A HIGHER-ORDER SENSITIVE FINITE DIFFERENCES SCHEME OF THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR 2D LINEAR HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS WITH CONSTANT COEFFICIENTS IN A CLASS OF DISCONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS
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ABSTRACT

In this study we develop a finite difference scheme for practical calculation of the Cauchy problem for the 2D scalar advection equation with a higher accuracy order constant coefficient, encountered in different fields of hydrodynamics. For this aim, to develop an auxiliary problem having some advantages over the main problem is introduced. The proposed auxiliary problem permits us to construct a higher-order sensitive finite differences scheme.
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ÖZ

Bu çalışmada, hidrodinamiğin çeşitli alanlarında karşılaşılan iki boyutlu skaler adveksiyon denklemi için yazılımsız Cauchy probleminin pratik hesaplanması için bir sonlu fark şeması geliştirilmiştir. Bu amaçla, ana probleme göre bazı avantajları olan bir yardımcı problem sunulmuştur. Önerilen yardımcı problem, daha yüksek mertebeden hassas bir sonlu farklar şeması oluşturmaya imkan sağlar.

 Anahtar Kelimeler: Hidrodinamiğin model denklemleri, Süreksiz fonksiyonlar sınıfında zayıf çözüm, Hareketli ağ
1. Introduction

As usual, let $\mathbb{R}^3(x,y,t)$ be Euclidean space of the points $(x,y,t)$ and $Q = \{a \leq x \leq b, c \leq y \leq d\}$, $Q_T = Q \times [0,T)$. Here $(x,y)$ and $t$ are spatial and time variables respectively. In $Q_T$, we consider the following problem

$$\frac{\partial u(x,y,t)}{\partial t} + A \frac{\partial u(x,y,t)}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial u(x,y,t)}{\partial y} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$u(x,y,0) = u_0(x,y). \quad (2)$$

Here, $A$ and $B$ are given constants, $u_0(x,y)$ is a known function having in $Q$ some lines of discontinuity of the first type. Equation of the type (1) appears in different model problems of hydrodynamics [1], [3], [4], [6], [7], [8], [15]-[18]. The problem (1), (2) later on we will call the main problem. Let $Q_{xy}$ be the domain defined as follows, Figure 1.

$$Q_{xy} = \{ (\xi, \eta), a \leq \xi \leq x, c \leq \eta \leq y \}.$$  

2. Exact Solutions of the Main and Auxiliary Problems

Using the method of characteristics, we can show that the function

$$u(x,y,t) = u_0(x-At, y-Bt) \quad (3)$$

is the exact solution of the main problem.

Definition 1. The function $u(x,y,t)$ satisfying the initial condition (2) is called a weak solution of the problem (1), (2) if the following integral relation

$$\int_{Q_{xy}} [u(x,y,t) \varphi(x,y,t) + A \varphi_x(x,y,t) + B \varphi_y(x,y,t)] dxdydt + \int_{Q_{xy}} \varphi(x,y,0)u_0(x,y) dxdy = 0. \quad (4)$$

holds for every test function $\varphi(x,y,t)$ defined and is differentiable in the upper half plane and vanishes for the large $|x| + t$ and $\varphi(x,T) = 0$.

**Theorem 1.** If the function $u(x,y,t)$ is a continuous solution of the main problem, then the function

$$u(x,y,t) = u_0(x-At, y-Bt)$$

is a weak solution of the main problem too, [9].

**Proof.** According to the definition of the weak solution we have

$$\int_{Q_{xy}} [u(x,y,t) \varphi(x,y,t) + A \varphi_x(x,y,t) + B \varphi_y(x,y,t)] dxdydt + \int_{Q_{xy}} \varphi(x,y,0)u_0(x,y) dxdy = 0. \quad (5)$$

**Theorem 2.** If the function $u(x,y,t) = u_0(x-At, y-Bt)$ is integrable, then the function $u(x,y,t)$ is a weak solution of the main problem, [9].

**Proof.** According to the definition of a weak solution we have

$$\int_{Q_{xy}} [u(x,y,t) \varphi(x,y,t) + A \varphi_x(x,y,t) + B \varphi_y(x,y,t)] dxdydt + \int_{Q_{xy}} \varphi(x,y,0)u_0(x,y) dxdy = 0. \quad (6)$$

Integrated equation (1) on the domain $Q_{xy}$ with respect to $x$ and $y$ we have

$$\int_a^x \int_c^y \left[u(\xi,\eta,t)\varphi(\xi,\eta,t) + A \varphi_x(\xi,\eta,t) + B \varphi_y(\xi,\eta,t)\right] d\xi d\eta + A \int_c^y \left[u(\xi,\eta,t) - u(\xi,\eta,0)\right] d\eta = 0. \quad (7)$$

or

$$\int_a^x \int_c^y \left[u(\xi,\eta,t)\varphi(\xi,\eta,t) + A \varphi_x(\xi,\eta,t) + B \varphi_y(\xi,\eta,t)\right] d\xi d\eta + B \int_c^y u(\xi,\eta,t) d\xi = 0. \quad (8)$$

The last equation we can rewrite as,

$$\int_c^y u(\xi,\eta,t) d\xi - B \int_a^x u(\xi,\eta,t) d\xi = 0. \quad (9)$$

Using the suggested method different problems were solved. [10]-[13] The general scheme offered method is shown in Figure 2. The equation (10) together with the condition (2) is called as first auxiliary problem.

We introduce the following operator

$$\Omega(\cdot) = \frac{\partial^2(\cdot)}{\partial x \partial y}. \quad (13)$$

and the function is defined as

$$\nu(x,y,t) = \int_c^y \left[u(\xi,\eta,t)\varphi(\xi,\eta,t) + A \varphi_x(\xi,\eta,t) + B \varphi_y(\xi,\eta,t)\right] d\xi d\eta + A \int_c^y \left[u(\xi,\eta,t) - u(\xi,\eta,0)\right] d\eta + B \int_a^x u(\xi,\eta,t) d\xi.$$

It is easily seen that the function $\nu(\cdot)$ is a solution of the equation (9).

Indeed,

$$\Omega(\nu(\cdot)) = 3(3) - 3(3) = 3\left[\int_c^y u(\xi,\eta,t) d\eta + B \int_a^x u(\xi,\eta,t) d\xi\right] = A \frac{\partial u(\eta,\xi,t)}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial u(\eta,\xi,t)}{\partial y} = 0.$$

**Figure 1:** The $Q_{xy}$ domain
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Figure 2: The general scheme of the suggested method

Sometimes happen conveniently introduce of the second type auxiliary problem defined as follows. Taking into consideration (14) the equation (10) take the form

\[ \frac{\partial v(x, y, t)}{\partial t} + A \frac{\partial v(x, y, t)}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial v(x, y, t)}{\partial y} = 0. \]  

(15)

From (14) we have

\[ \frac{\partial^2 v(x, y, t)}{\partial x \partial y} = u(x, y, t). \]  

(16)

Indeed, if we differentiate the relation (14) at first with respect to x, then with respect to y we prove the validity of (16).

The initial condition for the equation (15) is

\[ v(x, y, 0) = v_0(x, y). \]  

(17)

here, the function \( v_0(x, y) \) is any continuously differentiable solution of the equation

\[ \frac{\partial^2 v_0(x, y)}{\partial x \partial y} = u_0(x, y). \]  

(18)

The problem (15),(17) is called the second type auxiliary problem. Both auxiliary problems have the following advantages:

- The differentiability property of the function \( v(x, y, t) \) with respect to x and y is one order higher than \( u(x, y, t) \).
- The function \( u(x, y, t) \) may be discontinuous.
- In obtaining the solution \( u(x, y, t) \) of the problem (1), (2), we do not use the derivatives \( u_x, u_y, u_t \) which can not exist usually.

It is obvious that the solution of the auxiliary problem is not unique \([7],[16]\). The following theorem is valid.

**Theorem 3.** If the function \( v(x,y,t) \) is the classical solution of the auxiliary problem (15),(17), then the function \( u(x,y,t) \) defined by (16) is a weak solution of the main problem.

**Proof.** Let the function \( \varphi(x,y,t) \) be a test function and we consider the following expression

\[ 0 = \int_{Q_{ab}(x,y,t)} 3 \varphi(x,y,t) \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + A \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) dx dy dt. \]

(19)

After some simple manipulation we get

\[ \int_{Q_{ab}(x,y,t)} \varphi(x,y,t) \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t \partial x} + A \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + B \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} \right) dx dy dt = 0. \]

(20)

Integrating (15) with respect to \( t, x, y \)

\[ \int_{Q_{ab}(x,y,t)} \varphi(x,y,t) \left( \frac{\partial v(x,y,t)}{\partial t} + A \frac{\partial v(x,y,t)}{\partial x} + B \frac{\partial v(x,y,t)}{\partial y} \right) dx dy dt = 0. \]

(21)

It proves Theorem 3.

Now we introduce a function \( v(x,y,t) \) defined by the following relation

\[ v(x,y,t) = \int_{a}^{x} u(\xi, \eta, t) d\xi dy + H_1(x,y, a, c, t). \]

(22)

Here, \( H_1(x,y, a, c, t) = -A \int_{a}^{x} u(\xi, \eta, t) d\xi + B \int_{a}^{x} u(\xi, c, t) d\xi. \)

(23)

It easily shows that \( 3 \{ H_1(x,y, a, c, t) \} = 0. \)

According to equation (21) we can rewrite equation (10) in the form

\[ \frac{\partial v(x,y,t)}{\partial t} + A \int_{a}^{x} u(\xi, \eta, t) d\xi dy + B \int_{a}^{x} u(\xi, c, t) d\xi = 0. \]

(24)

**3. Finite Differences Scheme for Cauchy Problem in a Class of Discontinuous Functions**

In this section, we intend to introduce the numerical method for the problem (1), (2), and investigate some properties of it. By using the advantages of the suggested auxiliary problem, a new numerical algorithm is proposed. In \([10],[11]\) the suggested numerical method applied to solve for nonlinear scalar equations of hydrodynamics

In further research, we will exploit the concept and theory of finite differences from the familiar books \([2],[5],[14],[15]\). In order to construct the method, the domain definition of the problem is covered by the following grid,

\[ \omega_{h_1,h_2,t} = \{(x_i,y_j,t_k) \}, \quad x_i = h_i, \quad y_j = jh_2, \quad t_k = kt, \quad i = 0,1,\ldots, j = 0,1,\ldots, \quad k = 0,1,\ldots,; \]

where, \( h_1, h_2, t \) and \( \tau \) are steps of the grid with respect to x, y and t, respectively. The problem (15),(17) at any points of the grid \( \omega_{h_1,h_2,t} \) is approximated by the following differences scheme

\[ \frac{\tilde{u}_{i,j} - \tilde{V}_{i,j} + A \frac{\tilde{u}_{i+1,j} - \tilde{u}_{i,j}}{h_x} + B \frac{\tilde{u}_{i,j+1} - \tilde{u}_{i,j}}{h_y}}{\tau} = 0 \]

(25)

The initial condition for (25) is

\[ V_{i,j,0} = v_0(x_i,y_j). \]

(26)

If we write the equation (25) in point \((i-1,j)\) and subtract it from (25) and divide it by \( h_x \) we get

\[ \frac{\tilde{u}_{i,j} - \tilde{V}_{i-1,j} + A \frac{\tilde{u}_{i+1,j} - \tilde{u}_{i,j}}{h_x} + B \frac{\tilde{u}_{i,j+1} - \tilde{u}_{i,j}}{h_y}}{h_x} + \frac{\tilde{u}_{i,j} - \tilde{V}_{i,j} + A \frac{\tilde{u}_{i,j+1} - \tilde{u}_{i,j}}{h_y}}{h_y} = 0. \]

(27)

It is easily seen that the function \( \tilde{\tilde{u}}_{i,j} \) defined with the help of the equality
\[
\hat{U}_{i,j} = \frac{1}{h_x \Delta x} \left[ \frac{U_{i,j} - U_{i,j-1}}{h_y} - \frac{U_{i-1,j} - U_{i-1,j-1}}{h_y} \right]
\]

is the solution of equation (25).

To approximate the problem (10)-(2) by the finite difference, the integrals leaving into (10) are approximated as follows

\[
\int_a^x u(\xi, y, t) d\xi = h_1 \sum_{i=1}^i U_{v,i,k},
\]

(28)

\[
\int_b^y u(x, \eta, t) d\eta = h_2 \sum_{\mu=1}^j U_{i,\mu,k},
\]

(29)

and

\[
\int_a^x \int_b^y u(\xi, \eta, t) d\xi d\eta = h_1 h_2 \sum_{i=1}^i \sum_{\mu=1}^j U_{v,i,\mu,k}.
\]

(30)

Taking this into consideration (25)-(27), the equation (9) at any point \((i,j,k)\) of the grid \(\Omega_{h_1,h_2,h_3}\) is approximated as follows

\[
u_{i,j,k+1} = (1 - \tau h_1 A - \tau h_2 B) U_{i,j,k} + \tau h_1 A \sum_{x=1}^i U_{x,j,k} + \tau h_2 B \sum_{\mu=1}^j U_{i,\mu,k} - \sum_{x=1}^i \sum_{\mu=1}^j \left( \frac{U_{x,j,k+1} - U_{x,j,k}}{h_1} - \frac{U_{i-1,j,k+1} - U_{i-1,j,k}}{h_2} \right) U_{i,j,k}.
\]

(31)

\(i = 0,1,2,\ldots; j = 0,1,2,\ldots, M; \quad k = 0,1,2,\ldots,\).  

The initial condition for (31) is

\[
u_{i,j,0} = u_0(x,y), \quad (i = 0,1,2,\ldots; j = 0,1,2,\ldots, M).
\]

The initial condition for \(u_0(x,y)\) obtained from equation (18)

\[
u_0(x,y) = \begin{cases} u_1 xy, & x < 0, \\ u_2 xy, & x > 0, \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1.
\]

4. Numerical Experiments

The basic goal of this study is to develop an algorithm for the solution of the Cauchy problem for the 2D parabolic type equation in a class of discontinuous functions. At first this algorithm is tested on a linear equation, and later this method will be developed to a nonlinear problem. Here and later on we will use the advantages of the suggested auxiliary problem. In order to convince on the validity of the suggested method at first the computer tests will be carried out for the exact solution.

For this aim as the function \(u_0(x,y)\), we take

\[
u_0(x,y) = \begin{cases} u_1, & x < 0, \\ u_2, & x > 0, \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1.
\]

For the sake of simplicity, we assume that \(A=B=1\).

There are two cases: (i) \(u_1 > u_2\), \(u_1 < u_2\). The graphs of these functions are demonstrated in Figure 3a) and 3b).
As it is seen from Figure 4a) and 4b) the order of differentiability of $v_0(x,y)$ is greater than $u_0(x,y)$, that permits us to apply classical methods. In order to find the exact solution of the main problem, we will use the solution of the auxiliary problem (15),(17) which is expressed as follows:

$$v(x,y,t) = \begin{cases} u_1(x-t)(y-t), & x < 1 + t, 0 \leq y - t \leq 1, \\ u_2(x-t)(y-t), & x > 1 + t, \end{cases}$$

The graph of the function $v(x,y,t)$ are depicted in Figure 5a) and 5b). Applying the formula (16) we find solution of the main problem Figure 6a) and 6b).

5. Conclusion
An algorithm to calculate the exact solution of the Cauchy problem for the 2D parabolic type equation in a class of discontinuous functions is suggested.

Figure 4:
a) The graph of the function $v_0(x,y)$, $u_1 > u_2$;  
b) The graph of the function $v_0(x,y)$, $u_1 < u_2$

Figure 5:
a) The graph of the function $v(x,y,t)$, $u_1 > u_2$ at $T = 1$;  
b) The graph of the function $v(x,y,t)$, $u_1 < u_2$ at $T = 1$

Figure 6:
a) The graph of the function $u(x,y,t) = I(v(x,y,t))$, $u_1 > u_2$ at $T = 1$;  
b) The graph of the function $u(x,y,t) = I(x,y,t)$, $u_1 < u_2$ at $T = 1$
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