PULSATION PERIOD VARIATIONS IN THE RRc LYRAE STAR KIC 5520878
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ABSTRACT

Learned et al. proposed that a sufficiently advanced extra-terrestrial civilization may tickle Cepheid and RR Lyrae variable stars with a neutrino beam at the right time, thus causing them to trigger early and jogging the otherwise very regular phase of their expansion and contraction. This would turn these stars into beacons to transmit information throughout the galaxy and beyond. The idea is to search for signs of phase modulation (in the regime of short pulse duration) and patterns, which could be indicative of intentional, omnidirectional signaling. We have performed such a search among variable stars using photometric data from the Kepler space telescope. In the RRc Lyrae star KIC 5520878, we have found two such regimes of long and short pulse durations. The sequence of period lengths, expressed as time series data, is strongly autocorrelated, with correlation coefficients of prime numbers being significantly higher ($p = 99.8\%$). Our analysis of this candidate star shows that the prime number oddity originates from two simultaneous pulsation periods and is likely of natural origin. Simple physical models elucidate the frequency content and asymmetries of the KIC 5520878 light curve. Despite this SETI null result, we encourage testing of other archival and future time-series photometry for signs of modulated stars. This can be done as a by-product to the standard analysis, and can even be partly automated.
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1. INTRODUCTION

We started this work with the simple notion to explore the phases of variable stars, given the possibility of intentional modulation by some advanced intelligence (Learned et al. 2008). The essence of the original idea was that variable stars are a natural target of study for any civilization due to the fact of their correlation between period and total light output. This correlation has allowed them to be the first rung in the astronomical distance ladder, a fact noted in 1912 by Henrietta Leavitt (Leavitt & Pickering 1912). Moreover, such oscillators are sure to have a period of instability at which time they are sensitive to perturbations and can be triggered to flare earlier in their cycle than otherwise. If some intelligence can do this in a regular manner, they have the basis of a galactic semaphore for communicating not only within one galaxy, but in the instance of brighter Cepheids with many galaxies. This would be a one-way communication, like a radio station broadcasting indiscriminately. One may speculate endlessly about the motivation for such communication; we only aver that there are many possibilities and, given our complete ignorance of any other intelligence in the universe, we can only hope we will recognize signs of artificiality when we see them.

We must be careful to acknowledge that we are fully aware that this is a mission seemingly highly unlikely to succeed, but the payoff could be so great it is exciting to try. In what we report below, we have begun such an investigation, and found some behavior in a variable star that is, at first glance, very hard to understand as a natural phenomenon. A deeper analysis of simultaneous pulsations then shows its most likely natural origin. This opens the stage for a discussion of what kind of artificiality we should look for in future searches.

By way of more detailed introduction, astronomers who studied variable stars in the past have generally had sparsely sampled data, and in earlier times even finding the periodicity was an accomplishment worth publishing. Various analytical methods were used, such as the venerable “string method,” which involves folding the observed magnitudes to a common one cycle graph, phase ordering and connecting the points by a virtual “string” (Petrie 1962; Dworetzki 1982). Editing of events that did not fit well was common. One moved the trial period until the string length was minimized. In more recent times, with better computing ability, the use of Lomb–Scargle folding (Lomb 1976; Scargle 1982) and Fourier transforms (Ransom et al. 2002) has become prominent. For our purposes, however, such transforms obliterate the cycle-to-cycle variations that we seek, and thus we have started afresh looking at the individual peak-to-peak periods and their modulation.

The type of analysis we want to carry out could not have been done even a few years ago, since it requires many frequent observations on a single star, with good control over the photometry over time. This is very hard to do from Earth—we seem to be, accidentally, on the wrong planet for the study of one major type of variables, the RR Lyrae stars (Welch 2014). Typically, these stars pulsate with periods near 0.5 days (a few have shorter or longer periods). This is unfortunately well-matched to the length of a night on Earth. Even in the case of good weather, and observing every night from the same location, every second cycle is lost because it occurs while the observation site is in daylight. This changed with the latest generation of
space telescopes, such as Kepler and CoRoT. The Kepler satellite was launched in 2009 with the primary mission of detecting the photometric signatures of planets transiting stars. Kepler had a duty cycle of up to 99%, and was pointed at the same sky location at all times. The high-quality, near uninterrupted data have also been used for other purposes, such as studying variable stars. One such finding was a remarkable, but previously completely undetected and unsuspected behavior: period-doubling. This is a two-cycle modulation of the light curve of Blazhko-type RR Lyrae stars. It is also not a small effect: in many cases, every other peak brightness is different from the previous one by up to 10% (Blazhko 1907; Smith 2004; Szabó et al. 2010; Kolláth et al. 2011; Smolec et al. 2012). This has escaped Earth-bound astronomers for reasons explained above.

2. DATA SET

Our idea was to search for period variations in variable stars. We employed the database best suited for this today, namely Kepler photometric signatures of planets transiting stars. Kepler had a duty cycle of up to 99%, and was pointed at the same sky location at all times. The high-quality, near uninterrupted data have also been used for other purposes, such as studying variable stars. One such finding was a remarkable, but previously completely undetected and unsuspected behavior: period-doubling. This is a two-cycle modulation of the light curve of Blazhko-type RR Lyrae stars. It is also not a small effect: in many cases, every other peak brightness is different from the previous one by up to 10% (Blazhko 1907; Smith 2004; Szabó et al. 2010; Kolláth et al. 2011; Smolec et al. 2012). This has escaped Earth-bound astronomers for reasons explained above.

2.2. Data Processing: Measuring Pulsation Period Lengths

Most commonly, Fourier decomposition is used to determine periodicity. Other methods are phase dispersion minimization (PDM), fitting polynomials, or smoothing for peak/low detection. The Fourier transformation (FT) and its relatives (such as the least-squares spectral analysis used by Lomb–Scargle for reduction of noise caused by large gaps) have their strength in showing the total spectrum. FT, however, cannot deliver clear details about trends or the evolution of periodicity. This is also true for PDM, which does not identify pure phase variations since it uses the whole light curve to obtain the solution (Stellingwerf et al. 2013).

The focus of our study was pulsation period length and its trends. We have, therefore, tried different methods to detect individual peaks (and lows for comparison). In the short cadence data (one-minute integrations), we found that many methods work equally well. As the average period length of KIC 5520878 is ~0.269 days, we have ~387 data points in one cycle. First of all, we tried eye-balling the time of the peaks and noted approximate times. As there is some jitter on the top of most peaks, we then employed a centered moving-average (trying different lengths) to smooth this out, and calculated the maxima of this smoothed curve. Afterward, we fitted nth order polynomials, trying different numbers of terms. While this is computationally more expensive, it virtually gave the same results as eye-balling and smoothing. Deviations between the methods are on the order of a few data points (minutes). We judge these approaches to be robust and equally suitable. Finally, we opted to proceed with the peak times derived with the smoothing approach as this is least susceptible for errors.

In the long cadence data (30 minutes), there are only ~13 data points in one cycle (Figure 1). Simply using the bin with the highest luminosity thus introduces large timing errors. We tried fitting templates to the curve, but found this very difficult due to considerable change in the shape of the light curve from cycle to cycle. This stems from two main effects: amplitude variations of ~3% cycle-to-cycle, and the seemingly random occurrence of a bump during luminosity increase, when luminosity rises steeply and then takes a short break before reaching its maximum. We found fitting polynomials to be more efficient, and tested the results for varying number of terms and number of data points involved. The benchmark we employed was the short cadence data, which gave us 1810 cycles for comparison with the long cadence data. We found the best result to be a fifth-order-polynomial fitted to the seven highest data points of each cycle, with new parameters for each cycle. This gave an average deviation of only 4.2 minutes, when compared to the short cadence data. Figure 1 shows a typical fit for short and long cadence data.

2.3. Data Processing Result

During the 4 yr of Kepler data, 5417 pulsation periods passed. We obtained the lengths of 4707 of these periods (87%), while 707 cycles (13%) were lost due to spacecraft downtimes and data glitches. Out of the 4707 periods we obtained, we had short cadence data for 1810 periods (38%). For the other 62% of pulsations, we used long cadence data.

2.4. Data Quality

The errors given by the Kepler team for short integrations (1 minute) for KIC 5520878 are \( \leq 35 e^{-1} \) for the flux (that

---

8 It has also led to a campaign of observing RR Lyrae, the prototype star, from different Earth locations, in order to increase the coverage (Le Borgne et al. 2014). This is especially useful as Kepler finished its regular mission due to a technical failure.

9 These are KIC 4064484, 5520878, 8832417, and 9453114, according to Nemec et al. (2013).
is 0.1% of an average flux of 35,000 e− s−1). These errors are smaller than the point size of Figure 2, which shows the complete data set. We have applied corrections for instrumental drift by matching to nearby stable stars. Some instrumental residuals on the level of a few percent remain, which are very hard to clean out in such a strongly variable star. As explained above, these variations are irrelevant for our period length determination.

3. BASIC FACTS ON RRc LYRAE KIC 5520878

3.1. Metallicity and Radial Velocity

KIC 5520878 has been found to be metal rich. When expressed as [Fe/H], which gives the logarithm of the ratio of a star’s iron abundance compared to that of our Sun, KIC 5520878 has a ratio of [Fe/H] = −0.36 ± 0.06, which is about half that of our Sun. This information comes from a spectroscopic study with the Keck-I 10 m HIRES echelle spectrograph for the 41 RR Lyrae in the Kepler field of view (Nemec et al. 2013). The study also derived temperatures (KIC 5520878: 7250 K, the second hottest in the sample) and radial velocity of −0.70 ± 0.29 km s−1.

When compared to the other RR Lyrae from Kepler, or more generally in our solar neighborhood, it becomes clear that metal-rich RR Lyrae are rare. Most (95%) RR Lyrae seem to be old (>10 Gyr; Lee 1992), metal-poor stars with high radial velocity (Layden 1995). They belong to the halo population of the galaxy,
orbiting around the galactic center with average velocities of \( \sim 230 \text{ km s}^{-1} \).

There seems to exist, however, a second population of RR Lyrae. Their high metallicity is a strong indicator of their younger age, as heavy elements were not common in the early universe. Small radial velocities point toward them comoving with the galactic rotation and, thus, belonging to the younger population of the galactic disk, sometimes divided into the very young thin disk and the somewhat older thick disk. Our candidate star, RRc KIC 5520878, seems to be one of these rarer objects, as shown in Figure 3.

### 3.2. Cosmic Distance

RR Lyrae stars are part of our cosmic distance ladder, due to the peculiar fact that their absolute luminosity is simply related to their period, and hence if one measures the period one gets the light output. By observing the apparent brightness, one can then derive the distance. The empirical relation is

\[
5 \log_{10} D = m - M - 10
\]

where \( m \) is the apparent magnitude and \( M \) the absolute magnitude. If we take \( Kp = 14.214 \) for KIC 5520878 and \( M = 0.75 \) for RR Lyrae stars (Layden 1995), the distance calculates to \( D = 4.9 \text{ kpc} \) (or \( \sim 16,000 \text{ LY} \)), that is \( \sim 16\% \) of the diameter of our galaxy—the star is not quite in our neighborhood.

### 3.3. Rotation Period

Taking an empirical relation of pulsation period \( P \) and radius \( R \) of RR Lyrae stars (Marconi et al. 2005) of

\[
\log_{10} R = 0.90(\pm0.03) + 0.65(\pm0.03) \log_{10} P,
\]

one can derive \( R = 3.4 R_{\text{SUN}} \) for KIC 5520878. The inclination angle \( i \) is not derivable with the data available. Thus, a corresponding minimum rotation period would be \( 33.8 \pm 1 \text{ days} \) at \( i = 90 \), or \( 47.8 \text{ days} \) at \( i = 45 \). The minimum rotation period of \( 33.8 \text{ days} \) is equivalent to \( \sim 126 \) cycles (of \( 0.269 \) days length), so that the rotation period is much slower than the pulsation period.

### 3.4. Fourier Frequencies

KIC 5520878 has been studied in detail (Moskalik 2014). In a Fourier analysis, a secondary frequency of \( f_X = 5.879 \text{ day}^{-1} \) \( (P_X = 0.17 \text{ days}) \) was found. The author calculates a ratio of \( P_X/P_1 = 0.632 \), a rare but known ratio among RR Lyrae stars. This rareness is probably caused by instrumental bias, as these secondary frequencies are low in amplitude, and were only detected with the rise of high-quality time-series photometry (e.g., OGLE) and millimag precision space photometry. Indeed, the \( \sim 0.6 \) period ratio was detected in six out of six randomly selected RRc stars observed with space telescopes (Moskalik 2014; Szabó et al. 2014).

In addition, significant subharmonics were found with the strongest being \( f_Z = 2.937 \text{ day}^{-1} \) \( (P_Z = 0.34 \text{ days}) \). Its origin can be traced to a half-integer resonance between the pulsation modes (Moskalik & Buchler 1990). The author also compares several RRc stars and finds “the richest harvest of low amplitude modes (…).” The origin can be traced to a half-integer resonance between the pulsation modes (Moskalik & Buchler 1990). The author also compares several RRc stars and finds “the richest harvest of low amplitude modes (…).” The origin can be traced to a half-integer resonance between the pulsation modes (Moskalik & Buchler 1990). The author also compares several RRc stars and finds “the richest harvest of low amplitude modes (…).” The origin can be traced to a half-integer resonance between the pulsation modes (Moskalik & Buchler 1990).
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against the first overtone, and thus the $P_X$ mode is commonly identified as nonradial.

In Section 5.1, we explore the Fourier spectrum in more detail.

### 3.5. An Optical Blend?

Unusual frequencies might be contributed by an unresolved companion with a different variability. To check this, we have obtained observations down to a magnitude of 19.6 with a privately owned telescope and a clear filter. Using a resolution of 0.9 px arcsec$^{-1}$, it can be easily seen that a faint (18.6 mag) companion is present at a distance of $\approx 7$ arcsec, as shown in Figure 4. The standard Kepler aperture mask fully contains this companion; however, the magnitude difference between this uncataloged companion and KIC 5520878 is larger than 4.4 mag, so that the light contribution into our Kepler photometry is very small. At a distance of 4.9 kpc, the projected distance of this companion is $\approx 0.15$ parsec, resulting in a potential circular orbit of $\approx 4 \times 10^6$ yr. The most likely case is, however, that this star is simply an unrelated, faint background (or foreground) star with negligible impact to Kepler photometry.

A second argument can be made against blending: with Fourier transforms, linear combinations of frequencies can be found. These are intrinsic behaviors of one star’s interior, and cannot be produced by two separate stars.

### 3.6. Phase Fold of the Light Curve

We have used all short cadence data and created a folded light curve, as shown in Figure 5. It clearly displays the two periods present: the strong main pulsation $P_1$, and the weaker secondary pulsation $P_X$.

### 3.7. Amplitude Features: No Period Doubling or Blazhko Effect

With Kepler data, a previously unknown effect in RR Lyrae was discovered, named “period doubling” (Kolenberg et al. 2010). This effect manifests itself in an amplitude variation of up to 10% of every second cycle. It was found in several Blazhko–RRab stars, but its occurrence rate is yet unclear. Our candidate star, KIC 5520878, does not show the period doubling effect with respect to the main pulsation mode. Judging from Figure 6, which shows a typical sample of amplitude fluctuations, the variations are more complex. However, as will be explained below, the star does exhibit a strong and complex period variation in the secondary pulsation $P_X$.

Regarding the Blazhko effect, a long-term variation best visible in an amplitude-over-time graph, we can see no clear evidence for it being present in KIC 5520878. Figure 2 shows the complete data set, which features some amplitude variations over time, but not of the typical sinusoidal Blazhko style.

### 4. PERIOD LENGTH AND VARIATIONS

#### 4.1. Measured Period Lengths

The average period length is constant at $P_1 = 0.269$ days when averaged on a timescale of more than a few days. On a shorter timescale, larger variations can be seen. Peak-to-peak, the variation is in the range [0.24 days to 0.30 days], low-to-low in the range [0.26 days to 0.28 days]. For any given cycle, the qualitative result of measuring through the lows and through the peaks is equivalent, meaning that a short (long) period is measured to

---

**Figure 4.** CCD photography centered on KIC 5520878. The Kepler aperture mask of $4 \times 4$ Kepler pixels ($1 \text{ px} \approx 3.9$ arcsec) is shown as a square. It fully contains the faint uncataloged star, marked with a vertical line.

**Figure 5.** Left panel: folded light curve for the main pulsation period $P_1 = 0.269$ days with sine fit (gray) centered on peak (upper curves). Below: residuals of sine fit, displayed at 25,000. Right panel: phase fold to $P_2 = 0.17$ days. Note the changed horizontal axis and sine fit (gray) with much smaller variation, about 2% of $P_1$. 

---
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be short (long), no matter whether peaks or lows are used. As the peak-to-peak method gives larger nominal differences (and thus better signal-to-noise), we focus on the peak times from here on.

The variation seems to fluctuate in cycles of variable length. We estimate maxima at BJD $\sim 164$, $317$, $533$, $746$, $964$, $1328$, and $1503$. Their separation is then (in days): $153$, $216$, $213$, $218$, $364$, and $175$ (Figure 7). As some of these are near one Earth ($\textit{Kepler}$) year, or half of it, we have to carefully judge the data regarding barycentering, which has been performed by the $\textit{Kepler}$ team. We argue against a barycentering error for three reasons: first, the differences caused by barycentering between two subsequent cycles are negligible ($<0.5$ s), while the cycle has an average length of $\sim 387$ minutes. Second, the cycles are of varying lengths, while a year is not. Third, the large $\textit{Kepler}$ community would most likely already have found such a major error. We therefore judge the long-term variation of period lengths to be a real phenomenon.

In Section 5, we will explain how the period length variations originate from the presence of a second pulsation mode.

4.2. Comparing Period Length Variations to Other RR Lyrae Stars

The period variation of RR Lyrae, the prototype star, has been measured to $\sim 0.53\%$ (Stellingwerf et al. 2013). This is low compared to $\sim 22\%$ for KIC 5520878. The low value of RR Lyrae is reproducible with our method of individual peak detection. The authors estimate a scatter of $\sim 0.01$ days caused by measurement errors. We have furthermore looked at several other RR Lyrae stars in the $\textit{Kepler}$ field of view, and found others (V445 = KIC 6186029; KIC 8832417) that also show large period variations. For KIC 6186029 the variations are $0.49$ days to $0.53$ days, which is $\sim 8\%$. It has been analyzed in a paper whose title summarizes the findings as “Two Blazhko modulations, a nonradial mode, possible triple mode RR Lyrae pulsation and more” (Guggenberger 2013).

4.3. Period–Amplitude Relation

KIC 5520878 shows a significant ($p > 99.9\%$) relation of longer periods having higher flux. In a recent paper, a counter clockwise looping evolution between amplitude and period in the prototype RR Lyrae was detected. This looping, thus far only found in RRab–Blazhko stars, cannot be reproduced in KIC 5520878 (Stellingwerf et al. 2013).

4.4. Distribution of Period Lengths

The period lengths of the 4707 cycles that we measured are not just Gaussian distributed, and the distribution changes over time considerably. Figure 8 shows their change over time. For every given time, we can see a regime of shorter and a regime of longer period lengths. For the times of higher variance, these regimes are clearly split in two, with no periods of average length (forbidden zone). During times of lower variance, no clear split can be seen.

4.5. Discussion of Period Lengths

It has been proposed that a sufficiently advanced civilization may employ Cepheid variable stars as beacons to transmit all-call information throughout the galaxy and beyond (Learned et al. 2008). The idea is that Cepheids and RR Lyrae are unstable oscillators and tickling them with a neutrino beam at the right time could cause them to trigger early, and hence jog the otherwise very regular phase of their expansion and contraction. The authors proposition was to search for signs of phase modulation (in the regime of short pulse duration) and patterns, which could be indicative of intentional signaling. Such phase modulation would be reflected in shorter and longer pulsation periods. We showed that the histograms do indeed contain two humps of shorter and longer periods. In case of an artificial cause for this, we would expect some sort of further indication. As a first step, we have applied a statistical autocorrelation test to the sequence of period lengths. This will be discussed below. Furthermore, we have assigned “one” to the short interval and “zero” to the long interval, producing a binary sequence. This series has been analyzed regarding its properties. It indeed shows some interesting features, including nonrandomness and the same autocorrelations. We have, however, found no indication of anything “intelligent” in the bitstream, and encourage the reader to have a look himself or herself, if interested. All data
Figure 7. Upper panel: pulsation period lengths over time using LC data (black) and SC data (gray). Note the fluctuations of variance. The peaks are indicated with triangles at $P_1 = 0.24$ days. In addition, there are “forbidden zones,” e.g., at BJD = 1500: $P_1 = 0.265$ d. This diagram can easily be understood as the typical $O-C$ (observed minus calculated) diagram if the average main pulsation period $P_1 = 0.269$ is set to zero. Periods longer than $P_1$ then have positive $O-C$ values and vice versa. Lower panel: zoom showing the progression of subsequent period lengths.

are available online (see Appendix B). A positive finding of a potential message, which we did not find here, could be a well-known sequence such as prime numbers, Fibonacci, or the like.

We have also compared several other RR Lyrae stars with Kepler data for their pulsation period distributions, and created a Kernel density estimate (Rosenblatt 1956; Parzen 1962) plots (Figure 9). We found no second case with two peaks, though some other RRc stars come close.

5. PRIME NUMBERS HAVE HIGH ABSOLUTE AUTOCORRELATION

We have performed a statistical autocorrelation analysis for the time series of period lengths. In the statistics of time series analysis, autocorrelation is the degree of similarity between the values of the time series, and a lagged version of itself. It is a statistical tool to find repeating patterns or identifying periodic processes. When computed, the resulting number for
every lag $n$ can be in the range $[-1, +1]$. An autocorrelation of +1 represents perfect positive correlation, so that future values are perfectly repeated, while at $-1$ the values would be opposite. In our tests, we found that pulsation variations are highly autocorrelated with highest lags for $n = 5, 19, 24,$ and $42$. When plotting integers versus their autocorrelation coefficients (ACFs, Figure 10), it can clearly be seen that prime numbers tend to have high absolute ACFs. The average absolute ACF of primes in $[1..100]$ is 0.51 ($n = 25$), while for nonprimes it is 0.37 ($n = 75$). The average values for these two groups are significantly different in a binominal test (this is the exact test of the statistical significance of deviations from a theoretically expected distribution of observations into two categories), $p = 99.79\%$ for the SC data, and $p = 99.77\%$ for the LC data. The effect is present over the whole data set, and for integers up to $\sim 200$. As there is no apparent physical explanation for this, we were tempted to suspect some artificial cause. In the following section, however, we will show its natural origin and why primes avoid the ACF range between $-0.2$ and $0.2$. 

---

**Figure 8.** Histograms of pulsation period length for the complete data set (upper left panel), for BJD = 634, a time of low variation (upper middle panel) and for BJD = 1514, when variation was high (upper right panel). Another histogram for BJD = 1310 can be found in Section 5, together with a comparison to simulated data. Times of histograms are indicated with gray bars in the lower panel.
Table 1

| Namea | Frequency (days) | Period (days) | Amplitude\(^b\) (e\(^{-} s\)^{-1}) | Amplitude % of \(f_1\) |
|-------|------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| \(f_1\) | 3.71515          | 0.26917       | 4990                             | 100.0           |
| \(2f_1\) | 7.43029          | 0.13458       | 549                              | 11.0            |
| \(3f_1\) | 11.14544         | 0.08972       | 308                              | 6.2             |
| \(f_X\) | 5.87884          | 0.17010       | 255                              | 5.1             |
| \(4f_1\) | 14.86058         | 0.06729       | 145                              | 2.9             |
| \(f_1 + f_X\) | 9.59399         | 0.10423       | 119                              | 2.4             |
| \(f_2 = 0.4996f_X\) | 2.93760         | 0.34041       | 83                               | 1.7             |
| \(f_1 + f_X\) | 13.30913        | 0.07514       | 37                               | 0.7             |
| \(f_X - f_1\) | 2.16370         | 0.46217       | 36                               | 0.7             |
| \(f_2 = 1.499f_X\) | 8.81238         | 0.11348       | 27                               | 0.5             |
| \(f_1 + f_X\) | 12.52753        | 0.07982       | 23                               | 0.5             |
| \(f_1 - f_X\) | 0.77755         | 1.28609       | 13                               | 0.2             |
| \(2fx\) | 11.75768         | 0.08505       | 10                               | 0.2             |
| \(f_1 + f_X\) | 16.25287        | 0.06157       | 10                               | 0.2             |
| \(2f_1 + 2f_X\) | 15.47283        | 0.06463       | 7                                | 0.1             |
| \(2f_1 + 2f_X\) | 19.18797        | 0.05212       | 5                                | 0.1             |

All secondary pulsations 634 12.7

Notes.
\(^a\) Frequencies are taken from Moskalik (2014).
\(^b\) In a simultaneous fit of all frequencies.
\(^c\) We get \(P_X/P_1 = 0.63195208\).

5.1. Natural Origin of Prime Numbers

As explained in Section 3, through Fourier analysis two pulsation periods can be detected, \(P_1 = 0.269\) days and \(P_X = 0.17\) days. While it is clear from Figure 1 that the light curve is not a sine curve, for simplicity we have taken it to be the sum of two sine curves in the following model. We used two sine curves of periods \(P_1\) and \(P_X\), with relative strengths of 100% and 20%, as shown in Figure 11 (left panel). When added up (right panel), period variations can easily be seen as indicated by the gray vertical lines.

We then ran this simulated curve for 100 cycles, and compared the peak times with the real data. The comparison is shown in Figure 12—this simple model resembles the observational data to some extent. The histogram (Figure 13 bottom right) also shows the two humps. When certain ratios of \(P_1\) and \(P_X\) are chosen, e.g., \(P_X/P_1 = 0.632 \pm 0.001\), it can also be shown that the two simultaneous sines create specific autocorrelation distributions. Let us use a simple model equation of \(f(x) = \text{int}(xR) - xR\), where \(\text{int}\) denotes the rounding to the next integer and \(R\) the period ratio. The function then gives values of close to 0 or close to 0.5 for odd numbers, while for even numbers it gives other values. When renormalized to the usual ACF range of \([-1, 1]\), this gives a high absolute autocorrelation for odd numbers and less so for even numbers.

We have compared our observational data to this model, and calculated all ACFs (observed) minus ACFs (calculated). The result is an ACF distribution in which primes are still prominent, but not significantly so \((p = 91.35\%)\). We judge this to be the explanation of the prime mystery. We have also checked the Fourier decomposition for the corresponding amplitudes, and find that \(P_X\) alone only has an amplitude of 5.1% compared to \(P_1\) or 2% after prewhitening. However, the \(P_X\) mode is distributed into multiple peaks, harmonics, and linear combinations. In a simultaneous fit to all frequencies, we find a total of 12.7% for all these peaks that are connected with \(P_X\) (see Table 1). This is in the required range of the model described above.

6. VISUALIZATION OF THE SECONDARY PULSATION

The main conclusion so far is that very strong cycle-to-cycle variations are present, which by far exceed the modulation-induced variations observed in RR Lyrae stars. For certain time periods, a split into a two-peaked distribution can be seen. It is assumed that this originates from a varying \(P_X\) mode. Autocorrelations can quantify patterns in this, and the \(O-C\) diagram (Figure 7) presents the total effect over time. These tools are useful, but do not show the real mechanism below the surface. To shed more light on the nature of \(P_X\), we have generated a heatmap (Figure 13). For this, we have subtracted all frequencies from table 1, keeping only \(P_X\). Due to remaining jitter in the fit, we had to smooth over several cycles to bring out \(P_X\). The mode shows clear signs of phase and amplitude...
variation (as expected), and an additional kidney-shaped pattern with a length of nine $P_x$ cycles is visible. We consider this heatmap to be the deepest possible visualization of the root cause of the cycle-to-cycle period variations.

7. POSSIBLE PLANETARY COMPANIONS

One might raise the question whether some of the observed perturbations are caused by planetary influence, either through transits, or indirectly by the gravitational influence of an orbiting planet or a massive companion. With regard to the observed secondary frequency $P_x = 0.17$ days, it seems rather on the short side compared to the almost 2000 planets discovered thus far, but a few of these fall in the same period range (Rappaport et al. 2013). This opens the possibility of perturbations visible as nonradial modes, perhaps caused by gravitationally deforming the star elliptically. Another possible mechanism observed in our own sun concerns the relation

Figure 10. Autocorrelation graph for the period. All lags [1..100] (top panel). Prime numbers are shown as squares, other numbers as dots. Prime numbers have higher autocorrelation (positive or negative) as other numbers. The average of absolute AC values for the primes is 0.51 ($n = 25$), compared to 0.37 ($n = 75$) for all others. The difference is significant in a t-test with $p = 99.8\%$. The bottom panel shows a zoom into the range of lags [1..25], connecting the integers with dashed lines to show their progression with period-doubling effects.
between the barycenter for the star and its convection pattern (Fairbridge et al. 1987).

However, the evolutionary state of RR Lyrae makes it unlikely for them to possess planets. These stars are old and after exhausting their core hydrogen, have gone through the red giant phase and possessed very extended envelopes (up to 20–100 $R_\odot$; Smith 2004). Theoretical calculations by Villaver & Livio (2009) predicted that no planet of $1\,M_\oplus$ could survive around a giant $2\,M_\odot$ star closer than 2.1 AU. Observational data loosens this limit, but the distance distribution of the $\sim$50 planets known around giant stars shows a hard cut-off at 0.5 AU (Jones & Jenkins 2014). Furthermore, the variable luminosity of RR Lyrae must make the evolution of life as we know it on (likely rare) orbiting planets very difficult. During a star’s evolution, the habitable zone shifts dramatically, so that any lifeforms would need to accommodate, e.g., by moving to other planets or by moving their habitat. On the other hand, RR Lyrae are older than 10 Gyr, giving potential life more time for evolution than on Earth. A last speculative possibility would be that an advanced civilization would originate from some

Figure 11. Simultaneous sine curve model. Both curves are shown separately in the left panel, and summed up in the right panel.

Figure 12. Observed (top left) and modeled (top right) period lengths and their respective histograms (bottom). The observed data is at BJD = 1310.
other nearby more average star and travel to an RR Lyrae for a modulation mission.

8. SIMPLE PULSATION MODELS

We provide two very simple dynamical models to elucidate the pulsations described above, especially with regard to the frequency content and the asymmetry of the light curve. While we hope that these phenomenological models capture some of the essence of the observed pulsation variations, they in no way substitute for well-developed, detailed hydrodynamics models, such as the Warsaw or Florida–Budapest codes (Smolec & Moskalik 2008), which can properly follow the pulsations inside the star and, for example, naturally explain the bumps in the light curve by incorporating shockwaves in the stellar layers. Like the descriptive formalism of Benkő and colleagues (Benkő et al. 2011), our models are intended as simple dynamical analogues, which aim to mathematically reproduce the radial motions of the star while remaining agnostic about the deep underlying physics, including the effects of factors like opacity and temperature variations.

8.1. Masses versus Springs

We first grossly simplify the star into two regions, a stiff dense inner one and a relaxed rarefied outer one. We model these regions with two masses and two springs connected to a fixed wall, as in Figure 14. For equilibrium coordinates $x_n[t]$, the equations of motion are

$$m_1 \ddot{x}_1 = -k_1 x_1 - k_2 (x_1 - x_2),$$  

$$m_2 \ddot{x}_2 = -k_2 (x_2 - x_1).$$

We fix initial conditions $x_1[0] = 1$, $x_2[0] = 2$, $\dot{x}_1[0] = 0$, $\dot{x}_2[0] = 0$ and vary the stiffness and inertia parameters. For $k_1 = 9$, $m_1 = 8m$, $k_2 = 1$, and $m_2 = m$, Equation (1) has the solution

$$x_1 = +\frac{1}{3} \cos [\omega_+ t] + \frac{2}{3} \cos [\omega_- t],$$

$$x_2 = -\frac{2}{3} \cos [\omega_+ t] + \frac{8}{3} \cos [\omega_- t],$$

where the frequency quotient

$$\frac{\omega_+}{\omega_-} = \sqrt{\frac{3/2}{3/4 m}} = \sqrt{2} \approx 1.071$$

is Pythagoras’ constant, the first number to be proven irrational. For $k_1 = 4$, $m_1 = 4m$, $k_2 = 4/5$, and $m_2 = m$, Equation (1) has the solution

$$x_1 = \frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{10} \cos [\Omega_+ t] + \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{10} \cos [\Omega_- t],$$

$$x_2 = \frac{5 - 3\sqrt{5}}{5} \cos [\Omega_+ t] + \frac{5 + 3\sqrt{5}}{5} \cos [\Omega_- t],$$

where the frequency quotient

$$\frac{\Omega_+}{\Omega_-} = \sqrt{\frac{(\sqrt{5} + 1)/\sqrt{5} m}{(\sqrt{5} - 1)/\sqrt{5} m}} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 0.618$$

for $m$.
is the golden ratio, the most irrational number (having the slowest continued fraction expansion convergence of any irrational number). In each case, the free mass parameter $m$ allows the individual frequencies to be tuned or scaled to any desired value.

We identify the star’s radius with the outer mass position, $r = r_e + x_2$, where $r_e$ is the equilibrium radius. If the star’s electromagnetic flux is proportional to its surface area, then

$$F = k(r_e + x_2)^2.$$  

(Squaring generates double, sum, and difference frequencies, including those in the original ratios.) For appropriate initial conditions, Figure 15 graphs the Equations (4)–(6) stellar flux as a function of time and is in good agreement with the Kepler data.

### 8.2. Pressure versus Gravity

A star balances pressure outward versus gravity inward. Assuming spherical symmetry for simplicity, the radial force balance on a shell of radius $r$ and mass $m$ surrounding a core of mass $M$ is

$$m \ddot{r} = f_r = 4\pi r^2 P - \frac{GMm}{r^2},$$

where the volume

$$V = \frac{V_0}{V} = \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^3,$$

and the pressure

$$P = \frac{P_0}{\gamma} = \left(\frac{V_0}{V}\right)\gamma.$$

Assuming adiabatic compression and expansion and including only translational degrees of freedom, the index $\gamma = 5/3$, and the radial force

$$f_r = f_0 \left(\frac{r_e}{r}\right)^2 \left(\frac{r_e}{r} - 1\right),$$

where $r_e$ is the equilibrium radius, so that $f_r[r_e] = 0$ and $f_r[0.68r_e] \approx f_0$. The corresponding potential energy

$$U = U_e \left(\frac{r_e}{r}\right) (2 - \frac{r_e}{r}),$$

where $U_e = -f_0 r_e^2/2$ is the equilibrium potential energy. The apparent brightness or flux of a star of temperature $T$ at a distance $d$ is proportional to the star’s radius squared,

$$F = \frac{L}{4\pi d^2} = \frac{4\pi r^2 (\sigma T^4)}{4\pi d^2} = \left(\frac{r}{d}\right)^2 (\sigma T^4) = kr^2,$$

where $k$ is nearly constant if the star’s luminosity $L$ depends only weakly on its temperature $T$. (In contrast, Bryant 2014 relates a pulsating star’s luminosity to the velocity of its surface.)

The resulting flux is periodic but nonsinusoidal. The curvature at the maxima is less than the curvature at the minima due to the difference between the repulsive and attractive contributions to the potential, but the contraction and expansion about the extrema are symmetric. To distinguish contraction from expansion, we introduce a velocity-dependent parameter step

$$f_0 = \begin{cases} f_1, & \dot{r} < 0, \\ f_2, & \dot{r} \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

For specific parameters, the left plot of Figure 16 graphs the resulting light curve, which asymmetrizes the solution with respect to the extrema. To add a bump to the expansion, we
introduce velocity- and position-dependent parameter steps

\[
p = \begin{cases} 
  p_1, & \dot{r} < 0, \\
  p_2, & \dot{r} \geq 0, r < r_C, \\
  p_3, & \dot{r} \geq 0, r \geq r_C,
\end{cases}
\]

(14)

where \( p \) is \( f_0, r_e, \) or \( m \). The right plot of Figure 16 graphs the corresponding light curve. This model captures most of the features of Figure 5.

From a dynamical perspective, simple models with a minimum of relevant stellar physics are sufficient to reproduce essential features of the KIC 5520878 light curve. The masses versus spring example demonstrates that a lumped model can readily be adjusted to exhibit a golden ratio frequency content similar to that of the light curve. The pressure versus gravity example demonstrates that an adiabatic ideal gas model can readily be modified to exhibit the asymmetry of the light curve. Nothing exotic is necessary.

9. CONCLUSION

We have tested the idea to search for two pulsation regimes in Cepheids or RR Lyrae, and have found one such candidate (Learned et al. 2008). This fact, together with the large period variations in the range of 20%, has previously been overlooked due to sparse data sampling. We have shown, however, that the pulsation distribution and the associated high absolute values of prime numbers in autocorrelation in this candidate are likely of natural origin. Our simple modeling of the light curves naturally accounts for their key features.

Despite the SETI null result, we argue that testing other available or future time-series photometry can be done as a by-product to the standard analysis. In case of sufficient sampling, i.e., when individual cycle-length detection is possible, this can be done automatically with the methods described above. Afterward, the cycle lengths can be tested for a two-peak distribution using a histogram or a kernel density estimate. In rare positive cases, manual analysis could search for patterns (primes, fibonacci, etc.) in the binary time-series bitstream.
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APPENDIX A

MODEL PARAMETERS

Equations (4)–(6) model parameters and initial conditions for Figure 15 are

\[
\begin{align*}
  m &= 0.00263, \\
  x_e &= 0.938, \\
  k &= 36.5621, \\
  x_{10} &= -0.062, \\
  x_{20} &= 0.076, \\
  v_{10} &= 0.405, \\
  v_{20} &= -0.565.
\end{align*}
\]

Equations (7), (10), and (12) model parameters and initial conditions for the left plot in Figure 16 are

\[
\begin{align*}
  m &= 0.00205, \\
  r_e &= 0.901, \\
  f_1 &= 0.594, \\
  f_2 &= 1.822, \\
  k &= 39.160, \\
  r_0 &= 0.96, \\
  v_0 &= -1.
\end{align*}
\]

Model parameters and initial conditions for the right plot in Figure 16 are

\[
\begin{align*}
  m_1 &= 0.00714, \\
  r_{e1} &= 0.904, \\
  f_1 &= -1.36, \\
  m_2 &= 0.005172, \\
  r_{e2} &= 0.8884,
\end{align*}
\]
\[ f_2 = -1.68, \]
\[ m_3 = 0.000846, \]
\[ r_{c1} = 0.966, \]
\[ f_1 = -2, \]
\[ r_{c} = 0.9475, \]
\[ k = 39.040, \]
\[ r_0 = 0.968, \]
\[ v_0 = -1.16. \]

APPENDIX B

PULSATION PERIOD LENGTHS

We denote period lengths shorter than the average of 0.269 days as zero, otherwise as one, while missings are marked as X. The first peak is at BJD = 133.19818. Data comes from short cadence where available, otherwise long cadence. Line breaks after 48 periods, showing a strong positive autocorrelation.
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