Global Analysis of Extracytoplasmic Stress Signaling in *Escherichia coli*
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Abstract

The Bae, Cpx, Psp, Rcs, and σ^E pathways constitute the *Escherichia coli* signaling systems that detect and respond to alterations of the bacterial envelope. Contributions of these systems to stress response have previously been examined individually; however, the possible interconnections between these pathways are unknown. Here we investigate the dynamics between the five stress response pathways by determining the specificities of each system with respect to signal-inducing conditions, and monitoring global transcriptional changes in response to transient overexpression of each of the effectors. Our studies show that different extracytoplasmic stress conditions elicit a combined response of these pathways. Involvement of the five pathways in the various tested stress conditions is explained by our unexpected finding that transcriptional responses induced by the individual systems show little overlap. The extracytoplasmic stress signaling pathways in *E. coli* thus regulate mainly complementary functions whose discrete contributions are integrated to mount the full adaptive response.

Introduction

Bacteria possess various stress signaling systems that sense and respond to specific stimuli and allow the cell to cope with changing environmental conditions. One or several stress stimuli may activate multiple stress response pathways to constitute an integrated and complex response. Adaptation to envelope stress illustrates the complexity of these regulatory networks.

The bacterial envelope is involved in necessary processes including nutrient transport, respiration, secretion, adhesion, virulence and maintenance of bacterial integrity. In Gram negative bacteria such as *Escherichia coli*, the envelope comprises an inner membrane, a periplasmic space that contains the cell wall, an outer membrane and bacterial appendages such as pili and flagella. Being in contact with the external medium, the envelope is the initial target of physical (e.g., hyperthermia, osmolarity), chemical (e.g., ethanol, pH, detergent) or biological (e.g., adhesion, infection) stresses that may alter envelope components, thus inducing an extracytoplasmic stress response. The *E. coli* σ^E^, Psp, Cpx and Bae signaling pathways are the main elements of this response described to date (reviewed in [1]). The σ^E^ and Psp (phage shock protein) pathways are both regulated via sequestration and release of a transcriptional factor in response to specific signals: Accumulation of specific misfolded outer membrane proteins (OMP) within the periplasm induces sequential regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP) events leading to degradation of the inner membrane protein RseA, the σ^E^ sequesterator [2–4], and resulting in σ^E^ release in the cytoplasm. Free σ^E^ associates with RNA polymerase to allow σ^E^ -regulated gene transcription. PspF is a σ^34^ enhancer binding protein: In the absence of signals, PspF-enhanced transcription is inhibited by PspA binding to PspF [5]. According to the current model, one or both inner membrane proteins PspB and PspC sense the inducing signal (possibly a decrease of proton motive force) and then bind PspA, disrupting its interaction with PspF [reviewed in [6]]. PspA, PspB and PspC thus act as regulators and effectors of the Psp response [7,8], although another cascade might also exist [9]. The two other signal transduction pathways that respond to extracytoplasmic stress, Cpx for conjugal plasmid expression (for a review, see [10]) and Bae for bacterial adaptive response [11], are classical two component regulatory systems. Upon stimulation, the sensor (CpxA or BaeS) autophosphorylates a conserved histidine residue of its transmitter domain. The phosphoryl group is then transferred to a conserved aspartate of the receiver domain of the response regulator (CpxR or BaeR), resulting in its activation. In the absence of signals, sensor proteins are thought to function as phosphatases to deactivate their phosphorylated effector proteins. Additional proteins can participate in signal transduction prior to the sensor step: For example, the outer membrane lipoprotein NlpE stimulates CpxA following bacterial
Bacteria possess various signaling systems that sense and respond to environmental conditions. The bacterial envelope is at the front line for most external stress conditions; its components sense perturbations and transmit signals to induce transcriptional reprogramming, leading to an adaptive response. In *Escherichia coli*, at least five response pathways, called Bae, Cpx, Psp, Rcs, and σ^F^, are induced in response to envelope stress. To date, these pathways have been studied mainly individually, and the interconnections and/or overlaps between them have not been extensively characterized. The present study establishes two important characteristics of stress response in *E. coli*: first, that a given stress solicits the combined responses of several pathways; second, that each individual pathway controls a discrete set of genes involved in the response, and shows little overlap with other pathways. Based on previous knowledge and the present data, we propose that an environmental stress probably impacts on the cell envelope by inducing numerous alterations, each of which may be perceived by different pathways of the stress response and contributes to adapting the cell to different aspects of the stress damage. The extracytoplasmic stress signaling pathways in *E. coli* thus regulate mainly complementary functions whose discrete contributions are integrated to mount the full adaptive response.

Results/Discussion

Exogenous and genetic signals induce several extracytoplasmic stress pathways

Although molecular mechanisms leading to signal transduction and extracytoplasmic stress responses are well documented for several systems, the environmental conditions that act as natural inducers remain obscure. For each stress response system, several genetic defects or different treatments were shown to induce adaptive responses (i.e., Bae [11,46]; Cpx [47]; Psp [6,48–50]; Rcs [21,22]; σ^F^ [4,47,50]). Some conditions are known to concomitantly activate several pathways. For instance, indole induces the Bae and Cpx pathways [11], ethanol, verapamil (calcium channel inhibitor), or dibucaine (an amide local anesthetic that alters membrane fluidity) induce the σ^F^ and Psp pathways [50], and antibiotics targeting penicillin binding proteins induce Rcs, Bae, Cpx, and σ^F^ [19]. However the effects of activating signals have never been simultaneously compared for all five systems.

To determine whether a given stress condition could be specific to a single pathway, we investigated the impact of several stress conditions on activation of the five known extracytoplasmic stress response pathways. To do this, we first used strains with transcriptional gene fusions that place the *lacZ* reporter gene (encoding β-galactosidase) under the control of a promoter representative of each system: Bae, Cpx, Psp, and σ^F^ pathways were monitored using *spy*: *lacZ*, *cpxP*: *lacZ*, *pspA*: *lacZ*, *sprc*: *lacZ* and *P*<sup>s</sup>*spaIE*: *lacZ*, respectively (Table S1).

Strains were grown under various stress conditions using both external and genetic stimuli, and β-galactosidase activity was determined (see Materials and Methods). In the absence of their corresponding transcriptional regulators, *cpxP*: *lacZ* and *pspA*: *lacZ* fusions had no detectable activity and *spaE*: *lacZ* had strongly reduced activity when compared to the wild type background (data not shown). In the case of the σ^F^ reporter, *P*<sup>s</sup>*spaIE*: *lacZ*, σ^F^ is essential [51], and a basal level of β-galactosidase activity was observed in the unstressed condition.

Since the *spy*: *lacZ* fusion is dependent upon both BaeR and CpxR, we also analyzed the effects of these signals in a *baeR* background [52]. To our knowledge, no genes subjected only to *baeR* regulation have been described. We chose an *sprc*: *lacZ* reporter to monitor the Rcs pathway. *spaA* encodes a small regulatory RNA that stimulates *spaB* (encoding σ^F^) translation [53].

This gene was used rather than a *cpx*: *lacZ* fusion, since the latter fusion is also RcsA-dependent, and thus reports as much on the level of RcsA (which is potentially limiting) as on activation of RcsB [53].
None of the external tested stimuli were found to be strictly specific for a single signaling system (Table 1). Indeed, 5% ethanol and 4 mM indole induced all the transcriptional fusions tested. Dibucaine activated the Cpx, Rcs, Bae, and Psp systems whereas 0.6 M NaCl activated only the Rcs and Psp pathways. However, we observed differences in the response levels of reporter fusions to different signals: Cpx, Bae and $\sigma^p$ pathways were induced preferentially by indole and ethanol, Rcs by NaCl in addition to ethanol and indole, and Psp by ethanol and dibucaine, in keeping with previous results [50]. Interestingly, the Rcs pathway was induced in all membrane-altering stress conditions tested, in accordance with a bona fide role of this pathway in extracytoplasmic stress response.

During a genetic screen using an *E. coli* genomic DNA library [54], we observed that overexpression of *yedR* (encoding a putative integral inner membrane protein conserved in enteric bacteria) led to a strong mucoid phenotype. This could indicate that YedR is a component of the Rcs pathway, or alternatively, that it acts as an internal inducer of the Rcs response. Further analysis showed that induction of capsule production by *yedR* depended on RcsB and RcsC proteins (data not shown). However, the *spcA-loclacZ* fusion was responsive to different stresses (0.5 mM dibucaine, 3% ethanol or 0.6 M NaCl) in a Δ*yedR* background (data not shown), leading us to conclude that YedR is not part of the Rcs signal transduction pathway. Furthermore, multicyclop *yedR* also strongly stimulated the Psp pathway, suggesting that YedR accumulation generates an envelope stress that is sensed preferentially by these two signaling systems (Table 1).

All the above results show that stress activates multiple pathways. Nevertheless, some signal are considered as specific activator of pathways: for example, exposure of the C-terminal part of certain OMPs to the PDZ domain of DegS activates $\sigma^E$ [53,55,56], a drop of the proton motive force activates Psp [6], and accumulation of P-Pili subunits activates Cpx [13]; in the case of Rcs and Bae, specific signal sensing mechanisms remain to be identified. For Rcs, although it has been proposed that the signal could be a perturbation of the peptidoglycan [19], alteration in other envelope compartments can also be efficient inducers as previously reported [22] and illustrated by the impact of *yedR* overexpression (Table 1).

These observations may be reconciled, as the above tested conditions likely alter several aspects of bacterial envelope integrity, generating multiple signals that are in turn specifically sensed by different pathways. In addition, connections between stress response regulons could also account for indirect activation of some pathways.

In conclusion, one exogenous signal induces multiple defects by affecting different envelope components, which may be sensed by specific signal transducing mechanisms that activate all five pathways. It is expected that induction of all five extracytoplasmic stress responses is required to fully protect the cell against the variety of damages caused by a single stress.

### Extracytoplasmic stress signaling outputs: Parallel transcriptome analyses

To gain further insight into specifically regulated functions and interconnection between all five extracytoplasmic stress signaling systems, we carried out a global analysis of the general transcriptional responses following activation of each pathway. As discussed above, in view of the possible secondary signals generated by the use of inducers, we continued the study using an approach based on overexpression of each five pathway regulators. This methodology was previously used to characterize in detail the $\sigma^B$ regulon [57]. We point out a possible limit to this approach, in cases where the regulator requires phosphorylation for its activity (i.e., for BaeR, RcsB, and CpxR); nevertheless, experimental evidence indicates that overproduction of such regulators can be effectively used in such studies, as a proportion of the molecules is phosphorylated in the absence of signal (e.g., [46,58]).

$b aeR$, $cpxR$, $rcsB$, $pspF$ and $spoE$ were cloned under the control of the P*$_{EcoC}$* promoter in cloning vector pZE21, and the corresponding plasmids introduced into the wild-type strain MG1655Z1 (Table S1); a strain containing the plasmid with no insert was used as a control. Expression from the cloned genes was induced by addition of anhydrotetracycline (aTc). Since overexpression of CpxR [59], PspF (data not shown) and $\sigma^B$ [35] was toxic, we determined the minimal aTc concentration that resulted in minimal cell toxicity. Accordingly, induction was carried out with 10 ng/mL aTc in exponential phase in LB medium at 37°C for 45 min, to limit indirect effects of regulator overexpression. Western blot experiments indicated that these conditions led to accumulation (approximately between three- to ten-fold) of CpxR, RcsB, and $\sigma^B$ as compared to a strain having the control plasmid (data not shown; not determined for BaeR and PspF). Bacteria were harvested and total RNA was extracted for microarray

### Table 1. Induction of extracytoplasmic stress response pathways in response to various stimuli

| Pathways (Reporter fusions) | Genotype | Conditions | Cpx (cpp:JlacZ) | Rcs (rpr:JlacZ) | Bae (spa:JlacZ) | Psp (psp:JlacZ) | $\sigma^p$ (pp:JlacZ) |
|----------------------------|----------|------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                            |          | Indole 2 mM | Indole 4 mM | Ethanol 3% | Ethanol 5% | Dibucaine 0.5 mM | EDTA 5 mM | NaCl 0.6 M | pYedR |
|----------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|---------|-------|
| Cpx (cpp:JlacZ) | WT       | +           | +           | ++        | +++       | +               | +         | −       | +     |
| Rcs (rpr:JlacZ) | WT       | +           | ++          | ++        | +++       | +               | +         | +       | +++   |
| Bae (spa:JlacZ) | WT baER  | +           | ++          | +++       | +++       | +               | +         | +       | +     |
| Psp (psp:JlacZ) | WT       | +           | −           | −         | +         | −               | −         | +       | +     |
| $\sigma^p$ (pp:JlacZ) | −       | +           | +           | −         | −         | −               | −         | −       | −     |

*The induction level of the stress response pathways was determined using transcriptional gene fusion reporters expressing β-galactosidase. Cpx, Rcs, Bae, Psp, and $\sigma^p$ pathways were tested using derivatives of strains TR50, GEB658, TR530, MC3, and CAG16037, respectively (Table S1). Results are presented as the ratio of β-galactosidase activity under stress conditions to standard conditions (growth in LB) from at least three independent experiments with the following codification: ‘+’/−, ratio > 5; ‘++’, ratio [3.5 ; 5]; ‘+++’, ratio [1.5 ; 3.5]; ‘++++’, ratio < 1.5.

β-galactosidase fusion less expressed than in standard conditions (LB).

### ND: not determined because the strain grew very poorly in this condition.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000651.001
and in keeping with the known function of the common with one of the two studies. As previously described, found are in common with the two studies, and 64% are in transient overexpression [3, 7, 57]. Our results are in good agreement with a transcriptome analysis of a mutant relative to the wild type MG1655 parental strain in late exponential phase. Since the Cpx pathway is activated in this condition [52, 59, 60], this comparison was expected to give access to at least some genes of the cpxR regulon.

The cpxR regulon. 69 transcription units containing 114 genes were differentially expressed in response to cpxR overexpression. A vast majority (>90%) were induced, which is consistent with cpxR being a sigma factor (Table 2). Two previous studies reported an analysis of the cpxR regulon following transient overexpression [37, 57]. Our results are in good agreement with these studies; 35% of the operons that we found are in common with the two studies, and 64% are in common with one of the two studies. As previously described, and in keeping with the known function of the cpxR regulon, a large majority of the regulated genes are related to cell surface maintenance. Among the depressed genes, we found many that encode outer membrane proteins (lambB, ompC, ompF, ompN, ompS1, ompW, and phoE; Table 2) whose expression contributes to extracytoplasmic stress. Some of these genes are under the control of several rRNAs (many of which are also regulated by rpoN) [61–66]. Among the cpxR up-regulated genes were those encoding sigma factors (rpoD, rpoH, rpoN), suggesting that regulatory cascades are triggered, as well as ptsN, a suppressor of rpoE essentiality when overexpressed [67]. As was previously reported [37, 57], the cpxR consensus binding site was not found upstream of several of the induced genes (e.g., the imp-sucA-pdxA operon, and ycfA, a gene divergent from imp, encoding a membrane associated co-chaperone of the DnaJ family [68, 69]). In the case of these genes, regulation by rpoN might be indirect, for instance by sRNAs, or by sigma factors that are increased by cpxR induction.

The rcsB regulon. Among genes whose expression is altered by RcsB overexpression, the vast majority (>90%) encodes proteins related to the envelope or its metabolism, or localized in the envelope (Table 3). All affected genes were induced, showing that RcsB is mainly a positive transcriptional regulator. Previous transcriptomic studies have explored Rcs regulated genes under different conditions: i) following DjlA overexpression in the envelope (Table 3), ii) in exponential phase in the absence of rcsC [30], ii) in exponential phase in the absence of rcsB or rcsD [70], and iii) in the absence of rcsC or rcsF at low temperature with or without zinc excess [71]. More than 70% of the genes that we identified as being differentially expressed after RcsB overexpression were also found in at least one of these studies; These include bacterial capsule synthesis genes (e.g., seca-wca-gps) or genes induced by an osmotic stress (osmB and osm1). The relatively low fold induction of the colonic acid synthesis operon in our conditions could be due to a limiting amount of RcsA. Additional genes of interest, previously not described, are involved in O antigen biosynthesis. qRT-PCR experiments also indicate that RcsB induces the expression of ycf and yaiI genes encoding a periplasmic protein under the control of both BaeR and CpxR (see below) and a putative inner membrane protein, respectively (Table 3).

The BaeR regulon. The Bae pathway is auto-regulated and specifically deals with toxic compounds by induction of the mdt-bae operon, which encodes a multidrug transporter of the RND family, and the tolC gene, which ensures efflux through the outer membrane ([72] and Table 4). Transcriptome analysis in conditions of BaeR overexpression provides the smallest gene list in this study. Indeed, up-regulation of only 8 genes, corresponding to 5 transcription units, was statistically significant, even considering a minimal ratio of induction of 1.4 confirming that like RcsB, BaeR acts mainly by stimulating transcription (Table 4). Only four BaeR binding sites, upstream of ygi, the mdt-bae operon, accD, and yeaC, have been reported in the E. coli. All genes were previously reported as having their expression activated by the Bae pathway [46, 70]; however, ygi, mdtB and mdtD described as highly induced [46] were moderately induced in the microarray study (although ygi was found to be upregulated more than 70 fold by qRT-PCR, variation in the expression of the other genes was not determined). This variation could reflect differences in parameters such as overexpression conditions, medium, growth phase, or method used (microarray technology tends to underestimate the variation of expression). It could also be due to (i) a role of CpxR in modulating BaeR activity [58, 73] (see also below), (ii) low affinity of BaeR for its target sequences, and/or (iii) differences in results depending on transient (our study) or constitutive overexpression [46]. We also observed induction of the ynjABC operon that encodes a putative membrane transporter (Table 4). Induction of ynjD was confirmed by Northern blot (data not shown). Neither the ynjABC operon nor the tolC gene displayed an upstream baeR binding consensus motif, suggesting that BaeR-mediated effects on these operons may be indirect.

The Psp Regulon. PspF is a member of the enhancer-binding protein family of transcriptional regulators, which stimulates transcription by the alternative sigma factor σ54. PspA, encoded by the pspABCDE operon has a dual function. In normal conditions, it binds PspF, preventing transcription of the operon. But it is also thought to be the major effector of the Psp pathway, by promoting proton motive force maintenance in the inner membrane (for a review, see [6]). Only two previous studies addressed the nature and extent of the PspF regulon in E. coli. In one, overexpression of the pIV protein (a secretin from the filamentous phage f1) was shown to induce the pspABCDE operon, as well as an additional gene, pspG [48]. Subsequent transcriptome analyses were performed on E. coli strains disrupted for pspA, pspD and pspG. The psp operons, including pspG, were all found to be highly expressed in the pspA mutant [27]. In the present study, overproduction of PspF led to induction of the pspABCDE operon and pspG (Table 5) as previously reported [48]. These genes are directly involved in combating stress and/or regulating expression of the Psp pathway [7]. In addition, we identified 11 genes corresponding to 10 transcription units that were derepressed following PspF overproduction, and not previously reported (Table 5, [48]). Among them, tolE encodes a member of the Tol-Pal trans-envelope complex, which is required to maintain cell envelope integrity [74]. Interestingly, the interaction between TolA and the Pal lipoprotein is driven by the proton motive force [74]. Another significantly derepressed gene was yhiR, which controls expression of genes responsible for the proton-translocating formate hydrogenase system and formate transport. Derepression of the above functions is in keeping with the implication of PspF in maintaining bacterial proton motive force. norW, which encodes a flavinreductase important for
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| Genes/putative operons<sup>a</sup> | bnum<sup>b</sup> | Product(s), operon description/function | Fold change(s)<sup>c</sup> |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|
| degP<sup>+</sup> K rh | b0161 | Periplasmic protease DegP | 56.3 (63.9) |
| ybhF<sup>+</sup> ybfG | b0691-0 | Uncharacterized proteins | 3.9; 25.2 |
| bamD<sup>+</sup> | b2595 | Component of outer membrane complex | 14.6 |
| recF<sup>+</sup> recG<sup>+</sup> recJ<sup>+</sup> | b2822-19 | Pta: periplasmic protease III; RecBD: exodeoxyribonuclease V essential for recombination | 4.5; 10.7; 4.5 (9.5); 3.1 |
| mdoG<sup>+</sup> K mdoU<sup>+</sup> | b1048-9 | Biosynthesis of membrane derived oligosaccharides | 10.7; 10.7 |
| ycbK<sup>+</sup> ycbL | b0926-7 | YcbK: uncharacterized protein; YcbL: metal binding hydrolase. | 9.7; 6.6 |
| yjfl<sup>+</sup> | b3527 | Periplasmic peptidase | 8.0 |
| yjfl<sup>+</sup> yjfe<sup>+</sup> | b2419-20 | Uncharacterized proteins | 2.9; 4.8 |
| imp<sup>+</sup> (lptD<sup>+</sup> K rh surA<sup>+</sup> K rh pdxA<sup>+</sup> K rh) | b0054-49 | LptA: LptB: ABC transporter/LPS export; RpoN: σ54 transcription factor; Hpf: σ54 modulation protein; PtsN: phosphotransferase system enzyme IIA, regulates N metabolism; YhbJ: predicted P-loop containing ATPase; Npr: phosphocarrier protein HPr-like, nitrogen related. | 7.5; 3.1; 3.5; 7.5; 2.2; 3.5; 4.3 |
| yjfl<sup>+</sup> yjfe<sup>+</sup> | b3149-50 | DiaA: DnaA initiator-associating factor for replication initiation; YraP: lipoprotein, possible member of the DegP/Skp folding pathway | 2.2; 4.5 |
| yii<sup>+</sup> yii<sup>+</sup> yif<sup>+</sup> yif<sup>+</sup> | b2894-0 | Periplasmic Disulfide prolyl isomerase; Single-stranded-DNA-specific exonuclease RecJ; Peptide chain release factor 2 (RF-2) | 4.0; 2.3; 4.3 |
| ymbA<sup>+</sup> | b09452 | Conserved lipoprotein YmbA | 4.2 |
| ydbD | b1407 | Uncharacterized protein induced by methylglyoxal | 3.9 |
| matA | b0294 | Putative HTH-type transcriptional regulator | 3.8 |
| symq<sup>+</sup> K rh | b2617 | Component of Outer Membrane Protein Assembly Complex | 3.6 (9.4) |
| ybgC<sup>+</sup> | b0736-42 | Components of the Tol-Pal complex/envelope integrity; YbgF: unknown function, periplasmic | 3.5; 2.7; 2.7; 2.0 |
| yaeY<sup>+</sup> yaeY<sup>+</sup> | b0065 | Conserved inner membrane protein | 4.4 |
| yfeF<sup>+</sup> yfeF<sup>+</sup> | b2432-1 | Predicted outer membrane lipoprotein YfeF | 3.3; - |
| yhbc<sup>+</sup> yhbc<sup>+</sup> yhbc<sup>+</sup> yhbc<sup>+</sup> | b3165-70 | tRNA pseudouridine 55 synthase | 3.1 |
| dapa<sup>+</sup> bcam<sup>+</sup> (bamC<sup>+</sup> bcam<sup>+</sup>)<sup>+</sup> K rh | b2478-77 | BamC: component of Outer Membrane Protein Assembly Complex; HpfA: periplasmic peptidyl prolyl isomerase | 3.1 |
| ybg<sup>+</sup> | b3347 | Periplasmic peptidyl prolyl isomerase | 3.1 |
| ssq<sup>+</sup> ssq<sup>+</sup> | b3329-8 | Stringent starvation protein A | 3.0; - |
| yfb<sup>+</sup> bcam<sup>+</sup> bcam<sup>+</sup> | b2513-1 | Penicillin-binding protein 1C; BamB: component of Outer Membrane Protein Assembly Complex; Der: D55 ribosomal subunit stability factor | 2.2; 3.0; 2.3 |
| yey<sup>+</sup> yey<sup>+</sup> yey<sup>+</sup> yey<sup>+</sup> | b1807-4 | YeaY: predicted lipoprotein; FadD: fatty acyl coA synthetase; RnaseD | 2.8; 2.2; 1.5 |
| mreB<sup>+</sup> mreB<sup>+</sup> mreB<sup>+</sup> | b3251-49 | Rod shape-determining proteins | 1.6; 2.7; - |
| degQ<sup>+</sup> degQ<sup>+</sup> | b3234-5 | Periplasmic protease DegQ | 2.7; - |
| djlA | b0055 | Inner membrane associated DnaJ-like protein | 2.8 (6.2) |
| rpoH<sup>+</sup> K rh | b3461 | Sigma 32/heat-shock response | 2.6 |
| yjeN<sup>+</sup> yjeO<sup>+</sup> | b4157-8 | YjeO: Conserved inner membrane protein | 2.6 |
| yac<sup>+</sup> | b0101 | Conserved hypothetical protein with a zinc finger | 2.6 |
| rseA<sup>+</sup> K rh rseB<sup>+</sup> K rh rseC<sup>+</sup> rh | b2572-0 | RseA: Anti-sigma factor/regulation of σ<sup>+</sup> activity | 2.5; -; - |
| ybhH | b0769 | Uncharacterized conserved protein | 2.5 |
nitric oxide reduction and detoxification that is regulated by σ^54 (RpoN) [73], was also strongly up-regulated (Table 5). Since PspF is a σ^54 enhancer-binding protein [5], its overproduction may lead to a strong transcription of norW.

Several genes/operons were also found to be repressed in PspF overproduction conditions. In particular, glpABC, encoding glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, is down regulated. Consistent with this observation, these genes were up regulated in a strain depleted for pspA and/or pspD [27], whereas in our conditions, pspD was overexpressed 12-fold. Note that this level of induction is within the range observed by Lloyd et al [48] after overproduction of the filamentous phage φ1 protein IV. Previous transcriptome studies indicated that Psp responds to decreased proton motive force due to membrane perturbations [27]. Lower glpABC in PspF overproduction conditions could reduce utilization of glycerol-3-phosphate as an electron donor for the respiratory chain, and help maintain the pool of glycerol-3-phosphate required for phospho-lipid synthesis. Glycerol-3-phosphate is a substrate of glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase encoded by plas, itself a gene under the positive control of σ^54 (Table 2).

### Table 2. Cont.

| Genes/putative operons* | bnumb | Product(s), operon description/function | Fold change(s)c |
|-------------------------|-------|----------------------------------------|----------------|
| rpsU dnaC^D K ploQ^D K rh | b3065-7 | DNA primase; Sigma factor σ^D | > 1.7; 2.5 |
| yabP yabQ | b0056-57 | Uncharacterized proteins, genes downstream of djlA | 2.5; 1.9 |
| purC | b2476 | Phosphoribosylaminomimidazole-succinocarboxamide synthase | 2.4 |
| lpx^re rh | b2378 | Palmitoleoyl acyltransferase, LPS biosynthesis | 2.4 |
| yfgC^rh yfgQ^rh | b2494-95 | YfgC; TPR repeat-containing protein. Predicted periplasmic peptidease; YfgQ: predicted oxidoreductase | 2.4; 1.5 |
| baca^re rh | b3057 | Undecaprenyl pyrophosphate phosphatase/peptidoglycan biosynthesis | 2.3 |
| yieE yieF | b3712-3 | Uncharacterized protein | 2.3; - |
| yflh | b2602 | Putative lipoprotein | 2.3 |
| sbmB^re rh yaiW^re rh | b0377-8 | Inner membrane transporter | 2.2; 1.8 |
| proV proW proX | b2677-9 | Glycine betaine-binding periplasmic protein | > 2.1 |
| rhaA rhaB rhaD | b3904-2 | RhaB: rhamnulokinase, L-rhamnose degradation | > 2.1; - |
| ydlI | b4130 | Inner membrane transporter | 2.0 |
| malQ^D rh | b3416 | Amylomaltase, maltose catabolism | 2.0 |
| yraQ | b3151 | Uncharacterized protein | 2.0 |
| yjaB | b4012 | Conserved inner membrane protein | 2.0 |
| yeiQ | b2172 | Predicted dehydrogenase, NAD-dependent | 2.0 |
| groL | b4143 | GroL/Hisp60 chaperone | 1.9 |
| yebA^lpxM | b1856-5 | YebA: Uncharacterized metallopeptidase, inner membrane protein/cell wall degradation; LpxM: Lipid A biosynthesis (KDO2-lauroyl)-lipid IV acyltransferase | 1.9; 1.4 |
| yaiY | b0379 | Inner membrane protein | - 3.8 [16.3]^d |
| treB treC | b4240-39 | PTS system trehalose-specific EIIBC components | - 2.0; - |
| ompW^j | b1256 | Outer membrane transporter | - 2.3 ( - 1.9) |
| tnaL tnaA^k tnaB | b3707-9 | Tryptophan transport and utilization | - 2.5; - 1.8 (- 2.7); - 2.0 |
| ompK^k | b1377 | Outer Membrane porin N | - 2.5 |
| yedS (ampS1) | b1964 | Outer Membrane protein (Pseudogene?) | - 2.5 |
| csbD^k | b0880 | Cold shock protein homolog; DNA replication inhibitor CspD | - 2.6 ( - 1.53) |
| lamB | b4036 | Outer membrane porin, phage lambda receptor protein; maltose high-affinity receptor | - 2.8 ( - 4.1) |
| ompC^rh | b2215 | Outer membrane porin OmpC | - 2.8 |
| ompF^rh | b0929 | Outer membrane porin OmpF | - 2.8 |
| phoe^k | b0241 | Outer membrane pore protein PhoE (Phosphate transport) | - 3.3 |

*Genes are grouped by putative or known operons (ordered in the direction of transcription). Genes whose expression was found to be significantly modulated are in bold (see Materials and Methods). Genes whose expression was determined by Q-PCR are underlined, and the fold change thus determined is indicated in parentheses after the microarray values. Gene expression was regulated by PspF are indicated by uppercase letters that refer to the concerned study with the following codification: D, reported in [99]; K, reported in [37]; Re, reported in [100]; Rh, reported in [57]; and J, reported in [64].

1b numbers correspond to genes of the first column.

The fold changes indicate the ratios of gene signal intensities of strains containing pZE11-poe to the reference signals (see Materials and Methods). Values correspond and follow the order of genes from the first column. The ratio value of genes not significantly modulated is indicated as "-". Numbers in italics indicate a p-value <0.01 in case of microarrays or >0.05 in case of qRT-PCR.

1Microarray and qRT-PCR data concerning yaiY were divergent. We consider that yaiY results issued from microarray experiments are likely to be artifacts, possibly due to spotting of a non-yaiY-specific PCR product.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000651.t002

Six different nitric oxide reduction and detoxification systems that are regulated by σ^54 (RpoN) [73], was also strongly up-regulated (Table 5). Since PspF is a σ^54 enhancer-binding protein [5], its overproduction may lead to a strong transcription of norW. Several genes/operons were also found to be repressed in PspF overproduction conditions. In particular, glpABC, encoding glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, is down regulated. Consistent with this observation, these genes were up regulated in a strain depleted for pspA and/or pspD [27], whereas in our conditions, pspD was overexpressed 12-fold. Note that this level of induction is within the range observed by Lloyd et al [48] after overproduction of the filamentous phage φ1 protein IV. Previous transcriptome studies indicated that Psp responds to decreased proton motive force due to membrane perturbations [27]. Lower glpABC in PspF overproduction conditions could reduce utilization of glycerol-3-phosphate as an electron donor for the respiratory chain, and help maintain the pool of glycerol-3-phosphate required for phospho-lipid synthesis. Glycerol-3-phosphate is a substrate of glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase encoded by pth, itself a gene under the positive control of σ^54 (Table 2).

Also repressed were several genes encoding functions related to the anaerobic respiration of nitrate (i.e., several nar and nap genes) as well as genes required for cytochrome c biogenesis (cymA). These results do not support the conclusion of Jovanovic et al [27] that...
Table 3. Genes whose expression is significantly modulated by RcsB.

| Genes/putative operons* | bnumb | Product(s), operon description/function | Fold change(s)c |
|-------------------------|-------|----------------------------------------|----------------|
| osmBf M | b1283 | Osmotically inducible lipoprotein | 11.4 |
| ymgGf ymgDf | b1172-1 | YmgD: putative periplasmic protein | 5.5 |
| osmyf M | b4376 | Hyperosmotically inducible periplasmic protein | 4.2 |
| ybaY | b0453 | Predicted outer membrane lipoprotein | 3.9 |
| lvyf | b0220 | Inhibitor of vertebrate lysozyme | 3.4 |
| ycfBf | b1110 | Uncharacterized putative inner membrane protein | 3.2 |
| yfbRf | b2291 | dCMP phosphohydrolase | 2.7 |
| yraI yraJ yraK | b3143-5 | YraK: Putative fimbrial protein | -; 2.7 |
| glf rfc | b2036-5 | Rfc: O-antigen polymerase/O-antigen biosynthesis | -; 2.4 |
| ugd fclf | b2028-7 | Ugd: UDP-glucose 6-dehydrogenase/colanic acid precursor biosynthesis; Fcl: regulator of length of O-antigen | -; 2.4 |
| yldC | b2347 | Predicted inner membrane protein | 2.0 |
| yjiBf M | b4045 | Predicted stress response protein, belongs to the ε2 regulon | 2.0 |
| galTf | b1236 | GalU: Subunit of glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase | 2.0 |
| wzaF wzc N wcaA M wcaB N | b2062-58 | Colanic acid biosynthesis and secretion | 1.6; 1.9; 1.9 |
| yaaX | b0005 | Putative periplasmic protein | 1.9 |
| wcaFf M wcaHf N wcaTf M wcaBf M | b2057-46 | WcaE: colanic acid biosynthesis glycosyl transferase; Gmd: GDP-mannose 4,6-dehydratase; Fd: subunit of GDP-fucose synthase/Colanic acid biosynthesis and secretion | -; 1.5; 1.9; 1.7; 1.7; 1.7; 1.7; 1.7 |
| yggGf M | b2936 | Uncharacterized metalloprotease, lipoprotein | 1.9 |
| yegS | b2086 | Lipid kinase | 1.9 |
| spy | b1743 | Periplasmic protein related to spheroblast formation | 1.1 (3.9) |
| yaiYf | b0379 | Inner membrane protein | -3.3 (17.9)d |

*Genes are grouped by putative or known operons (ordered in the direction of transcription). Genes whose expression was found to be significantly modulated are in bold (see Materials and Methods). Genes whose expression was determined by Q-PCR are underlined, and the fold change thus determined is indicated in parentheses after the microarray value. Genes previously reported to be RcsB regulated are indicated by uppercase letters that refer to the concerned study with the following codification: F, reported in [30]; H, reported in [71].

b numbers correspond to genes of the first column.

cThe fold changes indicate the ratios of gene signal intensities of pZE21-rcsB containing strain to the reference signals (see Materials and Methods). Values correspond and follow the order of genes from the first column. The ratio value of genes not significantly modulated is indicated as ‘-’ unless found significantly modulated by qRT-PCR. Numbers in italics indicate a p-value < 0.01 in case of microarrays or < 0.05 in case of qRT-PCR.

dMicroarray and qRT-PCR data concerning yaiY were divergent. We considered that the yaiY results issued from microarray experiments are likely artifacts possibly due to the spotting of a none yaiY specific PCR product.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000651.t003

Table 4. Genes whose expression is significantly modulated by BaeR.

| Genes/operon* | bnumb | Product(s), operon description/function | Fold change(s)c |
|---------------|-------|----------------------------------------|----------------|
| mtdAN mtdBN mtdCN mtdDN baesN | b2074-9 | Subunits composition of MdtABC-TolC multidrug efflux transport system; BaeS: sensor of the Bae signal transduction pathway | 5.3; 3.9; -; 1.5; - |
| spyN | b1743 | Periplasmic protein related to spheroblast formation | 2.8 (74.8) |
| tolCN ygiA ygiB ygiC | b3035-8 | TolC outer membrane channel, subunit of MdtABC-ToIC multidrug efflux transport system | 1.9; -; - |
| ynaAY ynaBY ynaCY | b1753-6 | Conserved proteins | 1.6; 1.4; - |
| yenN | b1983 | Conserved protein | 1.4 |

*Genes are grouped by putative or known operons (ordered in the direction of transcription). Genes whose expression was found to be significantly modulated are in bold (see Materials and Methods). Genes whose expression was determined by Q-PCR are underlined, and the fold change thus determined is indicated in parentheses after the microarray value. Genes previously reported to be BaeR-regulated are indicated by uppercase letters that refer to the concerned study with the following codification: N, reported in [46]; O, reported in [70].

b numbers correspond to genes of the first column.

cThe fold changes indicate the ratios of gene signal intensities of pZE21-baeR containing strain to the reference signals (see Materials and Methods). Values correspond and follow the order of genes from the first column. The ratio value of genes not significantly modulated is indicated as ‘-’ unless found significantly modulated by qRT-PCR. Numbers in italics indicate a p-value < 0.01 in case of microarrays or < 0.05 in case of qRT-PCR.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000651.t004
The CpxR Regulon. Previous characterization of the CpxR regulon consisted mainly of using a CpxR sequence recognition weight matrix and identifying reliable target promoters within the E. coli genome [32]. This search identified genes that were directly regulated by CpxR, either positively or negatively. In addition, transcriptome analysis following a copper stress revealed twelve genes that were depressed by copper in a Cpx dependent manner [76]. Regulation of many of those genes was confirmed by a recent study using in vivo fusions in a MC4100 cpxA background, where the Cpx pathway is constitutively activated [77]. This study also showed that the presence of a CpxR box upstream of the gene (or operon) was not a good predictor of the extent of regulation by CpxR.

We aimed to generate in vivo data supporting these predictions and observations, and investigated transcriptome modifications following CpxR overexpression in exponential phase. Results were surprising, as well-known targets of CpxR, such as degP/htrA, or dsbA [78] were not significantly regulated upon cpxR induction. cpxP and spy were derepressed at a level insufficient to be included in our gene selection (1.4 and 1.8 fold, respectively). Analysis of gene expression by qRT-PCR, which is more sensitive than microarrays, showed a two-fold induction of dsbA, a three-fold induction of cpxP, and strong induction of spy (40 times), but no induction of degP or spnA (Table 6). In the latter two cases, this could be explained by the dependence of gene transcription upon σE, whose amount, in the absence of an inducing signal, might be limiting. To complement our data, we performed a comparative transcriptome analysis of MG1655 and its ΔcpxR derivative in late exponential phase, a condition in which the Cpx pathway is

### Table 5. Genes whose expression is significantly modulated by PspF.

| Genes/operon* | bnumb | Product(s), operon description/function | Fold change(s)* |
|---------------|-------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|
| pspA pspB pspC pspD pspE | b1303-8 | Phage shock proteins | 11.8; 3.6; 2.4; -; - |
| tolA tolB pal ybgF | b0739-42 | Members of the Tol-Pal envelope complex/envelope integrity | 1.8 (12); 8.2; -; - |
| hyfABCDEFGHIJ hyfR fobB | b2491 | HyfR transcriptional activator/controls the expression of genes responsible for the proton-translocating formate hydrogenase system and for formate transport under anaerobic condition | -; -; -; -; -; -; -; -; -; -; 6.5; - |
| norV norW | b2710-1 | NorW: Flavonobreditox reductase | -; 3.1 (125.8) |
| yfbc | b2292 | Putative uncharacterized transport protein (inner membrane protein) | 2.7 |
| ypdA ypdB ypdC | b2380-2 | YpdC: Predicted AraC-type regulatory protein | -; 2.2 |
| hisV hisU | b3932-1 | ATPase component of the HisV protease | 1.4; 2.1 |
| yjY | b4354 | Inner membrane protein | 2.0 |
| pspG | b4605 | Phage shock protein G, inner membrane protein | 2.0 |
| atoA atoC | b2219-20 | Response regulator, acetocetate metabolism regulation | -; 1.9 |
| nagB nagA nagC nagD | b0678-5 | N-acetylglucosamine degradation | -2.0; -; -; - |
| narK narG narH nari nary chs | b1223-8 | NarK MFS nitrate/nitrite transporter, nitrate reductase A | -1.6; -; -; -; -2.1 |
| lamB | b4036 | Outer membrane protein; Phage lambda receptor protein; maltose high-affinity receptor | -2.1 (-13.6) |
| ydrN | b1498 | Putative arylsulfatase | -2.1 (-6.8) |
| glpI glpK glpX | b3927-5 | Glycerol facilitator, glycerol kinase/glycerol utilization | -2.2; -; -1.9 |
| napFD napG napGH napC ccmABC cdefGH | b2208-2194 | NapABC: periplasmic nitrate reductase; CcmABC proteoheme IX ABC transporter/anaerobic respiration of nitrate | -; -2.4 (-2.7); -; -; -1.4; -1.4 (-1.7); -; -; -1.4 |
| raiA | b2597 | Stationary phase translation inhibitor and ribosome stability factor, induced in stationary phase and by cold shock | -2.5 |
| leuL | b0075 | Leu operon leader peptide/regulation of leucine biosynthesis | -2.6 |
| csbD | b0880 | DNA replication inhibitor, induced by stress and glucose starvation, similarity to cold shock protein | -2.6 (-2.6) |
| glpT glpQ | b2240-39 | Glycerol 3-phosphate transporter (MFS family); glycerophosphoryl diester phosphodiesterase, periplasmic. | -1.8; -; -2.7 |
| glpA glpB glpC | b2241-3 | Glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (anaerobic) | -; -2.9 (-9.6) |
| tnaA tnaN tnaB | b3707-9 | Tryptophan utilization | -3.5; -3.5 (-113); -3.8 |

*Genes are grouped by putative or known operons (ordered in the direction of transcription). Genes whose expression was found to be significantly modulated are in bold (see Materials and Methods). Genes whose expression was determined by Q-PCR are underlined, and the fold change thus determined is indicated in parentheses after the microarray value. Genes previously reported to be PspF-regulated are indicated by upper case letters that refer to the concern study with the following codification: J, reported in [27]; L, reported in [48].

*b numbers correspond to genes of the first column.

The fold changes indicate the ratios of gene signal intensities of pZE21-pspF containing strain to the reference signals (see Materials and Methods). Values correspond and follow the order of genes from the first column. The ratio value of genes not significantly modulated is indicated as ‘-‘. Numbers in italics indicate a p-value <0.01 in case of microarrays or >0.05 in case of qRT-PCR.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000651.t005

the psp regulon responds to the dissipation of proton motive force by favoring anaerobic respiration of nitrate. In addition, contrary to their suggestion that a function of the Psp pathway was to down-regulate motility and chemotaxis, motility genes were conspicuously unaffected in our study (Table 5). These differences could be due to the fact that PspA induction was about 8-fold lower in our study than in the one previously reported [27].
Table 6. Genes whose expression is significantly modulated by CpxR.

| Genes/putative operon* | bnumb | Product(s) description/function | Fold change(s) c |
|------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| yebeT                  | b1846 | Conserved inner membrane protein | 9.8             |
| yiaE                   | b3554 | Putative inner membrane lipoprotein | 5.9 (7.7) |
| yhaI yhaJ              | b3103-5 | Predicted inner membrane protein; predicted DNA binding transcriptional regulator | 1.9; 3.2 (40.3); 2.0 |
| yafK                   | b0224 | Conserved protein (periplasmic) | 3.0             |
| gipC gipD gspE gspF glpH glpK | b3324-35 | General secretory pathway component, cryptic | <2.7; <2.7; <2.7; <2.7; <2.7 |
| ybgC toIQ tolR tolA tolB pal ybgF | b0736-42 | YbgC: predicted acyl –CoA thioesterase; TolQRAB-YbgF: components of the Tol-Pal cell envelope complex | 1.8; 2.4; <1.6 (2.5); 1.7; <1.5 |
| ybjS                   | b0868 | Predicted oxidoreductase with NAP(D), binding domain | 2.3             |
| hns                    | b1237 | Global DNA-binding transcriptional dual regulator H-NS | 2.3             |
| ydeK                   | b1510 | Predicted lipoprotein | 2.2             |
| pdhR aceE aceF lpd yceI yceJ | b0114-6 | Pyruvate dehydrogenase decarboxylase component E1, thiamin binding; pyruvate dehydrogenase dihydrolipoamide transacylase component E2; lipoamide dehydrogenase E3 | 1.9; 2.2; 1.9; 1.5 |
| ycfP ycfQ ycfR ycfS ycfT ycfW | b1057-6 | YcfP: predicted cytochrome b561; YcfE: Periplasmic protein, induced at high pH and by osmotic shock | 1.4; 2.1 |
| ulaR                    | b4191 | DNA binding transcriptional dual regulator/repressors transport and utilization of L-ascorbate | 2.0             |
| vsr                     | b1960 | DNA mismatch endonuclease of very short patch repair | 2.0             |
| rfoQGSPBU rfaY rfaZ-waaU | b3632-23 | Lipopolysaccharide core biosynthesis protein | <2.0; <2.0; <2.0 |
| csrB                    | N/A   | Regulatory RNA/Carbon storage regulation | 1.9             |
| lgt thyA                | b2828-7 | Lgt: proplipoprotein diacylglycerol transferase, inner membrane; ThyA: thymidylate synthetase | 1.9; 1.5 |
| rfaA                    | b3791 | dTDP-4-oxo-6-deoxy-D-glucose transaminase/Lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis | 1.9             |
| yidZ                    | b3711 | HTH-type transcriptional regulator | 1.9             |
| spyY                    | b1743 | Periplasmic protein related to spheroplast formation | 1.75 (39.3) |
| cpxR*                   | b3913 | Regulator of the Cpx response and possible chaperone involved in resistance to extracytoplasmic stress (CpxP) | 1.5 (3.3) |
| pykA                    | b1854 | Pyruvate kinase II/Anaerobic respiration, glycolysis | –1.9 |
| ahp                     | b1002 | Periplasmic glucose-1-phosphatase. | –1.9 |
| uspA                    | b3495 | Universal stress global response regulator | –1.9 |
| ydeN                    | b1498 | Uncharacterized sulfatase | –2 (–2.4) |
| hsdA                    | b1619 | 7-alpha-hydroxy steroid dehydrogenase/lipid, steroid metabolism, belongs to the nsp regulon | –2.0 |
| adhE*                   | b1241 | Iron dependent aldehyde-alcohol dehydrogenase, pyruvate-formate lyase-deactvlase | –2.0 |
| ygaW                    | b2670 | Predicted inner membrane protein | –2.0 |
| gcvt gcvtK gcvtW gcvtX | b2903-5 | GcvH: lipoylprotein aminomethyltransferase; GcvT: tetrahydrofolate dependent subunit/Glycine cleavage complex | –2.2; –1.8 |
| peptP                   | b1127 | Peptidase T | –2.3 |
| lamB                    | b4036 | Outer membrane porin; Phage lambda receptor protein; maltose high-affinity receptor | –2.5 (–9.8) |
| cspD                    | b0880 | DNA replication inhibitor, induced by stress and glucose starvation, similarity to cold shock protein | –2.5 (–2.5) |
| gatDCBAZY               | b2901-6 | Galactitol specific enzyme IIC and IIB component of PTS | –1.6; –2.6; –2.5; –1.6; –1.9; –1.4 |
| tnaA tnaB tnaC           | b3707-9 | Tryptophan transport and utilization | –2.1; –2.9 (–58.5); –2.8 |
| glpA glpB glpC*         | b2241-43 | Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase subunits/glycerol degradation | –2.3; –1.9; –3.0 (–8.8) |

*Genes are grouped by putative or known operons (ordered in the direction of transcription). Genes whose expression was found to be significantly modulated are in bold (see Materials and Methods). Genes whose expression was determined by Q-PCR are underlined, and the fold change thus determined is indicated in parentheses after the microarrays value. Genes reported to be CpxR-regulated are indicated by uppercase letters that refer to the concerned study with the following codification:

O, reported in [70]; Y, reported in [76]; W, reported in [52].

b Numbers correspond to genes of the first column.

The fold changes indicate the ratios of gene signal intensities of the AcpxR strain to the reference signals (see Materials and Methods). Values correspond and follow the order of genes from the first column. The ratio value of genes not significantly modulated is indicated as “<1”. Numbers in italics indicate a p-value<0.01 in case of microarray data or >0.05 in case of qRT-PCR.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000651.t006
proposed to be activated [52,59,60]. Deletion of cpxR did not have a strong effect on most of the known cpxR-regulated genes (the maximum effect was 4 fold, see Table 7), as recently reported [77]. However, we found a four-fold reduction of expression of yccD, a modulator of the membrane protease HflB, a 2.5 reduction of smpA expression, and a 2.2 fold reduction of ftnB, encoding a ferritin-like protein.

Altogether our results confirmed just 8 genes/transcription units as regulated by CpxR, out of 33 gene clusters that were assigned by previous in vivo, in silico and/or in vitro studies as members of the CpxR regulon (Tables 6 & 7, [52,70,77,79]). ppiD, which encodes a periplasmic peptidyl-prolyl isomerase, was previously proposed to be part of the CpxR regulon. In agreement with Price and Raivio [78], this gene was not affected by cpxR overproduction or by deletion, as also confirmed by qRT-PCR (data not shown). In addition, we identified 71 new genes belonging to 49 transcription units that lacked a CpxR box upstream of their promoters [52,79], which yet were modulated by overproduction or absence of CpxR (Table 6 & 7). Regulation of many of these additional genes can be an indirect consequence of CpxR overproduction or inactivation, and would be expected, as several transcriptional regulators are putative members of the CpxR regulon (Table 6 & 7).

The weak effect of overproducing CpxR on the MG1655 transcriptome could be due to a low basal level CpxR phosphorylation. Alternatively, this could be explained by a dependence upon other regulators, which might be limiting in the absence of an inducing signal. Indeed, most genes thus far identified as being regulated by CpxR are also dependent on other factors, such as σE or BaeR (Table 4, [58], see also the compilation of CpxR regulated genes in Ecocyc http://ecocyc.org/). This phenomenon would be especially important if the other regulator is a σ factor. Out of 33 gene clusters listed as members of the CpxR regulon in EcoCyc, 7 depend upon σE or BaeR (Table 4, [58]), 2 depend upon σS, and would be expected, as several transcriptional regulators are putative members of the CpxR regulon (Table 6 & 7). Regulation of many of these additional genes can be an indirect consequence of CpxR overproduction or inactivation, and would be expected, as several transcriptional regulators are putative members of the CpxR regulon (Table 6 & 7).

### Table 7. Genes whose expression is significantly modulated by the absence of CpxR.

| Genes/operon* | bnumb | Product(s) description/function | Fold change(s)c |
|---------------|-------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| yccD<sup>OT</sup> | b0970 | Inner membrane protein modulator of HflB (FtsH) protease | –4.2 |
| fucPK | b2801-5 | L-fucose mutarotase, fucose catabolism | –4.3; - |
| bssR (yhiH) | b0836 | Regulator of biofilm formation | –3.4 |
| flu | b2000 | Antigen 43, outer membrane protein, potential adhesion | –3.2 |
| ybhT | b0762 | Predicted protein YbhT | –2.9 |
| yhjV | b3539 | Inner membrane transport protein YhjV | –2.7 |
| ybaQ | b0483 | Predicted transcriptional regulator | –2.6 |
| ybeL | b0543 | Conserved protein | –2.6 |
| smpA<sup>OT</sup> | b2617 | Outer membrane lipoprotein, component of Outer Membrane Protein Assembly Complex | –2.5 |
| pucC (aflH) pucB (ordB), pucE (gaoG) | b1300-2 | γ-glutamyl-γ-aminobutyraldehyde dehydrogenase (putrescine utilization pathway) | –2.5; - |
| ycgZ ymgA arlR | b1164-66 | Uncharacterized proteins; ArlR: regulator of acid resistance influenced by indole | –2.2; –1.9; –2 |
| qseB qseC | b3025-6 | Two component regulatory system, activator of the flagellar regulon | –2.2; - |
| ftnC (yeki) | b1902 | Ferritin-like protein 2 | –2.2 |
| pheM | b1715 | Phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase (PheST) operon leader peptide (PheM) | –2.0 |
| ycgL | b3427 | Uncharacterized protein | –2.0 |
| flIA flIZ fIY | b1922-20 | SigmaA, regulation of flagellar regulon; regulator of FlIA; Cystine-binding periplasmic protein | –1.7; -; –1.9 |
| dppA dppB dppC dppD dppF | b3544-40 | Components of dipeptide ABC transporter | -; -; –1.5; –1.9; - |
| csIR (gabC) | b2664 | Regulator of Gab gene expression | –1.9 |
| ydfF | b1770 | Transcriptional regulator YdfF | 1.9 |
| fryBC ypdF ypdE fryA | b2387-3 | YpdF, aminopeptidase; FryA, fused predicted PTS system enzymes: Hpr component, enzyme IIA component component, enzyme IIA component | –1.9; -; 1.5 |
| ycbZ | b0955 | Putative ATP-dependent protease | 1.9 |
| rpsT | b0023 | 30S ribosomal protein S20 | 2.0 |
| ycgG yclF yclE | b1259-7 | YclF: putative structural protein, osmotically induced, belong to the nS regulon | -; 2.2; - |
| endA | b2945 | DNA-specific endonuclease I | 2.4 |
| ybcU (borD) | b0557 | Lipoprotein Bor homolog | 2.7 |
| pspF | b1303 | Phage shock protein PspF | 3.0 |
| figB (yifC) | b3647 | DNA ligase B | 3.6 |

*Genes are grouped by putative or known operons (ordered in the direction of transcription). Genes whose expression was found to be significantly modulated are in bold (see Materials and Methods). Genes reported to be CpxR-regulated are indicated by uppercase letters that refer to the concerned study with the following codification: O, reported in [70]; Y, reported in [76]; W, reported in [52].

*Genes are grouped by putative or known operons (ordered in the direction of transcription). Genes whose expression was found to be significantly modulated are in bold (see Materials and Methods). Genes reported to be CpxR-regulated are indicated by uppercase letters that refer to the concerned study with the following codification: O, reported in [70]; Y, reported in [76]; W, reported in [52].

*Fold change indicate the ratio of gene signal intensities of strain ΔcpxR to the reference gene intensities (see Materials and Methods). Values correspond and follow the order of genes from the first column. The ratio value of genes not significantly modulated is indicated as “-”. Number in italics indicates a p value <0.01. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000651.t007
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transcribed by σ^70 are subject to multiple regulations (e.g. BaeR, catabolic repression, and other specific regulators) in addition to being regulated by CpxR, pointing to the interdependency of such factors in mounting a full response. In favor of this explanation, *ap* expression, which is regulated independently by CpxR and BaeR [50], responded well to CpxR overproduction (Table 6). In the case of the *acsD* gene and the *mdt* operon, their regulation by CpxR was previously shown to be strictly dependent upon BaeR [58]; accordingly, we observed no effect of CpxR overexpression.

Comparison of extracytoplasmic stress response pathways

Principal components analysis (PCA) is a useful statistical technique that removes noise from complex data sets by reducing the dimensionality and helps discriminate the key factors of variations [80,81]. PCA has proved useful for finding significant patterns in microarray analyses (see for complete explanation, see [82,93]). Briefly, given *m* observations (the gene expression ratio) on *n* variables (our 6 different conditions), the goal of PCA is to find *r* significant variables, where *r* is less than *n*, to select the factors that best explain the observed variance in the observations. PCA was used to analyze our microarray data on the mean log-factors that best explain the observed variance in the observations. The first dimension accounting for 33% of the variance, could not discriminate between the conditions, whereas the second dimension axis separated CpxR, PspF and *Atp* from the CpxR conditions from σ^K, BaeR and RcsB conditions (data not shown). The third and fourth components (accounting together for 29% of the variance) discriminated σ^K overproduction and *Atp* conditions from BaeR/RcsB/CpxR/PspF overproduction conditions (Figure 1A).

In the case of σ^K, both the size of the regulon and the nature of the regulation (i.e., by a σ factor or by transcriptional regulators) could account for this result, whereas in the case of *Atp*, the result might be explained by the difference in the experimental strategy (deletion vs. overproduction).

A hierarchical clustering that grouped together genes with similar expression patterns was performed on the set of genes differentially expressed in each of the five overexpression conditions (Figure 1B). Results were in agreement with those observed with PCA. The PspF response clustered with the CpxR response, while σ^K and RcsB responses were further away. But the main conclusion of this analysis is the striking specialization of each pathway, with very limited overlap between responses (Figure 1B). This is also revealed by a Venn diagram representation showing genes regulated by the extracytoplasmic pathways (Figure 2). Results of this analysis are unexpected, since redundancy is often proposed as an important property of robust networks. In addition, in the case of the extracytoplasmic stress response, redundancy was expected because many genes that are regulated by σ^K or BaeR are also regulated by CpxR. Furthermore, several genes regulated by PspF were also affected by CpxR (Figure 2). One surprising finding in our study is that the overproduction of CpxR had a limited effect on many known CpxR regulated genes, in sharp contrast with the situation in the case of RcsB. We propose that in many cases, CpxR acts in conjunction with other regulators, which are limiting in the absence of a stress signal. Hence, rather than controlling in itself specific genes, an important role of CpxR may be to amplify the response promoted by the other regulators. It should be noted that σ^K promotes transcription of the *pfrA* operon [25] and CpxR can cross-talk with the EnvZ-OmpR response [84,85]. Thus, CpxR may integrate diverse stimuli associated with growth and central metabolism [60]. In view of these analyses, and of some previous results (e.g., [58]), our results suggest that CpxR functions more as a modulator of the other extra cytoplasmic stress responses, especially σ^K, BaeR and PspF than as a stand-alone regulator.

σ^K appears to be the major regulator, with at least 69 transcription units affected. It is mostly in charge of envelope biogenesis maintenance, especially genes required for synthesis, assembly, and homeostasis of outer membrane proteins and lipopolysaccharides ([57], Table 2). The other responses are more limited and specialized in certain categories of envelope components. PspF is dedicated to maintenance of energy, and has an important role associated with the cytoplasmic membrane in prokaryotes. RcsB affects additional envelope structures such as capsular exopolysaccharide production and O-antigen ([30,71], Table 3), and BaeR controls the production of several drug export systems that might be important to extrude toxic compounds during an extracytoplasmic stress ([46], Table 4). Hence, each system has its raison d’être in term of restoring various aspects of envelope physiology.

Concluding remarks

Extensive studies of stress response in *E. coli* have established the existence of several extracytoplasmic pathways, and suggest that expression of numerous genes are affected by more than one of these pathways. These findings suggest redundancy and raise questions concerning the reason for such a multiplicity of pathways. For the first time, we explored all five extracytoplasmic stress response pathways under comparable conditions in *E. coli*. We found that they can be activated simultaneously in response to exogenous or endogenous stimulus. Thus, although activation of a single pathway has been demonstrated experimentally using specific substrates or conditions, our results suggest that natural environmental stimuli provoke bacterial modifications that lead to multiple pathway responses.

To determine the contributions of each stress response pathway, we avoided the use of non-specific inducers, and opted for overexpression of each regulator. Transcriptome analyses show that induction of specific target genes via multiple pathways is an uncommon occurrence. Some genes can also be subject to cooperative regulation between different pathways, or to a cascade of pathway responses. In addition, the pathways might cross-talk through transcriptional regulation, as is the case between σ^K and σ^K, and possibly evoked by our results.
Each of the stress response systems (σE, Rcs, Psp and Bae) appears to be specialized in assuring a specific aspect of envelope biogenesis and maintenance, whereas CpxR might have a role as modulator of the response by integrating other endogenous signals. We conclude that all five pathways are needed to mount a full response to extracytoplasmic stress.

**Materials and Methods**

**Bacterial strains, plasmids, oligonucleotides, and culture conditions**

The *E. coli* strains and oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in supplementary tables S1 and S2. Several plasmids...
constructed for this study were derived from pZE21 that has a ColE1 replication origin, confers kanamycin resistance and has a P_{LtetO-1} promoter upstream of a multicloning site [87]. pZE21-baeR, expressing baeR under the control of P_{LtetO-1}, was constructed as follows: The MG1655 baeR gene was PCR-amplified using oligonucleotides 450 and 451 (for oligonucleotide sequences, see Table S2). The resulting fragment was digested by KpnI and BamHI and cloned into KpnI-BamHI restricted pGem-T-easy and related plasmids was grown with 100 &g.mL\(^{-1}\) ampicillin.

Cells were grown in LB broth or on solid LB containing 15 mg.mL\(^{-1}\) ampicillin, 30 &mu;g.mL\(^{-1}\) kanamycin, and tetracycline 12.5 &mu;g.mL\(^{-1}\). Growth of strains containing pZE21-MCS or related plasmids was analyzed as followed: 5 ml of overnight cultures adjusted to OD\(_{600}\) of 0.3. Serial dilutions in M9 medium [90] were spotted on solid LB medium containing kanamycin and 0, 2, 10 or 100 ng.mL\(^{-1}\) of anhydrotetracycline (aTc), and incubated at 37°C for 24 h.

Recombinant molecular techniques

Plasmid preparations, DNA cloning and ligation, classical PCR amplification and DNA transformations were carried out according to standard protocols [90] and manufacturers’ instructions. Northern blots (see Supplementary Table S2 for information on primers used for probe synthesis) were performed as previously described [90,91] with 10 to 20 &mu;g of RNA, except that hybridization was performed at 42°C using a NorthernMax prehybridization/hybridization buffer (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) according to manufacturer’s instruction. The ssrA gene was used as a reference to normalize RNA quantities in Northern blot experiments.

β-galactosidase assay

Overnight cultures were diluted in LB broth to an OD\(_{600}\) of 0.004. For parallel analyses of inducing and non-inducing growth conditions, cultures were incubated under agitation at 37°C using 96-well culture plates in a total volume of 1 ml. Various growth conditions were investigated: i) standard LB, ii) LB containing ethanol (3 or 5%), iii) 0.5 mM dibucaine, iv) NaCl (0.6 M), v) 5 mM EDTA or vi) indole (2 or 4 mM). The stock indole solution was prepared by dissolving indole in hot LB before use. After five hours, three samples of 200 μl of each culture were taken: One was used to measure OD\(_{600}\) in 96-well plates in the Biolumin (Molecular Dynamics) or Chameleon (Bioscan Inc., Washington DC, USA). The two others were used to evaluate β-galactosidase activity according to Miller’s protocol adapted to 96-well plate assays [88]. For other β-galactosidase assays, cultures were prepared in individual tubes in 5 ml LB broth under agitation at 37°C. Strains containing pGem-T-easy and related plasmids were grown with 100 &mu;g.mL\(^{-1}\) ampicillin. β-galactosidase activities were measured in duplicate from 200 μl samples taken at OD\(_{600}\) of 0.4 (exponential phase).
Gene expression profiling using microarrays

Transcriptome analysis of the effect of transient overexpression of extracytoplasmic stress response regulators was performed with three independent RNA preparations for each of the six biological conditions tested, namely pZE21 (control plasmid), pZE21-baeR, pZE21-cpxR, pZE21-pspF, pZE21-rcsB and pZE21-rpoE. Addition of aTc (for 45 min) to the medium resulted in a 16-, 166- and 255-fold increase of baeR, cpxR, pspF, rcsB and rpoE mRNA in strains containing pZE21-baeR, pZE21-cpxR, pZE21-pspF, pZE21-rcsB and pZE21-rpoE, respectively, as compared to the reference strain containing the control plasmid, pZE21. Additionally, a $\Delta$phaR strain was included in the study, using four independent RNA preparations. To assess data reproducibility and minimize dye bias effects, one of the samples (two in the case of $\Delta$phaR) was measured with Cy3 instead of Cy5.

To ensure robustness and completeness in data analysis, a reference design was used with an equimolar mixture of all the biological conditions serving as a baseline for the comparisons. Such a design does not require pre-definition of the subgroups for comparison, allows discovery of non-anticipated classes among the samples and is compatible with subsequent additional sampling.

Strain MG1655 (Table S1) containing pZE21 and derivative plasmids were grown overnight in LB broth supplemented with kanamycin and diluted in 7 mL LB broth at an OD$_{600}$ of 0.004. After two hours, expression from the P$_{lac}$ promoter was obtained by addition of 10 ng mL$^{-1}$ aTc to the medium. After 45 minutes, 7 mL of cold absolute ethanol was added to bacterial cultures (at OD$_{600}$ of about 0.4). Cells were then harvested by centrifugation for 15 min at 3000 g and stored at $-80\,^\circ\text{C}$ to prevent RNA degradation. For parental and $\phi$X174 strain transcriptome analysis, LB overnight cultures were inoculated at OD$_{600}$ of 0.004. When, cultures reached an OD$_{600}$ of 2 (late exponential phase), they were harvested in the presence of cold absolute ethanol and frozen at $-80\,^\circ\text{C}$. The next steps were carried as for overexpression transcriptome experiments: Cells were lysed and RNA was extracted three times with an equal volume of acidic hot phenol and once with chloroform. RNA was ethanol precipitated, air dried and dissolved in water. RNA integrity was evaluated using RNA 6000 nano chips and the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. RNA quality control was performed using user-independent classifiers as described [92].

Ten $\mu$g of total RNA from each biological condition and the RNA reference mixture were supplemented with RNA corresponding to known sequences to serve as a hybridization control. For each condition, the hybridization experiment was performed against the reference sample; a mixture of 0.75 $\mu$g Cy3- and 0.75 $\mu$g Cy5-labeled targets was incubated at 95°C for 3 min in the presence of a 2× Hybridization Buffer (Agilent technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Denatured targets were placed on an E. coli v2 whole genome array [63] (ArrayExpress accession: A-MEXP-1316, http://www.ebi.ac.uk/microarray-as/ae/) and hybridized for 17 hours at 60°C, in a rotating oven (6 rpm), using an Agilent hybridization chamber system. The hybridized slides were washed once for 10 min in 2× SSC/0.1% SDS at 50°C and once in 0.5× SSC/0.1% SDS at room temperature, then twice for 5 min in 0.1× SSC at room temperature. Any traces of water were eliminated immediately by air drying with ozone-safe dry air (“canned air”). Slides were scanned using a GenePix 4000B scanner (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) at 10-μm resolution. All slides were scanned using 100% laser power; PMT voltages were automatically adjusted using the GenePix Pro 6.0 software acquisition system to obtain maximal signal intensities with $<0.005\%$ probe saturation.

The resulting 16 bit images were processed using the GenePix Pro 6.0 image analysis software (6.0,1.26). Data were processed using the MAnGo software [94], an R script that allows integrated analysis of two-color microarrays. Raw data were normalized using the print-tip loess method [95]. The average log-expression ratios were then calculated [log$_2$(pZE21-geneX/REF) = log$_2$(pZE21-geneX/pZE21)]. Any geneX is the gene of interest in the condition of interest, REF the value obtained for X in the case of the pool of all conditions (reference sample), and pZE21 the value obtained in the condition corresponding to the vector alone (biological reference) and used for all subsequent statistical analyses. MIAME-compliant data [96] were deposited in the ArrayExpress database (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/microarray-as/ae/) under the accession number E-MEXP-2139.

Data analysis of microarrays

Gene functions were assigned using data from EcoCyc (http://ecocyc.org/) and Uniprot (http://www.uniprot.org/). Adjacent genes coordinately regulated, possibly involved in the same function and separated with a short distance with no apparent terminator were considered as belonging to a putative operon.

**Dimensionality reduction.** To reduce the dimensionality of the expression data set (where the 6 experimental conditions are the variables, and the gene expression measurements are the observations), a principal component analysis (PCA) [80] in gene space, using normalized log-ratio measures, was performed with the R package ADE4 and FactoMineR [97] for calculating three-dimensional projections of the biological samples. We kept the first four components that altogether accounted for over 78% of the explained variance.

**Differential analysis.** Statistical comparisons were performed using multiple testing procedures to evaluate statistical significance for differentially expressed genes. A modified t-test was computed to measure the significance associated with each differential expression value. An error rate ($p$-value), measuring the risk of false predictions of differentially expressed genes was associated with each test value. A gene expression value was decided to be significantly different under an extracytoplasmic stress response condition when the $p$-value was less than 0.01 (except otherwise mentioned), the signal intensities ($A = 3.0\text{log}_{2}\text{condition }1 \times \text{condition }2$) was >6.0, and the expression ratio was $\geq 1.9$ (or $\geq 1.4$ for genes that were part of a putative transcriptional unit containing at least one gene with a fold change $\geq 1.9$), except in the case of the Bae pathway where the fold change cutoff was fixed at 1.4. Genes from prophages were systematically removed from the analysis, as fluctuating intensities may be linked to the presence of numerous paralogs present in the genome and were thus difficult to interpret.

**Hierarchical clustering.** Unsupervised average-linkage hierarchical clustering with uncentered Pearson correlation as a similarity metric was done using Cluster on the gene set defined above [98]. This method leads to an expression matrix such that genes and samples with similar expression patterns are adjacent to each other. Results were visualized with the help of heat-maps and dendograms using the TreeView program [98].

**Extracytoplasmic Stress in E. coli**
Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

One microgram of total RNA was reverse-transcribed in a 30 µl final reaction volume using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit with RNase inhibitor (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. For each sample, negative reverse transcription reaction was done to verify the absence of genomic contamination in subsequent q-PCR. Primer sequences (see supplementary Table S2) were designed using Primer Express 3.0 software (Applied Biosystems). BLAST searches were performed to confirm gene specificity and the absence of multi-locus matching at the primer site. SYBR-Green q-PCR reactions were performed using the ABI Prism 7900 HT sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems) in 384 well optical reaction plates. 3 µl of cDNA (5 ng/reaction), standard or water (no-template control) were used as template for q-PCR reactions with Fast SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and primers at 500 nM final concentration. Real-time q-PCR amplifications were carried out (95°C for 20 sec, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 1 sec and 60°C for 20 sec, and a final dissociation curve analysis step from 65°C to 95°C). Technical replicate experiments were performed for each biological triplicate sample. The amplification efficiencies of each probe were generated using the slopes of the standard curves obtained by a ten-fold dilution series. The efficiency of the q-PCR amplifications for all of the genes tested was higher than 90%. Amplification specificity for each q-PCR reaction was confirmed by the dissociation curve analysis. Determined Ct values were then exploited for further analysis.

The gene expression levels were analyzed using the relative quantification (delta-Ct method). 16 housekeeping genes were tested and GeNorm and Normfinder functions in Genex 4.3.8 (MultiD, Göteborg, Sweden) were used to select the most stable genes. The geometric mean of 5 housekeeping genes (dnaQ, gldD, penB, rswB and gvaD) was used to normalize our samples. Data were analyzed with StatMiner 3.0.0 Software (Integromix, Madrid, Spain). Analyses were done with biological replicates and a relative quantification (RQ) value was calculated for each gene with the control group as a reference. RQ values were adjusted according to specific amplification efficiency. A p-value was computed using a moderated t-test to measure the significance associated with each RQ value. Variations were considered statistically significant when the p-value was <0.05 unless otherwise specified in the tables.

Supporting Information
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