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Abstract

National Public Health Institutes (NPHIs) are national-level institutions that can lead and coordinate a country’s public health system. The Africa Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Africa CDC) considers NPHI development critical to strengthening public health systems in Africa. This paper describes how Joint External Evaluation (JEE) reports demonstrate the role NPHIs can play in supporting the goals of IHR compliance and global health security. This study is a secondary document-based qualitative analysis of JEE reports from 11 countries in the WHO AFRO region (Botswana, Ethiopia, Liberia, Mozambique, Namibia, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, South Africa, Uganda, and Zambia). Researchers found three distinct thematic areas: i) core public health functions, ii) governance, and iii) coordination, collaboration, and communication. These themes and their interlinkages, both in pairs and all three, were of importance in displaying the roles that NPHIs could play in the strengthening of health systems. The data suggests that NPHIs, though not always explicitly mentioned in the data, may have a vital role in strengthening health systems across Africa and their governments’ goals of achieving IHR compliance.

Introduction

The Global Health Security Agenda (GHSA), a collaboration among World Health Organization (WHO) member states, aims to strengthen global capacity to prevent, detect, and respond to public health threats.1-3 GHSA helps countries achieve compliance with the 2005 International Health Regulations (IHR), an agreement between all 196 WHO member states to “a new standard of reciprocal responsibility among nations” with a commitment to the advancement of “core capacities required to detect, assess, report, and respond to any public health event that might cross borders, whether of natural, accidental, or deliberate origin.”4 To assess a country’s status and progress toward meeting the IHR across 19 technical areas, the WHO developed the Joint External Evaluation (JEE). Within each technical area are indicators that measure different aspects of that capacity.5 Evaluators assign each indicator a score on a five-point scale, ranging from “no capacity” to “sustainable capacity” and identify a technical area’s priority actions and its indicators’ strengths and challenges.5 JEEs often link to other GHSA tools, including National Action Plans for Health Security (NAPHS). NAPHS help countries determine actions and resources needed to address gaps identified in JEEs.

Countries have employed different ways to improve the competencies necessary to achieve IHR compliance, including establishing or strengthening National Public Health Institutes (NPHIs). NPHIs are science-based, national-level institutions that provide leadership and coordination for a country’s public health system.6-10 While Ministries of Health are often heavily focused on clinical services, which can result in limited coordination of and focus on public health programs and systems, NPHIs bring together disparate, public health functions to centralize programming and responses. NPHI functions and attributes, shown in Table 1, vary depending on a country’s needs, but generally, NPHIs are responsible for coordinating national laboratory and surveillance activities, outbreak detection and response, workforce development, implementing public health programs, and public health research.7,9,11 These institutes increase efficiency within the public health system through improved coordination and reduce fragmentation, redundancy, and organizational barriers that might exist in a system without such a focal point.9,10 Several countries have long-standing NPHIs (e.g., Colombia, Ethiopia, Finland, United States), while other countries established an NPHI in response to a public health crisis (e.g., Canada, Liberia).9,12-14 NPHIs can be structured as line agencies of the Ministry of Health, semi-autonomous parastatal organizations, or a hybrid of the two (Table 1).9,10 In 2017, the Africa Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Africa CDC) was launched as a specialized technical institution of the African Union (AU) to help build AU Member States’ capacities for surveillance, response, and prevention of infectious dis-
Africa CDC considers NPHI development critical to strengthening public health systems in Africa by supporting the integration and coordination of its strategic pillars. Supporting the establishment and creation of NPHIs in member countries is foundational to Africa CDC’s mandate, and its operational structure includes regional collaborating centers to build cross-border relationships and support regional public health functions among NPHIs. This, along with widespread participation in JEEs in Africa, indicates results of JEE reports may be valuable to guide priorities and needs for NPHIs across the continent.

NPHI establishment is neither a requirement of IHR nor a technical area of the JEE. As such, report indicators do not specifically include NPHIs. The paper uses JEE reports to identify direct and indirect references to NPHIs and the roles that NPHIs can play in relevant technical areas. Through themes identified, the paper will describe how JEE reports demonstrate these roles and how NPHIs can support the goals of IHR compliance and global health security.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Sample

This analysis was a document-based qualitative case study of 11 JEE reports from WHO’s AFRO region. Using purposive sampling, JEE reports were included in the sample based on: i) the availability of a JEE report in English and ii) whether the country had established or was pursuing establishment of an NPHI. Communications between country leadership, IANPHI, and US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention at the time of the study determined the establishment status of the NPHI. The selected countries had JEE reports published prior to June 2018; dates of evaluations can be found in Table 2. The study spanned a seven-month period from February-August 2019.

Data Collection and Analysis

The JEE reports for the 11 selected countries were publicly available through the WHO website. Data collection, storage, and analysis occurred in Microsoft Excel. Researchers converted the reports, indicator by indicator, into an Excel spreadsheet to create the data set. The data were secondary, gathered from 13 of 19 technical areas after determining through a review of the content of the reports that six technical areas were not under the direct purview of NPHI functions and attributes, as displayed in Table 1. Included and excluded technical areas are in Table 3.

The data examined were from the “Recommendations for priority actions” sections and “Strengths/best practices” and “Areas that need strengthening and challenges” subsections for indicators within the technical areas. The sections and subsections provided justifications for each indicator that could be converted into separate data entries, which served as the data set. The research team iteratively created and refined the codebook based on deductive coding of two initial JEE reports.

Results

The research team identified three main themes from the JEE reports analyzed that demonstrated roles NPHIs can play in supporting the goals of IHR compliance and global health: i) core public health functions; ii) governance; and iii) coordination, collaboration, and communication. Although each theme could appear sepa-

Table 1. NPHI Functions and Attributes, as defined in the Framework for Development of National Public Health Institutes in Africa by the Africa Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (Africa CDC) and the Framework for the Creation and Development of National Public Health Institutes by the International Association of National Public Health Institutes (IANPHI). Both frameworks highlight similar functions and attributes; however, the language used in Table 1 more closely reflects the Africa CDC Framework, except where noted.
rately, they were more commonly interdependent or interlinked. The data directly linked NPHIs with these three themes. Data described NPHIs’ potential roles in a country’s public health system, as well as the environment of resources and conditions that enable NPHIs to function (e.g., financing, authorizing legislation).

Figure 1 shows the progression of analysis from individual themes to a collated concept map that displays the themes that both sets of data had in common. In Figure 1A, the areas with unique colors display how the overlapping subthemes fit into larger thematic areas: core public health functions (orange); governance (purple); and coordination, collaboration, and communication (green). Subthemes found in either the NPHI dataset or the larger dataset, are detailed in Appendix A. The subthemes under “core public health functions” are abstracted from the more granular subthemes within each core public health function. The added slices in Figure 1B depict interlinkages between adjacent thematic areas. Appendix B displays all overlapping subthemes and subthemes that are only present in the larger dataset which are not in Figure 1. Figure 1C represents the completed conceptual map, where the added circle displays the interlinkage of all three thematic areas. All overlapping subthemes and subthemes only present in the larger dataset, not depicted in Figure 1, are in Appendix C. One of the subthemes presented, core functions, is a simplification of the four subthemes presented in the core public health functions thematic area. Notably, if a subtheme appeared in multiple classifications in Appendices A-C, it can be found in the area where it had the most thematic interaction on Figure 1. For example, Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response was a subtheme in both the intersection of core functions and governance and the intersection of all three themes, so it is in the latter section in Figure 1.

Core Public Health Functions

Capacity to perform core public health functions is a key element in public health systems. In both the larger data set and the NPHI-coded data, core public health functions included workforce development, surveillance, laboratory capacity, and emergency preparedness and response activities.

Surveillance uses public health and clinical data to detect disease and report epidemiologic trends. JEE reports recommended implementing Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response (IDSR) 21 or event-based surveillance as methods to improve surveillance capacity, giving examples of NPHI involvement in surveillance and reporting. JEE evaluators lauded Ethiopia’s national surveillance system, housed in the Ethiopia Public Health Institute (EPHI), as a best practice:

“The national surveillance system is accessible to staff in the EPHI. […] The staff assigned to follow the outbreak situation are responsible for drafting the situation report. Situation reports are shared to decision makers on a daily basis and with partners on a weekly basis.”

Emergency preparedness and response capacity is a country’s ability to prevent, detect, and respond to outbreaks or other public health threats. JEE reports cited the need for the use of tabletop exercises, identification of risks, and creation of Emergency Operating Centers (EOCs) for public health. NPHIs were home to EOCs for several countries in the dataset, including Nigeria:

“[Nigeria Centre for Disease Control (NCDC)] EOC has activated several times and has been an important contributor to the successful control of the several public health emergencies.”

Some NPHIs, such as Mozambique’s, also functioned as homes to national and reference laboratories, bearing responsibility for their responsible for capacity and quality:

“Diagnostic capacity for an increasing number of zoonotic diseases, e.g. trypanosomiasis, Rift Valley Fever, leptospirosis and influenza, is ongoing at the National Institute of Health.”

The larger dataset recommended improving training and developmental opportunities, creating career paths for trained public health workforce within all levels of the government, planning for future workforce needs, and ensuring suffi-
cient staffing to strengthening the public health workforce, while NPHI-coded data included the need for trained staff.

**Governance**

National governments, ministries, subnational entities, and NPHIs all had governance responsibilities according to the data. Governments may grant the public health system legal authority by creating, passing, amending, and enforcing policies and legislation that strengthen the public health system. This included policies that bring the country in line with IHR requirements, such as identifying an IHR National Focal Point (NFP) or updating zoonotic disease legislation to align with IHR. A frequently cited priority action or an area that needed strengthening was the creation and passing of legislation relating to NPHIs, either for establishment or providing legal authority to execute key functions, seen in Namibia’s report:

“Plans for a national public health institute need to move forward with a strategy for its organization, finance, and the passage of legislation for its establishment.”

Namibia’s example showed that NPHIs not only relied on the national government to establish the institute, but also had governance responsibilities such as strategizing for operations and financing.

**Coordination, Collaboration, and Communication**

Discussion of coordination, collaboration, and communication within the public health system without one of the other themes was rare. However, it was still seen individually throughout activities across national ministries and within different levels of government in both the larger data set and NPHI subset. The larger data set also had instances of coordination, collaboration, and communication internationally, regionally, and across different sectors.

Communication with partners, stakeholders, and the public was a key responsibility for actors within the public health system, which included the sharing of information and data. Notably, the data mentioned NFPs and World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) delegates for their role in this and other aspects of coordination, collaboration, and communication. As seen in Nigeria, NFPs are important to the coordination of public health, especially in relation to health securities.

**Interlinkages**

A critical finding of this analysis was the interdependence of and linkages among the three themes. This was particularly true of the NPHI data subset, where interlinking was seen more frequently than in the larger data set. Data showed overlaps between sets of two themes and all three themes together.

**Core Public Health Functions and Governance**

Legislative mandates, creating units and teams, deploying and managing resources, and creating standard operating procedures (SOPs) and manuals all existed at the intersection of core functions and governance. A method to operationalize technical capacities in Nigeria was the presence of an NPHI:

“Among the most noteworthy best practices observed in Nigeria is the existence of a national public health institute [Nigeria Centre for Disease Control (NCDC)] that was recently designated as the National IHR Focal Point. This designation further increases the visibility of the IHR, as the NCDC, which currently functions as the centre of Nigeria’s public health infrastructure, is better placed to lead the country’s progress towards full IHR compliance.

![Image of conceptual map showing interlinkages between themes](image-url)
Additionally, the Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response Guidelines, which were revised in 2013 have been used by the NCDC to conduct surveillance and response activities in the country.”

**Core Public Health Functions and Coordination, Collaboration, and Communication**

Core public health functions featured prominently in the data paired with coordination, collaboration, and communication, such as maintaining surveillance networks and monitoring priority zoonotic diseases. Emergency operations necessitated coordination, collaboration, and communication among stakeholders for risk communications, information-sharing, and responding to events. In addition, the technical areas of deployment of medical countermeasures, biosafety and biosecurity, and reporting relied on coordination, collaboration, and communication amongst various actors and across sectors and core functions. Accordingly, in Ethiopia, they called to:

“Elevate the [Pharmaceuticals Fund and Supply Agency] to the EPHI for national-level coordination and mobilization of the medical countermeasures supply chain pre- and post-public health emergency.”

**Coordination, Collaboration, and Communication and Governance**

The relationship between governance and coordination, collaboration, and communication was important in establishing agreements (e.g., memoranda of understanding) between stakeholders within a country, between neighboring countries, and across sectors. Data mentioned this in the role that Nigeria CDC plays in response activities. Notably, identified priorities included the existence of guidelines for the roles of IHR NFP in relation to other stakeholders. A lack of standardized documentation for IHR implementation resulted in a call for SOPs.

**Core Public Health Functions; Governance; and Coordination, Collaboration, and Communication**

Data- and information-sharing, stakeholder and partner engagement, emergency operations, One Health, resource deployment and management, and IDSR depended on all three themes. NPHIs such as the Zambia National Public Health Institute (ZNPHI) exist at this intersection:

“Strengthening of the regional and district laboratories to test for some diseases, involvement of private laboratories in IDSR, increased budget allocation to laboratories by the government and the sustainable commitment of the Government in the establishment and operation of the newly carved out National Public Health Institute.”

The interlinkage of governance; coordination, collaboration, and communication; and core functions were also seen in the production of coordination documents like protocols, SOPs, guidelines, and memoranda of understanding, which provided standards for public health system. These documents regulate the management of resources and relationships as well as ensure the quality of services the public health system provides.

The three themes also intersected in emergency operations, requiring capacity in disease detection, legal authority to begin responses, and the ability to communicate when an emergency is occurring. For example, South Africa’s proposed NPHI will be responsible for the detection and reporting of emergencies:

“[T]here is an urgent need to domesticate the WHO resolutions and hence provide an enabling environment for reporting of potential [public health emergencies of international concern] to WHO. For example, the country has a draft bill on the National Public Health Institute of South Africa (NAPHISA) which addresses reporting to WHO.”

**Discussion**

NPHIs can serve as a central point in a nation’s public health system by hosting the intersection of the three identified themes, as found in the analysis. Strong, synergistic linkages between core public health functions; governance; and coordination, collaboration, and communication are vital to prevention, detection, and response to public health threats. Establishing an NPHI can improve a country’s ability to respond, increase efficiency, reduce costs, and strengthen core capacities through improved coordination and integration of services.

Large-scale, international disease outbreaks threatening public health around the world consistently illuminate the need for responsive institutions. Several countries established their NPHIs in just those circumstances, including the Public Health Agency of Canada in 2004 after the SARS outbreak and the National Public Health Institute of Liberia (NPHIL) in 2017 in response to the 2014-2016 Ebola outbreak.

Many countries have determined NPHIs, or similar institutions, add value even before public health threats occur. Colombia’s Instituto Nacional de Salud (INS) has been in operation for over 100 years, with a history of strengthening core functions such as surveillance and emergency preparedness. This allowed the country to quickly respond to the 2016 Zika outbreak and has helped INS become a regional leader in public health. The Zambian government realigned some of the core functions of their Ministry of Health to establish ZNPHI, which serves as the country’s center of excellence for public health security. The creation of a public health emergency operations center within ZNPHI allows for greater coordination to respond to public health emergencies and events, including, activating this function to address the cholera outbreak that began in October 2017.

The presence of NPHIs, such as NPHIL and ZNPHI, throughout Africa supports the five strategic pillars of Africa CDC in its mission to empower its member states to have ownership and responsibility of their populations’ health. The Africa CDC Framework for Development of National Public Health Institutes in Africa serves as a guiding document for the establishment and strengthening of NPHIs throughout the continent and how these institutes can integrate and coordinate the strategic pillars. The presence of the core public health functions and key attributes outlined by the Framework (Table 1) became apparent throughout the thematic areas and their interlinkages established during analysis. This underscores the roles that NPHIs can play in strengthening health systems and helping governments achieve IHR compliance.

Core public health functions, as defined by Africa CDC, are “external-facing” NPHI aspects with influences on a country’s population, both individually and societally, and on organizations. Seven of the 10 core functions listed in Table 1 were present in the thematic areas and interlinkages of the data, highlighting the roles NPHIs can play in achieving the IHR compliance and global health security. These functions are also present in the themes themselves. Public health laboratory and surveillance systems, and emergency preparedness and response and Public health workforce development are core functions. Meanwhile, Policies and plans that support individual and community health efforts and Health protection and support for regulation and enforcement are roles of governance.

Key attributes are “internal-facing” and focus on the operationalization of NPHIs. Similarly, the data had numerous...
toward IHR compliance. NPHIs, foster ration, communication and channel them activities, including outbreaks of Lassa with governance and coordination, collaborating or leading emergency response. NPHIs have demonstrated success in supporting and promoting global health security. When there is a need to harness these capabilities there is a need to fulfill all the roles and responsibilities of a national public health system. Merely trying have not an NPHI at the time of their post-cyclone response in Mozambique. There are countries included in the study, such as Liberia, that did not have an NPHI at the time of their JEE but have since established one. Thus, the current context and functionalities, such as those of National Public Health Institute of Liberia (NPHIL), are not part of the analysis completed in this study. Lastly, although JEEs do not explicitly address all the functions and attributes of an NPHI identified in the Africa CDC and IANPHI frameworks, such as research in public health, they remain a valuable tool for a majority of those listed.

Conclusions

Individually, each identified theme cannot fulfill all the roles and responsibilities of a national public health system. Merely having technical capacity is insufficient; there is a need to harness these capabilities with governance and coordination, collaboration, communication and channel them toward IHR compliance. NPHIs, foster linkages and therefore can serve as the focal point for a nation’s public health activities and promote global health security. When these linkages are leveraged effectively, NPHIs have demonstrated success in supporting or leading emergency response activities, including outbreaks of Lassa Fever in Nigeria and cholera in Zambia, and post-cyclone response in Mozambique.
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Abstract

Breast cancer is a global health concern in terms of morbidity and mortality. Risk-sharing mechanisms such as health insurance provide resources and promote access to healthcare. The aim of the study was to assess the relationship between health insurance coverage and clinical outcome of breast cancer patients. The study employed retrospective design involving the use of secondary data from the patients diagnosed with breast cancer. Between the period of 2015 to 2019, 250 patients’ records were reviewed from a sample size of 300 patients over 5 year patients’ follow-up period. A descriptive and Kaplan Meier survival analysis was performed to determine the patients’ survival rate. Seventy-two percent of the patients had health insurance cover at the time of diagnosis. Insurance status was found to be significantly associated with survival (p-values= 0.036). Insurance cover had 1.42 hazard ratio (p=0.036, 95% CI: 1.023-1.980). Patients with health insurance coverage at the time of diagnosis had a higher survival rate. No significant association was found among the demographic characteristics and the patients’ clinical outcomes.

Introduction

Globally, breast cancer is a major health concern in terms of morbidity and mortality,1,2 and the most frequently diagnosed cancer and the leading cause of cancer-related deaths among women throughout the world.3 In 2012, 522,000 (30.7%) out of an estimated 1.7 million women diagnosed with breast cancer died.2 In Africa, over 100,000 cases of breast cancer are diagnosed annually with 49,000 deaths.3 In sub-Saharan Africa, 23.5 per 100,000 cases of breast cancer were recorded in 2013 in women aged 15 years and above and an estimated 35,427 resulted in mortality.4,5 According to GLOBOCAN the annual estimated incidence rate of breast cancer in Ghana is 25.8 cases per 100,000 women and mortality rate of 15.2 deaths per 100,000 women.6 Again, 2,260 new cases of breast cancer are diagnosed annually in Ghana, with 1,021 deaths occurring constituting 45.2% of women diagnosed with breast cancer.7

Access to health services is the timely use of personal health services to achieve the best health outcomes. It covers one’s overall physical, social, mental health status and quality of life.8 Risk-sharing mechanisms such as social insurance provide resources to access healthcare and to promote health while protecting individuals and households against the potentially devastating direct financial costs of illness.8 Comprehensive quality health care services are important for promoting and maintaining health, preventing and managing diseases, reducing unnecessary disability and premature death, and achieving health equity for all.9 This requires gaining entry into the health care system usually through insurance coverage, accessing a location where needed health care services are provided, finding a health care provider whom the patient trusts and can communicate with.10 In recent years there has been a trend for many developing countries to move towards a new or expanded role for various forms of Social Health Insurance (SHI), in the pursuit of Universal Health Care as championed by the World Health Organization.11

In recent times, many concerns have been raised regarding the increasing rate of breast cancer among young people in Ghana. In addition to the fact that the incidence of the disease appears to be increasing, late presentation with poor outcomes of treatment is a distinctive feature of breast cancer.12 In most cases, patients without health insurance or underinsured have a greater likelihood of being diagnosed with breast cancer at an advanced stage of disease, in comparison to other patients with private or Medicare insurance as in the case of the United States.13,14

Up to 70% of women who are diagnosed with breast cancer in Ghana are in the advanced stages of the disease, resulting in a higher mortality rate compared to high-income countries.15 In addition, Ghanaian women are more likely to be diagnosed with high-grade triple-negative breast tumors.16 Reasons for the delayed presentation have been traced to cost and access to routine mammography screening, lack of awareness, and cultural attitudes.16 It was against this background that the study was conducted to determine the association between health insurance status and five-year survival among the women with breast cancer presented at the study site. In doing this, disparities in breast cancer outcome following radiotherapy and chemotherapy were examined.

The intention was to reduce the high dependency on out-of-pocket payments in the form of user charges and co-payments,
which are regressive as they disproportionately affect the poorest, and therefore challenge the underlying tenets of equity within healthcare systems.

Materials and Methods

Retrospective design involving the use of secondary data from female breast cancer patients’ records at the study site from 2015 to 2019 was employed. Over the study period, trends and outcomes of breast cancer in relation to health insurance coverage in patients’ records (folders) were reviewed. Extracted data for analysis was based on women diagnosed with breast cancer, treated and followed up retrospectively for a five-year period. Out of the total number of folders retrieved from the archives and records rooms of the department, only folders that met the selection criteria were used for data analysis.

The study site was selected because it is currently the third largest hospital in Africa and the leading national referral center in Ghana serving a total of 70% of all cancer cases seen in the country according to Kyei et al. The folders of patients were reviewed taking into consideration their demographic and clinical history details such as age, ethnicity, occupation, cancer stage, date of diagnosis, surgery/ type of surgery, hormone receptors means of diagnosis, morphology, extent of disease, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, date of death status, and other co-morbidities.

The estimated sample size for the study was 300 breast cancer folders with a 5% margin of error on a recorded total patient’s population. Using the concept of equiprobability, researchers assigned equal probabilities to the selection of folders and progression through the list was done circularly. A systematic sampling method was used in the folder selection to minimize the level of selection bias. The medical records of the population were arranged in ascending order in Microsoft Excel with regards to the assigned but unique numerical identifier and qualified folders were picked at constant intervals.

Data on survival and death of patients were followed up from the department’s registry and verification on the status of patients was done. The survival time was calculated in years, considering the dates at initial diagnosis. The data was obtained from patients’ medical records, recruited on their review appointment days. Information such as the type of insurance status and when it was used for care were also retrieved as it provided evidence about whether the patients were alive or not. It again supported the data because not every death was reported and subsequently entered into the Registry.

Double entries of all extracted data were validated using Microsoft Excel compared for inconsistency in data entry, and corrected before exporting to STATA statistical software package for analysis. To ensure confidentiality, only the investigators had access to the data. Both descriptive analysis and inferential analysis were performed on the study variables. The descriptive analyses were reported on the frequencies, percentages, means and standard deviations. The determination of associations among variables was done by using chi-square with a statistical significance of p-value <0.05. The Kaplan-Meier test was used to perform the survival analysis to determine a five-year period mean survival of cases from breast cancer. The accumulated survival curves between the different categories of study variables were compared using the log-rank method. To substantiate the effect of independence of the study variables representing a statistical significance in a log-rank test, the model of Cox proportional risks multivariate (Hazard ratio) was used as well as calculating the Cox regression power.

Ethical approval was sought from the Ethics and Protocol Review Committee of a higher institution and the head of the unit of the study site before data collection. The use of this secondary data reduced the burden on respondents and ensured replicability of study findings allowing greater transparency of this research procedure and integrity of data collected. Patients whose folders were reviewed were all contacted either in person or on phone to seek their consent before the study began study began.

Results

Out of 300 patients’ files reviewed, 250 met the inclusion criteria representing 83.3% with the average age of 27 years (±11.1). The majority of the cases were within 37-49 years whereas only 8% fell below the age of 37 years. In all, 58% of the cases were 50 years and above. Cases identified as working in the informal sector formed the majority (54.0%), followed by those in the formal sector (26.8%) (Table 1). The unemployed and retired workers constituted 19.2%. Married cases constituted the majority (61.2%), whilst single or divorced formed 15.2% and 23.6% respectively. A family history of breast cancer was only traced with 17.2% of the cases. Health insurance enrollment before the diagnosis of breast cancer was found among the majority (72.0%) and among them, the majority was on the NHIS (Table 1).

The tumor subtypes presented by breast cancer patients at the time of diagnosis showed that the presence of ER (Estrogen Receptor) was high among participants (46%) and 36% had PR (Progesterone Receptor) present. Regarding the status of HER2 (Human Epidermal Growth Factor receptor), the majority (56%) were negative.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of cases.

| Variables                          | Categories         | Frequencies (%) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Occupational status               | Formal             | 67 (26.8)       |
|                                   | Informal           | 135 (54.)       |
|                                   | Unemployed         | 22 (8.8)        |
|                                   | Retired            | 26 (10.4)       |
| Ethnicity                         | Ga/Adangbe         | 44 (17.6)       |
|                                   | Akan               | 117 (46.8)      |
|                                   | Ewe                | 58 (23.2)       |
|                                   | Hausa/Others       | 31 (12.4)       |
| Marital status                    | Married            | 153 (61.2)      |
|                                   | Single             | 38 (15.2)       |
|                                   | Divorced/Separated | 59 (23.6)       |
| Region of Residence              | Greater Accra Region | 189 (75.6)  |
|                                   | Eastern Region     | 27 (10.8)       |
|                                   | Central Region     | 15 (6.0)        |
|                                   | Volta Region       | 11 (4.4)        |
|                                   | Northern Ghana     | 8 (3.2)         |
| Family History of breast cancer  | Yes                | 43 (17.2)       |
|                                   | No                 | 174 (69.6)      |
|                                   | Unknown            | 33 (13.2)       |
| Insurance before Diagnosis       | Yes                | 180 (72.0)      |
|                                   | No                 | 70 (28.0)       |
| Type of Insurance                 | NHIS               | 165 (69.7)      |
|                                   | Private insurance  | 15 (6.5)        |