Plants contribute significantly to the global food supply. Various Plant diseases can result in production losses, which can be avoided by maintaining vigilance. However, manually monitoring plant diseases by agriculture experts and botanists is time-consuming, challenging and error-prone. To reduce the risk of disease severity, machine vision technology (i.e., artificial intelligence) can play a significant role. In the alternative method, the severity of the disease can be diminished through computer technologies and the cooperation of humans. These methods can also eliminate the disadvantages of manual observation. In this work, we proposed a solution to detect tomato plant disease using a deep leaning-based system utilizing the plant leaves image data. We utilized an architecture for deep learning based on a recently developed convolutional neural network that is trained over 18,161 segmented and non-segmented tomato leaf images—using a supervised learning approach to detect and recognize various tomato diseases using the Inception Net model in the research work. For the detection and segmentation of disease-affected regions, two state-of-the-art semantic segmentation models, i.e., U-Net and Modified U-Net, are utilized in this work. The plant leaf pixels are binary and classified by the model as Region of Interest (ROI) and background. There is also an examination of the presentation of binary arrangement (healthy and diseased leaves), six-level classification (healthy and other ailing leaf groups), and ten-level classification (healthy and other types of ailing leaves) models. The Modified U-net segmentation model outperforms the simple U-net segmentation model by 98.66 percent, 98.5 IoU score, and 98.73 percent on the dice. InceptionNet1 achieves 99.95% accuracy for binary classification problems and 99.12% for classifying six segmented class images; InceptionNet outperformed the Modified U-net model to achieve higher accuracy. The experimental results of our proposed
method for classifying plant diseases demonstrate that it outperforms the methods currently available in the literature.
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Introduction

We have been domesticating animals and cultivating crops for centuries. Agriculture enabled all of this to be possible. Food insecurity is the primary cause of plant infections (Chowdhury et al., 2020; Global Network Against Food Crisis, 2022). It is also one of the reasons why humanity faces grave problems. One study indicates that plant diseases account for approximately 16 percent of global harvest yield losses. The global pest and disease losses for wheat and soybean are anticipated to be approximately 50 percent and 26 to 29 percent, respectively (Prospects and Situation, 2022). The classifications of plant pathogens include fungi, fungus-like species, bacteria, viruses, virus-like organisms, nematodes, protozoa, algae, and parasitic plants. Artificial intelligence and machine vision have benefited numerous applications, including power forecasting from non-depletable assets (Khandakar et al., 2019; Touati et al., 2020) and biomedical uses (M. H. Chowdhury et al., 2019; Chowdhury et al., 2020). Artificial intelligence is beneficial. It has been utilized globally for the identification of lung-based diseases. In addition, this method has accumulated predictive applications for the virus (Chowdhury et al., 2021). Using these comparative trend-setting innovations, early-stage plant diseases can be identified. AI and computer vision are advantageous for the detection and analysis of plant infections. As physically inspecting plants and detecting diseases is a very laborious and tiring process, there is a chance of error. Consequently, the use of these techniques is very advantageous because they are not particularly taxing, they do not require a great deal of labour, and they reduce the likelihood of error. Sidharth et al. (Chouhan et al., 2018) utilized a distributed premise work organization (BRBFNN) with an accuracy of 83.07 percent. This network is used to improve bacterial searching to identify and organize plant diseases. The convolutional neural network is a well-known neural organization that has been successfully applied to a variety of computer vision tasks (Lecun and Haffner, 1999). Different CNN structures have been utilized by analysts to classify and distinguish evidence of plant diseases. For instance, “Sunayana et al. compared various CNN structures for recognizing potato and mango leaf infection, with AlexNet achieving 98.33 percent accuracy and shallow CNN models achieving 90.85 percent accuracy (Arya and Singh, 2019)”. Using the mean of the VGG16 model, Guan et al. predicted the disease severity of apple plants with a precision rate of 90.40 percent. They utilized a LeNet model (Wang, 2017; Arya and Singh, 2019) Jihen et al. employed a model known as LeNet. This model was used to identify healthy and diseased banana leaves with a 99.72 percent accuracy rate (Amara et al., 2017).

Tomato is one of the most commonly consumed fruits on a daily basis. As tomatoes are utilized in condiments such as ketchup, sauce, and puree, their global utilization rate is high. It constitutes approximately fifteen percent (15%) of all vegetables and fruits, with an annual per capita consumption of twenty kilograms. An individual in Europe consumes approximately thirty-one (31) kilograms of tomatoes per year. In North America, this percentage is relatively high. A person consumes approximately forty-two (42) kilograms of tomatoes annually (Laranjeira et al., 2022). The high demand for tomatoes necessitates the development of early detection technologies for viruses, bacterial, and viral contaminations. Several studies have been conducted using technologies based on artificial intelligence. These technologies are used to increase tomato plants’ resistance to disease. "Manpreet et al. characterized seven tomato diseases with a 98.8 percent degree of accuracy (Gizem Irmak and Saygili, 2020)". The Residual Network was utilized to classify and characterize these diseases. This residual network is built utilizing the CNN architecture. This network is generally known as ResNet. Rahman et al. (Rahman et al., 2019) projected a network with 99.25 percent accuracy. This network is utilized to determine how to distinguish bacterial spots, late blight, and segregation spots from tomato leaf images. Fuentes et al. (Fuentes et al., 2017) employed three distinct types of detectors. These detectors were used to differentiate ten diseases from images of tomato leaf. A convolutional neural network is one type of detector. This network is comprised of faster regions. The second detector is a network of convolutions. The third detector is a multi-box finder with a single shot (SSD). These indicators are coupled with a variety of deep component extractor variants. The Tomato Leaf Disease Detection (ToLeD) model, proposed by Agarwal et al. is CNN-based technology for classifying ten infections from images of tomato leaf with an accuracy of 91.2%. Durmus et al. (Durmus et al., 2017) classified ten infections from images of tomato leaves with 95.5% accuracy using the Alex Net and Squeeze Net algorithms. Although
infection grouping and identification of plant leaves are extensively studied in tomatoes, few studies include segmented leaf images from their specific environments. The function also occurred in other plant leaves; however, no studies have segmented images of leaves from their specific case. Since lighting conditions can drastically alter an image’s accuracy, improved segmentation techniques could help AI models focus on the area of interest rather than the setting.

U-net derives its moniker from its U-shaped network design. It is an architecture for cutting-edge image segmentation technology based on deep learning. U-net is designed to aid in the segmentation of biomedical images (Navab et al., 2015). In addition, unlike conventional CNN models, U-net includes convolutional layers for up-sampling or recombining feature maps into complete images. The experimental results of research articles (Rahman et al., 2020) demonstrated promising segmentation performance. The segmentation results are summarized using the cutting-edge U-Net model (Navab et al., 2015). In contrast, Inception Net is a modernized network. In addition, the Inception Net network is classification-based network used to predict the health condition of the crop using the tomato leaf data (Louis, 2013). The main contribution of the proposed model can be summarized as:

1) Different U-net versions were explored to choose the optimum segmentation model by comparing the segmented model mask with the images of ground truth masks.

2) This study used three different classification methods: A comparison of different CNN architecture for classification tasks involving binary and multiclass classification of tomato diseases. Several experiments were carried out with various CNN architectures. (a) Binary classification of healthy and ill leaves on a scale of one to ten. (b) Two-level classification of healthy leaves and four levels of categorization for ill leaves (five levels total). (c) A ten-level categorization of healthy individuals, as well as nine illness categories. A twofold characterization of solid and infected leaves, a five-level order of sound, four unhealthy leaves, a ten-level grouping of solid, and nine disease classes.

3) The results achieved in this study outperform the most current state-of-the-art studies in this field in terms of accuracy and precision.

The remaining paper is organized as follows: The first section contains a detailed introduction, a review of literature, and the study’s motivation. Various kinds of pathogens that attacked plants are described in Section no. 2. Section 3 contains information about the study’s methodology and techniques, including a description of the dataset, pre-processing techniques, and experimental details. In section no. 4, the study’s findings are reported, including discussion in section no. 5 in Section 4, and then in the 6th section.

### Literature review

#### Convolutional neural networks deep

Tan et al. (Louis, 2013) introduced the Inception Net CNN model. We performed transfer learning for the detection of various tomato plant leaf diseases. The developer, Inception Net CNN model, ensured that the model is balance in all aspects, i.e., width, resolution, and depth. Moreover, the developers of Inception Net were the first to find the connection b/w all of the three dimensions, while other CNN ranging techniques use the single-dimensional ranking factor.

The writers used the MnasNet network (Sevilla et al., 2022) to create their baseline architecture, prioritizing model accuracy and FLOPA using a neural network architecture to search multi-objects. Next, they built the InceptionNet2, which was the same as MnasNet but with only one difference, i.e., more extensive than the Inception Net network. It happened because Inception Net’s FLOPS target is higher. Its crucial building block is the mobile reverse bottleneck MBConv (Sandler et al., 2018), including squeezing and excitation optimization (Hu et al., 2020). Finally, we employed a composite way, that composition method is based on InceptionNet1, which employs compound coefficients σ to scale. Using this scale, the neural network width, depth and dimensions can be detected. All of these three criteria are detected uniformly using the Equation below:

\[ x \geq 1, \quad y \geq 1, \quad z \geq 1 \]

We use a, b and c, as the following constant variables that can be recognized with the help of an efficient grid scan. The alpha value is the constant coefficient declared by a user, which adjusts the number of possessions utilized for scaling up the model. The values a, b, and c determine how these additional resources can be assigned to the neural network’s width, dimension, and depth. Here are some constants a, b, and c that may be discovered by quickly scanning the grid. As shown in the following Equation, the parameters for network width, depth, and resolution are defined by the variables a through c. The parameter may set the parameter for model scaling, represented by the parameter for model scaling.

It creates a family of Inception Net (1 to 2) by scaling up the reference point of the system and setting the constants as a, b, and c while scaling up the network reference point with various a, b, and c networks in Table 1. The accuracy of 97.1 percent achieved by InceptionNet1 on ImageNet is in the top five, even though on inference it is 6.1 times quicker and 8.4 times smaller than the finest existing ConvNets such as SENet (Hu et al., 2020) and Gpipe (Huang et al., 2019).

The InceptionNet1, InceptionNet3 and InceptionNet2 algorithms were utilized while constructing our design; A GAP layer was added to the network’s last layer to increase accuracy.
while also reducing overfitting. We added a thick layer Ensuing GAP, with a 1024x1024 resolution and a 25% loss. Followed by another Dense layer. A SoftMax layer is then applied to produce the likelihood estimate points for identifying leaf diseases of tomato, which is the final step to begin with Inception Net as a baseline; we scale it up in two steps using our compound scaling method:

The first step is to fix = one, assuming twice as many resources are available. The InceptionNet2 finest values for are, in particular, , , at 1.2,1.1,1.15 respectively with being the best overall.

Second, we fix the values of constants as and utilize Equation (1) to scale up the baseline variety of network values to get InceptionNet2 through InceptionNet1.

In some instances, looking for the three variables near a big model, for example, can provide even better results. Still, the cost of the search becomes prohibitively expensive for larger models. The First step in addressing this issue is performing a single search on a tiny baseline network and scaling all other models in the second step with the same scaling coefficients as the small baseline network.

Segmentation

In U-net architecture, many segmentation designs may be built. This research compared two versions of the unique U-Net (Navab et al., 2015) and two distinct versions of the Modified U-Net (Navab, 2020) to see which version performed the best. You can see how the original U-Net design, the Improved U-Net design, and the Modified U-Net design are displayed in Figures 1, 2. When considering the U-net, the first thing to bear in mind is that it comprises two pathways: one that expands while contracting and another that contracts while extending. An unpadded convolution (or convolution with padding) is performed many times along the contracting route. Each iteration consists of a ReLU followed by a pooling operation with stride 2 for down sampling. In the latter stages of the expanding path, the third convolution is followed by a ReLU. The up-sampled feature map is combined with the contracting path’s feature map, which is doubled, and two 3 x 3 convolutions, followed by a ReLU in the contracting approach. Every stage of the network takes into account 23 convolutional layers.

Visualization techniques

CNNs are showing a greater interest in internal mechanics. Therefore, visualization approaches have been developed to aid in their understanding. Visualization methods help in the knowledge of CNN decision-making processes. Additionally, this makes the model more understandable to people, helping increase the faith in the findings of neural networks. Recently, “Score-CAM (Chen and Zhong, 2022) was employed in this investigation because of its good output, such as Smooth Grad (Smilkov et al., 2017), Grad-CAM (Selvaraju et al., 2020), Grad-CAM++ (Chattopadhay et al., 2018), and Score-CAM (Wang et al., 2020).” The weight for each

| Stage | Operator | Image dimension | No of channels | No of layers |
|-------|----------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| 1     | Convolutional [3x3] | 224x224 | 32 | 1 |
| 2     | MobileConv 1, [3x3] | 112x112 | 16 | 1 |
| 3     | MobileConv 6, [3x3] | 112x112 | 24 | 2 |
| 4     | MobileConv 6, [3x3] | 56x56 | 40 | 2 |
| 5     | MobileConv 6, [3x3] | 28x28 | 80 | 3 |
| 6     | MobileConv 6, [5x5] | 14x14 | 112 | 3 |
| 7     | MobileConv 6, [5x5] | 14x14 | 192 | 4 |
| 8     | MobileConv 6, [3x3] | 7x7 | 320 | 1 |
| 9     | Convolutional [1x1], Pooling and Fully Connected | 7x7 | 1280 | 1 |
activation map is based on the target class’s forward passing score, and the outcome is the product of weights and activation maps. After calculating the forward passing score for each activation map, Score-CAM removes the requirement for gradients. In Figure 2, the leaf areas were in control of CNN decision-making, as seen by the heat map. The statement above can assist consumers in understanding how the network makes decisions, which increases end-user confidence.

Pathogens of tomato leaves

Septoria leaf spot, Early blight, target spot, and molds of leaf are only a few of the plant diseases caused by a fungus that exists. Fungi may infect plants in a change of ways via seeds and soil. The pathogenic fungus can spread across plants via animals, human contact, equipment, and soil contamination. An infection of the plant’s leaves by a fungal pathogen is the cause of initial blight tomato plants disease. All terms used to describe this condition are fruit rot, stem lesion, Collar rot and. When fighting early blight, cultural control, which includes fungicidal pesticides and good soil and nutrient management, is essential. Septoria leaf spot is caused by a fungus that grows on tomato plants and produces tomatines enzyme, which causes the breakdown of steroidal glycoalkaloids in the tomato plant to occur. Known as spot disease, it is a fungal disease that affects tomato plants and manifests itself as necrosis lesions with a color displayed as mild brown in the center. Defoliation occurs early in
the course of progressive lesions (Pernezny et al., 2018; Abdulridha et al., 2020).

When the goal location is struck, it causes immediate harm to the fruit. This illness, called the fungal disease, develops upon moist leaves remain for a lengthy period. Bacteria is also a type of plant pathogen. Bites, trimming, and cuts allow insects to penetrate plants. The availability, humidity, Temperature, nutrient meteorological conditions, ventilation, and soil conditions are crucial for bacterial development and plant harm. Bacterial spot is a disease caused by bacteria (Louws et al., 2001; Qiao et al., 2020). Plants can spread illness because of mold growth. Mold Plant causes the late blight in tomato and potato plants stems and leaf tips might have dark, irregular blemishes. The Tomato Yellow Leaf Cur (TYLC) virus causes illness in tomatoes. This virus has infected the plant and is transmitted by an insect. However, tomato plants bear damaged leaves and are divided into ten different classifications. In research 2, several groups of unhealthy and stable leaf photographs were categorized.

Some investigations show that plants of beans, peppers, eggplant and tobacco can also be harmed by virus. The current priority is to combat yellow leaf curl disease due to the illness’s extensive geographical range. Tomato Mosaic Virus is also a type pathogen which impacts the tomato plants (Ghanim et al., 1998; Ghanim and Czosnek, 2000; Choi et al., 2020; He et al., 2020). This virus is prevalent everywhere, affecting several plants, including tomatoes. Necrotic blemishes and twisted and fern-like stems define ToMV infection (Broadbent, 1976; Xu et al., 2021).

**Methodology**

The proposed framework is summarized in the given below Figure 3. The dataset used in this research-based project comes from the village of plant benchmark dataset (Hughes and Salathe, 2015; SpMohanty, 2018); the dataset consists of the leaf and their segmented mask images. As discussed in the above sections, this work is performed using three different classification strategies i.e.

1. the binary classification that only classifies the leaves into healthy and non-healthy classes.
2. the experiment was performed on five unhealthy and one class of healthy segmented images of leaves.

The paper also investigates the most effective segmentation network for background leaf segmentation is the U-net segmentation model. The segmented tomato leaf pictures are then utilized to verify the cam imagining, which has been proved trustworthy in several applications.

**Overview of the dataset**

The proposed models are evaluated using the Plant Village dataset consisting of 18161 images and segmented binary mask images (Hughes and Salathe, 2015). The Plant Village is benchmark and widely used dataset utilized for the training and validation of the classification and segmentation model.

This dataset was additionally used to prepare a division and order model for tomato leaves. The images from the dataset were divided into ten classifications where only one class is healthy while all others are from the unhealthy class. The entirety of the pictures was separated into ten classes, one of which was strong and the other nine were damage (e.g., bacterial smear, early leaf mold, leaf shape, leaf mold, and yellow leaf curl infection and the nine undesirable classifications were additionally partitioned...
into five subgroups (i.e., microscopic organisms, infections, growths, molds, and parasites). Figure 4 shows some examples of segmented tomato leaf and mask leaf pictures for the healthy and unhealthy classes. Table 2 additionally incorporates a point-by-point depiction of the number of images in the dataset, which is valuable for the arrangement work debated in the more prominent aspect of the accompanying area.

**Dataset image preprocessing**

**Image normalization and rescaling**

The image input size for various CNN architectures for segmentation and classification Varies. All the images from the dataset for training a U-net model were resized to 256x256x3, while for InceptionNet (1, 2, and 3), the images were resized 299x299x3. CNN networks have input picture size necessities that should be met. All the images in the dataset were normalized using the z-score normalization, where the value of z-score was computed from the standard deviation (SD) and mean of the training images dataset.

**Image augmentation**

As the dataset is imbalanced and doesn’t have equivalent images in various classes, training with an unequal dataset may lead to models’ overfitting or underfitting issues. The number of pictures in all the classes is kept equal by augmenting (increasing the quantity of image data) the images. An equal number of images in all the programs (balance dataset) can train a reliable model to provide better performance accuracy (M. H. Chowdhury et al., 2019; Chowdhury et al., 2020; Rahman et al., 2020; Rahman et al., 2020; Tahir et al., 2022).

Three types of augmentation are applied to the image data, i.e., image rotation, image translation, and image scaling, to create a balanced dataset using data augmentation. To apply rotation to the training images, the images were rotated in a clockwise and anti-clockwise direction with an angle from 5 to 15 degrees. The scaling of images is zooming in or zooming out of an image; in our case, the scaling up and scaling down percentage is 2.5 to 10. The translation of an image is the processing of changing the location of objects in an image; the leaf region is translated horizontally and vertically by a percentage of 5-15.
Experiments

Leaf segmentation

To determine and select the best leaf segmentation model, various U-net segmentation models are trained. K-Fold cross-validation method is applied to split up the data into trainset and a test set. The value of K is 5, which means that each model will be trained five times and validated five times; in each fold, 80% of the data (leaf and segmented mask images) will be used for training the model while the remaining will be used for model validation. (Table 3).

The trainset and test set class distribution are equal. As we know that each fold consists of 80% of the data for model training. So out of 80%, 90% of the data is going for model training, while the remaining 10% will be used for model validation which will assist the model in avoiding the overfitting issue. In this research, three state-of-the-art loss functions, i.e., Binary Cross-Entropy Mean-Squared Error loss and Negative Log-Likelihood, are performed to choose the optimal act evaluation metrics used to select the best segmentation model for tomato leaves.

Moreover, a proposed model training stunting condition is reported in some updated research work. If there is no improvement in the validation loss for the first five epochs, the model training should be immediately stopped (Chowdhury et al., 2020; Rahman et al., 2020; Tahir et al., 2022).

Classification of tomato leaf diseases

This research study explored a deep learning-based system that used a newly built convolutional neural net called InceptionNet to categorize segmented tomato leaf disease pictures to improve disease detection accuracy. Three distinct types of picture categorization tests were conducted as part of this study. As shown in Table 4, the images utilized in the analyses for training multiple classification models using the segmented leaf images were taken from different sources. Table 5 contains a summary of the experiment’s parameters, as well as the results of the study into picture classification and segmentation techniques.

Inception-V1.

InceptionNet is a collection of deep neural networks that were developed using the Inception module. The initial edition of this series, Googlenet, is a 22-layer deep network. The Inception module is built on the idea that neurons with a shared objective (such as feature extraction) should learn together. In the bulk of early iterations of convolutional architecture, the main focus was on adjusting the size of the kernel to obtain the most relevant features. In contrast, InceptionNet’s architecture emphasises parallel processing as well as the simultaneous extraction of a number of different feature maps. This is the trait that most distinguishes InceptionNet from all other picture categorization models currently available.

TABLE 2 Total amount of healthy and disease affected tomato leaves images in the Plant Village Dataset.

| Types     | Normal          | Bacteri         | Mold            | Virus                        | Fungal                        | Mite                        |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Classes   | Healty (1589)   | Bacterial Patches (2131) | Intense Mold (1922) | Curling and Crisping Yellow (5362) | Fungal Pathogens (998)      | Tetranychus urticae Koch (1681) |
|           |                 |                 |                 | Pathogenic virus (Mosaic) (381) | Septoria lycopersici (1769) |                             |
|           |                 |                 |                 |                              | Corynespora cassiicola (1399) |                             |
|           |                 |                 |                 |                              | Crushed Dry Leaf (Mould) (949) |                             |

FIGURE 4
Some random samples of tomatoes leaf images from the benchmark Plant Village Dataset.
Inception-(V2 and V3)

Inception v2 and Inception v3 are presented in the same paper. There exists an initial architecture inception-V1 where inception-V-2 and V-3 are widely used in the literature as transfer learning methods to solve various problems. For the Inception part of the network, we have 3 standard modules of $35 \times 35$ filter size, each with 288 filters in a layer. This is reduced to a $17 \times 17$ grid with 768 filters using a grid reduction technique. In inception-V3, the decomposed 5 initial modules, as shown, are reduced to an $8 \times 8 \times 1280$ grid using the grid reduction technique. A grid reduction technique is used to reduce this to an $8 \times 8 \times 1280$ grid. The inception-V3 model consists of two coarsest $8 \times 8$ levels of the Inception module, and each block has a tandem output filter bank size of 2048. The detailed architecture of the network, including the size of the filter banks in the Inception module, is given in the base research paper (Szegedy et al., 2014).

The intuition is that the neural network performs better when the convolution does not significantly change the input dimensionality. Too much dimensionality reduction may lead to information loss, called a "representation bottleneck". Using intelligent decomposition methods, convolution can be made more efficient in terms of computational complexity. To increase the computational speed, the $5 \times 5$ convolution is decomposed into two $3 \times 3$ convolution operations. Although this may seem counterintuitive, the cost of a $5 \times 5$ convolution is 2.78 times that of a $3 \times 3$ convolution. Therefore, stacking two $3 \times 3$ convolutions can improve performance.

This translation was created with the assistance of the DeepL.com Translator (free version)

All of the experiments were performed on an Intel-based corei7 9th generation CPU with a RAM of 64 GB and NVIDIA RTX 2080Ti 11GB GDDR6 GPU using the python 3.7 popular deep learning framework the PyTorch library.

Performance matrix: Segmentation of tomato leaves: The proposed lesion segmentation model performance evaluation metrics are listed below (2–4).

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{tp + tn}{tp + fp + fn + tn}$$

$$\text{IoU} = \frac{tp}{tp + fn + fp}$$

### TABLE 3 Details of benchmark dataset used for proposed model performance evaluation.

| Dataset name                      | No. of image and their ground truth mask of tomato leaves | Size of training set | Size of validation set | Size of testing set |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Plant Village tomato leaf images  | 18159                                                    | 13082                | 1447                   | 3628                |

### TABLE 4 Quantitative analysis of proposed classifiers experimental work.

| Classification               | Types           | No of images | Segmented and non-segmented images |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------------------------|
|                              |                 |              | Training images | Validation images | Testing images |
| Binary Class                 | Healthy         | 1519         | 1095x10 = 10950 | 121              | 303             |
|                              | Affected        | 16750        | 12075              | 1340             | 3350            |
| Multi-Class(6 Classes)       | Healthy         | 1519         | 1093               | 121              | 303             |
|                              | Fungi           | 5115         | 3682               | 409              | 1023            |
|                              | Mold            | 1898         | 1366               | 151              | 379             |
|                              | Virus           | 5744         | 4135               | 459              | 1148            |
|                              | Bacteria        | 2154         | 1550               | 172              | 430             |
|                              | Mite            | 1839         | 1324               | 147              | 367             |
| Different classes(Ten classes)| Healthy        | 1519         | 1093               | 121              | 303             |
|                              | Early Blight    | 1050         | 756                | 84               | 210             |
|                              | Target spot     | 1454         | 1046               | 116              | 290             |
|                              | Septoria leaf spot | 1721       | 1239               | 137              | 344             |
|                              | Bacterial spot  | 2177         | 1567               | 174              | 435             |
|                              | Leaf Mold       | 902          | 649                | 72               | 180             |
|                              | Late Bright Mold | 1960       | 1411               | 156              | 392             |
|                              | Tomato yellow leaf curl virus | 5307 | 3821               | 424              | 1061            |
|                              | tomato mosaic virus | 373       | 268                | 29               | 74              |
Classification of segmented tomato leaves: The leaf classification performance evaluation metrics are listed below (5)–(9).

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{tp + tn}{tp + fp + fn + tn}
\]

\[
\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{tp}{tp + fn}
\]

\[
\text{Specificity} = \frac{tn}{tn + fp}
\]

\[
\text{f1} \quad \text{Score} = \frac{(2 \cdot tp)}{(2 \cdot tp + fn + fp)}
\]

In the False Positive and False Negative measurements, you can see the photos of healthy and sick tomato leaves mistakenly identified. The True positive rate (TPR) indicates the number of adequately detected healthy leaf pictures. Although the True negative rate (TN) denotes the number of properly identified diseased leaf pictures, the actual percentage of healthy leaves represented is the Healthy Leaf Volume Index (HVI). Additionally, image segmentation and classification models are compared using Equation No. 9, which depicts the time required to test a single picture.

\[
T = t'' - t'
\]

Where to denotes when a segmentation or classification model starts to process the image I while \( t'' \) denotes the completion time when an image I am segmented or classified.

**Results**

This section details the performance evaluation of different neural network architectures (Segmentation & Classification) in various experiments.
Aside from ten-class problems, where InceptionNet3 performed marginally better than InceptionNet1 compared to other training models, InceptionNet1 outperformed other trained models when utilizing leaf pictures segmented of two, six, and ten-class issues and without it. We conducted extensive testing on several versions of InceptionNet. They observed that when the depth, breadth, and resolution of the network are raised, the performance of the network increases. Because the depth, breadth, and resolution of the network are scaled as the InceptionNet model grows in depth, width, and resolution, the testing time \( T \) grows. In contrast, when the classification scheme grows more complex, the performance of InceptionNet’s scaled version does not appear to increase substantially.

A two-class and a six-class problem with InceptionNet1 outperforms the competition in segmenting tomato leaf images, achieving a 99.95 percent accuracy, 99.95 percent specificity, and 99.77 percent specificity for two-class 99.12 percent, 99.11 percent, and 99.81 percent specificity for three-class problems. For its part, InceptionNet3 achieved the highest accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity scores in the ten-class test, with 99.999% accuracy, 99.44 percent sensitivity, and specificity scores in the ten-class test, respectively. Figure 5 illustrates the quantitative results analysis of U-Net and Modified U-Net over benchmark dataset.

| Loss function | Network          | Validation loss | Validation accuracy | Intersection Over Union | Dice   | Inference time |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------|----------------|
| NLog          | Original U-net   | 0.0177          | 96.33               | 95.43                   | 96.51  | 13.10          |
| CELoss        | Original U-net   | 0.0167          | 96.49               | 95.89                   | 96.42  | 12.65          |
| Mean Square   | Original U-net   | 0.0135          | 96.62               | 96.33                   | 97.76  | 12.41          |
| NLog          | Improved U-net   | 0.0067          | 98.88               | 98.65                   | 98.91  | 11.20          |
| CELoss        | Improved U-net   | 0.015           | 97.91               | 97.77                   | 96.93  | 11.01          |
| Mean Square   | Improved U-net   | 0.069           | 98.21               | 98.12                   | 98.54  | 10.98          |

Figure 5 illustrates the original tomato leaf images, ground truth mask, and segmented leaf using the modified U-Net CNN model.
that increasing the number of parameters in a network result in marginally better performance for 2, 6, and 10 class issues. On the other hand, deep networks can give a more significant performance gain for problems with two and six classes, respectively. Figure 6 depicts the Images of segmented tomato leaves used to create Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves for problems involving two-class, six-class, and ten-class difficulties. This section shows how the receiver working features curves for second class, sixth class, and tenth class issues utilizing segmented tomato leaf pictures look.

TABLE 7 Performance analysis of Modified U-Net with InceptionNet CNN models.

| No. Classes | CNN Model  | Performance Analysis on 90 CI |
|-------------|------------|-------------------------------|
|             |            | Overall | Average | Average | Average | Average | Average |
|             |            | accuracy | Precision | Sensitivity | F1 score | Specificity | Inference time |
| Class 2     | InceptionNet-1 | 99.74 ± 0.03 | 99.79 ± 0.03 | 99.71 ± 0.06 | 99.77 ± 0.05 | 99.77 ± 0.06 | 22.19 |
|             | InceptionNet-2 | 99.92 ± 0.01 | 99.94 ± 0.01 | 99.92 ± 0.01 | 99.92 ± 0.08 | 98.76 ± 0.4 | 29.71 |
|             | InceptionNet-3 | 99.87 ± 0.08 | 99.91 ± 0.08 | 99.87 ± 0.04 | 99.89 ± 0.03 | 99.81 ± 0.05 | 39.45 |
| Class 6     | InceptionNet-1 | 97.12 ± 0.9 | 97.18 ± 0.9 | 97.12 ± 0.9 | 97.14 ± 0.23 | 99.51 ± 0.9 | 25.77 |
|             | InceptionNet-2 | 98.76 ± 0.18 | 98.79 ± 0.5 | 98.75 ± 0.3 | 98.76 ± 0.18 | 99.69 ± 0.06 | 42.05 |
|             | InceptionNet-3 | 99.32 ± 0.14 | 99.4 ± 0.19 | 99.28 ± 0.16 | 99.35 ± 0.26 | 99.83 ± 0.08 | 52.66 |
| Class 10    | InceptionNet-1 | 99.61 ± 0.06 | 98.72 ± 0.6 | 98.70 ± 0.8 | 98.70 ± 0.3 | 99.85 ± 0.07 | 44.54 |
|             | InceptionNet-2 | 99.90 ± 0.4 | 99.91 ± 0.3 | 99.89 ± 0.4 | 99.90 ± 0.30 | 99.96 ± 0.08 | 53.63 |
|             | InceptionNet-3 | 99.79 ± 0.08 | 99.23 ± 0.33 | 99.65 ± 0.14 | 99.44 ± 0.21 | 99.89 ± 0.05 | 59.85 |
The Design with NLL loss function produced masks (2nd left), segmented leaf with matching segmentation (2nd right), and ground truth images of tomato leaves are shown in Figure 5 (right).

To categorize tomato leaf diseases, segmented and original leaf pictures to get the findings shown in Table 7. Italicized outcomes denote the most favorable outcomes.

For the best performing networks depicts the confusion matrix when applying tomato leaf pictures to different classification tasks. Of the six out of 16,570 unhealthy tomato leaf pictures that were correctly classified as healthy, the network with the most outstanding performance, InceptionNet1, accurately categorized them. however, the network with the worst performance, InceptionNet1, incorrectly classified 1591 of them as unhealthy.

Six different classes of unhealthy tomato leaf images, which consisted of one healthy class and five distinct unhealthy classes, were identified in the six-class issue. While only three misclassified images were found in the six-class issue, 1591 healthy tomato leaf images were found in the healthy tomato leaf category. Only one tomato leaf was misclassified in the six-class problem, which consisted of healthy and unhealthy classes of one hundred and ninety-nine different types. There were 16,570 images of diseased tomato leaves to choose from in the six-class assignment. A study discovered that the best network for the ten-class problem was InceptionNet3, which had only four misclassifications of healthy imageries and 105 misclassifications of unhealthy images in healthy tomato leaf images.

Cam-Score ROI visualization

The dependability of trained networks was evaluated in this study through visualization tools based on five distinct score-CAM categories; it was determined that they were either healthy or sick. The 10-class problem was solved using temperature maps created from tomato leaf segmented pictures. Figure 8 depicts the unique tomato leaf samples and the temperature maps created on segmented tomato leaf segments. Figure 8 illustrates how the networks learn from the leaf pictures in the segmented leaf, which increases the reliability of the network’s decisions. Doing so contributes to disproving the notion that CNN makes choices based on irrelevant variables and is
untrustworthy (Schlemper et al., 2019). Figure 9 further illustrates how segmentation has assisted in categorization, with the network learning from the area of attention resulting from segmentation. Using this reliable learning method, we could classify erroneous information correctly. Comparing segmented pictures to non-segmented images, we found that division assisted in knowledge and making judgments from germane areas associated with non-segmented imageries see Figure 10.

Discussion

Plant diseases pose a substantial danger to the global food supply. The agricultural industry requires cutting-edge technology for disease control, which is currently unavailable. The application of technologies based on artificial intelligence to the identification of plant diseases is currently the subject of intensive research. Popularity of computer vision-based disease detection systems can be attributed to their durability, ease of data collection, and quick turnaround time. In this study, classification and segmentation of tomato leaf images are used to evaluate the performance of model scaling CNN-based architectures relative to their predecessors. The initial categorization (Healthy and Unhealthy) employed a two-category classification; subsequently, a six-category classification was employed (Healthy, Fungi Bacteria Mould Virus, and Mite). Prior to the completion of the final classification, a preliminary two-class classification (Healthy and Unhealthy) was utilized (Healthy, Early blight, Septoria leaf spot, Target spot, Leaf mold, Bacterial spot, Late bright mold, Tomato Yellow Leaf Curl Virus, Tomato Mosaic Virus, and Two-spotted spider mite). This study determined that the InceptionNet1 model was the most successful across all classes, outperforming all others with the exception of binary and segmented image classification, which the InceptionNet1 model outperformed. Utilizing segmented photographs and binary classification, this model outperformed all others in binary classification and 6-class classification using segmented images. This model performed significantly better than other models at classifying segmented 6-class images.

InceptionNet1 has an overall accuracy of 99.5% when using segmented images to classify sick and healthy tomato leaves into two classes. Using a 6-class classification method, the InceptionNet1 algorithm achieves an overall accuracy of 99.12%, according to the study’s findings. InceptionNet3 demonstrated an overall accuracy of 99.89 percent on a 10-class classification test involving segmented images and photos. Table 8 highlights the article’s findings, which are comparable to the current state of knowledge in their respective fields of study. The Plant Village dataset utilized in this study consists of images captured in a variety of environments; however, it was collected in a specific location and only contained images of specific tomato varieties. Using a dataset consisting of images of tomato plant varieties from around the world, a study was conducted to develop a more robust framework for identifying early illness in tomato plants. In addition, due to their simpler design, CNN models may be useful for testing portable solutions with non-linearity in the removal layer feature.

Conclusions

This study presents the outcomes of a CNN built on the recently proposed Inception Net CNN architecture. The CNN model was effective, and which accurately assign a class label to
FIGURE 9
Visual Results of Proposed Modified U-Net CNN model.

FIGURE 10
Proposed Model Comparison with state-of-the-artwork.
a tomato leaf image as healthy or non-healthy. The reported results were obtained using the benchmark publicly available Plant Village dataset (Hughes and Salathe, 2015), demonstrating that our model outperforms a number of current deep learning techniques. Compared to other architectures, modified U-net was superior at separating leaf images from the background. In addition, InceptionNet1 was superior to other designs in removing high-priority features from snaps.

In addition, when the systems were trained with a greater number of parameters, their overall performance significantly improved. Using trained models may allow for the automated and early detection of plant diseases. Professionals require years of training and experience to diagnose an illness through a visual examination, but anyone can utilize our methodology, regardless of their level of experience or expertise. If there are any new users, the network will operate in the background, receiving input from the visual camera and immediately notifying them of the result so they can take the appropriate action. As a result, preventative measures may be taken sooner rather than later. Utilizing new technologies such as intelligent drone cameras, advanced mobile phones, and robotics, this research could aid in the early and automated detection of diseases in tomato crops. By combining the proposed framework with a feedback system that provides beneficial recommendations, cures, control measurement, and disease management, it is possible to increase crop yields. Work will be expanded to evaluate the performance of the proposed method in an embedded system and camera-based real-time application. The real-time system will be a hardware product that, after training with deep learning, will monitor and predict the health of plants.
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### TABLE 8 Proposed model performance comparison with other state-of-the-art work.

| Article                  | Classification | Dataset         | Accuracy | Precision | Recall | F1 score | Result       |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|--------|----------|--------------|
| (Agarwal et al., 2020)   | Multi-Class (10) | Plant village   | 90.98%   | 89%       | 91.97% | 90.96%   | Non segmented |
| (G Irmak and Saygili, 2020) | Multi-Class (10) | Plant village   | 93.55%   | 93.93%    | 95.69  | 93.91%   | Segmented    |
| (Zhang et al., 2018)     | Multi-Class (10) | Custom          | 94.12%   | 94.72%    | 94.35% | 94.64%   | Non segmented |
| (Dookae et al., 2021)    | Binary          | Custom          | 86.10%   | 86.44%    | 86.37  | 86.41%   | Non segmented |
| Proposed study           | Binary          | Plant village   | 99.97%   | 99.11%    | 99.96% | 99.93%   | Segmented    |
|                          | Multi-Class (6) | Plant village   | 99.22%   | 99.19%    | 99.20% | 99.17%   | Segmented    |
|                          | Multi-Class (10)| Plant village   | 99.91%   | 99.31%    | 99.29% | 99.30%   | Segmented    |
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