Effects of different amounts of cellulase on the microstructure and soluble substances of cotton stalk bark
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Abstract
There are plant cuticle and complex cell walls on the outer surface of cotton stalk bark (CSB), which reduce the efficiency of liquid penetration of CSB. To increase the permeability of liquids, these barriers need to be broken. Cellulase can selectively hydrolyze cellulose into glucose, and its action conditions are mild. Therefore, cellulase treatment is one of the excellent ways to break the CSB liquid permeation barrier. This experiment studied the effects of different amounts of cellulase treatment on the enzymatic hydrolysis products and surface of CSB. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and nano-CT were used to observe the changes in the microscopic morphology of CSB. Ion chromatography and an ultraviolet–visible spectrophotometer were used to determine the dissolution of CSB. The results showed that the cuticle of CSB treated with cellulase was broken, and the cell wall of phloem fibers became thinner, which increased the accessibility of liquid. The content of monosaccharide and lignin in CSB treatment solution increased with the increase of cellulase dosage. Correspondingly, the proportion of polysaccharides on the outer surface of CSB continued to decline and eventually stabilized. These experimental results can provide a reference for improving the permeability of natural fibers and the subsequent treatment effects of biomass products.
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1 Introduction
Cotton is the most abundant cash crops and agriculture products in tropical and sub-tropical countries. Cotton growing areas are distributed all over the world, and the major countries are China, India, USA, Brazil, Uzbekistan, Turkey, Australia, Turkmenistan, Greece, Syria, and Egypt [1]. To obtain high economic benefits, cotton is grown as an annual plant in the world. Cotton brings good benefits to farmers and planting areas. Cottons are also in great demand for scientific research; because cottons can be applied to the preparation of various materials [2–7]. But many by-products (cotton stalks or leaves) remain in the field, which may create solid waste disposal problems as well as cause pests and diseases to subsequent cotton crops [8]. The most common way to solve this is to stack and burn the cotton stalks in the farmlands, which creates air pollution [9]. The high-value utilization of cotton stalks not only brings better economic benefits to farmers, but also can reduce resource waste and environmental pollution. As a kind of angiosperm, cotton stalk is similar to poplar, and the fiber morphology of cotton stalk is closer to wood fiber. Compared with wheat straw, cotton stalk has a higher cellulose content but lower ash and pentose. Besides, the chemical compositions of cotton stalk roots, stems, and branches are very similar. Poplar, wheat straw, and cotton stalks are all biomass. Compared with other renewable energy sources, biomass is widely distributed. Therefore, more and more researchers are focusing on the research of biomass [10–22]. As one of the three major components of plant biomass, cellulose has attracted much attention. Cellulose can be used to make paper, paper-based materials, hydrogels, and aerogel [23–39]. Besides,
cellulose and its derivatives can be applied in the field of electrical materials [40–45]. Cellulose can also be used to produce platform compounds [46–56]. In addition, combining cellulose with other materials can produce a variety of composite materials [57–63]. Cotton stalk has been widely studied as a high-quality raw material to produce biomass products. For example, the economic and environmental cotton stalk was used to produce nanocrystalline cellulose aerogel, and its performance was close to that of cotton producing nanocrystalline cellulose aerogel [64]. The performances of cotton stalk bark fiber prepared by the combined treatment of steam explosion and laccase are better than that of only steam explosion method, and its performances could meet the requirements of textile fibers [65]. Liu et al. used Ganoderma lucidum white-rot fungi to grow cotton stalk, and prepared a new type of sustainable mycelium/cotton stalk composite materials by hot-pressed at 200 °C. The flexural strength and internal bonding strength of obtained composite materials were close to non-load-bearing fiberboard [66]. In addition to traditional applications, cotton stalks can be used as raw materials for new energy sources, such as biomass briquettes [67], gasification [68], and biofuel production [69]. Cotton stalks can also be used to prepare activated carbon with excellent properties [70].

In most applications, pretreatment is required to improve the permeability of the chemical liquid to cotton stalk. However, like other high plants, most of the outer surface of cotton stalk bark (CSB) tissue is covered by plant cuticle. The function of the plant cuticle is to restrict the transport of water and solutes across the apoplast, and to protect plants from chemical and mechanical damage, pests, and pathogens [71]. Therefore, the presence of the plant cuticle makes it difficult for liquid to access from the outer surface of CSB, which reduces the penetration efficiency of chemical liquid to the CSB. In addition, plant cells have dense and complex cell walls, and cell walls hinder the entry of chemical liquid into the fiber. In recent years, many researchers have used different methods to pretreat biomass, and different pretreatment methods have their own advantages and disadvantages [72–83]. To obtain CSB fibers, Reddy and Yang used sodium hydroxide to remove non-fibrous materials [84]. Although chemical methods are efficient, they pollute the environment and their severe reaction conditions affect the fibers adversely. Therefore, environmental and gentle treatment methods are urgently needed to increase the permeability of CSB.

In recent years, to reduce environmental pollution, biotechnology has been widely used in various industries. Biological enzymes have become important products of biotechnology due to their mild reaction, high catalytic reaction efficiency, and strong specificity. Among them, cellulase is a kind of complex catalytic enzyme, including endoglucanase, exoglucanase, and β-glucosidase, which hydrolyzes cellulose into glucose units [85]. Jin et al. discussed the promotion of water-soluble lignin on cellulase hydrolysis [86]. In recent studies, cellulase has been used in the deinking process of mixed wastepaper of old newspapers and old magazine pulp to increase the deinking efficiency under mild conditions [87]. Jiang et al. used lipase to treat wheatgrass and explored the relationship between biological enzymes and their characteristic information [88]. There are many reports on the pretreatment of cotton stalk powder using chemical or biological methods [89–91]. Researchers have never stopped studying the surface of biomass [92–94]. For example, Teng et al. used bacterial liquid to pretreat cotton stalks to enhance the performance of paper products [95]. Xie et al. reported the surface structure and morphology of cotton stalk with 1-butyll-3-methylimidazole chloride treatment [96]. Nevertheless, in recent years, there has been limited information on the surface properties of CSB treated with cellulase.

Therefore, in this work, the hydrolysis products and surface of CSB were explored by cellulase treatment. The changes in microscopic morphologies and surface compositions, the degradation of CSB lignin, and the dissolution of monosaccharides were investigated. These results of the experiment can be used as a reference basis for increasing the permeability of natural fibers and the subsequent treatment effects of biomass products.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Treatment of cotton stalk with cellulase

The cotton stalks used in this study were obtained from Dezhou, Shandong Province, China. The cotton stalks were cut into 40–60-mm-long sections, washed, and dried in the sun to a constant moisture content (%, 6.2 ± 0.5). Peel the cotton stalk skin off and cut it into small pieces. The enzyme activity of the liquid neutral cellulase obtained from Shandong Longkete Enzyme Preparation Co., Ltd. (Shandong Province, China) was 800 U/mL. Cellulase was diluted with citric acid-sodium citrate buffer solution (pH 6.0), and the cellulase activity after dilution was 100 U/mL. The experiment was carried out on 2.0 g (dry weight) of CSB in a 100-mL conical flask containing citric acid-sodium citrate buffer solution (pH 6.0), and the solid–liquid ratio was 1:6. The cellulase dosages were 10 U/g, 25 U/g, 50 U/g, 75 U/g, and 100 U/g, respectively. Then, these conical flasks were placed on a shaker at 60 °C and incubated with shaking at a speed of 80 rpm for 90 min. The control experiments were carried out in the same solid–liquid ratio conditions without adding cellulase. These systems were placed in the water bath at 95 °C for 5 min to terminate the enzyme reaction, filtered them, and the filtrates were sealed at 4 °C. The treated CSB block was freeze-dried for 24 h and kept sealed.
in a polyethylene bag, and subsequent measurements were carried out at room temperature.

### 2.2 Determination of the sugar component and lignin content of the treatment solution

The treatment effects of different cellulase dosages were determined by measuring lignin and sugars, which were derived from lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose dissolved in the treatment liquid. One milliliter of treatment solution was mixed with 70 μL of 72% H₂SO₄ and 0.93 mL of deionized water (3.33% H₂SO₄) in a digester (GL-16, Shandong Greencare Precision Instrument Co., Ltd., China) for 60 min acid hydrolysis at 121 °C [97]. The monosaccharide concentrations were determined by ion chromatography with CarboPac trade PA20 (3 mm × 150 mm) analytical column, guard column (3 × 30 mm), autosampler, and EC detector with Au working electrode and Ag/AgCl reference electrode (Dionex ICS5000 +, Thermo Fisher Scientific, America). The mobile phase was 250 mM NaOH and deionized water at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min. Monosaccharides (l-arabinose, d-galactose, d-glucose, d-xylose, and l-mannose) at concentrations of 0.5, 1, 2, 5, and 10 mg/L were used as standards. Before the ion chromatography injection, the standard and samples should be filtered through a 0.22-μm Millipore membrane filter [98].

The enzyme treatment solution was precipitated by a dilute hydrochloric acid solution (pH 2.0) [99], and there was no obvious acid-insoluble lignin. The amount of lignin that appeared in the text was represented by acid-soluble lignin. According to the method of GBT10337-2008, the absorbance value of the treatment solution was measured by a scanning spectrophotometer (Agilent 8453, Agilent Technologies Inc., America) at wavelength of 205 nm, and the background run with 3% H₂SO₄. Dilute the sample to achieve absorbance of 0.7–1.0 and record the dilution. The lignin content is calculated as follows:

\[ \rho = \frac{AD1000}{110} \]

where \( \rho \) is the lignin content (mg/L); \( A \) is the absorbance; \( D \) is the dilution multiple of treatment solution; 110 is the absorbance coefficient (L·g⁻¹·cm⁻¹).

### 2.3 Microscopic image, EDX spectra, and XPS analysis

A scanning electron microscope (SEM) and nano-CT were used to observe the morphology and microstructure of cellulase-treated and untreated CSB surfaces. SEM images of the sample were scanned with an EM 30 plus + scanning electron microscope (COXEM Company, South Korea) at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. The EDX spectra were obtained using a Model 550i EDS Detector Power coupled to a TM4000Plus scanning electron microscope.

The CSB sample was made into a rod shape (2 mm × 8 mm), and the Skyscan 2211 X-ray CT (Bruker Company, Germany) was used to scan the sample with a resolution of 300 nm and a scanning angle of 180°. The obtained micro-CT images were processed by CTvox software.

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of the CSB outer skin before and after treatment were obtained by XPS microprobe instrument (PHI-5000 VersaProbe, Ulvac-Phi, Japan, monochromated Al Kα source, \( h\nu = 1486.6 \text{ eV} \)).

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Analysis of monosaccharides and lignin

The amount of monosaccharide and lignin dissolved in the CSB treatment solution is shown in Fig. 1. The dissolved amounts of arabinose, galactose, xylose, and mannose of CSB treated with different amounts of cellulase are shown in Fig. 1a. The solubility of the four monosaccharides all increased with increase of cellulase, and the dissolution amount of mannose increased significantly. Besides, the dissolution of glucose and lignin in Fig. 1b showed an upward trend. Like the four monosaccharides in Fig. 1a, the dissolution of glucose and lignin is higher, and their contents are both beyond 2.8 g/L when the cellulase dosage is 100 U/g. It is because that cellulase can play an important role in the hydrolysis of cellulose. Cellulase is composed of three enzymes: endoglucanase, cellobiohydrolase, and β-glucosidase. Both endoglucanase and cellobiohydrolase can react with cellulose directly, and cellobiohydrolase can cut the cellulose chain to reduce the length of the cellulose chain [100].

Cellulose is made up of d-glucopyranosyl groups connected by 1,4-β-glycosidic bonds, and hydrogen bonds connect the polysaccharide chains to form cellulose microfibers. Cellulose microfibers are connected to hemicellulose containing complex polysaccharides [101]. While the main sugar groups of hemicellulose are d-xylose, d-mannosyl, d-glucosyl, d-galactosyl, l-arabinosyl, 4-O-methyl-d-glucuronic acid group, d-galacturonic acid group, and d-glucuronic acid group, two or more of these glycan groups constitute different glycans [102]. Lignin is intertwined with polysaccharide structure in the cell wall [103]. While the cellulase hydrolyzes the cellulose, it is accompanied by the exfoliation of some hemicellulose glycans and lignin. Based on the above mentioned, one can conclude that with the increase of cellulase, the dissolution of the four monosaccharides and lignin in the...
CSB cellulase treatment solution rises, which is consistent with the above analysis.

### 3.2 Micro-CT image analysis

The micro-CT images of CSB treated with different cellulase enzymes are shown in Fig. 2. As shown in Fig. 2a and c, compared with the blank group (Fig. 2a), it can be observed that the wall of the CSB catheter changed from compact to lose after cellulase treatment. When the amount of enzyme was increased to 100 U/g, the degree of looseness reached the highest. In addition, the phloem fiber cell wall of CSB without cellulase treatment was thicker, the cell cavity was smaller, and the cell arrangement was more compact as shown in Fig. 2(a2). As for Fig. 2(b2), the cell wall of phloem fiber of CSB treated with cellulase became thinner, the cell cavity became larger, and the cell arrangement was looser. While further increased the cellulase dosage, the cell wall of phloem fiber did not change notably (Fig. 2(c2)).
The reason for this phenomenon is that the cell wall of plant is mainly composed of two parts: primary wall and secondary wall, and there is an intercellular layer between adjacent cells. Cellulose and hemicellulose are the main components of the primary wall. Lignin acts as an adhesive and support between cells and mainly exists in the intercellular layer [104]. Cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin peeled off simultaneously, when cellulase acted on the phloem cell wall of CSB. Then, these processes resulted in the thinning of the phloem fiber cell wall of CSB (Fig. 2(b2)). Lignocellulose plant cell walls are composed of crystalline cellulose nanofibers embedded in an amorphous matrix of cross-linked lignin and hemicellulose. This characteristic structure reduces the accessibility of enzymes and microorganisms [105]. Therefore, increase the amount of enzyme within a certain range, and cellulase cannot enzymatically hydrolyze cellulose with high crystallinity. This could explain why there were no significant changes in the cell walls of phloem fibers (Fig. 2(c2)). While the large duct lumen existing between the fiber cells facilitated the penetration and action of cellulase, and the effect of cellulase was also stronger, the phenomenon was consistent with the above dissolution tendency of monosaccharides and lignin in Fig. 1.

3.3 SEM image analysis

SEM images of the outer skin surface and bast fiber surface of CSB treated with different amounts of cellulase are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. It can be seen from Fig. 3a that the outer skin surface of the untreated CSB showed an undamaged intact state with thick stratum corneum and honeycomb profile. Under buffer treatment, the complete stratum corneum with a large outer skin thickness showed no cracks and pores, and was similar to untreated CSB, as shown in Fig. 3b. These results indicated that the buffer treatment used in the blank group had little effect on the morphology of the outer skin of CSB.

The SEM image in Fig. 3c showed slight cracks in the stratum corneum of CSB under low cellulase dosage, and shedding substances began to appear on the surface. However, no obvious pores and support structures of honeycomb exposed. When the dosage of cellulase increased to 50 U/g, the outer skin of CSB began to collapse and obvious pores appeared (Fig. 3d). But the degree of exfoliation was low, and no intradermal structure was seen. After 75 U/g cellulase treatment (Fig. 3e), the outer skin of CSB appeared large degree of shedding, and the internal structure emerged. Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 3f, it can be observed that the stratum corneum of the outer epidermis of CSB was completely exfoliated in large areas, and the honeycomb structure was clearly visible behind the epidermis with the dosage of 100 U/g cellulase. These results indicated that cellulase destroyed the stable and dense stratum corneum structure on the outer surface of CSB [106]. The destruction of dense structures, the breaking of stable connections, and the detachment of surface fragments might increase the external surface area of CSB, which could improve the accessibility of cellulose [107].

The morphology characteristics of inner surface of the untreated and treated CSB are shown in Fig. 4. Consistent with the outer surface of CBS, the inner surface of untreated (Fig. 4a) and buffer-treated (Fig. 4b) CBS showed similar

![Fig. 3](image_url)  
**Fig. 3**  
CSB (outer skin surface) SEM images of a untreated CSB; cellulase-treated CSB: b 0 U/g, c 25 U/g, d 50 U/g, e 75 U/g, and f 100 U/g
morphologies. Both of them presented sharp, complete, and well-defined raised boundaries in the phloem fiber cells. And the surface of the phloem fibers was smooth and compact. Compared with Fig. 4b, it can be noticed that partial edges of original sharp phloem fibers were shed after 50 U/g cellulase treatment (Fig. 4c). When the dosage of enzyme was increased to 100 U/g, the bumps on the edge of the bast fiber almost disappeared, and the boundary of the phloem fibers became relatively flat. Meanwhile, the bast fiber also began to fall off and the surface became rough. These phenomena indicated that cellulase destroyed the compact structure of bast fibers. The damage of the bast fibers was aggravated with the increase of cellulase dosage. These changes represented greater accessibility of cellulose [65], which was consistent with the analysis results of the micro-CT images.

### 3.4 SEM–EDX spectra analysis

The main elemental compositions on the outer and inner surface of untreated and treated CSB examined using SEM–EDX spectra are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. As seen from Tables 1 and 2, the elemental compositions of the outer surface of the cellulase-treated CSB were different from those of the inner surface. After 100 U/g cellulase

| Cellulase dosage (U/g) | Element | Concentration (wt%) |
|------------------------|---------|---------------------|
| 0                      | O       | 52.436              |
|                        | Si      | 47.564              |
| 100                    | O       | 93.433              |
|                        | Si      | 6.567               |

| Cellulase dosage (U/g) | Element | Concentration (wt%) |
|------------------------|---------|---------------------|
| 0                      | O       | 93.248              |
|                        | Si      | 6.752               |
| 100                    | O       | 97.880              |
|                        | Si      | 2.120               |
treatment, the Si element concentration on the outer surface of CSB decreased from 47.567 to 6.567 wt%. But the Si element concentration on the inner surface of the CSB was much lower. The Si element concentration on the inner surface of CSB without cellulase treatment was 6.752 wt%, which was similar to that of the cellulase-treated outer surface. Plants uptake Si from the soil solution as H₄SiO₄. The polymerization of converting H₄SiO₄ into insoluble silica generally occurs in the cuticle and plant cell walls, and Si is further deposited beneath the cuticle [108]. Because of the presence of Si in the stratum corneum, the concentration of Si on the outer surface of CSB without cellulase treatment is relatively high. After cellulase treatment, the cuticle was destroyed and the Si concentration on the outer surface of CSB decreased significantly. After the cuticle was destroyed, the Si concentration on the outer surface is closer to that inner surface. In addition, the phloem fiber cell wall on the inner surface of CSB was damaged by cellulase, and the Si

Fig. 5 The EDS element mappings of CSB outer surface with a 0 U/g and b 100 U/g, and inner surface with c 0 U/g and d 100 U/g
element concentration decreased slightly. These results are consistent with the results of SEM images and EDS element mappings in Figs. 3, 4, and 5.

3.5 XPS analysis

3.5.1 O/C ratio

The chemical environment and atomic concentration of CSB samples can be obtained by XPS analysis. Figure 6 shows XPS spectra of the outer skin of CSB treated with different amounts of cellulase. The XPS spectra data was analyzed and calculated by Thermo Avantage software to obtain the experimental atomic composition of CSB, and the rates of oxygen-carbon (O/C) are calculated in Table 3. Compared with the control group, the O/C on the outer surface of CSB treated with cellulase decreased significantly. With the amount of enzyme increased, O/C continued to decrease, but decreased slightly. Cellulase treatment caused enzymatic hydrolysis of the cellulose on the outer surface, resulting in a decrease in the content of carbohydrate and an increase of lignin and extracts on the outer surface of CSB [109]. The high amount of cellulase did not continue to change the content of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin in the outer epidermis of CSB prominently, which may be related to the degree of exfoliation of the outer epidermis and the accessibility of cellulase.

| Cellulase dosage (U/g) | %C | %O | %N | %Si | O/C |
|------------------------|----|----|----|-----|-----|
| 0                      | 72.8 | 21.7 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 0.30 |
| 25                     | 78.2 | 16.4 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 0.21 |
| 50                     | 77.3 | 14.8 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 0.19 |
| 100                    | 79.1 | 14.5 | 5.2 | 1.2 | 0.18 |

Fig. 6 XPS survey spectra of cellulase-treated CSB: a 0 U/g, b 25 U/g, c 50 U/g, and d 100 U/g
3.5.2 C1s peak

The C1s high-resolution spectra of CSB treated with different cellulase dosages are shown in Fig. 7. The XPS spectrum of C1s can be deconvoluted into three peaks. The C1 peak corresponds to aliphatic and aromatic carbon bonds, which are derived from carbon, extract, and lignin. And the C2 peak corresponds to carbon–oxygen bonds and is derived from all wood compounds, especially cellulose and hemicellulose. While the C3 peak represents the bonding of two oxygen atoms or the carbon atoms in the ketone group, which are mainly from cellulose and hemicellulose [110], the C1 peak in all samples was much stronger than the C2 and C3 peaks. The C1 peak became stronger as the amount of cellulase increased. It indicated that cellulose and hemicellulose were hydrolyzed by cellulase, and the concentration of polysaccharides on the outer surface of CSB decreased [111]. Based on the above results, it is speculated that the cellulase used in the article was not enough to destroy the deep-layer fibers of CSB. Then, only the fibers on the surface of CSB can be enzymatically hydrolyzed [112]. Therefore, when the cellulase dosage is increased to a certain amount, the ratio of polysaccharide and lignin on the surface of CSB tends to stabilize.

4 Conclusions

In this study, cellulase had a destructive effect on the cuticle and fiber cell wall of CSB. Cellulase hydrolyzed the polysaccharides of CSB, which led to the decomposition of polysaccharides and the dissolution of lignin. The results of ion chromatography showed that as the amount of cellulase increased, the amount of arabinose, galactose, xylose, mannose, glucose, and lignin in the CSB treatment solution increased. While cellulase hydrolyzed cellulose, it was accompanied by the shedding of some hemicellulosans and lignin. At the same time, cellulase destroyed the stratum corneum of CSB, exposed more polysaccharides and lignin, and increased the accessibility of cellulase. Compared with the control group, the cell wall of CBS bast fibers treated with cellulase was significantly thinner.

Therefore, using cellulase for the pretreatment of CSB, the dense protective structure of CSB was destroyed. It is expected that the accessibility of subsequent processing liquids to the internal structure of CSB will be increased. This research provides a new method and basis for improving the liquid permeability of CSB. However, it is necessary to further study the mechanism of cellulase destroying the stratum corneum on the outer surface of CSB.
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