Dose-Response Association of Tai Chi and Cognition among Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Abstract: Previous studies indicated that Tai Chi might be an effective way to improve or prevent cognitive impairments in older populations. However, existing research does not provide clear recommendations about the optimal dose of Tai Chi practice, which is the most effective in improving cognitive function in older adults. The purpose of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to investigate the dose–response relationship between Tai Chi and cognition in community-dwelling older adults. A total of 16 studies with 1121 subjects were included in this study. Meta-regression analyses of Tai Chi duration (Tai Chi session duration, Tai Chi practice duration per week, study duration, and Tai Chi practice duration for the entire study) on the study effect size (ES) were performed to examine the dose–response association of Tai Chi and cognition. The results showed that there was a positive effect of Tai Chi on cognitive function, but there were no statistically significant dose duration effects on cognition. The findings suggest that Tai Chi has beneficial effects on cognitive function, but a longer duration was not associated with larger effects. In order to establish evidence-based clinical interventions using Tai Chi, future research should clearly demonstrate intervention protocol, particularly the style and intensity of Tai Chi.
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1. Introduction

One significant burden for older adults and their family is age-related cognitive impairments. Worldwide, about 50 million people have dementia [1]. In 2020, nearly 5.8 million older people in the United States were living with Alzheimer’s disease, the most common type of dementia, and the total number is projected to escalate to 14 million older adults by 2050 [2]. Alzheimer’s disease is the 6th leading cause of death among American adults and the 5th leading cause of death in older adults [2]. By 2040, an estimated cost of treating Alzheimer’s disease is projected to be $379–511 billion [3]. Cognitive impairments and dementia can cause substantial disability, reduce health-related quality of life, and increase health care cost [1,2,4]. Therefore, maintaining cognitive health is a critical issue for older adults and their communities.

Currently, there is no known cure for dementia or Alzheimer’s disease. Thus, developing non-pharmacological interventions to improve cognitive function or delay the onset of dementia for older adults is essential [1]. Physical activity interventions may have the potential protective effect on cognitive function, such as Tai Chi [1,2,5-8]. Tai Chi is an Eastern form of multilevel mind–body exercise with the characteristics of aerobic exercise, strength, and flexibility trainings. The practice of Tai Chi involves physical, psychosocial,
cognitive, and meditative skills. The components of Tai Chi intervention include medita-
tion, attention and rhythmic breathing training, learning of movement patterns, and
mobility and postural control training [5–8]. Current literature indicates that Tai Chi has
positive effects on cognitive function among older adults [5–8]. For example, Taylor-Piliae
et al. (2010) found that older adults engaging in Tai Chi had significant improvements on
cognition as compared with the Western exercise or no exercise [8]. Similarly, the older
adults who participated in Tai Chi in the study by Tao et al. (2016) had greater improve-
ments on memory quotient and hippocampal function and connectivity as compared with
the control group [7]. These studies suggest that Tai Chi may mitigate cognitive decline in
older adults.

Previous systematic reviews and/or meta-analyses also indicated that Tai Chi might
be an effective way to improve memory performance or prevent cognitive impairments
in older populations [9–13]. However, there has not been a systematic review and meta-
analysis examining the dose–response effect of Tai Chi on cognitive performance in the
population of older adults. In order to establish evidence-based clinical interventions using
Tai Chi, practitioners require recommendations of effective dosage. Yet, existing research
does not provide clear recommendations about the optimal dose of Tai Chi practice, which
is the most effective in improving cognitive function in older adults. The dose–response
effects of Tai Chi on cognition remain unknown. To fill this gap, the purpose of this
systematic review and meta-analysis was to investigate the dose–response relationship
between Tai Chi practice and cognitive function in community-dwelling older adults.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Search Strategy

A systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted in accordance with the pre-
ferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) statement guide-
line [14]. Both computerized and hand-searching strategies were used in this study. For
the computerized search, electronic research literature searches of the PubMed, CINAHL,
Cochrane Library, EMBASE, SPORTDiscus, PsychInfo, and Ageline databases were per-
formed. The key words and search terms used for a thorough literature search via search
engines were “Tai Chi” and “Tai Ji”. No language, year of publication, and/or geographic
location preferences were applied. For the hand searches, the reference lists from all rele-
vant reviews and meta-analyses were additionally searched for peer-reviewed papers not
found with the computerized search.

2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis if they met all of the
following criteria: (a) research participants were community-dwelling older adults, (b) all
subjects in a study were 55 years old or older, or the average subject age was ≥60 years,
(c) participants in the Tai Chi group received a quantifiable dose of Tai Chi, (d) participants
in the control group received either health education or no intervention, (e) subjects had
normal cognition (or had no reported cognitive impairment), or mild cognitive impairment
(MCI), (f) outcomes related to cognitive function were measured, and (g) study reports
were written in English or Chinese, or translated into English. Studies were excluded for
the following criteria: (a) subjects were institutionalized or receiving long-term care in
a hospital or nursing home, (b) study focused on persons with chronic disease or health
condition, (c) participants in the control group received exercise or other physical activity
interventions, or (d) insufficient data were reported in the study to calculate the total or
per session dose of Tai Chi, or an effect size. Prior to excluding any studies for insufficient
data, the present study’s authors attempted to retrieve the necessary data by contacting the
corresponding author via email and/or ResearchGate.
2.3. Screening and Selection Strategy

The inclusion and exclusion criteria described above were strictly applied to the study screening and selection process. The study selection process was performed independently by at least two reviewers. Study reports written in Chinese were reviewed by two bilingual Chinese–English reviewers. All reviewers are the present study’s authors. Any disagreements between the two reviewers were solved through arbitration or discussion with a third investigator.

2.4. Data Extraction

Two authors independently reviewed and extracted necessary data from the selected studies. Any disagreements were resolved through mediation with a third author or by contacting corresponding author of the study via email. The Tai Chi dosages were extracted from each study in the following 4 types: Tai Chi session duration (minutes), Tai Chi practice duration per week (including warm-up and cool-down; minutes), study duration (weeks), and Tai Chi practice duration for entire study (minutes). For cognitive outcome measurements, cognitive functions were categorized into five domains, including global cognition, executive function, language, learning and memory, and spatial and quantitative. Cognition data were extracted in the forms of means, standard deviations (SD), and sample sizes for both the Tai Chi and control groups in all studies except in the studies by Man et al. (2010), Mortimer et al. (2012), Tao et al. (2016), and Taylor-Piliae et al. (2010) [7,8,15,16]. Of these latter studies, the Man et al. (2010) study reported the mean difference between Tai Chi and control groups, sample sizes, and related p-value, whereas the remaining studies used mean differences, SD of differences, and sample sizes from both the Tai Chi and control groups [15]. These descriptors were reported as either post-test only or as changes from pretest to post-test. For any insufficient data or missing data, the present study’s authors contacted the corresponding authors via email and/or ResearchGate.

2.5. Assessment of Methodological Quality

The Effective Public Health Practice Project (EPHPP) Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies [17] was used to examine the methodological quality of the included studies. The EPHPP Quality Assessment Tool evaluates six components including selection bias, study design, confounders, blinding, data collection method, and withdrawals/dropouts [17,18]. Each component is rated as strong (1 point), moderate (2 points), or weak (3 points) based on the tool’s guidelines. Overall assessment of quality, global rating, is rated according to the following criteria: “strong” (1 point) if all components are scored as “strong” or “moderate” (i.e., no “weak” ratings); “moderate” (2 points) if one component is scored as “weak”; and “weak” (3 points) if two or more components are scored as “weak” [17]. Higher score indicates higher risk of bias (poorer quality). Overall, “strong” global ratings indicate a low risk of bias, while “weak” global ratings indicate a high risk of bias. Quality assessments of the included studies were conducted independently by at least two of the current study’s authors. Final decisions on global ratings for all included studies were based on each study’s average global score.

2.6. Statistical Analyses

The effect sizes (ESs) for the extracted cognition data (global cognition, executive function, language, learning and memory, and spatial and quantitative) were calculated as the standardized mean difference as detailed in Borenstein et al. (2009) [19]. A positive ES indicated efficacy of Tai Chi in improving cognition as compared to the control condition. If a study measured cognition at multiple time points or under multiple conditions (e.g., single and dual-task), then standardized mean differences and variances were averaged across the different time points or multiple conditions to create the study ES.

In order to confirm the findings of previous systematic reviews and/or meta-analyses, meta-analyses were conducted using a random-effects model to test the effect of Tai Chi in improving cognitive function. A random-effects model was chosen over a fixed-effects
model due to the wide variation in experimental factors among the included studies (e.g., Tai Chi dosage, outcomes assessed). Experimental factors can be treated as moderator variables in a meta-analysis. Continuous moderator variables were assessed using meta-regression. Meta-regression analyses of Tai Chi dose (Tai Chi session duration, Tai Chi practice duration per week, study duration, and Tai Chi practice duration for entire study) on study ES were performed to examine the dose–response relationship between Tai Chi and cognitive function. A method-of-moments model for the meta-regression was employed because the effect sizes from the included studies were not normally distributed according to the Shapiro–Wilk test of normality.

All meta-analyses and meta-regressions were conducted using the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software (version 3.3) (Biostat Inc., Englewood, NJ, USA). A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant in all analyses. Effect sizes of 0.2, 0.5, and 0.8 were considered to be small, moderate, and large, respectively. Publication bias in the primary meta-analysis was assessed using a funnel plot of study ES versus standard error.

3. Results

3.1. Selection of Eligible Studies

Figure 1 shows the results of the study selection process. A total of 7917 study reports were originally identified through the database searches and review of article reference lists. During the initial screening phase, 4922 study reports were excluded based on the review of titles and abstracts. After a careful full-text review of 265 study reports, 249 study reports were excluded based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria described above, leaving a total of 16 studies to be included in this systematic review and meta-analysis.

![Figure 1. PRISMA flowchart for review and selection of studies in the systematic review.](image-url)
3.2. Study Characteristics

The characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Table 1. In total, 16 studies were included for meta-analysis of the dose–response relationships of Tai Chi on cognitive function in community-dwelling older adults. Among these 16 studies, 14 studies were published as journal articles in peer-reviewed journals. One study (Chu and Lim-Khoo 2014) was published as a conference proceeding in a peer-reviewed journal [20]; one study (Overton-McCoy 2010) was published as a doctoral dissertation [21]. Seven studies used randomized controlled trial (RCT) research design while two used a quasi-experimental research design, and seven studies were cross-sectional studies. In total, there were 1121 subjects; 332 and 789 were males and females, respectively. There were 540 participants in the Tai Chi group; 581 participants in the control group. Mean subject age ranged among the studies from 60 to 77 years. Two studies (Kasai et al. 2010; Sungkarat et al. 2017) involved subjects with mild cognitive impairment (MCI; 92 subjects) [22,23]; 14 studies involved subjects with normal cognition or had no reported cognitive impairment (1029 subjects). Six studies reported using Yang-style Tai Chi; one study (Man et al. 2010) used Ng-style Tai Chi [15], while nine studies did not report the Tai Chi style. Tai Chi doses ranged from a frequency of 1–7 times per week, 20–90 min per session, lasting one month–4.5 years.
## Table 1. Characteristics of the included Tai Chi studies on the cognitive function in community-dwelling older adults.

| Studies/ Locations | Study Design | Study Population | Tai Chi Style | Intervention Modality | Total Tai Chi Dose | Control Group | Cognitive Outcome Measurement |
|--------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| Chu et al. 2014 [20] Philippines | Cross-sectional | I: n = 30  
I (mean age): 69.30  
C: n = 30  
C (mean age): 64.60  
No reported cognitive impairment | Not Specified | At least 30 min a day, 3 times/week for 6 months | 2160 min | No exercise | MMSE ⁵  
MoCA ³ |
| Hung et al. 2013 [24] Taiwan | Quasi experimental | I: n = 41  
I (mean age): 76.61  
C: n = 41  
C (mean age): 76.29  
No cognitive impairment | Not Specified | 90 min/session  
3 times/week for 12 weeks  
* including warm up and cool down | 1440 min | No exercise | MMSE ¹ |
| Kasai et al. 2010 [22] Brazil | Non-randomized experimental | I: n = 13  
I (mean age): 73.54  
C: n = 13  
C (mean age): 75.54  
Mild Cognitive Impairment | Yang-style | 60 min/session  
2 times/week for 13 weeks  
* including warm up and cool down | 1560 min  
* including warm up and cool down | No exercise | RBMT ³  
SMC ⁴  
DSF ⁵  
DSB ⁶ |
| Lu et al. 2013 [25] Hong Kong | Cross-sectional | I: n = 28  
I (mean age): 73.60  
C: n = 30  
C (mean age): 72.40  
No cognitive impairment | Not Specified | Minimum weekly 1.5 h practice for 3 years | 14,040 min | No exercise | Auditory Stroop Test  
Center of Pressure Path (mm)/Area (cm²) |
| Lu et al. 2016 [6] Hong Kong | RCT | I: n = 13  
I (mean age): 72.80  
C: n = 14  
C (mean age): 67.30  
No cognitive impairment | Yang style | 60 min/session  
3 times/week for 16 weeks | 2880 min | No exercise | Auditory Stroop Test  
Center of Pressure Path (mm)/Area (cm²)  
Time to Complete Step-Down Performance |
| Man et al. 2010 [15] Hong Kong | Cross-sectional | I: n = 42  
I (mean age): 68.90  
C: n = 44  
C (mean age): 68.20  
No cognitive impairment | Ng style | at least 45 min per session, 3 times/week for 3 years | 21060 min | No exercise | HKLLT ⁷ |
Table 1. Cont.

| Studies/ Locations | Study Design | Study Population | Tai Chi Style | Intervention Modality | Total Tai Chi Dose | Control Group | Cognitive Outcome Measurement |
|-------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Mortimer et al. 2012 [16] China | RCT | I: n = 29 I (mean age): 67.3 C: n = 24 C2: n = 27 C (mean age): 68.2 C2 (mean age): 67.90 No cognitive impairment | Not Specified | 20 min/session 3 times/week for 40 weeks | 2400 min | C: No exercise C2: Social interaction | Mattis Dementia Rating Scale BNT 8 Clock Drawing Test Trail Making Test WAIS-R 9 Similarities Test Category Verbal Fluency Test Auditory Verbal Learning Test Stroop Test Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure Bell Cancellation Test WAIS-R 9 Digit Span |
| Nguyen et al. 2012 [26] Vietnam | RCT | I: n = 48 I (mean age): 69.32 C: n = 48 C (mean age): 68.73 No cognitive impairment | 24-form | 30 min/session 2 times/week for 24 weeks | 1440 min | No exercise | Trail Making Test |
| Orozco Calderon et al. 2016 [27] Mexico | Cross-sectional | I: n = 17 I (mean age): 70.65 C: n = 15 C (mean age): 67.40 No reported cognitive impairment | Yang-style | 4 h/week for 4.5 years | Not specified | No exercise | COGNISTAT Test |
| Overton-McCoy 2010 [21] USA | Cross-sectional | I: n = 75 I (mean age): 70.80 C: n = 75 C (mean age): 71.31 No cognitive impairment | Not Specified | 1–2 times per week; average of 60 min per week for a minimum of one month | Not specified | No exercise | MMSE 1 15-item BNT 8 15-item BNT 8 Latency Score |
| Sun et al. 2015 [28] China | RCT | I: n = 72 I (mean age): 68.30 C: n = 66 C (mean age): 70.10 No cognitive impairment | 24-form Yang-style | 60 min/session 2 times/week for 6 months * including warm up and cool down | 3120 min | No exercise | MMSE 1 FAB 10 |

Notes:
- * including warm up and cool down
| Studies/ Locations | Study Design | Study Population | Tai Chi Style | Intervention Modality | Total Tai Chi Dose | Control Group | Cognitive Outcome Measurement |
|--------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Sungkarat et al. 2017 [23] Thailand | RCT | I: n = 33  
I (mean age): 68.3  
C: n = 33  
C (mean age): 67.5  
Mild Cognitive Impairment | 10-form | 30 min/session  
3 times/week for 15 weeks | 1350 min | Health education related to cognitive and fall prevention | Logical Memory Delayed Recall Score; DSF 5  
Block Design Score  
Trail Making Test  
Hand Reaction Time |
| Tao et al. 2016 [7] China | RCT | I: n = 21  
I (mean age): 62.38  
C: n = 25  
C (mean age): 59.76  
No cognitive impairment | 24-form Yang-style | 30 min/session  
5 times/week for 12 weeks | 1800 min | Health education | Weschler Memory Scale—Chinese Revision |
| Taylor-Piliae et al. 2010 [8] USA | RCT | I: n = 37  
I (mean age): 70.60  
C: n = 56  
C (mean age): 68.20  
No reported cognitive impairment | 12 short-form Yang-style | Daily practice encouraged for 6 months | Not specified | Health education | Animal-Naming Test  
DSF 5  
DSB 6 |
| Tsang et al. 2013 [29] Hong Kong | Cross-sectional | I: n = 31  
I (mean age): 70.30  
C: n = 30  
C (mean age): 72.30  
No cognitive impairment | Not Specified | Minimum 90 min/week for 3 years | 14,040 min | No exercise | EMG 11  
Reaction Time  
EMG 11  
Movement Time  
End-Point Accuracy  
Wrong Movement |
| Varghese et al. 2016 [30] USA | Cross-sectional | I: n = 10  
I (mean age): 65.90  
C: n = 10  
C (mean age): 66.40  
No cognitive impairment | Not Specified | Minimum 100 h in past year | Not specified | No exercise | Reaction Time  
Maximal Excursion Value (%)  
Cognitive Score |

Note: I: Intervention group (Tai Chi group); C: Control group; C2: Second control group; RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial; 1 Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE); 2 Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA); 3 Rivermead Behavioral Memory Test (RBMT); 4 Subjective Memory Complaint Scale (SMC); 5 Digit Span Forward (DSF); 6 Digit Span Backward (DSB); 7 Hong Kong List Learning Test (HKLLT); 8 Boston Naming Test (BNT); 9 Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised (WAIS-R); 10 Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB); 11 Electromyographic (EMG).
3.3. Methodological Quality of Included Studies

The methodological quality assessment using the EPHPP Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies was performed on all 16 studies [17]. Table 2 shows the results of the methodological quality assessment. Two studies (Chu et al. 2014; Overton-McCoy 2010) were judged a global rating of “weak” (high risk of bias) due to blinding, selection bias, or baseline differences between groups for potential confounders [20,21]. The remaining 14 studies were evaluated as “strong to moderate” global rating (average global score 1.0–2.0), indicating a “low to moderate” risk of bias. Overall, the risk of bias was determined to be low.

**Table 2. Summary of methodological quality assessment of the included Tai Chi studies.**

| Studies               | Selection Bias | Study Design | Confounders | Blinding | Data Collection Methods | Withdrawals and Dropouts | Average Global Rating (1–3 Score) |
|-----------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Chu et al. 2014 [20]  | 3.00           | 2.00         | 3.00        | 2.00     | 1.00                    | 1.33                     | 3.00                            |
| Hung et al. 2013 [24] | 2.00           | 1.00         | 1.00        | 2.00     | 1.00                    | 1.00                     | 1.00                            |
| Kasai et al. 2010 [22]| 2.33           | 1.00         | 1.00        | 2.00     | 1.00                    | 1.00                     | 1.33                            |
| Lu et al. 2013 [25]   | 2.00           | 2.00         | 1.00        | 2.33     | 1.00                    | 1.33                     | 1.33                            |
| Lu et al. 2016 [6]    | 2.00           | 1.00         | 1.00        | 2.00     | 1.00                    | 1.00                     | 1.00                            |
| Man et al. 2010 [15]  | 1.33           | 2.00         | 1.00        | 2.00     | 1.00                    | 1.00                     | 1.00                            |
| Mortimer et al. 2012 [16] | 1.67      | 1.00         | 1.00        | 2.00     | 1.00                    | 1.00                     | 1.00                            |
| Nguyen et al. 2012 [26]| 2.00           | 1.00         | 1.33        | 2.33     | 1.00                    | 1.33                     | 1.67                            |
| Orozco Calderon et al. 2016 [27] | 2.00    | 2.00         | 1.00        | 2.00     | 1.00                    | 1.33                     | 1.00                            |
| Overton-McCoy 2010 [21]| 2.00           | 2.00         | 3.00        | 3.00     | 1.00                    | 1.00                     | 3.00                            |
| Sun et al. 2015 [28]  | 2.33           | 1.00         | 1.00        | 2.00     | 1.00                    | 1.00                     | 1.33                            |
| Sungkarat et al. 2017 [23] | 2.00       | 1.00         | 1.00        | 2.00     | 1.00                    | 1.00                     | 1.00                            |
| Tao et al. 2016 [7]   | 2.00           | 1.00         | 1.00        | 2.00     | 1.00                    | 2.00                     | 1.00                            |
| Taylor-Piliae et al. 2010 [8] | 2.33     | 1.00         | 1.00        | 2.00     | 1.00                    | 1.33                     | 1.33                            |
| Tsang et al. 2013 [29]| 2.00           | 2.00         | 1.00        | 2.33     | 2.00                    | 2.00                     | 1.67                            |
| Varghese et al. 2016 [30]| 2.00         | 2.00         | 1.00        | 2.33     | 1.00                    | 1.33                     | 1.33                            |

Note: Component Ratings 1: Strong (low risk of bias), 2: Moderate (moderate risk of bias), 3: Weak (high risk of bias); Global Rating 1: Strong (no weak ratings; low risk of bias), 2: Moderate (one weak rating; moderate risk of bias), 3: Weak (two or more weak ratings; high risk of bias).

3.4. Meta-Analysis Results

All included 16 studies in the Figure 2 shows positive, beneficial effects of Tai Chi on cognitive function compared to the control group. Meta-analysis on the 16 studies yielded a statistically significant and moderate-to-large overall ES indicating that there were positive effects of Tai Chi on cognitive function (overall ES = 0.66, p < 0.00001; Figure 2).
Table 3 shows the finding of meta-regression analyses examining the dose–response effect of Tai Chi practice on cognitive function. The result indicated that there were no significant relationships between study ES and Tai Chi dose (Tai Chi session duration, Tai Chi practice duration per week, study duration, and Tai Chi practice duration for the entire study) (all $p > 0.05$).

Table 3. Summary of meta-regression analysis examining moderator variables that might explain between-study variance in effect size.

| Moderator Variable                                           | Slope          | $p$-Value |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Tai Chi session duration (min)                               | $-0.0025 \text{ min}^{-1}$ | 0.8115    |
| (12 studies)                                                 |                |           |
| Tai Chi practice duration per week (min)                     | $-0.0020 \text{ min}^{-1}$ | 0.2380    |
| (including warm-up and cool-down)                           |                |           |
| (15 studies)                                                 |                |           |
| Study duration (weeks)                                       | $-0.0005 \text{ wk}^{-1}$ | 0.7913    |
| (15 studies)                                                 |                |           |
| Tai Chi practice duration for entire study (min)             | $-0.0000 \text{ min}^{-1}$ | 0.6588    |
| (16 studies)                                                 |                |           |
3.5. Publication Bias

A funnel plot was performed to examine the publication bias (Figure 3). Minor asymmetry was noted in the funnel plot, but was not associated with publication bias. The atypical asymmetry seemed to result mostly from the Nguyen et al. (2012) study [26]. The result indicated that the risk of publication bias is minimal.

![Funnel plot of study effect size (ES) vs. study standard error.](image)

**Figure 3.** Funnel plot of study effect size (ES) vs. study standard error.

4. Discussion

The current study performed a systematic review and meta-analysis and, to our best knowledge, the first to investigate the dose–response relationship of Tai Chi on cognitive function in community-dwelling older adults. This study is different from existing meta-analyses on this topic in terms of the sample and the focus on dose–response research on Tai Chi. Understanding the appropriate dosage for interventions is necessary for informing practitioners and designing future research. The findings of this study were based on a large sample of studies and included research originally published in both Chinese and English. The result of this meta-analysis revealed that older adults who participated in Tai Chi group had greater improvements on cognitive function as compared to those in control group. The findings from this study also indicated that there were no statistically significant relationships between study ES and Tai Chi dose (Tai Chi session duration, Tai Chi practice duration per week, study duration, Tai Chi practice duration for entire study) on cognitive function in community-dwelling older adults.

The results of the methodological quality assessment showed that two studies (Chu et al. 2014; Overton-McCoy 2010) were identified as having a high risk of bias for two or more of the six domains in the EPHPP Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies [20,21]. If the two studies were excluded from this meta-analysis, it did not alter the effect of the Tai Chi dose on cognitive function. In addition, most studies involved non-random selection and/or did not report the percentage of subjects in the Tai Chi and control groups that agreed to participate in the study before they were assigned to groups. Hence, in the selection bias domain of the methodological quality assessment, one study was evaluated as a high risk of selection bias and 13 studies were evaluated as a moderate risk of selection bias, meaning that participants were somewhat likely or not likely to be representative of the target population [17]. The result of the methodological quality assessment suggests that drawing a random sample from the target population to reduce selection bias is necessary for future research.
In addition, published studies may be affected by selective reporting biases [31]. It is recommended that the grey literature (e.g., doctoral dissertations, conference proceedings) should be included in meta-analyses [32]. Hence, in the present study, one doctoral dissertation (Overton-McCoy 2010) and one conference proceeding (Chu et al. 2014) were included [20,21]. If the two studies were excluded from the analysis, there would still be no statistically significant dose effect of Tai Chi on cognition.

Consistent with existing meta-analyses, this study suggests that Tai Chi has positive effects on cognitive function of community-dwelling older adults. Wayne et al. (2014) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to examine the effect of Tai Chi on cognitive performance in older adult population [10]. The results indicated that Tai Chi could enhance cognitive function, especially in executive function. Wu et al.’s (2013) meta-analysis study found that Tai Chi significant improves global cognitive and memory functions, particularly in verbal working memory [11]. Previous systematic reviews also indicated that Tai Chi might improve cognitive abilities in older adults [9,12,13]. Overall, as suggested in previous meta-analysis research, this study confirms that Tai Chi improves cognitive function in community-dwelling older adults.

The results of this study support that Tai Chi is beneficial for preventing cognitive decline and/or improving cognitive health among older adults. There are several potential mechanisms for explaining the positive effects of Tai Chi on cognitive performance. Firstly, hippocampal function is significantly related to cognitive health [7,33,34]. Studies showed that Tai Chi might enhance cognitive function through improvements on hippocampal function and increased hippocampus volumes [7,35–37]. Secondly, Tai Chi includes cognitively stimulating activities, such as learning of Tai Chi movement patterns, hand-eye coordination, and memorizing skills, which have been found as a cognitive enhancement on memory, attention, and executive function [10,37–39]. Thirdly, Tai Chi involves meditation training, which may positively associate with stress reduction pathways of cognitive improvements [10,40]. Fourthly, Tai Chi is mind–body exercise with the characteristic of aerobic exercise. Aerobic exercise has been found to have positive effects on delaying and/or improving age-related cognitive impairments through increased cerebral blood circulation and neurophysiological pathways [35,41–43]. Finally, hypertension and heart disease are related to cognitive decline [1,2]. Studies indicated that Tai Chi has beneficial effects on the control of cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors and reduction on blood pressure [5,44–46]. It is possible that Tai Chi may benefit cognitive function through reducing CVD risk factors and improving hypertension control.

The key finding of this systematic review and meta-analysis is that there were no statistically significant dose–response relationships between Tai Chi and cognitive function in community-dwelling older adults. This meta-analysis included seven RCTs, two quasi-experimental studies, and seven cross-sectional studies. Causal conclusions from cross-sectional studies may not be drawn; however, if the seven cross-sectional studies were removed from the analysis, there would still be no statistically significant dose–response relationships on cognition. Based on previous studies, a lack of a dose–response relationship for exercise effects is not uncommon. Stagg et al. (2011) found that there was no significant dose–response relationship between the frequency of treadmill exercise training and neuropathic pain [47]. In Polaski et al.’s (2019) meta-analysis study, there were no significant dose intensity effects of exercise (e.g., aerobic, aerobic + strength, aquatic, and strength exercise) on chronic pain [48]. The meta-analysis result from the Northey et al. (2018) study also indicated that longer exercise intervention duration did not predict more beneficial effects on cognitive function in older adults [49]. Sanders et al. (2019) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to test the dose effects of exercise (e.g., aerobic, anaerobic, multicomponent and psychomotor exercise) on cognitive function in older adults [50]. The results revealed that exercise was positively related to memory and executive function, but there was no significant dose–response relationship between exercise and cognitive function in older adults without cognitive impairments. Similarly, in this meta-analysis, the results show that there was a positive effect of Tai Chi in improving
cognitive function in older adults, but there was no significant dose–response association between Tai Chi dose duration (Tai Chi session duration, Tai Chi practice duration per week, study duration, and Tai Chi practice duration for entire study) and cognition. A longer duration of Tai Chi was unrelated to greater improvements in cognitive function.

One possible explanation for the lack of a dose-dependent effect could be due to potential mediators. Some mediators may potentially influence the dose–response effect of exercise on cognition. For example, hypertension, depression, pain, stress, sleep effectiveness, cardiovascular disease, and self-efficacy may mediate the dose–response relationship between Tai Chi and cognitive function [51]. In addition, in the current study, majority of the subjects ($n = 1029$) did not have cognitive impairments. Additionally, most studies did not focus on sedentary older adults. The Sanders et al. (2019) study revealed that older adults without cognitive impairments had lower exercise effects on cognitive function as compared to older adults with cognitive impairments [50]. Previous studies also indicated that subjects with inactive lifestyles might have greater exercise effects on cognition when compared with subjects with active lifestyles [52–55]. Overall, subjects’ lifestyles, health status, and cognitive function at baseline may impact the dose–response effects of Tai Chi [51,55]. Future research should consider potential mediators to further advance our understanding of the dose–response relationship between Tai Chi and cognition.

The current study has several potential limitations. First, unpublished literature with non-significant and/or negative results was not available from the electronic databases. Publication bias or systematically unrepresentative of the population of completed studies may occur due to studies with nonsignificant and/or negative findings tend to not be published [56]. Moreover, some confounding factors were not available in the included studies that may affect the dose-dependent effects on cognitive function. A better understanding of physiological and sociopsychological processes of potential mechanisms to explore dose effects of Tai Chi on cognition is necessary for future research in this population. In addition, this study did not include comparative physical activity groups. Future studies with a comparison of exercise groups are recommended to examine if Tai Chi has superior effects on cognitive performance compared to other physical activity interventions. Furthermore, most studies were conducted in Asia. Hence, it may limit the generalizability of the findings due to racial, cultural, and/or geographical differences. Lastly, most studies did not report a detailed Tai Chi protocol, especially Tai Chi style, intensity, instruction methods, and the progression of the Tai Chi program. In the current study, the intensity of Tai Chi for each study was not be able to calculate to examine dose intensity effects due to the lack of intensity information. The complete descriptions of each component of Tai Chi intervention are needed for future research to fully investigate the dose–response effects.

5. Conclusions

The results of this study demonstrate that, as compared to the control, Tai Chi improved cognitive performance in community-dwelling older adults, but there were no dose–response effects of Tai Chi on the improvement in cognition. The findings show that Tai Chi had positive effects on cognitive function in older adults, but a longer duration of Tai Chi did not predict larger effects on cognitive function. As many older adults developed cognitive impairments, identifying and testing effective interventions for promoting cognitive health, improving memory functions, and preventing/delaying the onset of dementia for older adults is critically important. This study suggests that Tai Chi has beneficial effects on cognitive function even with a low dose (e.g., three 20-min sessions/week). Future research and clinical practice should consider the duration effects, the styles of Tai Chi, and the dose intensity effects when designing and testing Tai Chi protocols on improving cognitive function for older adults.

Author Contributions: M.-L.C. contributed to the conceptualization. M.-L.C., S.B.W., S.M.B., S.A.C., and Y.S. contributed to the design of this study. S.B.W. applied the search strategy. M.-L.C., S.B.W., S.M.B., S.A.C., and Y.S. applied the selection criteria and strategy. S.M.B. and Y.S. extracted data. M.-L.C., S.B.W., S.M.B., S.A.C., and Y.S. completed assessment of methodological quality. S.B.W.,
S.M.B., and S.A.C. analyzed the data. M.-L.C., S.B.W., S.M.B., S.A.C., and Y.S. drafted this manuscript. M.-L.C., S.B.W., S.M.B., S.A.C., and Y.S. reviewed and edited this manuscript. Supervision, M.-L.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data supporting this meta-analysis were extracted from previously published studies, which have been cited in this paper.

**Acknowledgments:** This project was supported by the Lewis College Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis (SRMA) Initiative, Byrdine F. Lewis College of Nursing and Health Professions, Georgia State University.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. World Health Organization. Dementia. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/dementia (accessed on 10 July 2020).

2. 2020 Alzheimer’s Disease Facts and Figures. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2020, 16, pp. 391–460. Available online: https://alz-journals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/alz.12068 (accessed on 10 July 2020). [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Hurd, M.D.; Martorell, P.; Delavande, A.; Mullen, K.J.; Langa, K.M. Monetary costs of dementia in the United States. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2013, 368, 1326–1334. [CrossRef]

4. Chen, M.-L. The growing costs and burden of family caregiving of older adults: A review of paid sick leave and family leave policies. *Gerontologist* 2016, 56, 391–396. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Chang, Y.K.; Nien, Y.H.; Tsai, C.L.; Etnier, J.L. Physical activity and cognition in older adults: The potential of Tai Chi Chuan. *J. Aging Phys. Act.* 2010, 18, 451–472. [CrossRef]

6. Lu, X.; Siu, K.C.; Fu, S.N.; Hui-Chan, C.W.Y.; Tsang, W.W.N. Effects of Tai Chi training on postural control and cognitive performance while dual tasking—A randomized clinical trial. *J. Complement. Integr. Med.* 2016, 13, 181–187. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

7. Tao, J.; Liu, J.; Egorova, N.; Chen, X.; Sun, S.; Xue, X.; Kong, J. Increased hippocampus–medial prefrontal cortex resting-state functional connectivity and memory function after Tai Chi Chuan practice in elder adults. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 2016, 8, 25–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

8. Taylor-Piliae, R.E.; Newell, K.A.; Cherin, R.; Lee, M.J.; King, A.C.; Haskell, W.L. Effects of Tai Chi and western exercise on physical and cognitive functioning in healthy community-dwelling older adults. *J. Aging Phys. Act.* 2010, 18, 261–279. [CrossRef]

9. Miller, S.M.; Taylor-Piliae, R.E. Effects of Tai Chi on cognitive function in community dwelling older adults: A review. *Geriatr. Nurs.* 2014, 35, 9–19. [CrossRef]

10. Wayne, P.M.; Walsh, J.N.; Taylor-Piliae, R.E.; Wells, R.E.; Papp, K.V.; Donovan, N.J.; Yeh, G.Y. The impact of Tai Chi on cognitive performance in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 2014, 62, 25–39. [CrossRef]

11. Wu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Burgess, E.O.; Wu, J. The effects of Tai Chi exercise on cognitive function in older adults: A meta-analysis. *J. Sport Health Sci.* 2013, 2, 193–203. [CrossRef]

12. Zheng, G.; Liu, F.; Li, S.; Huang, M.; Tao, J.; Chen, L. Tai Chi and the protection of cognitive ability: A systematic review of prospective studies in healthy adults. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* 2015, 49, 89–97. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Zheng, W.; Xiang, Y.Q.; Ungvari, G.S.; Chiu, H.F.K.; Ning, Y.P.; Yu, X.; Forester, B.P.; Xiang, Y.T. Tai Chi for mild cognitive impairment: A systematic review. *Psychogeriatrics* 2017, 17, 514–516. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Moher, D.; Liberati, A.; Tetzlaff, J.; Altman, D.G.; The PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *Br. Med. J.* 2009, 339, 332–336. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Man, D.W.K.; Tsang, W.W.N.; Hui-Chan, C.W.Y. Do older Tai Chi practitioners have better attention and memory function? *J. Altern. Complement. Med.* 2010, 16, 1259–1264. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Mortimer, J.A.; Ding, D.; Borenstein, A.R.; DeCarli, C.; Guo, Q.; Wu, Y.; Chu, S. Changes in brain volume and cognition in a randomized trial of exercise and social interaction in a community-based sample of non-demented Chinese elders. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 2012, 30, 757–766. [CrossRef]

17. Thomas, B.H.; Citiska, D.; Dobkins, M.; Micucci, S. A process for systematically reviewing the literature: Providing the research evidence for public health nursing interventions. *Worldviews Evid. Based Nurs.* 2004, 1, 176–184. [CrossRef]

18. Armijo-Olivo, S.; Stiles, C.R.; Hagen, N.A.; Biondo, P.D.; Cummings, G.G. Assessment of study quality for systematic reviews: A comparison of the Cochrane Collaboration Risk of Bias Tool and the Effective Public Health Practice Project Quality Assessment tool: Methodological research. *J. Eval. Clin. Pract.* 2012, 18, 12–18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Borenstein, M.; Hedges, L.V.; Higgins, J.; Rothstein, H.R. *Introduction to Meta-Analysis*; Wiley: West Sussex, UK, 2009; ISBN 9780470057247.
20. Chu, D.M.; Lim-Khoo, W. Comparison of performance in minimental state examination (MMSE) and montreal cognitive assessment scale (MOCA) of elderly engaged in Tai Chi versus aerobic physical exercise. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2014, 10, 607. [CrossRef]

21. Overton-McCoy, A. The Association between Tai Chi and Memory in Older Adults. Ph.D. Thesis, Capella University, Minneapolis, MN, USA, 2010.

22. Kasai, J.Y.T.; Busse, A.L.; Magaldi, R.M.; Soci, M.A.; Rosa, P.d.M.; Curiati, J.A.E.; Jacob Filho, W. Effects of Tai Chi Chuan on cognition of elderly women with mild cognitive impairment. *Einstein (São Paulo)* 2010, 8, 40–45. [CrossRef]

23. Sungkarat, S.; Boripuntakul, S.; Chattipakorn, N.; Watcharasaksilp, K.; Lord, S.R. Effects of Tai Chi on cognition and fall risk in older adults with mild cognitive impairment: A randomized controlled trial. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 2017, 65, 721–727. [CrossRef]

24. Hung, H.C.; Chang, Y.J.; Lai, R.S.; Chen, T.C.C. Effects of community-based Tai Chi exercise on health-related physical fitness and cognitive functions in the elderly. *J. Nurs. Healthc. Res.* 2013, 9, 271–281.

25. Lu, X.; Siiu, K.C.; Fu, S.N.; Hui-Chan, C.W.Y.; Tsang, W.W.N. Tai Chi practitioners have better postural control and selective attention in stepping down with and without a concurrent auditory response task. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 2013, 113, 1939–1945. [CrossRef]

26. Nguyen, M.H.; Kruse, A. A randomized controlled trial of Tai Chi for balance, sleep quality and cognitive performance in elderly Vietnamese. *Clin. Inter. Aging* 2012, 7, 185–190. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. Orozco Calderón, G.; Anaya Chávez, M.; Santiago Vite, J.; Viedma, R.G.; Del, M. Cognition, activities of daily living and psychological variables in elderly women practitioners of Tai Chi Chuan. *RETOS-Neuvas Tend. en Educ. Fis. Deporte y Recreacion* 2016, 30, 222–225.

28. Sun, J.; Kanagawa, K.; Sasaki, J.; Ook, S.; Xu, H.; Wang, L. Tai Chi improves cognitive and physical function in the elderly: A randomized controlled trial. *J. Phys. Ther. Sci.* 2015, 27, 1467–1471. [CrossRef]

29. Tsang, W.W.N.; Kwok, J.C.Y.; Hui-Chan, C.W.Y. Effects of aging and Tai Chi on a finger-pointing task with a choice paradigm. *Evid. Based Complement. Alternat. Med.* 2013, 2013, 653437. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

30. Varghese, R.; Hui-Chan, C.W.Y.; Bhatt, T. Reduced cognitive-motor interference on voluntary balance control in older Tai Chi practitioners. *J. Geriatr. Phys. Ther.* 2016, 39, 190–199. [CrossRef]

31. Kyzas, P.A.; Loizou, K.T.; Ioannidis, J.P. Selective reporting biases in cancer prognostic factor studies. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 2005, 97, 1043–1055. [CrossRef]

32. Hopewell, S.; Clarke, M.; Mallet, S. Grey literature and systematic reviews. In *Publication Bias in Meta-Analysis*, Rothstein, H.R., Sutton, A.J., Borenstein, M., Eds.; Wiley: West Sussex, UK, 2005; pp. 49–72. ISBN 9780470870143.

33. Gangadhar, B.; Hariprasad, V.; Varambally, S.; Shivakumar, V.; Kalymdy, S.; Venkatasubramanian, G. Yoga increases the volume of the hippocampus in elderly subjects. *Indian J. Psychiatry* 2013, 55, 394–396. [CrossRef]

34. O’Shea, A.; Cohen, R.A.; Porges, E.C.; Nissim, N.R.; Woods, A.J. Cognitive aging and the hippocampus in older adults. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 2016, 8, 298. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Colcombe, S.J.; Erickson, K.I.; Scaf, P.E.; Kim, J.S.; Prakash, R.; McAuley, E.; Elavsky, S.; Marquez, D.X.; Hu, L.; Kramer, A.F. Aerobic exercise training increases brain volume in aging humans. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 2006, 61, 1166–1170. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Erickson, K.I.; Prakash, R.S.; Voss, M.W.; Chaddock, L.; Hu, L.; Morris, K.S.; White, S.M.; Wojcicki, T.R.; McAuley, E.; Kramer, A.F. Aerobic fitness is associated with hippocampal volume in elderly humans. *Hippocampus* 2009, 19, 1030–1039. [CrossRef]

37. Zhang, Y.; Li, C.; Zou, L.; Liu, X.; Song, W. The effects of mind-body exercise on cognitive performance in elderly: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2018, 15, 2791. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Chiesa, A.; Calati, R.; Sterratti, A. Does mindfulness training improve cognitive abilities? A systematic review of neuropsychological findings. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 2011, 31, 464–466. [CrossRef]

39. Kim, T.H.M.; Pascual-Leone, J.; Johnson, J.; Tamim, H. The mental-attention Tai Chi effect with older adults. *BMC Psychol.* 2016, 4, 29. [CrossRef]

40. Lupton, S.J.; de Leon, M.; de Santi, S.; Convit, A.; Tarshish, C.; Nair, N.P.; Thakur, M.; McEwen, B.S.; Hauger, R.L.; Meaney, M.J. Cortisol levels during human aging predict hippocampal atrophy and memory deficits. *Nat. Neurosci.* 1998, 1, 69–73. [CrossRef]

41. Colcombe, S.J.; Erickson, K.I.; Raz, N.; Webb, A.G.; Cohen, N.J.; McAuley, E.; Kramer, A.F. Aerobic fitness improves learning and memory in older adults. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 2003, 58, 176–180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Pereira, A.C.; Huddleston, D.E.; Brickman, A.M.; Sosunov, A.A.; Hen, R.; McKhann, G.M.; Sloan, R.; Gage, F.H.; Brown, T.R.; Small, S.A. An in vivo correlate of exercise-induced neurogenesis in the adult dentate gyrus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2007, 104, 5638–5643. [CrossRef]

43. Voss, M.W.; Prakash, R.S.; Erickson, K.I.; Basak, C.; Chaddock, L.; Kim, J.S.; Alves, H.; Heo, S.; Szabo, A.N.; White, S.M.; et al. Plasticity of brain networks in a randomized intervention trial of exercise training in older adults. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 2010, 2, 32. [CrossRef]

44. Ng, S.; Wang, C.; Ho, R.T.; Ziea, E.T.; Wong, V.C.T. Tai Chi exercise for patients with heart disease: A systematic review of controlled clinical trials. *Altern. Ther. Health Med.* 2012, 18, 16–22. [PubMed]

45. Wolf, S.L.; O’Grady, M.; Easley, K.A.; Guo, Y.; Kressig, R.W.; Kutner, M. The influence of intense Tai Chi training on physical performance and hemodynamic outcomes in transitionally frail, older adults. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 2006, 61, 184–189. [CrossRef]
46. Chan, A.W.K.; Sit, J.W.H.; Chair, S.Y.; Leung, D.Y.P.; Lee, D.T.F.; Wong, E.M.L.; Fung, L.C.W. Evaluation of the effectiveness of Tai Chi versus brisk walking in reducing cardiovascular risk factors: Protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2016**, *13*, 682. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

47. Stagg, N.J.; Mata, H.P.; Ibrahim, M.M.; Henriksen, E.J.; Porreca, F.; Vanderah, T.W.; Philip Malan, T. Regular exercise reverses sensory hypersensitivity in a rat neuropathic pain model: Role of endogenous opioids. *Anesthesiology* **2011**, *114*, 940–948. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

48. Polaski, A.M.; Phelps, A.L.; Kostek, M.C.; Szucs, K.A.; Kolber, B.J. Exercise-induced hypoalgesia: A meta-analysis of exercise dosing for the treatment of chronic pain. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0210418. [CrossRef]

49. Northey, J.M.; Cherbuin, N.; Pumps, K.L.; Smee, D.J.; Rattray, B. Exercise interventions for cognitive function in adults older than 50: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **2018**, *52*, 154–160. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

50. Sanders, L.M.J.; Hortobágyi, T.; la Bastide-van Gemert, S.; van der Zee, E.A.; van Heuvelen, M.J.G. Dose-response relationship between exercise and cognitive function in older adults with and without cognitive impairment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0210036. [CrossRef]

51. Chang, J.Y.; Tsai, P.F.; Beck, C.; Hagen, J.L.; Huff, D.C.; Anand, K.J.S.; Roberson, P.K.; Rosengren, K.S.; Beuscher, L. The effect of Tai Chi on cognition in elders with cognitive impairment. *Medsurg Nurs.* **2011**, *20*, 63–69.

52. Lee, I.M.; Shiroma, E.J.; Lobelo, F.; Puska, P.; Blair, S.N.; Katzmarzyk, P.T. Effect of physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: An analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. *Lancet* **2012**, *380*, 219–229. [CrossRef]

53. Rosenberg, D.E.; Bellettiere, J.; Gardiner, P.A.; Villarreal, V.N.; Crist, K.; Kerr, J. Independent associations between sedentary behaviors and mental, cognitive, physical and functional health among older adults in retirement communities. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* **2016**, *71*, 78–83. [CrossRef]

54. Vaynman, S.; Gomez-Pinilla, F. Revenge of the “sit”: How lifestyle impacts neuronal and cognitive health through molecular systems that interface energy metabolism with neuronal plasticity. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **2006**, *84*, 699–715. [CrossRef]

55. Voss, M.; Carr, L.; Cole, R.; Weng, T. Revenge of the “sit” II: Does lifestyle impact neuronal and cognitive health through distinct mechanisms associated with sedentary behavior and physical activity? *Ment. Health Phys. Act.* **2014**, *7*, 9–24. [CrossRef]

56. Sutton, A.J. Publication bias. In *The Handbook of Research Synthesis and Meta-Analysis*, 2nd ed.; Cooper, H., Hedges, L.V., Valentine, J.C., Eds.; Russell Sage Foundation: New York, NY, USA, 2009; pp. 435–452. ISBN 978-0871541635.