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The Eurasian woodcock (Scolopax rusticola) is a mostly migratory wader (Charadriiformes), widely distributed in the Palearctic (Ferrand and Gossmann 2001, Van Gils et al. 2015), with sedentary populations on the Atlantic islands (Azores, Madeira, and Canaries) and in some southwestern maritime countries (Snow and Perrins 1998; Fig. 1). The Eurasian woodcock that winter in Europe mainly originate from breeding areas in the northern, eastern, central, and parts of western Europe, and from western Siberia (Hoodless and Coulson 1994; Gossmann et al. 2000; Bauthian et al. 2007; Hobson et al. 2013a,b; Arizaga et al. 2014). Across this region the Eurasian woodcock population is estimated to number 10–26 million individuals, the majority of which winter in western and southern Europe and northern Africa (Nagy et al. 2015, Wetlands International 2017). The European population is estimated at 13.8–17.4 million mature individuals and the population in the EU27 (European Union 27 member states; 1 January 2007–30 June 2013) is estimated at 1.5–2.9 million mature individuals (BirdLife International 2015). Due to the secretive behavior of the species, these estimates are mostly based on specialists’ opinions rather than on objective data collected in the field. The exceptions are the British Isles and France, where the breeding population is estimated based on counts of roding birds (Hoodless et al. 2008, Heward et al. 2015, Ferrand et al. 2008).

Currently, the Eurasian woodcock’s global conservation status is evaluated as Least Concern (LC; Birdlife International 2016). The only regional assessment available on trend concerns Europe, where the population trend appears to be stable. Within the EU27, the population size is estimated to be decreasing but by <25% in 18.9 years (3 generations), and is thus evaluated as LC (BirdLife International 2015). The main factors that negatively affect the population within its breeding range seem to be the increased area of conifer plantations, which typically offer low diversity of age and stand type and are poor in shrub cover, and the increasing average age of woodland (Ferrand...
Outside the breeding season, negative factors are the disappearance of permanent grasslands and the intensification of agricultural practices (e.g., the destruction of hedges, decreases in the number of permanent grazed meadows, and the impoverishment of soil fauna as a result of plowing and chemical application) (Ferrand and Gossmann 2001, 2009b; Duriez et al. 2005b). In addition to habitat changes, Eurasian woodcock are hunted in most European countries during the autumn migration and wintering periods, with the largest numbers taken in Greece (c. 1 million), France (c. 740,000), Ireland (c. 125,000), United Kingdom (c. 125,000), Italy (105,000), and Spain (35,000) (Hirschfeld and Heyd 2005, Ferrand et al. 2017). Spring hunting still occurs in Russia, taking annually c. 166,000–213,000 individuals (Blokhin et al. 2015). With the exception of countries with good monitoring systems (e.g., France), some of these values are rough estimates.

The management of game species must be based on a strong scientific knowledge of their biology and ecology and on information regularly collected from their populations, especially regarding abundance and demography. For a sedentary species or population, the entire annual life cycle can be followed in the same area, but for migrants like the Eurasian woodcock, different phases of the annual life cycle occur in different areas, encompassing different countries, and thus different policies making their management a complex task.

The Eurasian woodcock is a solitary, elusive, and cryptic species. It is among those species that are not effectively evaluated by common bird-census techniques and that require the application of specific census methods to evaluate their abundance (Fadat 1979; Ferrand et al. 2006, 2008, 2010; Faragó et al. 2013; Rodrigues et al. 2013; Guzmán and Arroyo 2015; Boidot et al. 2015; Christensen et al. 2017). An index of Eurasian woodcock abundance estimated from hunting trip data strongly correlates with another index of abundance obtained from nocturnal banding sessions, and is considered a valid approach to evaluated winter abundance variation (Ferrand et al. 2006, 2008, 2010). Hunting activity also can give crucial information on autumn migration and winter phenology, information important in evaluating the movements of the birds, including their response to extreme weather conditions and the adequacy of placement and duration of hunting seasons.

In Europe, woodcock hunting associations from different countries encourage their affiliates to collect data, which can be used to monitor the trend and breeding success of the species. However, until now the analysis of these data has mainly been done at the country level (Rodrigues et al. 2013, Christensen and Asfærg 2016, Meunier et al. 2016). These hunting associations are joined in the Fédération des Associations Nationales des Bécassiers du Palearctique Occidental (FANBPO, Federation Western Palearctic Woodcock Associations), which facilitates their cooperation. Founded in 2003, FANBPO presently joins c. 4,000 French (Club National des Bécassiers, CNB), c.1,000 Spanish (Club de Cazadores de Becada, CCB), c. 1,000 Italians (Club della Beccaccia, CDB, and Beccaccia d’Italia, BDI), 300 Swiss (Association Suisse des Bécassiers, ASB), c. 100 Portuguese (Associação Nacional de Cacadores de Galinholas, ANCG), c. 250 Irish (The National Woodcock Association of Ireland, NWAOI), and c. 50 Welsh (Welsh Woodcock Club, WWC) woodcock hunters (Fig. 1).

In this study we use data collected by FANBPO members to: 1) describe autumn migration and winter phenology of Eurasian woodcock in southwestern Europe; 2) evaluate trends of wintering Eurasian woodcock populations; and 3) make inferences regarding variation in rela-
tive abundance and demographic parameters among hunting seasons. With these analyses, we aim to illustrate the importance of international cooperation for sustainable management of a migratory game species.

**Study Area**

During the breeding season in continental Europe, Eurasian woodcock prefer a mosaic of woodland types and ages and utilize broad-leaved, mixed, and coniferous woodland (Cramp and Simmons 1983; Hirons 1987, 1988a; Hirons and Johnson 1987; Ferrand 1989). In winter, their habitat requirements are less specific, and include mainly woodlands and hedges during the day and fields and meadows at night (Cramp and Simmons 1983; Hirons and Bickford-Smith 1983; Wilson 1983; Gossmann et al. 1988; Duriez et al. 2005).

We analyzed data collected by FANBPO members during woodcock hunting in Portugal, Spain, France, Italy, Switzerland, Ireland, and Wales. Banding studies and isotope analysis suggest that Eurasian woodcock migrating through or wintering in these countries come from different regions of the species breeding range and thus may belong to different populations. Accordingly, for analysis, we organized data by 3 major regions: 1) Swiss-Franco-Iberian, a region that includes the cantons of Romandie in Switzerland (close to France), France, Spain, and Portugal, and represents a wintering area for birds coming mostly from east-central Europe and the Baltic region (Gossmann et al. 2000; Bauthian et al. 2007; Guzmán et al. 2011; Hobson et al. 2013a, b; Arizaga et al. 2014). 2) The Swiss-Italian region, which includes the canton of Ticino, in Switzerland (close to Italy), and Italy; this is probably part of the wintering area of Eurasian woodcock that bred in central Europe and Belarus (Gossmann et al. 2000). 3) The British Isles, including Ireland and Wales, where a significant number of Scandinavian and northwestern Russia Eurasian woodcock tend to winter (Hoodless and Coulson 1994, Hoodless et al. 2013).

**Methods**

**RELATIVE ABUNDANCE**

**Data collection** Hunters collected data during woodcock hunting trips in hunting seasons from 2006–2007 to 2015–2016, in France (since 2006–2007), Spain (since 2006–2007), and Portugal (since 2009–2010). For each hunting trip, they recorded the date, location, duration, and number of different Eurasian woodcock seen. Hunters reported this information to each club’s or association’s scientific committee in pre-formatted forms, on paper or online.

Hunting seasons vary among these countries in start and end dates, the number of hunting days permitted, and the number of Eurasian woodcock that may be shot, although the hunting season usually spans from September or October to January or February (Appendix A). The hunting process used for Eurasian woodcock is usually the same: Eurasian woodcock are found by pointing dogs and shot by the hunter. We considered for analysis only data collected from hunting that occurred between September and February and that used pointing dogs.

**Analysis** To investigate variation in Eurasian woodcock relative abundance during and between hunting seasons, we used mgcv and poptrend R packages (Wood 2011, Knape 2016, R Core Team 2016) to fit generalized additive mixed models (GAMMs) with negative binomial errors and to visualize fits, respectively. All models included log of duration of each hunting trip (i.e., sampling effort) as an offset, and a 2-dimensional smoother for geographical coordinates of centroids of each region where hunting trips took place, to account for spatial auto-correlation (Zuur 2012). Because the precision of localities of each

| Table 1. Summary of the generalized additive mixed models (GAMMs) for the variation in relative abundance of Eurasian woodcock (Scolopax rusticola) in southwestern Europe (France, Spain, and Portugal; hunting seasons 2006–2007 to 2015–2016) analyzing the species Phenology, between September and February, and Trends, between hunting seasons, for all data, migration period, and wintering period. Chi-square values, P-values and estimated degrees of freedom (EDF) are listed for each term included in the models. For each model the deviance explained (%) is presented. |
| --- |
| **Season** | **Decade** | **Day** | **Lat, Long** | **Deviance explained (%)** |
| **Phenology** | 175.2, 9524.3, 47178.8, | <0.001 | — | <0.001 | 26.4 |
| | (0.99) | (14.12) | (23.30) |
| All | 6.1, 9660.8, 47566.1, | 0.042 | — | <0.001 | <0.001 | 26.9 |
| | (2.49) | (8.90) | (23.30) |
| **Trend** | 2.7, 9753.9, 28263.0, | 0.210 | — | <0.001 | <0.001 | 27.7 |
| Migration | (1.27) | (8.02) | (23.28) |
| Winter | 3.2, 119.2, 20535.2, | 0.160 | — | <0.001 | <0.001 | 26.9 |
| | (2.49) | (4.73) | (23.11) |
hunting trip varied, we considered coordinates of centroids of 91 French departments (mean area ± SE: 5,925.0 ± 168.1 km²), 40 Spanish provinces (10,114.5 ± 791.6 km²), and 18 Portuguese districts (4,941.4 ± 499.0 km²).

First, to describe autumn migration and wintering phenomenology of Eurasian woodcock in southwestern Europe, we modeled number of different Eurasian woodcock seen through the hunting season, according to “decade” (period of 10 days of each month), with hunting season as a covariate modelled as a smooth term with automatic selection of df (Knape 2016).

Second, we evaluated variation in relative abundance between hunting seasons by including region and day of hunting season as covariates, modelled as smooth terms with automatic selection of df. We built 3 models with 10 df with data from the: 1) entire hunting season (the first decade of September to the third decade of February); 2) migratory period (from the first decade of October to the second decade of December); 3) wintering period (from the third decade of December to the second decade of February).

The first day of the hunting season was always considered as 1 September and, therefore, for each hunting trip, the day of the hunting season was determined as the number of days since 1 September.

Table 2. Variation among hunting seasons (2006–2007 to 2015–2016) in the sex ratio (females/male) of Eurasian woodcock (Scolopax rusticola) hunting bags in the Swiss-Franco-Iberian and Swiss-Italian regions. n: sample size; Chi-square test (χ²) results comparing frequencies of sexes between regions (all comparisons were significant at P < 0.001).

| Hunting season | Swiss-Franco-Iberian | Swiss-Italian |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------|
|                | Switzerlanda, France, Spain, Portugalb | Switzerlandc, Italy |
| Females:male   | n                    | n            | χ²          |
| 2006–2007      | 1.54                 | 2,233        |             |
| 2007–2008      | 1.54                 | 2,543        |             |
| 2008–2009      | 1.59                 | 2,516        |             |
| 2009–2010      | 1.60                 | 2,727        | 0.94        | 322 | 20.70 |
| 2010–2011      | 1.52                 | 1,929        | 1.06        | 411 | 11.07 |
| 2011–2012      | 1.53                 | 2,187        | 1.02        | 617 | 20.14 |
| 2012–2013      | 1.57                 | 2,335        | 1.19        | 1,244 | 15.36 |
| 2013–2014      | 1.52                 | 2,370        | 1.11        | 1,695 | 23.37 |
| 2014–2015      | 1.43                 | 2,088        | 1.07        | 2,235 | 21.94 |
| 2015–2016      | 1.45                 | 1,950        | 1.12        | 2,202 | 16.47 |
| Total          | 22,878               | 8,726        |             |

* only cantons from Romandie; b since 2009–2010; c only Ticino

**DEMOGRAPHY**

*Data collection* Sex and age, or age only, were determined for Eurasian woodcock shot in Switzerland (since 2008–2009), Italy (since 2010–2011), Ireland (since 2008–2009), Wales (since 2012–2013), France (since 2006–2007), Spain (since 2006–2007), and Portugal (since 2009–2010; see Tables 1 and 2). Eurasian woodcock are not sexually dimorphic so birds were sexed by gonad examination after dissection, primarily by hunters. Trained “wing readers” determined age class [juvenile (<1 year old) or adult (>1 year old)] by wing examination, according to Ferrand and Gossmann (2009a).

Additionally, we consider published data from Denmark, where Eurasian woodcock wings have been collected since the 1970s (Clausager 1974, Christensen 2017). This country is located upstream in a flyway that, probably, mostly concerns the British Isles and the Swiss-Franco-Iberian regions (Harradine 1983, 1988; Ferrand et al. 2008). Therefore, the annual Eurasian woodcock age ratio on the Danish hunting bag is considered to most closely correspond to breeding success of Eurasian woodcock in that region of Europe (Fadat 1988, 1995; Harradine 1983, 1988, Ferrand et al. 2008).

**Analysis** We organized data based on the major regions described previously. We investigated variation in the proportions of sex- and age-classes among hunting seasons based on chi-square tests and conducted statistical tests with α= 0.05.

**Results**

**RELATIVE ABUNDANCE**

We analyzed a total of 356,837 reports of hunting trips from France, Spain, and Portugal, corresponding to a total of 537,024 contacts with Eurasian woodcocks and 1,187,292 hours of hunting.

**Phenology** The model describing variation in relative abundance of Eurasian woodcock by decade (10-day period) explained 26.4% of the deviance (Table 1). The deviance explained corresponds to the unadjusted variance explained by the models. Geographic location and season had a smoothing term significantly different from zero (Table 1), which indicates they have a significant contribution to the model. After a slight decrease during September, relative abundance increased during following decades, from the beginning of October until the first decade of December. From December to the end of February, abundance remained high but decreased slightly over time (non-significant; see Fig. 2).
Trend  The 3 GAMMs describing the variation in relative abundance of Eurasian woodcock between hunting seasons for the entire season, the migration period, and the wintering period described 26.9%, 27.7% and 26.9% of respective deviance (Table 1). Geographic location and day of the hunting season had a smoothing term significantly different from zero ($P < 0.001$) in all 3 models. There was no evidence of a significant trend in Eurasian woodcock relative abundance during the last 10 years in southwestern Europe (Fig. 3).

DEMOGRAPHY

Sex  For hunting seasons from 2006–2007 through 2015–2016, sex was determined for 31,604 Eurasian woodcock (Table 2; birds from Ireland and Wales were not sexed). During this period, the proportion of males and females in hunting bags did not vary significantly in the 2 regions considered: Swiss-Franco-Iberian ($\chi^2 = 6.555$, df = 9, $P = 0.683$) and Swiss-Italian ($\chi^2 = 5.706$, df = 6, $P = 0.457$). The proportion of females was significantly lower in the Swiss-Italian region for all hunting seasons (since 2011–2012).

Age  For hunting seasons from 2006–2007 through 2015–2016, age was determined for 135,750 Eurasian woodcock (Table 3). During this period, the proportion of juveniles and adults in hunting bags varied significantly in all regions (Fig. 4): Swiss-Franco-Iberian ($\chi^2 = 794.718$, df = 9, $P < 0.001$); Swiss-Italian ($\chi^2 = 329.990$, df = 6, $P < 0.001$); British Isles ($\chi^2 = 194.755$, df = 7, $P < 0.001$). Geographic differences in age ratios were consistently observed across hunting seasons (Table 3; Fig. 4): the sample from the Swiss-Italian region always contained higher proportions of juveniles, whereas the British Isles always contained lower proportions. Despite the lack of statistical significance, proportions observed in the Swiss-Franco-Iberian region were strongly correlated with those observed in the Swiss-Italian region ($r = 0.70$, $P = 0.079$) and the British Isles ($r = 0.68$, $P = 0.062$).

Variation between hunting seasons in the age ratio of Eurasian woodcock shot in Denmark during the period under study is also represented in Fig. 4. Danish values were significantly correlated with those from the Swiss-Italian region ($r = 0.82$, $P = 0.024$), but not with those from the Swiss-Franco-Iberian region ($r = 0.57$, $P = 0.085$) or the British Isles ($r = 0.10$, $P = 0.806$).

Figure 2. Variation in Eurasian woodcock (Scolopax rusticola) relative abundance by decade (period of 10 days) between September and February in southwestern Europe (France, Spain, and Portugal; hunting seasons 2006–2007 to 2015–2016). The solid line corresponds to the fitted relative abundance from a generalized additive mixed model (GAMM) with 18 df. The shaded area represents upper and lower 95% CI.

Discussion

RELATIVE ABUNDANCE

We used data collected during hunting activity to indirectly assess the relative abundance of the Eurasian woodcock population, namely the number of different Eurasian woodcock observed during a hunting trip—a number which, unlike the number of woodcock shot, is not influenced by the bag limit that exists in some countries included in our study. Though already possible to examine with other game bird species (e.g., Palmer et al. 2002; Cattadori et al. 2003), the type of relationship between Eurasian woodcock indexes of relative abundance and density is still to be demonstrated. Still, Ferrand et al. (2010) reported a strong correlation between the number of Eurasian woodcock observed per hunting trip and the number observed per hour during nocturnal banding sessions, which the authors considered strong evidence that the relative abundance estimated from hunting is a valid approach for evaluating autumn and winter abundance variation. In our study we accounted for harvesting effort (duration of hunting trip), which may be sufficient to remove some bias on the use of relative abundance to estimate genuine patterns of population change (Botsford et al. 1983, Berryman 1991, Berryman and Turchin 2001). We used data from a specific method of hunting Eurasian woodcock (with pointing dogs) and also accounted for the period within each hunting season, the hunting sea-
son, and the region. Cattadori et al. (2003, p. 440) note that “one of the strengths of harvesting records is that they often represent samples from a large area of suitable habitat, often over long time periods and with established harvesting traditions that provide good comparable data.” We think that our sample presents these properties.

**Phenology** In the Franco-Iberian region, an area that includes France, Spain, and Portugal, relative abundance of Eurasian woodcock increased from September to the beginning of December, then remained high with only a slight decrease until the end of February. Eurasian woodcock are present year round in part of this region, namely in central, north-eastern, and mountainous areas of France (Ferrand et al. 2008) and in northern Spain (Onrubia 2003), but during autumn and winter they are present over a wider area, including Portugal and southern parts of Spain. Our results show that in southwestern Europe, most migratory Eurasian woodcock arrive before mid-December and do not leave these areas before the end of February.

For birds breeding in the most northern and eastern parts of Europe (Finland, Russia), autumn migration begins at the end of September or at the beginning of October (Iljinsky et al. 2000). General movement in Scandinavia occurs from October to November (Clausager 1974, Christensen and Asferg 2016). Thus, phenology observed in the Franco-Iberian region is in accordance with what would be expected, given its southwestern position in Europe.

The significant contributions of hunting season and region to our models of abundance are the result of differences in autumn migration and winter phenology between hunting seasons and regions. Several authors have already recorded differences in the timing of migration among years (e.g., Clausager 1974, Birtsas et al. 2013, Rodrigues et al. 2013). A 12-year study in Macedonia found that arrival date was related to atmospheric stability, with the onset of autumn migration postponed in warmer autumns (Birtsas et al. 2013).

The small proportion of the deviance explained by the model must be related to the low number of explanatory variables. Models may be improved with collection of additional variables related to each hunter and their dogs, or environmental variables in wintering and breeding areas that may influence habitat selection and migratory movements (Péron et al. 2011, Birtsas et al. 2013).

**Trend** According to our models describing variation in Eurasian woodcock relative abundance between hunting seasons, abundance during autumn migration and winter in the Franco-Iberian region has remained stable in the last 10 years. As with the phenology model discussed above, these models explained little deviance, and the inclusion of other variables could help improve the model. For instance, previous analysis of Eurasian woodcock hunting data from northern Spain indicated that relative abundance of Eurasian woodcock is related to weather conditions during the previous spring in breeding areas (Guzmán and Arroyo 2015).

A lack of trend was observed in France for the period 1990–1991 to 2002–2003 for several indices of autumn-winter abundance, including the number of Eurasian woodcock seen per 3.5 hours of hunting (Ferrand et al. 2006, 2008), and in northern Spain for the period 1991–1992 to 2011–2012, based upon the number of Eurasian woodcock observed per hour of hunting (Guzmán and Arroyo 2015). The latter study included partial data analyzed in the present paper. In the United Kingdom, the variation between hunting seasons in the number of Eurasian woodcock shot per 100 ha from 1961 to 2004 suggests a stable trend.
over the final 25 years (Aebischer and Harradine 2007). Conversely, in these regions some breeding populations declined during the last decenniums (Hewson and Noble 2009, Heward et al. 2015, Mollet 2015, Mulhauser and Zimmerman 2015). This difference is due to the fact that 2 groups of Eurasian woodcock occur in Western Europe: those that breed and winter there and others that only winter there and originate from western Russia, the Baltic States, Fennoscandia, and Central Europe (Bauthian et al. 2007; Guzmán et al. 2011; Hobson et al. 2013a,b; Hoodless et al. 2013; Arizaga et al. 2014). In France and Spain the latter represent ca. 90% of the Eurasian woodcock harvested (Hobson et al. 2013a,b), similar to values reported for the British Isles (Hoodless et al. 2013). Thus, by collecting data during autumn-winter (hunting season) in Western Europe, we are able to monitor not only the population that breeds there, but also a significant portion of the large population that breeds farther north and east. The meteorological conditions registered during the breeding season in the Baltic region are related to the winter relative abundance of Eurasian woodcock in northern Spain (Guzmán and Arroyo 2015), and winter relative abundance in France is strongly correlated with the proportion of chicks that have undergone complete molt at the end of summer in Russia (Ferrand and Gossmann 2009b). It is possible to use all this information to predict annual relative abundance during the hunting season in Western Europe, at least in the Franco-Iberian region (Ferrand and Gossmann 2009b, Guzmán and Arroyo 2015).

**DEMOGRAPHY**

**Sex** The proportion of males and females in the Eurasian woodcock hunting bags remained stable in the Swiss-Franco-Iberian and Swiss-Italian regions during the period of our study, but the first region presented a higher proportion of females. This was previously recorded in hunting bag results published for France and Italy in the 1970s and 1980s (Spanò and Ghelini 1983, Fadat 1988).

The Eurasian woodcock hunting method most commonly used in both regions is the same—hunting with pointing dogs—and this could partially explain the tendency for hunting bags to contain more females than males, as females seem to seek more temperate and humid habitats, and hunters, knowing that they will find higher Eurasian woodcock numbers in such areas, visit them preferentially (Fadat 1988, 1995). Another factor that can contribute to higher proportions of females is the differential migration that seems to characterize Eurasian woodcock, i.e., females leave breeding areas earlier in autumn and arrive at breeding areas in spring later than males; females are therefore exposed to longer periods of hunting susceptibility during the non-breeding season compared to males (Clausager 1974; Fadat 1981, 1989; Fokin and Blokhin 2000; Ferrand et al. 2013). Additionally, Christensen et al. (2017) proposed that males may stay farther north in Europe than females during autumn and winter. The higher proportion of females in the Swiss-Franco-Iberian region could, eventually, be due to the region’s great distance from the core breeding area of the majority of Eurasian woodcock that winter there.

**Age** The proportion of juveniles to adults varied over the period of our study and among the regions we considered. Although the proportion of juveniles is considered a practical measure of breeding success in waders (Minton 2003), the relationship is not direct. The proportion of juveniles among Eurasian woodcock shot during the hunting season can also be influenced by several other factors (Harradine 1983, 1988; Fadat, 1981, 1988, 1995). The breeding areas for most of the wintering Eurasian woodcock analyzed are very large and diverse (from Western Europe to western Siberia); both within and between seasons there is likely to be variation in production of fledged juveniles from one part of the range to another (Harradine 1983). Hunting pressure also has been demonstrated to influence age ratio (Fadat 1981, Duriez et al. 2005a, Péron et al. 2012). Adult Eurasian woodcock tend to occupy the best sites within wintering areas and are very faithful to them between winters, removal by hunting promotes more frequent replacement by juvenile Eurasian woodcock.

![Figure 4. Variation in the age ratio (juveniles:adult) of Eurasian woodcock (Scolopax rusticola) shot between hunting seasons in the Swiss-Franco-Iberian and the Swiss-Italian regions, the British Isles, and Denmark (Christensen and Asfer, 2016), from 2006–2007 to 2015–2016.](image-url)
cock, and higher hunting pressure can therefore lead to higher proportions of juvenile Eurasian woodcock. Also, distribution of juveniles may be influenced by weather conditions during autumn migration and movements in response to inclement-weather conditions that occur in some winters (Ferrand et al. 2008).

To overcome possible biases caused by these factors, Ferrand et al. (2008) proposed that the age ratio must be estimated for the maximum number of wintering areas possible. In the present study we analyzed the variation in the proportion of juveniles over most of southwestern Europe. Concerning hunting pressure, providing that it remains fairly constant from year to year within a country or region, there is no reason why it should obscure major variations between years in the proportion of juveniles in the population (Hirons 1988b).

Geographic differences in age ratios observed each season in hunting bags correspond, in general, to those described in previous works (Fadat 1988, 1995; Harradine 1983, 1988; Ferrand et al. 2008). The British Isles tend to present lower values. The hunting method (driven shooting is more prevalent) and the lower mortality estimated (Hoodless and Coulson 1994; Tavecchia et al. 2002; Péron et al. 2012) may partially explain differences between the British Isles and the Swiss-Franco-Iberian and the Swiss-Italian regions. However, we must take into account that our sample size for the British Isles, mainly since the 2009–2010 hunting season, is small. Additionally, Ireland and Wales only represent a part of the British Isles and age ratio seems to vary within this region (Harradine 1983, 1988).
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Table 3. Variation among hunting seasons (2006–2007 to 2015–2016) in the age ratio (juveniles/adult) of Eurasian woodcock (Scolopax rusticola) shot in each region studied. n: sample size; Chi-square test (χ²) results comparing frequencies of age classes among regions (all values correspond to  P < 0.001).

| Region                  | Hunting season | Juveniles:adult | n  |  | Juveniles:adult | n  |  | Juveniles:adult | n  |
|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----|---|-----------------|----|---|-----------------|----|
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| Total                   | 108,782        | 21,146          | 5,822 |
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**Appendix A.** Features of the 2015–2016 Eurasian woodcock hunting season by country member of FANBPO and respective regions (when justified), namely on the start and ending dates, the number of days per week hunting is allowed, the existence of a daily or seasonal bag limit (number of Eurasian woodcock allowed to be shot per hunter, daily or seasonally; also known as PMA — Prélèvement Maximal Autorisé or Maximum Authorized Harvesting). In the last decade these features remained relatively constant.

| Country     | Region         | Start          | End            | Days/ Week | Bag limit |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|-----------|
|             |                |                |                | daily      | weekly    | seasonally |
| Ireland     |                | 01-11-2015     | 31-01-2016     | 7          | NO        | NO         |
| Wales       |                | 01-10-2015     | 31-01-2016     | 6          | NO        | NO         |
| France      |                | 14-09-2015     | 20-02-2016     | 2–7        | 1–4*      | 1 - 6*     | 30         |
| Switzerland | Romandie       | 15-09-2015     | 14-12-2015     | 4–6        | 2         | 12–20      |
|             | Ticino         | 16-10-2015     | 30-11-2015     |            | 15        |            |
| Italy       | Mainland       | 21-09-2015     | 31-01-2016     | 3          | 2–3       | 15–20      |
|             | Sardinia and Sicily | 28-09-2015 | 31-01-2016 | 3          | 3         | 20         |
| Spain       | Mainland       | 08-10-2015     | 15-02-2016     | 2–7        | 2–3       | NO         |
|             | Balearic Islands | 05-10-2015 | 01-02-2016 | 2–4        | 1–4       | NO         |
|             | Ceuta          | 05-10-2015     | 21-12-2015     | 3          |           |            |
| Portugal    | Mainland       | 01-11-2015     | 10-02-2016     | 2–4        | 3         | NO         |

* according to “départements” regulations