Assessment of Epstein-Barr Virus in Patients with Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Larynx by Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction
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Abstract

Objectives: This study was conducted to investigate the presence of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) as a risk factor in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) and its effects on the pathological and clinical features of this disease.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted on 55 patients with histologically confirmed laryngeal SCC in the pathology department of Shafa and Afzalipour hospital, Kerman, Iran, referred to the radiation oncology department between January 2018 and May 2019 (study group). Thirty-five patients who underwent surgery for benign laryngeal lesions such as polyps, nodules, cysts, or granulomas were considered as the control group. Biopsy specimens of all subjects were examined for the presence of EBV DNA by Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR). Demographic and clinicopathological characteristics of all patients were recorded and patients with laryngeal SCC were followed up regarding relapse. The data were analyzed using SPSS-17 software and descriptive and analytical statistics were done.

Results: The incidence of EBV infection in patients with laryngeal SCC was 32.7% (95% CI: 5/45 – 21/8) and this amount was 2.9% (95% CI: 0 – 8.6) in the control group. Based on multivariate logistic regression model, age (OR: 1.05, 95% Cl: 1.00 – 1.10, P-value: 0.04), smoking (OR: 6.82, 95% CI: 1.69 – 27.53, P-value: 0.007) and opium consumption (OR: 6.46, 95% CI: 1.36 – 30.66, P-value: 0.01) were determined as predictors of laryngeal SCC. In the patients’ group, the presence of EBV was associated with a higher lymph node stage, which was statistically significant but there was no significant relationship in terms of age, sex, tumor location and T stage and relapse.

Discussion & Conclusion: This study showed an association between EBV as a risk factor and laryngeal SCC.
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Introduction

Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) of the larynx is a common malignancy that is associated with a high rate of disability and mortality.1 The role of many factors, especially tobacco and alcohol consumption, have been confirmed in the development of laryngeal SCC, but the rate of this malignancy is also increasing in people who do not smoke or drink alcohol.2 Recent studies have investigated the role of viruses in developing this type of cancer.3-5

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) is a virus that can cause cancer and is transmitted orally and infects more than 95% of people in the first decade of life.1 Although the role of the virus in the pathogenesis of some head and neck cancers, such as nasopharyngeal cancer, is well known, there are limited studies available on the association of EBV with laryngeal SCC that have shown different results, and the role of EBV in the laryngeal SCC is still unclear.6-9

Although laryngeal cancer mainly affects the glottis in most parts of the world, studies in Iran and Mediterranean countries have reported that the tumor site is mainly in the supraglottis.10-12 On the other hand, it is estimated that the high prevalence of supraglottic cancers than glottis ones in some geographical areas is due to differences in the prevalence of the EBV virus in different locations. Despite the importance of laryngeal cancer, the result of previous studies on the role of EBV in this entity is controversial and has not yet been studied in Iran.6,7,9,11 In the present study, the incidence of EBV in specimens of benign and malignant lesions of the larynx was investigated by RT-PCR. Also, the effects of EBV on pathological and clinical features of the patients with laryngeal SCC were investigated.

Materials and Methods

In the present study, patients with histologically confirmed laryngeal SCC in the pathology department of Shafa and Afzalipour hospital, Kerman, Iran, referred to the radiation oncology department between January 2018 and May 2019, were considered as the study group.

Patients who underwent surgery for benign laryngeal lesions such as polyps, nodules, cysts, or granulomas were considered as the control group while individuals with a biopsy showing precancerous lesions such as leukoplakia or dysplasia were excluded.

Demographic information of all subjects, including age and sex, as well as a history of alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking and opium use, lesion location (glottis, supraglottis, and subglottis), grade (G1: well, differentiated, G2: moderately differentiated, G3: Poorly differentiated) and stage of the disease (T, N, M staging, AJCC 2017) were collected and entered in a form designed for registration.

Patients with laryngeal SCC were visited every 4 to 8 weeks for the first 2 years and then every 3 months.
During follow-up visits, patients underwent history taking, physical and fiberoptic examination. If relapse was suspected, laryngeal biopsy would be taken.

All tissue samples (paraffin-embedded blocks) included in the study were examined for the detection of EBV DNA by RT-PCR.

The molecular tests of detecting EBV DNA by RT-PCR were performed based on the following steps:

1. Preparation of pathological samples in tissue processor device which contains formalin, ethanol, and xylol. Molding samples by paraffin molds, and removing formalin from the tissue in several stages.
2. Virus DNA extraction using RIBO-Prep commercial kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

### The Quantitative and Qualitative Examination of the Extracted DNA

The quantity and quality (amount of protein and polysaccharide contaminants) of the extracted DNA were evaluated by the absorption rate of the samples at 260 and 280 nm and the calculation of the adsorption ratios of 260/260 nm using the NanoDrop spectrophotometer. To detect the absence of fractures and the integrity of the DNA, the same amount of extracted DNA was electrophoresed on the 1.5% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide.

3. Study of light absorption NanoDrop device
   At this stage, to measure the amount of DNA extracted, the amount of 2 µl of the DNA sample was poured into a 0.2 ml microtube, and after adding 98 µl of distilled water to it, the absorbance of the solution was measured at a wavelength of 260 nm. Finally, according to the DNA absorption coefficient at 260 nm, and also, the dilution coefficient of 50, the amount of DNA was calculated.

4. Identification of samples for EBV contamination using Real-time PCR tests
   Real-time PCR was used to detect the EBV virus. This method uses a primer and a probe specific for the BZLF5 virus gene region, which is responsible for the synthesis of viral DNA polymerase. Sequences of these areas are given below.

   **Primer 1:** CGGAAGCCCTCTGGACCTC
   **Primer 2:** CCTCTGTTATACCGATGGAATG
   **Probe FAM-TGTAACGCACGGAGGATG-CGCC-BHQ1**

   After extracting the virus genome, 10 µl of mastermix2x, 0.5 µl of primer and probe with a final concentration of 10 nmol, 4.5 µl of DEPC sterile water, and finally, 5 µl of DNA and the extracted sample were added to 0.2 mL microtubes. After a quick spin, control samples with unknown samples were placed in Real-time PCR (Rotor-Gene 6000, Australia, and Corbett Research) and tested according to temperature profile (95°C for 10 minutes with 45 cycles 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 40 seconds). Finally, positive samples in the green channel (FAM) were analyzed in terms of fluorescence at a temperature of 60°C.

All collected data were plugged into the SPSS version 17 software. The mean and standard deviation indices were used to describe quantitative data and the percentage and frequency were used to describe qualitative data. The Chi-square test was also used to compare EBV positivity in the control and patient groups, to examine the positive relationship between EBV and other factors such as smoking and alcohol consumption, stage and degree of disease differentiation, and tumor location. Statistical significant level was considered at $P = 0.05$.

### Results

#### Demographic Information of Subjects

The present study was conducted on 55 patients with laryngeal cancer and 35 patients with benign laryngeal diseases. The mean age of subjects in this study was 58.04 ± 11.55 years old (age range: 31 to 88) for patients with laryngeal cancer and 46.89 ± 14.63 years old (age range: 9 to 71) for those with benign laryngeal diseases, respectively, and patients with cancer were older ($P = 0.001$). According to the findings, patients with laryngeal cancer were significantly different from those with benign laryngeal diseases in terms of sex, cigarette smoking, opium consumption, and EBV infection. The majority of cancer patients were male ($P = 0.01$) and more than three-quarter of them were cigarette smokers (80% versus 54.2%; $P = 0.001$) and opium consumers (87.3% versus 58.3%; $P = 0.004$), and EBV infection was positive for more than one-quarter of them (32.7% versus 2.9%; $P = 0.001$) in comparison to those with benign laryngeal diseases (Table 1).

| Variables | Level of variables | Patients with laryngeal cancer ($n = 35$) | Patients with benign laryngeal diseases ($n = 35$) | $P$-value |
|-----------|--------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Age (year) | Male | 58.04 ± 11.55 | 46.89 ± 14.63 | 0.001 |
| Sex | Female | 51 (92.7) | 26 (74.3) | 0.01 |
| Cigarette smoking | Negative | 11 (20) | 11 (45.8) | 0.001 |
| Opium consumption | Negative | 7 (12.7) | 10 (41.7) | 0.004 |
| EBV infection | Negative | 37 (67.3) | 34 (97.1) | 0.001 |

*The cigarette smoking and opium consumption of a patient with benign laryngeal diseases was unclear.*
The Correlation of Demographic and Clinical Information with EBV Infection in Cancer Patients

According to the findings, cancer patients with EBV infection were similar to other cancer patients based on demographic information such as age ($P = 0.53$), sex ($P = 1$), cigarette smoking ($P = 1$), and opium consumption ($P = 0.67$) (Table 2). Among clinical information of cancer patients, only N staging was associated with EBV infection and cancer patients with EBV had higher N staging in comparison to other cancer patients without EBV infection ($P = 0.004$). The other clinical information was not statistically different between the two groups (Table 2).

The Mean follow-up time was $17.50 \pm 6.95$ months. Relapse occurred during the follow-up period in 4 patients with laryngeal SCC (7.27%) with a mean time to recurrence of $11.50 \pm 7.59$ months. Two of these patients were EBV positive and the remaining was EBV negative. The mean time to recurrence in EBV positive group was $6.50 \pm 3.53$ months and in EBV negative group was $16.50 \pm 7.77$ months ($P$-value = 0.24).

Discussion

There are few studies on the relationship between EBV and SCC of the larynx and the results of previous studies on this issue are not conclusive.$^{6,9,14,15}$ The present study was conducted to evaluate the prevalence of EBV infection in patients with laryngeal SCC and to compare it with patients with benign laryngeal diseases in Kerman. Findings showed that the prevalence of EBV infection in patients with laryngeal SCC was $32.7\%$ (95% CI: 21.8–5.45). In patients with benign laryngeal diseases who were considered as controls, the prevalence of EBV infection was $2.9\%$ (95% CI: 0.6–6). This finding is inconsistent with the study of Polz-Gruszka et al. (2015) in Poland, which tested serum EBV and LMP-1 antibodies in 64 patients with laryngeal cancer, $35 (54.7\%)$ of whom were EBV positive. The control group consisted of $40$ cases, in $10$ out of which, the virus genome was positive (25%). The researchers concluded that the EBV virus is present in patients with pharyngeal and laryngeal cancer in Polish patients and that its wild form, in particular, is more closely associated with tumors. Lee et al.$^{17}$ also reported EBV as an independent risk factor that causes laryngeal SCC. In contrast, in a study by Muderris et al.$^4$ on $25$ patients with laryngeal SCC and $17$ patients with benign laryngeal lesions, fresh tissue samples were examined by PCR to detect EBV DNA. EBV DNA was positive in $40\%$ (10 of 25) of patients with laryngeal cancer and $47.1\%$ (8 of 17) in the control group (benign lesions) with no significant difference ($P = 0.892$). Therefore, in the present study, which includes a higher number of subjects than previous studies, a relationship was found between EBV and laryngeal SCC.

Old age is known as a risk factor for laryngeal SCC.$^{18}$ The mean age of patients with laryngeal SCC in the present study

---

Table 2. Comparison of demographic and clinical information based on EBV infection among cancer patients

| Variables                  | Level of variables | Cancer patients without EBV ($n = 37$) | Cancer patients with EBV ($n = 18$) | $P$-value |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Age (year)                 |                    | $57.35 \pm 10.74$                     | $59.44 \pm 13.26$                  | 0.53$^a$ |
| Sex                        | Male               | 34 (91.9)                             | 17 (94.4)                          | 1$^b$     |
|                           | Female             | 3 (8.1)                               | 1 (5.6)                            |           |
| Cigarette smoking          | Negative           | 7 (18.9)                              | 4 (22.2)                           | 1$^b$     |
|                           | Positive           | 30 (81.1)                             | 14 (77.8)                          |           |
| Opium consumption          | Negative           | 4 (10.8)                              | 3 (16.7)                           | 0.67$^b$ |
|                           | Positive           | 33 (89.2)                             | 15 (83.3)                          |           |
| Histological grading       | G1                 | 5 (13.5)                              | 4 (22.2)                           | 0.51$^b$ |
|                           | G2                 | 30 (81.1)                             | 14 (77.8)                          |           |
|                           | G3                 | 2 (5.4)                               | 0                                  |           |
| T stage                    | T1                 | 4 (10.8)                              | 1 (5.6)                            | 0.62$^b$ |
|                           | T2                 | 13 (35.1)                             | 4 (22.2)                           |           |
|                           | T3                 | 18 (48.6)                             | 11 (61.1)                          |           |
|                           | T4                 | 2 (5.4)                               | 2 (11.1)                           |           |
| N stage                    | N0                 | 32 (86.5)                             | 8 (44.4)                           | 0.002$^a$ |
|                           | N1                 | 3 (8.1)                               | 6 (33.3)                           |           |
|                           | N2                 | 1 (2.7)                               | 4 (22.2)                           |           |
|                           | N3                 | 1 (2.7)                               | 0                                  |           |
| Location of cancer         | Subglottic         | 1 (2.7)                               | 1 (5.6)                            | 0.40$^b$ |
|                           | Glott              | 12 (32.4)                             | 3 (16.7)                           |           |
|                           | Supraglottic       | 24 (64.9)                             | 14 (77.8)                          |           |
| Relapse                    | Negative           | 35 (94.6)                             | 16 (88.9)                          | 0.59$^b$ |
|                           | Positive           | 2 (5.4)                               | 2 (11.1)                           |           |

$^a$Student’s $t$-test, $^b$Fisher’s exact test.
was 58.04 ± 11.55 years old (age range: 31 to 88 years). The majority of patients \( (n = 53, 96.36\%) \) were over 40 years old and there was a significant relationship between increasing age and cancer incidence \( (P = 0.001) \). There was no statistically significant relationship between age and EBV infection in patients with laryngeal cancer \( (P = 0.53) \), which is consistent with the results of several previous studies.\(^7\)\(^9\)

In this study, the majority of patients \( (n = 51, 92.7\%) \) were male and the rest were female \( (n = 4, 7.3\%) \). Based on the findings of this study, there was no relationship between the frequency of EBV infection and the gender of cancer patients \( (P = 1) \), which is consistent with the results of the study of Zhang et al.\(^9\).

Cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption are known as risk factors of laryngeal SCC.\(^21\) In this study, none of the patients reported a history of alcohol consumption but more than three-quarters of cancer patients reported smoking \( (44, 80\%) \) and there was a significant relationship between cigarette smoking and SCC of the larynx \( (P = 0.02) \). Opium consumption is also a risk factor for developing head and neck cancer.\(^21\) It was also found that more than 80% of cancer patients were opium users \( (n = 48, 87.3\%) \), and there was a significant relationship between opium use and laryngeal SCC \( (P = 0.006) \), but there is no association between EBV infection and smoking \( (P = 1) \) or opium use \( (P = 0.67) \) in cancer patients. This finding is consistent with the result of the study of Muderris et al.,\(^4\) who also found no association between alcohol consumption and smoking and EBV infection in cancer patients.\(^4\)

In cancer patients, the most common lesion site was the supraglottic region \( (38\) cases, 69.1\%)\), followed by the glottis region \( (15\) cases, 27.3\%)\). In only two patients, a lesion was observed in the subglottic region \( (3.6\%)\). Findings from the study showed that there was no association between the lesion site of cancer and EBV infection \( (P = 0.40) \). This finding is consistent with the results of the study of Vazquez-Guillen et al.,\(^7\) who found that EBV DNA in patients with laryngeal SCC and EBV was positive in 22.1% of glottic cancer, 16.7% of subglottic cancer, and 33.3% of supraglottic malignancy. There was no difference between the EBV DNA and the tumor location in their study.\(^7\) In contrast, Břicháček et al.,\(^2\) reported an association between laryngeal supraglottic carcinoma and EBV infection, and also, found that EBV DNA was positive in 3 out of 5 patients with supraglottic laryngeal cancer.\(^21\)

In the present study, more than one-third of cancer patients was in T2N0 stage \( (n = 22, 40\%) \), followed by T3N0-T1-3N1 stage \( (n = 20, 36.4\%) \), stage T4N0 \( (n = 10, 18.2\%) \), T1-4N2-3 \( (n = 10, 18.2\%) \), and T1N0 \( (n = 3, 5.5\%) \), respectively. EBV has no significant relationship with the T stage \( (P = 0.62) \) but was associated with a higher lymphatic stage \( (P = 0.002) \). Also, no relationship was found between pathological grade and virus burden \( (P = 0.51) \). In a study by Al-Thawadi et al.,\(^23\) that examined the association of this virus with the histopathological and clinical features of head and neck cancers, no association was found between EBV infection and tumor grade or disease stage,\(^7\) which is consistent with the results of several other studies.\(^4\)

The effect of EBV on the prognosis of laryngeal SCC has been controversial.\(^7\)\(^24\) In the present study, 4 patients relapsed, among whom two patients were EBV positive and the other ones were EBV negative. There was no significant relationship between EBV and relapse incidence \( (P = 0.59) \). So, further studies on the effect of EBV on prognosis and risk of disease relapse are recommended.

The effects of co-infection of EBV with other oncogene viruses were determined in different head and neck cancers.\(^5\)\(^6\) In the present study, only the EBV virus was assessed, so we plan to investigate the effect of co-infection of oncogene viruses on clinicopathologic characteristics and prognosis of this type of cancer.

**Conclusion**

The findings of the present study showed an association between EBV as a risk factor and laryngeal SCC. Although in this study, the presence of EBV in supraglottic cancers was higher than in other laryngeal sites, this relationship was not significant. Due to the small sample size in the group of cancer patients, further studies with larger sample sizes are recommended to investigate this relationship.
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