peridomestic infestation with *T. infestans* (35% and 21%, respectively), including urban infestation (9). Mendoza (not in our database) had considerable domestic and peridomestic infestations (both 7%), and San Luis (0.5% and 5.3%, respectively) and Rio Negro (both <0.1%) had low infestations in 2001 (4) and thereafter (C. Spellmann, unpub. data). Bolivia, Salta, and Jujuy are excluded as potential sources of the bug because haplotypes closely related to haplotype x were not found in these places. Active dispersal from a local source can be ruled out because there is no precedent for *T. infestans* in Comodoro Rivadavia, and the mean temperature in June (8°C) is below the known threshold for flight initiation (23°C) (10).

Our results show that molecular phylogenetics can identify passive transport of insects into areas where a disease is not endemic and rule out putative sources supported only by circumstantial evidence. Our findings reinforce the need for sustained and coordinated vector surveillance and control at a regional level (3).
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Serologic Survey of Hantavirus Infection, Brazilian Amazon

To the Editor: Since the etiology of hantavirus cardiopulmonary syndrome (HCPS) was recognized in 1993 in the United States (1), various hantaviruses have been associated with the syndrome in South America (2,3). Depending on the viral genotype involved, hantavirus infection can take a wide variety of forms, from asymptomatic or oligosymptomatic to the classic clinical form (4,5).

The first cases of HCPS in Brazil were reported in the state of São Paulo in 1993 (6,7). In 2000, an outbreak of HCPS was reported in the municipality of Anajatuba in the state of Maranhão in the Maranhão western
lowlands, a microregion in the Brazilian Amazon (8, 9). An ecologic study in this region identified antibodies against hantaviruses in wild rodents (Oligoryzomys fornesi and Holochilus sciureus). Analysis of RNA from the viruses isolated from these 2 rodent species showed 2 new hantaviruses, which were named Anajatuba and Rio Mearim, respectively (10).

The Maranhão western lowlands is a swampy region that consists of 21 municipalities and ≈400,000 inhabitants. A cross-sectional study was conducted from August 2004 through September 2006 to identify exposures and activities associated with hantavirus infection. A convenience sample comprising 6 of the 21 municipalities in the region was selected: Pinheiro in the south, São Bento and São João Batista in the east, and Penalva and Viana in the west. In each municipality, a village in the countryside was randomly chosen. All members of those communities were invited to participate. Persons who agreed to take part in the study were interviewed, and a standardized questionnaire was used to collect demographic information. Participants provided blood samples after giving written informed consent. ELISA to detect immunoglobulin (Ig) G antibodies against hantaviruses was performed in the Arbovirology and Hemorrhagic Fevers Department at the Evandro Chagas Institute (Belém, PA, Brazil) by using antigen from the Sin Nombre virus supplied by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Atlanta, GA, USA). Samples were initially screened at 1:100, and all positive results were confirmed in a serial dilution (starting at 1:400) test. Positive samples were those with titers ≥400 (10).

Odds ratios (ORs) were estimated by logistic regression. Variables with p<0.20 in the univariate analysis were subjected to multivariate analysis. Our study comprised 1,386 persons. The seroprevalence of antihantavirus antibodies in the 6 municipalities was 4.7% (65/1,389), distributed as follows: São João Batista, 2.6% (8/307); São Bento, 3.1% (6/195); Penalva, 3.5% (5/145); Pinheiro, 4.8% (13/273); Viana, 5.6% (9/160); and Vitória do Mearim, 7.8% (24/309). Most persons interviewed were farmers and illiterate and lived in rammed-earth huts. Killing rats in the fields was reported by 24.3% (337/1,389). According to univariate analysis, seeing rats in the dwelling or in the field, having killed rats in the dwelling or in the fields, being a farmer, being male, and being ≥20 years of age were associated with IgG against hantaviruses. No association was found between storage of grain inside a dwelling or presence of natural predators of rodents, e.g., domestic cats and snakes such as the red-tailed boa, and antibodies against hantaviruses (Table).

| Possible risk factor                        | SNV IgG positive, no. (%) | SNV IgG negative, no. (%) | OR (95% CI) | p value |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Storing grain in the house                 | 38 (58.5)                 | 774 (58.5)                | 1.00 (0.60–1.66) | 0.999   |
| Sweeping the house                         | 53 (81.5)                 | 1176 (88.8)               | 0.56 (0.29–1.06) | 0.076   |
| Seeing rats in the dwelling                 | 47 (72.3)                 | 742 (56.0)                | 2.05 (1.18–3.56) | 0.011   |
| Seeing rats in the fields                  | 41 (63.1)                 | 618 (46.7)                | 1.95 (1.17–3.27) | 0.011   |
| Having killed rats in the dwelling          | 46 (70.8)                 | 686 (51.8)                | 2.25 (1.31–3.88) | 0.004   |
| Having killed rats in the fields            | 29 (44.6)                 | 308 (23.3)                | 2.66 (1.60–4.40) | <0.001  |
| Being bitten by a rat                       | 5 (7.7)                   | 46 (3.5)                  | 2.32 (0.89–6.04) | 0.086   |
| Seeing rat feces in the dwelling            | 34 (52.3)                 | 609 (46.0)                | 1.29 (0.78–2.12) | 0.320   |
| Being a farmer                             | 40 (61.5)                 | 467 (35.3)                | 2.94 (1.76–4.90) | <0.001  |
| Using rat meat as fishing bait              | 1 (1.5)                   | 13 (1.0)                  | 1.58 (0.20–12.2) | 0.664   |
| Seeing buffaloes in the fields              | 26 (40.0)                 | 518 (39.1)                | 1.04 (0.62–1.72) | 0.888   |
| Keeping a snake in the house                | 0                         | 27 (2.0)                  | Not calculated | 0.245   |
| Keeping a cat in the house                 | 33 (50.8)                 | 689 (52.0)                | 0.95 (0.58–1.56) | 0.841   |
| Keeping a dog in the house                 | 39 (60.0)                 | 890 (67.2)                | 0.73 (0.44–1.22) | 0.229   |
| Living in a dwelling close to the fields    | 47 (72.3)                 | 686 (65.6)                | 1.37 (0.79–2.39) | 0.264   |
| Living near fruit trees                     | 40 (61.5)                 | 829 (62.6)                | 0.96 (0.57–1.59) | 0.861   |
| Living near the forest                      | 29 (44.6)                 | 599 (45.2)                | 0.98 (0.59–1.61) | 0.921   |
| Living in a house >300 m from the fields    | 23 (35.4)                 | 477 (36.0)                | 0.97 (0.68–1.64) | 0.916   |
| Bathing in flooded fields                   | 27 (41.5)                 | 429 (32.4)                | 1.48 (0.89–2.46) | 0.128   |
| Fishing in flooded fields                   | 43 (66.2)                 | 797 (60.2)                | 1.29 (0.76–2.19) | 0.339   |
| Being age >20–29 y                          | 12 (18.5)                 | 266 (20.1)                | 3.71 (2.04–26.1) | 0.002   |
| Being age 30–39 y                           | 8 (12.3)                  | 226 (17.1)                | 5.73 (1.51–21.8) | 0.010   |
| Being age ≥40 y                             | 42 (64.6)                 | 346 (26.1)                | 19.7 (6.05–64.0) | <0.001  |
| Being male                                 | 38 (58.5)                 | 590 (44.6)                | 1.75 (1.06–2.90) | 0.030   |

*SNV, Sin Nombre virus; Ig, immunoglobulin; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
age and having killed rats in the field remained associated with hantavirus infection. In the model that did not consider sex and age, being a farmer (OR 2.63, 95% CI 1.56%–4.41%) and having killed rats in the field (OR 2.30, 95% CI 1.38%–3.84%) were independently associated with hantavirus infection.

Hantavirus infection is endemic to the Maranhão western lowlands. It has characteristics of an occupational infection associated with agricultural work, but age mostly explained the effect of being a farmer (OR for being a farmer 1.29, 95% CI 0.74%–2.24%, adjusted for sex and age). Exposure to rodents appeared to occur both in fields and dwellings.

Although serologic evidence indicated that hantviruses were circulating in the 6 municipalities studied, no cases of HCPS were reported in any of them. This finding suggests that either only mild or asymptomatic cases were occurring and that these were not recognized as HCPS or that cases of hantavirus were not being detected and reported. However, in a study in 2000 in Anajatuba in a cohort of 234 persons who did not initially have IgG against hantviruses, 4 seroconversions to IgG against these viruses were detected; 2 of these infections were asymptomatic and 2 were self-limiting febrile illnesses that occurred after 24 months of follow-up (9). The present study reinforces the suspicion that mild or atypical cases are occurring in this region and may be the main reason classical hantavirus infection has not been identified.
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