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ABSTRACT

Infection is still noted to be one of the major complications in the treatment of fractures. Infections are associated with prolonged hospital stay, leading to higher treatment cost, morbidity and mortality, particularly when the infecting agents are multidrug resistant. To compare incidence of infective agents and their resistance pattern between post-operative closed and open fracture wounds. In this particular study 125 patients of all age group were enrolled with exclusion of patients with history of diabetes mellitus, chronic steroid therapy, chemotherapy and HIV positive patients. Patients were divided into closed fracture and open fracture patients. A total of 120 isolates were obtained from 110 patients. 15 patients were cultured sterile. Organisms obtained were Enterobacter spp. (19.17%), Klebsiella spp. (15.83%), Staphylococcus aureus (12.5%), Coagulase negative Staphylococcus spp. (11.67%), E. coli (10.83%), Pseudomonas (10.83%), Acinetobacter spp. (7.50%), Citrobacter spp. (5.0%), Burkholderia cepacia complex (2.5%), Aeromonas hydrophila (2.5%), Proteus mirabilis (0.83%) and Aerococcus viridans (0.83%). 100% isolates of Staphylococcus species were sensitive against vancomycin, followed by linezolid, gentamicin, tetracycline, ciprofloxacin and azithromycin. Ampicillin, amoxicillin-clav and ceftriaxone were most ineffective drugs. Enterobacteriaceae isolates were almost totally resistant against ampicillin followed by amoxy-clav. Levofloxacin and imipenem were found most effective antibiotics. Non-enterobacteriaceae gram negative strains were multidrug resistant, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and imipenem were found effective in 50-63% patients. In our study there was no significant difference of antibiotic sensitivity pattern was noted between post-operative closed and open fracture wounds.
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Introduction

Fracture wounds are very common in orthopedic wards. They may arise following trauma, in association with hemoglobinopathy, post-operatively, or could be primarily of infective origin. The contamination or mere presence of
pathogenic organism in wound without local or systemic tissue or both involvement may not result in infection in patient (Badoe et al., 1986). Wound infection could be defined as presence of pus in a lesion, as well as other general or local features of sepsis including pyrexia, pain and induration (Shija, 1976). A recent prevalence study has found that post surgical infections now termed as Surgical Site Infections (SSI)] were most common health care associated infection, accounting for 31% of all health care associated infections (HAI) among hospitalized patients (Mangram et al., 1999).

Open fractures are more commonly associated with the infection. The treatment of open fractures along with infection has been improved in recent years. Disruption of the tissue barrier makes underlying structure prone to infection due to contact with environment (Horan et al., 1992). Infections in closed fractures are Healthcare Associated Infection (HAI) received during operative procedures of Open Reduction and Internal Fixation (ORIF). Studies have found in Open Reduction and Internal Fixation (ORIF) procedures an over-all infection rate is more as compared to closed fractures (De Bakey et al., 1946). Anatomic site and the severity of soft-tissue trauma may cause varying degree of infection and that may lead to complications with the tibia being most often affected (Fischer et al., 2000).

Knowledge of the etiological agents and their antibiotic susceptibility enables in selection of most appropriate antibiotic, therefore reducing treatment cost and duration of hospital stay. ORIF of with implants and prosthesis has now become the predominant modality of treatment of fractures in most trauma centers. This is because of the better understanding of the bio-mechanics of implants, their material and thus resulting in better functional outcome in these patients (Kirk et al., 2004). Surgical site infections remain the third most common nosocomial infection after urinary tract infections and pneumonia, and are the most common nosocomial infection in the surgical population at 38% (Anderson & Sexton, 2007).

Besides advanced techniques, nosocomial infection also poses hurdles in treatment of patients. Discovery of superbugs in hospital settings are creating great challenge in the choice of antibiotics.

Subjects and Methods

Samples for the present study were obtained from post-operative patients who had undergone operative procedure for management of closed or open fractures. Surgical sites were considered infected according to the set of clinical criteria mentioned by CDC. The specimens were collected aseptically using sterile cotton wool swabs. Samples were obtained from surgical site from the depth of wound, without contaminating with skin commensals and immediately transported to laboratory.

Inclusion criteria

1. Closed fracture wound patients with post-operative infection.

2. Open fracture wound patients with post-operative infection.

Exclusion criteria

1. Patients with immunodeficient states like diabetes mellitus, obesity, carcinoma, HIV/AIDS patients and patients on drugs such as steroids or cytotoxics.
2. Patients with pathological fractures.
3. Refusal to give consent for participating in the study.

**Patient data collection**

Structured questionnaires were used to extract data from the patients’ case notes and/or the patients directly. The information included were, demographic data, existing chronic disease, immunocompromised states, smoking, occupation and any significant family history.

Identification of organisms: Bacterial isolates were identified by conventional methods and also by Vitek 2 automated system.

**Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing**

Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of isolated bacterial pathogens was performed by Kirby Bauer Disc Diffusion method (Bauer et al., 1966) and results were interpreted using criteria mentioned in the CLSI guidelines M100-S25.

Commercially available disks from Himedia were used. Gram positive isolates were tested against ampicillin (10µg), amoxy-clav (10µg), cefoxitin (30µg), ciprofloxacin (5µg), azithromycin (30µg), clindamycin (2µg), vancomycin (10µg), tetracycline (30µg), linezolid (30µg) and gentamicin (10µg). Gram negative isolates were tested against ampicillin (10µg), amoxy-clav (10µg), piperacillin (100µg), ceftriaxone (30µg), ceftazidime (30µg), cefepime (30µg), ciprofloxacin (5µg), levofloxacin (5µg), imipenem (10µg), amikacin (30µg) and gentamicin (10µg).

Quality control: Escherichia coli (ATCC-25922) and Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC-25923) were used as reference strains for identification and drug susceptibility testing.

**Results and Discussion**

In the present study 125 cases of open and closed fracture wounds were included who showed signs of post-operative infection at surgical site. The patients of both gender and different age groups were included. Duration of study was from February 2015 to January 2016.

Out of 125 cases 102 were males and 23 patients were females. Among 102 male patients 63 were having closed fracture and 39 were having open fracture. Among 23 female patients 19 were having closed fracture and 4 were having open fracture. Closed fracture cases were more (65.6%) as compared to open fracture cases (34.4%). Majority of cases belonged to middle age group. [Figure 1] Out of total 125 samples processed, 110 showed growth of pyogenic organisms and 15 samples were found sterile.

In this study 110 samples were culture positive. Majority of which 101 (91.81%) had single isolate in culture, while 8 (7.27%) had mixed growth with two bacteria and 1 (0.91%) showed mixed growth of three bacteria. Among monoisolates 68 (95.77%) samples were of closed fracture cases, 33 (84.61%) were of open fracture cases. Cases showing mixed growth of two bacteria were three (4.23%) among closed and five (12.82%) among open fracture cases. One sample (2.56%) which showed growth of three bacteria was open fracture case. Mixed bacterial growth was higher in open fracture cases.

In this study overall isolated bacteria were Enterobacter species, 23 (18.4%) most common isolated bacteria. Second most
common bacteria were *Klebsiella spp.* 19 (15.2%). *Staphylococcus aureus* was 15 (12%), *CoNS* were 14 (11.2%). E. coli was 13 (10.4%). *Pseudomonas* was 13 (10.4%), *Acinetobacter spp.* was 9 (7.2%), *Citrobacter* was 6 (4.8%), *Burkholderia cepacia complex* was 3 (2.4%) *Aeromonas* was also 3 (2.4%), *Proteus* 1 (0.8%), and *Aerococcus* 1 (0.8%) were grown in open fractures only. [Figure 2] shows frequency of bacteria isolated from post-operative infection of closed and open fracture wounds.

Among gram positive bacteria vancomycin, linezolid, gentamycin, ampicillin, amox-clav and ceftriaxone showed similar pattern between closed and open fracture isolates. For drugs ciprofloxacin, azithromycin and clindamycin open fracture isolates were found more sensitive than resistant isolates. Among gram positive cocci one isolate of *Aerococcus viridans* was also detected and was found resistant to ciprofloxacin only.

In this study incidence of resistance in Enterobacteriaceae group of isolates against ampicillin was 100% in both closed and open fracture cases. For antibiotics amoxy-clav and ceftriaxone incidence of resistance in open fracture cases were 97.06% for both. For piperacillin resistance was 85.29% and 75% in closed and open fracture cases respectively.

For cefepime and gentamicin 75% in open fracture cases and in closed fracture cases it was 79.41% and 73.53% respectively. Other antibiotics that were effective for most of the isolates were ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, imipenem and amikacin; incidence of resistance for these was 47.06%, 23.53%, 29.41% and 50% respectively for closed fracture cases; and 42.86%, 28.57%, 28.57%, 57.14% for open fracture cases respectively.

In this study, gram negative non-Enterobacteriaceae group of isolates in closed fracture cases were found totally resistant for ampicillin, amoxy-clav, ceftazidine and cefepime. 85% of bacteria were resistant to gentamicin. 70% of isolates were resistant to piperacillin and amikacin. 40-50% of bacteria were resistant to imipenem levofloxacin and ciprofloxacin. In case of open wound fractures, only piperacillin, imipenem, ciprofloxacin and levofloxacin were found to be effective and incidence of resistance was 87.5%, 37.5%, 37.5% and 37.5% respectively.

Antibiotic resistance pattern of gram positive isolates, enterobacteriaceae and non-enterobacteriaceae gram negative isolates are shown in detail in [Figure 3], [Figure 4], [Figure 5] respectively.

In this study methicillin resistance was detected using cefoxitin 30 µg disc. 50% of *Staphylococcus aureus* in closed fracture isolates were positive for cefoxitin screen and 20% in open fracture isolates. Among CoNS 30% of closed fracture and 20% of open fracture isolates were found positive in cefoxitin screening. Total six isolates (40%) were MRSA among 15 *Staphylococcus* isolates. Incidence of MRSA was 5% in this study.

In this study total 29 bacteria (24.17%) out of 120 isolates were found to be ESBL producers which included five isolates of *E. coli*, three (42.85%) in closed fractures and two isolates (33.33%) in open fracture cases; nine isolates of *Enterobacter spp.*, four (40%) in closed and five (38.46%) in open fracture cases; eight isolates *Klebsiella spp.*, five (38.46%) in closed and three (50%) in open fracture cases; three isolates of *Citrobacter spp.*, one (33.33%) in closed and two (66.66%) in open fracture;
among *Pseudomonas* spp., five isolates, four (40%) in closed and two (66.66%) in open fracture cases were found to be ESBL producers. 24(38.71%) isolates out of 62 Enterobacteriaceae isolates were ESBL producers (Table 1).

Orthopedic fractures with intact overlying skin are not exposed to external environment thus they are protected from getting infected. Sometimes these closed fractures require operative correction and may acquire healthcare associated infection. Unlike closed fractures open fractures come in contact with external environment and also suffer more tissue damage. This requires thorough cleaning of wound and operative procedures also. Thus open fractures get exposed to community as well as hospital flora. This particular study was conducted to find out difference between incidence and resistance pattern of etiological agents in closed and open fracture wounds.

The overall incidence of gram negative bacteria is more (75%) in comparison to gram positive bacteria (25%) in our study. Similar findings of GNB have also been reported in India by Lilani *et al.*., 2005 (76.43%); Alok Agrawal, 2008 (74.37%); Rastgi *et al.*, 2012 (62.5%); Rajkumari *et al.*, 2014 (73.94%). Some authors abroad have also shown similar results Faisham 2001 (75%); Sule *et al.*, 2002 (67.1%); Wang *et al.*, 2009 (77.8%); Hassan *et al.*, 2010 (77.40%); Khairy *et al.*, 2011 (60.86%); Fahad Al Muhim *et al.*, 2014 (71.96%); Phillip Mulepo, 2014 (74%).

Some other authors from India Jain *et al.*, 2015 and abroad Robinson *et al.*, 1989; Onche and Adedeji, 2004; Lee *et al.*, 2006; Akinyoola *et al.*, 2006; Hidron *et al.*, 2008; Madu *et al.*, 2010; Guo-qing Li *et al.*, 2013; have found gram positive organisms to be more common in post-operative orthopaedic wards.

The present study findings support the theory of gradual increase in the emergence of antibiotic resistant micro-organisms in post surgical patients. Onche and Adedeji, 2004, in their study on Microbiology of post-operative wound infection in implant surgery, found gentamicin to be most effective drug followed by ofloxacin for gram negative isolates. In study done by Aloe Agrawal *et al.*, 2008, *Pseudomonas* (12 cases), *E. coli* (9 cases), *Klebsiella* (3 cases), *Proteus* (2 cases) and *Streptococcus* (1 case) were found to be resistant to all antibiotics. Ako-Nai *et al.*, 2009, in their study, found 72% resistance to amoxicillin, 44% to amoxy-clav, 57% to tetracycline 23% to gentamicin, and 10% against ofloxacin. Hassan *et al.*, 2010, in their study noted all isolates of staphylococci were susceptible to vancomycin. Resistance rates of ≥50% to ceftazidime and/or cefotaxime were detected especially in *Klebsiella spp.*, *Pseudomonas* spp. and *E. coli* and *Acinetobacter* strains. Noticeably, susceptibility to imipenem for gram negative bacilli was >80%. Multi-resistant strains represented 48.6% (35/72) of the isolated gram negative strains. 57.1% and 66.7% of *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Acinetobacter spp.* isolates (19.4% of gram negative isolates) were resistant to amikacin, ciprofloxacin and ceftazidime. Phillip Mulepo, 2014, in his study, found that the (>80%) isolated gram negative bacteria were sensitive to amikacin, piperacillin and imipenem but resistant (>80%) to, ampicillin, amoxy-clav, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime was noted. The isolated gram positive bacteria; *Staphylococcus aureus* were sensitive (>80%) to vancomycin.
Table 1. ESBL producing isolates

| Species            | ESBL positive | TOTAL |
|--------------------|---------------|-------|
|                    | ESBL positive |       |
|                    | Closed fracture | Open fracture | |
|                    | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| Enterobacter spp. (23) | 4 | 40 | 5 | 38.46 | 9 | 39.13 |
| Klebsiella spp. (19)   | 5 | 38.46 | 3 | 50 | 8 | 26.32 |
| Escherichia coli (13)  | 3 | 42.85 | 2 | 33.33 | 5 | 38.46 |
| Pseudomonas (13)       | 4 | 40 | 1 | 33.33 | 5 | 38.46 |
| Citrobacter spp. (6)   | 1 | 33.33 | 2 | 66.66 | 2 | 50 |

Fig. 1 Age and gender wise distribution of patients

Fig. 2 Frequency of organisms in closed and open fracture cases
**Fig. 3** Comparison of incidence of resistance between closed and open fracture isolates in *Staphylococcus* spp.

Comparison of incidence of resistance between closed and open fracture isolates in *Staphylococcus aureus*

Comparison of incidence of resistance between closed and open fracture in Coagulase Negative *Staphylococcus* spp.
In our study there was 50% of *Staphylococcus aureus* were found to be MRSA in closed fracture cases and 20% in open wound fractures. Several authors have reported incidence of MRSA at different percentages. Yusof *et al.*, 2004, noted 30% of *Staph aureus* to be MRSA; Lee *et al.*, 2006 found 55% MRSA incidence; Afifi *et al.*, 2009 reported 50% or *Staphylococcus aureus* to be MRSA; Shiddanna Patted *et
al., 2013, during studies on MRSA it to be 63% were MRSA; 9.30% MRSA reported in national surveillance data, in England 2014. Jadranska Maksimovic et al., found that nineteen (79.2%) of the 24 isolated Staphylococcus aureus bacteria were methicillin-resistant (MRSA).

In this study total 29 bacteria (24.17%) out of 120 isolates were found to be ESBL producers. Of which 38.46% of E. coli, 39.13% of Enterobacter spp., 26.32% of Klebsiella, and 50% of Citrobacter spp. were ESBL producers. 38.46% isolates of Klebsiella sp and 33.3% in those isolates with percentages of 62.5% and 33.3% in Klebsiella spp. and E. coli isolates, respectively. Higher percentage of ESBL isolates were reported in study done by Joel Manyahi, 2012. ESBLs production was detected in 92.3% of Escherichia coli and 69% of Klebsiella pneumoniae.

In our study there was no significant variation of antibiotic resistance found between closed fracture and open fracture isolates.
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