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ABSTRACT

Background: Acute appendicitis is most frequent cause of acute abdomen in younger population, and surgical site infection (SSI) is commonest complication of appendectomy. The objective of this trial was to compare the efficacy of post-operative oral antibiotics versus placebo in reducing the frequency of surgical site infection (SSI) following appendectomy for non-perforated appendicitis in population of South Waziristan Agency, Pakistan.

Materials & Methods: The randomized placebo trial was conducted at Agency Headquarter Hospital, Wana, South Waziristan, Pakistan from October 1, 2016 to November 18, 2018. One hundred fifty (150) patients were randomly allocated into two groups, 75 in experimental group, to whom antibiotics were given and 75 in placebo group, to whom antibiotics were not given. Age groups and presence of SSI were two variables, being on nominal scale, were described by count and percentage with CI at 80%CL. McNemar chi-square test was applied to test the hypothesis to see the significance of difference between the experimental and placebo groups in terms of frequency of SSI at alpha .05.

Results: SSI was present in nine (12%, 80%CI 7.19-16.80%) out of 75 cases in experimental group and in 12 (16%, 80%CI 10.57-21.42%) out of 75 cases in placebo group. McNemar chi-square test showed no significant difference between the experimental and placebo groups in terms of frequency of SSI (p=.2482).

Conclusion: The results are comparable for post-operative oral antibiotics versus placebo in reducing the frequency of surgical site infection (SSI) following appendectomy for non-perforated appendicitis in population of South Waziristan Agency, Pakistan.
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background: Appendectomy is in the top list of most frequently performed emergency surgical procedures globally. Using perioperative antibiotics and aseptic care, surgical site infection (SSI) is still the most common complication.¹ Bahar, et al.² from Mashhad, Iran showed in his study published in 2010 that surgical site infection (SSI) occurred in 2.97% cases in simple appendicitis and 4.5% in complicated appendicitis group. Acute appendicitis is most common cause of acute pain abdomen necessitating surgical intervention. Postoperative antibiotics are globally prescribed for complicated appendicitis; no agreement is there to use these in nonperforated cases to prevent SSI.³ Rafiq, et al.⁴ from Peshawar, Pakistan did not find any statistical significant difference in rate of SSI for NPA treated with single preoperative dose cefuroxime sodium and metronidazole and those treated with both single dose of pre and single dose of postoperative antibiotics.
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Mui, et al.\textsuperscript{5} from Hong Kong, found no difference for SSI for NPA between three antibiotic regimens i.e. the single-dose & the multiple dose antibiotic prophylaxis group and antibiotics for five days postoperatively group.

Sadraei-Moosavi, et al.\textsuperscript{6} from Babol city, Iran showed similar results for SSI following NPA among two samples; one receiving antibiotics (ceftriaxone and metronidazole) only preoperatively and the other receiving both pre and 24 hours postoperatively. SSI was developed in one patient in each group.

Le, et al.\textsuperscript{7} from Salt Lake city, USA concluded that the frequency of SSIs is not decreased by using postoperative antibiotics in cases having NPA, while it usually increases the cost of treatment.

Kasatpibal, et al.\textsuperscript{8} collected data of appendectomies from eight hospitals of Thailand from July 1, 2003 to June 30, 2004 and found 26 (1.22%) cases with SSI.

Shira, et al.\textsuperscript{9} from Madina city in Saudi Arabia reported for the period from January 2010 to December 2014 similar rate of SSI following NPA in patients who received only preoperative antibiotics and the patients who received both preoperative plus three doses of same antibiotics postoperatively.

Tiono, et al.\textsuperscript{10} from Bali, Indonesia from April to June 2012 showed similar results for risk of SSI among single-dose and multiple-dose antibiotic prophylaxis in NPA.

van Rossem, et al.\textsuperscript{11} from Hilversum city, North Holland province, Netherland concluded that three and five days of antibiotic regimen are similarly effective in reducing SSI following appendectomies for complicated appendicitis.

1.2 Research Problem (RP), Knowledge Gap (KG) & Research Question (RQ): There are many international and national studies, which show effect of preoperative injectable antibiotics in reducing rate of SSI in appendectomy patients but none are showing the effect of postoperative oral antibiotics. The lack of this information regarding our population is our research problem and unavailability of this piece of information is our knowledge gap. The current practice in our hospital and other district hospitals is to prescribe oral antibiotics at discharge for five days in addition to perioperative injectable antibiotics.

Whether incorporation of postoperative oral antibiotics helps in reducing the frequency of SSI in our population? We have to answer this research question, fill up the gap and thereby solve the problem.

1.3 Research Objective: The objective of this trial was to compare the efficacy of post-operative oral antibiotics versus placebo in reducing the frequency of surgical site infection (SSI) following appendectomy for non-perforated appendicitis in population of South Waziristan Agency, Pakistan.

1.4 Research (null) Hypothesis (H\textsubscript{0}): The efficacy of post-operative oral antibiotics is similar to placebo in reducing the frequency of surgical site infection (SSI) following appendectomy for non-perforated appendicitis in population of South Waziristan Agency, Pakistan.

1.5 Significance and applicability of the trial: If the addition of post-operative oral antibiotics doesn’t offer any additional benefit in term of reduction in frequency SSI, then it can be recommended to avoid prescribing oral antibiotics on discharge to appendectomy patients. This will not only reduce financial burden on the patients but will also aid in reducing antibiotics resistance in the community.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Design, Setting & Duration: This randomized control trial (RCT) was conducted at Agency Headquarter Hospital, Wana, South Waziristan Agency (SWA), Pakistan from October 1, 2016 to November 18, 2018. Approval was granted by hospital authorities and informed consent was taken from the patients/ their attendants.

2.2 Sampling & Randomization: All patients older than 10 years with clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis were included in this trial. Those cases who had received antibiotics within 72 hours of admission, diabetic or were found to have perforated or gangrenous appendix or mass and abscess formation were excluded from trial. One hundred fifty (150) patients were randomly allocated by lottery method into two groups, 75 in experimental group, to whom postoperative oral antibiotics were given and 75 in placebo group, to whom antibiotics were not given.

2.3 Procedure, Intervention and Follow up: All the patients were given injection ceftriaxone 1 gm and metronidazole 500 mg, 30 min before surgery and postoperatively for 24 hours in standard recommended dose. The experimental group received 1 gm co-amoxiclav tablets two times for 5 days while placebo group received tablets of the same size, of multivitamins twice daily for five days.

All patients underwent open appendectomy under general anesthesia by a single surgeon via gridiron incision with primary wound closure. They got discharged after 24 hours once they became mobile, pain got relieved on oral analgesics and start tolerating soft diet. All patients were advised to get their first follow up visit in surgical outdoor on 10\textsuperscript{th} postoperative day, next one week later and then at 30\textsuperscript{th} postoperative day. Patients were educated regarding signs of surgical site infection and were advised to come to emergency if they developed any. Surgical site infection definition by centers for disease placebo and prevention (CDC) was used in all cases. Infected wounds were managed by daily wound lavage with normal saline and laying open of wound followed by secondary closure.
2.4 Data collection & analysis plan: Sex (men/women) and age groups (10-15, 16-39 & ≥40 years) were matching variables, while presence of SSI (yes/no) was a research variable. Sex and presence of SSI were on nominal scale, while age groups were on ordinal scale. The data for the sample was described by count and percentage. It was inferred to population as confidence interval at 80% confidence level. McNemar chi-square test was used to test hypothesis to see the significance of difference between the experimental and placebo groups in terms of frequency of SSI at alpha 0.05 with Yates correction for continuity using an online calculator.

2.5 Marwat logical Trajectory of Research Process: We have used this hierarchy of enquiry in our project. It is an innovative 8-steps logical model for research projects. McNemar chi-square test is explained in detail in the two cited books and two cited articles.

3. RESULTS
Out of 75 patients in experimental group, 40 (53.34%) were men & 35 (46.66%) women. Out of 75 patients in placebo group, 36 (48%) were men & 39 (52%) women.

There were 20 (26.66%) patients in age group 10-15 years, 49 (65.34%) in 16-39 years and six (8%) in age group ≥40 years in experimental group, while there were 10 (13.34%) patients in age group 10-15 years, 56 (74.66%) in 16-39 years, while nine (12%) in age group ≥40 years in placebo group.

The SSI was present in nine (12%, 80% CI 7.19-16.80%) cases and absent in 66 (88%, 80% CI 83.19-92.80%) cases in experimental group, while it was present in 12 (16%, 80% CI 10.57-21.42%) cases and absent in 63 (84%, 80% CI 78.57-89.42%) cases in placebo group.

McNemar chi-square test showed p-value of .2482 (less than alpha). The null hypothesis was accepted, showing no significant difference between the two groups.

4. DISCUSSION
Our trial showed surgical site infection (SSI) in 12% (80% CI 7.19-16.80%) cases in experimental group (five days postoperative oral antibiotics) and 16% (80% CI 10.57-21.42%) in placebo group. The difference was statistically non-significant (p=.2482). Amongst many factors affecting the rate of SSI after appendectomy, antibiotics is an important one, with their positive role being well established while using pre-operatively but controversial in postoperative settings for non-perforated appendectomy (NPA).

Coakley, et al. found no difference in frequency of SSI is 334 cases who took postoperative antibiotics and 394 who did not. Postoperative antibiotics were associated with higher rates of UTI, diarrhea, and longer length of stay and higher readmission and reoperation rates.

Rafiq, et al. from Peshawar, Pakistan for the period from November 11, 2012 to May 30, 2014 did not find any statistical significant difference (p=.65) in rate of SSI for non-perforated appendectomies (n=192) treated with single preoperative dose of antibiotics (cefuroxime sodium and metronidazole) with SSI in 15 (7.8%) and those treated with both single dose of pre and single dose of postoperative antibiotics (n=198) with SSI in 18 (9.1%) cases.

Mui, et al. conducted an RCT in Hong Kong, the rate of SSI after open appendectomy for NPA was not significantly different amongst three antibiotic regimens i.e. the single-dose (6.5%) & the multiple dose antibiotic prophylaxis group (6.4%) and the group who used antibiotics for five days postoperatively (3.5%). One reason to this difference in SSI rate could be type antibiotic used for prophylaxis. We used co-amoxiclav, while cefuroxime was used in Mui, et al. study.

Sadraei-Moosavi, et al. from Babol city, Iran from October 2013 to October 2014 showed similar results for SSI following NPA among two groups; one group (n=76) receiving antibiotics (ceftriaxone and metronidazole) only preoperatively (n=76) and the others receiving antibiotics for five days postoperatively (n=75 pairs)

| Presence of SSI | Experimental group (n1=75) | Columns Total | Chi-square value | d.f. | p-value |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----|---------|
| SSI Yes         | (a) 8                     | (c) 04        | 12              |     | .2482   |
| SSI No          | (b) 1                     | (d) 62        | 63              |     |         |
| Rows Total      | 9                         | 66            | 75 Pairs        |     |         |

Table 1: Efficacy of post-operative oral antibiotics versus placebo in reducing the frequency of surgical site infection (SSI) following appendectomy for non-perforated appendicitis in population of South Waziristan Agency, Pakistan (n=75 pairs)
other group (n=76) receiving the same antibiotics both pre and 24 hours postoperatively. Le, et al.7 from Salt Lake city, USA found similar results for all SSI in two groups; one which used and the other which did not use postoperative antibiotics in patients undergoing NPA (10% vs. 9%, p = .64). Shira, et al.8 from Madina city in Saudi Arabia reported 482 cases of NPA for the period from January 2010 to December 2014. The rate of SSI was 7.17% (17*100/237 = 7.17) in patients who received only preoperative antibiotics (cefazolin 1 gram and metronidazole 500 milligram) and 8.16% (20*100/245 = 8.16) in those who received both preoperative plus three doses of same antibiotics postoperatively (cefazolin 500 milligram and metronidazole 500 milligram every 8 hours), with no significant difference (p = .9182).

Tiono, et al.10 from Bali, Indonesia from April to June 2012 showed similar results for risk of SSI among single-dose (SSI in 7.3%) and multiple dose (SSI in 5.5%) antibiotic prophylaxis in NPA. Both the groups were given cefazolin 1 g and metronidazole 500 mg preoperatively. Postoperatively single dose group (n1 = 55) was given placebo and multiple-dose group (n2 = 55) was given two additional doses of same antibiotics.

5. CONCLUSION
The results are comparable for post-operative oral antibiotics versus placebo in reducing the frequency of surgical site infection (SSI) following appendectomy for non-perforated appendicitis in population of South Waziristan Agency, Pakistan. It can be recommended that there is no additional benefit by continuing further doses of oral antibiotic in preventing SSI. So, for surgeons it is essential to update their routine practice of antibiotic use according to the standard guidelines and evidence based medicine rather than irrational use of antibiotics.
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