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This commentary focuses on how some Indigenous communities in the United States (U.S.) and Canada are addressing the opioid epidemic within the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. The situation is of urgent concern: a pandemic on top of an epidemic (Alexander, Stoller, 2020). This commentary focuses on how some Indigenous communities in the United States (U.S.) and Canada are addressing the opioid epidemic within the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. The situation is of urgent concern: a pandemic on top of an epidemic (Alexander, Stoller, 2020).
Haffajee, & Saloner, 2020; Becker & Fiellin, 2020; Priest, 2020) for a population that is disproportionately vulnerable to both. This disproportionate vulnerability is in the context of ongoing ills stemming from settler-colonialism and systemic racism, which have had an in-calcuable toll on Indigenous Peoples and have greatly exacerbated substance use problems among this population (Brave Heart, Chase, Elkins, & Altschul, 2011; Currie, Wild, Schopflocher, & Laing, 2015; cf. Collins, Boyd, Cooper, & McNeil, 2019). American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) peoples have the second highest rate of opioid overdose deaths among U.S. ethnoricracial groups (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2016; Venner et al., 2018), while First Nations peoples in certain Canadian provinces have up to three times the rate of opioid overdose deaths compared with non-Indigenous people (Belzak & Halverson, 2018). Likewise, the pandemic poses a disproportionate threat to Indigenous reservations/reserves, due to disparities in known risk factors for poorer COVID-19-related outcomes such as chronic health conditions, inadequate healthcare service/infrastructure, and limited resources (e.g., running water, housing; Hedgpath, Fears, & Scruggs, 2020; Maher, 2020). These disparities add to heightened vulnerabilities for opioid users (Priest, 2020).

Based on available data thus far, the pandemic has clearly taken an enormous toll on Indigenous Peoples collectively, especially on certain U.S. reservations (see, e.g., Silverman, Toropin, Sidner, & Perrot, 2020). In the U.S., as of October 15, 2020, an estimated 90.0 of every 100,000 AI/AN individuals have died from the virus, which is likely an under-count due to disaggregated data from some jurisdictions (APM Research Lab, 2020). When adjusting for age, the AI/AN mortality rate is 3.1 times higher than the rate for White Americans (APM Research Lab, 2020). In Canada, the toll on Indigenous Peoples is difficult to estimate. Whereas federal counts of on-reserve Indigenous infection rates and deaths are currently markedly lower than the general population (Indigenous Services Canada, 2020), these likely are dramatic under-counts because they do not include many jurisdictions and ignore those living off-reserve (who constitute the majority of Indigenous individuals; Sky, 2020). In any event, in both countries the pandemic has seriously affected Indigenous communities even with low infection rates, due to their shutting down nonessential activities and restricting travel to and from their communities (Lakhan, 2020; Macyshon & Bogart, 2020). Furthermore, emerging data suggest that the pandemic has increased opioid overdose rates among Indigenous individuals. In British Columbia, from January to May 2020 (vs. January–May 2019) Indigenous overdose rates increased 93%, including an increase from 10% to 16% of total overdoses in the province (Bellrichard, 2020).

We focus here primarily on medications for opioid use disorder (MOUD), given that provision of opioid agonists (e.g., buprenorphine and methadone) is generally critical to prevent return to use and overdose as well as to promote recovery (Fullerton et al., 2014; Thomas et al., 2014). Although MOUD research with Indigenous Peoples has been sparse, preliminary studies demonstrate that Indigenous-serving clinics have successfully implemented MOUD and that MOUD has improved a variety of outcomes among Indigenous patients (e.g., Kanate et al., 2015; Mamakwa et al., 2017; see Venner et al., 2018). However, Indigenous Peoples have inadequate access to MOUD. For example, in a survey of 192 substance use disorder (SUD) treatment centers serving AI/AN peoples, only 28% reported implementing MOUD (Rieckmann, Moore, Croy, Aarons, & Novins, 2017). Many Indigenous communities face unique barriers to MOUD implementation, such as being geographically remote, having difficulty retaining clinicians, and having limited healthcare access (DeFlavio, Rolin, Nordstrom, & Kazal Jr., 2015; Dorman, Biedermann, Linklater, & Jaffer, 2018; Venner et al., 2018). Indigenous-serving clinics are more likely to implement MOUD when staff are adequately trained and when MOUD provision is consistent with the clinic’s treatment philosophy (Rieckmann et al., 2017).

One challenge in this regard is integrating MOUD within relevant services that are culturally safe, meaning that providers critically contextualize social and historical power differentials and determinants of health (Curtis et al., 2019).

How the pandemic has impacted Indigenous communities’ opioid use treatment and recovery is rapidly emerging and needs to be empirically studied. As researchers, clinicians, and pharmacists working within or among Indigenous SUD clinics in three eastern Canadian provinces and two western U.S. states, we cautiously report and discuss some preliminary trends. These include (a) increased flexibility for prescribing MOUD, (b) telemedicine expansion, (c) restricted participation in traditional Indigenous healing practices, and (d) providers’ worries about the pandemic’s impact on patients. We do not pretend to comment on all Indigenous communities—which undoubtedly vary in their struggles and successes—and we acknowledge a perspectival limitation in that we have not directly solicited the views of treatment-seekers for this report.

First, relaxing certain policies—such as allowing larger take-home prescription carries and giving greater flexibility to pharmacists in delivering prescriptions—have aided MOUD access and continuity. In the U.S., for example, providers conducting telemedicine visits are now allowed to initiate patients on buprenorphine (Priest, 2020). In Canada, clinicians can now use telemedicine to provide addiction medicine treatment services, and there is an increased number of unsupervised carries that can be prescribed for buprenorphine and methadone (Brunet et al., 2020). For patients who were already stable on MOUD, we are unaware of treatment disruptions or problems with larger prescription carries. For rural and remote communities, initial anxieties about disruptions in medication supply-chains seem to have stabilized. In fact, there may be increased access for some patients who prior to the pandemic had to travel outside their region to obtain their medication. However, these adjustments have raised concerns related to safe transport, storage, and dispensing in some communities, along with worries about increased diversion and misuse. For those who are not yet in treatment, clinicians are concerned about the logistical difficulties in accessing MOUD due to the quarantining of communities and travel restrictions.

Second, Indigenous-serving SUD clinics have ramped up or expanded outpatient telemedicine services. Service providers have done remarkably well in this transition given the circumstances, and this expansion has increased access for some who were previously unable to obtain in-person services. However, obstacles to the use of telemedicine exist, such as limited Internet access, privacy concerns (especially for those living in crowded housing), and greater difficulty for emotional connection among patients (e.g., within a psychotherapy group). These concerns are exacerbated for those who had not already developed rapport with their clinical team or support group. Many patients have experienced ruptures to important routines associated with in-person treatment and mutual support groups.

Third, the pandemic has restricted participation in traditional Indigenous healing practices, which facilitates cultural connectedness and can be helpful in promoting addiction recovery (see Rowan et al., 2014). Communities have especially struggled with not being able to provide traditional burial ceremonies during a time of increased death. Although individuals have creatively engaged in certain practices while physically distancing or remotely participating (e.g., smudging and prayer), there are significant constraints. In some regions, participants in drum groups have moved to video-conference to remain connected to this important practice.

Finally, providers are worried about the pandemic’s impact on their patients, in terms of exacerbating anxiety, isolation, and economic difficulties, as well as increasing maladaptive coping and other negative outcomes such as return to use, overdose, increased substance use, suicide, child abuse/neglect, and domestic violence. These concerns are especially worrisome in light of greatly reduced withdrawal management, outpatient, and residential treatment services.

Despite these challenges, we note certain positive developments. In particular, increased prescription flexibility may promote access to treatment, decrease stigma, and promote patient self-efficacy. Research
has criticized SUD treatment systems as reflecting and sometimes perpetuating stigma about addiction as well as perpetuating societal and racial inequities (Goedel et al., 2020; Hansen et al., 2013; Hansen & Roberts, 2012). Policies for prescribing MOUD have been especially restrictive in the U.S. (vs. Canada); for example, U.S. providers must apply for a federal waiver to prescribe buprenorphine to a limited number of patients (Priest et al., 2019). These restrictions to treatment pose even greater barriers for many Indigenous patients’ access to MOUD (e.g., many patients in both countries must travel long distances for access to medication; see Kleinman, 2020; Priest et al., 2019). With loosened restrictions, many patients have had greater access to and flexibility with MOUD, and without significant problems for stable patients of which we are aware.

In addition, prior to the pandemic in both the U.S. and Canada, rural and remote Indigenous communities generally had insufficient access to medical and psychosocial services, and telemedicine was not adequately recognized and supported as a method to deliver addiction services. In the current pandemic climate, it is promising to see that addiction treatment continues outside the normal confines of “the clinic”—including expanded telemedicine options, telephone calls, text messaging check-ins, physically distanced home visits, and creative community solutions.

If these service changes are helpful during a pandemic, why not continue them during more stable times? We are optimistic that some of these changes and initiatives may remain in place after the pandemic, although research should provide guidance to programs, providers, and patients (several of the authors are undertaking this research imminently in partnership with Indigenous communities). Indeed, the pandemic may be an opportunity to acknowledge that many people who use drugs can play a larger role in directing their own care and treatment. Moreover, we are hopeful that the pandemic may ultimately enable greater flexibility and support for Indigenous communities in calling forward solutions (beyond a “medical model” that tends to overly pathologize) to provide greater access to community-grounded and culturally safe services.

**Funding**

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or non-profit sectors.

**CRediT authorship contribution statement**

**Dennis C. Wendt:** Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – reviewing and editing, Supervision, Project administration; **Stéphanie Marsan:** Conceptualization, Writing – reviewing and editing; **Daniel Parker:** Conceptualization, Writing – reviewing and editing; **Karen E. Lizzy:** Conceptualization; **Jessica Roper:** Conceptualization, Writing – reviewing and editing; **Christopher Mushquash:** Conceptualization, Writing – reviewing and editing; **Kamilla L. Venner:** Conceptualization, Writing – reviewing and editing; **Alice Lam:** Conceptualization, Writing – reviewing and editing; **Jennifer Swansburg:** Conceptualization, Writing – reviewing and editing; **Nancy Worth:** Conceptualization; **Nicholas Sorlagas:** Conceptualization, Writing – reviewing and editing; **Tania Quach:** Conceptualization; **Kristapre Maneoukan:** Conceptualization; **Payton Bernet:** Writing – reviewing and editing; **Sandra M. Radin:** Conceptualization, Writing – reviewing and editing, Supervision.

**References**

Alexander, G. C., Stoller, K. B., Haffajee, R. L., & Saloner, B. (2020). An epidemic in the midst of a pandemic: Opioid use disorder and COVID-19. Annals of Internal Medicine, 173(1), 57-58. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-1141.

APM Research Lab (2020, August 18). The color of coronavirus: COVID-19 deaths by race and ethnicity in the U.S. Author. https://www.apmresearchlab.org/covid-deaths-by-race.

Becker, W. C., & Fiehn, D. A. (2020). When epidemics collide: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and the opioid crisis. Annals of Internal Medicine, 173(1), 59-60. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-1210.

Belrichard, C. (2020, July 6). 93% spike in first nations overdose deaths recorded in B.C. during COVID-19. CBC News. https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/indigenous/bc-first-nations-overdose-deaths-1.5639098.

Belzak, L., & Halverson, J. (2018). Evidence synthesis—The opioid crisis in Canada: A national perspective. Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention in Canada, 38(6), 224-233. https://doi.org/10.24995/hpcc.38.6.02.

Brave Heart, M. Y. H., Chace, J., Elkins, J., & Altschul, D. B. (2011). Historical trauma among Indigenous Peoples of the Americas: Concepts, research, and clinical considerations. Journal of Psychoactive Drugs, 43(4), 282-290. https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2011.629813.

Brunreau, J., Rehm, J., Wild, T. C., Wood, E., Sako, A., Swansburg, J., & Lam, A. (2020, May 15). Telemedicine support for addiction services: National rapid guidance document (version 1). Canadian Research Initiative in Substance Misuse. https://cri.ms/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/CRISM-National-Rapid-Guidance-Telemedicine-V1.pdf.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2016). Wide-ranging online data for epidemiologic research (WONDER). National Center for Health Statistics.

Collins, A. B., Boyd, J., Cooper, H. L., & McNeil, R. (2019). The intersectional risk environment of people who use drugs. Social Science and Medicine, 234, 112384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.112384.

Curtin, C., Wild, T. C., Schopflocher, D., & Laiing, L. (2015). Racial discrimination, post-traumatic stress and prescription drug problems among Aboriginal Canadians. Canadian Journal of Public Health, 106(6), e382-e387. doi: 10.17269/CJP.H.106.0796.

Curtis, E., Jones, R., Tipene-Leach, D., Walker, C., Loring, B., Paine, J. S., & Reid, P. (2019). Why cultural safety rather than cultural competency is required to achieve health equity: A literature review and recommended definition. International Journal for Equity in Health, 18(174), https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-019-1082-1.

D’Este, E., Roberts, R., Rolin, S. A., Ashbrook, B. R., & Rusal, I. A., Jr. (2015). Analysis of considerations. Psychiatric Services, 65(2), 146–157. doi.org/10.1176/ps.201300235.

Goedel, W. C., Shapiro, A., Cerdá, M., Tsai, J. W., Hadland, S. E., & Marshall, B. D. L. (2020). Association of racial/ethnic segregation with treatment capacity for opioid use disorder in counties in the United States. JAMA Network Open, 3(4), Article e2007311. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.7311.

Hansen, H., & Roberts, S. K. (2012). Two tiers of biomedicalization: Methadone, buprenorphine, and the racial politics of addiction treatment. In J. Netherland (Vol. Ed.), Advances in medical sociology. : Vol. 14. Critical perspectives on addiction (pp. 79–102). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/020567620120001408.

Hansen, H., Siegel, C. E., Case, B. G., Bertolino, D. N., DelRocco, D., & Galanter, M. (2013). Variation in use of buprenorphine and methadone treatment by racial, ethnic, and income characteristics of residential social areas in New York City. The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research, 40(3), 367–377. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10962-013-9341-3.

Hedgforth, D., Fears, D., & Scruggs, G. (2020, April 4). Indian country, where residents suffer disproportionately from disease, is bracing for coronavirus. The Washington Post. https://www.washingtonpost.com/climate-environment/2020/04/04/native-american-coronavirus/.

Indigenous Services Canada (2020, August 26). Coronavirus (COVID-19) and indigenous communities. Author. https://www.ins-cis.gc.ca/eng/1581964230816/1581964272988.

Lakhan, S. (2020, March 21). Indigenous communities close their borders in hopes of preventing COVID-19 spread. CTV News. https://www.ctvnews.ca/health/coronavirus/indigenous-communities-close-their-borders-in-hopes-of-preventing-covid-19-spread-1.4863166.

Maher, S. (2020, April 7). Overcrowding makes it harder for Native Americans to socially distance [radio broadcast transcript]. National Public Radio. https://www.npr.org/2020/04/07/826683722/overcrowding-makes-it-hard-for-native-americans-to-socially-distance.

Mamakwa, S., Kahan, M., Datrie, K., DelRocco, M., Folk, D., Grone, S., Rea, S., Parson, P., Edwards, C., Gordon, J., Main, F., & Kelly, L. (2017). Evaluation of 6 remote First Nations community-based buprenorphine programs in northwestern Ontario: Retrospective study. Canadian Family Physician, 63(2), 137–145. https://www.cfp.
Priest, K. C. (2020, April 3). The COVID-19 pandemic: Practice and policy considerations for patients with opioid use disorder. Health Affairs Blog. https://www.healthaffairs.org/do/10.1377/hblog20200331.557887/full/.

Priest, K. C., Gorfinkel, L., Klimas, J., Jones, A. A., Fairhaim, N., & McCarty, D. (2019). Comparing Canadian and United States opioid agonist therapy policies. International Journal of Drug Policy, 74, 257–265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2019.01.020.

Rieckmann, T., Moore, L., Croy, C., Aarons, G. A., & Novins, D. K. (2017). National overview of medication-assisted treatment for American Indians and Alaska Natives with substance use disorders. Psychiatric Services, 68(11), 1136–1143. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201600397.

Rowan, M., Poole, N., Shea, B., Gone, J. P., Mykota, D., Farag, M., ... Dell, C. (2014). Cultural interventions to treat addictions in Indigenous populations: Findings from a scoping study. Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy, 9(1), 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/1747-597X-9-34.

Silverman, H., Toropin, K., Sidner, S., & Perrot, L. (2020, May 18). Navajo Nation surpasses New York state for the highest Covid-19 infection rate in the US. CNN. https://www.cnn.com/2020/05/18/us/navajo-nation-infection-rate-trnd/index.html.

Skye, C. (2020, May 12). Colonialism of the curve: Indigenous communities and bad Covid data (policy brief issue 63). Yellowhead Institutehttps://yellowheadinstitute.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/covid-19-colonialism-of-the-curve-brief.pdf.

Thomas, C. F., Fullerton, C. A., Kim, M., Montejano, L., Lyman, D. R., Dougherty, R. H., ... Delphin-Rittmon, M. E. (2014). Medication-assisted treatment with buprenorphine: Assessing the evidence. Psychiatric Services, 65(2), 158–170. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201300256.

Venner, K. L., Donovan, D. M., Campbell, A. N. C., Wendt, D. C., Rieckmann, T., Radin, S. M., ... Rosa, C. L. (2018). Future directions for medication assisted treatment for opioid use disorder with American Indian/Alaska Natives. Addictive Behaviors, 86, 111–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2018.05.017.