Analysis of LCT-13910 genotypes and bone mineral density in ancient skeletal materials
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Abstract

The relation of LCT-13910 genotypes and bone mineral density (BMD) has been the subject of modern-day human population studies, giving inconsistent results. In the present study we analyze for the first time a relation of LCT-13910 genotypes and BMD in historical skeletal individuals. Ancient population might be a model for testing this association due to elimination of non-natural factors affecting bone density. Among 22 medieval individuals from Sanok churchyard (South-Eastern Poland; dated from XIV to XVII c. AD) we identified 4 individuals with osteoporosis (mean BMD = 0.468 g/cm², SD = 0.090), 10 individuals with osteopenia (mean BMD = 0.531 g/cm², SD = 0.066) and 8 individuals with normal BMD values (mean BMD = 0.642 g/cm², SD = 0.060). Analyses of BMD and LCT-13910 genotypes revealed that mean BMD was the highest (0.583 g/cm², SD = 0.065) in the individuals with lactose tolerance genotypes (TT and CT). We also found possible association of lower BMD at the radius and CC genotypes due to higher but not statistically significant frequency of osteoporosis in the lactose intolerant group (p = 0.60). Statistically significant correlation was found between BMD and females aged 20–35 years, with tendency to reduce BMD with age (p = 0.02).

Introduction

Lactose intolerance (LI) is inherited as an autosomal recessive trait which leads to the down-regulation of lactose-phlorizin hydrolase (LPH) enzyme activity, in the intestinal cells, what decreases the ability to convert lactose into absorbable sugars of glucose and galactose after weaning [1]. The decline of LPH enzyme activity is supposed to occur by the age of 12 years, however a part of people still retain their neonatal LPH activity displaying lactose tolerance (LT) [2]. It was shown that differences in LPH expression in adults correlate with LCT-13910 C/T (rs4988235) polymorphism located in the intron 13 of the MCM6 gene [3].
One of the major debated issues connected with lactose is the relation of LI with bone density and the risk for fractures and osteoporosis. Although the influence of LI on bone mineral density (BMD) and calcium intake were discussed in recent decades, this issue still remains unclear. Most of clinical studies focused on postmenopausal women and elderly men. In result, several authors reported significant association of LCT genotypes and BMD [4–6], while others have failed to confirm this relation [7, 8]. It was also observed that LI/LT influenced reaching the peak of bone mass (PBM) in young adults [4].

It was reported that LCT-13910 CT and TT genotypes associated with LT were subjected in Europe to a strong positive selection for over 3000 years. The TT and CT genotypes were absent in early Neolithic Farmer populations and present in only 10% of Bronze Age European people [9, 10, 11]. In Europe the LT has increased from Iron Age through Medieval Period till present day times [12]. Nowadays the frequency of LI in Europe, represented by LCT-13910 CC genotypes, varies from 2% in Scandinavia to 20–40% in Central Europe [5] and to 60–70% in Italy and Turkey [13].

We assume that ancient population might be a good model for testing association of BMD and LI, due to elimination other than natural and physiological factors which may influence bone density. Milk was one of the main sources of calcium, at the time, what could make the possible relation between LCT-13910 genotypes and BMD more prominent. Here we present for the first time the relation of LCT-13910 genotypes and BMD in ancient human population and the possible association of BMD and age of women in reproductive period.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

Analyses were conducted on 22 medieval individuals (10 females, 12 males) from Sanok churchyard (South-Eastern Poland, Podkarpackie region) (Fig 1), dated from XIV to XVII c. AD, in age at death between 24 and 60 years. The sampling of bone materials from the Anthropological Collection at the Jagiellonian University in Cracow was permitted by Professor Krzysztof Szostek (permission number 003/2017). The morphological description of each individual is presented in S1 Text. BMD was measured in all individuals while only 17 were available for genetic analyses. DNA was extracted from teeth or petrous bones. The morphological sex and age-at-death of the individuals were assessed through standard methods used in physical anthropology [14].

**Bone mass density determination**

To determine BMD, we used fully preserved right or left radius with fused epiphyses and with no signs of soil erosion. The bones were measured by Dual X-Ray Absorptiometry (DXA) using Hologic Discovery W densitometer (Hologic Inc., Bedford, MA) at the Medical University Hospital in Wroclaw, Poland. DXA allowed to measure bone mineral content (BMC) using absorption data of two different length X-ray beams what led to determine bone mineral density (content of hydroxyapatite in bone) in g/cm² according to [15]. This technique is commonly used for estimating of BMD of skeletal remains [16]. To compensate for the lack of soft tissues, the bones are placed in, for instance, dry rice [17, 18]. In this study, radial bones were put in a container filled with rice at a depth of 3–4 cm. BMD was measured in the distal radius region (on 1/3 distal part of radius bone). Due to the lack of ancient data, we used the reference modern data provided by the manufacturer of Hologic Discovery W densitometer, as a part of the software. Our BMD results were showed as T-score values which are a deviation from the normal mean value established for healthy young Caucasian adults in SD unit [4]. Norm (N) was defined as a T-score higher than -1 SD, osteopenia (OPN) as a T-score between -2.5 and...
-1 SD, and osteoporosis (OP) as a T-score less than -2.5 SD [19, 20]. All criteria used for the diagnosis of osteoporosis were defined by the World Health Organization (WHO), the National Institute of Health (NIH) and International Society for Clinical Densitometry (ISCD) and have been used to diagnose osteopenia and osteoporosis in other skeletal remains [18, 21, 22].

Ancient DNA extraction and next generation sequencing

Teeth or petrous bones were collected using disposable gloves and mask in order to minimize the risk of modern DNA contamination. DNA extractions were conducted in the sterile laboratory dedicated to ancient DNA analyses, at the Faculty of Biology, Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznan (AMU), Poland. Samples were cleaned with ~ 5% NaOCl, rinsed with sterile water and UV irradiated as described before [23]. Roots of the teeth and the inner ear part of petrous bones were drilled with the use of Dremel® drill bits. ~200 mg of bone powder was collected to the sterile tubes and DNA was extracted as described previously [23]. A 52 bp fragment comprising LCT-13910 was amplified using LCTF (GAGAGTTCCTTTGAG GCCAG) and LCTR (GCGCTGGCAATACAGATAA G) primers fused with barcodes and Ion Torrent adapters. For each DNA extract, 2–3 separate PCR reactions were set up using AmpliTaq Gold 360 Master Mix (Life Technologies), 0.2 μM each primer, and 3 μl of DNA template. PCR conditions were as follows: 2 min at 94°C, 40 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 30s at 60°C, 1 min at 72°C and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Negative controls were set up during extractions (one control for every eight extractions) and amplifications (one negative control for every eight PCR reactions). Pooled PCR products were separated by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis and purified with QIAquick® Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). Fragment length distribution and concentration
of libraries were measured with the use of 2200 TapeStation system (Agilent). Sequencing was conducted on high-throughput PGM Ion Torrent system using Ion 314™ Chip Kit v2 and the Ion PGM Hi-Q sequencing kit v2 following the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Determination of LCT-13910 genotypes**

Sequencing data were processed using a custom analytical pipeline. The fastx_barcode_splitter.pl script (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/) was used to separate reads by barcodes using a one mismatch threshold. Cutadapt v1.8.1 software was applied to trim the adapters [24]. BWA aln version 0.7.8 [25] with the non-default parameters -l 16500 -n 0.01 -o 2 -t 2 was used to map the reads against the reference human genome (built 19). Genotyping results and coverage at LCT-13910 locus were visualized with Biomatters IGV software v2.3.66 [26]. The proportion of the non-reference allele between 20% and 80% was identified as heterozygous genotype; otherwise, homozygous genotype was called as described by [27].

**Statistical analyses**

Linear regression was used to determine correlation between BMD and age of females (aged 20–35). The differences between LI and LT groups in OP classification were tested by Fisher’s exact test. Non-parametric version of ANOVA (Kruskal-Wallis H test) was used to test the heterogeneity in BMD between different genotypes (TT, CT, CC). The statistical analyses were performed in Python (3.5.0) with the help of SciPy library (0.18.1) [28].

**Results**

Analyzed BMD values determined for each out of 22 ancient individuals varied from 0.338 g/cm² to 0.683 g/cm², with mean value of 0.560 g/cm² (SD = 0.094) at the radius bone (Table 1). Mean value of BMD was 0.603 g/cm² (SD = 0.075) and 0.508 g/cm² (SD = 0.089) for males and females, respectively. Among analyzed individuals, all BMD classes (N, OPN and OP) have been assigned based on T-score values. We identified 4 individuals with OP (mean BMD = 0.468 g/cm², SD = 0.090), 10 individuals with OPN (mean BMD = 0.531 g/cm², SD = 0.066) and 8 individuals with N (mean BMD = 0.642 g/cm², SD = 0.060). Using linear regression, statistically significant correlation was found between BMD and age of females (aged 20–35 years) with tendency to reduce BMD with age ($r = -0.75$, $r^2 = 57\%$, $p = 0.02$) (Fig 2).

LCT-13910 genotypes were successfully determined in 13 individuals (Table 1). The distribution of retrieved genotypes was as follows: 2 individuals with LCT-13910 TT, 4 individuals with LCT-13910 CT and 7 individuals with LCT-13910 CC (Table 1, Fig 3). For 4 individuals (sample nos. 63, 103, 168 and 222) we were not able to establish LCT genotypes due to unsuccessful PCR amplification. DNA sequence data were deposited in NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under accession number SRP130254.

Comparisons of BMD and LCT revealed that mean BMD was the highest in LT individuals with LCT-13910 TT genotypes (mean BMD 0.583 g/cm², SD = 0.027) and LCT-13910 TT (mean BMD 0.530 g/cm², SD = 0.075). The lowest values were observed in LCT-13910 CC genotypes (mean BMD 0.519 g/cm², SD = 0.101). Female with the lowest BMD (sample no. 243) was found in LI group. Among LT group only one individual with OP was identified (sample no. 41), while three individuals with OP were found (samples nos. 243, 64 and 96) among LI. According to Fisher’s exact test the distribution of OP in LI and LT was not statistically significant ($p = 0.60$). The heterogeneity in BMD between different genotypes (TT, CT, CC), analyzed with the use of Kruskal-Wallis H test, was also not statistically significant ($p = 0.73$).
Table 1. Characteristics of medieval individuals according to their age at death, sex, BMD values, T-score values, LCT-13910 genotypes and number of sequence reads for C and T alleles.

| ID | Age at death | Sex* | R/L* radius | BMD Total (g/cm²) | T-score values | BMD class | LCT-13910 genotype | No. of sequence reads for allele C | No. of sequence reads for allele T | LT/ LI  
|----|--------------|------|-------------|------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------
| 25 | 45–49        | M    | L           | 0.565            | -2.3           | OPN      | CT                | 22139                       | 24116                         | LT      
| 34 | 30–35        | F    | R           | 0.460            | -2.2           | OPN      | CC                | 6280                        | 15                           | LI      
| 41 | 50–55        | M    | R           | 0.517            | -3.3           | OP       | CT                | 1088                        | 891                          | LT      
| 47 | 25–29        | F    | R           | 0.488            | -1.7           | OPN      | n.a.              | n.a.                        | n.a.                          | n.a.   
| 63 | 25–28        | M    | R           | 0.683            | -0.1           | N        | n.r.              | n.r.                        | n.r.                          | n.r.   
| 64 | 43–47        | M    | R           | 0.536            | -2.9           | OP       | CC                | 24551                       | 17                           | LI      
| 95 | 23–26        | M    | R           | 0.629            | 0.9            | N        | n.a.              | n.a.                        | n.a.                          | n.a.   
| 96 | 40–50        | M    | R           | 0.482            | -4             | OP       | CC                | 820                         | 0                             | LI      
| 99 | 40–45        | M    | R           | 0.594            | -1.8           | OPN      | CC                | 8290                        | 48                            | LI      
| 103| 40–47        | M    | R           | 0.676            | -0.2           | N        | n.r.              | n.r.                        | n.r.                          | n.r.   
| 124| 30–35        | F    | R           | 0.491            | -1.6           | OPN      | n.a.              | n.a.                        | n.a.                          | n.a.   
| 125| 24–26        | F    | R           | 0.600            | 0.4            | N        | CC                | 12675                       | 281                           | LI      
| 130| 28–30        | F    | R           | 0.607            | 0.5            | N        | CT                | 7310                        | 6113                          | LT      
| 146| 35–45        | M    | L           | 0.602            | -1.6           | OPN      | TT                | 687                         | 22724                         | LT      
| 161| 35–40        | M    | R           | 0.564            | -2.4           | OPN      | TT                | 112                         | 7857                          | LT      
| 168| 35–40        | M    | R           | 0.730            | 0.8            | N        | n.r.              | n.r.                        | n.r.                          | n.r.   
| 198| 25–30        | F    | R           | 0.539            | -0.7           | N        | n.a.              | n.a.                        | n.a.                          | n.a.   
| 208| 55–60        | F    | R           | 0.432            | -1.7           | OPN      | CT                | 15032                       | 17007                         | LT      
| 220| 30–35        | M    | L           | 0.623            | -1.1           | OPN      | CC                | 12659                       | 13                            | LI      
| 222| 35–40        | M    | L           | 0.668            | -0.4           | N        | n.r.              | n.r.                        | n.r.                          | n.r.   
| 243| 30–40        | F    | L           | 0.338            | -4.5           | OP       | CC                | 10921                       | 298                           | LI      
| 256| 35–44        | F    | R           | 0.492            | -1.6           | OPN      | n.a.              | n.a.                        | n.a.                          | n.a.   

aM—male; F—female  
bn.a.—not analyzed  
cn.r.—no result (unsuccessful amplification of LCT-13910 locus)  
dLT—lactose tolerant; LI—lactose intolerant  
eR—right; L—left  

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194966.t001

Fig 2. Correlation between BMD and age among medieval females (p = 0.02).  
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194966.g002
Discussion

This is the first study of BMD and LCT-13910 genotype relations that was performed on an ancient population. Although the number of analyzed individuals is low when compared to modern population studies, we found possible association of lower BMD and LI, at the radius. We noted higher, but not statistically significant frequency of OP in LI group ($p = 0.60$). Although there are no ancient comparative data on BMD and LCT-13910 genotypes, our data are consistent with previously reported results acquired for radius, total hip and the Ward’s triangle BMD in a present-day postmenopausal Caucasian women [6] or for lumbar and femoral neck BMD in a postmenopausal women from Austria [5]. The association of lower BMD and LCT-13910 CC genotype has been also indicated in the studies of elderly people [29] and recently in the modern children from Malay in Malesia [30].

Among LT individuals we found one adult man with OP, aged 50–55 years (sample no. 41). The appearance of OP in this individual could be the effect of an age related bone loss or the idiopathic osteoporosis connected with some secondary cause, which is also observed in present-day patients [20]. Notably, in LI group the age of individuals with OP was quite low and established as 35–45 years (samples nos. 243, 64 and 96). Early pathological bone loss might be then explained by reaching a lower peak bone mass (PBM) in adolescence due to a lower calcium intake caused by LI. Interestingly, 35 year old female with OP (sample no. 243) might be assumed to be during or just after her reproductive period [31]. Because PBM is usually reached approximately at the age of 30 [15], BMD should be then the highest at her age. However, it was shown that long lasting breastfeeding causes increased calcium absorption from bones and leads to periodic BMD decrease [31]. Thus we might suppose that both, LI and breastfeeding could lead to osteoporotic bone mineral density values which occurred in the analyzed female. Moreover, Turner-Walker and Mays [31] claimed that in medieval females, in age between 22 and 35 years, BMD decreased. Although the strength of this tendency might vary between populations due different pregnancy patterns, we confirmed this correlation in our medieval females, since significant negative correlation between age and BMD was identified (in age group between 20 and 35 years).

In conclusion, our data from historical medieval individuals suggest possible association of LCT-13910 CC and lower BMD; however, we cannot exclude samples bias due to small
population size. Past population could be treated as a model for verification of LCT-BMD association, although to get a better understanding of this relation, future studies should include more ancient individuals from different periods of time.

Supporting information
S1 Text. Preservation of human skeletons used in the study.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Barbara Mnich, Anna Elżbieta Spinek, Miroslawa Dabert, Anna Juras, Krzysztof Szostek.

Data curation: Barbara Mnich, Anna Elżbieta Spinek, Maciej Chyleński.

Formal analysis: Barbara Mnich.

Funding acquisition: Barbara Mnich.

Investigation: Barbara Mnich, Anna Elżbieta Spinek, Maciej Chyleński, Aleksandra Sommerfeld, Anna Juras.

Methodology: Barbara Mnich, Miroslawa Dabert, Krzysztof Szostek.

Resources: Barbara Mnich, Anna Elżbieta Spinek, Miroslawa Dabert.

Supervision: Miroslawa Dabert, Anna Juras, Krzysztof Szostek.

Validation: Barbara Mnich, Miroslawa Dabert, Anna Juras.

Visualization: Barbara Mnich, Maciej Chyleński.

Writing – original draft: Barbara Mnich, Anna Elżbieta Spinek, Anna Juras.

References
1. Sahi T, Isokoski M, Jussila J, Lauriaalaa K, Pyörälä K. Recessive inheritance of adult-type lactose malabsorption. The Lancet. 1973; 302: 823–826.
2. Rasinperä H, Kuokkanen M, Kolho K-L, Lindahi H, Ennath NS, Savilahti E, et al. Transcriptional down-regulation of the lactase (LCT) gene during childhood. Gut. 2005; 54: 1660–1661. https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2005.077404 PMID: 16227366
3. Ennath NS, Sahi T, Savilahti E, Terwilliger JD, Peltonen L, Järvelä I. Identification of a variant associated with adult-type hypolactasia. Nat Genet. 2002; 30: 233–237. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng826 PMID: 11788828
4. Di Stefano M, Veneto G, Malaservisi S, Cecchetti L, Minguzzi L, Strocchi A, et al. Lactose malabsorption and intolerance and peak bone mass. Gastroenterology. 2002; 122: 1793–1799. https://doi.org/10.1053/gast.2002.33600 PMID: 12055586
5. Obermayer-Pietsch BM, Bonelli CM, Walter D, Fahrleitner A, Stepan V, Dobnig H, et al. Genetic Components of Lactose Intolerance and Community Frequency. J Bone Miner Res. 2004; 19: 1747–1747. https://doi.org/10.1359/JBMR.040802
6. Bácsk K, Kósa JP, Lazáry A, Balla B, Horváth H, Kiss A, et al. LCT 13910 C/T polymorphism, serum calcium, and bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. Osteoporos Int J Establ Result Coop Eur Found Osteoporos Natl Osteoporos Found USA. 2009; 20: 639–645. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-008-0709-9 PMID: 18704543
7. Gugatschka M, Hoeller A, Fahrleitner-Pammer A, Dobnig H, Pietschmann P, Kudlacek S, et al. Calcium supply, bone mineral density and genetically defined lactose malabsorption in a cohort of elderly men. J Endocrinol Invest. 2007; 30: 46–51. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-007-0709-9 PMID: 17318022
8. Koek WNH, van Meurs JB, van der Eerden BCJ, Rivadeneira F, Zillikens MC, Hofman A, et al. The T-13910C polymorphism in the lactase phlorizin hydrolase gene is associated with differences in serum...
1.钙和钙的摄入量与骨密度。J Bone Miner Res Off J Am Soc Bone Miner Res. 2010; 25: 1980–1987. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.83 PMID: 20225268

9. Allentoft ME, Sikora M, Sjögren K-G, Rasmussen S, Rasmussen M, Stenderup J, et al. Population genomics of Bronze Age Eurasia. Nature. 2015; 522: 167–172. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14507 PMID: 26062507

10. Mathieson I, Lazaridis I, Rohland N, Mallick S, Patterson N, Roedenberg SA, et al. Genome-wide patterns of selection in 230 ancient Eurasians. Nature. 2015; 528: 499–503. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16152 PMID: 26595274

11. Witas HW, Płoszaj A, Jędrzychowska-Dahrska K, Witas PJ, Masłowska A, Jerszyńska B, et al. Hunting for the LCT-13910*T Allele between the Middle Neolithic and the Middle Ages Suggests Its Absence in Dairying LBK People Entering the Kuyavia Region in the 8th Millennium BP. PLoS ONE 2015; 10(4): e0122384. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0122384 PMID: 25853887

12. Krüttli A, Bouwman A, Akgül G, Della Casa P, Rühi F, Warinner C. Ancient DNA analysis reveals high frequency of European lactase persistence allele (T-13910) in medieval central europe. PLoS One. 2014; 9:e86251. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086251 PMID: 24465990

13. Sahi T. Genetics and epidemiology of adult-type hypolactasia. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl. 1994; 202: 7–20. PMID: 8042019

14. Buikstra JE, Ubelaker DH, Buikstra JE, Ubelaker DH. Standards for Data Collection from Human Skeletal Remains. Arkansas Archeological Survey Research Series, no. 44, Fayetteville, 1994.

15. Manifold BM. Bone Mineral Density in Children From Anthropological and Clinical Sciences: A Review. Anthropol Rev. 2014; 77: 111–135. https://doi.org/10.2478/anre-2014-0011

16. Holck P. Bone mineral densities in the prehistoric, Viking-Age and medieval populations of Norway. Int J Osteoarchaeol. 2007; 17: 199–206. https://doi.org/10.1002/oa.870

17. Spinek AE, Lorkiewicz W, Mietlinska J, Sewerynek E, Klys A, Caramelli D, et al. Evaluation of chronological changes in bone fractures and age-related bone loss: A test case from Poland. J Archaeol Sci. 2016; 72: 117–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2016.06.007

18. Mays S, Turner-Walker G, Syversen U. Osteoporosis in a population from medieval Norway. Am J Phys Anthropol. 2006; 131: 343–351. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.20445 PMID: 16634046

19. World Health Organisation. Assessment of fracture risk and its implication to screening for postmenopausal osteoporosis: Technical report series 843. 1994;Geneva, WHO.

20. NIH Consensus Development Panel on Osteoporosis Prevention, Diagnosis, and Therapy. Osteoporosis prevention, diagnosis, and therapy. JAMA. 2001; 285: 785–795. PMID: 11176917

21. Zaki ME, Hussien FH, El Banna RAE-S. Osteoporosis among ancient Egyptians. Int J Osteoarchaeol. 2009; 19: 78–83. https://doi.org/10.1002/oa.978

22. McEwan JM, Mays S, Blake GM. Measurements of bone mineral density of the radius in a medieval population. Calcif Tissue Int. 2004; 74: 157–161. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00223-002-1047-0 PMID: 14583838

23. Juras A, Chyleński M, Krenz-Niedbala M, Malmström H, Ehler E, Pospieszny L, et al. Investigating kinship of Neolithic post-LBK human remains from Krusza Zamkowa, Poland using ancient DNA. Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2017; 26: 30–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2016.10.008 PMID: 27771467

24. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBNet.journal. 2011; 17: 10–12. https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200

25. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2009; 25: 1754–1760. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324 PMID: 19451168

26. Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winnickler W, Guttmann M, Lander ES, Getz G, et al. Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol. 2011; 29: 24–26. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1754 PMID: 21221095

27. Harismendy O, Ng PC, Strausberg RL, Wang X, Stockwell TB, Beeson KY, et al. Evaluation of next generation sequencing platforms for population targeted sequencing studies. Genome Biol. 2009; 10: R32. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r32 PMID: 19327155

28. Jones E, Oliphant E, Peterson P, et al. SciPy: Open Source Scientific Tools for Python, 2001. http://www.scipy.org/

29. Enattah NS, Sulkava R, Halonen P, Kontula K, Järvelä I. Genetic variant of lactase-persistent C/T-13910 is associated with bone fractures in very old age. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2005; 53: 79–82. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53014.x PMID: 15667380

30. Ika Aida Aprilini Makbul, Norlida Mat Dud, Nurul Azrianti Abdul Aziz, Noor Fairuzi Suhana Yahya. Lactose intolerance among Malay and Orang Asli female children in selected rural Selangor and its effect on bone mineral density. AIP Conf Proc. 2016;1784: 030046–1–7.

31. Turner-Walker G, Syversen U, Mays S. The Archaeology of Osteoporosis. Eur J Archael. 2001; 4: 263–269. https://doi.org/10.1177/146195710100400207