LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Wayne State University Nephrology service experience in the constraint of COVID-19

Dear Editor

The COVID-19 pandemic has led to a major increase in acute kidney injury (AKI) [1] amidst a major constraint in the healthcare resources, including renal replacement treatment, and placed the healthcare providers' safety at a greater risk [2]. New protocols were developed for the treatment of positive COVID-19 patients with AKI in the pandemic era to limit the potential of staff exposure while treating patients effectively, safely, and timely [3].

Herein, we share our experience during the pandemic. In our institution, Detroit Medical Center, Wayne State University, as an inner-city tertiary center, we faced an overwhelming volume of reported cases of COVID-19 associated with AKI requiring renal replacement therapy. During the peak of the surge in Detroit in April 2020, the demand for renal replacement therapy in our intensive care units doubled. Among the currently available renal replacement modalities, no data support the use of a specific one over the others. A common bedside observation noticed was an overwhelming hypercatabolic state, a major oxygenation deficit, and hypercoagulability.

Our inpatient intermittent hemodialysis (iHD) service typically performs 3 h of dialysis per day, three times weekly. However, due to the increased volume of iHD during the pandemic time, and shortage of well-trained dialysis nurses (in some cases due to quarantine), we were obligated to reduce the treatment session to 2 h instead of the standard 3 h. This lead to suboptimal volume control, dialysis inadequacy especially in hypercatabolic state, and solute clearance.

Simultaneously, patients who were critically ill with multisystem organ failure were admitted to the critical care unit. The majority of these patients were not candidates for iHD due to hemodynamic instability. Based on this, patients who were hemodynamically stable and less hypercatabolic were put on iHD. Critically ill patients with the severe hypercatabolic state were deemed candidates for continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT). This was selected by the nephrology team who was running the service at that time.

As the surge of cases continued, it became apparent that our infrastructure was overwhelmed due to the limited number of CRRT machines and the expert intensive care nurses who could perform CRRT (Figure 1).

Following this scenario, a multidisciplinary meeting including nephrology, intensive care unit, and pharmacy departments in addition to nursing administration was held. A consensus plan was reached regarding a protocol to handle this situation. It was decided that a new modality be introduced to meet the overwhelming demand named high volume hemofiltration (HVHF) [4,5]. We started patients on 8 h of daily dialysis with blood flow 300 mL/min, with 6 L replacement solution per hour, and ultrafiltration tailored per patient requirement. The fluid removal rate was ranging between running patients even to remove 50 mL/h net removal. This permitted a temporary solution to dialyze at least two patients per day utilizing one CRRT machine. Moreover, HVHF allowed nurses to supervise patients on CRRT with more flexibility, less exposure (8 h instead of 24 h), and more time to exchange the replacement solution (6 L per bag).

As we started the new protocol, some challenges were encountered. For instance, adjusting medications was a major problem in patients with HVHF in which medications need to be adjusted more frequently as normal glomerular filtration rate (GFR) in contrast to estimated

![FIGURE 1](https://example.com/fig1.png) Total number of continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) sessions during the peak of the COVID-19 pandemic in Detroit Medical Center, Michigan, USA [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
The importance of basilic vein diameter at brachiobasilic transposition arteriovenous fistula creation technique

Dear Editor,
We read with interest the article by Ozhan et al. entitled “Transposition of brachiobasilic arteriovenous fistulas: One-stage or two-stage technique and factors affecting the early maturation” [1].

Radiocephalic arteriovenous (AVF) is widely recommended first choice vascular access in hemodialysis-dependent patients [2]. Brachiobasilic transposition (BBT) is a good option for patients who have unsuitable vessels for radiocephalic or brachiocephalic AVF and for patients with previous failed distal AVF. During pre-operative vessel mapping, under tourniquet, a minimum diameter of 4 mm basilic vein is recommended for deciding to construct a BBT AVF with one-stage technique [3].

How did the authors decide to establish a BBT AVF with one- or two-stage technique, did they consider the preoperative basilic vein diameter such as above or less than 4 mm?

We congratulate Ozhan et al for their valuable study about BBT AVF’s early maturation. We would like to hear the authors’ opinion on this matter.

CONFlict of INTEREST
The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Cemal Kocaaslan
Mehmet Senel Bademci
Fatih Avni Bayraktar
Ahmet Oztekin
Ebuizer Aydin

Faculty of Medicine, Cardiovascular Surgery Department, Istanbul Medeniyet University, Istanbul, Turkey

Correspondence
Cemal Kocaaslan, Faculty of Medicine, Cardiovascular Surgery Department, Istanbul Medeniyet University, Istanbul, Turkey.
Email: cemalkocaaslan@yahoo.com
DOI 10.1111/1744-9987.13637

ORCID
Cemal Kocaaslan https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1348-2411