Ellagic acid (EA), a tannin was isolated from *Eucalyptus citriodora* leaves and its anti-inflammatory activity
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Abstract:

A tannin, EA (1), and other nine non-tannins compounds, gallic acid (2), quercetin (3), myricetin (4), 3-O-methylellagic acid 4′-O-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (5), quercetin-3-O-β-D-galactopyranoside (6), kaempferol-3-O-β-D-glucoside (7), quercetin 3-O-β-D-glucuronide (8), quercetin-3-O-rutinoside (9), 3,3′,4-tri-O-methylellagic acid-4′-O-β-D-glucopyranosyl (10) were isolated from a valuable medicinal plant, *Eucalyptus citriodora*. Structural identification of these compounds was conducted using ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and comparing their spectral data with those previously reported in literatures. The anti-inflammatory effects of EA were evaluated in ethanol-induced acute gastric ulcer mice models in our study. The result demonstrated that the intragastric administration of EA significantly prevented the gastric ulceration caused by ethanol treatments. Especially, the gastric tissue in the middle dose EA (100 mg/kg) showed few ulcerations with only slight focal congestion which indicated that it has a significant protective effect on gastric ulcer by increasing the IL-10 and PGE₂ levels, and reducing the IL-6, TNF-α, GAS and COX-2 levels. In addition, the middle-dose EA has no adverse effect on liver and kidney. These findings imply that EA exerts gastroprotective effects by means of its anti-inflammatory effects and may be a potential drug for anti-ulcer treatment.
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Introduction

The gastric ulcer is a common disorder with multiple causes including the infection from *Helicobacter pylori*, indiscriminate use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), irregular diet, excessive alcohol consumption and pepsin and gastric acid secretion and is mainly occurs in the stomach and duodenum [1]. Nowadays, it is
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commonly believed that gastric ulcer therapy is generally focused on inhibiting gastric acid secretion involved in suppressing the secretion of interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) and stimulate mucosal defense mechanism [2]. However, many of existed medicines have side effects and limitations, including the antibiotics used to eradicate Helicobacter pylori and the proton pump inhibitors [3].

In recent years, a lot of research has been accomplished to develop natural products with anti-ulcer activity, which may provide a rich source of new anti-ulcer drugs [4]. A few plant extracts and plant-derived compounds have been identified and confirmed to have powerful anti-ulcer activity with safety [5]. Among a broad reach of natural molecules, dietary polyphenols have a variety of biological mechanisms and play a pivotal role in the treatment of gastric and duodenal ulcers.

_Eucalyptus citriodora_ is a medicinal plant that belongs to the Myrtle family and is found in Australia and some oriental countries, especially China. Among the polyphenol compounds extracted from _Eucalyptus citriodora_, Ellagic acid (EA), a phenolic lactone compound, exhibits anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, hepatoprotective, and anti-mutagenic effects. EA could decrease gastric ulcer and bleeding induced by ethanol in which attributing to the enhancement of NO production [6]. Furthermore, EA have abilities to reduce stress gastric injury and inhibit gastric acid secretion significantly [7]. In the present study, we evaluated the antiulcerogenic effects of different doses of EA extracted from _Eucalyptus citriodora_ against ethanol-induced gastric ulcer and to investigate its effects on inflammatory cytokines, including IL-6, IL-10, TNF-α, GAS, COX-2 and PGE₂.

**Results**

**Identification of Isolated Compounds**

Ten compounds were isolated and identified in the 95% EtOH extracts of the _Eucalyptus citriodora_ leaves (Fig.1). These compounds were identified by spectroscopic analyses, including MS and NMR spectroscopy and comparing their spectral data with those previously reported in literature.

**Compound 1**: Pale yellow powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 7.90 (1H, s, H-5'), 7.70 (1H, s, H-5), 5.61-5.21 (4H, m, Glu-2", 3",4", 5"), 5.17 (1H, d, J = 5.4 Hz, Glu-1"), 4.17 (3H s, 3'-OCH₃), 4.11 (3H, s, 3'-OCH₃), 4.07 (3H, s, 4'-OCH₃).

$^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 112.43(C-1), 142.18(C-2), 141.67(C-3,3'), 152.36(C-4), 112.79(C-5), 113.32 (C-6), 158.89(C-7), 113.10(C-1'), 141.36(C-2'), 154.79(C-4'), 108.03 (C-5'), 114.12(C-6'), 158.65(C-7'), 101.71(C-1'"), 73.78(C-2'"), 77.73(C-3'"), 69.93(C-4'"), 76.93(C-5'"), 60.99(C-6'"), 61.81(3-OCH₃), 62.16(3'-OCH₃), 57.25(4'-OCH₃).

By comparison with literature data [8], compound 1 was determined to be 3,3',4-tri-O-methylellagic acid-4'-O-β-D-glucopyranosyl.

**Compound 2**: White needle crystals. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ 7.72 (1H, s, H-5'), 7.51 (1H, s, H-5), 5.48 (1H, s, Glu-1"), 4.94 (1H, d, J = 5.6 Hz, H-3'), 4.72 (1H, d, J = 6.1 Hz, H-4), 4.05 (3H, s, 3-OCH₃), 1.15 (3H, d, J = 6.2 Hz, Glu-5'-CH₃). $^{13}$C
NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 114.67 (C-1), 136.54(C-2), 140.53(C-3), 153.11(C-4), 111.98 (C-5), 111.70(C-6), 159.12(C-7), 107.50(C-1'), 141.74(C-2'), 142.21(C-3'), 146.89 (C-4'), 111.91(C-5'), 113.40 (C-6'), 159.08(C-7'), 100.57(C-1'"), 70.40(C-2'"), 70.53(C-3'"), 72.24(C-4'"), 70.33(C-5'"), 18.33(C-6'"), 61.40(3-OCH$_3$). From the comparison of these data with those reported in the literature [9], compound 2 was identified as 3-O-methyllumic acid 4'-O-α-L-rhamnopyranoside.

Compound 3: White powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 12.23 (1H, s, COOH), 9.18 (2H, s, 3.5-OH), 8.83 (1H, s, 4-OH), 6.92 (2H, s, H-2,6). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) $\delta$ 167.91(C=O), 145.86(C-3,5), 138.44(C-4), 120.89(C-1), 109.17(C-2,6). By comparison with literature data [10], compound 3 was determined to be gallic acid.

Compound 4: Pale yellow powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 7.47 (2H, s, H-5,5'). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 108.02(C-1,1'), 140.17(C-2,2'), 136.84(C-3,3'), 148.60(C-4,4'), 110.66(C-5,5'), 112.81(C-6,6'), 159.63(C-7,7). By comparison with literature data [11], compound 4 was identified as EA.

Compound 5: Yellow powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 6.45 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, H-8), 6.24 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, H-6), 7.59 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz, H-2'), 6.88 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-5'), 7.73 (1H, dd, J = 8.5, 2.3 Hz, H-6'), 5.43 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, H-1'), 3.83-3.24 (4H, protons of galactopyranoside) 1.29 (2H, s, H-6'). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 156.82(C-2), 133.89(C-3), 177.84 (C-4), 161.66(C-5), 99.32(C-6), 165.33(C-7), 94.06(C-8), 156.58(C-9), 104.11(C-10), 121.51(C-1'), 115.65(C-2'), 149.01(C-3'), 145.33(C-4'), 116.35(C-5'), 122.43(C-6'), 102.32(C-1"), 71.67(C-2'"), 73.65(C-3'"), 68.37(C-4'"), 76.29(C-5'"), 60.58(C-6'"). From the comparison of these data with those reported in the literature [12], compound 5 was identified as quercetin-3-O-β-D-galactopyranoside.

Compound 6: Yellow powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 12.50 (1H, s, 5-OH), 10.79 (1H, s, 7-OH), 9.60 (1H, s, 3'-OH), 9.37 (1H, s, 3'-OH), 7.69 (1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz, H-2'), 7.55 (1H, dd, J = 8.5, 2.2 Hz, H-6'), 6.89 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-5'), 6.42 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, H-8), 6.20 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, H-6). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$): $\delta$ 147.26(C-2), 136.19(C-3), 176.30(C-4), 161.18(C-5), 98.64(C-6), 164.34(C-7), 93.80(C-8), 156.59(C-9), 103.47(C-10), 122.41(C-1'), 115.52(C-2'), 145.51(C-3'), 148.16(C-4'), 116.06(C-5'), 120.43(C-6'). By comparison with literature data [13], compound 6 was identified as quercetin.

Compound 7: Yellow powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, Methanol-d$_4$): $\delta$ 7.67 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz, H-2'), 7.65-7.61 (1H, m, H-6'), 6.87 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, H-5'), 6.40 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, H-8), 6.21 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, H-6), 5.10 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H-1'"), 4.52 (1H, d, J = 1.7 Hz, H-1'"'), 1.12 (3H, d, J = 6.2 Hz, H-6'"), 3.84-3.30 (10H, protons of rutinose). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, Methanol-d$_4$): $\delta$ 157.93(C-2), 134.21(C-3), 177.52 (C-4), 161.78(C-5), 98.66(C-6), 164.96(C-7), 93.54(C-8), 157.14(C-9), 104.06(C-10), 121.79(C-1''), 114.66(C-2''), 144.45(C-3''), 148.44(C-4''), 116.27(C-5''), 122.15(C-6''), 103.34(C-1"'), 70.70(C-2"'), 75.82(C-3"'), 70.82(C-4"'), 76.78(C-5"'), 67.16(C-6"'), 101.02(C-1"'), 74.31(C-2"'), 69.99(C-3"'), 72.52(C-4"'), 68.31(C-5""), 16.48(C-6""). By comparison with literature data [14], compound 7 was determined to be quercetin-3-O-rutinoside.
Effect of EA on Inflammatory Factors in Gastric Ulcer Tissues of Mice

After administration with anhydrous ethanol, the IL-10 level in gastric tissues were significantly decreased while the IL-6 and TNF-α levels were on the contrary (P<0.05). In addition, the IL-10 level in the gastric tissues of EA were markedly increased in comparison to that of the model group (P<0.05 or P<0.01), while the IL-6 and TNF-α levels were significantly reduced (P<0.05). Obviously, the reduction of TNF-α in the middle-dose of EA was more significant than that in the low-dose and high-dose EA groups (Fig. 2).

Effects of EA on GAS, COX-2 and PGE2 in Gastric Ulcer Tissues of Mice
The serum GAS contents of model group were significantly higher than those of the normal control group, however, the COX-2 and PGE$_2$ contents were on the contrary ($P<0.05$). Additionally, the administration of middle-dose and high-dose EA showed a noticeable reduction in the GAS levels of serum ($P<0.05$), while the PGE$_2$ levels were significantly elevated compared with that of model group ($P<0.01$) (Fig. 3).

**Effects of EA on ALT, AST and Cr in Gastric Ulcer Tissues of Mice**

The ALT, AST and Cr activities were significantly elevated in the model group in comparison to the normal control group ($P<0.05$). The ALT and AST levels in the high-dose EA group were significantly lower than that of the normal control group, model group and omeprazole group ($P<0.01$). Moreover, the ALT, AST and Cr levels in the middle-dose EA group were close to the positive control omeprazole group ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 4).

**Gross appearance of the gastric mucosa**

The gastric mucosal membrane surface of the normal control group (Fig. 5A) was smooth and intact with no hyperemia. In model group (Fig. 5B), a number of punctual ulcers distributed in mucosa were observed. There were some hyperemia points and a small ulcer area can be found in the omeprazole group (Fig. 5C). In the EA administration group (Fig. 5D, 5E and 5F), the degree of punctual bleeding, damage of gastric mucosa, and the area of gastric ulcers in mice were attenuated, suggesting that the EA has a protective effect on ethanol-induced acute gastric ulcer in the mice.

**Effects of EA on Gastric Lesions Histology**

In order to evaluate the status of gastric mucosa in ulcer mice, H&E staining was performed on stomach sections of different groups. The gastric tissue of the normal control group (Fig. 6A and 6a) is dense and well-structured, the mucosal layer and muscular layer are clearly visible, and there are no apparent pathological changes such as tissue hemorrhage or inflammatory cell infiltration. The model group mice (Fig. 6B and 6b) administrated absolute ethanol without medical therapy produced several necrotic cell fragments and a large number of infiltrated inflammatory cells. Besides, the smooth muscle structure in the model group was disordered, the superficial gastric epithelium was disrupted and exfoliated and there were vacuoles and cell damage appear in the local gastric glands. In the omeprazole group (Fig. 6C and 6c), the glands were arranged consistently and there is a small amount of inflammatory cell infiltration. The mice that administrated pretreatment with EA displayed significant protection of the gastric mucosa (Fig. 6D, 6d, 6E, 6e, 6F and 6f), most areas of the stomach tissue of the mice are clearly structured, the cells are arranged neatly, and the degree of gland
damage is less, which is significantly enhanced versus with the model group. Among them, the gastric tissues of mice in the low dose EA group have no difference from the normal group and the mucosal and muscle layer are clearly visible and textured, and only a few inflammatory cells were infiltrated.

**Effects of EA on Hepatic Histology**

Fig. 7 shows the results of H & E staining of liver tissue in ulcer mice. The liver tissue structure of the mice in normal group (Fig. 7A and 7a) was complete with clear layout, round and full hepatocytes, and obvious boundary between the liver sinusoid and liver plate. In the model group (Fig. 7B and 7b), the liver tissue structure of mice was still clear, however, the liver cells were loose, the liver sinusoids were narrowed, and there were significant inflammatory cell infiltration and inflammatory response. The liver tissue of the mice in the omeprazole group (Fig. 7C and 7c) were arranged neatly, and a small number of vacuoles could be seen, but no obvious inflammatory cell infiltration was observed. Compared with the model group, the liver tissue of mice in the EA group was intact, with no obvious liver damage, and the degree of inflammatory cell infiltration was improved in a dose-dependent manner. The low dose of EA had a significant protective effect on the liver.

**Effects of EA on Nephridial Histology**

As shown in Fig. 8, no significant histological changes were observed in the kidney of mice in the normal group, the omeprazole group and the groups administrated with different doses of EA. The kidneys of the model group had a larger glomerulus and wider lumen of the renal tubules, and the epithelial cells of renal tubulars were markedly damaged. Therefore, EA administered to mice was safe and no drug-related toxicity was detected even at the highest doses investigated.

**Discussion**

It has been reported that the natural secondary metabolites found in ethno-medicinal plants have antioxidants, especially polyphenols, which have a variety of functions to counteract the free radicals and regulate oxidative stress in cells [18]. And there is growing evidence that the use of medicinal plants is an alternative for the treatment of several gastrointestinal disorders, including gastric ulcers. The bio-active natural products with gastroprotective properties and a few side effects could substitute existing synthetic drugs. EA is a common metabolite present in many medicinal plants and its antioxidant property is responsible for many pharmacological activities, including altering inflammatory mediators (IL-6, IL-1β and TNF-α) [19].
In this study, we have isolated and identified ten compounds from *Eucalyptus citriodora* leaves and investigated the protective effects of ellagic acid extracted from *Eucalyptus citriodora* leaves on ethanol-induced acute gastric ulcer in mice. The mice administrated anhydrous ethanol (0.1 mL/10 g) had produced acute gastric ulcers and inflammatory responses, such as bleeding, edema, and erosion in the gastric tissues. However, the mice pretreated with various concentrations of EA significantly caused a reduction in the gastric injury, and the pathological changes of gastric ulcer were improved considerably, especially in the middle-dose group.

Inflammation is an important pathogenesis of gastric ulcers. The inflammatory cells will be affected to overreact and produce inflammatory factors (TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-10) when the gastrointestinal tract is stimulated by alcohol [20]. The pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF-α is associated with inflammation and injury of various tissues, and it will be secreted at the onset of gastric ulcer [21]. It is reported that increasing TNF-α will lead to severe damage to gastrointestinal mucosal by stimulating the neutrophil accumulation [22]. IL-6 is a multifunctional cytokine and is a direct immune response to inflammation caused by infection and tissue damage [23]. During gastric ulcer, elevated IL-6 level will stimulate lymphocytes and neutrophils which result in damages [24]. IL-10 is a counter-regulatory cytokine in inflammatory responses, and it can slow down the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines to damp down inflammation [25]. In this study, the TNF-α and IL-6 levels in the gastric tissues of the absolute ethanol group significantly increased, while the IL-10 level were considerably reduced. The increased levels of TNF-α and IL-6, and the decreased level of the IL-10 indicated injury and inflammation in the gastrointestinal tissues. Reversely, the TNF-α and IL-6 levels were significantly reduced, and the level of the IL-10 were expressively increased in the gastric tissues of the ellagic acid groups. Furthermore, the mice pretreated with EA showed a significant reduction in the degree of hemorrhagic damage and infiltration of inflammatory cells, which manifested EA has a good anti-inflammatory effect and protective effect on ethanol-induced acute gastric ulcer in the mice, especially in the middle dose (100 mg/kg) EA group. The anti-inflammatory effect of EA was more significant at the middle dose; however, the high dose EA (200 mg/kg) showed a more positive effect on reducing the IL-6 level and increasing the IL-10 level. The exact mechanism of this effect has not figure out yet. Therefore, we proposed various hypotheses to explain this phenomenon. Firstly, EA exhibited protective effects against free radical damage in gastric ulcers, which may account for its antioxidant activity [26]. EA has the antioxidant activity of scavenging free radicals and inhibiting lipid peroxidation, which depends on EA concentration. Secondly, the high dose EA may stimulate physiological response mechanism which can lead to the changes in many physiological pathways, thus may have adverse effects on the anti-ulcer activity [27]. Thirdly, EA is known as a good chelator, and the chelating ability is positive correlated with its concentration. So that, high dose EA can more chelate with calcium ion in the extracellular or cytoplasm to change net concentration of free calcium. Meanwhile, the calcium gradient is associated with many signaling cascades, it means that high dose EA can more significantly stimulate these signaling cascades to affect the potency on gastric ulcer [28].
Gastrin (GAS) has an effect on stimulating gastric acid secretion, but excessive gastric acid secretion will lead to changes in gastric mucosal permeability and accelerate the formation of gastric ulcers [29]. In this research, the level of GAS significantly increased in the anhydrous ethanol group while GAS level decreased significantly in the middle dose EA group, and it is shown that middle dose EA has an ability on protecting gastric mucosa by decreasing GAS level.

COX-2 is an important inducing enzyme in the process of inflammation and it is a key enzyme that catalyzes the synthesis of arachidonic acid into prostaglandin (PG), mainly PGE₂ [30]. PGE₂ established clearly the important contributions in the protection of gastric mucosa [31]. In this study, the COX-2 contents of the model group were significantly higher than those of the normal control group while the PGE₂ contents were significantly lower than those of the normal group. However, pretreated with EA, the COX-2 level significantly decreased while the PGE₂ level significantly increased, and both were dose dependent.

Drug toxicity is the most common cause of acute liver and kidney failure; thus, it is necessary to identify and monitor the toxicity signals during clinical practice. The levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) in serum are predictive of the likelihood of drug-induced liver injury [32]. Serum creatinine (Cr) concentration is widely used as an indicator of renal function in clinics. In detail, serum creatinine is one of the main criteria for evaluation of steady-state, chronic kidney disease and acute kidney injury [33, 34]. In this study, liver enzyme activities (ALT and AST) as well as creatinine levels were not negatively affected in the low/middle dose EA group, however, the ALT and AST activities in the high dose EA group were significantly decreased which indicating the injury in the liver of the high concentration EA.

Conclusions

Eucalyptus citriodora leaves are the rich source of polyphenol and flavonoid compounds and exhibit strong antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties, which have potential to develop the drugs of antioxidant, gastroprotective and anti-inflammatory. We have extracted and identified ten compounds from Eucalyptus citriodora leaves and investigated the effects on anti-ulcer and anti-inflammatory against ethanol-induced acute gastric ulcer of ellagic acid that is a polyphenol compound of extraction. The present findings evidence that middle dose (100 mg/kg) EA have significant effect on anti-gastric ulcer and anti-inflammatory through increase the IL-10 and PGE₂ levels, and reduce the IL-6, TNF-α, GAS and COX-2 levels. Furthermore, the ALT, AST and Cr contents in the middle dose EA have few differences from the normal group, which indicate that it has no adverse effect on liver and kidney. These results uncover that ellagic acid may be a good candidate for development as an anti-ulcer drug.
Materials and Methods

Chemical and Reagent

Ethanol, petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, chloroform, Methanol and acetone were procured from Guangdong Guanghua Technology Co. Ltd. (Guangdong, China). N-BuOH was obtained from Tianjin DaMao Chemical Reagent Factory. (Tianjin, China). DIAION HP2MGL Macroporous resin was obtained from Mitsubishi. (Japan). Sephadex LH-20 was obtained from Cytiva. (America). Omeprazole (OME) was obtained from CSPC Ouyi Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. (Hebei, China). The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits for determination of IL-6, IL-10, TNF-α, ALT, AST, GAS, PGE2, COX-2 and Cr were obtained from Shanghai MLBIO Biotechnology Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). All other chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grade.

Plant Material

_Eucalyptus citriodora_ leaves was collected at the Lingnan Normal University, Guangdong, China. It was authenticated by one of the authors (Zhongliu Zhou) and a voucher specimen (EC 2020/9) was deposited at the Natural Medicinal Chemistry Lab of the university.

Extraction of Plant Material

The _Eucalyptus citriodora_ leaves (12 kg) was dried, ground and extracted with 95% EtOH (5 * 10 L). The solution collected was concentrated under reduced pressure and then dispersed into distilled water. The solution obtained successively extracted with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate and water-saturated n-BuOH. The water-saturated n-BuOH fraction (160 g) was fractionated using DIAION HP2MGL macroporous resin column chromatography eluting with H2O/EtOH (1:0, 7:3, 5:5, 3:7, 1:19) to acquired five fractions. Fraction 3 (40 g) was separated using silica gel column eluting with chloroform/Methanol/H2O (9:1:0.1, 8:2:0.2, 7:3:0.5, 6:4:1), and then was purified by Sephadex LH-20 column eluting with MeOH and LC-100 semi-preparative HPLC (C18, 10.0 * 250 mm, 5 μm) using MeOH/H2O (60:30 to 100:0) to obtain ten compounds.

Animals

The animal experiments were conducted according to the rules of animal experiment and the protocol also followed the rules of the local Animal Ethics Committee (Ethic Permit TCMF1-2014025). Forty-eight male mice (20-25 g) were purchased from the
Guangdong Medical Laboratory Animal Center (Guangdong, China). The mice were placed in suitable cages with inert husk materials as bedding and were maintained under controlled environment conditions of light and dark cycle (light 12 h, dark 12 h, temperature 23 ± 2 °C and relative humidity 55 ± 10%) for a period of 1 week before starting the experiments. The animals were provided free access to water and standard chow pellets.

**Experimental design**

The mice were randomly assigned to six groups, containing normal group, model group, omeprazole group and high / meddle / low dose of Ellagic acid. All groups successively received corresponding drugs once a day (0.1 mL/10 g) for fourteen days by a gastric gavage. (Normal group and model group administrated normal saline.)

**Induction of acute gastric ulcer and sample collection**

On the last day of giving drugs, 60 mins after drug administration, the mice were not in normal group received absolute ethanol (0.2 mL/10 g) by a gastric gavage, while normal group received normal saline [35]. After 1 h, removed the eyeballs of the mice and the blood was collected under 4 °C and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min to obtain serum preserving at -20 °C for biochemical estimation. The mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation, the stomach was removed, opened along the greater curvature and rinsed gently in normal saline. The stomach, kidney and liver tissues were collected and rinsed with normal saline and then fixed into 4% paraformaldehyde solution, processed for histological, immunohistochemical, and biochemical assessments.

**Histopathological evaluation**

After the stomach, kidney and liver tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution for 24h, these tissues were dehydrated using a series of alcohol, cleared in xylene and then treated with paraffin imbedding. Tissues were cut into 5 µm thickness, stained with Hematoxylin-eosin and were performed to observe the histopathological changes of these samples under a light microscope.

**Liver tissue levels in mice**

The livers from the mice were processed into piece and added pre-cooled saline (5mL/10g) and then homogenate in the ice-bath and centrifuged under 4 °C at 4000 rpm for 10 min to obtain serum. The levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and
aspartate aminotransferase (AST) in the liver tissue were determined according to the kit instructions (Shanghai MLBIO Biotechnology Co. Ltd.).

**Serum levels in mice**

The level of IL-6, IL-10, TNF-α, GAS, COX-2, PGE₂ and Cr in the serum were determined according to the kit instructions (Shanghai MLBIO Biotechnology Co. Ltd.).

**Statistical analysis**

The results from each group were calculated as mean ± standard error of mean (S.E.M.). They were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and the statistical evaluation between two groups was determined by LSD on SPSS 20.0. Probability (P) values less than 0.05 (P<0.05) were considered to be statistically significant.
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