Epigenome-wide gene–age interaction study reveals reversed effects of MORN1 DNA methylation on survival between young and elderly oral squamous cell carcinoma patients
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DNA methylation serves as a reversible and prognostic biomarker for oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) patients. It is unclear whether the effect of DNA methylation on OSCC overall survival varies with age. As a result, we performed a two-phase gene–age interaction study of OSCC prognosis on an epigenome-wide scale using the Cox proportional hazards model. We identified one CpG probe, cg11676291 in MORN1, whose effect was significantly modified by age (HRdiscovery = 1.018, p = 4.07 × 10−07, FDR-q = 3.67 × 10−02; HRvalidation = 1.058, p = 8.09 × 10−03; HRcombined = 1.019, p = 7.36 × 10−10). Moreover, there was an antagonistic interaction between hypomethylation of cg11676291 in MORN1 and age (HRinteraction = 0.284; 95% CI, 0.135–0.597; p = 9.04 × 10−04). The prognosis of OSCC patients was well discriminated by the prognostic score incorporating cg11676291–MORN1–age interaction (HRhigh vs. low = 2.40–5.60, p = 1.93 × 10−09). By adding 24 significant gene–age interactions using a looser criterion, we significantly improved the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of the model at 3- and 5-year prognostic prediction (AUC3-year = 0.80, AUC5-year = 0.79, C-index = 0.75). Our study identified a significant interaction between cg11676291 in MORN1 and age.
and age on OSCC survival, providing a potential therapeutic target for OSCC patients.
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**Introduction**

Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is the most common subtype of head and neck malignancies as well as the most prevalent oral cancer worldwide (1), with an estimated 377,713 new cases and 177,757 deaths in 2020 (2). Despite recent breakthroughs in diagnosis and therapy, the prognosis of OSCC is still poor, with a 5-year survival rate of approximately 50% (3). As a complex disease, the progression of OSCC may be driven by a complex association pattern between genetic and environmental factors, i.e., gene–environment interaction (4).

DNA methylation is a reversible epigenetic modification without changing the DNA sequence (5). Nevertheless, its aberrant alterations play a decisive role in the occurrence and progression of various cancers (6, 7), including OSCC (8). Emerging evidence has demonstrated that DNA methylation may potentially serve as a prognostic biomarker of OSCC and a target for improved therapy (9, 10). However, the majority of these previous studies merely focused on identifying DNA methylation with marginal effect but overlooked gene–environment interaction. Age is a well-recognized environmental risk factor for the progression of many cancers (11), including OSCC (12, 13). Our previous gene–age interaction study of lung cancer revealed the reversed effects of PRODH DNA methylation on survival between young and elderly patients (14). Anyway, whether the effect of DNA methylation on OSCC survival varies with age remains largely unclear.

As a result, we hypothesized that there could be a gene–age interaction associated with OSCC survival at the DNA methylation level, and the age-specific epigenetic signatures could be more precise for therapeutic target discovery and prognostic prediction accuracy. Thus, we performed a two-phase epigenome-wide gene–age interaction study using subjects in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) as the discovery phase and subjects in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) as the validation phase to identify age-specific, prognostic epigenetic biomarkers. A series of downstream analyses, i.e., sensitivity analysis, methylation–transcription analysis, gene network analysis, and immune cell composition analysis, were also conducted to explore the potential functions of the identified biomarkers.

**Methods**

**Study populations**

The level-3 TCGA-HNSCC DNA methylation data were downloaded from the UCSC XENA browser. Only samples whose tumors occurred in the oral cavity, tongue, floor of the mouth, buccal mucosa, hard palate, alveolar ridge, or lip were included in the discovery phase. In the validation phase, we retrieved and obtained OSCC patients’ clinical and DNA methylation data from the GEO (GSE75537) for further analysis.

**Quality control process for DNA methylation data**

DNA methylation was assessed by the Illumina Infinium Human Methylation 450 Array. We used the R package CHAMP to process level-3 data from TCGA and the GEO. Ineligible CpG probes were removed if they met any of the quality control (QC) criteria: (i) non-CpG probes, (ii) common SNPs located in the position of the CpG probe or 10 bp flanking regions, (iii) cross-reactive probes, (iv) sex chromosome probes, (v) deletion rates >20%, and (vi) failed QC in either TCGA or GEO cohorts. Types I and II probe corrections were normalized using BMIQ normalization. They were further adjusted for batch effects (ComBat function in R package sva) according to the best pipeline by a comparative study (15). Supplementary Figure S1 describes the details of the QC process. Subjects with no overall survival time were also removed. Finally, 372 subjects (Table 1) and 361,060 CpG probes remained in the subsequent association analysis.

**Abbreviations:** OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; QC, quality control; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphisms; BMIQ, Beta-Mixture Quantile; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; FDR, false discovery rate; SD, standard deviation; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; GO, Gene Ontology; TIIICs, tumor-infiltrating immune cells; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; C-Index, concordance index; BoCI, boundary of 95% CI.
Study populations and gene expression data

In TCGA cohort, 307 OSCC patients had complete mRNA sequencing data. TCGA mRNA sequencing data processing and quality control were performed by TCGA working group. Level-3 mRNA expression data were downloaded from the UCSC XENA database and further checked for quality. The expression value of each gene was transformed on a log2 scale before association analysis.

TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical descriptions of subjects in the discovery phase (TCGA), the validation phase (GEO), and the combined dataset, respectively.

| Characteristic          | TCGA (N = 319) | GEO (N = 53) | Combined (N = 372) |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Age (years)             | 61.76 ± 13.15 | 49.36 ± 13.47 | 59.99 ± 13.87     |
| Gender (N (%))          |               |             |                   |
| Male                    | 212 (66.5)    | 42 (79.3)   | 254 (68.3)        |
| Female                  | 107 (33.5)    | 11 (20.7)   | 118 (31.7)        |
| Smoking status (N (%))  |               |             |                   |
| Never                   | 89 (28.7)     | –           | 89 (28.7)         |
| Former                  | 125 (40.3)    | –           | 125 (40.3)        |
| Current                 | 96 (31.0)     | –           | 96 (31.0)         |
| Unknown                 | 9             | 53          | 62                |
| T stage (N (%))         |               |             |                   |
| T1                      | 19 (6.0)      | 13 (24.5)   | 32 (8.7)          |
| T2                      | 100 (31.6)    | 15 (28.3)   | 115 (31.2)        |
| T3                      | 79 (25.0)     | 12 (22.7)   | 91 (24.7)         |
| T4                      | 113 (35.8)    | 13 (24.5)   | 126 (34.1)        |
| Tx                      | 5 (1.6)       | 0 (0)       | 5 (1.3)           |
| Unknown                 | 3             | 0           | 3                 |
| N stage (N (%))         |               |             |                   |
| N0                      | 165 (52.2)    | 25 (47.2)   | 190 (51.5)        |
| N1                      | 57 (18.0)     | 8 (15.1)    | 65 (17.6)         |
| N2                      | 83 (26.3)     | 20 (37.7)   | 103 (27.9)        |
| N3                      | 2 (0.6)       | 0 (0)       | 2 (0.5)           |
| Nx                      | 9 (2.9)       | 0 (0)       | 9 (2.5)           |
| Unknown                 | 3             | 0           | 3                 |
| M stage (N (%))         |               |             |                   |
| M0                      | 302 (95.6)    | 45 (84.9)   | 347 (94.0)        |
| MI                      | 2 (0.6)       | 0 (0)       | 2 (0.5)           |
| Mx                      | 12 (3.8)      | 8 (15.1)    | 20 (5.5)          |
| Unknown                 | 3             | 0           | 3                 |
| Clinical stage (N (%))  |               |             |                   |
| Early (I–II)            | 88 (28.3)     | 17 (34.0)   | 105 (29.1)        |
| Late (III–IV)           | 223 (71.7)    | 33 (66.0)   | 256 (70.9)        |
| Unknown                 | 8             | 3           | 11                |
| Race (N (%))            |               |             |                   |
| White                   | 276 (89.3)    | –           | 276 (89.3)        |
| Other                   | 33 (10.7)     | –           | 33 (10.7)         |
| Unknown                 | 10            | 53          | 63                |
| Survival months         |               |             |                   |
| Mean (95% CI)           | 95.0 (93.8–96.3) | 71.2 (60.5–81.8) | 91.6 (89.6–93.7) |
| Death (%)               | 148 (46.4)    | 15 (28.3)   | 163 (43.8)        |

Restricted mean survival time is provided because the median was not available.

Statistical analysis

A two-phase gene–age interaction study

The statistical analysis pipeline was depicted in Figure 1, showing a two-phase study to examine gene–age interactions associated with OSCC overall survival on the epigenome-wide scale. In the discovery phase, the interaction between DNA methylation and age on overall survival was tested in the
TCGA cohort using a histology-stratified Cox proportional hazards model adjusted for age, smoking status, gender, and TNM stage. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for incremental methylation per 1% level. Multiple test corrections were performed by controlling the false discovery rate (FDR) at the 5% level, and further replications were performed in the validation phase. Significant probes were finally retained if they met all the following criteria: (i) FDR-\(q\) \leq 0.05 in the discovery phase; (ii) \(p\) \leq 0.05 in the validation phase; and (iii) consistent effect direction across two phases. Patients were excluded if their methylation values were out of range to mean \(\pm 3 \times\) standard deviations (SD) in the sensitivity analysis. Kaplan–Meier survival curves were used to describe the difference in survival between hypomethylated and hypermethylated patients.

**Functional analysis of CpG probes with significant interactions**

Potential genes trans-regulated by epigenetic biomarkers in TCGA were identified by genome-wide methylation–transcription correlation analysis using a linear regression model adjusted for the same covariates aforementioned. Functional annotation and gene enrichment pathway analysis (FDR-\(q\) \leq 0.05) of the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) and Gene Ontology (GO) for potential trans-regulated genes were performed using the R Package WebGestaltR. Furthermore, these genes associated with overall survival were selected for gene network analysis using the Cytoscape application plugin GeneMANIA (16). Gene hubs which highly connected to nodes in the module were defined as those having the highest connectivity. To explore the difference in tumor immune cell subtypes among subgroups, we quantified the composition of 22 tumor-infiltrating immune cells (TIICs) using CIBERSORT, a linear support vector regression-based deconvolution algorithm (17).

**Development of a prognostic prediction model**

By using a looser criterion (FDR-\(q\) \leq 0.10 in the discovery phase; \(p\) \leq 0.05 in the validation phase), more gene–age interactions were further selected and incorporated into a prognostic prediction model of OSCC. The accuracy of prediction was represented using the time-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and was measured by the area under the ROC curve (AUC) using the R package survivalROC. The 95% CI and \(p\)-value for AUC increments were calculated from 1,000 bootstrap samples. The concordance index (C-index), an average accuracy of predictive survival across follow-up years, was also calculated to estimate predictive performance.

In order to illustrate the different DNA methylation effects on survival in populations of different ages, we used two classification criteria to define young and elderly patients: (1) the UN standard age of 65 as the threshold (18), (2) the boundary of 95% CI (BoCI) threshold calculated based on the HR of CpG probe. Furthermore, continuous variables were summarized as mean \(\pm\) standard deviation (SD), while categorized variables were described by frequency (\(n\)) and proportion (%) in description analysis. All statistical analyses...
were performed in R version 4.0.3 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results
A significant gene–age interaction was identified in the two-phase study

In the discovery phase, four gene–age interactions were identified with FDR-adj ≤ 0.05, of which only one remained significant (p ≤ 0.05) in the validation phase and showed a more robust association in the combined data (Supplementary Table S1). The CpG probe, cg11676291_MORN1, located in the MORN Repeat Containing 1 (MORN1) (Supplementary Table S2), together with age, showed a significant interaction effect on OSCC survival (HR_interaction = 1.018, 95% CI: 1.011–1.025, p = 4.07 × 10⁻⁵, FDR-q = 3.67 × 10⁻²) in the discovery phase; HR_interaction = 1.058, 95% CI: 1.015–1.103, p = 8.09 × 10⁻⁴ in the validation phase; HR_interaction = 1.019, 95% CI: 1.013–1.025, p = 7.36 × 10⁻⁴ in the combined data). Furthermore, in the sensitivity analysis, by removing outliers in the methylation data, the significant interaction effect was again confirmed in the two-phase study (Supplementary Table S3). Stratified analyses by gender, TNM stage, and smoking status showed no significant heterogeneity among those subgroups. Meanwhile, the association between cg11676291_MORN1–age interaction and overall survival remained significant in all subgroups (Supplementary Figure S2), except for the current smoker subgroup with a very limited sample size (n < 100).

Statistical interaction between two factors can be defined as a phenomenon where the effect of one factor is modified by another one (19). Combined with our results, we observed that the effect of cg11676291_MORN1 was modified by age, where the CpG probe changed from a protective factor for OSCC survival in young patients to a risk factor in elderly patients (Figure 2A). Thus, age was obviously a modifier of the association between cg11676291_MORN1 and overall survival. By categorizing patients into young and elderly groups according to UN criteria (<65 vs. >65 years) or BoCI boundaries (<57 vs. >64 years) in the combined data, both stable results showed the reversed effects of cg11676291_MORN1 between two age subgroups (Supplementary Table S4). Hypermethylation of cg11676291_MORN1 favored survival in young OSCC patients (HR_UN = 0.900; 95% CI: 0.838–0.967; p = 3.89 × 10⁻³, HR_boCI = 0.849; 95% CI: 0.760–0.950; p = 4.23 × 10⁻⁴) but was not conducive for survival in elderly OSCC patients (HR_UN = 1.345; 95% CI: 1.127–1.605; p = 1.04 × 10⁻³, HR_boCI = 1.240; 95% CI: 1.068–1.440; p = 4.71 × 10⁻³) (Figure 2B). Based on the optimal cutoff value of cg11676291_MORN1, Kaplan–Meier curves also confirmed the reversed effects across two age groups (HR_high vs. low = 0.573; 95% CI: 0.377–0.871; p = 9.10 × 10⁻³ in young OSCC patients; HR_high vs. low = 4.217; 95% CI: 1.782–9.984; p = 1.06 × 10⁻³ in elderly OSCC patients) based on BoCI criteria (Figure 2C).

These results indicated that young OSCC patients with hypermethylation of cg11676291_MORN1 had better survival, while the conclusion only held for the elderly OSCC patients with hypomethylation of cg11676291_MORN1.

In addition, we also assessed the interaction pattern of cg11676291_MORN1 methylation level (low vs. high) and age (young vs. elderly) on OSCC survival using the group with the highest survival rate (young patients with cg11676291_MORN1 hypermethylation) as a reference (Supplementary Table S5). The main effect of cg11676291_MORN1 hypomethylation was HR = 1.629 (95% CI: 0.935–2.839), and the main effect of advanced age was HR = 2.461 (95% CI: 1.463–4.138). However, their joint effect was HR = 1.138 (95% CI: 0.635–2.042), which was less than the product of the two main effects (1.629 × 2.461 = 4.009), indicating there was an antagonistic interaction between cg11676291_MORN1 hypomethylation and advanced age (HR_interaction = 0.284; 95% CI: 0.135–0.597; p = 9.04 × 10⁻³).

Genome-wide trans-regulation analyses of cg11676291_MORN1

Genome-wide methylation–transcription analysis by the linear regression model indicated that the expressions of 586 genes were significantly trans-regulated by cg11676291_MORN1 (Figure 3A). Among them, 50 genes were further significantly associated with OSCC overall survival, which were evaluated by the Cox proportional hazards model adjusted for the same covariates aforementioned. The gene network identified two hub genes (LCE3D and LCE2B) with the highest degree of connectivity (Figure 3B). Meanwhile, these epigenetically trans-regulated genes were significantly enriched in 22 KEGG pathways (Figure 3C), including several cancer-related pathways. In addition, GO enrichment analysis identified 71 biological process pathways (Figure 3D), 10 cellular component pathways (Figure 3E), and 16 molecular functional pathways (Figure 3F). Moreover, MORN1 expression was significantly (P_p = 0, q = 1 = 1.88 × 10⁻² and P_p = 1, q = 1 = 2.75 × 10⁻²) associated with OSCC overall survival as shown by Kaplan–Meier survival curves (Supplementary Figure S3) which was confirmed by the Harrington–Fleming test that was designed for the late or delayed effect of the variable during the follow-up (20).

Gene–Age Interaction-Empowered Prognostic Prediction Model

We developed a prognostic prediction model incorporating cg11676291_MORN1–age interaction and clinical information. All patients in the combined dataset were categorized into low-, middle-, and high-risk groups by the tertile of the prognostic
score, which was a weighted linear combination of all variables in the model. Compared to the low-risk group, the mortality risk was 2.20 and 3.66 times higher in the middle- and high-risk groups, respectively ($HR_{\text{medium vs. low}} = 2.20$, 95% CI = 1.41–3.44, $p = 5.47 \times 10^{-4}$, $HR_{\text{high vs. low}} = 3.66$, 95% CI = 2.40–5.60, $p = 1.93 \times 10^{-09}$) (Figure 4A). The prognostic score was significantly associated with overall survival in almost all subgroups (Figure 4B), except for the N2/N3 subgroup exhibiting a boundary significance ($p = 5.71 \times 10^{-02}$) with a limited sample size ($n < 100$). Also, the risk score was correlated with survival status. As displayed in Figure 4C, we observed more deaths in these patients with high-risk scores.

Furthermore, six types of TIICs were significantly and differently distributed among low-, medium-, and high-risk groups (Figure 5A), including CD4 memory resting T cells, NK cells resting, activated NK cells, M2 macrophages, dendritic cells activated, and resting mast cells. By Pearson correlation analysis of 22 TIICs and prognostic score (Figure 5B), only M2 macrophages exhibited a significant positive correlation ($r = 0.14$, $p = 1.80 \times 10^{-02}$) (Figure 5C).

Compared to the model with only demographic and clinical variables (AUC$_{3\text{years}} =$ 0.62, AUC$_{5\text{years}} =$ 0.62, and C-index = 0.61), the interaction-empowered prognostic prediction model had a slightly improved accuracy by adding the cg11676291–age interaction (AUC$_{3\text{years}} =$ 0.69, 11.2% increase; AUC$_{5\text{years}} =$ 0.69, 12.1% increase; and C-index = 0.66, 9.0% increase). Furthermore, by adding 24 gene–age interactions obtained using a looser criterion, the AUC increased by 28.1% (95% CI: 27.7%–28.6%, $p < 2.20 \times 10^{-16}$) for 3-year and 5-year survival, respectively (AUC$_{3\text{years}} =$ 0.80, AUC$_{5\text{years}} =$ 0.79, and C-index = 0.75) (Figure 6).
Discussion

This is the first attempt to study the interaction effect between DNA methylation and age on OSCC overall survival on an epigenome-wide scale. In this two-phase study, we systematically investigated gene–age interactions and identified one CpG probe, cg11676291_MORN1, whose effect on survival varied with age. Also, there was an antagonistic interaction between hypomethylation and advanced age. Meanwhile, these genes trans-regulated by cg11676291_MORN1 were significantly associated with a series of immune pathways and immune cells. Finally, the gene–age interaction empowered the prognostic prediction model of OSCC and possessed a better capability to predict patients’ overall survival.

Accumulating evidence indicated that gene–gene and gene–environment interactions play important roles in the occurrence, progression, and prognosis of various complex diseases (21, 22), especially cancers (23–26). Our study found that the effect of DNA methylation on OSCC survival may change with age, indicating gene–age interactions might be potentially involved in OSCC prognosis. Furthermore, the gene–age interaction might boost the prediction accuracy and lead to satisfactory...
performance of 3- and 5-year survival predictions for OSCC, which was in accordance with our previous studies of lung cancer (27, 28). Therefore, complex association patterns among multiple factors should also be factored in for the OSCC study.

Moreover, we observed that MORN1 expression was also associated with OSCC survival. MORN1 is a protein-coding gene associated with sacral defects with anterior meningocele (29). Interestingly, MORN1 has been shown to be involved in budding (30), cell division (31), and epidermal formation of Toxoplasma gondii (32). Chronic infection of T. gondii, an opportunistic parasitic disease, affects a quarter of the world’s population (33). T. gondii achieves persistence in host cells by manipulating many signaling pathways, which are closely related to immune and inflammatory responses (34), and may cause severe damage to immunodeficient or immunocompromised hosts. Epidemiology in various region surveys has shown that the seroprevalence of T. gondii is significantly increased in both elderly patients and cancer patients (35, 36). Moreover, the other genes associated with cg11676291\_MORN1 were also enriched in immune-related pathways, including the T-cell receptor signaling pathway, B-cell receptor signaling pathway, Th17 cell differentiation, and Th1 and Th2 cell differentiation. Therefore, we speculated that the altered effect of cg11676291\_MORN1 might be caused by T. gondii infection because of decreased immunity in aging OSCC patients. However, further biological experiments exclusively designed for the MORN1–age interaction are warranted.

Furthermore, two hub genes (LCE3D and LCE2B) in the gene network have also been confirmed as prognostic biomarkers of laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC) (37). Since there may be no anatomical heterogeneity between LSCC and OSCC, these two genes may share the same mechanisms in the progression of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.

Our study has several strengths. First, to our knowledge, this may be the first study to investigate the interaction between DNA methylation and age on OSCC survival on an epigenome-wide scale, which provided new insights into the prognosis of OSCC patients at different ages. Second, to improve the robustness of the interaction signal, we adopted a two-phase study design (discovery phase vs. validation phase), FDR correction of multiple tests, and sensitivity analysis to control the false positives. Third, the interaction pattern between cg11676291\_MORN1 and age was visually illustrated using interaction and forest plots. Finally, our prognostic model incorporating DNA methylation–age interactions could help physicians make clinical decisions.
We also acknowledge some limitations. First, we only performed gene–age interaction in the current study, and interactions between DNA methylation and other clinical variables are expected in future studies. Second, statistical power may be limited due to the small size (n = 53) and the high censored rate (71.7%) of the GEO cohort. Nevertheless, the interaction between cg11676291\textsubscript{MORN1} and age was still significant in such a scenario, indicating its robustness. Third, the gene–age interaction-empowered prognostic prediction model requires DNA methylation information, which potentially increases the cost of clinical testing. Nevertheless, we envision low-cost and high-efficiency tests in the future will facilitate the application of our proposed model. Finally, since the majority of the population of the TCGA cohort is Caucasian (89.3%), the generalization of our results to other ethnicities should be cautioned.

**Conclusion**

We identified one CpG probe (cg11676291) located in \textit{MORN1}, together with age, which had a genome-wide significant gene–age interaction effect on OSCC survival. The effect of cg11676291\textsubscript{MORN1} on survival was modified by age, indicating that OSCC survival was driven by a complex association pattern.
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FIGURE 6

ROC curves for different prognostic prediction models using clinical information, gene–age interactions with FDR- \( q \leq 0.05 \) or FDR- \( q \leq 0.10 \). (A) Three-year survival prediction. (B) Five-year survival prediction. The AUC increase (%) was evaluated by comparing the model with gene–age interactions and the model with only the covariates. \( p \)-values and 95% CIs were calculated by using 1,000 bootstrap samples and \( z \) tests.
