Transcriptional repression shapes the identity and function of tissue macrophages
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Macrophages belong to the innate immune system and represent a highly plastic immune cell population at both transcriptional and functional level [1–3]. Macrophages possess several effector and regulatory functions in immunity including phagocytosis, inflammation, cell killing, antigen presentation, immune complex delivery, and tissue regeneration. These functions are determined by the local tissue and organ demands under physiological conditions. Resident macrophages as accessory cells are able to support the activity of local parenchymal cells to maintain the integrity and the physiological function of the local tissue and organ [2].

The changing extra- and intracellular microenvironment calls for rapid cell fate decisions that are precisely and primarily regulated at the transcriptional level. The cellular components of the immune system are excellent examples of how cells respond and adapt to different environmental stimuli. Innate immune cells such as macrophages are able to modulate their transcriptional programs and epigenetic regulatory networks through activation and repression of particular genes, allowing them to quickly respond to a rapidly changing environment. Tissue macrophages are essential components of different immune- and nonimmune cell-mediated physiological mechanisms in mammals and are widely used models for investigating transcriptional regulatory mechanisms. Therefore, it is critical to unravel the distinct sets of transcription activators, repressors, and coregulators that play roles in determining tissue macrophage identity and functions during homeostasis, as well as in diseases affecting large human populations, such as metabolic syndromes, immune-deficiencies, and tumor development. In this review, we will focus on transcriptional repressors that play roles in tissue macrophage development and function under physiological conditions.
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signaling pathways to specific physiological and pathological processes [5].

Notably, an emerging number of studies demonstrate the potential role of distinct transcription factors in tissue-resident macrophage development and function. These cellular processes involve lineage-determining transcription factors (LDTF), signal-dependent TFs (SDTF), and transcriptional repressor proteins. Nuclear receptors such as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) and LXRα regulate macrophage functions in a lipid-rich environment. Surprisingly, the systematic analyses of tissue-resident macrophage enhancer landscapes also detected the DNA-binding motifs of these transcription factors [3,6,7], suggesting that these lipid-sensing nuclear receptors may also act as LDTFs in different tissue-resident macrophages and may also act in a ligand-independent manner [8].

Although macrophages are highly plastic and are able to adapt to different polarizing environments, their differentiation capacity and transcription are restricted. An increasing amount of data support the role of tissue environment in cell fate determination by establishing tissue-resident macrophage enhancer activity, gene expression, and phenotype [3]. Thus, the phenotypes of tissue-resident macrophages are most likely a combination of differentiation and polarization programs including tissue-specific repressive mechanisms.

Tissue macrophages

Macrophage function consists of increased levels of endocytosis and lysosomal biogenesis, as well as expression of a wide range of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and polymorphic antigen-presenting molecules. These processes play an essential role in the first line of defense against pathogens and in ensuring tissue integrity [9].

Tissue macrophages share a wide range of functions in the central and peripheral lymphoid tissues but these cells also exhibit large differences in their enhancer landscape [3]. This suggests that functions can be tightly regulated by transcriptional regulatory mechanisms determined by the ontogeny of origin and by the tissue microenvironment as well.

Anatomically distinct areas of the mammalian body are usually associated with different physiological processes; thus, different organs require distinct functional properties of resident macrophages as accessory cells of the local parenchymal cells [2]. For example, endocytosis is one of the most important functions of tissue macrophages. Subcapsular sinus and germinal center macrophages are specialized for the uptake of immunocomplexes and for the phagocytosis of large amounts of apoptotic B cells in the germinal center, respectively [2,9]. The phagocytic system of the splenic red pulp and bone marrow macrophages are responsible for clearing injured and aging red blood cells and also for neutralizing free labile heme and contribute to the recirculation of iron [10,11]. As a first line of defense, the mucosa-associated macrophages including alveolar, gut, and peritoneal macrophages can act against the microbiota and their products and protect against invading pathogenic microbes [12–15]. In addition, alveolar macrophages are responsible for the proteolysis and the removal of surfactant in the lungs. Osteoclasts are highly specialized in bone remodeling and maintaining the hematopoietic stem cell niche [16], while microglia are a unique resident macrophage subtype, having a major role in the development and function of the central nervous system (CNS) [17].

Some tissue macrophage populations with self-renewal ability [18] are derived from the yolk sac. This set of macrophages include microglia [17] and alveolar macrophages [19] and abundant gut-resident macrophage subtypes are maintained independently of monocytes [20]. In contrast, a group of macrophages is derived from both fetal and adult hematopoiesis including peritoneal macrophages, red pulp macrophages of the spleen, and Kupffer cells of the liver. This indicates that hematopoiesis in adulthood plays a limited role in maintaining tissue macrophage subpopulations. The plasticity of tissue macrophages is further supported by recent in vivo models. For example, peritoneal macrophages can re-populate the liver rapidly and show an alternative macrophage program in a sterile liver injury model [21]. The circulating monocytes are also able to occupy most of the tissues and acquire their phenotype from the local tissue microenvironment. Notably, the transcription program of monocyte-derived macrophages is limited as compared to that of tissue-resident macrophages [18].

Determining the macrophage lineage and polarization signals

The ontogenic origin of macrophages, namely monocyte-derived and embryonic tissue-resident macrophages also determines differentiation and polarization programs in macrophages [5,22]. The lineage-determining transcription factors (LDTF) including PU.1 [23], MYB, c-MAF, MAFB [24,25], CEBP [26], IRF8 [27], and AP-1 determine the first layer for macrophage identity and establish a core, irreversible macrophage program and is shared by all subsets of
macrophages. The PU.1 transcription factor binds to and engages macrophage-specific enhancers enabling them to provide access to other transcriptional regulators [28]. Expression of PU.1 and the universal macrophage-specific gene expression module is maintained by the CSF-1 receptor and its ligands [23], including M-CSF and interleukin (IL)-34. In addition, the development of macrophages is driven, at least partly, by local niche signals and transcription factors and is associated with a tissue-specific enhancer landscape regardless of the source of cell origin. Local niche signals include macrophage-polarizing factors such as cytokines (interleukin/IL-4, interferon/IFN γ), metabolites (RA), and growth factors (TGF-β [29], M-CSF) as well as microbe-derived factors (lipopolysaccharide, butyrate, indoles) [5]. These extrinsic macrophage-polarizing signals involve type 1 macrophage (M1)-associated IFNγ and Toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands while M2 cells are associated with IL-4 and IL-13 cytokines [5]. The reversible, macrophage-polarizing stimuli are widely used in macrophage biology in vitro; nevertheless, we have only a moderate size of data from in vivo model systems studying macrophage differentiation and polarization at genomic and epigenomic levels. Therefore, it is crucial to characterize the interplay of LDTFs and SDTFs in distinct tissue macrophage-polarizing microenvironments and to uncover indispensable transcriptional activator and repressor mechanisms in macrophage development, polarization, and function.

Transcriptional repressor mechanisms

The regulation of gene expression is controlled at the genomic level by proximal [30] and distal [31–33] regulatory elements as well as by epigenomic mechanisms [7] and by chromatin remodeling [31,34]. Enhancers and promoters are fundamental determinants of gene expression, and in contrast to promoter sequences, enhancers are greater in number and can regulate gene expression at multiple levels [35]. Tissue macrophages have unique enhancer landscape [3,28]; however, complex macrophage lineage-determining environmental factors and polarizing signals can modify the transcriptional program of developing myeloid cells and macrophages. Enhancer sequences enable distinct regulatory transcriptional mechanisms by recruiting cofactors and by chromatin remodeling. Importantly, most of the SDTFs are more enriched at enhancers than promoter sequences, indicating that enhancer sequences are essential in the diverse and dynamic regulation of gene transcription [35].

The precise regulation at enhancer regions is based on the significant enrichment of DNA motif recognition by different transcription factors and repressors associated with or without coregulators at the identical motif sequences [36]. The protein family of coregulators consists of corepressors and coactivators in a context-specific manner [1,37]. The direct repression mechanism establishes transcriptional machinery involving transcription factors and corepressor complexes containing histone deacetylase (HDAC) and/or methyl transferases targeting histone-bound gene promoter and enhancer sequences. Alternatively, transrepression orchestrates a nuclear transcription factor complex inhibiting the activity of another transcription factor such as IRF3, JUN, nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated b cells (NFκB)-p65, SMAD3/4, signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)5/6, and T-bet by protein–protein interactions [38]. For example, the mechanism of transrepression is a feature of nuclear hormone receptors including glucocorticoid receptors and PPARγ [39,40]. Stimulating signals can initiate the exchange of corepressor and coactivator complexes at genomic regulatory elements to establish the transcriptional machinery. The mechanism of action of transcription factors with coregulators and chromatin is gene- and cell type-specific [33] and, more importantly, enables a multilevel adaptation to the extracellular environment such as the alternative activation program of macrophages [41].

The regulation of gene expression can be mediated by epigenomic mechanisms including histone modifications such as histone methylation and acetylation. Promoters are marked by H3K4m3, while enhancers exhibit high levels of H3K4m1 and H3K4m2 [1,37]. Active and repressed regulatory elements of the DNA are associated with H3K27Ac and H3K27m3, respectively. The available enhancer repertoire has a fundamental role in determining tissue-resident macrophage identity by binding with certain lineage-determining factors [3,33], resulting in chromatin opening, enhancer activation, and new loop formation between promoters and enhancers [3].

The active transcriptional repression by recruiting HDAC and histone methyl transferase (HMT) enzymes involves transcription factors such as BACH1 [42] and B-cell lymphoma 6 protein (Bcl-6) [43] which can occupy specific regulatory elements of the genome and can inhibit the transcription of target genes including macrophage Hmox1 [42,44,45] and Il6 [43], respectively. Intriguingly, known transcriptional activators such as STAT proteins can also act as transcriptional repressors. In the case of active transcriptional repression, we have previously shown that the IL-4 activated transcription factor STAT6 reduces the binding of transcriptional coactivator and RNA-polymerase II as
well as by H3K27 acetylation at enhancer regions regulating the genes of inflammatory responsiveness in murine macrophages [41]. In human macrophages, it was reported that the inflammatory IFNγ cytokine inhibits expression of IL-4 target genes by enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (Ezh2)-mediated H3K27 trimethylation at a subset of IL-4 target gene promoters including the gene coding the anti-inflammatory transcription factor PPARγ [46].

Active transcriptional repression can be induced and maintained by recruiting nuclear receptor corepressor (NCoR)/silencing mediator for retinoid and thyroid hormone receptors (SMRT) corepressor complexes involving HDAC3 and CoREST containing both histone demethylase and deacetylase enzymes such as HDAC1,2 [47,48]. NCoR/SMRT and CoREST corepressor complexes can mediate transcriptional repression in macrophages and play essential role in inflammation, macrophage polarization, and lipid metabolism [37]. Recent studies indicated that NCoR/SMRT complexes are required for basal repression of a subset of NF-κB and AP-1 target genes, with loss of NCoR resulting in a partially activated phenotype in macrophages. A set of genes encoding inflammatory cytokines and chemokines is de-repressed in NCoR-deficient macrophages. Alternatively, these genes are also regulated by transrepression by PPARγ, suggesting a possible role for NCoR in this process and other transcription factors such as NFκB, AP-1, and STAT1, which proteins are also involved [49,50]. Further studies confirmed the role of NCoR complexes in pathological conditions where SMRT and NCoR complexes can also prevent autoimmune chronic inflammatory processes [43] and macrophage-dependent metabolic disease [51].

Tissue macrophages are exposed to various stimuli in both homeostatic and pathophysiological conditions which require complex cell signaling and transcriptional mechanisms to rapidly adapt to the changing environment. These processes also include Rev-Erb proteins such as Rev-Erbα and Rev-Erbβ, which play critical roles in orchestrating danger-associated and macrophage-polarizing signaling events. For example, Rev-Erb proteins colocalize with master SDTFs such as p65, Fos, Smad3, and nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) at enhancers stimulated by a complex damage signals during wound healing [52]. Rev-Erbs can recruit repressor complexes involving NCoR and HDAC3 proteins and establish a macrophage-specific transcriptional repressor program [53], and Rev-Erbs can inhibit the functions of distal enhancers that are targeted by macrophage LDTFs. Importantly, some enhancer RNA (eRNA) sequences play critical role in enhancer functions at specific genomic regions.

Macrophage functions are often linked to metabolic diseases such as obesity or type 2 diabetes. A potential connection between metabolic syndrome and the transcriptional repressor G protein pathway suppressor 2 (GPS2) was uncovered, where a defined set of inflammatory genes are repressed by GPS2 protein [54]. Moreover, the axis of the GPS2-SMART corepressor complex together with Ccl2 eRNA exacerbates inflammation by adipose tissue-derived macrophages in obese mice [55]. This study further supports the functional role of eRNAs in connecting enhancer activity to inflammatory gene expression via modulating CBP-mediated H3K27 acetylation and enhancer–promoter looping. However, further studies are required to solidify these initial reports and establish that eRNAs are functional components of gene expression regulation and if their activity is dependent on their sequence or not.

Our group recently summarized that nuclear hormone receptors could also act as transcriptional repressors in a ligand-independent manner [56]. For example, NCoR and SMRT corepressors are the most common interacting partners of retinoid X receptor (RXR) in mediating transcriptional repression. This mechanism is important in the case of antitumor chemotherapies targeting RXR/RAR molecules; however, a large ratio of patients does not respond to these therapies. We have shown that deletion of RXR in myeloid cells enhances lung metastasis formation while not affecting primary tumor growth and that RXR deficiency leads to gene expression changes in the lung myeloid compartment. These changes show increased expression of prometastatic genes Il1a, Il1b, Pdgfb, Sema4d, Igf1, and Ctsa, including key determinants of prometastatic niche formation. RXR-deficient myeloid cells are also more efficient in promoting cancer cell migration and invasion. The depressive activity of RXR on such prometastatic genes is mediated primarily through direct DNA binding of the receptor along with NCoR and SMRT corepressors and is largely unresponsive to ligand activation [57].

The transcriptional program of tissue-resident macrophage is adapted to the local environment and is regulated by unique transcriptional regulatory mechanisms

Distinct tissue environment requires unique functional properties from accessory cells such as resident macrophages. Tissue-resident macrophages support local, parenchymal ‘client’ cells and maintain homeostatic conditions and tissue integrity [2]. This mechanism involves different sets of genes regulated by resident macrophage LDTFs (Fig. 1). For example, the deletion of LDTFs induces deficiency in specific tissue-resident
macrophage subsets, such as Spi-C in splenic red pulp macrophages [58], LXRα in splenic marginal zone macrophages [59], PPARγ in lung alveolar macrophages [19], GATA6 in peritoneal macrophages [60], and NR4A1 in microglia and thymus macrophages [61].

Splenic red pulp macrophages

The differentiation of macrophages populating the bone marrow and the spleen red pulp depends on the transcription factor Spi-C as well the heme-sensitive transcriptional repressor BACH1 [10,58] (Fig. 2A). The Bach1 mRNA is highly expressed in subsets of monocytes, macrophages, neutrophils, and dendritic cells, whereas Bach2 mRNA is highly expressed in especially lymphocytes but also expressed in some subsets of myeloid cells [42]. BACH proteins belong to the CNC family of bZIP transcription factor superfamily together with nuclear factor erythroid (NRF)-1,2,3 and P45 proteins. The bZIP superfamily also includes activator protein 1 (AP-1), cAMP response element-binding (CREB), C/EBP, MAF, and PAR protein families [42]. The bZIP transcription factors form dimeric interactions at DNA binding sites containing core sequences known as TPA response elements (TREs) or cAMP response elements (CREs). In differentiated red pulp macrophages, the presence of intracellular heme derepresses direct BACH1-target genes including Hmox1 coding anti-inflammatory heme-oxygenase (HO) 1 [11], Spic [10,58], and Stc40a1 coding ferroportin as well as glucose metabolism-related genes including the pentose phosphate pathway [62]. The free heme is able to bind directly to the cysteine-proline motifs-enriched binding site of the chromatin-bound BACH1, which leads to the translocation of BACH1 into the cytosol and induces the proteosomal degradation [63]. The repressor BACH1 and the transcriptional activator NRF2 competes for overlapping proximal and distal enhancer elements of genes that play roles in antioxidant and anti-inflammatory responses leading a sensitive regulatory system to neutralize free heme [64,65]. The increased expression level of HO1 in the cytosol enables the neutralization and the catabolism of the toxic heme into biliverdin [66], CO, and ferrous iron, which also have local physiological and immunostimulatory effects [67,68]. Intriguingly, the homeostatic and pathologic concentration of free labile heme

| Organ       | Macrophage subpopulation | Lineage-determining transcription factor | Transcriptional repressor | Physiological relevance of transcriptional repression                                      |
|-------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Splen       | Red pulp MΦ              | SPI-C                                    | BACH1                     | Red blood cell removal                                                                   |
|             |                          |                                          |                           | Iron recirculation                                                                       |
|             |                          |                                          |                           | Heme neutralization                                                                       |
| Lung        | Alveolar MΦ              | PPARγ                                    | BHLHE40, BHLHE41, BACH2   | Maintaining alveolar macrophage identity                                                  |
| Peritoneum  | Peritoneal MΦ            | GATA6, CEBPβ                             | DNMT3A-HDAC9              | Elevated level of type I INF signaling                                                   |
| Colon       | Colonic MΦ               | RUNX3, HES1, DTX4                        | NFIL3, SPI40              | Maintaining homeostatic host-microbiota interactions, Preventing IBD                     |
| Brain       | Microglia                | SALL1, SMAD2/3, IRF8                     | NR4A1                     | Microglia identity and healthy tissue homeostasis, Preventing EAE                       |
| Bone        | Osteoclast               | SMAD2/3, RXR, NFATC1                     | ID3                       | ID3 was required for osteoclast differentiation in vitro                                  |

Fig. 1. Transcription factors and transcriptional repressors of tissue macrophages. EAE, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. IBD, inflammatory bowel syndrome.
determines the outcome of myeloid differentiation in the bone marrow as well as the spleen red pulp in a SpiC-, and BACH1-dependent manner [10]. This study illustrates for the first time how metabolites, namely heme can mediate the differentiation of a tissue-resident macrophage populations. Alternatively, the gene expression level of Spic can also be up-regulated in a BACH1-independent manner during inflammation resulting in attenuated macrophage response and increased iron efflux [10].

**Alveolar macrophages**

After birth, alveolar macrophages (AMs) play an essential role in maintaining healthy lung homeostatic conditions. The development of AMs is mediated by alveolar satellite cell-derived factors including granulocyte–macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF). The growth factor GM-CSF upregulates the nuclear receptor PPARγ [19], the nuclear repressor BACH2, and genes related to host defense (Fig. 2B). The activity of PPARγ in alveolar macrophages ensures the removal of the produced surfactants in the lungs and the repression of target inflammatory genes [69]. Notably, the GM-CSF-induced differentiation polarizes the alveolar macrophages into the classical, immunogenic M1 macrophage subtype [19]. The deficiency in GM-CSF-dependent PPARγ-activity in AM leads to pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP). Mice deficient for the BACH2 developed PAP-like accumulation of surfactant proteins in the lungs, while AMs showed a normal expression of the genes involved in the GM-CSF signaling. However, BACH2-deficient AMs displayed an altered expression level of genes playing a role in chemotaxis, phagocytosis and lipid metabolism, and alternative macrophage (M2) activation program associated with the increased gene expression level of Ym1 and arginase-1, and the M2 regulator Irf4 [69].

**Fig. 2.** Molecular mechanisms of repressors pathways regulating tissue macrophage identity. (A) Free labile heme modifies the differentiation of monocytes in the spleen by the binding to the nuclear BACH1 protein. BACH1 protein directly represses genes such as Spi-C, the master transcription factor of red pulp macrophages. In splenic red pulp macrophages, the de-repression of other BACH1-target gene Hmox1 coding heme-oxygenase plays role in the neutralization of free labile heme in circulation. (B) In alveolar macrophages, BACH2 represses genes playing role in M2 macrophage functions and polarization. The BLHLE40/41 proteins repress lineage-determining factors associated with peritoneal, red pulp macrophages and microglia. (C) SP140 protein represses genes involved in noncolonic macrophage development. NFIL3 supports the homeostatic host–microbiota interactions in the gut. (D) Microglia cell development and function is dependent on NR4A1, which limits the expression level of Th coding tyrosine hydroxylase by recruiting CoREST complex; thus, microglial NR4A1 prevents EAE.
The helix-loop-helix transcriptional repressors BHLHE40 and BHLHE41 also determine alveolar macrophage (AM) identity and directly repress genes playing roles in determining AM signature [70]. In addition, AMs lacking these two transcription factors exhibited impaired proliferation. Notably, in the absence of competition with wild-type cells, Bhlhe40/ Bhlhe41-deficient AMs maintained their numbers and displayed normal expression level AM phenotype including CD11c, Siglec-F, F4/80, and MHCII cell surface molecules. However, genome-wide expression analysis of these Bhlhe40/Bhlhe41-deficient AMs revealed the dysregulation of the AM expression program, as indicated by the upregulation of gene clusters that are expressed in other resident macrophages. In summary, the genome-wide profiling of BACH2 and BHLHE40 binding in ex vivo AMs indicates that these factors can directly regulate AM identity.

**Gut and peritoneal macrophages**

Gut and peritoneal cavity macrophages populate a specific part of the body enriched with microbiota- and diet-derived factors including metabolites, micro- and macronutrients such as vitamin A/retinol. Retinoic acid (RA) is produced from retinol in subsequent enzymatic steps by gut epithelial and stromal cells [71], dendritic cells [72,73], and macrophages [74] of the omentum in the gut mucosa [60]. RA-dependent RARx activity induces the differentiation of the precursors of peritoneal macrophages by upregulating GATA6, a transcription factor associated with peritoneal-macrophage-specific genes including Saa3, Lrg1, Arg1, and Prtn3 [2]. The crosstalk between the gut microbiota involves microbiota-induced regulatory mechanisms in innate immune cell as well. For example, the basic leucine zipper protein NFIL3 regulates innate inflammatory responses against the enteric microbiota and is essential for maintaining gut homeostasis (Fig. 2C). Macrophage NFIL3 is identified as a regulatory transcription factor in macrophages in vitro and in vivo and controls IL-12b expression at the promoter level induced by bacterial products and the enteric microbiota [75]. The IL-12b promoter has a DNA-binding element for NFIL3, and there is a basal and bacterial inflammation-activated NFIL3 binding to this DNA element confirmed by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). In addition, colonic CD11b+ lamina propria mononuclear cells from Nfil3−/− mice spontaneously express Il-12b mRNA and lower expression of NFIL3 was observed in CD11b+ lamina propria mononuclear cells from inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) patients compared with control subjects independently of the Il-12b regulator [75] IL-10 cytokine. These observations confirm that transcriptional repressors can play a critical role in maintaining physiological conditions.

The nuclear protein Sp140 in colonic macrophages recognizes post-translational modifications on histones and represses macrophage lineage-inappropriate genes of gut macrophages [76]. Sp140 preferentially occupies promoters of silenced, lineage-inappropriate genes bearing the histone modification H3K27me3, such as the HOXA cluster in human macrophages, and ensures their repression. Depletion of Sp140 in macrophages resulted in severe deficiencies in bacteria- and virus-induced activation [76]. Moreover, this study also demonstrated that Crohn’s disease (CD) patients carrying Sp140 SNPs displayed suppressed innate immune gene signatures in a mixed population of peripheral blood mononuclear cells compared to other CD patients. Hematopoietic stem cell-specific knockdown of Sp140 in mice resulted in exacerbated dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis, and low Sp140 levels in human CD intestinal biopsies correlated with relatively lower intestinal inflammatory cytokine levels and improved the response to anti-TNFα therapy. This study suggested that a loss of Sp140 due to genetic variation contributes to a molecularly defined subset of CD characterized by ineffective mucosal innate immunity and gut homeostasis.

Evidence suggests that RA is a tissue-derived signal, which instructs the localization and functional polarization of peritoneal macrophages by upregulating the expression level of the transcription factor GATA6 reversibly. GATA6 protein is a specific TF for peritoneal macrophages associated with the establishing of the tissue-specific transcriptional and epigenetic landscape [3,60]. Moreover, a previous report has shown that methyl transferase DNMT3A maintains a high expression of HDAC9 in a DNA methylation-dependent manner in naïve peritoneal macrophages, and epigenetically prepares these cells to activate TBK1-IRF3 signaling fully and produce interferon I after virus infection [77]. Nevertheless, macrophages in normal conditions are primed to respond rapidly and significantly to subsequent challenges, maintaining low levels of constitutive IFNβ and downstream Janus kinase (JAK)–STAT signaling. It is particularly noteworthy that the microbiota mimics the regulatory components of host protein networks. For example, the influenza A virus carries a sequence that resembles H3K4 and can block interactions with readers of H3K4me3, thereby suppressing the positive function of this epigenetic marker [78].

Microglia

Microglia are a unique population of tissue-resident macrophages that play essential roles in maintaining tissue homeostasis in the central nervous system (CNS). Microglial identity and function are mediated by the transcriptional factor Sall1 [3,79], SMAD2/3, and IRF8 [80] and more recent studies also elucidated that negative transcriptional regulators play a role in microglial function in both health and disease. The Nr4a orphan nuclear receptors, Nr4a1 (Nur77), Nr4a2 (Nurr1), and Nr4a3 (Nor1), are early-immediate response genes that can be induced by a variety of physiological stimuli such as inflammation during experimental autoimmune disease models. It was demonstrated that Nr4a1 directly suppresses the gene expression level of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), the rate-limiting enzyme for norepinephrine (NE) production in macrophages which enzyme protects mice from experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE). Mechanistically, it was suggested that Nr4a1 could downregulate thyrosine hydroxylase (Th) gene transcription by recruiting the CoREST complex involving HDAC1 and HDAC2 enzymes in the Th promoter region [78] (Fig. 2D). This study also has shown that mice lacking Nr4a1 had a poor prognosis and had high concentrations of norepinephrine (NE), pro-inflammatory IL-6, and autoimmune effector T cells at the site of the affected tissue area of the CNS. ChIP analysis also showed increased abundance of acetylated histone H3 in the Th promoter following Nr4a1 knockdown and, to a higher extent, following CoREST knockdown.

Conclusions and perspectives

Tissue macrophages are essential cellular components in maintaining tissue homeostasis and integrity through their professional phagocytic, antigen-presenting, and self-renewal ability ensuring the consistent protection and tissue regeneration of local tissue environment during infection and injury. Although the origin and the local tissue environment determine the epigenetic landscape and enhancer activity of macrophages, these innate immune cells can retain their polarization capacity depending on the actual extra- and intracellular signals. However, the molecular mechanisms regulating these processes are largely unknown. Inflammation is a typical physiologic process of innate and adaptive immunity during infection and injury to recruit effector immune cells including monocytes and tissue macrophages, which process requires transcriptional regulation in each step of the immune response. Intriguingly, the microbiota-induced and maintained inflammatory response involves the precisely regulated activity of both transcriptional activators and repressors under homeostatic conditions in mucosal immunity, and unnecessary inflammation can be prevented. For example, the dysregulation of inflammatory and primary type I interferon signaling in pathogenic infections by respiratory viruses can be associated with macrophage activation syndrome and is typified by inflammatory cytokine-driven alveolitis and thrombosis in the lungs [81]. Thus, determining the basal interaction level of lineage-determining transcription factors and transcriptional repressors in different stages of macrophage development and polarization is critical to understand the molecular mechanisms of macrophage functions initiating inflammation and immune responses, resolution, and tissue repair, as well as for understanding the macrophage-dependent pathology of chronic immune diseases and tumor development.

Some questions are raised regarding the transcriptional landscape of tissue macrophage biology. What kind of lineage-determining and signal-dependent factor can interact with transcriptional repressors of different resident macrophages? What are the lineage-specific target genes of transcriptional repressors in distinct tissue macrophages? How can macrophage-dependent immune- and nonimmune disease states affect the distribution of transcriptional repressors throughout the macrophage chromatin? A combination of new in vivo model systems, single cell-based next-generation gene sequencing (NGS), as well as novel innovative bioinformatic tools can enable us to uncover these questions and help to discover new therapeutic targets in macrophages.
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