Deep down on a Caribbean reef: lower mesophotic depths harbor a specialized coral-endosymbiont community
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The composition, ecology and environmental conditions of mesophotic coral ecosystems near the lower limits of their bathymetric distributions remain poorly understood. Here we provide the first in-depth assessment of a lower mesophotic coral community (60–100 m) in the Southern Caribbean through visual submersible surveys, genotyping of coral host-endosymbiont assemblages, temperature monitoring and a growth experiment. The lower mesophotic zone harbored a specialized coral community consisting of predominantly Agaricia grahamei, Agaricia undata and a “deep-water” lineage of Madracis pharensis, with large colonies of these species observed close to their lower distribution limit of ~90 m depth. All three species associated with “deep-specialist” photosynthetic endosymbionts (Symbiodinium). Fragments of A. grahamei exhibited growth rates at 60 m similar to those observed for shallow Agaricia colonies (~2–3 cm yr⁻¹), but showed bleaching and (partial) mortality when transplanted to 100 m. We propose that the strong reduction of temperature over depth (Δ5°C from 40 to 100 m depth) may play an important contributing role in determining lower depth limits of mesophotic coral communities in this region. Rather than a marginal extension of the reef slope, the lower mesophotic represents a specialized community, and as such warrants specific consideration from science and management.

Mesophotic coral ecosystems (MCEs) are light-dependent coral communities that occur in the tropics and subtropics between depths of 30–40 m to over 150 m. The upper limit of MCEs is arbitrarily defined by the maximum depth limit of conventional SCUBA diving (marking the beginning of a relatively unexplored depth zone), but roughly coincides with the depth where the reef community starts to transition from shallow to deep-water fauna, and where active reef building generally ceases as bio-erosion rates start to exceed those of reef accretion. On the other hand, the lower boundary of MCEs is defined by the lower depth limit of light-dependent corals and can vary strongly between locations depending on physical and bathymetrical parameters. Within the boundaries of this mesophotic zone, there is a further subdivision of the “upper mesophotic” (30–60 m) and “lower mesophotic” (>60 m). Most of the recent studies on MCEs have focused on this upper mesophotic depth zone, due to the overlap in species composition and potential connectivity with shallow reef communities. In comparison, the lower mesophotic zone remains understudied due to the increased logistical complexity associated with manned exploration of these depths. Visual surveys with remote camera systems have yielded descriptions at the functional group level (reviewed in Kahng et al.), but detailed knowledge on the composition and ecology of lower mesophotic coral communities and the abiotic and biotic factors structuring these communities remains limited at present.

The first attempts to explore lower mesophotic coral ecosystems in the Caribbean were made using manned submersibles in the early seventies in Honduras, Jamaica, and Belize, followed by similar efforts in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico and Bermuda during the mid-eighties. Since then, research efforts were sparse, until “inspection class” remotely operated and autonomous underwater vehicles became available as a more affordable alternative to manned submersibles (e.g. Refs. 11–13). Subsequent surveys found that lower mesophotic reef systems in the Caribbean share a similar geomorphology, characterized by steep walls that were formed during the Wisconsin Low stillstand. Lower mesophotic coral communities in the Caribbean are generally dominated...
by species of the genera *Agaricia* and *Madracis*, but other species such as *Montastraea cavernosa* and *Stephanocoenia intersepta* can be locally abundant. For the Southern Caribbean, benthic observations in the lower mesophotic zone are limited to anecdotal observations from deep SCUBA dives, reporting a community16–17 similar to those observed for the Northern Caribbean. Many of the species reported at lower mesophotic depths are also dominant members of shallow reefs, but it is unclear whether the distinct environmental conditions at mesophotic depths have lead to local adaptation or cryptic speciation of such “shallow-water” species18. In addition, lower mesophotic corals may harbor distinct photosynthetic endosymbionts (*Symbiodinium*)19,20 adapted to the low irradiance level or spectral composition characteristic of these depths. Molecular approaches are required to assess such genetic differences, and will ultimately help address the question if lower mesophotic ecosystems represent specialized coral communities or marginal extensions of their shallower counterparts.

Surveys from other parts of the Caribbean have shown that scleractinian corals are generally abundant down to approximately 60 m, after which they rapidly decrease in abundance with a lower depth limit of ~80 m1. Corals are occasionally found deeper, and the deepest zooxanthellate corals recorded for the Western Atlantic were *Agaricia* spp. growing at 119 m in the Bahamas20. The correlation between observed local depth limits of zooxanthellate corals and extrapolated light attenuation coefficients (with corals being observed down to greater depths with increasing water transparency) has led to the hypothesis that light is the primary factor in determining the lower limit of mesophotic coral ecosystems4. Although light attenuation over depth will ultimately pose a theoretical depth limit to zooxanthellate corals, other environmental factors such as substrate availability, sedimentation and temperature may become limiting prior to that. The accumulation of sediment on near horizontal substrates can limit the occurrence of coral communities at depth21,22 and the presence of strong thermoclines observed on some Indo-Pacific reefs has been linked to the localized absence or degraded state of mesophotic coral communities12,13.

Short-term measurements from the Caribbean and other regions indicate that temperatures usually remain conducive to coral growth even below the observed lower depth limit of zooxanthellate corals, questioning the importance of temperature limiting the vertical distribution of coral communities1. However, long-term environmental data from lower mesophotic depths are lacking and it therefore remains unknown whether the occurrence of seasonal temperature variations or episodic cold-water events may be limiting the development of coral communities at depth.

Although MCEs are valued for their ability to act as refugia from certain disturbances that affect shallow reefs, they are certainly not immune to all anthropogenic and natural stressors (reviewed in Bongaerts et al.19). Some disturbances can have an indiscriminate effect over depth affecting both shallow and mesophotic reefs (e.g. sedimentation and nutrient enrichment), whereas others can be confined to deeper communities only (e.g. cold-water bleaching and deep-water macroalgal blooms)14. Nonetheless, the frequency and effect of disturbances on mesophotic reefs is largely unknown as is the capacity of MCEs to recover post-disturbance through external coral recruitment and regrowth of surviving coral colonies22. Studies on coral growth rates in deeper water are limited and generally describe lower growth rates compared to those of similar species living in shallow water24–26. For lower mesophotic depths, our knowledge on coral growth rates comes from a single colony of *Leptoseris hawaiiensis*28 in Hawaii and several *Leptoseris fragilis* colonies in the Red Sea29. Insight into the growth rates (and therefore recovery potential) of corals living at mesophotic depths is important, particularly given the predominant plate-like morphology and fragile skeletons that make these communities more susceptible to sedimentation and breakage24.

Here, we provide the first in-depth assessment of a lower mesophotic coral community (60–100 m) in the Southern Caribbean to answer the following questions: (1) Does the lower mesophotic zone represent a specialized community of corals and associated *Symbiodinium*? (2) Does the temperature regime in the lower mesophotic differ substantially from shallow depths and could it play a role in governing lower coral depth distribution limits? (3) Are mesophotic coral communities characterized by slow coral growth, that reduces their ability to recover from disturbances? Using a manned submersible (“Curasub”) we visually assessed the community structure of a large reef section (“Seaquarium”) on the leeward side of Curaçao, and collected coral specimens for genotyping of coral host and endosymbiont assemblages (using mitochondrial markers for the coral host and both a mitochondrial marker and ITS2/DGGE for the associated *Symbiodinium*). Temperature loggers were deployed to characterize long-term temperature conditions over depth, and a small transplantation experiment was conducted to assess the growth and survival of coral fragments transplanted below and above their observed lower depth limits. We discuss the extent of overlap between upper and lower mesophotic communities, assess the observed growth rates in light of those observed for shallow-reef corals, and discuss the potential role of temperature as a factor limiting the depth distribution of mesophotic coral communities in this part of the world.

### Results

**Coral community structure.** The substrate of the lower mesophotic zone (>60 m) at the study site on the leeward side of Curaçao (Fig. 1) was dominated by sediment, interrupted by patches of hard substrate harboring sparse communities of zooxanthellate corals. From the submersible footage, a total of 438 zooxanthellate coral colonies were observed at depths ≥60 m, with the deepest colonies recorded at a depth of 91 m (*Madracis pharensis*; n = 2). The coral community was dominated by *Agaricia grahamae* between 60–75 m and *M. pharensis* between 80–90 m (Fig. 2). *M. pharensis* could not be distinguished from *Madracis senaria* in the submersible footage, but collected specimens from ≥60 m depth were all identified as *M. pharensis* (n = 53) (Fig. 3). Similarly, *A. grahamae* could not be distinguished from *Agaricia undata* in the video transects. From the total number of collected *Agaricia* specimens ≥60 m depth at the study site (n = 65) several specimens at each depth (1 out of 17 at 60 m, 1 out of 19 at 75 m, 6 out of 25 at 80 m and 2 out of 4 at 90 m) were later identified in the lab as *A. undata* rather than *A. grahamae* (Fig. 3). In addition to *A. grahamae*, *A. undata* and

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.jpg) **Figure 1 | Location of the study site (“Seaquarium”), comparative site (“Buoy 0/1”) and positioning of Curaçao within the Caribbean (inset). Map was modified from Bongaerts et al.17 under Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0.**
M. pharensis, the only other zooxanthellate corals that were observed in the video transects were Montastraea cavernosa (n = 1), Agaricia lamarcki (n = 2) and Stephanocoenia intersepta (n = 1) at respectively 63, 74 and 81 m depth. Colony size frequency groups (Fig. 2) were not significantly different across depths for Agaricia (Global R = -0.044, P = 0.979) and Madracis (Global R = -0.003, P = 0.531). Colony morphologies of Agaricia consisted of unifacial plates (sometimes encrusting over dead Agaricia skeletons), whereas Madracis exhibited thinly encrusting colonies, usually following the shape of the underlying substrate. No signs of coral bleaching were observed, however human debris was frequently observed between 60–90 m, consisting of glass bottles, fishing line, ropes and small “disposable” anchors.

Temperature regimes across a depth gradient. Temperatures were monitored at 10-minute intervals between April 2013 and January 2014 (Fig. 4), with average temperatures varying less than 0.3°C between 10, 25 and 40 m (27.6–27.9°C), but then declining linearly between 40 and 100 m (~1.68°C per 20 m depth increase; R² = 0.997). Seasonal variation was observed with coldest temperatures measured around January 2014 (last month of data collection). Rapid (and sometimes large) temperature fluctuations occurred frequently (~120 drops of 1–3°C within 10–30 minutes) at upper mesophotic depths (40–60 m), likely due to cold-water influxes originating from deeper water. However, in the spring (April–June 2013) few departures were noted, especially at 40 m (only three recorded drops of ~1°C within 10–30 minutes) where temperatures were similar to those measured at shallower depths (Fig. 4). At lower mesophotic depths (80–120 m), temperatures were substantially lower (~2–6°C) compared to shallow depths throughout the year. Across each depth interval below 40 m, there was an increase in exposure to colder temperatures (Fig. 5), with exposures to >25°C decreasing from 87 to 3% of the time and exposures to temperatures of <22°C increasing from 0 to 25% of the time between 60 and 100 m depth.

Coral host sequencing analysis. Four mitochondrial haplotypes were identified for mesophotic Agaricia specimens from the study site (“Seaquarium”) and a comparative location (“Buoy 0/1”) using a section of the nad5 region (473 bp including indels). The majority of sequenced lower mesophotic (n = 14) and upper mesophotic (n = 21) A. grahamae specimens shared a single dominant haplotype independent of depth and location (Haplotype 1a; Fig. 6), which was also shared with upper mesophotic A. lamarcki (n = 7) specimens that were included for comparison. The four specimens of A. undata shared a distinct haplotype (Haplotype 1d; Fig. 6). A similar pattern was observed for the cox1-1-rRNA region (649 bp including indels), with the majority of upper and lower mesophotic A. grahamae (and upper mesophotic A. lamarcki specimens) belonging to a single clade regardless of depth and location (“Clade 1”; Fig. 6). A. undata specimens (n = 7) were observed with two haplotypes belonging to a distinct clade (“Clade 2”), although one specimen identified as A. lamarcki was also observed with one of these haplotypes. These patterns confirm the pervasive polyphyly in the genus Agaricia previously observed using the atp6 marker, as well as the inability of mitochondrial markers to distinguish between A. lamarcki and A. grahamae7. Seven of the nine Agaricia colonies that were used for the transplantation experiment were also sequenced, with three different haplotypes observed for the A. grahamae colonies using the cox1-1-rRNA region and the A. undata colony grouping in the separate “undata” clade (“Clade 2”).

Five distinct mitochondrial haplotypes were observed for the M. pharensis specimens that were sequenced using the atp8 region (953 bp including indels), representing two distinct clades (Fig. 7). One clade (“Clade 2”) only contained shallow specimens (15 m; n = 7), whereas the other clade (“Clade 1”) contained a mix of shallow and mesophotic specimens (15–90 m; n = 40). All lower mesophotic samples shared a single haplotype (n = 14) that was only shared with two specimens from other depths (from 15 and 60 m) (Haplotype 1a). The remaining specimens from upper mesophotic and shallower depths belonged to three other closely-related haplotypes (Haplotypes 1b–d). Within the main M. pharensis clade (“Clade 1”), 37% of molecular variance can be explained by depth zone (i.e. shallow, upper mesophotic and lower mesophotic) (QDEPTH-TOT = 0.381; P = 0.0002) with no significant effect of location (0% of molecular variance; QLOC-DEPTH = -0.044; P = 0.5607).

Symbiodinium diversity. All sampled colonies of A. grahamae and A. undata (n = 58) harbored the same Symbiodinium ITS2 profile across their depth range (40–88 m). This ITS2 profile matches the “P4” profile previously described for A. grahamae in Curacao, containing four distinct ITS2 sequences of which one matches with the Symbiodinium C3 and one with C11 sequence7. Similarly, for the Symbiodinium mitochondrial cox1 region, all colonies were found to harbor the same haplotype (GenBank Accession Number KP178810).

M. pharensis colonies harbored either Symbiodinium B7 or B1515, with B7 only observed in shallow colonies (15 m; n = 7) and colonies at depths beyond 25 m hosting exclusively B15 (n = 40). All of the M. pharensis colonies harboring B7 belonged to host “Clade 2” (based on the mitochondrial atp8 region), whereas all of the colonies harboring B15 belonged to one of the “Clade 1” haplotypes (Fig. 7), with 98% of the molecular variance explained by symbiont type (QSYM-TOT = 0.981; P = 0.0001).
the 60 m rack, most fragments (13 out of 15) were still alive after 10 months (the 60 m rack was not checked after 5 months) and all but two had grown, with the six coral fragments that were still fully pigmented exhibiting an average linear increase in diameter of 19.4 mm (ranging from 5.7 to 27.2 mm) (Supplement Table 3, 4).

**Discussion**

The lower mesophotic community at our study site was dominated by *Agaricia grahamae* and *Madracis pharensis*, and to a lesser extent *Agaricia undata*, confirming previous observations in the Western Atlantic reporting *Agaricia* and *Madracis* spp. as the dominant members of the lower mesophotic. Although *A. undata* is considered rare in Curacao, we can confirm early observations by Bak that *A. undata* does regularly occur at lower mesophotic depths, accounting for about a quarter of our collected *Agaricia* specimens (8 out of 29) between 80–90 m. *Helioeris cucullata* and *Montastraea cavernosa* are two other species that have been regularly reported at depths in excess of 70 m at other locations in the Caribbean, but we observed only one colony of *M. cavernosa* at these depths. *H. cucullata* was only observed in this study at depths shallower than 60 m, and in small numbers, which may be related to the reported strong decline of this species in Curacao over the past several decades. Both *M. cavernosa* and *Stephanocenia intersepta* are normally relatively abundant at ~60 m depth at other sites around Curacao, with species such as *Madracis formosa*, *Agaricia lamarcki* and *Scolymia cubensis* occurring at lower densities (Bongaerts and Vermeij, personal observations). The (relative) absence of these species at lower mesophotic depths (~60 m) at our study site is therefore not necessarily representative for other reefs around Curacao. Nonetheless, the observations here confirm that deep-water specialist *Agaricia* species (i.e. *A. grahamae* and *A. undata*) dominate the lower mesophotic communities beyond 70 m in Curacao, with *M. pharensis* occupying the lowest reaches of the mesophotic.

Our molecular data provides further insights into whether the lower mesophotic zone represents a unique, specialized community or a “marginal” extension of upper mesophotic reef communities (30–60 m). While the upper mesophotic zone still hosts many “depth-generalist” coral species (~25–40%) that are also found in shallow-water habitats, the lower mesophotic community (at the study site) consists mainly of deep-water specialists (i.e. *Agaricia grahamae* and *Agaricia undata*). An exception is the species *M. pharensis*, which is also commonly found in shallow-water. However, the host phylogeny for this species confirms previous work reporting the presence of two divergent lineages (Fig. 7), that likely represent distinct (cryptic) species. These two *M. pharensis* lineages each associate with a distinct *Symbiodinium* type (“Clade 1” with *Symbiodinium* B15 and “Clade 2” with *Symbiodinium* B7) and exhibit distinct depth distributions, with the B15-associated lineage found predominantly at mesophotic depths (~80–90 m), with depth zones explaining 37% of molecular variance. However, the extent of genetic divergence between these mesophotic populations remains unclear as only a single mitochondrial marker was used. No genetic subdivision was found between *A. grahamae* specimens from upper mesophotic and lower mesophotic depths (nor between the species *A. grahamae* and *A. lamarcki*) using conserved mitochondrial markers, with all individuals also hosting the same *Symbiodinium* subtype (assessed for both the ITS2 and cox1 region). The two *Symbiodinium* types found in association with mesophotic *Agaricia* and *Madracis* spp., are rare in shallow reef communities and may represent *Symbiodinium* types specialized to deep-water conditions (e.g. low irradiance). These findings corroborate that the upper mesophotic is a transition zone hosting coral-endosymbiont associations.
that are shared with both the shallow and lower mesophotic reef\textsuperscript{24}, whereas the lower mesophotic reef hosts a specialized “deep-water” coral and endosymbiont community.

Fragments of \textit{Agaricia} colonies were transplanted from their original depth at \textasciitilde 80 m to a shallower depth (60 m), back to their original depth (80 m), and a depth exceeding their natural distribution range at this site (100 m). Surprisingly, survival rates were extremely low on the “control” rack (80 m) compared to the shallower and deeper rack, despite the fact that fragments were transplanted back to their original depth in the direct vicinity of existing \textit{Agaricia} colonies. Factors explaining this high mortality may be due to unnoted differential handling or positioning of the rack at a location unfavorable to coral growth (e.g. with increased sedimentation), however an obvious cause could not be identified. The high mortality overall might reflect a lowered ability of corals at these depths (due to physiological limitations) to cope with the stress associated with these manipulations. Although no noticeable growth was observed on the 80 and 100 m racks (linear increases of \textless 4 mm), “healthy” \textit{A. grahamae} fragments left on the 60 m rack (n = 6) grew at an average (extrapolated) rate of 22.0 mm yr\textsuperscript{-1}, with a maximum (extrapolated) rate of 30.8 mm yr\textsuperscript{-1} (Supplement Table 4). These represent some of the first growth estimates measured at lower mesophotic depths (60–100 m), with other records being those from \textit{Leptoseris fragilis} in the Red Sea (radial growth of 5–8 mm yr\textsuperscript{-1})\textsuperscript{8} and that from a single \textit{Leptoseris hawaiiensis} colony in Hawaii (radial growth of 11 ± 3 mm yr\textsuperscript{-1})\textsuperscript{19}. Interestingly, the growth rates we observed at 60 m are similar to the maximum diameter growth rates (24.8–33.6 mm yr\textsuperscript{-1}) previously measured for juvenile and adult \textit{A. humilis} and \textit{A. agaricites} colonies at shallow and intermediate depths (5–30 m) in Curaçao\textsuperscript{36–40}. Calcification rates are however likely to be lower, as the skeletons of agariciids at lower mesophotic depths are usually much thinner compared to shallow depths\textsuperscript{24,33}. The minimal growth of the few “healthy” fragments left on the 80 and 100 m racks (n = 2 on each rack) could indicate that growth rates may decline rapidly at depths beyond 60 m, but given the low sample sizes this should be assessed further. Nonetheless, this initial assessment demonstrates that the growth rates of corals at mesophotic depths (i.e. 60 m) are not necessarily stunted and can be similar to that observed in shallow water, which implies that the coral communities in this depth zone have some ability to recover from physical disturbances.

At the study site, zooxanthellate corals were observed down to a maximum depth of \textasciitilde 90 m. The extrapolated proportion of surface PAR irradiance reaching these depths (assuming a constant $K_{\text{PAR}}$) would be 0.13 or 0.30% depending on which reported $K_{\text{PAR}}$ value for Curaçaoan waters is used (0.072\textsuperscript{25} or 0.063\textsuperscript{41}). Although these values match extrapolated values reported for other parts of the Caribbean (0.15–0.29%), corals have been observed growing at even lower extrapolated values such as 0.007% in Hawaii (reviewed in Kahng et al.\textsuperscript{18}). Extrapolated values only provide very rough estimates, as optical transparency of the water column is often greater in deeper water and irradiance values at depth are strongly influenced by local bathymetric features\textsuperscript{22}. Usually, in the lower mesophotic, a marked decrease in colony size over depth is observed\textsuperscript{18}, or exclusively small colonies are found close to the lower depth distribution\textsuperscript{42–44}, which has been attributed to light gradually becoming a limiting factor to coral growth. At the study site no such pattern was identified for either \textit{Agaricia} or \textit{Madracis}, with large colonies of both genera present close to the rather abrupt lower depth limit (Fig. 2). As such, it may be that other environmental factors play a contributing role in determining lower depth distributions of zooxanthellate corals at the study site. Lack of suitable substrate at depth can be an important factor in determining lower depth limits\textsuperscript{45–48}, particularly in the presence of sandy terraces, steep walls or undercut ridges, and can prevent the development of coral communities at depths where irradiance levels should still be able to sustain coral growth. Although the slope angle at our study site was mostly very steep at depths beyond 90–100 m, there were several deeper outcrops and ledges consisting of “suitable” hard substrate. Thus, despite the important role of substrate availability and sedimentation in driving
the spatial patchiness of mesophotic communities, they cannot explain the observed abrupt depth limit at the study site.

Temperatures do not appear to decrease dramatically across the shallow-mesophotic depth gradient in many regions that support coral reefs, and are therefore not considered to play a role in determining depth limits of zooxanthellate corals at tropical locations. Similar conclusions might have been drawn for our study site if temperatures were only measured episodically (e.g. at certain times in July 2013 temperatures were identical from 10 to 80 m depth) (Fig. 4), however over longer time intervals it becomes apparent that thermal conditions are in fact very distinct between shallow and mesophotic depths on Curaçao. A steep decrease in temperatures and increased variability was observed at depths below 40 m. Just below the observed depth limit of zooxanthellate corals (100 m depth), temperatures were on average ~5 °C lower than at shallow depths (i.e. 22.8–26.9 °C) occurring regularly (Fig. 5).

Although these temperatures are still well above the known lower temperature limits observed for zooxanthellate corals globally (15–18 °C), they are much lower than seawater temperatures recorded in shallow waters around Curacao and Bonaire. The last month of our transplantation experiment was also the coldest (minimum temperature recorded at 100 m was 19.8 °C) (Fig. 4), and the observed bleaching and partial mortality observed on the 100 m transplant.

Figure 7 | Phylogenetic tree of *Madracis* specimens based on the mitochondrial atp8 region. Lower mesophotic specimens (80–90 m) are indicated in red. Bootstrap values are based on maximum likelihood (ML) with only probabilities over 80% shown. Depth range, number of specimens, location ([Seaq] = "Seaquarium", [Buoy] = "Buoy 0/1"), and *Symbiodinium* profile (colored boxes) are given. Asterisks (*) indicate specimens used for the transplantation experiment. Sequences from GenBank are indicated by their Accession Number.

Figure 6 | Phylogenetic trees of *Agaricia* specimens based on the mitochondrial nad5 region and the mitochondrial cox1-1-rRNA region. Lower mesophotic specimens (74–88 m) are indicated in red. Bootstrap values are based on maximum likelihood (ML) with only probabilities over 80% shown. Depth range, number of specimens, location ([Seaq] = "Seaquarium", [Buoy] = "Buoy 0/1"), and *Symbiodinium* profile (colored boxes) are given. Asterisks (*) indicate specimens used for the transplantation experiment. Sequences from GenBank are indicated by their Accession Number.
rack (Fig. 8) could be the result of long- or short-term exposure to these colder temperature conditions. Although other causes such as long-term exposure to suboptimal light conditions cannot be ruled out, deep-water bleaching (>30 m) of Agaricia colonies has previously been observed in Curacao45 and Bonaire48. This bleaching could be attributed to cold-water infuaxes likely due to the shallowing of a deep-water thermocline. The bleaching in Curacao was followed by substantial mortality of Agaricia on the deep reef (Bak, unpublished results), demonstrating that episodic cold-water exposure can be an important selective force for deep reef communities. As such, we hypothesize that temperature is a contributing factor in determining lower depth limits at the study site, and may be limiting the development of coral communities at depth through long-term exposure to colder temperatures or short-term exposure to episodic cold-water anomalies49. As cold-water infuaxes on deep reefs can be dependent on bathymetry50, it could well be that lower depth limits also vary around the island depending on the reef profile.

Overall, this study demonstrates that the lower mesophotic represents a specialized coral community, rather than a marginal extension of the reef slope, and should be regarded as a distinct entity within the coral reef ecosystem. Only a few dominant zoanthellate coral species were found at these depths, but all of them represented deep-specialists, hosting specialist Symbiodinium types, that only rarely occur on the shallow reef. Although our initial findings on growth rates at the transition of the upper and lower mesophotic zone (i.e. 60 m depth) indicate a certain potential for recovery, the dominant flat and plate-like morphologies at lower mesophotic depths make these coral communities susceptible to sedimentation from e.g. coastal development55 and their thin skeletons make them more prone to direct physical disturbances. Indirect effects of artisanal and recreational fisheries can be substantial, and we observed a lot of human debris ranging from discarded glass bottles to small “disposable” fishing anchors. Currently, lower mesophotic coral ecosystems in this region do not receive any form of protection as they fall outside of the “Marine Park” boundaries in both Curacao and Bonaire, which are defined by the 60 m isobaths. We argue that these ecosystems deserve separate consideration during marine conservation planning, taking into account their distinct set of stressors and to provide them with adequate protection despite being located “out-of-sight”.

Methods

Study site, environmental conditions and specimen collections. As part of the “Catlin Seaview Survey”, we assessed the lower mesophotic coral communities (60–100 m) near the “Seaquarium” reef (12°05.067’N, 68°53.900’W) on the leeward site of Curacao in the Southern Caribbean. Submersible dives were conducted using the “Curasub” operated by the “Substation Curacao” between March-April 2013 and in February 2014. The manned submersible was equipped with a large front-viewing dome for observations, two hydraulic manipulator arms for gear deployment and sample collection, and high-definition video cameras for recording. Roughly 2 kilometres of the study site was explored along depth isobaths, with in total ~15 hours spent within the target depth range (60–100 m). Video fragments were analysed after the dives, and observed scleractinian corals were scored for their identity (down to genus level or species level where possible) and categorized into a rough size class (0–15 cm, 20–50 cm, > 50 cm) using the chisel or submersible "specimen basket" as a size reference. As surveying was not done along a transect line and effort was not uniform across depth intervals, only relative abundances and size class distributions could be determined. Weighted HOBO temperature loggers were deployed using the submersible at 60, 80, 100 and 120 m on the 21st of March 2013, with additional loggers being placed on SCUBA at 10, 25 and 40 m on the 31st of March. Temperatures were logged every 10 minutes until the 16th of January 2014 (60, 80, 100 and 120 m loggers) and 27th of January 2014 (10, 25 and 40 m loggers), and were recovered on the 6th of February 2014. Samples were collected from a total of 123 scleractinian coral colonies between 60–100 m for genetic analyses and to help with taxonomic identification. Additional specimens (including several different Agaricia species) were collected from shallow and upper mesophotic depths (15–40 m) at the same location (n = 21), and shallow and upper mesophotic depths (15–60 m) at another location (n = 57) on the leeward side of the island (“Buoy 01”; 12°07.478’N, 68°58.430’W) for comparative purposes. Small fragments were subsampled from each specimen and stored in salt-saturated buffer solution containing 20% DMSO and 0.5 M EDTA, with the remaining specimens cleaned in household bleach solution (4% hypochlorite) for 36–72 h, rinsed in freshwater and dried for taxonomic identification. Coral species were identified following the taxonomic features specified by Veron and Stafford-Smith51 and Humann & Delauche52.

Coral host genotyping. Subsections of the mitochondrial nad5 region and cox1-1-rRNA intron were amplified for a total of 60 Agaricia specimens (Supplement Table 1) using respectively the NAD5_316F/NAD1_157R72 and AGAH/AGAL54 primer pairs. For 45 Madracis specimens, a small portion of the nad5 region, the entire trnW and atp8, an intergenic spacer and a small portion of cox1 were amplified (Supplement Table 2) using the FNAD5-RCO3J primer pairs11. PCR amplifications were performed with concentrations and cycling protocol as reported for the atp6 marker in Bongaerts et al.12-17. PCR products were run on agarose gels, cleaned using ExoSAP-IT and sequenced in both the forward and reverse directions (ABI BigDye Terminator chemistry, Australian Genome Research Facility). All chromatograms were analyzed using CodonCode Aligner, with sequences being aligned with MUSCLE and blasted on GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST). Phylogenetic analyses of sequences were performed using maximum likelihood in MEGA611 under the delayed transition setting and calculation of bootstrap support values based on 1000 replicates, using additional sequences retrieved from GenBank as outgroups. The best-fit model of molecular evolution was selected by hierarchical Akaike information criterion (AIC) using MEGA6 with a HKY + I, K2 + G and T92 model best describing respectively the nad5, cox1-1-rRNA and atp8 data under a log likelihood optimality criterion.

Symbiodinium genotyping. Symbiodinium identity was established for specimens that were sequenced for the coral host (n = 105), by amplifying the

Table 1) using respectively the NAD5_316F/NAD1_157R 53 and AGAH/AGAL 54 primer pairs. For 45 Madracis specimens, a small portion of the nad5 region, the entire trnW and atp8, an intergenic spacer and a small portion of cox1 were amplified (Supplement Table 2) using the FNAD5-RCO3J primer pairs11. PCR amplifications were performed with concentrations and cycling protocol as reported for the atp6 marker in Bongaerts et al.12-17. PCR products were run on agarose gels, cleaned using ExoSAP-IT and sequenced in both the forward and reverse directions (ABI BigDye Terminator chemistry, Australian Genome Research Facility). All chromatograms were analyzed using CodonCode Aligner, with sequences being aligned with MUSCLE and blasted on GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST). Phylogenetic analyses of sequences were performed using maximum likelihood in MEGA6 under the delayed transition setting and calculation of bootstrap support values based on 1000 replicates, using additional sequences retrieved from GenBank as outgroups. The best-fit model of molecular evolution was selected by hierarchical Akaike information criterion (AIC) using MEGA6 with a HKY + I, K2 + G and T92 model best describing respectively the nad5, cox1-1-rRNA and atp8 data under a log likelihood optimality criterion.
transcribed spacer (ITS2) region using Symbiodinium-specific primers as described in Bongaerts et al. To identify the dominant Symbiodinium types in each sample, the amplified ITS2 fragments were separated using denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) on a CBScientific System following conditions outlined in Sampayo et al., and compared to samples with known profiles from previous studies. In addition, the Symbiodinium coxI region was amplified for 44 Agaricia specimens using the FOR2 and COX1, recovered primers to test for potential variability not detected using ITS2/DGGE following the PCR, sequence and alignment protocol as outlined above for the host sequences.

Transplantation experiment. A total of 9 Agaricia colonies were collected between 79–84 m depth on the 20th of March 2013 using the submersible and brought up to a depth of 40 m, where divers transferred the colonies from the submersible collection basket to a large plastic container with a lid. The colonies were then brought to the surface in the dark (to avoid light-stress in the shallow) and transferred to a blacked out, temperature-controlled laboratory. There, six roughly equal-sized fragments were taken from each of the colonies using bincutters or a hammer and chisel, with two fragments randomly assigned to each of three transplantation racks. From the remaining coral material, small fragments were subsampled and stored in 20% DMSO and 0.5 M EDTA for DNA analyses, and a skeletal voucher was bleached for taxonomic identification. Fragments were mounted onto calcium carbonate plugs using underwater epoxy putty and cyanoacrylate glue, and randomly assigned to positions on a PVC rack. Transplantation racks were kept in dark conditions in large plastic containers containing a small powerhouse to provide some water circulation overnight. In the morning of the 21st of March 2013, the closed-lid containers containing the transplant racks were brought back to a depth of 40 m by divers, where they were lowered into the containers and placed directly onto the plastic racks, which were photographed using a still camera system mounted on a PVC frame that fitted into the transplant rack (ensuring a perpendicular angle) and a ruler in the plane of the coral colonies for scale. The three transplant racks were then picked up using the manipulator of the submersible and slowly brought to the respective depths of 60, 80 and 100 m where they were deployed. After 6–7 days, the three transplant racks were revisited using the submersible to visually assess the status of the fragments. After ~5 months (6th of August 2013), two of the transplant racks (80 and 100 m) were revisited using the submersible (the 60 m transplant rack was not revisited due to time restrictions) and photographed using the on-board camera and lights. After ~10 months, the transplant racks were recovered by the submersible (6th of February 2014) and brought to a sandy patch at a depth of ~25 m, where they were rephotographed in the same way as during the initial deployment.

Growth rate measurements. Surface area measurements of the colonies were carried out using ImageJ for the beginning (t = 0) and end of the experiment (t = 46 weeks/322 days), which were encircled with the polygon tool and surface area was measured in square millimeters using the photographed scale for reference. Surface areas were then converted to a linear diameter (following van Moorsel), and linear growth over the 46 weeks was determined by subtracting the two linear diameters (at the beginning and the end of the experiment), and then linearly extrapolated for samples that were still alive to a yearly linear growth rate (for comparison against previously published growth rates).

Statistical analyses. An analysis of similarity (One-way ANOSIM) was conducted for both Agaricia and Madracis to test for differences in relative colony size groups between 6 depth zones using the PRIMER v6 package PRIMER v6. The relation between average temperature values (determined across the depth zone) and linear growth over the 46 weeks was determined by subtracting the two linear diameters (at the beginning and the end of the experiment), and then linearly extrapolated for samples that were still alive to a yearly linear growth rate (for comparison against previously published growth rates).
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