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Introduction: The Side Effects of Vaccines

In this editorial I argue that the detection of extremely rare new side effects alone does not justify vaccine hesitancy among individuals or nations because any such stance must also consider the side effects of delaying vaccination. While there has been some limited discussion concerning the “risks” of not getting vaccinated, this language is insufficient; there are clear and identifiable effects of delaying vaccination on both the individual and the national level, many of them much more closely causatively linked to hesitancy than some of the supposed clotting “side effects” are to vaccines.

Despite some suggestions that vaccination against COVID-19 should be mandatory, governments globally have left the decision to individual citizens. In the United Kingdom, uptake has been very high, with over 90 per cent of priority groups receiving at least one vaccination by mid-April 2021. Yet some people prefer to either not get vaccinated at all or to “wait and see” how others fare, in case there are any unforeseen side effects. In light of widespread media coverage of blood clot concerns about two of the vaccines, such hesitancy might seem entirely justified. A person who initially refused vaccination might now say something like “my position has been vindicated; new side effects have been identified.”

Vaccines do have side effects; the most common ones associated with COVID vaccines is a sore arm or fever in the forty-eight hours after vaccination. But those are not the side effects that people appear to be most worried about. Rather, the concerns related to rare yet deadly side effects that could not be detected in a clinical trial due to the relatively low number of participants.

In the case of the Astra Zeneca vaccine, concerns were initially raised about a very small number of blood clots among millions of vaccinations. These were rightly investigated; it transpired that most of them would have occurred in the population anyway and that the relationship was correlatory rather than causal, meaning it was not really a side effect. Indeed, any causal link seemed likely to reduce the risk of blood clots; the European Medical Agency stated that the incidence of clots was actually lower in the vaccinated population than the general population (Mahase 2021a). However, there were even rarer cases of a combination of thrombosis and thrombocytopenia and bleeding and of disseminated...
intravascular coagulation (Mahase 2021a). These did seem to be side effects of the vaccine, though doubts remain as to its causative mechanism. (The Johnson & Johnson vaccine seems to have a similar very rare side effect [EMA 2021]). So, although most of the reported blood clots were not actually side effects, but would have occurred anyway, there is a tiny increased risk of getting one of these unusual blood clots. But as we shall see, that rare side effect pales into insignificance next to the very real side effects of not getting vaccinated or delaying vaccination.

The Side Effects of Individual Vaccine Hesitancy

Unsurprisingly, the main effect (not really a side effect) of not being vaccinated is not being protected against COVID-19. This in turn has two main side effects; it increases your risk of getting COVID-19 and giving COVID-19 to someone else. And COVID-19, of course, increases one’s risk of getting sick and dying. All these side effects endure as long as you are not vaccinated.

Often (indeed predominantly) the narrative about hesitancy is framed in terms of the pros and cons for oneself; “I’m not at high risk of dying of COVID and I’m worried about the potential side effects of the vaccine, so I’ll wait and see.” This might seem reckless but nonetheless remains a matter of individual choice. But this stance is not only ethically problematic in terms of letting others carry the risk burden if there do turn out to be any side effects; it is also problematic in terms of posing risk to others; if I remain unvaccinated for several months there is a risk I’ll get COVID, and if I do get it, there is a 50 per cent chance that I’ll be asymptomatic and pass it on to someone else. And the “I’m not at high risk so I’ll wait” argument is even more problematic if the person advancing it is, for example, a health worker who risks giving the virus to highly vulnerable patients, potentially leading to their deaths. It is an open question whether health workers who refuse vaccination overlook this rather important side effect, or regard it as unpersuasive.

The side effects of getting COVID and passing it on among unvaccinated people are vastly more likely to occur than any blood clot side effect among the vaccinated. The risk of getting a blood clot is literally one in a million, and if you get COVID your risk of dying is about 1 in 100 (varying with age); also, even if you don’t die, getting COVID increases your risk of blood clots. To take another example (and depending on prevalence), for those aged 70 and over, the risk of getting infected and then dying from COVID is approximately 1 in 1000 (Spiegelhalter 2020), as opposed to 1 in a million for a vaccine-related blood clot (Mahase 2021b). This ratio does change for younger people; for those aged 30, it’s around 1 in 100,000. But that still makes dying from COVID ten times more likely than getting a dangerous blood clot that is nonetheless treatable; furthermore, given the EMA’s statement mentioned above, it is possible that those who delayed getting a vaccine were increasing rather than decreasing their risk of getting a blood clot. Nonetheless, the U.K. Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunity (JCVI) in the United Kingdom ultimately decided that people aged under thirty should not be given the Astra Zeneca vaccine, and that those aged 30–39 should be able to choose an alternative, where one was available. This decision itself may have been motivated by a wish to avoid vaccine hesitancy rather than purely on the relative risks just discussed.

Furthermore, there are additional potential side effects related to vaccine hesitancy. As well as increasing the risk of infection for themselves and others, people who decide at the last minute not to get vaccinated increase wastage of vaccine doses which most often must be used on the day; thus they can deny or delay a vaccine dose to others who could have had it sooner. Each case of delayed vaccination also increases (marginally) the risk of another wave of COVID and of the risk that a new variant will arise in the population.

Finally, the risks associated with getting and passing on COVID are not the only side effects of not getting vaccinated. One notable side effect of vaccine hesitancy is that, if one’s stance is communicated, it can create doubt and hesitancy in others, increasing the risk that other people will delay or refuse vaccination. (It is an unfortunate irony that hesitancy, like fake news, is highly communicable, just like a virus [Shaw 2020].)

Another point worth mentioning is that some people are hesitant about a particular vaccine but happy to receive another; for example, some people would prefer to get the Pfizer vaccine rather than the Astra Zeneca one, not because of blood clot concerns but
because of perceived higher efficacy or even a per-
verse “vaccine nationalism” (Mercer 2021). Quite
apart from the fact that allowing everyone to choose
between vaccines would present substantial logistical
challenges, there is a more serious problem here; any-
one who wants to wait for a different vaccine exposes
themselves to all the side effects mentioned above,
out of concern not about vaccine side effects in gen-
eral but about one vaccine in particular. In that sense,
vaccine particularism makes even less sense in terms
of risk analysis than “mainstream” vaccine hesitancy.

The Side Effects of Vaccine Hesitancy Nationalism

Just as the individual who is hesitant about vac-
cination cites concerns about side effects, so many
nations—or rather, their medical agencies—paused
vaccination with Astra Zeneca and Johnson & John-
son vaccines “as a precaution” while clotting con-
cerns were investigated. Note that the suggestion is
not that such investigations should not take place;
they can and must do so. However, pausing vaccina-
tion has such severe side effects on the public health
response to the pandemic that it cannot be justified by
concerns about a potential rare side effect that (at the
time) could have been explained by correlation rather
than causation—in which case it was not actually a
side effect at all, as mentioned above.

The specific side effects of vaccine hesitancy
nationalism are very similar to those that occur at the
individual level, except exponentially worse because
of the number of people directly affected. If vaccina-
tion pauses for one week, tens of thousands of people
will remain unvaccinated during that period, meaning
thousands more cases of COVID and hundreds more
deaths; each of those cases is likely to lead to one or
two others, depending on the local R number. In the
European Union alone, eighteen countries including
France, Germany, Italy, and Spain temporarily sus-
pended vaccination with this vaccine (Holmes 2021),
meaning that hundreds of thousands of people had
their doses delayed and millions had their confidence
in the vaccine unnecessarily shaken.

However, there is one highly significant side effect
that occurs at the national level but not at the individ-
ual one: pausing a vaccination campaign also denies a
dose to those who want and need it. If one person in a
country refuses to get vaccinated and dies as a result,
that is his problem; hopefully he did not take anyone
with him. But if a country pauses vaccination, infec-
tion rates will rise, and many of those who get sick
die would have been perfectly happy to receive
their vaccination. Vaccine hesitancy denies vaccines
only to those who choose to delay vaccination; vac-
cine hesitancy nationalism denies vaccines to tens of
thousands who want to be vaccinated. This failure to
respect citizen’s autonomy can also cause them great
harm.

Conclusion

The irony of many concerns about vaccines is that
they relate to “side effects” that are not really side
effects but merely correlated events, while very seri-
ous causative side effects of refusing or delaying
vaccination are not considered at all. Anyone who
decides not to get vaccinated or to delay vaccination
without considering the serious side effects of doing
so makes a decision without sufficient information.
Anyone who does delay vaccination despite consider-
ing that information would have to have a very good
justification for doing so given the risk that it poses to
their own and to public health. Similarly, any nation
that pauses vaccination programmes while extremely
rare side effects are investigated has probably caused
hundreds if not thousands of avoidable deaths by
increasing both the number of cases and the number
of people who become hesitant about vaccines as a
result. Anyone who is hesitant about getting vac-
cinated should consider that inaction also has side
effects that can harm not only oneself, but also others.
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