Removal of the Micropollutants Propranolol Hydrochloride and 2-Naphthol From Water by Pyridine-Functionalized Polymers
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The number and concentration of micropollutants in aqueous environments are increasing. Two such micropollutants include the pharmaceutical, propranolol hydrochloride, and dye intermediate, 2-naphthol. Here, we describe the synthesis of both linear and crosslinked pyridine-functionalized copolymers that bind and remove propranolol hydrochloride and 2-naphthol from water solutions. Propranolol hydrochloride and 2-naphthol both contain hydrogen-bond-donor groups, and the pyridine moiety on the polymer acts as a hydrogen-bond acceptor to facilitate removal. Copolymers with different amounts of pyridine comonomer are synthesized, and as the amount of the pyridine comonomer is increased, the ability of the polymer to bind and remove the contaminant also increases. The concentrations of propranolol hydrochloride and 2-naphthol decreased by approximately 20–40% and 60–88%, respectively, depending on the polymer type that is used in the binding experiment. A control polymer was synthesized by using styrene in place of the pyridine monomer. In analogous binding experiments, the styrene polymer decreases the concentration of propranolol hydrochloride by 2% and 2-naphthol by 26%. Thus, the binding effectiveness is significantly reduced when the hydrogen-bond-acceptor group is not present on the polymer. We also show that the best performing crosslinked pyridine-functionalized polymer is reusable. Overall, these polymer adsorbents demonstrate the potential for removal of micropollutants from water.
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INTRODUCTION

The fast-growing global consumption of products such as pharmaceuticals, pesticides, and personal-care products has led to the presence of organic micropollutants in the environment, especially in aquatic systems (Schwarzenbach et al., 2006). Although the effects of such micropollutants on human, animal, and plant health remain mostly unknown, there are concerns about negative impacts on aquatic life and human health (Alsbaiee et al., 2016; Weber et al., 2016). Most wastewater treatment plants are not equipped to remove micropollutants that enter the water supply (Bui et al., 2016). Activated carbon absorption has attracted significant attention for its effectiveness; however, the material shows poor affinity for polar compounds (Kovalova et al., 2013; Bui et al., 2016). Advanced oxidation processes have also shown promise in reducing micropollutants, but some oxidation products remain toxic (Hollender et al., 2009; Zimmermann et al., 2011; Prasse et al., 2012). Methods and/or materials that target and remove contaminants without generating...
byproducts (Xiao et al., 2019) will aid in addressing contamination problems. Recently, adsorbent materials including macrocycles (Ji et al., 2020; Klemes et al., 2020; Dai et al., 2021), covalent organic frameworks (Skorjanc et al., 2021), assembled molecular rings (Liu et al., 2021), and crosslinked polymers (Wang et al., 2021; Yang L. P et al., 2021) have been designed and synthesized for removal of micropollutants from water.

Propranolol hydrochloride (PPL-HCl) is a beta blocker that has been found in aquatic environments, and typical wastewater treatment plant removal is less than 30% (Margot et al., 2015). 2-Naphthol (2NO) is an intermediate in the production of dyes and other compounds (e.g., BINOL) and has also been found as a water contaminant (Wang et al., 2006; Zang and Lian, 2009). Both PPL-HCl and 2NO feature aromatic and hydroxyl groups. Polymers and porous materials have been designed and synthesized to remove these two micropollutants from water (Table 1). In 2016, Dichtel et al. reported a porous β-cyclodextrin polymer that can remove 96% of PPL-HCl or 91% 2NO from aqueous solution via flow-through adsorption in 30 min (Alsbaiee et al., 2016). In 2018, Trabolsi et al. reported porous polycalix [4]arenes that can remove around 85% of PPL-HCl or 75% 2NO from aqueous solutions (Shetty et al., 2018). In 2021, Song et al. reported a β-cyclodextrin-polyacrylamide hydrogel that can remove 54% of PPL-HCl or 60% of 2NO from aqueous solution through host-guest interactions in a period of 8 h (Song et al., 2021). We postulated that polymers functionalized with aromatic groups and hydrogen-bond-acceptor moieties (Díez-Pascual et al., 2016) could form noncovalent interactions with the contaminants to facilitate adsorption. Here, we demonstrate both linear and crosslinked pyridine-functionalized polymers can bind and remove PPL-HCl and 2NO from water solutions. Both the linear and crosslinked polymers contain n-butylmethacrylate (BMA) and 4-vinylpyridine (4-VP) as comonomers, and the crosslinked polymers also include ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) as the crosslinker (Figure 1A). The 4-VP:BMA comonomer ratio was systematically increased to afford polymers with different binding abilities. Overall, polymers with higher 4-VP:BMA ratios exhibit greater binding and removal ability. The concentrations of PPL-HCl and 2NO in aqueous solutions decreased by approximately 20–40% and 60–88%, respectively, depending on the type of polymer used. All the polymers contain pyridine rings and carbonyl functional groups, which are both capable of acting as hydrogen-bond acceptor sites (Figure 1B). Small molecule cocrystallization experiments with 2NO and methyl isonicotinate (MI), which contains pyridyl and ester functional groups and mimics the polymer functional groups, demonstrate that hydrogen bonding likely supports binding. Moreover, a control polymer synthesized using styrene in place of the pyridine monomer exhibited significantly reduced binding ability.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Materials

Methyl isonicotinate (MI), and propranolol hydrochloride (PPL-HCl) were purchased from Oakwood Chemical. 4-vinylpyridine (4-VP) and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EGDMA) were purchased...
from Alfa Aesar. n-Butylmethacrylate (BMA) and styrene (STY) were purchased from ACROS Organics. Benzoyl peroxide (BPO) and azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical. 2-Naphthol (2NO) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., LTD. Diethyl ether, methanol, toluene, tetrahydrofuran (THF), and basic alumina were purchased from Fisher Scientific. Inhibitors in commercial 4-VP, EGDMA, BMA, and STY were removed by passage through basic alumina. All other chemicals and solvents were used as received. Full details for all experiments are available in the supporting information.

**General Synthesis of Linear Polymers:** Poly(4-Vinyl Pyridine-co-butylmethacrylate) \([P(4\text{VP}_x\text{-co-BMA}_y)]\)

The monomers 4-VP (variable, see Table 2) and BMA (4.2660 g, 30 mmol) were added to a 100 ml round bottom flask containing THF (30 ml) followed by addition of AIBN (0.1641 g, 0.9 mmol) to initiate polymerization. The mixture was heated to 60°C in an oil bath under a nitrogen atmosphere. Polymerization was conducted for 18 h or 36 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and approximately two-thirds of the THF was removed using a rotavap. Diethyl ether was added to precipitate the copolymer. The product was then dissolved in methanol and the undissolved compounds were removed by filtration (homopolymer P-BMA is insoluble in methanol). The polymer was re-precipitated with diethyl ether. The precipitate was dissolved in toluene and the undissolved compounds were removed by filtration (homopolymer P-4VP is insoluble in toluene). The polymer was re-precipitated with diethyl ether and isolated. The last two steps (dissolving in methanol and toluene anti-solvents and precipitating) were repeated twice to ensure all unreacted monomers, homopolymers and initiator were removed. The copolymer was dried under vacuum heating at 120°C overnight.

**General Synthesis of Crosslinked Polymers:** Poly(4-Vinyl Pyridine-Ethylene Glycol Dimethacrylate-Butylmethacrylate) \([P(4\text{VP}_x\text{-EGDMA}_y\text{-BMA}_z)]\)

Deionized water (110 ml), Mowiol 40–88 (PVA, 0.25 g), BMA (22.041 g, 155 mmol), 4-VP (variable, see Table 3), and BPO (0.50 g, 2.1 mmol) were added to a 300 ml three-neck flask. The mixture was stirred at 240 rpm using an IKA 20 digital mechanical stirrer, purged with nitrogen for 15 min, and heated to 70°C for 12 h. The polymer particles were isolated via centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 3 min. The particles were washed five times using THF (30 ml) and EtOH (70 ml) and centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 3 min and dried under vacuum to give white solid beads. The polymer beads were purified by Soxhlet extraction using THF at 110°C overnight and subsequently dried under vacuum heating at 120°C overnight. To confirm the crosslinked polymers were dry and free of trapped solvent or synthetic byproducts, a portion of polymer beads was stirred and heated at 95°C in water for 2 h. The solution was filtered to remove the polymer beads and characterized by UV-Vis spectroscopy, which demonstrated minimal signal (Supplementary Figure S18).

**Synthesis of a Control Crosslinked Polymer:** Poly(Styrene3-Ethylene Glycol Dimethacrylate1.0-Butylmethacrylate) \([P(4\text{VP}_x\text{-EGDMA}_y\text{-BMA}_z)]\)

Deionized water (110 ml), Mowiol 40–88 (PVA, 0.25 g), BMA (22.041 g, 155 mmol), STY (3.06 g, 29.4 mmol), EGDMA (0.2973 g, 1.5 mmol), and BPO (0.50 g, 2.1 mmol) were added to a 300 ml three-neck flask. The mixture was stirred at 240 rpm using an IKA 20 digital mechanical stirrer, purged with nitrogen for 15 min, and heated to 70°C for 12 h. The polymer particles were isolated via centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 3 min. The particles were washed five times using THF (30 ml) and EtOH (70 ml) and centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 3 min and dried under vacuum to give white solid beads. The polymer beads were purified by Soxhlet extraction using THF at 110°C overnight and subsequently dried under vacuum heating at 120°C overnight.

### Table 2 | Linear polymers: theoretical/synthetic feed ratios of monomers used, obtained ratios, and characterization data.

| Linear polymer | Theoretical and synthetic feed ratio 4VP:BMA | Reaction time (h) | Obtained ratio | M<sub>n</sub> (kDa) | M<sub>W</sub> (kDa) | D<sub>pl</sub> |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| P(4VP<sub>1</sub>-co-BMA<sub>1</sub>) | 1:1 (30:30 mmol) | 18 | 1:0.82 | 1.2 | 5.8 | 4.6 |
| P(4VP<sub>2</sub>-co-BMA<sub>1</sub>) | 2:1 (60:30 mmol) | 18 | 2:0.77 | 13.3 | 23.4 | 1.8 |
| P(4VP<sub>3</sub>-co-BMA<sub>1</sub>) | 3:1 (90:30 mmol) | 36 | 3:0.84 | 11.1 | 18.1 | 1.6 |
| P(4VP<sub>3</sub>-co-BMA<sub>1</sub>) | 3:1 (90:30 mmol) | 36 | 3:0.88 | 17.0 | 28.7 | 1.7 |

<sup>a</sup> Based on 1H NMR, spectroscopy.

### Table 3 | Synthetic feed of monomers used to synthesize crosslinked polymers.

| Crosslinked polymer | 4-VP (mmol) | EGDMA (mmol) | BMA (mmol) |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| P(4VP<sub>1</sub>-EGDMA<sub>0.5</sub>-BMA) | 9.8 | 0.75 | 155 |
| P(4VP<sub>1</sub>-EGDMA<sub>1.0</sub>-BMA) | 9.8 | 1.5 | 155 |
| P(4VP<sub>1</sub>-EGDMA<sub>2.0</sub>-BMA) | 29.4 | 3.0 | 155 |

---
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Binding experiments for the linear polymers were conducted by adding 10 mg of the polymer to a vial. Then, 2.5 ml of a PPL-HCl solution in water (0.4 mmol L\(^{-1}\)) or a 2NO solution in water (0.1 mmol L\(^{-1}\)) was added to the same vial. Seven individual samples were prepared, and each mixture was gently stirred for a different period of time at room temperature. The time periods were 15, 30, 45 min, 1, 2, 3, or 4 h. The resulting solution in each vial was filtered through cotton to remove the polymer. The filtrates were diluted with water using a micropipette and volumetric flask, and the concentrations after dilution were measured by UV-Vis (Supplementary Figures S19–S34).

Aqueous solutions of PPL-HCl and 2NO were colorless before and after binding experiments. Binding experiments for the crosslinked polymers were conducted by adding 200 mg of polymer beads to a vial. Then, 2.5 ml of a PPL-HCl solution in water (0.4 mmol L\(^{-1}\))
or a 2NO solution in water (0.1 mmol L\(^{-1}\)) was added to the same vial. Eight individual samples were prepared, and each mixture was gently stirred for a different period of time at room temperature. The time periods were 15, 30, 45 min, 1, 2, 3, or 4 h, or overnight (17 h). The resulting solution in each vial was filtered through cotton to remove the polymer. The filtrates were diluted with water using a micropipette and volumetric flask, and the concentrations after dilution were measured by UV-Vis (Supplementary Figures S35–S56). HPLC also confirmed that the decrease in the signal observed by UV-Vis correlates to a decrease in the signal at retention time corresponding to 2NO (Supplementary Table S5).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Synthesis and Characterization of Pyridine-Functionalized Polymers**

We set out to synthesize pyridine-functionalized polymers that could act as adsorbents for micropollutants containing hydroxyl groups. Linear, pyridine-functionalized polymers \(P(4\text{VP}_x-\text{EGDMA}_y-\text{BMA}_z)\) were synthesized using free-radical solution polymerization, and crosslinked pyridine-functionalized polymers \(P(4\text{VP}_x-\text{EGDMA}_y-\text{BMA}_z)\) were synthesized using suspension polymerization (Figure 2). BMA was chosen as a comonomer due to its ability to impart hydrophilicity onto the polymer (Ouellette and Rawn, 2014). Copolymers of 4VP and BMA have been synthesized previously (Goswami and Dutta, 2013); however, to our knowledge, they have not been utilized as an adsorbent for removing pollutants.

The number of pyridine functional groups on the polymer backbone could have an impact on the binding ability of the polymers. Therefore, the 4-VP:BMA comonomer ratio was systematically increased to yield a series of polymers. Four linear polymers were synthesized, namely, \(P(4\text{VP}_1-\text{co-BMA}_1)\), \(P(4\text{VP}_2-\text{co-BMA}_1)\), \(P(4\text{VP}_3-\text{co-BMA}_1)\), and \(P(4\text{VP}_3-\text{co-BMA}_1)\) (where subscript \(t\) denotes a doubled reaction time, see Table 2). The additional reaction time was used to increase the molecular weight (Table 2) and determine if there was any impact on binding ability. Five
Crosslinked polymers were synthesized, namely, P(4VP₁-EGDMA₀.₅-BMA), P(4VP₁-EGDMA₁.₀-BMA), P(4VP₂-EGDMA₁.₀-BMA), P(4VP₃-EGDMA₁.₀-BMA), and P(4VP₄-EGDMA₂.₀-BMA). The amount of BMA added to the reaction was held constant, while the 4-VP and EGDMA crosslinker amounts were altered systematically (Table 3).

The linear polymers exhibit a semitransparent, glassy morphology, and the crosslinked polymers are polydisperse and exhibit a spherical morphology as evidenced by optical microscopy (Figure 3). ¹H NMR spectroscopy confirmed the obtained monomer ratios in the polymer are similar to synthetic feed of monomers used (Supplementary Figures S4–S7, Table 2). Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) confirmed high molecular weight for the linear polymers (Supplementary Figures S14–S17, Table 2). ¹³C NMR spectroscopy confirmed the chemical functionality of the crosslinked polymers (Supplementary Figures S8–S12).

**Binding Ability of the Linear Polymers**

To determine the capability of the pyridine-functionalized polymers for binding PPL-HCl or 2NO from water, binding experiments were conducted, and solutions after binding were characterized by UV-Vis spectroscopy. Binding experiments were conducted for periods of 15, 30, 45 min, 1, 2, 3, or 4 h at room temperature. All four synthesized linear polymers successfully bound PPL-HCl and 2NO from solutions of water. Generally, binding experiments conducted for a period of approximately 4 h afforded the highest removals of PPL-HCl and 2NO (Supplementary Table S2, Figure 4). However, a decrease in concentration was observed in as little as 15 min for each sample (Supplementary Figures S19–S34). After determining the optimal binding time (i.e., the time at which the concentrations of PPL-HCl or 2NO water solution decreased most), three additional trials with the same binding time were conducted to calculate standard deviations (Figure 4).

For the PPL-HCl experiments, all four linear polymers removed ca. 20% of the drug at their optimal binding time. On the other hand, higher amounts of 4-VP in the linear polymers resulted in significantly better binding ability for the 2NO contaminant. The best performing linear polymer overall was P(4VP₃-co-BMA₁), which decreased the concentration of PPL-HCl in water by 23% and decreased the concentration of 2NO in water by 88% (Figure 5). The binding performance of these polymers with PPL-HCl is lower than reported β-cyclodextrin materials; however, binding performance with 2NO is on par with the reported β-cyclodextrin materials (Table 1, Alsbaiee et al., 2016; Song et al., 2021). The polymer P(4VP₂-co-BMA₁) also bound 2NO just as effectively as P(4VP₃-co-BMA₁). The higher molecular weight polymer P(4VP₃-co-BMA₁) did not demonstrate enhanced ability to bind either contaminant. The standard deviations for the PPL-HCl binding experiments are higher than for the 2NO experiments. As removal efficiency for PPL-HCl is lower than 2NO, this indicates that binding between the two species is likely not as strong.

**Binding Ability of the Crosslinked Polymers**

Akin to linear polymers, binding experiments with the same PPL-HCl or 2NO solutions in water were conducted using the

---

**FIGURE 7** | UV-Vis spectra showing initial removal ability and three additional trial experiments for P(4VP₃-EGDMA₁.₀-BMA) in aqueous solutions of (A) PPL-HCl for 2 h and (B) 2NO for 4 h.

---

**FIGURE 8** | Decreases in concentrations of contaminants following overnight binding experiments (17 h) for crosslinked polymers.
Crosslinked polymers as adsorbents. The same binding experiment times were used as the linear polymers. The UV-Vis spectra demonstrated that all five crosslinked polymers also successfully removed PPL-HCl and 2NO from solutions of water. Generally, a binding period of 1–2 h for PPL-HCl or 4 h for 2NO resulted in the highest removal percentages (Supplementary Table S3, Figure 6). A decrease in concentration was observed in as little as 15 min for each sample as well (Supplementary Figures S35–S45, S46–S56).

After determining the time at which concentrations of PPL-HCl or 2NO decreased most, three additional trials with the same binding time were conducted to calculate standard deviations (Figure 6).

For the PPL-HCl experiments, all five crosslinked polymers removed ca. 20–30% of the drug at their optimal binding time. On the other hand, removal of 2NO was significantly higher at about 65%. The amount of EGDMA crosslinker and the amount of 4-VP in the polymer play an important role in binding ability. When the amount of EGDMA is held constant at 1 mol% and the amount of 4-VP is systematically increased to afford the three polymers P(4VP2-EGDMA1.0-BMA), P(4VP2-EGDMA1.0-BMA), and P(4VP2-EGDMA1.0-BMA), the binding ability is highest for the polymer with the largest amount of pyridine functionalization, namely, P(4VP2-EGDMA1.0-BMA) (Figure 7). Interestingly, P(4VP2-EGDMA1.0-BMA) does not exhibit increased binding ability for PPL-HCl or 2NO compared to P(4VP2-EGDMA1.0-BMA), as was the case for the linear polymers.

When the amount of EGDMA is increased from 0.5 to 1 and to 2 mol% while holding 4-VP constant to afford the three polymers P(4VP1-EGDMA0.5-BMA), P(4VP1-EGDMA1.0-BMA), and P(4VP1-EGDMA2.0-BMA), the average binding ability decreases for PPL-HCl, but remains similar for 2NO. The lower amount of crosslinker allows the polymer bead to swell more readily in solution (Pulko and Krajnc, 2005; Nigmatullin et al., 2014), which results in increased binding ability. Particle swelling has also been demonstrated to influence the effectiveness of solid-phase synthesis, whereby swelling allows nearly all reactive sites within the particle to be chemically accessible (Engström and Helgee, 2006; Nakaie et al., 2011; Mane et al., 2014). As observed for the linear polymers, the standard deviations for the PPL-HCl binding experiments with crosslinked polymers are also higher than for the 2NO experiments, indicating lower binding effectiveness.

In addition to the time experiments ranging from 15 min to 4 h, we also conducted an overnight (17 h) binding experiment for the crosslinked polymer beads (Figure 8, Supplementary Table S4). The longer time allows the beads to swell in solution and could result in increased removal abilities. Only two polymers exhibited enhanced binding of PPL-HCl with increased binding time, namely P(4VP2-EGDMA1.0-BMA) and P(4VP2-EGDMA1.0-BMA). On the other hand, binding of 2NO increased to ca. 80% with increased binding time for all the crosslinked polymers. Overall, the best performing polymer was P(4VP2-EGDMA1.0-BMA), which decreased the concentration of PPL-HCl in water by 41% and decreased the concentration of 2NO in water by 84% (Figure 9).
Reusability of the Highest Performing Crosslinked Polymer

Reusability is an essential performance indicator of a given adsorbent (Yang W. et al., 2021). Thus, after determining binding ability of the synthesized polymers, we were interested in evaluating the reusability. The crosslinked polymers are not soluble in organic solvents, whereas the linear polymers are. Thus, we chose to examine reusability for the best performing crosslinked polymer, P(4VP3-EGDMA1.0-BMA) with 2NO. After the first 4 h binding experiment, the beads were purified by a Soxhlet extraction using THF at 110°C overnight and subsequently dried under vacuum heating at 120°C overnight. A second 4 h binding experiment was conducted, and the polymer beads remove 2NO at the same level, demonstrating good reusability (Supplementary Figure S59).

Binding Ability of the Crosslinked Control Polymer

Increasing the amount of pyridine functional groups in the polymers increases binding ability. To support that the binding and removal mechanism is facilitated by hydrogen bonding involving the pyridine groups, a control polymer was synthesized by using STY in place of 4VP. A crosslinked polymer analogous to best performing crosslinked pyridine polymer was synthesized, namely P(STY3-EGDMA1.0-BMA). The STY control polymer removed minimal PPL-HCl (2%, Supplementary Figure S57) and some 2NO (26%, Supplementary Figure S58). The ability of the STY control polymer to bind the contaminants to a lesser extent could be due to favourable hydrophobic interactions. However, the binding ability is significantly reduced when the pyridine groups are absent.

SMALL MOLECULE COCRYSTALLIZATION

To further understand the recognition between the contaminants and copolymer that leads to effective removal, cocrystallization of the small molecules 2NO and methyl isonicotinate (MI) was conducted. MI contains pyridine and ester functional groups and mimics the functional groups in the polymer backbone. Cocrystallization of 2NO and MI in methanol yielded 2NO-MI, and the two components interact through O-H--N hydrogen bonds between the phenol of 2NO and pyridine of MI. There was no O-H--O (ester) hydrogen bonding observed in the structure. In fact, the carbonyl groups of MI are surrounded by either MI molecules or the C-H groups of 2NO (Figure 10 and Supplementary Figure S2). This further supports the idea that hydrogen bonding between the contaminant and pyridine-functionalized polymer is a favorable intermolecular interaction and provides a pathway for increased removal ability. In addition to hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic interactions between the contaminant and polymer backbone could also support binding of these two micropollutants.

CONCLUSION

Herein, we described the synthesis of pyridine-functionalized linear and crosslinked polymers that bind and remove PPL-HCl and 2NO from water solutions. The amount of pyridine functional groups in the polymer influences binding abilities. Overall, higher synthetic feeds of 4-VP lead to improved binding abilities for the polymers. Approximately 20–40% of PPL-HCl could be removed from water solutions, and binding abilities for 2NO from water were much higher at 60–88% over a time frame of 1–4 h. For the contaminant 2NO, the removal ability of the polymers described here is similar to or higher than other polymer-based materials. A control polymer lacking pyridine groups exhibits significantly lower binding ability than the pyridine polymers. Additionally, small-molecule cocrystallization experiments with a polymer backbone mimic, MI, demonstrate that O-H--N hydrogen bonding between the phenol of 2NO and pyridine in MI is a favourable intermolecular interaction and supports the high binding ability observed with the pyridine-functionalized polymers. Adsorbents that engage in supramolecular interactions with contaminants have demonstrated promise as micropollutant remediation materials. Rational design of adsorbent materials can help develop design criteria for new adsorbents with high selectivity and rapid removal times.
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