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BACKGROUND: COVID-19 restriction measurements have enhanced the obesity status in the pediatric population which might further contribute to obesity-related glucose-insulin metabolism alterations. Therefore, we retrospectively compared anthropometric and OGTT data on children with obesity during the 13 years before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.

SUBJECTS/METHODS: Data from 741 children with obesity and overweight were retrieved and clustered into seven groups starting from year 2008–2009 until 2020–2021. Differences in anthropometric measurements and glucose/insulin metabolism were evaluated between the different groups.

RESULTS: Children with overweight and obesity in the COVID-19 restriction group did not present increased values of SDSBody Mass Index (BMI). Significantly higher values for Waist Circumference (WC), SDS-WC, Waist/Height ratio (WHtR), and body mass fat were detected in these children (all \( P<0.01 \)). Fasting glycaemia, glucose, and insulin excursions were significantly higher compared to pre pandemic children (all \( P<0.01 \)). Insulin resistance was higher while insulin secretion was lower (all \( P<0.01 \)) determining a significantly higher percentage of impaired glucose tolerance in the COVID-19 restriction group (\( P<0.002 \)). Furthermore, High-Density Lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol was significantly lower (\( P<0.01 \)) and SDS for systolic and diastolic blood pressure values were significantly higher (\( P=0.03 \) and \( P=0.02 \), respectively).

CONCLUSIONS: COVID-19 restriction measurements determined profound alterations in glucose and insulin metabolism in children with obesity and overweight. Urgent strategies are needed in order to reverse COVID-19 restriction measures’ effects on glucose and insulin metabolism.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity presents an alarming risk to both physical and psychological health. The epidemic of obesity in the pediatric population has become a major public health concern in Italy and worldwide [1]. Excessive weight gain early in life likely leads to lifelong overweight and obesity and is associated with greater risk and earlier onset of chronic disorders, such as cardiovascular (CV) and metabolic diseases [2, 3]. In recent years, insufficient outdoor physical activity has been recognized as a major risk factor for pediatric overweight or obesity development [4]. In fact, several reports on children have suggested that this unhealthy weight gain mainly occurs during the summer months, when children are out of school [5, 6], thus suggesting relevant effects of changes in daily life habits on the risk of obesity.

In order to contain and mitigate the spread of the novel SARS-CoV2 infection in March 2020, the Italian Government established more stringent containment measures leading to prompt and major modification in the habits and lifestyles of the population [7, 8]. Public activities were discouraged, and online courses were offered and delivered through TV broadcast and internet thus determining a drastic decline in any form of socialization. It has been estimated that more than 180 million school-aged children and adolescents were confined to their homes [8], thus disrupting unintentionally their lifestyles. Rundle et al. hypothesized that the COVID-19 pandemic would “exacerbate all of the risk factors for weight gain associated with summer recess” [9, 10]. Meanwhile, An et al. [11], speculated that, compared with the pre-pandemic period in the United States, both Body Mass Index (BMI) -z-scores and childhood obesity prevalence under COVID-19 would rise, and the magnitude of the increase would be proportional to the length and severity of the pandemic. In fact, in a recent study, Vogel et al. have demonstrated a substantial weight gain across all age groups, reflected by a significant increase in the 3-month change in BMI-standard deviation scores (SDS) during this period, especially for children with obesity [12]. In addition, the rise in the incidence of obesity would be mirrored by an increasing number...
of cases of youth-onset type 2 diabetes (T2D), prediabetes, and early alteration of glucose metabolism-related to obesity [13, 14]. Therefore, an investigation into the progression of obesity development and its related consequences among children and adolescents during this dramatic period is urgently warranted. Thus, we aimed to comprehensively understand and analyze the impact of confinement due to the COVID-19 outbreak on obesity development and obesity-related complications in children and adolescents in our population. In detail, the objective of this study is to evaluate the possible effects on glucose metabolism and CV risk factors in children and adolescents with overweight and obesity during the 13 years before (from the year 2008 to 2019) and during the COVID-19 pandemic (years 2020/2021).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

The study was based on a retrospective review of the hard copy archive of the Pediatric Endocrinology Clinic of the Department of Pediatrics (University of Chieti, Chieti, Italy) for the period between March 2008 and July 2021. The study population consisted of 741 Caucasian children and adolescents (349 boys and 392 girls, mean age 11.2 ± 2.6 years) diagnosed with overweight (BMI ≥ 85th and <97th percentiles for age and sex) or obesity (BMI ≥ 97th percentile for age and sex) who had undergone a complete physical examination, biochemical evaluation and had performed an Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT) after their first visit to the Pediatric Endocrinology Clinic [15]. In the data analysis, only subjects evaluated for the first time and who performed for the first time a complete evaluation with an OGTT and a complete blood work assessment were included. Therefore, no repeated OGTT data were included in the analysis. None of the patients had other chronic diseases (diabetes, thyroid or other endocrine disorders, genetic diseases, or systemic inflammation) and none were taking any medication. Data on family history of T2D and CV diseases were also available. The study was conducted in compliance with ethical principles based on the Declaration of Helsinki. Formal consent for this study was not required, since it was confined to data collected as part of a routine assessment of children with obesity and data were entered into the study database in an anonymized and unidentifiable way.

In order to evaluate glucose metabolism during the 13 years before and especially, to explore the effects of COVID-19 lockdown, subjects were divided into seven groups based on the period when anthropometric measurements, OGTT, and biochemical assessment have been performed. In particular, we clustered the study population as follows:

- group 1 (year 2008/2009), patients assessed between March 2008 and July 2009;
- group 2 (year 2010/2011), patients assessed between March 2010 and July 2011;
- group 3 (year 2012/2013), patients assessed between March 2012 and July 2013;
- group 4 (year 2014/2015), patients assessed between March 2014 and July 2015;
- group 5 (year 2016/2017), patients assessed between March 2016 and July 2017;
- group 6 (year 2018/2019), patients assessed between March 2018 and July 2019;
- group 7 (year 2020/2021 or COVID-19 restriction group), patients assessed between March 2020 and July 2021.

Clinical data

All children and adolescents affected by overweight and obesity were evaluated by the same Pediatric Endocrinology team (University of Chieti, Chieti, Italy), with the supervision of a senior physician, using standard methods. Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm with Harpenden stadiometer (Holtein, Wales, UK). For this measurement, patients stood straight, with feet placed together and flat on the ground; heels, buttock and scapulae against the vertical backboard; arm loose and relaxed with palms facing medially and the head positioned in the Frankfurt plane. Body weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg with a calibrated scale. BMI, used as adiposity index, was calculated as the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters.
in order to attempt to evaluate differences mainly related to COVID was used. In addition, Bonferroni analysis was used for post-hoc analysis in order to characterize differences between group 7 and all the other seven groups. Furthermore, in order to attempt to evaluate differences mainly related to COVID restriction compared to all the previous years, in terms of overall glucose and insulin excursion during OGTT (Time 0, +30, +60, +90, +120) ANOVA for repeated measurements was used including in the analysis OGTT data of group 7 compared to the mean values of all the previous years (2008–2019). As well differences for each time point of OGTT data between these two groups were analyzed by t-testing. Differences in categorical variables were assessed with χ² test repeating the test for each pairwise comparison by Bonferroni correction and P < 0.0025 were taken as statistically significant in order to adjust for the multiple conducted comparisons [31]. In order to explore factors related to WC a Pearson correlation analysis was performed for variables of interest.

RESULTS
Anthropometric and metabolic characteristics of all participants categorized into the seven groups are presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

Out of the records of 741 children and adolescents with overweight or obesity twelve subjects were excluded due to incomplete data for OGTT. Out of the remaining 729 patients, 343 were males (47%) and 311 subjects (43%) were prepubertal. The seven groups were not different in terms of age, gender, and Tanner stage status (all P > 0.05). No differences were found between the seven groups for weight-SDS, height -SDS, and BMI-SDS.

In contrast, WC, SDS-WC, and WHtR were significantly different between the seven groups (P < 0.01). In detail, values of WC and SDS-WC increased steadily over time reaching significant differences across the seven groups (P < 0.01). In addition, in group 7 WC and SDS-WC were significantly larger compared to all other groups (all P < 0.01). Similarly, WHtR index was significantly higher in group 7 compared to groups 1, 2, 3, and 4 (all P < 0.01). Although WHtR index was higher compared to group 5 and 6 it did not reach a statistical significance. In addition, significantly

Table 1. Anthropometric characteristics of studied population clustering for years.

| Variables          | Groups for years                                                                 |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                    | 2008/2009 | 2010/2011 | 2012/2013 | 2014/2015 | 2016/2017 | 2018/2019 | 2020/2021 |
| Number             | 167       | 102       | 80        | 101       | 98        | 103       | 78        |
| Age (years)        | 10.7 ±2.4 | 10.7 ±2.7 | 10.9 ±3.0 | 11.2 ±3.0 | 11.5 ±2.9 | 11.7 ±2.5 | 11.7 ±2.7 |
| Sex (M/F)          | 83/84     | 49/53     | 29/51     | 49/52     | 42/56     | 45/58     | 46/52     |
| Puberty (Pre/Pubertal) | 68/99  | 49/53     | 45/35     | 40/61     | 40/58     | 34/69     | 35/43     |
| Auxological parameters |
| SDS-Weight         | 2.1 ±0.6  | 2.1 ±0.6  | 2.0 ±0.7  | 2.2 ±0.7  | 2.2 ±0.7  | 2.2 ±0.8  | 2.3 ±0.8  |
| SDS-Height         | 0.6 ±0.9  | 0.7 ±1.0  | 0.6 ±1.2  | 0.6 ±1.0  | 0.8 ±1.0  | 0.5 ±1.2  | 0.8 ±1.3  |
| Obesity index      | 2.2 ±0.4  | 2.2 ±0.4  | 2.3 ±0.4  | 2.4 ±0.6  | 2.2 ±0.4  | 2.4 ±0.5  | 2.3 ±0.6  |
| WC (cm)            | 80.1 ±8.4 | 83.9 ±8.5 | 82.2 ±10.2| 87.6 ±9.4 | 89.5 ±5.9 | 93.9 ±11.2| 96.7 ±10.7|
| SDS-WC             | 2.5 ±0.26 | 3.1 ±0.31 | 2.8 ±0.34 | 3.2 ±0.34 | 3.5 ±0.23 | 4.2 ±0.50 | 4.6 ±0.50 |
| WHR                | 0.57 ±0.06| 0.58 ±0.05| 0.58 ±0.05| 0.57 ±0.04| 0.61 ±0.03| 0.61 ±0.06| 0.64 ±0.06|
| Body composition   |          |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| BFM (%)            | -         | -         | -         | -         | 33.2 ±7.1 | 34.2 ±8.3 | 40.3 ±12.6|
| TBW (%)            | -         | -         | -         | -         | 53.8 ±2.5 | 53.2 ±2.7 | 51.0 ±3.3  |
higher values of BFM% and lower values of TBW% in group 7 was documented compared to groups 6 and 5 (all \( P < 0.01 \)).

**OGTT and glucose metabolism**

Figure 1 shows the plasma glucose and insulin excursions during OGTT for the seven groups (upper panel) and the excursion in group 7 compared to the mean values of all the other groups (mean of years 2008–2019, lower panel). As shown in Fig. 1 (upper panel), the seven groups differed significantly for blood glucose levels in fasting and post-load state (all \( P \) for trend for each time point < 0.01, Fig. 1 upper panel) and this was further documented after comparing group 7 with the mean values (mean of years 2008–2019, Fig. 1 lower panel) of all the other groups (all \( P \) for each time point < 0.01). In detail, fasting glycaemia was

---

**Table 2.** Metabolic profile of childhood population clustering for years.

| Groups for years | 2008/2009 | 2010/2011 | 2012/2013 | 2014/2015 | 2016/2017 | 2018/2019 | 2020/2021 | \( P \) |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Variables        | FBG (mg/dL) | HbA1c (mmol/L) | IFG (%) | % high 1-h (>132.5 mg/dL) | % high 1-h (>155 mg/dL) | IGT (%) | Shape of OGTT curve (%) | |
| FBG (mg/dL)      | 89.9 (±10.2) | 34.5 (±3.0) | 15.0 | 16 | 6 | 1.5 | 49.6 | <0.01abcdef |
| 10.01/2011       | 88.4 (±9.0) | 35.5 (±6.5) | 9.0 | 21 | 8 | 3.3 | 61.3 | <0.01abcde |
| 2012/2013        | 92.9 (±9.2) | 35.4 (±3.8) | 21.0 | 25 | 5 | 2.1 | 38.2 | <0.01abcdef |
| 2014/2015        | 89.9 (±9.5) | 35.0 (±3.5) | 12.0 | 25 | 7 | 3.5 | 49.5 | <0.01abcdef |
| 2016/2017        | 92.2 (±7.2) | 35.7 (±2.9) | 14.0 | 25 | 4 | 2.1 | 48.9 | <0.01abcde |
| 2018/2019        | 96.7 (±8.8) | 35.6 (±3.6) | 39.0 | 27 | 6 | 3.4 | 43.9 | <0.01abcdef |
| 2020/2021        | 98.3 (±9.4) | 35.6 (±3.2) | 47.0 | 34 | 4 | 5.3 | 48.9 | <0.01abcdef |

**Table 3.** Cardiometabolic risk factors of childhood population clustering for years.

| Groups for years | 2008/2009 | 2010/2011 | 2012/2013 | 2014/2015 | 2016/2017 | 2018/2019 | 2020/2021 | \( P \) |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Variables        | Lipidic profile | Lipidic profile | Lipidic profile | Lipidic profile | Lipidic profile | Lipidic profile | Lipidic profile | Lipidic profile |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | 157 (±23) | 165 (±21) | 161 (±27) | 160 (±30) | 152 (±27) | 157 (±28) | 159 (±25) | 0.40 |
| HDL (mg/dL)      | 47 (±9) | 48 (±9) | 47 (±9) | 44 (±10) | 48 (±11) | 43 (±9) | 43 (±7) | <0.01a |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 92 (±24) | 97 (±22) | 96 (±27) | 94 (±28) | 85 (±22) | 94 (±25) | 99 (±24) | 0.37 |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL) | 91 (±49) | 96 (±42) | 88 (±43) | 98 (±47) | 89 (±38) | 96 (±41) | 99 (±46) | 0.20 |
| Blood pressure   | SDS_SBP | SDS_SBP | SDS_SBP | SDS_SBP | SDS_SBP | SDS_SBP | SDS_SBP | SDS_SBP |
| SBP (mmHg)       | 0.73 (±1.19) | 0.59 (±1.35) | 0.76 (±0.91) | 0.94 (±0.84) | 0.27 (±0.71) | 0.37 (±1.84) | 1.05 (±0.92) | 0.03 |
| DBP (mmHg)       | 0.59 (±0.84) | 0.58 (±0.65) | 0.67 (±0.74) | 0.69 (±0.64) | 0.52 (±0.25) | 0.24 (±1.12) | 0.53 (±0.71) | 0.02 |

**Data presented as media ± SD.**

FBG fasting blood glucose, IFG impaired fasting glucose, IGT impaired glucose tolerance, HOMA-IR Homeostasis Model Assessment Insulin Resistance, WBISI Whole-Body Insulin Sensitivity Index, IGI insulogenic index, DI disposition index, FGIR fasting glucose-insulin ratio.

*group 7 vs group 1.

†group 7 vs group 2.

‡group 7 vs group 3.

§group 7 vs group 4.

∥group 7 vs group 5.

¶group 7 vs group 6.

Bold values indicate \( P \) values with significant differences between the groups.
significantly higher in group 7 compared to the all other groups (all $P < 0.01$). Thus, compared to groups 1 to 5, a significantly higher percentage of subjects presented with IFG (all $P < 0.0025$) in group 7. In addition, although a higher percentage of subjects in group 7 presented with IFG when compared to group 6, it did not reach a statistical significance. The blood glucose excursion (AUC) curve was significantly different across all the groups showing group 7 the higher values (all $P < 0.01$). Particularly, post-hoc analysis showed that blood glucose excursion was significantly different in group 7 when compared to all other groups. In order to attempt to evaluate differences mainly related to COVID restriction compared to all the previous years, in terms of overall glucose excursion during OGTT (Time 0, +30, +60, +90, +120), analysis of variance for repeated measurements (ANOVA) showed significant differences between group 7 compared to the mean values of all the previous years (2008–2019) (Fig. 1 lower panel). As well statistically significant differences for all time points of glucose OGTT data were documented between these two groups (Fig. 1 lower panel). More importantly, group 7 presented significantly higher levels at timepoint 60 minutes compared to group 1 ($P = 0.026$) associated with a significantly higher percentage of subjects with 1-h post-load plasma glucose level $>132.5$ mg/dL ($P < 0.01$). In addition, although the percentage of subjects with 1-h post-load plasma glucose level $>132.5$ mg/dL ($P < 0.01$) in group 7 was higher compared to all the other group it did reach a statistical significance. Significant differences were documented in terms of IGT occurrence across the seven groups ($P < 0.01$). In detail, group 1 and 2 presented significantly lower blood glucose levels at timepoint 120 min when compared to group 7 ($P = 0.03$ and $P < 0.01$, respectively). In addition, 12% of subjects presented with IGT in group 7, thus significantly higher compared to 1.5% ($P < 0.002$) and to 3.3% of subjects in group 1 and group 2 ($P < 0.01$), respectively. Although IGT% was higher in group 7 compared to all the other groups, it did not reach a statistical significance. As expected, AUC of the glycaemia during OGTT was significantly different in the seven groups ($P < 0.01$). In particular, significantly higher AUC glucose levels were found between group 7 and groups 1, 2, 3, and 4 (all $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 2). In addition, although AUC glucose levels were higher group 7 compared to groups 5 and 6, it did not reach a statistical significance.

As shown in Fig. 1, the seven groups differed significantly for blood insulin levels in fasting and post-load state (Fig. 1 upper panel, $P$ for repeated measures $< 0.001$) and this was further documented after comparing group 7 with the mean values (mean of years 2008–2019, Fig. 1 lower panel) of all the other groups ($P$ for repeated measures $< 0.01$). In detail, fasting insulinemia was significantly higher in group 7 compared to groups 1–4 (all $P < 0.01$). In addition, although fasting insulin was higher in group 7 compared to group 5 and group 6, it did not reach a statistical significance. Furthermore, blood insulin excursion curve was significantly higher in group 7 compared to group 1 (post-hoc analysis). In order to attempt to evaluate differences mainly related to COVID restriction compared to all the previous years, in terms of overall insulin excursion during OGTT (Time 0, +30, +60, +90, +120), ANOVA for repeated measurements showed significant differences between group 7 compared to the mean values of all the previous years (2008–2019) (Fig. 1 lower panel). As well statistically significant differences for all time points of insulin OGTT data were documented between these two groups (Fig. 1 lower panel). AUC insulinemia values were statistically different across the seven groups ($P < 0.01$). In particular, group 7 showed significantly higher levels when compared to group 1 ($P = 0.02$), while although higher in group 7 compared to all the other groups (2-3-4-5 and 6), it did not reach a statistical significance.
Insulin resistance and secretion indices
Data on insulin resistance and secretion indices are reported in Table 2. HOMA-IR levels increased steadily and WBISI levels decreased steadily over time (all \( P < 0.01 \)). In details, significant differences between group 7 compared to group 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (all \( P < 0.01 \)) were documented. Although, group 7 showed higher levels when compared to group 6 it did not reach a statistical significance. Furthermore, values of WBISI were significantly different between group 7 compared to group 1 and 2 (all \( P < 0.01 \)). Although, group 7 showed lower values compared to group 3, 4, 5 and 6, it did not reach a statistical significance. FGIR index was significantly different between the seven groups (P for trend \( P < 0.01 \)) being significantly lower in group 7 compared to group 1 (\( P < 0.01 \)); while it did not reach a statistical significance when group 2-3-4-5 and 6 were compared to group 7. The DI index was significantly different between the seven groups (P for trend \( P < 0.01 \)) being significantly lower in group 7 when compared to group 6 (\( P = 0.04 \)). While, although lower in group 7 compared to all the other groups (1-2-3-4 and 5), it did not reach a statistical significance. Finally, the IGI index was significantly different between the seven groups (P for trend \( P < 0.01 \)) being significantly lower in group 7 when compared to group 5 and group 6.

Shape of OGTT curve
The distribution of shape of the OGTT curve, monophasic, biphasic and incessant increase was significantly different between the seven groups (\( P < 0.01 \)). In particular, group 7 had a significant different distribution of the three shapes when compared to group 1 (\( P < 0.0025 \)). In addition, although in group 7 the shape of OGTT curve respectively showed a lower and higher percentage of biphasic and incessant increase curves compared to all the other groups (2-3-4-5 and 6), it did not reach a statistical significance.

CV risk factors
CV risk factors of all participants are presented in Table 3. No significant differences were found between the seven groups for TC, LDL-C and TG values. In contrast, significant differences were identified for HDL-C between the seven groups (\( P < 0.01 \)). In particular, subjects of group 7 showed lower HDL-C values compared to group 2 (\( P < 0.01 \)), while it did not reach a statistical significance when compared to all the other groups. Significant differences between the seven groups were found for SDS-SBP and SDS-DBP (all \( P < 0.03 \)), however without reaching significance during post-hoc analysis.

DISCUSSION
In order to contain and mitigate the spread of the novel Coronavirus and the large number of infections and deaths stringent containment measures were established in different countries [7]. Although the lockdown had the positive effect of flattening the epidemic curve, these mandatory measures also has disastrous effects on lifestyle changes [8, 10, 32–34]. Our database represents an important opportunity to explore and compare overall metabolic alterations in the pediatric population affected by overweight and obesity in a long observation period of 13 years starting in 2008. The second decade of the 21st century is associated with important social modifications related to both, alimentation and physical activity [13, 35]. COVID-19 restriction represents a further unexpected, speedy and radical modification in habits of the overall population imposing a stay-at-home lifestyle. The impact of the lockdown on adults has well been described but alarming data are also emerging for the pediatric population. In this respect, children and adolescent with overweight and obesity might represent one of most penalized categories during the lockdown. In a recent study, authors provide a description of the eating habits and lifestyle of Italian children and adolescent with overweight and obesity during the COVID-19 lockdown [34]. They showed increased consumption of carbohydrate, reduction in the consumption of fresh food, accompanied by a deficiency of vitamins and minerals [34]. In fact, more than half of the subjects increased the consumption of homemade desserts, bread, pasta, and pizza, cooked to fill the time [34]. However, the most relevant effect of lockdown was the imposed and justified sedentary lifestyle [36]. The practice of physical
activity among children decreased drastically due to time and space limitations and the lack of social stimuli related to its practice \[37-39\]. In addition, the increased exposure to television and electronic devices including time spent on the computer for education or entertainment, increased the time children spent on sedentary activities. More importantly, changes in children’s habits are difficult to reverse once adopted and represent an increasing risk for obesity and non-communicable diseases both in the short and long term \[4, 5\].

Physical inactivity and sedentary behavior lead to reduced energy expenditure and are responsible for diminished AMPK activation and skeletal muscle glucose uptake providing increased substrate for de novo lipogenesis in adipose tissue and liver. Consequently, there is an expansion of adipose tissue mass, intrahepatic lipid accumulation, and increased lipid export from the liver as VLDL triacylglycerol particles and serum triacylglycerol with induction of systemic insulin resistance \[40\].

In addition, the transition to physical inactivity causes a brusque reduction in skeletal muscle insulin sensitivity, due to altered insulin signaling, contributing to a repartitioning of energy substrates into alternative tissues, increasing thereby central fat accumulation and ectopic storage within the liver and other organs, exacerbating insulin resistance \[41-43\]. As peripheral insulin resistance progresses, continued ectopic fat accumulation within the liver and pancreas precipitates development of the metabolic syndrome, a progressive decline in beta-cell function and, ultimately, T2D \[40\].

Extended time spent in sedentary behaviors is directly linked to poor metabolic outcomes including abnormalities in glucose homeostasis \[44\]. In our population we could not detect a worsening of SDS-BMI over time. In fact, we did not document statistically significant differences in SDS-BMI across the seven groups, thus evidencing contrasting data compare to other studies, showing significant increases during COVID-19 restriction. In contrast, in our study a progressive increase over the years has been documented for SDS-WC starting in 2014, reflecting the ongoing social modifications. However, SDS-WC worsened considerable in the COVID-19 restriction group being higher compared to all previous years. This important increase of SDS-WC reflects a progressive worsening of visceral fat distribution confirmed by the finding of increased body fat percentage and a reduction of muscle tissue percentage in the COVID-19 restriction group. Therefore, a redistribution of body composition in these children has occurred, certainly and strongly influenced by changes in habits and in particular by sedentary lifestyle. The role of abdominal obesity in the adult population has been comprehensively studied over the last years, but in the pediatric population is far from being completely clarified \[45, 46\]. Visceral fat contributes to distorted metabolism to a much greater amount than subcutaneous fat due to its location and metabolic characteristics. Recent study indicated that central body fat deposition in children increases the probability of cardiometabolic risk factors \[35\]. However, as known, visceral obesity is also a leading cause of altered glucose/insulin homeostasis. Thus, WC represents a clinical predictor on insulin resistance and incipient T2D \[47\] characterized by the combination of both insulin resistance and development of β-cell dysfunction \[48\]. Recent studies have shown that glucose levels rise already before the clinical diagnosis of diabetes, allowing diagnosis of prediabetes defined by IFG or IGT well before the onset of diabetes \[49, 50\]. It is therefore of crucial importance to explore possible alterations of glucose homeostasis in relation to the detected increased WC in our study population. In fact, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study evaluating not only significant differences in body composition during the lockdown period but looking also to detailed glucose metabolism alterations including indices of insulin resistance and secretion. Glucose excursions during OGTT in the COVID-19 restriction group demonstrated profound differences. Not only fasting glycaemia was significantly high and a higher percentage of children presented with IGT, but also the glucose levels at each single timepoint were alraming elevated. This was tightly correlated to the increased values of WC. A recent study suggests that in children and adolescents with overweight and obesity a 1-h plasma glucose response during OGTT exceeding 132.5 mg/dL, was able to identify those with a worse metabolic profile due to impaired insulin sensitivity and secretion \[51\]. For adults 155 mg/dL has been proposed to be a good predictor for the progression to diabetes \[52, 53\]. In our study population a worrying high number of subjects had glucose levels at 60 min higher than the proposed values which thus result in a higher percentage of children (12%) finishing the OGTT with IGT and in an high number of subjects presenting the shape compatible with incessant increase curve. These overall blood glucose excursions represent profound modifications when compared to pre-pandemic findings. The previous findings were not surprisingly associated with a trend of increasing insulin resistance over time. HOMA-IR was higher in the COVID-19 restriction group compared to almost all others thus showing a lower hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity induced reasonable by redistribution of adipose tissue. Not only fasting insulin levels reflect insulin resistance but the entire insulin excursion curve during OGTT might be defined as a severe hyperinsulinemic status. These data are compatible with the pathophysiological compensatory process of high blood glucose levels that leads to increased insulin production, secondary to resistance to insulin action in the target organs. Not only insulin resistance, but also deterioration of β-cell function is fundamental to the initial development and progression to impaired glucose regulation. For assessing insulin secretion several indices have been proposed defining β-cell function trajectory in the transition from normal glucose tolerance to overt diabetes \[54\]. The most used surrogate index is Disposition Index which reflects the ability of β-cell to compensate insulin resistance status. In fact, with increasing insulin resistance over time, the DI index increased in our study population. One possible explanation for this finding might be that it reflects the capacity of the beta-cell to respond to increasing glucose levels with increased insulin production in the lower states of glucose intolerance, whereas the capacity starts to decline in the more advanced states of glucose intolerance. In fact, in the pre-pandemic period an appropriated response in insulin secretion was guaranteed whereas in the COVID-19 restriction group the drastic burden of glucose levels was not compensated by adequate insulin secretion. These alterations might be compatible with a condition of “pre-prediabetes” which has been previously proposed by different authors in order to contain further expansion of true glucose derangements. However, with time this compensatory increase in insulin is completely lost, resulting in hyperglycemia, glucose intolerance, and eventually, T2D.

It might therefore be speculated that where lifestyle modifications are not urgently implemented, progression to T2D might be not be avoidable for our subjects.

In terms of CV risk factors COVID-19 restriction children presented higher values of systolic and diastolic blood pressure combined with lower HDL cholesterol values. This is in line with previous findings and tightly related to WC.

Some limitations of this study need to be acknowledged. In particular the assessment of a single OGTT, given the known variable reproducibility of this test. However, the study reflects what generally happens in the clinical setting, where the OGTT is a test, which can be easily performed, but it is repeated only when abnormal findings are detected. Due to the retrospective design no detailed anamnestic data for physical and dietetic parameters are available. Therefore, further studies evaluating also these important issues are needed. More importantly, the data cannot be avoidable for our subjects.

Extended time spent in sedentary behaviors is directly linked to poor metabolic outcomes including abnormalities in glucose homeostasis \[44\]. In our population we could not detect a worsening of SDS-BMI over time. In fact, we did not document statistically significant differences in SDS-BMI across the seven groups, thus evidencing contrasting data compare to other studies, showing significant increases during COVID-19 restriction. In contrast, in our study a progressive increase over the years has been documented for SDS-WC starting in 2014, reflecting the ongoing social modifications. However, SDS-WC worsened considerable in the COVID-19 restriction group being higher compared to all previous years. This important increase of SDS-WC reflects a progressive worsening of visceral fat distribution confirmed by the finding of increased body fat percentage and a reduction of muscle tissue percentage in the COVID-19 restriction group. Therefore, a redistribution of body composition in these children has occurred, certainly and strongly influenced by changes in habits and in particular by sedentary lifestyle. The role of abdominal obesity in the adult population has been comprehensively studied over the last years, but in the pediatric population is far from being completely clarified \[45, 46\]. Visceral fat contributes to distorted metabolism to a much greater amount than subcutaneous fat due to its location and metabolic characteristics. Recent study indicated that central body fat deposition in children increases the probability of cardiometabolic risk factors \[35\]. However, as known, visceral obesity is also a leading cause of altered glucose/insulin homeostasis. Thus, WC represents a clinical predictor on insulin resistance and incipient T2D \[47\] characterized by the combination of both insulin resistance and development of β-cell dysfunction \[48\]. Recent studies have shown that glucose levels rise already before the clinical diagnosis of diabetes, allowing diagnosis of prediabetes defined by IFG or IGT well before the onset of diabetes \[49, 50\]. It is therefore of crucial importance to explore possible alterations of glucose homeostasis in relation to the detected increased WC in our study population. In fact, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study evaluating not only significant differences in body composition during the lockdown period but looking also to detailed glucose metabolism alterations including indices of insulin resistance and secretion. Glucose excursions during OGTT in the COVID-19 restriction group demonstrated profound differences. Not only fasting glycaemia was significantly high and a higher percentage of children presented with IGT, but also the glucose levels at each single timepoint were alarming elevated. This was tightly correlated to the increased values of WC. A recent study suggests that in children and adolescents with overweight and obesity a 1-h plasma glucose response during OGTT exceeding 132.5 mg/dL, was able to identify those with a worse metabolic profile due to impaired insulin sensitivity and secretion \[51\]. For adults 155 mg/dL has been proposed to be a good predictor for the progression to diabetes \[52, 53\]. In our study population a worrying high number of subjects had glucose levels at 60 min higher than the proposed values which thus result in a higher percentage of children (12%) finishing the OGTT with IGT and in an high number of subjects presenting the shape compatible with incessant increase curve. These overall blood glucose excursions represent profound modifications when compared to pre-pandemic findings. The previous findings were not surprisingly associated with a trend of increasing insulin resistance over time. HOMA-IR was higher in the COVID-19 restriction group compared to almost all others thus showing a lower hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity induced reasonable by redistribution of adipose tissue. Not only fasting insulin levels reflect insulin resistance but the entire insulin excursion curve during OGTT might be defined as a severe hyperinsulinemic status. These data are compatible with the pathophysiological compensatory process of high blood glucose levels that leads to increased insulin production, secondary to resistance to insulin action in the target organs. Not only insulin resistance, but also deterioration of β-cell function is fundamental to the initial development and progression to impaired glucose regulation. For assessing insulin secretion several indices have been proposed defining β-cell function trajectory in the transition from normal glucose tolerance to overt diabetes \[54\]. The most used surrogate index is Disposition Index which reflects the ability of β-cell to compensate insulin resistance status. In fact, with increasing insulin resistance over time, the DI index increased in our study population. One possible explanation for this finding might be that it reflects the capacity of the beta-cell to respond to increasing glucose levels with increased insulin production in the lower states of glucose intolerance, whereas the capacity starts to decline in the more advanced states of glucose intolerance. In fact, in the pre-pandemic period an appropriated response in insulin secretion was guaranteed whereas in the COVID-19 restriction group the drastic burden of glucose levels was not compensated by adequate insulin secretion. These alterations might be compatible with a condition of “pre-prediabetes” which has been previously proposed by different authors in order to contain further expansion of true glucose derangements. However, with time this compensatory increase in insulin is completely lost, resulting in hyperglycemia, glucose intolerance, and eventually, T2D.

It might therefore be speculated that where lifestyle modifications are not urgently implemented, progression to T2D might be not be avoidable for our subjects.

In terms of CV risk factors COVID-19 restriction children presented higher values of systolic and diastolic blood pressure combined with lower HDL cholesterol values. This is in line with previous findings and tightly related to WC.

Some limitations of this study need to be acknowledged. In particular the assessment of a single OGTT, given the known variable reproducibility of this test. However, the study reflects what generally happens in the clinical setting, where the OGTT is a test, which can be easily performed, but it is repeated only when abnormal findings are detected. Due to the retrospective design no detailed anamnestic data for physical and dietetic parameters are available. Therefore, further studies evaluating also these important issues are needed. More importantly, the data cannot be fully shown in an unequivocal way that the profound alterations are attributable to COVID-19 time or to a trend of increasing prevalence of overweight Italian children. Therefore, it is of paramount importance to compare our results to further subsequent researches in order to completely and undoubtedly elucidate this relevant issue.
In conclusion, our data demonstrate an alarming increase of unfavorable adipose tissue distribution, overtime, that are further worsened during COVID-19 restriction. This is associated with profound modifications in glucose/insulin homeostasis laying the grounds to an increased risk of a second hit of COVID-19 era related to a spread of new onset T2D pandemia. It is therefore mandatory to stimulate worldwide appropriate strategies that could diminish the impact of COVID-19 related lockdown on children’s lifestyle.
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