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1. Introduction

Vivaldi antennas are typically used in wideband applications, viz., ground penetrating radars, wideband radar imaging, radio astronomy [1], and multiband communication systems (5G). The inherent bandwidth limitation of corporate feed networks limits the use of Vivaldi antenna elements in wideband arrays. Current feed networks for arrays include a self-matching feed network with a simple structure, but generally exhibit a narrow bandwidth. Using this feed network to feed rectangular patch elements, a relative impedance bandwidth of 10.7% was achieved [2]. A number of papers presented combinations of microstrip-to-slotline transitions to realize wideband feed networks [3–5]. These feed networks were combined with different radiation elements to realize wideband arrays, e.g., patch elements to achieve a bandwidth of 5.7% [3], UWB monopoles with a bandwidth of approximately 89% [4], and also Vivaldi antenna elements with a bandwidth of 84% [5]. Other similar wideband antenna arrays include the use of multiple substrate layered feed networks such as substrate-integrated waveguide (SIW) [6, 7] and an electromagnetic band gap (EBG) power divider [8] used to feed different patch elements. These antenna arrays achieved bandwidths between 20% and 50%.

Individual Vivaldi antennas are generally capable of achieving bandwidths in excess of 100% [9], while current implementations of wideband arrays only demonstrate bandwidths of 80% to 90%. This paper presents a wideband corporate feed network with more than 160% impedance bandwidth from 1 GHz to 9 GHz. The feed network was used in a uniform linear antenna array to feed four Vivaldi antenna elements. The Vivaldi antenna array achieved stable radiation patterns from 1.3 GHz to 8 GHz, resulting in a useable bandwidth of 144%. The antenna array has a minimum gain of 8 dBi and a maximum of 13.8 dBi within the frequency band. Results for a prototype Vivaldi antenna array, measured in a compact antenna test range, are presented and compared to simulated results from CST Studio Suite.
2. Feed Network and Vivaldi Element

2.1. Wideband Feed Network. The top and bottom sides of the proposed feed network are shown in Figure 1 and consist of a CPW-to-slotline transition and two slotline-to-microstrip line transitions. The feed network was implemented on Rogers RO4003C substrate with a height of $h = 1.524$ mm and was simulated in CST Studio Suite [10]. Port 1 of the feed network consists of a CPW line with a characteristic impedance of $Z_{0_{CPW}} = 50\Omega$ that transitions into two slotlines, each with a characteristic impedance of $Z_{0_{SL}} = 119\Omega$. Slotline-to-microstrip line transitions are employed to realize four microstrip ports with characteristic impedances of $Z_{0_{MSL}} = 50\Omega$.

In order to realize a uniform, equally spaced Vivaldi antenna array structure, and to mitigate grating lobes in the radiation pattern, the distance between the antenna elements were chosen as $d = 0.65\lambda_0$ with $\lambda_0$ the free space wavelength at a frequency of 5.5 GHz. The 180° phase difference between ports 2 and 3, and ports 4 and 5, was mitigated by changing the polarity/orientation of the two inner Vivaldi elements. To ensure that the distance between the conductor sides of the Vivaldi radiating elements are equal, small offsets were introduced in the distances between the microstrip ports resulting in $d_1$, $d_2$, and $d_3$ in Figure 1. The different slotline-to-microstrip transitions were optimized for maximum input impedance bandwidth. To realize uniform and in-phase array excitations, the physical lengths of the microstrip line sections must be equal. This was obtained by implementing different radiiuses for the two different microstrip line sections. The dimensions for the final feed network are given in Table 1 and a prototype of the feed network is shown in Figure 2.

The S-parameters of the prototype feed network were measured with a HP8510C vector network analyzer. The simulated and measured reflection coefficients for port 1 are shown in Figure 3, with an impedance bandwidth from 1 GHz to 9 GHz. The simulated and measured reflection coefficients agree reasonably well with the differences probably due to manufacturing accuracy, especially the width and radial curves of the CPW and slotlines. The magnitudes and phases of the transmission coefficients for the feed network are presented in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. The magnitudes of the different transmission parameters are approximately the same with some losses visible for the frequencies above 6 GHz. This is probably due to the large radial stubs required to increase the bandwidth. The measured and simulated phases at ports 2 and 3 are almost the same with a 180° difference between the 2 ports. The transmission parameters for ports 4 and 5 are similar to that of ports 2 and 3.

2.2. Vivaldi Antenna Element. The exponentially tapered Vivaldi antenna element was implemented on RO4003 C substrate with a height of $h = 1.524$ mm and is shown in Figure 6 with final dimensions in Table 2. The simulated and measured reflection coefficients for a Vivaldi antenna element are given in Figure 7. The impedance bandwidth, for a $-10$ dB reflection coefficient, of the single Vivaldi antenna was from 1.1 GHz to 9.5 GHz. Four Vivaldi elements were manufactured and all obtained similar results.

3. Linear Vivaldi Antenna Array

The assembled uniform linear Vivaldi array mounted on the pedestal of a compact antenna test range is shown in Figure 8. The measured and simulated S-parameter results for the complete antenna array are shown in Figure 9. The impedance bandwidth is from 1.3 GHz to 9 GHz with a reflection coefficient response below $-10$ dB with some minor exceptions. The VSWR for the entire frequency range was below 2.5:1. The simulated and measured reflection coefficients agree reasonably well except for some frequencies close to 4 GHz. The simulated values for the reflection coefficient are significantly lower than the measured values. Possible reasons for these differences can be attributed to small manufacturing or assembling differences between the prototype array and the ideal simulation model and/or interaction between the array and the measurement environment. The realized gain of the array on boresight was above 8 dBi between 1.5 GHz and 8 GHz, with a maximum of 13.8 dBi at 6.3 GHz, as shown in Figure 10. Above 8 GHz, the boresight gain decreases significantly due to a deterioration of the main beam of the individual Vivaldi antennas. The simulated total efficiency of the antenna array is shown in Figure 11. The total efficiency of the array is above 83% within the usable frequency range of 1.3 GHz to 8 GHz.

The E- and H-plane radiation patterns at discrete frequencies are presented in Figures 12–14. The measured and simulated results were similar with the cross-polarization for all frequencies below $-10$ dB in the main beam. Stable radiation patterns with well-defined main beams were obtained for frequencies up to 8 GHz. Although the feed network achieved an impedance bandwidth of 160%, the usable bandwidth of the array with stable radiation patterns is limited to a 144% bandwidth from 1.3 GHz to 8 GHz.

Table 3 compares the performance of the proposed wideband Vivaldi antenna array with similar wideband arrays in the literature [4–8]. The proposed array in this paper achieved a wider useable bandwidth as well as a higher maximum gain compared to [4, 5], which has a similar single layer feed network structure. The array structures in [6–8] utilize multilayer feed networks such as a substrate-integrated waveguide (SIW) or an electromagnetic band gap (EBG) structure power divider. The advantage of these array structures is that they are more compact than the proposed array, however, the bandwidths achieved are less than that of the proposed antenna array.
Figure 1: The top and bottom sides of the proposed feed network. (a) Top view. (b) Bottom view.

Table 1: Parameters for the final feed network.

| Symbol | Description                                      | Value (mm) |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------|------------|
| \(d_1\) | Distance between ports 2 and 3                  | 35.61      |
| \(d_2\) | Distance between ports 3 and 4                  | 34         |
| \(d_3\) | Distance between ports 4 and 5                  | 32.39      |
| \(L_{f1}\) | Length of vertical microstrip line             | 14         |
| \(L_g\)  | Length of CPW line                              | 16         |
| \(L_{sl}\) | Length of vertical slotline                     | 21.7       |
| \(L_{sub}\) | Substrate length                               | 51.95      |
| \(R_1\)  | Radius of one microstrip line turn section      | 8.75       |
| \(R_2\)  | Radius of the other microstrip line turn section| 6          |
| \(R_s\)  | Radius of circular slot stub                   | 5          |
| \(W_m\)  | Width of microstrip line                        | 3.53       |
| \(W_s\)  | Width of slotline                               | 0.5        |
| \(W_{sub}\) | Substrate width                                 | 154.56     |
**Figure 2:** The top and bottom sides of the prototype feed network. (a) Top plane. (b) Bottom plane.
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**Figure 3:** Measured and simulated reflection coefficients for port 1 of the feed network.
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**Figure 4:** Measured and simulated magnitudes of the transmission coefficients for the feed network.

![Figure 4](image3)
Table 2: Dimensions for the wideband Vivaldi antenna element.

| Symbol     | Description                       | Value (mm) |
|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| $D_c$      | Diameter of circular slot stub    | 10.78      |
| $H_e$      | Width of Vivaldi aperture         | 82         |
| $L_{fe}$   | Length of Vivaldi taper           | 158.76     |
| $R$        | Vivaldi taper rate                | $15e - 3$ |
| substrate$_L$ | Substrate length                  | 209.76     |
| substrate$_W$ | Substrate width                  | 135        |
| $W_{mt}$   | Width of the microstrip line at the port | 3.53     |
| $W_{mt1}$  | Width of the microstrip line at the transition | 1.22     |
| $W_{sL}$   | Width of slotline                 | 0.6        |
Figure 7: The simulated and measured reflection coefficient for the Vivaldi antenna element.

Figure 8: Images of the proposed prototype antenna array. (a) Prototype antenna array in compact antenna range. (b) Prototype antenna array.
Figure 9: The simulated and measured reflection coefficient for the antenna array.

Figure 10: The simulated and measured gain for the antenna array.

Figure 11: The simulated total efficiency of the antenna array.
Figure 12: The radiation pattern at 2 GHz.

Figure 13: The radiation pattern at 5.5 GHz.
4. Conclusion

Individual Vivaldi antennas are generally capable of achieving bandwidths in excess of 100%. A prototype four element uniform linear array of Vivaldi antennas fed with a wideband corporate feed network was presented. The wideband properties of microstrip-to-slotline transitions were exploited to design a four element corporate feed network consisting of a CPW-to-slotline transition and two slotline-to-microstrip line transitions. The Vivaldi antenna array achieved an impedance bandwidth with VSWR below 2.5:1, as well as stable radiation patterns from 1.3GHz to 8GHz, resulting in a useable bandwidth of 144%. The proposed antenna array achieved a greater bandwidth and higher gain compared to similar wideband antenna arrays from literature.
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