**INTRODUCTION**

Epilepsy is a chronic neurological disease characterized by recurrent unprovoked seizure affecting 50 million people worldwide and around 10 million people in India. Antiepileptic drug (AED) selection depends on the epilepsy diagnosis, seizure type with considerations for therapeutic efficacy, adverse effects, cost, availability along with an optimal quality of life. Treatment of epilepsy begins with monotherapy with a single anti-epileptic drug, but many non-responder patients require polytherapy and in some reports, 30% of patients continue to have seizures despite adequate drug therapy. The discovery of phenytoin in 1938 was a landmark achievement by Merritt and Putnam which showed great anticonvulsant activity without sedation and also cost-effective first-line drug. Phenytoin is used for generalized tonic clonic, partial, and other epilepsies and acts by prolonging the inactivated stage of voltage-sensitive neuronal Na$^+$ channel by stabilizing the neuronal membrane.

Clobazam was introduced as an anxiolytic agent in 1975 but also having potent anticonvulsant properties. It is a benzodiazepine but differs from classic agents by the placement of nitrogen atom at positions 1 and 5 rather than...
1 and 4 in the second ring and act by potentiating GABA mediated inhibitory neurotransmission. This newer second-line drug is very effective in multidrug-resistant refractory epilepsy, partial and generalized seizures, febrile seizures, status epilepticus but may have side effects, abuse potential, tolerance. Again newer AEDs being more expensive than conventional AEDs, their affordability and availability is a major problem in developing countries like India.

In India, we are in need of a classic AED that provide complete control of seizure, less side effects and drug interactions, cost-effective, better tolerability, medication adherence and upgrade the quality of life. Though some studies in the Indian population have shown the proficiency of clobazam as an add on drug, data regarding monotherapy, efficacy, and safety in treatment regimens are limited. In spite of extensive literature search, we could not find any study comparing the effectiveness and safety of these two drugs in the Indian population for epilepsy treatment as monotherapy.

Therefore, our primary outcome of the study was to compare the reduction in seizure frequency and safety (secondary outcome) of phenytoin and clobazam monotherapy in newly diagnosed adult epileptic patients in a multi-speciality teaching hospital, SIMS, Hapur.

**Aims and objectives**
The aim of the study was to compare the reduction in seizure frequency and safety of phenytoin and clobazam monotherapy in untreated adult epileptic patients

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was executed in the Department of Pharmacology in collaboration with the Department of Medicine of Saraswathi Institute of Medical Sciences, Hapur, U.P.- a tertiary care teaching hospital.

After getting approval from Institutional Ethical Committee, a total of 99 patients were screened for the study. Out of them, who fulfilled the eligibility criteria 80 trial participants were recruited from the outpatient department of Epilepsy Clinic along with their written informed consent.

This observational, open-label, prospective, parallel-group study was regulated for 9 months of duration from March 2021 to December 2021. All the new cases of epilepsy of either sex, of the age groups between 18 and 46 years, with generalized or focal seizures with or without secondary generalization and were not already on AED therapy were included for the study. Patients with a concomitant serious systemic disorder, psychotic disorders, pregnant women, patients with a demonstrable cause of epilepsy and those unwilling to comply with follow-up schedules were excluded for the study.

Demographic details, diagnosis, seizure type, frequency of seizures, duration, epilepsy characteristics, medical history, details of their physical examination, and drug history were recorded with proper proforma. A comparison was done between two groups regarding various outcome parameters such as seizure type, family history, frequency of seizure per month, duration of seizures in minutes, and duration of illness in days.

A total sample size of 60 was taken and again in consideration of drop-outs and non-compliance of the patients, the sample size was increased up to 80 screened participant's for the study of 6 months.

The participants were divided into two equal groups- Group-A and Group-B containing 40 patients each. The patients of Group-A received phenytoin-sodium in a loading dose of 15 mg/kg orally (divided into three doses) on day 1, followed by 5 mg/kg/day maintenance dose for 6 months or more. While the patients of Group-B received clobazam in a dose of 1 mg/kg oral loading dose followed by 0.5 mg/kg/day for 6 months of duration. The change in seizure severity, the dose of clobazam and phenytoin required, and development of side effects was followed up at 1, 2, 3, 4.5 and 6 months after initiation of therapy.

Routine investigations as complete blood count, liver and renal function tests, cranial CT scan and EEG were done to rule out any co-existing disease.

Our primary objective was to determine the percentage of improvement in the numbers and duration of seizures frequency that was calculated at each visit of follow-up at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4.5, 6 months. Assessment was carried out by guidelines of the International League Against Epilepsy and seizure frequency rate and duration were compared with baseline.

The patients were considered to be Total responders if there were no seizures at the end of 6 months follow-up period, if the number of seizures reduced by 50–100% of the pre-treatment level they were called Partial responders and were termed as Non responders if the seizures reduced by <50% than baseline. The secondary objective was to monitor the adverse drug events and safety assessment.

The importance of compliance was explained and reinforced at each visit and monitored for adverse drug reactions with prompt management, uncontrolled break through seizure, or worsening of symptoms.
Statistical analysis
Statistical Package for Social Sciences Version 23.0 (SPSS/ PC; SPSS-23.0, Chicago, USA) was used for data analysis and calculations. The results were compared between groups by nonparametric Wilcoxon Signed-rank test, Mann-Whitney U test, Fisher's exact test and Parametric Paired and Unpaired t-test, Chi-square test.

RESULTS
Out of the 80 study participants, 60 patients completed regular follow-up schedule of which n=30 were in phenytoin treated Group A (5 lost to follow-up, 5 discontinued intervention), while n=30 were also in clobazam treated Group B (6 lost to follow-up, 4 discontinued intervention). The data were analyzed using last observation carried forward method that is 60 patient’s data were analyzed.

Table 1 showed the baseline demographic characteristics and clinical parameters, that were compared in two treatment groups and there were no statistically significant differences between these groups.

Table 1 also showed that there were 37 (61.67%) males and 23 (38.33%) females aged between 18–46 years (average mean age 24.83±6.79) included in the study.

The seizure type included generalized tonic clonic seizure (n=35), complex partial seizure (n=18) and 7 patients had other seizure type.

There was no statistically significant difference between Group A and Group B in baseline seizure frequency per month, seizure duration in minutes, and duration of illness (days).

Table 2 had showed in Group A, mean pre-treatment baseline seizure frequency was 6.3±2.19 at 0 month, 3.16±1.60 at 1 month, 2.10±1.16 at 2 months, 1.83±1.18 at 3 months, 1.80±1.40 at 4.5 months, and 2.30±1.99 at 6 months.

In Group B, mean pre-treatment baseline seizure frequency was 5.80±2.22 at 0 month, 3.37±1.85 at 1 month, 2.37±1.27 at 2 months, 1.67±1.58 at 3 months, 1.33±1.58 at 4.5 months and 1.30±1.91 at 6 months.

Between–group comparison (paired T Test) showed that both the drug groups significantly decreased the seizure frequency at different time interval but Group B (P<0.01, P=0.001) was considered more superior than Group A.

Figure 1 demonstrated the comparative efficacy of the drugs at various time interval and compliance. It showed that there was a statistically significant difference between two groups where Group B was found more efficacious clinically and statistically.

After 6 months follow up, Group A had, 30.00% seizure freedom (Total responder) compared to 53.33% in Group B; while ≥ 50% seizure reduction (Partial responders) were 26.67% equal in each groups. Again, 43.33% of patients in Group A had seizure reduction <50% (Non responders) compared to 20.0% in Group B as described in Table 3.

Table 4 showed that out of total 48 ADRs, 32 (66.67%) ADRs were reported in Group A while 16 (33.33%) ADRs in Group B.
The commonest side effect was drowsiness (n=19) followed by weight gain (n=11), headache (n=6), tiredness (n=4), nausea (n=3), ataxia (n=3), skin rash (n=2).

Adverse event rate was more in phenytoin group compared to clobazam group but it was statistically insignificant.

DISCUSSION

In this study, new-onset epilepsy patients were treated by monotherapy of phenytoin and clobazam in two treatment groups and their therapeutic efficacy and safety were compared.

In our study, 61.67% of patients were male and 38.33% were female. However, many other studies also showed male predominance. The average mean age of the patients was 24.83 years, while GTCS was the most frequently occurring seizure type. Similar findings were supported by many other study reports.

According to Indian guidelines of epilepsy, treatment should start with older anti-epileptics like phenytoin as first-line drug due to less costly and well-known side effects. The current trend is to add other drugs like clobazam until acceptable improvement occurs, but may have a mediocre success rate of only 10% while increasing detrimental ADRs. The use of a single antiepileptic drug at the minimally effective dose, up to the maximum tolerated dose, is the suitable therapy for patients.

Phenytoin is one of the most commonly used drugs worldwide especially for partial and generalized tonic-clonic seizures as mono or polytherapy. Clobazam was frequently prescribed as add-on therapy, but it had validated efficacy as monotherapy as well.

After 6 months follow up, 9 (30.00%) patients had complete seizure freedom, 8 (26.67%) patients had ≥50% seizure reduction and 13 (43.33%) patients had <50% seizure reduction and 13 (43.33%) patients had <50% seizure reduction.
In the present study, 16 (53.33%) patients had complete seizure freedom, 8 (26.67%) patients had ≥50% seizure reduction and 6 (20.00%) patients had <50% seizure reduction in clobazam treated Group B. In a study by Schmidt et al., 40% had >75% seizure reduction, 20% became seizure free, and adverse effects occurred in 40% of the patients. Another study by Schmidt et al., showed >75% seizure reduction, 20% became seizure free while 20% of patients had ≥50% seizure reduction with very less treatment failure. Our results close with the findings of Mehndiratta et al.

Approximately 66.67% of patients in Group A and 33.33% of patients in Group B experienced adverse events (statistically non-significant) of mild-to-moderate severity such as drowsiness, weight gain, headache, tiredness, ataxia, nausea, skin rash.

The causality was assessed using Naranjo’s Algorithm, which is one of the most widely used methods for evaluating adverse reactions and the severity of the ADRs using Hartwing and Siegel scale. None of these side effects warranted discontinuation of the therapy, treatment failure, or break through seizure. In majority of the patients, the ADRs were either self-limiting or resolved after dose adjustment and symptomatic treatments.

In another study also clobazam was considered safer with fewer side effects than phenytoin that supports our result.

Phenytoin is used as a first-line drug in low and middle-income countries like India as it is low-cost drug and can be given as a single daily dose but no longer considered as a first-line agent in developed countries due to many adverse effects.

CONCLUSION

Both the drugs had shown clinically and statistically significant reduction in seizure frequency in comparison to baseline seizures in new-onset epileptic patients. The results of this study are comparable with previously conducted studies.

Table 4: Adverse drug reactions in two treatment groups

| Adverse Events | Group-A (Phenytoin treated); n=30 | Group-B (Clobazam treated); n=30 | Total | P value |
|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|---------|
| Drowsiness     | 12                               | 7                                | 19    |         |
|                | 37.5%                            | 43.8%                            | 39.6% |         |
| Weight Gain    | 9                                | 2                                | 11    |         |
|                | 28.1%                            | 12.5%                            | 22.9% |         |
| Nausea         | 2                                | 1                                | 3     |         |
|                | 6.3%                             | 6.3%                             | 6.3%  |         |
| Headache       | 4                                | 2                                | 6     |         |
|                | 12.5%                            | 12.5%                            | 12.5% |         |
| Skin Rash      | 1                                | 1                                | 2     | 0.793   |
|                | 3.1%                             | 6.3%                             | 4.2%  |         |
| Tiredness      | 3                                | 1                                | 4     |         |
|                | 9.4%                             | 6.3%                             | 8.3%  |         |
| Ataxia         | 1                                | 2                                | 3     |         |
|                | 3.1%                             | 12.5%                            | 6.3%  |         |
| Total          | 32                               | 16                               | 48    |         |
|                | 100.0%                           | 100.0%                           | 100.0%|         |

Figure 1: Comparative efficacy of phenytoin and clobazam in new-onset epileptic patients in different follow-ups

Limitations of the study

Limitation of the study was, it was undertaken in a single medical college with small number of population, and the duration of the study was short. Further studies may take up with larger study groups and for prolonged study periods.
with their respective baselines. However, intergroup comparison had validated that clobazam was statistically superior than phenytoin. Both the drugs were well tolerated but phenytoin had greater percentage of adverse events. Clobazam can be safely prescribed as monotherapy in epileptic patients.
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