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Abstract

The effect of chitosan, tea polyphenols, and citric acid on avocado storage duration was investigated using a central composite design and response surface methodology by using Design-Expert software. A quadratic polynomial regression mathematical model was constructed with time as the response value to obtain the best concentration combination of chitosan, tea polyphenol, and citric acid for developing the basis of a low-cost, high-efficiency storage preservative for avocados. The results show that the analysis of variance of the regression model of the avocado storage duration has a $p = 0.0003$, indicating that the model reaches an extreme level of statistical significance, and the regression coefficient of $R^2 = 0.9629$ indicates a good fit between the model and the equation (96.29%). The optimisation results show that the preservative effect on avocados was the best when chitosan, tea polyphenols, and citric acid were combined at a concentration of 1%, 2% and 2%, respectively, and when the storage duration was 19.2 days. Validation tests confirmed that this composite agent effectively delayed the decrease in hardness, the weight loss rate, the soluble solids, soluble protein and vitamin C (VC) content of avocados and decreased their browning index, similar to the predicted results. These results carry practical significance for guiding avocado storage and preservation technology development.
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INTRODUCTION

Avocado (Persea americana Mill.), also known as butter fruit and alligator fruit, is an evergreen fruit tree from family Lauraceae, genus Persea. It is native to the humid tropical and subtropical regions of Central America and Mexico (Landahl et al., 2009). Avocado pulp is sticky and delicate and has a light fragrance. It is the only fruit with a high oil content and has been nicknamed “forest butter”. Avocado has high nutritional value, being rich in fat, protein, vitamins A, C, E, B1, and B2, sodium, potassium, magnesium, calcium, and other vitamins and minerals. Avocado’s fat contains up to 80% unsaturated fatty acids, making it an uncommon high-energy but low-sugar fruit. It is used as food in the tropical parts of the Americas. Thus, avocado is a health fruit that has the combined qualities of fruit, grain, and oil (Meyer and Terry, 2008). Avocado has significant health-related functions, including preventing diabetes, lowering the cholesterol and blood lipid contents, protecting the liver, strengthening the stomach, clearing the intestines, and beautifying and moisturising the skin. It is regarded as a treasure among fruits in Europe, America, Japan, and other countries, being known as “forest butter” and “the source of life” (Dreher and Davenport, 2013; Ozdemir, 2004).With the consumer lifestyle changes in recent years, avocado has attracted widespread recognition as a high-energy but low-sugar fruit, and its consumption is steadily increasing. However, avocado is a respiration climacteric fruit with a short after-ripening period disenables its long-term storage and preservation, which limits the development of the avocado industry. Therefore, research on avocado preservation technologies is of particular importance.

Tea polyphenols, chitosan, and citric acid are commonly used natural preservatives that can extend the preservation period of foods. The combined use of these three preservatives can enhance the preservative effect, is relatively inexpensive, simple in operation, and non-toxic(Zheng et al., 2020). Tea polyphenols have strong free radical-scavenging capacity, which prevents lipid peroxidation. By scavenging free radicals, the activity of antioxidant enzymes is enhanced, thereby further enhancing the anti-oxidation effects (Zhang et al., 2016; Shen, 2017). The carbonyl group in citric acid can chelate the copper ions in polyphenol oxidase, inhibiting its activity to some extent, reducing the enzymatic browning and playing a role in
colour preservation (Wang et al., 2021; Fan et al., 2014). Chitosan forms an extremely thin, uniform, transparent and firm film on fruits, which inhibits evaporation and pathogen invasion, thereby exerting antibacterial effects (Gong et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2021); it is widely used for preserving fruits and vegetables. Single preservatives are unable to fully meet the food preservation requirements, and multiple preservatives must be combined to achieve a higher food preservation performance (Zhang et al., 2016; Tang and Lu, 2020; Yang et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2019). Thus, composite preservatives have become a popular research and development topic. In the present study, tea polyphenols, chitosan, and citric acid were selected for producing a composite with the best preservative efficacy for solving the problem of the short storage period of avocados.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Materials and reagents**

The experimental material was avocado variety Guiyan 10 collected by Guizhou Subtropical Crop Research Institute, Guizhou Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China. Plant experimental research conforms to institutional, national or international standards. We abide by the Convention on biological diversity and the Convention on trade in endangered species of Wild Fauna and flora. A total of 7.5–8 mature avocados with no pests, no damage, and uniform size were randomly selected and transported back to the laboratory on the same day.

Food-grade tea polyphenols with 99% active ingredients were obtained from Xi’an Dafengshou Biotechnology Co., Ltd. Food-grade carboxymethyl chitosan with 99.9% active ingredients was obtained from Zhengzhou Jiajie Chemical Co., Ltd. Citric acid with 99% active ingredients was obtained from Wuhan Wanrong Technology Development Co., Ltd.

**Sample treatment**

First, avocados were washed with clean water and any surface water was dried. Next, the avocados were soaked in a preservative solution for 3 min; for the control group, no treatment was applied. The processed avocados were stored at room temperature. A total of 10 avocados were processed each time, and the experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Experimental design**

The central composite design method in Design-Expert software was used. An experimental design with three factors and three levels was used with the tea polyphenols, chitosan, and citric acid being independent variables and the storage duration being the dependent variable. Table 1 shows the response surface design table. Regression analysis was performed based on the established mathematical model and the optimal combination of concentrations was obtained for the composite preservative solution.

| Levels | Factors | A=Chitosan(%) | B=Tea polyphenols(%) | C=Citric acid(%) |
|--------|---------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|
| -1     | 0.5     | 1.5           | 1.0                 |
| 0      | 1.0     | 2.0           | 2.0                 |
| 1      | 1.5     | 2.5           | 3.0                 |

**Table 1.** The factors and levels of response surface for Avocado
Measurement indicators and methods

Measurement of hardness

The fruit hardness was measured using a GY-4 digital fruit hardness tester. A thin slice of the pericarp (approximately 1 mm in thickness) was pared off at three equidistant locations around the equator of the avocado, and the firmness of the pulp at each location was measured using a fruit hardness tester. The hardness of each fruit was measured at three points, the measurement data was recorded and the average fruit hardness value was calculated.

Determination of the weight loss rate

The avocados were weighed. The formula used for calculating the weight loss rate is as follows:

\[
\text{Weight loss rate (\%) = } \frac{\text{weight of fruit before storage} - \text{weight of fruit after storage}}{\text{weight of fruit before storage}} \times 100
\]  

(1)

Browning index

The browning index was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Browning index} = \left[ \frac{\sum \text{(grade of browning} \times \text{number of fruits})}{\text{highest grade of browning} \times \text{total number of fruits}} \right] \times 100\%
\]  

(2)

A sensory grading method was used. Grade 1 indicates no pulp browning, grade 2 indicates a slight pulp browning, grade 3 indicates a significant pulp browning not exceeding 1/2 of the pulp area, grade 4 indicates a significant pulp browning exceeding 1/2 of the pulp area, and grade 5 indicates the browning of all the pulp.

Determination of the soluble solid content

The soluble solid content was measured using a hand-held digital Brix refractometer. A mass of 5 g of avocado pulp was weighed and wrapped in six layers of gauze. A total of 2–3 drops of avocado juice were squeezed into the test port of the Brix refractometer and the value was read.

Determination of the vitamin C content

The VC was determined using the 2,6-dichlorophenol indophenol titration method. A mass of 2.5 g of avocado pulp was weighed and placed in a mortar, ground to a slurry in an ice bath, added to a 25-mL volumetric flask and the volume was topped up with a 2% oxalic acid solution. A volume of 10 mL of the filtrate was withdrawn, added to an Erlenmeyer flask and titrated using a standard 2,6-dichlorophenol indophenol solution until a reddish colour that did not fade for 15 s appeared. The amount of dye added was recorded. In addition, 10 mL of a 2% oxalic acid solution were used as a blank and were titrated in the same way (Yu, 2012; Gao, 2006). The experiment was performed in triplicate.

The vitamin C content was determined based on the dye consumption during the titration, was expressed in mg of ascorbic acid per 100 g of fresh weight (FW) of the sample (mg/100 g FW) and calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Ascorbic acid content (mg/100 g FW) = } \frac{V \times (V_1 - V_0) \times C}{V_s \times W} \times 100
\]  

(3)

Determination of the soluble protein content

The Coomassie Brilliant Blue method was used to determine the protein content. In total, 0.5 g of avocado pulp was weighed and ground to a slurry in an ice bath. Distilled water (5 mL) was added and the mixture was centrifuged at 4 °C and 12,000 \times g for 10 min. The supernatant was collected as the soluble protein extract and stored at low temperature for
later use. A total of 1.0 mL of supernatant was collected as a sample, and 5.0 mL of a Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 solution were added, mixed well and allowed to stand for 2 min. The absorbance of the sample was measured at a wavelength of 595 nm. A standard curve was constructed by determining the absorbance in the same way (Lu and Li, 2012; Li al., 1999). The experiment was performed in triplicate.

Based on the absorbance of the solution and the corresponding amount of protein (in mg) based on the standard curve, the soluble protein content in the avocado pulp was calculated as milligrams of soluble protein per gram of fruit tissue (mg/g FW), as follows:

\[
\text{Soluble solid content (mg/g FW)} = \frac{C \times V}{V_c \times W \times 1000}
\]  

(4)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Response surface methodology experiments and analysis

The central composite design method in Design-Expert software was used to obtain comprehensive single-factor test results (Luo et al., 2021). The three independent variables were designated A (chitosan), B (tea polyphenols), and C (citric acid), and the dependent variable Y (storage duration) was used in an experimental design with three factors and three levels for a total of 17 design points (5 centre points). The mean storage duration (Y) was used as the response variable for regression analysis (Table 2). The regression equation obtained for the storage duration (Y) from the analysis is as follows:

\[
Y = 19.20 + 0.38A + 0.63B + 1.00C + 0.50AB - 0.25AC + 0.25BC - 2.60A^2 - 2.10B^2 - 2.35C^2
\]

Table 3 shows the analysis of variance of the regression model for storage duration. The regression model has a p = 0.0003 < 0.01, indicating that the model has a very high level of statistical significance. Furthermore, the regression coefficient R² = 0.9629 > 0.9, indicating that the model and the equation have good fit (96.29%). The lack of fit statistic was F = 0.36, p = 0.7880 > 0.05; the difference is not statistically significant, indicating a low lack of fit and a highly good fit, and that the equation is appropriate for replacing the actual design points. The influence of A2, B2, and C2 on the value of Y in the equation of the selected model reached an extremely significant level, the influence of B and C on the value of Y in the equation reached a level of statistical significance, whereas the influence of A, AB, BC, and AC in the equation was not statistically significant. Comprehensive comparison showed that the level of influence of each factor on the avocado storage duration in decreasing order is: citric acid (C) > tea polyphenols (B) > chitosan (A). AB is the interacting factor with the strongest influence on the avocado storage duration.

| Order number | A  | B  | C  | Storage duration/d |
|--------------|----|----|----|---------------------|
| 1            | -1 | 1  | 0  | 14                  |
| 2            | 0  | -1 | 1  | 15                  |
| 3            | 0  | 0  | 0  | 20                  |
| 4            | 0  | 0  | 0  | 19                  |
| 5            | 0  | 1  | 1  | 17                  |
| 6            | 0  | 1  | -1 | 14                  |
| 7            | 0  | 0  | 0  | 20                  |
Table 3. Analysis of variance of the regression equation

| Source | Sum of squares | df | Mean squares | F-value | p-value | Significance |
|--------|----------------|----|--------------|---------|---------|--------------|
| Model  | 92.21          | 9  | 10.25        | 20.20   | 0.0003  | **           |
| A      | 1.13           | 1  | 1.13         | 2.22    | 0.1800  |              |
| B      | 3.12           | 1  | 3.12         | 6.16    | 0.0421  | *            |
| C      | 8.00           | 1  | 8.00         | 15.77   | 0.0054  | *            |
| AB     | 1.00           | 1  | 1.00         | 1.97    | 0.2030  |              |
| AC     | 0.25           | 1  | 0.25         | 0.49    | 0.5053  |              |
| BC     | 0.25           | 1  | 0.25         | 0.49    | 0.5053  |              |
| A²     | 28.46          | 1  | 28.46        | 56.12   | 0.0001  | **           |
| B²     | 18.57          | 1  | 18.57        | 36.61   | 0.0005  | **           |
| C²     | 23.25          | 1  | 23.25        | 45.85   | 0.0003  | **           |
| Residuals | 3.55      | 7  | 0.51         |         |         |              |
| Lack of fit error | 0.75 | 3  | 0.25         | 0.12    | 0.7880  |              |
| Pure error | 2.80   | 4  | 0.70         |         |         |              |
| Total  | 95.76         | 16 |             |         |         |              |

Note: **, p < 0.01, highly significant difference; *, p < 0.05, significant difference.

3.2. Response surface curve analysis

Figure 1 shows the contour and response surface of the influence of the interaction between tea polyphenols and chitosan on the avocado storage duration. When the concentration of citric acid is held constant, the response surface of the influence of tea polyphenols and chitosan on the avocado storage duration exhibits a parabolic shape, first increasing and then...
decreasing. As the slope of the response surface increases, the contour line exhibits an elliptical shape. This indicates that the interaction between tea polyphenols and chitosan has a strong influence on the avocado storage duration. The contours of chitosan are dense, whereas those of tea polyphenols are sparse, indicating that chitosan has a stronger influence on the avocado’s storage duration than tea polyphenols when the two factors interact.

**Figure 1.** Contour line (left) and response surface (right) of the effect of the changes in the tea polyphenol and chitosan contents on the storage duration of avocado.

Figure 2 shows the contour and response surface of the influence of the interaction between citric acid and chitosan on the avocado’s storage duration. When the concentration of tea polyphenols is held constant, the response surface of the influence of citric acid and chitosan on the avocado storage duration exhibits a parabolic shape. As the slope of the response surface increases, the contour line exhibits an elliptical shape. This indicates that the interaction between the two factors has a strong influence on the avocado storage duration. The contours of citric acid and chitosan are sparse, indicating that the influence of the single factors on the avocado storage duration is weak.

**Figure 2.** Contour line (left) and response surface (right) of the effect of the changes in the citric acid and chitosan contents on the storage duration of avocado.

Figure 3 shows the contour and response surface of the influence of the interaction between citric acid and tea polyphenols on the avocado storage duration. When the concentration of chitosan is held constant, the response surface of the influence of citric acid and tea polyphenols on the avocado storage duration exhibits a parabolic shape. As the slope of the response surface increases, the contour line exhibits an elliptical shape. This indicates that the interaction between the
two factors has a strong influence on the avocado storage duration. The contours of tea polyphenols are dense, whereas those of citric acid are sparse, indicating that tea polyphenols have a stronger influence on the avocado storage duration than citric acid.

Figure 3. Contour line (left) and response surface (right) of the effect of the changes in the citric acid and tea polyphenol contents on the storage duration of avocado

Results of tea polyphenol, chitosan and citric acid composite optimisation
The optimisation results A = 1.0, B = 2.0, and C = 2.0 were predicted using software analysis, and the value Y = 19.2 was obtained. The analysis showed that when the concentration values of tea polyphenols, chitosan, and citric acid were 1.0%, 2.0%, and 2.0%, respectively, the storage duration of avocado was 19.2 days, and the storage and preservation effect was optimal. To validate the reliability of the prediction results, a validation test was performed to analyse the changes in avocado quality during storage.

Validation test of composite optimisation
The untreated avocados and avocados treated with 1.0% tea polyphenols, 2.0% chitosan, and 2.0% citric acid composite solution were placed at room temperature, and their quality indexes were determined after 10 days in control storage conditions and 19 days after a composite solution treatment. A comprehensive comparison was performed to validate the changes in avocado quality during storage after treatment with the composite preservative.

weight loss
Figure 4A shows the changes in the weight loss rate of the avocados. The weight loss rate gradually increased with the increasing storage duration. The water loss of the avocados in the control group increased and decreased rapidly in the first 10 days. The treatment with the composition preservative solution delayed water loss to some extent and inhibited the increase in the water loss rate. Up to day 19, the weight loss rate in the treatment group was significantly lower than that in the control group.
Figure 4. Effect of the composite solution on the avocado weight loss rate(A), hardness(B), soluble solids(C), soluble protein(D), vitamin C(E) and browning index(F); PLA: poly(lactic acid).

CK: avocados untreated; CP: avocados treated with 1.0% tea polyphenols, 2.0% chitosan, and 2.0% citric acid composite solution.

**Hardness**

The hardness of the avocados decreased with an increasing storage duration (Figure 4B). The hardness of the avocados was initially measured at 10 kg/cm², but the treatment with the composite preservative solution significantly inhibited the decrease in hardness. At 10 days of storage, the hardness of the control group avocados was 2.5 kg/cm². At 19 days of storage, whereas the hardness of the treatment group avocados was 3.5 kg/cm², the hardness remained at a high level.

**Soluble Solids**

Figure 4C shows that the soluble solid content of the avocados in the control group increased continuously. At 10 days of storage, the maximum content of soluble solids was 7.22%. After treatment with the composite preservative solution, the soluble solid content increased slowly over the first 10 days, rapidly increased to a peak at 7.24% on day 15, and then remained unchanged between days 15 and 19.

**Soluble Protein**

Figure 4D shows that the soluble protein content of the control group increased rapidly during storage, reaching 5.72 mg/g on day 10. The treatment with the composite preservative solution delayed the change in the soluble protein content. At day 15 of storage, the soluble protein content in the treatment group was similar to the maximum content observed in the control group.
group. On day 19, the soluble protein content increased to 6.21 mg/g, indicating that the composite preservative solution controlled the rapid soluble protein content increase.

**Vitamin C**

Figure 4E shows that the VC content of the avocados during the storage period gradually increased as the avocados matured. After the control treatment, the VC content increased rapidly to a peak of 37.26 mg/100 g on day 8. After treatment with the composite preservative solution, the VC content increased significantly more slowly, indicating that the tea polyphenol, chitosan and citric acid composite solution could delay the time at which the VC content reaches a maximum, thereby maintaining the quality of the avocados.

**Browning Index**

Figure 4F shows the changes in the browning index of the avocados. During the storage period, there was no significant browning in the control or the treatment group avocados in the first 5 days. Subsequently, the browning index of the avocados in the control group increased continuously, reaching 21.28%. After the treatment with the composite preservative solution, the browning of the avocados was significantly controlled. At day 19 of storage, the browning index was only 2.85%. Figure 5A shows that the skin of the avocados was bright green and smooth in the early stages of storage. By day 10, the colour changed to yellowish-green, and folds appeared on the avocado skin, accompanied by brown spots (Figure 5B). After treatment with the composite solution for 19 days, the colour of the skin changed not obvious, but a small number of wrinkles appeared due to water loss (Figure 5C) and the pulp remained fresh after peeling (Figure 5D).

**DISCUSSION**

Avocado is a respiration climacteric fruit with strong post-harvest metabolism, a short after-ripening period, and a short preservation period. It matures and softens within 5–7 days at room temperature, and is susceptible to microbial infections that cause anthracnose, brown rot, stalk rot, and other diseases. The skin colour of avocados rapidly changes to brown, the pulp decays, the quality and flavour deteriorate rapidly and they lose commercial value, which cause severe economic losses (Wang et al., 2010; Cao et al., 2013). Currently, the avocado preservation technologies used in China are not advanced, which severely limits the development of the avocado industry (Bao, 2017). Avocado preservation methods are broadly divided into two categories: chemical and physical preservation. Chemical preservation primarily uses fungicides to prevent fruit rot and delay ripening. Physical methods primarily include heat treatment, low temperature storage, low-pressure storage, and modified atmosphere packaging. The disadvantages of physical preservation include the high cost and poor
efficacy; for chemical preservation, the selection of a suitable preservative is essential. Currently, many studies on produce storage and preservation techniques have been conducted, but relatively few have focused on avocado. Wei et al. studied the inhibitory effects of various fungicides on ulcers and anthracnose in avocado, and the results showed that 45% prochloraz and 10% difenoconazole are effective in inhibiting anthracnose and ulcers, respectively (Wei, 2015; Wei, 2014). A study by Huang et al. found that SporGon at a low concentration could delay the post-harvest decay of Guiken III and Hass avocados (Huang et al., 2010). Treating avocado fruit with a wax containing 0.4% thiabendazole and 0.1% benomyl reduced the incidence of post-harvest diseases and extended the shelf life by 2–3 days (Sisler et al., 1996). A study by Zhong et al. on avocado fresh-keeping duration after a 1-MCP treatment and a chitosan coating showed that 1-MCP delayed ripening (Zhong and Xia, 2006). A study by Luo et al. on the effects of different concentrations of tea polyphenols on the post-harvest avocado storage and preservation showed that the treatment with 2.0% tea polyphenols controlled the changes in avocado quality and physiology, thereby prolonging its storage duration. Bao et al. treated avocados with different concentrations of bergamottin and found that a concentration of 5 mL/L showed the best preservative effect of inhibiting the storage damage and prolonging the shelf life (Bao et al., 2017). To date, no studies on the effect of tea polyphenols, chitosan, and citric acid on the avocado storage and preservation have been conducted. Thus, in this study, the concentrations of these preservatives were optimised using response surface methodology to obtain the optimal combination of concentrations for the most effective storage and preservation. In addition, validation experiments were conducted to provide a better basis for improving avocado storage and preservation.

Response surface methodology establishes a continuous variable surface model by optimising the experimental conditions, evaluating the influencing factors and their interactions, analysing the optimal level range of each factor, optimising and evaluating their interacting effects, and determining the statistical method with the optimal conditions in the multi-factor system, to produce a more intuitive and accurate result (Xie et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2021). In the present study, a regression model with the avocado storage duration as the response value was established using a response surface methodology. The model achieved a very high level of statistical significance, with a good fit (96.29%) between the model and the equation. The optimisation results indicated that the avocado storage duration was 19.2 days when chitosan, tea polyphenols and citric acid were combined at concentration values of 1%, 2%, and 2%, respectively. The comprehensive comparison results showed that the level of influence of each factor on the avocado storage duration in decreasing order is: citric acid > tea polyphenols > chitosan. The composite solution of 1% chitosan, 2% tea polyphenols and 2% citric acid effectively prolonged the avocado storage duration. The validation test results showed that a composite solution of 1.0% tea polyphenols, 2.0% chitosan, and 2.0% citric acid significantly extended the storage duration of the avocados and controlled the deterioration of their quality. Compared with the control group at 10 days of storage, the treatment group at 19 days of storage, which was treated using the composite solution, showed a slower decrease in the weight loss rate, and the hardness and the soluble solid, soluble protein, and VC contents did not decrease, indicating that the original quality was maintained. Moreover, the browning index was lower than 5.0%, thus the reliability of response surface optimization results is verified.

CONCLUSION

The central composite design method of design expert software was used, with a (tea polyphenols), B (chitosan), C (citric acid) as independent variables and Y (storage time) as dependent variables. The results showed that the order of the factors affecting the storage period of avocado was citric acid > tea polyphenols > chitosan. It was predicted that the best
concentration combination for the preservation of avocado was the mixture of 1% chitosan, 2% tea polyphenols and 2% citric acid, and the storage time of avocado was 19.2 days.

In order to verify the reliability of the prediction results, the quality changes of avocado during storage were tested. The hardness, soluble solids, soluble protein and Vc content of avocado were still higher after 19 days treatment with 1.0% tea polyphenols, 2.0% Chitosan and 2.0% citric acid. The weight loss rate decreased slightly and the browning index increased slowly. These results were similar to the predicted ones, further confirming the reliability of the response surface methodology optimisation results. Thus, the composite solution of 1% chitosan, 2% tea polyphenols, and 2% citric acid effectively prolonged the post-harvest storage duration of avocados.
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