Non-contiguous finished genome sequence and description of *Halopiger goleamassiliensis* sp. nov.
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*Halopiger goleamassiliensis* strain IIH³T sp. nov. is a novel, extremely halophilic archaeon within the genus *Halopiger*. This strain was isolated from an evaporitic sediment in El Golea Lake, Ghardaïa region (Algeria). The type strain is strain IIH³T. *H. goleamassiliensis* is moderately thermophilic, neutrophilic, non-motile and coccus-shaped. Here we describe the features of this organism, together with the complete genome sequence and annotation. The 3,906,923 bp long genome contains 3,854 protein-encoding genes and 49 RNA genes (1 gene is 16S rRNA, 1 gene is 23S rRNA, 3 genes are 5S rRNA, and 44 are tRNA genes).

Introduction

*Halopiger goleamassiliensis* sp. nov. strain IIH³T (=KC 430940 =CSUR P3036 = DSM on-going deposit) is the type strain of *H. goleamassiliensis* sp. nov. This organism is a Gram-negative, extremely halophilic, moderately thermophilic and strictly aerobic archaeon. It was isolated from an evaporitic sediment in El Golea Lake, Ghardaïa region (Algeria) as part of a project studying archaeal diversity in hypersaline Lakes of Algeria. The number of genera and species belonging to *Halobacteria* (*Archaea, Euryarchaeota*) has increased recently due to studies of several different hypersaline environments (thalssohaline and athalssohaline) combined with the use of different isolation media and culture conditions [1]. At the time of writing, the family *Halobacteriaceae*, the single family described within the order *Halobacteriales*, accommodated 40 recognized genera [2]. The genus *Halopiger* was proposed by Gutiérrez et al. (2007) [3] and contains only three species, *Halopiger xanaduensis* isolated from the Shangmatala Lake (China) [3], *Halopiger aswanensis* isolated from a hypersaline soil in Aswan (Egypt) [4] and *Halopiger salifodinae* recently isolated from a salt mine in Kuche county, Xinjiang province, China [5]. So far, this genus is composed of strictly aerobic, Gram-negative, polymorphic and pigmented strains. We have recently used [6-18] a polyphasic approach for prokaryotic classification [19] that includes genomic data [20,21], MALDI-TOF spectra [22,23] and major phenotypic characteristics.

Using this approach, we report here a summary classification and a set of features for *Halopiger goleamassiliensis* sp. nov. strain IIH³T together with the description of the complete genomic sequencing and annotation. These characteristics support the circumscription of the *H. goleamassiliensis* species.

Classification and features

*H. goleamassiliensis* was isolated from an evaporitic sediment of the hypersaline Lake El Golea in Ghardaïa region of Algeria. The sediment sample (1g) was enriched in a liquid SG medium [24] containing ampicillin (100 μg/mL) at 55°C on a rotary shaking platform (150 rpm) for 7 to 15 days. Serial dilutions of enrichment cultures were plated on SG agar plates and incubated aerobically at 55°C. After 2 to 6 weeks of incubation, representative colonies were picked and maintained in the SG medium at 55°C. Strain IIH³T (Table 1) was isolated in 2012 by cultivation in aerobic condi-
tions at 55°C and stored at –80 ºC with 25% (v/v) glycerol.

Genomic DNA was extracted and purified using the Genomic DNA purification kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL) Hoerd, France. The 16S rRNA gene was amplified by PCR using the primers 21AF: TTCCGGTTGATCCTGCCGA and RP2: ACGGCTACCTTGTTAGACTT. A total of 1,444 bases were identified. The sequence was compared with available sequences in GenBank using a BLAST search [36]. The strain exhibited 96% nucleotide sequence similarities with Halopiger xanaduensis [3]. These values were lower than the 98.7% 16S rRNA gene sequence threshold recommended by Stackebrandt and Ebers to delineate a new species without carrying out DNA-DNA hybridization [37]. A phylogenetic tree (Figure 1) was constructed using the neighbor-joining method with the MEGA 5 program package [38] after multiple alignments of the data using MUSCLE [39]. Evolutionary distances were calculated using the Tamura-Nei model [40].

Table 1. Classification and general features of Halopiger goleamassiliensis according to the MIGS recommendations [25].

| MIGS ID | Property            | Term                                      | Evidence code\(^a\) |
|---------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|
|         | Domain              | Archaea                                   | TAS [26]            |
|         | Phylum              | Euryarchaeota                              | TAS [27]            |
|         | Class               | Halobacteria                               | TAS [28,29]         |
|         | Current classification | Order Halobacteriales                       | TAS [30-32]         |
|         |                     | Family Halobacteriaceae                    | TAS [33,34]         |
|         |                     | Genus Halopiger                            | TAS [3]             |
|         | Species             | Halopiger goleamassiliensis               | IDA                 |
|         |                     | Type strain IIH\(^T\)                      | IDA                 |
|         | Gram stain          | Negative                                   | IDA                 |
|         | Cell shape          | Coccus                                    | IDA                 |
|         | Motility            | Non-motile                                 | IDA                 |
|         | Sporulation         | None                                      | IDA                 |
|         | Temperature range   | Thermophile, between 40°C and 60°C        | IDA                 |
|         | Optimum temperature | 55°C                                      | IDA                 |
| MIGS-6.3 | Salinity           | Halophile, 22.5%-25% (optimum)            | IDA                 |
| MIGS-22 | Oxygen requirement  | Aerobic                                    | IDA                 |
|         | Carbon source       | Sugar or amino acids                       | IDA                 |
|         | Energy metabolism   | Heterotrophic                              | IDA                 |
| MIGS-6  | Habitat             | Salt Lake sediment                        | IDA                 |
| MIGS-15 | Biotopic relationship | Free living                            | IDA                 |
| MIGS-14 | Pathogenicity       | Non-pathogenic                             | NAS                 |
|         | Biosafety level     | 1                                         | NAS                 |
|         | Isolation           | Sediment of El Golea Lake                 | IDA                 |
| MIGS-4  | Geographic location | Algeria                                   | IDA                 |
| MIGS-5  | Isolation time      | 2012                                      | IDA                 |
| MIGS-4.1| Latitude            | 30-34 N                                   | IDA                 |
| MIGS-4.2| Longitude           | 002-52 E                                  | IDA                 |
| MIGS-4.3| Depth               | Surface                                   | IDA                 |
| MIGS-4.4| Altitude            | 397                                       | IDA                 |

\(^a\)Evidence codes - IDA: Inferred from Direct Assay; TAS: Traceable Author Statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature); NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence). These evidence codes are from the Gene Ontology project [35]. If the evidence is IDA, then the property was directly observed for a live isolate by one of the authors or an expert mentioned in the acknowledgements.
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Phenotypic characterization was carried out according to the recommended minimal standards for the description of new taxa in the order Halobacterales [41]. Table 2 summarizes the differential phenotypic characteristics of *H. goleamassiliensis* sp. nov. IIH3<sup>T</sup>, *H. xanaduensis* SH-6<sup>T</sup>, *H. aswanensis* 56<sup>T</sup> and *H. salifodinae* KCY076B2<sup>T</sup>. Different growth temperatures (30, 37, 40, 50, 55, 60°C), pH values (5, 6, 7, 7.5, 8, 8.5, 9, 10, 11, 12) and NaCl concentrations (0, 10, 12, 15, 20, 22.5, 25, 30% W/V) were tested on strain IIH3<sup>T</sup>. Cell growth was observed between 40°C and 60°C (optimum at 55°C), between 15% and 30% NaCl (optimum at 22.5-25 % NaCl) and at 7 to 11 pH values (optimum at pH 8).

Under optimal growth conditions on SG agar medium and after incubation for 15-20 days at 55°C, colonies were salmon pigmented, circular with a diameter of 1-2 mm. Cell morphology and motility were examined by using light microscopy and phase-contrast microscopy. Gram staining was performed using samples fixed with acetic acid, as described by Dussault in 1955 [42]. Cells are Gram-negative, cocci (Figure 2) measuring 0.8-1.5 μm in diameter (Figure 3). Motility and spores or capsules were not observed. All the following biochemical and nutritional tests were realized in duplicate. Strain IIH3<sup>T</sup> was found to be oxidase- and catalase- positive. The strain is extremely halophilic and cell lysis is observed in distilled water. It is a strictly aerobic organism and anaerobic growth does not occur even in the presence of KNO<sub>3</sub> or arginine. Neither magnesium nor amino acids are required for growth. Tween 80, gelatin, and lipids from egg yolk are hydrolysed, whereas urea, starch, casein, and phosphatase are not. Production of indole and methyl red, Voges–Proskauer and Simmons’ citrate tests are negative. H<sub>2</sub>S is not produced from cysteine.
Utilization of carbohydrates and other compounds as sole carbon sources and acid production from these compounds were determined as described by Oren [41]. Several sugars and amino acids can serve as sole carbon and energy sources (Table 2). Antibiotic sensitivity tests were determined on SG medium agar plates with antibiotic discs. Strain IIH3T is susceptible to bacitracin (10 μg), novobiocin (30 μg), streptomycin (10 μg) and sulfamethoxazole (25 μg), but resistant to ampicillin (10 μg), cephalothin (30 μg), chloramphenicol (30 μg), erythromycin (15 μg), gentamicin (10 μg), kanamycin (30 μg), nalidixic acid (30 μg), penicillin G (10 μg), rifampicin (30 μg), tetracycline (30 μg), and vancomycin (30 μg).
### Table 2. Differential phenotypic characteristics between strain IIH3\(^T\) and related species

| Characteristic          | *H. goleamassiliensis* | *H. xanaduensis* | *H. aswanensis* | *H. salifodinae* |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Cell morphology         | coccus                 | pleomorphic      | pleomorphic rods| ND               |
| Cell diameter (µm)      | 0.8-1.5                | 0.5-1.0×3.0-13.0 | 1.25-6.50×0.6-0.9 | ND               |
| Pigmentation            | salmon                 | red              | pink            | cream            |
| Oxygen requirement      | strictly aerobic       | strictly aerobic | strictly aerobic | strictly aerobic |
| Gram stain              | negative               | negative         | negative        | negative         |
| NaCl range (%,w/v)      | 15-30                  | 15-30            | 10-30           | 11-31            |
| NaCl optimum (%,w/v)    | 22.5-25                | 25               | 22.5-25         | 17-20            |
| Temperature range (°C)  | 40-60                  | 28-45            | 40-50           | 25-50            |
| Temperature optimum (°C)| 55                     | 37               | 40              | 37-45            |
| pH range                | 7-11                   | 6 -11            | 6-9.2           | 6-8              |
| pH optimum              | 8-8.5                  | 7.5-8            | 7.5             | 7.0              |
| Motility                | non-motile            | non-motile       | motile          | non-motile       |
| Catalase                | +                      | +                | +               | +                |
| Hydrolysis of starch    | -                      | -                | +               | -                |
| Tween 80                | +                      | +                | +               | -                |
| Casein                  | -                      | -                | -               | ND               |
| Gelatin                 | +                      | +                | -               | -                |
| Lipids from egg yolk   | +                      | ND               | -               | ND               |
| utilization of D-Glucose| +                      | +                | +               | +                |
| Galactose               | +                      | +                | ND              | -                |
| D-Xylose                | +                      | +                | +               | -                |
| Lactose                 | +                      | -                | -               | -                |
| Fructose                | -                      | -                | +               | -                |
| Starch                  | -                      | -                | +               | +                |
| Mannose                 | +                      | -                | ND              | +                |
| D-Ribose                | +                      | -                | ND              | -                |
| Sucrose                 | -                      | ND               | +               | ND               |
| Rhamnose                | +                      | ND               | ND              | -                |
| Mannitol                | -                      | -                | ND              | ND               |
| Citrate                 | -                      | -                | ND              | -                |
| L-Arginine              | -                      | -                | -               | -                |
| Indole production       | -                      | -                | +               | -                |
| Urease                  | -                      | +                | -               | -                |
| H2S production          | -                      | -                | +               | +                |

Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) is considered a reliable and rapid identification method for extremophilic prokaryotes [22,23] and it is used in the present study to characterize the strain IIH3\(^T\) as previously described [6-18]. A pipette tip was used to pick one isolated archaeal colony from a culture agar plate, and to spread it as a thin film on a MTP 384 MALDI-TOF target plate (Bruker Daltonics, Leipzig, Germany). The colonies from strain IIH3\(^T\) and from other species of archaea were spotted in triplicate. After air-drying, 1.5 µl of matrix solution (a saturated solution of α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnaminic acid [CHCA]
in 50% aqueous acetonitrile containing 2.5% trifluoroacetic acid) per spot was applied and allowed to dry for five minutes.

Mass spectrometric measurements were performed with a Microflex spectrometer (Bruker). Spectra were recorded in the positive linear mode for the mass range of 2000 to 20,000 Da. The acceleration voltage was 20 kV. The time of acquisition was between 30 seconds and 1 minute per spot. Spectra were collected as a sum of 240 shots across a spot. Preprocessing and identification steps were performed using the manufacturer’s parameters. The IIH3\textsuperscript{T} spectrum (Figure 4) was imported into the MALDI BioTyper software (version 2.0, Bruker) and analyzed by standard pattern matching (with default parameter settings) against the spectra of *Halofex mediterranei*, *Natrinema gari*, *Natrinema pallidum*, *Haloterrigena thermotolerans*, *Haloterrigena. sp*, *Halogeometricum. sp*, *Haloarcula. sp* and *Halopiger. sp* used as reference data in the BioTyper database (Figure 5).

A score enabled the identification, or not, from the tested species: a score $> 2.3$ with a validly published species enabled the identification at the species level, a score $> 1.7$ but $< 2$ enabled the identification at the genus level; and a score $< 1.7$ did not enable any identification. For strain IIH3\textsuperscript{T}, none of the obtained scores was $> 1$, thus suggesting that our isolate was not a member of a known species. We added the spectrum from strain IIH3\textsuperscript{T} to our database for future reference. Figure 5 shows the MALDI-TOF MS spectrum differences between *H. goleamassiliensis* and other Archaea.

![Reference mass spectrum from *H. goleamassiliensis* strain IIH3\textsuperscript{T}. Spectra from 12 individual colonies were compared and a reference spectrum was generated.](image)

**Figure 4:** Reference mass spectrum from *H. goleamassiliensis* strain IIH3\textsuperscript{T}. Spectra from 12 individual colonies were compared and a reference spectrum was generated.

### Genome sequencing information
#### Genome project history
The organism was selected for sequencing on the basis of its phylogenetic position and 16S rRNA similarity to other members of the genus *Halopiger*, and as part of a study of archaeal diversity in hypersaline lakes of Algeria. It is the second genome of a *Halopiger* species and the first sequenced genome of *H. goleamassiliensis* sp. nov. The EMBL accession number is CBMB01000001-CBMB010000011 and it consists of 3 scaffolds (HG315690-HG315692). A summary of the project information (PRJEB1780) and its association with MIGS version 2.0 recommendations [27] is shown in Table 3.
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**Figure 5.** Gel view comparing the *H. goleamassiliensis* strain IIH3T spectrum with those of other archaea. The Gel View displays the raw spectra of all loaded spectrum files arranged in a pseudo-gel like look. The x-axis records the m/z value. The left y-axis displays the running spectrum number originating from subsequent spectra loading. The peak intensity is expressed by the gray scale intensity. The scale shown on the right y-axis links the color to the peak intensity in arbitrary units.

**Growth conditions and DNA isolation**

*H. goleamassiliensis* sp.nov. strain IIH3T (= CSUR P3036 =DSM on-going deposit) was grown in SG medium at 55°C in aerobic condition. DNA was isolated and purified using the Genomic DNA purification kit, NucleoSpin Tissue procedure (MACHERY-NAGEL) following the standard protocol as recommended by the manufacturer. The quality of the DNA was checked on an agarose gel (0.8%) stained with SYBR safe. The yield and the concentration were measured by the Quant-it Picogreen Kit (Invitrogen) on the Genios Tecan fluorometer at 33.1 ng/µL.

**Genome sequencing and assembly**

A 5 kb paired-end sequencing strategy (Roche, Meylan, France) was used. This project was loaded on a 1/4 region on PTP Picotiterplate (Roche). Three µg of DNA was mechanically fragmented on the Covaris device (KBioScience-LGC Genomics, Teddington, UK) using miniTUBE-Red 5Kb. The DNA fragmentation was visualized through an Agilent 2100 BioAnalyzer on a DNA labchip 7500 with an optimal size of 4.7 kb. The library was constructed according to the 454 GS FLX Titanium paired end-protocol. After PCR amplification through 17 cycles followed by double size selection, the single stranded paired-end library was then loaded on a DNA labchip RNA pico 6000 on the BioAnalyzer. The pattern showed an optimum at 480 bp and the concentration was quantified on a Genios Tecan fluorometer at 642 pg/µL. The concentration equivalence of the library was calculated at 10⁸ molecules/µL. The library was stored at -20°C until further use, and amplified in stored at -20°C until further use, and amplified in 2 emPCR reactions at 0.25 cpb, in 2 emPCR at 0.5 cpb and in 2 emPCR at 1 cpb with the GS Titanium SV emPCR Kit (Lib-L) v2 (Roche). The yield of the 3 types of paired-end emPCR reactions was 3.68%, 8.05% and 10.69% respectively, in the quality range of 5 to 20% expected from the Roche procedure. These emPCR were pooled. Both libraries were loaded onto GS Titanium PicoTiterPlates (PTP Kit 70×75, Roche) and pyrosequenced with the GS Titanium Sequencing Kit XLR70 (Roche). The run was performed overnight and then analyzed on the cluster through the gsRunBrowser and Newbler assembler (Roche).
Table 3. Project information

| MIGS ID | Property                  | Term                                      |
|---------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| MIGS-31 | Finishing quality         | High-quality draft                        |
| MIGS-28 | Libraries used            | Paired-end 5 kb library                   |
| MIGS-29 | Sequencing platforms      | 454 GS FLX Titanium                       |
| MIGS-31.2 | Fold coverage          | 21.6×                                     |
| MIGS-30 | Assemblers                | Newbler version 2.5.3                     |
| MIGS-32 | Gene calling method       | Prodigal                                  |
| EMBL ID |                           |                                            |
|         | EMBL Date of Release      | June 18, 2018                             |
|         | Project relevance         | Study of the archaeal diversity in        |
|         |                           | hypersaline lakes of Algeria              |

A total of 271,702 filter-passed wells were obtained and generated 84.39 Mb with an average length of 325 bp. The passed filter sequences were assembled using Newbler with 90% identity and 40 bp overlap. The final assembly contained 12 contigs (11 large contigs >1500 bp) arranged in 3 scaffolds and generated a genome size of 3.9 Mb, which corresponds to a coverage of 21.6× genome equivalent.

Genome annotation

Open Reading Frames (ORFs) were predicted using prodigal with default parameters [43]. ORFs spanning a sequencing gap region were excluded. Assessment of protein function was obtained by comparing the predicted protein sequences with sequences in the GenBank [44] and the Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG) databases using BLASTP. RNAmmer [45] and tRNAscan-SE 1.21 [46] were used for identifying the rRNAs and tRNAs, respectively. SignalP [47] and TMHMM [48] were used to predict signal peptides and transmembrane helices, respectively. For alignment lengths greater than 80 amino acids, ORFans were identified if their BLASTP E-value was lower than 1e-03. An E-value of 1e-05 was used if alignment lengths were smaller than 80 amino acids. DNA Plotter [49] was used for visualization of genomic features and Artemis [50] was used for data management. The mean level of nucleotide sequence similarity was estimated at the genome level between \textit{H. goleamassiliensis} and 5 other members of the \textit{Halobacteriaceae} family (Table 6), by BLASTN comparison of orthologous ORFs in pairwise genomes. Orthologous proteins were detected using the Proteinortho software using the following parameters: e-value 1e-05, 30% identity, 50% coverage and 50% of algebraic connectivity [51].

Genome properties

The genome is 3,906,923 bp long and displays a G+C content of 66.06%. (Table 4, Figure 6) It is composed of 12 contigs (11 large contigs >1,500 bp) arranged into 3 scaffolds. Of the 3,903 predicted genes, 3,854 were protein-coding genes (COG), and 49 were RNAs (1 gene is 16S rRNA, 1 gene is 23S rRNA, 3 genes are 5S rRNA, and 44 are tRNA genes). A total of 2,359 genes (61.21%) were assigned a putative function (by COG or by NR BLAST) and 188 genes were identified as ORFans (4.88%). The remaining genes were annotated as hypothetical proteins (1059 genes = 27.48%). The distribution of genes into COG functional categories is presented in Table 4. The properties and the statistics of the genome are summarized in Tables 4 and 5.

Comparison with other genomes

Currently, only one genome from \textit{Halopiger} species is available. Here, we compared the genome of \textit{H. goleamassiliensis} strain IIH3T with those of \textit{H. xanaduensis} strain SH-6, \textit{Halalkalicoccus jeotgali} strain B3, \textit{Natronomonas pharaonis} strain DSM 2160, \textit{Haloterrigena turkmenica} strain DSM 5511 and \textit{Natrialba magadii} strain ATCC 43099. The genome of \textit{H. goleamassiliensis} (3.90 Mb) is larger than that of \textit{Halalkalicoccus jeotgali} and \textit{Natronomonas pharaonis} (3.69 and 2.75 Mb, respectively) but of a smaller size than \textit{H. xanaduensis}, \textit{Natrialba magadii} and \textit{Haloterrigena turkmenica} (4.35, 4.44 and 5.44 Mb respectively). The GC% content of \textit{H. goleamassiliensis} (66.06%) is higher than that of \textit{H. xanaduensis} (65.2%),
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Haloterrigena turkmenica (64.26%), Natronomonas pharaonis (63.1%), Halalkalicoccus jeotgali (62.5%) and Natrialba magadii (61.1%). H. golemassiliensis has more predicted protein-coding genes (3,854) than Haloterrigena turkmenica, H. xanaduensis Natrialba magadii, Halalkalicoccus jeotgali and Natronomonas pharaonis (3,739, 3,588, 3,559, 3,035 and 2,659 respectively). In addition, H. golemassiliensis shared a mean genomic sequence similarity of 67.60, 78.21, 76.27, 68.70 and 78.62% with Natronomonas pharaonis, Haloterrigena turkmenica, Natrialba magadii, Halalkalicoccus jeotgali and Halopiger xanaduensis respectively (Table 6).

Figure 6. Graphical circular map of the H. golemassiliensis IIH3\textsuperscript{T} genome. From the outside in: The first circle indicates the scaffolds, the next two circles show open reading frames oriented in the forward and reverse (colored by COG categories) directions, respectively. The fourth circle displays the rRNA gene operon (red) and tRNA genes (green). The fifth circle shows the G+C% content plot. The innermost circle shows the GC skew, purple and olive indicating negative and positive values, respectively.
Table 4. Nucleotide content and gene count levels of the genome

| Attribute                                | Value   | % of total\(^a\) |
|------------------------------------------|---------|------------------|
| Genome size (bp)                         | 3,906,923 | 100.00          |
| DNA G+C content (bp)                     | 2,581,064 | 66.06           |
| DNA coding region (bp)                   | 3,359,291 | 85.98           |
| Total genes                              | 3,903    | 100.00           |
| RNA genes                                | 49       | 1.26             |
| Protein-coding genes                     | 3,854    | 98.74            |
| Genes with function prediction           | 2,359    | 61.21            |
| Genes assigned to COGs                   | 2,446    | 63.47            |
| Genes with peptide signals               | 320      | 8.30             |
| Genes with transmembrane helices         | 906      | 23.51            |

\(^a\)The total is based on either the size of the genome in base pairs or the total number of protein coding genes in the annotated genome.

Table 5. Number of genes associated with the 25 general COG functional categories

| Code | Value | % of total\(^a\) | Description                                           |
|------|-------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| J    | 166   | 4.31             | Translation                                           |
| A    | 1     | 0.003            | RNA processing and modification                       |
| K    | 157   | 4.07             | Transcription                                         |
| L    | 113   | 2.93             | Replication, recombination and repair                 |
| B    | 3     | 0.08             | Chromatin structure and dynamics                      |
| D    | 18    | 0.47             | Cell cycle control, mitosis and meiosis               |
| Y    | 0     | 0                | Nuclear structure                                     |
| V    | 46    | 1.19             | Defense mechanisms                                    |
| T    | 128   | 3.32             | Signal transduction mechanisms                        |
| M    | 74    | 1.92             | Cell wall/membrane biogenesis                         |
| N    | 51    | 1.32             | Cell motility                                         |
| Z    | 0     | 0                | Cytoskeleton                                          |
| W    | 0     | 0                | Extracellular structures                              |
| U    | 27    | 0.70             | Intracellular trafficking and secretion               |
| O    | 114   | 2.96             | Post-translational modification, protein turnover, chaperones |
| C    | 168   | 4.36             | Energy production and conversion                      |
| G    | 122   | 3.17             | Carbohydrate transport and metabolism                 |
| E    | 266   | 6.90             | Amino acid transport and metabolism                   |
| F    | 70    | 1.82             | Nucleotide transport and metabolism                   |
| H    | 131   | 3.40             | Coenzyme transport and metabolism                     |
| I    | 107   | 2.78             | Lipid transport and metabolism                        |
| P    | 176   | 4.57             | Inorganic ion transport and metabolism                |
| Q    | 82    | 2.13             | Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism |
| R    | 510   | 13.23            | General function prediction only                      |
| S    | 248   | 6.43             | Function unknown                                      |
| -    | 1408  | 36.53            | Not in COGs                                           |
**Halopiger goleamassiliensis**

*The total is based on the total number of protein coding genes in the annotated genome.

**Table 6.** Orthologous gene comparison and average nucleotide identity of *H. goleamassiliensis* with other compared genomes (upper right, numbers of orthologous genes; lower left, mean nucleotide identities of orthologous genes). Bold numbers indicate the numbers of genes or each genome.

| Species (accession number) | *H. goleamassiliensis* (PRJEB1780) | *N. pharaonis* | *H. turbumenica* | *N. magadii* | *H. jeotgali* | *H. xanaduensis* |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| *Halopiger goleamassiliensis* (PRJEB1780) | 3854 | 1415 | 2036 | 1859 | 1542 | 2103 |
| *Natronomonas pharaonis* (NC_007426) | 67.60 | 2659 | 1393 | 1321 | 1254 | 1559 |
| *Haloterrigena turbumenica* (NC_013743) | 78.21 | 67.81 | 3739 | 1765 | 1559 | 2057 |
| *Natrialba magadii* (NC_013922) | 76.27 | 66.85 | 76.83 | 3559 | 1442 | 1828 |
| *Halalkalicoccus jeotgali* (NC_014297) | 68.70 | 67.76 | 68.97 | 67.55 | 3035 | 1589 |
| *Halopiger xanaduensis* (NC_015666) | 78.62 | 67.52 | 79.73 | 76.98 | 68.83 | 3588 |

**Conclusion**

On the basis of phenotypic, phylogenetic and genomic analyses, we formally propose the creation of *Halopiger goleamassiliensis* sp. nov. that contains the strain IIH3T. This archaeal strain has been found in Algeria.

**Description of *Halopiger goleamassiliensis* sp. nov.**

*Halopiger goleamassiliensis* (go.le’a. ma. si. li. en’sis. L. gen. masc. n. goleamassiliensis from the combination of *El Golea*, the Algerian region where the strain was isolated, and *massiliensis*, of Massilia, the Latin name of Marseille where the strain was sequenced). It has been isolated from an evaporitic sediment in El Golea Lake, Algeria. Colonies were smooth, salmon-pigmented and small with 1 to 2 mm in diameter under optimal growth conditions. Strain is strictly aerobic, extremely halophilic and moderately thermophilic archeon. Growth occurs at NaCl concentrations of 15-30%, at pH values in the range 7-11, and within the temperature range 40-60 °C. Optimal NaCl concentration, pH and temperature for growth are 22.5-25%, 8.0 and 55 °C, respectively. Magnesium is not required for growth. Cells are coccus-shaped (0.8-1.5 µm), Gram-negative, non-motile and lyse in distilled water. Cells are positive for catalase, oxidase and lysine decarboxylase production and negative for urease, arginine dihydrolase, ornithine decarboxylase, tryptophanase, phosphatase, β-galactosidase, D-mannitol, saccharose, starch, dextrose, and D-fructose fermentation. The following substrates are utilized as single carbon and energy sources for growth: pyruvate, D-glucose, D-mannose, D-ribose, D-xylene, maltose, sucrose, lactose, casamino acids, bacto-peptone, bacto-tryptone, and yeast extract. Tween 80, gelatin, and lipids from egg yolk are hydrolysed, whereas urea, starch, and casein are not. Methyl red, Voges-Proskauer, Simmons’ citrate tests, and H2S production are negative.

Cells are susceptible to bacitracin, novobiocin, streptomycin, and sulfamethoxazole but resistant to ampicillin, cephalothin, chloramphenicol, erythromycin, gentamicin, kanamycin, nalidixic acid, penicillin G, rifampicin, tetracycline, and vancomycin.

The G+C content of the DNA is 66.06%. The 16S rRNA and genome sequences are deposited in GenBank and EMBL under accession numbers KC430940 and CBMB01000001-CBMB0100000-11, respectively. The type strain IIH3T (=CSUR P3036 = DSM 27562) was isolated from an evaporitic sediment in El Golea Lake, Algeria.
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