C-reactive protein of cerebrospinal fluid, as a sensitive approach for diagnosis of neonatal meningitis
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Abstract

Background: Meningitis is a potentially life-threatening condition that can rapidly progress to permanent brain damage, neurologic problems, and even death. Bacteria and viruses cause the great majority of meningitis disease in infants and children. CRP is used mainly as a marker of inflammation.

Objective: This study was conducted to assess the diagnostic value of CSF-CRP levels for differentiating between septic (bacterial) and aseptic infantile meningitis.

Methods: 49 hospitalized infants aged less than two months with suspected meningitis were enrolled in a cross-sectional analytic study. All of the patients underwent lumbar puncture to obtain CSF smears, cultures, cytological and biochemical analysis and latex agglutination testing were carried out on all CSF samples. Latex agglutination test was carried out on all CSF samples using a commercially available kit. CSF-CRP level of all infants was measured using the immunoturbidometric technique.

Results: Of 49 infants in this study, 20 and 29 cases were diagnosed as septic and aseptic meningitis, respectively. The CRP levels were obtained as 0.95±0.68 mg/L in septic and 0.16±0.36 mg/L in aseptic meningitis groups and this difference was statistically significant (p<0.001) between the two groups (0.79±0.32 mg/L). Based on the ROC curve, cut off levels for CRP was obtained 0.17 mg/L. At this level, there was 95% sensitivity and 86% specificity to differentiate septic and aseptic meningitis.

Conclusion: CSF-CRP has suitable diagnostic value in distinguishing between infantile bacterial from aseptic meningitis especially in cases of negative bacterial culture of the blood and spinal fluid.
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Introduction

Meningitis is one of the dangerous infections associated with significant morbidity and mortality (1-8%) throughout the world. The exact incidence of meningitis in children and infants is unknown, however diagnosis of meningitis should be considered as a major differential criteria in newborn with high fever and altered level of consciousness. Although viral infection has been reported more than other microbial meningitis, the infection caused by bacteria is a more significant problem in most of the countries in the world, especially in developing countries.

Antimicrobial therapy has great impacts on neurologic complications and survival rate; so early diagnosis of bacterial meningitis from viral meningitis or meningoencephalitis is important for appropriate treatment. Prevention of anti-microbial drug resistance and achieving good outcomes.
There are some problems in diagnosis of bacterial and viral meningitis using common laboratory tests, especially in children such as low sensitivity, time consuming laboratory procedures and changes in glucose and protein levels and also cell count of cerebrospinal fluid due to inappropriate and inadequate antibiotic therapy. Latex agglutination is a rapid and sensitive approach for diagnosis of meningitis, but not available for routine use in developing countries. Inflammatory marker measurement, blood cell count and blood culture were considered the gold standard methods for diagnosis of bacterial meningitis. There are several biochemical indicators in CSF that useful to diagnosis of bacterial infection as lactate, lactate dehydrogenases, c-reactive protein, ferritin, and cytokines. CSF lactate is a potential marker for diagnosis of bacterial meningitis but less useful if it has a low concentration. In general we need an easy and comprehensive test for the early diagnosis of bacterial meningitis.

For several years, serum CRP has been used for differentiation between bacterial and viral infections in developed countries, recently, it has been suggested that measurement of CRP in CSF is reliable, sensitive and easy test for rapid diagnosis of meningitis, but it is not an alternative of examination of CSF biochemistry, cytology and culture. In a study by Bansal to estimate the level of CSF-CRP quantitatively in 121 children with meningitis via immunno-turbidimetry method, was concluded that CSF-CRP is a useful test to differentiate pyogenic meningitis from tubercular meningitis, viral meningoencephalitis and other non-meningitis CNS disorders. Also detection of CSF-CRP helps in the choice of appropriate antibiotic and the duration of therapy.

A study by Kalpana showed that CSF CRP screening yielded results with a higher specificity than blood CRP; hence, it can be a supportive test along with CSF cytology, biochemistry, and microbiology for diagnosing meningitis.

In study by Bengershom on children with suspected meningitis, CSF-CRP level cut off was obtained as 0.4 mg/L and the sensitivity and specificity values were 94% and 100%, respectively.

Corrall shown that CSF-CRP was positive in all children with bacterial meningitis, 100% sensitivity and 94% specificity was observed in comparison to control group.

Several CRP detection methods are now available for measuring CRP values. The routine method for serum and CSF-CRP is qualitative or semi quantitative agglutination, and this method was used in current research. The aim of this study was assessment of CSF-CRP in the infants with meningitis and evaluation of diagnostic value of this marker for distinguishing septic (bacterial) and aseptic infantile meningitis.

Materials and methods
This cross-sectional study was conducted in pediatric infectious diseases center of two teaching hospitals in Tehran from February through July 2015. The sample size obtained based on previous similar research. A non-probability sampling of hospitalized infants was done and sampling continued until the completion of the required number. Thus 49 infants under two-months of age, with suspected meningitis, were enrolled in the research. These did not have any history of neuroses disorder or any contraindications for aspiration of CSF, and absence any antibiotic therapy in the last week through a questionnaire provided by their parents. The suspected diagnosis of meningitis was introduced on clinical and laboratory findings. In other words, a lumbar puncture has been done on the infants during the sampling and suspected cases of meningitis received a fully assessment of CSF cytology, glucose and protein levels analysis. In addition, CRP concentration and total and differential WBC were measured. Gram staining of CSF, culture and latex agglutination testing were performed for diagnosis of bacterial meningitis. Septic meningitis was defined by a CSF leukocyte count of 100-10000/m3 with polymorphonuclear neutrophils of >50%, a CSF glucose level <2.3 blood sugar level and a CSF protein level of 100-500 mg/dl.

Latex agglutination test was carried out on all CSF samples using a commercially available kit to differentiate septic and aseptic meningitis. A drop of CSF and a drop of latex reagent were mixed on a clean tile and the mixture was microscopically observed for agglutination. Aggregating of latex particles within two minutes was considered as positive. The CSF-CRP level was measured using quantitative turbidometric method. Finally, all of data were entered into the data collection form and Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 19 software.
The frequencies of age, sex, WBC count, glucose, protein and CRP level were calculated via descriptive statistics. The different of CRP level between Septic and aseptic meningitis were determined through the Wilcoxon test. The receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used for determination of the cut off level for CSF-CRP to differentiate septic and aseptic meningitis.

**Results**

Out of 49 infants examined in this study, 28 (57.2%) cases were male and 21 (42.8%) were female. The mean and SD of age was 22.6±11.8 days and the range was from 2 to 48 days. 20 cases were diagnosed as septic and 29 cases were diagnosed as aseptic meningitis. There was no significant difference between the two groups on sex and age (P-Value = 0.1) (Table1).

| Infant            | sex      | age       | CRP level (mg/L) |
|-------------------|----------|-----------|------------------|
|                   | Male     | Female    | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
| Septic meningitis | 57.9%    | 42.1%     | 25.68 | 11.03 | 0.95 | 0.68 |
| Aseptic meningitis| 55.2%    | 44.8%     | 20.58 | 12.17 | 0.16 | 0.36 |

P-value 1.000 0.1 0.000

Table 1. Frequency of sex, age and CRP levels in neonates.

CSF-CRP level significantly increased in septic infection in comparison to aseptic meningitis (P-Value=0.0) in the Wilcoxon test (Tables 1 and 2).

| Variables                      | CRP (mg/L) |
|--------------------------------|------------|
| Cut off level                  | 0.17       |
| Sensitivity (%)                | 95%        |
| Specificity (%)                | 86%        |
| Positive likelihood Ratio      | 6.7        |
| Negative likelihood Ratio      | 17.2       |
| Area under the ROC Curve       | 0.912      |

Table 2. The relationship between CSF-CRP level and statistical indicators.

Area under the ROC curve was calculated 0.912. Cut off levels for CRP was obtained 0.17 mg/L in the ROC curve. ROC curve for differentiation between septic and aseptic meningitis is presented in Figure 1.
Discussion
Polymorphonuclear leukocytosis, low glucose concentration, and increased protein concentration in CSF are characteristics for bacterial meningitis. Sometimes, bacterial meningitis presents with atypical CSF manifestations and the white blood cell count, total protein and glucose levels were often unreliable markers for differential diagnosis, greatly due to low sensitivity. Glucose concentrations in the CSF of patients with viral meningitis often overlap those characteristic of bacterial meningitis; but, CRP, a non-specific indicator, was very reliable in estimating the type of infection. CSF-CRP is not an alternative of examination of CSF biochemistry, cytology and culture and used to confirm the diagnosis, especially in restrictions on diagnostic tests.

Also CSF lactate is a potential marker for diagnosis of bacterial meningitis but less useful if it has a low concentration. Several investigators have found that CSF lactate determination does not contribute much to the diagnosis of bacterial meningitis. Therefore, quantitative determinations of CRP in CSF are most useful in monitoring the illness. Moreover, several intracranial disorders are known to cause CSF lactate increase. The studies using CSF specimens collected from a variety of patients, regardless of the actual disease prevalence, may lead to false conclusions about the clinical usefulness of the CSF lactate test. The CSF lactate test was more sensitive than the CSF-CRP test for diagnosis of bacterial meningitis, while the CRP test was more specific. The result of current study shown CSF-CRP level in neonates with septic meningitis was higher than infants with aseptic meningitis. Findings from this study confirmed previous studies by Donald et al, Hanson et al, Trienekens et al. and Bansal et al. Corrall et al. has shown that CSF- CRP (latex agglutination test) was positive in all patients with bacterial meningitis, which 100% sensitivity and 94% specificity was observed in comparison to control group. In study by Bengershom et al. on children with suspected meningitis, CSF-CRP level Cut off was obtained as 0.4 mg/L and the sensitivity and specificity values were 94% and 100%, respectively. Komorowski et al. reports that CSF-CRP was effective indicator for rapid diagnosis of meningitis in 60% of adults. In a study by Gray et al. CRP levels were greater than 100 ng/ml, identified in 95% of bacterial meningitis. Singh et al. reports that CRP level was positive in 84% of bacterial meningitis, and it was negative in all cases of aseptic meningitis. Also no correlation was observed between CSF-CRP level as well as total and differential cell count in the cerebrospinal fluid of septic cases. Moreover, there was significant correlation between results of CSF-CRP level and positive septic meningitis. Therefore, determination of CSF-CRP has
significant sensitivity for early differentiation of types of meningitis. There was some limitation in this study such as difficulty of access to samples and expensive diagnostic tests, and due to these limitations, we used a few samples for analysis.

Conclusion
It can be concluded that, in addition to current conventional diagnostic methods (biochemistry, cultures and smears), CSF-CRP is a simple, rapid and accurate approach for the laboratory diagnosis of meningitis, especially in cases of negative result of bacteria in the blood and spinal fluid. Also, the CSF-CRP can be a diagnostic marker for differentiation of purulent and non-purulent meningitis. On balance, the above mentioned results showed that CSF-CRP level can be a diagnostic marker to differentiate septic and aseptic meningitis.
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