Exploring the disk-jet connection in NGC 315
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ABSTRACT

Aims. Hot accretion flows are thought to be able to power the relativistic jets observed in active galactic nuclei. They can be presented as standard and normal evolution (SANE) disks or magnetically arrested disks (MAD) – two states that imply profound differences in the physical properties of the disks themselves and the outflows they produce.

Methods. We used a multifrequency and multiepoch data set to study the giant radio galaxy NGC 315, with the goal of exploring the properties of its accretion disk and sub-parsec jet. We analyzed the source maps with a pixel-based analysis and used theoretical models to link the observational properties of the jet to the physical state of the accretion disk.

Results. We propose that the bulk flow in NGC 315 accelerates on sub-pc scales, concurrently with the parabolic expansion. We show that this fast acceleration can be theoretically reconciled with a magnetically driven acceleration. Along the acceleration and collimation zone, we observe an unexpected spectral behavior, with very steep spectral index values $\alpha \sim -1.5$ ($S \propto \nu^\alpha$) between 22 GHz and 43 GHz. Based on the properties of this region, we predict the black hole of NGC 315 to be rotating fast and the magnetic flux threading the accretion disk to be in excellent agreement with that expected in the case of a MAD. Using a new formalism based on the core shift effect, we modeled the magnetic field downstream a quasi-parabolic accelerating jet and we reconstructed it up to the event horizon radius. In the MAD scenario, we compared it with the expected magnetic saturation strengths in the disk, finding a good agreement.
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1. Introduction

The disk-jet connection is one of the most compelling and studied topics concerning the physics of active galactic nuclei (AGN), with the efforts of the community being focused on unveiling the nature of the accretion disks that are capable of launching different categories of jets. Hot accretion flows are able to produce the powerful relativistic jets we observe in AGN. They may present themselves in two different flavors, namely as standard and normal evolution (SANE, Narayan et al. 2012) disks or magnetically arrested disks (MAD, Bisnovatyi-Kogan & Ruzmaikin 1974, 1976; Narayan et al. 2003). In the first case, the magnetic field is not dynamically important and it only generates effective viscosity, facilitating accretion through the outward transport of the angular momentum. In the MAD scenario, in contrast, the disk is naturally permeated by strong magnetic fields which are carried by the inflowing matter. This leads the magnetic field to gradually accumulate in the vicinity of the central black hole. Once a certain threshold is reached, the magnetic field becomes dynamically important and its strength is high enough to balance the gravitational force of the accreting gas, blocking its inflow and creating a magnetosphere. In the magnetosphere, the torque exerted by the rotation of the central black hole alters the magnetic field lines, which bend toward the directions perpendicular to the disk plane. Since the gas within the accretion disk is in a plasma state, the charged particles are forced to follow the magnetic field lines and the jets are launched (Blandford & Znajek 1977). The two disk states differ in terms of their magnetic field distribution and strength, both in the disk and in the resultant jets.

The most direct way to distinguish between the SANE and MAD state is by observing the polarization and Faraday rotation of the central core and the inner jet region (Yuan et al. 2022, and references therein). When the polarization is not seen or is very weak, which is the case for most radio galaxies, we need to rely on alternative methods based on the indirect estimation of the magnetic activity in the disk. This is possible since the physical properties of the accretion disk are closely related to some observational properties of the jets on very long baseline interferometry (VLBI) scales, such as the extent of the collimation and acceleration region. In particular, the jets are initially dominated by the magnetic energy extracted from the accretion disk and propagate as a pure Poynting flux. While expanding within the external medium, they collimate, showing a parabolic shape on scales of $10^3 - 10^4 R_\text{S}$ (Kovalev et al. 2020; Boccardi et al. 2021), and accelerate up to relativistic velocities. The acceleration and collimation processes are expected to be cospatial in the case of a magnetically driven cold outflow (Komissarov et al. 2004).

$R_\text{S}$ is the Schwarzschild radius defined as

$$R_\text{S} = \frac{2GM_{\text{BH}}}{c^2}$$

where $M_{\text{BH}}$ is the mass of the black hole.
The jets are accelerated mainly through the conversion of the initial magnetic energy into kinetic energy of the bulk flow and radiation and this process is believed to continue until equipartition between the particle energy and the magnetic field is reached (Komissarov et al. 2009). Therefore, by examining the properties of the acceleration and collimation in a jet, it is possible to reconstruct, by means of theoretical models, the magnetic field that permeates the accretion disk and to explore the physical state of the disk itself.

The properties of such magnetic fields are highly debated. Estimates based on the assumption of a conical jet in equipartition (Lobanov 1998; Hiroi and O’Sullivan & Gabuzda 2009), which implies strengths of $\sim 10^{13}$ $\rightarrow$ $10^{15}$ G in the surroundings of the black hole, assuming a toroidal-dominated magnetic field evolution ($B \propto z^{-1}$, where $z$ denotes the distance from the black hole along the jet). However, rotation measure studies have provided evidence of helical fields along the acceleration region (Ho 2017), and references therein, suggesting that the poloidal field component ($B \propto z^{-2}$ in a conical jet) cannot be neglected when extrapolating the magnetic field strength. The steeper evolution implies higher field strengths in the core region. Magnetic fields stronger than $\sim 10^{15}$ G are not sustainable by black holes and may point to the existence of exotic objects in the core of the galaxies, such as gravastars or wormholes (Lobanov 2017).

In this paper, we explore the nearby ($z = 0.0165$, Trager et al. 2000) giant radio galaxy NGC 315 through VLBI observations. NGC 315 extends in total up to $\sim 3$ Mpc and shows a Fanaroff–Riley (Fanaroff & Riley 1974) I morphology (e.g., Laing et al. 2006). In NGC 315, the acceleration and collimation region is observed on VLBI scales (Boccard et al. 2021; Park et al. 2021), making it a perfect target to investigate the disk-jet connection.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we describe the data set and the methods used for the analysis. In Sect. 3, we present our observational results. In Sect. 4, we apply different theoretical models to interpret our results and in Sect. 5, we present our conclusions.

We assume a $\Lambda$CDM cosmology with $H_0 = 71$ h km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.27$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$ (Komatsu et al. 2009). At the redshift of NGC 315, the luminosity distance is $D_L = 70.6$ Mpc and 1 mas corresponds to 0.331 pc.

2. Data set and image analysis

In this article, we consider the multifrequency and multiepoch VLBI data set presented by Boccardi et al. (2021, hereafter B21), which consists of eighteen observations in the frequency range between 1 GHz-86 GHz. In particular, since we are interested in utilising the symmetry properties of the approaching and receding jets to derive fundamental jet parameters, we focus on those epochs where both the jet and the counter-jet have been detected. The main properties of the maps are summarized in Table 1. Together with the B21 observations, we analyzed one image from 2019 at 15 GHz from the MOJAVE program (Lister et al. 2018), where a small counter-jet has been detected. The images used in our analysis are shown in Appendix C. In addition, we analyzed stacked images at 5 GHz and 15 GHz. The 5 GHz stacked image was created by considering four different observations in the period 1994-1996 (see Table 1, B21), while the 15 GHz stacked image was obtained from the MOJAVE archive, and was previously analyzed by Pushkarev et al. (2017); Kovalev et al. (2020).

| Freq. [GHz] | Date       | Beam [mas x mas, deg] | Array |
|------------|------------|------------------------|-------|
| 1.6        | 2005-03-09 | 16.90 x 12.40, -87     | EVN   |
| 5.0        | 1994-11-15 | 2.48 x 1.29, -5        | VLBA  |
| 5.0        | 1995-10-28 | 2.21 x 1.34, -3        | VLBA  |
| 5.0        | 1996-05-10 | 3.65 x 2.56, -18       | VLBA(*) , Y |
| 5.0        | 1996-10-07 | 2.31 x 1.35, -5        | VLBA, Y |
| 15.4       | 2019-08-23 | 0.82 x 0.42, -5        | VLBA  |
| 22.3       | 2007-12-02 | 0.94 x 0.25, -22       | VLBA*, Y, GB, EF |
| 22.3       | 2018-11-24 | 0.59 x 0.27, -11       | VLBA, Y, EF |
| 43.2       | 2008-02-03 | 0.27 x 0.14, -20       | VLBA(*), Y, GB, EF |
| 43.2       | 2018-11-24 | 0.30 x 0.16, -13       | VLBA, Y, EF |

Notes. Column 1: Frequency; column 2: Date of observation; column 3: Beam FWHM and position angle; column 4: Array: VLBA – Very Long Baseline Array; (*) no data from Mauna Kea; (**) no data from Saint Croix; Y – Very Large Array; EVN – European VLBI network; EF – Effelsberg; GB – Green Bank.

The 5 GHz stacked image with the overlaid jet ridgeline is shown in Fig. 1.

In our analysis, we assume that the approaching jet is oriented at an angle $\theta = 38^\circ$ with respect to the line of sight, following the results of the 5 GHz Very Large Array (VLA) study presented by Carni (2005), and within the range of $30^\circ$-$40^\circ$ derived by Giovannini et al. (2001), based on VLBI data. While noticing that a larger angle of the order of $50^\circ$ has been derived in the works of Laing & Bridle (2014) and Park et al. (2021), we provide a further constraint (detailed in Sect. 3.2) in support of our selection of $\theta = 38^\circ$.

With respect to B21, a more recent estimate of the black hole mass in NGC 315 is assumed in this work. Through CO observations performed with the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA), the black hole mass was estimated to be $M_{BH} = (2.08 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{9} M_\odot$ (Boizelle et al. 2021). Under this assumption, and for $\theta = 38^\circ$, one projected milliarcsecond corresponds to $2701 \; R_s$ de-projected.

The opacity shifts necessary to properly align maps at different frequencies are assumed from B21 (Table 4), who performed 2D cross-correlation analyzes between different pairs of frequencies. All distances are expressed with respect to the 43 GHz core, assumed to coincide with the actual position of the black hole. This assumption is supported by previous observational results, showing that the mm-core in radio galaxies lies very close ($< 100 R_s$ to the true position of the central black hole (e.g., Hada et al. 2011; Baczkó et al. 2022).

We analyzed the CLEAN maps through a pixel-based analysis using a Python code. This requires, as input, maps aligned along the $y$ axis restored with a circular beam. It divides both the jet and the counter-jet in one-pixel width slices oriented perpendicularly to the jet direction. The intensity profiles in the slices are subsequently fitted with a single 1D Gaussian profile by means of theoretical models, the magnetic field that permeates the accretion disk and to explore the physical state of the disk itself. The code stops its iteration when the most luminous pixel in the slice has a brightness lower than $10 \sigma_{rms}$. Finally, the fitted Gaussian profiles are integrated to obtain the flux density in each slice. The integrated fluxes, together with the full width at
half maximum (FWHM) of the Gaussian profiles, are stored and used to compute the jet to counter-jet ratio (Sect. 3.2) and the jet opening angle as a function of distance from the core (Sect. 3.3). We point out that in our analysis, we discard the pixels within a beam size region centered in the image core due to the inability to distinguish between nuclear and jet emission.

3. Results

3.1. Spectral index

We used our 22 GHz and 43 GHz images to investigate the spectral index ($\nu^{\alpha}$) from sub-parsec to parsec scales. We considered our simultaneous observations from 2018, as well as the 2007-2008 observations (see Table 1), which are separated by 63 days. In the latter case the analysis is aimed at a consistency check for the 2018 data set, taking into account that in a low-power radio galaxy such as NGC 315, we would not expect strong variability over such a relatively short period. Indeed, in radio galaxies, the flux variability is softened by a reduced relativistic beaming due to the large viewing angles. Moreover, even small flux density variations statistically occur on timescales of one to two years (Hovatta et al. 2007). The paired maps were produced by limiting the uv-range to the same interval and were convolved with the same equivalent circular beam. Moreover, the core shift between the two frequencies was applied as explained in Sect. 2. The uncertainties are computed by propagating the errors on the flux calibration, set to 5% for the 22 GHz map and to 10% for the 43 GHz map, together with the rms noise (for the image noise values, we refer to B21, Table 1). Pixels with value lower than 10\(\sigma_{\text{rms}}\) were masked.

In the case of the 2018 data set, the analysis was performed twice, first by considering the maps obtained using the full HSA array and the second after reproducing the images using only the VLBA. This second approach was aimed at minimizing the uncertainties possibly introduced in the amplitude calibration by the two non-VLBA antennas (Effelsberg and the phased-VLA). We obtained comparable results in the two cases, with slightly steeper values determined when the full array was considered. In Fig. 2 (left panel), we show the spectral map from the 2018 VLBA data. The contours describe the 43 GHz continuum emission. The core structure is marked by the red patch which identifies the optically thick region, with inverted spectral index values up to \(\alpha \sim 0.7\). The average spectral index evolution along one-pixel slices is presented in Fig. 2 (right panel) for both epochs, showing a remarkable agreement. In the optically thin region the spectral index drops down to steep values of \(\alpha \sim -1.5\) using the VLBA-only data. Slightly steeper values down to \(\alpha \sim -1.75\) are observed using the full-HSA data as well as based on the 2007-2008 epochs. The steep index region extends up to \(\sim 1\) pc, a distance comparable to the extent of the jet parabolic region proposed by B21. Beyond this region, the spectrum become flatter and reaches typical values of \(\alpha \sim -0.75\). Synchrotron losses reflecting the presence of strong magnetic fields may explain the steep spectrum we observe upstream of the shape transition distance. We note that, in general, the spectral properties in the jet acceleration and collimation region are unknown, as they have not yet been investigated in observational studies. A detailed analysis considering a broader multifrequency data set will be necessary in the case of NGC 315, as well as of other sources that can be examined on similar scales.

3.2. Jet speed profile

We constrain the intrinsic jet speed by considering the jet to counter-jet intensity ratio, \(R\). Under the assumption of intrinsic symmetry the jet and counter-jet, the observed flux density differences are only due to the Doppler boosting of the incoming jet and de-boosting of the receding one. We compute the flux density ratio between slices which are equidistant with respect to the 43 GHz core position. The intrinsic jet speed \(\beta\) may be expressed as:

\[
\beta = \frac{1}{\cos(\theta)} \frac{\text{FWHM}_{1}/p - 1}{\text{FWHM}_{1}/p + 1},
\]

where \(p = (2 - \alpha)\) and \(\alpha\) is the spectral index (Urry & Padovani 1995). To compute Eq. 1, we assume, in the case of the 22 GHz and 43 GHz data, the spectral index values (in Sect. 3.1) for the VLBA-only maps, while at the lower frequencies we adopt average values following the results of Park et al. (2021). Namely, we set \(\alpha = -0.80 \pm 0.20\) for the 5 and 15 GHz data and \(\alpha = -0.60 \pm 0.30\) for the 1 GHz data. The assumption of an average value for the index does not affect our conclusions due to the small oscillations of the spectral index around the assumed values.

The uncertainties on \(\beta\) are computed by propagating the error on the spectral index and the error on the intensity ratio. The uncertainties on the intensity are computed taking into account both systematic errors and uncertainties associated with the method.
Fig. 2. Spectral index analysis between the frequencies 22 GHz and 43 GHz. Left panel: Spectral index map, the contours outline the 43 GHz continuum emission. Right panel: average spectral index along one-pixel slices as a function of distance from the core for two different epochs. The two profiles are in agreement within the errors. The black vertical lines demarcate the jet shape transition distance with its uncertainty. Within the collimation region, the spectral index rapidly decreases down to values of $\alpha \sim -1.5$, while it rises to typical values of $\alpha \sim -0.75$ beyond the shape transition distance.

The systematic errors, including errors on the calibration and imaging of the data, are assumed to introduce a fixed error on the final intrinsic ratio equal to 10% of its value. The uncertainties associated with the slicing method are predominantly related to the position angle of each slice and to quantify them, we vary the position angle within the range of $\pm 3^\circ$, with an interval of $1^\circ$. Then we compute the standard deviation in each slice for each angle and sum them in quadrature. Pushkarev et al. (2017) used a similar method spanning a range of $\pm 15^\circ$, but since the direction of NGC 315 is well-defined (as seen from the ridgeline in Fig. 1), we span an interval of $6^\circ$ in total, as with a shift of $3^\circ$ the jet is clearly misaligned. The $\beta$ profile for each analyzed map is shown in Fig. 3. The lack of data points around 1 and 4 pc results from the inner pixels discarded in the 1 GHz and 5 GHz maps, following the procedure detailed in Sect. 2.

We observe a very fast accelerating jet on sub-parsec scales, where terminal intrinsic velocities of $\beta \sim 0.9$ are reached already around $0.6 \text{ pc}$. Such fast acceleration is inferred in all the maps that sample the parabolic region and is discussed in Sect. 4.3. The acceleration observed in the 22 GHz - 43 GHz maps from 2018 is steeper than the one observed at the same frequencies in 2007-2008. This may indicate that the gradient is time-variable, due to variable physical conditions at the jet base. Moreover, we notice how the 22 GHz - 2007 map is slightly misaligned with respect to the 43 GHz - 2008 map. This is not surprising, since the parsec-scale core location can vary with time, even drastically during flares, due to the varying opacity at the jet base (Plavin et al. 2019).

On the scales sampled by the multiepoch observations at 5 GHz, we observed no acceleration, but, instead, a small deceleration between one and two parsecs. The velocity inferred from four different epochs at 5 GHz varies between $\beta \sim 0.8 - 0.95$. The 1 GHz data indicate a deceleration on scales of $\sim 10 \text{ pc}$, down to $\beta \sim 0.4$, and a similar behavior has been reported for the 1 GHz data points by Park et al. (2021). However, it is unclear whether we are observing a true deceleration of the bulk flow. Indeed, intrinsic velocities of $\sim 0.9c$ are measured on kiloparsec scales as well, suggesting that the terminal speed reached by the jet on sub-parsec scales is maintained up to large distances, with the deceleration actually found to occur at distances between 8 and 18 kpc, where the speed decreases to $\beta \sim 0.4$ (Canvin et al. 2005; Laing et al. 2006). One possibility for reconciling these results is that the VLBI jet is not uniform but stratified. The 1 GHz emission may be produced in a wider sheath at the jet edges, where the bulk speed might be lower, while the higher frequency emission may be produced by more compact regions closer to the jet axis. This interpretation may be supported by the observation

![Fig. 3. Jet intrinsic speed profile based on the analysis of the jet to counter-jet intensity ratio. The solid black vertical line indicates the transition distance of $z = 0.58 \pm 0.28 \text{ pc}$ (accordingly to B21) with the uncertainties enclosed by the dashed black lines. The bulk of the jet accelerates on sub-parsec scales, concurrently with the parabolic jet expansion. The highest velocity of $\beta = 0.95 \pm 0.03$ is reached around 1 pc.](image-url)
of a frequency-dependent opening angle, which we discuss in the next section.

The jet break distance, that is where the transition from parabolic to conical profile occurs, of $z_{br} = 0.58 \pm 0.28 \,\text{pc}$ (B21), is emphasized by the black vertical lines in Fig. 3. From a visual inspection of the speed profile, the acceleration takes place within the jet parabolic region, while approximately constant speeds are observed at the start of the conical jet expansion. This is expected when the acceleration is mainly driven by the conversion of Poynting flux into kinetic energy of the bulk flow (Komissarov 2012).

Using Eq. 1 with $\beta = 1$ and the maximum ratios $R$ observed, we can set an upper limit to the viewing angle. We use the highest ratios detected at 5 GHz and 15 GHz, since they are the maps from which we infer the highest velocities. The highest ratios at 5 GHz, starting from the newest map, are $R_1 = 194 \pm 22$, $R_2 = 89 \pm 11$, $R_3 = 267 \pm 32$, and $R_4 = 161 \pm 20$, while at 15 GHz is $R_5 = 145 \pm 22$. From them, we find an average maximum viewing angle of $\theta_{\text{max}} = 44 \pm 4^{\circ}$, in agreement with our assumption of $\theta = 38^{\circ}$.

3.3. Jet opening angle

In this section, we investigate the intrinsic jet opening angle profile using the observations reported in Fig. 3, as well as the stacked images at 5 GHz and 15 GHz.

To study the opening angle $\phi_{\text{app}}$, we need to first obtain the deconvolved transverse jet width, computed as $w = (w_m - \theta_B) / 2^{1/2}$, where $w_m$ is the width of the fitted Gaussian profile and $\theta_B$ is the full width at half maximum of the equivalent circular beam, defined as $\theta_B = \sqrt{\theta_{\text{min}} \theta_{\text{max}}}$ with $\theta_{\text{min}}$ and $\theta_{\text{max}}$ being the minor and major beam axis, respectively. The uncertainties on the width are computed as described in Sect. 3.2 for the intensity ratio.

Finally, from our knowledge of both the jet width and the jet viewing angle, we compute the apparent jet opening angle as:

$$\phi_{\text{app}} = 2 \arctan (0.5 w/z),$$

where $z$ is the projected distance from the core along the jet, as well as the intrinsic half opening angle $\phi$ as

$$\phi = \arctan \left[ \tan \left( \frac{\phi_{\text{app}}}{2} \right) \sin \theta \right].$$

The intrinsic half opening angle profiles are illustrated in Fig. 4. For the single-epoch maps, we plotted the points up to the same jet extension as in Fig. 3, while for the stacked images we show the full jet. The uncertainties are computed by propagating the errors obtained on the intrinsic jet width. The intrinsic half angle is on average $\phi = 2^\circ - 3^\circ$ and spans a range between $\sim 1^\circ$ and $\sim 6^\circ$, in agreement with the typical values for radio galaxies (Pushkarev et al. 2017). While results for most frequencies and distances agree quite well with each other, we notice large discrepancies on sub-parsec scales between the two 43 GHz epochs and at a distance larger than $\sim 5 \,\text{deprojected pc}$, where the 5 GHz jet appears narrower than at 1 GHz. The sub-parsec scales discrepancy may arise due to an intrinsic jet variability across the epochs, combined with the inability to recover the full jet cross-section in the 43 GHz 2018 epoch, where the inner part of the jet is indeed less bright than the 2008 epoch (see Fig. C.5).

The narrowed jet at 5 GHz may indicate that at this frequency, even in the stacked image, part of the jet at its edges is too faint to be imaged, so we are not able to recover the full jet cross-section. This of course would also have an impact on the determination of the global jet shape, which was found to be not perfectly conical (power law index of $\sim 0.84 \pm 0.04$) on those scales in B21. These results suggest that the jet may, in fact, be perfectly conical, but part of its emission may be lost in the noise at 5 GHz. Moreover, a frequency-dependent opening angle may be consistent with the discrepancy in the speeds discussed in Sect. 3.2 and may explain the apparent deceleration observed at 1 GHz.

3.4. $\Gamma \phi$ profile

The product $\Gamma \phi$, where $\Gamma = 1 / \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$ is the bulk Lorentz factor, is of great interest, since it carries information on the causal connection between the edges and the symmetry axis of the flow, with $\Gamma \phi \leq 1$ meaning that the jet is causally connected (Clausen-Brown et al. 2013).

In magnetically accelerating relativistic outflows, the bulk acceleration requires the jets to be causally connected, with $\Gamma \phi \sim 1$, while a product higher than one can be observed if the dissipation of thermal energy contributes to the jet acceleration (e.g., Komissarov et al. 2009). Moreover, the product is connected to physical aspects of the accretion disk. In particular, $\Gamma \phi$ is related to the dimensionless magnetic flux $\phi_{\text{BH}}$, which is an important indicator of the magnetic activity in the core. When $\phi_{\text{BH}}$ is of the order of the few units, a SANE disk is expected, while when it is of the order of tens and especially when it reaches the saturation level $\sim 50$, we expect the disk to achieve a MAD state (Tchekhovskoy et al. 2011; Narayan et al. 2012). The dimensionless flux is defined as:

$$\phi_{\text{BH}} = \Phi / (\sqrt{M c r_g}),$$

in which $\Phi$ is the total magnetic flux in the jet, $M$ is the accretion rate, $c$ is the speed of light, $r_g = GM_{\text{BH}} / c^2$ is the gravitational radius, and in the surroundings of the central black hole the quantity $\Gamma \phi$ is related to $\phi_{\text{BH}}$ via $\phi_{\text{BH}} = (52 \pm 5) \Gamma \phi$ (Zamaninasab et al. 2014).

Here, we compute the $\Gamma \phi$ profile using our information on the jet speed (Sect. 3.2) and on the half opening angle (Sect. 3.3). The uncertainties are computed by propagating the respective errors.
The resulting profile is shown in Fig. 5. We find a fairly constant product, varying between \( \sim 0.04 \) and \( \sim 0.2 \) with an average value of \( \sim 0.07 \), consistent with the median products found in large samples, \( \Gamma \phi = 0.13 \sim 0.17 \) (Jorstad et al. 2005; Pushkarev et al. 2009, 2017). Similar values are observed in M87, specifically \( \Gamma \phi \sim 0.046 \) at 0.3 pc and \( \Gamma \phi \sim 0.044 \) at 4 pc Nokhrina et al. (2019). Hints of a decreasing trend downstream the jet are given on scales smaller than 0.1 pc and 0.2 pc by the 43 GHz 2018 data points, respectively. These observational findings deviate from the theoretical expectation that \( \Gamma \phi \sim 1 \) in a purely magnetically driven accelerated jet (e.g., Komissarov et al. 2009). In the discussion (Sect. 4), we indirectly infer a value of \( \Gamma \phi \) in the disk region by means of our estimate of the dimensionless magnetic flux. The implications on the evolution of the product are discussed in Sect. 4.2.

4. Discussion

In the following, we apply theoretical models to our observational data to explore the nature of the accretion disk and of the outflow in NGC 315. In particular, we aim at modeling the jet acceleration seen in Fig. 3, the magnetic field down to the jet base, and at investigating whether the properties of the VLBI jet are consistent with the expectations for a jet powered by the rotational energy of a black hole fed by an accretion flow in MAD state. Such a test will be performed starting from two independent observables: the location of the jet shape transition and the mass accretion rate. To define the main properties of the accretion flow, we make use of optical and X-ray observational constraints.

4.1. Spin and magnetic flux inferred from the shape transition distance

First, we use the information on the jet shape transition distance to estimate two fundamental parameters of the nuclear region, the black hole spin, and the total magnetic flux threading the accretion disk. The former is used to evaluate the jet power (see Sect. 4.6), while the latter is compared with the expected flux in case of a MAD. To do that, we apply the model proposed by Nokhrina et al. (2019, 2020). The model assumes equilibrium between the ambient medium and the jet edges, with the former being balanced only by the jet thermal pressure at the boundary. An electric current is assumed to be mainly locked within the bulk jet volume and only a residual fraction of it is left in the outer jet boundary. Following Beskin et al. (2017), for a non-relativistic boundary flow, the conservation of the total pressure allows us to connect the pressure balance at the jet boundary with the electric current inside the jet. The boundary pressure is expressed as function of the jet width. Kovalev et al. (2020) showed that when the jet energy regime switches from magnetically dominated to equipartition, that is, when the Poynting flux is equal to the kinetic energy of the bulk, this coincides with a transition between two power laws in the jet boundary pressure profile due to different behaviors of the electric current in the two regimes. Therefore, when the acceleration of the bulk flow saturates the residual electric current, which diminished due to the transformation of the Poynting flux, the current impacts the pressure at the jet boundary, leading to the occurrence of a break in the jet shape. By knowing the jet properties at the transition, this model enables us to obtain information on the central engine, given the observed transition distance and assuming that the external ambient pressure evolves with a single power law profile \( P(z) \propto z^{-b} \). The spin is expressed via the dimensionless parameter \( a_\ast = J/M_{BH} \in [0,1] \), where \( J \) is the angular momentum of the central black hole, as:

\[
a_\ast = \frac{8 (r_g/R_L)}{1 + 16 (r_g/R_L)^2},
\]

where the light cylinder radius \( R_L \)

\[
R_L = \frac{r_{th} (\sigma_M)}{d_\ast},
\]

Here, the light cylinder radius is the intrinsic length scale in MHD models and \( d_\ast \) is the non-dimensional jet radius that depends on the initial magnetization of the jet \( \sigma_M \), defined as the ratio between the Poynting flux to the plasma rest mass energy flux. In a cold outflow the jet accelerates efficiently up to the equipartition regime, where approximately half of the initial electromagnetic energy is converted into kinetic energy of the particles (see Nokhrina et al. 2019, and references therein). Thus, \( \sigma_M \) is roughly twice the maximum observed Lorentz factor. From our analysis on the jet kinematics (see Sect. 3.2), we constrain a maximum Lorentz factor of \( \Gamma \sim 3 \sim 4 \). Therefore, a initial magnetization of the order of \( \sim 10 \) seems reasonable in our case. However, the \( \Gamma \) observed in our study is unlikely to be the true maximum reached by the jet. Indeed, if the jet has a spine-sheath structure, the large viewing angle of NGC 315 implies that the radio emission is mainly associated with the slower sheath, thus we may be unable to directly probe the central spine. Since NGC 315 is a low-excitation FRI radio galaxy, a realistic assumption for the speed of the spine can rely on VLBI studies of the blazar parent population, formed by BL Lac objects. Their findings typically show \( \Gamma_{\max} \sim 10 \) (e.g., Hovatta et al. 2009), which leads us to consider \( \sigma_M \sim 20 \) as a reasonable upper limit for our analysis. For the jet radius at the break, we assume \( r_{th} = 0.036 \pm 0.013 \) pc following the results in B21. Then, for \( \sigma_M = 10 \) and \( \sigma_M = 20 \), Eq. 5 yield to black hole spins \( a_\ast = 0.86_{-0.13}^{+0.10} \) and \( a_\ast = 0.99_{-0.04}^{+0.05} \), respectively. The asymmetric errors arise due to the particular relation of the spin \( a_\ast \) with respect to the radius at the break \( r_{th} \), with the former first increasing and then decreasing with increasing radius. This model points toward a fast rotating black hole, which is necessary.

\( \text{The values for } d_\ast \text{ are taken from Nokhrina et al. (2019), Table 1.} \)
to efficiently launch powerful jets from a MAD accretion flow (e.g., Narayan et al. 2021).

The total magnetic flux in the jet is computed as (Nokhrina et al. 2019):

$$\Phi = 2\pi L^2_{bol} \frac{\left(2\pi r_0^2\right)^{-b}}{p_0} \frac{P_0}{p_{\nu}^b} \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{\text{bol}}}} G \text{ cm}^2,$$

(7)

where $p_0$ is the external pressure in the nuclear region within a sphere of radius $r_0$ and $p_{\nu}$ ($\gamma \alpha M$) is the non-dimensional ambient pressure. The break distance of $2\pi r_0 = 0.58 \pm 0.28$ pc is inferred by B21, while the external pressure of $P_0 = 4.5 \times 10^{-10}$ dyn cm$^{-2}$ at 1 arcsec from the core was determined by Worrall et al. (2007) based on X-ray Chandra data. We note that the radius within which the pressure measurement was extracted ($\sim 0.3$ kpc) is larger than the estimated Bondi radius $\delta$ ($\sim 0.15$ kpc for a black hole mass of $M_{BH} = 2.08 \times 10^9 M_\odot$), but not by a great deal. The value of $\delta$ may vary between 1.0 and 2.0 (see discussion in Sect. 3, Nokhrina et al. 2019). In the first case, we find $\Phi = (4.6 \pm 3.5) \times 10^{33}$ G cm$^2$, while in the second case, $\Phi = (1.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{33}$ G cm$^2$. These two values set the upper and lower limit on the total magnetic flux accordingly to the variation of $b$. The magnetic flux depends weakly on the initial magnetization $\gamma \alpha M$.

We now compare the jet magnetic flux obtained via Eq. 7 with the expected one in the MAD scenario. The latter is computed as (Zamaninasab et al. 2014):

$$\Phi^{\text{exp}} = 2.4 \times 10^{34} \frac{M_{BH}}{10^9 M_\odot} \frac{M_{\text{acc}}}{3.15 \times 10^4 \text{erg s}^{-1}} \frac{1}{1/2} G \text{ cm}^2.$$

(8)

Following Heckman et al. (2004), we derive the mass accretion rate from the luminosity of the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ emission line as $L_{bol} = 3500 L_{\odot}$, where $L_{bol}$ is the bolometric luminosity and the bolometric correction has an uncertainty of 0.38 dex. Ho et al. (1993) derived a flux for the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ line of $1.17 \times 10^{-14}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, leading to $L_{bol} = (2.44 \pm 0.93) \times 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The result is in a good agreement with the bolometric luminosity of $1.9 \times 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$, obtained by Gu et al. (2007) by integrating the SED of the nucleus of NGC 315. The mass accretion rate $M = L_{bol}/(\eta \alpha c^3) = (2.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{33}$ g s$^{-1}$ is obtained by assuming an efficiency of $\eta = 0.1$ (e.g., Yu & Tremaine 2002) 4. Throughout the paper, the asymmetric errors are treated conservatively by computing the maximum and minimum values of the quantity and by considering them, respectively, as the upper and lower limits of the uncertainty interval.

Equation 8 yields $\Phi^{\text{exp}} = (1.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{33}$ G cm$^2$. The expected magnetic flux is consistent with $\Phi$ when $b \sim 2$ in the external pressure profile ($P \propto z^{-b}$). Assuming $\eta = 0.4$, Eq. 8 would yield to $\Phi^{\text{exp}} \sim 6 \times 10^{32}$ G cm$^2$, which is consistent with $\Phi$ when $b \sim 1.8$. For a highly magnetized accelerating jet confined by an ambient medium, a quadratic decrease of the external pressure indeed matches the observation of a jet with parabolic shape, since the jet radius is predicted to grow as $r \propto z^{\delta/4}$ (e.g., Komissarov et al. 2009). As in NGC 315, we observe $r \propto z^{0.45 \pm 0.15}$ in the acceleration region (B21), and the index of the external pressure is expected to be $b = 1.8 \pm 0.6$. The excellent agreement between $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{\text{exp}}$ is a first hint of a jet launched by an established magnetically arrested disk in the nuclear region of NGC 315. Park et al. (2021) found a close to ten times more extended parabolic region in NGC 315 by using their jet properties at the break, we infer a slower rotation of the black hole with $a_\ast \sim 0.20$, while $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{\text{exp}}$ are still in agreement.

### 4.2. Exploring the $\Gamma \Phi$ profile

In the framework of a Blandford-Znajek jet model and in the hypothesis of flux-freezing approximation (Blandford & Znajek 1977; Zamaninasab et al. 2014), we can derive the dimensionless magnetic flux threading the accretion disk via Eq. 4 based on our determination of the jet magnetic flux in physical units. Using $\Phi$ and $M$ both with $\eta = 0.1$ and $\eta = 0.4$, we obtain a dimensionless magnetic flux threading the accretion disk $\Phi_{BH} = 40 \pm 33$, which is consistent with the saturation level of $\sim 50$ for a magnetically arrested disk. Unfortunately, the large error bar does not allow us to directly discriminate between SANE and MAD.

The saturation condition $\Phi_{BH} = (52 \pm 5) \Gamma \Phi$ implies $\Gamma \Phi = 0.76 \pm 0.64$ at the jet base. The large uncertainties prevent us from retrieving a solid constrain on this parameter. However, the estimated mean value suggests a $\Gamma \Phi$ product likely close to one, in agreement with the prediction for the jet launching region (see Zamaninasab et al. 2014, and references therein), but that is a departure from what we observe on sub-parsec, parsec scales (see Fig. 5). If the product is actually close to 1 in the nuclear region, as expected in a cold accelerating outflow (see Sect. 3.4), then one of two scenarios may be invoked: $\Gamma \Phi$ may start close to one and they rapidly decrease in the parabolic region (hints of a decreasing trend are given by the 43 GHz maps) down to $\sim 0.1$ at 0.1 pc. Alternatively, the prediction is only valid for the very central jet spine launched in the surroundings of the black hole ergosphere, while we infer with our observations is determined by the behavior of the external sheath.

### 4.3. Jet acceleration gradient

The jet undergoes a rapid acceleration up to $\sim 0.9$ c on sub-parsec scales (see Sect. 3.2). In order to check whether the acceleration rate is consistent with the standard magneto-hydrodynamical (MHD) mechanism, we fit the speeds profile with a generic model. We work under the assumption of a parabolic jet shape $r = \lambda z^p$, with $p = 0.45$ accordingly to B21. The scaling parameter $\lambda$ is computed from the knowledge of the jet radius at the transition distance $r_{20} = 0.58$ pc, namely $r_{20} = 0.036$ pc. To describe the observational data reported in Fig. 3, we adopted a hyperbolic tangent function of the type $\Gamma(z) = A + B \tan h(\alpha z - b)$, which we constrained to follow the MHD approach for a magnetically accelerating jet. The function is used to describe the data in the Lorentz factor parameter space. The hyperbolic tangent function mimics both the Lorentz factor growth onset on a scale of a light cylinder radius $R_L$ and an acceleration saturation close to its observed maximum value $\Gamma_{\max} \sim \gamma M_\odot$. Moreover, it is linear for the argument close to zero. We find the constants $A$ and $B$ by setting the function limits as 1 and $\Gamma_{\max}$. By ensuring that the growth rate (derivative over $r$) never exceeds $R_L^{-1}$, as expected from MHD modeling (Beskin & Nokhrina 2006; Tchekhovskoy et al. 2009; Lyubarsky 2009; Nakamura et al. 2018), we constrain the parameter $a$. Finally, we set $b$ so as to set $\Gamma_{\max} \sim 2/2$. At the distance $r \sim R_L \Gamma_{\max}/2f$. Here, the parameter $f$ is defined as the ratio between the radius at which the maximum Lorentz factor is reached and the total jet radius. For models assuming a constant angular velocity (Lyubarsky 2009) the maximum Lorentz factor is reached at the jet boundary ($\rho = 1$), while models with a slower sheath flow provide $f \sim 0.2 \sim 0.7$ (Komissarov et al. 2007; Beskin et al. 2017; Chatterjee et al. 2019).
To compute the magnetic field strength at one parsec, following the works by Lobanov (1998) and Hirotani (2005), we define the core-position offset measure:

$$\Omega_{r \nu} = 4.85 \times 10^{-9} \frac{\Delta r_{\text{max}} D_L}{(1+z)^2} \left( \frac{v_1^{1/k_r} v_2^{1/k_r}}{v_1^{1/k_r} - v_1^{1/k_r}} \right) \text{ pc GHz},$$

where $$\Delta r_{\text{max}}$$ is the core shift between $$v_2$$ and $$v_1$$ (in mas) and $$D_L$$ is the luminosity distance (in pc). In the scenario of a conical jet with spectral index $$\alpha = -0.5$$ and in equipartition between the particle and magnetic field energy density, the magnetic field is expressed as:

$$B_1 = 0.025 \left( \frac{\Omega_{r \nu}^2 (1+z)^2}{\delta^2 \phi \sin^2 \theta} \right)^{1/4} \text{ G},$$

where $$\delta = [\Gamma(1-\beta \cos \phi)]^{-1}$$ is the Doppler factor. Under the same assumptions, we also expect the core shift coefficient to be $$k_r = 1$$ (Lobanov 1998). This value is slightly larger than the core shift index assumed in this work, $$k_r = 0.84 \pm 0.06$$ (see Sect. 2.1, B21). The latter is indeed obtained by fitting the core shift at all available frequencies (1-22 GHz), which sample both the parabolic and the conical jet region. If the jet break occurs at the distance where the jet switches from a magnetically dominated to an equipartition regime (Nokhrina et al. 2020), we should expect to obtain an index $$k_r$$ close to unity if we fit the core shift only in the conical region. Thus, we fit the parabolic and conical regions separately. In the former, sampled by the frequency range (8-22) GHz, we determined an index of $$k_r = 0.57 \pm 0.17$$, while in the latter, sampled at 1.5, and 8 GHz, we obtained $$k_r = 0.93 \pm 0.01$$. The point at 8 GHz is used to connect the two regions, since it is the closest one to the jet break. After the break, we obtain an index slightly lower than the unity, in reasonable agreement with the equipartition hypothesis. The other two hypotheses are not fully matched. Indeed, $$\alpha = -0.5$$ is flatter with respect to the observed values (see Park et al. 2021) and the jet does not show a conical shape up to its apex. Nevertheless, we can use Eq. 11 to obtain an order-of-magnitude estimate of the magnetic field strength. The core-position offset $$\Omega_{r \nu}$$ is computed between $$v_2 = 1.4$$ GHz and $$v_1 = 5.0$$ GHz, since these frequencies sample the one-parsec region. The Doppler factor is estimated to be $$\delta = 1.24 \pm 0.25$$ with $$\beta = 0.95 \pm 0.03$$ (observed at one pc; see Fig. 3).

We assume an intrinsic half opening angle of $$\phi = (2.5 \pm 1)$$° (Fig. 4). Using Eq. 11, we find $$B_1 = 0.13 \pm 0.02$$ G. The magnetic field strength matches the values obtained by O’Sullivan & Gabuzda (2009) in their small samples of objects and it is fairly smaller than the median value $$B_1 \sim 0.4$$ G obtained for the BL Lac sources in the MOJAVE sample (Pushkarev et al. 2012).

In the following, we propose a new formalism to compute the magnetic field for a quasi-parabolic accelerating jet, which better describes the case of NGC 315. We calculate the expressions for a magnetic field at a generic distance from the jet base based on the assumption that the flow radius evolves as $$r \sim z^\psi$$, with $$\psi = 0.5$$, corresponding to a strictly parabolic jet boundary. The details are reported in Appendix A. First, we assume the Doppler factor $$\delta$$ as a constant. By recalculating the position of the surface with optical depth equal to unity, the expression for $$\Omega_{r \nu}$$ is still Eq. 10 but with $$k_r = \psi$$, and the magnetic field is:

$$B_z = 0.025 \frac{\Omega_{r \nu}^{\psi} (1+z)^2}{z^\psi r_2 \delta^2 \sin^2 \psi - \theta}^{1/4} \text{ G}.$$

4.4. Core shift magnetic field for a conical jet and for a quasi-parabolic accelerating jet

The magnetic field strength is expected to vary with distance z from the black hole as $$B(z) = B_\xi \xi^\psi$$, where $$B_\xi$$ is the magnetic field strength at $$\xi$$ pc (see e.g., O’Sullivan & Gabuzda 2009). To compute the magnetic field strength at one parsec, following the works by Lobanov (1998) and Hirotani (2005), we define the core-position offset measure:

$$\Omega_{r \nu} = 4.85 \times 10^{-9} \frac{\Delta r_{\text{max}} D_L}{(1+z)^2} \left( \frac{v_1^{1/k_r} v_2^{1/k_r}}{v_1^{1/k_r} - v_1^{1/k_r}} \right) \text{ pc GHz},$$

where $$\Delta r_{\text{max}}$$ is the core shift between $$v_2$$ and $$v_1$$ (in mas) and $$D_L$$ is the luminosity distance (in pc). In the scenario of a conical jet with spectral index $$\alpha = -0.5$$ and in equipartition between the particle and magnetic field energy density, the magnetic field is expressed as:

$$B_1 = 0.025 \left( \frac{\Omega_{r \nu}^2 (1+z)^2}{\delta^2 \phi \sin^2 \theta} \right)^{1/4} \text{ G},$$

where $$\delta = [\Gamma(1-\beta \cos \phi)]^{-1}$$ is the Doppler factor. Under the same assumptions, we also expect the core shift coefficient to be $$k_r = 1$$ (Lobanov 1998). This value is slightly larger than the core shift index assumed in this work, $$k_r = 0.84 \pm 0.06$$ (see Sect. 2.1, B21). The latter is indeed obtained by fitting the core shift at all available frequencies (1-22 GHz), which sample both the parabolic and the conical jet region. If the jet break occurs at the distance where the jet switches from a magnetically dominated to an equipartition regime (Nokhrina et al. 2020), we should expect to obtain an index $$k_r$$ close to unity if we fit the core shift only in the conical region. Thus, we fit the parabolic and conical regions separately. In the former, sampled by the frequency range (8-22) GHz, we determined an index of $$k_r = 0.57 \pm 0.17$$, while in the latter, sampled at 1.5, and 8 GHz, we obtained $$k_r = 0.93 \pm 0.01$$. The point at 8 GHz is used to connect the two regions, since it is the closest one to the jet break. After the break, we obtain an index slightly lower than the unity, in reasonable agreement with the equipartition hypothesis. The other two hypotheses are not fully matched. Indeed, $$\alpha = -0.5$$ is flatter with respect to the observed values (see Park et al. 2021) and the jet does not show a conical shape up to its apex. Nevertheless, we can use Eq. 11 to obtain an order-of-magnitude estimate of the magnetic field strength. The core-position offset $$\Omega_{r \nu}$$ is computed between $$v_2 = 1.4$$ GHz and $$v_1 = 5.0$$ GHz, since these frequencies sample the one-parsec region. The Doppler factor is estimated to be $$\delta = 1.24 \pm 0.25$$ with $$\beta = 0.95 \pm 0.03$$ (observed at one pc; see Fig. 3).

We assume an intrinsic half opening angle of $$\phi = (2.5 \pm 1)$$° (Fig. 4). Using Eq. 11, we find $$B_1 = 0.13 \pm 0.02$$ G. The magnetic field strength matches the values obtained by O’Sullivan & Gabuzda (2009) in their small samples of objects and it is fairly smaller than the median value $$B_1 \sim 0.4$$ G obtained for the BL Lac sources in the MOJAVE sample (Pushkarev et al. 2012).

In the following, we propose a new formalism to compute the magnetic field for a quasi-parabolic accelerating jet, which better describes the case of NGC 315. We calculate the expressions for a magnetic field at a generic distance from the jet base based on the assumption that the flow radius evolves as $$r \sim z^\psi$$, with $$\psi = 0.5$$, corresponding to a strictly parabolic jet boundary. The details are reported in Appendix A. First, we assume the Doppler factor $$\delta$$ as a constant. By recalculating the position of the surface with optical depth equal to unity, the expression for $$\Omega_{r \nu}$$ is still Eq. 10 but with $$k_r = \psi$$, and the magnetic field is:

$$B_z = 0.025 \frac{\Omega_{r \nu}^{\psi} (1+z)^2}{z^\psi r_2 \delta^2 \sin^2 \psi - \theta}^{1/4} \text{ G}.$$
Here, \( r_2 \) in parsecs is the jet radius at \( z = 0 \) parsec from the jet base. This expression transforms into Eq. (11) for \( z = 1 \), \( \psi = 1 \) and noting that \( \varphi \approx r_2/z = r_2 \) at one parsec.

For an accelerating flow, we assume a linear acceleration with the jet radius as \( \Gamma = r/R_L \) and with the Doppler factor changing along the accelerating flow. We distinguish between three possible cases, depending on the interplay between the viewing angle \( \theta \) and the Lorentz factor \( \Gamma \) in the expression for \( \beta \).

For case A: the viewing angle \( \theta \sim \Gamma^{-1} \) is such that the Doppler factor is close to its peak, so we may set it as a constant. In this scenario, \( k_r = 4\psi/3 \) and the expression for the magnetic field becomes (see details in Appendix A):

\[
B_z = 0.025 \left( \frac{\Omega \gamma_0^6 (1 + z)^2}{z^6 r_2^2 \sin^{3\psi-1} \theta} \right)^{1/4} G, \tag{13}
\]

with \( z \) and \( r_2 \) in parsecs.

For case B: for a smaller viewing angle \( \theta \lesssim \Gamma^{-1} \), we may use the approximations \( \beta \approx 1 - 1/(2\Gamma^2) \) and \( \cos \theta \approx 1 - \theta^2/2 \), and by neglecting the factor \( \theta^2 \) we obtain \( \delta \approx 2\Gamma \). The core shift coefficient becomes \( k_r = 4\psi/3 \), and the magnetic field is given by:

\[
B_z = 0.018 \left( \frac{\Omega \gamma_0^6 (1 + z)^2}{z^6 r_2^2 \sin^{3\psi-1} \theta} \left( \frac{R_L}{r_2} \right)^2 \right)^{1/4} G, \tag{14}
\]

with the light cylinder radius \( R_L \) measured in parsecs.

For case C: for a larger viewing angle \( \theta \gtrsim \Gamma^{-1} \), we use the exact expression for a Doppler factor with variable \( \Gamma \), but assuming \( \beta = 1 \), since the term \( \beta \cos \theta \) in \( \delta \) is not close to unity and the difference of velocity from speed of light is not important. In this case \( k_r = 8\psi/3 \), and the magnetic field is expressed as:

\[
B_z = 0.025 \left( \frac{\Omega \gamma_0^6 (1 + z)^2 (1 - \cos \theta)^2}{z^6 r_2^2 \sin^{3\psi-1} \theta} \left( \frac{r_2}{R_L} \right)^2 \right)^{1/4} G. \tag{15}
\]

For NGC 315, the viewing angle is \( \theta \sim 0.66 \) rad and the inverse of the Lorentz factor evolves with a distance from \( \Gamma^{-1} \sim 1 \) at the jet base to \( \Gamma^{-1} \sim 0.5 \) at \( z_{\text{br}} \). Therefore, case A is the most adequate at the transition distance, while case B is true in the accelerating part of the jet. At \( z = z_{\text{br}} = 0.58 \pm 0.28 \) pc, we obtain \( B_{z_{\text{br}}} = 0.18 \pm 0.06 \) G and \( B_{z_{\text{br}}} = 0.013 \pm 0.003 \) G, respectively. As we expect a larger magnetic field value closer to the jet base, the estimate in the case A corresponds better to the value at \( z = 1 \) pc than in the case B. However, we note that Eq. (14) contains a factor \( (R_L/r_2)^3 \) that follows from the ideal linear acceleration \( \Gamma = r/R_L \). Slower accelerations or the maximum Lorentz factor reached closer to the jet axis will boost this magnetic field estimate (Beskin et al. 2017).

We note that the relation \( k_r = 4\psi/3 \), valid in case B, is in excellent agreement with the observations, with \( \psi = 0.45 \) and the measured \( k_r \) at high frequencies \( k_r = 0.57 \pm 0.17 \). Instead, the relation \( k_r \sim 2\psi \), valid in case A, implies a \( k_r \) value beyond the observed interval.

4.5. Magnetic field: From the parsec jet up to the accretion disk

In this section, we explore the properties of the magnetic fields in the nuclear region in two different ways: 1) from the disk magnetic flux (Sect. 4.1) assuming a simplified geometry for the disk and 2) by extrapolating up to the jet base our field strengths estimation (Sect. 4.4). The results are compared with the expected field strength needed to saturate the disk and form a MAD, whose presence is suggested from the comparison of the magnetic fluxes (Sect. 4.1).

4.5.1. Saturation magnetic field

In the MAD state, the accretion disk is disrupted by the accumulated poloidal field within the extent of the magnetosphere (\( r_m \) in units of \( R_s \)), which corresponds to the jet launching radius. The magnetosphere radius, following Narayan et al. (2003), is defined as:

\[
r_m \sim 8.2 \times 10^3 (\epsilon \times 10^2)^{2/3} m_{\text{BH}}^{-2/3} \frac{\Phi}{0.1 \text{ pc}^2 \text{G}^{1/3}} R_s, \tag{16}
\]

where: \( \epsilon \) defines the ratio between the radial velocity of the gas within the magnetosphere and its free-fall velocity and for which we assume an upper limit of 0.1 (Narayan et al. 2003), \( m_{\text{BH}} = M_{\text{BH}}/(10^8 M_{\odot}) \), \( m = M/\dot{M}_{\text{disk}} \), and \( \Phi \) is the magnetic flux in the central region, for which we adopt our \( \Phi \) estimation with \( b = 2 \). We infer the upper limit of the magnetosphere radius as \( r_m \sim 1.1 \pm 1.0 R_s \). The error is computed by propagating the uncertainties on the magnetic flux and the accretion mass rate. We notice that in NGC 315, the event horizon radius varies between \( r_h \in [0.6, 0.8] \) for \( \alpha = 0.59 \) and \( \alpha_{\text{in}} = 0.86 \), respectively. Since a magnetosphere smaller than an event horizon would be unphysical, the lower limit on \( r_m \) is set by the lower limit on the event horizon radius. Therefore, the magnetosphere radius lays in the range \( \sim 0.6 - 2.1 R_s \), or \( 1.2 - 4.2 r_h \) when \( \eta_{\text{BH}} = 0.1 \) and \( \sim 0.6 - 5.3 R_s \), or \( \sim 1.2 - 10.6 r_h \) when \( \eta_{\text{BH}} = 0.4 \). The derived range is relatively small with respect to estimates from simulations. For instance, Narayan et al. (2021) inferred magnetospheric radii on the order of several tens of \( r_h \).

Next, we extrapolate the saturation magnetic field in the accretion disk based on the knowledge that a MAD forms when enough of the field is accumulated within it, so that the magnetic force \( F_B \) overcomes the gravitational force \( F_G \). When \( F_B > F_G \), the magnetic field is expelled from the disk until the condition \( F_B = F_G \) is reached. Following Con (2015), we express the forces as \( F_B = (B^2/8\pi)4\pi r^2 h/r \) and \( F_G = GM_{\text{BH}}M_{\text{disk}}/r^2 \), in which

\[\text{Article number, page 9 of 16}\]
$h/r$ is the scale height of the accretion disk, $r = r_m$ is the radius and $M_{\text{disk}}$ is the disk mass in the region in which the MAD forms. Expressing the disk mass as $M_{\text{disk}} = 4/3\pi r^3 h/r$ and the density $\rho$ from the mass continuity equation as $\rho = M/(4\pi r^2 v_r h/r)$ yields the following saturation magnetic field:

$$B_{\text{MAD}} = \left(\frac{2G M_{\text{BH}} M}{3r^3 v_r h/r}\right)^{1/2}, \quad (17)$$

in which $v_r$ is the radial velocity of the infalling gas and is assumed to be close to the speed of light. We solve Eq. 17 by adopting the mass accretion rate derived from the [O III] line luminosity in Sect. 4.1. We set the scale height to 0.25 ± 0.10, according to Narayan et al. (2021) (see Fig. 7, right lower panel in that article) and extrapolate a saturation magnetic field spanning the range $B_{\text{MAD}} = 125 - 480$ G at $r_m = 0.6 R_S$ and $B_{\text{MAD}} = 5 - 18$ G at $r_m = 5.3 R_S$.

4.5.2. Poloidal field from the magnetic flux $\Phi$

In the accretion disk within the supposed magnetosphere, the strength of the poloidal magnetic field component $B_P$ is related to the magnetic flux $\Phi$ in the flux freezing approximation. The poloidal strength $B_P = \Phi/\mathcal{S}$, where we assume a circular surface $\mathcal{S} = \pi r^2$ with $r \in [0.6, 5.3] R_S$. The field varies between $B_P = 4640 - 464$ G and $B_P = 60 - 6$ G at the lower and upper limit of the magnetosphere.

4.5.3. Extrapolation from the field strength in the jet

Finally, we extrapolate the magnetic field values inferred in Sect. 4.4 up to the jet base. To do so, we assume that the magnetic flux is conserved along the sub-parsec jet. Under this assumption, both the toroidal and poloidal components of the magnetic field in the parabolic region evolve as

$$B(z) = B_0(z_0/z)^{2\psi}. \quad (18)$$

The details are shown in Appendix B. Being $\psi = 0.45$ upstream of the transition distance, both the poloidal and toroidal magnetic field components evolve as $\sim z^{-1}$, while in the conical region the poloidal component evolves as $\sim z^{-2}$.

Our results are summarized in Fig. 8. From the first two methods, the magnetic field strength in the accretion disk is found to be in the range of $\sim 10 - 10^2$ G, based on the possible extent of the magnetosphere. On the other hand, the strengths at the jet base are up to tens of Gauss by extrapolating case B and up to $\sim 10^2$ G by extrapolating case A and the conical case. A comparable range ($360$ G $< B < 6.9 \times 10^4$ G at $1 R_S$ from the core) was derived by Baczko et al. (2016) for the radio galaxy NGC 1052.

From general relativistic magnetohydrodynamical (GRMHD) simulations, the magnetic energy ($\propto B^2$) in a Blandford-Znajek jet is expected to be one-two orders of magnitude stronger than the one in the disk ( McKinney & Narayan 2007a, b). In this scenario, the conical and A cases are favored, leading to fields that are several orders of magnitude stronger than the strengths needed to form a magnetically arrested disk, especially at the largest magnetosphere radius.

A final test of the models described above can be performed by comparing the expected jet power to the observed one. By knowing the accretion rate, the expected jet power can be computed as $P_{\text{jet}} = \eta M c^2$. By means of GRMHD simulations within the MAD scenario, Narayan et al. (2021) linked different black hole spins to the expected accretion efficiencies. From our determined spin range of $a_* = 0.72 - 0.99$, we expect $\eta \in [0.72, 1.50]$ in the prograde case (i.e., the black hole and the accretion disk rotating in the same direction) and $\eta \in [0.14, 0.42]$ in the retrograde case (i.e., the black hole and the accretion disk rotating in opposite directions). Using the [O III] line estimation for the accretion rate $\dot{M} = 0.1$, the jet power of NGC 315 is $P_{\text{jet}} \sim 1 - 4 \times 10^{44}$ erg/s in the prograde case and $P_{\text{jet}} \sim 0.3 - 1 \times 10^{44}$ erg/s in the retrograde case. In the case of $\eta_d = 0.4$, the jet power is expected to be $P_{\text{jet}} \sim 4 - 9 \times 10^{43}$ erg/s and $P_{\text{jet}} \sim 0.9 - 3 \times 10^{43}$ erg/s, respectively.

A further method to compute the total jet power is provided by Nokhrina et al. (2019) based on their model (see Sect. 4.1):

$$P_{\text{jet}} = \frac{c}{8} \frac{\Phi}{\pi R_L}^2. \quad (19)$$

By assuming $b = 1$ and $b = 2$ we obtain respectively the lower and upper limit for the jet power, $P_{\text{jet}} \sim 2 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $P_{\text{jet}} \sim 1 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The comparison with the previous $P_{\text{jet}}$ estimates favors an index for the external pressure $b \sim 2$, consistent with our findings in Sect. 4.1.

In the following, we compare these expected values with observational estimates of the jet power in NGC 315. We first work under the assumption of a Bondi accretion flow (Bondi 1952), Following Russell et al. (2015), we can derive the accretion
rate, in convenient units, as:

\[ M_B = 0.012 \left( \frac{k_B T}{\text{keV}} \right)^{-3/2} \left( \frac{n_e}{\text{cm}^{-3}} \right) \left( \frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{10^9 M_\odot} \right)^2 \frac{M_\odot}{\text{yr}^{-1}}, \]

(20)
in which \( n_e \) is the electron number density and \( k_B T \) is the temperature of the medium at the Bondi radius. In this equation, an adiabatic index of 5/3 is assumed. The parameters of the gas within the Bondi radius, \( k_B T = 0.44 \text{ keV} \) and \( n_e = 2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), are extracted from X-ray Chandra data (Worrall et al. 2007). Eq. 20 yields an accretion rate of \( M_B = 3.1 \times 10^{24} \text{ g/s} \) which is \( 5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}_\odot/\text{yr} \), around 100 times lower than the Eddington accretion rate \( M_{\text{Edd}} = 2.9 \times 10^{26} \text{ g/s} \). Nemmen & Tchekhovskoy (2015) and Allen et al. (2006), among others, observed that a relation exists between the Bondi accretion rate and the jet power. The latter can be directly inferred as \( \log P_{\text{jet}} = A \log(0.1 M_B c^2) + B \) in which the parameters are either \( A = 1.05 \) and \( B = -3.51 \) (Nemmen & Tchekhovskoy 2015) or \( A = 1.29 \) and \( B = -0.84 \) (Allen et al. 2006) meaning \( P_{\text{jet}} \sim 2 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) and \( P_{\text{jet}} \sim 1 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \), respectively. A second method relies on the existence of an empirical relationship between the radio core luminosity and the jet power. Following the work of Heinz et al. (2007), Morganti et al. (2009), we assume the inferred \( P_{\text{jet}} = 1.4 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \), while the validity of such a relation may, in general, be affected by the core variability and Doppler boosting, we do not expect these effects to be strong in NGC 315, and the obtained value lies in the same range as the previous estimates.

This analysis shows a substantial agreement between the order-of-magnitude of the jet powers computed in the MAD scenario and from Eq. 19 and the observational estimates. Obtaining tighter constraints concerning, for instance, which type of spin configuration is the most likely is not possible based on the current data due to the large uncertainties and the degeneracy between the different parameters.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we explore the disk-jet connection in the nearby radio galaxy NGC 315 using a multifrequency and multipech VLBI data set. We constrained the observational properties of the jet in the acceleration and collimation region and used them to retrieve information on the physics of the accretion disk and of the jet itself. Our results are summarized as follows.

- We used simultaneous 22 GHz and 43 GHz observations to study the evolution of the spectral index on VLBI scales. We inferred an unexpected spectral behavior, with very steep values \( (\alpha \sim -1.5) \) in a region whose extent is comparable with that of the collimation zone. These steep values may result from strong synchrotron losses at the highest frequencies, reflecting the presence of strong magnetic fields at the jet base.
- Using a pixel-based analysis, we derived the jet speed profile from the observed jet-to-counterjet ratio profile, under the assumption of intrinsic symmetry between the two jets. We inferred a fast-acceleration on sub-parsec scales, where the jet collimation is also taking place. The co-spatiality between the two phenomena suggests the jet to consist of a cold outflow in which the acceleration is mainly driven by the conversion of magnetic energy into kinetic energy of the bulk. Following this, we modeled the jet acceleration in the context of MHD theories.
- We found the half intrinsic opening angle to span the range between \( \sim 1^\circ \) and \( \sim 6^\circ \), consistent with typical values observed in radio galaxies. The opening angle decreases on sub-parsec scales down to approximately constant values of \( \sim 2^\circ - 3^\circ \). Consequently, we examined the \( \Gamma \phi \) product along the jet, finding a fairly constant profile that varies between 0.04 and 0.2, with \( \Gamma \phi = 0.07 \) as average. This value is consistent with the median product of \( \Gamma \phi = 0.13 - 0.17 \) from sample studies. At the jet base, we indirectly estimate the average \( \Gamma \phi \) product to be likely close to the expectation of \( \Gamma \phi \sim 1 \) for magnetically accelerating jets. The discrepancy between the expected and the observed value may be explained if either the \( \Gamma \phi \) product drops swiftly in the acceleration region, or if the condition \( \Gamma \phi \sim 1 \) is only valid in the very central jet spine, and the observed values mainly reflect the properties of the outer sheath.
- Under the assumption that an equipartition state is reached at the end of the acceleration and collimation region, within the framework of the model proposed by Nokhrina et al. (2019, 2020), we found the black hole of NGC 315 to be fast-rotating, with \( a_r \geq 0.72 \). Moreover, based on the X-ray properties of the accretion flow, we constrained the total magnetic flux threading the accretion disk in to be in excellent agreement with the expected flux in case of a magnetically arrested disk. The two are estimated independently, with the former being extrapolated from the jet properties at the transition and the expected one based on the accretion rate and black hole mass. The average dimensionless magnetic flux \( \phi_{\text{BH}} \sim 40 \) in a good agreement with the saturation value of \( \sim 50 \), which is expected when the accretion disk reaches the magnetically arrested state.
- We estimated three different magnetic field values down to the jet base based on the core shift effect in the case of both a conical and a quasi-parabolic accelerating jet. The latter case required the development of a new formalism. In the case of \( \theta \sim \Gamma^{-1} \), we found a magnetic field strength of \( B \sim 0.18 \text{ G} \) at \( z_{\text{eq}} = 0.58 \text{ pc} \) consistent with the one in the conical assumption, while if \( \theta \leq \Gamma^{-1} \) the magnetic strength is lower, \( B \sim 0.01 \text{ G} \). Later, we extrapolated the field values down the event horizon radius assuming both a poloidal and toroidal field configuration. The extrapolated strengths are consistent with both the poloidal field values obtained from the magnetic disk flux and the needed saturation field strengths to form a MAD. This result offers evidence that the magnetic field in the accretion disk has reached high enough values to saturate, establishing a magnetically arrested state.

In conclusion, our analysis and modeling of the jet observational properties on VLBI scales are compatible with the expectations from an accretion disk that has reached a magnetically arrested state. Our results will be expanded in future papers by means of numerical simulations and new VLBI data sets, especially multifrequency 86 GHz GMVA observations.
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Appendix A: Core shift in a quasi-parabolic flow

We suppose that the flow has a shape $r \sim z^\alpha$, with $\alpha = 0.5$ corresponding to a strictly parabolic jet boundary. We start with Eq. 6 in Nokhrina et al. (2015):

$$\left(1 + \frac{z}{\delta}\right)^{2.5-\alpha} = c(\alpha) \left(1 + \frac{z}{\delta}\right)^{2} \frac{2r_{0}}{\sin \theta} v_{0} \left(\frac{e}{2\pi mc}\right)^{1.5-\alpha} k_{e} B^{1.5-\alpha}.$$

(A.1)

Here, we assume the following emitting particle energy distribution: $d\nu = \kappa_{e} \gamma^{1+2\alpha} d\gamma$, $\gamma \in \left[\gamma_{\min}, \gamma_{\max}\right]$, where $r_{0}$ is the electron classical radius, $v_{0} = c/r_{0}$, the function $c(\alpha)$ is defined in Hirota (2005), $n$, $k_{e}$, and $B$ are in the plasma proper frame, while all the rest of parameters ($v$, $\theta$, etc.) are in the observer’s frame. The standard assumption of equipartition between magnetic field and emitting plasma energy density (Blandford & Königl 1979; Lobanov 1998; Hirota 2005) is written as:

$$k_{e} = \frac{B^{2}}{8\pi mc^{2} \Lambda}.$$

(A.2)

where $\Lambda = \ln \frac{\gamma_{\max}}{\gamma_{\min}}$ for $\alpha = -1/2$, and $\Lambda = \left(\gamma^{1+2\alpha}_{\max} - \gamma^{1+2\alpha}_{\min}\right) / (1 + 2\alpha)$ for $\alpha \neq -1/2$. The geometrical depth along the line of sight in the conical geometry is equal to $2\gamma \sin \theta / \sin \nu$ in the nucleus frame while for a quasi-parabolic jet is $2r_{0} \sin \theta$, where $r = az^{\alpha}$ is the jet radius in the core at any given frequency.

First, we suppose that the jet has a constant Lorentz factor. We use the following dependencies for the particle number density and magnetic field in the plasma proper frame $n \propto r^{-2} \propto z^{-2\alpha}$ and $B \propto r^{-1} \propto z^{-\alpha}$. The first expression indicates the continuity of a flow with a constant speed, while the second one corresponds to a magnetic field created by an electric current flowing along the jet axis. The Doppler factor $\delta$ is a constant and only changes in derivation of the expressions for $\Omega_{\nu v}$, while $B$ is connected with the different geometry. Setting the constant $C = 2c(\alpha)r_{0}^{2}v(2\pi mc)^{1.5-\alpha}$ we obtain:

$$\nu^{2.5-\alpha} = C \left(\frac{\delta}{1 + z}\right)^{1.5-\alpha} \frac{r_{z}}{\sin \theta} k_{e,z} B_{z}^{1.5-\alpha}\left(\frac{Z}{Z}\right)^{(2.5-\alpha)\phi},$$

(A.3)

where $k_{e,z}$ and $B_{z}$ are taken at a distance $z$, and $Z$ is a position of the core observed at the frequency $\nu$. We see that $\nu \propto Z^{-\phi}$ and from Eq. A.3 we obtain the same equation for $\Omega_{\nu v}$ as Eq. 10 but with $k_{e} = \psi$. Substituting Eq. A.2 into the relation between $\Omega_{\nu v}$ and the flow physical properties, we have:

$$C \left(\frac{\delta}{1 + z}\right)^{1.5-\alpha} \frac{r_{z}}{\sin \theta} k_{e,z} B_{z}^{1.5-\alpha} = \left(\frac{\Omega_{\nu v}}{Z \sin \theta}\right)^{(2.5-\alpha)\phi},$$

(A.4)

and we obtain Eq. 12 for a magnetic field for $\alpha = -1/2$.

For the accelerating flow, we assume linear acceleration with a jet radius $\Gamma = r/R_{L}$. This means that the dependencies for a particle number density and a magnetic field are different. Indeed, the flow continuity leads in the plasma proper frame to $n \propto r^{-2}\Gamma^{-1} \propto z^{-3\alpha}$, while the toroidal magnetic field created by an electric current in the plasma proper frame can be written as $B \propto r^{-1}\Gamma^{-1} \propto z^{-2\alpha}$. In this case, if there is equipartition at some distance $z_{0}$, it does not hold everywhere throughout a jet. The emitting particle energy starts slowly dominating downstream until the acceleration becomes very slow. However, for a mildly relativistic flow the departure from equipartition, which is on the order of $\eta_{\text{tan}}/\eta_{\text{th}} \approx \Gamma / \Gamma_{0}$, with $\Gamma_{0}$ being the bulk motion Lorentz factor at $z_{0}$, is not dramatic. The second difference comes from the Doppler factor changing along the accelerating flow. For a given observational angle $\theta$, the Doppler factor has a maximum at approximately $\cos \theta = \beta$, which for a small angle $\theta \ll 1$ transforms into $\Gamma \approx \theta^{-1}$. For NGC 315 ($\theta = 38^\circ$) the Doppler factor has a maximum at $\Gamma \approx 1.6$ and can be treated approximately as a constant. For the smaller Lorentz factors: $\delta \sim 2\Gamma$, while for the larger ones: $\delta \sim 1/\Gamma (1 - \cos \theta)$. Thus, we consider three cases for an accelerating flow depending on the value of a Lorentz factor at the cores.

In case A, the Doppler factor is close to its peak and the emission from the fastest parts of a flow reaches the observer as $\theta \sim \Gamma^{-1}$. Substituting the dependencies for $n$, $B$, and $r$ on $Z$ into Eq. A.1, we obtain:

$$\nu^{2.5-\alpha} = C \left(\frac{\delta}{1 + z}\right)^{1.5-\alpha} \frac{r_{z}}{\sin \theta} k_{e,z} B_{z}^{1.5-\alpha}\left(\frac{Z}{Z}\right)^{(5-2\alpha)\phi}.$$

(A.5)

From this, Eq. 10 for $\Omega_{\nu v}$ with $k_{e} = 2\delta$ follows. Now, the relation of the core shift with jet physical parameters is:

$$C \left(\frac{\delta}{1 + z}\right)^{1.5-\alpha} \frac{r_{z}}{\sin \theta} k_{e,z} B_{z}^{1.5-\alpha} = \left(\frac{\Omega_{\nu v}}{Z \sin \theta}\right)^{(5-2\alpha)\phi},$$

(A.6)

and assuming a close-to-equipartition state we obtain Eq. 13 for the magnetic field.

In case B, the fastest components are observed well within the emission cone as $\theta < \Gamma^{-1}$. We consider the case of $\delta = 2\Gamma$ and substitute:

$$\delta = 2 \frac{r_{z}}{R_{L}} \left(\frac{Z}{Z}\right)^{\phi},$$

(A.7)

into Eq. A.1. The dependence of the observational frequency on a distance $Z$ can be rewritten as:

$$\nu^{2.5-\alpha} = C \left(\frac{2 \frac{r_{z}}{R_{L}}}{1 + z}\right)^{1.5-\alpha} \frac{r_{z}}{\sin \theta} k_{e,z} B_{z}^{1.5-\alpha}\left(\frac{Z}{Z}\right)^{(3.5-\alpha)\phi}.$$

(A.8)

From this, the relation $k_{e} = \psi (3.5 - \alpha)/(2.5 - \alpha) = 4\psi /3$ for $\alpha = -1/2$ and Eq. 14 follow.

In case C, the emission from the fastest components are deboosted due to the relation $\theta > \Gamma^{-1}$, so it is of academic interest only. We substitute, using $\beta \approx 1$,

$$\delta = \frac{R_{L}}{r_{z}} \left(\frac{Z}{Z}\right)^{\phi} \frac{1}{1 - \cos \theta},$$

(A.9)

into Eq. A.1 and obtain:

$$\nu^{2.5-\alpha} = C \left(\frac{2 \frac{R_{L}}{R_{L}}}{1 + z}\right)^{1.5-\alpha} \frac{r_{z}}{\sin \theta (1 - \cos \theta)} \times k_{e,z} B_{z}^{1.5-\alpha}\left(\frac{Z}{Z}\right)^{(6.5-3\alpha)\phi}.$$

(A.10)

The corresponding index is $k_{e} = \psi (6.5 - 3\alpha)/(2.5 - \alpha) = 8\psi /3$ for $\alpha = -1/2$.

Appendix B: Toroidal and poloidal magnetic field extrapolation in an accelerating jet

The toroidal and poloidal magnetic field components in the core frame (indicated as $\gamma'$) are $B_{e} = B_{e}/\Gamma$ and $B_{p} = B_{p}/\Gamma$, respectively. In Sect. 4.4, we computed the magnetic field in the plasma proper
frame and we called it $B_z$ for simplicity. If the toroidal field dominates, $B_z = B_{\varphi}$, otherwise $B_z = B_p$. In a relativistic flow, the toroidal and poloidal components are related as $B_{\varphi}^' = B_p^' \frac{r}{R_L}$.

We assume the magnetic flux is conserved along the jet, with a pure poloidal field following $B_p^' r^2 \sim \text{const}$, and we note that if the poloidal component depends on $r$ the relation still holds with some numerical coefficient. If the toroidal component dominates in the core region, the magnetic field is $B_z = B_p^' (r/r_g)^2 = B_q \Gamma R_L / r (r/r_g)^2$. In the accelerating region $\Gamma R_L / r \sim 1$, thus with $r \propto z^\phi$:

$$B_g = B_z \left( \frac{z}{z_g} \right)^{2\phi}.$$  \hspace{2cm} (B.1)

Instead, if the poloidal components dominates in the core region, $B_z = B_p^' (r/r_g)^2 = B_z (r/r_g)^2$, from which

$$B_g = B_z \left( \frac{z}{z_g} \right)^{2\phi}.$$  \hspace{2cm} (B.2)
Appendix C: NGC 315 images

Fig. C.1. VLBI image of NGC 315 at 1 GHz.

Fig. C.2. VLBI images of NGC 315 at 5 GHz.
Fig. C.3. VLBI image of NGC 315 at 15 GHz.

Fig. C.4. VLBI images of NGC 315 at 22 GHz.

Fig. C.5. VLBI images of NGC 315 at 43 GHz.