Expeditious synthesis of the fused hexacycle of puberuline C via a radical-based cyclization/translocation/cyclization process†
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The fused 6/7/5/6/6/6-hexacyclic ring system of puberuline C was assembled in 18 steps from 2-(ethoxycarbonyl)cyclohexanone. After the azabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane derivative was sequentially coupled with propargyl magnesium bromide, 2-ido cyclopentenone and allyl bromide, the pentacycle was constructed in a single step via a radical-based cyclization/translocation/cyclization process. The C11-bridgehead radical generated via C–Br homolysis participated in a 7-endo cyclization, and the 1,5-hydrogen translocation of the resultant radical was followed by transannular 6-exo cyclization to simultaneously realize the construction of the two rings and the introduction of the five contiguous stereocenters. The last 6-exo cyclization was induced by the Mukaiyama aldol reaction, and the C16-ketone was stereoselectively reduced by the action of SmI2/t-BuOH, leading for the first time to the synthesis of the entire hexacycle of puberuline C.

Plants of the genera Aconitum and Delphinium have been used for centuries in traditional oriental medicines for their anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and anti-rheumatic activities.1 Efforts to identify the pharmacologically important compounds in these plant families have resulted in the determination of over 600 structurally complex C19-diterpenoid alkaloids, of which aconitine is a representative example (Scheme 1).2 In 2009, puberuline C was isolated from a traditional Chinese medical plant, Aconitum barbatum var. puberulum,3 and was found to belong to the C19-diterpenoid alkaloid family. Its architecturally complex hexacyclic system is composed of fused 6/7/5/6/6-membered (A/B/C/D/E/F) rings containing one nitrogen group and six oxygen-based polar functionalities. These structural features significantly increase the challenge of chemically synthesizing puberuline C.4

Puberuline C differs structurally from the majority of C19-diterpenoid alkaloids in its C17-bond connection. Specifically, the C8–17 bond of puberuline C constitutes the six-membered F-ring, while the C7–17 bond of aconitine forms a five-membered counterpart. To date, considerable synthetic effort has been focused on the aconitine-type alkaloids,5,6 culminating in the historic total syntheses of talatisamine, chasmanine and 13-desoxydelphonine by Wiesner’s group,7 neoicaconitine by Gin’s group,8 and weisaconitine D and liljestrandinine by Sarpong’s group.9 In sharp contrast, the molecular framework of puberuline C has not been chemically assembled.10 In this manuscript, we describe the efficient synthesis of the unique hexacyclic ring system of puberuline C by utilizing a radical-based cyclization/translocation/cyclization process and the Mukaiyama aldol reaction as the two key transformations.

To devise a novel strategy for the total synthesis of puberuline C, we designed a simplified puberuline C (1) as a model target compound (Scheme 1). Although the oxidation states at the C1, 5, 6, 7 and 18 positions of 1 are different from those of puberuline C, 1 retains its entire hexacyclic framework and nine

**Scheme 1** Structures of aconitine and puberuline C, and a retrosynthetic plan of the model target compound 1.
stereocenters (C4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17). In our retrosynthesis, the three ring structures of 1 were disconnected at the C10–11, C13–16 and C8–17 bonds to identify 2 as a pivotal intermediate. Compound 2 was proposed as the precursor of the bridgehead radical at C11. We presumed that the bridgehead radical would enable stereoselective construction of the sterically hindered C10–11 bond because of its potent reactivity, stereochemically predisposed nature, and high orthogonality to diverge polar functional groups. In the synthetic direction, the C11-bridgehead radical generated through C–Br homolysis of triene 2 would chemoselectively react with the C9–10 double bond of the C-ring enone, leading to the formation of the seven-membered B-ring. After radical cyclization, the remaining C16–16' and C7–8 double bonds were to be utilized to connect the C13/16 and C8/17 atoms to transannulate the six-membered D- and F-rings of 1, respectively, thereby establishing the stereochemistry of these four positions. As the radical precursor 2 has only three stereocenters (C4, 5, 11), the route to the bridgehead radical at C11. We presumed that the bridgehead radical would enable stereoselective construction of the sterically hindered C10–11 bond because of its potent reactivity, stereochemically predisposed nature, and high orthogonality to diverge polar functional groups. In the synthetic direction, the C11-bridgehead radical generated through C–Br homolysis of triene 2 would chemoselectively react with the C9–10 double bond of the C-ring enone, leading to the formation of the seven-membered B-ring. After radical cyclization, the remaining C16–16' and C7–8 double bonds were to be utilized to connect the C13/16 and C8/17 atoms to transannulate the six-membered D- and F-rings of 1, respectively, thereby establishing the stereochemistry of these four positions. As the radical precursor 2 has only three stereocenters (C4, 5, 11), the route to the bridgehead radical at C11. We presumed that the bridgehead radical would enable stereoselective construction of the sterically hindered C10–11 bond because of its potent reactivity, stereochemically predisposed nature, and high orthogonality to diverge polar functional groups.

The synthesis of 1 commenced by preparing the known material 3 11 (Scheme 2). 2-[Ethoxy(phenyl)phosphino]cyclohexanone (4) was first brominated to 5, which was then treated with ethylamine and formaldehyde to induce a double Mannich reaction, giving rise to 6 equipped with the C11-bromo group. Propargyl magnesium bromide C, which was prepared from 3-bromopropyne, magnesium turnings, and catalytic ZnBr2,3 then attacked the C5–ketone of 3 to afford the three carbon extended 6 as the major product (dr = 3.2 : 1). In this reaction, the tertiary amine of 3 would assist the stereoselective delivery of the organomagnesium reagent from the β-face of the molecule through chelation. Next, three Pd-catalyzed reactions from 6 completed the synthesis of the key intermediate 2. The five-membered C-ring A was attached to the terminal alkyne of 6 through a Sonogashira coupling [PdCl2(PPh3)2 and CuI], providing tricyclic compound 7. Upon treatment of 7 with PdCl2(PPh3)2 and n-Bu3SnH, the C8 position of the internal triple bond was regioselectively functionalized with the n-Bu3Sn group to form 8, presumably because the C8 position was less sterically shielded by the bulky azabicyclic AE-ring. Additionally, stereoselective hydrostannylation defined the syn-relationship of the AE- and C-rings, which later served as an important structural factor to facilitate 7-endo cyclization. The thus introduced C8-stannyl moiety of 8 was changed to the allyl group by π-allyl stille coupling. Namely, Pd[4(dba)]2·CHCl3 and Ph3As16,17 effected the coupling between 8 and allyl bromide B to furnish triene 2. Therefore, only six steps were required for the conversion of monocyte 4 to tricycle 2, which possesses all the requisite carbons for the synthesis of the skeleton of 1. To prepare for the key radical cyclization, substrates 9 and 11a were derivatized from 2 via chemoselective manipulations of the C8-allyl group. Dihydroxylation of the least sterically shielded olefin of triene 2 afforded triol 9 as a 1 : 1 C16-diastereomixture. Alternatively, dibenzyl acetal 11a was formed by oxidative glycolcleavage with H2IO6, followed by In(OTf)3-catalyzed acetalization with benzyl alcohol.

Table 1  Radical-based cyclization/translocation/cyclization process

| Entry | Substrate | R2 | Product | Yield |
|-------|-----------|----|---------|-------|
| 1     | 2         |    | 12      | 29%   |
| 2     | 9         | 11a | 13'     | 55%   |
| 3     | 11a       | OBN | 14a     | 54%   |

*Conditions: substrate (1.0 equiv.), n-Bu3SnH (5 equiv.), V-40 (0.4 equiv.), toluene (0.02 M), reflux. n-Bu3SnH and V-40 (0.2 equiv.) in toluene were added by syringe pump over 3 h. Reactions were performed on a 0.10 mmol scale. b 9 was used as a 1 : 1 C16-diastereomixture. c Product 13 was obtained as the hemiacetal forms (13α : 13β = 1 : 1.5). V-40 = 1,1′-azobis(cyclohexanecarbonitrile).*
tricycle 2 with n-Bu3SnH and V-40 in refluxing toluene provided pentacyle 12, with the formation of two C-C bonds (C10-11, C8-17) and five stereocenters (C8, 9, 10, 11, 17). The low yield (29%) of 12 from 2 was attributed to involvement of the C16-olefin in undesired radical pathways. Consequently, compounds 9 and 11a with no C16 radical acceptor were next submitted to the same reaction conditions (entries 2 and 3); the yields of the corresponding pentacyclic products 13 and 14a from 9 and 11a, respectively, almost doubled (55% for 13 and 54% for 14a). After the reaction in entry 2, 13 was obtained as C16-epimeric hemiacetals (13a : 13β = 1 : 1.5) due to addition of the C16-secondary hydroxy group to the C14-ketone. The structure of crystalline C16-β-alcohol 13β was unambiguously determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis (Fig. 1), which revealed its unusually complicated shape. On the other hand, the newly formed ring systems of 12 and 14a were established by judicious NMR analyses and chemical derivatizations.

The present cascade pathway involves three essential radical reactions: 7-endo cyclization at C10 and C11, 1,5-radical translocation from C7 to C17, and 6-exo cyclization at C17 and C8. This intricate reaction course is illustrated in Scheme 3A using the transformation of 11a to 14a as an example. The bridgehead radical Int-1a is first generated from 11a by the action of the stannyl radical. To maximize the SOMO/LUMO interaction, the electron rich C11-radical of Int-1a selectively reacts with the electron deficient sp2-carbon atom at C10, thereby forming the C10–11 bond of Int-2Aa through a 7-endo cyclization. The C10-stereocchemistry is controlled at this stage, while the configuration of the fixed C11-bridgehead position is retained. The vinylogous ketone moiety of Int-2Aa bestows an electron deficient character to the C7-radical, which preferentially reacts with the electron rich N-α C–H bond at the proximal C17 position via facile 1,5-hydrogen abstraction. Next, a transannular 6-exo cyclization of the translocated nucleophilic C17-radical of Int-3a with the electrophilic C8–9 double bond produces Int-4a. This intramolecular radical addition occurs within the fused rings to introduce the correct C8,17-stereogenic centers. Finally, the radical process is terminated by the hydrogenation of Int-4a from the convex face of the BC-ring to generate the C9-stereocenter of 14a.

The multiple radical reactions proposed above were evaluated by DFT calculations of the energy diagram at the UM06-2X/6-31G(d) level of theory (Scheme 3B). To facilitate the calculations, we used the structurally abbreviated radical intermediates, in which R2 and R3 were changed from CH(Obn)2 and Et to H and Me, respectively (see 11a and 11b). The calculated activation energy from Int-1b to Int-2Ab is smaller than that from the same Int-1b to the C10-epimeric Int-2Ab (∆G‡ = 8.2 kcal mol⁻¹ for TS-1a, +9.4 kcal mol⁻¹ for TS-1b), supporting the observed C10-stereoselectivity of the 7-endo cyclization. The higher energy of TS-1b than TS-1a would originate from the close C14O–C15H contact in TS-1b (2.42 Å, Scheme 3C) within the sum of the van der Waals radii (2.72 Å) in comparison with that in TS-1a (2.68 Å). After formation of the stable delocalized radical Int-2Ab through the endo-thermic reaction (–23.2 kcal mol⁻¹), 1,5-hydrogen abstraction (Int-2Ab → Int-3b) requires a relatively large activation energy (+9.5 kcal mol⁻¹) and gives a less stable intermediate (+5.8 kcal mol⁻¹). However, TS-3 is lower in energy than TS-2 by –6.6 kcal mol⁻¹. Thus, the forward reaction from Int-3b to Int-4b is favored over the reverse reaction to Int-2Ab. Furthermore, 6-exo cyclization gives the thermodynamically preferred radical Int-4b, rather than Int-3b (–15.1 kcal mol⁻¹). The gain in energy of the overall process from the starting radical Int-1b to the end radical Int-4b is –32.5 kcal mol⁻¹, corroborating the high efficiency of the present cyclization/translocation/cyclization process.

Having constructed the five fused ring system with the seven stereocenters, the remaining tasks for the synthesis of the target 1 were the construction of the six-membered D-ring and the introduction of the C13,14 and 16 stereogenic centers (Scheme 4). We anticipated that an intramolecular aldol reaction between the C14-ketone and C16-aldehyde of 15a would stereoselectively form the C13–16 bond. The aldol substrate 15a was readily prepared from hemiacetal 13β through oxidative cleavage by H2IO₆. However, treatment of 15a under acidic or basic conditions (e.g., aq. HCl/dioxane or DBU/benzene) resulted in either decomposition or recovery of 15a. These negative results were rationalized by DFT calculations of 15b and 16b, in which Et (R³) was replaced with Me. Although the reacting C13 and C16 atoms of 15b are in close proximity, C13–16 bond formation significantly increases the potential energy of 16b (+17.6 kcal mol⁻¹). The bond angle (θ = 101.3°) between C14–13 and C13–16 of 16b deviates significantly from the ideal value, indicating its unusually strained character. Accordingly, the retro-aldol reaction from 16a to 15a would readily occur, even when 16a was produced.

The above data and considerations led us to employ an irreversible Mukaiyama aldol reaction for cyclization of the strained D-ring (Scheme 5). To realize the transformation, an alternative aldol substrate 17 was designed to have the silyl enol ether as the nucleophile and the dibenzyl acetal as the activatable electrophile. The requisite TBS enol ether structure...
of 17 was regioselectively constructed by applying TBSOTf and Et$_3$N to C14–ketone 14a. A number of Lewis acids (e.g., SnCl$_2$, Sn(OTf)$_2$, ZnBr$_2$, BF$_3$·OEt$_2$, AlCl$_3$ and TiCl$_4$) activated the acetal moiety, yet failed to give the requisite product because the C14–oxygen atom instead of the C13 atom reacted with the C16–cation. Eventually, it was found that SnCl$_4$ attained the requisite C13–16 bond formation. Treatment of 17 with SnCl$_4$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ at /C0$^\circ$78 to 0/C14$^\circ$C permitted effective construction of hexacycle 19, with installation of the C13 and 16-stereocenters. Therefore, capping the C16-hydroxy group with the benzyl group indeed inhibited the retro-aldol type reaction of adduct 19 under the reaction conditions. As illustrated by 18, the newly generated C16-stereochemistry of 19 would originate from nucleophilic attack of the silyl enol ether from the Si-face of the oxocarbenium ion, which would be fixed by the binding of SnCl$_4$ between the oxygen and nitrogen atoms.

The synthesis of 1 was finalized by functional group manipulations at the C14 and C16 positions (Scheme 5). The C14–ketone of 19 was stereoselectively reduced from the convex face to provide the hydroxy group of 20. Alcohol 20 was in turn converted to methyl ether 21 using Mel and t-BuOK. Then, the C16-configuration was inverted by an oxidation/reduction sequence. A removal of the benzyl group from 21, the resultant hydroxy group of 22 was chemoselectively oxidized to the ketone within 23 in the presence of tertiary amine using the reagent combination AZADO/CuCl/2,2'-bipyridine/DMAP under air.$^{26}$ However, the NaBH$_4$ reduction of 23 only afforded its precursor 22: hydride selectively attacked from the convex face to generate the undesired $\beta$-oriented C16-alcohol. Conversely, SmI$_2$, a one-electron reducing agent, produced the correct C16-epimer.$^{27,28}$ When 23 was treated with SmI$_2$ in THF/HMPA in the presence of t-BuOH, the $\alpha$-oriented C16-alcohol 24 was obtained.
as the major product \((22 : 24 = 1 : 8)\). It is noteworthy that the use of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) or \(\text{MeOH}\) instead of \(t\)-\(\text{BuOH}\) decreased the stereoselectivity for \(24\) \((22 : 24 = 1.5 : 1)\), indicating that the slower protonation of the C16-carbanion intermediate had a beneficial effect for generating \(24\). Lastly, methylation of the C16-hydroxy group of \(24\) provided the targeted puberuline C skeleton \(1\) with its ten contiguous stereocenters.

In summary, we have accomplished the expeditious synthesis of the entire 6/7/5/6/6/6-membered ring system \(1\) of the C19-diterpenoid alkaloid puberuline C \([18\) steps from \(2-(\text{ethoxycarbonyl})\text{cyclohexanone}\) \((4)\)]. The six ABCDEF rings and the ten stereogenic centers were constructed by a series of powerful transformations. First, the double Mannich reaction cyclized the AE-ring \(3\), with implementation of the two tetra-substituted carbons \((\text{C}4, 11)\). Second, carbon elongation from \(3\) to \(2\) was realized by nucleophilic addition of \(C\) and palladium-catalyzed couplings of \(A\) and \(B\), introducing the C5-stereocenter and the C-ring structure. Third, the C11-bridgehead radical reaction of the highly unsaturated substrate \(11\) underwent a 7-endocyclization/1,5-radical translocation/6-exo cyclization process to form the B- and F-rings, establishing the stereochemistries of the two quaternary \((\text{C}8, 11)\) and three tertiary carbons \((\text{C}9, 10, 17)\) in a single step. Fourth, the Mukaiyama aldol reaction, followed by hydride and one-electron reductions, constructed the D-ring and the C13,14,16-stereocenters. Importantly, detailed DFT-calculations fully validated the reaction course and the stereoselective outcome of the salient radical cascade reaction. Further synthetic studies of puberuline C based on the newly developed strategy are underway in our laboratory.
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