Tomato fruits expressing a bacterial feedback-insensitive 3-deoxy-D-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase of the shikimate pathway possess enhanced levels of multiple specialized metabolites and upgraded aroma
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Abstract

Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) fruit contains significant amounts of bioactive compounds, particularly multiple classes of specialized metabolites. Enhancing the synthesis and accumulation of these substances, specifically in fruits, are central for improving tomato fruit quality (e.g. flavour and aroma) and could aid in elucidate pathways of specialized metabolism. To promote the production of specialized metabolites in tomato fruit, this work expressed under a fruit ripening-specific promoter, E8, a bacterial AroG gene encoding a 3-deoxy-o-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase (DAHPS), which is feedback-insensitive to phenylalanine inhibition. DAHPS, the first enzyme of the shikimate pathway, links between the primary and specialized metabolism deriving from aromatic amino acids. AroG expression influenced the levels of number of primary metabolites, such as shikimic acid and aromatic amino acids, as well as multiple volatile and non-volatile phenylpropanoids specialized metabolites and carotenoids. An organoleptic test, performed by trained panellists, suggested that the ripe AroG-expressing tomato fruits had a preferred floral aroma compare with fruits of the wild-type line. These results imply that fruit-specific manipulation of the conversion of primary to specialized metabolism is an attractive approach for improving fruit aroma and flavour qualities as well as discovering novel fruit-specialized metabolites.
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Introduction

Diets rich in fruits and vegetables are shown to be associated with the reduced incidence of chronic disease (Key et al., 2002). These findings have led several governments to recommend and encourage the consumption of at least five portions of fruits and vegetables per day (Cooper, 2004). The health benefits conferred by certain fruits and vegetables have been generally attributed to the presence of health-promoting phytochemicals with potent antioxidant properties (also termed bioactives), such as carotenoids, phenylpropanoids, tocopherols and tocotrienols (vitamin E forms), and ascorbic acid (vitamin C). Ripe red tomato fruit contains significant amounts of these
compounds and are the principal source of the carotenoid lycopene in the human diet (Enfissi et al., 2010). In addition, they contain an estimated 30 volatile compounds that determine their flavour and aroma properties and are present in sufficient quantities to noticeably stimulate the olfactory system (Buttery and Ling, 1993; Ibda et al., 2006; Klee, 2010; Dal Cin et al., 2011; Klee and Giovannoni, 2011). A significant part of the volatile as well as non-volatile specialized metabolites produced in tomato fruit are synthesized from the aromatic amino acids phenylalanine (Phe) and tyrosine (Tyr). The synthesis of these metabolites is up-regulated during fruit ripening and they therefore contribute to the unique flavour of tomato fruit (Baldwin et al., 2000; White, 2002; Klee and Giovannoni, 2011).

To date, only limited amount of studies described the elucidation and manipulation of metabolic regulatory bottlenecks in the conversion of primary metabolism into aromatic specialized metabolites, with major efforts being directed at the production of Phe-derived volatiles (Dudareva and Pichersky, 2008). Examples of these studies include the aromatic l-α-amino acid decarboxylases that catalyse the conversion of Phe to phenethylamine and Tyr to tyramine (Tieman et al., 2006; Gutensohn et al., 2011), phenylacetaldehyde synthase that functions in the formation of phenylacetaldehyde, a constituent of floral scent (Kaminaga et al., 2006), and isoeugenol synthase 1 that catalyses the formation of isoeugenol in petunia (Petunia hybrida) (Dexter et al., 2007). The co-regulation between pathways of specialized metabolism precursors, as in the case of aromatic amino acids, is also far from being understood (Doerfler et al., 2013). Recently, several studies have elucidated this metabolic link: (i) a gene of Phe biosynthesis, named arogenate dehydratase, was recently characterized in petunia petals and its suppression resulted in varying degrees of reduction in the emission of different phenylpropanoids/benzenoid volatiles (Maeda et al., 2010); and (ii) a number of transcription factors have been identified that regulate the production of volatile and non-volatile specialized metabolites in various plant species, such as petunia flowers and tomato fruits (Verdonk et al., 2005; Dal Cin et al., 2011; Moyal Ben Zvi et al., 2012; Spitzer-Rimon et al., 2012).

To study the regulatory interaction between pathways of primary and specialized metabolism associated with the three aromatic amino acids [AAAs; Phe, Tyr, and tryptophan (Trp)], this work independently expressed in transgenic Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) bacterial genes encoding: (i) a mutant feedback-insensitive chorismate mutase/prephenate dehydratase, the product of a bacterial PheA, associated with the conversion of chorismate to phenylpyruvate and Phe (Tzin et al., 2009); and (ii) a mutant feedback-insensitive 3-deoxy-α-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase, the product of a bacterial AroG, encoding DAHPS, the first enzyme of the shikimate pathway (Tzin et al., 2012; Fig. 1). Plants expressing these bacterial genes exhibited enhanced levels of a number of aromatic specialized metabolites in a manner that was specific to the bacterial enzyme (Tzin et al., 2009, 2012).

To elucidate the impact of the shikimate pathway on the production of specialized metabolites in tomato fruits, this work expressed a bacterial Phe-feedback-insensitive AroG under a fruit-specific promoter in transgenic tomato plants. Fruits of these tomato plants exhibited altered levels of various primary metabolites as well as multiple volatile and non-volatile specialized metabolites, many of which are novel metabolites that have not yet been characterized and thus can serve as candidates for future metabolite discoveries. The results imply that fruit-specific manipulation of a gene that encodes an enzyme controlling the conversion of primary to specialized metabolism is an attractive tool for improving fruit aroma and flavour qualities. Such an approach may aid in overcoming the genetic linkage between long-shelf life and low flavour in tomato fruit, an issue that has so far been hard to solve by classical breeding.

**Materials and methods**

**Plasmid construction**

The truncated coding DNA sequence of *Escherichia coli* AroG was amplified by PCR with the following oligonucleotides: forward
5′-CATGATGCCTGATGAATTACAGAAGACGAGA-3′, which introduces a SphI restriction site (underlined); and reverse 5′-GGAATTCCCAGCAGCGCTTTTACTG-3′, which introduces an EcoRI restriction site (underlined). Two point-mutation forms of AroG encoding to feedback-insensitive proteins were constructed: AroG175 with a point mutation at position 524 (Leu175Glu) and AroG209 which has a point mutation at position 625-626 (Phe209Ala). The following PCR primers were used: AroG175 forward 5′-GTGCACCCGACAGGATCAAGGCTT-3′ and reverse 5′-AAGCCCTGATGCGTCGGTGCACTGAC-3′ and AroG209 forward 5′-GGCCCGCATCGCGCCCTGTCGGTAACG-3′ and reverse 5′-CGTTACGGAAGGGCGCAGTGGCGGCG-3′. The RUBISCO small subunit-3A plastid transit peptide used (Shaull and Galili, 1993) was fused in frame to the 5′-end of the open reading frame. The genes were fused to the E8 promoter, which is spatially and temporally regulated in mature tomato fruit (Solanum lycopersicum) (Zhao et al., 2009) and regulated by ethylene regulatory regions in a fruit-specific promoter (Deikman et al., 1992). The genes were fused to three copies of a HA epitope tag fused to an octopine synthase terminator, and the entire fragment was subcloned into the Ti plasmid pART27 (Gleave, 1992). The chimeric genes were introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens EHA-105.

**Tomato stable transformation**

Wild-type (WT; M82 cultivar) tomato plants were inoculated by submerging cotyledons in the transformed A. tumefaciens culture as previously described (Fillati et al., 1987).

**Tomato growth and sampling**

Tomato transformation and genotyping performed by Hazera Genetics (www.hazera.co.il). Flowers of greenhouse-grown plants were marked at anthesis, and fruits were harvested according to appearance and days post anthesis (DPA): ~42 DPA, mature green; ~44 DPA, breaker; and ~48 DPA, ripe red. Each biological repeat was a mixture of three to five individual fruits from the same stage of development. Immediately upon harvesting, peel and flesh (without the gel and seeds) were manually dissected and frozen in liquid nitrogen (Adato et al., 2009).

**Immunoblot analysis**

Immunoblots were performed as previously described (Stepansky and Galili, 2003) using monoclonal anti-haemagglutinin antibodies (Sigma Aldrich).

**Isoprenoid extraction and analysis**

Isoprenoids were extracted from 100mg fresh weight of frozen tomato powder and analysed by HPLC with a photo diode array (Fraser et al., 2000) with several modifications as previously published (Adato et al., 2009).

**Metabolomics analysis by the use of UPLC/qTOF-MS**

Non-targeted metabolic analysis was performed with aerial tissues of tomato 500mg peel or flesh extracted in 80% methanol. Sample preparation and injection conditions were performed as previously described (Mintz-Oron et al., 2008). The analysis of the raw UPLC/qTOF-MS data was done using the XCMS software that performs chromatogram alignment, mass signal detection, and peak integration (Smith et al., 2006) from the Bioconductor package version 2.1 for the R statistical language version 2.6.1. XCMS was used with the following parameters: fwhm = 10.8, step = 0.05, steps = 4, mzdff = 0.07, snthresh = 8, max = 1000. Injections of samples in the positive and negative ionization modes were performed in separate injection sets and preprocessing was done for each ionization mode independently. Differential mass ions were determined using a Student’s t-test (JMP software).

**GC-MS extraction, derivatization, and profiling of polar non-volatile extracts**

GC-MS analysis of polar metabolites in the AroG<sub>209-9</sub> overexpressing and WT fruit tissues (n = 5–6) were carried out as previously described (Mintz-Oron et al., 2008). Xcalibur version 1.4 (Thermo Finnigan) was used for data analysis. Compounds were identified by comparison of their retention index and mass spectrum to those generated from authentic standards analysed on the same instrument. In cases when standards were not available, compounds were putatively identified by comparison of their retention index and mass spectrum to those present in the mass spectra library of Max-Planck-Institute for Plant Physiology, Golm, Germany (Q_MSRI_ID) and the commercial mass spectra library NIST05 (www.nist.gov). The response values for metabolites resulting from the Xcalibur processing method were normalized to the ribitol (adenitol, Sigma Aldrich) internal standard. For principal components analysis (PCA), the data were pretreated as follows: missing values for metabolites in one of the replicates were exchanged for the average between the replicates, zero values were replaced by a value 4-times lower than the minimal non-zero value in the data set, data were normalized to the mean of each metabolite across all samples and log-transformed (Tzin et al., 2009). For statistical analysis of the whole data matrix, a two-way ANOVA test was performed with the two discriminating factors being line (WT or AroG<sub>209-9</sub>) and fruit developmental stage. Both PCA plots and the two-way ANOVA test were constructed with the TMEV software package (Saeed et al., 2003).
10 g tissue from a mix of 2–5 cut fruits, harvested at the ripening stage (flesh and peel) \((n = 4)\). Tissue was extracted with 30 ml MTBE/hexane (1:1) containing 2 \(\mu\)g isobutylbenzene as an internal standard. Following overnight incubation with shaking at 150 rpm, the extract was centrifuged at 10,500 \(g\) for 10 min and the supernatant was passed through a 0.2 \(\mu\)m filter. Samples were evaporated, using liquid nitrogen, to a final volume of 200 \(\mu\)l before injection into a GC-MS instrument (Ben Zvi et al., 2012). Further identification of the compounds was based on a comparison of mass spectra and retention times with those of authentic standards (Sigma Aldrich) analysed under similar conditions (Davidovich-Rikanati et al., 2007).

**Sensory panel and evaluation of samples**

A panel of 10 trained flavour specialists evaluated the aroma of samples by smelling the fruits. Preliminary tests were carried out to improve the ability of the assessors to recognize odour defects and consistently quantify sensory properties. The panellists had previously been trained in the quantitative description of tomato attributes according to selection trials based on French norms (ISO8586-1, AFNOR V09-003). For each fruit sample, cut sections containing all fruit tissues were used for aroma evaluation by the panel. Several attributes were chosen: acidic, floral, fresh, green, metallic, musty, ripe, spicy, and sweet in addition to global aroma intensity. Values of individual fruits \((n = 3–4)\) were ranked between 0 (none) to 5 (very strong). When completed, the panel members discussed their scores and agreed on the final summarizing score of each aroma group.

**Results**

**Generation of transgenic tomato plants expressing a bacterial feedback-insensitive 3-deoxy-D-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate**

To evaluate the impact of enhancing metabolic flow through the shikimate pathway on the accumulation of primary and specialized metabolites in tomato fruits, this work expressed two forms of a bacterial gene encoding two variants of a feedback-insensitive bacterial AroG enzyme, AroG\(_{175}\) or AroG\(_{209}\) (Tzin et al., 2012). These two variants were expressed under the control of the fruit ripening-specific E8 promoter which is induced by ethylene upon fruit maturation (Deikman et al., 1992; Zhao et al., 2009). The transgenic AroG\(_{175}\)- and AroG\(_{209}\)-expressing plants had comparable phenotypes to the WT plants and were fully fertile (data not shown). A schematic diagram of the AroG constructs introduced to tomato plants and expression of the AroG proteins in ripe red fruit are presented in Supplementary Fig. S1 (available at JXB online). Ripe fruit were harvested from five independently transformed AroG\(_{175}\) (two lines) and AroG\(_{209}\) lines (three lines) and WT plants, and were analysed by an established high-resolution LC-MS-based metabolomics platform (negative ion-mode; Rogachev and Aharoni, 2012). PCA of whole ripe fruit extracts showed that the metabolic profile of the WT differed from those of the AroG\(_{175}\) and AroG\(_{209}\) lines (Fig. 2A). In addition, a clear difference was evident between the group of independently transformed AroG\(_{175}\) lines (AroG\(_{175-6}\) and AroG\(_{175-11}\)) and AroG\(_{209}\) lines (AroG\(_{209-4}\), AroG\(_{209-8}\), and AroG\(_{209-9}\)). This separation implied an influence of both the different mutations within the AroG genes as well as

![Fig. 2. Metabolic profiling of transgenic tomato plants expressing a bacterial feedback-insensitive AroG gene.](https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-abstract/64/14/4441/684803)
the level of expression of the same transgene in different transformed plants on the extent of the metabolic changes. The levels of all three AAAs were significantly increased in all five lines (Fig. 2B). Tyrosine level was also significantly higher in the AroG209 lines and the highest in the AroG209-9 line as compared to the WT. For further study, the homozygous AroG209-9 line, which contained a single insertion, was selected.

Effect of AroG209-9 expression on the levels of non-volatile metabolites accumulating during fruit maturation

The fleshy fruit of tomato develops in several marked stages, each possessing a characteristic metabolic profile (Carrari et al., 2006). In view of that, fruits were separated into two tissues: (i) peel, which is typically composed of multiple cell types, including epidermis, collenchyma, and some parenchyma, and (ii) flesh, which refers to the pericarp material from which the peel has been removed and therefore is predominantly composed of parenchyma and collenchyma (Mintz-Oron et al., 2008). Tomato fruit development can be divided into four main phases: cell differentiation, cell division, cell expansion, and ripening (Gillaspy et al., 1993). The E8 promoter used to direct the expression of the AroG209-9 construct has been previously shown to direct a relatively minor expression starting at the mature green stage and become efficiently activated at the ripening stage (Lincoln and Fischer, 1988; Good et al., 1994; Deikman et al., 1998). Therefore, the current work examined the metabolic profiling of fruits at three ripening stages, namely mature green, breaker, and ripe red stages. In order to profile polar compounds, in particular primary metabolites, the previously established GC-MS analysis of derivatized fruit extracts was used (Fernie et al., 2004). In tomato fruit, this technology allowed the monitoring of the levels of 64 metabolites, including amino acids, organic acids, sugar alcohols, tricarboxylic acid cycle intermediates, soluble sugars, sugar phosphates, and a few specialized metabolites (Supplementary Table S1). In addition, the developing tomato fruits were also subjected to high-resolution LC-MS analysis in both electrospray ionization-positive and -negative modes that covers mostly semi-polar, specialized metabolites (Supplementary Tables S2 and S3). This technology allowed the monitoring of the levels of 63 metabolites, including specialized metabolites derived from the phenylpropanoids, and steroidal alkaloids pathways as well as some primary metabolites. Finally, a stand-alone HPLC-PDA system coupled to UV and fluorescent detectors was employed for targeted analysis of nine isoprenoids, including carotenoids, chlorophylls, and tocopherols (Supplementary Table S4).

To obtain a broad view of the differences in the metabolites of fruit peel and flesh tissues, this study conducted PCA on the data sets derived from the metabolite profiling using GC-MS (Fig. 3A, C) and LC-MS (Fig. 3B, D). In these analyses, the AroG209-9 line and the WT were essentially grouped together at the mature green and breaker stages, while they were clearly separated at the ripe red stage in both the peel and flesh tissues. More detailed analysis of the LC-MS results revealed many more putative metabolites (approximately 220 in peel and 120 in flesh, with a mean of five mass signals per metabolite) whose levels were significantly different between fruits of the WT and the AroG209-9 line during the ripe red stage (for more details, see Venn diagram in Supplementary Fig. S2).

Subsequently, this work performed correlation coefficient analyses on 45 selected unknown mass signals whose levels were different between AroG209-9 and WT lines in the GC-MS and LC-MS analyses (Table 1). As shown in Supplementary Fig. S3, approximately 60 known metabolites and mass signals, including AAAs, shikimic acid, prephenic acid, oligosaccharides, and phenylpropanoids as well as an additional 43 unknown mass signals were highly clustered. These results implied that AroG209-9 expression has a major influence on the accumulation of primary and specialized metabolites in both the peel and flesh of the ripe red tomato fruit. This work also performed correlation coefficient analyses of GC-MS and LC-MS-identified metabolites and mass signals, which are presented as heat maps in Supplementary Fig. S4. Three major groups of compounds were highly clustered: group I that includes 12 compounds, mostly amino acids and monosaccharides; group II that includes 26 compounds, mostly organic acids, steroidal alkaloids and phenylpropanoids, and

![Fig. 3. Metabolite profiles of developing tomato fruits of the AroG209-9 line and the wild type (WT). (A and C) PCA plots of metabolic profiles obtained by GC-MS analysis (125 detected metabolites) in peel (A) and flesh (C). (B and D) PCA plots of metabolic profiles obtained by LC-MS analysis in negative ion mode from 3931 mass signals in peel (B) and 1979 mass signals in flesh (D). T2-generation fruit were sampled in three developmental stages: mature green (MG, ~42 days post anthesis, DPA), breaker (BR, ~44 DPA), and Red (ripe red; ~48 DPA), and separated to peel and flesh (n = 5–6 for each line and developing stage) (this figure is available in colour at JXB online).](https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-abstract/64/14/4441/684803)
Table 1. Metabolites accumulating in the peel of developing tomato fruits expressing AroG<sub>209-9</sub>

| Metabolites       | Peel                        |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
|                   | Mature green | Breaker | Ripe red  |
| Amino acids       |              |         |           |
| Phe (G)           | 1.01 ± 0.22 | 4.74 ± 0.69<sup>a</sup> | 88.95 ± 8.88<sup>a</sup> |
| Tyr (G)           | 1.61 ± 0.42 | 2.38 ± 0.30 | 171.95 ± 42.80<sup>a</sup> |
| Trp (G)           | 0.64 ± 0.15 | 2.16 ± 0.27 | 4.12 ± 0.26<sup>a</sup> |
| Asn (G)           | 0.41 ± 0.05<sup>a</sup> | 0.52 ± 0.11 | 0.51 ± 0.07 |
| Gin (G)           | 0.02 ± 0.01<sup>a</sup> | 0.02 ± 0.01 | 0.39 ± 0.05 |
| Gly (G)           | 0.50 ± 0.06<sup>a</sup> | 1.30 ± 0.23 | 1.69 ± 0.25 |
| N-acetyl-Glu (G)  | 0.03 ± 0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.20 ± 0.10 | 0.39 ± 0.05 |
| Thr (G)           | 0.47 ± 0.05<sup>a</sup> | 1.02 ± 0.22 | 1.08 ± 0.09 |
| Organic acids     |              |         |           |
| Fumaric acid (G)  | 1.45 ± 0.13 | 1.81 ± 0.29 | 2.48 ± 0.32<sup>a</sup> |
| Prephenic acid (G)| 7.6 ± 1.2<sup>a</sup> | 354.3 ± 119.9<sup>a</sup> | 604.8 ± 97.9<sup>a</sup> |
| Shikimic acid (G) | 2.28 ± 0.44 | 2.52 ± 0.26<sup>a</sup> | 17.43 ± 0.83<sup>a</sup> |
| Sugars            |              |         |           |
| Cellobiose (G)    | 0.93 ± 0.09 | 1.28 ± 0.10 | 7.99 ± 0.81<sup>a</sup> |
| Fructose (G)      | 1.74 ± 0.10<sup>a</sup> | 0.99 ± 0.07 | 1.05 ± 0.13 |
| Fructose-6-P (G)  | 0.48 ± 0.02<sup>a</sup> | 0.83 ± 0.07 | 0.91 ± 0.13 |
| Mannose (G)       | 1.69 ± 0.13<sup>a</sup> | 1.19 ± 0.17 | 1.17 ± 0.15 |
| Raffinose (G)     | 1.24 ± 0.25 | 2.00 ± 0.21 | 103.1 ± 17.2<sup>a</sup> |
| Trehalose (G)     | 0.54 ± 0.09 | 0.67 ± 0.18 | 73.6 ± 6.1<sup>a</sup> |
| Polyamines        |              |         |           |
| Putrescine (G)    | 1.06 ± 0.07 | 0.58 ± 0.02 | 0.34 ± 0.03<sup>a</sup> |
| Nucleosides       |              |         |           |
| Guanosine (G)     | 0.82 ± 0.28 | 0.93 ± 0.20 | 1.90 ± 0.14<sup>a</sup> |
| Phenylpropanoids  |              |         |           |
| 3-Caffeoylquinic acid (G) | 0.89 ± 0.18 | 1.30 ± 0.21 | 2.86 ± 0.24<sup>a</sup> |
| 4-Caffeoylquinic acid (G) | 0.67 ± 0.11 | 0.98 ± 0.25 | 2.14 ± 0.09<sup>a</sup> |
| Coumaric acid (G) | 0.70 ± 0.10 | 2.66 ± 0.62 | 164.8 ± 18.4<sup>a</sup> |
| Coumaric acid-hexose I (L) | 0.80 ± 0.19 | 0.56 ± 0.12 | 15.28 ± 3.98<sup>a</sup> |
| Naringenin chalcone-hexose IV (L) | 0.83 ± 0.09 | 0.72 ± 0.10 | 5.22 ± 0.70<sup>a</sup> |
| Quercetin (L)     | 0.39 ± 0.06<sup>a</sup> | 0.69 ± 0.13 | 2.60 ± 0.89 |
| Quercetin-hexose-deoxyhexose-pentose-p-coumaric acid (L) | 0.99 ± 0.11 | 1.09 ± 0.32 | 3.85 ± 0.30<sup>a</sup> |
| Tricaffeoylquinic acid (L) | 0.94 ± 0.16 | 0.69 ± 0.18 | 2.33 ± 0.18<sup>a</sup> |
| Carotenoids       |              |         |           |
| Phytotene (H)     | ND            | ND       | 0.21 ± 0.09<sup>a</sup> |
| Phytotfluene (H)  | ND            | ND       | 0.08 ± 0.05<sup>a</sup> |
| Lycopene-like (H) | ND            | ND       | 0.29 ± 0.19<sup>a</sup> |

Tomato fruits from T<sub>3</sub> generation were used. Metabolite levels are presented as fold-change (AroG<sub>209-9</sub>/WT) in each developing stage: mean ± SE. *P < 0.05 (false discovery rate) as analysed by two-way ANOVA and a Student t-test. G, GC-MS (n = 6); H, HPLC-PDA (n = 4); L, UPLC/qTOF-MS (n = 5); ND, not detected.

Group III that includes 43 metabolites mostly AAAs, oligosaccharides and phenylpropanoids. This suggested strong cross-talk between the primary and the specialized metabolites.

Effect of AroG<sub>209-9</sub> expression on the levels of non-volatile metabolites in peel tissue during fruit development

Table 1 presents a list of annotated metabolites that were detected by the GC-MS, LC-MS, and HPLC-PDA analyses and possessed significantly altered levels in the AroG<sub>209-9</sub> line compared to the WT in one or more stages of fruit development in peel. The major metabolic changes that were detected in the peel tissue at the ripe red stage included increased levels of primary metabolites associated with the shikimate pathway and AAA biosynthesis, including prephenic acid, shikimic acid, and the three AAAs. In addition, the levels of the three oligosaccharides cellobiose, raffinose, and trehalose as well as the nucleoside guanosine were increased in the AroG<sub>209-9</sub> compared to the WT. The levels of several phenylpropanoids were higher in ripe red fruits of the AroG<sub>209-9</sub> line including 3-cafeoylquinic acid, 4-cafeoylquinic acid, tricaffeoylquinic acid, coumaric acid, coumaric acid hexose I, naringenin chalcone hexose IV, and quercetin-hexose-deoxyhexose-pentose-p-coumaric acid. However, in the ripe red stage, the levels of only four metabolites were reduced including the polyamine putrescine and the carotenoids phytotene, phytotfluene, and a lycopene-like metabolite. To summarize, levels of various
known metabolites, particularly AAAs, organic acids, and phenylpropanoids, were increased in peel tissue during AroG<sub>209-9</sub> fruit development.

**Effect of AroG<sub>209-9</sub> expression on the levels of non-volatile metabolites in flesh tissue during fruit development**

Table 2 presents the metabolites whose levels were significantly altered in the AroG<sub>209-9</sub> line compared with the WT in the flesh tissue. The major metabolic changes detected in the flesh tissue of the ripe red stage AroG<sub>209-9</sub> fruits included significantly increased levels of the primary metabolites prephenic acid, shikimic acid, Phe, and Tyr. In addition, the levels of three oligosaccharides—cellobiose, raffinose, and trehalose—were increased. In contrast, the levels of putrescine and three carotenoid compounds—phytoene, phytofluene and lycopene-like—were significantly decreased in the AroG<sub>209-9</sub> fruits compared to the WT. Several flesh specific phenylpropanoids were increased in the ripe red stage, which includes coumaric acid, coumaric acid hexoside or derivative and kaempferol-glucose-rhamnose. Naringenin chalcone, a common flavanone in tomato, was significantly increased only in the breaker stage. In summary, the levels of several primary metabolites, Phe, Tyr, two organic acids associated with the shikimate pathway as well as multiple phenylpropanoids were higher in the flesh tissue along AroG<sub>209-9</sub> fruit development compared to WT (total 19 compounds). There was also a considerable similarity in the metabolites whose levels were altered in both flesh and peel of the AroG<sub>209-9</sub> fruit (total 14 compounds; Tables 1 and 2).

**Effect of AroG<sub>209</sub> expression on aroma-associated volatile metabolites and aroma in ripe red fruit**

In order to analyse the levels of volatiles in ripe red fruits of AroG<sub>209-9</sub> expressing tomato plants, volatile metabolites were detected using GC-MS. The levels of the Phe-derived volatiles (benzaldehyde, phenylacetaldehyde, 2-phenylethanol, and phenylacetic acid) were higher in the ripe red fruits of the AroG<sub>209-9</sub> line compared to the WT (Fig. 4A), while the levels of eugenol (a catabolic product of p-coumaric acid) and three terpenoids (geranylacetone, β-ionone, and limonene) were lower in the ripe red fruits of the AroG<sub>209-9</sub> line compared to the WT (Fig. 4B). Based on these results, ripe red fruits of the AroG<sub>209-9</sub> line were subjected further to an organoleptic test to test the impact of the metabolic changes on fruit aroma characteristics. The choice of an organoleptic test was because chemical analysis of flavour compounds provides relatively little insight into the actual flavour experience, while sensory attributes, preferences, and decisions can be statistically related to chemical components in foods (Martens et al.,

**Table 2. Metabolites accumulating in the flesh of developing tomato fruits expressing AroG<sub>209-9</sub>**

| Metabolites             | Flesh                  |
|-------------------------|------------------------|
|                         | Mature green | Breaker | Ripe red    |
| Amino acids             |            |         |            |
| Phe (G)                 | 0.88 ± 0.10 | 2.41 ± 0.26<sup>a</sup> | 22.08 ± 3.22<sup>a</sup> |
| Tyr (G)                 | 0.87 ± 0.12 | 2.69 ± 0.38<sup>a</sup> | 15.27 ± 3.19<sup>a</sup> |
| Asn (G)                 | 0.60 ± 0.06 | 0.94 ± 0.12 | 0.49 ± 0.06<sup>a</sup> |
| lle (G)                 | 1.03 ± 0.14 | 1.08 ± 0.12 | 1.97 ± 0.11<sup>a</sup> |
| Organic acids           |            |         |            |
| Prephenic acid (G)      | 3.4 ± 0.4<sup>a</sup> | 276.3 ± 90.9<sup>a</sup> | 235.9 ± 31.3<sup>a</sup> |
| Shikimic acid (G)       | 1.13 ± 0.13 | 2.53 ± 0.55 | 62.93 ± 11.69<sup>a</sup> |
| Sugars                  |            |         |            |
| Cellobiose (G)          | 0.96 ± 0.07 | 1.15 ± 0.05 | 22.0 ± 3.1<sup>a</sup> |
| Maltose (G)             | 1.23 ± 0.11 | 0.95 ± 0.05 | 1.54 ± 0.08<sup>a</sup> |
| Raffinose (G)           | 0.38 ± 0.09 | 2.79 ± 0.56 | 92.3 ± 15.4<sup>a</sup> |
| Trehalose (G)           | 1.76 ± 0.33 | 0.91 ± 0.16 | 5.31 ± 0.88<sup>a</sup> |
| Polyamines              |            |         |            |
| Putrescine (G)          | 0.83 ± 0.06 | 0.79 ± 0.11 | 0.16 ± 0.01<sup>a</sup> |
| Phenylpropanoids        |            |         |            |
| Coumaric acid (G)       | 0.35 ± 0.05 | 2.62 ± 0.68 | 613.6 ± 54.2<sup>a</sup> |
| Coumaric acid hexoside or derivative (L) | 1.07 ± 0.18 | 1.84 ± 0.29 | 114.5 ± 15.27<sup>a</sup> |
| Kaempferol-glucose-rhamnose (L) | 1.09 ± 0.10 | 0.92 ± 0.04 | 108.6 ± 27.70<sup>a</sup> |
| Naringenin chalcone (L) | 0.51 ± 0.14 | 10.08 ± 2.91<sup>a</sup> | 1.78 ± 0.42 |
| Quercetin (L)           | 0.23 ± 0.03<sup>a</sup> | 0.72 ± 0.14 | 1.13 ± 0.19 |
| Carotenoids             |            |         |            |
| Phytoene (H)            | ND         | ND       | >0.001<sup>a</sup> |
| Phytofluene (H)         | ND         | ND       | >0.001<sup>a</sup> |
| Lycopene-like (H)       | ND         | ND       | 0.55 ± 0.10<sup>a</sup> |

Tomato fruits from T<sub>3</sub> generation were used. Metabolite levels are presented as fold-change (AroG<sub>209-9</sub>/WT) in each developing stage: mean ± SE, *P < 0.05 (false discovery rate) as analysed by two-way ANOVA and a Student t-test. G, GC-MS (n = 6); H, HPLC-PDA (n = 4); L, UPLC/ qTOF-MS (n = 5); ND, not detected.
Correlation of physical measurements with sensory analysis also provides some meaning to instrumental data, as was shown with apple and tomato (Baldwin et al., 1998). The organoleptic test was performed by a dedicated panel of professionals trained in the quantitative description of tomato attributes according to selection trials, based on their own designated protocol. Whole ripe red fruits from each line were cut into two slices and evaluated by the various panel members through sniffing the samples (\(n = 3-4\) biological repeats). Several professional attributes were considered, namely acidic, floral, fresh, green, metallic, musty, ripe, spicy, and sweet in addition to global aroma intensity. Taken together, the organoleptic panel suggested that the fruit of the AroG_{209-9} line possessed more ‘floral’ aroma properties than the fruit of the WT tomato line (Fig. 5).

### Discussion

**Expression of a bacterial feedback-insensitive AroG enzyme leads to large metabolic changes in tomato fruit**

Ripe red tomato fruits contain hundreds of volatile and non-volatile specialized metabolites, particularly phenylpropanoids and carotenoids, which determine their flavour and nutritional qualities (Enfissi et al., 2010). Phenylpropanoids represent a major class of specialized metabolites accumulating in tomato fruit, which are produced via the shikimate and AAA biosynthesis pathways. The carotenoids and various volatile compounds derived from them are synthesized by a different metabolic pathway that may compete with the shikimate pathway on the glycolysis-associated metabolite phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) (Negre-Zakharov et al., 2009). The current work found that AroG gene expression, specifically in the ripe red tomato fruits, triggers significant increases in the levels of two primary metabolites of the shikimate pathway (shikimic acid and prephenic acid) and all three AAAs (Fig. 6). An analogous effect of the bacterial AroG on the levels of shikimate pathway metabolites had also been observed previously in Arabidopsis plants (Tzin et al., 2012). Taken together, these results thus indicate regulation of the entire shikimate pathway. An example illustrating the complexity of the shikimate pathway was previously reported by Ding et al. (2007) who functionally analysed the impact of suppressed expression of the tobacco shikimate-pathway enzyme 3-dehydroquinate dehydratase/shikimate dehydrogenase in transgenic plants. They found that suppressing the level of this enzyme caused an unexpected increase, rather than decrease, in the level of shikimate, a metabolite downstream to that produced by the 3-dehydroquinate dehydratase/shikimate dehydrogenase enzyme.

In addition to shikimate, tomato fruits expressing the AroG_{209-9} gene possessed altered levels of other primary metabolites, namely sugars such as oligosaccharides (i.e.
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cellobiose, raffinose, and trehalose) and monosaccharides (i.e. fructose, fructose-6-phosphate, maltose, and mannose), nucleoside (guanosine) which degraded to d-ribose, which is one of the pentose phosphate pathway intermediates. Evidence from radiolabelling work in tomato fruit suggested that gluconeogenesis does occur during ripening stages, when sugars are accumulating rapidly (Farineau and Lavalmartin, 1977; Halinska and Frenkel, 1991; Osorio et al., 2013). This may cause an increase in the oligosaccharide levels in the AroG209-9 line. The levels of several amino acids, namely Phe, Tyr, Trp, Asn, Gln, Gly, Ile, N-acetyl-Glu, and Thr as well as the polyamine putrescine and the tricarboxylic acid cycle metabolite fumaric acid were also altered in the AroG209-9 line, compared to the control. These observations suggest the existence of a metabolic cross-interaction of the shikimate pathway with other pathways of primary metabolism in tomato fruits. The existence of a cross-regulation between different metabolic pathways in ripe red tomato fruits has been previously reported to occur between carotenoids and sugars (i.e. sucrose, glucose, and fructose) (Fraser et al., 2007); polyamines and amino acids (Mattoo et al., 2010); tricarboxylic acid cycle metabolites malate, starch, and sugars (Centeno et al., 2011; Osorio et al., 2013); as well as between fruit-surface cuticular lipids and the phenylpropanoids (Adato et al., 2009). The major metabolic changes occur in the ripe red stage and this is apparently due to use of the E8 promoter, which is most active during the ripening stage (Good et al., 1994), with slight expression initiating at the mature green stage and increasing expression during ripening (Lincoln and Fischer, 1988; Deikman et al., 1998). This work thus suggests that the reduction in

Fig. 6. A metabolic pathway scheme summarizing the metabolic changes in ripe red tomato fruits expressing the AroG209-9 gene. Metabolites whose levels significantly increased or decreased in the transgenic fruits (peel and flesh), compared to the WT, are marked in red (fold-increased) or blue (fold-decreased). Circles mark the volatiles that were detected only in the ripe red developing stage. Broken arrows represent several consecutive enzymic steps.
the levels of substrates of carotenoid biosynthesis resulting from AroG expression may be due to a metabolic shift channelling acetyl-CoA towards gluconeogenesis (for oligosaccharides and PEP (for the shikimate pathway and phenylpropanoids).

Phenylpropanoids are a dominant class of specialized metabolites that are differentially produced in the peel and flesh of tomato fruits

Tomato is a model system for plants bearing fleshy fruits, whose metabolic status varies between different stages of fruit development and between different tissues (Carrari et al., 2006; Osorio et al., 2013). In mature red fruits, there were major metabolic changes in the peel and flesh of the AroG line compared with the WT. The main changes occurring in these two tissues were in primary metabolites, particularly AAAs, organic acids, oligosaccharides, and putrescine. However, major changes in the levels of diverse specialized metabolites, mostly phenylpropanoids, occurred in the peel. Naringenin chalcone-hexose, for instance, was detected only in the peel and highly accumulated during the ripe red stage. Previous reports showed that the peel of tomato fruits is enriched in a variety of phenylpropanoids metabolites compared to the flesh (Mintz-Oron et al., 2008; Mounet et al., 2009; Ballester et al., 2010). While the secondary metabolites present in the peel can protect against changing environmental conditions and aid in deterring pathogens, they could also play a role in attracting seed-dispersing herbivores. Naringenin chalcone, accumulating in the fruit peel, could serve in the protection against UV radiation and in the attraction of herbivores by its intense pigmentation (Bovy et al., 2002; Whitney, 2005) and could also serve as a structural element of the cuticle (Mintz-Oron et al., 2008).

AroG expression expands tomato fruit aroma

Tomato fruits contain a complex mixture of volatile and non-volatile compounds that contribute to the overall aroma and taste of the fruit. The volatile aroma compounds are essential for good tomato flavour (Baldwin et al., 2000). These secondary metabolites are derived from a range of precursors, including carotenoids, lipids, and amino acids (Klee, 2010). Biosynthesis of volatile organic compounds depends on the availability of carbon, nitrogen, and sulphur as well as energy provided by primary metabolism (Dudareva et al., 2013). The current results exposed several metabolic links between volatile and non-volatile compounds. For instance: reduction of the β-ionone and geranylacetone might be due to the reduction in the carotenoid levels detected (Simkin et al., 2004), and the increase in Phe-derived volatiles (benzaldehyde, phenylacetaldehyde, 2-phenylethanol, and phenylacetic acid) might be due to an increase in Phe (Figs. 4 and 6). The metabolite analysis were supported by the human olfactory analysis. Since tomato fruit flavour involves an integration of sugars, acids, and a set of 30 or more key volatile chemicals (Klee, 2010), it is tempting to speculate that the increased levels of volatiles in the fruits of the AroG line is responsible for the altered aroma characteristic. 2-Phenylethanol, which was higher in the AroG line, is known to provide a sweet and fruity perception (Togari et al., 1995; Zanor et al., 2009) and may contribute to the ‘floral’ aroma. The reduction in the levels of the polyamine putrescine, which known to provides unpleasant aroma, may contribute for the better floral aroma.

In conclusion, this study provides new information on the regulation of the channelling of primary metabolism to specialized metabolism as well as the cross-interaction of the shikimate pathway with other metabolic pathways in tomato fruit. This research opens a new avenue to improve tomato fruit flavour without negatively influencing fruit shelf life, an issue that has so far been difficult to solve by classical breeding.
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