A FN-MdV pathway and its role in cerebellar multimodular control of sensorimotor behavior
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The cerebellum is crucial for various associative sensorimotor behaviors. Delay eyeblink conditioning (DEC) depends on the simplex lobule-interposed nucleus (IN) pathway, yet it is unclear how other cerebellar modules cooperate during this task. Here, we demonstrate the contribution of the vermis-fastigial nucleus (FN) pathway in controlling DEC. We found that task-related modulations in vermal Purkinje cells and FN neurons predict conditioned responses (CRs). Coactivation of the FN and the IN allows for the generation of proper motor commands for CRs, but only FN output fine-tunes unconditioned responses. The vermis-FN pathway launches its signal via the contralateral ventral medullary reticular nucleus, which converges with the command from the simplex-IN pathway onto facial motor neurons. We propose that the IN pathway specifically drives CRs, whereas the FN pathway modulates the amplitudes of eyelid closure during DEC. Thus, associative sensorimotor task optimization requires synergistic modulation of different olivocerebellar modules each provide unique contributions.
sensorimotor associative behaviors allow vertebrates to convert perceptions from the environment into specific motor executions. Pavlovian delay eyelink conditioning (DEC) is an ideal model for studying the neuronal and circuit mechanisms for associative tasks in which the motor response is precisely timed with respect to sensory input. In this paradigm, animals are presented with a neutral conditioned stimulus (CS) followed, at a fixed interval, by an unconditioned stimulus (US) that reliably causes an unconditioned eyelink reflex (UR). Prior to conditioning, the CS does not elicit any motor output. After conditioning, animals associate the CS with the US and generate a well-timed conditioned eyelink response (CR) during the CS-US interval.

It is well established that both the acquisition and expression of DEC depend on the cerebellum. The well-defined modular topographical circuitry of the cerebellum provides a unique entry for studying the contribution of specific cerebellar cortical and nuclear regions to sensorimotor tasks. Landmark studies over the past several decades have provided key evidence for the roles of the simplex lobule in the cerebellar hemisphere and its downstream target, the anterior interposed nucleus (IN), in DEC. These regions receive both mossy fiber and climbing fiber inputs, which relay the CS and US signals, respectively. Based on the activity of these inputs, various forms of synaptic and structural plasticity occur at the level of Purkinje cells (PCs) and the molecular layer interneurons during DEC learning. As a consequence, IN neurons are disinhibited, eventually driving conditioned, but not unconditioned, eyelid closure via the downstream premotor red nucleus (RN) and facial motoneurons.

The extent to which other cerebellar modules also contribute to DEC remains an open question. Vermal PCs project to the fastigial nucleus (FN), which targets vast numbers of downstream brain regions. Indeed, FN outputs have recently been implied to play various roles in both motor and nonmotor tasks. Anatomical studies using retrograde transneuronal tracing with rabies virus from the eyelid muscle (orbicularis oculi) have revealed prominent labeling in IN and FN, suggesting that alongside the IN module, the vermis and FN also have a potential role in controlling eyelid movements. Recent imaging studies also revealed CS-related modulation in the vermal (lobule V and VI) PCs and granule cells. However, physiological, functional, and anatomical evidence for the involvement of the vermis-FN pathway in controlling DEC is currently still unclear.

Here, we uncovered the involvement of a cerebellar vermis-FN pathway in the acquisition and expression of DEC, and we examined its interaction with the established simplex-IN pathway. We found that FN neurons and vermal PCs present CS-related modulations that correlate with CR amplitudes on a trial-by-trial basis. DEC-related modulation was observed in excitatory but not inhibitory FN neurons. Interestingly, unlike inhibition of IN, inhibiting FN output attenuated not only the acquisition and expression of CRs, but also the expression of URs. Furthermore, we observed that FN and IN modules have distinct input and output patterns and that both modules need to be coactivated to generate optimal conditioned motor commands in the downstream facial motor neurons. Viral tracing and circuit-specific perturbation revealed that the vermis-FN module controls eyelid responses via the contralateral ventral medullary reticular formation (MdV) as the main downstream hub. These data reveal how the FN module cooperates with the canonical simplex-IN-RN module in mediating DEC, and they elucidate how different cerebellar modules interact synergistically, together covering a larger functional repertoire for associative sensorimotor behavior.

Results

Task-related modulation of FN neurons during DEC. Head-restrained mice were presented with a green light for 250 ms as the CS, coterminating with a 10 ms aversive periorcular air puff as the US (Fig. 1a). Following 7–10 consecutive days of training, expert mice responded to the CS with a well-timed CR prior to the onset of an UR (Fig. 1b). We subsequently measured the activity of FN neurons ipsilaterally to the trained eye by recording well-isolated single units in expert mice (Fig. 1c). Diverse modulation patterns were found in FN neurons (n = 162 units) in response to the CS (Fig. 1d, e) and US (Supplementary Fig. 1a–c). A majority of FN neurons (86/162, 53%, Fig. 1d, e) increased their firing rates in response to the CS (termed facilitation neurons) by 64.4 ± 8.5% (mean ± s.e.m., n = 86 units). A minor portion of FN neurons (10%, Fig. 1d, e) decreased their firing rates in response to the CS (suppression neurons), with an average suppression of 33.2 ± 5.5% (mean ± s.e.m., n = 16 units). We next examined whether FN neuron modulation was specifically associated with conditioned eyelid closure or other concurrent movements that might occur during the CS-US interval. We compared the neuron activity during the CS in the trials in which mice successfully presented CRs (CR trials) with trials that did not show CRs (non-CR trials). FN neuron modulation was significantly more prominent in CR trials than in non-CR trials (Supplementary Fig. 2a–d). Interestingly, FN activity was specifically associated with acquired eyelink responses rather than spontaneous eyelid movements (Supplementary Fig. 2a–d). These activity features of FN neurons were comparable with those of IN neurons (Supplementary Fig. 2e–h). Both facilitation and suppression neurons had clear US-related modulation (Supplementary Fig. 1a, b). A discrete modulation feature was found particularly in neurons with both CS- and US-related facilitation (P < 0.001; Supplementary Fig. 1d). Approximately 37% of FN neurons did not show significant modulation during the CS (no modulation cells, n = 60/162 units); additionally, they presented a weaker modulation to the US (Supplementary Fig. 1e). These results suggest that the activity of FN neurons is at least partially associated with eyelid movements during DEC.

We sought to further clarify the specific relationship between FN neuron activity and the amplitudes of CRs. We analyzed the trial-by-trial correlation between the magnitudes of neuronal modulation and the amplitudes of CRs. Out of all 86 FN neurons with CS-related facilitation, a group of neurons raised their facilitation peaks with an increase in CR peak amplitudes across trials (P < 0.05, linear regression, n = 10 units; Fig. 1f, g). In other words, the modulation amplitudes of these FN neurons were correlated with the CR peak amplitudes. Interestingly, we found a portion of facilitation neurons in which their CS-related modulation correlated negatively with the CR amplitudes (P < 0.05, linear regression, n = 5 units; Fig. 1h, i), suggesting diverse coding mechanisms for conditioned eyelid closure in FN neurons.

To analyze the temporal relationship between FN activity and CR performance, we generated a three-dimensional correlation matrix for all modulating FN neurons (see “Methods” and our previous work). In short, we computed the significance of trial-by-trial correlations between FN neuronal activity and eyelid position at various epochs throughout the task. Significant correlations between FN facilitation and CR performance were found above the diagonal line of the matrix within the CS–US interval, revealing that the across-trial correlations were strongest when FN facilitation preceded eyelid closure (Fig. 1j). The peak correlation was found when FN neuron facilitation occurred 40 ms prior to the CR (Fig. 1j). In line with this, both the onset and peak times of FN facilitation were significantly earlier than the CR onset (P < 0.001, paired two-sided t test; Fig. 1k) and peak times (P < 0.01, paired two-sided t test; Fig. 1l). In contrast, FN neuron suppression had a minimal trial-by-trial
correlation with CR performance \((n = 1/60\) suppressing cells, Supplementary Fig. 3a, b). Even so, the onset and trough timings of neuronal suppression were also significantly earlier than CR onset \((P < 0.01,\) paired two-sided \(t\) test; Supplementary Fig. 3c) and peak time \((P < 0.001,\) paired two-sided \(t\) test; Supplementary Fig. 3d). Taken together, these results reveal a significant correlation between FN activity and CR amplitude, especially in the facilitation neurons.

DEC-related modulation in FN is specific for glutamatergic neurons. Cerebellar nuclei comprise heterogeneous groups of neurons
neurons. In general, the large excitatory neurons in the nuclei are glutamatergic and project to diverse extracerebellar regions, whereas the GABAergic inhibitory neurons project mainly to the inferior olive and/or to local FN neurons.⁶⁵ To clarify which type of neurons are recruited in DEC, we first expressed an excitatory opsin, ChrimsonR, in either the excitatory or inhibitory neurons by stereotaxically injecting AAV9-Syn-FLEX-ChrimsonRtdTomato into the FN of VGlut2-ires-Cre or Gad2-ires-Cre mice (Fig. 2a). ChrimsonR-expressing neurons showed robust short-latency facilitation (7.1 ± 4.2 ms for VGlut2-Cre cells, 5.5 ± 3.9 ms for Gad2-Cre cells) in response to photo-activation (Fig. 2b, c). We summarize the facilitation of all relevant cells (Fig. 2d) and therefore could be “opto-tagged” as glutamatergic or GABAergic FN neurons. We identified 15 glutamatergic cells and 8 GABAergic cells (Supplementary Fig. 4) and subsequently recorded the activity of these “opto-tagged” neurons during DEC. Both CS-related facilitation (average facilitation 195.2 ± 39.6%, n = 7 units) and suppression responses (average suppression 67 ± 9.4%, n = 3 units) were found in the glutamatergic cells (Fig. 2d). In contrast, no modulation was observed in any of the GABAergic neurons, which was significantly below the chance level of detecting a modulating neuron in the FN (P = 3.08 × 10⁻⁴; Fig. 2e). Therefore, it is likely that glutamatergic neurons were selectively or at least predominantly recruited in DEC.

FN neurons are innervated by PCs from distinct parasagittal modules.⁴⁷⁸ We sought to identify the cerebellar cortical regions that project to DEC-related FN neurons. We focused on FN neurons with CS-related facilitation, as these neurons were most prevalent and had significant trial-by-trial correlations with CR amplitudes (Fig. 1f-i). We performed single glass pipette juxtacellular recordings to identify neurons with CS-related facilitation in the FN (Fig. 2f). Subsequently, cholera toxin β-subunit (CTB) in the recording pipette was injected in the vicinity of the identified region (Fig. 2g, left panel, see “Methods”). Retrogradely labeled PCs were observed exclusively in the cerebellar vermis (Fig. 2g, right panel). Overall, CTB-labeled PCs were found in restricted parasagittal areas of vermal lobules IV to VIII, centered approximately 300 μm from the midline (Fig. 2h), corresponding to the b zone which receives its climbing fiber input from the caudal dorsal accessory olive (DAO)⁴⁹. No labeled PCs were detected in the canonical DEC-related cerebellar region, i.e., the simplex lobule. Hence, DEC-related FN neurons are likely to receive task-related information from PCs in specific cerebellar vermal regions.

**DEC-related simple spike and complex spike modulation of vernal PCs.** Associative conditioning depends on the cerebellar cortex.¹⁰,¹³,¹⁹,²²,²⁸,³₂,⁵⁰. Conditioned PCs in the simplex lobule present a delayed simple spike pause in response to the CS, which is considered crucial for the acquisition and expression of CRs.⁹ Here, we asked what information vernal PCs encode during DEC and whether they share a modality with simplerx PCs. To test the involvement of vernal PCs during DEC, we recorded PC activity from vermal lobules IV to VIII ipsilaterally to the trained eye, which we identified as the task-relevant regions for DEC (Figs. 2h, 3a). Well-isolated PCs were identifiable with their stereotypical simple spike and complex spike waveforms (Fig. 3b). A majority of the vernal PCs modulated their activity during the CS-US interval (Fig. 3c, d). Specifically, one-third of the PCs decreased their simple spike firing rates during the CS-US interval (SS suppression, firing rate decreased 18.9 ± 2.9%, n = 23/62 units; Fig. 3c, d), similar to the PC activity pattern in the simplex lobule during DEC.¹⁹,²⁸,³¹. Another group of PCs increased their simple spike firing rates in response to the CS (SS facilitation, firing rate increased 29.5 ± 4.5%, n = 26/62 units; Fig. 3c). Compared to a clear CS-related modulation during DEC trials, cells exhibiting either SS suppression or facilitation had weaker modulation in the non-CR trials and minimal activity changes in response to a spontaneous blink (Supplementary Fig. 5), further supporting the task specificity of their modulation. US-related simple spike modulations were identified in both SS suppression and SS facilitation PCs (Supplementary Fig. 6a, b). However, a significant correlation between the CS- and US-related modulation amplitude was only found in the PCs exhibiting SS suppression (Supplementary Fig. 6c).

Given the significant trial-by-trial correlation between FN firing rates and CR amplitudes (Fig. 1f-i), we next analyzed the relationship between simple spike modulation and CR peak amplitude on a trial-by-trial basis. Indeed, a positive correlation was found in a subgroup of PCs exhibiting SS suppression (linear regression, P < 0.05, n = 8/23 units; Fig. 3e, f). The temporal relation between SS suppression and CR amplitude was further analyzed with a correlation matrix (see Methods), showing that the strongest correlation occurred 40 ms prior to the US (Fig. 3g). For PCs with SS facilitation, the activity hardly correlated with CR amplitudes on a trial-by-trial basis, yielding only one cell with a significant correlation (linear regression, P < 0.05; Supplementary Fig. 7a, b). We found that both the onset and peak of CS-related modulation occurred earlier than the initiation and peak timing of CR, in PCs exhibiting either SS facilitation or suppression (Fig. 3h and Supplementary Fig. 7c). Taken together, these results reveal a cerebellar cortical module for DEC and suggest that SS suppression in vernal PCs in turn might facilitate FN neurons and modulate the timing and amplitude of eyelid closure during DEC.
Fig. 2 Task-related modulation in excitatory FN neurons and the identification of DEC-related vermal regions. **a** Schematics showing viral injection, optical fiber implantation and multichannel recording in the FN of the VGluT2-ires-Cre mice (n = 5) or the Gad2-ires-Cre mice (n = 8). **b** Expression of Cre-dependent ChrimsonR in VGluT2-positive FN neurons (left), showing a short-latency response to 595 nm light (orange shading, right). The blue dashed line indicates the timing at which the firing rate exceeds three SDs of the baseline frequency within 20 ms after the light. Scale bar, 10 µm. **c** Same as (b), but for the Gad2-positive neurons. **d** Task-related modulation of VGluT2-positive neurons. Neurons are categorized based on their CS-related modulations. Top and middle rows: example eyelid movement and spike traces of individual cells. Bottom row: average firing rate of neurons with CS-related facilitation(left, n = 7), suppression (middle, n = 3) and no modulation (right, n = 5); traces are plotted as mean ± s.e.m. **e** Same as (d), but for the Gad2-positive neurons, showing no CS-related modulation (n = 8). **f** Left: experimental design for FN neuron recording and CTB tracing by using a single glass capillary. Right: a representative neuron showing CS- and US-related facilitation (overlaying eyelid closure, mean ± SD, n = 21 trials, upper right; PSTH, lower right) during the CS-US interval. **g** Iontophoresis of CTB localized to the recording site (g, left, scale bar, 1 mm) and retrogradely labeled Purkinje cells (g, right, scale bar, 20 µm) in the parasagittal vermis regions (h) (n = 5 mice).

CS-related complex spikes (CpxCS) in the PCs of simplex lobule encode crucial instructive signals for CR acquisition and expression19,22,28, and short-latency US-related complex spikes (CpxUS) are considered to carry the canonical IO signal. We next opted to address whether PCs in the vermal DEC region also have specific complex spike firing patterns in response to the CS and US. In total, 29 vermal PCs increased their complex spike firing rate following CS (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, P < 0.05; Fig. 4a). The majority of these PCs, 23/29 neurons presented short-latency complex spikes in response to the US (26.9 ± 2.6 ms after US, Supplementary Fig. 8a), 41.9 ± 2.8 ms before the UR peak (mean ± s.e.m., Supplementary Fig. 8d). Short-latency CpxUS were recorded in both SS suppression PCs (n = 10/23, Supplementary Fig. 8b) and SS facilitation PCs (n = 15/26, Supplementary Fig. 8b). The other 6 neurons with CpxCS were not significantly modulated following US (Supplementary Fig. 8c). Similar to previous findings in simplex lobule PCs22, the modulation amplitude of vermal CpxCS correlated with CpxUS in trained mice (Supplementary Fig. 8e).

Given the different properties of the CS-related simple spike modulations (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 7), it is possible that the CpxCS of PCs exhibiting SS suppression carry information for CRs that differs from that of PCs exhibiting SS facilitation. We therefore investigated the relation between the CpxCS activity and their corresponding CR performance in terms of timing and amplitude. PCs with CpxCS were categorized based on their simple spike activity during CR (Fig. 4a). Interestingly, whereas CpxCS were prominently detected in both PCs demonstrating SS suppression and PCs demonstrating facilitation (n = 12 for each), only the CpxCS of PCs exhibiting SS suppression had an earlier
onset timing than eyelid closure (paired two-sided t test, \( P < 0.05 \); Fig. 4b). For each individual neuron, the CpxCS demonstrated a consistent latency despite the variable initiation of CRs (Fig. 4c, d). To examine the relation between CpxCS and CR amplitudes, we divided the trials based on the occurrence of CpxCS. Mice had larger CR amplitudes when CpxCS occurred within the 50–250 ms window after CS delivery (paired two-sided t test, \( P < 0.01 \); Fig. 4e, f); this correlation was only found in the PCs showing SS suppression (Fig. 4e–g). Hence, our results not only uncover the relation between SS suppression of vermal PCs and behavior, but also highlight the role of vermal PC CpxCS in DEC.

**Shared and distinct contributions of FN and IN outputs to DEC learning and behavior.** Our results unequivocally
Fig. 3 Task-related simple spike modulation in vermal PCs. a Representative DiI-labeled recording tracks in cerebellar vermal regions (lobules IV-VII). Scale bars, 1 mm. Experiments were performed with 17 mice. b Representative waveforms (mean ± coefficient of variation) of simple spikes and complex spikes from a single PC. c CS-related simple spike modulation in vermal PCs. Top and middle rows indicate example eyelid closure and spike traces of individual PCs (* indicates complex spikes); bottom: group average of simple spike activity from PCs of each modulation type (blue: PCs with simple spike suppression, n = 23; red: PCs with simple spike facilitation, n = 26/62), traces are plotted as mean ± s.e.m. d Fraction of PC population with simple spike modulations. e Example PC with a significant correlation between the simple spike suppression (left heatmap) and the CR peak amplitudes (right heatmap) over trials. Each row represents a single trial, ordered from bottom to top based on the magnitude of the simple spike suppression. f Comparison of the timing of simple spike suppression and behavior. Simple spike suppression precedes the CR both in onset (left, mean ± SD, n = 23, paired two-sided t test; ***P = 0.0013) and peak timing (right, mean ± SD, n = 23, paired two-sided t test; ***P = 1.94e−5).

demonstrate task-related modulation in the vermis-FN module. Since the majority of FN neurons increased their firing rates during the CS–US interval (Fig. 1d–j) and task-related modulation was found solely in excitatory neurons (Fig. 2d), we examined the necessity of FN output for controlling DEC by pharmacologically inhibiting FN neuron activity with the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor agonist muscimol<sup>51</sup> (Fig. 5a). Precise muscimol injections targeting the FN ipsilaterally to the trained eye, largely abolished CRs in conditioned mice (Fig. 5b, c). Interestingly, inhibiting FN activity also suppressed eyelid closure in response to the US by reducing the UR peak amplitudes over 40% (Fig. 5b, c). Both CR and UR performance recovered fully after washing out the muscimol (Fig. 5b, c). These results suggest the functional necessity of FN neuron activity for CR and UR performance during DEC.

To pinpoint whether FN modulation, specifically during the CS–US interval, is essential for CRs and URs, we transiently suppressed FN activity during the CS–US interval by photoactivating the axon terminals of ChR2-expressing PCs in L7Cre Ai27 mice (Fig. 5d). Light intensity (470 nm wavelength, <1.5 mW) was carefully adjusted so that no obvious aversive behavior, locomotion impairment, or suppression of the neighboring IN neurons was observed under this condition (see control data in our previous work<sup>38</sup>). Similar to the effects of long-term muscimol inhibition, transient suppression of FN output within the CS–US interval sufficiently impaired the CR, as well as UR performance (Fig. 5e, f). In contrast, optogenetic inhibition of FN output during the CS–US interval specifically suppressed the CR, leaving the UR intact (Fig. 5g–i). To further exclude the possibility that inhibiting FN activity could impair IN facilitation during DEC, we recorded task-related activity in the IN while optogenetically inhibiting the FN during the CS–US interval (Supplementary Fig. 9a). Despite the significant suppression of behavior, inhibiting FN output enhanced task-related modulation in IN neurons (Supplementary Fig. 9b, c). Therefore, it is unlikely that inhibiting FN activity affects eyelid closure due to its effect on IN facilitation.

Our pharmacological and optogenetic manipulations had robust effects on CR performance in trained mice, but it could still be the case that acutely shutting down the FN output causes transient disruption of downstream target regions, thereby affecting CR performance only temporarily. To better demonstrate the enduring necessity of FN in CR expression, we chronically ablated the ipsilateral FN using photolesions in well-trained mice (Fig. 5j, k, see “Methods”) and tested their CR performance for three consecutive post-lesion days (Fig. 5l, m). Chronic FN lesions significantly impaired CR performance: both the CR trial probability and the CR amplitude were smaller in FN lesion mice compared to the control mice that underwent a sham operation (Fig. 5l, m). These CR impairments were evident throughout three post-lesion days without clear recovery (Fig. 5n, o). Hence, ablating FN had long-lasting effects on CR performance. Taken together, our results suggest that FN and IN outputs are both essential for CR expression; the different effects of FN/IN inhibition on URs indicate distinct mechanisms of these two cerebellar modules in mediating eyelid movement during DEC. Previous studies have established a crucial role for the IN in driving eyelid closure during DEC learning and behavior<sup>8,17,52–56</sup>. To further illustrate the functional distinction between FN and IN pathways, we examined whether FN output could also directly drive eyelid closure. We electrically activated either the IN or the FN in naive mice (Supplementary Fig. 10a, b). In line with previous findings<sup>8</sup>, eyelid closure was robustly elicited by electrical activation of the IN with graded current intensities (Supplementary Fig. 10b, c). However, the same electrical stimulation conditions in the FN region inadequately drove eyelid closure (Supplementary Fig. 10a, c), supporting that FN facilitation is not the direct driver for eyelid closure during DEC, but a muscle tone modulator that is expressed during both the CR and UR. Therefore, our results reveal the functional similarity and difference of two cerebellar modules in controlling eyelid closure and highlight the unique role of FN in modulating, but not driving, CR and UR performance.

The experiments described above indicate that the FN module is required for the expression of the CRs and URs following acquisition, yet they do not directly demonstrate its role during the acquisition itself. We next tested whether the vermis-FN module was also required for the acquisition of CR by using chemogenetic (long-term) and optogenetic (timing-specific) suppression of FN outputs during DEC training. Inhibitory DREADDs were virally expressed in the FN unilateral to the eye that received DEC training, and tdTomato was expressed in control mice (Fig. 6a). The activity of DREADD-expressing FN neurons in awake mice was significantly decreased after i.p. clozapine-N-oxide (CNO) administration (Fig. 6b, c). Therefore, we injected CNO daily in both groups, 15–20 min prior to DEC training for 10 days in a row. CR acquisition (CR amplitude and probability) in the DREADD-inhibition group was significantly impaired compared to that of the control group (maximum likelihood estimation, P < 0.001; Fig. 6d, e). After these 10 training days, we tested the acquisition outcome with the CNO injection omitted on day 11. Compared to the control group, the DREADD-expressing mice showed a significantly smaller CR amplitude and CR probability (Fig. 6f). To control for potential side effects of chronic DREADD expression or CNO administration on DEC training, we next optogenetically activated vermal PCs in L7Cre Ai27 animals, which allowed us to transiently suppress FN activity, specifically during the training epoch. Optic light (470 nm wavelength, <1.5 mW, as in Fig. 5d–i) was given to the ipsilateral FN of the training eyes in both L7Cre-Ai27 and
**Fig. 4** Purkinje cell complex spikes encode CR-related information. 

**a** Complex spike modulation during DEC. PCs with CS-related complex spikes (CpxCs) are color-coded based on their simple spike (SS) modalities: suppression (CpxCs(SSS), blue), facilitation (CpxCs(SSF), red) and no modulation (CpxCs(SSN), gray). Top row: summary of eyelid responses (left to right: \( n = 30, 32, 41 \) trials, mean ± SD). Middle row: example complex spike activity (raster plots of spike events) during DEC, and bottom row shows average CpxCs activity of each PC population (left to right: \( n = 12, 12, n = 5 \) neurons, mean ± s.e.m.).

**b** Comparison between the timing of CpxCs (CpxCs latency) and the CR onset. Only PCs with simple spike suppression showed an earlier occurrence of CpxCs than CR onset (mean ± SD, paired two-sided \( t \) test, left to right: \( n = 12, 12, \) and \( 5, P = 0.04, 0.51, \) and \( 0.14 \)).

**c** Comparison of CpxCs latency in trials divided into early (\( n = 16 \) trials, \( 147.4 ± 23.6 \) ms, mean ± SD) and late trials (\( n = 16 \) trials, \( 196.8 ± 21.2 \) ms, mean ± SD) based on CR onset. Example recording of CpxCs during the CS-US interval (firing rate PSTH, **c**, bottom) in the early and late CR trials (**c**, top).

**d** Population summary showing no difference in CpxCs latency between early and late trials in any category of PCs (paired two-sided \( t \) test, \( P = 0.39 \)).

**e** Comparison of CR peak amplitudes in trials with and without CpxCs. The occurrence of CpxCs in the PCs with simple spike suppression predicts a larger CR amplitude (mean ± SD, paired two-sided \( t \) test, left to right: \( n = 12, 12, \) and \( 5, P = 0.005, 0.94, \) and \( 0.80 \)).

**f** Example traces of CRs (top, \( n = 21 \) trials for pink trace, \( n = 10 \) trials for green trace, mean ± SD) with or without CpxCs (firing rate PSTH, bottom). CpxCs is defined as the complex spikes that occur within 50–250 ms following CS onset. Correlation of CpxCs occurrence and CR peak amplitude for three categories of Purkinje cells is summarized in (**g**). PCs with simple spike suppression (CpxCs(SSS), blue) reside below the diagonal line.
control (wild-type) mice. Similar to the DREADD experiment, CR acquisition was significantly impaired during the 10 training days (maximum likelihood estimation, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 6g, h) and CR performance was significantly worse in that the amplitudes were smaller and the probabilities were lower on test day 11 (optic light omitted; Fig. 6i). Therefore, the vermis-FN module is crucial not only for mediating CR and UR expression with a proper muscle tone in conditioned mice, but also for optimal CR acquisition during the DEC learning process.

Synergistic activation of IN and FN pathways is permissive for movements. To determine how two cerebellar modules
synergistically contribute to eyelid closure during DEC and to clarify the integration of these cerebellar outputs in generating eyelid motor commands, we recorded the motor neurons of eyelid muscles during DEC, while photoinhibiting either IN or FN output in the same animal (Fig. 7a). The eyelid muscle (orbicularis oculi) is controlled by motor neurons of the facial nucleus (7N)42,43,57, which can be readily identified by their anatomical location (see Supplementary Table 1) and activity patterns during spontaneous as well as DEC-induced eyelid closures (Fig. 7b). When we inhibited either IN or FN output during the CS–US interval, CS-related modulation in the 7N neurons was consistently significantly reduced (n = 19 units; Fig. 7c–f). Moreover, in 7 out of the 19 cells, these manipulations even suppressed the 7N neuron firing rates below the baseline CS response levels (Fig. 7f, upper panel). The average decrease in 7N neuron activity following CS was comparable for IN- and FN-inhibition trials, resulting in a decrease to 6.7 ± 19.9% and −13.8 ± 24.9% of the baseline, respectively. The effects of inhibiting the IN or the FN were supralinear in that the arithmetic sum of reduction in 7N activity by FN plus IN inhibition was 207% of the average CS-related modulation amplitude in control trials (P < 0.03). In contrast, only inhibiting FN output, but not IN output, suppressed the US-related modulation of 7N neurons to 29.5 ± 20.1% (Fig. 7f, lower panel), which was consistent with the behavioral outcome. Thus, our data indicate that both IN and FN outputs are essential for 7N motor neuron modulation during DEC. This suggests that synergistic activation of the IN and FN pathways is permissive for generating motor commands for CSs; whereas only the FN, not the IN, contributes to the activation of 7N neurons during URs.

FN-MdV and IN-RN pathways converge onto the 7N and regulate DEC. Cerebellar circuits are organized in repetitive parasagittal modules47,58. Previous studies have unequivocally established a key cerebellar pathway for DEC, in which IN neurons innervate the premotor neurons in the RN that subsequently excite the 7N motor neurons responsible for eyelid movements42,43,57. Since we uncovered an additional cerebellar pathway for DEC, i.e. the vermis-FN pathway and this pathway contributes synergistically with the simplex lobule-IN pathway, we sought to clarify the anatomical organization of the vermis-FN pathway for DEC. Therefore, we combined anterograde tracing of AAV1-CB7-RFP from the FN with retrograde tracing of AAVretro-CAG-GFP in the ipsilateral 7N (Fig. 8a) and surveyed the extracebellar regions that link FN output to the 7N. Unlike the dense innervation from the IN, the contralateral RN received very sparse projections from the FN (Fig. 8b and Supplementary Figs. 7a, b, d, e), suggesting that DEC-related FN neurons are unlikely to control eyelid closure via the RN. However, we observed extensive overlaps of FN axons with retrogradely labeled neurons from the 7N in the contralateral ventral medullary reticular nucleus (MdV) (Fig. 8c, d), which received minimal projections from the IN (Supplementary Fig. 11b, c). Higher magnification images revealed that FN axon terminals formed close dendritic and somatic appositions with 7N-projecting MdV neurons (Fig. 8e). These anatomical findings suggest that the cerebellar vermal module controls 7N motor neurons via a discrete FN-MdV pathway.

To examine whether the FN-MdV pathway may indeed mediate DEC, we manipulated this pathway by injecting Cre-dependent AAV1-hSyn-FLEX-SIO-StGtACR2 in FN and retrograde AAVretro-hSyn-Cre-BFP in the contralateral MdV (Fig. 9a). The inhibitory opsin StGtACR259 was expressed exclusively in the somas of MdV-projecting FN neurons (Fig. 9b), which allowed us (1) to identify these neurons by optogenetics and to further examine their activity during DEC (Fig. 9c, d); (2) to examine the effects of specifically perturbing the FN-MdV pathway on CR and UR performance (Fig. 9e, f). Among the 15 identified “opto-tagged” MdV-projecting FN neurons (Fig. 9c), 40% showed CS-related modulation (Fig. 8d), supporting the involvement of the FN-MdV pathway in DEC. In trained animals, both CR probability and amplitudes were significantly suppressed when we photo-inhibited the FN-MdV pathway (paired two-sided t test, P < 0.01; Fig. 9e), which was consistent with our results of pharmacological inhibition of FN (Fig. 5a–c) and optogenetic perturbation of the vermis-FN module (Fig. 5d–f). Likewise, UR amplitudes were also significantly impaired by inhibiting the FN-MdV pathway (paired two-sided t test, P < 0.01; Fig. 9f). Thus, the FN-MdV pathway differed not only anatomically, but also functionally from the IN-RN pathway in that it is crucial for modulating both the CR and the UR during DEC.

Taken together, our results uncover a vermis-FN-MdV pathway for the associative DEC and shed light on the potential convergence and synergy in controlling downstream motor neurons to fine-tune eyelid movements (Fig. 9g). Therefore, our study provides new insights into the anatomical and physiological framework for studying cerebellar multimodal interactions during associative motor learning.

Discussion

In this study, we provide evidence for the involvement of a FN-MdV pathway in associative learning and behavior, showing how it may interact and cooperate with the canonical IN-RN pathway.
During DEC, we found well-timed modulations in a group of excitatory FN neurons in response to the CS and US, sufficiently allowing the prediction of the CR amplitude on a trial-by-trial basis. Consistent with the DEC-related modulation in the FN, its upstream vermal PCs showed modulations of both their simplex spikes and complex spikes in relation to both the CS and US. Reversible manipulations of the vermis-FN module revealed the functional necessity of this pathway for CR acquisition and expression, as well as UR performance. Using anatomical tracing, we demonstrated that the FN-MdV pathway directly projects to the CR circuitry.
the facial nucleus, facilitating cooperation with the IN-RN pathway in regulating 7N motor neuron activity. Taken together, our findings indicate that the vermis-FN-MdV pathway plays a role in modulating both CRs and URs, while the well-established simplex-IN-RN pathway is the main circuitry driving CRs. These data highlight that conditioned and unconditioned sensorimotor behaviors can be controlled by different cerebellar modules in a distributed, yet synergistic manner.

The vermis-FN-MdV module is essential for eyelid closure during DEC. We found that excitatory FN neurons and vermal PCs had task-related modulation in response to a CS and a US, which is consistent with recent in-vivo calcium imaging studies, revealing the involvement of vermal (lobule V and VI) PCs and granule cells during DEC\textsuperscript{44,45}. A subpopulation of these FN neurons and PCs might be recruited specifically for modulating the amplitudes of conditioned eyelid closure, as is evident from the trial-by-trial correlation between their activities and CR amplitudes (Figs. 1, 3). In addition, we observed that CS-related modulations of FN neurons and vermal PCs were stronger in CR trials compared to those in non-CR trials, further supporting the task specificity of these neuronal activities in the vermal-FN module. Our data cannot completely rule out the possibility that some FN neurons and/or vermal PCs may encode other concurrent behaviors during DEC, including related body movements, preparatory muscle tone or vestibular signals. However, these behaviors possibly need to be controlled by the same group of cerebellar neurons, suggesting a synergistic coordination of different movements during DEC. Such concerted actions are in line with recent results from Heiney and colleagues who showed that neurons from the classic simplex-IN module also contribute to coordinating other body movements during DEC\textsuperscript{60}.

By using reversible pharmacological, optogenetic and chemogenetic interventions in FN, we show that the vermis-FN module is essential for both the acquisition and expression of CRs. These results are in line with a recent study from Giovannucci and colleagues (Supplementary Fig. 5 of ref. 45), showing that...
muscimol inhibition of vermal lobule VI (likely the area projecting to the FN) impairs CR amplitudes in trained mice. The learning deficits were evident on the test day with FN inhibition omitted (Fig. 6f, i), suggesting that FN inhibition directly affects the associative learning process rather than merely deregulating eyelid muscle tone. Interestingly, we show that chronic FN lesions ipsilateral to the trained eye resulted in a significant and long-lasting impairment in CR performance. Therefore, our study unequivocally highlighted the enduring relevance of FN output in sensorimotor tasks like DEC. Previous rabbit studies of chronic lesions in the FN and/or vermis have suggested that their DEC (nictitating membrane conditioning in rabbits) does not critically depend on an intact FN or vermal cortex. This may be attributed to differences in the level of compensation after reversible perturbations and irreversible lesions, in the completeness of lesions, and/or in the kinematic
mechanisms of the conditioned eyelids in mice and the trained nictitating membrane responses in rabbits. Furthermore, the anatomical elucidation of the vermis-FN-MdV pathway agrees with previous rabies tracing studies, revealing the cerebellar and brainstem regions that control eyelid movement by connecting the motor neurons of the orbicular oculi muscle. Interestingly, in these rabies tracing studies, the MdV and RN appeared together as first-order labeled regions, ascending to facial motor neurons, whereas the FN and IN were found with coincidently labeled second-order connections, indicating two parallel pathways that project to the motor neurons of the orbicular oculi muscle. Accordingly, the excitatory projection from the FN to the medullary reticular formation, specifically the contralateral MdV, may enable important functions in motor control, as the MdV has been proven to mediate skilled motor behaviors and sensorimotor behaviors by modulating muscle tone. In our experiments, targeted inhibition of the FN-MdV pathway impaired eyelid closure of both CRs and URs, suggesting that the vermis-FN-MdV pathway may be engaged during associative behaviors to modulate motor
commands sent to specific muscle groups. Here, we hypothesize that FN output is crucial for gating/modulating task-related movements that have to be acquired via a cerebellar learning process, yet the motor signal that directly drives eyelid closures is conveyed from the IN. This view is supported by our observation that various FN lesions and manipulations affect CR performance in trained mice. Yet, electric activation of the IN rather than FN neurons drives eyelid closure in naïve mice (Supplementary Fig. 10). Hence, although both the FN-MdV and IN-RN pathways project to 7N motor neurons, it is likely that their involvement in generating motor commands is fundamentally distinct.

Shared and distinct neuronal dynamics in different cerebellar modules. Decades of landmark studies on DEC have achieved an unprecedented understanding of the cerebellar circuits for associative learning and behavior.\(^3,5–8,10–14,17,19,22–24,31,32,54–56,63\). PCs from the canonical simplex lobule-IN module have been shown to primarily modulate CRs by suppressing their simple spike activity and increasing their CS-related complex spike activity.\(^10,19,28\). Our recordings from vermal PCs reveal very similar activity patterns, showing that simple spike suppression correlated well with the conditioned eyelid amplitude. In addition, these vermal PCs showed CS-related complex spikes that correlated well with the onset timing and amplitude of the CRs, similar to those in the simplex lobule.\(^17,22\). We observed PCs in both the simplex lobule and the vermis that show simple spike facilitation in response to a CS. The simple spike facilitation of these PCs had a weaker correlation with the CR amplitude than that of simple spike-suppression cells. At present, it is unclear what information simple spike facilitation might encode during eyelink conditioning. In principle, they may control antagonistic eyelid muscle relaxation or synchronize other movements that occur concomitantly during the CS–US interval.

In line with the notable role of vermal PCs in DEC, we showed that facilitating FN neurons correlates well with the timing and amplitudes of CRs, which is reminiscent of how IN neurons probably control CRs.\(^8,17\). However, unlike IN neurons showing virtually exclusive positive correlations,\(^17\), the activity of about half of the facilitation FN neurons showed a negative trial-by-trial correlation with CR amplitudes. Therefore, it is conceivable that the vermal PCs and FN neurons may comprise antagonizing functional groups, together actively regulating both the closure and the opening of eyelids. These differences in activity dynamics may stem from the specific input information to these cerebellar modules. Our retrograde tracing in the simplex lobule and vermis showed distinctively labeled, adjacent regions in the inferior olive and pontine nuclei (Supplementary Fig. 11f–h), suggesting that distinct climbing fiber and mossy fiber inputs to the cerebellar modules may contribute differently to associative behaviors.

Despite the occurrence of the opposite correlations highlighted above, together with our previous work,\(^17,19\), most of the task-related FN and IN neurons showed increased activity during DEC.\(^9\). This finding is in line with the fact that CR amplitudes tend to correlate best with simple spike suppression in both the vermal and the simplex PCs.\(^9\). In this regard, it is intriguing that the proportion of FN neurons showing facilitation during DEC (approximately 53%) mismatches that of the vermal PCs with CS-related simple spike suppression (approximately 37%). Using multichannel silicon probes, we sampled FN neurons and vermal PCs in an unbiased manner. Due to the high PC-cerebellar nucleus convergence ratio,\(^66\), the chance is high that more task-irrelevant PCs were recorded. Second, sensorimotor information conveyed by the excitatory mossy fiber and climbing fiber collaterals\(^22,54,67\) may also directly facilitate FN neurons during DEC; hence, it is possible that inputs from specific vermal PCs and/or precerebellar mossy fiber and climbing fiber sources contribute to the relatively dominant facilitation of FN neurons during DEC. Further study is required to clarify the roles of mossy fiber and climbing fiber collaterals in the vermis-FN module during associative learning and behavior.

The shared neural dynamics between the vermis-FN module and simplex lobule-IN module suggest that common inputs might facilitate synergy across different functional modules to a certain extent. With regard to the mossy fiber inputs, our results show that there is minimal overlap in their resources in the pontine nuclei. However, the mossy fibers innervate the granule cells that give rise to parallel fibers traversing many zones, which may well reach beyond the cerebellar vermis and hemispheres.\(^68,69\). A common relay of CS signal by beams of parallel fibers may be further corroborated via cerebellar nucleo-cortical feedback loops to the granule cell layer,\(^23,70,71\), which indeed has been implicated to generate representations of predictive signals during DEC.\(^45\). Likewise, the climbing fiber sources innervating the eyeblink regions in the vermis and hemispheres do not overlap in the inferior olive. Yet, here too, one must be aware of the fact that DAO neurons projecting to the vermal eyeblink region are located just caudal to the neurons in the DAO that provides climbing fiber inputs to the simplex eyeblink region.\(^72,73\). It is exactly this transition zone between the DAO and principal olive that receives prominent inputs from the trigeminal nucleus, i.e., the main source mediating US signals during DEC.\(^9,19,22,31,53\). In addition, given the proximity of these two regions, these IO neurons are likely to be electrotonically coupled by dendrodendritic gap junctions,\(^72\), further facilitating convergent multifunctional signaling.\(^4\). In conjunction, this configuration may well explain why we found very similar short-latency complex spike responses in the vermis and lobule simplex following a US.\(^72\). Thus, both the simplex lobule and vermis may well have access to very similar CS and US signals.
Multimodal control of sensorimotor tasks and functional implications. Acquisition and expression of DEC require sophisticated and well-timed cerebellar coordination of attention, preparatory muscle tension, autonomic responses and concurrent body movements that systematically accompany eyelid movements\textsuperscript{10,60,75}. A previous study has shown that associative learning induces the formation of new synapses in both the IN and the FN, indicating the structural plasticity of mossy fiber inputs in multiple cerebellar modules\textsuperscript{72}. Current data highlight the possibility that the activity of different cerebellar modules can modulate behavior simultaneously during an associative sensorimotor task. Activity from both the simplex-IN module and the vermis-FN module is a prerequisite for generating conditioned eyelink responses, suggesting that neither pathway is functionally redundant. Notably, the UR amplitude can be significantly affected by inhibiting the FN pathway rather than the IN pathway. These results imply that inhibiting vermis-FN-MdV activity generally deregulates the output of facial motor neurons. As FN neuron activity is prominent during DEC-related eyelid closure, but not during spontaneous blinking, this modulation appears to play a role specifically for acquired sensorimotor behaviors. Taken together, we hypothesize that CS-related activity of the simplex-lobe-IN-RN pathway serves exclusively as the driver for initiating CRs, while acquired task-related modulation of the vermis-FN-MdV pathway may gate or fine-tune the excitability of facial motor neurons, which is critical for both conditioned and unconditioned reflexes.

In addition, task-related FN output could impose additional control over the IN pathway during DEC (Fig. 5j–l). The FN projects to a myriad of downstream targets that play various roles in both motor and nonmotor functions\textsuperscript{36–41}. In this study, we mainly focused on the FN-MdV pathway, yet it is possible that MdV-projecting FN neurons provide efference copies to many other brain regions by their collateral projections\textsuperscript{76}. We observed that inhibiting FN output could directly affect task-related IN activity. This cross-modal effect indicates the presence of circuits for the synergistic control of different cerebellar modules, possibly via cerebellar nucleo-cortical feedback loops\textsuperscript{23,70,71}, that inhibiting FN output could directly affect task-related IN activity. This cross-modal effect indicates the presence of circuits for the synergistic control of different cerebellar modules, possibly via cerebellar nucleo-cortical feedback loops\textsuperscript{23,70,71}.

Methods

Mice. All animal experiments were approved by the institutional animal welfare committee of Erasmus MC in accordance with the Central Authority for Scientific Procedures on Animals guidelines. Wild-type C57BL/6J (No. 000664) and transgenic Gad2-ires-Cre (No. 010880), VGlut2-ires-Cre (No. 016963), L7-Cre (No. 004146) and A2B7D (No. 012567) mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory. All mice in this study were 6–14 weeks old and were housed individually in a 12–14 hr light-dark cycle with food and water ad libitum. Ambient housing temperature was maintained at 22.5 ± 0.5 °C with 40–60% humidity. We used 37 mice for fastigial and Purkinje cell recordings for Figs. 1–4, and each mouse contributed to multiple datasets except where specifically indicated. We used 68 mice for behavioral, tracing and pathway specific perturbation experiments in Figs. 5–9.

Viral vectors. Adeno-associated virus AAV9-Syn-FLEX-ChrismR-tdTMatomo, AAV2-hSyn-DIO-hm4D-mcherry, AAV5-hSyn-Cre-eGFP were obtained from UNC Vector Core. AAVrG-Cag-GFP, AAV1-C87-TurboRFP, AAV1-C87-CI-eGFP, AAVrG-Cre-eBFP and AAV1-hSyn1-SIO-stgA2CR2 were obtained from Addgene. All viral vectors were aliquoted and stored at −80 °C until used.

Surgical procedures. Mice were anesthetized with 5% isoflurane for induction and 2.5% for maintenance. Animals were fixed on a mouse stereotaxic surgical plate (David Kopf Instruments) with eyes covered with DuraTears (Alcon Laboratories, Inc.), and body temperature was kept at 37.5 ± 0.5 °C constantly during operation. We injected bupivacaine (4 mg/kg) intraperitoneally after surgery.

For pedestal placement and craniotomy operation in all the behavioral or/and physiological acquisition system in this study. We recorded vermal Purkinje cells at a distance of 1.5–2.0 mm and FN neurons at a distance of 2.0–2.7 mm, as measured from the cerebellar surface. For single-channel recordings, a glass capillary (tip opening Φ = 2 μm) filled with 2 M NaCl solution was slowly penetrated in the cerebellum. In the recording sessions, the neuronal signal was observed. Neuronal signals were notch-filtered at 50 Hz, amplified and digitized at 20 kHz sampling rate by using Axon acquisition system (1440 A, Molecular Devices Corporation). Multichannel recordings (32-channels ASSY-32-E2 or 64-channels ASSY 77H-H2, Cambridge NeuroTech) were amplified and digitized on an Intan RHD2000 Evaluation System (Intan Technology) at 20 kHz sampling rate. Triggers for CS and US were controlled by a NI-PXI system (National Instruments) with computing Labview codes.

In-vivo electrophysiology. We used single-channel or multichannel electrophysiological acquisition system in this study. We recorded vermal Purkinje cells at a distance of 1.5–2.0 mm and FN neurons at a distance of 2.0–2.7 mm, as measured from the cerebellar surface. For single-channel recordings, a glass capillary (tip opening Φ = 2 μm) filled with 2 M NaCl solution was slowly penetrated in the cerebellum. In the recording sessions, the neuronal signal was observed. Neuronal signals were notch-filtered at 50 Hz, amplified and digitized at 20 kHz sampling rate by using Axon acquisition system (1440 A, Molecular Devices Corporation). Multichannel recordings (32-channels ASSY-32-E2 or 64-channels ASSY 77H-H2, Cambridge NeuroTech) were amplified and digitized on an Intan RHD2000 Evaluation System (Intan Technology) at 20 kHz sampling rate. Triggers for CS and US were controlled by a NI-PXI system (National Instruments) with computing Labview codes.

Optogenetic manipulation. Electrophysiological recordings were carried out four weeks after AAV injection and/or optical fiber implantation. In all the optogenetic experiments, manipulations were applied in the FN/IN ipsilateral to the trained side. We used an orange LED light source (M595F2, Thorlabs) to activate ChrismR and a blue LED light source (M470F3, Thorlabs) to activate ChR2 and SIO-stgA2CR2. Optical light with 100 Hz pulse, 50/50 duty cycle was controlled by a high-power light driver (DC2100, Thorlabs). Optical fiber was wrapped with light-isolating aluminum foil so that mice would not perceive the optogenetic light as a CS.

To express ChrismR specifically in excitatory or inhibitory FN neurons, AAV9-Syn-FLEX-ChrismR-tdTMatomo was injected in the FN of VGluT2-Cre or Gad2-Cre mice. To identify the ChrismR-expressing neurons in vivo, we first illuminated the orange light to suppress the FN (M2M) and recorded FN neuron responses in the FN. Only neurons with short-latency responses to the optogenetic stimulation (latency < 20 ms)\textsuperscript{79,80} were included in the datasets for further analysis. To examine the effects of optogenetic perturbation during learning (Fig. 6g–i), naive animals were daily trained for 200 trials with the same optogenetic condition mentioned above, for 10 consecutive days. On day 11, we omitted the optogenetic perturbation to test the effects of optogenetic perturbation on the acquisition and expression of DEC.
placed in 40 control trials (no photoperurbation), and we targeted putative 7N motor neurons based on the stereotactic coordinates (Supplementary Table 1) and their stimulation sites in response to DREADD-CNO modulation.

To specifically suppress the FN-MdV pathway in well-trained animals (Fig. 9), an inhibitory opioid stGtACR2 was expressed exclusively in the MdV-projecting FN neurons by simultaneously injecting a Cre-dependent AAV1-Hsyn-SIO- stGtACR2-FusionRed in the FN and a retrograde AAVvg-Cre-eBFP in the MdV. At least 15 optogenetic trials with blue light (4.5 µW) flanking the CS and US epochs were randomly placed in 50 normal CS–US paired trials (control) to test behavioral changes following FN-MdV pathway inhibition. We identified the stGtACR-expressing FN neurons by in-vivo recording the spike rate changes in response to optogenetic illumination, and further tested the activities of these ‘opto-tagged’ FN neuron during DEc.

Chronic photolesions of FN. Nine animals were trained with 200 DEc trials daily for 10 days. We randomly divided these conditioned mice into two groups (n = 4 for FN lesion and n = 5 for sham surgery). CR performance on pre-lesion day 11 had no significant difference between two groups (two-sample two-sided t test, P < 0.05). For photolesions FN (ipsilateral to the conditioned eye), an optical fiber (200 µm diameter, NA 0.22, Thorlabs) was inserted into the FN. Continuous blue light (15–30 mW) was applied for 10 min to lesion the FN. The control group (sham group) underwent the same surgical procedures without laser application. After photolesioning, we tested the CR performance for three consecutive days. Animals were sacrificed for histology to confirm their lesion sites at the end of the experiment.

Electrical activation of FN/IN regions. Cranialotomy were made above the FN/IN stimulation sites. A stimulation glass electrode (tip opening = 8 µm) filled with 2.75% saline-0.5% alician blue was lowered into the FN or IN based on their stereotactic coordinates (Supplementary Table 1). Animals received stimulation (250 µs biphasic pulses, 0.2 ms pulse train, 500 Hz) in either the FN or IN sequentially starting with 0.1 µA and increasing 0.2 µA to maximum 2 µA. Eyeblink latency was recorded and digitized as described above. Mice were sacrificed for histology after stimulation to confirm the stimulation locations.

Pharmacological and chemogenetic Inhibition. All the pharmacological and chemogenetic inhibition experiments were performed in the FN ipsilateral to the conditioned eye. To inhibit FN neurons in trained mice during DEc, we performed a cranioectomy on FN 3 days before behavioral tests. A glass capillary with 0.05% muscimol (Tocris Bioscience, 2089)–0.5% alician blue (volume ratio 1:1) was lowered into the FN region, and about 10 mL mixture was injected 5 min before DEc test. Animals were sacrificed for histological check of the injection site. We expressed inhibitory DREADDs in FN neurons by co-injecting AAV2-Hsyn-DIO-hmDi4-mcherry and AAV5-Hsyn-Cre-eGFP (volume ratio 1:1) in the FN. CNO (Santa Cruz, sc-391002A) was dissolved in 2.5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as stock (light shielded at 4 °C) and diluted with saline as working solution (0.6 mg/mL). Animals were intraperitoneally administered with CNO working solution (3 mg/kg) 15–20 min before training sessions for 10 days. On day 11, we omitted the CNO administration to test the learning outcomes. In order to prove effectiveness of the DREADD-CNO system, we recorded FN neuron activity changes over time after the CNO injection in awake mice (Fig. 6b, c).

Histology and microscopy. For viral Purkinje cell retrograde tracing, goat anti-cholera toxin B subunit primary antibody (1:15000, List labs, 703) and biotinylated horse anti-goat secondary antibody (1:2000, Vector, BA-9500) were used. For NeuN staining, rabbit anti-NeuN primary antibody (1:1000, Santa Cruz, sc-391002A) was dissolved in 2.5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as stock (light shielded at 4 °C) and diluted with saline as working solution (0.6 mg/mL). Animals were intraperitoneally administered with CNO working solution (3 mg/kg) 15–20 min before training sessions for 10 days. On day 11, we omitted the CNO administration to test the learning outcomes. In order to prove effectiveness of the DREADD-CNO system, we recorded FN neuron activity changes over time after the CNO injection in awake mice (Fig. 6b, c).

Behavioral analysis. As described in our previous work27,28, to investigate the eyelid position changes in response to the CS and US, each trial was normalized to a 500 ms baseline prior to the CS onset. We removed trials with noisy baseline (spontaneous blinking) by performing an iterative Grubs’ outlier detection test (α = 0.05) on the standard deviations of baseline. A CR was defined as the timing which eyelid closure exceeded 5% of the mean baseline, and CR onset was defined as the timing which eyelid closure exceeded three SDs of the baseline value. Peak amplitudes of CR and US were detected in a 50–250 ms window during the CS–US interval and a 100 ms window after US, respectively. To estimate the 7N neuron activity changes in response to spontaneous blinking, we detected spontaneous blinking events with prominent peak heights (> 10% maximum blink peak of the recording session) and aligned them at peak epoch. The sample size of spontaneous blink in each recording session was at least 20, which statistically meets the requirement for analyzing the corresponding neuron modulation.

Electrophysiological analysis. Single-channel recordings and Purkinje cell complex spikes were analyzed by using in-house developed code SpikeTrain (Neur-asmus) in Matlab27,28. Raw recordings were band-pass filtered at 300–3000 Hz to subtract noise and field potential signals. We extracted spike events with amplitudes that crossed the threshold at three SDs of the baseline noise. We performed additional manual waveform sorting to Purkinje cell complex spikes based on the distinct features of an initial spike followed by high-frequency spikes. Neurons from multichannel recordings were sorted with JRCUST29, and all spike data was stored for further analysis. In order to determine the cell modulation to response to CS, only cells with more than 20 CR trials were included in the dataset. Peristimulus time histograms (PSTHs) of well-isolated units were constructed by superimposing the CS onset-aligned spike time in a shifting window (50 ms window size, 5 ms increment) which shifted from 500 ms before CS and onward, and were expressed as frequency. We conservatively performed CR modulation detection within 50–200 ms after CS onset due to the fact that the shifting window for PSTH construction went across CR and US in the last 50 ms of CS. Baseline firing rate was calculated as the mean frequency in a 500 ms window prior to the CS onset. We determined firing rate changes in response to CS by subtracting the baseline firing rate from the frequency within 50–200 ms after CS onset. Cells with average firing rate changes (50–200 ms) more than three SDs of the baseline frequency were considered modulating in response to CS. We then discriminated the direction of modulation by linearly fitting the PSTH of spike frequency within the 50–200 ms window. Ftings with positive slopes were considered as facilitation, whereas with negative slopes were considered as suppression. US modulation was determined within the first 100 ms after US. As previously described27, spike rate change more than 5 Hz after US was considered as US-related modulation...
transforming the registered images into binary images. We then thresholded the fluorescence intensities for both channels at 95th-95th percentiles. Fractions of GFP-overexpressing RFP in the RN and the MdV were calculated with ImageJ and presented in individual mouse for statistics. To quantify the projection densities from the FN and IN in the MdV and RN (Supplementary Fig. 11a–e), same threshold (85th-95th percentiles) was applied independently to both channels of binary images, and area fractions were calculated for both FN and IN projections and presented in individual mouse for statistics. To quantify the common inputs projecting into the simplex lobule and the vermis (Supplementary Fig. 11g, h), we manually counted the retrogradely labeled cells in the inferior olive and the pontine nuclei and presented the data in individual animal for statistics.

**Statistics.** All statistics were performed by using Matlab, SPSS and GraphPad Prism. Behavior was illustrated as average of all trials ± standard deviation (SD). The neuron frequency changes were plotted as the average of all cells ± standard error of mean (s.e.m.), and sample sizes were displayed in the figure legends. Statistical comparisons were performed by using t tests, repeated measures ANOVA and restricted maximum likelihood model depending on the experiment and data specificity, unless stated otherwise. Statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05, and annotations were *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 respectively. No significant difference was denoted as n.s.

**Reporting summary.** Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

**Data availability** Source data are provided with this paper. Please see data for all figures in the Resource Data file. The raw datasets generated and analyzed in the current study are available from the corresponding author (Z. Gao) upon reasonable request. Source data are provided with this paper.

**Code availability** All custom analysis codes generated in Matlab can be found in the following repository: https://github.com/XiaoluOne/FN-eyeblink. The data acquisition codes created in Labview, and other custom codes in Matlab are available from the corresponding author (Z. Gao) upon reasonable request.
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