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Abstract: In order to apply the concepts that allow the transition from a linear to a circular economy, waste generators and/or processors must identify those variants that generate products that can be used as secondary raw materials, thus also respecting the actions governing sustainable development. This paper presents such a variant, the briquetting of waste with high iron content, waste generated on current flows in steel enterprises or deposited in industrial sites. The obtained briquettes are analyzed for chemical and mechanical characteristics so that can be used as secondary materials in the steel production. An optimization of the chemical composition using generic algorithms is also proposed in order to obtain the mechanical characteristics necessary for the proper handling of these products.
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1. Introduction

In the context of sustainable development, the resource efficiency, reuse, and recycling of the steel in question of ferrous waste is very important for the steel industry. The efficiency and quality of raw materials and auxiliary materials is an integral part of the steelmaking process [1]. The transition from the linear economy to the circular economy requires action at all stages of the manufacture of ferrous alloys: from the extraction and transport of raw materials to the design of materials and products according to the new market requirements, to the production, distribution, and consumption of steel products, to their recycling and to the integrated management of the resulting waste, but also the recovery of the elements still useful from them. The steel industry must use all raw materials to their full potential, tending to zero waste in steelmaking [2,3]. Each co-product formed during the manufacture of steel, in the context of the circular economy, must be used in new products. This approach minimizes the amount of waste sent to landfills, reduces emissions, and preserves raw materials [2,4]. Co-products resulting from the steel industry (dusts, slurries, slag, milling) are recycled and transformed into new products for the industry. For example, 97% is recovered from the total amount of slag (BOF slag, EAF slag) produced (78% is used for cement manufacturing, 21% in road construction and the rest in agriculture as fertilizer) [3,5]. Powdery ferrous waste comes from the various operations of cleaning exhaust gases and wastewater, in dry or sludge form. Given the granulation of the dusts captured when cleaning gases, their storage raises special problems regarding the protection of the environment, so that almost all the steel companies that own such waste are looking for solutions to reintroduce them into the steel circuit [6–8].

By using simple processing operations of this small and powdery waste (agglomeration, pelleting, briquetting [9–15]), by-products can be obtained and can be used in the steel industry, when developing cast iron (agglomerates, pellets) or steel (reduced agglomerates,
metallic pellets, lighters with adequate mechanical strength–Figure 1). The condition that these products can be recovered in the steel industry is not to pollute cast iron or steel with residual elements such as Zn, Cu, Sn, Cr, Ni.

Figure 1. By-products made from waste with high iron content [7].

If, through the repeated recycling of this waste, there is an increase in the content of residual elements, which would lead to the contamination of cast iron/steel, there must be applied methods of reducing the content of these elements [16–18] or this waste should be recovered by other industries and not by steel industry [13,19,20].

Powdery and small ferrous waste resulting from different phases of industrial processes (in most cases steel) represents an intrinsic value, which is determined by the ferrous content (chemically bound iron, sometimes metallic) that can properly replace the raw material, namely iron ore/cast iron/scrap iron, in steel processes [6–8]. In addition to iron, the main element, some wastes also have a high carbon content as well as basic or fluidifying component, which are useful in the recycling process. Recovery of these wastes and their reintroduction into the economic circuit is necessary both from an economic point of view, because it leads to the economy of raw materials and from an ecological point of view [11,12]. The share of the use of ferrous waste is expected to increase in the medium and long term as a secondary raw material in the load of steel-making aggregates (global ferrous scrap availability expected to increase to 1 bn tones in 2030 and 1.3 bn tones in 2050). Thus, large parts of the natural raw materials are replaced by waste or by-products, from the steel industry or from other industries, which have mineral content or similar properties [2,11].

By using by-products obtained from waste deposits or produced on current streams, both economic and ecological benefits are achieved, thus saving natural resources (iron ores). However, these by-products must meet conditions in terms of chemical composition and mechanical characteristics (during their use in processing aggregates).
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The work presents the results obtained from the processing by briquetting of sludge (sintering sludge, sludge mill scale and ferrous sludge) resulting from the steel industry. From the point of view of the chemical and particle composition, the waste can be recovered by recycling, the choice of technology having to consider all its qualitative characteristics.

Powdery ferrous wastes were subjected to qualitative analysis, in order to determine the characteristics: chemical analyzes granulation and mineralogical and morphological analysis.

In the sintering sludge, samples were taken from the sludge warehouse in Bataga (Hunedoara, Romania). The chemical composition of the samples taken is shown in Table 1, and among the physical characteristics, we mention: the bulk density is 1.18 kg/dm$^3$ and the natural slope angle is 16°. The distribution of the grading classes has two well-defined areas that differ essentially by the form of the class distribution and is shown in Figures 2 and 3. Figure 4 shows the morphological aspects corresponding to the sintering sludge samples. In the analyzed samples, the particles have different sizes and shapes, some slightly rounded, others polyhedral.

Table 1. Chemical composition of sintering sludge, [%].

|        | Fe$_{\text{tot}}$ | FeO  | Fe$_2$O$_3$ | SiO$_2$ | Al$_2$O$_3$ | CaO  | MgO  | MnO  | S    | P    | C    | CL $^*$ |
|--------|------------------|------|-------------|---------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|
|        | 31.43            | 8.51 | 35.44       | 9.90    | 9.91        | 2.55 | 0.85 | 1.53 | 0.17 | 21.15| 1.19 |         |

$^*$ calcination losses.

![Figure 2](image-url) Distribution of particle size classes for sintering sludge, class 0–10 µm.
The sludge mill scale samples were taken from a steel plant, which were analyzed for the determination of the quality characteristics (the chemical composition was determined on the ARL 9400 XRF spectrometer—Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The data on the chemical analysis of the sludge mill scale under analysis are presented in Table 2 and the particle size distribution of the samples is shown in Figure 5.

**Table 2.** Chemical composition of sludge mill scale, [%].

| Elements | Fe   | Ca   | Si   | Mn   | Al   | Mg   | Cl   | Na   | Cr   | Cu   | Zn   | Ni   | Other Elements |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------------|
|          | 89.97| 2.14 | 1.91 | 1.97 | 0.87 | 0.60 | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 1.03           |
Figure 5. Particle size composition of sludge mill scale.

The ferrous sludge samples came from a steel plant producing raw iron powder. Moreover, for these, the chemical composition and the particle size composition were determined. In order to establish the particle size classes, the laboratory installation used is the vibrating sieving machine “Analysette 3” Fritsch (FRITSCH GmbH—Milling and Sizing, Idar-Oberstein, Germany) with a complete set of sieges (mesh sizes of the sieve 450–25 µm). The slam sample was graded by refusal and the resulting particle size composition is shown in Figure 6. The chemical analysis of the samples taken is shown in Table 3 and the morphological analysis of ferrous sludge is shown in Figure 7.

Figure 6. Particle size composition of ferrous sludge.
Table 3. Chemical composition of ferrous sludge, [%].

| Al   | Si  | Cu | Cr | Mo | Mn | Ni | Pb | Fe   | Sn | Sb | Zn | Other Elements |
|------|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|----|----|----|----------------|
| 0.003| 1.21| 0.08| 0.23| 0.18| 0.03| 0.003| 81.19| 0.001| 0.001| 0.03| 17.01          |

Figure 7. SEM images for ferrous sludge, 8000×.

2.2. Method

The previously analyzed iron wastes were briquetted in order to obtain secondary materials that can be used in steelmaking—the experiments taking place only in the laboratory phase. The briquetting process was chosen because it is a simple, reliable process, to which the force required for compaction can be adjusted. Moreover, the process can be easily automated so that a certain productivity can be achieved.

The lighter processing option was chosen without the addition of binders, the briquettes obtained, being intended for the steel industry, being used as a raw material for the elaboration of steels.

The experimental technology of processing briquettes consists of the following steps:

- Preparation of powdery ferrous waste (ferrous sludge, sintering sludge, sludge mill scale) for the formation of raw batch;
- Determination of the chemical and granulometric composition on the batch of materials subjected to processing;
- Dosing the materials according to the established recipe, for a lighter weight of 1 kg;
- Homogenization of the batch in the homogenization drum, the time for this operation being about 10 min;
- Briquetting the batch with the help of hydraulic press;
- Hardening of briquettes in the oven;
- Determination of the quality characteristics (chemical composition and compressive strength) for each batch of briquettes.

The technological process is shown in Figure 8. Lighters were manufactured after 10 prescriptions, the composition of which is shown in Figure 9.
Figure 8. Technological stream of waste processing through briquetting.
Figure 9. Composition of the experimental recipes.

The test lighters were subjected to heat-resistance in an oven, in accordance with the diagram in Figure 10.

Figure 10. Lighter hardening diagram (T-hardening temperature, [°C]; t-hardening time, [min]).

3. Results and Discussions

The iron content in the test lighters varied within 60–68.76%. Figure 11 shows the obtained lighters and their image (macro-structure) using the digital stereomicroscope model 520 Spin M-D.
| No. | Briquettes | Stereomicroscop image | No. | Briquettes | Stereomicroscop image |
|-----|------------|-----------------------|-----|------------|-----------------------|
| R1  |            |                       | R6  |            |                       |
| R2  |            |                       | R7  |            |                       |
| R3  |            |                       | R8  |            |                       |
| R4  |            |                       | R9  |            |                       |
| R5  |            |                       | R10 |            |                       |

**Figure 11.** Experimental briquettes.
For the assessment of the quality characteristics of resistance to handling and transport of lighters, three technological characteristics were determined by experiments: resistance to cracking, resistance to crushing, and crushing interval. For good handling and transport behavior, the literature [7,11] indicates for resistance to cracking $R_f > 2 \text{ N/mm}^2$, for resistance to crushing $R_s > 3 \text{ N/mm}^2$, and the crush range $I_s = (0.2–0.35) R_s$.

The determination of the technological resistance characteristics for the test lighters was carried out using the universal compression/traction test machine LabTest 6.50 as shown in Figure 12.

![Figure 12. Determination of the briquette’s resistance characteristics.](image)

For the resistance specifications the values obtained are:

- $R_f = 4–9 \text{ N/mm}^2$,
- $R_s = 9–18 \text{ N/mm}^2$,
- $I_s \geq 5 \text{ N/mm}^2$.

To establish double correlation equations between the technology factors of resistance to compression of the test lighters, the data were processed in the Matlab calculation program, the optimization being made using genetic algorithms.

Genetic Algorithm (GA) is a search-based optimization technique based on the principles of Genetics and Natural Selection. It is frequently used to solve optimization problems, to find optimal or near-optimal solutions to difficult problems which otherwise would take a long time to solve. Genetic Algorithms is proven to have many parallel capabilities, optimizing both continuous and discrete functions and also multi-objective problems.

The following notations were taken into account:

- $x_1$–Ferrous sludge, %
- $x_2$–Sludge mill scale, %
- $x_3$–Sintering sludge, %
- $y_1$–$R_f$–resistance to cracking, N/mm$^2$
- $y_2$–$R_s$–resistance to crushing, N/mm$^2$
- $y_3$–$I_s = R_s - R_f$–crush range, N/mm$^2$

Using the response areas method [21–23] we will further determine the connection between the parameters of this process and its characteristic responses as areas in the multidimensional space of variables. In the experiments conducted according to this method, the independent variables are varied simultaneously, taking a limited number of values in the considered experimentation range, called levels. With the help of this method, although the three independent variables are varied simultaneously, their main and higher-order effects, as well as the interactions between them, can be determined
separately. Changing the independent variables will automatically change the output data. The results thus obtained can be used to improve the performance of the studied process.

Considering the case of a process with three parameters \( x_1, x_2, x_3 \) that can be varied within the limits, \( x_{1a} \leq x_1 \leq x_{1b}, x_{2a} \leq x_2 \leq x_{2b}, \) and respectively \( x_{3a} \leq x_3 \leq x_{3b} \), the area in the plan of independent variables represents the experimental region, and the points of this surface, having different coordinates triplets of values \( (x_{1i}, x_{2i}, x_{3i}) \) of the parameters, represent experimental points. The area on which the responses for each test point are located is the response surface of the considered characteristic of the process.

Applying the design method of experiments (Design of Experiments) \([24–26]\) a scheme of experiments is generated, in which the factors take the extremes and central values in their fields of variation. This scheme of experiments is called the central experimental design or of the Box-Behnken type \([24–26]\), and the factors considered for our experiment fall within the following limits: \( 20 \leq x_1 \leq 100; 0 \leq x_2 \leq 70; \) and \( 0 \leq x_3 \leq 10 \).

The results thus obtained can be used to improve the performance of the studied process.

The second-order models best approximate the response areas also called regression surfaces:

\[
f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_4 x_1^2 + \beta_5 x_2^2 + \beta_6 x_3^2 + \beta_7 x_1 x_2 + \beta_8 x_1 x_3 + \beta_9 x_2 x_3 + \varepsilon
\]  

(1)

In matrix notation the relation (1) becomes:

\[
[y] = [x]^T \cdot [\beta] + [\varepsilon]
\]  

(2)

where \([x]\) is the vector of their factors and contributions on the model;

\[
[x]^T = \begin{bmatrix}
x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_1^2 & x_2^2 & x_3^2 & x_1 x_2 & x_1 x_3 & x_2 x_3
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(3)

\([y]\) represents the vector of the observations of the \( N \) response in the experiments; 
\([\varepsilon]\) represents the vector of the measurement errors; 
\([\beta]\) is the vector of the coefficients of the regression surface, to be determined.

\[
[\beta] = [\beta_0 \ \beta_1 \ \beta_2 \ \beta_3 \ \beta_4 \ \beta_5 \ \beta_6 \ \beta_7 \ \beta_8 \ \beta_9]
\]  

(4)

For the determination of the \( \beta \) coefficients of the response surface with the help of experimental data, the most appropriate is the method of the smallest squares \([21,23,25]\), which ensures a minimum dispersion of the coefficients determined.

For this purpose, the objective function of the form is considered:

\[
F(\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_9) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - f(x_1, x_2, x_3))^2
\]  

(5)

It follows that the determination of the coefficients of the response area is equivalent to the following problem of minimizing the objective function:

\[
\min_{\beta} F(\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_9) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - f(x_1, x_2, x_3))^2
\]  

(6)

which leads to a system of algebraic equations of the type:

\[
\frac{\partial F(\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_9)}{\partial \beta_0} = 0, \ldots, \frac{\partial F(\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_9)}{\partial \beta_9} = 0
\]  

(7)

The coefficients of the response area that shape the process in the study are given by the expression:

\[
[\beta] = \left( [x]^T \cdot [x] \right)^{-1} \cdot [x]^T \cdot [y]
\]  

(8)
In order to validate the regression model, it is necessary to calculate the correlation coefficient $R^2$, which measures the “proximity” of the response surface from the experimental points and has the expression:

$$R^2 = \frac{SS_R}{SS_T}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where: $SS_R = \sum_{i=1}^{N}(f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - y_i)^2$ is the sum of squares of errors in relation to experimental observations

$SS_T = \sum_{i=1}^{N}(f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - \bar{y})^2$ measures the total variation of the N observations.

$$\bar{y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The problem of determining the optimal parameters that maximize the response surface was solved using genetic algorithms [27–29]

$$\max_{(x_1, x_2, x_3)_{\text{optimum}}} f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The response surface that shapes the resistance to cracking $y_1$ according to the parameters: $x_1$–ferrous sludge; $x_2$–sludge mill scale; $x_3$–sintering sludge, has the expression:

$$y_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 4.00 + 0.0219 \cdot x_1 + 0.0393 \cdot x_2 - 0.025 \cdot x_3 + 0.000156 \cdot x_1^2 - 0.000612 \cdot x_2^2 - 0.0200 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 + 0.00125 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3 + 0.00429 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

having a correlation coefficient $R^2 = 88.62\%$.

The results of the optimization problem (11) are shown in Table 4. The response area (12) and related contour lines are shown in Figure 13 for the average value of parameter $x_1$.

| Optimal Parameters $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ | Maximum Value $y_1$ |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| (100.0000, 65.4843, 9.5232)          | 9.5130              |

Table 4. The results of the optimization problem for $y_1$.  

![Regression area](image)
Figure 13. The briquettes resistance to cracking depending on the proportion of sludge mill scale and sintering sludge, for a medium value of ferrous sludge $y_1 = f(x_{1 \text{med}}, x_2, x_3)$.

The response surface that shapes the crushing resistance $y_2$ according to parameters: $x_1$—ferrous sludge; $x_2$—sludge mill scale; $x_3$—sintering sludge, has the expression:

$$y_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 10.00 + 0.0563 \cdot x_1 - 0.0119 \cdot x_2 + 0.117 \cdot x_3 - 0.000104 \cdot x_1^2 - 0.000340 \cdot x_2^2 - 0.0567 \cdot x_2^3 + 0.000179 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 - 0.00375 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3 - 0.000183 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3$$

having a correlation coefficient $R^2 = 88.50\%$.

The results of the optimization problem (11) are presented in Table 5. The response area (13) and related contour lines are shown in Figure 14 for the average value of parameter $x_2$.

Table 5. The results of the optimization problem for $y_2$.

| Optimal Parameters $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ | Maximum Value $y_2$ |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| (100.0000, 70.000, 9.6293)          | 18.6088             |

The response surface that shapes the crushing range $y_3$ according to parameters: $x_1$—ferrous sludge; $x_2$—sludge mill scale; $x_3$—sintering sludge, has the expression:

$$y_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 5.03 + 0.0531 \cdot x_1 - 0.0298 \cdot x_2 + 0.092 \cdot x_3 - 0.000339 \cdot x_1^2 + 0.000170 \cdot x_2^2 - 0.0317 \cdot x_3^2 + 0.00259 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3 + 0.00429 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3$$

having a correlation coefficient $R^2 = 76.51\%$.

The results of the optimization problem (11) are shown in Table 6. The response area (14) and contour lines are shown in Figure 15 for the average value of parameter $x_3$. 
Figure 14. The briquettes resistance to crushing depending on the proportion of ferrous sludge and sintering sludge, for a medium value of sludge mill scale $y_2 = f(x_1, x_2 \text{med}, x_3)$.

Table 6. The results of the optimization problem for $y_3$.

| Optimal Parameters $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ | Maximum Value $y_3$ |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| (100.0000, 70.0000, 10.0000)        | 8.9500              |
Figure 15. The briquettes crush range depending on the proportion of ferrous sludge and sludge mill scale, for a medium value of sintering sludge $y_3 = f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$. 

From the correlation analysis shown in Figure 13 (level curves) it is noted that for sludge mill scale $x_2 = 33–37\%$ and sintered sludge $x_3 = 3–7\%$ (for $x_2 + x_3 = 40\%$), for the crack resistance, the better accurate $R_f \geq 6.5 \text{ N/mm}^2$ are obtained.

By analyzing the correlation shown in Figure 14 it is noted that for ferrous sludge $x_1 = 55–62\%$ and sintering sludge $x_3 = 3–10\%$ (for $x_1 + x_3 = 65\%$) very good values are obtained for shattering resistance, i.e., $R_s \geq 14 \text{ N/mm}^2$.

A technological analysis of the correlation presented in Figure 15 allows the identification of optimal variation ranges for ferrous sludge $x_1 = 58–62\%$ and sludge mill scale $x_2 = 33–37\%$ (for $x_1 + x_2 = 95\%$) so that very good values are obtained for shattering resistance, i.e., $I_s \geq 7.8 \text{ N/mm}^2$. 
4. Conclusions

In the “EU Action Plan for the Circular economy” presented in 2015, the European Commission supported the reasons why an appropriate, efficient, and rational use of resources, including through re-use/recycling/re-use of waste generated on current flows as secondary raw materials, can generate new and sustainable competitive advantages for the EU. Replacing the linear economy model and “closing the loop” of product life cycles through greater re-use and recycling—thus achieving a circular economy, can bring benefits for both the environment and the economy [30].

In the paper, the experiments carried out are aimed at identifying a technology and optimized alternatives whereby waste, generated on current flows or stored historically in areas where steel companies have operated, can be recovered by the industry where it originated, according to the concepts of the circular economy.

Experimental results lead to the conclusion that the waste analyzed (ferrous sludge, sludge mill scale, sintering sludge) can be processed by briquetting (with mechanical strength characteristics higher than the minimum values for this process), which allows the recovery of waste with large particle size variation limits (desirable below 2 mm).

The composition of the recipes can be determined based on the availability of small and powdery waste and the destination of the processed material: steelworks. By using a mathematical modeling with generic algorithms, optimal ranges of variation of the briquetting recipe components can be obtained (in the case of the three types of waste with the average composition presented in the paper: 33–37% sludge mill scale, 3–10% sintered sludge, 55–62% ferrous sludge).

Genetic algorithms are a modern tool used in artificial intelligence to look for a space for potential solutions to find a solution. They are faster and more efficient compared to traditional methods and help to find optimal solutions to difficult problems, while the traditional algorithm provides a step-by-step methodical procedure to solve a problem.

In order to obtain higher quality indicators for the products obtained (lighters), optimization of the kind described in the work may be used or some other changes to the raw batch recipes may be made, including by using a binder to eliminate hot hardening—in this case, much more rigorous control of the chemical composition is needed, especially from the point of view of the useful element: iron.
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