Low expression levels of microRNA-124-5p correlated with poor prognosis in colorectal cancer via targeting of SMC4
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Abstract
A component of polycomb repressor complex 2, enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2), plays an important role in tumor malignancy and metastasis, while milk fat globule-epidermal growth factor 8 (MFGE8) plays a key role in tumor progression and prognosis. MicroRNAs (miRs) are also critically involved in various physiological and pathological processes. We here evaluated the relationship between overall survival (OS) in colorectal cancer patients and the expression of onco-miRs and miRs, which may target EZH2 and MFGE8. Plasma and formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples were obtained from 71 colorectal cancer patients. The expression levels of miRs complementary to EZH2 and MFGE8 mRNA and cancer malignancies were evaluated. The miRs analyzed were as follows: miR-16, miR-21, miR-26a, miR-34a, miR-98, miR-101-3p, miR-101-5p, miR-124-5p (also known as miR-124*), miR-126-3p, miR-126-5p, miR-210, miR-217, and miR-630. The plasma expression levels of MFGE8 in completely resected patients were significantly lower than those in unresectable patients. Lower miR-26a expression levels were correlated with a higher probability of OS. Higher miR-124-5p expression levels in plasma and FFPE samples were correlated with a higher probability of OS. The transfection of mimic miR-124-5p into WiDr and COLO201 cells inhibited the expression of structural maintenance of chromosomes 4 (SMC4) mRNA. Our results indicate that miR-124-5p may target the tumorigenesis gene, SMC4, which suggests that expression levels of miR-124-5p in plasma and FFPE samples; therefore, the expression of MFGE8, miR-26a, and miR-124-5p in plasma may be used as biomarkers to determine the prognosis of colorectal cancer patients.

Introduction
Colorectal cancer remains a significant cause of mortality worldwide. Despite an earlier diagnosis and advances in available treatments, many colorectal cancers remain incurable [1, 2]. Molecular profiling will assist in the development of personalized treatment strategies [3]. Enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2), a component of the oncogene polycomb repressive complex 2, exhibits histone methyltransferase activity and induces the methylation of lysine residues in histone H3. EZH2 was previously shown to be overexpressed in cancers, and EZH2 expression levels
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correlated with aggressiveness, metastasis, and a poor prognosis [4, 5]. Milk fat globule-epidermal growth factor 8 (MGF8) plays an important role in controlling the progression of various inflammatory diseases. It is also involved in tumor progression and prognosis [6, 7].

MicroRNAs (miRs) have been shown to negatively regulate gene expression by binding to complementary sequence sites in the 3’-untranslated regions of the mRNAs of protein-coding genes, thereby degrading or blocking the translation of these mRNAs. MicroRNAs are known to play an important role in various physiological and pathological processes, such as apoptosis, cell proliferation, and differentiation, which indicates their functionality in carcinogenesis as tumor suppressor genes or oncogenes [8]. MicroRNAs have recently been detected in body fluids, such as serum, plasma, and saliva. Although initially considered to be unstable RNA molecules, circulating miRs are now known to be highly stable and readily detected in plasma. Exosomes are microvesicles with an endocytic origin that are released from various cells into the extracellular space. Exosomes have been detected in cell culture supernatants as well as body fluids, and are composed of a lipid bilayer. They contain mRNAs and miRs, which are enclosed in side exosomes and are secreted into the extracellular space [9, 10].

EZH2 was previously shown to be suppressed by miR-101-3p (also known as miR-101) and miR-26a [11–14]. A bioinformatics, MicroRNA.org (http://www.microrna.org) is a comprehensive resource of microRNA target predictions and expression profiles. Target predictions are based on a development of the miRanda algorithm which incorporates current biological knowledge on target rules and on the use of an up-to-date compendium of mammalian microRNAs [15]. The microRNA.org predicted that EZH2 may be targeted by miR-26a, miR-34a, miR-98, miR-101-3p, miR-217, and miR-630. Previous studies suggested that miR-16, miR-21, miR-34a, miR-101-3p, miR-124-5p (also known as miR-124∗), miR-126-3p (also known as miR-126), miR-126-5p (also known as miR-126∗), miR-210, miR-217, and miR-630 may be used as prognostic and diagnostic biomarkers for cancer [16–22]. MicroRNA.org also predicted that miR-124-5p may target structural maintenance of chromosomes 4 (SMC4). SMC4 is a core subunit of condensin I and II, which are large protein complexes, is involved in chromosome condensation, and has been associated with tumorigenesis [23]. However, the inhibitory effects of miR-124-5p on the expression of SMC4 mRNA have not yet been elucidated in detail.

The relationship between overall survival (OS) in colorectal cancer patients and the expression of miRs, which may target EZH2 and MGF8 and have been linked to cancer, was examined using plasma and FFPE samples. To investigate the involvement in survival benefit of miR-124-5p, the inhibitory effects of a possible target mRNA of miR-124-5p, SMC4, were evaluated.

### Materials and Methods

#### Reagents

The QuantiTect Primer Assay, miScript Primer Assay, miScript Reverse Transcription Kit, and synthetic microRNA mimic and miScript SYBR Green PCR Kit were purchased from Qiagen (Valencia, CA). The real-time PCR master mix THUNDERBIRD SYBR qPCR Mix and reverse transcriptase (RT), ReverTra Ace was purchased from TOYOBO Co., Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). The High Pure RNA Isolation Kit and High Pure RNA Paraffin Kit were purchased from Roche Diagnostics GmbH (Mannheim, Germany). Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). The human colon adenocarcinoma cell lines, WiDr (JCRB0224) and COLO201 (JCRB0226) were purchased from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources (JCRB) Cell Bank (Osaka, Japan). These cell lines were tested and authenticated by the JCRB Cell Bank. Lipofectamine 2000 reagent was purchased from Life technologies (Carlsbad, CA). Synthetic SMC4 siRNA (sense: gcccaagaauguguaaaaauc, anti: aguuaacauacuuggg) [23] was obtained from Bioneer Corporation (Daejeon, Republic of Korea). The PCR primers for SMC4 (sense: gagaatatctgggaccttt, anti: ttctgaatgtccttgttgttca) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, sense: aacagcctcaagatcatcagc, anti: ggatgatgttctggagagcc) [23] were obtained from Bioneer (Sapporo, Japan). All other reagents were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries (Osaka, Japan).

#### Patients and sample collection

We examined 71 patients with colorectal cancer who were recruited at Hokkaido Gastroenterology Hospital. All patients received chemotherapy according to the Japanese Society for Cancer of the Colon and Rectum Guidelines [24]. This study was approved by the Ethical Committee at the affiliations. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board and conformed to the guidelines of the 2008 Declaration of Helsinki. Blood samples for medical testing purposes were collected into ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) tubes. Plasma was separated from the residuum of the samples for the blood cell count inspection prior to chemotherapy. Plasma samples stored at −80°C. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples were obtained from tumor histology. The characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1.
RNA isolation and RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from 200 μL of plasma and FFPE samples using the High Pure RNA Isolation Kit and High Pure RNA Paraffin Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Single-stranded cDNA was synthesized by RT using ReverTra Ace, and single-stranded cDNA for microRNA analysis was also synthesized by RT using the miScript Reverse Transcription Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time PCR was performed using the LightCycler 480 II System (Version 1.5; Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) with TaqMan gene expression assays and the THUNDERBIRD qPCR Mix or miScript SYBR Green PCR Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Comparative real-time RT-PCR assays were performed for each sample in triplicate.

Table 1. Patient characteristics.

| Characteristics       | Unresectable (n = 49) | Completely resected (n = 22) | P  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| **Age**               |                       |                             |    |
| Mean (SD)             | 63.0 (11.4)           | 59.1 (6.8)                  |    |
| Range                 | 30–83                 | 47–74                       |    |
| **Sex**               |                       |                             | 0.22|
| Male                  | 34                    | 12                          |    |
| Female                | 15                    | 10                          |    |
| **TNM classification**|                       |                             | <0.0001|
| II                    | 0                     | 3                           |    |
| III                   | 0                     | 13                          |    |
| IV                    | 49                    | 6                           |    |
| **Primary lesion**    |                       |                             | 0.19|
| Colon                 | 19                    | 12                          |    |
| Rectum                | 20                    | 9                           |    |
| Other                 | 10                    | 1                           |    |
| **Histology**         |                       |                             | 0.07|
| Tubular adenocarcinoma| 44                    | 19                          |    |
| Mucinous adenocarcinoma| 1                   | 3                           |    |
| Other                 | 4                     | 0                           |    |
| **Chemotherapy**      |                       |                             | 0.03|
| mFOLFOX6              | 14                    | 12                          |    |
| FOLFIRI               | 9                     | 1                           |    |
| IRIS                  | 11                    | 0                           |    |
| XELOX                 | 3                     | 4                           |    |
| Capecitabine          | 5                     | 1                           |    |
| Other                 | 7                     | 4                           |    |

Statistical analysis for single comparisons was performed using the two-tailed \( \chi^2 \) test or Fisher’s exact test (expected frequency < 5). Comparisons between two groups were performed with the Mann–Whitney U-test. Staging was classified according the UICC TNM classification of malignant tumors. mFOLFOX6 comprised infusional 5-fluorouracil + leucovorin + oxaliplatin, FOLFIRI comprised infusional 5-fluorouracil + leucovorin + irinotecan, IRIS consisted of S-1 (an oral prodrug of 5-fluorouracil) + irinotecan, and XELOX consisted of capecitabine + oxaliplatin.

The comparative quantification cycle threshold \( (C_q) \) method was used to determine the relative expression levels of the target genes. \( C_q \) values were calculated with the second derivative maximum method. GAPDH and RNU6B (U6) were analyzed as a reference gene for mRNA and microRNA, respectively [25, 26]. The cycle number difference (\( \Delta C_q = \text{reference genes} - \text{target genes} \) was calculated in each replicate. Relative target gene expression values were calculated using the mean of \( \Delta C_q \) from the three replicates, that is, \( \mu = \Sigma (\Delta C_q)/3 \), and expressed as \( 2^{\mu(\Delta C_q)} \) [27].

Cell culture and transfection assays

The human colon adenocarcinoma cell lines, WiDr and COLO201 were grown in, DMEM and RPMI1640 medium, respectively, which was supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mmol/L-glutamine, and 100 units/mL of penicillin at 37°C in a 5% CO2 humidified atmosphere. The synthetic microRNA mimic or siRNA were transfected using the Lipofectamine 2000 transfection agent according to the manufacturer’s protocol. In 96-well plates, 3 pmol of the mimic or siRNA was transfected into 1 × 10⁵ cells/ml using 0.4 μL of Lipofectamine 2000, and cells were harvested 72 h later for RT-PCR and the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay [28].

Statistical analysis

Comparisons between two groups were performed with the Mann–Whitney U-test. Comparisons between three groups were performed with the Tukey–Kramer test. Categorical variables were analyzed with the two-tailed \( \chi^2 \) test or Fisher’s exact test (expected frequency < 5). Survival was plotted with Kaplan–Meier curves, taking the interval from the date of colorectal cancer to death or last contact. Comparisons between each group were performed with the log-rank test. OS and progression-free survival (PFS) were evaluated using the Cox proportional hazards model. The relationship was analyzed using univariate analysis. All indicated \( P \)-values are two-sided. *\( P < 0.05 \), **\( P < 0.01 \), ***\( P < 0.001 \).

Results

The relationship between microRNA and OS in patients with unresectable colorectal cancer

The relationship between plasma RNA expression levels and survival duration was evaluated. Higher plasma miR-124-5p expression levels (more than the median value)
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were correlated with a higher probability of OS (Fig. 1). Patient characteristics are shown in Table 2. No significant difference was observed between the two groups. Expression levels of RNAs were not observed between in the two groups (data not shown). Higher FFPE miR-124-5p expression levels were also significantly correlated with a higher probability of OS (Fig. 2). Patient characteristics are shown in Table 3, and no significant differences were observed between the two groups. Plasma miR-124-5p expression levels in the high group (divided by FFPE miR-124-5p expression) were significantly higher than those in the low group (Table 4). In the present study, there was a significant correlation coefficients between plasma miR-124-5p and FFPE miR-124-5p expression levels (Fig. 3. Univariate analysis: $r = 0.451$, 95% confidence interval: 0.189 – 0.654, $P = 0.002$).

Lower plasma miR-26a expression levels were correlated with a higher probability of OS (Fig. 4). Cox proportional hazards models also estimated a significant lower hazard ratio in the plasma miR-124-5p higher expression group and plasma miR-26a lower expression group (Table 5). The FFPE miR-124-5p higher expression group was not correlated with a lower hazard ratio of OS (Table 5). No significant relationship was observed between the expression levels of $EZH2$, $MFGE8$, and other miRs, OS, or PFS. The expression levels of miR-26a and miR-124-5p did not correlated with PFS or the hazard ratio (Table 5).

### Table 2. Patient characteristics with grouping based on microRNA-124-5p expression levels in plasma.

| Characteristics      | miR-124-5p low ($n = 24$) | miR-124-5p high ($n = 25$) | $P$  |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| Age                  | Mean (SD)                 | 62.9 (14.5)               | 63.0 (7.7) | 0.62 |
| Range                | 30–83                     | 48–78                     |      |
| Sex                  | Male                      | 16                        | 18   | 0.69 |
| Female               | 8                         | 7                         |      |
| Primary lesion       | Colon                     | 6                         | 13   | 0.11 |
|                      | Rectum                    | 13                        | 7    |      |
|                      | Other                     | 5                         | 5    |      |
| Histology            | Tubular adenocarcinoma    | 22                        | 22   | 1.00 |
|                      | Other                     | 2                         | 3    |      |
| Chemotherapy         | mFOLFOX6                  | 5                         | 9    | 0.54 |
|                      | FOLFIRI                   | 3                         | 6    |      |
|                      | IRIS                      | 6                         | 5    |      |
|                      | XELOX                     | 2                         | 1    |      |
|                      | Capecitabine              | 3                         | 2    |      |
|                      | Other                     | 5                         | 2    |      |

Statistical analysis for single comparisons was performed using the two-tailed $\chi^2$ test or Fisher’s exact test (expected frequency < 5). Comparisons between two groups were performed with the Mann–Whitney $U$-test.

![Figure 1. Kaplan–Meier OS curves for patients with unresectable colorectal cancer based on low and high plasma miR-124-5p expression levels. Kaplan–Meier plots showing estimates of overall survival (OS) probabilities grouped according to miR-124-5p expression levels in a completely independent set of colorectal cancer patients. The dotted line curve represents samples that expressed high levels of miR-124-5p (above median), whereas the black curve corresponds to samples that expressed low miR-124-5p levels (below median). Discontinuations of observations were indicated by spines on the lines. Comparisons between each group were performed with the log-rank test.](image1)

![Figure 2. Kaplan–Meier overall survival (OS) curves for patients with unresectable colorectal cancer based on low and high miR-124-5p expression levels in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples. FFPE samples were obtained from surgery or biopsy for histological diagnosis. Kaplan–Meier plots showing estimates of OS probabilities grouped according to miR-124-5p expression levels in a completely independent set of colorectal cancer patients. The dotted line curve represents samples that expressed high levels of miR-124-5p (above median), whereas the black line curve corresponds to samples that expressed low levels of miR-124-5p (below median). Discontinuations of observations were indicated by spines on the lines. Comparisons between each group were performed with the log-rank test.](image2)
**Table 3.** Patient characteristics with grouping based on microRNA-124-5p expression levels in FFPE samples.

| Characteristics | miR-124-5p low (n = 24) | miR-124-5p high (n = 24) | P     |
|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Age             |                         |                          | 0.82  |
| Mean (SD)       | 63.1 (12.3)             | 63.8 (9.9)               |       |
| Range           | 30–82                   | 40–83                    |       |
| Sex             |                         |                          | 0.35  |
| Male            | 18                      | 15                       |       |
| Female          | 6                       | 9                        |       |
| Primary lesion  |                         |                          | 0.35  |
| Colon           | 10                      | 9                        |       |
| Rectum          | 11                      | 8                        |       |
| Other           | 3                       | 7                        |       |
| Histology       | Tubular adenocarcinoma  | 23                       |       |
| Other           | 1                       | 4                        |       |
| Chemotherrapy   | mFOLFOX6                | 8                        | 0.74  |
| FOLFIRI         | 5                       | 4                        |       |
| IRIS            | 5                       | 6                        |       |
| XELOX           | 2                       | 1                        |       |
| Capecitabine    | 2                       | 3                        |       |
| Other           | 2                       | 5                        |       |

Statistical analysis for single comparisons was performed using the two-tailed χ² test or Fisher’s exact test (expected frequency < 5). Comparisons between two groups were performed with the Mann–Whitney U-test. formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded.

**Table 4.** MicroRNA expression levels in plasma and FFPE samples, and miR-124-5p expression in FFPE samples.

| MicroRNA (x10⁻⁹) | Plasma | FFPE |
|------------------|--------|------|
|                  | Low (n = 24) | High (n = 25) | Low (n = 24) | High (n = 24) |
| miR-16           | 363 ± 253 | 293 ± 177 | 94 ± 81 | 182 ± 153 |
| miR-21           | 85 ± 131 | 105 ± 64 | 581 ± 375 | 801 ± 597 |
| miR-26a          | 432 ± 281 | 388 ± 224 | 184 ± 120 | 273 ± 201 |
| miR-34a          | 119 ± 272 | 127 ± 183 | 301 ± 615 | 267 ± 239 |
| miR-98           | 36 ± 48 | 35 ± 43 | 6.4 ± 3.6 | 14.8 ± 20.8 |
| miR-101-3p       | 58 ± 65 | 124 ± 138* | 86 ± 83 | 147 ± 164 |
| miR-101-5p       | 65 ± 76 | 91 ± 75 | 3.3 ± 2.6 | 22.4 ± 32.9** |
| miR-124-5p       | 91 ± 152 | 158 ± 219* | 1.4 ± 1.2 | 11.8 ± 10.9*** |
| miR-126-3p       | 122 ± 138 | 130 ± 124 | 551 ± 493 | 884 ± 814 |
| miR-126-5p       | 207 ± 121 | 244 ± 167 | 180 ± 178 | 285 ± 291 |
| miR-210          | 394 ± 192 | 415 ± 230 | 12 ± 5 | 19 ± 14 |
| miR-217          | 20 ± 43 | 35 ± 37 | 0.21 ± 0.15 | 0.59 ± 0.52 |
| miR-630          | 94 ± 78 | 84 ± 58 | 2.6 ± 1.8 | 5.2 ± 8.7 |

The two groups were divided between median value expression of miR-124-5p in FFPE, high group corresponded to above median, low group corresponded to below median. Expression levels were calculated using the 2ΔΔCt method. ΔCt was defined as the mean C0 value for a specific RNA in an individual sample. Each value indicates the mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analysis for single comparisons was performed using the Mann–Whitney U-test; FFPE, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded.

**Figure 3.** The relative expression of plasma miR-124-5p and FFPE miR-124-5p. The relationship was analyzed by using univariate analysis, r = 0.451, 95% confidence interval: 0.189 – 0.654, P = 0.002. The plots from paired samples from the same patient and regression line were indicated.

**Figure 4.** Kaplan–Meier overall survival (OS) curves for two groups defined based on low and high plasma miR-26a expression levels. Kaplan–Meier plots showing estimates of OS probabilities grouped according to miR-26a expression levels in a completely independent set of colorectal cancer patients. The dotted line curve represents samples that expressed high levels of miR-26a (above median), whereas the black line curve corresponds to samples that expressed low miR-26a levels (below median). Discontinuations of observations were indicated by spines on the lines. Comparisons between each group were performed with the log-rank test.

**Differences in plasma RNA expression levels between patients with unresectable and completely resected cancer**

RNA expression levels in the plasma of unresectable and completely resected patients were evaluated. Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. MFGE8 expression levels in completely resected patients were significantly lower
Table 5. Adjusted hazard ratios of patients with colorectal cancer in the high-expression group versus the low-expression group.

| Plasma miR-124-5p | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | P |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---|
| OS                | 0.147 (0.008–0.789)   | 0.022 |
| PFS               | 0.624 (0.291–1.300)   | 0.209 |
| FFPE miR-124-5p   | 0.281 (0.059–1.039)   | 0.057 |
| PFS               | 1.036 (0.490–2.252)   | 0.926 |

| Plasma miR-26a    |                        |    |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---|
| OS                | 6.044 (1.097–112.5)   | 0.037 |
| PFS               | 1.262 (0.603–2.658)   | 0.535 |

Values indicated hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Statistical analysis was performed using the Cox proportional hazards model. FFPE, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

than those in unresectable patients (Table 6). MiR-26a expression levels in completely resected patients were low, but were not significantly different from those in unresectable patients (P = 0.08; Table 6).

**MicroRNA-124-5p-targeted SMC4 and inhibited cell growth**

The microRNA.org predicted that miR-124-5p may target SMC4. Transfection of the miR-124-5p mimic or siRNA was examined. Transfection of SMC4 siRNA into WiDr and COLO201 cells significantly downregulated the expression of SMC4 mRNA. Transfection of the miR-124-5p mimic into WiDr and COLO201 cells also significantly downregulated the expression of SMC4 mRNA (Fig. 5). Transfection of miR-124-5p mimic or SMC4 siRNA into WiDr and COLO201 cells reduced cell viability (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

EZH2, MFGE8, and miRs expression levels in plasma and FFPE samples, and OS in colorectal cancer patients were evaluated. Previous studies reported that EZH2 is a tumorigenic gene that correlates with cancer progression and a poor prognosis [4, 29]. In the present study, a correlation was not observed between OS and EZH2 expression levels in unresectable patients. Although miR-101 was shown to negatively regulate the expression of EZH2, a correlation was not observed between the expression of EZH2 and miR-101 in plasma and FFPE samples in the present study (data not shown). Plasma EZH2 expression levels were higher in unresectable patients than completely resected patients, but this difference was not significant (P = 0.4). Recent studies demonstrated that MFGE8 correlated with tumor malignancy and microenvironment [6, 30, 31]. Plasma MFGE8 expression levels were significantly higher in unresectable patients than in completely resected patients (Table 6). Previous studies reported that the expression of MFGE8 was significantly higher in tumors than in normal tissues. Patients with primary tumors that expressed MFGE8 had significantly shorter survival periods than those with primary tumors that did not express MFGE8 [32]. The results of the present study suggest that MFGE8 mRNA released into plasma from tumors can be used as a diagnostic biomarker.

There have been some reports microRNAs are released into blood from tumor cells. Skog et al. reported that tumor-derived microvesicles which contained mRNA, microRNA, and angiogenic proteins served as a means of delivering genetic information, to recipient cells in the tumor environment [22]. In the present study, there was a significant correlation coefficient between plasma miR-124-5p and FFPE miR-124-5p expression levels (Fig. 3). However, it was not clear whether miR-124-5p in plasma was derived from tumor.

Few studies have examined the function of miR-124-5p. Anwar et al. reported that the expression of miR-124-5p (miR-124*) was significantly higher in nonmethylated hepatocellular carcinoma than in methylated samples [21]. The present study is the first to examine the

Table 6. Expression levels of RNAs in plasma samples.

|                   | Unresectable (n = 49) | Completely resected (n = 22) | Unresectable (n = 49) | Completely resected (n = 22) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| miR-16            | 0.317 ± 0.202         | 0.256 ± 0.219               | 0.124 ± 0.129         | 0.104 ± 0.198               |
| miR-21            | 0.095 ± 0.101         | 0.064 ± 0.070               | 0.244 ± 0.176         | 0.161 ± 0.137               |
| miR-26a           | 0.429 ± 0.264         | 0.304 ± 0.232               | 0.404 ± 0.208         | 0.396 ± 0.288               |
| miR-34a           | 0.142 ± 0.267         | 0.242 ± 0.417               | 0.029 ± 0.042         | 0.036 ± 0.063               |
| miR-98            | 0.037 ± 0.045         | 0.039 ± 0.061               | 0.134 ± 0.313         | 0.072 ± 0.086               |
| miR-101-3p        | 0.095 ± 0.113         | 0.140 ± 0.190               | 0.033 ± 0.076         | 0.014 ± 0.019*              |
| miR-101-5p        | 0.077 ± 0.075         | 0.144 ± 0.213               | 0.021 ± 0.043         | 0.009 ± 0.015               |
| miR-124-5p        | 0.123 ± 0.188         | 0.117 ± 0.174               |                       |                             |

Expression levels were calculated using the 2−ΔΔCq method. ΔΔCq was defined as the mean Cq value for a specific RNA in an individual sample. Each value indicates the mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analysis for single comparisons was performed using the Mann–Whitney U-test. *P < 0.05.
The microRNA.org predicted that miR-124-5p may target SMC4. We examined the inhibitory effects of the miR-124-5p mimic on the expression of SMC4 mRNA. Zhou et al. reported that the expression of SMC4 was correlated with tumor size, de-differentiation, advanced stages, and vascular invasion of primary liver cancers, while the knockdown of SMC4 expression reduced the

relationship between the function of miR-124-5p and prognosis of patients with colorectal cancer. Wang et al. reported that the downregulation of miR-124 (miR-124-3p) correlated with a worse prognosis in patients with colorectal cancer [33]. These results confirmed the relationship between microRNA124-2, the gene of miR-124-5p, and miR-124-3p, and a worse prognosis.

**Figure 5.** The miR-124-5p mimic inhibited SMC4 mRNA expression in WiDr and COLO201 cells. The synthetic miR-124-5p microRNA mimic or SMC4 siRNA inhibited SMC4 mRNA expression in WiDr (A) and COLO201 (B) cells. The synthetic microRNA mimic or siRNA were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection agent. Cells were harvested for 72 h. Values represent the relative ratio of target gene per GAPDH, mean ± SEM, to the control from eight independent experiments. The control group was transfected with negative control RNA (AllStars Negative Control siRNA, Qiagen). miR-124-5p: the mimic of miR-124-5p was transfected; SMC4 siRNA: synthetic SMC4 siRNA was transfected. Statistical analysis was performed using the Tukey–Kramer test; **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

**Figure 6.** The miR-124-5p mimic inhibited cell viability in WiDr and COLO201 cells. The synthetic miR-124-5p microRNA mimic or SMC4 siRNA inhibited cell viability in WiDr (A) and COLO201 (B) cells. The synthetic microRNA mimic or siRNA were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection agent. Cells were harvested for 72 h. Cell viabilities were evaluated 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay, which was described in detail in the method. Values represent the relative ratio of negative control treatment, mean ± SEM, to the control from eight independent experiments. The control group was transfected with negative control RNA (AllStars Negative Control siRNA, Qiagen). miR-124-5p: the mimic of miR-124-5p was transfected; SMC4 siRNA: synthetic SMC4 siRNA was transfected. Statistical analysis was performed using the Tukey–Kramer test; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
proliferation of hepatocellular carcinoma cells [23]. Zhai et al. found that the knockdown of SMC4 led to a chromosomal separation deficiency [34]. A previous study suggested that downregulation of SMC4 may reduce cell proliferation. The knockdown of SMC4 was shown to result in severe defects in chromosome assembly in HeLa Cells [35]. The present study demonstrated that miR-124-5p inhibited the tumorigenesis gene, SMC4, which upregulated the expression of miR-124-5p, thereby improving the OS of colorectal cancer patients.

The present study showed that lower miR-26a expression levels correlated with a higher probability of OS (Fig. 4). Qian et al. recently reported that miR-26a promoted tumor growth and angiogenesis in glioma [36]. The overexpression of miR-26a was shown to increase the proliferation of cholangiocarcinoma cells and colony formation in vitro [37]. These findings suggested that the upregulation of miR-26a may promote tumor growth and malignancy. The present study demonstrated that higher miR-26a expression levels were associated with a lower probability of OS, which indicated that miR-26a in plasma may be used as a biomarker to determine the prognosis of colorectal cancer patients.

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrated that MFGE8 expression levels were significantly lower in completely resected patients than in unresectable patients. Furthermore, higher miR-26a expression levels, and lower miR-124-5p expression levels were associated with a lower probability of OS; therefore the expression of MFGE8, miR-26a and miR-124-5p in plasma may be used as biomarkers to determine the prognosis of colorectal cancer patients.
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