Allogeneic Mesenchymal Stem Cell Therapy promotes osteoblastogenesis and prevents glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis
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ABSTRACT

Gene-modified mesenchymal stem cell (MSC)-like cells with enhanced bone marrow homing and osteogenesis have been used in treating glucocorticoid-induced murine osteoporosis (GIOP). Recent preclinical studies have further demonstrated the immunomodulatory and anticatabolic potential of allogeneic MSCs in treating osteoporosis under inflammatory and autoimmune conditions. In this study, we investigated whether systemic infusion of allogeneic MSCs without genetic manipulation could prevent GIOP, whether anabolic and anticatabolic effects existed, and whether homing or immunomodulation underlay the putative therapeutic effects. Allogeneic bone marrow-derived MSCs (BMMSCs) were isolated, identified, and systemically infused into mice treated with excessive dexamethasone. We revealed that allogeneic MSC transplantation prevented the reduction of bone mass and strength in GIOP. Bone histomorphometric analyses of bone remodeling demonstrated the maintenance of bone formation and osteoblast survival after MSC therapy. Using green fluorescent protein (GFP)-labeled BMMSCs, we showed that donor BMMSC-GFP homed and inhabited recipient bone marrow for at least 4 weeks and prevented recipient bone marrow cell apoptosis, as shown by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling. Furthermore, donor BMMSC-GFP committed to Osterix (Osx)+ osteoblast progenitors and induced recipient osteoblastogenesis, as exhibited by GFP-Osx double-labeling immunofluorescence analysis. No anticatabolic effects or systemic immunomodulatory effects of infused BMMSCs were detected. These findings demonstrated that allogeneic MSC therapy prevented GIOP by inhabiting and functioning in recipient bone marrow, which promoted osteoblastogenesis, which in turn maintained bone formation. Our findings provide important information regarding cell-based anabolic therapy for GIOP and uncover MSC behaviors following the homing event.

SIGNIFICANCE

This study revealed the therapeutic potential of systemically infused, genetically unmodified allogeneic MSCs in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. The donor MSCs inhibited recipient bone marrow and promoted osteoblastogenesis. The therapeutic effects were based on maintenance of bone formation. These results provide important information regarding cell-based anabolic therapy for glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis and uncover previously unrecognized mesenchymal stem cell behaviors following a homing event. The current study also indicates that minimizing the time of cell culture confers an advantage for increasing transplanted mesenchymal stem cells to the targeted organ to promote therapeutic effects.

INTRODUCTION

Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis (GIOP) is the most prevalent form of secondary osteoporosis, the key feature of which is the rapid reduction of bone formation [1–4]. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs, also known as mesenchymal stromal cells), recognized as osteoblastic precursors, have shown therapeutic prospects in the prevention and management of osteoporotic bone loss in preclinical studies [5–10]. In murine GIOP, Lien et al. restored bone mass and strength by systemic infusion of C3H10T1/2 MSC-like cells [5], which were transduced to ectopically express CXCR chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4), the receptor for stroma-derived factor 1 (SDF-1) [11], to facilitate bone marrow homing and retention efficacy, and core binding factor α1 (Cbfα-1), an osteoblast master transcription factor [12], to promote osteogenic differentiation postengraftment.
Recently, preclinical studies have further revealed that based on immunomodulation, allogeneic MSCs without genetic manipulation exhibited profound potential to inhibit bone resorption and ameliorate osteoporosis under inflammatory and autoimmune conditions [8–10]. Whether allogeneic MSCs hold therapeutic effects in GIOP is unknown.

According to reported data, transplanted MSCs rescue bone loss through either systemic immunomodulatory and anticitabolic effects [8–10] or local anabolic effects exerted via direct intrabone marrow injection or cell homing postinfusion [5–7]; given that glucocorticoid therapy is widely used in autoimmune diseases to control inflammation [1], immunomodulation might not contribute to the putative effects of allogeneic MSCs in treating GIOP. Alternatively, Lien and others demonstrated that intravenously (i.v.) transplanted MSCs could migrate and nonspecifically distribute in various organs including lung and liver, or preferentially home, with limited efficiency, to bone marrow [5, 13, 14]. Without transplantation to enforce homing, it remains to be elucidated whether systemically infused allogeneic MSCs could inhabit and function in recipient bone marrow to maintain therapeutic effects in GIOP.

In this study, we aimed to (a) examine whether allogeneic MSC therapy via systemic infusion can prevent the development of GIOP, (b) investigate whether anabolic and anticitabolic effects exist, and (c) elucidate whether homing or immunomodulation underlie the putative therapeutic effects. We hypothesized that allogeneic MSC therapy could prevent the reduction of bone mass and strength in GIOP through maintaining bone formation by inhabiting and functioning in recipient bone marrow.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals and Experimental Design**

The Guidelines of Intramural Animal Use and Care Committee of the Fourth Military Medical University were followed. Female wild-type (WT) C57BL/6 mice (age, 12 weeks; weight, 20–22 g) (Laboratory Animal Center, the Fourth Military Medical University, China) and female green fluorescent protein (GFP)+/− transgenic mice (age, 12 weeks; weight, 20–22 g) (C57BL/6 background, the Fourth Military Medical University, China) were used. The mice were allowed to eat and drink ad libitum before being sacrificed.

**Experiment 1: Effects of Systemically Infused MSCs in the Prevention of GIOP**

WT mice were randomized by weight into four groups (n = 4 each) according to treatment. In the GIOP group, mice received 20 mg/kg/day intraperitoneal (i.p.) dexamethasone (DEX) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, http://www.sigmaaldrich.com) for 35 consecutive days, as previously reported [5]. DEX was dissolved in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Thermo Fisher Scientific Life Sciences) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2. BMMSCs were identified by fluorescent examination, and tibiae were collected for tartrate resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) and toluidine blue staining.

For Experiments 1 and 2, BMMSCs were derived from C57BL/6 mice. For Experiment 3, BMMSCsGFP were sourced from GFP−/− transgenic mice. Isolation and culture of murine BMMSCs and BMMSCsGFP were as previously described [16, 17]. Briefly, murine bone marrow cells were seeded, incubated overnight, and rinsed with PBS to remove nonadherent cells. Adherent cells were cultured with α-minimum essential medium supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 g/ml streptomycin (all from Thermo Fisher Scientific Life Sciences) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2. BMMSCs were identified by colony formation, morphology, osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation, and surface marker analysis, as stated below. Primary BMMSC or BMMSCGFP colonies were applied for infusion after digestion with 0.25% trypsin (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, http://www.mpbio.com) to yield appropriate numbers (2–3 × 10⁶ BMMSCs or BMMSCsGFP could be harvested from one mouse).

For systemic infusion, BMMSCs were suspended in PBS at 5 × 10⁶/ml and put on ice. BMMSCs or BMMSCsGFP (1 × 10⁶ in 200 μl or equivalent PBS) were administered via caudal vein into each recipient mouse on day 7 of DEX treatment, which was finished within 30 minutes after digestion [8, 9]. For colony formation analysis, primary BMMSCs at confluence were digested and plated in 5-cm culture dishes at a density of 1 × 10⁵ cells/dish.

Experiment 2: Effects of MSC Therapy on Bone Remodeling in GIOP

WT mice were randomized by weight into three groups (n = 4 each): control, GIOP+PBS, and GIOP+BMMSC. Control and GIOP modeling, as well as the systemic infusion of PBS and BMMSCs, was according to methods stated above. Mice were sacrificed on day 35 of DEX injection. Sixteen and 2 days before sacrifice, mice received double i.p. injection of 20 mg/kg calcein (Sigma-Aldrich) [15]. Just before sacrifice, whole peripheral blood was sampled for enzyme-linked immunosorbsent assay (ELISA). At sacrifice, femora were sampled for calcein labeling and immunofluorescent examination, and tibiae were collected for tartrate resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) and toluidine blue staining.

Experiment 3: Fates of Infused MSCs in GIOP Mice

GFP+/− mice were used as BMMSC donors. WT recipient mice were randomized by weight into three groups (n = 8 each): control, GIOP+PBS, and GIOP+BMMSC. Control and GIOP modeling, as well as the systemic infusion of PBS and BMMSCsGFP, was according to methods stated above. At 4, 24, and 72 hours after BMMSCGFP infusion (n = 4 each), mice of the GIOP+BMMSC group were randomly chosen and 50 μl peripheral blood was sampled from the tail for flow cytometric analysis to determine the survival of GFP+ cells in the peripheral blood. Mice of the GIOP+PBS group also underwent blood sampling 24 hours after PBS infusion. Mice were kept alive after blood sampling. At 24 hours (n = 4) and 4 weeks (n = 4) after PBS or BMMSCGFP infusion, mice of all three groups were randomly chosen and sacrificed to collect femora for immunofluorescent tests and whole peripheral blood for ELISA.

**BMMSC or BMMSCGFP Culture, Identification, and Systemic Infusion**

For Experiments 1 and 2, BMMSCs were derived from C57BL/6 mice. For Experiment 3, BMMSCsGFP were sourced from GFP−/− transgenic mice. Isolation and culture of murine BMMSCs and BMMSCsGFP were as previously described [16, 17]. Briefly, murine bone marrow cells were seeded, incubated overnight, and rinsed with PBS to remove nonadherent cells. Adherent cells were cultured with α-minimum essential medium supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 g/ml streptomycin (all from Thermo Fisher Scientific Life Sciences) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2. BMMSCs were identified by colony formation, morphology, osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation, and surface marker analysis, as stated below. Primary BMMSC or BMMSCGFP colonies were applied for infusion after digestion with 0.25% trypsin (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, http://www.mpbio.com) to yield appropriate numbers (2–3 × 10⁶ BMMSCs or BMMSCsGFP could be harvested from one mouse).

For systemic infusion, BMMSCs were suspended in PBS at 5 × 10⁶/ml and put on ice. BMMSCs or BMMSCsGFP (1 × 10⁶ in 200 μl or equivalent PBS) were administered via caudal vein into each recipient mouse on day 7 of DEX treatment, which was finished within 30 minutes after digestion [8, 9]. For colony formation analysis, primary BMMSCs at confluence were digested and plated in 5-cm culture dishes at a density of 1 × 10⁵ cells/dish.

www.StemCellsTM.com ©AlphaMed Press 2016
After 14 days of culture, the colonies were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 minutes and stained with crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 minutes [18]. For cell morphologic evaluation, primary BMMSCs at confluence were digested and plated in six-well plates at a density of $5 \times 10^5$ cells/well. After 1 day of culture, cell morphology was evaluated and photographs were taken.

For osteogenic differentiation, seeded BMMSCs were further induced in osteogenesis-inducing media containing 100 $\mu$g/ml ascorbic acid (MP Biomedical), 2 mM β-glycerophosphate (Sigma-Aldrich), and 10 nM DEX. After induction for 14 days, alizarin red (Sigma-Aldrich) staining was performed to determine mineralization [16]. For adipogenic differentiation, seeded BMMSCs were further induced in adipogenesis-inducing media containing 0.5 mM isobutyl methylxanthine (MP Biomedicals), 0.5 mM DEX, and 60 mM indomethacin (MP Biomedicals). After induction for 14 days, oil red O (Sigma-Aldrich) staining was performed to determine lipid droplet formation. Photographs were all taken using an inverted optical microscope (CKX41; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan, http://www.olympusamerica.com) [16].

For flow cytometric analysis of surface markers, primary BMMSC colonies were digested and suspended in PBS supplemented with 3% FBS at $1 \times 10^6$ cells/ml. Added to this were 2 $\times 10^5$ cells/tube with 1 $\mu$l fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-mouse CD11b antibody, 1 $\mu$l phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated anti-mouse CD29 antibody, 1 $\mu$l PE-conjugated anti-mouse CD34 antibody, 1 $\mu$l PE-conjugated anti-mouse CD45 antibody, 1 $\mu$l PE-conjugated anti-mouse vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM1, also known as CD106) antibody, and 1 $\mu$l FITC-conjugated anti-mouse stem cell antigen 1 (Sca-1) antibody (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, http://www.bd.com) equipped with FACSDiva version 6.1.3 software [19].

**Micro-CT Analysis for Bone Mass Evaluation**

For trabecular and cortical bone mass evaluation, a desktop micro-CT system (eXplore Locus SP, GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, U.K., http://www3.gehealthcare.com) was used, as previously documented [20]. In Experiment 1, at sacrifice, the left femora were removed, fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde, and prepared into 1-mm blocks with the distal femoral metaphysis included. The specimens were scanned at a resolution of 8 $\mu$m, a voltage of 80 kV, and a current of 80 $\mu$A. Trabecular bone data were obtained at a region of interest (ROI) in the distal metaphysis, 0.3–0.8 mm away from the epiphysis. Cortical ROI was defined in the midshaft, 3.3–3.8 mm away from the epiphysis. Data were analyzed with the Micview V2.1.2 software, and quantification was performed using parameters of bone volume per tissue volume, bone mineral density, trabecular bone thickness, trabecular bone number, trabecular separation, cortical bone thickness, total cross-sectional area inside the periosteal envelope, cortical bone area, and cortical bone area fraction [9, 21].

**Three-Point Bending Test for Bone Quality Determination**

Tibiae were used for mechanical testing for bone quality determination in Experiment 1. At sacrifice, the left tibiae were isolated, and the length was measured using a caliper to determine midpoint. The tibiae were then placed in a universal testing machine (AGS-10KN; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan, http://www.shimadzu.com) with two lower supports at a distance of 15 mm. The load was applied to the midpoint at a displacement rate of 0.05 mm/s until failure. The displacement, load, and load-deformation curve were recorded. Ultimate force was defined as the maximal load. Young’s modulus was calculated according to Turner and Burr [22].

**Bone Histomorphometric Analyses for Bone Remodeling Evaluation**

For bone formation examination, double calcein labeling was performed according to previous studies, with minor modifications [15, 20] (supplemental online Fig. 3A). In Experiment 2, at 16 and 2 days before sacrifice, mice received double injection i.p. of 20 mg/kg calcein. Calcein was dissolved at a concentration of 2 mg/ml in PBS supplemented with 1 mg/ml NaHCO3 (Sigma-Aldrich) and was injected at 10 $\mu$l/g each time away from light. Necessary precautions were taken to ensure that the injected fluid was never accidentally placed in intestine, and that successful administration of double calcein labeling was accomplished in all mice. At sacrifice, left femora were isolated, fixed in 80% ethanol, and embedded in methyl methacrylate. The specimens were sagittally sectioned into 30-μm sections using a hard tissue slicing machine (SP1600; Leica, Munich, Germany, http://www.leica.com) away from light. Both double-labeled and single-labeled cortical endosteum surfaces were evaluated by a fluorescence microscope (SP6000; Leica) with an excitation wavelength of 488 nm. Quantification was performed based on at least five photographs using the parameters of mineral apposition rate (MAR) and mineralized surface per bone surface (MS/BS). Bone formation rate (BFR) was calculated as MAR × MS/BS, according to previous studies [15].

For osteoblast and osteoclast/bone resorption examination, toluidine blue and TRAP staining was performed, as stated previously [23]. In Experiment 2, at sacrifice, tibiae were isolated, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, decalcified with 10% ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) (pH 7.2–7.4), and embedded in paraffin. Sagittal serial sections (5 μm) of proximal metaphyses were prepared (RM2125; Leica). The sections were stained by 1% toluidine blue (Sigma-Aldrich) and was injected at 10 $\mu$l/g each time away from light. Osteoblast quantification was performed using the parameters of number of osteoblasts per bone surface and osteoblast surface per bone surface [15]. Similarly, osteoclast/bone resorption quantification was determined using the parameters of number of osteoclasts per bone surface and osteoclast surface per bone surface [15]. Quantification was performed using ImageJ 1.47 software from at least five consecutive microscopic fields.

**ELISA for the Detection of Serological Markers**

In Experiments 2 and 3, at 24 hours and 4 weeks after PBS or BMMSC infusion, before necropsy, 500-μl samples of whole peripheral blood were collected from the retro-orbital venous plexus. Sera were isolated by centrifuging at 3,000 rpm for 10 minutes followed by 12,000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C [9]. Markers for bone formation (procollagen 1 N-terminal peptide [P1NP]), bone resorption (cross-linked C-telopeptide of type 1 collagen [CTX-1]), and inflammation (tumor necrosis factor [TNF]-α and interferon [IFN]-γ) were detected using murine ELISA kits according to the
Immunofluorescent Staining and Terminal Deoxynucleotidyl Transferase-Mediated dUTP Nick-End Labeling

In Experiments 2 and 3, at sacrifice, femora were isolated, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, cryoprotected with 30% sucrose, decalcified with 10% EDTA (pH 7.2–7.4), and embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound. The specimens were snap-frozen and sectioned into 15-μm sagittal sections (CM1950; Leica). The specimens were snap-frozen and sectioned into 15-μm sagittal sections (CM1950; Leica). All of the below antibodies were from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, http://www.cellsignal.com). Nonimmune immunoglobulin of the same isotype was used as the negative control. Sections were observed under a fluorescence microscope (DP70; Olympus). The images were further analyzed using ImageJ 1.47 software from at least five consecutive microscopic fields.

In the Experiment 2, right femora were evaluated for in situ detection of osteoblast progenitors in bone marrow. Osteoblast progenitors were identified with Osterix (Osx) [24]. Sections were blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in PBS for 1 hour at room temperature. Sections were then stained with a goat anti-Osx primary antibody for 2 hours at room temperature at a concentration of 1:100, followed by donkey anti-goat-FITC secondary antibody for 30 minutes at room temperature. Sections were counterstained with Hoechst (Sigma-Aldrich) for 3 minutes at room temperature.

In Experiment 3, left femora were evaluated for in situ detection of donor BMMSCsGFP in recipient bone marrow [17]. Sections were blocked as stated. Sections were then stained with rabbit anti-GFP primary antibody for 2 hours at room temperature at a concentration of 1:100, followed by goat anti-rabbit-FITC secondary antibody for 30 minutes at room temperature at a concentration of 1:200, and counterstained with Hoechst as stated.

For in situ detection of apoptosis of both donor BMMSCsGFP and recipient bone marrow cells, terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) and GFP-immunofluorescence double-labeling assays were performed, as previously reported [25]. Briefly, sections from the right femora underwent TUNEL assay using DeadEnd Colorimetric TUNEL System according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Promega, Madison, WI, http://www.promega.com). Sections were blocked, stained for anti-GFP primary antibody and the secondary antibody, and counterstained with Hoechst as stated. For in situ detection of osteogenic differentiation of both donor BMMSCsGFP and recipient bone marrow cells, terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) and GFP-immunofluorescence double-labeling assays were performed. Sections were blocked as stated. Sections were then stained with goat anti-Osx primary antibody and rabbit anti-GFP primary antibody for 2 hours at room temperature at a concentration of 1:200, and counterstained with Hoechst, as stated above.

Figure 1. Study design of Experiment 1 and trabecular bone mass. (A): Study design of the Experiment 1 for bone mass evaluation. Femora and the tibiae were sampled at sacrifice. (B–E): Representative micro-CT images illustrating trabecular bone mass of the distal metaphysis of femora. ROI was defined 0.3–0.8 mm away from epiphyses. Scale bars: 500 μm (top) and 100 μm (bottom). (F–J): Corresponding parameters showing prevention of GIOP by MSC therapy. Data represent mean ± SEM; n = 4 per group. **, p < .01; ***, p < .001. Abbreviations: BMD, bone mineral density; BMMSC, bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell; BV/TV, bone volume per tissue volume; Cont, control; DEX, dexamethasone; GIOP, glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis; i.p., intraperitoneally; i.v., intravenously; micro-CT, micro-computed tomography; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; NS, not significant; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; ROI, region of interest; Tb.N, trabecular bone number; Tb.Th, trabecular bone thickness; Tb.Sp, trabecular separation; w, weeks.
followed by donkey anti-goat-cyanine 3 secondary antibody together with a goat anti-rabbit-FITC secondary antibody for 30 minutes at room temperature at a concentration of 1:200, and counterstained with Hoechst as stated.

Flow Cytometric Analysis for Detection of Donor BMMSCs GFP in Recipient Peripheral Blood

In Experiment 3, 50-μl blood samples were collected by cutting off the tips of the tails at indicated times. Samples were treated with ACK lysis buffer (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland, http://www.lonza.com) to remove red blood cells and washed with PBS. Percentages of GFP+ cells in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were determined with a flow cytometer (Cytomics FC 500; Beckman-Coulter, Danvers, MA, https://www.beckmancoulter.com) equipped with CXP 2.1 software.

Statistical Analysis

All results are presented as mean ± SEM. The data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance followed by post hoc tests of Newman-Keuls multiple comparison in GraphPad Prism software. Values of p < .05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Systemic Infusion of Allogeneic BMMSCs Maintained Bone Mass and Strength in Glucocorticoid-Treated Mice

Murine BMMSCs used in the present study were demonstrated to have the potential to form colonies and differentiate into multilineage osteoblasts and adipocytes (supplemental online Fig. 1A–1D), as reported in our previous research [16, 26]. Analyzed by flow cytometry, these BMMSCs uniformly expressed surface markers considered to represent mesenchymal stem cells, including CD29, VCAM1, and Sca-1, but were negative for hematopoietic markers CD11b, CD34, and CD45 (supplemental online Fig. 1E) [19, 26].

To explore the therapeutic potential of allogeneic MSCs in GIOP, we infused BMMSCs systemically into glucocorticoid-treated mice according to the study design of Experiment 1 (Fig. 1A). Micro-CT analysis demonstrated that BMMSC infusion maintained trabecular bone mass in glucocorticoid-treated mice (Fig. 1B–1E) with corresponding improvements in trabecular bone volume (Fig. 1F), mineral density (Fig. 1G), thickness (Fig. 1H), number (Fig. 1I), and separation (Fig. 1J). Additional analysis showed partial prevention against cortical bone loss by MSC therapy (supplemental online Fig. 2A–2D), as indicated by the improvements of cortical bone thickness (supplemental online Fig. 2E) and cortical area fraction (supplemental online Fig. 2F–2H). Mechanical tests further demonstrated partial maintenance of bone strength by systemic infusion of BMMSCs, as shown in supplemental online Fig. 2I and 2J.

Allogeneic BMMSC Infusion Prevented GIOP Through Maintenance of Bone Formation

To explore the underlying mechanisms of the therapeutic effects of BMMSC infusion in GIOP, we analyzed bone-remodeling parameters in Experiment 2 (supplemental online Fig. 3A). Calcein labeling showed rescue of the impaired bone formation by BMMSC infusion (Fig. 2A–2C) with corresponding improvements in trabecular bone volume (Fig. 1F), mineral density (Fig. 1G), thickness (Fig. 1H), number (Fig. 1I), and separation (Fig. 1J). Additional analysis showed partial prevention against cortical bone loss by MSC therapy (supplemental online Fig. 2A–2D), as indicated by the improvements of cortical bone thickness (supplemental online Fig. 2E) and cortical area fraction (supplemental online Fig. 2F–2H). Mechanical tests further demonstrated partial maintenance of bone strength by systemic infusion of BMMSCs, as shown in supplemental online Fig. 2I and 2J.

Figure 2. Bone formation and bone resorption parameters. (A–C): Representative calcein-labeling images exhibiting bone formation rates. Mice received double injection of 20 mg/kg calcein at 16 and 2 d before sacrifice. Scale bars: 100 μm. (D–F): Corresponding parameters showing maintenance of bone formation by MSC therapy. (G–I): Representative TRAP-staining images exhibiting bone resorption rates. Red-stained areas with black arrows indicate osteoclasts. The unstained area in the bone marrow represents empty spaces occupied by adipocytes. Scale bars: 50 μm. (J, K): Corresponding parameters showing paralleled bone resorption. Data represent mean ± SEM; n = 4 per group. *, p < .05; **, p < .01; and ***, p < .001. Abbreviations: BFR, bone formation rate; BMMSC, bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell; Cont, control; GIOP, glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis; MAR, mineral apposition rate; MS/BS, mineralized surface per bone surface; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; N.Oc/BS, number of osteoclasts per bone surface; NS, not significant; Oc.S/BS, osteoclast surface over bone surface; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; TRAP, tartrate resistant acid phosphatase.
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markers of bone formation (P1NP) and bone resorption (CTX-1) exhibited consistent results that MSC therapy primarily rescued the reduction of bone formation but failed to prevent the transient elevation of bone resorption (supplemental online Fig. 3B–3E). Additional analyses on concentrations of serological TNF-α and IFN-γ revealed no systemic modulatory effects of infused BM-MSCs on inflammation (supplemental online Fig. 3F, 3G).

**MSC Therapy Promoted Osteoblast and Osteoblast Progenitor Survival of Glucocorticoid-Treated Mice**

To investigate whether the effects of infused BM-MSCs on bone formation were attributed to the changes of osteoblasts, toluidine blue staining was performed. As shown in Figure 3A–3C and the corresponding parameters (Fig. 3D, 3E), glucocorticoid treatment induced loss of osteoblasts and increase of adipocytes in bone marrow, which could be prevented by MSC therapy.

We next examined whether the promotion of osteoblast survival by MSC therapy was attributed to an increase in osteoblastogenesis. Immunofluorescence labeling analysis was performed to detect Osx⁺ osteoblast progenitors in recipient bone marrow. As shown in Figure 3F and 3G, glucocorticoid treatment reduced the number of osteoblast progenitors. BM-MSC infusion promoted osteoblast progenitor survival in bone marrow (Fig. 3H), as indicated by maintenance of Osx⁺ area (Fig. 3I).

**Donor BM-MSCsGFP Inhabited Recipient Bone Marrow**

We next examined whether donor BM-MSCs engrafted and inhabited bone marrow by using GFP-labeled BM-MSCs derived from GFP⁺/+ transgenic mice in Experiment 3 (Fig. 4A). As depicted in Figure 4B–4D, donor BM-MSCsGFP migrated and homed to recipient bone marrow within 24 hours postinfusion and engrafted for at least 4 weeks postinfusion. The percentage of GFP⁺ area in total bone marrow area was >2% at 24 hours postinfusion and slightly decreased to approximately 1.5% at 4 weeks postinfusion. No GFP⁺ cells were noted in the GIOP+PBS group (Fig. 4E). Additionally, BM-MSCsGFP rapidly diminished in peripheral blood within 24 hours postinfusion, and virtually no GFP⁺ cells in PBMNCs could be detected at 72 hours postinfusion (Fig. 4F). These findings indicated local functional effects of donor BM-MSCsGFP to promote bone formation and osteoblastogenesis in recipient bone marrow.

**Donor BM-MSCsGFP Prevented Recipient Bone Marrow Cell Apoptosis**

To further uncover the cell fate of donor BM-MSCs engrafted and inhabited bone marrow by using GFP-labeled BM-MSCs derived from GFP⁺/+ transgenic mice in Experiment 3 (Fig. 4A). As depicted in Figure 4B–4D, donor BM-MSCsGFP migrated and homed to recipient bone marrow within 24 hours postinfusion and engrafted for at least 4 weeks postinfusion. The percentage of GFP⁺ area in total bone marrow area was >2% at 24 hours postinfusion and slightly decreased to approximately 1.5% at 4 weeks postinfusion. No GFP⁺ cells were noted in the GIOP+PBS group (Fig. 4E). Additionally, BM-MSCsGFP rapidly diminished in peripheral blood within 24 hours postinfusion, and virtually no GFP⁺ cells in PBMNCs could be detected at 72 hours postinfusion (Fig. 4F). These findings indicated local functional effects of donor BM-MSCsGFP to promote bone formation and osteoblastogenesis in recipient bone marrow.

**Donor BM-MSCsGFP Committed to Osteoblasts With Induction of Recipient Osteoblastogenesis**

We next investigated whether the inhabited BM-MSCsGFP participated in bone formation by performing a GFP-Osx double-labeling analysis at 4 weeks postinfusion. As shown in Figure 5, BM-MSCGFP infusion prevented recipient bone marrow cell apoptosis at the sacrifice of partial apoptosis themselves. The apoptotic percentage of donor BM-MSCsGFP was less than 30%, suggesting survival of most infused BM-MSCsGFP to further function. No GFP⁺ cells were detected in the control group or GIOP+PBS group (Fig. 5E). Furthermore, the
Excessive clinical use of glucocorticoids is a common cause of secondary osteoporosis [1–3]. In this preclinical study, we revealed that allogeneic MSC therapy could serve as a promising anabolic option in the management of GIOP, in that systemic infusion of BMMSCs increased the number of GFP-Osx+ osteoblast progenitors, suggesting induction of recipient osteoblastogenesis by infused BMMSCs (Fig. 6E).

**DISCUSSION**

Excessive clinical use of glucocorticoids is a common cause of secondary osteoporosis [1–3]. In this preclinical study, we revealed that allogeneic MSC therapy could serve as a promising anabolic option in the management of GIOP, in that systemic infusion of BMMSCs...
Our findings further suggested the feasibility of maintaining homing efficacy using allogeneic MSCs in clinical therapy of osteoporosis, without genetic manipulation. The therapeutic potential of genetically unmodified allogeneic MSCs has been previously revealed in treating osteoporosis under inflammatory and autoimmune conditions in preclinical studies [8–10]. In murine models for postmenopausal osteoporosis and systemic lupus erythematosus-induced secondary osteoporosis, systemically infused allogeneic MSCs suppressed activated T cells via Fas ligand-mediated Fas pathway, which has been demonstrated as key to their therapeutic potential in preventing bone loss [8, 9]. However, one of the main differences between GIOP and postmenopausal osteoporosis is the distinct systemic environment, in that glucocorticoid therapy is widely used in autoimmune diseases to control inflammation [1]. Therefore, immunomodulation might not be included in the underlying mechanism of MSCs preventing GIOP, as shown in our study. In addition, the increase of bone resorption is also one of the common effects of excessive glucocorticoids that may be alleviated by MSC infusion [5, 9]. In this study, the bone resorption rate increased within 1 week of exposure to excessive glucocorticoids before dropping to the baseline level at 4 weeks, consistent with a report in humans [28]. Interestingly, BMMSC infusion did not prevent the transient increase of bone resorption, suggesting that the therapeutic effects were based on the maintenance of osteoblastogenesis.

There is growing evidence that infused MSCs have higher homing efficacies toward the bone marrow compartment or sites of inflammation and injury [13, 29], although a large percentage of transplanted MSCs could get sequestered in other tissues such as lung [5]. Previous preclinical research in osteoporosis revealed that through either enforced homing by CXCR4 or direct injection into bone marrow, transplanted MSCs could engraft and exert local anabolic effects [5–7]. However, the exact localization and functional characterization of inhabited MSCs remain exclusive. In our study, we first took advantage of GFP-labeled MSCs to investigate cell fates after homing. We found that most engrafted MSCs were retained, with less than one-third of them suffering apoptosis. We also revealed that of the surviving MSCs, approximately one-half participated as a functional part to replenish recipient osteoblast-lineage cells. Furthermore, MSC retention in bone marrow profoundly promoted recipient osteoblastogenesis and osteoblast survival. Further tests should be done to detect BMMSCs retention in other tissue sites. According to the present data, the homed allogeneic MSCs functioned primarily through local trophic effects on recipient osteoblast lineage cells in GIOP. Thus, the interesting question that remains is how these cells supported the recipient osteoblast-lineage cells. Additional experiments are suggested to elucidate other types of cells that inhabited BMMSCs could differentiate into and to characterize the types of cells undergoing apoptosis, which might provide valuable information in the selection of cells for future use. Studies are also needed to uncover the molecular basis of donor-recipient interactions.

**CONCLUSION**

The therapeutic potential of genetically unmodified allogeneic MSCs in GIOP was revealed via systemic infusion. The therapeutic effects were based on maintenance of bone formation by donor MSCs inhabiting and functioning in recipient bone marrow, which in turn promoted osteoblastogenesis. These results provide important information regarding cell-based anabolic therapy for GIOP and uncover previously unrecognized MSC behaviors following a homing event.
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