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Abstract: This paper is an investigation on different theories evolved for noise reduction due to serrated trailing edge of an airfoil. The major objective is to explain the most relevant theory for involved in airfoil trailing edge noise reduction. This paper present the result of comparison and affiliation between Howe’s theory, Oerleman’s theory, Gruber noise reduction theory at different Reynolds number. Limitation discovered comparing these theories for transforming flat plate aerofoil to serrated trailing edge aerofoil can be overcome by novel surface treatment and also with different non-flat design comprised of poro serrated material.

INTRODUCTION

The noise produced by the airfoil is due to the interaction of flow disturbance with the trailing edge of airfoil self-noise mechanism. In term of airfoil self-noise reduction by passive flow control, one of the most commonly used method is inspired by the Owl. Trailing edge noise level can be reduce by modifying:-

- The trailing edge geometry so that flow disturbance are scattered into sound with reduced efficiency
- Reduced the correlation length of turbulence near the trailing edge.

Trailing edge noise model for serrated wing is mainly obtained Howe’s theory. According to Howe’s theory trailing edge noise can be significantly reduced with the addition of trailing edge serrations due to a reduction in the effective spanwise length of the trailing edge that contributes to noise generation. In deriving the serration noise reduction model, Howe made a number of assumptions and approximations such as that the surface pressure frequency spectrum close to the trailing edge is unchanged by the presence of trailing edge serrations. Therefore Howe’s theory states that the magnitude of this noise reduction is dependent on the height and geometrical wavelength of the serrations and on the frequency of sound. The sound generated by large eddies whose length scales are greater than the amplitude of the serrations (low frequency sound) is unaffected by the presence of the serrations and hence significant noise reductions are only expected in the high frequency region.

Gruber sawtooth geometry explains the interaction between the vertical structure and the local turbulent boundary layer result in redistribution of momentum and turbulent shear stress near the sawtooth edges affecting its efficiency of self-noise radiation. For Gluber noise reduction can be achieved by fulfilling two conditions:

- The serration length is of same order as the turbulent boundary layer thickness near the trailing edge
- The serration angle, giving the appearance of a sharp sawtooth.

| S.No | MODEL (DESCRIPTION) | EXPERIMENTATION | RESULT |
|------|---------------------|-----------------|--------|
| 1.   | NACA 64418 airfoil  a steel body and a detachable trailing edge plate made from brushed aluminum Cambered profile 0.16m & 0.45m span | Oerlemans investigation At high Reynolds numbers (Rec ≈ 1.6 × 10^6), optimizing the airfoil shape for low noise emission and adding trailing edge serrations. | Decrease in noise levels by ~ 3 dB at frequencies below 1 kHz and increase the noise levels above this frequency without any adverse effect. Therefore, an average reduction of ~ 6 dB in the radiated noise levels |
| 2.   | NACA 651-210 airfoil with serrated trailing edge | Gruber noise reduction examination. At Reynolds numbers 2.0×10^5 < Rec < 8.3×10^5 | Noise reductions of up to 7 dB were achieved at low frequencies (< 2 kHz) and an increase in noise level was observed at high frequencies. |

From Azarteyvand model theory it is found that the physical mechanism of sound reduction by using serrations is the destructive interference effect due to the out-of-phased scattered pressure in the vicinity of the trailing-edge. Two geometrical parameters are found critical in determining the sound reduction. First, the root-to-tip length needs to satisfy k1h 1 to ensure sufficient phase differences are induced along the edge. Second, the value of k1h 1 is required to ensure the phase differences induced as above are “fully correlated”. For the boundary layer flow above a flat plate, this can be equivalently expressed as the slope 4h/λ of the sawtooth edges should be large enough. The sound reduction generally increases as the slope increases. But if the serrations are already sharp enough, further increasing the slope only affects high frequencies.

LITERATURE REVIEW

1) A Study of the Silent Flight of the Owl

Many species of owl, including the barn and barred owl, use both visual and bi-aural location to search for prey around dusk and at night. Their bi-aural location system has a maximum sensitivity between 3-6 kHz although the hearing of the owl has an upper limit in excess of 20 kHz. Its prey, typically voles and mice, squeak and squeal in the frequency range of 3-6 kHz and this range of frequency includes the rustling of leaves made by prey. The hearing of these prey is acute between 2-20 kHz. The owl in both gliding and flapping flight generates noise at low frequencies below 2kHz, but
is almost totally silent at frequencies above 2kHz. It was Lt.Comdr.Graham R.N.1 Who observed the owl's swing feathers differed from almost all other birds by:
(1) The addition of feathers in the form of a leading edge comb.  
(2) A fringe formed by the feathers at the trailing edge.  
(3) A velvety covering on the wing upper surface and a downy lower surface as well as thick down on its legs.

The current research on the silent flight of the owl is part of our aim towards a greater understanding of the increased noise at ground level made by aircraft in flight, other than engine noise, especially in the landing phase, when the aircraft are flying at low speeds and high incidence. It would be remarkable indeed if the noise reduction and noise suppression devices, developed by the owl during its long period of evolution, had some application towards reduction in the landing phase of current civilian transports. Nevertheless, if we ignore the technical and scientific mechanisms associated with the owl's noise suppression system we may miss one of the golden opportunities to generate the quiet transport aircraft of the future.

2) Noise reduction mechanism of a flat plate serrated trailing edge
This paper presents the results of an experimental investigation exploring the noise reduction achieved with serration geometries. The experiment is done on NACA6512 airfoil with set of more than 30 sawtooth geometries. It shows that the frequency above which the noise increased is fixed by STROUHAL number. The observations take place in open jet wind tunnel at various velocities. The observed values are compared with HOWE's theory which shows noise reduction levels predicted by Howe are much greater than the measured ones. The main parameters which are investigated in this paper include the unsteady wall pressure power spectral densities (PSD), coherence functions, and heat transfer characteristics across a full sawtooth surface. It shows that noise reductions can achieve up to 7dB over wide frequency range, whereas the noise is increased by 3dB at higher frequency. As per Howe's theory, the angle between the sawtooth serration must be less than 45 degrees to reduce the noise at the trailing edge.

3) On the mechanisms of serrated airfoil trailing edge noise reduction
This paper is concerned with the development of a theoretical model for the prediction of the sound radiated by serrated trailing edges. The broadband noise, induced by the interaction of boundary layer with the airfoil trailing-edge, known as the turbulent boundary layer trailing-edge noise, plays a significant role in the overall airframe noise. When a turbulent boundary layer convects past the trailing-edge, the unsteady pressure with a wavenumber in the hydrodynamic range is scattered into sound with the wavenumbers in the acoustic range, leading to noise radiated from trailing-edge. Both experiments and theories reveal that the radiated sound power varies with the characteristic flow velocity to the power of five, which is thus more efficient than that when the airfoil is absent at low Mach number. Howe proposed a theoretical model to predict the sound produced by the serrated trailing-edge of both the sinusoidal and sawtooth profiles. Investigated analytically the trailing-edge noise reduction using novel serrations, namely, sawtooth, sinusoidal, slitted, slitted-sawtooth and sawtooth-sinusoidal. The noise reduction performance is believed to depend on two main non-dimensional parameters, k1h and k1ly0(ω)σ. the condition that k1h >> 1, that |an| decreases as k1h increases. Therefore, for a fixed serration geometry high frequencies are preferable in terms of noise reduction. This also means that the root-to-tip length determines the effective frequency range where sound reduction can be achieved.

4) A Trailing-Edge Noise Model for Serrated Edges
This paper is concerned with the development of a theoretical model for the prediction of the sound radiated by serrated trailing-edges. The broadband noise, induced by the interaction of boundary layer with the airfoil trailing-edge, known as the turbulent boundary layer trailing-edge noise, plays a significant role in the overall airframe noise. When a turbulent boundary layer convects past the trailing-edge, the unsteady pressure with a wavenumber in the hydrodynamic range is scattered into sound with the wavenumbers in the acoustic range, leading to noise radiated from trailing-edge. Both experiments and theories reveal that the radiated sound power varies with the characteristic flow velocity to the power of five, which is thus more efficient than that when the airfoil is absent at low Mach number. Howe proposed a theoretical model to predict the sound produced by the serrated trailing-edge of both the sinusoidal and sawtooth profiles. Investigated analytically the trailing-edge noise reduction using novel serrations, namely, sawtooth, sinusoidal, slitted, slitted-sawtooth and sawtooth-sinusoidal. The noise reduction performance is believed to depend on two main non-dimensional parameters, k1h and k1ly0(ω)σ. the condition that k1h >> 1, that |an| decreases as k1h increases. Therefore, for a fixed serration geometry high frequencies are preferable in terms of noise reduction. This also means that the root-to-tip length determines the effective frequency range where sound reduction can be achieved.

5) Airfoil self-Noise reduction by non-flat plate type T.E serration
This paper aims to reduce the airfoil trailing edge self-noise by employing non-flat plate type trailing edge serrations. This configuration offers better structural strength and integrity, as well as a more straightforward manufacturing process compared to the conventional flat plate type serrations. To increase the effectiveness of the proposed serration geometry a hybrid configuration composed of a non-flat plate type trailing edge serration with woven-wire mesh screen is employed for the reduction of the narrowband vortex shedding noise experiment setup is wind tunnel which can achieve turbulence intensity as low as 0.1% and Mach number as high as 0.3, while maintaining a low background noise. The airfoil under investigation here was held by side plates and attached to the nozzle lips. In this study, airfoil self-noise...
6) **Experimental investigation of airfoil self-noise and turbulent wake reduction by the use of trailing edge serrations**

This paper shows the comparison of the measurements of the trailing edge self-noise reduction obtained using sawtooth serrations on a NACA651210 airfoil to the theoretical noise reduction predictions developed by Howe. This also focuses on the effect of the sawtooth serrated edges on steady and unsteady aerodynamics around the airfoil. The important aspect is looked carefully about the turbulence in the wake, which is of concern for airfoil turbulence interaction noise. An experimental study was conducted in the open jet wind tunnel which reveals noise reductions of up to 5 dB over a wide frequency range by the introduction of these trailing edge designs. This paper also presents the noise measurements for a range of jet speeds and sawtooth geometries. The airfoil is at 5 degree angle of attack and the boundary layer is made turbulent by tripping. In addition, measurements of the static pressure coefficient distribution along the chord of the airfoil are also reported. This is to allow the effects on lift to be observed. The drag coefficient, obtained from wake velocity measurements downstream of the airfoil, shows no significant change due to the introduction of serrations. Noise measurements are compared to the theory derived by Howe for serrated trailing edges. Large differences between the predicted and measured noise reductions are obtained. Experimental data show that irrelevant sources of noise in the high frequency range, possibly due to the generation of vorticity along the wetted edges of the trailing edge, reduces the potential noise reduction predicted by the theoretical model. Detailed hot wire measurements of the mean and turbulent flow in the boundary layer over a single sawtooth shows that serrated edges have a small effect on the development of the boundary layer in the free stream direction. Measurements of the free stream velocity in the airfoil wake at various locations downstream of the trailing edge reveal a small reduction of the turbulence in the wake for serrated edges. It also shows more the turbulent length scale in the far wake increases for the sharper sawtooth, while decreases for the large base sawtooth. This work is relevant to reducing the noise from aircraft engines, aircraft wings and wind turbines.

7) **An Investigation on the near-field turbulence and radiated sound for an airfoil with trailing edge serrations**

This study is on the mechanism of the turbulence broadband noise reduction for an airfoil with the trailing edge serrations. An open jet wind tunnel test of airfoil SD2030 with and without trailing edge serrations was compared and observed. The far field acoustics DSP and fluctuating turbulence information around airfoil was compared for both straight and serrated trailing edge. It is shown that trailing edge noise could be effectively reduced with the use of serrated trailing edge, and the magnitude and frequency range of airfoil trailing edge noise reduction are changed with the increase of main flow velocity. In the frequency range of 10 kHz, the largest noise reduction of sound pressure level is about 5 dB with the use of serrated trailing edge in the test flow speed range. The predicted results of Howe’s theoretical model are in a good agreement with the experiment results. However, Howe’s theoretical model over-predicted noise reduction levels in high frequency while lowly-predicted the noise suppression in low frequency range. Near-field turbulence measurements show that serrated trailing edge could reduce turbulence strength on some directions and on some positions, and could also increase turbulence strength on other directions and on other positions. This result indicates that noise reduction mechanism with the serrated trailing edge is very complicated.

8) **Results of wind tunnel study on the reduction of airfoil self-noise by the application of serrated blade trailing edge**

This paper deals with explanation of results with different series of airfoil to explore the noise reducing potential of serrated trailing edges experiments performed

9) **Trailing edge noise reduction using novel surface treatment**

This paper deals with control technique of trailing edge noise radiation due to large coherent turbulent structure. Novel surface treatment is used to indicate the capability of proposed trailing edge technique of noise reduction. It is designed in such a manner that it can demonstrate the flow and noise measurement values carried out at different surface. The
experiments were carried out in an open wind tunnel of Yazd University. In the present wind tunnel, the centrifugal forward blades types fan creates low broadband noise. Microphones dimension dimensions are 2.5mm in diameter, 2.5mm in height and with a circular sensing area of 0.8mm. Microphones are embedded in the flat plate under a pinhole of diameter 0.4mm. All pinholes are created by drilling the flat plate using the accurate drill machine. Due to the its thickness the pinhole can be created vertically and positioned microphones under the pinholes at the T.E. near the T.E the, microphones have been installed inside the flat plate parallel to the surface. Measurements were carried out at zero angle of attack for three different free stream velocities, $U\infty = 10, 15, \text{ and } 20 \text{ m/s}$, corresponding to chord Reynolds numbers of $Re_c = 3.87 \times 10^5, 5.8 \times 10^5, \text{ and } 7.73 \times 10^5$ respectively As the turbulent flow field around the trailing edge is the source of trailing edge noise, velocity measurements near the trailing edge of the flat plate with and without surface treatments (baseline) are studied to gain insight into the mechanism by which fences affect flow structure. Results revealed that the surface treatment can significantly reduce the surface pressure fluctuations near the trailing edge, lessen the spanwise coherence and spanwise length-scale, and reduce the convection velocity of the turbulent structures. It has also been shown that the cross-correlation between the turbulent structures within the outer region of the boundary layer and the unsteady surface pressure can be significantly reduced.

10) **Poro-Serrated Trailing-Edge Devices for Airfoil Self-Noise Reduction**

This paper represents the continuation of the works previously published in Chong et al. And used several non-flat plate serrated trailing edges for the reduction of airfoil self-noise. The overall noise performance of the non-flat plate trailing-edge serration type can be improved by the concept of poro serrated used in the current work. The bluffness-induced vortex shedding tonal noise can be suppressed by filling the gaps between the adjacent members of the saw tooth by the use of porous metal, synthetic foams, or thin brush bundles. Most important, up to 7 dB turbulent boundary layer–trailing-edge broadband noise reduction can simultaneously be achieved without compromising the aerodynamic performances in lift and drag. The poro-serrated trailing edges do not cause any noise increase throughout the frequency range investigated here. The reduction of the turbulent broadband noise is primarily caused by the serration effect, but under a condition that the saw tooth surface must be solid and nonporous. The primary role of the porous metal foams in a poro-serrated trailing edge is to suppress the vortex shedding tonal noise. However, an optimum selection of the porous material is also found to be able to further reduce the broadband noise level. The new serrated trailing-edge concept developed here has the potential to improve the industrial worthiness of the serration technology in achieving low noise radiation in fan and turbine blade.

11) **Optimization of the poro-serrated trailing edges for airfoil broadband noise reduction**

This paper deals with number of poro serrated trailing edge devices in airfoil NACA0012. Poro serrated material has a good noise absorbing capability. So here poro serrated material is used between saw tooth trailing edge. The main objective of this work is to determine whether multiple mechanism on the broadband noise reduction can co-exist on the poro serrated trailing edge. Good broadband noise reduction be achieved at high frequency but vortex shedding tone at low frequency could not be completely suppressed at high velocity when saw tooth gaps are filled with porous material of low flow resistivity. When the saw tooth gaps are filed with porous material of high flow resistivity, no vortex shedding is present but the serration effect on the broadband noise reduction becomes less effective. At optimal choice of flow resistivity for a poro serrated configuration has been identified where it can surpass the conventional serrated trailing edge of same geometry by achieving a further 1.5db reduction in the broadband noise while completely suppressing the vortex shedding tone. A weakened turbulent boundary layer noise scattering at the poro serrated trailing edge is reflected by the lower turbulence intensity at the near wake centerline across the whole span wise wavelength of the saw tooth.
DISCUSSION

This section of the paper deals with the explanation of different types of airfoil models

| S.No | MODEL (DESCRIPTION) | EXPERIMENTATION | RESULT |
|------|---------------------|-----------------|--------|
| 1.   | A steel body and a detachable trailing edge plate made from brushed aluminum with span 450 mm and a thickness of 6 mm. The trailing edge (TE) is asymmetrically bevelled at an angle of 12°. Three 0.5 mm thick trailing edge plates with a straight. | The experiment is performed in anechoic wind tunnel test chamber is 1.4 m × 1.4 m × 1.6 m (internal dimensions) and has walls that are acoustically treated with foam wedges to approximate a free environment at frequencies above 250 Hz. The facility contains a contraction outlet that is rectangular in cross-section with dimensions of 75 mm x 275 mm. Acoustic measurements were recorded at a single observer location using a B&K 1/2" microphone located 554 mm directly below the trailing edge of the reference plate. | Trailing edge serrations were found to minimize broadband noise levels at low frequencies up to 3 dB and achieve significant attenuation up to 13 dB of blunt vortex shedding noise at high frequencies without modifying the directivity of the radiated noise. The noise reduction achieved with trailing edge serrations was found to depend on Strouhal number, $Stδ = fδ/U∞$ and serration wavelength. But significant difference as explained by Grubers explanation. Where as due to interference between acoustic radiation produced at the root and the tip of the serrations. • $Stδ < 0.13$ : Region of noise attenuation (R1). • $0.13 < Stδ < 0.7$ : Region of noise increase (R2). • $0.7 < Stδ < 1.4$ : Region of attenuation in the blunt trailing edge vortex shedding noise component (R3). Therefore, found to depend on Strouhal number but significant difference in Strouhal numbers explained by Grubers explanation. From The experiment maximum attenuation is predicted to be 26 dB which criticize the theoretical noise reduction prediction of Howe’s theory. |
| 2.   | A steel body and a detachable trailing edge plate made from brushed aluminum with span 450 mm and a thickness of 6 mm. The trailing edge (TE) is asymmetrically bevelled at an angle of 12°. Three 0.5 mm thick trailing edge plates with $\lambda/h = 0.2$, narrow serration | Same as above. | |
| 3.   | A steel body and a detachable trailing edge plate made from brushed aluminum with span 450 mm and a thickness of 6 | Same as above. | • $Stδ < 0.2$: Region of noise attenuation (R1). • $0.2 < Stδ < 0.7$: Region of equivalent noise levels (R2). |
mm. The trailing edge (TE) is asymmetrically beveled at an angle of 12°. Three 0.5 mm thick trailing edge plates with λ/h = 0.6, wide serration

\[ 0.7 < S_t \delta < 1.4: \text{Region of attenuation in the blunt trailing edge vortex shedding noise component (R3)}. \]

\[ \text{Therefore, found to depend on Strouhal number but significant difference in Strouhal numbers explained by Grubers explanation.} \]

\[ \text{From The experiment maximum attenuation is predicted to be 17dB which agrees theoretical noise reduction prediction of Howe’s theory.} \]

| S.No | MODEL (DESCRIPTION) | EXPERIMENTATION | RESULT |
|------|---------------------|-----------------|--------|
| 1.   | NACA 6512-10, A steel body of 0.1m length & detachable trailing edge, Cambered profile 0.16m & 0.45m span | Done in ISVR open jet wind tunnel. Measurements of far field noise are performed using 19 B&K microphones. These microphones are placed at 45 & 135 deg. Noise is recorded for four velocities and 5 angles of attacks. | Obtained values reveals reduction of noise up to 5dB. But it shows large differences with respect to Howe's theory. Irrelevant source of noise is obtained due to vorticity at high frequency range. |
| 2.   | SD 2030 4% camber & 8% thickness, 150mm chord & 300mm span | Done in open jet wind tunnel with air supplied up to 0.3 Mach number. Linear unequal spacing of microphone array comprising of 31 microphones was placed around the airfoil to analyses the strength of noise. | The trailing edge noise was reduced, and the predicted results of Howe's theory were in good agreement with experimented values. |
| 3.   | NACA 6512-10, A steel body of 0.1m length & detachable trailing edge, Cambered profile 0.16m & 0.45m span | SAME AS IN 1ST. | SAME RESULT: It also shows that noise reductions take place up to 7dB over wide frequency range, whereas the noise is increased by 3dB at higher frequency. As per Howe's theory the angle between the saw tooth serration must be less than 45 degrees to reduce the noise at the trailing edge. |

**Technique for noise control by surface pressure**

Airfoil self-noise is produced due to the interaction of unsteady flow in the form of fluid turbulence with the surface of airfoil. There are a variety of specific noises generating components attached to the airfoil. The physical process of T.E noise was described by Roger and Moreau. For the reduction of T.E noise, many passive airfoil noise control methods have been developed as described in the given table.

| Model (T.E)* | Dimension | Effect |
|--------------|-----------|--------|
| T.E serration | Chord length(mm) 580 | Span(mm) 456 | Thickness(mm) 8 | Lead to the reduction in the effective spanwise length of the T.E. |
| T.E brushes | Chord length(mm) 580 | Span(mm) 456 | Thickness(mm) 8 | Have indicated a significant noise-reduction potential in wind tunnel tests on a Flat Plate and on a 2-D airfoil. |
| Porous T.E | Chord length(mm) 580 | Span(mm) 456 | Thickness(mm) 8 | These can also reduce the sound pressure level at low to mid frequencies. |
| Airfoil shape optimization | Chord length(mm) 580 | Span(mm) 456 | Thickness(mm) 8 | The thickness of the curve gradient can significantly affect the flow around the airfoil leading to the improvement in both the Aerodynamics and aeroacoustic performance of the airfoil. |
| T.E morphing | Chord length(mm) 580 | Span(mm) 456 | Thickness(mm) 8 | Reduce the airfoil trailing edge noise over a wide range of flow speeds and angle of attack. |
| Upstream surface treatment | | | | |

*under develop

-------- Not defined
Optimizing serrated aerofoil with the help of porous material material

| Symbols | Descriptions | Drawings |
|---------|--------------|----------|
| S0      | Baseline, straight, nonporous solid trailing edge | ![Image](image1) |
| S1      | Nonflat plate serrated trailing edge; \(2h = 20\) mm, \(\phi = 7^\circ\), \(\lambda/h = 0.49\) and \(\varepsilon = 5.7\) mm | ![Image](image2) |
| S1'     | (Poro-Serrated trailing edge) Same serration parameters as S1; sawtooth gaps filled with porous nickel-chromium foams | ![Image](image3) |
| S3      | Nonflat plate serrated trailing edge; \(2h = 20\) mm, \(\phi = 25^\circ\), \(\lambda/h = 1.87\) and \(\varepsilon = 5.7\) mm | ![Image](image4) |
| S3'     | (Poro-Serrated trailing edge) Same serration parameters as S3; sawtooth gaps filled with porous nickel-chromium foams | ![Image](image5) |
| S3''    | (Poro-Serrated trailing edge) Same serration parameters as S3; sawtooth gaps filled with Melamine foams | ![Image](image6) |
| S3''    | “Inversed” poro-serrated trailing edge Same serration parameters as S3; sawtooth – porous nickel-chromium foam, sawtooth gaps – filled with nonporous, solid surface | ![Image](image7) |
| S3''    | (Poro-Serrated trailing edge) Same serration parameters as S3; sawtooth gaps partially filled with thin layer of brushes | ![Image](image8) |
| S3''    | Same serration parameters as S3; Sawtooth gaps (interstices) remain open; Sawtooth made from porous nickel-chromium foams | ![Image](image9) |
| S3''    | Partially porous, straight trailing edge; same porous nickel-chromium foams as in S1' and S3'; \(s = 2h\) of S1, S1', S3, S3', S3'', S3''', S3''' and S3'''' | ![Image](image10) |

- Solid sawtooth
- Porous nickel-chromium sawtooth
- Brushes
- Solid gap filler
- Porous nickel-chromium gap filler
- Melamine gap filler
| S.NO | MODEL | EXPERIMENTATION | RESULT |
|------|-------|-----------------|--------|
| 1    | NACA0012 The chord length C of the airfoil is 150 mm, and the width is 450 mm. Between the leading-edge \( x/C=0 \) and \( x=0.79 \), the original NACA0012 airfoil profile is unmodified, where \( x \) is the streamwise direction. Further downstream \( 0.79<x/C<1.0 \), is a section that can be removed and replaced by a serration profile. | Solid sawtooth, solid gap filler, porous nickel chromium sawtooth, porous nickel chromium gap filler, brushes and melamine gap filler are used in this experimentation in airfoil NACA0012 to reduce the overall noise performance of the non-flat plate trailing-edge serration. | For all the trailing edge devices investigated in the study, two main groups can be formed based on the noise performance. Group A\((S3, S3^+)\) and \( S3^+ \) is characterized by solid sawtooth serration and every member within this group consistently demonstrated a significant trailing edge noise reduction. Group B \((S3-\) and \( S3^+ \)) where every member within the group use sawtooth made from porous nickel chromium foam offers no advantage on the broadband noise reduction even though it shares the same geometric parameters of serration as Group A. |
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