In this study, carbon fibers (CFs) were used as toughening materials to improve the mechanical properties of cement stone. The surfaces of the CFs were treated with concentrated nitric acid and sodium hypochlorite to increase the interfacial adhesion between the CFs and the cement. The CFs subjected to surface treatment were evaluated by scanning electron microscopy and infrared analysis to find a significant increase in the number of oxygen-containing groups on the surface. The CFs subjected to surface treatment were added to the cement matrix. The effect of the modified CFs on the mechanical properties of the cement matrix was evaluated by testing the means of mechanical properties. The maximum tensile strength, maximum compressive strength, and ultimate strain of the enhanced cement stone of the CFs treated with sodium hypochlorite increased by 68.2%, 12.0%, and 4.4%, respectively. The maximum tensile strength, maximum compressive strength, and ultimate strain of the enhanced cement stone of the CFs treated with concentrated nitric acid increased by 72.7%, 14.7%, and 4.5%, respectively. The addition of CFs to the cement stone exerted no effect on the type of cement hydration products, as determined by infrared analysis and X-ray diffraction. The toughening mechanism of the modified CFs added to the cement stone was ultimately explored, and the bridging effect, deflection effect, and pull-out effect of cracks were evaluated.

1. Introduction

Oil-well cement stone may exhibit cracking under various external forces within a depth of several hundred or thousand meters underground. The explosion of the perforating bullet, the interaction of stress waves, and the reflection of stress waves may cause the cement ring to rupture [1, 2]. The destruction of the cement ring can severely affect the efficiency of oil recovery. Carbon fibers (CFs) exhibit stable chemical properties and have a large aspect ratio; as such, CFs can efficiently transmit interfacial stress and inhibit the formation and development of cracks, enhancing the strength and durability of the cement stone [3–5].

The surface of a CF is similar to that of a graphite structure, which is inert and water-resistant. These qualities weaken the adhesion of CFs to other substrates, impeding the manufacture of composite materials with excellent performance [6–9]. Accordingly, the surface of the CFs is treated to improve the reactivity and wetness ability of the surface, enhancing the bond strength of the composite interface [10–12].

Various methods commonly used for the surface treatment of CFs include the plasma method, oxidation, chemical grafting, surface coating, and so on [13]. Lee et al. [14] modified the surface of CFs by hydrogen plasma treatment to study its effect on the reinforcement of polyetherimide composites. Through Raman spectroscopy, XPS, FT-IR, and SEM, it is known that the surface roughness and functional group density of CFs were increased by oxyhydrogen plasma treatment. SEM imaging confirmed the enhanced adhesion between CF and PEI, and finally, the tensile properties of the composite at room temperature and high temperature...
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2. Experimental Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Materials. In this study, G-grade oil-well cement was purchased from Jiahua Special Cement Co., Ltd. The chemical composition and mineral composition are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Micron-sized CFs were produced from the nanoscience era; Table 3 lists the basic performance parameters of CFs. The other experimental drugs (analytical purity AR) used in this experiment are from the Chengdu Kelong Chemical Reagent Factory with G33S and SXY-2 as the filtrate reducer fluid loss and dispersant, respectively.

2.2. Experimental Process

2.2.1. Surface Cleaning of Carbon Fibers. The surface of the CFs had a binder and thus needed to be cleaned. The CFs were immersed in a beaker containing deionized water, which was then placed in an ultrasonic disperser (BL10-300, Shanghai Bilang Instrument Co., Ltd.) to disperse the CFs for 1 h, followed by degassing using a vacuum pump (not dried after being considered). The CF which was taken care of was placed in another empty beaker into which acetone and absolute ethanol were added at an appropriate ratio of 1:1. The beaker was heated in a water bath set to 50°C. The duration of the cleaning time was 24 h. The fiber and liquid were placed on a suction machine and washed with deionized water. The obtained CF was dried in an electric blast drying oven (Type 101, Beijing Zhongxin Weiye Technology Co., Ltd.) at 70°C and dried to constant weight. The sample was then taken out and sealed in a sample bag for use.

2.2.2. Surface Treatment of Carbon Fibers. The CF surface was modified with sodium hypochlorite and concentrated nitric acid, and a blank control was simultaneously set up. During CF oxidation, the constant-temperature water bath (BZKW-4, Beijing Zhongxin Weiye Technology Co., Ltd.) was heated. The surface groups and morphology were analyzed by Fourier transformation infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, Nicolet 6700, American Thermal Power Company) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM, ZEISS EVO MA15, Carl Zeiss Microscopic Imaging Co., Ltd.). Process conditions are shown in Table 4.
2.2.3. Preparation of Cement Stone. Cement slurry was prepared based on the quality of the cement. Each component was added at a certain proportion (mass fraction) (Table 5). The CF content was set to 0, 0.1%, 0.2%, 0.3%, and 0.4%. The CFs were dispersed and placed in a high-speed frequency conversion stepless speed mixer (GJS-B12K, Qingdao Tongchun Petroleum Instrument Co., Ltd.) for use. Each component was weighed by a precision electronic balance (JJ300, American Shuangjie Brothers Group Co., Ltd.). Specifically, 300 g cement was used. Other remaining components were weighed proportionally. The weighed ingredients and CF were added to the cement and mixed thoroughly. The stirred cement was then added to the water for artificial mixing, stirred manually, and stirred with a high-speed mixer (5000 rpm) for 2 min to ensure that the ingredients were evenly dispersed. After stirring, 1-2 drops of a defoamer were added into the cement, and the mixture was stirred to form a cement slurry. The bubbles subsequently disappeared. Finally, different formulations of cement slurry were poured into various standard molds to maintain different days in a constant temperature water bath at 60°C.

2.2.4. Testing of Mechanical Properties of Cement Stone. Different samples of cement stone were prepared using different molds to evaluate the different mechanical properties of the cement stone. The cement slurry was cast into a rectangular mold ($50 \times 50 \times 8$ mm$^3$) and a cylindrical mold ($\Phi 50.0 \times 25.0$ mm$^3$) to test its compressive strength and tensile strength by using electronic hydraulic testing machines (Haizhi Technology Co. Ltd., Beijing, China) at a crosshead speed of 600 N/s. Triaxial stress-strain curves were generated on a triaxial rock-testing system (INT-2200, GCTS) by using a rectangular specimen ($40.0 \times 40.0 \times 160.0$ mm$^3$) cured at 60°C for 7 d. Triaxial testing was conducted under 20.7 MPa confining pressure and 60°C.

2.2.5. Microstructural Analysis. X-ray diffraction (Shimadzu, XRD-7000 Japan) with Cu-K radiation (=0.15406 nm) was used for phase analysis of the cement stone, including blank cement samples and CF-reinforced cement samples. The sample was a dry powdery substance with a fineness of about 45 microns. The scan range was changed from 10°C to 60°C, with a step size of 0.02. The infrared
Figure 3: Continued.
spectrometer (NICOLET-6700, American Thermo Fisher Scientific Co., Ltd.) was used to evaluate changes in the functional groups and chemical bonds before and after toughening of the cement stone. The dried 1 mg sample was finely ground with 100 mg pure KBr, placed in a mold, and pressed into a transparent film for measurement. The instrument parameters were as follows: spectral range, 4000-500 cm⁻¹; resolution, >0.1 cm⁻¹; and wavenumber accuracy, >0.01 cm⁻¹. To determine the crack propagation behavior, the fracture of pure cement stone and CF-reinforced cement stone was observed by SEM (JEOL JSM-6510LV, Japan). The working voltage was 5 kV, and the emission current was 10 μm.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Results of Carbon Fiber Surface Treatment.

The FTIR spectra of the normal CF and the treated CF samples, except that of the CF treated with concentrated nitric acid, showed an absorption peak of -NO₂ at 1384 cm⁻¹ (Figure 1). No significant difference in the positions of the other peaks was found, indicating that the treatment of CFs only slightly affected the chemical composition. The same peaks were observed at 3450, 2300-1, 1650-1, and 1450 cm⁻¹, corresponding to -OH, C≡C, C=O, and -C-O, respectively [21, 22]. The peak intensity of the treated CF increased significantly at 3450 and 1650 cm⁻¹, indicating an increase in the oxygen-containing functional group on the surface of CFs.

![Figure 3: Compressive strength and tensile strength of cement stone.](image)

![Figure 4: Triaxial stress-strain curves of cement stone.](image)

![Table 6: Comparison of mechanical strength between carbon fiber-reinforced cement stone and pure cement stone.](image)

| CF type added | Content of CF (%) | Compressive strength increase (%) | Tensile strength increase (%) |
|---------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| P0            | 0.2%              | 8.4%                             | 40.9%                        |
| P1            | 0.2%              | 12.0%                            | 68.2%                        |
| P2            | 0.2%              | 14.7%                            | 72.7%                        |

![Table 7: Mistrial testing results for cement stone.](image)

| CF type added | Content of CF (%) | Peak stress (MPa) | Elasticity modulus (MPa) | Peak stain (%) |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| P0            | 0                 | 40.8              | 6645                     | 1.8%          |
| P1            | 0.2%              | 49.6              | 4962                     | 4.4%          |
| P2            | 0.2%              | 45.8              | 4907                     | 4.5%          |

As shown in Figure 2, the ravines on the surface of the CFs treated with concentrated nitric acid and sodium hypochlorite were deeper and wider than those of the normal
CFs. These gullies formed on the surface of the fibers increased the specific surface area of the CFs. The contact area was increased with the cement stone, which can increase the interface bonding force. Compared with the CFs treated with sodium hypochlorite, the CFs treated with concentrated nitric acid have more and deeper ravines on the surface. This is because concentrated nitric acid is more corrosive and more destructive to the surface of CFs.

3.2. Mechanical Properties of Cement Stone. Figure 3 presents various CFs with a reinforcing effect on the cement stone. The optimum blending amount of the CFs in various treatment methods was 0.2%. When the CF content exceeded 0.2%, the reinforcing effect tended to decrease, but the mechanical properties were still greater than those of pure cement stone, which may be attributed to the agglomeration of CF, so the CF content should have a suitable value [23–25]. The reinforcing effect became more apparent as the curing time increased, which due to the increase in hydration time contributes to the bonding of the CF to the cement matrix [23]. The CF-reinforced cement stone treated with concentrated nitric acid exhibited the best reinforcing effect, followed by the CF-reinforced cement stone treated with sodium hypochlorite; untreated carbon fiber also had certain enhancement effect on cement stone, but the effect was not as good as the former two; the details are listed in Table 6.

Figure 4 presents the triaxial stress-strain curves of cement stone, and the results are listed in Table 7. The CF-cement-reinforced stone treated with sodium hypochlorite increased the ultimate strain and ultimate stress of the cement stone by 144.4% and 21.6% compared to pure cement stone, respectively, and the elastic modulus decreased by 25.3%. The CF-cement-reinforced stone treated with nitric acid increased the ultimate strain and ultimate stress of cement stone by 12.2% and 150%, respectively, and the elastic modulus decreased by 26.2%. CFs were added to the brittle cement stone, and the toughness and ductility of the cement stone are greatly improved [25]. The toughening effect of CFs treated with nitric acid on cement stone is better than that of CFs treated with sodium hypochlorite.
3.3. Hydration Products of Cement Stone. For the blank group, XRD spectra of the CF-cement stone system treated with concentrated nitric acid and concentrated sodium hypochlorite are shown in Figure 5. It can be seen that the hydration products in samples consisted of C-S-H (calcium silicate hydrate), C-H (calcium hydroxide), and AFt (calcium gangue). The results indicate that the type of hydration products was not changed due to the addition of CF. Compared with the samples treated with sodium hypochlorite, the C-H diffraction peaks in the samples treated with concentrated nitric acid are weaker. The reason is that CF reacts with C-H to form C-S-H, which reduces the layered C-H content and improves the strength of the cement paste. This explains why the compressive strength, tensile strength, and ultimate strain of the cement paste have been improved after adding CF treated with concentrated nitric acid [26].

Figure 6 presents the infrared spectra of the CF-reinforced cement stone treated with nitric acid, CF-reinforced cement stone treated with sodium hypochlorite, and pure cement stone. The infrared spectra between them were basically similar, the group type did not change, and no new functional groups were formed. As shown in the figure, the peak at 3450 cm⁻¹ is the hydroxyl group (-OH) in the cement calcium hydroxide phase [27, 28], and the peak at 970 cm⁻¹ on the right side corresponds to the characteristic peak of Si-O tetrahedron [SiO₄]⁴⁻ in CSH. The peak at 1400⁻¹ corresponds to CO₃²⁻ of calcium vanadium, and the peak at 1650⁻¹ corresponds to the hydroxyl group in CFs and water [29]. The addition of CF to the cement stone only slightly affects the type of cement hydration products, as determined by XRD and FTIR analyses [30, 31].

3.4. Mechanism of Carbon Fiber-Reinforced Cement Stone. The mechanism of carbon fiber-reinforced cement paste mainly includes three aspects. In cement paste, the carbon fiber can make a crack bridging effect, crack deflection effect, and crack extraction effect. The cement stone will generate a large number of microcracks in the initial stage of the external load. When the crack extends to the CF, it will bear the load of the part of the cement stone and bridge the opposite sides of the crack due to higher mechanical properties of CFs (Figure 7(a)), so the crack needs to consume more energy to propagate further [32, 33]. When the crack further expands, the energy of the extended crack is not enough to...
cause the CF to break, and the crack deviates from the original route and continues to expand along the interface where the bonding force is not strong, which is manifested by the fact that the shape of the crack is curved (Figure 7(b)), thereby delaying the crack propagation [34–36]. When the cement stone is subjected to a sustained load, the bonding strength between the CF and the cement stone will not be sufficient to prevent the crack from expanding, and the CF will be peeled out of the cement stone. Figure 7(c) shows the holes left by the CF pulled out of the cement. This process also dissipates a lot of energy [37, 38]. The stronger the bonding strength between CF and the cement stone, the more energy is dissipated, so it can be well explained that the bonding strength between CF and cement stone is an important factor affecting the performance of the cement stone. The use of concentrated nitric acid and sodium hypochlorite to treat CFs can increase the surface area of the carbon fiber, thereby enhancing the bonding force with the cement stone.

As previously mentioned, the liquid phase oxidation has three effects [39, 40]: (1) the surface of the CF is oxidized to a certain extent, and the number of oxygen-containing functional groups on the surface is increased, which increases the chemical interaction between the surface of the CF and the cement matrix; (2) the ratio of different functional groups on the surface of the CF changes, improving the wettability of the cement matrix on the surface of the CF; (3) surface treatment causes a certain etching on the surface of the CF to improve the physical hinge interaction between the surface of the CF and the cement matrix. The carbon fiber is treated and better combined with the cement matrix, so the enhanced toughening effect of the treated carbon fiber is greater than that of the carbon fiber without any treatment, and the CF surface treated by the nitric acid is the best. Studying the surface treatment of CF is very meaningful as a cement-reinforced phase.

4. Conclusions

(1) For the cement stone, the optimal amount of CF is 0.2%; surface treatment of the CFs with concentrated nitric acid and sodium hypochlorite changes the surface morphology of the CF to a certain extent. The CFs treated with concentrated nitric acid exert a better reinforcement effect on the cement stone, compared with the CFs treated with sodium hypochlorite. The CFs subjected to surface treatment with concentrated nitric acid increase the tensile strength of the cement stone by 72.7% and the compressive strength by 14.2% when the CF content is optimal.

(2) The results of the triaxial test indicate that the differential stress of the modified cement stone is increased by about 7 MPa relative to that of pure cement. The ultimate strain is about twice that of the pure cement stone, but the elastic modulus is reduced by about 1700 MPa.

(3) The surface of CFs treated with concentrated nitric acid has more and more obvious gully. The interface bonding force with cement stone is greater, with better tensile strength, compressive strength, and ultimate strain.

(4) CFs exert no effect on the hydration products of the cement stone.

(5) The mechanism of carbon fiber-reinforced cement stone is mainly crack bridging, crack deflection, and crack extraction.
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Additional Points

Highlights. The surfaces of the carbon fibers were treated with concentrated nitric acid and sodium hypochlorite to increase the interfacial adhesion between the carbon fibers, and the cement carbon fibers were used to enhance the oil-well cement stone. The toughening mechanism of the carbon fiber-reinforced oil-well cement stone was explored in detail.
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