The SABAP2 legacy: A review of the history and use of data generated by a long-running citizen science project

Significance:

- The Second Southern African Bird Atlas Project (SABAP2) – initiated in 2007 – is one of the region’s longest-running citizen science programmes and collects spatial and temporal data on birds.
- Data from the project are publicly available and used extensively by environmental impact assessment practitioners, conservationists, authors, protected area managers, scientists and the general public.
- The project is the template for other established projects that now operate across the continent, collectively now falling under the ‘African Bird Atlas Project’ umbrella.
- We show that since the initiation of SABAP2, there has been a three-fold increase in publications, with over 150 papers that can be attributed to SABAP2.
- The contribution of citizen scientists to the published scientific domain has been enormous.

One of the largest citizen science projects in Africa is the Second Southern African Bird Atlas Project (SABAP2). SABAP2 is a follow-up project of the Southern African Bird Atlas Project (now labelled SABAP1). The primary data collection period for the first bird atlas project was 1987 to 1991; it incorporated data from as far back as 1980, and in some regions included data until 1993, assembling a total of 7.2 million records of bird distribution. SABAP1 generated the Atlas of Southern African Birds in two volumes. Harrison et al. demonstrated that the SABAP1 database had become a valuable resource to four main user constituencies: environmental consultants, conservationists, research scientists, and birders. Academic research output (theses and papers) was summarised by Underhill; most of the 102 papers and 19 postgraduate theses listed had been based on SABAP1 data.

The ‘second’ atlas project, SABAP2, was launched in 2007 and was ongoing in 2021. There is currently no planned end to the project, as the database is recognised as providing useful information in a changing world. The BirdMap data collection protocol has been extended into Nigeria and Kenya, including bespoke websites and data curation, with data collected through these projects falling under the umbrella of the ‘African Bird Atlas Project’. The SABAP2 data are already extensively used: in scientific publications to inform conservation management; species conservation assessments; and in environmental impact assessments. We summarise this use here.

The initial principal aim of the bird atlas projects was to produce avian range maps from the sightings of volunteers contributing bird lists from various geographic locations. However, the systematic data collection protocol allows an investigation of a wide variety of conservation and academic questions. Today, the continued strength of the project is the easy calculation of relative abundance, which is possible due to multiple lists contributed for each sampling area. Global range maps are recently better visualised using the eBirds global database, which taps into a much larger citizen science contributor database, although, for the southern African subregion, SABAP2 is still the best source of distributional information given the data vetting processes in place to check data quality. Indeed, SABAP2 lists can be exported into eBird data for submission to that database through the BirdLasser bird recording software. Due to the long-term undertaking of SABAP2, it is also becoming increasingly important for evaluation of population trend analyses.

The objectives of this paper are to describe the background to the SABAP2 database and examine the use of the data in the publication record.

African Bird Atlas Project description

SABAP2 and the BirdMap protocol were the foundations of the African Bird Atlas Project. This project is now the umbrella for country-specific citizen science projects that collect bird list data submitted by the bird watching community using the ‘BirdMap’ protocol. The African Bird Atlas Project encourages and facilitates participation through birding (birdwatching) communities through three established projects, each with their own websites. Apart from SABAP2, the Kenya Bird Map Project manages a core team that focuses on collecting data across Kenya (http://kenya.birdmap.africa/), and the same for the Nigeria Bird Atlas Project (http://nigeria.birdmap.africa/) in conjunction with the A.P. Leventis Ornithological Research Institute. There are start-ups in other African countries (Liberia, Ghana, Sierra Leone, Cameroon, Uganda, and Malawi, among others).

Data in the form of spatially and temporally explicit bird lists are collected by volunteer ‘citizen scientists’ from diverse backgrounds: both professional ornithologists and amateur birders. Participation is entirely voluntary; participants register with SABAP2, Nigeria Bird Atlas Project or Kenya Bird Map to receive their unique ‘Citizen Science’ membership number, which allows them to keep track of their data. Each project has a website interface where volunteers can plan their atlas activities and keep track of their own data submissions.

BirdMap protocol

African Bird Atlas Project data collection follows a simple protocol. Lists are collected within a geographical pentad, which is a grid cell on a map corresponding to five geographical minutes of latitude north–south and
Cumulative pentads are outlined in detail in The Atlas of Southern African Birds. In essence, the birding community of southern Africa was encouraged to collect their sightings of birds in a standardised format by compiling their lists per quarter degree grid cell geographic areas (QDGC, approximately 27 km long (north–south) and 23 km wide (east–west)); but larger half degree grid cells in Botswana. Volunteers were sent introductory materials, including an instruction booklet and printed checklists. Lists were compiled by hand and sent to the University of Cape Town for data checking, entry, and upload.

SABAP1 gathered 7.2 million peer-reviewed distribution records for 932 bird species in the southern African sub-region, contributed by more than 5000 birdwatchers. It covered six southern African countries (Botswana, Lesotho, Namibia, South Africa, Swaziland, and Zimbabwe). Mozambique was excluded due to the civil war in that country at that time. It was the first time a biological survey had been attempted on anything like that scale in Africa. Indeed, SABAP1 remains one of the largest completed projects of its kind, even globally. The resulting published atlas volumes contained contributions by 62 authors and seven editors.

The second atlas project (SABAP2) was directed as of 2006 by Les Underhill at the Animal Demography Unit. Data collection started in 2007 and is ongoing. SABAP2 is currently managed by the FitzPatrick Institute of African Ornithology. The data collection protocol was similar to that used for SABAP1, but at a finer spatial and temporal resolution – using pentads (5 x 5 geographical minutes; there are nine pentads in a QDGC) and recording species over at most 5-day periods, compared to monthly lists in SABAP1. There was also an attempt to standardise the minimum time effort for a list to count towards estimates of species reporting rates (2 hours and effort to cover all major habitats for lists to qualify as ‘full protocol’ lists). In addition, species were to be reported in the order sighted, on the assumption that more common species will appear earlier on species lists and rare species generally recorded last, on average.

By 2009, the second full year of SABAP2, citizen scientists were submitting c. 17 000 checklists per year to the project; this remained stable until 2014. In 2015, a combination of the initiation of a series of Citizen Scientist Days and the introduction of mobile apps, especially BirdLasser, resulted in an increase in the rate of submission of checklists to c. 30 000 per year (Table 1). There was a decrease in submissions in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

### Citizen scientists and their contributions

In August 2021 there were 3106 registered contributors to the African Bird Atlas Projects, with those registered with SABAP2 representing the majority of these: 2501 observers, followed by Kenya (348), Nigeria (196) and others (61). However, it is rare to have more than 850 observers contributing full protocol checklists in any one year to SABAP2 (Table 1). Many of the registered observers with SABAP2 are inactive: 448 registered SABAP2 users have submitted just one checklist. On the other hand, others have been involved through both SABAP1 and SABAP2: for instance, Dawie de Swardt (affiliated with the BirdLasser), is now more common to have individuals compiling their own lists due to the gamification of the current submission software (BirdLasser).

### A brief history of the African Bird Atlas Projects

The first South African Bird Atlas Project (SABAP1) took place from 1986 to 1997, with data collection representing the period 1987 to 1992. The initiative was based out of the Avian Demography Unit (now retired) at the University of Cape Town, building on various regional atlas projects conducted prior to this period. The methods and protocol are outlined in detail in The Atlas of Southern African Birds. In essence, the birding community of southern Africa was encouraged to collect their sightings of birds in a standardised format by compiling their lists per quarter degree grid cell geographic areas (QDGC, approximately 27 km long (north–south) and 23 km wide (east–west)); but larger half degree grid cells in Botswana. Volunteers were sent introductory materials, including an instruction booklet and printed checklists. Lists were compiled by hand and sent to the University of Cape Town for data checking, entry, and upload.

SABAP1 gathered 7.2 million peer-reviewed distribution records for 932 bird species in the southern African sub-region, contributed by more than 5000 birdwatchers. It covered six southern African countries (Botswana, Lesotho, Namibia, South Africa, Swaziland, and Zimbabwe). Mozambique was excluded due to the civil war in that country at that time. It was the first time a biological survey had been attempted on anything like that scale in Africa. Indeed, SABAP1 remains one of the largest completed projects of its kind, even globally.

The resulting published atlas volumes contained contributions by 62 authors and seven editors.

The second atlas project (SABAP2) was directed as of 2006 by Les Underhill at the Animal Demography Unit. Data collection started in 2007 and is ongoing. SABAP2 is currently managed by the FitzPatrick Institute of African Ornithology. The data collection protocol was similar to that used for SABAP1, but at a finer spatial and temporal resolution – using pentads (5 x 5 geographical minutes; there are nine pentads in a QDGC) and recording species over at most 5-day periods, compared to monthly lists in SABAP1. There was also an attempt to standardise the minimum time effort for a list to count towards estimates of species reporting rates (2 hours and effort to cover all major habitats for lists to qualify as ‘full protocol’ lists). In addition, species were to be reported in the order sighted, on the assumption that more common species will appear earlier on species lists and rare species generally recorded last, on average.

By 2009, the second full year of SABAP2, citizen scientists were submitting c. 17 000 checklists per year to the project; this remained stable until 2014. In 2015, a combination of the initiation of a series of Citizen Scientist Days and the introduction of mobile apps, especially BirdLasser, resulted in an increase in the rate of submission of checklists to c. 30 000 per year (Table 1). There was a decrease in submissions in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

### National Museum in Bloemfontein, but atlas contributor in his private capacity

At the end of 2020, the number of full protocol checklists was 251 348 and ad-hoc checklists was 165 885. The majority came from relatively few prolific contributors, with 68 observers having contributed more than 1000 checklists. The top 20 contributors are acknowledged in Supplementary table 1. However, some of the most valuable data comes from occasional contributors who submit just a handful of checklists from out-of-the-way places.

---

### Table 1: Annual SABAP2 milestones for coverage of South Africa, Lesotho and eSwatini in terms of the total number of contributing observers, with the total number of checklists contributed and the number of pentads. Percentage coverage is based on a potential 17 444 pentads for this geographical region.

| Year | Checklists | Observers | Pentads | Cumulative pentads | Coverage (%) |
|------|------------|-----------|----|-------------------|--------------|
| 2007 | 1916       | 264       | 953 | 953               | 5.5          |
| 2008 | 9791       | 457       | 3164 | 3409             | 19.5         |
| 2009 | 17 372     | 558       | 4759 | 5993             | 34.4         |
| 2010 | 18 419     | 615       | 5353 | 8170             | 46.8         |
| 2011 | 17 563     | 585       | 5418 | 9809             | 56.2         |
| 2012 | 16 460     | 551       | 5084 | 10 928           | 62.6         |
| 2013 | 15 393     | 565       | 4391 | 11 537           | 66.1         |
| 2014 | 17 868     | 618       | 4784 | 12 314           | 70.6         |
| 2015 | 22 196     | 719       | 4916 | 12 912           | 74           |
| 2016 | 26 159     | 859       | 4999 | 13 402           | 76.8         |
| 2017 | 26 435     | 861       | 4898 | 13 706           | 78.6         |
| 2018 | 25 244     | 811       | 4612 | 14 009           | 80.3         |
| 2019 | 22 107     | 805       | 4282 | 14 181           | 81.3         |
| 2020 | 14 425     | 692       | 3746 | 14 313           | 82.1         |
| Average | 17 953 | 640 | 4383 |                     |               |
Branded to gainfully use time in a safe yet meaningful manner, as well as ‘contributing to science’, the nourishing effects on emotional well-being and mental health have been highlighted as benefits of birding ‘with a cause’. Volunteers in SABAP2 were satisfied and exhibited behaviours suggesting they act as advocates for the programme. 1 Atlasers (the term used to describe contributors to the African Bird Atlas Project) travel large distances to contribute to the atlas, often engaging with landowners on bird conservation issues. 

Of great value to the project in terms of data generation, and also to atlas participants who gain a sense of camaraderie, are ‘atlas bashers’. These can be one-off expeditions to target remote regions or encourage systematic repeated data collection over a defined geographical region, for example, the ‘Four Degrees region of Greater Gauteng: the challenge to obtain at least 11 checklists in 576 pentads’. The systematic atlassing coordinated by Johan van Rooyen in Stilbaai is a further exemplary case of how to maximise coverage with a small team of people.

Data availability
Publicly available data can be obtained for species or locations (pentads) via the project websites (http://sabap2.birdmap.africa) or via an R package rabm (https://github.com/davidclarance/rabm). For locations, this includes species lists at various temporal intervals (total, annual, monthly), allowing examination of trend data and annual patterns of occurrence. Species occurrence data are available either as reporting rates in pentads, allowing broadscale distribution modelling, or can be obtained including null counts, which allows for better modelling of factors influencing occurrence. Species reporting rate data are also available as geoJSON files, which can be used in GIS software. A comparison of SABAP2 vs SABAP1 reporting rates is also available. Bespoke data products are also available by arrangement with the project coordinators.

Examining output and trends in publications referring to SABAP
Given the lack of a centrally citable resource for use of the SABAP2 database, tracking use and output from the available database is extraordinarily difficult because the data are free to download in various formats with no registration or declaration of use required. For instance, a set of the SABAP2 data has been shared with the GBIF global biodiversity database, which is used by global ecologists to model broad biological or ecological questions using multiple data channels. That set of the data alone had been cited 43 times as of 3 June 2021 (UCT; academic institution) and BirdLife South Africa (a non-governmental organisation). SANBI initially sponsored the project, implementing the software vision of Les Underhill at the Animal Demography Unit of UCT, with the mobilisation of the key data contributors (birders) encouraged by BirdLife South Africa. Currently, the African Bird Atlas Project provides extraordinary value at no cost to data users. The entire project is run essentially on volunteers, both citizens and professionals, contributing time, money and resources. Provisional estimates suggest that the value of the in-kind contributions by citizen scientists exceeds ZAR40 million per year – more than 25 times the cost of maintaining the core team which runs the project.

In 2021 there were essentially two salaried positions at UCT: the database manager and a communications officer. After Les Underhill’s retirement, the institutional support of the FitzPatrick Institute at UCT has been critical to maintaining the project, which provides the administrative envelope for delivering the current features. The partnership with BirdLife
South Africa, which salaries a position which carries the role of SABAP2 coordinator, among other roles, has been critical for maintaining momentum in data contributions through continued promotion and training. Financing for the database manager position was partly through SANBI for 18 years, supplemented by private donations, and contributions from the FitzPatrick Institute since 2020. In addition, the entire data submission pathway via BirdLasser software is independently funded by Lejint Inc.11

Here we have quantified academic use of the database, but the value extends into many more dimensions that are harder to quantify: social, economic and cultural. On a day-to-day basis, the data are used for an extraordinary cross section of purposes, from planning holidays to informing industrial development. BirdLife South Africa has used the data in a number of projects: the Important Bird Area Directory,27 the 2015 Red List Assessment,26 current environmental impact assessment site-screening tools, and within BirdLife South Africa to motivate for research projects.

Given the value of this project, and the ethos of open data (conditional for early SANBI support), the support of this position through government institutions makes sense – this is after all an area where citizen science taxpayers would be happy to see their money spent. Nonetheless, project funding has been a constant source of struggle for almost the entire history of the project. SANBI’s annual investment has resulted in a product worth millions of rands because of the money spent by atlassers. If ever there was proof of the value of the project, both to local conservation and to informing a wide spectrum of global scientific research, this review reveals the extraordinary publication output from the SABAP2. Needless to say, this output is also only the tip of the iceberg in terms of the potential of this extensive and impressive database.
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