Research Article

Comprehensive In Silico Screening of the Antiviral Potentialities of a New Humulene Glucoside from Asteriscus hierochunticus against SARS-CoV-2

Vincent O. Imieje,1,2 Ahmed A. Zaki,3,4 Ahmed M. Metwaly,5 Ahmad E. Mostafa,5 Eslam B. Elkaeed,6 and Abiodun Falodun

1Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Benin, Benin City 300001, Nigeria
2National Centre for Natural Products Research, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS 38677, USA
3Pharmacognosy Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt
4Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Horus University-Egypt, New Damietta 34518, Egypt
5Pharmacognosy and Medicinal Plants Department, Faculty of Pharmacy (Boys), Al-Azhar University, Cairo 11884, Egypt
6Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, AlMaarefa University, Ad Diriyah 13713, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Vincent O. Imieje; vincent.imieje@uniben.edu

Received 26 February 2021; Revised 26 May 2021; Accepted 28 July 2021; Published 9 August 2021

Academic Editor: Wagdy Eldehna

Copyright © 2021 Vincent O. Imieje et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Chromatographic fractionation of the methanolic extract of Asteriscus hierochunticus whole plant led to the identification of a new humulene glucoside (1). The chemical structure of the isolated compound was elucidated by IR, 1D, 2D NMR, and HRESIMS data analysis to be (–)-(2Z,6E,9E)-8a-hydroxy-2,6,9-humulatren-1(12)-olide. In this study, we report the in silico binding affinities of 1 against four different SARS-CoV-2 proteins (COVID-19 main protease (PDB ID: 6lu7), nonstructural protein (PDB ID: 6W4H), RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (PDB ID: 7BV2), and SARS-CoV-2 helicase (PDB ID: 5RMM)). The isolated compound showed excellent binding affinity values (ΔG) of −21.65, −20.05, −28.93, and −21.73 kcal/mol, respectively, against the target proteins compared to the cocrystallized ligands that exhibited ΔG values of −23.75, −17.65, −23.57, and −15.30 kcal/mol, respectively. Further in silico investigations of the isolated compound (1) for its ADMET and toxicity profiles revealed excellent drug likeliness. On the other hand, the results obtained from in vitro antitrypanosomal, antileishmanial, and antimalarial activities of (1) were not promising.

1. Introduction

The world witnessed the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 in the later part of 2019 from the Wuhan district of China, leading to millions of deaths worldwide and a total lockdown of most economies with attendant’s socioeconomic impact [1]. By November 2020, more than 33 million humans have been infected and more than other 1.3 million have died all over the world according to the WHO [2]. Unfortunately, there is no available treatment for COVID-19. The symptomatic treatment based on anti-inflammatory agents such as dexamethasone, some research drugs, and ventilators (oxygen perfusion) in severe cases is currently used for treatment of affected persons [3]. Coronaviruses generally have been linked with debilitating diseases that have previously affected a wide range of the population, Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS-CoV) and Severe Acute Syndrome (SARS-CoV) that appeared in 2003 [4, 5].

Humans always relied on the nature as the major curative of diseases [6, 7]. Increased use of natural products in the last few decades has been witnessed for various purposes, especially as a source for primary healthcare to most of the
world population [8]. Natural products are not only used as a major source of new bioactive molecules for managing of several diseases but also as a starting material in the synthesis of new potent pharmacological compounds [8]. Plants and microbes could be a source for the effective natural products [9–13]. The efficacy of natural products as curatives is enhanced due to the presence of varied types of compounds as saponins [14, 15], alkaloids [16], pyrones [17], isochromenes [18], flavonoids [19, 20], diterpenes [21], and sesquiterpenes [22, 23].

Asteriscus hierochunticus (Michon) A. Wiklund is a widely distributed shrub that belongs to family Asteraceae and found in tropical regions, including Asia, the Americas, Africa, the Middle East and the Mediterranean region, Europe, and some islands in the Pacific and Atlantic oceans [24]. The genus Asteriscus is used in folk medicine for treatment and managing of different disease conditions, such as inflammations, fevers, cancers, stomach aches, bronchitis, hemorrhoids, and other disorders [25]. Phytochemical and pharmacological investigations on other members of the genus have been reported and resulted in the isolation of bioactive secondary metabolites such as sesquiterpenes, diterpenes, and phenolics [26], but for Asteriscus, there was no enough phytochemical investigation. The isolation and characterization of bioactive humulene sesquiterpenes lactones, such as asteriscunolides A-D [27, 28], steriscanolide, and aquatolide [29], from some species of genus Asteriscus had been reported. These isolated compounds have been screened for their antitrypanosomal, cytoxic, anti-diabetic, antiprotozoal, and antiparasitic activities [30–33].

The current study investigates the phytochemistry of Asteriscus hierochunticus whole plant through chromatographic isolation and in silico anti-COVID-19 screening for the isolated compounds.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General. It is detailed in the supporting information.

2.2. Plant Material. It is detailed in the supporting information.

2.3. Extraction and Isolation. They are detailed in the supporting information.

2.4. (-)-(2Z,6E,9E) 8α-Hydroxy-2,6,9-Humulatrien-1(12)-Olide (1). It is detailed in the supporting information.

2.5. In Silico Studies

2.5.1. Conformational Search for Humulene-Glucoside 1. Conformational search for humulene-glucoside (1) was carried out using the LowModeMD Search protocol using MOE2014 [34]. See the supporting information for more details.

2.5.2. Molecular Docking. Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) was used for the docking analysis, see the supporting information.

2.5.3. ADMET. Discovery studio 4.0 was used [35], see the supporting information.

2.5.4. In Silico Toxicity. Discovery studio 4.0 was used [36], see the supporting information.

2.6. Antileishmanial Assay. Humulene-glucoside (1) was evaluated against Leishmania donovani promastigote, Leishmania donovani axenic amastigote, and Leishmania donovani intracellular amastigote using Alanar Blue colorimetric assay [37], see the supporting information.

2.7. In Vitro Macrophage Amastigote Assay. This was performed according to the parasite-rescue and transformation assay described in [37, 38], see the supporting information.

2.8. Antitrypanosomal Assay. This assay was carried out according to a method previously described [39], see the supporting information.

2.9. Cytotoxicity Assay. The cytotoxicity of humulene-glucoside (1) was also tested against transformed human monocytic (THP1) cells [38], see the supporting information.

2.10. Antimalarial Assay. The antiplasmodial activity of humulene-glucoside (1) was determined using a colorimetric assay that measures the parasite’s lactate dehydrogenase (pLDH) activity [40], see the supporting information.

3. Results

3.1. Isolation of Humulene-Glucoside (1) and Structure Elucidation. The phytochemical investigation of Asteriscus hierochunticus extract resulted in the purification of a new humulene-glucoside (1) (Figure 1).

Humulene-glucoside (1) was isolated as white needles with [α]D 25 78.6 (c 0.1, CH3OH). Its molecular formula, C21H29O6, was determined based on the molecular ion peak [M+Na]+ at m/z 433.1783 (calc. 433.1838) in the HRESIMS. The IR spectrum of 1 exhibited the absorption bands at 3391 (OH) and 1748 (C=O). The 1H NMR spectrum exhibited three singlet signals due to three tertiary methyls (δH1.48 (s, 3H, H3-13), 1.17 (s, 3H, H3-14), and 1.06 (s, 3H, H3-15)). Four olefinic protons (δH 5.07 (br s, H-6), 5.58 (dd, J = 16.1, 8.1 Hz, H-9), 5.22 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, H-10), and 7.27 (s)) and the signals attributed to six oxygenated methine protons (δH2.96 (overlapped, H-2'), 3.09 (t, J = 8.9 Hz, H-3'), 3.02 (td, J = 8.9, 3.0 Hz, H-4'), 2.95 (m, H-5'), 4.79 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, H-8), and 4.62 (s, H-1')) one anomic protons δH 3.86 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, H-1'), and one oxygenated methylene (δH 3.40 (dt, J = 11.8, 5.7 Hz, H-6'a)) and 3.63 (ddd, J = 11.8, 6.1, 2.1 Hz, H-6'b)).
The $^{13}$C NMR and DEPT-135 spectra showed the presence of \(\gamma\)-lactone, together with six olefinic carbons, three methyls, two methylenes, two methines, and one quaternary carbon, as well as one sugar moiety. The $^{13}$C and $^1$H data of compound (I) are shown in Table 1. The analysis of $^1$H, $^{13}$C, and DEPT-135 NMR spectra of (I) revealed the presence of sesquiterpene lactone of humulene skeleton in the glycoside form. The protons' connectivities were confirmed by both HMQC and HMBC spectrum, and the humulanolide structure was established (Figure 2). By considering the coupling constant between (C9  \(\leftrightarrow\) C10) (\(J_{9-10} = 16.1\) Hz), its stereochemistry was determined to be E, as well as the geometry of double bond between (C6  \(\leftrightarrow\) C7) [41, 42]. By comparing the NMR data, with the reported one, it revealed the structure of asteriscunolide D [28], except for the absence of a carbonyl signal at C-8 which was replaced by an oxygenated methine at \(\delta\)C 83.9, attached for a sugar moiety. The \(\alpha\)-orientation of the hydroxy group at C-8 was deduced from the chemical shift and coupling constant value of H-8 [43]. Based on the NMR data and comparison with an authentic sample after hydrolysis, the sugar moiety was concluded to be \(\beta\)-D-glucose [44]. The correlations of H-1' (\(\delta\)H 3.86) with C-8 (\(\delta\)C 83.9) and H-8 (\(\delta\)H 4.79) with the anomeric carbon (\(\delta\)C 99.9) in the HMBC spectrum revealed the sugar connection at C-8 (Figure 2). The structure of I was established to be (-)-(2Z,6E,9E)8\(\alpha\)-hydroxy-2,6,9-humulatrien-1(12)-olide.

### 3.2. In Silico Studies

#### 3.2.1. Conformational Search for Humulene-Glucoside (I).

In this work, conformational search for humulene-glucoside (I) was carried out using the LowModeMD protocol. The best conformer was with a potential energy (E) of 75.48 kcal/mol. The strain energy of this conformer relative to the lowest energy conformation with the same stereochemistry configuration (dE) was 0.00 kcal/mol. Besides, the integer encoding stereochemistry configuration of such conformer (CHI) was equal 1. The radius of gyration of this conformer (RGYR) was 4.09. It was found that the globularity of the conformation (Glob) was 0.24 and the eccentricity of the conformation (Ecc) was 0.89 (Table 2 and Figure 3).

#### 3.2.2. Molecular Docking.

The main protease (M\textsuperscript{pro}) is a vital chymotrypsin-like cysteine protease that belongs to the nonstructural type and plays a crucial role in the replication process of coronavirus. It releases the 16 nonstructural proteins (NSPs 1–16) via cleavage of the C-terminal end of the two polyproteins (PP1a and PP1ab) [45, 46]. The nonstructural protein (NSP10) is a vital cofactor for activation of the SARS-CoV replicative enzyme (replicase) [47].
RNA-dependent RNA polymerase or RNA replicase is the enzyme that is responsible for the replication process of RNA through catalyzing the synthesis of the RNA template complementary from the RNA strand [48]. Helicases are a group of enzymes that have the potentiality to separate double-stranded DNA or RNA. Helicase enzyme is very essential for the process of RNA replication and repair [49].

In the present work, the binding potential of humulene-glucoside (1) against four SARS-CoV-2 proteins has been investigated using the Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) [50–53]. The selected SARS-CoV-2 proteins are (i) COVID-19 main protease (Mpro) (PDB ID: 6lu7, resolution: 2.16 Å), (ii) nonstructural protein (nsp) 10 (PDB ID: 6W4H, resolution: 1.80 Å), (iii) RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (PDB ID: 7BV2, resolution: 2.50 Å), and (iv) SARS-CoV-2 helicase (PDB ID: 5RMM, resolution: 2.20 Å).

Redocking of the cocrystallized ligands (PRD_002214, SAM, F86, and VXG) against the active pockets of COVID-19 main protease, nsp10, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, and SARS-CoV-2 helicase, respectively, has been pre- ceeded to validate the docking procedure. The calculated RMSD values between the redocked poses and the cocrystallized were 3.10, 1.07, 1.34, and 1.17 indicating the efficiency and validity of the docking processes (Figure 4).

Comprehensive docking studies were carried out using MOE14.0 software and showed generally low binding energies for compound (1) (Table 3).

Compound (1) showed good binding affinities with COVID-19 main protease (ΔG = −21.65 kcal/mol), nsp10 (ΔG = −20.05 kcal/mol), RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (ΔG = −28.93 kcal/mol), and SARS-CoV-2 helicase (ΔG = −21.73 kcal/mol), compared to the cocrystallized ligands PRD_002214 (ΔG = −23.75 kcal/mol), SAM (ΔG = −17.65 kcal/mol), F86 (ΔG = −23.57 kcal/mol), and VXG (ΔG = −15.30 kcal/mol), respectively (Table 3).

Concerning the binding mode of the cocrystallized ligand (PRD_002214), an irreversible peptide-like inhibitor, against the COVID-19 main protease, PRD_002214 made four hydrogen bonds besides three hydrophobic interactions. The 2-oxopryrrolidin-3-yl moiety of PRD_002214 occupied the protein’s first pocket. Furthermore, the isopropyl moiety was incorporated in the second pocket. Similarly, the benzyl acetate moiety occupied the third pocket of the protein. Moreover, the 5-methylisoxazole-3-carboxamide moiety occupied the fourth pocket (Figure 5).

Three hydrogen bonds and seven hydrophobic interactions had been showed by looking at the binding mode of the cocrystallized ligand (SAM) against COVID-19 nsp10. The 9H-purin-6-amine moiety was incorporated in three hydrophobic interactions with Phe6947 and Leu6898. The tetrahydrofuran-3,4-diol moiety formed three hydrogen bonds with Asp6897, Tyr6930, and Asp6928. The (S)-(3-amino-3-carboxypropyl) dimethylsulphonium moiety formed two hydrophobic interactions, three electrostatic bonds, and one hydrogen bond with Asp6897, Lys6968, Lys6844, and Asp6928 (Figure 6).

With regards to the binding mode of the cocrystallized ligand (F86) against COVID-19 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, F86 exhibited three hydrogen bonds in addition to six hydrophobic interactions and two electrostatic interactions. Also, it formed one hydrogen bond with Urd10. The pyrrolo [2,1-f] [1, 2, 4] triazin-4-amine moiety formed six hydrophobic interactions with Urd20, Ade11, Arg555, and Val557. The sugar moiety formed one hydrogen bond with Asp623. The phosphate derivative moiety formed two electrostatic interactions and one hydrogen bond with Asp760, Asp623, and Cys622 (Figure 7).

Regarding the binding mode of the cocrystallized ligand (VXG) against SARS-CoV-2 helicase, VXG occupied the protein forming four hydrogen bonds besides two hydrophobic interactions. The (S)-1-acetylpyrrolidine-3-carboxylic acid moiety made four hydrogen bonds with Urd20, Ade11, Arg555, and Val557. The sugar moiety formed five hydrogen bonds with Asp623. The phosphate derivative moiety formed two electrostatic interactions with Tyr515 and His554 (Figure 8).

Compound (1) exhibited an interesting binding mode like that of the cocrystallized ligand against the enzymes COVID-19 main protease, nucleocapsid phosphoprotein, membrane glycoprotein, and nsp10. It occupied three pockets of COVID-19 main protease. The sugar moiety formed five hydrogen bonds with Cys145, His163, Lue141, Glu166, and Asn142. Furthermore, the lactone moiety formed two hydrophobic interactions with His41 and Met165 (Figure 9).

Concerning the binding mode of compound (1) against NSP10, the sugar moiety formed four hydrogen bonds with Gly6869, Asn6841, Asp6928, and Gly6871. Besides, the lactone moiety formed three hydrophobic interactions with Lue6898 and Met6929 (Figure 10).

For the binding mode of compound (1) against RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, the sugar moiety formed four hydrogen bonds with Asp623, Ser759, Arg555, and Urd11. Besides, the lactone moiety formed six hydrophobic interactions with Urd11, Urd20, Ade11, and Val557 (Figure 11).

Regarding the binding mode of compound (1) against SARS-CoV-2 helicase, the sugar moiety formed five hydrogen bonds with Arg178, Glu201, Asn516, and Asn179. Besides, the lactone moiety formed four hydrophobic interactions with Tyr515 and His554 (Figure 12).

3.2.3. ADMET. Following the exciting results obtained from the docking studies and the fact that ADMET studies are a fundamental factor in drug discovery, we decided to carry
Figure 4: Superimposition of the cocrystallized pose and the docking pose of the same ligands. (a) PRD_002214 of COVID-19 main protease, (b) SAM of NSP10, (c) F86 of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, and (d) VXG of SARS-CoV-2 helicase.

Table 3: The docking binding free energies of compound (1) and the cocrystallized ligands against SARS-CoV-2 target proteins.

| Comp.                        | COVID-19 main protease | NSP10 | RNA-dependent RNA polymerase | SARS-CoV-2 helicase |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Humulene-glucoside (1)       | -21.65                 | -20.05| -28.93                       | -21.73            |
| Cocrystallized ligand (PRD_002214) | -23.75               |       |                              |                   |
| Cocrystallized ligand (SAM)    |                        | -17.65|                              |                   |
| Cocrystallized ligand (F86)    |                        |       | -23.57                       |                   |
| Cocrystallized ligand (VXG)    |                        |       |                              | -15.30            |
out *in silico* ADMET studies. The studies were carried out on humulene-glucoside (I) using simeprevir as a reference drug. The parameters investigated with the ADMET studies included the following descriptors: (i) blood-brain barrier penetration, (ii) intestinal absorption, (iii) aqueous solubility, (iv) CYP2D6 binding, (v) hepatotoxicity prediction, and (vi) plasma protein binding. The predicted descriptors are listed in Table 4.

The results revealed that humulene-glucoside (I) has a very low BBB penetration level. Accordingly, the compound may be assumed to have a high degree of CNS safety.

Compound (I) showed an adequate level of ADMET aqueous solubility and intestinal absorption levels compared to simeprevir. As such, intestinal absorption of humulene-glucoside (I) could be significant.

Compound (I) was also predicted to be a noninhibitor of CYP2D6 and nonhepatotoxic. Consequently, the liver dysfunction effect is not expected to happen upon administration of humulene-glucoside (I). Regarding the plasma protein binding study, it was revealed that humulene-glucoside (I) could bind to plasma protein $\leq 90\%$ (Figure 13).

### 3.2.4. Toxicity.

Toxicity prediction was carried out for humulene-glucoside (I) based on Discovery Studio software [54, 55] as follows: (i) FDA rodent carcinogenicity, (ii) carcinogenic potency TD$_{50}$, (iii) rat maximum tolerated dose, (iv) rat oral LD$_{50}$, (v) and rat chronic LOAEL (lowest observed adverse effect level).

As shown in Table 5, compound (I) exhibited *in silico* low adverse effect and toxicity against the tested models. Humulene-glucoside (I) did not show any carcinogenic tendencies in the FDA rodent carcinogenicity model and showed a TD$_{50}$ value of 1.968 mg/kg body weight/day, higher than that of the reference drug simeprevir (0.280 mg/kg body weight/day).

Humulene-glucoside (I) showed a maximum tolerated dose of 0.114761 g/kg body weight higher than simeprevir (0.002967 g/kg body weight) and showed an oral LD$_{50}$ value of 0.621649 mg/kg body weight/day. This value was higher than that of simeprevir (0.208835 mg/kg body weight/day).

Humulene-glucoside (I) showed an LOAEL value of 0.00643343 g/kg body weight, which was higher than that of simeprevir (0.00210575 g/kg body weight).

### 3.3. Biological Activities.

The humulene-glucoside (I) was tested against transformed human monocytic (THP1) cells and showed no cytotoxic effect against THP1. This result agreed with the experimental *in silico* toxicity properties. On
Figure 6: (a) 3D view of the cocrystallized ligand (SAM) docked into the active site of COVID-19 NSP10. (b) 2D view of SAM docked inside the active site of COVID-19 NSP10. (c) Mapping surface of SAM occupying the active pocket of COVID-19 NSP10.

Figure 7: Continued.
Figure 7: (a) 3D view of the cocrystallized ligand (F86) docked inside the active site of COVID-19 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. (b) 2D view of F86 docked into the same active site. (c) Mapping surface of F86 occupying the active pocket of COVID-19 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase.

Figure 8: (a) 3D view of the cocrystallized ligand (VXG) docked inside the active site of SARS-CoV-2 helicase. (b) 2D view of VXG docked into the same active site. (c) Mapping surface of VXG occupying the active pocket of SARS-CoV-2 helicase.
Figure 9: (a) 3D view of compound (1) docked in the active site of COVID-19 main protease. (b) 2D view of (1) docked into the same active site. (c) Mapping surface of (1) occupying the active pocket of COVID-19 main protease.

Figure 10: Continued.
Figure 10: (a) 3D view of compound (1) docked in the active site of COVID-19 NSP10. (b) 2D view of compound (1) docked into the same active site. (c) Mapping surface of compound (1) occupying the active pocket of COVID-19 NSP10.

Figure 11: (a) 3D view of compound (1) docked in the active site of COVID-19 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. (b) 2D view of compound (1) docked into the same active site. (c) Mapping surface of compound (1) occupying the active pocket of COVID-19 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase.
Figure 12: (a) 3D view of compound (1) docked in the active site of SARS-CoV-2 helicase. (b) 2D view of compound (1) docked into the same active site. (c) Mapping surface of compound (1) occupying the active pocket of SARS-CoV-2 helicase.

Table 4: Predicted ADMET indices for humulene-glucoside (1) and simeprevir.

| Comp. | BBB penetration | Aqueous solubility level | Intestinal absorption level | CYP2D6 inhibition | Hepatotoxicity | PPB level |
|-------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| (1)   | 4              | 3                        | 0                           | False             | False         | False     |
| Simeprevir | 4    | 2                        | 3                           | False             | True          | True      |

BBB level, the ability to penetrate the BBB, 0 = very high, 1 = high, 2 = medium, 3 = low, 4 = very low. Aqueous solubility level, 1 = very low, 2 = low, 3 = good, 4 = optimal. Intestinal absorption level, 0 = good, 1 = moderate, 2 = poor, 3 = very poor. CYP2D6, inhibition of cytochrome P2D6, TRUE = inhibitor, FALSE = non inhibitor. Hepatotoxicity, TRUE = hepatotoxic, FALSE = nonhepatotoxic. PPB, plasma protein binding, FALSE means less than 90%, TRUE means more than 90%.
the other hand, compound (1) did not show promising activity against all *L. donovani* strains and both *P. falciparum* D6 and W2 clones.

4. Conclusions

A new humulene glucoside (1) was isolated from the methanolic extract of the whole plant of *Asteriscus hierochunticus* and identified to be (-)-(2Z,6E,9E)-8α-hydroxy-2,6,9-humulatrien-1(12)-olide. Compound (1) exhibited promising *in silico* anti-SARS-CoV-2 activities through the binding with COVID-19 main protease (*M*pro) (PDB ID: 6lu7), nonstructural protein (nsp10) (PDB ID: 6W4H), RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (PDB ID: 7BV2), and SARS-CoV-2 helicase (PDB ID: 5RMM) with affinity values (*ΔG*) of −21.65, −20.05, −28.93, and −21.73 kcal/mol, respectively. The likeness of humulene-glucoside (1) to be a drug was expected through *in vitro* cytotoxicity, *in silico* ADMET, and toxicity studies.

Data Availability
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