Activity of cefepime/taniborbactam and comparators against whole genome sequenced ertapenem-non-susceptible Enterobacterales clinical isolates: CANWARD 2007–19
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Objectives: This study assessed in vitro activities of cefepime/taniborbactam and comparator antimicrobial agents against ertapenem-non-susceptible Enterobacterales (ENSE) clinical isolates collected from the CANWARD study 2007–19, and associations between MIC and various mechanisms of β-lactam resistance identified using WGS.

Methods: A total of 179 ENSE (MIC ≥ 1 mg/L) isolates underwent susceptibility testing using reference CLSI broth microdilution. WGS was performed using the Illumina NextSeq platform. Carbapenemases, ESBLs and other β-lactamases were identified using ResFinder 4.0. Alterations in ompC/F and ftsI (PBP3) were identified by comparing extracted sequences to the appropriate NCBI reference gene. Porin alterations were analysed with Provean v1.1.3. Specific alterations of interest in PBP3 included a YRIN or YRIK insertion after P333.

Results: Cefepime/taniborbactam was highly active (MIC50/MIC90, 0.5/2 mg/L; 177/179 isolates inhibited at ≤ 8 mg/L) against ENSE with various antimicrobial resistance phenotypes. Thirteen (7.3%) of the 179 ENSE isolates demonstrated cefepime/taniborbactam MIC values ≥ 4 mg/L and possessed combinations of β-lactam resistance mechanisms, including a carbapenemase and/or ESBL and/or other β-lactamase genes, as well as alterations in OmpC and/or OmpF and/or PBP3. Of the two Escherichia coli isolates that demonstrated a cefepime/taniborbactam MIC of 32 mg/L, one possessed NDM-5, OXA-181 and TEM-1B, an OmpC alteration and P333_Y334insYRIN in PBP3, while the second contained CTX-M-71, a truncated OmpF and a large alteration in OmpC (F182_R195delinsMTTNGRDDVFE).

Conclusions: Cefepime/taniborbactam was highly active against ENSE with various antimicrobial resistance phenotypes/genotypes. ENSE isolates with cefepime/taniborbactam MIC values ≥ 4 mg/L possessed combinations of β-lactam resistance mechanisms, including β-lactamase genes, as well as alterations in OmpC and/or OmpF and/or PBP3.

Introduction

Carbapenems such as ertapenem, imipenem, meropenem and doripenem are used both empirically and as directed therapy for infections caused by resistant and MDR pathogens including Enterobacterales.1–5 Carbapenemases and β-lactam/β-lactamase inhibitors are viewed as effective therapies for infections caused by MDR Enterobacterales.1,2,6 However, as carbapenem non-susceptibility increases, clinicians/researchers are seeking carbapenem-sparing regimens such as novel β-lactam/β-lactamase inhibitors (including ceftazidime/avibactam and ceftolozane/tazobactam).1,2,6,7 There is an unmet need for novel carbapenem-sparing β-lactam/β-lactamase inhibitors to treat infections caused by MDR Gram-negative bacilli including carbapenem-non-susceptible Enterobacterales.
Cefepime is a parenteral extended-spectrum cephalosporin that has been used clinically for decades. Taniborbactam (formerly VNRX-5133) is a boronic acid-containing β-lactam inhibitor that inhibits class A, C and D (serine) β-lactamases, and class B (metallo) β-lactamases, including VIM, NDM, SPM-1 and GIM-1 (but not IMP). Cefepime/taniborbactam is in Phase 3 clinical development for the treatment of complicated urinary tract infection.\textsuperscript{8-10}

The current study assessed the in vitro activities of cefepime/taniborbactam and comparator antimicrobials against ertapenem-non-susceptible Enterobacterales (ENSE) clinical isolates collected from the CANWARD study 2007–19, as well as associations between MIC and various mechanisms of β-lactam resistance identified using WGS.

Materials and methods

**CANWARD study**

CANWARD is a national, ongoing, Public Health Agency of Canada-National Microbiology Laboratory (PHAC-NML)/Canadian Antimicrobial Resistance Alliance (CARA) partnered surveillance study evaluating in vitro activities of antimicrobial agents against bacterial pathogens isolated by clinical laboratories from patients attending tertiary care hospitals across Canada.\textsuperscript{3} Each isolate submitted was considered clinically significant by protocols in place at the submitting laboratory. The CANWARD surveillance study sets annual quotas for reporting and consists of spot testing and/or MALDI-TOF MS (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA, USA).\textsuperscript{3} Tertiary care hospitals in 8 of the 10 Canadian provinces participate in the CANWARD surveillance study. The number of tertiary care hospitals participating in the CANWARD surveillance study by year was: 12 in 2007, 10 in 2008, 15 in 2009, 14 in 2010, 15 in 2011, 12 in 2012, 15 in 2013, 13 in 2014, 13 in 2015, 13 in 2016, 14 in 2017, 12 in 2018 and 10 in 2019. The CANWARD surveillance study receives annual approval by the University of Manitoba Research Ethics Board (H2009:059).

**Bacterial isolates**

The CANWARD 2007–19 isolation collection contained 18027 isolates of Enterobacterales of which 179 (0.99%) were ertapenem-non-susceptible (MIC ≥ 1 mg/L).\textsuperscript{3} The 179 ENSE included in the current study were Enterobacter cloacae (n = 96), Escherichia coli (n = 26) and 57 other species [Klebsiella aerogenes (n = 20), Klebsiella pneumoniae (n = 26), Klebsiella oxytoca (n = 1), Serratia marcescens (n = 7), Citrobacter freundii (n = 2) and Morganella morganii (n = 1)]. Specimen sources were 43% respiratory, 39% blood, 10% urine and 8% wound.

Fifty-one ertapenem-susceptible (MIC ≤0.5 mg/L) Enterobacterales (E. cloacae (n = 26), E. coli (n = 7) and 18 other species [K. aerogenes (n = 6), K. pneumoniae (n = 6), K. oxytoca (n = 1), S. marcescens (n = 3), Proteus mirabilis (n = 1) and C. freundii (n = 1)]) were randomly selected from the CANWARD 2007–19 isolate collection and tested as controls.

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

Following two subcultures from frozen stock, the in vitro activities of cefepime/taniborbactam (cefepeime double dilution range 0.03–128 mg/L with taniborbactam fixed at 4 mg/L) and comparator agents were determined by reference CLSI broth microdilution (M07, 11th edition, 2018) using 96-well custom designed microtitre plates.\textsuperscript{3,11} Antimicrobial agents were obtained as laboratory grade powders from their respective manufacturers or commercial sources. Stock solutions were prepared and dilutions made as described by CLSI.\textsuperscript{3,11} MICs were interpreted using CLSI M100 (30th edition, 2020) or FDA breakpoints.\textsuperscript{12,13} Isolates with cefepime/taniborbactam MIC ≤8 mg/L were deemed susceptible.

Colony counts were performed to confirm inocula. Quality control was assured using E. coli ATCC 25922, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, E. coli NCTC 13353 (CTX-M-15) (routine quality control strain used for cefepime/taniborbactam) and K. pneumoniae ATCC BAA-1705 (KPC-2, TEM, SHV).

**WGS**

ENSE isolates, plus 51 ertapenem-susceptible Enterobacterales controls, were sequenced using the Illumina NextSeq platform. Quality control was assessed using the FastQC tool (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) and contigs were assembled using SPAdes software.\textsuperscript{14} Sequencing yielded an average of 2,637,395 reads per genome and an average genome coverage of 82×. De novo assembly yielded an average contig length and N50 length of 128,583 and 318,077 bp, respectively.

MLST alleles and STs were identified by scanning assembled contigs against available PubMLST databases (https://github.com/tseemann/mlst); Carbapenemases, ESBLs and other β-lactamases were identified using ResFinder 4.0 at an identity threshold of 90%.\textsuperscript{15} Alterations in genes ampC/F (encoding major porins), ampK37 (in K. pneumoniae only) and ftsI (encoding PBP3) were identified by comparing extracted sequences to the appropriate NCBI reference gene. PBP3 alterations of interest included four amino acid insertions after P333, as previously described.\textsuperscript{16} Porin alterations were analysed with Provean v1.1.3 (default settings) to predict those that may have a negative impact on biological protein function.\textsuperscript{17} Only alterations predicted by Provean as having a negative impact on Omp function are discussed further in this study.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers**

The WGS data reported in this study have been deposited in the NCBI Short Read Archive under BioProject PRJNA736690.

**Results**

**Activity of cefepime/taniborbactam against ertapenem-susceptible Enterobacterales and ENSE**

The cefepime/taniborbactam MIC\textsubscript{50} and MIC\textsubscript{90} were 0.06 mg/L and 0.25 mg/L, respectively (MIC range ≤0.03–2 mg/L, an 8-fold potentiation compared with cefepime based on MIC\textsubscript{90} values) with 100% susceptibility (MIC ≤ 8 mg/L) for the 51 ertapenem-susceptible Enterobacterales used as control isolates (Table 1).Susceptibilities with comparator agents ranged from 84.3% with ceftolozane/tazobactam and piperacillin/tazobactam to 100% with meropenem and meropenem/vaborbactam (Table 1). The cefepime/taniborbactam MIC\textsubscript{50} and MIC\textsubscript{90} were 0.5 mg/L and 2 mg/L, respectively (MIC range 0.06–32 mg/L) for the 179 ENSE (Table 1). The presence of taniborbactam at 4 mg/L increased the proportion of ENSE isolates inhibited at ≤ 8 mg/L cefepime to 98.9% compared with 42.5% for cefepime alone (a ≥ 32-fold potentiation compared with cefepime based on MIC\textsubscript{90} values). For these 179 ENSE, not surprisingly, the susceptibilities to other β-lactam or β-lactam–like comparator agents ranged from lows of 15.1% susceptibility to piperacillin/tazobactam and 20.1% to ceftolozane/tazobactam to highs of 92.2% susceptibility to imipenem/relebactam, 96.1% to meropenem/vaborbactam and 97.8% to ceftazidime/avibactam (Table 1).
The provided text is a table describing the activity of cefepime/tanoboractam and comparators for various ertapenem-susceptible and non-susceptible Enterobacteriales. The table is structured as follows:

| Phenotype (no. tested)/Antimicrobial agent | MIC (<i>mg/L</i>) | % S | % I | % R |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| **Ertapenem-S (51)**                     |                  |     |     |     |
| Cefepime/tanoboractam 0.06               | 0.03 to 2        | 100 |    | 0   |
| Cefepime                                | 0.03 to 32       | 96.1| 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam ≤0.25             | 0.25 to 4        | 100 |    | 0   |
| Ceftolozane/tazobactam 0.5               | 0.25 to 16       | 84.3| 5.9 | 9.8 |
| Gentamicin                               | 0.25 to 16       | 94.1| 0   | 5.9 |
| Imipenem                                 | 0.25 to 4        | 90.2| 7.8 | 2.0 |
| Imipenem/relebactam ≤0.25               | 0.12 to 8        | 96.1| 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Levofoxacin                              | 0.12 to 8        | 96.3| 5.9 | 7.8 |
| Meropenem                                | 0.12 to 0.5      | 100 |    |    |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam ≤0.25              | 0.12 to 0.12     | 100 |    |    |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam 4                | 0.5 to 128       | 84.3| 9.8 | 5.9 |
| **Ertapenem-NS (179)**                   |                  |     |     |     |
| Cefepime/tanoboractam 0.5               | 2                | 98.9a|    | 1.1 |
| Cefepime                                | 16 to 32        | 42.5| 30.1| 27.4|
| Ceftazidime/avibactam ≤0.25             | 0.25 to 4        | 20.1| 10.1| 69.8|
| Ceftolozane/tazobactam 0.5               | 0.25 to 8        | 77.7| 2.2 | 20.1|
| Gentamicin                               | 0.25 to 8        | 72.1| 12.3| 15.6|
| Imipenem                                 | 0.25 to 8        | 81.6| 4.4 | 14.0|
| Imipenem/relebactam ≤0.25               | 0.12 to 8        | 96.1| 1.7 | 2.2 |
| Levofoxacin                              | 0.12 to 8        | 50.8| 7.3 | 41.9|
| Meropenem                                | 0.12 to 8        | 77.7| 1.9 | 21.7|
| Meropenem/vaborbactam ≤0.25              | 0.12 to 0.12     | 72.1| 12.3| 15.6|
| Piperacillin/tazobactam 128              | 1 to 128        | 15.1| 25.7| 59.2|
| **Aztreonam-R (157)**                    |                  |     |     |     |
| Cefepime/tanoboractam 0.5               | 2                | 99.4a|    | 0.6 |
| Cefepime                                | 16 to 32        | 36.3| 33.8| 29.9|
| Ceftazidime/avibactam ≤0.25             | 0.25 to 4        | 98.1| 1.9 |    |
| Ceftolozane/tazobactam 16                | 0.25 to 16       | 76.4| 1.9 | 21.7|
| Gentamicin                               | 0.25 to 16       | 73.9| 11.5| 14.6|
| Imipenem                                 | 0.25 to 12       | 94.9| 0.6 | 4.5 |
| Imipenem/relebactam ≤0.25               | 0.12 to 8        | 50.3| 6.4 | 43.3|
| Levofoxacin                              | 0.12 to 8        | 80.9| 5.1 | 14.0|
| Meropenem                                | 0.12 to 8        | 96.2| 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam ≤0.25              | 0.12 to 0.12     | 15.1| 25.7| 59.2|
| Piperacillin/tazobactam 128              | 1 to 128        | 8.3 | 25.5| 66.2|
| **Cefepime-R (49)**                      |                  |     |     |     |
| Cefepime/tanoboractam 1                 | 0.12 to 0.65     | 95.9a|    | 4.1 |
| Cefepime                                | 16 to 32        | 91.8| 9.2 |    |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam ≤0.25             | 0.25 to 32       | 16.3| 2.1 | 75.5|
| Ceftolozane/tazobactam 0.5               | 0.25 to 16       | 42.9| 2.0 | 55.1|
| Gentamicin                               | 0.25 to 16       | 53.1| 12.2| 34.7|
| Imipenem                                 | 0.25 to 12       | 85.7| 2.1 | 12.2|
| Imipenem/relebactam ≤0.25               | 0.12 to 8        | 8.2 | 4.0 | 87.8|
| Levofoxacin                              | 0.12 to 8        | 55.1| 8.2 | 36.7|
| Meropenem                                | 0.12 to 0.65     | 87.8| 4.0 | 8.2 |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam ≤0.25              | 0.12 to 0.65     | 12.2| 6.2 | 81.6|
| Piperacillin/tazobactam 128              | 4 to 128        | 92.0a|    | 8.0 |
| **Meropenem-R (25)**                     |                  |     |     |     |
| Cefepime/tanoboractam 1                 | 0.12 to 0.65     | 92.0a|    | 8.0 |
| Cefepime                                | 0.5 to 64        | 8.0 | 20.0b| 72.0|

Continued
| Phenotype (no. tested)/Antimicrobial agent | MIC<sub>50</sub> | MIC<sub>90</sub> | range | % S | % I | % R |
|------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| Ceftazidime/avibactam                    | 2              | >32            | ≤0.25 to >32 | 84.0 | —   | 16.0 |
| Ceftolozane/tazobactam                  | >32            | >32            | 1 to >32 | 8.0  | 0.0 | 92.0 |
| Gentamicin                               | 1              | >16            | ≤0.25 to >16 | 64.0 | 4.0 | 32.0 |
| Imipenem                                 | 8              | >32            | 2 to >32 | 0.0  | 12.0 | 88.0 |
| Imipenem/relebactam                     | 1              | 16             | ≤0.12 to 32 | 60.0 | 8.0 | 32.0 |
| Levofoxacin                              | >8             | >8             | 0.5 to >8 | 16.0 | 4.0 | 80.0 |
| Meropenem                                | 16             | >32            | 4 to >32 | 0    | 0   | 100 |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam                   | 1              | 16             | ≤0.06 to >32 | 72.0 | 12.0 | 16.0 |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam                 | >128           | >128           | 8 to >128 | 8.0  | 8.0 | 84.0 |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam-R (106)         |                |                |         |     |     |     |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                  | 0.5            | 4              | 0.06 to 32 | 98.1<sup>a</sup> | —   | 1.9 |
| Cefepime                                 | 8              | >64            | 0.25 to >64 | 20.8 | 41.5<sup>b</sup> | 37.7 |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam                   | 1              | 4              | ≤0.25 to >32 | 96.2 | —   | 3.8 |
| Ceftolozane/tazobactam                  | 32             | >32            | 2 to >32 | 0.9  | 1.0 | 98.1 |
| Gentamicin                               | 0.5            | >16            | ≤0.25 to >16 | 70.8 | 3.7 | 25.5 |
| Imipenem                                 | 1              | 8              | ≤0.25 to >32 | 67.0 | 13.2 | 19.8 |
| Imipenem/relebactam                     | 0.25           | 1              | ≤0.12 to >32 | 91.5 | 1.9 | 6.6 |
| Levofoxacin                              | 1              | >8             | ≤0.25 to >8 | 48.1 | 6.6 | 45.3 |
| Meropenem                                | 0.25           | 16             | ≤0.06 to >32 | 73.6 | 6.6 | 19.8 |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam                   | ≤0.06          | 2              | ≤0.06 to >32 | 93.4 | 2.8 | 3.8 |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam                 | >128           | >128           | 128 to >128 | 0    | 0   | 100 |
| Ceftolozane/tazobactam-R (125)          |                |                |         |     |     |     |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                  | 0.5            | 2              | 0.06 to 32 | 98.4<sup>a</sup> | —   | 1.6 |
| Cefepime                                 | 4              | >64            | 0.12 to >64 | 28.0 | 40.0<sup>b</sup> | 32.0 |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam                   | 1              | 4              | 0.25 to >32 | 96.8 | —   | 3.2 |
| Ceftolozane/tazobactam                  | 16             | >32            | 8 to >32 | 0    | 0   | 100 |
| Gentamicin                               | 0.5            | >16            | ≤0.25 to >16 | 76.0 | 2.4 | 21.6 |
| Imipenem                                 | 1              | 8              | ≤0.25 to >32 | 71.2 | 10.4 | 18.4 |
| Imipenem/relebactam                     | 0.25           | 1              | ≤0.12 to >32 | 92.8 | 1.6 | 5.6 |
| Levofoxacin                              | 0.5            | >8             | ≤0.25 to >8 | 52.0 | 5.6 | 42.4 |
| Meropenem                                | 0.25           | 16             | ≤0.06 to >32 | 76.8 | 4.8 | 18.4 |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam                   | ≤0.06          | 1              | ≤0.06 to >32 | 94.4 | 2.4 | 3.2 |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam                 | >128           | >128           | 32 to >128 | 0    | 16.8 | 83.2 |
| Colistin-R (27)                          |                |                |         |     |     |     |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                  | 1              | 4              | 0.12 to 8 | 100<sup>a</sup> | —   | 0   |
| Cefepime                                 | 2              | >64            | 0.25 to >64 | 66.7 | 14.8<sup>b</sup> | 18.5 |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam                   | 1              | 4              | 0.5 to 4 | 100  | —   | 0   |
| Ceftolozane/tazobactam                  | 8              | >32            | 1 to >32 | 33.3 | 7.4 | 59.3 |
| Gentamicin                               | 1              | >16            | ≤0.25 to >16 | 77.8 | 7.4 | 14.8 |
| Imipenem                                 | 1              | 8              | ≤0.25 to >32 | 51.9 | 18.5 | 29.6 |
| Imipenem/relebactam                     | 1              | 2              | ≤0.12 to >32 | 70.4 | 22.2 | 7.4 |
| Levofoxacin                              | 1              | >8             | ≤0.25 to >8 | 40.7 | 14.9 | 44.4 |
| Meropenem                                | 0.25           | 16             | 0.12 to >32 | 81.5 | 0   | 18.5 |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam                   | ≤0.06          | 2              | ≤0.06 to >8 | 96.3 | 3.7 | 0   |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam                 | 64             | >128           | 1 to >128 | 22.2 | 29.7 | 48.1 |
| Levofoxacin-R (75)                       |                |                |         |     |     |     |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                  | 1              | 4              | 0.06 to 32 | 97.3<sup>a</sup> | —   | 2.7 |
| Cefepime                                 | 16             | >64            | 0.25 to >64 | 22.7 | 20.0<sup>b</sup> | 57.3 |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam                   | 1              | 4              | ≤0.25 to >32 | 94.7 | —   | 5.3 |
| Ceftolozane/tazobactam                  | 16             | >32            | 0.5 to >32 | 22.7 | 6.6 | 70.7 |
| Gentamicin                               | 2              | >16            | ≤0.25 to >16 | 56.0 | 2.7 | 41.3 |
| Imipenem                                 | 1              | 16             | ≤0.25 to >32 | 61.3 | 10.7 | 28.0 |

Continued
Activity of cefepime/taniborbactam against additional resistant phenotypes

The ENSE isolates were extensively cross-resistant to other antimicrobial agents. For example, 95.5% (171/179), 87.7% (157/179) and 69.8% (125/179) of isolates were also resistant to amoxicillin/clavulanate (MIC<sub>50</sub> 0.5 mg/L; MIC<sub>90</sub> 2 mg/L), aztreonam (MIC<sub>50</sub> 0.5 mg/L; MIC<sub>90</sub> 2 mg/L), cefepime-resistant (MIC<sub>50</sub> 1 mg/L; MIC<sub>90</sub> 4 mg/L), ceftolozane/tazobactam-resistant (MIC<sub>50</sub> 0.5 mg/L; MIC<sub>90</sub> 2 mg/L), cefepime-resistant (MIC<sub>50</sub> 1 mg/L; MIC<sub>90</sub> 4 mg/L), cefepime/taniborbactam CANWARD 2007–19.

Table 1.  Continued

| Phenotype (no. tested)/Antimicrobial agent | MIC<sub>50</sub> | MIC<sub>90</sub> | range | % S | % I | % R |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| Imipenem/relebactam                      | 0.25           | 1              | ≤ 0.12 to 32 | 92.0 | 2.7 | 5.3 |
| Levofoxacin                               | ≥ 8            | ≥ 8            | 2 to > 8      | 0   | 0   | 100 |
| Meropenem                                 | 0.25           | 16             | ≤ 0.06 to > 32 | 68.0 | 5.3 | 26.7 |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam                     | < 0.06         | 2              | ≤ 0.06 to > 32 | 94.7 | 1.3 | 4.0 |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam                   | >128           | >128           | 4 to > 128    | 17.3 | 18.7 | 64.0 |
| Imipenem-R (28)                           |                |                |               |     |     |     |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                    | 1              | 8              | 0.12 to 32    | 92.9<sup>a</sup> | —   | 7.1 |
| Cefepime                                  | 16             | >64            | 0.25 to > 64  | 21.4 | 17.9<sup>b</sup> | 60.7 |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam                     | 2              | >32            | ≤ 0.25 to > 32 | 89.3 | —   | 10.7 |
| Ceftolozane/tazobactam                    | >32            | >32            | 1 to > 32     | 17.9 | 0   | 82.1 |
| Gentamicin                                | 2              | >16            | ≤ 0.25 to > 16 | 64.3 | 3.6 | 32.1 |
| Imipenem                                  | 8              | >32            | 4 to > 32     | 0   | 0   | 100 |
| Imipenem/relebactam                       | 1              | 16             | ≤ 0.12 to 32  | 57.1 | 14.3 | 28.6 |
| Levofoxacin                               | 8              | >8             | ≤ 0.25 to > 8  | 21.4 | 3.6 | 75.0 |
| Meropenem                                 | 16             | >32            | 0.5 to > 32   | 10.7 | 10.7 | 78.6 |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam                     | 0.5            | 16             | ≤ 0.06 to > 32 | 75.0 | 10.7 | 14.3 |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam                   | >128           | >128           | 1 to > 128    | 17.9 | 7.1 | 75.0 |
| Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole-R (60)      |                |                |               |     |     |     |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                    | 0.5            | 4              | 0.06 to 32    | 96.7<sup>a</sup> | —   | 3.3 |
| Cefepime                                  | 32             | >64            | 0.25 to > 64  | 21.7 | 15.0<sup>b</sup> | 63.3 |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam                     | 1              | 8              | ≤ 0.25 to > 32 | 93.3 | —   | 6.7 |
| Ceftolozane/tazobactam                    | 32             | 32             | 0.5 to > 32   | 25.0 | 6.7 | 68.3 |
| Gentamicin                                | 16             | >16            | ≤ 0.25 to > 16 | 41.7 | 6.6 | 51.7 |
| Imipenem                                  | 1              | 16             | ≤ 0.25 to > 32 | 53.3 | 18.4 | 28.3 |
| Imipenem/relebactam                       | 0.25           | 2              | ≤ 0.12 to 32  | 88.3 | 5.0 | 6.7 |
| Levofoxacin                               | >8             | >8             | ≤ 0.25 to > 8  | 6.7 | 10.0 | 83.3 |
| Meropenem                                 | 0.5            | 32             | ≤ 0.06 to 32  | 61.7 | 8.3 | 30.0 |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam                     | ≤ 0.06         | 2              | ≤ 0.06 to 32  | 95.0 | 0   | 5.0 |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam                   | >128           | >128           | 4 to > 128    | 20.0 | 13.3 | 66.7 |
| Amoxicillin/clavulanate-R (171)           |                |                |               |     |     |     |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                    | 0.5            | 2              | 0.06 to 32    | 98.8<sup>a</sup> | —   | 1.2 |
| Cefepime                                  | 4              | >64            | 0.12 to > 64  | 42.7 | 31.0<sup>b</sup> | 26.3 |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam                     | 1              | 4              | ≤ 0.25 to > 32 | 97.7 | —   | 2.3 |
| Ceftolozane/tazobactam                    | 16             | >32            | 0.5 to > 32   | 16.4 | 10.5 | 73.1 |
| Gentamicin                                | 0.5            | >16            | ≤ 0.25 to > 16 | 77.8 | 2.3 | 19.9 |
| Imipenem                                  | 1              | 8              | ≤ 0.25 to > 32 | 70.8 | 12.8 | 16.4 |
| Imipenem/relebactam                       | 0.25           | 1              | ≤ 0.12 to 32  | 91.8 | 3.5 | 4.7 |
| Levofoxacin                               | 0.5            | >8             | ≤ 0.25 to > 8  | 52.0 | 7.1 | 40.9 |
| Meropenem                                 | 0.25           | 8              | ≤ 0.06 to 32  | 80.7 | 4.7 | 14.6 |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam                     | ≤ 0.06         | 0.5            | ≤ 0.06 to 32  | 95.9 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam                   | 128            | >128           | 1 to > 128    | 11.7 | 26.3 | 62.0 |

S, susceptible; I, intermediate; R, resistant; NS, non-susceptible.
<sup>a</sup>Proportion of isolates inhibited at ≤ 8 mg/L.
<sup>b</sup>Interpreted using SDD breakpoint (4–8 mg/L; CLSI M100 31st edition).
β-Lactam resistance mechanisms

Of the 179 ENSE, 8.9% (n = 16) possessed a carbapenemase, 21.8% (n = 39) possessed an ESBL and 97.2% (n = 174) possessed other β-lactamase genes (Table S1, available as Supplementary data at JAC-AMR Online). Six isolates possessed a carbapenemase, an ESBL and other β-lactamase genes. All isolates with carbapenemases and 97.4% of isolates with an ESBL (n = 38) also possessed truncated or altered Omp proteins. Overall, 88.3% (n = 158) of ENSE had at least one altered/truncated porin. Only two isolates (1.1%) possessed previously described alterations in PBP3, one each of Y333_R334insYRIK and Y333_R334insYRIN.

Of the 51 ertapenem-susceptible control isolates, 84.3% (n = 43) had at least one altered/truncated porin gene and 74.5% (n = 38) possessed a non-carbapenemase, non-ESBL β-lactamase gene (Table S1). However, no carbapenemase genes or PBP3 alterations were found within these isolates. Three isolates (5.9%) possessed an ESBL gene, though these were only within isolates at the highest level of ertapenem susceptibility (MIC = 0.5 mg/L).

Specific gene content of ENSE with cefepime/taniborbactam MICs ≥ 4 mg/L

Although the CLSI susceptible, dose-dependent (SDD) breakpoint for cefepime/taniborbactam for Enterobacterales is ≤ 8 mg/L, this analysis also examined the characteristics of isolates within the cefepime SDD range (cefepime/taniborbactam MICs of 4–8 mg/L) as well as above 8 mg/L. Only 7.2% (n = 13) of ENSE isolates demonstrated a cefepime/taniborbactam MIC ≥ 4 mg/L, including seven K. pneumoniae, three E. coli, two E. cloacae and one S. marcescens (Table 3). Each of the 13 isolates possessed at least one β-lactamase gene and at least one altered or truncated Omp (including PBP3). Only two isolates with cefepime/taniborbactam MIC ≥ 4 mg/L possessed a carbapenemase (OXA-48; NDM-5 + OXA-181); more commonly, isolates possessed an ESBL with multiple additional β-lactamase genes. Seven isolates possessed a truncated Omp, while eight had Omps with insertions of two or more amino acids (with or without accompanying deletions) (Table 3). Only one isolate possessed a four amino acid insertion after P333 in PBP3 (see below). Frequently these 13 isolates also demonstrated elevated MICs or resistance to ceftazidime/

### Table 2. Cefepime/taniborbactam and comparator MIC distributions for various resistant phenotypes of Enterobacterales

| Phenotype (n) | ≤0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | >64 |
|--------------|-------|-----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|----|-----|
| Imipenem/relebactam-R (8) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefepime | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | |
| Imipenem/relebactam | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefepime | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | |
| Imipenem/relebactam | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam | 1 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam-R (4) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefepime | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam | 1 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam | | | | | | | | | | |
| Imipenem/relebactam | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam | | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | |

aShown are numbers for the lowest common concentration; actual MICs of some isolates may be lower than indicated.

bShown are numbers for the highest common concentration; actual MICs of some isolates may be higher than indicated.

0.5 mg/L; MIC<sub>90</sub> 4 mg/L), colistin-resistant (MIC<sub>50</sub> 1 mg/L; MIC<sub>90</sub> 4 mg/L), imipenem-resistant (MIC<sub>50</sub> 1 mg/L; MIC<sub>90</sub> 8 mg/L), levofloxacin-resistant (MIC<sub>50</sub> 0.5 mg/L; MIC<sub>90</sub> 4 mg/L), meropenem-resistant (MIC<sub>50</sub> 1 mg/L; MIC<sub>90</sub> 8 mg/L), piperacillin/tazobactam-resistant (MIC<sub>50</sub> 0.5 mg/L; MIC<sub>90</sub> 4 mg/L) and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole-resistant (MIC<sub>50</sub> 0.5 mg/L; MIC<sub>90</sub> 4 mg/L) with susceptibilities ranging from 92%–100% (Table 1).

Table 2 demonstrates the MICs of cefepime/taniborbactam and select comparators for a limited number of imipenem/relebactam-resistant, ceftazidime/avibactam-resistant and meropenem/vaborbactam-resistant isolates. Cefepime/taniborbactam demonstrated MICs of 0.5–4 mg/L for 6 of 8, 2 of 4 and 2 of 4 isolates resistant to imipenem/relebactam, ceftazidime/avibactam and meropenem/vaborbactam, respectively (Table 2). Cefepime/taniborbactam was the most active agent tested against imipenem/relebactam and meropenem/vaborbactam-resistant isolates (Table 2).

### Golden et al.
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| FTB MIC/Organism (ST) | MIC (mg/L) | CPM CZA/IMR/MEV | CPs ESBLs | AmpC/Class C | Other βLs | | | OmpC (OmpK36) | OmpF (OmpK35) | PBP3 insertion |
|----------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|----------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| **4 mg/L**           |             |                  |           |             |          |   |              |                |                |
| E. cloacae (ST141)   | 8           | 8/4/8            | —         | ACT-7       | —        |   | G104A, G358Q, L359F | —             | —              |
| E. cloacae (ST50)    | 32          | 8/0.5/1          | —         | SHV-12 ACT-15 | —        |   | g.93_97dupATTAG → frameshift, premature stop codon (truncated 33aa protein) | g.398delC → frameshift, premature stop codon (truncated 167aa protein) | —              |
| E. coli (ST68)       | 16          | 2/0.5/≤0.06      | —         | CMY-10 OXA-4, OXA-47 | —        |   | N165D, F182_R195delinsMTNGRDDVF, D208N, D225W | g.470_473delGCGT → frameshift, premature stop codon (truncated 171aa protein) | —              |
| KPN (ST147)          | > 64        | 1/≤0.12/≤0.06    | —         | CTX-M-15 DHA-1 | OXA-1, OXA-10, SHV-11, TEM-1B | A183, T184insLSP, T222L | — | —              | —              | —              |
| KPN (ST391)          | > 64        | 2/1/0.12         | —         | CTX-M-15 | — | OXA-1, SHV-11, TEM-1B | A190W, N304delinsER | — | —              | —              |
| KPN (ST147)          | > 64        | 0.5/0.25/0.5     | —         | CTX-M-15 | — | OXA-1, SHV-11, TEM-1B | g.78_79insT → frameshift, premature stop codon (truncated 26aa protein) | — | —              | —              |
| KPN (ST14)           | > 64        | 2/0.25/0.5       | —         | CTX-M-15 | — | OXA-1, OXA-9, SHV-28, TEM-1A | G134, D135insDG, A190W, N304delinsER | g.676_679delAAACCCGAAAGCC TGGGCGGA → frameshift, premature stop codon (truncated 266aa protein) | — |
| KPN (ST101)          | > 64        | 2/4/8            | CTX-M-15 | — | OXA-1, SCO-1, SHV-1, TEM-1A | G134, D135insDG, A190W, N304delinsER | — | — | —              |
| SER (NA)             | 8           | 4/2/2            | —         | SST-1      | —         |   | I360T | — | —              |
| KPN (ST14)           | > 64        | 4/0.5/0.5        | —         | CTX-M-15 | — | OXA-1, OXA-9, SHV-28, TEM-1A | G134, D135insDG, A190W, N304delinsER | g.676_679delAAACCCGAAAGCC TGGGCGGA → frameshift, premature stop codon (truncated 266aa protein) | — |
| KPN (ST45)           | > 64        | 2/2/4            | —         | CTX-M-15 | — | OXA-1, SHV-1, TEM-1B | — | — | —              |
| **32 mg/L**          |             |                  |           |             |          |   |              |                |                |
| E. coli (ST405)      | > 64        | > 32/4/16        | —         | CTX-M-71 | — | — | N165D, F182_R195delinsMTNGRDDVF, D208N, D225W | g.753_756dupGAAC → frameshift, premature stop codon (truncated 256aa protein) | — |
| E. coli (ST361)      | > 64        | > 32/32/≥32      | NDM-5, OXA-181 | — | TEM-1B | D192G | — | — | P333_Y334insYRIN |

FTB, cefepime/taniborbactam; CPM, cefepime; CZA, ceftazidime/avibactam; IMR, imipenem/relebactam; MEV, meropenem/vaborbactam; KPN, K. pneumoniae; SER, S. marcescens; CP, carbapenemase; βL, β-lactamase.

aIncludes all other β-lactamases that are not carbapenemases, ESBLs or class C enzymes.
bIncluding truncations due to a premature stop codon, or alterations predicted by Provean to have a negative impact on biological protein function.
cKPN isolate contained N230G and M233_R239delinsQHYTHTERYAK in OmpK37.
avibactam, imipenem/relebactam and meropenem/vaborbactam (Table 3).

Of note, two E. coli isolates had a cefepime/taniborbactam MIC of 32 mg/L (cefepime MICs 64 mg/L). One possessed NDM-5, OXA-181 and TEM-1B, an OmpC alteration (D192G) and P333_Y334insYRIN in PBP3. The second contained CTX-M-71, a truncated OmpF due to a four amino acid duplication and a large alteration in OmpC (F182_R195delinsMTTNGRDDVFE) (Table 3). These isolates were from different clonal groups (ST361 and ST405). These two isolates were also concomitantly resistant to ceftazidime/avibactam, imipenem/relebactam and meropenem/vaborbactam (Table 3).

Cefepime/taniborbactam and cefepime MIC distributions for ENSE with known carbapenemase, ESBL and AmpC/Class C β-lactamase genes

In 16 carbapenemase-producing strains, cefepime/taniborbactam MICs were significantly lower than cefepime MICs (Table 4). Cefepime/taniborbactam MICs for isolates containing KPC-2/KPC-3, NDM-1 and OXA-48/OXA-181/OXA-232 were 0.12–4 mg/L in comparison to 2 to >64 mg/L for cefepime (Table 4). One NDM-1-containing strain was resistant to imipenem/relebactam, ceftazidime/avibactam and meropenem/vaborbactam, but was provisionally susceptible to cefepime/taniborbactam (MIC 1 mg/L). Cefepime/taniborbactam MICs were also significantly lower than cefepime MICs in 39 ESBL-producing strains, where MICs for 92.3% (36/39) isolates containing CTX-M- and SHV-type ESBLs were 0.06–4 mg/L in comparison to 1 to >64 mg/L for ceftazidime/avibactam (Table 5). Cefepime/taniborbactam demonstrated similar activity to ceftazidime/avibactam against the 39 ESBL-producing strains (Table 5). Cefepime/taniborbactam demonstrated greater activity than cefepime against 22 ENSE isolates with OXA-family genes (but no carbapenemase genes) (Table 7).

**Table 4. Cefepime/taniborbactam and comparator MIC distributions for 16 ENSE isolates with carbapenemase genes**

| Carbapenemase (organism)                          | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5  | 1   | 2   | 4   | 8   | 16  | 32  | 64  | >64 | Total |
|--------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| KPC-2 (all K. pneumoniae)                         |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 4     |
| Cefepime                                         |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                           | 1    | 1    | 2    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 6     |
| KPC-3a                                           |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime                                         |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                           | 2    | 3    | 1    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 3     |
| NDM-1 + OXA-232 (all K. pneumoniae)              |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 2     |
| Cefepime                                         |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                           | 2    | 1    | 3    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| NDM-5 + OXA-181 (E. coli)b                       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1     |
| Cefepime                                         |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                           | 1    | 1    | 1    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| OXA-48 (K. pneumoniae)                           |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1     |
| Cefepime                                         |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                           |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| OXA-181 (K. pneumoniae)                          |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1     |
| Cefepime                                         |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| OXA-181 (K. pneumoniae)                          |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1     |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                           |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| SME-3 (S. marcescens)                            |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1     |
| Cefepime                                         |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                           |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1     |
| All isolates with carbapenemase genes            |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 16    |
| Cefepime                                         | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 2   | 4   | 1   | 6   |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                           | 4    | 4    | 3    | 3   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 6   |     |     |     |       |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam                            | 3    | 3    | 4    | 3   | 1   | 3   | 3   | 6   |     |     |     |       |
| Imipenem/relebactam                              | 3    | 2    | 6    | 1   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 2   | 3   |     |     |       |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam                            | 11   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 2   |     |     |     |     |     |       |

*Includes two E. coli, two K. pneumoniae, one K. oxytoca and one S. marcescens.

This isolate also possessed P333_Y334insYRIN in PBP3.

*Shown are numbers for the lowest common concentration; actual MICs of some isolates may be lower than indicated.

*Shown are numbers for the highest common concentration; actual MICs of some isolates may be higher than indicated.

**Discussion**

Of the 18 027 Enterobacterales isolates collected from CANWARD from 2007 to 2019, we obtained and tested the...
0.99% (179/18 027) of isolates that were ENSE (ertapenem MIC ≥ 1 mg/L).3 In this study we assessed the activity of cefepime/taniborbactam against this highly selected cohort of ENSE clinical isolates which using WGS were found to contain carbapenemase genes (8.9%), ESBL genes (21.8%) and other β-lactamases (e.g. AmpC) (97.2%) as well as porin alterations (88.3%) and insertions in PBP3 (1.1%) (Table 3, Table S1). The low number of ENSE isolates with a carbapenemase (8.9%) may reflect that we studied ertapenem-non-susceptible isolates rather than meropenem-resistant isolates. Not surprisingly, the 179 ENSE isolates demonstrated low susceptibilities to other β-lactam and β-lactam-like agents (Table 1). Against this MDR cohort, cefepime/taniborbactam demonstrated a 64-fold reduction in MIC90 compared with cefepime (MIC90, 2 mg/L versus 64 mg/L, respectively) and was active against subsets of isolates with various β-lactam and non-β-lactam antimicrobial resistance phenotypes (Table 1). These data are consistent with Hamrick et al.10 who reported that cefepime/taniborbactam MIC50/MIC90 of 0.06/0.5 mg/L for Enterobacterales ESBL/AmpC producers (cefepime MIC50/MIC90 of 8/32 mg/L). Wang et al.18 reported cefepime/taniborbactam MIC50/MIC90s of 0.03/0.12, 0.06/0.25 and 0.12/1 mg/L for ESBL-, plasmid-mediated AmpC- and ESBL with AmpC-producing Enterobacterales isolates, respectively, with corresponding cefepime MIC reductions of 5–9 doubling dilutions in the presence of taniborbactam, depending on the Enterobacterales ESBL genotype. Our data show that cefepime/taniborbactam is significantly more active than cefepime against carbapenemase-producing Enterobacterales, demonstrating MICs of 0.12–4 mg/L for 93.8% isolates containing KPC, NDM, OXA-48 (OXA-48 like) carbapenemase genes. Our data are consistent with prior data

Table 5. Cefepime/taniborbactam and comparator MIC distributions for 33 ENSE isolates with ESBL genes but no carbapenemase genes

| ESBL (organism)                      | ≤0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1   | 2   | 4   | 8   | 16  | 32  | 64  | >64 | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| CTX-M-3 + SHV-27 (K. pneumoniae)     |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1     |
| Cefepime                             |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1     |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam               |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1     |
| CTX-M-14 (E. coli)                   |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1     |
| Cefepime                             |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1     |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam               |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1     |
| CTX-M-15α                            |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 22   | 22   |
| Cefepime                             |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 22   | 22   |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam               |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1    | 22   |
| CTX-M-67 (E. coli)                   |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1     |
| Cefepime                             |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1    | 1     |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam               |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1    | 1     |
| CTX-M-71 (E. coli)                   |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1     |
| Cefepime                             |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1    | 1     |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam               |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1    | 1     |
| SHV-12 (E. cloacae)                  |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 7     |
| Cefepime                             |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 7    | 7     |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam               |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 7    | 7     |
| All isolates with ESBL genes (no carbapenemase genes) |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 33   | 33   |
| Cefepime                             |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 33   | 33   |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam               |       |      |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 33   | 33   |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam                | 2c    | 11   | 10   | 7    | 1   | 1   | 1   |     |     |     |     |     | 1    | 1     |
| Meropenem/relebactam                 | 18d   | 1    | 5    | 2    | 3   | 2   | 1   |     |     |     |     |     | 1    | 1     |

*Includes 11 K. pneumoniae, 10 E. coli and 1 E. cloacae.

*This isolate also possesses F182_R195delinsMTTNGRRDDVFE in OmpC and a truncated OmpF.

*Shown are numbers for the lowest common concentration; actual MICs of some isolates may be lower than indicated.

*Shown are numbers for the highest common concentration; actual MICs of some isolates may be higher than indicated.

\[\text{MIC}_{\text{50}} = 1 \text{ mg/L; } \text{MIC}_{\text{90}} = 2 \text{ mg/L}\]
including those of Hamrick et al.\textsuperscript{10} who reported cefepime/taniborbactam MIC\textsubscript{50}/MIC\textsubscript{90} of 0.5/2 mg/L for carbapenemase-producing Enterobacterales containing KPC, NDM, VIM and OXA-48/48-like genes (corresponding cefepime MIC\textsubscript{50}/MIC\textsubscript{90} 64/256 mg/L). Wang et al.\textsuperscript{18} reported cefepime/taniborbactam MIC\textsubscript{50}/MIC\textsubscript{90} of 2/8, 16/64 and 4/32 mg/L against KPC- and NDM-producing Enterobacterales and non-carbapenemase-producing CRE with corresponding cefepime MIC\textsubscript{50}/MIC\textsubscript{90} for all organisms of >256/>256 mg/L. Consistent with our data, Mushtaq et al.\textsuperscript{9} reported that cefepime/taniborbactam was active against carbapenemase-producing Enterobacterales containing KPC, NDM, VIM, OXA-48 (OXA-48 like) but not IMP genes. Kloezenn et al.\textsuperscript{19} reported a cefepime/taniborbactam of MIC\textsubscript{90} ≤ 1 mg/L for KPC and VIM producers with median cefepime MIC reductions of 7–10 doubling dilutions depending on the Enterobacterales carbapenemase genotype. Piccirilli et al.\textsuperscript{20} reported cefepime/taniborbactam MIC\textsubscript{50}/MIC\textsubscript{90} of 1/4 mg/L against Enterobacterales harbouring a variety of NDM and VIM.

Table 6. Cefepime/taniborbactam and comparator MIC distributions for 139 ENSE isolates with AmpC/Class C \(\beta\)-lactamase genes but no carbapenemase genes

| Class C \(\beta\)-lactamase family (organism) | <0.03 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | >64 | Total |
|---------------------------------------------|-------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-------|
| amPC (K. aerogenes)                         |       |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |     | 20    |
| Cefepime                                    | 1     | 4    | 6    | 4   | 3 | 2 |    |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                     | 3     | 8    | 2    | 2   | 2 | 3 |    |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| ACT family (E. cloacae)                     |       |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |     | 84    |
| Cefepime                                    | 3     | 6    | 10   | 13  | 28| 17 | 2 | 2 | 3  |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                     | 5     | 33   | 21   | 16  | 7 | 2 |    |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| ACT family + DHA family (E. cloacae)        |       |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |     | 2     |
| Cefepime                                    | 1     | 1    |      |     |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                     | 1     | 1    |      |     |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| ACT family + FOX family (E. cloacae)        |       |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |     | 1     |
| Cefepime                                    | 1     |      |      |     |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| CMH family (E. cloacae)                     |       |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |     | 1     |
| Cefepime                                    | 1     |      |      |     |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                     | 1     |      |      |     |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| CMY family\textsuperscript{a}              |       |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |     | 9     |
| Cefepime                                    | 2     | 3    | 2    | 1   | 1 |    |   |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                     | 5     | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1 |    |   |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| DHA family\textsuperscript{b}              |       |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |     | 8     |
| Cefepime                                    | 3     |      |      |     |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                     | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1 | 1 |    |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| EC family (E. coli)                         |       |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |     | 1     |
| Cefepime                                    | 1     |      |      |     |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                     | 1     |      |      |     |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| MIR family (E. cloacae)                     |       |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |     | 8     |
| Cefepime                                    | 1     | 2    | 1    | 4   |    |    |   |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepim/taniborbactam                      | 3     | 2    | 2    | 1   |    |    |   |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| SRT/SST family (S. marcescens)             |       |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |     | 5     |
| Cefepime                                    | 1     | 1    | 2    | 1   |    |    |   |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                     | 2     | 2    | 1    |    |    |    |   |    |     |     |     |     |       |
| All isolates with Class C \(\beta\)-lactamase genes (no carbapenemase genes) |       |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |     | 139   |
| Cefepime                                    | 2     | 13   | 15   | 20  | 21 | 32 | 20 | 5  | 3  | 8  |     |       |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam                     | 7     | 17   | 45   | 27  | 27 | 11 | 5  | 5  | 1  | 2  | 8  |       |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam                      | 9\textsuperscript{c} | 34 | 61 | 22 | 8 | 5 | | | | | | |
| Imipenem/relebactam                        | 43\textsuperscript{c} | 63 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 1 | | | | | |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam                      | 106\textsuperscript{c} | 20 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | | | | |

\textsuperscript{a}Includes seven E. coli and two C. freundii.
\textsuperscript{b}Includes six K. pneumoniae, one E. coli and one M. morganii.
\textsuperscript{c}Shown are numbers for the lowest common concentration; actual MICs of some isolates may be lower than indicated.
However, cefepime/taniborbactam was not active against strains harbouring IMP, demonstrating MICs of 128 mg/L.20 Abdelraouf et al.21 demonstrated that the in vitro activity observed with cefepime/taniborbactam against resistant Enterobacterales was translated to the in vivo setting. Using a cefepime/taniborbactam human-simulated regimen equivalent to 2 g/0.5 g q8 h administered as a 2 h infusion (which is the dose used in clinical trials) in mice, against 26 clinical Enterobacterales expressing ESBLs, plasmid-mediated AmpC and/or class A (KPC) or D carbapenemases (OXA-48), the combination exerted potent in vivo activity (>1 log10 killing among all the isolates examined with cefepime/taniborbactam MICs up to 16 mg/L) against cefepime-resistant isolates, including serine-carbapenemase producers. Although the number of isolates tested in the present study was small, we report that cefepime/taniborbactam demonstrated MICs of 0.5–4 mg/L for 75% (6 of 8), 50% (2 of 4) and 50% (2 of 4) of isolates resistant to imipenem/relebactam, ceftazidime/avibactam and meropenem/vaborbactam, respectively. In our study, one isolate containing NDM-1 was resistant to imipenem/relebactam, ceftazidime/avibactam and meropenem/vaborbactam, but was provisionally susceptible to cefepime/taniborbactam (MIC 1 mg/L). This is not surprising as, unlike ceftazidime/avibactam and meropenem/vaborbactam, cefepime/taniborbactam inhibits Enterobacterales with MBL NDM and VIM as well as serine-β-lactamases KPC and OXA-48.7,9,10,20,22

Only 13 (7.2%) ENSE isolates demonstrated a cefepime/taniborbactam MIC ≥ 4 mg/L. The 13 isolates represented both microbial species diversity (7 K. pneumoniae, 3 E. coli, 2 E. cloacae and 1 S. marcescens) and clonal diversity (STs). All isolates possessed combinations of β-lactam resistance mechanisms, including a carbapenemase and/or ESBL and/or other β-lactamase genes, as well as alterations in OmpC and/or OmpF (reduced uptake into the periplasmic space) and/or PBP3 (reduced binding to target site) (Table 3). Wang et al.18 analysed 29 NDM-5-producing E. coli isolates from China with cefepime/taniborbactam MICs ≥ 8 mg/L (taniborbactam fixed at 4 mg/L) and documented the presence of PBP3 mutations in 28/29 isolates. A variety of different mutations in PBP3 were documented.15 Unfortunately, other (non-PBP3 and non-β-lactamase-mediated) resistance mechanisms, such as porin changes or efflux pump expression, were not characterized in that study. Mushtaq et al.9 analysed Enterobacterales with cefepime/taniborbactam MICs ≥ 8 mg/L (E. coli n = 15, Klebsiella spp. n = 19 and Enterobacter spp. n = 1). These researchers noted both genetic diversity (a variety of STs) as well as no universal resistance mechanism in all isolates but rather combinations of carbapenemases (e.g. NDM-5, NDM-7) along with PBP3 insertions (e.g. after amino acid 333), and/or porin changes (e.g. OmpF).9 Kloezen et al.19 analysed three isolates of Enterobacterales with cefepime/taniborbactam MICs > 4 mg/L (taniborbactam fixed at 4 mg/L). One isolate harboured a VIM gene while the other two carried VIM-1, CMY-13 and qnrA1 genes. The authors concluded that the presence of

| OXA gene (organism) | ≤0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | >64 | Total |
|---------------------|-------|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| OXA-1a              |       |      |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| Cefepime            | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     | 17   |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam | 4     | 6    | 3    | 2    | 1   |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| OXA-1 + OXA-9 (K. pneumoniae) | 1     | 4    | 6    | 3    | 2   | 1 |   |   |   |    |     |     |     | 2    |
| Cefepime            | 2     |      |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam | 1     |      |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| OXA-1 + OXA-10 (K. pneumoniae) | 1     |      |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     | 1    |
| Cefepime            | 1     |      |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam | 1     |      |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| OXA-4 + OXA-47 (E. coli) | 1     |      |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| Cefepime            | 1     |      |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam | 1     |      |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| OXA-10 (E. cloacae) |       |      |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| Cefepime            | 1     |      |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam | 1     |      |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| All isolates with OXA genes (no carbapenemase genes) |       |      |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     | 22   |
| Cefepime            | 1     | 2    |      |      |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| Cefepime/taniborbactam | 5     | 6    | 4    | 3    | 2   | 1 |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| Ceftazidime/avibactam | 1      | 8    | 4    | 8    |     |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| Imipenem/relebactam | 8b   | 8    | 3    | 2    | 1   |   |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |
| Meropenem/vaborbactam | 14b  | 8    | 3    | 1    | 3   | 1 |   |   |   |    |     |     |     |      |

Includes eight K. pneumoniae, six E. coli and three E. cloacae.

bShown are numbers for the lowest common concentration; actual MICs of some isolates may be lower than indicated here.
VIM and AmpC may reduce cefepime/taniborbactam activity against Enterobacterales. The presence of other underlying resistance mechanisms such as porin alterations, which may reduce periplasmic uptake, or target site binding to PBP3 or efflux were not assessed.

There are limitations to the data presented here that deserve attention. Only a limited number of isolates resistant to ceftazidime/avibactam, imipenem/relebactam and meropenem/vaborbactam were available for testing, thus the promising results showing cefepime/taniborbactam activity against ceftazidime/avibactam-, imipenem/relebactam- and meropenem/vaborbactam-resistant Enterobacterales need to be confirmed by others. In addition, it should be mentioned that the results of our WGS provide genetic associations with phenotypic resistance but have not been proven to result in MIC increases or resistance using complementation studies. Finally, though we assessed β-lactam resistance, porin alterations (which may or may not affect periplasmic uptake) and putative binding to the target site (PBP3), we did not assess efflux pump expression, which is known to confer increased cefepime MICs in Enterobacterales and may affect cefepime/taniborbactam activity.8

In summary, the current study demonstrated that cefepime/taniborbactam was highly active against whole genome sequenced ENSE isolates with various antimicrobial resistance phenotypes/genotypes. ENSE isolates with cefepime/taniborbactam MIC values ≥ 4 mg/L possessed combinations of β-lactam resistance mechanisms, including a carbapenemase and/or ESBL and/or other β-lactamase genes, as well as alterations in OmpC and/or OmpF and/or PBP3.
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