Promotion of Coconut Shell Gasification by Steam Reforming on Nickel-Dolomite
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Abstract: Biomass gasification by the use of metallic nickel as active metal on dolomite support has been chosen as catalyst because of its activity in biomass steam gasification and tar reduction. The purpose of this study is to study the effects of critical parameters on product gas compositions such as temperature, steam to carbon ratio (S/C) and oxygen input. The results showed the increasing carbon conversion to gas from 44.13-78.43% whereas tar was decreased from 19.55-1.4% at temperature of 800°C and S/C 0.95. It is found that Nickel-dolomite is effective for tar reduction and for improving the quality of syngas derived from biomass which is a renewable energy source.
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INTRODUCTION

Gasification of biomass is a potential source of renewable energy to produce useful gases such as syn gas or pure hydrogen. One of the major issues in biomass gasification is how to deal with the tar formed during the process. Tar is a complex mixture of condensable hydrogen which includes single ring to five ring aromatic compounds along with other oxygen containing hydrocarbons and complex PAH. Tar can be eliminated by thermal cracking or by the use of a catalyst. The catalytic gasification process is an attractive technological alternative to deal with tar and to produce high yield of syn gas. Steam is one of the most commonly used gasification agents because a high percentage of hydrogen can be obtained during the process. Many researchers have proved the usefulness and effectiveness of calcined dolomite and nickel based steam reforming catalysts on decreasing tar yield. The catalyst can increase the reaction rate of the steam and can participate in the secondary reactions. Therefore, the catalyst improves the quality of the gas product and reduces tar content in the process. Besides adding active bed materials also prevents agglomeration tendencies and subsequent coking of the bed. Nickel and dolomite catalysts have been proven to be very active in terms of tar reduction and it shows excellent catalytic activity, resistance of coking and sulfur poisoning. In this experiment, investigations were carried out to determine the efficiency of steam reforming nickel catalyst support on dolomite in a fluidized bed gasifier and to study the effects of some operating parameters on product gas compositions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Feed material: Coconut shell (Thailand) was used as the feedstock with size range 0.75-1.0 mm. The proximate and ultimate analyses of biomass as follows: Moisture 10.53%, fixed carbon 13.10%, volatile matter 57.96% and ash 18.4%. The ultimate analysis was C 46.01%, H 6.04%, N 0.19% and O 47.75%.

Apparatus: The experimental set up, shown in Fig. 1, consists of six main parts: (i) a fluidized bed reactor (ii) biomass feeding section (iii) steam generator and preheating section (iv) cooling section (v) tar collector (vi) gas analysis section. Experiments were carried out in a fluidized bed gasifier with height of 92 cm and fluidized bed diameter of 10 cm. The cylindrical stainless steel reactor was located inside an electric furnace and controlled by electric heater. At the start up of experimental run, the reactor was charged with 10 g Ni/dolomite catalyst as bed material and temperature in the catalytic bed was measured by thermocouple type K. Biomass was continuously fed from screw feeder with feed rate 0.5 g min⁻¹. Steam and nitrogen was used as gasifying medium. Water was pumped into the steam generator and flowed to the reactor entrance through a preheating line. When the bed temperature reached the desired level and become steady, the gas product exited...
Fig. 1: Catalytic biomass gasification process

the reactor. The gas was analyzed after the test run in a stable state. In this experiment, the nitrogen gas was used for fluidization. The minimum fluidization velocity was 12.85 cm sec$^{-1}$.

**Gas analysis:** During reaction, the gaseous product flowed out of the reactor, passed cooling section, moisture trap and finally, the gas filter for drying and cleaning. The exit gases were analyzed by an on-line gas chromatography (Model GC-2010, Shimadzu, Japan), which is fitted with Unibeads C column (3 m×3 mm ID) and TCD detectors with helium as carrier to detect mainly gas $\text{H}_2$, CO, $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{CH}_4$.

**Catalyst preparation:** Nickel-dolomite catalyst was prepared by precipitating of nickel nitrate hexahydrate and calcined dolomite with ammonium carbonate. The filtered catalyst was washed with hot water, dried and calcined to obtain catalysts containing Ni/dolomite. The detail of the preparation of catalyst is described in the previous paper by Srinakruang *et al.*\[^9\]. Before use all catalysts were reduced in $\text{H}_2$ at 700°C.

**Characterization of nickel-dolomite catalyst:** Catalyst surface area was measured by BET method with $\text{N}_2$. The Ni/dolomite catalyst was analyzed by using the Energy dispersive x-ray fluorescence spectrometer and X-Ray Diffractometer (XRD) at 30 kV, 30 mA Cu Kα radiation and scan speed 0.02° min$^{-1}$. The morphology of the catalysts was observed by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and TEM.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Characterization of nickel-dolomite:** The Nickel-dolomite catalyst contains 41.53 wt.% CaO, 14.04 wt.% NiO, 0.37 wt.% MgO, 0.25 wt.% $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and 0.8 wt.% $\text{SiO}_2$. The surface area of Ni/dolomite is 29.22 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. The XRD pattern of Ni/dolomite catalyst is presented in Fig. 2. The most particular area is situated at 2θ between 37.3 and 43.2° characteristic of the cubic NiO phase. It was observed as $\text{CaCO}_3$ at 2θ = 29.4°, MgO at 2θ = 43.1° and $\text{MgNiO}_2$ at 2θ = 43.1°.

The SEM of Nickel-dolomite catalyst in Fig. 3 showed porosity of calcined dolomite support and
Fig. 4: TEM image of reduced Nickel-dolomite catalyst deposition of spherical uniform grains of NiO which can be observed and shown covered on the surface of the support.

From Fig. 4, TEM image of Nickel-dolomite which NiO has been reduced into nickel (Ni(0)) form. Black small particle can be referred to Ni particles and average diameter is estimated between 4-8 nm which are observed on the plane of cubic dolomite support.

Effect of catalyst: The influence of catalytic gasification on product gas compositions by using Nickel-dolomite catalyst operated at temperature 800°C, S/C 0.95 and biomass feed rate 0.5 g min⁻¹. There is a tendency to increase the H₂ content from 22.68-38.74%, CO content from 32.31-35.72% and CO₂ content from 21.07-29.9% but CH₄ content decreases from 15.11-4.5% with the use of Nickel-dolomite catalyst. In the steam gasification process the presence of Nickel-dolomite causes a significant increase of the C_conv to gas from 44.13-78.43% and decreases in char content from 36.21-10.14% and tar content from 19.55-1.44% because of tar cracking and steam reforming of hydrocarbon. See following reactions;

\[
\text{C}_n\text{H}_m + n\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Ni/dolomite} \rightarrow n\text{CO} + \left(\frac{n + m}{2}\right)\text{H}_2 \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Tar} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2 \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \quad (3)
\]

Effect of temperature: Temperature is a crucial parameter for the overall biomass gasification process by varying from 600-800°C. Figure 5 shows that H₂ and CO increased with increasing temperature and decreased in CO₂ and CH₄ compositions. The suitable temperature at 800°C showed the best performance catalytic gasification of coconut shell. As temperature increased, more carbon and steam can be converted and favor the products in endothermic reactions (4). Therefore, a higher reforming temperature favor the conversion of tar and CH₄ into H₂ and CO and elimination of coke on catalyst decreased in CO₂ (5) and (6).

\[
\text{CH}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2 \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{C} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2 \quad (5)
\]

\[
\text{C} + \text{CO}_2 \leftrightarrow 2\text{CO} \quad (6)
\]

Effect of steam: The steam rate was varied from S/C ratio from 0.2-1.0 while keeping all other conditions constant. The result shows the effect of steam on gas product compositions at temperature 800°C in Fig. 6. It can be concluded that an increasing of steam feed results in higher H₂ formation, decreased in CO₂ and slightly decreased in CH₄ because of water gas-shift reaction and methane reforming.
Effect of oxygen addition: Oxygen was varies from 10-40 mL min$^{-1}$ at gasification temperature 800°C and S/C 0.95. The result showed that tendency of gas composition increased in CO and CO$_2$ and were stable because carbon was limiting reactant (7), (8) and (9) in Fig. 7. Hydrogen (H$_2$) decreased owing to shift reaction (10). Methane (CH$_4$) almost constant with increasing oxygen input. The reaction could be described below:

$$\text{C} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{CO} \quad (7)$$

$$2\text{C} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{CO} \quad (8)$$

$$\text{C} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 \quad (9)$$

$$\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (10)$$

The presence of the gasifying agent such as oxygen can promote reactions (2)-(3) and consequently decrease the char formation with increasing oxygen input.

Tar was collected by the trap using 2-propanol and tar component was measured by GC-MS. Tar derived from coconut shell was analyzed and the result is shown in Fig. 8. The main components of tar obtained from non-catalytic gasification were complex polyaromatic hydrocarbons such as dibenzofuran, pyrene, 9 H-fluorene, indene, 11 H-benzofluorene, phenanthrene, methyl anthracene and phenyl naphthalene. According to the previous report$^{[4]}$, it has been reported that the main components of tar were aromatic compounds.

Compared with non-catalytic gasification, Ni catalyst used in catalytic gasification was effective for decreasing the tar level. The tar yield was decreased from 19.55-1.4%. In Fig. 9, a small concentration of aromatic compounds such as pentanone, diethyl phthalate, anthracene and pyrene were observed. As reported previously, there will be more than 90% tar conversion when Ni catalyst is used at above 700°C$^{[9-12]}$.

**CONCLUSION**

The Nickel-dolomite catalyst appeared to be an effective catalyst for biomass gasification in a fluidized bed gasifier. The catalyst show high activity for steam reforming of tar and char reduction in the presence of gasifying agent such as steam and oxygen. The suitable conditions operated at temperature of 800°C, S/C 0.95 in by using Ni/dolomite catalyst. These conditions produce a higher product gas composition of H$_2$ and CO and lower in CO$_2$ and CH$_4$.
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