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Abstract

Background: The process of wound healing in the socket include the healing of wounds in soft tissues, and healing of the bone. The herbal medicament widely used in the healing of different wounds. The benefit of Grape seed oil due to their anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory effect.

Objective: Histological evaluation the effects of local application of grape seeds oil in the healing process of dental socket after tooth extraction and compared the result with normal healing process.

Patients and Methods: Thirty six New Zeland male rabbits were used in this study, the upper right central incisor was extracted for each rabbit, then the rabbits were divided into 3 main groups; Control group: 12 rabbits, the socket heal spontaneously, sponge group: 12 rabbits, the socket treated with absorbable hemostatic sponge and grape seed oil, group: 12 rabbits, the socket treated with local application of 0.5 ml/Kg of B.W. grape seeds oil fixed by absorbable hemostatic sponge. Then each group were divided into two sub group according to the healing intervals 2 and 4 week (6 rabbits from each group). Histological evaluation performed by section stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), and histomorphometric analysis for assessment of osteoclasts, osteoblasts, osteocytes, trabecular no., trabecular area and marrow space area by Image J. software.

Results: The histological and histomorphometric results of present study showed enhancement of healing process in grape seeds oil and sponge group after tooth extraction by activation of large number of osteoblasts, osteocytes and osteoclasts that started from apical part, then middle part finally in coronal part of the socket.

Conclusion: Grape seed oil accelerate the healing process after locally treated tooth socket and assessed in new bone formation.

Keywords: Grape seed oil, Absorbable hemostatic sponge, Bone healing.

Corresponding Author: manar.histo@gmail.com

Received: 15\(^{th}\) May 2019

Accepted: 2\(^{nd}\) June 2019

DOI:https://doi.org/10.26505/DJM.17024670515

\(^1\)College of Medicine- Diyala University-Diyala-Iraq.

\(^2\) Department of Oral Diagnosis- College of Dentistry-University of Baghdad- Baghdad- Iraq
Introduction

Alveolar process of the bone of jaws, that contain socket for supporting the teeth. The alveolar process was divided into two parts[1]:

A: Alveolar bone proper: It’s contains perforating fibers “Sharpeys”. These collagen fibers perforate alveolar bone proper at oblique or right angle to the long axis of the tooth surface.

B: Supporting alveolar bone: It was the bone that surrounds the alveolar bone proper and provided support to the dental socket. It’s comprises of two parts: Cortical plate and Spongy bone [2].

Bone Healing: the healing process was involves “an inflammatory phase, a reparative phase and then a final remodeling phase” [3]; [4]. The inflammatory phase comprises of “a hematoma formation, immune response and progenitor cell recruitment to the socket”. The reparative phase comprises of “revascularization and bone formation by both intramembranous and endochondral pathways” [5]. Finally remodeling process to refurbish and restore the normal bone structure. Sites that were mechanically and naturally stable may predominantly heal with primary bone in an “intramembranous pathway”[4]. Grape seeds oil: Plant origin :seeds of Vitis Vinifera L, is made by “cold-pressing the grape seeds”. It has been broadly used in the world. It contains minerals, vitamins, lipids, proteins, antioxidants and carbohydrates [6].

Grape seed oil contains high concentration of linoleic acid, vitamin E, oligomeric proanthocyanidin complexes “OPCs” (about 95%) and contained phenolic compounds such as phenolic acids, flavonoids and antioxidants [7]. Proanthocyanidins were a groups of polyphenyl phenolic bioflavonoids that biologically active, produced by many plants. The proanthocyanidin specifically in grape seed can induce VEGF production in human keratinocytes [8].

Plant proanthocyanidins were known as the functional food factors that keep a variety of physiological activities such as antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-caries, anti-allergy, chemopreventive effects [9], antihypertensive and inhibition the activities of many physiological enzymes and its receptors [10]. The greater part of these activities of largely depends on their degree of polymerization and its structures. Grape seed contains high amounts of these polyphenols [11], [12].

“Absorbable Hemostatic Gelatin Sponges” are sterilized, absorbable gelatin porcine sponges used for hemostasis, by applying in to a bleeding site and not applied in contaminated body areas. They are off-white color and porous in appearance. The sponge Hemostasis the bleeding in (2 – 4) minutes, Liquefies in (2-5)days in contact with mucosa, and it was absorbs at least 35 times its own weight in fluids and blood [13].

Patients and Methods

All experimental procedures were carried out in accordance with the ethical principles of animal experimentation of College of Dentistry, University of Baghdad. The animals were kept under nursing and supervision from the staff of the animal house, College of Medicine, Diyala
University for about 3 months started from September, 2018 and end in January 2019.

For each rabbits (36) used in this study, aged between 6 – 8 months[14], their weight ranged between “1-1.5 kg”, tooth were extracted after general anesthesia. Then the rabbits were divided randomly into 3 main groups “one control and two experimental groups”:-

1- Control group (C) (12 rabbits):- The socket heal spontaneously after tooth extraction.

2- Sponge group (S)(12 rabbits):- The socket treated with absorbable hemostatic sponge (0.5*0.5*1 cm) after tooth extraction.

3- Grape seed oil and sponge group (GS)(12 rabbits):- The socket treated with combined the absorbable hemostatic sponge with local application of 0.5 ml/Kg BW grape seeds oil after tooth extraction.

Then each group was divided into two sub groups according to the time of scarification 2 and 4 weeks after tooth extraction (6 rabbit from from each group). At the end of each interval, the animals were sacrificed and the specimens were prepared for histological (H&E)stain. The histomorphometric examination was done by dividing the microscopical fields of each tooth socket into 3 parts. “coronal, middle and apical parts” [15], counting the number of osteoblasts, osteocytes, and osteoclast in each part of the socket of the histological section (H&E stain) in each group at 2 and 4 weeks healing interval. The bone cells counting done at 40X magnification [16] [17]. The most classical histomorphometric parameters, used for the explanation of bone micro architecture (Trabecular area, Trabecular number and Bone marrow area), based on mathematical morphology It was calculated at by “ Image J. exe” software program.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were calculated by descriptive data analysis and inferential data analysis( ANOVA and LSD test) in all comparison significant P-value was (P<0.05).

**Results**

**I- 2 week durations**

**A- Histological findings for Control group**

Coronal, middle and apical area of the socket showed new trabecular bone originated from socket bone, and surrounded by osteoblasts and osteoclasts, in addition to formation of number of osteocytes and numerous blood vessels Figure (1,2,3.)
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**Figure (1):** Photomicrograph showing the coronal part of 2 weeks in C group (H&E X40)

**Figure (2):** Photomicrograph showing the middle part of the C group at 2 weeks (H&E X40)

**Figure (3):** Photomicrograph showing the apical part of the C group at 2 weeks (H&E X40)

**B-Histological findings for Sponge group:**
Coronal, middle and apical area of the socket showed new trabecular bone formation, surrounded by osteoblasts and presence of osteoclasts and covered by fibrous connective tissue on the surface of the socket and presence of new blood vessels Figure (4,5,6).
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Figure (4): Photomicrograph showing the coronal part of S group in 2 weeks. (H&E X40)

Figure (5): Photomicrograph showing the middle part of S group at 2 weeks (H&E X40)

Figure (6): Photomicrograph showing the apical part of S group at 2 weeks (H&E X40)

C-Histological findings for grape seed oil and sponge group

Coronal, middle and apical area of the socket showed new trabecular bone formation lined by high number of osteoblasts, osteoclasts formation, and contain numerous osteocytes and blood vessels Figure (7,8,9).
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Figure (7): Photomicrograph showing the coronal part of GS group at 2 weeks (H&E X40)

I- 4 Weeks durations
A- Histological findings for Control group: Coronal, middle and apical area of the socket showed the fibrous connective tissue cover the socket with thick trabecular bone and filled with osteocytes and osteoblasts lined the surface of new bone with numerous new blood vessels Figure (10,11,12).

Figure (8): Photomicrograph showing the middle part of GS group at 2 weeks (H&E X40)

Figure (9): Photomicrograph showing the apical part of GS group at 2 weeks (H&E X40)

Figure (10): Photomicrograph showing the coronal part of C group at 4 weeks (H&E X40)
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**Figure (11):** Photomicrograph showing the middle part of C group at 4 weeks (H&EX40)

**Figure (12):** Photomicrograph showing the apical part of C group at 4 weeks (H&E X40).

**B-Histological findings for sponge group**

Coronal, middle and apical area of the socket showed tissue new trabecular bone filled the socket portion with layer of fibrous connective tissue, with high number of blood vessels and fat cells Figure (13,14,15).

**Figure (13):** Photomicrograph showing the coronal part of S group at 4 weeks (H &E X40)

**Figure (14):** Photomicrograph showing the middle part of S group at 4 weeks (H &E X40)

**Figure (15):** Photomicrograph showing the apical part of S group at 4 weeks (H &E X40)
C-Histological findings for grape seed oil and sponge group: - Coronal, middle area of the socket showed the mature thick bone trabeculae separated from socket bone by reversal line with osteocyte in new bone arranged in haversian system around haversian cana contain high number of osteocytes and lined by single layer of osteoblasts Figure (16,17,18).

**Figure (16):** Photomicrograph showing the coronal part of GS at 4 weeks group (H &EX40)

**Figure (17):** Photomicrograph showing the middle part of the GS group at 4 weeks (H &E 40%).

**Figure (18):** Photomicrograph showing the apical part of GS group at 4 weeks (H &E X40)

II- Statistical analysis
A-Descriptive data analysis
Descriptive data analysis for bone cell in the study groups at different periods: - Table (1) showed The osteoblast number, osteocyte number, recorded higher mean value in GS group than other groups in all area of the socket Furthermore, the higher mean value for osteoclasts in all groups seen in the all area of the socket in C group at 2 weeks and 4 weeks duration.
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Table (1): Descriptive statistic of the bone cells in each area of the socket for each healing periods

| Area  | Week  | Group      | OB       | Mean S. D. | OC      | Mean S. D. | OCL     | Mean S. D. |
|-------|-------|------------|----------|------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|
|       |       |            |          |            |         |            |         |            |
|       |       | C group    | 77.0     | 8.51       | 17.8    | 3.56       | 2.0     | 1.22       |
|       |       | S group    | 66.2     | 5.40       | 18.8    | 5.40       | 1.2     | .83        |
|       |       | GS group   | 85.8     | 5.40       | 32.6    | 7.95       | 1.4     | .89        |
| Coronal | 2 Weeks | C group    | 31.8     | 7.69       | 31.0    | 5.95       | 1.0     | .76        |
|         |       | S group    | 38.4     | 5.81       | 32.6    | 9.73       | .8      | .83        |
|         |       | GS group   | 30.8     | 10.84      | 37.8    | 4.86       | .6      | .54        |
| Coronal | 4 Weeks | C group    | 83.0     | 8.15       | 27.4    | 2.79       | 2.2     | .44        |
|         |       | S group    | 78.8     | 11.25      | 22.4    | 4.77       | 1.4     | 1.14       |
|         |       | GS group   | 86.2     | 4.65       | 35.4    | 4.15       | 1.0     | 0.00       |
| Middle | 2 Weeks | C group    | 83.0     | 8.15       | 36.2    | 10.84      | 2.2     | .83        |
|         |       | S group    | 78.8     | 11.25      | 40.4    | 10.23      | .8      | .83        |
|         |       | GS group   | 86.2     | 4.65       | 35.4    | 4.15       | 1.0     | 0.00       |
| Middle | 4 Weeks | C group    | 83.0     | 8.15       | 36.2    | 10.84      | 2.2     | .83        |
|         |       | S group    | 78.8     | 11.25      | 40.4    | 10.23      | .8      | .83        |
|         |       | GS group   | 86.2     | 4.65       | 35.4    | 4.15       | 1.0     | 0.00       |
| Apical | 2 Weeks | C group    | 90.2     | 8.67       | 36.2    | 10.84      | 2.2     | .83        |
|         |       | S group    | 90.6     | 8.82       | 33.2    | 10.42      | 1.4     | .89        |
|         |       | GS group   | 90.6     | 8.82       | 33.2    | 10.42      | 1.4     | .89        |
| Apical | 4 Weeks | C group    | 90.2     | 8.67       | 36.2    | 10.84      | 2.2     | .83        |
|         |       | S group    | 90.6     | 8.82       | 33.2    | 10.42      | 1.4     | .89        |
|         |       | GS group   | 90.6     | 8.82       | 33.2    | 10.42      | 1.4     | .89        |

2-Descriptive data analysis for Trabecular number, trabecular area and bone marrow space area in the study groups at different periods: Table (2) showed the mean of trabecular number and trabecular area recorded higher mean value in the GS group than the other groups in 2&4 weeks duration and in all area of the socket. On the other hand Bone marrow space area, the C group recorded the higher mean value than other groups in both duration (2&4 weeks) and in all socket area.

Table (2): Descriptive statistic of the Trabecular number, trabecular area, and bone marrow space area in each area of the socket for each healing periods

| Area  | Week  | Group      | T. NO    | Mean S. D. | T. AR    | Mean S. D. | BM. AR  | Mean S. D. |
|-------|-------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|---------|------------|
|       |       |            |          |            |          |            |         |            |
|       |       | C group    | 17.0     | 4.47       | 1.061    | .58        | 5.806   | 1.83       |
|       |       | S group    | 16.0     | 2.54       | 2.641    | 2.92       | 5.387   | 2.58       |
|       |       | GS group   | 18.4     | 1.94       | 3.007    | 1.60       | 5.719   | 1.74       |
| Coronal | 2 Weeks | C group    | 7.8      | 2.58       | 5.015    | 2.27       | 2.941   | 1.83       |
|         |       | S group    | 6.6      | 1.51       | 6.285    | .89        | 2.211   | .86        |
|         |       | GS group   | 7.4      | 2.50       | 7.983    | 1.05       | 2.685   | 1.55       |
| Coronal | 4 Weeks | C group    | 18.2     | 3.34       | 3.616    | 1.61       | 5.157   | 1.00       |
|         |       | S group    | 17.2     | 4.14       | 3.095    | 2.38       | 5.003   | 1.30       |
|         |       | GS group   | 17.0     | 4.69       | 4.164    | .90        | 5.188   | 2.42       |
| Middle | 2 Weeks | C group    | 6.0      | 1.00       | 6.366    | 3.47       | 2.671   | 1.17       |
|         |       | S group    | 6.4      | .89        | 7.143    | 1.28       | 2.069   | .58        |
|         |       | GS group   | 6.6      | 1.14       | 8.639    | 1.16       | 2.466   | 1.82       |
| Middle | 4 Weeks | C group    | 23.4     | 7.63       | 4.019    | 1.52       | 4.012   | .29        |
|         |       | S group    | 17.6     | 3.71       | 4.275    | .69        | 5.095   | 1.25       |
|         |       | GS group   | 17.2     | 1.30       | 5.019    | 1.04       | 3.769   | 2.84       |
| Apical | 2 Weeks | C group    | 5.4      | 1.34       | 7.210    | 1.86       | 2.357   | .80        |
|         |       | S group    | 5.4      | 1.14       | 8.185    | 1.165      | 1.626   | .42        |
|         |       | GS group   | 5.4      | 1.51       | 10.059   | 2.14       | 1.822   | .84        |
B- Inferential data analysis

2-Group difference by ANOVA test for all histomorphometric parameter in each durations and in all area of the socket:

According to Table (3), Coronal area of the socket showed highly significant difference in osteoblasts, and osteocytes at 2 weeks durations, and had significantly difference in trabecular area at 4 weeks duration. On the other hand the middle area of the socket showed highly significant difference in osteocytes at 2 weeks durations, and had significantly difference in osteoblasts at 4 weeks duration.

**Table (3):** Group differences by ANOVA test for histomorphometric parameter in each durations in all area of the socket

| Factors          | OB       | OC       | OCL      | T.NO     | T.AREA   | BM AREA |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
|                  | F        | Sig.     | F        | Sig.     | F        | Sig.    | F        | Sig.     | F        | Sig.     |
| Coronal*2weeks   | 11.044   | .002**   | 9.755    | .003**   | .867     | .445    | .719     | .507     | 2.015    | .176     | .253    | .780     |
| Coronal*4weeks   | 1.214    | .331     | 1.231    | .326     | .400     | .679    | .366     | .701     | 4.669    | .032*    | .599    | .565     |
| Middle*2 weeks   | .961     | .410     | 13.466   | .001**   | 3.733    | .055    | .123     | .885     | .471     | .635     | .017    | .983     |
| Middle*4 weeks   | 6.016    | .015*    | .770     | .485     | .083     | .921    | .452     | .647     | 1.329    | .301     | .277    | .763     |
| Apical*2 weeks   | .538     | .597     | 2.267    | .146     | 1.778    | .211    | 2.447    | 1.28     | 1.039    | .384     | .764    | .487     |
| Apical *4 weeks  | 3.294    | .072     | .301     | .745     | .000     | 1.000   | .000     | 1.000    | 3.328    | .071     | 1.390   | .286     |

2-Duration comparisons ANOVA test for each group in three socket area: Table (4) the results showed highly significant duration difference in osteoblasts and trabecular number in area of the socket. On the other hand the osteocytes showed highly significant difference of C group in coronal area, and in sponge group in both middle and apical area. Regarding the difference in osteoclasts, in apical area showed highly significant difference in C group. In the coronal area the trabecular area showed highly significant duration difference in both C and GS groups. While in middle area of the socket, trabecular area showed highly significant duration differences GS group. Whereas, the bone marrow space area in apical area of the sockets showed highly significant difference in both GS and in S group (S).
Table (4): Duration comparisons by ANOVA test for all histomorphometric parameters in each group in three socket area

| Factor                | O B     | O C     | OCL    | T.NO    | T.AREA   | BM AREA |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|----------|---------|
|                       | F       | Sig.    | F      | Sig.    | F        | Sig.    | F      | Sig.    | F       | Sig.    |
| Coronal*C group       | 77.564  | .000**  | 18.075 | .003**  | 2.500    | .153    | 15.850 | .004**  | 14.111  | .006**  |
|                       |         |         |        |         |          |         |        |         |         |         |
| Coronal*S group       | 61.337  | .000**  | 7.679  | .024*   | 5.71     | .471    | 50.205 | .000**  | 7.102   | .029*   |
|                       |         |         |        |         |          |         |        |         |         |         |
| Coronal* GS group     | 102.961 | .000**  | 1.554  | .248    | 2.909    | .126    | 59.901 | .000**  | 27.142  | .011**  |
|                       |         |         |        |         |          |         |        |         |         |         |
| Middle * C group      | 103.846 | .000**  | 5.369  | .049**  | 4.235    | .074    | 61.000 | .000**  | 2.581   | .471    |
|                       |         |         |        |         |          |         |        |         |         |         |
| Middle * S group      | 57.250  | .000**  | 12.696 | .007**  | 900      | .371    | 32.400 | .000**  | 11.141  | .010*   |
|                       |         |         |        |         |          |         |        |         |         |         |
| Middle * GS group     | 572.028 | .000**  | 1.862  | .210    | 1.000    | .347    | 23.210 | .001**  | 46.163  | .000**  |
|                       |         |         |        |         |          |         |        |         |         |         |
| Apical * C group      | 444.851 | .000**  | 28.516 | .001**  | 5.333    | .050*   | 49.285 | .000**  | 41.012  | .000**  |
|                       |         |         |        |         |          |         |        |         |         |         |
| Apical * S group      | 170.315 | .000**  | 2.503  | .152    | 2.909    | .126    | 174.050| .000**  | 22.231  | .002**  |

3-Multiple group compression difference for all histomorphometric parameter in different duration and socket area:-

According to Table (5) LSD test for osteoblasts showed high significant difference between GS and S groups in coronal area at 2weeks healing period, and between C group and S group in apical area at 4 week duration. Regarding osteocytes showed high significant difference in GS group at 2week duration when compared with the C group and S group in coronal area of the socket, and when compared with S group in the middle area of the socket. LSD test for osteoclasts showed only significant difference between C group and GS group in middle area at 2weeks duration. On the other hand the LSD test for trabecular number showed high significant difference between C with S group and C group with GS group in apical at 2weeks durations. Whereas LSD test for trabecular area showed significant difference between C group and GS group in coronal area at 2 and 4weeks duration, and in middle area and apical area at 4 weeks duration. LSD test for bone marrow space area showed non-significant difference among all groups in all area of the socket at 2 and 4 weeks duration.
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Table (5): Multiple group compression difference by LSD test for all histomorphometric parameters in each groups and three socket area

| Factor | Group | Mean D. (I-J) | Sig. | Mean D. (I-J) | Sig. | Mean D. (I-J) | Sig. | Mean D. (I-J) | Sig. | Mean D. (I-J) | Sig. |
|--------|-------|---------------|------|---------------|------|---------------|------|---------------|------|---------------|------|
| C group | S group | 10.8 | 0.030 | -1.0 | 838 | 80 | 0.112 | 1.0 | 617 | 41820 | 1.161 | 81820 | 391 |
| C group | GS group | -8.8 | 0.076 | -14.8 | -0.003 | 6 | 231 | -1.4 | 484 | 0.08680 | 0.032 | 0.07680 | 936 |
| S group | GS group | 19.6 | 0.000 | -13.8 | -0.006 | -2 | 688 | -2.4 | 232 | -0.33140 | 0.441 | -0.74140 | 437 |
| C group | S group | -6.6 | 0.180 | -1.6 | 743 | 2 | 0.088 | 1.2 | 549 | 1.2704 | 0.259 | 0.73040 | 444 |
| C group | GS group | 1.0 | 0.383 | -6.8 | -0.166 | 4 | 0.423 | -0.4 | 841 | -2.967 | -0.010 | 2.5580 | 788 |
| S group | GS group | 7.6 | 0.124 | -5.2 | 289 | 2 | 0.088 | -0.8 | 889 | -1.6974 | -0.133 | -0.47460 | 618 |
| C group | S group | 4.2 | 0.392 | 5.0 | 307 | 8 | 0.112 | 1.0 | 617 | 0.52180 | 0.642 | 0.15340 | 872 |
| C group | GS group | -3.2 | 0.314 | -8.0 | 104 | 1.2 | 0.018 | 1.2 | 549 | -0.54760 | 0.625 | -0.18440 | 846 |
| S group | GS group | -7.4 | 0.134 | -13.0 | -0.009 | 4 | 0.423 | -0.2 | 920 | -1.0694 | -0.341 | -0.60180 | 528 |
| C group | S group | 1.2 | 0.144 | -5.6 | 253 | 2 | 0.088 | -0.4 | 841 | -0.77660 | -0.489 | -0.20480 | 830 |
| C group | GS group | 11.6 | 0.029 | -4.2 | 391 | 2 | 0.088 | -0.6 | 764 | -2.272 | 0.045 | -0.39700 | 677 |
| S group | GS group | 4.4 | 0.370 | 1.4 | 774 | 0.0 | 1.000 | -0.2 | 920 | -1.4962 | -0.184 | -1.08240 | 258 |
| C group | S group | 3.8 | 0.392 | 12.2 | 0.014 | 8 | 0.112 | 5.8 | 0.005 | -2.5540 | 0.820 | 24.300 | 799 |
| C group | GS group | -0.4 | 0.841 | 3.0 | 539 | 8 | 0.112 | 6.2 | 0.003 | -1.0000 | 0.373 | 1.32540 | 167 |
| S group | GS group | -4.2 | 0.392 | -9.2 | 063 | 0.0 | 1.000 | 0.4 | 841 | -0.74460 | 0.507 | 0.73140 | 443 |
| C group | S group | 5.0 | 0.030 | -5.0 | 307 | 0.0 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 1.000 | -0.97480 | 0.386 | 5.3480 | 575 |
| C group | GS group | 15.0 | 0.003 | -1.6 | 743 | 0.0 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 1.000 | -2.848 | 0.013 | -1.9660 | 836 |
| S group | GS group | 10.0 | 0.044 | 3.4 | 487 | 0.0 | 1.000 | 0.0 | 1.000 | -1.8736 | 0.098 | -0.81820 | 391 |

Discussion

Histological finding of the present study revealed new bone trabeculæ was carried out at the end of the 2 and 4 weeks after tooth extraction for both experimental and control groups. The osteoprogenitor cells that migrate into the extraction socket differentiation results from the action of growth factors, these factors controlling bone formation and stimulate bone repair. Group of combination grape seed oil and sponge showed highly significant initiation and activation of the osteoblasts especially in the apical region of the socket than other groups. This result agree with previous study done by [18].

On the other hand, the socket of control group and sponge group had delicate and less number of bone trabeculæ with large size bone marrow space area when compared with grape seed oil group. This finding agreement with [19]. Increase in the number of the bone trabeculæ with small marrow space area in between the bone trabecula, at 2 weeks duration agree with[14], [20].

With the time at 4 weeks duration showed trabecular bone grow from the walls and base of the bone of the socket vertically toward the center of the socket, and new bone progressively replaced the connective tissue. Consuming for apposition and maturation of the bone with decreased in the number of
osteoblasts and increase the number of osteocytes in all groups with different range due to entrapment of osteoblasts in their newly formed bone and lead to osteocytes formation.

More and faster osteocytes formation in bone of grape seed oil group which showed significant difference in parameters of bone architectural in different healing interval which cause faster bone building. These finding coincide with study done by [21]. Due to faster deposition and maturation of the bone the results showed significant increase in the trabecular area, with decrease in the trabecular number and bone marrow space area of the socket in grape seed oil group in comparing with sponge and control group which had less mean values. This agreement with [22],[23]. The present results can be attributed that grape seed oil directly promotes the differentiation of primary osteoblast, which is responsible for bone deposition and mineralization. The histomorphometric results of all parameters tested for micro architecture in current study records between control and experimental groups(S and GS groups) revealed a high mean value in experimental groups than those of control groups.

Conclusions

In conclusion grape seed oil is a bioactive herbal material that accelerates bone healing process after tooth extraction. Histological evaluation showed that grape seed oil stimulated large number of osteoblasts, osteoclast, osteocytes especially in apical portion of the socket of 2 weeks when compared with sponge and control group. Histomorphometric parameters for all groups showed highly significant difference in overall indicators of bone micro architectures include trabecular area, trabecular number, bone marrow space area.
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