Experimental elucidation of an antimycobacterial bacteriocin produced by ethnomedicinal plant-derived *Bacillus subtilis* (MK733983)
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**Abstract**

A bacteriocin from *Bacillus subtilis* (MK733983) originated from ethnomedicinal plant was purified using Preparative RP-HPLC. The HPLC fraction eluted with 65% acetonitrile showed the highest antimicrobial activity with *Mycobacterium smegmatis* as an indicator. Its specific activity and purification fold increased by 70.5% and 44%, respectively, compared to the crude bacteriocin. The bacteriocin showed stability over a wide range of pH (3.0–8.0) and preservation (−20 °C and 4 °C), also thermal stability up to 80 °C for 20 min. Its proteinaceous nature was confirmed with complete loss of activity on its treatment with Trypsin, Proteinase K, and α-Chymotrypsin. Nevertheless, the bacteriocin retained up to 45% activity with Papainase treatment and was unaffected by salivary Amylase. It maintained ~95% activity on UV exposure up to 3 h and its activity was augmented by ethyl alcohol and metal ions like Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+}. Most of the common organic solvents, general surfactants, preservatives, and detergents like Sulfobetaine-14, Deoxy-cholic-acid did not affect the bacteriocin's action. Its molecular weight was estimated to be 3.4KDa by LC-ESI-MS/MS analysis. The bacteriocin is non-hemolytic and exhibited a broad inhibition spectrum with standard strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli* and *Chromobacterium violaceum* with MICs ranging 0.225 ± 0.02–0.55 ± 0.05 mg/mL. Scanning Electron Microscopy showed cell annihilation with pores in cell membranes of *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* treated with the bacteriocin, implicating bactericidal mode of action. These promising results suggest that the bacteriocin is significant and has wide-ranging application prospects.
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**Introduction**

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is one of the ecological adaptations among microbes with their competitors either for resources or existence. Over the past decades, a widespread use of antibiotics has drastically increased the number of antibiotic-resistant microbes. These resistant microbes assimilate multivariable mechanisms to survive various impacts of antibiotics. This is a dangerously evolving situation and a grave health hazard threatening various existing medical practices, global health, and economy. On the other hand, scarcity of novel antimicrobials in recent years is aggravating the situation (WHO 2020; Wolcott and Ehrlich 2008). Some of the common pathogens such as *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and coagulase-negative *staphylococci* cause up to 65% cases of bacteremia. These pathogenic ailments are not only amounting to 98.2% of overall systemic infections but are developing resistance to numerous antibiotics at a frightening pace (Sohail et al. 2015). Older infections such as Tuberculosis (TB) yet remain to be the worst communicable diseases in humans with high death rates. WHO estimated nearly 1.7 million TB deaths and 10.4 million newly infected cases in 2016. Of these occurrences, new rifampicin-resistant cases were 600,000 and...
490,000 confirmed multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) (Sivaraj et al. 2018). Even with the escalating complexities of TB and other infections, efficacious drugs have not been discovered for the past 40 years except for a novel TB drug, bedaquiline approved in 2012. Considering the whole ordeal, WHO (2017) advocates judicious antibiotic use and instigated an action plan on AMR to guide novel discoveries and development of new antibiotics. The WHO has disclosed a Priority Pathogens List (PPL) that emphasize on pathogens needing critical innovations and advancement of treatments and vaccines.

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are also known as host defense peptides (HDPs) and they are emerging as potential therapeutic alternatives to antibiotics. They are relatively small, heterogeneous, amphipathic or predominantly cationic peptides with an ability to inhibit or eradicate planktonic microbes. They have distinctive attributes and diverse kill mechanisms with precise selective toxicity against bacteria, fungi, viruses, and parasites. Each AMP is unique with its specific discriminatory characteristics and multidimensional properties like flexibility, synergistic potency with various antibiotics and conventional drugs, neutralization of endotoxins with low minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs), inhibition or eradication of established biofilms, significant anti-viral and anti-cancerous properties, broad-spectrum inhibition of multi drug resistant (MDR) microbes, immunomodulation and others. Such valuable properties are awarding attention to AMPs as exploration hot spots. Many studies have validated its applications in Medicine, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Aquaculture and Bio-preservation among others (Naafs et al. 2018; Gutsmann 2016).

Several AMPs have been isolated from Bacillus species like coagulin, tochicin, subtilin, and megasin (Park et al. 2002). Bacillus subtilis strains are well-known to produce many bacteriocins with varied functions depending on their ecological spaces, allocation of resources and entrants, yet bacteriocins from these strains are less explored (Ramya et al. 2014). The objective of this study was to purify and characterize a potential bacteriocin from an ethnomedicinal plant originated strain of B. subtilis (MK733983). Furthermore, its inhibition spectrum, hemolytic activity and mechanism of action were also delineated.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and materials

All the chemicals were purchased from SD Fine-Chem Ltd (India), HIMEDIA and Thermo Fisher Scientific. Polyethersulfone membrane syringe filter–pore size 0.22 μm (Merck), SEP–PACK C18 cartridge (Flinn Scientific) and goat blood was procured from the local butcher shop.

Indicator microorganisms (IMOs)

*Mycobacterium smegmatis* (MC²-155 wild type) (ATCC 607)–MS, *Staphylococcus aureus* (MTCC 737)–SA, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (MTCC 3541)–PA, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC 700,721)–KP, *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 8739)–EC and *Chromobacterium violaceum* (MTCC 2656)–CV.

Enzymes

Trypsin (T-8918; Sigma), Proteinase K (P-2308; Sigma), Papain (GRM058-HIMEDIA), α-Chymotrypsin (RM801-HIMEDIA) and Salivary amylase (Human Saliva in 1: 9 of 0.85% saline solution).

Bacteriocin activity assay

Antimicrobial potential of the bacteriocin was evaluated using Spot-on-lawn or well diffusion assays, these assays were performed twice in triplicates with indicator organisms (SA, MS, PA, KP, EC & CV) (Balouiri et al. 2016; Tagg and McGiven 1971). 30 µL of the purified bacteriocin was dissolved in DMSO were loaded into each 6 mm wide wells made in suitable agar plates, pre-swabbed with 100 µL indicator inoculum, then these plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. *C. violaceum* was sensitive to 1% DMSO, therefore, 0.5% DMSO was used as blank or negative control and 1% DMSO was taken as a blank or negative control for all other IMOs in this study. Anti-bacterial activity was determined based on its potential inhibitory zone (mm) against indicator organisms (Cita et al. 2017). Activity was calculated as \( a \times 100 \), where ‘\( a \)’ is the dilution factor and ‘\( b \)’ is the final dilution factor that produced a minimum inhibition zone of 2 mm.

Purification and analysis

The proteins were estimated according to Lowry et al. (1951) and the bacteriocin’s specific activity was evaluated following Lee and Chang (2018) protocol. The Bacteriocin, an antimicrobial peptide was purified to its homogeneity using Preparative RP-HPLC as described by Anand et al. (2005) and Lee and Chang (2018) with minor modifications. Further, the sample was dissolved in methanol (1%v/v) and passed through C18 SEP-PACK for better extraction and fractionation of the desired low molecular weight antimicrobial peptides. The dried concentrates were dissolved in
50% acetonitrile (1 mg/mL) and ultra-filtrated with 0.22 µm membrane filter. Followed by a separating technique using recycling preparative LC-120AD (228–45,000–4B) HPLC system with reverse-phase C-18 (VYDAC) column (10×250 mm) connected to Shimadzu SPD-6AV UV–VIS detectors (200 & 254) nm. The first injection on RP-HPLC used a water-acetonitrile gradient (50–50%) at a flow rate of 1 ml per min and eluents were collected every 10 min for 50 min. These fractions were concentrated and resuspended in 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7) and were tested for antibacterial activity. The fraction that showed the highest antimicrobial activity was re-run with two different elution gradients of water-acetonitrile (60–40%) and (40–65%). The flow rate was maintained at 1 ml per min, that was collected every 20 min for an hour and were tested as mentioned above. The fraction that showed the best antimicrobial activity was further analyzed by LC-ESI-MS/MS (Malini and Savitha 2012).

**Invitro Bio-physiochemical characterization**

**Effect of temperature, pH, hydrolytic enzymes, and UV rays**

The effect of heat on the bacteriocin (AMP) was evaluated by its incubation under moist heat treatment from 40–121 ºC by 10 ºC rise for 20 min. Its preservation capacity was tested for 120 days (30 day interval) at (− 20 ºC and 4 ºC) and at room temp (25–28 ºC) for 5 days (24 h interval).

The pH of the bacteriocin was adjusted to 3–10 (interval of 1) using sterile 5 mol/mL NaOH or HCl and were maintained at corresponding pH for 20 min (Ge et al. 2016), it was then resuspended in 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7) and tested for antibacterial activity.

The effect of hydrolytic enzymes was evaluated by taking each in 1:5 ratio of bacteriocin to Trypsin (pH 8.0), Proteinase K (pH 7.5), α-Chymotrypsin (pH 7.8), Papainase (pH 7.0) and Salivary amylase (pH 7.0) were incubated at 37 ºC for 24 h.

The effect of UV rays on the bacteriocin for up to 6 h (60 min interval) was also evaluated. Further, the samples that were unexposed to heat, enzymes, pH and UV were taken as positive control and LB broth was taken as a negative control or Blank. Residual bacteriocin activity of all the samples was tested and evaluated for antimicrobial activity based on the ratio of inhibitory activity of treated to untreated. Antimicrobial activity was assessed by the well diffusion assays (IMO- M. smegmatis) and these were conducted in triplicates and their average values with the standard deviations were reported.

**Effect of organic solvents, salt, surfactants, preservatives, and metal ions**

30 µL of the bacteriocin (1 mg/mL) was incubated for an hour with each 10% w/v of methanol, ethanol, butanol, acetone, benzene, propanol, DMSO, xylol and chloroform at 25 ºC to examine their effect. The response of different concentrations of sodium chloride (2–12%) on the bacteriocin was evaluated (Zhang et al. 2018). Effect of surfactants such as sodium dodecyl sulphate (1 mg/mL), triton X-100 (1%v/v), tween 20, 80 (10%v/v), sodium deoxycholate (1 mg/mL) and sulfobetaine 14 (1 mg/mL) on the antimicrobial peptide was analyzed as described by Bizani and Brandelli (2002). It was also examined to validate its outcome with certain common protein denaturing agents such as trichloroacetic acid (TCA 10%v/v), sodium bicarbonate (12%w/v), sodium sulphate (12%w/v), β mercaptoethanol (10%v/v), urea (1 mg/mL), preservatives like EDTA (10 mmol/L), sodium citrate (1 mg/mL), catalysts such as sodium tungstate (1 mg/mL) and metal ions like KCl, CaCl2, FeSO4, ZnSO4, CuCl2, MgSO4 and MnSO4 (2.0 mmol/L). Residual bacteriocin activity was measured as described earlier, all experiments were conducted in triplicates and average values with standard deviations were calculated.

**Bioassays**

**Hemolytic assay**

Hemolytic activity on the bacteriocin was investigated according to the methods described by Wu et al. (2020), Afsar et al. (2016) and Soto (2014) with minimal variations. Fresh pellet of erythrocytes from Goat blood was adjusted to a concentration of 5% in saline solution. 100 µL of saline solution was added to all the wells (1–12) of a microplate and the well 11 is taken as a negative control. 100 µL of the bacteriocin (100 µg, 250 µg, 500 µg and1 mg/ml) was added to each of the first wells followed by twofold dilutions performed till 10th well, well 12 containing 100 µL of 1% Triton-X 100 as a positive control. Then 100 µL of 5% blood was added to the wells except well 11. Plate was incubated at 37 ºC for an hour and 70 µL of supernatants from each well were collected into a new microplate to avoid any sediment from hemolysis. The samples were measured absorbance at 490 nm using ELISA reader (Lisa Plus Plate Reader, Rapid Diagnostics, India). The assay was carried out twice in duplicates, and the percentage of hemolysis was calculated as follows,

\[
\text{Haemolysis } \% = \frac{\text{OD of Bacteriocin} - \text{OD of (−ve) Control}}{\text{OD of (+ve) Control} - \text{OD of (−ve)Control}} \times 100
\]
Inhibition spectrum and minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs)

The IMO’s were taken in an inoculum size of $10^{6}$ CFU/mL, measured at $A_{600}$ for antimicrobial assays in their suitable growth conditions. *M. smegmatis*, *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *C. violaceum* were grown in LB (Luria–Bertani) media, and *K. pneumonia*, *E. coli* on MH (Muller-Hinton) Media at 35 °C for 24 h. 20 μL (~ 2 mg) and 40 μL (~ 4 mg) of the purified AMP was placed in the wells of media overlaid with 100 μL of gram positive and negative IMO’s, respectively. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and the antimicrobial activity was evaluated along with MIC values as described by Santhi and Aranganathan (2019).

Mode of action (MOA)

Morphological Changes on treatment with bacteriocin were evaluated according to the methods described by Wu et al. (2020) and Park et al. (2002) with slight variations. *S. aureus*, a gram-positive and *P. aeruginosa*, gram-negative IMO were grown in standard LB broth, incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The indicator cells (probably in stationary phase) were suspended in saline and treated with the same volume of the bacteriocin (1 mg/mL), the untreated cells were taken as control. After incubation (3–6 h at 37 °C), cells were thoroughly washed with phosphate buffer (pH7.4), resuspended in 2.5% glutaraldehyde and were dehydrated via gradual ethanol gradient (10–100%). Dehydrated samples were freeze-dried, gold coated and observed using a Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) with dual-beam focused ion-beam (FIB), EDS and monochrometer (MonoCL) (Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru).

Statistical analysis

All experimental results were expressed as means ± standard error of the standard deviation (SD), the significant differences were examined using Microsoft Excel [Microsoft 365 MSO (16.0.12827.20200) 32-bit]. Relative Percentages and residual activity were calculated in comparison to the Positive control values of the experiments performed.

Results

Purification and analysis

Among all fractions from preparative RP-HPLC of C18 SEP-PAK purified sample eluted with 50% Acetonitrile, fraction 1.4 collected at 30–40 min shows the highest zone of inhibition (Fig. 1). On the re-run, fraction 1.4 with 40% acetonitrile noted as sub fractions 1.4.1 to 1.4.3, the fraction that was collected between 20–40 min, 1.4.2 alone showed antimicrobial activity (Fig. 2). However, the same fraction (1.4) when re-run with 65% acetonitrile noted as sub fractions 1.4.1a to 1.4.3a, the fraction that was collected between 20-40 min, 1.4.2a showed highest antimicrobial activity (Fig. 3). This active compound was estimated to have 3402.87 m/z ions at an intensity between $(1.8e + 3)$–$(1.9e + 3)$ by LC-ESI-MS/MS analysis (Fig. 4).

![Chromatogram](image_url)

**Fig. 1** Chromatogram of preparative RP-HPLC of C18 SEP-PAK purified sample shows highest zone of inhibition
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In LC-ESI tandem mass spectroscopy, as the molecules are ionized by electron impact (ESI), the peak with greatest m/z value gives its relative mass. All other smaller peaks present around the highest peak are the molecular ions of its isotopes, therefore, its molecular weight was estimated to be 3.40287KDa (or 3.4KDa). Antimicrobial activity of the purified bacteriocin increased by 87%, specific activity by 70.5% with an increase in purification fold by 44% compared to the crude bacteriocin in cell-free supernatant (CFS) as shown Table 1.

Fig. 2 Chromatogram of preparative-HPLC of fraction 1.4.2 eluted with 40% acetonitrile shows zone of inhibition

Fig. 3 Chromatogram of preparative-HPLC of fraction 1.42a eluted with 65% acetonitrile shows highest zone of inhibition
Invitro biophysiochemical characterization

Characterization based on temperature, pH, hydrolytic enzymes, and UV rays

The AMP showed above 90% of antimicrobial activity at pH levels ranging 3.0–9.0 and temperatures up to 80 ºC but had lost activity at 121 ºC. The bacteriocin was resistant to treatment with Papainase and was unaffected by salivary Amylase, also exposure to UV rays did not affect its activity up to 3 h, as recorded in Table 2. Preservation of the bacteriocin at -20 ºC and 4 ºC for 5 months did not affect its activity, with their inhibition zones ranging from 28 ± 0.12 to 27.4 ± 0.3, showing 97% activity. Even though the storage at room temperatures was not effective after 24 h, a steady maintenance of the bacteriocin activity for 5 months at refrigeration (4 ºC) temperatures is extremely valuable and economical as it does not require an ultra-cold storage facility which is both expensive and difficult to handle.

Effect of chemical agents and metal ions

The bacteriocin showed an advantageous response to the treatment with ethyl alcohol with a sharp rise in antimicrobial potency, but its activity decreased with an increase in NaCl concentration. It showed substantial tolerance to preservatives such as EDTA, organic solvents and most of the surfactants used in this study, except for a few like SDS and Tween 80. Metal ions like Fe²⁺, Mn²⁺ showed enhancement of the bacteriocin activity and it had completely lost its activity with all common protein denaturing agents that were used in this study (Table 3).

Hemolytic assay

The results of the hemolytic assay proved that the bacteriocin treatment did not affect 99.79–99.81% (100–1000 µg/mL) of erythrocytes, as clearly shown in Table 4, signifying its non-hemolytic character.
The RP-HPLC purified bacteriocin (PB) demonstrated improved growth inhibition against Gram positive and negative bacteria as shown in Table 5. Highest increase in the bacteriocin activity was observed in *S. aureus* (Gram positive) and *P. aeruginosa* (Gram negative) with 60% and 39.9%, respectively, compared to the potency of C18 SEP-PACK partially purified bacteriocin (PPB).

### Mechanism of action

Several topographical variations were spotted through FESEM analysis in the indicator cells treated with bacteriocin. Some of the prominent observations made in the bacteriocin treated *S. aureus* cells (SA) are irregular cell surfaces (a), flatter cells (b), accumulation of cell debris (c).

### Table 2

| pH range | ZOI (mm) | Relative % Enzyme effect | ZOI (mm) | Relative % UV effect | ZOI (mm) | Relative % Heat effect |
|----------|----------|---------------------------|----------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|
| Blank    | 28.1 ± 0.2 | 100                       | Control  | 28.1 ± 0.8           | 100      | Control               |
|          | 28.5 ± 0.3 | 98.5                      | Blank    | 0.2                  | 45.0     | Blank                 |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.4 | 97.1                      | DMSO     | 28.3 ± 0.2           | 99.3     | DMSO                  |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.3 | 98.9                      | Methanol | 28 ± 0.9             | 98.9     | Methanol              |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 99.1                      | Butanol  | 28 ± 0.1             | 97.8     | Butanol               |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 93.3                      | Acetone  | 27.8 ± 0.2           | 97.6     | Acetone               |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 97.8                      | Benzene  | 26.5 ± 0.5           | 97.6     | Benzene               |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 98.2                      | Propanol | 27.8 ± 0.7           | 98.2     | Propanol              |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 97.8                      | Xylool   | 27.8 ± 0.2           | 97.8     | Xylool                |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 97.1                      | Chloroform | 27.6 ± 0.5         | 97.1     | Chloroform            |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 97.4                      | EDTA     | 27.4 ± 0.5           | 96.4     | EDTA                  |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 57.2                      | SDS      | 16.2 ± 0.5           | 57.2     | SDS                   |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 97.8                      | Triton X 100 | 27.8 ± 0.7       | 97.6     | Triton X 100          |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 98.3                      | Tween 20 | 23.7 ± 0.2           | 98.3     | Tween 20              |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 75.7                      | Tween 80 | 23.7 ± 0.2           | 75.7     | Tween 80              |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 95.42                     | sulfovanilate 14 | 27.1 ± 1.04    | 95.42    | sulfovanilate 14     |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 97.6                      | Sodium deoxycholate | 27.6 ± 0.7     | 97       | Sodium deoxycholate   |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 92.6                      | Sodium citrate | 26.3 ± 0.5      | 92.6     | Sodium citrate        |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 92.5                      | Sodium tungstate | 26.3 ± 1       | 92.5     | Sodium tungstate      |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 84.85                     | KCl      | 24.1 ± 0.76          | 84.85    | KCl                   |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 75                        | CaCl2    | 21.6 ± 1.5           | 75       | CaCl2                 |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 114.4                     | FeSO4    | 32.5 ± 0.5           | 114.4    | FeSO4                 |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 64.7                      | ZnSO4    | 18.4 ± 0.5           | 64.7     | ZnSO4                 |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 54.9                      | Proteinase K | 15.6 ± 0.5      | 54.9     | Proteinase K          |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 105.6                     | Papain   | 12.8 ± 0.2           | 105.6    | Papain                |
|          | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 98.5                      | Amylase  | 28 ± 0.08            | 98.5     | Amylase               |

Values are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 3)

ZOI zone of inhibition; Untreated samples are taken as a positive control—control and LB broth was taken as negative control—Blank; IMO *M. smegmatis*

### Inhibition spectrum and minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC)

The RP-HPLC purified bacteriocin (PB) demonstrated improved growth inhibition against Gram positive and negative bacteria as shown in Table 5. Highest increase in the bacteriocin activity was observed in *S. aureus* (Gram positive) and *P. aeruginosa* (Gram negative) with 60% and 39.9%, respectively, compared to the potency of C18 SEP-PACK partially purified bacteriocin (PPB).

### Table 3

| Chemical agents | ZOI (mm) | Relative % |
|-----------------|----------|------------|
| Control         | 28.4 ± 0.8 | 100       |
| Blank           | 0        | 0         |
| DMSO            | 28.2 ± 0.2 | 99.3     |
| Methanol        | 28 ± 0.9  | 98.9      |
| Butanol         | 28 ± 0.1   | 97.8     |
| Acetone         | 27.8 ± 0.2 | 93.3     |
| Benzene         | 26.5 ± 0.5 | 97.8     |
| Propanol        | 27.8 ± 0.7 | 98.2     |
| Xylool          | 27.8 ± 0.2 | 97.8     |
| Chloroform      | 27.6 ± 0.5 | 97.1     |
| EDTA            | 27.4 ± 0.5 | 96.4     |
| SDS             | 16.25 ± 0.5 | 57.21 |
| Triton X 100    | 27.8 ± 0.7 | 97.8     |
| Tween 20        | 23.7 ± 0.2 | 98.3     |
| Tween 80        | 23.7 ± 0.2 | 75.7     |
| sulfovanilate 14 | 27.1 ± 1.04 | 95.42 |
| Sodium deoxycholate | 27.6 ± 0.7 | 97 |
| Sodium citrate  | 26.3 ± 0.5 | 92.6     |
| Sodium tungstate | 26.3 ± 1    | 92.5     |
| KCl             | 24.1 ± 0.76 | 84.85   |
| CaCl2           | 21.6 ± 1.5 | 75       |
| FeSO4           | 32.5 ± 0.5 | 114.4    |
| ZnSO4           | 18.4 ± 0.5 | 64.7     |
| Proteinase K    | 15.6 ± 0.5 | 54.9     |
| Papain          | 12.8 ± 0.2 | 105.6    |
| Amylase         | 28 ± 0.08  | 98.5     |

Values are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 3)

ZOI zone of inhibition; Untreated samples are taken as a positive control—control and LB broth was taken as negative control—Blank; IMO *M. smegmatis*
(c), and prominent pore formation (d). On the other hand, *P. aeruginosa* (PA) treated cells also showed noticeably shortened cells (a), swollen cells (b), and cells displaying pore formation (c), as compared to the topography of untreated cells (Fig. 5). The bacteriocin treated cells visibly seemed to be damaged, disfigured, and lysed. The pores seen in cells of both gram positive and negative bacteria suggest a bactericidal impact and the cell death might have occurred due to cell constituent’s leakage.

**Discussion**

A potential bacteriocin isolated as a transient endophyte from *Bacillus subtilis* (MK733983) was elucidated and its characteristics were evaluated in this study. Preparative-RP-HPLC was used for the benefit of effective purification, quantification, and categorical characterization of the bacteriocin (Aurea et al. 2011, 2014). Studies have shown that the antibacterial activity depends on hydrophobic interaction between microbes and AMPs. In agreement with the same, it was rational that the elution with 65% acetonitrile can fractionate hydrophobic moieties more efficiently than with 40% of acetonitrile. This could be one plausible reason for the higher antimicrobial activity of RP-HPLC fraction eluted with 65% acetonitrile (Gao et al. 1991).

The proteinaceous nature of this bacteriocin was confirmed as it entirely lost activity with proteolytic enzymes, validating its position as GRAS (generally accepted as safe) peptide. Partial impediment in antimicrobial activity by Papainase indicated that the antimicrobial peptide may have had Papain-resistant components. It showed notable features like tolerance to organic solvents, anionic detergents (sulfobetaine 14), zwitterionic detergents (sodium deoxycholate), synergistic action with ethyl alcohol and metal ions (Fe^{2+}, Mn^{2+}). Additionally, thermostability and a wide-ranging pH tolerance expand its possibilities as a bio-preservative and for other industrial applications (Hammami et al. 2009). Several AMPs have

---

### Table 4 Hemolytic assay

| Well No | Bacteriocin-100 µg/mL | H% | Bacteriocin-250 µg/mL | H% | Bacteriocin-500 µg/mL | H% | Bacteriocin-1000 µg/mL | H% |
|---------|----------------------|----|---------------------|----|---------------------|----|----------------------|----|
| 1       | 0.0009 ± 0.000003    | 0.21 | 0.002 ± 0.0003       | 0.51 | 0.0051 ± 0.0007       | 1.35 | 0.0087 ± 0.0004       | 2.19 |
| 2       | 0.0007 ± 0.0000011   | 0.15 | 0.0014 ± 0.0003      | 0.24 | 0.0034 ± 0.0005       | 0.90 | 0.0059 ± 0.0007       | 1.48 |
| 3       | 0.0005 ± 0.0000031   | 0.1  | 0.001 ± 0.0001       | 0.21 | 0.0024 ± 0.0003       | 0.63 | 0.005 ± 0.0005        | 1.24 |
| 4       | 0.0003 ± 0.000004    | 0.15 | 0.0005 ± 0.000004    | 0.1  | 0.0015 ± 0.0002       | 0.38 | 0.004 ± 0.0006        | 0.99 |
| 5       | 0.0002 ± 0.0000033   | <0.05| 0.0003 ± 0.000002    | <0.05| 0.0009 ± 0.000009     | 0.21 | 0.0019 ± 0.0003       | 0.45 |
| 6       | 0.00018 ± 0.0000017  | <0.05| 0.00015 ± 0.0000047  | <0.05| 0.0004 ± 0.000007     | 0.07 | 0.0006 ± 0.0002       | 0.11 |
| 7       | 0.00012 ± 0.0000016  | <0.05| 0.00013 ± 0.000003   | <0.05| 0.00016 ± 0.000004    | <0.05| 0.00033 ± 0.000007    | <0.05 |
| 8       | 0.00012 ± 0.0000017  | <0.05| 0.00012 ± 0.000002   | <0.05| 0.00013 ± 0.000003    | <0.05| 0.00002 ± 0.000002    | <0.05 |
| 9       | 0.00012 ± 0.0000018  | <0.05| 0.00001 ± 0.0000001  | <0.05| 0.000012 ± 0.000001   | <0.05| 0.00001 ± 0.000003    | <0.05 |
| 10      | 0.00012 ± 0.0000019  | <0.05| 0.00001 ± 0.0000009  | <0.05| 0.00001 ± 0.000009    | <0.05| 0.00001 ± 0.000001    | <0.05 |
| 11      | 0.0001               | 0    | 0.0001               | 0    | 0.0001               | 0    | 0.0001               | 0    |
| 12      | 0.36 ± 0.02           | 100  | 0.36 ± 0.02          | 100  | 0.36 ± 0.02          | 100  | 0.39 ± 0.035         | 100  |

Values are expressed as mean ± SEM (*n* = 4)

### Table 5 Inhibition Spectrum and Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

| Indicator microorganisms (IMO) | MIC (PPB) | MIC (PB) | PPB ZOI (mm) | PB ZOI (mm) |
|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------------|-------------|
| *M. smegmatis*                | 0.34 ± 0.005 | 0.275 ± 0.02 | 22.25 ± 0.25 | 28.48 ± 0.1 |
| *S. aureus*                   | 0.33 ± 0.02  | 0.225 ± 0.03 | 20.75 ± 0.25 | 32.5 ± 0.5  |
| *P. aeruginosa*               | 0.6 ± 0.02   | 0.375 ± 0.03 | 26.3 ± 0.12  | 36.8 ± 0.6  |
| *K. pneumoniae*               | 0.76 ± 0.02  | 0.55 ± 0.07  | 24.25 ± 0.25 | 31.8 ± 0.6  |
| *E. coli*                     | 0.73 ± 0.02  | 0.525 ± 0.1  | 14.75 ± 0.25 | 19.6 ± 0.4  |
| *C. violaceum*                | 0.76 ± 0.05  | 0.45 ± 0.07  | 12.25 ± 0.25 | 14.5 ± 0.4  |

Values are expressed as mean ± SEM (*n* = 3)

MIC minimal inhibitory concentration; PB purified bacteriocin; PPB partially purified bacteriocin; ZOI zone of inhibition
recorded hemolysis as a critical limitation and so, they were employed for topical therapies to avoid systemic adverse effects (Pfalzgraff et al. 2018). The bacteriocin presented in this study has shown non-hemolytic nature, enhancing its curative options. The bacteriocin showed excellent tolerance (> 95%) to specified biological detergents such as Sulfobetaine-14 and Sodium deoxycholate. Sulfobetaine-14 is widely used in hair conditioners, contact lens solutions and in the purification of proteins. On the other hand, Sodium deoxycholate is often used as a biological detergent and for cytolytic medications. Commercial value of the biological surfactants with added anti-infective agents (like the mentioned bacteriocin) can both be profitable and of therapeutic gain.

Antimicrobial peptides typically tend to inhibit taxonomically related strains but the bacteriocin emphasized in this study displayed broad inhibition spectrum. It was uniquely similar to Subtilein (Park et al. 2002), Thuricin 17 (Gray et al. 2006), Cerein 8A (Bizani et al. 2005), Gas 101 (Sharma et al. 2018) through its antagonistic activity against S. aureus, P. aeruginosa and E. coli. Besides, it also showed effective inhibition of M. smegmatis, K. pneumoniae and C. violaceum. The bacteriocin showed maximum antibacterial effect towards critically prioritized standard microbes and analogues suuuccch as S. aureus, M. smegmatis, P. aeruginosa followed by others. The rationale for higher bacteriocin dosage to inhibit gram-negative strains might account on their taxonomical differences.

Nearly all studies performed on C. violaceum are mostly committed to its quorum sensing ability and other biotechnological purposes. However, its first clinical risk reported by Bottieau et al. (2014) capable of eliciting serious bacteremia was alarming. This infection was reported to be intimidating as it spreads through the skin or respiratory system with fatality rate exceeding 50%. Currently, it is reported as an emerging pathogen (Batista and Neto 2017) and the bacteriocin’s ability to inhibit C. violaceum must be further explored. Likewise, the bacteriocin might be antagonistic to various other microbes with analogous quorum sensing strategies resembling C. violaceum and it requires further research. Most pathogens come up with compelling quorum sensing mechanisms, significant for biofilm development as reported in ESKAPE pathogens (Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Enterobacter spp.). Inhibition of some standard strains belonging to ESKAPE list reiterates the bacteriocin’s prominence and its forthcoming propensity for biofilm inhibition.

M. tuberculosis causes Tuberculosis (TB) and the soaring global TB drug resistance is frightening. TB is the leading
cause of death in most immunocompromised individuals. Potential drugs and novel vaccines will be required to achieve the targets set in ‘The End TB Strategy’ by WHO (Tiberi et al. 2018). AMPs as potential antimycobacterial agents or drug candidates are relatively less explored (Sivaraj et al. 2018; Aguilar-Perez et al. 2018 and Sosunov et al. 2007). Invention and Development of novel antitubercular drugs and vaccines have become extremely challenging and causes for its shortage are numerous. One of the reasons for this paucity is also due to the impenetrable, composite cell walls of Mycobacterium species. It consists of a hydrophobic mycolate layer bound to peptidoglycan layer with polysaccharides and arabinogalactans. Thus, the selected drug agents must target its cell wall components with diverse strategies. The bacteriocin staged in this research showed bactericidal effect on M. smegmatis, a widely credited surrogate for M. tuberculosis (Agrawal et al. 2015; Li et al. 2004). Further the in-vivo investigations of this bacteriocin on M. tuberculosis and its resistant strains will be essential to explicate its efficacy. Further, the bactericidal action with prominent pore formation was seen in both gram positive and negative bacteria accord with toroidal pore model described by Le et al. (2017). The model illustrates that the bacteriocin interaction with cell membranes disturb its electrochemical potential. It forces the cell membrane to aggregate peptide monomers and lipid moieties to fold inward to form pores. Further, permeation of proteins and other larger molecules through these pores, destroy cell morphology and cell membranes ultimately resulting in cell death.

Many studies report antiviral drug resistance for respiratory, sexually transmitted, and enteric viruses and there is a pressing need for effective antiviral agents. Lange-Starke et al. (2014) registered an antiviral peptide (AVP) effective on murine norovirus S99 (MNV), influenza A virus A/WSN/33 (H1N1), Newcastle disease virus Montana (NDV) and feline herpesvirus KS 285 (FHV). Wang et al. (2017) documented effective inhibition of transmissible gastroenteritis virus by surfactin. Silva et al. (2014) stated an AMP from B. subtilis, P34 for inhibiting canine adenovirus type 2 (CAV-2), canine coronavirus (CCoV), canine distemper virus (CDV), canine parvovirus type 2 (CPV-2) and equine arteritis virus (EAV). Starosila et al. (2017) and Peng et al. (2019) reported AVPs for porcine epidemic diarrhea virus. Kassaa et al. (2014) described the effect of LAB probiotics against viral infections. Search for AVPs from Bacillus genus is an emerging frontier and future assessment of the antiviral, antifungal, antiparasitic and antitumor potential of the presented bacteriocin is essential.

As per our knowledge, the present study is presenting a unique and potential Ethno-Phyto-microbiome originated bacteriocin. It has effective antimycobacterial activity and is antibacterial towards certain vital bacterial standard strains. It is non-hemolytic with many beneficial biophysiochemical features and an effective mechanism of action.

**Conclusion**

AMR is an evolved health hazard with impoverished drug remedies in the modern world. Experts globally warn that we are on the brink of a disaster with increasing emergence of novel infections and resistant microbes down with a dearth of effective drugs and vaccines. The ongoing pandemic by SARS-Cov-2 virus (2020) is a wake-up call to brisk the discovery and development of potential drugs and vaccines. This deadly viral infection has brought the entire human population to a halt waiting for novel antimicrobial agents. Adding to this, healthcare professionals globally also predict that the estimated economic burden of resistance due to E. coli, K. pneumoniae, S. aureus, Tuberculosis, HIV, and malaria together will soon reach a worst-case scenario. We urgently require immediate effective measures and novel antimicrobial agents with diverse strategies. Though many approaches are being developed to treat microbial infections, antimicrobial peptides are promising alternatives. AMPs are drawing attention as potential drug candidates, as they can kill the resistant microbes with precise selective toxicity and without developing resistance. Many AMPs show negligible host cytotoxicity, can inhibit evolved biofilms targeting their stress response and can synergize with several antibiotics. The bacteriocin presented in this investigation has justified its broad inhibition spectrum against critical strains of Gram positive, Gram negative and TB pathogen analogue. Further in vivo studies will contribute to its development as a precursor for the development of promising additional anti-mycobacterial/anti-bacterial drugs and other application prospects.
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