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Abstract

Background

To investigate the relationship among neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR) of patients with perforated acute appendicitis and its clinical significance.

Methods

The present study selected 243 patients who underwent appendectomy presented with acute appendicitis from January 2013 and December 2015. All patients were confirmed by pathology. AA patients were divided into Perforated acute appendicitis (PAA) group and Non-perforated acute appendicitis (NPAA) group. The routine blood test results were collected pre-operation and calculated the NLR and PLR. To analyze the relationship among the NLR, PLR, Age, Gender, WBC count, Operation approach in PAA group and NPAA group.

Results

The NLR and PLR of PAA group were significantly higher than that of the NPAA group (P < 0.0001 and P = 0.039); Cut-off value NLR (≥ 8.96) and PLR (≥ 173.03) of were PAA group were significantly higher than that of the NPAA group (P < 0.0001 and P = 0.012). Multiple logistic regression analysis identified NLR as independent variables.

Conclusions

We suggest that the preoperative NLR and PLR are useful parameters to differentiate among PAA and NPAA.

Background

Acute appendicitis (AA) is almost a daily occurrence in the general surgery department. Despite being just so common, but the mechanism of AA remains poorly understood in the past few decades. It still requires early diagnosis and prompt management to avoid complications such as perforation, subsequent peritonitis and appendiceal abscess nowadays. In the development of inflammation of the appendix vermiformis, the direct luminal obstruction is the major pathophysiological mechanism. And recent theories associated with appendicitis focus on genetic factors, environmental influences and infection.

The diagnosis of acute appendicitis is clinical symptoms, scoring systems and imaging methods. There are few methods for evaluating the severity of appendicitis itself before surgery. In many surgical centers, it is attempt to delay appendectomy for 12–24 hours due to decrease the operative risk during the night.
And it not seems to increase complications. Biomarker reflect the severity of acute appendicitis may be correlated with data for inflammatory markers such as white blood cell count (WBC), neutrophil count, platelet count and C-reactive protein (CRP). Neutrophils regulate mast cells, epithelial cells and macrophages, and play an important role in inflammatory events. NLR is a marker of inflammation in various diseases and Changes in the NLR can be an early sign of bacterial and viral infections. Another parameter that has been used for the diagnosis of infection is the PLR. Platelets are cells that help in modulating various inflammatory conditions; therefore, changes in PLR may be a useful indicator of acute infection, including AA. NLR, PLR, in particular, is regarded as an inflammatory marker not only in acute inflammatory diseases, but also in several chronic inflammatory diseases, including cancer. The aims of this study were to determine the value of NLR and PLR in diagnosing AA and predicting its severity.

**Materials And Methods**

This retrospective study included 243 patients (150 men, 93 women), for whom sufficient data were valuable. They had undergone appendectomy for AA, operated by the same trained surgical team at the Department of General Surgery, Wuhan Third Hospital, between January 2013 and December 2015. All patients involved in this study gave their informed consent. The institutional review board and Ethics Committee of The Third Hospital of Wuhan approved this study. All patients have given their informed consent. To divide the patients into two groups depended upon whether perforated or not, we calculated the cutoff values of Demographic characteristics such as Age, WBC count, NLR, PLR using receive operating characteristic curve (ROC) analyses. Exclusion criteria included the following: hematological disorders; chronic liver or kidney disease; chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; asthma; any viral, bacterial or parasitic infection; cancer or autoimmune disease. Patients with incomplete records were also excluded.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as mean ± SD. Differences between the groups were analyzed using the $x^2$ test or Student's t test. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated on the basis of multivariate analysis, performed using logistic regression. Recommended cut-off values of NLR, PLR, WBC were determined using ROC analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 22.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois) at a significance level of $P < 0.05$.

**Result**

A total of 263 patients were enrolled in the study, after the exclusion of 20 patients owing to multiple missing values or non-operation. The data of 243 patients were retained (Table 1). There were 203 patients in Non-perforated group and 40 patients in perforated group. There were significant differences between Non-perforated group and perforated group in mean ages (38.67 ± 15.93 and 48.98 ± 19.61) ($P < 0.001$), Operation approach (open appendectomy (OA) /laparoscopic appendectomy (LA) )($P = 0.033$),
NLR(10.44 ± 8.39 and 16.08 ± 10.48) (P < 0.001), PLR(194.32 ± 113.96 and 237.51 ± 149.98) (P = 0.039).

There was no significant difference in Gender, WBC count.

| Variable          | NAPP group (n = 203) | PAA group (n = 40) | P* |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----|
| Ages (years)      | Mean ± SD            | 38.67 ± 15.93     | 48.98 ± 19.61 | 0.001 |
| Gender            | Male                 | 125               | 25  | 0.913 |
|                   | Female               | 78                | 15  |
| WBC count (x10⁹/L)| Mean ± SD            | 12.15 ± 4.3       | 13.58 ± 4.45 | 0.057 |
| Operation         | OA                   | 32                | 12  | 0.033 |
|                   | LA                   | 171               | 28  |
| NLR               | Mean ± SD            | 10.44 ± 8.39      | 16.08 ± 10.48 | 0.001 |
| PLR               | Mean ± SD            | 194.32 ± 113.96   | 237.51 ± 149.98 | 0.039 |

*Probability, P, from χ² test or Student’s t-test.

Cut-off values calculated using ROC analysis is depicted in Fig. 1. For the diagnosis of PAA, ages ≥ 50.5 had 62.5% sensitivity and 73.9% specificity, WBC count ≥ 12.6 (x10⁹/L) had 62.5% sensitivity and 55.2% specificity, NLR ≥ 8.96 had 77.5% sensitivity and 58.6% specificity and PLR ≥ 173.03 had 70% sensitivity and 51.7% specificity (Table 2). There were significant differences between Non-perforated group and perforated group in ages (≥ 50.5 and ≤ 50.5) (P < 0.001), WBC count (≥ 12.6 and ≤ 12.6) (P = 0.041), Operation approach (open appendectomy (OA) /laparoscopic appendectomy (LA) ) (P = 0.033), NLR(≥ 8.96 and ≤ 8.96) (P < 0.001), PLR(≥ 173.03 and ≤ 173.03) ( P = 0.012). There was no significant difference in Gender (Table 3).

Table 2
Receiver operating characteristic analysis of Demographic characteristics with NPAA/PAA group

| Variable    | AUC | SE a | 95% CI b       |
|-------------|-----|------|----------------|
| Age (years) | 0.653 | 0.0525 | 0.590–0.713 |
| NLR         | 0.535 | 0.0476 | 0.470–0.599 |
| PLR         | 0.537 | 0.0499 | 0.472–0.601 |
| WBC count   | 0.588 | 0.0489 | 0.523–0.650 |
Table 3
Demographic characteristics and hematologic markers between NPAA and PAA group

| Variable          | NPAA group (n = 203) | PAA group (n = 40) | P*  |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----|
| Ages (years)      | ≥ 50.5               | 41                | 25  | 0.001|
|                   | <50.5                | 162               | 15  |     |
| Gender            | Male                 | 125               | 25  | 0.913|
|                   | Female               | 78                | 15  |     |
| WBC count (x10^9/L) | ≥ 12.6               | 91                | 25  | 0.041|
|                   | <12.6                | 112               | 15  |     |
| Operation         | OA                   | 32                | 12  | 0.033|
|                   | LA                   | 171               | 28  |     |
| NLR               | ≥ 8.96               | 84                | 31  | 0.001|
|                   | <8.96                | 119               | 9   |     |
| PLR               | ≥ 173.03             | 98                | 28  | 0.012|
|                   | <173.03              | 105               | 12  |     |

*Probability, P, from χ² test.

The results of the multiple logistic regression analysis are presented in Table 4. The Age, NLR was significant independent predictors of a diagnosis of PAA.

Table 4
multivariate logistic regression analysis of Demographic characteristics with NPAA/PAA group

| Variable          | Odds ratio | 95% CI            | P*  |
|-------------------|------------|-------------------|-----|
| Age (≥ 50.5/ <50.5 years) | 6.427      | 3.010-13.725      | 0.001|
| NLR (≥ 8.96/ <8.96)     | 4.742      | 2.058-10.925      | 0.001|

Discussion

AA is part of the most common surgical emergencies. Diagnosis of AA largely depends on a clinical diagnosis supported by laboratory and imaging studies. AA is a well-known entity with remarkable signs and symptoms, but there is not a single parameter to diagnose it easily. Complicated appendicitis occurs once the appendix has become gangrenous and/or has perforated with numerous degrees of peritonitis. The rate of complicated appendicitis is slowly increasing and has been reported at an incidence of 12–
A recent study suggests that perforation is not necessarily the inevitable result of appendiceal obstruction. PAA may occur more commonly in patients with altered inflammatory responses or alterations in the colonic microbiome. In the elderly people, the morbidity rate and mortality rate are higher in the presence of PAA. In our study, the mean age of PAA group obviously older than NPAA group. Appendectomy is the gold standard for the management of AA since the late 1800s. And subsequent technological advances and improvement in the 1990s, the LA has gradually become a mainstay of treatment for AA. Some studies indicate that LA provides advantages in terms of shorter length of stay, less postoperative pain and faster recovery time, but there is a controversy with the application of LA in PAA. A range of novel biomarkers has been suggested during the past decade, including WBC and CRP, some studies have shown that increase in CRP and WBC are associated with the severity of AA, but these do not have external validity. In this study, we also found that NLR, PLR are reliable predictors of PAA, and NLR is a significant independent predictors of diagnosis of PAA.

NLR is a novel inflammatory marker and the determination of NLR is a simple test can be easily ascertained using blood parameters involved in the complete blood count. Goodman first suggested that the use of NLR in the diagnosis of AA. Then a number of studies showed that NLR played a useful role in the diagnosis of AA and was different between non-complicated AA and complicated AA. Markar SR has demonstrated that NLR is greater diagnostic accuracy than either WBC or CRP alone in AA and is an independent predictor of positive appendicitis histology. Takayuki Shimizu demonstrated that a higher NLR is closely associated with severe appendicitis. In a retrospective study by Kahramanca reported that an NLR cutoff value of 5.74 was found to be critical for complicated Acute Appendicitis. Furthermore, Ishizuka demonstrated that NLR above 8 was significant for gangrenous appendicitis. Khan confirmed that an NLR > 6.36 or CRP > 28 were statistically associated with complicated acute appendicitis, and NLR had a better area under the ROC curve compared to CRP for predicting severe appendicitis. Similarly, in our study, the higher NLR was observed in the perforated appendectomy group (16.08 ± 10.48) and a cutoff value of 8.96 was found to be critical for PAA. Multiple logistic regression analysis determined that the examination of NLR is a significant screening test for the diagnosis of PAA. This conclusion supports the consequence of our present study that NLR is significant independent predictors of diagnosis of PAA.

PLR is a combination of the PLT and lymphocyte counts. The research of PLR is focused on cancers and inflammation. In a recent study, NLR, and PLR were evaluated in patients treated for familial Mediterranean fever; PLR was deemed increased in patients with the condition compared with the control group. In another study by Nazik, use of NLR, PLR, IMA, and ESR values may be helpful in the diagnosis of appendicitis. In our study, PLR was increased with inflammation and was higher in the perforated appendectomy group (237.51 ± 149.98). However, multiple logistic regression analysis determined that the examination of PLR is not an independent factor for the diagnosis of PAA.

Our study has several limitations. Firstly, this retrospective study was carried out without estimating adequate sample size, so it is difficult to fully ascertain if there are unknown confounding variables that
affect NLR and PLR validity. And only patients who underwent appendectomy were included. Secondly, symptom onset to blood test time interval was not included in this study. Lastly, other clinical data such as symptoms and physical examinations were not investigated in this study. This is a study showing promising role of NLR, PLR at PAA.

**Conclusion**

From the above discussion, the conclusion can be reached that NLR, PLR are a good predictor of PAA. And NLR is significant independent predictors of a diagnosis of PAA.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Comparison of the receiver operating characteristics curve for diagnosing Perforated Acute Appendicitis for the Age, NLR, PLR and the WBC count.
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