Effect of Slit Channel Width of a Shim Embedded in Slot-Die Head on High-Density Stripe Coating for OLEDs
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Abstract: With an attempt to achieve high-density fine organic stripes for potential applications in solution-processable organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs), we have performed slot-die coatings using a shim with slit channels in various shapes (rectangular-shaped narrow, rectangular-shaped wide, and reversely tapered channels) in the presence of narrow µ-tips. Based on hydraulic-electric circuit analogy, we have analyzed the fluid dynamics of an aqueous poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene):poly (4-styrenesulfonate) (PEDOT:PSS). It is observed that the coating speed can be increased and the stripe width can be reduced using a shim with rectangular-shaped wide slit channels. It is attributed that the hydraulic resistance is decreased and thus more fluid can reach a substrate through µ-tips. This behavior is consistent with the simulation result of the equivalent electrical circuit with a DC voltage source representing a pressure source. Using the shim with 150-µm-wide slit channels, we have successfully fabricated 200 PEDOT:PSS stripes within the effective coating width (150 mm) and 160 OLED stripes (34 stripes per inch) with the luminance of 325 cd/m² at 5 V.
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1. Introduction

Due to a simple process, low material loss, and scalability to large-area manufacturing, printing and coating processes have attracted much attention for the fabrication of organic light-emitting diode (OLED) display panels [1,2], OLED lightings [3–5], solar cells [6,7], sensors [8,9], batteries [10,11], etc. Printing processes (e.g., inkjet [12,13], gravure [14,15], nozzle [16,17], etc.) have been employed to fabricate the emission layers of OLEDs, which require fine patterning. Meanwhile, coating processes (e.g., blade, spin, spray, slot, etc.) have been utilized to fabricate the blanket (common) layers of OLEDs, which require large-area uniform films [3,5]. The printing process has a big advantage, in that fine and accurate patterning in the web and cross-web directions is readily available. However, it has the disadvantage that it is difficult to maintain the equipment and print high-viscosity solutions [18]. The coating process has the opposite characteristics to the printing process. It enables coating of a wide range of process materials (low- and high-viscosity solutions). Of those, blade coating is preferred for processing of large areas with no pattern [19–21]. Although spin coating provides very uniform organic thin films, its scalability is limited [22,23]. Spray coating has a high roll-to-roll (R2R) compatibility, but requires a mask for patterning, thereby degrading edge resolution [24–26]. As a premetered method, slot-die coating is compatible with both R2R and sheet-to-sheet deposition processes [27,28]. It enables simultaneous multilayer formation, yielding a discrete bilayer film [29]. The main process parameters that determined the thickness and width of a patterned stripe in the R2R slot-die coating system were the coating speed, flow rate, and coating gap [30]. Of those, the coating speed had the most influence on...
stripe profiles. Unlike other coating methods, slot-die coating can provide patterning in the transverse (cross-web) direction (i.e., stripe coating) and in the longitudinal (web) direction (i.e., intermittent coating) [31]. Inkjet printing requires a bank structure to confine ink droplets within pixels. However, such a bank structure is unnecessary if stripe coating is feasible [32–34]. Although inkjet printing enables fine stripe coating, the printed line shows various behaviors; individual drops, scalloped, uniform, bulging, and stacked coins, depending on the drop spacing [34].

For stripe coating using slot-die coating, a slot-die head with a shim and a meniscus guide is required. The shim mask is embedded into the head to define the feed slot width. Depending on the shim configuration, the die-outlet velocity, which affected the edge profiles of the wide stripe-patterned film, was shown to be controlled [35]. The meniscus guide is protruded from the head lip in order to control the meniscus [36]. Such a meniscus guide together with a shim was first employed to fabricate wide stripes having a centimeter width required for solar cells [37,38]. We explored this scheme in OLEDs and demonstrated that thin-film stripes of poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene): poly (4-styrenesulfonate) (PEDOT:PSS) having a micrometer width could be fabricated by the slot-die head with protruded µ-tips [39–41]. Using the shim with a thickness of 25 µm and the µ-tips with a width of 150 µm and a length of 250 µm, 100 PEDOT:PSS stripes were fabricated without coating defects (line break) over the active width of 150 mm [39]. The number of coated stripes was increased to 150 by reducing the µ-tip length to 150 µm [40]. The coated PEDOT:PSS stripes exhibited an average width of 168 µm and an average thickness of 103 nm at 20 mm/s using the µ-tips with a width of 150 µm. To increase the stripe density further, we investigated the effect of the µ-tip configuration on the stripe profiles. Using the tapered µ-tips having a length of 100 µm, we successfully fabricated 175 stripes with the average width of 62 µm and thickness of 113 nm at 44 mm/s [41]. It was addressed that the tapered µ-tip suppressed both line break occurred at low coating speeds and line broadening appeared with the wide µ-tip. It was also demonstrated that the stripe density could be doubled using a slot-die head with two meniscus guides embedded, each of which had 175 linearly tapered µ-tips.

For practical applications in large-area OLEDs, however, the stripe density should be boosted further. To this end, we first need to analyze the fluid dynamics in slot-die head with a cavity, slit channels, and µ-tips. It can be done by computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulation [42]. The 2D finite element method was developed for slot-coating flow [43]. A coating window for the low-flow limit regime was analyzed using CFD simulations [28,44]. In [45], 3D flow simulations were performed to analyze saw-tooth meniscus shape. Furthermore, a novel tracking method was developed to investigate the vortex-free coating windows for slot-die coating [46]. However, CFD method requires specialized and costly software and lots of computational efforts to simulate the fluid dynamics in the slot-die head with a number of µ-tips. A simple and useful method is to use the relationship between the hydraulic (Hagen-Poiseuille’s law) and electrical circuit (Ohm’s law). The pressure drop appearing in fluid channels can be represented by the voltage drop in the circuit. Similarly, the fluid flowing through the channels corresponds to the current flowing in the circuit and the hydraulic resistance affecting the fluid flow to the electrical resistance [47,48]. This analogy is valid when the flow is laminar, viscous, and incompressible. Even so, the use of a hydraulic-electric circuit analogy is useful in designing a complex microfluidic slot-die coating system with a large number of 1D-like microchannels (closed slit channels and open µ-tip channels). From the electronic-hydraulic analogy, it is expected that a wide slit channel might deliver more fluid to a substrate, a feature demanded for multiple stripe coating. To verify it by experiment, we have first investigated the effect of the slit channel configuration (rectangular-shaped narrow, rectangular-shaped wide, and reversely tapered channels) on the coating behaviors in the presence of a narrow µ-tip. It is addressed that the coating speed can be increased and the stripe width can be reduced using a shim with a rectangular-shaped wide slit channel. To obtain high-density fine organic stripes, we have then employed the slot-die head with wide slit channels where narrow µ-tips are deployed and successfully fabricated 200 PEDOT:PSS stripes and 160 OLED stripes with the luminance of 325 cd/m² at 5 V.
2. Methods

2.1. Simulation

Presented in Figure 1 is the schematic view of slot-die head with multiple \( \mu \)-tips and its equivalent electrical circuit. The inlet is connected with an external syringe pump. A liquid provided by the syringe pump fills the cavity (ink distribution chamber or reservoir) and then flows through the slit channels formed by a shim. A liquid coming out of the slit channels flows along the head lip due to lateral capillary force and along the \( \mu \)-tips. Other than microfluidics [47], there exist both closed and open-channel flows in slot-die head. It implies that there are two forces of gravity and pressure along the flow direction. The hydraulic pressure is the main driving force in the cavity and slit channels, whereas the component of gravity (atmospheric pressure) is the driving force along the head lip and the \( \mu \)-tip. It is noted that those two forces are mixed and not independent in slot-die head. In open-channel flow, the flow resistance is determined by the four components; skin friction induced by surface roughness, form resistance by separation of flow, wave resistance by distortion of the free surface, and flow unsteadiness by flow acceleration or deceleration [49]. Of those, form resistance and flow unsteadiness are dominant in slot-die head. In a transient state, the separation of flow occurs at the fork of the die lip and the \( \mu \)-tip. Flow unsteadiness occurs between the \( \mu \)-tip and the substrate (i.e., coating gap). In Figure 1, the resistance to fluid flow (hydraulic resistance) through the cavity, through the slit channels, along the head lip, and along the \( \mu \)-tip is represented by \( R_C \), \( R_S \), \( R_L \), and \( R_T \), respectively. The hydraulic resistance in the closed channels can be evaluated by Poiseuille’s law [50,51], which is written as

\[
R = C_{\text{geometry}} \frac{\eta L}{A^2}
\]

where \( C_{\text{geometry}} \) denotes a geometry coefficient, \( \eta \) the viscosity of a fluid, \( L \) the length of channel, and \( A \) the cross-sectional area of the channel. Hagen-Poiseuille’s law can only be used for channels that are perfectly straight and infinitely long. Meanwhile, no standard equation for the hydraulic resistance along the head lip and \( \mu \)-tips (in open-channel flow) is available.

![Figure 1](image-url)  
Figure 1. Schematic view of slot-die head with multiple \( \mu \)-tips and its equivalent electrical circuit.

Shown in Figure 2a is the pressure source with a syringe pump and its equivalent circuit symbol, and displayed in Figure 2b is the circuit layout with a DC voltage source for simulations. In pressure-driven microfluidic devices, the pressure drop across the inlet and outlet of a device is not affected by the externally connected syringe pump. In this case, the syringe pump corresponds to an independent DC current source [47]. In slot-die head, however, the syringe pump is an independent constant pressure source and thus analogous to an independent DC voltage source because there exists a reservoir (i.e., cavity) (Figure 2a). A pressurized reservoir (cavity) connected with the syringe pump...
Figure 2. (a) A pressure source with a syringe pump and its equivalent circuit symbol; (b) circuit layout with a DC voltage source for simulations (half of the slot head is considered due to its symmetric configuration).

2.2. Experiment

To fabricate fine organic thin-film stripes, we used a R2R slot coating system [39]. It is composed of a holder for a slot-die head having a length of 200 mm, width of 40 mm, and height of 58 mm, pre-drying unit in vacuum (pressure up to $5 \times 10^{-4}$ Torr), the web controller for web speeds ranging from 0.1 to 100 mm/s, the externally connected syringe pump with flow rates in the range from 0.18 to 159.8 mL/min (11 Elite I/W Single, Harvard Apparatus), O$_3$ plasma treatment with the maximum power of 0.3 kW, and ionizers for removing dusts. The semicircular cavity in the slot-die head has the length of 156 mm and the radius of 1 mm. Shown in Figure 3 are the schematic view of a meniscus guide and a shim superimposed. For the experiment, the μ-tip width was fixed to 50 μm. The slit channel length was also fixed to 12.7 mm. We fabricated three different shapes of slit channels; a rectangular-shaped narrow (50-μm-wide) slit channel (Figure 3a), a rectangular-shaped wide (150-μm-wide) slit channel (Figure 3b), and a reversely tapered slit channel (Figure 3c). In the reversely tapered slit channel, the slit width was increased from 50 to 150 μm. Both the meniscus guide with μ-tips and the shim were fabricated by a laser cutter using a plastic film. A plastic film rather than a stainless steel film was used to facilitate the laser processing of μ-tips. Using a polyethylene terephthalate (PET) film, however, the μ-tip became ill-defined (rounded) due to laser heat when it was machined to a width of 50 μm (Figure 4a). The PET film was suitable for making the μ-tip with a width of 80 μm or more. To fabricate narrower μ-tips, we employed a polyimide (PI) film with high heat resistance. As evident in Figure 4b, we obtained the μ-tip with sharp corners. When it was machined to a width below 50 μm, however, heat-induced deformation (tip bending and nonuniformity in tip width) of the μ-tip occurred (Figure 4c). Therefore, the minimum slit channel width was determined to be 50 μm. The coating gap was fixed to 20 μm. The meniscus guide was thick (=175 μm) enough to prevent tip bending and the thickness of the shim we used was 25 μm [41]. Each slit channel has the cross-sectional area ($A$) equal to the slit channel width × shim thickness. We used a PET film roll having a width of 170 mm as a flexible substrate and an aqueous PEDOT:PSS (Clevios AI 4083) as a coating solution. The active coating width on the film roll was 150 mm. Because the surface tension of the pristine PEDOT:PSS solution was high, it was difficult to perform uniform slot coating. To enhance its wettability on the substrate, a fluorosurfactant (0.2 wt.%) was added into the pristine solution as a wetting agent [39]. An area scan camera (acA1300-30gm, BASLER, Ahrensburg, Germany) was installed on the coating
system to measure the fluid distribution. The coated PEDOT:PSS films were dried in the pre-drying unit (in vacuum) for 10 min and the hard-baking was done on a hot plate at 80 °C for 20 min. The width and thickness of coated stripes were measured using a 3D optical surface profiler (NV 6300, ZYGO, Middlefield, CT, USA) [39].

**Figure 3.** Schematic view of a meniscus guide with 50-µm-wide and 250-µm-long µ-tip and a shim with the slit channel width of (a) 50 µm; (b) 150 µm; and (c) the reversely tapered slit channel.

**Figure 4.** Optical images of a single µ-tip fabricated by a laser cutter using (a) polyethylene terephthalate (PET) film; (b) polyimide (PI) film; and (c) multiple µ-tips processed to a width of 30 µm.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Single Line Coating

In order to study the effect of the slit channel configuration on the fluid dynamics and thin-film properties, we first performed single stripe coatings. Figure 5 shows the measured optical images and profiles of a single PEDOT:PSS stripe coated at a coating speed of 10 mm/s. The measured width and thickness values for different coating speeds are summarized in Table 1. For single stripe coating, the flow rate was 1 µl/min. At the same coating speed (10 mm/s), the wide (150-µm-wide) slit channel provided the widest and thickest stripe, followed by the reversely tapered channel and then the narrow (50-µm-wide) slit channel. It is attributed that the hydraulic resistance is decreased and thus more
fluid reaches the substrate with increasing cross-sectional area (A) of slit channel or longitudinal area (slit channel width \times\text{slit channel length}). The effect of the slit channel configuration on the fluid flow can be seen by measuring the fluid distribution near the \(\mu\)-tip at a fixed coating speed of 10 mm/s (Figure 6). The fluid dynamics was compared in terms of the distance (\(D_L\)) the PEDOT:PSS solution spread out from the \(\mu\)-tip and the smallest width (\(W_M\)) of the fluid stream formed near the \(\mu\)-tip end. At 10 mm/s, the measured \(D_L\) value using the 50-\(\mu\)-m-wide slit channel (Figure 6a), the 150-\(\mu\)-m-wide slit channel (Figure 6b), and the reversely tapered slit channel (Figure 6c) was about 206, 218, and 212 \(\mu\)m, respectively. Namely, the \(D_L\) value increases with increasing cross-sectional area (A) of slit channel or longitudinal area. It implies that more fluid is distributed along the die lip because more fluid flows out of the wide (150-\(\mu\)-m-wide) slit channel. Therefore, the largest \(W_M\) value (100 \(\mu\)m) was obtained using the wide slit channel, indicating that more fluid reached the substrate.

Table 1. Summary of measured width (W) and thickness (T) of those poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene): poly (4-styrenesulfonate) (PEDOT:PSS) stripes for different coating speeds (V).

| Coating Speed (mm/s) | 50-\(\mu\)-m Wide Slit Channel | 150-\(\mu\)-m Wide Slit Channel | Reversely Tapered Slit Channel |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| V                    | W (\(\mu\)m)                    | T (nm)                          | W (\(\mu\)m)                  | T (nm)                          |
| 10                   | 131 ± 2                         | 113 ± 3                         | 151 ± 2                       | 139 ± 3                         |
| 13                   | 112 ± 2                         | 105 ± 2                         | 130 ± 1                       | 130 ± 2                         |
| 16                   | 102 ± 2                         | 90 ± 2                          | 117 ± 2                       | 125 ± 3                         |
| 20                   | Line breakup                    | 103 ± 2                         | 118 ± 2                       | 100 ± 2                         |
| 25                   | Line breakup                    | 90 ± 2                          | 105 ± 4                       | Line breakup                    |

**Figure 5.** Optical images of a single PEDOT:PSS stripe coated at 10 mm/s using a (a) 50-\(\mu\)-m-wide slit channel, (b) 150-\(\mu\)-m-wide slit channel, and (c) reversely tapered slit channel; (d) measured profiles of those stripes.
Figure 6. Measured fluid distribution near the µ-tip during stripe coating at 10 mm/s using the (a) 50-µm-wide slit channel, (b) 150-µm-wide slit channel, and (c) reversely tapered slit channel.

Presented in Figure 7 are the measured optical images of a single PEDOT:PSS stripe coated using those shims at a maximum coating speed ($V_M$). It is obvious from Figure 7 and Table 1 that we can obtain the finest stripe at a maximum coating velocity as the stripe width and thickness decrease with increasing coating velocity. The maximum coating velocity was 16 mm/s with the 50-µm-wide slit channel. However, it was increased to 20 mm/s using the reversely tapered slit channel and up to 25 mm/s using the 150-µm-wide slit channel. At the maximum coating speed, we achieved the narrowest (90 µm) and thinnest (105 nm) stripe using the 150-µm-wide slit channel.

Figure 7. Measured optical images of a single PEDOT:PSS stripe coated using the (a) 50-µm-wide slit channel at the maximum coating speed ($V_M$) of 16 mm/s, (b) 150-µm-wide slit channel at 25 mm/s, and (c) reversely tapered slit channel at 20 mm/s.

Figure 8 shows the fluid distribution near the µ-tip measured at the maximum velocity. It was observed that both $D_L$ and $W_M$ values were reduced at a maximum coating speed, compared with the fluid distribution at 10 mm/s (Figure 6). Therefore, the effective amount of solution per unit area discharged from the channel decreases as the coating speed increases. It results in a decrease in the stripe width and thickness with increasing coating speed. With the 150-µm-wide slit channel, the $D_L$
value was reduced from 218 to 170 µm and the $W_M$ value (=62 µm) was getting close to the µ-tip width. Above the maximum coating speed, the $W_M$ value is smaller than the µ-tip width and eventually line breakup (defect) occurs. It is apparent that such a wide slit channel enables us to increase the maximum coating speed because more fluid reaches the substrate. We will return to this point later with the circuit simulation results.

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8.** Measured fluid distribution near the µ-tip during stripe coating using the (a) 50-µm-wide slit channel at the maximum coating speed of 16 mm/s, (b) 150-µm-wide slit channel at 25 mm/s, and (c) reversely tapered slit channel at 20 mm/s.

### 3.2. High-Density Line Coating

To demonstrate such a positive effect of the wide slit channel in multiple stripe coatings, we fabricated 200 PEDOT:PSS stripes using the shim with wide (150-µm-wide) slit channels (Figure 9). The total number of µ-tips with the width of 50 µm was 200 and they were placed 700 µm apart. For comparison, we also fabricated them using the shim with narrow (50-µm-wide) slit channels. For multiple stripe coating, the flow rate was increased to 200 µL/min (200 × 1 µL/min) in proportion to the number of µ-tips [30]. In the presence of 250-µm-long µ-tips, it was not feasible to fabricate 200 stripes using the shim with narrow (50-µm-wide) slit channels. It was because the solutions from the neighboring outlets (slit channels) were merged on the die lip before reaching a stable coating mode. It was avoidable by increasing the coating velocity. When the µ-tip was long, however, line break occurred easily and randomly in several µ-tips [39]. To study its effect on fluid flow, we performed the circuit simulations by varying the $R_T$ value in Figure 2b. In the circuit, the $R_S$ value for the rectangular-shaped narrow (50-µm-wide) slit channel was assumed to be 1 kΩ. As the hydraulic resistance is inversely proportional to the slit channel width, the $R_S$ value for the wide (150-µm-wide) slit channel was assumed to be 333 Ω. Because no standard equation for $R_T$ was available, it was assumed to be 1 kΩ for the 250-µm-long µ-tip. Similarly, $R_T$ was assumed to be 400 Ω for 100-µm-long µ-tip, considering the hydraulic resistance is proportional to the channel (tip) length. Once the meniscus can bridge the gap difference between the µ-tip and substrate, no fluid flows along the head lip. Therefore, the $R_V$ value (10 kΩ) is much higher than the $R_T$ value. From Equation (1), the $R_C$ value per unit length of 700 µm was calculated to be 0.04 mΩ when $R_S = 1$ kΩ (hydraulic resistance $\propto 1/A^2$). For the simulation, we applied 10 DC voltage in the circuit. When the $R_T$ value was reduced from 1 to 400 Ω (a decrease by a factor of 2.5), the current was increased from 5 to 7.13 mA. It implies that the volumetric flow rate increases and thus more fluid reaches the substrate. It is because, just as all wires have resistance to current, the µ-tip has resistance to fluid flow (electronic–hydraulic analogy). Based on the simulation result, we reduced the µ-tip length from 250 to 100 µm (a decrease by a
factor of 2.5) and performed multiple stripe coating. Although such a problem (line breakup before separation) was suppressed, coating 200 stripes without defects still failed using the shim with narrow (50-µm-wide) slit channels.

![Figure 9](image.png)

**Figure 9.** Optical image of a meniscus guide with 50-µm-wide and 100-µm-long µ-tips and a shim with 150-µm-wide slit channels for the coating of high-density PEDOT:PSS stripes (200 lines).

Meanwhile, when line breakup occurred at one µ-tip, the coated stripes next to it became wide and thick, as evident in Figure 10 showing the optical image of PEDOT:PSS stripes coated using the shim with 50-µm-wide slit channels. The width of the coated stripes lay within the range between 94 and 110 µm. However, the width of the coated stripes next to the broken line was increased to 120–124 µm. This phenomenon can be captured by the circuit simulation in Figure 2b. When one of the channels was open, the current flowing through the channels next to it was increased from 5 to 5.2 mA. It implies that more fluid flows through its adjacent channels, resulting in an increase of stripe width and thickness.

![Figure 10](image.png)

**Figure 10.** Image of multiple PEDOT:PSS stripes coated using the shim with 50-µm-wide slit channels at 27 mm/s.

We then investigated the effect of $R_S$ on multiple stripe coatings. From the circuit simulation, it was observed that the current flowing through the µ-tip increased from 5 to 7.52 mA when the $R_S$ value decreased from 1 to 333 Ω (a decrease by a factor of 3). Therefore, it is expected that the positive effect of reducing the µ-tip length can also be achieved by widening the slit channels. Based on the simulation result, we have increased the slit channel width from 50 to 150 µm (increase by a factor of 3) with the µ-tip length fixed to 100 µm. With this scheme, we successfully fabricated 200 stripes (34 stripes per inch (SPI)), as evident in Figure 11a showing the image of 200 stripes coated at 36 mm/s. To enhance the visibility of fine stripes, we thermally evaporated 50-nm-thick aluminum atop the coated stripes and captured the Al-coated side by a camera. The coated stripes are shown to be well defined. We measured the stripe profiles and presented the results in Figure 11b. The average stripe width and thickness were measured to be 82.6 and 96.5 nm, respectively. It is observed that the
maximum coating speed (36 mm/s) for multiple stripe coating was even higher than that (25 mm/s) for single stripe coating, a direct consequence of the reduced µ-tip length. For high-density stripe coatings, therefore, the µ-tip is preferred to be short and the slit channel is desired to be wide. If the µ-tip is too short, however, the meniscus is not well controlled by the µ-tip. Namely, the meniscus would be formed between the die lip and the substrate rather than between the µ-tip and the substrate. In this case, the solution would spread laterally along the gap difference and thus the merging of the menisci between two adjacent stripes easily occurs, hindering an increase of stripe density. To avoid it, the µ-tip length is preferably at least 50 µm. If the slit channels are too wide, the fluid distribution among channels would be non-uniform. Namely, more fluid might flow through the slit channels located near the inlet. This can be resolved by making the shim thin.

Finally, we fabricated multiple OLED stripes atop the coated stripes. To fabricate OLED devices, we need to deposit and pattern a transparent anode on the PET film roll. It is highly expensive using the commonly used indium tin oxide (ITO). Instead, we fabricated 200 conductive PEDOT:PSS (Clevios PH 1000) stripes under the same conditions as above. The conductivity of PEDOT:PSS stripes was enhanced by doping 5 wt.% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) [39]. As expected, we achieved 200 conductive stripes only with the shim with 150-µm-wide slit channels. The average width (81.4 µm) and thickness (97.1 nm) of conductive PEDOT:PSS stripes were almost the same as those of stripes (Clevios AI 4083) used mainly for a hole injection layer of OLEDs. The conductivity of PEDOT:PSS stripes was enhanced by doping 5 wt.% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) [39]. As expected, we achieved 200 conductive PEDOT:PSS stripes only with the shim with 150-µm-wide slit channels. The average width (81.4 µm) and thickness (97.1 nm) of conductive PEDOT:PSS stripes were almost the same as those of stripes (Clevios AI 4083) used mainly for a hole injection layer of OLEDs. There appeared random line breakup in 10–15 µ-tips when using the shim with 50-µm-wide slit channels and in 5–8 µ-tips using the reversely tapered slit channels. We also measured the width and thickness non-uniformities between stripes, which were defined as (maximum width (thickness) − minimum width (thickness))/(2 × average width (thickness)). The width non-uniformity between stripes was as low as 19.1% and the thickness non-uniformity was 13%. The film uniformity would be degraded due to a misalignment between the shim and meniscus guide, which occurs when they are embedded into the slot-die head manually. Figure 12 shows the schematic fabrication flow of OLED stripes. After fabricating 200 conductive stripes,
a contact pad was formed using the conventional slot-die head for large-area coatings (coating width of 150 mm). Such patch coating was performed by shifting the slot-die head up and down. The sample was attached to a carrier glass for handling the flexible sample and then loaded into a thermal evaporator [39]. A phosphorescent green OLED was fabricated by sequentially depositing KHI-001 (Duksan Neolux, Cheonan-si, Chungcheongnam-do, Korea, 15 nm) for a hole injection layer, KHT-001 (Duksan Neolux, 40 nm) for a hole transport layer, 4,4′-bis (N-carbazolyl)-1,1′-biphenyl (CBP, 15 nm) for an emission layer, 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline (Bphen, 10 nm) for a hole/exciton blocking layer, LG201 (LG Chem., 30 nm) for an electron transport layer, lithium fluoride (LiF, 1 nm) for an electron injection layer, and aluminum (Al, 100 nm) for cathode. For green light emission, 8 wt.% fac-tris (2-phenylpyridine) iridium (Ir (ppy)3) was doped in the emission layer. The deposition rate was 0.5 nm/s under a base pressure of 2 × 10⁻⁶ Torr. Since the vacuum chamber is optimized for a 100 mm × 100 mm samples, we fabricated 160 OLED stripes atop the 160 PEDOT:PSS stripes. For simplicity, the OLED device was not encapsulated. Figure 13 exhibits the microscopic electroluminescent photos of OLED stripes. It is clearly seen that the striped OLEDs emit green light. The luminance from them was measured to be 325 cd/m² at a bias voltage 5 V. Since the OLED device was exposed to air, oxidization inevitably appeared in several OLED stripes [39], causing partial non-emission. Nevertheless, we achieved well-defined striped emission.

4. Conclusions

We demonstrated that the rectangular-shaped wide slit channel reduced the hydraulic resistance and supplied more fluid to the substrate. It enabled us to increase the coating velocity, causing a decrease in the width and thickness of the PEDOT:PSS stripe. Using the slit channel with a width of 150 µm and the µ-tip with a length of 250 µm, we obtained a single PEDOT:PSS stripe having a width of 90 µm and a thickness of 105 nm at a maximum coating velocity of 25 mm/s. According to the circuit
simulation results, we reduced the µ-tip length to 100 µm and fixed the slit channel width to 150 µm for high-density stripe coatings. With this scheme, it was feasible to fabricate 200 PEDOT:PSS stripes with the average width of 82.6 µm and thickness of 96.5 nm at 36 mm/s. The equivalent electrical circuit with a DC voltage source as a pressure source also captured the phenomenon; when line breakup occurred at one µ-tip, the coated stripes next to it widened. Atop those PEDOT:PSS stripes, we fabricated 160 OLED stripes (34 SPI) and achieved light emission from them with the luminance of 325 cd/m² at 5 V. For potential applications in large-area OLEDs, more research on the feasibility of fine stripe coating using non-aqueous (organic) solvents with varying viscosity, surface tension, and wettability on an underlying layer is needed.
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