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Analysis of Cracks in Welded Joints of Pipes with Eyes made of S890QL1 Steel

**Abstract:** The analysis presented in the article was concerned with cracks in MAG (135) robotic welded joints of pipes with eyes made of S890QL1 steel and constituting components of the latticework of self-propelled cranes. The text also presents results of tests concerning chemical composition as well as mechanical and metallographic properties of base materials and welded joints. The article contains an assessment of radial and longitudinal cracks of joints based on fractographic tests of cracks and impact-test specimens. The text also discusses the effect of welding process thermal conditions on joint properties. Test results were referred to the theory of hydrogen-induced cracking (mechanism) according to Professor E. Tasak.
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The research-related tests involved MAG (135) robotic welded joints of pipes with eyes constituting components of the latticework of self-propelled cranes.

The tests aimed to define reasons for the sporadic formation of cracks in joints.

**Characteristics of joint elements:**
- pipe material: S890QL1 steel – rolled element
- eye material: S890QL1 steel – forged element
- pipe dimensions: \( \phi 219.1 \times 28 \text{ mm} \)

**Welding process characteristics:**
- electrode wire grade and diameter: G Mn4Ni2-CrMo (Thyssen Union X90); \( \phi 1.2 \text{ mm} \)
- shielding gas and its flow rate: \( \text{M}21; 15 \text{ l/min} \)
- welding position: PA
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- welding current and arc voltage: 260 A; 26 V
- arc linear energy: 16 kJ/cm
- time $t_{8-5}$: 11 sec.
- pre-heating temperature: 180°C
- interpass temperature: 200°C

**Welded joint cracks**

Penetrant tests of welded joints performed by the manufacturer revealed the presence of cracks, presented in Figure 3.

The obtainment of complete information regarding generated cracks required performing magnetic particle tests not only of radial cracks (i.e. cracks on the weld perimeter) but also of longitudinal cracks (i.e. present along the weld, in the cross-sections of the weld). The longitudinal cracks were perpendicular to the radial cracks. The cracks were present in the upper area of the weld. The cracks in transverse metallographic specimens are presented on Figure 4.

**Material tests of the pipe, eye and weld**

Table 1. Chemical composition of welded elements and of the weld (spectral analysis)

| Element | C  | Mn | Si  | P   | S   | Cu  | Cr  | Ni  | Mo  | Al  | B   |
|---------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Eye     | 0.16 | 0.99 | 0.25 | 0.012 | 0.003 | 0.17 | 1.25 | 2.18 | 0.33 | 0.025 | 0.0008 |
| Pipe    | 0.16 | 1.50 | 0.30 | 0.020 | 0.003 | 0.11 | 0.59 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.042 | 0.0005 |
| Weld    | 0.11 | 1.50 | 0.64 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.10 | 0.45 | 2.51 | 0.58 | 0.004 | 0.0006 |

Table 2. Mechanical properties of welded elements materials (round specimens parallel to the axes of elements)

| Designation | $R_{el}$ [MPa] | $R_m$ [MPa] | $A_5$ [%] | $Z$ [%] | KV (-400°C) [J] | HV10 |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|---------|----------------|------|
| Pipe        | 890            | 963         | 19.5      | 70      | 36             | 300  |
| Eye         | 1020           | 1090        | 18        | 65      | 110            | 343  |

The pipe material revealed a martensitic structure, whereas the eye material revealed a martensitic structure with locally present bainite and possible traces of ferrite.

![Fig. 5. Microstructures of the pipe and eye. Etchant: Nital](image)
Welded joint hardness (HV10)

Table 3. Welded joint hardness results

| Eye | HAZ 1 | Weld | HAZ 2 | Pipe |
|-----|-------|------|-------|------|
|     | 1     | 2    | 3     | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14   | 15   |
| A   | 345   | 336  | 348   | 442  | 409  | 405  | 306  | 345  | 342  | 342  | 387  | 322  | 297  | 297  | 304  |
| B   | 330   | 317  | 327   | 376  | 383  | 309  | 309  | 304  | 333  | 322  | 309  | 304  | 299  | 299  | 304  |
| C   | 312   | 325  | 317   | 363  | 394  | 373  | 348  | 314  | 330  | 345  | 317  | 292  | 304  | 304  | 304  |

The hardness of the joint metal in its various areas, apart from the HAZ between the eye and the weld (363-442 HV), is similar and relatively low (300-350 HV), in this respect, due to the conditions of the mutual thermal tempering of individual runs. The hardness test results satisfy the requirements of PN-EN ISO 15614-1 (i.e. max. 450 HV for S890QL1 steel).

**Radial cracks**

Table 4. Hardness results (HV10) in the crack area and, for comparison, hardness of weld metal and HAZ

| Hardness in measurement point | Weld | HAZ (pipe) | Crack |
|------------------------------|------|------------|-------|
|                              | 1    | 2          | 3     | 4    | 5 | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13   | 14   | 15   |
|                              | 317  | 306        | 322   | 348  | 322| 309  | 390  | 401  | 390  | 322  | 294  | 292  | 333  | 289  | 309  |

In the direct crack area, the hardness of the metal is relatively low (289-333 HV) and comparable with the hardness of the weld (306-348 HV). Presented below is a radial crack in the cross-section along the weld – S1 and from top view – S2.
In the crack area in the weld, there are interchangeably present bright martensite fields (dominant) and dark fields containing bainite + ferrite. The zigzag cracks are perpendicular to these fields.

Fractures of radial cracks
The figure below presents a part of the weld cut on the circumference (thickness of approximately 5 mm) with cracks and their characteristic fractures, i.e. brittle and strain-free.

Fractography of radial cracks
Fractographic and microscopic tests involving the areas of radial cracks and of impact test specimen fractures were performed using a scanning electron microscope.

Figure 9 presents the results of tests involving the surfaces of the fractures of two various radial cracks. Plastic fractures dominated in both cases, yet it was also possible to observe locally present brittle fractures. On the surface of the fractures it was possible to notice the dendritic structure of successive layers of the weld.

The surfaces of the radial crack fractures revealed the presence of elongated cracks perpendicular to the radial cracks.

Elongated cracks
Figure 11 presents a cross-section of the elongated crack “a” from top view.

Impact energy (welded joint)
Table 5 presents hardness test results (impact energy) determined for the weld material and the toe angle material (between the weld and
the pipe) (specimen sampled 2 mm under the surface). Unexpectedly, two out of the three specimens failed outside the notch ($KCV=28$ J), which could imply the presence of cracks in the weld outside the notch.

**Impact tests (additional tests)**

The unexpected results of impact strength tests (Fig. 12) were the reason for the continuation of tests using the joints of pipes.

| Specimen no. | Testing temperature [°C] | Notch location* | $a_0b_0$ [mm] | $S_0$ [mm²] | Impact energy $KV$ [J] | Fracture location | Fracture type |
|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| 1            | -40                      | W               | 8.0 x 10.0    | 80          | 28                   | S               | brittle      |
| 2            | -40                      | W               | 8.0 x 10.0    | 80          | 124                  | S               | plastic      |
| 3            | -40                      | W               | 8.0 x 10.0    | 80          | 28                   | S               | brittle      |
| 4            | -40                      | H               | 8.0 x 10.0    | 80          | 128                  | H               | plastic      |
| 5            | -40                      | H               | 8.0 x 10.0    | 80          | 138                  | H               | plastic      |
| 6            | -40                      | H               | 8.0 x 10.0    | 80          | 122                  | H               | plastic      |

* W= weld, H= HAZ

Figure 13 presents the sampling and designation of specimens.

**Impact test specimen GS-1 ($KCV – 114$ J)**

The specimen fractured in the notch. The specimen had a crack which, as a result of the fracture, opened partly. Figure 14 presents the macrophotography of specimen GS-1, whereas Figures 15 and 16 present the fractography of the fracture of this specimen. The surface of the fracture revealed the presence of brittle fracture – area “A” and plastic fracture – area “B”.

Figure 17 presents how the cracks in the impact test specimens penetrated their side surfaces.
The character of these cracks, for the sake of simplification referred to as “side cracks”, was also subjected to appropriate analysis. To this end, it was necessary to make fractures of the side surface of the specimen performing macro and, also sometimes, micro observations. The edge of the fracture of specimen GS-1 revealed the presence of both brittle and plastic fracture (Fig. 18).

Microscopic examinations of the crack area (Fig. 19) revealed the following: a) – structure of bainite and martensite; b) - mechanical deformation of the structure; c, d) – crack along grain boundaries.

Fractographic tests revealed the presence (on the surface of the fracture) of an increased amount of brittle fracture both along grain boundaries and along boundaries of dendrites (Fig. 20 and 21) formed during the solidification of the weld. A side metallographic specimen – the shape of the upper edge is characteristic of a brittle fracture along grain boundaries (Fig. 22). Etching revealed the propagation of cracks along grain boundaries.

Impact test specimen GS-2 (KCV – 12J)

The specimen fractured outside the notch. The fracture was cleavable and the specimen was strain-free.

Visible bridges indicating a hot crack

Fig. 17. Cracks on the side surface of the impact test specimen

Fig. 18. Edge of the fracture of impact test specimen GS-1 with a crack

Fig. 19. Metal structure and crack edge in specimen GS-1

Fig. 20. Fracture of specimen GS-2 containing brittle – a) and plastic – b) area

Fig. 21. Fracture along dendrites and grain boundaries with cracks between them

Fig. 22. Bainitic structure with visible grain boundaries
Impact test specimen DX (KCV – 54J)
The side surface of the impact test specimen revealed the intercrystalline character of the crack (Fig. 24) (metal structure – bainite).

Impact test specimen GX (KCV- 68J)
A fracture similar to that of specimen DX was also a slight crack: structure – bainite with precipitates of, probably carbides, along grain boundaries and slight amounts of tempered martensite.

On the side of the metallographic specimen of specimen DX there was an intercrystalline crack.

Technological tests (additional)
Anticipating the effect of welding thermal conditions on the crack susceptibility of joints, it was necessary to make three specimens of welded joints utilising only pipes (diameter and thickness: 219 × 28 mm) made of S890QL1 steel and using similar current parameters but different welding thermal conditions resulting from the adoption of various preheating and interpass temperatures. The tests and related specimen were designated as follows:
- A: hot welding test;
- B: cold welding test;
- C: normal welding test.

• Filler metal: root layer – G4Si1;
• filling – GMn4Ni2CrMo (Thyssen Union x90).
• wire diameter – 1.2 mm;
• shielding gas – M21;
• current type and polarity – DC+.

Fig. 23. Macrophotography of the specimen fracture (a, b) with a fractographic illustration: c – primarily plastic fracture; d – at the central part – mixed fracture

Fig. 24. Crack on the side surface of the impact test specimen

Fig. 25. Crack on the side metallographic specimen – a, b; upper edge of the specimen – c; a crack in the bainite area – d; bainite with precipitates of, probably carbides, along grain boundaries – e
Analysis of cracks in welded joints

The determination of crack formation in the welded joints of pipes and eyes made of S890QL1 steel required the analysis of more important crack-formation-related issues.

Location and types of cracks in welded joints

On the basis of the cross-sections of joints and magnetic particle tests it was possible to detect radial cracks across the weld and longitudinal cracks located mainly near the weld axis (Fig. 3 and 4). In turn, analyses of the surfaces of impact test specimen fractures revealed cracks at various angles yet perpendicular to the fracture and present on the side surface of the specimen. Therefore, it could be concluded that cracks had been already present in the joint. All of the cracks observed in the impact test specimens were transverse in relation to the girth weld. The estimated number of the radial cracks was significantly greater than that of the longitudinal cracks.

Characteristics of cracks

The determination of crack types, i.e. hot, cold or post-weld cold, is of great importance due...
Table 8. Hardness of joints

Test A (hot welding)

|     | G   | H   | S   | H   | G   |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1   | 301 | 304 | 303 | 265 | 290 |
| 2   | 297 | 280 | 292 | 274 | 294 |
| 3   | 240 | 230 | 229 | 228 | 227 |
| 4   | 226 | 225 | 224 | 223 | 222 |
| 5   | 221 | 220 | 219 | 218 | 217 |
| 6   | 216 | 215 | 214 | 213 | 212 |
| 7   | 211 | 210 | 209 | 208 | 207 |
| 8   | 206 | 205 | 204 | 203 | 202 |
| 9   | 201 | 200 | 199 | 198 | 197 |
| 10  | 196 | 195 | 194 | 193 | 192 |
| 11  | 191 | 190 | 189 | 188 | 187 |
| 12  | 186 | 185 | 184 | 183 | 182 |
| 13  | 181 | 180 | 179 | 178 | 177 |
| 14  | 176 | 175 | 174 | 173 | 172 |
| 15  | 171 | 170 | 169 | 168 | 167 |

Test B (cold welding)

|     | G   | H   | S   | H   | G   |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1   | 293 | 293 | 289 | 294 | 354 |
| 2   | 346 | 345 | 367 | 321 | 327 |
| 3   | 326 | 325 | 319 | 318 | 317 |
| 4   | 316 | 315 | 314 | 313 | 312 |
| 5   | 311 | 310 | 309 | 308 | 307 |
| 6   | 306 | 305 | 304 | 303 | 302 |
| 7   | 301 | 300 | 299 | 298 | 297 |
| 8   | 296 | 295 | 294 | 293 | 292 |
| 9   | 291 | 290 | 289 | 288 | 287 |
| 10  | 286 | 285 | 284 | 283 | 282 |
| 11  | 281 | 280 | 279 | 278 | 277 |
| 12  | 276 | 275 | 274 | 273 | 272 |
| 13  | 271 | 270 | 269 | 268 | 267 |
| 14  | 266 | 265 | 264 | 263 | 262 |
| 15  | 261 | 260 | 259 | 258 | 257 |

Test C (medium conditions)

|     | G   | H   | S   | H   | G   |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1   | 299 | 300 | 302 | 304 | 314 |
| 2   | 322 | 299 | 317 | 316 | 337 |
| 3   | 316 | 315 | 314 | 313 | 312 |
| 4   | 311 | 310 | 309 | 308 | 307 |
| 5   | 306 | 305 | 304 | 303 | 302 |
| 6   | 301 | 299 | 298 | 297 | 296 |
| 7   | 295 | 294 | 293 | 292 | 291 |
| 8   | 290 | 289 | 288 | 287 | 286 |
| 9   | 285 | 284 | 283 | 282 | 281 |
| 10  | 280 | 279 | 278 | 277 | 276 |
| 11  | 275 | 274 | 273 | 272 | 271 |
| 12  | 270 | 269 | 268 | 267 | 266 |
| 13  | 265 | 264 | 263 | 262 | 261 |
| 14  | 260 | 259 | 258 | 257 | 256 |
| 15  | 255 | 254 | 253 | 252 | 251 |

Microstructure of joints (etchant: Nital)

Specimen A

Mag. 200 × Base material. S890QL1 steel. Joint thickness centre. Tempered martensite.

Mag. 500 × Toe angle zone. Joint thickness centre. On the left – the weld. On the right - HAZ, mixture of martensite and bainite

Mag. 50 × Weld – another area. Joint thickness centre. mixture of martensite, bainite and ferrite

Specimen B

Mag. 200 × Base material. S890QL1 steel. Joint thickness centre. Tempered martensite.

Mag. 500 × Toe angle zone. Joint thickness centre. On the left – the weld. On the right - HAZ, martensite (little ferrite)

Mag. 50 × Weld – another area. Joint thickness centre. mixture of martensite, bainite and ferrite

Fig. 27. Microstructures of joints made using various preheating and interpass temperatures
to the possibility of implementing appropriate crack-preventing technological and structural procedures.

**Radial cracks**

The photographs of metallographic specimens present etched and unetched radial cracks (Fig. 3, 6, 7). The cracks zigzag and consist of straight segments perpendicular to interchangeably present fields of martensite and bainite. The fractures and the edges of these cracks reveal the presence of brittle and plastic fracture elements, whereas the cracks themselves are intercrystalline (transcrystalline course is difficult to assess). The material in the area of cracks (examined in the fractographic part) is mainly composed of bainite or tempered martensite of a relatively low hardness (290-300 HV). For this reason, the crack formation should be ascribed not to hardening structures but welding stresses and the negative effect of hydrogen.

**Longitudinal cracks** were observed on the surface of the transverse metallographic specimens of the joints and on the surface of fractographically examined radial cracks (Fig. 10, 11). The cracks observed were predominantly vertical in the weld axis and, rarely, horizontal. In several cases, the material ductility in the weld axis, verified by hardness tests, proved very low. It should be noted that hardness values of the weld centre were significantly lower than those determined in the HAZ of the joints.

**Fractures of impact test specimens**

In many cases, these fractures revealed the following:

- cracks skew or perpendicular to the specimen side surface; the cracks were obtuse during the impact strength tests (Fig. 14, 20, 23);
- in the fractographic tests:
  - clearly visible intercrystalline (often “stony”) character (Fig. 15, 21),
  - visible gaps (cracks) between the crystallites implying hydrogen-induced hot cracks (Fig. 21) [1].

**Cracks on side surfaces of impact test specimens**

In one case (specimen GS2, Fig. 20), a crack was parallel to the specimen fracture surface (along the weld) and the fractography confirmed the hot character of the crack.

In the remaining cases, all of the cracks were transverse in relation to the weld. Although they were similar to radial cracks, their character was different. Visually, they were cracks with the so-called “moustache”, in a straight form and with edges (often with “flourishes”) revealing their intercrystalline character (Fig. 6, 7, 11, 19, 24, 25). These cracks were not subjected to fractographic tests. Near these cracks, areas of metal plastic strains were observed.

**Welding stresses – metal ductility**

In terms of both hot and cold cracks, stresses are primary crack-formation factors. The shrinkage of welds and structural (martensitic) transformations generate compressive and tensile stresses, which in a material of lower ductility can lead to the formation of cracks (due to the volumetric state of tensile stresses).

In order to reduce welding stresses it is necessary to ensure the following:

- joints should be made using medium-thickness runs,
- beveling of elements being welded should be modified; in particular, it is necessary to decrease the edge gap from 10 mm to 4 mm (Fig. 1a).

**Temperature of elements being welded**

As regards the direct effect on cracking, the moderate heating of elements before and during welding is advantageous (hardening structures, stresses). However, overheating leads to the loss of ductility. In Germany, similar elements we welded in position PC, i.e. with reduced arc energy and possible pauses for cooling (semiautomatic welding), and the result of welding is positive. This can be adopted as one of reasons for the lack of cracks. The study-related impact
strength tests of “hot-made” and “cold-made” welds revealed only slightly better results in the second case, not satisfactory in relation to crack susceptibility reduction. As regards the strength of joints made of S890QL1 steel, the heating of elements during welding should be restricted. The adopted welding temperature-related values were the following:
- preheating temperature – 160°C,
- interpass temperature – do 250°C.

**Hydrogen issue – mechanism of hydrogen-induced cracking**

Until recently, hydrogen has been regarded as the reason for the generation of cold cracks during and after welding. However, hydrogen also favours hot crack generation. The mechanism of such cracking proposed by Prof. E. Tasak [1] is illustrated in Figures 28 and 29.

During solidification, released hydrogen forms a gas layer between crystallites preventing their entire contact. Further diffusion of hydrogen (already in the solid-state) is accompanied by an increase in pressure and the formation of hot cracks. The cracks are thus “containers of hydrogen”, which, when diffusing towards the Heat Affected Zone are responsible for the formation of (post-weld) cold cracks.

Hydrogen-induced cracks could be observed both along grain boundaries and across grains. In the HAZ, cold cracking was inter and transcrytalline in nature, whereas in the base material outside the HAZ, it was brittle transcrytalline.

**Conclusions**

1. The cracks present in the joint of the pipe with the eye (radial) were cold intercrystalline cracks, whereas longitudinal cracks were hot cracks. Such an assessment is justified due to the following reasons:
- shapes of cracks – with edges and tucks;
- intercrystalline character of cracks revealed by fractographic tests and courses of cracks,
- bridges visible on fractures of longitudinal cracks.

2. Hydrogen is a crack-inducing factor. In this respect, the hot crack mechanism triggered by excess hydrogen and presented by Prof. E. Tasak is reliable. In this case it is stated that longitudinal cracks are hot cracks, whereas transverse...
(radial) cracks are cold cracks. For this reason, the manufacturer approached the issue of hydrogen very rigorously by introducing the following measures:
- increased cleaning of elements being welded (machining, sand-blast cleaning, chemical cleaning),
- checking the humidity of shielding gas,
- checking the humidity of air in the workshop,
- induction heating of elements,
- slowing down the post-weld cooling of elements – thermal insulation hoods.

3. Tensile welding stresses generate crack formation particularly easily in brittle hydrogen-saturated materials. In order to reduce welding stresses it is recommended that the following be considered:
- joints should be made using medium-thickness runs,
- bevelling of elements being welded should be modified; in particular, it was necessary to decrease the edge gap from 10 mm to 4 mm.

4. Since the implementation of the manufacturer-recommended process-related modifications, the formation of cracks has not been observed for 2 to 3 years.

References
[1] Tasak E., Ziewiec A.: Spawalność materiałów konstrukcyjnych. vol. 1: Spawalność stali. Kraków, 2009