Closure and augmentation of bladder exstrophy using rectus abdominis musculo-peritoneal flap
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ABSTRACT

Background: The aim of this study was to investigate the efficacy of the rectus abdominis myo-peritoneal flap (RAMP) technique for the closure and augmentation of small, non-elastic, non-compliant bladder exstrophies. Materials and Methods: The RAMP technique was used in three boys with bladder exstrophy who presented late with small, non-elastic, non-compliant bladder. The clinical outcome, imaging, cystoscopy, biochemical and microbiological studies were assessed during a follow-up of 36 months. Results: Bladder closure and augmentation was achieved in all patients without any complications. There were no urinary tract infections, metabolic problems or electrolyte disturbances and the kidney function remained normal in all patients. Radiography confirmed intact function and anatomy of the urinary tract and cystoscopy showed complete coverage of the inner peritoneal layer of RAMP with uroepithelium. No stone formation or mucous production was detected. Conclusions: The RAMP technique is a good alternative for closing bladder exstrophies and achieves an increase in bladder capacity and compliance. The technique is indicated in the closure of large bladder defects, bladder exstrophies with small, inelastic, non-compliant bladder remnants and failed primary closures.
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INTRODUCTION

Bladder exstrophy is a rare malformation, with the incidence of 1 in every 30,000–40,000 births. It occurs when the abdominal wall and underlying structures, including the ventral wall of the bladder, fail to fuse in utero. As a result, the lower urinary tract is exposed, and the everted bladder appears through the abdominal opening. Various surgical interventions have been employed with variable success in the hope of achieving complete dryness, control over delivery of urine, freedom from catheters, and a protected upper urinary tract. The most popular surgical approach is the primary bladder closure with secondary bladder neck reconstruction. But this approach is not suitable for the delayed presentation and non-elastic, non-compliant squamous epithelial metaplasia and polypoid transformation of bladder. The use of isolated intestinal segments is the most common method of augmenting bladder capacity. Incorporation of bowel segments in the genitourinary tract is associated with several metabolic consequences and late surgical complications. The gastrointestinal tract is a relatively poor substitute...
for urothelium and its semipermeability permits non-
physiological fluid and electrolyte abnormalities.\textsuperscript{3}

The ability to perform a successful augmentation
cystoplasty using non-intestinal tissue would decrease the
morbidity and postoperative complications. We studied
the use of skeletal muscle based parietal peritoneal in
augmentation cystoplasty. The results of a new technique
of bladder closure and augmentation in children born
with bladder extrophy are reported.

\section*{MATERIALS AND METHODS}

Bladder closure and augmentation was performed in
three children born with bladder extrophy, using the
rectus abdominis myo-peritoneal flap (RAMP) flap.
Before commencement of the study, institutional ethical
committee approval was taken. Age of the patients
ranged from 6 to 14 years, with a mean age at operation
of 8 years. All patients had small, inelastic bladder
with polypoidal transformation of mucosa [Figure 1].
The surface area of bladder ranged from 10 to 24 cm\textsuperscript{2}.
None of them had undergone any previous attempt to
close the bladder. After preoperative preparation, the
procedure was started with incision at the junction of
bladder mucosa and abdominal skin, and mobilisation
of bladder plate was achieved. Both the ureteric
orifices were catheterised using 6 number feeding
tubes. A left rectus abdominis island flap containing
rectus muscle, posterior rectus sheath and peritoneum
was prepared based on inferior epigastric artery
[Figure 2]. The size of flap varied from $8 \times 5$ to $12 \times 8$ cm
depending upon the size of the existing bladder plate.
This flap was rotated to cover the bladder defect and
peritoneum was sutured with the edges of bladder defect
[Figure 3]. The fascia and rectus muscle was sutured with
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\caption{Preoperative photo showing non-elastic, squamous epithelial
metaplasia and polypoid transformation of bladder}
\end{figure}
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\caption{RAMP flap based on left inferior epigastric artery raised}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Bladder closure completed using LT RAMP flap}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Abdominal closure completed}
\end{figure}
sero-muscular layer of bladder. Bladder was drained using a 10 number Foleys catheter per urethral. A suprapubic catheter was also kept. Peritoneum was left open and anterior rectus sheath and abdomen was closed with 3-0 nylon [Figure 4]. No iliac osteotomy was performed in any of the cases. Epispadias was not repaired, but bladder neck tightening was performed in all cases using the remains of muscle fibres around the bladder neck. Postoperatively, urethral, ureteric and suprapubic intravesical catheters were removed on 7th, 10th and 14th day. Urine analysis was done and serum creatinine and electrolyte levels were measured on 7th day. Intravenous pyelography (IVP) and micturating cysto-urethrography (MCU) and bladder volume measurement by ultrasound was done at 6 weeks and cystoscopy was performed at 2 months postoperatively.

RESULTS

Abdominal wall and bladder closure was achieved in all patients without any complications like wound dehiscence or hernia. Postoperatively, there were no urinary tract infections or electrolyte disturbances and the kidney function remained normal in all patients. IVP confirmed intact function and anatomy of the upper urinary tract and normal shape of the bladder. MCU showed grade II reflux with mild dilatation of upper tract in one patient. Ultrasound at 6 weeks showed adequate bladder capacity ranging from 120 to 230 ml [Table 1]. Cystoscopy, performed 2 months postoperatively, revealed no mucous production, no stone formation and the inner peritoneal layer completely replaced by transitional bladder epithelium. These patients were followed up for an average 3 years and their voiding pattern was determined by direct observation and parental information. None of the patients required intermittent catheterisation, and these patients remained dry for 4 hours but occasionally wetted at night. According to the Gearhart continence scale,[8] all the three patients were in group 3 (dry for more than 3 hours during day and occasionally wet at night).

**DISCUSSION**

Augmenting the bladder is a widely used method in children with extrophy. It is an invaluable tool for protection of upper urinary tract and achievement of urinary continence. However, it remains a major surgical undertaking with significant morbidity. Vesical augmentation may be accomplished by using small bowel, large bowel or stomach, and by detrusor myotomy technique.[9] The use of intestinal segments is associated with variable mucous production, electrolyte absorption and the risk of malignant transformation.[6] Bladder myomectomy is resection of a part of bladder muscle with preservation of bladder mucosa to achieve bladder expansion, called auto-augmentation.[7] The resultant bladder diverticulum might give a significant improvement in compliance and capacity. But it may be associated with complications like perforation, rupture, fibrosis and adhesion, leading to restriction in capacity of bladder. Another technique which eliminates some of these complications of auto-augmentation involves protecting the bladder mucosa with rectus muscle.[8] Bladder augmentation using ureteral patch can be used only in cases of associated ureteral dilatation, and in most cases, it is inadequate.[9]

Bladder augmentation using musculo-epithelial flap was tried in many experimental studies. All these studies indicate that the RAMP technique is a suitable alternative for the management of large bladder defects and bladder extrophies.[10,11]

Pien et al. compared the histological differences between a rectus abdominis muscle flap and a musculo-peritoneal flap, used as a urinary bladder wall substitute in 24 Wistar albino rats. They concluded that urothelium or peritoneum lining is not needed to protect a muscle flap against contact with urine. Histologically, the presence of peritoneum in the early postoperative period had a positive effect on the quality of neo-urothelium.[12]

Wu Chih-Wei et al. managed post-traumatic defect of urinary bladder using the pedicled vastus lateralis myocutaneous flap. They concluded that it as an ideal option for post-traumatic bladder reconstruction and soft tissue coverage of the lower abdomen or perineum.[13]

In this study, we have used the inferiorly based island RAMP for bladder augmentation. The idea is to reduce

| S no | Age (years) | Sex | Surface area of exstrophic bladder (cm²) | Size of RAMP flap (cm) | Volume of neobladder (ml) at 1 year |
|------|-------------|-----|----------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1    | 6           | Male| 10                                     | 8 × 5                  | 120                              |
| 2    | 8           | Male| 16                                     | 10 × 6                 | 150                              |
| 3    | 14          | Male| 24                                     | 12 × 8                 | 230                              |
the complications after augmentation technique. The advantages of this technique include no major operation on GI tract, no electrolyte abnormalities, urosepsis, or excessive mucous production and reduced chances of bladder diverticulum formation and no risk of malignancy.\[14\] Augmented bladder contains well-vascularised, elastic and contractile tissue which is capable of further expansion. Additionally, secondary surgical procedures like ureteric reimplantation for reflux are possible through the flap without compromising its blood supply. For major augmentation procedure, bilateral flaps can be used. This technique is easy to perform, and rapid with a low complication rate. Tension-free bladder closure is achieved without osteotomy. Peritoneum of flap serves as a template for urothelial regeneration which was observed on cystoscopy. RAMP technique can also be used for closure of large bladder defects, failed primary closures and in cases of major bladder excision due to malignant tumours.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This pilot study highlights that the RAMP technique is a good alternative for closure of bladder extrophies with small, inelastic, non-compliant bladder remnants. This is a very simple, reproducible technique which gives acceptable bladder capacity. More clinical studies with more patients are required to establish this technique for bladder extrophy.
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