Anatomy of Suprascapular Notch among North Indian Dry Scapulae: A Morphological Evaluation
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ABSTRACT

Background: The suprascapular notch (SSN) is a depression located in the lateral portion of the upper border of the scapula encroached by the superior transverse scapular ligament. It may be completely or partially ossified to transform the notch into a foramen which acts as a gateway for the passage of the suprascapular nerve.

Methods: Two hundred & ninety adult dry scapulae (132 right & 158 left) from the osteology museum of the Department of Anatomy, belonging to North Indian population of unknown sex were obtained for the variable morphologic pattern of SSN by subjective evaluation according to Rengachary’s classification. We have also examined the morphological variant of the ossified superior transverse suprascapular ligament (STSL).

Results: We have concluded six different morphological variations of SSN. Among them, the Type III SSN had the highest incidence of 41.7%. Morphological variability was noted for the ossified STSL: fan-shaped & band like; morphometric analysis was also performed for the completely ossified STSL. The incidence of different types of ossified STSL was 57.5% (fan-shaped); 42.2% (band-like) category respectively & the mean length, width, thickness of the STSL were 7.49 mm; 3.43 mm & 2.18 mm respectively. The mean length & width of complete suprascapular foramen were 7.36 mm and 4.39 mm respectively.

Conclusions: SSN shows highly variable morphological pictures, so the clinicians are desirable to be well versed with the diverse appearance of such anatomic variants of SSN on dry bones so that they can interpret its morphology on radiographs and MRI scans. This evaluation may be of great help for academicians and orthopaedic surgeons for the diagnosis & treatment modalities of suprascapular nerve entrapment syndrome.
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INTRODUCTION

The scapula, a flat triangular bone, articulates with the distal end of the clavicle and humeral head and has numerous muscular and ligamentous attachments.¹ Anatomical considerations are central in understanding specific abnormalities such as glenohumeral dislocation, entrapment neuropathies etc. Detailed morphological variations of different parts of the scapula have been obtained to provide information for surgical procedures such as hardwire fixation, arthroscopic portal placement, and treatment of nerve compression syndrome.¹ The bone bears a thick spinous process (spine of the scapula) in the dorsal surface which divides it into a small supraspinous fossa & large infra spinous fossa.² Along with the supraspinous fossa, the superior border; the thinnest & smallest margin of the scapula runs. Close to the root of the coracoid process, this thinnest upper border of the scapula is interrupted by a suprascapular notch.³ The notch is bridged by the superior transverse scapular ligament (STSL) which serves as a passage for the suprascapular nerve.⁴ The STSL sometimes gets partially or completely ossified converting the notch into a foramen. Whenever there is the formation of complete foramen; it becomes a potential source for the entrapment neuropathy.³,⁶ The suprascapular nerve is a mixed nerve that arises from the upper trunk of brachial plexus containing roots from C5 & C6.⁶,⁷ The nerve innervates the shoulder joint as well as the supraspinatus, infraspinatus muscles which make a bigger contribution in the musculotendinous cuff.⁵,⁷ Compression of the suprascapular nerve along its course can lead to entrapment neuropathy or suprascapular...
lar nerve entrapment syndrome (SNES), first described by Kopell & Thompson in 1959. They also described the clinical features of this neuropathy which comprises deep, dull, diffuse pain on the posterolateral part of the shoulder with weakened abduction of the shoulder joint. The root cause for the symptom was progressive atrophy of supraspinatus & infraspinatus muscle supplied by the suprascapular nerve. It has been observed that the morphological variation of SSN is one of the predisposing factors for the nerve entrapment, especially in players & athletes. Among the different classification of the SSN morphology, Rengachary’s classification is the most popular one which has been accepted by different population group throughout the world. So, knowledge for the SSN variations may be essential for the etiopathogenesis of the SNES. Not only variable morphology of the notch but also the different variety of STSL will also serve as a potential factor for the entrapment syndrome. The clinicians should be aware of this type of morphological variations while diagnosing SNES & performing nerve decompression as a part of treatment. To the best of our knowledge, most of the studies regarding this theory have been performed in the western population as well as southern India. Very few studies have been done in the North Indian population. So, it is expected that the study of morphological variations of SSN & ossified transverse scapular ligament in the North Indian population will serve as a reference base. Considering the increasing number of such cases, it is expected to facilitate the surgeons in the assessment and management of such patients of entrapment syndrome.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present observational study conducted on Two hundred and ninety adult dry scapulae of unknown sexes from the osteology museum, department of Anatomy. The exact age of the specimens was not known but was estimated as the mature adult individuals (the specimens were anonymised, randomly coded and delinked from any identity sources, ICMR National guidelines for biomedical & health research involving human participants, ICMR, 2017, sec 5, Box 5.2). The scapulae with broken or damaged superior border were excluded from the study. The general inspection was done to determine the Side of the dry scapulae. We performed subjective evaluation (visual inspection) on the scapulae for the morphologic pattern of suprascapular notch according to Rengachary’s classification which has been shown in Table 1.

Along with the various pattern of SSN, we have also examined the morphological variants and morphometric parameters of ossified STSL which convert the SSN into a foramen. The morphometric evaluation was performed by the help of a digital vernier calliper for the ossified STSL & suprascapular foramen. Proper photography was done for the documentation of the observations.

**Statistical analysis**

In the present study, data were analyzed in Microsoft Excel to determine the incidence as percentages. For each morphometric parameters, maximum; minimum; mean and standard deviation were also calculated. Though it was a descriptive type of analysis, so test of significance was not applied. Our observations for the incidence of different category of SSN & STSL were compared with other osteological studies performed on different population groups.

**RESULTS**

Among 290 adult dry scapulae studied, 132 bones belonged to right side & 158 bones were of the left side. By visual inspection, we have categorized six different morphological variations of SSN according to Rengachary’s classification. The incidence of the various types of SSN observed in the present study: Type I (24.82%), Type II (12%), Type III (41.7%), Type IV (10%), Type VI (11.37%). We did not observe any Type V SSN in our study. The result has been shown in Figure 1. The morphologic pattern of SSN has been displayed in Figure 2 (A: type I; B: type II; C: type III; D: type IV & E: type V; F: type VI).

We have observed the incidence of completely ossified STSL leading to suprascapular foramen in 33 scapulae (11.37%). Out of 33 scapulae, 19 scapulae (57.5%) was fan-shaped; 14 scapulae (42.2%) belonged to band like a category that has been shown in Figure 3. The mean value of ossified STSL length is 7.49mm; width is 3.43mm, the thickness is 2.18mm among the 33 scapulae with ossified STSL as mentioned in Table 2. The mean value of the length of the complete suprascapular foramen is 7.03mm & width is 4.39 mm. This has been represented in Table 3. Morphological variations of STSL have been displayed in Figure 4 (A band like; B fan-shaped).

**DISCUSSION**

Suprascapular nerve entrapment can occur at the SSN or the spinoglenoid notch. So, morphological variations of the SSN are associated with entrapment neuropathies & arthroscopic procedures. That is why the variations in the shape of notch & the course of the nerve is extremely important in realizing the etiopathogenesis of entrapment syndrome & these anatomic variations are considered to be a risk factor for suprascapular neuropathy. The oldest classification of the suprascapular notch was introduced by Hrdlicka et al., who separate the suprascapular notches into five types based on visual observations. Ticker et al. mentioned only about U and V-shaped notch types. Natsis et al. proposed a new
method of classification based on specific geometric parameters. The most popular & widely accepted classification is the Rengachary’s classification, who described the six types of notches performed among the Americans. Wang et al. in 2011, described four types of notches according to a simple method: that is the type I (wide depression); type II (transverse diameter of the notch is much more than vertical diameter); type III (vertical diameter is the longest); type IV (suprascapular foramen). Some of the authors also described different alphabetical shaped SSN among Indian population like shallow U, deep U, V-shaped, J shaped & slightly indented notch. In our study, the incidence was the highest in type III (Symmetrical ‘U’) followed by type I (a wide depression), then type II (wide blunt ‘V’). Our results agree with previous studies. Some of the authors Patil et al., Dusyant et al. have reported the highest incidence of type IV (pointed ‘V’ shaped). Most of the studies by other researchers have reported about partially ossified STSL leading to incomplete suprascapular foramen which was only 1.03% in our observation almost similar to Patil et al. Partial presence of suprascapular foramen was seen. We have reported complete foramen with ossified STSL in 11.37% scapulae. Incidence of different morphological variations of the suprascapular notch in different population has been displayed in Table 4.

The SSN is converted into a suprascapular foramen by the STSL through which the suprascapular nerve passes & suprascapular vessels pass above the foramen. Conventional textbooks have also reported about partial & completely ossified STSL as a cause of nerve entrapment syndrome leading to severe pain & disabilities. The incidence of such ossified anatomic structure has been documented in different states of the Indian population by other authors have been shown in Table 5.

Apart from the bony inspection, Das et al. in 2007 correlated the ossified STSL in the radiograph. In the present study, we have reported 11.37% of complete ossification of STSL. Our result agrees with Bayramoglu et al. in Turkish population. Among different regions in India, our result is almost the same as studies done by Jadhav et al. and Kharay et al. Our result for the incidence of suprascapular foramen has been analyzed with another population group that has been displayed in Table 6. Rest of the studies the incidence was quite less. Wang et al. reported unique scapulae with double suprascapular foramen. In 2015, the morphological variations of ossified STSL; fan-shaped & band like were only first observed by Polgju et al. in 2014. In India, it was described by Kharay et al. in 2016. In 2019, our study has highlighted the variable morphology of ossified STSL as fan-shaped & band like among the North Indian which has been shown in Table 7. Our results are similar to the other two authors but the number of dry bones in our study is the highest. To the best of our knowledge, though many studies have been performed to find out the incidence of ossified STSL in different population group except Kharay et al., none of the research work has been described the variable morphology of ossified STSL along with its morphometric parameters among North Indian population. Apart from that, it has been observed that very few studies have been undertaken for the morphometric parameters of the suprascapular foramen which is a potential anatomic area for suprascapular nerve entrapment syndrome. So, it is expected that our study results performed on a large number of the sample will act as a reference base for the variable morphology of suprascapular notch among the North Indian population.

CONCLUSION

This morphological study on the anatomy of the suprascapular notch is very helpful as it is the commonest site for the nerve compression. The clinician especially orthopaedic surgeons & radiologist needs to be well versed with the appearance of suprascapular notch on dry bones, so that he can interpret its morphology on radiographs and MRI scans. The morphologic & morphometric data will help them to correlate the association between entrapment neuropathy & variable morphology of the suprascapular notch which will assist them to decide the modality of the treatment.
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Table 1: Rengachary’s classification of suprascapular notches of the scapula

| Type of suprascapular Notch | Features describing the shape of the notch |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Type I                      | A wide depression from the medial superior angle to the base of the spine |
| Type II                     | A wide blunted V-shaped notch along the superior border at the scapula |
| Type III                    | Asymmetrical U shape                      |
| Type IV                     | A small V-shaped notch with a very shallow groove |
| Type V                      | Partial ossification of STSL               |
| Type VI                     | Complete ossification of STSL converting notch into a bony foramen |

Table 2: Descriptive statistic of the morphometric parameters of ossified STSL (in mm)

| Parameters       | Total no (N) | Maximum (mm) | Minimum (mm) | Mean (mm) | Standard deviation |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| STSL length      | 33           | 11.47        | 5.36         | 7.4981    | 1.5158             |
| STSL width       | 33           | 5.15         | 2.01         | 3.4318    | 0.9084             |
| STSL thickness   | 33           | 3.21         | 1.08         | 2.1887    | 0.6114             |

Table 3: Descriptive statistic of the morphometric parameters of the suprascapular foramen

| Parameters                        | Total no (n) | Maximum (mm) | Minimum (mm) | Mean (mm) | Standard deviation |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Length of suprascapular foramen   | 33           | 12.04        | 3.01         | 7.0369    | 2.3307             |
| Width of suprascapular foramen    | 33           | 10.17        | 2.17         | 4.3927    | 2.1611             |

Table 4: Comparative analysis of suprascapular morphology

| Authors                      | Year | Population                  | Number of scapulae | Type I % | Type II % | Type III % | Type IV % | Type V % | Type VI % |
|------------------------------|------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Rengachary et al.            | 1979 | American                    | 211                | 8        | 31        | 48         | 3         | 6        | 4         |
| Natsis et al.                | 2007 | Greek                       | 423                | 6        | 24        | 40         | 13        | 11       | 6         |
| Sinkeet et al.               | 2010 | Kenyan                      | 138                | 22       | 21        | 29         | 5         | 18        | 4         |
| Iqbal et al.                 | 2010 | Rawalpindi                  | 26.8               | -        | 19.8      | 22.8       | 31.1      | -         | -         |
| Wang et al.                  | 2011 | Chinese                     | 295                | 9.5      | 58.16     | 28.23      | -         | -         | 4.08      |
| Soni et al.                  | 2012 | Indian                      | 100                | 5        | 72        | 20         | -         | 19.13     | -         |
| Albino et al.                | 2013 | Italian                     | 500                | -        | 19.8      | 22.8       | 31.1      | -         | -         |
| Vasudha et al.               | 2013 | Indian                      | 115                | 7.82     | 6.08      | 34.78      | -         | 19.13     | -         |
| Reddy et al.                 | 2013 | South Indian (Andra Pradesh)| 104                | 21.15    | 8.65      | 59.61      | 2.89      | 5.76      | 1.93      |
| Patil et al.                 | 2014 | South Indian                | 112                | 20.54    | 14.29     | 25         | 35.71     | 1.79      | 2.68      |
| Fatima et al.                | 2014 | Bihar                       | 226                | 4.87     | 31.86     | 35.84      | 5.75      | 21.68     | -         |
| Kannan et al.                | 2014 | South Indian (Pondicherry)  | 400                | 20       | 10        | 52         | 4         | 4         | 10        |
| Dushyant et al.              | 2014 | Jodhpur                     | 293                | 12.28    | -         | 45         | 23.54     | -         | -         |
| Kour et al.                  | 2016 | Jammu                       | 60                 | 13.33    | 8.33      | 46.67      | 28.33     | -         | 3.33      |
| Vedha et al.                 | 2017 | South Indian (Madurai)      | 250                | 21.2     | 16        | 37.2       | 5.6       | 5.2       | 9.2       |
| Gupta et al.                 | 2017 | Gujarat                     | 120                | 10       | 7.5       | 24         | 50        | 5         | 2.5       |
| Present study                | 2019 | North Indian                | 290                | 24.82    | 12        | 41.7       | 8.96      | 1.03      | 11.37     |
Table 5: The incidence of ossified STSL has been documented in a different state of the Indian population

| Authors            | Year | Population studied | No of scapulae studied | Incidence of completely ossified STSL |
|--------------------|------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                    |      |                    |                        | No of scapulae (N) | Percentage (%) |
| Das et al.³⁹       | 2007 | Delhi              | Single case            | 1                      |
| Soni et al.²⁵      | 2012 | Agroha             | 100                    | 3                      | 3              |
| Jadhav et al.³⁵    | 2012 |                   | 350                    | 37                     | 10.57          |
| Reddy et al.³³      | 2013 | Andhra Pradesh     | 104                    | 2                      | 1.93           |
| Mahato et al.³⁶     | 2013 | Tamilnadu          | 122                    | 6                      | 4.92           |
| Kannan et al.³²     | 2014 | Pondicherry        | 400                    | 40                     | 10             |
| Desai et al.³⁷      | 2014 | Maharashtra        | 194                    | 5                      | 9.7            |
| Patil et al.³³      | 2014 | Kerala             | 112                    | 3                      | 2.68           |
| Kour et al.³⁸       | 2016 | Jammu              | 60                     | 2                      | 3.3            |
| Kharay et al.³⁴     | 2016 | Punjab             | 268                    | 26                     | 9.7            |
| Vedha et al.³⁷      | 2017 | Madurai            | 250                    | 23                     | 9.2            |
| Present study       | 2019 | North India        | 290                    | 33                     | 11.37          |

Table 6: Incidence of suprascapular foramen among different population groups

| Authors            | Year | Population       | No Specimen studied (dry scapulae) | Incidence of suprascapular foramen % |
|--------------------|------|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Vallois et al.³⁸   | 1926 | French           | 200                                 | 6.5                                  |
| Hrdicka et al.³³    | 1942 | Native American  | 2792                                | 2.1-2.9                              |
| Rengacherry et al. ²⁹ | 1979 | American         | 211                                 | 4                                    |
| Edilson et al.³⁹    | 1995 | American         | 700                                 | 3.7                                  |
| Ticker et al.³⁴     | 1998 | American         | 79                                  | 1.27                                 |
| Bayramoglu et al.²⁰ | 2003 | Turkish          | 36                                  | 12.5                                 |
| Ouagwu et al.²⁹     | 2005 | Nigerian         | Single case                         |                                      |
| Natsis et al.⁵⁵     | 2007 | Greek            | 423                                 | 6                                    |
| Sinkeet et al.²⁹    | 2010 | Kenyan           | 138                                 | 4                                    |
| Wang et al.⁶⁰       | 2011 | Chinese          | 295                                 | 1.36                                 |
| DJ gray⁴⁰           | 2013 | American & Euopean | 1151                              | 6.34                                 |
| Pushpa NB⁴¹         | 2013 | Indian           | Single case                         |                                      |
| Paolo Albino et al.⁵⁷ | 2013 | Italian          | 500                                 | 3.6                                  |
| Polgjut et al. ³⁵   | 2014 | Poland           | 86                                  | 6                                    |
| Ahmed et al.⁴⁰      | 2018 | Egypt            | 65                                  | 3.08                                 |
| Present study       | 2019 | India            | 290                                 | 11.37                                |

Table 7: Incidence of variations in ossified STSL morphology

| Authors            | Year | Population | No of scapulae with ossified STSL / total no of the scapula | Type of ossified STSL |
|--------------------|------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
|                    |      |            | Fan-shaped STSL N (%) | Band like STSL N (%) |
| Polgjut et al.³⁵   | 2014 | Poland     | 6/ 86                                                         | 4 (4.7%)              | 2 (2.3%)       |
| Kharay et al.³⁴    | 2016 | India      | 26 / 268                                                      | 16 (61.5%)            | 10 (38.5%)     |
| Present study      | 2019 | India      | 33 / 290                                                      | 19 (57.5%)            | 14 (42.2%)     |
**Figure 1:** Graphical representation of the incidence of various types of the suprascapular notch in the present study.

**Figure 2:** Scapulae showing different morphological variations of suprascapular notches in the superior border (A: type I / slight indentation; B: type II / wide blunt V-shaped; C: type III / U shaped; D: type IV / narrow V-shaped & E: type V / incomplete suprascapular foramen; F: type VI / complete suprascapular foramen).

**Figure 3:** Graphical representation of the incidence of the various morphological pattern of ossified STSL in the present study.

**Figure 4:** Scapulae displaying the morphological variations of STSL (A band like; B fan-shaped).