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Abstract

The paper reviewed the application of high salinity water in flotation. The materials, of which flotation processes high salinity water was used, were classified as four different categories, i.e., ions, soluble salt minerals, coal, and sulfide. The mechanism of high salinity’s impact on flotation was also summarized.
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Introduction

In mining industry, flotation consumes a large amount of fresh water, in spite of the fact that a portion of process water is recycled. Superficially it seems that there is no need to use fresh water for flotation because during flotation, water is mixed with rock which are “dirty”. This raises the logical question whether low quality water, such as river water, reclaimed water, and high salinity water (sea water and groundwater), can be used for flotation with no decrease in the efficiency of the process. The present review will focus on the application of high salinity water in flotation. In general, the flotation process using high salinity water can be classified as the following different categories:

Flotation of trace heavy metal species in sea water

Some trace elements, for example, vanadium, selenium, and indium, and so on, are believed to play a role in the marine biosphere. However, the significance and involvement of these trace heavy elements is not clear yet. Starting from 1970’s, froth flotation began to be applied in analytical chemistry in the separation of a variety of trace ionic species in sea water to quantify these trace metals. The adsorption salt flotation technique was applied for the separation of vanadium and selenium from sea water [1,2]. Sonawane et al. [3] surveyed various surfactants for the flotation of iron (III), aluminum, and indium hydroxide collector precipitates for concentrating trace heavy metals in sea water by co-flotation. Cabezon et al. [4] used octadecyl amine as collector, and Ferrichydroxide as co-precipitant to simultaneously separate copper, cadmium, and cobalt from sea water by co-flotation.

Basically, specific cationic or anionic surfactant, called “collector”, was added into sea water and the collector will adsorb on the trace species and render the surface hydrophobic. The hydrophobized trace metal species will attach to bubbles in sea water and rise up forming foam layer for a further removal. Usually, anionic collector is chosen from sodium oleate and sodium alkyl sulfate; while cationic collector is ammonium. MIBC is a common frother. Because of the very high recoveries of the trace species based on spiked sea water samples, this pre-concentration by flotation has the advantages of being fast, simple and accurate over the classical techniques such as co-precipitation, co-crystallization, and ion-exchange, which have been employed to pre-concentrate trace metals in sea waters.

Flotation of soluble salt minerals (potash, trona, and borax) in saturated saline water

Potash is very important for the growth of plants and it is generally used as fertilizer in agriculture. Sylvite, the most important potash mineral, is abundant in saline lake deposits.
The water soluble potash mineral has to be separated from other soluble salt mineral, such as halite and so on, before being further processed and made into fertilizer [5]. Historically, flotation process was first applied in 1940’s for the separation of two water soluble minerals, sylvite (KCl) and halite (NaCl), in their own saturated brine water and this event represented a milestone in the development of the flotation process. Now separation of water-soluble minerals by flotation is the most important present-day method of potash ore processing. In practice, both normal flotation and reverse flotation have been used for the separation of sylvite from halite [6]. Collector can be chosen from either cationic alkyl amine surfactant or anionic alkyl sulfate surfactant. Frother and conditioning agent are typically required for high flotation recovery and efficiency. Recently, a complete review work was published by Ozdemir et al. [7] showing that the ion specificity effect is the most important factor influencing salt flotation. In the specific work, the measurement such as solution viscosity, surface tension, bubble-particle attachment time, contact angle, atomic force microscopy, sum frequency generation vibrational spectroscopy and molecular dynamics simulation have been used to study the air-solution and solid-solution interfacial phenomena.

Flotation of coal using sea water

The reason that sea water has been applied for coal flotation is mainly because in 1920 some coals were reported to be readily floated in sea water without the addition of reagents (collector and frother). In 1930’s, the flotation of coal using sea water was further investigated by Russian researchers [8]. The technique was later developed and called salt flotation, in which a high concentration of inorganic electrolyte is employed. Many studies have been carried out since then and it has been proposed that salt flotation is generally applied for naturally hydrophobic minerals, particularly for coal. Klassen and Vlasova [9] studied the flotation of coals of different rank in the presence of NaCl and reported that sea water can be a good self-frothing media in coal flotation. Results showed that high-rank coals can be successfully treated in NaCl solutions; however, flotation of the low-rank coals required the addition of hydrocarbons (petroleum). Fuerstenau et al. [10] studied the effect of surface functional groups on the salt flotation of coal and claimed that salt flotation was sensitive to coal functional group and it failed completely for heavily oxidized coal. They proposed that flotation rate increased substantially with increasing salt concentration, although the position of the maximum in floatability with respect to pH did not change. It was reported that increasing electrolyte concentration would introduce a more rapid thinning and rupturing of the film between particle and bubble. Yoon and Sabey [11] reported that salt flotation was better than conventional flotation by introducing faster flotation kinetics and a higher separation efficiency of removing ash from bituminous coal. Results showed that the frothability of salt solution increased with increasing salt concentration and divalent cations salts gave the best flotation results.

Li and Somasundaran [12-14] studied the effect of NaCl on coal flotation using a modified Hallimond tube and Zeta potential meter to delineate the role of the electrostatic interaction between bubbles and particles. It was reported that, at low salt concentrations and high salt concentrations, flotation exhibited showed different behavior. Botula et al. [15] studied the effect of saline water on coal flotation and reported that no statistically significant effect of water salinity (salt concentration or composition) was observed. Iskra et al. [16] investigated the effect of mine water salinity on flotation of coal slurries from Budyrk Mine and reported that water salinity improves flotation kinetics and reduces reagents consumption. Hampton and Nguyen [17] studied the accumulation of dissolved gases at hydrophobic surfaces in water and NaCl solutions and discussed its implications for coal flotation in saline water in terms of attraction between hydrophobic surfaces in water, bubble/particle attachment and hydrophobic coagulation between particles. They reported that NaCl concentration (up to 1M) had a negligible influence on the geometry and population of pre-existing nanobubbles. Ozdemir et al. [18] focused on the surface chemistry aspects of coal flotation in bore water which was frequently used as process water in several flotation plants in Western Australia. Some surface chemistry techniques, such as bubble/particle attachment time experiment, zeta potential measurement, contact angle measurement and Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) were applied to clarify the effect and mechanism of high salt/electrolyte concentrations.

Flotation of sulfide minerals using high salinity water

High salinity water has been applied for sulfide flotation generally in the arid areas such as Chile and Western Australia, where water is a precious commodity with little or no access sometimes. In practice, the concerns related to sulfide salt flotation are mainly focused on the impact of high salinity on flotation efficiency, the buildup of salt in recycled water and equipment corrosion. Sutulov [19] reported that successful flotation of copper minerals had taken place in Chile using sea water and the common buildup of dissolved mineral salts (Ca, Mg, Na) in recycled water was not a demonstrable problem. Vaughan and Dunne [20] studied the mineralogy and processing characteristics of Archean gold ores from Western Australia in highly saline ground water in the Yilgarn area. It was reported that the saline process water may interfere with processing in a variety of ways. For example, protective coatings were required because of the equipment corrosion problem in plants, arising from mainly the high NaCl content in groundwater. Wellham et al. [21] studied the role of carboxyl methyl cellulose in the flotation of a nickel sulfide transition ore. The hypersaline water used in the flotation plants was reported to make traditional serpentine dispersants ineffective.

Klimpel [22] claimed that sea water could be applied in flotation quite successfully. In addition, the hard water containing high levels of monovalent and divalent ions do not negatively affect the recovery and selectivity of water insoluble uncharged collectors with naturally floatable sulfide minerals. Rhodes and Penna [23] studied the flowsheet for the Sukari gold project, which is in one of the driest deserts in the world, in Egypt. The plant was designed to use sea water, which was pumped from the Red Sea 22km from the
mine site, in the process plant grinding, leach and flotation circuits. Actually, a proportion of the water was treated in a reverse osmosis plant to produce fresh water for the gold circuit, mine services and power plant. Peng et al. [24] carried out a research on the flotation of a low grade pentlandite (nickel sulfide) ore containing large amounts of serpentine minerals from Mt. Keith in Western Australia using high salinity process water. Moreno et al. [25] reported the use of seawater as process water at Las Luces copper-molybdenum beneficiation plant in Taltal (Chile). In Las Luces plant, seawater is mixed with tailings pond water and used in the grinding and flotation circuits. It was claimed that the plant had successfully used seawater for many years without the use of any fresh water and a loss of water to evaporation could be a problem. In Chile, [26] which is located in the Atacama Desert, one of the driest desert in the world, at an elevation of 2100m above sea level became the first large-scale copper mine to only raw sea water in flotation.

Mechanism of High Salinity’s Impact on Flotation

The difference between high salinity water and fresh water is mainly because the ionic strength in the former is much higher. Many investigations have been carried out to study the impact of high ionic strength on coal flotation in inorganic electrolyte solutions and several theories have been proposed. Firstly, the inorganic electrolytes can prevent bubble coalescence which leads to reduced bubble size and increased population. These will further increase bubble-particle attachment efficiency and froth stability, hence flotation efficiency [11,27,28]. Secondly, it was proposed that high ionic strength may compress the electrical double layer (EDL) between bubbles and particles and therefore reduce the zeta potential of both bubbles and particles. The theory was supported by some experiment results showing that the flotation recovery achieved a maximum at a minimum zeta potential [10,11]. Thirdly, Klassen and Mokrousov [29] suggested that the inorganic electrolytes reduced the surface hydration of coal and destabilized the hydrated layers surrounding coal, which made the coal more hydrophobic and enhanced the bubble-particle attachment. However, this mechanism broke down in the case of naturally hydrophilic minerals, the flotation of which was not improved by the presence of high ionic strength.

Summary

The above review of using high salinity water in flotation shows that: 1) technically saline water can substitute for fresh water and be used in a large scale in flotation even without desalination; 2) the impact of high salinity’s impact on flotation could be multifold. For some flotation systems, high salinity water increases flotation performance; while it does reversely in other cases; 3) the mechanism of high salinity’s impact on flotation depends on various conditions, such as ore type, ions species, ionic strength, pH, surface potential and others. As such, in practice, high salinity water may impact flotation in a complex way and it requires a systemic study for a specific case. An important prerequisite for this is that the chemistry of high salinity water will not evidently decrease flotation recovery and efficiency.
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