiflora (99.8%), and N. cataria (99.6%) when considering the data from matK marker (data are not shown). The trnH-psbA marker showed 97.9% and 97.3% similarity to N. italica and N. cataria, respectively. The results showed the highest identity between N. nuda and N. italica. The consensus tree of all three chloroplast markers supported the assignment of analyzed N. nuda to N. italica, and N. grandiflora with a bootstrap value of 84% (Figure 2D).

**Environmental Conditions Influence Phenolic Quantity in N. nuda**

To infer the impact of the habitat on the level of phenolic compounds in flowers and leaves, a comparison was performed between N. nuda populations collected from three different regions of Bulgaria—Pirin, Rhodopes, and Rila mountains (two samples) (Figure 3A; Supplementary Table S1). The quantity of phenols varied significantly in plants from the distinct habitats. The two samples from Rila were collected at the same place with a difference of growth by 3 weeks which was reflected by a noticeable variation between the respective phenolic content. The plants from Rila were enriched in phenolic compounds compared to the other two populations. As a general trend, more phenolic compounds (up to 30 mg gDW⁻¹) were found in flowers compared to leaves (Figure 3B). The opposite trend was observed for the flavonoid content with higher values in
the leaves than in the flowers (Figure 3B). The largest quantity of flavonoids (∼10 mg gDW⁻¹) was detected in the leaves of populations from Rhodopes and Rila. Next, we compared the fluctuation in phenolic content between the flowers and leaves of wild-grown and in vitro cultivated plants (Figure 4A). The phenol content was lowest in the in vitro control (15.9 mg gDW⁻¹) and highest in the flowers of ex vitro adapted plants (38.2 mg gDW⁻¹) (Figure 4B). Flavonoids were detected in...
all samples in larger quantities than in the in vitro plants (Figure 4B).

**Differential Biological Activities of Wild-Grown and in vitro Cultivated Plants**

Before testing the biological activities of the extracts, a comparative analysis of the yield using different solvents (water, methanol, ethanol, acetone, and chloroform) was carried out. The highest yield was achieved when water was used for extraction (nearly 37%), and the lowest with acetone (4%) as a solvent (Supplementary Table S2A). The extraction with water and methanol produced the highest phenolic content (nearly 100 mg g\text{Extract}^{-1}), and the lowest was detected with chloroform (7-mg g\text{Extract}^{-1}). Flavonoid content was enriched in acetone and ethanol (68- and 24-mg g\text{Extract}^{-1}, respectively) extracts. The EC\textsub{50} for DPPH-scavenging demonstrated the

**FIGURE 3** | Total phenolics in flowers and leaves of N. nuda in situ plants from different habitats. (A) Distribution map of N. nuda in Bulgaria shown in dark color according to Assyov et al. (2012). Arrows indicate the habitats of the studied in situ plants in Pirin, Rhodopes and Rila # 1 and Rila # 2. Samples of vouchers are deposited in the Herbarium of Sofia University “St. Kliment Ohridski,” Sofia, Bulgaria. (B) Total content of phenolics in flowers and leaves from in situ populations: total phenolic content; total flavonoid content. Mean values ± SE (15 plants; n ≥ 3 technical repeats). One-way ANOVA (Holm-Sidak) test was applied to determine the statistical difference between the variants (shown in different letters).

**FIGURE 4** | Nepeta nuda phenolics in in situ, in vitro and ex vitro growth conditions. (A) N. nuda variants: location and photograph of a plant from in situ population; in vitro plants at 5 weeks; ex vitro adapted plants for 1 year. (Continued)
largest antioxidant activity in water and methanol extracts followed by ethanol, acetone and chloroform (in chloroform the activity was almost lacking). Pearson correlation analysis in Supplementary Table S2A shows a significant correlation between the amount of phenolics and DPPH scavenging activity ($R = -0.706$ with $p = 0.001$). Furthermore, a comparison between the crude methanol extracts of \textit{N. nuda} variants revealed the most effective DPPH radical scavenging (mM Trolox gDW$^{-1}$) in the flowers of wild-grown plants followed by the leaves, whereas a pronounced decline in the \textit{in vitro} cultivated plants was observed (Table 1). In accordance, significant correlation ($R = 0.943$, $p = 0.0004$) was confirmed between the DPPH activity and the phenolic content.

Next, the biological activity of \textit{N. nuda} water extracts from the \textit{ex vitro} flowers and leaves and the \textit{in vitro} plants was compared. To evaluate the cytotoxicity of the extracts, the concentrations in the range 0.125–9.0 mg mL$^{-1}$ were applied on MDBK cells (Table 2). The most toxic was the extract from the leaves of \textit{ex vitro} plants (CC$_{50} = 5.2$ mg mL$^{-1}$) and the least toxic was the water extract from \textit{in vitro} grown plants (CC$_{50} = 7.5$ mg mL$^{-1}$). The strong antiviral potential of water extracts from the flowers of \textit{ex vitro} grown \textit{N. nuda} manifested by the inhibition of viral replication and cell survival was dose dependent. The treatment of HHV-1 strain F with the flower water extract was performed simultaneously and 1 h after cell inoculation reaching antiviral cell protection at MNC 81.37% (EC$_{50} = 0.599$ mg mL$^{-1}$) and 65.62% (EC$_{50} = 1.155$ mg mL$^{-1}$), respectively (Table 2). The water extract from the leaves of \textit{ex vitro} grown \textit{N. nuda} also showed substantial antiviral potential (Table 2) but only after simultaneous cell inoculation. At MNC, the cell protection reached 75.18% (EC$_{50}$ $= 0.725$ mg mL$^{-1}$). After the addition of leaf water extracts 1 h after cell inoculation at MNC, the cell protection hardly reached 43.87%. Water extracts from \textit{in vitro} grown plants showed no antiviral activity (Table 2).

Further, we tested the antibacterial activity of \textit{N. nuda} extracts against selected Gram (-) and Gram (+) bacteria by three different methods: Disk diffusion, microdilution with the establishment of MIC in liquid bacterial suspension, and lethal MBC by simultaneous plating of extract and bacteria on an agar plate (Table 3; Supplementary Figure S1). The antibacterial activity of \textit{N. nuda} extracts against the tested bacterial strains was confirmed by all the three approaches. Interestingly, the extracts from \textit{in situ} and \textit{in vitro} plants showed similar activity, which suggests that the antibacterial activity of \textit{N. nuda} was not affected by environmental conditions. The disk diffusion assay was suitable for testing of phenolic standards, as its application indicated that the phenolic acids FA and GA had antibacterial activity against the tested bacterial strains, while the flavonoid Q did not have any effect (Supplementary Figure S2).

### Table 1 | Antioxidant activity of \textit{N. nuda} crude methanol extracts.

| Plant Type          | DPPH radical scavenging (mM Trolox gDW$^{-1}$) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| In situ flower      | 128.59 ± 4.81$^b$                             |
| In situ leaf        | 107.11 ± 2.80$^c$                             |
| Ex vitro flower     | 206.00 ± 2.80$^a$                             |
| Ex vitro leaf       | 75.63 ± 0.98$^d$                              |

One-way ANOVA (Holm-Sidak) test was applied to determine the statistical difference between the variants (shown in different letters).

### Environmental Impact on \textit{N. nuda} Metabolites

The profiling of polyphenols and iridoids in \textit{N. nuda} methanol extracts resulted in the identification of 63 compounds that were divided into the following four major groups: (i) Phenolic acids and derivatives (30 compounds); (ii) flavonoid glycosides and aglycones (21 compounds); (iii) iridoid glycosides (11 compounds); and (iv) one compound belonging to another class (Table 4; Supplementary Table S6). In the studied samples, 12 derivatives of hydroxybenzoic acids (1–6, 8, 12, 15, 17, 21, 25) were identified including GA hexosides (1, 3), hydroxybenzoic acid-related compounds (5, 6, 8, 12, 15, 17, 21, 25), protocatechuic acid (2) and vanillic acid (4). The hydroxycinnamic acids were represented by 10 compounds as caffeic acid (20) and its derivatives (7, 9, 10, 13, 27), FA (16) and its derivative (14), RA (18), and its derivative (24). The caffeic acid esters nepetoinid B1 (22) and nepetoinid B2 (28), and the caffeic acid oligomer clinopod acid A (23) were also found. In addition, two hydroxycoumarins, aesculin (11) and aesculetin (19), were detected. Three polyphenols that are combination of two Danshensu molecules were detected: salvianolic acid C (26), and the derivatives methyl salvianolate C1 (29) and methyl salvianolate C2 (30). The identified flavonoids belonged entirely to the subgroup of flavones with eight luteolin-related compounds (31, 34–37, 41–43), 10 apigenin-related compounds (32, 33, 38–40, 44–47, 49), thymusin (48), cirsimaritin (50), and xanthomicrol (51). Among the identified flavonoid glycosides, except apigenin 7-O-hexoside (32), all other derivatives were glucuronides of the flavones apigenin and luteolin. A total of 11 iridoid glycosides were identified as listed in the following: Two nepetanediosides (52, 56), dihydorcocin acid (53), secologanin (54), six epideoxyloganic acid-related compounds (eDLA) (55, 57–59, 61, 62), and geniposidic acid (60). The compound 12-O-hexosyl-jasmonate (63) was placed in the group “Other”.

Based on the identified compounds in this work and additional phenolic-related compounds (labeled 64$^a$ to 75$^a$), 21 compounds in total were selected for comparative quantification between the studied \textit{N. nuda} samples by the UHPLC/DAD/qqqMS method (Figure 5). These 21 compounds were presented by 7 phenolic acids, 12 flavonoids, 1 iridoid, and quinic acid. The following polyphenol acid-related compounds were chosen from Supplementary Table S5 and quantified:
Protocatechuic acid (2), aesculin (11), FA (16), RA (18), caffceic acid (20), and in addition GA (64) and chlorogenic (5-O-caffeoylquinic) acid (65). The quinic acid (75) was measured as a conjugate with caffeic acid forming the chlorogenic acid. The data highlighted RA (18) and FA (16) as the most abundant metabolites. The total contribution of both principal components (PC) in the variation of the data set was 83.1%: 43.5% for PC1 and 39.6% for PC2. The formation of PC1 was caused mainly by W-specific substances aesculin (11) and FA (16); the BR-specific substances were upregulated by the blue–red light spectrum range independently of wild-grown N. nuda plants. Special emphasis was placed on differences in the antioxidant potential, antiviral, antibacterial, and antifungal potential among the in situ and in vitro samples. In this study, we used a wide range of methodological approaches to assess environmental effects on the phytoimmune capacity of wild-grown N. nuda and in vitro plants. Special emphasis was placed on differences in the antioxidant potential, antiviral, antibacterial, and antifungal potential among the in situ and in vitro samples. The results indicated that in the used experimental conditions, the flavones were the predominant flavonoid classes present in N. nuda, more compounds from the class of flavanones were investigated: eriodictyol (68) and isorhamnetin (74); and from the class of flavonols: Q (70), rutin (71), isoquercetin (72), astragalin (73), and isorhamnetin (74). The results indicated that in the used experimental conditions, the flavones were the predominant flavonoids represented mostly by apigenin (47), apigetrin (66), and cirsimaritin (50). The metabolite accumulation under in situ and in vitro conditions was hardly affected. The significant differences between the flowers and leaves were observed, as well as the metabolite accumulation in the flowers, particularly of the analyzed flavonoids, was generally enhanced. Most of the detected metabolites had highest levels in the flowers, while in the in vitro plants, a general reduction was observed. Strikingly, under in vitro conditions, FA (16) was the only compound showing an increased accumulation.

**Light Impact on N. nuda Metabolites**

To explore the impact of light on metabolite accumulation, we cultivated in vitro plants under three different lights: White with normal intensity as a control (W), blue–red with high intensity (BR), and blue–red with low intensity (BRS). The compounds from Figure 5 were quantified and subjected to PCA analysis (Figure 6). The total contribution of both principal components (PC) in the variation of the data set was 83.1%: 43.5% for PC1 and 39.6% for PC2. The formation of PC1 was mainly caused by the differences in light spectrum (W vs. BR). The PC2 formation was closely related to the light intensity (BR vs. BRS). The metabolites were distributed according to the light variant, as the following components were significantly upregulated: The W-specific substances aesculin (11) and FA (16); the BR-specific RA (18), cirsimaritin (50), naringenin (69), and 1,5,9-eDLA (59); and the BRS-specific chlorogenic acid (65). There were no significant light-dependent changes in caffeic acid (20), luteolin (42), eriodictyol (68) and Q (70). Rutin (71) and isoquercetin (72) were significantly upregulated by BR in comparison to W, but the effect of BRS was rather variable. Astragalin (73) was upregulated by the blue–red light spectrum range independently from the light intensity. The metabolites protocatechuic acid (2), GA (64), apigenin (47), apigetrin (66), hispidulin (67), isorhamnetin (74), and quinic acid (74) were not detected in the in vitro N. nuda samples.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we used a wide range of methodological approaches to assess environmental effects on the phytoimmune capacity of wild-grown N. nuda and in vitro plants. Special emphasis was placed on differences in the antioxidant potential, antiviral, antibacterial, and antifungal potential among the in situ and in vitro samples. In this study, we used a wide range of methodological approaches to assess environmental effects on the phytoimmune capacity of wild-grown N. nuda and in vitro plants. Special emphasis was placed on differences in the antioxidant potential, antiviral, antibacterial, and antifungal potential among the in situ and in vitro samples.

**TABLE 2 | Antibacterial activity of N. nuda water extracts against HHV-1 (strain F).**

| Water extract | Cell viability | Antibacterial activity |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|
|               | MNC (mg ml⁻¹) | CC₅₀ (mg ml⁻¹)        | | The extract added simultaneously with inoculation of cell monolayer | | The extract added 1 h after inoculation of cell monolayer |
|               |               |                       | | Cell protection (%) | EC₅₀ (mg ml⁻¹) | SI | Cell protection (%) | EC₅₀ (mg ml⁻¹) | SI |
| Ex vitro flower | 1.5           | 6.4                    | | 81.37               | 0.599           | 10.68 | 65.62               | 1.155           | 5.54 |
| Ex vitro leaf | 2             | 5.2                    | | 75.18               | 0.725           | 7.17  | 43.87               | n.d.            | n.d. |
| In vitro | 3             | 7.5                    | | n.d.                | n.d.            | n.d.  | n.d.                | n.d.            | n.d. |
| Positive control | Acyclovir | 0.0312                  | 0.341                  | 97.315           | 0.00136         | 250.7 | 100                  | 0.000609         | 559.93 |

SI (Selective index)—the ratio of CC₅₀ and EC₅₀ to determine the extract selectivity to a viral target relative to the cell (SI = CC₅₀/EC₅₀).

n.d., not detected.

**TABLE 3 | Antibacterial activity of N. nuda methanol extracts.**

| N. nuda methanol extract | MIC/MBC (mg ml⁻¹) | Gram (−) bacteria | Gram (+) bacteria |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                          | A. calcoaceticus | K. pneumoniae | B. cereus | S. aureus |
| in situ flower | 5/20 | 2.5/20 | 0.626/5 | 5/20 |
| in situ leaf | 1.25/10 | 2.5/20 | 1.25/10 | 5/20 |
| in vitro | 5/20 | 5/20 | 1.25/10 | 5/20 |
| Positive controls | penicillin G (µg ml⁻¹) | 1.25 | 0.625 | – | – |
|                           | gentamicin (µg ml⁻¹) | – | – | <0.235 | <0.235 |

Values for minimum inhibitory (MIC) and lethal concentration (MBC, minimum bactericidal concentration) are presented as mg of extract per ml (mg ml⁻¹).
| Compound name | This study N. nuda subsp. nuda | Mišić et al. (2015) N. nuda | Hinkov et al. (2020) N. nuda subsp. nuda | Smiljković et al. (2018) N. nuda | Dienaite et al. (2018) N. nuda | Aras et al. (2016b) N. nuda subsp. Lydiae | Sarikurkcu et al. (2019) N. nuda subsp. glandulifera |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| **Phenolic acid derivatives** | | | | | | | |
| Aesculetin | 19 | | | | | | |
| Aesculin | 11 | (11) | | + | | | |
| Benzoic acid methyl ester | | | | | | | |
| Benzoic acid | 15 | | | | | | |
| Caffeic acid | 20 | (20) | + | + | + | + | + |
| Caffeic acid hexoside 1 | 9 | | | + | | | |
| Caffeic acid hexoside 2 | 13 | | | | + | + | |
| Caffeic acid hexuronide | 7 | | | | | | |
| Caffeoylmalic acid | | | | | | + | |
| Caffeoyltartaric acid | 10 | | | | | | |
| Chlorogenic acid | | (65°) | + | + | + | + | |
| Cinnamic acid | | + | + | | | | |
| Cinnamoyl tartaric acid | | | | | | | |
| Cinnamic acid | | | | | | | |
| Coumaric acid | 23 | | | | | | |
| Dicaffeoylquinic acid | | | | | | | |
| Dihydroxybenzoic acid hexoside 1 | 5 | | | | | | |
| Dihydroxybenzoic acid hexoside 2 | 6 | | | | | | |
| Dihydroxybenzoic acid hexoside 3 | 12 | | | | | | |
| Dimethoxy cinnamic acid | | | + | | | | |
| Ethyl caffeate | 27 | | | | | | |
| Ferulic acid | 16 | (16) | + | + | | + | |
| Feruloyl tartaric acid | 14 | | | | | | |
| Gallic acid | | (64°) | | | | | |
| Gallic acid hexoside 1 | 1 | | | | | | |
| Gallic acid hexoside 2 | 3 | | | | | | |
| Gentisic acid | 8 | | | | | | |
| Methyl | 17 | | | | | | |
| Methyl 2-hydroxy-3-(3-hydroxy-4-methoxyphenyl) propanoate | | | | | | | |
| Methyl rosmarinate | 24 | | | | | | |
| Methyl salvianolate C 1 | 29 | | | | | | |
| Methyl salvianolate C 2 | 30 | | | | | | |

(Continued)
| Compound name                   | This study N. nuda subsp. nuda | Mišić et al. (2015) N. nuda | Hinkov et al. (2020) N. nuda subsp. nuda | Smiljković et al. (2018) N. nuda | Dienaite et al. (2018) N. nuda | Aras et al. (2016b) N. nuda subsp. Lydiae | Sarikurkcu et al. (2019) N. nuda subsp. glandulifera |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Nepetoin B 1                   | 22                             |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Nepetoin B 2                   | 28                             |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| p-Hydroxybenzoic acid          | 25                             |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Protocatechuic acid            | 2 (2)                          | +                           | +                                       | +                             | +                               |                                            |                                                |
| Salvanolic acid A              |                                |                             | +                                       |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Salvanolic acid B              |                                |                             | +                                       |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Salvanolic acid C              | 26                             |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Umbelliferone                  |                                |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Rosmarinic acid                | 18 (18)                        | +                           | +                                       | +                             | +                               | +                                          | n.d.                                          |
| Syringic acid                  | 21                             |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Vanillic acid                  | 4                              | +                           | +                                       | +                             |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| **Other polyphenols**          |                                |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Eukovoside                     |                                |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Lithospermic acid              |                                |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Plantamajoside                 |                                |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Verminoside                    |                                |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| **Flavonoids**                 |                                |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Acacetin                       |                                |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Apigenin                       | 47 (47)                        | +                           | +                                       | +                             | +                               | +                                          |                                                |
| Apigenin 7-O-(acetyl)hexuronide| 40                             |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Apigenin 7-O-(acetyl-caffeoyl)hexuronide | 49                     |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Apigenin 7-O-(caffeoyl)hexuronide | 39                       |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Apigenin 7-O-(feruloyl)hexuronide 1 | 45                     |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Apigenin 7-O-(feruloyl)hexuronide 2 | 46                     |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Apigenin 7-O-(sinapoyl)hexuronide | 44                     |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Apigenin 7-O-hexoside          | 32                             |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Apigenin 7-O-hexuronide        | 33                             |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Apigenin 7-O-hexuronide methyl ester | 38                     |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Apigetrin                      |                                |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Astragalin                     |                                |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Calcelarioside                 |                                |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Chrysoeriol                    |                                |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Cirsimartinsirin               | 50 (50)                        | +                           | +                                       | +                             |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Eryodictyol                    |                                |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |
| Galangin                       |                                |                             |                                          |                               |                                 |                                            |                                                |

(Continued)
| Compound name                        | This study N. nuda subsp. nuda | Mišić et al. (2015) | Hinkov et al. (2020) | Smiljković et al. (2018) | Dienaite et al. (2018) | Aras et al. (2016b) | Sarikurkcu et al. (2019) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
|                                     | N. nuda                        | N. nuda subsp. nuda | N. nuda              | N. nuda                  | N. nuda subsp. Lydiae | N. nuda subsp. glandulifera |
| UHPLC-LTQ OrbiTrap XL               | UHPLC/DAD/qqqMS                | UHPLC-LTQ OrbiTrap XL | NMR                  | LC-DAD-ESI/MSn           | UPLC-Q/TOF             | UHPLC-ESI-MS/MS         | RP-HPLC                  |
| Hispidulin                          | (67*)                          |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Isorhamnetin                        | (74*)                          |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Isoquercetin                        | (72*)                          |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Kaempferol                          |                                | +                   |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Kaempferol dimethyl ether           |                                | +                   |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Kaempferol monomethyl ether         |                                | +                   |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Kaempferol O-hexoside               |                                | +                   |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Luteolin                            | 42                             | (42)                | +                    | +                        | +                     | +                   |                          |
| Luteolin-7-O-diglucuronide          |                                | +                   |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Luteolin 7-O-(feruloyl) hexuronide 1| 41                             |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Luteolin 7-O-(feruloyl) hexuronide 2| 43                             |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Luteolin 7-O-hexuronide 1           | 31                             |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Luteolin 7-O-hexuronide 2           | 34                             |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Luteolin 7-O-(caffeoyl) hexuronide  | 37                             |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Luteolin 7-O-(acetyl)hexuronide 1   | 35                             |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Luteolin 7-O-(acetyl)hexuronide 2   | 36                             |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Naringenin                          | (69*)                          | +                   |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Quercetin                           | (70*)                          | +                   |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Quercetin dimethyl ether            |                                | +                   |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Quercetin tetramethyl ether         |                                | +                   |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Quercetin trimethyl ether           |                                | +                   |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Rhamnetin                           |                                | +                   |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Rutin                               | (71*)                          | +                   |                      |                          |                      |                     | n.d.                     |
| Thymusin                            | 48                             |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Vanillin                            |                                | +                   |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Xanthomomicrol                      | 51                             |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |

**Iridoid glycosides**

| Epideoxyloganic acid 1              | 59                             | (59)                | +                     |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Epideoxyloganic acid 2              | 61                             | (59)                | +                     |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Epideoxyloganic acid 3              | 62                             | (59)                | +                     |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Epideoxyloganic acid hexoside 1     | 55                             |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Epideoxyloganic acid hexoside 2     | 58                             |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Epideoxyloganic acid pentoside      | 57                             |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
| Geniposidic acid                    | 60                             |                     |                      |                          |                      |                     |                          |
The principle of DNA barcoding is to create a shared source of DNA sequences that can be used to identify taxonomic features (Hollingsworth et al., 2011). The DNA barcoding markers used for the members of Lamiaceae include chloroplast DNA regions, such as rbcL (a conserved, coding region), matK (a rapidly evolving, coding region) and trnH-psbA (a rapidly evolving and length variable intergenic spacer) (Fazekas et al., 2008; Theodoridis et al., 2012). The plastome data has been successfully used to estimate family-wide relationships within Lamiaceae with sufficient resolution at subfamily and tribe levels (Li et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2021). The previous studies highlight the relevance of nuclear non-coding ITS DNA sequences to infer evolutionary relationships at intrageneric taxonomic ranks of Nepeta species often correlating with floral and pollen morphological characters (Jamzad et al., 2003a; Al-Qurainy et al., 2014; Özcan, 2019; Alzeibr et al., 2020). Based on ITS markers, Jamzad et al. (2003a) have shown that Nepeta is defined as monophyletic consisting of two large clades with four subclades. In our study, we showed that N. nuda belongs to a subclade with the closely related species N. congesta, N. heliotropifolia, N. deflersiana and N. cataria, and with the closest phylogenetic distance to the recently assigned N. sheilae Hedge & R.A. King (Al-Qurainy et al., 2014; Alzeibr et al., 2020). While N. nuda belongs to the Orthonepeta section, the morphology of the phylogenetically close N. sheilae, endemic to Saudi Arabia, resembles with section Oxy nepeta (Alzeibr et al., 2020). The other species from this clade also belong to the section Oxy nepeta except for N. cataria. They not only have close genetic structure but also share common morphological features, such as corolla shape pattern (Jamzad et al., 2003a). According to Fazekas et al. (2008), three-region DNA barcodes from plastid genome allow sufficient genetic discrimination. The available information from the chloroplast markers allowed us to relate N. nuda to N. italicca, N. cataria, and N. grandiflora subclade.

*Nepeta italicca* L. is considered phylogenetically and chemotaxonomically close to *N. nuda*, as both species contain 1,8-cineole, which is a major constituent of the essential oil (Baser et al., 2000). Caffeic acid esters, predominantly RA (Janicsák et al., 1999; Pedersen, 2000), nepetoidins A and B (Graye r et al., 2003), and leaf surface flavonoids from the flavone group (cirsimaritin, apigenin, luteolin) (Jamzad et al., 2003b) represent important phenolic chemomarkers for the genus Nepeta and the whole subfamily Nepetoideae. In addition, chemotaxonomic phenolic profiling supported the close relation of *N. nuda* to *N. cataria*, *N. grandiflora* M. Bieb. “Dawn to Dusk,” and *N. mussinii* Spreng. ex Henckel, all belonging to the section Cataria (Mišić et al., 2015). Our results support the data reported by Mišić et al. (2015) and allow us to consider chloroplast markers as more reliable for accurate taxonomic assignment of representatives.
FIGURE 5 | Comparison of the quantity of major compounds among in situ flowers, in situ leaves and in vitro leaves of N. nuda by using UHPLC/MS2 analysis. Based on identified compounds in this work and additional phenolic-related compounds (labeled 64* to 75*), in total 21 compounds were selected for comparative quantification. Heat map visualization. One-way ANOVA (Holm–Sidak) test was applied to determine the statistical difference between the variants (shown in different letters).

Environmental Factors Affect N. nuda Antioxidant Status
The production of iridoids and caffeic acid esters as dominant phenolics in Nepeta species was assumed to have evolved simultaneously under natural selection toward providing ecological advantages for efficient adaptation to abiotic and biotic factors (Mišić et al., 2015; Mint Evolutionary Genomics Consortium, 2018). Nepeta nuda plants are found mostly in open forest areas and meadows, in the mountains and at subalpine altitudes up to 2100 m (Baden, 1991). Kofidis and Bosabalidis (2008) demonstrated that increasing the altitude led to the development of N. nuda glandular trichomes and reduction of the respective essential oils. Besides, the epidermal cells of summer leaves contained phenols, while the autumn leaves did not have these compounds. The flavones (cirsimaritin, luteolin, apigenin, and thymusin) are known to function as UV screens for heat reduction, as antimicrobial agents or insect-feeding deterrents (Jamzad et al., 2003b; Hostetler et al., 2017). In our study, differences in the antioxidant parameters between N. nuda plants collected from Pirin (1,850 m), Rhodopes (1,750 m), and Rila (1,150 m) could be linked to different altitudes. Interestingly, the two samples from Rila were collected from the same place, but with a difference of 3 weeks, also showed an obvious change in antioxidant parameters. The phenolic compounds and iridoids play an important role in plant defense, as in N. nuda these metabolites act as antioxidants and agents with insect antifeeding, phytotoxic, antiproliferative, antiviral, and...
**FIGURE 6** | Comparison of the quantity of major compounds between *N. nuda* light variants by using UHPLC/MS2 analysis. Based on identified compounds in this work and additional phenolic-related compounds (labeled 64* to 75*), in total 21 compounds were selected for comparative quantification. (A) Heat map visualization. One-way ANOVA (Holm–Sidak) test was applied to determine the statistical difference between the variants (shown in different letters). (B) PCA analysis. W, white light; BR, blue and red light; BRS, blue and red light with shadow.

| Class of compounds | Metabolite         | W   | BR    | BRS   |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----|-------|-------|
| **Phenolic acids** | (2) Protocatechuic acid | 0.00 | 0.00  | 0.00  |
|                    | (11) Aesculin       | 0.35 | 0.24  | 0.23  |
|                    | (16) Ferulic acid   | 193.30 | 119.98b | 114.70b |
|                    | (18) Rosmarinic acid| 25.63 | 62.55b | 27.25a |
|                    | (20) Caffeic acid   | 1.07 | 1.56a  | 0.83a  |
|                    | (64*) Gallic acid   | 0.36 | 0.00   | 0.00   |
|                    | (65*) Chlorogenic acid | 0.25b | 0.36ab | 0.75a  |
| **Flavonoids**     | (42) Luteolin       | 0.28 | 0.32a  | 0.31a  |
|                    | (47) Apigenin       | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.00   |
|                    | (50) Cirsimaritin   | 1.90 | 11.70a | 1.36c  |
|                    | (66*) Apigetrin     | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.00   |
|                    | (67*) Hispidulin    | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.00   |
|                    | (68*) Eriodictyol   | 0.17 | 0.35a  | 0.34a  |
|                    | (69*) Naringenin    | 0.19 | 0.20a  | 0.18c  |
|                    | (70*) Quercetin     | 0.00 | 0.13a  | 0.00c  |
|                    | (71*) Rutin         | 0.25 | 0.36a  | 1.44b  |
|                    | (72*) Isoquercitin  | 0.25 | 0.35a  | 0.45a  |
|                    | (73*) Astragalin    | 0.12 | 0.16b  | 0.17b  |
|                    | (74*) Isorhamnetin  | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.00   |
| **Iridoid**        | (59) Epideoxyloganic acid | 170.85b | 472.41a | 138.10c |
| **Others**         | (75*) Quinic acid   | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.03   |
antibacterial activities (Table 5). Our data support the presence of these secondary metabolites in the wild-grown plants since phenolics were enriched in natural environment, where the exposure to abiotic and biotic factors considerably affected plant immunity. In general, environmental stimuli induce generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that can damage plant cells and their function. As antioxidants, the phenolics neutralize free radicals and prevent lipid peroxidation (Pacifico et al., 2015). Compared to the wild-grown plants, during in vitro cultivation plant growth and metabolic activity are reduced (Kapchina-Toteva et al., 2014; Yordanova et al., 2017). In the in vitro conditions, the herbicide paraquat is used as an elicitor causing oxidative stress and resulting in subsequent accumulation of N. nuda phenolic compounds including RA (Cvetković et al., 2015). ROS can also act in signaling cascades to transmit environmental and developmental signals (Xia et al., 2015). Flavonoids can also modulate the auxin-mediated signaling cascades (Mouradov and Spangenberg, 2014; Hostetler et al., 2017). Upon herbivore attack, wounding-generated ROS induce phytoimmune responses including release of jasmonic acid (JA) and synthesis of salicylic acid (SA) for systemic resistance (Roossinck, 2015). The JA induces production of antifeeding compounds for insects (e.g., phenolic acids and iridoids) and essential oil volatile constituents (monoterpenoids) with repellent effect (Table 5).

**Antiviral Activities of N. nuda Extracts Against Herpes-Related Viruses**

The depressive effects of the N. sibthorpii Bentham iridoid epinepetalactone provoke alterations in general behavioral pattern of several animal species, and the role of additional compounds is suggested (Galati et al., 2004). In the N. nuda extracts, high content of the iridoid glucoside 1,5,9-dLDA (59) in the flowers was detected, which could suggest that this compound is the major iridoid in this species and might be attractive for cats, as well. As an indirect support for this assumption is the inhibition of human alphaherpesvirus type 1 by the N. nuda extracts. This antiviral effect could be associated with the feline herpesvirus type 1 (FHV-1) that is a cat alphaherpesvirus causing acute ocular surface disease, dermatitis, respiratory disease, and potentially intraocular disease (Thomasy and Maggs, 2016). However, many antiviral drugs developed for treatment of human herpesviruses have been also used for cat treatment after infection with FHV-1. This suggests that N. nuda could produce metabolites with a cat healing power. The metagenomic studies have shown that viruses are abundant in the wild plants, but they are typically asymptomatic, as insects and other herbivores are common vectors in virus transmission (Roossinck, 2015). The existing relationships between plants and viruses have shaped their evolution, and strikingly, the viruses could be mutualists rather than pathogens. For instance, the plant viruses can have positive impacts on plants’ ability to cope with biotic and abiotic stress factors. Notably, some viruses use plants as vectors and do not replicate in plants but they are transmitted horizontally through insect feeding on plants. Interestingly, virus-infected plants produce the hormone SA, which counteracts the JA response, and makes plants a better host (Roossinck, 2015). We identified 12-O-hexosyl-jasmonate (63) in N. nuda, which inhibits JA signaling (Miersch et al., 2008). However, the potential mechanism of N. nuda effects and herp-ex-related viruses requires further investigation. In addition to the possible antiviral properties of iridoids, our data pointed to N. nuda phenolic compounds accumulating mainly in flowers of the wild-grown plants.

**Direct and Bacteria-Mediated Phytotoxic Potential of N. nuda**

Our data on phytochemical potential of N. nuda provide additional information about the phytotoxic activity of N. nuda extracts (Table 5). The inhibition of plant growth occurs upon competition for common resources, such as nutrients and light (Cheng and Cheng, 2015). Phenolic acids and coumarins act as direct allelopathic agents by inhibiting cell proliferation and the respective growth processes (Table 5). The high antiproliferative activity of N. nuda extracts is associated with growth inhibition of different plant species (wheat, cucumber, corn, radish, lettuce, cress, purslane; Table 5). Allelopathic effect could be also indirect and mediated by the inhibition of plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB). For instance, A. calcoaceticus annotated as PGPB (Kang et al., 2009; Ishizawa et al., 2020), could act through production of extracellular gibberellic acid that stimulates plant elongation as a shade avoidance response (Yang and Li, 2017). It could be assumed that the “sun-plant” N. nuda can inhibit such light-competing plant species. Besides, A. calcoaceticus can improve cucumber growth by assisting degradation of phenolic allelochemicals, and activating antioxidant enzymes (Wu et al., 2018). Other PGPB, such as K. pneumoniae promotes plant growth inducing systemic resistance (Liu et al., 2018), and the soil saprophyte B. cereus ensures fungal protection of tomato seedlings (Ramírez et al., 2022). The potentially lethal animal and human pathogen S. aureus is directly affected by the phenolic compound SA that reduces the pathogen virulence and attachment to the root surface (Prithiviraj et al., 2005). Our data support the notion that phenolic compounds in N. nuda extracts directly inhibited the studied bacterial strains by impeding their growth. Interestingly, in contrast to the antioxidant and antiviral activities, where the N. nuda extracts from wild-grown plants showed significantly stronger activities than the in vitro plants, the antibacterial activities were nearly identical and did not depend on the environmental conditions. Recent report by Anićić et al. (2021) highlights Nepeta iridoids (nepetalactones and 1,5,9-dLDA) and the phenolic acid RA in methanol extracts, as potent antimicrobial agents. In our study, the in vitro plants had an increased FA content. Besides the literature reports discussing the growth inhibition of K. pneumoniae by FA (Lo and Chung, 1999), we tested the pure compound and confirmed its activity against all the four bacterial strains (Supplementary Figure S3). Accordingly, methanol extracts from other in vitro cultivated Nepeta species also inhibit the growth of B. cereus and S. aureus (Nestorović et al., 2010).
TABLE 5 | Interrelation between biological activities and metabolites identified in *Nepeta* species.

| Biological activities                  | Phenolics and iridoids in *N. nuda* | Extract details | References |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| **Antioxidant activity**               |                                     |                 |            |
| Antioxidant activity                   | Nepetodin B                          | Lamiaceae       | Grayer et al., 2003 |
|                                        | Nepetalactones                       | *N. nuda*       | Gkinis et al., 2010 |
|                                        | Methyl rosmarinate, clinopodic acid, | *Isodon lothrioides* var. gracilliflorus (Bentham) | Zhou et al., 2014 |
|                                        | salvianolic acid A, caffeic acid, syringic acid | *H. Hara* (Lamiaceae) |             |
|                                        | Chlorogenic acid, RA, quinic acid    | *N. nuda*       | Aras et al., 2016a,b |
|                                        | RA, FA, chlorogenic acid, syringic acid, | *N. nuda* L. ssp. *Nuda* | Dienaite et al., 2015 |
|                                        | caffeic acid, luteolin derivatives   | *N. nuda* L. subsp. *grandiflora* Hube.-Mor. | Sarikurkcu et al., 2019 |
|                                        | Chlorogenic acid, FA                 | *N. nuda*       |             |
|                                        | Phenols and flavonoids               | *N. nuda*       | Dordevic et al., 2019 |
|                                        | Salvianolic acid A and B             | *N. nuda*       | Zhang et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2019 |
| **Phytotoxic, antifeedant, antiproliferative activities** |                                 |                 |            |
| Plant–herbivore and prey–predator interactions | Iridoids | *N. nuda* subsp. *nuda* water extract | Konno et al., 1999 |
| Allelopathy                            | Water soluble allelochemicals        | *N. nuda*       | Dragoeva et al., 2017 |
| Allelopathy                            | Esculetin                            | *N. nuda*       | Fraga et al., 2017 |
| Allelopathy, antifeedant               | Nepetodin, phenolic acid derivatives | *N. taynea* Webb & Berth. | Kobaity et al., 2005 |
| Allelopathy                            | 1,8 cineole                          | *N. nuda*       | Mancini et al., 2009 |
| Allelopathy                            | Pulegone                             | *N. nuda* subsp. *albiflora* (Boiss.) | Bozari et al., 2013; Bozok et al., 2017 |
| Antiproliferative                      | Chlorogenic acid, RA, FA             | *N. nuda*       | Dienaite et al., 2018 |
| **Antiviral activity**                 |                                     |                 |            |
| Herpes simplex virus type 1 and type 2 (strain BA) | Na                                 | *N. nuda* ssp. *nuda* methanol, chloroform, water extracts | Todorov et al., 2015; Angelova et al., 2016 |
| Herpes simplex virus type 1, (strain F) and type 2 (strain DD) | Simple phenols and flavonoids | *N. nuda* ssp. *nuda* water extracts | Hinkov et al., 2020; this study |
| HIV and other viruses                  | Caffeic acid, luteolinic acid, salvianolic acid A, methyl salvianolate A, protocatechuic aldehyde, apigenin, RA | Lamiaceae | Reviewed in Bekut et al., 2018 |
| **Antibacterial**                      |                                     |                 |            |
| *K. pneumoniae, S. aureus*             | Iridoids                            | *N. rtanjensis* Diklić & Mitojović essential oil | Konno et al., 1999 |
| *K. pneumoniae, S. aureus*             | *Trans*-caryophyllene, isopulegone, cis-sabinol, and b-pinene | *N. nuda* subsp. *albiflora* (Boiss.) | Stojanović et al., 2005 |
| *B. cereus, S. aureus*                 | na                                  | *N. nuda*       | Alm et al., 2009 |
| *B. cereus*                            | na                                  | *N. nervosa* Royle & Bentham, *N. rtanjensis* | Nestorović et al., 2010 |
| *S. aureus*                            | na                                  | *N. catania* L. | Yildirim et al., 2013 |
| *K. pneumoniae, S. aureus*             | 4-α, 7β, 7α-nepetalactone, germacrene, elemol, β-caryophyllene, spathulenol, cubenol | *N. nuda* essential oil | Gormez et al., 2013 |
| Phytopathogenic bacteria (e.g., *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*) | 1,8-cineole                         | *N. nuda*       | Miladinović et al., 2015 |

(Continued)
Light-Dependent Metabolic Modulation of N. nuda During in vitro Cultivation

The light composition and intensity are important factors in stimulating plant flowering (Zhiponova et al., 2020a). The observed metabolite enrichment in N. nuda flowers led to the use of the previously reported light formula for boosting of flowering (Zhiponova et al., 2020a) in our in vitro experiment. Consistently with the natural environmental adaptation of N. nuda, the high light intensity stimulated RA (18), cirsimaritin (50), naringenin (69*), rutin (71*), isouqueretin (72*), as well as 1,5,9-cDLA (59). Lower light intensity significantly upregulated the chlorogenic acid (65*), which supports the existence of a positive correlation between the increased production of this metabolite and the low intensity and combination of blue, red and far−red lights (Chen et al., 2016). Aesculin (11) and FA (16) were specific for the W control variant, which could reflect lack of importance for flowering. Indeed, respective decrease (for aesculin) and slightly higher levels (for FA) of these compounds were detected in the flowers, as compared to the leaves.

Conclusions and Future Perspectives

This study characterized the impact of environmental factors in modulating the content of bioactive compounds in N. nuda that is largely distributed in Bulgaria. DNA barcoding enabled us to genetically discriminate and determine the precise phylogenetic position of N. nuda using the available Nepeta sequence records in public databases. We provided the first DNA barcode records for N. nuda in the BOLD database, thus contributing to the enrichment of global catalogs and specific genetic diversity for the genus Nepeta in Bulgaria. These data can be a valuable basis for further species identification and support future studies regarding Nepeta genetic variability. Organ specificity of phytoimmunity features of N. nuda, such as the antioxidant and antiviral activities, phenolic acids, flavonoids and iridoid glycosides, were analyzed and discussed from an ecophysiological perspective, and their dependence on environmental conditions. Furthermore, this study showed that the light spectrum and intensity are crucial factors affecting the differential accumulation of phenolic acids, flavonoids and iridoids in N. nuda. Therefore, these studies could strengthen and facilitate the understanding of N. nuda ecology and targeted modulation of its productivity under controlled conditions, thus entailing their potential benefits for agriculture and pharmacology.

The current work provides the platform for further detailed studies on Nepeta species in multiple research directions. In point of view of genetic diversity, the study of ecological and taxonomic dynamics within the genus Nepeta is of particular interest especially when correlating a genetic ecotype and metabolite activities. It would be of interest to define more aspects of the molecular mechanism regulating phenolics and iridoids levels—effect of environmental signals, gene expression, parallel profiles of other N. nuda metabolites. Regarding the biological activities, it would be of interest to identify specific related metabolites with importance for food quality and human health. The application of biotechnological approaches would assist targeted accumulation of compounds by elicitors, as well as enhanced metabolic production by cell cultures and bioreactor.
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