The influence of aerobic fitness status on ventilatory efficiency in patients with coronary artery disease
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OBJECTIVE: To test the hypotheses that 1) coronary artery disease patients with lower aerobic fitness exhibit a lower ventilatory efficiency and 2) coronary artery disease patients with lower initial aerobic fitness exhibit greater improvements in ventilatory efficiency with aerobic exercise training.

METHOD: A total of 123 patients (61.0 ± 0.7 years) with coronary artery disease were divided according to aerobic fitness status into 3 groups: group 1 (n = 34, peak VO₂ < 17.5 ml/kg/min), group 2 (n = 67, peak VO₂ > 17.5 and <24.5 ml/kg/min) and group 3 (n = 22, peak VO₂ > 24.5 ml/kg/min). All patients performed a cardiorespiratory exercise test on a treadmill. Ventilatory efficiency was determined by the lowest VE/VCO₂ ratio observed. The exercise training program comprised moderate-intensity aerobic exercise performed 3 times per week for 3 months. Clinicaltrials.gov: NCT02106533

RESULTS: Before intervention, group 1 exhibited both lower peak VO₂ and lower ventilatory efficiency compared with the other 2 groups (p<0.05). After the exercise training program, group 1 exhibited greater improvements in aerobic fitness and ventilatory efficiency compared with the 2 other groups (group 1: Δ = -2.5 ± 0.5 units; group 2: Δ = -0.8 ± 0.3 units; and group 3: Δ = -1.4 ± 0.6 units, respectively; p<0.05).

CONCLUSIONS: Coronary artery disease patients with lower aerobic fitness status exhibited lower ventilatory efficiency during a graded exercise test. In addition, after 3 months of aerobic exercise training, only the patients with initially lower levels of aerobic fitness exhibited greater improvements in ventilatory efficiency.

KEYWORDS: Coronary Disease; Exercise; Oxygen Consumption; Ventilation.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiorespiratory exercise testing (CET) is the primary method for quantifying aerobic capacity and, consequently, it is important to target exercise tolerance in patients with cardiovascular disease (1). It is interesting to note that measurements of ventilatory efficiency during CET, which are typically expressed as the minute ventilation/carbon dioxide production ratio, have been validated to be useful in assessing the presence and severity of both heart (1,2) and lung diseases (3,4). In this context, previous studies (5,6) have demonstrated that patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) exhibit ventilatory inefficiency, which suggests the existence of abnormalities in the distribution of ventilation and perfusion in the lungs. Furthermore, a growing body of evidence (7-9) has demonstrated that lower ventilatory efficiency during exercise is considered an important predictor of mortality risk, hospitalization, and other outcomes, in addition to peak oxygen consumption (peak VO₂).

Aerobic exercise training has been recommended as a non-pharmacological treatment for patients with different comorbidities (10-12). In this respect, previous investigations (10,13) have documented numerous physiological adaptations as a result of exercise training programs, such as improvements in maximal cardiac output and muscle oxidative capacity. In addition, previous studies in CAD patients (6,14) have found a strong relationship between an increase in aerobic fitness, defined as the maximal amount of oxygen the body can use during exercise and improvement in ventilatory efficiency after an exercise training program. However, to our knowledge, little is known about whether aerobic fitness status before training is associated with improved ventilatory efficiency after aerobic exercise training in CAD patients.
Thus, the purpose of the present study was to test the hypotheses that 1) CAD patients with lower aerobic fitness exhibit lower ventilatory efficiency and 2) CAD patients with lower levels of aerobic fitness before training exhibit greater improvements in ventilatory efficiency with aerobic exercise training compared with other groups.

**METHODS**

**Study design and population**

This retrospective longitudinal study included 123 patients with clinically documented CAD. The patients were admitted to the cardiac rehabilitation program between August 2011 and May 2013 at the Cardiorespiratory Rehabilitation Unit of the Amil Group. The inclusion criterion was stable CAD diagnosed by coronary angiography. The exclusion criteria comprised unstable angina pectoris, complex ventricular arrhythmias, pulmonary congestion and orthopedic or neurological limitations to exercise. The CAD patients were divided into 3 groups according to their aerobic fitness status based on risk stratification criteria for patients with cardiovascular disease by the American College of Sports Medicine (15): group 1 (n = 34, peak VO$_2$ <17.5 ml/kg/min), group 2 (n = 67, peak VO$_2$ 17.5 and <24.5 ml/kg/min) and group 3 (n = 22, peak VO$_2$ >24.5 ml/kg/min). Patients continued to take their prescribed medications throughout the exercise training program and no changes in medication were reported.

**Cardiorespiratory exercise test**

A maximal cardiorespiratory exercise test was conducted using a programmable treadmill (Centurion, model 200, Micromed, Brazil). Oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide output were analyzed by means of breath-by-breath gas exchange using an indirect calorimetry system (SensorMedics, model Vmax 229 Pulmonary Function/Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing Instrument, Yorba Linda, CA, USA). The following variables were obtained breath-by-breath and expressed as 30-s averages: pulmonary oxygen uptake (VO$_2$ ml/kg/min STPD), the ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide (VE/VCO$_2$) and end-tidal carbon dioxide pressure (PETCO$_2$ mmHg). Before each test, the gas analyzers used in the test were calibrated using known concentrations of carbon dioxide and oxygen balanced with nitrogen and the flow meter was calibrated using a 3-L syringe. Heart rate was continuously recorded at rest, during the graded exercise testing and during the recovery period using a 12-lead ECG (Ergo PC, Inc., Micromed, Brazil). The blood pressure response during the graded exercise test was obtained by the auscultatory method. Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were recorded at the first appearance (phase I) and at the disappearance (phase V) of Korotkoff sounds.

All tests in this study were performed in the same laboratory and at the same room temperature (20-23°C).

The subjects performed a graded exercise test on treadmill until they were exhausted. The exercise workload (speed and/or slope) was increased every 1 minute with completion of the incremental part of the exercise test between 8 to 12 minutes.

The ventilatory anaerobic threshold (VAT) was determined to occur at the breakpoint between the increase in the carbon dioxide output and VO$_2$ (V-Slope) or at the point at which the VE/VO$_2$ reached a minimum value and began to rise without a concomitant rise in the VE/VCO$_2$ (16). The respiratory compensation point (RCP) was determined to be the point at which the VE/VCO$_2$ reached a minimum value and began to rise and the PeTCO$_2$ reached its highest value before its progressive fall (17).

The peak oxygen consumption (peak VO$_2$) was defined as the maximum VO$_2$ attained at the end of the exercise period when the subject became exhausted (as measured using an analog scale of perceived exertion, according to the Borg scale).

**Ventilatory efficiency**

Ventilatory efficiency was determined by the VE to VCO$_2$ ratio and was calculated every minute during exercise. The lowest calculated value was taken to be the lowest VE/VCO$_2$ ratio (18,19). The change in end-tidal carbon dioxide pressure between rest and the highest value attained during exercise (APetCO$_2$ rest-exercise) was also analyzed (1).

**Exercise training program**

A supervised exercise training program was conducted at the cardiorespiratory rehabilitation unit of the Amil Group. The exercise training program comprised three 60-minute exercise sessions per week over a 3-month period. Each exercise session comprised a 5-minute warm up, 30-50 minutes of aerobic exercise performed on a treadmill and 5 minutes of cool-down exercises. The aerobic exercise intensity was set at the corresponding heart rate between the VAT and the RCP. All patients were able to achieve the set aerobic training intensity.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

One-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) with repeated measures were performed to test possible inter-group differences in physical characteristics, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and absolute changes in both aerobic fitness and ventilatory efficiency. Two-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) with repeated measures were performed to test possible within-group and inter-group differences in aerobic fitness, cardiovascular responses and ventilatory efficiency in CAD patients who participated in the exercise training program. When significant differences were found, Tukey’s post hoc test was used to compare values.

For all groups, the absolute changes ($\Delta$) between the initial values of both aerobic fitness and ventilatory efficiency and the final values of these variables attained after the exercise training program were calculated.

Data are presented as means ± SE. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Baseline measurements**

Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics of the 3 groups studied. The groups were similar in age and body weight ($p>0.05$, inter-group comparisons). Before interventions, group 1 had lower peak VO$_2$, peak heart rate, peak oxygen pulse and peak systolic blood pressure values compared with the other groups ($p<0.05$, inter-group comparisons). Moreover, the patients in group 1 exhibited lower ventilatory efficiency compared with the 2 other groups (lowest VE/VCO$_2$ ratio in group 1: 33.5 ± 0.5; group
2: 29.7±0.5; and group 3: 29.0±0.6 units, respectively; $p<0.05$, inter-group comparisons). In addition, group 1 exhibited lower $\Delta$PeTCO$_2$ (rest-exercise) values compared with the 2 other groups ($p<0.05$, inter-group comparisons). With regard to the LVEF, the patients in group 1 exhibited significantly lower values compared with the other groups ($p<0.05$, inter-group comparisons).

**Effects of the intervention**

After the exercise training program, all groups exhibited an increase in the peak VO$_2$ (group 1: PRE: 15.0±0.4 vs. POST: 19.4±0.6 ml/kg/min; group 2: PRE: 20.2±0.2 vs. POST: 23.3±0.4 ml/kg/min; and group 3: PRE: 27.4±0.5 vs. POST: 30.2±0.8 ml/kg/min; $p<0.05$; Table 2). However, only groups 2 and 3 exhibited greater peak heart rate values compared with the values before exercise training. After exercise training, only group 1 exhibited significantly greater peak oxygen pulse values compared with the values before exercise training (Table 2).

Only group 1 exhibited significantly lower VE/VCO$_2$ values compared with the pre-intervention period (group 1: PRE: 33.5±0.5 vs. POST: 31.0±0.4 units; $p<0.05$; Table 2). Further analysis demonstrated that the absolute changes ($\Delta$) in both the peak VO$_2$ and the lowest VE/VCO$_2$ ratio were greater in group 1 compared with the other 2 groups ($p<0.05$, inter-group comparisons; Figure 1A and 1B, respectively).

The PeTCO$_2$ response between rest and exercise is shown in Figure 2. Statistical analysis showed that the $\Delta$PeTCO$_2$ (rest-exercise) only improved in group 1 ($p<0.05$, within-group comparisons).

### Table 1 - Patient characteristics.

| Group | N   | Age (years) ± SE | Weight (kg) ± SE | Men/women | Clinical parameters | AMI (%) | CABG (%) | PTCA (%) | LVEF (%) ± SE | PeTCO$_2$ ratio | Drugs |
|-------|-----|-----------------|------------------|------------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|----------------|--------|
| 1     | 34  | 63.2±1.0        | 76.3±2.5         | 28/6       |                     | 16%      | 16%      | 18%       | 52.0±1.9      | 0.6±0.2        |        |
| 2     | 67  | 60.4±1.0        | 81.4±1.8         | 62/5       |                     | 24%      | 37%      | 30%       | 56.9±1.3      | 82.3±1.0      | Beta-blockers |
| 3     | 22  | 59.3±1.3        | 75.8±1.9         | 21/1       |                     | 6%       | 5%       | 17%       | 60.3±1.7*     | 80.7±1.7*     | ACE inhibitors |

Values are means ± SEs. AMI: acute myocardial infarction; CABG: coronary artery bypass grafting; PTCA: percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure.

### Table 2 - Cardiorespiratory measurements in coronary artery disease patients who underwent aerobic exercise training.

| Group | N   | Peak VO$_2$ ± SE | Peak heart rate, bpm | Peak oxygen pulse, ml/kg/min | Peak SBP, mmHg | Lowest VE/VCO$_2$ ratio |
|-------|-----|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 1     | 34  | 15.0±0.4         | 113.4±3.9            | 10.1±0.6                    | 153.6±3.4     | 30.5±0.5               |
| 2     | 67  | 20.2±0.2*        | 125.0±2.2*           | 13.2±0.4*                   | 163.9±2.7*    | 29.7±0.5*              |
| 3     | 22  | 27.4±0.5*        | 133.3±2.6*           | 15.9±0.4*                   | 160.9±3.5     | 29.0±0.6*              |

Values are means ± SEs. Peak VO$_2$: peak oxygen consumption; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure.
DISCUSSION

The major findings of the present study were that 1) CAD patients with lower aerobic fitness status exhibited lower ventilatory efficiency and 2) CAD patients with lower initial aerobic fitness exhibited greater improvements in ventilatory efficiency after aerobic exercise training.

Notably, in the current investigation, it was observed that group 1 exhibited lower aerobic fitness compared with the other groups. In this regard, the patients in group 1 exhibited lower peak oxygen pulse values compared with the other 2 groups. In addition, the exercise dyspnea characteristics of patients with cardiovascular disease may also have contributed to their exercise intolerance and reduced aerobic capacity.

An exploration of the mechanisms involved in ventilatory inefficiency in CAD patients with lower aerobic fitness are beyond the scope of the present study. However, we suggest that factors related to ventilation-perfusion (V/Q) mismatch that underlie a limited increase in cardiac output and an increase in pulmonary dead space may be responsible for low ventilatory efficiency in CAD patients. In support of this concept, we found that group 1 exhibited a lower increase in the ΔPeTCo2 (rest-exercise) compared with the other groups, suggesting that the cardiac output response was attenuated during exercise. In this regard, it has been shown that patients with cardiac disease, especially those with an impaired cardiac output response during exercise, have an abnormally low PeTCo2 during the graded exercise test (20).

Strategies capable of improving ventilatory efficiency and aerobic fitness are of the utmost importance in CAD patients. In this context, aerobic exercise training has been shown to be a potentially useful therapeutic tool for increasing the cardiorespiratory response in patients with different comorbidities (12,14,21). We observed that a three-month aerobic training program effectively improved ventilatory efficiency only in CAD patients with lower initial levels of aerobic fitness (group 1). Similarly, Satoh et al. (5) observed an improvement in ventilatory response in CAD patients with ventilatory inefficiency after 4 months of cardiac rehabilitation. Furthermore, Tomita et al. (6) demonstrated an increase in ventilatory efficiency after 3 months of aerobic exercise training in acute myocardial infarction patients. Importantly, in the same study, the authors observed that ventilatory efficiency improved with exercise training only in patients with lower baseline levels of ventilatory efficiency. It is well-established that physical training ameliorates ventilatory efficiency in patients with chronic heart failure (10,22), whereas ventilatory efficiency has shown to remain unchanged with physical training in healthy subjects (23). These findings suggest that the lowest VE/VCO2 ratio may be altered with aerobic exercise training only when the baseline levels are elevated.

Elucidating the mechanisms involved in the observed improvement in ventilatory efficiency after exercise training was not the aim of the present investigation. However, we suggest that a possible physiological mechanism responsible for this effect could be related to an improvement in the V/Q mismatch (6). Accordingly, in the present study, only patients in group 1 exhibited a greater increase in the ΔPeTCo2 (rest-exercise) after the exercise training program. Furthermore, in a previous investigation (14), our group found a negative association between the PeTCo2 and the VE/VCO2 at the ventilatory anaerobic threshold in CAD patients.
patients after 12 weeks of continuous exercise and interval exercise training. In addition, in the same study, a decrease in the functional estimate of dead space (VD/VT) was observed after the intervention, suggesting that an improvement in the V/Q ratio had occurred.

With respect to functional capacity, we found that CAD patients with a lower initial level of aerobic fitness exhibited greater improvement in the peak VO2 after aerobic exercise training compared with the other 2 groups. It is widely recognized that exercise training yields an increase in the peak VO2 among patients who have undergone cardiac rehabilitation (10,24,25). In this context, most studies have shown that exercise training increases aerobic capacity in the range of 15 to 25% (24). We demonstrated that patients with lower initial aerobic fitness levels exhibited an increase of 24% in the peak VO2. Nevertheless, we observed that patients with greater initial levels of aerobic fitness (groups 2 and 3) exhibited an increase in the peak VO2 of 13% and 9%, respectively. In addition, Goebbels et al. (24) observed an increase in aerobic fitness only in CAD patients with a reduced ejection fraction compared with an exercise group with normal ventricular function after an 8-week cardiac rehabilitation program. Collectively, these findings suggest that patients with the poorest initial functional capacity are likely to derive the greatest benefit from an exercise training program.

The present findings demonstrate that CAD patients with a lower level of aerobic fitness exhibited a lower ventilatory efficiency during exercise. In addition, after 3 months of aerobic exercise training, the patients with lower initial levels of aerobic fitness exhibited greater improvements in ventilatory efficiency with exercise training.

Collectively, these data suggest that aerobic exercise programs could potentially have clinically significant effects because low ventilatory efficiency observed in patients with CAD is an important predictor of cardiovascular mortality. There were two main limitations to the present study. The first limitation was that our patients were selected from a database in a retrospective study design. Information about the relationship between our sample and the population is thus limited because our study sample was not selected randomly. Thus, we cannot extrapolate the results of this study to the general population. Second, 35% of the patients with a lower aerobic fitness status had heart failure, which may be associated with the higher ventilatory inefficiency observed in group 1.
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