The interactive association between heat shock factor 1 and heat shock proteins in primary myocardial cells subjected to heat stress
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Abstract. Heat shock factor 1 (HSF1) is a heat shock transcription factor that rapidly induces heat shock gene transcription following thermal stress. In this study, we subjected primary neonatal rat myocardial cells to heat stress in vitro to create a model system for investigating the trends in expression and association between various heat shock proteins (HSPs) and HSF1 under adverse environmental conditions. After the cells were subjected to heat stress at 42°C for different periods of time, HSP and HSF1 mRNA and protein levels were detected by qPCR and western blot analysis in the heat-stressed cells. The HSF1 expression levels significantly increased in the cells following 120 min of exposure to heat stress compared to the levels observed at the beginning of heat stress exposure. HSP90 followed a similar trend in expression to HSF1, whereas HSP70 followed an opposite trend. However, no significant changes were observed in the crystallin, alpha B (CRYAB, also known as HSP beta-5) expression levels during the 480-min period of exposure to heat stress. The interaction between the HSPs and HSF1 was analyzed by STRING 9.1, and it was found that HSF1 interacted with HSP90 and HSP70, and that it did not play a role in regulating CRYAB expression. Based on our findings, HSP70 may suppress HSF1 in rat myocardial cells under conditions of heat stress. Furthermore, our data demonstrate that HSF1 is not the key factor for all HSPs, and this was particularly the case for CRYAB.

Introduction

In animals, different types of stress such as heat, transportation and chemical factors contribute to lethal pathological symptoms related to cardiovascular diseases, such as cardiac arrhythmia, seizure or hypovolemic shock with tachycardia and, eventually, circulatory collapse (1-3). In the clinical diagnosis and treatment of heat stroke, approximately 25% of patients experience failure of ≥ 1 organ systems. In mammals, sudden death may occur as a result of stress-induced damage to cardiac tissue and myocardial cells (4,5).

Heat shock proteins (HSPs) are ubiquitously expressed and highly conserved in prokaryotes and eukaryotes (6). HSP family members are molecular chaperones that are important for the regulation of several fundamental cellular processes under normal conditions (7). However, they also play a protective role during pathological processes (8,9). HSPs play an important role in intracellular protein transport, cytoskeletal architecture, mutation masking, regulation of translation, intracellular redox homeostasis and protection against spontaneous or induced programmed cell death (10).

HSP70, a member of the HSP70 family, is associated with enhanced post-ischemic myocardial recovery in adult rat hearts and with the reduction of infarct size (11). It interacts with other proteins and maintains or alters their conformational states (12). Under normal conditions, heat shock factor 1 (HSF1) presents as an HSF-HSP70 heterodimer; however, HSF1 has been shown to interact with HSP70 under stress conditions (13).

HSP90, which belongs to the HSP90 family, and as previously demonstrated, does not act generally in nascent protein folding (14). At the molecular level, HSP90 binds to substrate proteins, which are in a near-native state and thus at a late stage of folding (15), poised for activation by ligand binding or interaction with other factors (16). Defects in cell physiology caused by HSP90 disruption lead to tissue- and organism-level defects. HSP90 is essential for various cellular processes, such as protein folding, protein degradation, signal transduction cascades and morphological evolution. HSP90 affinity chromatography experiments have indicated that HSP90 interacts with HSF1 in human cells (17).

HSP60, which belongs to the HSP60 family, is anti-apoptotic and provides protection against cell death by maintaining mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (18-20). HSP60 is typically located in the mitochondria of eukaryotic cells (21).
It assists in the protection against protein aggregation (22) and in transporting proteins from the cytoplasm to organelles (23).

Crystallin, alpha B (CRYAB, also known as HSP beta-5) is a member of the small HSP family (24) that has chaperone-like properties, including the ability to prevent the accumulation of denatured proteins and increase cell tolerance to stress. Following its induction by cellular stresses, including heat and reactive oxygen species, CRYAB promotes cell survival and inhibits apoptosis (25). HSP induction in the myocardium may be a cardioprotective cellular response (26).

Previous studies have indicated that the dramatic increase in HSP expression is a key part of the heat shock response, which is primarily controlled by HSFs (27,28). HSF1 is a major transcriptional regulator of HSPs, existing as a trimer with constitutive DNA binding activity (29). In the absence of cellular stress, HSF1 is repressed through its association with HSP. However, in response to stress, HSF1 binds to specific sequences in HSP promoters and stimulates HSP expression (30). The question as to whether HSF1 can trigger all HSPs remains unanswered. In order to address this intriguing question, in this study, we detected the levels of HSPs and HSF1 in heat-stressed rat myocardial cells in vitro and analyzed and compared the data using STRING (version 9.1) to determine the association between HSF1 and HSPs.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and exposure to heat. Primary neonatal rat myocardial cells were provided by Shanghai Fu Meng Gene Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, No. 11965-084) 10%, supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (No. 10270-098) were purchased from Gibco, Thermo Scientific, Shanghai, China, at 37°C in 5% CO2 for 3 days; the viability was >85%. The cells were divided into different experimental groups, each consisting of 9 cell culture plates. Heat-stressed cells were exposed to heat at 42°C, whereas the control cells were exposed to a normal temperature of 37°C. One plate from each group was removed from the incubator at the start of the experiment (0 min) and after 10, 20, 40, 60, 120, 240, 360 and 480 min.

Semi-quantitative detection of HSP and HSF1 expression levels by western blot analysis. The heat-stressed cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) 3 times, and proteins were extracted by lysis in sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel Laemmli sample buffer. The protein extracts were boiled for 5 min prior to loading equal amounts of protein (10 µg) for 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes by electrotransfer and the membranes were blocked with 5% skimmed milk in Tris-buffered saline [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 137 mM NaCl] containing 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST) for 1 h at room temperature. The membranes were incubated with anti-rat HSF1 monoclonal antibody [1:1,000; ab61382; Abcam Trading (UK) Company Ltd., anti-rat HSP90 monoclonal antibody [ab79849; Abcam Trading (UK) Company Ltd.], anti-rat HSP70 monoclonal antibody [1:1,000; ab5442; Abcam Trading (UK) Company Ltd.], anti-rat CRYAB monoclonal antibody [1:1,000; ab13496; Abcam Trading (UK) Company Ltd.], or anti-rat β-actin (ACTB) monoclonal antibody [1:1,000, ab8224; Abcam Trading (UK) Company Ltd.] for 16 h at 4°C. After washing with TBST, the membranes were incubated with peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G at room temperature for 1 h, and the antibody-antigen complexes were detected using Western Blotting Luminol reagent (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Bands on the developed film were quantified using Quantity One software version 4.6.2 (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The intensity of each band was normalized to that of β-actin.

Total RNA isolation and reverse transcription-PCR. Total RNA was isolated from the cells in the experimental and control groups using TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Trizol-RNAiso Plus reagent, D9108A; Takara, China). The RNA concentrations were measured at 260 nm using a spectrophotometer (M200PRO; Tecan, Austria). Serial dilutions of RNA were prepared with ribonuclease-free water; 2 µg of each sample were reverse transcribed using a Transcriptor Moloney murine leukemia virus (M-MLV) kit (Invitrogen, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and stored at -80°C until use. Random decamers and oligo(dT) were obtained from a RETROscript kit (AM1710; Ambion, Austin, TX, USA).

Primers. Primers were designed to anneal specifically to each target mRNA. HSF1, HSP90, HSP70, CRYAB and β-actin mRNA sequences were obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (Bethesda, MD, USA) GenBank database (accession nos: NC_005108.2, NP_077369.1 and NC_005111.2). The primers were designed using Primer Premier 5.0 software for conventional and reverse transcription-PCR amplification. The sequences were as follows: HSF1 sense, 5'-ACCCACGGCCTCTGCGTCTG-3' and antisense, 5'-TTCCCACTCGGCTCCAGCA-3'; HSP90 sense, 5'-CCGGTGGCGTATCTCAGCT-3' and antisense, 5'-TCCAGACGTCTGAGGGATGTTGGA-3'; HSP70 sense, 5'-GTCCCTCTAGAGCCCAAACCAT-3' and antisense, 5'-ACGTGTGTTCTAGTGGAAAGCCACA-3'; CRYAB sense, 5'-CCGTCCGGCTGGATCCGGTTACT-3' and antisense, 5'-CAGCAAGAGCCCGACGACAGA-3'; β-actin sense, 5'-CCCATCTATGAGGAGTGTGTTCT-3' and antisense, 5'-CCCATCTATGAGGAGTGTGTTCT-3'. The expected lengths of the HSF1, HSP90, HSP70, CRYAB and β-actin PCR products were 153, 214, 124, 153 and 128 bp, respectively. Primers were synthesized by Invitrogen.

Quantitative (real-time) PCR (qPCR). Each DNA sample (2 µl, 25X dilution) was suspended in 2X SYBR Premix ExTaqTM (DRR041S; Takara, China) with 25 pmol of each sense and antisense primer, and double distilled water was added to a total volume of 25 µl. qPCR was performed using an ABI 7300 Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems Foster City, CA, USA). The thermal profile was established according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, this protocol consisted of enzyme activation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 5 sec, and annealing and elongation at 52°C for 30 sec. For each run, a negative control tube without DNA was run along with the experimental samples. A 2-fold dilution series of the template was used in the qPCR assays. The HSPs and HSF1 mRNA expression levels of all samples were normalized using the following formula: relative
quantity of HSF1/HSP mRNA = $2^{-\Delta\Delta\text{Ct}}$, where $\Delta\Delta\text{Ct} = [(C_{\text{HSF1/HSP}}\text{mRNA} - C_{\beta\text{-actin}}\text{mRNA})_{\text{test group}} - (C_{\text{HSF1/HSP}}\text{mRNA} - C_{\beta\text{-actin}}\text{mRNA})_{\text{control group}}]$.

**Analysis of HSF1 and HSP interaction.** We used the STRING (version 9.1) database (http://string-db.org/), which aims to provide a global perspective for as many organisms as feasible. The database scores and integrates known and predicted associations, resulting in comprehensive protein networks covering >1,100 organisms (31).

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis of the differences between the experimental group and control group values was performed using one-way analysis of variance followed by the Duncan's multiple comparison test with SPSS version 20.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). A value of $P<0.05$ was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference when the experimental groups were compared with the controls. The values reported are the means ± SD. Three replicates were used for all experiments ($n=3$).

**Results**

**HSF and HSF1 expression under heat stress conditions.** We detected and measured the expression levels of HSPs (HSP90, HSP70, CRYAB) and HSF1 by western blot analysis (Fig. 1). The results revealed that HSF1 expression was significantly decreased ($P<0.01$) in response to heat stress for up to 120 min (Fig. 1). Following exposure to heat stress for 240 min or longer, the HSF1 expression levels gradually and significantly increased, and remained elevated following 480 min of exposure to heat stress (Fig. 1). Compared with the control group, HSP90 expression decreased following exposure of the cells to heat stress for 10 to 40 min, and then increased from 60 to 480 min of exposure to heat stress, demonstrating an expression trend similar to that of HSF1 (Fig. 1). However, the expression of HSP70 in the experimental group was decreased at 10 and 40 min of exposure to heat stress ($P<0.05$), and then further decreased significantly after 120 min of exposure to heat stress ($P<0.01$) until the time point of 480 min of exposure to heat stress (Fig. 1). The expression of HSP70 followed an opposite trend to that of HSF1. In contrast to the other HSPs, the CRYAB levels did not show any significant changes during the 480 min of exposure to heat stress (Fig. 1).

**HSF1 and HSP mRNA mRNA levels under heat stress conditions.** The mRNA levels of HSF1 and HSPs are shown in Fig. 2. The mRNA expression of $\beta$-actin was not altered in response to heat stress (data not shown). The HSF1 mRNA levels increased rapidly and in a stepwise manner throughout the time course of exposure to heat stress and reached maximal levels (3-fold induction) after 480 min of exposure to heat stress (Fig. 2). The mRNA expression levels of HSP90 increased after 10 min of exposure to heat stress and reached maximal levels after 240 min of exposure to heat stress ($P<0.01$; Fig. 2). All other time periods of exposure to heat stress resulted in significantly higher mRNA levels of HSP90 compared to the controls ($P<0.01$; Fig. 2). The HSP70 mRNA levels increased significantly following 10 min of exposure to heat stress and continued to increase thereafter ($P<0.01$), reaching maximal levels at 360 min of exposure (Fig. 2). All the heat stress-associated genes were present at higher levels in the heat-stressed cells compared to the control group. The mRNA levels of CRYAB were also markedly increased in the heat-stressed myocardial cells ($P<0.01$; Fig. 2).

**Association between HSF1 and HSPs.** According to the rat data on the STRING database (version 9.1), HSF1 interacted with HSP70 and HSP90 (Fig. 3A). In the confidence view on the STRING database (Fig. 3B), a thicker line represented the association between HSF1 and HSP70 (indicating a stronger interaction) than that between HSF1 and HSP90. The interaction of HSF1 with HSP60 was not as strong as that between HSP70 and HSP90. However, there appeared to be no interaction between HSF1 and CRYAB. Under normal conditions in rats, HSF1 is not...
co-expressed with any of the HSPs (Fig. 3C). However, there is evidence in other species that HSF1 is co-expressed with HSP70 (Homo sapiens). Of note, western blot analysis revealed that the protein expression levels of HSF1 followed a similar trend to those of HSP90 following exposure to heat stress, although the expression of HSP70 followed an opposite trend (Fig. 1). It has been suggested that HSP70 represses HSF1 during heat stress (12). Furthermore, a previous study indicated that HSF1...
is not the sole factor for HSP60 in rats [Buriro et al (36)]. Furthermore, data analysis confirmed that the expression levels of CRYAB were inconsistent with those of HSF1.

**Discussion**

The 'heat shock response' was first described in 1964 in *Drosophila* by Ritossa (32) and is characterized by the increased expression of a particular protein superfamily, HSPs. HSPs account for up to 2-3% of the total cellular proteins at their baseline level, and their expression is strongly induced upon cellular stress (33,34). This induction is mediated by a specific family of transcription factors, the HSFs. According to our results, the mRNA levels of HSF1 and HSPs markedly increased from the onset of heat stress (10 min) compared with the control group. It has been demonstrated that heat stress induces HSP gene folding (35), and our results are consistent with this finding. However, the HSF1 protein expression levels were not simultaneously increased as were the *HSF1* mRNA levels during heat stress. These findings may be associated with the fact that a single HSF1 phosphorylation event has been shown to result in the rapid aggregation of HSF1 into a trimer that can bind to HSE and initiate the transcription process (36-39). The expression levels of HSP90 were also not consistent with its mRNA levels. The lack of a correlation between the mRNA levels of HSP90 and the protein levels of HSP90 may be related to the complex post-transcriptional mechanisms that are involved in turning mRNAs into proteins (40).

In the present study, the HSP70 protein levels decreased from 10 to 480 min of exposure to heat stress, except after 20 min of exposure to heat stress, which was also not consistent with its mRNA levels. It has been reported that HSP70 reduces the infarct size in an *in vivo* transgenic mouse model of myocardial ischemia and reperfusion (41). HSP70 may interact with damaged proteins to play a protective role in rat primary myocardial cells. In this study, in contrast to its mRNA levels, the CRYAB protein expression levels were...
not significantly altered during 480 min of exposure to heat stress, which suggests that the protein expression was delayed or outpaced due to the rapid consumption of CRYAB at the onset of heat stress. CRYAB is required for myocardial cell balance in response to stress (42). In our study, the mRNA levels of HSF1, HSP90, HSP70 and CRYAB increased significantly in primary neonatal rat myocardial cells in vitro following exposure to heat stress, and these factors may act as important markers in response to adverse environmental conditions in the heart (4).

Heat stress can contribute to protein misfolding, which in turn can trigger the stress response, leading to HSP expression (43). The transcription factor HSF1 induces HSP expression when the environmental temperature rises above the physiological range (44). HSF1 is inactive under normal conditions and is present in a heterocomplex with HSPs (45). Other studies, as well as ours, have observed different HSPs in normal and heat-stressed rat myocardial cells (46,47). In the present study, HSF1 levels were increased after 120 min from 240 min of heat stress onwards. However, HSP70 expression tended to decrease following exposure to heat stress, particularly after 120 min of exposure to heat. STRING analysis determined that HSP70 was more closely connected with HSF1 than the other HSPs. Evidently, our findings indicate that HSF1 does not induce HSP70 expression in primary neonatal rat myocardial cells in vitro. It has been suggested that HSP70 is a major HSF1 repressor in human cells (48). Non-native proteins that accumulate under stress conditions may compete with HSF1 to bind to the chaperone heat shock (cognate) protein 70 (Hsp/c70), and unbound HSF1 may homotomerize and acquire transcriptional competence (12,13). HSP70 prevents the in vitro conversion of HSF1 from a non-DNA-binding form to a DNA-binding form. It has also been suggested that HSP70 may play a regulatory role in HSF activation (12). The results of the present study indicated that HSP70 plays a repressive role, acting with HSF1 in rat heart cells in vitro. As shown in Fig. 3C, HSP70 is not co-expressed with other proteins in rats; however, the opposite is true in other species. In addition, it was observed that HSF2 interacts with HSP70 (Fig. 4A). Previous studies have confirmed that paternal HSF2 modifies endogenous HSP70.1 expression (49). However, the specific mechanisms through which HSF2 interacts with HSP70 in rat myocardial cells remain to be investigated.

HSP90 is a major soluble cellular protein and is most commonly located in the cytoplasm. It is considered a key factor at the crossovers between genetics and epigenetics, and it has been postulated that it is a capacitor for phenotypic variation and morphological evolution (50). The interaction between HSF1 and HSP90 appears to be dynamic (48). In the present study, HSP90 expression followed a similar trend to that of HSF1, suggesting that HSF1 plays a role in regulating HSP90. In human cells, a HSP90-containing HSF1 complex is formed during stress (48). Therefore, HSF1 may bind with HSP90 under stress conditions to play a protective role. In the present study (Fig. 4A), the interaction between HSF2 and HSP90 was very weak. Therefore, further confirmation of the mechanisms involved in this interaction is required. In the highly crowded cellular environment, different chaperones follow distinct strategies to achieve the general goal of preventing protein misfolding and aggregation. As a member of the small HSP family, CRYAB acts as a molecular chaperone involved in increasing cellular tolerance to stress. In vertebrates, CRYAB expression has been detected in the heart, eyes, lungs, liver and several other tissues under normal conditions (51,52). CRYAB appears to be constitutively expressed in unstressed cells and is essential for maintaining cell homeostasis. It acts as a molecular chaperone to facilitate polypeptide transport, folding and assembly (51). In a previous study, the adenovirus-mediated transgenic overexpression of CRYAB revealed that in myocardial cells, the protein protects microtubules from acute ischemic damage (53). Whether HSFs can induce CRYAB expression in rat cardiac cells under stress conditions was one of the questions addressed in the present study. We found that the trend for CRYAB expression was not consistent with that of HSF1. Additionally, STRING analysis determined that CRYAB did not interact with either HSF1 or HSF2 (Figs. 3 and 4). It may interact with intermediate filaments to protect cytoskeletal organization in cardiomyocytes (24). In cardiomyocytes, CRYAB is localized within the I-band and M-line region of myofibrils, and appears to be involved in the organization of cytoskeletal structures (54). As shown in Fig. 4B, CRYAB binds with desmin and vimentin in rat cells in vitro, and may be co-expressed with desmin under certain conditions (Fig. 4C), suggesting that CRYAB may combine with desmin to play a protective role under stress conditions.

In conclusion, HSF1 is not the key HSF for all HSPs, particularly CRYAB, in rat myocardial cells in vitro. Moreover, HSF1 may play different roles in its interaction with HSPs in different species or cell types. STRING analysis confirmed our previous finding that HSF1 is not the sole factor for HSP60 (36).
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