Lactobacillus plantarum st202ch and lactobacillus plantarum st216ch – what are the limitations for application?

Abstract

Lactobacillus plantarum ST202Ch and Lactobacillus plantarum ST216Ch, isolated from Beloura and Chourico (Portuguese fermented meat products), produced bacteriocins active against a number of Gram-positive and Gram-negative meat spoilage bacteria and Mycobacterium tuberculosis. In addition, Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch presented a good potential to be considered as probiotic candidates based on the genetic and physiological tests. However, before using both strains as biopreservatives and probiotic cultures, other investigations are in progress to assess their safety and virulence traits potentially hindering their applications in meat production processes.

This work first screened both Lb. plantarum strains for the occurrence of several bacteriocin genes. Lb. plantarum ST202Ch generated positive results for the presence of pediocin PA-1 genes and no evidences for nisin, plantaricin S, plantaricin W and plantaricin NC8. On the other hand, Lb. plantarum ST216Ch gave no evidences for all the bacteriocins genes targeted in this study. Bacteriocins produced by Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch have been partially purified by ammonium sulphate precipitation and hydrophobic chromatography on SepPakC18 column. Semi-purified bacteriocins presented a very high activity against Listeria monocytogenes, Staphylococcus aureus and Enterococcus faecalis. However, when semi-purified bacteriocin ST202Ch and bacteriocin ST216Ch (fraction 40% iso-propanol in 25 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.5) at 50μg/mL protein concentration have been tested for cytotoxicity, only 56.1% and 65.8% survival of human hepatocytes cells (Huh7.5) were recorded. At lower protein concentration (25μg/mL), the bacteriocins were not cytotoxic. Based on these results, cytotoxicity of bacteriocin ST202Ch and bacteriocin ST216Ch corresponded to CC50 of about 50-100μg/mL. According to these preliminary results, Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch could be used as biopreservatives cultures in meat production processes. However, further in vitro and in vivo investigations are needed towards their applications as probiotics.
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Introduction

In the last few decades consumers increased their demand for natural and chemical additive-free products urging the food industry to look for novel and alternative approaches for food biopreservation. One of the proposed routes was the use of bacteriocins produced by lactic acid bacteria (LAB), defined as ribosomally synthesized antimicrobial peptides that exhibit antagonism mainly against Gram-positive bacteria.

LAB consists of a promising group of bacteriocin-producing microorganisms due to their GRAS (Generally Recognized as Safe) status, which indicates their safe and easy application as food preservatives. At the present time, only nisin and pediocin PA-1 are commercially authorized worldwide depending on local law regulation.

Several LAB have been described as bacteriocin producers. Bacteriocins are generally low molecular weight proteins that gain entry into target cells by binding to cell surface receptors. Their bacterial mechanism varies and may include pore formation, degradation of cellular DNA, disruption through specific cleavage of 16S rDNA, and inhibition of peptidoglycan synthesis.

Chourico and Beloura are traditional smoked meat products produced in Portugal. Chourico, also known as Chorizo, is pork sausage typical of the Iberian Peninsula and is consumed fresh, cooked or fermented. Two bacteriocinogenic strains of Lb. plantarum (ST202Ch and ST216Ch) were previously isolated from Chourico and Beloura. Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch produce 3.5kDa and 10kDa bacteriocins, respectively, active against different species of Gram-positive and Gram-negative meat spoilage bacteria and Mycobacterium tuberculosis. In addition Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and ST216Ch presented a good potential as probiotic candidates based on the genetic and physiological tests. The bacteriocin production by starter cultures may bring advantage to these strains in competitive interactions with pathogenic bacteria from the food matrix. Favaro et al. reported that auto-aggregation was strain-specific, and values of 16.95% and 14.58% for L. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch, respectively. Various degrees of co-aggregation between 28.85% and 44.76% for Listeria monocytogenes 211 and 409, and between 23.60% to 34.96% for E. faecium ATCC 19443 were observed. According to the results of the diffusion method, the studied strains demonstrated susceptibility to penicillin G, ampicillin, amoxicillin, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, imipenem, linezolid, and tetracycline. In addition, the susceptibility of Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch to various non-antibiotic commercial drugs was previous examined. Production of β-galactosidase by Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch was confirmed by employing sterile filter paper discs impregnated with o-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranose. A statistically significant (P<0.001) inhibition of Mycobacterium tuberculosis.
growth by bacteriocins produced by Lb. plantarum ST202Ch (38.3%) and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch (48.6%) was observed. As determined by the polymerase chain reaction, the tested strains showed a low virulence gene profile.7

Although many papers evaluated a wide range of bacteriocins produced by Lb. plantarum that are active against specific pathogens, very few Lb. plantarum strains have been studied in a complete and organized manner.8 As a result, deeper characterization of the safety of producer and its bacteriocins is essential for their successful application in food industry.9 This work focused on the safety investigation of Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch in terms of presence of genes encoding bacteriocins and assessment of the potential cytotoxicity of expressed bacteriocins.

Materials and methods

Strains and media

Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch bacteriocinogenic strains were isolated from Chourico and Beloura® and L. monocytogenes, S. aureus and E. faecalis as test microorganisms were cultured in MRS broth and BHI broth (Difco, Detroit, MI, USA), respectively at 30°C and stored at -80°C, in presence of 20% glycerol.

Differentiation of the strains was by random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) PCR. Genomic DNA was extracted from Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch using a DNA extraction kit (Zymo Research, USA). Primers OPL-05, OPL-08 and OPL-20 (Kit L of the RAPD® lomer kits, Operon Biotechnologies, Cologne, Germany) were used. Amplification reactions were performed according to Todorov et al.10 The 50µL reaction volume contained 10µL primer, 5.0µL 10x rTaq Buffer (Takara Bio Inc, Shiga, Japan), 20µL 5mM MgCl2 (Roche), 8µL 2.5 mM dNTP (Takara Bio Inc, Shiga, Japan) and 1.0µL rTaq DNA polymerase (Takara Bio Inc, Shiga, Japan). Amplification was as follows: 45 cycles of 1 min per cycle at 94°C, and 1 min at 36°C, followed by an increase to 72°C over 2 min. Extension of the amplified product was at 72°C for 5min. The amplified products were separated by electrophoresis in 1.4% (w/v) agarose gels in 0.5 x TAE buffer at 100 V for 2h. Gels were stained in TAE buffer containing 0.5µg/ml ethidium bromide (Sigma Diagnostics, St. Louis, Mo., USA). Banding patterns were analysed using Gel Compare, Version 4.1 (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium).

Screening for bacteriocin production genes in Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch

Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch were prepared and tested as test strains. Lb. plantarum ST202Ch was highly (98%) identical to that of Lb. plantarum ST216Ch whereas no evidences were recorded for L. monocytogenes, E. faecalis and L. plantarum ST216Ch (data not shown), suggesting that the two isolates are genetically different.10,11 These results have been confirmed with the further determination for the presence of bacteriocins (in this study), virulence factors, biogenic amines and antibiotic resistance genes.12

On the basis of the PCR reactions performed targeting plantaricin S, plantaricin NC8, plantaricin W, pediocin PA-1 and nisin, Lb. plantarum ST202Ch generated positive results for pediocin PA-1 gene, whereas no evidences were recorded for Lb. plantarum ST216Ch. L. plantarum ST202Ch harbors a 1044bp fragment corresponding to that recorded for pediocin PA-1. The sequences of the PCR product using DNA from Lb. plantarum ST202Ch was identical to that reported for pediocin PA-1.13 Pediocin PA-1 biosynthesis involves a DNA fragment of approximately 3.5kb, comprising the four genes pedA, pedB, pedC, and pedD.14 This result shows that bacST202Ch shares a high homology with pediocin PA-1. In addition Lb. plantarum ST202Ch harbors a 203bp fragment corresponding to that recorded for nisin F. The sequence of the generated PCR product using DNA from Lb. plantarum ST202Ch was highly (98%) identical to that reported for nisin F.15,16

Cytotoxicity of bacteriocins produced by Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch

Cytotoxicity was assessed using human hepatocellular carcinoma cells (Huh7.5) as previously described by James et al.3 The results were calculated by regression analysis and expressed as CC50, which corresponds to the concentration of bacteriocin (µg/mL) needed to lower the cell viability to 50%.

Results and discussion

Differentiation of Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch and screening for presence of bacteriocin genes

RAPD-PCR analysis with primers OPL-05, OPL-08 and OPL-20 showed a significant difference between isolates ST202Ch and ST216Ch (data not shown), suggesting that the two isolates are genetically different.10,11 These results have been confirmed with the further determination for the presence of bacteriocins (in this study), virulence factors, biogenic amines and antibiotic resistance genes.12

Bacteriocin test and partial purification of bacteriocin/s expressed by Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch

Cell-free supernatant obtained from a 24h culture of Lb. plantarum ST202Ch and Lb. plantarum ST216Ch were prepared and tested for production of bacteriocin/s as described by Todorov et al.6 Bacteriocins were precipitated by addition of ammonium sulfate to the cell-free supernatant to obtain 60% saturation and stirred for 4h at 4°C. After centrifugation at 1h at 12,000g at 4°C, the resulting pellet was re-suspended in 100 mL of 25mM ammonium acetate buffer (pH 6.5), and loaded on SepPak C18 cartridge (Waters, Millipore, MA, USA), and bacteriocins eluted with 40% and 60% iso-propanol in 25mM ammonium acetate buffer (pH 6.5). The active fractions were dried under vacuum (Speed-Vac, Savant, France) and the bacteriocin fraction was re-suspended in sterile distilled water and filtered using 0.22µm pore size filter units (Waters). Bacteriocin production have been performed against Listeria monocytogenes 211 and 409 and E. faecium ATCC 19443 according to Todorov et al.9
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However, purification, mass spectrometry and amino-acid sequence of the expressed bacteriocins by *Lb. plantarum* ST202Ch will be needful in order to

i) Confirm if strain ST202Ch really expresses pediocin PA-1 and

ii) Evaluate the extent of identity of bacteriocin secreted by ST216Ch with the already available sequences of pediocin PA-1.

Considering the spectrum of activity and molecular size of the secreted bacteriocins* Lb. plantarum* ST202Ch most probably produces antimicrobial peptide(s) different from pediocin PA-1. To further investigate this aspect, we have purified and sequenced the putative PA-1 amplicon obtained with *Lb. plantarum* ST202Ch. The resulting sequence displayed high homology (~98%) when compared to sequences previously deposited in the GenBank for pediocin PA-1. However, additional experiments on bacteriocin purification and amino-acid sequence of the expressed bacteriocins(are required in order to confirm the expression of the detected pediocin gene in *Lb. plantarum* ST202Ch.

Very frequently authors report new bacteriocin identification based only on the determination of the presence of gene(s) for bacteriocin's production.12,13,14 Albano et al.11 have pointed the presence of pediocin PA-1 genes in two different strains of *P.* acidilactici isolated from “Alheira”. The evidences for the expression of this bacteriocin are not reported in cited works11,12,13,14 and it can only be surmised whether these bacteriocins were expressed or not. The purification of the bacteriocin followed by mass spectrometry and amino acid sequencing (even only partial sequence or amino acid composition) is a proof that the genes are being expressed.20 It was shown that some bacteriocin producer strains may carry several genes for bacteriocin production and depend of the growth conditions to express one or other gene.21

**Bacteriocin purification and cytotoxicity of expressed bacteriocins (ST202Ch and ST216Ch)**

Bacteriocins produced by *Lb. plantarum* ST202Ch and *Lb. plantarum* ST216Ch have been partially purified by ammonium sulphate precipitation and hydrophobic chromatography on SepPacC$_8$ column. Semi-purified bacteriocins disclosed very high activity against *L.* monocytogenes, *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis*. However, when semi-purified bacteriocins ST202Ch and ST216Ch (fraction 40% iso-propanol in 25 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.50) at 50μg/mL protein concentration have been tested for cytotoxicity, only 56.1% and 65.8% survival of human hepatocellular carcinoma cells (Huh7.5) were detected. Nevertheless, no toxicity has been observed on Huh7.5 cells with 25μg/mL protein concentration. Based on these results, cytotoxicity of bacteriocin ST202Ch and bacteriocin ST216Ch corresponded to CC50 of about 50-100μg/mL. Application of both bacteriocins in semi-purified or purified preparations in food will need to be considered with attention to the level of their cytotoxicity. To this regard, it is important to compare the extent of cytotoxicity detected in this study with relative data reported for other bacteriocins. Unfortunately, only a few bacteriocins have been previously characterized regarding their cytotoxicity.22,23,24 Vaucher et al.24 reported cytotoxicity for antimicrobial peptide P34 and nisin. Vero cells were treated with different concentrations (0.02 - 2.5μg/mL) of antimicrobial peptide P34 and nisin. The EC50 values of the peptide P34 were 0.60 and 1.25μg/mL respectively, while values of nisin assessed as 0.50 and 1.04μg/mL. Determination of the cytotoxicity is an important parameter in the characterization of bacteriocins in order to recommend their application for food biopreservation or as an alternative to antibiotics in medical practice.

**Conclusion**

Besides all beneficial properties studied for various LAB, a special attention needs to be paid on the possible presence of virulence factors, production of biogenic amines and antibiotic resistance. These virulence determinants have been well detected and studied in Enterococci and Streptococci. Nonetheless, in last fewyears, reports on the presence of virulence factors in otherwise GRAS Lactobacilli demonstrated potential upcoming problems for their applications in food industry. Horizontal gene transfer of virulence factors between pathogenic and LAB, including probiotics is a highly possible scenario in case of uncontrolled application of probiotics.

The preliminary results of this study indicate that *Lb. plantarum* ST202Ch and *Lb. plantarum* ST216Ch, isolated from Chourico and Beloura for their promising antimicrobial activities, could be used as biopreservatives cultures in meat production processes. However, the level of cytotoxicity determined for their bacteriocins has to be further evaluated before the application of both strains as probiotics.
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