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Cohesin, the sister chromatid cohesion complex, is an essential complex that ensures faithful sister chromatid segregation in eukaryotes. It also participates in DNA repair, transcription and maintenance of chromosome structure. Mitotic cohesin is composed of Smc1, Smc3, Scc3, and Rad21/Mcd1. The meiotic cohesin complex contains Rec8, a Rad21 paralog and not Rad21 itself. Very little is known about sister chromatid cohesion in fungal plant pathogens. Fusarium oxysporum is an important fungal plant pathogen without known sexual life cycle. Here, we describe that F. oxysporum encodes for three Rad21 paralogs; Rad21, Rec8, and the first alternative Rad21 paralog in the phylum of ascomycete. This last paralog is found only in several fungal plant pathogens from the Fusarium family and thus termed rad21nc (non-conserved). Conserved rad21 (rad21c), rad21nc, and rec8 genes are expressed in F. oxysporum although the expression of rad21c is much higher than the other paralogs. F. oxysporum strains deleted for the rad21nc or rec8 genes were analyzed for their role in fungal life cycle. Δrad21nc and Δrec8 single mutants were proficient in sporulation, conidia germination, hyphal growth and pathogenicity under optimal growth conditions. Interestingly, Δrad21nc and Δrec8 single mutants germinate less effectively than wild type (WT) strains under DNA replication and mitosis stresses. We provide here the first genetic analysis of alternative rad21nc and rec8 paralogs in filamentous fungi. Our results suggest that rad21nc and rec8 may have a unique role in cell cycle related functions of F. oxysporum.
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INTRODUCTION

Fusarium oxysporum is a soil-borne plant pathogen that infects more than hundred plant species and causes severe yield losses (Dean et al., 2012). F. oxysporum has polyphyletic origin with lineage-specific chromosomes that encode for pathogenicity genes (Ma et al., 2010, 2013). These lineage specific chromosomes are mobile; they can be transferred between isolates passaging pathogenic traits (Ma et al., 2010). In addition, F. oxysporum is capable of exchanging segments of chromosomes between isolates although a sexual life cycle was never identified. This suggests that parasexual recombination does occur in this fungus (Vlaardingerbroek et al., 2016). Very little is known about chromosome transmission and parasexual recombination at the mechanistic level in F. oxysporum. The motivation of this study is to identify F. oxysporum-specific chromosomal
The cohesin complex is part of a broad family of proteins found in prokaryotes and eukaryotes containing the SMC motifs. In the cohesin family of proteins; the kleisin subunit bridges between the two SMC subunits (Nasmyth and Haering, 2005; Gligoris et al., 2014; Palecek and Gruber, 2015). There are several kleisin families; here we focus on α-kleinsins that are part of the cohesin complex (Nasmyth and Haering, 2005). The α-kleinsins subunits connect Smc1 and Smc3 by binding their globular heads. In yeast, the Mcd1 (Rad21) N-terminal is bound to Smc3 and the C-terminal to Smc1 (Haering et al., 2002). Besides binding Smc1 and Smc3 in eukaryotes α-kleins contain separate cleavage sites that allow destruction of the cohesin complex in anaphase (Uhlmann et al., 1999). The cohesin complex holds the newly replicated sister chromatids till anaphase thus it ensures the proper segregation of chromatids (Spencer et al., 1990; Guacci et al., 1997; Onn et al., 2008; Covo et al., 2012, 2014). Cohesin also has an important role in determining the efficiency and fidelity of homologous recombination by facilitating recombination between sister chromatids and excluding recombination between homologous chromosomes (Sjögren and Nasmyth, 2001; Únal et al., 2004; Covo et al., 2010). During meiosis, an alternative form of cohesin is formed that functions in a different way; meiotic cohesins suppress recombination between sister chromatids and facilitate recombination between homologous chromosomes (Zickler and Kleckner, 1999; Kim et al., 2010).

Cohesin also functions in transcription regulation of mRNA and rRNA (Lengronne et al., 2004; Bose and Gerton, 2010). It has a major role in maintaining 3D chromatin structure by supporting chromatin loops formations that bring distant genome parts together (Kagey et al., 2010; Li et al., 2013; Kakui and Uhlmann, 2018; van Ruiten and Rowland, 2018 and the references therein).

All the subunits of cohesin are well conserved across eukaryotes, however, there are several examples of lineage-specific gene duplication of some subunits. In Arabidopsis thaliana two RAD21 paralogs (ArRAD21.2/SYN3 and ArRAD21.3/SYN4) have a role in somatic DNA double strand break repair (Dong et al., 2001; da Costa-Nunes et al., 2006, 2014; Bolaños-Villegas et al., 2017). In addition, SYN1 encodes for a Rad21/Rec8 like protein that functions in meiosis. Arabidopsis SYN1 gene mutants are male and female sterile, defective in chromosome condensation and pairing start at leptotene stage of meiosis I. SYN1 is dispensable for somatic and vegetative growth though (Bai et al., 1999; da Costa-Nunes et al., 2014). DIF1 is another Arabidopsis homolog of Rec8/Rad21, mutants are completely male and female sterile and showed multiple meiotic defects in Arabidopsis (Bhatt et al., 1999).

In Caenorhabditis elegans and mammals, it was shown that at least two RAD21/REC8 paralogs function in a non-redundant manner in meiosis (Severson et al., 2009; Ishiguro et al., 2011; Severson and Meyer, 2014). In conclusion, all reported Rad21 paralogs were shown to be functional. To the best of our knowledge, only two Rad21 paralogs were reported in fungi, the mitotic Rad21 and the meiotic Rec8. Interestingly, even fungi without known sexual life cycle like F. oxysporum encode for rec8. Here, we report that three paralogs of rad21 are encoded in the genome of F. oxysporum. One is the conserved, canonical rad21 (rad21c), another is a non-conserved rad21 (rad21nc) and a meiotic specific, i.e., rec8. Based on genetic analysis of rad21nc and rec8 paralogs in F. oxysporum we suggest that the alternative paralogs are also functional and they may have a Fusarium-specific function in cell cycle regulation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Phylogenetic Analysis of the rad21 Paralogs**

Protein sequences were collected from 12 fungal species for rad21 paralogs and analyzed; the orthologues were aligned using MAFFT v7.221 (Katoh et al., 2009) with default parameters for protein alignment. Guidance2 was used to remove untrustable positions from the alignment with a Guidance score below score 0.93 (Sela et al., 2015). A phylogenetic tree with 100 bootstrap replicates was then reconstructed using RAxML v8.2.11 (Stamatakis, 2014) with GAMMA model of rate heterogeneity (Yang, 1994) and the GTR substitution model. Species tree was reconstructed by 90 DNA Repair proteins listed in Supplementary Table S1.

**Analysis of Rad21nc Sequence and Predicted Structures**

Sequence alignments were done with ClustalX2 (Larkin et al., 2007). Structural predication was done by using I-TASSER (Zhang, 2008; Roy et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2015) PDB 1W1W and 4UX3 were assigned as modeling templets for F. oxysporum Rad21nc sequence (Haering et al., 2004; Gligoris et al., 2014).

**Fungal Strain and Culture Conditions**

All experiments described here used F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici strain 4287 (Fungal Genetics Stock Center #9935). Glycerol stock of original fungal strain is maintained at −80°C. For spore isolation, spores were inoculated in 50 ml in KNO₃ (1.36 gm yeast nitrogen base, 24 gm sucrose, 100 mM KNO₃ in 800 mL distilled water) medium in Erlenmeyer flask and incubated in 28°C at 250 rpm for 4–6 days. The mycelia/spore suspension was then filtered using a cell strainer (40 μm, SPL Life Sciences, South Korea), the filtrates were centrifuged and washed twice with distilled water. Spores were diluted and counted using a Neubauer counting chamber. Spores and mycelia were also grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates and incubated at 28°C for 5–7 days for various experiments.
RT-PCR and qPCR Expression Analysis for rad21 Paralogs

RT-PCR was performed to quantify the expression of the different rad21 paralogs in WT and mutant strains. RNA was isolated from spores 8 h post inoculation using Plant RNAeasy kit (Qiagen, United States). Then, cDNA was made by FastQuant RT Kit (Tiangen Biotech, China). Further, rad21 paralogs and act1 (genes) were amplified using specific primers (P16 to P23) described in Supplementary Table S2. SYBR green (Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States) was used for qPCR analysis using StepOnePlus™ Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, United States).

To analyze the effect of cell cycle arrest on the expression of rad21 paralogs fungal spores (0.2 billion) germinated in 10 mL of potato dextrose broth (PDB) with or without 100 mM hydroxyurea (HU) or 50 µg/mL benomyl for 14 h at 28°C, 250 rpm. RNA isolation and cDNA preparation was done as described above. act1 gene served as an internal control. Fold change were calculated relative to PDB germinated spores using the ΔΔCT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Generation of Δrad21nc or Δrec8 Strains

The deletion constructs for F. oxysporum rad21nc or rec8 genes were prepared using the split marker approach as previously described, with few modifications (Catlett et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2004). The strategy for preparation of the split cassette is described in Supplementary Figure S1. Briefly, 620 bp upstream flanking region and 672 bp downstream region of rad21nc coding region (FOXG_15850) were amplified from F. oxysporum genomic DNA using primers P1/P2 and P3/P4, respectively (Supplementary Table S2). Hygromycin cassette (HYG) was amplified using primers P5/P6 from pSilent-1 vector (Fungal Genetics Stock Center, Manhattan, KS, United States) (Nakayashiki et al., 2005). Further combined region with upstream rad21nc and a half portion of HYG cassette (Split 1) was amplified using nested primer P7/P8. Similarly, fragment combining downstream rad21nc and the other half of the HYG cassette (Split 2) were prepared using primers P9/P10. For, rec8 (FOXG_03390) same strategy was used for preparation in split 1 and split 2 cassettes. The primers used were enlisted in the Supplementary Table S2. For, random HYG (hygromycin B phosphotransferase) transformants full length HYG gene along with trpC promoter and terminator was amplified from pSilent1 using primers (P14 and P15).

Fusarium oxysporum protoplast preparation and PEG mediated transformation were done as described before (Di Pietro et al., 1998; Bae and Knudsen, 2000; Moradi et al., 2013; Ramamoorthy et al., 2015). Around 0.2–0.8 million protoplasts were mixed with ∼10 µg DNA of each cassette (split 1 and split 2). For fungal transformants selection top water agar layer was used with Hygromycin-B at final concentration 100 µg/mL. Transformation plates were kept for 4–5 days for transformants to appear. Each putative transformant was grown on PDB and conidia were spread again on selection plate to obtained monoconidial culture. Selected fungal transformants were screened using primers that amplify the full length of the target gene (primers P11/P12). Amplification of the rad21nc/rec8 ORF fragment using P1/P11 and P13 primers was also done for further confirmation. In this case, true mutants do not show amplification of PCR product (Supplementary Figures S3, S4). Confirmed transformants were stored at −80°C and used for further experiments.

Phenotypic Analysis for the rad21nc and rec8 Mutants

To analyze the effect of different stress conditions on radial growth, equal size of mycelial agar plugs from each mutant culture were inoculated on PDA plates. The plates were incubated at 28°C for 3 days and then the diameter was measured. For sporulation analysis, mycelial agar plugs from WT and mutant cultures were inoculated in 5 mL PDB and incubated for 6 days at 28°C with shaking at 250 rpm. Spores were filtered and counted using a Neubauer counting chamber.

Measurement of the Effect of Chromosome Stressors on Fungal Cultures

To measure the effect of hydroxyurea (HU) or benomyl on germination of WT and mutant strains, spores were isolated from the cultures grown on PDA plates at 28°C for 5–7 days by mere scrubbing of mycelia in 5 mL of water. Crude spore suspension was filtered, washed and dissolved in 1 mL of water. Spores were then inoculated for 14 h in PDB with or without treatment at 28°C, 250 rpm. The germinated spores were microscopically analyzed, using the five locations on a single side. Germinated and ungerminated spores were counted with the help of Imagel software (Schneider et al., 2012). Experiments were repeated three times.

To measure the effect of DNA damage on colony formation of the different strains, conidia were pronged with serial dilutions onto PDA plates containing 0.01% of methyl methanesulfonate (MMS).

Tomato Plant Infection Assays

Tomato seedling infection assays were conducted as described (Di Pietro et al., 1998, 2001) with some modifications. 15 days old tomato seedlings [Rehovot-13; (Katan and Asher, 1974)] were dipped in 5 × 10⁶ spore per mL solution or in sterile water (mock) for 20 min. Then, plants were replanted in sterile soil-vermiculite mixture (60:40) and grown in a plant growth chamber at controlled growth conditions (25°C; ∼80% humidity; 14/10 h. light/dark cycle). Plants were monitored on a daily basis; survivors and dead plants were counted after 21 days post inoculation (dpi). Fisher’s exact test (two tailed) was used to assess the significance between the populations.

RESULTS

Identifying Three rad21 Paralog in Fusarium Species

Initially, comparative genomics was used to identify F. oxysporum orthologs of proteins involved in DNA repair, recombination
and chromosome transmission. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus nidulans* proteins were collected from previously published sources (Goldman and Kafer, 2004; Stirling et al., 2011). We first identified orthologs of these genes in *Neurospora crassa* due to the quality of annotation and its short phylogenetic divergence time from the Fusarium genus. Then, we used the *N. crassa* genes as seeds for BLASTP search in *F. oxysporum*. The BLASTP analysis of the *N. crassa* Rad21 protein against the proteome of *F. oxysporum* resulted in two paralogs with very high homology (E-value < $10^{-133}$) and another one that showed lower homology (E-value < $10^{-18}$). The two hits with the highest homology were the evolutionary conserved Rad21 and a very high homology (*E* < 1) followed by deletions of the gene that can not be found in Fusarium species in sordariomycetes. The Rad21 phylogenetic tree suggests that the duplication occurred after the divergence of *Trichoderma reesei* from the common ancestor of Fusarium, *Stachybotrys*, and *F. solani*. The duplication was followed by deletions of the gene that can not be found in *F. proliferatum*, *F. verticillioides*, and *F. graminearum*. An alternative, more complex, scenario is that the gene duplication occurred after the divergence of *Trichoderma reesei* with *Smc1* and *Smc3* cohesin subunits. Based on the protein sequence, the structure of the N’ and C’ terminal domains of the Rad21nc protein were predicted by using the I-TASSER server. The predicted structure was aligned to the solved structures of *S. cerevisiae* Mcd1 (Rad21). The structure of the N-terminal of Rad21nc is similar to the ScMcd1 and completely overlaps with the ScMcd1 (Figure 2C). The Rad21nc C-terminal domain contains regions with uncertain folding. However, the helix that docks Rad21nc into Smc3 is well defined and properly localized into the coiled coil domain, when aligned to the solved structure of *S. cerevisiae* Smc3 with a C-terminal fragment of ScMcd1 (Figure 2D). This sequence and structural analyzes suggest that the fold of Rad21nc N’ and C’ terminal domain is similar to other kleins and that the Rad21nc can most likely form a complex with Smc1 and Smc3 cohesin subunits.

### The RNA Expression of rad21c Gene Is Much Higher Than Both rad21nc and rec8 Under All Tested Conditions

Next, we examined if the different *rad21* paralogs were expressed. RNA was purified from germinated spores of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* and cDNA was prepared as described in the Section “Materials and Methods.” The cDNA was then amplified with paralog-specific primers. Figure 3A shows amplification of all three paralogs. Yet, quantitative assessment using qPCR of the different transcripts revealed that the expression of the *rec8* and *rad21nc* genes was 3% and even lower in comparison with *rad21c* (Figure 3B). We have also analyzed the RNA expression of *rad21* paralogs under HU or benomyl stress relative to PDB grown spores. qPCR analysis showed that *rad21c*, *rad21nc*, and *rec8* expression was slightly induced following benomyl stress Figure 4. The expression of *rad21c* was still much higher under treated condition relative to *rad21nc* or *rec8*.

### Hyphal Growth and Sporulation in the Δrad21nc or Δrec8 Mutant Strains

Split marker approach was used to construct deletion cassettes for *rad21nc* or *rec8* genes as described in the Section “Materials and Methods.” The cDNA was then amplified with paralog-specific primers. Figure 3A shows amplification of all three paralogs. Yet, quantitative assessment using qPCR of the different transcripts revealed that the expression of the *rec8* and *rad21nc* genes was 3% and even lower in comparison with *rad21c* (Figure 3B). We have also analyzed the RNA expression of *rad21* paralogs under HU or benomyl stress relative to PDB grown spores. qPCR analysis showed that *rad21c*, *rad21nc*, and *rec8* expression was slightly induced following benomyl stress Figure 4. The expression of *rad21c* was still much higher under treated condition relative to *rad21nc* or *rec8*.
FIGURE 1 | Phylogenetic analysis of Rad21 paralogs in Sordariomycetes. (A) Phylogenetic analysis of the protein sequences of rad21 orthologs and paralogs from selected sordariomycetes fungi with Aspergillus nidulans serves as an outgroup of sordariomycetes. N. crassa and Magnaporthe oryzae serve as outgroup species for hypocreales. The tree was constructed as described under the Section “Materials and Methods.” (B) The phylogenetic tree of the species that were used to reconstruct the Rad21 tree was built using the genes described in Supplementary Table S1 as described in the Section “Materials and Methods.”

and Methods.” Transformants were selected on hygromycin containing medium and PCR verified for rad21nc or rec8 genes (Supplementary Figures S3, S4, respectively). Three confirmed independent mutants of Δrad21nc (3, 10, and 18) and two independent Δrec8 mutants (1 and 12) were furthered used for the experiments below.
FIGURE 2 | Rad21nc contains canonical eukaryal kleisin domains. (A) Sequence alignment of F. oxysporum canonical Rad21 (FOXG_00548) and Rad21nc (FOXG_15850) was done using ClustalX2. A schematic representation of the alignment is shown. The N-terminal domain (IPR006910) and the winged helix DNA-binding domain (IPR036390) in Rad21nc are marked by the black lines, respectively. The conservation level appears below as provided by the ClustalX2 algorithm. The full alignment is shown in Supplementary Figure S2. (B) Sequence alignment between S. cerevisiae ScMcd1 and F. oxysporum Rad21 and Rad21nc. The binding regions of S. cerevisiae ScMcd1 to Smc1, Pds5, Scc3, and Smc3 are indicated, as well as potential separase cleavage sites. (C) The structure of F. oxysporum Rad21nc N-terminal domain was predicted by I-TASSER (yellow). The structure was aligned on the crystal structure of the S. cerevisiae Smc1 head domain (green) bound to the N' terminal fragment of ScMcd1 (gray) (1W1W). (D) The structure of Fusarium oxysporum Rad21nc C-terminal domain was predicted by I-TASSER (yellow). The structure was aligned on the crystal structure of the S. cerevisiae Smc3 head domain (green) bound to the C-terminal fragment of ScMcd1 (gray) (4UX3).

Mycelial growth and sporulation were analyzed for the mutants and control strains on PDA medium; no significant difference was found (Figures 5A,B). Next, mycelial growth of the mutants was also analyzed on PDA medium containing benomyl or HU. There was a slight decrease in the diameter of Δrec8 mutants in HU containing PDA plates as compared
with WT strains but the growth difference was not significant (Figure 5A). No significant difference in the spore counts between WT, Δrad21nc and Δrec8 strains was found (Figure 5B).

Δrad21nc or Δrec8 Mutants Exhibit Delayed Germination Under Cell Cycle Perturbations

We analyzed the Δrad21nc or Δrec8 mutant spore germination in different conditions. The germination of spores was measured in PDB medium as described under the Section "Materials and Methods"; no significant difference was observed between WT and the mutants. Spore germination under exposure of the mitosis inhibitor benomyl and the DNA replication inhibitor HU was measured. The Δrad21nc and Δrec8 strains showed significantly lower germination rate under the 100 mM HU in PDB. The average percentage germination of WT fungal strains was 73.03% and HYG transformed strains it was 74.82%. Germination rate under these conditions was only 47.36% in Δrad21nc and 30.36% in Δrec8 strains (Figure 6A). Similarly, when conidia were treated with 50 µg/ml benomyl, average percentage germination in WT strains was 91.46% and it was reduced to 13.68% in the Δrad21nc mutant Δrec8 mutant showed inconsistent results (Figure 6B). In conclusion, we observed for Δrad21nc and to lesser extent Δrec8 phenotypes that are directly linked to cell cycle perturbation. These results are in agreement with the notion that Rec8 and Rad21nc function
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**FIGURE 6** | Conidia germination is inhibited in Δrad21nc or Δrec8 strains during chromosome stress conditions. Percentage of germination of fungal spores in PDB containing HU 100 mM (A). Benomyl 10 µg/ml and 50 µg/ml (B). Average of three experiments using two biological replicates of each strain in each experiment is shown. The average of counts of five frames for each biological repeat is presented. (C) Spores of WT, Δrad21nc, and Δrec8 strains were serially diluted and spotted on PDA plates with or without 0.01% MMS. ****, ***,** and **** denote significant difference at *P* < 0.01, *P* < 0.001, and *P* < 0.0001 level, respectively, between wild-type and mutants; ns, not significant.

in alternative cohesin complexes since cohesin mutants are sensitive to benomyl and HU (Guacci et al., 1997; Aguilar et al., 2005; Heidinger-Pauli et al., 2010). We measured the expression of the different rad21 paralogs under the same conditions of chromosome stress in the Δrad21nc or Δrec8 strains. No dramatic increase in the expression of any of the paralogs was detected in the mutants comparing with WT strains. Small differences cannot be overruled due to the very low transcript levels of rad21nc and rec8 (data not shown).

Sensitivity toward the DNA damaging agent MMS was analyzed for WT, Δrad21nc, or Δrec8 strains in a spot assay using serial dilutions (Figure 6C). Δrec8 mutant strains were more sensitive than WT to MMS by at least an order of magnitude. No sensitivity was observed in the Δrad21nc mutant. Mutations in cohesin subunits are expected to increase mutagenicity. Measuring the rate of resistance to benomyl is a common forward mutation assay in fungi. We studied the rate of benomyl resistant mutant formation in WT, Δrad21nc, and Δrec8 strains. Nine cultures of each strain were grown in PDB for 5 days. Next, spores were collected and spread with appropriate dilutions on PDA plates and PDA plates containing benomyl (2 µg/ml). Colonies

**TABLE 1** | Increased rate of benomyl resistance in Δrad21nc strains.

| Genotype | Median Benomyl resistance rate | *P*-value (T-test WT: rad21 mutant) |
|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| WT       | $2 \times 10^{-6}$            | ND                                |
| Δrad21nc | $44 \times 10^{-6}$           | 0.03                              |
| Δrec8    | $4 \times 10^{-6}$            | 0.48                              |

**FIGURE 7** | Δrad21nc or rec8 genes are not required for generation of wilt disease in tomatoes. Tomato seedlings infection using the Mock- water control, WT- WT, Δrad21nc, or Δrec8 strain. *Fusarium* wilt disease severity was determined by measuring the percentage of dead and survived plants after 21 days post inoculation (dpi); ns, not significant.
were counted 2-3 days (PDA) or 6-7 days (benomyl) after plating. The rate of resistant mutants was calculated as previously described (Covo et al., 2014). While the median rates of WT and Δrec8 strains were similar, the rate of the Δrad21nc was about 20 fold higher ($P$-value = 0.02 two tails $T$-test, Table 1).

### Pathological Analysis of the Δrad21nc or Δrec8 Mutant Strains

Finally, we determined the effect of a mutation in rad21nc or rec8 on the ability of *F. oxysporum* *f. lycopersici* to cause wilt disease in tomatoes. Plant infection was done using Δrad21nc or Δrec8 strains on tomato seedlings. After 21 days post inoculation (dpi), we did not observe any significant change in the percentage of dead plants between the WT and mutant strains Figure 7 and Supplementary Figure S5.

### DISCUSSION

Rad21 as part of cohesin is essential for cell division and faithful transmission of chromosomes. It is also important for mitotic homologous recombination and gene expression (Onn et al., 2008). The model ascomycete fungi *S. cerevisiae*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, *N. crassa*, and *A. nidulans* and most other sequenced species have two paralogs of *rad21*, one of them, *rec8* is supposed to function in homologous recombination during meiosis (Nasmyth and Haering, 2005, 2009). In some organisms there are more than two *rad21* paralogs; in all examined cases these paralogs had at least some non-overlapping functions (Bhatt et al., 1999; Severson et al., 2009; Ishiguro et al., 2011; da Costa-Nunes et al., 2014; Severson and Meyer, 2014). In an attempt to identify *F. oxysporum*-specific chromosome biology proteins we have found a non-conserved Rad21 paralog in few hypocreales species. Due to the small number of species that encode for Rad21nc it is hard to describe its evolution trajectory in high confidence. However, evidence lead to scenario of gene duplication after the divergence of *Trichoderma* species and before the divergence of *Stachybotrys* species from *F. solani* – followed by loss of the gene in most Fusarium species. This is based on the fact that the Rad21nc is found both in *F. oxysporum* and *F. nygamai* which is part of the *F. fujikuroi* species complex (Figure 1). The comparison of the sequences between the conserved and non-conserved *rad21* paralogs shows that the non-conserved one retains some classic α-kleins domains (Smc1, Smc3 binding) (Figure 2). This may indicate that Rad21nc functions as part of a cohesion complex.

We analyzed the deletion strains of *rad21nc* or *rec8* gene. Δrad21nc or Δrec8 mutant were similar to WT regarding sporulation and radial growth. We observed decrease in germination of the spores under the cell cycle stresses (Figure 6). HU induces DNA replication stress while benomyl activates the G2/M checkpoint. The sensitivity of *rad21nc* to these drugs suggests that *rad21nc* supports chromosome transmission or functions in a chromosome transmission checkpoint response. The germination of Δrec8 strains under HU stress and colony formation during MMS exposure are lower than WT cells suggesting a role in homologous recombinational repair as recently described for *rec8* from *Ustilago maydis* (de Sena-Tomás et al., 2011; Sutherland and Holloman, 2018). Yet, a role in cell cycle control and non homologous recombination is also possible. The phenotypes of Δrec8 and Δ *rad21nc* strains are surprising since the expression of the two genes is very low even under HU and benomyl exposures (Figure 4). A probable explanation to how low amounts of a cohesin subunit may affect cells could be the fact that Rad21nc does not encode for a full separate domain. It is possible that few cohesin molecules associated with Rad21nc are evicted from chromosome in an alternative way that is needed for proper chromosome segregation in *F. oxysporum* under unique conditions.

Further studies are very much required to analyze the specific roles of the different Rad21 paralogs in fungal life cycle. Understanding the role of alternative cohesin complexes in *F. oxysporum* and other species will further open new dimensions for understanding the pathogen population genetics and genome evolution.
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**FIGURE S1** | A diagram explaining the strategy for the generation of the split marker cassette of *rad21nc* or *rec8*. The primer IDs’ match the ones provided in Supplementary Table S2.

**FIGURE S2** | Sequence alignment of *F. oxysporum* Rad21 and Rad21nc. Alignment was generated by using ClustalX2.

**FIGURE S3** | Screening for Δrad21nc transformants. (A) Confirmation of disruption of the rad21nc open reading frame using hygromycin resistant cassettes. PCR was used to amplify full length locus in wild type (WT) (2.0 kb), and the deleted locus (2.3 kb) using the P11 and P12 primers. 1 kb−1 kb marker (GeneDirex), WT- untransformed control, 1-18 are putative fungal transformants. (B) Amplification of the rad21nc ORF fragment using P1 and P13 primers, true mutants do not show amplification. 1 kb−1 kb marker (GeneDirex), W1, W2, and W3- untransformed control, 1-18 are putative fungal transformants. Transformants 3, 5, 6, 10, and 18 are true deleted strains for rad21nc locus.

**FIGURE S4** | Screening for Δrec8 transformants. Confirmation of disruption of the *rec8* open reading frame using hygromycin resistant cassettes (A) Amplification of full length *rec8* locus in WT (2.5 kb) and the deleted locus (2.1 kb) using the P11 and P12 primers. 1 kb−1 kb marker (GeneDirex), WT- untransformed control, 1-20 are putative fungal transformants. (B) Amplification of the *rec8* ORF using P11 and P13 primers, true mutants do not show amplification. 1 kb−1 kb marker (GeneDirex), W1 and W2 – untransformed control, 1-20 are putative fungal transformants. Transformants 1 and 12 are true deleted strains for *rec8* locus.
FIGURE S5 | Tomato wilt disease caused by *F. oxysporum* strains mutated in rec8 or rad21 Inc. Tomato plants infected with WT, Δrad21 Inc., or Δrec8 fungal strains and water control (mock) after 21 dpi under controlled growth condition as described under the Section “Materials and Methods.”

TABLE S1 | 90 DNA Repair proteins that were used to build the species tree that is presented in Figure 1.

TABLE S2 | Primers used in the study.
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