Cone-beam computed tomography findings of impacted upper canines
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To describe the features of impacted upper canines and their relationship with adjacent structures through three-dimensional cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) images.

Materials and Methods: Using the CBCT scans of 79 upper impacted canines, we evaluated the following parameters: gender, unilateral/bilaterial occurrence, location, presence and degree of root resorption of adjacent teeth (mild, moderate, or severe), root dilaceration, dental follicle width, and presence of other associated local conditions.

Results: Most of the impacted canines were observed in females (56 cases), unilaterally (51 cases), and at a palatine location (53 cases). Root resorption in adjacent teeth and root dilaceration were observed in 55 and 47 impacted canines, respectively. In most of the cases, the width of the dental follicle of the canine was normal; it was abnormally wide in 20 cases. A statistically significant association was observed for all variables, except for root dilaceration (p=0.115) and the side of impaction (p=0.260).

Conclusion: Root resorption of adjacent teeth was present in most cases of canine impaction, mostly affecting adjacent lateral incisors to a mild degree. A wide dental follicle of impacted canines was not associated with a higher incidence of external root resorption of adjacent teeth. (Imaging Sci Dent 2014; 44: 287-92)
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population which seems to be more prone to canine impac-
tion in the buccal position.7 The role of genetic factors in
the occurrence of impacted canines has also been pointed
out.3

The impaction of canines may cause the migration of
adjacent teeth, loss of arch length and width, and occa-
sional cystic lesions, tumors, and infections. However, an
important consequence of the abnormal path of canine
eruption within the dental alveolar process is the resorption
of adjacent teeth roots, compromising the longevity of
these teeth.8 This process is often asymptomatic; therefore,
when the resorption is clinically diagnosed, the process
may be so advanced that it no longer allows for any type
of conservative treatment.9

Although new diagnostic imaging techniques have been
introduced in recent years, conventional two-dimensional
(2D) radiographs, including panoramic, occlusal, and peri-
apical radiographs remain the most commonly used modal-
ity for the primary diagnosis and localization of non-erupt-
ed canines, evaluation, and treatment planning.10 However,
2D radiographic images may display the presence of non-
erupted teeth but are very limited in demonstrating the
exact location of these teeth, the impact on neighboring
teeth and other adjacent structures, and the anatomy of
roots, which are information of absolute relevance in treat-
ment planning.11

Computed tomography (CT) eliminates image superim-
position and allows reconstruction of scanned structures
in different planes, as well as three-dimensional (3D)
reconstructions.11,12 Another recent and increasingly avail-
able modality in dentistry, cone-beam computed tomogra-
phy (CBCT) produces high-quality diagnostic 3D images
with minimal distortion, relatively low cost, and signifi-
cantly reduced radiation dose as compared to other CT
modalities.13 Due to its increasing availability, there has
been a significant current interest of researchers and cli-
icians in revisiting different clinical conditions using CBCT,
which has allowed significant advances in dental practice.14

In cases of impacted canines, images obtained by CBCT
are accurate in determining their buccal-palatal location
and angulation; they are also useful in determining the
proximity of impacted canines to the roots of adjacent inci-
sors and premolars, as well as the degree of resorption.6,15,16
These features are important in treatment planning to move
the canine in the arch and decrease the risk of root resorp-
tion of adjacent teeth. Various types of imaging software
allow CBCT image reconstructions in multiple planes,
providing a better view of the canine position.17

Given the importance of correct diagnosis through imag-
ing exams for a safer therapeutic approach, and consider-
ing the use of CBCT for the assessment of impacted teeth,
this study aimed to characterize the main features of im-
pacted upper canines and their effect on adjacent structures
by using CBCT imaging.

Table 1. Relationship between impacted canines and variables assessed in this study.

| Variables                             | n=79 | %     | p value |
|---------------------------------------|------|-------|---------|
| Gender                                |      |       |         |
| Female                                | 56   | 70.9  | 0.000   |
| Male                                  | 23   | 29.1  |         |
| Type of occurrence                    |      |       | 0.013   |
| Unilateral                            | 51   | 64.6  |         |
| Bilateral                             | 28   | 35.4  |         |
| Side                                  |      |       | 0.260   |
| Right                                 | 34   | 43    |         |
| Left                                  | 45   | 57    |         |
| Localization                          |      |       | 0.000   |
| Buccal                                | 17   | 21.5  |         |
| Palatine                              | 53   | 67.1  |         |
| Central                               | 9    | 11.4  |         |
| Root resorption                       |      |       | 0.001   |
| Presence                              | 55   | 69.6  |         |
| Absence                               | 24   | 30.4  |         |
| Root dilaceration                     |      |       | 0.115   |
| Presence                              | 47   | 59.5  |         |
| Absence                               | 32   | 40.5  |         |
| Dental follicle width                 |      |       | 0.000   |
| Normal                                | 59   | 74.3  |         |
| Wide                                  | 20   | 25.3  |         |
| Other associated local conditions     |      |       |         |
| Retained deciduous canines            | 27   | 34.2  | 0.007   |
| Transposition between canine and premolar | 2   | 2.5   | 0.000   |
| Supernumerary tooth                   | 2    | 2.5   | 0.000   |
| Odontoma                              | 1    | 1.3   | 0.000   |
| Enamel and/or dentin defects          | 7    | 8.9   | 0.000   |
were excluded from the study.

An impacted tooth is one that fails to erupt into the dental arch within a specific time period. In this study, a tooth was considered impacted when it was completely or partially intraosseous and had more than 2/3 of its root developed. One tooth was excluded from the sample due to the presence of a dentigerous cyst. The final sample consisted of 79 impacted canines from 66 individuals. Information on gender, unilateral/bilateral occurrence, side, location, root dilaceration, dental follicle width of the impacted canines, root resorption of the adjacent teeth, and the other associated local conditions observed is presented in Table 1.

The images were evaluated in all three tomographic planes (axial, sagittal, and coronal) and in the 3D reconstruction in a dimmed light room, on a 22” flat screen monitor (Dell Precision 390™, Dell Inc., Round Rock, Texas, USA) by a single oral radiologist with more than six years of experience of CBCT using the KDIS3D CBCT image software (version 2.1.11, Kodak Dental Systems, Carestream Health, Rochester, NY, USA).

The age and the gender of each patient were recorded. Using the images obtained from CBCT, we analyzed the following parameters: 1. unilateral or bilateral impaction; 2. buccal, palatal, or central location; 3. root resorption of adjacent teeth (central incisor, lateral incisor, and first premolar); 4. root anatomy: presence or absence of dilaceration; 5. width of the dental follicle: normal (≤ 2 mm) or wider than normal (> 2 mm); 6. other associated local conditions: retention of the corresponding deciduous canine, transposition of the permanent canine, presence of supernumerary teeth or odontomas, and enamel and/or dentin defects. Among them, root resorption was determined by the classification proposed by Ericson and Kurol: 1) absence of resorption (intact root surface, but a compromised layer of cementum), 2) mild (resorption to half the thickness of dentin) (Fig. 1), 3) moderate (resorption very close to the pulp, without exposure of pulpal tissue), and 4) severe (pulp exposed due to resorption).

Data were analyzed using SAS software 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Descriptive analysis for demographic data (absolute and relative frequencies) and the χ² or Fisher’s exact test were performed. The significance level was set at 5%.

Results

The subjects’ ages ranged from 12 to 55 years (mean age: 22 years). Most of the impacted canines were observed in females (56 cases), unilaterally (51 cases), and at a palatine location (53 cases). Root resorption in adjacent teeth and root dilaceration were observed in 55 and 47 impacted canines, respectively. In most of the cases, the width of the dental follicle of the canine was normal; it was abnormally wide in 20 cases. In the case of other associated local conditions, we observed that retained deciduous canines (27 cases) and the presence of enamel and/or dentine defects in the impacted canines (7 cases) were the most common. A statistically significant association was observed with all variables (p < 0.05), except for the root dilaceration (p=0.115) and the side of impaction (p=0.260).

In total, 55 canines (69.6%) caused resorption in 71 adjacent teeth. The root resorption was associated with lateral incisors (48 cases), central incisors (19 cases), and premolars (4 cases). A relatively high occurrence of mild and moderate root resorption was observed. Severe resorption was only observed in lateral incisors (6 cases) (Table 2).
Impacted canines were more frequently located palatally in both males and females. Females presented impacted canines at a central location in only 7.1% of the cases, while males, in 21% of the cases. However, this difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.054$). Root resorption was present in nearly 70% of the cases, and the frequency was similar for both males and females ($p=0.995$). An association between the presence of root resorption of adjacent teeth and the location of the impacted canine was also not statistically significant ($p=0.204$) (Fig. 2). However, impacted canines with an increased width of the dental follicle were associated with a relatively low frequency of root resorption on adjacent teeth; this was statistically significant ($p=0.027$) (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

Comparative studies between 2D and 3D images have demonstrated superiority of the latter in identifying aspects related to impacted maxillary canines, particularly the location and adjacent root resorption of permanent teeth, which are crucial in developing the right treatment plan for the patient.\(^\text{17}\)

Our results regarding the gender distribution, type of occurrence, and localization of impacted canines resemble those found in the literature,\(^\text{6,15,19-21}\) although some studies showed a tendency towards buccal impactions.\(^\text{7,15}\) The association between gender and the location of impacted canines was not significant, although women presented palatally impacted canines more often. The location of impacted canines appears to be related to genetic traits or has geographic differences.\(^\text{6}\) This could be attributed to the differences in jawbone structure for different ethnicities, like the shape of the maxillary arch, the height of the palate, and the nasal cavity, which influence the jaw shape and cause variations in the position of the tooth germ in the arch. Furthermore, craniofacial growth differences influence the amount of space in the dental arch. However, it must be emphasized that some studies applied panoramic radiographs for this evaluation,\(^\text{20,22}\) which did not provide a complete visualization of the positioning of impacted teeth without other exams.

Impacted canines are important factors related to the external root resorption of adjacent teeth. In this study, the prevalence of lateral and central incisor root resorption by
impacted canines was similar to the literature. Root resorption of the first premolar is uncommon and represents an important event that is often misdiagnosed or diagnosed late, when the tooth has suffered resorption that cannot be controlled, leading to its extraction. Gender does not seem to influence root resorption. Conversely, the location of the canine in the dental arch seems to play an important role in adjacent root resorption, particularly when the canine is in the palate. Location of the tooth was also described as an important factor elsewhere. The results of the present study demonstrated that most resorptive processes may be detected using CBCT, because the images provide 3D information, while conventional radiographs are limited in detecting lesions on the buccal and palatine aspects.

Root dilaceration was present in most impacted canines. Root shape - particularly in cases where there is pronounced dilaceration - can impact the clinical approach of impacted teeth. Dilacerrations can be accurately diagnosed by CBCT, in both subtle and pronounced cases. For subtle dilacerrations, CBCT can visualize the direction and interaction with neighboring teeth, while for the pronounced cases, there is a possibility for visualizing the anatomical aspects of the root and its interactions with the bone, sinus region, and palatal or buccal anatomical structures, which is favorable for treatment planning.

The dental follicle thickness was within the normal range in most cases; this is similar to the findings of other studies. The association between the occurrence of root resorption and the thickness of the dental follicle was significant. However, resorption seems to occur irrespective of the size of the dental follicle. The resorption of the neighboring permanent teeth during the eruption of the maxillary canine was most likely an effect of the adjacent active pressure during the eruption and the cellular activities in tissues at the contact points, which are part of the eruptive mechanisms. Asymmetrical dental follicles are more common in ectopic canines and are more accurately identified through CBCT.

Deciduous canines were retained in less than half the cases. This retention can hinder the eruption of the permanent canines; therefore, their extraction is indicated, although the success rate for spontaneous eruption appears to be low in cases wherein the patient reached adolescence at the beginning of the treatment. Prevalence of transposition observed between the impacted canine and the first premolar was very small which corroborated the relative rarity of this anomaly in most populations. It is known that the most common transposition involves canines and the first premolars and occurs when the path of eruption of the maxillary canines is diverted by genetic and/or environmental factors.

The treatment of transposition between the canine and the first premolar depends on the stage of tooth development at the time of the diagnosis of the anomaly. These teeth should remain transposed when the roots are fully developed. The presence of supernumerary teeth and odontomas associated with impacted canines was observed in a few cases. In fact, the occurrence of supernumerary teeth in the region of the lateral incisor and the upper canine is not rare, and although they are usually asymptomatic, the extraction of supernumerary teeth and odontomas is an elective treatment.

CBCT should not be used routinely for the assessment of unerupted teeth in the context of a root resorption diagnosis, but it may be indicated when conventional intraoral radiography does not supply adequate information. CBCT images of the impacted maxillary canines can determine with precision the presence or absence of a tooth; the follicle width; the inclination of the long axis of the teeth; the relative buccal, central, and palatal positions; the 3D proximity and resorption of the roots of the adjacent teeth; the local anatomic considerations; and finally, the overall stage of dental development. Therefore, we agreed on a reliable assessment of the 3D position and on the improved localization and surgical-orthodontic management of maxillary impacted canines using CBCT.

In conclusion, root resorption of adjacent teeth is present in most cases of canine impaction, mostly affecting the adjacent lateral incisors to a mild degree. In addition, the increased dental follicles of the impacted canines were not associated with the relatively high incidence of the external root resorption of adjacent teeth. Further, there was no relationship between impacted canines with root dilaceration and the side of impaction.
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