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1 Introduction

Since the early works on singular potentials (see e.g. [1]-[3]), an extensive literature has been developed on the subject. By singular potentials, we mean those potentials \( V(r) \) with property \( \lim_{r \to 0} r^2V(r) \to \infty \), although sometimes the inverse-square potential is also regarded as singular. The investigation of singular potentials covers a wide range of physical and mathematical interest. In view of the availability of a comprehensive review article by Frank, Land and Spector [4] on singular potentials and applications, here we will only refer to the main points of the topic.

One of the early works that generated much interest in the study of singular potentials was the one by Predazzi and Regge [5], who argued that real world interactions were likely to be highly singular and thus the study of singular potentials rather than regular potentials might be more relevant physically. This was thereafter followed by the applications of the singular potentials \( r^{-n} \) \((n > 2)\) in the study of the \((p, p)\) and \((p, \pi)\) processes in high energy physics [6, 7]. The interactions of nucleons with K-mesons and \(\alpha\)-\(\alpha\) scattering have been reproduced by repulsive singular potentials [8]. As examples from non-relativistic quantum mechanics, the problem of high-energy scattering by strongly singular potentials was investigated by many authors (see e.g. [9, 10, 11]). In molecular physics, inter-atomic or intermolecular forces are mostly represented by singular potentials whose parameters are determined phenomenologically. Examples are the Mie-type potential [12, 13] and the Lennard-Jones potential \( r^{-12} \) [14] which describe the interaction between diatomic molecules and two non-polar molecules, respectively.
In field theory, the importance of singular potentials emerged from the efforts to find effective potentials of field-theoretic interactions in the Bethe-Salpeter equations. In [15], Bastai, Bertocchi, Fubini, Furlan and Tonin discovered a remarkable relationship between the renormalizability of a field theory and the regularity of the effective potential. That is, the effective potentials for non-renormalizable field theories are singular, whereas super-renormalizable and renormalizable field theories give rise to regular and "transition" potentials, respectively. Thus, any new insight gained in the analysis of singular potentials could lead to a better understanding of quantum field theories which are not perturbatively renormalizable.

In this paper, we consider a class of most frequently discussed singular potentials in nonrelativistic quantum mechanics, that is the spherically symmetric, inverse power potentials of the form [4, 16, 17],

\[ V(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{\lambda_k}{r^{\alpha_k}}, \]

where \( r \in (0, \infty) \), \( \alpha_k \) and \( \lambda_k \) are positive real numbers. The corresponding Schrödinger equation for the radial wave function \( \Psi(r) \) is given by

\[ -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} + \omega^2 r^2 + 2V(r) \Psi(r) = 2E \Psi(r), \quad \omega \geq 0, \]

where \( \ell = -1, 0, 1, \ldots \), and \( E \) is the energy eigenvalue. Much of the investigation of singular potentials is concerned with the solutions of this equation. Several approximation techniques are available in the literature for calculating the eigenvalues and wave functions of some inverse-power potentials (see e.g. [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]).

We show that at least four classes of singular potentials in the above family are quasi-exactly solvable, i.e. have polynomial solutions [24, 25, 26]. Namely, we present new quasi-exactly solvable models with inverse quartic, sextic, octic and decatic power potentials, respectively. We solve these models exactly by using the functional Bethe ansatz method presented in [27]. For each model, we obtain closed-form solutions for the energies and wave functions as well as analytic expressions for the allowed potential parameters in terms of the roots of a set of algebraic (Bethe ansatz) equations. To our best knowledge, our results on the singular quartic and sextic power potentials are largely new, while our results on the inverse octic and decatic power potentials are completely new.

## 2 Quasi-exactly solvable inverse quartic power potential

The inverse quartic power potential

\[ V(r) = \frac{a}{r} + \frac{b}{r^2} + \frac{c}{r^3} + \frac{d}{r^4}, \quad d > 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

was investigated by Predazzi and Regge [5] to determine analytic properties of the scattering amplitude in the case of a singular potential. The corresponding radial Schrödinger equation is given by

\[ -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} + \omega^2 r^2 + \frac{2a}{r} + \frac{2b}{r^2} + \frac{2c}{r^3} + \frac{2d}{r^4} \Psi(r) = 2E \Psi(r). \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

Phenomenologically, this type of singular potential is a very useful form of anharmonicity in physical applications [20].
Here we are interested in finding exact solutions of the Schrödinger equation. To this end, we first extract the appropriate asymptotic behaviour of the wave function $\Psi(r)$ by making a substitution. After a brief inspection of the differential equation, we arrive at the transformation,

$$\Psi(r) = r^\gamma \exp \left[ Ar^2 + Br + \frac{D}{r} \right] x(r),$$

where $\gamma, A, B$ and $D$ are constant parameters to be determined. Substituting into the differential equation, we find that acceptable asymptotic behaviour of $\Psi(r)$ requires

$$\gamma = 1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} > 0, \quad A = -\frac{\omega}{2}, \quad D = -\sqrt{2d}.$$  

At this stage, the parameter $B$ is free. Now the differential equation for $x(r)$ reads

$$r^2 x''(r) + 2 \left[ -\omega r^3 + Br^2 + \left( 1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) r + \sqrt{2d} \right] x'(r) + \left\{ -2\omega Br^2 + \left[ 2E - \omega \left( 3 + \frac{2c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) \right] r^2 + 2 \left[ B \left( 1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) - a - \omega \sqrt{2d} \right] \right\} x(r) = (2b + \ell(\ell + 1) - 4B\sqrt{2d}) x(r).$$

In the following, we show that if $B = 0$ or $\omega = 0$, then Eq. (2.5) is quasi-exactly solvable provided that the potential parameters satisfy certain constraints, and exact solutions are given by the degree $n$ polynomials

$$x(r) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(r - r_i), \quad x(r) \equiv 1 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0.$$  

Case 1: $B = 0$

In this case, Eq. (2.5) becomes

$$r^2 x''(r) + 2 \left[ -\omega r^3 + Br^2 + \left( 1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) r + \sqrt{2d} \right] x'(r) + \left\{ \left[ 2E - \omega \left( 3 + \frac{2c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) \right] r^2 - 2 \left[ a + \omega \sqrt{2d} \right] r \right\} x(r) = (2b + \ell(\ell + 1)) x(r).$$  

Substituting (2.6) into (2.7) and applying the functional Bethe ansatz method in [27] which is outlined in the Appendix, we obtain the closed-form expressions for the energies and the wave functions

$$E_n = \omega \left( n + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right),$$

$$\Psi_n(r) = r^{1+c/\sqrt{2d}} \prod_{i=1}^{n}(r - r_i) \exp \left( -\frac{\omega}{2}r^2 - \sqrt{2d} \frac{1}{r} \right),$$

and the constraints for the potential parameters

$$a = -\omega \left( \sqrt{2d} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i \right).$$
\[ n \left( n + 1 + \frac{2c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) - 2\omega \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^2 = 2b + \ell (\ell + 1), \]  
where the roots \( \{ r_i \} \) satisfy the Bethe ansatz equations
\[ \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{1}{r_i - r_j} = \frac{\omega r_i^3 - \left( 1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) r_i - \sqrt{2d}}{r_i^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \]

The wave functions \( \Psi_n(r) \) are squarely integrable, i.e. \( \int_{0}^{\infty} |\Psi_n(r)|^2 \, dr < \infty \). In fact the normalization constants of \( \Psi_n(r) \) can be calculated analytically by means of the standard integral
\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} r^\nu \exp \left( - \frac{\mu_1}{r^2} - \frac{\mu_2}{r} \right) \, dr = \frac{\mu_\nu}{2^{\nu+1} \sqrt{\pi} \mu_1} G_{02}^{10} \left( 1, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\nu}{2}, -\frac{\nu}{2} \mid \frac{1}{4} \mu_1 \mu_2 \right) \]
for \( \text{Re}(\nu) > 0, \text{Re}(\mu_1) > 0 \) and \( \text{Re}(\mu_2) > 0 \), where \( G_{mn}^{pq} \) is the Meijer G-function.

As examples of the above general expressions of the exact solutions, we study the ground and first excited states of the system in some detail. It is easy to see that \( x = 1 \) is a solution of (2.7) for certain values of the potential parameters. This solution corresponds to the \( n = 0 \) case in the general expressions above. Indeed, from Eqs. (2.8) and (2.9), (2.10), we obtain
\[ E_0 = \omega \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right), \]
\[ a = -\omega \sqrt{2d} \quad \text{and} \quad 2b = -\ell (\ell + 1). \]

The corresponding wave function is
\[ \Psi_0(r) = r^{1+c/\sqrt{2d}} \exp \left( - \frac{\omega}{2} r^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{r} \right). \]

This wave function has no nodes and so the state described by it is the ground state of the system.

The first excited state solution of the system corresponds to the \( n = 1 \) case of the general expressions above. Explicitly, the energy and wave function read, respectively,
\[ E_1 = \omega \left( \frac{5}{2} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right), \]
\[ \Psi_1(r) = r^{1+c/\sqrt{2d}} (r - r_1) \exp \left( - \frac{\omega}{2} r^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{r} \right) \]
with the constraints for the potential parameters,
\[ a = -\omega \left( r_1 + \sqrt{2d} \right), \]
\[ b = \left( 1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) - \omega r_1^2 - \frac{\ell (\ell + 1)}{2}. \]

Here the root \( r_1 \) is determined by the Bethe ansatz equation
\[ \omega r_1^2 - \left( 1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) r_1 - \sqrt{2d} = 0, \]
giving rise to
\[ r_1 = \frac{1}{2\omega} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}}\right)^2 + 4\omega \sqrt{2d}} \right]. \] (2.16)

**Case 2: \( \omega = 0 \)**

As \( A = 0 \) in this case, in order for the wave function \( \Psi(r) \) to have acceptable asymptotic behaviour, we require the constant parameter \( B \) to be negative.

The radial Schrödinger equation corresponding to this case was previously investigated in [28] (see also [29] for the ground state solution of the Schrödinger equation). Here we solve this system exactly by using the functional Bethe ansatz method of [27].

If we set
\[ 2E + B^2 = 0, \] (2.17)
then Eq. (2.5) becomes
\[
2B \left(2\sqrt{2d} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i\right) + n \left(n + 1 + \frac{2c}{\sqrt{2d}}\right) = 2b + \ell(\ell + 1),
\] (2.20)
and the Bethe ansatz equations,
\[
\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{1}{r_i - r_j} + \frac{Br_i^2 + \left(1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}}\right) r_i + \sqrt{2d}}{r_i^2} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \] (2.21)

As mentioned above, we require \( B < 0 \). It follows from (2.19) that the potential parameter \( a \) has to be negative. So we assume that \( a < 0 \) if \( \omega = 0 \).

Thus we have the closed form expressions for the energies and the wave functions,
\[
E_n = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a}{n + 1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}}}\right)^2,
\]
\[
\Psi_n(r) = r^{1+c/\sqrt{2d}} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} (r - r_i)\right] \exp \left(\frac{a}{n + 1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}}} r - \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{r}\right), \] (2.22)
and the constraint for the potential parameters
\[
2a \left(2\sqrt{2d} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i\right) + n \left(n + 1 + \frac{2c}{\sqrt{2d}}\right) \left(n + 1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}}\right)
\]
with the roots \( \{ r_i \} \) determined by (2.21). Again the wave functions \( \Psi_n(r) \) are squarely integrable, and the normalization constants can be computed analytically with the help of the standard integral \[33\]

\[
\int_0^\infty r^n \exp \left( -\mu_1 r - \frac{\mu_2}{r} \right) dr = 2 \left( \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} \right)^{\nu+1/2} \text{BesselK}(\nu + 1, 2\sqrt{\mu_1\mu_2})
\]

for \( \text{Re}(\nu) > 0, \text{Re}(\mu_1) > 0 \) and \( \text{Re}(\mu_2) > 0 \).

Similar to the Case 1 above, the ground state energy and wave function are obtained from the general expressions by setting \( n = 0 \),

\[
E_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{a}{1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}}} \right)^2,
\]

\[
\Psi_0(r) = r^{1+c/\sqrt{2d}} \exp \left( \frac{a\sqrt{2d}}{c + \sqrt{2d}r} - \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{r} \right)
\]

(2.24)

with the constraint for

\[
c = \frac{8ad}{2b + \ell(\ell + 1)} - \sqrt{2d}.
\]

(2.25)

The first excited state solution corresponds to the \( n = 1 \) case with the energy and the wave function given by

\[
E_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{a}{2 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}}} \right)^2,
\]

\[
\Psi_1(r) = r^{1+c/\sqrt{2d}}(r - r_1) \exp \left( \frac{a\sqrt{2d}}{c + 2\sqrt{2d}r} - \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{r} \right),
\]

(2.26)

where the potential parameters satisfy the constraint

\[
2c^2 - c\sqrt{2d} [2b - 6 + \ell(\ell + 1)] + 4d \left[ a \left( r_1 + 2\sqrt{2d} \right) - 2(b - 1) - \ell(\ell + 1) \right] = 0
\]

(2.27)

and the root \( r_1 \) is determined by

\[
\frac{a r_1^2}{2 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}}} + \left( 1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) r_1 + \sqrt{2d} = 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow r_1 = \frac{c + 2\sqrt{2d}}{2a\sqrt{2d}} \left[ - \left( 1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) \pm \sqrt{\left( 1 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right)^2 - \frac{8ad}{c + 2\sqrt{2d}}} \right].
\]

(2.28)

### 3 Quasi-exactly solvable inverse sextic power potential

Pais and Wu \[9\] studied the problem of scattering by the singular potential \( d/r^{2+2n} + e/r^{2+n} \) \( (n > 1) \) in non-relativistic quantum mechanics. In this section we will consider the \( n = 2 \) case, i.e. the inverse sextic power potential \[20\]

\[
V(r) = \frac{c}{r^4} + \frac{d}{r^6}, \quad d > 0.
\]

(3.1)
This potential has been used in atomic, molecular and nuclear physics [16, 17]. The corresponding radial Schrödinger equation is

\[
-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{\ell (\ell + 1)}{r^2} + \omega^2 r^2 + \frac{2e}{r^4} + \frac{2d}{r^6} \Psi(r) = 2E \Psi(r). \tag{3.2}
\]

The exact ground state solution of the Schrödinger equation was previously obtained in [31]. Here we review some of the results given in [30] and present the general exact solutions of the system.

In order to find the exact solutions of the Schrödinger equation, similar to the inverse quartic power potential case we extract the appropriate asymptotic behaviour of the wave function \(\Psi(r)\) by making the substitution,

\[
\Psi(r) = r^{3/2 + e/\sqrt{2d}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\omega}{2} r^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{2} \frac{1}{r^2} \right] v(r), \quad 3/2 + e/\sqrt{2d} > 0, \tag{3.3}
\]

we obtain the differential for \(v(r)\),

\[
v''(r) + \frac{2}{r} v'(r) + \frac{2}{r^2} \left( -\omega r^2 + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} + \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{r^2} \right) v(r) + 2 \left[ E - \omega \left( 2 + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) \right] v(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} \left[ 2\omega \sqrt{2d} + \left( \ell + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} + 1 \right)^2 \right] v(r). \tag{3.4}
\]

Then the change of variable \(t = r^2\) transforms (3.4) into the form,

\[
t^2 v''(t) + \left[ -\omega t^2 + \left( 2 + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) t + \sqrt{2d} \right] v'(t) + \frac{t}{2} \left[ E - \omega \left( 2 + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) \right] v(t) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ 2\omega \sqrt{2d} + \left( \ell + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} + 1 \right)^2 \right] v(t). \tag{3.5}
\]

This equation has the degree \(n\) polynomial solutions

\[
v(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t - t_i), \quad v(t) \equiv 1 \text{ for } n = 0 \tag{3.6}
\]

with distinct roots \(t_i\) provided that the potential parameters satisfy certain constraints [30]. The closed-form expressions for the energies and the wave functions are

\[
E_n = \omega \left( 2n + 2 + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} \right),
\]

\[
\Psi_n(r) = r^{3/2 + e/\sqrt{2d}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (r^2 - t_i) \exp \left[ -\frac{\omega}{2} r^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{2} \frac{1}{r^2} \right] \tag{3.7}
\]

and the constraint for the potential parameters reads

\[
2\sqrt{2d} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i + \left( \ell + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 = 4n \left( n + 1 + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) + \left( \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} + 1 \right)^2, \tag{3.8}
\]
while the roots \( \{ t_i \} \) are determined by the Bethe ansatz equations,

\[
\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{2}{t_i - t_j} = \frac{\omega t_i^2 - (2 + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}}) t_i - \sqrt{2d}}{t_i^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \tag{3.9}
\]

The wave functions \( \Psi_n(r) \) are squarely integrable, and the normalization constants can be evaluated by using the standard integral [33]

\[
\int_0^{\infty} r^\nu \exp \left( -\mu_1 r^2 - \frac{\mu_2}{r^2} \right) dr = \left( \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} \right)^{(\nu+1)/4} \text{BesselK} \left( \frac{\nu + 1}{2}, 2\sqrt{\mu_1\mu_2} \right)
\]

for \( \text{Re}(\nu) > 0, \text{Re}(\mu_1) > 0 \) and \( \text{Re}(\mu_2) > 0 \).

As examples of the above general expressions for the exact solutions, we study the ground and first excited states of the system. The \( n = 0 \) case gives the ground state energy and wave function

\[
E_0 = \omega \left( 2 + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} \right), \quad \Psi_0(r) = r^{3/2+e/\sqrt{2d}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\omega}{2} r^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{2} \frac{1}{r^2} \right]. \tag{3.10}
\]

with the potential parameters constrained by

\[
2\omega \sqrt{2d} + \left( \ell + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} + 1 \right)^2. \tag{3.11}
\]

The first excited state solution corresponds to the \( n = 1 \) case of the general expressions Eqs. (3.3) and (3.6)-(3.9). The energy and wave function are given respectively by

\[
E_1 = \omega \left( 4 + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} \right), \quad \Psi_1(r) = r^{3/2+e/\sqrt{2d}} \left( r^2 - t_1 \right) \exp \left[ -\frac{\omega}{2} r^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{2} \frac{1}{r^2} \right], \tag{3.12}
\]

where the root \( t_1 \) is determined by the Bethe ansatz equation,

\[
\omega t_1^2 - \left( 2 + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} \right) t_1 - \sqrt{2d} = 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow t_1 = \frac{1}{2\omega} \left( 2 + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} \pm \sqrt{\left( 2 + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} \right)^2 + 4\omega \sqrt{2d} \right) \tag{3.13}
\]

and the potential parameters obey the constraint,

\[
\frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{e^2}{2d} - 2\omega \sqrt{2d} + 5 + \frac{4e}{\sqrt{2d}} - \left( \ell + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right]^2 = \left( 2 + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2d}} \right)^2 + 4\omega \sqrt{2d}. \tag{3.14}
\]

Here (3.13) has been used in deriving this equation from (3.8).
4 Quasi-exactly solvable inverse octic power potential

In this section, we consider the inverse octic power potential

\[ V(r) = \frac{a}{r} + \frac{b}{r^2} + \frac{c}{r^3} + \frac{d}{r^4} + \frac{e}{r^5} + \frac{f}{r^6} + \frac{g}{r^7} + \frac{h}{r^8}, \quad h > 0. \quad (4.1) \]

This potential is an extension of the inverse quartic and sextic power potentials presented in the previous two sections. We will show that, in spite of the presence of additional coupling constants, this potential remains to be quasi-exactly solvable.

The corresponding radial Schrödinger equation reads

\[ \left[ -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{r^2} + \omega^2 r^2 + \frac{2a}{r^2} + \frac{2b}{r^3} + \frac{2c}{r^4} + \frac{2d}{r^5} + \frac{2e}{r^6} + \frac{2f}{r^7} + \frac{2g}{r^8} \right] \psi(r) = 2E\psi(r). \quad (4.2) \]

To obtain exact solutions, we need to extract the asymptotic behaviour of the wave function \( \psi(r) \) and transform the differential equation into an appropriate form. Our experience with the the previous two simpler cases suggests the following substitution for \( \psi(r) \):

\[ \psi(r) = r^\beta \exp \left[ Ar^2 + Br + \frac{D}{r} + \frac{F}{r^2} + \frac{G}{r^3} \right] y(r), \quad (4.3) \]

where \( \beta, A, B, D, F, G \) and \( G \) are as yet unknown constant parameters. Substituting into the Schrödinger equation and after some algebras we find that the acceptable asymptotic behaviour of \( \psi(r) \) requires

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta &= 2 + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2h}} + \frac{g}{4 \sqrt{h}} \left( \frac{g^2}{4h} - f \right) > 0, \\
A &= -\frac{\omega}{2}, \quad D = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right), \\
F &= -\frac{g}{2\sqrt{2h}}, \quad G = -\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{2h}.
\end{align*}
\quad (4.4)\]

The parameter \( B \) is still free at this stage. Now the differential equation for \( y(r) \) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ -\omega r^5 + Br^4 + \beta r^3 + \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) + \frac{gr}{\sqrt{2h}} + \sqrt{2h} \right] y'(r) \\
+ \left\{ -2\omega Br^5 + (2E + B^2 - \omega - 2\beta \omega) r^4 - 2 \left[ a - \beta B + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) \right] r^3 \\
+ \left[ (\beta - \ell)(\beta - \ell - 1) - 2b + \frac{2B}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) - \frac{2g\omega}{\sqrt{2h}} \right] r^2 \\
- 2 \left[ c - \frac{\beta - 1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) + \omega \sqrt{2h} - \frac{gB}{\sqrt{2h}} \right] r \right\} y(r) \\
= \left[ 2d - \frac{g(2\beta - 3)}{\sqrt{2h}} - 2B \sqrt{2h} - \frac{1}{2h} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right)^2 \right] y(r).
\end{align*}
\]

If \( B = 0 \) or \( \omega = 0 \), then Eq. (4.5) is quasi-exactly solvable provided that the potential parameters satisfy certain constraints, and exact solutions are given by the degree \( n \) polynomials

\[ y(r) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (r - r_i), \quad y(r) \equiv 1 \text{ for } n = 0. \quad (4.6) \]
This is shown as follows.

Case 1: \( B = 0 \)

In this case, equation (4.5) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho^4 y''(\rho) + 2 \left[ -\omega \rho^6 + \beta \rho^3 + \frac{\rho^2}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4\hbar} \right) + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} + \sqrt{2\hbar} \right] y'(\rho) \\
+ \left\{ (2E - \omega - 2\beta \omega) \rho^4 - 2 \left[ a + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4\hbar} \right) \right] \rho^3 \\
+ \left[ (\beta - \ell)(\beta - \ell - 1) - 2b - \frac{2g\omega}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} \right] \rho^2 - 2 \left[ c - \frac{\beta - 1}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4\hbar} \right) + \omega \sqrt{2\hbar} \right] \rho \right\} y(\rho) \\
= \left[ 2d - \frac{g(2\beta - 3)}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} - \frac{1}{2\hbar} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4\hbar} \right)^2 \right] y(\rho)
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting (4.6) into this equation and applying the results in the Appendix, we obtain the closed form expressions for the energies and the wave functions

\[
E_n = \omega \left[ n + \frac{5}{2} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} + \frac{g}{4} \sqrt{2\hbar} \left( \frac{g^2}{4\hbar} - f \right) \right],
\]

\[
\Psi_n(\rho) = \rho^\beta \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\rho - r_i) \exp \left( -\frac{\omega}{2} \rho^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4\hbar} \right) \frac{1}{r} - \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2\hbar} r^2} - \frac{\sqrt{2\hbar}}{3} \frac{1}{r^3} \right)^2 \quad (4.8)
\]

with \( \beta \) given by (4.4) and the constraints for the potential parameters,

\[
a = -\omega \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4\hbar} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i \right],
\]

\[
b = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\beta + \ell)(\beta - \ell - 1) + n(n + 2\beta - 1) \right] - \frac{g\omega}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} - \omega \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^2,
\]

\[
c = -\omega \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^3 + (n + \beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i + \omega \sqrt{2\hbar} + \frac{(n + \beta - 1)}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4\hbar} \right),
\]

\[
d = -\omega \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^4 + (n + \beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^2 + \sum_{i<j}^{n} r_i r_j + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4\hbar} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i \\
+ \frac{g(2n + 2\beta - 3)}{2\sqrt{2\hbar}} + \frac{1}{4\hbar} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4\hbar} \right)^2,
\] (4.9)

where the roots \( \{r_i\} \) satisfy the Bethe ansatz equations

\[
\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{1}{r_i - r_j} + \frac{-\omega r_i^3 + \beta r_i^2 + \frac{r_i^2}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4\hbar} \right) + \frac{g r_i}{\sqrt{2\hbar}} + \sqrt{2\hbar}}{r_i^4} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \quad (4.10)
\]

It can be checked numerically using Maple that the wave functions \( \Psi_n(\rho) \) are squarely integrable:

\[
\int_0^\infty |\Psi_n(\rho)|^2 d\rho < \infty.
\]
As examples of the general expressions for the exact solutions, we consider the ground
and first excited states of the system in some detail. It is easy to see that $y = 1$ is a
solution of (4.7) provided the potential parameters satisfy some constraints. This solution
corresponds to the $n = 0$ case in the general expressions above. Indeed, from Eqs. (4.3),
(4.4), (4.6), (4.8) and (4.9), we obtain

$$E_0 = \omega \left[ \frac{5}{2} + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2h}} + \frac{g}{4 \sqrt{h^3}} \left( \frac{g^2}{4h} - f \right) \right],$$

$$\Psi_0(r) = r^\beta \exp \left( -\frac{\omega}{2} r^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) \frac{1}{r} - \frac{g}{2 \sqrt{2h}} \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{\sqrt{2h}}{3} \frac{1}{r^3} \right), \quad (4.11)$$

with the constraints

$$a = -\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right),$$

$$b = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\beta + \ell)(\beta - \ell - 1) \right] - \frac{\omega g}{\sqrt{2h}},$$

$$c = -\omega \sqrt{2h} + \frac{(\beta - 1)}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right),$$

$$d = \frac{1}{4h} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right)^2 + \frac{g(2\beta - 3)}{2 \sqrt{2h}}. \quad (4.12)$$

The wave function (4.11) has no nodes and so the state described by it is the ground state
of the system.

The first excited state solution of the system corresponds to the $n = 1$ case. From the
general expressions above, we obtain the first excited state energy and wave function,

$$E_1 = \omega \left[ \frac{7}{2} + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2h}} + \frac{g}{4 \sqrt{h^3}} \left( \frac{g^2}{4h} - f \right) \right],$$

$$\Psi_1(r) = r^\beta (r - r_1) \exp \left( -\frac{\omega}{2} r^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) \frac{1}{r} - \frac{g}{2 \sqrt{2h}} \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{\sqrt{2h}}{3} \frac{1}{r^3} \right), \quad (4.13)$$

where the root $r_1$ is determined by the Bethe ansatz equation,

$$-\omega r_1^3 + \beta r_1^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) r_1^2 + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2h}} r_1 + \sqrt{2h} = 0 \quad (4.14)$$

and the potential parameters are constrained by

$$a = -\omega \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) + r_1 \right],$$

$$b = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\beta + \ell)(\beta - \ell + 1) \right] + \frac{\omega g}{\sqrt{2h}} - \omega r_1^3,$$

$$c = -\omega r_1^3 + \beta r_1 - \omega \sqrt{2h} - \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right),$$

$$d = -\omega r_1^4 + \beta r_1^2 + \frac{r_1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) + \frac{1}{4h} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right)^2 + \frac{g(2\beta - 1)}{2 \sqrt{2h}}. \quad (4.15)$$
Case 2: $\omega = 0$

In this case, $A = -\omega/2 = 0$. Thus in order for the wave function $\Psi(r)$ to have acceptable asymptotic behaviour at $r \to \infty$, we require the constant parameter $B$ to be negative. Furthermore, we set

$$2E + B^2 = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Then equation (4.5) becomes

$$r^4 y''(r) + 2 \left[ Br^4 + \beta r^3 + \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) + \frac{gr}{\sqrt{2h}} + \sqrt{2h} \right] y'(r)$$

$$+ \left\{ 2[\beta B - a] r^3 + \left[ (\beta - \ell)(\beta - \ell - 1) - 2b + \frac{2B}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) \right] r^2 \right\} y(r)$$

$$- 2 \left\{ c - \frac{\beta - 1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) - \frac{gB}{\sqrt{2h}} \right\} y(r)$$

$$= \left[ 2d - \frac{g(2\beta - 3)}{\sqrt{2h}} - 2B \sqrt{2h} - \frac{1}{2h} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right)^2 \right] y(r). \quad (4.17)$$

Substituting (4.16) into this equation and applying the results in the results of the Appendix, we obtain constraints for the potential parameters,

$$B = \frac{a}{n + \beta},$$

$$b = \frac{1}{2} [(\beta + \ell)(\beta - \ell - 1) + n(n + 2\beta - 1)] + B \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i \right],$$

$$c = B \left[ \frac{g}{\sqrt{2h}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^2 \right] + (n + \beta - 1) \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i \right],$$

$$d = B \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^3 + (n + \beta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i^2 + \sum_{i<j}^{n} r_i r_j + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4h} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right)^2 + B \sqrt{2h} + \frac{g(2n + 2\beta - 3)}{2\sqrt{2h}}, \quad (4.18)$$

where $\beta$ is given by Eq. (4.4) and the roots $\{r_i\}$ satisfy the Bethe ansatz equations

$$\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{1}{r_i - r_j} + \frac{Br_i^3 + \frac{r_i^2}{2h} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) + \frac{gr_i}{\sqrt{2h}} + \sqrt{2h}}{r_i^4} = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots, n. \quad (4.19)$$

It follows that the energies and the wave functions of the system are

$$E_n = -\frac{1}{2} B^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{a}{n + \beta} \right)^2,$$

$$\Psi_n(r) = r^\beta \prod_{i=1}^{n} (r - r_i) \exp \left( \frac{a}{n + \beta} r - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) r - \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2h}} r^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2h}}{3} \frac{1}{r^3} \right). \quad (4.20)$$
As mentioned above, $B$ is require to be negative. To satisfy this requirement we see from (4.18) that the potential parameter $a$ has to be negative. So we assume that $a < 0$ if $\omega = 0$. Then it can be checked numerically that the wave functions $\Psi_n(r)$ are squarely integrable.

Similar to the Case 1 above, the ground state solution is obtained from the general expressions by letting $n = 0$, yielding

$$E_0 = -\frac{a^2}{2\beta^2},$$

$$\Psi_0(r) = r^\beta \exp \left( \frac{a}{\beta} r - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) \frac{1}{r} - \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2h} r^2} - \frac{\sqrt{2h}}{3} \frac{1}{r^3} \right)$$

(4.21)

with the constraints

$$b = \frac{1}{2} \beta (\beta - 1) - \ell (\ell + 1) + \frac{a}{\beta \sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right),$$

$$c = \frac{ag}{\beta \sqrt{2h}} + \frac{(\beta - 1)}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right),$$

$$d = \frac{1}{4h} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right)^2 + \frac{a\sqrt{2h}}{\beta} + \frac{g(2\beta - 3)}{2\sqrt{2h}}.$$  

(4.22)

The first excited state solution corresponds to the $n = 1$ case. We thus have

$$E_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{a}{1 + \beta} \right)^2,$$

$$\Psi_1(r) = r^\beta (r - r_1) \exp \left( \frac{a}{1 + \beta} r - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) \frac{1}{r} - \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2h} r^2} - \frac{\sqrt{2h}}{3} \frac{1}{r^3} \right)$$

(4.23)

subject to the constraints

$$b = \frac{1}{2} \beta (\beta - 1) - \ell (\ell + 1) + \frac{a}{1 + \beta} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) + r_1 \right],$$

$$c = \frac{a}{1 + \beta} r_1^2 + \beta r_1 + \frac{ag}{(1 + \beta) \sqrt{2h}} + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right),$$

$$d = \frac{a}{1 + \beta} r_1^3 + \beta r_1^2 + \frac{r_1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) + \frac{1}{4h} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right)^2 + \frac{a\sqrt{2h}}{\beta + 1} + \frac{g(2\beta - 1)}{2\sqrt{2h}}.$$  

(4.24)

Here the root $r_1$ obeys

$$\frac{a}{1 + \beta} r_1^3 + \beta r_1^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2h}} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) r_1^2 + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2h}} r_1 + \sqrt{2h} = 0.$$  

(4.25)

Let us remark that the results obtained in this section are reducible to those of the inverse quartic potential when $g, f, e, h \to 0$ so that $\beta \to 1 + c/\sqrt{2d}$ and $1/\sqrt{2h} \left( f - \frac{g^2}{4h} \right) \to \sqrt{2d}$, and reproduce those of the inverse sextic potential if $a, b, c, e, g, h \to 0$ such that $\beta \to 1 + d/\sqrt{2f}$ and $g/\sqrt{2h} \to \sqrt{2f}$. 
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5 Quasi-exactly solvable inverse decatic power potential

We consider the inverse decatic power potential

\[ V(r) = \frac{a}{r^4} + \frac{b}{r^6} + \frac{c}{r^8} + \frac{d}{r^{10}}, \quad (5.1) \]

where \( d > 0 \). The corresponding radial Schrödinger equation is given by

\[
\left[-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} + \omega^2 r^2 + 2a r^4 + 2b r^6 + 2c r^8 + 2d r^{10}\right] \Psi(r) = 2E \Psi(r) \quad (5.2)
\]

Similar to the cases studied in the previous sections, finding exact solutions to this equation requires first extracting appropriate asymptotic behaviour of the wave function \( \Psi(r) \). This is achieved by means of suitable transformations of \( \Psi(r) \). After some algebras we arrive at the transformation

\[
\Psi(r) = r^\eta \exp\left(-\frac{\omega}{2} r^2 - \frac{c}{2\sqrt{2d}} \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{\sqrt{2d} \ 1}{4 r^4}\right) u(r),
\]

\[
\eta = \frac{5}{2} + \frac{b}{\sqrt{2d}} + \frac{c^2}{16} \sqrt{\frac{2}{d^3}} > 0. \quad (5.3)
\]

Then the differential equation for \( u(r) \) is

\[
u''(r) + \frac{2}{r} \left( -\frac{\sqrt{2d}}{r^4} + \frac{c}{r^2 \sqrt{2d}} + \eta - \omega r^2 \right) u'(r) + \left[ -\omega(\eta + 1/2) + \frac{\eta(\eta - 1) - \ell(\ell + 1) - 2\omega c/\sqrt{2d} - 3c + 2d\omega - 2c\eta + 2a \sqrt{2d}}{\sqrt{2d} r^4} \right] u(r) + 2Eu(r) = 0. \quad (5.4)
\]

A further variable change \( z = r^2 \) transforms the above equation into the form

\[ z^3 u''(z) + \left[ -\omega z^3 + (\eta + 1/2) z^2 + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} z + \sqrt{2d} \right] u'(z) + \left[ \frac{1}{2} [E - \omega(\eta + 1/2)] z^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left[ \eta(\eta - 1) - \ell(\ell + 1) - \frac{2\omega c}{\sqrt{2d}} \right] \right] u(z) = 0. \quad (5.5)
\]

This equation is quasi-exactly solvable and has the degree \( n \) polynomial solutions

\[ u(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (z - z_i), \quad u(z) \equiv 1 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0 \quad (5.6) \]

with distinct roots \( z_i \) provided that the potential parameters satisfy certain constraints. Indeed, substituting (5.6) into (5.5) and applying the functional Bethe ansatz method in \[27\] outlined in the Appendix, we get the closed form expressions for the energies and the wave functions

\[ E_n = \omega \left( 2n + \eta + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \omega \left( 2n + 3 + \frac{b}{\sqrt{2d}} + \frac{c^2}{16} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{d^3} \right), \]
\[
\Psi_n(r) = r^n \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} (r^2 - z_i) \right] \exp \left( -\frac{\omega r^2}{2} - \frac{c}{2 \sqrt{2d} r^2} - \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{4} \frac{1}{r^4} \right) \tag{5.7}
\]

and the constraints for the potential parameters

\[
2\omega \left( \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i \right) = \left( \frac{c^2}{16} \sqrt{\frac{2}{d^3}} + 2 \right)^2 - \left( \ell + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + 4n \left( \frac{c^2}{2 \sqrt{2d}} + \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{4} \right),
\]

\[
a = -2\omega \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i^2 + \left( 4n + 2 + \frac{c^2}{8} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i + \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} (2n + 1) + \frac{c^3}{16d^2} - \omega \sqrt{2d}, \tag{5.8}
\]

where the roots \{z_i\} obey the Bethe ansatz equations

\[
\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{1}{z_i - z_j} + \frac{-\omega \sqrt{2d}}{z_i^2} \left( 3\sqrt{2d} + \frac{c^2}{8d} \right) z_i^2 + cz_i + 2d = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \tag{5.9}
\]

The wave functions \(\Psi_n(r)\) are square integrable, i.e. \(\int_0^\infty |\Psi_n(r)|^2 \, dr < \infty\). This can be checked numerically using Maple.

Clearly, the ground state solution can be obtained from the above general expressions by letting \(n = 0\), yielding

\[
E_0 = \omega \left( 3 + \frac{b}{\sqrt{2d}} + \frac{c^2}{16} \sqrt{\frac{2}{d^3}} \right),
\]

\[
\Psi_0(r) = r^{\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{b}{\sqrt{2d}} r^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{c^2}{16} \sqrt{\frac{2}{d^3}} \exp \left( -\frac{\omega r^2}{2} - \frac{c}{2 \sqrt{2d} r^2} - \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{4} \frac{1}{r^4} \right) \tag{5.10}
\]

with the constraints

\[
2c \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2d}} = \left( \frac{c^2}{16} \sqrt{\frac{2}{d^3}} + 2 \right)^2 - \left( \ell + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2,
\]

\[
a = \frac{c}{\sqrt{2d}} + \frac{c^3}{16d^2} - \omega \sqrt{2d}. \tag{5.11}
\]

The first excited state solution corresponds to the \(n = 1\) case. We thus have the energy and the wave function,

\[
E_1 = \omega \left( 5 + \frac{b}{\sqrt{2d}} + \frac{c^2}{16} \sqrt{\frac{2}{d^3}} \right),
\]

\[
\Psi_1(r) = r^{\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{b}{\sqrt{2d}} r^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{c^2}{16} \sqrt{\frac{2}{d^3}} (r^2 - z_1) \exp \left( -\frac{\omega r^2}{2} - \frac{c}{2 \sqrt{2d} r^2} - \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{4} \frac{1}{r^4} \right) \tag{5.12}
\]

with the potential parameters subject to the constraints

\[
\omega \left( c + 2\sqrt{2d}z_1 \right) = \frac{\sqrt{2d}}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{c^2}{16} \sqrt{\frac{2}{d^3}} + 2 \right)^2 - \left( \ell + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right] + 6\sqrt{2d} + \frac{c^2}{4d},
\]

\[
a = -2\omega z_1^2 + \left( 6 + \frac{c^2}{8} \sqrt{\frac{2}{d^3}} \right) z_1 + \frac{3c}{\sqrt{2d}} + \frac{c^3}{16d^2} - \omega \sqrt{2d}. \tag{5.13}
\]

Here the root \(z_1\) is determined by the Bethe ansatz equation,

\[-\omega \sqrt{2d} z_1^3 + \left( 3\sqrt{2d} + \frac{c^2}{8d} \right) z_1^2 + cz_1 + 2d = 0. \tag{5.14}\]
6 Concluding remarks

We have presented four classes of quasi-exactly solvable anharmonic singular potentials and solved the corresponding radial Schrödinger equations exactly by means of the Bethe ansatz method. Closed-form expressions for the energies and the wave functions of the singular models have been obtained for certain values of the potential parameters. Analytic expressions for the allowed potential parameters are given explicitly for each of the four cases in terms of the roots of a set of algebraic Bethe ansatz equations.

It is remarkable that the four classes of anharmonic singular potentials are quasi-exactly solvable. We have thus added four new members to the club of quasi-exactly solvable quantum mechanical systems. It is hoped that our findings in the present paper will lead to new applications for these singular potentials.
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A Appendix: the functional Bethe ansatz method

As seen from (4.7) and (4.17), the inverse octic power potential leads to the 2nd order differential equations of the form,

$$\left[ P(t) \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + Q(t) \frac{d}{dt} + W(t) \right] S(t) = 0, \quad (A.1)$$

where \( P(t), Q(t) \) and \( W(t) \) are polynomials of at most degree 4, 5 and 4 respectively,

\[
P(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{4} p_k t^k, \quad Q(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{5} q_k t^k, \quad W(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{4} w_k t^k,
\]

\( p_k, q_k \) and \( w_k \) are constants. This 2nd order differential equation is a generalization of the one considered in \[27\] and does not seem to have ever appeared previously in the literature. A similar differential equation also appears in quantum mechanical models with (non-singular) octic potentials \[32\].

In this appendix, we show that the differential equation \[A.1\] is quasi-exactly solvable for certain values of its parameters and exact solutions are given by polynomials of degree \( n \) in \( t \) with \( n \) being non-negative integers. We apply the functional Bethe ansatz method in \[27\]. We seek polynomial solutions of the form

\[S(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t - t_i), \quad S(t) \equiv 1 \text{ for } n = 0 \]
with \( t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n \) distinct roots to be determined, then Eq. (A.1) becomes

\[
-w_0 = \left( p_4 t^4 + p_3 t^3 + p_2 t^2 + p_1 t + p_0 \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{t - t_i} \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{2}{t_i - t_j} \\
+ (q_5 t^5 + q_4 t^4 + q_3 t^3 + q_2 t^2 + q_1 t + q_0) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{t - t_i} \\
+w_4 t^4 + w_3 t^3 + w_2 t^2 + w_1 t
\]  

(A.4)

The left hand side of this equation is a constant, while the right hand side is a meromorphic function with simple poles \( t = t_i \) and singularity at \( t = \infty \). For this equation to be valid, the right hand side must also be a constant. We thus demand that the coefficients of the powers of \( t \) as well as the residues at the simple poles of the right hand side be zero. Following Liouville’s theorem, this is the necessary and sufficient condition for the right hand side of (A.4) to be a constant. It follows that

\[
w_4 = -nq_5, \tag{A.5}
\]

\[
w_3 = -q_5 \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i - nq_4, \tag{A.6}
\]

\[
w_2 = -q_5 \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^2 - q_4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i - n(n-1)p_4 - nq_3, \tag{A.7}
\]

\[
w_1 = -q_5 \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^3 - q_4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^2 - \left[ 2(n-1)p_4 + q_3 \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i - n(n-1)p_3 - nq_2, \tag{A.8}
\]

\[
w_0 = -q_5 \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^4 - q_4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^3 - \left[ q_3 + 2(n-1)p_4 \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^2 - 2q_4 \sum_{i<j}^{n} t_i t_j \\
- \left[ 2(n-1)p_3 + q_2 \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i - n(n-1)p_2 - nq_1, \tag{A.9}
\]

where the roots \( t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n \) satisfy the Bethe ansatz equations

\[
\sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{2}{t_i - t_j} + \frac{q_5 t_i^5 + q_4 t_i^4 + q_3 t_i^3 + q_2 t_i^2 + q_1 t_i + q_0}{p_4 t_i^4 + p_3 t_i^3 + p_2 t_i^2 + p_1 t_i + p_0} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \tag{A.10}
\]

Equations (A.5)-(A.9) give all polynomials \( W(t) \) such that (A.1) has degree \( n \) polynomial solutions (A.3). The above method can be easily generalized to differential equations with higher degree polynomials \( P(t), Q(t) \) and \( W(t) \), provided that \( \deg W(t) < \deg Q(t) \).
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