Metabolizable energy and amino acid digestibility in spray-dried animal plasma using broiler chick and precision-fed rooster assays
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ABSTRACT Four experiments were conducted to determine ME and amino acid (AA) digestibility of spray-dried animal plasma (SDAP) and soybean meal (SBM). The 48-h precision-fed adult rooster assay was used in 2 experiments; TMEn and standardized AA digestibility were determined using conventional and cecectomized roosters, respectively, 50 weeks of age and weighing approximately 2,200 g. Eight individually-caged roosters (4 per diet) were fasted for 26 h, then precision-fed 30 g of SDAP mixture (containing 50% corn) or SBM mixture (containing 50% corn). The TMEn and AA digestibility for SDAP and SBM were calculated by the difference procedure. The TMEn for SDAP was greater (P < 0.05) than SBM (3,743 and 2,669 kcal/kg DM, respectively). Similarly, mean AA digestibility of SDAP was greater (P < 0.05) than SBM (94 and 86%, respectively). Two assays were conducted using Ross male broilers to determine AMEn and apparent (AIAAD) and standardized (SIAAD) ileal AA digestibility of SDAP and SBM. A 3 × 2 factorial arrangement of treatments was used to determine AMEn; 126 chicks (6 replicate pens of 7 chicks) were fed a corn-SBM-based reference diet, a diet containing 30% SDAP, or a diet containing 30% SBM from d 7 to 10 and 18 to 21. A 2 × 2 factorial arrangement of treatments was used to determine AIAAD and SIAAD; 168 chicks (12 replicate pens of 7 chicks) were fed a semi-purified diet containing 25% SDAP or a semi-purified, isonitrogenous diet containing 41% SBM from d 7 to 10 and 18 to 21. The AMEn for SDAP was greater (P < 0.05) than SBM at d 10 (3,851 and 2,089 kcal/kg DM, respectively) and d 21 (4,239 and 2,849 kcal/kg DM, respectively). The second assay showed an increase (P < 0.05) in AIAAD and SIAAD for SDAP compared with SBM at d 10 (mean SIAAD for SDAP and SBM were 96% and 84%, respectively) and d 21 (97% and 87%, respectively). Regardless of assay or age, these results indicate SDAP is a highly digestible feed ingredient with high ME and AA digestibility.
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INTRODUCTION

There is ongoing interest in the inclusion of feed ingredients containing highly digestible protein contents in poultry diets due to their ability to supply substantial amounts of essential amino acids (AA), reduce nitrogen excretion, and improve gastrointestinal health (Akhter et al., 2008). Spray-dried animal plasma (SDAP) is commonly derived from bovine and porcine origins and is a highly digestible protein source with a desirable AA profile (Castelló et al., 2004; Torrallardona, 2009).

Cost has typically limited the use of SDAP in poultry diets, but Henn et al. (2013) found that SDAP could improve broiler performance, particularly during the starter phase when birds were raised under challenging conditions caused by the reuse of litter from a previous flock with coccidiosis. Beski et al. (2015). fed SDAP at dietary levels up to 2% to broilers during the starter phase and noted improved feed efficiency that persisted through the grower and finisher phases, when SDAP was no longer being fed. Similarly, Beski et al. (2016) found beneficial effects, including improved BW and feed conversion ratio, associated with feeding dietary inclusion levels of 1 or 2% during the first 10 d posthatch.

Benefits of feeding SDAP have also been noted in broiler trials conducted under challenging conditions, typically associated with used litter or disease challenges. Bregendahl et al. (2005) reported benefits of
feeding 2% SDAP from 1 to 42 d of age to broilers raised on soiled litter; effects included improved growth rate, feed conversion, breast-meat yield, and flock uniformity. In a study conducted with broilers with necrotic enteritis, feeding SDAP at levels of 1, 0.5, and 0.25% during the starter, grower, and finisher phases, respectively, improved growth rate, feed intake and efficiency, and livability (Campbell et al., 2006).

Substantially more research has been conducted to assess the effects of SDAP inclusion in swine diets, especially during the postweaning period. Spray-dried animal plasma has been routinely added to the diets of weanling piglets to improve performance, feed efficiency, and overall health (Campbell et al., 2019). A 6% inclusion level of SDAP in piglet diets during the first 2 weeks postweaning has been suggested as optimal, with a positive impact on weight gain and feed intake (van Dijk et al., 2001). Possible modes of action include increased diet palatability associated with SDAP (Ermer et al., 1994; van Dijk et al., 2001), but there is also evidence to suggest a positive influence of SDAP on gastrointestinal health, through Ig factors or the presence of antibodies that can inhibit or decrease pathogen colonization in the gastrointestinal tract (Owusu-Asiedu et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2008). Data from swine thus support the potential for benefits associated with inclusion of SDAP in poultry diets.

It has been shown that apparent digestibility and standardized ileal AA digestibility (SIAAD) of diets and individual feed ingredients is lower in chicks at young ages (0–10 d) and increases with age, reaching a plateau at approximately 14 to 15 d of age (Batal and Parsons, 2002; Adedokun et al., 2008). Thus, an ingredient which is expected to be highly digestible, such as SDAP, may be particularly beneficial in diets of very young (0–10 d of age) broiler chicks. With the potential for SDAP to improve early growth performance and positively affect the gastrointestinal tract, especially in birds fed diets without growth-promoting antibiotics and raised under challenging environmental conditions, more research needs to be conducted to evaluate the nutritive value of SDAP for poultry, particularly regarding ME and AA digestibility values. The objective of this study was to determine the ME and AA digestibility of SDAP using 2 precision-fed rooster assays and 2 broiler chick assays with birds of different ages. Soybean meal was also evaluated to provide a reference for comparison, because it is the most common high-protein ingredient used in poultry diets.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The protocol for this study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (animal use protocol #19090 and 20131).

**Ingredients and Analyses**

Spray-dried animal plasma was obtained from APC, Inc. (Ankeny, IA) and dehulled solvent-extracted SBM was obtained from a commercial plant in the Midwest. Analyses were conducted to determine nitrogen for CP via combustion (Method 990.03; AOAC International, 2007), crude fat (Method 920.93 A; AOAC International, 2007), acid detergent fiber (Method 973.18; AOAC International, 2007), neutral detergent fiber (Method 2002.04; AOAC International, 2007), total phosphorus by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (Method 985.01 A, B, and D; AOAC International, 2007), and ash (Method 942.05; AOAC International, 2007). The acid detergent fiber and neutral detergent fiber analyses included residual ash and NDF was determined following stable amylase pretreatment. Gross energy was analyzed using a bomb calorimeter (Model 6300; Parr Instruments, Moline, IL) and AA concentrations were also analyzed (Method 982.30 E [a, b, and c]; AOAC International, 2007). Except for gross energy, the above-mentioned analyses were conducted at the Agricultural Experiment Station Chemical Laboratory (University of Missouri, Columbia, MO).

**Diets and Design**

Experiment 1 was conducted to determine TME value of SDAP and SBM using conventional Single-Comb White Leghorn roosters in the precision-fed rooster assay. The mean BW of the roosters was approximately 2,200 g. There were 2 treatments with 4 replicates of 1 individually caged rooster per treatment; therefore, 8 total adult roosters were used. Roosters were fasted for 26 hours then subsequently precision tube-fed 30 g of a SDAP mixture (containing 50% corn) or SBM mixture (containing 50% corn). An additional 4 roosters were precision-fed 30 g of corn. The SDAP and SBM were fed as mixtures with corn to enable the SDAP, which had a highly fine and powdery texture, to be physically tube-fed. Each individual cage had a collection tray underneath for excreta collection and roosters were given water ad libitum. Excreta were quantitatively collected for 48 h after feeding, then the excreta were freeze-dried, ground, and weighed. The excreta collected were analyzed for gross energy and nitrogen as mentioned above. The TME value of the SDAP-corn, SBM-corn, and corn diets were calculated as described by Parsons et al. (1982) and the TME value for the SDAP and SBM were calculated by the difference procedure using the method of Han et al. (1976). The calculation equations are shown below:

$$\text{TME} = \left[ \text{g gross energy consumed} \right] - \left[ \text{g gross energy excreted by fed birds} + 8.22 \times \text{g nitrogen retained by fed birds} \right] + \left[ \text{g gross energy excreted by fasted birds} + 8.22 \times \text{g nitrogen retained by fasted birds} \right]$$

where gross energy consumed (kcal) = diet intake (g) × gross energy of the diet (kcal/g); gross energy excreted by fed or fasted birds (kcal) = excreta output.
lated by difference as shown below:

\[
g = \text{diet intake (g)} \times \text{diet nitrogen (g)} - \text{excreta output (g)} \times \text{excreta nitrogen (g)}.
\]

The TME\textsubscript{a} values of SDAP and SBM were then calculated by difference as shown below:

\[
\text{TME}_{\text{a}} = \left| \left( \text{TME}_{\text{a}} \text{ of ground corn reference diet} - \text{TME}_{\text{a}} \text{ of test SDAP or SBM diet} \right) / \text{portion of SDAP or SBM substituted into the corn reference diet} \right|
\]

The kcal/g values were then converted to kcal/kg by multiplying by 1,000.

Experiment 2 was conducted to determine standardized AA digestibility of SDAP and SBM using the precision-fed roaster assay. The number of birds and procedures were the same as Experiment 1 except cecotomized roosters were used. Collected excreta were analyzed for AA as described above. Basal endogenous AA concentrations were determined using roosters that were fasted for 48 h and then standardized AA digestibility values were calculated by the method of Engster et al. (1985) using the equations below:

Standardized AA digestibility of diets (%)
\[
= \left[ \left( \text{AA consumed} - \text{AA excreted by fed birds} + \text{AA excreted by fasted birds} \right) / \text{AA consumed} \right] \times 100 \text{ where AA consumed (g) = diet intake (g) \times AA in diet (g); AA excreted by fasted birds (g) = excreta output (g) \times AA in excreta (g); AA excreted by fasted birds = excreta output (g) \times AA in excreta (g).}
\]

The standardized AA digestibility values for the SDAP and SBM specifically were then calculated by difference using the equation:

\[
\text{Standardized AA digestibility of SDAP or SBM (%) = standardized AA digestibility of the ground corn reference diet - \left[ \right. \text{standardized AA digestibility of the ground corn reference diet} \right] / \text{portion of SDAP or SBM AA substituted into the mixture diet with corn}.
\]

Experiments 3 and 4 were conducted using Ross 708 male broiler chicks (Aviagen Group; Huntsville, AL). For both experiments, chicks were housed in Petersime starter batteries with raised wire floors in a temperature-controlled room and had ad libitum access to water and feed, which was provided in mash form. Experiment 3 was conducted to determine AME\textsubscript{n} of SDAP and SBM. Chicks were fed a standard corn-SBM-based pretest diet from 0 to 6 d of age (Table 1). A 3 × 2 factorial arrangement of treatments (3 diets, 2 ages) was used to determine AME\textsubscript{n}. On d 7 of age, 126 chicks with a mean initial BW of 146 g were allotted to 6 replicate pens of 7 chicks per pen and fed 1 of 3 experimental diets, which consisted of a corn-SBM-based reference diet, and 2 diets in which the respective test ingredient (SDAP or SBM) was added at the expense of 30% of the complete reference diet (Table 1). Titanium dioxide was added to all diets as an indigestible marker. Chicks and feed were weighed for determination of weight gain and feed intake. Excreta were collected on d 9 and 10. Approximately 10 g of excreta were collected on trays covered with clean wax paper under the cages. On d 11, chicks were switched back to the corn-SBM-based pretest diet and the number of chicks per pen was randomly reduced from 7 to 5 to provide more space per bird for the remainder of the experiment. On d 18 of age, 90 chicks (6 replicate pens of 5 birds per pen) with a mean initial BW of 732 g were again fed 1 of the 3 experimental diets, with each pen receiving the same experimental diet as the earlier period (7–10 d of age). Chicks and feed were weighed for determination of weight gain and feed intake. Excreta were collected on d 20 and 21 and were freeze-dried, weighed, ground, and analyzed. The diets and freeze-dried excreta were analyzed for gross energy and nitrogen as described earlier and for titanium

### Table 1. Ingredient composition of pretest diet in Experiments 3 and 4, and corn-soybean meal-based reference diet in Experiment 3 (%; as-fed basis).

| Ingredient                  | Pretest diet | Reference diet |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Corn                        | 52.85        | 58.39          |
| Soybean meal                | 37.50        | 35.35          |
| Pork meat and bone meal     | 2.00         |                |
| Soybean oil                 | 4.00         |                |
| Limestone                   | 1.10         | 1.12           |
| Dicalcium phosphate         | 1.50         |                |
| Salt                        | 0.40         | 0.45           |
| L-Lys HCl                   | 0.17         |                |
| DL-Met                      | 0.20         | 0.30           |
| L-Thr                       | 0.08         |                |
| Vitamin mix\(^1\)          | 0.20         | 0.20           |
| Mineral mix\(^2\)          | 0.15         | 0.15           |
| Choline chloride (60%)      | 0.10         | 0.10           |
| Phytase\(^3\)              | 0.01         |                |
| TiO\(_2\)                   | 0.40         |                |
| **Analized values**         |              |                |
| DM                          | 87.6         |                |
| Crude protein               | 18.3         |                |
| Fat                         | 2.87         |                |
| Ash                         | 5.65         |                |
| Neutral detergent fiber     | 7.23         |                |
| Calcium                     | 0.95         |                |
| Total phosphorus            | 0.56         |                |
| Sodium                      | 0.18         |                |

\(^1\)Provided per kilogram of diet: retinyl acetate, 4,400 IU; cholecalciferol, 25\(\mu\)g; DL-\(\alpha\)-tocopheryl acetate, 11 IU; vitamin B\(_{12}\), 0.01 mg; riboflavin, 4.41 mg; D-pantothenic acid, 10 mg; niacin, 22 mg; menadione sodium bisulfate, 2.33 mg.

\(^2\)Provided as milligrams per kilogram of diet: manganese, 75 from MnSO\(_4\)\(_2\)\(_5\)H\(_2\)O; iron, 75 from FeSO\(_4\)\(_3\)\(_3\)H\(_2\)O; zinc, 75 from ZnO; copper, 5 from CuSO\(_4\)\(_3\)\(_5\)H\(_2\)O; iodine, 75 from ethylene diamine dihydroiodide; selenium, 0.1 from Na\(_2\)SeO\(_3\).

\(^3\)Optiphos 2000 (Huvepharma; Sofia, Bulgaria). Supplied 300 FTU/kg of phytase.
(Myers et al., 2004). The AMEₙ of each diet was then calculated at both 10 and 21 d of age using the method of Hill and Anderson (1958) and the AMEₙ of the SDAP and SBM were calculated by the difference procedure, using the method of Han et al. (1976).

\[
\text{AME}_n \text{ of diets (kcal/g)} = \text{Ediet} - \text{Eexcreta} - 8.22 \times \text{nitrogen retained}
\]

where Ediet = gross energy of diet (kcal/g); Eexcreta = gross energy in excreta (kcal/g) × [titanium in diet (%) / titanium in excreta (%)]; nitrogen retained (per g of diet) = nitrogen (per gram of diet) − [nitrogen (per g of excreta) × titanium in diet (%) /titanium in excreta (%)].

The AMEₙ values for the SDAP and SBM specifically were then calculated by difference using the equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{AME}_n \text{ for SDAP and SBM}(\text{kcal/g}) = & \text{AME}_n \text{ of corn} - \text{SBM reference diet} \\
& - [(\text{AME}_n \text{ of corn} - \text{SBM reference diet} \\
& - \text{AME}_n \text{ of test SDAP or SBM diet})]/ \\
& \text{portion of SDAP or SBM substituted into the corn – SBM reference diet} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Experiment 4 was conducted to determine apparent ileal AA digestibility (AIAAD) and SIAAD of SDAP and SBM. Chicks were fed a standard corn-SBM-based pretest diet from 0 to 6 d of age (Table 1). A 2 × 2 factorial arrangement of treatments (2 diets, 2 ages) was used. For the first experimental period, on d 7 of age, 168 chicks with a mean initial BW of 112 g were allotted to 12 replicate pens of 7 chicks per pen and fed 1 of 2 experimental diets consisting of a cornstarch-dextrose-SDAP diet and a cornstarch-dextrose-SBM diet from 7 to 10 d of age (Table 2). Both diets were formulated to contain 20% dietary protein, with SDAP or test SBM as the only source of protein, and titanium dioxide was added as an indigestible marker. On d 10, chicks were euthanized using CO₂ gas and the digesta contents from the ileum (Meckel’s diverticulum to the ileal-cecal junction) were collected using a combination of flushing with water and gentle squeezing, and freeze-dried. Ileal digesta from 2 replicate pens were pooled together to provide enough sample for analysis, yielding 6 replicate pen values for treatment for statistical analysis.

For the second experimental period (18–21 d of age), chicks were fed a standard corn-SBM-based pretest diet from 0 to 17 d of age (Table 1). On d 18 of age, 60 chicks with a mean initial BW of 512 g were allotted to 6 replicate pens of 5 chicks per pen and fed 1 of the same 2 experimental diets (Table 2). On d 21, chicks were euthanized using CO₂ gas and the digesta contents from the ileum (Meckel’s diverticulum to the ileal-cecal junction) were collected using a combination of flushing with water and gentle squeezing, and freeze-dried. Diets and freeze-dried ileal digesta collected on 10 and 21 d of age were analyzed for AA and titanium. The AIAAD and SIAAD values were calculated as shown below:

\[
\text{AIAAD(%) = } \left[ \frac{\text{AAdiet − AAlealdigesta}}{\text{AAdiet}} \right] \times 100
\]

where AAdiet = AA in the diet (%); AA ileal digesta = AA in ileal digesta (%) × titanium in diet (%) /titanium in ileal digesta (%).

The AIAAD values were then standardized using the basal ileal endogenous AA flow values (IEAA; mg/kg DM intake) for 21 d old broiler chickens fed a nitrogen-free diet from the study of Adedokun et al. (2007) and then the SIAAD values were calculated as described by Adedokun et al. (2009):

\[
\text{SIAAD(%) = AIAAD(%) } + [100 \times \text{basal IEAA flow (g/kg DM intake)/AA in diet (g/kgDM)}]
\]

### Statistical Analysis

Data from all assays were subjected to ANOVA (SAS Institute; Cary, NC) for a completely randomized design. For Experiments 1 and 2, the statistical significance of differences between individual treatments was assessed using the P value for the model in the ANOVA.
since there were only 2 treatments. The experimental unit was the individual rooster. For Experiment 3, growth performance data and AMEn values for diets were analyzed as a 3 × 2 factorial arrangement of treatments with diet (reference diet, 30% SDAP, and 30% SBM) and age (d 7–10 and d 18–21) as main effect variables. For AMEn of ingredients in Experiment 3 and SIAAD in Experiment 4, data were analyzed as a 2 × 2 factorial arrangement of treatments with ingredient (SDAP and SBM) and age (d 7–10 and d 18–21) as main effect variables. In Experiments 3 and 4, the pen served as the experimental unit. Also, for Experiments 3 and 4, pairwise treatment comparisons were conducted using the least significant difference test (Carmer and Walker, 1985) when the interaction between main effects was significant. The probability level for significant differences for all comparisons was considered at $P < 0.05$.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Nutrient Composition**

Table 3 contains the analyzed nutrient composition of SDAP and SBM. Crude protein values for SDAP and SBM were similar to the values reported in NRC (2012; 1994) at 84.6% and 53.7%, respectively. As expected, SBM contained a greater level of fiber than SDAP. The ingredients were also analyzed for P, with SDAP and SBM containing levels similar to what is reported in the NRC (1994; 2012). Crude fat in SDAP was much lower than a previously reported value for dried bovine plasma of 1.5% fat (Howell and Lawrie, 1983). Compared with values reported by King et al. (2005), SDAP used in the current study was greater in DM, CP, and P, but similarly very low in crude fat. The reason for the difference in composition for some nutrients and components for SDAP among studies is unknown.

Table 3. Analyzed composition and TME<sub>n</sub> of spray-dried animal plasma and soybean meal in Experiment 1 (DM basis<sup>1</sup>).

|                        | Spray-dried animal plasma<sup>2</sup> | Soybean meal<sup>2</sup> | SEM |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| Crude protein (%)      | 84.7                                 | 52.4                     |     |
| Crude fat (%)          | 0.02                                 | 0.54                     |     |
| Acid detergent fiber (%) | 0.7                             | 9.8                      |     |
| Neutral detergent fiber (%) | 3.1                                            | 10.7                     |     |
| P (%)                  | 1.41                                 | 0.67                     |     |
| Ash (%)                | 8.62                                 | 6.92                     |     |
| Gross energy (kcal/kg) | 5,192                                | 4,741                    |     |
| TME<sub>n</sub> (kcal/kg)<sup>2,3</sup> | 3,743                               | 2,669                    | 114 |

<sup>1</sup>DM values for spray-dried animal plasma and soybean meal were 92.9% and 90.0%, respectively.

<sup>2</sup>Spray-dried animal plasma and soybean meal were fed to conventional roosters as a 50% blend with corn; TME<sub>n</sub> values were calculated by the difference procedure, factoring out the corn contribution.

<sup>3</sup>Values are means of 4 individually-caged conventional roosters. The probability value for the model from the ANOVA was $P < 0.0001$ indicating that the TME<sub>n</sub> of spray-dried animal plasma was significantly higher than soybean meal.

**Experiment 1**

Gross energy was numerically greater for SDAP compared with SBM (Table 3). In a study using swine, Almeida et al. (2013) evaluated SDAP and obtained a gross energy of 5,173 kcal/kg DM, which is similar to SDAP in the current study. The TME<sub>n</sub> of SDAP was greater ($P < 0.05$) than SBM, and the greater TME<sub>n</sub> value obtained in Experiment 1 for SDAP than SBM using the precision-fed rooster assay is similar to the results by Norberg et al. (2004), who obtained TME<sub>n</sub> values for plasma protein and SBM in ducks of 3,555 and 2,930 kcal/kg DM, respectively. The higher TME<sub>n</sub> content of SDAP compared with SBM is probably due mainly to the much higher digestible protein content and lower fiber content of SDAP (Table 3).

**Experiment 2**

Table 4 contains standardized AA digestibility values and digestible AA concentrations for SDAP and SBM determined using the precision-fed rooster assay. Standardized digestibility values for all AA in SDAP were greater ($P < 0.05$) than SBM. Likewise, mean AA digestibility for SDAP was greater than SBM (94% and 86%, respectively). The largest differences for standardized AA digestibility between SDAP and SBM were for Cys, Ala, Thr, and Val, all of which were at least 10 percentage units greater for SDAP. Due to its greater total AA content and standardized AA digestibility values, SDAP was calculated to contain greater concentrations of digestible AA than SBM.

Standardized AA digestibility values of SDAP in this experiment were somewhat greater than SIAAD values listed for blood plasma for pigs (NRC, 2012), including Met (84%), Cys (85%), Lys (87%), Thr (80%), Ile (85%), Val (82%), Trp (92%), and Arg (91%). These differences may be due to differences in species or ingredient batch and/or processing method. Standardized AA digestibility values determined herein for SBM were generally lower than values for poultry in the NRC (1994). The reason for the somewhat lower values in the current study is unknown.

Almeida et al. (2013) evaluated the SIAAD of several blood products (spray-dried animal blood, spray-dried blood cells, and spray-dried plasma protein) in pigs. When fed to weanling pigs, the mean SIAAD for total AA was found to be high for the spray-dried animal blood, spray-dried blood cells, and spray-dried plasma protein (100%, 95%, and 98%, respectively). These high SIAAD values with pigs are in agreement with high standardized AA digestibility values for SDAP in cecotomized roosters from Experiment 2.

**Experiment 3**

Weight gain and feed intake were affected ($P < 0.05$) by diet and age, and there were significant interactions for both. However, no differences ($P > 0.05$) between birds fed the SDAP and SBM diets were noted, with the
Table 4. Total amino acids, standardized amino acid digestibility values, and digestible amino acid concentrations for spray-dried animal plasma and soybean meal in Experiment 2 (% DM basis).

| Amino acid | Total | Digest. value | Digest. conc. | Total | Digest. value | Digest. conc. | SEM |
|------------|-------|---------------|---------------|-------|---------------|---------------|-----|
| Met        | 1.03  | 91            | 0.94          | 0.80  | 83            | 0.67          | 1.4 |
| Cys        | 2.94  | 94            | 2.75          | 0.79  | 76            | 0.60          | 1.6 |
| Lys        | 7.61  | 93            | 7.07          | 3.32  | 85            | 2.81          | 1.1 |
| Thr        | 5.54  | 95            | 5.25          | 2.07  | 83            | 1.72          | 0.9 |
| Val        | 5.97  | 95            | 5.68          | 2.54  | 85            | 2.16          | 1.2 |
| Arg        | 4.95  | 95            | 4.70          | 3.66  | 90            | 3.30          | 0.8 |
| Ile        | 2.71  | 91            | 2.48          | 2.61  | 86            | 2.25          | 1.0 |
| Asp        | 8.60  | 94            | 8.06          | 5.97  | 87            | 5.17          | 0.6 |
| Ser        | 5.23  | 94            | 4.89          | 2.26  | 85            | 1.91          | 0.8 |
| Glu        | 11.91 | 95            | 11.26         | 9.39  | 90            | 8.46          | 0.6 |
| Pro        | 4.52  | 95            | 4.28          | 2.52  | 87            | 2.20          | 1.0 |
| Ala        | 4.11  | 93            | 3.83          | 2.29  | 81            | 1.86          | 1.4 |
| Leu        | 8.01  | 95            | 7.60          | 4.01  | 86            | 3.43          | 1.1 |
| Tyr        | 4.26  | 95            | 4.05          | 1.72  | 86            | 1.47          | 1.0 |
| Phe        | 4.49  | 95            | 4.25          | 2.66  | 87            | 2.32          | 1.0 |
| His        | 2.59  | 93            | 2.42          | 1.37  | 87            | 1.19          | 0.8 |
| Trp        | 1.70  | 97            | 1.64          | 0.77  | 92            | 0.71          | 0.4 |
| Mean       | 94    |               |               |       |               |               |     |

1Spray-dried animal plasma and soybean meal were fed to cecctomized roosters as a 50% blend with corn; amino acid digestibility values were calculated by the difference procedure, factoring out the corn contribution.
2Standardized digestibility values are means of 4 individually caged cecctomized roosters.
3Digestible concentrations = (total × standardized digestibility values)/100.
4SEM for standardized digestibility values. The probability value for the model from the ANOVA was P < 0.0001 for all amino acids, indicating that standardized digestibility values for all amino acids in spray-dried animal plasma were significantly higher than soybean meal.

As mentioned earlier, the AMEn on d 10 and 21 were greater (P < 0.05) for the diet containing 30% SDAP than the diet containing 30% test SBM and the reference diet (Table 5). Similarly, for the ingredients, AMEn for SDAP was greater (P < 0.05) than SBM on d 10 and d 21. In addition, AMEn for both ingredients increased (P < 0.05) with age. However, although the increase in AMEn for SBM between 10 and 21 d was numerically greater than that of SDAP, the interaction of ingredient and age was not significant (P > 0.05).

As mentioned earlier, the AMEn on d 10 and 21 for SBM were 2,089 and 2,849 kcal/kg DM, respectively; the former value is considerably lower, and the latter value is slightly greater, than the value of 2,711 kcal/kg DM reported in the NRC (1994). The reason for the d 10 value being lower than the NRC (1994) value is probably due to the reduced ability to digest nutrients and lower AMEn values for a corn-SBM diet at young ages as previously reported by Noy and Sklan (1995) and Batal and Parsons (2002).

A factor that could have possibly affected AMEn values is that the chicks used for the determination of AMEn at 21 d had been fed the SDAP and SBM test diets earlier from 7 to 10 d of age. Thus, it is possible that there could have been some carryover effect of the diets fed from 7 to 10 d on the AMEn values determined at 21 d. The older birds had been fed a corn-SBM diet from 10 to 17 d an attempt to minimize any such possible carryover effects. This 7 d feeding period for the corn-SBM diet exceeded the 2-d feeding period used previously in Latin Square design experiments to determine digestibility of AA in different diets for laying hens (Zuber and Rodelutsord, 2017; Zuber et al., 2017). In addition, there were no significant differences in BW gain for either the 7 to 10 d or 18 to 21 d feeding periods between chicks fed the 30% SDAP or 30% SBM diets.

Table 5. Weight gain, feed intake, and AMEn values for spray-dried animal plasma and soybean meal in Experiment 3.

| Response         | Age      | Reference diet  | 30% SDAP | 30% Test SBM | SEM      | Probability, P |
|------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|----------|----------------|
| Weight gain, g/chick | d 7 to 10 | 157.8<sup>b</sup> | 155.9<sup>c</sup> | 134.5<sup>c</sup> | 7.5      | 0.0022 <0.0001 0.0003 |
|                   | d 18 to 21 | 163.9<sup>b</sup> | 222.9<sup>a</sup> | 202.2<sup>c</sup> | 8.8      | 0.0007 <0.0001 0.0254 |
| Feed intake, g/chick | d 7 to 10 | 204.5<sup>c</sup> | 148.3<sup>c</sup> | 167.0<sup>c</sup> | 8.8      | 0.0007 <0.0001 0.0254 |
|                   | d 18 to 21 | 292.5<sup>a</sup> | 273.3<sup>b</sup> | 303.8<sup>c</sup> | 28       | <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0068 |
| AMEn<sub>0</sub> of diets, kcal/kg as-fed | d 7 to 10 | 2.756<sup>c</sup> | 3.003<sup>b</sup> | 2.493<sup>c</sup> | 28       | <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0068 |
|                   | d 18 to 21 | 2.793<sup>c</sup> | 3.130<sup>a</sup> | 2.724<sup>c</sup> | 28       | <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0068 |
| AMEn<sub>0</sub> of ingred. kcal/kg DM | d 7 to 10 | 3.180<sup>b</sup> | 3.851      | 2.089      | 109      | <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0097 |
|                   | d 18 to 21 | –               | 4.239      | 2.849      |          |                 |

Values within the same response criteria (across column and row) with no common superscript differ (P < 0.05).
1Response values for d 7 to 10 of age for spray-dried animal plasma (SDAP) and soybean meal (SBM) are means of 6 replicates of 7 chicks per pen. Response values for d 18 to 21 of age for spray-dried animal plasma (SDAP) and soybean meal (SBM) are means of 6 replicates of 5 chicks per pen.
2Response values for d 7 to 10 of age for spray-dried animal plasma (SDAP) and soybean meal (SBM) are means of 6 replicates of 5 chicks per pen.
3Response values for d 10 and 21 of age for spray-dried animal plasma (SDAP) and soybean meal (SBM) are means of 6 replicates of 5 chicks per pen.
4SEM for standardized digestibility values. The probability value for the model from the ANOVA was P < 0.0001 for all amino acids, indicating that standardized digestibility values for all amino acids in spray-dried animal plasma were significantly higher than soybean meal.
Thus, these collective observations support that any car- 
yover effects of feeding the SDAP or SBM diets from 7 
to 10 d, if occurring, were not large.

The rooster assay (Experiment 1) may have underes-
timated the energy difference between SDAP and SBM 
for chicks, and particularly young chicks. There was a 
1,074 kcal/kg DM difference in TME<sub>n</sub> for the SDAP and 
SBM in roosters in Experiment 1. Numerically greater 
and significant differences in AME<sub>n</sub> between SDAP and 
SBM were observed in chicks (Experiment 3), with the 
difference being 1,762 and 1,390 kcal/kg DM at d 10 and 
21, respectively. These observations are not unexpected 
because previous studies have shown that energy digest-
ibility or AME<sub>n</sub> increases with age during the first 2 to 3 
weeks after hatching (Noy and Sklan, 1995; Batal and 
Parsons, 2002). Thus, AME<sub>n</sub> of the less digestible SBM 
would be expected to be substantially greater in the 
adult roosters than the chicks, particularly at the young-
est age of 10 d. The latter difference would be expected 
to be smaller for the more highly digestible SDAP. The 
results of this experiment indicate that SDAP is a highly 
digestible energy source for broiler chicks even at a young age and, thus, may be a particularly good ingredi-
ent in diets of very young chicks. Interestingly, even 
though SDAP had a high AME<sub>n</sub> at 10 d, the AME<sub>n</sub> of 
SDAP increased with age between 10 and 21 d.

**Experiment 4**

All AIAAD (Table 6) and SIAAD (Table 7) values for 
SDAP were greater (P < 0.05) than values for SBM at d 
10, with SDAP having a mean AIAAD of 94% compared 
with 82% for SBM. Similar results were observed for d 
21, with SDAP and SBM having mean AIAAD values of 
95% and 85%, respectively. Thus, as observed in Experi-
ment 2 with roosters, large differences for AA digestibil-
ity between SDAP and SBM were observed. The 
AIAAD values compared with SIAAD values for SDAP 
and the AIAAD values compared with SIAAD values 
for SBM at the same bird age were similar, with mean 
AA digestibility values differing by only 2 percentage 
units.

The AIAAD and SIAAD values at d 10 were lower 
(P < 0.05) than values at d 21 for SBM, but only small 
differences were generally observed between ages for 
SDAP (Tables 6 and 7, respectively). There were signifi-
cant main effects (P < 0.05) of ingredient and age for all 
AA. In addition, significant interactions (P < 0.05) 
between age and ingredient were observed for Cys, Thr, 
Val, Ser, Tyr, and Trp. Why there was a significant 
interaction for some AA and not others is unknown. The 
interaction occurred because the age-related increase in 
AIAAD and SIAAD was larger for SBM than SDAP. 
Adedokun et al. (2008) found that the effect of age on 
SIAAD values varied among ingredients, with values 
being increased with age for corn and DDGS but not for 
SBM and canola meal. The results of this experiment 
indicate that SDAP has higher AIAAD and SIAAD 
(P < 0.05) compared with SBM at both d 10 and d 21,
Table 6. Apparent ileal amino acid digestibility values and digestible amino acid concentrations for spray-dried animal plasma and soybean meal determined at d 10 and d 21 of age in Experiment 4 (% DM basis).

| Amino acid | d 10 of age<sup>1</sup> | d 21 of age<sup>2</sup> |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|            | Digest. value   | Digest. conc.   | Digest. value | Digest. conc. | Digest. value | Digest. conc. |
| Met        | 92              | 0.94            | 84            | 0.67          | 95            | 0.98          | 89            | 0.71          | 1.1           | <0.0001 | 0.0003 | 0.4214 |
| Cys        | 95<sup>a</sup>  | 2.78            | 63<sup>c</sup>| 0.50          | 94<sup>b</sup>| 2.76          | 70<sup>b</sup>| 0.55          | 0.9           | <0.0001 | 0.0026 | 0.0003 |
| Lys        | 95              | 7.22            | 82            | 2.74          | 96            | 7.34          | 87            | 2.90          | 0.9           | <0.0001 | 0.0013 | 0.0654 |
| Thr        | 92<sup>a</sup>  | 5.12            | 74<sup>e</sup>| 1.54          | 93<sup>a</sup>| 5.15          | 79<sup>b</sup>| 1.64          | 0.7           | <0.0001 | 0.0013 | 0.0074 |
| Val        | 94<sup>a</sup>  | 5.59            | 80<sup>e</sup>| 2.03          | 94<sup>a</sup>| 5.61          | 83<sup>b</sup>| 2.11          | 0.7           | <0.0001 | 0.0100 | 0.0338 |
| Arg        | 91              | 4.68            | 89            | 3.27          | 97            | 4.79          | 92            | 3.35          | 0.7           | <0.0001 | 0.0025 | 0.9676 |
| Ile        | 91              | 2.47            | 82            | 2.15          | 94            | 2.54          | 86            | 2.23          | 0.8           | <0.0001 | 0.0025 | 0.7452 |
| Asp        | 93              | 8.03            | 81            | 4.80          | 94            | 8.05          | 83            | 4.94          | 0.6           | <0.0001 | 0.0524 | 0.1048 |
| Ser        | 94<sup>a</sup>  | 4.88            | 79<sup>f</sup>| 1.79          | 93<sup>a</sup>| 4.85          | 82<sup>b</sup>| 1.86          | 0.7           | <0.0001 | 0.0475 | 0.0114 |
| Glu        | 94              | 11.19           | 88            | 8.21          | 96            | 11.37         | 89            | 8.40          | 0.6           | <0.0001 | 0.0072 | 0.7110 |
| Pro        | 93              | 4.21            | 83            | 2.10          | 94            | 4.23          | 85            | 2.15          | 0.5           | <0.0001 | 0.0297 | 0.1189 |
| Ala        | 94              | 3.85            | 81            | 1.85          | 95            | 3.92          | 85            | 1.94          | 0.8           | <0.0001 | 0.0010 | 0.1409 |
| Leu        | 95              | 7.61            | 83            | 3.32          | 96            | 7.70          | 86            | 3.43          | 0.7           | <0.0001 | 0.0060 | 0.2290 |
| Tyr        | 95<sup>a</sup>  | 4.05            | 83<sup>e</sup>| 1.43          | 96<sup>c</sup>| 4.08          | 86<sup>b</sup>| 1.48          | 0.6           | <0.0001 | 0.0026 | 0.0433 |
| Phe        | 94              | 4.24            | 84            | 2.24          | 95            | 4.28          | 87            | 2.31          | 0.6           | <0.0001 | 0.0104 | 0.2741 |
| His        | 95              | 2.45            | 85            | 1.16          | 96            | 2.48          | 87            | 1.20          | 0.6           | <0.0001 | 0.0048 | 0.1600 |
| Trp        | 96<sup>a</sup>  | 1.63            | 85<sup>e</sup>| 0.65          | 96<sup>a</sup>| 1.64          | 89<sup>b</sup>| 0.68          | 0.6           | <0.0001 | 0.0012 | 0.0082 |
| Mean       | 94              | 82              | 85            |               |               |               |               |               |               | <0.0001 | 0.0003 | 0.4214 |

<sup>a</sup>Digestibility values within the same row with no common superscripts differ ($P < 0.05$).

<sup>1</sup>Apparent digestibility values at d 10 of age for spray-dried animal plasma (SDAP) and soybean meal (SBM) are means of 6 replicates; each replicate consists of a pooled sample from 2 pens of 7 chicks per pen.

<sup>2</sup>Apparent digestibility values at d 21 of age are means of 6 replicate pens of 5 chicks per pen.

<sup>3</sup>Digestible concentrations = (total (Table 4 AA concentration × apparent digestibility value)/100.

<sup>4</sup>SEM and probability values for apparent digestibility values; analyzed as a 2 × 2 factorial arrangement of treatments, with 2 ingredients and 2 ages.
Table 7. Standardized ileal amino acid digestibility values and digestible amino acid concentrations for spray-dried animal plasma and soybean meal determined at d 10 and d 21 of age in Experiment 4 (% DM basis).

| Amino acid | SDAP d 10 of age | Digest. value | Digest. conc. | Digest. value | Digest. conc. |
|------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Met        | 94               | 0.97          | 86            | 0.68          |
| Cys        | 97               | 2.84          | 67            | 0.53          |
| Lys        | 96               | 7.30          | 84            | 2.78          |
| Thr        | 95               | 5.24          | 78            | 1.61          |
| Val        | 95               | 5.89          | 82            | 2.09          |
| Arg        | 96               | 4.76          | 91            | 3.31          |
| Ile        | 94               | 2.54          | 84            | 2.20          |
| Asp        | 95               | 8.18          | 82            | 4.89          |
| Ser        | 96               | 8.89          | 82            | 1.85          |
| Glu        | 96               | 11.37         | 89            | 8.32          |
| Pro        | 95               | 4.31          | 86            | 2.16          |
| Ala        | 96               | 3.93          | 83            | 1.90          |
| Leu        | 96               | 7.71          | 84            | 3.38          |
| Tyr        | 97               | 4.11          | 85            | 1.46          |
| Phe        | 96               | 4.30          | 86            | 2.28          |
| His        | 95               | 2.49          | 86            | 1.17          |
| Trp        | 97               | 1.64          | 86            | 0.66          |
| Mean       | 96               | 84            | 87            |

| Amino acid | SBM d 10 of age | Digest. value | Digest. conc. | Digest. value | Digest. conc. |
|------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Met        | 94              | 86            | 0.68          |
| Cys        | 97              | 67            | 0.53          |
| Lys        | 96              | 84            | 2.78          |
| Thr        | 95              | 78            | 1.61          |
| Val        | 95              | 82            | 2.09          |
| Arg        | 96              | 91            | 3.31          |
| Ile        | 94              | 84            | 2.20          |
| Asp        | 95              | 82            | 4.89          |
| Ser        | 96              | 82            | 1.85          |
| Glu        | 96              | 89            | 8.32          |
| Pro        | 95              | 86            | 2.16          |
| Ala        | 96              | 83            | 1.90          |
| Leu        | 96              | 84            | 3.38          |
| Tyr        | 97              | 85            | 1.46          |
| Phe        | 96              | 86            | 2.28          |
| His        | 95              | 86            | 1.17          |
| Trp        | 97              | 86            | 0.66          |
| Mean       | 96              | 84            | 87            |

| Amino acid | SDAP d 21 of age | Digest. value | Digest. conc. | Digest. value | Digest. conc. |
|------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Met        | 97               | 1.01          | 91            | 0.73          |
| Cys        | 96               | 7.30          | 84            | 2.78          |
| Lys        | 97               | 7.41          | 89            | 2.94          |
| Thr        | 95               | 5.27          | 86            | 1.70          |
| Val        | 96               | 5.71          | 83            | 2.18          |
| Arg        | 98               | 4.87          | 93            | 3.39          |
| Ile        | 96               | 2.61          | 87            | 2.28          |
| Asp        | 95               | 8.19          | 84            | 5.02          |
| Ser        | 95               | 4.97          | 85            | 1.92          |
| Glu        | 97               | 11.55         | 91            | 8.51          |
| Pro        | 96               | 4.33          | 87            | 2.20          |
| Ala        | 97               | 4.00          | 87            | 1.99          |
| Leu        | 98               | 7.81          | 87            | 3.50          |
| Tyr        | 97               | 4.14          | 88            | 1.51          |
| Phe        | 97               | 4.35          | 88            | 2.34          |
| His        | 97               | 2.51          | 89            | 1.21          |
| Trp        | 97               | 1.65          | 90            | 0.69          |
| Mean       | 97               | 84            | 87            |

| Amino acid | SBM d 21 of age | Digest. value | Digest. conc. | Digest. value | Digest. conc. |
|------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Met        | 97              | 86            | 0.68          |
| Cys        | 96              | 67            | 0.53          |
| Lys        | 97              | 84            | 2.78          |
| Thr        | 95              | 78            | 1.61          |
| Val        | 95              | 82            | 2.09          |
| Arg        | 96              | 91            | 3.31          |
| Ile        | 94              | 84            | 2.20          |
| Asp        | 95              | 82            | 4.89          |
| Ser        | 96              | 82            | 1.85          |
| Glu        | 96              | 89            | 8.32          |
| Pro        | 95              | 86            | 2.16          |
| Ala        | 96              | 83            | 1.90          |
| Leu        | 96              | 84            | 3.38          |
| Tyr        | 97              | 85            | 1.46          |
| Phe        | 96              | 86            | 2.28          |
| His        | 95              | 86            | 1.17          |
| Trp        | 97              | 86            | 0.66          |
| Mean       | 96              | 84            | 87            |

| Digestibility value probability, $P^6$ | SEM $^4$ | Ingred. | Age | Ingred. x age |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------|-----|---------------|
| SDAP d 10 of age                      |          |         |     |               |
| $<0.0001$                             | 0.003    | 0.4209  |
| SDAP d 21 of age                      |          |         |     |               |
| $<0.0001$                             | 0.0014   | 0.0075  |
| SBM d 21 of age                       |          |         |     |               |
| $<0.0001$                             | 0.0100   | 0.0338  |

$^4$SEM and probability values for standardized digestibility values; analyzed as a 2 x 2 factorial arrangement of treatments, with 2 ingredients and 2 ages.

$^3$Digestible concentrations = (total (Table 4) AA concentration x standardized digestibility value)/100.

$^2$Standardized digestibility values at d 10 of age for spray-dried animal plasma (SDAP) and soybean meal (SBM) are means of 6 replicates; each replicate consists of a pooled sample from 2 pens of 7 chicks per pen.

$^1$Standardized digestibility values at d 21 of age are means of 6 replicate pens of 5 chicks per pen.

$^a$Digestibility values within the same row with no common superscripts differ ($P < 0.05$).
low inclusion level (1%) of spray-dried porcine plasma in chick diets during the first 10 d posthatch had beneficial performance and production effects, and that the increase in performance persisted until marketing.

In summary, these experiments indicate that SDAP is a highly digestible protein source for poultry diets. Due to the high AMEn and high SIAA observed even in young chicks (7–10 d), SDAP may be a particularly beneficial ingredient to include in chick starter diets.
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