Examination of total cross section resonance structure of niobium and silicon in neutron transmission experiments
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Abstract. The neutron transmission experiments are one of the main sources of information about the neutron cross section resonance structure and effect in the self-shielding. Such kind of data for niobium and silicon nuclides in energy range 7 keV to 3 MeV can be obtained from low-resolution transmission measurements performed earlier in Russia (with samples of 0.027 to 0.871 atom/barn for niobium and 0.076 to 1.803 atom/barn for silicon). A significant calculation-to-experiment discrepancy in energy range 100 to 600 keV and 300 to 800 keV for niobium and silicon, respectively, obtained using the evaluated nuclear data library ROSFOND, were found. The EVPAR code was used for estimation the average resonance parameters in energy range 7 to 600 keV for niobium. For silicon a stochastic optimization method was used to modify the resolved resonance parameters in energy range 300 to 800 keV. The improved ROSFOND evaluated nuclear data files were tested in calculation of ICSBEP integral benchmark experiments.

1. Introduction

Improvement of Russian national library of evaluated nuclear data files ROSFOND [1] is an actual task for increasing the calculation precision. There are still some incomplete issues in description the resonance structure in niobium and silicon cross-sections in the fast energy region. One of them is a need to validate the niobium unresolved resonance data [2] which are used in deep penetration calculations and in analyses of corresponding experiments. The second task is definition of the p-resonance within the energy close to 700 keV viewed in the JEF-2.2 (Fig. 1) but which is not included in new versions of any data libraries.

Testing of the niobium and silicon neutron data in the resonance energy region can be made with help of neutron transmission measurements [3] made through a wide range of thicknesses of samples. The neutron transmission experiments in energy range 1 keV to 3 MeV have been conducted in 1960s for a wide list of reactor materials ( [3]), such as Fe, Ni, Cr, Si, Nb, Be, Cu, Zr, Mo, W, Pb, Bi, Al, Zn, V, Ti, C, Mg, P, S, Ga, Sb, Ba, Ta. Transmission experimental data with samples of iron, chromium, and nickel were assessed and included in the ICSBEP Handbook [4] (as FUND-IPPE-VdG-MULT-TRANS-001). The integrated within the energy range (see Eq. (1)) measurements were performed by V.Fillipov [5] using the Van de Graff accelerator at the IPPE in Obninsk. The neutron source was generated by a titan-tritium target. Measurements were performed in the energy interval from 1 keV to 3 MeV.

2. Neutron transmission measurements

The main goal of this work is to test and improve self-shielding effects in the total cross section of these nuclides, because analysis of deep penetration experiments allows finding minima in the s-resonance curve which is important.

The measured neutron transmission function in the energy range \( \Delta E \) is defined as follows:

\[
T(t) = \int_{\Delta E} R(E) \cdot \exp \left[ -\sigma_t(E) \cdot t \right] dE,
\]

where \( \sigma(E) \) is a value of the total cross section, \( t \) is a thickness of a sample and \( R(E) \) is an energy spectrum as an experimental resolution function. So-called effective total cross section \( \sigma_{eff}(t) \) for the thickness \( t \) in the energy interval \( \Delta E \) is defined as follows:

\[
\sigma_{eff}(t) = -\frac{\ln T(t)}{t}.
\]

The measured neutron transmission functions for each energy interval \( \Delta E \), for different thicknesses were converted to the corresponding effective total cross sections and based on these data average total cross sections in different energy intervals were obtained by extrapolation to zero thickness, using a least square procedure, with a good accuracy. Energy intervals were corrected by comparison of experimental and average total cross sections obtained from nuclear data file [6].

2.1. Niobium experiments

For niobium two sets of neutron transmission measurement results were obtained for thicknesses of samples of 0.027 to 2.537 atom/barn. The first set of measurements – within the energy range 7 to 600 keV with \( \Delta E \) intervals 15–40 keV; the second one – within the energy range 300 keV to 3 MeV with \( \Delta E \) intervals 160–330 keV (the background effect was estimated by measurements with
samples of thickness more than 0.9 atom/barn). Evaluation of the transmission functions was obtained for 44 energy intervals. Figure 2 shows a comparison of the estimated total cross sections from experimental energy averaged with origin point-wise total cross sections from the ROSFOND nuclear data library in the energy range from 10 keV to 3 MeV.

The values of the total cross section obtained from the measurements of neutron transmission and point-wise ROSFOND nuclear data are well agreed.

Next step was testing of niobium resonance parameters within each energy interval. The interval of energy range 320 to 650 keV (Fig. 3) the most qualitatively shows a necessity to improve parameters of unresolved resonances for niobium. At energies above 600 keV the experimental and evaluated nuclear data for niobium are in a good agreement [7].

3. Correction of nuclear data

The considered transmission measurement results show a necessity for improvement of the niobium and silicon resonance structure parameters, and the ROSFOND nuclear data files (in energy range 100 to 600 keV for niobium and 300 to 800 keV for silicon) were modified based on these measurement results.

3.1. Niobium data

The upper energy of resolved resonance parameters ends at about 7 keV in all libraries of evaluated nuclear data. The unresolved resonance region for niobium is absent in ENDF-VII.1 [10] and JEFF-3.2 [11], has upper bounds at 30 keV in TENDL-2014 [12], at 50 keV in BROND-3.1 [13], at 100 keV in ROSFOND and at 600 keV in JENDL-4.0 [14]. To improve this situation the EVPAR code [15] was used for estimating for niobium average resonance parameters in energy range 7 to 600 keV. New resonance parameters allow minimizing calculated and experimental discrepancies in averaged effective total cross sections above 800 keV values of total cross sections obtained from experimental and evaluated nuclear data have well enough agreement [9].

4. Silicon experiments

Neutron transmission measurements for silicon were performed in energy range 300 keV to 3 MeV with interval 160–330 keV for thicknesses of samples of 0.076 to 1.803 atom/barn. Transmission measurements were evaluated in 13 energy intervals [8]. The obtained for silicon effective total cross sections within energy interval from 390 to 700 keV (Fig. 4) in a qualitative manner show a necessity to improve parameters of resolved resonances. The observed discrepancies show that within the energy range 300 to 800 keV there are inaccuracies in the silicon resonance parameters which included in recent evaluated nuclear data libraries. However, for energies above 800 keV values of total cross sections obtained from experimental and evaluated nuclear data have well enough agreement [9].
Table 1. Calculation results for HMF-047 benchmark using original and modified niobium ROSFOND data.

| Benchmark | ROSFOND  | ROSFOND+Nb |
|-----------|----------|------------|
| \(k_{\text{eff}}\) | 1.0007 ± 0.0037 | 1.00497 ± 0.00025 | 1.00198 ± 0.00026 |

Figure 5. Calculated results of HMM-005 using original and modified silicon ROSFOND data.

Figure 6. Calculated results of PMM-001 using original and modified silicon ROSFOND data.
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