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A 2,5-disubstituted tetrazole with p-nitrophenyl and 3-pyridyl units as acceptors (1a), and three push–pull tetrazoles with p-nitrophenyl as an acceptor and phenyl (1b), 2-(dibenzo[b,d]furan-4-yl) (1c), and 4-(N,N-diphenylamino)phenyl (1d) as donor groups, were synthesized by copper-catalyzed aerobic C–N coupling of p-nitrophenyl tetrazole with appropriately substituted aryl boronic acids. The absorption and emission spectra of 1a–c showed minimal dependence on the polarity of the solvent; however, in the case of 1d a blue shift was noted in the longest absorption band ($\lambda_d$) as the polarity increased. The fluorescence intensity of the title compounds was found to be solvent-dependent; however, no apparent correlation to solvent polarity could be established. The absorption and emission characteristics of 1a–d were also influenced by the nature of the substituent as 1d, bearing a strong electron donating 4-(N,N-diphenylamino)phenyl group, displayed a significant red shifted absorption ($\lambda_d$) as well as emission ($\lambda_{em}$) bands compared to other compounds. Time dependent density functional calculations (CAM-B3LYP/6-311+G***) revealed that the longest wavelength band ($\lambda_d$) is associated with an intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) from HOMO/HOMO-1/HOMO-2 $\rightarrow$ LUMO/LUMO+1 in these molecules. The first hyperpolarizability values, $\beta_{HRS}$, of 1a–d were measured using the solution-based hyper-Rayleigh scattering technique using a femtosecond Ti:Sapphire laser and the highest NLO activity was measured for 1d with the greatest push–pull characteristics. A strong correlation was observed between the calculated hyperpolarizability ($\beta_{calc}$) and experimentally measured values ($\beta_{HRS}$).

Introduction

Organic push–pull molecules with a delocalized $\pi$-system exhibit highly efficient optoelectronic properties and show great promise as active components in the design of organic light-emitting diodes,1 photodiodes,2 solar cells3 and nonlinear optical (NLO) devices.4–6 Their unique spectroscopic properties are attributed to an intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) from the electron donating (D) to the electron accepting group (A) separated by a $\pi$-linker.7 ICT generates a molecular dipole and polarization that imparts distinct electronic, linear and nonlinear optical properties to the molecule.8 Materials with a strong NLO effect have applications in telecommunications, optical data storage, optical switching, and high performance computing.9–12 The optical properties of push–pull molecules can be optimized by modifying the strength of D and A groups as well as the length and nature of the $\pi$-linker,13,14 thereby amplifying the ICT and increasing the NLO response.8

The most commonly used electron donars are based on positive mesomeric or inductive effect (+M/+I) that include substituents such as –OH, –OR, –NH$_2$, or –NR$_2$ as well as heteroaromatic rings such as thiophenes.8 Similarly, the widely employed electron acceptors exhibit negative mesomeric or inductive effect (–M/–I) that comprise of –NO$_2$, –CN, –CHO, –COOH groups as well as heterocycles such as pyridine, imidazoles, benzothiazoles, benzoxazoles and many others.8 Push–pull chromophores containing the heterocyclic cores – oxazoles,15–19 oxadiazoles20–23 and triazoles24,25 have received much attention in recent years, however, studies on the photophysical and NLO properties of the related tetrazole-based molecular systems are scarce in the literature. For instance, photophysical properties of compounds containing a tetrazole scaffold have been reported.26–28 In addition, several coordination polymers involving tetrazole as a ligand have shown to display NLO properties29–32 and a few substituted tetrazoles exhibit second harmonic generation (SHG).33 However, to the best of our knowledge no NLO properties have been reported on tetrazole based push–pull systems. This may be because tetrazoles are typically regarded as conjugation disruptors due to the presence of a tertiary amine center that is expected to interrupt ICT from donor to the acceptor. Note that Diederich and coworkers have
reported modest to strong ICT in donor–acceptor substituted 1,2,3-Triazoles where the latter serves as a conjugative π-linker despite the presence of a tertiary amine center at N-1. Other reports of charge transfer in donor–triazole–acceptor materials have also subsequently appeared in the literature. Whether a tetrazole moiety could also serve as a conjugative π-linker between the donor and acceptor units leading to ICT remains unexplored to date. Since, NLO properties are directly dependent on efficient ICT, push–pull tetrazoles warrant investigation.

Herein, we employ a combination of experimental and computational methods to reveal how changes in the donor strength affect the photophysical properties of push–pull compounds based on tetrazoles. Four 2,5-disubstituted tetrazoles 1a–d were synthesized via copper catalyzed C–N coupling of p-nitrophenyl tetrazole with aryl boronic acids in the presence of oxygen (Fig. 1). 1a is not strictly a push–pull compound as both p-nitrophenyl and pyridyl units are electron acceptors and is included for comparison. In 1b–d, the p-nitrophenyl moiety serves as an acceptor and electron donating groups consist of phenyl (1b), 2-(dibenzo[d,f]furan-4-yl)- (1c), and 4-((N,N-diphenylamino)phenyl (1d) groups. The absorption and emission properties of 1a–d were investigated in different solvents and the density functional theory (CAM-B3LYP/6-311++G**) was utilized to rationalize the UV data as well as to predict the hyperpolarizability values. Note that the first order hyperpolarizabilities can be directly correlated to NLO properties of a material. Experimentally, the hyperpolarizability values were investigated by employing solution-based hyper-Rayleigh scattering (HRS) technique using a femtosecond Ti:Sapphire laser. To our knowledge, this is the first study that reports NLO properties of 2,5-disubstituted push–pull tetrazoles.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

Recently, we reported that the synthesis of 2,5-diaryl tetrazolones can be efficiently carried out using Cu2O nanoparticles as catalysts via the C–N coupling of aryl tetrazolones with aryl boronic acids. With nanoparticles, the reaction was completed in shorter times and required relatively low catalyst loading compared to the amorphous catalyst. In this work, using Cu2O nanoparticles, we successfully synthesized related 2,5-diaryltetrazoles 1a–d by the reaction of 5-(4-nitrophenyl)-2H-tetrazole 3 and aryl boronic acids in dry DMSO under an oxygen atmosphere (Scheme 1). The crude 1a–d were purified by recrystallization and subjected to full spectral characterization.

Photophysical properties

Spectroscopic studies of 1a–d were conducted in five solvents of increasing polarity that included toluene, 1,4-dioxane, chloroform, acetonitrile, and dimethyl sulfoxide. The complete UV-Vis absorption data in all solvents can be found in the ESI (Table S1 and Fig. S1–S5†). The molar absorptivity values were also obtained that suggest that all bands are π → π* in nature. Our data reveals that changing the polarity of the solvent produced a meager effect on the absorption characteristics of 1a–c. However, in case of 1d, switching from solvents with low dielectric constant (e.g. toluene, dioxane and chloroform) to solvents of high dielectric constant (such as DMSO and acetonitrile), produced 8–14 nm blue shift in the longest absorption band (λ1) (Table 1). This negative solvatochromism may be attributed to the better stabilization of the ground state compared to the excited state of 1d by solvents of high polarity and is indicative of a decrease in dipole moment in the excited state. The longest absorption band (λ1) is most red shifted for 1d compared to 1a–c in all solvents (Fig. 2 and Table 1). This is attributed to the strong electron donating ability of the (N,N-diphenylamino)phenyl group which creates an efficient push–pull system.

The fluorescence spectra of 1a–d were obtained in different solvents and all compounds were found to emit light, albeit weakly in some cases (see ESI, Fig. S6–S9†). We note that the emission band of 1d is bathochromically shifted in all solvents compared to 1a–c, owing to a greater degree of charge transfer from the donor to acceptor (Table 1). The spectra of 1a–d in dioxane are shown in Fig. 3 (left).

We observed that the emission intensity was dependent on the solvent, as 1a and 1b showed a higher emission in dioxane compared to other solvents (Fig. S6 and S7†). In contrast, the emission maximum of 1a and 1b was not strongly affected by the solvent (Table 1). The fluorescence spectra of 1c in all solvents displayed the vibrational fine structure typically observed in compounds with rigid structure and exhibited Stokes shifts (Δλ) between 25–37 nm. Analogous to 1a and 1b, the emission intensity of 1c was solvent dependent, however, it didn’t seem to correlate with solvent polarity (Fig. S8†). The emission maximum of 1c was solvent independent. On the other hand, compound 1d exhibited strong solvent dependent emission with respect to both wavelength shift and intensity (Fig. 3, right). In chloroform, acetonitrile and DMSO, 1d

Fig. 1 2,5-Disubstituted tetrazoles 1a–d.
Table 1 Photophysical properties of 1a–d

|        | Toluene | Dioxane | CHCl₃ | MeCN | DMSO  |
|--------|---------|---------|-------|------|-------|
| λₑ (nm) |          |         |       |      |       |
| ε (M⁻¹cm⁻¹) |        |         |       |      |       |
| λₑem⁺ (nm) |       |         |       |      |       |
| Δλ (nm)  |          |         |       |      |       |
| 1a      |         |         |       |      |       |
| λₑ (nm) | 300     | 295     | 298   | 295  | 300   |
| ε (M⁻¹cm⁻¹) | 21 000 | 25 000  | 24 000| 23 000| 21 000|
| λₑem⁺ (nm) | 338    | 340, 572| Weak  | Weak | Weak  |
| Δλ (nm)  | 38      | 55      |       |      |       |
| 1b      |         |         |       |      |       |
| λₑ (nm) | 290     | 288     | 289   | 287  | 288   |
| ε (M⁻¹cm⁻¹) | 28 000 | 33 000  | 26 000| 30 000| 29 000|
| λₑem⁺ (nm) | 316    | 316, 317| 317   | 315  | 316   |
| Δλ (nm)  | 26      | 28      | 37    | 25   | 29    |
| 1c      |         |         |       |      |       |
| λₑ (nm) | 374     | 368     | 370   | 360  | 360   |
| ε (M⁻¹cm⁻¹) | 20 000 | 23 000  | 18 000| 18 000| 18 000|
| λₑem⁺ (nm) | 540    | 569, 460| 493   | 532  | 489, 535|
| Δλ (nm)  | 166     | 202     | 90    | 133  | 129   |

*Excitation was at λₑ.

DFT calculations

Ground state geometries. To gain insights into the electronic properties, 1a–d were optimized at CAM-B3LYP/6-311++G** and the structures are shown in Fig. 4. Molecules 1a and 1b display C₂ symmetry while 1c exhibits a dihedral angle of -40.5° between p-nitrophenyl tetrazolyl unit and dibenzofuranyl substituent which may be attributed to the repulsions between the lone pairs present on the N1 of the tetrazole and oxygen atom of the dibenzofuranyl ring. 1d also exhibits a slight twist between the p-nitrophenyl tetrazolyl and the 4-(N,N-diphenylylamino)phenyl units displaying a dihedral angle of 18°. The ground state dipole moment of 1a–d is large (5.3, 6.9, 8.1, and 8.6 D, respectively) which suggests unsymmetrical charge distribution in the ground state which increases in the order 1a → 1b → 1c → 1d.

Frontier molecular orbitals. The isodensity plots of the frontier molecular orbitals 1a–d at CAM-B3LYP/6-311+G** are depicted in Fig. 5. All molecular orbitals (MO) display π-type symmetry, except HOMO-2 of 1a which is a σ MO localized on the pyridyl unit. HOMO-2 of 1b–d is delocalized over the entire molecule. In case of 1a, HOMO-1 is confined to the p-nitrophenyl group. In 1b, it is mainly localized on the phenyl ring and in 1c, HOMO is concentrated on the dibenzofuranyl ring with some contribution from the tetrazole. In case of 1d, HOMO-1 is delocalized over the entire molecule. HOMO of 1a and 1b is delocalized over the entire molecule, while in case of 1c and 1d, this MO is exclusively localized on the donor substituents, i.e. the dibenzofuranyl and 4-(N,N-diphenylylamino)phenyl units. LUMO of 1a–d is entirely concentrated on the acceptor, the p-nitrophenyl group with small contribution from the tetrazole ring. This MO feature is characteristic of the push–pull molecular systems and suggests that transitions from HOMO or HOMO-1 → LUMO can contribute to ICT in these molecules. The LUMO+1 for 1a–d is restricted to the tetrazole.
ring as well as the respective acceptor (pyridyl)/donor (phenyl, benzofuranyl, and anilino) fragments. The HOMO and LUMO energies in eV are given in Table 2. A small variation in LUMO energies of \(1a\)–\(d\) is noted (\(E_{\text{LUMO}} = 1.73\) to \(1.93\) eV) which is consistent with the presence of the identical acceptor (p-nitrophenyl group), while the HOMO energies ranged between \(E_{\text{HOMO}} = -6.87\) to \(-8.81\) eV, which are reflective of the electronic effects imposed by presence of different acceptor/donors in \(1a\)–\(d\). Furthermore, the HOMO \(\rightarrow\) LUMO band gap narrows in the order \(1a > 1b > 1c > 1d\) which is suggestive of the increased push–pull character.

Vertical excitation energies. To determine the nature of the electronic transitions that give rise to bands in the UV spectra of \(1a\)–\(d\), time-dependent density functional calculations (TDDFT CAM-B3LYP/6-311++G**) were carried out in acetonitrile and thirty low lying singlet excited states of \(1a\)–\(d\) were calculated (Fig. S10–S13†). The calculated UV spectra were found to be in good agreement with the experimental spectra (Fig. S1–S5†). The vertical excitation energies, their oscillator strength, main MO% contributions and transition type for the most intense transitions in acetonitrile are shown in Table S2.† The analysis of TDDFT wavefunction of \(1a\) and \(1b\) reveals that the longest absorption band \(\lambda_1\) that is experimentally observed at 293 and 295 nm in acetonitrile, respectively, mainly corresponds to a transition from HOMO \(\rightarrow\) LUMO and is theoretically calculated to be at 285 and 288 nm (Table S2†). In case of \(1c\), \(\lambda_1\) is experimentally found as well as theoretically predicted at 287 nm, and consists of mixture of transitions mostly from HOMO-2 \(\rightarrow\) LUMO and HOMO-1 \(\rightarrow\) LUMO. For \(1d\), \(\lambda_1\) is observed at 360 nm and is calculated at 340 nm by TDDFT and

Fig. 3 Emission spectra of \(1a\)–\(d\) in dioxane (right) and of \(1d\) (left) in various solvents (inset shows spectra in chloroform, acetonitrile and DMSO). The absorbance of the solutions ranging between 0.1–0.15 (in a 1 cm path length) were used to collect emission at the excitation wavelength. (Sharp signals observed in case \(1a\) and \(1b\) may be due to Raman scattering. The emission of \(1c\) was reproducible at different excitation wavelengths).
comprises of transitions from HOMO → LUMO and HOMO → LUMO+1. The main MO% contributions for other bands of 1a–d (ℏ₂ and ℏ₃) can also be found in Table S2.† These are composed of several excited states consisting of transitions between different molecular orbitals of comparable energy.

Intramolecular Charge Transfer (ICT). The analyses of MOs confirm that the lowest energy band (ℏ₁) band in 1a–d is of π → π* in nature, in agreement with the experimentally measured molar absorptivity values (Table 1), and is associated with an ICT which becomes prominent as the push–pull character increases. While 1a is not strictly a push–pull system as both p-nitrophenyl and pyridyl rings are electron acceptors, however, examination of the MOs reveal similarities with that of 1b where ℏ₁ excitation corresponds to a weak ICT due to presence of a weak donor (phenyl, σ = −0.01). Note that HOMO in 1a and 1b is delocalized over the entire molecule, while LUMO is concentrated on the acceptor, the p-nitrophenyl unit (Fig. 5), suggesting a weak CT in both. The visualization of the MOs involved in the formation of ℏ₁ of 1c indicate a moderate degree of ICT as HOMO-2 is spread over the entire molecule, HOMO-1 is localized on the donor group while LUMO is concentrated on the acceptor. However, in 1d, the isodensity plots of MOs associated with transitions leading to ℏ₁ indicate that HOMO is mostly restricted on the 4-(N,N-diphenylamino)phenyl group, while the LUMO and LUMO+1 are exclusively localized on the p-nitrophenyl unit or the tetrazolyl-anilino unit, respectively, suggestive of a more pronounced ICT from donor to acceptor.

Analogous to experimental observations, TDDFT predicts bathochromic shift in the position of ℏ₁ with the introduction of a strong electron donating group in 1d. Thus, our computational work supports the hypothesis that a tetrazole moiety could also serve as a conjugative π-linker (analogous to triazoles⁴⁻⁵) between the donor and acceptor fragments leading to ICT.

Nonlinear optical (NLO) properties
The polarization created by the ICT in 1a–d prompted us to evaluate the NLO response from these molecules under induction by light irradiation. Since, the first order hyperpolarizabilities can be directly correlated to NLO properties of a material, these values (βtot) were calculated using CAM-B3LYP/6-311++G** as described in the computational methods.⁴¹ We first calculated the βtot value for p-nitroaniline in CHCl₃ which was found to be 32.3 × 10⁻³⁰ esu, in good agreement with the experimental values.⁴² We then calculated the βtot values for 1a–d in CHCl₃ at CAM-B3LYP/6-311++G** as described in the Computational Methods.

|       | HOMO LUMO | ΔE_L→H |
|-------|-----------|--------|
| 1a    | −8.81     | −1.93  | 6.88   |
| 1b    | −8.56     | −1.81  | 6.75   |
| 1c    | −8.22     | −1.73  | 6.49   |
| 1d    | −6.87     | −1.90  | 4.97   |

Fig. 5 Isodensity plots of the molecular orbitals of 1a–d at CAM-B3LYP/6-311++G**.
agreement with the experimental value \((23(\pm 3) \times 10^{-30} \text{ esu})\) in chloroform\(^{46}\). This suggested that CAM-B3LYP/6-311+G** is a reliable method to calculate hyperpolarizability values of organic molecules, also validated by others.\(^{47-49}\) The calculated \(\beta_{\text{tot}}\) values were found to be in the order \(1\text{d} \gg 1\text{b} > 1\text{a}-1\text{c}\) (Table 3). Compound 1d is predicted to exhibit highest \(\beta_{\text{tot}}\) value due to small HOMO–LUMO band gap that results into more efficient charge transfer. Note that chromophores carrying the 4-(N,N-diphenylamino)phenyl group have also been previously reported to display large nonlinearities.\(^{44}\) Our calculations also show that \(\beta_{\text{tot}}\) in the studied molecules is dominated by \(\beta_{xxz}\). When compared to urea (\(\beta_{\text{tot}} = 0.066 \times 10^{-30} \text{ esu}\) at CAM-B3LYP/6-31+G**\(^{50}\)) which is a standard NLO material, the predicted values for 1a–d are 383, 474, 381, 1652 times higher.

Experimentally, the hyperpolarizability, \(\beta_{\text{HRS}}\), values of 1a–d were determined in chloroform by the hyper-Rayleigh scattering (HRS) method. Based on this technique, the total corrected HRS intensity from the solution at the second harmonic frequency, 2\(\omega_0\), can be expressed as:\(^{46,51}\)

\[
I_{2\omega} = g (N_{\text{solute}} \beta_{\text{solvent}}^2 + N_{\text{solute}} \beta_{\text{solute}}^2) I_{\omega}^2 = g B^2 I_{\omega}^2.
\]

This equation shows a quadratic dependence of the \(I_{2\omega}\) on the intensity (or power) of the incident beam at the fundamental frequency, \(\omega\). The factor \(g\) depends on the detection geometry, which is fixed, and thus remains a constant throughout the experiment. Assuming dilute solution, a plot of \(I_{2\omega}\) as a function of incident power allows determination of the quadratic coefficients, \(gB^2\), for solutions of different solute concentrations. From the slope of the \(gB^2\) vs. \(N_{\text{solute}}\) plot and the known literature value of \(\beta_{\text{CHCl}_3} (\approx 4.9 \times 10^{-31} \text{ esu})\),\(^{46}\) the \(\beta_{\text{HRS}}\) for the target compound is obtained.

Fig. 6 shows a representative dataset for the 1b. As discussed above, by fitting the plot of \(I_{2\omega}\) against incident power (Fig. 6, left), the quadratic coefficient, \(gB^2\), is obtained at different solute (1b) concentrations and subsequently, these values are then plotted as a function of \(N_{\text{solute}}\) (or number density), which has been obtained by multiplying concentration of 1b with Avogadro’s number (Fig. 6, right). The concentrations of the solutions are reported within the plots. Using the fitting parameters, \(\beta_{\text{HRS}}\) has been determined. The standard deviation has been calculated based on propagation of error from the uncertainties in the slope and the \(y\)-intercept of the \(gB^2\) vs. \(N_{\text{solute}}\) plot.

The \(\beta_{\text{HRS}}\) values of 1a–d in chloroform at the excitation wavelength of 800 nm are reported in Table 3. The associated plots for all the molecules are shown in the ESI, Fig. S14.\(^{†}\) It is observed that the compound 1d displays a strong NLO activity (\(\beta_{\text{HRS}} = 252(\pm 16) \times 10^{-30} \text{ esu}\)). As a comparison, using a similar technique, the \(\beta_{\text{HRS}}\) of \(p\)-nitroaniline has been reported to be \(23(\pm 3) \times 10^{-30} \text{ esu}\) in chloroform\(^{46}\) at the excitation wavelength of 1064 nm. It can be seen in the UV-Vis spectra (Fig. 2), 1d exhibits an electronic transition near 400 nm. Thus, we attribute this relatively large \(\beta_{\text{HRS}}\) to a resonance enhancement at 400 nm. It must be noted, 1d also displayed a strong two photon fluorescence. The relative \(\beta_{\text{HRS}}\) trend for the compounds is: 1d \(\gg\) 1b > 1a–1c . This appears to be consistent with the relative magnitude of the transition dipole moment, \(\mu_{eg}\), of these compounds (Table S2\(^{†}\)) as the first molecular hyperpolarizability is proportional to \(\mu_{eg}\) and the difference in the permanent dipole moment of the ground and excited states.\(^{52}\) While further investigations with respect to wavelength dependence and solvent effect on \(\beta_{\text{HRS}}\) are warranted, these data not only indicate the effect of donor–acceptor pair investigated herein but also highlight that by tuning the substituents
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of tetrazole-based compounds, achieving large first molecular hyperpolarizability is plausible.

Overall, a good correlation between predicted values $\beta_{\text{tot}}$ and experimentally determined $\beta_{\text{HRS}}$ was observed (Table 3 and Fig. S15†), however, the $\beta_{\text{tot}}$ for 1d was greatly underestimated. Note that different molecular conformations can lead to significantly different hyperpolarizabilities due to differences in the instantaneous dipole moment or interruption of the π-conjugation pathway. Since it is impossible to predict the exact conformation that a molecule adopts in solution, discrepancies are often observed between theoretically and experimentally calculated hyperpolarizability values. This factor is more pronounced in 1d due to its inherent high degree of freedom owing to the presence of 4-(N,N-diphenylamino)phenyl group, compared to 1a–e which are more rigid.

Conclusions

Synthesis of 1a–d via aerobic C–N coupling of p-nitrophenyl tetrazole 3 with the corresponding boronic acids in the presence of Cu₂O nanoparticles as catalyst proceeded smoothly. Our studies showed that the photophysical properties of 1a–d can be tuned with substituents, especially by adding a strong electron donor such as 4-(N,N-diphenylamino)phenyl group. The solvent effects on the photophysical properties of 1a–d were small, except for 1d where a blue shift in the absorption and emission bands is observed with increasing solvent polarity. The emission intensity of all compounds was found to be solvent dependent, although no correlation to solvent polarity can be discerned. In general, non-polar solvents showed enhanced fluorescence intensity and greater red shift of the emission maximum for 1d compared to the polar solvents, resulting in large Stokes shifts. Ground state dipole moment of 1a–d indicate unsymmetrical charge distribution suggestive of a CT character. TDDFT analyses further show that excitation from HOMO/HOMO-1/HOMO-2 → LUMO/LUMO+1 make dominant contributions to the lowest energy band ($\lambda_1$) of 1a–d and corresponds to ICT. In compound 1a this may be attributed to the difference in the electron accepting ability of two groups, $–\text{NO}_2$ being a stronger acceptor than 3-pyridyl group with Hammett substituents constants ($\sigma$) of 0.79 (ref. 53) and 0.45, respectively. A significant increase in ICT is noted for 1d carrying the strong electron donor, the 4-(N,N-diphenylamino)phenyl group. Furthermore, the theoretically calculated ($\beta_{\text{tot}}$) and experimentally measured ($\beta_{\text{HRS}}$) values of 1a–d exhibit a good correlation and demonstrate that tuning the substituents and the donor–acceptor strengths allow for achieving moderate to strong NLO activity in this class of tetrazole compounds. Application of these compounds in frequency doubling processes is thus plausible; however, further studies are needed to elucidate a relationship between their molecular structure and SHG efficiency.

Finally, our work shows that analogous to triazoles, the related tetrazole can act as a conjugative π-linker, and tetrazoles substituted with donor and acceptor groups display weak to strong ICT. Although 1d has weak emission in DMSO, its large Stokes shift makes it an interesting candidate for further optimization of photophysical properties for potential applications in bioimaging and biosensors. Overall, compounds 1a–d exhibit interesting photophysical properties that warrant further investigation which will be reported in due course.

Experimental

All boronic acids were commercially obtained and purified by recrystallization, as necessary. DMSO for C–N coupling reactions was dried by stirring over molecular sieves (4 Å) for 3 days (fresh molecular sieves were used every 24 h). DMSO was collected by centrifugation. Thin layer chromatography was conducted on silica plates and visualized under UV light. The $^1\text{H}$ and $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL 400 MHz spectrometers in DMSO-d$_6$ or CDC$_3$ as indicated. The NMR spectra were processed using Mnowa software. Chemical Shift is expressed in parts per million (ppm) relative to the solvent signal. In the $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectral data, the multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet), coupling constant $J$ (in Hz) and integration (number of protons) are expressed in parenthesis. Low resolution mass spectra were obtained on a mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ion source operated in the positive ion mode and connected to a linear ion trap mass analyzer. UV spectra were obtained on Shimadzu UV 2600 spectrophotometer and emission spectra were obtained on JASCO FP-8350 Spectrophuorometer. For fluorescence measurements, the bandpass was set at 5 nm for both excitation and emission. DMSO and acetonitrile used for UV and emission measurements were spectroscopic grade, chloroform used was HPLC grade and both dioxane and toluene were reagent grade (>99%). For NLO, HPLC grade chloroform was used.

Synthesis of 5-(4-nitrophenyl)-2H-tetrazole 3

Sodium azide (781 mg, 12 mmol), urea (721 mg, 12 mmol) and water (5 mL) were added to a round bottom flask and stirred at 60 °C for 1 h. In a separate flask, 4-nitrobenzonitrile (1 g, 6.8 mmol), acetic acid (1 mL) and dimethylformamide (DMF) (5 mL) were mixed and heated to 60 °C in an oil bath. After 1 h, the mixture containing 4-nitrobenzonitrile was added to the sodium azide solution at 60 °C. The reaction mixture was heated to 110 °C and stirred under reflux for 8–10 h. After completion of reaction as indicated by TLC, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with distilled water (5 mL) and ethyl acetate (10 mL) followed by the addition of 5 N aqueous hydrochloric acid (5 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The organic layer was separated and washed with 40% aqueous sodium chloride solution (5 mL) thrice and dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was a yellow solid and was dried under high vacuum. (778 mg, 60%), $R_f$ (hexane : dichloromethane 5 : 5) 0.11; $^1\text{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta = 7.94$ (s, 1H), 8.30 ($d, J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 8.45 ($d, J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H) ppm.
Synthesis of disubstituted tetrazoles 1a–d

General procedure. 5-(4-nitrophenyl)-2H-tetrazole 3 (0.76 mmol) was added to a round bottom flask containing arylboronic acid (1.6 mmol) and Cu₂O nanoparticles (0.05 mmol) dissolved in dry DMSO (8 mL). The resulting mixture was placed in an oil bath at 100 °C for 8–10 hours under O₂. Upon completion of the reaction as indicated by TLC, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with ethyl acetate, consecutively washed with 1 M hydrochloric acid (HCl) and brine. The organic layer was collected, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate and filtered. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified by recrystallization from ethanol and characterized by NMR spectroscopy.

3-(5-(4-Nitrophenyl)-2H-tetrazol-2-yl) pyridine 1a. Compound 1a was prepared from 3 (292 mg, 1.5 mmol) and phenylboronic acid (544 mg, 4.4 mmol) following the general procedure. Crude was purified by recrystallization that yielded a pale pink solid (159 mg, 40%); R₅ (hexane : ethyl acetate 5 : 5) 0.63; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d): δ = 7.58–7.61 (m, 1H), 8.42 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 8.48 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 8.53 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.82 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 9.52 (s, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, Chloroform-d): 124.36, 124.48, 127.3, 128.1, 132.6, 133.4, 141.4, 149.3, 151.3, 164.0. Anal. calcd for C₁₂H₈N₆O₂: C, 53.73; H, 3.01; N, 31.33. Found: C, 54.00; H, 2.97; N, 31.22.

5-(4-Nitrophenyl)-2-phenyl-2H-tetrazole 1b. Compound 1b was prepared from 3 (500 mg, 2.6 mmol) and phenylboronic acid (634 mg, 5.2 mmol) following the general procedure. Crude was recrystallized yielding white-off solid (410 mg, 59%); R₅ (hexane : dichloromethane 7 : 3) 0.45; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d): δ = 7.53–7.63 (m, 3H), 8.22 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 8.40 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 8.46 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, Chloroform-d): 120.1, 124.4, 127.9, 129.9, 130.3, 133.2, 136.8, 149.2, 163.5. Anal. calcd for C₁₃H₉N₅O₂: C, 58.43; H, 3.39; N, 19.33. Found: C, 58.65; H, 3.43; N, 19.32.

2-(Dibenzo[2,1-furanyl-4-yl])-5-(4-nitrophenyl)-2H-tetrazole 1c. Compound 1c was prepared from 3 (600 mg, 3.1 mmol) and dibenzo-furan-4-boronic acid (1332 mg, 6.2 mmol) using the general procedure. Purification by recrystallization gave a pale pink solid (412 mg, 37%); R₅ (hexane : ethyl acetate 5 : 5) 0.90; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d): δ = 7.42 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 7.96–7.99 (m, 2H), 8.20 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 8.28 ppm (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, Chloroform-d): 105.5, 111.9, 112.3, 113.0, 113.6, 114.0, 114.2, 114.6, 115.0, 117.7, 118.0, 118.4, 122.0, 133.0, 134.8, 140.9, 146.2. Anal. calcd for C₁₃H₁₈N₄O₂: C, 69.32; H, 4.18; N, 19.34. Found: C, 69.32; H, 4.18; N, 19.30.

Synthesis of copper(i) nanoparticles

In a 100 mL round bottom flask, 453 mg of copper acetate was dissolved in 25 mL of distilled water to produce a 0.1 M copper acetate solution. 25 mL of a 0.1 M sodium hydroxide solution was then added to the copper acetate dropwise. The solution was placed in an oil bath and stirred. Once the solution was at a temperature of 60 °C, it was removed from the oil bath and 25 mL of 0.1 M hydrazine was added dropwise. After the addition of hydrazine, the solution was placed back into the oil bath and stirred for 10 minutes. After 10 minutes, the solution was left to sit in the oil bath with no stirring for an extra 5–10 minutes. The solution was cooled, and the copper(i) nanoparticles were filtered through vacuum filtration. The nanoparticles were washed with distilled water (thrice) and 95% ethanol (once) then left to dry overnight at room temperature.

Absorption and emission spectroscopy

Stock solutions of 1a–d were prepared in respective solvents which were diluted to prepare working solutions in the range 0.0018–0.0462 mM. UV spectra of these samples were recorded and are shown in Fig. S1–S5† with their respective concentrations. These were then used to determine molar absorptivities using Beer–Lambert law (Table S1†). For emission measurements, dilute solutions that showed absorption of 0.1 at the excitation wavelength were employed. The concentrations of these ranged between 0.0009–0.0030 mM and the path length was 1 cm.

Nonlinear optical (NLO) properties

NLO properties of the compounds 1a–d were explored experimentally using the solution phase femtosecond hyper-Rayleigh scattering method.⁴⁶,⁵¹,⁵² In particular, the first molecular hyperpolarizabilities, β₁HRS, of these compounds were measured in chloroform. A broadband Ti:Sapphire Tsunami oscillator (80 MHz with ~70 fs pulse width) (Spectra-Physics, 3941-X1BB), pumped by Nd:YVO₄ solid state laser (Spectra-Physics, Millennia eV), was used as the excitation light source at 800 nm. The general experimental setup has been described elsewhere,⁴⁴ with modifications made to accommodate HRS detection at a 90° angle from the incident beam. In brief, the incident beam was passed through various optics and then focused using a lens (focal length of 5.0 cm) at the center of a glass cuvette (3.5 mL, 1 cm pathlength) containing the solution under investigation. The sample was stirred and kept at a constant temperature (22 °C) using a temperature-controlled cuvette holder (Flash 300, Quantum Northwest). The power of the incident beam was modulated using a combination of two linear polarizers and a half waveplate. A long wavelength pass filter was used before the sample to remove unwanted second harmonic light and a short pass filter after the sample to block the fundamental beam. The generated light was collimated and focused into a monochromator (Acton SP2500, Princeton Instruments) using a temperature-controlled cuvette holder (Flash 300, Quantum Northwest). The power of the incident beam was modulated using a combination of two linear polarizers and a half waveplate. A long wavelength pass filter was used before the sample to remove unwanted second harmonic light and a short pass filter after the sample to block the fundamental beam. The generated light was collimated and focused into a monochromator (Acton SP2500, Princeton Instruments).
Instruments). The signal was detected using a PMT (H11461, Hamamatsu), then amplified (SR445A) and processed using a gated photon counter (SR400) (Stanford Research Systems). The data was recorded and processed using a home-built LabVIEW program (National Instruments).

HRS measurements were performed within 24 hours of the solution preparation. For each solution and at a given incident beam power, HRS intensity, $I_{2\text{tot}}$, has been measured as a function of wavelength in the range of 370 nm to 440 nm. A total of five spectra were collected and averaged. Each spectrum was fit to obtain the intensity peak at 400 nm. Fitting with double Gaussian function allowed eliminating the two-photon contribution, if any, to the overall signal. Similar method of subtracting background fluorescence has been established to be effective in a previous study.\textsuperscript{55} The HRS intensity was then corrected based on Beer–Lambert law for possible attenuation of light due to molecular absorption. The concentrations of the solutions prepared are reported within the figures displaying the variation of harmonic intensity with concentration.

Computational methods

All calculations were carried out with Gaussian 09 package of programs\textsuperscript{38} and employed Coulomb attenuating method CAM-B3LYP\textsuperscript{57} in conjunction with 6-311+G** basis set. The CAM-B3LYP functional is widely utilized to calculate the absorption spectra of organic molecules as well as to predict the hyperpolarizability values of push–pull $\pi$-conjugated systems with reliability.\textsuperscript{39–49} All the geometry optimizations were followed by vibrational analyses to ensure the positive sign of all eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix and to confirm that the stationary point found was a true minimum on the potential energy surface. Vertical excitation energies were computed in acetonitrile using time dependent density functional theory (TDDFT)\textsuperscript{38} using the integral equation formalism of the polarized continuum model (IEFPCM) on the optimized ground state geometries in gas phase. The molecular orbitals were visualized using GaussView. The first hyperpolarizability values ($\beta_{\text{tot}}$) were calculated using the “polar” keyword in the Gaussian input file and by beginning the route section by \#P that prints the static hyperpolarizability tensors. In order to consider the effect of solvent on the hyperpolarizability ($\beta_{\text{tot}}$), single point calculations were carried out in chloroform on the optimized ground state geometries using the IEFPCM model. $\beta_{\text{tot}}$ was calculated as follows:\textsuperscript{55}

$$
\beta_{\text{tot}} = [(\beta_{xx} + \beta_{xy} + \beta_{xz})^2 + (\beta_{yx} + \beta_{yy} + \beta_{yz})^2 + (\beta_{zx} + \beta_{zy} + \beta_{zz})^2]^{1/2}
$$

where, $\beta_{xx}$, $\beta_{xy}$, $\beta_{xz}$, $\beta_{yx}$, $\beta_{yy}$, $\beta_{yz}$, $\beta_{zx}$, $\beta_{zy}$, $\beta_{zz}$ and $\beta_{yz}$ represent the hyperpolarizability tensor components along the $x$, $y$ and $z$ directions.
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