Unveiling microstructural origins of the balanced strength–ductility combination in eutectic high-entropy alloys at cryogenic temperatures
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ABSTRACT

Eutectic high-entropy alloys (EHEAs) feature attractive strength–ductility balance at both ambient and cryogenic temperatures. Nevertheless, microstructural origins underpinning these balanced mechanical properties remain elusive. Here the deformation mechanisms of a recently-reported Al19Co20Fe20Ni41 EHEA were comparatively investigated at 298 and 77 K, which revealed a high frequency and density of dislocation multi-slip scenario in the soft eutectic lamellae and the corresponding compatible co-deformation in the adjacent hard lamellae that collectively endowed strong hetero-deformation-induced (HDI) hardening and excellent forest-dislocation hardening. Therefore, better ductility and tensile strength, in comparison to the other widely-studied EHEA system, could be sustained even at liquid-nitrogen temperatures.

IMPACT STATEMENT

We identify a new eutectic HEA system with superior strength–ductility combination at liquid-nitrogen temperatures, and provide critical microstructural insights and mechanisms into its enhanced cryogenic properties.

1. Introduction

High-entropy alloys (HEAs), initially conceptualized by Yeh and Cantor et al., are of great interest currently in the material community due to their unique metallurgy philosophies as well as exceptional mechanical and functional attributes [1–8]. To simultaneously obtain excellent strength and ductility, Lu et al. in 2014 proposed a new approach for engineering HEAs by taking advantage of the traditional eutectic alloy concept [9]. The resulting alloy system is the well-known eutectic HEAs (EHEAs), characterized with a balanced strength–ductility combination as well as good liquidity and castability [9–11]. Moreover, it has been reported that up to now, EHEAs possess many more appealing
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features, such as low phase-boundary energy, weak notch sensitivity, high thermal stability, attractive fatigue properties and so on [12–16]. The balanced mechanical properties of EHEAs sustained at the ultra-low temperature (77 K) have attracted extensive attention, which are highly demanded and critical for cryogenic-engineering applications [10,17].

Lu et al. [10] reported in the AlCoCrFeNi2.1 EHEA that lowering tensile temperatures resulted in a continuous increase in yield strength, but the trade-off ductility did not decrease sharply, in which a decent strength–ductility combination was maintained even at 77 K. They explained that with decreasing temperature, dislocation motions become more difficult because of the reduced thermal energy for crossing Peierls-Nabarro barriers. Thus, more dislocations accumulate inside the crystal before moving out to accommodate the plastic strain, resulting in higher yield strength and less ductility. Moreover, they found that this trend is more severe in the ordered body-centered-cubic (B2) eutectic phase than in the adjacent ordered face-centred-cubic (L12) phase due to much higher temperature sensitivity of the Peierls-Nabarro force in the former.

However, the effect of low temperatures on tensile properties is investigated only in an AlCoCrFeNi2.1 EHEA currently. It is unknown whether the excellent strength–ductility balance at 77 K is also accessible to other EHEA systems. Systematic characterization and comparative analysis of deformation behaviors at both room and cryogenic temperatures have been lacking, especially for the strain-partitioning EHEAs. Furthermore, to develop high-performance EHEAs that are more resistant to low temperatures, the corresponding deformation mechanisms of these EHEAs also deserve particularly comparative investigations.

2. Materials and methods

Two EHEAs, the recently-reported Al19Co20Fe20Ni41 and the widely-studied AlCoCrFeNi2.1 (atomic percent, at%), were fabricated by arc-melting elemental ingredi-

ents with a purity > 99.95 (weight percent, wt%). To ensure uniformity, each alloy ingot (270 g in weight) was re-melted at least five times in a Ti-gettered high-purity argon atmosphere. The final composition uniformity was determined by scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with energy disperse spectroscopy (EDS), see Figure S1. Flat tensile specimens with a gauge size of 3.2 mm width, 1.2 mm thickness and 12.5 mm length were cut from two alloy ingots using electrical discharging, respectively. Tensile tests in air were conducted in an MTS testing machine with a constant strain rate of \( \sim 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{s}^{-1} \) at 77 and 298 K. Each tensile specimen was repeated three times to ensure data reproducibility. SEM and electron back-scattering diffraction (EBSD) observations were performed on CamScan Apollo 300 SEM equipped with a HKL-Technology EBSD system. Transmission-electron microscopy (TEM), scanning TEM (STEM) and high-angle annular dark-field STEM (HAADF-STEM) were operated on JEOL-2100F and JEM-F200 at 200 ∼ 300 kV. Samples for EBSD and TEM analysis were prepared by twin-jet electro-polishing, using 10% HClO4 and 90% ethanol solution under −30°C.

3. Results and discussions

Herein, we selected the recently-reported Al19Co20Fe20Ni41 EHEA as a prototype material [18]. As observed in the widely-studied AlCoCrFeNi2.1 EHEA [10,15,19,20], the backscattered electron (BSE) SEM image in Figure 1(a) reveals that the Al19Co20Fe20Ni41 EHEA has a typical as-cast eutectic lamellar microstructure. A statistically-distributed lamellar arrangement in the differently-oriented grains can be further identified by EBSD phase map and inverse pole figure (IPF) in Figure 1(b). In other words, the adjacent grains in the investigated EHEA exhibit different lamellar growth directions (Figure 1(a and b)). Moreover, the EBSD phase map (Figure 1(b)) reveals that the alternating coarse and fine lamellae in Figure 1(a) are FCC (face-centered-cubic) and BCC (body-centered-cubic) phases, respectively. These microstructural characteristics show great similarity to those of the widely-reported AlCoCrFeNi2.1 EHEA [10,15,19,20]. However, the present Al19Co20Fe20Ni41 EHEA (Figure 1(a–c)) contains a higher content of the hard low-plasticity B2 phase (\( \sim 45 \text{vol.\%} \)) than that of the AlCoCrFeNi2.1 EHEA (29 ∼ 35 vol.% ) [10,11,15,19,20].

To further understand the eutectic lamellar structure, we conducted multiple TEM analysis. A HAADF-STEM micrograph in Figure 1(c) reveals these alternating dual-phase eutectic lamellae with an average lamellae thickness of \( \sim 1.65 \mu \text{m} \), which is very close to the measured result (\( \sim 1.64 \mu \text{m} \)) by EBSD and SEM. The accompanied selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns (Figure 1(d)) suggest that the coarse (light) lamellae of \( \sim 0.92 \mu \text{m} \) and the fine (gray) one of \( \sim 0.73 \mu \text{m} \) in Figure 1(a–c) are ordered FCC (L12) and BCC (B2) phases, respectively. The ordering feature manifests itself with these weak superlattice spots, marked by the blue and red circles in two SAED images (Figure 1(d)). Further HAADF EDS maps in Figure 1(c) indicate that the B2 phase is depleted in Co and Fe but enriched in Al, and the adjacent L12 phase is only depleted in Al. Due
Figure 1. Typical eutectic lamellar microstructure of the as-cast Al19Co20Fe20Ni41 EHEA. (a) BSE-SEM image. (b) EBSD phase map (upper) and IPF (under). (c) HAADF-STEM image and EDS maps. (d) Two SAED patterns of B2 and L12 phases with superlattice diffraction spots marked by circles. (e, f) Enlarged TEM image of B2 and L12 phases and the corresponding SADP pattern. (g) HR-TEM image of B2 and L12 phases. The phase interface is marked by a white dotted line. The inset shows the corresponding FFT pattern, which helps get two lattice planes marked in each phase.

To higher Ni content in the Al19Co20Fe20Ni41 EHEA, the Ni element in the L12 and B2 two phases exhibits a relatively uniform distribution in comparison to the other three elements. This distribution trend was also observed in the AlCoCrFeNi2.1 EHEA with high Ni content [21]. Figure 1(f) shows another SAED pattern collected from both L12 and B2 phases (Figure 1(e)), revealing that there exists a classical Kurdjumov-Sachs (K-S) orientation relationship between two phases [16]. Figure 1(g) displays further high-resolution TEM (HR-TEM) image of the inter-phase interface between these two phases. The interface is rough at the atomic scale, and in particular, exhibits a semi-coherent trait (Figure S2), which support a high interface-bonding strength [16]. Recently, the same K-S relationship and interface combination were also identified by Xiong et al. in the widely-studied AlCoCrFeNi2.1 EHEA [13].

Note that although it has been well documented that in AlCoCrFeNi2.1 EHEAs, there are dense Cr-rich precipitates with a disordered BCC-phase structure in these ordered B2-matrix lamellae [10,11,22,23]. However, we did not detect precipitates in its B2 lamellae of the present EHEA (Figure 1(a and b)). It is also confirmed by HAADF-STEM, EDS, TEM and HR-TEM multiscale characterizations (Figure 1(c, e and g)) that reveal much clean dual-phase eutectic lamellae. Our previous study reported that this difference might be attributed to the removal of the Cr element in the present EHEA Al19Co20Fe20Ni41, since the Cr element features a limited solid solubility usually in the NiAl-rich B2 phase [11,22,24,25]. So spherical nanoparticles often were detected in not only AlCoCrFeNi2.1 EHEAs but also NiAl–Cr(Mo)-based alloys as well as these Al0.8CrFeNi2.2 and AlCrFeNi3 EHEAs [11,22,24,25].
On the basis of the above microstructure analysis and comparison, Table 1 outlines the similarities and differences between these two EHEAs of AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$ and Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$. Figure 2 exhibits the corresponding tensile behaviors of these two EHEA specimens, at both room and cryogenic temperatures (298 and 77 K). At room temperature, the yield and tensile strengths of these two EHEAs are almost the same, which are ~540 MPa and ~1,050 MPa, respectively. The elongation to fracture is 16 ~ 18% in these two EHEAs. Compared to the room-temperature properties, both yield and tensile strengths in two EHEA specimens are elevated slightly (~60 ± 15 MPa), which is in trade-off with elongation. Yet still, a quite good combination of strength and ductility is maintained at the cryogenic temperature of 77 K, especially in the as-investigated Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$ EHEA. In view of the mechanical response previously captured in the AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$ EHEA [10], the current property trend further substantiates EHEAs featured with the excellent resistance of the ductile-to-brittle transition at ultralow temperatures. This behavior, therefore, sustained the balance of strength and ductility observed in Figure 2.

After identifying the balanced strength–ductility combination at the ultralow temperature (77 K), it naturally follows to analyze the deformation and strengthening mechanisms in the as-cast eutectic lamellar microstructure.

First, although these two EHEAs are composed of different phase contents (the major difference; Table 1), they have comparable yield strengths (Figure 2). This abnormal trend may stem from substantial hardness differences of the same phase, as previously identified in these two EHEAs [26–28]. Through multiple nanoindentation tests, for example, Shi et al. [26] reported the L1$_2$ and B2 phases with the hardness of ~4.2 GPa and ~5.6 GPa, respectively, in the Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$, while Bhattacharjee and Muskeri et al. [27,28] showed the hardness ranges of 4.0 ~ 6.0 GPa and 7.5 ~ 12.0 GPa of the L1$_2$ and B2 phases, respectively, in the AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$. These reported empirical relationships between hardness and yield strength usually suggest that a higher hardness can represent larger yield strength in metallic materials [29]. Given their similar eutectic microstructures and comparable lamellar thicknesses (Table 1), it is expected that if these two EHEAs have the same B2-phase content, the AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$ EHEA should exhibit larger yield strength, due to its B2 eutectic phase with higher hardness in comparison to that of the Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$. However, the Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$ EHEA, in fact, contains a higher B2-phase content (~45 vol.%) than that of the AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$ EHEA (29 ~ 35 vol.%) [11,19]. Therefore, it could be deduced that the differences of phase content and hardness collectively enable the observed comparable yield strengths (Figure 2). Indeed, there are many factors contributing to this comparable property, and more factors are worthy of further study.

Second, as predicted by the Considère criterion [30], ductility is derived from the strain-hardening capability, in which high strain hardening can prevent mechanical instability (i.e. a localization of strain via necking) and thus render excellent ductility [26,31–33]. To identify the strain-hardening origin of the investigated Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$ EHEA, we conducted careful microstructure characterizations at different strain levels, using (two-beam) TEM-imaging techniques (Figure 3).

At the low tensile strain of ~3%, a bright-field TEM (BF-TEM) image (Figure 3(a)) reveals that some defect arrays operate in the L1$_2$ lamellae, whereas no visible defects appear in the B2 lamellae. This deformation behavior, as discussed above, can be reasonably ascribed to the B2 phase with far higher hardness than that of the L1$_2$ phase. This case implies that a higher critical stress is required to activate plastic deformation of the hard B2 phase [16,26]. When the tensile strain is increased to ~6%, a BF-TEM image (Figure 3(b)) suggests that these defect arrays operating in the L1$_2$ lamellae become more active and intensive, characterized with a high density of parallel dislocations along the (111) primary slip bands.

These parallel dislocations within slip bands, piled up against the phase interface, are known as geometrically necessary dislocations (GNDs) (Figure 3(b)) [20,26,31]. Concomitant with these peculiar dislocation configurations and operations, high back stress and hardening are expected in the L1$_2$ lamellae, especially around the phase interface [26]. Upon tensile deformation, this back stress

---

**Table 1.** Similarities and differences between these two AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$ (termed as Ni2.1) and Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$ (Ni41) EHEAs [9–11,13,20,21].

| Phase | Lamellar thickness (µm) | Phase content (vol%) | Hardness (GPa) | Grain size (µm) | Interface feature | Interplanar spacing (Å) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ni2.1 | L1$_2$ | ~1.15 | 65 ~ 71 | 4.0 ~ 6.0 | 43 | K-S | d$_{111}$ = 2.06 |
| | B2 | ~0.81 | 29 ~ 35 | 7.5 ~ 12.0 | | | d$_{110}$ = 2.08 |
| Ni41 | L1$_2$ | ~0.92 | ~55 | ~4.2 | 35 | K-S | d$_{111}$ = 2.06 |
| | B2 | ~0.73 | ~45 | ~5.6 | | | d$_{110}$ = 2.03 |

---
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Figure 2. Tensile response of the as-investigated Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$ EHEA (termed as Ni41) and the widely-reported AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$ EHEA (Ni2.1; Ref. 10) at 77 and 298 K. The error bars are standard deviations of the mean. The inset shows the corresponding strain-hardening curves of the as-investigated Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$ EHEA at 77 and 298 K.

can exert a forward stress on the adjacent hard B2 lamellae, activating its progressive plastic deformation [26,34]. As displayed by the dark-field TEM image in Figure 3(c), a few planar-slip dislocations gradually nucleate at the phase interface and slip towards the B2 lamellar interior at the medium strain of \( \sim 6\% \). Moreover, these above dislocation behaviors are also well facilitated by these accepted general dislocation characteristics, in which edge dislocations are usually plentiful in the L1$_2$ phase, while screw dislocations in the B2 phase [8,35–37]. These dynamic dislocation activities promote compatible co-deformation of adjacent two phases in the as-cast eutectic lamellae structure, which can effectively avert stress concentrations and the attendant phase-interface debonding [26].

This compatible co-deformation is also detected by EBSD observations, in which the kernel average misorientation (KAM) values, indicative of the degree of plastic deformation, are obviously greater than zero in both phases. Moreover, a gradient distribution of KAM values can be observed in the L1$_2$ phase around the phase interface, which well matches the profuse GND piling-ups detected by TEM in Figure 3(a and b). The average KAM values of B2 and L1$_2$ phases are \( \sim 0.42 \) and \( \sim 0.71 \), respectively, which quantitatively confirm the hetero-deformation scenario developed from strain partitioning among these soft and hard alternating phases (Figure 3(a–c)). Thus, an extra strain-hardening incident can be achieved, i.e. the well-known hetero-deformation-induced (HDI) hardening [31,34]. This HDI hardening, as revealed by Zhu et al. [34], is kinematic, directional, and associated with extensive GND pile-up, which can enhance ductility.

Meanwhile, the observed GND configuration and its HDI hardening also corroborate and rationalize the reported high HDI stress [quantified by loading-unloading-reloading (LUR) experiments prevailing in EHEAs recently 20,26,31,38]. When further increasing the tensile strain (\( \sim 12\% \)), secondary (and even multiple) slip systems are gradually activated in the L1$_2$ lamellae (as revealed by Figure 3(d) and the enlarged Figure 3(e)). Therefore, the ever-increasing forest-dislocation hardening and HDI hardening are achieved, which prevent premature plastic instability and endow excellent ductility even at the ultralow liquid-nitrogen temperature (Figure 2) [26,34].

During the cryogenic tensile deformation, the corresponding SAED patterns did not exhibit the traits of twinning and/or phase transformation in both phase of the Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$ EHEA (Figure 3(g and h)). But interestingly, the ordered spots and especially the principal spots in these SAEDs of L1$_2$ phase gradually diffuse and elongate as tensile strain increases (Figure 3(g)). The spot diffusion and elongation can be reasonably ascribed to these detected deformation incidents in Figure 3(a–d), i.e. the GND-induced strain gradient around the lamellar
Figure 3. Typical TEM images showing deformation microstructures of the as-investigated \( \text{Al}_{19}\text{Co}_{20}\text{Fe}_{20}\text{Ni}_{41} \) EHEA under different strain conditions: (a) \( \sim 3\% \), (b, c) \( \sim 6\% \) and (d, e) \( \sim 12\% \) at 77 K; (f) \( \sim 16\% \) at 298 K. \( g \) indicates the direction of the diffraction vector. All phase interfaces are marked by yellow dotted lines. (g and h) The corresponding SAEDs of \( \text{L}_{12} \) and \( \text{B}_{2} \) phase, respectively, at \( \sim 3\% \), \( \sim 6\% \) and \( \sim 12\% \). (i) EBSD phase map, KAM map, and the corresponding curve of KAM values from these locations marked by white line in the KAM map.

After close microstructure characterizations, we found that in the \( \text{Al}_{19}\text{Co}_{20}\text{Fe}_{20}\text{Ni}_{41} \) EHEA the room-temperature loading circumstance rendered a higher degree of defect activities (i.e. dislocation accumulation and interaction) than at 77 K. For example, in the post-fracture microstructure, a higher frequency and density of multi-slip scenario could be detected in the \( \text{L}_{12} \) lamellae at 298 K. This multi-slip scenario, revealed in Figure 3(f), manifests itself with nano-scale dislocation networks of planar dislocation arrays, and even sporadic dislocation cells due to dislocation cross-slips \([16,26,32]\).
Concurrently, denser dislocation operations were also detected in the B2 lamellae, characterized with much smaller average dislocation spacing ($\sim 190$ nm, as indicated by the inset of Figure 3(f)). Therefore, a higher dislocation density is potentially accompanied with stronger back-stress hardening and forest-dislocation hardening. Consequently, these trends, as quantified by the inset of Figure 2, support a longer lasting strain-hardening capacity, which produced the observed larger room-temperature tensile ductility (Figure 2).

Here the relatively lower dislocation storage at 77 K is due to the fact that the ultralow deformation temperature weakens the intensity of thermal activation [10,41], making dislocation slip more difficult (that elevates the flow stress in Figure 2). Therefore, at the liquid-nitrogen temperature deformation twinning (DT) is readily triggered to assist in plastic deformation if the investigated materials have low stacking fault energies (SFEs) [31,41]. Moreover, in the L12 phase of the current Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$ EHEA, the DT propensity should be more preferred, since its dual-phase lamellar architecture readily develops high local back stress at the hetero-phase interfaces that can help stimulate DT upon stress loading [31,34]. However, we failed to detect the anticipated DT scenario in the post-mortem AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$ EHEA (insets of Figure 4(a and b)), indicating the L12 phase of Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$ has relatively high SFE. Otherwise, an improved low-temperature ductility will be realized, as widely reported in these CoCrNi medium-entropy alloys and CoCrFeMnNi HEAs with low SFEs ($22 \sim 30$ mJ·m$^{-2}$) [41–47]. Interestingly, due to the prevailing HDI hardening, the current Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$ exhibits obviously higher cryogenic ductility and smaller ductility loss than the widely-studied AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$.

Similarly, at the liquid-nitrogen temperature, we only observed lattice dislocations (without the DT incident) in both phases of the AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$ EHEA (Figure 4(a–c)). This deformation behavior is analogous to those findings reported by Lu and Bhattacharjee et al. [10,17]. Specifically, we also identified a high density of multi-slip scenario in the L12 lamellae of the AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$ alloy (Figure 4(b)). However, differentiating from that of the current Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$, the adjacent B2 lamellae in the post-mortem AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$ only show a few dislocation debris (marked by yellow arrows in Figure 4(c)). In addition to the reduced thermal activation at 77 K, the B2 phase of the AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$ with substantial Cr-enriched precipitate strengthening (Figure 4(c)) might be more difficult to be plasticly deformed than that of the Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$ [10,11,20]. Hence, it is conceivable that the HDI hardening effect will not work as well as in the current Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$ EHEA.

From the perspective of the phase constitution, one could speculate that in the AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$ EHEA the soft L12 phase with abundant multi-slip dislocations endows some decent ductility at 77 K, while the adjacent B2 phase with far higher hardness features strong deformation resistance that equips high strength. Nevertheless, the limited plastic deformability of the B2 phase unfortunately leads to a compromised hardening capability (i.e. exhibiting lower ductility and tensile strength of the AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$ than that of the Al$_{19}$Co$_{20}$Fe$_{20}$Ni$_{41}$ in Figure 2) [16,20,26]. Moreover, the trench-like fracture morphology with great depth-shallow fluctuations (Figure S3) also suggests a poor plasticity of the B2 phase, which cannot support coordinated co-deformation of two adjacent phases and thus, triggers a premature fracture failure of the AlCoCrFeNi$_{2.1}$ EHEA [11,26,34].

These above comparative analysis and results based on deformation microstructures of two as-cast EHEAs are also confirmed by the quantitative LUR mechanical tests (detailing in Figure S4). Here, as reported in
Ref. [48] and [49], we divided the flow stress into the back stress (also termed as HDI stress, recently) associated with a long-range stress on mobile dislocations and the effective stress required locally for the dislocation movement. In the early stage of deformation (<8%), the extensive GND pile-up in the L12 lamellae produce very high back stress, about twice the effective stress. With increasing tensile strains, the dislocation multislips and the plastic deformation in L12 and B2 phases, respectively, effectively elevate the effective stress in the Al19Co20Fe20Ni41 EHEA. But the back stress or HDI stress in both as-cast EHEAs still dominates the overall flow stress, and the resulting extra HDI hardening, concomitant with appreciable forest-dislocation hardening, contributes to excellent strain-hardening capability.

4. Conclusions

The present study demonstrates that a balanced (and even better) strength–ductility balance at 77 K is accessible to the other EHEA systems. Through multiscale microstructure analysis, we explain the origin for the first time that these two Al19Co20Fe20Ni41 and AlCoCrFeNi2.1 alloys have different phase contents but exhibit comparable mechanical properties at room temperature, and of special interest, corroborate the existence of plentiful GND pile-up around the phase interfaces that rationalizes the reported high HDI stress prevailing in EHEAs as well as the HDI property enhancement at cryogenic temperature. Specifically, in the Al19Co20Fe20Ni41 EHEA, these dynamic hetero-deformation scenarios developed from strain partitioning among soft and hard eutectic phases enable an extra HDI hardening incident, which, together with forest-dislocation hardening, promotes the simultaneous strength–ductility enhancement at 77 K. In the AlCoCrFeNi2.1 counterpart, the poor plastic deformability of B2 phase serves as the ‘short slab’ that results in the observed inferior low-temperature properties. Over recent years, some unusual dislocation behaviors have been identified in HEAs with highly concentrated solid solutions, due to local concentration fluctuations or chemical short-range order (SRO), and even the deformation-induced transformation from long-range order to SRO at the atomic scale [42,50,51]. In a follow-up project, we will try to investigate the multiple interactions between lattice dislocations and element distribution, and advance our fundamental understanding of the intrinsic deformation behaviors of advanced EHEAs at low homologous temperatures.
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