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ABSTRACT

Aims. In nebular astrophysics, there has been a long-standing dichotomy in plasma diagnostics between abundance determinations using the traditional method based on collisionally excited lines (CEls), on the one hand, and (optical) recombination lines/continuum, on the other. A number of mechanisms have been proposed to explain the dichotomy. Deep spectroscopy and recombination line analysis of emission line nebulae (planetary nebulae and H\textsc{ii} regions) in the past decade have pointed to the existence of another previously unknown component of cold, H-deficient material as the culprit. Better constraints are needed on the physical conditions (electron temperature and density), chemical composition, mass, and spatial distribution of the postulated H-deficient inclusions in order to unravel their astrophysical origins. This requires knowledge of the relevant atomic parameters, most importantly the effective recombination coefficients of abundant heavy element ions such as C\textsc{ii}, O\textsc{ii}, N\textsc{ii}, and N\textsc{e}, appropriate for the physical conditions prevailing in those cold inclusions (e.g. $T_e \leq 1000 \text{ K}$).

Methods. Here we report new ab initio calculations of the effective recombination coefficients for the N\textsc{ii} recombination spectrum. We have taken into account the density dependence of the coefficients arising from the relative populations of the fine-structure levels of the ground term of the recombining ion ($^2P_{1/2}$ and $^2P_{3/2}$ in the case of N\textsc{ii}), an elaboration that has not been attempted before for this ion, and it opens up the possibility of electron density determination via recombination line analysis. Photoionization cross-sections, bound state energies, and the oscillator strengths of N\textsc{ii} before for this ion, and it opens up the possibility of electron density determination via recombination line analysis. Photoionization cross-sections, bound state energies, and the oscillator strengths of N\textsc{ii} were computed that accurately map out the near-threshold resonances and were used to derive recombination coefficients, including radiative and dielectronic recombination. Also new is including the effects of dielectronic recombination via high-$n$ resonances lying between the $^3P_{1/2}$ and $^3P_{3/2}$ levels. The new calculations are valid for temperatures down to an unprecedentedly low level (approximately 100 K). The newly calculated effective recombination coefficients allow us to construct plasma diagnostics based on the measured strengths of the N\textsc{ii} optical recombination lines (ORLs).

Results. The derived effective recombination coefficients are fitted with analytic formulae as a function of electron temperature for different electron densities. The dependence of the emissivities of the strongest transitions of N\textsc{ii} on electron density and temperature is illustrated. Potential applications of the current data to electron density and temperature diagnostics for photoionized gaseous nebulae are discussed. We also present a method of determining electron temperature and density simultaneously.

Key words. atomic data – line: formation – H\textsc{ii} regions – ISM: atoms – planetary nebulae: general

1. Introduction

The principal means of electron temperature and density diagnostics and heavy-element abundance determinations in nebular plasmas has, until recently, been measurement of collisionally excited lines (CEls). The emissivities of CEls have, however, an exponential dependence on electron temperature and, consequently, so do the heavy element abundances deduced from them. An alternative method of determining heavy element abundances is to divide the intensities of ORLs emitted by heavy element ions with those by hydrogen. Such ratios are only weakly dependent on temperature. In planetary nebulae (PNe), abundances of C, N, and O derived from ORLs have been shown to be systematically larger than those derived from CEls typically by a factor of 2. Discrepancies of much larger magnitudes, say by more than a factor of 5, are also found for a small fraction of PNe (about 10%; Liu et al. 1995, 2000, 2001, 2006b, 2004; Luo et al. 2001). In the most extreme case, the abundance discrepancy factor (ADF) reaches a record value of 70 (Liu et al. 2006). There is strong evidence that nebulae contain another component of metal-rich, cold plasma, probably in the form of H-deficient inclusions embedded in the diffuse gas (Liu et al. 2000). The existence of cold inclusions provides a natural explanation to the long-standing dichotomy of abundance determinations and plasma diagnostics (Liu 2003, 2006a,b). The prerequisite for reliable determinations of recombination line abundances is accurate effective recombination coefficients for heavy element recombination lines. In this paper, we present new effective recombination coefficients for the recombination spectrum of N\textsc{ii}.

Radiative recombination coefficients of N\textsc{ii} have been given by Péquignot et al. (1991). Nussbaumer & Storey (1984) tabulate dielectronic recombination coefficients of N\textsc{ii} obtained from a model in which resonance states are represented by bound-state wave functions. Escalante & Víctor (1990) calculate effective recombination coefficients for C\textsc{i} and N\textsc{ii} lines using an atomic model potential approximation for transition
probabilities and recombination cross-sections. They then add
the contribution from dielectronic recombination using the re-
results of Nussbaumer & Storey (1984).

In the most recent work of N by Kisielius & Storey (2002), they
follow the approach of Storey (1994) who, in dealing with O n, uses a unified method for the treatment of radiative
and dielectronic recombinations by directly calculating recom-
bi nation coefficients from photoionization cross-sections of each
initial state. They also incorporate improvements introduced by
Kisielius et al. (1998) in their work on Ne. The N+ photioni-
zation cross-sections were calculated in LS-coupling using the
ab initio methods developed for the Opacity Project (Seaton
1987; Berrington et al. 1987) and the Iron Project (Hummer
et al. 1993), hereafter referred to as the OP methods. The calcula-
tions employed the R-matrix formulation of the close-coupling
method, and the resultant cross-sections are of higher quality.

In the photoionization calculations of Kisielius & Storey (2002),
for the energy ranges in which the resonances make main con-
butions to the total recombination, an adaptive energy mesh was
used to map out all the strong resonances near thresholds. This
approach has also been adopted in our current calculations, and
it will be described in more details in a later section. In the work
of Kisielius & Storey (2002), transition probabilities for all low-
lying bound states were also calculated using the close-coupling
method, so that the bound-bound and bound-free radiative data
used for calculating the recombination coefficients formed a self-
consistent set of data and were expected to be significantly more
accurate than those employed in earlier work.

Here we report new calculations of the effective recombin-
a tion coefficients for the N+ ion in recombination spectrum. Hitherto
few high quality atomic data were available to diagnose plas-
as of very low temperatures (≤1000 K), such as the cold, H-deficient inclusions postulated to exist in PNe (Liu et al.
2000). We have calculated the effective recombination coeffi-
cients for the N+ ion down to an unprecedentedly low tem-
perature (about 100 K). At such low temperatures, dielectronic
recombination via high-n resonances between the N+ ground
2P+ 1/2 and 2P+ 3/2 fine-structure levels contributes significantly
to the total recombination coefficient. We include such effects
in our calculations. We also take into account the density de-
pendence of the coefficients through the level populations of
the fine-structure levels of the ground state of the recombining
ion (2P+ 1/2,3/2) in the case of N2+). That opens up the possibility
of electron density determinations via recombination line anal-
ysis. With the exception of the calculations of Kisielius & Storey
(1999) on O n recombination lines, all previous work on ne-
bular recombination lines has been in LS -coupling and therefore it
tacitly assumed that the levels of the ground state are populated
in proportion to their statistical weights. Photoionization cross-
sections, bound state energies and oscillator strengths of N+ with
n ≤ 11 and l ≤ 4 have been obtained using the R-matrix
method in the intermediate coupling scheme. The photoioniza-
tion data are used to derive recombination coefficients, including
contributions from radiative and dielectronic recombination. The
results are applicable to PNe, H n regions and nova shells for a
wide range of electron temperature and density.

2. Atomic data for N+

2.1. The N+ term scheme

The principal series of N n is 2s22p2(P+)nl, which gives rise to
singlet and triplet terms. Also interspersed are members of the
series 2s2p3(P+)nl (n = 3, 4) giving rise to triplet and quintet
terms. Higher members of this series lie above the first ionization
limit, and hence may give rise to low-temperature dielectric
recombination. There are a few members of the 2s2p3(2D)nl and
2s2p3(3S)nl series located above the first ionization threshold
which also give rise to resonance structures in the photoioniza-
tion cross-sections for singlets and triplets. For photon energies
above the second ionization threshold, the main resonance
structures are due to the 2s2p3(2D)nl series with some interlopers
from the 2s2p3(3S)nl and 2s2p3(3P)nl series (Kisielius & Storey
2002).

2.2. New R-matrix calculation

We have carried out a new calculation of bound state energies,
oscillator strengths and photoionization cross-sections for N n states with n ≤ 11 using the OP methods (Hummer et al.
1993). The N2+ target configuration set was generated with the
general purpose atomic structure code SUPERSTRUCTURE
(Eissner et al. 1974) with modifications of Nussbaumer & Storey
(1978). The target radial wave functions of N2+ were then gener-
ated with another atomic structure code AUTOSTRUCTURE1,
which, developed from SUPERSTRUCTURE and capable of
treating collisions, is able to calculate autoionization rates,
photoionization cross-sections, etc. The original theory of
AUTOSTRUCTURE is described by Badnell (1986). The
wave functions of the nineteen target terms were expanded in terms
of the 21 electron configurations 1s22s22p2, 1s22s2p3, 1s2p3,
1s2s2 3s, 1s2s2 3p, 1s2s2 3d, 1s2s2p3, 1s2s2p3d, 1s2p3,
1s2p3 3p, 1s2p3 3d, 1s2s2 3d, 1s2p3 3d, 1s2s2 4s, 1s2s2 4d,
1s2s2 4p, 1s2s2 4p, 1s2s2 4p, 1s2s2 4p, 1s2s2 4p, 1s2s2 4p,
where 1s, 2s and 2p are spectroscopic orbitals and l/2 and 3/2
orbitals (l = 0–2 and l’ = 0–3) are correlation orbitals. The
one-electron radial functions for the 1s, 2s and 2p orbitals were calculated in adjustable Thomas-Fermi potentials, while the
radial functions for the remaining orbitals were calculated in
Coulomb potentials of variable nuclear charge, Znl = Z|l|nl.
The potential scaling parameters lnl were determined by mini-
mizing the sum of the energies of the eight energetically
lowest target states in our model. We obtained for the potential
scaling parameters: 

| Configuration | Term | present | KS2002a | Experimentalb |
|---------------|------|---------|---------|--------------|
| 1s22p3        | 2S   | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000      |
| 1s22s2p3      | 2P   | 0.509051| 0.51771 | 0.52091      |
| 2s2           | 3D   | 0.933243| 0.92246 | 0.91960      |
| 2s2p1         | 3P   | 1.235909| 1.20597 | 1.19279      |
| 1s2           | 4S   | 1.362871| 1.33866 | 1.32898      |
| 1s2p1         | 4D   | 1.706032| 1.70403 | 1.70123      |
| 1s2p2         | 4P   | 2.144377| 2.11771 | 2.09865      |

Notes. (a) Theoretical calculations by Kisielius & Storey (2002);
(b) Eriksson (1983).

1 AUTOSTRUCTURE is developed by the Department of Physics at
the University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, Scotland. The code is available
from the website http://amdpp.phys.strath.ac.uk/autos
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Table 2. The N\(^{2+}\) target terms.

| Configuration     | Term |
|-------------------|------|
| 1s\(^2\)2s\(^2\)p\(^3\) | \(\text{2s}^\circ\) |
| 1s\(^2\)2s\(^2\)p\(^2\) | \(\text{2p}^\circ\) |
| 1s\(^2\)2s\(^2\)p\(^2\) | \(\text{2p}^\circ\) |
| 1s\(^2\)2s\(^2\)d\(^2\) | \(\text{2p}^\circ\) |
| 1s\(^2\)2s\(^2\)d\(^2\) | \(\text{2p}^\circ\) |
| 1s\(^2\)2p\(^2\) | \(\text{2s}^\circ\) |
| 1s\(^2\)2p\(^2\) | \(\text{2p}^\circ\) |
| 1s\(^2\)2p\(^2\) | \(\text{2p}^\circ\) |
| 1s\(^2\)2p\(^2\) | \(\text{2p}^\circ\) |
| 1s\(^2\)2p\(^2\) | \(\text{2p}^\circ\) |
| 1s\(^2\)2p\(^2\) | \(\text{2p}^\circ\) |
| 1s\(^2\)2p\(^2\) | \(\text{2p}^\circ\) |
| 1s\(^2\)2p\(^2\) | \(\text{2p}^\circ\) |

that belong to the three lowest configurations, 2s\(^2\)2p, 2s2p\(^2\) and 2p\(^3\). We use the experimental target energies in the calculation of the Hamiltonian matrix of the (\(N + 1\)) electron system and in the calculation of energy levels, oscillator strengths and photoionization cross-sections of N\(\text{II}\). We use nineteen target terms in our R-matrix calculation where we add selected terms from the seven configurations 1s\(^2\)2s\(^2\)3s, 1s\(^2\)2s\(^2\)3p, 1s\(^2\)2s\(^2\)3d, 1s\(^2\)2s2p\(^3\), 1s\(^2\)2s2p\(^3\), 1s\(^2\)2s2p\(^3\) and 1s\(^2\)2s2p\(^3\) in order to increase the dipole polarizability of the terms of the 2s\(^2\)2p and 2s\(^2\)p configurations of the N\(^{2+}\) target. These additional terms provide the main contributions to the dipole polarizability of the 1s\(^2\)2s\(^2\)2p\(^2\)\(^\text{P}\) and 1s\(^2\)2s\(^2\)2p\(^4\)\(^\text{P}\) states and significant contributions to the polarizability of 1s\(^2\)2s\(^2\)p\(^2\)\(^\text{D}\), \(^\text{S}\) and \(^\text{P}\). The chosen target terms are listed in Table 2, which shows that our calculated target energies are in slightly worse agreement with experiment than those of Kisielius & Storey (2002) although it should be noted that their energies were calculated in LS-coupling, whereas ours are weighted averages of fine-structure level energies. The configuration interaction in our target is less extensive than in theirs due to the additional computational constraints imposed by an intermediate coupling calculation as opposed to an LS-coupling one. We do, however, consider that our set of target states represents the polarizability of the important N\(^{2+}\) states better than does the target of Kisielius & Storey (2002).

2.4. Bound-bound radiative data

Radiative transition probabilities are taken from three sources,

1. Ab initio calculations: we have computed values of weighted oscillator strengths, \(g_J\), for all transitions between bound states with ionization energies less than or equal to \(E_0\) (corresponding to \(n = 11\) in the principal series of N\(^{+}\)), with total orbital angular momentum quantum number \(L \leq 8\), and with total angular momentum quantum number \(J \leq 6\). The data are calculated in the intermediate coupling scheme, so there are transitions between states of different total spins. Two-electron transitions, which involve a change of core state, are also included.

2. Coulomb approximation: for pairs of levels where oscillator strengths are not computed by the ab initio method, but where one or both of the states have a non-zero quantum defect, the dipole radial integrals required for the calculation of transition probabilities are calculated using the Coulomb approximation. Details are given by Storey (1994).

3. Hydrogenic approximation: for pairs of levels with a zero quantum defect hydrogenic dipole radial integrals are calculated, using direct recursion on the matrix elements themselves as described by Storey & Hummner (1991).

2.5. Photoionization cross-sections and recombination coefficients

The recombination coefficient for each level \(nlsLJK_{\text{eff}}\) is calculated directly from the photoionization cross-sections for that state. There are three approximations in which the photoionization data are obtained,

1. Photoionization cross-sections are computed for all the 377 states with ionization energy less than or equal to \(E_0\), \(L \leq 8\) and \(J \leq 6\). We obtain the recombination coefficient directly by integrating the appropriate R-matrix photoionization cross-sections.

2. Coulomb approximation: as in the bound-bound case, the Coulomb approximation is used for states where no OP data are available, but which have a non-zero quantum defect. The calculation of photoionization data using
2.6. Energy mesh for N\textsuperscript{II} photoionization cross-sections

The photoionization cross-sections for N\textsuperscript{II} generated by the Opacity Project (OP) method were based on a quantum defect mesh with 100 points per unit increase in the effective quantum number derived from the next threshold. In contrast to the OP calculations, we use a variable step mesh for photoionization cross-section calculations for a particular energy region above the \(2\text{P}\)\(_{3/2}\) threshold, which is appropriate to dielectronic recombination in nebular physical conditions. This energy mesh delineates all resonances to a prescribed accuracy (Kissielius et al. 1998; Kissielius & Storey 2002). This detailed consideration of the energy mesh was undertaken for the region from the \(2s^{2}2p^{2}\)\((\text{4P}\ 1_{1/2})\) limit up to 0.160 Ryd \((n = 5)\) below the \(2s^{2}2p^{2}\)\((\text{4P}\ 1_{3/2})\) limit, since this region contains the main contribution to the total recombination at the temperatures of interest for the triplet and singlet series.

From 0.160 Ryd below the \(2s^{2}2p^{2}\)\((\text{4P}\ 1_{1/2})\) limit to 0.0331 Ryd below the \(2s^{2}2p^{2}\)\((\text{4P}\ 1_{3/2})\) limit, we use a quantum defect mesh with an increment of 0.01 in effective principal quantum number. The energy 0.160 Ryd corresponds to a principal quantum number of five relative to the next threshold, and 0.0331 Ryd corresponds to eleven. In total about 600 points are used in this region. For the region from 0.0331 Ryd \((n = 11)\) below the \(2s^{2}2p^{2}\)\((\text{4P}\ 1_{1/2})\) limit up to the \(2s^{2}2p^{2}\)\((\text{4P}\ 5_{3/2})\) limit, we use the Gailitis average (Gailitis 1963). We use this method for this part of photoionization calculations because of the very dense resonances in this narrow energy region. About 100 points are used.

In the region from the \(2s^{2}2p^{2}\)\((\text{4P}\ 5_{3/2})\) limit up to 0.0331 Ryd \((n = 11)\) below the \(2s^{2}2p^{2}\)\((\text{2D}\ 3_{2})\) limit, a quantum defect mesh is again used, with an increment of 0.01 in effective principal quantum number. Here 0.0331 Ryd corresponds to a principal quantum number of eleven relative to the next threshold, \(2s^{2}2p^{2}\)\((\text{2D}\ 3_{2})\). There are about 800 points used in this region. For the energetically lowest region between the two ground fine-structure levels of N\textsuperscript{II}, \(2s^{2}2p^{2}\)\((\text{4P}\ 1_{1/2})\) and \(2s^{2}2p^{2}\)\((\text{4P}\ 3_{1/2})\), we use linear extrapolation from a few points lying right above the \(2s^{2}2p^{2}\)\((\text{2P}\ 3_{1/2})\) threshold. About 100 points are used in this region.

During the calculation of photoionization cross-sections, we check for every bound states to make sure that the cross-section data of different energy areas all join smoothly. Figure 1 shows the photoionization cross-sections calculated from the five lowest levels \(1\text{S}\), \(1\text{P}\)\(_{0}\), \(1\text{P}\)\(_{1}\), \(1\text{P}\)\(_{2}\), \(1\text{D}\)\(_{2}\) and \(1\text{S}\)\(_{0}\) belonging to the ground configuration \(1\text{s}\)\(^{2}\)\(2\text{s}\)\(^{2}\)\(2\text{p}\)\(^{2}\) of N\textsuperscript{II}. Photoionization cross-sections calculated for the five different energy regions have been joined together.

3. Calculation of N\textsuperscript{+} population

3.1. The N\textsuperscript{+} populations

The calculation of populations is a three stage process to compute departure coefficients, \(b_{J_{C}}\)\((J_{C}; n\text{K}\ J)\), defined in terms of populations by

\[
\frac{N(J_{C}; n\text{K}\ J)}{N_{C}N_{e}(J_{C})} = \frac{N(J_{C}; n\text{K}\ J)}{N_{C}N_{e}(J_{C})} \cdot b(J_{C}; n\text{K}\ J),
\]

where \(J_{C}\) is an \(N^{2+}\) core state and the subscript \(S\) refers to the value of the ratio given by the Saha and Boltzmann equations, and \(N_{C}\) and \(N_{e}\)\((J_{C})\) are the number densities of electrons and recombinating ions, respectively. We distinguish two boundaries in principal quantum number, \(n_{0}\) and \(n_{1}\). For \(n \leq n_{0}\) collisional processes are negligible compared to radiative decays and can be omitted from the calculation of the populations. For higher \(n\) a full collisional-radiative treatment of the populations is necessary as described by Hummer & Storey (1987) and Storey & Hummer (1995) with some additions to treat dielectronic recombinations. The boundary at \(n = n_{1}\) is defined such that for \(n > n_{1}\) the redistribution of population due to \(l\)-changing collisions is rapid enough to assume that the populations obey the Boltzmann distribution for a given \(n\) and hence that \(b_{\text{ad}} = b_{\text{re}}\) for all \(l\).

The three stages of the calculation are as follows:

Stage 1: a calculation of \(b(J_{C}; n)\) is made for all \(n < 1000\), using the techniques and atomic rate coefficients described by Hummer & Storey (1987) and Storey & Hummer (1995) with the addition of \(l\)-averaged autoionization and dielectronic capture rates computed with AUTOSTRUCTURE for states of \(\text{(2P}\ 3_{1/2})\) parentage that lie above the ionization limit. For \(n > 1000\), we assume \(b_{\text{ad}} = 1\). The results of this calculation provide the values of \(b\) for \(n > n_{1}\) and the initial values for \(n \leq n_{1}\) for Stage 2.

Stage 2: a calculation of \(b(J_{C}; n\text{K}\ J)\) is made for all \(n \leq n_{1}\) using the same collisional-radiative treatment as in Stage 1 but now resolved by total \(J\). The combined results of Stages 1 and 2 provide the values of \(b\) for \(n > n_{a}\).

Stage 3: for the energies less than that which corresponds to \(n = n_{a}\) in the principal series, departure coefficients \(b(J_{C}; n\text{K}\ J)\) are computed for states of all series. Since only spontaneous radiative link these states, the populations are obtained by a step-wise solution from the energetically highest to the lowest state.

3.2. Dielectronic recombination within the \(\text{2P}\)\(_{1/2}\) parents

Within the \(\text{2P}\)\(_{1/2}\) parents, the contribution by dielectronic recombination to the total recombination is shown to become significant at very low temperatures \((\lesssim 250~\text{K})\), due to recombination into high-lying bound states of the \(\text{2P}\)\(_{3/2}\) parent from the \(\text{2P}\)\(_{1/2}\) continuum states. We incorporate this low-temperature process into our calculation of the N\textsuperscript{+} populations. Figure 2 is a schematic diagram illustrating N\textsuperscript{II} dielectronic capture, autoionization and radiative decays. The electrons captured to the high-\(n\) autoionizing levels decay to low-\(n\) bound states through cascades, and optical recombination lines are emitted. Radiative transitions which change parent, such as \(\text{(2P}\ 3_{3/2})\rightarrow\text{(2P}\ 1_{1/2})\text{[7\text{F}]},\) are included for those states for which \(R\)-matrix calculated values are present. Higher states are treated by hydrogenic or Coulomb approximations which do not allow parent changing transitions.

In Fig. 2, three multiplets of N\textsuperscript{II} are presented as examples: V\textsuperscript{7} \(2s^{2}2p^{6}\text{[1D]}2s^{2}2p^{3}\text{[1P]}\), the strongest 3p–3s transition, V\textsuperscript{19} \(2s^{2}2p^{3}\text{[1D]}2s^{2}2p^{3}\text{[1P]}\), the strongest 3d–3p transition, and V\textsuperscript{39} \(2s^{2}2p^{4}\text{[7\text{F}]7/2\text{[2F]}2s^{2}2p^{3}\text{[1P]}\), the strongest 4f–3d transition. The results for these three multiplets are analyzed in Sect. 4.3.

3.3. The \(\text{2P}\)\(_{3/2}\) parent populations

The contribution to the total recombination coefficient of a state depends on the relative populations of the \(\text{2P}\)\(_{1/2}\) and
Fig. 1. The data calculated by different methods for the five energy regions have been joined together. The insert zooms in a particular energy area, and the mesh points used for the photoionization calculations are shown in the inset.

\( ^2P^o \) parent levels, which generally dominate the populations of the recombining ion \( N^2+ \) under typical nebular physical conditions. The relative populations of the two fine-structure levels deviate from the statistical weight ratio, 1:2, which is assumed in all work hitherto on this ion. The deviation affects the populations of the high Rydberg states, and consequently total dielectronic recombination coefficients at low-density and low-temperature conditions.

We model the \( N^2+ \) populations with a five level atom comprising the two levels of the \( ^2P^o \) term and the three levels of the \( ^3P \) term, although it should be noted that the populations of the three \( ^3P \) levels are almost negligible in the nebular conditions considered here (Sect. 4.5). The relative populations are assumed to be determined only by collisional excitation, collisional de-excitation and spontaneous radiative decay. Transition probabilities were taken from Fang et al. (1993) and thermally
averaged collision strengths from Nussbaumer & Storey (1979) and Butler & Storey (priv. comm.).

Figure 3 shows the fractional populations of the N\(^{2+}\) \(^2P\)\(^0\) and \(^2P\)\(^3/2\) fine-structure levels at several electron temperatures and as a function of electron density, ranging from \(10^2\) to \(10^6\) cm\(^{-3}\), applicable to PNe and H\(\alpha\) regions. The fractional populations vary significantly below \(10^4\) cm\(^{-3}\) and converge to the thermalized values at higher densities.

3.4. The Cases A and B

Baker & Menzel (1938) define the Cases A and B with reference to the recombination spectrum of hydrogen. In N\(\alpha\), there are five low-lying levels belonging to the ground configuration \(2^5S\)\(^2\), \(^3P\)\(^0\)\(_{0,1,2}\), \(^1D\)\(^3\) and \(^1S\)\(^0\). Just as in Kisielius & Storey (2002), we define two cases for N\(\alpha\). In Case A, all emission lines are assumed to be optically thin. In Case B, lines terminating on the three lowest levels \(^3P\)\(^0\)\(_{0,1,2}\) are assumed to be optically thick and no radiative decays to these levels are permitted when calculating the population structure. The latter case is generally a better approximation for most nebulae.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Effective recombination coefficients

The population structure of N\(^+\) has been calculated for electron temperature \(\log T_e[K] = 2.1 - 4.3\), with a step of 0.1 in logarithm, and for the electron density \(N_e = 10^2\) to \(10^6\) cm\(^{-3}\), also with a step of 0.1 in logarithm. Constrained by the range of the exponential factors involved in the calculation of the departure coefficients using the Saha-Boltzmann equation, calculation of the effective recombination coefficients starts from 125 K (\(\log T_e = 2.1\)). For electron densities greater than \(10^6\) cm\(^{-3}\), as pointed out by Kisielius & Storey (2002), it is necessary to include \(l\)-changing collisions for \(n < 11\). This is however beyond the scope of the current treatment.

In Tables 3–6 we present the effective recombination coefficient, \(\alpha_{eff}(\lambda)\), in units of cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\), for strongest N\(\alpha\) transitions with valence electron orbital angular momentum quantum number \(l \leq 5\), at electron densities \(N_e = 10^2\), \(10^3\), \(10^4\) and \(10^5\) cm\(^{-3}\), respectively, in Case B. The effective recombination coefficient is defined such that the emissivity \(\epsilon(\lambda)\), in a transition of wavelength \(\lambda\) is given by

\[
\epsilon(\lambda) = N_e N_s \frac{\alpha_{eff}(\lambda) \hbar c}{\lambda} \left[ \text{erg cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1} \right].
\]  

Transitions included in the tables are selected according to the following criteria:

1. \(\lambda \geq 912\) Å;
2. \(\alpha_{eff}(\lambda) \geq 1.0 \times 10^{-14}\) cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\) at \(T_e = 1000\) K for all \(N_e\)’s, and \(\geq 1.0 \times 10^{-15}\) cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\) at \(T_e\)’s and \(N_e\)’s;
3. all fine-structure components are presented for multiplets from the 3d–3p and 3p–3s configurations. For the 4f–3d configurations, a few selected multiplets are listed, but only V38 and V39 includes all the individual components. These transitions fall in the visible part of the spectrum and among them are the strongest recombination lines of N\(\alpha\).

In Tables 3–6, the wavelengths of all the 4–3 and 3–3 transitions and majority of the 5–4 and 5–3 transitions are experimentally known. All the wavelengths of the 6–5 and 6–4 transitions are predicted. Our calculated wavelengths, derived from the experimental energies, agree with the experimentally known wavelengths within 0.001%. Our predicted wavelengths for experimentally unknown transitions agree with those predicted by Hirata & Horaguchi (1995) within 0.007% except for one 6d–3p transition wavelength which differs by 0.24 Å. However, this transition is spectroscopically less important compared to the 4–3 and 3–3 ones.
4.2. Effective recombination coefficient fits

We fit the effective recombination coefficients as a function of electron temperature in logarithmic space with analytical expressions for selected transitions, using a non-linear least-square algorithm. Tables 7–14 present fit parameters and maximum deviations $\delta[\%]$ for four densities, $N_e = 10^2$, $10^3$, $10^4$ and $10^5$ cm$^{-3}$. Only strongest optical transitions are presented, including multiple V3, V5, V19, V20, V28, V29, V38 and V39. As the dependence of recombination coefficient on $T_e$ at electron temperatures below 10 000 K is different from that at high temperatures (10 000 $\sim$ 20 000 K in our case), we use different expressions for the two temperature regimes.

For the low-temperature regime, $T_e < 10 000$ K, effective recombination coefficients are dominated by contribution from radiative recombination $\alpha_{\text{rad}}$, which has a relatively simple dependence on electron temperature, $\alpha_{\text{rad}} \propto T_e^{-a}$, where $a \sim 1$. At low temperatures, dielectronic recombination through low-lying autoionizing states are also important for ions such as C$^+$, N$^+$, O$^+$, Ne$^+$. Considering the fact that direct radiative recombination rate is nearly a linear function of temperature in logarithm, and the deviation introduced by dielectric recombination, we use a five-order polynomial expression to fit the effective recombination coefficient,

$$ \alpha = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + a_3 t^3 + a_4 t^4 + a_5 t^5, $$

where $\alpha = \log_{10} \alpha_{\text{eff}} + 15$ and $t = \log_{10} T_e$, and $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$ and $a_5$ are constants.

For the high-temperature regime, 10 000 $\leq T_e \leq$ 20 000 K, the contribution from dielectric recombination, $\alpha_{\text{DR}}$, can significantly exceed that of direct radiative recombination (Burgess 1964). Dielectric recombination coefficient $\alpha_{\text{DR}}$ has a complex exponential dependence on $T_e$ (Seaton & Storey 1976; Storey 1981), $\alpha_{\text{DR}} \propto T_e^{-3/2} \exp(-E/kT_e)$, where $E$ is the excitation energy of an autoionizing state, to which a free electron is captured, relative to the ground state of the recombining ion (N$^{2+}$ in our case) and $k$ is the Boltzmann constant. The expression adopted for this temperature regime is,

$$ \alpha = \log_{10} \alpha_{\text{eff}} + 15 + t = T_e[K]/10^4, \text{ the reduced electron temperature, and } b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5 \text{ are constants.} $$

In order to make the data fits accurate for the high-temperature regime, 10 000 $\leq T_e \leq$ 20 000 K, where the original calculations are carried out for only four temperature cases ($\log T_e[K] = 4.0, 4.1, 4.2$ and 4.3), nine more temperature cases are calculated. For the temperature region $\log T_e[K] = 3.9$ to 4.0, two more temperature cases are also calculated, so that the data fits near 10 000 K are accurate enough. Figure 4 is an example of the fit to the effective recombination coefficients of the N$^+$ 2s$^2$2p$^3$D$^+_3$–2s$^2$2p$^3$P$^+_3$ A15679.56 transition.

**Fig. 3.** Fractional populations of the N$^{2+}$ 2P$^+_1/2$ and 2P$^+_3/2$ parent levels. The red solid and blue dashed curves represent the populations of the 2P$^+_1/2$ and 2P$^+_3/2$ levels, respectively. Four temperature cases, 200 K, 1000 K, 5000 K and 10 000 K are shown.
shows the data fit for the low-temperature regime $T_e < 10,000$ K, and Sub-figure (b) for the high-temperature regime $10,000 \leq T_e \leq 20,000$ K. In both Sub-figures, solid lines are fitting equations (Eq. (3) for Sub-figure (a) and Eq. (4) for (b)), and plus signs “+” are the calculated data for all the temperatures.

By using different expressions for the two temperature regimes, we manage to control the maximum fitting errors to well within 0.5 per cent.

4.3. Relative intensities within $\text{N}\,\text{II}$ multiplets

As mentioned in the Sect. 3.4 above, the populations of the ground fine-structure levels $^3\text{P}_{1/2,3/2}$ of the recombining ion $\text{N}\,\text{II}$ vary with electron density under typical nebular conditions. The variations are reflected in the relative intensities of the resultant recombination lines of $\text{N}\,\text{II}$, which arise from upper levels with the same orbital angular momentum quantum number $l$ but of different parentage, i.e., $^2\text{P}_{1/2}$ and $^2\text{P}_{3/2}$ in the current case. A number of such recombination lines have been observed in photoionized gaseous nebulae including PNe and $\text{H}\,\text{II}$ regions, and their intensity ratios can thus be used for density diagnostics.

As the relative populations of $^2\text{P}_{1/2,3/2}$ vary with $N_e$, so do the fractional intensities of individual fine-structure components within a given multiplet of $\text{N}\,\text{II}$. The most prominent $\text{N}\,\text{II}$ multiplets in the optical include: V3 $2s^22p^3\text{D}^0–2s^22p^3\text{D}^0$, V19 $2s^22p^3\text{D}^0–2s^22p^3\text{D}^0$ and V39 $2s^22p^4\text{F}^0G1/2,3/2$–$2s^22p^3\text{D}^0$.

4.3.1. $2s^22p^3\text{D}^0–2s^22p^3\text{P}^0$ (V3)

The fractional intensities of fine-structure components of Multiplet V3, $2s^22p^3\text{D}^0–2s^22p^3\text{P}^0$, are presented in Fig. 5. The strongest component is $\lambda 5679.56$, which forms from core $^2\text{P}_{3/2}$ capturing an electron plus cascades from higher states, while the second strongest component $\lambda 5666.33$ can form, in addition, from recombination of core $^2\text{P}_{1/2}$.

For the target $\text{N}\,\text{II}$, the population of the fine-structure level $^3\text{P}_{3/2}$ relative to $^3\text{P}_{1/2}$ increases with electron density $N_e$ due to collisional excitation, and consequently, so does the intensity of the $\lambda 5679.56$ line relative to the $\lambda 5666.33$. Their intensity ratio peaks around $N_e = 2000$ cm$^{-3}$, the critical density $N_c$ of the level $^3\text{P}_{3/2}$, and then decreases as $N_e$ increases further. The relative intensities of all components converge to constant values at high densities ($\geq 10^3–10^6$ cm$^{-3}$), as the relative populations of the ground fine-structure levels of the target $\text{N}\,\text{II}$ approach the Boltzmann distribution.

The line ratio $I(\lambda 5666.33)/I(\lambda 5679.56)$ thus serves as a density diagnostic for nebulae of low and intermediate densities, $N_e \leq 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$. At very low electron temperatures, where $kT_e$ is comparable to the $^3\text{P}_{1/2}–^3\text{P}_{3/2}$ energy separation, the sensitivity to density in the components of V3 is reduced. This arises because, at very low temperatures, the states ($^3\text{P}_{1/2}$) $nl$ are populated more significantly by dielectronic capture from the ($^3\text{P}_{1/2}$) $kl$ continuum than by direct recombination on $N\,\text{II}$ ($^3\text{P}_{3/2}$). The density dependence of the population distribution between the $^3\text{P}_{1/2}$ and $^3\text{P}_{3/2}$ is then of less importance.

4.3.2. $2s^22p^3\text{D}^0–2s^22p^3\text{P}^0$ (V19)

The fractional intensities of fine-structure components of Multiplet V19, $2s^22p^3\text{D}^0–2s^22p^3\text{P}^0$, are presented in Fig. 6. The strongest component, $\lambda 5005.15$, forms exclusively from recombination of target $^2\text{P}_{3/2}$ plus cascades, while the second and third strongest components of almost identical wavelengths, $\lambda 5001.48$ and $\lambda 5001.14$, can form, in addition, from recombination of the ground target $^2\text{P}_{1/2}$.

At very low densities of about $10^3$ cm$^{-3}$, $^2\text{P}_{1/2}$ dominates the population of $\text{N}\,\text{II}$, and this is manifested by the intensity of the $\lambda 5001.15$ line being lower than the $\lambda 5001.48$ line and than $\lambda 5001.14$ by a further amount. As electron density increases, the intensity of the $\lambda 5005.15$ line relative to the $\lambda 5005.48/15$ lines increases and peaks around $2000$ cm$^{-3}$. At densities above $10^3$ cm$^{-3}$, the fractional populations of all components converge to constant values. The trends are similar to Multiplet V3 discussed above.

The intensity ratio $I(\lambda 5005.15)/I(\lambda 5001.48 + \lambda 5001.14)$ serves as another potential density diagnostic. In reality, however, given the closeness in wavelength of the $\lambda 5005.15$ line to the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ nebular line, which is often several orders of magnitude (3–4) brighter, accurate measurement of $\lambda 5005.15$ line is essentially impossible.
4.3.3. $2s^22p4f G[7/2,9/2]–2s^22p3d^3F^e$ (V39)

The fractional intensities of fine-structure components of Multiplet V39, $2s^22p4f G[7/2,9/2]–2s^22p3d^3F^e$, are presented in Fig. 7. The strongest component $\lambda 4041.31$ forms exclusively from recombination of target $2p^23d^4Fe\,5^2$ plus cascades from higher states, while the second and third strongest components, $\lambda 4035.08$ and $\lambda 4043.53$, which have comparable intensities, can form, in addition, from recombination of target $2p^23d^4Fe\,5^2/2$.

The behaviour of the intensity of the $\lambda 4041.31$ line relative to those of the $\lambda 4035.08$ and $\lambda 4043.53$ lines as a function of electron density is quite similar to those of their counterparts of Multiplets V3 and V19 discussed above.

The line ratios $I(\lambda 4041.31)/I(\lambda 4035.08)$ and $I(\lambda 4041.31)/I(\lambda 4043.53)$ can in principle serve as additional density diagnostics. There are however complications in their applications:

(1) All fine-structure components of Multiplet V39 $2s^22p4f G[7/2,9/2]–2s^22p3d^3F^e$ are extremely faint. The strongest component $\lambda 4041.31$ is 2–3 times fainter than $\lambda 5679.56$, the strongest component of V3, while the latter is typically one thousand times fainter than Hβ in a real nebula.

(2) The $\lambda 4041.31$ line is blended with the OⅡ recombination line $\lambda 4041.29$ of Multiplet V50c $2p^32f\,F[2]^{3}5/2$–$2p^32d\,4F\,5/2$, while the $\lambda 4035.08$ line is blended with the OⅡ lines $\lambda 4035.07$ of Multiplet V50b $2p^32f\,F[3]^{3}5/2$–$2p^32d\,4F\,5/2$ and $\lambda 4035.49$ of Multiplet V50b $2p^32f\,F[3]^{3}7/2$–$2p^32d\,4F\,5/2$.

4.4. Plasma diagnostics

Unlike the UV and optical CELs, whose emissivities have an exponential dependence on $T_e$ (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006), emissivities of heavy element ORLs have only a relatively weak, power-law dependence on $T_e$. The dependence varies for lines originating from levels of different orbital angular momentum quantum number $J$. Thus the relative intensities of ORLs can also be used to derive electron temperature, provided very accurate measurements can be secured (Liu 2003; Liu et al. 2004; Tsamis et al. 2004). In the case of NⅡ, the intensity ratio of $\lambda 5679.56$ and $\lambda 4041.31$ lines, the strongest components of Multiplets V3 $3p\,3D–3s\,3P$ and V39 $4f\,G[9/2]–3d\,3F^e$, respectively, has a relatively strong temperature dependence, and thus can serve as a temperature diagnostic. As shown in Sect. 4.3 above, the NⅡ line ratio $I(\lambda 5679.56)/I(\lambda 5666.63)$ is a good density diagnostic. Combining the two line ratios thus allows one to determine $T_e$ and $N_e$ simultaneously. Figure 8 shows the loci of NⅡ recombination line ratios $I(\lambda 5679.56)/I(\lambda 5666.63)$ and $I(\lambda 5679.56)/I(\lambda 4041.31)$ for different electron temperatures and densities. With high quality measurements of the two line ratios, one can readout $T_e$ and $N_e$ directly from the diagram.

Ions such as NⅡ and OⅡ have a rich optical recombination line spectrum. Rather than relying on specific line ratios, it is probably beneficial and more robust to fit all lines with a good measurement and free from blending simultaneously. Details about this approach and its application to photoionized gaseous nebulae will be the subject of a subsequent paper.
Fig. 6. Same as Fig. 5 but for Multiplet V19: 2s^22p3d 3F° – 2s^22p3p 3De.

Fig. 7. Same as Fig. 5 but for Multiplet V39: 2s^22p4f G[7/2,9/2]e – 2s^22p3d 3F°.
4.5. Population of excited states of \( N^{2+} \)

In the current calculations of the effective recombination coefficients of \( N \alpha \), we have assumed that only the ground fine-structure levels of the recombining ion, \( N^{2+} 2s^22p^2 \), are populated. This is a good approximation under typical nebular conditions. The first excited spectral term of \( N^{2+} 2s^22p^2 \), lies 57 161.7 cm\(^{-1} \) above the ground term (Eriksson 1983), and the population of this term is \( 1.75 \times 10^{-6} \) relative to that of the ground term \( 2p^2 \) even at the highest temperature and density considered in the current work, \( T_e = 20 000 \) K and \( N_e = 10^6 \) cm\(^{-3} \). Recombination from the \( 2s2p^2 \) \( ^2P \) term is thus completely negligible.

4.6. Total recombination coefficients

Calculations presented in the current work are carried out in intermediate coupling in Case B, representing a significant improvement compared to Kisielius & Storey (2002), in which the calculations are entirely in \( LS \)-coupling. In our calculations, we have also considered the fact that the ground term of \( N^{2+} \) comprises two fine-structure levels, \( ^2P_{1/2} \) and \( ^2P_{3/2} \), and the populations of those two levels deviate from the Boltzmann distribution under typical nebular densities. We thus treat the recombination of the high-\( l \) states using the close-coupling photoionization data incorporating the population distribution among the \( ^2P_L \) levels. The critical electron density, at which the rates of collisional de-excitation and radiative decay from \( ^2P_{3/2} \) to \( ^2P_{1/2} \) are equal, is approximately 2000 cm\(^{-3} \). At this density, our calculation shows that the populations of the \( ^2P_{1/2} \) and \( ^2P_{3/2} \) levels differ from their Boltzmann values by approximately 34% at \( T_e = 100 000 \) K. The difference given by Kisielius & Storey (2002) at this density is 30%.

In Table 15, we compare our direct recombination coefficients with those calculated by Nahar (1995) and by Kisielius & Storey (2002). The calculations of Nahar (1995) and Kisielius & Storey (2002) are both in \( LS \)-coupling, and the \( N \alpha \) states are not \( J \)-resolved. Their direct recombination coefficients are all to spectral term \( 3S+1L \). Our present calculations are in intermediate coupling, and recombinations are all to \( J \)-resolved levels. In order to compare to their results, we sum the direct recombination coefficients to all the fine-structure levels belonging to individual spectral terms.

At 1000 K, the differences between the results of Kisielius & Storey (2002) and ours are less than 10% for most cases, except for the state \( 2s2p^3 \) \( 3S \). For this state, our direct recombination coefficient is 50% larger than that of Kisielius & Storey (2002).

At this temperature (1000 K is about 0.1 eV), we believe we have found out the exact energy positions for all the resonances below 0.1 Ryd above the ionization threshold of \( N \alpha \). This region contains most of the important resonances that dominate the total recombination rate. In our photoionization calculations, all resonances from those of widths as narrow as 10\(^{-10} \) Ryd to those of widths as wide as 10\(^{-4} \) Ryd, are properly resolved using a highly adaptive energy mesh. There are typically about 22 points sampling each resonance. In the calculation by Kisielius & Storey (2002), the number is about ten, while in Nahar (1995) a fixed interval of 0.0004 Ryd is used in this energy range.

The three low-lying resonances, \( 3P, 3D \) and \( 3P \) belonging to the \( 2s2p^3(3P)3d \) configuration, are situated between 0.075 and 0.085 Ryd above the ionization threshold of \( N \alpha \). This region contains most of the important resonances that dominate the total recombination rate. In our photoionization calculations, all resonances from those of widths as narrow as 10\(^{-10} \) Ryd to those of widths as wide as 10\(^{-4} \) Ryd, are properly resolved using a highly adaptive energy mesh. There are typically about 22 points sampling each resonance. In the calculation by Kisielius & Storey (2002), the number is about ten, while in Nahar (1995) a fixed interval of 0.0004 Ryd is used in this energy range.

The three low-lying resonances, \( 3P, 3D \) and \( 3P \) belonging to the \( 2s2p^3(3P)3d \) configuration, are situated between 0.075 and 0.085 Ryd above the ionization threshold of \( N \alpha \). This region contains most of the important resonances that dominate the total recombination rate. In our photoionization calculations, all resonances from those of widths as narrow as 10\(^{-10} \) Ryd to those of widths as wide as 10\(^{-4} \) Ryd, are properly resolved using a highly adaptive energy mesh. There are typically about 22 points sampling each resonance. In the calculation by Kisielius & Storey (2002), the number is about ten, while in Nahar (1995) a fixed interval of 0.0004 Ryd is used in this energy range.

The three low-lying resonances, \( 3P, 3D \) and \( 3P \) belonging to the \( 2s2p^3(3P)3d \) configuration, are situated between 0.075 and 0.085 Ryd above the ionization threshold of \( N \alpha \). This region contains most of the important resonances that dominate the total recombination rate. In our photoionization calculations, all resonances from those of widths as narrow as 10\(^{-10} \) Ryd to those of widths as wide as 10\(^{-4} \) Ryd, are properly resolved using a highly adaptive energy mesh. There are typically about 22 points sampling each resonance. In the calculation by Kisielius & Storey (2002), the number is about ten, while in Nahar (1995) a fixed interval of 0.0004 Ryd is used in this energy range.

Fig. 8. Loci of the \( N \alpha \) recombination line ratios \( I(\lambda 5679.56)/I(\lambda 5666.63) \) and \( I(\lambda 5679.56)/I(\lambda 4041.31) \) for different \( T_e's \) and \( N_e's \).
Our calculations are carried out entirely in intermediate-coupling. This leads to a high recombination rate to the 2s2p^3 3S state, produced by radiative intercombination transitions (transitions between levels of different total spins) from levels above the ionization threshold to the 2s2p^3 3S level. The widths of such intercombination transitions are usually much narrower than those of allowed transitions. For example, the resonance level 3P_1 belonging to the configuration 2s2p^3(3P) 3d lies about 0.051 Ryd above the ionization threshold, and it can decay to the level 2s2p^3 3S_1 via an intercombination transition. The width of this resonance is 2.49 × 10^{-10} Ryd, and the energy interval of the photoionization mesh is set to 1.13 × 10^{-10} Ryd. Intercombination transitions were not considered in Kisielius & Storey (2002), given the calculations were in LS-coupling.

At 1000 K, the differences between the calculations of Nahar (1995) and ours are smaller than 10%, except for states belonging to the 2s2p^3 configuration.

At 10 000 K, the differences between the calculations of Kisielius & Storey (2002) and ours are all better than 10%. The agreement for the state 2s2p^3 3S is particularly good.

At this temperature, the differences between the results of Nahar (1995) and ours are within 15%, except for states 3S, 3P, and 3D belonging to the configuration 2s2p^3, where the differences are larger than 30%. The large discrepancies are likely to be caused by the coarse energy mesh adopted by Nahar (1995) for the photoionization calculations, leading to the recombination rates to states belonging to the 2s2p^3 configuration being underestimated.

In Table 15, we compare our total direct recombination coefficients, which are the sum of all the direct recombination coefficients to individual atomic levels with n ≤ 35, with those of Nahar (1995) and Kisielius & Storey (2002). At 1000 K, our total recombination coefficient is 13 per cent lower than that of Kisielius & Storey (2002). That is probably because the sum only reaches up to n = 35. At 10 000 K, our total recombination coefficient is higher than the other two.

5. Conclusion

Effective recombination coefficients for the N^+ recombination line spectrum have been calculated in Case B for a wide range of electron density and temperature. The results are fitted with analytical formulae as a function of electron temperature for different electron densities, to an accuracy of better than 0.5%.

The high quality basic atomic data adopted in the current work, including photoionization cross-sections, bound-bound transition probabilities, and bound state energy values, were obtained from K-matrix calculations for all bound states with n ≤ 11 in the intermediate coupling scheme. All major resonances near the ionization thresholds were properly resolved. In calculating the N^+ level populations, we took into account the fact that the populations of the ground fine-structure levels of the recombing ion N^2+ deviate from the Boltzmann distribution. Fine-structure dielectronic recombination, which occurs through high Rydberg states lying between the doublet 2P^0 _{1/2,3/2} thresholds and is very effective at low temperatures (≤250 K), was also included in the current investigation. The calculations extend to f ≤ 4.

The effective recombination coefficients for the N^+ recombination spectrum presented in the current work represent recombination processes under typical nebular conditions. The sensitivity of individual lines within a multiplet to the density and temperature of the emitting medium opens up the possibility of electron temperature and density diagnostics and abundance determinations which were not possible with earlier theory.
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