On the Principle of Synchronization
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Abstract: We construct a type of thermal quantum-clocks and show that various interesting relations between energy, entropy and geometry in space–time directly follow by partially synchronizing them in the sense of making them march in step with photon clocks.
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1. Introduction

A quantum clock is a quantum system whose dynamics are coupled to another system and, hence, the former defines a time-scale for the latter [1,2]. In this paper, we will use, as a base, the idea of thermal quantum clocks, introduced in [2,3], which are universal in the sense that they define the duration of a general quantum-measurement. We show that the partial synchronization of such clocks, in the sense of demanding them to march in step, allows, in appropriate physical situations, the straightforward derivation of some key relations between energy, entropy, and geometry in space–time. Among them are the Davies–Unruh effect [4,5], the Ehrenfest–Tolman effect [6], the Hawking temperature of black holes [7], the Bekenstein bound, a holographic bound [8,9], and related relations in de-Sitter vacuum. Finally, we show that by covariant extension of a particular synchronization, we are led to Einstein’s equations. Each of the relations can be derived within its own theoretical framework, sometimes with considerable effort. With the synchronization principle, we present an elementary way of deriving them all out of a single principle. This is re-approved evidence, that measuring time by means of electromagnetic energy [10–12] plays a key role in the physics of space–time.

2. Thermal Clocks

Consider a quantum system in a pure state \( |\Pi\rangle = \sum_{i \in I} c_i |\Pi_i\rangle \), where \( c_i \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( \{ |\Pi_i\rangle \}_{i \in I} \) is an eigenbasis of some observable \( \hat{O} \). A measurement leads to the symbolic sequence

\[
|\Pi\rangle \rightarrow |\Pi\rangle \langle \Pi | \rightarrow |\Pi_{i_0}\rangle , i_0 \in I .
\]

The probability of outcome \( |\Pi_{i_0}\rangle \) is \( |c_{i_0}|^2 \). After an increase in entropy in the first part, there is an entropy decrease in the second part of sequence (1), when the outer observer “learns” the result. To harmonize with the second law and with the experience of an inner observer, there must be an entropy dissipation to the environment of a minimal amount of

\[
S = - \text{tr}(\rho \log_2 \rho) = - \sum_{i \in I} |c_i|^2 \log_2 |c_i|^2 ,
\]

where \( \rho = |\Pi\rangle \langle \Pi | \) [13,14] (the dissipation is the result of erasure of a former state). If the environment is in thermal equilibrium at temperature \( T \), then this leads to an average energy-flow into the environment of

\[
E = S k_B T ,
\]

where \( k_B \) is Boltzmann’s constant. The energy is radiation by harmonic oscillators with frequency \( h \nu \ll k_B T \) and, hence, average energy \( E_\nu \approx k_B T \), where \( h \) is Planck’s constant. By a result in [15], the evolution of a quantum system with average energy \( \bar{E} \) takes,
minimally, a time interval of \( \Delta t = \frac{\hbar}{4k_BTS} \) to flip to an orthogonal state, where \( E_0 \) denotes the energy of the ground state. Hence, the environment \( |\mathcal{E}_0\rangle \) takes (minimally) the time-amount of

\[
\Delta t = \frac{\hbar}{4k_BTS}
\]

to reach an orthogonal state \( |\mathcal{E}_1\rangle \), \( \langle \mathcal{E}_0 | \mathcal{E}_1 \rangle = 0 \). The environment, therefore, serves as an ideal thermal clock, which takes the interval (2) for one “tick” to indicate that the measurement (1) has been completed with certainty [16].

For any time-parameter \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \) we can, with the help of (2), define a time-scale by dividing the time-continuum into equal steps by the ratio

\[
dr = \frac{d\tau}{\Delta t} = \left( \frac{4k_B}{\hbar}TS \right) d\tau.
\]

We call two thermal clocks partially synchronized, if they march in step, i.e., for some constant \( \alpha > 0 \), it holds that

\[
dr_1 = \alpha dr_2.
\]

3. Principle of Synchronization

3.1. Tolman–Ehrenfest and Davies–Unruh Effect

Assume there is a static space–time manifold \((M, g)\) and two observers at space–time points, \( x_1 \in M, x_2 \in M \), locally at rest; partially synchronize their thermal clocks. In a static metric, the eigen-time interval for an observer, who is locally at rest, is \( d\tau = \sqrt{g_{00}} dt \). Condition (4), together with (3), then directly translates into

\[
\sqrt{g_{00}}(x_1) = \alpha \sqrt{g_{00}}(x_2).
\]

If we set \( \alpha = \frac{S_1}{S_2} \), then (5) is the condition for thermal equilibrium in a gravitational field. The position-dependence of temperature is also known as Tolman–Ehrenfest effect [6]. Equally, in spirit, we can ask that two thermal clocks in Minkowski space–time are synchronized, where one is in relative motion at constant speed \( v \) to the other. With \( \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \), there holds for time in the moving coordinates \( dt' = \gamma dt \). Hence, if \( T' \) denotes the temperature in the moving coordinates, relation (4) translates (with the same \( \alpha \) as in (5)) into

\[
T' dt' = T' \gamma dt = T dt.
\]

Therefore,

\[
T' = \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}{\gamma} \right) T.
\]

Relation (7) corresponds to the original formula for relativistic temperature transformation in [17]. After these introductory examples, we now consider a uniformly accelerated observer in Minkowski space–time, who moves with acceleration \( \kappa \) in \( x \)-direction, say. We chose a co-moving coordinate system, which is defined in the wedge, limited by \( |x| = t \), and given by the transformations

\[
x = \varrho \cosh(\kappa \vartheta), \quad t = \varrho \sinh(\kappa \vartheta), \quad \varrho \geq 0, \quad -\infty < \vartheta < \infty.
\]
The eigen-time element is
\[ d\tau^2 = \frac{1}{c^2} ds^2 = \left(\frac{\kappa_0}{c^2}\right)^2 d\theta^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(d\rho^2 + dy^2 + dz^2\right). \] (9)

These are so-called Rindler coordinates [18]. At \( t = 0 \), the observers are at rest in their respective local inertial frames, and ratio (3) takes the form
\[ dr = \frac{4k_B}{h} T S \kappa_0 c^2 d\theta. \] (10)

A thermal clock in the local inertial frame at \( \varrho_1 = \frac{c^2}{\kappa} \) and another one stationary at \( \varrho_2 \), say, are synchronized by (4), if
\[ dr_1 = \alpha dr_2. \] (11)

Hence, if \( \alpha = \frac{\varrho_1}{\varrho_2} \), which we from now on assume, if not stated otherwise,
\[ dr_1 = \frac{4}{h} k_B T (\varrho = \frac{c^2}{\kappa}) \times d\theta = dr_2 = \frac{4}{h} k_B T_\varrho_2 \times \varrho_2 \times \frac{\kappa}{c^2} \times d\theta. \]

With \( T_\kappa T (\varrho = \frac{c^2}{\kappa}) \), we arrive at
\[ v_\kappa = \frac{4}{h} k_B T_\kappa \times \frac{c^2}{\kappa} = \frac{4}{h} k_B T_\varrho_2 \times \varrho_2 = \text{const}. \] (12)

So far, we have worked with a single acceleration or chart. We can generalize (12) by demanding it to hold for different accelerations \( \kappa_1 \neq \kappa_2 \), which is the basis for the generalization to non-flat manifolds like in (5). For our purpose, we consider a specific second system, namely, a single oscillator of frequency \( \nu \) with energy of one photon \( E = \frac{3}{2} h\nu \). For its energy above the ground state, we have
\[ \Delta E = \frac{3}{2} h\nu - \frac{1}{2} h\nu = h\nu. \] (13)

With \( \omega = 2\pi\nu \) and \( \lambda \) being the wavelength, the acceleration is \( \kappa = \lambda\omega^2 \), and the left-hand side of (12) turns into
\[ v_\omega = \frac{4}{h} k_B T_\kappa \times \frac{c^2}{\lambda\omega^2} = \frac{2c^2}{\pi\lambda\omega} = \frac{2c^2}{2\pi\omega^2} = \frac{c}{\pi^2}. \] (14)

\( v_\omega \) is independent of \( \omega \) and synchronizing \( v_\kappa = v_\omega \) implies
\[ \frac{4}{h} k_B T_\kappa \times \frac{c}{\kappa} = \frac{1}{\pi^2}. \] (15)

From (15), we get for the corresponding temperature \( T_\kappa \)
\[ T_\kappa = \frac{\hbar \kappa}{2\pi c k_B}. \] (16)

Expression (16) is the Unruh–Davies temperature [4,5]. From Equation (15), there immediately results a number of well-known temperature expressions, if the acceleration \( \kappa \) is chosen appropriately. In case of a Schwarzschild black hole of mass \( M \), for instance, \( \kappa \) is the surface gravity on the horizon \( \kappa = \frac{c^4}{8\pi MG} \), where \( G \) denotes the gravitational constant, and we get the Hawking temperature
\[ T_\kappa = \frac{\hbar^3}{8\pi c k_B M G}. \] (17)
Similar expressions hold for Reissner–Nordström or Kerr black holes, if the corresponding surface accelerations are plugged into (16).

3.2. Bekenstein-Type of Bounds on Entropy

Relations (15) and (16) can be used to derive some further interesting results. Let the total energy within a ball of radius \( R = \lambda \) in flat space be \( E_R \), as measured in the local rest frame of a single photon clock. Clearly the total dissipation out of this region for any thermodynamic entropy \( S \) must satisfy

\[
\frac{T}{\pi} S \leq E_R.
\]  

(18)

Hence, with (16) for \( \kappa = \frac{c^2}{R} \), we directly get the Bekenstein-bound [7]

\[
S \leq \frac{2\pi k_B R}{\hbar c} E_R.
\]  

(19)

Instead of the photon rest-frame, we chose the local rest-frame of an observer, who is (weakly) gravitationally attracted by a mass \( M \), relatively static at \( R \). In the Newtonian limit with potential \( \phi = -\frac{GM}{R} \), there is the local acceleration \( g_R = \frac{MG}{R^2} \), where \( G \) is the gravitational constant. In the presence of matter, we have to slightly change the synchronization Equation (15) by adding an additional energy quantum to the photon-clock in vacuum (hence we actually synchronize with a two-photon clock, one energy quantum for space and one for matter), leading to

\[
\frac{4}{\hbar} k_B T g_R \frac{c}{g_R} = \frac{2}{\pi^2}.
\]  

(20)

Like in (18), there must hold

\[
T g_R S \leq E_R.
\]  

(21)

With the total energy \( E_R = Mc^2 \), we get from (20)

\[
\frac{\hbar MG}{\pi k_B c R^2} S \leq Mc^2,
\]  

(22)

and, finally, with the definition of the Planck length \( l_p = \sqrt{\frac{G}{c^3}} \) and \( A_R = 4\pi R^2 \), denoting the surface of the sphere with radius \( R \), there directly results the area law [8]

\[
S \leq \frac{k_B A_R}{4l_p^2}.
\]  

(23)

Estimate (23) remains true, and can be derived from (16) for the surface area of a Schwarzschild black hole, if we set \( \kappa = \frac{c^4}{8\pi G} = \frac{2GM}{R_S} \) with \( R_S = \frac{2GM}{c^2} \) denoting the Schwarzschild radius.

3.3. De-Sitter Vacuum

We now consider de-Sitter space–time, representing the vacuum with energy density \( \tau_{vac} = \frac{c^4}{8\pi G} \Lambda \), where \( \Lambda \) denotes the cosmological constant. It is well known that this space–time has a horizon \( R_\infty \) with surface-acceleration \( a_\infty \) given by the Hubble constant \( H_0 \). There holds

\[
a_\infty = c H_0 = c^2 \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} = \frac{c^2}{R_\infty}.
\]  

(24)
We have, in analogy to (18) for any thermodynamic entropy $S$ within the region bounded by the horizon,

$$T_{a\circ} S \leq E_{\text{tot}} = \frac{c^4}{8\pi G} \Lambda \times \text{vol}(B_{R\circ}).$$  (25)

In the static de-Sitter metric (the line-element is $ds^2 = (1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2}) dt^2 - (1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2})^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2$)

$$\text{vol}(B_{R\circ}) = \int_0^{R\circ} \frac{4\pi r^2}{\left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2}\right)^2} dr = \pi^2 R^3.$$  (26)

Hence, by help of relation (24)

$$T_{a\circ} S \leq \frac{3\pi c^4 R\circ}{8G}.$$  (27)

By (16), we arrive at

$$S \leq \frac{3k_B \pi R\circ^2 c^3}{4G \hbar},$$  (28)

and, finally, with the Planck length $l_p = \sqrt{\frac{G}{c^3}}$, we get an area law

$$S \leq \frac{3\pi}{4} \times \frac{k_B A_{R\circ}}{4l_p^2}.$$  (29)

For radii $R < R\circ$, however, (26) does not, a priori, reduce to a simple expression. An interesting question is, what the estimate looks like far away from the horizon in the visible universe, i.e., for a ball of radius $R \ll R\circ$. Here, the volume of the ball is almost Euclidean. By Hubble’s law, we have for the surface acceleration $a_R = \frac{R}{R\circ} a_{\circ}$, and we get, in analogy to (25) and (27),

$$T_{a\circ} S \leq \frac{c^4}{8\pi G} \Lambda \times \text{vol}(B_R) = \frac{c^4}{8\pi G} \Lambda \times \frac{4\pi R^3}{2R^2 G} = \frac{c^4 R^3}{2R\circ G}.$$  (30)

In terms of $a_{\circ}$,

$$S \times a_{\circ} \leq \frac{\pi k_B c^5 R^2}{R\circ G \hbar}.$$  (32)

Finally, again by (24), we get the traditional area law

$$S \leq \frac{k_B A_R}{4l_p^2}.$$  (31)

It is interesting that the static de-Sitter coordinate frame represents the perspective of a non-co-moving observer, for whom there is a velocity of the “river of space” [19]. Yet, the metric looks entirely static. One can indeed ask how, in a vacuum, one should differentiate between $a_{\circ}$ and $a_R$ in the absence of anything to compare. There is the ansatz to attribute the scale factor $\frac{R}{R\circ}$ to the entropy instead, and define $S_R \sim \frac{R}{R\circ} S$ [20]. In Equation (30), we would then start with the expression $T_{a\circ} S_R$. This ansatz can be useful, if we are in the Schwarzschild–de-Sitter space–time, which is defined by a gravitating mass $M$ and the expanding vacuum, in combination (the line-element is $ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2} - \frac{2MG}{r}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2} - \frac{2MG}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2$). For consistency’s sake, the thermal clocks of two observers, one locally accelerated by $g_R = \frac{MG}{R^2}$ and the other by $b_R$, also taking into account the vacuum expansion, must then march in step (5), i.e., with $\alpha = 1$

$$T_{gR} S d\tau = T_{bR} S_R d\tau.$$  (32)
Therefore, with Hubble’s law and \( a_0 = \frac{d}{dt} \),

\[
\frac{b_R^2}{a_0} = g_R,
\]

which leads to

\[
b_R = \frac{\sqrt{GMa_0}}{R}.
\] (34)

Expression (34) is the empirically quite well-tested MOND (Modified Newtonian Dynamics) acceleration [20,21]. It can be shown that the effect of the vacuum expansion will only be relevant for distances \( R > R_0 = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{a_0}} \), where (29) does not hold, and where the vacuum acceleration is bigger than \( a_0 \) [22]. From this perspective, the correction (34) to the gravitation law is ultimately due to \( \Lambda \).

We have seen, so far, that the synchronization principle is a very powerful tool to offer a simple derivation of some key relations in space–time physics. We now want to show that the Einstein equations can also be derived from this principle.

### 3.4. Einstein Equations

We want to come back to the local situation of weak gravitational attraction and use Equation (20).

\[
\frac{4}{\hbar} k_B T_{gR} c = \frac{2}{\pi^2}
\] (35)

With \( E = Mc^2 \), we write

\[
k_B T_{gR} A_R = 4l_p^2 Mc^2 = 4l_p^2 E.
\] (36)

By using (14), we arrive at

\[
g_R A_R = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} E.
\] (37)

Equation (37), which holds on a hypersurface in the Newtonian limit, can be covariantly generalized, if we assume a static (or conformal) static metric. We sketch the derivation in, e.g., [23]. We consider an asymptotically flat, static background with global time-like Killing vector field \( \xi^a \).

The generalization of Newton’s potential \( \phi \) can be defined by

\[
\phi = \frac{1}{2} \log (\xi^a \xi_a).
\] (38)

The exponential \( e^\phi \) is the red-shift factor, which defines a foliation of space–time in space-like surfaces \( S \) of constant red-shift. In this set-up, a particle on the corresponding Killing world-line will have a four-acceleration perpendicular to \( S \) given by

\[
a^b = -\nabla^b \phi.
\] (39)

The left-hand side of (37) formally turns into the more general expression

\[
g_R A_R \rightarrow \int_S e^\phi \nabla \phi \cdot dA.
\] (40)

Relation (40) is (modulo constants) exactly the expression for the Komar-mass \( M \), [24], and we indeed get, by accounting for the constants, the equivalent equation to (37):

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_S e^\phi \nabla\phi \cdot dA = \frac{4\pi G c^4}{c^2} M = \frac{4\pi G}{c^4} E.
\] (41)
Re-expressed in terms of the Killing vector $\xi^a$, there holds
\[ \int_S dx^a \wedge dx^b \epsilon_{abcd} \nabla^c \xi^d = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} E. \] (42)

By Stokes theorem and the identity $\nabla^a \nabla_a z^b = -R^b_{a} z^a$ (42) turns into
\[ \int_\Sigma R_{ab} n^a \xi^b dV = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} E, \] (43)

where $\Sigma$ is a volume bounded by $S$. Since by (43), the Ricci tensor $R_{ab}$ equals zero in a massless region, relation (43) holds for any boundary surface $S$ of $\Sigma$, as long as $\Sigma$ comprises all the matter. By writing the right-hand side as an appropriate integral over components (the energy field should be source free) of the energy-stress tensor $T_{ab}$, we get
\[ \int_\Sigma R_{ab} n^a \xi^b dV = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \int_\Sigma (T_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} T g_{ab}) n^a \xi^b dV. \] (44)

Finally, by considering an infinitesimally small region and imposing that, if matter $m$ crosses the screen, then the Komar-integral changes by that amount, and (44) can be shown to hold for all (approximate) Killing vectors $\xi^a$ and screens $S$ with normal vector $n^a$. Therefore,
\[ R_{ab} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left( T_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} T g_{ab} \right). \] (45)

A similar approach was taken in [25] by using null-screens.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we introduced abstract thermal quantum clocks, which are basic in as much as they define a generic duration for any quantum-measurement. Clearly, we are in the tradition to attest to the measurement process, which we do not specify further, additional measurable consequences and hence some real existence. By demanding that these clocks march in step in particular with elementary photon clocks, i.e., by sequencing the “flow of reality” through time intervals proportionate to the ones of oscillating photon-clocks, we were able to easily derive a number of well-established relations between energy, entropy, and geometry in space–time. There has been some doubt whether recording duration by means of the length of world-lines really exhausts the possible operational definitions of time inside a physical object [26]. We have shown that parts of relativistic physics follow from correlating the space–time metric to duration, which originates from electromagnetic oscillation in form of single quanta and thermal radiation. The power of the simple principle turns out to be quite astonishing, and is further proof of the extraordinary importance of electromagnetism for our perception and description of physical time and space.
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