Women leadership styles in the public sector in Kuwait: The perspective of their subordinates

Basil Alzougool*, Jarrah AlMansour* and Mohammad AlAjmi*

*Arab Open University, Kuwait

ABSTRACT

Little research has been devoted to focus on women’s leadership styles in particular especially in Kuwait. The aim of this study is therefore twofold: (i) to identify the different leadership styles of women in the public sector in Kuwait, and (ii) to examine if the identified leadership styles of women vary in terms of the demographic characteristics of their subordinates. A quantitative study (questionnaire with 263 employees) is conducted in order to achieve the study objectives. The results of the study show that women in public sector in Kuwait frequently displayed various leadership styles as perceived by their followers such as inspirational motivation, idealized influence (attribute) styles. Some other styles were displayed fairly often such as management by exception (active), idealized influence (behavior). Moreover, the dominated leadership styles that displayed frequently by women was the transformational style. The study found that the transformational leadership style of women varied in terms of the educational level and job title of their followers. Several recommendations were suggested based on these findings that may improve the effectiveness of women leadership in the public sector.

1. Introduction

Leadership is a complex phenomenon that touches on many other important organizational, social and personal processes. It depends on a process of influence, whereby people are inspired to work towards group goals, not through coercion, but through personal motivation” (Bolden, 2004). There has been a growth in the women holding senior and leading positions around the world. For example, Holmes (2014) found that 51% of senior management positions were held by women in China. This increasing rate can be seen in other countries as well e.g., Japan (7%), United Arab Emirates (11%), and Netherlands (11%). Kuwait enhances the role of women and the importance of their presence in all sectors e.g. (political, social and economic life). “Kuwait allowed women to vote and seek public office for the first time in 2005 (Schmidt et al. 2014, p. 155). Kuwait ranks 81 with 6.7% women in ministerial positions and ranks 135 in terms of women in parliament with 4.6% women in lower or single house, far behind the global status (cited in Alzuabi, 2016). Kuwaiti women held numerous leadership positions such as national ambassadors and editors in chief of major newspapers. Women occupied 10.3% of leadership positions in the public sector in 2011. Also, woman’s employment comprised 54.24% of the overall workforce in the public sector (cited in Alzuabi, 2016). Al-Salem and Speece (2017) concluded that there seems to be general acceptance that women in Kuwait can be leaders in managerial positions, and little overt discrimination.

Much has been written about different leadership styles of managers (e.g. Alzougool et al., 2015; Yaseen, 2010; Rohmann & Rowold, 2009, Sabri, 2007). However, little have been devoted to focus on women’s leadership styles in particular especially in Kuwait. In this study; a leadership style is defined as "relatively stable patterns of behavior displayed by leaders" (Eagly et al., 2003, p 569). Having a good leader nowadays is considered to be one of most organizationa goals, to the best of
our knowledge, identifying the leadership styles of women in the public sector in Kuwait has largely been overlooked in previous literature. Therefore, this study represents a humble contribution to the field. This study is beneficial to the managers in the public sector, because many problems arise only from the leader’s attitude displayed to his/her employees.

The study therefore aims at achieving the following two objectives:

- To identify the different leadership styles of women in the public sector in Kuwait, and
- To examine if the identified leadership styles of women vary in terms of the demographic characteristics of their subordinates.

Extensive research has explored leadership from various perspectives. The early studies of leadership have made a distinction between the characteristics of the leader and the characteristics of the followers. Then, researchers have begun to discuss the effect of the situation on the various leaders’ skills and behaviors (Mendez-Morse, 1992). Research has also distinguished between effective and non-effective leaders and explored the leaders’ behaviors and skills (Fiedler, 1967). Moreover, researchers (e.g., Mendez-Morse, 1992; Blumberg & Greenfield, 1986) have used the contingency theory to scrutinize the relationship between personalized features, circumstantial changes, and effectiveness of the leader. Furthermore, researchers have explored the interaction between leaders and their followers concluding that the leaders’ traits, skills and behaviors are combined and applied to form what is called the leadership style (Sonfield & Lussier, 2004). The gender of the leader plays an important role as they differ in the way they act, communicate and influence their followers. The majority of women are making their path to senior management using the skills, and the attitudes they have (Patel, 2013). In this regard, Alzougoel et al. (2015) found that there were significant differences between women and men in displaying the transformational and the passive avoidant leadership styles. Female managers frequently displayed the transformational leadership style more than male managers. For the passive avoidant leadership style, male manager fairly often displayed it while female managers displayed it once in a while. Merchant (2012) found that female leaders could provide a closer bond with their followers compared with the male leaders. Ely and Rhodes (2010) also found that females do business more effectively than males. Moreover, Eagly et al. (2003) examined research (A meta-analysis of 45 studies) that compared women and men on transformational, transactional, and laissez-faire leadership styles. The study found that female leaders were more transformational than male leaders and also engaged in more of the contingent reward behaviors that are a component of transactional leadership. Male leaders were generally more likely to manifest the other aspects of transactional leadership (active and passive management by exception) and laissez-faire leadership. With respect to the GCC region, there have been a number of researches that recognized differences in terms of women leadership such as Qatar (Golkowska, 2017), Saudi Arabia (Kattan et al., 2016), Kuwait (Al-Salem & Speece, 2017), UAE (Kemp et al., 2015, Kemp et al. 2013), and Oman (Belwal et al., 2014). In this regards, Abdalla and Al-Homoud (2001) identified six important contributing dimensions for Kuwaiti and Qatari outstanding leadership behavior including diplomatic behavior, visionary behavior, integrity behavior, inspirational behavior, performance-oriented behavior, and administratively competent behavior. Hodges (2017) found that several factors affecting the women leadership styles in the Saudi Context, these include, social factors, religious factors, cultural factors, and factors related to the organizational practices. However, even with these constrains, their study provided support for the desire and readiness for change among women leadership in KSA. However, there is still a dearth of recent research looking into the women leadership in terms of leadership styles, consequent characteristics, values, and needed actions in the Middle East countries (Javidan & Carl, 2004).

2. Research Model

A numerous number of theories have addressed different leadership styles. Khan et al. (2016) conducted a literature review on the leadership theories and styles. Main theories that emerged during 20th century include: the Great Man theory, Trait theory, Process leadership theory, Style and Behavioral theory, Transformational, Transactional and Laissez Faire leadership theory. However, the most prominent theory that has been studied and discussed in the literature is Bass's model of leadership styles. This model contains nine different styles that can be classified under three main styles namely transformational, transactional and laissez faire styles (which were investigated in this study). These styles represent the styles that might be displayed by any given manager. Definitions of these three main Styles and their related styles are shown in Table 1 (Adopted from Eagly et al. 2003, p 570).

Table 1 Definitions of Transformational, Transactional, and Laissez-Faire Leadership Styles (Adopted from Eagly et al. 2003, p 570)

| Leadership style                  | Description of leadership style                                      |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Transformational**             |                                                                     |
| Idealized Influence (attribute)  | Demonstrates qualities that motivate respect and pride from association with him/her |
| Idealized Influence (behavior)   | Communicates values, purpose, and importance of organization’s mission |
| Inspirational Motivation         | Exhibits optimism and excitement about goals and future states      |
| Intellectual Stimulation         | Examines new perspectives for solving problems and completing tasks |
| Individualized Consideration     | Focuses on development and mentoring of followers and attends to their individual needs |
| **Transactional**                |                                                                     |
| Contingent Reward                | Provides rewards for satisfactory performance by followers           |
| Management by Exception (active) | Attends to followers' mistakes and failures to meet standards       |
| Management by Exception (passive)| Waits until problems become severe before attending to them and intervening |
| **Laissez-Faire**                | Exhibits frequent absence and lack of involvement during critical junctures |
In the transformational leadership style, the followers normally would carry trust, admiration, loyalty and respect towards the leader. Therefore, the followers become motivated to exceed what they were originally expected to perform (Katz and Kahn, 1978). The leaders who have this leadership style motivate their followers to think critically and seek new ways to approach their jobs correctly, resulting in intellectual stimulation (Bass et al., 1994). Alzougool et al. (2015) found that the most dominant style among female managers in Jordan was the transformational leadership style. Chao (2017) found a common use of the transformational leadership style by the Chinese female leaders. Gobaw (2017) found top-level leaders tend to have more of the characteristic types of transformational leadership. Cuadros et al. (2018) found the elements of Transformational Leadership are predominant over the other types of Leadership. In the transactional leadership style, the leader aim is both to ensure that all followers are clearly understand how to achieve the goals of the organization, and to encourage and motivate the followers to fulfill the desired goals (House and Aditya, 1997). In this regard, Sabri (2007) found that managers showed more inclination towards transactional than transformational leadership style. Moreover, Yaseen (2010) found that Arab-male leaders frequently behaved the transactional style and the Laissez-faire rather than females’ leaders do. The third leadership style is the laissez-faire; the strategy of leader here is to let the followers to work on their own without any interference as the leaders believe in the freedom of the choice for their followers. The basis for this style of leadership has two aspects: (i) a strong belief about the followers’ knowledge of their jobs and their tasks they are expected to best achieve, and (ii) the leaders may be in political, election-based positions where they may not wish to utilize their power or control for fear of not being reelected again (Goodnight 2004). Therefore, the leaders provide minimal information and resources to their followers. There is virtually no participation, involvement, or communication within the workforce, but on the other hand, understanding the job requirements, policies, and procedures are generally left to be exchanged from employee to employee (Wood, 2009).

3. Methods

The main method of data collection was a questionnaire which was distributed to a sample of employees in the public sector in Kuwait. The target population for this study was all levels of employees as long as they have a female leader who leads and manages them. The study adopted the “Multifactor Leadership Questioner MLQ (5x-short form)”, which was developed by Bass and Avolio (2004); an instrument that was extensively researched, statically reliable and tested on various employees and industries. Some modifications were added to suit the context of this study and it was translated to the Arabic language. Section A in the survey includes the employees’ profile such as gender, age, marital status, educational level and job title. Section B includes the items used to measure the nine leadership styles i.e.: idealized influence (attributed), idealized influence (behavior), inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, individualized consideration, contingent reward, management-by-exception (active), management-by-exception (passive), and laissez-faire leadership. A five-point response scale (not at all, once in a while, sometimes, fairly often, frequently if not always) was used.

The survey was distributed through a personal visit by the second and third authors. The participants were explained the purposes of the study and asked to complete the surveys. The instructions for completing the questionnaire were given on the
cover page to avoid any misunderstanding about the leadership styles issue. In all, 500 surveys were administered to employees in the public sector in Kuwait. 282 surveys were completed by the participants. Of these, 19 surveys were ignored because of missing data and the employees were non Kuwaitis. Therefore, only 263 surveys were usable for this study and included in the analysis. A summary of the demographic characteristics of the respondents is presented in Fig. 1. As shown in the figure, the majority of the employees were females (77.9%). While, approximately half of the employees belong to the age group 21-30 years (48.7%) and more than third of them belong to the age group 31-40 years (36.7%). In addition, more than half of the employees were married (54.7%) and approximately two thirds of the employees have bachelor degree (59.6%). Approximately half of the employees have the job title of administrative staff (48.6%). All participants have female managers.

3.1 Data Analysis and Findings

This study aimed to identify the different leadership styles of women in Kuwait and examine if the identified leadership styles of women vary in terms of the demographic characteristics of participated employees. The data obtained from the study were analyzed through various techniques in SPSS 19.0 program. Data analysis involves three processes. First, the descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation) were used to identify the current state of leadership styles. Second, confirmatory factor analysis and reliability (by computing the Cronbach's alpha) of the measurement model were evaluated. Finally, t-test was used to find out if the identified leadership styles of women vary in terms of the demographic characteristics of participated employees. Table 2 illustrates the mean (M) and standard deviations (SD) of the current state of women leadership styles in the public sector in Kuwait; the following scale is used to facilitate reporting the results:

- The leadership style was frequently if not always displayed by female managers: Calculated mean (M ≥ 3.6).
- The leadership style was fairly often displayed by female managers: Calculate mean (2.4 ≥ M < 3.6).
- The leadership style was occasionally displayed by female managers: Calculated mean (M < 2.4).

As shown in the table, employees perceived that four leadership styles were frequently if not always displayed by their female managers namely: inspirational motivation (mean=3.79), Idealized influence (attribute) (mean= 3.74), Contingent reward (mean= 3.73), and Intellectual stimulation (mean= 3.61). Three leadership styles were fairly often displayed by their female managers namely: Management by exception (active) (mean=3.54), Idealized influence (behavior) (mean= 3.44), and Individualized consideration (mean=3.38). While two leadership styles were displayed occasionally by their female managers namely: Management by exception (passive) (mean= 2.36), and Laissez-faire (mean=2.32).

| Leadership styles/factors | N  | Mean  | SD  |
|--------------------------|----|-------|-----|
| Inspirational Motivation   | 245| 3.79  | 1.05|
| Idealized Influence (attribute) | 244| 3.74  | 0.98|
| Contingent Reward          | 246| 3.73  | 0.90|
| Intellectual Stimulation   | 245| 3.61  | 0.95|
| Management by Exception (active) | 245| 3.54  | 0.87|
| Idealized Influence (behavior) | 240| 3.44  | 0.88|
| Individualized Consideration | 242| 3.38  | 0.92|
| Management by Exception (passive) | 230| 2.36  | 0.97|
| Laissez-faire              | 247| 2.32  | 0.91|

In terms of factor analysis and as presented in Table 3, the nine leadership styles formed two conceptually sound factors. The first factor has seven leadership styles and it was interpreted as the transformational leadership style, and the second factor has two leadership styles and it was interpreted as the passive-avoidant leadership style. All the factor loadings were greater than 0.7. The Cronbach’s alpha for the first and second factors was 0.94 and 0.82 respectively. Construct reliability is achieved if the alpha values are above the acceptable value of 0.7 (Nunnally, 1978).

| Leadership styles/factors | Transformational | Passive-avoidant |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Inspirational Motivation   | .907             |                  |
| Intellectual Stimulation   | .885             |                  |
| Contingent Reward          | .881             |                  |
| Idealized Influence (attribute) | .861|     |
| Individualized Consideration | .856            |                  |
| Idealized Influence (behavior) | .837            |                  |
| Management by Exception (active) | .767            | .923             |
| Management by Exception (passive) | .917            |                  |

Based on the findings of factor analysis, the mean of the two factors (the main leadership styles) were calculated. As shown in Table 4, employees perceived that the transformational style was frequently if not always (mean=3.63) displayed by their female managers, while the passive-avoidant style displayed occasionally (mean=2.34) by their female managers in the public
sector in Kuwait. Therefore, the dominant leadership style among female managers in the public sector in Kuwait is the transformational style.

Table 4
The mean scores of the three main leadership styles of women (Based on Factor analysis)

| Main leadership styles | N   | Mean | SD  |
|------------------------|-----|------|-----|
| Transformational       | 193 | 3.63 | .79 |
| Passive-avoidant       | 219 | 2.34 | .87 |

The t-test was also conducted to look for significant differences in the two leadership styles of women identified in the study (i.e. transformational and passive-avoidant) in terms of five background variables of the participated employees: gender, age group, marital status, educational level, and job title. Results are summarized in Table 5. When the mean score of transformational leadership style was compared across the two different categories of each of the five dichotomous background variables, there were strong significant differences in this leadership style’s mean scores for the educational level (t = 2.976, df = 189, p = 0.003) and job title of employees (t = 4.216, df = 184, p = 0.000). Employees who have diploma and lower (mean=3.87) and work as administrative staff (mean=3.83) perceived that their female managers frequently if not always displayed transformational leadership style while employees who have bachelor and higher degrees (mean=3.52) and work as non-administrative staff (mean=3.36) perceived that their female managers fairly often displayed this leadership style. No significant differences in the transformational leadership style can be related to the gender, age group, and marital status of participated employees. On the other hand, no significant differences in the passive-avoidant leadership style can be related to the five background variables of the participated employees.

Table 5
Comparison of means & t-values of the identified leadership styles in terms of five background variables of the participated employees

| Transformational leadership style | N   | Mean | SD  | T     | df  | P  |
|----------------------------------|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|----|
| Male                             | 40  | 3.73 | .841| 1.004 | 190 | .317|
| Female                           | 152 | 3.59 | .766|       |     |    |
| 30 & below                       | 95  | 3.69 | .798| 1.124 | 191 | .262|
| 31 & above                       | 98  | 3.57 | .770|       |     |    |
| Single                           | 75  | 3.65 | .714| .595  | 174 | .553|
| Married                          | 101 | 3.59 | .796|       |     |    |
| Diploma & lower                  | 66  | 3.87 | .799| 2.976 | 189 | .003*|
| Bachelor & higher                | 125 | 3.52 | .753|       |     |    |
| Admin. Staff                     | 107 | 3.83 | .750| 4.216 | 184 | .000*|
| Non-Admin. Staff                 | 79  | 3.36 | .758|       |     |    |

| Passive-avoidant leadership style | N   | Mean | SD  | T     | df  | P  |
|-----------------------------------|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|----|
| Male                             | 46  | 2.29 | .950| -.372-| 216 | .711|
| Female                           | 172 | 2.34 | .826|       |     |    |
| 30 & below                       | 107 | 2.29 | .949| -.883-| 215 | .378|
| 31 & above                       | 110 | 2.39 | .722|       |     |    |
| Single                           | 85  | 2.23 | .769| -1.284-| 198 | .201|
| Married                          | 115 | 2.38 | .855|       |     |    |
| Diploma & lower                  | 77  | 2.31 | .918| -.152-| 214 | .880|
| Bachelor & higher                | 139 | 2.33 | .839|       |     |    |
| Admin. Staff                     | 118 | 2.33 | .970| .078  | 209 | .938|
| Non-Admin. Staff                 | 93  | 2.33 | .734|       |     |    |

4. Discussion
According to the findings of the study, women in the public sector in Kuwait frequently if not always displayed the following leadership styles: inspirational motivation, Idealized influence (attribute), Contingent reward, and Intellectual stimulation. This means that women leaders motivate, encourage their subordinates through highlighting the importance of the individual’s input to achieve desired goals. On the other hand, women fairly often displayed three leadership styles: management by exception (active), Idealized influence (behavior), and Individualized consideration. While women occasionally displayed two leadership styles: management by exception (passive), and Laissez-faire which imply that women leaders believes in the freedom of their employees in making decisions.

The most dominant style among female managers in Kuwait was the transformational leadership style. This indicates that women leaders possess integrity, set a good example and excel in achieving communication within all levels. They also expect the best from their followers, and inspire them to elevate the interest of the team over their own. This result is consistent with previous studies which found that the transformational leadership style is highly displayed by women.
The study found that the employees' educational level and job title of impacted on how these employees perceive the transformational leadership style of their female managers in the public sector in Kuwait. Employees who have diploma and lower and work as administrative staff perceived that their female managers frequently if not always displayed transformational leadership style while employees who have bachelor and higher degrees and work as non-administrative staff perceived that their female managers fairly often displayed this leadership style. However, the study found that the employees' gender, age group, and marital status did not impact on how these employees perceive the transformational leadership style of their female managers. For the passive avoidant leadership style, employees perceived that their female managers occasionally displayed this leadership style regardless of their gender, age group, marital status, educational level and job title.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

Much has been written about different leadership styles in the world in general and in Kuwait in particular. However, to the best of our knowledge, little has been devoted to focus on women’s leadership styles in particular especially in Kuwait. The aim of this study was twofold: (i) to identify the different leadership styles of women in the public sector in Kuwait, and (ii) to examine if the identified leadership styles of women vary in terms of the demographic characteristics of their subordinates. A quantitative approach (questionnaire with 263 employees) was conducted in order to achieve the study objectives. The results of the study showed that women in public sector in Kuwait frequently displayed various leadership styles as perceived by their followers such as inspirational motivation, idealized influence (attribute) styles. Some other styles were displayed fairly often such as management by exception (active), idealized influence (behavior). Moreover, the dominated leadership styles that displayed frequently by women was the transformational style. Furthermore, the study found that the transformational leadership style of women varied in terms of the educational level and job title of their followers. The transformational leadership style of women was displayed frequently as perceived by employees who have diploma and lower and work as administrative staff while it was displayed fairly often as perceived by employees who have bachelor and higher degrees and work as non-administrative staff.

In light of the above results, the following recommendations may improve the effectiveness of women leadership in the public sector:

First, this study is beneficial to the leaders in public sector, because many problems arise only from the leader’s attitude displayed to his/her employees.

Second, one of the main findings of the study had showed that female leaders in the public sector in Kuwait displayed several leadership styles. Therefore, this study recommend that the public sector may demonstrate the adaptive leadership style accordingly taking into consideration the rapidly changing work nature, culture, code of conduct, and ethics. The results of the study could help to shape how female managers interact with their subordinates in making a suitable work environment. Transformational leadership style could create the most motivated employees who can make substantial changes to the public sector. Transformational leadership also concerns a focused attempt to produce awareness in the organization while seeking to increase the confidence of the employees (Caillier, 2014).

Third, it has been concluded that female leaders have displayed the transformational leadership style more frequently than the other leadership styles. Therefore, female leaders who adopt the transformational leadership style may maintain it in the working place and train other female leaders as it had seen to be more efficient and effective. Transformational leadership had a positive impact on followers. Transformational leadership involves motivating followers to commit to shared targets, challenging followers to be innovative problem solvers, and developing followers’ leadership capacity through coaching, mentoring, and the provision of challenge and support (Bass and Avolio, 2004). When an employee perceives support from organizational leaders, his or her individual sense of accomplishment and contributions to the organization improves (Hamid and D'Silva, 2014). In this regard, workers who received organizational support were effectively inclined to work hard (Hamid and D'Silva, 2014).

Finally, the findings may become a source of information for the academic debate on how transformational leadership is relevant to women leadership styles in public sector in Kuwait.
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