Environmental aesthetics has become a matter of concern to many different groups in recent years—to conservationists, to legislators, reluctantly to industrialists, and indeed to the public at large. This interest seems to have a clear purpose. It is regarded as an effort, belated and desperate, to save the resources and beauties of our natural world from the possibility of complete and irrecoverable exploitation, and from the disfigurement and loss that must follow. It is an attempt to change the atmosphere from a toxic medium that is often impossible to escape back to one that is fresh and invigorating. It is a proposal to rebuild our cities before they become unredeemable wastelands of physical and social decay.

Yet if a concern for environmental quality is to result in more...
than a program for removing billboards, camouflaging junkyards, and replacing slums with tenements of the future, it must go beyond mere palliatives in the form of comforting images of prettiness, cleanliness, and order. A serious concern for environment should serve as a cue to both the artist and the aesthetician to develop images, concepts, and principles that will articulate and rationalize the convictions and the values that have given rise to these efforts, leading them toward goals that are at once both richer and more substantive.

It may seem, however, as though doing this would require an act of philosophical creation ex nihilo, for philosophers have never devoted much attention to such questions, and with the exception of a few thinkers like Kant, Ruskin, and Santayana, have tended to ignore the aesthetics of nature altogether. Philosophical aesthetics, for the most part, has turned to nature for illustration and inspiration or as a model for emulation, but only rarely as an occasion for perceptual experience comparable in significance and profundity to the experience of art.

Now while an aesthetics of nature may be a new problem for most philosophers, it involves a concern with the natural and ordinary in our surroundings which is not unusual for many contemporary artists. Some of the arts have moved in the last few decades towards an involvement with just such an interest by developing artistic forms such as assemblages, environments, Happenings, and earth sculpture that draw upon ordinary objects, events, and surroundings. Many of the arts, however, have always handled features that bear directly on the experience of nature and the ordinary world. Both painting and sculpture, for example, employ perceptual dimensions that work by enlarging and refining natural experience rather than by substituting a different mode of experience for it. Sculptural space and volume are continuous with natural space and volume, and sculpture's shapes, textures, and lighting often have a direct source in the forms, surfaces, and light of natural objects, materials, and places. Painting also opens a range of space, light, and color that often extends beyond the picture frame. There is a continuity between the pictorial land-

2 Cf. Henry Moore, “The Sculptor’s Aims,” in Modern Artists on Art, ed. R. L. Herbert, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., Prentice-Hall, 1964.
scape and the actual one, not a relation between original and copy but a shared aspect, as when distance depicted by means of perspective begins at the eye of the viewer, with both picture and perceiver inhabiting the same perceptual space. In the still life, too, a way of looking is opened to us which we carry over to the common objects that surround us, and in the portrait we learn to see the people we have always looked at. Even when they develop in the direction of greater abstraction, these arts continue to act as models for experiencing the world which lies outside the frame and the museum. Much the same kinds of perceptual dimensions of space, color, line, form, composition and texture persist as outward appearances lose their resemblance to familiar objects.

Unfortunately, however, we labor under a tradition which stresses the differences between the attitude we take toward art and the one we assume toward our "ordinary" human environment. Two things have contributed to establishing this. First there has been the long-standing influence of a cognitive standard for art, the demand that art adhere to the requirements of truth and knowledge, both in its content and in the way it is to be apprehended. Later there developed a search for artistic independence and for an identity that rendered art distinct from

---

3 Cf. Piet Mondrian, "Plastic Art and Pure Plastic Art," Ibid., esp. pp. 127-130. This consequence brings us, in a future perhaps remote, toward the end of art as a thing separated from our surrounding environment, which is the actual plastic reality. But this end is at the same time a new beginning. Art will not only continue but will realize itself more and more. By the unification of architecture, sculpture, and painting, a new plastic reality will be created. Painting and sculpture will not manifest themselves as separate objects, nor as 'moral art' which destroys architecture itself, nor as 'applied' art, but being purely constructive will aid the creation of a surrounding not merely utilitarian or rational but also pure and complete in its beauty.

4 These brief observations on the continuities between the arts and the ordinary world and their significance for aesthetics are intended to be suggestive rather than demonstrative, and clearly warrant extended development in their own right. To do so here would lead us into a digression that would be more fascinating than essential to the main objective of this essay. I have treated this question at greater length in several other places. Cf. my "Aesthetics and the Contemporary Arts," Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism, XXIX, 2 (Winter 1970), 155-168; "Aesthetic Function," in Phenomenology and Natural Existence, Albany, State University of New York Press, 1973, ed. D. Riepe; "Art and Intuition, or Pygmalion Rediscovered," and "The Intuitive Impulse in Literary Performance," unpublished.
objects and experiences. Such influences as these have given rise to the usual description of the aesthetic attitude as contemplative, passive, and disinterested, quite removed from the dynamic physical engagement which characterizes the way we usually react with our surroundings.  

It is precisely here that recent developments in the arts challenge this aesthetics of aloofness and bring art back into continuity with all objects and conditions in the world. Consider what they have done. In pop art, objets trouvés, and Happenings, the arts have plucked images, materials, and situations out of the commercial and industrial world of everyday affairs and have held them before us, not in order to contemplate them disinterestedly, but to act upon and react to them. In optical art and kinetic sculpture the arts have disrupted the passive ease of contemplative appreciation by prodding us into active cooperation. Erotic themes have joined political ones to make the visual and dramatic arts occasions of involvement and not of detached observation. Music, dance and film have increasingly excited the somatic involvement that has always been present until we can no longer disregard it in any attempt to describe what happens.

Yet as we come to recognize the significance of the participatory response, we can look back at the traditional arts to find it present all along. Architecture, always a troublesome case because of its inability to divest itself of functionalism and social utility, turns out to be not only a suggestive model for interpreting the fine arts but a portal through which the practical arts, conveniently de-classed by tradition, can finally enter the field of aesthetics. That same continuity between the creative activity, skill, and purpose of production and the completeness of the finished art object appears in painting, sculpture, and poetry no less than in architecture and design. Humanistic function, which integrates both creation, appreciation, and use into the matrix of man's needs, activities, and goals, now embraces all the arts. What is of particular importance, however, is that this new condition of art requires new aesthetic concepts to account for it. And what is more to our

---

5 Cf. David Lowenthal, “The American Scene.”
6 Cf. my “Aesthetic Function.”
purpose here, this changed condition of art holds aesthetic implications for the broadest context of our experience—the human environment.

Perhaps the most striking condition, one that the new arts almost always insist on, is the continuity and likeness of the activities and objects of art with those of ordinary life. This takes many diverse forms, from the way artists readily employ industrial and commercial materials, images, and themes together with those of daily living, to the quantity, precision, and expendability that are possible only through industrial production becoming features of the production and consumption of art. In perception, this continuity takes the form of an engagement with the art object to an extent that refutes the traditional accounts of distance and contemplation. Such an engagement recalls the awe and danger inherent in the magical which characterizes primitive animism, and which perhaps has some connection with the sense of mystery and power which invariably grips us when we come under the spell of art. Joining with this continuity and engagement is the new dynamic character of art, shifting the deceptively static condition of art to a vital, almost disquietingly active role: from an object which moves before us, as in kinetic sculpture, which surrounds us, as in environments, which twists our eye in futile attempts to conform, as in optical art, which reflects our startled faces in mirrors and polished surfaces, to the walking, feeling, stimulating behavior with which the perceiver acts upon the object. Third and most inclusive is the pervasive functionalism which we now come to see, not as a narrow, barren utility, but rather more broadly as an active interchange of man and object. An object whose qualities and significance are continuous with all of our experience and an object which focuses on the immediacy of the human world, the work of art provides the fullest condition and the most complete model for living.

These three features of the new aesthetic—the continuity

7 Cf. Ernst Fischer, The Necessity of Art, Hammondsworth, Middlesex, England, Penguin, 1963, pp. 33-38, 136-169, 219-225.
8 Cf. my “Aesthetics and the Contemporary Arts” and The Aesthetic Field, Springfield, Ill., C. C. Thomas, 1970.
9 “Aesthetic Function,” supra.
between art and life, the dynamic character of art, and the humanistic functionalism of the aesthetic act—suggest a conceptual framework that goes far beyond conventional limits, one which offers the possibility, not of aesthetic experience diluted past recognition, but rather a basis for opening the world to full perceptual vision whose implications are not only aesthetic but moral and political as well.

The broad nature of these concepts can help us apply them to more than conventional aesthetic situations. They are, in fact, especially suggestive in dealing with occasions that appear to exceed traditional limits and for which traditional accounts are not only of little help but actually misleading. The environment, in particular, is one such situation, whether it be primarily natural or man-made. There is, for example, a sunrise or sunset where the horizon is unobstructed, the most spectacular light show ever observed. There is the medieval cathedral, a total physical and social environment that brings together not only most of the arts but that engages all the senses as well. There is the sailing ship, that artifact which combines the most perfect functionalism with great beauty of object, and demands a complete engagement of the experiencer in an environment whose sensory and utilitarian features must be fully integrated.¹⁰ There is the circus, a highly charged world of fantasy and adventure which surrounds us with an environment thick with sound, sight, and movement, a dream world of the magical, the grotesque, the dangerous.

There is yet another environment, the urban realm, to which this new aesthetic has a special appropriateness. The urban region is a setting of both total life and total art, a matrix within which all human experience may take place. It is the locus of the practical activities of work, of family, of education, of commerce, and of the predominantly aesthetic activities of art, culture, and sociality. These, moreover, are not always separable, and, as with the new arts, become most successful when most fully continuous and integrated with each other.

¹⁰ Horatio Greenough, "Structure and Organization" and "American Architecture," in Form and Function, Berkeley and Los Angeles, University of California Press, 1966.
Let me, half playfully, half seriously, approach the urban environment from the vantage point of these new aesthetic ideas by developing the environmental situations that were just cited as paradigms for a developed urban aesthetic. For it appears to me that the experience of the circus, the cathedral, the sailing ship, and the sunset, while intriguing environments in their own right, represent in clear form dimensions of the experience of the city which are often not clearly recognized and which develop only fortuitously. More precisely articulated, they may serve as useful guides in cultivating an urban ecology to replace these forces of vulgarity and monotony that depress the modern city, and thus help transform it from a place where one's humanity is constantly threatened into a place where it is continually achieved and enlarged.

II

It was Horatio Greenough who, in the mid-nineteenth century, gloried in the design of the sailing ship as a model for architecture.

Observe a ship at sea! Mark the majestic form of her hull as she rushes through the water, observe the graceful bend of her body, the gentle transition from round to flat, the grasp of her heel, the leap of her bows, the symmetry and rich tracery of her spars and rigging, and those grand wind muscles, her sails... Here is the result of the study of man upon the great deep, where Nature spake of the laws of building, not in the feather and in the flower, but in wind and waves, and he bent all his mind to hear and to obey.¹¹

Yet there is more to the sailing ship than its physical design, for a ship requires not only an architect but a helmsman, and not only a helmsman but a captain, navigator, and seaman. That is to say, in the functional environment of the sailing ship there is a

¹¹ Ibid., pp. 60-61.
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synthesis of the mechanical forces of hull and water, wind and sails and rigging under the manual guidance of the sailor. To accomplish this properly the entire sensory and physical environment must be joined together. Not only must the curvilinear hull withstand the pressures of the liquid medium through which it presses; it must also be designed to penetrate the water, to cut through waves and keep its track steady, and to forge ahead under a wide range of sea conditions from near calm to fresh gale. But the hull is only one side of the parallelogram of forces, for wind provides the drive which powers the hull, and the placement and shape of sails and the spars and rigging which control them must also be adapted to the range of prevailing conditions. Thus emerges an endless variety of hull types and sail plans, from ocean clipper to coasting schooner, from the fishing craft of bays and banks to cruising and racing yachts, where indigenous designs reflect the ingenuity of an application to local conditions.

Yet it is a necessity for the professional sailor and a delight for the yachtsman to enter bodily into the functional process, controlling the movements of his craft in an act of skill that is an act of beauty. Here one must join his sensory being to the clean efficiency of the sailing ship in a fusion that allows no division to intrude. Always watchful of sky and horizon, eye, ear, and skin record every shift in wind, every variation in its strength. The murmur, gurgle, slap, crash of water are signs, too, of what is happening as the vessel presses along. Even the smell of sea air and fog and the taste of salt spray add to the quality of the experience. Yet a sensory alertness for signs of changing conditions must join with the physical control of the vessel. The feel of the wheel’s or tiller’s pressure is a constant guide to the ship’s course and an indicator of the forces acting on its passage through the water, and the never-ending adjustment of the sails by a web of lines, each with its specific purpose, reflects the striving for perfect efficiency in conditions that are constantly shifting. Moreover, the penumbra of past and future time surrounds the acuteness of the perception of the present, for the sailor is endlessly alert to signs of change in clouds, wind, water surface, and in the color of horizon and sea, and he completes and tempers his observations and responses with the resonance of past experience. To this perceptual synthesis the seasoned sailor further joins a
range of lived meanings—of weather lore, navigation, and seamanship, for it is from this endless body of nautical knowledge that the sensory environment acquires its significance.

Thus a synesthetic experience develops, with movement of body and boat combining in a power wrought of a harmony of purpose. Here is a total environment, a functional setting fusing the mechanical and the organic in an intensely perceptual world.

There are times when we can sense this experiential unity, even as observers. Just as we may see a fine old Maine farmhouse settled on a hillside as an integral part of the environment and not as an alien element in a natural field, so we may see a sailboat in a bay as belonging to that setting rather than intruding on it. Perhaps something of the same sense of incompleteness or, even more, of the forlorn that strikes us when we see a boat out of the water affects us in seeing a harbor empty of all craft. This can be carried still further, for had it not been for the sailing ship, the ocean would not exist for us. There would be only the shore, which we would then regard only as the limit of the land rather than the boundary of the sea. Yet in this fusion of sailor, sailing ship, water, wind, and sky a total environment is created, a functional setting that is fully humanized. Such an environment displays in a remarkable way Dewey’s combination of the “relation of means and consequence, process and product, instrumental and consummatory. Any activity that is simultaneously both, rather than in alternative and displacement, is art.”

* * *

Perhaps nothing could be more different from a sailing ship than a circus. Here there appears no sign of utility at all but rather a

---

12 One would do well to be cautious here, however. We may well be mistaken about what we, as observers from outside, regard as the experiential units of an environment, especially when we cannot participate in it as part of the social milieu in which it has meaning and value. Cf. Raymond Williams, *The Country and the City*, London, Chatto and Windus, 1973.

13 We may marvel at the isolation, perhaps the desolation, of the open ocean, but we can never actually encounter the truly open ocean, for we are always present as observers. In true Berkeleyan fashion, there is no perceptual environment from which people are absent, and conceptualizing about such a place never deals with the actual environment as it is experienced but only through our speculative imagination.

14 *Experience and Nature*, 2nd ed., New York, Dover, 1958, p. 361.
world of fantasy, a spectacle we consign to children and child-like occasions. Despite its air of transparent makebelieve, however, the circus holds a peculiar fascination for us, for the realm of fantasy that it creates awes and shocks us at the same time that it evokes our admiration and excites our amusement. There is a strange quality that grips us as soon as we enter a circus grounds. Even though the circus turns reality upside down with forms that are grotesquely exaggerated, it carries along with it at the same time an undercurrent of truthfulness not unlike the fictional truth of literature, for we are cast into a topsy-turvy world where men are either sub-human or superhuman, either fools or gods, and where only the animals exhibit distinctively human characteristics.

Take the superhumans of the circus. Like Greek Mercuries the aerialists swoop through space, their flying bodies functioning with perfect grace and uncanny coordination, and we gasp our admiration at this transcendence of man's earthbound being. There is perfection of form, too, in the bareback and elephant riders. With remarkable balance they defy gravity, standing poised and beautiful, high above those who move with slow feet mired in the ground. As the trapeze artists and riders represent grace, speed, and ethereality, the lion tamer awes us with his fearlessness and courage. Standing calm and muscular alone in a cage with a pride of lions, he intimidates the regal creatures by voice and whip. With chest bared to the brutal face of danger, the lion tamer asserts his power, surpassing the beasts and reducing them to meek obedience. In similar ways the jugglers exhibit a magical dexterity and the acrobats surpassing strength and agility.

To these gods of the circus are opposed those sub-humans, the clowns. Simpletons, the whiteface clowns indulge in the grossest miscalculations, slipping, stumbling, tripping, falling over themselves into all those errors of inference and judgment we believe we so easily avoid. They are the boobs, the stooges, the fools of the world, parodying our own inanities with embarrassing accuracy, just as the clowns of the entertainment world like the Marx brothers and Woody Allen have always done. Like us, they too have their delusions of grandeur. There is always one who will attempt to emulate the circus gods by walking the tightrope. Epitomizing that human combination of foolish pride and blind foolishness, he re-enacts a version of the Fall (sic), and is saved
from a suicidal plunge by what seems to be a combination of bravura and luck, but what could only be divine mercy. In contrast to the white faces, the august or grotesque clowns are the mischievous ones, disrupting the attempts of the whitefaces to scale the heights of the simple, or exaggerating human foibles, reactions, expressions, or feelings. Lastly there are the character clowns, who parody certain human types like cops, tramps, teachers, or relational pairs of people so effectively that we find ourselves laughing at ourselves.

In contrast to the brilliant feats of the circus gods and the frenetic bumbling of the clowns, in the world of the big top it is oddly enough the animals who are “human.” It is they who reflect a certain calm and dignity in their actions. The elephants move with lumbering obedience, sitting and standing as the occasion requires; the tigers lope with careful regularity through hoops and calmly seat themselves on stools; the dogs, dressed in children’s clothes, walk, run, or engage in anthropoid exchanges, or, like children on display, obediently show themselves off; the horses trot in perfect circles: all exhibit those characteristics of order, rationality and control which, with blithe presumption, we assign to mankind alone. Or the animals reflect the sharp deviousness that is another side of human behavior: dogs, for example are often paired with clowns, and it is they who outwit their self-styled “masters,” unperturbed by the antics of those creatures at the other end of the leash.

Yet there is an obverse side to the circus. This environment, with its accompaniment of barkers, hawkers, side shows, calliope, crowds, and the jostling strange exhilaration that is part of the festive scene, also awakens in us undercurrents of response that are strangely primeval. Here is one of the few places in the modern world in which the primordial is allowed, and we can indulge our fascination with the wondrous, the weird, the grotesque, the terrifying without incurring disapproval. For along with the excitement brought on by the fantastic spectacle of dazzling costumes and incredible performances, there is the fascination with danger and the terror that it excites that draws many to the circus grounds. The feats of the stars are not only brilliant; they are breathtaking, deliberately designed to terrify the audience. The German expressionist film, The Cabinet of Dr. Caligari, is a classic illus-
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tration of this spell, an intermingling of dream, delusion, madness, terror, sorcery, and death that reads out motifs inherent in the circus scene. The circus, in fact, exemplifies a quality of crowd madness that is shared in part by certain other public spectacles, such as parades, gladiatorial combats (sublimated into boxing, wrestling, football, soccer, the roller derby, hockey, and the like, where the embers of violence are always present and sometimes burst into flame), public executions, and even fires and riots. To these clowns of the world provide a humorous but weak comparison.

* * *

To move from the world of the circus to the spiritual reaches of the Gothic cathedral requires another rapid change of scene. Yet like a circus, the cathedral has its roots in a deep well of experience that lies far beneath the regularity and order of public life. In contrast to the functional environment of the sailing ship and the fantasy environment of the circus, the cathedral evokes what may be called a metaphysical environment, a setting which offers communion with Being in its physical, social, and spiritual manifestations.

For us who are products of the secular, technological culture of post-medieval Europe and its ensuing fragmentation of experience into discrete and uncommunicating segments, it is difficult to capture the awareness of that homogeneity of culture for which the medieval cathedral stood, at the same time as both the symbol and the embodiment. Here is a monument, towering, impressive, overpowering. And yet, as Philip Johnson has observed, "Monumentality is strangely functional."15 The cathedral had a place in social consciousness; but it had a more immediate personal significance. Even without the surplice of religious conviction, we can sense the meanings, the human significance which the cathedral houses when we pass through its portals. For the cathedral is a sacred place, one in which we stand exposed and

15 "Why We Want Our Cities Ugly," in The Fitness of Man's Environment, Smithsonian Annual II, New York, Harper & Row, 1968, p. 157.
vulnerable in spiritual nakedness, and where everything contributes toward achieving that effect.

Like a monument the Gothic cathedral stretches high above the rooftops that surround it. Central, elevated, it is rarely out of sight and never forgotten. Whoever has lived by a mountain knows the persistent presence that it has in one's awareness. You always stand in its shadow. You know the mountain is there even when it is not in view. When one goes out of doors, the mountain is the persistent focal point of one's wandering gaze: you look to it as to the sun to know the condition of the world. The Gothic cathedral is a man-made mountain, but it is a peak one climbs inside as well as out, spiritually as well as bodily.

Within, the cathedral is not just an interior space but a total environment. It is indeed a setting that engages all the senses, leaving no part of the believer unengaged. In a striking way, the cathedral is the original multi-media environment. Visually it surrounds one with dusky spaces, some broad and open, others low and shrouded in gloom, penetrated by colored shafts of sunlight, or glowing with halos of candle illumination. The richly jewelled windows, the profusion of paintings and sculpture, carved altarpieces, confessionals, and other works of fine art and craft convey a glimpse of the Heavenly City. Touch also enters, not only as the concomitant of sight but directly in its own right, from the rough grain of the pews grasped by many hands to the unevenness of the stone floors worn down by many feet. The live acoustics amplify the chanting voices, the singing choir, and the richly varied tones of the organ—the only instrument capable of matching in the range and volume of its sounds the great depths of the cathedral's inner spaces. Even taste and smell are engaged by the bread and wine of communion and the lingering scent of incense, while the ornate ritual brings all these together in extravagant theater.

Yet not only does the cathedral create a sensory environment; it is at the same time a repository of spiritual meanings. The cathedral is at once a sanctuary, a mausoleum, a repository, a house of prayer, a sacred place where one encounters meanings that cannot be uttered and a God who cannot be known. Here are stored centuries of human aspirations and human tragedies
constantly renewed by fresh supplicants, and we have communion with them all when we step inside.

This, then, is an edifice which totally envelops a person; we become like Victor Hugo's Quasimodo, enclosed in a cathedral which is our home, our country, our universe.16 We are caught up in a realm of mystery and miracle, suffused with meanings which transcend our understanding but not our experience. Here is a world which encloses us in a higher condition and greater significance than our own, when for a time we go through ourselves to reach beyond and approach the glorious realm of heaven. In the range of its power and the breadth of its human significance, the Gothic cathedral is one of the most remarkable creations of mankind.

* * *

Let me speak finally (and more briefly) about the last of this series of environments, the sunset. In a sense the communion with Being of the metaphysical environment of the cathedral leads easily to the cosmic environment of the sunset. In fact, there is much they both have in common.

The sunset envelops us in the broadest environment possible, one that employs planetary motion and celestial time to produce a setting that engenders feelings of wonder and awe. Like the sunrise, its complement but not its precise symmetrical opposite, the sunset draws us into an environment of cosmic dimensions. Even though we understand quite well the reason for what occurs, there is nonetheless something awesome in observing it happen. This is made not less impressive but more so in this age of space travel, for we have acquired visual impressions of the movement

36 For Quasimodo the cathedral had been successively "egg, nest, house, country and universe... One might almost say that he had espoused its form the way a snail does the form of its shell. It was his home, his hole, his envelope... He adhered to it, as it were, like a turtle to its carapace. This rugged cathedral was his armor... It is useless to warn the reader not to take literally the figures of speech that I am obliged to use here to express the strange, symmetrical, immediate, almost consubstantial flexibility of a man and an edifice." Notre-Dame de Paris, Bk. IV, § 3.
of the moon and the earth, so that when we watch a sunset we can sense ourselves participating in that same act.

Like the cathedral, the sunset creates for us great reaches of space. It is no mere custom that leads us to seek a vantage point with an unobstructed view to observe the setting sun. And the spectacle includes not only the western horizon but the darkening eastern one and the clouds spread out overhead as well. Here is a monumental light show: with grand inevitability the light changes direction and the colors tone, moving from gold to rose to lavender to purple-grey to a steely gleam as the illumination shifts from a source above the horizon to one below and the night sky emerges. Again like the cathedral, there is an awesome hush of nature as well as man that accompanies the inevitable workings of this celestial event. We stand in the presence of an act that has occurred with unceasing regularity for ages before man emerged on this planet, a fundamental cosmic event in a process that has suffused all life from its bare emergence, and which every creature, human and proto-human, has witnessed from the beginning of consciousness. Here we join eons of time, siderial space, cosmic motion. The presence of God can awe us; the presence of the universe overwhelms us.

III

Let us step back from this attempt to evoke something of the experience of these various environments to reflect on our first concern, the city. I should like to explore some ways in which each of these settings of human experience—the functional environment of the sailing ship, the fantasy of the circus, the metaphysical dimension of the cathedral, and the cosmic one of the sunset—has a certain suggestiveness for our attempt to examine urban ecology and experience. Each, indeed, stands as a paradigm for a dimension of the city which may be overlooked in the stress of the telic activities, confusions, pressures, tensions, and dangers that so often seem to dominate the urban condition. And each, in illuminating dimensions of the urban environment that often go unrecognized, can be used to generate critical meas-
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urements for a more fully developed urban aesthetic.

It is no longer unusual to regard the city as a functional environment. Yet function must include far more than practical expedience; we must introduce factors of movement, time, and perception into discussions that are often confined to the spatial and handled with the impersonality accorded problems in social engineering. A city is not just an agglomeration of objects in a particular place; it is a setting for human beings to carry on social activities at a certain level of density and a certain order of complexity. Thus the urban environment is a process, not a stasis. It generates an intricate combination of parallel and cross movements: a transportation network of pedestrians and of vehicles for personal mobility, such as bicycles, motorcycles, automobiles, buses, streetcars, subways, and ferries; a distribution network of trucks, trains, ships; a communication network of mail delivery, radio, television, newspapers, and magazines; an educational and cultural network of schools, libraries, concert halls, museums, art galleries; a dispensing network of stores and shops; a service network of repair centers, utilities, sewage system, and garbage collection. Further, the city is the setting for a multiplicity of human activities: productive, domestic, recreational, cultural.

Moreover, the city as a functional environment cannot be understood without recognizing the importance of time in its internal dynamic. Time coordinates the daily patterns of movement to and from work, school, shopping; the weekly cycle of work and leisure; and the seasonal one of residence, recreation, and travel. To these must be added historical time, the remembrance of times past in the uses that spring from earlier inhabitants—of Indian trails metamorphosed into paved thoroughfares and highways, pagan temples transformed into Christian churches and later perhaps into museums or civic buildings. Future time, too, appears in the proposals for change embodied in master plans and in the reports of planning commissions—the continual process of rebuilding, reorganizing, and reshaping the urban environment.17

17 Cf. Kevin Lynch, What Time Is This Place?, Cambridge, Mass., M.I.T. Press, 1972.
Even more, the functional model of the sailing ship reminds us of the central place of man as a concrete referent in developing the structures and relationships of an environment. This has long been recognized in attempts to derive architectural, landscape, and urban proportions from multiples of the dimensions of the human figure, attempts which range from Vitruvian man, through Alberti, to the Modular of Le Corbusier. Function should reflect human needs, abilities, and capacities for experience, and that is why urban design must in some manner respond to human size, providing conditions for man's intimacy as well as for his glory. In the final analysis, urban design is domestic planning, and to be successful in providing a place that is also a home, it should complement and complete people, not dwarf, compress, or submerge them.

What is critical for achieving an urban environment of human proportions is our ability to determine and control the conditions that shape the perceptual patterns through which we carry on the life of the city. Thus in addition to structural dimensions that respond to the demands of the human form, the environment must work as a sensory one. For this reason urban design cannot be confined to the arrangement of objects but rather must be used to create sequences of experience. This can take many forms, one of which is what Kevin Lynch calls "imageability" or "legibility," those visual traits by which a city person can maintain the awareness of his position in the urban complex and find his way through it. Thus an imageable city is one whose paths, nodes, districts, boundaries, and landmarks are readily apparent and easily recognizable. Yet the fashioning of a sensory environment must go beyond an ordering of visual experiences to include others to which American culture is strangely insensitive: auditory stimuli that are more than the drone of traffic and the roar of machinery; tactile perceptions such as the surface textures of roads, walks, and facades; and olfactory sensations, noticed not as evidence of decay, fuel combustion, or manufacturing, but as appealing signs of the character of districts such as waterfront,

18 The Image of the City, Cambridge, Mass., M.I.T. Press, 1960. This entire book is an insightful development of this experiential aspect of the urban environment.
market, restaurant, and park. Moreover, all the senses combine in the temporal progression of perception in concrete situations, as we drive along a street, walk through a park, stroll down a shopping plaza, sit in a square, or gaze out over the city from an observation tower. It is in the shaping of experience that is at once both instrumental and intrinsic that planning must see its role.

Thus, a full range of sensory cues can serve to direct human activities, enabling us to move with comfort and security, as well as with interest and excitement through an urban setting that is shaped to a model of humanistic function. It then becomes clear that for an urban environment to function as a human one, it must succeed as a setting for experience, facilitating patterns of movement by determining the arrangement of things as they will be encountered in our perception. This does not only enable the city to work more effectively; it also enhances common symbols, meanings, and memories, provides emotional security, and helps make possible that social condition by which, as Aristotle observed long ago, man becomes fully human.¹⁹

If we compare the human organism to others, it becomes strikingly plain that, whatever the culture, people are forced, by their organic needs for nurture, procreation, and protection, to shape the environment as well as adapt to it. Of necessity we can take very little as we find it. Therefore what is at issue is not whether the environment will be transformed, but how it will be in order to fashion a human ecosystem. Yet it may be surprising to realize that no social order ever occupies itself exclusively with physical survival and confines itself to domestic tasks alone. However well a city may succeed in enabling us to achieve such objectives, for it to become a human habitat requires that it supply cultural necessities as well as organic ones. The organic and cultural aspects of a human ecosystem, moreover, are not additive, and to pursue these various environments sequentially here is to separate for purpose of discussion what is actually integral in experience. Let us turn more briefly, then, to the other environmental paradigms we have examined, for these can

¹⁹ Politics, Bk. I, Ch. 2.
help identify areas of cultural experience that need to be available and to suggest some ways to achieve them.

The fantasy environment that the circus exemplifies stretches broadly across the range of urban culture. Embracing the strange, the unpredictable, the imaginative, this paradigm suggests that the ecosystem we call the city provides occasions for such experiences. Opportunities of this type have already established themselves in the conventions of city life. Art museums, cinemas, bookshops, theaters, stadia, concert halls, fair grounds, and amusement parks are typical outlets for imaginative needs. The lack in this area is not to be found in a paucity of institutional offerings, even though many, like theater, ballet, and opera, may be socially exclusive and available only to a few, or like museums and gardens, administered by a desire to safeguard, preserve, and display rather than to create occasions for evoking qualities of experience that touch upon the exotic, wondrous, and fantastic.

Urban design must meet this need in extra-institutional ways as well, through the opportunities for experience that are present in the very existence of cities. Thus the fantasy world of the city lies in the intriguing, the curious, the unexpected as they are encountered in streets, buildings, and shops. A humane city is not one that is subdued by a rational plan; there is, as Paul Goodman pointed out, a "constitutional limit to planning, even at the expense of efficiency and the 'best' solution." Thus he proposes that we allow the nooks and dark places of traditional neighborhoods to remain, rather than improving them out of existence. A city that engages the imagination demands the twists and turns that we find so intriguing in medieval streets, the unexpected squares, fountains, vistas, restaurants and shops tucked away in strange places, towers to climb, roof gardens and hilltop parks with panoramic views, street players and public performances.

Lighting is one instance of an under-utilized poetic resource. It can often be used not only for identification or safety but to bring drama into an environment. An unexceptional building or street may be transfigured by floodlights used with sculptural and

---

20 "Two Points of Philosophy and an Example," in The Fitness of Man's Environment, p. 32.
dramatic effect into a strange world of bold reliefs and deep shadows. Light can originate from within as well as without, from below as easily as from above, and can reveal to us planes, angles, landscapes, water, and groupings of structures, sometimes in open view, sometimes caught in the surprise of a sudden turn of a path. Light, indeed, can transform the familiar order of a city, as when an aerial view at night changes the mass of the city into a line drawing, a fragile web of luminous tracings.

Thus in ways limited more by will and imagination than by opportunity, the fantasy character of a city can be enticed out of its geographical features of lakes, hills, rivers, and waterfront, out of the exotic qualities of its ethnic districts and festivals, out of the display of curious as well as desirable objects in its commercial areas, out of its unique history and other sources of the surprising and unpredictable. To accomplish this demands planners and architects who are sensitive to the poetry of experience as well as to its utility.

The cathedral offers a different sort of paradigm for an aesthetics of the city. It exemplifies the claim that architecture presents a visible symbol of the ideals of an institution. As our dominant institutions have shifted from the sacred to the secular, the monumentality of the cathedral has been displaced by that of the skyscraper. While the cathedral aspires in Dantean belief, the skyscraper dominates in Faustian knowledge. Built of the products they rule, these towering structures of steel, concrete, and glass rise boldly in anarchic confusion in our metropolitan areas, usually intimidating us by force of money, power, and size, rather than elevating us with the spirit and moral meaning once held by the cathedral. Yet by design or default, the cluster of skyscrapers that marks the heart of the modern metropolis symbolizes the industrial city as much as Brunelleschi's dome that dominated Renaissance Florence was a monument to its aristocratic wealth and power. Indeed, the Empire State Building that until recently stood unchallenged as the pinnacle of Manhattan was the axis mundi of American commercialism.

21 Wolfgang Braunfels, "Institutions and Their Corresponding Ideals," in The Fitness of Man's Environment, p. 74.
22 The axis mundi was the Australian nomadic tribal pole that was carried
The monumentality of the skyscraper, however, may perhaps be capable of more than oppressive power; it can respond to the need rather than the greed of man by fashioning its spaces into a human habitat. By being set on plazas that invite the passerby with plantings, benches, fountains, and other amenities to relieve the modular uniformity of its facade, by being arranged in groupings that provide continuity and interest through shape, order, and direction, skyscrapers can be made to stand aside to make a place for the pedestrian and to integrate themselves into the human world rather than force him into its. There is more to this than simply emulating the dominating presence of the cathedral. It takes a sensitive hand and a far-reaching eye to shape the endless construction process of a metropolis to the aspirations of a technological world, without losing sight of the human figure in the maze of towers and alleys that dominate the ground on which urban man must stand and move.

Further still, the cathedral epitomizes the sense of place so often missing in the modern city. This is not only our awareness of the city as a whole and possessing a coherent identity; it appears more sharply in the feeling of one's neighborhood as a special area. Here is familiar ground, personal ground, whose streets and buildings are unified by customary association, recognized with relief, experienced with ease, and remembered with affection. Whatever aids a neighborhood in achieving identity and in generating warmth of personality helps turn it into a place with whose proportions we can associate ourselves and be at ease.

The paradigm of the cathedral suggests, however, that in its fullest development the sense of place is a sense of the sacred—sacred to oneself, sacred to the community. To the extent that it seems a grotesque incongruity to speak of city places as sacred, to that degree has the city failed to become precious to its residents. Yet in the importance of this quality lies a cultural continuity with earlier ages and stages of civilization, for men seem always to have...
regarded certain places as holy, and our choices are little different from those of our ancient past. Those locations in a city that attract us by a special, intangible significance possess the common guise of the sacred. A neighborhood square whose peculiar quality beckons us, a hill from whose crown we can survey the surrounding area with a sense of visual power, a grove, clearing, glen, or cove in a park, whose intimacy breathes a quiet air of magic—such places as these possess a strange character that makes us speak more softly and walk more slowly. These are mainly private places; yet there are public ones as well, places whose stature is increased by being commonly acknowledged and generally revered. Here stand the cemetery, the historical shrine, the patriotic memorial, the public square with its monument or fountain. These are sanctified places, filled with meanings that are felt rather than understood, and that evoke the sense of our bonds with the past and our participation in the continuity of culture. In its fullest degree, the sacred place generates a reverential deference and confers a certain sanctity on those who enter it.

In what way can the sunset hold meaning for the urban environment? Here is an occurrence whose irresistible sequence has little to do with human purposes. Still there is a sense, I believe, in which the paradigm of the sunset represents an essential aspect of urban ecology to which we seldom attend: it reminds us that the city is neither self-sufficient nor self-contained. In the same way in which architectural vision leads us from a building to its setting and eventually to the full city plan, so a city cannot be regarded as a complete entity but carries us beyond to the world in which it stands.

In this respect, however, we must move away from too close a continuity with past practice. The modern city has been freed from certain of the functions that cities of the past had to fulfill. Because of industrial technology, the city is no longer a satisfactory fortress against attack, nor is it a refuge against natural predators or disasters. The absence of such threats or the impossibility of secure protection from them have made it both possible and necessary for us to see the city as continuous with nature and as responsive to the larger requirements of human culture. Just as architecture must reflect and respond to the external pressure of climate, so must an urban ecosystem envision itself amidst the
regular procession of the seasons and within the geographical 
and economic features of its physical location, of the region in 
which it carries on its life, and ultimately of the universal system 
of which it is a part and from which, in the modern age, it cannot 
be separated.

This is not to maintain that there must be a continuity between 
city and countryside. Some of the special difficulties with suburbia 
stem from the fact that it attempts to combine the culture of the 
city with the neighborliness of the small town and the seclusion 
of the countryside, often under the aegis of an entrepreneurial 
system which honors narrowness of interest as individualism and 
fragmentation of scope as freedom. Its personal constrictions and 
social failures come as no surprise.

Perhaps the paradigm of the sunset can be used to suggest the 
possibility of combining a human identity for the city with a 
recognition of its place in a series of orders that are ever more 
inclusive in their scope and extended in their reach, much like the 
system of Aristotelian astronomy, which envisioned the earth as 
the center of concentric spheres of sun, moon, planets and stars. 
The city is not continuous with the countryside; rather it is integra-
ted into natural orders, utilizing and responding to the forces, 
rhythms, conditions, and opportunities of nature, ideally in a har-
mony we can envision although seldom yet observe. Thus the city 
is a part of a total setting, a process engaging great reaches of space, 
from regional industrialization to the atmosphere of the earth and 
affecting the radiation of the sun. The cosmic inevitability of the 
sunset is visual evidence of the same immutable processes that 
affect the earth's physical formations, oceans, atmosphere, and 
space. A recognition of all this may succeed in enhancing our 
awareness of the drama and power of the city, viewing it not as 
a beleaguered refuge from the inhospitality of the natural 
environment and the backwardness and ignorance of an alien 
countryside, but as a flower of civilization whose roots reach deep 
into the soil of the earth and whose leaves grace the heavens.
This range of environmental paradigms has intriguing implications for a broader understanding of the city. As analogies the paradigms are suggestive sources of ways in which the urban environment can be shaped into a habitat in which people can flourish instead of struggle to exist. Such features have, until now, occurred only fortuitously. An understanding of their nature and purpose can enable us not only to plan them deliberately but to create conditions under which they will develop of their own accord. While we have considered some of these features, the paradigms may hold many more. Yet as analogies they prove nothing; their only value lies in the sensitivity with which they are used to draw forth the moral and aesthetic potential of the city.

Although people have adapted remarkably well to urban and industrial life, spreading these social patterns ever more widely, two developments of special significance have occurred. One is the emerging possibility that the city may be losing its economic necessity. Recent changes in patterns of production and consumption have led to the decentralization of much industry and the easy availability of goods through mail order, neighborhood and suburban shopping plazas, and other local and convenient sources. Because of these developments cities are no longer needed as centers for providing work and goods. Further still, physical access to central sources of information, such as meeting halls, market places, and reference libraries, is not necessary when there are computer terminals hooked up to centralized information storage and retrieval facilities, book delivery systems, newspapers with regional circulation, national news magazines, radio, and television.24

If the city may no longer be essential to fulfill the economic requirements of an advanced industrial world, what is left to commend it? While it may not be necessary, the city is still desirable for the peculiar kinds of social and cultural conditions

24 Cf. Margaret Mead, "Values for Urban Living," The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, Philadelphia, 1957, Vol. 314, Nov. 1957, pp. 10-14.
it offers, conditions that cannot easily be supplied elsewhere. Here the paradigms may have considerable value in pointing out the civilizing possibilities of the city. By offering richly varied opportunities for imaginative perception and cultural meaning, joined with a perspective that integrates all levels of human activity with a cosmic order, the city can remain—or more realistically, become—a powerful force toward social humanization.

One of the persistent themes pursued by many writers on the urban condition centers around the importance of variety—for survival as a species, for survival as a civilization, and for the fulfillment of our peculiarly moral needs. Yet a second consequence of the successful adaptation to urban and industrial life is the pervasive spread of cultural uniformity and social conformity. While this offers a certain convenience in the management of mass production, mass consumption, and a mass population, the homogenization of the human species impairs biological and cultural adaptability to changes in the environing conditions. Further, while biological survival may not be affected in the short term, the question of adaptation cannot ignore the human factor of happiness, and with the inclusion of a moral dimension the very meaning of adaptability changes. This leads biologists like René Dubos to urge that we move away from human standardization to create wide diversity in our manmade environment: “Diversity

25 Cf. Marston Bates, The Forest and the Sea, New York, Vintage Books, 1960, Ch. 16, esp. pp. 253, 257, 261, 262: “Ethical, aesthetic and utilitarian reasons thus all support the attempt to conserve the diversity of nature. It is morally the right thing to do; it will provide, for future generations, a richer and more satisfying experience than would otherwise be possible; and it provides a much needed insurance against ecological catastrophe.” (262). Cf. also Murray Bookchin, Post-Scarcity Anarchism, Berkeley, Rampant Books, 1971.

26 “...man is remarkably adapted to life in highly urbanized and industrialized societies; his populations in such societies continuously increase and spread urbanization and industrialization to more and more of the earth. Granted that modern man increasingly falls victim to chronic disorders resulting from his ways of life, and that technological achievements may not contribute significantly to his happiness, these failures of modern life are of little importance from the purely biological point of view... The problem of happiness is relevant only when attention is shifted from the purely biological aspects of life to the far more complex problems of human values. In applying the concept of adaptation to man, we must therefore use criteria different from those used in general biology.” René Dubos, “Man and His Environment: Adaptations and Interactions,” in The Fitness of Man’s Environment, pp. 234-235.
of social environments constitutes a crucial aspect of functionalism, whether in the planning of cities, the design of dwellings, or the management of life.\textsuperscript{27}

Apart from the suggestiveness each has in itself, the paradigms we have been discussing exhibit some of the kinds of variety we can hope to discover in the urban environment—variety of perception, of activity, of meaning. In such diversity we can find rich possibilities for biological success, and even more important, for social and cultural growth. "The essence of the ideal city is that a wide variety of individuals living in it have a clear chance to live a richly individualistic life if they want to; and... that the humane city itself have individuality."\textsuperscript{28} The city thus has profound import for the moral possibilities of social living. It makes survival possible in a setting that promotes happiness by creating a condition of civilization that encourages growth and the widening of awareness in perception and meaning.

Yet the city not only possesses moral potential; it has aesthetic possibilities that are as pervasive, as significant, and as urgent. Indeed, one of the striking discoveries of this inquiry is that the development of a humane environment becomes the creation of an aesthetic one. If we view the urban ecosystem in its entirety, it becomes clear that the city, in its fullest expression, is a synthesis of all the realms we have discussed—functional, fantasy, metaphysical, and cosmic, acting in the unsettled excitement of productive interplay. An environment that assimilates man's perceptual characteristics, needs, and values to a functional network of human dimensions, that engages our imaginative

\textsuperscript{27} Ibid., p. 240. Also, "cultural homogenization and social regimentation resulting from the creeping monotony of technological culture, standardized patterns of education, and mass communication will make it progressively more difficult to exploit fully the biological richness of our species and may constitute a threat to the survival of civilization. We must shun uniformity of surroundings as much as conformity in behavior and strive to create diversified environments..." (p. 240). According to David Lowenthal, "it is a paradox of planning that even while praising diversity planners create uniformity. Also, they build occasional models that are so successful they are copied ad nauseam, and in the wrong places. Cf. Vicenza." (In a letter to the author, August 1976).

\textsuperscript{28} John Burchard, "The Limitations of Utilitarianism as a Basis for Determining Urban Joy," in \textit{Man and the Modern City}, Pittsburgh, University of Pittsburgh Press, 1963, ed. E. Geen, J. R. Lowe, and K. Walker, p. 12.
responses, that symbolizes our cultural ideals and evokes our unspoken understanding, that enables us to recognize the human proportion in the universal one, that, in short, enlarges the range, depth, and vividness of our immediate experience—such an urban environment acts at the same time as an aesthetic one.

Thus the moral and the aesthetic are joined. A humane environment is conciliatory towards its inhabitants, drawing them into its life through a harmonious activity that enhances the experiential quality of the total setting, and in so integrating man and environment, we achieve the fullest degree of aesthetic functionalism. When these conditions are met, we arrive at the interchange between art and life which has been the unspoken impulse of most art and the articulated goal of many contemporary artists.

An analysis of the experience of environment, then, leads equally to both normative dimensions. When an urban environment comes to embody the humanistic diversity of the paradigms, it refashions the entire condition of experience and thus experience itself. In achieving an ecological breakthrough, we at the same time attain an aesthetic and moral one. Thoreau, with his sensitive awareness of environment, anticipated their unity:

It is something to be able to paint a particular picture, or even to carve a statue, and so make a few objects beautiful; but it is far more glorious to carve and paint the very atmosphere and medium through which we look, which morally we can do. To affect the quality of the day, that is the highest of art.²⁹

It is clear, then, that we can experience the city in a multitude of ways.³⁰ Taken as a whole, these models contradict the purely visual, face-lifting approach to urban aesthetics. So, too, do they deny the experience of environment as alien and hostile, against which we must protect ourselves by withdrawal and insulation. Indeed, the paradigms exemplify the traits of the new aesthetic with which we began: the continuity between art and life, the

²⁹ Henry David Thoreau, Walden.
³⁰ “Cities take a long time to make, and order or consistency are not the only ways to a fine urban aesthetic; they may indeed offer the most limited way.” John Buchard, “The Urban Aesthetic,” in The Annals..., p. 116.
dynamic character of art, and the humanistic functionalism of the aesthetic act. By joining the aesthetic with the moral, an aesthetic ecology reveals to us a continuity between art and life, and we begin to perceive the city, perhaps in the inclusive manner of Dubuffet's aerial landscapes, as arrangements of space, of mass and volume, geographical contours, lines demarcating neighborhoods, transportation arteries, green areas of trees and parks, the polychromy of houses and buildings, reflections of lakes and fountains, and textures of walks, roads, and structures. This perhaps begins to fulfill Mondrian's prediction of a time that will end the condition of "art as a thing separated from our surrounding environment, which is the actual plastic reality." But it also enables us to experience the city as a dynamic environment of human activity, the setting for patterns of social movement and congregation in work and play, in ritual and romance, a kinetic sculpture in which social man is the source and origin of movement. Finally, it is through creating an urban environment that is a dynamic synthesis of the practical and the aesthetic, where need and awareness are equally fulfilled, that function is both most complete and most humane, and where enlightened aesthetic judgment can become a social instrument toward a moral goal.

31 Piet Mondrian, "Plastic Art and Pure Plastic Art," in Modern Artists on Art, ed. R.L. Herbert, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., Prentice-Hall, 1964, p. 130. Cf. also p. 121: "Art and life illuminate each other more and more; they reveal more and more their laws according to which a real and living balance is created."