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Effect of Composite Culture of *Azotobacter* and Phosphate Solubulizing Bacteria on *in vitro* Propagation of *Musa acuminate* (Banana)
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ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted to assess the effect of composite culture of *Azotobacter* and Phosphate solubulizing bacteria with different combinations of organic, inorganic fertilizations on growth performance of *in vitro* propagated Banana, cv. Grand Naine. The in vitro rooted plantlets were hardened and acclimatized by using different treatments (five treatments comprising of inoculation of composite culture, vermicompost and Inorganic fertilization) carried out in CRD replicated four times. Propagation studies on primary hardening showed cocopeat was the best medium for primary hardening in terms of percentage survival of plantlets (100.00 %). Plantlets showed significantly highest height (9.50 cm), leaf number (2.80 per plantlet), girth (4.50 mm) when inoculation was given along with 25%NPK (organic) + 75% NPK (inorganic) in primary hardening. Similarly during secondary hardening, inoculation + 25%NPK (organic) + 75% NPK (inorganic) recorded best results in terms of plantlet height (20.45 cm), plantlet diameter (11.60cm) no. of leaves (5.25), length of primary roots per plantlet (12.32 cm). Maximum survival during secondary hardening (100.00 %) with composite culture inoculation whereas in other treatments survival percentage ranged from 87.5-93.5%. Nitrogen uptake by banana significantly increased from 9.17 at control to 28.21 mg per plantlet at inoculation + 25%NPK (organic) + 75% NPK (inorganic). For hardening and acclimatization of *in vitro* propagated banana, cv Grand Naine, composite culture + 25%NPK (organic) + 75% NPK (inorganic) showed overall superiority being the best treatment.
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Introduction

Banana is known for its antiquity and is interwoven with Indian heritage and culture. In India, it is the second largest growing fruit crop next to mango and the leading producer in the world contributes more than 20% of global production. Total production of banana in Chhattisgarh is 0.4 metric tons from an area of 0.01 million hectare forming 22 per cent of the total fruit production in the state. It is a heavy consumer of nutrients and requires large quantities of nutrients for its growth, development and yield (Hazarika and Ansari, 2010). The requirements of these nutrients by inorganic fertilizers, results in
extreme situations for the soil, crop and climate involved. The soil has lost its biological dynamic owing to repeated and indiscriminate use of chemical fertilizer; also these have some deleterious effects on fruit quality besides adverse effects on soil, water and environmental conditions (Dutta et al., 2010).

On the other hand, organic and microbial sources of nutrients have advantage of consistent and slow release of nutrients, maintaining ideal C: N ratio, improvement in water holding capacity and microbial biomass of soil profile, without having any adverse residual effects (Yadav, 2010). Biofertilizers are the living organisms that enrich the quality of soil which have an ability of mobilizing the important elements from non useable to useable form through chemical processes and known to increase yield (Alarcón et al., 2002). Apart from this, fruits often eaten raw are more vulnerable to contamination with chemicals due to their residual toxicity as compared to cereals and pulses. Biofertilizers were mainly used for field crops but now-a-days their potential can be seen to be fully exploited for fruit crops also like papaya (Sukhade et al., 1995) and banana (Gogoi et al., 2004). The contribution of beneficial microbes like Azotobacter, PSB in increasing the growth, yield and in reducing the fertilizer requirement have been brought out by few workers in fruit crops. However, very little work has been done on the use of biofertilizers in banana. However large scale plantation by this technology is hindered by high mortality experienced by micropropagated plantlets when transferred to ex vitro conditions, as during in vitro conditions, plantlets grow under special conditions, microshoots, upon transfer to ex vitro conditions are exposed to abiotic stress and biotic stress conditions. Improper hardening leads to the failure of whole technology. Success in hardening is a must for its survival (Radheshyam and Subramani, 2008). Hence, the present investigation is very important and opts for crops like banana to evaluate inoculation effect in primary and secondary hardening of tissue culture technology. With these backgrounds, the present study was undertaken to assess the application of organic fertilizer and biofertilizer for acclimatization of in vitro propagated banana plantlets. The objective was to evaluate the suitable combination of inorganic, organic and biofertilizers for tissue cultured banana to increase survival, growth of banana plants.

Materials and Methods

Experiments were conducted in the Plant tissue culture Laboratory, Indira Gandhi Agricultural University, Raipur (Chhattisgarh) during 2016-2017 with banana (Musa spp. cv: Grand Naine). In vitro rooted plantlets collected from Plant tissue culture lab, IGKV, Raipur were removed from the culture bottles and washed with water. Then these were subjected to different hardening treatments for getting maximum growth and survival.

Primary hardening

They were given a dip in composite culture of Azotobacter and Phosphate solubulizing bacteria solution for fifteen minutes and transferred to individual micropots in a protray containing media as cocopeat and placed in polytunnel located in shade house for five weeks. These were covered with polythene. The temperature ranged between 25 to 27°C and the relative humidity was maintained between 80 to 90 per cent inside the polytunnels (Jarret, 1986 and Wong, 1986). The experiment was arranged in completely randomized design with four replications, each replicate consisted of four micropot/ four banana plantlets.
weeks, data on growth parameters like percentage survival, mean plantlet height, mean plantlet diameter, mean no. of leaves were recorded and the data were analyzed statistically.

**Secondary hardening**

Primary hardened plantlets were transferred from micropots to polybags (size 4”x 6”) containing substrate made up of mixtures of soil +sand+ vermicompost in 3:1:1 ratio treated with fungicide solution CuSO₄+bavistin+M45. Composite culture was applied as soil treatment to polybags as per treatments description before transfer of banana plantlets. These were covered with polythene sheet for 10 to 15 days initially and later on the polythene sheet was removed. The plantlets were maintained for 02 months inside a net house where the temperature ranged between 25 to 30 °C and relative humidity between 60 to 70 per cent (Jarret, 1986 and Wong, 1986). The experiment was arranged in completely randomized design (CRD) with four replications; each replicate consisted of four polybags containing. Data on growth parameters like percentage survival, mean plantlet height, mean plantlet diameter, mean no. of leaves were recorded twice at the end of each month. Root length, biomass accumulation, N content in shoot were recorded after two months, Nitrogen content in shoot was determined by Kjeldahal method (Jackson, 1958) and the data were analyzed statistically.

Data recorded for different parameters were subjected to completely randomized design (CRD). Statistical analysis based on mean values per treatment was made using analysis of variance technique of CRD (Panse and Sukhatme, 1978).

**Results and Discussion**

**Colony morphology and Gram staining**

*Azotobacter* isolates and PSB isolate were revived by inoculating in Jensen’s medium and Pikovskaya’s medium respectively of pH (7.0) and temperature (28°C) for preparation of inoculum for banana plantlet. As per cultural and colony characteristics, *Azotobacter* isolate in Jensen’s medium showed gummy, round and convex, colony with entire margin, whitish in colour and Gram negative in reaction. Colonies of PSB isolate in Pikovskaya’s medium were found to be round and yellowish in colour, showing clearing zone. The isolate was Gram negative and belonged to *Pseudomonas* genera based on staining, morphological and cultural tests according to Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology. This work is in line with Krishnaveni (2010). *Pseudomonas* sp. act as efficient solubilizers of Phosphorous is in line with the findings of Komy (2005) also observed similar colony characteristics in PSB.

The banana plantlets from culture vessels in tissue culture laboratory, IGKV were taken. Effect of the composite culture of *Azotobacter* and PSB inoculants were evaluated on the growth performances of in vitro propagated
banana during primary and secondary hardening. Success in hardening is a must for its survival (Radheshyam and Subramani, 2008). Hardening the in vitro raised plantlets; so as to make them adapted to the natural environment is a critical process due to their anatomical and physiological peculiarities (Hazarika, 2003). Therefore Primary and Secondary hardening is an integral and vital activity of the whole process of tissue culture technology.

**Effect of inoculation on in vitro propagated banana plantlets during primary hardening**

In the present study cocopeat was taken as potting media in primary hardening and was found superior in terms of percentage survival of plantlets (100 %) during primary hardening. This may be due to better aeration, water holding and nutrient supplying capacity of cocopeat. Further inoculation of composite culture involving Azotobacter and PSB significantly influenced the growth of banana plantlets (cv.Grand Nain). Results on morphological growth parameters of primary hardened banana plantlets treated with different treatments are presented in (Table 2). Experimental view of primary hardening was shown in Fig.1. As per the growth of primary hardened banana plantlets (cv.Grand Nain), significantly maximum height (9.50 cm), number of leaves (2.8), plantlet diameter (4.50 mm), was observed when inoculated with composite culture along with 25%NPK (organic) + 75% NPK (inorganic) which was at par with inoculation along with 75% NPK (inorganic). Significantly highest plant height (10 cm) was found in T5 followed by T4 (9.45 cm). Probably cocopeat, organic manures and inoculation treatments might have helped in improving physical and chemical properties of the growing media, consequently resulted in better growth of banana plantlets (Hazarika, 2003 and Anbazhagan et al., 2014). Significantly highest basal girth of primary hardened banana plantlets (4.50 mm) was found in T5 and T4. However banana plantlets showed significant variation in plant girth ranging from 3.41 to 4.50 mm during primary hardening. The number of leaves plant\(^{-1}\) increased significantly from 2.1 to 2.8 per plantlet with inoculation treatments. Excessive water loss from plantlets was prevented by giving various inoculation treatments. These treatments were found to influence greatly the survival and growth of plantlets.

**Effect of inoculation on banana plantlets during secondary hardening**

Primary hardened plantlets were shifted to polybags in net house for secondary hardening. Potting mixture containing soil, sand and Vermicompost (3: 1: 1). The data pertaining to the influence of different treatments on survival and growth of plantlets were presented in Table 3. 100 per cent survival was obtained in the inoculated plantlets which was superior to all other treatment. At T3 the percent survival was 93.5 and minimum survival percentage was recorded in T1 and T2, i.e., 87.5%. Similar results were reported by Jasari et al., (1999). All plantlets was kept covered with polythene sheet and kept in control condition in net house (Fig. 2). Covering the plantlets with polythene sheet in groups and keeping them in culture room was also found to be suitable, recording increasing per cent survival. The method of covering the new transferred plantlets for initial period and subsequently removing the cover is a gradual process, was successfully adapted by number of workers for hardening the plantlets (Jasrai et al., 1999) and (Vasane and Kothari, 2008). According to them plantlets develop their stomatal control mechanism during this period.

Shoot length increased by 1.87 and 1.78 times over control with inoculation alone T4 and
along with 25% organic manure (T5) respectively after secondary hardening. In Inorganic Fertilization, shoot length was found (14.47 cm) in T2 while in control (T1) it was 11.00 cm. Number leaves, Plant girth of banana plants showed significant effect in inoculated treatments with composite culture of *Azotobacter* and PSB alone and along with 25% organic manure + 75% inorganic fertilizer (T5), followed by inoculation + 75% inorganic fertilizer (T4) over other treatments. Banana plantlets showed significantly different plant girth ranging from 7.42 to 11.60 mm at different stages of growth during secondary hardening being significantly highest in T5 followed by T4 (11.12 mm). The girth of plants at primary and secondary decapitation stage also had a significant role in the production of next generation buds. Highest number of leaves/plant (5.80) was found in T5 and T4. Composite culture inoculation significantly influenced the root growth of banana plantlets increasing root length by 1.74 times over control. Significantly highest primary root length (12.32 cm) was found in T5 followed by 12.00 cm in T4. The data on root length did not show significant difference between T2 and T3.

**Table.1 Treatment combinations used in macropropagation of banana**

|   |   |
|---|---|
| T1 | Control |
| T2 | 100% RDF |
| T3 | 50% NPK through Organic manure + 50% NPK (inorganic) |
| T4 | Inoculation of composite culture + 75% NPK (inorganic) |
| T5 | Inoculation of composite culture + 25% NPK (organic) + 75% NPK (inorganic) |

**Table.2 Effect of inoculation on banana plantlets during primary hardening**

| Treatments | Plantlet height (cm) | Plantlet girth (mm) | No. of leaves per plantlet | Percentage Survival (%) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| T1 | 7.18 | 3.41 | 2.1 | 100 |
| T2 | 9.42 | 3.85 | 2.6 | 100 |
| T3 | 7.74 | 3.67 | 2.3 | 100 |
| T4 | 9.45 | 4.50 | 2.8 | 100 |
| T5 | 9.50 | 4.50 | 2.8 | 100 |
| SEM(±) | 0.241 | 0.146 | 0.128 | - |
| CD(P=0.05) | 0.734 | 0.445 | 0.390 | - |

T1 - Control, T2 - 100 % RDF (300:100:300 g NPK per plant), T3 - 50 % NPK through Organic manure + 50 % NPK(inorganic), T4 – Inoculation of composite culture + 75% NPK (inorganic), T5 - Inoculation of composite culture + 25% NPK (organic) + 75% NPK (inorganic)
Table 3 Effect of inoculation on growth parameters of *in vitro* propagated banana plantlets during secondary hardening

| Treatments                                      | Plantlet height (cm) | Plantlet girth (mm) | No. of leaves per plantlet |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
|                                                  | At 1 month           | At 2 month          | At 1 month | At 2 month | At 1 month | At 2 month |
| **T1 Control**                                   | 10.25                | 11.00               | 7.42        | 8.91       | 3.51       | 3.82       |
| **T2 100% RDF**                                  | 13.75                | 14.47               | 8.64        | 9.57       | 4.25       | 4.51       |
| **T3 50% NPK Organic + 50% NPK (inorganic)**     | 13.19                | 16.00               | 9.26        | 10.40      | 4.86       | 5.30       |
| **T4 inoculation + 75% NPK (inorganic)**         | 13.81                | 19.17               | 10.35       | 11.12      | 5.05       | 5.80       |
| **T5 Inoculation + 25% NPK (organic) + 75% NPK (inorganic)** | 14.14                | 20.45               | 10.64       | 11.60      | 5.25       | 5.80       |

SEm(±) 0.254 0.328 0.176 0.194 0.194 0.144
CD(P=0.05) 0.772 0.997 0.537 0.589 0.591 0.438

Table 4 Effect of inoculation on survival percentage, root length, biomass accumulation and shoot N content of banana plantlets after secondary hardening

| Treatments                                      | Per cent Survival (%) | Length of primary root (cm) | Shoot dry weight (g/plant) | Shoot fresh weight (g/plant) | Nitrogen accumulation (mg/plantlet) |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| **T1 Control**                                   | 87.5                   | 5.20                         | 0.74                        | 4.27                         | 9.17                                |
| **T2 100% RDF**                                  | 87.5                   | 10.45                        | 1.18                        | 9.85                         | 16.28                               |
| **T3 50% NPK Organic + 50% NPK (inorganic)**     | 93.5                   | 11.25                        | 1.35                        | 10.39                        | 19.03                               |
| **T4 inoculation + 75% NPK (inorganic)**         | 100                    | 12.00                        | 1.53                        | 13.49                        | 25.40                               |
| **T5 Inoculation + 25% NPK (organic) + 75% NPK (inorganic)** | 100                    | 12.32                        | 1.64                        | 14.01                        | 28.21                               |

SEm(±) - 0.263 0.050 0.448 0.579
CD(P=0.05) - 0.800 0.152 1.364 1.761
Fig.1 Transplantation of young banana plantlets from culture vessels

Fig.2 Acclimatization of banana plantlets: Covering the plantlets with polythene sheets

Fig.3 Transferring into nursery polypots in net house for secondary hardening. Plantlets established in potting mixtures
Biomass accumulation in banana plantlets after secondary hardening

Fresh and dry Biomass accumulation in shoot of banana plantlet ranged from 4.27 to 14.01 g/seedling and 0.74 to 1.64 g/seedling at 2 months in secondary hardening respectively under different treatments table 4. 32.21% increased in shoot dry biomass accumulation over T₁ control was found by banana plantlets at 2 months in secondary hardening in inoculation with Azoto + PSB + 25% organic + 75% inorganic (T₅). Composite culture inoculation with Azoto + PSB + 25% organic + 75% inorganic fertilization (T₅), treatment produced significantly maximum shoot dry biomass of 1.64g/seedling against 1.18 and 0.74 g/seedling at 100% RDF and control respectively.

Nitrogen accumulation in banana plants

Significantly highest N uptake (28.21 mg / plant) were observed in inoculated shoot with composite culture + 25% NPK (organic) + 75%NPK (inorganic) followed by 25.40 mg / plant inoculation of composite culture + 75% NPK (inorganic) (Table 4). Similar trend in nutrient concentration was reported by Kaushik et al., (2003). The application of biofertilizer also increased the uptake of nutrients, which enhanced the growth and development of seedling. The adequate supply of moisture, mineral, nutrients, ensure the better growth and development of plantlets. It is well established fact that inoculation of composite culture is better as compared to inorganic fertilization and control treatments. This can also be clearly seen in Fig. 4

The present findings showed that Inoculation of composite culture + 25% NPK (organic) + 75%NPK (inorganic) application along with was comparatively better for improving growth and development of banana plantlet as it influences other process such as nutrient transformation, uptake of trace element and plant hormones.

Results of present investigation are in close confirmation with the findings of Saxena (2010). This work is strongly supported by Krishnaveni et al., (2010). Data derived from the inoculated treatments showed better root system and survived better during the acclimatization stage. These results are in line with the earlier reports indicating that inoculants significantly improved banana nutrition.
It is concluded that incorporation of additives like bio-fertilizers and vermicompost has not only promoted the growth and development, but also may enhance plantlets by reducing the post transplanting shock and enhancing the per cent survival in the field. Giving *Azotobacter* and PSB inoculants in primary and secondary hardening of banana plantlets may produce quality planting material in banana.
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