Seroprevalence of Rift Valley Fever and West Nile Fever in Cattle in Gambella Region, South West Ethiopia
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Introduction: Rift Valley fever (RVF) and West Nile fever (WNF) are re-emerging mosquito-borne zoonotic diseases that cause public health and economic crises. Ethiopia shares borders with South Sudan and Kenya, where these diseases are often documented. The free movement of animals and humans across these borders expects to increase the spread of these diseases. The current study was conducted to assess the occurrence of these diseases in the Gambella region of Ethiopia.

Methodology: We collected a total of 368 cattle serum samples from the Lare district on the border of South Sudan and measured the presence of IgG antibody against RVF and WNF virus infections using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA).

Results: The prevalence of anti-RVF virus IgG antibody was 7.6% (95% CI: 5.3–10.82%), while that of anti-WNF virus IgG antibody was 5.4% (95% CI: 3.52–8.29%). In this study higher seroprevalence of IgG antibodies to RVF virus infection was observed comparing to the WNF virus in cattle. There was no significant association between the prevalence and the cattle age, sex or sampled locations.

Conclusion: The detection of IgG antibody to RVF and WNF virus infections in the Gambella region warrants further study of active case findings and the dynamics of transmission.
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Background
Arboviruses are among the 72% of emerging infectious viruses that originate from wildlife.1 They transmit to different vertebrate hosts by arthropod species such as mosquitoes, ticks, sand flies and midges.2 Climatic changes influence the transmission of the viruses associated with the life cycle of the transmitting vectors.3

Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV) and West Nile virus (WNV) are among the re-emerging viruses transmitted through the bite of infected Aedes and Culex mosquitoes respectively.4,5 RVFV belongs to the family Bunyaviridae, genus Phlebovirus.6 RVFV was first identified in 1931 near the Great Rift Valley of Kenya.6 Since then, this virus has caused many documented outbreaks in humans and livestock in many sub-Saharan African countries, in the Arabian Peninsula, and some Indian Ocean Islands.7,8 RVFV infects a range of vertebrate hosts including humans, livestock, and wildlife, with ruminants being among the most affected hosts.9 Infection with RVFV can result in severe disease that can lead to high morbidity and mortality in animals including abortions and stillbirths.10,11
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In humans, the virus causes neonatal mortalities and moderate influenza-like illness. Similarly, severe complications and small proportion of deaths may occur among some groups of infected people.11–14

Unusually, heavy and sustained rainfall leads to outbreaks of the disease by favoring breeding of the mosquito vectors.15 Study reports documented presence of RVFV in some East African countries: South Sudan,16 Kenya10,17 Somalia, Tanzania and Sudan,18 where some of them are neighbors of Ethiopia.

WNV is a single-stranded RNA virus that belongs to the family of Flaviviridae, genus Flavivirus,19 and was first isolated from a febrile patient in the West Nile district of Northern Uganda in 1937. The virus causes disease mainly in birds, equines and humans.20,21 Birds are important vertebrate amplifying hosts and their migratory pattern have greatly influenced the re-emergence and global spread of WNV. The virus infects the mosquitoes when they suck blood-meal from infected birds. Thereafter, the mosquitoes spread the virus on the next susceptible host they bite.22 Humans and other mammals, especially horses, may develop clinical illness but usually are incidental or dead-end hosts because they do not produce significant viremia, and thus do not contribute to the transmission cycle.23

WNV also infects domestic animals such as cows.24 WNV infections typically cause a mild febrile illness in humans. The main risk factors for WNV transmission includes environmental and anthropological factors that increase mosquitoes’ population during heavy rain and flooding, irrigation, global warming and formation of ecologic niches that favor mass breeding of mosquitoes.25

WNV has been documented in 83 countries around the world, including several countries in Africa (e.g. Uganda, Kenya, Democratic Republic of Congo and Sudan26,27), the Middle East, Asia, and Australia.28–30 In Ethiopia very few studies have been conducted regarding arboviruses infections in humans,31–34 in spite of ample evidence regarding the importance of animals and human movement between neighboring countries in the spread of arboviruses.

The transmission dynamics between the hosts and reservoir, behavior of intermediate insect vectors population, animals and humans’ movement and urbanizations are among the key factors that alter the epidemiology of many emerging and re-merging viral diseases. Similarly, the challenge of many arboviruses in the absence of adequate diagnostic facility and capacity in livestock is supposed to be significantly increased, especially in remote areas. Hence, due to many known and unknown factors, the arboviruses remain under-recognized and underreported in many developing worlds such as Africa including Ethiopia. Some of the factors so far mentioned as low awareness by health-care providers, the presence of other prevalent febrile illnesses, lack of diagnostic testing and regular systematic surveillance, and many other factors. The main hypothesis of selecting the present study area were: a) because of its proximity to South Sudan in which these viruses are already confirmed; b) the hot and humid climate favoring arboviral transmission, and conducive for vector breeding and c) the lack of RVF vaccine administration: Importantly, to date, the RVFV vaccine has not been previously administered to cattle in Ethiopia. Henceforth, the aim of this study was to determine the seoprevalence of RVF and WNF to assess possible RVFV and WNV circulation in Gambella Region, Southwest Ethiopia.

Materials and Methods

Study Area and Study Design

We conducted a cross-sectional study in the Gambella Region of Ethiopia between December 2018 and January 2019. Gambella is one of the nine regions of Ethiopia. The geographical location lies between latitudes 6° 22' and 8° 30' N, and longitudes 33° 10' and 35° 50’ E. The elevation of Gambella region ranges from ~1000 to ~2000 m above sea level (masl) in the east, from ~500–900 masl in the center, and ~300–500 masl in the west.35 Recent human population of the region is estimated to be 478,000.36 The livestock census conducted in the region in the year 2018 indicated 285,102 cattle, 35,285 sheep, 107,083 goats, and 301,531 poultry.37

In the present study area, the Abigar cattle breed are the most dominant both in the Nuer and Anywaa zones of the region.38 Among the indigenous genotypes known in the country, these cattle are known as “Sanga” located normally in the border area between Ethiopia and Sudan with larger extension in Ethiopia covering the Akobo area of Gambella.39 Geographically this breed is located around the White Nile of Sudan and Ethiopia. The breed is mainly distributed in the neighboring lowlands of Southwest of Ethiopia. This breed is mainly reared and kept by the Nuer ethnic groups.40,41 This breed of cattle is characterized by the unique features that are used in identifying the breed from
other indigenous breeds. The breed has large body size, long-curved horns, gray and white dominant coat colors as well as ease of management by all gender groups due to its recognized docile character. In addition, the breed has better production and reproduction capabilities despite the high heat load, recurrent drought and repeated disease prevalence in the region. The African cattle breeds in general are known as more resistant to diseases including RVFV compare to European breeds.

Lare is one of the 13 districts of the Gambella Region belonging to the Nuer Zone that borders South Sudan (Figure 1). The district was selected based on (a) its high cattle population, and (b) its adjacent to South Sudan where different arboviruses cases are previously reported including RVFV. The district hosts pastoralists migrating from South and North Sudan (commonly called “Fallata” or “Fulani”) in search of pasture and water for their livestock. The indigenous pastoralists also travel far from their villages to the adjacent territories of South Sudan in search of pasture. Pastoralists have a tradition of presenting and accepting cattle to or from the South Sudan community during marriage ceremonies which have the potential to introduce diseases in the study area.

Figure 1  Map of study area (top left Ethiopia; Top right Gambella Region; bottom right Nuer zone and, Lare woreda with green color) “Woreda” is the local name representing the district.
Sample Size Estimation and Data Collection

The sample size was determined using the following formula: \( N = \frac{Z^2p(1-p)}{d^2} \), where \( Z = 1.96 \) corresponding value to the desired 95% confidence level, \( p \) is an estimate of the expected prevalence of infection, and \( d \) is the margin of error in the estimate.\(^{45}\) In designing this study we took the estimate of \( p \) from Southwestern Uganda with a RVFV prevalence of 27%.\(^{13}\) We added 20% to account for non-response of cattle owners about cattle during sampling, questioning and dropping of aggressive animals. These assumptions resulted in a minimum sample size of 368 cattle. Four pastoral sub districts or “kebeles” (the lowest government administrative structure in Ethiopia) were selected for the study. These kebeles were Pal Bol, Bilimkun, Kechi and Tandor. Each selection was based on the cattle population, proximity to South Sudan and their frequent contact with the pastoralists from North and South Sudan. Inclusion criteria for recruitment of study were cattle of both sexes and a minimum age of one year.

Based upon the age of puberty in local cattle breeds in the area, the study animals were classified into two groups: 1–3.5 years of age and 3.5+ years.\(^{38}\) After obtaining the owners’ consent, 5 mL blood sample was collected from selected cattle in the herd via the jugular venipuncture using a sterile vacutainer tube. Information such as age, sex, parity, herd size, history of mass abortion, history of mass death of young animals and some selected clinical signs regarding each animal (identified by a temporary paper-based identification code) was recorded separately using a checklist prepared as a mini questionnaire at the time of blood collection. Serum was separated and stored at −20°C until screening for IgG antibody to RVFV and WNV infections by ELISA.

Laboratory Analysis

The indirect ELISA-based type detection of IgG antibody against RVFV and WNV infections was conducted as per the manufacturer’s protocol ready for each individual (Abbea Ltd, Cambridge UK).\(^{46}\) The diagnostic sensitivity and specificity have not been determined for these specific kits where they are only ready for research purposes. All the tests were conducted in duplicates. The results were read at an optical density (OD) of 450 nm using a 96-well ELISA plate reader (Multiskan™ FC Microplate Photometer), and interpreted as positive or negative based on the manufacturer’s recommended cut-off values.

Test validity was verified according to the manufacturer’s manual when the mean OD of the positive control was ≥1 and when the mean OD of the negative control was ≤0.15. The cut-off value for each plate was calculated independently calculated where the cut-off value = negative control +0.15. Hence, any sample value greater than or equal to this value labelled as positive and any value less than the cut-off value scored as negative.

Statistical Analysis

All collected data cleared and entered into EpiData Software v.3.1 and analyzed using STATA Version 13.0. In the study herds, age, sex, and parity were used as explanatory parameters, while the history of mass abortion and the history of mass death of young animals together with other signs such as excess salivation, and loss of appetite and diarrhea were used to investigate clinical signs mainly about the RVF. In this study, the outcome variables were ELISA IgG antibody positive and negative.

The seroprevalence/apparent prevalence of IgG antibody elicited towards both RVFV and WNV were estimated by dividing the number of cattle with positive test results by the total number of tested cattle. Here the cattle included in the study were apparently healthy and sample was not taken from diseased animals where true prevalence could be determined. Univariable logistic regression was used to assess the crude association between the seropositivity of IgG antibody and the hypothesized individual potential risk factors such as age, sex, parity, and site, calculated with descriptive and analytical analysis using chi-square (\( \chi^2 \)) test. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to assess the effect of each of the independent variables on the outcome variable (seropositivity) after adjusting each independent variable for all other variables. A \( p \)-value below 0.05 was considered indicative of a statistically significant association.

Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate

The livestock owners were informed and aware about the purpose of the study, and that verbal informed consent was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the College of Veterinary Medicine and Agriculture, Addis Ababa University with the certificate reference no: VM/ERC/07/05/10/2018. Besides, permission to visit the study sites and to collect the blood sample from cattle was obtained from the Gambella Regional Livestock and Fishery Office,
district administration Office and community leaders of each study site. Blood sample collection was carried out under aseptic conditions by experienced veterinary laboratory technicians.

**Results**

**Characteristics of the Study Cattle and Owners’ Responses on Selected Clinical Signs**

A total of 275 (74.7%) female and 93 (25.3%) male cattle were included in the current study. The majority were in the age groups of 1–3.5 years, the minimum and maximum age of the sampled cattle population was 1 and 20 years, respectively, and the average age was 3.9 years with a standard deviation of 3.23. Among 121 cows the overall mean of the birth rate was 3.0 calves per cow (standard deviation = 2.2). The number of births per cow ranged from 1 to 14 calves.

The animals came from 53 herds with a minimum and a maximum herd size of 7 and 67 animals, respectively, and a mean herd size of 23.2. Just over half (50.9%) of the herd owners described mass abortion in the area and 43% mentioned the deaths of young animals within their herd within the past three to five years. Among the herd owners, 43.4% mentioned the historical presence of mass death in their animals (Table 1).

**Seroprevalence of RVFV Infection**

Out of 368 analyzed serum samples, 28 (7.6%) (95% CI: 5.29–10.81%) were positive for IgG antibody to RVFV infection, which was significantly associated with a history of abortion (14.8%; p=0.041) compared to those cows had no history of abortion. However, there was no significant association between IgG positivity to the virus and the study kebeles (villages) (Table 2).

**Seroprevalence of WNV Infection**

Table 3 presents the seroprevalence of WNV infection stratified by different background characteristics. The seroprevalence of WNV-specific IgG antibody was detected in serum samples collected from 20 cattle (5.4%) (95% CI: 3.52–8.28%). No significant associations were observed between the findings of WNV antibody IgG and past recorded clinical signs (Table 3). However, significantly higher proportions of anti-WNV IgG antibody were observed in serum samples collected from cattle with a history of abortion as compared to those without a history of abortion (11.1% Vs 3.8%, p= 0.04)

**Independent Predictors of Seropositivity for RVFV Infection**

In a multivariate logistic regression analysis where sex, age, parity, sub districts, and selected clinical signs considered were taken as independent predictors, no significant association between the RVFV specific IgG antibody and these predictor variables were observed (Table 4).

**Independent Predictors of Seropositivity for WNV Infection**

Similar to the finding observed in modeling the odds of getting RVFV positive IgG, all the variables included in the multivariate logistic regression that investigated factors associated with the odds of getting WNV positive IgG did not result in statistically significant association with any one of the investigated risk factors (Table 5).

### Table 1 Characteristics of the Study Cattle and Owners’ Responses About Selected Clinical Signs

| Characteristics | Response Category | Number | Percent |
|-----------------|-------------------|--------|---------|
| Sex             | Male              | 93     | 25.3    |
|                 | Female            | 275    | 74.7    |
| Age group (N=368) | 1–3.5 years      | 222    | 60.3    |
|                 | +3.5 years        | 146    | 39.7    |
| Parity (N=275)  | Yes               | 121    | 44.0    |
|                 | No                | 154    | 56.0    |
| Study kebele/site (N=368) | Pal Bol         | 41     | 11.1    |
|                 | Kech              | 152    | 41.3    |
|                 | Bilimkun          | 89     | 24.2    |
|                 | Tandor            | 86     | 23.4    |
| History of abortion (N=53 herds) | Yes          | 27     | 50.9    |
|                 | No                | 26     | 49.1    |
| History of mass death in your animals (N=53 herds) | Yes | 23 | 43.4 |
|                 | No                | 30     | 56.6    |
| Previous signs and symptoms of excess salivation in sampled animals (N=368) | Yes | 52 | 14.1 |
|                 | No                | 316    | 85.9    |
| Previous history of loss of appetite, diarrhea and excess nausea in sampled animals (N=368 sampled animals) | Yes | 96 | 26.1 |
|                 | No                | 272    | 73.9    |
**Table 2 Seropositivity for RVFV Infection in Cattle**

| Characteristics                                                                 | Response Group | Total Tested | Sero Status of IgG for RVFV | P-value |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------|---------|
|                                                                                  |                |              | Positive No. (%)            |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 9 (9.7)                     | 84(90.3) | 0.384  |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 19 (6.9)                    | 256 (92.1) |   |
| Sex                                                                              | Male           | 93           |                             |         |
|                                                                                  | Female         | 275          |                             |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              |                             |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | Negative No. (%)            |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 16(7.2)                     | 206 (92.8) | 0.720  |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 12(8.2)                     | 134(91.8) |   |
| Age group (N=368)                                                                | 1–3.5 years    | 222          |                             |         |
|                                                                                  | 3.5+ years     | 146          |                             |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              |                             |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | Positive No. (%)            |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 10(8.3)                     | 111 (91.7) | 0.432  |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 9(5.8)                      | 145 (94.2) |   |
| Parity (N=275)                                                                   | Yes            | 121          |                             |         |
|                                                                                  | No             | 154          |                             |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | Negative No. (%)            |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 3(7.3)                      | 38(92.7) | 0.085  |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 8(5.3)                      | 144 (94.7) |   |
| Kebele                                                                           | Pal Bol        | 41           |                             |         |
|                                                                                  | Kech           | 152          |                             |         |
|                                                                                  | Bilimkun       | 89           |                             |         |
|                                                                                  | Tandor         | 86           |                             |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | Positive No. (%)            |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 3(7.3)                      | 38(92.7) | 0.085  |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 8(5.3)                      | 144 (94.7) |   |
|                                                                                  |                |              | Negative No. (%)            |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 5(7.6)                      | 46(88.5) | 0.560  |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 12(13.9)                    | 294(93.0) |   |
| History of abortion (N=53 herds)                                                 | Yes            | 27           |                             |         |
|                                                                                  | No             | 26           |                             |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | Positive No. (%)            |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 4(14.8)                     | 23(85.2) | 0.041  |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 0(0.0)                      | 26(100.0) |   |
| History of mass death in your animals (N=53 herds)                                | Yes            | 23           |                             |         |
|                                                                                  | No             | 30           |                             |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | Positive No. (%)            |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 3(13.1)                     | 20(86.9) | 0.185  |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 1(3.3)                      | 29(96.7) |   |
| Previous signs and symptoms of excess salivation in sampled animals (N=368)      | Yes            | 52           |                             |         |
|                                                                                  | No             | 316          |                             |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | Positive No. (%)            |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 6(1.5)                      | 46(88.5) | 0.249  |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 22(7.0)                     | 294(93.0) |   |
| Previous history of loss of appetite, weakness, diarrhea and excess nausea in sampled animals (N=368 sampled animals) | Yes            | 96           |                             |         |
|                                                                                  | No             | 272          |                             |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | Positive No. (%)            |         |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 6(6.3)                      | 90(93.7) | 0.560  |
|                                                                                  |                |              | 22(8.1)                     | 250(91.9) |   |
| All                                                                              |                | 368          |                             |         |
|                                                                                  |                | 28(7.6)      |                             |         |

**Discussion**

A limited number of studies on arboviruses in human and livestock have been carried out in Ethiopia. This seroprevalence study focused on RVFV and WNV infections in cattle in the Gambella region of southwestern Ethiopia. This region and the study districts were selected due to their proximity to South Sudan, which serves as the entry point for many refugees to Ethiopia as well as the main route for livestock movement between the adjacent territories.

The present study revealed 28 (7.6%) (95% CI: 5.29–10.81%) animals were seropositive for RVFV infection, while 20 (5.4%) (95% CI: 3.52–8.28%) were seropositive for WNV infection. Antibody to both viruses was found in cattle from all the four sub-district “kebeles”. Comparing the anti-IgG of WNV and RVFV in this study, it has been found high prevalence of RVFV than WNV.

The seroprevalence of RVFV infection in cattle previously reported in another African country is 6.2% in the eastern region of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, which is similar to the result of current study. On the other hand, the seroprevalence observed in our study is lower than a report from the eastern parts of Ethiopia, Somalia region which is 17.9% and from other African countries like Cameroon (9.4%), Rwanda (16.8%); Madagascar (25.8%) and Mozambique (36.6%).

There are no reports on the clinical importance of WNV infection in cattle. Cases of WNF in cattle are rarely documented and serologic findings of WNV-specific IgG antibodies have been reported in other countries like Nigeria. In the Nigeria study, WNV IgG-antibody was documented in different animal sera including camels, goats, cattle and sheep. WNV IgG-antibody was also reported in cattle in Senegal, in Turkey, and in Palestine.

A number of other studies in African countries have also reported anti- RVFV IgG and anti-WNV among apparently healthy animals, including Kenya, Tanzania, Mozambique and Senegal.

In Ethiopia in general and in Gambella in particular, there have been no reports of outbreaks or specific studies on RVFV and WNV in animals. Likely, this is due to the
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Table 3 Seropositivity for WNV Infection in Cattle

| Characteristics                                      | Response Category | Total Tested | Sero IgG Status of WNV | P-value |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|---------|
|                                                      |                   |              | Negative No. (%) | Positive No. (%) |         |
|                                                      |                   |              |  (94.6) | 260(94.5) | 5 (5.4) | 15(5.5) | 0.384 |
| Sex                                                  | Male              | 93           | 88 | 208(93.7) | 14(6.3) | 0.720 |
|                                                      | Female            | 275          | 20(96.1) | 5(9.4) |         |         | 0.432 |
| Age group (N=368)                                    | 1–3.5 years       | 222          | 116(95.9) | 5(1.4) | 10(6.5) | 0.041 |
|                                                      | 3.5+ years        | 146          | 144(93.5) | 5(4.1) |         |         | 0.085 |
| Parity (N=275)                                       | Yes               | 121          | 116(95.9) | 5(1.4) | 10(6.5) | 0.041 |
|                                                      | No                | 154          | 144(93.5) | 5(4.1) |         |         | 0.085 |
| Kebele                                               | Pal Bol           | 41           | 38(92.7) | 143(94.1) | 3(7.3) | 9(5.9) | 7(7.9) | 1(1.2) | 0.085 |
|                                                      | Kech              | 152          | 143(94.1) | 3(7.3) |         |         | 0.085 |
|                                                      | Bilimkun          | 89           | 142(92.1) | 8(7.9) |         |         | 0.085 |
|                                                      | Tandor            | 86           | 85(98.8)  | 3(5.6) |         |         | 0.085 |
| History of abortion (N=53 herds)                     | Yes               | 27           | 24(88.9)  | 1(3.8) |         |         | 0.041 |
|                                                      | No                | 26           | 25(96.2)  | 1(3.8) |         |         | 0.041 |
| History of mass death in your animals (N=53 herds)   | Yes               | 23           | 21(91.3)  | 2(8.7) |         |         | 0.185 |
|                                                      | No                | 30           | 29(93.3)  | 7(26.7) |         |         | 0.185 |
| Previous signs and symptoms of excess salivation in sampled animals (N=368) | Yes               | 52           | 50(96.1)  | 2(3.9) |         |         | 0.249 |
|                                                      | No                | 316          | 298(94.3) | 18(5.7) |         |         | 0.249 |
| Previous history of loss of appetite, weakness, diarrhea and excess nausea in sampled animals (N=368 sampled animals) | Yes               | 96           | 91(94.8)  | 5(5.2) |         |         | 0.560 |
|                                                      | No                | 272          | 257(94.5) | 15(5.5) |         |         | 0.560 |
| All                                                  |                   | 368          | 348(94.6) | 20(5.4) |         |         | 0.560 |

lack of virus screening measurements for the surveillance. Asymptomatic or unnoticed or mild form of the diseases may contribute to under-reporting of cases. However, few reports have documented RVFV and WNV infections in apparently healthy cattle. 38,62

Many East African countries depend on pastoral agriculture for milk and meat production. Therefore, livestock diseases, including RVF may impact international livestock marketing and may harm the economics of a country like Ethiopia which depends on mainly on the livestock besides the direct impact on the human health. 63

The current study showed the presence of RVFV and WNV-specific IgG antibodies and did not measure the level of anti-IgM antibodies, which would be an indicator of acute infection. Thus, this study cannot confirm recent infection, and the results may show only the exposure rate in the area or antibody cross-reactivity due to other arbovirus infections. The high IgG seropositivity to RVFV and WNV infections among the age group of 3.5+ years may show that these viruses have been occurred in the study area. However, WNV seroprevalence seems to have increased with time compared to RVFV because seropositivity in the age groups of 1–3.5 years was higher in the former than in the same age groups in the latter, where relatively higher seropositivity was observed among the age groups of 3.5+ years.

The seropositivity and sex of the cattle showed no significant association indicating that both males and females are affected by RVFV and WNV. However, male cattle were more likely affected by WNV infection than females, while the opposite was true for RVFV infection. Further studies are necessary to look at the reason for this result.

Other factors such as age and sampling sites did not significantly affect the occurrences of both viruses. In contrast to the present study, other studies have found a significant association between seropositivity of these viruses and two factors (age and sex). 13,60,64,65 For example, a study conducted in Madagascar reported higher prevalence of RVFV in male cattle compared to female
In regards to the association of age-seropositivity of IgG antibodies in an endemic country, the occurrence of IgG antibodies in older animals generally supports the contention that most animals are exposed to the virus during their lifetimes. Infection of younger animals permits to pinpoint the time window of the infection and how recent the infection occurred. Based on the multivariate logistic regression analysis, our study revealed 1.5

### Table 4 Univariate and Multivariate Analysis of Risk Factors for RVFV Seropositivity

| Characteristics                              | Response Category | Seropositive Status of RVFV IgG |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                             |                   | Crude Odds Ratio (COR) (95% CI) | Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) (95% CI) |
| Sex                                          | Male | 0.69 (0.30–1.60) | 0.79 (0.22–1.54) |
|                                              | Female | | |
| Age group (N=368)                            | 1–3.5 years | 1.15 (0.53–2.51) | 1.47 (0.32–6.82) |
|                                              | 3.5+years | | |
| Parity (N=275)                               | Yes | 0.87 (0.27–1.75) | 0.97 (0.19–4.91) |
|                                              | No | | |
| Kebele                                       | Pal Bol | 1.42 (0.36–5.62) | 1.48 (0.36–6.05) |
|                                              | Kech | | |
|                                              | Bilimkun | 1.33 (0.30–5.84) | 1.40 (0.30–6.42) |
|                                              | Tandor | 0.49 (0.14–1.83) | 0.52 (0.13–2.09) |
| Previous signs and symptoms of excess salivation in sampled animals (N=368) | Yes | 0.57 (0.22–1.49) | 0.45 (0.14–1.40) |
|                                              | No | | |
| Previous history of loss of appetite, weakness, diarrhea and excess nausea in sampled animals (N=368 sampled animals) | Yes | 1.32 (0.52–3.36) | 1.68 (0.54–5.18) |
|                                              | No | | |

**Abbreviations:** COR, crude odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

### Table 5 Univariate and Multivariate Analyses of Risk Factors for WNV Seropositivity

| Characteristics                              | Response Group | Seropositive Status of WNV IgG |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
|                                             | Male | 1.02 (0.36–2.87) | 1.21 (0.39–3.73) |
|                                              | Female | | |
| Sex                                          | | | |
| Age group (N=368)                            | 1–3.5 years | 0.64 (0.24–1.70) | 0.61 (0.10–3.84) |
|                                              | 3.5+years | | |
| Parity (N=275)                               | Yes | 1.5 (0.532–4.23) | 1.07 (0.15–7.61) |
|                                              | No | | |
| Kebele                                       | Pal Bol | 1.25 (0.32–4.86) | 1.13 (0.01–1.38) |
|                                              | Kech | | |
|                                              | Bilimkun | 0.93 (0.23–3.77) | 0.18 (0.02–1.44) |
|                                              | Tandor | 6.71 (0.68–66.62) | 0.14 (0.02–1.14) |
| Previous signs and symptoms of excess salivation in sampled animals (N=368) | Yes | 1.51 (0.34–6.71) | 1.57 (0.29–8.50) |
|                                              | No | | |
| Previous history of loss of appetite, weakness, diarrhea and excess nausea in sampled animals (N=368 sampled animals) | Yes | 1.06 (0.375–3.01) | 0.86 (0.24–3.03) |
|                                              | No | | |

**Abbreviations:** COR, crude odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
times higher seroprevalence of RVFV infection in the age group of 3.5+ years and a high seroprevalence of WNV infection in the age group between 1 and 3.5 years, which is in line with earlier studies showing a high proportion of IgG in similar age groups. Enrolling a larger sample size might reveal a different association of the IgG result with age and sex.

Upon blood sample taking, livestock owners were also interviewed on some selected clinical signs related to RVFV infection since the WNF clinical signs in cattle have not been described. Almost half (50.9%) of the owners reported the occurrence of mass abortion in their herds, a finding significantly associated with RVFV seropositivity. Many (56.0%) of the livestock owners did not recall the history of the mass death of young animals. No-specific symptoms such as excess salivation were reported by 14.1% of respondents and loss of appetite, weakness, and diarrhea by 26.1% of participants together with signs of mass death of young animals and abortion. However, there was no significant difference between these variables and the serological documentation of WNV or RVFV infection. The statistical insignificance association of these signs and symptoms with outcomes of anti-RVFV and anti-WNV IgG, the signs and symptoms might arise due to other diseases.

In the present study, anti-WNV IgG-antibodies presence using ELISA was not confirmed by confirmatory to detect actual viral infection. Direct detection of the WNV infection from samples from various animal species would give an improved understanding of the epidemiological situation in east Africa. Serologically measured anti-WNV IgG antibodies in cattle in other African countries also support the possibility that infection causes clinical disease in these hosts like in birds, horses, crocodiles, and humans where many clinical signs shown. WNV occurrences in other species besides cattle elsewhere indicate the virus to be prevalent in birds, equine as well as in human populations. The result of this study suggests the possible circulation of WNV and warrants performing further studies in Ethiopia with other species.

**Limitations of the Study**

In this study, the screening of the viruses with ELISA test was not supported with more sensitive and specific confirmatory tests like reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Another setback of this study is that it was conducted only on cattle, excluding other species of livestock in the study that would enable the comparison of the viruses’ distributions in the available potential hosts. Finally, this study could not present antibody titers of the positive samples that might explain the results in advance. Cattle are the main means of economic importance in the area among the livestock population where pastoralists mainly depend on. In line with their importance, this is the first serologic study in cattle in the area which gives evidence of WNF and RVF presence, and encourages further studies. Besides, we believe that our study would provide baseline data on the epidemiology of WNF and RVF in the area.

**Conclusions**

The present antibody-based ELISA study revealed the possible occurrence of RVF and WNF among the cattle population in the study area. Significantly high IgG antibodies to both viruses was observed among those with a history of abortion. An increased trend of IgG-antibody positivity was also observed among animals in the age 3.5 years and above. Henceforth, the results warrant further studies toward active case detection of antigens of these viruses in susceptible hosts, including other livestock animals and humans, their transmission dynamics and their public health significance to predict and reduce possible future outbreaks in the area.

**Abbreviations**

RVF, Rift Valley fever virus; RVF, Rift Valley fever; WNF, West Nile fever; WNV, West Nile virus; RT-PCR, reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; PRNT, plaque reduction neutralization test; IgG, immunoglobulin G; IgM, immunoglobulin M; OD, optical density; RNA, ribonucleic acid.
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