Charging in the vortex lattice of type-II superconductors
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We study the magnetic-field dependence of the vortex-core charge in the Abrikosov lattice of an $s$-wave superconductor based on the augmented quasiclassical (AQC) equations of superconductivity with quantum corrections. Our numerical results at $T = 0.2T_c$ and $0.5T_c$ reveal that periodic charge redistribution is superimposed on the magnetic flux-line lattice with different spatial patterns at different fields. The PPG terms are dominant at weak fields over the Lorentz force for accumulating charge in the vortex cores, whereas the Lorentz force prevails at higher fields to give rise to a peak structure in the core charge around $H \sim \frac{1}{2}H_{c2}$. We estimate the peak value of the core charge at $T = 0.2T_c$ using parameters appropriate for cuprates to obtain a large value of $Q \sim 10^{-2}|e|$ in the core region of radius $0.2\xi_0$ in the $ab$ plane and length 1 nm along the $c$ axis.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that vortices in type-II superconductors have the magnetic character of carrying a single flux quantum per each of them. In contrast, much less familiar may be the fact that they also have an electric feature with accumulation of charge in the core region due to circulating supercurrents and pair-potential redistribution around it. The earliest studies on the vortex-core charging were carried out based on phenomenological approaches [1, 2], which were followed by the microscopic ones of using the Bogoliubov–de Gennes (BdG) equations [3, 4]. London included the Lorentz force acting on supercurrents in his phenomenological equations of superconductivity, which predict vortex-core charging due to the Lorentz force [5, 6]. Khomskii and Freimuth estimated the vortex-core charge phenomenologically by regarding the core region as the normal state distinguishable by a radial step function and considering its chemical potential difference from the outer superconducting region [7, 8]. Matsumoto and Heeb pioneered a microscopic calculation based on the BdG equations coupled with Maxwell’s equations to predict vortex-core charging in an isolated vortex of a chiral $p$-wave superconductor [9]. The phenomenon was also studied by using the Ginzburg–Landau (GL) Lagrangian that additionally incorporates the Chern–Simons term [10]. On the other hand, Eschrig et al. [11] calculated a dynamical dipole charge in the vortex core under an applied AC electromagnetic field. It was also shown that electric charge accumulates even at the vortex core of electrically neutral $p$-wave superfluids, although the magnitude is much smaller than the one around a core of superconductors [12]. Experimentally, the vortex-core charge in cuprate superconductors was estimated using nuclear magnetic resonance/nuclear quadrupole resonance (NMR/NQR) measurements [13].

The BdG approach to charging in superconductors has a firm microscopic basis but also suffers from a shortcoming of being time-consuming and laborious numerically. Thus, quantitative studies of charging in superconductors remain yet to be performed, especially for vortex-lattice states in magnetic fields. To this end, augmented quasiclassical (AQC) equations of superconductivity with quantum corrections were derived recently by collecting next-to-leading-order contributions in the expansion of the Gor’kov equations [14, 15] in terms of the quasiclassical parameter $\delta \equiv 1/k_F\xi_0$ [16], where $k_F$ and $\xi_0$ are the Fermi wavenumber and zero temperature coherence length, respectively. This quasiclassical approach has elucidated three distinct mechanisms for charging in superconductors: (i) the Lorentz force that acts on supercurrents in magnetic fields [17, 18]; (ii) pair-potential gradient (PPG) terms [16, 19, 20]; and (iii) terms originating from the slope in the density of states (SDOS) [16, 18, 19], the latter two of which are characteristic of superconductors. The resulting AQC equations were used to clarify charging of an isolated vortex in $s$-wave superconductors with cylindrical [20] and spherical [16] Fermi surfaces. The outcome was rather surprising in that it is the PPG terms, not the Lorentz force, that contributes mainly to charging of an isolated vortex core, except near $T_c$ with a large GL parameter $\kappa_{GL}$ where the SDOS terms become dominant [16]. Masaki also studied charging of an isolated vortex in $s$- and chiral $p$-wave superconductors based on the AQC equations with the Lorentz force and PPG terms [21] to obtain results consistent with those based on the BdG equations [4]. On the other hand, the AQC equations with only the Lorentz force were used for vortex-lattice states of $s$-wave [22] and $d$-wave [23] superconductors to study the magnetic-field dependence of charging. It was thereby shown that the charge density at the core has a large peak as a function of the magnetic field. These results naturally raise the question of how the field dependence is affected by including the PPG terms in the AQC equations, i.e., an issue that should be answered in a quantitative manner.

The purposes of the present paper are twofold: (i) to develop a numerical method for calculating charging in the Abrikosov lattice [24] of type-II superconductors within the AQC scheme incorporating both the Lorentz force and PPG terms; and (ii) to elucidate magnetic-field dependence of the vortex-core charge. To avoid numeri-
cal complexity as far as possible, we adopt the simplest model of s-wave pairing on a cylindrical Fermi surface with the field applied along the cylinder, where the SDOS terms does not contribute to charging at all due to the constant density of states [20]. We combine the methods developed in Refs. [20] and [22] to perform numerical calculations of charging in the Abrikosov lattice.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present our formalism to study charging in superconductors based on the AQC equations. In Sec. III, we give numerical results on charging in the vortex lattice to clarify field dependence and relative magnitude of charging due to the Lorentz force and PPG terms. Section IV gives a conclusion.

II. AUGMENTED QUASICLASSICAL EQUATIONS

We consider a clean superconductor with s-wave pairing on a cylindrical Fermi surface in a magnetic field applied along the cylinder, omitting spin paramagnetism for simplicity. The corresponding AQC equations in the Matsubara formalism with the Lorentz force and PPG terms are given by [16, 20]

\[
\begin{align*}
    &\{i\epsilon_n \hat{\tau}_3 - \hat{\Delta} \hat{\tau}_3, \hat{g}\} + i\hbar \mathbf{v}_F \cdot \partial \hat{g} \\
    &+ \frac{i\hbar}{2} \left( \mathbf{v}_F \times \mathbf{B} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}_F} \{ \hat{\tau}_3, \hat{g} \} \\
    &- \frac{i\hbar}{2} \partial \Delta \hat{\tau}_3 \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{g}}{\partial \mathbf{p}_F} - \frac{i\hbar}{2} \frac{\partial \hat{g}}{\partial \mathbf{p}_F} \cdot \partial \Delta \hat{\tau}_3 = \hat{0}, \quad (1)
\end{align*}
\]

Here \(\hat{g} = \hat{g}(\epsilon_n, \mathbf{p}_F, \mathbf{r})\) and \(\hat{\Delta} = \hat{\Delta}(\mathbf{r})\) are the quasiclassical Green’s functions and the pair potential, respectively; \(\epsilon_n = (2n + 1)\pi k_B T\) is the fermion Matsubara energy \((n = 0, \pm 1, \cdots)\) with \(k_B\) and \(T\) denoting the Boltzmann constant and temperature; \(\mathbf{v}_F\) and \(\mathbf{p}_F\) are the Fermi velocity and momentum; \(c < 0\) is the electron charge; \(\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})\) is the magnetic-flux density; the commutators are defined by \([\hat{a}, \hat{b}] \equiv \hat{a} \hat{b} - \hat{b} \hat{a}\); and \(\partial\) is the gauge-invariant differential operator,

\[
\partial \equiv \begin{cases} 
\nabla & \text{on } g \text{ or } \hat{g}, \\
\nabla - i\frac{2eA}{\hbar} & \text{on } f \text{ or } \Delta, \\
\nabla + i\frac{2eA}{\hbar} & \text{on } \bar{f} \text{ or } \Delta^*,
\end{cases} \quad (2)
\]

with \(A = A(\mathbf{r})\) denoting the vector potential. The first line in Eq. (1) forms the standard Eilenberger equations [25, 28], the second line represents the Lorentz force [17, 18], and the third line is the PPG terms [16, 19, 20]. The matrices \(\hat{g}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\tau}_3\) are expressible as [20]

\[
\hat{g} = \begin{bmatrix} g & -i\tau \bar{f} \\ i\tau f & -g \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\Delta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Delta \\ \Delta^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\tau}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)
\]

where the barred functions are defined generally by \(\bar{X}(\epsilon_n, \mathbf{p}_F, \mathbf{r}) \equiv X^*(\epsilon_n, -\mathbf{p}_F, \mathbf{r})\).

Following the procedure used in Ref. [7], we expand \(g \text{ and } f\) formally in terms of \(\delta = 1/k_F \xi_0\) as \(g = g_0 + g_1 + \cdots\) and \(f = f_0 + f_1 + \cdots\), where \(g_0\) and \(f_0\) are the solutions of the standard Eilenberger equations, and \(\xi_0\) is defined by \(\xi_0 \equiv \hbar v_F / \Delta_0\) in terms of the energy gap \(\Delta_0\) at zero magnetic field and zero temperature. Collecting zeroth-order terms in Eq. (1) reproduces the standard Eilenberger equations with the normalization condition \(g_0 = \text{sgn}(\epsilon_n)(1 - f_0f_0)^{1/2}\) as [25, 26]

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_n f_0 + \frac{1}{2} \hbar v_F \cdot \left( \nabla - i\frac{2eA}{\hbar} \right) f_0 &= \Delta g_0, \quad (4a) \\
\Delta &= \Gamma_0 \pi k_B T \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} \langle f_0(\mathbf{r}) \rangle, \quad (4b) \\
\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A} &= \mu_0 \mathbf{j}, \quad (4c)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r})\) is the current density, \(\Gamma_0 \ll 1\) is the dimensionless coupling constant responsible for the Cooper pairing, \(\langle \cdots \rangle_F\) is the Fermi-surface average normalized as \(\langle 1 \rangle_F = 1\), \(\mu_0\) is the vacuum permeability, and \(N(0)\) is the normal density of states (DOS) per spin and unit volume at the Fermi energy. Equation (1) forms a set of self-consistent equations for \(f_0, \Delta, \text{and } \mathbf{A}\).

The equation for \(g_1\) can be obtained from Eq. (1) as [16, 20]

\[
\mathbf{v}_F \cdot \nabla g_1 = -e(\mathbf{v}_F \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \frac{\partial g_0}{\partial \mathbf{p}_F} - \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{\Delta}^* \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{p}_F} - \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{\Delta} \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{f}_0}{\partial \mathbf{p}_F}, \quad (5)
\]

with \(g_1 = -\bar{g}_1\). The electric field \(\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})\) obeys [16]

\[
\begin{align*}
- \lambda_T^2 \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} &= -e \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} \langle \nabla g_1(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_F \\
&- \frac{1}{e} \frac{N'(0)}{N(0)} \int_{-\xi_c}^{\xi_c} d\epsilon \bar{n}(\epsilon) \epsilon \langle \nabla \text{Reg}_0(\epsilon) \rangle_F - e \frac{N'(0)}{N(0)} \nabla |\Delta|^2, \quad (6)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\lambda_T^2 \equiv \sqrt{\xi_0 / 2e^2 N(0)}\) is the Thomas–Fermi screening length with \(\xi_0\) denoting the vacuum permittivity, and the function \(\bar{n}(\epsilon) = 1/(e^2/k_B T + 1)\) is the Fermi distribution function. The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (6) represents charging by the Lorentz force and PPG terms, while the second and third terms are contributions from the SDOS terms. The constant \(c\) is the factor introduced by Khomskii and Freimuth [3, 16],

\[
c \equiv \int_{-\xi_c}^{\xi_c} \frac{1}{2e} \tanh \frac{\epsilon}{2k_B T_c}, \quad (7)
\]

where \(T_c\) is the superconducting transition temperature at zero magnetic field. The cutoff energy \(\xi_c\) is determined
boundary conditions [30–32]:

\[ n_{s}(\varepsilon, \mathbf{r})d\varepsilon = \int_{-\varepsilon_{c}}^{\varepsilon_{c}} N(\varepsilon)d\varepsilon, \quad N_{a}(\pm\varepsilon_{c}, \mathbf{r}) = N(\pm\varepsilon_{c}), \]

(8)

where \( N_{s}(\varepsilon, \mathbf{r}) \) and \( N(\varepsilon) \) are the superconducting local and normal DOS, respectively. These equations enable us to calculate the electric field and charge density microscopically. As already mentioned, the SDOS terms can be dropped in the present study with a cylindrical Fermi surface [20].

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

A. Numerical procedures

We solve Eqs. (4), (5), and (6) numerically for a triangular vortex lattice based on the methods in Refs. [20] and [22]. The corresponding vector potential is expressible in terms of the average flux density \( \mathbf{B} = (0, 0, \tilde{B}) \) as \( \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = (\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r})/2 + \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}) \), where \( \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \) describes spatial variation of the flux density that averages out. Functions \( \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}) \), \( \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \), and \( \Delta(\mathbf{r}) \) obey the following periodic boundary conditions [30] [32]:

\[
\tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}) = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}), \quad \Delta(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}) = \Delta(\mathbf{r})e^{i\chi(\mathbf{r})},
\]

(9)

with

\[
\chi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{|e|}{\hbar} \mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{R}) + \frac{|e|}{\hbar} \mathbf{B} \times (\mathbf{a}_1 - \mathbf{a}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R} + \pi n_1 n_2.
\]

(10)

where \( \mathbf{R} \) is the translation vector of the vortex lattice given by \( \mathbf{R} = n_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + n_2 \mathbf{a}_2 \) in terms of integers \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \), and \( \mathbf{a}_1 = a_2(\sqrt{3}/2, 1/2, 0) \) and \( \mathbf{a}_2 = a_2(0, 1, 0) \) are the basic vectors of the triangular lattice with the length \( a_2 \) determined by the flux-quantization condition \( (\mathbf{a}_1 \times \mathbf{a}_2) \cdot \mathbf{B} = \hbar/2|e| \). The quasiclassical Green’s functions also satisfy the periodic boundary conditions,

\[
g_0(\varepsilon_n, \mathbf{p}_F, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}) = g_0(\varepsilon_n, \mathbf{p}_F, \mathbf{r}), \quad g_1(\varepsilon_n, \mathbf{p}_F, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}) = g_1(\varepsilon_n, \mathbf{p}_F, \mathbf{r}), \quad f_0(\varepsilon_n, \mathbf{p}_F, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}) = f_0(\varepsilon_n, \mathbf{p}_F, \mathbf{r})e^{i\chi(\mathbf{r})}.
\]

(11)

We first solve the standard Eilenberger equations (11) self-consistently for the vortex lattice using the Riccati method [24] [33] [34]. The solution is substituted into the right-hand side of Eq. (13), which is solved by the Runge–Kutta method. We next obtain the electric field by solving Eq. (10) in terms of the solution of Eq. (11), and then calculate the charge density \( \rho \) using Gauss’ law \( \rho = e_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} \). The results presented below are for \( \lambda_{\text{TF}} = 0.03\xi_0, \lambda_0 = 5\xi_0, \) and \( \delta = 0.03 \) (i.e., \( \lambda_{\text{TF}} = k_{F}^{-1} \)), where \( \lambda_0 \) is the magnetic penetration depth at zero temperature defined by \( \lambda_0 \equiv [\mu_0 N(0)\varepsilon_c^2\pi^2]/\hbar^2 \). The magnetic flux density is normalized by the upper critical field \( B_{c2} = \mu_0 H_{c2} \) estimated by the Helfand–Werthamer theory [36] [37].

B. Results

Figure 1 plots spatial dependence of the charge density \( \rho(\mathbf{r}) \) due to the Lorentz force and PPG terms at temperature \( T = 0.2T_c \) for the average flux densities \( \tilde{B} = 0.146B_{c2}, 0.4389B_{c2}, \) and \( 0.8778B_{c2} \), respectively. For reference, we also give the corresponding pair potential \( \Delta(\mathbf{r}) \) and flux density \( B(\mathbf{r}) \) in Fig. 2 which perfectly reproduce the preceding results by Ichioka et al. [32]. Specifically, Fig. 2 plots spatial variation of the gap amplitude \( \Delta(\mathbf{r}) \) and the z-component of the magnetic-flux density \( B(\mathbf{r}) \), respectively, and Fig. 3 shows the current density \( \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) \), each calculated at \( T = 0.2T_c \) for \( B = 0.146B_{c2}, 0.4389B_{c2}, \) and \( 0.8778B_{c2} \). Looking at Fig. 1 in comparison with Figs. 2 and 3 we realize that the large and positive charges are accumulated at the vortex cores where the pair potential vanishes. We also observe that the Lorentz force becomes dominant from intermediate to high fields for accumulating charge around vortex cores, which may naturally be expected from its expression \( \mathbf{F}_L \propto \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} \); see Figs. 2 and 3 on this point. We also find in Fig. 1 that the negative charges are accumulated at the midpoint of each vortex triangle and also along the line connecting adjacent vortices. The negative charges around the midpoint can be explained in terms of the Lorentz force by looking closely at the spatial profile of the current density in Fig. 3(c), where the current is seen to circulate oppositely in direction to that around the vortex core. This argument also applies to the negative charge accumulation along the lines connecting adjacent vortices in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). Thus, charge accumulation due to the Lorentz force can be understood based on the force picture when the current density, magnetic field, and signs of the carriers are specified. On the other hand, it is difficult to explain how the force due to the PPG terms acts on electrons. This may be because the PPG terms are complex and have off-diagonal components in the particle-hole space. For clarity, we use “the PPG terms” and not “the PPG force” in this paper. Whether a force picture is also possible for the PPG terms remains a future issue, which may be solved by calculating macroscopic forces, as has been done recently based on the time-dependent GL equation [38] [39].

Figure 4 shows the magnetic-field dependence of the charge density \( \rho(\mathbf{r}) \) at the vortex center due to the Lorentz force and PPG terms at \( T = 0.2T_c \) and \( T = 0.5T_c \), respectively. We can confirm that the vortex-core charge due to the Lorentz force has a large peak in qualitative agreement with the previous work [22]. However, its magnitude obtained here is about 10 times larger than that given in the previous work [22]. This is because the pre-
FIG. 1. Charge density $\rho(r)$ due to (a)–(c) the Lorentz force and (d)–(f) the PPG terms at temperature $T = 0.2T_c$ in units of $\rho_0 \equiv \Delta_0|\epsilon_0|^2$ on a square region of $x, y \in [-2\xi_0, +2\xi_0]$ for the average flux densities $B = 0.146B_{c2}$, 0.4389$B_{c2}$, and 0.8778$B_{c2}$ from left to right, respectively.

vious work inappropriately neglected the component in $\nabla g_1$ perpendicular to the Fermi velocity. Specifically, the AQC equations with only the Lorentz force yields the following equation for $g_1$,

$$v_F \cdot \nabla g_1 = -e v_F \cdot \left( B \times \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_0}{\partial p_F} \right).$$

This equation was approximated previously by

$$\nabla g_1 = -e \left( B \times \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_0}{\partial p_F} \right).$$

However, $\nabla g_1$ may have a component perpendicular to $v_F$. Hence, we directly solved the equation for $g_1$ obtained in Refs. [16, 20], i.e., Eq. (3) above.

We can observe in Fig. 4 that the charge due to the PPG terms at the core center decreases monotonically as the field is increased. We also notice an enhancement of the vortex-core charge due to the PPG terms at $T = 0.2T_c$ and $B = 0.146B_{c2}$ compared with those at higher fields and temperatures, which may be explained as follows. The PPG terms in Eq. (3) can be written as

$$-\frac{i}{2} \nabla|\Delta| \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_0}{\partial p_F} - \frac{i}{2} \nabla|\Delta| \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_0}{\partial p_F}$$

$$-\frac{m}{h} |\Delta| v_s \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_0}{\partial p_F} + \frac{m}{h} |\Delta| v_s \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_0}{\partial p_F},$$

where $\tilde{f}_0$ is defined by $f_0(\epsilon_n, p_F, r) = \tilde{f}_0(\epsilon_n, p_F, r)e^{i\varphi(r)}$, $v_s$ is the superfluid velocity $v_s \equiv (h/2m)(\nabla \varphi - 2eA/h)$, and $\varphi$ is the phase of the pair potential defined by $\Delta(r) = |\Delta(r)|e^{i\varphi(r)}$. At low temperatures and weak fields, the slope of the gap amplitude increases due to the core shrinkage known as the Kramer-Pesch effect [40], which gives rise to a large correction to the charge density at the core. Thus, the core charge is enhanced more drastically at lower temperatures and weak fields. On the other hand, the core charge due to the Lorentz force has a peak formed by the competition between the increasing magnetic field and the decreasing pair potential [22]. Thus, the PPG terms, which is dominant for charging at weak fields but has no explicit magnetic-field dependence, is overwhelmed eventually by the Lorentz force.
FIG. 2. (a)–(c) Gap amplitude $|\Delta(r)|$ and (d)–(f) the magnetic-flux density $B(r)$ at temperature $T = 0.2T_c$ in units of the zero temperature gap $\Delta_0$ and the upper critical field $B_{c2}$ on a square region of $x, y \in [-2\xi_0, +2\xi_0]$ for the average flux densities $\bar{B} = 0.146B_{c2}$, $0.4389B_{c2}$, and $0.8778B_{c2}$ from left to right, respectively.

as the field is increased. We also find that the vortex-core charge at $T = 0.5T_c$ is much smaller than that at $T = 0.2T_c$ in all magnetic fields. Moreover, since the upper critical field becomes lower as the temperature is increased, the region where the PPG terms become dominant also becomes relatively wider.

We finally present an order-of-magnitude estimate for the accumulated charge $Q$ in the core region of radius $0.2\xi_0$ from the core center. Figure 4(a) gives the peak value $\rho(0) \approx 70\rho_0 = 70(\Delta_0\xi_0/|e|\xi_0^2)|e|/2$ of the core-charge density at $T = 0.2T_c$ and $B = 0.7315B_{c2}$. Choosing $\Delta_0 \approx 30$ meV as appropriate for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-x}$ (YBCO), we can estimate the peak value of the vortex-core charge $Q$ in a region of radius $0.2\xi_0$ from the core center and length $d$ nm along the $c$ axis as $Q \sim 0.01|e|/d$. This value is two orders of magnitude larger than the charge reported in Ref. [22], owing to the different calculation method as explained around Eqs. (12) and (13). It should be pointed out finally that, although our estimate on the vortex-core charge in YBCO is based on an $s$-wave model, one may expect that the energy-gap anisotropy does not much affect the magnitude of the accumulated charge in the core; see also Ref. [23] on this issue. This issue certainly needs to be studied in more detail in the future by solving the AQC equations for anisotropic pairings.

IV. CONCLUSION

We developed a numerical method for studying charging in the vortex lattices of type-II superconductors based on the AQC equations with the Lorentz force and PPG terms. Using it, we calculated magnetic-field dependence of the vortex-core charge and spatial profile of the charge density in the vortex lattice of $s$-wave superconductors with a cylindrical Fermi surface at $T = 0.2T_c$ and $0.5T_c$. We showed that, at both temperatures, the vortex-core charge is dominated by the PPG terms near the lower critical field and by the Lorentz force near the upper critical field. Since the the upper critical field gets larger as the temperature is lowered, the dominant region of the core charge due to the Lorentz force at $T = 0.2T_c$ becomes wider than that at $T = 0.5T_c$. We also showed that the sign of accumulated charge due to the Lorentz force can be understood in terms of the force picture, when the current density, the magnetic field, and the signs of
FIG. 3. Current density $j(r)$ at $T = 0.2T_c$ for the average flux densities (a) $\bar{B} = 0.146B_{c2}$, (b) $0.4389B_{c2}$, and (c) $0.8778B_{c2}$ in units of $j_0 \equiv \hbar/2\mu_0|e|\xi_0^3$ on a square region of $x, y \in [-\xi_0, +\xi_0]$. The color bar indicates the magnitude of the current density.

FIG. 4. Charge density at the vortex center $\rho(0)$ due to the Lorentz force (green circular points), the PPG terms (blue square points), and their total (red triangular points), in units of $\rho_0 \equiv \Delta_0\epsilon_0/|e|\xi_0^2$ as a function of the magnetic field, at temperatures (a) $T = 0.2T_c$ and (b) $0.5T_c$.

The carriers are given. On the other hand, whether or not such a force picture is possible for the charging due to the PPG terms remains an open question.

There are other interesting problems on the physics of vortex lattice systems that may be tackled by the AQC equations. For example, the present method can be used to study the flux-flow Hall effect in the vortex lattice state by combining them with the AC response theory based on the standard Eilenberger equations [10, 11]. They can also be applied to vortex lattices in superfluid $^3$He [41–45]. Since spin currents may flow around the vortices in superfluid $^3$He, it may also be worthwhile to calculate the rotation-speed dependence of spin accumulation at the core and the spin flows due to the spin-accumulated vortices moving along transport mass currents.

Kumagai et al. studied the vortex-core charge in cuprate superconductors by the NMR/NQR measurements [13]. They estimated the accumulated charge by the local electric-field gradient signaled by changes in the nuclear quadrupole resonance frequency. To the best of our knowledge, no direct observations of the vortex-core charge have been performed yet. We hope that the present study will stimulate them, such as those using the atomic force microscopy technique.
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