A specific polymerase chain reaction method to identify Stenotrophomonas maltophilia
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Stenotrophomonas maltophilia is a multidrug-resistant nosocomial pathogen that is difficult to identify unequivocally using current methods. Accordingly, because the presence of this microorganism in a patient may directly determine the antimicrobial treatment, conventional polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and real-time PCR assays targeting 23S rRNA were developed for the specific identification of S. maltophilia. The PCR protocol showed high specificity when tested against other species of Stenotrophomonas, non-fermentative Gram-negative bacilli and 100 clinical isolates of S. maltophilia previously identified using the Vitek system.
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Stenotrophomonas maltophilia is an important emerging opportunistic nosocomial pathogen that is found in different environmental sources and it is commonly described as an infecting agent in immunocompromised, oncologic and cystic fibrosis patients (Davies & Rubin 2007, Looney et al. 2009). The treatment of S. maltophilia infection is difficult due to its intrinsic resistance to important antimicrobial agents and mobile genetic elements, such as transposons and plasmids, which are frequently present and carry determinants of resistance inserted in integrons (Chang et al. 2004, Nicodeo & Paez 2007, Liaw et al. 2010). S. maltophilia is usually isolated using a selective medium with the addition of imipenem and other antimicrobial agents and identified by biochemical methods (Foster et al. 2008a, Adjidé et al. 2010). However, the biochemical tests used to identify S. maltophilia, including such commercial systems as Vitek-2, API-20NE and Biolog, occasionally misidentify this species as other non-fermentative Gram-negative bacilli (Zbinden et al. 2007, Pinot et al. 2011). Moreover, the current polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based protocols for the identification of S. maltophilia have also presented limitations related to low specificity due to the significant genetic similarity between Stenotrophomonas species and other non-fermentative Gram-negative bacilli (Berg et al. 1999, Foster et al. 2008b).

To overcome this problem, we developed a specific PCR protocol for the identification of S. maltophilia based on the design of a primer pair that targets a 278 bp fragment of the 23S rRNA gene (F, 5’GCGTAGATTGTTCTAGGAAACGC3’, and R, 5’ACGCAGTCCTCTTGCG3’). The 23S rRNA gene was chosen due to the higher variability in this region among species of the Stenotrophomonas genus compared to the 16S rRNA gene, as determined using CLUSTALW software (data not shown). The primers targeting the 23S rRNA gene were designed based on the alignment of the following sequences: S. maltophilia (GenBank accession AF273255), Stenotrophomonas acidaminiphila ATCC 700916T (EU878276), Stenotrophomonas nitritireducens ATCC BAA-12T (EU878278), Stenotrophomonas rhizophilia ATCC BAA-473T (EU878279), Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri DAR 65864 (EU878280), X. axonopodis pv. phaseolii IAC13755 (FJ828875), Xanthomonas campestris pv. begoniae HT1-14 (JQ337960), X. axonopodis pv. poinsetticola HN-1 (GU144282), Xanthomonas arboricola pv. poinsetticola LMG 8676 (GU144280), X. axonopodis pv. manihotis IBSBF1994 (FJ828873), X. axonopodis pv. allii IBSBF1770 (FJ828871) and X. campestris pv. campestris DAR 65808 (EU878277). The PCR mixture was composed of 100 ng target DNA, which was extracted according to Rademaker and de Bruijn (1997), 0.2 mM each deoxynucleoside triphosphate (Ludwig Biotechnology, Alvorada, RS, Brazil), 2.5 µL 10X PCR buffer (Invitrogen, São Paulo, SP, Brazil), 1.0 mM MgCl2, 0.2 U Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) and 20 µM each primer in a total volume of 25 µL. The amplifications were performed using a Veriti Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) with an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 45 sec, annealing at 68°C for 45 sec and extension at 72°C for 45 sec, with a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The amplicons were analysed by electrophoresis through a 2% agarose gel. In addition, the same primer pair was evaluated in a real-time PCR (RT-PCR) assay using a Platinum SYBR Green quantitative PCR (qPCR) SuperMix-UDG (Invitrogen). Each 20 µL reaction contained 100 ng of DNA sample, 10 µL of SYBR

Financial support: CAPES  
+ Corresponding author: silviadias@pucrs.br  
Received 14 May 2012  
Accepted 18 October 2012

online | memorias.ioc.fiocruz.br
Green qPCR SuperMix reagent (Invitrogen) and 0.2 μL of each primer. The amplifications were performed using a StepOne RT-PCR system (Applied Biosystems) with the same annealing temperature and cycle number used in the conventional PCR described above.

The following reference strains of the *Stenotrophomonas* species and other non-fermentative Gram-negative bacilli were used to standardise the protocol: *S. maltophilia* ATCC 13637, *S. maltophilia* LMG 958T, *S. maltophilia*, formerly *Stenotrophomonas africana* LMG 22072 (Coenye et al. 2004), *S. acidaminiphila* LMG 22073, *S. nitritireducens* LMG 22074, five *Acinetobacter baumannii* isolates, three *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus-baumannii* complex isolates, one *Burkholderia cepacia*, five *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates, two *Pseudomonas* spp and *X. axonopodis*. Specific amplification was detected using both PCR methods only for the *S. maltophilia* strains, including the sample previously classified as *S. africana*, whereas no amplification was observed for all other bacterial strains tested. The detection limits of RT-PCR were determined using the threshold cycle (Ct) values obtained from tests performed with *S. maltophilia* ATCC 13637 and *S. maltophilia*, formerly *S. africana* LMG 22072; the Ct values were 19.58 and 15.9, respectively. All tests were performed in duplicate. The standard deviation was 0.08 for both analyses. To test the applicability of the protocol, 100 different clinical isolates of *S. maltophilia*, which were previously identified using the Vitek system (bioMérieux, Hazelwood, MO, USA), were evaluated and produced specific amplicons.

One amplicon obtained from *S. maltophilia* ATCC 13637 was randomly chosen and sequenced using an ABI 3130 XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) automated DNA sequencer. The sequence was aligned with the *S. maltophilia* 23S rRNA sequences deposited in GenBank (AM743169 and AF273255) using MEGA 5.10 Beta software, presenting 100% identity.

Foster et al. (2008b) and Pinot et al. (2011) reported the use of a different region of the 23S rRNA gene as a target, but their results showed cross-reaction between *Stenotrophomonas* and *Xanthomonas* species, demonstrating low PCR specificity. Additionally, Pinot et al. (2011) developed a multiplex PCR targeting *smeD* and *gppS* to differentiate *S. maltophilia* and *S. rhizophilia*; although this technique was successful, it did not exclude the cross-reaction with *Xanthomonas* species. In contrast, no cross-reaction was observed with *X. axonopodis* using the protocol described in this study. It should be noted that the primer pair in this study was not evaluated against other species of *Xanthomonas* and/or *S. rhizophilia*. Other studies have described protocols to detect *S. maltophilia* using RT-PCR, but the cross-reaction with *Xanthomonas* and/or other *Stenotrophomonas* species was not evaluated (Wellinghausen et al. 2004, Dark et al. 2011).

Therefore, the primers designed in this study are an important alternative to specifically detect *S. maltophilia* using rapid molecular methods, which can enable the timely determination of the appropriate antimicrobial protocol for the successful treatment of infections caused by this microorganism.
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