Hypoxic-induced resting ventilatory and circulatory responses under multistep hypoxia is related to decline in peak aerobic capacity in hypoxia
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Abstract

Background: Several factors have been shown to contribute to hypoxic-induced declined in aerobic capacity. In the present study, we investigated the effects of resting hypoxic ventilatory and cardiac responses (HVR and HCR) on hypoxic-induced declines in peak oxygen uptake (VO₂peak).

Methods: Peak oxygen uptakes was measured in normobaric normoxia (room air) and hypoxia (14.1% O₂) for 10 young healthy men. The resting HVR and HCR were evaluated at multiple steps of hypoxia (1 h at each of 21, 18, 15 and 12% O₂). Arterial desaturation (ΔSaO₂) was calculated by the difference between SaO₂ at normoxia—at each level of hypoxia (%). HVR was calculated by differences in pulmonary ventilation between normoxia and each level of hypoxia against ΔSaO₂ (L min⁻¹ %⁻¹ kg⁻¹). Similarly, HCR was calculated by differences in heart rate between normoxia and each level of hypoxia against ΔSaO₂ (beats min⁻¹ %⁻¹).

Results: VO₂peak significantly decreased in hypoxia by 21% on average (P < 0.001). HVR was not associated with changes in VO₂peak. ΔSaO₂ from normoxia to 18% or 15% O₂ and HCR between normoxia and 12% O₂ were associated with changes in VO₂peak (P < 0.05, respectively). The most optimal model using multiple linear regression analysis found that ΔHCR at 12% O₂ and ΔSaO₂ at 15% O₂ were explanatory variables (adjusted R² = 0.580, P = 0.02).

Conclusion: These results suggest that arterial desaturation at moderate hypoxia and heart rate responses at severe hypoxia may account for hypoxic-induced declines in peak aerobic capacity, but ventilatory responses may be unrelated.
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Background

Recently, there have been an increase in the numbers of individuals visiting high-altitude locations for work, mountaineering, skiing, or exercise training. Maintaining physical performance, including aerobic capacity, at high altitude may be important for maximizing training adaptation and preventing accidents. However, it is well known that peak aerobic capacity (i.e., peak oxygen uptake; VO₂peak) progressively declines with increasing altitude [1]. Since VO₂ during moderate-intensity exercise is similar between normoxia and hypoxia ~12% O₂ [2, 3], a decline in VO₂peak at high altitude may affect submaximal exercise performance. Nonetheless, the underlying mechanism(s) causing the decline is unclear, and it is unknown whether ventilatory or circulatory responses are related to declines in VO₂peak.
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decline in \(\dot{V_o}_2\)peak at high altitude are controversial and have no universal consensus.

Hypoxic ventilatory responses (HVRs) can explain the reductions in \(\dot{V}_o\)2peak in hypoxia \([4, 5]\); however, another study disagreed \([6]\). An important point is that individual-related variability in response to hypoxic exposure may relate to hypoxic-induced changes in peak aerobic capacity \([6]\). Moreover, these studies evaluated only respiratory responses to hypoxia \([4–6]\) without evaluation of hypoxic cardiac responses to account for declines in \(\dot{V}_o\)2peak. Previous studies assessed HVR at only one level of hypoxia \([5, 6]\). To our knowledge, no studies evaluated HVRs at multiple steps of hypoxia against changes in peak aerobic capacity. Additionally, increasing altitude decreased \(\dot{V}_o\)2peak \([1]\) and blunted hypoxic cardiac responses (HCR) \([7]\); however, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have examined a relationship between changes in \(\dot{V}_o\)2peak and HCR even at one level of hypoxia. Thus, the level of hypoxia and resting hypoxic ventilatory and/or cardiac responses are necessary to exert hypoxic-induced changes in peak aerobic capacity effects. A previous study reported that climbers who maintained their arterial oxygen saturation level from an altitude of 2400 m to 5300 m did not develop symptoms of acute mountain sickness \([8]\). Thus, considering about actual site, progressive declines in oxygen level protocol may be relevant because it could simulate actual mountain climbing such as from sea level to gradual ascent.

This study therefore aimed to evaluate resting hypoxic respiratory and circulatory responses at multiple steps of hypoxia and to explain hypoxic-induced declines in \(\dot{V}_o\)2peak. We hypothesized that resting hypoxic respiratory and/or circulatory responses may account for declines in \(\dot{V}_o\)2peak in hypoxia and that these responses would change with different oxygen levels.

**Method**

**Participants**

This study used additional data from already published investigations \([9]\) from an entirely different perspective, which was approved by the ethical committee of the Mount Fuji Research Institute in Japan and performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. Ten healthy male lowlanders were involved with a mean age of 23 ± 2 years, height of 174.3 ± 7.8 cm, and body weight of 72.4 ± 16.7 kg (mean ± standard deviation). The participants did not engage in regular exercise. They were free from any known cardiorespiratory and cardiovascular diseases and had not taken any medications. Since a previous study demonstrated that previous intermittent hypoxic exposure influences hypoxic ventilatory and cardiovascular responses \([10]\), none of the participants was exposed to an altitude higher than 1500 m within 6 months before the study in accordance with a previous study \([11]\). Participants were requested to abstain from caffeinated beverages for 12 h and from strenuous physical activity and alcohol for at least 24 h before the study. They were familiarized with all measurement techniques, i.e., cycling exercise at 60 revolutions per minute, hypoxic exposure with a face mask. All participants signed an informed consent form.

**Procedures**

The study comprised three experimental protocols: determination of \(\dot{V}_o\)2peak (i) in normoxia, (ii) in hypoxia and (iii) in progressive normobaric hypoxia. All studies were performed at an ambient temperature of 24 ± 1°C.

A determination of individual \(\dot{V}_o\)2peak was performed using a bicycle ergometer (Monark 828E; Monark, Vansbro, Sweden) while inspiring room air (normobaric normoxia) or 14.1% \(O_2\) (normobaric hypoxia). This inspired oxygen fraction is equivalent to an altitude of 3200 m at which a large drop in oxygen saturation is observed with a small drop in pressure of \(O_2\) \([12]\), which in turn acts as a stimulator to enhance exercise performance in many athletes engaging in hypoxic training \([13]\). Participants performed an incremental leg cycling test with an increasing rate of 30 watts per minute at 60 revolutions per minute in an upright position until exhaustion. The criteria for exhaustion were as follows: (i) no increase in oxygen uptake, despite a further increase in work rate; (ii) heart rate 95% of age-predicted maximal values (220—age); (iii) rating of perceived exertion reaching 19; or (iv) failure to maintain pedaling frequency of 60 revolutions per minute despite a strong verbal encouragement. The test was terminated when at least two of the above four criteria were met \([14]\). The order of these two tests was randomized and counterbalanced.

For the progressive hypoxic exposure test, each participant sat on a comfortable chair in a semi-recumbent position. They breathed room air (21% \(O_2\)), followed by three graded hypoxic gas mixtures containing 18, 15, and 12% \(O_2\) for 60 min each. The last 5 min during the first 30 min of exposure (i.e., 25–30 min during each exposure period) were recorded (Fig. 1). This is because it has been reported that ventilatory responses was blunted 30 min after hypoxic exposure \([15]\), and other measurements were performed during the latter 30 min in the previous study \([9]\). The hypoxic gas was continuously blended using a gas mixing system (YHS-B05S; YKS, Nara, Japan) and delivered from a 200 L Douglas bag reservoir through a two-way, non-rebreathing valve and face mask (Fig. 2).

**Measurements**

Pulmonary ventilation (\(\dot{V}_{\dot{E}}\); L min\(^{-1}\)), \(\dot{V}_o\)2, and carbon dioxide output (\(\dot{V}CO_2\)) were continuously measured by
an online computerized metabolic cart (aero monitor AE-300S, Minato Medical Science, Osaka, Japan). The $\dot{V}_E$, $\dot{V}O_2$, and $\dot{V}CO_2$ were averaged every 10 s. Heart rate (HR; beats min$^{-1}$) and peripheral arterial oxygen saturation (SaO$_2$; %) were monitored with a wireless HR monitor (POLAR RC800X, POLAR Electro, Tokyo, Japan) and finger pulse oximetry (PULSOX-300i, Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan), respectively. These data (HR and SpO$_2$) were also averaged every 10 s.

Fig. 1 Experimental protocol for the assessment of resting hypoxic, ventilatory, and cardiac responses and arterial desaturation status. FiO$_2$, fraction of inspired oxygen.

Fig. 2 Experimental set up. HR, heart rate; SaO$_2$, peripheral arterial oxygen saturation.
Data analysis
Based on previous studies [7, 16], the hypoxic desaturation (ΔSaO₂), hypoxic ventilatory (HVR), and cardiac (HCR) responses were calculated by the following equations.

\[ \Delta \text{SaO}_2 = (\text{SaO}_2 \text{ at normoxia}) - (\text{SaO}_2 \text{ at each hypoxia } [18, 15, \text{ or } 12\% \text{O}_2]) \] (\%)

\[ \text{HVR} = (\dot{V}_E \text{ at each hypoxia} - \dot{V}_E \text{ at normoxia}) / (\Delta \text{SaO}_2 \text{ at each hypoxia} \times \text{body weight} / 100) \left( \text{L min}^{-1} \%	ext{kg}^{-1} \right) \]

\[ \text{HCR} = (\text{HR at each hypoxia} - \text{HR at normoxia}) / \Delta \text{SaO}_2 \left( \text{beats min}^{-1} \%	ext{kg}^{-1} \right) \]

The HVR and HCR were calculated using data every 10 s (see above) and averaged over the 5-min period. Since ΔSaO₂ is defined as the difference from normoxia to hypoxia, greater ΔSaO₂ values indicate greater arterial desaturation. Accordingly, greater values of HVR or HCR mean more effective responses to hypoxia [7, 16]. Percent changes in VO₂peak, HVR, and HCR was calculated by the following equation.

Percent changes in these physiological variables (VO₂peak, HVR, and HCR) were found between variables, we performed multiple linear regression analysis using variables that was recognized a significant relationship to explain changes in VO₂peak. Although high variance inflation factors (VIFs > 5) indicate multicollinearity [18], the VIFs were < 3 for all explanatory variables. To identify the optimal model containing the parameters to best explain the data, we performed model selection by backward stepwise elimination using Akaike Information Criterion based on our previous studies [19, 20]. All statistical analyses were performed using R ver. 2.13.1. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Table 1 showed cardio respiratory variables at exhaustion during an incremental exercise test.

Table 1 showed cardio respiratory variables at exhaustion during an incremental exercise test.

| Variable     | Normoxia (ml/min/kg) | Hypoxia (ml/min/kg) | P value |
|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------|
| VO₂peak      | 49.9±10.4            | 38.8±7.2            | <0.001 |
| CO₂peak      | 52.4±10.2            | 42.1±7.5            | <0.001 |
| V̇E, L/min    | 112.9±17.9           | 116.8±14.4          | 0.153  |
| RER          | 1.05±0.06            | 1.09±0.09           | 0.258  |
| HR, bpm      | 185±3                | 189±4               | 0.089  |

Values are mean ± standard deviation (SD). VO₂, pulmonary oxygen uptake; CO₂, carbon dioxide output; V̇E, pulmonary ventilation; RER, respiratory gas exchange ratio; HR, heart rate; bpm, beats per minute.
level-induced changes in $\dot{V}_O^2_{\text{peak}}$ and in SaO$_2$, HVR, and HCR. Changes in $\dot{V}_O^2_{\text{peak}}$ were associated with changes in SaO$_2$ from 21 to 18 and 15% O$_2$ and in the HCR from 21 to 12% O$_2$ (Fig. 3A, B, and I, $P < 0.05$), while other cardiorespiratory variables were not associated with changes in $\dot{V}_O^2_{\text{peak}}$ (Fig. 3C–H, all $P > 0.05$). Relative changes in $\dot{V}_O^2_{\text{peak}}$ were explained by the following equation using multiple regression analysis:

$$\text{Changes in } \dot{V}_O^2_{\text{peak}} = -24.03 + \left(18.6 \times \text{HCR from 21 to 12%O}_2\right) + \left(-1.23 \times \text{SaO}_2 \text{ from 21 to 15%O}_2\right); \text{ (adjusted } R^2 = 0.580, P = 0.02).$$

When using partial correlation coefficients, the contribution rate of each variable was 70.6% of HCR and 29.4% of SaO$_2$ for changes in $\dot{V}_O^2_{\text{peak}}$.

**Discussion**

We found that HCR and arterial desaturation, rather than HVR, may be associated with changes in $\dot{V}_O^2_{\text{peak}}$. Arterial desaturation increased with decreasing fractions of inspired O$_2$. $\dot{V}_E$ and HR also increased, possibly due to stimulation of peripheral chemoreceptors [21], increased sympathetic activity, and vagal withdrawal [22].

HVR reportedly significantly increased with decreasing O$_2$ levels (14.5–12.7–11.5% O$_2$) [7], which was partly inconsistent with our findings. Additionally, we found no significant relationships between $\Delta$HVR and $\Delta\dot{V}_O^2_{\text{peak}}$ at all O$_2$ levels (Fig. 3D–F), which contradicted a previous study [5]. These discrepancies may be related to HVR assessments across different time domains. In this study, the HVR at 12% O$_2$ was assessed after 2 h 25 min exposure to hypoxia (Fig. 1), whereas a previous study assessed the HVR during the first 4 min of hypoxic exposure [7]. An initial rise in $\dot{V}_E$ was followed by a marked decline after several minutes to an intermediate value over 25 min of hypoxia [15, 23]. Thus, our longer-term measurements may cause underestimation of increases

| Table 2 | Cardiorespiratory variables during progressive hypoxia |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------|
| **Inspired variables** | **One-way ANOVA results** |
| **Inspired oxygen level** | From 21 to 18% O$_2$ | From 21 to 15% O$_2$ | From 21 to 12% O$_2$ |
| $\dot{V}_E$, L min$^{-1}$ | 9.6±1.4 | 9.9±1.5 | 11.3±1.6$^{ab}$ | 12.0±1.7$^{ab}$ |
| HR, bpm | 62.4±9.2 | 63.8±8.6 | 67.9±9.3$^{ab}$ | 72.4±9.7$^{abc}$ |
| SaO$_2$, % | 97.0±0.6 | 94.3±1.0 | 89.4±1.9$^{ab}$ | 80.0±4.5$^{abc}$ |
| HVR, L min$^{-1}$ | 0.6±0.2 | 0.7±0.3 | 0.6±0.1 | 0.6±0.1 |
| **Values are mean ± standard deviation (SD). $\dot{V}_E$ pulmonary ventilation, HR heart rate, bpm beats per minute, SaO$_2$ arterial oxygen saturation** |

$^a$, $^b$, or $^c$ indicate significant differences vs. 21%, 18% or 15% O$_2$, respectively

| Table 3 | Cardiorespiratory variables during progressive hypoxia |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------|
| **Differences between each oxygen level** | **One-way ANOVA results** |
| **From 21 to 18% O$_2$** | From 21 to 15% O$_2$ | From 21 to 12% O$_2$ |
| $\Delta$SaO$_2$, % | 2.7±1.0 | 7.6±1.9$^a$ | 16.9±4.5$^{ab}$ |
| HVR, L min$^{-1}$ | 0.16±0.29 | 0.33±0.17$^a$ | 0.21±0.11 |
| HCR, beats min$^{-1}$ | 0.80±1.32 | 0.79±0.69 | 0.64±0.30 |
| **Values are mean ± SD. HVR, ventilatory response to hypoxia; HCR, cardiac response to hypoxia. * or $^b$ indicate significant differences vs. (21–18% O$_2$) or (21–15% O$_2$), respectively. Note that as $\Delta$SaO$_2$ was calculated as the difference from the values of normoxia to hypoxia, and therefore, greater plus values indicate greater desaturation** |
greater individual variance of HCR in the present study.

Another possible explanation may be arterial baroreflex function rather than pulmonary inflation of reflex, which is caused by vagal withdrawal to hypoxic exposure [27]. However, we must acknowledge that this hypothesis is speculative, and therefore, future studies should be warranted.

The ΔSaO₂ in this study was greater than that found in a previous study [7] (i.e., 7.6% at 15% O₂ and 16.9% at 12% O₂ vs. 4.3% at 14.5% O₂ and 9.9% at 11.7% O₂). Additionally, unlike a previous study [7], we found no significant differences in the HCR across different hypoxia levels. As greater ΔSaO₂ values lead to lower HCR, a greater reduction in SaO₂ may have mitigated the increase in HCR despite the elevation of HR. Although the detailed physiological

Fig. 3 Relationships between changes in peak oxygen uptake (VO₂peak) and arterial desaturation (ΔSaO₂ [A–C]), hypoxic ventilatory and cardiac responses (HVR [D–F] and HCR [G–I]) at each oxygen level.
mechanisms of ΔSaO₂ in hypoxia are uncertain, population features might be related with these inconsistencies. Specifically, the participants in the previous study had no history of acute mountain sickness, whereas about 60% of our participants experienced symptoms of AMS [9]. The degree of oxygen desaturation has been reported as an important predictor of acute mountain sickness [8, 16, 28–31].

Notably, we found significant relationships between ΔV̇O₂peak and hypoxic-induced cardiac responses (Fig. 3A, B, and I). Exercise-induced arterial hypoxemia influences the decline of V̇O₂max in mild hypoxia [32, 33]. Additionally, greater arterial desaturation and lower V̇O₂peak were reportedly observed in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients compared with those in healthy populations [34], suggesting a relation between arterial desaturation and aerobic capacity in healthy and diseases populations. The absence of a correlation between ΔSaO₂ and ΔV̇O₂peak at 21–12% O₂ in this study suggests elevated HR can compensate arterial desaturation (Fig. 3I).

To clarify the contributions of each index on the decline in V̇O₂peak, the optimal model could reveal further insight. The model showed that the contribution rate of HCR to changes in V̇O₂peak is approximately 2.3 times than that of SaO₂. This suggests that HR responses to greater arterial desaturation under severe hypoxia at rest play an important role in preventing declines in V̇O₂peak at moderate hypoxia. One study exhibited more than double circulatory (HR) than respiratory (V̇E) energy expenditure costs in hypoxia regardless of rest or exercise [35], which could support these findings.

Study limitations and strengths
A major strength of this study is the relatively simple method using a multistep resting hypoxia test to assess the hypoxic exercise-induced declines in peak aerobic capacity. However, several limitations include small sample size, limited physiological variables as other possible predictors, and the retrospective analysis of data [9]. Second, we recruited only young men for the present study. Previous studies reported that sex- and age-related hypoxic ventilatory and/or cardiac responses were different between men and women [36, 37] or between young and old adults [36]. Thus, to generalize our results for wider population, future studies are warranted including women and/or aged people. Finally, during an incremental exercise test, we could not measure SaO₂ that has been advocated as one potential candidate to decrease in V̇O₂peak in a previous study [32].

In conclusion, this study suggests that arterial desaturation at moderate hypoxia and cardiac responses at severe hypoxia may account for hypoxic-induced declines in peak aerobic capacity, and hypoxic ventilatory responses may be unrelated, at least, in the population of the present study.
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