Axion inflation with cross-correlated axion isocurvature perturbations
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Abstract. We study the inflation scenarios, in the framework of superstring theory, where the inflaton is an axion producing the adiabatic curvature perturbations while there exists another light axion producing the isocurvature perturbations. We discuss how the non-trivial couplings among string axions can generically arise, and calculate the consequent cross-correlations between the adiabatic and isocurvature modes through concrete examples. Based on the Planck analysis on the generally correlated isocurvature perturbations, we show that there is a preference for the existence of the correlated isocurvature modes for the axion monodromy inflation while the natural inflation disfavors such isocurvature modes.
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1 Introduction

The recent Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) data is still consistent with the simple ΛCDM model with a nearly scale-invariant, adiabatic and Gaussian power spectrum which can well be represented by the single-field slow-roll inflation models [1–3]. The forthcoming cosmological data with even better precision however could reveal the potential deviations from such pure adiabatic perturbations, and it would be worth exploring the possibilities for the non-adiabatic perturbations in existence of correlations among the adiabatic and non-adiabatic modes along with their indications for the early Universe dynamics in the fundamental physics models. In this paper, we study the mixture of the adiabatic mode and cold dark matter (axion) isocurvature mode taking account of their possible cross-correlations [4–12] through the concrete models based on superstring theory. The recent Planck analysis studied the generally correlated isocurvature perturbations. The robust parameter estimation, without significantly affecting the bounds on the conventional (ΛCDM) cosmological parameters even with the inclusion of isocurvature modes, was not previously realized due to strong degeneracies among the parameters involving the isocurvature perturbations which the previous CMB data sets suffered from and the Planck data could greatly reduce [3]. With such a precise cosmological parameter estimation including the correlated isocurvature perturbations at hand, it would be intriguing to explore the indications of the generally correlated isocurvature perturbations for the early Universe phenomena, and we in this paper aim to study the presumably ubiquitous light degrees of freedom in the early Universe through their isocurvature fluctuations. In superstring theory, the higher-dimensional form fields predict a number of light degrees of freedom represented by the axions in addition to the QCD axion [13, 14]. These axions are associated with the internal cycles of the extra-dimensional manifold. While, at the perturbative level, the axion potential is protected by the gauge symmetry in string theory, the non-perturbative effects can break the continuous gauge symmetry leading to the discrete one and generate the axion potential. It is thus expected that the axion potential is well controlled by the residual discrete symmetry and the mass scale of axions depends on the non-perturbative effects [15–17]. We, in this paper, focus on the single-field axion inflation models in coexistence of an isocurvature perturbation due to another light axion.

Although the axion inflation is often considered as the single-field inflation, the axion potential, in general, has the axionic mixing due to the moduli-mixing gauge kinetic function. As concrete examples, we discuss the natural inflation [18] in section 2 and axion monodromy inflation [19, 20] in section 3 where the cross-correlated isocurvature perturbations can arise due to such axionic mixings. In these illustrative examples, the adiabatic curvature perturbations are dominantly sourced by the axion-inflaton whereas the isocurvature perturbations
originate from the fluctuations of the light axion (different from the heavy axion inducing the inflation). The mixing of the string axions arises from the non-perturbative effects in the sinusoidal form, and the consequent cross-correlations between the adiabatic and isocurvature modes are studied. We conclude our discussions in section 4.

2 Natural inflation with sinusoidal correction

The natural inflation is among the simplest axion inflation models \[18\] which can be constructed in the field theory as well as superstring theory. Although, at the perturbative level, the axion potential is not generated due to the gauge symmetry in string theory, the non-perturbative effects in a hidden gauge sector can generate the axion potential terms. Especially, when the gauginos (\(\lambda\)) of the hidden gauge group condensate at a certain energy scale \[22\], the superpotential can be generated in the four-dimensional (4D) \(N = 1\) supersymmetry,

\[
W \simeq \langle \lambda \lambda \rangle \simeq A e^{-a T},
\]

where \(A = \mathcal{O}(1)\) and \(a = 24\pi^2/b_0\) with \(b_0\) being the one-loop beta-function coefficient.\(^1\) We consider the scenarios where the size of gauge coupling is determined by the real part of modulus field, \(T\), which is typical for heterotic string theory, type I string theory and type II string theory with D-branes along the single cycle (see for reviews, e.g., refs. \[15, 16\]). By fixing the real part of moduli, for instance through another non-perturbative effect, we can obtain the effective inflaton potential for the imaginary part of modulus (axion),

\[
V_{\text{inf}} = \Lambda_4^4 \left( 1 - \cos \frac{\phi}{f} \right),
\]

with \(\phi\) and \(f\) being the axion-inflaton and its decay constant. The conventional natural inflation model, in view of the recent Planck data, requires the trans-Planckian axion decay constant, \(f > 5\), even though its construction requires some care because the fundamental axion decay constant obtained after the dimensional reduction is typically much smaller than the Planck scale \[23-25\]. The gauge couplings in the visible and hidden sectors, in general, depend on the linear combination of moduli fields through the gauge threshold correction and non-trivial brane configuration. For example, in type II string theory, the D-branes wrap the internal cycle of six extra-dimensional manifold and then the volume of this internal cycle is determined by the linear combination of moduli fields \(T_i\) where the number of moduli \(T_i\) is determined by the topology of the extra-dimensional manifold \[15, 16\]. Thus, the gauge coupling on Dp-branes is represented by the linear combination of them,

\[
\langle c_i T^i \rangle = \frac{1}{g^2},
\]

where \(c_i\) are constant. Furthermore, if we consider the one-loop corrections for the gauge coupling, the superpotential also depends on the linear combination of moduli fields \(T\) and \(T'\),

\[
W = A e^{-a T - d T'},
\]

where \(A = \mathcal{O}(1)\), \(a = 24\pi^2/b_0\) and \(d = 24\pi^2/b_0 \times b/48\pi\) with \(b\) being the one-loop beta-function coefficient determined by massive modes \[26, 27\]. The axion decay constant for the

\[^1\]Here and in what follows, we employ the reduced Planck units, \(M_{\text{Pl}} = 2.4 \times 10^{18}\) GeV = 1.
modulus $T'$ here can be enhanced by the one-loop effect \cite{28,29}. Indeed, there are several scenarios to enhance the axion decay constant based on the moduli-mixing in the gauge kinetic function such as the alignment mechanism \cite{30}, N-flation \cite{31}, kinetic mixing \cite{32,33}, the threshold correction \cite{28,29} and the flux-induced enhancements \cite{34}.

Since there exist, in general, ubiquitous axion fields in string theory, one can also expect that there are moduli-dependent correction terms in the potential,

$$V_{\text{int}} = \Lambda_2^4 \left(1 - \cos \left(\frac{\phi}{g_1} + \frac{\chi}{g_2}\right)\right),$$

(2.5)

where $\phi$ and $\chi$ represent an axion-inflaton and another light axion field. For the notational brevity, we in the following define the parameters

$$\sigma = \frac{\phi}{f}, \quad \psi = \frac{\phi}{g_1}, \quad \theta = \frac{\chi}{g_2},$$

(2.6)

so that the total potential can be written as

$$V = \Lambda_1^4 (1 - \cos \sigma) + \Lambda_2^4 (1 - \cos (\psi + \theta)).$$

(2.7)

We hereafter focus on the scenarios where the adiabatic perturbations are dominantly sourced by the axion-inflaton fluctuation $\delta \phi$ and the additional axion fluctuation $\delta \chi$ leads to the isocurvature perturbations. Besides the interaction between $\phi$ and $\chi$, we do not focus on the potential consisted of only $\chi$ which is irrelevant in the following analysis. Even if we add the potential of $\chi$, throughout this paper, we assume that its energy scale is much lower than others, hence it cannot lead to the adiabatic perturbations. Furthermore, the stringy $\alpha'$ corrections and supersymmetry breaking effects are expected to preserve the shift symmetries of axions and then, the non-perturbative corrections play a important role of determining the axionic couplings as will be discussed later.

Before discussing the cosmological perturbations and their indication for the model discrimination, we show an allowed parameter region for the spectral tilt of the adiabatic perturbations and the tensor-to-scalar ratio in both pure adiabatic (ADI) model and generally-correlated ADI + cold dark matter isocurvature (CDI) model. Figure 1 shows that, for the natural inflation with isocurvature perturbations, the inclusion of a cross-correlated isocurvature mode tightens the constraints on the axion decay constant, $5 < f < 10$, and the e-folding number, $60 < N$. On the other hand, the axion monodromy inflation, to be discussed in the next section, except for the quadratic one can be better fitted by the Planck data by including the cross-correlated isocurvature mode.

The degeneracies among the parameters involving the correlated isocurvature perturbations result in the shift in the best-fit parameters compared with those in the pure adiabatic model, even though the strong degeneracies such as that between the isocurvature perturbation amplitude and adiabatic perturbation spectral index which WMAP data had greatly suffered from reduced significantly in Planck $TT +$ polarization data \cite{1,2}. The constraints on $r$ however turn out not to be significantly affected by the inclusion of the cross-correlated isocurvature modes partly because the Planck data including the polarization already gives sufficiently tight constraints on the isocurvature and tensor modes \cite{3}. In the rest of the paper, we for simplicity do not consider the significant tensor contribution and we adopt the Planck likelihood analysis results without including $r$ in the following discussions.
Figure 1. 68% and 95% confidence level constraints on the adiabatic spectral index and tensor to scalar ratio from Planck [3]. The filled contours are for generally-correlated adiabatic and CDM (axion) isocurvature modes. The unfilled dashed contours are for the pure adiabatic model without the isocurvature perturbations.

We now discuss the cosmic perturbations for the axion fields, starting with the brief discussions for the conventional curvature and isocurvature perturbations to set up our notations followed by the exploration on their cross-correlations along with their indication for the string inflation model building [21]. The curvature and isocurvature perturbations in our scenarios are [21, 35]

\[ \mathcal{R} = -\frac{H}{\phi_0} \delta \phi, \]  
\[ \mathcal{I} = 2 \frac{\Omega_a}{\Omega_m} \frac{\delta \theta}{\theta_0}, \]  

with \( \Omega_a \) and \( \Omega_m \) being the axion and matter densities with respect to the critical density. The factor \( \Omega_a/\Omega_m \) appears here because we are interested in the isocurvature perturbations between the radiation and the non-relativistic matter, and the non-adiabatic fluctuations arise solely from an axion which contributes to the total matter density with the fraction \( \Omega_a/\Omega_m \). The dot denotes the time derivative and the subscript \( 0 \) represents the background field values during the inflation and, in the following, we omit \( \delta \) representing the fluctuations for the notational brevity when it is clear from the context.

The corresponding power spectra are given by [35]

\[ \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{R} = \left( \frac{H}{\phi_0} \right)^2 \mathcal{P}_\phi = \left( \frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left( \frac{H}{\phi_0} \right)^2 \left( \frac{k}{aH} \right)^{3-2\nu_\phi} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\nu_\phi)}{\Gamma(3/2)} \right]^2, \]  
\[ \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{I} = \left( \frac{\Omega_a}{\Omega_m} \right)^2 \left( \frac{2}{\theta_0} \right)^2 \mathcal{P}_\theta = \left( \frac{\Omega_a}{\Omega_m} \right)^2 \left( \frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left( \frac{2}{g_1 \theta_0} \right)^2 \left( \frac{k}{aH} \right)^{3-2\nu_\theta} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\nu_\theta)}{\Gamma(3/2)} \right]^2, \]  

(2.10)
in terms of \( \nu_{\phi(\theta)} = \sqrt{9/4 - m_{\phi(\theta)}^2}/H^2 \) with

\[
\begin{align*}
    m_{\phi}^2 &= \frac{\Lambda_1^4}{f^2} \cos \sigma_0, \\
    m_{\theta}^2 &= \frac{\Lambda_2^4}{g_2} \cos(\psi_0 + \theta_0), \\
    H^2 &= \frac{V}{3} \simeq \frac{\Lambda_1^4}{6} \left( \frac{\phi_0}{f} \right)^2.
\end{align*}
\]

The cross-correlation between the curvature and isocurvature perturbations can be obtained using the in-in formalism [36], and the relevant interaction term in the Hamiltonian at the quadratic order, \( \Lambda_2^4 \cos(\psi_0 + \theta_0) \delta \psi \delta \theta \), leads to the isocurvature cross-correlation power spectrum [21, 36],

\[
P_c = -\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\Lambda_1^4}{g_1 g_2 H^2} \cos(\psi_0 + \theta_0) \Re \left[ i \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x} H_{(2)}^2(x) H_{(2)}(x) \right] \sqrt{P_R P_I} \\
\sim 4.2 \left( \frac{1}{g_1 g_2} \right) \left( \frac{\Lambda_2}{\Lambda_1} \right)^4 \left( \frac{g}{\phi_0} \right)^2 \cos(\psi_0 + \theta_0) \sqrt{P_R P_I},
\]

where the numerical integral of the Hankel function gives a factor \( \sim -0.45 \) and an order of the Hankel function is taken as \( \nu_{\phi(\theta)} = \sqrt{9/4 - m_{\phi(\theta)}^2}/H^2 \).\(^2\) We plot the following cross-correlation parameter

\[
\beta_C \equiv \frac{P_c}{\sqrt{P_R P_I}} \sim 4.2 \left( \frac{1}{g_1 g_2} \right) \left( \frac{\Lambda_2}{\Lambda_1} \right)^4 \left( \frac{g}{\phi_0} \right)^2 \cos(\psi_0 + \theta_0)
\]

by varying the axion decay constant \( f \) from 1 to 20 and the e-folding number \( N \) from 50 to 60 in figure 2. Here, the prefactor of \( \phi_0^2 \) is set to be of order 0.001 and the power spectrum of the adiabatic curvature perturbations

\[
P_R = A_S k^{n_s - 1},
\]

is fixed to be \( A_S \simeq H^2/(8\pi^2\epsilon) \simeq 2.2 \times 10^{-9} \) with \( \epsilon \simeq 2/\phi_0^2 \) being the slow-roll parameter at the pivot scale \( k_0 = 0.05 \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \). This figure also shows the Planck likelihood contours including the polarization data which greatly improve the constraints on the isocurvature perturbations compared with the WMAP results [1, 2]. The high-\( l \) (\( l \geq 30 \)) \( TE, EE \) data turn out to drive the isocurvature cross-correlation towards a smaller value and disfavor the negative cross-correlations which would be allowed otherwise with the high-\( l \) \( TT \) data [3]. We can find that the coefficient \( c \) in \( \beta_C = c \phi_0^2 \) has to be of order less than \( 10^{-3} \) to be within 2 sigma and the axion decay constant \( f \) is constrained to the range between 5 and 10. The cross-correlation parameter \( \beta_C \) is constrained to be \(-0.1 \lesssim \beta_C \lesssim 0.3 \), or, in terms of the parameters in the sinusoidal correction term (eq. (2.5)), to be within

\[
-0.1 \lesssim 4.2 \left( \frac{1}{g_1 g_2} \right) \left( \frac{\Lambda_2^4}{2.2 \times 10^{-9}} \right) \cos(\psi_0 + \theta_0) \frac{\phi_0^2}{96\pi^2} \lesssim 0.3.
\]

Moreover, the following conditions are taken into account to justify our calculations:

\(^2\)This integral can be evaluated, at the leading order, at an arbitrary value of \( x \) as long as \( e^{-1/\xi} < x < 1 \) with \( \xi \) being the typical size of the slow-roll parameter [37, 38].
• The adiabatic perturbations come from $V_{\text{inf}}$ and not from $V_{\text{int}}$, that is, $V_{\text{inf}} \gg V_{\text{int}}$.

• The inflaton dynamics is dominated by $\phi$, i.e., $|\partial V_{\text{inf}}/\partial \phi| \gg |\partial V_{\text{int}}/\partial \phi|$.

• The quantum fluctuations of axions are not over-damped during the inflation, $m_\theta^2, m_\phi^2 \ll H^2$.

• The standard slow-roll conditions, $\epsilon \ll 1$ and $|\eta| \ll 1$.

Some of the above conditions may be redundant depending on the parameter range of interest. In the light of these conditions, the cross-correlation parameter is bounded above by

$$\beta_C \simeq 4.2 \left( \frac{1}{g_1 g_2} \right) \left( \frac{\Lambda_4^2}{A_S} \right) \cos(\psi_0 + \theta_0) \frac{\phi_0^2}{96\pi^2} \ll 2.1 \left( \frac{1}{g_1 g_2} \right),$$

(2.16)

where $|\Lambda_4^2 \cos(\psi_0 + \theta_0)| \ll |V_{\text{inf}}|$ is applied. For $g_1, g_2 < O(1)$, the constraint of eq. (2.16) for $\beta_C$ is automatically satisfied if eq. (2.15) is satisfied. The illustrative values of isocurvature parameters are listed in table 1 by setting the typical values for the parameters in the scalar potential in eq. (2.7). Note that, although the axion decay constants are typically of order the grand unification scale ($10^{16}$ GeV) [23–25] and hence one may expect $g_1 \sim g_2$, the hierarchical values $g_1 \ll g_2$ can well be realized for the axion $\chi(\phi)$ by the non-perturbative effects through the (gauge) threshold correction (eq. (2.4)). Since the value of axion decay constant depends on the size of internal cycle in the internal manifold, one can consider smaller axion decay constant, e.g., in the case of large volume scenario [39]. Thus, we consider the axion decay constants and the size of non-perturbative corrections as free-parameters in our numerical analysis.

Next, we estimate the fraction of isocurvature perturbations

$$\beta_{\text{iso}} = \frac{P_I}{P_R + P_I} = \frac{P_I}{P_R},$$

(2.17)

where the power spectrum of the adiabatic perturbation is fixed as in eq. (2.14), whereas the power spectrum of the isocurvature perturbation is given by

$$P_I \simeq \left( \frac{\Omega_a}{\Omega_m} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left( \frac{2}{g_1 \theta_0} \right)^2 \frac{\Lambda_4^2}{A_S} \left( \frac{\phi_0^2}{16\pi^2} \right) = \left( \frac{\Omega_a}{\Omega_m} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{g_1 \theta_0} \right)^2 16 A_S \phi_0^2$$

(2.18)

Then, the fraction of isocurvature perturbations

$$\frac{P_I}{P_R} \approx 16 \left( \frac{\Omega_a}{\Omega_m} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{g_1 \theta_0} \right)^2 \phi_0^{-2},$$

(2.19)

can give a sizable contribution to the cosmological observables as illustrated in figure 3 where the prefactor of $\phi_0^{-2}$ in eq. (2.19) is set to 1 and 10 for a varying $f$. A larger prefactor is preferred for a larger isocurvature contributions. The Planck bounds the uncorrelated axion isocurvature mode to $\beta_{\text{iso}} \lesssim 0.038$, whereas the inclusion of isocurvature cross-correlation results in the constraint $0.034 \lesssim \beta_{\text{iso}} \lesssim 0.28$ at the 95% confidence level [3]. Table 1 summarizes the typical numerical values of parameters in the scalar potential (eq. (2.7)) which can realize a sizable fraction of isocurvature perturbations.
Figure 2. $P_C/\sqrt{P_R P_I}$ and the adiabatic spectral index $n_s$ for the natural inflation with sinusoidal correction (68% and 95% CL contours are from Planck [3]). $P_C/\sqrt{P_R P_I} = c \times \phi_0^2$ for $c = 10^{-3}, 5 \times 10^{-3}$ are shown for varying $N$ and $f$ (the labels are in units of the reduced Planck mass). The anti-correlation cases (for $c = -10^{-3}, -5 \times 10^{-3}$) are also shown with the dotted curves.

Figure 3. $\beta_{iso} \equiv P_I/(P_R + P_I)$ and $n_s$ for the natural inflation with sinusoidal correction (68% and 95% CL contours are from Planck [3]). $(\beta_{iso}, n_s)$ are shown for $P_I/P_R = c/\phi_0^2$ with $c = 1, 10$ for varying $f$ and $N$. 
Before concluding this section to move on to the discussion on the monodromy inflation, let us comment on the microscopic description about the correction term in the inflaton potential given by eq. (2.5). Such a potential can be derived from the following Kähler and superpotential,

\[ K = -2 \ln(T_1 + \bar{T}_1) - \ln(T_2 + \bar{T}_2), \]
\[ W = w_0 + Ae^{-b_1T_1} + Be^{-c_1T_1-c_2T_2}, \]  

where \( w_0 \) is the flux-induced constant term induced by the Gukov-Vafa-Witten superpotential \( W_{\text{flux}} = G \wedge \Omega \), where \( G \) is the linear combination of Ramond-Ramond and Neveu-Schwarz three-form fluxes and \( \Omega \) is the period vector in the framework of type II superstring theory. The second and third terms in the superpotential (2.20) denote the non-perturbative effects, such as the gaugino condensation terms, D-brane instanton and world-sheet instanton effects.

Let us define the moduli as

\[ T_1 = t_1 + ia_1, \]
\[ T_2 = t_2 + ia_2, \]  

and assume that all the real parts of moduli \( T_{1,2} \) are stabilized at their minima and sufficiently heavier than the remaining imaginary parts of \( T_{1,2} \). Then, from the four-dimensional scalar potential based on 4D \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) supergravity,

\[ V = e^K (K^{IJ} D_I W D_J \bar{W} - 3 |W|^2), \]  

where \( K^{IJ} \) is the inverse of the Kähler metric \( K_{IJ} = \partial^2 K/\partial \Phi^I \partial \Phi^J \), \( D_I W = W_I + K_I W \), with \( W_I = \partial W/\partial \Phi^I \) and \( K_I = \partial K/\partial \Phi^I \), for \( \Phi^I = T^1, T^2 \). We can obtain the axion potential for \( a_{1,2} \) by further assuming that some uplifting sector lifts up the scalar potential from the AdS vacuum to the dS one with a very small vacuum energy,

\[ V \simeq \Lambda + \Lambda_1 \cos \left( \frac{\phi}{f} \right) + \Lambda_2 \cos \left( \frac{\phi}{g_1} + \frac{\chi}{g_2} \right), \]  

where \( \Lambda \simeq -\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2 \simeq -\Lambda_1 \) is a constant and \( \Lambda_{1,2} \) depend on the vacuum expectation values of \( \text{Re} \, T_{1,2} \). The fields \( \phi \) and \( \chi \) are the canonically normalized axions. The kinetic terms of \( a_{1,2} \) are extracted from the second derivatives of the Kähler potential with respect to the moduli,

\[ K_{IJ} \partial \Phi^I \partial \Phi^J = K_{T^I T^J} \partial T^I \partial T^J + K_{T^1 T^2} \partial T_1 \partial T_2, \]  

\[ \frac{V'}{V} = -2 \left( \frac{\phi}{f} \right)^2 - 2 \left( \frac{\phi}{g_1} + \frac{\chi}{g_2} \right)^2 + \frac{\phi^2}{f^2} + \frac{\chi^2}{g_1^2} + \frac{\chi^2}{g_2^2}. \]  

| \( f \) | \( N \) | \( g_1 \) | \( g_2 \) | \( \Lambda^1 / H^2 \) | \( \Omega_a / \Omega_m \) | \( \cos(\psi_0 + \theta_0) \) | \( \theta_0 \) | \( \beta_C \) | \( \beta_{iso} \) | \( n_s \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10 | 55 | \( 10^{-4} \) | \( 10^{-4} \) | \( 5 \times 10^{-13} \) | 2 \times 10^{-4} | 1/2 | 2 | \( 2 \times 10^{-5} \) | 0.07 | 0.964 |
| 10 | 55 | \( 10^{-4} \) | \( 10^{-4} \) | \( 5 \times 10^{-13} \) | 2 \times 10^{-4} | 1/2 | 2 | \( 2 \times 10^{-7} \) | 0.07 | 0.964 |
| 10 | 55 | \( 10^{-2} \) | \( 10^{-4} \) | \( 5 \times 10^{-13} \) | 0.02 | 1/2 | 2 | \( 2 \times 10^{-7} \) | 0.07 | 0.964 |
| 10 | 55 | \( 10^{-2} \) | \( 10^{-2} \) | \( 3 \times 10^{-8} \) | 0.02 | 1/2 | 2 | \( 1 \times 10^{-4} \) | 0.07 | 0.964 |
| 10 | 55 | \( 10^{-2} \) | \( 1 \) | \( 3 \times 10^{-8} \) | 0.02 | 1/2 | 2 | \( 1 \times 10^{-6} \) | 0.07 | 0.964 |
| 10 | 55 | \( 1 \) | \( 10^{-2} \) | \( 3 \times 10^{-8} \) | 0.02 | 1/2 | 2 | \( 1 \times 10^{-6} \) | 7 \times 10^{-6} | 0.964 |
| 10 | 55 | \( 1 \) | \( 1 \) | \( 3 \times 10^{-8} \) | 0.02 | 1/2 | 2 | \( 1 \times 10^{-8} \) | 7 \times 10^{-6} | 0.964 |

\textbf{Table 1.} The typical numerical values of parameters, the e-folding number \((N)\), the spectral index \((n_s)\), the fraction of isocurvature perturbation \((\beta_{iso})\) and the cross-correlation parameter \((\beta_C)\) for the natural inflation with sinusoidal correction.
As a result, the axion decay constants $f_1, g_{1,2}$ of the canonically normalized axions $\phi$ and $\chi$ are given by

\begin{align}
    f &= \sqrt{2} \frac{K_{T_1} T_1}{b_1} = \frac{2}{b_1(T_1 + \bar{T}_1)}, \\
    g_1 &= \sqrt{2} \frac{K_{T_1} T_1}{c_1} = \frac{2}{c_1(T_1 + \bar{T}_1)}, \\
    g_2 &= \sqrt{2} \frac{K_{T_2} T_2}{c_2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{c_2(T_2 + \bar{T}_2)},
\end{align}

(2.25)

from which the axion decay constants are controlled by the size of internal cycles and the parameters $b_1, c_{1,2}$ derived from the non-perturbative corrections.

3 Axion monodromy inflation with sinusoidal correction

We now discuss the axion monodromy inflation which offers another popular axion inflation scenario in string theory. Axion monodromy inflation is a successful large-field inflation in which the inflaton can move around its configuration place on many cycles, and the field range of inflaton can be thus much larger than its fundamental period determined by the axion decay constant.

The scalar potential for the axion monodromy inflation is represented by

\[ L = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - \mu_1^4 \phi^p, \]

(3.1)

where $\phi$ is the axion originating from the higher-dimensional form fields, $\mu_1$ represents the energy scale and $p$ is the fractional number which depends on the model in string theory [17].

Let us consider the spacetime filling D5-brane in type IIB string theory [19]. The D5-brane wraps a certain internal two-cycle $\Sigma_2$ in the 6D compact space in addition to the 4D spacetime and its Dirac-Born-Infeld action is given by

\[ S_{D5} = \frac{1}{g_s(\alpha')^3} \int d^6 \sigma \sqrt{-\det(G_{ab} + B_{ab})}, \]

(3.2)

where $g_s$ is the string coupling, $\alpha'$ is the regge-slope, $G_{ab}$, $a,b = 0,1,2,3,4,5$ is the pullback of the metric of the target space, $B_{ab}$ is the Kalb-Ramond field whose extra-dimensional component corresponds to the axion $b = \int_{\Sigma_2} B_2$ where $B_2$ is the Kalb-Ramond two-form. We here do not consider the magnetic flux background.

After carrying out the dimensional reduction, the axion potential can be extracted as

\[ V_{\text{eff}} \simeq \frac{\mathcal{T}}{(2\pi)^5 g_s(\alpha')^2} \sqrt{l^4 + b^2}, \]

(3.3)

where $\mathcal{T}$ and $l$ are some warp factors and the volume of two-cycle $\Sigma_2$ in string units ($\alpha' = 1$). For a large field value of the inflaton $b \gg l^2$, the potential reduces to a linear type,

\[ V_{\text{eff}} \simeq \frac{\mathcal{T}}{(2\pi)^5 g_s(\alpha')^2} b. \]

(3.4)

Then, the relevant Lagrangian of the inflaton is given by

\[ \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - \mu_1^3 \phi, \]

(3.5)
where $\mu_1^4 = \frac{T}{f(2\pi)^2 g_s (\alpha')^2}$ with $f$ being the decay constant of the axion $\phi = b$. Furthermore, for the D4-brane in a nilmanifold (twisted torus) on type IIA string theory, the axion potential has the form of eq. (3.1) with $p = 2/3$ [20]. When we consider the seven-branes [40] or a four-form field strength [41], the axion monodromy inflation is that with $p = 2$. The other types of axion monodromy inflation with $p = 4/3, 3$ can also be constructed by a coupling between NS-NS two-form and the Ramond-Ramond field strength [42].

As mentioned for the natural inflation, the axion, in general, can receive the non-perturbative effects associated with the gaugino condensation, D-brane instanton and worldsheet instanton, and the scalar potential receives the moduli-dependent correction including the mixing with another light axion $\chi$,

\[ V = \mu_1^{4-p} \phi^p + \mu_2^2 \cos \left( \frac{\phi}{g_1} + \frac{\chi}{g_2} \right), \tag{3.6} \]

where $g_2$ denotes the decay constant of $\chi$. We here assume that the moduli except for the relevant axions under our discussion are fixed at their minimum and decoupled from our setup. We also assume again that the mass and other energy scale of $\chi$ are much smaller. The other non-perturbative corrections are irrelevant in the following analysis and in a way similar to the case of natural inflation, we take the axion decay constants of $\phi$ and $\chi$, and the scale of non-perturbative correction as free-parameters in the following numerical analysis.

For the notational brevity, we in the following define the parameters

\[ \psi = \frac{\phi}{g_1}, \quad \theta = \frac{\chi}{g_2}, \tag{3.7} \]

so that the total potential can be written as

\[ V = \mu_1^{4-p} \phi^p + \mu_2^2 \cos (\psi + \theta). \tag{3.8} \]

Analogously to the natural inflation discussed in the last section, the curvature and isocurvature perturbations in our monodromy inflation scenario read

\[ P_R = A_S k^{n_s-1}, \]

\[ P_T \approx \left( \frac{\Omega_a}{\Omega_m} \right)^2 \left( \frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left( \frac{2}{g_1 \theta_0} \right)^2 \approx \left( \frac{\Omega_a}{\Omega_m} \right)^2 \left( \frac{2}{g_1 \theta_0} \right)^2 A_S \left( \frac{p}{\phi_0} \right)^2, \tag{3.9} \]

with

\[ H^2 = \frac{\mu_1^{4-p} \phi_0^p}{3} = 4\pi^2 A_S \left( \frac{p}{\phi_0} \right)^2 \tag{3.10} \]

replacing $\mu_1$ by $A_S$ through the CMB normalization, $\mu_1^{4-p} = 12\pi^2 p^2 A_S \phi_0^{-p-2}$, where $p = 2$ corresponds to the natural inflation case. The cross-correlation power spectrum then becomes

\[ P_C \sim 2.1 \left( \frac{1}{g_1 g_2} \right) \left( \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\mu_1}{\phi_0} \right)^p \cos (\psi_0 + \theta_0) \sqrt{P_R P_T} \]

\[ = 2.1 \left( \frac{1}{g_1 g_2} \right) \left( \frac{\mu_2}{12\pi^2 A_S} \right) \phi_0^2 \cos (\psi_0 + \theta_0) \sqrt{P_R P_T}. \tag{3.11} \]

We plot the cross-correlation parameter

\[ \beta_C = \frac{P_C}{\sqrt{P_R P_T}} = 2.1 \left( \frac{1}{g_1 g_2} \right) \left( \frac{\mu_2}{12\pi^2 A_S} \right) \phi_0^2 \cos (\psi_0 + \theta_0) \left( \frac{\phi_0}{p} \right)^2, \tag{3.12} \]
by varying the index \( p \) and the e-folding number \( N \) in figure 4, where the prefactor of \( \phi_0^2/p^2 \) is set to \( \pm 0.005 \) for concreteness. \( \beta_C \) increases for a larger \( p \) because the initial field value of axion-inflaton increases for a larger \( p \). By considering the consistency conditions as spelled out below eq. (2.15) in the last section for the validity of our calculations, the cross-correlation is bounded above by

\[
\beta_C \simeq 2.1 \left( \frac{1}{g_1 g_2} \right) \left( \frac{\mu_2^4}{12 \pi^2 p^2 A_S} \right) \phi_0^2 \cos(\psi_0 + \theta_0) \\
\ll 2.1 \left( \frac{1}{g_1 g_2} \right). \tag{3.13}
\]

Table 2 lists some illustrative values for the isocurvature perturbation parameters by setting the typical values for parameter sets in the scalar potential (3.6). Here, we choose the same values of the axion decay constants, for simplicity.

We next estimate the fraction of isocurvature perturbations

\[
\beta_{\text{iso}} = \frac{P_I}{P_R + P_I} = \frac{P_I}{P_R + P_I}, \tag{3.14}
\]

where the power spectrum of adiabatic perturbations is fixed to be \( A_S = 2.2 \times 10^{-9} \) at the pivot scale \( k_* = 0.05 \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \), whereas the fraction of \( P_R \) and \( P_I \) is given by

\[
\frac{P_I}{P_R} \approx \left( \frac{\Omega_a}{\Omega_m} \right)^2 \left( \frac{2}{g_1 \theta_0} \right)^2 \left( \frac{p}{\phi_0} \right)^2. \tag{3.15}
\]

Figure 5 plots \( \beta_{\text{iso}} \) with \( p = 2/3, 1, 4/3, 2 \) as a function \( \phi_0 \) by setting the parameters as

\[
\frac{P_I}{P_R} = c \times \left( \frac{p}{\phi_0} \right)^2, \tag{3.16}
\]

with \( c = 1, 10, 50 \), and we can find, as expected, the isocurvature contribution increases for a larger \( p \). The Planck data hence favors the sizable generally correlated isocurvature perturbations for the axion monodromy inflation with sinusoidal correction. Table 2 exemplifies the parameters which can realize the sizable fraction of isocurvature perturbations. Figures 1, 4 and 5 hence demonstrates that, for the axion monodromy inflation with \( p = 1, 2/3 \) including the sinusoidal correction, there is a preference for the existence of cross-correlated isocurvature modes in the currently available CMB data.

4 Conclusion

The natural inflation and axion monodromy inflation with moduli-dependent sinusoidal corrections can generically appear in the scalar potential through the non-perturbative effects. We demonstrated that probing the precise nature of isocurvature fluctuations can help us understand the nature of fundamental physics using these popular inflation models as concrete examples. In this paper, we focused on the scenarios where the heavy axion induces the adiabatic perturbations while another light axion sources the isocurvature perturbations with their cross-correlations taken into account.

Section 2 demonstrated that the cross-correlated isocurvature mode gives an even tighter constraints on the decay constant of axion-inflaton and the e-folding number for natural
Figure 4. $P_C/\sqrt{P_R P_I}$ and $n_s$ for the axion monodromy inflation ($V_{\text{inf}} = \mu_1^4 - p \phi^p$) with sinusoidal correction (68 % and 95 % CL contours are from Planck [3]). $P_C/\sqrt{P_R P_C} = c \times \phi_0^2/p^2$ for $c = 0.005$ are shown for varying the e-folding number $N$. The anti-correlation cases (for $c = -0.005$) are also shown with the dashed lines.

Figure 5. $\beta_{\text{iso}} \equiv P_I/(P_R + P_I)$ and $n_s$ for the axion monodromy inflation ($V_{\text{inf}} = \mu_1^4 - p \phi^p$) with sinusoidal correction (68 % and 95 % CL contours from Planck [3]). $(\beta_{\text{iso}}, n_s)$ are shown for $P_I/P_R = c \times p^2/\phi_0^2$ with $c = 1, 5, 50$. 

\[ \beta_{\text{iso}} \equiv P_I/(P_R + P_I) \]
showed that the cross-correlated isocurvature perturbations are not favored by Planck for the natural inflation, section 3 showed that there is a preference for the existence of cross-correlated isocurvature mode for the axion monodromy inflation.

We also mention that, when, in contrast to our setup, the sinusoidal corrections are not suppressed enough, the scalar power spectrum could possess the modulating behaviour for the natural inflation [29, 45] and the axion monodromy inflation [43, 44, 46, 47]. Such an additional feature in the cosmological observables would also be of great interest to discriminate among the possible inflation models.

We illustrated our findings through the simple models where a single axion is added besides the axion-inflaton, but, in general, there could appear multiple light axions $\chi_i$ in addition to the axion-inflaton $\phi$. They can then have the mixing terms

$$\sum_j A^j \left( 1 - \cos \left( \frac{\phi}{g} + \sum_i \frac{\chi_i}{g^i} \right) \right),$$

and the analysis analogous to what has been done in this paper can be preformed for such multiple axion cases too.

Even though Planck, in particular the addition of the polarization data, can significantly tighten the constraints on the isocurvature modes, we should be careful about the potential systematics in the current Planck data. For instance, it was pointed out that the apparent low power in $TE$ spectrum could result in the preference for the positive cross-correlation, and this could cause an over-constraint on the isocurvature component if such a power spectrum feature is due to the unidentified systematics [48]. While the current polarization data at hand are not yet robust to the systematics on the large scales, the forthcoming polarization data with a better handle on the systematics would certainly be able to probe the nature of isocurvature perturbations more precisely and consequently explore the properties of ubiquitous light degrees of freedom in the early Universe.

---

### Table 2

| $p$ | $N$ | $g_1$ | $g_2$ | $\mu_2^{4-p}/H^2$ | $\Omega_a/\Omega_m$ | $\cos(\psi_0 + \theta_0)$ | $\theta_0$ | $\beta_C$ | $\beta_{iso}$ | $n_s$ |
|-----|-----|-------|-------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| 2/3 | 55  | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $3 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1/2$ | 2 | 0.001 | 0.14 | 0.964 |
| 4/3 | 55  | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $3 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1/2$ | 2 | 0.001 | 0.1 | 0.97 |
| 1   | 55  | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ | $3 \times 10^{-11}$ | $3 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1/2$ | 2 | 0.001 | 0.08 | 0.973 |
| 2/3 | 55  | $10^{-2}$ | $10^{-2}$ | $3 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.03 | $1/2$ | 2 | 0.001 | 0.14 | 0.964 |
| 4/3 | 55  | $10^{-2}$ | $10^{-2}$ | $3 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.03 | $1/2$ | 2 | 0.001 | 0.08 | 0.973 |
| 1   | 55  | $10^{-2}$ | $10^{-2}$ | $3 \times 10^{-7}$ | 0.03 | $1/2$ | 2 | 0.001 | 0.05 | 0.976 |
| 2   | 55  | 1     | 1     | $1 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.03 | $1/2$ | 2 | $4 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.964 |
| 4/3 | 55  | 1     | 1     | $1 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.03 | $1/2$ | 2 | $4 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.97 |
| 1   | 55  | 1     | 1     | $1 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.03 | $1/2$ | 2 | $4 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.973 |
| 2/3 | 55  | 1     | 1     | $1 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.03 | $1/2$ | 2 | $4 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.976 |

Table 2. The typical numerical values for the axion monodromy inflation with sinusoidal correction.
Acknowledgments

We thank Jinn-ouk Gong for the useful discussions and the hospitality of CTPU where the collaboration initiated. K. K. was supported by Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R018-D1) and thanks the Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics for hospitality. T. K. was supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 25400252 and No. 26247042 from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) in Japan. H. O. was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows No. 26-7296.

References

[1] WMAP collaboration, C.L. Bennett et al., Nine-year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) observations: final maps and results, Astrophys. J. Suppl. 208 (2013) 20 [arXiv:1212.5225 [hep-ex]].

[2] J. Valiviita and T. Giammanontio, Constraints on primordial isocurvature perturbations and spatial curvature by Bayesian model selection, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 123516 [arXiv:0909.5190 [astro-ph]].

[3] PLANCK collaboration, P.A.R. Ade et al., Planck 2015 results. XX. Constraints on inflation, arXiv:1502.02114 [hep-th].

[4] L. Amendola, C. Gordon, D. Wands and M. Sasaki, Correlated perturbations from inflation and the cosmic microwave background, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 211302 [astro-ph/0107089] [hep-th].

[5] D. Polarski and A.A. Starobinsky, Isocurvature perturbations in multiple inflationary models, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 6123 [astro-ph/9404061] [hep-th].

[6] D. Langlois, Correlated adiabatic and isocurvature perturbations from double inflation, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 123512 [astro-ph/9906080] [hep-th].

[7] P. Crotty, J. García-Bellido, J. Lesgourgues and A. Riazuelo, Bounds on isocurvature perturbations from CMB and LSS data, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 171301 [astro-ph/0306286] [hep-th].

[8] H. Kurki-Suonio, V. Muhonen and J. Valiviita, Correlated primordial perturbations in light of CMB and LSS data, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 063005 [astro-ph/0412439] [hep-th].

[9] K.J. Mack and P.J. Steinhardt, Cosmological problems with multiple axion-like fields, JCAP 05 (2011) 001 [arXiv:0911.0418] [hep-th].

[10] K. Kadota, J.-O. Gong, K. Ichiki and T. Matsubara, CMB probes on the correlated axion isocurvature perturbation, JCAP 03 (2015) 026 [arXiv:1411.3974] [hep-th].

[11] J.-O. Gong and G. Leung, Correlation of isocurvature perturbation and non-Gaussianity, JCAP 12 (2015) 042 [arXiv:1504.01528] [hep-th].

[12] M. Bucher, K. Moodley and N. Turok, Constraining isocurvature perturbations with CMB polarization, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 191301 [astro-ph/0012141] [hep-th].

[13] R.D. Peccei and H.R. Quinn, CP conservation in the presence of instantons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 1440 [hep-th].

[14] R.D. Peccei and H.R. Quinn, Constraints imposed by CP conservation in the presence of instantons, Phys. Rev. D 16 (1977) 1791 [hep-th].

[15] R. Blumenhagen, B. Kors, D. Lust and S. Stieberger, Four-dimensional string compactifications with D-branes, orientifolds and fluxes, Phys. Rept. 445 (2007) 1 [hep-th/0610327] [hep-th].
[16] L.E. Ibanez and A.M. Uranga, *String theory and particle physics: an introduction to string phenomenology*, Cambridge Univ. Pr., Cambridge U.K. (2012) [nSPIRE].

[17] D. Baumann and L. McAllister, *Inflation and string theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge U.K. (2015) [arXiv:1404.2601] [nSPIRE].

[18] K. Freese, J.A. Frieman and A.V. Olinto, *Natural inflation with pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone bosons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** (1990) 3233 [nSPIRE].

[19] D. Baumann and L. McAllister, *Inflation and string theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge U.K. (2015) [arXiv:1404.2601] [nSPIRE].

[20] K. Freese, J.A. Frieman and A.V. Olinto, *Natural inflation with pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone bosons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** (1990) 3233 [nSPIRE].

[21] L. McAllister, E. Silverstein and A. Westphal, *Gravity waves and linear inflation from axion monodromy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 046003 [arXiv:0808.0706] [nSPIRE].

[22] K. Kadota, J.-O. Gong, K. Ichiki and T. Matsubara, *CMB probes on the correlated axion isocurvature perturbation*, *JCAP* **03** (2015) 026 [arXiv:1411.3974] [nSPIRE].

[23] S. Ferrara, L. Girardello and H.P. Nilles, *Breakdown of local supersymmetry through gauge fermion condensates*, *Phys. Lett. B* **125** (1983) 457 [nSPIRE].

[24] K. Choi and J.E. Kim, *Harmful axions in superstring models*, *Phys. Lett. B* **154** (1985) 393 [Erratum ibid. B 156 (1985) 452] [nSPIRE].

[25] T. Banks, M. Dine, P.J. Fox and E. Gorbatov, *On the possibility of large axion decay constants*, *JCAP* **06** (2003) 001 [hep-th/0303252] [nSPIRE].

[26] P. Svrček and E. Witten, *Axions in string theory*, *JHEP* **06** (2006) 051 [hep-th/0605206] [nSPIRE].

[27] D. Lüst and S. Stieberger, *Gauge threshold corrections in intersecting brane world models*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **55** (2007) 427 [hep-th/0302221] [nSPIRE].

[28] R. Blumenhagen, B. Kors, D. Lüst and S. Stieberger, *Four-dimensional string compactifications with D-branes, orientifolds and fluxes*, *Phys. Rept.* **445** (2007) 1 [hep-th/0610327] [nSPIRE].

[29] H. Abe, T. Kobayashi and H. Otsuka, *Towards natural inflation from weakly coupled heterotic string theory*, *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2015** (2014) 063E02 [arXiv:1409.8436] [nHETERO].

[30] J.E. Kim, H.P. Nilles and M. Peloso, *Completing natural inflation*, *JCAP* **01** (2005) 005 [hep-ph/0409138] [nSPIRE].

[31] S. Dimopoulos, S. Kachru, J. McGreevy and J.G. Wacker, *N-flation*, *JCAP* **08** (2008) 003 [hep-th/0507205] [nSPIRE].

[32] T.C. Bachlechner, M. Dias, J. Frazer and L. McAllister, *Chaotic inflation with kinetic alignment of axion fields*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 023520 [arXiv:1404.7496] [nSPIRE].

[33] G. Shiu, W. Staessens and F. Ye, *Widening the axion window via kinetic and St"uckelberg mixings*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015) 181601 [arXiv:1503.01015] [nSPIRE].

[34] A. Hebecker, P. Mangat, F. Rompineve and L.T. Witkowski, *Winding out of the swamp: evading the weak gravity conjecture with F-term winding inflation?*, *Phys. Lett. B* **748** (2015) 455 [arXiv:1503.07912] [nSPIRE].

[35] E.D. Stewart and D.H. Lyth, *A more accurate analytic calculation of the spectrum of cosmological perturbations produced during inflation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **302** (1993) 171 [gr-qc/9302019] [nSPIRE].

[36] S. Weinberg, *Quantum contributions to cosmological correlations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 043514 [hep-th/0506236] [nSPIRE].
[37] J.-O. Gong and E.D. Stewart, The density perturbation power spectrum to second order corrections in the slow roll expansion, Phys. Lett. B 510 (2001) 1 [astro-ph/0101225] [inSPIRE].

[38] K. Kadota and E.D. Stewart, Successful modular cosmology, JHEP 07 (2003) 013 [hep-ph/0304127] [inSPIRE].

[39] V. Balasubramanian, J.P. Conlon and F. Quevedo, Systematics of moduli stabilisation in Calabi-Yau flux compactifications, JHEP 03 (2005) 007 [hep-th/0502058] [inSPIRE].

[40] E. Palti and T. Weigand, Towards large $r$ from $[p,q]$-inflation, JHEP 04 (2014) 155 [arXiv:1403.7507] [inSPIRE].

[41] N. Kaloper and L. Sorbo, A natural framework for chaotic inflation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 121301 [arXiv:0811.1989] [inSPIRE].

[42] L. McAllister, E. Silverstein, A. Westphal and T. Wrase, The powers of monodromy, JHEP 09 (2014) 123 [arXiv:1405.3652] [inSPIRE].

[43] R. Flauger, L. McAllister, E. Pajer, A. Westphal and G. Xu, Oscillations in the CMB from axion monodromy inflation, JCAP 06 (2010) 009 [arXiv:0907.2916] [inSPIRE].

[44] T. Kobayashi and F. Takahashi, Running spectral index from inflation with modulations, JCAP 01 (2011) 026 [arXiv:1011.3988] [inSPIRE].

[45] M. Czerny, T. Kobayashi and F. Takahashi, Running spectral index from large-field inflation with modulations revisited, Phys. Lett. B 735 (2014) 176 [arXiv:1403.4589] [inSPIRE].

[46] T. Kobayashi, O. Seto and Y. Yamaguchi, Axion monodromy inflation with sinusoidal corrections, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2014 (2014) 103E01 [arXiv:1404.5518] [inSPIRE].

[47] T. Higaki, T. Kobayashi, O. Seto and Y. Yamaguchi, Axion monodromy inflation with multi-natural modulations, JCAP 10 (2014) 025 [arXiv:1405.0775] [inSPIRE].

[48] Planck collaboration, P.A.R. Ade et al., Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters, arXiv:1502.01589 [inSPIRE].