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Abstract
Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has been recognized as a pandemic by the World Health Organization. Global efforts have been exerted to prevent the spreading of the disease through political decisions together with personal behaviors, which depend on awareness of the public. The goal of this study is to assess the knowledge, perceptions and attitude of the Egyptian public towards the COVID-19 disease. We conducted a cross-sectional survey about these points, which was distributed among adult Egyptians. Five hundred and fifty nine persons completed the survey. The mean knowledge score was 16.39 out of 23, gained mainly through social media (66.9%), and the internet (58.3%). Knowledge was significantly lower among older, less educated, lower income participants, and rural residents. Most participants (86.9%) were concerned about the risk of infection. While 37.6% thought that their salary will be continued if they become isolated, 68.5% believed that it should be continued during this period. About 73.0% were looking forward to get the vaccine when available. In general, participants had a good knowledge about the disease and a positive attitude towards protective measures. This knowledge is gained mainly through novel media channels, which have pros and cons. Although the government has taken major steps to educate the public and limit the spread of the disease, more effort is needed to educate and support the lower economic strata. If a vaccine or a treatment is approved, we recommend a government control over its use to preserve the rights of the vulnerable and needy groups.
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Introduction
In December 2019, a rapidly infectious disease emerged in Wuhan city in China. The disease was caused by a member of the family of coronaviruses, finally named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). The highly contagious virus, which caused the disease called coronavirus disease (COVID-19), spread outside China and has since become a global public health emergency [1]. In severe cases, the virus causes fatal pneumonia similar to that caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), which have emerged in the past 20 years in sporadic countries all over the world [2].

The coronaviruses have become the major pathogens of emerging respiratory disease outbreaks. They represent a large family of single-stranded RNA viruses, which can cause illness ranging from a common cold to severe symptoms like MERS and SARS [3]. The clinical symptoms
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of COVID-19 include fever, which is the most common symptom, cough, fatigue, malaise, and shortness of breath. Global concerns about the virus have risen due to its high transmission capability, which may be coupled with morbidity and mortality [4]. The elderly and patients with comorbidities are more likely to be infected and are additionally more prone to serious complications, which may be associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and cytokine storm [5].

Till the moment, there is no proved treatment or vaccination against SARS-CoV-2. Strong infection control measures are the primary intervention to minimize the spread of the virus in both health care settings and the community [6]. Public awareness of dealing with highly infectious respiratory diseases plays a vital role in limiting the spread of the infection, especially in middle and low-income countries, where health systems have, at best, the moderate capacity to respond to outbreaks. In Egypt, by the beginning of April of 2020, there were over 800 confirmed cases, with more than 50 fatalities, and a rapid tendency towards increase [7]. Vaccine development is estimated to require months, and thus management of the crisis depends primarily on people’s adherence to the recommended measures taken. These measures are largely affected by knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) of the public [8].

In China, lessons learned from the SARS outbreak in 2003 suggest that knowledge and attitudes towards infectious diseases are associated with the level of panic emotion among the population, which can further complicate attempts to prevent the spread of the disease [9]. Behaviors like underestimation, stigmatization, panic emotions, false measures to avoid infection affect the battle against such an uncommon situation [8]. This work aims to assess knowledge, attitudes and perceptions about COVID-19 among a convenience sample of the general public in Egypt.

Subjects and Methods

Study Design and Population

A cross-sectional survey was designed for the present study. The study was conducted in March 2020 among Egyptian adults, who are not working in the medical field. The survey was conducted through a link shared on social networking sites as well as through personal interviews. The latter was limited to limit the spread of the disease.

Study Tool

The survey questionnaire was designed in Arabic, the native language in Egypt, and it covered the socio-demographic characteristics, knowledge regarding COVID-19, perceptions about the disease, and attitude towards protective measures against COVID-19.

Validation and Pilot Study

A preliminary phase was conducted to assess the validity and reliability of the questionnaire before its use. Initially, three Egyptian experts in the field of epidemiology and research in Egyptian universities were asked to assess the degree to which items in the questionnaires are relevant and can correctly measure knowledge and attitude of the Egyptian public regarding COVID-19. Afterwards, questions inquiring about the attitude towards preventive measures were modified to reflect the attitude and not actual practice.

The next step was pretesting of the questionnaire on 20 participants who were excluded later from the study sample. They were asked to fill the questionnaire twice 2 weeks apart. Data were used to assess internal consistency reliability using cronbach’s alpha as well as test-retest reliability using the intra-class correlation coefficient. The results showed adequate internal consistency reliability (with cronbach’s alpha = 0.72 and the intra-class correlation coefficient was 0.96).

Data Collection

An online survey portal, Google Form was created, and participants were invited to complete and submit the form. A number of surveys were also collected through personal interviews, where data collectors interviewed patients at university hospitals. The process of calling participants to share in the survey was conducted through convenient sampling. It started from four starting points simultaneously (Cairo, Alexandria, Beni-Suef and Suez Canal) which roughly represent the main regions in Egypt, i.e. Central (Cairo), North (Alexandria), Upper Egypt or South (Beni-Suef), and East (Suez Canal). From these four starting points, participants continued to spread and were expected to cover most of the governorates in the country.

Sampling

The sample size was determined using the Epi Info 7 software. As there were no similar studies related to coronavirus disease, the calculations were based on the assumption that the probability of having good knowledge on and positive attitude towards preventive measures against coronavirus disease was 50.0% [10], at 95% confidence interval, limit of precision of 5%, with a design effect of 1.0, the calculated sample size was 384 participants. Accordingly, the survey portal was closed, and interviews stopped at the end of the day when the number of participants exceeded the sample size, i.e. at the end of the 7th day.
Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistical methods were used to summarize data on socio-demographic characteristics and medical history and responses to questions concerning knowledge, perceptions and attitude towards COVID-19. Data were summarized as frequencies (n) and percentages (%) for categorical variables.

Knowledge concerning COVID-19 was assessed by answering 23 multiple-choice questions followed by the calculation of a total cumulative knowledge score for each participant. Questions were given one point for correct response and zero point for unanswered questions or incorrect answers. The maximum score was 23, and the minimum was 0.

Student’s t and ANOVA tests were used to determine the relation between mean knowledge score and socio-demographic variables. In the case of a significant ANOVA test, post hoc analysis (LSD) was performed for multiple comparisons between each two categories. All data analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software, version 22. A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Considerations

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Alexandria University. Respondent’s anonymity and confidentiality were ensured. The submission of the answered survey was considered as consent to participate in the study.

Results

Five hundred and fifty-nine persons from 23 governorates completed the survey. Table 1 shows the socio-demographic characteristics of the studied participants. Nearly two thirds (62.3%) were females. Around half the participants (48.1%) aged 18 to less than 30 years, one-quarter of them (26.8%) aged between 30 to 40 years, whereas only 4.1% aged 60 years and above. Most of the participants (79.2%) resided in urban areas. More than half (52.2%) were university graduates, 17.7% had higher studies, and 25.2% had preparatory or high school education. Only 2.1% and 2.7% respectively had primary school education or could just read and write. The monthly income of a large proportion of the participants (44%) ranged from 2000 to 5000 Egyptian pounds. Supplementary Table 1 demonstrates that 27.0% of the participants had a history of one or more chronic diseases. Moreover, 15.4% were smokers, with 4.7% of them smoking water pipes.

All participants claimed that they had heard about COVID-19. The most commonly stated sources of knowledge were social media (66.9%), the internet (58.3%) followed by TV/satellite channels (52.6%). Other sources included friends or family members (38.1%), medical personnel (35.4%) and newspapers (6.3%) (Supplementary Table 2).

Results of knowledge assessment of the participants regarding ways of spread, common symptoms and measures to prevent the spread of COVID-19 are shown in Table 2. The total knowledge score ranged from 7 to 22, with a mean of 16.39 ± 2.63.

Eighty-six percent of participants thought that the disease is dangerous, and a similar percentage was concerned about the possibility that they or their family members could...
Table 2 Knowledge about COVID-19 among the participants (n = 559)

| Knowledge items                                                                 | Yes       | No        | Not sure  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                                                                                | No | %   | No | %   | No | %   |
| COVID-19 spreads by                                                            |       |       |     |     |     |     |
| 1. Droplets of affected person (with cough or expiration)                      | 536*| 95.9 | 13 | 2.3 | 10 | 1.8 |
| 2. Surfaces touched by affected person                                         | 531*| 95.0 | 10 | 1.8 | 18 | 3.2 |
| 3. Touching coins and banknotes                                                | 488 | 87.3 | 29*| 5.2 | 42 | 7.5 |
| 4. Dealing with pets                                                           | 175 | 31.3 | 216*| 38.6| 168| 30.1|
| 5. Stool (e.g. in public toilets)                                              | 174 | 31.1 | 168*| 30.1| 217| 38.8|
| 6. Goods imported from China                                                   | 189 | 33.8 | 200*| 35.8| 170| 30.4|
| 7. The disease could be transmitted from asymptomatic person                  | 457*| 81.8 | 47 | 8.4 | 55 | 9.8 |
| Common symptoms include                                                        |       |       |     |     |     |     |
| 8. Fever                                                                       | 529*| 94.6 | 8  | 1.4 | 22 | 3.9 |
| 9. Dry cough                                                                   | 543*| 97.1 | 7  | 1.3 | 9  | 1.6 |
| 10. Body aches                                                                 | 487*| 87.1 | 23 | 4.1 | 49 | 8.8 |
| 11. Difficulty in breathing                                                    | 543*| 97.1 | 4  | 0.7 | 12 | 2.1 |
| 12. Vomiting                                                                   | 129 | 23.1 | 231*| 41.3| 199| 35.6|
| 13. The virus may be more dangerous for the elderly                            | 551*| 98.6 | 2  | 0.4 | 6  | 1.1 |
| 14. The virus may be more dangerous in patients with chronic diseases          | 537*| 96.1 | 5  | 0.9 | 17 | 3.0 |
| Measures to prevent spread of the disease include                              |       |       |     |     |     |     |
| 15. Proper hand wash                                                           | 557*| 99.6 | 1  | 0.2 | 1  | 0.2 |
| 16. Maintaining an appropriate distance between yourself and anyone with symptoms| 554*| 99.1 | 3  | 0.5 | 2  | 0.4 |
| 17. Avoiding touching eyes, nose and mouth                                      | 543*| 97.1 | 6  | 1.1 | 10 | 1.8 |
| 18. Putting on facemasks in public places                                      | 427 | 76.4 | 59*| 10.6| 73 | 13.1|
| 19. Taking antibiotics                                                         | 74  | 13.2 | 380*| 68.0| 105| 18.8|
| 20. Eating garlic                                                              | 185 | 33.1 | 223*| 39.9| 151| 27.0|
| 21. An effective vaccine against the virus is currently available              | 12  | 2.1  | 459*| 82.1| 88 | 15.7|
| 22. An effective treatment against the virus is currently available            | 30  | 5.4  | 426*| 76.2| 103| 18.4|
| 23. Antibiotics can treat the disease                                          | 39  | 7.0  | 402*| 71.9| 118| 21.1|
| Total score                                                                    |       |       |     |     |     |     |
| Min–max                                                                        | 7–22 |       |
| Mean ± SD                                                                      | 16.39| ± 2.63|

*Correct answer

Table 3 Perceptions of the participants about COVID-19 (n = 559)

| Perceptions items                                                                 | Yes       | No        | Not sure  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                                                                                | No | %   | No | %   | No | %   |
| 1. I think that this disease is dangerous                                      | 481| 86.0 | 46 | 8.2 | 32 | 5.7 |
| 2. I am concerned about the possibility that I or another family member can get infected with this virus | 486| 86.9 | 58 | 10.4| 15 | 2.7 |
| 3. Infection with the virus is associated with stigma (for example: the infected persons feel ashamed because people are afraid of and avoid them) | 127| 22.7 | 397| 71.0| 35 | 6.3 |
| 4. I think the media coverage about this disease is exaggerated                | 94 | 16.8 | 424| 75.8| 41 | 7.3 |
| 5. I think this virus was initially designed as a biological weapon            | 150| 26.8 | 180| 32.2| 229| 41.0|
get infected with the virus. More than two fifths (22.7%) believed that infection with the virus is associated with stigma, and 16.8% thought that the media coverage about this disease is exaggerated. More than a quarter (26.8%) thought that this virus was initially designed as a biological weapon (Table 3).

The attitude of the participants towards the preventive measures to limit the spread of COVID-19 and their responses are presented in Table 4. Generally speaking, the majority of the participants had a positive attitude towards different items of the inquired preventive measures.

The relation between socio-demographic characteristics and knowledge about COVID-19 is demonstrated in Table 5. Almost similar knowledge mean scores were observed for male and female participants (16.27 ± 2.63 and 16.46 ± 2.62 respectively) with no statistically significant difference. On the other hand, there were statistically significant differences between knowledge mean scores of different age groups (p < 0.001), where significantly lower knowledge mean scores were obtained for participants aged 50 to less than 60 and those ≥ 60 years (14.88 ± 2.45 and 15.26 ± 3.05 respectively) compared to the younger age groups. Also, the urban areas residents had a significantly higher mean score among (16.66 ± 2.53) compared to rural areas residents (15.35 ± 2.74); (p < 0.001). The knowledge mean scores were significantly related to the level of education as well as to the monthly income (p < 0.001). Participants with university or higher education had significantly higher knowledge mean scores compared to those with lower levels of education. Similarly, participants with monthly incomes of less than 5000 Egyptian pounds had significantly lower knowledge mean scores compared to participants with higher incomes.

**Discussion**

COVID-19 disease was first identified during the outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome in Wuhan, China, in December 2019 [11]. On the 11th of March 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) characterized the disease as the first pandemic caused by a coronavirus [12]. The disease had spread in more than 200 countries with a mortality rate of about 5.7% [13].

Egypt is one of the biggest countries in the Arab region, Africa and the Middle East. With more than 100 million citizens, Egypt is among the most populous countries in Africa [14]. This high number of citizens could be associated with a great risk of spread and mortality, especially among old persons and those with chronic diseases. Global efforts have been exerted to prevent the spreading of the virus. These efforts include political efforts by the governments, together with personal attitude and behaviors, which depend on the awareness of the general public about the disease. Here we present the results of a survey about the knowledge perceptions, and attitudes of the Egyptian public towards the COVID-19 disease.

**Knowledge About the Spread, Prevention and Treatment of the Disease**

In general, participants in our survey had good general knowledge about the disease, its methods of spread, and prevention. According to the information provided by the WHO and the Egyptian Ministry of Health (MOH) to the public, we divided the symptoms of the disease into common and less common ones, and asked participants about these symptoms, which denoted a good level of knowledge about this point.

Novel channels including, social media platforms, and the internet represented the most important sources of information, at the expense of more traditional media platforms; namely: newspapers. Facebook is the main social media platform in Egypt, and users of this platform increased from 33 million users in 2016 to more than 40 million in 2019 [15, 16]. Interestingly, about 75% of our participants were in the age groups between 18 and 40 years, which represent more than 75% of Facebook users in Egypt [15, 16]. MOH started using different means of communication, including television and street ads, as well as mobile messages, to educate the public about the disease. Recently, MOH also started using sponsored ads on Facebook, which denotes awareness of policymakers about the importance of this platform.

Although these platforms provide an easy and accessible way of getting information, they can also be a source of misinformation. Fake news on Facebook about potential drugs, including hydroxychloroquine that may be used to treat COVID-19 motivated a lot of people to buy these drugs without medical supervision, causing shortage of these drugs for patients who are in real need for them [17]. Caution about the use of these platforms must be present, to avoid the spread of fabricated data and rumors.

In our participants, the mean knowledge score was significantly lower among older participants, those living in rural areas, with lower educational and monthly income levels. These results are similar to the results of a Chinese study, in which participants with high socioeconomic status were knowledgeable, and followed appropriate practices to prevent the spread of COVID-19 [8]. Our results are important since they may denote that more efforts should be exerted to deliver the message to these categories, which may have technical and/or financial difficulties getting access to the novel communication platforms.

**Perceptions About the Disease**

When we asked our participants about their perceptions regarding infection with the virus, most participants believed...
Table 4  Attitude of the participants towards the preventive measures to limit the spread of COVID-19 (n = 559)

| Attitude items                                                                 | Strongly agree | Agree | Not sure | Do not agree | Strongly do not agree |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------|----------|--------------|----------------------|
| 1. When I meet my friends and colleagues, I will always greet them with a handshake | 59 10.6        | 86 15.4 | 6 1.1    | 111 19.9     | 297 53.1             |
| 2. When I meet my friends and colleagues, I will always greet them with a hug   | 29 5.2         | 37 6.6  | 15 2.7   | 104 18.6     | 374 66.9             |
| 3. I wash my hands regularly and for enough period of time                      | 397 71.0       | 79 14.1 | 23 4.1   | 4.1 23 4.1   | 37 6.6               |
| 4. I usually put a facemask to protect myself from the risk of infection        | 83 14.8        | 121 21.6 | 46 8.2  | 155 27.7     | 154 27.5             |
| 5. If I find that I contacted a person infected with the virus, I will inform the health authorities | 352 63.0       | 85 15.2  | 47 8.4   | 31 5.5      | 44 7.9               |
| 6. If I have any of the symptoms associated with the disease, I will inform the health authorities | 352 63.0       | 94 16.8  | 39 7.0   | 28 5.0      | 46 8.2               |
| 7. If I find that I contacted a person infected with the virus, I agree to be isolated at home for a certain period of time until it is proven that I am free from the disease | 410 73.3       | 75 13.4  | 12 2.1   | 14 2.5      | 48 8.6               |
| 8. If I found that I contacted a person infected with the virus, I agree to be isolated at an isolation hospital for a certain period of time until it is proven that I am free from the disease | 335 59.9       | 90 16.1  | 40 7.2   | 26 4.7      | 68 12.2              |
| 9. If I am asked to be isolated for a certain period of time, I think my salary will continued during this period | 210 37.6       | 103 18.4 | 96 17.2  | 52 9.3      | 98 17.5              |
| 10. If I am asked to be isolated for a certain period of time, my salary should be continued during this period | 383 68.5       | 85 15.2  | 45 8.1   | 11 2.0      | 35 6.3               |
| 11. If there is an available lab test for detection of the virus, I am willing to do it | 351 62.8       | 104 18.6 | 45 8.1   | 27 4.8      | 32 5.7               |
| 12. If there is an available vaccine for the virus, I am willing to get it      | 408 73.0       | 87 15.6  | 24 4.3   | 11 2.0      | 29 5.2               |
| 13. I usually follow the updates about the spread of the virus in my country    | 392 70.1       | 84 15.0  | 23 4.1   | 29 5.2      | 31 5.5               |
| 14. I usually follow the updates about the spread of the virus worldwide       | 330 59.0       | 122 21.8 | 31 5.5   | 45 8.1      | 81 15.0              |
| 15. If a lecture about the virus is organized near me, I will attend it         | 118 21.1       | 105 18.8 | 79 14.1  | 84 15.0     | 173 30.9             |
| 16. If flyers or brochures that include information about the disease are distributed, I will read them and follow the instructions mentioned in them | 341 61.0       | 121 21.6 | 33 5.9   | 15 2.7      | 49 8.8               |
| 17. If protective measures and equipment are available at an affordable price, I will buy them | 420 75.1       | 80 14.3  | 11 2.0   | 14 2.5      | 34 6.1               |
Table 5  Relation between socio-demographic characteristics of the participants and their knowledge scores about COVID-19 (n = 559)

| Socio-demographic characteristics | Category* | Knowledge score | Test of sig. (p) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                   |           | Min–max Mean ± SD | t = 0.793 (0.428) |
| Sex                               |           |                 |                 |
| Male                              |           | 7–22 16.27 ± 2.63 |                 |
| Female                            |           | 8–22 16.46 ± 2.62 |                 |
| Age (years)                       |           |                 | F = 6.330 (< 0.001)* |
| 18–< 30                           | a         | 7–22 (16.68 ± 2.69) | a vsd; < 0.001*, a vs e; 0.012*, bvsd; < 0.001*, bvse; 0.024*, cvsd = 0.003* |
| 30–< 40                           | b         | 10–22 (16.57 ± 2.51) |                 |
| 40–< 50                           | c         | 10–20 (16.32 ± 2.15) |                 |
| 50–< 60                           | d         | 10–20 (14.45 ± 2.45) |                 |
| ≥ 60                              | e         | 9–21 (15.26 ± 3.05) |                 |
| Area of residence                 |           |                 | t = 4.859 (< 0.001)* |
| Urban                             |           | 10–22 16.66 ± 2.53 |                 |
| Rural                             |           | 7–21 15.35 ± 2.74 |                 |
| Highest level of education        |           |                 | F = 22.948 (< 0.001)* |
| Illiterate                        | a         | 8–16 (12.47 ± 2.53) | a vse; < 0.001*, a vsd; < 0.001*, a vsd; < 0.001*, pvse; < 0.001*, bvsd; < 0.001*, bvse; < 0.001*, cvsd < 0.001*, cvse < 0.001* |
| Primary school                    | b         | 10–18 (14.25 ± 2.49) |                 |
| Preparatory/high school           | c         | 7–21 (15.43 ± 2.57) |                 |
| University                        | d         | 10–22 (16.87 ± 2.41) |                 |
| Higher studies                    | e         | 10–22 (17.19 ± 2.33) |                 |
| Monthly income (LE)               |           |                 | F = 6.999 (< 0.001)* |
| < 2000                            | a         | 10–21 (15.11 ± 2.57) | a vse; 0.011*, a vsd; 0.02*, a vse; < 0.001*, bvsd; 0.005*, bvse < 0.001* |
| 2000–< 5000                       | b         | 7–22 (16.0 ± 2.75) |                 |
| 5000–< 8000                       | c         | 10–22 (16.7 ± 2.38) |                 |
| 8000–< 10,000                     | d         | 10–21 (17.2 ± 2.28) |                 |
| ≥ 10,000                          | e         | 11–22 (17.24 ± 2.42) |                 |

* Student’s t test, F analysis of variance (ANOVA) test
*# Statistically significant at p < 0.05
*# Categories of variables with significant ANOVA results. Multiple comparisons between each 2 categories are done by post hoc analysis (LSD)
that it represents a life-threatening danger, and were
concerned about the potential risk of infection of any member
of their families. Twenty-seven percent of our participants
had chronic diseases, and a great majority of participants
believed that the disease is more dangerous for the elderly,
and those with chronic diseases. This has been proven from
multiple studies published about the disease in China [18,
19]. Again this reflects the effectiveness of the message pro-
vided by the different media platforms, which was confirmed
by the negative assumptions that that media is exaggerating
the risk (16.8% only thought that media outlets exaggerate
do the danger of the disease).

A little over a quarter of participants thought that the
virus started as a biological weapon. This limited number is
interesting since it also reflects the growing awareness of the
public. A rumor about research that claims that the SARS-
CoV-2 was created in laboratories has spread through social
media in Egypt. The research, actually a preprint, was later
withdrawn by authors over peers’ criticism [20]. An article
published in Nature Medicine concluded that the virus is
not purposefully manipulated or created in laboratories [21].
Again, this sheds light on the cons of using social media
platforms as a communication tool.

About 23% of participants thought the infection of the
virus is associated with stigma. Although the number is lim-
ited, we think that it has significance, since it may lead to
underreporting of cases, which may cause rapid spread of
the disease. The most common example of patient stigma
in Egypt, even among healthcare groups, is the one associ-
ated with infection with HIV. A cross-sectional survey con-
ducted at one of the Egyptian university hospitals showed
that healthcare workers had high levels of stigma towards
people living with HIV, and called for stigma reduction
programs in Egyptian hospitals [22]. We believe that the
social stigma associated with COVID-19 is much different
from that of HIV, since the latter involves negative beliefs,
feelings and attitudes towards those infected with the virus.
On the contrary, the stigma of COVID-19 does not involve
these negative feelings towards patients. Stigma towards
COVID-19 is due to the fear of its mortality and high com-
municability, thus can be resolved through proper education
and transparency of healthcare policies.

Attitudes Towards the Preventive Measures
of COVID-19

In our study, participants showed a positive general attitude
towards measures that can be followed to prevent the trans-
mition of the disease. They believed in the value of hand
washing and limited personal contact. While about three-
quarters of participants believed that putting a face mask can
protect from infection, only about 35% were willing to do
this. Almost all participants in a Chinese study used to put
face masks when they go out during the current pandemic
[8]. Recently, the CDC recommended putting cloth face
coverings for the public, especially in areas where there is
significant community-based transmission [23]. On the other
hand, WHO recommends using face masks only if a person
has respiratory symptoms or caring for another person with
symptoms [24]. There is no consensus about the rationale
of use of face masks in public places to prevent the spread
of COVID-19 [25]. It is recommended that guidelines about
this issue be developed by governments and local public
health agencies to control the unnecessary use of surgical
masks, which are consumed rapidly during the current pan-
demic time.

About three-quarters of participants were willing to be
isolated at home, and a lower proportion (59.9%) was will-
ing to stay in the hospital if they contacted a case infected
with the virus. In order to minimize the crowd and slow the
spread, the government of Egypt enforced a nighttime cur-
few for 2 weeks starting from the last week of March 2020.
The decision included the closure of all restaurants and cafes
for the same period of time [26]. Water pipe smoking is
growing in Egypt [27], and among our participants, about
5% used to smoke water pipes, which may be a source of
transmission of COVID-19 through the sharing of mouth
pieces and hoses [28]. Accordingly, the decision of the gov-
ernment may help prevent this source of transmission of
infection.

While the health burden of COVID-19 is important,
the economic burden should not be overlooked. We recog-
nized a difference between the number of participants who
thought that their salary will be continued if they are iso-
lated (37.6%), and those who believed it should be continued
(68.5%). We think that this difference has significance since
it reflects the financial fears of participants, which could
also be reflected in the form of underreporting of cases.
These concerns should be addressed with openness and
transparency. The Egyptian president announced that 100
billion Egyptian pounds ($6.38 billion) had been allocated
to fight the corona virus [29]. We think that a part of this
fund should be directed to support the most affected groups
from the economic sequel of the disease.

The majority of our participants was willing to get tested
to detect the virus, and was willing to get the vaccine once
it is available. We think that some degree of governmen-
tal control should be present over the distribution of any
approved vaccine or treatment, which should provide prior-
ity for the vulnerable groups.

The development of vaccine and treatment for COVID-
19 raises many ethical questions. At the top of these ques-
tions come two basic questions: Who will get access to the
vaccine and/or treatment? And how much will governments
and individuals have to pay for them? The avian influenza
case of Indonesia, where the country supplied the samples
but didn’t have free access to the developed vaccine, clearly demonstrated this problem, and showed the imbalance between developed and developing countries in this aspect [30]. Interestingly, the Egyptian government had a very successful model for drug delivery during 100 Million Healthy Lives program to eliminate hepatitis C in Egypt [31]. First, Egyptian companies got permission to formulate several generic direct-acting antivirals against hepatitis C at a very affordable price through an exemption under the World Trade Organization Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights [32]. Second, the successful treatment program was under the umbrella of the government, which controlled the process of treatment and distribution of the drugs [31]. Finally, the program also included screening for diabetes, hypertension, as well as obesity. So, the Egyptian government now has a database of vulnerable groups among about 50 million adult Egyptian [31, 32]. This database can be very useful if a new vaccine or treatment is approved for COVID-19.

Conclusions and Recommendations

In general, Egyptians participating in our survey had good knowledge about COVID-19, and a positive attitude towards using protective measures, which is important to limit the spread of the disease. This knowledge is mainly acquired through social media platforms and the internet, which has pros and cons. However, knowledge was lower among older, rural, less educated, and lower income groups. This may necessitate more efforts or using different tools to communicate with these groups. Although the government has taken major steps to limit the spread of the disease, more effort is needed to support the most affected groups from the economic sequels of the disease. If a vaccine or a treatment is approved for the disease, we recommend that it should be available for developing countries for affordable prices and government control over the use of the vaccine and/or treatment to preserve the rights of the vulnerable and needy groups.

Limitations of the Study

The distribution of the survey through the internet allowed only those who can read and have internet access to participate. This represents a major limitation of this study.
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