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Abstract

Leaf spring is a simple form of spring commonly used for the suspension in wheeled vehicle. Originally called a laminated or carriage spring, and sometimes referred to as a semi-elliptical spring or cart spring. For uniform distribution of bending stress during the loading condition both full length and graduated leaf springs are clamp together and initially forged in a curved shape for initial residual stress. At the time of maximum loading all leaves become straight. This type of spring is called conventional leaf spring. One more concept of stress distribution has been generated that is with the change in thickness of spring in both full length as well as graduated leaves. This type of spring is called parabolic leaf spring. This research is to analyze the study of change in distribution of stress with respect to the length and thickness of leaves.
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Introduction:

Leaf spring is a simple form of spring commonly used for the suspension in wheeled vehicle. Originally called a laminated or carriage spring, and sometimes referred to as a semi-elliptical spring or cart spring.

Classification Of Leaf Spring:
1. Elliptic
2. Semi-Elliptic
3. Three Quarter Elliptic
4. Quarter Elliptic
5. Transverse
Conventional Leaf Springs:
It consists of a set of rectangular leaves jolted together with the help of clamps. This spring is attached either on the top or bottom of the axle of the vehicle via the U-Bolts depending upon the requirements. The number of leaves is generally not limited to a few and there are a lot of leaves in the spring with graduated length as we move from top to bottom. The leaves are thin and have rectangular cross section for easy stacking. There is no Z bending in contrast to parabolic springs to allow more contact surface between the leaves.

Prabolic Springs:
A new and modified version of conventional leaf springs is parabolic springs. Parabolic springs were made while keeping the idea in mind that normally leaf springs are generally stiffer and the vehicle ride can be uncomfortable for some commuters. To overcome these limitation parabolic springs were introducing which offer smoother springs action and thus make the ride comfortable.

Although, the manufacturers now have to lose on some weight loading capacity as conventional springs weight loading capacity as conventional springs have better load bearing capacity due to more area of contact. Thus conventional springs are preferred where ride quality is a concern over loading. Undoubtedly SUVs and off-roading cars. In parabolic spring the tapering occurs parabolic way, from centre where it is thick to the ends where it is thin. Meaning, the tapering follows the equation of the parabola.

\[ Y = X^2 + n \]

Where Y and X are related of the leaf.

Also, the number of leaves is also reduced. Normally, a parabolic spring contains 4-5 leaves is total as opposed to normal 9-10 leaves in leaf springs. As the leaves are trapped like this and due to the parabolic shape reducing the contact surfaces, it is easier to compress and decompress these springs thus making the ride very comfortable. The life of these springs is also more due to less chances of corrosion due to less contact. Thought the leaf springs are obsolete in racing and sport cars due to their large span and absence of versatility in spring stiffness which is quite required and swift cars, Chevrolet, TATA SUVs, Mahindra Commercial vehicle still uses it in some of its cars.

Steps Of Manufacturing
Methology:

Step 1: First the collected data is validated with the standard mathematical calculation.

Step 2: And then formulated the finite element model in the SOLIDWORKS.

Step 3: Different modeling techniques are considered for analysis are presented.

1. Initial the leaf spring is modeled and performed the analysis for different loads and compared stress and stiffness with the theoretical values.

2. Secondly the model is refined for different contact stiffness values and performed the analysis for steel material, where a parametric study is performed by keeping the contact pairs and the comparison of the stresses variation at the different stages is closely monitored.

3. The variation for the deflection, stiffness and stress at different loads and contact stiffnesses are plotted.

Step 4: The same processes was continued with varying loads, contact stiffness and thicknesses for the composite material.

Step 5: Finally it is observed that by using the composite material the leaf spring thickness can be reduced without affecting the permissible conditions.

Step 6: Finding the weight reduction obtained by using the composite material for the leaf spring.

Step 7: For maintaining the smooth riding the model analysis is carried for finding the natural frequency of both standard steel leaf spring and replaced composite material leaf spring.

Data Collection:

| Chemical Composition | Sup 9   | Sup 11  |
|----------------------|--------|--------|
| C                    | 0.50-0.60% | 0.55-0.65% |
| Mn                   | 0.65-0.95% | 0.70-1.00% |
| Si                   | 0.15-0.35% | 0.15-0.35% |
| Cr                   | 0.65-0.95% | 0.70-1.00% |
| P                    | 0.35% Max. | 0.035%  |
| S                    | 0.035%Max. | 0.035%  |
| Al                   | 0.020-0.060% | 0.20-0.060% |
| B                    | --      | 0.0005% |
Conclusion:-
1. This research work is based on a complete study and analysis of Parabolic leaf spring and conventional leaf spring. Model has been deployed to optimize and Same materials are used to analyze. Author conclude that
2. 1 The behavior of parabolic leaf spring (with four leaves) is better than the conventional leaf spring (with seven leaves) under same load conditions.
3. 2 Behavior of parabolic leaf spring (with three leaves) is unable to withstand during maximum load condition.
4. 3 Behavior of parabolic leaf spring (with five leaves) is more stiff less elastic as per the required condition.

Future scope:-
This research work can be optimize by taking variation in width of leaf of spring and mathematical modeling can be developed for no required leaf on the basis of load, length, and deflection of spring.

References:-
1. Prahalad Sawant Badkar, Prahalad Sawant Badkar “Improvement of Leaf spring of BEML Tatra 815VVNC 8X8 Truck” International of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, Volume 3 , Issue 1, January 2013.
2. Pankaj Saini, Ashish Goel, Dushyant Kumar “Design and analysis of composite leaf spring for light vehicles” International journal of innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology Vol.2, Issue 5, May 2013.
3. G. Savaidis, M. Malikoutsakis, and A. Savaidis, “FE simulation of vehicle leaf spring behavior under driving manoeuvres,” International Journal of Structural Integrity, vol. 4, no. 1, Article ID 17082873, pp. 23–32, 2013.
4. Dakshraj Kothari, Rajesh Satankar “Review of Researches on Leaf Spring Regarding Use of Composite Material and Various methods for Predicting Fatigue Life” IICRR Vol. 4 issue 01 Jan 2012.
5. Y.N.V. Santhosh Kumar, M Vimal Teja “Design and Analysis of Composite Leaf Spring” Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Nimra College of Engineering & Technology, Ibrahimpatnam, Vijayawada. (2012)
6. Manas Patnaik, Narendra Yadav, Ritesh Dewangan “Study of a Parabolic Leaf Spring by Finite Element Method & Design of Experiments” International Journal of Modern Engineering ResearchVol.2, Issue 4, July-Aug 2012 pp-1920-1922.
7. Malaga. Anil Kumar , T.N. Charyulu, Ch. Ramesh “Design Optimization Of Leaf Spring” International journal of Engineering Research and Application Vol. 2 Issue 6,November-December 2012,pp.759-765.
8. M. M. Patunkar and D. R. Dolas, “Modelling and analysis of composite leaf spring under the static load condition by using FEA,” International Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–4, 2011.
9. Vinkel Arora et al “A Comparative Study on CAE and Experimental Results of Leaf Springs in Automotive Vehicle” ISSN: 0975-5462 Vol. 3 No. 9 Sept. 2011 (IJEST).
10. P. Sanjurjo, C. Rosdr’iguez, I. F. Pariente, F. J. Belzunce, and A. F. Canteli, “The influence of shot peening on the fatigue behaviour of duplex stainless steels,” Procedia Engineering, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1539–1546, 2010.
11. E. Mahdi a, O.M.S. Alkoles a, A.M.S. Hamouda b, B.B. Sahari b, R. Yonus c, G. Goudah, Light composite elliptic springs for car suspension, Composite Structures, 75, 24–28.