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ABSTRACT

We present an analysis of the XMM-Newton and Chandra ACIS-S observations of the LINER nucleus of the Sombrero galaxy, and we discuss possible explanations for its very sub-Eddington luminosity by complementing the X-ray results with high angular resolution observations in other bands. The X-ray investigation shows a hard ($\Gamma = 1.89$) and moderately absorbed ($N_{H} = 1.8 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$) nuclear source of $1.5 \times 10^{40}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ in the 2–10 keV band, surrounded by hot gas at a temperature of $\sim$0.6 keV. The bolometric nuclear luminosity is at least $\sim$200 times lower than expected if mass accreted on the supermassive black hole, which shows to reside at the center of this galaxy, at the rate predicted by the spherical and adiabatic Bondi accretion theory and with the high radiative efficiency of a standard accretion disk. The low luminosity, coupled to the observed absence of Fe K emission in the nuclear spectrum, indicates that such a disk is not present. This nucleus also differs from bright unobscured active galactic nuclei in the lack of high flux variability and prominent broad H$\alpha$ emission. However, it is also too faint for the predictions of simple radiatively inefficient accretion taking place at the Bondi rate; it could instead be too radio bright for radiatively inefficient accretion that includes strong mass outflows or convection. This discrepancy could be solved by the possible presence of nuclear radio jets. An alternative explanation of the low luminosity, in place of radiative inefficiency, could be unsteady accretion.

Subject headings: galaxies: active — galaxies: individual (NGC 4594) — galaxies: nuclei — X-rays: galaxies — X-rays: ISM

1. INTRODUCTION

Nearby galactic spheroids are believed to host supermassive black holes (SMBHs; e.g., Kormendy & Richstone 1995; Richstone et al. 1998). However, only low-level LINER activity (Ho, Filippenko, & Sargent 1997) or no sign of activity are almost the rule for these systems. This radiative quiescence represents one of the most intriguing aspects of SMBHs in the nearby universe. The Sombrero galaxy (NGC 4594) is well known to host a SMBH at its nucleus, the mass of which ($\sim 10^9 M_\odot$) has been established directly by Hubble Space Telescope (HST) measurements (Kormendy et al. 1996). The nucleus of Sombrero is also a LINER 2 (Heckman 1980; Ho et al. 1997), a compact and variable radio continuum source (e.g., Hummel, van der Hulst, & Dickey 1984; Bajaja et al. 1988), and a pointlike source in an HST high-resolution image (Crane et al. 1993). Thanks to its proximity ($d = 9.4$ Mpc; Ajhar et al. 1997) and the availability of high angular resolution observations, this nucleus represents an ideal case to study in detail how the modality of accretion changes from high-luminosity to low-luminosity active galactic nuclei (AGNs). The answer to this question is key to understanding the history of accretion in the universe (e.g., Haiman, Ciotti, & Ostriker 2003). Sombrero was first detected in X-rays with Einstein. Although its X-ray luminosity was included in a list of LINERs (Halpern & Steiner 1983), subsequent, more detailed work showed an extended source (see Forman, Jones, & Tucker 1985; Fabbiano, Kim, & Trinchieri 1992). A ROSAT HRI image detected a luminous, possibly variable, pointlike source associated with the nucleus (Fabbiano & Juda 1997), with a (0.1–2.4) keV luminosity of $\sim 9 \times 10^{39}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ (when rescaled for the distance adopted here). ASCA and BeppoSAX spectra suggest the presence of a moderately absorbed power law (of photon index $\Gamma \sim 1.9$), as well as a softer thermal component of $kT \sim 0.6$ keV (Nicholson et al. 1998; Terashima et al. 2002; Pellegrini et al. 2002). Upper limits of 150 and 260 eV were placed on the equivalent widths of an Fe K line at 6.4 and 6.7 keV, respectively. A Chandra snapshot exposure showed an image dominated by the nucleus (Ho et al. 2001), the spectrum of which was again found to be consistent with a moderately absorbed hard power law (Pellegrini et al. 2002).

Comparison of the X-ray, H$\alpha$, and radio emission of the nuclear source with those of bright AGNs suggests that it may be a low-luminosity version of the latter (Fabbiano & Juda 1997; Pellegrini et al. 2002). However, the shape of the whole spectral energy distribution of this nucleus compares well with those of other low-luminosity AGNs (Ho 1999), all of which reveal the absence of a big blue bump.

If the nuclear X-ray emission is due to accretion onto the SMBH, its luminosity is extremely sub-Eddington [$L(2–10$ keV) $\sim 10^{-7}L_{\text{Edd}}$; Pellegrini et al. 2002]. This fact, coupled with the absence of an Fe K detection, suggest that this nucleus may be a good test case for radiatively inefficient accretion (Rees et al. 1982; Narayan & Yi 1995). It is
also interesting to compare this nucleus to other recently studied sub-Eddington nuclei of “normal” elliptical galaxies, such as IC 1459 (Fabbiano et al. 2003) and NGC 1399, NGC 4636, and NGC 4472 (Loewenstein et al. 2001).

We report here a study of the nucleus of the Sombrero galaxy based on deep XMM and Chandra observations. XMM’s excellent sensitivity at both soft and hard X-rays allows us to measure accurately the hard power law component and set stringent limits on the Fe K emission. The high-resolution archival Chandra ACIS-S observation allows us to study the circumnuclear region and constrain the amount of fuel available to feed the nucleus. With both results, we obtain a more complete picture of the physical state of this X-ray–faint LINER nucleus.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA PREPARATION

Table 1 summarizes the observing log. The Sombrero galaxy was observed by XMM-Newton during revolution 376 (PI: Fabbiano; ObsID 0084030101) on 2001 December 28 for a total exposure time of 40 ks, and with Chandra ACIS-S (PI: Murray; ObsID 1586) on 2001 May 31 for a total of 18.7 ks.

The XMM-Newton data were processed using the Science Analysis Software (XMM-SAS) version 5.3. The event files were “cleaned” of background flares, caused by soft (less than a few hundred keV) protons hitting the detector surface. These flares were found by analyzing the light curves at energies greater than 10 keV (in order to avoid contributions from real X-ray source variability) and setting a threshold for good time intervals at 0.35 counts s\(^{-1}\) from real X-ray source variability. The high-resolution archival Chandra ACIS-S observation allows us to study the circumnuclear region and constrain the amount of fuel available to feed the nucleus. With both results, we obtain a more complete picture of the physical state of this X-ray–faint LINER nucleus.

An EPIC XMM-Newton view of the Sombrero galaxy is shown in Figure 1. The image shows a prominent pointlike nuclear source surrounded by diffuse emission and several off-nuclear pointlike sources (most likely luminous galactic X-ray binaries).

To investigate the spatial properties of the nuclear emission, we have compared the radial profile of the nuclear source with the EPIC point-response function (PSF; Fig. 2) normalized to the detected counts in the central 1\(^{''}\) bin around the nucleus. The radial profile agrees well with the PSF out to a radius of \(\sim 7^{''}-8^{''}\). At larger radii, the contribution of nonnuclear emission becomes important. Given the presence of both pointlike and extended emission, we have performed spectral analyses on the counts extracted from two circles of different radii (20\(^{''}\) and 7\(^{''}\)) centered on the nucleus, and compared the results to assess the uncertainty on the spectral parameters of the nuclear source resulting from Galactic contamination. The 20\(^{''}\) radius corresponds to \(\sim 0.9\) kpc at the distance of the galaxy and to an encircled energy fraction (EEF) of 0.75; the 7\(^{''}\) radius corresponds to a physical size of \(\sim 0.3\) kpc and to an EEF of 0.42. In both cases, the background was extracted from a source-free circular region outside the optical galaxy, having a radius of 80\(^{''}\).

We used both EPIC MOS and pn data, co-adding MOS1 and MOS2 spectra, to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio of the data. The latest versions of the response matrices (ver. 6.2) were used for the fit. The spectra were rebinned in order to have at least 20 counts for each energy bin, and we used XSPEC for the spectral analysis. We fitted together the MOS and pn data, leaving their relative normalization free to vary.

Tables 2 and 3 summarize the results of the spectral analysis. All the errors are quoted at 90% for two interesting parameters. We used two different models: a simple absorbed power law model [XSPEC model \(wabs(zwabs(pow))\), where \(wabs\) is fixed at the Galactic absorbing column of \(N_{\text{H}} = 3.7 \times 10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\); Stark et al. 1992] and a composite power law plus thermal model \(wabs\text{[mekal+zwabs(pow)]}\), with abundance fixed at 0.5 solar, in order to model the emission from a possible extended circumnuclear hot interstellar medium.

The simple power-law fit gives a \(\chi^2\) of 556.9 with 527 degrees of freedom (corresponding to a probability of 17.8%) for the 20\(^{''}\) data, and a \(\chi^2\) of 330.2 with 320 degrees of freedom (probability of 33.6%) for the 7\(^{''}\) data. The best-fit photon index is the same within the errors for the two spectra (\(\Gamma = 1.89 \pm 0.03\) and 1.88\(^{+0.05}_{-0.04}\), respectively). It is consistent with that obtained from BeppoSAX data and is somewhat higher than, although still marginally consistent with, that found from a spectral analysis of the nucleus with the snapshot ACIS-S exposure (Pellegrini et al. 2002). The intrinsic absorbing column density is only moderate; it increases for the smaller extraction radius [going from (1.3 \(\pm\) 0.1) to (1.8 \(\pm\) 0.1) \(\times 10^{21}\) cm\(^{-2}\)], which suggests contamination by a soft, possibly thermal component outside the 7\(^{''}\) radius. The \(N_{\text{H}}\) from the 7\(^{''}\) data is consistent with
that from the analysis of the snapshot ACIS-S exposure and marginally higher than the BeppoSAX value, which could easily be due to the difference in the extraction radius.

The power law + thermal model fit gives a better representation of the 20" spectrum, with a $\chi^2$ of 537.3 for 525 degrees of freedom (probability of 34.6%). An $F$-test demonstrates that this improvement is significant ($F$-test significance $\sim 10^{-4}$). We find no improvement instead for the 7" spectrum, where we obtain a $\chi^2$ of 326 for 318 degrees of freedom (probability of 36.6%). In both cases, the intrinsic $N_H$ and the photon index are consistent with those obtained from the simple power-law fit. The best-fit temperature of the thermal component is $kT = 0.61$ keV. Not surprisingly, the 20" fit returns a larger value for the luminosity of this component. The 20" and 7" spectra, their modeling and fit residuals, and the confidence contours for the intrinsic $N_H$, $kT$, and $\Gamma$ are shown in Figures 3 and 4.

Adding to the absorbed power law model an iron Kα emission line at 6.4 keV, for the 7" spectrum, does not improve the fit. We can set an upper limit on the equivalent width (EW) of this line of 145 eV for a narrow line, and of 296 eV for a broad Gaussian line with line width $\sigma = 0.43$ keV (as found on average for Seyfert 1 galaxies by Nandra et al. 1997b).

We have not used the 18.7 ks exposure ACIS-S data for the spectral analysis of the nucleus because they are strongly affected by pileup.

### 4. MASS ACCRETION RATE

While pileup makes the interpretation of Chandra data ambiguous for the nuclear source, thanks to the very sharp Chandra PSF, these data provide unique information on the circumnuclear region, as near as 2" from the nucleus, corresponding to less than 100 pc at the distance of Sombrero. Figure 5 shows a view of the central regions of this galaxy resulting from the 18.7 ks ACIS-S exposure; the image has...
been obtained with adaptive smoothing, i.e., after applying a tool that smooths a two-dimensional image with a circular Gaussian kernel of varying size (here, from 1 to 20 pixels).

The high-resolution ACIS-S data allow us to derive the density and temperature profile of the interstellar matter in the surroundings of the nuclear SMBH by using a deprojection technique implemented within XSPEC. Spectra extracted from three elliptical annuli centered on the nucleus were compared with the spectra expected from the superposition along the line of sight of the emission coming from the corresponding ellipsoidal shells. The elliptical annuli have a major/minor axis ratio of 1.5, equal to that of Sombrero’s bulge. To avoid contamination from the nucleus, we chose as the inner boundary of the first annulus an ellipse with a semimajor axis of 3.33 and semimajor axis of 5”. The outer boundary of the second annulus has a semimajor axis of 6” and a semimajor axis of 9”. The third annulus has as the outer boundary an ellipse with a semimajor axis of 10.67 and a semimajor axis of 16”. From these annuli we subtracted clearly visible point sources. Assuming that the emission comes from hot gas plus residual contamination from unresolved binaries (see, e.g., Kim & Fabbiano 2003), we obtained deprojected density and temperature profiles by fitting together the spectra of the three annuli with the XSPEC model `project+wabs(raymond+powerlaw)`. The power-law index was fixed at $\Gamma = 1.9$, `wabs` was fixed at the Galactic value and the temperature and normalizations were free parameters. The resulting temperature and density profiles are shown in Figure 6.

1 See http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/ahelp/csmooth.html.

The above calculation was next used to derive an estimate of the mass supply rate for accretion on the SMBH, by applying the Bondi (1952) theory of steady, spherical and adiabatic accretion. This requires $T$ and $n$ at “infinity,” in practice near the accretion radius (which in the case of Sombrero is $r_A = GM_{BH}/c_s^2 \approx 30$ pc, where $c_s$ is the sound speed). The inner radius of the innermost annulus (≈90 pc) is a factor of ≈3 larger than $r_A$. Moreover, the temperature and density profiles show a sharp positive gradient toward smaller radii. Therefore, in order to have an estimate of the Bondi mass accretion rate ($M_{\text{Bondi}}$), an extrapolation of the $kT$ and $n$ values at $r_A$ is required. Since the uncertainties on these values are large (Fig. 6), we derived for $M_{\text{Bondi}}$ the maximum range allowed by the uncertainties, via a linear extrapolation of the outer $T$, $n$ data that also considers their error bars. From the formula

$$M_{\text{Bondi}} = 6.2 \times 10^{33} M_\odot \sqrt{T_{0.8} n_{0.15}} \text{ g s}^{-1},$$

where $M_\odot$ is the SMBH mass in units of $10^9 M_\odot$ (for Sombrero $M_{BH} \approx 10^9 M_\odot$; Kormendy et al. 1996), $T_{0.8}$ is the temperature in units of 0.8 keV, and $n_{0.15}$ is the density in units of 0.15 cm$^{-3}$ (see, e.g., eq. [3] of Di Matteo et al. 2003), we found that $M_{\text{Bondi,min}} \approx 0.008 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ and $M_{\text{Bondi,max}} \approx 0.067 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. The best-fit temperature and density values of the innermost annulus ($kT = 0.65$ keV; $n = 0.15$ cm$^{-3}$) give $M_{\text{Bondi}} \approx 0.013 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$.

### 5. DISCUSSION

We here discuss possible scenarios to explain the very sub-Eddington luminosity of Sombrero’s nucleus, using the results of our analysis of high-quality X-ray data and

---

**TABLE 2**

**RESULTS OF THE EPIC *XMM-Newton* SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF THE SOMBRERO’S NUCLEUS: ABSORBED POWER LAW MODEL**

| Parameter                  | 20° Extraction Radius | 7° Extraction Radius |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| $\chi^2$/dof.             | 556.9/527             | 330.2/320            |
| Intrinsic $N_H$ (cm$^{-2}$) | $1.3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{21}$ | $1.8 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{21}$ |
| Photon index              | 1.89 ± 0.03           | 1.88 ± 0.04          |
| 0.5–2 keV flux (ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) (power law) | $6.4 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-13}$ | $5.5 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 2–10 keV flux (ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) (power law) | $1.3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-12}$ | $1.3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 0.5–2 keV luminosity (ergs s$^{-1}$) (thermal component) | $6.8 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{39}$ | $5.7 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{39}$ |
| 2–10 keV luminosity (ergs s$^{-1}$) (thermal component) | $1.5 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{40}$ | $1.4 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{40}$ |

**Note.**—Fluxes are observed and corrected for the EEF; luminosities are intrinsic.

---

**TABLE 3**

**RESULTS OF THE EPIC *XMM-Newton* SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF THE SOMBRERO’S NUCLEUS: ABSORBED POWER LAW PLUS THERMAL COMPONENT MODEL**

| Parameter                  | 20° Extraction Radius | 7° Extraction Radius |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| $\chi^2$/dof.             | 537.3/525             | 326/318              |
| $K_T$ (keV)               | 0.61$^{0.12}_{-0.11}$ | 0.67$^{0.27}_{-0.21}$ |
| Intrinsic $N_H$ (cm$^{-2}$) | $1.4 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{21}$ | $1.9 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{21}$ |
| Photon index              | 1.86 ± 0.03           | 1.85 ± 0.02          |
| 0.5–2 keV flux (ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) (power law) | $6.0 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-13}$ | $5.2 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| 0.5–2 keV flux (ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) (thermal component) | $2.6^{+0.9}_{-0.8} \times 10^{-14}$ | $8.8 \pm 8.8 \times 10^{-15}$ |
| 0.5–2 keV luminosity (ergs s$^{-1}$) (power law) | $6.4 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{39}$ | $5.5 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{39}$ |
| 0.5–2 keV luminosity (ergs s$^{-1}$) (thermal component) | $2.7^{+1.0}_{-0.8} \times 10^{38}$ | $9.3 \pm 9.3 \times 10^{37}$ |

**Note.**—Fluxes are observed and corrected for the EEF; luminosities are intrinsic.
Fig. 3.—Top: Sombrero’s nuclear EPIC MOS (black) and pn (red) spectra and fit residuals for an absorbed power law plus thermal component model’s, obtained from a 20’ extraction radius. Bottom: Confidence contours at 68%, 90%, and 99% for the best-fit temperature $kT$ and intrinsic absorbing column density $N_H$. 
Fig. 4.—Top: Sombrero’s nuclear EPIC MOS (black) and pn (red) spectra and fit residuals for a simple absorbed power law model, obtained from a 7″ extraction radius. Bottom: Confidence contours at 68%, 90%, and 99% for the best-fit intrinsic absorbing column density $N_H$ and photon index $\Gamma$. 
Fig. 5.—Adaptively smoothed Chandra ACIS-S image (0.3–10 keV band) of the Sombrero galaxy with superimposed optical contours from the DSS. North is up and east to the left.

Fig. 6.—Temperature profile (left) and density profile (right) for the Sombrero galaxy. Error bars indicate the 90% confidence interval.
information coming from high angular resolution observations in other bands.

5.1. Heavily Obscured Emission?

The bolometric luminosity of Sombrero’s nucleus is $L_{\text{bol}} \sim 2.5 \times 10^{41}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ (obtained by integrating the spectral energy distribution [SED] in Fig. 7), that is $2 \times 10^{-6} L_{\text{Edd}}$. This very sub-Eddington nuclear luminosity could be explained by Compton thick material surrounding the nucleus and heavily absorbing the X-ray emission, as in Seyfert 2 galaxies. However, as observed in similar nuclei (e.g., IC 1459; Fabbiano et al. 2003), although not with the high XMM sensitivity, which we can exploit here, the spectral characteristics of the emission are not consistent with this picture.

There is no sign of heavy obscuration, and the small amount of absorption needed for modeling the spectrum could be explained by intervening dust: an amount of absorption needed for modeling the spectrum with this picture.

5.2. Downsized AGN?

This nucleus shows some similarities with the observed emission properties of luminous AGNs: an X-ray/radio luminosity ratio comparable to the extrapolation of the bright radio galaxy correlation found for powerful Seyfert 1 nuclei and quasars (Ward et al. 1988; Ho et al. 2001).

However, its bolometric luminosity is well below what we would expect from efficient accretion of the available fuel. The SMBH is surrounded by hot gas whose density and temperature can be measured close to the accretion radius. If at very small radius this gas joins an accretion disk with a standard radiative efficiency $\eta \sim 0.1$, as in brighter AGNs, it should produce a luminosity

$$L_{\text{acc}} = \eta M_{\text{Bondi}} c^2 \approx (4.5-38) \times 10^{43} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$$

correlation found for powerful Seyfert 1 nuclei and quasars (Ward et al. 1988; Ho et al. 2001).

However, its bolometric luminosity is well below what we would expect from efficient accretion of the available fuel. The SMBH is surrounded by hot gas whose density and temperature can be measured close to the accretion radius. If at very small radius this gas joins an accretion disk with a standard radiative efficiency $\eta \sim 0.1$, as in brighter AGNs, it should produce a luminosity

$$L_{\text{acc}} = \eta M_{\text{Bondi}} c^2 \approx (4.5-38) \times 10^{43} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$$

correlation found for powerful Seyfert 1 nuclei and quasars (Ward et al. 1988; Ho et al. 2001).

However, its bolometric luminosity is well below what we would expect from efficient accretion of the available fuel. The SMBH is surrounded by hot gas whose density and temperature can be measured close to the accretion radius. If at very small radius this gas joins an accretion disk with a standard radiative efficiency $\eta \sim 0.1$, as in brighter AGNs, it should produce a luminosity

$$L_{\text{acc}} = \eta M_{\text{Bondi}} c^2 \approx (4.5-38) \times 10^{43} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$$

correlation found for powerful Seyfert 1 nuclei and quasars (Ward et al. 1988; Ho et al. 2001).

Besides the very low luminosity, inconsistent with efficient disk accretion, there are other properties by which the Sombrero’s nucleus differs from Seyfert 1 nuclei: (1) the latter exhibit Fe K emission lines produced in the accretion disk whose strength clearly increases with decreasing X-ray luminosity; the average EW of the 6.4 keV emission line (for a broad Gaussian fit) is $\sim 300$ eV at an X-Ray luminosity of $L_{\text{X}} \sim 10^{42}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ (Nandra et al. 1997), while our analysis gives an upper limit of $L_{\text{X}} < 296$ eV at a much lower luminosity ($\sim 3 \times 10^{42}$ ergs s$^{-1}$).

Fig. 7.—Spectral energy distribution of the nucleus of Sombrero from radio to X-rays. Radio data with subarcsecond resolution are from Hummel et al. (1984); IR data with 5″ resolution (plotted as upper limits) are from Willner et al. (1985); optical and UV data with subarcsecond resolution are from the HST WFPC2 images and FOS spectrum (Ho 1999); the X-ray data are from this paper. Lines show the median distribution observed for low-redshift radio-quiet and radio-loud AGNs (Elvis et al. 1994), renormalized to match the X-ray data.
Sombrero’s nucleus (Fabbiano & Juda 1997; Pellegrini et al. 2002; Terashima et al. 2002). In the 18 ks ACIS-S data, we have looked for variability to take full advantage of the unprecedented angular resolution. The pileup does not affect the temporal variation (as we expect a constant fraction of X-rays migrating from low energies to higher energies). We have applied a traditional time-binned histogram analysis and a Bayesian block technique for unbinned data, which was developed for the Chandra Multiwavelength Project (Kim et al. 2003). In both tests we do not find any statistically significant variability. The \( XMM \) flux \( [F(2-10 \text{ keV}) = 1.3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ ergs} s^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}] \) is also consistent with that given by the Chandra snapshot data \( [F(2-10 \text{ keV}) = 1.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ ergs} s^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}] \; \text{Pellegrini et al. 2002}. \)

The somewhat larger flux estimated from the ASCA and BeppoSAX data is entirely consistent with the much larger aperture used in these cases. (3) Finally, the small \( \Delta t \) derived from the \( XMM \) data is inconsistent with the absence of prominent broad-line emission (Panessa & Bassani 2002), which contrasts with the predictions of the unification models of bright Seyfert nuclei (Antonucci 1993).

5.3. Radiatively Inefficient Accretion?

An alternative possibility is that accretion proceeds at a rate not far from \( M_{\text{Bondi}} \), but with a low radiative efficiency, through an advection-dominated, optically thin, quasi-spherical hot accretion flow (ADAF; e.g., Narayan & Yi 1995). In these models, unlike in the standard, radiatively efficient, thin-disk models, very little of the gravitational potential energy of the inflowing gas is radiated away, and accretion lacks the cold, optically thick gas required for the emission of the fluorescent 6.4 keV Fe K line. The majority of the observable emission is in the X-ray and radio bands. In the X-rays it comes from thermal bremsstrahlung, with a flat power law (\( \Gamma < 1.5 \) in the Chandra band) or inverse Compton scattering of soft synchrotron photons by the flow electrons, with a steeper X-ray spectral shape (e.g., \( \Gamma \sim 2.2 \) has been used to model the nucleus of M87; Di Matteo et al. 2003). In the radio band, synchrotron emission arises from the strong magnetic field in the inner part of the accretion flow.

Detailed ADAF modeling has been applied many times to low-luminosity AGNs and normal ellipticals (e.g., Quataert et al. 1999; Di Matteo, Carrilí, & Fabian 2001; Loewenstein et al. 2001). The absence of a fluorescent Fe line from cold material and the observed X-ray photon index of Sombrero’s nucleus are in accordance with the ADAF predictions. Also, the radio emission from an ADAF scales with the SMBH mass and the X-ray luminosity as \( L_{15\text{ GHz}} \sim 10^{36} (M_{\text{BH}}/10^7 M_\odot) (L_{2-10\text{ keV}}/10^{40} \text{ ergs s}^{-1})^{0.14} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \) (Yi & Boughn 1999). This relation gives a predicted \( L_{15\text{ GHz}} \sim 1.06 \times 10^{38} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \) for Sombrero. VLBI measurements (Hummel et al. 1984) reveal a flat-spectrum core source with \( L_{15\text{ GHz}} \sim 1.58 \times 10^{38} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \); therefore, the radio–to–X-ray ratio agrees with the predictions of the basic ADAF. However, an ADAF modeling tailored to Sombrero’s nucleus, and using a range of \( M_{\text{Bondi}} = 0.01-0.1 M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1} \) that includes the range found here, showed that the ADAF synchrotron emission overestimates significantly (by as much as a factor of \( \sim 10 \) the observed radio flux (Di Matteo et al. 2001).

More recent work on radiatively inefficient accretion has revealed that little mass available at large radii is actually accreted on the SMBH, and most of it is lost to an outflow or circulates in convective motions (Blandford & Begelman 1999; Stone, Pringle, & Begelman 1999; Igumenshchev, Narayan, & Abramowicz 2003). If the density is lower in the inner flow regions, the synchrotron emission in the radio band is drastically reduced. In the case of Sombrero, strong mass loss with only a few percent of \( M_{\text{Bondi}} \) actually accreted on the SMBH helps to reconcile the model with the observations in the radio band (Di Matteo et al. 2001). However, in these models the radio–to–X-rays ratio changes with respect to the “basic” ADAF predictions, in the sense that outflows or convection suppress the radio emission more than the X-ray emission (Quataert & Narayan 1999). Therefore, if these models are normalized to match the observed X-ray luminosity of Sombrero’s nucleus, they will exhibit a deficit of radio emission with respect to the observations. In this picture, an additional radio source (e.g., a nuclear jet) could solve the discrepancy. The presence of jets was suggested by Di Matteo et al. (2001), who in fact best reproduced the flat radio spectral shape of the Sombrero’s nucleus with self-absorbed synchrotron emission originating from a compact region (while the ADAF synchrotron radiation has an inverted spectrum up to high radio frequencies, above which it abruptly drops).

In conclusion, even in the case of low radiative efficiency, the mass accretion rate on the SMBH must be less than \( M_{\text{Bondi}} \) to match the observed emission level. Note that the Chandra upper limits on the X-ray nuclear luminosities of three ellipticals also require strong outflows or convection in an ADAF (Loewenstein et al. 2001), and this could also be the case for the nucleus of M32 (Ho, Terashima, & Ulvestad 2003). Note finally that a few other low-luminosity AGNs exhibit an excess of radio emission relative to the predictions of low radiative efficiency models (see Quataert et al. 1999; Ulvestad & Ho 2001; Di Matteo et al. 2001).

5.4. Jet-Dominated Emission?

Recent radio observations show that a strong jet presence is a common feature of LINERs (Nagar, Wilson, & Falcke 2001), and recent modeling of low-luminosity AGNs (Falcke & Biermann 1999; Yuan et al. 2002) suggests that the higher wavelengths may also be dominated by the jet emission. In this modeling the accretion flow can be described either by a standard Shakura-Sunyaev disk or an ADAF, and a fraction of the accretion flow is advected into a jet, which represents an important component of the emission spectrum (actually dominating in low-luminosity sources). In fact, Livio, Ogilvie, & Pringle (1999) have argued that the Blandford & Znajek (1977) mechanism might be most relevant for advection-dominated flows.

In these models the synchrotron emission from the base of the jet produces a “bump” of emission from radio to high-IR frequencies, peaking roughly at mid-IR. The flat/inverted radio synchrotron spectrum comes from farther out in the jet. Its optically thin part, plus self-Comptonized emission and external Compton emission from the jet, produce the X-ray spectrum. A flat radio spectrum (Hummel et al. 1984) and a peak in the IR region are features shown by the SED of the Sombrero’s nucleus (Fig. 7). Moreover, such a jet-dominated model has been applied recently to explain the whole SED of the LINER in the elliptical galaxy IC 1459 (Fabbiano et al. 2003). Similarly to the Sombrero’s nucleus, the X-ray emission of IC 1459 is modeled with an
unabsorbed power law of $\Gamma = 1.88 \pm 0.09$ (using the Chandra ACIS-S data).

It is interesting to note that in this modeling the jets are by far the dominant sink of power, in the form of kinetic and internal energy, to the point that $\sim 0.1L_{\text{acc}}$ could be stored in them. A similar fraction was estimated for the jet power in the case of the Fanaroff-Riley (FRI) galaxy IC 4296, using again ACIS-S data to estimate $L_{\text{acc}}$ plus a direct calculation of the jet kinetic power, instead of a modeling of the SED (Pellegrini et al. 2003).

5.5. Low Mass Supply?

Alternatively to the possibilities described in the previous section, the mass supply to the central SMBH could be much lower than estimated in $\frac{2}{3}$, because accretion is not an adiabatic process and perhaps is not even steady. This is the case of feedback-modulated accretion models, in which the interstellar medium is heated by the energy input from a central source that decreases or recursively stops the accretion on the central SMBH, giving rise to cycles of activity. Such a scenario has been argued several times over the last decade: the ISM could be heated by the impact of collimated outflows (Tabor & Binney 1993; Binney 1999; Kaiser & Binney 2003; Di Matteo et al. 2001, 2003) or by inverse Compton scattering of hard photons (Ciotti & Ostriker 2001), both coming from the central nucleus. Therefore, accretion is only episodic, and between phases of accretion activity is switched off with a low nuclear luminosity. In the Ciotti & Ostriker (2001) scenario, at present the duty cycle of activity includes short, bright phases followed by prolonged periods of “readjustment” of the gas surrounding the nucleus, so that only $1/10^4$ to $1/10^3$ nuclei in the nearby universe should be caught in the bright AGN phase.

Signatures of the central heating include disturbances in the X-ray isophotes of the gas. In Sombrero, the X-ray isophotes in the circumnuclear region shown by the ACIS-S image (Fig. 5) do not reveal clear disturbances from nuclear outflows/hard photons heating the ISM with respect to a round distribution. However, this aspect is difficult to assess given the crowding of stellar sources close to the center, and is under study. The same holds for the possible presence of temperature variations in the circumnuclear region.

We just note three observational facts that certainly contrast with the predictions of a global “cooling flow” in this bulge: (1) the hot gas temperature shows an increase close to the center, instead of a smoothly decreasing profile (Fig. 6), even though the errors are large to establish firmly that central heating is occurring; (2) the mass accretion rate $M_{\text{Bondi}}$, although derived for a steady and adiabatic case that may not apply, is already much less than the stellar mass-loss rate $M_* \approx 0.36 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ from the predictions of the stellar evolution theory, e.g., inserting the bulge luminosity $L_B = 2.4 \times 10^{10} L_\odot$ [Ho et al. 1997] in eq. [3] of Ciotti et al. 1991); (3) the ratio $L_X/L_B$ for the diffuse emission in the bulge ($L_X = 9 \times 10^{39} \text{ergs s}^{-1}$, from Fabbiano & Juda 1997) is far too low forthe hot gas to be in a global inflow (Ciotti et al. 1991; Fabbiano et al. 1992). Points 1, 2, and 3 are instead consistent with a nuclear-induced global degassing of the bulge, as predicted for low-mass systems by the Ciotti & Ostriker (2001) heating scenario. A detailed analysis and modeling of the status of the hot gas on the galactic scale is in progress.

6. CONCLUSIONS

By exploiting XMM’s sensitivity and Chandra’s high angular resolution, we have studied the X-ray properties of the nucleus of the Sombrero galaxy. We have then examined various possibilities for the observed low level of activity shown by this well-known LINER, and these can be summarized as follows:

1. The presence of heavy obscuration is ruled out by the observed properties of the X-ray spectrum.

2. The nuclear bolometric luminosity is at least $\sim 200$ times lower than expected if the central SMBH accreted matter at the rate predicted by the steady, spherical, and adiabatic Bondi accretion theory, with the high radiative efficiency of a standard accretion disk. Therefore, the final stages of accretion are not radiatively efficient and/or the mass supply on the SMBH is far less.

3. In a radiatively inefficient accretion scenario, in which the rate of accreting mass is close to the Bondi estimate, the model luminosity is higher than observed. Including outflows or convection can fix this problem, but then, if the observed X-ray emission is accounted for, the radio luminosity is underproduced. It could then come from nuclear jets.

4. A jet-dominated emission model, recently applied to a few other low-luminosity AGNs, could perhaps explain the observed spectral energy distribution. If this model applies, a large fraction of the accretion luminosity, $L_{\text{acc}}$, could be stored in the form of jet power.

5. Another possibility is that accretion is not steady and adiabatic, and therefore the Bondi theory does not apply. That is, because of the presence of a central heating source, far less mass than predicted by the Bondi accretion rate actually reaches the SMBH. Observed signatures of a central heating could be an increase in the temperature profile close to the nucleus and a low hot gas content for the bulge.

6. In addition to the absence of a standard accretion disk, other important differences with the properties of luminous type 1 AGNs include the lack of flux variability and Fe K emission. Also, this LINER 2 shows no strong absorption, and therefore the orientation-dependent unified scheme devised for bright AGNs does not apply to it.
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