Gypsum amendment and seasonal variability: effect on soil quality, fruit characteristics and toxicological responses of Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) in the Ahafo-Kenyasi Mining Area of Ghana
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Abstract

Depending on soil, climate and crop characteristics exposed subsoils can be amended with gypsum for agricultural activities when topsoil is inadequate as a result of natural and geophysical activities. To determine how exposed subsoil amendment with gypsum interact with weather patterns to influence soil chemical properties, cucumber growth, fruit characteristics and heavy metal concentration, a two-seasonal experiment was conducted in the major and minor rainy season of 2020 in the Ahafo-Kenyasi Mining Area in Ghana. The experiment was laid out as a 6x2 factorial arranged in randomized complete block design, consisting of 6 gypsum application rates (20 ton/ha, 40 ton/ha, 60 ton/ha, 80 ton/ha, 0 ton/ha (subsoil control) and 0 ton/ha (topsoil control)) in two rainy seasons and replicated three times. The results show that gypsum application and rainy seasons interact to significantly influence soil chemical properties, cucumber growth and fruit characteristics. Increasing gypsum application resulted in decreased organic carbon, increased calcium, increased available P, increased exchangeable magnesium (Mg), increased pH during both major and minor rainy seasons. Vine length, number of leaves, number of fruits per plant and fruit weight of cucumber were increased with increasing gypsum application during the minor rainy season. In spite of exceeding permissible limits in soils and crops, arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd) and mercury (Hg) showed similar concentrations (below 2 mg/kg) in cucumber during the minor and major rainy seasons across gypsum treatments. Lead (Pb) concentration in cucumber was significantly higher in the major season across treatments. There was no difference in lead (Pb) concentration for treated vs untreated, and no increase across the amendment range. Further studies on how heavy metals in soil and plants interact with plant phytochemicals in ecosystems and living tissues are recommended.
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1. Introduction

The physicochemical properties of soils drive ecosystem function and vegetation dynamics and hence soil restoration towards reinstating the soil properties and hydrological dynamics of remnant soils after mining [1]. Surface mining disturbs hundreds of hectares of land every year in many areas of the world, thereby altering valuable, ecologically-diverse forests [2]. During mine closure, the requirement of rich topsoils becomes paramount. However, due to stockpile changes over the course of the mine life; including compaction and erosion, the stockpiles of topsoils are mostly not sufficient for revegetation. Additionally, areas such as the Ahafo Kenyasi and others are naturally low in topsoil probably due to inadequate weathering or extensive and prolonged erosion. The question that remains unanswered is whether
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exposed subsoils could be amended and used for revegetation after mine closure to facilitate agricultural and forestry activities.

In mining landscapes, reconstructed soils have often transformed soil physical and chemical profiles leading to lower nutrient availability and higher bulk density, unfavorable pH and electrical conductivity and thereby posing threat to soil–water and nutrient balance, distribution of soil flora and fauna, plant growth, development and food security [1].

The effect of soil compaction can be phenomenal in root growth extension by either retarding root extension or restricting growth altogether. For example, Table 1 presents a relationship between soil texture and bulk density for root growth and extension.

Table 1 General relationship of soil bulk density to root growth based on soil texture.

| Soil Texture                  | Ideal bulk density for plant growth (g/cm³) | Bulk densities that affect root growth (g/cm³) | Bulk densities that restrict root growth (g/cm³) |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Sands, loamy sands           | <1.60                                      | 1.63                                          | >1.80                                           |
| Sandy loams, loams           | <1.40                                      | 1.63                                          | >1.80                                           |
| Sandy clay loams, clay loams | <1.40                                      | 1.60                                          | >1.75                                           |
| Silt, silt loams             | <1.40                                      | 1.60                                          | >1.75                                           |
| Silt loams, silty clay loams | <1.40                                      | 1.55                                          | >1.65                                           |
| Sandy clays, silty clays, clay loams | <1.10                                   | 1.49                                          | >1.58                                           |
| Clays (>45% clay)            | <1.10                                      | 1.39                                          | >1.47                                           |

(USDA-NRCS, 1998)

Acid rock drainage (ARD) or acid mine drainage (AMD) is the outflow of acidic water from rocks or mines as a result of natural geological activities or large-scale earth disturbances characteristic of large construction and mining activities involving rocks with abundant quantities of sulfide minerals. Upon exposure to water and oxygen, most sulfide minerals in rocks oxidize to form sulfuric acid, metal ions and sulfate, which, if untreated, enter surface or groundwater [4]. One of the most common scales encountered in ARD or AMD type waters used for metal recovery or processing is gypsum (CaSO₄·2H₂O). According to Gibson et al. [5], AMD is a problem in most mines due to high levels of pyrite (FeS₂) within the embedded rock and that these ARD waters are often very acidic, have high levels of metals and produce calcium Sulfate (Gypsum) scaling. If not properly treated the risk of generating rapid fouling and scaling of membrane plants can cause catastrophic failure of the membranes. However, for most mines, the mechanism by which the gypsum produced can be disposed of remains a challenge and the questions of whether there are heavy metals in the gypsum produced and how these metals if any react in soils during amendments remain unanswered. Due to the geochemical changes and heavy metal use associated with mining, there is a general perception that soils in mined areas pose a threat to food safety if used for agricultural purposes.

Cucumber is chosen as a test crop for this experiment because of its high sensitivity to changes in soil physicochemical and climatic variability. It has, also, a high affinity for calcium for its structural functions in the cell wall and membrane development [6]. Since gypsum is noted for its high calcium requirement, this experiment could reveal the rate at which gypsum can be used to amend soil for sensitive agricultural commodities. The assumption is that if sensitive crops do well, tolerant and resilient crops would do better. The need for this study in Ghana is further justified by the abundance of minerals in the country and the poor understanding of how circular economy and sustainable mining can be operationalized in handling gypsum during the management of ARD. Information on how gypsum affects soil chemical properties, what rate of application contributes best to plant growth, how subsoils and gypsum contribute to heavy metals in plants among others remain limited. World Bank [7]; Ofori-Sarpong [8]; Ferring and Hausermann [9] among others argue that AMD, heavy metals contamination and landuse changes from natural and mining-related activities can threaten the health and food security in AMD-susceptible areas. In its 2020 Country Environmental Analysis, World Bank [7] established that the cost of environmental degradation associated with mining and other landuse activities to the Ghanaian society is estimated at about US$6.3 billion, equivalent to 10.7 percent of the country’s 2017 GDP.

Globally, interest is increasing in the role of gypsum and cover crops to enhance soil physical and chemical properties, including organic matter content, water infiltration, aggregate stability, nutrient retention, and microbial diversity,
while decreasing runoff and erosion [10]. To this end, the principles of circular economy and sustainability can be promoted by directing efforts at creating a closed-loop system that enables the reuse, sharing, amending subsoils with the gypsum produced to minimize the use of resource inputs and the creation of waste, pollution and greenhouse gas emissions. The promotion of sustainability is also hinged on circular economy [11]. The practice of circular economy principles is also in line with considerable number of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Notable ones are SDGs 2, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15.

This study therefore examines how subsoil amendment with different rates of gypsum and seasonal variability influence chemical properties of exposed subsoils and how these changes affect the growth and yield of cucumber and concentration of heavy metals.

2. Methodology

2.1. Experimental site and design

Two experiments were carried out in the major (May-July 2020) and minor (August-October 2020) rainy seasons at Newmont Ghana Gold Limited’s Ahafo Mines in Kenyasi located in the Forest-Savannah transitional zone of Ghana (Lat. 07º, 04’N; Long. 01º, 24’W).

![Figure 1 Map of experimental site](image)

The area features low rolling hills and receives modest to fairly high annual rainfall (1,250 - 2,000mm) and lies in the general headwaters of the Tano River Basin.

The experimental design was 6x2 factorial arranged in randomized complete block design comprising 6 (six) rates of gypsum application (20 ton/ha, 40 ton/ha, 60 ton/ha, 80 ton/ha, sub-soil control, topsoil control) and 2 rainy seasons (major and minor). The experiment was replicated three times.

2.2. Land Preparation and Field Management

Sub-soil area of 14 m x 8 m field size and a topsoil area of 8 m x 2 m were cleared, loosened, leveled and laid out for 5 plots per block measuring 2 m x 2 m per plot in 3 blocks. The topsoil beds maintained the same plot sizes with three plots for comparative performance. Beds measuring 2 m x 2 m were raised to 20 cm height and re-leveled. The path left between each plot and between each block was 1 m.

2.3. Gypsum Production

Gypsum used in the experiment was produced by a precipitation process from the concentrate of a reverse osmosis (RO) water treatment plant in which impacted water from the Apensu pit was pumped at a nameplate capacity of 50 L/s to the mine water treatment plant (MWTP). The feed was pre-filtered to exclude particle sizes greater than 200 µm going to the ultra-filtration (UF). The pre-filtration includes the pe-filters and an inline mixer for sodium hypochlorite dosing for feed water disinfection.
Desalination was done in two 2-stage RO trains. The first stage of each train is referred to as the lead bank, and the second stage is referred to as the concentrate bank. Antiscalant is dosed inline upstream of lead and concentrate banks to prevent scale formation as the mineral saturation indices increase along the element string. Sodium bisulphite (SBS) was dosed upstream of the lead bank of each RO train for free chlorine control. Volumetric recovery over the combined banks on each train was deployed by a flow control valve on the brine discharge line.

The remaining part of the feed water was rejected from the primary RO plant as a brine stream which is unstable with respect to calcite and gypsum. The process produced the following products and waste streams:

- A brine treatment RO (BT RO) permeate stream which can be blended with the primary RO permeate stream for release into the environment if ammonia-N concentration permit;
- A chemically stable treated BT RO concentrate stream;
- A stable gypsum sludge is stored in a lined pond.

2.4. Gypsum storage and soil amendment rate

From two gypsum ponds of 8000 m³ lined with high density polyethylene (HDPE) each, the brine decant was transferred back to the effluent tank after gypsum settling. The gypsum obtained was applied to the exposed subsoils at rates 20 ton/ha, 40 ton/ha, 60 ton/ha, 80 ton/ha, 0 ton/ha (exposed subsoil control) and 0 ton/ha (topsoil control).

2.5. Soil physical properties

Data on gravimetric moisture content, total porosity and bulk density were determined using methods described in Asante et al. [12]. The soil samples were taken by driving aluminum core sampler of known volumes into the soil at 0-15 cm depth prior to gypsum amendment and 12 weeks after amendment. Samples taken from each plot were then oven-dried at 105°C to a constant weight. The following relations were used to determine the physical characteristics;

Bulk density

\[
\text{Bulk density} = \frac{\text{Weight of oven dry soil}}{\text{Volume of Soil}}
\]

Soil porosity was determined using the formula

\[
f = \frac{1-BD}{PD} \times 100
\]

Where \( f \) = Total porosity \( BD \) = bulk density \( PD \) = particle density = 2.65 g/cm³

Gravimetric moisture was then calculated by using the formula;

\[
\theta_g = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{M_2} \times 100
\]

Where \( \theta_g \) is soil gravimetric moisture; \( M_1 \) is the weight of soil before oven drying; \( M_2 \) is the weight of soil after oven-drying [12].

2.6. Soil chemical and heavy metal assessment

Soil samples were randomly taken from 5 spots in each plot at a depth of 0-15 cm and bulked before sub-sampling for analysis two weeks before treatment application and two weeks after treatment application.

Electrometric method was used to determine the soil pH [13]. Available P was determined by Acid Fluoride Extraction procedure (Bray-1 Method) [14]. Kjeldahl method was used to determine total N [14]. Exchangeable bases (K, Ca, Mg and Na) were determined by the ammonium acetate (NH₄OAc) extraction method [15]. Exchangeable acidity (H and Al) was also determined by titration of soil extracts to a phenolphthalein endpoint [6].

Organic carbon was determined by Walkley-Black Wet Oxidation Method (Cater et al., 2007). Organic matter content was determined using the formula: % Organic Matter = % organic C × 1.724. (1.724 is the Van Bemmelen factor). Particle size distribution (clay, silt, sand) was assessed by the hydrometer method [17].
2.7. Growth and Yield Assessment
Vegetative parameters were assessed at 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 weeks after sowing. Cucumber vine length was measured by using a flexible tape rule. The number of leaves was assessed by visual count of the green leaves.

Harvest was done when fruits reached commercial maturity and weekly thereafter for a total of 4 harvests. On each of the 18 experimental plots, one fruit taken from the second harvest was used for fruit physical and chemical quality assessments. The fruit length was measured with a 30 cm rule. The number of fruits was visually ascertained. Fruit weight was determined with 5000-gram scale. After the second harvest, concentrations of heavy metals (Cd, Pb, As and Hg) were determined from atomic absorption spectrophotometer for the various metals at specified wavelengths [18].

2.8. Data analysis
Data collected were analyzed with GenStat software package 2008 version 11.1. A two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was conducted with levels of gypsum as treatment factor 1 and season as treatment factor 2. Means were separated using standard error of differences at a 5% significance level.

3. Results and discussion
3.1. Physicochemical and climatological description of experimental site and materials used
Bulk density, porosity and moisture content of topsoil and exposed subsoil remained ideal for root growth during the major and minor season (Table 2).

| Table 2 Pre-treated soil characteristics |
|----------------------------------------|
|                                      |
| **Major Rainy Season** | **Minor Rainy Season** |
| Topsoil | Exposed Sub-Soil | Topsoil | Exposed Sub-Soil |
| Bulk Density (g/cm³) | 1.21 | 1.42 | 1.21 | 1.42 |
| Porosity (%) | 54 | 46 | 54 | 46 |
| Gravimetric Moisture Content (%) | 48 | 42 | 48 | 42 |
| pH 1:1 | 5.82 | 5.18 | 5.62 | 5.15 |
| Available P (mg/kg) | 27.94 | 19.61 | 20.33 | 16.52 |
| % Total N | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.23 |
| Exch. Bases (cmol/kg) |          |
| K | 0.162 | 0.129 | 0.185 | 0.114 |
| Ca | 4 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 7.2 |
| Mg | 1.2 | 3 | 1.2 | 3.3 |
| Na | 0.0034 | 0.005 | 0.0031 | 0.004 |
| Exch. Acidity (cmol/kg) |          |
| Al | 0.601 | 0.768 | 0.622 | 0.823 |
| H | 0.384 | 0.434 | 0.323 | 0.532 |
| % Org. Carbon | 0.758 | 0.16 | 0.821 | 0.18 |
| % Org. Matter | 1.307 | 0.276 | 1.416 | 0.310 |
| Particle Size Distribution |          |
| %Sand | 81.44 | 83.44 | 81.44 | 83.44 |
| %Clay | 14.64 | 10.64 | 13.42 | 8.61 |
| %Silt | 3.92 | 5.92 | 5.14 | 7.95 |
| Textural Class | Sandy Loam | Sandy Loam | Sandy Loam | Sandy Loam |
| Heavy Metal Concentration (mg/kg) |          |
| Cd | 5.5 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
| As | 3.58 | 3.52 | 3.58 | 3.42 |
| Pb | 5.48 | 5.23 | 5.48 | 5.23 |
| Hg | 3.55 | 3.44 | 3.54 | 3.62 |
The pH for the top was moderately acidic whereas the subsoil remained very acidic. Available P remained high for topsoil and moderate for subsoil. Percentage N in both top and subsoils were high during the major and minor rainy seasons. K, Ca, Mg and Na remained very low, low, low and suitable respectively for both top and subsoil during the major and minor rainy seasons. Percentage organic carbon and organic matter were low for both top and subsoils during the major and minor seasons (Reference scale in Appendix 1). On the whole heavy metals on the experimental site for top and sub-soils were higher for arsenic (As) and cadmium (Cd) than the FAO/WHO normal values. Mercury and Lead concentration in both top and sub-soils were considerably lower than the target values in soil during the major and minor rainy seasons (Table 5).

The major rainy season received considerably lower rainfall compared to the minor rainy season. Maximum and minimum temperatures were higher in the major season than the minor season. Relative humidity (RH) was lower in the major rainy season than the minor season (Table 3).

Table 3 Weather information during experimental period.

| Parameters          | Major Rainy Season | Minor Rainy Season |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
|                     | May  | June | July | August | September | October |
| Rainfall (mm)       | 136  | 199.1| 31.7 | 17.3   | 250.3     | 246.8   |
| Temp. Max (°C)      | 32.5 | 30.1 | 28.3 | 28.7   | 29.7      | 30.7    |
| Temp. Min (°C)      | 23.2 | 22.1 | 21.5 | 19.6   | 20.7      | 20.9    |
| RH @0600Z           | 92   | 94   | 93   | 93     | 95        | 94      |
| RH @1500Z           | 58   | 66   | 70   | 71     | 69        | 65      |

Heavy metal concentration of gypsum used in experiment were considerably lower than target value for agricultural soils (Tables 4 and 5).

Table 4 Heavy metal concentration of gypsum.

|          | As (mg/kg) | Pb (mg/kg) | Cd (mg/kg) | Hg (mg/kg) |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Gypsum   | 0.0256     | 0.0354     | 0.0547     | 0.0158     |

Table 5 Normal and Permissible levels of Heavy Metals in Soil and Vegetables.

| Elements | Target Value* in Soil (mg/kg) | Permissible Value in vegetables (mg/kg) | Reference |
|----------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|
| As       | 0.5                            | 0.1                                    | [19]; [20]|
| Cd       | 0.8                            | 0.1                                    | [19]; [21]|
| Hg       | 6.6                            | 0.03                                   | [19]; [22]|
| Pb       | 85                             | 0.2                                    | [21]      |

*Target values are normal values expected for agricultural soils

3.2. Effect of gypsum-amended soils and seasonal variability on soil chemical characteristics

Interaction effects between gypsum-amended soils and season were significant for % organic C, % organic matter, % total nitrogen and aluminium (Figure 2). Consequently, the minor season saw increased organic C, organic matter and Al concentration. Total nitrogen content was significantly higher in the major season than the major season except for the subsoil control. Increased levels of gypsum resulted in a decreased organic carbon and organic matter content for both major and minor rainy seasons. The reduction of organic C and organic matter with increasing gypsum content can
be attributed to reduced microbial activities and increased mineral concentration of calcium and sulphate ions [23]. Abdel-Fattah [24] argues that the decrease in soil organic carbon and organic matter may be due to enhanced solubility of gypsum because of the increased activity coefficient of calcium and sulfate resulting from increased ionic strength of solution and the formation of the sodium sulfate ion pair. Seasonal differences may be attributed to increased precipitation received during the minor rainy season. The change in precipitation activities where minor rainy seasons appears to exceed major rainy season in precipitation and humidity is largely due to heightened seasonal variability as a result of climate change. Botha et al. [25] argue that climate change prediction models forecast changes in rainfall patterns and rising temperature regimes, negatively impacting soil chemical characteristics and food security. Jansson and Hofmockel [26] found that warmer temperatures during some seasons are predicted to result in increased microbial mineralization of stable soil organic matter and a corresponding increased CO2 flux.

**Figure 2** Effect of gypsum and seasonal variability on % Organic C, % Organic Matter, % Total Nitrogen, Aluminium contents of soil

There were significant (P<0.01) interaction effects between gypsum treatment and season for available P, Ca and K. 20 ton/ha gypsum provided a significantly higher available P of about 20 mg/kg in both seasons. Increasing gypsum application from 40 ton/ha to 80 ton/ha increased available P from 11 mg/kg to 22 mg/kg for both seasons. Subsoil control (No amendment) provided high and low available P during the major and minor seasons respectively. The topsoil control provided a high and low available P during the minor and major rainy seasons respectively (Figure 3). The significant interactions between gypsum treatment and seasons can be explained by the increased leaching and erosion of soluble ions during increased precipitation experienced in the minor rainy season and the reverse happening during the major rainy season. Thus, without amendment, available P in the subsoil remained high during the major season. The high available P seen in the topsoil during the minor season can be attributed to increased solubility resulting from increased precipitation during the minor rainy season. In their work, Hou et al. [27] found that high mean annual temperature negatively affected soil available P by decreasing organic P and primary mineral P and increasing soil sand content and that high mean annual precipitation affect available P by decreasing soil primary mineral P. Wu et al. [28] also found that increased rainfall intensity results in increased kinetic energy, increased raindrop detachment ability, increased effective runoff interaction and decreased runoff production, which together increases surface runoff of soil P.
Increased gypsum application resulted in increased soil calcium content. 60 and 80 ton/ha gypsum produced similar soil exchangeable calcium content. Subsoil control produced a relatively high exchangeable calcium during the minor rainy seasons. The topsoil control, however, produced a high exchangeable calcium content during the major rainy season (Figure 4). The increased exchangeable calcium with increasing gypsum is attributable to the high proportion of calcium in gypsum [29]; [30]. The topsoil control's high calcium during the major season can be attributed to low precipitation received during the major rainy season which slowly enhanced the availability of exchangeable calcium in topsoil solution [31]. The low exchangeable calcium during the major rainy in the subsoil is due to the increased precipitation which resulted in the loss of calcium through leaching and runoff [32]. In their work Solly et al. [33] showed that subsoil and topsoil exchangeable calcium is largely a product of organic matter content, pH and presence of other exchangeable cations.

Soil K was significantly higher in the minor rainy season than the minor rainy season across all gypsum treatments (Figure 5). Largely, the minor season presented an adequate infiltration rate with limited runoff which resulted in increased dissolution of more potassium in solution as observed by Ullah et al. [34]. According to Rosenstock et al. [35] weather patterns and combination of microbial biomass, fine grain, potentially shielded, easily weatherable minerals, and non-structural clay interlayer bound potassium may explain seasonal cation interactions in soil.
Figure 5 Effect of gypsum and seasonal variability on soil exchangeable Potassium

There was a significant interaction between gypsum treatment and seasons on exchangeable magnesium. Increasing gypsum application resulted in increased soil exchangeable magnesium in both minor and major rainy seasons (Figure 6). Subsoil and topsoil experienced low magnesium concentration during the major and minor seasons respectively. Increased exchangeable Mg with increasing gypsum application is attributable to the high concentration of Ca from gypsum which replaces Mg on cation exchange sites in soil [36]. Consequently, while Farina and Channon [37] argue that excessive Mg displacement by Ca in gypsum treatment deteriorate water quality, cause land degradation, lead to environmental and food security constraints in irrigation schemes and further impact crop yields negatively, Hauer-Jákli and Tränkner [38] found that adequate Mg supply enhances net CO2 assimilation by 140%, leading to a biomass increase of 61% compared to Mg deficient control plants.

Figure 6 Effect of gypsum and seasonal variability on soil exchangeable Magnesium

There were significant interaction effects between gypsum application and seasons on pH during the major and minor rainy seasons. Increasing gypsum application resulted in increased pH. Unamended subsoil was more acidic during the minor rainy season than the major rainy season. The topsoil, however, showed reduced acidity during the major rainy season than the minor rainy season (Figure 7). The increase in pH is due to the liming effect that results as more calcium and sulphate ions displace hydrogen and aluminium on exchangeable sites [38]; [39]. Ritchey et al. [40] also found that where sesquioxide minerals such as A1 and Fe oxides predominate, sulfate anions of gypsum displace hydroxyl groups in greater amounts than Ca cations displace A1 ions resulting in a net increase in pH.
3.3. Effect of gypsum-amended soils and seasonal variability on cucumber growth and fruit characteristics

Interaction effect between seasonal variability and gypsum treatment on the vine length and leaf number remained significant (P<0.01) at second, fourth, sixth, eighth and tenth weeks after sowing (WAS). The minor rainy season consistently gave rise to higher vine length after the second WAS. Vine length increased with increasing gypsum application while unamended subsoil produced low vine length over the growing period. Vine length for unamended topsoil was significantly higher than all gypsum-amended subsoils (Figures 8 and 9).

The increased vine length and leaf number during the minor rainy season may be due to increased precipitation and relative humidity which enhanced the vine extension and leaf production as a result of improved dissolution and xylem-mediated transport of mineral nutrients from soil and translocation of photosynthetic assimilates into meristematic...
tissues. Ajibola and Amujoyegbe [41] found that soil amendment and improved water use efficiency during periods of high precipitation enhance cucumber vine length and leaf number. In an integrated nutrient management study Waskel et al. [42] found that mineral amendment of soil enhanced the vine length and number of leaves in cucumber which led to increased yield. Siddique et al. [43] and Blanchard et al. [44] found that calcium sulphate in gypsum plays a significant role in the enhancement of vine length and leaf number by strengthening cell walls to house minerals and photosynthetic assimilates during the vegetative growth phase of cucumber.

Interaction effect between gypsum treatment and seasonal variability was significant (P<0.01) on the number and weight of fruits. Interaction effect was not significant for fruit length (Table 6). The minor rainy season presented higher mean length, number and weight of fruits than the major rainy season. This could be due to improved assimilate deposition in the sink tissues of fruits as a result of improved dissolution and transport of water, mineral and organic materials from increased precipitation during the minor rainy season [45]. Schlering et al. [46] found that the quality of cucumber crop could suffer if the right abiotic factors of water and soil nutrients are deficient.
Table 6 Effect of gypsum amendment and seasonal variability on fruit characteristics

| Treatment | Mean | S.E.D. | Treatmen | Season | Treatment x Season |
|-----------|------|--------|----------|--------|-------------------|
|           | Major Rainy Season | Minor Rainy Season |          |        |                   |
| Fruit length (cm) | | | | | |
| 20 ton/ha Gypsum | 7.80 | 9.36 | 8.58 | 0.479** | 0.277** | 0.678 |
| 40 ton/ha Gypsum | 8.31 | 9.97 | 9.14 | 0.287** | 0.065** | 0.351 |
| 60 ton/ha Gypsum | 8.96 | 9.98 | 9.47 | 0.132** | 0.035** | 0.167 |
| 80 ton/ha Gypsum | 7.20 | 8.64 | 7.92 | 0.097** | 0.025** | 0.122 |
| No Amendment (Sub-Soil) | 6.20 | 7.44 | 6.82 | 0.067** | 0.018** | 0.096 |
| No Amendment (Topsoil) | 9.10 | 9.59 | 9.34 | 0.1044** | 0.0603** | 0.1476** |
| Mean | 7.93 | 9.16 | 8.55 | 0.1044** | 0.0603** | 0.1476** |
| No of Fruits per Plant | | | | | |
| 20 ton/ha Gypsum | 3.30 | 3.96 | 3.63 | 0.1044** | 0.0603** | 0.1476** |
| 40 ton/ha Gypsum | 4.11 | 5.19 | 4.65 | 0.067** | 0.018** | 0.096 |
| 60 ton/ha Gypsum | 4.30 | 5.16 | 4.73 | 0.067** | 0.018** | 0.096 |
| 80 ton/ha Gypsum | 3.10 | 3.72 | 3.41 | 0.067** | 0.018** | 0.096 |
| No Amendment (Sub-Soil) | 2.20 | 2.64 | 2.42 | 0.067** | 0.018** | 0.096 |
| No Amendment (Topsoil) | 5.30 | 6.36 | 5.83 | 0.067** | 0.018** | 0.096 |
| Mean | 3.72 | 4.51 | 4.11 | 0.067** | 0.018** | 0.096 |
| Fruit Weight (kg) | | | | | |
| 20 ton/ha Gypsum | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.001749** | 0.00101** | 0.002473** |
| 40 ton/ha Gypsum | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.001749** | 0.00101** | 0.002473** |
| 60 ton/ha Gypsum | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.001749** | 0.00101** | 0.002473** |
| 80 ton/ha Gypsum | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.001749** | 0.00101** | 0.002473** |
| No Amendment (Sub-Soil) | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.001749** | 0.00101** | 0.002473** |
| No Amendment (Topsoil) | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.001749** | 0.00101** | 0.002473** |
| Mean | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.001749** | 0.00101** | 0.002473** |

S.E.D. Standard Error of the Differences of mean; **Mean significant at 1% probability level

3.4. Effect of gypsum-amended soils and seasonal variability on the concentration of heavy metals in cucumber fruits

Interaction effect between gypsum treatment and seasonal variability was not significant for arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), mercury (Hg) and lead (Pb). Seasonal effects were however significant for all heavy metals considered. Apart from lead (Pb), treatment effects on heavy metals were not significant. The major rainy season gave a significantly higher lead content (Figure 10). On the whole, lead (Pb) concentration in cucumber was significantly higher (above 8 mg/kg) during the major rainy season while arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd) and mercury (Hg) remained below 2 mg/kg across treatments and seasons. The mean concentration of heavy metals in cucumber exceeded WHO/FAO permissible limits (Table 5). Similarly, in a baseline study of heavy metals in soils and plants ahead of oil exploration in Ghana, Fosu-Mensah et al. [47] found that concentrations of arsenic, cadmium and lead in soils and plants exceeded WHO/FAO permissible limits. Although different literature present different reports on permissible limits from WHO/FAO standards, it is better settle for less risky limits reported in literature but the question that remains is whether heavy metals found in soils beyond the permissible limits are naturally occurring or as a result of contamination from external sources. Further clarification and studies on heavy metals’ permissible limits in soils, plants and their management need serious consideration. For example, what is the maximum allowable concentration of heavy metal in agriculture-intended soil beyond which remediation would be required and at what permissible concentration of heavy metal in
crops should be regarded as poisonous for consumption and are there historical studies of spatial distributional patterns of heavy metals for comparative studies?

Figure 10 Effect of gypsum and seasonal variability on heavy metal toxicity in cucumber

4. Conclusion

The effect of gypsum amendment and seasonal variability on exposed subsoil quality, growth, yield and toxicological responses of Cucumber has been evaluated. The study has shown that exposed subsoils can be amended with gypsum produced from ARD water treatment facilities for agricultural activities. Gypsum applied at 20 ton/ha, 40 ton/ha, 60 ton/ha, 80 ton/ha, 0 ton/ha subsoil and 0 ton/ha topsoil in two rainy seasons (major and minor) resulted in significant interaction effects on soil chemical characteristics such as % organic carbon, % organic matter, % total nitrogen, aluminium, available phosphorus, exchangeable calcium, exchangeable potassium, exchangeable magnesium, and soil pH. Significant interaction effects were also observed between gypsum treatment and seasonal variability on cucumber growth and fruit characteristics (vine length, leaf number, number of fruits per plant and fruit weight) and heavy metal concentration. Interaction effects on cucumber growth (vine length and number of leaves) and fruit characteristics (number of fruits per plant and fruit weight) were significantly higher during the minor rainy season. Lead (Pb) concentration was pronounced (above 8 mg/kg) during the major rainy season. Concentrations of Arsenic (As), Cadmium (Cd) and Mercury (Hg) remained below 2 mg/kg across treatments during the minor and major rainy season. There were, however, no significant differences between gypsum-treated and control plots on heavy metal content of cucumber. In line with SDGs 2, 3, 12, 13, 14 and 15 which enjoins all to contribute to ending hunger, achieving food security, promote sustainable agriculture and food safety in an environment characterized by low ecological footprint it is conclusive that exposed subsoils can also be amended for agricultural purposes if weather patterns are supportive. Amendment of exposed subsoils with gypsum will limit the resource input needed to lime acidic soils as a result of natural or anthropogenic increments in soil acidity or from acid mine or acid rock drainage. Further and long-term studies are needed to establish the risks associated with prolonged production and consumption of crops cultivated on soils with high heavy metal content vis-à-vis the phytochemical interactions of plants in the environment and living tissues. Multi-locational subsoil evaluation with gypsum amendments is further recommended to shed more light on subsoil behaviour with gypsum application.
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