Donkey genomes provide new insights into domestication and selection for coat color
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Current knowledge about the evolutionary history of donkeys is still incomplete due to the lack of archeological and whole-genome diversity data. To fill this gap, we have de novo assembled a chromosome-level reference genome of one male Dezhou donkey and analyzed the genomes of 126 domestic donkeys and seven wild asses. Population genomics analyses indicate that donkeys were domesticated in Africa and conclusively show reduced levels of Y chromosome variability and discordant paternal and maternal histories, possibly reflecting the consequences of reproductive management. We also investigate the genetic basis of coat color. While wild asses show diluted gray pigmentation (Dun phenotype), domestic donkeys display non-diluted black or chestnut coat colors (non-Dun) that were probably established during domestication. Here, we show that the non-Dun phenotype is caused by a 1 bp deletion downstream of the TBX3 gene, which decreases the expression of this gene and its inhibitory effect on pigment deposition.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19813-7

1 Dairy Cattle Research Center, Shandong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Jinan 250100, China. 2 Liaocheng Research Institute of Donkey High-Efficiency Breeding and Ecological Feeding, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng 252059, China. 3 National Engineering Research Center for Gelatin-based Traditional Chinese Medicine, Dong-E-E-Jiao Co. Ltd, Liaocheng 252201, China. 4 BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 252201, China. 5 Department of Computer Science, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong 999077, China. 6 College of Life Science, Shandong Normal University, Jinan 250000, China. 7 BGI-Qingdao, BGI-Shenzhen, Qingdao 266555, China. 8 Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Molecular and Medical Biotechnology, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China. 9 School of Biomedical Sciences, The University of Western Australia, M508, 35 Stirling Hwy, Perth, WA 6009, Australia. 10 Department de Ciència Animal i Edats Aliments, Facultat de Veterinària, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra 08193, Spain. 11 Department of Animal Genetics, Centre for Research in Agricultural Genomics (CRAG), CSIC-IRTA-UAB-UB, Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra 08193, Spain. 12 Leibniz-Institute for Farm Animal Biology (FBN), Dummerstorf 18196, Germany. 13 State Key Laboratory of Genetic Resources and Evolution, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650223, China. 14 Center for Excellence in Animal Evolution and Genetics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650223, China. 15 State Key Laboratory of Bioreactor Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai 200237, China. 16 Institute of Animal Science, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanjing 210014, China. 17 These authors contributed equally: Changfa Wang, Haijing Li, Yu Guo, Jinming Huang, Yan Sun, Jiumeng Min, Jinpeng Wang. 18Email: wudongdong@mail.kiz.ac.cn; shuaichengli@gmail.com; xszhou@ecust.edu.cn; sdx2@163.com
The domestication of donkeys (Equus asinus) and horses (Equus caballus) dramatically enhanced human mobility and substantially facilitated the trading of goods between distant territories. There is a broad consensus that the domestication of donkeys began in the tropics or subtropics of Africa1–2, but the exact timing and precise location of this process remain unknown. Archeological remains of domestic donkeys have been found in the Egyptian predynastic sites of El Omari (6800–6500 years before present, ybp), Maadi (6000–6500 ybp) and Abydos (5000 ybp)3–5. However, the exact timeline of donkey domestication is still unclear since archeologists have also identified remains from African wild asses and early domestic donkeys, dating to ~8500 ybp3–7, in the site of Ash Shumah (Yemen). On the other hand, the exact locations of the centers of donkey domestication remain controversial. The identification of 5000-year-old ass skeletons in the pharaonic mortuary complex of Abydos, with osteological lesions typical of load carrying, provided evidence that donkeys might have been domesticated by Egyptian villagers in the Nile Valley3. Alternatively, it has been proposed that pastoralist peoples from the Horn of Africa domesticated donkeys as a strategy to cope with the consequences of the increasing aridity of the Sahara (7000–6500 ybp). A recent study based on microsatellite data supported this latter hypothesis8.

One of the main limitations to reconstructing the history of donkey domestication and dispersal is the lack of archeological remains, as well as of additional historical evidence such as rock paintings, textual records or engravings, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, where such items are very rare9. One possible explanation for this outcome is the low status and prestige of donkeys compared with horses and camels9. It is also possible that the spread of donkeys was initially slow and scattered, experiencing a substantial enhancement only with the development of long-distance trade9.

Previous research indicated that the critically endangered Nubian wild ass (Equus africanus africanus) and an extinct relative of the Somali wild ass (Equus africanus somaliensis) (SOM) might be the wild ancestors of domestic donkeys8. However, these findings relied exclusively on analysis of the variability of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), which represents only the maternal lineage.

All wild asses including Tibetan kiang (Equus kiang) (KIA), Onager (Equus hemionus onager) (ONA), and Somali wild ass, display a Dun color, which is characterized by a dilution of the pigmentation combined with a few dark-colored body areas termed primitive markings (e.g. dorsal stripe). In contrast, non-Dun coat colors, such as black and chestnut, can be frequently seen in domestic donkeys (E. asinus). In horses, the Dun phenotype is explained by mutations impairing the expression of the TBX3 transcription factor.

In this work, we intend to elucidate the history of donkey domestication by using a population genomics approach based on whole-genome sequence data. In addition, we want to investigate the genetic basis of the non-Dun phenotype and seek to ascertain whether the same gene is involved in the determination of the Dun color in donkeys or if, conversely, the genetic basis of this ancient phenotype is completely different in horses and donkeys.

**Results**

**Genome assembly and annotation.** We constructed a de novo assembly of the donkey genome by using a state-of-the-art approach combining consensus validation with short reads, contig formation with long reads, and scaffolding by Hi-C (Supplementary Notes 1–4, Supplementary Figs. 1–6, Supplementary Tables 1–4, Supplementary Data 1). These combined technologies produced what is, to our knowledge, the most continuous de novo genome assembly of an odd-toed ungulate with chromosome-length scaffolds (Table 1, Supplementary Table 4). We also assembled the sex chromosomes of donkey (Supplementary Note 4). Several assessment approaches indicated the high quality of our assembly (Supplementary Tables 5–7), which exhibits a 24-fold and 6-fold improvement, in the scaffold N50, compared to those of the previously published donkey genomes reported by Huang et al.10 and Renaud et al.11, respectively. This assembly should facilitate the identification of fine-scale chromosomal rearrangements between horse and donkey with the aim of clarifying the evolutionary history of equine species.

According to our results, repetitive sequences accounted for 41.79% of the donkey genome (Supplementary Table 8, Supplementary Data 2). A total of 21,983 protein-coding genes were identified in the present assembly (see “Methods” section and Supplementary Note 4, Supplementary Tables 9–13). Expression profiles of these protein-coding genes in 13 tissues were analyzed via transcriptome sequencing (Supplementary Figs. 5 and 6, Supplementary Data 3). We calculated the proportion of protein-coding genes that are annotated in the horse genome (EquCab2.0)12 but not in our donkey assembly. To do so, we considered that each predicted protein-coding gene is represented by a single transcript and vice versa (Supplementary Fig. 7). Approximately 13.2% of the protein-coding genes in our donkey assembly (2914 genes out of 21,980) could not be assigned to the horse genome, a proportion that is higher than that published by Renaud et al.11. Moreover, 10.3% of the horse protein-coding genes (2197 genes out of 21,263) could not be assigned to our donkey assembly, a fraction that is lower than that reported by Renaud et al.11. The whole set of protein-coding genes identified in our assembly spanned a total of 815.38 Mb, which was ~33.52% of the whole assembly, while the protein-coding regions spanned 33.94 Mb.

We also performed gene function enrichment analysis for horse–donkey orthologs, horse-specific genes, and donkey-specific genes (Supplementary Table 14). The horse–donkey

![Table 1 Quality metrics for the donkey genome assembly generated in the current work and for other donkey and horse genome assemblies published in previous studies.](https://www.nature.com/naturecommunications)
orthologs were enriched in protein-binding functions (adjusted \( P\)-value = 0.03). In contrast, horse-specific genes were enriched in cellular components including organelle part (adjusted \( P\)-value = 1.12E−11), intracellular organelle part (adjusted \( P\)-value = 2.72E−11), intracellular part (adjusted \( P\)-value = 1.78E−05), and mitochondrial membrane part (adjusted \( P\)-value = 0.014). Immune response (adjusted \( P\)-value = 0.0028) and enzyme inhibitor activity (adjusted \( P\)-value = 0.01) were enriched in the functional and molecular function categories, while donkey-specific genes were not enriched in any cellular, functional, or molecular categories.

Our chromosome-level donkey assembly has a larger scaffold N50 than the donkey assembly previously published by Renaud et al.\(^{11}\). To assess the heterozygosity of several representatives of the \emph{Asinus} subgenus, we aligned shotgun sequencing data corresponding to one SOM, two Asian wild asses (AWAs), including an OMA (\emph{E. hemionus onager}) and a KIA (\emph{E. kiang}), and one domestic donkey\(^{9,13,14}\) against our donkey genome assembly. We found that the SOM was less heterozygous than the domestic donkey (Supplementary Table 15), which is in accordance with the findings of Renaud et al.\(^{11}\). Except for OMA, the heterozygosity rates obtained in our study for the SOM, KIA, and the domestic donkey (Supplementary Table 15) were higher than those reported by Renaud et al.\(^{11}\).

**Population genetics.** We resequenced, with an average coverage of 10.9×, the genomes of 83 domestic donkeys and two Asian wild asses (two \emph{E. hemionus} and one \emph{E. kiang}) from nine countries (Supplementary Note 5, and Supplementary Figs. 8 and 9, and Supplementary Data 4). We also added to our dataset genome sequences from four Asian wild asses (one \emph{E. hemionus}, one \emph{E. hemionus onager}, and two \emph{E. kiang}), one Somali wild ass (\emph{E. africanus somaliensis}) and 43 domestic donkeys (Supplementary Data 4). A total of 133 genomes of 126 domestic donkeys and seven wild asses from nine countries were used for population genetics analyses. Analysis of these combined data generated a collection of 17.28 million SNPs and 1.5 million indels. Approximately 7.0 million SNPs and 0.66 million indels were detected among the 126 domestic donkeys (Supplementary Tables 16 and 17, Supplementary Data 5).

Both the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1a) and the principal component analysis (PCA) (Supplementary Fig. 10) separated the 133 individuals under analysis into two clades, one including all Asian wild asses and the other including the African wild ass and all domestic donkeys, thus confirming previous reports demonstrating that the domestic donkeys originated from African wild asses and not from Asian wild asses\(^{1,2}\).

PCA of only domestic donkeys (Fig. 1b, Supplementary Fig. 11) revealed three main clusters: (i) Tropical Africa cluster (Kenya, Ethiopia, and Nigeria), (ii) North Africa and Eurasia cluster (Egypt, Spain, Iran, Kyrgyzstan, and China), and (iii) Australia cluster, roughly corresponding to their continental distribution. Unfortunately, the absence of textual records and archeological remains as well as of pictorial and sculptural representations\(^{9}\) makes it very difficult to infer the routes of spread of donkeys across Africa. Blench\(^{9}\) proposed several potential paths of diffusion, none of which connect West and East Africa, but this does not necessarily imply the absence of gene flow between these two geographic areas. We have also observed that Australian samples clustered separately from their African, Asian, and European counterparts. The strong differentiation of African donkeys might be attributed to the limited number of founder individuals that were brought by the British colonizers to Australia 200 years ago\(^{15}\) as well as to the effects of prolonged geographic isolation and high genetic drift.

Individual ancestry coefficients were inferred with Admix\(^{16}\) to further assess population structure (Fig. 1c). With \( K = 2 \), the genetic ancestry represented by garnet color appears mainly in populations from Kenya, Ethiopia, Nigeria, and Australia. With \( K = 3 \), which is also the optimal \( K\)-value (Supplementary Fig. 12, Supplementary Data 6), the third genetic ancestry (in blue) appears mainly in Australian donkeys and Spanish donkeys. When \( K = 4 \), the fourth genetic ancestry appears mainly in populations from Spain, Iran, Egypt, and Nigeria.

Based on the population structure analyses mentioned previously, domestic donkeys could be subdivided into the Tropical Africa group (including donkeys from Kenya, Ethiopia, and Nigeria) and the North Africa & Eurasia group (including donkeys from Egypt, Spain, Iran, Kyrgyzstan, China, and Australia). Population genetic analyses of the two groups were carried out to infer nucleotide diversities (\( \pi \)), Watterson’s estimators (\( \theta_W \)), minor allele frequency (MAF) distributions, and the linkage disequilibrium (LD) parameters (\( r^2 \)). The nucleotide diversity of the Tropical Africa group (\( \pi = 0.697 \times 10^{-3} \)) was lower than that of the North Africa & Eurasia group (\( \pi = 0.857 \times 10^{-3} \)). In contrast (Supplementary Table 18), the Watterson’s estimator of the Tropical Africa group (\( \theta_W = 0.644 \times 10^{-3} \)) was higher than that of the North Africa and Eurasia group (\( \theta_W = 0.576 \times 10^{-3} \)). The distribution of MAFs was similar in both groups (Supplementary Fig. 13), while the Tropical Africa group had a higher LD than the North Africa & Eurasia group (Supplementary Fig. 14). These results make it difficult to infer whether there are one or, as proposed by Beja-Pereira et al.\(^{1}\), two primary donkey domestication sites.

We used the D-statistic\(^{17}\) to study the relations between the Somali wild ass and the two domestic donkey groups. The D-statistics were calculated in the form of (((Population 1, Population 2), African wild ass), Asian wild ass) where Population 1 (P1) and Population 2 (P2) were represented by donkeys from African or Eurasian countries. A positive D-statistic indicates that gene flow existed between P1 and African wild ass while a negative D-statistic indicates that gene flow took place between P2 and African wild ass. Among the 12 tests (Fig. 1d, Supplementary Table 19), the form (((Kenya, Australia), African wild ass), Asian wild ass) has the highest D-statistic and (((Egypt, Australia), African wild ass), Asian wild ass) has the second highest D-statistic, indicating that the Tropical Africa group (including donkeys from Kenya) is genetically closer to the African wild ass than Egyptian donkeys, and that Egyptian donkeys are more closely related to the African wild ass than Eurasian donkeys, suggesting a result consistent with the African domestication of donkeys and their subsequent spread to Europe and Asia.

To detect potential introgression events among domestic donkey populations, we performed a combination of analyses, including D-statistic\(^{17}\) and TreeMix\(^{18}\) analyses. D-statistic tests were applied by considering the Asian wild asses as an outgroup. This analysis indicated that introgression events existed between different geographic populations (\( Z \) scores < −3, Supplementary Table 20), for example, between Ethiopian donkeys and Egyptian donkeys, between Nigerian donkeys and Egyptian donkeys, between Ethiopian donkeys and Nigerian donkeys, and between Spanish donkeys and Egyptian donkeys. For Australian donkeys, a potential gene flow with their Egyptian and Spanish counterparts was detected, but Australian donkeys were genetically closer to Spanish donkeys than to Egyptian donkeys (Supplementary Table 20). TreeMix analysis also confirmed the existence of gene flow between donkey populations from different countries and continents (Supplementary Fig. 15).
Domestic donkeys have similar historic effective population sizes. By taking into account whole-genome data from single individuals, we utilized the pairwise sequentially Markovian coalescent (PSMC) model to infer the local time to the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) as well as to assess changes in the historic effective population size ($N_e$), measured by the mutation-scaled rate (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Data 7). Our results are consistent with those of Renaud et al. All the curves of domestic donkeys mixed well, indicating that donkey domestication is a recent event. The ancestral $N_e$ values of *E. africanus*...
domestic donkeys. Each vertical bar represents one individual. Each color represents one putative ancestral background, and the distribution of pigment in the hair cortex of Dun donkeys is different from that of non-Dun donkeys. Conversely, a radial and asymmetrical pattern is observed in the hair cortex of non-Dun donkeys.

Donkeys have different paternal and maternal demographic histories. The analysis of 126 domestic donkey genomes showed that the SNP density on the sex chromosomes was dramatically lower than that on the autosomes (Supplementary Table 20). The marked differences in the genetic diversities of the X and Y chromosomes can be attributed to multiple causal factors associated with the mutation rate, N_e, demography, and evolutionary selection.

Interestingly, a similar genetic pattern has been observed in horse, which is also a polygenic species with dominant males defending large territories.

To determine the patrilineages of donkeys, we constructed a phylogenetic tree for male donkeys with SNPs mapping to the Y chromosome (Fig. 2d). The tree outlined the divergence time for the Y chromosome of wild asses and domestic donkeys. We scaled the results to a real temporal scale by setting the divergence time between E. africanus somaliensis and E. kiang to 1.61 mya, as previously reported. Our results based on Y chromosome SNPs show that the ancestral species of E. africanus and E. hemionus separated between 1.55 and 1.66 mya (95% confidence interval) (Fig. 2d and Supplementary Data 9). The common ancestral species of all domestic donkeys (E. africanus) separated into two clades (~14.67–17.93 kya (95% confidence interval), and both clades further split into N_c curves of these two groups of donkeys were indistinguishable (Fig. 2b), indicating that these donkeys were probably derived from the domestication of one common ancestral group or at least two ancestral groups with a similar biogeography. Our analyses lack enough resolution to infer whether donkeys were domesticated in one or several locations. The study of ancient DNA will be crucial to elucidate this essential question.

We also built a statistical model based on PSMC to detect the most recent possible admixture event time of ancestral species of domestic donkey from autosome-sequencing data (see “Methods” section, Supplementary Fig. 16, Supplementary Data 8). This model indicated that historical admixture events took place ~40 to 60 kya in wild ancestral species of domestic donkeys (Fig. 2c), which was concordant with the variation trend of N_e estimated with PSMC (Fig. 2a), as the population split and admixture events were expected to generate a hump in the historical population size.

Fig. 1 Population structure of domestic donkeys. a Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree of 133 donkey and ass samples constructed using 16,582,014 autosomal SNPs based on pairwise identity-by-state (IBS) genetic distances. The Asian wild asses were set as an outgroup. AWAs Asian wild asses, SOM Somali wild ass. The following acronyms are used: Ke (Kenya), Ch (China), Ni (Nigeria), Ir (Iran), Sp (Spain), Eg (Egypt), Et (Ethiopia), Ti (Tibet), Au (Australia), and Do (the European donkey whose sequence was reported in a previous study). b Principal component analysis (PCA) based on 6,825,163 autosomal SNPs identified in 126 domestic donkeys with different geographic origins. c Bayesian model-based clustering (from K = 2 to K = 4) of 126 domestic donkeys. Each vertical bar represents one individual. Each color represents one putative ancestral background, and the y-axis quantifies ancestry membership. d D-statistic tests in the form ((Population 1, Population 2), Somali wild ass), Asian wild ass) where Population 1 (P1) and Population 2 (P2) indicates donkeys from African or Eurasian countries. Data are presented as D-statistic ± 1 s.e.m. A positive D-statistic indicates that P1 shares more derived alleles with African wild ass than P2 does, while negative D-statistic indicates that P2 shares more derived alleles with African wild ass than P1 does. D-statistic and Z scores for each test can be seen in Supplementary Table 19. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Positive selection of the TBX3 gene modified the pigmentation patterns of donkeys. Coat color is one of the main traits that were selected during the domestication process. The base color of donkeys can be black or red (chestnut). There is a dominant Dun allele causing strong dilution of the pigmentation, which becomes gray or light chestnut/rose Dun, in contrast to the recessive non-Dun allele (black or chestnut, as mentioned previously). The Dun coat is considered to be the ancestral wild type, while the non-Dun coat is found exclusively in domestic animals. In this study, we compared 25 Dun donkey samples and 23 non-Dun donkey samples from different geographic regions to identify the genomic region responsible for this coloration pattern (Supplementary Data 11). We used three methods to search for the causal mutations, including the fixation index for diversity differentiation (F_ST), extended haplotype homozygosity (XP-EHH) test and reduction of diversity (ROD). The overlap of the selective signals consistently detected with the three methods revealed that the most significant region was located on the 42–43 Mb interval of chromosome 8 (Fig. 3a). The analysis based on r and Tajima’s D supported a strong selective signal in this region (Fig. 3b). By plotting the phased SNPs, the selective sweep was finely mapped to the 42,587,636–42,781,262 bp interval (Fig. 3c), which contains the T-Box 3 gene (TBX3, EAS0007835 in the Ensembl database). The only TBX3 polymorphism fully associated with the Dun phenotype was a 1 bp deletion (chr8: 42742556 CT→C). This deletion showed homozygous or heterozygous genotypes (CT/CT or CT/C) in all Dun donkeys, while all non-Dun donkeys were homozygous for the deletion (C/C). These results indicate that the non-Dun pigmentation emerged as a result of the loss of 1 bp in the TBX3 gene. This 1 bp deletion (chr8: 42742556 CT→C) is located ~18.6 kb downstream of the transcription start site of the TBX3 gene.

We investigated the biochemical basis of the Dun phenotype in donkeys. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of cross-sections of croup hairs revealed that hair pigment is differentially deposited in Dun and non-Dun donkeys (Fig. 4a). In this manner, hair pigment is evenly distributed in the hair cortex of non-Dun donkeys. Conversely, a radial and asymmetrical distribution of pigment in the hair cortex of Dun donkeys is observed. As expected, pigmentation in Dun donkeys is markedly diluted. Pigment granules in hairs from the croup of the Dun donkey are limited to approximately less than half of the cortex.
(diluted hairs). This result is consistent with observations made by Imsland et al.25 in horses. Histological sections of croup skin indicated that in Dun donkeys, pigments are densely distributed on the outward side of the hair follicle and sparsely distributed on the inward side. In contrast, in non-Dun donkeys, pigments are evenly distributed on both sides of the hair follicle (Fig. 4b). Histological sections of anagen hair follicles revealed that in Dun donkeys, the uneven distribution of pigments starts in the hair bulb, while in non-Dun donkeys, this asymmetric pattern of hair pigmentation is disrupted (Fig. 4c, Supplementary Fig. 18).

To understand the role of the 1 bp deletion presumably involved in the determination of the non-Dun phenotype, we compared the expression levels of TBX3 and other genes regulating melanocyte pigment production in Dun and non-Dun donkeys. We first assessed the genotype of the 1 bp deletion in three Dun and three non-Dun donkeys by Sanger sequencing.

\[ \text{Equus hemionus} \quad \text{Equus kiang} \quad \text{Equus hemionus onager} \]
\[ \text{Equus africanus somaliensis} \quad \text{Equus asinus} \]
This analysis demonstrated that the genotype of the 1 bp deletion was CT/C− in all Dun donkeys and C−/C− in all non-Dun donkeys. Then, we performed transcriptome sequencing of croup skin from three Dun and three non-Dun donkeys. Among the differentially expressed genes, we found that TBX3 mRNA was downregulated 1.8-fold in non-Dun skin (Fig. 4d, Supplementary Data 12), and this observation was confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR (downregulated by 2.3-fold in non-Dun skin, Fig. 4e). We also identified nine genes (DCT, KIT, KITLG, MC1R, MLANA, OCA2, SLC24A5, TRPM1, and TYR) that are known to regulate pigment production in melanocytes. These genes showed upregulated expression in non-Dun croup skin (Fig. 4d, Supplementary Data 12). This result is consistent with the increased pigment content in non-Dun hair. We also compared the expression of melanogenic or melanocyte regulatory genes in the dorsal stripe skin of Dun donkeys and skin from the corresponding location in non-Dun donkeys, but we did not obtain evidence of differential expression (Supplementary Table 22).

To illustrate the relationship between pigment deposition patterns and the profile of TBX3 mRNA expression, we carried out immunohistochemical and immunostaining assays of TBX3 expression in croup skin sections of Dun and non-Dun donkeys. Immunohistochemistry of a Dun croup skin section showed that TBX3 is expressed on only one side of the longitudinal axis of the hair follicle and that pigment is distributed on the other side (Fig. 4f). In the section of anagen hair follicles from the Dun donor, TBX3 immunostaining was observed in a subset of keratinocytes in the developing hair cortex and in the outer cuticular layer. In contrast, in the non-Dun donor, immunostaining was observed only in the outer cuticular layer of the hair follicle (Fig. 4g, Supplementary Fig. 18). These results suggest that the expression of TBX3 in cortical keratinocytes suppresses the deposition of pigment, thus generating the asymmetrical distribution pattern characteristic of Dun donkeys. It is likely that the 1 bp deletion inhibits, to some extent, the expression of TBX3 in the hair follicles of non-Dun donkeys, thus suppressing the abrogating effect of TBX3 on pigment deposition. In addition, we analyzed the selective sweep in Asian wild asses, Somali wild ass and chestnut donkeys. The gray-colored Asian wild asses and Somali wild ass were homozygous for the allele CT, suggesting that CT/CT is the ancestral genotype. The chestnut and black donkeys were both C−/C− and showed similar phased haplotypes in the selective sweep. The median-joining haplotype network (Fig. 3d) for the TBX3 region (from 42,726,257 to 42,743,062) encompassing the short indel, indicated that the chestnut and black coats were more likely derived from gray-colored domestic donkeys than directly from wild donkeys. The CT/CT allele confers the Dun phenotype, which is also ancestral, is characterized by a generalized dilution of the pigmentation except in certain areas of the body, e.g., the dark dorsal stripe. Dun coloration is assumed to enhance camouflage from predators. As previously stated, the non-Dun phenotype (no color dilution) is predominant in most domestic horses and is explained by regulatory mutations in the TBX3 gene. In the non-Dun skin of horses, the expression of the TBX3 gene is also downregulated. We detected the upregulated expression of several pigmentation genes in the skin of non-Dun donkeys.
Fig. 3 Selective scans for the Dun phenotype of donkeys. **a** Donkeys with Dun (gray) and non-Dun (black and chestnut) coat colors. **b** Whole genome scan with XP-EHH, \( F_{ST} \), and ROD. The orange arrow indicates the genome position of the strongest selective signal for the Dun phenotype. The numbers in red are the values of the three statistics for the strongest selective signal. The dashed lines represent the empirical threshold values for the three methods: 2.5 for XP-EHH, 0.3 for \( F_{ST} \), and 0.8 for ROD. **c** Nucleotide diversity and Tajima’s \( D \) corresponding to the Dun selective sweep on chromosome 8 which encompasses the TBX3 gene. **d** Plot of the haplotype structure of SNPs around the TBX3 gene in all donkeys and wild asses. AWA refers to Asian wild asses. SOM refers to Somali wild ass. Genotypes identical or different to those of our donkey assembly are represented with yellow and green colors, respectively. The red rectangle shows the position of the TBX3 gene (from 42,723,946 bp to 42,735,174 bp). The blue arrow indicates the position (42,742,556 bp) of the candidate causal 1 bp deletion for the Dun phenotype. **e** Median-joining haplotype network based on the SNPs from the 5’ end of the TBX3 gene (42,723,946 bp) to its downstream 20,000 bp (42,743,946 bp) on chromosome 8. Each circle represents one haplotype, and the size of the circle indicates the number of individuals harboring the haplotype. Lines with dashes between circles represent the mutational steps between haplotypes. One dash represents one mutation and two dashes represent two mutations. Only SNPs with minor allele frequencies \( \geq 0.1 \) were considered in this analysis.
Supplementary Data 12, Supplementary Note 6), thus showing that the molecular mechanism of pigment dilution is very similar in horses and donkeys.

Discussion
The history of donkey domestication is controversial. Previous mitochondrial data of donkey indicated the existence of two highly differentiated clades. Our mitochondrial data agree well with the existence of two clades that diverged 0.303–0.910 mya, but population structure data based on the analysis of whole-genome sequences indicate that modern Tropical African donkeys and North African & Eurasian donkeys coalesced before 6000 ybp. Interestingly, analysis of extensive mitochondrial data sets has shown that Somalian wild asses are distinct from Nubian wild asses and domestic donkeys from clades 1 and 231. These results suggest that both clades might have a Nubian-like ancestry31. Interestingly, wall paintings and other iconography of domestic donkeys began in Egypt in the fourth millennium BC, while no representations of wild asses or domestic donkeys have been found in the Horn of Africa9. Moreover, the analysis of ancient Egyptian wall paintings has shown that the process of differentiation of donkeys from their wild relatives can be tracked through the progressive disappearance, during the transition from the Old Kingdom to the Middle Kingdom, of the dark shoulder stripe of the wild ass from donkeys9. Such depictions of the gradual transformation of wild asses into domestic donkeys are completely absent in other parts of Africa9. The scarcity of archeological remains and other sources of historical information reinforces the need to sequence a broad collection of ancient and modern African samples from wild asses and domestic donkeys to clearly ascertain the geography and timing of donkey domestication.

We also observed a limited level of variability in the donkey Y chromosome, a result that is consistent with previous findings in
horses32. While horses display high levels of mitochondrial diversity, the study of Y chromosome data has evidenced low variation32. Until recently, it was thought that the decline in horse Y chromosome variability began 5500 years ago as a result of founder effects associated with the domestication process, i.e., most likely, a limited number of stallions were incorporated into the domestic stock32. However, the analysis of horse ancient DNA revealed that stallion Y chromosome diversity remained high until at least 2000 ybp34,35 with a steady reduction then35. Indeed, Byzantine horses (287–861 CE) and horses from the Great Mongolian Empire (1206–1368 CE) already displayed reduced variation (although larger than the current variation)35. More recently, in the post-Renaissance period, the predominance of certain stallion lines caused a 3.8- to 10.0-fold reduction in Y chromosome diversity35, exemplifying the strong impact of breeding and artificial selection on equine paternal diversity. The most prevalent view is that the limited paternal variability observed in modern horse breeds was mainly produced by the reproductive strategies employed during the last two millennia, which relied on the extensive use of a limited number of specific stallion lines that became predominant35. This is illustrated by the dominance of an ~1000- to 700-year-old oriental haplogroup in most modern studs34. The analysis of ancient donkey genomes will be fundamental for determining whether jack Y chromosome diversity was high at the initial stages of domestication, and then declined as a result of mating practices similar to those implemented in horse breeding.

Livestock species were domesticated at different locations and historical times, but, compared to their wild ancestral species, domestic animals display a few common features (increased tameness, existence of multiple coat colors, increased reproduction, earlier onset of sexual maturity, etc.) that were established through artificial selection36. Currently, there is much debate about whether this phenotypic convergence is explained by common mechanisms leading to genetic convergence (homologous causal genes or mutations) or by the action of distinct sets of genes37. According to Gémino and Bataillon38 and Martinez-Ainsworth and Tenaillon39, genetic convergence is a rare phenomenon in cultivated plants, and similar domestication traits are generally controlled by different loci. However, the generalized lack of knowledge about the genes involved in the domestication process makes it difficult to assess whether genetic convergence is the rule or the exception. In the current work, we demonstrated that the dilution of the coloration typical of the Dun phenotype displays very similar microscopic and macroscopic features in horses and donkeys. More importantly, we were able to demonstrate that the same gene, TBX3, is responsible for the Dun pattern of pigmentation in both species, and we also showed that the causal mutation of the non-Dun phenotype in donkeys is a 1 bp deletion with a probable regulatory effect. Similarly, in horses, the non-Dun phenotype is explained by two deletions with regulatory effects25. In another study, Vickrey et al.40 provided evidence that head crests in domestic rock pigeons (Columba livia) and ring neck doves (Streptopelia risoria) are produced by different mutations in the ephrin receptor B2 gene. Moreover, the analysis of the genomes of sheep, goats, and their wild ancestral species demonstrated that approximately half of the genes showing selection signatures in Ovis, show congruent signatures in Capra41. Here, we showed that the TBX3 gene was convergently selected in horses and donkeys. Although this particular case cannot be generalized to other phenotypes, it emphasizes the need to precisely clarify the role of convergent evolution in the fixation of Mendelian phenotypes that have been recurrently targeted by selection in multiple domestic species.

**Methods**

Animal care and research procedures were carried out in accordance with the institutional guidelines and the regulations of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Shandong Academy of Agricultural Sciences (SAAS).

**Genome sequencing**

**Sample information.** A purebred DZ donkey was used for genome sequencing (Supplementary Note 1). Genomic DNA was extracted from blood using the Puregene Tissue Core Kit A (Qiagen, Beijing, China).

**Illumina short-read sequencing.** Genomic DNA was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencing platform (Illumina, CA, USA). We constructed nine different short-insert (170, 250, 500, 800 bp) and mate-pair (2, 5, 10, 20, 40 kb) libraries and they were sequenced on 27 lanes.

**PacBio library construction and sequencing.** The preparation and sequencing of the SMRTbell DNA library were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocols (Pacific Biosciences, CA, USA). The SMRTbell library with 20 kb insert size was generated with the BluePippin system (Sage Scientific, MA, USA). Eleven SMRT cells were loaded and run on the Sequel System, which utilizes single molecule, real-time (SMRT) sequencing with fluorescently labeled nucleotides42.

**Hi-C library construction and sequencing.** To map the chromatin contacts of the DZ donkey genome, an in situ Hi-C protocol was developed as described in Rao et al. (2014)44 with customized adjustments: two to five million cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature; nuclei were permeabilized; DNA was digested with 100 units of MboI, and the ends of restriction fragments were labeled using biotinylated nucleotides and ligated in a small volume; after reversal of cross-links, ligated DNA was sheared to a size of 200–400 bp with a Covaris instrument LE220 (Covaris, MA, USA), and the biotin-containing fragments were captured on streptavidin-coated beads. The resulting DNA library was sequenced by using a BGISEQ-500 instrument to yield 100 bp paired-end sequence reads, thus providing an approximate 56x coverage of the donkey genome.

**Genome assembly.** A hybrid de novo assembly was built using both Illumina short reads and PacBio long reads. A schematic diagram of the assembly pipeline is shown in Supplementary Figs. 2 and 3. Initially, Illumina paired-end reads were assembled with SOAPdenovo v2.04.4 to construct short but accurate contigs44. The resulting Illumina contigs were combined with the PacBio reads to perform hybrid assembly with the DBG2OLC genome assembler45. The Splice module of the DBG2OLC assembler46 was utilized to generate a consensus sequence in which long and highly accurate overlapping sequences were produced by correcting errors in the longest reads using shorter reads from the same library. Subsequently, the genome assembly was polished by considering sequence information from Illumina paired-end reads. The Illumina reads were mapped into the hybrid contigs using bwa47, and the alignment was subsequently used to further correct the assembly with Pilon v1.22.48. Finally, scaffolding was carried out with BESST v2.2.7 by aligning Illumina mate pair reads. To obtain the final assembly, PBelly, a module of the PBSuite package v15.8.249, was used to close or shrink gaps using PacBio reads. The Hi-C-Pro (v2.8.0) pipeline51 was used for Hi-C data quality control using Bowtie 252 with options (−v very-sensitive -L 30–score-min L −0.6 −0.2−end-to-end -reorder) and the following parameters: MIN_INSERT_SIZE = 50; MAX_INSERT_SIZE = 1500; MIN_FRAG_SIZE = 100; MAX_FRAG_SIZE = 100,000; IGATION_SITE = GATC. Then, valid reads were extracted according to the Hi-C-Pro results. The de novo assembly of the chromosome-length donkey genome was produced using the open-source tools Juicer (v1.5)53 and 3d-dna (v170123)54 to generate a Hi-C contact matrix at a fine resolution (r = 100 kb) and pseudochromosomes with parameters (−m haploid −s 0 −c 5). The 3d-dna software was used to assemble the chromosome-length genome, combined with the PacBio assembly draft genome. To obtain the Hi-C data and information from the collinearity analysis among donkey, horse, and human genomes55, we were able to infer that the donkey genome is distributed in 30 autosomes, two sexual chromosomes (X and Y) and one mitochondrial circular chromosome.

**Scaffold anchoring.** Yang et al.56 utilized a complete set of chromosome-specific painting probes for horse to determine the regions of homology between human and donkey, and horse and donkey. In this manner, they established a genome-wide homology map of these three mammalian species. We used BLASTZ to map our assembled donkey scaffolds to the corresponding chromosomes55. By using the homology map of human, horse, and donkey as a reference for genomic coordinates, we were able to reliably assign scaffolds to donkey chromosomes. For instance, we inferred that donkey chromosome 11 is homologous to horse chromosome 17 and to human chromosome 13. Since the BLASTZ alignment results indicated that none of the donkey scaffolds map to both horse chromosome 17 and human chromosome 13, we concluded that this scaffold can be safely assigned to donkey chromosome 11.
Sex chromosome determination and assessment. The X chromosome assembly was built by considering collinearity mapping with horse X scaffolds. To assemble the donkey Y chromosome, we mapped 10 public donkey Y chromosome markers to our scaffolds. We used our resequenced mapping data to determine the average sequencing depth of sex chromosomes. The sequencing depth of autosomes was defined as "normal". If the average sequencing depth of sex chromosomes was approximately half of the average sequencing depth of autosomes, the sex chromosome depth was defined as "half". If the average sequencing depth of sex chromosomes was nearly zero, the sequencing depth was defined as "zero". For all 126 domestic donkeys, the average sequencing depths of X in female individuals were "normal" and in male accessions were "half". Meanwhile, the average depths of the Y chromosome in female accessions were "zero" and in male accessions were "half". These results confirmed the high quality of our sex chromosome assembly. The nonrecombining region of the Y chromosome (NRY) was identified according to two criteria: (i) it cannot be found in female genomes (depth = 0) and (ii) its sequencing depth must be half for at least 80% of the Y chromosome.

Assessment of the genome assembly. To assess the completeness of our genome assembly, we used two de novo repeat-finding programs, RepeatFinder 4.0464 with the following settings: 

- Match = 2; Mismatching penalty = 7; Delta = 7; PM = 80; PI = 10; Minscore = 50; MaxPeriod = 2000. TEs were identified using a combination of homology-based and de novo prediction approaches. The homology-based approach used standard databases for known repetitive sequences (e.g., RepBase17.01) and predicted TEs at both the DNA and protein levels. At the DNA level, RepeatMasker (v4.0.4) was applied by considering data from the Repbase library (http://www.repeatmasker.org/), while at the protein level we used RepeatProteinMask by considering information from the Repbase library. For de novo prediction of TEs, RepeatModeler 1.05 software (www.repeatmasker.org/RepeatModeler) was employed. In the RepeatModeler analysis, we used two de novo repeat-finding programs, i.e., RECON (1.08)66 and REPEATSCOUT (v1.0.6)52, which employ computational methods for identifying repeat element boundaries and family relationships from sequences. The results obtained with RepeatModeler were merged into a library, which was used by RepeatMasker to find homologous repeats in the donkey genome and to categorize them. In addition, we used LTRfinder62 to identify long terminal repeat (LTR) sequences in the donkey genome.

Gene annotation. To predict mRNA-encoding genes in the donkey genome, we performed both de novo and homology-based predictions. For the homology-based predictions, we used protein data from five species (Homo sapiens, Bos taurus, E. caballus, Sus scrofa, and Mus musculus) that were retrieved from the Ensembl (release 64) database and mapped to the donkey genome with tblastn (version 2.2.26)67 by considering an E-value of 1e−5 as the threshold of significance. Subsequently, we selected the most homologous protein for each genomic locus showing multiple matches. To make de novo predictions, we used AUGUSTUS (version 2.5.5)64 and GENSCAN (version 1.0)68. The gene model parameters for AUGUSTUS were trained using homologous horse protein sequences, while the parameters for GENSCAN were derived from human studies. Genes with coding lengths smaller than 150 bp were filtered out to reduce false positives. GLEAN software (http://sourceforge.net/projects/glean-gene/) was employed to integrate evidence from the AUGUSTUS and GENSCAN predictions to generate a consensus gene set. To aid gene prediction, samples from 13 tissues (brain, heart, kidney, liver, lung, muscle, skin, spleen, stomach, blood, ovary, epididymis, and testis) retrieved from three donkeys were used to construct a normalized cDNA library. Transcriptome sequencing was performed with the HiSeq 2000 platform. Transcriptome reads were mapped onto the genome to refine gene structures and in combination with GLEAN to yield the final protein-encoding gene set.

Gene function annotation. Gene functions were assigned according to the best match of the BLASTP alignment to the SwissProt and Translated EMBL Nucleotide Sequence Data Library (TrEMBL databases) [UniProt release 2011-01]64, Motifs and domains in the protein-coding genes were determined with InterProScan (55.0.37)65, which searches several protein databases, including ProDom (ProDom, RRID: SCR-006969), ProSite (ProSite, RRID: SCR-003457), COILS (COILS, RRID: SCR-008440), SignalP (SignalP-3.0; RRID: SCR_015644), Phobius (PHOBiUS, RRID: SCR_015643) and TMHMM (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/). Gene Ontology identifiers69 for each gene were obtained from the corresponding InterPro entry. We also mapped the reference genes to the KEGG pathway database70 and identified the best match for each gene.

Transcriptome sequencing

Animal material and RNA isolation. We collected 17 samples corresponding to 13 distinct tissues (Supplementary Fig. 5) from three donkeys to perform RNA-seq (Supplementary Table 16). Samples were trimmed and cut into small pieces, cleaned with RNase-free and DNase-free water and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen for storage until RNA isolation. Total RNA from each tissue sample was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quality of RNA samples was evaluated with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). RNA samples with a minimum RIN > 7.0 and a 28S/18S ratio > 1.0 were selected for sequencing.

Construction and sequencing of cDNA libraries. First, 200 ng of total RNA were purified by using oligo-dT beads, and then, poly(A)-containing mRNA was fragmented into small pieces with Fragmentation Buffer (Ambion, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA). First-strand cDNA was generated by employing First Strand Master Mix and Super Script II reverse transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The reaction conditions were as follows: 25 °C for 10 min, 42 °C for 50 min, and 70 °C for 15 min. Then, Second Strand Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. Subsequently, poly(A)-containing mRNA was fragmented into small pieces with fragmentation buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The 3′-adenylated DNA, RNA Index Adapter and Ligation Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were mixed and incubated at 30 °C for 10 min. The end-repaired DNA was purified with AMPure XP Beads (Beckman Coulter, CA, USA). Then, A-Tailing Mix (ThermoFisher Scientific) was added and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The final cDNA fragments were purified with AMPure XP Beads (Beckman Coulter, USA). The resulting library was quantified in two ways: the average molecule length was determined using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA), and the library was quantified by real-time quantitative PCR (TagMan Probe). Quantified libraries that passed the quality control were first amplified within the flow cell on the cBot instrument for cluster generation (HiSeq 4000 PE Cluster Kit, Illumina). Subsequently, the clustered flow cell was loaded onto the HiSeq 4000 Sequencer for paired-end sequencing (HiSeq® 4000 SBS Kit, Illumina) with a recommended read length of 100 bp.

Gene expression. Transcript reads were mapped to the reference genome with Bowtie (version 2.2.5)79. Gene expression levels were calculated with RSEM (v1.2.12)71.

Resequencing and identification of polymorphisms

Collection of samples. We collected blood samples from 83 domestic donkeys and two Asian wild asses encompassing four continents (Africa, Europe, Oceania, and Asia) (Supplementary Table 19). In addition, we obtained resequencing data for three Asian wild asses (accession numbers: SRR1562345, ERR659032-ERR659069, and ERR654542-ERR654612), one Somali wild ass (accession numbers: ERR650540-ERR650547, and ERR650570-ERR650703) and one domestic donkey (accession number: SRA082086) reported in previous studies10,13,14 and for 42 domestic donkeys and one kiang donkey accessible from the National Genomics Data Center (https://bigd.big.ac.cn/bioproject/browse/PRJCA001131). In total, 111 samples from 133 individuals were available to carry out population genomics analyses.

Sequencing, mapping, and variant calling. Genomic DNA was extracted from fresh blood and sequenced on the HiSeq 4000 platform. We cleaned the Illumina NGS raw data to remove adapters, trim low-quality bases and remove "N" sites with Trimmomatic (V.0.36)72. Subsequently, clean reads were mapped to our donkey genome using BWA (version 0.7.10-r789)73. High-quality mapped reads (mapped, nonduplicated reads with mapping quality ≥ 20) were selected with SAMTools (version 1.3.1) and the following commands: “-view -F 4 -q 20” and "mdup"74. For all 111 samples, mapping statistics based on high-quality mapped reads of each accession included (I) the coverage depth of each chromosomal position (SAMTools command “-depth”) and (II) the proportion of the donkey genome covered by different read depths. For autosomal and X chromosomes, the region covered by at least two reads in ≥80% of all donkey accessions was defined as the "effectively covered" portion of the donkey genome.

Only high-quality mapped reads were used for variant calling with GATK (version: 3.3.0-g37228af7)8. BAM files were sorted and marked as PCR
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duplications with Picard (version: 1.117, http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). There is no well-annotated SNP and short-indel database for donkeys, so it was not feasible to use the Analysis of Baseline Quality Score Recalibrator (BQSR) and Realigner options of GATK. To carry out variant calling, we used the command “HaplotypeCaller”, which calls SNPs and indels simultaneously via local de novo assembly of haplotypes in an active region. Applying the “hard filtering” method, we obtained an initial set of high-confidence SNPs and indels. The parameters of “hard filtering” were used by default, i.e., for SNPs we used QC < 2.0, FS < 200.0, and MQ < 40.0, and MQRankSum < -12.5, while for short indels, we considered QD < 2.0, FS > 200.0, and ReadPosRankSum < -20.0. Subsequently, the original BAM files were analyzed with the BQSR and Indel Realigner options of GATK by using the set of high-confidence SNPs and indels. This dataset included 133 accessions (six Asian wild asses, one Somali wild ass, and 126 domestic donkeys) and it was considered the raw confidence variant set.

To obtain high-quality variant sets, the raw confidence variants were filtered based on well-established criteria. For autosomal and X chromosomes, (i) only variants present within the “effective covered region” were kept; (ii) only biallelic variants were taken into consideration; (iii) genotype calls were deemed successful if the read depth was ≥2 and ≤80 (otherwise they were classified as missing); (iv) positions with more than 80% heterozygous calls or more than 20% missing genotype calls were discarded; and (v) both alleles of each variant were required to be present in the homozygous state in at least one individual. In contrast, the NRY variants of 68 male accessions were filtered according to the criteria: (i) only variants in NRY were kept; (ii) only biallelic variants were considered; (iii) genotype calls were considered successful if the read depth was ≥2 and ≤50 (otherwise they were classified as missing); (iv) all of the genotype calls needed to be homozygous; and (v) positions with more than 20% missing genotype calls were discarded. After these filtering steps, the remaining variants segregated in our data set were considered high-confidence variants. The polymorphic variants of 126 domestic donkey accessions were directly extracted from the high-confidence data set. To assess SNP quality, 10 primers were designed with Primer-BLAST (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/index.cgi?LINK_LOC=PrimerFactsSheet). Seven accessory variants were designed for NRY scaffolds (Eurasia Scaffold 1) and one indel CT/C in Scaffold 236, rooted on Asian wild asses and visualized with ITOL (http://itol.embl.de/).

Sex chromosomes. SNPs located in the NRY portion of the Y chromosome were used. Male samples with more than 20% missing genotype calls were removed. The neighboring phylogenetic tree was constructed using Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood (PAML) (http://evolution.genetics.washington.edu/tagger/tagger.html), rooted on Asian wild asses and visualized with iTOL (http://itol.embl.de/). Sex chromosomes. SNPs (only females were taken into consideration) were analyzed with VCFTools (v0.1.13)27. In contrast, for NRY, we used an in-house Perl script. For each chromosome, the sum of the per-site θ divided by the value of the “effective covered region” yielded the average chromosomal θ (per-bp θ). The θ calculation was based on the SNPs of 126 domestic donkeys.

Analysis of the genetic diversity within each genetic group. Based on the population structure data (phylogenetic tree, PCA, and Admixture), all 126 domestic donkeys were divided into three groups: Tropical African donkeys, North African & Eurasian donkeys, and Australian donkeys. We took into consideration that the Australian sample was smaller than those representing the other two groups and, moreover, that it had a recent European origin, so the Australian group was not used in the diversity analysis. The θ values of the Tropical Africa and North Africa & Eurasia groups were inferred with the method explained previously. Watterson’s estimator (θw) was calculated as defined in ref. 82. The magnitude of the LD was estimated with PopLDDecay software (v3.40)84.

Calculation of the D-statistic. To study the genetic relationship between SOM and domestic donkeys, we computed D-statistics85,86. First, we selected non-admixed samples (Admixture analysis) from Kenya (which is close to the geographic distribution of SOM), Iran, and Australia. The D-statistic calculation was performed with ADMIXTOOLS (v5.1)86. The SNP matrix was converted to EIGENSOFT format by using the eGene and CONVERT bioinformatic tools86. The D-statistics were calculated in the form of Tajima’s D (PSMR) and Forster (FST) (Population 2, African wild ass), Asian wild ass (Population 1 (P1) and Population 2 (P2) were represented by donkeys from African or Eurasian countries.

Demographic history of donkeys and asses. The demographic history of the Asian wild asses, Somali wild ass, and domestic donkeys was inferred by using the PSMC model19,38. In the case of domestic donkeys, one sample per country (those with the maximum coverage phad) was selected for PSMC analysis. The parameters were set as follows: θ = 300, FST = +5, -p = 4 - 25, θ = 4 + 6. The generation time was set to 8 years, and the neutral mutation rate μ = 7,242 x 10^-9 mutations per generation and site in accordance with previous reports1,38. Bootstrapping was performed 100 times for each sample (Supplementary Data 1).

The sequential Markov coalescent implemented in SMCo++ software (V1.13)20 was used to estimate the demographic history of donkeys belonging to the Tropical Africa and North Africa & Eurasia groups. For each group, 10 random samples were selected and 10 replicated runs were performed. The non-WGS effective covered regions were masked by using the parameter “vcf2smc -m”.* A mutation rate of μ = 1 x 10^-8 per site per generation and the generation time of 8 years were assumed1,38 to convert coalescence generations into a time-scale.

Phylogenetic tree of the Y chromosome. We transformed the genotypes of the Y chromosome to NEXUS format to perform phylogenetic tree inference with BEAST 2 (v2.5.2)38. BEAST 2 software was run with default parameters and the Yule Process89 as tree prior.

Potential admixture events in ancestral species of donkeys and asses. To estimate the admixture event time in the donkey population, we performed a PSMC analysis19 and captured the hump structure in the estimated population size, which indicates when the admixture event took place. We verified the method with 70 experiments of simulated data generated with ms software80,90. For each experiment, we assumed an 8-year generation time. The ancestral population split into two populations with equal sizes at 200 kya. The two resulting populations merged into a single population at an admixture time ranging from 5 to 100 kya.

The parameters for ms command lines, real admixture time and estimated admixture time are shown in Supplementary Table 23.

Selection scan for coat color. Photos and records91 were used to determine the coat color of 44 donkeys, which were divided into two groups, i.e., Dun (23 gray donkeys) and non-Dun (21 black donkeys). The selection analysis involved these two groups and included the calculation of three statistics: (i) cross population XP-EHH92; (ii) FST93; (iii) ROD_Dun–non_Dun (1−Dun/Non-Dun). The windows were set to 20 kb with a 10 kb step. The Dun, Tajima’s D94, and π were calculated using VCFTools92. In contrast, ROD_Dun–non_Dun was computed using an in-house Perl script, and the XP-EHH metric was estimated with selcans96. The empirical thresholds of selective signal values for XP- EHH, FST, and ROD were empirically set as 2.5, 0.3, and 0.8, respectively. The overlap of the selected regions was generated with the three methods indicated that the strongest signal was located on chromosome 8 (~42.6 Mb). Other signals above the thresholds indicated previously were considered as secondary candidate regions. In the two compared groups (Dun vs. non-Dun), we calculated π and Tajima’s D in the major selective sweep region on chromosome 8 (~42.6 Mb). The SNPs and the indels mapping to the Dun region were compared with non-Dun and Dun genomes. We found only one indel CT/C (~ located at chr8:42,742,556 (CT was the genotype of the reference genome), which segregated perfectly with the non-Dun phenotype. The SNPs around the indel were phased with SHAPEIT (v2.790)90 to investigate haplotype structure in Dun and non-Dun donkeys. Median-joining haplotype networks based on the phased SNPs (only SNPs with MAF ≥0.1 were considered) were found from the 5′ end of the TLR3 gene (chr8:42,723,946) to the 20,000 bp downstream (chr8:42,743,946) were built with PopART (v1.7)90. The genomic segments located 1000 bp upstream and downstream of the CT/C→indel position (chr8:42,742,556) were mapped to the human genome with BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Enhancers located within this region were identified with GeneCards (http://www.genecards.org).

Histological characterization of the Dun phenotype in donkeys and elucidation of the molecular basis

Sample collection. We selected three healthy non-Dun donkeys that were ~18 months old (Supplementary Fig. 18) from the Black Donkey Research Institute, Shandong Province. We also selected three healthy Dun donkeys, which were also ~18 months old, from a farm located in the Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region. We collected 5 ml of blood from each of the six donkeys via venipuncture into tubes with anticoagulant, and samples were stored at 4°C until DNA extraction. We collected croup skin samples from these six donkeys with a mini-scalpel, which segregated perfectly with the non-Dun phenotype. The SNPs in the croup skin samples were compared with non-Dun and Dun genomes. We found only one indel CT/C (~ located at chr8:42,742,556 (CT was the genotype of the reference genome), which segregated perfectly with the non-Dun phenotype. The SNPs around the indel were phased with SHAPEIT (v2.790)90 to investigate haplotype structure in Dun and non-Dun donkeys. Median-joining haplotype networks based on the phased SNPs (only SNPs with MAF ≥0.1 were considered) were found from the 5′ end of the TLR3 gene (chr8:42,723,946) to the 20,000 bp downstream (chr8:42,743,946) were built with PopART (v1.7)90. The genomic segments located 1000 bp upstream and downstream of the CT/C→indel position (chr8:42,742,556) were mapped to the human genome with BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Enhancers located within this region were identified with GeneCards (http://www.genecards.org).
Genotyping of the 1 bp deletion in the six donkeys. DNA was extracted from blood samples with the ReliaGene Blood DNA System (TIANGEN, Beijing, China). The 1 bp deletion was genotyped by Sanger sequencing on an ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The primer sequences used were as follows: forward primer 5′-TAAAGGCTCAGCTCTCTCCA-3′ and reverse primer 5′-AAAGATGACCGTGCAGGATA-3′.

Transcriptome sequencing of the six croup skin samples. RNA extraction and transcriptome sequencing were performed according to the approach described in the part of Transcriptome sequencing. Differentially expressed genes between Dun and non-Dun donkeys were identified with the DESeq2 package (v1.28.1) from Bioconductor. Genes were identified as differentially expressed if their expression level differed by two-fold. Gene expression is represented in fragments per kilobase million (FPKM).

RT-qPCR for the TBX3 gene. One microgram of RNA from each of the six croup skin samples was used to synthesise first-strand cDNA with the Transcript First-Strand cDNA Synthesis SuperMix Kit (TransGen, Beijing, China). Quantitative RT-qPCR was performed in a Roche LightCycler 480 II Real-Time PCR Detection System (Roche, Swiss) device with the Takara SYBR Premix Ex Taq II Kit (TAKARA, Dalian, China). The β-actin locus was used as the reference gene, and relative expression was calculated with the 2−ΔΔCT method. The primer sequences used for qRT-qPCR analysis of TBX3 expression were as follows: forward primer 5′-GAAGCCAAAAGACACTTTGGGAT-3′ and reverse primer 5′-GCCATTTAAGGATCGTGCCTA-3′.

Histology and immunofluorescence. Croup skin samples stored in 4% paraformaldehyde were washed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), dehydrated with a gradient of alcohol solutions (50% → 70% → 80% → 95% → 100%), cleared with xylene and infiltrated with paraffin wax. Samples were embedded in paraffin and sectioned into 4-micrometer-thick tissue sections. We also collected hair from the croup skin samples of Dun and non-Dun donkeys and made transverse sections of the samples. Both the hair and croup skin sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence were carried out with a rabbit antibody against TBX3 (Bioss, China, bs-10266R), diluted at 1:200, by following a protocol involving the removal of wax from sections, antigen retrieval with Tris-EDTA (pH 8.0) in a microwave oven, and quenching autofluorescence. Sections were incubated with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) to stain the nucleus and were imaged with a fluorescence microscope.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability
Data from whole-genome sequencing, resequencing and transcriptome sequencing have been deposited in the GenBank database under BioProject accession PRJNA431818. Sanger sequences of the Dun, non-dun, and non-dun2 alleles from horse can be accessed with accession numbers KT896508, KT896509 and KT896510, respectively. In addition, we obtained resequencing data for 3 Asian wild asses (accession numbers: SRR1562345, ERR650932-ERR650969, ERR669419-ERR669469), one Somali wild ass (accession numbers: ERR650932-ERR654612, ERR669419-ERR669469), one domestic donkey accessible from the National Genomics Data Center (https://bigd.big.ac.cn/scripts-for-donkey-genomes-sequencing). Custom Perl scripts were deposited into Github (https://github.com/JINPENG-WANG/codeavailability). One microgram of RNA from each of the six croup skin samples was used to synthesize first-strand cDNA with the Transcript First-Strand cDNA Synthesis SuperMix Kit (TransGen, Beijing, China). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed in a Roche LightCycler 480 II Real-Time PCR Detection System (Roche, Swiss) device with the Takara SYBR Premix Ex Taq II Kit (TAKARA, Dalian, China). The β-actin locus was used as the reference gene, and relative expression was calculated with the 2−ΔΔCT method. The primer sequences used for qRT-qPCR analysis of TBX3 expression were as follows: forward primer 5′-GAAGCCAAAAGACACTTTGGGAT-3′ and reverse primer 5′-GCCATTTAAGGATCGTGCCTA-3′.

Histology and immunofluorescence. Croup skin samples stored in 4% paraformaldehyde were washed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), dehydrated with a gradient of alcohol solutions (50% → 70% → 80% → 95% → 100%), cleared with xylene and infiltrated with paraffin wax. Samples were embedded in paraffin and sectioned into 4-micrometer-thick tissue sections. We also collected hair from the croup skin samples of Dun and non-Dun donkeys and made transverse sections of the samples. Both the hair and croup skin sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence were carried out with a rabbit antibody against TBX3 (Bioss, China, bs-10266R), diluted at 1:200, by following a protocol involving the removal of wax from sections, antigen retrieval with Tris-EDTA (pH 8.0) in a microwave oven, and quenching autofluorescence. Sections were incubated with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) to stain the nucleus and were imaged with a fluorescence microscope.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability
Data from whole-genome sequencing, resequencing and transcriptome sequencing have been deposited in the GenBank database under BioProject accession PRJNA431818. Sanger sequences of the Dun, non-dun, and non-dun2 alleles from horse can be accessed with accession numbers KT896508, KT896509 and KT896510, respectively. In addition, we obtained resequencing data for 3 Asian wild asses (accession numbers: SRR1562345, ERR650932-ERR650969, ERR669419-ERR669469), one Somali wild ass (accession numbers: ERR650932-ERR654612, ERR669419-ERR669469), one domestic donkey accessible from the National Genomics Data Center (https://bigd.big.ac.cn/bioproject/browse/PRJCA001131). All relevant data are available from the authors. Source data are provided with this paper.

Code availability
Custom Perl scripts were deposited into Github (https://github.com/JINPENG-WANG/scripts-for-donkey-genes-sequencing).
