Tourniquets used in peripheral venipuncture as a potential vehicle for transmission of microorganisms: scoping review
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Abstract

Introduction: The tourniquet used in venipuncture appears as a potential vehicle for the transmission of microorganisms that interferes with safety and the quality of clinical services.

Objective: Mapping the scientific evidence on the microbiological contamination of the tourniquets used in peripheral venipuncture.

Methodology: Scoping review following the Joanna Briggs Institute methodology.

Results: 20 studies have been included, in which of 1477 tourniquets were analyzed. The rates of microbiological contamination varied between 10-100% and 19 studies reported the presence of S. aureus, 11 of them detected methicillin-resistant strains with prevalence between 3.3-58.3%.

Conclusion: The contamination rate in the majority of studies was ≥70%, including 4 studies which had sampled ≥100 tourniquets. The evidence of our study is that the tourniquets are reservoirs of potential pathogens and can be transmitted to patient on staff hands. We recommend studies that confirm the reusable tourniquets can be responsible to healthcare associated infections.
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Torniquetes utilizados en la venopunción periférica como potencial vehículo de transmisión de microorganismos: revisión de alcance

Resumen

Introducción: El torniquete utilizado en la venopunción aparece como potencial vehículo para transmisión de microorganismos que entorpece la seguridad y calidad de los servicios clínicos.

Objetivo: Mapear pruebas científicas sobre contaminación microbiológica de los torniquetes utilizados en la venopunción periférica.

Metodología: Revisión de acuerdo con la metodología del Instituto Joanna Briggs.

Resultados: Se han incluido 20 estudios, en los que se analizó un total de 1477 torniquetes. Las tasas de contaminación microbiológica variaron entre 10-100% y 19 estudios informaron la presencia de S. aureus, 11 detectaron cepas resistentes a meticilina con prevalencia entre 3.3-58.3%.

Conclusión: La tasa de contaminación en mayoría de los estudios fue ≥70%, 4 estudios que habían muestreado ≥100 torniquetes. Nuestro estudio evidencia que los torniquetes son reservorios de patógenos y pueden transmitirse al paciente en manos del personal. Recomendamos estudios que confirmen que los torniquetes pueden ser responsables de las infecciones asociadas a la atención médica.

Palabras clave: Torniquete, Venopunción, Infecciones asociadas a la asistencia sanitaria.

1. Nursing School of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal
2. Health Sciences Research Unit: Nursing, Nursing School of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal
3. Coimbra Hospital and Universitary Centre, E.P.E., Coimbra, Portugal
4. College of Health Technology of Coimbra, Polytechnic Institute of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal
5. University of Coimbra - Molecular Physical-Chemistry, Coimbra Portugal

* Autor para correspondencia.
Correo electrónico: vaniasoliveira19@gmail.com
Avenida Bissaya Barreto, Apartado 7001, 3046-851, Coimbra, Portugal. Telephone: +351 239 802 850 / 239 487 200; Fax: +351 239 442648

Recibido: 11/01/2019; Aceptado: 13/12/2019

Cómo citar este artículo: A. Salgueiro-Oliveira, et al. Tourniquets used in peripheral venipuncture as a potential vehicle for transmission of microorganisms: scoping review. Infectio 2020; 24(2):94-99
http://dx.doi.org/10.22354/in.v24i2.839
Introduction

Healthcare-associated infections and antimicrobial resistance have increased in recent years to become a major worldwide healthcare issue, leading to high morbidity and mortality rates, as well as increased costs related to the treatment of infected patients.

Healthcare-associated pathogens often contaminate both porous and non-porous inanimate surfaces of medical equipment, which act as potential reservoirs for these infectious agents. For this reason, there is a risk of indirect transmission via these contaminated medical devices, which are reused on several patients and between procedures, or health professionals’ hands.

Improving quality in healthcare involves enhancing the quality and safety of the medical devices used by health professionals in different complex procedures. Contamination of medical devices has been identified in outbreaks and cross-transmission of pathogens among hospitalized patients in different clinical settings. Contamination occurs either by transfer of microorganisms contaminating health workers’ hands or direct patient shedding of microorganisms into the equipment used during care delivery.

Multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria have been reported as contaminating commonly used medical devices. It has been reported that both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria are able to survive up to months on dry inanimate surfaces, with longer persistence under humid and lower-temperature conditions. Factors that may affect the transfer of microorganisms from one surface to another and cross-contamination rates are type of microorganisms, source and destination surfaces, humidity level, and size of inoculum.

Therefore, medical device contamination is a major public health concern as the reusable medical devices are being extensively used for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes. Several studies have shown that portable medical devices are associated with high contamination rates, often linked with bacterial cultures that are MDR to conventional antibiotic therapy.

This challenge acquires a new dimension in the case of tourniquets used to perform invasive procedures involving peripheral venous puncture since these devices are applied proximal to the desired puncture site. Consequently, tourniquets used in peripheral venous puncture can be a potential source of microbial contamination. The noncompliance with the guidelines for managing medical devices can pose a risk for the dissemination of microorganisms.

For this purpose, it is recommended that tourniquets be manufactured using a material with a low risk for microbial contamination. Most recent guidelines recommend the use of single-patient tourniquets.

After an extensive review of the literature, no studies were found that synthesize information relative to the contamination of tourniquets used during procedures involving peripheral venous puncture.

Scoping review method

A scoping review was conducted based on the methodology proposed by the Joanna Briggs Institute for Scoping Reviews. This review intends to answer the following question: What is the most common microbiological contamination found in tourniquets used by health professionals during peripheral venipuncture (contamination rate, microorganisms found and resistance profile)? The search strategy was limited to MEDLINE (via PubMed) and CINAHL complete (via EBSCO) databases. Studies written in English, Spanish, French, and Portuguese were considered for inclusion in this review, until the year 2017. The keywords as search query used in were “Tourniquet” AND “Microbial contamination” OR “Bacterial colonization” OR “Microorganisms” OR “Infection” OR “Pathogens” OR “Fomites”. The study hits from the search strategy were reviewed for inclusion and exclusion criteria. Studies were considered eligible for inclusion if it was possible to evaluate the microbiological contamination of the tourniquets. Data extraction was guided by checklist assessing clarity of aims and research questions. The most relevant information was extracted from each eligible study.

Results

A total of 1,587 studies were identified, of which 530 were duplicates. The remaining 1,057 studies were analyzed by title/abstract, and 36 were included for full-text analysis. Of these, only 20 were included in this review. Ten studies were excluded due to lack of microbiological data and six due to lack of access to the full-text version and author’s response (Figure 1). Of the studies included, eight were conducted in the United Kingdom, two in Brazil, and two in the United States of America. Additionally, this review included studies conducted in Germany, Australia, South Korea, Pakistan, Portugal, Nigeria, New Zealand and Turkey. The included studies were published between 1986 and 2017. A total of 1,477 reusable tourniquets were analyzed, ranging from 10 to 241 tourniquets analyzed per study and only 6 studies that had samples sizes ≥100. The microbial contamination rate varied from 9% to 100%. Relevant data such as the number of sampled tourniquets, the number of contaminated tourniquets, the main isolated bacteria per number of tourniquets and significant antibiotic resistance profiles are shown below (Table 1). The Staphylococcus genus was the most prevalent bacterial genus in the tourniquets contamination, the coagulase-negative staphylococci had high representativeness in all studies. The Staphylococcus aureus was found in nineteen studies, demonstrated to be present, as contaminating agent, in more than 250 tourniquets, its prevalence, in these studies varied between 1-80%. Eleven studies showed the presence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, varying the rate of contamination between 3.3-58.3%.
In five studies, Enterococcus spp. was another microbial agent associated with tourniquets contamination, more than 40 tourniquets. Although only one study reported vancomycin-resistant Enterococci and it was found in 19 of contaminated tourniquets

In four studies, the genus Bacillus were found, responsible for 112 contaminated tourniquets. Gram-negative bacteria were found in five studies, with 49 contaminated tourniquets. Bacteria of the family Enterobacteriaceae namely Escherichia coli, Klebsiella species and Proteus species. Only one study reported contamination by Enterobacter cloacae resistant to extended-spectrum β-lactamases and metallo β-lactamases.

Fungi were another important microbial group found in this review. In three studies, only one of the studies identified the type of fungi, confirming the presence of Rodotorula mucilaginosa, Candida albicans and Candida parapsilosis.

Discussion

The main objective of this scoping review was to analyze and map studies examining the microbiological contamination of tourniquets used in peripheral venipuncture in order to understand the biological contamination in tourniquets and identify the most common microorganisms and their antibiotic susceptibility profile. Suspecting that the reusable tourniquets maybe important vehicles in the transmission of potential pathogens and since their site of use is close to the puncture site, many of the microorganisms present in there can be responsible for some bloodstream infections. Therefore we focused our microbiological analysis in the most relevant bacteria in this field.

Staphylococcus coagulase negative and S. aureus showed to be the most important pathogens contaminating tourniquets and other studies also emphasized its higher ability in survive for long periods of time in tourniquets, specially methicillin resistant species. We also observed a significant number of tourniquets contaminated with this type of the strains in several studies. Actually we know that the infections caused by this type of methicillin-resistant microorganisms are a serious public health issue due to the few therapeutic options available and their difficult eradication from hospital settings. This resistance is mediated by gene mecA, which is carried by the mobile genetic element staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec). Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus produces an alternative penicillin-binding protein (PBP2A), which has low affinity for β-lactam antibiotics, resulting in oxacillin resistance. This resistance mechanism has been widely studied and is associated with several species of Staphylococcus both coagulase-negative and coagulase-positive.

Other relevant species found to contaminate tourniquets was Enterococcus species. Besides of they are also able to survive in worst environmental conditions, this genus has increased considerably their antibiotic resistance making it difficult the treatment of enterococcal infections. The vancomycin-resistance is one of the most recently acquired resistances reported in this genus. Glycopeptides, such as vancomycin, inhibit cell wall synthesis through their high affinity to the terminal d-alanyl-d-alanine group of peptidoglycan precursors. Until now, eight phenotypes of vancomycin-resistance have been identified, with the most common ones being vanA, vanB, and vanC. The manifestation of these phenotypes leads to changes in the peptidoglycan precursor, where the original D-alanyl-D-alanine terminus is replaced by D-alanyl-D-lactate or D-alanyl-D-serine, to which vancomycin has low binding affinity. Only Pinto et al. in 2011 described the presence of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus in tourniquets, however the other studies that detect this specie didn’t test the susceptibility profile of the strains.

The Gram-negative bacteria namely Enterobacteriaceae was another group found in some studies as responsible to the contamination of tourniquets. The production of β-lactamases is the most common mechanism of resistance studied in these family. These enzymes are responsible for inactivating β-lactam antibiotics through the hydrolysis of their β-lactam ring. At present, the most worrying groups are the extended-spectrum β-lactamases and carbapenemases. The most common genes encoding extended-spec-
# Table 1. Characterization of the include studies relatively to the microbial contamination in tourniquets, isolated species and significant antibiotic susceptibility profile

| Authors            | Year | Country                  | Sampled Tourniquets (n) | Contaminated Tourniquets (n/%) | Isolated Bacteria/Sampled Tourniquets (n/%) | Antibiotic susceptibility profile |
|--------------------|------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Elhassan & Dixon   | 2012 | United Kingdom           | 50                      | 50/100                        | Skin Flora a, S. aureus, Bacillus           | Methicillin/12a                  |
| Fellowes et al.    | 2006 | United Kingdom           | 52                      | 33/63.5                       | S. aureus                                  | Methicillin/6a                   |
| Franklin et al.    | 2007 | United Kingdom           | 50                      | 5/10                          | Skin Flora a, S. aureus, E. coli            | ND                              |
| Golder et al.      | 2000 | United Kingdom           | 50                      | 50/100                        | Skin Flora a, S. aureus, E. coli, Enterococcus faecalis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia | ND                              |
| Kane et al.        | 2011 | United Kingdom           | 10                      | 10/100                        | Skin Flora a, S. aureus, Faecal organisms   | Methicillin/3a                   |
| Leitch et al.      | 2006 | United Kingdom           | 241                     | 171/71                        | CNS, S. aureus                              | Methicillin/17.9a                |
| Ormerod et al.     | 2006 | United Kingdom           | 30                      | 29/96.7                       | Skin Flora a, S. aureus                    | Methicillin/3.3a                 |
| Rourke et al.      | 2001 | United Kingdom           | 200                     | 200/100                       | CNS and Micrococcus, S. aureus              | ND                              |
| Batista et al.     | 2015 | Brazil                   | 18                      | 13/72.2                       | CNS, Yeasts (Rodotorula mucilaginosa, Candida albicans, Candida parapsilosis), S. aureus | Methicillin/14a, Vancomycin* Extended spectrum β-lactamases | |
| Júnior et al.      | 2013 | Brazil                   | 15                      | 15/100                        | S. aureus                                  | Methicillin/50a                  |
| Berman et al.      | 1986 | United States of America | 24                      | 12/50                         | S. aureus                                  | Methicillin/50a                  |
| Donna et al.       | 2010 | United States of America | 200                     | 18/9                          | Acinetobacter baumannii, S. aureus         | Methicillin/50a                  |
| Schulz-Stübner & Henker | 2016 | Germany                  | 21                      | 20/95.2                       | Bacillus spp, CNS, Micrococcus spp, Mold, Nonfermenter, Pantoea agglomerans, Paracoccus yeei, Protein mirabilis | Methicillin/14a, Vancomycin* Extended spectrum β-lactamases | |
| Pinto et al.       | 2011 | Australia                | 100                     | 78/78                        | Bacillus spp, Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonas spp, S. aureus, CNS | Methicillin/14a, Vancomycin* Extended spectrum β-lactamases | |
| Kim et al.         | 2014 | South Korea              | 30                      | 30/100                        | S. aureus a, Enterococcus spp. b           | Methicillin/14a, Vancomycin* Extended spectrum β-lactamases | |
| Mehmoed et al.     | 2014 | Pakistan                 | 100                     | 51/51                         | S. aureus, Bacillus spp, Klebsiella spp, E. coli, Fungi, S. epidermidis | Methicillin/17a                  |
| Costa              | 2017 | Portugal                 | 34                      | 24/70.6                       | S. aureus, CNS, Enterococcus spp.          | Methicillin/17a                  |
| Ogba et al.        | 2016 | Nigeria                  | 100                     | 85/85                        | CNS, S. aureus, Bacillus spp, Proteus spp, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella spp, E. coli | Methicillin/17a                  |
| Schauer & Hammer   | 2015 | New Zealand              | 80                      | 74/92.5                       | Skin Flora a, S. aureus                    | Methicillin/5a                   |
| Sacar et al.       | 2006 | Turkey                   | 72                      | 61/84.7                       | S. aureus, Gram Negative Bacillus          | Methicillin/29.2a                |

CNS – Coagulase-negative staphylococci; NA – Not available; ND – No detected methicillin-resistant strains; aSkin Flora (several species in human skin); bSpecies quantified together per number of sampled tourniquets; cSusceptibility profile tested in Enterococcus spp; dPrevalence of Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus; eSusceptibility profile tested in Enterococcus spp; fSusceptibility profile tested in Enterobacteriaceae.
Fungal healthcare-associated infections have become a major challenge in clinical settings worldwide, with high morbidity and mortality rates\textsuperscript{55–57}. The genus Candida is the leading cause of fungal infections in hospital environments, with values close to 80\%\textsuperscript{55,56}. Although Candida albicans is the most frequently isolated species in patients with invasive fungal infections, over the last decade, the epidemiology of this fungal genus has been changing, with an increase in the prevalence of the non-albicans species. This fact may be associated with the overuse of antibiotics and antifungals and increase of antibiotic resistance caused by bacterial adaptation, which complicate the treatment of this type of infection\textsuperscript{55–57}. Three included studies in these review reported contamination of tourniquets, with fungi and yeast, specifically in Batista et al. 2015 that identified C. albicans and C. parapsilosis\textsuperscript{23,32,35}.

Thus, these results show that reusable tourniquets used during clinical procedures are reservoirs of pathogens and they are moved from arm to arm and should be changed or disinfected regularly in order to ensure the safety and quality of healthcare. They showed to be potential fomites, proving with intervention studies, their possible contribution to healthcare-associated infections, specifically the bloodstream infections, disposable tourniquets may be more recommended.

Conclusion

This review intended to map studies that focus on the microbiological contamination of tourniquets used during peripheral venous puncture.

In the included studies, the contamination rate ranged from 9\% to 100\%, with 15 studies reporting rates equal or higher than 70\%. The microorganisms responsible for these high contamination rates belong to different species, with the most prevalent being the Staphylococcus genus, followed by the Bacillus, Enterobacteriaceae family and Enterococcus species.

Nineteen studies identified Staphylococcus aureus as a common contaminant of tourniquets with more than 250 contaminated. The presence of resistance to methicillin was often associated with this species, in eleven studies the prevalence varied between 3.3–58.3%.

Patient safety can be at risk due to the high contamination rates found in tourniquets and their use in invasive procedures, such as peripheral venipuncture. For this reason, we suggest the disinfection procedure regularly in these medical devices or to adopt the disposable tourniquets. However, it will important provide evidences that the strains found in tourniquets are the same present in the catheter tips involved in bloodstream infections of the patient.
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