Fusarium Species Associated with Cherry Leaf Spot in China
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Abstract: Sweet cherry is an important fruit crop in China with a high economic value. From 2019 to 2020, a leaf spot disease was reported, with purplish-brown circular lesions in three cultivating regions in China. Twenty-four Fusarium isolates were obtained from diseased samples and were identified based on morphological characteristics and multi-locus phylogenetic analyses. Seven species, including F. luffae (7 isolates), F. lateritium (6 isolates), F. compactum (5 isolates), F. nygamai (2 isolates), F. citri (2 isolates), F. ipomoeae (1 isolate) and F. curvatum (1 isolate) were identified. The pathogenicity test showed that analyzed strains of all species could produce lesions on detached cherry leaves. Therefore, Fusarium was proved to be a pathogen of cherry leaf spots in China. This is the first report of F. luffae, F. compactum, F. nygamai, F. citri, F. ipomoeae and F. curvatum on sweet cherry in China.
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1. Introduction

Sweet cherry (Prunus avium L.) is an economically important fruit crop widely planted in temperate regions worldwide. The cherry industry in China developed rapidly in the last decade, with 233,000 ha of cultivation area and a yield of 1,700,000 tons in 2019 [1,2]. Even with ideal conditions, various diseases occur on cherries, among which leaf spot is one of the most common and widespread [3]. Since the first report from the USA in 1878, the disease has spread quickly and occurred in most growing areas around the world [4]. The disease causes premature defoliation of leaves, the reduction of tree vigor and winter hardiness, and even tree death, leading to a bad quality of cherries [5]. Blumeriella jaapii was regarded as the causal agent of cherry leaf spot disease in Europe and North America [6]. In addition, several other associated fungi were reported. In Israel, the pathogen of cherry leaf spot was identified as Cercospora circumsicca, which caused a 40% yield loss in 1975 [7]. Additionally, Alternaria alternata and Pseudocercospora pruni-persicicola were reported as causing leaf spot in Greece and Korea, respectively [8,9]. In China, Alternaria cerasi and Passalora circumsicca were identified to cause “black spot” and “brown spot” of sweet cherry according to their morphology in the early days, respectively [10,11]. In recent years, more pathogenic species have been reported based on morphological characterization coupled with phylogenetic analysis, including four Alternaria species, three Colletotrichum species and four Didymellaceae species [3,12,13]. In this study, Fusarium spp. were isolated from cherry leaf spots for the first time, which supplemented the pathogen variety of the disease.

2. Results

2.1. Symptom Observation, Sample Collection and Fungal Isolation

Fifteen leaf spot samples were collected from sweet cherry trees cultured in open fields with the following symptoms, (1) small purple-brownish spots which may merge...
with expansion (Figure 1A,B); (2) circular or irregular brownish gray necrotic lesions with dark brown margin (Figure 1C,D). Twenty-four *Fusarium* isolates were obtained by tissue isolation, among which 13 were from Beijing, 9 from Shandong and 2 from Liaoning (Table 1).

![Symptoms of cherry leaf spot.](image)

**Figure 1.** Symptoms of cherry leaf spot. (A,B) symptom type 1; (C,D) symptom type 2.

| Species                | Isolate Number | Origin        | Date Collected | GenBank Accession |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|
| *F. compactum*         | JZB3110202     | Beijing       | 2019.7         | OP018566 OP018571 OP018576 |
| *F. compactum*         | JZB3110203     | Beijing       | 2019.8         | OP018567 OP018572 OP018577 |
| *F. compactum*         | JZB3110204     | Beijing       | 2019.8         | OP018568 OP018573 OP018578 |
| *F. compactum*         | JZB3110205     | Beijing       | 2019.8         | OP018569 OP018574 OP018579 |
| *F. compactum*         | JZB3110206     | Liaoning      | 2019.9         | OP018570 OP018575 OP018580 |
| *F. curvatum*          | JZB3110207     | Beijing       | 2019.8         | OP018581 OP018582 OP018583 |
| *F. incarnatum-equiseti* | JZB3110208    | Beijing       | 2019.7         | OP018545 OP018552 OP018559 |
| *F. lateritium*        | JZB3110209     | Shandong      | 2019.8         | OP018546 OP018553 OP018560 |
| *F. lateritium*        | JZB3110210     | Shandong      | 2019.8         | OP018547 OP018554 OP018561 |
| *F. lateritium*        | JZB3110211     | Shandong      | 2019.8         | OP018548 OP018555 OP018562 |
| *F. lateritium*        | JZB3110212     | Shandong      | 2019.8         | OP018549 OP018556 OP018563 |
| *F. lateritium*        | JZB3110213     | Beijing       | 2019.10        | OP018550 OP018557 OP018564 |
| *F. lateritium*        | JZB3110214     | Beijing       | 2020.9         | OP018551 OP018558 OP018565 |
| *F. citri*             | JZB3110215     | Shandong      | 2019.8         | OP039326 OP039328   |
| *F. citri*             | JZB3110216     | Beijing       | 2019.10        | OP039327 OP039329   |
| *F. nygamai*           | JZB3110217     | Beijing       | 2019.8         | OP039334 OP039348 OP039352 |
| *F. nygamai*           | JZB3110218     | Beijing       | 2019.8         | OP039335 OP039349 OP039353 |
| *F. lateritium*        | JZB3110219     | Shandong      | 2019.8         | OP039330 OP039336 OP039342 |
| *F. lateritium*        | JZB3110220     | Shandong      | 2019.8         | OP039331 OP039337 OP039343 |
| *F. lateritium*        | JZB3110221     | Shandong      | 2019.8         | OP039332 OP039338 OP039344 |
| *F. lateritium*        | JZB3110222     | Shandong      | 2019.8         | OP039333 OP039339 OP039345 |
| *F. lateritium*        | JZB3110223     | Shandong      | 2020.9         | OP039334 OP039340 OP039346 |
| *F. lateritium*        | JZB3110224     | Shandong      | 2020.9         | OP039335 OP039341 OP039347 |
| *F. curvatum*          | JZB3110225     | Liaoning      | 2019.9         | OP039359 OP039360 OP039361 |

Table 1. Information and GenBank accessions of *Fusarium* isolates obtained in this study.

2.2. Molecular Characterization and Phylogenetic Analysis

The ITS sequences of isolates showed 100% similarity to *Fusarium* spp. based on BLAST analysis. Reference sequences of *Fusarium* were downloaded from the NCBI database (Table S1). Phylogenetic trees of *Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti* species complex (FIESC), *Fusarium lateritium* species complex (FLSC), *Fusarium fujikuroi* species complex (FFSC) and *Fusarium oxysporum* species complex (FOSC) were constructed using combined
sequence alignment. The sequences were deposited in the GenBank, and accession numbers were obtained (Table 1).

Phylogenetic analysis of FIESC was constructed based on the combined CaM, rpb2 and tef1 multi-locus dataset, with Fusarium concolor (CBS 961.87) as the outgroup taxon (Figure 2). Both maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses resulted in the same topology. Among the ninety-six fungal isolates in the dataset, fifteen were from the current study. Seven isolates clustered with F. luffae in two branches with 67% ML bootstrap and 1.0 BYPP, and 70% ML bootstrap, respectively, five clustered with F. compactum with 81% ML bootstrap and 0.99 BYPP, two clustered with F. citri with 63% ML bootstrap and 0.95 BYPP, and one isolate clustered with F. ipomoeae with 99% ML bootstrap and 1.0 BYPP.

Phylogenetic analysis of FLSC was constructed based on combined rpb2, tef1 and tub2 multi-locus dataset, with Fusarium concolor as the outgroup taxon (Figure 3). Both maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses resulted in the same topology. Six isolates clustered with F. lateritium with 94% ML bootstrap and 1.0 BYPP.

Phylogenetic analysis of FFSC was constructed based on the combined tef1, rpb2, tub2 and CaM multi-locus dataset, with Fusarium concolor as the outgroup taxon (Figure 4). Both maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses resulted in the same topology. Two isolates clustered with F. nygamai with 100% ML bootstrap and 1.0 BYPP.

Phylogenetic analysis of FOSC was constructed based on the combined rpb2, tef1 and tub2 multi-locus dataset, with Fusarium concolor as the outgroup taxon (Figure 5). Both maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses resulted in the same topology. One isolate clustered with F. curvatum with 69% ML bootstrap and 1.0 BYPP.

2.3. Morphological Observation

Morphological characteristics were shown in Figure 6, Tables 2 and 3. Based on cultural and morphological characters, Fusarium isolates were consistent with F. compactum, F. ipomoeae, F. luffae, F. citri, F. nygamai, F. lateritium and F. curvatum, as previously described [14–16].

Table 2. Characteristics of colonies of Fusarium fungi cultivated on PDA.

| Species         | Average Diameter of Colony (Range) on 5 d, mm | Type and Color of Aerial Mycelium                                      | Reverse Pigmentation          |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| F. compactum    | 79–85                                        | White mycelia with brown pigments produced in the agar                  | White to pale yellow         |
| F. ipomoeae     | 59–65                                        | Colony margin lobate, pinkish white                                    | Pale pink                    |
| F. luffae       | 67–73                                        | Aerial mycelia dense, colony margin entire, white                      | White to pale yellow         |
| F. citri        | 78–80                                        | Mycelia violet, with violet pigments produced in the agar               | Pinkish white                |
| F. nygamai      | 53–56                                        | Mycelia violet, with violet pigments produced in the agar               | Violet with white margin     |
| F. lateritium   | 45–54                                        | Mycelia sparse, pale orange or pale pink                               | Pale orange or pale pink with white margin |
| F. curvatum     | 68–70                                        | Mycelia violet, with violet pigments produced in the agar               | Dark violet                  |

Table 3. Description of conidia of Fusarium fungi cultivated on CLA.

| Species         | Macroconidia                                                                 | Microconidia                                                                 |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                 | Shape, Number of Septa                                                      | Average Size (Range)                                                         | Shape, Number of Septa | Average Size (Range) |
| F. compactum    | Strong dorsiventrail curvature, apical cell elongate and tapering that is often needle-like in appearance, basal cell foot-shaped, usually 5-septate | 43.04–62.05 \times 4.02–5.13 \mu m (avg. = 54.08 \times 4.50 \mu m, n = 50) | Not observed |
Table 3. Cont.

| Species   | Macroconidia                                                                 | Microconidia               |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
|           | **Shape, Number of Septa** | **Average Size (Range)** | **Shape, Number of Septa** | **Average Size (Range)** |
| **F. ipomoeae** | Dorsiventral curvature, smooth, hyaline, apical cell hooked to tapering, basal cell foot-shaped. Usually 5-septate | 40.72–66.64 × 3.67–4.65 µm (avg. = 56.60 × 4.16 µm, n = 50) | Not observed |
| **F. luffae** | Falcate, slender, slightly curved, smooth to slightly rough, hyaline, apical cell blunt or hooked, basal cell barely notched, 3–5-septate | 26.18–42.88 × 3.75–4.69 µm (avg. = 33.69 × 4.17 µm, n = 50) | Not observed |
| **F. citri** | Papillate to hooked, basal cell distinctly notched to foot-shaped, 3–5-septate | 24.23–48.42 × 4.05–5.39 µm (avg. = 36.54 × 4.86 µm, n = 50) | Not observed |
| **F. nygamai** | Slender, thin walled, hyaline, straight to slightly curved, apical cell short and tapered, basal cell notched or foot-shaped, usually 3-septate | 21.08–36.30 × 2.36–3.91 µm (avg. = 25.81 × 2.36 µm, n = 30) | Oval to elliptical, usually 0-septate | 10.06–16.18 × 2.23–3.86 µm (avg. = 12.88 × 3.02 µm, n = 30) |
| **F. lateritium** | Falcate to relatively straight, with parallel walls, apical cell hooked or beaked, basal cell foot-shaped or notched, 3–5-septate, usually 5-septate | 38.81–59.69 × 4.31–5.60 µm (avg. = 49.10 × 4.88 µm, n = 50) | Not observed |

2.4. Pathogenicity Test

Three days post-inoculation, all *Fusarium* isolates were pathogenic to detached cherry leaves, resulting in lesions similar to the disease symptoms observed in the field (Figure 7). The disease incidences [(symptomatic sites/total inoculated sites) × 100%] and lesion diameter of wounded and unwounded leaves of each species are reported in Table 4. No symptoms were observed on the control leaves. According to the result, *F. compactum*, *F. luffae* and *F. ipomoeae* were the most aggressive and caused lesion diameters of more than 10 mm. The other species were relatively less virulent, with lesion diameters varying from 7 mm to 10 mm, and lesion diameters did not differ significantly. The disease incidence of wounded leaves was slightly higher than that of unwounded leaves, while unwounded leaves of some species appeared to form larger lesion diameters. The fungi were re-isolated from the lesions successfully and identified using morphology and molecular analyses.

Table 4. Features of colonies and macroconidia for *Fusarium* species isolated in this study. (lowercase letters indicate the significant differences for lesion diameters (p < 0.05)).

| Species    | Disease Incidence (%) | Lesion Diameter (mm) |
|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|            | Wounded | Unwounded | Wounded | Unwounded |
| *F. compactum* | 95      | 95        | 13.5 ± 0.3 a | 14.0 ± 0.3 a |
| *F. ipomoeae* | 95      | 75        | 11.8 ± 0.3 ab | 12.8 ± 0.3 a |
| *F. luffae*   | 100     | 95        | 12.8 ± 0.4 ab | 14.5 ± 0.5 a |
| *F. citri*    | 100     | 75        | 8.3 ± 0.1 b  | 8.8 ± 0.1 b  |
| *F. nygamai*  | 100     | 95        | 8.3 ± 0.1 b  | 7.5 ± 0.1 b  |
| *F. lateritium* | 80      | 90        | 9.3 ± 0.1 ab | 7.0 ± 0.2 b  |
| *F. curvatum* | 100     | 100       | 10.0 ± 0.1 ab | 7.5 ± 0.1 b  |
Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree generated by maximum likelihood analysis (RAxML) of FIESC based on the combined CaM, rpb2 and tef1 sequence data. The tree is rooted with Fusarium concolor (CBS 961.87). The best-scoring RAxML tree with a final likelihood value of –11,974.052080 is presented. The matrix had 701 distinct alignment patterns, with 7.69% of undetermined characters or gaps. Estimated base frequencies were as follows: A = 0.258901, C = 0.260331, G = 0.245699, T = 0.235069; substitution rates AC = 1.002698, AG = 4.065670, AT = 1.349366, CG = 0.882966, CT = 10.506153, GT = 1.000000; gamma distribution shape parameter $\alpha$ = 0.751525. ML bootstrap support values $\geq 50\%$ and Bayesian posterior probabilities (BYPP) $\geq 0.95$ are given near the nodes. The scale bar indicates 0.03 changes per site. Isolates belonging to this study are given in red.
Figure 3. Phylogenetic tree generated by maximum likelihood analysis (RAxML) of FLSC based on the combined rpb2, tef1 and tub2 sequence data. The tree is rooted with *Fusarium buharicum* (CBS 796.70). The best-scoring RAxML tree with a final likelihood value of $-5602.556442$ is presented. The matrix had 358 distinct alignment patterns, with 32.15% being undetermined characters or gaps. Estimated base frequencies were as follows: $A = 0.236754$, $C = 0.282131$, $G = 0.242563$, $T = 0.238552$; substitution rates $AC = 2.260928$, $AG = 7.239234$, $AT = 2.341489$, $CG = 1.331525$, $CT = 16.787035$, $GT = 1.000000$; gamma distribution shape parameter $\alpha = 1.252177$. ML bootstrap support values $\geq 50\%$ and Bayesian posterior probabilities (BYPP) $\geq 0.95$ are given near the nodes. The scale bar indicates 0.02 changes per site. Isolates belonging to this study are given in red.
Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree generated by maximum likelihood analysis (RAxML) of FFSC based on the combined tef1, rpb2, tub2 and CaM sequence data. The tree is rooted with *Fusarium nirenbergiae* (CBS 744.97). The best-scoring RAxML tree with a final likelihood value of –16,169.804811 is presented. The matrix had 1172 distinct alignment patterns, with 12.61% of undetermined characters or gaps. Estimated base frequencies were as follows: A = 0.246851, C = 0.265429, G = 0.243377, T = 0.244344; substitution rates AC = 1.195289, AG = 4.703565, AT = 1.258970, CG = 0.717390, CT = 9.004544, GT = 1.000000; gamma distribution shape parameter $\alpha = 0.838651$. ML bootstrap support values $\geq 50\%$ and Bayesian posterior probabilities (BYPP) $\geq 0.95$ are given near the nodes. The scale bar indicates 0.03 changes per site. Isolates belonging to this study are given in red.
Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree generated by maximum likelihood analysis (RAxML) of FOSC based on the combined \textit{rpb2}, \textit{tef1} and \textit{tub2} sequence data. The tree is rooted with \textit{Fusarium foetens} (CBS 120665) and \textit{Fusarium udum} (CBS 177.31). The best-scoring RAxML tree with a final likelihood value of $-4987.801452$ is presented. The matrix had 199 distinct alignment patterns, with 0.90% of undetermined characters or gaps. Estimated base frequencies were as follows: \(A = 0.251044\), \(C = 0.269288\), \(G = 0.238899\), \(T = 0.240769\); substitution rates \(AC = 1.155437\), \(AG = 2.887244\), \(AT = 0.424595\), \(CG = 0.728892\), \(CT = 5.651969\), \(GT = 1.000000\); gamma distribution shape parameter \(\alpha = 1.022063\). ML bootstrap support values \(\geq 50\%\) and Bayesian posterior probabilities (BYPP) \(\geq 0.95\) are given near the nodes. The scale bar indicates 0.02 changes per site. Isolates belonging to this study are given in red.
Figure 6. Morphological characteristics of Fusarium species isolated from cherry leaf spot. (A) Colony of F. compactum; (B) Sporodochia of F. compactum on carnation leaf; (C) Macroconidia of F. compactum on CLA; (D) Colony of F. ipomoeae; (E) Sporodochia of F. ipomoeae; (F) Macroconidia of F. ipomoeae; (G) Chlamydoospores of F. ipomoeae; (H) Colony of F. luffae; (I) Conidiophore of F. luffae on aerial hyphae; (J) Sporodochia of F. luffae; (K) Macroconidia of F. luffae; (L) Colony of F. citri; (M) Conidigenous cells of F. citri formed on sporodochia; (N) Macroconidia of F. citri; (O) Colony of F. nygamai; (P) Macroconidia of F. nygamai; (Q) Microconidia of F. nygamai; (R) Colony of F. lateritium; (S) Macroconidia of F. lateritium; (T) Sporodochia of F. lateritium; (U) Colony of F. curvatum; (V) Macroconidia of F. curvatum; (W) Microconidia of F. curvatum. scale bar: (A,C,D,F–I,K–S,U–W) = 10 µm; (J,T) = 20 µm; (B,E) = 100 µm.
2.4. Pathogenicity Test

Three days post-inoculation, all Fusarium spp. were pathogenic to cherry leaves; specifically, *F. compactum* while the occurrence of *Fusarium* pathogen of corm and root rot of banana, a fatal canker on Italian cypress, and a wilt and root rot of peanuts \([25–27]\). *Fusarium oxysporum* has been reported as the pathogen of cherry root and crown rot in Canada \([22]\), and *F. lateritium* was reported to cause trunk diseases in Australia \([23]\). Five *Fusarium* species have also been reported to cause postharvest rot on Chinese cherry \([24]\).

In this study, *Fusarium* species were identified as causal agents of cherry leaf spot based on morphological characteristics and molecular phylogenetic analyses. Among them, seven were pathogenic to cherry leaves; specifically, *F. compactum, F. luffae* and *F. ipomoeae* showed relatively high virulence.

*Fusarium compactum* had been regarded as a saprophyte, while being reported as the pathogen of corn and root rot of banana, a fatal canker on Italian cypress, and a wilt and root rot of peanuts \([25–27]\). *Fusarium ipomoeae, F. luffae* and *F. citri* were introduced as new species in the study reported on *Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti* complex from China \([15]\). Afterwards, *F. ipomoeae* was reported to cause leaf spots on peanut and *Bletilla striata* \([20,21]\), *F. luffae* was reported to cause fruit rot on muskmelon in China \([28]\), and *F. citri* was initially isolated from *Citrus* \([15]\). *Fusarium nygamai* was first observed on a basal stalk and root rot of grain sorghum \([29]\) and can also cause root rot on other crops including cotton, maize,

### Table 4. Features of colonies and macroconidia for *Fusarium* spp. on detached cherry leaves three days post-inoculation.

| Species          | Disease Incidence (%) | Lesion Diameter (mm) | Left Side | Right Side |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|
| *F. compactum*   | 100                   | 10.0 ± 0.1 ab        | 7.5 ± 0.1 b |            |
| *F. luffae*      | 95                    | 8.3 ± 0.1 b          | 7.5 ± 0.1 b |            |
| *F. curvatum*    | 100                   | 12.8 ± 0.4 ab        | 14.5 ± 0.5 a |            |
| *F. lateritium*  | 80                    | 9.3 ± 0.1 ab         | 7.0 ± 0.2 b |            |
| *F. citri*       | 100                   | 10.0 ± 0.1 ab        | 7.5 ± 0.1 b |            |
| *F. nygamai*     | 95                    | 13.5 ± 0.3 a         | 14.0 ± 0.3 a |            |
| *F. ipomoeae*    | 95                    | 11.8 ± 0.3 ab        | 12.8 ± 0.3 a |            |

*Features of colonies and macroconidia for *Fusarium* spp. on detached cherry leaves three days post-inoculation. (A–G) Detached leaves inoculation of *F. luffae, F. lateritium, F. compactum, F. nygamai, F. citri, F. ipomoeae and F. curvatum*, respectively. Left side of the leaf was wounded before inoculation, right side was unwounded; (H) Control.

3. Discussion

Leaf spot is a common and severe disease of sweet cherry caused by various pathogens, while the occurrence of *Fusarium* on sweet cherry has not been reported before in the world. *Fusarium* is one of the most renowned genera, which includes a large number of saprotrophs, endophytes or pathogens \([17]\). As a common plant pathogen, *Fusarium* spp. can cause many diseases with a wide range of hosts, usually causing wilts, blights, rots, and cankers (Ma et al., 2013). *Fusarium* can also be the causal agent of leaf spot disease in several plants including Dracaena, mango, peanut and *Bletilla striata* \([18–21]\).

*Fusarium* species reported on sweet cherry are usually associated with trunk disease. *Fusarium oxysporum* has been reported as the pathogen of cherry root and crown rot in Canada \([22]\), and *F. lateritium* was reported to cause trunk diseases in Australia \([23]\). Five *Fusarium* species have also been reported to cause postharvest rot on Chinese cherry \([24]\).

In this study, *Fusarium* species were identified as causal agents of cherry leaf spot based on morphological characteristics and molecular phylogenetic analyses. Among them, seven were pathogenic to cherry leaves; specifically, *F. compactum, F. luffae* and *F. ipomoeae* showed relatively high virulence.

*Fusarium compactum* had been regarded as a saprophyte, while being reported as the pathogen of corn and root rot of banana, a fatal canker on Italian cypress, and a wilt and root rot of peanuts \([25–27]\). *Fusarium ipomoeae, F. luffae* and *F. citri* were introduced as new species in the study reported on *Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti* complex from China \([15]\). Afterwards, *F. ipomoeae* was reported to cause leaf spots on peanut and *Bletilla striata* \([20,21]\), *F. luffae* was reported to cause fruit rot on muskmelon in China \([28]\), and *F. citri* was initially isolated from *Citrus* \([15]\). *Fusarium nygamai* was first observed on a basal stalk and root rot of grain sorghum \([29]\) and can also cause root rot on other crops including cotton, maize,
millet, rice and sorghum [14]. *Fusarium lateritium* can cause wilt, tip or branch dieback, or cankers on many woody trees and shrubs including some important fruit trees and coffee [14]. These species all have records as pathogens; therefore, attention should be paid to the *Fusarium* leaf spot on sweet cherry plant, which may cause severe damage in some conditions.

Relevant results in the current study expand the pathogenic fungal species on sweet cherry, and further research is necessary to understand the influence of *Fusarium* on cherry diseases.

### 4. Materials and Methods

#### 4.1. Sample Collection and Isolation of Fungal Strains

From 2019 to 2020, cherry leaf spot samples were collected from farmers’ fields in Beijing, Liaoning and Shandong Provinces in China. Each sample contains three leaves from a tree. Lesions were selected randomly and diseased tissues at the junction of disease and healthy region were cut into $5 \times 5$ mm squares, then surface-sterilized using 75% ethanol for 30 s and washed three times using sterile water. After drying on sterilized filter paper, tissues were transferred to potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates and incubated at 25 °C, and the hyphae from colony margins were transferred to fresh PDA plates after five days. After sporulation, single-spore isolation was conducted to get purified cultures.

#### 4.2. DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification and Phylogenetic Analysis

Mycelia were collected from the cultures on PDA media for seven days, and genomic DNA was extracted using the CTAB method. The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region was amplified first using the primers ITS5/ITS4 [30], and sequences were searched using BLASTn (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) on NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) to identify reference sequences. Then, the RNA polymerase second largest subunit (*rpb2*), translation elongation factor 1-alpha (*tef-1*), beta-tubulin (*tub2*) and calmodulin (*CaM*) genes were amplified, and the primers were listed in Table 5. The 50 µL reaction system for amplification contained 25 µL 2 × Taq PCR Master Mix (Biomed, Beijing, China), 21 µL double-distilled water, 1 µL of each forward and reverse primers (10 µM) and 2 µL DNA template. PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 34 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, primer annealing at the temperatures for 1 min and extension at 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were examined in 1.5% agarose gel and sequenced by Tsingke Biotech Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The sequences generated in the current study and reference sequences of *Fusarium* species downloaded from the GenBank database of NCBI (Table S1) were aligned with MAFFT v. 7 (https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/ (accessed on 18 March 2022) [31]. Aligned gene regions were adjusted manually where necessary and combined with BioEdit 7.0.9.0.

Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic analyses of species complexes were conducted using RAxML-HPC2 on XSEDE (v8.2.8) through the CIPRES Science Gateway (https://www.phylo.org/portal2 (accessed on 13 April 2022) [32]. The evolutionary model GTR + I + G was applied to all gene regions, and bootstrap support values were obtained by running 1000 pseudo replicates.

The Bayesian Inference (BI) analyses were performed based on the Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling (BMCMC) method using MrBayes v3.1.2 to evaluate posterior probabilities (BYPP) [33]. Six simultaneous Markov chains were run for 2,000,000 generations and trees were sampled at every 1000th generation.

Phylogenetic trees were visualized with FigTree v1.4.0 and edited in Microsoft Office PowerPoint 2016. The ML bootstrap supports greater than or equal to 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities greater than or equal to 0.95 are shown at the nodes in the resulting phylogenetic tree. The sequences generated in this study were deposited in the GenBank.
Table 5. Primers used in this study.

| Gene/Region | Primer | Sequence (5′-3′)       | Annealing Temperature | Reference |
|-------------|--------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| ITS         | ITS5   | GGAAGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGG | 58 °C                 | [30]      |
|             | ITS4   | TCCCTGGCTTATTGATATGC   |                       |           |
| rpb2        | 5F2    | GGCGWAGACAGAACAGAAC    | 56 °C                 | [34]      |
|             | 7Cr    | CCCCAGCGTGGGTTTCTCACTC | 55 °C                 | [35]      |
| tef1        | EF1    | AATGGAAGGGGAGCAAGAC    | 55 °C                 | [37]      |
|             | EF2    | GGARGTACACTGATCATG     | 55 °C                 | [36]      |
| CaM         | CL1    | GARTWCAAGAGGCCTCTTC    | 55 °C                 | [38]      |
|             | CL2A   | TTTTCATGGAGTGGGAC      |                       |           |
| tub2        | T1     | AACATCGCTAGATGAAAGTT   | 52 °C                 |           |
|             | T2     | TAGTGCACCTTGCGCCAGTT   |                       |           |

4.3. Morphological Identification

The isolates were cultured on PDA and carnation leaf agar (CLA) to observe colony and conidia morphology respectively [14]. Mycelial discs of 4 mm diam were inoculated at the center of PDA plates and incubated in the dark at 25 °C. Colony diameters were measured after five days, and cultural features were examined and photographed. Isolates inoculated on CLA were cultured under 12/12 h light/dark cycle conditions for 10 days, the characteristics of micro- and macroconidia were observed under Axiocam 506 color Imager Z2 photographic microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany) and measurements were made with ZEN Pro 2012 (Carl Zeiss Microscopy).

4.4. Pathogenicity Assays

A pathogenicity test was conducted by inoculating detached leaves with spore suspension. Representative isolates of each species were cultured on PDA at 25 °C until sporulation. Tender, healthy-looking leaves of *P. avium* cv. ‘Tieton’ were collected from Tongzhou Experimental Station for Cherries, Beijing Academy of Forestry and Pomology Sciences, Beijing, China. Leaves were surface sterilized with 75% ethanol for 30 s, rinsed three times with sterile water and air-dried on sterilized filter paper. Spores collected from cultures were dispersed in sterile water and the concentration was adjusted to 1.0 × 10^6/mL using a hemocytometer. Four small holes were pierced on the left side of the vein by a sterile needle for the wounded inoculation. Then, 20 µL spore suspension was inoculated on the wounds and non-wound treatment was performed on symmetrical halves of each leaf. Six leaves were inoculated for each isolate with three replicates. Sterile water was used as the control. Leaves were incubated in disinfected plastic boxes at 25 °C and 80% relative humidity, with a 12/12 h light/dark cycle. Disease incidence (%) [(symptomatic sites/total inoculated sites) × 100%] was calculated and lesion diameters were measured after the appearance of symptoms. The fungal strains used for leaves inoculation were re-isolated to confirm Koch’s postulates. Data were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the software IBM SPSS Statistics v20 to determine the significance of the differences. Means of different species were separated using the least significant difference test at the p = 0.05 level.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/plants11202760/s1, Table S1: Sequences of used in the phylogenetic analyses with GenBank accession numbers.
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