Prognostic Value of CEA, CA19-9, CA125, CA724, and CA242 in Serum and Ascites in Pseudomyxoma Peritonei

Lei Liang¹, Jingyang Fang¹, Xuedi Han¹, Xichao Zhai², Yan Song³, Yiyan Lu⁴, Qian Zhang⁵* and Ruiqing Ma²*

¹Department of Ultrasound, Aerospace Center Hospital, Beijing, China, ²Department of Myxoma, Aerospace Center Hospital, Beijing, China, ³Department of Clinical Laboratory, Aerospace Center Hospital, Beijing, China, ⁴Department of Pathology, Aerospace Center Hospital, Beijing, China, ⁵Department of Gastroenterology, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

Objective: To investigate the expression of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), cancer antigen 125 (CA125), CA19-9, CA724, and CA242 in serum and ascites of pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) patients and evaluate the predictive value of these elevated biomarkers in pathological grade, completeness of cytoreduction (CC), and survival.

Methods: From May 2009 to October 2019, a total of 512 patients diagnosed with PMP through pathology in Aerospace Center Hospital were enrolled. The serum and ascites tumor biomarkers were obtained. The diagnostic values between serum and ascites biomarkers in pathology and CC were compared by the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. The correlation between pathology, cytoreduction, and biomarkers was calculated by univariate and multivariate logistic regression. The associations between different numbers of elevated biomarkers and survival status were examined using univariate and multivariate backward Cox proportional hazard regression models.

Results: The results showed that the areas under the ROC curves (AUROC) in the diagnosis of CC were 0.798 (95% CI: 0.760–0.836) and 0.632 (95% CI: 0.588–0.676) in serum and ascites biomarkers, respectively. The elevated serum and ascites biomarkers were independent risk factors for both pathology and CC. The 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year survival rates were 89.07%, 73.22%, and 66.94%, respectively. Longer survival was observed in patients who had less than two elevated serum biomarkers compared with those with 2–3 and 4–5 elevated serum biomarkers (p < 0.001).

Conclusion: CEA, CA125, CA19-9, CA724, and CA242 in serum and ascites can be used to judge the severity and predict the resectability. Furthermore, different numbers of elevated biomarkers can help determine the prognosis of PMP.
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INTRODUCTION

Pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) is a syndrome characterized by mucinous effusion and mucoprotein tumor that diffusely implant in the abdominal cavity and peritoneal surface. The primary source is most often the appendix, but the source may also be colorectal, pancreatic, ovarian, or urachal. Most patients die of intestinal obstruction, perforation, malnutrition, etc., unless they undergo radical surgery (1, 2). Dr. Paul H. Sugarbaker’s cytoreductive surgery (CRS) combined with hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC) is associated with improved survival and is considered the current standard of treatment (3, 4). However, problems associated with incidence rate, mortality rate, and high cost make it particularly important to select patients for combined treatment (5).

The application of serum biomarkers carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), cancer antigen 125 (CA125), CA19-9, CA724, and CA242 in colorectal, ovarian, and pancreatic cancer has been widely reported in the literature and used in the clinic (6–8). Some studies have reported the application of serum biomarkers in PMP, which play an auxiliary role in the diagnosis and prognosis of PMP. However, there are no relevant reports about ascites biomarkers in PMP (9–12). It has been reported that the immune response of biomarkers such as CEA also exists in mucus, suggesting that this kind of cell membrane glycoprotein may fall off from the surface of PMP cell membrane into mucinous ascites (13).

This study aims to investigate the expression of CEA, CA125, CA19-9, CA724, and CA242 both in serum and ascites of PMP to evaluate the correlation between abnormal expression of these biomarkers and pathological grade, completeness of cytoreduction (CC), and survival prognosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

A prospective study was designed to find the diagnostic performance of serum and ascites biomarkers. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) patients were treated with CRS in Aerospace Center Hospital from May 2009 to October 2019. 2) Patients were diagnosed as having PMP by surgery and pathology. 3) Ascites and serum biomarkers were routinely collected. Patients without pathological results and serum or ascites biomarkers and those who were lost to follow-up were excluded. Eleven patients without ascites were excluded because of lack of ascites, and 94 patients whose ascites cannot be obtained were excluded due to the limitation of thick mucus jelly in the peritoneal cavity. Finally, 512 patients were enrolled in the study. The study was approved by the Aerospace Center Hospital Ethics Committee with approval no. 2009QN10. All the enrolled patients signed the informed consent.

Study Procedure and Follow-Up

Patients were hospitalized with suspected PMP diagnosis by ultrasound (US) and computed tomography (CT) in our hospital and underwent core needle biopsy or local appendectomy in other hospitals. Biomarkers in serum and ascites were examined within 2 weeks before operation. The patients received CRS combined with HIPEC. After discharge, they received biomarkers and imaging reexamination once a half year, and those who failed to come to the hospital for reexamination were followed up by telephone. The enrolled patients were followed up to February 1, 2020.

Laboratory Tests

Here, 2–3 ml fasting venous blood and ascites were collected from all the patients, 3,000 R/min, centrifuged for 10 min, and the serum and ascites were stored at -20°C. CEA, CA125, CA19-9, CA724, and CA242 were stored in serum and ascites of all subjects were determined by chemiluminescence method, and the automatic chemiluminescence immunity was performed by Abbott company ARCHITECT. The reagent, quantitative standard, and quality control materials used in the test are provided by the original manufacturer and operated in strict accordance with the instructions.

The critical values of biomarkers used were all referred to the range given by the manufacturer, that is, CEA >5 ng/ml, CA125 >35 U/ml, CA19-9 >30 U/ml, CA724 >12 U/ml, CA242 >20 U/ml.

Verification of Completeness of Cytoreduction

The CC score is a score based on tumor distribution after CRS, which is considered an important prognostic indicator for patients with appendiceal mucinous tumors. No tumor or residual tumor less than 2.5 mm was scored CC 0–1, which was considered a complete CRS. The residual tumor larger than 2.5 mm was scored CC 2–3, which was considered the largest tumor removal operation (8, 9).

Verification of Pathology

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) 2019 digestive system tumor classification standard, the patients were divided into high-grade and low-grade (14).

Sample Size

It was calculated that at least 72 patients with 0–1 elevated serum biomarkers and 168 patients with 4–5 elevated serum biomarkers per group would be required with alpha of 0.05, beta of 0.2, and survival rates of 80% and 60%, respectively. In this cohort study, the sample size was sufficient.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were described using median [interquartile range (IQR)]. Categorical variables were described using frequency (percentage). The serum and ascites tumor biomarkers were described in both continuous and categorical
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variables. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were used to show the differences between the number of elevated biomarkers. The optimal cutoff values were calculated with the criteria of Youden’s index. Sensitivities and specificities with 95% CI were also calculated with the optimal cutoff values. The relationship between pathology, CC, and biomarkers were calculated by univariate and multivariate logistic regression. The survival curves were plotted using the Kaplan–Meier method, and log-rank test was used for statistical analysis. The associations between biomarkers and survival status were examined using univariate and multivariate backward Cox proportional hazard regression models. All statistical tests were two-sided using a 0.05 significance level. The data analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4. The ROC curves and survival curves were drawn in MedCalc Statistical Software version 19.0.4 and GraphPad Prism version 5.0, respectively.

**RESULTS**

**Demographic Characteristics**

In total, 512 patients were included in the final data analysis. The median age was 58 (IQR: 49–64.5) years old (Table 1). Of the study participants, 62.70% were female and 37.30% were male. Among the enrolled patients, 74.80% and 44.53% of them showed more than one abnormal level of serum and ascites biomarkers, respectively. Patients with surgical peritoneal cancer index (PCI) more than 20 accounted for 65.23% in total. The patients with CC scores of 0–1 accounted for 49.41%. There were

| TABLE 1 | The demographic characteristics of the enrolled PMP patients. |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Age, years       | Median (Q1–Q3/N (%))                                  |
| Gender           | Male 191 (37.30)                                     |
|                  | Female 321 (62.70)                                   |
| Serum CA125, U/ml| ≤35 229 (44.73)                                      |
|                  | >35 283 (55.27)                                      |
| Serum CEA, ng/ml | ≤5 160 (31.25)                                      |
|                  | >5 352 (68.75)                                      |
| Serum CA19-9, U/ml| ≤30 268 (52.34)                                     |
|                  | >30 244 (47.66)                                      |
| Serum CA724      | ≤12 170 (33.20)                                      |
|                  | >12 343 (66.80)                                      |
| Serum CA242      | ≤20 227 (44.34)                                      |
|                  | >20 285 (55.66)                                      |
| Abnormal number of serum biomarkers | 0–1 129 (25.20)                                      |
|                  | 2–3 132 (25.78)                                      |
|                  | 4–5 251 (49.02)                                      |
| Ascites CA125, U/ml| ≤35 281 (54.88)                                     |
|                  | >35 231 (45.12)                                      |
| Ascites CEA, ng/ml| ≤5 296 (57.81)                                      |
|                  | >5 216 (42.19)                                      |
| Ascites CA19-9, U/ml| ≤30 313 (61.13)                                     |
|                  | >30 199 (38.87)                                      |
| Ascites CA724    | ≤12 315 (61.52)                                      |
|                  | >12 197 (38.48)                                      |
| Ascites CA242    | ≤20 339 (66.21)                                      |
|                  | >20 173 (33.79)                                      |
| Abnormal number of ascites biomarkers | 0–1 284 (55.47)                                      |
|                  | 2–3 47 (9.18)                                       |
|                  | 4–5 181 (35.35)                                      |
| PCI              | PCI < 10 110 (21.48)                                 |
|                  | 10 ≤ PCI ≤ 20 68 (13.28)                            |
|                  | PCI > 20 334 (65.23)                                |
| CC scores        | CC 0–1 253 (49.41)                                  |
|                  | CC 2–3 259 (50.59)                                  |
| HIPEC            | Yes 442 (86.33)                                     |
|                  | No 70 (13.67)                                       |
| Origin           | Appendix 486 (94.92)                                |
|                  | Others 26 (5.08)                                    |
| Pathology        | Low-grade 353 (68.95)                               |
|                  | High-grade 159 (31.05)                              |

CA, cancer antigen; CC, completeness of cytoreduction; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; HIPEC, hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy; PCI, peritoneal cancer index; PMP, pseudomyxoma peritonei.
86.33% of the patients who received HIPEC treatment. About 95% of the tumor originally located in appendix. The pathology grades were 68.95% with low-grade and 31.05% with high-grade.

**The Performance of Serum and Ascites Tumor Biomarkers in the Diagnosis of Pathology Level and Completeness of Cytoreduction**

As shown in Figure 1 and Table 2, the areas under the ROC curves (AUROCs) in the diagnosis of pathology were 0.604 (95% CI: 0.564–0.654) and 0.587 (95% CI: 0.537–0.636) in serum and ascites biomarkers, respectively. There were no significant differences in the diagnosis of pathology between serum biomarkers and ascites biomarkers (p = 0.594). The sensitivities with optimal cutoff values were 77.4% and 58.5% in serum and ascites biomarkers, respectively. The specificities were 41.1% and 61.8%, respectively.

The diagnostic performance of serum biomarkers for CC was better than that of ascites biomarkers (Figure 1). The AUROCs in the diagnosis of CC were 0.798 (0.760–0.836) and 0.632 (0.588–0.676) in serum and ascites biomarkers, respectively. In Table 2, the serum biomarkers were better than ascites biomarkers in the diagnosis for CC (p < 0.001). The sensitivities with optimal cutoff values were 88.0% and 49.0% in serum and ascites biomarkers, respectively. The specificities were 59.3% and 78.7%, respectively.

**The Univariate and Multivariate Logistic Regression of Biomarkers for Pathology and Completeness of Cytoreduction**

In Table 3, the logistic regression showed that age was not correlated with pathology and CC. Male was an independent risk factor of a higher CC score (p < 0.05) but not correlated with pathology (p = 0.513 in univariate regression and p = 0.423 and p = 0.399 in multivariate regression). The elevated abnormal biomarkers of serum and ascites were independent risk factors for both pathology and CC. The results showed that the more elevated biomarkers in serum and ascites, the higher grade of pathology and higher scores of CC.

**The Survival in PMP Patients of Different Elevated Biomarkers in Serum and Ascites**

The 1-, 3-, and 5-year survival rates were 89.07%, 73.22%, and 66.94% in 512 patients, respectively. As shown in Figure 2A, the patients with less than two elevated serum biomarkers had a longer survival compared with patients with 2–3 and 4–5 elevated serum biomarkers (p < 0.001). The similar trends were shown in different numbers of elevated ascites biomarkers in Figure 2B (p < 0.001).

The univariate and multivariate Cox regression model showed that the higher level of elevated serum and ascites biomarkers, the irregular treatment of HIPEC, the high grade of pathology and PCI were independently associated with shorter survival time (Table 4). The elevated serum and ascites biomarkers showed a hazard ratio (HR) of 1.189 (1.024–1.379) and 1.114 (1.026–1.209), respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

**Diagnostic Value of Preoperative Biomarkers for Pseudomyxoma Peritonei Patients**

PMP develops from mucinous carcinomas that originate from appendix and/or ovary. The treatment consensus of CRS combined with HIPEC has been reached and become the first-line treatment of PMP (15).

Due to the complexity of CRS, accurate preoperative evaluation is quite important to determine the indications and surgical procedures. As a convenient tool, CEA, CA125, CA19-9,
CA724, and CA242 are commonly used to monitor tumor progression or remission. In the previous literature, preoperative serum CEA, CA19-9, and CA125 concentrations were found closely related to the survival time of PMP patients, and the higher the serum biomarker concentrations were when the disease relapsed, the shorter the survival time would be after secondary tumor reduction surgery and HIPEC (10, 16, 17). However, there are different views on which biomarker to choose as the survival prediction factor of PMP in the previous literature. A few patients had false-negative serum biomarkers but suffered from persistent or recurrent diseases. We speculate that a single biomarker cannot reach an accurate prediction in PMP patients. Pathological classification and surgical resectability are also critical to the prognosis of PMP, but it has not been reported in the previous literature. This study was not aimed to study which one was the most valuable biomarker but to evaluate the relationship between the number of abnormalities and the pathological grade, CC, and survival condition of PMP from another aspect.

### Diagnostic Value of Biomarkers in Pathology

In our study, we tried to identify the pathological grade of PMP by abnormal biomarkers, which is an innovation compared with the previous studies. The results showed that the elevated abnormal biomarkers of serum and ascites were independent risk factors for pathology, the higher level of elevated biomarkers in serum and ascites, and the higher grade of pathology. In terms of cell heterogeneity in pathology, PMP was classified into high- and low-grade according to the WHO 2019 digestive system tumor classification standard (14). Compared with low-grade PMP, the more cells and even signet ring cells were observed in high-grade PMP, which means more biomarker secretion. However, cell heteroplasia does not directly affect the secretion of biomarkers. Mesothelial cells also secrete biomarkers after peritoneal stimulation. The level of biomarkers produced in this pathway is related to the area of the stimulated peritoneum.

### Diagnostic Value of Biomarkers in Deciding the Feasibility of Complete Cytoreduction

Recent studies (18, 19) indicated that preoperative imaging techniques such as CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can predict the unresectability of PMP. The results showed that the elevated abnormal biomarkers of serum and ascites were independent risk factors for CC, the higher level of elevated biomarkers in serum and ascites, and the higher grade of CC. And the diagnostic performance of serum biomarkers for CC was better than that of ascites biomarkers.

Some biomarkers play an important role in leukocyte adhesion and extravasation (10, 20). They can promote blood-borne metastasis by mediating the adhesion between cancer cells and vascular endothelium. However, hematogenous metastasis is very rare in PMP. Therefore, we speculate that biomarkers may promote the adhesion of tumor cells to the small vessels of the peritoneum, resulting in peritoneal deposition and dissemination. It may lead to more organ involvement that the difficulty of complete surgical resection increased.

It is worth mentioning that, from this study, male was an independent risk factor of a higher CC score. In fact, the effect of gender on the overall survival (OS) of PMP patients remains to be determined. Many scholars believe that gender has no effect
on prognosis, yet others think male is an independent risk factor of OS (21–25). Based on the treatment experience of our center, we do not think gender influences the prognosis of PMP but does influence the CC score. This is a novel observation; women tend to experience discomfort at an earlier stage than men due to extensive ovarian involvement, resulting in a shorter duration. In contrast, males are often diagnosed at an advanced stage due to the non-specific symptoms (26). PCI in males was higher than that in females in some special anatomical sites, such as the hepatoduodenal ligament and small intestine, which reduced the possibility of radical resection. Perhaps this observation is limited to our single center. It is indeed necessary for us to analyze the correlation between PCI in different zones and OS in a further multicenter study.

Diagnostic Value of Biomarkers in Survival Rates

In our study, the patients with less than two elevated serum biomarkers had longer survival rates compared with patients with 2–3 and 4–5 elevated serum biomarkers. The similar trends were shown in ascites biomarkers.

Biomarkers such as CEA and CA19-9 can inhibit the differentiation of cells and promote the formation of cell adhesion, thus inhibiting apoptosis after cell abscission and enhancing metastasis ability (27–29). Some biomarkers such as CA125 are involved in the process of peritoneal metastasis by mediating the adhesion of peritoneal free tumor cells to the surface of peritoneal mesothelial cells (30–35). The elevated levels of these biomarkers are more likely to indicate peritoneal metastasis or ascites. Some biomarkers play an important role in leukocyte adhesion and extravasation. They can promote blood-borne metastasis by mediating the adhesion between cancer cells and vascular endothelium (10). Previous studies have also reported that biomarkers CEA, CA125, and CA19-9 are independent predictors of PMP survival, which was consistent with the results of our study (10, 36–38).

In the present study, high-grade pathology, high surgical PCI, and no HIPEC were also identified as independent predictors for poorer survival rates in multivariate analysis, which were consistent with the reports (39).

Diagnostic Value of Biomarkers in Ascites for Pseudomyxoma Peritonei Patients

It has been confirmed that PMP is rarely transferred through blood; peritoneal dissemination and implantation are the main mechanisms of metastasis instead. It is the first time to study the correlation between these ascites biomarkers and pathological grade, CC, and prognosis of PMP. Nummela et al. (14) found that CEA, the glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored glycoprotein, was probably shed from the surface of PMP cells

### TABLE 4 | The univariate and multivariate Cox regression model of biomarkers and survival.

| Cox regression model for death | Univariate regression | Multivariate regression with serum biomarkers | Multivariate regression with ascites biomarkers |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                               | HR (95% CI) | p-value | HR (95% CI) | p-value | HR (95% CI) | p-value |
| Age, years                    | 1.008 (0.993–1.023) | 0.313 | 1.189 (1.024–1.379) | 0.023 | 1.114 (1.026–1.209) | 0.010 |
| Gender, Male vs. Female       | 1.128 (0.792–1.606) | 0.503 | 1.025 (1.003–1.048) | 0.026 | 1.031 (1.011–1.051) | 0.002 |
| Serum biomarkers elevated     | 1.383 (1.222–1.566) | <0.001 | – | – | – |
| Ascites biomarkers elevated   | 1.164 (1.078–1.257) | <0.001 | 2.842 (1.900–4.250) | <0.001 | 3.132 (2.068–4.745) | <0.001 |
| Surgical PCI                  | 1.051 (1.031–1.071) | <0.001 | – | – | – |
| CC scores, 2–3 vs. 0–1        | 2.582 (1.753–3.802) | <0.001 | 1.974 (1.035–3.767) | 0.039 | – |
| HIPEC, no vs. yes             | 3.817 (2.612–5.576) | <0.001 | – | – | – |
| Origin, others vs. appendix   | 1.974 (1.035–3.767) | 0.039 | – | – | – |
| Pathology, high grade vs. low grade | 2.985 (2.105–4.234) | <0.001 | 2.686 (1.882–3.833) | <0.001 | 2.675 (1.875–3.817) | <0.001 |

FIGURE 2 | The survival of the pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) patients with different numbers of elevated biomarkers (A), serum biomarkers; (B), ascites biomarkers.
into the mucinous ascites. Whether biomarkers in ascites have the same tendency as serum biomarkers or not was verified. Compared with serum biomarkers, ascites biomarkers showed the same tendency to predict pathological grade and survival outcomes, while a higher correlation with CC (P < 0.001). It is innovative compared with the previous studies.

Limitations
The study was based on a prospective analysis of over 500 PMP patients from a single center database, potentially generating selection bias. Larger sample studies from multiple centers need to be included in future work.

CONCLUSION
CEA, CA125, CA19-9, CA724, and CA242 in serum and ascites can be used to judge the severity, predict the resectability, and determine the prognosis of PMP, which will help oncologists to make a more comprehensive prediction and clinical treatment strategy before surgery.
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