Virtual Monoenergetic Images from Spectral Detector CT Enable Radiation Dose Reduction in Unenhanced Cranial CT
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Our aim was to evaluate whether improved gray-white matter differentiation in cranial CT by means of 65-keV virtual monoenergetic images enables a radiation dose reduction compared to conventional images.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: One hundred forty consecutive patients undergoing 171 spectral detector CTs of the head between February and November 2017 (56 ± 19 years of age; male/female ratio, 56%/44%) were retrospectively included. The tube current–time product was reduced during the study period, resulting in 61, 55, and 55 patients being examined with 320, 290, and 260 mAs, respectively. All other scanning parameters were kept identical. The volume CT dose index was recorded. ROIs were placed in gray and white matter on conventional images and copied to identical positions in 65-keV virtual monoenergetic images. The contrast-to-noise ratio was calculated. Two radiologists blinded to the reconstruction technique evaluated image quality on a 5-point Likert-scale. Statistical assessment was performed using ANOVA and Wilcoxon test adjusted for multiple comparisons.

RESULTS: The mean volume CT dose index was 55, 49.8, and 44.7 mGy using 320, 290, and 260 mAs, respectively. Irrespective of the volume CT dose index, noise was significantly lower in 65-keV virtual monoenergetic images compared with conventional images (65-keV virtual monoenergetic images/conventional images: extraocular muscle with 49.8 mGy, 3.7 ± 1.3/5.6 ± 1.6 HU, P < .001). Noise slightly increased with a reduced radiation dose (eg, extraocular muscle in conventional images: 5.3 ± 1.4/5.6 ± 1.6/6.1 ± 2.1 HU). Overall, the contrast-to-noise ratio in 65-keV virtual monoenergetic images was superior to that in conventional images irrespective of the volume CT dose index (P < .001). Particularly, 65-keV virtual monoenergetic images with 44.7 mGy showed significantly lower noise and a higher contrast-to-noise ratio than conventional images with 55 mGy (P < .001). Subjective analysis confirmed better image quality in 65-keV virtual monoenergetic images, even using 44.7 mGy.

CONCLUSIONS: The 65-keV virtual monoenergetic images from spectral detector CT allow radiation dose reduction in cranial CT. While this proof of concept included a radiation dose reduction of 19%, our data suggest that even greater reduction appears achievable.

ABBREVIATIONS: CI = conventional images; CNR = contrast-to-noise ratio; CTDIvol = volume CT dose index; VMI = virtual monoenergetic images

Unenhanced cranial CT is the standard examination for patients with acute neurologic deficits to allow fast diagnosis of emergencies, for instance, intracranial hemorrhage or ischemia.1–4 There are approximately 70 million cranial CT scans annually in the United States alone; out of these, several scans are performed in the same patient, so that they undergo repetitive scanning.5 Despite rapid advances in the field of CT imaging such as dose modulation or iterative image reconstruction, few of these have been applied to cranial CT for 2 main reasons: First, there are only subtle differences in attenuation between gray and white matter. Yet, this is one of the most important aspects to evaluate, in particular in light of suspected ischemia. Second, the surrounding skull causes beam-hardening and therefore an increase in image noise due to beam-hardening.6–9 Hence, possibilities for dose reduction are limited, despite radiosensitive tissues such as the eye lenses being exposed.10–13

A recent development in the field of CT is dual-energy CT, which has been evolving for the past decade. Dual-energy CT is known to improve soft-tissue contrast by means of virtual monoenergetic images (VMI).14–16 These VMI further reduce artifacts...
of radiation dose. The institutional review board later approved protocols for cranial CT examinations, including a reduction in the radiation dose. To meet national requirements for radiation dose, we modified protocols for cranial CT examinations, including a reduction in the radiation dose. The institutional review board later approved protocols for cranial CT examinations, including a reduction in the radiation dose. To meet national requirements for radiation dose, we modified protocols for cranial CT examinations, including a reduction in the radiation dose.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To meet national requirements for radiation dose, we modified protocols for cranial CT examinations, including a reduction in the radiation dose. The institutional review board later approved the scientific evaluation of these data and waived informed consent due to the retrospective study design. A structured search in the radiology information system was performed with the following inclusion criteria: 1) older than 18 years of age, 2) an unenhanced spectral detector CT of the head between the February 1, 2017, and November 30, 2017, and 3) a standardized imaging protocol as described below. Exclusion criteria were the following: 1) extensive intracranial hemorrhage or edema, 2) craniectomy or hemispherectomy, and 3) artifacts due to patient movement or implants. Eventually, 140 patients with 171 CT scans were included in this study.

Acquisition Parameters

All CT scans were performed for clinical indications on the same spectral detector CT scanner (IQon Spectral CT; Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands). Sixty-one of the identified CT scans were obtained with a tube current–time product of 320 mAs, 55 with 290 mAs, and 55 with 260 mAs. All other scan parameters were kept identical: tube voltage = 120 kV (peak), pitch = 0.36, rotation time = 0.33 seconds, and collimation = 64 × 0.625. CI were reconstructed using a hybrid iterative reconstruction algorithm (iDose4, Filter UB; Philips Healthcare). VMI$_{65keV}$ were reconstructed using a dedicated spectral image-reconstruction algorithm (Spectral, Filter UB; Philips Healthcare). Denoising for both was set to a medium level (level 3 of 7). All images were reconstructed with a section thickness of 1 mm and a section increment of 1 mm.

Dose-length product and volume CT dose index (CTDItot) were recorded from the radiation dose report. We further compared the anterior-posterior and lateral dimensions of the head between groups to exclude this as a confounder.

Quantitative Analysis

Quantitative analysis was performed using ROI-based measurements of attenuation and SD in the following areas on a representative axial plane: 1) cortical gray and 2) adjacent juxtacortical white matter of the frontal and parietal lobes, 3) thalamic parenchyma, 4) adjacent posterior limb of the internal capsule, 5) caudate nucleus, 6) extracerebral muscle, and 7) medulla oblongata (Fig 1).

ROIs were placed on CI and copied to identical positions in VMI$_{65keV}$. The size of the ROIs was kept constant at 25 mm$^2$, except for the ROI in the medulla oblongata (100 mm$^2$), and was only adjusted to avoid inclusion of unrepresentative tissue. One radiologist with 2 years of experience in cranial CT interpretation performed the quantitative analysis. In a randomly chosen subgroup of 30 cranial CT scans, a second reader repeated the ROI placement to assess interrater reliability.

Image noise was considered as an SD of extraocular muscle. The contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) of the gray and white matter of the frontal and parietal lobes was calculated as the difference of the average Hounsfield unit, divided by the square root of the sum of the SD of the 2 adjacent ROIs.$^5,15$

Qualitative Analysis

Qualitative analysis was performed independently by 2 fellowship-trained neuroradiologists. Readers were blinded to
the reconstruction technique. Rating was performed on 5-point Likert scales with regard to assessment of gray-white matter differentiation in the following areas: 1) the basal ganglia, 2) the supratentorial cortex, 3) the infratentorial cortex, and 4) the subcalvarial space (1 = not diagnostic; 2 = severely impaired assessment; 3 = moderate assessment; 4 = fair assessment; 5 = good assessment, fully diagnostic). Furthermore, visually perceived image noise and beam-hardening artifacts in the subcalvarial space were evaluated (1 = excessive; 2 = severe; 3 = moderate; 4 = some; 5 = no visually perceptible noise).

Statistical Analysis
All analyses were performed using JMP Software (Version 12; SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina) unless specified below. To compare groups, we used ANOVA or Wilcoxon tests, adjusted for multiple comparisons if appropriate. A P value < .05 was considered significant. Results are shown as mean ± SD. Interrater reliability was determined by means of intraclass correlation estimates using R Studio (Version 1.1.456; http://rstudio.org/download/desktop) based on a single rater, consistency, 2-way mixed-effects model for the quantitative analysis and based on a mean of 2 raters, consistency, 2-way mixed-effects model for the qualitative analysis.27 Interrater agreement was evaluated as described earlier: excellent (intraclass correlation coefficient > 0.8), good (intraclass correlation coefficient > 0.6), moderate (intraclass correlation coefficient > 0.4), and poor agreement (intraclass correlation coefficient ≤ 0.4).28,29

RESULTS
The mean age of patients was 55.8 ± 18.6 years; of these patients, 61 (43.6%) were women and 79 (56.4%) men.

Radiation Dose
CTDI$_{vol}$ was 55, 49.8, and 44.7 mGy in examinations with 320, 290, and 260 mAs, respectively. The dose-length product was 1014.9 ± 56.9, 937.7 ± 40.2, and 837.7 ± 45.6 mGy × cm (P < .001) (Table 1). Regarding the CTDI$_{vol}$ the radiation dose was reduced by 9.5% and 18.7%. No significant differences in head size between groups were found (P ≥ .05).

Quantitative Analysis
The intraclass correlation between the 2 independent readers was 0.984 with a 95% confidence interval of 0.982–0.985, indicating an excellent interreader reliability.

Attenuation
For the same CTDI$_{vol}$, attenuation in gray matter was significantly higher in VMI$_{65keV}$ compared with CI (P ≤ .01 (Table 2). On the other hand, attenuation in white matter was slightly higher in CI compared with VMI$_{65keV}$ for 55- and 49.8-mGy protocols without reaching a significant difference (P > .05), while for 44.7 mGy, it was slightly higher in VMI$_{65keV}$ compared with CI (P > .05).

Noise
Image noise as indicated by an SD within the extraocular muscle was significantly lower in VMI$_{65keV}$ compared with CI, irrespective of the CTDI$_{vol}$ (P < .001 (Table 2 and Fig 2; eg, in 44.7-mGy protocol: 4.2 ± 1.6 versus 6.1 ± 2.1). Image noise slightly increased from 55 to 49.8 and 44.7 mGy, reaching a significant difference between 55- and 44.7-mGy protocols for the same reconstruction technique (eg, in CI: 5.3 ± 1.4 mGy versus 6.1 ± 2.1)

Table 1: Radiation dose

| Tube Current–Time Product (mAs) | 320 | 290 | 260 |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| DLP (mGy × cm)$^a$            | 104.9 ± 56.9 | 937.7 ± 40.2 | 837.7 ± 45.6 |
| Radiation dose reduction      | –7.6% | –17.5% |
| CTDI$_{vol}$ (mGy)            | 55 | 49.8 | 44.7 |
| Radiation dose reduction      | –9.5% | –18.7% |

Note:—DLP indicates dose-length product.
$^a$Results are means ± SDs.

Table 2: Quantitative results of attenuation, noise, and CNR$^a$

| Attenuation | CI | VMI$_{65keV}$ |
|-------------|----|---------------|
|             | 55 | 49.8 | 44.7 | 55 | 49.8 | 44.7 |
| GM          | 34.0 ± 1.4 | 33.4 ± 1.5 | 34.1 ± 1.7 | 34.6 ± 1.3 | 34.2 ± 1.2 | 35.1 ± 1.5 |
| WM          | 26.5 ± 1.2 | 26.1 ± 1.5 | 27.0 ± 1.6 | 26.4 ± 1.1 | 26.0 ± 1.3 | 27.1 ± 1.4 |
| Thalamus    | 33.6 ± 1.5 | 33.2 ± 1.6 | 34.4 ± 1.9 | 34.4 ± 1.4 | 33.9 ± 1.4 | 35.5 ± 1.8 |
| Posterior limb | 26.5 ± 2.2 | 26.3 ± 2.0 | 26.6 ± 2.2 | 26.1 ± 1.4 | 26.0 ± 1.7 | 26.6 ± 2.0 |
| Caudate nucleus | 34.5 ± 4.4 | 35.2 ± 2.1 | 35.4 ± 2.3 | 35.7 ± 1.5 | 35.8 ± 1.8 | 36.3 ± 2.1 |
| Extraocular muscle | 32.8 ± 5.6 | 31.1 ± 6.4 | 33.2 ± 8.1 | 31.9 ± 5.6 | 30.8 ± 6.2 | 35.4 ± 9.9 |
| Medulla oblongata | 31.5 ± 4.3 | 32.0 ± 4.5 | 34.6 ± 4.9 | 31.1 ± 3.3 | 31.0 ± 3.0 | 33.9 ± 3.7 |

| Noise | | | | | | |
| GM | 4.5 ± 0.8 | 4.6 ± 0.7 | 4.8 ± 0.8 | 3.1 ± 0.6 | 3.1 ± 0.5 | 3.2 ± 0.5 |
| WM | 4.5 ± 0.7 | 4.8 ± 0.8 | 4.9 ± 0.7 | 3.0 ± 0.5 | 3.2 ± 0.5 | 3.3 ± 0.6 |
| Thalamus | 5.8 ± 0.8 | 6.0 ± 1.0 | 6.1 ± 1.1 | 4.0 ± 0.7 | 4.2 ± 0.8 | 4.3 ± 0.9 |
| Posterior limb | 5.2 ± 1.0 | 5.5 ± 1.1 | 5.6 ± 1.3 | 3.6 ± 0.8 | 3.7 ± 0.9 | 3.9 ± 1.0 |
| Caudate nucleus | 5.4 ± 1.1 | 5.6 ± 1.2 | 5.6 ± 0.8 | 3.7 ± 0.9 | 3.8 ± 0.8 | 3.8 ± 0.6 |
| Extraocular muscle | 5.3 ± 1.4 | 5.6 ± 1.6 | 6.1 ± 2.1 | 3.6 ± 1.1 | 3.7 ± 1.3 | 4.2 ± 1.6 |
| Medulla oblongata | 6.1 ± 1.2 | 6.4 ± 1.2 | 6.7 ± 1.4 | 4.4 ± 1.0 | 4.6 ± 0.9 | 4.7 ± 0.9 |
| GM-WM CNR | 2.5 ± 0.5 | 2.4 ± 0.5 | 2.3 ± 0.5 | 3.4 ± 0.5 | 3.3 ± 0.5 | 3.2 ± 0.5 |

$^a$Results are means ± SDs.
image noise in VMI65keV with the 44.7-mGy protocol was significantly lower compared with CI and 55 mGy (4.2 ± 1.6 versus 5.3 ± 1.4 mGy, \( P < .001 \)).

**CNR**

Overall, the CNR for gray-white matter differentiation was significantly higher in VMI65keV compared with CI irrespective of CTDIvol (\( P < .001 \)) (Table 2 and Fig 3). In line with noise, the CNR slightly decreased from 55 to 49.8 and 44.7 mGy, reaching a significant difference between 55- and 44.7-mGy protocols regarding the same reconstruction technique (eg, in VMI65keV: 3.4 ± 0.5 versus 3.2 ± 0.5 mGy, \( P = .04 \)). Yet, the CNR in VMI65keV with 44.7 mGy was higher compared with CI with 55 mGy (3.2 ± 0.5 versus 2.5 ± 0.5 mGy, \( P < .001 \)).

**Qualitative Analysis**

The intraclass correlation between the 2 independent readers was 0.887, indicating an excellent interreader reliability.

VMI65keV were rated better compared with CI for all criteria (Fig 4). Irrespective of the CTDIvol, gray-white matter differentiation of the basal ganglia, supra- and infratentorial corticomedullar differentiation, subjective image noise, and beam-hardening artifacts caused by the skull received superior Likert scores in VMI65keV compared with CI (\( P < .001 \), Table 3). In the assessment of the subcalvarial space, all VMI65keV were rated as significantly better than CI (\( P < .001 \)), except for VMI65keV with 49.8 mGy compared with CI with 55 and 44.7 mGy (\( P < .05 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

This study compared the image quality of 65-keV virtual monoenergetic images with conventional images from unenhanced spectral detector CT datasets of the head acquired with different radiation doses. We were able to show that improved image quality in VMI65keV allows dose reduction in cranial CT.

Our study included a radiation dose reduction of 9.5% and 18.7% in terms of CTDIvol. In VMI65keV, we observed significantly higher attenuation in gray matter concerning the same radiation dose and no significant differences in white matter. Image noise, on the other hand, was significantly lower compared with CI, irrespective of the radiation dose. This reduction resulted in a significantly higher CNR for gray-white matter differentiation in VMI65keV. Hence, objective image-quality parameters were significantly better in VMI65keV compared with CI, irrespective of CTDIvol. Accordingly, subjective image analysis indicated superiority of VMI65keV over CI with regard to the diagnostic assessment, except for the assessment of the subcalvarial space, which was not significantly superior in all different radiation doses.

Because unenhanced cranial CT is the imaging method of choice for patients with neurologic deficits and to diagnose neurocranial traumatic lesions, there is a need for excellent image quality.\(^3,30\) At the same time, the radiation dose has to be as low as reasonably achievable because sensitive tissues are exposed.\(^10,11,13\) The observed image-quality parameters are in accordance with a recent study in which the same scanner and comparable image-acquisition parameters were used.\(^6\) Compared with a study by Pomerantz et al\(^ {15} \) using a
kilovolt-switching dual-energy CT system with a CTDI$_{vol}$ of 72.65 mGy, our CNR values are about 1.5 times higher and image noise is slightly lower. This result is likely due to advantages regarding image noise enabled by the detector-based approach.\textsuperscript{24,31} While we compared VMI$_{65keV}$ with a state-of-the-art hybrid iterative reconstruction algorithm, whether most recent model-based image reconstructions can outperform noise reduction enabled by means of VMI remains elusive.\textsuperscript{32}

So far, only a few studies have investigated dose reduction in head imaging by means of VMI compared with polychromatic CT using a kilovolt-switching dual-energy CT system. Kamiya et al\textsuperscript{13} included a radiation dose reduction of 11\% in VMI$_{65keV}$ compared with CI (CTDI$_{vol}$: 70.2 ± 0.3 mGy versus 78.9 ± 2.1 mGy), while maintaining comparable image quality. However, they reported significantly higher subjective image noise in VMI$_{65keV}$. On the contrary, besides an overall lower radiation dose in our study of 18.7\%, we yielded superior image quality quantitatively and qualitatively in VMI$_{65keV}$. In line with the study by Pomerantz et al,\textsuperscript{15} Kamiya et al\textsuperscript{13} reported a lower CNR and higher noise as opposed to the results reported in this study. While the aforementioned results were reported for the supratentorial parenchyma only, we included a detailed analysis of the posterior fossa to address the most challenging region in cranial CT. Here, we report superior image quality in VMI$_{65keV}$ compared with CI, even with a reduced radiation dose.

A more recent study by Hwang et al\textsuperscript{34} investigated a radiation dose reduction of up to 37\% compared with CI (CTDI$_{vol}$ = 28.0 ± 0.9 mGy versus 44.1 ± 1.7 mGy) using VMI with different kiloelectron volt values in their analyses. Yet, they conducted only a subjective analysis of image quality, reporting no significant difference. In their study, subjective overall image noise was optimal in VMI from 60 to 70 keV, which is in accordance with a few prior studies investigating optimal kiloelectron volt values for VMI in cranial CT.\textsuperscript{6,15}

There are several limitations to this study. First, this was a retrospective study performed at a single institution. We were only able to include a limited number of patients because the radiation dose reduction was conducted in the run of the clinical routine; therefore, no prior power analysis was conducted. Thus, no greater reduction of the radiation dose could be evaluated, though our data suggest that this is achievable. We compared the radiation dose based on the CTDI$_{vol}$ alone because there is no established method to normalize radiation dose to the size of the head (unlike the size-specific dose estimates for body CT).\textsuperscript{35,36} Although our qualitative analysis was conducted in a blinded fashion, differences between CI and VMI$_{65keV}$ are likely detectable by an experienced reader due to differences in image texture.\textsuperscript{32,37} Last, we quantitatively and qualitatively assessed image-quality parameters; however, an evaluation of diagnostic certainty and accuracy in pathologies was beyond the scope of this study.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The 65-keV virtual monoenergetic images from spectral detector CT enable a radiation dose reduction of 19\% in cranial CT, while maintaining superior image quality over conventional images...
from full-dose acquisitions. Our data further suggest that an even greater dose reduction seems achievable.
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