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Abstract

Objective: To provide family physicians with a practical, evidence-based approach to managing patients with cerumen impaction. Methods: MEDLINE, The Cochrane Library, and the Turning Research Into Practice (TRIP) database were searched for English-language cerumen impaction guidelines and reviews. All such articles published between 1992 and 2018 were reviewed, with most providing level II and III evidence. Results: Cerumen impaction is a common presentation seen in primary care and cerumen removal is one of the most common otolaryngologic procedures performed in general practice. Cerumen impaction is often harmless but can be accompanied by more serious symptoms. Cerumenolytics and irrigation of the ear canal are reasonable first-line therapies and can be used in conjunction or isolation. If irrigation and cerumenolytics are contraindicated, manual removal is appropriate, but the tools necessary are not commonplace in primary care clinics and specialized training may be required to prevent adverse outcomes. Conclusion: Family physicians play a key role in the assessment and management of cerumen impaction and are well equipped to do so. Knowledge of the available techniques for cerumen removal as well as their contraindications ensures that cerumen is removed safely and effectively. When cerumen removal cannot be removed safely in a primary care setting, referral to Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery is appropriate.
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Introduction

Cerumen impaction is commonly seen in primary care, and family physicians play a key role in the assessment and management of this condition. However, there is little evidence to guide management of this common presentation.1 While cerumen impaction is often harmless, management can be complicated by patient comorbidities. Knowledge of available cerumen removal techniques as well as their contraindications ensures that safe and effective treatment is provided. With this in mind, the majority of cases of cerumen impaction can be safely and effectively managed in a primary care setting. In cases where impacted cerumen cannot be removed safely in primary care, referral to otolaryngology–head and neck surgery is appropriate. Herein, we provide an updated clinical review of cerumen management along with an evidence-based, practical management approach for primary care physicians.

Cerumen, commonly known as earwax, is a hydrophobic, waxy substance that provides mechanical and microbial protection to the epithelial lining of the external auditory canal.2 Typically, cerumen is carried out of the ear canal via migration of the canal’s epithelial lining, aided by jaw movement.3 Cerumen accumulates when this clearing
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process becomes compromised. This can occur through regular use of cotton tipped swabs, digital manipulation of the ear canal, or use of hearing aids, all of which can pack cerumen deep into the ear canal. Cerumen impaction is defined as the symptomatic accumulation of cerumen, or cerumen accumulation that prevents diagnostic assessment. Cerumen impaction is common, affecting approximately 10% of children and one-third of geriatric and intellectually disabled individuals. Cerumen removal is one of the most common otolaryngologic procedures performed by family physicians.

Cerumen impaction is often harmless but can be accompanied by more serious symptoms. Removal of impacted cerumen is generally considered safe but does have associated risks, including eardrum perforation, canal laceration, and failed removal of cerumen. These risks can be mitigated by identifying high-risk patients and tailoring management strategies. Commonly used cerumen removal techniques include cerumenolytics (cerumen softening agents), irrigation, and manual removal. It is also appropriate to not intervene if patients are asymptomatic and visualization of the tympanic membrane is not vital.

Symptoms and Causes

Patients with cerumen impaction can present with symptoms, including aural fullness, hearing loss, ear pain, itching, tinnitus, and otitis externa. Symptomatic accumulation of cerumen occurs when the natural elimination mechanism is disrupted or is inadequate. There are four main factors that lead to cerumen impaction; often, cerumen impaction results from a combination of these factors.

Overproduction

If the rate of cerumen production exceeds the rate of cerumen migration out of the ear, cerumen impaction will occur. Some individuals with recurrent cerumen impaction may suffer from idiopathic cerumen overproduction.

Obstruction

Variations in the anatomy of the ear canal can lead to cerumen accumulation. Benign bony growths in the ear canal (exostoses or osteomas) can obstruct cerumen migration. Soft tissue malformations can cause obstructions, as in the case of patients with a history of otitis externa or ear canal trauma. Finally, some individuals may have particularly narrow or tortuous ear canals, whereas others may have hair that impedes cerumen expulsion.

Cerumen impaction can also occur when objects are inserted into the ear canal. The use of hearing aids and ear plugs can obstruct cerumen elimination, and cotton swabs (Q-tips) commonly cause cerumen to be forced deeper into the ear canal.

Inadequate Epithelial Migration

Cerumen glands progressively atrophy and become less numerous with age, resulting in drier cerumen. The drier cerumen is less readily transported by the epithelial conveyor mechanism. This is compounded by hair in the auditory canal becoming coarser with age, obstructing cerumen movement. These factors are thought to contribute to the high rates of cerumen impaction in elderly patients. Finally, the natural process of epithelial migration may be insufficient for some cerumen subtypes.

Cerumen Phenotype

Cerumen can be divided into 2 genetically determined phenotypes: “dry” and “wet.” The “dry” cerumen subtype is predominant in people of Asian and Native American descent, whereas the “wet” subtype is predominant in people of African and European descent. As the name suggests, dry cerumen is brittle and dry and can vary in color from a light to brownish-gray. Wet cerumen conversely is often dark in color, wet, and sticky. Cerumen subtype is inherited as a simple Mendelian trait with the “dry” allele being recessive. It has been asserted that having a wet or dry cerumen phenotype may promote or protect against cerumen impaction, and that phenotype may also affect the efficacy of different cerumen removal techniques. However, strong evidence to support cerumen impaction management tailored to cerumen phenotypes does not exist. Further research is needed before cerumen impaction management based on phenotype can be recommended, and to convincingly link cerumen phenotype with impaction rates.

Diagnosis

Cerumen impaction is diagnosed by direct visualization (otoscopy). If cerumen accumulation has become symptomatic or prevents needed assessment of the ear canal or tympanic membrane, it is considered to be impacted.

Management

Prior to cerumen removal, potential contraindications must be identified. These include a nonintact tympanic membrane,
exostoses, ear canal stenosis, diabetes mellitus, an anticoagulated or immunocompromised state, and prior radiation therapy affecting the ear canal. These are factors that increase the risk of trauma, infection, or hemorrhage when combined with certain cerumen extraction techniques.

**Observation**

If cerumen impaction is asymptomatic and visualization of the tympanic membrane or ear canal is not necessary, watchful waiting is reasonable. Studies have shown that in many cases impacted cerumen clears without intervention or remains asymptomatic. The American Academy of Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery recommends that asymptomatic cerumen that does not impede needed assessment can safely be left alone, as it may not progress to impaction or may resolve spontaneously. If it progresses, it can be safely managed at that time.

**Active Management**

There are three methods of cerumen removal commonly employed in clinical practice: cerumenolytic agents, irrigation, and manual removal. These removal methods are often used concurrently. To date, a randomized controlled clinical trial comparing the effectiveness of these strategies has not been conducted. A schema outlining an approach to cerumen management is shown in Figure 1.

**Cerumenolytics.** Cerumenolytic agents are compounds used to break down impacted cerumen to lessen the need for irrigation or manual removal. Cerumenolytics can also be used in combination with these methods. As no cerumenolytic agents have been shown to be more effective than water or saline, we recommend water and saline as first line agents. The duration of treatment has also been shown to have little impact. When the use of cerumenolytics is limited to patients with intact tympanic membranes, without active dermatitis or infection of the ear canal, adverse effects of cerumenolytics are minor and rare. Based on our clinical experience, we recommend mineral oil or docusate sodium be used as second line cerumenolytics in cases where water or saline are ineffective. In clinic, we have been observed to clear cerumen more effectively than water-based alternatives. Additionally, patients often prefer hydrogen peroxide for its bubbling activity, although we have observed reduced efficacy compared with other cerumenolytics.
Irrigation. Gentle irrigation with a syringe or electric irrigator is commonly performed in clinical practice.\(^4\) Irrigation is an effective method of cerumen removal and it is the most commonly employed method in family practice.\(^1\) Adverse effects are rare when contraindications to the procedure (see below) are considered and the water used for irrigation is brought to body temperature to prevent stimulating the vestibular system.\(^4,5\) Alternatively, aural irrigation conducted by patients at home may represent a cost-effective alternative to irrigation in the clinic and provide comparable benefits.\(^27\)

Aural irrigation should be avoided in patients with perforated tympanic membranes or myringotomy tubes to avoid introducing water and potentially infectious agents into the middle ear.\(^1,10,14,23\) Irrigation should also be avoided in those who have previously undergone ear surgery. The pressure applied to the tympanic membrane during aural irrigation is safe in normal ears, but risks perforating tissue that has become thinned or atrophic following surgery or trauma.\(^1,28\) This does not apply to patients who have had myringotomy tubes extruded more than 18 months prior and who are no longer followed by otolaryngology.\(^29\) Additionally, irrigation should be avoided in patients with abnormalities of the ear canal with the potential to trap water and promote infection.\(^1\) Irrigation should be avoided in a person’s only hearing ear, and if they have a history of otitis externa.\(^4\)

Care should be taken when treating immunocompromised patients, as invasive otitis externa has been reported in diabetic patients following aural irrigation.\(^30,31\) Cerumen is acidic and normally coats the walls of the ear canal, inhibiting the growth of bacteria and fungi.\(^32\) The elimination of cerumen raises the pH and along with the introduction of water can predispose vulnerable patients to develop otitis externa following irrigation.\(^33\) Reacidification of the canal with 2% acetic acid can help prevent colonization by the bacteria that can cause otitis externa and malignant otitis externa.\(^1,33\) This, along with a list of other clinical recommendations is included in Figure 2.

**Manual Removal.** Manual cerumen removal uses instruments to remove cerumen under direct visualization. This method of cerumen removal is considered to be safe and effective in the hands of a well-trained practitioner but can lead to trauma in less experienced hands. Although there is little supportive evidence, The American Academy of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery supports manual removal of cerumen as effective based on case series and expert opinion.\(^1\)

An advantage of manual removal is that it can be done quickly and avoids exposing the ear canal to moisture, reducing the risk of infection.\(^10\) Manual cerumen removal is often preferred in patients with congenital or acquired abnormalities of the ear, those who have recently had ear surgery, and immunocompromised patients.\(^1\) Manual removal with a binocular microscope or endoscope provides better visualization in more complex cases where cerumenolytics or irrigation risk causing otitis media or where irrigation could perforate a weakened tympanic membrane.\(^1\) However, manual cerumen removal is not without risks. Perforation of the tympanic membrane, ear canal trauma, vertigo, and pain have been reported following manual removal of cerumen.\(^4\)

In practice, family physicians often do not have ready access to the equipment used for manual cerumen removal such as microsuction equipment or binocular microscopes that improve procedure comfort and safety.\(^10\) However, lack of these tools does not preclude primary care providers from performing manual cerumen removal and doing so may be essential in areas without ready access to otolaryngology services. Practitioner experience is the main consideration in the implementation of manual cerumen removal.

**Conclusion**

Family physicians play a key role in the assessment and management of patients with cerumen impaction. Knowledge of the available techniques for cerumen removal as well as their contraindications ensures that cerumen is removed safely and effectively when necessary. In cases where cerumenolytics and irrigation are ineffective or contraindicated and practitioners are

---

**Figure 2.** Clinical recommendations for the management of cerumen impaction.

- Cerumen removal is not indicated unless symptomatic or impeding needed assessment.
- **Contraindications to cerumenolytics:**
  - Non-intact tympanic membrane
  - Active dermatitis or infection of the ear canal
- Water or saline should be used as first line cerumenolytic agents.
- **Contraindications to irrigation:**
  - Non-intact tympanic membrane
  - Previous ear surgery
  - Abnormalities of the ear canal
  - History of otitis externa
  - The affected ear is the patient’s only hearing ear
- Reacidification of the canal after irrigation can help to prevent colonization by pathogenic bacteria.
- **Referral to Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery is recommended when cerumenolytics and irrigation are ineffective or contraindicated and manual removal is not feasible.**
uncomfortable performing manual removal (or lack the necessary equipment), referral to otolaryngology–head and neck surgery is recommended.
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