The Effect of Inventory Turnover Period on the Profitability of Listed Nigerian Conglomerate Companies
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Abstract

This study analyses the association concerning inventory turnover management and Nigerian conglomerate firms' profitability. The study is used a historical panel data analysis. Data were generated from the yearly accounts of listed firms from 2007 to 2016. The population of the study consists of six conglomerate firms registered on the Nigerian Stock Exchange. Feasible generalized least square (FGLS) regression was utilized as tools of analysis in the study. The findings establish that inventory turnover management affects Nigerian conglomerate companies' profitability inversely associated to the profitability of the listed conglomerate firms in Nigeria. The study suggests that there must be regular stock-taking to determine eventually, the slothful stocks to dodge over venture in such stocks (if any). Furthermore, if there is no high demand for the goods the inventory needs to be reduce that are obsolescence. Management should also implement an extraordinary inventory management measures.

Keywords: ITP, profitability, conglomerate companies

1. Introduction

Inventory is a critical composition of firms' current assets. The stocks of Industrial businesses habitually comprise: work-in-progress, raw materials, or finished products. Generally, to enhance working capital it is important to balance between having inventory for sales and keeping the as less inventory as possible. The company loses out income if there are fewer stocks, and the company cannot meet the demand of the client. At the same time, the company should be careful about holding too much inventory to avoid an opportunity cost as well as obsolescence. Therefore, inventory management is among the greatest confusing chores for managers, as they must try to cut the inventory handing expenses and also need reduce the cash conversion cycle. Reducing a stock close to zero level may cause deficiency of raw material for production or finished product in demand. Such condition must be expensive for any firm because of the incomes they would lose (Maness & Zietlow, 2005). Hence, good inventory control is always necessary and essential in managing working capital (Van, Hung, Van, & Xuan, 2019). Additionally, in Nigeria high costs of production as a result of poor infrastructure; the shortage of infrastructure has been one of the major threats to the profit maximization of many conglomerates firms. Therefore, especially in the conglomerate or manufacturing firms, the inventory turnover management is very important factor to be considered.

Furthermore, empirical findings on the relationship between inventory turnover and firm profitability are mixed. Whereas some postulated a positive relationship, which is any increase in stock turnover period will increase the firm’s revenues (Gill, Biger & Mathur, 2010; Rimo & Panbunyuen, 2010; Soekhoe, 2012; Warnes, 2013). On the other hand, some claimed a negative relationship, which is any increase in stock turnover period will reduce the firm’s returns (Alipour, 2011; Ali, Rahman, & Obaid, 2017; Lamptey, Frimpong, & Morrison 2017; Lee, Song, & Lee 2009; Panigrahi, 2013; Sharif & Islam, 2018; Usama, 2012). Moreover, globally there are limited studies that explored the role of inventory management on profitability of conglomerate firms. Therefore, this study examines the impact of inventory turnover management on the Nigerian conglomerate firm’s profitability. After that, this article is outlined as follows: subsequent section reviews related literature on issues related to the subject matter; it is tailed by the methodology; the next section centred on data analysis and interpretation of findings, and the final section
concludes the paper and suggests recommendations.

2. Literature Review on Inventory Management and Profitability

Inventories are operational working capital which can be optimized and influenced by firm activities. In conglomerate business, inventories is always one of the most valuable assets of a firm. Therefore, good inventory control is always necessary and essential in managing working capital (Van, Hung, Van, & Xuan, 2019). Moreover, growth in production level increases firm’s inventories. However, building unnecessary working capital in due course increases adverse effects on investors’ wealth (Gill, Mand, Obradovich, & Mathur, 2019). Therefore, an optimum Inventory Management strategy is essential for the firm to maximise its profitability.

Empirically, many researchers have studied the impact of Inventory Management on Profitability. The findings of these studies reviewed reveal the diverse outcome. For instance, Lee, et al. (2009) found that days of inventory increases in a manufacturing firm may result in better performance. They suggested keeping enough inventories for smooth flow of business and not to lose customers and sales. Likewise, Mathuva (2009) found a significant positive relationship between profits and inventory turnover days. From the developed American economy, Nobanee and AlHajjar (2009) found that inventory conversion period had a significant positive impact on returns. Moreover, Rimo and Panbunyuen (2010) findings show a significant positive link relating to profitability and inventory days. Furthermore, Soekhoe (2012), using 70 Dutch companies showed a positive significant effect of inventory conversion period on profitability which means that the more inventory the firm has the more benefits it gets. Likewise, Warnes (2013) using the data of listed conglomerate companies at Pakistan from 2007-2011 found that return on assets are significantly and positively impacted by the number of days inventory. In Nigeria, Samson, Josiah, Yemisi, and Erekpitan (2012) using Nigerian Small and Medium Sized Firms covering the year 2009 found that managers can create value by increasing their firms’ inventories turnover.

However, Eizadinia and Taki (2010) show that high inventory leads to lower profitability of the companies. There is also evidence from Alipour (2011) found a negative significant effect of inventory turnover on profitability. Likewise, Hayajneh and Yassine (2011) show a significant negative association between profitability and average inventory transformation period. Similarly, Pouraghajan, Rekabdarkolaei, and Shafie (2013) using the list of automotive companies registered in the Tehran Stock Exchange between 2006 and 2010 found a significant negative relationship between inventory turnover and ROA. Furthermore, Iqbal and Zhuquan (2015) found a significant negative relationship between ITP and profitability. It is recommended that managers can improve the profitability and value of their firms by reducing inventory turnover in days. Moreover, Ali, et al. (2017) shows a negative effect of inventory turnover in days on firms’ sales. Moreover, Lamptey, et al. (2017) inventory turnover days were significantly and negatively related to performance. Likewise, Sharif and Islam (2018) stated that the number of days in inventory has a negative effect on corporate profitability. Thus, based on prior studies it can be hypothesized that:

\[ H_0: \text{High Inventory turnover has a significant negative relationship with profitability in listed Nigeria conglomerate companies.} \]

3. Methodology

This study is based on historical panel data analysis. Therefore, ex-post facto research design is suitable for the study where the variables of the study were not classified as the event of the study has already occurred. The study covered the period from 2007 to 2016. The six listed conglomerate companies on the Nigerian Stock Exchange constitute the population of this study. Their annual reports are the primary sources of data for this study. Table 1 presents the six companies that make up the population and sample of the study:

| S/N | Company                             | Listed on | Paid-Up Capital (N)         |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| 1   | A.G Leventis Nigeria Plc            | 1978      | 1,323,645,000.00            |
| 2   | Chellarams Plc                      | 1977      | 361,463,000.00              |
| 3   | John Holt Plc                       | 1974      | 195,000,000.00              |
| 4   | SCOA Nigeria Plc                    | 1977      | 324,737,000.00              |
| 5   | Transnational Corporation of Nigeria Plc | 2006      | 12,906,999,000.00          |
| 6   | UAC of Nigeria Plc                  | 1974      | 800,360,000.00              |

Source: NSE Factbook 2016
Table 2 below presents the descriptions, acronyms, measurements and sources of the study variables.

Table 2. Descriptive of variable measurement

| Variables Description | Acronym | Formula | Source |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|--------|
| Return on Net Assets  | ROA     | The ratio of Net Income to Total Asset | (Afza & Nazir, 2009; Al-Absy, Ku Ismail, & Chandren, 2019; Falope & Ajilore, 2009). |
| Inventory Turnover Period | ITP | Average inventory divided Cost of Sales multiplies by 365 days | (Raheman & Nasr, 2007). |
| Firm Size             | FS      | Natural logarithm of total asset | (Al-Absy, Ku Ismail, & Chandren, 2018; Dong & Su, 2010; Gill et al., 2010; Raheman & Nasr, 2007). |
| Leverage              | LEV     | Proportion of a fixed asset to total assets | (Dong & Su, 2010; Gill et al., 2010; Raheman & Nasr, 2007). |

The functional relationships among these variables are therefore be defined as:

$$\text{ROA}_t = f(\text{ITP}, \text{SZ}, \text{LEV})_t + \epsilon_t$$

From this general form of the regression equation, a model is designed to test hypothesis developed. This model is consistent with the works of Garcia–Teruel and Martinez-Solano (2007), Falope and Ajilore (2009) and Hayajne and Yassine (2011).

$$\text{ROA}_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{ITP}_t + \alpha_2 \text{SZ}_t + \alpha_3 \text{LEV}_t + \epsilon_t$$

4. Data Analysis and Discussion of Results

Table 3 provides summary statistics for the variables of the study. All the variables were computed from the annual reports of the sampled companies.

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of the variables

| Variable | Obs | Mean   | Std. Dev. | Min  | Max    |
|----------|-----|--------|-----------|------|--------|
| ROA      | 60  | 3.9170 | 8.5023    | -16.7700 | 37.9900 |
| ITP      | 60  | 124.0332 | 84.7397 | 1.6400 | 369.3800 |
| SZ       | 60  | 16.7318 | 1.1111 | 14.8500 | 19.2500 |
| LEV      | 60  | 0.5892 | 0.2566 | 0.1500 | 1.4900 |

Table 3 discloses that the ROA of the conglomerate companies has an average of 3.92% ranged from a minimum return of 16.77% to a maximum of 37.99%, this signify that for everyone Naira worth of net investment, the worst loss for the industry was N16.77 and the best earning was a maximum of N37.99 kobo. Industrial firms have an average earning of 3.92% on its net investment with a high degree of risk, as returns varied at both sides of the scale have 8.50%. Despite the fact that some industrial firms could shorten this range to 1 to 2 days only, others were not able to turn inventories into sales before 369 days.

In order to analyze the nature of the correlation between the independent and the dependent variables and to make sure if there is multi-collinearity because of the correlation among variables, Correlation analysis assesses the inter-relationship and association between variables. The Pearson correlation analysis is used here to assess the relationship between the variables of ITP and profitability Table 4 is computed for this purpose. The correlation matrix in Table 4 provides some insights into which of the independent variables are related to the dependent variable ROA.
Table 4. Correlation coefficients of the variables

|       | ROA  | ITP  | SZ   | LEV  |
|-------|------|------|------|------|
| ROA   | 1.000| -0.0986| 1.000| 1.05 |
| ITP   | -0.0986| 1.000 | -0.2165*| 1.10 |
| SZ    | -0.0952| -0.2165*| 1.000 | 1.04 |
| LEV   | -0.4656***| -0.0081| -0.1998| 1.000 |

From the above Table 4, the values on the diagonal are all 1.000, indicating that each variable is perfectly correlated with itself. The highest correlations with ROA is for LEV (-0.4656) which is negative, which implies there is lack of multicollinearity with ROA and all variables. Similarly, the correlations in the explanatory variables ascertain absence of multicollinearity as the maximum correlation coefficient is that of ITP and LEV with a positive value of -0.2165. Regarding the nature of the correlation between the dependent and the independent variables, the relationship between Return On Assets and ITP shows a negative and insignificant amounted to only -0.0986 which is less than 10%, which implies as ITP reduces by less than 9.86% ROA will increase by the same percentage. Similarly, from the Table 4, the VIF range from 1.04 to 1.10 indicates absence of Multi-collinearity.

Aiming to establish the effect of ITP in the management of working capital on the profitability of conglomerate firms in Nigeria, the regression equation, ROA<sub>t</sub> = α<sub>0</sub> + α<sub>1</sub>ITP<sub>t</sub> + α<sub>2</sub>SZ<sub>t</sub> + α<sub>3</sub>LEV<sub>t</sub> + ε<sub>t</sub>, model is run. Base on the Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier (BPML) test of 1.000 OLS is recommended as the most appropriate regression for the dataset. Pesaran's test provides an evidence of absence of cross-sectional independence in the dataset, however, heteroskedasticity and first-order autocorrelation (AR1) is found in which it necessitated the use of FGLS to solve these problems as the N<T as recommended by Hoechle (2007). Using FGLS the regression result of the Impact of ITP on Profitability is evaluated from the model summary as presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Regression results of the impact of ITP on profitability using FGLS

|       | Coef.  | Std. Err. | z      | P>z  |
|-------|--------|-----------|--------|------|
| ROA   |        |           |        |      |
| ITP   | -0.0153| 0.0113    | -1.3500| 0.0875|
| SZ    | -1.7658| 0.8800    | -2.0100| 0.0225|
| LEV   | -16.9972| 3.7205   | -4.5700| 0.0000|
| Cons  | 45.3763| 15.7256   | 2.8900 | 0.0020|
| R-square | 0.2362 |          |        |      |
| Prob > F | 0.0000 |          |        |      |
| BPML test | 1.0000 |          |        |      |
| Hausman specification test | 0.0611 |          |        |      |
| Link test | 0.1130 |          |        |      |
| Modified Wald test heteroskedasticity | 0.0000 |          |        |      |
| First-order autocorrelation | 0.0160 |          |        |      |
| Pesaran's test of cross-sectional independence | 0.7725 |          |        |      |

The outcome of Table 5 showed an R<sup>2</sup> of 27.59% indicating that the variables considered in the model account for about 27.59% change in the dependent variables that is ROA, while the remaining of the change is because of other variables not addressed by this model. Likewise, the P-Value of 0.0000, as well as Link test of 0.1130, proved the model to be fit. From the same result in Table 5, using one-tail test p-value of ITP 0.0875 is higher than 0.05, and for a null hypothesis to be rejected the p-value has to be lower than 0.05 (for a 95% confidence level) or an alpha of 0.10 (for a 90% confidence level), thus the ITP has significant influence on the dependent variable (ROA) as the p-value of 0.0875 is lower than 0.10. This is consistent with Alipour (2011); Lee, et al. (2009); Panigrahi (2013); Usama (2012) findings that there is an inverse relationship between the high inventory turnover and profitability. However,
oppose with Ali (2011); Rimo and Panbunyuen (2010); Soekhoe (2012); and Warnes (2013) that attest a positive relationship.

In the overall considering both correlation and regression results, the result of correlation between ROA and ITP show a negative 0.0986 which implies as ITP reduces by less than 10% ROA will increase by the same percentage and on the other hand both z-value and p-value reveal a significant negative relationship between ITP and ROA, thus it will be deduced that relationship between the ITP and profitability of listed conglomerate firms in Nigeria is significant and negative.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

From the above discussions of the research findings it can be concluded that ITP is negatively and significantly related to the profitability of the listed conglomerate companies in Nigeria. This is because, if a firm keeps a high level of stock with liberal credit policy, the firm’s sales are expected to growth; this will ultimately increase the firm’s profitability. Nevertheless, the inventory days an increase is always attached with additional storing cost. Therefore, a regular stock checking should be done frequently to evade over-relying on dawdling moving stocks (if any).

Also conglomerates firms should emphasize to increase sales to increase inventory turnover to achieve maintainable competitive advantage through managing inventory to optimal level so as to maximize profitability. So, this article proves that, an efficient inventory management can increase profitability of all firms in conglomerates industry of Nigeria. This study is limited to the sample of Nigerian Stock Exchange listed conglomerates firms only. Future studies should investigate beyond the Nigerian Stock Exchange listed conglomerates firms.
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