Secondary pregnancy by an implant in a laparoscopic trocar site
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Abstract

Rationale: For nearly 20 years, laparoscopy has been generally regarded as the gold standard for the surgical treatment of ectopic pregnancy and its application is very widespread. According to our knowledge, secondary pregnancy at the laparoscopic trocar site has not yet been reported until now.

Patient concerns: A 24-year-old Chinese female patient is reported herein. Her left fallopian tube was removed via laparoscopy due to a first ectopic pregnancy. Her postoperative blood β-human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) was increasing with irregular vaginal bleeding. The patient was initially regarded as having an old ectopic pregnancy.

Diagnoses: Secondary pregnancy by an implant in a laparoscopic trocar site.

Interventions: Because of secondary pregnancy at the laparoscopic port site, laparoscopic surgery was performed again 32 days after the first operation. Approximately 1000 milliliters (mL) of free intraperitoneal hemorrhage and active bleeding of the lesion were detected. Histopathologic examination confirmed the lesion was the result of pregnancy with visible villous tissue.

Outcomes: Her blood β-hCG gradually declined to a normal range in 28 days after the second operation. Menstruation occurred on day 20 after the second operation, and the duration and quantity were normal.

Lessons: Although laparoscopy has many advantages, secondary pregnancy at the laparoscopic trocar port wound caused the patient enormous physical and mental pain and increased the medical costs. The etiology of secondary ectopic pregnancy at the laparoscopic puncture site was mainly an iatrogenic factor. Therefore, the procedure should be standardized to avoid its occurrence.

Abbreviations: hCG = human chorionic gonadotropin, IL-6 = interleukin-6, mL = milliliter, mm = millimeter.
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1. Introduction

Ectopic pregnancy is one of the most common gynecological acute abdominal diseases, accounting for 1.3% to 2.4% of all pregnancies. Early studies reported that ectopic pregnancy resulted in 8.7% pregnancy-related mortality. With the improvements in diagnosis and treatment equipment, mortality from ectopic pregnancy has obviously declined, and is presently approximately 0.05%. It is well known that 95.5% of ectopic pregnancies occur in the fallopian tubes, while ovarian and abdominal-cavity pregnancies are rare. The etiology of ectopic pregnancy remains unclear, it is generally considered to have multiple risk factors, but up to 50% of ectopic-pregnancy patients have no specific risk factors. Approximately 88% of ectopic pregnancies are diagnosed by the combination of signs, symptoms, risk factors, hCG levels, and ultrasound findings. For nearly 20 years, studies have reported that laparoscopy has been the gold standard of surgical treatment for ectopic pregnancy patients who must undergo surgical treatment, including ruptured ectopic pregnancy, hemodynamic instability, unclear diagnosis, and suspected ectopic-pregnancy patients who require a laparoscopic diagnosis. Laparotomy is used only when laparoscopy cannot be performed due to technical or medical reasons. As a minimally invasive procedure, the advantages of laparoscopy are well known, including faster access to the abdomen, shorter operation time, reduced bleeding, lower postoperative adhesion, less pain, quicker recovery, and lower costs. However, it has the risk of iatrogenic seeding, although the incidence is low, but this increases the secondary surgical rate, costs, and patient physical and mental trauma, so iatrogenic implantation should be avoided.

2. Consent

This case report was approved by the ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi’an Jiaotong University, and informed consent was obtained from the patient’s family.
3. Case report

A 24-year-old Chinese woman underwent cesarean section surgery due to fetal distress and delivered 1 live male baby 3 years prior. On July 24, 2017 her left fallopian tube was removed through laparoscopy at the Hanzhong Central Hospital because of ectopic pregnancy. Histopathologic examination confirmed the left tubal pregnancy with hemorrhage. However, her postoperative blood β-hCG was increasing with irregular vaginal bleeding. Her blood β-hCG was 3147 mIU/mL on the day 30 after the first procedure (Fig. 1) without abdominal pain and other symptoms. Color ultrasound indicated an 18 millimeter (mm) × 15 mm low-echo mass in the left ovary with visible peripheral-blood signal on the day 31 after the first operation, which was considered as a possible yellow cyst. Six hours after an ultrasound examination, cervical lifting pain was positive by bimanual examination, and the patient experienced great pain at the original puncture site in the left abdominal wall. Around 8 mL of noncoagulant blood was extracted from the pouch of Douglas through the vaginal posterior f攻nix. A 14 mm × 10 mm effusion in front of the uterus and a 60 mm × 27 mm effusion in the rectal fossa were scanned via color ultrasound. The size of the yellow cyst was unchanged. The second surgery was performed on the day 32 after the first operation. The laparoscopic exploration detected some adhesion between the partial omentum and the abdominal wall, a normal size uterus, and a small amount of inflammatory exudates on the uterus surface. In addition, the bilateral ovaries and the right oviduct appearance were normal without left fallopian tube (Fig. 2A). A 10 mm × 8 mm × 5 mm mass was found at the initial trocar site of the left abdominal wall, which was purple and blue with active bleeding (Fig. 2B and Video 1 (The secondary pregnancy at the initial laparoscopic trocar site with active bleeding), http://links.lww.com/MD/C222). Furthermore, a 1000 mL free intraperitoneal hemorrhage was detected (Fig. 2). The abdominal wall mass had been removed completely and histopathologic examination confirmed the lesion was the result of pregnancy with visible villous tissue. The patient’s blood β-hCG was 2126.0 mIU/mL on the first day after surgery and gradually declined to a normal range by day 28 after the second procedure. Menstruation occurred on day 20 after the second operation, and the duration and quantity were normal.

4. Discussion

There are increasing reports and studies of tumor seeding at the trocar sites following laparoscopic procedures in patients with
5. Conclusion

Although studies have demonstrated that laparoscopy has many advantages and has been the gold standard of surgical treatment for ectopic pregnancy patients who must undergo surgery, the secondary pregnancy at laparoscopic trocar site should be avoided. The etiology of secondary ectopic pregnancy at the laparoscopic puncture site was mainly an iatrogenic factor. Therefore, the procedure should be standardized to avoid its occurrence.
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