The clinicopathological significance of hMLH1 hypermethylation in non-small-cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis and literature review
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Abstract: The hMLH1 gene plays an essential role in DNA repair. Methylation of the hMLH1 gene is common in many types of cancer and can lead to the loss of hMLH1 expression. However, the association and clinicopathological significance between hMLH1 promoter hypermethylation and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is elusive. Here, we investigated the correlation of hMLH1 promoter hypermethylation and NSCLC using 13 studies by comprising 1,056 lung cancer patients via a meta-analysis. We observed that 1) loss of hMLH1 protein expression was significantly associated with its promoter hypermethylation, 2) hMLH1 gene inactivation through hypermethylation contributed to the tumorigenesis of NSCLC, which could be a decisive factor for the pathogenesis of NSCLC due to its high occurrence in NSCLC tissues compared to normal lung tissues, 3) a correlation exists between histologic subtypes/disease stages (TNM I vs III+IV) and hypermethylation status of hMLH1 gene, and 4) NSCLC patients with hMLH1 hypermethylation and subsequent low expression levels of hMLH1 have a short overall survival period than those patients with normal expression of hMLH1 gene. hMLH1 mRNA predicts patient survival in lung cancer, and this was confirmed by using a public database. We then discussed the tumor suppressor function of hMLH1 and the clinicopathological significance of hMLH1 in NSCLC. We concluded that hMLH1 hypermethylation should be an early diagnostic marker for NSCLC and also a prognostic index for NSCLC. hMLH1 is an interesting therapeutic target in human lung cancers.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the major cause of cancer death in males and females, worldwide. Approximately 58 percent of lung cancer cases occur in less developed countries, while the highest incidence of lung cancer is seen in North America and Europe. In the United States, it is estimated that lung cancer affects around 226,160 patients, with a death rate of around 160,340 per year. Prognosis remains poor despite advances in diagnosis and treatment. Therefore, early screening markers specific to lung cancer are needed.

In addition to the genetic mutations in cancer, epigenetic modification is a frequently occurring event in human lung cancer. Hypermethylation, a major type of epigenetic alteration, in the promoter areas of tumor suppressor genes (TSGs) has been well confirmed as a mechanism of transcriptional silencing in human malignant cancers, which also show features of genome-wide hypomethylation. The DNA mismatch repair (MMR) system plays a crucial role in the maintenance of genetic and epigenetic stability. Inactivation of MMR by gene mutation and promoter methylation in human cells have been associated with human malignant tumors. The hMLH1 (human...
mutL homologue 1), having sequence homology with the DNA MMR gene, is located at 3p22.3. hMLH1 gene is composed of 19 exons, encoding a 756 amino acid protein. hMLH1, which physically interacts with other components of MMR and cell cycle/signaling/apoptosis molecules. Dysfunction of hMLH1 by changes in microsatellite, short tandem repetitive sequences, and/or hypermethylation are found to be associated with cancer predisposition.

Although methylation of hMLH1 gene is found in several human cancer types, there is a difference in the frequency of methylation. It is essential to investigate whether the dysfunction of hMLH1 is crucial for the tumorigenesis of lung cancer because of the reported high frequency of methylation (greater than 50%) and because of its prognostic effects. In the present study, we reviewed the publicly available literature and meta-analyzed the inputs with the aim to categorize the clinical importance of hMLH1 hypermethylation, one of the epigenetic modifications in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) initiation and development.

Methods
Search methods
We first explored the MEDLINE though PubMed in September 2015 using the following subject words: “human mutL homologue 1”, “hMLH1”, “methylation”, “hypermethylation”, “lung cancer”, and “NSCLC”. Then, the same terms were also searched via Scopus, Embase, Biosis Previews, Cochrane Library, and Clinical Topic Database. Irrelevant and duplicate publications were excluded by analyzing titles and abstracts from all the studies identified through the search criteria. Full-text search was performed in the remaining publications to determine if it should be included or excluded. Randomized controlled trials and observational studies such as cohort studies/case series study, and case–control studies were selected, and case reports were excluded. The literature was limited to papers published in English and Chinese. Once all data were collected, the bibliographic references were scrutinized to obtain pertinent studies. The most detailed clinical studies were chosen if several similar studies were reported using the same population of patients.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
The selected publications were scrutinized for hMLH1 hypermethylation/expression to clinicopathological consequences in patients of NSCLC in this meta-analysis. The eligibility of the studies was evaluated using the following criteria: 1) full papers published in English or Chinese, 2) hMLH1 hypermethylation and/or expression evaluated in the NSCLC patients’ lung tissues and/or paired normal lung tissues, 3) research that determines the effects of hMLH1 hypermethylation on NSCLC clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis, 4) a sensitive technique of bisulfate conversion-specific and methylation-specific PCR (MSP) or combined bisulfate restriction analysis (COBRA) or MethyLight assay being used to detect hMLH1 methylation status, 5) publications with hazard ratio (HR) and probabilities for overall survival (OS) at 95% confidence interval (CI) were available. We excluded literature if 1) studies used cell cultures in vitro and animals in vivo; 2) they were secondary articles (reviews, editorials, letters, and expert opinion), case reports, conference abstracts; 3) literature not written in English and Chinese; and 4) publications lack of OS and HR about OS.

Data extraction
The data extraction followed the methods as described previously. Two authors independently collected the data from the selected literature. Any dispute in opinion raised by the two investigators was resolved after discussion. The basic information of each publication such as the authorship, year, number of patients, sources of tissues, clinical stage, and hMLH1 hypermethylation and/or expression were recorded and transferred to a table. The eligibility for a meta-analysis was gauged by heterogeneity.

Data analysis
We analyzed the data using Review Manager 5.2 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK). Odds ratios (ORs) as well as 95% CIs were measured for dichotomous variables. A P-value of <0.05 was considered to be significantly significant. The heterogeneity of variance, not random effects, between the studies was measured by $I^2$, with the significance being set at $P<0.10$. If $I^2$ was less than 50%, heterogeneity is assumed to be low. A fixed-effect model rather than a random model was used if heterogeneity did not exist and vice versa.

Fifty nine publications were obtained by searching the databases of Scopus, Embase, Biosis Previews, Cochrane Library, and Clinical Topic Database. After filtering the titles, abstracts, and references by inclusion and exclusion criteria, 13 publications in full-text were finally included for qualitative analysis in this meta-analysis. The workflow for the selection is shown in Figure 1. The study from Tang et al was excluded because the authors compared the promoter hypermethylation of hMLH1 between lung primary adenocarcinomas and colorectal metastasis to the lung and did not provide information on noncancerous controls.
In addition, the study from Ali et al\textsuperscript{26} separated the NSCLC caused by exposure to chromate in their study, squamous carcinomas with 10 of 33 methylation for \textit{hMLH1} and 23 of 33 unmethylation for \textit{hMLH1}, while adenoma with 0 of 2 methylation of \textit{hMLH1} and 2 of 2 unmethylation of \textit{hMLH1}. However, there were no non-lung cancer controls, and so it was excluded as well.

Patient survival analysis by Kaplan–Meier plotter, a public database
An online database\textsuperscript{27} was used to assess the relevance of \textit{hMLH1} expression to OS. The database was established using gene expression data and survival information of 1,928 patients downloaded from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (Affymetrix HGU133A and HGU133+2 microarrays). Briefly, \textit{hMLH1} gene was entered into the database (http://kmplot.com/breast/) to obtain Kaplan–Meier survival plots where the number-at-risk is indicated below the main plot. HR (and 95% CI) and log rank \( P \) were calculated and displayed on the webpage.

Results
Selection of studies
Fifty-nine articles were recognized following the search criteria set by us. Forty-six of these were not included because they were in vitro and/or in vivo studies, secondary articles, or articles without the appropriate control population. Finally, 13 eligible and relevant studies were included in the current meta-analysis (Figure 1).

These 13 studies were published from 2000 to 2015.\textsuperscript{19–23,28–34} The clinical data from a total of 1,069 lung cancer patients and 626 nonmalignant patients from the People’s Republic of China, Japan, Korea, Portugal, Australia, and the United States were documented and are shown in Table 1.

\textbf{hMLH1} methylation and clinicopathological features
Loss of \textit{hMLH1} protein expression was significantly associated with its promoter hypermethylation in NSCLC
To determine whether the \textit{hMLH1} promoter methylation could be linked to the loss of gene expression, protein expression and promoter methylation were examined for the \textit{hMLH1} gene in three included studies comprising 213 lung cancer patients and 136 nonmalignant lung tissues. The pooled data showed that negative protein expression was correlated with promoter hypermethylation of the \textit{hMLH1} gene (Figure 2) with an OR 5.93 (95% CI 2.54–13.84, \( P \leq 0.0001 \)).

The inactivation of \textit{hMLH1} through methylation in NSCLC
In Figure 3, the study ID is shown in the first column; the second column denotes the proportion of \textit{hMLH1} methylation in NSCLC; and the third column represents the proportion of \textit{hMLH1} methylation in normal controls. The weight in the fourth column is proportional to the inverse of the variance of the study – high variance associated with a small study, meaning less weight given to that study and vice versa. The OR is given in the column after the one of weight. In this case, the CI of the pooled OR excludes the number “1” (it is 3.18–42.01) indicating that it is significantly different. The diagram of OR is shown in the final column. The vertical line indicates an OR of 1.0. An OR of 1.00 means that the two groups were equally likely to experience the event. An OR higher than 1 means that the NSCLC group was more likely to experience the event (hypermethylation of \textit{hMLH1}) than the control group. Therefore, if \textit{hMLH1} methylation occurred more frequently in cancer than in nonmalignant tissues, the OR would be greater than 1.0. The horizontal dots and bars represent the relative risk and 95% CI for each study. In summary, our results showed that the lung cancer tissues have significantly high \textit{hMLH1} methylation rates compared to controls. The pooled OR of ten individual studies, which included 912 lung cancer tissues and 666 nonmalignant lung tissues (as controls), is shown in Figure 3 (OR 10.61, 95% CI 2.71–41.54, \( P \leq 0.0007 \)). This implies that inactivated \textit{hMLH1} caused by one of the epigenetic modifications, methylation, significantly contributes to the lung
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Table I Basic characteristics of the included studies

| Study/country          | Patients/samples | Methods | Primary aim                                                                 | Methylation site          |
|------------------------|------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Chen et al[18] People’s Republic of China | 50/tissue, paired with 50/ nonneoplastic lung tissue | MSP     | Determine the relationship between hMLH1 expression and its methylation status | Promoter, CpG islands     |
| Wang et al[19] People’s Republic of China | 77/tissue        | COBRA   | Determine the methylation status of the hMLH1 promoter in resected specimens from patients with primary NSCLC. | Promoter, CpG islands     |
| Yanagawa et al[20] Japan | 75/tissue, paired with 75/ nonneoplastic lung tissue | MSP     | Determine the clinicopathological significance of gene promoter methylation in NSCLC. | Promoter, CpG islands     |
| Hsu et al[21] People’s Republic of China | 105/tissue       | MSP     | Investigate protein expression and promoter hypermethylation of hMLH1 in NSCLC. | Promoter, CpG islands     |
| Safar et al[22] USA | 105/tissue       | MSP     | Determine the impact of promoter hypermethylation in resected NSCLC.           | Promoter, CpG islands     |
| Kim et al[23] Korea | 99/tissue, 99/controls | MSP     | Investigate the aberrant methylation profile of the cancer-related genes in Korean NSCLC patients. | Promoter, CpG islands     |
| Feng et al[24] USA | 49/tissue, paired with 49/ nonneoplastic tissue | MethyLight | Examine methylation profiles for TSG in hypermethylation and clinicopathologic features. | Promoter, CpG islands     |
| Liu et al[25] People’s Republic of China | 60/tissue, paired with 60/ nonneoplastic lung tissue | MSP     | Determine epigenetic effect in chromosome 3p in NSCLC.                         | Promoter, CpG islands     |
| Seng et al[26] Australia | 239/tissue      | MSP     | Study the main mechanism of hMLH1 gene inactivation in NSCLC samples of Chinese patients. | Promoter, CpG islands     |
| Geng et al[27] People’s Republic of China | 116/tissue, 116/non- nonneoplastic lung tissue | COBRA   | Investigate the methylation profiles of NSCLC in Chinese population.          | Promoter, CpG islands     |
| Zhang et al[28] People’s Republic of China | 78/tissue, 78/ nonneoplastic lung tissue | MSP     | Define methylation profile and silencing of DNA repair gene hMLH1 in NSCLC as well as normal controls. | Promoter, CpG islands     |
| Gomes et al[29] Portugal | 40/tissue, paired with 40/ nonneoplastic lung tissue | MSP     | Examine methylation profiles for TSG in hypermethylation and clinicopathologic features. | Promoter, CpG islands     |
| Wu et al[30] People’s Republic of China | 80/tissue        | MSP     | Determine whether DNA methylation of hMLH1 affects the prognosis of NSCLC patients. | Promoter, CpG islands     |

Abbreviations: NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; MSP, methylation-specific PCR; TSG, tumor suppressor gene; COBRA, combined bisulfate restriction analysis.

cancer tumorigenesis. The high hMLH1 methylation rates in tissues for lung cancers compared to normal controls, provides the potential of the hMLH1 gene to be used as a diagnostic index for lung cancer patients by checking its methylation status.

Methylation of hMLH1 in disease stage

We further determined the possible associations between hMLH1 hypermethylation and clinicopathologic features. To determine whether or not the methylation of the promoter region of the hMLH1 gene is independently associated with tumor stage, a meta-analysis was performed. When the data were stratified by tumor stage (TNM I-II vs TNM III+IV), methylation of hMLH1 increased with advanced stage as shown by the results of two studies with 94 NSCLC lung cancer tissues and 215 nonmalignant lung tissues (OR 2.14, 95% CI 1.30–3.52; Figure 4).

By observing the OR

Figure 2 The studies included to examine the relationship between the protein expression of hMLH1 and its promoter hypermethylation in 213 NSCLC patients and 136 normal lung tissues.

Abbreviations: NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; M–H, Mantel–Haenszel; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Study or subgroup | Experimental Events | Control Events | Weight (%) | Odds ratio M–H, random, 95% CI | Year |
|------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------|----------------------------|------|
| Chen et al[18]   | 11                  | 14             | 5          | 27.6                      | 19.80 (4.02–97.47) | 2000 |
| Wang et al[19]   | 12                  | 24             | 26         | 35.5                      | 1.04 (0.40–2.72)  | 2003 |
| Hsu et al[21]    | 47                  | 70             | 13         | 36.9                      | 3.46 (1.48–8.07)  | 2005 |

Total (95% CI) 108 120 100 3.65 (0.89–15.00)

Total events 70 44

Heterogeneity: $\chi^2$=12.22; $\chi^2$=10.99, df=2 (P=0.006); I$^2$=80%

Test for overall effect: Z=1.80 (P=0.07)
shown in the fifth column in Figure 4, where the CI of the summarized data excludes 1.0 (it is 1.30–3.52), we concluded that the hMLH1 gene methylation status is associated with lung cancer stage, with the advanced stage exhibiting high level of hMLH1 gene methylation.

Prognostic impact of hMLH1 gene hypermethylation in NSCLC

We analyzed the relationship of hypermethylation of the hMLH1 gene with patient survival. The relationship between OS and hMLH1 promoter hypermethylation was detected in NSCLC by two studies.\textsuperscript{22,23} The pooled data (Figure 5) indicated the prognostic impact of hMLH1 gene methylation in NSCLC patients (OR =0.54, 95% CI =0.35–0.82, Z=2.86, P=0.004). As we know, the exclusion of number “1” from summarized HR (0.35–0.82) indicated that NSCLC patients with hMLH1 gene methylation showed poor prognosis.

Quality controls

The quality control of the study was performed through testing the result stability by removing one study at a time.
The pooled ORs were not altered, indicating the stability of the study is acceptable. For instance, in Figure 3, after removing one weighted study,\(^3\) OR 14.73 (95% CI 2.96–73.17), \(I^2=82\%\), \(Z=3.29\) (\(P=0.001\)). In funnel plot, the studies were distributed largely symmetrically suggesting the absence of publication biases in the present meta-analysis (Figure 6).

\( hMLH1 \) mRNA predicting patient survival was confirmed by publicly available database

This assessment of clinical relevance was further corroborated in a patient survival analysis using an online database containing the expression of 22,277 genes and 20-year survival information of 1,928 lung cancer patients.
From a review of the literature on the loss of 3p in different types of solid tumors, it appears that specific genes in this region act as tumor suppressor genes. RB1, VHL, and hMLH1 are the examples that have been applied to localize tumor suppressor genes on 3p. The hMLH1 gene has been found to contribute to the development of specific MMR-deficient cancers. The most rigorous and methodologically complicated review article is a meta-analysis with comparison and systematic review. A meta-analysis quantitatively analyzes the public available data from previous studies and reaches a summary estimate with statistical power, therefore, effectively directing the field of studies more efficiently. In the present meta-analysis study, we concluded that 1) loss of hMLH1 protein expression was significantly associated with poor OS for all lung cancer patients followed for 20 years (Figure 7, HR 0.74 [95% CI 0.65–0.84], P=2.6e–06).

Discussion

From a review of the literature on the loss of 3p in different types of solid tumors, it appears that specific genes in this region act as tumor suppressor genes. RB1, VHL, and hMLH1 are the examples that have been applied to localize tumor suppressor genes on 3p. The hMLH1 gene has been found to contribute to the development of specific MMR-deficient cancers. The most rigorous and methodologically complicated review article is a meta-analysis with comparison and systematic review. A meta-analysis quantitatively analyzes the public available data from previous studies and reaches a summary estimate with statistical power, therefore, effectively directing the field of studies more efficiently. In the present meta-analysis study, we concluded that 1) loss of hMLH1 protein expression was significantly associated with poor OS for all lung cancer patients followed for 20 years (Figure 7, HR 0.74 [95% CI 0.65–0.84], P=2.6e–06).

Figure 7 hMLH1 mRNA prediction of patient survival was confirmed using publicly available database.

Notes: This assessment of clinical relevance was further corroborated in a patient survival analysis using an online database containing the expression of 22,277 genes and 20-year survival information of 1,928 lung cancer patients (http://www.kmplot.com/analysis). hMLH1 downregulation was found to correlate strongly with poor OS for all lung cancer patients followed for 20 years (HR 0.74 [95% CI 0.65–0.84], P=2.6e–06).

Abbreviations: OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio.

(hhttp://www.kmplot.com/analysis/). hMLH1 downregulation was found to correlate strongly with poor OS for all lung cancer patients followed for 20 years (Figure 7, HR 0.74 [95% CI 0.65–0.84], P=2.6e–06).

DNA methylation is influenced by other factors such as aging, infection, inflammation, and food intake. Aging and tumorigenesis have some common features such as accumulation of epigenetic alterations and shortened telomerase, although they are two different biological processes. A genome-wide hypomethylation occurs during the aging process, which is accompanied by a de novo hypermethylation at specific sites. Methylation of RASSF1A promoter is associated with age in primary NSCLC. An age-related association of hypermethylation of hMLH1 promoter with the loss of hMLH1 protein expression has been found in gastric and colorectal carcinomas. The proportion of gastric and colorectal carcinomas with hypermethylation of the hMLH1 promoter increases with age, with 25%–30% of all carcinomas of the stomach and large intestine in elderly patients demonstrating hypermethylation. However, the proportion of lung cancers with hypermethylation of the hMLH1 promoter has not been related to increases with aging.

The role of hMLH1 in lung cancer has partly been attributed to promoter hypermethylation, which leads to gene silencing. However, studies indicate that hMLH1 expression is under regulation by different methods. Homozygous deletions, allelic deletion, and point mutations
have also been found to attenuate hMLH1 expression.\textsuperscript{44–46} Specifically, hMLH1 protein acting as a part of DNA repair pathways undergoes hypoxia regulation via epigenetic modulation as well as transcriptional and translational regulation.\textsuperscript{45}

Given that smoking plays a central role in lung cancer development and that DNA methylation is an early event in tumorigenesis,\textsuperscript{47} some biomarkers such as CCND2 and APC were shown to be frequently hypermethylated in both cancerous and noncancerous lung tissues of smokers with NSCLC, indicating that hypermethylation of these genes may be associated with chronic smoking status.\textsuperscript{47} Antczak et al\textsuperscript{44} reported that reduced hMLH1 expression due to allelic imbalance combined with epigenetic alteration was more frequently associated with heavy smokers; however, a correlation could not be determined between hMLH1 methylation and the smoking characteristic (smoking history) of the individuals with NSCLC due to lack of quantitative data.

All the authors in the included studies used PCR-based DNA methylation analysis techniques, where the first step in all protocols is bisulfite conversion of the DNA sequence of interest. MSP, combined bisulfate restriction analysis (COBRA), and MethyLight were used in the included studies. Each approach has its own strengths and weaknesses. The design of primers for MSP favors the amplification of unconverted DNA. 1) MSP is commonly used for methylation screening in cancer; it is important to ensure that only the methylated and converted amplification product is being detected. 2) It is strongly recommend that all MSP-based assessments of methylation include a control for amplification of unconverted DNA. For small-scale applications, analyzing MSP products by restriction digestion is the simplest approach, but this is limited to the restriction site. 3) The TaqMan\textsuperscript{®}-based assay (MethyLight) provides the most quantitative information regarding the extent of bisulfite conversion.

Taken together, the data from each included study are comparable.

Based on the meta-analysis results, we suggest that hMLH1 hypermethylation should be an early diagnostic marker for NSCLC and also a prognostic index for NSCLC. hMLH1 is an interesting therapeutic target in human lung cancers. Re-expression of hMLH1 by demethylation in tumors may be likely to bring clinical benefits. The development of specific compounds for hMLH1 activation will be a promising strategy to target hMLH1 in clinical application. SGI-110, a dinucleotide combining 5-azaC and deoxyguanosine (Astex Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Cambridge, UK), is less likely to undergo deamination and more stable compared to DNA methyltransferase inhibitors (DNMTIs). Pretreatment with SGI-110 resensitizes ovarian cancer cells to cisplatin in vitro and in vivo by demethylation and reactivation of numerous chemotherapy response-related genes including hMLH1.\textsuperscript{48} The hMLH1 inhibitors that are still in the early stages of evaluation need to be further explored and developed in lung cancer patients.
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