The Synaptic Protein Syntaxin1 Is Required for Cellularization of Drosophila Embryos
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Abstract. Syntaxins are membrane proteins involved in vesicle trafficking and are required for the release of neurotransmitter at nerve terminals. The presence of syntaxins on target membranes has been hypothesized to confer specificity to targeting and fusion via interactions with complementary vesicle-associated proteins, the synaptobrevins or VAMPS. We have mutagenized syntaxin1 in Drosophila and have found that it links the mechanism of synaptic transmission to a distinct cell biological process: the cellularization of early embryos. This specialized form of cell division separates the 6,000 nuclei of the syncytial blastoderm into separate cells through the invagination of the surface membrane of the embryo. During this process, syntaxin1 protein is present on the newly forming lateral cell surfaces and invaginating cleavage furrows. This protein is derived both from maternal deposition of mRNA and protein and from early zygotic transcription. To analyze syntaxin1’s role in early development, female germ line mosaics mutant for syntaxin1 expression were generated by mitotic recombination to reduce the maternal contribution. Visualizing the actin cytoskeleton and glycosylated surface proteins reveals that embryos with insufficient syntaxin1 have large acellular patches. The patches do not appear until cellularization begins, and the process fails entirely within these regions. These results provide genetic evidence that membrane trafficking is required for the cellularization of the syncytial blastoderm. We propose that the invagination of the surface membrane proceeds by the fusion of intracellular membrane vesicles with the surface. This reaction uses the same syntaxin1 protein as is required for neurotransmitter secretion at synapses. Thus, a single syntaxin can participate in trafficking steps that are functionally as distinct as synaptic transmission and cell division.

The movement of membranes within a cell via transport vesicles is necessary for many cellular events, ranging from intracellular transport and constitutive secretion to the tightly regulated secretion of transmitter at nerve terminals (Bennett and Scheller, 1993). The molecular mechanisms underlying all such vesicle trafficking appear to be analogous, involving specific protein-mediated interactions between the transport vesicle and the acceptor membrane (Rothman and Wieland, 1996). Syntaxins and related proteins that are collectively referred to as t-SNAREs reside on target membranes and are hypothesized to serve as address labels that identify a membrane compartment (for review see Sollner and Rothman, 1994). According to this hypothesis, the specificity of vesicular targeting arises from the interaction of a t-SNARE with its counterpart v-SNARE on the transport vesicle. In yeast, homologues of syntaxin are necessary for ER to Golgi (Hardwick and Pelham, 1992), Golgi to plasma membrane (Aalto et al., 1993), and vacuolar trafficking (Piper et al., 1994).

In nerve terminals, syntaxins are present on the presynaptic membrane and are known to interact with other proteins implicated in vesicular release (Bennett et al., 1992; for review see Sudhof, 1995). The counterpart v-SNARE for syntaxin is the synaptic vesicle protein synaptobrevin, also called VAMP, which has been shown to bind syntaxin (Calakos et al., 1994). Another vesicular protein, synaptotagmin, also binds to syntaxin (Kee and Scheller, 1996), as does the plasma membrane protein SNAP-25 and the cytosolic protein nsec1 (Pevsner et al., 1994). Additional cytosolic factors including NSF and α, β, and γ Snap can also be found in a larger complex containing syntaxin (Sollner et al., 1993a,b).

Syntaxin’s essential role in synaptic transmission has been shown using both neurotoxins and genetic experiments. Fusion of synaptic vesicles with the terminal membrane can be blocked by the syntaxin-specific protease botulinum toxin C1 (Blasi et al., 1993; Schiavo et al., 1995). A Drosophila homologue referred to as syntaxin1 (syx1) was recently cloned (Cerezo et al., 1995; Parfitt et al., 1995; Schulze et al., 1995). Mutations in this gene are homozygous...
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Immunocytochemistry and Embryo Staining
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beling, the embryos were moved from 4% formaldehyde in PBS to PBT and stained as described above. The phalloidin was included with the secondary antibody. Phalloidin, conA, and Hoechst 33258 were all obtained from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR).

Staining whole-mount embryos for β-galactosidase activity using X-gal was performed according to Ashburner (1989). Ovaries were dissected from adult females of matched age for each genotype. The staining protocols used are the same as those used for embryos.

**P-element Mutagenesis**

A molecular screen for P-element insertions based on Zinsmaier et al. (1994) was performed. In brief, P-elements (P[w^1]) marked with w^+ and carrying lacZ and the pUC sequences ori^+ and amp' were mobilized from a w^+ X chromosome (Bier et al., 1989) by crossing to flies with a TMS, Sb, Δ2-3 third chromosome, which provides a constant source of transposase. Males carrying both the X chromosome bearing the P-elements and the TMS chromosome were then mated to w females. Male progeny of this cross with w^+ eye color carried novel insertions on the autosomes or Y. Pools of 50 males without the TMS chromosome and carrying novel P[w^+] insertions were crossed to 200 w females. Embryos were collected overnight from each of these pools. The embryos were then homogenized, and the genomic DNA was purified (Kaiser and Goodwin, 1990). Plasmids were rescued from the genomic DNA by digesting with EcoRI, which cuts in the P-element upstream of the pUC sequences and again in the flanking genomic DNA. These fragments were then diluted and recircularized with T4 DNA ligase. The resulting plasmids were then used to transform ElectroMax DH10B Escherichia coli (GIBCO BRL, Gaithersburg, MD), which were plated on LB agar plates containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin. For each pool, DNA from the resulting transformants was purified, digested with EcoRI, and screened on Southern blots by probing with the syntaxin1 cDNA. A hybridization signal indicated that a male in the pool carried a P-element insertion that flankned the syntaxin1 gene. Individual males from positive pools were then used to establish stocks, which were analyzed individually to isolate the chromosome carrying the insertion. Three insertions 1.5, 2.5, and 9 kb upstream of the syntaxin1 open reading frame were isolated from the 4,000 males screened.

To generate insertions in the syntaxin1 gene itself, the closest of these insertions, P36-23, which is homozygous viable, was remobilized. Such a “local hop screen” takes advantage of the observation that P-elements preferentially reinsert near their original site upon remobilization (Tower et al., 1993). From the offspring of flies carrying both the P36-23 insertion and the TMS balancer, males with new insertions were identified by darker eye color and used to establish stocks. These males were then recovered and analyzed by PCR using primers to the 31-bp inverted repeat of the P-element (O’Hare and Rubin, 1985) and to the syntaxin1 open reading frame to determine the location of the new insertion. Under standard conditions (1.5 mM MgCl2, using Tfl polymerase [Epicentre Technologies, Madison, WI]), amplification from the P36-23 insertion to the EcoRI site in the syntaxin1 gene was routinely possible. New insertions closer to the open reading frame were therefore easily identified using the same primers. From 1,100 flies, 11 bore insertions less than 1.5 kb from the open reading frame. All of these were homozygous lethal. Two of these insertions, syx^L266 and syx^L268, were used in the present study.

To characterize the molecular defects in the alleles of syntaxin1, we used a combination of approaches. These included sequencing the genomic DNA surrounding the insertion site as recovered in the rescued plasmid, Southern blots of genomic DNA, and PCR between the P-element and coding regions of the syntaxin1 gene.

**Generation of Germ Line Clones**

The FLP/FRT, ovo^D1 system was used to generate female germ line clones mutant for syntaxin1, which is located at 95E on chromosome 3R. In brief, the FLP recombinase system from yeast 2 μ plasmids as transferred to Drosophila (Golic and Lindquist, 1989) was used to induce mitotic recombinations. FLP recombinase on the X chromosome was driven by the heat shock promoter to induce recombination at FRT sequences inserted near the centromere, at 82B (Xu and Rubin, 1993). The production of germ line clones was made easier by the introduction of two copies of ovo^D1, a dominant mutation that causes the female germ line to degenerate, onto chromosome 3R (Chou et al., 1993). Females were constructed that are heterozygous for syx alleles over ovo^D1, both with 82B FRT sequences, and heat shock FLP on the X. The only oocytes produced in such a female must come from a recombiant germ line clone that is homozygous for syx alleles and lacking ovo^D1 because both heterozygous and homozygous ovo^D1-bearing clones degenerate. Clones were successfully induced by heat shocking at 37°C for 1 h each day for 3 d during the third instar larval or early pupal stages.

**Fly Strains**

All the strains necessary for the generation of germ line clones may be obtained from the Bloomington stock center (Bloomington, IN). Those used include: w; P[w^+][82B-FRT] P[w^+][90E as the source of the 82B-FRT chromosome onto which the syx alleles were recombined, w; P[ry^+]/hsFLP]; Dr/TM3 as the X chromosome source of heat shock–driven transposase, and w; P[w^+][82B-FRT] P[[w^+][ovo^D1]R1 P[[w^+][ovo^D1]R2]w hs st β-Tub-85D^+ ss e/TM3 as the ovo^D1 source used for negative selection in the female germ line. The TM3, lacZ chromosome used carries a P[ry, deformed-lacZ] construct and was kindly provided by David Bilder and Matthew Scott (Stanford University). This construct expresses lacZ in the anterior segments of the embryo. The P-element–laden X-chromosome used in the generation of insertions near syx was obtained from Konrad Zinsmaier (then with Seymour Benzer, Cal. Tech.). The TM3 balancer was obtained from the Bloomington stock center.

**Results**

**Syntaxin1 Is Present in the Early Embryo**

Previously reported mRNA analysis suggested that syntaxin1 mRNA is present in the early embryo, possibly be-

**Figure 1.** Syntaxin1 levels during embryogenesis. Protein was extracted from timed embryo collections of the ages indicated (hours AED) and from adult heads and separated by SDS-PAGE. Per lane, the protein extracted from 30 embryos or one half of an adult head was loaded. The gels were blotted onto Immobilon membranes and were then probed with the monoclonal antibody 8C3. Syntaxin1 is present in 0–3 h embryos, the stage during which cellularization occurs, and levels increase as the nervous system matures. In both embryos and adults, the protein has a molecular mass of 35 kD, as predicted.
cause of maternal deposition into the oocyte (Parfitt et al., 1995; Schulze et al., 1995). We have extended this finding by examining syntaxin1 protein on Western blots of extracts from timed embryo collections (Fig. 1). The monoclonal antibody 8C3 detects syntaxin1 in 0–3-h-old embryos. This period precedes the development of the nervous system and corresponds to the time during which cellularization occurs and zygotic transcription begins (2 h AED; Foe et al., 1993). The amount of syntaxin1 per embryo increases steadily thereafter until approximately the time when the nervous system matures. At all stages, the embryonic syntaxin1 protein comigrates with the neuronal syntaxin1 observed in adult heads. Thus, although the size of syntaxin1 transcripts varies during development (Parfitt et al., 1995), the protein product appears identical at all stages. This finding is consistent with the reported lack of introns in the open reading frame of the gene (Schulze et al., 1995).

**Syntaxin1 Localization in the Early Embryo**

The presence of syntaxin1 at the onset of embryogenesis led us to hypothesize that this gene plays a role in early development. For an indication of its function, we examined the distribution of the protein by immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy. With the onset of cellularization during cycle 14, syntaxin1 immunoreactivity is observed on the newly forming lateral cell membranes as soon as they appear (Fig. 2, A and B). The immunoreactivity is present along the entire length of the lateral membrane, from the embryo’s surface to the tip of the cleavage furrow (Fig. 2, B and C). The position of the tip of the furrow was determined either by Nomarski viewing of the embryos or by double labeling with an antibody against anillin, a cytoskeletal-associated protein that is present at the leading tip (Field and Alberts, 1995). If membrane addition during cellularization occurs by means of vesicle fusion, the lateral membranes and cleavage furrows would constitute the probable acceptor membranes for the fusion events. This localization of syntaxin1 is therefore consistent with a role in the cellularization of the embryos.

Before cellularization, we did not discern syntaxin1 immunoreactivity on the surface of the embryo at a level that was above background. It is possible that some protein was present on the surface at this stage, but it is unlikely that most of the protein that later is visible on the lateral membranes derives from a surface pool. We surmise, therefore, that much of the syntaxin1 is added to the lateral membranes as they form. This hypothesis is consistent with genetic data, presented below, that zygotically transcribed syntaxin1 can contribute significantly to cellularization.

In later embryos, the syntaxin1 staining is strongest in synaptic regions of the nervous system, but significantly, the protein is still present on axons, cell bodies, and non-neuronal structures as well (data not shown).

**The Generation of P-element Insertions in syx**

To mutate syx, we performed a genetic screen that produced a collection of P-element insertions near the gene. The closest of these insertions, P36-23, was remobilized to generate 11 *syx* alleles (see Materials and Methods) (Fig. 3 A). The P36-23 insertion does not alter the quantity of syntaxin1 per embryo, compared to either *yw* or *CXD*/TM3 control embryos (Fig. 3 B). *syx*1371 and *syx*2266, two severe alleles that were derived from P36-23, were used in the present study. In *syx*1371, two P-elements in tandem flank a deletion of ~1,700 bp that removes a 5′ untranslated region and the first one third of the open reading frame (through lysine 95). We consider *syx*1371 to be a null allele because it is missing the highly conserved H1 and H2 domains (Kee et al., 1995) and because the next available ATG for translation initiation is at amino acid 134, nearly half way through the open reading frame. While a truncated form of this protein may be produced but not recog-
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y xozygous P36-23, the parent chromosome, as a control. Both P36-23 and syxL266 produce viable clones in the ovaries. For both lines, 80% of the females examined had mature ovarioles (Fig. 4, B and C). In contrast, females with syxL371 clones fail to produce any oocytes, and the ovaries of these females are rudimentary, like those of ovoD1 females (Fig. 4 D) (Spradling, 1993). The failure of the ovarioles to mature and the hypertrophy of the ovaries suggests that this allele causes cell lethality and therefore degeneration of the syntaxin1 null cells in a background of rudimentary ovarioles produced by the ovoD1 tissues. This interpretation is supported by the absence of any ovarioles clearly lacking syntaxin1 protein based upon staining with the 8C3 antibody. Syntaxin1 is present on the membranes of nurse cells in the early gerarium, before stage 8 when the oocyte begins to enlarge (not shown and Schulze and Bellen, 1996). The degeneration or rudimentary nature of the syxL371 clones may be overcome by the expression of a syntaxin1 transgene on the second chromosome (Fig. 4, E and F). Females carrying this transgene develop mature ovarioles that produce viable oocytes (Fig. 4 E), while the ovaries of siblings that carry a balancer second chromosome (lacking the transgene) fail to develop (Fig. 4 F). Thus, the cell lethality of the syxL371 chromosome is entirely attributable to the mutation in syntaxin1. Moreover, since the effect is rescued by the expression of a wild-type transgene and is not seen in syxL371 heterozygotes, the cell lethal phenotype is not due to a dominant effect of the syxL371 allele.

Missing bristles were also observed in females with homozygous syxL371 clones, suggesting that the complete absence of syntaxin1 was lethal in other tissues as well (data not shown). This finding is also consistent with the recent observations of others (Schulze and Bellen, 1996). To circumvent the cell lethality, our analysis of syntaxin1’s role in development, therefore, had to be done using the hypomorphic syxL266 allele.

**Syntaxin1 Nulls Appear to Be Cell Lethal**

To eliminate the maternally deposited syntaxin1, homozygous mutant clones in the female germ line were made by mitotic recombination with the ovoD1, FLP/FRT recombi-
nase system (see Materials and Methods). Using this system, the only oocytes produced are from the homozygous syntaxin1-mutant clones in the female ovary, while any ovoD1-expressing ovarioles, the gamete-producing structures of the ovary, fail to mature (Fig. 4 A). This approach was applied to both syxL266 and syxL371 alleles, as well as to P36-23, the parent chromosome, as a control. Both P36-23 and syxL266 produce viable clones in the ovaries. For both lines, 80% of the females examined had mature ovarioles (Fig. 4, B and C). In contrast, females with syxL371 clones fail to produce any oocytes, and the ovaries of these females are rudimentary, like those of ovoD1 females (Fig. 4 D) (Spradling, 1993). The failure of the ovarioles to mature and the hypertrophy of the ovaries suggests that this allele causes cell lethality and therefore degeneration of the syntaxin1 null cells in a background of rudimentary ovarioles produced by the ovoD1 tissues. This interpretation is supported by the absence of any ovarioles clearly lacking syntaxin1 protein based upon staining with the 8C3 antibody. Syntaxin1 is present on the membranes of nurse cells in the early gerarium, before stage 8 when the oocyte begins to enlarge (not shown and Schulze and Bellen, 1996). The degeneration or rudimentary nature of the syxL371 clones may be overcome by the expression of a syntaxin1 transgene on the second chromosome (Fig. 4, E and F). Females carrying this transgene develop mature ovarioles that produce viable oocytes (Fig. 4 E), while the ovaries of siblings that carry a balancer second chromosome (lacking the transgene) fail to develop (Fig. 4 F). Thus, the cell lethality of the syxL371 chromosome is entirely attributable to the mutation in syntaxin1. Moreover, since the effect is rescued by the expression of a wild-type transgene and is not seen in syxL371 heterozygotes, the cell lethal phenotype is not due to a dominant effect of the syxL371 allele.

**Figure 3.** (A) P-element insertions in the syntaxin1 transcription unit. The P36-23 P-elementinsertion is located ~1,500 bp upstream of the syntaxin1 open reading frame and is homozgyous viable. This insertion was mobilized to generate a series of P-element insertions that disrupt the syntaxin1 transcription unit and are homozgyous lethal. The allele syxL266 carries both the original P36-23 insertion and a second insertion in or immediately adjacent to the 5’ untranslated sequence. syxL371 has two P-elements: the original P36-23 and a second, within the syntaxin1 open reading frame, interrupting the codon for amino acid K95. The inter-
vening sequence is deleted as determined by Southern blotting, PCR, and plasmid rescue of the insertions. (B) Syntaxin1 protein in mutant embryos. In late stage embryos homozgyous for the parent chromosome P36-23, the protein is present at normal levels, by comparison to control strains (CXD/TM3 or yw). The protein is dramatically reduced in syxL266, syxL266, and syxL371/syxL371; this allele therefore produces a small amount of full-
length protein. Full-length syntaxin1 is present in syxL371/syxL371 embryos because of the remaining maternal contribution and can be seen on longer exposures of the gel in B (not shown) or on gels with additional protein layered per lane (C). Homozgyous mutan-
t embryos from heterozygous parents were selected based on their failure to hatch after 27–33 h for both the syxL266 and the syxL371 alleles. Age-matched embryos that failed to hatch from CXD/TM3 heterozygous parents were used as a control. Ho-
mozygous P36-23 and yw embryos were collected at 24 h. 60 μg of protein was layered per lane in B, corresponding to ~25 em-
broys. The protein extracted from 45 embryos was loaded in each lane of C.
Developmental Defects Require Both Maternal and Zygotically Derived Syntaxin1 to Be Mutant

$syx^{1.266}$ clones in the mother’s germline provide sufficient syntaxin1 to overcome the apparent cell lethality, but not enough to sustain normal embryogenesis. With $syx^{1.266}$ and the $ovo^{D1}$, FLP/FRT system described above, we collected embryos that all had reduced maternally deposited syntaxin1 because of the homozygous $syx^{1.266}$ mutation in the maternal germline. Frequently, over 50% of eggs deposited by these mothers were unfertilized. This may be due to defects in the ability of the mutant ovarioles to properly form an oocyte, even though the ovarioles are outwardly normal. Nevertheless, many of the eggs from these clones were fertilized and could thus be used to examine the early developmental defects in the ability of the mutant ovarioles to properly form an oocyte, even though the ovarioles are outwardly normal. Nevertheless, many of the eggs from these clones were fertilized and could thus be used to examine the early developmental role of syntaxin1. Females carrying the homozygous $syx^{1.266}$ clones were crossed to $syx^{L371}$, TM3, lacZ males, so that the offspring that received the $syx^{+}$ balancer chromosome (TM3, lacZ) from the father could be distinguished from those that received the mutant chromosome by staining for lacZ. Fertilized embryos were allowed to develop for 14–17 h. Normally developing embryos would progress to stages 16 or 17 in this interval and would have extensively differentiated internal structures (Campos-Ortega and Hartenstein, 1985). The embryos from the above cross, however, fell into two categories: (a) viable, normally developing embryos and (b) embryos that arrested very early, usually before germ band extension (Fig. 5A). The fate of the embryo depended on the paternal chromosome it had received; the viable class bore the $syx^{+}$, TM3lacZ− paternal chromosome (Fig. 5B), while the defective embryos had received the $syx^{L371}$ chromosome. A representative $syx^{L266}$/$syx^{L371}$ (lacZ−) embryo is shown in Fig. 5C. The embryo is arrested in its development; no internal organs can be distinguished, and the embryo appears not to have undergone proper germ band extension. The anterior distribution of yolk suggests that some morphogenetic movements similar to those of germ band extension had occurred. Those few lacZ− embryos that did progress as far as germ band retraction typically arrested before stage 16. The lacZ positive and negative embryos appeared in the expected Mendelian ratio (144 lacZ-positive, 169-lacZ negative embryos scored). These results indicate that syntaxin1 expression from the embryo’s paternal chromosome is sufficient to rescue the phenotype. In parallel control experiments, all embryos derived from clones of the P36-23 control chromosome were fertilized and developed normally, regardless of the paternal chromosome inherited (94 lacZ-negative and 91 lacZ-positive embryos scored).

Mutant Embryos Show Large Acellular Patches

To understand the phenotype of embryos such as the one shown in Fig. 5C at the cellular level, we analyzed a number of cellular components, including the nucleus, cytoskeleton, and surface membrane. During mitotic cycle 14, embryos derived from P36-23 germ line clones cellularize normally (Fig. 6A and B). The nuclei, stained with Hoechst 33258, are enveloped by cell membranes that invaginate inwards from the embryo’s surface, and filamentous actin, stained with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin, becomes associated with the plasma membrane of each cell. However, in embryos derived from $syx^{1.266}$ germ line clones, large acellular patches are apparent in half of the embryos. Based upon the results shown in Fig. 5, we conclude that these embryos correspond to those inheriting the paternal $syx^{L371}$ chromosome. Embryos with comparably sized patches were obtained when females with $syx^{1.266}$ germ line clones were crossed to either $syx^{L371}$/TM3 or $syx^{1.266}$/TM3 males. This result indicates the defect is not specific for the $syx^{L371}$ paternal chromosome but is instead the result of a combination of reduced maternal and zygotic syntaxin1. Embryos from the P36-23 clones never showed defects such as this. Within these aberrant patches, the actin cytoskeleton that lines lateral membranes is completely absent (Fig. 6C), and the nuclei are disorganized and begin to fall away from the surface of the embryo (Fig. 6D). The regions of disorganized nuclei and of absent lateral actin correspond exactly (Fig. 6, C and D, large arrows). In addition to large patches, occasional smaller defects are also seen (Fig. 6C, small arrow).

The defects are also seen in the morphology of the surface membrane in the regions that appeared to be acellular. conA was used to stain glycosylated surface membrane proteins. On the surface of a wild-type embryo, the conA and phalloidin staining overlap and reveal the honeycomb pattern of developing cell membranes (Fig. 7A and B). In patches on an embryo from a $syx^{1.266}$ germ line clone, neither the cytoskeleton nor the surface membrane give any indication that membranes are forming to segregate each nucleus (Fig. 7, C and D). Therefore, it appears that these patches are truly acellular and represent areas where no membrane invagination is occurring.

$syx^{1.266}$ Defects Do Not Appear until Cellularization Begins

Embryos from homozygous $syx^{1.266}$ ovarian clones were examined more closely to determine the time of onset of developmental abnormalities in these mutants. Because

Figure 4. Ovaries from mitotic recombinations in $syx/ovo^{D1}$ heterozygotes. $ovo^{D1}$ is a dominant mutation that arrests ovariole development before stage 8. Examples of these rudimentary ovarioles are marked with arrowheads and can be seen in A. Recombination in a P36-23/ovo^{D1} fly produces P36-23 homozygous clones in which follicles reach maturity and oocytes are produced (B, and marked with arrows throughout) alongside ovo^{D1}-arrested ovaries. Mature ovarioles are also seen in $syx^{1.266}$ clones (C) but were not seen in $syx^{L371}$ clones (D). $syx^{L371}$ is therefore either a rudimentary ovariotype mutant comparable to ovo^{D1}, or $syx^{L371}$ is cell lethal, leaving only ovo^{D1} follicles. Mature oocytes are produced from $syx^{L371}$ ovary clones that express $syx$ on a second chromosome transgene (E). The genotype of these flies is HS-FLP, HS-GAL4; UAS-syx; $syx^{L371}$-82BFRT/ovo^{D1}; 82BFRT. Siblings of these flies that do not carry the transgene show no developed ovarioles (F). All of the ovaries shown were stained with FITC-conjugated phalloidin to allow visualization of the ovarioles. Bar, 200 μm.
the paternal chromosome was able to rescue the phenotype, the abnormalities we observed must be due to a lack of syntaxin1 at a stage after the onset of transcription from the zygotic genome. Zygotic transcription does not begin until the mitotic cycles 10–13—the cycles immediately before cellularization—and thus a requirement of syntaxin1 at this or a later step was indicated.

To determine the time of onset of defects in syx<sup>L266</sup>/syx<sup>L371</sup> embryos, mothers bearing syx<sup>L266</sup>/syx<sup>L266</sup> germ lines were crossed to syx<sup>L371</sup>/TM3, lacZ males as above and stained with Hoechst 33258 to visualize the nuclei and with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin to stain the F actin. In normal embryogenesis, the nuclei migrate outwards so as to reach the periphery in time for the 10th nuclear division. During the syncytial blastoderm stage, mitotic cycles 10–13, they form a cortex of nuclei, and a cap of F actin; the furrows may play a role in preventing chromosome loss during the nuclear divisions (Sullivan et al., 1993b). In the mutant embryos, we therefore examined the position of the nuclei and the frequency at which they aberrantly fell away from the surface; we looked as well for the presence of the actin caps and metaphase furrows in cycles 10–13. Development progressed normally in embryos during these stages despite the lack of syntaxin 1. The nuclei migrated successfully to the periphery (Fig. 8, A and C) and actin caps corresponding to the underlying nuclei were always present and organized (Fig. 8, C and D). In addition, metaphase furrows form and appear to be similar to those in embryos from control (P36-23) germ line clones (Fig. 8, E and F). Only very rarely (in ~1% of the embryos examined) were there severe defects during these stages.

In embryos from both P36-23 and syx<sup>L266</sup> clones, small irregularities in the metaphase furrows are occasionally seen (Fig. 8, E and F), and in most cases these irregularities correspond to individual nuclei dropping back towards the center of the embryo. These normal imperfections in early development did not occur at a significantly higher rate nor were they significantly larger in size in embryos from mutant clones compared to controls (Table I). The values are comparable to the reported rate of 0.3% of the nuclei being lost in any given cycle (Sullivan et al., 1993a). Therefore, it would appear that despite the requirement of syntaxin1 for cell viability and the large disruptions of cellularization observed at later stages, embryos that are from syx<sup>L266</sup> clones and lacking in paternally derived syntaxin1 are nonetheless capable of sustaining normal development through cycle 13.

**Embryos with Insufficient Syntaxin1 Show Regions with Complete Disruption of Cellularization**

As soon as embryos reach the 14th mitotic cycle and begin to cellularize, mutant regions appear. These acellular regions are variable in size, ranging from just a few percent of the embryo’s surface to almost the entire surface. There was no apparent correlation between the size of the acellular patch and the stage of cellularization, i.e., the patches probably do not get larger as cellularization progresses. Also, the patches appeared on all surfaces of the embryo but were most common on the central, dorsal area. We examined these areas closely to determine just which stages of cellularization were disrupted. The normal progression through to the formation of actin caps and metaphase fur-
rows argued that a gross disorganization of the surface of the embryo probably did not cause the failure to cellularize. As an additional way to look at the organization of the surface before cellularization, we used antisera to the cytoskeleton-associated protein anillin. Anillin, which is found at sites of membrane constriction, is recruited early to the sites at which the membranes grow inwards (Field and Alberts, 1995). Fig. 9, A–D, shows a cycle 14 embryo that is ~75% acellular and is in the very early stages of cellularization. In patches on the embryo’s surface, the cytoskeleton, as judged by phalloidin staining, is severely disrupted (Fig. 9 A). In these patches, however, some anillin localization persists and is reminiscent of the hexagonal array seen in cellularized parts of the embryo (Fig. 9 B), suggesting that the surface of these regions of the embryos is not completely disorganized. In cross section, it is evident that membrane invagination has only progressed about 2 μm in the cellularizing regions of the embryo (Fig. 9, C and D). The cytoskeleton has formed on the apical surface and lateral extent of cells (Fig. 9 C). The anillin is present in the advancing front of the cleavage furrows (Fig. 9 D) as in wild type (Field and Alberts, 1995). However, in the acellular region at the left side of these panels, no furrows are apparent at all.

Later in cellularization, embryos from syx\textsuperscript{1,266} female germ line clones continue to show patches with a complete lack of cells. Fig. 9, E–H, show two mutant embryos. In the first, cellularization has progressed so that the invaginating membranes have approximately reached the bottom of the nuclei (Fig. 9, E and F). This embryo has a large acellular patch at the anterior (left) side. Polymerized actin is present in this stage but lines the surface of the embryo and gives no indication that any in-growth of membranes between the nuclei has occurred (Fig. 9 E). The anillin, which at this stage should be concentrated at the leading tip of the furrow, is disorganized and largely in the nuclei (Fig. 9 F, small arrow). Anillin typically goes to the nucleus, but only when cellularization is complete and the confluence with the yolk is entirely severed (Field and Alberts, 1995). In the cellularizing areas, anillin also appears to be somewhat less localized to the tips of the furrows (Fig. 9 F, large arrow).

The second embryo shown in Fig. 9, G and H, is at a yet later stage of cellularization, when the membranes have progressed to nearly their full extent. In the acellular region, no suggestion of cellularization can be seen (Fig. 9 G). Anillin again shows less localization in the cellularized areas and a nuclear localization in the acellular areas (Fig. 9 H).
H. Nuclei can also be seen accumulating below the cortex of the embryo in this region. Cellularization has been described as proceeding in two phases. The first phase is slow and probably involves the addition of membrane to the surface. The second phase is rapid and may use the resorption of microvilli from the apical surface as its source of membrane (Foe et al., 1993). The defects seen in embryos from syx\textsuperscript{L266} clones persist throughout cellularization and no partial cellularization is seen, suggesting that neither phase of cellularization is progressing. The effect on the second, fast phase may be a direct result of syntaxin1’s involvement in that phase or may be an indirect effect of the loss of the first, slow phase. As a result of having insufficient syntaxin1, however, regions consistently arise in which cellularization appears to fail completely.

Gastrulation Can Proceed in Improperly Cellularized Embryos

The cell migrations associated with gastrulation occur in the cellularized areas of defective embryos. Fig. 10 shows...
an embryo in which the posterior and dorsal surfaces are acellular (arrows). However, the caudal region has undergone involution and successfully migrated dorsally. Therefore, those cells that are formed are capable of undergoing significant developmental alterations. Development then arrests at approximately this stage in most of the defective embryos (Fig. 5).

### Discussion

Proper cellularization of the embryo requires syntaxin1, a protein that is known to be important for membrane trafficking. Though this protein appears to be essential for the viability of many cells (Fig. 4; Schulze and Bellen, 1996), a direct role in cellularization is indicated by our analysis of
Defects arise at the onset of cellularization and persist throughout in embryos from syx^{-266} clones. As soon as membrane invagination begins in mitotic cycle 14, defects in the actin cytoskeleton are apparent (A and C). Anillin still shows a hexagonal grid early in cellularization (B), although it does not appear enriched in areas lacking F actin (D). The acellular patch begins near the left edge of C and D. By the midpoint of cellularization, defective regions still show no actin organization (E). Anillin is also disorganized in these regions, and shows a stronger nuclear localization than usual (small arrow, F). Anillin still localizes to the invaginating furrow in those regions undergoing cellularization (large arrowhead F). By the very late stages of cellularization, cytoskeletal organization is still completely lacking in defective regions (G). Anillin is almost completely localized to the nuclei in these regions (arrow, H). Bars: (A and B, C and D, and E and F) 10 μm; (G and H) 20 μm.
the hypomorphic allele syx\textsuperscript{L266}. Ovary cells homozygous for this allele are viable and give rise to embryos that can develop normally until the moments when membrane ingrowth should occur. A model for the formation of the cell membranes can be proposed that incorporates both the genetic and cytochemical information on syntaxin1 and the existing wealth of morphological descriptions of cellularization (Fullilove and Jacobson, 1971; Sanders, 1975; Turner and Mahowald, 1976; Loncar and Singer, 1995). Most recently, an electron microscopic study (Loncar and Singer, 1995) observed vesicles that were lined up in front of the invaginating cleavage furrow between the nuclei of the syncytium. The addition of these vesicles is likely to be a major source of the membranes that advance the furrow and divide the newly forming cells. This process requires the t-SNARE syntaxin1. As in synaptic transmission, the syntaxin1 is present on the target membrane and is concentrated at sites where fusion occurs, the invaginating cleavage furrows. Syntaxin1, however, was not exclusively localized to a specific region of the furrow. This may mean that vesicles are added along its length, or it may simply reflect a lack of precision in syntaxin1 localization. This widespread distribution of syntaxin1 is similar to the situation in neurons, where syntaxin1 is not exclusively at the synapse but is also present along axons (Garcia et al., 1995; Sesack and Snyder, 1995).

Because the amount of syntaxin1 on the embryo’s surface appears to increase during cellularization and because zygotically transcribed syntaxin1 contributes to this process, additional syntaxin1 must be added with the fusing vesicles. Therefore, vesicles may be able to fuse with one another, in addition to fusing with the surface membrane, and this pattern of growth has been suggested (Loncar and Singer, 1995). Though we have not seen syntaxin1 in advance of the furrow, it may be below our detection limit. Syntaxin is known to reside on synaptic vesicles as well as on the plasma membrane at synapses (Walch-Solimena et al., 1995).

Residual cellularization was observed in the syntaxin1-deficient embryos. This cellularization may have been mediated by the small amount of wild-type protein made by syx\textsuperscript{L266}. Resorption of apical microvilli may serve as another source of membrane in normal furrow formation and may contribute to the residual cellularization that oc-

Figure 10. Gastrulation can occur in embryos with acellular regions. The posterior end of an embryo stained with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin is shown. The extent of cellularization on the posterior end is indicated by arrows. In addition, the entire dorsal surface is acellular (wide arrow). However, caudal cells have involuted and migrated dorsally, as would normally occur during gastrulation. Such embryos typically arrest at approximately this stage.
curs outside of the defective patches. The acellular areas, however, did not have partial furrows; perhaps since microvillar resorption is thought to follow vesicular membrane addition, the resorption was blocked in these regions and could not contribute to furrow formation.

A noteworthy feature of the defect is its all or nothing nature: abrupt transitions were seen between properly cellularized and completely acellular areas. Though the patchiness of the phenotype is not yet understood, two possibilities can be suggested. First, regions of the surface that have a little syntaxin1 at the start of cellularization may be competent to receive additional syntaxin1 via vesicle fusion and thereby progress successfully at the expense of regions lacking syntaxin1. Alternatively, a failure in cellularization in a small area may expand into a larger patch because of an interdependence of neighboring cells on membrane and cytoskeletal interactions.

The onset of the phenotype coincides with the onset of cellularization. The formation of metaphase furrows in the mitotic cycle before cellularization may proceed by a different, syntaxin1-independent mechanism, e.g., the rearrangement of microvilli or other features of the surface. Alternatively, the demands placed on membrane trafficking may not be as great at the earlier stages, and the small amount of syntaxin1 present in syn1.266 may be sufficient to meet the demand. Metaphase furrows are neither as deep as cleavage furrows nor are there as many of them since there are fewer nuclei at the surface during earlier cycles. Therefore, the initial phase of cellularization at cycle 14 probably represents the first time membrane trafficking is severely taxed during development, and this demand causes defects to arise when insufficient amounts of syntaxin1 are present.

The requirement for a syntaxin1 protein during cellularization is consistent with recent findings in other systems. Membrane fusions may be important for cell divisions in Arabidopsis thaliana as well, where a distant homologue of syntaxin, KNOLLE, has been implicated in cytokinesis (Lukowitz et al., 1996). One explanation of the apparent cell lethality of synx1.577 is that syntaxin1 is required for cell divisions in many Drosophila tissues in addition to the syncytial blastoderm. In its absence, these tissues would be unable to form. Thus, membrane addition via vesicle trafficking during cytokinesis may be essential for the formation of new cells (Rothman and Warren, 1994). The apparent cell lethality may also reflect a requirement of syntaxin1 in constitutive membrane trafficking to the plasma membrane in all cells.

The existence of both neuronal and nonneuronal functions for the syntaxin1 gene was previously indicated by subtle abnormalities of the cuticle, gut, and trachea in zygotic null mutants (Schulze et al., 1995) and is confirmed by our present study. In synapses, syntaxin acts with a cognate v-SNARE called VAMP or synaptobrevin. Current models of SNARE function require such an interaction to occur in the early embryo as well. Interestingly, however, the embryo and the synapse probably employ two different v-SNAREs to pair with the same syntaxin1 protein. We have previously found a neuron-specific synaptobrevin isoform (n-syb) (DiAntonio et al., 1993) that is the likely mediator of synaptic transmission (Sweeney et al., 1995). We have also shown that a different, widely expressed gene (cyb) (Sudhof et al., 1989) is present in 0–3-h embryos (Chin et al., 1993). The use of distinct synaptobrevins may reflect the distinct regulatory control of these different trafficking events. It also indicates that the specificity of vesicle fusion cannot rely entirely on the interaction of syntaxin with synaptobrevin. This lack of specificity becomes particularly problematic if regulated neurosecretion and constitutive trafficking require the same t-SNARE in the same cell, despite the fact that their vesicles must be directed to distinct domains on the cell surface. Other proteins, perhaps in the SNARE complex, may contribute to the specificity or fine-tune it. For instance, syntaxin1 may complex with one SNAP-25 homologue in the early embryo, allowing it to bind with syb, while it complexes with another SNAP-25 in the nerve terminal, allowing it to bind n-syb.

Previous studies have indicated that docking of synaptic vesicles at appropriate sites persists in syn mutants (Broadie et al., 1995), and these studies have also shown that syntaxin is not localized only to active zones (Garcia et al., 1995; Sesack et al., 1995). Our present observations suggest that a single isoform of syntaxin can mediate fusions by many different classes of transport vesicle. Though syntaxin may indeed play a role in docking and targeting, additional proteins must be invoked to support docking in its absence and to refine the specificity of targeting. On the other hand, a posttargeting role in exocytosis, such as a role in fusion itself, is consistent with our current findings and strongly implicated by the earlier genetic analysis (Broadie et al., 1995; Schulze et al., 1995).

In addition to syntaxin1, the cellularization of early Drosophila embryos will undoubtedly involve many other proteins to mediate and direct the addition of membrane to the cell surface. Although the cytoskeletal rearrangements that accompany the membrane changes have been the object of considerable study (for reviews see Schejter and Wieschaus, 1993; Theurkauf, 1994), the genetic analysis of the membrane addition remains largely unexplored. Many of the components, like syntaxin1, may be provided by both maternal contributions and zygotic transcription. Once cellularization is complete, the blastoderm must differentiate into a polarized tissue (Wodarz et al., 1995) that selectively secretes cuticle proteins and signaling molecules from distinct domains. Thus, the formation of the cellular blastoderm may also provide a valuable genetic system in which to investigate the development of vectorial membrane trafficking.
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