Pharmacological Inhibition of Microsomal Prostaglandin E Synthase-1 Suppresses Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor-Mediated Tumor Growth and Angiogenesis
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Abstract

Background: Blockade of Prostaglandin (PG) E₂ production via deletion of microsomal Prostaglandin E synthase-1 (mPGES-1) gene reduces tumor cell proliferation in vitro and in vivo on xenograft tumors. So far the therapeutic potential of the pharmacological inhibition of mPGES-1 has not been elucidated. PGE₂ promotes epithelial tumor progression via multiple signaling pathways including the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling pathway.

Methodology/Principal Findings: Here we evaluated the antitumor activity of AF3485, a compound of a novel family of human mPGES-1 inhibitors, in vitro and in vivo, in mice bearing human A431 xenografts overexpressing EGFR. Treatment of the human cell line A431 with interleukin-1beta (IL-1β) increased mPGES-1 expression, PGE₂ production and induced EGFR phosphorylation, and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2) expression. AF3485 reduced PGE₂ production, both in quiescent and in cells stimulated by IL-1β. AF3485 abolished IL-1β-induced activation of the EGFR, decreasing VEGF and FGF-2 expression, and tumor-mediated endothelial tube formation. In vivo, in A431 xenograft, AF3485, administered sub-chronically, decreased tumor growth, an effect related to inhibition of EGFR signalling, and to tumor microvessel rarefaction. In fact, we observed a decrease of EGFR phosphorylation, and VEGF and FGF-2 expression in tumors explanted from treated mice.

Conclusion: Our work demonstrates that the pharmacological inhibition of mPGES-1 reduces squamous carcinoma growth by suppressing PGE₂ mediated-EGFR signalling and by impairing tumor associated angiogenesis. These results underscore the potential of mPGES-1 inhibitors as agents capable of controlling tumor growth.

Introduction

The evidence that COX genes and their products are implicated in the progression of human solid tumors has provided the main impetus for the clinical application of drugs, mainly COX-2 inhibitors, controlling the expression of these genes [1]. The rationale for restraining the COX-2 gene is to decrease the enzymatic conversion of arachidonic acid to PGE₂, a prostanoid that exerts an important, pro-tumorigenic action in a number of human and experimental tumors [2,3].

Preventing PGE₂ formation by COX-2 inhibitors, the focus of interventions for two decades, has been somewhat sidelined for the concern over their safety profile [3]. Interfering with PGE synthases (mPGES-1, mPGES-2 and cPGES), in particular, with the inducible microsomal PGE synthase type-1 (mPGES-1) has raised great interest [4]. This enzyme, which specifically catalyzes the PGE₂ formation from PGH₂ resulting from the COX-dependent arachidonic cascade, is over-expressed in tumor cells and is inducible by inflammatory cytokines [4–6]. The relevance of the mPGES-1 gene in tumor development emerges from experimental and clinical studies in cancer [7] indicating that mPGES-1 expression is clearly associated with tumor progression, as either gene ablation or its silencing leads to reduced tumor growth and to lessening of oncogenic drive [8,9]. In a recent work on HT-29 tumor cells, we described the existence of a feed-forward loop between mPGES-1 and HIF-1α, a relationship that, through the enhancement of VEGF production, promotes tumor...
vascularization and its growth [10]. This and several other reports suggest that PGE2 orchestrates the progression of epithelial tumors by inducing angiogenesis and amplifying the multiple signaling pathways of oncoproteins, mainly those downstream of the EGF/EGFR system [11–13].

To date, a limited number of compounds have been described that inhibit mPGES-1 activity, such as MF63 and PF-9184, which exhibit in vivo effects by relieving inflammation and pain in preclinical conditions [14,15], the pirinixic acid derivatives, which inhibit mPGES-1 in monocytes in vitro and in the whole blood [17]. However none, as yet, have been investigated for the potential therapeutic anti-cancer activity.

Here we describe the anti-cancer properties of a carbazole benzamide derivative, AF3485, on A431 tumor cells in vitro and in nude mice bearing human A431 xenografts. We demonstrate that in A431 cells overexpressing EGFR, endogenous PGE2 generated by IL-1β-induced activation of mPGES-1, transactivates EGFR, both in vitro and in vivo. Moreover, mPGES-1-derived PGE2 promotes the angiogenic phenotype of A431 inducing VEGF and FGF-2 expression. Treatment with the mPGES-1 inhibitor significantly repressed EGFR phosphorylation, decreasing the tumor growth and the angiogenic output both in vitro and in vivo tumor models.

Results

AF3485 Inhibits Human Recombinant mPGES-1

AF3485 emerged from a series of benzamide derivatives synthesized in ACRF laboratories as a compound endowed with favorable properties in terms of potency and selectivity toward mPGES-1 relative to other enzymes belonging to the prostanoid pathway. The compound neither interacted with mouse mPGES-1 enzyme nor affected its activity (table 1). Structural features of AF3485 (MW 398.38) are depicted in Fig. 1, and its physical chemical properties are reported in Materials and Methods.

To assess the inhibition exerted by AF3485 (range: 0.01–100 μM) on the enzymatic activity of mPGES-1, we used either human recombinant mPGES-1 expressed in bacterial membrane, or the microsomal fraction derived from A549 cells transfected with hmPGES-1. AF3485 inhibited mPGES-1 enzymatic activity expressed in bacterial membrane with values of IC50 2.55 μM and pIC50 5.59 (plateau at 100 μM 98%, no inhibition at 0.1 μM) (Fig. 1B). AF3485 also inhibited microsomal mPGES-1 from transfected A549 cells, yielding IC50 0.438 μM and pIC50 value of 6.36.

The selectivity of AF3485 on mPGES-1 activity was evaluated in A549 cells by measuring the accumulation of PGE2 and PGF2α, released in the supernatant following IL-1β (10 ng/ml, 18 h) stimulation. This paradigm is regarded as an index of selectivity [18], since these prostanoids are produced by different synthases inducible by IL-1β. AF3485 inhibited PGE2 accumulation (IC50 1.98 μM, inhibition being 79% at 10 μM and 100% at 100 μM), while PGF2α accumulation was inhibited only at 100 μM (Fig. 1C). In contrast, the COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 indiscriminately reduced both PGE2 (IC50 0.9 nM) and PGF2α (IC50 0.6 nM) biosynthesis.

Next, we investigated the AF3485 inhibitory effects on the constitutive isoforms of PGE synthases (cPGES and mPGES-2) in U937 cells (human histiocytic lymphoma), a tumor cell line lacking mPGES-1 expression. AF3485 induced a modest inhibition of cPGES and mPGES-2 (on average 36% at 10 μM). To note that the lack of AF3485 selectivity for the two PGE synthases has a marginal or no influence on the overall scope of this work, as the constitutive synthases have a poor catalytic ability (approximately 2 order of magnitude lower than mPGES-1), and contribute very little to PGE2 production [4]. Further, since inhibitors of the 5-LO activating protein (FLAP), enzyme of the leukotriene biosynthesis, have been recently shown to inhibit mPGES-1 activity [19], we also investigated whether AF3485 affected the leukotriene biosynthesis. AF3485 (10 μM) modified neither LTB4 nor LTC4 production (table 2).

mPGES-1 Inhibition Reduces Tumor Growth

Given the well established role of prostanoids in promoting tumor growth, also documented in a work from this laboratory on A431 tumor cells [13], we asked whether IL-1β treatment would up-regulate mPGES-1 expression and PGE2 production in these cells, and whether AF3485 would influence the PGE2-associated tumor growth. We first ascertained the presence, in these cells, of mRNA transcripts and proteins for COX-1, -2 and mPGES-1, as well as their responsiveness to IL-1β. Indeed, A431 cells expressed mRNA and protein for the above markers in the absence of IL-1β stimulation, and responded to IL-1β by enhancing gene and protein expression for COX-2 and mPGES-1, but not for COX-1 (Fig. 2A and B). PGE2 levels, detected in quiescent cells (approx. 2 ng/ml), increased at least twofold following IL-1β exposure (Fig. 2D).

AF3485 (10 μM) markedly reduced PGE2 synthesis (>50%) either in quiescent or in IL-1β-stimulated cells (Fig. 2C and D). AF3485 concentration-response curve (0.01–100 μM) in quiescent cells showed a decreased PGE2 production, yielding IC50 value of 4.63 μM (Fig. 2C). AF3485 also inhibited basal A431 cell growth (IC50 = 45 μM) exerting no effect on either apoptosis, or the cell cycle (data not shown). In non tumor cells, human dermal fibroblast (HF) and endothelial cells (EC), releasing 0.2 and 0.5 ng/ml PGE2 levels, respectively, AF3485 (1 and 10 μM) neither reduced PGE2 synthesis, nor influenced their growth (table 1).

Table 1. Effects of AF3485 on PGE2 release and growth in non tumor cells.

|                | Control | AF3485 (1 μM) | AF3485 (10 μM) | Cell Growth (Abs 540 nm) |
|----------------|---------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| PGE2 release (ng/ml) |         |               |               |                          |
| HF             | 0.23±0.03 | 0.26±0.02     | 0.24±0.01     | 0.98±0.12                |
| EC             | 0.55±0.02 | 0.49±0.1      | 0.56±0.09     | 0.67±0.06                |
| NIH-3T3        | 2.2±0.4  | 2.45±0.2      | 2.4±0.5       | 0.23±0.01                |

Human fibroblasts (HF), human endothelial cells (EC) and mouse fibroblasts (NIH-3T3) were exposed to AF3485 (1–10 μM), and PGE2 release and cell growth was evaluated by EIA and MTT assay, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040576.t001
The inhibition of PGE2 synthesis by AF3485, observed in A431 tumor cells, prompted us to investigate its effects in a tumor model grafted in nude mice. We assessed the effect exerted by AF3485 (0.1, 1 and 20 mg/kg/mouse, i.p. daily) in A431 xenograft in nude mice. Since in this tumor model growth is dependent in EGFR activation, as a reference for treatment efficacy, control animals received the EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor AG1478 (400 mg/mouse/3 time per week) [20]. Tumor progression in the vehicle treated group (0.5% Methylcellulose, MTC), expressed as volume of tumor mass, proceeded steadily from day 5 increasing several folds up to day 10. In all drug-treated groups we observed a reduction of the tumor mass (Fig. 3A). AF3485 reduced tumor volume in a dose dependent manner, with maximal activity at 20 mg/kg/mouse and no effect at 0.1 mg/kg/mouse (Fig. 3A). At the dose of 20 mg/Kg, AF3485 produced a complete inhibition of tumor volume as did the tyrosine kinase inhibitor AG1478. In the experimental time frame adopted, neither mortality nor weight loss were observed in all groups.

### Inhibition of mPGES-1-derived PGE2 Suppresses EGFR Activation in Tumors and Angiogenic Factors Output

Since PGE2 contributes to tumor progression by EGFR signal amplification, through receptor transactivation [11–13], and by the activation of tumor angiogenesis [21–23], we measured EGFR phosphorylation and the angiogenic output in tumor explants taken at day 10 and in A431 cells exposed to IL-1β (10 ng/ml) in the presence of AF3485 (10 μM) (Fig. 3B). After AF3485 administration, EGFR phosphorylation was inhibited to an extent similar to that observed in AG1478 treated mice, substantiating the notion that endogenous mPGES-1-derived PGE2 fuels tumor growth by EGFR transactivation (Fig. 3B). Consistently, the proliferative index profile, assessed by the Ki-67 marker, elevated in control tumors, was markedly reduced in the epithelial components of tumor explants from AF3485 treated mice (Fig. 3C).

In vitro, in A431 tumor cells exposed to IL-1β (10 ng/ml, 18 h), AF3485 (10 and 100 μM) reduced EGFR phosphorylation

---

**Table 2. Effects of AF3485 on leukotriene biosynthesis.**

| Compound | Assay               | Inhibition (%) | Reference Compound | IC50 [μM] |
|----------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|
| AF3485   | LTB4 secretion      | 8              | NDGA               | 2.7       |
| AF3485   | LTC4 secretion      | 31             | PMA                | 6.8       |

A23187 (5 μM) stimulated-HL-60 cells were exposed to AF3485 (10 μM) for 30 min at 37°C, then LTB4 and LTC4 secretion was measured by EIA. Nordihydroguaiaretic acid (NDGA) and (Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate) PMA were used as reference compounds. Inhibition values were obtained in 3 separate experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040576.g001
As expected, exogenous PGE2 restored the EGFR phosphorylation quenched by the mPGES-1 inhibitor treatment (Fig. 4B). Moreover, to demonstrate that inhibition of mPGES-1 activity by AF3485 is responsible for the observed EGFR inhibition, we measured EGFR phosphorylation after silencing mPGES-1 in A431 cells. As shown in Fig. 4C, mPGES-1 silencing inhibits IL-1β-promoted EGFR phosphorylation, demonstrating that AF3485 activity is mediated by inhibition of endogenous PGE2 production. Fig. 4D shows the reduced mPGES-1 expression after its silencing.

EGFR transactivation was specifically dependent upon PGE2 as neither prostacyclin, nor tromboxane, or PGD2 produced activation of the tyrosine kinase receptor (Arbitrary Density Units average ± standard error: Cont, 0.3 ± 0.1, prostacyclin, 0.3 ± 0.03, tromboxane, 0.4 ± 0.1, PGD2, 0.4 ± 0.3, PGE2, 1.6 ± 0.15).

To investigate the effects of mPGES-1 inhibition on tumor angiogenesis, we evaluated the tumor vascularization by histochernical analysis of the CD31 marker and of the VEGF and FGF-2 expression in tumor specimens. The rich vascularization in the control MTC group, evidenced by the CD31 staining, was significantly reduced in specimens explanted from the drug-treated mice (Fig. 5), indicating a marked antiangiogenic effect exerted by AF3485. We also observed a marked decrease of the VEGF and FGF-2 angiogenic factor expression in tumors treated with AF3485 (Fig. 6A). Similarly, AF3485 (10 μM) abolished FGF-2 and VEGF expression in A431 cultured cells exposed to IL-1β (10 ng/ml, 24 h), (Fig. 6B). Moreover, the conditioned media taken from A431 cells exposed to IL-1β (10 ng/ml, 18 h) induced endothelial cells to form a network of pseudocapillary tubes in the matrigel assay (Fig. 6C, panel b vs. panel a). In contrast, the medium taken from tumor cells treated with AF3485 (10 μM, 24 h) strongly suppressed the pseudocapillary formation (Fig. 6C, panel d vs. panel b).

Finally, in order to investigate the responsiveness of A431 cells inoculated in nude mice to murine host-derived PGE2, we used an in vitro co-culture model: mouse NIH-3T3 fibroblasts and human A431 tumor cells. Both cells released comparable levels of PGE2 (table 1). In NIH-3T3, IL-1β (10 ng/ml) affected neither mPGES-1
expression (data not shown) nor PGE₂ production (2.2±0.4 vs 2.7±0.9 ng/ml), and AF3485 (1 and 10 μM) did not modify PGE₂ production (table 1). Exposure of A431 cells to NIH-3T3-derived PGE₂ did not modify VEGF and FGF-2 expression in tumor cells (Fig. 6D, control), while, exposure of the co-culture to IL-1β increased the angiogenic factor expression. This increase was suppressed by the AF3485 treatment, indicating that, in this tumor model, the tumor derived PGE₂ is the main mechanism controlling tumor angiogenic output.

All together the data indicate that mPGES-1-derived PGE₂ is instrumental for the development of the angiogenic phenotype in A431 tumors, an event efficiently controlled by the compound under study.

**Discussion**

The supply of prostanoid, particularly PGE₂, is critical for tumorigenesis induced by certain oncogene products [24]. The evidence supporting this notion derives from experimental and clinical studies showing that either deletion of key genes involved in prostanoid production, e.g. COX-2 and mPGES-1, or treatment with COX-2 inhibitors reduce the growth of epithelial tumors [8,9].

This study delineates the mechanism whereby the overexpression of mPGES-1, induced in squamous cell carcinoma (A431) by exposure to IL-1β, leads to an enhanced tumorigenic drive. This occurs through the phosphorylation of the EGFR receptor (EGFR) triggered by the endogenously generated PGE₂, a phenomenon, termed EGFR transactivation, widely
recognized as one of the mechanisms involved in epithelial tumor progression [11–13]. Further evidence for the mPGES-1-driven mechanism, was obtained by using a novel selective inhibitor of the PGE2 synthase, AF3485. In fact, application of this compound markedly reduced PGE2 levels in squamous A431 tumor cells, causing, in turn, repression of the EGF/EGFR-mediated oncogenic drive. However, AF3485 did not modify basal PGE2 levels in non tumor cells, including fibroblast and endothelial cells. As a measure of the AF3485 enzyme inhibitor selectivity, we demonstrate the prompt reversal of its inhibition by addition of exogenous PGE2.

These findings on cultured A431 cells were further substantiated by in vivo experiments on nude mice inoculated with A431 cells, known to possess a strong EGF-EGFR drive [20]. Here we observed a rapid growth of tumor mass which was fully abated by the administration of AG1478, an inhibitor of EGFR tyrosine kinase [20]. Similarly, AF3485 administration reduced, in a dose related fashion, tumor growth. Preliminary experiments obtained in satellite groups of mice administered with AF3485 at doses comparable to those used in the present study, show plasma Cmax concentration varies between 5 and 10 μM, a concentration range at which the product is fully active in vitro. Of interest is the finding that both inhibitors, despite their differences in molecular target, result in a substantial decrease of the EGFR phosphorylation in tumor tissue. This finding showing the convergent effects exerted by receptor blockers and enzyme inhibitors on a single key molecule suggests that a combined treatment might further improve the suppression of the oncogenic potential of epithelial tumor cells.

A major finding of this work is certainly the marked microvessel rarefaction noted in mice bearing tumors treated with the mPGES-1 inhibitor. This effect appears to be related to the decreased output of angiogenic factors, such as VEGF and FGF-2, potentially resulting from the down regulation of EGF/EGFR-mediated oncogenic drive. Thus, AF3485-mediated inhibition of the autocrine/paracrine PGE2/EGFR signaling might represents the key mechanism of tumor suppression in this cell model. Accordingly, the reduction of tumor cell proliferation, indicated by the Ki67/67 marker values, observed in tumor bearing mice treated with the mPGES-1 inhibitor, might be explained by the impairment of tumor blood supply, and by the direct inhibition of the proliferative signaling of EGF/EGFR pathway. However, the results of this study cannot exclude that mPGES-1 inhibition contribute to reduction of tumor progression through other signaling pathways [10,25,26]. In particular, we demonstrated

---

**Figure 4. IL-1β-induced PGE2 transactivates EGFR in tumor cells.** (A) EGFR phosphorylation in A431 pretreated with IL-1β (10 ng/ml, 18 h), then treated with AF3485 (0.1–100 μM, 30 min). (B) EGFR phosphorylation in A431 in the conditions described in panel A, in presence/absence of PGE2 (1 μM). (C) EGFR phosphorylation in A431 silenced for mPGES-1, then treated with IL-1β (10 ng/ml, 18 h). (D) mPGES-1 expression in A431 silenced with siRNA for mPGES-1 or control siRNA. Gels (Western blot) are representative of three experiments with similar results.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040576.g004
that mPGES-1-derived PGE₂ controls tumor hypoxia by enhancing HIF-1α expression and activity in tumor cells [10], as well, it modulates EGF-mediated tumorigenicity [27].

The use of A431 squamous carcinoma cell line, both in vitro and in vivo, was instrumental for delineating the role of tumor-generated PGE₂ in tumorigenesis, since this fast growing tumor model minimizes the contribution of stromal mPGES-1, whereas it emphasizes that of cancer cell-associated enzyme. Although, the host-associated mPGES-1 is important for tumorigenesis in vivo, its contribution to the effects exerted by the herein examined enzyme inhibitor on tumor vascularity and growth are, at best, marginal. In fact, in vitro, in a co-culture model of mouse NIH-3T3 fibroblast and human A431 tumor cells, the angiogenic output of tumor cells was not modified by mouse-derived PGE₂. Further, the compound targets specifically the human mPGES-1 contained in the A431 squamous carcinoma cells grafted in nude mice, whereas it possesses no affinity for the host murine enzyme. Our results clearly underscore the critical role played by the cancer cell-associated mPGES-1 in the supply of elevated levels of PGE₂, which, in turn, in a paracrine/autocrine fashion, control the EGF/EGFR-mediated tumorigenicity and angiogenesis.

In conclusion, our data demonstrate that the human mPGES-1 inhibitor, AF3485, exerts antitumor activity which appears, in this epithelial tumor model, to be related to inhibition of EGFR signaling and to an effect on tumor microvessel growth.

Materials and Methods

Test Compound

AF3485, N-[9-(2-hydroxylethyl)-9H-carbazol-3-yl]-2-(trifluoro-methyl)benzamide (Fig. 1), was synthesized and characterized in the laboratories of Angelini Research Center. The molecular weight is 398.38. Melting point was: 176–177°C (iPr₂O/iPrOH). Elemental analysis was conducted by means of a CHNS-O EA1108 elemental analyser, Carlo Erba Instruments, and the results were within ±0.3% of the theoretical values. Elemental analysis for C22H17F3N2O2, found %: 66.14 (C), 4.06 (H), 6.85 (N), calculated %: 66.33 (C), 4.30 (H), 7.03 (N). Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (1H NMR) were obtained using a Bruker Avance system, operating at 300 MHz. All resonance bands were referenced to tetramethylsilane (internal standard). 1H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ) 10.52 (s, 1 H), 8.50 (d, J = 1.75 Hz, 1 H), 8.08 (d, J = 7.31 Hz, 1 H), 7.54–7.93 (m, 7 H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.02 Hz, 1 H), 7.18 (t, J = 7.45 Hz, 1 H), 4.05 (t, J = 5.45 Hz, 1 H), 4.43 (t, J = 5.70 Hz, 2 H), 3.79 (q, J = 5.73 Hz, 2 H). Water solubility <0.01%; DMSO solubility >10%.

Cloning, Expression of Human mPGES-1 and Preparation of mPGES-1-containing Bacterial Membranes

PCR amplification was performed with specific primers (5′-gAgAgACATAATgCCTgCCACAgCCTG-3′ (FW) and 5′-gAgA-gAgAAAgCTTCACAgTgCgggCCgC-3′ (REV) containing NdeI and HindIII restriction sites respectively) and fragments of the expected 459 bp size were obtained, ligated in the bacterial expression vector pCAL-n and transformed into JM109 competent cells. Plasmids were isolated and mini prep products from a few colonies were subjected to restriction analysis that confirmed the presence of the expected fragment pattern. Two clones were sequenced in comparison with a sequence from EMBL Databank (accession number AF027740) and a conservative mutation in position 183 was found (a C replacing a T); however, the protein sequence alignment of the 2 clones with that of the reference mPGES-1 submitted in GenBank resulted in a 100% aminoacid identity. The expression construct containing the right coding sequence for mPGES-1 was transformed into E. coli BL21 gold(DE3)pLysS for prokaryotic expression and glycerol stocks were prepared and stored at -80°C. 3 μl aliquots of bacterial stocks were grown in 1.5 ml 2x YT overnight at 37°C and then diluted in Terrific Broth medium containing ampicillin (50 μg/ml) and chloramphenicol (10 μg/ml) in a 500 ml flask until OD600 was within the 0.4–1.2 range. Expression was induced by addition of 0.5–1 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). After 4–7 h cells were pelleted and lysozime was added to a final concentration of 0.2 mg/ml. After stirring 30 min at 4°C, the cells were lysed by sonication and the cell membranes pelleted by centrifugation at 25,000 g for 1 h. The total protein amount was determined by the Bradford method (Bio-Rad Protein Assay, Hercules, CA, USA). The presence of the mPGES-1 protein in the lysate preparation was confirmed by Western blotting using a rabbit anti-mPGES-1 specific antibody (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) (data not shown).

Inhibition Studies with Recombinant Human mPGES-1

All reagents were from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA), unless otherwise stated. Aliquots of bacterial membrane preparation (0.2–0.4 mg/ml protein concentration) were used. The screening was performed using MultiPROBE II Automated Liquid Handling System (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) in the presence of 2.5 mM reduced glutathione and 10 μM PGE₂ substrate (Cayman, MI, USA). Drugs were pre-incubated with reaction mixture containing enzyme preparation for 15 min at 4°C. Reaction was carried out at 4°C by substrate addition and stopped after 5 min with 100 μl of stop solution (40 mM FeCl₃ and 0.4 mM citric acid). Samples were then subjected to PGE₂ quantification using the Prostaglandin E2 EIA kit Monoclonal (Cayman MI, USA).
Cell Lines

The human lung carcinoma A549 cells and human epidermoid carcinoma A431 cells, obtained from ECACC (European Collection of Cell Cultures, Salisbury, UK), were maintained in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich, Italy) and supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Hyclone, USA). The human histiocytic lymphoma U-937 cell line and the human promyelocytic leukemia cells, HL-60 from ATCC (American Tissue Culture Center) were maintained in RPMI (EuroClone) and supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Hyclone, USA). Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (EC) were purchased from Promocell (Heidelberg, Germany). Cells were grown in endothelial growth medium (EGM-2) (Clonetics, Cambrex Bio Science Walkersville, USA) and supplemented with 10% FBS. The human dermal fibroblasts (FU), obtained from Promocell, and mouse fibroblasts (NIH-3T3), obtained from ATCC, were maintained in DMEM with 1000 mg/l glucose (Sigma-Aldrich, Italy) and supplemented with 10% FBS.

Inhibition of pge2 Versus pgf2α Production in Tumor Cell Lines

Cells were plated at 5 x 10^5 cells/well in 5% FBS-medium in a 96-well plate in the presence of IL-1β (10 ng/ml) to upregulate PG synthases expression and of AF3485 or reference compound in the range of 100–0.01 μM. NS-398 (Cayman MI, USA), a selective COX-2 inhibitor, was used at 10 nM. After overnight incubation,
the amount of PGE\(_2\) and PGF\(_{2\alpha}\) released in the supernatant was evaluated in the same well by commercially available kits (Prostaglandin E\(_2\) and F\(_{2\alpha}\)) EIA kit Monoclonal, Cayman Chemical). Cell viability was evaluated by CellTiter-Blu assay (Promega, WI, USA). Typical control PGE\(_2\) and PGF\(_{2\alpha}\) values for the A349 cells after IL-1\(\beta\) stimulation were about 16 ng/ml and 8 ng/ml respectively, while basal A349 release was approximately 0.2-0.4 ng/ml for both PG classes. For A431, the basal PGE\(_2\) release was approximately 2 ng/ml, and IL-1\(\beta\) stimulation doubled the prostaglandin production (4 ng/ml).

**In vitro Selectivity Profile**

The selectivity profile of AF3485 was investigated in a series of enzyme-based (eg human TX and LTC\(_4\) synthase, hCOX-1 and hCOX-2) and cell-based (eg TXB\(_2\), PGD\(_2\) and PGE\(_2\) production) assays. Targets were chosen because of their relationship to the arachidonic acid pathway and the molecule was tested at 10\(\mu\)M. Moreover, the effects of AF3485 on leukotriene biosynthesis were investigated in cell-based assays, measuring LTB\(_4\) and LTC\(_4\). A549 cells after IL-1\(\beta\) stimulation were about 16 ng/ml and 8 ng/ml respectively, while basal A349 release was approximately 0.2-0.4 ng/ml for both PG classes. For A431, the basal PGE\(_2\) release was approximately 2 ng/ml, and IL-1\(\beta\) stimulation doubled the prostaglandin production (4 ng/ml).

**Western Blot**

A431 cells (3\(\times\)10\(^5\)) were seeded in 6 cm plates in medium with 10% serum for 18 h, starved in 0.1% FBS for 24 h (to decrease the metabolic activity of tumor cells and to magnify the signaling induced the stimulation), exposed to IL-1\(\beta\) (10 ng/ml) for 18 h, treated, where indicated, with mPGES-1 inhibitor 30 min, and then immediately analyzed for EGFR phosphorylation or analyzed after 24 h for VEGF and FGF-2 expression. Western blotting was performed as described [13]. Briefly, electrophoresis was carried out in 8% SDS polyacrylamide gels for EGFR, or 15% SDS polyacrylamide gels for VEGF and FGF-2. Membranes were incubated for 18 h at 4°C with anti-phospho-tyrosine (P-Tyr-100) (Cell Signaling Technology, Euroclone, Milan, Italy), or anti-VEGF (Reliatech, Wolfenbüttel, Germany), or anti-FGF-2 (Upstate, Milan, Italy). Anti-EGFR (Cell Signaling Technology, Euroclone, Milan, Italy), diluted 1:1000 or anti-\(\beta\) actin diluted 1:10000 in PBS-1% fatty acid free milk were used for normalization of phospho-EGFR or growth factor expression, respectively. The primary antibodies were detected by incubating the membranes for 1 h with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse secondary antibody (Promega, WI USA) diluted 1:2000 in PBS, followed by enhanced chemiluminescence system for detection (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL). Images were digitalized with CHEMI DOC Quantity One programme.

**Quantitative rt-pcr**

COX-1 and -2, and mPGES-1 mRNA levels were quantified using the optimized TaqMan assay-on-demand (Applied Biosystem). mRNAs expression in each sample was calculated by referring to an external reference curve generated with universal human reference RNA (Stratagene, MMedical, Milan, Italy) qualified for quantitative PCR, and extracted from 10 different cell lines from broad gene coverage (from 200 ng to 1.6 ng of cDNA). For each sample 150 ng of cDNA were added to 25 \(\mu\)l of PCR mix containing 1.25 \(\mu\)l probe and primers for the specific gene (20\(\times\) solution, Applied Biosystem, Invitrogen, Milan, Italy), 12.5 \(\mu\)l IQ Superscript mix (2\(\times\) solution, BIO-RAD, Monza, Italy) and 6.65 \(\mu\)l ultrapure water. The samples and the standards were then subjected to 40 cycles of amplification at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 60 s in the iCycler Sequence Detector (BIO-RAD, Monza, Italy). The results for all genes were expressed as fold increase vs the basal condition.

**Transfection**

For siRNA transfection: the siRNAs sequences (human mPGES-1: 5'-CGGCGCTAAGAATGCGAGACTTT-3') were from Qiagen. The day before transfection, A431 cells were trypsinized and 3\(\times\)10\(^5\) cells were seeded in 6-well plates. Transient transfection of siRNA was carried out using an epithelial tumor transfection reagent (Altogen, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA) according to the manufacturer instructions. Cells were assayed 48 h after transfection.

**Tumor Growth in Immunodeficient Mice**

Experiments have been performed in accordance with the EEC guidelines for animal care and welfare (EEC Law No. 86/ 609) and National Ethical Committee. The experiments were approved from Italian Ministero della Salute, d.m. n° 215/ 2011-B (10/25/2011). To assess the antiangiogenic/antitumor activity of mPGES-1 inhibitors, immunodeficient mice (5 week-old female athymic mice, Harlan) were s.c. inoculated in the right flank with 10\(^7\) A431 cells/50 \(\mu\)l. After 4 days, when tumors reached a volume of 70–100 mm\(^3\), animals were randomly assigned to 4 different protocols. At this time i.p. treatment with AF3485 (20, 1, and 0.1 mg/kg/mouse daily, 8 mice per group), or the EGFR inhibitor, AG1478 (400 \(\mu\)g/mouse, 3 times per week, 8 mice) or vehicle (0.5% Methocel- lulose, MTC, 8 mice) was started. Solutions were freshly prepared daily. Mice were treated with 200 \(\mu\)l volume i.p. for 10 consecutive days. Serial caliper measurements of perpendicular diameters were used to calculate tumor volume using the following formula: (shortest diameter \(\times\) longest diameter \(\times\) thickness of the tumor in mm\(^3\)). Data are reported as tumor volume in mm\(^3\). Animals were observed daily for signs of cytotoxicity and were sacrificed by CO\(_2\) asphyxiation. At day 10 animals were sacrificed and each tumor was collected and split in two parts. One part was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen for Western Blotting. The other part was embedded in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. (Sakura, San Marcos, CA), cooled in isopentane and frozen in liquid nitrogen for histology. Seven-mm-thick cryostat sections from tissue samples were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and adjacent sections were used for immunohistochemical staining with anti CD31 (Chemicon, Millipore, Milan, Italy) or anti-Ki67 (Chemicon, Millipore, Milan, Italy) antibody. Cryostat sections were firstly fixed in acetone ~20°C and incubated for 10 min in 3% H\(_2\)O\(_2\) washed (3\(\times\)5 min) in TBS and then incubated in a Blocking reagent (KIT Immunoperoxidase Secondary Detection System, Chemicon, Millipore, Milan, Italy). Mouse monoclonal antibody anti CD31 diluted 1:100 in TBS, 0.05% BSA or mouse monoclonal anti Ki67 diluted 1:100 in PBS, 0.05% BSA were applied. Sections were then washed (3\(\times\)5 min in TBS) and incubated for 10 min in the appropriate species-specific biotinylated secondary antibodies (goat anti mouse IgG, KIT Immunoperoxidase Secondary Detection System, Chemicon). Following washings (3\(\times\)5 min in TBS), the sections were incubated for 10 min in streptavidin-conjugated HRP. After this incubation sections were then exposed to 3,3-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB, detection kit, Millipore, Milan, Italy) for 8 min to produce a brown reaction product. Sections were then counterstained in hematoxylin and mounted in Aquatex (Merck, Milan, Italy).
Cytotoxicity Assay: Cell Proliferation, Apoptosis, Mitosis

Log-phase cells were seeded into 384 well plates adding the compound after 24 hrs. 72 hrs after compound addition, plates were fixed and stained with fluorescein labeled antibodies and nuclei dye to visualize nuclei, micronuclei, apoptotic and mitotic cells. Cells were detected using anti active caspase 3 antibodies (green). Mitotic cells were detected using anti phospho-Histone 3 antibodies (red). Nuclei were stained with DAPI to measure the number of cells (blue). Each plate of tested compound included 1 reference compound (vinblastine) and vehicle. Compound was assayed over serially diluted concentrations from 100μM to 0.003 μM. 0.5% DMSO final concentration was used as vehicle.

Co-culture Assay

A431 cells (1 x 10⁴) were seeded on 12 well plate in medium with 10% FBS (24 h), and treated with IL-1β (10 ng/ml, 18 h). After treatment with IL-1β, Transwells were placed on the 12 well plate, upper the A431, and treated with vehicle. Compound was assayed from A431 exposed to 0.1% FBS, IL-1β (10 ng/ml, 18 h). After treatment with IL-1β, Transwells were placed on the 12 well plate, upper the A431, and treated with AF3485 (10 μM) and arachidonic acid (10 μM). The co-cultures were maintained for 24 h and then A431 were lysed and analyzed by western blotting for VEGF and FGF-2 expression.

In vitro Angiogenesis Model

EC cells were plated onto a thin layer (300 μl) of basement membrane matrix (Matrigel; Becton Dickinson, Waltham, MA, USA) in 24-well plates at 6 x 10⁴ cells/well and treated with the conditioned media from A431 exposed to 0.1% FBS, IL-1β (10 ng/ml, 18 h), AF3485 (10 μM, 24 h), IL-1β + AF3485, for up 16 h. Quantification of tubular structures and photomicrographs were performed as described [29].

MTT Assay

Cell proliferation was quantified by Vybrant MTT cell proliferation assay. HF, EC or NIH-3T3 cells (2.5 x 10⁵) were seeded in 96-multwell plates in medium with 10% serum for 24 h, starved in 0.1% FBS for 24 h, then exposed to AF3485 (1 or 10 μM) for 48 h in 0.1% FBS. After 44 h, medium was removed and cells were incubated for 4 h with fresh medium in the presence of 1.2 mM MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide). Living cells reduce MTT to a strongly pigmented formazan product. After solubilisation in DMSO, absorbance of the formazan was measured with a microplate absorbance reader (Tecan, San Jose, CA, USA) at 540 nm. Data are reported as 540 nm absorbance/well.

Statistical Analysis

Results were expressed as means ± SEM and analysed using Student’s t test. Multiple comparison were performed using the Student-Newman-Keuls test. A value of P<0.05 was considered to denote statistical significance.
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