DIAGNOSTIC VALUE OF SOLUBLE TRIGGERING RECEPTOR EXPRESSED ON MYELOID CELLS IN SPONTANEOUS BACTERIAL PERITONITIS
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OBJECTIVE
The aim of this study is to investigate the role of sTREM-1 molecule in the diagnosis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in patients with portal type ascites.

MATERIAL AND METHOD: We included 122 patients with portal type ascites in the study, 58 had infected ascites (peritonitis) (F/M:27/31, mean age 61.6±15.0 years) and 64 had uninfected ascites (F/M:23/41, mean age 63.4±9.4). Complete blood count, albumin, and C-reactive protein (CRP) were defined in the blood samples. Additionally, neutrophil count, albumin, total protein, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), and sTREM-1 levels were measured in the peritoneal fluid samples.

RESULTS: There were significant differences in ascites neutrophil, serum neutrophil, CRP, ascites LDH, and ascites sTREM-1 levels between two groups. AUC values for ascites neutrophil, serum neutrophil, CRP, and sTREM-1 were 1.0 (95% CI 1.0-1.0), 0.676 (95% CI 0.580-0.771), 0.721 (95% CI 0.632-0.811), and 0.644 (95% CI 0.546-0.74), respectively. In females, sTREM-1 levels were positively correlated with ascites neutrophil, ascites LDH, ascites albumin, ascites total protein levels, and platelet count.

ABSTRACT
Objective: The aim of this study is to investigate the role of sTREM-1 molecule in the diagnosis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in patients with portal type ascites.

Material and Method: We included 122 patients with portal type ascites in the study, 58 had infected ascites (peritonitis) (F/M:27/31, mean age 61.6±15.0 years) and 64 had uninfected ascites (F/M:23/41, mean age 63.4±9.4). Complete blood count, albumin, and C-reactive protein (CRP) were defined in the blood samples. Additionally, neutrophil count, albumin, total protein, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), and sTREM-1 levels were measured in the peritoneal fluid samples.

Results: There were significant differences in ascites neutrophil, serum neutrophil, CRP, ascites LDH, and ascites sTREM-1 levels between two groups. AUC values for ascites neutrophil, serum neutrophil, CRP, and sTREM-1 were 1.0 (95% CI 1.0-1.0), 0.676 (95% CI 0.580-0.771), 0.721 (95% CI 0.632-0.811), and 0.644 (95% CI 0.546-0.74), respectively. In females, sTREM-1 levels were positively correlated with ascites neutrophil, ascites LDH, ascites albumin, ascites total protein levels, and platelet count.

ÖZET
Amaç: Bu çalışmanın amacı portal tipi asidi olan hastalarda sTREM-1 molekülünün spontan bakteriyel peritonit tanısındaki rolünü araştırmaktır.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Çalışmaya portal tipte asiti olan 122 hasta dahil edildi, 58 hastada enfekte asit (K/E:27/31, ortalama yaş 61,6±15,0 yıl), 64 hastada enfekte olmayan asit (F/M:23/41, ortalama yaş 63,4±9,4) vardı. Hastalardan başvuru sırasında alınan kan örneklerinde tam kan sayımı, albümin ve C-reaktif protein (CRP) değerleri; eşzamanlı alınan periton sıvısı örneklerinde ise albümin, total protein, laktat dehidrojenaz (LDH) ve sTREM-1 seviyeleri ölçüldü.

Bulgular: Enfekte asitli ve enfekte olmayan asitli hastalar karşılaştırıldığında asit nötrofil, serum nötrofil, CRP, asit LDH ve asit sTREM-1 düzeylerinde anlamlı farklılıklar görüldü. Asit nötrofil, serum nötrofil, CRP ve sTREM-1 için eğrinin altında kalan alanlar (EAA) sırasıyla 1,0 (%95 CI 1,0-1,0), 0,676 (%95 CI 0,580-0,771), 0,721 (%95 CI 0,632-0,811) ve 0,644 (%95 CI 0,546-0,74) idi. Ayrıca kadın hastalarında sTREM-1 değerleri; asit nötrofil, asit LDH, asit albümin, asit total protein değerleri ve trombosit sayısında pozitif korelasyon gösterdi.
INTRODUCTION

One of the most common complications in cirrhosis with ascites is spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) (1, 2). With early diagnosis and advanced treatment options, mortality rates of SBP have been decreased from 90% to approximately 20% (3). SBP is a serious problem for both outpatients and hospitalized patients with ascites, and the primary diagnostic tool is paracentesis (1, 4, 5). Although patients with SBP may have symptoms related to peritonitis, systemic inflammation, impaired liver and kidney functions, shock, and gastrointestinal hemorrhage; some of the patients with SBP might be asymptomatic and misdiagnosed especially in outpatient clinics (4-6).

Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells-1 (TREM-1) is a membrane molecule in the immunoglobulin super family. It is expressed on the surface of neutrophils and monocytes with the stimulation of bacteria and fungi. In addition to neutrophils and monocytes, it is found on dendritic cells, natural killer cells, T, and B lymphocytes as well as respiratory epithelial cells and hepatic endothelial cells. After encountering infectious agents, membrane-bound TREM-1 is upregulated. Subsequently, the soluble form of this molecule (sTREM-1) is released into body fluids such as plasma, bronchoalveolar fluid, pleural fluid, peritoneal fluid, and cerebrospinal fluid (7-12). Owing to that, sTREM-1 was thought to be used as a marker especially in bacterial infections; increased levels have been shown in sepsis, pneumonia, exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, secondary peritonitis and bacterial meningitis, so far (13-15). Although some studies suggested that sTREM-1 levels show no increase in uninfectious situations such as vasculitis, psoriasis, and ulcerative colitis (16), it has also been related with inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, like pancreatitis, gout, peptic ulcer, systemic lupus erythematosus in recent time (11, 12, 17).

In the present study, the ascites sTREM-1 levels were measured to identify the role of the sTREM-1 molecule in the diagnosis of SBP in patients with portal type ascites and compare it with the conventional diagnostic tool of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, ascites neutrophil count. We also aimed to determine the sensitivity and specificity of sTREM-1 in the diagnosis of SBP.

Conclusion: Infection of ascites is an important complication of portal hypertension and ascites neutrophil count measurement is the traditional method used for diagnosis. sTREM-1 can be an alternative marker to identify ascites infections, especially in the cases of peritonitis without an increase in neutrophil count.

Keywords: sTREM-1, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, ascites

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Study design and patients

We designed this study as a cross-sectional study involving consecutive patients admitted with ascites, between September 2014 and January 2016. Only patients whose ascites were drained for the diagnostic produce with suspected peritonitis and were found to be portal type (serum acid-albumin gradient ≥1.1) were included (18). The patients who are diagnosed with a non-portal type of ascites, any malignant neoplasm, or those using antibiotics, immunosuppressive therapy, or chemotherapeutic were excluded. Overall, 122 patients were included in the study, and 58 patients with an ascites neutrophil count greater than 250×10³/mm³ were identified as having infected ascites (19), 64 patients with an ascites neutrophil count less than 250×10³/mm³ were defined as having uninfected ascites (Figure 1). Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Review Board with the number of 2014-926/955, and all participants gave informed consent.

Sample and data collection

Data on demographic, clinical, and laboratory characteristics were recorded and both ascites and blood samples were collected. Ascites samples were collected before

Figure 1: STARD diagram for study design.
any intervention via the insertion of a sterile needle into the left lower quadrant of the abdomen which is the opposite of McBurney point as in the classical paracentesis procedure to determine cell count and biochemical parameters (albumin, total protein, LDH, and sTREM-1). Venous blood samples were taken into both an EDTA anticoagulated tube for the complete blood count and a dry tube for the serum biochemical parameters (albumin and C-reactive protein). Complete blood count and plasma biochemistry analysis were done by our central biochemistry laboratory based on routine protocols. To determine the cell count and collect the supernatant, ascites samples were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 minutes at +4°C, and the supernatant was kept at -80°C. The concentrations of sTREM-1 in ascites fluids were measured by following the manufacturer's instructions with commercial sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) in 96-well plates which have an assay range of 3 to 900 ng/L and sensitivity of 1.51 ng/L.

**Statistical analysis**

Distribution of the data was analyzed and continuous variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The differences between groups and subgroups were analyzed by parametric Student’s t-test and non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test as applicable. Correlation of sTREM-1 values with other diagnostic tests were analyzed by Spearman or Pearson correlation coefficients. To evaluate the diagnostic performance of sTREM-1, receiver operating characteristics (ROC) with corresponding areas under the curve (AUCs) were calculated. Since peritonitis is diagnosed by the presence of more than 250×10³/mm³ neutrophils in ascites fluid, it was accepted as the gold standard when compared to other diagnostic tests and sTREM-1.

P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed by using SPSS 21.0.0.0 (SPSS Inc.), Graphpad Prism v5. (GraphPad Software Inc.), and MedCalc v16.8.4 (MedCalc Software).

**RESULTS**

The characteristics of patients with infected ascites and uninfected ascites are presented in Table 1. Significant differences between two groups were seen on ascites neutrophil, serum neutrophil, CRP, ascites LDH, and ascites albumin.

| Group, (number) | Patients with uninfected ascites (n) | Patients with infected ascites (n) |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Ascites albumin (g/dL) | 1.16±1.0 | 1.20±1.0 | 1.26±0.9 |
| Ascites total protein (g/dL) | 2.07±1.4 | 2.48±1.7 | 2.44±1.4 |
| Ascites LDH (u/L) | 88.04±69.7 | 328.00±828.5 | 244.67±576.9 |
| Ascites neutrophil (/mm³) | 108.70±65.1 | 1877.78±2732.0 | 2268.10±5284.4 |
| sTREM-1 (ng/L) | 381.79±174.6 | 513.78±198.5 | 465.69±206.9 |
| Serum albumin (g/dL) | 3.23±0.7 | 2.87±0.7 | 2.91±0.6 |
| Serum CRP (mg/L) | 26.93±30.5 | 70.35±67.5 | 82.40±78.8 |
| Serum neutrophil (/mm³) | 4976.09±7028.2 | 7267.41±6112.5 | 7908.97±7604.5 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) | 9.90±1.8 | 9.87±1.7 | 10.39±1.9 |
| Platelets (/µL) | 143000±160100 | 149500±100100 | 149000±124000 |
| MPV (fl) | 8.63±1.3 | 8.75±1.3 | 8.66±1.3 |
| MELD | 11.70±5.6 | 11.38±5.3 | 11.93±4.4 |

Table 1: The patient characteristics for the patients with infected ascites and uninfected ascites
sTREM-1 levels (p<0.0001, 0.001, <0.0001, 0.002, and 0.006, respectively).

Subgroups analysis for gender showed significant differences in the comparison of male patients with infected ascites and uninfected ascites for the ascites neutrophil, serum neutrophil, ascites LDH, and CRP (p<0.0001, 0.02, 0.02, and 0.01, respectively) while the difference in sTREM-1 values was insignificant (p=0.29). In females with infected ascites and uninfected ascites, there was a significant difference in the ascites neutrophil, CRP, ascites LDH, serum neutrophil, and sTREM (p<0.0001, 0.006, 0.015, 0.006, and 0.007, respectively).

Etiology of cirrhosis was found in patients as; HBV-related 31.1%, cryptogenic 20.5%, HCV-related 23.0%, alcoholic 11.5%, autoimmune 4.9%, and other 9.0% (including; Caroli’s disease, portal vein thrombosis, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, and hepatic fibrosis). There were no significant differences in the causes of cirrhosis on subgroup analysis for neither gender nor ascites infection status, however, all patients with autoimmune causes were female (n=6).

The predictive power of sTREM-1, ascites neutrophil, serum neutrophil, and CRP in the diagnosis of ascites infection is demonstrated as the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) and given in Figure 2.

In ROC analysis to differentiate patients with infected ascites and uninfected ascites, AUC values for ascites neutrophil (gold standard criteria), serum neutrophil, CRP, and sTREM-1 were 1.0 (95% CI 1.0-1.0), 0.676 (95% CI...

Figure 2A-C: ROC curve in the diagnosis of patients with infected ascites versus uninfected ascites A: for overall groups, B: female patients, C: and male patients.
sTREM-1 in spontaneous bacterial peritonitis

**DISCUSSION**

Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells-1 (TREM-1) and its soluble form sTREM-1 have increasing popularity in recent years, notably for their potential role as a biomarker in the diagnosis of bacterial infections, as well as some inflammatory diseases. Studies of sTREM-1 molecule mainly focused on sepsis (7, 14, 15, 20, 21). Although there is a study investigating the effect of sTREM-1 molecule in diagnosing secondary peritonitis, (13), this is the first study in the literature investigating the role of sTREM-1 molecule for the diagnosis of SBP.

Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value, and AUC of variables are given in Table 2.

Although it was not strong, a positive correlation was found between sTREM-1 and ascites neutrophil count (r=0.24, p=0.009). In female patients, sTREM-1 was found positively correlated with ascites neutrophil, ascites LDH, ascites albumin, ascites total protein, and platelet count (r=0.37, p=0.007; r=0.27, p=0.05; r=0.27, p=0.06; r=0.30, p=0.04; and r=0.30, p=0.03, respectively), while there was no positive correlation between sTREM-1 and any parameters in male patients.

**Similar to the present study, same parameters were investigated as a marker determining the infection in previous studies, but most of the data were obtained from studies focused on sepsis. Nevertheless, our results are consistent with the outcomes of those studies. For example, Barati et al. found AUC values of CRP, serum neutrophil count, and sTREM-1 for the diagnosis of infection in SIRS as 0.66 (95% CI 0.54-0.77) (p=0.00), 0.59 (95% CI 0.47-0.70) (p=0.14), and 0.65 (95% CI 0.53-0.76) (p=0.00), respectively (7). The only study investigating secondary peritonitis found the AUC for sTREM-1 as 0.76 (95% CI 0.63-0.90) (12).

In previous studies, various sensitivity and specificity values have been noted related to the sTREM-1. For example, Bayram et al. (14) found that the sensitivity and specificity of sTREM-1 molecule for sepsis were 81.8% and 73.2%, respectively. Moreover, Determann et al. (13) suggested a cut-off value of 160 pg/ml for sTREM-1 in secondary peritonitis; which is quite low compared to a cut-off value of 452 pg/ml that we found for SBP with 88% sensitivity (95% CI 0.54-0.77) (p=0.00), 0.59 (95% CI 0.47-0.70) (p=0.14), and 0.65 (95% CI 0.53-0.76) (p=0.00), respectively (7). The only study investigating secondary peritonitis found the AUC for sTREM-1 as 0.76 (95% CI 0.63-0.90) (12).

Ascites neutrophil count is accepted as the conventional diagnostic marker for the diagnosis of SBP, mainly due to the lower positive culture rates in ascites infections and relative difficulties of performing culture related to technique (22-24). However, these may cause misdiagnosis of SBP cases in which neutrophil count does not increase (25). Therefore, alternative markers may be required.

Table 2: Specificity, sensitivity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value of different markers in the diagnosis of patients with infected ascites versus uninfected ascites

| Variable         | Threshold     | Sensitivity (95% CI)% | Specificity (95% CI)% | Positive Predictive Value | Negative Predictive Value | Positive Likelihood Ratio (95% CI) | P       | AUC (95% CI)% |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------|
| sTREM-1 (ng/L)   | 452           | 0.48 (0.35-0.62)      | 0.77 (0.64-0.86)      | 0.65                      | 0.62                       | 2.06 (1.2-3.5)                    | 0.004  | 0.644        |
| Serum CRP (mg/L) | 47            | 0.59 (0.45-0.71)      | 0.77 (0.64-0.86)      | 0.69                      | 0.67                       | 2.5 (1.5-4.1)                     | <0.0001| 0.721        |
| Serum neutrophil (x10³/mm³) | 4300        | 0.72 (0.59-0.83)      | 0.63 (0.50-0.74)      | 0.64                      | 0.71                       | 1.93 (1.4-2.8)                    | 0.0003 | 0.676        |
| Ascites neutrophil (x10³/mm³) | 250         | 1.0                    | 1.0                   | 1.0                       | 1.0                       | 3.76 (2.5-5.7)                    | <0.0001| 1.00         |

0.580-0.771), 0.721 (95% CI 0.632-0.811), and 0.644 (95% CI 0.546-0.744), respectively (Figure 2).

In the study of Determann et al. (26), sTREM-1 use was suggested to be better than CRP in identifying infection.
In favor of this, Gibot et al. (9) found that sTREM-1 is even more accurate than any laboratory values or clinical findings detecting the presence of bacterial or fungal pneumonia (LR+, 10.38; sensitivity 98%; specificity 90%). On the contrary, Latour-Perez et al. (18) suggested that sTREM-1 has a poor distinctive power to detect infection in critically ill patients with SIRS and does not have an additional diagnostic value to the diagnostic value provided by other commonly used clinical tests. Our results, on the other hand, showed a similar specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value, as well as little lower sensitivity, AUC, and LR for sTREM-1 compared to CRP. Due to the fact that unless the causative pathogen is shown, no single predictor can not be the perfect diagnostic tool for the infections or SBP, sTREM-1 may be at least a contributor for the evaluation of the patient with the suspicion of SBP.

Another notable finding in our study is that sTREM-1 has been shown to be more specific in females compared to males. This has not been discussed in previous studies for sTREM-1. But the cause of this difference is not clear.

The study has some limitations. First, although we planned to evaluate the role of sTREM-1 in the diagnosis of SBP, we made the diagnosis based on the number of ascites neutrophil count, as it is still the gold standard. However, some SBP cases may be missed because the number of ascites neutrophils does not increase. Therefore, future studies are necessary in this regard. Second, the study population is relatively small to show possible significant differences in subgroup analysis. Both ROC and correlation analysis suggested that SBP has a more significant relationship with increased sTREM-1 levels in female patients. However, there is no known gender or hormone-based difference for the production and release of sTREM-1 on literature. These results we found may be due to small subgroup sizes. Therefore, further research is needed with larger populations to determine the role of the sTREM-1 molecule in the diagnosis and prognosis of peritonitis and clarify this possible gender effect.

In conclusion, infection of ascites is an important complication of portal hypertension, and the neutrophil count in ascites fluid is the traditional and widely used tool for the diagnosis. Recently, it has been shown that sTREM-1 molecule released from myeloid cells that encounter bacteria, can detect infections, similar to the well-known infection marker CRP. Therefore, sTREM-1 could be an alternative marker to identify ascites infections, especially in cases of peritonitis without an increase in the neutrophil count.
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