The sup-pf-2 Mutations of Chlamydomonas Alter the Activity of the Outer Dynein Arms by Modification of the γ-Dynein Heavy Chain
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Abstract. The sup-pf-2 mutation is a member of a group of dynein regulatory mutations that are capable of restoring motility to paralyzed central pair or radial spoke defective strains. Previous work has shown that the flagellar beat frequency is reduced in sup-pf-2, but little else was known about the sup-pf-2 phenotype (Huang, B., Z. Ramanis, and D.J.L. Luck. 1982. Cell. 28:115–125; Brokaw, C.J., and D.J.L. Luck. 1985. Cell Motil. 5:195–208). We have reexamined sup-pf-2 using improved biochemical and structural techniques and by the analysis of additional sup-pf-2 alleles. We have found that the sup-pf-2 mutations are associated with defects in the outer dynein arms. Biochemical analysis of sup-pf-2-1 axonemes indicates that both axonemal ATPase activity and outer arm polypeptides are reduced by 40–50% when compared with wild type. By thin-section EM, these defects correlate with an ~45% loss of outer dynein arm structures. Interestingly, this loss is biased toward a subset of outer doublets, resulting in a radial asymmetry that may reflect some aspect of outer arm assembly. The defects in outer arm assembly do not appear to result from defects in either the outer doublet microtubules or the outer arm docking structures, but rather appear to result from defects in outer dynein arm components. Analysis of new sup-pf-2 mutations indicates that the severity of the outer arm assembly defects varies with different alleles. Complementation tests and linkage analysis reveal that the sup-pf-2 mutations are alleles of the PF28/ODA2 locus, which is thought to encode the γ-dynein heavy chain subunit of the outer arm. The sup-pf-2 mutations therefore appear to alter the activity of the outer dynein arms by modification of the γ-dynein heavy chain.
fect than the absence of the α-DHC (Sakakibara et al., 1991, 1993). Since the β-DHC accounts for most of the ATPase activity of the αβ complex (Pfister and Witman, 1984), it has been postulated that the β-DHC is responsible for force production, whereas the α-DHC and possibly the γ-DHC act as amplifiers or modulators of β-DHC activity (Sakakibara et al., 1993). Other studies have indicated that the different inner arm isoforms also have different functions in the formation of flagellar waveform (Kagami and Kamiya, 1992; Minoura and Kamiya, 1995).

Since dynein arms can generate sliding forces in only one direction (Sale and Satir, 1977; Fox and Sale, 1987), the activity of the multiple dynein isoforms must be coordinated both spatially and temporally to generate an efficient flagellar waveform. If all the dynein arms were active simultaneously, no net motion would occur. Both structural and genetic evidence have implicated the central pair (CP) and radial spoke (RS) structures as key regulators of dynein arm activity. The orientation of the radial spokes changes when local bending occurs (Warner and Satir, 1974), and, in some species, including Chlamydomonas, the central pair rotates once per beat cycle (Omoto and Kung, 1979; Omoto and Witman, 1981; Kamiya, 1982). Moreover, mutations that disrupt either the CP or RS structures result in flagellar paralysis (Witman et al., 1978) by a mechanism that appears to involve global inactivation of dynein arm activity (Huang et al., 1982; Smith and Sale, 1992a). One hypothesis is that the central pair projections periodically sweep the radial spoke heads like a distributor, inducing a local signal that is transmitted to the dynein arms (Omoto and Kung, 1979). In the absence of these signals, other axonemal components must inhibit dynein activity in CP or RS mutant cells.

New insights into the nature of this inhibitory control system recently have been obtained by the characterization of extragenic suppressor mutations that restore motility to CP/RS defective strains without restoring the missing CP or RS structures. Second-site mutations in eight different loci (sup-pf-1, sup-pf-2, sup-pf-3, sup-pf-4, sup-pf-5, pfl2, pfl3, pfl9-2) short-circuit the inhibitory control system and allow for some dynein arm activity in the absence of signals from the CP/RS complex (Huang et al., 1982; Piperno et al., 1992; Porter et al., 1992). These suppressors are thought to identify components in the signaling pathway between the radial spokes and the dynein arms. Recent studies have suggested that there are at least three different classes of suppressors: one class that identifies regulatory domains located within the outer dynein arm (sup-pf-1; Huang et al., 1982; Porter et al., 1994), a second class that alters the assembly of an inner dynein arm isoform (pfl9-2; Porter et al., 1992), and a third class that alters components of a dynein regulatory complex as well as the assembly of a subset of the inner dynein arms (sup-pf-3, sup-pf-4, sup-pf-5, pfl2, pfl3), which are located in close proximity to the dynein regulatory complex (Huang et al., 1982; Piperno et al., 1992; Gardner et al., 1994; Piperno et al., 1994).

The sup-pf-2 mutation was recovered in the original collection of suppressor strains (Huang et al., 1982), but it has not been well characterized. Early studies have identified defects in sup-pf-2 motility, i.e., a reduced beat frequency (Brokaw and Luck, 1985), but no biochemical or structural defects were previously described (Huang et al., 1982). Given recent improvements in structural techniques (Mastronarde et al., 1992) and in the resolution of dynein heavy chain polypeptides (Kagami and Kamiya, 1992), we decided to reexamine the sup-pf-2 strain for possible defects in inner arm components. Much to our surprise, we have discovered that the defects in sup-pf-2 are associated with the outer dynein arms. In addition, we have found that the sup-pf-2 mutations are alleles of the PF28/ODA2 locus, which has been reported to encode the outer arm γ-DHC (Wilkerson et al., 1994). These results provide new insights into the genes and gene products involved in regulation of dynein arm activity and the assembly of outer arm components.

### Materials and Methods

#### Strains and Cell Culture

Characteristics of the outer arm mutant strains used in this study are listed in Table I. Additional strains used were arg2, arg7, pfl, pfl4, ery1b, and 37c (wild type). Cells were maintained as vegetatively growing cultures at 21°C on rich medium containing sodium acetate, as described by Sager and Granick (1953) and modified by Holmes and Dutcher (1989). arg strains were maintained on rich medium supplemented with 2.85 mM L-arginine. Progeny from crosses involving ery1b were scored for drug resistance on rich medium and rich medium supplemented with 200 μg/ml erythromycin. Cultures of gametic cells were obtained by transferring strains to a low sulfate medium for 5–7 d. All solid media contained Bacto agar (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI) washed with deionized water and air dried before use. Media for large scale (>5 liters) liquid cultures were supplemented with additional phosphate as described by Witman (1986).

#### Genetic Analysis

Complementation tests were performed by constructing stable diploid cell lines (Ebersold, 1967). Each mutation was crossed into an appropriate arginine-requiring background (either arg2 or arg7), and diploids were selected on medium lacking arginine. Six or more independently isolated cell lines were examined for each diploid construct, and all diploids were demonstrated to be mating type minus. Complementation tests were not performed with odal alleles because previous work has shown that the odal and sup-pf-1 mutations are alleles at the same locus (Porter et al., 1994) and that the sup-pf-1 and sup-pf-2 mutations are unlinked (Huang et al., 1982; Mitchell, B., manuscript in preparation). Genetic mapping was accomplished by recombination analysis of tetrads.

### Table I. Characteristics of Outer Arm Mutants Used in This Study

| Strain | Axonal defect          | Defective gene product | References* |
|--------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| oda1-99 | Outer arms and outer doublet projections missing | γ-DHC | 1,2 |
| oda2 (pfl28) | Outer arms missing | γ-DHC | 1,3,4 |
| oda3 | Outer arms and outer doublet projections missing | γ-DHC | 1,2 |
| oda5 | Outer arms missing | IC69 | 1,5 |
| oda6-95 | Outer arms missing | IC78 | 1,4 |
| oda7 | Outer arms missing | Unknown | 6 |
| oda8 | Outer arms missing | Unknown | 7 |
| oda9 | Outer arms missing | Unknown | 7 |
| oda10 | Outer arms missing | Unknown | 7 |
| oda11 | α-DHC/LC missing | Unknown | 7 |
| sup-pf-2 | Unknown | Unknown | 7 |

*1: Kamiya, 1988; 2: Takada and Kamiya, 1994; 3: Mitchell and Rosenbaum, 1985; 4: Wilkerson et al., 1994; 5: Mitchell and Kang, 1991; 6: Sakakibara et al., 1991; 7: Huang et al., 1982.
progeny using standard techniques (Levine and Ebersold, 1960). The cross of sup-pf-2 to arg2 resulted in a 10:35 (parental ditype [NP]/nonparental ditype [NP]/tetrate [TT]) segregation of tetrad progeny. The large number of recombinant tetrads indicates that these two mutations are unlinked and further suggests that the original assignment of sup-pf-2 to linkage group I was incorrect (Huang et al., 1982).

**Motility Measurements**

Swimming behavior was observed by phase-contrast microscopy on a microscope (Axioskop; Carl Zeiss, Inc., Thornwood, NY) using a ×40 objective and low intensity illumination. Measurements of swimming velocities were made from video recordings (BC-1000 VCR; Mitsubishi Electric Sales of America, Cypress, CA) of live cells using a camera (C2400 Newvicon; Hamamatsu Photonic Systems Corp., Bridgewater, NJ) and a video processor (Argus 10; Hamamatsu Photonic Systems Corp.) calibrated with a stage micrometer. Beat frequency was measured stroboscopically using dark-field illumination.

**Protein Purification**

Whole axonemes were obtained from small scale cultures of gametic cells on solid medium. The cells were resuspended in minimal medium, allowed to generate flagella for 45-60 min, and collected by centrifugation. Cells were washed free of debris and cell wall material by two cycles of resuspension and centrifugation and centrifugation in minimal medium, resuspended in 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 1 mM SrCl2, 4% sucrose, and 1 mM DTT, and then deflagellated by pH shock (Witman et al., 1972). The solution of deflagellated cells was then supplemented to 5 mM MgSO4, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM DTT, and 5 μg/ml aprotinin, leupeptin, and pepstatin and centrifuged at 1,000 g at 4°C. The flagella-containing supernatant was recentrifuged twice over a 20% sucrose cushion to remove remaining cell bodies and debris. The clarified flagella were extracted with 0.1% NP-40, and the axonemes were collected by centrifugation at 35,000 g for 60 min. The axonemal pellet was then resuspended in HMEEN buffer (30 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 5 mM MgSO4, 1 mM EGTA, 0.1 mM EDTA, 25 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, and 5 μg/ml aprotinin, leupeptin, and pepstatin), split into two aliquots, and recentrifuged at 14,000 g to obtain parallel samples for gel electrophoresis and EM.

Purified dyneins were prepared from large scale cultures (20-40 liters) of vegetative cells. Cells were collected using a Pellicon Cell Harvester (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA) equipped with Durapore filter cassettes. Concentrated cells were washed and deflagellated as described above. The final supernatant of clarified flagella was collected directly by centrifugation, resuspended in an equal volume of high salt solution (HMEEN made to 0.6 M NaCl and supplemented with 0.1 mM ATP, 10 μM taxol) and extracted for 30 min on ice. The axonemes were pelleted by centrifugation (15,000 g, 30 min, 4°C), and the supernatant was dialyzed against HMEEN supplemented with 0.1 mM DTT and 2.5 μg/ml aprotinin, leupeptin, and pepstatin for 2 h at 4°C. This crude dynein-containing high salt extract (HSE) was supplemented to a final concentration of 0.5 mM ATP and 100 μM vanadate to inhibit binding by the ATP-sensitive sites, and then recombined with unextracted wild-type and sup-pf-2 axonemes at stoichiometric ratios of 0.1, 0.5:1, 1:1, and 2:1, essentially as described by Smith and Sale (1992b). The extract/axoneme mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 15-20 min, after which the axonemes were pelleted at 16,000 g for 20 min. Supernatants were collected, axoneme pellets were resuspended in an appropriate volume, and samples were prepared for SDS-PAGE and EM as described below.

**Biochemical Analyses**

The ATPase activity of whole axonemes, extracted outer doublets, high salt extracts, and sucrose gradient fractions was measured in the presence of 10 mM KCl, 30 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 5 mM MgSO4, 0.1 mM EGTA, and 1 mM ATP. Under these conditions, dynein ATPase activity is presumed to be uncoupled from axonemal motility. Inorganic phosphate released was determined colorimetrically by the method of Waxman and Goldberg (1982). High salt extraction routinely solubilized >85% of the axonemal ATPase activity. Protein concentrations were determined by the method of Bradford (1976) using BSA as a standard.

The dynein heavy chains were resolved by SDS-PAGE using 3-5% polyacrylamide, 3-8 M urea gradient gels (Kagami and Kamiya, 1990) using the buffer system of Laemmli (1970). Separations of other polypeptides were performed using either 5-15% polyacrylamide, 0.2-5 M glycerol gradient gels, 5-20% polyacrylamide, 0.2-5 M glycerol gradient gels, or 18% polyacrylamide gels. All gels were stained with silver (Wray et al., 1981), with the exception of the samples from the dynein rebinding experiments, which were stained with Comassie blue for subsequent densitometric analysis (BioRad Scanning Densitometer; Bio Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA).

**EM and Image Analysis**

Axonemes were prepared for EM as previously described (Porter et al., 1981). Briefly, axonemes were fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde in 1.4 M cacodylic acid (Malinkrodt Inc., St. Louis, MO) in 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.9, for 1 h at room temperature, followed by fixation overnight in 2% glutaraldehyde in 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.9, at 4°C. The samples were transferred to fresh fixative, postfixed in 1% OsO4, dehydrated in a graded acetone series, and embedded in Epon-Araldite. Sections of 60-nm thickness were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and photographed for cross-sectional analysis. Images were obtained using either a Philips CM10 (Philips Electronic Instruments Co., Mahwah, NJ) or JEOL 100CX (JEOL USA, Inc., Peabody, MA) microscope operating at 80 kV.

The methods for digitizing, averaging, and comparing cross-sectional outer doublets were as previously described (Mastromarde et al., 1992), with the only modification being that the averages were normalized to the inner dynein arm using a program that scaled the background image intensity to zero, and the mean intensity of the region enclosing the inner arm to one. Cross-sections of the proximal region of the axoneme were identified by the presence of morphological markers such as B-tubule projections from outer doublets 1, 5, and 6, and/or a two-membered crossbridge between outer doublets 1 and 2 (Hoops and Witman, 1983). Outer doublets from the proximal region of the axoneme were selected, oriented for doublet numbering, and scored for the presence or absence of the outer dynein arms or the small, pointed structure that is usually located at the outer arm binding site (Takada and Kamiya, 1994).
Isolation of New sup-pf-2 Alleles

Revertants of paralyzed pfl cells were induced by UV irradiation essentially as described by Luck et al. (1977). Cells were suspended to a concentration of 3.1 × 10⁷ cells per ml placed in 100-mm sterile petri dishes, and irradiated under a 40-W germicidal lamp (254 nm) for 6 min at a distance of 20 cm with stirring. Treated cells were placed in sterile tubes with 4 ml of medium 1 (Sager and Granick, 1953) and placed in the dark overnight before transfer to constant light. After 14 d, each tube was visually screened for evidence of swimming cells. Tubes containing motile revertants were numbered and plated onto solid media for single colony isolation. One representative colony from each tube was selected for further analysis. Each motile revertant displayed a similar swimming phenotype in which the flagella beat rapidly but the cells do not progress forward.

All new mutations were backcrossed to wild-type cells and tested for linkage with pfl by standard techniques (Levine and Ebersold, 1960). Extrageneric pseudorevertants were first identified as bypass suppressors based on Western blot and genetic analyses, and then tested for allelism to known suppressor loci by linkage analysis. Suppressed (sup) pfl strains were crossed to a sup-pf-1 pfl double mutant and the tetrad progeny screened for paralyzed cells. Suppressed pfl strains producing paralyzed progeny from this cross were not allelic to sup-pf-1; these were therefore crossed to a sup-pf-2 pfl double mutant and again examined for paralyzed progeny. 11 new alleles of sup-pf-2 were recovered in this screen (Mitchell, B., manuscript in preparation). Two of these suppressors (S3 and S14) were selected for further study in this report.

Results

Motility Defects

To determine whether sup-pf-2 cells might have either an outer or inner arm dynein defect, we examined their motility phenotype in comparison with both wild-type and other mutant strains. Strains with outer dynein arm defects typically show reductions in swimming velocity, axonemal ATPase activity, and beat frequency (Mitchell and Rosenbaum, 1985; Brokaw and Kamiya, 1987; Kamiya, 1988), whereas strains with inner arm defects usually display reduced swimming velocities with near normal beat frequencies and ATPase activities (Brokaw and Kamiya, 1987; Kamiya et al., 1991; Porter et al., 1992). As shown in Table II, the swimming speed of sup-pf-2 cells is reduced to ~50% of wild-type levels, while beat frequency is reduced by one third (Brokaw and Luck, 1985). These characteristics are intermediate between those observed with oda11, a mutant that lacks the outer arm α-DHC and its associated LC (Sakakibara et al., 1991), and those observed with pf28, a mutant that completely lacks outer arm structures (Mitchell and Rosenbaum, 1985). Similar results were observed when comparing axonemal ATPase activity (see Table II).

Dynein Isoforms in sup-pf-2 Axonemes

To characterize the nature of the dynein defect, axonemes were prepared from wild-type, sup-pf-2, oda11, and pf28 strains, and their polypeptide compositions were compared by SDS-PAGE. Analysis of 3–5% polyacrylamide gradient gels indicates that each wild-type DHC is present in the sup-pf-2 axonemes, but that some DHCs have a reduced staining intensity (Fig. 1). The bands of lowered staining intensity comigrate precisely with the α-, β-, and γ-DHCs of the outer dynein arms. To better resolve this apparent outer arm defect, isolated wild-type and sup-pf-2 axonemes were salt extracted, and the dynein-containing supernatants were then fractionated either by sucrose density gradient centrifugation or by ion exchange chromatography on a Mono-Q column.

As shown in Fig. 2, stoichiometric amounts of wild-type and sup-pf-2 dynein extracts were spun on 5–20% sucrose gradients. The positions of the molecular mass markers are indicated (left).
density gradients, and then each fraction was assayed for ATPase activity to identify those fractions containing the outer arm dynein isoforms. Under these experimental conditions, wild-type outer dynein arms dissociate into two complexes: an αβ complex sedimenting at ~21S and a γ complex sedimenting at 12S (Piperno and Luck, 1979; Pfister and Witman, 1984). As shown in Fig. 2 a, the ATPase activity of the αβ peak in the sup-pf-2 sample (52 nmol/ml/min) was about one half that of the wild-type αβ peak (105 nmol/ml/min), consistent with the decrease previously observed with whole axonemes (see Table II). Interestingly, the ATPase activity of the γ peak in the sup-pf-2 sample (13 nmol/ml/min) was only 20% of that of the wild-type sample (74 nmol/ml/min). These results suggested that the primary defect might reside in either the outer arm γ complex (containing the γ-DHC and two LCs) or the cosedimenting inner arm isoforms. To determine if there were specific defects in the outer arm ICs or LCs, the αβ- and γ-DHC peak fractions from wild-type and sup-pf-2 samples were further analyzed on 5–15% polyacrylamide gradient gels. As shown in Fig. 2 b, all the ICs and LCs associated with the wild-type αβ- and γ-DHC complexes were present in sup-pf-2 fractions, albeit at reduced levels.

To better evaluate the inner arm dynein isoforms present, dynein extracts from wild-type and sup-pf-2 axonemes were fractionated by FPLC ion exchange chromography. This procedure identifies nine dynein complexes: one representing the outer arm αβ complex, one representing the outer arm γ complex, and seven others (α-g) corresponding to the inner arm dynein isoforms (for details, see Kagami and Kamiya, 1992, and Gardner et al., 1994). Fig. 3 a is an example of the FPLC profiles obtained with wild-type and sup-pf-2 extracts. The outer arm dynein isoforms can be readily identified in the wild-type profile, where the γ subunit elutes near the beginning of the gradient, and the αβ complex elutes later as a very large peak with shoulders representing inner arm peaks d/e to the left and f/g to the right (Kagami and Kamiya, 1992). In the sup-pf-2 sample, it is clear that the αβ peak is markedly reduced when compared with wild-type, whereas the γ peak is barely detectable above background. All seven inner arm peaks appear to be present at wild-type levels.

All fractions from both profiles were then analyzed on both 3–5% and 5–15% polyacrylamide gels to identify possible polypeptide defects. Stoichiometrically loaded samples representing the peak fractions from both profiles are shown in Fig. 3, b and c. Analysis of the DHC region indicates that all inner and outer arm dynein isoforms are present in sup-pf-2 (Fig. 3 c), but the outer arm DHCs are reduced relative to the inner arm subspecies and to the wild-type extracts. Similar results with dynein ICs and LCs were observed on the 5–15% gels (data not shown). These results suggest that sup-pf-2 axonemes are specifically defective in the outer dynein arms, but that the inner dynein arms are present at approximately wild-type levels.

Structural Defects in sup-pf-2 Axonemes

Since the biochemical data suggested an outer arm deficiency in sup-pf-2 axonemes, we used thin-section EM to characterize the structural defect. Axonemes were isolated from wild-type and sup-pf-2 cells and processed for EM as described in Materials and Methods. Preliminary analyses of individual cross-sections revealed that the outer dynein arms were missing from a limited number of doublets in each sup-pf-2 axoneme (Fig. 4, c and d), whereas only doublet 1 lacked outer arm structures in wild-type samples processed in parallel (Fig. 4, a and b).

To obtain a more quantitative estimate of the outer arm defect in sup-pf-2 axonemes, image averaging procedures
Figure 3. Ion exchange chromatography of dynein extracts. (a) Stoichiometric amounts of wild-type and sup-pf-2 dynein extracts were separated by FPLC on a Mono-Q anion exchange column. The profiles represent the absorbance (280 nm) of the proteins eluted from the column by a 100–400 mM NaCl gradient. Peaks corresponding to the outer arm aβ complex and γ-DHC, and the inner arm isoforms (a–g) are indicated on each profile. The aβ- and γ-DHC peaks appear reduced in the sup-pf-2 profile as compared with wild type. (b and c) Peak fractions from the wild-type and sup-pf-2 profiles were analyzed by SDS-PAGE on silver-stained 3–5% acrylamide, 3–8 M urea gradient gels. Only the DHC region of each gel is shown. Each lane was loaded with the same volume to facilitate comparisons between the strains. Since some peaks contain multiple, overlapping heavy chains, the bands corresponding to the principle polypeptides for each dynein isoform are indicated by dots to the left of each lane. The sup-pf-2 electrophoretic pattern (c) clearly shows that all the inner arm DHC isoforms are present; however, the outer arm DHCs are reduced in intensity compared with wild type (b). The identity of each peak fraction is indicated at the top in b.

(Mastronarde et al., 1992) were used to analyze axoneme cross-sections. Axoneme cross-sections were first preselected based on the presence of an intact central pair apparatus and clearly visible protofilaments on at least one of the axonemal doublets. All outer doublets with clear protofilament substructure were then combined to obtain an average image for a particular strain. Since the mutant strains (sup-pf-2, oda11, and pf28) used in this study have outer dynein arm defects, the images of the outer doublets were normalized to the inner dynein arm densities, a procedure that compensates for small differences in staining intensity between independent preparations of the same sample (for discussion, see Mastronarde et al., 1992). The resultant averages from the sup-pf-2 cross-sections are shown in Fig. 5 and can be compared with those obtained from wild-type, oda11, and pf28. The structural defects are most clearly illustrated in the difference plots (Fig. 5, d, f, and h).

As shown in Fig. 5, c and d, sup-pf-2 axonemes have an outer arm deficiency that encompasses the entire outer arm region and is not limited to a specific structural domain. This defect is different from that observed with oda11 (Fig. 5, e and f), which lacks the α-DHC and its associated LC (see also Sakakibara et al., 1991). The sup-pf-2 defect is clearly less severe than that observed with pf28 (Fig. 5, g and h), a mutant that completely lacks outer arm structures (Mitchell and Rosenbaum, 1985). Averaging of the outer arm deficiencies for individual sup-pf-2 doublets suggests a 40–45% reduction in outer dynein arms (4.6 ± 1.4 outer arms per cross-section, n = 151 axonemes). This estimate correlates well with an ~50% reduction in axonemal ATPase activity measured in isolated sup-pf-2 axonemes (see Table II). These results are also consistent with functional and biochemical data indicating that the dynein deficiencies in sup-pf-2 are less dramatic than those observed in mutants completely lacking outer arms, but different from those missing only a portion of the outer arm structure (see Table II).

Since the number of outer doublets lacking outer dynein arms varied slightly among cross-sections, we wanted to determine whether specific doublets were consistently affected or if the defect was random. To acquire this information, individual cross-sections were oriented to allow identification of specific outer doublets using morphological markers (see Materials and Methods). A summary of these results (Fig. 6) indicates that the outer arm deficiency is most pronounced on outer doublets 3 and 6–9, which appear to lack outer arm structures ~50% of the time. The variability in the number of outer arms per cross-section might suggest that the sup-pf-2 defects occur sporadically along the length of an axoneme, where regions lacking outer arms are interspersed by regions containing outer arms. Despite numerous efforts to address this question, we have been unable to obtain adequate images, using either negative stain EM or immunofluorescence procedures, that clearly depict the longitudinal distribution of
outer arms along a single doublet microtubule. In any case, the lack of outer dynein arms on a subset of outer doublets could result from defects in either the doublet microtubules, the outer arm docking structures (Takada and Kamiya, 1994), or the outer dynein arms themselves. To help distinguish between these possibilities, several assays were performed to identify the basis of these defects.

**Binding of Wild-type Outer Arms to sup-pf-2 Axonemes**

To assess whether the structural defect observed in sup-pf-2 axonemes could result from a defect in the outer doublet microtubules, unextracted sup-pf-2 axonemes were assayed for their ability to support the binding of exogenous wild-type outer dynein arms to unoccupied sites on the outer doublets. This assay was performed at various extract/axoneme stoichiometries (see Materials and Methods). Both the 1:1 and 2:1 ratios represented a substantial excess of exogenously added dynein relative to the number of unoccupied binding sites available on unextracted sup-pf-2 or wild-type axonemes. The addition of wild-type dynein extracts at a 1:1 stoichiometry increased the amount of dynein bound in both wild-type and sup-pf-2 samples. Structurally, this correlates with an increase in the number of outer doublets bearing outer dynein arms (Fig. 7). sup-pf-2 axonemes increased from an average of five to six doublets bearing outer arms in control samples to eight to nine doublets in dynein-treated samples. The increase observed with wild-type axonemes resulted from the addition of outer arms to doublet 1, which is normally unoccupied in vivo (Hoops and Witman, 1983). Binding of dynein arms to other sites on the outer doublets was not observed, most likely because binding by the ATP-sensitive site was prevented by addition of vanadate to the dynein extracts (see Materials and Methods). These experiments suggest that a defect in the sup-pf-2 outer doublets is unlikely because the wild-type outer arms could bind to the vacant sites in the sup-pf-2 axonemes. However, because the wild-type dynein extracts also contained wild-type docking proteins (Takada and Kamiya, 1994), a defect in the sup-pf-2 docking structures might not have been revealed in these experiments.

**Presence of an Outer Arm Docking Projection**

To determine if sup-pf-2 axonemes have a potential defect
Why then do sup-pf-2 in the outer arm docking structures, random images of structures on this doublet in all strains (data not shown). Projection, which may account for the lack of outer arm deficiency is biased toward doublets 3 and 6--9. Doublet 1 rarely has an outer arm, even in wild-type preparations (Hoops and Witman, 1983).

in the outer arm docking structures, random images of cross-sections from sup-pf-2 and pf28 axonemes were analyzed for the presence of small pointed projections on those doublets that lacked outer arms. These projections have been correlated with the presence of components required for binding outer arms to the outer doublets (Takada and Kamiya, 1994) and are apparent in cross-sections from both pf28 (Fig. 8 a) and sup-pf-2 axonemes (Fig. 8 b). Image averages obtained from outer doublets in pf28 and sup-pf-2 axonemes that lacked outer dynein arms show virtually identical projections (Fig. 8, c and d).

To investigate the frequency with which the projections appear on individual outer doublets, images of cross-sections from sup-pf-2 and pf28 axonemes were scored for the presence or absence of the projection (Table III). This analysis was limited to the proximal region where doublet number could be positively identified by the presence of specific morphological markers. Projections were observed on doublets 2–9 in both the sup-pf-2 and pf28 samples 45–60% of the time. Interestingly, doublet 1 rarely displayed a projection, which may account for the lack of outer arm structures on this doublet in all strains (data not shown). Why then do sup-pf-2 axonemes lack outer arms on some doublets? Is the activity of the docking proteins altered in sup-pf-2 cells? To address this question, we decided to look at the relationship between the sup-pf-2 mutation and other mutations that have been associated with defects in the docking structures.

Relationship between sup-pf-2 and Other oda Mutations

Axonemes from oda1 and oda3 cells lack outer dynein arms as well as the putative outer arm docking structures and their associated polypeptides, and they cannot bind purified outer dynein arms unless a 75 factor that contains two polypeptides of 105 and 70 kD is also included (Kamiya, 1988; Takada and Kamiya, 1994). Thus, a defect in the docking projection polypeptides appears to be responsible for the loss of outer dynein arms in these mutants. To determine whether the sup-pf-2 mutation might be related to either oda1 or oda3, we decided to perform complementation tests with these strains.

To construct stable diploid cell lines for complementation tests, each motility mutation was first crossed into either an arg2 or arg7 background. The initial cross of sup-pf-2 with arg2 indicated that the previous assignment of the SUP-PF-2 locus to linkage group I (Huang et al., 1982) was incorrect. Given this result, we decided to expand our complementation analysis to include most of the known oda mutations. The gene products of several of the ODA loci have been identified (see Table I). The sup-pf-2 arg2 strain was mated to the appropriate oda arg7 strains, and stable diploid cell lines were selected on medium lacking arginine. The resulting diploid cells were then examined by phase-contrast microscopy, and their swimming velocities were compared to the swimming velocities of their haploid parents. Wild-type swimming velocity was observed in all
of the sup-pf-2/oda diploids with the exception of the sup-pf-2/oda2 diploids, which displayed a swimming velocity similar to the sup-pf-2 parent strain (75.2 ± 12 μm/s, n = 50 cells; Table IV). This failure to complement suggests that the sup-pf-2 and pf28 (oda2) mutations may be alleles at the same locus. To confirm this observation, we tested the sup-pf-2 mutation for linkage to markers on linkage group XI. The sup-pf-2 parent strain was first mated to eryl, a drug resistance marker located on the right arm of linkage group XI, ~20 centimorgans (cM) from eryl (Table IV). We then mated sup-pf-2 to pf28 directly; no recombinants were observed in 25 complete tetrads (Table IV). Both the absence of recombination and the failure to complement indicate that pf28 and sup-pf-2 are alleles at the same locus.

Table III. Occurrence of Outer Doublet Projections

| Strain   | Doublets with (+) or without (−) a projection | 2* | Doublets counted|
|----------|-----------------------------------------------|----|-----------------|
| sup-pf-2 | 60.2                                          | 23.8 | 16             | 176 |
| pf28     | 44                                            | 14.1 | 41.9           | 248 |

*Doublets in which the presence or absence of a projection was ambiguous.

Table IV. Recombination, Dominance, and Complementation Analysis

| Recombination tests | Segregation (PD:NPD:TT) | Conclusions |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| sup-pf-2-1 X arg2   | 10:3:5                   | Unlinked    |
| sup-pf-2-1 X eryl    | 13:0:9                   | ~20.5 cM apart |
| sup-pf-2-1 X pf28   | 25:0:0                   | <1.9 cM apart |
| sup-pf-2-1 pf1 X    | 34:0:0                   | <1.4 cM apart |
| sup-pf-2-2 pf1      |                         |             |
| sup-pf-2-1 pf1 X    | 33:0:0                   | <1.5 cM apart |

| Dominance tests     | Motility phenotype*     | Conclusions |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| sup-pf-2-1 arg2     | wild type               | sup-pf-2-1 is recessive |
| SUP-PF-2 arg7       | wild type               | sup-pf-2-2 is recessive |
| sup-pf-2-2 arg2     | wild type               | sup-pf-2-3 is recessive |
| SUP-PF-2 arg7       |                         |             |

| Complementation tests | Motility phenotype*     | Conclusions |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| sup-pf-2-1 arg2       | like sup-pf-2-3         | Alleles at same locus |
| sup-pf-2-3 arg7       | like sup-pf-2-1         | Alleles at same locus |
| pf28                  | like sup-pf-2-2         | Alleles at same locus |
| sup-pf-2-2 arg2       | like sup-pf-2-3         | Alleles at same locus |
| SUP-PF-2 arg2         |                         |             |
| sup-pf-2-2 arg7       |                         |             |
| sup-pf-2-3 arg2       |                         |             |
| pf28                  |                         |             |

Characterization of New sup-pf-2 Alleles

Screens for mutations that restore motility to the paralyzed, radial spoke defective strain, pf1, have recently resulted in the recovery of several new extragenic suppressors (Mitchell, B., manuscript in preparation). 11 of these suppressors appear to be new alleles of the SUP-PF-2 locus (see Materials and Methods). After recovery of these strains in a wild-type background, it became apparent that their motility phenotypes fell into two distinct groups with different beat frequency ranges, one at 28–30 Hz and another at 48–50 Hz. We chose to analyze two strains (S3 and S14), one from each beat frequency group, based on the assumption that allele-specific differences might be more evident between strains with widely differing beat frequencies.

To confirm that the different motility phenotypes observed were representative of alleles at the SUP-PF-2/PF28 locus, the two new mutations were tested for allelism with pf28 and the original sup-pf-2 mutation (now designated sup-pf-2-1) by recombination and complementation tests. Both the sup-pf-2-2 (S14) and sup-pf-2-3 (S3) alleles failed to recombine with the sup-pf-2-1 mutation in >33 tetrads (Table IV). In addition, complementation analysis
indicates that the motility phenotypes of all of the sup-pf-2 mutations are recessive with respect to the wild-type SUP-PF-2 allele, but all are dominant with respect to the pf28 mutation. These results demonstrate that the different mutant alleles at the SUP-PF-2/PF28 locus can display a broad range of motility phenotypes.

To determine how the different motility phenotypes might be related to the state of outer arm assembly, sup-pf-2-2 and sup-pf-2-3 axonemes were examined by thin-section EM. The results of this analysis are summarized in Table V. Both sup-pf-2-2 and sup-pf-2-3 differ from sup-pf-2-1 with respect to beat frequency and swimming velocity. However, the most striking difference between the three strains is the number of outer arms that are observed in axoneme cross-sections. sup-pf-2-2 axonemes have more outer arms present per cross-section than sup-pf-2-1 axonemes, consistent with both its faster beat frequency and swimming velocity. Interestingly, the bias of outer arm loss on specific outer doublets is preserved between the strains (data not shown; see Fig. 6). In contrast, axonemes from sup-pf-2-3 cells, which have a reduced beat frequency, show no significant outer arm assembly defects. These results indicate that the outer arm assembly defects in sup-pf-2 strains vary in an allele-specific manner.

**Discussion**

**The sup-pf-2 Mutations Alter the Activity of the Dynein Arms**

In the early 1980's, a group of bypass suppressor mutations were identified that were capable of restoring partial motility to paralyzed radial spoke or central pair defective strains without restoring the original missing structures (Huang et al., 1982). More recent work has shown that most of these suppressor mutations alter components of the inner dynein arms or the dynein regulatory complex (Piperno et al., 1992, 1994; Porter et al., 1992; Gardner et al., 1994). In this study, we report several lines of evidence that indicate that the sup-pf-2 mutations, similar to the sup-pf-1 mutations (Brokaw et al., 1982; Porter et al., 1994), alter outer dynein arm activity. First, the sup-pf-2 mutations result in a reduction in swimming velocity and flagellar beat frequency (see Tables II and V). Reductions in these functional parameters are suggestive of outer arm defects. Second, biochemical analyses of sup-pf-2-1 axonemes show that the outer arm polypeptides are reduced to 55-60% of wild-type levels (Figs. 1-3 and 7). In contrast, the inner arm DHCs appear to be present at nearly wild-type levels (Fig. 3). Additionally, sup-pf-2-1 axonemal ATPase activity is reduced to ~50% of wild-type levels (Table II), consistent with a defect in outer dynein arm activity. Third, structural analysis of axoneme cross-sections reveals that the number of outer dynein arms observed on the outer doublet microtubules is reduced in some sup-pf-2 alleles as compared with wild type (Figs. 4 and 6; Table V). This defect reflects a uniform loss of outer arm structure that is not concentrated to a particular domain (Figs. 4 and 5). Interestingly, the outer arm structural defect appears to be biased toward a subset of outer doublets. By examining cross-sectional images obtained from the proximal region of sup-pf-2-1 axonemes, we have been able to identify doublets 3, 6, 8, and 9 as being the most severely affected, possessing outer arms ≤50% of the time (see Fig. 6). This defect results in a radial asymmetry in the distribution of outer dynein arms. Based on evidence from dynein rebinding assays and detailed image analysis of axoneme cross-sections, the structural defect does not result from defects in either the outer doublet microtubules or the putative outer arm docking structures, but rather appears to result from a defect in the outer dynein arms themselves (Figs. 7 and 8).

**The sup-pf-2 Mutations Alter the γ-DHC**

To test whether the sup-pf-2 mutations are related to any of the known outer dynein arm mutations, complementation tests were performed in stable diploid cell lines. Each sup-pf-2 mutation tested failed to complement the pf28 mutation (Table IV). In addition, recombination analysis has shown that the sup-pf-2-1 and pf28 mutations are tightly linked to one another. These results indicate that sup-pf-2 and pf28 represent two different classes of mutant alleles at the same locus. Based on restriction fragment length polymorphism mapping data, Wilkerson et al. (1994) have proposed that the PF28/ODA2 locus encodes the structural gene for the outer arm γ-DHC. Recent Southern blot analysis of numerous new alleles of pf28 generated by insertional mutagenesis supports this hypothesis (Mitchell, D., personal communication). Restriction fragment length polymorphisms were observed in several pf28 alleles when wild-type and mutant DNA samples were hybridized with γ-DHC probes. Taken together, these data indicate that the sup-pf-2 mutations represent defects in the gene that encodes the outer arm γ-DHC.

Interestingly, mapping the sup-pf-2 mutation to the structural gene for the outer arm γ-DHC is consistent with two additional observations. First, a relatively large number of sup-pf-2 alleles has been recovered after UV-induced mutagenesis of pf1 cells (Mitchell, B., manuscript in preparation). This suggests that the SUP-PF-2 locus is a large target, similar to what might be expected from a large DHC gene. Second, analysis of dynein extracts fractionated by sucrose density centrifugation indicates that the 12S peak of ATPase activity is reduced in sup-pf-2-1. These results would be consistent with an alteration in γ-DHC activity. The sup-pf-2 mutations therefore represent a special class of γ-DHC mutations that appear to assemble a modified outer dynein arm.

---

**Table V. Motility and Structural Phenotypes of sup-pf-2 Mutations**

| Strain (isolate) | Beat frequency | Swimming velocity | Number of outer arms per cross-section |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| sup-pf-2-1       | 40 Hz (n = 100) | 70.2 ± 9.8 µm/s   | 4.6 ± 1.4 (n = 151)                   |
| sup-pf-2-2       | 49 Hz (n = 100) | 90 ± 12.5 µm/s    | 6.1 ± 1.4 (n = 68)                    |
| (S14)            |                |                   |                                       |
| sup-pf-2-3       | 28 Hz (n = 100) | 110 ± 20.3 µm/s   | 7.8 ± 0.5 (n = 32)                    |

Swimming velocity and the number of outer arms per cross-section are expressed as mean ± SD.
Mutations in any of the thirteen different loci can disrupt the assembly of the outer dynein arms (Huang et al., 1979; Kamiya, 1988; Sakakibara et al., 1991). Most oda mutations result in complete loss of outer arms and appear to be produced by defects in specific outer arm components (see Table I). However, defects in DHC components appear to have a broad range of effects on the assembly of the outer arm. The α-DHC locus is represented by the single oda11 allele; this mutation results in loss of only a single heavy chain (α-DHC) and its associated 16-kD LC but does not alter the assembly of the remaining outer arm components (Sakakibara et al., 1991). The β-DHC locus is represented by several oda alleles, most of which fail to assemble the outer arm. Interestingly, the oda4-57 mutation, which produces a truncated β-DHC, supports only partial assembly of outer arms that lack one of the three globular heads (Sakakibara et al., 1993). In contrast, the sup-pf-1 mutations, which alter the activity of the β-DHC, support assembly of a wild-type complement of outer arms (Huang et al., 1982; Porter et al., 1994). The γ-DHC locus is represented by multiple p28/oda2 alleles that fail to assemble the outer arms (Kamiya, 1988; Mitchell and Rosenbaum, 1985) and, as shown here, by the sup-pf-2 alleles that display partial outer arm assembly. Thus, while some outer arm DHC mutations will support some level of outer arm assembly, the extent of outer arm assembly varies between these strains. In particular, the extent of outer arm assembly observed in sup-pf-2 strains appears to vary in an allele-specific manner (see Table V). These results suggest that the γ-DHC, in addition to the β-DHC, appears to play an important role in stabilizing the outer dynein arms.

Why some sup-pf-2 axonemes display a radially asymmetric distribution of outer dynein arms remains unresolved. We can only speculate that the asymmetry may reflect some aspect of outer arm and/or γ-DHC assembly. Interestingly, radial asymmetries within the axoneme have previously been revealed by analysis of other Chlamydomonas mutant strains. The inner arm mutant bop2-1, which was isolated as a specific suppressor of paralyzed pf10 cells, is missing an inner arm structure that appears to be restricted to specific doublets (King et al., 1994). In addition, the mbo class of mutants, which can only swim backwards, lacks B-tubule projections in doublets 1, 5, and 6 (Segal et al., 1984). The causes of these asymmetries also remain unresolved.

Possible Mechanisms of Suppression

To generate an efficient flagellar waveform, the activity of the multiple dynein motors must be somehow coupled and selectively activated and inhibited at different points in the beat cycle. Such a process may involve cooperative interactions between dynein arms, a mechanochemical feedback mechanism (see Brokaw, 1971; Lindemann, 1994a,b), and/or the transmission of signals from key axoneme components. Several lines of structural and genetic evidence implicate the central pair (CP) and radial spoke (RS) structures as modulators of dynein arm activity (for reviews see Smith and Sale, 1994; Porter, 1996). CP and RS deficient strains (pf strains) are paralyzed under physiological conditions (Witman et al., 1978) and display reduced microtubule sliding velocities in sliding disintegration assays (Smith and Sale, 1992b). The decrease in sliding velocity appears to be mediated in part by phosphorylation of the inner dynein arms (Smith and Sale, 1992b; Howard et al., 1994; Habermacher and Sale, 1995, 1996). However, inhibition of phosphorylation is not sufficient to restore flagellar motility in pf strains (Howard et al., 1994). This result suggests that other components within the axoneme must also be inhibiting dynein arm activity in CP/RS mutants. Interestingly, suppressed pf strains (e.g., sup-pf-1 pf14, sup-pf-2-1 pf14, sup-pf-3 pf14, and sup-pf-4 pf14) display near wild-type sliding velocities (Smith and Sale, 1992a; Rupp, G., G. Habermacher, W. Sale, and M.E. Porter, unpublished observations), and the sliding velocity of sup-pf-2-1 pf14 is unaffected by either kinase or phosphatase inhibitors (Rupp, G., G. Habermacher, W. Sale, and M.E. Porter, unpublished observations). These results may indicate that motility is restored in the suppressed strains by a mechanism that overrides inhibitory phosphorylation signals.

Other recent studies have suggested that the CP/RS structures are important for overriding inhibitory signals from proposed regulatory nucleotide binding sites (Kinosita et al., 1995; Omoto et al., 1996). The working hypothesis is that high concentrations of ATP (1 mM) inhibit dynein-induced sliding by binding to such a regulatory site. In wild-type flagella, signals from the CP/RS complex relieve this inhibition in a coordinated manner, but, in pf mutants, physiological levels of ATP inhibit movement. The location of the proposed regulatory nucleotide binding site(s) has not been determined, but it has been postulated that such site(s) might reside in either the β-DHC and/or γ-DHC (Omoto et al., 1996), perhaps in one or more of the four P-loop domains that have been identified by DHC sequence analysis (for review see Gibbons, 1995). Although it is still unknown where the sup-pf-2 mutations fall within the γ-DHC gene, one intriguing possibility is that the sup-pf-2 mutations may affect one of the four γ-DHC P-loops. In support of this hypothesis, the extensive sliding disintegration pattern that can be induced in wild-type axonemes only by reducing [ATP] below 50 mM (Omoto et al., 1996) has been observed with sup-pf-2-1 pf14 axonemes in the presence of high [ATP] (~1 mM; Rupp, G., G. Habermacher, W. Sale, and M.E. Porter, unpublished observations). Significantly, this pattern has not been observed with other suppressed pf14 strains under the same conditions. It is therefore possible that the sup-pf-2 mutations may affect a regulatory nucleotide binding site directly and/or another domain of the γ-DHC that responds to signals from this site. Further analysis of the sup-pf-2 alleles will be needed to address these questions and to better define the domains of the DHCs that regulate dynein arm activity.
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