Abstract: Different variants for abatement of N$_2$O emission from nitric acid plants with the use of catalysts developed at Łukasiewicz-INS were analyzed. Activity tests on a pilot scale confirmed the high activity of the studied catalysts. A two-stage catalytic abatement of N$_2$O emission in nitric acid plants was proposed: by high-temperature decomposition in the nitrous gases stream (HT-deN$_2$O) and low-temperature decomposition in the tail gas stream (LT-deN$_2$O). The selection of the optimal variant for abatement of N$_2$O emission depends on the individual characteristics of the nitric acid plant: ammonia oxidation parameters, construction of ammonia oxidation reactor and temperature of the tail gas upstream of the expansion turbine. It was shown that the combination of both deN$_2$O technologies, taking into account their technological constraints (dimensions of the catalyst bed), allows for a greater abatement of N$_2$O emission, than the use of only one technology. This solution may be economically advantageous regarding the high prices of CO$_2$ emission allowances.
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1. Introduction

Nitric acid plants are one of the largest stationary emitters of greenhouse gas—a nitrous oxide (N$_2$O). Tightening up the legislation, specifying the permissible level of this gas emission into the atmosphere and high prices of CO$_2$-eq emission allowances, make it highly desirable to find effective solutions to reduce N$_2$O emission from this plant. In the course of the nitric acid manufacture, N$_2$O is formed as a byproduct of NH$_3$ to NO oxidation, occurring on Pt-Rh gauzes. The amount of formed N$_2$O depends on the conditions of this process, as well as on the catalytic gauze’s efficiency and time of their operation in the ammonia oxidation reactor.

In general, abatement emission of N$_2$O from nitric acid plants can be reached in two different methods: (1) by preventing or limiting N$_2$O formation during ammonia oxidation and (2) by removal of formed N$_2$O from the process gas.

The first method relies on using appropriately designed Pt-Rh catalytic packages, so-called low-emission packages or optimizing ammonia oxidation parameters (e.g., temperature, reactor’s load) [1]. This method can be treated as a preliminary one because it is not sufficient to meet the applicable emission standards. The second method is focused on the N$_2$O decomposition thermally or catalytically. The thermal N$_2$O decomposition method requires long residence time of the nitrous gas in the high temperature zone (downstream of the ammonia oxidation reaction zone), which is economically an unjustified solution. This method is not commonly used in nitric acid plants. It is, therefore, necessary to use other, more effective methods, based on catalytic ones [2,3].
Among the catalytic technologies: (1) N₂O decomposition from the nitrous gas stream at high temperature directly after ammonia oxidation reactor (secondary abatement system or HT-deN₂O) and (2) N₂O decomposition or N₂O reduction by hydrocarbon from the tail gas stream at low or medium temperature (tertiary abatement system or LT-deN₂O) is of practical importance.

The method, based on the catalytic N₂O decomposition in the ammonia oxidation reactor downstream of the Pt-Rh gauze’s package, allows the reduction of nitrous oxide emissions by 80–97% [3–5]. In this case, the catalyst should be active and work stable under severe process conditions, including: high process temperature, short residence time and the presence of significant amounts of H₂O in the gas stream. An additional limitation is a free available space in the ammonia oxidation reactor and allowable pressure drop, on which the maximum volume of the catalyst bed and the minimum size of the shaped catalyst is dependent.

Another effective method of purifying the gas stream from N₂O relies on a low-temperature catalytic N₂O decomposition or reduction by hydrocarbon in the tail gas’ stream. In this case, there are no significant restrictions on the reactor’s size and the catalyst works under milder conditions (relatively low process temperature) and with longer residence times of a reacting gas in the catalyst bed [2,3,6].

Studies on the catalytic N₂O decomposition are a nonexhaustive topic of the scientific publications. They mainly involve the development of an optimal catalyst formula, studying the influence of reacting gas composition, and of composition and physicochemical parameters of the catalyst on the catalyst activity, as well as determining a reaction rate constant in the kinetic regime [6–19]. The results of these studies are of great importance for understanding the mechanism of N₂O decomposition over different types of catalytic materials and for the development of this catalysis area. However, a vast majority of these findings refer only to the laboratory activity tests (for milligram amount of the catalyst) under model conditions and over a fine-grained catalyst (powder fraction). Only in a few scientific papers, the application aspect is considered, i.e., the topic of scaling-up the catalyst manufacturing process and industrial application [5,20–24].

Several types of commercial HT-deN₂O catalysts are available on the market, which are patented, and in most cases, produced: Johnson Matthey (La₀.₈Ce₀.₂CoO₃ [25]), BASF (e.g., CuO-ZnO-Al₂O₃ catalyst [26,27]), Norsk Hydro (e.g., Co₃₋ₓMₘO₄, M = Fe or Al, x = 0–2, NH-1 and NH-2 catalysts [28]), Grande Paroisse (mixed oxide of ZrO₂ and Al₂O₃ impregnated with zirconium salt [29]), Heraeus (Rh or RhO₂ on α-Al₂O₃ or ZrO₂ support [30]), Łukasiewicz-INS (Fe₂O₃-Al₂O₃ [31,32]), Hermsdorfer Institute (active phase based on a perovskite (La, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu) supported on a porous ceramic material, e.g., MgO [33]).

In the low-temperature process, catalysts, whose active phase consists of precious metals [14,15] and less expensive 3d group transition metals, have relatively high activity. The latter can be used in a wide temperature range, depending on their properties. The catalysts for a low-temperature N₂O decomposition include mainly simple and mixed oxides (NiO, CuO, Co₃O₄, MnO₂, Fe₃O₄ [6], NiO-ZrO₂ Fe-ZrO₂ [17]). Recently, spinel catalysts have attracted growing interest due to their high activity in the LT-deN₂O process and thermal stability. Their activity is associated with the presence of oxygen vacancies, which act as the additional active sites in nitrous oxide decomposition. The advantage of cobalt spinels is the ability to modify their activity and resistance to poisoning (by inhibitors present in the gas stream) by using surface (K, Cs) and structural dopants (np. Zn, Mg, Cu, Ni, Fe, Zn, Ba, Bi, Ca, Sr, Sn, Pb, Ag, Ce) [8,11–13,16]. This type of material has not yet been used under industrial conditions.

Zeolites modified with transition metals (e.g., Fe-ZSM-5) [10] are usually used in the reactor for selective catalytic reduction (SCR), in which they have a double function: they catalyze a reaction of selective NOₓ reduction with ammonia and N₂O reduction with hydrocarbon [34]. The advantages of zeolites are their relatively low cost and developed specific surface area, providing better dispersion of the active sites on the support’s surface. However, their disadvantage is the possibility of deactivation in the presence of water in the gas stream.
The commercially available catalysts on the market for the LT-de\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O process are modified zeolite catalysts produced by BASF (NO\textsubscript{X}CAT \textsuperscript{TM}ZN\textsubscript{2}O) \cite{35} and Clariant (EnviCat\textsuperscript{®}) \cite{36} which is used in EnviNOx\textsuperscript{®} process \cite{34}.

An important aspect when changing the scale of the catalyst testing from a laboratory to the industrial one is to determine the catalyst bed volume, necessary to achieve the required nitrous oxide conversion in the ammonia oxidation reactor and/or to design LT-de\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O reactor, for specific operating conditions of these reactors ($p$, $T$, $V_{NG/TG}$). In this case, it is necessary to determine the rate constant of the de\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O reaction. The reaction of nitrous oxide decomposition:

\[ 2\text{N}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{N}_2 + \text{O}_2 \]  

(1)

can be approximated by a first-order rate law equation with respect to \text{N}_2\text{O}:

\[ r_{\text{cat}} = k_{\text{gen}}C_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} \]  

(2)

Its solution (after converting \text{N}_2\text{O} concentration to a conversion) leads to the dependence of \text{N}_2\text{O} conversion ($X_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$) on the reaction rate constant ($k_{\text{gen}}$) and the residence time ($\tau$):

\[ X_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}(T, p, V) = 1 - e^{-k_{\text{gen}}\tau} \]  

(3)

\[ k_{\text{gen}} = k_0 e^{-E_0/RT} \]  

(4)

which is associated with the volume of the catalyst bed.

In the contact process with the participation of a solid catalyst, apart from a chemical reaction, the physical processes are also involved \cite{37}, including: external diffusion of reactants at the interface reacting gas-external surface of the catalyst and internal diffusion of reactants inside the pores of the catalyst grain and product desorption. The significant influence of the internal mass transport limitations (diffusion resistances) on the de\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O reaction rate becomes apparent in the change of the process scale from a laboratory to the industrial one, especially when the shaped catalyst is used in the contact process. Due to the internal diffusion resistance, the reaction rate of \text{N}_2\text{O} decomposition and the reaction rate constant for the shaped catalyst are lower compared to the catalyst in the form of grains. Thus, for the shaped catalyst, not only the chemical composition, but also texture (e.g., pore size, porosity and tortuosity) is crucial for its activity \cite{20–23}. Kinetic parameters, determined on the basis of the activity tests on the shaped catalyst, using the approximation of the first-order rate law (reaction rate constant includes all the stages of the contact process, i.e., kinetic and diffusion resistances) can be directly used to calculate the volume of the catalyst bed or size of the reactor for \text{N}_2\text{O} decomposition in the nitric acid plant.

For the LT-de\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O catalyst, it is proposed to install the LT-de\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O reactor upstream of the expansion turbine. This location is advantageous, due to the positive influence of higher operating pressure on the de\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O process, resulting in higher \text{N}_2\text{O} partial pressure, higher concentration of reactants adsorbed on the catalyst surface and longer residence time \cite{21}.

The aim of the study is to present a technological solution that allows the abatement of \text{N}_2\text{O} emission from nitric acid plants to the greatest extent possible (zero emissivity). A two-stage method of purifying the gas stream from nitrous oxide, using high- and low-temperature catalytic decomposition methods (secondary and tertiary) was proposed. In the discussion, the technological conditions that may have a potential impact on higher than expected \text{N}_2\text{O} emission are indicated and various scenarios for its minimization are presented. The results of the activity tests of HT-de\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O and LT-de\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O catalysts on a pilot scale for the shaped catalyst (extrudates, Raschig rings) are presented. The proposed catalysts are the original solutions: the HT-de\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O catalyst is already used in nitric acid plants, while LT-de\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O catalyst is at the stage of pilot-scale testing under industrial conditions.
2. Results

2.1. High-Temperature N₂O Decomposition

The proposed catalyst for a high-temperature N₂O decomposition is a commercial catalyst (PKR), whose formula and method of manufacture were developed at ŁUKASIEWICZ—New Chemical Syntheses Institute and they were patented [32]. It is a result of years of research, carried out both on a laboratory, pilot and industrial scale.

In Figure 1, the results of the activity tests in a pilot nitric acid plant are presented for PKR catalyst in the form of cylindrical extrudates of various diameters, in the range of 2–5 mm, and a length of 6 ± 2 mm. The catalyst layer was installed in a reactor with an internal diameter of 100 mm, downstream of the Pt-Rh catalytic gauze’s package. Based on the pilot-scale activity tests, the dependence of N₂O conversion over the catalyst layer of a fixed height versus the size of the catalyst extrudates (extrudates diameter in the range of \(d_{\text{extr.}} = 2–5\) mm) forming the layer, was determined. N₂O conversion over PKR catalyst was referred to as a nitrous oxide concentration downstream of Pt-Rh gauze’s package, measured in the reference reactor (as a primary N₂O emission). All the measurements were performed for the same operating conditions of ammonia oxidation \((T_{\text{NG}} = 890 ^\circ\text{C}, p = 5\text{ bar}, V_{\text{NG}} = 58\text{ Nm}^3/\text{h})\).

In addition, the nitrous gases flow resistances across the studied PKR catalyst beds were measured.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1.** The dependence of N₂O conversion and pressure drop across the PKR catalyst layer \((\Delta p)\) on the size of the catalyst extrudes forming the layer. Test parameters: \(T_{\text{NG}} = 890 ^\circ\text{C}, p = 5\text{ bar}, V_{\text{NG}} = 58\text{ Nm}^3/\text{h}\).

Catalysts for a high-temperature N₂O decomposition usually have high initial activity, but do not have sufficiently high stability to maintain it throughout the entire operating time. Therefore, except for the high activity, another condition for their implementation in an industrial reactor is their lifetime. It is particularly important for extremely severe operating conditions, i.e., high temperature, reaching about 900 °C and a high water content in the nitrous gases stream \(C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} > 15\text{ vol }\%\).

In order to determine the rate of the catalyst aging, the dependence of its activity on the time of its exposure to the real nitrous gas’ stream was tested, under the same operating parameters as prevailing in the industrial plant. The results were related to the activity of the fresh catalyst sample (Figure 2).
In Figure 3, the dependence of N$_2$O concentration in the post-reaction gas (secondary emission) on the nitrous gas temperature and gas flow rate was shown. The presented results indicate a significant influence of the gas flow rate on the secondary emission of nitrous oxide, especially at lower process temperatures. Based on this research, it is possible to select the optimal operating conditions for various forms of the HT-deN$_2$O catalyst and to select its suitable form for a given industrial reactor.

**Figure 2.** Long-term stability test of the PKR catalyst. Test parameters: $T_{NG} = 890 \, ^\circ$C, $p = 5$ bar, $V_{NG} = 58$ Nm$^3$/h.

**Figure 3.** The dependence of N$_2$O concentration in the nitrous gas downstream of the PKR catalyst layer on the nitrous gas temperature and nitrous gas flow rate.
2.2. Low-Temperature N\textsubscript{2}O Decomposition

As part of ŁUKASIEWCZ-INS cooperation with Jagiellonian University, an original catalyst for a low-temperature N\textsubscript{2}O decomposition (LT-deN\textsubscript{2}O) from the tail gas stream was developed (National Center for Research and Development Project No. PBS2/A5/38/2013). It is a supported catalyst, consisting of cobalt-zinc spinel surface-modified with potassium, deposited on α-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} support in the form of rings (diameter × height = 5 × 2 mm). Catalytic tests carried out under model conditions using the model mixture containing only N\textsubscript{2}O in N\textsubscript{2} confirmed its high activity in the LT-deN\textsubscript{2}O reaction [38]. However, a key aspect of its industrial implementation is the pilot-scale testing under real process conditions. The developed catalyst was tested in a pilot reactor with an internal diameter of 0.21 m, fed with the tail gas from industrial nitric acid plant. The reactor is connected to a bypassed tail gas stream, between the industrial SCR-deNO\textsubscript{x} reactor and the expansion turbine.

In Figure 4, the dependence of N\textsubscript{2}O concentration in the tail gas stream downstream of the LT-deN\textsubscript{2}O catalyst layer on the tail gas flow rate and tail gas temperature is shown.

![Figure 4. The dependence of N\textsubscript{2}O concentration in the tail gas stream downstream of the LT-deN\textsubscript{2}O catalyst layer on the tail gas temperature and tail gas flow rate. N\textsubscript{2}O concentration in tail gas at the inlet equals 80 ppm.](image)

Similarly, for PKR catalyst, the stability tests for K/Zn\textsubscript{0.4}Co\textsubscript{2.6}O\textsubscript{4}/α-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst were performed. The measured activity of the cobalt catalyst, after various times of its exposure to the tail gas' stream (up to 7200 h) was compared with the activity of the fresh sample. All activity measurements were performed under the same operating parameters i.e., \( T_{TG} = 445 \) °C, \( p = 10.5 \) bar, \( V_{TG} = 40 \) Nm\textsuperscript{3}/h.

The results shown in Figure 5 indicate that K/Zn\textsubscript{0.4}Co\textsubscript{2.6}O\textsubscript{4}/α-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst retains its initial activity for at least 7200 h of its exposition in the tail gas stream. Small differences in N\textsubscript{2}O conversion may be associated with the variability of the tail gas composition, i.e., differences in the content of NO\textsubscript{x}, which has a strong inhibitory effect on the deN\textsubscript{2}O process over a cobalt catalyst [38].
Figure 5. Long-term stability test of K/Zn$_{0.4}$Co$_{2.6}$O$_4$/α-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst. Test parameters: $T_{NG} = 445$ °C, $p = 10.5$ bar, $V_{NG} = 40$ Nm$^3$/h.

3. Discussion

The results of the tests, performed for both types of catalysts indicate that they are active in the N$_2$O decomposition reaction under the conditions prevailing in the nitric acid plants.

In Figure 6, the N$_2$O conversion curve as a function of the product $k_{gen} \tau$ for first-order rate law (Equation (3)) which is fulfilled for any catalyst type is shown.

Figure 6. The dependence of N$_2$O conversion on the product of a reaction rate constant and residence time according to first-order rate law (Equation (3)).

For a given constant process temperature which specifies the value of the reaction rate constant $k_{gen}$ according to Equation (4), the final N$_2$O conversion (Equation (3)) is determined by the residence time $\tau$. Hence, the use of a sufficiently large volume of the catalyst bed allows for a deep abatement of N$_2$O emission.

For a high-temperature N$_2$O decomposition method, the operating temperature is determined by the conditions of the ammonia oxidation process on Pt-Rh gauzes. If the catalyst is installed in an existing reactor, both the diameter and the height of the catalyst layer are predetermined. Ammonia
oxidation reactors have usually diameters from 2 to 6 m, whereas the height of the catalyst bed is 50–300 mm, depending on the reactor design.

So far, an inert bed in the form of Raschig rings (e.g., made of Al₂O₃) was installed downstream of the Pt-Rh gauze’s package in existing ammonia oxidation reactors. The role of this bed is to stabilize the gas flow throughout the whole cross-section of the reactor. Replacing the layer of the Raschig rings with the deN₂O catalyst bed, allows not only to stabilize the gas flow but also to reduce N₂O concentration in the nitrous gas’ stream.

As seen in Figure 1, reducing the diameter of the shaped catalyst from 5 mm to 2 mm leads to an increase of N₂O conversion from 0.58 to 0.79 and simultaneously to 2.5-fold increase of pressure drop across the catalyst layer.

Usually, when choosing a catalyst, a compromise solution is adopted, taking into account the allowable pressure drop in the ammonia oxidation reactor (which is a significant problem in the case of high-pressure plants), the desired reduction of N₂O emission and a free space in the reactor downstream of the catalytic gauze’s package, available for installing on the catalyst layer.

The results shown in Figure 2 indicate that the activity of the PKR catalyst does not decrease after more than a year of its time-on-stream in the typical operating conditions prevailing in an industrial plant. In practice, in many nitric acid plants, PKR catalyst works stably for several years and no significant deviations from its initial activity are observed [4]. The condition is, however, to provide a stable catalyst layer, which is problematic in the case of some ammonia oxidation reactors, due to their construction. The catalyst for HT-deN₂O installed downstream of Pt-Rh gauzes works under severe process conditions. In addition, and as a consequence the catalyst may undergo a thermal shrinkage due to high operating temperature, and as a consequence, the catalyst layer is lowered. Although no excessive mechanical pressure on the catalyst bed has been identified (low bed height) and the forces arising from the flow resistances are insignificant, it should be emphasized that the catalyst is usually installed in a catalytic basket resting directly on the heat exchanger pipes or on the supporting structure. During its operation, vibrations occur, which can cause migration of the catalyst bed in the reactor towards its central part. As a result, the phenomenon of a gas bypassing may occur, during its flow through the catalyst layer. This means in practice that the final N₂O emission can increase during catalyst operation, although no decrease in catalyst activity over time of its operation was observed.

The operating parameters of ammonia oxidation can also affect the final N₂O emission [1]. The results presented in Figure 3, where the concentration of N₂O in the post-reaction gas was expressed, take into account the variability of the amount of N₂O formed during the ammonia oxidation.

It should also be taken into account that the HT-deN₂O method does not contribute to the removal of nitrous oxide, formed at the later stages of nitric acid production. It can be formed in much smaller amounts than at the beginning of the technological line, but in some cases, this problem cannot be ignored. The source of additional nitrous oxide production may be side reactions occurring during the process of NOₓ removal from the tail gas by SCR with ammonia.

When analyzing Figure 6, it was found that increasing N₂O conversion from 0.80 to 0.95 requires increasing the volume of a catalyst bed by 1.875-fold. This is not always possible meet while replacing the reactor with another, as only increasing the abatement of N₂O emission is economically unreasonable.

The results of our works that we have carried out show that HT-deN₂O is not the only effective solution. N₂O decomposition can be also successfully realized by the LT-deN₂O method at the end of the technological line of nitric acid production. Due to the milder operating conditions, especially due to lower temperature, no shrinkage of the shaped catalyst is observed. The installation of an additional reactor upstream of the expansion turbine guarantees N₂O decomposition from all the stages of nitric acid production. In addition, the process selectivity is not required here.

Presented results (Figures 4 and 5) of the developed catalyst for the LT-deN₂O process indicate that it is active under the conditions prevailing in the nitric acid plants and it maintains its initial activity over the long operating time.
The main limitation of the effective use of this catalyst and LT-deN$_2$O method is the necessity to ensure a sufficiently high temperature of the tail gas and sufficiently long residence time in the reactor. If the temperature of the tail gas in the technological line is too low for the effective operation of the catalyst, then this technology cannot be used or it is necessary to heat up the tail gas. The costs of gas heating should be included in the costs of the technology’s implementation. Implementation of the LT-deN$_2$O catalyst requires the installation of an additional apparatus—reactor for N$_2$O decomposition. If it is properly designed, it ensures high final N$_2$O conversion. At the design stage of the LT-deN$_2$O reactor, the size of the catalyst bed should be optimized. The results of the activity tests show that for a given temperature it is possible to select such a residence time that N$_2$O conversion close to one can be obtained. Under industrial conditions, the process of N$_2$O decomposition begins at a temperature of about 400 °C. The only parameter of the tail gas that can affect this process is NO$_x$ concentration in the gas stream, downstream of the deNO$_x$ catalyst bed. Purification of the tail gas from NO$_x$ leads to a higher conversion of nitrous oxide and its lower concentration downstream of the LT-deN$_2$O catalyst bed [37]. When choosing the volume of the catalyst, also the economic aspect should be taken into account. According to the dependence, presented in Figure 6, increasing N$_2$O conversion from, e.g., to 0.99 requires a substantial increase in the amount of the used catalyst.

Analyzing the advantages and limitations of high-and low-temperature methods, it can be concluded that a combination of these both technologies in one nitric acid plant can be a beneficial and attractive solution. The obvious benefit is a high final N$_2$O conversion. Taking into account the high price of CO$_2$-eq emission allowances, maximizing the reduction of nitrous oxide emission can bring tangible economic benefits. The combination of both methods of N$_2$O decomposition allows for minimizing the implementation cost by reducing the catalyst bed volume used in the process ($V$). The solution is illustrated in the following simulations (Table 1).

Table 1. The comparison of nitrous oxide emission, expressed as N$_2$O concentration at the end of technological line (in the chimney) for combination of different deN$_2$O variants. Assumed primary N$_2$O concentration in nitrous gas after ammonia oxidation reaction zone equals to 1000 ppm.

| Scenario | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 | Scenario 3 | Scenario 4 | Scenario 5 | Scenario 6 |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| $X_{N_2O}$ for HT-deN$_2$O | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.95 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| $X_{N_2O}$ for LT-deN$_2$O | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| $V_{cat}$ for HT-deN$_2$O | $V$ | 1.43 $V$ | 1.875 $V$ | $V$ | $V$ | 1.43 $V$ |
| $V_{cat}$ for LT-deN$_2$O | $V$ | 1.43 $V$ | 1.43 $V$ |
| $C_{N_2O}$ outlet, ppm | 200 | 100 | 50 | 40 | 20 | 10 |

Based on the data presented in the experimental part and simulation calculations, the more preferred option seems to be the scenario, in which both technologies of N$_2$O decomposition are involved (Scenario 4–6). This combination ensures a high final nitrous oxide conversion ($C_{N_2O}$ at the outlet of the plant below 40 ppm). Only the use of the catalyst layer, which achieves a 0.95 conversion rate of N$_2$O (Scenario 3), would provide comparable results. However, Scenario 3 may not be technically feasible in numerous cases, due to the insufficient free available space in the ammonia oxidation reactor (for high-temperature technology) or the necessity to use a large catalyst bed volume, translating into high investment costs and an excessive increase in the gas flow resistances (for LT-deN$_2$O technology).

On the other hand, the use of both technologies in one nitric acid plant gives greater reserve in meeting the emission standards when, for operational reasons (e.g., gas bypassing in the ammonia oxidation reactor), the efficiency of high-temperature technology will be reduced.
Given the persistently high prices of CO₂-eq emission allowances it will be economically profitable in the long term, despite the fact that it requires investment outlays, related to the interference in the nitric acid installation, additional reactor construction and purchasing the LT-deN₂O catalyst.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Manufacturing of the Catalysts on a Large Scale

4.1.1. HT-deN₂O Catalyst (PKR)

The mixture of aluminum and iron hydroxides was used as a precursor of the PKR catalyst and was prepared by coprecipitation of the corresponding iron (III) and aluminum salts in a water solution. The resulting suspension was filtered off, washed with distilled water and dried in air atmosphere. The obtained powder was ground, mixed with batch water to obtain a plastic mass and shaped by an extrusion into extrudates with diameters of 2–5 mm and a length of 4–8 mm. The shaped catalyst precursor was dried and calcined at a temperature of 400 °C in air atmosphere. The average final catalyst composition is the following: 75 wt % Fe₂O₃ and 25 wt % Al₂O₃. The PKR catalyst is produced on an industrial scale at Łukasiewicz Research Network—New Chemical Syntheses Institute and is the subject of patent No. PL 207,666 B1 [32]. The physical characterization of the PKR catalyst is included elsewhere [39,40].

4.1.2. LT-deN₂O Catalyst (K/Zn₀.₄Co₂.₆O₄/α-Al₂O₃)

The catalyst support was prepared by mixing aluminum hydroxide with batch water and then shaped into Raschig rings with dimensions: diameter × height = 5 × 2 mm. The shaped support was dried at a temperature of 105 °C and calcined in air atmosphere at 1400 °C for 4 h. Then, α-Al₂O₃ support was coimpregnated with a solution of cobalt, zinc and potassium nitrates. The precursor of K/Zn₀.₄Co₂.₆O₄/α-Al₂O₃ catalyst was dried at a temperature of 105 °C and calcined for 4 h at 450 °C in air atmosphere. The concentration of Co, Zn and K salts, as well as the multiplicity of impregnation were selected in such a way as to obtain the final concentration of the active phase in the supported catalyst at a level of ≤20 wt %, based on the total mass of the catalyst. The potassium content in the supported catalyst was about 5 atoms per 1 nm² of the support’s surface. The catalyst is the subject of Patent Application No. EP 16,000,547 [41]. The physical characterization of the catalyst is included elsewhere [42,43].

4.2. Activity Tests for PKR Catalyst in a Pilot Nitric Acid Plant

The activity of the PKR catalyst for a high-temperature N₂O decomposition was tested in a pilot nitric acid plant, which was presented in previous work [1,44]. The plant consists of the analogical units as existing in industrial plants, i.e., ammonia–air mixture preparation, ammonia oxidation, heat exchange, nitric oxide oxygenation and absorption unit. Ammonia oxidation unit consists of identical, parallel working reactors, in which standard knitted gauzes of a specific weight of 600 g/m², made of 0.076 mm wire of platinum alloy with 10% rhodium addition, were used as an ammonia oxidation catalyst. N₂O concentration, measured in the reactor, containing only the catalytic gauzes, was taken as the primary N₂O emission, resulting from the side reaction of ammonia oxidation to N₂O. The PKR catalyst in the form of extrudates with diameters of 2, 3, 4 and 5 mm was installed in the ammonia oxidation reactor downstream of the catalytic gauze’s package in the catalytic basket with a diameter of 0.1 m. The mass of the catalyst, installed in the reactor, was about 0.6 kg for all the tested samples.

Activity tests were conducted at the operating parameters, corresponding to the operating conditions of a medium pressure nitric acid plant: \( p = 5.0 \) bar, \( T_{NG} = 890 \) °C, \( V_{NG} = 58 \) Nm³/h and NH₃ concentration in NH₃-air mixture was about 10.7 vol %. On the basis of N₂O concentration, measured in the reference reactor and in the reactor containing PKR catalyst, N₂O conversion over the catalyst layer was determined. For a chosen PKR catalyst sample (\( d = 2 \) mm), the influence
of operating temperature and gas flow rate on N₂O concentration at the outlet of the reactor were studied. The parameters were changed in the range of: \( T_{NG} = 870–910 \, ^\circ\text{C}, V_{NG} = 44–68 \, \text{Nm}^3/\text{h} \). All the measurements were conducted at a pressure of \( p = 45 \, \text{bar} \).

The N₂O concentration in nitrous gas was determined by a gas chromatography method using a discharge ionization detector (DID).

4.3. Activity Test of the LT-deN₂O Catalyst

The activity tests of the LT-deN₂O catalyst were conducted in the fixed bed reactor of an internal diameter of 0.21 m, connected to the bypassed tail gas from industrial nitric acid plant. A catalyst layer in the form of single-hole Raschig rings was installed in the reactor (mass of the catalyst: 10.2 kg). The activity tests were carried out at a temperature of \( T_{TG} = 405–445 \, ^\circ\text{C} \), the inlet pressure of \( p = 10.5 \, \text{bar} \) and at a tail gas flow rate varying in the range of \( V_{TG} = 20–60 \, \text{Nm}^3/\text{h} \). The average composition of the gas stream at the inlet of the LT-deN₂O reactor was as follows: 80 ppm N₂O, 65 ppm NOₓ, 2.4% obj. O₂, H₂O and N₂. The N₂O concentration in the tail gas stream at the inlet and the outlet of the reactor was determined by FTIR method using an on-line analyzer.

4.4. Stability Tests of the HT-deN₂O and LT-deN₂O Catalysts

The stability tests of both catalysts (for HT-deN₂O and LT-deN₂O) were carried out on the pilot scale. The catalyst's samples were placed into a real reaction gas stream. For the selected catalyst sample (independently for both catalysts) after appropriate time-on-stream, the N₂O conversion was determined. All results were related to the activity of the fresh catalyst sample. Conditions of the tests were the following: \( T_{NG} = 890 \, ^\circ\text{C}, p = 5.0 \, \text{bar} \, V_{NG} = 58 \, \text{Nm}^3/\text{h} \) and \( T_{TG} = 445 \, ^\circ\text{C}, p = 10.5 \, \text{bar}, V_{TG} = 40 \, \text{Nm}^3/\text{h} \) for the HT-deN₂O and LT-deN₂O catalysts, respectively. Analytical methods were identical as in activity tests.

5. Conclusions

The results of the activity tests, carried out under real process conditions for the catalysts developed at INS indicate that the use of both types of catalysts, after meeting the relevant conditions (process temperature, residence time), allow for the effective N₂O decomposition. For the HT-deN₂O method, the catalyst is usually installed in existing reactors and both the diameter and the height of the catalyst bed are predetermined. In this case, an increase of N₂O conversion is possible by reducing the diameter of the shaped catalyst. However, it leads to the simultaneous increase of pressure drop across the catalyst layer. The presented results of the developed catalyst for the LT-deN₂O process indicate it is active under the conditions prevailing in the nitric acid plants and it maintains its initial activity over the operating time. For this catalyst, designing of the reactor with sufficiently large dimensions is possible, however when we choose the catalyst volume, the economic aspect should also be taken into account.

The combined use of high-and low-temperature methods leads to a deep purification of the process gas of N₂O (below 40 ppm), despite the technological constraints. The implementation of these deN₂O technologies according to Scenarios 1–6, depends on the individual characteristics of the nitric acid plant, especially: ammonia oxidation parameters, the construction of ammonia oxidation reactor and tail gas temperature upstream of the expansion turbine. The selection of the optimal technology must be considered individually for the particular nitric acid plant.

Assuming the long lifetime of the catalyst for a low-temperature N₂O decomposition, despite a quite high investment costs, it can be concluded that the combination of both deN₂O technologies can be an economically attractive solution.
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Abbreviations

\( C_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} \) concentration of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) (mol·m\(^{-3}\) or ppm)
\( d_{\text{ext}} \) extrudate diameter (mm)
\( E_0 \) activation energy (J/mol)
\( k_{\text{gen}} \) general reaction rate constant, first-order rate law (s\(^{-1}\))
\( k_0 \) Arrhenius pre-exponential factor (s\(^{-1}\))
\( p \) operating pressure (barg)
\( R \) gas constant (J·mol\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\))
\( r_{\text{cat}} \) reaction rate per unit weight of catalyst (mol·kg\(^{-1}\)·s\(^{-1}\))
\( X_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} \) molar fraction of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) (\(^{-}\))
\( T \) temperature in Arrhenius equation (K)
\( T_{\text{NG}} \) nitrous gas temperature (°C)
\( T_{\text{TG}} \) tail gas temperature (°C)
\( V \) catalyst bed volume (m\(^3\))
\( V_{\text{NG}} \) nitrous gases flow rate (Nm\(^3\)·h\(^{-1}\))
\( V_{\text{TG}} \) tail gas flow rate (Nm\(^3\)·h\(^{-1}\))
\( X_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} \) \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) conversion

Greek symbols:
\( \Delta \pi \) pressure drop (Pa/mm\(_{\text{catalyst layer}}\))
\( \tau \) residence time (s)

References

1. Inger, M.; Dobrzyńska-Inger, A.; Rajewski, J.; Wilk, M. Optimization of ammonia oxidation using Response Surface Methodology. *Catalysts* 2019, 9, 249. [CrossRef]

2. Pérez-Ramírez, J.; Kapteijn, F.; Schöffel, K.; Moulijn, J.A. Formation and control of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) in nitric acid production: Where do we stand today? *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2003, 44, 117–151. [CrossRef]

3. Isupova, L.A.; Ivanova, Y.A. Removal of nitrous oxide in nitric acid production. *Kinet. Catal.* 2019, 60, 744–760. [CrossRef]

4. Wilk, M.; Inger, M.; Kruk, J.; Gołębiewski, A.; Jancewicz, R.; Szczepaniak, B. Obniżenie emisji podtlenku azotu z instalacji kwasu azotowego. *Przem. Chem.* 2009, 88, 730–733.

5. Stefanova, M.; Chuturkova, R. Technical engineering for catalytic reduction of nitrous oxide emissions. *J. Eng. Technol.* 2015, 3, 89–94. [CrossRef]

6. Konsolakis, M.I. Recent advances on nitrous oxide (\( \text{N}_2\text{O} \)) decomposition over non-noble-metal oxide catalysts: Catalytic performance, mechanistic considerations, and surface chemistry aspects. *ACS Catal.* 2015, 5, 6397–6421. [CrossRef]

7. Centi, G.; Perathoner, S. Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions by catalytic processes. In *Handbook of Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation*, 2nd ed.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2016; Volume 4, pp. 2827–2880.

8. Kim, M.-J.; Lee, S.J.; Ryu, I.-S.; Jeon, M.-W.; Moon, S.-H.; Roh, H.-S.; Jeon, S. Catalytic decomposition of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) over cobalt based spinel oxides: The role of additives. *Mol. Catal.* 2017, 442, 202–207. [CrossRef]

9. Granger, P.; Dacquin, J.-P.; Dujardin, C. Catalytic abatement of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) from stationary sources. In *Perovskites and Related Mixed Oxides: Concepts and Applications*; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2015; pp. 611–630.

10. Schoonheydt, R.; Vanelderen, P.; Sels, B. Direct catalytic decomposition of \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) over Cu- and Fe-zeolites. In *New and Future Developments in Catalysis: Catalysis for Remediation and Environmental Concerns*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2013; pp. 399–419.

11. Ohnishi, C.; Asano, K.; Iwamoto, S.; Chikama, K.; Inoue, M. Preparation of \( \text{Co}_3\text{O}_4 \) catalysts for direct decomposition of nitrous oxide under industrial conditions. *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.* 2006, 162, 737–744.
12. Wójcik, S.; Grzybek, G.; Stelmachowski, P.; Sojka, Z.; Kotarba, A. Bulk, surface and interface promotion of Co₃O₄ for the low-temperature N₂O decomposition catalysis. *Catalysts* 2020, 10, 41. [CrossRef]

13. Zhao, T.; Li, Y.; Gao, Q.; Liu, Z.; Xu, X. Potassium promoted Y₂O₃-Co₃O₄ catalysts for N₂O decomposition. *Catal. Commun.* 2020, 137, 105948. [CrossRef]

14. Richards, N.; Carter, J.H.; Nowicka, E.; Parker, L.A.; Pattisson, S.; He, Q.; Dummer, N.F.; Golunski, S.; Hutchings, G.J. Structure-sensitivity of alumina supported palladium catalysts for N₂O decomposition. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2020, 264, 118501. [CrossRef]

15. Hoang Ho, P.; Jabłońska, M.; Palkovits, R.; Rodriguea-Castellon, E.; Ospitali, F.; Formasari, G.; Vaccari, A.; Benito, P. N₂O catalytic decomposition on electrodeposited Rh-based open-cell metallic foams. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2020, 379, 122259.

16. Zhao, T.; Gao, Q.; Liao, W.; Xu, X. Effect of Nd-incorporation and K-modification on catalytic performance of Co₃O₄ for N₂O decomposition. *J. Fuel Chem. Technol.* 2019, 47, 1120–1128. [CrossRef]

17. Basahal, S.N.; Mokhtar, M.; Ali, T.T.; Narasimharao, K. Porous Fe₂O₃-ZrO₂ and NiO-ZrO₂ nanocomposites for catalytic N₂O decomposition. *Catal. Today* 2020, 348, 166–176. [CrossRef]

18. Pietrogiacomi, D.; Campa, M.C.; Carbone, L.R.; Occhiuzzi, M. N₂O decomposition and reduction on Co-MOR, Fe-MOR and Ni-MOR catalysts: In situ UV–vis DRS and operando FTIR investigation. An insight on the reaction pathways. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 2019, 240, 19–29. [CrossRef]

19. Bernauer, M.; Bernauer, B.; Sádovská, G.; Sobališ, Z. High-temperature decomposition of N₂O from the HNO₃ production: Process feasibility using a structured catalyst. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 2020, 220, 115624. [CrossRef]

20. Galejová, K.; Obalová, L.; Jiráťová, K.; Pacultová, K.; Kovanda, F. N₂O catalytic decomposition—Effect of pelleting pressure on activity of Co-Zn-Al mixed oxide catalyst. *Chem. Pap.* 2009, 63, 172–179. [CrossRef]

21. Obalová, L.; Jiráťová, K.; Karásková, K.; Chromáčková, Z. N₂O catalytic decomposition—From laboratory experiment to industry reactor. *Catal. Tod.* 2012, 191, 116–120. [CrossRef]

22. Inger, M.; Wilk, M.; Saramok, M.; Grzybek, G.; Grodzka, A.; Stelmachowski, P.; Makowski, W.; Kotarba, A.; Sojka, Z. Cobalt spinel catalyst for N₂O abatement in the pilot plant operation—Long-term activity and stability in tail gases. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2014, 53, 10335–10342. [CrossRef]

23. Obalová, L.; Klegova, A.; Matějová, L.; Pacultová, K.; Fridrichová, D. Must the best laboratory prepared catalyst also be the best in an operational application. *Catalysts* 2019, 9, 160.

24. Melian-Cabrera, I.; Espinosa, S.; Mentrúit, C.; Murray, B.; Falco, L.; Socci, J.; Kapteijn, E.; Moulijn, J.A. Overcoming the engineering constraints for scaling-up the state-of-the-art catalyst for tail-gas N₂O decomposition. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2018, 57, 939–945. [CrossRef]

25. Axon, S.; Coupland, D.; Horner, B.; Ridland, J.; Wishart, I.; Johnson Matthey PLC. Improved Catalyst Charge Design. Patent WO 2004/096702 A2, 11 November 2004.

26. Schumacher, V.; Bürger, G.; Fetzer, T.; Baier, M.; Hesse, M.; BASF. Method for the Catalytic Decomposition of N₂O. Patent WO 99/55621, 4 November 1999.

27. Baier, M.; Fetzer, T.; Hofstadt, O.; Hesse, M.; Buerger, G.; Harth, K.; Schumacher, V.; Wistuba, H.; Otto, B.; BASF. High-Temperature Stable Catalysts for Decomposing N₂O. Patent WO 0023176, 4 April 2000.

28. Nirisen, O.; Schoeffel, K.; Waller, D.; Oeveboe, D.; Norsk Hydro, A.S. Catalyst for Decomposing Nitrous Oxide and Method for Performing Processes Comprising Formation of Nitrous Oxide. Patent WO/2002/002230, 10 January 2002.

29. Neveu, B.; Hamon, C.; Grande Paroisse, S.A. Method for Reducing Nitrous Oxide in Gases and Corresponding Catalysts. Patent WO1999/64139 A1, 16 December 1999.

30. Jantsh, U.; Lund, J.; Kraus, M.; Gorywoda, M.; Heraeus GMBH. Catalyst for Decomposition of Nitrous Oxide under Conditions of Ostwald Process, Comprises Carrier Material, and Coating of Rhodium, Rhodium Oxide, or Palladium-Rhodium Alloy. Patent DE 102004024026 A1, 29 September 2005.

31. Wilk, M.; Kruk, J.; Gołębiowski, A.; Prokop, U.; Spiewak, Z.; Saran, H.; Instytut Nawozów Sztucznych. Sposób Wytwarzania Katalizatora do Rozkładu Podtlenku Azotu, Zwłaszcza w Gazach z Instalacji Kwasu Azotowego. Patent PL206340, 19 December 2005.

32. Wilk, M.; Kruk, J.; Gołębiowski, A.; Instytut Nawozów Sztucznych. Katalizator do Rozkładu Podtlenku Azotu, Zwłaszcza w Gazach z Instalacji Kwasu Azotowego. Patent PL207666, 19 December 2005.
33. Burckhardt, W.; Seifert, F.; Voigt, M.; Winterstein, G.; Hermsdorfer Institut für Technische Keramik. Ceramic Catalyst for Selectively Decomposing N₂O and a Method for the Production Thereof. Patent WO2000/13789, 27 July 2000.

34. Schwefer, M.; Seifert, R.; Fuchs, J.; Ruthardt, K.; Groves, M.; Thyssenkropp Industrial Solutions AG. Method for Removing N₂O and NOx From the Nitric Acid Production Process, and an Installation Suitable for Same. Patent US20170334722, 23 November 2017.

35. BASF Page. Available online: https://catalysts.basf.com/literature-library/stationary-emissions-catalysts/nitrous-oxide-destruction (accessed on 30 July 2020).

36. Clariant Page. Available online: https://www.clariant.com/de/Solutions/Products/2019/07/22/08/03/EnviCatN2O (accessed on 30 July 2020).

37. Burghardt, A.; Bartelmus, G. Inżynieria Reaktorów Chemicznych; PWN: Warszawa, Poland, 2001.

38. Inger, M.; Rajewski, J.; Ruszak, M.; Wilk, M. The influence of NOₓ presence on the catalytic N₂O decomposition over the supported double-promoted cobalt spinel catalyst. Chem. Pap. 2019, 73, 1979–1986. [CrossRef]

39. Giecko, G.; Borowiecki, T.; Gac, W.; Kruk, J. Fe₂O₃/Al₂O₃ catalysts for N₂O decomposition in the nitric acid industry. Catal. Tod. 2008, 137, 403–409. [CrossRef]

40. Kruk, J.; Stolecki, K.; Michalska, K.; Konkol, M.; Kowalik, P. The influence of modifiers on the activity of Fe₂O₃ catalyst for high temperature N₂O decomposition (HT-deN₂O). Catal. Tod. 2012, 191, 125–128. [CrossRef]

41. Wilk, M.; Inger, M.; Ruszak, M.; Saramok, M.; Kowalik, P.; Antoniak-Jurak, K.; Sojka, Z.; Kotarba, A.; Grzybek, G.; Stelmachowski, P.; et al. Supported Co-Zn Spinel Catalyst for the Abatement of Nitrogen(I) Oxide Emissions Especially from Nitric Acid Plants and a Method for Its Manufacture. Patent EP 16000547, 3 March 2016.

42. Grzybek, G.; Wójcik, S.; Legutko, P.; Gryboś, J.; Indyka, P.; Leszczyński, B.; Kotarba, A.; Sojka, Z. Thermal stability and repartition of potassium promoter between the support and active phase in the K-Co₂.₆Zn₀.₄O₄/α-Al₂O₃ catalyst for N₂O decomposition: Crucial role of activation temperature on catalytic performance. Appl. Catal. B Environ. 2017, 205, 597–604. [CrossRef]

43. Ciura, K.; Grzybek, G.; Wójcik, S.; Indyka, P.; Kotarba, A.; Sojka, Z. Optimization of cesium and potassium promoter loading in alkali-doped Zn₀.₄Co₂.₆O₄/Al₂O₃ catalysts for N₂O abatement. React. Kinet. Mech. Catal. 2017, 121, 645–655. [CrossRef]

44. Gołębiowski, A.; Kruk, J.; Wilk, M. Katalizator do wysokotemperaturowego rozkładu tlenku diazotu. Przem. Chem. 2006, 85, 709–711.