Azotobacter chroococcum F8/2: a multitasking bacterial strain in sugar beet biopriming
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ABSTRACT
This study assesses the effects of Azotobacter biopriming on the early development of sugar beet. Azotobacter chroococcum F8/2 was screened for plant growth promoting characteristics and biopriming effects were estimated through germination parameters and the structural changes of the root tissues. A. chroococcum F8/2 was characterized as a contributor to nitrogen, iron, and potassium availability, as well as a producer of auxin and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxilic acid deaminase. Applied biopriming had reduced mean germination time by 34.44% and increased vigor I by 90.99% compared to control. Volatile blend comprised 47.67% ethanol, 32.01% 2-methyl-propanol, 17.32% 3-methyl-1-butanol, and a trace of 2,3-butanedione. Root micromorphological analysis of bioprimed sugar beet revealed a considerable increase in primary, secondary xylem area, and vessels size. Obtained results determine A. chroococcum F8/2 as a successful biopriming agent, and active participant in nutrient availability and hormonal status modulation affecting root vascular tissue.

INTRODUCTION
Sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.) is a necessary part of the human diet due to high content of sucrose as the key component (up to 20% of the taproot fresh weight) (Rodrigues et al. 2020), vitamins, minerals, phenolics, carotenoids, ascorbic acid, and betalains (Chihikara et al. 2019). In 2019 the total world sugar production was 187 Mt, and the share of sugar beet was about 20%. It is projected that sugar production will reach 209.5 Mt by 2026 (OECD-FAO 2017). Since the content of sucrose in the root is genetically limited, it is clear that sugar beet production must be directed towards increasing the root yield (Hoffmann and Kenter 2018).

The technological yield of sugar is highly influenced by germination and early growth stages (Chomontowski et al. 2020), and achieving it needs support from the very beginning of the plant’s life. Successful, uniform, rapid germination as well as the emergence of normal seedlings alleviate adverse impacts and lead to optimization of crop production (Mal et al. 2019). Therefore, sugar beet seeds need to be subjected to sophisticated technique such as priming (Chomontowski et al. 2020), pelleting, and coating (Jolayemi 2019) to promote germination and early growth. Among the new technologies, biopriming and microbiological inoculation as their method are acceptable in terms of sustainability and the reduction of inorganic additives to the environment. Biopriming is conducted through a set of physiological events initiated by hydration (imbibition), and seed inoculation with Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) (Sood et al. 2021). PGPR create a tight association with the plant, not only supporting its growth and health (Liu et al. 2021), but also the biological integrity and quality of the soil (Khatoon et al. 2020). Besides, biostimulants have been shown to improve nutrient uptake, crop tolerance to drought, and pathogens as well as water uptake efficiency by different Plant Growth Promoting (PGP) mechanisms (Jolayemi 2019; Khan et al. 2020). The rapid growth of sugar beet, its short vegetative cycle, intensive accumulation of dry matter, and extremely high demand on nutrients’ availability as well as their efficient transport (Cardoso et al. 2017) could be boosted by activity of PGPR.

One of the most promising PGPR genera is Azotobacter, free-nitrogen fixing bacteria, universally recognized as an inoculant in sustainable agriculture. Nitrogen fixation as a major trait is of particular importance in sugar beet production, whose yields are dependent on nitrogen supply (6–8 pounds/t according to Poindexter 2001). Beneficial effect of A. chroococcum on sugar beet yield and technological quality has already been demonstrated (Čačić et al. 2003; Mrkovacki and Mezei 2003; Kuzevski et al. 2011; Mrkovacki et al. 2016), but without deeper insight into the mechanisms of action. The agronomic significance of Azotobacter sp. is reflected through additional features such as phosphate solubilization (Nosrati et al. 2014), synthesis of phytohormones, siderophores, antibiotics, exopolysaccharides, degradation of toxic compounds (Sumbul et al. 2020), and contribution to the ecological balance in the agroecosystem (Jiménez et al. 2011). All generated knowledge resulted in Azotobacter recognition by The Regulation EU 2019/1009 as one of the three bacterial genera that can be used as microbial plant biostimulant/biofertilizer. Consequently, research on Azotobacter is much closer to practical application of the findings, compared to Bacillus and Pseudomonas as widely studied PGPRs. Azotobacter genus is studied as biopriming agent
of maize (Sharifi and Khavazi 2011), safflower (Sharifi 2012), barley (Mirshekari et al. 2012), and wheat (Milošević et al. 2012). However, in order to make a better estimation of Azotobacter sp. use in biopriming, further research is needed. Current literature still lacks data regarding Azotobacter sp. volatile organic compounds (VOCs) production and their effects on plants. VOCs play an important role in communication with soil microbes, nematodes, insects, and plants (Xu et al. 2015; Schulz-Bohm et al. 2017; Calcagnile et al. 2019; de Boer et al. 2019).

Furthermore, understanding root development at earlier stages is pivotal since it has a huge impact on root function. The root developmental processes in Beta vulgaris are mainly focused on the regulation of cambium activity, which produces precursors for the formation of xylem and phloem elements (Jouannet et al. 2015). However, there is not enough evidence showing the effects of priming/biopriming on the root xylem vessels, precisely, on the stimulation of cambium division and differentiation. Such correlation would contribute to understanding the observed effects in a more holistic way.

Taking into account the current state of the art, described above, the main goal of this research was to estimate A. chroococcum F8/2 biopriming effects on the early stages of sugar beet growth and root development. The aim was approached through comprehensive insight into: bacterial PGP mechanisms, and VOCs composition, as well as sugar beet germination parameters and root microstructure.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strain characterization

The bacterial strain was obtained from the collection of Department of Environmental Microbiology, Faculty of Agriculture, Belgrade, Serbia. The strain A. chroococcum F8/2 was previously isolated from the agricultural soil, identified according to morphological traits, and proven to be a potent biopriming agent on several crops (Kerečki et al. 2021).

Molecular identification

Genomic DNA was obtained by method of Hopwood et al. (1985). Kapa Taq DNA polymerase (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) was used to amplify the 16S rDNA and nifH genes using a Kyratec SuperCycler Trinity (Queensland, 4122 Australia) with specific primers: 16S – Fw (GAATCTTCCACAATGGACG) and 16S – Rev (TGACGGGCGTGTTGACAAAG) (Jovčić et al. 2009); nifH-g1 – Fw (GGTTGTGACCAGGAAGTGA) and nifH – g1 – Rev (GGCTACATGCCATCATC) (Helmut et al. 2004). The reaction mixture contained template DNA (100 ng), Taq polymerase buffer (10×), dNTP mix (10 mM), each primer (10 mM), Taq polymerase (2 U/µL). PCR conditions were set as follows: 94°C for 5 min; followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s; annealing 55°C (50°C for nifH-g1 primers) for 30 s; primer extension at 72°C for 2 min.; at the end final elongation step at 72°C for 7 min. PCR products were sequenced by the Macrogen Sequencing Service (Macrogen Europe, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) and BLAST algorithm was used for analyzing nucleotide sequences (Altschul et al. 1997).

Plant growth promoting profiling

Phosphate solubilizing activity was estimated on National Botanical Research Institute’s phosphate growth medium (NBRIP) (Nautiyal 1999). Potassium solubilization was determined on the modified Aleksandrov medium with K-Al silicate as the K-bearing mineral (Rajawat et al. 2016). Zinc solubilization capacity was performed on the Tris-mineral salt medium supplemented with 1% of ZnO (w/v) (Gandhi and Muralidharan 2016). Selective media were spot inoculated with overnight bacterial culture in three replications, and incubated at 28 ± 2°C. The incubation period was two weeks, 72, and 48 h, for NBRIP, Alexander, and Tris-mineral salt medium, respectively. After the incubation period, the appearance of halo zones around the colonies was considered as positive result for the phosphorus, potassium, and zinc solubilization. The solubilization capacity of the strain was expressed through solubilization index (SI) calculated by the formula (Bashir et al. 2017):

\[
SI = \frac{\text{Colony diameter} + \text{halo zone diameter}}{\text{Colony diameter}} \times 100
\]

The potential of dissolving K from K-Al silicate as a K-source in the solid media was classified as low (SI < 2.00), intermediate (SI 2.00–4.00), and high (SI > 4.00) (Setiajati and Mutmainnah 2016). Siderophore production was identified using a modified CAS assay (Alexander and Zuberer 1991). In brief, the Chrome azurol S (Fluka, USA) agar medium was spot inoculated with the overnight bacterial culture and incubated for 5 days at 28 ± 2°C. The appearance of yellow-orange zones around colonies indicated siderophore production. The diameter of the zone was measured and siderophore production was classified as low (1.5 mm), moderate (6–10 mm), and high (11–15 mm) according to Wani et al. (2007).

Ammonia production was tested in 5 ml peptone water broth (Tolrlak, Serbia) incubated for 72 h at 28 ± 2°C. After the incubation period, 0.5 ml of Nessler’s reagent (Alfapanija, Serbia) was added to the tube and the appearance of yellow-brown color indicated ammonia production (Cappuccino and Sherman 1996).

The capability to produce acid and alkaline phosphatase was assessed using API ZYM system (Biomerieux, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

The production of exopolysaccharides (EPS) was determined according to Paolo et al. (2012). The sterile filter paper discs (5 mm Ø) were inoculated with 5 µl of the overnight bacterial culture, placed on ATCC No.14 solid media (Arfarita et al. 2016), and incubated at 28 ± 2°C for 48 h. The slimy colonies were mixed with 2 ml of absolute alcohol, and the presence of precipitate confirmed EPS production.

The 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) deaminase activity was determined by the 96-well PCR-plate ninhydrin-ACC assay (Li et al. 2011). Briefly, the bacterial culture was grown in DF-ACC medium (Penrose and Glick 2003), containing 3 mmol ml⁻¹ of ACC (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The un-inoculated DF-ACC medium was used as a control. After 24 h incubation at 28°C/200 rpm, bacterial supernatant was obtained and added to DF medium in a ratio 1:10. The diluted supernatant (60 µl) was transferred to a 96-well PCR plate with 120 µl of ninhydrin reagent and heated in a boiling water bath for 30 min. Afterwards, 100 µl of the reaction solution was transferred to the
flat bottom-96-well microplate. The optical density (OD) was recorded at 570 nm (T70 UV/VIS Spectrometer, PG Instruments LTD). The obtained results were compared to the standard curve prepared with pure ACC (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) in the range of 0.005–0.5 mmol L\(^{-1}\).

The indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) production was determined by the colorimetric test (Paten and Glick 2002). Minimal salt medium enriched with 100 µg ml\(^{-1}\) of L-tryptophan (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was inoculated with overnight bacterial culture and incubated for 72 h at 30 ± 1°C/150 rpm (KS 260 basic, IKA, Staufen, Germany). The broth was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5 min (Eppendorf, Germany), 1 ml of collected supernatant was mixed with 2 ml of Salkowski reagent, and incubated in the dark for 20 min. The optical density (OD) was recorded at 540 nm (T70 UV/VIS Spectrometer, PG Instruments LTD). The obtained results were compared to the standard curve prepared with pure IAA (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) in the 1–100 µg ml\(^{-1}\) range.

**Seed germination assay**

Sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) ‘Hurricane’ seeds (SES Vandenhave, Belgium) were surface sterilized by immersion in 70% ethanol (v/v) for 2 min, followed by 2 min exposure to 2% NaOCl (v/v). Seeds were carefully washed 5 times with sterile water and air-dried under aseptic conditions in the laminar chamber. The success of the sterilization procedure was confirmed by the absence of bacterial colonies on Nutrient agar (Torlak, Serbia) where treated seeds were placed. The laminar chamber. The success of the sterilization procedure was confirmed by the absence of bacterial colonies on Nutrient agar (Torlak, Serbia) where treated seeds were placed and incubated for 48 h at 28 ± 2°C.

Seeds were inoculated by immersion into the 48-h-old bacterial suspension (10\(^7\) CFU ml\(^{-1}\), which corresponds to OD 1 at 550 nm; T70 UV/VIS Spectrometer, PG Instruments LTD, UK) and incubated for 1 h (28 ± 2°C/130 rpm (KS 260 basic, IKA, Staufen, Germany)). The control treatment seeds were immersed in sterile water.

Germination test was carried out by placing 100 of each *A. chroococcum*–inoculated and control seeds in Petri dishes on sterile filter paper. Each treatment had four replicates. The seeds were subjected to natural light at 25°C for 7 days. The humidity was regularly maintained by adding sterile water. The number of germinated seeds was recorded daily. The final germination percentage (FGP), germination index (GI), mean germination time (MGT), vigor I, and vigor II was calculated by the advanced germination measurement tool (Agron Info-Tech).

**The effect of Azotobacter volatile organic compounds (VOCs) on sugar beet seedlings**

The closed system (Passive Diffusion System), which involves the use of two-compartment Petri dishes enabling the physical separation of the selected bacterial strain and plant seeds, was used in the study. A drop of 20 µl 10\(^7\) CFU ml\(^{-1}\) bacterial suspension was applied on the Fiodorov agar medium (Anderson 1985) on one side of the divided Petri dish while the medium was not inoculated for the control treatment. The seeds were sterilized as previously described and placed on moistened Whatman’s filter paper which is placed on the second part of the divided Petri dish. Six seeds were placed per Petri dishes in triplicates. The plates were sealed with Parafilm (Sigma-Aldrich-USA) to prevent penetration of outside air but allow VOCs exchange between compartments. All boxes were under laboratory conditions for 7 days which fresh and dry mass of seedlings were measured.

**GC-MS analysis of VOCs**

The headspace vials (75.5 × 22.5 × 20 ml), (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) were filled with 5 ml of Fiodorov medium, sterilized, and inoculated with 20 µl of 10\(^7\) CFU ml\(^{-1}\) of *Azotobacter* suspension. The vial with the uninoculated Fiodorov medium represented the control treatment. The incubation regime was at 28 ± 2°C for 3 days, and the samples were subject to GC-MS analysis.

Static HS GC-MS analysis was carried out using the Agilent gas chromatographic system (CA, USA) with an autosampler GC 80, a gas chromatrom 7890A, and a mass detector 5975C MSD. Regarding HS analysis, the regime of the autosampler meant the incubation time of 1200 s, incubation temperature of 120°C, and syringe temperature of 130°C. To perform qualitative and semi-quantitative analysis of volatile components, the gas chromatograph was connected directly to two detectors: flame ionization detector (FID) and mass spectrometric detector (MSD) (chromatograms shown in SM1). The HP-Innowax capillary polar column (30 m × 0.320 mm × 0.25 µm) and helium, as the pure carrier gas, with a constant flow of 3 ml/min were used for the analytical performance. The temperature of the injector was 220°C, and the temperature of the flame ionization detector was 300°C. The regime of the oven was set up as follows: 35°C for 5 min, 65°C a rate of 3°C/min, 225°C at the rate of 10°C/min, and then held for 4 min. The injection was performed in split mode 3:1 and the injected volume of the sample was 2000 µl. The mass spectra were recorded using the electron ionization (EI) mode of 70 eV and the ion source temperature of 230°C, while the mass analyzer (quadrupole) was constantly heated to 150°C.

The relative contents of the identified compounds were calculated from the GC peak areas. Identification and quantification of obtained volatile compounds were confirmed by comparing the mass spectra and retention indices (RI) to those of standard compounds from the NIST WebBook (US National Institute of Standards and Technology).

**Assessment of structural changes in the inner tissue of the root**

Sugar beet seeds were prepared as previously described in the seed germination assay section. Afterwards, 25 sugar beet seeds per treatment (control/*A. chroococcum*-inoculated seeds) were sown in plastic containers (500 × 280 × 55 mm) filled with commercial substrate (Klasmann-Dilmann, Germany). The number of emerged plants per treatment was noted and final emergence percentage (FEP) was calculated. The control and *A. chroococcum* inoculated plants at the stage 21 days after sowing were harvested on the same day. The root samples (with a length of 5 mm), were cut off from the five representative plants, fixed in 50% alcohol (v/v), and embedded in paraffin. Sections 8–12 µm thick were taken by sliding microtome and stained with safranin and alcian blue (Ruzin 1999). Transverse sections of five roots of control and five roots of *A. chroococcum* inoculated beet were observed by bright-field light microscopy in.
transmitted light (Leica DM2000, Germany), and documented with a Leica DC320 digital camera, and software Leica IM1000 was used for sample capture and measurements. In the case of the mean area of the 10 parenchyma largest cells and vessels as well as the number of parenchyma cells, each recorded measurement is the average of at least 10 or 90 measurements.

Statistical analysis

Data were processed using analysis of variance (ANOVA), and the differences between the means were determined by Tukey’s test at a 5% level of probability.

Results

Molecular identification

According to the results of 16S rDNA and nifH gene sequencing, it was confirmed that the tested strain corresponds to Azotobacter chroococcum. The sequences were submitted to NCBI GenBank database (GenBank accession no. MZ188902 and MZ230598, for 16S and nifH, respectively).

Plant growth promoting assessment

A. chroococcum F8/2 expressed multifunctional PGP traits (Table 1). The results of the phosphorus and zinc solubilization assays indicated that the strain had no potential to utilize phosphorus and zinc from inorganic sources, but it is characterized by the production of acid and alkaline phosphatase. A. chroococcum F8/2 had a moderate ability to dissolve K from K-Al silicate (SI(K) 3.01).

A positive test result for siderophore synthesis and average diameter of discoloration zone of 2 cm revealed the strain’s ability to mobilize and bind Fe from the environment. The ammonia production activity was also confirmed as well as the ability to synthesize exopolysaccharides (EPS) by forming mucous colonies on the growing media. A. chroococcum F8/2 produced 10 µg ml⁻¹ IAA in L-tryptophan supplemented medium, while the ninhydrin test confirmed its capability to utilize ACC. After 16h-incubation in DF-ACC medium, the initial concentration of ACC decreased by 91.67%, which indicates the ACC consumption by the strain.

Germination assay

The FGP of the inoculated treatment was calculated to be lower by 20% in comparison to the control. An interesting observation was the difference in germination after the seeds were planted on the substrate for the assessment of structural changes in the root tissues. The FEP of Azotobacter chroococcum-inoculation treatment was 100%, while it was lower by 7% in the control treatment. The seed inoculation treatment had a significantly lower value of MGT versus control for 34.44% (Table 2). The Vigor I value of the inoculated seeds was found to be 90.99% higher compared to control. Analyzing the differences in Vigor II and GI between the treatments, no significant differences were found.

Effect of VOCs on the beetroot seedlings

The exposure of sugar beet seeds to VOCs produced by A. chroococcum F8/2 significantly increased fresh weight (53.24%) compared to the control (Table 3).

The released VOCs are presented in Figure 1 and summarized in Table 4.

The microstructure of the sugar beet roots

The examination of transverse root sections of the A. chroococcum-inoculated (Figure 2(a,c)), and control young plants (Figure 2(b,d,f)) revealed that they have a very similar general microstructure which consists of the common regions, i.e. one layer epidermis, the multi-layer cortex, and the vascular cylinder. The following root tissues could be identified from the outside to the inside: epidermis, cortical parenchyma, endoderm, and pericycle (both hardly visible) (Figure 2), tertiary phloem, tertiary xylem, primary and secondary phloem, cambium, primary and secondary xylem (Figure 2). At the stage of a mature young root, the cortical region consisted of five to six layers of thin-walled,

| Treatment | FGP (%) | GI     | MGT (day) | Seedlings length (cm) | Seedlings dry weight (g) | Vigor I | Vigor II |
|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------|---------|
| Control   | 54 ± 5  | 2.7 ± 0.1 | 5.43 ± 0.38 | 3.49 ± 2.34           | 0.0021 ± 0.0007         | 182.77 ± 119.73 | 0.11 ± 0.03 |
| Inoculation | 34 ± 5* | 2.8 ± 0.5* | 3.56 ± 0.14* | 8.24 ± 1.50*          | 0.0023 ± 0.0004*        | 349.08 ± 173.53* | 0.09 ± 0.04* |

Note: ns-not significant at 5% level of probability; * significant at 5% level of probability based on Tukey’s test; n = 100.

Table 2. Effects of A. chroococcum F8/2 biopriming on germination parameters of sugar beet seeds.
isodiametric parenchyma cells with small intercellular spaces (Figure 2(a–d)). According to measurements of general anatomical root traits (Table 5), the average areas of the cortex regions were similar in size in control and A. chroococcum-inoculated plants, as well as the number of parenchyma cells. The mean area of the largest parenchyma cells and vessels, area of primary and secondary xylem was significantly higher in A. chroococcum-inoculated plants (Table 5). At the stage of 21 days after emergence (Figure 2(a–f)), the cambium had been formed, and the massive secondary growth was presented in both root treatments (Figure 2(e, f)). The increase in beetroot size is mainly due to the activity of the cambium, which throws out cell division and expansion processes. Secondary growth initiated by cambium occurs on the inner parts of the phloem, precisely embedded between the primary, secondary phloem, and primary, secondary xylem (Figure 2(e,f)). In the very central part of the vascular cylinder remains the rest of the primary tissue, composed of xylem, and represents the remains of a diarch vascular bundle in the beetroots.

**Discussion**

**PGPR properties of A. chroococcum F8/2**

The presented study showed the biopriming potential of A. chroococcum, a widespread free-living and nitrogen-fixing bacteria used as a biofertilizer for more than a century. A. chroococcum F8/2 was confirmed as multitasking PGPR capable to regulate the hormonal status of plants through the production of IAA and ACC, solubilize inorganic forms of potassium, and mineralize nitrogen and phosphorus organic compounds. The presence of this isolate with numerous PGP features resulted in increased seedlings length and a significant increase in the mean area of vessels.

In this study, A. chroococcum F8/2 showed an impact on the nutritional cycles of N, P, K, and Fe, elements crucial for plant physiology. Biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) is the crown of its PGPR activity, which ensures the inclusion of atmospheric nitrogen as its cheapest source in sustainable plant production. The isolated strain clearly shows the ability to produce ammonia from organic sources as an additional way of supplying nitrogen available to the plants. The contribution of nitrogen fixation is quantified by Rodrigues et al. (2018) who demonstrated an additional N-fixing amount of 11.40 kg ha⁻¹ by including Azotobacter as a biofertilizer in the field experiment. The presence of nitrogen in the optimal ranges ensures the promotion of the quantitative and qualitative traits of the root, directly affecting the sucrose concentration (Mahmoud et al. 2012) while nitrogen input through mineral fertilizer, results in an increase in green mass, adversely affecting the technical quality of raw sugar, and reducing sucrose content (Prvulović et al. 2009; Mahmoud et al. 2012). Therefore, the use of A. chroococcum F8/2 as a free nitrogen fixer involved in ammonification processes could be a sustainable solution to achieve a balance between the need and availability of nitrogen through all stages of sugar beet development.

Even though Azotobacter species are characterized as phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (Aasfar et al. 2021) our strain showed no ability to solubilize tricalcium phosphate in NPRIP medium. Similarly, Husen (2003) reported Azotobacter vinelandii Mac 259 was not able to solubilize tricalcium phosphate. On the other side, the tested strain was confirmed as a producer of acid and alkaline phosphatase (Table 1), indicating involvement in the conversion of organic phosphorous compounds to plant-available forms. This is especially important considering the fact that phosphorus deficiency mainly occurs in the early stages of plants’ growth. In the case of sugar beet, it leads to the formation of stunned, stiff plants, and yield reduction (Sims and Smith 2001).

Sugar beet, like all ‘potassium-loving’ plants, has high demand for this nutrient (Barlóg et al. 2018). Potassium is important for the synthesis, transport of photosynthetic assimilates, and storage of sugar in the sugar beet root (Mubarak et al. 2016) and this fact gives special importance to the ability of A. chroococcum F8/2 to solubilize potassium salts. The representatives of Azotobacter sp. are already recognized as potassium-solubilizing bacteria capable to improve potassium absorption by plants (Aasfar et al. 2021).

The presence of the iron-chelating feature of A. chroococcum F8/2 raises the value of this priming agent considering iron deficiency as common in plant nutrition since it is present in the soil in poorly soluble forms inaccessible to plants. Azotobacter sp. is known for producing siderophores which are significant not only as iron-chelating compounds but also contribute to antifungal activity of Azotobacter sp. (Aasfar et al. 2021).

![Figure 1. Chromatographic representation of VOCs realized by Azotobacter grown in Fyodorov medium obtained by: (A) mass spectrometric detector (MSD) and (B) flame ionization detector (FID).](image-url)
A comprehensive review regarding *Azotobacter* sp. (Aasfar et al. 2021) provides insight into literature data that confirm stimulation of nutrient uptake of plants after *Azotobacter* sp. application. Mahato and Kafe (2018) reported an improvement in N, P, Fe, and Zn uptake in wheat after seed inoculation with *Azotobacter* sp. Also, an increase in protein and carbohydrate content was recorded in two varieties of maize after seeds were inoculated with *A. chroococcum* (Kizilog et al. 2001).

Besides the role of mediator in nutrient cycles, *A. chroococcum* F8/2 represents a significant factor in phytohormonal modulation thanks to the ability to produce IAA and ACC deaminase. Some authors claim that the stimulation of plant growth is more a result of this feature than N fixation of *Azotobacter* sp. (Aasfar et al. 2021). Rajaee et al. (2007) stated that even though *A. chroococcum* applied in its study was marked as BNF, FICN, and siderophore producer, the key feature responsible for enhanced nutrient absorption, leaf area, seeds weight, protein content, and yield of wheat was IAA synthesis. The strain tested in this study produced IAA in the concentration of 10 µg ml$^{-1}$ which is in line with the previous research results. Ahmad et al. (2008) confirmed the ability of *Azotobacter* strains to produce IAA in a range of 1.27–13.47 µg ml$^{-1}$. Bjelic et al. (2015) confirmed that all tested strains synthesize IAA in various concentrations up to 50.38 µg ml$^{-1}$. Microbial phytohormones crucially affect plant physiology and development of not only shoots but also the root architecture and morphology (Egamberdieva et al. 2017; Ristova et al. 2018; Xu et al. 2018). Our results show that *A. chroococcum* F8/2 modulates the sugar beet root characteristics and increases its functionality. Only small doses of auxin lead to growth promotion (Eliasson et al. 1989). Auxin in higher concentrations has a toxic effect since it stimulates the synthesis of ethylene, which in high concentrations inhibits root elongation and sometimes leads to plant death (Glick et al. 2007). This is the reason why the PGPR ability to synthesize the ACC deaminase, enzyme decreasing higher concentrations of ethylene, is crucial in the rhizosphere. The ACC test result of *A. chroococcum* F 8/2 reinforces the view that *Azotobacter* sp. can modulate ACC concentration, which was also confirmed by previous studies (Omer et al. 2016; Viscardi et al. 2016). Yousefi et al. (2017) confirmed that inoculating *Dodonaea viscosa* L. seeds with *A. chroococcum* resulted in increased germination rates of 88 and 316%, promotion of root and stem fresh and dry weight, as well as the
ratio between length and dry mass of root and shoot, emphasizing the role of microbial ACC deaminase in mitigating the negative impact of abiotic stress.

*A. chroococcum* F8/2 ability to produce EPS implies its potential role in raising the stability of soil aggregates (Arfarita et al. 2016), consequently creating a better environment for plant development (Alami et al. 2000). This is especially relevant in intensive sugar beet cultivation, where intensive tillage and heavy mechanization have a detrimental impact on soil compaction, and cause soil aggregate disruption. In addition, EPS protect microbial cells from dehydration, antibiotic action, the influence of osmotic stress, bacteriophages, and toxic compounds (Sánchez et al. 2006).

Multifunctional PGP activity of *A. chroococcum* demonstrated in this study is in accordance with previous findings (Ahmad et al. 2008; Bjelic et al. 2015; Jain et al. 2021) confirming exceptional PGPR properties of this genus.

**Influence of *A. chroococcum* F8/2 on sugar beet germination**

Considering the significance of germination on final yield, several germination parameters were addressed in this work. FGP, MGT, and Vigor I all demonstrated statistically significant differences between *A. chroococcum* F8/2 biopriming and control treatment (Table 2). The FGP value of the control treatment was higher in comparison to the biopriming treatment. However, both percentages were significantly lower than the germination standard of 96%, set in Serbia. On the contrary, the percentage of emerged plants in the substrate was high. All of the inoculated seeds emerged, while the emergence in the control treatment was lower by 7% (data not shown). Recent studies (El-Keblawy et al. 2018; Jovičić-Petrović et al. 2021) reported higher FGP in substrate when compared to the filter paper method. The better microclimate of the substrate, primarily the air–water regime, could be an explanation (Jovičić-Petrović et al. 2021). Despite being an important parameter of germination, FGP does not imply the potential role in raising the stability of soil aggregates (Arfarita et al. 2016), consequently creating a better environment for plant development (Alami et al. 2000). This is especially relevant in intensive sugar beet cultivation, where intensive tillage and heavy mechanization have a detrimental impact on soil compaction, and cause soil aggregate disruption. In addition, EPS protect microbial cells from dehydration, antibiotic action, the influence of osmotic stress, bacteriophages, and toxic compounds (Sánchez et al. 2006).

Multifunctional PGP activity of *A. chroococcum* demonstrated in this study is in accordance with previous findings (Ahmad et al. 2008; Bjelic et al. 2015; Jain et al. 2021) confirming exceptional PGPR properties of this genus.

**Beneficial effects of the *A. chroococcum* F8/2 mechanisms on the internal sugar beetroot tissues at the early stages of its development**

According to beetroot micromorphological measurements (Table 5), the *A. chroococcum* inoculation treatment did not reveal substantial differences in the total root area and maximum root diameter, as well as the area of the cortical region, probably as a consequence of the higher proportion of cortical region in the whole root compared with vascular tissue. Contrary to that, *A. chroococcum* inoculated roots...
bring up the following beneficial points: compared with control roots, there was a significant increase in the whole area of primary and secondary xylem, which means the area of vessels, compared with a significant increase in the mean area of vessels. Only in two studies (El-Afry 2012; Hegazi et al. 2015), in the case where coriander stem and wheat leaves were inoculated by *A. chroococcum* and *Pseudomonas* sp., the similar results were recorded. El-Afry (2012) noticed an increase in leaf xylem vessel diameter in inoculated wheat, while Hegazi et al. (2015) recorded an increase in stem xylem diameter as well as thickness of phloem tissue and vascular bundle width compared with the control coriander plants. The mechanism of xylogenesis in roots is of specific interest because it determines the diameter of xylem vessels, which are central to the efficiency of water and nutrient transport from roots to the leaves and may strongly determine plant growth and yield (Sorce et al. 2013). Among root micromorphological traits, larger xylem vessels and their cross-sectional areas were positively associated with nutrient availability and uptake rates (Comas et al. 2002; Bowsher et al. 2016) as well as high hydraulic conductivity (Solar et al. 2006), which may be particularly important for water and nutrient transport. Considering that *Azotobacter* improves the availability of iron, potassium, and nitrogen (Table 1), the observed effects could be reasons for improved nutritional status. This indicates that *A. chroococcum* inoculated roots could evolve high nutrient concentrations and high water transport capacity, supporting fast metabolic rates of the plants. Also, several plant growth regulators, such as auxin (IAA), gibberellins mainly together with auxin, cytokinins, brassinosteroids, etc. have a crucial role in the promotion of root overall growth, cambium division, and differentiation in primary and secondary vascular tissues and development, mainly xylem (Sorce et al. 2013; Bhalerao and Fischer 2014; Immanen et al. 2016). Based on micromorphological analysis (Table 5), it could be that a higher concentration of IAA intends to stimulate cambium through enhanced cell division and xylem differentiation in *A. chroococcum* treatment compared to the control plants. The IAA has a role in increasing the incorporation of the radioactive isotope of carbon (known as carbon-14) into the cell wall, which is important during the root maturation stage when there is secondary wall apposition and lignification of cell walls in xylem tissue, a phase known as cell differentiation (Sorce et al. 2013; Johnsson et al. 2019). Contrary to previous, auxins are also known to inhibit primary root growth by limiting cell elongation (Vaseva et al. 2018), an effect that is most likely mediated by ethylene, which has been observed in both roots and shoots (Vanstraalen and Benkova 2012). The additional exogenous auxin of *A. chroococcum* F8/2 has an impact on the higher rate of xylem differentiation and its growth compared with control beetroots. An increase in auxins (with or without ethylene increase) could inhibit overall cell expansion in the cortical region both in control and inoculated roots. *A. chroococcum* F8/2 showed the capability to decrease the concentration of the ethylene precursor ACC, which was previously shown to inhibit root cell elongation (Staal 2011). Our results could also be confirmed by an investigation given by Vaseva et al. (2018), where it was found that ethylene restricts plant growth by its effect on auxins in the epidermal and neighboring cortical cells, suggesting that ethylene is capable of inhibiting the growth of the expanding root cells.

**Table 5. Measurements of general anatomical root traits.**

| Treatment | RD (μm) ± SD | TRA (μm²) ± SD | ACR (μm³) ± SD | APSX (μm) ± SD | NoP | MALP10 (μm³) ± SD | MAV18 (μm) ± SD | MAPC (μm) ± SD |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Control   | 1441.91 ± 164.13 | 1,688,243.93 ± 171,424.61 | 1,155,360.32 ± 132,456.04 | 121,246.72 ± 20,858.11 | 223.78 ± 29.86 | 11,280.13 ± 2478.56 | 691.84 ± 128.87 | 5261.00 ± 1041.39 |
| Inoculation | 1430.30 ± 150.60 ns | 1,675,259.60 ± 207,094.87 ns | 1,157,463.43 ± 153,599.60 ns | 155,545.80 ± 22,738.34* | 205.56 ± 11.91 ns | 13,847.84 ± 1317.54* | 852.19 ± 152.63* | 5656.45 ± 877.21 ns |

Note: ns— not significant at 5% level of probability; *— significant at 5% level of probability.
Conclusion

The role of an active mediator in the nutritional cycle of N, K, Fe, participation in phytohormone modulation, as well as the promotional effect of synthesized VOCs, confirmed the superior PGPR properties of *A. chroococcum* F8/2. The early establishment of the plant-microbe interaction during sugar beet bio-priming showed beneficial effects on the structural changes at the level of root parenchyma and promoted germination. To the authors’ best knowledge, our study represents the first report on micromorphological examination concerning the early root growth of sugar beet inoculated with *Azotobacter*. We got evidence that inoculation with *A. chroococcum* F8/2 raises nutrient availability and its transport through a significant increase in the primary and secondary xylem area and vessel size.

Therefore, as a biopriming agent, it could contribute to solving some of the problems inherent in the intensive production of sugar beet. Obtained results indicate that *A. chroococcum* F8/2 could be used as an element of a solution for specific challenges in modern agriculture.
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