Clinical outcomes of balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration for the treatment of gastric variceal hemorrhage in Korean patients with liver cirrhosis: a retrospective multicenter study

Se Young Jang¹*, Go Heun Kim²*, Soo Young Park¹, Chang Min Cho¹, Won Young Tak¹, Jeong Han Kim², Won Hyeok Choe³, So Young Kwon³, Jae Myeong Lee³, Sang Gyune Kim³, Dae Yong Kim³, Young Seok Kim³, Se-Ok Lee⁴, Yang Won Min⁴, Joon Hyeok Lee⁴, Seung Woon Paik⁴, Byung Chul Yoo⁴, Jae Wan Lim⁴, Hong Joo Kim⁴, Yong Kyun Cho⁵, Jo Hyun Sohn⁶, Jae Yoon Jeong⁶, Yu Hwa Lee⁶, Tae Yeob Kim⁶, and Young Oh Kweon¹

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Kyungpook National University School of Medicine, Daegu; ²Department of Internal Medicine, Konkuk University Medical Center, Konkuk University School of Medicine, Seoul & Choongju; ³Department of Internal Medicine & Radiology, Soonchunhyang University College of Medicine, Bucheon; ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine; ⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Kangbook Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul; ⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Hanyang University Guri Hospital, Hanyang University School of Medicine, Guri, Korea

**Background/Aims:** This study evaluated the clinical outcomes of balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration (BRTO) for the treatment of hemorrhage from gastric varices (GV) in Korean patients with liver cirrhosis (LC).

**Methods:** We retrospectively analyzed data from 183 LC patients who underwent BRTO for GV bleeding in 6 university-based hospitals between January 2001 and December 2010.

**Results:** Of the 183 enrolled patients, 49 patients had Child-Pugh (CP) class A LC, 105 had CP class B, and 30 had CP class C at the time of BRTO. BRTO was successfully performed in 177 patients (96.7%). Procedure-related complications (e.g., pulmonary thromboembolism and renal infarction) occurred in eight patients (4.4%). Among 151 patients who underwent follow-up examinations of GV, 79 patients (52.3%) achieved eradication of GV, and 110 patients (72.8%) exhibited marked shrinkage of the treated GV to grade 0 or I. Meanwhile, new-appearance or aggravation of esophageal varices (EV) occurred in 54 out of 136 patients who underwent follow-up endoscopy (41.2%). During the 36.0±29.2 months (mean±SD) of follow-up, 39 patients rebled (hemorrhage from GV in 7, EV in 18, nonvariceal origin in 4, and unknown in 10 patients). The estimated 3-year rebleeding-free rate was 74.8%, and multivariate analysis showed that CP class C was associated with rebleeding (odds ratio, 2.404; 95% confidence-interval, 1.013-5.704; P=0.047).

**Conclusions:** BRTO can be performed safely and effectively for the treatment of GV bleeding. However, aggravation of EV or bleeding from EV is not uncommon after BRTO; thus, periodic endoscopy to follow-up of EV with or without prophylactic treatment might be necessary in LC patients undergoing BRTO. (Clin Mol Hepatol 2012;18:368-374)
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INTRODUCTION

Although the risk of bleeding from gastric varices (GV) is relatively low, once GV rupture occurs, the outcome is worse, with a higher mortality than esophageal varices (EV). For the treatment of GV bleeding, various treatment modalities including endoscopic procedures, interventional radiologic treatment, and surgery have been widely performed. Balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration (BRTO) is an interventional radiologic treatment option that was introduced in 1990s, by Kanagawa et al. This procedure involves occlusion of blood flow by inflation of a balloon catheter into an outflow shunt, such as a gastro-renal shunt, and injection of ethanolamine oleate into GV in a retrograde manner.

Because BRTO is less invasive and relatively safe than other treatment options, it has been becoming the effective treatment-choice for GV bleeding in patients whose hemodynamics allow its application, and has been widely performed in Japan and Korea. However, there is little known of the long-term outcomes of BRTO in Korea. The purpose of this study is, therefore, to retrospectively investigate the clinical outcomes of BRTO for the treatment of GV bleeding in Korean patients with liver cirrhosis (LC).

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

This retrospective review of patients’ medical and imaging records was approved by each institutional review board. A total of 183 patients with liver cirrhosis (LC) who underwent BRTO for the treatment of endoscopically confirmed GV bleeding at Kyungpook National University Hospital, Konkuk University Hospital, Soonchunhyang University Bucheon Hospital, Samsung Medical Center, Kangbook Samsung Hospital, and Hanyang University Guri Hospital, in Korea, between January 2001 and December 2010, were enrolled in this study. No enrolled patients received other endoscopic, surgical or radiologic interventional treatments prior to BRTO. In each patient, GV were confirmed by esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD), and gastrorenal shunt was demonstrated by contrast-enhanced computer tomography (CT). GV were classified by anatomic distribution as proposed by Sarin et al. The sizes of GV were classified according to the system suggested by Hashizume et al as follows: grade 0, non visible; grade I, small sized, tortuous winding varices; grade II, medium sized, nodular-shaped varices; grade III, large sized, tumorous GV. The sizes of esophageal varices (EV) were graded according to the following classification: grade 0, not visible; grade I, varices occupying less than 25% of the lumen; grade II, varices occupying 25 to 50% of the lumen; grade III, varices occupying greater than 50% of the lumen. Technical success of BRTO was arbitrarily defined as the completion of the procedure after the confirmation of sufficient obliteration of GV with sclerosant under retrograde venography, and without re-bleeding at 2 days after BRTO. Procedure-related complications were defined as any untoward adverse events during or immediately after BRTO, which required active treatment or prolonged hospitalization. Eradication of GV was considered as complete obliteration of targeted GV on follow-up CT or EGD. Re-bleeding was regarded as the presence of hematemesis, melena, or hematochezia with a significant drop in hemoglobin level and blood transfusion of two or more units was needed. If the bleeding source was proven endoscopically to originate from GV or EV, it was considered variceal re-bleeding. Patients who were lost to follow up were right-censored at the time of drop out. Patients who failed to BRTO were excluded for the analysis of the re-bleeding and the mortality rates.

BRTO technique

In each center, BRTO was performed according to the method similar to that described by Kanagawa. In brief, a catheter for BRTO was inserted through the femoral or internal jugular vein, and then, it was advanced toward the gastrorenal shunt through the left renal vein and subsequently placed in the proximal portion of a shunt by ballooning. Venography was performed to demonstrate GV, gastrorenal shunts, and collateral veins communicating with the shunt. Ethanolamine oleate mixed with lipiodol was then injected to obliterate GV until there was sufficient accumulation of the mixture in GV. Finally, after confirmation that blood flow through the catheter had ceased, the balloon catheter was carefully deflated and removed under fluoroscopic observation while closely observing whether the formed thrombus remained stationary.

Statistical analysis

The data are expressed as the mean±standard deviation (SD) or median with range. Quantitative variables were compared using Student’s t-test, and qualitative variables were compared using Fisher’s exact test. Kaplan-Meier analyses were applied to
examine the time to the first episode of re-bleeding, or time to death. The log–rank test was used to examine the variation of re-bleeding episodes and survival rates. Age, sex and variables with \( P < 0.15 \) in univariate analysis were subjected to multivariate Cox regression modelling using forward stepwise variable selection. All \( P \)-values were two-tailed, and a \( P \)-value less than 0.05 was considered significant. Analyses were performed using SPSS software version 11.5 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Patient’s characteristics

The patients included 139 men and 44 women with a mean age of 57.1±9.9 years. All patients had underlying liver cirrhosis, of which the causes were hepatitis B (n=90), hepatitis C (n=23), chronic alcohol abuse (n=50), others (including autoimmune hepatitis, primary biliary cirrhosis, cryptogetic, etc) (n=13), and combined etiologies (n=7). According to the Child-Pugh classification (CP class), 48 patients were class A, 103 patients were class B, and 32 patients were class C. The mean pre-procedural Child-Pugh score was 7.72±1.87. Fifty patients had coexisting viable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) at the time of BRTO. According to the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage, 2 patients were classified as Stage 0, 19 as stage A, 15 as stage B, 12 as stage C, and 2 as stage D. The endoscopic locations of GV were isolated gastric varices type 1 (IGV1) in 61 patients, gastroesophageal varices type 1 (GOV1) in 42, and gastroesophageal varices type 2 (GOV2) in 80 patients. The sizes of GV were grade I in 18 patients, grade II in 40 patients, grade III in 124 patients, and undetermined in 1 patient. 131 patients had concomitant EV at the time of BRTO, and their sizes were grade I in 87 patients, grade II in 38 patients, and grade III in 6 patients. The characteristics of patients were summarized in Table 1.

Technical success and procedure-related complications

Technical success of BRTO was achieved in 177 of 183 patients (96.7%). Procedure-related complications (during or immediately after BRTO) occurred in 8 patients (4.4%). Pulmonary thromboembolism developed in 5 patients, and three cases of them were associated with balloon rupture during BRTO procedure. Transient mental change, left renal infarction, and gastrorenal shunt rupture each occurred in one patient.

Changes in variceal sizes after BRTO

Of 177 patients who successfully completed BRTO, 151 patients had the endoscopic or radiologic follow-up examinations (136 patients with EGD, 15 patients with only CT). Among these, 79 patients (52.3%) achieved eradication of GV, 110 patients (72.8%) showed marked shrinkage of treated GV to grade 0/I, and 129 patients (85.4%) showed decrease in size of GV after BRTO. When analyzing the eradication of GV after BRTO in terms of location of GV, the eradication rates of GOV1, GOV2 and IGV1 were 35.5% (12/34), 55.4% (36/65), and 59.6% (31/52) respectively (Fig. 1), and, the eradication rate of GOV1 was significantly lower than IGV1/GOV2 (\( P = 0.032 \)).

Table 1. Baseline patient characteristics

| Patients (n=183) |                |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Sex (M: F)      | 139: 44        |
| Age, >55: ≤55 years | 105: 78        |
| Etiology of LC (alcohol: HBV: HCV: combined others) | 50: 90: 23: 7: 13 |
| Child-Pugh class (A: B: C) | 48: 102: 32 |
| Child-Pugh score | 7.72±1.87      |
| Viable hepatocellular carcinoma, presence: absence | 50: 133       |
| BCLC (stage 0: A: B: C: D) | 2: 19: 15: 12: 2 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL) | 9.44±2.03      |
| Platelet (×1000/mm\(^3\)) | 87.4±371       |
| T-Bilirubin (mg/dL) | 2.13±2.09      |
| Albumin (g/dL) | 2.90±0.57      |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | 0.90±0.45      |
| Prothrombin time (INR) | 1.42±0.46      |
| Ascites (presence: absence) | 82: 101       |
| Hepatic encephalopathy (presence: absence) | 20: 163        |
| Location of GV (GOV1: GOV2: IGV1) | 42: 80: 61     |
| Size of GV (grade I: grade II: grade III: undetermined) | 18: 40: 124: 1 |
| Co-existence of EV (presence: absence) | 131: 52        |
| Size of EV (grade I: grade II: grade III) | 87: 38: 6      |

Data are presented as mean±SD. BCLC criteria, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer criteria; EV, esophageal varices; GOV1, gastroesophageal varices type 1; GOV2, gastroesophageal varices type 2; GV, gastric varices; IGV1, isolated gastric varices type 1; INR, International Normalized Ratio; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; LC, liver cirrhosis; SD, standard deviations.
EV was newly developed in 21 of 36 patients without EV prior to BRTO (58.3%), and EV progressed to a larger size in 33 of 100 patients with EV prior to BRTO (33.0%) (Fig. 2). On the follow-up EGD findings, 57 patients had grade II/III EV after BRTO (41.9%), and 35 patients of them underwent prophylactic endoscopic variceal ligation (EVL).

**Treatment outcomes**

During the follow-up period after BRTO (mean, 36.0 ± 29.2 months), re-bleedings from gastrointestinal tracts occurred in 39 patients (22.0%). Among these, bleedings from EV and GV occurred in 18 and 7 patients, respectively. Non-variceal bleedings occurred in 4 patients (benign gastric ulcer, duodenal ulcer, portal hypertensive gastropathy). In 10 patients, bleeding sources couldn’t be evaluated (Fig. 3). The estimated 1-, 3- and 5-year re-bleeding-free rates were 87.5%, 74.8%, and 68.9% respectively. Of potential variables, such as gender, age (>55 vs. ≤55 years), presence of HCC, BCLC stage (B/C/D vs. no HCC/0/A), CP class (class A/B vs. class C), etiology of LC (alcohol vs. non-alcohol), location of GV (GOV1 vs. GOV2/IGV1), size of GV (grade I vs. grade II or grade III vs. grade I/II), size of EV (grade 0/I vs. grade II/III), or eradication of GV, CP class C and presence of advanced stage HCC (BCLC B/C/D) were significantly or marginally associated with re-bleeding on univariate analysis. On multivariate analysis, only CP class C was significantly associated with re-bleeding (odds ratio [OR], 2.404; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.013-5.704; P=0.047) (Table 2, Fig. 4).

At the end of follow-up, 52 patients were dead, and their causes of death were HCC (n=15), re-bleeding (n=13), hepatic failure (n=6), complications of portal hypertension except variceal bleedings (hepatic encephalopathy, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis [SBP], hepatorenal syndrome, etc) (n=7), infections except SBP (pneumonia, etc) (n=3) and others (n=8). The estimated 1-, 3-, 5-year overall survival rates were 86.2%, 71.0%, and 65.8% respectively. Of potential variables, presence of HCC (P=0.001) and
Child-Pugh class C ($P=0.022$) were significantly associated with poor survival (Fig. 5). On multivariate analysis, presence of HCC finally remained independently correlated with poor prognosis (OR, 2.897; 95%CI 1.612-5.204, $P=0.001$) (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Despite the minimal invasiveness and high effectiveness of BRTO, it was not mentioned for the treatment of GV in the U.S. (American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, AASLD) or European (Baveno V) guidelines. Recently, clinical practice guidelines for liver cirrhosis by the Korean Association for the Study of the Liver (KASL), suggested that BRTO could be considered for the treatment of GV with gastrorenal shunt. However, they also mentioned that its strength of evidence was not strong. Therefore, we proposed to show the safety, efficacy and long-term clinical outcomes of BRTO for the treatment of GV bleeding, even though our study was retrospectively designed.

In this study, BRTO could be successfully performed without failure in almost patients, and the procedure was relatively safe for the treatment of GV. Our study showed that the technical success rate was 96.7% with 4.4% procedure-related complications, similar compared to the previous results, mainly reported in Japan. Despite the positive results of our study, however, it is difficult to recommend BRTO as the first choice treatment in the
setting of an active GV bleeding, because the presence of gastrorenal shunt should be identified before the procedure of BRTO, and BRTO is a time-consuming procedure compared to endoscopic management. Therefore BRTO seems to be the treatment of choice for patients whose GV bleedings are not active (spurting or oozing), or secondary prophylaxis for prevention of GV re-bleeding.

From the perspective of GV eradication, our results showed relatively lower eradication rate of treated GV (52.3%), compared to the previous reported data ranging from 80-100%. However, considering that about three fourths of patients showed marked shrinkage of the treated GV, and among these, a only few experienced re-bleeding, BRTO might be the clinically effective treatment of GV. Interestingly, eradication rate of GOV1 with BRTO was significantly lower than GOV2/IGV1. Theoretically, GV represent a heterogeneous entity anatomically and hemodynamically. They are commonly categorized on the basis of their location in the stomach and their relationship with EV. Among these, GOV1 are the most common subtype of GV and constitute an extension of EV along the lesser curvature of the stomach. Because they are considered a continuation of EV, KASL suggested that GOV1 should be treated as EV, and BRTO was not suitable for the management of GOV1. We guess our data supported their suggestions, and GOV1 might be treated with other treatment modality rather than BRTO, even though gastrorenal shunt exists in those cases.

As it is known in common, the major drawback of BRTO is potential worsening of EV. The worsening rate has previously reported in up to 66% of patients, probably because of the increased portal flow and pressures. Our data also showed that new EV appeared in more than one-half of patients who had no EV prior to BRTO, and EV was aggravated in about one-third of patients with EV prior to BRTO. Moreover, the incidence of EV bleeding (18 cases) is higher than that of GV re-bleeding (7 cases). Therefore, close monitoring with/without prophylaxis of EV should be necessary after BRTO.

In this study, pre-procedural liver functional reserve was the major factor which affected the re-bleeding and prognosis of patients undergoing BRTO. CP class C was a significant independent factor for re-bleeding on multivariate analysis, and was marginally associated with dismal prognosis of patients. However, several reports demonstrated that BRTO could improve liver function in LC patients with portal hypertension, probably because of increased portal flow. Therefore, the benefits and risks from this procedure might be weighed for patients whose liver functional reserve is already profoundly compromised.

There are several limitations in this study. First, because this is a retrospective study, BRTO might be performed in patients selected according to the protocol of each medical center. Second, because the reviewers of endoscopic or radiologic images were different in each center, inter-observer variations might exist, even though all centers used the same classification or grading system as mentioned in methods section. Third, we couldn’t evaluate whether BRTO could improve liver functional reserve or hepatic encephalopathy. Several reports suggested that the BRTO could improve not only GV, but also hepatic functional reserve or hepatic encephalopathy, and these might be other merits of BRTO.

In spite of the limitations, there were a sizable number of patients enrolled in this study, and they were followed-up for a long time period.

In conclusions, BRTO can be performed safely and effectively for the treatment of GV bleeding. But occurrence/progression of EV or bleeding from EV is not uncommon after BRTO. Periodic endoscopy to follow-up EV with/without prophylactic treatment might be needed in LC patients undergoing BRTO.
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