Association Between Secondary Peritoneal Hydatidosis and Peritoneal Carcinomatosis from Ovarian Cancer – A Case Report
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Abstract. Peritoneal carcinomatosis from ovarian cancer is unfortunately a very common finding, especially in patients diagnosed in very late stages of the disease. Nonetheless, it is not the only pathological condition inducing a diffuse involvement of the peritoneum; other entities can have an infectious or miscellaneous origin. However, the association of peritoneal carcinomatosis and parasitic infection has never been reported so far. We present the case of a 50-year-old patient who had been submitted to surgery for a hepatic hydatid cyst. Four years later, the patient was diagnosed with disseminated peritoneal lesions, which proved to have both parasite and neoplastic origin. The patient was re-submitted to surgery, and debulking resection of all pathological lesions was achieved. Although it is very rare, the association of peritoneal carcinomatosis and peritoneal hydatidosis should be taken into consideration whenever the patient reports a suggestive medical history for those two pathological findings.

Peritoneal involvement can be frequently encountered in patients with various malignancies. However, the peritoneal disease can be induced by several pathological entities, including benign neoplastic lesions such as peritoneal leiomyomatosis, infectious entities such as peritoneal hydatidosis, tuberculosis, and mycobacterial peritonitis, as well as miscellaneous causes such as dropped gallstones (1).

Hydatid disease represents a zoonotic infectious disease caused by the presence of Echinococcus granulosus larvae, usually involving the liver (in up to 70% of cases) and the lung (in up to 35% of cases) (1). Other less common locations include spleen, bone, and kidney (2). Peritoneal hydatidosis is rare, reported in about 13% of patients diagnosed with abdominal parasitic infection, being rather secondary than primary hydatid disease (3). Secondary peritoneal involvement is usually developed after a spontaneous intra-abdominal rupture of a hydatid cyst or after iatrogenic spread during surgery for primary hepatic hydatidosis (1).

Case Report

The 50-year-old female had previously been diagnosed with a fissured left lobe hepatic hydatid cyst, thus inactivation of the parasite followed by evacuation and partial pericystectomy was performed. Postoperative course was uneventful; hence, the patient was discharged in the sixth postoperative day and was confined to the infectious disease service in order to complete the therapeutic protocol by the administration of albendazole. The postoperative evaluations performed at six months follow-up showed no sign of recurrent hydatid disease. However, four years after the initial diagnosis, the patient complained of diffuse abdominal pain, weight loss, and asthenia. A computed tomography was then performed, demonstrating the presence of diffuse peritoneal calcifications along with peritoneal thickening and tumoral transformation of the two adnexa, with no demarcation line between the adnexal areas and the rectum (Figures 1 and 2). In the meantime the laboratory studies demonstrated an increased level of cancer antigen 125 (CA125) and anti-Echinococcus antibodies. The patient was re-submitted to
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surgery, and the diagnosis of secondary peritoneal hydatidosis in association with peritoneal carcinomatosis with ovarian origin was confirmed intraoperatively. A total hysterectomy with bilateral adnexectomy and rectosigmoid resection along with total omentectomy, pelvic and para-aortic lymph node dissection, pelvic and parietal peritonectomy were performed. Complete excision of all hydatid lesions was achieved (Figures 3-7). The histopathological studies confirmed the presence of a bilateral high-grade serous ovarian cancer invading the uterine body, the rectosigmoidian loop, as well as the omentum. Moreover, focal invasion areas of the malignant process and hydatid lesions at the level of the peritoneum were reported. The histopathological studies also demonstrated the presence of tumoral vascular emboli, while no sign of perineural invasion was encountered; none of the 24 resected lymph nodes presented tumoral invasion.

The postoperative course was uneventful, and the patient was confined to both oncology and infectious disease service at one month postoperatively.

**Discussion**

Although hepatic and pulmonary hydatidosis represent the most common localizations of this pathology, it seems that hydatidosis can develop at the level of any anatomic site (4, 5). The most common patterns of spread are the hematogenous...
route, which is most frequently responsible for the apparition of both intra- and extra-abdominal hydatid lesions, and peritoneal involvement, which accounts for up to 13% of all intra-abdominal hydatidosis (4, 5). The latter is classified into primary and secondary disease; primary lesions are rarely encountered, while secondary disease usually occurs after a rupture of a visceral intra-abdominal cyst. Once this phenomenon occurs, the parasite will migrate through the peritoneal route and will lead to the apparition of disseminated intraperitoneal lesions (6, 7).

The diagnosis of peritoneal hydatidosis is based on the medical history of the patient, as well as on the laboratory and imaging investigation. The most efficient imaging modality to identify this pathology is computed tomography (8,9), and the presence of cyst wall calcifications, septae as well as the aspect of the internal content is the most common finding (4). In addition, computed tomography can be used in order to estimate the response of the patient to the neoadjuvant antihelminthic drugs (4).

However, differential diagnosis between peritoneal hydatidosis and peritoneal carcinomatosis can sometimes be difficult to be established preoperatively. In a case report in 2014, the final diagnosis of hydatidosis was established intraoperatively. The authors described the case of a 65-year-old patient who presented with increased the abdominal diameter that had been observed in the last three months. The patient was diagnosed preoperatively with a 10×6 cm cyst originating from one of the ovaries, while the serum levels of CA125 were normal. The patient was submitted to surgery and a large primary peritoneal hydatid case was diagnosed intraoperatively (10).
Another similar case was described by Sing et al. in 2010; a 27-year-old patient was investigated for abdominal pain and menorrhagia and was diagnosed with a 11x7x3 cm cyst arising from the right adnexa, presenting multiple septae and solid areas. The preoperative levels of CA125 were slightly elevated (measuring 60-70 U/ml); thus, the patient was submitted to surgery. Intraoperatively, a right-sided cyst adherent to the right adnexa and to the mesentery was found, and was removed en bloc with total hysterectomy and bilateral adnexitomy. However, the histopathological findings confirmed the hydatid origin of the cyst (11).

An interesting study regarding extra-hepatic and extra-pulmonary hydatidosis was published in 2017 by Adel et al. (2). The study included 18 patients with extra-hepatic and extra-pulmonary hydatidosis diagnosed between May 2007 and December 2014 and submitted to surgery at the University Hospital of Guadalajara, Spain. Among these patients, two (83 and 46 years old) were diagnosed with disseminated peritoneal hydatidosis. Although the first patient had been submitted to surgery 30 years ago, at that moment, partial cystectomies for multiple intra-hepatic and peritoneal hydatidosis were performed. The second patient had initially been submitted to surgery 14 years ago for an intra-abdominal rupture of a hydatid cyst following an abdominal trauma, and then to other four further surgeries for recurrent intra-abdominal hydatidosis. At the moment of the study, the first patient refused any surgical procedure, while the second patient was submitted to an endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and prosthesis placement in order to interrupt the cysto-biliary communication. After a mean follow-up of 32 months, the two patients with disseminated peritoneal hydatidosis remained clinical asymptomatic. Among the 18 patients included in that study, only one was diagnosed with a synchronous malignant process. Specifically, a 73-year-old patient had a stage IV gallbladder cancer and a solitary splenic hydatid cyst. However, in this case no surgery was performed and the patient died of disease at the end of the study (2).

In patients diagnosed with disseminated peritoneal hydatidosis, the most common therapeutic options include periodic observation in association with albendazole treatment or percutaneous drainage (puncture, aspiration, injection, reaspiration), recommended especially in patients with disseminated lesions and high surgical risk.

Concerning surgery recommendation, it should not be omitted that another surgical procedure in patients diagnosed with peritoneal hydatidosis, who have undergone many previous laparotomies, is often associated with a higher morbidity rate. In consequence, surgery should be reserved only for patients presenting signs of complications such as debilitating pain or intestinal obstruction. Another special situation, in which surgery is imposed, is when the patient is diagnosed with other synchronous pathology that should be treated by surgery (2).

In our case, surgery was indicated by the presence of a synchronous ovarian carcinoma with peritoneal lesions. The main scope of the surgical procedure consisted in obtaining a complete cytoreduction in order to control the evolution of the neoplastic process. Moreover, the presence of the peritoneal lesions of hydatidosis in the absence of any visceral hydatid involvement was successfully resolved during the same surgical procedure, providing a significant improvement in the patient status.

The benefits of the cytoreductive surgery in patients with peritoneal lesions from ovarian cancer have been widely studied. The concept of cytoreductive surgery was first intuited by Meigs in 1934 (12). Four decades later, Griffiths demonstrated that a residual volume <1.6 cm significantly improved the survival rate (13). Since that moment, attention was focused on studying whether an even smaller residual tumoral volume might improve survival. Therefore, other authors reported that a residual tumoral volume smaller than 1 cm or than 0.5 cm might induce a better outcome (14-16). This aspect was probably best demonstrated, in a meta-analysis by Bristow et al., which included 6,885 patients submitted to surgery for advanced stage ovarian cancer. The authors showed that each 10% increase of the proportion of patients submitted to maximal cytoreductive surgery induced a concomitant 5.5% increase of the median survival time of the entire cohort (17). Moreover, association of aggressive surgical procedures in both the lower and the upper abdomen induced a significant benefit in terms of survival without increasing the rate of postoperative complications (18-22). Therefore, multiple visceral resections have been successfully performed in order to achieve a maximal cytoreductive effort.

In the current case, the principles of debulking surgery were successfully applied inducing a complete cytoreduction of the tumoral masses with ovarian cancer origin. Moreover, satisfactory removal of the peritoneal lesions of hydatidosis was achieved, thus improving the immunological and clinical status of the patient who was further confined to the oncology department.

**Conclusion**

Although the most common pathological entity involving the peritoneal surface remains the neoplastic one, especially in patients with malignant diseases, other conditions such as infectious lesions should not be omitted especially in cases known with a previous history of hydatid disease. In such cases surgery is needed in order to achieve a good control of the malignant process; in the meantime excision of all parasitic lesions might be tempted in order to control both pathological processes. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported case of debulking surgery for advanced stage ovarian cancer in a patient with synchronous peritoneal
hydatidosis. Moreover, performing an aggressive surgical approach addressed to both neoplastic and infectious process will improve the biological status of the patient, increasing the chances of association of an appropriate adjuvant oncological treatment.
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