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Adipocytokine Associations with Insulin Resistance in British South Asians
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Aims. Adipocytokines are implicated in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes and may represent identifiable precursors of metabolic disease within high-risk groups. We investigated adiponectin, leptin, and TNF-α and assessed the contribution of these molecules to insulin resistance in south Asians.

Hypothesis. South Asians have adverse adipocytokine profiles which associate with an HOMA-derived insulin resistance phenotype.

Methods. We measured adipocytokine concentrations in south Asians with newly diagnosed impaired glucose tolerance or Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in a case-control study. 158 (48.5% males) volunteers aged 25–75 years with risk factors for diabetes but no known vascular or metabolic disease provided serum samples for ELISA and bioplex assays.

Results. Total adiponectin concentration progressively decreased across the glucose spectrum in both sexes. A reciprocal trend in leptin concentration was observed only in south Asian men. Adiponectin but not leptin independently associated with HOMA-derived insulin resistance after logistic multivariate regression.

Conclusion. Diasporic south Asian populations have an adverse adipocytokine profile which deteriorates further with glucose dysregulation. Insulin resistance is inversely associated with adiponectin independent of BMI and waist circumference in south Asians, implying that adipocytokine interplay contributes to the pathogenesis of metabolic disease in this group.

1. Introduction

The role of obesity in the pathogenesis of metabolic disease has received considerable attention since the discovery of biologically active adipose-tissue-derived circulatory proteins (adipocytokines) [1–3]. It is proposed over- or underproduction of adipocytokines in overweight individuals generates an adipose-specific inflammatory response which may be an important determinant of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) [4–6]. Prospective evidence exists for this within certain populations, with low concentrations of the adipocytokine adiponectin correlating strongly with insulin resistance syndromes and or incident T2DM independent of obesity [4–15]. Adiponectin may decrease T2DM risk via a number of mechanisms including hepatic fatty acid oxidation, enhanced peripheral glucose uptake, and stimulated insulin secretion [16].

The identification of particular biomarker profiles within well-defined high-risk groups may therefore provide important pathogenic insight as well as potential clinical utility through predictive capacity [17]. Of particular interest are United Kingdom populations tracing first or second ancestry to the Indian subcontinent. Collectively termed South Asians, it appears this diaspora is particularly susceptible to the effects of Western urbanisation and manifest a disproportionate prevalence of premature T2DM [18]. There is some evidence that South Asians have lower adiponectin and higher circulating hsCRP concentrations than White Europeans even in the absence of BMI-defined obesity and glucose dysregulation. This implies hypoadiponectinemia may be a generalised phenomenon within this group and a possible mediator of premature metabolic disease [4, 19–23]. The majority of biomarker studies to date have attempted to...
correlate various topographical measurements and markers of metabolic dysfunction with adiponectin [24–28]. We are unaware of any previous studies categorising adipokines across the glucose spectrum in UK South Asians and then establishing their relationship with insulin resistance independent of measures of obesity.

This study aimed to firstly characterise a range of adipokines (adiponectin, leptin, Tumour Necrosis Factor-α (TNF-α)) within age-gender-matched groups of South Asians with normal glucose tolerance (NGT), impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), and Type 2 Diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and secondly determine adipocytokine interactions with known predictors of metabolic risk and insulin resistance within this group.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects. This was a retrospective case-control study embedded within a population-based screening programme for diabetes. Seventeen general practices initially invited listed patients with at least one risk factor for diabetes to a hospital or community-based screening appointment [29]. The response rate amongst invited South Asian subjects was 12%. Nine hundred and sixty-three male and female volunteers aged 25–70 underwent a standard 75g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). A diagnosis of normal glucose tolerance (NGT), impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), or Type 2 Diabetes (T2DM) was made using 1999 WHO criteria [30].

Additional consent was obtained for adipocyte biomarker analyses in south Asian individuals with no history of cardiovascular disease (Figure 1). Approval was granted by the local research and ethics committee as a substudy amendment to the original screening programme protocol. The investigation was conducted in accordance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Recruitment and Patient Flow. Of the volunteers reporting south Asian ethnicity in the parent screening study, 530 were eligible for and consented to the temporary storage of their serum for future adipocytokine biomarker analysis. Twenty-two percent of this group had a WHO-defined glucose disorder and their samples were selected within respective T2DM and IGT categories to produce equal sample sizes across the glucose spectrum. All T2DM cases and 50% (40/79) of IGT subjects were selected for biomarker analysis and an age-sex-matched normal glucose tolerant control allocated by an independent researcher blinded to biomarker data. There were no statistically significant demographic differences between those consenting for (n = 530) and those meeting the inclusion criteria (no cardiovascular disease) but not consenting for biomarker analysis (n = 328) (Figure 1).

2.3. Biomedical Measurements. Baseline demographic data captured at screening included age, sex, smoking behaviour, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, and self-reported history of cardiovascular disease or its treatment. Ethnicity status was self-assigned using UK population census categories. Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using standard weighing scales. Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a stadiometer. Waist circumference was measured by trained staff using a nonstretching measuring tape over the tops of the iliac crests [31]. Hip circumference was measured over the greatest protrusion of the gluteal muscles. Blood pressure was measured according to a standardised operating procedure using a calibrated sphygmomanometer and brachial inflation cuff (HEM-7200 M3, Omron Healthcare, Kyoto, Japan).

2.4. Biomarker Measurements. Biomarker and insulin samples were handled separately to screening measurements. They were immediately centrifuged and stored at −80°C in 200 μL aliquots to minimise repeated defrosting cycles. These analyses were performed in a single University research laboratory with expertise in adipocytokine assays. Adiponectin, leptin, and TNF-α were all analysed using bioplex assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Linco Research Inc., St. Charles, MO, USA). Bioplex assay sample measurement using fluorescent microbead technology allowed simultaneous quantitation of several target proteins within a single serum sample of 50–100 μL. For all the assays, the filter plate was prewetted using a specific wash buffer and then 65 μL of assay buffer followed by 10 μL of calibrator, controls or 10 μL of diluted serum samples were added to the appropriate wells. The bead bottle was thoroughly vortexed for 1 minute before adding 25 μL of the bead suspension to each well, taking care to mix intermittently to avoid settling. The filter plate was sealed, covered with aluminium foil, and incubated for 16–18 hours overnight at 2–8°C. The fluid was then gently removed by vacuum extraction and the plate was washed three times with wash buffer. Fifty microlitres of detection antibody cocktail was added to each well and then the plate was resealed, covered, and incubated with agitation for 30 minutes at room temperature. After removing contents by vacuum, the plate was washed three times, and then 50 μL of streptavidin-phycoerythrin was added to each well. After further identical incubation at 30 minutes, the contents were removed by vacuum, the plate was washed three times and 100 μL of sheath fluid was added to all wells. The beads were resuspended by shaking on a plate shaker for 5 minutes before the plate was run on the analyser. For bioplex, intraassay coefficient of variation was 1.4–7.9% and inter-assay coefficient of variation was <15%.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. A power calculation was undertaken for a biomarker (adiponectin) comparison based upon available data in south Asian subjects with type 2 diabetes [24]. A total sample size of 34 would give 80% power at the 5% level to detect a one standard deviation difference between south Asian IGT and T2DM groups. All values are given as mean ± standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise stated. Skewed distributions were log transformed. T-tests or non-parametric comparisons of median values were used to determine statistical differences in biomedical data across glucose categories. HOMA-derived insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated as fasting insulin (μUml⁻¹) × fasting glucose (mmoll⁻¹)/22.5, with a set value of more
than 3.0 indicating likely significant Insulin resistance. Conditional logistic regression analysis following logarithmic transformation of selected variables was used to determine independent biomarker relationships with insulin resistance. Only variables considered to be of importance and those significant at the level of bivariate analysis (see Supplementary Material available at [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/561016](http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/561016)) were entered simultaneously into the model. Categorical variables were coded as follows: gender: male or female, smoking: active or inactive, cardiovascular disease or lipid lowering medication: active prescription or no prescription. Summary measures of goodness of fit were performed ahead of regression analyses. Significance was assumed if \( P < 0.05 \). All statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS statistical software version 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

### 3. Results

158 Indian south Asian subjects were included, 79 with normal glucose tolerance (NGT), 40 with impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and 39 with Type 2 Diabetes (T2DM). The mean age of the study population was 53.6 years with men and women equally represented. Biomedical characteristics categorised by glucose status are displayed in Table 1. There were statistically significant differences in Body Mass Index (BMI), waist circumference, waist hip ratio (WHR), serum triglycerides, glucose indices (fasting and two-hour plasma glucose), and derived insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) between NGT and T2DM categories. Significant differences were also observed between IGT and T2DM groups for these parameters and serum HDL-cholesterol. Fewer NGT controls were prescribed antihypertensive and or lipid lowering therapies than either of the IGT or T2DM comparator groups.

Table 2 depicts mean biomarker concentrations across NGT, IGT, and T2DM glucose categories. Adiponectin concentration decreased and leptin concentration increased across the glucose spectrum. An incremental reduction in adiponectin between NGT and T2DM groups reached statistical significance in women. Conversely a statistically significant increase in leptin concentration between NGT and T2DM was observed in men. There were no statistically significant differences in TNF-\( \alpha \) concentration across glucose categories.

Table 3 demonstrates the independent effects of adiponectin, leptin, and TNF-\( \alpha \) on insulin resistance (defined by HOMA-IR > 3.0) using conditional logistic regression. Omnibus test of model coefficients indicated a good fit for all models (e.g., for adiponectin chi [2] 64.4 \( P < 0.001 \)). An independent association was observed between the defined insulin resistant state and adiponectin in models adjusting for the effects of age, gender, BMI, waist circumference, smoking, cardioprotective medications, and lipids. No independent associations of insulin resistance with either Leptin or TNF-\( \alpha \) were observed in this selected
Table 1: Biomedical characteristics of the study population defined by normal glucose tolerance (NGT), impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), and newly diagnosed type 2 Diabetes (T2DM).

|                    | NGT          | IGT          | T2DM         |
|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| n                  | 79           | 40           | 39           |
| Age (years)        | 52.1 ± 9.8   | 55.1 ± 11.7  | 52.7 ± 9.2   |
| Male sex           | 40 (50)      | 22 (54)      | 17 (44)      |
| Active Smoking     | 8 (10)       | 6 (15)       | 6 (15)       |
| BMI (kg m⁻²)       | 26.6 ± 4.2   | 29.1 ± 4.9   | 30.1 ± 5.8   |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 92.7 ± 10.6 | 96.9 ± 10.1  | 100.8 ± 10.1 |
| WHR                | 0.88 ± 0.08  | 0.91 ± 0.08  | 0.95 ± 0.08  |
| SBP (mmHg)         | 135.3 ± 19.3 | 139.5 ± 20.6 | 144.3 ± 18.5 |
| DBP (mmHg)         | 85.4 ± 10.7  | 86.3 ± 8.2   | 89.7 ± 9.1   |
| Total cholesterol (mmoll⁻¹) | 5.3 ± 1.0   | 5.2 ± 1.1   | 5.3 ± 1.1   |
| HDL-C (mmoll⁻¹)    | 1.3 ± 0.3    | 1.2 ± 0.3    | 1.1 ± 0.2    |
| Triglycerides (mmoll⁻¹)* | 1.4 (0.8)  | 1.7 (1.2)   | 2.6 (2.1)   |
| FPG (mmoll⁻¹)      | 5.0 ± 0.5    | 5.4 ± 0.6    | 8.1 ± 2.1    |
| 2 h PLG (mmoll⁻¹)  | 5.4 ± 1.2    | 8.9 ± 1.5    | 14.9 ± 3.9   |
| HOMA-IR (arb.)*    | 1.6 (1.2)    | 2.5 (1.8)    | 6.0 (4.9)    |
| CVD medication     |              |              |              |
| Antihypertensive   | 14 (18)      | 15 (34)      | 14 (36)      |
| Lipid lowering     | 16 (21)      | 12 (29)      | 11 (28)      |

Data are means ± standard deviation (SD) or median with inter quartile range (IQR)* and n (%). WC: waist circumference, WHR: waist Hip Ratio, SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol subfraction, FPG: fasting plasma glucose, 2-h PLG: 2 hour post-load (75g-oral glucose tolerance test) glucose. HOMA-IR: homeostasis model insulin resistance.

Table 2: Mean biomarker concentrations within glucose groups.

|                  | NGT         | IGT         | T2DM        |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Adiponectin (µg mL⁻¹)* |            |             |             |
| Men              | 10.6 (6.48) | 9.3 (5.0)   | 6.9 (3.8)   |
| Women            | 18.6 (11.8) | 15.7 (10.0) | 11.0 (4.9)  |
| Total            | 13.6 (9.7)  | 12.4 (7.7)  | 8.5 (5.1)   |
| Leptin (ng mL⁻¹)* |             |             |             |
| Men              | 10.5 (6.8)  | 14.3 (13.0) | 16.2 (13.5) |
| Women            | 34.2 (29.7) | 39.9 (35.7) | 43.4 (40.7) |
| Total            | 20.3 (17.6) | 26.5 (29.0) | 26.7 (27.8) |
| TNF-α (pg mL⁻¹)* |             |             |             |
| Men              | 1.76 (1.4)  | 1.75 (1.2)  | 2.14 (2.3)  |
| Women            | 1.96 (1.1)  | 1.65 (1.3)  | 1.80 (1.2)  |
| Total            | 1.84 (1.3)  | 1.70 (1.3)  | 2.01 (1.6)  |

*Data are median + inter quartile range (IQR).

P Nonparametric samples median test.

4. Discussion

This study adds to the body of evidence implicating adipocytokine activity (or inactivity) in the pathogenesis of metabolic disease. South Asians, whether “westernised” or residing on the Indian subcontinent appear to have an adverse adipocytokine profile characterised by low total or fractionated hexameric adiponectin and increased leptin. Here we demonstrate an independent association of adiponectin with insulin resistance in a group known to develop diabetes and coronary heart disease earlier than indigenous white European populations.

Like others, we found that adiponectin is low in south Asians compared with similar published data for white Europeans and other races [23–28]. We found an independent relationship between HOMA measured insulin resistance and total adiponectin in south Asians after adjusting for the effects of age, BMI, waist circumference, cardiovascular protective medication, and lipids. This was an expected finding as plasma adiponectin has previously been shown to predict type 2 diabetes within high-risk Asian, Native American and Caucasian populations [32]. Cross-sectional studies examining glucose and adiponectin relationships have demonstrated either strong relationships [19, 25, 33] with HOMA or independent relationships confined to various glucose indices [23–25]. A recent study by Luo et al. demonstrated that total adiponectin associates with IGT and T2DM in Asian Indian women [26]. Low adiponectin levels in pregnancy have recently been shown to predict postpartum insulin resistance and beta-cell dysfunction indicating a major role in the pathogenesis of T2DM [34]. Interestingly, weight loss (and increased insulin sensitivity) through calorie restriction does not appear to effect presumed hyperinsulinaemia-induced...
Table 3: Conditional logistic regression of adipokine biomarkers with insulin resistance.

|          | Model 1                |          | Model 2                |          |
|----------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
|          | \( R^2 \)              | Wald stat | Beta coefficient (95% CI) | \( P \) |
| Adiponectin | 0.29                   | 12.41    | 0.91 (0.85, 0.95)      | <0.01   |
| Leptin    | 0.23                   | 0.09     | 0.99 (0.97, 1.01)      | 0.78    |
| TNF-\( \alpha \) | 0.22               | 0.09     | 1.03 (0.85, 1.23)      | 0.03    |
| Adiponectin | 0.28                   | 13.13    | 0.89 (0.85, 0.95)      | <0.01   |
| Leptin    | 0.21                   | 1.69     | 1.01 (0.99, 1.01)      | 0.20    |
| TNF-\( \alpha \) | 0.20               | 0.07     | 1.00 (1.01, 1.12)      | 0.93    |

HOMA-IR > 3.0 used to define insulin resistance for categorical dependent variable.

Model 1: age, gender, BMI, smoking, Cardioprotective medication.

Model 2: age, gender, waist circumference, smoking, total cholesterol.

Reduction in adiponectin in obese women, suggesting predetermined genetic causality or alternative adipocytokine biological activity [35]. We found little evidence of this on our selected population with no independent association of insulin resistance with either serum leptin or TNF-\( \alpha \). Adiponectin-insulin resistance interplay appears more complex than the relatively simplistic paradigm of causality currently postulated. Alternative hypotheses are emerging in which insulin sensitivity may mediate adiponectin processing and release [36]. Longitudinal work is warranted to further investigate this relationship in South Asians and to characterise primary genetic alterations that may predispose this group to hypoadiponectinemia.

Leptin is an adipocytokine with a key role in the hypothalamic satiety response regulating energy metabolism. Some studies in south Asians link this molecule to inflammation, insulin resistance and other cardiovascular risk factors [37, 38]. TNF-\( \alpha \) is markedly upregulated in obese states and probably promotes insulin resistance by interfering with insulin receptor signalling. TNF-\( \alpha \) concentrations are higher in urban compared with rural South Asians living in India [39]. Lack of any correlation between leptin/TNF-\( \alpha \) and insulin resistance in our south Asian population was an unexpected finding. The absence of an association between insulin resistance and leptin independent of BMI or waist circumference in this high risk group suggests this molecule may not be a key driver to hyperinsulinemia in the advanced stages of dysmetabolism.

We recognize a number of limitations to our study design. Firstly, power to detect differences in biomarker concentrations other than adiponectin may be insufficient, especially for subgroup and gender comparisons. Secondly, the cross-sectional design of the study cannot infer causality. Longitudinal studies with many years of followup are required to determine true relationships between biomarker profiles and metabolic disease/diabetes risk. Thirdly, there is some evidence that adiponectin subfractions (high molecular weight adiponectin—12–18 multimers) rather than the total concentration measured here provides a better measure of biological activity and is a strong predictor of diabetes [40]. This could provide an explanation for the lack of independent correlation of adiponectin with insulin resistance in other south Asian groups although a recent prospective study showed similar associations of total and high molecular weight adiponectin with incident diabetes [32]. There remain relatively few studies in migrant south Asian populations who are at high risk of T2DM and strengths of this approach included the robust phenotyping methodology employed and cardiovascular disease free sample.

In summary, we have found an independent association of the biomarker Adiponectin with insulin resistance in South Asians. Further work to elucidate exactly how adipocytokine interplay contributes to metabolic risk across ethnic groups is needed.
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