Introduction

Intracranial pressure (ICP) monitoring has a critical role in predicting mortality of patients with severe brain swelling. Active treatment for elevated ICP reduces mortality and improves outcomes. Therapeutic hypothermia (TH) is one option for treating severe brain swelling; this method cools the core temperature of a patient to 32 to 35°C, which protects the brain from secondary injury. TH is believed to improve neurological outcomes by decreasing production of free radicals, protecting the blood-brain barrier, attenuating ionic disruption, and inhibiting the release of excitatory amino acids. However, even in recent studies, the effectiveness of TH is controversial. Several clinical trials of TH as a neuroprotective intervention in severe traumatic brain injury have been conducted, but the use of TH for patients with elevated ICP after surgical decompression has not been extensively evaluated. The goal of this study is to assess outcomes for patients who had undergone TH after surgical decompression for severe brain swelling and to investigate the relationship between TH and ICP, in terms of effectiveness and complications.

Materials and Methods

We reviewed patients who were treated for severe brain swelling with TH after decompressive craniectomy for severe brain swelling. The mean ICP in the TH group was significantly lower than in the normothermia group. Complications during the 3 days after surgery were not different between the groups, with the exception of hypokalemia in the TH group. Mortality in the intensive care unit (ICU) was higher in the normothermia group, but the functional outcomes 3 months after surgery were not different between the TH and normothermia groups.

Conclusion: TH after decompressive craniectomy was effective for lowering ICP in patients with severe brain swelling. TH also reduced mortality in the ICU, but it had no benefit in functional outcomes.
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swelling at a single care center between January 2012 and May 2016. Since we have been started TH from March 2014, patients of TH group was selected from whom visited our center between March 2014 and May 2016. Control group was selected from whom visited our center between January 2012 and March 2014 with corresponding characteristics to TH group. All patients underwent decompressive craniectomy due to severe brain swelling or large hematoma, which can elevate ICP. The frontotemporoparietal bone flap was removed during surgery. A ‘Spiegelberg parenchymal Probe 3PN’ device was inserted during surgery and ‘ICP-Monitor HDM 26.1’ was used to monitor ICP. After surgery, computed tomography (CT) was conducted and ICP was measured. For this study, we selected patients whose age range from 18 to 80, and had poor consciousness (Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) 3≤score≤7) with elevated ICP (>15 mmHg). We excluded patients with predisposing infection, coagulopathy, and pregnancy. Patients presenting hemodynamic instability, comatose state, and severe ICP elevation (>40 mmHg) at postoperative period were also excluded. And patients whose guardians did not agree for aggressive treatment nor TH had been excluded from selection. None of the patients had another surgery during this admission. Patients received conservative therapy for controlling ICP, including regular injections of mannitol (0.25 mg/kg bolus), elevating the head to 30º from the horizontal position, and ventilating to normocarbia (PaCO₂: 35–40 mmHg). Mild sedation with remifentanyl (1 mcg/kg/min) and propofol (75 mcg/kg/min) was implemented if patients did not tolerate the ventilator. Mean blood pressure was maintained between 90 and 100 mmHg and hypotension was avoided. Volume maintenance was controlled by maintaining the central venous pressure between 8 and 12 mmHg. ICP, blood pressure, and core temperature were monitored every hour. Laboratory values, including complete blood cell count, electrolytes, amylase, lipase, and blood coagulation tests, were monitored every 6 hours. The following abnormal laboratory findings were recorded: hypernatremia (serum sodium >150 mmol/L), hypokalemia (serum potassium <3.5 mmol/L), abnormal coagulation factor (international normalized ratio >1.2 or activated partial thromboplastin time >45 seconds), and pancreatitis (amylase >100 U/L or lipase >60 U/L). A chest X-ray and electrocardiogram were obtained daily to detect signs of pneumonia or arrhythmia. All patients received a modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score 3 months after the brain injury.

If TH was determined to be appropriate, induction of TH was completed by a full drip of frozen normal saline via intravenous peripheral lines and a Foley catheter. External cooling devices (ArcticSun® 5000 Temperature Management System and ArcticGel™ Pads; Medivance, Louisville, CO, USA) were used to induce and maintain cooling until the patient’s core temperature reached 33 to 34°C. The core temperature was measured by esophageal probe and closely monitored every hour. Remifentanil and propofol by intravenous continuous injection, as mentioned above, were used for sedation during TH. Vecuronium (1.2 mcg/kg/min) was considered when patients showed shivering. TH was maintained for 72 hours. After 72 hours of TH, the patient’s body was rewarmed at 0.5°C per hour until it reached 36.5°C. And CT was followed after rewarming is done.

Statistical analyses were completed using the SPSS software, version 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). We used the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test to compare TH patients and normothermic controls. We used 2-sided t-tests to compare simple continuous variables. All p-values less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

We reviewed a total of 24 patient records. All of the patients had undergone decompressive craniectomy due to severe brain swelling. TH was induced in half (n=12) of the patients immediately after surgery. And correspondent 12 patients who were maintained normal core temperature were selected (Table 1).

Clinical findings and outcomes between the TH and normothermia groups are compared (Table 2). The mean age of the TH group was 52.08±21.29 years (range, 19–75 years), and the mean age of the normothermia group was 59.08±10.55 years (range, 45–80 years). The average core temperature of the TH group was 37.61±0.88°C before induction of TH, and the temperature was maintained at 33 to 34°C during TH. The average core temperature of the normothermia group was 37.26±1.03°C.

Both groups showed elevated ICP (>15 mmHg) after surgery. The ICP obtained immediately after surgery of the TH group was 24.19±6.89 mmHg, and the ICP of the control group was 25.70±5.36 mmHg. During TH, the mean ICP of the TH group was 16.37±9.03 mmHg, which represents a decrease in ICP compared with ICP before TH. The mean ICP of the normothermia group during the 3 days after the surgery was 33.74±11.70 mmHg, which represents an increase in ICP directly after surgery (Table 2). We measured daily ICP changes in the TH and normothermia groups (Table 3). We observed small but signifi-
cant decreases in ICP each day in TH group, and daily ICP changes in the normothermia group showed increases each day. The mean GCS score before surgery of TH group was 5 and normothermia group was 4.88. The mean GCS score was slightly lower in the normothermia group but there was no statistical significance ($p=0.758$).

We also compared mean ICP changes and correlated the changes with time of TH (Table 4). We divided the TH group into 3 groups according to ICP after decompressive craniectomy: 15 to 20 mmHg (Group A), 20 to 30 mmHg (Group B), and 30 to 40 mmHg (Group C). Each group showed significant decrease in ICP. When comparing each groups, Group C showed a significantly larger decrease in ICP than Group A and B.

There was no significant difference in the occurrence of complications between the TH and normothermia groups. However, hypokalemia was noted more often in the TH group than in the normothermia group ($n=6/12$ vs. $n=1/12$, respectively). The mortality rate of patients in the intensive care unit (ICU) was different between groups: only 1 patient in the TH group died, and 5 died in the normothermia group. Only 1 patient in the TH group and 1 patient in the normothermia group had good mRS scores (lower than 2) 3 months after brain injury (Table 1).

### Discussion

Many clinical trials and review articles of TH have been published. The Eurotherm 3235 Trial was a large, randomized trial, and its results suggest that TH is beneficial for lowering ICP in patients with traumatic brain injury and ICP higher than 20 mmHg. This clinical trial is still ongoing. However, other recent studies suggest that TH has no benefit for clinical outcomes. Andrews et al. reported that TH

| No. | Sex | Age (years) | Diagnosis   | GCS score before TH | Temperature before TH (°C) | ICP before TH (mmHg) | ICP during TH (mmHg) | mRS* |
|-----|-----|-------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------|
| 1   | M   | 22          | SDH          | 7                   | 38.3                      | 17.3                 | 13.23                | 5    |
| 2   | M   | 67          | SDH          | 7                   | 37.7                      | 20.4                 | 16.97                | 4    |
| 3   | F   | 72          | Infarction   | 7                   | 37.1                      | 26                   | 10.53                | 5    |
| 4   | M   | 19          | EDH          | 6                   | 38.5                      | 37                   | 26.22                | 2    |
| 5   | M   | 52          | SDH          | 4                   | 37.7                      | 25                   | 7.85                 | 5    |
| 6   | F   | 75          | Infarction   | 5                   | 39                       | 15.5                 | 7.27                 | 5    |
| 7   | M   | 63          | SDH          | 3                   | 35.5                      | 27                   | 37.46                | 5    |
| 8   | F   | 51          | Infarction   | 4                   | 37.8                      | 28.2                 | 11.10                | 5    |
| 9   | M   | 18          | EDH          | 4                   | 37.4                      | 23                   | 22.79                | 5    |
| 10  | F   | 73          | Infarction   | 4                   | 38                       | 15.9                 | 8.57                 | 5    |
| 11  | F   | 63          | Infarction   | 4                   | 37.4                      | 34.6                 | 20.74                | 6    |
| 12  | F   | 50          | Infarction   | 5                   | 37                       | 20.4                 | 13.78                | 5    |

| No. | Sex | Age (years) | Diagnosis   | GCS score before TH | Temperature before TH (°C) | ICP before TH (mmHg) | ICP during TH (mmHg) | mRS* |
|-----|-----|-------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------|
| 1   | F   | 66          | Infarction   | 6                   | 36.2                      | 25.1                 | 48.79                | 6    |
| 2   | F   | 80          | SDH          | 5                   | 36.7                      | 22.3                 | 18.86                | 5    |
| 3   | M   | 52          | SDH          | 4                   | 37.7                      | 22.6                 | 22.53                | 5    |
| 4   | M   | 45          | s-ICH        | 5                   | 38.9                      | 22.3                 | 30.80                | 6    |
| 5   | F   | 70          | s-ICH        | 6                   | 36.7                      | 26.5                 | 30.94                | 5    |
| 6   | M   | 62          | SDH          | 3                   | 37.4                      | 24.6                 | 25.37                | 5    |
| 7   | F   | 58          | SAH          | 7                   | 37.7                      | 21.5                 | 37.81                | 5    |
| 8   | M   | 58          | SDH          | 4                   | 36.3                      | 22.5                 | 35.31                | 6    |
| 9   | M   | 52          | SDH          | 4                   | 37.1                      | 22.6                 | 26.96                | 5    |
| 10  | M   | 57          | t-ICH        | 4                   | 39.5                      | 40                   | 59.82                | 6    |
| 11  | M   | 46          | SDH          | 6                   | 36.5                      | 32.0                 | 41.03                | 6    |
| 12  | M   | 60          | SDH          | 4                   | 36.6                      | 26.4                 | 26.74                | 5    |

implement of therapeutic hypothermia, *mRS was evaluated 3 months after surgery. GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale, TH: therapeutic hypothermia, ICP: intracranial pressure, mRS: modified Rankin Score, M: male, F: female, SDH: subdural hematoma, EDH: epidural hematoma, s-ICH: spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage, SAH: subarachnoid hemorrhage, t-ICH: traumatic intracerebral hemorrhage
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plus standard care for traumatic brain injury successfully reduced ICP, but functional recovery did not improve compared with standard care alone. Dietrich and Bramlett[8] reported that TH has been successfully tested in several single-institution, clinical traumatic brain injury studies, but larger, randomized, multicenter trials have failed to show benefits of TH. Therefore, TH is still controversial and more research is needed to establish proper protocols for its use.

Limited research has evaluated the benefits of combina-

**TABLE 2.** Patients’ characteristics in therapeutic hypothermia and normothermia groups

| Patient characteristics | Hypothermia | Normothermia | p-value |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Age (years)             | 52.08 ± 21.29 | 59.08 ± 10.55 | 0.319 |
| Sex                     |              |              |         |
| Male                    | 6 (50.0%)    | 8 (66.7%)    | 0.408 |
| Female                  | 6 (50.0%)    | 4 (33.3%)    | 0.408 |
| Temperature before TH (°C) | 37.61 ± 0.88 | 37.26 ± 1.03 | 0.377 |
| ICP before TH (mmHg)    | 24.19 ± 6.89 | 25.70 ± 5.36 | 0.556 |
| ICP during TH (mmHg)    | 16.37 ± 9.03 | 33.74 ± 11.70 | 0.001 |
| GCS score at admission  |              |              |         |
| 7                       | 3 (25.0%)    | 1 (8.3%)     | 0.307 |
| 5–6                     | 3 (25.0%)    | 5 (41.7%)    | 0.307 |
| 3–4                     | 6 (50.0%)    | 6 (50.0%)    | 0.307 |
| Complications           |              |              |         |
| Thrombocytopenia        | 7 (58.3%)    | 8 (66.7%)    | 0.673 |
| Coagulopathy            | 7 (58.3%)    | 9 (75.0%)    | 0.386 |
| Pneumonia               | 9 (75.0%)    | 7 (58.3%)    | 0.386 |
| Hypokalemia             | 6 (50.0%)    | 1 (8.3%)     | <0.025 |
| Hypomagnesemia          | 4 (33.3%)    | 7 (58.3%)    | 0.219 |
| Pancreatitis            | 5 (41.7%)    | 1 (8.3%)     | 0.059 |
| Arhythmia               | 3 (25.0%)    | 5 (41.7%)    | 0.386 |
| Hypotension             | 9 (75.0%)    | 8 (66.7%)    | 0.666 |
| Mortality in ICU        | 1 (8.3%)     | 5 (41.7%)    | 0.059 |

|mRS*                     |              |              |         |
| 0–2                     | 1 (8.3%)     | 1 (8.3%)     | 1.000  |
| 3–6                     | 11 (91.7%)   | 11 (91.7%)   | 1.000  |

*mRS was evaluated 3 months after surgery. TH: therapeutic hypothermia, ICP: intracranial pressure, GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale, ICU: Intensive Care Unit, mRS: modified Rankin Scale

**TABLE 3.** Daily mean intracranial pressure changes in therapeutic hypothermia and normothermia groups

| ICP (mmHg) | Hypothermia | p-value | Normothermia | p-value |
|------------|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Initial*   |             |         |              |         |
| Day 1      | 17.4 ± 6.5  | 0.000   | Day 1        | 30.1 ± 6.2 | 0.032 |
| Day 2      | 17.2 ± 8.5  | 0.002   | Day 2        | 35.0 ± 11.4 | 0.016 |
| Day 3      | 15.7 ± 6.6  | 0.000   | Day 3        | 36.9 ± 14.5 | 0.021 |
| Post-TH†   | 17.2 ± 11.8 | 0.000   |              |         |

*ICP after surgery, †ICP during rewarming. ICP: intracranial pressure, TH: therapeutic hypothermia

**TABLE 4.** Intracranial pressure changes in therapeutic hypothermia group according to Intracranial pressure before therapeutic hypothermia

| TH groups       | ICP (mmHg) | p-value |
|-----------------|------------|---------|
|                 | Initial*   | TH†     |         |
| Group A (15–20 mmHg) | 17.27 ± 2.22 | 11.51 ± 4.44 | 0.029 |
| Group B (20–30 mmHg) | 24.93 ± 2.84 | 17.25 ± 11.15 | 0.006 |
| Group C (30–40 mmHg) | 35.80 ± 1.69 | 23.48 ± 3.87 | 0.014 |

*ICP after surgery, †mean ICP during TH. ICP: intracranial pressure, TH: therapeutic hypothermia
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tion therapy with TH and decompressive craniectomy for severe brain injury. Allahtavakoli et al. studied the benefits of TH and decompressive craniectomy after acute stroke in rats, and they reported that the combination of TH and decompressive craniectomy was more efficient than either technique alone. Els et al. also suggested that the combination of TH and decompressive craniectomy was effective for malignant ischemic stroke and improved functional outcomes.

In our study, initial ICP after decompressive craniectomy was similar in both the TH group and the normothermia group. After TH was induced, there were significant differences in ICP between the groups ($p=0.000$). The TH group showed a decrease in ICP, and these lower values were maintained during TH; the normothermia group showed a significant, severe increase in ICP, which can lead to secondary brain injury. It may be predicted to result from production of free radicals, destruction of the blood-brain barrier, aggravating ionic disruption, and releasing of excitatory amino acids those induced from brain injury. And as mentioned above, TH is believed to improve those neurological outcomes. The daily mean ICP values during TH were similarly decreased, and these changes were statistically significant ($p=0.002$). Patients in the TH group with the high ICP (20–40 mmHg) before TH showed significant decreases during TH. We observed no rebound elevation of ICP after normalization of core temperature (Table 3). These results suggest that TH is efficient for reducing ICP and can be helpful in cases of malignant brain swelling.

Hypokalemia was the only complication that occurred more frequently in the TH group than in the normothermia group. Other well-known complications of TH, such as thrombocytopenia, coagulopathy, pneumonia, electrolyte imbalance, and cardiac problems, occurred with similar incidences in both groups. This may be due to the fact that all patients had severe brain injury that required surgery, and these complications can result from the surgery itself or from secondary issues induced by severe brain injury. Overall, we conclude that TH is effective in managing the early phase of severe brain swelling and controlling ICP.

More patients in the normothermia group died in the ICU than in the TH group, but the difference was not statistically significant. These patients may have died due to the severe brain injury. Also, the lack of significance may be due to the small sample size, which included only 12 patients in each group. Still, we cannot rule out the efficacy of TH for improving mortality, and we recommend further investigation of this outcome. Overall, in our study, TH showed positive results in the early management of severe brain injury, but both the TH group and the normothermia group showed poor functional outcomes. Each group had only 1 patient who had a mRS score of less than 2 (i.e., a score indicating that patients were able to carry out all activities with slight disability). In current clinical trials, the decision to induce TH is based on early ICP monitoring and it is used for neuroprotective purposes. And surgical treatments are typically the second choice for controlling ICP. But, in our study, all patients had severe brain injury that required surgery. Therefore, the severity of brain injury in our study could have been worse than in other studies, which may have led to poorer prognoses and outcomes. Additional research of post-operative TH management should be conducted.

In our study, patients were treated according to the surgeons’ judgment, and causes of brain swelling were not same. We conducted this study with a retrospective design, and it was not a randomized trial. These factors may have contributed to selection bias.

**Conclusion**

Very few studies have been conducted about TH for post-operative care in severe brain injury. We suggest that TH is efficient and beneficial in the early management of post-operative care in patients with severe brain swelling and that TH improves mortality. TH is more effective in patients with higher ICP than in patients with lower ICP.
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