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Abstract
The fundamental logical element of a quantum-dot cellular automata (QCA) circuit is majority voter gate (MV). The efficiency of a QCA circuit is dependent on the efficiency of the MV. This paper presents an efficient single layer five-input majority voter gate (MV_5). The structure of proposed MV_5 is very simple and easy to implement in any logical circuit. This proposed MV_5 reduces the number of cells and uses conventional QCA cells. Moreover, using MV_5, a multilayer 1-bit full-adder (FA) is designed. The functional accuracy of the proposed MV_5 and FA are confirmed by QCA designer, a well-known QCA layout design and verification tool. Furthermore, the power dissipation of proposed circuits are estimated, which shows that those circuits dissipate extremely small amounts of energy and are suitable for reversible computing. The simulation outcomes demonstrate the superior performance of the proposed circuit.
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Background
Now a day’s, CMOS technology is approaching its physical boundary and facing earnest challenges by designing perpetually incrementing frequencies and downscaling of computational devices. This technology has found many complications like high leakage current, high power consumption, high lithography cost, low density problem and limitation of speed in GHz range. Therefore, to overcome the deficiencies an extensive research on nanotechnologies must be taken into consideration. A report of ITRS (International Technology Roadmap 2013) shows a road map of future computing technologies. Quantum-dot cellular automata (Lent et al. 1993; Orlov et al. 1997) is one of the promising alternative technologies that proffers an innovative approach and has exhibited ultra low power, extreme speed and highly dense digital device designing capabilities. In addition, QCA based memory unit, reversible logic and arithmetic logic circuit have been considered in several studies (Kim et al. 2007; Navi et al. 2010; Hänninen and Takala 2010; Hashemi et al. 2012; Qanbari and Sabbagh-Nadooshan 2013; Kianpour and Sabbagh-Nadooshan 2014; Sayedsalehi et al. 2015; Angizi et al. 2015; Bahar et al. 2015).

The rudimentary element of QCA circuit is a majority gate (MV); digital operation can be employed by using MV. MV characterizes and determines the function value based
on majority verdict (Oya et al. 2003). Up to now, most QCA circuits have been investigated and designed only by means of 3-input majority gates (MV₃). However, if these circuits are constructed using 5-input majority gates (MV₅), they would be optimized in cell counts, area and complexity.

To reveal the effectiveness of proposed MV₅, a QCA full-adder has been designed using proposed MV₅. Results reveal the superiority of proposed FA in terms of latency, cell counts and area to other previous designs (Tougaw and Lent 1994; Wang et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2004; Azghadi et al. 2007; Cho and Swartzlander 2007, 2009).

**Proposed five-input majority gate**

MV₅ is a cell arrangement which includes five input cells, one output and some device cells. The logic function of MV₅ can be presented as Eq. (1), where the inputs are labeled as A, B, C, D and E respectively. The truth table of the MV₅ is shown in Table 1.

\[
MV(A, B, C, D, E) = ABC + ABD + ABE + ACD + ACE + ADE + BCD + BCE + BDE + CDE
\]  

(1)

The proposed design of MV₅ is shown in Fig. 1. In this design, A, B, C, D and E are labeled as inputs and the output cell is labeled as OUTPUT. Additionally, three middle cells are labeled 1, 2 and 3. Polarization of input cells are fixed and middle cells and output cell are free to change. Here, cell “A” has an impact on all the middle cells. Similarly, cell “B”, cell “C” cell “D” and cell “E” also have an impact on all the middle cells. These impacts are propagated to the output cell and construct the MV₅ output, efficiently. The propose MV₅ requires only nine cells and uses conventional QCA cells to implement.

**Table 1 Truth table of MV₅ based on sum of inputs**

| Σ (A, B, C, D, E) | MV (A, B, C, D, E) |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| 0                 | 0                   |
| 1                 | 0                   |
| 2                 | 0                   |
| 3                 | 1                   |
| 4                 | 1                   |
| 5                 | 1                   |

**Fig. 1 Proposed 5-input majority gate**
Physical proofs
To carry out the physical proofs, the below postulates are considered:

- All cells are alike and the distance of end to end of each cell is 18 nm.
- The space between two neighbor cells is 2 nm shown in Fig. 2.

The proposed MV5 has approximately 32 distinct input states; we should verify all the input condition to validate the accuracy of the gate. In this paper, only one state (A = 1, B = 0, C = D = E = 1) has been considered for verification. Similarly, other states can be verified too. For a fixed input MV5, the five input cells polarization are remain unchanged; only the intermediary cells and the output cell are subject to be changed to their polarization according to the input cells. Here, the proposed MV5 have three intermediary cells and one output cell those are labeled as 1, 2, 3 and OUTPUT respectively shown in Fig. 1.

A structure is said to be stable, when the QCA cells are assembled with their minimum potential energies. The potential energy between two different cell electrons can be computed using the Eq. (2) (Halliday and Resnick 2004; McDermott 1984; Halloun and Hestenes 1985). Here, \( U \) is potential energy; a fixed colon is \( k \), \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) are electric charges, and the distance between two electric charges is \( r \). The total potential energy of a given structure is “\( U_T \)” and that can be calculated using Eq. (3).

\[
U = \frac{kq_1q_2}{r} \tag{2}
\]

\[
U_T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i \tag{3}
\]

For, finding the stable structure, one needs to calculate the potential energy \( U_i \) for each middle cell. Here, cell 1 has two different polarization state; polarization \( P = +1 \) and \( P = -1 \) shown in Fig. 3.

Now, considering state 3 (a); here the potential energy for cell 1 \( U_T \) is the summation of potential energies of both x and y electrons. Potential energy for x and y electrons are the total energy exist between each electron (\( e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8, e_9, e_{10} \)) with electron x and y respectively, which is calculated using Eq. (2). Finally, using Eq. (3) total potential energy for “cell 1” can be calculated. Similarly, potential energy of “cell 1” for state 3.3 (b) can be calculated. The necessary calculations for finding the total potential energies of structure (a) and structure (b) are given below:

**Fig. 2 Two rectangular QCA cell**
Figure 3a (For electron x)  

\[
U_1 = \frac{A}{B} = \frac{23.04 \times 10^{-29}}{20 \times 10^{-29}} \approx 1.15 \times 10^{-20} J \\
U_2 = \frac{A}{B} = \frac{23.04 \times 10^{-29}}{18.11 \times 10^{-29}} \approx 1.27 \times 10^{-20} J \\
U_3 = \frac{A}{B} = \frac{23.04 \times 10^{-29}}{2 \times 10^{-29}} \approx 11.52 \times 10^{-20} J \\
U_4 = \frac{A}{B} = \frac{23.04 \times 10^{-29}}{23.04 \times 10^{-29}} \approx 0.86 \times 10^{-20} J \\
U_5 = \frac{A}{B} = \frac{23.04 \times 10^{-29}}{20 \times 10^{-29}} \approx 1.15 \times 10^{-20} J \\
U_6 = \frac{A}{B} = \frac{23.04 \times 10^{-29}}{44.72 \times 10^{-29}} \approx 0.55 \times 10^{-20} J \\
U_7 = \frac{A}{B} = \frac{23.04 \times 10^{-29}}{44.72 \times 10^{-29}} \approx 0.52 \times 10^{-20} J \\
U_8 = \frac{A}{B} = \frac{23.04 \times 10^{-29}}{44.72 \times 10^{-29}} \approx 0.53 \times 10^{-20} J \\
U_9 = \frac{A}{B} = \frac{23.04 \times 10^{-29}}{44.72 \times 10^{-29}} \approx 0.52 \times 10^{-20} J \\
U_{10} = \frac{A}{B} = \frac{23.04 \times 10^{-29}}{44.72 \times 10^{-29}} \approx 0.33 \times 10^{-20} J \\
U_{\text{total}} = \sum_{i=1}^{10} U_i = 18.38 \times 10^{-20} J
\]

Total potential energy of Fig. 3a is

\[U_{\text{total}}^a = 26.42 \times 10^{-20} J\]

Similarly, the total potential energy for Fig. 3b can be calculated and it is

\[U_{\text{total}}^b = 36.33 \times 10^{-20} J\]

With comparison of the achieved results, the electrons in cell 1 are located in state (a) is more stable because it has the lower potential energy than state (b). Similar the potential energy for cell 2 and cell 3 can be calculated and the final results are mentioned as.

\[U_{2}^- = 23.39 \times 10^{-20} J \quad U_{2}^+ = 23.86 \times 10^{-20} J\]

\[U_{3}^- = 14.35 \times 10^{-20} J \quad U_{3}^+ = 33.38 \times 10^{-20} J\]
Proposed QCA full-adder

The proposed MV5 is implemented by designing an efficient QCA full-adder. The schematic diagram of newly proposed QCA full-adder is shown in Fig. 4.

This full-adder is designed using the planar designing concept. The proposed FA has been implemented using 2-inverters and 2-MVs. In comparison with the earlier FA (Azghadi et al. 2007), it has an extra inverter gate. The structure of proposed MV5, it would be easier to employ 2-inverters rather than 1-inverter and some wires for transmitting the inverted signal to other part. The proposed QCA FA is simple in structure and easy to construct. In this design, at first the carry value is calculated and then takes its inversion value and use this value as an input of the MV5 gates.

Power dissipation of proposed QCA full-adder

The power dissipates from a single cell depends on the rate of change of the clock and the tunneling energy. The power dissipation of a QCA circuit in a single clock phase can be simply calculated by adding the power dissipated by each majority gate and inverter (Liu et al. 2012).

Using Hamming distance (HD) power dissipation of a QCA circuit can be estimated. Power dissipation is depends on HD between input cells to inverter cells as well as HD between majority voter gates (Liu et al. 2012). For an inverter when the input is changed from 0 → 0 or 1 → 1. In this case the HD will be 0, and the power dissipation by inverter at γ = 0.25 E_k and T = 2.0 K is 0.8 meV whereas for γ = 1.0 E_k, it is 8.0 meV (Liu et al. 2012). If the input is changed from 0 → 1 or 1 → 0, in this case the HD will be 1 and the power dissipation by the inverter is 28.4 meV, where T = 2.0 K and γ = 0.25 E_k. For majority gate, power dissipation is minimum, when the inputs are changed from 000 → 000 i.e. HD is 0, and the power dissipation is maximum when polarization of all inputs are changed i.e. input polarization are changed from 000 → 111 i.e. HD is 3. The power dissipation by the majority voter gate for HD 0 and 3 are 0.8 and 41.0 meV respectively, where γ = 0.25 E_k and T = 2.0 K (Liu et al. 2012).

By using Hamming distance based methodology described in (Liu et al. 2012), the power dissipated by the proposed MV5 and 1-bit QCA full-adder is estimated and the results are shown in Table 2.

Simulations and results comparison

The proposed MV5 and FA have been simulated and verified using QCADesigner (Walus et al. 2003, 2004) only tools for QCA layout design and verification. In these simulations, both bi-stable and coherence vector engines have been employed to simulate. In both simulations identical outputs are obtained which confirm the correctness of the
proposed designs. The simulated circuit layout and simulated output of proposed MV₅ are shown in Fig. 5.

The proposed QCA full-adder is designed in three layers illustrated in Fig. 6. The main layer contains 34 cells, second layer contains 4 cells and the third layer contains 10 cells. Finally, it requires 48 cells and 3 clock phases to produce exact outputs (Sum and Carry).

Using QCADesigner, complexity, time delay and area consumption of QCA circuits can easily be calculated (Walus et al. 2003). Table 3 demonstrates a concise comparison between the proposed QCA FA and the earlier FA (Vetteth et al. 2002; Wang et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2005; Cho and Swartzlander 2007; Kim et al. 2007; Cho and Swartzlander 2007, 2009; Navi et al. 2010; Hänninen and Takala 2010; Hashemi et al. 2012; Qanbari and Sabbaghi-Nadooshan 2013) in terms of complexity, area and time delay. Here, complexity indicates the number of cell is used to design the FA. Similarly, the area represents the total covered area of the corresponding FA in micro meter. The “Latency” indicates the number of clock zone used. It also indicates the time delay of the circuit.

It is clear that the new QCA full-adder dominates all the previous designs (Vetteth et al. 2002; Wang et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2005; Cho and Swartzlander 2007; Kim et al. 2007; Cho and Swartzlander 2007, 2009; Navi et al. 2010; Hänninen and Takala 2010; Hashemi et al. 2012; Qanbari and Sabbaghi-Nadooshan 2013) in terms of covered area and number of cell count. It leads to a very dense structure and has the same time delay with the previous best designs (Qanbari and Sabbaghi-Nadooshan 2013). According to the bar chat shown in Fig. 7, the proposed FA leads to around 95.17 % improvement in

| Power dissipation of proposed five input majority gate and QCA full adder |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Power dissipation at $T = 2.0$ K                                 |
| $\gamma = 0.25 \ E_k$ (meV)       $\gamma = 0.50 \ E_k$ (meV)  $\gamma = 0.75 \ E_k$ (meV)  $\gamma = 1.0 \ E_k$ (meV) |
| Five input majority gate | 75.3 | 77.8 | 80.6 | 84.5 |
| QCA full-adder            | 125.9| 129.1| 136.8| 145.4|

Fig. 5 Simulated a circuit layout and b input–output wave form of proposed MV₅
area and 83.6 percent improvement in cell complexity in comparison to the QCA FA designed using 3-input majority gates and inverters in (Vetteth et al. 2002). This FA also, leads to around 40% improvement in area and 23.8% improvement in cell complexity compared to the best QCA FA designed using previous MVs and inverters (Qanbari and Sabbaghi-Nadooshan 2013).

Fig. 6 Simulated circuit layout of proposed full-adder a main layer, b layer-1, c layer-2, d top view of the adder, e simulation result
Reliability of proposed QCA circuits

The temperature effect on the output cell’s polarization of proposed MV$_5$ and QCA FA are observed. The output cell’s polarization is taken at different temperature using QCADesigner tool. The average output polarization (AOP) for each output cell is calculated from (Pudi and Sridharan 2011) and shown in Fig. 8. The proposed circuit works efficiently in temperature range of 1–6 K, and the AOP for each output cell is changed very little in this range. When the temperature is above 6 K, the AOP is dropped drastically, which results incorrect outputs.

Conclusion

A new flexible 5-input majority gate and a new efficient full-adder have been presented. The proposed MV$_5$ has been implemented in one layer and using nine QCA cells only. To validate the correctness and effectiveness of the proposed MV$_5$ a QCA FA has been presented. Moreover the estimation of power dissipation by the proposed QCA full-adder
circuits illustrates that the proposed QCA FA is highly energy efficient circuit. The proposed FA has a considerable improvement in comparison to the previous FAs in terms of covered area, number of cells and has a similar time delay to the previous best FA.
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