INTRODUCTION

The brown marmorated stink bug (BMSB), *Halyomorpha halys* Stål (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae), is an invasive species and a polyphagous pest. BMSB feeding activity was suspected to be responsible for olive damage. To evaluate the effect of feeding damage from adults and nymphs of BMSB, 30 rearing sleeves were positioned in an olive grove, at an early stage of drupe development. The individuals were kept in the rearing sleeves for 48 h, and the number of olives in each sleeve was checked weekly, visually assessing signs of damage and measuring their volume. After the 48-hr exposure, the number of early dropped olives was significantly higher for rearing sleeves containing BMSB adults and nymphs compared with control, with visible signs of damage. The volume of olives still attached was significantly lower for rearing sleeves with adults. These results provide key evidence on BMSB damage in developing olives. If the numbers of BMSB keep increasing in Mediterranean regions (where most of the olive production occurs), actions should be taken to prevent economic losses.

KEYWORDS

economic loss, feeding damage, Hemiptera, invasive species, olive pest, Pentatomidae
the severity of the damage with the time and if it varied between adults and nymphs.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Experimental assessment of olive damage

In an IPM olive grove of the ‘Casaliva’ cultivar located near Cavedine, Italy (46.0142677 N, 10.9622535 E), rearing sleeves (BugDorm 40 × 20 cm, nylon netting 104 × 94 mesh) were used to evaluate BMSB feeding (Figure S1, Supplementary Material). We considered three treatments: (1) Exposure of olives to adults for 48 h; (2) to nymphs for 48 h and (3) as a control, rearing sleeves with no individuals. Thirty rearing sleeves were distributed on ten trees, 3 per plant, placed on single branches randomly selected (with at least 10 fruits), to have a sleeve per treatment on each tree (10 per treatment).

Brown marmorated stink bug individuals were collected at the beginning of July 2021 using attractive traps placed along a hedgerow at the Fondazione Edmund Mach campus, San Michele, Italy (46.1862381 N, 11.1339682 E). They were reared on green beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.), tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.), carrots (Daucus carota L.) and peanuts (Arachis hypogaea L.), in plastic cages (BugDorm-4M3030). They were kept in controlled conditions (25 ± 3°C and 60% ± 10% RH) with 16:8 h L:D photoperiod, before they were placed in the rearing sleeves.

To distinguish olive phenological growth stages, the international standardized Biologische, Bundesanstalt, Bundessortenamt and Chemische Industrie (BBCH) scale, described for the olive tree by Sanz-Cortés et al. (2002) was used. To prevent the olives from being attacked before the assessment, the exclusion cages were placed at the end of flowering (69 BBCH), on 01/07/21. At approximately 10% of fruit development and before full lignification of the stone (71 BBCH), adults (5 individuals, 3 females and 2 males) and nymphs (5 individuals, III–IV stage) were inserted in the rearing sleeves.

Every week, until complete fruit development, the number of early dropped olives in each rearing sleeve was counted. Dropped olives were visually inspected under dissecting microscope (Leica Wild M10) with integrated camera (Leica ICBOHD) to assess the occurrence of feeding damage (e.g., spots, depressions and feeding sheaths, Joseph et al., 2015). When stones were fully lignified and fruits reached 90% of final size, all olives still attached to the branches were collected, width and length of each olive were measured with a digital calliper (accuracy: ±0.1 mm) and their volume was estimated with the equation developed for the seed volume data set (Ganhão & Dias, 2019).

2.2 | Data analysis

Data analysis and plotting were performed with R (R Core Team, 2018). Kruskal–Wallis test was used to compare the number and the volume of olives for each treatment at each session, followed by Dunn’s post hoc test with Bonferroni correction.

To model the observed olive early drop, a beta-binomial generalized mixed model (GLMM) with a logit link was applied (Brooks et al., 2017). Rearing sleeve ID was used as a random factor, while session number and treatment were used as explanatory variables. Model assumptions were verified following Zuur and Ieno (2016) and with package DHARMa (Hartig, 2021). Akaike information criterion (AIC) was used for model selection. Predictor effect plots (Fox & Weisberg, 2018) were used to visualize the fitted coefficients.

3 | RESULTS

The percentage of dropped olives in the rearing sleeves at the last session was on average (±SD) 66.83% ± 30.85% for adults, 56.06 ± 26.97% for nymphs and 4.19 ± 8.58% for the control. The number of early dropped olives was significantly higher (Kruskal–Wallis: H = 90.97, df = 2, p < 0.001) for rearing sleeves containing BMSB adults and nymphs compared with control. For the running total (Figure 1a), the pattern was consistent for adults for every observation and for nymphs from the second week onwards. Analysing the sessions separately (Figure 1b), the number of dropped olives peaked 7 days from BMSB removal from the rearing sleeves, for both adults and nymphs, and kept increasing for more than 20 days. The results of the beta-binomial GLMM confirmed that the percentage of dropped olives is maximum for

FIGURE 1 Mean number of early dropped olives (%) in rearing sleeves with different treatments (the presence of adults, nymphs and control); (a) Running total and (b) At each session. Kruskal–Wallis test and post hoc Dunn’s test with Bonferroni correction, where letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05). Starting mean number of olives (±SD) per rearing sleeve: 17.40 ± 26.97 for adults, 20.10 ± 11.85 for nymphs and 20.10 ± 11.97 for control. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
adults and significant for both nymphs and adults. Since the effect of Session was not relevant, the simple model with only Treatment was selected (Table 1, Figure 2).

The olives exposed to BMSB adults and nymphs presented external and internal feeding injury, with evident punctures and stylet sheaths (Figure 3). At 80 BBCH, the volume of the olives still attached to the trees was significantly lower (Kruskal–Wallis: \( H = 12.82, \text{df} = 2, p < 0.005 \)) for the rearing sleeves that contained adult BMSB compared to those exposed to nymphs and control, while there was no significant difference between nymphs and control (Figure 4).

### Table 1

| Estimate | Std. Error | z value | \( \text{Pr}(>|z|) \) |
|----------|------------|---------|----------------------|
| Intercept | -1.908 | 0.262 | -7.271 | <0.001 |
| Treatment: Nymphs | -0.338 | 0.363 | -0.931 | 0.352 |
| Treatment: Control | -2.478 | 0.562 | -4.407 | <0.001 |

**Discussion**

As the list of crops attacked by BMSB still increases, new symptoms appear related to its feeding activity. Since several factors can be responsible for fruit drop (e.g., physiology, biotic and abiotic factors), this study offers key evidence of the potential role of BMSB as olive pest. Our work aimed at establishing that olives are subjected to BMSB feeding damage, which is expressed in terms of both early olive drop and reduced volume, as previously suggested (D'Ascenzo et al., 2020). Even if confining insects represents an artificial condition, it is an effective approach to correctly identify and characterize feeding damage under field conditions, a useful information to detect BMSB damage to olives in time. To our knowledge, this is the first study that has experimentally documented symptoms associated with BMSB feeding damage on olive, when the fruits are at an intermediate stage of development.
The symptoms are similar for adults and nymphs (but less severe for the latter) and, as it has been observed on other crops, are evident even after a short exposure to BMSB (Cissel et al., 2015). As it has been suggested for blueberries (Wiman et al., 2015), the damage produced by stink bug feeding activity largely exceeds the area of the puncture also causing fruit necrosis that could be caused by stylet penetration (i.e., mechanical laceration), associated with direct digestive action of saliva and/or to the presence of microbes (vectored by BMSB or opportunistic) (Mitchell et al., 2018). In addition, these first results suggest that BMSB feeding damage may extend to inner tissues and be associated with olive endocarp abortion as well. Further experiments should aim at studying BMSB feeding damage over a longer timeframe to examine the physiological mechanisms responsible for both early drop and volume loss.

In conclusion, it will be important to monitor those areas where BMSB and olives occur together especially in view of a possible massive BMSB spread to Mediterranean regions. In particular, considering the olive production specificity, the potential economic loss could be worse in years of low production; therefore, informed management actions should be considered to limit its impact (Hahn et al., 2017).
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