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Summary

It has been proposed that CD2, which is highly expressed on T cells, serves to enhance T cell–antigen presenting cell (APC) adhesion and costimulate T cell activation. Here we analyzed the role of CD2 using CD2-deficient mice crossed with transgenic mice expressing a T cell receptor specific for lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV)-derived peptide p33. We found that absence of CD2 on T cells shifted the p33-specific dose–response curve in vitro by a factor of 3–10. In comparison, stimulation of T cells in the absence of lymphocyte function–associated antigen (LFA)-1–intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1 interaction shifted the dose–response curve by a factor of 10, whereas absence of both CD2–CD48 and LFA-1–ICAM-1 interactions shifted the response by a factor of ~100. This indicates that CD2 and LFA-1 facilitate T cell activation additively. T cell activation at low antigen density was blocked at its very first steps, as T cell APC conjugate formation, TCR triggering, and Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes were affected by the absence of CD2. In vivo, LCMV-specific, CD2-deficient T cells proliferated normally upon infection with live virus but responded in a reduced fashion upon cross-priming. Thus, CD2 sets quantitative thresholds and fine-tunes T cell activation both in vitro and in vivo.
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T cell activation is a carefully orchestrated process that involves the TCR and a multitude of accessory molecules expressed on T cells and APCs. Operationally, two types of molecules that modulate TCR-mediated T cell activation can be distinguished: (a) accessory molecules such as LFA-1, which facilitate TCR triggering by promoting T cell–APC adhesion (1, 2); and (b) costimulatory molecules such as CD28, which enhance T cell activation without affecting the rate of TCR triggering (2, 3).

Until recently, it was not possible to easily distinguish between accessory and costimulatory molecules, as there was no means to experimentally separate TCR triggering from the outcome of T cell activation. However, the observation that functionally engaged TCRs are rapidly internalized (4) now allows us to quantitatively assess TCR triggering and dissect it from T cell activation. It has previously been shown that TCR internalization occurs normally in the absence of CD28 (2). Although it is possible that some molecules may affect the ratio of TCR engagement/internalization, TCR downregulation is, at this time, the best assay to measure TCR triggering with minimal influence by costimulatory molecules. We have previously taken advantage of this possibility and used it to analyze the respective roles of LFA-1 and CD28 in T cell activation (2). In this study, we assessed the role of CD2 in a similar experimental setup.

CD2, a member of the Ig superfamily, is highly expressed on T cells and can bind to CD58/LFA-3 (5), CD59 (6), or CD48 (7, 8) expressed on APCs. In mice, CD48 appears to be the major, if not the only, ligand. The interaction of CD2 with its ligand(s) has been extensively studied at both the physicochemical and the structural level. The affinity of CD2 for CD58 or CD48 was found to be low ($\sim 5 \times 10^{-3}$ M for mouse CD48 and somewhat higher for human CD58) and to exhibit rapid on and off rates (for review see reference 9). However, the fact that both CD2 and its ligands are membrane bound is critical for their interaction, as it restricts the localization of receptors and ligands essentially to two dimensions. This increases the operational concentrations of the compounds and has been referred to as two-dimensional affinity (10, 11). Similar rules apply to other membrane-bound receptor ligand pairs, as has been pointed out for the TCR MHC–peptide interaction (12, 13).

Various roles for CD2 in T cell activation have been proposed, including function as an adhesion molecule (1, 14–16), thereby reducing amounts of antigen required for T cell activation (14), as a costimulatory molecule (17–19), or as a direct promoter of T cell activation (20). Moreover, CD2 has been implicated in the induction of T cell anergy (21, 22) and has been reported to modulate cytokine production by T cells (23, 24) and regulate positive selection (25). Surprisingly, however, CD2-deficient mice did not show an obvious phenotype and could efficiently cope with viral infections (26, 27), undermining the view that CD2 plays a major role in T cell activation. Only recently, CD2 again attracted attention, because CD2AP, a CD2...
adapter protein, has been shown to help orchestrate receptor patterning and cytoskeletal rearrangement (28).

To quantitatively analyze the role of CD2 in T cell activation both in vitro and in vivo, we crossed transgenic mice expressing an MHC class I-restricted TCR specific for lymphocytic (LCMV)-derived peptide p33 (29), with CD2-deficient mice (26) and analyzed the functional properties of the CD2-deficient T cells. We found that CD2 reduces the minimal amount of antigen required for T cell activation both in vitro and in vivo, a function shared with LFA-1 (2). The results show that CD2 does not promote T cell activation by a costimulatory mechanism as described for CD28 (2, 3, 30) but, rather, by simply facilitating T cell–APC interaction at low antigen concentrations. Such a mechanism is compatible with a critical role for CD2 in organizing the T cell–APC contact site.

Materials and Methods

Mix and Viruses. Transgenic mice expressing a TCR specific for peptide p33 in association with H-2D\(^b\) (29) and CD2- (26) and intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM) 1- (31) mice have been described previously. LCMV-WE was grown on L cells at a low multiplicity of infection. R ecombinant vaccinia virus expressing LCMV-GP (Vacc-LCMV-GP) (32) was originally obtained from Dr. D. H. L. Bishop (Oxford University, Oxford, UK) and was grown on BSC cells at a low multiplicity of infection. Vacc-LCMV-GP was inactivated with UV light using an XL-1500 UV cross-linker (Spectronics Corp.). To produce recombinant LCMV-GP for the cross-priming experiments, Vacc-LCMV-GP was inactivated by UV light and used to infect BSC cells at a multiplicity of infection of 10. 24 h later, cells were harvested and sonicated. Cell debris corresponding to 5 \times 10^5 cells was injected per recipient.

Peptides. Peptides p33 (KAVYNFATM) and A4Y (KAVAN-

\*A\* abbrevation used in this paper: ICAM, intercellular adhesion molecule.

supplemented with 10% FCS in round-bottom 96-well plates. After 4 h, cells were harvested and stained for CD8 (PE; Pharmingen) and V\(\alpha\)2 (FITC; Pharmingen); V\(\alpha\)2 expression is shown for C08^+ T cells (see Fig. 3).

In Vivo Activation of T Cells Using Peptide. TCR-transgenic control or CD2-deficient mice were injected intravenously with various doses of peptide p33 in saline. 24 h later, spleen cells were harvested and stained for expression of CD8 (FITC; Pharmingen), V\(\alpha\)2 (PE; Pharmingen), and C04 (biotin; Pharmingen) followed by streptavidin–allophycocyanin (Pharmingen) and analyzed by flow cytometry.

In Vivo Expansion and Effector Cell Induction. Spleen cells from TCR-transgenic CD2-deficient (CD45.2) or control (CD45.1) mice (10^6 cells) were adoptively transferred into normal C57BL/6 recipient mice. 1 h later, mice were challenged with live LCMV, Vacc-GP, UV light-inactivated Vacc-LCMV-GP, or recombinant LCMV-GP. 6 or 8 d later, spleen cells were harvested and stained with anti-CD2 antibodies (FITC), anti-CD8 (allophycocyanin), and anti-V\(\alpha\)2 (PE) or with anti-CD45.1 (FITC), anti-CD8 (allophycocyanin), and anti-V\(\alpha\)2 (PE).

Results

Shifted Dose Response in the AbSENCE OF CD2-CD48 AND LFA-1-ICAM-1 InterACTION. We crossed CD2-deficient mice with transgenic mice expressing a TCR specific for LCMV-derived peptide p33 to study activation of CD2-deficient T cells. To this end, splenocytes of CD2-deficient and control TCR-transgenic mice were stimulated with thiglycollate-elicited macrophages pulsed with various concentrations of peptide p33. As shown in Fig. 1, the absence of CD2 shifted the dose–response curve by a factor of 3–10. To additionally analyze the role of the LFA-1-ICAM-1 interaction in this context, we compared ICAM-1-deficient and control macrophages as APCs for CD2-deficient and CD2-competent transgenic T cells. As reported previously (2), the absence of LFA-1-ICAM-1 interaction shifted the dose–response curve of T cells upon stimulation with graded doses of peptide by a factor 10. Interestingly, the interference with both CD2 and LFA-1 pathways shifted the dose response by a factor of ~100. Thus, CD2 and LFA-1 facilitated T cell activation in an additive manner (Fig. 1).

As expected, if the low-affinity ligand A4Y was used for the experiments, a similar albeit more pronounced shift in the dose–response curve could be observed (Fig. 1). Measurement of IFN-\(\gamma\) production showed results similar to the proliferative response. Both CD2 and LFA-1 enhanced IFN-\(\gamma\) production at low peptide concentrations, with the effects being more dramatic upon stimulation with the low-affinity ligand A4Y (Fig. 2). Thus, CD2-CD48 and LFA-1-ICAM-1 regulate T cell responses similarly by reducing the minimal amount of antigen required for activation and act in an additive manner.

CD2 Facilitates Generation of Signal 1 by Enhancing TCR Triggering at Low Antigen Doses. Functionally triggered TCRs are internalized shortly after stimulation (4, 36). TCR downregulation can therefore be used to assess the number of functionally triggered TCRs and thus the amount of signal 1 (3, 37). To assess whether CD2 altered the intensity of sig-
nal, T cells from TCR-transgenic control or CD2-deficient mice were incubated with peptide-pulsed control or ICAM-1-deficient macrophages. Expression levels of TCRs were assessed 4 h later (Fig. 3, A and B). TCR downregulation was reduced in the absence of either CD2 or ICAM-1 at low peptide densities (Fig. 3, A and B). Moreover, as observed for the proliferative responses, ICAM-1 and CD2 acted in an additive fashion, and the dose-response curve of TCR downregulation was similar to the dose-response curve of the proliferative response (Fig. 3 B).

Figure 1. CD2-CD48 and LFA-1-ICAM-1 interactions enhance T cell proliferation at low peptide densities. Thioglycollate-elicited macrophages derived from control (filled symbols) or ICAM-1-deficient (open symbols) mice were pulsed with various doses of peptide p33 or the low-affinity ligand A4Y and used to stimulate T cells derived from TCR-transgenic control (squares) or CD2-deficient (circles) mice. Proliferation was assessed 36 h later by means of [3H]thymidine incorporation. Two independent experiments are shown. ■, CD2+ICAM-; ●, CD2+ICAM-; ○, CD2+ICAM-; □, CD2+ICAM-; ○, CD2+ICAM-.

Figure 2. CD2-CD48 and LFA-1-ICAM-1 interaction enhances IFN-γ production at low peptide densities. Thioglycollate-elicited macrophages derived from control (filled symbols) or ICAM-1-deficient (open symbols) mice were pulsed with various doses of peptide p33 or the low-affinity ligand A4Y and used to stimulate T cells derived from TCR-transgenic control (squares) or CD2-deficient (circles) mice. Production of IFN-γ was assessed by ELISA 3 d later from culture supernatants. Two independent representative experiments are shown. ■, CD2+ICAM-; ●, CD2+ICAM-; ○, CD2+ICAM-; □, CD2+ICAM-; ○, CD2+ICAM-.
translate into increased Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes, CD2-deficient and control T cells were loaded with INDO-1 and stimulated with peptide-pulsed ICAM-1-deficient or control macrophages, and \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) was assessed (Fig. 4, A and B). As suggested by the data on TCR downregulation, both CD2 on T cells and ICAM-1 on APCs promoted increased \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) at low antigen concentrations (Fig. 4, A and B). Moreover, CD2–CD48 and LFA-1–ICAM-1 interactions had an additive effect. Importantly, as previously observed for T cell clones (14), CD2 facilitated T cell–APC conjugate formation at low antigen concentrations, indicating that CD2 primarily promotes adhesion of T cells to APCs (Fig. 5). Thus, the primary function of CD2 seems to be to enhance adhesion of T cells to APCs at low antigen concentrations, facilitating the generation of a T cell–APC contact site required for sustained signaling (38).

**Figure 3.** CD2–CD48 and LFA-1–ICAM-1 enhance T cell activation by altering signal 1. Thioglycollate-elicited macrophages derived from control or ICAM-1-deficient mice were pulsed with various doses of peptide p33, mixed with T cells derived from TCR-transgenic control or CD2-deficient mice, and centrifuged together. Expression of TCR (V\(\alpha\)2) was assessed 4 h later on CD8\(^+\) T cells. (A) TCR expression is shown for various combinations after stimulation with 10\(^{-10}\)M p33-pulsed macrophages. (B) Mean fluorescence of TCR expression is shown as a function of the peptide concentration for the various combinations. One representative experiment of three is shown.

**Figure 4.** CD2–CD48 and LFA-1–ICAM-1 enhance Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes at low antigen concentration. Thioglycollate-elicited macrophages derived from control or ICAM-1-deficient mice were pulsed with various doses of peptide p33, mixed with INDO-1-pulsed, purified CD8\(^+\) T cells derived from TCR-transgenic control or CD2-deficient mice, and centrifuged together. Elevation of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) was assessed by measuring the FL5/FL4 ratio. (A) FL5/FL4 ratio is shown after stimulation with 10\(^{-11}\)M p33-pulsed macrophages. (B) Mean FL5/FL4 ratios are shown as a function of the peptide concentration for the various combinations. Baseline FL5/FL4 values were subtracted for the calculation. One representative experiment of two is shown.
mixed at a 1:1 ratio and adoptively transferred into nonirradiated C57BL/6 mice and immunized with LCMV (200 PFU) or a recombinant vaccinia virus expressing LCMV-GP (Vacc-GP; $2 \times 10^6$ PFU). To enable selective identification of the control versus CD2-deficient TCR-transgenic T cells, TCR-transgenic control mice on a CD45.1 background were used. Expansion of transferred TCR-transgenic control and CD2-deficient T cells was subsequently assessed 6 (Fig. 7) or 8 d (not shown) later. No significant difference between CD2-deficient and control T cells was observed. These results are in agreement with an earlier report, in which CD2-deficient mice were found to mount normal LCMV-specific CD8$^+$ T cell responses (27). Surprisingly, even the absence of both functional CD2 and LFA-1 together also failed to interfere with the response, as CD2-deficient T cells transferred into ICAM-1-deficient mice expanded normally upon infection with LCMV or Vacc-GP (not shown).

The experiments performed so far suggested that CD2 plays a major role in T cell activation at low antigen densities. However, viral antigens are usually expressed at high densities, and it may therefore not be surprising that CD2-deficient T cells are able to respond normally to viral infections. To assess the role of CD2 in a situation where antigen is less abundant, we used a recombinant vaccinia virus expressing LCMV-GP (Vacc-GP), which was inactivated with UV light before infection. This treatment prevents the virus from undergoing full replication cycles, and endogenously produced antigens will therefore only reach low densities. For the experiment, a 1:1 mixture of CD2-deficient TCR-transgenic T cells obtained from CD45.2 mice and control CD2-competent transgenic T cells obtained from CD45.1 mice (in a total of $10^6$ spleen cells) was transferred into C57BL/6 mice, which were subsequently immunized with UV light-inactivated Vacc-GP ($2 \times 10^6$ PFU before inactivation). Control and CD2-deficient T cells could be conveniently distinguished by assessing CD45.1 versus CD45.2 and CD2 expression. As expected, the expansion of the transferred T cells was dramatically reduced compared with a challenge infection with live virus (Fig. 8 A). Moreover, CD2-deficient T cells were clearly less efficiently proliferating than the control T cells. Note that CD45.1$^+$ and CD2-deficient Vα2$^+$CD8$^+$ T cells only account for ~60% of the cells. This is due to the presence of endogenous CD8$^+$Vα2$^+$ T cells. Thus, CD2 expression on T cells becomes critical in vivo in a situation where virus derived antigens are limiting.

CTLs are usually primed by endogenously produced antigens reaching the class I pathway. However, MHC class I molecules may under some conditions also be loaded by exogenous antigens, leading to activation of specific T cells in a process called cross-priming. We have previously shown that exogenous LCMV-GP is able to reach the class I pathway if associated with cellular debris (40). To test whether CD2 may be required for optimal CTL induction upon cross-priming, a 1:1 mixture of CD2-deficient (CD45.2) and control (CD45.1) TCR-transgenic T cells (total of $10^6$ spleen cells) was transferred into C57BL/6 mice, which were subsequently immunized with recombinant LCMV-GP in association with cellular debris. 6 d later, the presence of CD45.1$^+$ control and CD2-deficient TCR-transgenic T cells was assessed in the spleen (Fig. 8 B). Although the CD2-deficient T cells were activated and proliferated upon cross-priming, the expansion was less dramatic than that observed for the control cells. This indicates that CD2 participates in regulation of T cell expansion upon cross-priming.

**Discussion**

This study demonstrates that CD2-CD48 and LFA-1-ICAM-1 interactions enhance T cell activation in an addi-
CD2 as an Accessory Molecule: Adhesion Versus Costimulation. T cell activation may be described in terms of the two-signal model, where signal 1 describes TCR-mediated signals and signal 2 refers to signals delivered by costimulatory molecules, which facilitate full T cell activation and prevent the induction of T cell tolerance (2, 41–43); we have operationally discriminated these as signal 2c and 2t, respectively (2). As TCRs productively triggered by MHC-peptide complexes are rapidly internalized (4, 36), the rate of TCR internalization may serve as a quantitative measure for the amount of signal 1 a T cell is receiving at a given time point (2). Thus, a true costimulatory molecule would enhance T cell activation without changing signal 1, i.e., TCR internalization (unless it modulates the ratio of TCR engagement versus internalization) (2). This is the case for CD28, which does not affect TCR internalization but nevertheless enhances T cell activation, apparently by increasing TCR-mediated signals intracellularly (2, 3, 30). It has recently been suggested that rearrangement of membrane rafts rich in glycosphingolipids may be critical in this process (44, 45). In contrast, CD2 does not seem to affect T cell activation other than by increasing signal 1 (i.e., the number of triggered TCRs) at low antigen densities. In fact, the dose–response curves of T cell–APC conjugation, TCR internalization, Ca\(^{2+}\) flux, and T cell proliferation are similarly shifted toward higher antigen concentrations in the absence of CD2, indicating that CD2 enhances T activation by facilitating T cell–APC interactions at low antigen densities. Thus, CD2 may be viewed as an adhesion molecule rather than a costimulatory molecule. This view is compatible with the recent observation that CD2 recruits an adapter molecule (CD2AP) to the T cell–APC contact site, helping to rearrange the cytoskeleton. Such a rearrangement is presumably required for a firm and stable T cell–APC interaction (28). Our observations also fit the hypothesis that CD2 may bring T cells and APCs into close proximity, helping to exclude large molecules such as CD45 from the contact site (16). In particular, the finding
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that CD2 is dispensable at high antigen concentrations may be explained by the notion that (a) the sizes of the TCR and CD2 are similar and (b) the CD2–CD48 interaction exhibits an affinity that is on the order of the TCR MHC–peptide interaction. Thus, large numbers of TCR MHC–peptide interactions may be able to substitute for CD2.

We have previously argued that LFA-1 effects activation of CD8 T cells primarily by promoting T cell–APC adhesion (2). This may be different for CD4 T cells, as it has been reported that LFA-1 specifically promotes Th1 development (46). Thus, it remains possible that CD2 may affect activation of CD4 T cells in a similarly qualitative fashion. However, we recently found that LFA-1 shifts the Th1/Th2 cytokine balance by shifting the dose response of CD4 T cells. In fact, absence of LFA-1 increased the minimal antigen concentration required for activation of TCR-transgenic Th cells by a factor of ∼100 (Ruedl, C., M.F. Bachmann, and M. Kopf, manuscript submitted for publication). Because induction of Th1 cells was also shifted by a factor of 100, this indicated that absence of LFA-1 shifted the response from Th1 to Th2 by globally shifting the dose response of CD4 T cells. Thus, although we cannot exclude the possibility that CD2 affects CD4 T cell responses distinctly from CD8 T cell responses, there is no data supporting such an assumption at this point.

The In Vivo Role of CD2. CD2-deficient mice have been found to mount largely normal T cell responses upon infection with LCMV (27). In the light of our observation that CD2 is dispensable for T cell activation at high antigen concentrations, this earlier finding may not be surprising, as viral infection usually leads to high local antigen density. Using CD2-deficient T cells from TCR-transgenic mice specific for a peptide derived from LCMV, we could confirm the CD2 independence of the anti-LCMV CTL response (27). Moreover, using a recombinant vaccinia virus expressing LCMV-GP, we could demonstrate that the CTL response elicited by vaccinia virus was also CD2 independent. Most surprisingly, T cell responses were still unaffected in the absence of both LFA-1–ICAM-1 and CD2–CD48 interactions (not shown). Thus, antiviral immune responses may often be generated in the absence of CD2 but rather suggest that CD2 dependence is dictated by antigen quantity. Thus, viruses that replicate intracellularly to high titers can prime T cells in the absence of CD2, whereas abortive viral infections that do not reach high levels of intracellular protein require CD2 for full T cell acti-
This latter class of immunization may therefore be representative for infections with attenuated viruses that induce only abortive infections. Moreover, antigens introduced to the immune system by cross-presentation also did not reach high densities of class I molecules and therefore required the presence of CD2 for optimal T cell responses. Thus, T cell responses against abundant antigens occur in the absence of CD2, whereas T cell responses against rare and cross-presented antigens require the presence of CD2 for optimal responses.
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