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Kinetic and Isotherm Studies Biochar on Ammonium Solution
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Abstract: Sewage sludge was utilized into biochar using the slow pyrolysis method. The biochar was then being used for ammonium removal. The sewage sludge biochar was produced at temperature of 550°C, 600°C, 650°C, 700°C and 750°C. A few characterization tests were carried out to study about the physical and chemical properties of the biochar. For instance, moisture and ash content analysis, FTIR spectroscopy, pH Zero Point Charge, biochar yield and SEM. As the pyrolysis temperature increased, the moisture content of SSB decreased while the ash content increased. The FTIR spectra of sewage sludge biochar showed that there were various organic functional groups on the surface of the biochar which were responsible for ammonium adsorption. Furthermore, through pH Zero Point Charge analysis, pH 7.0 was the most optimum pH for the adsorption test of ammonium. The optimum adsorbent dosage was 0.01g while optimum contact time was 150 minutes. Furthermore, 1.2ppm was the most optimum concentration for adsorption process. Based on the result of the characterization tests, SSB700 was the most effective biochar for ammonium adsorption. Based on the result of kinetic and isotherm analysis, the adsorption of ammonium ions using sewage sludge biochar was a monolayer chemisorption process.
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1. Introduction

In modern days, with the rapid evolution of the industry, improper disposal of industrial wastewater containing huge quantities of ammonium has caused eutrophication issues in lakes, rivers and other water reservoirs [1]. Eutrophication is one of the major environmental problems where the water body becomes enriched in dissolved nutrients such as ammonium. The presence of these dissolved nutrients will cause an accelerated growth of algae or other high forms of plant life. This will lead to an undesirable disturbance to the balance of organisms exist in the water and to the quality of water concerned. Therefore, effective removal of ammonium ions from water source is crucial. Adsorption method has proven to be one of the most favoured method to remove ammonium from water source. This is mainly due to its environmental and economic sustainability [2]. Hence, in this research, study regarding the adsorption of ammonium ions using sewage sludge biochar will be conducted.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample preparation

The sewage sludge from the Kilang Gula Felda Perlis was washed three times with tap water to remove dust and surface impurities. Then, the sewage sludge was dried in the drying oven for 24 hours at 105 °C to remove water inside the sewage sludge completely [3]. The dried sewage sludge was grinded into smaller size of 1 to 2 mm in diameter in a commercial grinder and subsequently homogenized by sieving. The desired particle size was 250 μm. The treated sample was stored inside an air-tight plastic bag and subjected to the process of pyrolysis.

2.2. Slow pyrolysis process

The pyrolysis of sewage sludge was carried out in the muffle furnace at five different temperatures (550 °C, 600 °C, 650 °C, 700 °C and 750 °C). Each time 10g of dried sewage sludge was weighed using an electronic weighing balance and placed into the pre-weighed porcelain crucible. Next, the porcelain crucible was placed into the muffle furnace for pyrolysis process. The pyrolysis heating rate was employed at 10 °C/min and the sewage sludge was carbonized for 1 hour [3]. The biochar produced was being cooled down to room temperature for 10 hours. The biochar was labelled as SSB550, SSB600, SSB650, SSB700 and SSB750 based on the temperature of pyrolysis. Then, the biochar was stored inside a sealed plastic container until use.

2.3 Biochar characterization

2.3.1 Moisture and ash analysis

The moisture content analysis was carried out based on the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standard. Approximately 1 g of biochar was added into the crucible and weighed together using electronic weighing balance to the nearest 0.1 mg. The sample was dried in the drying oven at 105°C for 2 hours. After that, the dried sample was cooled in the desiccator for 1 hour and weighed. The mass loss of the sample after the drying process was used to calculate the moisture content of the sewage sludge biochar [4].

As for the determination of the ash content of the biochar, lids and the uncovered porcelain crucible containing the sample were placed in the muffle furnace at 750°C for 6 hours. The crucibles with lids were cooled in the desiccator for 1 hour and weighed. The burning of the sample was repeated until succeeding 1-h period of heating results in a loss less than 0.5mg [4].

2.3.2 FTIR Analysis

The functional groups and chemical composition of the biochar samples were determined using the KBr pellets containing 1 % of each sample by Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) (Perkin Elmer Spectrum 65, Perkin Elmer Inc, USA). The biochar sample of particle sizes 250 μm was first dried in the dry oven overnight at 105°C before generating the spectra. The resulting spectra was normalized to the peak in the region between 4000 and 400 cm\(^{-1}\) with 100 scans per sample. The previously recorded background spectrum of KBr was subtracted from the spectrum of each sample [3].

2.3.3 pH zero point charge (pHzpc)

pH zpc values of various biochar samples were measured by pH drift method. The pH values of 0.01mol/L NaCl solutions were adjusted to a range of 2 to 10 using 0.1 mol/L HNO\(_3\) and 0.1 mol/L NaOH. Then, 0.2g of biochar sample was weighed and added into 10 ml of NaCl solutions. The
mixture was shaken at 180 rpm at 25°C for 24 hours. After 24 hours, the final pH of solutions was measured using the microprocessor pH meter. A graph of final pH versus initial pH was plotted. Intersection point of the resulting curve with the line passing through the origin (final pH = initial pH) gave the value of pH zpc [5].

2.3.4 Yield

The yield of biochar is defined as the ratio of the produced biochar weight to the dry weight of sewage sludge subjected to pyrolysis. The produced biochar was weighed after cooling down [5].

2.3.5 SEM Analysis

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Hitachi TM 3000) was used to study the surface morphology of biochar samples produced under different temperature of pyrolysis. The samples were held onto adhesive carbon tape on an aluminium stub followed by sputter coating with gold. SEM images of biochar samples were obtained using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) Quanta 3D, FEG and FEI with an accelerating voltage of 2.00kV in a high vacuum mode [5].

2.4 Batch adsorption equilibrium experiment

2.4.1 pH of ammonium solution

Five 50 mL samples of ammonium solution with pH 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 were prepared. The adsorption process was carried out by agitating the desired pH ammonium solution with 0.1 g of biochar sample inside at 30°C and rotational speed of 250 rpm for 150 minutes [5].

2.4.2 Adsorbent usage

Five biochar samples with dosage of 0.1g, 0.2g, 0.3g, 0.4g and 0.5g will be prepared respectively. 50 mL of 1.2ppm ammonium solution was used for each sample. The adsorption process was carried out at 30°C, 250 rpm, pH 7 for 150 minutes [5].

2.4.3 Contact time of adsorption

The contact time between the ammonium solution and biochar adsorbent were varied such as 10 minutes, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 150, 200 and 240 minutes. 0.1g of biochar was placed into the shaking flasks containing 50 mL of 1.2ppm of ammonium solution and adsorption process was took place with the agitation of the flasks containing ammonium solution with biochar in it. The adsorption process was carried out at 30°C and 250 rpm at pH 7 [5].

2.4.4 Initial concentration of ammonium solution

Five different concentration of ammonium solutions were used including 0.4 ppm, 0.6 ppm, 0.8 ppm, 1.0 ppm and 1.2 ppm. 0.1g of biochar was added into shaking flasks containing 50 mL of different concentration of ammonium solution respectively. The adsorption process took place with the agitation of the flasks containing ammonium solution with biochar in it. The process was carried out at 30°C, 250 rpm and pH 7 for 150 minutes [5].
2.5 Adsorption kinetic experiments

For kinetic study, about 0.1g of the biochar was mixed with 50 ml of ammonium solution with concentration of 1.2 ppm in the flasks. The mixture was shaken in the sieve shaker (250 rpm) at 30°C. At different time interval of 10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 150, 200 and 240 minutes, some of the flasks were withdrawn from the shaker. Pseudo-first order and Pseudo-second order kinetic models were used to analyse the obtained data [5].

2.6 Adsorption isotherm experiments

For isotherm study, about 0.1g of the biochar was mixed with 50 ml of ammonium solution of different initial concentration. For instance, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0 and 1.2 ppm. The mixture was shaken in the incubator sieve shaker at pH 7 and 250 rpm for 150 minutes. Two main isotherm models, Langmuir model (LM) and Freundlich model (FM) were used to describe the experimental result of ammonium adsorption [5].

3. Results

3.1 Moisture and ash content analysis

The weight loss of drying process in moisture content analysis is attributed to the evaporation of water from the surface of biochar. According to Figure 1, the moisture content of the biochar decreased as the pyrolysis temperature increased from 17% at 550°C to 1% at 750°C. Such phenomenon was mainly due to increment of the rate of drying as temperature increased. Hence, more water was being removed from the surface of biochar as the pyrolytic temperature increased. From Figure 1, SSB700 and SSB750 were ideal as their moisture content was the lowest [6].

![Figure 1. Moisture content analysis of sewage sludge biochar](image)

The weight loss of burning process in ash content analysis is attributed to the production of ash. According to Figure 2, the biochar experienced a slight increment in terms of ash content as the pyrolysis temperature increased from 58.76% at 550°C to 61.16% at 750°C. One of the main reasons was due to the catalytic volatilization of organic matter in the presence of inorganic minerals [6].
3.2 FTIR Analysis

According to Figure 3, many different adsorption peaks were discovered, which represented the various functional groups found on the surface of the biochar as well as the complexity nature of biochar. The adsorption peaks located at the range of 3500-3300 cm\(^{-1}\) were assigned to O-H stretching (alcohol) and broad of intramolecular H bonds. The intensity of the peak at 3402.20 cm\(^{-1}\) decreased rapidly from raw sludge to biochar samples. This implied that many hydroxyl groups were being decomposed due to dehydration and dehydrogenation reaction during the sewage sludge pyrolysis at elevated temperature \(x\). The peak at range of 1800-1600 cm\(^{-1}\) can be referred to the N-H bending, amide bond stretching (C=O) and others. This was due to the presence of primary ammine in the sewage sludge \(7\). Furthermore, the adsorption peak (1418.45 cm\(^{-1}\)) found on the raw sludge indicated the presence of aromatic groups as well. C=O and C=C bonds. This band was also related to the deformation of NH\(_4^+\), thereby indicating the removal of NH\(_4^+\) onto SSB700 was mainly via chemisorption \(7\). As conclusion, FTIR analysis showed the presence of organic functional groups which played an important role in the adsorption of ammonium.

3.3 pH zero point charge (pH\(_{zpc}\))

Point of zero charge was determined with a graph of final pH, pH\(_f\) versus initial pH, pH\(_i\). Pashai Gatabi et al. (2016) reported that pH zero charge for sewage sludge biochar was obtained at 7. According to Figure 4, only SSB 700 shows such result where the final pH was 7.07 when the initial pH was 7. Therefore, pH 7 was considered as the optimum pH for adsorption while SSB700 was selected as the biochar being used for the adsorption test later.
Figure 4. pH zero point charge for sewage sludge biochar

3.4 Yield

According to Figure 5, biochar yield was noticed to be slightly decreased with increasing temperature from 88.32% at 550°C to 84.18% at 750°C. The pyrolysis yield obtained for the sewage sludge was distinctly higher than those for plant biomass [8] and pyrolyzed sewage sludge by other authors [8]. A high yield was mainly due to higher inorganic content as well as low moisture content in the sewage sludge. Lower yield as temperature increased was a typical process in the case of sewage sludge [8]. This was because there was greater decomposition of raw materials higher temperature [8].

Figure 5. Percentage yield of sewage sludge biochar

3.5 SEM analysis

Figure 6 below shows the SEM images of raw sludge, SSB550 and SSB700. As can be seen, the surface morphology of the samples was extremely rich. Besides, through SEM, the porous structures were seen and different forms were observed. For example, fluffy sponges, balls and simply small formless particles. These structures could benefit the water permeation, thus making the NH$_4^+$ easily accessible [8]. It was also observed that there were few pores on the surface of biochars derived at lower temperature. This was because at lower temperature, some of volatile matter found on the surface of biochar were not volatized yet.
3.6 Effects of parameters on adsorption process

3.6.1 Effect of adsorbent dosage

As illustrated in Figure 7, the adsorption capacity of biochar SSB700 decreased with the increase of adsorbent dosage from 0.1g to 0.5g. This effect can be attributed to a decrease number of active surface sites for the ammonium thereby significantly reducing the adsorption capacity [9]. This was mainly due to the overlapping of adsorbent layers.

![Figure 7](image_url)

**Figure 7.** Graph of Adsorption Capacity of Biochar SSB700, $q_e$ (mg/g) against Adsorbent Dosage (g)

3.6.2 Effect of contact time of adsorption

As illustrated in Figure 8, it can be seen that the adsorption capacity was rapid at the first stage of adsorption (0-20 minutes), then slowly increased with time (20-150 minutes), and becoming almost constant after 150 minutes (150 minutes onwards). This phenomenon was due to the high availability of vacant sites in the first stage of adsorption [9]. The adsorption was then followed by an increase in repulsive forces due to the presence of the adsorbed ions. The adsorption process continued to a point where the adsorbent was not able to adsorb the surrounding adsorbates due to the lack of vacant sites. In this study, the optimum contact time was 150 minutes.

![Figure 8](image_url)

**Figure 6.** SEM images of sewage sludge biochar under different magnification
Figure 8. Graph of Adsorption Capacity of Biochar SSB700, $q_t$ (mg/g) against Contact Time (min)

3.6.3 Effect of initial concentration of ammonium solution

From Figure 9, it was obvious that by increasing the initial concentration of ammonium solution from 0.4 ppm to 1.2 ppm, the adsorption capacity of the biochar SSB700 increased from 0.0236 mg/g to 0.1161 mg/g. It was because higher ammonium concentration provided a greater driving force for adsorption as more NH$_4^+$ ions can be adsorbed by the SSB700 [9].

Figure 9. Graph of Adsorption Capacity of Biochar SSB700, $q_e$ (mg/g) against Initial Concentration of Ammonium Solution (ppm)

3.7 Kinetic analysis

The adsorption kinetic was studied by varying the contact time for adsorption (10 minutes, 20 minutes, 40 minutes, 60 minutes, 80 minutes, 100 minutes and 120 minutes). Table 1 illustrates the kinetic parameters of NH$_4^+$ adsorption by sewage sludge biochar (SSB700) using kinetic models. The pseudo-second-order rate equation for NH$_4^+$ adsorption onto the sewage sludge biochar had a better fit with high $R^2$ ($R^2 = 0.9978$). Thus, this indicated that the chemisorption dominated in the adsorption [10].

Table 1. The kinetic parameters of NH$_4^+$ adsorption by sewage sludge biochar (SB700) for Pseudo-first-order and Pseudo-second-order
Kinetic model | Pseudo-first-order | Pseudo-second-order
--- | --- | ---
$R^2$ | 0.9481 | 0.9978
Adsorption constant, $k_1$ | 0.0367 | -
Adsorption constant, $k_2$ | - | 4.9839

3.8 Isotherm analysis

Among some of the important isotherm models, the Langmuir and Freundlich models were used to study about the adsorption of ammonium by sewage sludge biochar. Table 2 illustrates the isotherm parameters of NH$_4^+$ adsorption by sewage sludge biochar (SSB700) using the isotherm models. The values of the regression correlation coefficients ($R^2$) for the Langmuir and Freundlich models were 0.9717 and 0.847 respectively. By contrast, the experiment data fit well with the Langmuir model. Therefore, the adsorption of ammonium ions by SSB700 was a monolayer adsorption process.

| Isotherm model | Langmuir | Freundlich |
|---|---|---|
|$R^2$ | 0.9717 | 0.847 |

4. Conclusion

Based on the characterization tests carried out on the biochar, SSB700 was the most suitable to be used for ammonium adsorption process. In this study, 0.1 g of SSB700 was proven the most optimum dosage for the adsorption of ammonium. As for contact time analysis, 150 minutes was the most optimum contact time for adsorption of ammonium. 1.2 ppm of ammonium solution was the most efficient initial concentration for adsorption. Finally, through kinetic and isotherm analysis, the adsorption of ammonium by SSB700 was proved to be a monolayer chemisorption process.
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