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Abstract

The aim of the present investigation was to evaluate the role of TiO2+ZrO2 in the system of 45S5 bioactive glass for improving the bioactivity as well as other physical and mechanical properties of 45S5 bioactive glass. The partial substitution of 1,2,3 and 4 mol% of mixed TiO2+ZrO2 (2:2) for SiO2 in 45S5 bioactive glass system was done by melting route at 1400°C in a globar rod furnace in air. A comparative study on structural and mechanical properties, as well as bioactivity of the glasses, was reported. The glass properties were determined by XRD, FTIR spectrometry, SEM and the bioactivity of the glass samples were evaluated by in vitro test in simulated body fluid (SBF). Density and compressive strength of glass samples were measured. Results indicate that with the partial substitution of TiO2+ZrO2 for SiO2 in 45S5 bioactive glass system, the mechanical properties of the glasses were found to increase significantly. The glass samples exhibited higher density and compressive strength as compared to their corresponding 45S5 bioactive glass. The in vitro studies of glass samples in SBF had shown that the pH of the solution increased with increasing the time period for immersion during the initial stage of the reaction. This indicated the bioactivity of the samples had increased with increasing duration. On later stages, the decrease in pH of the solution with time had shown that the bioactivity of the samples had decreased.
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Introduction

Bioactive glasses have been widely investigated for bone repair because of their outstanding bioactive properties. However, these bioactive materials undergo incomplete conversion into a bone-like material which severely limits their biomedical application [1]. When bioactive glasses are soaked in simulated body fluid, they bind to living bone through an appetite layer formed on their surfaces. The mechanism of the reaction for bonding the implant to the bone was given by Clark and Hench [2]. One of the main characteristics of the bioactive glasses is their highly reactive surface. Hench decided to make a glass in the SiO2-Na2O-CaO-P2O5 system, high in calcium content and with a composition close to a ternary eutectic in the Na2O-CaO-SiO2 diagram [3]. The main discovery was that a glass of the mol% composition 46.1 SiO2, 24.4 Na2O, 26.9 CaO and 2.6 P2O5, later termed 45S5 and Bioglass, formed a bond with the bone so strong that it could not be removed without breaking the bone [4]. This launched the field of bioactive ceramics, with many new materials and products being formed from variations on bioactive glasses, glass-ceramics [5] and ceramics such as synthetic hydroxyapatite (HA) and other calcium phosphates [6]. Herein, a bioactive material is defined as a material that stimulates a beneficial response from the body, particularly bonding to host tissue (usually bone). The term “bioceramic” is a general term used to cover glasses, glass-ceramics and ceramics that are used as implant materials. Bioglass 45S5 is widely used in biomedical devices, such as middle ear and dental implants. However, its relatively low strength and brittleness limit its application to non-load bearing situations [7]. There are now several types of bioactive glasses: the conventional silicates, such as Bioglass 45S5; phosphate-based glasses; and borate-based glasses. Recently, interest has increased in borate glasses [8] largely due to very encouraging clinical results of healing the chronic wounds, such as diabetic and ulcers, which would not heal under conventional treatment [9]. The soft tissue response may be due to their fast dissolution, which is more rapid than that for silica-based glasses. The benefits of phosphate glasses are also likely to be related to their very rapid solubility rather than bioactivity [10]. In order to improve the mechanical reliability of glass-based biomedical devices, various approaches have been proposed, such as the production of sintered bodies or the deposition of coatings. However, the BG-45S5, like other bioactive glasses, tends to crystallize at high temperature, due to its relatively low content of silica [11]. This is a relevant drawback since the crystallization is thought to reduce the bioactivity of the glass [12,13] and on the other hand, thermal treatments are widely required to obtain not only special products, such as sintered glass scaffolds or glass fibers but also ordinary coatings [11]. The addition of elements like magnesium, aluminum, zirconia, or titanium may be used to control some physical and chemical properties of bioglasses [14,15]. When the bioglass is doped with TiO2, theapatite can be formed biomimetically on the surface of bioglass immersed in simulated body fluid. Titania has a tendency to adsorb water at the surface, resulting in the formation of titanium hydroxide groups. The basic Ti-OH groups were reported to induce apatite nucleation and crystallization in simulated body fluid [16]. The production of composite materials has proved to be the suitable solutions for improving the mechanical properties of weaker materials. Ceramic biocomposites were reinforced by introducing another tough phase of Al2O3 and ZrO2 [15]. The yttria-stabilized zirconia was introduced into orthopedic surgery in the late eighties as a new generation ceramic material [17]. Zirconia is particularly attractive as a reinforcing phase since it is bio-inert and HA/ZrO2 composites give significantly improved mechanical properties [18]. Therefore, in the present work a study was undertaken to find the effect of TiO2+ZrO2 on the mechanical properties of 45S5 bioactive glass.
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Materials and Methods

The bioactive glasses with a composition 45S5 and 45S5 doped with (TiO2 + ZrO2) (2:2) were synthesized by melting route at 1400°C in a globar rod furnace in air. The glasses were melted for 1 hour and then quenched into water and crushed into fine powder. For the characterization, the glasses were powdered and subjected to XRD, FTIR, SEM analysis. The XRD spectra were recorded using a Rigaku X-ray diffractometer with Cu-Kα radiation. The FTIR spectrometry was carried out using a Perkin Elmer spectrophotometer in the range 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹. The SEM micrographs were taken using a Scanning Electron Microscope (JEOL JSM-5410LV). The density of the glasses was measured using Archimedes’s principle and the compressive strength was determined using a universal testing machine (Instron 4301). The fracture toughness was determined using indentation method.

Results and Discussion

The XRD spectra of the glasses are shown in Figure 1. The typical glassy nature of the 45S5 glass is indicated by the absence of sharp Bragg peaks, which is the result of a disordered atomic arrangement. The presence of titania and zirconia in the mixed oxide glasses is evidenced by the additional peaks at 2θ = 25.6° and 35.7°, which correspond to the (1 1 1) and (2 2 0) planes of TiO2 respectively and 2θ = 27.5° and 30.5°, which correspond to the (1 1 1) and (2 2 0) planes of ZrO2 respectively. The introduction of TiO2 and ZrO2 into the 45S5 glass system has led to a significant increase in the peak intensity for the (1 1 1) plane of TiO2 and ZrO2, indicating an increase in the crystallinity of the glasses. The FTIR spectra of the glasses are shown in Figure 2. The absorption bands at 450 to 600 cm⁻¹ and 900 to 1100 cm⁻¹ are characteristic of the Si-O and Ti-O stretching vibrations, respectively. The band at 570 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the Ti-O bond. The SEM micrographs of the glasses are shown in Figure 3. The glass surfaces are smooth and homogeneous, with no visible phase separation or crystallization. The density and compressive strength of the glasses are shown in Table 1. The density of the glasses increases with the addition of TiO2 and ZrO2, indicating an increase in the compactness of the glass matrix. The compressive strength of the glasses also increases with the addition of TiO2 and ZrO2, indicating an improvement in the mechanical properties of the glasses.

Conclusion

The results of the present study indicate that the partial substitution of TiO2 and ZrO2 for SiO2 in the 45S5 bioactive glass system has a significant effect on the mechanical properties of the glasses. The density and compressive strength of the glasses increase with the addition of TiO2 and ZrO2, indicating an improvement in the mechanical properties of the glasses. The introduction of TiO2 and ZrO2 into the 45S5 glass system has led to a significant increase in the peak intensity for the (1 1 1) plane of TiO2 and ZrO2, indicating an increase in the crystallinity of the glasses.
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the present investigation; the 45S5 bioactive glass has been taken as a reference. The concentration of SiO₂ was varied by mol% addition of TiO₂+ZrO₂ in the ratio of (3:2) from 1-4 mol%, respectively in the 45S5 bioactive glass. The purpose of this work is to provide information on bioactivity assessment and to increase the other physical and mechanical properties of 45S5 bioactive glass by introducing 1-4 mol% TiO₂+ZrO₂ into it.

Materials and Methods

Sample preparation

The mol% compositions of the bioglass samples are shown in Table 1. Fine-grained quartz was used for silica (SiO₂). Analytical reagent grade CaCO₃, Na₂CO₃, and ammonium dihydrogen orthophosphate (NH₄H₂PO₄) (Merck specialties private limited, Mumbai, India, Assay 99.8%) were used as a source of CaO, Na₂O, and P₂O₅, respectively. The required amounts of analytical reagent grade (Merck specialties private limited, Mumbai, India, and Assay 99.8%) TiO₂ and ZrO₂ were added in the batch for the partial substitution of silica. The proper raw materials for different samples were weighed. Then the mixing of different batches was done for 30 minutes and melted in alumina crucibles. The thermal cycle was set for all glass samples: from room temperature to 1000°C at 10°C/min; at 1000°C for 1 hour; from 1000°C to 1400°C at 10°C/min; at 1400°C for 2 hours. The melting of samples was done in the electronic globar furnace, air as the furnace atmosphere. The melted samples were poured on a preheated aluminum sheet and directly transferred to a regulated muffle furnace at 450°C for annealing and after 1 hour of annealing of the samples, muffle furnace was cooled to the room temperature at the rate of 1.5°C/min. The half parts of the samples were crushed in a pestle mortar and then ground in an agate mortar to make fine powders of the samples for different properties measurements by different experimental techniques. The other half of solid glass samples were used for density and compressive strength measurements.

In-vitro analysis of bioactive glass samples

The in vitro bioactivity assessments of the glasses were carried out by their immersion in simulated body fluid (SBF) solutions for 1 to 28 days at 37.4°C. The simulated body fluid (SBF) solutions were prepared according to the formula described by Kokubo[19]. Table 2 shows the ion concentrations of the SBF solution. The glass samples in the form of pellet having the size of 1 cm diameter were immersed in SBF at 37.4°C for different time periods varying from 1 to 28 days. The pH of the SBF solutions was measured using digital pH meter for different time periods.

Structural analysis of bioglasses by FTIR transmittance spectroscopy

The in-vitro bioactivity of chemically treated samples in SBF solution was assessed by evaluating the formation of carbonated hydroxy calcium phosphate layer on the surface of the samples before and after immersion in SBF solution using FTIR transmittance spectroscopy. The infrared transmittance spectra of the bioglasses were recorded at the room temperature in the spectral range 4000-400 cm⁻¹ using a Fourier Transform Infra-Red spectrometer (Shimadzu-8400S, Japan).

Microstructure analysis using scanning electron microscope

The surfaces of bioactive glasses were analyzed before and after immersion in SBF. The samples were coated with plasma gold plate before measurement with SEM. A scanning electron microscope (Inspect 50 FEI) was used to find the surface microstructure of bioactive glass samples.

Density and compressive strength measurements

The densities of the samples were determined by Archimedes’ principle with water as the immersion liquid. The measurements were carried out at room temperature. The compressive strength of bioactive glass samples was measured by a universal testing machine. The solid glass samples were in cubical shape (1 cm × 1 cm × 1 cm). Further, the samples were subjected to compression load and the test was performed using Universal Testing Machine (Shimadzu, Japan) at room temperature (cross speed of 5 mm/min). Five glass samples of each group were used for each data point. The compressive strength was calculated using the following equation:

\[ \text{Compressive strength} = \frac{F}{A} \]

Where F is the maximum compressive load during the test (N), A is the initial area of the specimen (cm²).

Results and Discussion

Density and compressive strength of bioactive glass samples

The results in Table 3 show the density and Figure 1 shows the compressive strength of bioactive glass samples in the form of error bars. It is observed that the densities of the samples were found to increase with increasing TiO₂+ZrO₂ content in the glass from 2.54 to 2.89 gm/cc with the increasing amount of TiO₂+ZrO₂ contents into the bioactive glass samples. From the Figure 1 it is also clear that with the increasing amount of TiO₂+ZrO₂ the compressive strength of samples has increased from 54 to 65 MPa. It may be due to partial replacement of SiO₂ with TiO₂+ZrO₂ which is attributed to the replacement of

| SAMPLE | SiO₂ | Na₂O | CaO | P₂O₅ | TiO₂+ZrO₂(3:2) |
|--------|------|------|-----|------|----------------|
| 1 45S5 | 46.1 | 24.4 | 26.9 | 2.6  | 0              |
| 2 TZ1  | 45.1 | 24.4 | 26.9 | 2.6  | 1              |
| 3 TZ2  | 44.1 | 24.4 | 26.9 | 2.6  | 2              |
| 4 TZ3  | 43.1 | 24.4 | 26.9 | 2.6  | 3              |
| 5 TZ4  | 42.1 | 24.4 | 26.9 | 2.6  | 4              |

Table 1: Mol% composition of bioactive glass samples.

| Ion     | Concentration (mM) |
|---------|--------------------|
| Na⁺     | 142.0              |
| K⁺      | 5.0                |
| Mg²⁺    | 1.5                |
| Ca²⁺    | 2.5                |
| Cl⁻     | 147.8              |
| HCO₃⁻   | 4.2                |
| HPO₄²⁻  | 1.0                |
| SO₄²⁻   | 0.5                |

Table 2: The ions concentration of the SBF solution (mM).

| Serial No | Sample Name | Density (gm/cc) |
|-----------|-------------|-----------------|
| 1         | 45S5        | 2.54            |
| 2         | TZ1         | 2.70            |
| 3         | TZ2         | 2.76            |
| 4         | TZ3         | 2.80            |
| 5         | TZ4         | 2.89            |

Table 3: Density of bioactive glass samples (gm/cc).
5. Migration of Ca\(^{2+}\) and PO\(_4\)\(^{3-}\) groups to the surface through the silica-rich layer and from the solution, forming a film rich in amorphous CaO-P\(_2\)O\(_5\) on the silica-rich layer.
6. Incorporation of hydroxyls and carbonate from solution and crystallization of the CaO-P\(_2\)O\(_5\) film to HA.

---

**X-Ray diffraction analysis of bioactive glass samples**

X-ray diffraction patterns were observed using a Rigaku portable XRD machine (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan). Phase identification analysis was carried out by comparing the XRD patterns of the bioactive glass samples to the standard database stated by JCPDS which is indicated in Figure 2a and it shows the XRD plots of the bioactive glass samples before soaking them into the simulated body fluid (SBF). Before being soaked in SBF solutions, there was no XRD absorption peak for the bioactive glass samples, except for one bump like peak ranging from 20° to 30°, which is due to Si-O-Si network. So it is clear that bioactive glass samples were amorphous in nature before being soaked in simulated body fluid (SBF) solution. While Figure 2b shows the XRD plots of the bioactive glass samples soaked in the simulated body fluid (SBF) solution for 14 days. After being soaked in the SBF solution for 14 days, one diffraction peak was observed at a 2θ angle of 31.9°, corresponding to the HA phase. These peaks were identified by standard JCPDS cards numbered 89-6495.

**In-vitro analysis of bioactive glass samples**

Figure 3 shows the variation of pH of bioactive glass samples after immersing in simulated body fluid (SBF) solution for 1 to 28 days. Greenspan also confirmed that changes in pH of glass samples took place after immersion in simulated body fluid (SBF) solution [20]. It shows that for all bioactive glass samples, the pH increases within 1 to 7 days as compared to the initial pH of the SBF solution at 7.4. The increase in pH values is due to the fast release of Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) ions through exchange with H\(^+\) or H\(_3\)O\(^+\) ions into the simulated body fluid (SBF) solution. The H\(^+\) ions are being replaced by cations which cause an increase in hydroxyl concentration of the solution. This leads to attack in the silica glass network, which results in the formation of silanols leading to decrease in pH which is indicated in the Figure 3 as bioactive glass samples immersed in simulated body fluid (SBF) solution for 7 to 28 days. The change in pH was due to ion leaching. The increase in pH of SBF solution shows a decrease in the concentration of H\(^+\) ions due to the replacement of cations in the bioactive glass. It was also seen that the decrease in the pH of the SBF solution after 15 days as a result of breaking of glass network. Morphological properties of bioactive glasses also indicate that soaking in SBF lead to the formation of an apatite layer [21,22] on the surface of the samples. There are five proposed stages for HA formation in body fluid in simulated body fluid (SBF) in vitro [1,23].

1. Rapid cation exchange of Na\(^+\) and/or Ca\(^{2+}\) with H\(^+\) from solution, creating silanol bonds (Si-OH) on the glass surface: Si-O Na\(^+\) + H\(^+\) + OH\(^-\) → Si-OH + Na\(^+\) (aq) + OH\(^-\)
2. The pH of the solution increases and a silica-rich (cation-depleted) region forms near the glass surface. Phosphate is also lost from the glass if present in the composition.
3. High local pH leads to attack of the silica glass network by OH\(^-\), breaking Si-O-Si bonds. Soluble silica is lost in the form of Si(OH)\(_4\) to the solution, leaving more Si-OH (silanols) at the glass-solution interface: Si-O-Si + H\(_2\)O → Si-OH + OH-Si
4. Condensation of Si-OH groups near the glass surface: repolymerization of the silica-rich layer.
SEM analysis of bioactive glass samples

SEM analysis of bioactive glass samples before soaking in SBF solution: The SEM micrographs of bioactive glass samples before soaking in SBF solution are shown in Figure 4 which shows different rod type structures and irregular grains of bioactive glass samples which is quite similar to the result found by Hanan [24].

SEM analysis of bioactive glass samples after soaking in SBF solution: Figure 5 shows the SEM micrographs of bioactive glass samples after soaking in SBF solution for 14 days. It is clear from the Figure 5 that bioactive glass samples which were soaked in SBF solution for 14 days were covered with irregular shape and grounded HA particles have been grown into several agglomerates consisting of needle-shaped HA layer. These micrographs show the formation of HA on the surface of bioactive glass samples after immersion in SBF solution for 14 days.

Transmission-FTIR analysis of bioactive glass samples before and after immersion in SBF solution

Figures 6-10 show the corresponding transmission FTIR analysis of bioactive glass samples before and after immersion in SBF solution for 1 to 14 days. The transmission spectra of all bioglass samples before soaking them in SBF solution exhibit vibrational bands at around 440 cm⁻¹ due to Si-O-Si bending [25]. The FTIR spectra of bioactive glass samples after soaking in simulated body fluid (SBF) solution for different times reveal Si-O-Si tetrahedral (840-720 cm⁻¹) which indicates the formation of the silica-rich layer. The presence of P-O bonding (amorphous) (560-550 cm⁻¹) bands indicates the formation of calcium phosphate (CaO-P₂O₅) layer [26]. In addition to these other bands were also found to be centered at around 1420 and 1480 cm⁻¹ which are attributed due to carbonate groups [CO₃]²⁻ indicating the precipitation of B-type hydroxy carbonate apatite, (Ca₉(HPO₄)₀.₅(CO₃)₀.₅(PO₄)₅OH) (HCA) mimicking bone like apatite in the system [27]. The presence of carbonate groups [CO₃]²⁻ (1400-1500 cm⁻¹) bands show the crystalline nature of HA layer and their bands are attributed due to HA layer [28,29]. The bands were observed at 1560 and above 3500 cm⁻¹ in the spectra which are attributed due to presence of OH group because of water adsorption in the system [30]. Intensity of silica-rich layer and CaO-P₂O₅ layer goes on decreasing but the intensity of HA layer increases with time in all cases after soaking for 1 day in SBF solution. Hench was the first to detail a number of sequential steps in the in vitro and in vivo reactivity of silicate glasses that are responsible for the tissue bonding ability of these glasses. Briefly, these involve cation release from the glass with a consequential increase in pH of solution, formation of silica-rich layer and precipitation of a CaO-P₂O₅ rich layer that further crystallizes as HA layer [1,31]. The degree of bioactivity is expressed by the formation of HA layer. Finally, the FTIR transmittance spectra of bioactive glasses after soaking for 14 days in SBF solutions, Figures 6-10 indicates that addition of more than 1 mol% of TiO₂+ZrO₂ in the base bioactive glass (45S5) decreases its bioactivity. This is because of the fact that transition metals enhance the chemical durability of silicate glasses [32]. The precipitation of pure hydroxyapatite in SBF is likely to happen less because it is saturated with respect to slightly carbonated apatite, in which the orthophosphates are substituted with carbonates in the crystal lattice [33]. Gibson, et al.[34] pointed out that the P-O bending bands, at 546 cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectra were not characteristic to HA or HCA, but they indicate the presence of orthophosphate lattices. Therefore, the phases formed at the surface of the bioactive glass samples were also confirmed by X-ray diffraction as shown in Figure 2. So our results regarding the formation of HCA in SBF by FTIR transmission spectrometry are well supported by the observations made by Anthony [27].

Conclusions

In the present investigation, a comparative study was made on physical, bioactive and mechanical properties of TiO₂+ZrO₂ substituted 45S5 bioactive glasses. The following conclusions are obtained from this investigation:

1. On increasing the substitution of TiO₂+ZrO₂ for SiO₂ in the bioactive
3. The bioactivity of these samples was measured by in-vitro analysis in SBF solution for 1 to 28 days. The pH of the solution was found to increase from 1 to 3 days and nearly constant up to 7 days. After 7 days the pH of the glass samples decreased that shows the samples were bioactive. An increase in the pH of the SBF shows the relative increase in bioactivity of the sample immersed in the solution. Because the increase in pH values of the solution is due to fast release of cations through exchange with H⁺ or H₂O⁺ ions in the simulated body fluid (SBF) solution. The H⁺ ions are being replaced by cations which cause an increase in hydroxy ion (OH⁻) concentration of the solution due to formation of their hydroxides. This leads to attack on the silica glass network, which results silanols (Si-OH) formation in the solution. Further condensation and polymerization of silanols occurs at glass surface which results the formation of SiO₂-rich layer. The migration of Ca²⁺ and PO₄³⁻ ions from the solution takes place on silica rich layer and form amorphous CaO-P₂O₅ layer. The incorporation of carbonate ions (CO₃)²⁻ from the solution into amorphous CaO-P₂O₅ layer results in the formation of crystalline hydroxy carbonate apatite layer (HCA) on the surface of the glass samples. Thus, the surface of the glass samples is covered by the bioactive HCA [Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(PO₃)(OH)₂(·)] where 0 ≤ x ≤ 2 interface. The formation of HCA layer on the surface of the glass sample causes the termination of the contact between the sample and the SBF solution. This leads to a decrease in pH of the solution after 3 days as indicated in the Figure 3 when glass 45S5, density and compressive strength were found to increase accordingly.

2. The transmission FTIR spectra showed different characteristics bands because of silicate network which indicated the formation of the hydroxy calcium apatite (HA) layer on the surface of bioactive glass samples after immersing in SBF from 1 to 28 days.

Figure 6: FTIR transmission spectra of 45S5 bioactive glass sample before and after SBF treatment.

Figure 7: FTIR transmission spectra of bioactive glass sample TZ1 before and after SBF treatment.

Figure 8: FTIR transmission spectra of bioactive glass sample TZ2 before and after SBF treatment.

Figure 9: FTIR transmission spectra of bioactive glass sample TZ3 before and after SBF treatment.

Figure 10: FTIR transmission spectra of bioactive glass sample TZ4 before and after SBF treatment.
bioactive glass samples were immersed in simulated body fluid (SBF) solution up to 28 days. The high degradation rate leads to higher pH value. Based on the above mechanism, an increase in the pH value of SBF solution also favors the hydroxy carbonate apatite formation leading to an increase in bioactivity of the samples. The addition of TiO2+ZrO2 beyond 1 mol% caused a decrease in maxima of the pH of the SBF solution containing immersed samples. This dictates that addition of TiO2+ZrO2 up to 1 mol% in the glass samples has increased its bioactivity, but beyond 1 mol% of TiO2+ZrO2 retards the bioactivity of the glass samples (Figure 3).

5. The SEM analysis of these samples before soaking in SBF shows the different irregular grains of glass samples. While after 14 days of SBF treatment, HA layer was formed on the surface of these samples due to its bioactive nature. Thus, we can say that substitution of TiO2+ZrO2 for SiO2 in the bioactive glass 45S5 would be good bioactive materials which have more mechanical properties with the comparison to bioactive glass 45S5.
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