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ABSTRACT

Context. Radial velocity (RV) measurements from near-infrared spectra have become a potentially powerful tool to search for planets around cool stars and sub-stellar objects. As part of a large survey to characterize M-dwarfs using NIRSPEC at Keck II, we obtained spectra of eight late M-dwarfs (spectral types M5.0–M8.0) during two or more observing epochs per target. These spectra were taken with intermediate spectral resolving powers (R ~ 20 000) in the J-band.

Aims. We search for relative RV variability in these late M-dwarfs and test the NIRSPEC capability of detecting short-period brown dwarf and massive planetary companions around low-mass stars in the J-band (~1.25 μm). Additionally, we reanalyzed the data of the M8-type star vB10 (one of our targets), which had been presented in another article.

Methods. To achieve a precise RV measurement stability, the NIRSPEC spectra were self-calibrated by making use of the telluric absorption lines, which are present in the observed spectra and were used as a long-term stable reference. In the modeling process a multi-parameter χ²-optimization was employed to generate an accurate description of the observation. The telluric lines allowed us to model the instrumental profile of the spectrograph and the determination of the Doppler shift of the stellar absorption lines.

Results. For the entire M-dwarf sample, we found no evidence of relative RV variations induced by a short-period brown dwarf or massive planetary companion. The typical RV precision of the measurements is between 180 and 300 m s⁻¹, which is sufficient to detect hot Neptunes around M-dwarfs. Moreover, we found that the spurious RV shift detected previously of the star vB10 was caused by asymmetries in the instrumental profile between different observing epochs, which were not taken into account in the previous analysis.
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1. Introduction

The search for extrasolar planets has led to more than 700 confirmed discoveries by using all detection techniques. Up to now, most of the extrasolar planets have been detected by means of the radial velocity (RV) technique using high-resolution spectrographs (R = λ/Δλ ≥ 40 000) at optical wavelengths. Most discoveries are giant gaseous planets (typically hot Neptunes and Jupiters) of short-periods (of a few days) around stars of spectral types F, G and K.

As potential hosts to rocky planetary companions, M-dwarfs have become increasingly popular as targets for RV searches (e.g. Endl et al. 2006; Charbonneau et al. 2009; Mayor et al. 2009; Zechmeister et al. 2009). Very cool stars such as M-dwarfs are the most abundant type (~70%) of stars in the solar neighborhood and the Milky Way in general (Henry et al. 1997). The effective temperatures and masses of M-dwarfs are in the range 3700 to 2200 K and 0.5 to 0.07 solar masses for the M0 to M9.5 spectral types. They exhibit prominent absorption features corresponding to strong neutral atoms, H₂O, FeH, VO, CO, and TiO. Owing to the low masses of these objects, the reflex motion of the host star caused by the gravitational pull of the extrasolar planet is higher and more easily detectable than for more massive host stars. Because M-dwarfs are very cool stars compared with solar-type stars, short-period planets would more likely be situated in the habitable zone.

M-dwarfs emit most of their energy around 1.1–1.3 μm, in the near-infrared (NIR), while they appear very faint at optical wavelengths. First attempts to measure RV variations among very cool M-dwarfs at NIR wavelengths were made by Martín et al. (2006). They achieved an RV precision of around 300 m s⁻¹ for the M9.5-dwarf LP944-20 by using the spectrograph NIRSPEC, which is mounted on the Keck II telescope in Hawaii (McLean et al. 1998). Recently, several research groups have reported high-precision RV measurements taken in the NIR with CRIRES (Kaufl et al. 2004), mounted at the UT1/VT in the Paranal Observatory of ESO in Chile. Bean et al. (2010a) collected high-resolution spectroscopic data of over 60 M-dwarfs (spectral types M4–M9) and used a NH₃ gas cell spectrum as
a stable reference. They report an RV precision of better than 5 m s\(^{-1}\). Figueira et al. (2010) took observations of the planetary candidate TW Hya and achieved an RV precision better than 10 m s\(^{-1}\) by adopting telluric lines as a stable reference. Blake et al. (2010) report RV measurements of 59 M- and L-dwarfs using the Keck/NIRSPEC spectrograph, with the aim to detect low-mass companions. They made use of strong CO absorption features around 2.3 \(\mu m\) for M- and L-dwarfs and achieved RV precisions between 50 and 200 m s\(^{-1}\). Tanner et al. (2010) report preliminary results of a late M-dwarf survey by using Keck/NIRSPEC with RV precisions between 150–300 m s\(^{-1}\).

In 2009, Pravdo & Shaklan (2009) announced a massive planet around the M-dwarf vB10 discovered by means of astrometrical data. Zapatero Osorio et al. (2009, hereafter ZO09) made use of our NIRSPEC data set and found evidence for RV variations, which supported the planet hypothesis. They achieved an RV precision of about 300 m s\(^{-1}\). However, this planet was later refuted by different groups: by Bean et al. (2010b), who took high-resolution spectra (\(R = \lambda / \Delta \lambda \approx 100,000\)) with CRIRES and who achieved an RV precision of 10 m s\(^{-1}\), and by Anglada-Escudé et al. (2010). Additionally, Lazorenko et al. (2011) carried out an astrometric survey using the FORS2 camera of the ESO/VLT on Cerro Paranal, Chile, but found no evidence for the existence of a massive planet orbiting vB10. As part of this work, we aimed at finding out what had caused the spurious RV variations in the data analysis of ZO09.

Here, we report relative RV measurements of eight late M-dwarfs with NIRSPEC, and we support the capability of this instrument to detect giant planetary companions with short orbital periods. In Sect. 2 we describe our M-dwarf sample, our observations and data reduction. In Sect. 3 we outline the details of the data analysis, followed by the results and discussion (Sect. 4).

## 2. Observations and data reduction

As part of our M-dwarf survey (Deshpande et al., in prep.), we observed eight M-dwarfs (2M2331, GJ1116, GJ406, GJ905, LHS1363, RXJ2208.2, and vB10) at two or more epochs (Table 1) using the NIRSPEC instrument, mounted on the Keck II telescope on the summit of Mauna Kea in Hawaii (McLean et al. 1998). We aimed at conducting RV precision tests, and searching for RV drifts that could be interpreted as massive planets orbiting those M-dwarfs. Our sample comprised dwarfs with spectral types of M5.0–M8.0 and masses between 0.14 and 0.075 \(M_\odot\). Table 2 provides a list of the spectral types, \(J\)-band magnitude and the projected stellar rotational broadening \(v \sin i\) of the targets.

NIRSPEC is a cross-dispersed, cryogenic echelle spectrometer employing a 1024 \(\times\) 1024 ALADDIN InSb array detector. In the echelle mode, we selected the NIRSPEC-3 (J-band) filter and an entrance slit width of 0.432\(''\) (i.e. 3 pixels along the dispersion direction of the detector), except for the 2001 June observations of vB10, where we used an entrance slit width of 0.576\(''\). The corresponding spectral resolving powers were \(R = \lambda / \Delta \lambda \approx 22,700\) and \(R \approx 17,800\) for the 0.432\(''\) and the 0.576\(''\) slit, respectively. The length of both slits was 12\(''\). All observations were carried out at an echelle angle of \(\sim 63^\circ\). This instrumental setup provided a wavelength coverage from 1.148 to 1.346 \(\mu m\) split into 10 different echelle orders, a nominal dispersion ranging from 0.164 (blue) to 0.191 \(\AA\) pix\(^{-1}\) (red wavelengths). Weather conditions (seeing and atmospheric transparency) were fine during the observations, except for the 2008 epoch, which was hampered by cirrus and strong wind. Table 1 lists the individual exposure times and the signal-to-noise ratios (S/Ns) on average per spectral pixel in the stellar continua for each observing epoch.

For each target, the spectra were collected at two different positions along the entrance slit. This nodding allowed the later removal of the OH sky emission lines. For the identification of atmospheric telluric absorption, near-infrared featureless stars of spectral types A0–A2 were observed close in time (on average 3 min before or after the target observations) and position to our targets.

Raw data were reduced using the echelle package within \textsc{IRAF}\(^2\), Nodded images were subtracted to remove sky background and dark current. White-light spectra obtained with the

| Obs. date          | UT   | Slit | Exp. (s) | S/N  |
|--------------------|------|------|----------|------|
| 2007-Jul-24        | 13:43| 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 200 | ~50  |
| 2007-Jul-25        | 14:12| 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 200 | ~60  |
| GJ 406:            |      |      |          |      |
| 2007-Apr-24        | 7:03 | 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 200 | ~50  |
| 2007-Dec-23        | 15:32| 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 30  | ~50  |
| GJ 905:            |      |      |          |      |
| 2007-Jun-25        | 14:42| 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 20  | ~110 |
| 2007-Oct-27        | 10:51| 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 200 | ~280 |
| GJ T156:           |      |      |          |      |
| 2007-Jun-24        | 7:24 | 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 20  | ~140 |
| 2007-Jun-25        | 15:55| 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 4 x 300 | ~240 |
| LHS 1363:          |      |      |          |      |
| 2007-Oct-26        | 12:09| 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 300 | ~110 |
| 2007-Oct-27        | 12:13| 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 300 | ~110 |
| LP 412-31:         |      |      |          |      |
| 2007-Oct-26        | 12:44| 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 300 | ~60  |
| 2007-Oct-27        | 12:56| 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 300 | ~50  |
| RXJ2208.2:         |      |      |          |      |
| 2007-Jun-24        | 13:49| 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 120 | ~70  |
| 2007-Jun-25        | 13:40| 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 120 | ~70  |
| vB10:              |      |      |          |      |
| 2001-Jun-15        | 14:06| 0.576\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 100 | ~60  |
| 2001-Nov-2         | 4:43 | 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 120 | ~60  |
| 2001-Nov-2         | 5:39 | 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 120 | ~60  |
| 2007-Jun-25        | 13:22| 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 120 | ~70  |
| 2008-Jul-28        | 6:07 | 0.432\(''\) x 12 | 2 x 120 | ~20  |

Notes. (\(a\)) S/N on average in the pseudo stellar continuum per spectral pixel around 1.265 \(\mu m\).

### Table 2. Properties of the M-dwarfs.

| Name              | Sp. type | \(J\)  | \(v \sin i\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | Ref. |
|-------------------|----------|--------|-----------------------------|------|
| 2M2331-2749       | M7.0     | 11.65  | <12                         | Des11|
| GJ406             | M5.5     | 7.09   | ~3                          | Rei10|
| GJ905             | M5.0     | 6.88   | ~3                          | Rei10|
| GJ1116            | M5.0     | 8.52   | 17.2 ± 2.9                  | Des11|
| LHS1363           | M6.5     | 10.48  | <12                         | Des11|
| LP412-31          | M6.5     | 10.48  | 17.6 ± 3.8                  | Des11|
| RXJ2208.2         | M5.0     | 10.60  | 18.6 ± 2.3                  | Des11|
| vB10              | M8.0     | 9.91   | 6.5                         | Moh03|

Abbreviations: Des11 ...... Deshpande et al. (in prep.). Moh03 ...... Mohanty & Basry (2003). Rei10 ...... Reiners et al. (2010).
same instrumental configuration and for each target observation were used to flat-field the data. By adopting the apall1 task, we first identified and optimally centered the echelle orders in the two individual nodding frames for each target and traced these orders by adopting a second-order Legendre polynomial along the dispersion axis. In the next step, we extracted the one-dimensional spectra for each echelle order adopting the same aperture/trace parameters for the target and an arc-lamp exposure of Ar, Kr, and Xe, which was always acquired after observing the target and before pointing the telescope at the next star. The air wavelengths of the arc lines were identified using the NIST3 database, and we produced preliminary wavelength calibration fits using a third-order Legendre polynomial along the dispersion axis and a second-order one perpendicular to it. The mean rms of the fits was 0.03 Å, or 0.7 km s\(^{-1}\).

### 3. Relative radial velocity method

We measured the RV of the stars relative to the telluric lines present in the spectra and to a selected epoch of the star, employing a self-calibration approach. The RV of the telluric lines is constant in all wavelengths down to a level of 10 m s\(^{-1}\) (e.g., Figueira et al. 2010; Seifahrt & Käufl 2008), which is about a magnitude smaller than the velocity precision we can achieve with NIRSPEC. The basics of the self-calibration method have been extensively described (e.g. Valenti et al. 1995; Endl et al. 2000; Bean et al. 2010a), so that we just give a concise description of the method here and point out the important aspects of its implementation.

Briefly, the main idea is to model the observations and thereby determine the relative RV shift, and perform a fine-tuning of the wavelength solution at the same time. Basically, the model spectrum is the product of a high-resolution telluric spectrum with a Doppler-shifted version of a high-resolution reference spectrum of the star. The product of those two spectra is convolved with the instrumental profile (IP) of the spectrograph, and finally binned to the sampling of the observed data. By variation of the free parameters of the model (Table 3), the best-fit model is evaluated by χ\(^2\) statistics. The input Doppler-shift that yields the best fit represents the measured RV.

Since our method requires the presence of telluric lines in the spectra, we restricted the analysis to the echelle orders 66, 60, 58, and 57, which were heavily contaminated mainly by absorption lines of water vapor. These four orders correspond to a wavelength range of \(\lambda \approx 1.37 - 1.33 \mu m\), i.e. for all four orders together we have 20 chunks. This step was made to simplify the process of improving the model, to speed up the calculations and to account for variations of the IP throughout each spectral order. Each of the following steps was carried out on each chunk individually, and the S/N was determined by

\[
S/N = S/ \sqrt{S + S_{BG} + S_{BG2} + RON^2 \times 2n},
\]

where \(S\) denotes the signal level from a star in electrons, integrated over an aperture of \(n\) pixels, \(S_{BG}\) is the signal level of the sky background, \(S_{BG2}\) is the signal level from the sky background of the frame taken at the other nodding position, and \(RON\) denotes the read-out noise level per pixel in rms electrons (for NIRSPEC, \(RON = 65e^-\)). The noise errors were propagated in the following data analysis steps.

### 3.1. Step 1: Telluric template spectrum and determination of the instrumental profile

For the calculation of the atmospheric transmission spectrum, we used the line-by-line radiative transfer model (LBLRTM) code, which is based on the FASCODE algorithm (Clough et al. 1992). LBLRTM is available as fortran source code\(^4\) and runs on various platforms. As molecular database we adopted HITRAN (Rothman et al. 2005), which contains the 42 most prominent molecules and isotopes present in the atmosphere of the Earth.

Following the approach presented by Seifahrt et al. (2010), we created a high-resolution theoretical telluric spectrum for each observed spectrum by accounting for the air mass of the star as well as the weather conditions (water vapour density column, temperature and pressure profiles) during the observations. We retrieved the weather information from the Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS). GDAS models are available in 3 h intervals for any location around the globe\(^5\).

To calculate a first version of the instrumental profile (IP) of the spectrograph, we used the A-star observations next to our targets. First of all, we normalized the spectrum in a way that the flux in the telluric continuum was at one. Next, we refined the wavelength solution of the observed telluric spectrum with the appropriate high-resolution theoretical telluric spectrum by adopting a second order polynomial. We then determined a preliminary version of the IP as the sum of seven Gaussian profiles in a similar way as described in Valenti et al. (1995): around a central Gaussian we grouped three Gaussians on each side of it, which allowed us to account for asymmetries in the IP. Free parameters were the half-width and the central Gaussian, plus the heights of the six satellite Gaussians (cf. Table 3). To reduce the number of free parameters per chunk, and to ensure that the method works robustly, the positions and the widths of these satellites were fixed and set a priori in a way that their half-widths overlapped.

Next, we convolved the high-resolution theoretical spectrum with the determined preliminary IP and compared the resulting spectrum with the observed A-star spectrum. For a few telluric lines, we realized that the theoretical spectrum under- or over-estimated the line-depths. To produce a better match between theory and observation, we iteratively carried out a fine-tuning of the line-depths in the high-resolution theoretical telluric spectrum, then again convolved the modified telluric spectrum with

### Table 3. Free parameters in the model per chunk.

| Parameter                          | Degree of freedom |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Stellar absorption line depth      | 1                 |
| Linear stellar continuum trend     | 2                 |
| Doppler shift of stellar template  | 1                 |
| Telluric absorption line depth     | 1                 |
| Amplitude and width of main Gaussian | 2              |
| Amplitudes of satellite Gaussians  | 6                 |
| second order wavelength solution vector | 3               |

\(^4\) Source code and manuals are available under http://rtweb.aer.com/lblrmt_description.html

\(^5\) GDAS webpage: http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/READfamet.php

3 http://physics.nist.gov/PhysRefData/ASD/lines_form.html

5 GDAS webpage: http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/READfamet.php
the IP and evaluated the result with the observation by means of $\chi^2$-statistics. The iterations were carried out until the reduced $\chi^2$ reached 1. Figure 1 shows a comparison between an A-star spectrum (HD 181414) and the fit of the refined theoretical telluric spectrum as well as the residuals of the model fit to the A-star spectrum. The rms of the telluric model fit to the observed data is about 1% on average. The refined high-resolution telluric model spectrum from now on served the purpose of the telluric template spectrum. In the final step, we refined the wavelength solution of the observed spectrum, and then re-calculated the IP by adopting this new telluric template spectrum.

### 3.2. Step 2: Stellar template spectrum

Owing to the lack of appropriate theoretical model spectra that fit the stellar absorption features in the J-band, we created the stellar template spectrum for one selected reference epoch by calculating an IP-free and telluric-free version of the target spectrum. Concerning the reference epoch, we selected that epoch in which the telluric spectrum showed the highest S/N. To produce the stellar template, we first applied the refined wavelength solution of the A-star spectrum (which was taken – on average – 3 min before or after the target observations) to the observed target spectrum of the same epoch. Because the telluric lines were present in the target spectrum, we needed to remove them from the spectrum. In preparation for this, we convolved the appropriate theoretical telluric spectrum with the IP. Then, we divided the target spectrum by the convolved theoretical telluric spectrum (Fig. 1). Similar to Bean et al. (2010a) and Blake et al. (2010), we found that this approach led to smaller uncertainties than when the usual method of the telluric lines removal was carried out, where the target spectrum is simply divided by the appropriate A-star spectrum.

To create the final stellar template spectrum, we deconvolved the telluric-free target observation by the IP by employing the maximum-entropy method (MEM) with 5 times oversampling of the output spectrum. In the final step, we applied the refined wavelength solution that we had obtained for the A-star spectrum to the 5 times oversampled IP-free stellar spectrum, which from then on served the purpose of the stellar template.

### 3.3. Step 3: Fitting the observed data

For each target, we first determined the barycentric velocity differences $\Delta v_{bc,t}$ for all observation epochs $t$ with respect to that one of the stellar template epoch. This correction was calculated by using the JPL ephemeris DE200 (Standish 1990). We constructed the model of the observation by multiplying the telluric template with the stellar template, where its Doppler shift is one of the free parameters. The resulting combination spectrum was subjected to a convolution with the IP that was determined in step 1, and a new wavelength solution was calculated. Subsequently, all free parameters (i.e. line-depths in the models, IP, ...; see Table 3) were refined by employing Brent’s optimization algorithm, and the fit to the observed data was evaluated using $\chi^2$ statistics. The search range for the Doppler shift was $\Delta v_{bc,t} \pm 15$ km s$^{-1}$ with a step width of 10 m s$^{-1}$. We note that such a large interval would also allow us to detect strong relative RV variations caused by an unseen massive companions such as low-mass stars and brown dwarfs. We calculated the $\chi^2$ values for each Doppler shift and then determined the exact
standard deviation of the RV measurements in the chunks.

of the overall RV measurement was determined as the weighted arithmetic weighted mean of the approved chunks. The error of low-S

We attribute these spurious RV shifts to improper stellar tem-

ting sigma-clipping. No chunks were rejected for the stars GJ905, LP412-31, one chunk was rejected each, while for GJ406 two chunks were rejected. All those rejected chunks were lo-

cated in noisy areas with S/N levels lower than 40 on average. We attribute these spurious RV shifts to improper stellar templates which contained artifacts coming from the deconvolution of low-S/N data.

The overall RV measurement was then determined as the arithmetic weighted mean of the approved chunks. The error of the overall RV measurement was determined as the weighted standard deviation of the RV measurements in the chunks.

4. Results and discussion

We analyzed the data sets with our relative RV measurement ap-

We investigated the period and mass range of companions that we were able to detect with this RV precision. We deter-

The agreement between ZO09 and our measurements is within 1σ of the quoted uncertainties for all epochs except for the 2001 epoch (BJD = 2 452 076). We now explain the discrepancy of this one measurement. Similar to our data analysis, ZO09 used the telluric lines present in the target spectra as a stable reference, but in con-

In Fig. 4 we show our relative RVs of vB10 and the measurements by ZO09. We note that for a proper comparison, we adopted the same reference epoch as in ZO09. The agreement

Table 4. Relative radial velocity measurements.

| Obs. date BJD | Rel. RV (m s$^{-1}$) |
|-------------|----------------------|
| 2MJ2331-2749: |
| 2007-Jun.-24 | 4276.10874 194 ± 224 |
| 2007-Jun.-25 | 4277.09424 reference epoch |
| GJ 406: |
| 2007-Apr.-30 | 4220.79751 reference epoch |
| 2007-Dec.-23 | 4458.14962 −77 ± 238 |
| GJ 905: |
| 2007-Jun.-25 | 4277.11249 −233 ± 201 |
| 2007-Oct.-27 | 4400.95657 reference epoch |
| GJ 1156: |
| 2007-Apr.-30 | 4220.81513 141 ± 185 |
| 2007-Dec.-22 | 4457.16844 reference epoch |
| LHS 1363: |
| 2007-Oct.-26 | 4400.01234 −27 ± 196 |
| 2007-Oct.-27 | 4401.01511 reference epoch |
| LP 412-31: |
| 2007-Oct.-26 | 4400.03654 reference epoch |
| 2007-Oct.-27 | 4401.04491 298 ± 260 |
| RXJ2208.2: |
| 2007-Jun.-24 | 4276.07879 −189 ± 307 |
| 2007-Jun.-25 | 4277.07266 reference epoch |
| vB10: |
| 2001-Jun.-15 | 2076.08951 19 ± 230 |
| 2001-Nov.-02 | 2215.70560 69 ± 223 |
| 2001-Nov.-02 | 2215.74225 −3 ± 217 |
| 2007-Jun.-25 | 4277.05865 reference epoch |
| 2008-Jul.-28 | 4675.75669 131 ± 497 |
adopted theoretical models for M- and L-dwarfs, which well-described the observations.

Blake et al. obtained measurements with S/Ns in the range of 50 to 100 in the pseudo stellar continua, and they report RV precisions of 100–300 m/s for slowly rotating late-M and L dwarfs. The uncertainty of Blake et al. in the K-band agrees with our derivation of 180–300 m/s in view of our S/Ns. However, our wavelength coverage is about twice that in Blake et al. According to the relative RV precision formulae, we should have obtained better velocity precision in terms of wavelength coverage, which is not the case. We conclude that the larger number of deep lines (more than 30 lines with a line depth of more than 50%) in the CO-band region as compared to the J-band (only a few lines with a depth of more than 25%) as well as the use of theoretical template spectra instead of deconvolved stellar spectra appear to compensate for the shorter wavelength coverage in a similar factor (cf. Eq. (6) in Butler et al. 1996).

We note that Reiners et al. (2010) and Rodler et al. (2011) carried out theoretical RV precision studies of M- and L-dwarfs by adopting theoretical models of M-dwarfs (e.g. del Burgo et al. 2009). As result, they found that the highest RV precision for M-dwarfs is attained in the Y-band around 1 μm and not in the J-, H- or K-band. For L-dwarfs, however, Rodler et al. (2011) reported that the highest RV precision is attained in the J-band.

We conclude that for an accurate relative RV determination with NIRSPEC, a self-calibrating approach, which accounts for changes in the instrumental setting, produces the best measurements in terms of RV precision. Although with our RV precision we would be able to detect massive hot Neptunes around late M-dwarfs, we have not found any brown dwarf or massive planetary companion in our survey. Additionally, the re-analysis of the data of the M8-dwarf vB10 presented in ZO09 now clearly confirms the non-existence of a massive planet orbiting that dwarf and agrees with the results by other research groups (e.g. Anglada-Escudé et al. 2010; Bean et al. 2010b; Lazorenko et al. 2011).
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