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ABSTRACT

We use Gaia Data Release 2 to identify 13,928 white dwarfs within 100 pc of the Sun. The exquisite astrometry from Gaia reveals for the first time a bifurcation in the observed white dwarf sequence in both Gaia and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) passbands. The latter is easily explained by a helium atmosphere white dwarf fraction of 36%. However, the bifurcation in the Gaia colour-magnitude diagram depends on both the atmospheric composition and the mass distribution. We simulate theoretical colour-magnitude diagrams for single and binary white dwarfs using a population synthesis approach and demonstrate that there is a significant contribution from relatively massive white dwarfs that likely formed through mergers. These include white dwarf remnants of main-sequence (blue stragglers) and post-main sequence mergers. The mass distribution of the SDSS subsample, including the spectroscopically confirmed white dwarfs, also shows this massive bump. This is the first direct detection of such a population in a volume-limited sample.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Since the detection of the companion of Sirius in 1862, we have been able to create a complete census of white dwarfs (WDs) only to within 13 pc of the Sun (Holberg et al. 2008; Holberg 2009). This sample contains 43 stars, which corresponds to a WD space density of $4.8 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-3}$ pc\(^{-3}\). Holberg et al. (2016) and Limoges, Bergeron, & Lépine (2015) extended the local sample to 25 and 40 pc, respectively, with the latter containing nearly 500 stars. However, the current sample of WDs with reliable parallax measurements is limited to about 250 objects (Bergeron, Leggett, & Ruiz 2001; Gianninas et al. 2015; Bédard, Bergeron, & Fontaine 2017). The lack of distance measurements severely limits our understanding of the local WD population.

The fraction of binary WDs in the local 20 pc sample is 26% (Holberg et al. 2016). Toonen et al. (2017) used a population synthesis approach to explain this relatively low binary fraction compared to main-sequence stars (~50%). Based on the ~100 stars in the 20 pc sample, they found that the low binary fraction is mostly caused by mergers in binary systems. Their binary population synthesis models predict that ~56% of WDs come from single star evolution in isolation, ~7-23% come from single WDs that formed as a result of mergers in binary systems, and the rest are in (un)resolved double WD or WD + main-sequence star binaries.

The European Space Agency (ESA)’s Gaia mission provides an unprecedented opportunity to assemble the first reliable Hertzsprung-Russell diagram for nearby field WDs. The Gaia Data Release 2 (DR2) presents $G$ passband photometry, integrated magnitudes in the blue (BP, 330-680 nm) and red (RP, 640-1000 nm) passbands, and the five-parameter astrometric solution for more than 1.3 billion sources. Now that Gaia Data Release 2 has increased the local WD sample size by two orders of magnitude (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018), we can look for the signatures of a merger population directly in the Gaia colour-magnitude diagrams.

Here we use a population synthesis approach to study the observed Gaia WD sequence. In §2 we describe our source selection and filtering of the Gaia data, and in §3 we present our synthetic colour-magnitude diagrams and discuss the He atmosphere WD fraction, and the bifurcation in the Gaia and SDSS passbands. We discuss the WD mass distribution and the results from binary population synthesis studies in §4, and conclude in §5.

2 GAIA SAMPLE SELECTION

In order to derive a clean sample within 100 pc of the Sun we follow the recommendations outlined in Lindegren et al. (2018) to remove non-Gaussian outliers in colour and ab-
star formation rate and generate 100 main-sequence stars at every 1 Myr time step with masses randomly drawn between 0.8 and \(8\,\text{M}_\odot\) from a Salpeter mass function (Salpeter 1955). We assume solar metallicity and use the main-sequence + giant-branch lifetimes from Hurley, Pols, & Tout (2000) to decide if a star evolves into a WD within the model age. We calculate the final WD masses based on the initial-final mass relation (IFMR) from Kalirai et al. (2008). Given the total age and the main-sequence lifetime of each star, we estimate its WD cooling age. Combined with the final WD mass, the cooling age enables us to calculate the expected colours and magnitudes (Bergeron, Wesemael, & Beauchamp 1995; Holberg & Bergeron 2006) of a given star in the Gaia passbands. Here we use the Gaia DR2 passbands that were used to calculate the published DR2 values (Evans et al. 2018).

To explore the effects of the star formation history of the disc on our simulations, we calculate another set of models where we assume a single burst of star formation that lasts 1 Gyr. We also assume a metallicity of \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.7\) (Ivezić et al. 2008), which is appropriate for an old population like the thick disc. We use the evolutionary lifetimes from Hurley, Pols, & Tout (2000) for that metallicity, and follow the same procedure as above to estimate the final WD mass, cooling age, Gaia magnitudes and colours.

Figure 1 shows the synthetic colour-magnitude diagrams for 10 Gyr old disc WD populations assuming either a constant star formation rate or a single burst. A constant star formation rate leads to the formation of WDs with a variety of masses (and therefore absolute magnitudes) at any given age. On the other hand, all of the intermediate-mass stars in the 10 Gyr old single burst disc population have already evolved into cool WDs and this population is currently forming only \(M \sim 0.5\,\text{M}_\odot\) WDs, creating a narrow band of stars at the bright end of the WD sequence.

### 3.2 The He atmosphere WD Fraction

Figure 2 shows a colour-magnitude diagram for the SDSS WDs in the 100 pc sample. There is a clear split of the WD sequence into H and He atmosphere WDs in the narrower SDSS passbands (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018), which are more sensitive to the atmospheric composition. H-rich WDs suffer from the Balmer jump. Their ultraviolet fluxes are more sensitive to the atmospheric composition. H-rich WDs have redder \(u\)–\(g\) colours. The bifurcation due to atmospheric composition is visible for \(M_\text{u} < 14\) mag, which corresponds to \(T_\text{eff} \approx 7000\,\text{K}\).

To estimate the He atmosphere WD fraction, we create synthetic colour magnitude diagrams for a variety of ages (6–10 Gyr) and He atmosphere WD fractions (10–50%). We then divide the observed colour-magnitude diagram into 80 boxes within the range \(M_\text{u} = 10-14\) and \(a - g = -0.4\) to +0.6 mag, and compare the relative number densities of each model with the data to find the best model that reproduces the observed number densities. This is similar to the analysis done on the globular cluster WD sequences of M4, NGC 6397, and 47 Tuc (Hansen et al. 2007, 2013). We then perform a Monte Carlo analysis where we replace the observed magnitudes with \(G + q\sigma_G\) where \(q\) is a Gaussian deviate with zero mean and unit variance. For each set of the modified data, we find the best-fitting model, and take the range in parameters that encompasses 68% of the probability distri-
Figure 2. SDSS WDs within 100 pc of the Sun (blue points) and our synthetic model for a 10 Gyr old disc WD population (black points). Model sequences for pure H (black line, $T_{\text{eff}} \geq 7000$ K) and pure He atmosphere (magenta for $T_{\text{eff}} > 11,000$ K and red between 7000-11,000 K) are also shown. He lines disappear below about 11,000 K. Hence, pure He atmosphere WDs below that temperature would be classified as DC spectral types.

Figure 3. Observed (left panel) and synthetic colour-magnitude diagrams for the 100 pc WD sample in the Gaia passbands. Model sequences for $0.6M_\odot$ H (red) and He (magenta) atmosphere WDs are shown in the left panel. The middle and right panels show the predicted sequences for a 10 Gyr old disc population assuming either a constant star formation rate or a single burst of star formation. The bifurcation in the Gaia data is not matched by our simulations based on single star population synthesis and pure H and pure He WD model atmospheres.

3.3 The Bifurcation in the Gaia Passbands

Figure 3 shows the Gaia 100 pc WD sequence (left panel) and our synthetic colour-magnitude diagrams based on single star population synthesis and a He atmosphere WD fraction of 36%. As noted in Gaia Collaboration et al. (2018), there is a clear bifurcation of the observed sequence below $G = 12$ mag, but this is not reproduced by our simulations including a large fraction of pure He atmosphere WDs. To explore the source of this bifurcation, we cross-matched the SDSS subsample with the Montreal White Dwarf Database (Dufour et al. 2017) and found 800 spectroscopically confirmed WDs with $T_{\text{eff}} \geq 6000$ K. Since Hα is still visible at these temperatures for H atmosphere WDs, this temperature selection enables us to define relatively clean samples of DA and non-DA white dwarfs.

Figure 4 shows Gaia and SDSS colour magnitude diagrams for the spectroscopically confirmed WDs in the SDSS subsample. DA and DB WDs follow the pure H or pure He atmosphere model predictions relatively well in both the SDSS and Gaia passbands. However, most of the DC, DQ, and DZ WDs fall to the left of the 0.6$M_\odot$ pure He atmosphere model predictions in the Gaia colour-magnitude diagram (left panel). Since DQ and DZ WDs show absorption features that are not included in the pure He atmosphere models, their bluer colours are not surprising, and therefore atmospheric composition can partly explain the bifurcation seen in the Gaia bands. However, DC WDs should follow the pure He atmosphere models, unless they are, on average, more massive than expected. Furthermore, there is a large number of spectroscopically confirmed DA WDs that also fall on the lower sequence observed in the Gaia passbands. Hence, the bifurcation in the Gaia passbands is not due to atmospheric composition alone; the mass distribution also plays a role.

The top panel in Figure 5 shows the mass distributions of the DA, DB, and DC WDs in the SDSS subsample using pure H (for DA) and pure He (for DB and DC spectral types) atmosphere models. The DA mass distribution peaks at 0.6$M_\odot$ but displays a significant contribution from massive WDs. The DC WDs are also relatively massive. Liebert, Bergeron, & Holberg (2005) discuss the volume effect for detecting different mass WDs in magnitude-limited surveys. Massive WDs are intrinsically fainter, hence the effective survey volume for such WDs is significantly smaller. Hence, the total number of massive WDs are significantly underestimated in magnitude-limited spectroscopic surveys like the SDSS.

The bottom panel in Figure 5 shows the mass distribution of all 100 pc SDSS WDs (≈80% lack spectroscopy) with $T_{\text{eff}} \geq 6000$ K based on our pure H atmosphere model fits to the $ugriz$ photometry and Gaia parallaxes. Clearly the mass distribution of the WDs in the solar neighborhood is bimodal with two significant peaks at 0.6 and 0.8$M_\odot$, respectively. Using pure He atmosphere models gives similar results: if we take the high mass objects with $M \approx 0.7-1M_\odot$...
and fit them with He atmosphere models, 65% of the stars still remain in the massive WD sample.

The blue line in the bottom panel shows the predicted mass distribution for a disc population of single WDs. Normalizing the disc model to match the observed mass distribution in the 0.5-0.7\(M_\odot\) range, we find that the secondary peak at 0.8\(M_\odot\) contributes 25% of the stars in the 0.7-1\(M_\odot\) range. We use the spectroscopically confirmed WDs to estimate the contamination rate of this massive bump from non-DA WDs. There are 163 DA, 32 DB, 109 DC, 46 DQ, and 31 DZ WDs for which our H atmosphere model fits place them in the massive bump. Kleinman et al. (2013) present spectroscopic fits to 99 of these DAs, which have a median \(\log g = 8.43\). Hence, \(\geq 43\%\) of the spectroscopically confirmed WDs in the secondary peak are bonaﬁde massive DA WDs, implying that \(-11\%\) of the WDs in the 100 pc sample are massive.

In order to verify that this bimodal mass distribution is consistent with the SDSS colour-magnitude diagrams, we ﬁt the Gaia \(G\) and \(G_{BP} - G_{RP}\) photometry and parallaxes of our 100 pc WD sample with pure H and pure He atmosphere models. Given the estimated masses and cooling ages for each WD, we then calculate their predicted colours and magnitudes in the SDSS passbands. We add random noise to their predicted magnitudes based on the SDSS observations. We call these simulations “the Gaia model”.

Figure 6 shows two sets of SDSS color-magnitude diagrams. The left panels show the predicted SDSS photometry of our Gaia sample, based on the masses and cooling ages derived from Gaia photometry and parallaxes (the Gaia model). The middle panels show the observed sample of 4016 WDs with SDSS photometry, and the right panels show our synthetic colour-magnitude diagrams for single WDs in a 10 Gyr old disc. For a fair comparison, we randomly select 4016 stars from the Gaia and the disc models. This ﬁgure demonstrates that both models agree fairly well with the observed WD sequence in the \(M_u\) versus \(u - g\) diagram. They both match the bifurcation at the bright end due to the atmospheric composition, but any potential bifurcation at fainter magnitudes is lost in the noise. Hence, given the relatively large photometric errors in the \(u\)-band, it is easy to hide a bifurcated mass distribution (as in our Gaia model predictions) in this colour-magnitude diagram.

The observed WD sequence in the \(M_g\) versus \(g - i\) colour-magnitude diagram shows a slight bifurcation between \(M_g = 12\) to 14 mag. This is similar to the bifurcation seen in the Gaia passbands, \(G\) versus \(BP - RP\). The disc model, which includes a 36% He atmosphere WD fraction and a smooth mass distribution, does not match this bifurcation. On the other hand, the Gaia model shows a similar bifurcation in the same magnitude range as the observations, though the bifurcation is somewhat lost in the noise. Hence, there is evidence for a mass bifurcation even in the SDSS \(M_g\) versus \(g - i\) colour-magnitude diagram, but the evidence is not as signiﬁcant due to the large errors in the SDSS observations.

4 DISCUSSION

There is considerable interest in understanding the ﬁeld WD mass distribution. Tremblay et al. (2016) used 97 stars from the local 20 pc sample and 715 stars from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey spectroscopy sample to study the ﬁeld WD mass distribution and concluded that there is no evidence of a population of double WD mergers in the observed mass distribution. Hollands et al. (2018) revisited the 20 pc sample using Gaia parallaxes, and found a mass distribution in
Figure 6. Color-magnitude diagrams in the SDSS passbands. The left panels show the predicted colours of our 100 pc sample of WDs based on the masses and cooling ages derived from Gaia photometry and parallaxes. The middle panels show the observed WD sequence and the spectroscopically confirmed WDs from Figure 4, and the right panels show the synthetic sequences for a 10 Gyr old disc population of single stars with a smooth mass distribution.

agreement with the Tremblay et al. (2016) results. However, given the small number statistics, their mass distribution can still be fit with a secondary peak at 0.8$M_\odot$ as long as the fraction of massive WDs in their sample is < 30% (M. Hollands 2018, private communication). This is consistent with Liebert, Bergeron, & Holberg (2005), who attribute a high mass bump seen in the Palomar-Green survey WD sample to a 15% contribution from merged WDs. Similarly, Limoges, Bergeron, & Lépine (2015) find an excess of massive WDs at low temperatures in the 40 pc local WD sample. Maoz, Hallakoun, & Badenes (2018) discuss potential reasons why massive WDs might have been excluded from the 20 pc sample and the Tremblay et al. (2016) study, and uses two different radial velocity surveys to argue that 8.5-11% of all WDs formed through mergers.

We use a binary population synthesis approach to study the frequency of mergers. We create 100,000 main-sequence binaries with primary masses randomly drawn between 0.8 and 8$M_\odot$ from a Salpeter mass function and the secondary masses drawn from a uniform mass ratio distribution between 0 and 1 (Duchêne & Kraus 2013). As in Toonen et al. (2017), we assume a Lognormal period distribution with $\log P = 5.03 \pm 2.28$ d and up to $\log P = 10$ d. We assume a uniform eccentricity distribution between 0 and 1, except for the closest binaries with $P < 12$ days for which we assume $e = 0$ (Raghavan et al. 2010). We follow the evolution of these systems over 10 Gyr using the binary-star evolution (BSE) algorithm of Hurley, Tout, & Pols (2002). By 10 Gyr, about 15% of the 100,000 binaries merge either on the main-sequence or post-main-sequence and evolve into single WDs, and another 19% form double WDs. Toonen et al. (2017) demonstrated that the numbers of merged and double WDs are sensitive to the input assumptions and that the number of merged systems heavily depends on the initial period distribution, affecting their space density by a factor of 2.

For comparison, a single burst 10 Gyr old disc population converts 77% of its main-sequence stars into WDs. Hence, for a main-sequence binary fraction of 50%, a sample of 100 initial systems would produce 38.5 single disc WDs, 7.5 single WDs from mergers, and 9.5 double WDs; the fraction of single WDs that form through mergers in binary systems is ≈14%, with a factor of 2 uncertainty. In addition, the merger products are predicted to have $M = 0.74 \pm 0.19 M_\odot$. Hence, a combination of single WDs that evolve in isolation in a 10 Gyr old disc and single WDs that form through mergers in binary systems can naturally explain both the number and mass distribution of the 100 pc Gaia WD sample.

El-Badry, Rix, & Weisz (2018) present an alternative explanation for the large numbers of massive WDs by fine-tuning the IFMR. Their piece-wise fit has 8 free parameters, and it requires an IFMR that is significantly flatter in the initial mass range 3.5-5.5$M_\odot$ compared to the IFMR derived from open cluster WDs (Kalirai et al. 2008; Williams, Bolte, & Koester 2009). Even though, a flattened IFMR is plausible, it cannot explain the large difference between the binary fractions of main-sequence stars (50%) and their descendant WDs (26%, Holberg et al. 2016).

5 CONCLUSIONS

We use the local 100 pc sample of WDs to demonstrate that a significant fraction of the single WDs in the solar neighborhood are massive. Thanks to the exquisite astrometry from Gaia, this population reveals itself through a bifurcation in mass (and absolute magnitude) in the Gaia colour-magnitude diagrams, which are also affected by the atmospheric composition. Our single and binary population synthesis calculations show that the overall properties of the massive WDs are consistent with formation through mergers in binary systems.
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