The Relationship between School, Class and Co-Curriculum Absenteeism on the Academic Performance of Selected Secondary School
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Abstract: Problem statement: The purpose of this study is to identify the relationship between causes of truant, types of truant and activities done during truant against academic achievement among the lower secondary students. Approach: The research instrument used in this study was questionnaire with Likert scale. The respondents for this study were 80 students were randomly chosen as respondents through simple random sampling. The data collected was analyzed by using the Statistical Package for Social Science for Windows (SPSS 11.5). Inferential and descriptive statistics is used to find the mean, frequency and standard deviation. Results: The findings showed that activities done during truant such as helping the family, joining the negative groups, crime is at the low level and working part-time together with loafing are at the medium level. Findings also showed there is no significance relationship between friends, parents, do not like the teacher, do not like the subject and homework with academic achievement and there is significance relationship between family problems with academic achievement. Conclusion: Parents must also pay due attention and control over their children social life to avoid them from picking up the habit of playing truant from school.

Key words: Academic achievement, truant, activities, family problems

INTRODUCTION

Students are the future leader to the country. Their activities have been the hot topics of discussions and were given warm attention from the whole society. Mass media often broadcast many news and stories about the hoax of the students and various social problems that surrounded them. Social problems and criminal acts involving students are coming to an alarming state. At student stage, an individual experiences a very rapid change, either physically, emotionally or socially[1].

The students’ notoriousness are not only confined to smoking cigarettes, fighting with each other or behaving obscenely, but also involving dumping babies, school absenteeism, running away from home, free sex and mingling. Now they even dare to commit serious criminal acts such joining bad syndicate and become gangsters, murder, with or without firearm burglar, rape, prostitution, gambling, vandalism, drug abuse, alcoholic, pornography and so forth as the main activity when they are playing truant[2]. Problems such as these not only happen in town and urban schools, but also take place in the rural schools. Students who often violate discipline or misbehave in schools are referred as deviant students.

Movement of students from one class to another class provides some of the students the opportunity not to go to the class and this give pleasure to the students and eventually make them dare to play truant. Absenteeism among school students often apply to students of non-working mothers[2]. The schools’ management that in overcoming this problem, efforts should be made to ensure schools become enjoyable places for their students[3]. In addition, the intimate relationship between teachers and students, facilities that encourage their attendance to school and concerns from parents and community could well be the factors that may solve the problem.

There are many other factors that contribute to school absenteeism. That school absenteeism is not only caused by the “incompetent of teacher as claimed”
and the lay out period of useful activities after examinations season. Absenteeism is one of the discipline problems that have become more unbecoming in schools. More and more primary and secondary students are inclined to play truant. Busy working parents do not have much time for their children. Are parents going to be held as the main factor for the existence of school absenteeism problem? Do not be surprised if there is school absenteeism driven by their parents themselves, especially those students who are from the primary schools. This is especially obvious on additional classes on Saturdays and after examinations. The poor attendance could be seen when students from a few classes are combined into one for facilitating teaching purpose. Isn’t it the attitude of some of the parents who encourage their children not to attend school has given an unhealthy teaching to their own children? Later in the secondary the students themselves will find various reasons that may excuse them from attending schools. Eventually, they would find that going to school is a burden. These students will then begin to plan with friends to stay away from school and resort to deviant and lingering at other places.

Students who do not have any self-awareness will perform criminal activity and begin to violate school rules in a way to show their aggressive attitude. The question is, if the parents are responsible to mould the character and behavior of their children, why does the student discipline problem still exist in the school surroundings? The attitudes of children and destructive family surroundings may not be restored if they do not get assistance from the responsible parties. Therefore, a study was carried out with the aims to identify what are the causes of school absenteeism, types of absenteeism and activities that take place at the time of absenteeism and its influence on the students’ academic achievement.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study utilized surveying methodology. It was aimed at identifying absenteeism problems that were happening in the secondary schools. Review is only conducted with the objective to get as clear a pattern, something about the widespread phenomenon. The results obtained were of high validity and reliability because the social behavior polar could not change drastically.

The method used in data collection from the respondents was through questionnaire. This method was used because it saved the time of collecting the data in a short period of time. The questionnaire consisted of 4 parts, that is Part A, B, C and D. Part A consisted of questions about the background of students, Part B consisted questions regarding the causes of absenteeism, Part C consisted questions about types of absenteeism and Part D were questions concerning the activities undertaken at the time of absenteeism. Data collected from the questionnaire would be analyzed by using the Statistical Package for Social Science for Windows (SPSS 11.5).

There are two types of analysis that is descriptive analysis and inference analysis. Descriptive analysis is mean, t-test, percentage, frequency and also the standard deviation. Whereas inference analysis is Pearson correlation. In this study, the data were analyzed by using descriptive analysis of mean, standard deviation, percentage and frequency. In addition, inference analysis Pearson correlation was also used in the study to test the hypothesis.

Result of study:

Factors that influences in school absenteeism:

Table 1 shows that the item 'I played truant because of friend’s invitation' has the highest mean score of and standard deviation 0.501. A number of 36 respondents which is 45% agreed with this item, whereas 44 respondents equivalent to 55% absolutely agree with this item. No respondents who are absolutely not agreed, not agreed and not sure with this item.

The overall mean is 2.8900 whereas the overall standard deviation is 0.46104. This shows that the academic achievement is at the average level.

Table 2 shows respondents distribution based on percentage, mean and standard deviation for the cause of parents. Result of study shows that the item 'I played truant because my parents did not bother about me' has the highest mean score of 3.69 and standard deviation 1.014. Only one respondent which is 1.3% absolutely disagreed with this item whereas a number of 16 respondents which are 20% not agreed with this item.

Table 1: Respondents distribution based on frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation for cause of influence of peers

| Item                                      | ANA | NA  | NS  | A   | AA  | Mean | SD  |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|
| I played truant because of friend’s invitation | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 36(45.0) | 44(55.0) | 4.55 | 0.501 |
| I played truant because I was being bullied by friends | 10(12.5) | 40(50.0) | 14(17.5) | 16(20.0) | 0(0.0) | 2.45 | 0.953 |
| I played truant because I was being threatened by friends | 2(2.5) | 46(57.5) | 16(20.0) | 16(20.0) | 0(0.0) | 2.58 | 0.839 |

Overall mean = 2.8900; Overall standard deviation = 0.46104; ANA: Absolutely Not Agreed, NA: Not Agreed, NS: Not Sure, A: Agreed, AA: Absolutely agreed
Table 2: Respondents distribution based on frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation for cause of parents

| Item                                                                 | ANA  | NA    | NS   | A    | AA   | Mean | SD    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| I played truant because my parents did not love me                  | 15 (18.8) | 49 (61.3) | 5 (6.3) | 11 (13.8) | 0 (0.0) | 2.15 | 0.887 |
| I played truant because my parents were always busy                 | 3 (3.8) | 22 (27.5) | 0 (0.0) | 45 (56.3) | 10 (12.5) | 3.46 | 1.136 |
| I played truant because my parents often absent from work           | 17 (21.3) | 52 (65.0) | 3 (3.8) | 6 (7.5) | 2 (2.5) | 2.05 | 0.884 |

Overall mean = 2.6350; Overall standard deviation = 0.37757

Table 3: Respondents distribution based on frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation for cause of the teachers

| Item                                                                 | ANA  | NA    | NS   | A    | AA   | Mean | SD    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| I played truant because the teachers were fierce                     | 0 (0.0) | 23 (28.8) | 1 (1.3) | 41 (51.3) | 15 (18.8) | 3.60 | 1.098 |
| I played truant because the teachers canned pupils                   | 0.0 (0.0) | 22.0 (27.5) | 4.0 (5.0) | 44.0 (55.0) | 10.0 (12.5) | 3.53 | 1.031 |
| I played because the teachers absented often                         | 4 (5.0) | 39 (48.8) | 3 (3.8) | 25 (31.3) | 9 (11.3) | 2.95 | 1.211 |

Overall mean = 2.9100; Overall standard deviation = 0.43621

Table 4: Respondents distribution based on frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation for cause of disliking the subjects

| Item                                                                 | ANA  | NA    | NS   | A    | AA   | Mean | SD    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| I played truant because did not like certain subjects                | 16 (20.0) | 33 (41.3) | 0 (0.0) | 23 (28.8) | 8 (10.0) | 2.68 | 1.348 |
| I played truant because did not like to remember                    | 3 (3.8) | 32 (40.0) | 6 (7.5) | 35 (43.8) | 4 (5.0) | 3.06 | 1.095 |
| I played truant because everyday learned the same subjects           | 25 (31.3) | 38 (47.5) | 1 (1.3) | 139 (16.3) | 3 (3.8) | 2.14 | 1.145 |

Overall mean = 2.8125; Overall standard deviation = 0.51346

Table 5: Respondents distribution based on frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation for cause of homework

| Item                                                                 | ANA  | NA    | NS   | A    | AA   | Mean | SD    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| I played truant because a lot of homework                            | 5 (6.3) | 24 (30.0) | 2 (2.5) | 39 (48.8) | 10 (12.5) | 3.31 | 1.208 |
| I played truant because a lot of difficult homework                  | 9 (11.3) | 37 (46.3) | 8 (10.0) | 20 (25.0) | 6 (7.5) | 2.71 | 1.182 |
| I played truant because there was no one to guide me on doing homework | 10 (12.5) | 39 (48.8) | 5 (6.3) | 22 (27.5) | 4 (5.0) | 2.64 | 1.161 |

Overall mean = 3.0250; Overall standard deviation = 0.48509

A number of 3 respondents which are 3.8% are not sure with this item. Forty seven respondents which are 58.8% agreed with this item. Thirteen respondents which are 16.3% highly agreed with this item.

The overall mean is 2.63 and standard deviation is 0.38. This shows that the academic achievement is at the average level.

Table 3 shows the respondents distribution based on percentage, mean and standard deviation for the cause of disliking the teachers. Result of study shows that the item 'I played truant because of fierce teachers' has the highest mean score of 3.60 and standard deviation 1.098. A number of 23 respondents which are 28.8% not agreed with this item. One respondent which is 1.3% was not sure with this item. A number of 41 respondents which are 51.3% agreed with this item while 15 respondents which is 18.8% absolutely agreed with this item. There was no respondent who absolutely not agreed with this item.

The overall mean is 2.9100 and standard deviation is 0.43621. This shows that the academic achievement is at the average level.

Table 4 shows the respondents distribution based on percentage, mean and standard deviation for the cause of disliking the subjects. Result of study shows that the item 'I played truant because there were less practical work' has the highest mean score of 3.21 and standard deviation 1.166. A number of 6 respondents which is 7.5% absolutely disagreed with this item, whereas 22 respondents which are 27.5% not agreed with this item. Eight respondents which are 10% were not sure with this item. A number of 37 respondents which is 46.3% agreed with this item. Seven respondents which are 8.8% absolutely agreed with this item.

The overall mean is 2.81 and standard deviation is 0.51. This shows that the academic achievement is at the average level.

Table 5 shows the respondents distribution based on percentage, mean and standard deviation for the cause of doing homework. Result of study shows that the item 'I played truant because fighting with my siblings' has the highest mean score of 4.20 and standard deviation 0.770. There was no respondent absolutely not agreed with this item. A number of 5
Table 6: Respondents distribution based on frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation for cause of family

| Item                                                                 | ANA | NA   | NS  | A   | AA  | Mean | SD    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-------|
| I played truant because my family members often quarreled           | 16  | 58   | 2   | 4   | 0   | 1.93 | 0.652 |
| I played truant because of financial problem                       | 16  | 22   | 0   | 28  | 14  | 3.03 | 1.467 |
| I played truant because quarreling with my siblings                | 0   | 5    | 2   | 45  | 28  | 4.20 | 0.770 |

Overall mean = 2.6050; Overall standard deviation = 0.47731

Table 7: Respondents distribution based on frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation for the activity of doing part-time job

| Item                                                                 | ANA | NA   | NS  | A   | AA  | Mean | SD    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-------|
| I played truant because I worked at shopping centre                  | 8   | 20   | 0   | 36  | 16  | 3.4  | 1.327 |
| I played truant because I worked at food stall                       | 23  | 50   | 0   | 7   | 0   | 1.89 | 0.795 |
| I played truant because I worked at sundry shop                      | 33  | 44   | 0   | 3   | 0   | 1.66 | 0.674 |

Overall mean = 2.3800; Overall standard deviation = 0.40827

Table 8: Respondents distribution based on frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation for helping the family

| Item                                                                 | ANA | NA   | NS  | A   | AA  | Mean | SD    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-------|
| I helped my family                                                   | 0   | 4    | 3   | 53  | 20  | 4.11 | 0.693 |
| I took care of my siblings                                           | 16  | 36   | 2   | 17  | 9   | 2.59 | 1.328 |
| I took care of my unwell family                                      | 9   | 30   | 0   | 35  | 6   | 2.99 | 1.258 |

Overall mean: 2.3438; Overall standard deviation: 0.41026

respondents which is 6.3% not agreed with this item. Two respondents which are 2.5% were not sure with this item. A number of 45 respondents which is 56.3% agreed with this item. A number of 28 respondents which is 35.0% absolutely agreed with this item.

The overall mean is 2.6050 and standard deviation is 0.47731. This shows that the academic achievement is at the average level.

Types of absenteeism in schools: There are 3 types of absenteeism that have been done by the respondents in this study namely school absenteeism, class absenteeism and Co-Curriculum absenteeism. The result of this study shows that a number of 64 respondents which is 80% never play truant school and 16 respondents which is 20.0% had never been schooling. Meanwhile 28 respondents which is 35% had played truant to class and 52 respondents which is 65% had never been to class. The result of this study also shows that a number of 10 respondents which is 13% had never played truant to Co-Curriculum and 70 respondents which is 87% had never attended Co-Curriculum.

The main activities undertaken by the students at the time of absenteeism.

Table 7 shows the respondents distribution based on percentage, mean, standard deviation for activities to help family. Based on the search, 'I played truant because I helped my family by collecting recyclable things' shows the highest mean score of 4.14 and standard deviation 0.791. No respondent was ‘absolutely not agreed’ with this items. Six respondents which are 7.5% were ‘not agreed’ with it. Two respondents which are 2.5% were ‘not sure’ with this item. Three respondents which are 3.8% ‘Agreed’ with this item. 47 respondents which is 58.8% agreed with this items meanwhile 25 respondent which is 31.3% ‘Absolutely agreed’.

The overall mean is 2.34 whereas the standard deviation is 0.41. This shows that the academic achievement is at average level.

Table 9 shows respondent distribution on percentage, mean and standard deviation for mingle activity. Based on the study, ‘Mingle in shopping complex’ shows the highest mean which is 3.74 and standard deviation is 1.156. Three respondents which are 3.8 percent absolutely not agreed with this item and 16 respondents which are 20% not agreed. No respondent voted for ‘not sure’. Forty one respondents which are 51.3% agreed. 20 respondents which are 25% absolutely agreed.

The overall mean is 2.97 while standard deviation is 0.60. This concludes that the academic achievement is at average level.
Table 9: Respondents distribution based on frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation for mingling activities

| Item                        | ANA | NA   | NS | A   | AA   | Mean | SD  |
|-----------------------------|-----|------|----|-----|------|------|-----|
| Mingle at shopping complex  | 3 (3.8) | 16 (20.0) | 0 (0.0) | 41 (51.3) | 20 (25.0) | 3.74 | 1.156 |
| Just round in town          | 9 (11.3) | 25 (31.3) | 2 (2.5) | 42 (52.5) | 2 (2.5) | 3.04 | 1.185 |
| Playing games at cyber cafe | 7 (8.8) | 12 (15.0) | 0 (0.0) | 43 (53.8) | 18 (22.5) | 3.66 | 1.232 |

Overall mean: 2.97; Overall standard deviation: 0.602

Table 10: Respondents distribution based on frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation for involvement in negative group

| Item                        | ANA | NA   | NS | A   | AA   | Mean | SD  |
|-----------------------------|-----|------|----|-----|------|------|-----|
| Involved in secret society  | 36 (45.0) | 44 (55.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1.55 | 0.501 |
| Involved in gangsters        | 34 (42.5) | 41 (51.3) | 4 (5.0) | 1 (1.3) | 0 (0.0) | 1.65 | 0.638 |
| Involved in ‘Along’ group    | 40 (50.0) | 40 (50.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1.50 | 0.503 |

Overall mean: 1.69; Overall standard deviation: 0.314

Table 11: Respondents distribution based on frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation for criminal acts

| Item                        | ANA | NA   | NS | A   | AA   | Mean | SD  |
|-----------------------------|-----|------|----|-----|------|------|-----|
| Destroying public property  | 43 (53.8) | 31 (38.8) | 6 (7.5) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 4.51 | 0.799 |
| Raping                      | 36 (45.0) | 44 (55.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 4.24 | 0.501 |
| Gambling                    | 23 (28.8) | 48 (60.0) | 0 (0.0) | 9 (11.3) | 0 (0.0) | 1.94 | 0.862 |
| Illegal racing              | 0 (0.0) | 12 (15.0) | 0 (0.0) | 45 (56.3) | 23 (28.8) | 4.53 | 0.948 |
| Drug trading                | 43 (53.8) | 37 (46.3) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 4.46 | 0.502 |
| Drug distributing           | 34 (42.5) | 45 (56.3) | 1 (1.3) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 4.16 | 0.636 |

Overall mean: 1.7828; Overall standard deviation: 0.32054

Table 12: Correlation analysis between selected variables with academic achievement

| Academic achievement | Pearson correlation | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Peers                | 0.152               | 0.180           |
| Parents              | -0.049              | 0.667           |
| Disliking teacher    | -0.0179             | 0.111           |
| Disliking subject    | 0.010               | 0.927           |
| Doing house work     | -0.150              | 0.184           |
| Family problems      | -0.258              | 0.021           |
| School absenteeism   | 0.161               | 0.154           |
| Class absenteeism    | 0.254               | 0.023           |
| Co-curriculum absenteeism | 0.122           | 0.282           |

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

Table 10 shows the respondents distribution according to percentage, mean and standard deviation for involving in negative group. Based on the search, ‘Involved in Mat Rempit’ shows the highest mean which is 2.00 and standard deviation 1.067. A number of 30 respondents which are 37.5% ‘absolutely not agreed’. Meanwhile, 35 respondents which are 43.8% not agreed with this item. A number of 15 respondents which are 18.8% agreed with this item. No respondent was not sure and absolutely agreed with this item.

The over all mean is 1.69 while standard deviation is 0.31. This shows that academic achievement is at the average level.

Table 11 shows respondents distribution according to percentage, mean and standard deviation for criminal act. Based on search, ‘Illegal racing’ shows highest mean which is 4.53 and standard deviation is 0.948. A number of 12 respondents which are 15% not agreed with this item. A number of 456 respondents which are 56.3% agreed while 23 respondents with 28.8% absolutely agreed with this item. No respondents were ‘Absolutely not agreed’ and ‘Not sure’ with it.

‘Gambling’ has the lowest mean score which is 1.94 with standard deviation 0.862. A number of 23 respondents which are 28.8% absolutely not agreed with this item whereas 48 respondents which are 60% not agreed with this item. Nine respondents which are 11.3% agreed with this item. No respondent was ‘not sure’ and ‘absolutely agreed’ with this item.

The overall mean is 1.7828 while the standard deviation is 0.32054. This shows that the academic achievement is at average level.

Table 12 shows correlation analysis between selected variables with academic achievement. From the aspect of peer group, r = 0.152. This shows that the relationship between causes of peers with academic achievement is very low. p = 0.180>0.05. So hypothesis No1 is accepted and there is no significant relationship between the causes of the peers with academic achievement.

In parents it shows that r = -0.049! the relationship between parents with academic achievement is very low. When p = 0.667>0.05. So hypothesis No1 is accepted and there is no significant relationship between causes of parents with academic achievement. The relationship between causes of parents with academic achievement is reciprocal.

In Disliking Teacher shows that r = -0.179. This shows the relationship between disliking the teachers with academic achievement is very low.
when \( p = 0.111 > 0.05 \). So hypothesis Nol is accepted and there is no significant relationship between the disliking the teachers with academic achievement. The relationship between disliking the teachers with academic achievement is reciprocal.

In disliking the subjects \( r = 0.010 \). This shows that the relationship between disliking the subjects with academic achievement is very low when \( p = 0.927 > 0.05 \). So hypothesis Nol is accepted and there is no significant relationship between the disliking the subjects with academic achievement.

In house work \( r = -0.150 \). This shows that the relationship between doing house work with academic achievement is very low when \( p = 0.184 > 0.05 \). So hypothesis Nol is accepted and there is no significant relationship between doing house work with academic achievement. The relationship between doing house work with academic achievement is reciprocal.

In family problems \( r = -0.258 \). This shows that the relationship between family problems with academic achievement is weak where \( p = 0.021 < 0.05 \). Hypothesis Nol is rejected and there is a significant relationship between family problems with academic achievement. The relationship between the family problems with academic achievement is reciprocal.

In school absenteeism \( r = 0.161 \). This shows that the relationship between school absenteeism with academic achievement is very low when \( p = 0.154 > 0.05 \). So hypothesis Nol is accepted and there is no significant relationship between school absenteeism with academic achievement.

In class absenteeism \( r = 0.254 \). This shows that the relationship between class absenteeism with academic achievement is weak, when \( p = 0.023 < 0.05 \). Hypothesis Nol is rejected and there is a significant relationship between class absenteeism with academic achievement.

Co-Curriculum absenteeism \( r = 0.122 \). This shows that the relationship between Co-Curriculum absenteeism with academic achievement is very low when \( p = 0.282 > 0.05 \). So hypothesis Nol is accepted and there is no significant relationship between Co-Curriculum absenteeism with academic achievement.

**DISCUSSION**

Overall, ‘doing homework’ is at an average level. This is similar with[8], who cited that the influence of peers, drug abuse, bully, bored, dislike some of the teachers, trying to skip from exam and unstable family, all contributes to absenteeism problems in school. As a whole, these three types of truants are at the lower level. This is very similar to report[9] which noticed missing from the class and truant in school comprises the highest percentage of students’ misconduct among the students. The Education Ministry’s target towards ‘Zero Defect’ will never achieve if both these problems are not solved immediately. This finding is identical to[10] which said that the latest number of students who involved in truant problems is 34628 students.

Overall, ‘doing part-time job’ and ‘mingling’ are at the moderate level. Whereas helping the family and taking part in criminal activities and joining bad groups are still at the lower level. Based on study[3], most of the students who played truant loved wasting their time by going to entertainment places. Students who played truant would be left behind in study and worst of all, some of them might instead involved in negative activities such as drug abuse, vandalism, gangsters, gambling, mingling, alcoholism and free sex[11].

**Recommendation:** Based on the result, discussion and review that have been made, the researcher has some suggestion to put forward:

- The result shows that disliking the teachers makes truant in low level. Thus, teachers are advised to try to study about as reason to play truant is at moderate level. Therefore, teachers have to understanding the socio-economy and family background of the students in order to know the factors that influenced them. This may be able to help the teachers in understanding the failure or the behavior of the student who played truant. Teachers who are considerable should give reasonable amount of homework based on students’ learning level so that the students can complete the work well.
- The study also shows that parents are also the main reason that leads to absenteeism problems among students. Thus parents should get together with teachers to overcome the absenteeism problem by organizing more short or long courses to increase parental knowledge.
- The result of this study also found that school, class and co-curriculum absenteeism are on the low level. However, the best way to overcome these problems is to stricken the school rules. Severe punishment such as suspension and expulsion from school should been taken against undisciplined students.
- In mingling activities parents should pay more attention to their children in schools and spend more time with them as possible. Parents must also pay due attention and control over their children.
social life to avoid them from picking up the habit of playing truant from school

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, all parties should give their cooperation in order to make the dream comes true. Drastic steps should be taken if we are to produce a new and useful generation. Action from one party alone will never make a difference, as such we must stand and work together in order to overcome this problem.
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