$J/\psi$ production at low transverse momentum in $p+p$ and $d+Au$ collisions
at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV
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We report on the measurement of $J/\psi$ production in the dielectron channel at midrapidity ($|y| < 1$) in p+p and d+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV from the STAR experiment at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider. The transverse momentum $p_T$ spectra in p+p for $p_T < 4$ GeV/c and d+Au collisions for $p_T < 3$ GeV/c are presented. These measurements extend the STAR coverage for $J/\psi$ production in p+p collisions to low $p_T$. The $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ from the measured $J/\psi$ invariant cross section in p+p and d+Au collisions are evaluated and compared to similar measurements at other collision energies. The nuclear modification factor for $J/\psi$ is extracted as a function of $p_T$ and collision centrality in d+Au and compared to model calculations using the modified nuclear parton distribution function and a final-state $J/\psi$ nuclear absorption cross section.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Mh, 14.40.Pq, 25.75.Dw
I. INTRODUCTION

The study of $J/\psi$ production has been extensively used to probe the medium created in relativistic heavy-ion collisions, where a transition from hadronic matter to a deconfined quark gluon plasma (QGP) takes place [1–4]. A large suppression of $J/\psi$ was proposed as a signature of the formation of QGP and was expected to arise from the color screening of the heavy quark potential in a deconfined medium [5].

Additional modifications of $J/\psi$ production due to cold nuclear matter (CNM) effects [6] are expected. CNM effects are due to the presence of ordinary nuclear matter in the collision. These include modifications to the Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs) inside a nucleus (shadowing, anti-shadowing, European Muon Collaboration (EMC) effect) [7, 8] and final-state nuclear absorption of $J/\psi$ by hadronic comovers [9]. In addition, the Cronin effect, which may be originating from multiple scattering of partons, should increase the mean $p_T$ of $J/\psi$ produced in A+A collisions relative to $p+p$ collisions [10, 11]. In order to isolate the CNM effects and thereby improve our understanding of modifications to $J/\psi$ production in heavy-ion collisions, the production of $J/\psi$ is studied in both $p+p$ and $d+Au$ collisions at Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC), where the formation of a QGP was not originally expected. Furthermore, $J/\psi$ production in $p+p$ collisions can provide information on the $J/\psi$ production mechanism in elementary collisions [12].

In this paper, the results for $J/\psi$ production at midrapidity $(|y| < 1$, where $y$ is defined as $y = 0.5 \ln \left( \frac{E + p_L c}{E - p_L c} \right)$, $E$ is the particle’s energy, $p_L$ is the particle’s momentum along beam axis and $c$ is the speed of light in a vacuum) in $p+p$ ($p_T < 4$ GeV/c) and $d+Au$ ($p_T < 3$ GeV/c) collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV from the STAR experiment are presented. The $p+p$ data were collected in 2009 and $d+Au$ in 2008. The $p_T$ spectrum from $p+p$ is combined with the high-$p_T$ STAR results [12] and the resulting $p_T$ spectrum is compared with predictions from model calculations, including the color glass condensate (CGC) along with the non-relativistic quantum chromodynamics (QCD) based model with color singlet and color octet (CS+CO) contributions [13], the NRQCD based CS+CO model only [14] and the color evaporation model (CEM) [15]. The $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ in both $p+p$ and $d+Au$ collisions is calculated from the measured invariant cross sections and compared to measurements at other relevant collision energies. The value of $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ is related to the width of the $p_T$ spectrum and is conventionally used to describe the Cronin effect [16] in model calculations. It is described in more details further in the paper.

To quantify the CNM effects, the $J/\psi$ nuclear modification factor in $d+Au (R_{dA})$ has been calculated from the ratio of the invariant cross section in $d+Au$ ($d^2\sigma / dp_T dy$) and $p+p$ ($d^2\sigma_{pp} / dp_T dy$), scaled by the average number of binary collisions ($N_{coll}$) according to the equation:

$$R_{dA} = \frac{1}{\langle N_{coll} \rangle} \frac{d^2\sigma_{d+Au} / dp_T dy}{d^2\sigma_{pp} / dp_T dy}.$$ (1)

The $J/\psi$ $R_{dA}$ is compared to model predictions, which include cold nuclear matter effects and the modification of nuclear PDFs (nPDFs) using the EPS09 [17] and nDSS [18] parameterizations. Each of these models includes a final-state $J/\psi$ nuclear absorption cross section ($\sigma_{abs}$) [6, 19] as an additional parameter, which can be determined by fitting the model calculations to the data.

The experimental setup and data used in this analysis are described in Section II followed by a review of the analysis methods, $J/\psi$ signal reconstruction, and corrections in Section III. The systematic uncertainties are explained in Section IV, and the results are described in Section V. Finally, a summary is provided in Section VI.

II. EXPERIMENT AND DATA

STAR [20] is a large acceptance midrapidity (pseudo-rapidity $|\eta| < 1$ and full azimuthal angle) experiment at RHIC with excellent particle identification capabilities. It also includes additional detectors at forward and backward pseudorapidities ($|\eta| > 1$) like the Forward Time Projection Chamber (FTPC) and Vertex Position Detector (VPD), and others, which are not used in this analysis. The Time Projection Chamber (TPC) is the primary detector used for particle tracking and hadron identification while the Barrel Electro-Magnetic Calorimeter (BEMC) is used for electron identification. For the $p+p$ data, the Time of Flight detector (TOF) [21] was available for particle identification. For the $d+Au$ data the collision centrality was determined using the East Forward Time Projection Chamber (FTPC-E) [22], which covers $-4 < \eta < -2.5$.

The data used in this analysis were obtained from $p+p$ collisions recorded in 2009 and from $d+Au$ collisions recorded in 2008 using a minimum bias (MB) trigger. The MB trigger was generated from the Vertex Position Detectors (VPDs) [23] to select collisions with a vertex position $|V_z| < 30$ cm by requiring coincidence signals within a bunch crossing in its East (gold facing in the case of $d+Au$ collisions) and West detectors, both located 5.7 m from the center of the TPC. The collision $V_z$ used in the trigger was evaluated from the time difference between VPD signals. The $d+Au$ trigger also required at least one neutron in the East Zero Degree Calorimeter (ZDC) [24], positioned 18 m away from the center of the TPC.

The offline vertex position was reconstructed using tracks in the TPC. To remove out-of-time (pile-up) events in the $p+p$ data sample, a difference between the reconstructed and VPD vertex position $|\Delta V_z| < 6$ cm was required [25]. This removes $\sim 15\%$ of events and leaves $\sim 2\%$ [25] of pile-up events. In $d+Au$, pile-up events were removed by requiring at least one track from the collision.
be matched to the BEMC [26], which is a fast detector (readout time ~ 10 ns) and is not affected by pile-up. The BEMC match requirement along with $|V_{Z}| < 30$ cm cut rejects ~ 35% of events with the possible bias estimated at ~ 4% at most. The final data samples used in this analysis consisted of $7.7 \times 10^7$ \(p+p\) and $3 \times 10^7$ \(d+Au\) events satisfying the MB trigger and pile-up removal criteria.

### III. ANALYSIS

#### A. Collision geometry

The collision centrality in $d+Au$ reactions was determined using the Glauber model [27] relating the measured particle multiplicity to the initial geometry. The centrality selection in $d+Au$ was obtained using the charged particle multiplicity in the FTPC-E [22] to minimize correlations between the centrality selection and the measured event in the TPC. The centrality definitions, the corresponding average number of participants ($\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$), number of collisions ($\langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle$), and impact parameter ($b$) in $d+Au$ collisions are summarized in Table II.

A multiplicity dependent correction was performed in $d+Au$ to account for the trigger bias towards events with high multiplicity. This was done by comparing the multiplicity distribution measured using the TPC and FTPC-E to the distributions obtained from the Glauber model to calculate a multiplicity dependent weight. The corrections were later applied using event-by-event reweighing, which increased the overall (event integrated) weight of events in the 40−100% centrality bin in $d+Au$ collisions by a factor ~ 1.33, while having a negligible effect on semi-central and central collisions. The event integrated weights for $d+Au$ are listed in Table I. An overall trigger correction of 70% [25] has been used in MB $p+p$ collisions to account for the trigger bias towards events containing a $J/\psi$ as discussed later.

| Centrality | Weight [1] |
|------------|------------|
| 0 − 40%   | 1          |
| 40 − 100% | ~ 1.33     |

#### B. Particle identification

The reconstruction of $J/\psi$ has been performed using the dielectron decay channel $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ with a branching ratio of $B_{ee} = 5.961 \pm 0.033\%$ [29]. Electrons and positrons were identified from the ionization energy they deposited in the TPC. The $dE/dx$ versus momentum for charged particles in the TPC is shown in Fig. 1(a). The lines indicate the expected $dE/dx$ for various particles obtained from the Bichsel functions [30].

![FIG. 1: (Color online) (a) The ionization energy loss $dE/dx$ versus momentum for charged particles in $p+p$ collisions. The lines indicate the expected $dE/dx$ for various particles obtained from the Bichsel functions [30].](image)

| Centrality | $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ | $\langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle$ | $b$ (fm) |
|------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| 0 − 40%    | 13.3 ± 2.3                      | 12.7 ± 2.3                       | 4.1 ± 0.8 |
| 40 − 100%  | 5.7 ± 0.7                       | 4.8 ± 0.6                        | 6.7 ± 0.8 |

TABLE II: The collision centrality and geometry definitions from the Glauber model in $d+Au$ collisions [28]. The listed errors are systematic only.

![FIG. 2: (Color online) (b) The $dE/dx$ distribution after removing slower hadrons using the TOF. (c) The TOF $1/\beta$ versus momentum for charged particles in $p+p$ collisions. The lines indicate the expected $1/\beta$ for various particles.](image)
The deviation of the measured $dE/dx$ from the expected $dE/dx$ for an electron, $n\sigma_e$, is defined here as:

$$n\sigma_\alpha = \ln\left(\frac{dE/dx|_{\text{Measured}}}{dE/dx|_{\text{Expected}}}\right) / \sigma_\alpha = e, \pi, K, p.$$  \hfill (2)

where $dE/dx|_{\text{Measured}}$ is measured with the TPC, $dE/dx|_{\text{Expected}}$ is the expected value and $\sigma$ is the resolution of the measured $\ln(dE/dx)$. To remove the large hadron contamination at low momenta where the $dE/dx$ of electrons and hadrons overlaps, a minimum transverse momentum ($p_T$) of electron candidates was applied. Only tracks with $p_T > 0.8$ GeV/c in $p+p$ and $p_T > 1$ GeV/c in $d+Au$ were accepted. The $n\sigma_e$ distribution for charged particles with $1.2 < p < 1.3$ GeV/c in $p+p$ and $d+Au$ collisions is shown in Fig. 2 and has been fitted with the sum of Gaussian distributions to account for the individual particle contributions. The vertical lines indicate the accepted range, and the shaded region represents the electron candidates. Electrons were selected by requiring $-1 < n\sigma_e < 2$ in $p+p$ and $|n\sigma_e| < 2.4$ in $d+Au$. The asymmetric cut in $p+p$ was used to remove the large hadron contamination at $n\sigma_e < -1$. These hadrons in $d+Au$ were rejected by requiring $|n\sigma_p| > 2.2$ and $|n\sigma_\pi| > 2.5$. These cuts lead to the non-regular shape of the left side of shaded area in the Fig. 2 (lower panel), where the horizontal scale is in $n\sigma_e$ units. The hadron $dE/dx$ rejection cuts were not necessary in the $p+p$ analysis, as the TOF was used to separate electrons from slow hadrons and also allowed for a lower cut on the minimum $p_T$ in $p+p$ collisions. Because the TOF detector is only available in the $p+p$ sample, the particle identification cuts are different in $p+p$ and $d+Au$ analyses. The differences are summarized in Table III.

TABLE III: Summary of analysis cuts in $p+p$ and $d+Au$.

| Cut | $p+p$ | $d+Au$ |
|-----|-------|-------|
| $p_T$ | $> 0.8$ GeV/c | $> 1$ GeV/c |
| $n\sigma_e$ | $-1 < n\sigma_e < 2$ | $< 2.4$ |
| $|n\sigma_p|$ | $-$ | $> 2.2$ |
| $|n\sigma_\pi|$ | $-$ | $> 2.5$ |
| $1/\beta - 1$ | $< 0.03$ for $p < 1.4$ GeV/c | $-$ |
| $E/p$ | $> 0.5$ for $p > 2$ GeV/c | $> 0.5$ |

In the $p+p$ data sample, each particle’s velocity ($\beta$) is evaluated using the TOF detector. The TOF $1/\beta$ distribution in $p+p$ collisions is shown in Fig. 1(c) versus the momentum obtained from the track reconstruction in the TPC. The lines indicate the expected $1/\beta$ values for several particle species. The 72% of the TOF detector was installed for the $p+p$ data and it was used to improve the electron identification for $p < 1.4$ GeV/c. At higher momenta, the $1/\beta$ of electrons and hadrons converges to unity and the electron-hadron discrimination power decreases. Heavier hadrons were removed by requiring $|1/\beta - 1| < 0.03$. The resulting $dE/dx$ distribution is shown in Fig. 1(b). This cut successfully removes most of the contributions from kaons, protons, and deuterons. The remaining pions are sufficiently well separated from the electrons and were removed using the TPC $dE/dx$.

Electron energy is measured using the BEMC. The BEMC has a radiation length of 20$X_0$ and is segmented into towers of dimension $\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi = 0.05 \times 0.05$. The energy deposited in its towers has been used to calculate the energy-to- momentum ratio ($E/p$), which should be $\approx 1$ for electrons. The $E/p$ distribution is shown in Fig. 3 for electrons obtained from data and a Monte Carlo GEANT [27] simulation with STAR detector geometry. A non-Gaussian tail at low-$E/p$ results from electrons striking near the edge of the tower and sharing their energy between multiple towers. This also causes a shift of the Gaussian to low $E/p$ values. Electrons are identified and selected by requiring $E/p > 0.5$ for $p > 2$ GeV/c in $p+p$ and $E/p > 0.5$ in $d+Au$ collisions.
The dielectron invariant mass spectrum is constructed from electrons identified using TPC, BEMC, and TOF. The resulting dielectron invariant mass spectra in $|y| < 1$ for $p_T < 4 \text{ GeV}/c$ in $p+p$ and $p_T < 3 \text{ GeV}/c$ in $d+Au$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ are shown in Fig. 4. The combinatorial background has been calculated using a sum of same-sign electron pairs $(e^+e^+ + e^-e^-)$, and a signal-to-background ratio of $S/B = 0.81$ in $p+p$ and $S/B = 2.3$ in $d+Au$ was obtained for $2.7 < m < 3.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$.

The $J/\psi$ signal obtained from subtracting the combinatorial background from the dielectron invariant mass spectrum is shown in Fig. 5. The signal shape has been obtained from a Monte Carlo GEANT simulation and reflects the TPC momentum resolution and energy loss in the detector material. This shape is combined with a straight line to account for a residual background ($c\bar{c}$ continuum, Drell-Yan), and the total has been fitted to the data. The yield has been calculated by performing a bin counting of the data entries in the range $2.7 < m < 3.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ in $p+p$ and $d+Au$ collisions. The residual background has been subtracted, and the counts have been corrected for the number of $J/\psi$ outside of this mass range using the signal shape from simulation. A total of $44 \pm 14$ ($66 \pm 10$) $J/\psi$s were obtained in $p+p$ ($d+Au$) collisions with a significance of $3.2\sigma$ ($6.8\sigma$).

The $J/\psi$ signal obtained from subtracting the combinatorial background from the dielectron invariant mass spectrum is shown in Fig. 5. The signal shape has been obtained from a Monte Carlo GEANT simulation and reflects the TPC momentum resolution and energy loss in the detector material. This shape is combined with a straight line to account for a residual background ($c\bar{c}$ continuum, Drell-Yan), and the total has been fitted to the data. The yield has been calculated by performing a bin counting of the data entries in the range $2.7 < m < 3.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ in $p+p$ and $d+Au$ collisions. The residual background has been subtracted, and the counts have been corrected for the number of $J/\psi$ outside of this mass range using the signal shape from simulation. A total of $44 \pm 14$ ($66 \pm 10$) $J/\psi$s were obtained in $p+p$ ($d+Au$) collisions with a significance of $3.2\sigma$ ($6.8\sigma$).

D. Corrections

The electron identification efficiency is defined as the ratio of accepted electrons to all electrons, while purity...
is the fraction of electrons in the selected sample. This is illustrated in Fig. 2, where the electron contribution of the $n\sigma_e$ distribution in $p+p$ collisions has been fit with a Gaussian function. The vertical lines indicate the accepted range, and the shaded region indicates the accepted particles. The efficiency is the integral of the electron Gaussian within the green lines ($-1 < n\sigma_e < 2$) divided by the integral over the entire electron Gaussian (dashed red line). The purity is calculated by taking the ratio of the integral of the electron Gaussian function within the green lines to the integral of the accepted tracks histogram (shaded area).

The efficiencies related to the electron identification requirements in $p+p$ are shown in Fig. 6. The $p+p$ analysis uses different detectors to identify particles at different momenta, due to their different performance, as was explained in the previous section. Electron identification is determined from the TOF and TPC at low momentum ($p < 1.4$ GeV/c), the BEMC and TPC at high momentum ($p > 2$ GeV/c), and the TPC alone for $1.4 < p < 2$ GeV/c. The abrupt changes in Fig. 6 arise from the different efficiencies of each detector. The $dE/dx$ cut efficiency is mostly constant as no requirements were placed on the hadrons. The BEMC was used for $p > 2$ GeV/c and the combined matching and $E/p$ efficiency are $\sim 80\%$, consistent with the BEMC efficiency in $d+Au$. The TOF has been used for $p < 1.4$ GeV/c, and the matching and $1/\beta$ efficiency are combined with the TOF acceptance to obtain a correction of $\sim 50\%$. The electron tracking efficiency is $\sim 90\%$ for $p > 1.4$ GeV/c, and decreases below this due to the minimum $p_T$ required for electrons. The total efficiency and purity are also shown, and a sudden drop is observed for $1.4 < p < 2$ GeV/c where only the TPC is used for particle identification in order to maximize statistics.

The efficiency associated with the $dE/dx$ electron identification requirements in $d+Au$ collisions is shown in Fig. 7. Electron identification in $d+Au$ was performed using the TPC $dE/dx$ and BEMC $E/p$ for $p_T > 1$ GeV/c. The $dE/dx$ identification efficiency in $d+Au$ for $p < 1.4$ GeV/c is smaller than the efficiency in $p+p$ due to the hadron rejection cuts as discussed earlier. At high $p_T$, the efficiency decreases slightly due to the relativistic rise of the hadron $dE/dx$ (see Fig. 1a). The purity of the electron sample obtained using the TPC $dE/dx$ alone is depicted in Fig. 7. The purity increases by a factor $\sim 2$ when including the $E/p$ cut.

The total $J/\psi$ tracking efficiency and acceptance in $|y| < 1$ have been obtained from a GEANT simulation, and are shown in Fig. 8 for $p+p$ and $d+Au$. A lower efficiency was observed in $d+Au$ due to the higher minimum $p_T$ required for electrons. The tracking efficiency and acceptance have been combined with the electron identification efficiencies to obtain the total $J/\psi$ efficiency corrections also shown in Fig. 8. An additional trigger correction of $70\%$ [25] has been applied to the $p+p$ data to account for the VPD selection bias towards events containing a $J/\psi$. This $70\%$ correction was determined by comparing the number of events containing at least one $J/\psi$ to the number of unbiased events in a Monte Carlo PYTHIA simulation coupled with the STAR detector geometry [25].
IV. SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTIES

The main sources of systematic uncertainties on the yield in p+p and d+Au collisions arise from the uncertainty in the corrections and yield extraction procedure. These are investigated separately in each J/ψ p_T bin, while the uncertainties on the integrated yield are reported in the text. The multiple Gaussian fits to the σ_e distribution and the calculation of the efficiency from the dE/dx requirements using these fits resulted in an uncertainty of 6% in p+p and a higher 16% in d+Au collisions due to lack of TOF. The uncertainty on the BEMC matching and E/p efficiency was found to be 9% (11%) in p+p (d+Au) and was estimated by comparing the efficiency obtained from a high purity electron sample from the data to the efficiency obtained from simulation. An additional 4% systematic uncertainty due to the TOF requirement in p+p collisions was estimated by comparing the efficiency from electrons and hadrons. The tracking efficiency was obtained from a GEANT simulation, from which comparison between the track properties from simulation and data resulted in an uncertainty of 3% in p+p collisions. A higher uncertainty of 12% in d+Au collisions was obtained due to higher backgrounds. The shape of the input rapidity and p_T distributions in simulation were varied to determine the effect on the p_T-dependent and p_T-integrated efficiency calculation, and an uncertainty on the final yield of 8% (9%) was determined from the efficiency correction in p+p (d+Au) collisions. The uncertainty on the yield was calculated by changing the mass window in which the counting was performed, and comparing the yield to that obtained from the integral of the signal shape from simulation. This resulted in an uncertainty of 40% in p+p collisions and 15% in d+Au collisions. An additional 4% uncertainty due to the contribution from internal radiation (J/ψ → e^+e^-γ) was also included. The effect of possible bias due to pile-up events removal with the BEMC in d+Au, was estimated to be 4% at most.

The normalization uncertainty on the nuclear modification factor R_AA [Eq. (1)] combines the uncertainty on N_coll of 12%, and the statistical and systematic uncertainty of the J/ψ cross section in p+p for p_T < 3 GeV/c. The systematic uncertainty includes the normalization uncertainty for the inelastic cross section in p+p (σ_p+p) of 8% [31]. The systematic uncertainties in p+p for p_T < 4 GeV/c and d+Au collisions are summarized in Table IV.

V. RESULTS

The J/ψ invariant cross section in p+p collisions at √sNN = 200 GeV and the J/ψ invariant yield in d+Au at √sNN = 200 GeV are shown as functions of p_T in Fig. 9. The p_T spectrum in p+p (left panel) extends the full STAR p_T coverage to 0 < p_T < 14 GeV/c [12] and is consistent with previously published data from PHENIX [32] at much smaller acceptance |y| < 0.35. The data are compared to the color evaporation model (CEM) [15] for prompt J/ψ production in p+p collisions. The CEM is able to describe the data well for the entire range of transverse momentum, while it does not include contributions from B decay which are expected to be 10 − 25% for p_T > 4 GeV/c and decreasing at lower p_T [12]. The model includes feed-down from heavier charmonium states (χ_c and ψ), which are expected to contribute up to 40% of the produced J/ψ yield [34]. The data are also compared to the non-relativistic quantum chromodynamics (NRQCD) calculations at next-to-leading order (NLO) with color singlet and color octet (CS+CO) contributions [14] for prompt J/ψ production.
in \( p+p \) collisions for \( p_T > 4 \text{ GeV}/c \). The model describes the data within large uncertainties, although it does not include contributions from \( B \) meson decays. It also includes feed-down from \( \chi_c \) and \( \psi' \). The color glass condensate (CGC) NLO CS+CO NRQCD model [13] for prompt \( J/\psi \) for \( p_T < 5 \text{ GeV}/c \) also describes the data within sizeable uncertainties.

The \( J/\psi \) \( p_T \) spectrum in \( d+Au \) measured by STAR (right panel) compared to PHENIX data taken at \( |y| < 0.35 \) [32] shows consistency within present statistical and systematic uncertainties. The resulting slope difference is consistent with zero within these uncertainties.

The integrated cross section for \( J/\psi \) production in \( p+p \) collisions for \( |y| < 1 \) at STAR has been calculated using the low-\( p_T \) STAR data for \( p_T < 2 \text{ GeV}/c \) combined with the previously published high-\( p_T \) data for \( p_T > 2 \text{ GeV}/c \) [12] and is found to be:

\[
B_{ee} \frac{d\sigma_{j/\psi}}{dy} = 38 \pm 11 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 16 \text{ (syst.) nb.} \tag{3}
\]

where the systematic uncertainty includes the uncertainty on the inelastic cross section in \( p+p \) of 8%.

PHENIX data at low \( p_T \) (\( p_T < 2 \text{ GeV}/c \)) have smaller statistical uncertainties compared to STAR measurements and therefore were used as a baseline for \( R_{AA} \). The integrated cross section was also re-calculated using the PHENIX data for \( p_T < 2 \text{ GeV}/c \). The value is shown in Eq. 4 and is consistent with the STAR result within uncertainties.

\[
B_{ee} \frac{d\sigma_{j/\psi}}{dy} = 42.5 \pm 1.4 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 4.8 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 3.1 \text{ (glob.) nb.} \tag{4}
\]

The \( p_T \) spectra provide valuable information about the \( J/\psi \) production mechanism and \( J/\psi \) interaction with the nuclear medium. The \( p_T \) distribution is broadened in \( A+\Lambda \) and \( d+Au \) with respect to \( p+p \) probably due to the Cronin effect, which arises from the multiple parton scattering in the initial state [16]. This broadening can be described by the formula: \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle_{AA} = \langle p_T^2 \rangle_{pp} + N_{cAA} \delta_0 \), where \( N_{cAA} \) is the average number of collisions for the projectile parton with target partons and \( \delta_0 \) is the average increase in \( p_T \) per parton. By comparing \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle \) in different collision systems \( (p+p, p+\Lambda, A+A) \) the parameter \( \delta_0 \) can be obtained. Moreover, the analysis of this \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle \) broadening in \( A+\Lambda \) may allow further study of the \( J/\psi \) production mechanism. It is expected [35] that \( J/\psi \) production primarily by regeneration mechanism, will be characterized by a softer \( p_T \) spectrum (small \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle \)), while the direct \( J/\psi \) from the initial hard scattering will show a rather hard \( p_T \) spectrum (large \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle \)). Measurements of \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle \) in \( p+p \) and \( d+Au \) collisions serve as a baseline for such study allowing us to extract \( \delta_0 \). If the observed \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle \) is smaller in \( A+\Lambda \) collisions than expected from Cronin effect only, this may indicate that \( J/\psi \) regeneration is contributing to the overall production.

The \( J/\psi \) invariant cross section from STAR has been used to study the \( J/\psi \) \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle \) in \( p+p \) and \( d+Au \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV} \) shown in Fig. 10. The \( J/\psi \) \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle \) in \( p+p \) collisions for \( p_T < 14 \text{ GeV}/c \) was obtained directly from the STAR data, and its value is \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle = 3.45 \pm 0.85 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 1.22 \text{ (syst.)} \text{ (GeV}/c^2) \). The results are consistent with PHENIX data in \( |y| < 0.35 \) at the same energy [36]. In \( d+Au \), the \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle \) was calculated directly from combined STAR data points for \( p_T < 3 \text{ GeV}/c \) and PHENIX data for \( 3 < p_T < 15 \text{ GeV}/c \). The \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle \) was found to be \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle = 3.70 \pm 0.33 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.44 \text{ (syst.)} \text{ (GeV}/c^2) \). The data are compared to various measurements at other collision energies obtained from the NA38, NA51, NA50 [37], E789 [38] and CDF [39] experiments in Fig. 10, and an increase of \( J/\psi \) \( \langle p_T^2 \rangle \) with collision energy is observed. Our measurements are consistent with the world data trend.

The PHENIX \( p+p \) data for \( p_T < 2 \text{ GeV}/c \) [32] and STAR data for \( p_T > 2 \text{ GeV}/c \) [12] are combined as a \( p+p \) baseline to provide better precision for \( R_{AA} \). The \( p_T \)-integrated nuclear modification factor for \( J/\psi \) with \( p_T < 3 \text{ GeV}/c \) and \( |y| < 1 \) is shown in Fig. 11. The
normalization uncertainty from the statistical and systematic uncertainty on the $J/\psi$ $p+p$ cross section, the uncertainty on the inelastic cross section, and the uncertainty on $N_{\text{coll}}$ are indicated on the vertical axis. The STAR results are consistent with unity within the uncertainties.

The $J/\psi$ nuclear modification factor for $d+Au$ has been compared to model calculations for cold nuclear matter effects on $J/\psi$ production in $d+Au$ collisions. The CNM effects include the modification of nuclear parton distribution functions obtained from the EPS09 [17] and nDSg [18] parametrizations as well as effective $J/\psi$ absorption cross section ($\sigma_{\text{abs}}$) [6, 19]. The absorption cross section was obtained by treating it as a free parameter in a $\chi^2$ minimization fit of the model calculations including CNM effects to the data. The $\chi^2$ from the fit between the STAR data and model calculations as a function of the absorption cross section is shown in Fig. 12 for the EPS09 and nDSg calculations of the nPDFs. The absorption cross section of $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 0.0^{+0.8}_{-0.0}$ (stat.) $^{+2.1}_{-0.0}$ (syst.) mb was obtained from the minimum $\chi^2$ value between the data and EPS09, which yields moderate $\chi^2$ compared to nDSg. By taking the minimum $\chi^2+1$ (green dashed line), a 3.8 mb statistical and 2.1 mb systematic uncertainty related to the fitting procedure was obtained. Due to the large uncertainties we quote an upper limit for nuclear absorption cross section of $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 8.7$ mb at 2$\sigma$ confidence interval. The value for the absorption cross section is consistent with the results obtained using the nDSg parametrization and with other calculations performed at the same energy [33, 40]. The calculated $R_{dA}$, assuming only shadowing and using the EPS09 nPDFs with the CTEQ6.1M free proton PDF at next-to-leading order (NLO) [17, 19] is shown in Fig. 11 as the green solid line. The bands indicate the uncertainty from the EPS09 nPDFs. The model calculations agree with the data within the uncertainties. The EPS09 model calculations, with and without a nuclear absorption cross section of $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 8.0$ mb (within 1.84$\sigma$ of our confidence interval) are also shown in Fig. 11.

The $p_T$ dependence of the $J/\psi$ nuclear modification factor in $|y| < 1$ for 0 – 100% centrality in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV collisions is shown in Fig. 13. The gray band represents the statistical uncertainty on the measured $J/\psi$ cross section of $p+$. The normalization uncertainties from the systematic uncertainty of the $J/\psi$ $p+p$ cross section and the uncertainty of $N_{\text{coll}}$ are indicated on the vertical axis. The results are compared to PHENIX data in $|y| < 0.35$ and are in agreement within statistical and systematic uncertainties. The model calculations assuming shadowing only (EPS09) and shadowing combined with an absorption cross section $\sigma_{\text{abs}} = 8$ mb are also shown and both are consistent with the data. Note, that PHENIX results indicate suppression below $p_T$ of 2 GeV/c.
VI. SUMMARY

The production of $J/\psi$ within $|y| < 1$ for $p_T < 4$ GeV/$c$ in $p+p$ and $p_T < 3$ GeV/$c$ in $d+Au$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, measured via the di-electron decay channel in the STAR detector, have been presented. The $J/\psi$ $p_T$ spectrum in $p+p$ collisions at STAR has been extended to cover $0 < p_T < 14$ GeV/$c$ and has been used to calculate the $J/\psi$ $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ in $p+p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The results are consistent with other measurements at the same energy. The obtained $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ in $d+Au$ of $\langle p_T^2 \rangle = 3.70 \pm 0.33$ (stat.) $\pm 0.44$ (syst.) (GeV/$c$)$^2$ is consistent with the $p+p$ result of $\langle p_T^2 \rangle = 3.45 \pm 0.85$ (stat.) $\pm 1.22$ (syst.) (GeV/$c$)$^2$ within large uncertainties, suggesting no significant Cronin effect. The $\langle p_T^2 \rangle$ has also been compared to results from various experiments and exhibits an increase with increasing collision energy. The STAR data are consistent with the world data trend. The modification of $J/\psi$ production in $d+Au$ is consistent with no suppression within the measured uncertainties. The results have been compared to model calculations using the EPS09 and nDSg parametrizations of the nPDFs including a $J/\psi$ nuclear absorption cross section as a free parameter. An upper limit $\sigma_{abs} = 8.7$ mb within a $2\sigma$ confidence interval was obtained using the EPS09 calculations.
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