Community’s Knowledge of COVID-19 and Its Associated Factors in Mizan-Aman Town, Southwest Ethiopia, 2020
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Background: Coronaviruses are a large group of viruses that are common throughout the community. They are associated with mortality, hospitalization, substantial extra costs and lower patient’s quality of life. Thus, this study aimed to assess the community’s knowledge of COVID-19 and associated factors in Mizan-Aman town, southwest Ethiopia.

Methods: Community-based cross-sectional study design was conducted among the community of Mizan-Aman from April 14 to May 14, 2020. A systematic sampling technique was used to collect data from selected households. Data were entered into Epi data version 4.0.2.101 and then exported to SPSS version 24.0 for analysis. To identify the predictors of knowledge of COVID-19, multiple backward logistic regression analysis was used. To show the accuracy of data analysis, 95% CI was used, and statistical significance was considered at p <0.05.

Results: From 423 sampled population, 393 (92.9%) of them responded to the questionnaire. Of these, 233 (59.3%) were male, 225 (57.3%) were 18–34 years old, and 250 (63.6%) were married. The overall correct rate of the knowledge questionnaire was 74.75%. More than 85% of respondents were well aware of the main clinical symptoms of COVID-19, its transmission by close contact, its prevention by not going to crowded places and isolation of infected persons. Male gender (AOR=3.74, CI: 1.87–7.49), age (35–54 years) (AOR=3.81, CI: 1.35–10.70), age ≥55 (AOR=2.97, CI: 1.16–7.62), lack of formal education (AOR=6.0, CI: 1.54–23.40), farmer (AOR=8.72, CI: 2.08–35.53), daily laborer (AOR=7.57, CI: 2.28–25.15), merchant (AOR=6.34, CI: 2.06–19.43), house wife (AOR=11.59, CI: 2.91–46.23) were significantly associated with poor knowledge, whereas single marital status was less likely associated with poor knowledge of COVID-19.

Conclusion: One-third of the study participants had poor knowledge regarding COVID-19. Male gender, age above thirty-five years, lack of formal education, being farmer, daily laborer, merchant and house wife were significantly associated with poor knowledge. Therefore, awareness creation should be given.
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Introduction

Coronavirus (CoV) infections are emerging respiratory viruses and are known to cause illnesses ranging from the common cold to severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS).1 Its clinical presentations are characterized by acute respiratory distress syndrome, septic shock, metabolic acidosis, bleeding and coagulation dysfunction.2

The previous outbreaks of coronaviruses such as SARS-CoV and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS-CoV) in 2003 and 2015 showed similarities to the novel coronavirus, which was first reported by Chinese authorities in Wuhan city,
the capital of Hubei province in China at the end of December 2019. It is currently the disease resulting in a worldwide outbreak.\textsuperscript{3,4}

The virus is transmitted from humans to human via droplets coughed or exhaled by infected persons, and also by touching droplet-contaminated surfaces or objects and then touching the eyes, nose or mouth.\textsuperscript{5,6} The most commonly reported clinical symptom in laboratory-confirmed cases is fever, followed by dry cough, fatigue, sputum production, dyspnea, sore throat, headache with myalgia or arthralgia.\textsuperscript{7}

Despite a global health crisis, there is currently no proven prophylaxis for those who have been exposed to COVID-19 and treatment for those who go on to develop COVID-19. However, many of the symptoms can be treated and getting early care from a healthcare provider can make the disease less dangerous. There are several clinical trials that are being conducted to evaluate potential therapeutics for COVID-19.\textsuperscript{8,9}

The battle against COVID-19 is still continuing all over the world.\textsuperscript{10} The world Health Organization (WHO) set strategies to prevent and decline the transmission of COVID-19 such as frequent hand-washing with soap, avoiding close contact, staying home, covering mouth and nose with flexed elbow and maintaining social distancing. To guarantee the final success, people’s adherence to these control measures is essential, which is largely affected by their knowledge of COVID-19.\textsuperscript{11,12}

To facilitate outbreak management of COVID-19 in Ethiopia, there is an urgent need to assess the public’s awareness of COVID-19 at this critical moment. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the knowledge of COVID-19 and its associated factors among the community of Mizan-Aman town, southwest Ethiopia. The findings of this study will help the responsible body organize the necessary interventional programs (education, demonstration) in order to provide up-to-date information to control COVID-19 disease.

Methods

Study Area and Period

This study was conducted in Mizan-Aman town, southwest Ethiopia, from April 14 to May 14, 2020. Mizan-Aman is a zonal town of the Benchi-Sheko Zone. It is one of the zones in Southern nation, nationalities, and people’s region. It is 583 kilometers from Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia, to the southwest direction. Mizan-Tepi University, Mizan-Aman College of Health Science and Mizan-Aman Town Health office disseminate health information to the residents face to face while Fana FM and Debub FM radios were delivering information using their stations.

Study Design, Population and Sample

A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted in Mizan-Aman town to assess the community’s knowledge of COVID-19. All adults of Mizan-Aman town were the source population and all selected individuals who fulfilled the eligibility criteria were the study population.

Inclusions and Exclusions Criteria

Adults (age≥18 years) and who were permanent residents (greater than 6 months) of Mizan-Aman town were included in the study, whereas adults who were unwilling to respond and houses closed during data collection were excluded from the study.

Sample Size Determination and Sampling Techniques

The sample size was determined based on the single population proportion formula: \( n = \left( \frac{Z_{\alpha/2}}{p (1-p)} \right)^2 /d^2 \) with the assumption of a 95% confidence interval (\( Z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96 \)), marginal error (d) of 5% and \( P=50\% \) with a 10% non-response rate, the required total sample size was 423. Systematic random sampling was used to select sample households that represent the entire town. The interval, \( K \), was calculated by dividing total households by 423. The sample household was selected systematically by jumping every 30 households. The first household was selected by the lottery method. From the selected household, the study participant was chosen by the lottery method if more than one eligible individual present.

Study Variables

In this study, the dependent variable was Knowledge, whereas independent variables included sex, age, marital status, ethnicity, religion, educational status, occupational status and presence of health professionals in the household.

Data Collection Instrument and Procedure

A structured questionnaire was adapted from similar literature, which contains 2 parts. Part I includes respondent’s background data and Part II includes knowledge-related questions. The questionnaire was translated into the local language (Amharic) by persons who were
proficient in both languages. Then, the questionnaire was pre-tested on a 5% of the total sample size in a Kite Town, which is 8 Km away from Mizan-Aman Town, and modified based on the pretest. Five experienced BSc public health and two supervisors were recruited and trained for data collection and supervision, respectively. The training was given for two days on how to ensure confidentiality, tool, how to protect themselves and community from infection and interview techniques. Additionally, the data collectors and supervisors adhered to the WHO and Ethiopian Ministry of Health guidelines on COVID-19 prevention. Accordingly, they maintained social distance, worn personal protective equipment and used an alcohol-based hand sanitizer during the data collection period. Data were collected through face-to-face interview method. The supervisors and principal investigators supervised the process of data collection on a daily basis.

Outcome Measures
A knowledge questionnaire was developed from different studies. The questionnaire had 12 questions with 3 regarding clinical presentations, 4 regarding transmission routes, and 5 regarding prevention and control of COVID-19. These questions were answered on a true/false basis with an additional “I don’t know” option. A correct answer was assigned 1 point and an incorrect/unknown answer was assigned 0 point. During the analysis, negatively worded items of knowledge (number 7 and 10) were reversely scored. The total knowledge score ranged from 0 to 12, with a higher score denoting a better knowledge of COVID-19. The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of the knowledge questionnaire was calculated to the check internal consistency of the tool, which was 0.78.

Data Quality Assurance and Management
Data quality was assured by careful selection and collection of complete and appropriate data. The clarity and completeness of data collection formats were checked before the actual data collection. Data were cleared before entered into Epi data manager version 4.0.2.101. The collected data were processed and retained cautiously in line with its objective. A 5% sample pretest was performed on randomly selected residents before the beginning of the study.

Data Processing and Analysis
The data on questionnaire were entered into Epi data manager version 4.0.2.101 and double entry verification was made. The data were exported to SPSS version 24 statistical packages for analysis. The data were explored to check for outliers, missing data and assumptions. During analysis, frequencies and percentages were used to describe categorical variables, while means and standard deviations were used to describe continuous variables. Bivariate analysis was performed to select variables for multivariate analysis. Variables with a p-value <0.25 in the bivariate analysis were taken as candidates for multivariable analysis. Finally, a multivariable logistic model was created to predict the determinants of knowledge. P-value less than 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant variables.

Operational Definition
Knowledge
Each correct answer in relation to the knowledge of COVID-19 was given one point. The total knowledge score varied between 0 (with no correct answer) and 12 (for all correct answers), and a cut off level of <8 was evaluated as poor knowledge, and ≥8 indicated good knowledge.

Ethical Clearance
Ethical clearance was obtained from Mizan-Tepi University Ethics Review Board. The Mizan-Aman town administration office was informed about the purpose of the study to get permission. Both data collectors and supervisors adhered to the WHO and Ethiopian Ministry of Health COVID-19 prevention guidelines during data collection. Confidentiality of the respondents was secured by excluding respondent’s identifiers like name and house number from the data collection format. Informed consent was obtained from the respondents before conducting the study.

Results
From a total of 423 sampled populations, 393 (92.9%) of the study population responded to the questionnaire. The mean age of the study population was 33.85 (SD±13.79) years. Two hundred thirty-three (59.3%) were male, 250 (63.6%) were married, 158 (40.2%) were orthodox and 133 (33.8%) were Bench in ethnicity. Eighteen percent of the participants had health care professionals in their homes (Table 1).

Knowledge
Mixed responses were obtained for 12 knowledge items. The mean COVID-19 knowledge score was 8.97±1.7. More than 85% of respondents were well aware of the
Table 1 Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Study Participants in Miazan-Aman Town, Southwest, Ethiopia, 2020

| Variables     | Categories | Frequency % (n=393) |
|---------------|------------|---------------------|
| Sex           | Female     | 160 (40.7)          |
|               | Male       | 233 (59.3)          |
| Age           | 18–34      | 225 (57.3)          |
|               | 35–54      | 127 (32.3)          |
|               | ≥55        | 41 (10.4)           |
|               | Mean +STD  | 33.85+13.79         |
| Religion      | Protestant | 108 (27.5)          |
|               | Orthodox   | 158 (40.2)          |
|               | Muslim     | 114 (29.0)          |
|               | Catholic   | 8 (2.0)             |
|               | Jehovah’s witness | 5 (1.3) |
| Ethnicity     | Bench      | 133 (33.8)          |
|               | Amhara     | 118 (30.0)          |
|               | Kafa       | 77 (19.6)           |
|               | Oromo      | 30 (7.6)            |
|               | Sheka      | 17 (4.3)            |
|               | Other*     | 18 (4.6)            |
| Marital status| Married    | 250 (63.6)          |
|               | Single     | 122 (31.0)          |
|               | Widowed /Divorced | 21 (5.3) |
| Educational status | No formal education | 38 (9.7) |
|               | Primary education | 159 (40.5) |
|               | Secondary school | 112 (28.5)          |
|               | University/ college | 84 (21.4) |
| Occupational status | Farmer | 31 (7.9) |
|               | Employee   | 61 (15.5)           |
|               | Daily labor | 60 (15.3)          |
|               | Merchant   | 107 (27.2)          |
|               | Student    | 99 (25.2)           |
|               | Unemployed/ Housewife | 35 (8.9) |

Note: Other*: Tigre, Gurage and Site.

main clinical symptoms of COVID-19, its transmission by close contact, its prevention by not going to crowded places and isolation of infected persons. Additionally, 84.2% of the participants responded correctly for not all persons with COVID-19 will develop to severe cases, and (77.4%) of them knew as COVID-19 virus spreads via respiratory droplets of infected individuals. Furthermore, two-thirds of participants knew that eating or contacting wild animals would result in infection and ordinary residents can wear general medical masks to prevent infection. However, when respondents asked questions related to transmission of COVID 19 in the absence of fever in infected persons, the importance of taking measures for infants and young children to prevent the infection and uncommon symptoms of COVID 19 compared to common cold, 66.4%, 54.7% and 49.1% of them were unable to identify correct answers, respectively. Generally, 35.4% of the population had poor knowledge (Table 2). Differences in knowledge scores among different demographic characteristics were assessed using t-tests. The results show that knowledge scores were significantly different across age categories, educational status, presence of health professionals in households, occupation status and marital status (Table 3).

Predictors of Knowledge
Sex (male), age (35–54 and ≥55 years), marital status (single), educational status (no formal education), occupational status (farmer, student, unemployed/housewife) were significantly associated with poor knowledge of COVID-19 (Table 4).

Discussion
Currently, COVID-19 is a global discussion topic in the media and among the public. Until now, the outbreak is considered an emergency and the community has an increased risk of infection. However, up to our knowledge, there is no investigation of the community’s knowledge of COVID-19 and its associated factors in this study area.

The findings in our study showed that the overall correct rate of the knowledge questionnaire was 74.75%. This is lower than the study done in China where the overall correct rate of the knowledge questionnaire was 90%. This discrepancy might be due to the difference in technology access and educational level between the study populations. In general, in our study, 35.4% of participants had poor knowledge about the disease, its mode of transmission, prevention and control.

In this study, 87.5% of the respondents knew that the main clinical symptoms of COVID-19 were fever, fatigue, dry cough, and myalgia and 73.8% of them knew as currently, there is no effective cure for COVID-2019, but early symptomatic and supportive treatment can help most patients recover from the infection. This is similar to the finding reported by Kebede et al where 83% of the respondents knew that the main clinical symptoms of COVID-19 are fever, fatigue, dry cough, and myalgia and 72.1% of respondents knew that currently, there is no effective cure for COVID-2019, but early symptomatic
Table 2 Knowledge of Respondents Toward COVID-19 Among Residents of Mizan-Aman, Southwest Ethiopia, 2020

| No. | Variables                                                                 | Response | Yes       | No        |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1   | COVID-19 is transmitted by close contact with the infected person.        |          | 352 (89.6)| 41 (10.4) |
| 2   | The main clinical symptoms of COVID-19 are fever, fatigue, dry cough, and myalgia |          | 344 (87.5)| 49 (12.5) |
| 3   | Unlike the common cold, stuffy nose, runny nose, and sneezing are less common in persons infected with the COVID-19 |          | 200 (50.9)| 193 (49.1) |
| 4   | Currently, there is no effective cure for COVID-2019, but early symptomatic and supportive treatment can help patients recover from the infection |          | 290 (73.8)| 103 (26.2) |
| 5   | Not all persons with COVID-19 will develop to severe cases. Only those who are elderly, have chronic illnesses (DM, HTN), and obese are more likely to be severe cases |          | 331 (84.2)| 62 (15.8)  |
| 6   | Eating or contacting wild animals would result in the infection by the COVID-19 virus. |          | 259 (65.9)| 134 (34.1) |
| 7   | Persons with COVID-19 cannot infect the virus to others when a fever is not present. |          | 132 (33.6)| 261 (66.4) |
| 8   | The COVID-19 virus spreads via respiratory droplets of infected individuals. |          | 304 (77.4)| 89 (22.6)  |
| 9   | Ordinary residents can wear general medical masks to prevent the infection by the COVID-19 virus. |          | 245 (62.3)| 148 (27.7) |
| 10  | It is not necessary for children and young adults to take measures to prevent the infection by the COVID-19 virus |          | 178 (45.3)| 215 (54.7) |
| 11  | To prevent the infection by COVID-19, individuals should avoid going to crowded places such as train stations and avoid taking public transportations. |          | 352 (89.6)| 41 (10.4)  |
| 12  | Isolation and treatment of people who are infected with the COVID-19 virus are effective ways to reduce the spread of the virus. |          | 340 (86.5)| 53 (13.5)  |
| 13  | Overall knowledge | Good knowledge = 254 (64.6) | Poor knowledge = 139 (35.4) |

Table 3 Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Participants and Knowledge Score Among Community of Mizan-Aman Town, Southwest Ethiopia, 2020

| Variables                      | Categories          | Frequency (%) | Knowledge Score |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
|                                |                     | N=393         | Mean (±SD)      | t/F   | p-value  |
| Sex                            | Female              | 160 (40.70)   | 8.99 (1.92)     | 5.30  | 0.018    |
|                                | Male                | 233 (59.30)   | 8.95 (1.59)     |       |          |
| Age                            | 18–34               | 225 (57.30)   | 9.15 (1.60)     | 5.50  | 0.004    |
|                                | 35–54               | 127 (32.30)   | 8.56 (1.98)     |       |          |
|                                | ≥55                 | 41 (10.40)    | 9.27 (1.34)     |       |          |
| Marital status                 | Married             | 250 (63.60)   | 8.97 (1.72)     | 29.52 | 0.000    |
|                                | Single              | 122 (31.00)   | 9.34 (1.36)     |       |          |
|                                | Widowed/Divorced    | 21 (5.30)     | 6.86 (1.97)     |       |          |
| Educational status             | No formal education | 38 (9.70)     | 7.00 (1.7)      | 64.72 | 0.000    |
|                                | Primary education   | 159 (40.50)   | 8.29 (1.34)     |       |          |
|                                | Secondary school    | 112 (28.50)   | 9.82 (1.24)     |       |          |
|                                | University/college  | 84 (21.40)    | 10.00 (1.20)    |       |          |
| Occupational status            | Farmer              | 31 (7.90)     | 6.87 (1.67)     | 21.10 | 0.000    |
|                                | Employee            | 61 (15.50)    | 9.93 (1.14)     |       |          |
|                                | Daily labor         | 60 (15.30)    | 8.47 (1.60)     |       |          |
|                                | Merchant            | 107 (27.20)   | 9.26 (1.44)     |       |          |
|                                | Student             | 99 (25.20)    | 9.30 (1.39)     |       |          |
|                                | Unemployed/Housewife| 35 (8.90)     | 8.17 (1.75)     |       |          |
| Presence of health care provider in household | Yes  | 71 (18.10) | 9.94 (1.39) | 29.52 | 0.000 |
|                                | No                  | 322 (81.90)   | 8.75 (1.72)     |       |          |
Table 4  Multivariable Logistic Regression of Community’s Knowledge of COVID-19 and Its Association Factors in Mzan-Aman Town, Southwest, Ethiopia, 2020

| Variables                     | Categories          | Good Knowledge | Poor Knowledge | COR          | AOR          | P-value |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Sex                           | Female              | 113 (70.6)     | 47 (29.4)      | 1            | 1.57 (1.02–2.41) | 3.74 (1.87–7.49) | 0.000* |
|                               | Male                | 141 (55.5)     | 92 (39.5)      | 1            | 1.09 (0.68–1.75) | 1.87 (1.02–3.43) | 0.041* |
| Age                           | 18–34               | 154 (68.4)     | 71 (31.6)      | 1            | 0.99 (0.48–2.03) | 1.64 (0.78–3.47) | 0.011* |
|                               | 35–54               | 72 (56.7)      | 55 (43.3)      | 1            | 0.98 (0.48–2.03) | 1.64 (0.78–3.47) | 0.011* |
|                               | ≥55                 | 28 (68.3)      | 13 (31.7)      | 1            | 1.64 (0.78–3.47) | 1.64 (0.78–3.47) | 0.011* |
| Marital status                | Married             | 154 (61.6)     | 96 (38.4)      | 1            | 0.48 (0.29–0.78) | 0.073 (0.05–1.40) | 0.221* |
|                               | Single              | 94 (77.0)      | 28 (23.0)      | 1            | 0.40 (0.22–0.75) | 0.080 (0.05–1.37) | 0.083  |
|                               | Widowed/Divorced    | 6 (28.6)       | 15 (71.4)      | 1            | 0.81 (0.42–1.56) | 1.62 (0.80–3.30) | 0.264  |
| Educational status            | No formal education | 7 (18.4)       | 31 (81.6)      | 24.18 (8.80–66.48) | 6.0 (1.54–3.30) | 0.010* |
|                               | Primary education   | 79 (49.7)      | 80 (50.3)      | 4.53 (2.83–10.78) | 2.43 (0.98–5.90) | 0.059  |
|                               | Secondary school    | 97 (86.6)      | 15 (13.4)      | 0.84 (0.38–1.88) | 0.442 (0.17–1.13) | 0.089  |
|                               | University/ college | 71 (84.5)      | 13 (15.5)      | 1            | 1            | 1       |
| Occupational status           | Employee            | 55 (90.2)      | 6 (9.8)        | 1            | 38.19 (11.2–130.2) | 8.72 (2.08–35.53) | 0.003* |
|                               | Farmer              | 6 (19.4)       | 25 (80.6)      | 14.74 (5.47–39.70) | 7.57 (2.28–25.15) | 0.001* |
|                               | Daily labor         | 23 (38.3)      | 37 (61.7)      | 3.09 (1.19–7.99) | 6.34 (2.06–19.43) | 0.001* |
|                               | Merchant            | 78 (78.8)      | 21 (21.2)      | 2.46 (0.93–6.51) | 2.32 (0.74–7.31) | 0.150  |
|                               | Student             | 80 (74.8)      | 27 (25.2)      | 17.56 (5.88–52.48) | 11.59 (2.91–46.23) | 0.001* |
|                               | Unemployed/Housewife| 12 (34.3)      | 23 (65.7)      | 1            | 1            | 1       |
| Presence of health professionals in Household | Yes | 60 (84.5) | 11 (15.5) | 1 | 3.56 (1.82–7.10) | 1 | 2.26 (0.93–5.51) | 0.073 |
|                               | No                  | 194 (60.2)     | 128 (39.8)     | 1            | 1            | 1       |

Note: *Statistically significant (p<0.05).

and supportive treatment can help most patients recover from the infection.

Multiple logistic regression analysis of this finding showed that being male was significantly associated with poor knowledge of COVID-19 as compared to females. This is similar to a study done in China and Saudi Arabia, where male was significantly associated with low knowledge scores. In our finding age above 35 years was significantly associated with poor knowledge. This is in agreement with the study done in Egypt, where respondents’ age older than 50 years were significantly associated with poor knowledge. However, this is in contrast to a study done in China where age above 30 years was associated with good knowledge. Lack of formal education was significantly associated with poor knowledge. This is similar to a previous study. The possible justification might be formal education providing basic infectious disease (such as the SARS-CoV) concept, mode of transmission and prevention. In this study, being unemployed was significantly associated with poor knowledge of COVID-19. This is in agreement with a previous report finding. In addition to this being farmer, daily laborer and merchants were significantly associated with poor knowledge of COVID-19.

However, being single in marital status was less likely associated with poor knowledge. Similarly, other previous study also demonstrated that being unmarried was associated with good knowledge. The possible reason might be that unmarried persons have less social and family responsibility, which reduces psychosocial burden, which in turn increases their knowledge.

Conclusion
One-third of the respondents had poor knowledge of the COVID-19 concept, transmission, prevention and control. Being male, age above 35 years, lack of formal education, being farmer, daily laborer, merchant and unemployed/house wife were significantly associated with poor knowledge, whereas being single was less likely associated with poor knowledge of COVID-19.
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