The Chloroplast Grana Proteome Defined by Intact Mass Measurements from Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry*
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Proteomics seeks to address the entire complement of protein gene products of an organism, but experimental analysis of such complex mixtures is biased against low abundance and membrane proteins. Electrospray-ionization mass spectrometry coupled with reverse-phase chromatography was used to separate and catalogue all detectable proteins in samples of photosystem II-enriched thylakoid membrane subdomains (grana) from pea and spinach. Around 90 intact mass tags were detected corresponding to approximately 40 gene products with variable post-translational modifications, including phosphorylation. Provisional identity of 30 of these gene products was proposed based upon coincidence of measured mass with that calculated from genomic sequence. Analysis of isolated photosystem II complexes allowed detection and resolution of a minor population of D1 (PsbA) that was apparently palmitoylated and not detected in less purified preparations. Based upon observed $+80$-Da adducts, D1, D2 (PsbH), CP43 (PsbC), two Lhcbs, and PsbH were confirmed to be phosphorylated, and a new phosphoprotein was proposed to be the product of psbT. The appearance of a second $+80$-Da adduct on PsbH provides direct evidence for a second phosphorylation site on PsbH, complicating interpretation of its role in regulation of thylakoid membrane organization and function, including light-state transitions. Adducts of $+32$ Da, presumably arising from oxidative modification during illumination, were associated with more highly phosphorylated forms of PsbH implying a relationship between the two phenomena. Intact mass proteomics of organellar subfractions and more highly purified protein complexes provides increasingly detailed insights into functional genomics of photosynthetic membranes. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 1:46–59, 2002.

With many genomes completed and many more in the pipeline it is clear that the post-genomic era has arrived. Considerable attention is now being directed toward defining the function of individual gene products and the inter-relationships between them (functional genomics). Proteomics seeks to catalogue the full complement of the gene products of a cell and the effect of development, environment, and disease upon their expression. Mass spectrometry is driving proteomics, most commonly as a tool to identify proteins separated and visualized on two-dimensional gels. However, such strategies are insensitive to low abundance proteins (1, 2), proteins that are not fully represented on two-dimensional gels (for example, some classes of intrinsic membrane proteins) and to subtle changes in covalent modifications that do not appreciably alter isoelectric point or electrophoretic mobility. To address some of these shortcomings, intact mass proteomics has been proposed (3–8).

The ideal analysis of any protein includes a mass spectrum of the intact molecule to define the native covalent state and its heterogeneity (3, 4). A versatile procedure has been developed for effective electrospray-ionization mass spectrometry (MS) of intact intrinsic membrane proteins purified by reverse-phase chromatography in aqueous formic acid/isopropanol (3). An advantage of this technique is the ability to accurately measure proteins greater than 30 kDa, thereby allowing analysis of the majority of the gene products from any genome. The mass measurements of spinach PS II D1 (38,022 Da; 5 membrane-spanning $\alpha$-helices (MSH)), D2 (39,419 Da; 5 MSH), and Halobacterium halobium bacteriorhodopsin holoprotein (27,052 Da; 7 MSH) were within 0.01% of calculated theoretical values, setting a benchmark standard for analysis of intrinsic membrane proteins (3). The high mass accuracy of this technique for larger hydrophobic proteins has been demonstrated by analysis of a His$_6$-tagged Escherichia coli lactose permease (lacY; 47,357 Da; 12 MSH) (5, 7), His$_6$-tagged Vibrio parahaemolyticus Na$^+$/galactose cotransporters (sglT; 60,676 and 90,544 Da; 14/15 MSH) (9), and the $\alpha$-subunit of the rat Na$^+$/K$^+$-ATPase (atn2; 112,344 Da; 10 MSH).2

Strict translation of a published gene sequence is usually not sufficient to match a mass to a gene, and genome sequence

---

* The abbreviations used are: MS, mass spectrometry; MS-MS, tandem mass spectrometry; HPLC, high-performance liquid chromatography; PS, photosystem; MSH, membrane-spanning $\alpha$-helices; LC-MS, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry; IMT, intact mass tag.
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The Chloroplast Grana Proteome

Manipulation is required before agreement with measured masses is achieved. Varietal differences, DNA sequencing errors, post-transcriptional and post-translational modifications, as well as protein damage, must all be considered. Spectra frequently reveal lesser quantities of other molecular species that can usually be equated with covalently modified subpopulations of the dominant proteins (3, 4).

Toward a complete description of the thylakoid proteome, the closely appressed membranes of the granal stacks were prepared using their known resistance to solubilization by Triton X-100, resulting in a subfraction highly enriched in the polypeptides of PS II. Using this simplified starting material it was possible, using LC-MS, to record the masses of all detectable polypeptides in PS II-enriched membrane preparations from spinach and pea. Proteins were identified provisionally based upon coincidence of measured mass with that calculated from sequences in the database and their predicted elution from the HPLC column based upon calculated hydrophobicity. The heterogeneity of the larger PS II subunits was revealed illustrating the accuracy and resolution afforded by electrospray-ionization-MS. The observation of light-dependent double phosphorylation of PsbH demonstrates potential advantages and pitfalls of the approach for examining relative expression and steady-state native modifications. Analysis of subfractions of the proteome using this technique decreased the genomic coverage but allowed resolution of several, otherwise unrecognized, native modifications that may be functionally significant.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Leaves from 3-week-old greenhouse-grown pea (Pisum sativum cv. Alaska) plants, 6-month-old tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum cv. Sung) plants, and spinach obtained from local market sources were used for preparation of PS II-enriched membranes (10). Samples (80 μg of chlorophyll or 250 μg of protein; pea, n = 3; spinach, n = 7; tobacco n = 2) were prepared by acetone precipitation prior to dissolution in 60% HCOOH (diluted from 90% ACS grade; Fisher).

**RESULTS**

Thylakoid membrane proteins were stripped of lipids, chlorophyll, and other pigments by precipitation with acetone and dissolved in 60% formic acid for the primary reverse-phase HPLC analysis coupled with electrospray-ionization mass spectrometry (LC-MS; see Ref. 3). Many polypeptides elute efficiently under standard conditions of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid with increasing acetonitrile concentration. Averaging the elution profiles of several experiments allows a generalized elution map to be generated (Fig. 1). The trace shown follows total ion production across the scanned mass range such that peaks appear as molecules of different masses elute, rather like a protein UV elution profile except that relative abundance can be biased by the potential for different proteins to have different ionization efficiencies. Generally, the abundance of smaller proteins is exaggerated, because they ionize more efficiently than larger ones.

The very hydrophobic proteins elute from the column with lowered efficiency such that a substantial proportion remain bound to the column. Thus more hydrophobic PS II core polypeptides, including larger ones such as D2, CP43, and CP47, and small ones such as PsbM, are variably detected in the primary elution profile for appressed membrane fractions. The addition of isopropanol to acetonitrile (Buffer C) improves elution efficiency, though optimal spectra of D1 and D2 were obtained only by loading larger quantities of highly purified PS II reaction-center preparations (a subfraction of the appressed thylakoids used for the primary elution described above) to
reduce the total number of proteins in the sample (Fig. 2A).

Efficient elution of the more hydrophobic PS II polypeptides was achieved in 60% formic acid with elution by isopropanol (Buffers D and E), but chromatographic resolution is impaired, and less complex mixtures are preferable (3). The generalized primary elution profile for appressed membranes (Fig. 1) was annotated with peak identities assigned by comparison of measured intact masses to values calculated based upon gene sequences and available information on post-transcriptional and post-translational modifications. There are few proteins for which all this information is available. However, specific genes in related species (orthologs) are generally highly conserved in sequence, and known modifications in one ortholog were useful for predicting the same modification in another.

Extrinsic polypeptides, including those of the oxygen-evolving complex, elute early whereas more hydrophobic intrinsic polypeptides elute later in the primary elution profile. The masses of detected proteins and their proposed identities are presented in Table I in the order of their elution. Each significant mass spectrometric signal yielding a molecular weight is considered an intact mass tag (IMT) and compared with the data base. Some IMTs are easily assigned, because they correspond closely to well studied proteins whose mass can be calculated with great confidence based upon previous characterization. The native masses of some of the proteins that have been previously identified match very well with the IMT and are so indicated in Table I. Others are assigned based upon the pure coincidence of measured and calculated masses, with confidence being modulated by the number of other proteins of similar mass and similar hydrophobicity within the sample. In special cases it was necessary to compare all known orthologs of a particular protein and use phylogenetic conservation of sequence to identify probable sequence errors.3 The calculated masses presented here are based upon sequences from data base entries without such sequence correction. Of the 90 IMTs recognized in the primary/secondary elution profiles (see Tables I and II), 40 genes were assigned, leaving 30 IMTs unassigned. Some of these likely correspond to the products of genes whose function
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3 J. P. Whitelegge, S. M. Gómez, and K. F. Faull, manuscript in preparation.
remains as yet unidentified or proteins for which there is no gene sequence data in pea or spinach. The majority of proteins found in the preparations were the membrane-bound PS II proteins, but extrinsic PS I and PS II proteins were also observed. The abundance of extrinsic proteins was dependent upon whether the appressed membranes were NaBr-washed after Triton treatment. Detectable quantities of some of the extrinsic components of PS I were measured even in washed preparations. Varying proportions of the more hydrophobic membrane-bound PS II proteins remain immobilized on the column under the primary elution gradient conditions used to generate the data in Table I but can be eluted efficiently with a second gradient. Table II shows the IMTs obtained from experiments using the formic acid-isopropanol system (solvents D and E) for the secondary elution profile applied to pea appressed-membrane preparations. Although several intrinsic membrane-bound proteins observed in the primary elution profile are also evident in the secondary elution, no extrinsic PS I or PS II proteins were observed in the secondary elution.

Some IMTs were observed only when larger quantities of more highly purified preparations were analyzed. A segment of the spinach D1 (PsbA) ion series (Fig. 2A) with 31–28 charges is shown to illustrate the signal to noise ratio in the mass spectrum for the major and minor isoforms. Molecular weight is obtained by multiplying the observed m/z by the charge state and subtracting the mass of the charging species (number of protons), a process that is automated for presentation of averaged molecular weight spectra (Fig. 2B). Significantly, the minor signals (m/z 1234.9, 1276.0, 1320.4, and 1367.2; see Fig. 2A) in the mass spectrum, corresponding to the palmitoylated isoform of D1 described previously (12), were not discernable when the more complex appressed membrane grana subfractions were analyzed. Thus by working with more highly purified complexes we detect otherwise unseen minor components, of potential functional significance. The predominant mass peak in the reconstructed molecular weight spectrum of spinach D1 is in good agreement with the sequence translated from the gene, with removal of fMet and acetylation of Thr2 (13), as well as C-terminal processing (14) (38025.0 Da observed; 38020.6 Da calculated; difference between observed and calculated masses, \( \Delta = 0.012\% \)). The molecular weight reconstruction also shows the phosphorylated species (38104.0; 38100.6; 0.009%) and a palmitoylated species (38259.0; 38254.6; 0.012%), as well as other signals (38059.0; 38132.2) that probably arise from protein formylation during sample preparation. The data obtained with the acetonitrile/isopropanol system (solvents A and C) provides improved overall isoform resolution over the originally presented spectrum of spinach D1 obtained with the formic acid/isopropanol system (solvents D and E, compare spectrum to Ref. 3).

Based upon results from the secondary gradient elution of appressed thylakoids from pea, electrospray-ionization spectra are reported for the four large core subunits of PS II. Pea D1 (PsbA) is apparently processed as in spinach with N- and C-terminal trimming and N-acetylation (Fig. 3A, 38033.0 Da measured; 38033.5 Da calculated; \( \Delta = 0.002\% \)) with a lesser subpopulation apparently phosphorylated (38118.0; 38113.5; 0.012%), though no evidence was observed for the palmitoy-
### Table I

Generalized primary reverse-phase elution map of intact mass tags from appressed thylakoid membrane subfractions

| IMT<sup>a</sup> pea (± S.D.) | Calculated masses<sup>b</sup> (Da) | Δ<sup>c</sup> | IMT<sup>a</sup> spinach (± S.D.) | Calculated masses<sup>b</sup> (Da) | Δ<sup>c</sup> | ID<sup>d</sup> |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|-------------|
| 3187.3 ± 0.2               | 3296.5 ± 0.2                  |        | 3297.9                      | Z                            | PbX + O |
| 3485.2 ± 0.4               | 3163.2 ± 0.3                  |        | 3281.9                      | Z                            | PbX     |
| 2088.7 ± 0.2               |                                |        | 3000.0 ± 0.7                |                               |         |
| 9894.3 ± 1.2               | 9728.9 ± 0.9                  | X      | 9729.0                      | X                            | PsaE    |
| 9824.4 ± 1.1               | 9657.7 ± 2.0                  | X      | 9657.9                      | X                            | PsaEΔAla |
| 4028.8 ± 0.5               |                                |        |                              |                              |         |
| 9741.3 ± 0.9               | 9150.5 ± 1.3                  | X      | 8641.0 ± 1.6                |                               |         |
| 8848.4 ± 0.9               | 8849.3                        | X      | 8849.3                      |                               |         |
| 17936.3 ± 2.3              | 17871.8 ± 1.4                 | X      | 17872.6                      | X                            | PsaD + O |
| 17921.3 ± 1.4              | 17856.7 ± 2.1                 | X      | 17856.6                      | X                            | PsaD    |
| 20265.0 ± 1.6              | 20225.4 ± 1.5                 | X      | 20225.6                      | X                            | PsbP + O |
| 16375.2 ± 2.0              | 16522.7 ± 2.9                 | X      | 16521.8                      | X                            | PsbO    |
| 17275.1 ± 2.7              | 17829.4 ± 2.1                 | a + 2F | 17801.7 ± 2.7               | a + F                         | a        |
| 17774.6 ± 2.3              |                                |        | 17774.6 ± 2.3               |                               |         |
| 16143.4 ± 2.6              |                                |        | 16143.4 ± 2.6               | b + Ac                        | b        |
| 16102.0 ± 1.0              |                                |        | 16102.0 ± 1.0               | b                            |          |
| 12361.4 ± 1.3              |                                |        | 12361.4 ± 1.3               |                               |         |
| 26525.0 ± 1.8              | 26525.7                        | X      | 26532.1 ± 2.9               | 26661.8                      | 1        | PsbO       |
| 10276.3 ± 0.9              | 10381.6 ± 1.2                 | X      | 10381.8                      | X                            | PsaH    |
| 15564.7 ± 2.0              |                                |        | 15564.7 ± 2.0               | b + Ac                        | b +      |
| 12941.4 ± 2.5              |                                |        | 12941.4 ± 2.5               |                               |         |
| 19074.7 ± 2.4              | 19074.8                        | X      | 18940.4 ± 2.3               | 18938.6                      | X        | PetC       |
| 11320.3 ± 1.3              | 10764.8 ± 0.5                 |        | 10764.8 ± 0.5               |                              |          |
| 29474.1 ± 2.6              |                                |        | 8596.3 ± 0.8                | c + Ac + O                    | c + Ac   |
| 6927.9 ± 1.3               | 6924.0 ± 1.1                  | c + Ac | 6907.3 ± 0.9                | c + Ac                        | c        |
| 6911.6 ± 0.7               | 6869.2 ± 0.2                  | c      | 6869.0 ± 1.5                |                               | c        |
| 4411.1 ± 1.2               | 4411.2                        | X      | 4424.7 ± 0.5                | 4425.2                       | X        | PsbF       |
| 4394.9 ± 0.3               | 4395.2                        | X      | 4409.2 ± 0.6                | 4409.2                       | X        | PsbF       |
| 15178.6 ± 1.0              | 15171.8 ± 2.4                 |        |                              |                              |          |
| 22230.6 ± 1.8              |                                |        | 21677.3 ± 1.6               | d + Ac                        | d + Ac   |
| 21781.0 ± 1.8              |                                |        | 21661.2 ± 3.6               | d + O                         | d        |
| 21739.0 ± 1.3              |                                |        | 21739.0 ± 1.3               |                               |          |
| 21441.8 ± 2.8              |                                |        | 4854.8 ± 0.7                |                               |          |
| 25285.3 ± 3.3              | 25298.6 ± 2.9                 | X      | 10234.9 ± 1.4               | 10235.7                       | X        | PbxF       |
| 10205.7 ± 1.4              | 9685.3 ± 0.9                  |        | 9685.3 ± 0.9                |                               |          |
| 8389.0 ± 0.4               | 22345.6 ± 2.5                 | Y      | 22345.6 ± 2.5               | Y                            | PsaF     |
| 17255.3 ± 1.2              | 17272.3 ± 3.0                 | Y      | 17275.1 ± 3.0               | Y                            | PsaF     |
| 3983.3 ± 0.3               |                                |        |                              |                              |          |
| 4585.6 ± 0.6               |                                |        |                              |                              |          |
| 24574.8 ± 1.3              |                                |        |                              |                              |          |
| 24972.0 ± 2.2              | 24972.3                        | X      | 24760.2 ± 2.3               | 24760.2 ± 2.3                | Ac-Lhcb1*Ps4 |
| 24981.1 ± 1.9              | 25019.1 ± 3.4                 | X      | 25019.1 ± 3.4               | X                            | Ac-Lhcb1*So1 |
| 25036.4 ± 2.2              | 25036.3                        | X      | 25036.3 ± 2.2               | X                            | Ac-Lhcb1*Ps2 + P |
| 24959.1 ± 1.5              | 24956.3                        | Y      | 24946.3 ± 2.9               | Y                            | Ac-Lhcb1*Ps2 |
| 24836.4 ± 2.1              | 24837.1                        | X      | 24837.1 ± 2.1               | X                            | Ac-Lhcb2*Ps1 |
| IMT* pea (± S.D.) \(n = 4\) experiments | Calculated masses\(^b\) (Da) | \(\Delta^c\) | MT* spinach (± S.D.) \(n = 7\) experiments | Calculated Masses\(^b\) (Da) | \(\Delta^c\) | ID\(^d\) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 24750.7 ± 1.9 | 249362.6 ± 2.6 | | 24839.1 ± 1.4 | 250837.3 ± 3.0 | | 24774.2 ± 1.9 | 250052.3 ± 3.2 | | 22913.4 ± 1.6 | 22856.1 ± 3.4 | |
| 22842.5 ± 1.6 | 22814.0 ± 1.9 | X | 22302.9 ± 1.8 | 22813.0 | X | Lhcb6*So1 |
| 22233.8 ± 1.6 | | | | | | |
| 24332.7 ± 2.3 | 24330.8 | X | 24323.3 ± 2.3 | 24604.0 ± 0.5 | X | PsbY2 |
| 22952.9 ± 3.1 | | | | | | |
| 24345.9 ± 2.0 | | | | | | |
| 28690.8 ± 2.3 | 28071.8 ± 3.9 | "Lhcb4" | 26262.5 ± 2.4 | 25821.5 ± 2.7 | | 26562.8 ± 1.9 | 25768.6 ± 2.1 | X | Ac-PsbS |
| 25096.8 ± 2.1 | 25266.3 | X | 4540.3 ± 0.4 | 4960.4 ± 0.5 | X | PsbY2 |
| 4482.0 ± 0.0 | | | | | | |
| 23345.8 ± 2.0 | | | | | | |
| 27379.2 ± 2.6 | 23234.0 ± 2.9 | | 23279.4 ± 2.9 | | | |
| 9283.5 ± 0.9 | 9283.4 | X | 9255.4 ± 0.9 | 9255.4 | X | PsbE |
| 17934.6 ± 2.2 | 18056.5 ± 1.8 | | 18040.2 ± 1.6 | | | |
| 17918.9 ± 1.1 | | | | | | |
| 4409.3 ± 0.5 | 3972.1 ± 0.4 | | | | | |
| 4272.7 ± 0.6 | 3866.0 ± 0.2 | | | | | |
| 4367.1 ± 0.1 | 4366.0 ± 0.8 | X | 4365.9 ± 0.8 | 4366.0 | X | PsbL |
| 4759.7 ± 0.2 | | | | | | |
| 39481.7 ± 2.8 | 39475.9 | Y | 39475.9 | Ac-PsbD |
| 39439.6 ± 2.5 | 39437.5 | X | 56032.2 ± 6.7 | 56064.0 | Ac-PsbB |
| 7772.9 ± 0.5 | 7823.0 | X | 7823.0 | 7694.9 | Y | PsbH + P + O |
| 7713.9 ± 0.8 | 7743.0 | X | 7743.0 | 7694.9 | Y | PsbH + P + O |
| 7857.4 ± 1.1 | 7887.0 | X | 7887.0 | 7763.9 | Y | PsbH + P + O |
| 7777.3 ± 0.9 | 7807.0 | X | 7807.0 | 7763.9 | Y | PsbH + P + O |
| 7697.3 ± 1.0 | 7727.0 | X | 7727.0 | 7599.5 | Y | PsbH |
| 5913.2 ± 0.4 | 5927.7 | X | 5927.7 | 5927.7 | X | PsbW |
| 17359.1 ± 1.2 | 15136.0 | 78 | 15137.0 | 17313.7 | X | PetD |
| 4146.6 ± 0.6 | 4112.5 | X | 4112.5 | 3849.0 | X | fM-PsbT |
| 4060.8 ± 0.7 | 4032.5 | 4 | 4032.5 | 3894.9 | X | fM-PsbT |
| 4284.9 ± 0.3 | | | | | | |
| 50205.9 ± 8.3 | 50204.9 | X | 50204.9 | 3809.8 | X | Ac-PsbC |
| 4210.3 ± 0.6 | 4209.8 | Y | 4209.8 | 4195.8 | Y | fM-PsbM |
| | | | | | | |

*a* IMTs assembled in order of elution during the primary reverse-phase chromatographic elution. The mean ± S.D. of \(n\) experiments is presented.

*b* Calculated average mass of the uncharged assigned gene product shown in ID column.

*c* \(\Delta\), % difference between expected and observed masses; \(X\), \(\Delta\) < 0.01%; \(Y\), \(\Delta\) = 0.01–0.02%; \(Z\), \(\Delta\) = 0.02–0.07%).

*d* Published pea psb\(T\) gene sequence appears to have an in-frame deletion that is currently being confirmed.
### Table II

Secondary reverse-phase elution map of intact mass tags from appressed-membrane subfractions of pea thylakoids (n = 2)

| IMT mass (± range) | Calculated masses<sup>a</sup> | Δ<sup>c</sup> | ID<sup>d</sup> | Modifications<sup>e</sup> |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| 20261.3           | *20261.3                      | Lhca?       | NH₂-A<sup>??</sup> |
| 22233.6           | *22233.8                      | Lhcb6       |               |
| 22345.0 ± 0.2     | *22345.8                      | Lhca?       |               |
| 24346.9 ± 0.5     | 24330.8                       | Lhcb3       | NH₂-G<sup>43</sup> |
| 24751.2 ± 0.5     | *24750.7                      | Lhcb1/2     | Ac-R<sup>??</sup> |
| 24838.3 ± 0.1     | 24837.1                       | Lhcb2       | Ac-R<sup>38</sup> |
| 24958.6           | 24956.3                       | Lhcb1/2     | Ac-R<sup>38</sup> |
| 24972.2           | 24972.3                       | Lhcb1/4     | Ac-R<sup>38</sup> |
| 24981.2 ± 0.2     | *24981.1                      | Lhcb1/2     | Ac-R<sup>??</sup> |
| 25036.1           | 25036.3                       | Lhcb1/2     | Ac-R<sup>38</sup>, HPO₃-T<sup>40</sup> |
| 25061.4           | 25061.1                       | Lhcb1/2     | Ac-R<sup>??</sup>, HPO₃-T<sup>77</sup> |
| 26583.3 ± 0.5     | *26582.8                      | "Lhcb5"     | NH₂-?         |
| 26526.9           |                              |             |               |
| 27379.2           | *27379.2                      | "Lhcb4"     | Ac-?          |
| 28691.7 ± 0.2     | *28690.8                      | PsbE        | Ac-G<sup>2</sup> |
| 55951.1 ± 0.2     | 55902.0                       | PsbB        |               |
| 17919.2 ± 0.1     | a                             |             |               |
| 221678.6 ± 1.6    | *22169.8                      | PsbS        | Ac            |
| 3803.4 ± 1.6      | 38033.6                       | PsbA.1<sup>f</sup> | Ac-T<sup>2</sup>, A<sub>344</sub>-COOH |
| 38111.1           | 38113.6                       | PsbA.1<sup>f</sup> | Ac-T<sup>2</sup>, HPO<sub>3</sub>-T<sup>2</sup>, A<sub>344</sub>-COOH |
| 38040.7           | 38040.9                       | PsbA.2<sup>f</sup> | Ac-T<sup>2</sup>, A<sub>344</sub>-COOH |
| 38121.3           | 38120.9                       | PsbA.2<sup>f</sup> | Ac-T<sup>2</sup>, HPO<sub>3</sub>-T<sup>2</sup>, A<sub>344</sub>-COOH |
| 50201.4 ± 5.6     | 50204.9                       | PsbC        | Ac-T<sup>15</sup>, HPO<sub>3</sub>-T<sup>15</sup> |
| 50285.0           | 50284.9                       | PsbC        | Ac-T<sup>15</sup>, HPO<sub>3</sub>-T<sup>15</sup> |
| 4366.2 ± 1.6      | 4366.0                        | PsbL        | NH₂-T<sup>2</sup> |
| 39444.2 ± 3.2     | 39437.5                       | PsbD        |               |
| 39847.0           | 39847.5                       | PsbD        | Ac-T<sup>2</sup> |
| 39520.7           | 39517.5                       | PsbD        |               |
| 39550.0           | 39559.5                       | PsbD        | Ac-T<sup>2</sup>, HPO<sub>3</sub>-T<sup>2</sup> |
| 4026.0 ± 1.6      | 4026.7                        | PsbJ        | Ac-A<sup>2</sup> |
| 4157.3            |                              | f           |               |
| 4174.3            |                              | f           | +O            |
| 4060.5 ± 0.3      | 4032.5                        | 2           | PsbT          | fM |
| 4114.5 ± 0.1      | 4112.5                        | 2           | PsbT          | fM, + HPO<sub>3</sub> |
| 4209.8 ± 0.1      | 4209.8                        | X           | PsbI          | fM |
| 3782.9            | 3783.6                        | Y           | PsbM          |               |
| 6641.5 ± 0.1      | 6582.8                        | 3           | Ycf9          | fM |

---

<sup>a</sup> IMTs assembled in order of elution during the secondary reverse-phase chromatographic elution. Grouped IMTs indicate co-elution. IMTs without a range were observed in only one or the other experiment.

<sup>b</sup> Calculated average mass of the uncharged assigned gene product shown in ID column (Da). *, mass observed in Table I but with no published gene sequence from which to predict a mass. $, modification of a (*) mass observed in Table I.

<sup>c</sup> % difference between expected and observed masses; X, Δ < 0.01%; Y, Δ = 0.01–0.02%; Z, Δ = 0.02–0.07%.

<sup>d</sup> The mass and relative elution time of IMT 24347.4 Da is consistent with Lhcb3. The difference may be because of one of several possible single amino acid substitutions.

<sup>e</sup> The mass and relative elution time of IMT 24347.4 Da is consistent with Lhcb3. The difference may be because of one of several possible single amino acid substitutions.

<sup>f</sup> Unidentified masses that are observed to be modified are labeled in lower case letters (a, f). Superscripts indicate position from Met as amino acid 1. Sequence accession numbers are listed in the footnotes to Table I, except for Ycf9 (M27309).

<sup>g</sup> In this experiment (Pisum sativum cv. Alaska) there are potentially two isoforms of D1. The first isoform (38031.4 Da) is within 0.006% of the mass calculated from the published sequence (M11005). The second isoform (38040.7 Da) is within 0.0004% of the mass observed in previous LC-MS experiments (15). Both isoforms are consistent with removal of fMet, acetylation of residue 2, and C-terminal removal of the last nine amino acids, and both have phosphorylated subpopulations. There are several single amino acid substitutions that could account for the 7-Da difference in isoform masses. Further experiments using various pea cultivars are necessary to confirm this observation.
lated isoform after elution with formic acid/isopropanol as we observed in spinach under the same solvent conditions (3). The minor species at 38057.7 may correspond to a singly formylated form of D1 (38061.5 Da calculated; 0.011%). D2 (PsbD) is apparently predominantly non-acetylated after fMet removal (Fig. 3B, 39441.0; 39437.5; 0.009%) and has a phosphorylated isoform (39517.0; 39517.5; 0.001%). Two other minor species potentially correspond to the acetylated isoform (39487.0; 39479.5; 0.019%) and the acetylated phosphorylated isoform (39550.0; 39559.5; 0.024%) observed previously in spinach (13). The lower mass coincidence in the latter two species is presumably a consequence of the weaker ion currents because of the low abundance of these isoforms in the sample. The measured masses of pea D1 and D2 reported herein are lower than values reported previously (3, 15), possibly because of allelic differences in the different pea cultivars used (Table II). The other two large PS II core subunits are CP43 (PsbC) (Fig. 3C) and CP47 (PsbB) (Fig. 3D). Pea CP43 is trimmed, removing 14 amino acids at the N terminus and acetylated (50207.0; 50204.9; 0.004%), and a population is phosphorylated (50285.0; 50284.9; 0.0002%) in agreement with MS-MS data taken from N-terminal tryptic phosphopeptides of spinach CP43 (13). The major pea CP47 species is apparently N-acetylated after fMet removal (55897.0; 55902.0; 0.009%). Other heterogeneity may potentially arise from oxidation, formylation, allelic sequence differences, or the existence of multiple copies of the gene in pea or spinach.

Post-translational modifications can provide useful functional information, because these are often regulatory. Scanning the intact mass tags for characteristic mass differences allows the identification of specific modifications. Phosphorylation increases mass by 80 Da, and several tags were indeed separated by this increment. IMTs identified as D1, D2, CP43, two Lhcb’s, and PsbH were observed with the +80-Da tag confirming their partial phosphorylation in vivo. Furthermore, a tag provisionally assigned as PsbT also exhibited the +80 tag suggesting the presence of a previously unidentified thylakoid phosphoprotein. Moreover, PsbH had a second +80 tag providing convincing evidence to support a second phosphorylation site on spinach and pea PsbH in vivo, as matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight analysis of spinach (16) and tobacco4 has shown previously. Mass spectrometric sequencing provided evidence for phosphorylation of Thr2 and Thr4 on a tryptic peptide recovered from proteolyzed A. thaliana thylakoids matching the N-terminal sequence predicted for PsbH (17), consistent with dual phosphorylation of the intact PsbH protein. Molecular weight reconstructions of spinach PsbH are shown in Fig. 4 demonstrating the utility of LC-MS in examining physiological changes to the native covalent state of the protein under different light conditions. Labeling studies provided compelling evidence for phosphorylation of thylakoid polypeptides smaller than PsbH (18). Other common modifications that are observed include covalent oxidation of methionine (+16 Da) and formylation as a result of formic acid treatment (+28 Da), as well as non-covalent adducts such as trifluoroacetic acid (+113 Da). IMTs arising from these adducts were ignored unless considered relevant to the in vivo state, i.e. reproducible oxidation.

DISCUSSION
Proteomics seeks to reconcile protein data against genomic data to provide functional insights across the entire complement of expressed information. Most proteomics studies have focused upon identification of proteins after their fragmentation into small pieces that are subsequently matched to segments of genes. For example, the proteins of

4 Unpublished data.
forms of PsbH are labeled (7598 Da, 7678 Da). The appearance of doubly oxidized forms of PsbH correlated with increased phosphorylation. The peak at 7825 Da appears to carry four oxygens. The range of hydrophobicities of the proteins in Table III is illustrated in Fig. 5. The generalized order of elution from the reverse-phase HPLC column shown in Fig. 1 is presented below the GRAVY index (grand average of hydropathicity) to compare the relative elution time with the predicted hydrophobicity. The GRAVY statistic provides a reasonable indication of HPLC retention but tends to underestimate hydrophobicity if the protein has many buried charged residues, as in the case of the light-harvesting proteins, which have 15 charged amino acid residues within the bilayer (24). Retention of elements of secondary structure could also lead to higher retention times than predicted as polypeptide backbone polarity is minimized.

Our predicted mass calculations of thylakoid-associated proteins make use of the extensive body of literature incorporating their known primary structural information. Genes for thylakoid proteins are found in both nuclear and chloroplast genomes, and thus some members are trafficked across two biological membranes whereas others are synthesized directly into the thylakoid itself. N-terminal modifications are limited to removal of predictable transit sequences, sporadic removal of initiating formyl methionine residues, and phosphorylation/acetylation of some proteins. There is one example of C-terminal proteolytic trimming and, importantly, no glycosylation. Identities of over half of the detectable proteins were proposed by matching measured masses to minimally processed calculated masses, aided substantially by the rather limited range of post-translational modifications affecting thylakoid proteins. A single gene can provide multiple intact mass tags when post-translational modification is heterogeneous. An example is the D1 polypeptide, which has a major species corresponding to the translated protein processed at the N terminus by fMet removal, acetylation of Thr2, and a C-terminal proteolytic event, as well as minor species where this product is phosphorylated or palmitoylated (see Fig. 2). Thus chloroplast psbA has at least three functionally significant gene products before further heterogeneity arising from oxidative modification is considered. The light-dependent turnover of D1 is well documented (25, 26); palmitoylation apparently plays a role in folding, assembly, or targeting of newly synthesized D1 to functional PS II in granal stacks (12), and N-terminal phosphorylation appears to modulate the turnover of the protein with respect to photodamage (27). Identifi-
TABLE III
TagIdent search of the A. thaliana genomes

TagIdent (ca.expasy.org/tools/tagident.html) was used to search Swiss-Prot and TrEMBL for translated genes from the three A. thaliana genomes. Each search was performed in the indicated mass ranges. The Swiss-Prot and TrEMBL entry names are used in the table. The searches resulted in 712 responses of which 133 are localized to the chloroplast (underlined in the table). 51 of the chloroplast-localized genomes. Each search was performed in the indicated mass ranges. The Swiss-Prot and TrEMBL entry names are used in the table. The
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cation of the IMTs assigned to D1 is practically unequivocal, because there are no other proteins of this size eluting with similar LC retention, and the assigned species closely match calculated masses for known modified forms of D1.

The presence of two phosphorylation sites on PsbH makes it possible for PsbH of green plants to exist in four different covalent states rather than two states as in cyanobacterial PsbH. Instead of a binary switch, the multiple phosphorylation states of PsbH in green plants allow a complex response to changing light environments. Simple light shift experiments such as those shown (Fig. 4), although demonstrating the photodynamic nature of phosphorylation of both PsbH sites, are not sufficient to distinguish the two sites, and more complex experiments will be required to discriminate the role of each site. The observation that PsbH has two phosphorylation sites demands reconsideration of the interpretation of many previous studies. For example, comparison of measured rates of phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of PsbH and LHC IIb

- **Fig. 5.** Predicted hydrophobicity of chloroplast proteins under 40,000 Da. Proteins found by searching the ARATH subset of Swiss-Prot and TrEMBL with TagIdent (Table III) were analyzed using the GRAVY result from the ProtParam tool at ExPASy. The GRAVY index is shown (abscissa) versus the mass of each A. thaliana protein (ordinate). The lower scale shows the HPLC retention times of pea proteins from Fig. 1 with the approximate positions of each identified protein. The two scales were normalized to place PsbX and PsbM in the same relative positions. There are several membrane proteins that seem to elute later than the GRAVY index would indicate. It is possible that membrane proteins retain some secondary structure during reverse-phase HPLC and present a more hydrophobic surface than predicted for the denatured protein. **Filled triangles**, thylakoid-associated proteins (intrinsic and extrinsic); **open circles**, stromal proteins; **filled circles**, lumenal proteins; X, protein of unknown function encoded on the chloroplast genome (ycf genes).
(Lhcb1) in greening pea chloroplasts showed that the rate of phosphorylation of PsbH is faster than that of LHC IIb, whereas the rate of dephosphorylation is much faster for LHC IIb (28). Similar rates were observed for the more rapid dephosphorylation of LHC IIb compared with PsbH in mature pea thylakoids (29). Several proteins are phosphorylated in PS II, but only the dephosphorylation kinetics of LHC IIb matched the kinetics of the decrease in low temperature (77 K) fluorescence emission ratios of PS I and LHC II (29). This has lead to a model where the phosphorylation of LHC IIb alone is correlated to the State 1-State 2 transition. However, different phosphorylation/dephosphorylation kinetics can be explained if there is a second site of phosphorylation on the PsbH protein (30). The demonstrated presence of a second phosphorylation site requires reconsideration of the role of PsbH in State 1-State 2 transitions and other light-induced physiological responses.

Not all IMTs are easy to assign, with some being more ambiguous and others remaining unassigned. In some cases modest alterations to a published gene sequence must be made to permit assignment. Such changes are based upon extreme conservation of specific residues across a wide range of sequences published for a variety of species. Of course, all assignments are coincidental based upon match of intact mass to a calculated value, such that rigorous identification may be further required. This can be accomplished by splitting the effluent between UV detector and mass spectrometer and running a proportion of the flow to a fraction collector for analysis by peptide mass fingerprints or sequence tags (LC-MS+; see Refs. 31 and 32). For example, LC-MS+ was used to identify a ninth component of chloroplast thylakoid cytochrome b6f complexes as ferredoxin: NADP+ oxidoreductase (33, 34). In another experiment, the spinach PsbF protein was sequenced by MS-MS confirming that RNA editing results in a change of residue 26 from Ser to Phe (35), in agreement with MS studies of intact spinach and pea PsbF (3, 36). In the case of the IMT assigned to pea PsbH (7697.3 ± 1 Da), divergence from the calculated mass (7727.0 Da) is evident. Although peptide sequence obtained from MS-MS (not shown) shows that residue 18 is actually Val, rather than Ala as translated from the published DNA sequence (37), this change is insufficient to account for the mass difference between the observed and the calculated masses, and thus there are more changes to be found. Acetylation and phosphorylation of the N terminus of several thylakoid membrane proteins was identified in peptides isolated by immobilized metal ion chromatography using MS-MS (13, 38). Clearly complete annotation of genomic data will require detailed structural analysis by mass spectrometry.

One approach to monitor the thylakoid "phosphorylome" involves treatment of thylakoid membranes with trypsin, enrichment of phosphopeptides with immobilized metal ion chromatography, and their quantification by LC-MS-MS (17). However, yield of these peptides can be influenced by trypsin accessibility, and thylakoid protein conformation is known to be affected by phosphorylation (39, 40); furthermore, there is uncertainty about the efficiency of recovery of low abundance phosphopeptides by the immobilized metal ion chromatography procedure. Therefore, care must be exercised in the interpretation of results obtained by this experimental method. An improvement would be to place such methodology downstream of the MS profile of the intact protein. For example, the oxidation of PsbH (see Fig. 4) would alter the mass such that a peptide may no longer be counted (unless specific incorporation of procedures to identify these species are used). Of course these detailed characterizations will be essential to clarify the relationship between membrane protein oxidation and phosphorylation.

The appearance of +32-Da adducts on phosphorylated forms of PsbH (Fig. 4B) would suggest oxidative addition of dioxygen via sulfone or endoperoxide formation, for example. Adducts of +32 Da were also observed when peptides derived from light-treated PS II reaction centers were analyzed (41) and contrast with +16-Da adducts that typically result from oxidation of methionine to its sulfoxide in aqueous buffers (6). Furthermore, it appears that the most highly phosphorylated forms of PsbH are also the most highly modified with the +32 adducts suggesting a link between the two phenomena. Detailed investigations of oxidative damage to proteins in biological membranes under physiological conditions are necessary.

**CONCLUSION**

Electrospray-ionization mass spectrometry, coupled with liquid chromatography, is being used (3, 4, 32–34, 41–44) to analyze intact thylakoid membrane proteins. LC-MS experiments provide an attractive means to monitor physiological changes in covalent status across the entire complement of thylakoid proteins and in subfractions from different membrane domains as a function of light and other stresses, providing significant benefits to functional genomics. Although it has been possible to propose identities of many thylakoid membrane proteins based upon coincidence of measured with calculated masses, it may be impractical to do so where there are much greater varieties of gene products (humans, for example) with more extensive modifications, especially glycosylation. However, the incorporation of fraction collection concomitant with intact mass measurements (LC-MS+) provides a convenient solution to the problem.
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