Mercury electrode as a tool/sensor for pollutants monitoring in natural waters; advantages and disadvantages regarding moving to “green” electrochemistry

Abstract
Electrochemical measurements are the most used and challenging approaches in trace elements analysis and speciation in complex natural samples. There is a wide research community that used electrochemical methods for studying critical environmental and biogeochemical processes, both natural and anthropogenically perturbed, that influence ecosystem services and human health. In recent years more attention is focused on development of environmentally friendly and sustainable chemical processes and tools which have aim to reduce negative footprint on the environment. Development in the electrochemistry is heading in this “green” direction, since EU regulations confined use of mercury, which has been established as the preferred tool/sensor in electrochemical measurements. Therefore, development of new and more environmentally safely electrode materials is imperative. In this mini-review we discuss advantages of the mercury electrode use in measurements of organic material with surface active properties and sulfur compounds in natural waters.
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Introduction
Green Chemistry or Sustainable Chemistry is defined by the EPA as “the design of chemical products that reduce or eliminate the use of hazardous substances”. In recent years there are great expectations that chemists will produce greener and more sustainable chemical processes. In this direction, relevant benefits from “green” electrochemical activities (better control, higher selectivity, safer operations, milder conditions, and the use of electrons as a cheap reagent) were found. Some important instances of “green” electrochemistry are detectors and bio-detectors for environmental analysis (detection of pollutants). Since the invention of polarography, the Hg electrode was established as the preferred electrode for use in electrochemistry due to the high overpotential for hydrogen evolution (which enables work at moderately negative potentials), as well as renewability of the electrode surface. The current trend of “green” chemistry, that encourages avoiding the use and generation of toxic substances, as well as recent EU regulations that have prohibited exporting and storing metallic Hg, have led to the search of competitive substances, as well as recent developments in new electrode materials that can replace mercury.

There is a wide range of electroanalytical techniques which use the mercury electrode as a working electrode for possible qualitative and quantitative determination of pollutants in natural waters (potentiometry, polarography, voltammetry, chronopotentiometry, chronooamperometry etc.). These electrochemical methods, especially voltammetry, have appropriate features to be used as monitoring methods (early warning tools) for aqueous systems in general and are among key methods for analyses of hydrophobic and surface active organic compounds, sulphur species, trace metals, engineered and natural nanoparticles. Voltammetry is the only technique allowing determination and chemical speciation (oxido-reduction state, the presence of different species) of the truly dissolved and particulate inorganic and organic species without any sample handling. Given that voltammetry provides information about relationship and reactivity between different chemical species in natural waters. So we can say that it allows monitoring the interaction between chemistry, biology, physics, and/or it provides answer how chemistry affects biology and ecology, and/or how living organisms change the chemistry of the environment where they live. Thus, electrochemical measurements in natural waters are essential in order to obtain more complete speciation information and to fully understand the geochemical cycling and bioavailability (toxicity) of elements. To fulfill this mission it is mandatory to have enough sensitive working electrodes, i.e. voltammetric sensors, with embodiments that make them specific for detection of natural and anthropogenically introduced compounds in natural environment.

Discussion
Electrochemical methods that have been applied in our group in the last 50 years enables quantification of different type of organic material with surface active properties, organic and inorganic sulfur compounds (reduced sulfur species, RSS), natural and engineered nanoparticles in model and different natural water samples (urban and marine atmosphere, sea- and freshwater systems, drainage water from agriculture area, mining water). Developed methodology offers insight into spatial distribution and seasonal variations of surface active substances (SAS) and RSS in the water environment.
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Curvature shape analysis (Figure 1) enables rough characterization of predominant SAS groups (more hydrophobic and/or hydrophilic types, from saturated fatty acids, polysaccharide xanthan, fulvic and humic type of material), while oxido-reduction peaks offer information on presence of analytes that are oxidized and/or reduced at the Hg surface (Figure 2). A detailed analysis of results from both approaches gives information about the composition of the investigated samples, and prevailing type of organic material.

**Figure 1** AC voltammetric curves of non-filtered NF (dashed blue) and filtered F (green crosses) samples taken in spring season from drainage basin in the wetland area of Lonja field (Croatia). Desorption peak around -1.6 V in the NF sample (FIGURE 1) can be ascribed to the presence of polysaccharide type of organic matter. Please note that majority of this material was removed by filtration through 0.45 μm filter. Dotted red curve corresponds to electrolyte (0.55 M NaCl). All curves are recorded after 120 s accumulation time (with stirring of the solution) at the deposition potential of -0.6 V at the hanging Hg drop electrode. All potentials were measured against the Ag/AgCl reference electrode while graphite rod was the counter electrode.

**Figure 2** Cyclic voltammetric (CV) curves of seawater peloid sediment suspension at the hanging Hg drop electrode. Cathodic going scan without accumulation (dotted line) and after 120 s of accumulation (dash line) at $E_c = 0$ V. Scan rate=100 mV/s. Reduction peak at around -0.6 V corresponds to the presence of sulfur species recovered by HgS reduction, while anodic peaks at around -0.8 and -0.4 V correspond to the presence of Fe. Please note that all peaks were recovered by longer accumulation time at the starting potential. All potentials were measured against the Ag/AgCl reference electrode; graphite rod was the counter electrode.

**Conclusion**

Hg electrode is a simple and very practical model of a charged interface while solid electrodes resemble natural interfaces more closely, especially for the study of adsorption processes and surface active substances measurements. In comparison with Hg, Au electrode has stable structure which is more sensitive on the way of electrode preparation, applied potentials, composition of the solution, and temperature. Comparative measurements of sulfate at the Hg and Au electrodes showed different sensitivity and selectivity of the Hg surface for such type of compounds. Besides, advantages of smooth and reproducible renewable surface of the Hg electrode have been evidenced in analytical procedures based on both faradic and nonfaradaic processes. Nevertheless, in our measurements and water quality monitoring we have not yet found an adequate and more "green" replacement for the Hg electrode.
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