Low-mass compact elliptical galaxies: spatially-resolved stellar populations and kinematics with the Keck Cosmic Web Imager
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ABSTRACT
We present spatially-resolved two-dimensional maps and radial trends of the stellar populations and kinematics for a sample of six compact elliptical galaxies (cE) using spectroscopy from the Keck Cosmic Web Imager (KCWI). We recover their star formation histories, finding that all except one of our cEs are old and metal rich, with both age and metallicity decreasing toward their outer radii. We also use the integrated values within one effective radius to study different scaling relations. Comparing our cEs with others from the literature and from simulations we reveal the formation channel that these galaxies might have followed. All our cEs are fast rotators, with relatively high rotation values given their low ellipticities. In general, the properties of our cEs are very similar to those seen in the cores of more massive galaxies, and in particular, to massive compact galaxies. Five out of our six cEs are the result of stripping a more massive (compact or extended) galaxy, and only one cE is compatible with having been formed intrinsically as the low-mass, compact object that we see today. These results further confirm that cEs are a mixed-bag of galaxies that can be formed following different formation channels, reporting for the first time an evolutionary link within the realm of compact galaxies (at all stellar masses).
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1 INTRODUCTION
The low-mass and compact regime of early-type galaxies (ETGs) is populated by different families of galaxies (e.g. Drinkwater et al. 2000; Hasegan et al. 2005; Brodie et al. 2011; Misgeld & Hilker 2011). One of these is the so-called compact ellipticals (cE), broadly characterized by galaxies having stellar masses of $10^8 \lesssim M_*/M_\odot \lesssim 10^{10}$ and galaxy sizes between 100 – 900 pc. This implies high stellar densities that resemble those in the cores of massive ETGs or the bulges of spirals (e.g. Faber 1973; Bekki et al. 2001; Choi et al. 2002; Graham 2002; Drinkwater et al. 2003). However, their origins and relationship to the more massive and extended ETGs are still under debate, with different formation channels proposed.

One possibility is that cEs are formed by stripping the loosely-bound stars from the outer envelopes of a larger, more massive galaxy (i.e. nurture). In this case, cEs should reveal the properties of the central regions of the progenitor. The irrefutable smoking gun for this scenario is the fact that some cEs have been seen being stripped by their host galaxy (e.g. Huxor et al. 2011; Paudel & Ree 2014). Moreover, some of them have been found to host larger super-massive black holes (SMBH) than expected for their stellar mass (e.g. van der Marel et al. 1997; Barber et al. 2016; Paudel et al. 2016; Pechetti et al. 2017). This can be easily explained under the stripping origin, as the measured SMBH would correspond to the one formed initially with the massive progenitor, before it was stripped (e.g. Ferré-Mateu et al. 2015; van Son et al. 2019).

However, some cEs have also been discovered in isolation, with no possible host nearby to produce the stripping, and thus an intrinsic, or in-situ, origin has been proposed for these (e.g. Huxor et al. 2013; Paudel et al. 2014). In this scenario, such cEs are formed as intrinsically compact objects as we see them today (i.e. nature), representing the lowest mass/luminosity end of the classical ETG family (e.g. Wirth & Gallagher 1984; Nieto & Prugniel 1987; Kormendy et al. 2009; Kormendy & Bender 2012). As such, these cEs are expected to follow the scaling relations that govern classical ETGs. For example, Under this assumption they should host the elusive and long sought intermediate black holes (Mezcua 2017) that correspond to galaxies of such low stellar masses. Nonetheless, there is also a more exotic nurture channel proposed for these isolated cEs, wherein they have been ejected far from their massive hosts through a three-body encounter, after tidal stripping (Chilingarian & Zolotukhin 2015).

A handful of simulations aim to reproduce the different formation
channels of cEs, owing to the different origins. Martinović & Micic (2017) simulated cEs located near (<100 kpc) a massive galaxy, and found that the majority formed intrinsically as a low mass compact object, in-situ within a cluster environment. Such a dense environment was responsible for preventing the galaxy growing further in size (e.g. Wellons et al. 2016). Only 30% of their simulated cEs were formed outside the cluster as larger, Milky-Way-type galaxies which subsequently had their stars stripped through cluster infall. Exploring a different channel, Du et al. (2018) used high resolution simulations to reproduce cEs as the product of a low-mass satellite galaxy infalling on highly radial orbits into a more massive galaxy. They found that tidal stripping from the massive galaxy alone is not enough to produce a cE, and instead, a combination of ram-pressure confinement, tidal stripping and bursty star formation is required. Nonetheless, these two simulations were tailored for dense environments and therefore can not explain the existence of cEs in the field. To cover this, Urrutia Zapata et al. (2019) investigated another mechanism for forming cEs. They simulated their cEs as the result of several mergers of very massive star clusters (Fellhauer & Kroupa 2002), producing the most compact and least massive cEs seen observationally. Therefore, three different sets of simulations can already reproduce the properties of observed cEs via different formation channels, but none alone is enough to describe the entire family, reinforcing the view that a variety of origins exist for this rare family. Given the limited theoretical information and the rarity of these objects, they constitute an open puzzle for the low mass/luminosity end of the ETG realm. From the few couple hundreds reported to date (Chilingarian et al. 2009; Norris et al. 2014; Chilingarian & Zolotukhin 2015; Kim et al. 2020, K+20 hereafter), it is still unclear if there is any mechanism that dominates their formation and whether or not these are related to any environmental or mass dependencies. Fortunately, cEs show very distinctive trends in their stellar population relations that can help differentiate between one origin or another. For example, cEs with a stripped origin will be outliers in the local mass–metallicity relations (e.g. Lequeux et al. 1979; Matteucci 1994; Gallazzi et al. 2005; Kirby et al. 2013), being more metal-rich than expected from their stellar mass. In contrast, those cEs that follow the mass–metallicity relation suggest an intrinsic origin. Following the idea of having several indicators to differentiate between origins, we analyzed in Ferré-Mateu et al. (2018) (FM+18 hereafter) the properties of a sample of 25 cEs. We found that about 85% of the cEs were compatible with a stripping origin (previously or ongoing). The remaining 15% were better represented by intrinsically low-mass compact systems. Interestingly, it turned out that these intrinsic cEs were mostly those with no nearby host and located in sparse environments. We note that this sample was biased towards objects near a host galaxy. Using a larger and more homogeneous sample of cEs, K+20 found that from their sample of 138 cEs, 65 could be associated to a host galaxy while 73 were either isolated or not close enough to a more massive galaxy. However, both the FM+18 and K+20 works are based on SDSS fibre spectroscopy, whose fixed aperture size leads to a range of radial coverage depending on the distance of the galaxy, in these cases ranging from 0.5R_e to 3R_e (effective radii). This limitation can introduce biases to the derived mass–metallicity relation in the presence of metallicity gradients.

In this vein we now study the spatially-resolved 2D properties of this unique and rare family of galaxies to better understand their different formation pathways. Although cEs have high central densities, their surface brightness decline rapidly with radius. We are thus pushing the limits of the new IFU capabilities by obtaining spatially resolved properties of these galaxies and probing into their outskirts when possible. In this study, one of the very few to date, we present a sample of six cEs using the Keck Cosmic Web Imager (KCWI; Morrissey et al. 2012) on the Keck-II telescope. Most integral-field unit (IFU) studies in this low-mass/luminosity regime have focused on the more common, extended dEs (e.g. Ryš et al. 2014; Toloba et al. 2014; Scott et al. 2020), and therefore the low-mass, compact regime of ETGs remains mostly unexplored. Only Guérou et al. (2015) (G+15 hereafter) previously obtained 2D information for a sample of cEs, although their sample did not reach the lowest mass range defined for cEs. The sample presented in this work, despite being equally small, covers the entire mass range expected for cEs. We define this work sample in §2, presenting the new KCWI observations and the data reduction in §3. §4 presents the analysis of both the stellar populations and kinematics from the resulting 2-D spectroscopic maps, §5 discusses our results and the implications for the possible formation pathways of cEs and §6 presents the conclusions.

2 THE SAMPLE

While spectroscopic studies of cEs have been done with a few tens of candidates, only about a dozen objects have been studied in detail, mostly with long-slit spectroscopy (e.g. Graham 2002; Choi et al. 2002; Huxor et al. 2011; Huxor et al. 2013; Paudel et al. 2013; Janz et al. 2017; Paudel et al. 2014). In FM+18 we studied the stellar populations and kinematics of a sample of 25 cEs from SDSS. However, the study was spatially-restricted to the fibre size of SDSS (i.e. ~3” diameter), which meant that we only partially covered some of the cEs, introducing possible aperture effects. In fact, IFU observations of cEs are extremely scarce due to the their small sizes and low luminosities, with the notable exception of G+15. In the latter, the authors performed a kinematic and stellar population analysis for a sample of 8 cEs in Virgo with Gemini/GMOS. In this paper we aim at building up the sample of spatially-resolved cEs with detailed stellar populations and kinematic analysis in order to further understand this rather poorly-studied family of ETGs in the low mass regime. We are able to almost double the number of spatially-resolved cEs, extending the analysis to the lowest masses in the cE range and to other environments outside of the Virgo Cluster from G+15.

Our sample of cEs includes some already known ones, such as NGC 5846A, NGC 4486B (= VCC 1297), and VCC 344. It also includes other cEs that have been recently discovered, like NGC 5846cE and J160537.21+142441.2 (FM+18). The latter was renamed J1614 for simplicity and we keep here this naming. For J1614, NGC 4486B, NGC 5846cE and VCC 344, there are available photometric SDSS data, and NGC 4486B was also studied by G+15. NGC 3665cO is a new discovery reported here for the first time. It was part of an ongoing search for cEs and high-mass ultracompact dwarfs (UCDs) around host galaxies from the ATLAS3D survey (Cappellari et al. 2011). Following our success of using SDSS 2dF searches for compact galaxies (Sandoval et al. 2015; FM+18) we used the DR7 database to search for objects within 40 kpc projected distance of a host galaxy, with photometric parameters appropriate to cEs and UCDs. The criteria included: SDSS photometric classification as “GALAXY” based on being non-pointlike; absolute magnitude in the range M_r = −12.0 to −20.0 (equivalent to M_r ~ 10^−7−10^−10 M_☉); colour g−i = 0.9–1.4; half-light radius R_50 = 40 pc–1 kpc (note these SDSS model-based sizes are comparable to the seeing and should be treated as initial guesses). The search returned compact objects like SDSS J112454.73+384644.4, close to NGC 3665. We decided to obtain IFU observations because this is the most compact, least massive of the cEs ever observed, being close to the limit on what can
be considered a cE. For this reason we dub NGC 3665cO (compact object) rather than NGC 3665cE. Objects like this are crucial to explore the limiting regions between types of galaxies. VCC 344, also resulted from this search. Established as part of the Virgo Cluster Catalogue (Binggeli et al. 1985; but actually it is behind the Virgo Cluster), it was called “M32-like” by Sandage (1994) and classified as “cE2” in NED – yet it was missed in works on nearby cEs in the recent years.

All of the galaxies in the sample except for J1614 are associated with a larger, more massive galaxy (see Table 1). J1614 is an interesting case because it resides in the outskirts of a galaxy cluster but there is no plausible host within a radius of several hundred kpc (see FM+18 for more information). We note the first caveat of our sample, similar to FM+18; for each cE without host, there are 5 cEs with host. This selection bias disappears when larger and more homogeneous samples are used. For example, in K+20 ~47% of the cEs are associated to a host galaxy while the rest are not. Like FM+18, K+20 generally found that those with a host were located in clusters or groups, while the cEs without host were typically in the field. In this work, three cEs are in cluster environments while the other three belong to groups, but none is considered to be in the field. Nonetheless, one of the cluster cEs, J1614, is located in the outskirts of the cluster and could be considered as a low density region. Furthermore, deep imaging from the literature shows no indications that any of our targets are currently suffering an interaction with their host galaxy or surroundings, which means that they will be either already stripped galaxies or be of the intrinsic type.

| Galaxy       | RA          | Dec         | Host                  | Proj.D | Distance | Source | Configuration | Exposures (s) |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|--------|---------------|---------------|
| J160537.21+142441.2 | 16:05:37.2  | +14:24:41   | –                     | None   | 80.8 ± 5.7 | a      | Small BL4550  | 2 x 1800       |
| NGC 3665cO   | 11:24:54.7  | +38:46:44   | NGC 3665              | 141.8  | 37.7 ± 2.6 | a      | Large BL4550  | 3 x 600        |
| NGC 4486B    | 12:30:31.9  | +12:29:24   | NGC 4486              | 394.5  | 16.5 ± 2.3 | b      | Large BL4550  | 2 x 1200       |
| NGC 5846A    | 15:06:29.4  | +01:35:41   | NGC 5846              | 38.7   | 25.0 ± 3.0 | b      | Large BL4550  | 3 x 600 × 6 × 400 |
| NGC 5846cE   | 15:06:34.2  | +01:33:31   | NGC 5846              | 310.3  | 25.0 ± 3.0 | b      | Medium BL4550 | 2 x 1200       |
| VCC 344      | 12:19:22.1  | +00:47:56   | NGC 4261              | 108.8  | 32.4 ± 4.5 | b      | Small BL4550  | 4 x 900        |

Columns: (1) Galaxy; (2–3) coordinates in J2000; (4) host galaxy/group/cluster; (5) projected distance to the host (arcsec); (6) distance (Mpc); (7) source for distance: (a) - NED, (b) - Tully et al. (2013); (8) KCWI configuration of slicer field of view, grating and central wavelength; (9) exposure times for each object.

The data were reduced using the KCWI pipeline KDERP1, which performs a full standard data reduction. It delivers wavelength- and flux-calibrated 3D datacubes. The only stage we excluded from the pipeline was sky subtraction, which was performed as discussed below. Individual cubes were combined and weighted by their S/N. The KCWI instrument has rectangular pixels on the sky, so the 3D cubes were re-binned by interpolating in the x-direction to produce square pixels. The sky subtraction was then performed using a blank region within the KCWI field of view, available for all of our objects due to their extreme compactness. Finally, the wavelength range was trimmed at the red end just before the strong 5577 Å sky line.

4 ANALYSIS

4.1 Photometry and Structural Parameters

We generated a V-band image for each galaxy by averaging over a 100 Å window around 5500 Å from the flux-calibrated data cubes. These images are then converted to surface brightness (in mag arcsec−2) using the constant for the Johnson V filter from the SSC Magnitude/Flux Density Converter2 and the pixel size (0.146") for the small slicer, 0.292" for the medium and large configurations). The surface brightness images were fitted with a 2D Sérsic profile using the functionality provided in QFitsView (Ott 2012). Figure 1 shows the V-band images as well as the surface brightness profiles and their Sérsic fits. Table 2 shows the derived Sérsic fit parameters. To convert into physical size we use the surface-brightness fluctuation distance tabulated for the host galaxy, when available, as given in the Cosmicflows-2 database (Tully et al. 2013). For J1614, which has no clear host association, and NGC 3665 (NGC 3665cO) that has disparate redshift-independent distances, we use instead the Hubble flow with Virgo Infall + Great Attractor + Shapley corrections from the NASA Extragalactic Database3, setting H₀ = 68 km s−1 Mpc. The assumed distances used are quoted in Table 1. The uncertainty in the effective radius in parsecs is scaled from the distance uncertainty.

We compare our results with previous literature sizes, mostly from the AIMSS compilation (from either Norris et al. 2014 or Janz et al. 2016a), which are based on HST and SDSS imaging. As HST has better resolution, we use the literature value in those cases where a large discrepancy is found, as labeled in Table 2. While we find a similar size for NGC 5846cE and J1614 (243 pc compared to the 240 pc and 504 pc compared to 511, respectively), the differences for

---

1 github.com/Keck-DataReductionPipelines/KcwiDRP
2 ssc.spitzer.caltech.edu/varmission/prokpt/pet/magtoijy/
3 http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/
NGC 4468B and NGC 5846A are larger (314 pc compared to 180 pc and 560 pc compared to 520 pc) and therefore we use the published sizes for these two galaxies. We find that all the cEs have a low Sérsic index ($n < 1$) and a mean surface brightness at $1 R_e$ of $\sim$18–18.5 (in the $V$-band), which are values similar to the cEs in G+15.

### 4.2 Stellar kinematics and stellar populations

We derive integrated values within $1 R_e$ but also study the spatially-resolved properties applying a Voronoi tessellation (Cappellari & Copin 2003) to our data cubes, which spatially bins the spectra to optimize the data to the required signal-to-noise (S/N) value. A S/N of 10–15 $\AA^{-1}$ has been shown to be sufficient for recovering stellar populations (see e.g. Cid Fernandes et al. 2014; Citro et al. 2016; Costantin et al. 2019). However, we apply higher S/N cuts when possible to provide more robust measurements. Given the different physical scale and the quality of the observations, we apply a different S/N threshold to each individual galaxy, ranging from 10 to 40. For each case we then choose the smallest S/N in order to maximize the number of bins, as long as this does not compromise the spatial information. Then the tessellated maps and the spatially-collapsed spectrum within $1 R_e$ are fed into pPXF (Penalized Pixel Fitting; Cappellari & Emsellem 2004) to obtain the stellar kinematics (radial velocity and velocity dispersion, when the instrumental resolution allows it), and the stellar populations (age, metallicity

Figure 1. Image, model and 1D surface brightness profile from KCWI data for our cEs. Surface brightness is in units of mag arcsec$^{-2}$ and the axes scale in arcsec from the centre of the object. Column 1 - $V$-band surface brightness image with flux contours in units of $10^{-15}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ marked in green. The physical length scale is shown in the bottom left of the plot and the orientation is shown with the arrow pointing North and the short line pointing East. Note that not all the field-of-view of each configuration is shown. Column 2 - 2D model fit, with the green ellipse showing the corresponding $1 R_e$ for each galaxy. Column 3 - Surface brightness and Sérsic profile fit; the Sérsic index and effective radius are labelled on each plot; the vertical dotted line is $1 R_e$. Physical sizes are calculated assuming the distances quoted in Table 1.

Table 2. Summary of the measured structural properties.

| Galaxy      | $n$   | $b/a$ | $R_e$ (pc) | $R_e$ (pc) | source | RV (km s$^{-1}$) | $V_{\text{max}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $\sigma_e$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $V / \sigma_e$ | $\lambda_e$ |
|-------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|--------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------|
| J1614       | 0.64  | 0.72  | 1.29       | 504 ± 36   | this work | 4792 ± 2    | 51 ± 2                      | 36 ± 3                 | 0.34 ± 0.12 | 0.35 ± 0.15 |
| NGC 3665O   | 0.55  | 0.74  | 0.74(†)    | 136 ± 9    | this work | 1994 ± 6    | 6 ± 2                       | –                     | –              | –          |
| NGC 4486B   | 1.02  | 0.89  | 2.64       | 282 ± 44   | AIMSS    | 1506 ± 12   | 64 ± 2                      | 170 ± 14                | 0.21 ± 0.13 | 0.19 ± 0.09 |
| NGC 5846A   | 0.91  | 0.76  | 4.13       | 520 ± 67   | AIMSS    | 2211 ± 7    | 83 ± 3                      | 155 ± 22                | 0.49 ± 0.15 | 0.47 ± 0.12 |
| NGC 5846E   | 0.80  | 0.86  | 2.01       | 243 ± 29   | this work | 1456 ± 6    | 29 ± 1                      | 123 ± 9                 | 0.40 ± 0.11 | 0.39 ± 0.11 |
| VCC 344     | 0.70  | 0.82  | 1.78       | 280 ± 39   | this work | 2021 ± 2    | 33 ± 2                      | 85 ± 14                 | 0.19 ± 0.07 | 0.22 ± 0.06 |

Columns: (1) Galaxy (2) Sérsic index; (3) Minor/major axis ratio; (4) Effective radius $R_e$ of Sérsic fit, (†) upper limit as it does not take seeing into account; (5) $R_e$ (pc) with uncertainty from distance error; (6) Source for the size measurement; (7) Radial velocity (RV) from pPXF; (8) Maximum radial velocity relative to the systemic RV; (9) Velocity dispersion within $1 R_e$; (10) Rotation parameter $V / \sigma_e$ calculated within $1 R_e$; (11) $\lambda_e$ calculated within $1 R_e$. 

NGC 4468B and NGC 5846A are larger (314 pc compared to 180 pc and 560 pc compared to 520 pc) and therefore we use the published sizes for these two galaxies. We find that all the cEs have a low Sérsic index ($n < 1$) and a mean surface brightness at $1 R_e$ of $\sim$18–18.5 (in the $V$-band), which are values similar to the cEs in G+15.
The realm of cE galaxies with KCWI

Figure 2. KCWI spectra of sample galaxies within 1 $R_e$ (black line). The coloured line corresponds to the pPXF fit for the stellar kinematics. This colour scheme will be followed throughout the rest of the paper. The main absorption line indices in the spectral range are marked and labelled with dashed vertical lines.

and star formation histories). We proceed with a multi-step process. We first run pPXF using the full MILES library of stellar templates (Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2006; Falcón-Barroso et al. 2011), which has a nominal resolution of FWHM = 2.5 Å. We initially fit the 1 $R_e$ spectra, while increasing the value of the additive polynomials, until reaching a value where both kinematic parameters stabilize, without using any regularization scheme.

This polynomial degree is then used in fitting the datacubes and deriving the stellar kinematic parameters. This step of the analysis also produces a clean, emission-free spectrum from the GANDALF routine (Sarzi et al. 2017), which is included in the pPXF distribution.

The values obtained for the radial velocity and the velocity dispersion are shown in Table 2, with the associated uncertainties obtained from running Monte Carlo simulations. From the radial velocity and the $\sigma$ we derive the spatially-integrated kinematic parameters that can provide information about the mass assembly of the galaxies. We derive two different parameters describing rotation dominance: the more traditional $(V/\sigma)^2$ (Binney 2005) and the specific stellar angular momentum ($\lambda_R$; Emsellem et al. 2007), computed as a function of enclosed radius along the major axis ($R$):

$$(V/\sigma)^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} F_i V_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} F_i \sigma_i^2}; \quad \lambda_R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} F_i R_i |V_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} F_i R_i \sqrt{V_i^2 + \sigma_i^2}}$$

where $F_i$, $R_i$, $V_i$ and $\sigma_i$ are the flux, radius, rotational velocity (corrected by the systemic velocity) and velocity dispersion at each spatial $R_i$ Voronoi bin. We use the notation $(V/\sigma)^2_k$ and $\lambda_R_k$ for the values of these properties when measured within 1 $R_e$, as shown in Table 2. Figure 2 shows the reduced spectra and the fit obtained in this first step for the 1 $R_e$ apertures, to show the quality both of the spectra obtained and of the fits.

From this point forward, we use the MILES Single-Stellar Population (SSP) library (Vazdekis et al. 2010) models with the BaSTI isochrones, considering templates that range from metallicities of $[Z/H] = -2.42$ to $+0.40$ dex and that cover ages from 0.03 to 14 Gyr. Although it is known that a varying IMF will impact the derived stellar populations (Ferré-Mateu et al. 2013), the expected IMF for low-mass galaxies is Kroupa-like (Kroupa 2001). We therefore use a universal Kroupa-IMF throughout this paper. This also allows for comparison with literature values. Additionally, this suite of SSP models allow for different $[\alpha/Fe]$ values (either solar or $\leq$0.4 dex).

We therefore use the emission-cleaned spectra from the first step to measure a set of absorption-line indices such as the age sensitive $\text{H}\beta$, the set of iron indices $\text{Fe}5015, \text{Fe}5270$ and $\text{Fe}5335$ and the $\text{Mg}b$. These are commonly used to obtain mean luminosity-weighted ages, metallicities, $[\text{Fe/H}]$ and more importantly, to derive $[\alpha/Fe]$. The latter is obtained from the $\text{Mg}b - <\text{Fe}>$ pair, as shown in Figure 3. Here the SSP models correspond to an age of 10 Gyr, for both the scaled-solar and the $[\alpha/Fe] = +0.4$ dex models. This age choice, made for simplification, is a valid assumption as shown in the next section. The values of $[\alpha/Fe]$ for the galaxies are obtained by interpolating between the grids, rounded to 0.05 dex. We also measure $[\alpha/Fe]$ for each bin with the same approach, to see whether or not there are gradients in the abundance pattern. We do not find strong gradients and hence we adopt the $[\alpha/Fe]$ value at 1 $R_e$ for each galaxy.

Lastly, we run pPXF with the set of SSP models with the $[\alpha/Fe]$ value that is closest to the value from the previous absorption-line
Table 3. Summary of the measured stellar population properties

| Galaxy (1) | Age (Gyr; SSP) (2) | Age (Gyr; mass) (3) | [Z/H] (dex; SSP) (4) | [Z/H] (dex; mass) (5) | [α/Fe] (dex) (6) | $M_\odot$ (10$^9$ $M_\odot$) (7) |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| J1614     | 3.5 ± 0.5         | 4.5 ± 0.8         | −0.13 ± 0.06      | −0.18 ± 0.05      | 0.05 ± 0.10     | 4.82 ± 1.06      |
| NGC 3665cO| 13.8 ± 4.8        | 11.6 ± 0.8        | −0.10 ± 0.18      | 0.24 ± 0.04       | 0.05 ± 0.15     | 0.93 ± 0.04      |
| NGC 4486B | 14.0 ± 3.2        | 12.4 ± 0.9        | 0.23 ± 0.04       | 0.18 ± 0.10       | 0.40 ± 0.10     | 5.61 ± 1.51      |
| NGC 5846A | 9.8 ± 2.1         | 12.3 ± 0.5        | 0.40 ± 0.10       | 0.20 ± 0.11       | 0.30 ± 0.05     | 12.6 ± 4.00      |
| NGC 5846E | 9.3 ± 1.8         | 12.2 ± 0.9        | 0.26 ± 0.14       | 0.19 ± 0.10       | 0.30 ± 0.10     | 2.22 ± 0.11      |
| VCC 344   | 9.8 ± 1.6         | 10.9 ± 0.9        | 0.28 ± 0.12       | 0.27 ± 0.11       | 0.05 ± 0.10     | 8.85 ± 0.47      |

Columns: (1) Galaxy; (2) Luminosity-weighted mean stellar age from absorption line indices; (3) Mass-weighted mean stellar age from pPXF; (4) Luminosity-weighted mean stellar metallicity from absorption line indices; (5) Mass-weighted mean stellar metallicity from pPXF; (6) Mean α-enhancement from absorption line indices; (7) Stellar mass obtained from the SFH of each galaxy.

Figure 3. Magnesium vs. iron line index plot for estimating alpha-element enhancement of cEs. SSP model index grids to obtain the α-enhancement needed for the second step of the procedure. Two sets of the Vazdekis et al. (2016) models with different [α/Fe] values (0.0 and +0.4 dex) are shown for an age of 10 Gyr, for simplicity. Three total metallicities are marked with crosses for each SSP ([Z/H] = −2.42, +0.06 and +0.40 dex) and the arrows shows how age, metallicity and alpha abundances vary along the model grids. The [α/Fe] value is obtained from a basic linear interpolation between grids, rounded to 0.05 dex. Coloured circles follow the scheme in Figure 2.

5 RESULTS

Before we proceed to discuss the results obtained, we first summarize the main properties that can help characterize the different formation channels. We also summarize three main sets of simulations that account for the different possible formation channels of cEs. These will be used in the following sections for comparison and to draw conclusions about the formation pathways each of our cEs might have followed.

5.1 The formation channels of cEs

Under the current galaxy formation paradigm, massive galaxies are thought to form in two phases. First, extreme in-situ star formation creates a superdense, compact, massive and fast-rotating galaxy at high redshift ($z > 2$; e.g. Oser et al. 2010, 2012; Schaye et al. 2015), also known as a red nugget (e.g. Damjanov et al. 2014). Then, the galaxy undergoes a series of accretion events that deposit new material in the outskirts of the red-nugget, growing its size until it becomes the typical large ellipticals we see today. This means that the original red-nugget is kept hidden in the centre of present-day galaxies (e.g. de la Rosa et al. 2016; Ferré-Mateu et al. 2019). However, in very rare cases, this massive compact core remains essentially untouched by avoiding the accretion phase, due to the stochastic nature of mergers (e.g. Trujillo et al. 2009; Quilis & Trujillo 2013), and leading to what is referred as a massive relic galaxy (e.g. Trujillo et al. 2014; Ferré-Mateu et al. 2017).

However, the red nugget can be separated into two photometrically distinctive parts (e.g. Huang et al. 2013). There is an innermost ($\sim 1$ kpc) compact region, representative of an early compaction phase at $z \sim 3–4$ (Hopkins et al. 2010; Zolotov et al. 2015; Martín-Navarro et al. 2019), which contains about 15% of the stellar mass and light of an ETG. Then there is a more disky but still compact part surrounding it ($\sim 2.5$ kpc) that comprises another additional 25% of mass and light, and which corresponds to the quenched red-nugget formed by $z \sim 2$. Therefore, some cEs could be this seed of the earliest phases of galaxy formation, either because they remained untouched (relic compact seed), like their more massive relic counterparts (e.g. Wellons et al. 2015; Wellons et al. 2016), or because the fully formed massive galaxy was later stripped of both the accreted component and the most external part of the red-nugget (remnant compact seed). We emphasize here the difference between relic and remnant. The former makes reference to an object that is exactly as it was when formed...
Figure 4. Schematic cartoon summarizing the different formation channels that cEs can undergo, together with their most characteristic properties such as their SFHs and scaling relations. The upper center part of the cartoon (green text) shows different outcomes of a stripping process, depending on the progenitor type (e.g. gas-rich spiral or ETG, or gas-poor ETG). These cEs are typically expected to deviate from most of the local scaling relations (green solid line in the right inset) and to show either bursty SFHs (time evolves to the left in the SFH diagrams) if there is gas (c), or very old SF episodes if they were gas-poor ETGs or the stripped remnant of the compact seed (a, b). The bottom center part of the cartoon (purple text) depicts the intrinsic scenario whereby these galaxies were formed as compact and low-mass as we see them today. These cEs should follow the scaling relations of ETGs at the low mass end (purple line in right inset), and can have either extremely old, almost SSP-like SFHs (d for the relic compact seeds) or more extended ones (e, classical low-mass ETGs).

Therefore, there are two main pathways, depicted in the schematic cartoon of Figure 4. There is the nurture path where cEs are the result of stripping processes (middle-top part of cartoon). The stripped cEs can have a variety of properties depending on what type of galaxy the progenitor was, e.g. gas-rich/gas-poor ETG or a LTG. Some of them could even be the remnant compact seed left behind, as described above. There is also the nature path where the cEs are formed intrinsically (middle-bottom part of cartoon) as low-mass, compact objects, this is, as the low luminosity end of ETGs. Some could even be the untouched fossil of the early compaction phase: the relic compact seed. Some properties such as the SFHs (small inset curves) and several scaling relations (right inset of cartoon) are needed to discriminate which pathway each cE follows. Typically, cEs of a stripped origin will deviate from most of the scaling relations, as shown with the green line, and will show either very old and early SFHs if the progenitor was a massive ETG (a, b), or a more bursty and extended SFH if the progenitor was a gas-rich galaxy (c). In the alternative scenario, the cEs will follow the low-mass end of the local scaling relations (purple line) and will have either very old, almost SSP-like SFHs (d) or extended ones with low star formation rates, as expected for typical low-mass galaxies (e). In this work we consider several spatially-resolved properties in a sample of cEs with the aim of further understanding the diverse pathways depicted in this figure.

5.2 Simulations

- Martinović & Micic (2017): Type: Illustris-1 cosmological hydrodynamical simulation; Assumption: (1) stripped and (2) intrinsic, both in the vicinity of a massive galaxy in a cluster; Result: (1) Milky Way-mass galaxies start losing their mass as they enter the cluster environment at $z \sim 2$. By $z \sim 1$ they have lost most of their mass, having sunk into the centre-most parts of the cluster, while losing their gas and dark matter, leaving a compact remnant behind as in scenario a. (2) they form already inside the cluster at lower redshifts ($z \sim 1$) from dense gas clouds without dark matter. They have a short formation phase and can lose some of their more loosely-bound stars, but on average are larger than the stripped cEs (scenario e).
- Du et al. (2019): Type: high-resolution N-body plus gas simulations; Assumption: formed by stripping; Result: they start with a low-mass, gas-rich galaxy orbiting a host galaxy. The galaxy keeps some gas in the centre that produces bursty SFHs due to the combination of ram-pressure confinement and tidal stripping, creating a metal-rich compact bulge. Then the cE is quenched and suffers tidal interactions that further change its size, morphology, mass and metallicity, similar to scenario c, while also allowing for a wide range of alpha-abundances. They also simulate a gas-rich dwarf-like galaxy.
Figure 5. 2D maps of cEs from pPXF: mean radial velocity (first column); velocity dispersion (second column); mean mass-weighted age (third column) and total metallicity (fourth column) for our sample of 6 cEs. Axes are in arcsec and in each panel the boxed values indicate the property range corresponding to the colour bars, with the units as in the title of each column. The dotted ellipses represent the 1 $R_e$ and 2 $R_e$ (where possible) isophotes of each cE. Note that we show NGC 3665cO maps although this object is so compact that it is resolved with only a few bins.
that evolves in isolation. It increases its size and stellar mass due to stellar feedback, and ends up less metal-rich than the cEs.

- **Urrutia Zapata et al. (2019):** Type: numerical simulation; Assumption: intrinsic origin by merging star clusters; Result: merging of several star clusters with UCD-like masses, formed in the early, gas-rich Universe. All their simulations produce bound stable objects after 10 Gyr with similar sizes, surface brightnesses and velocity dispersion to some of the observed cEs, in particular the less massive.

5.3 Stellar kinematics

The first and second column of Figure 5 show the rotational velocity (corrected by the systemic velocity of each cE) and the velocity dispersion measured with pPXF. NGC 3665cO is the only one of the 6 cEs that has a velocity dispersion well below the instrumental resolution of the configuration used, hence the missing 2D map in Figure 5. Due to its small size, the smallest in our sample, and the poor seeing during its observations, we caution the reader about the other maps for this cE. While we include them in the figure to show that there are no major gradients, these will not be used to derive any gradients. Not taking into account this objects, all of our cEs show moderate rotations with $V_{\text{max}}$ of around 20–60 km s$^{-1}$.

The notable exception is NGC 5846A, which shows a larger rotation value of $\sim$80 km s$^{-1}$. The second column of Figure 5 presents the 2D velocity dispersion maps. It shows that NGC 4468B, NGC 5846A and NGC 5846cE have peaked central velocity dispersions, whereas both VCC 344 and J1614 have less spatial structure, with mostly flat distributions.

To better quantify the different trends in the galaxies, we derive azimuthally averaged radial profiles of the kinematic values, as shown in Figure 6. Three galaxies have slowly rising rotation profiles reaching low rotational velocities of $\sim$25–45 km s$^{-1}$, while the other two galaxies (NGC 5846A and NGC 4468B) rise quickly with a peak rotation at $\sim$0.5–0.7$R_e$ of around $\sim$90 and 60 km s$^{-1}$, respectively, which then decline at larger radii. In terms of their velocity dispersion, NGC 4468B, NGC 5846A and NGC 5846cE have a high value in their centers that then decreases by around $\sim$30 km s$^{-1}$ out to 1$R_e$, although both NGC 5846A and NGC 4468B show signs of a rising profile afterwards. VCC 344 shows a virtually constant velocity dispersion of $\sim$85 km s$^{-1}$ out to more than 1$R_e$. J1614, the cE with the lowest measured velocity dispersion, also remains virtually flat up to $\sim$0.8$R_e$, where a slight increase is seen. Such a $\sigma$ profile was obtained for the simulated cEs of Urrutia Zapata et al. (2019), under an intrinsic origin. The two bottom panels of Figure 5 show the $(V/\sigma)$ and $\lambda_R$ trends, obtained as described in Section 4. In both panels, NGC4468B and VCC 344 show a gentle, almost constant, increase up to 1$R_e$ and beyond. J1416 shows a steeper gradient although it plateaus around $\sim$0.5$R_e$. NGC5846cE shows a similar trend to J1416 but we do not reach as far for this object and thus it is unclear whether it would plateau or keep rising. NGC5846A shows the most steep profile, peaking at 0.5$R_e$ with relatively high rotation values ($V/\sigma$ ~0.6 and $\lambda_R$ ~0.5).

Comparing to the kinematic profiles for the simulated cEs of Du et al. (2019), they found $V/\sigma$ profiles rising gradually to $\sim$0.3–0.5 values at the equivalent to $\sim$2$R_e$, and then flattening off at larger distances. This means that if we consider the same range of our cEs ($<1.5R_e$), our profiles are compatible with the trend of reaching a plateau that they find. Note, however, that if our observations were de-projected, the rotation could be higher than in the simulations. We can also compare our observed cE kinematic tracks with different types of galaxies ranging from E/S0s to late-type spirals, both from observations and simulations (e.g. Naab et al. 2014; Falcón-Barroso et al. 2019). However, due to the different physical scales between large massive galaxies and the small compact galaxies considered in this work, we caution that a direct comparison of these tracks is not straightforward. One should be comparing only the inner $\sim$0.2$R_e$ parts of massive galaxies, where basically no differences within galaxy types are yet seen. Looking at the simulated galaxies from Naab et al. (2014) the only clear result is that cEs follow the same trends as fast rotators. But if we compare the tracks with the those of massive compact galaxies (CMGs; e.g. Ferré-Mateu et al. 2012; Yildirim et al. 2017), we find that cEs are very similar to those in Yildirim et al. (2017) below 1$R_e$. This is the first hint that compact galaxies at different mass ranges might be connected.

To further analyze the kinematics of our cEs, we show in Figure 6 (left and middle panels) the overall values of $V/\sigma$ and $\lambda_R$ within 1$R_e$, related to the ellipticity of the galaxy, $e$. The figure shows the values for our cEs and those in Virgo from G+15, when available, although...
the latter are measured within $R_{e}/2$. It is in any case apparent that all of our cEs, as well as most of the cEs from G+15, are fast-rotator galaxies, having relatively high rotation for their low ellipticities (above the grey curve in the middle panel). Three of them have values around $λ_{R} \sim -0.4$, while NGC 4486B and VCC 344 have lower values around 0.2. We can now attempt to determine the type of progenitor these cEs could have had by comparing the loci of different types of galaxies (Falcón-Barroso et al. 2019). These are colour-coded by their morphological type and have different symbols: E/S0s are shown with light wide diamonds while the spiral types are plotted as darker narrow diamonds. The massive compact counterparts from Ferré-Mateu et al. (2012) and Yildirim et al. (2017) are shown as white pentagons. At first glance, the majority of galaxies in the region of our cEs are fast rotating ETGs (both extended or compact), although some Sa-Sb galaxies are located near the cEs with higher rotation values (e.g. NGC 5846A, NGC 5846cE and J1614). We caution again with the size comparison issue described above. If we use the values at 0.5 $R_{e}$ from Falcón-Barroso et al. (2019), the control sample would shift towards lower rotation values. The results will not change for the low $λ_{R}$ cEs, but they will be even more similar to the spiral types. We therefore need additional information, such as the one from the stellar populations to give better constraints on the progenitor type. The right panel of Figure 7 shows the location in the ($λ_{R}$, $\sigma$) plane (Krajnović et al. 2020) within $1R_{e}$, that separated galaxies between core or core-less objects. Our results suggest that cEs are more compatible with being coreless fast rotators, with the exception of three cEs from G+15 (which include their two slow rotators).

Figure 7. Left: $V / \sigma - e$ relation within one effective radius to determine whether our sample of cEs (coloured dots as in Figure 2) are fast or slow rotators. For comparison, a sample from the CALIFA survey is shown (diamonds, colour-coded and shaped by different morphological types; Falcón-Barroso et al. 2019) and CMGs from Ferré-Mateu et al. (2012) and Yildirim et al. (2017). The solid grey curve shows the empirical division that separates fast (above) and slow (below) rotators (Emsellem et al. 2007). The solid black curve describes the relation $δ = 0.7 \sigma_{int}$ from Cappellari et al. (2007), while the black dashed line shows this relation for a maximum inclination. Middle: Similar to the left panel but showing the $λ_{R} - e$ relation from Emsellem et al. (2007) as the solid grey line. The solid black curve describes the edge-on relation for fast rotators from Cappellari et al. (2007) whereas the dashed curves show how this relation varies with different inclinations. In this panel we also include the sample of Virgo cEs by G+15 (crossed circles). All of our galaxies are above the curve that separates slow from fast rotators. Right: $λ_{R} - \sigma$ relation for our cEs and for a sample of giant ETGs (Krajnović et al. 2020), which shows that our cEs are more compatible with being core-less fast rotators.

5.4 Stellar Populations

Figure 5 also presents the mass-weighted age and metallicity maps derived from our spectral fitting procedure (third and fourth columns). Only J1614 is younger in the centre, while the rest show very old stellar ages (>10 Gyr) in their centers that decrease or remain virtually constant with radius (e.g. NGC 3665cO). Table 3 summarizes the mean values derived from both the line-index approach (luminosity-weighted SSP-equivalent values) and the full spectral fitting (mass-weighted average ones) for the $1R_{e}$ spectra.

Figure 8 shows the azimuthally averaged age (top panel) and total metallicity (bottom) trends for the cEs, both as a function of physical radius (left) and relative to $R_{e}$ (middle panel). In all but one case, the age shows a decline outward of about 2–4 Gyr, while the metallicity decreases more steeply. NGC 5846A and NGC 4486B are old (~13 Gyr) throughout their structure, although after $1R_{e}$ both galaxies become ~1 Gyr younger. NGC 5846cE shows a steady decrease of its age from ~12 Gyr in the centre to ~8 Gyr by almost $1R_{e}$. VCC 344 has a similar profile although it shows slightly younger central ages (~10 Gyr). The metallicity profiles of these four galaxies are very similar, starting with super-solar metallicities in the centers and decreasing to sub-solar values of around ~0.1 dex outward of $1R_{e}$. Here it is even more clear how different J1614 is to the rest of cEs: it has a rising age profile (from ~6 Gyr in the centre to 8 Gyr by 1.5 $R_{e}$) and shows low metallicities throughout its entire structure ([Z/H] ~ ~0.15 dex).

This figure also includes age and metallicity profiles from different surveys, shown in the right panel. We caution that as we have seen in the previous sections, these galaxies should be compared to the innermost regions of massive galaxies or compact massive ones. Unfortunately only the MASSIVE survey (Greene et al. 2015) provides values on a physical radius scale that can be directly compared to our galaxies (left panel). For the other surveys, CALIFA (Zibetti et al. 2020) and MaNGA (Goddard et al. 2017), we show them in a $R/R_{e}$ scale (right panel). Therefore we here compare the trends of our cEs qualitatively rather than the absolute values. For the MaNGA and
Figure 8. Age and metallicity radial profiles of cEs. The mean mass-weighted age and total metallicity obtained from pPXF are shown as a function of radius both on a physical scale (left column) and as $R/R_e$ (middle column). Colours are the same as in Figure 2. The last column shows the profiles versus $R/R_e$ for giant ETGs and LTGs in the literature: CALIFA (Zibetti et al. 2020), MASSIVE (Greene et al. 2015) and MaNGA (Goddard et al. 2017). The solid line represents their most massive bin, whereas the dashed line corresponds to the least massive one in terms of velocity dispersion. Most of the cEs show a declining age gradient, although J1614 shows an increasing trend, with the centre being much younger. The metallicity profiles are mostly declining, with the majority of cEs presenting high metallicities in their centers, except for J1614.

MASSIVE galaxies, the most massive of their sample (continuous line) and the least massive one (dashed line) are plotted separately, when available. All cEs have a similar shallow trend towards younger ages at large radius, with the exception of J1614. This object follows the slightly rising profiles (older at larger radius) of the ETGs from CALIFA and MaNGA (in particular the low-mass MaNGA objects). This is also seen for the metallicity, where J1614 is more metal-poor than the other cEs. The rest of cEs follow similar trends to the ETGs in all the three surveys, although the CALIFA metallicities are typically higher. As with the ages, none of the cEs seem to have profiles similar to the literature late-type galaxies (LTGs), which typically show low metallicities and young ages in their centers ($\sim 0.1$ dex and $\sim 5$ Gyr). This means that the cEs would require significant chemical and temporal evolution to be compatible with a progenitor of this type. We also compare our stellar population trends with the least massive of the compact ellipticals of Yıldırım et al. (2017), as one of them is actually a cE (FM+18). We find again that the trends of our cEs are compatible with the central parts of the massive counterparts.

To further explore the possible origins and progenitor types, we now look at the spatially-integrated SFHs of these galaxies. There are three types of SFHs seen in cEs (FM+18), each one more indicative of a different origin (also summarized in Figure 4). First, stripped galaxies whose progenitor was a massive ETG will have mostly uniformly old ages, with the stars being formed early on as the central part of a red-nugget (e.g. Oser et al. 2010; Damjanov et al. 2014). They tend to have early and peaked SFHs similar to those seen in these red-nuggets (e.g. Ferré-Mateu et al. 2017; Martín-Navarro et al. 2019). If there was any gas left during the interaction, some of the younger stars formed could arrive into the core of the galaxy (e.g. Ferré-Mateu et al. 2019). Second, stripped galaxies whose progenitors were spiral-like or gas-rich E/S0s, with large amounts of gas available, will show bursty and extended SFHs. Third, the galaxies that are not the result of stripping, but are intrinsically low-mass, compact galaxies, will show very extended SFHs with low levels of star formation throughout the galaxy (Thomas et al. 2005; McDermid et al. 2015). The other cEs (NGC 4486B, NGC 5846A and NGC 5846cE) show instead very early star formations but also secondary bursts that extend down to 2–4 Gyr ago. This is suggestive of progenitors that were either spiral-like or gas-rich ETGs, representing star forming episodes ignited during the stripping process. Considering the age and metallicity trends discussed above, we suggest that the latter must be the case. This is further reinforced by the SFHs of the simulated cEs from Martinović & Micic (2017). The authors showed that their cEs formed...
via a stripping process show very early and peaked star formation episodes, while those formed intrinsically show more sustained star forming rates with rather extended SFHs.

5.5 Implications for the cE formation channels

Figure 10 shows the main relations for the studied properties. In each panel, different types of galaxies are shown: E/S0s and dEs from Janz et al. (2016a) (partially curated from ATLAS3D; McDermid et al. 2015), cEs from AIMSS (from both Norris et al. 2014 and Janz et al. 2016a), cEs from the Virgo cluster (crossed circles; G+15), cEs from FM+18 (black circles), the SDSS DR12 compilations of K+20 (density contours; private communication), and this current work cEs (coloured circles). When available, the properties of simulated cEs are also shown from Martinović & Micic (2017), Du et al. (2019) and Urrutia Zapata et al. (2019). We also include the location of CMGs (Trujillo et al. 2009; Yıldırım et al. 2017) and Spiniello et al. (2020).

The top panel presents the well-known mass–size relation. It shows the split after the E/S0s into the realm of the diffuse dwarf objects (dEs), with typical sizes of $R_e \sim$ 900–3000 pc, and the realm of the compact galaxies (cEs), with sizes typically below 900 pc. We remind the reader that these limits can vary from work to work, which can introduce some bias in the sample. For example, in K+20 the objects were selected to be smaller than 600 pc, which means that that they are missing some of the larger (and thus more massive) cEs. This was done to avoid those objects that are in the borders separating each galaxy type. Nonetheless, we note that all but one of the cEs considered here have sizes below 800 pc. The larger cE was already reported in FM+18 to be a low-mass ETG in the process of becoming a cE. In terms of mass, we see that the bulk of observed cEs tend to be more massive than the simulated ones. However, they are all less massive than the characteristic mass scale of $3 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ that marks the transition to the realm of elliptical galaxies (e.g. Cappellari 2016). In this case, this is due to an observational bias. Obtaining high quality spectra for these objects is hard and time consuming due to their low luminosities and they will most likely not have SDSS spectra available (Ferré-Mateu et al., in prep). Therefore it is possible that we are only observing the brighter, and thus more massive, tail of this family of objects ($M_\star \sim 10^{10–11} M_\odot$). Objects like NGC 3665cO, with $M_\star \sim 10^8 M_\odot$, are thus rarer to find. Nonetheless, they are crucial to better understand the border regions at the low-mass end. To better address these selection biases, this panel also shows lines of constant surface stellar mass densities $\Sigma_{\text{eff}} = M_\star(< R_e)/\pi R_e^2$, ranging from $\sim 10^3 M_\odot$ kpc$^{-2}$ to the maximum surface of $\sim 10^{11} M_\odot$ kpc$^{-2}$ (increasing to the right; Hopkins et al. 2010). All the cEs in our sample follow the line of $\Sigma_{\text{eff}} \sim 10^9 M_\odot$, similarly to the Es/S0s at the high mass end and similar to the well-known cE, M32 (marked with a star inside the white circle). Instead, the bulk of cEs from G+15 and K+20 follow lines of lower surface mass density. This confirms that we are, indeed, studying the most compact regime of cEs.

From the simulations, Martinović & Micic (2017) find that on average those cEs that are the result of stripping are much more massive than those that formed intrinsically, shown by the two different open triangle symbols. This is in agreement with what we find for our sample, with galaxies being mostly compact with the exception of NGC 5846cE and J1614. The latter is larger, possibly due to its intrinsic origin that we are suggesting. The cEs simulated by Urrutia Zapata et al. (2019) (open diamonds) are on average less massive and more compact than the bulk of observed cEs but this is the result of their prescription. They aim to obtain cEs in a similar way to the formation of the most massive UCDs: by the merging of stellar clusters. Therefore, their objects will cover only the low-mass end of cEs. As mentioned above, these border regions are very interesting, and are the loci of observed cEs like NGC 3665cO. We also show the stripping time tracks by Du et al. (2019). Both their simulated dEs (grey dashed line) and cEs (solid salmon line) start with a similar
Figure 10. Scaling relations for cEs. Different types of galaxies are shown for comparison, as labeled in the legend; E/S0s (crosses), dEs (squares) from Janz et al. (2016a) and McDermid et al. 2015, and CMGs from several compilations (see text); cEs of different samples with circles: from the AIMSS compilation of Janz et al. (2016a) (white filled, with M32 as a black star), from FM+18 (black filled), from G+15 (crossed circles), and our sample (coloured dots as in Figure 2). The cEs from the SDSS sample of K+20 (private communication) are shown as density contours We are using the line-index values to allow for comparison with the literature values and consistency. Top: The well known mass–size relation, showing the cE regime as a continuation of the more massive ETGs. The results of different simulations are also shown: the low-mass, intrinsic cEs from Urrutia Zapata et al. (2019), which form as the result of star cluster mergers (salmon open diamonds), the cEs from Martinović & Micic (2017) (either formed like intrinsic objects or the result of stripping, salmon open triangles) and the stripping time tracks of Du et al. (2019) for both cEs (solid salmon) and dEs (dashed grey). We also show lines of constant surface stellar mass densities from $\Sigma_{\text{eff}} = 10^8 M_\odot$ kpc$^{-2}$ to $10^{11} M_\odot$ kpc$^{-2}$ (dotted lines, increasing from left to right). Middle-left: Mass–metallicity relation for local massive galaxies (Gallazzi et al. 2020) (dashed black lines) and low mass ones (Kirby et al. 2013) (dotted black line). The stellar populations used in this figure are those from the line index method, to compare with the literature. Middle-right: Age–metallicity relation, showing a hint for older cEs being more metal-poor than younger cEs. Bottom: Mass–age relation, showing no clear correlation for these parameters but possibly two populations of cEs: a very young and a very old one.
to the recent episodes of star formation seen in Ferré-Mateu et al. in Trujillo et al. (2009) and Ferré-Mateu et al. (2012), indicating more metal-poor ($Z$) similar to E/S0s (from slightly more metallic. In fact, cEs have metallicities that are more metal-poor than the small fraction of young cEs, which tend to be age and the metallicity. Following the density contours and the bulk in the middle right panel of the figure, for the relation between the entire range of stellar ages. From the K+20 density contours there shows the age–stellar mass relation. There is not a clear correlation poor galaxies that formed early on. The bottom panel of Figure 10 also recent star formation, while old ages will be indicative of gas-stripping, but that seems unfeasible without a nearby host. On the contrary, some cEs in clusters present very low metallicities, suggesting that they could have been formed intrinsically. This suggests that local environment could be a crude proxy to differentiate between stripped/intrinsic origins. However, both FM+18 and K+20 suggested that additional properties are necessary to truly disentangle both origins. For example, some of the field galaxies had been found to have unexpectedly high metallicities, which would indicate stripping, but that seems unfeasible without a nearby host. On the contrary, some cEs in clusters present very low metallicities, suggesting that they could be of the intrinsic origin. Looking at this panel it is straightforward to see that the bulk of observed cEs are typically outliers in this relation, and thus prone to be stripped objects. This is also the case for the majority of the simulated cEs of Martinović & Micic (2017) via stripping. Note, however, that a handful of cEs are closer to the local relation of massive ETGs, suggesting an intrinsic origin instead. The cE from our sample that is closest to the relation is J1614, which is the only cE without a host galaxy associated to. This reinforces our suggestion that this could be an intrinsic object.

Nonetheless, processes like mergers with gas-rich galaxies or strangulation can also produce high metallicities. In order to better understand the galaxy’s origin, we need further information from the stellar ages. Young ages can indicate both an extended SFH but also recent star formation, while old ages will be indicative of gas-poor galaxies that formed early on. The bottom panel of Figure 10 shows the age–stellar mass relation. There is not a clear correlation between these two parameters, as cEs at all stellar masses cover the entire range of stellar ages. From the K+20 density contours there is a hint of a dichotomy in the age distribution, with a small group of young cEs and a larger one with old ages. This is further seen in the middle right panel of the figure, for the relation between the age and the metallicity. Following the density contours and the bulk of published cEs, these seem to be typically old and slightly more metal-poor than the small fraction of young cEs, which tend to be slightly more metalic. In fact, cEs have metallicities that are more similar to E/S0s (from ~0.2 to +0.2 dex) while dEs are typically more metal-poor ($\langle Z/H \rangle \sim -0.7$ dex). Furthermore, the bulk of young cEs have the same ages and high metallicities as the young CMGs in Trujillo et al. (2009) and Ferré-Mateu et al. (2012), indicating that these could be the result of stripping one of these more massive counterparts. In this case, the high metallicities could be associated to the recent episodes of star formation seen in Ferré-Mateu et al. (2012). Note that only a few cEs cover the region of young ages and low metallicities, with J1614 in the middle of it. For these young cEs a more extended SFH is expected, similar to the ones seen for low-mass ETGs (e.g. McDermid et al. 2015), which is in agreement with the SFH obtained in Figure 9. On the other side of the relation, we have galaxies like NGC 3665cO, with a low metallicity similar to J1416 but extremely old ages. In this case, the object could be the result of stripping a larger, gas-poor galaxy, similar to what might have happened for NGC 4486B. For the other three cEs in our sample (VCC 344, NGC 5846cE and NGC 5846A), with very high metallicities, relatively old ages (~10 Gyr) and bursty SFHs (Figure 9), we suggest that their progenitor galaxy was more likely a gas-rich galaxy.

It is clear at this point that regardless of the caveats mentioned before, a large number of cEs have a clear nurture origin. Whether or not this is the main mechanism to form cEs at all stellar masses is still to be decided. Following G+15 and FM+18, we now show in Figure 11 the mass–size relation as panel (a) of Figure 10, but now galaxies are colour coded by their stellar population properties (mean light-weighted stellar age, left panel; mean light-weighted metallicity, right panel). In this case, we now show the lines of iso-velocity dispersion (left to right, $\sigma = 20$, 30, 50, 100, 200 and 250 km s$^{-1}$) obtained directly from the Virial theorem ($M = 5 R_0 \sigma^2/G$). For the comparison sample we are using the stellar populations published in Janz et al. (2016a), which are partly obtained from ATLAS3D (McDermid et al. 2015). We also show CMGs from the previous plot (hexagons; Trujillo et al. (2009); Ferré-Mateu et al. (2012, 2015, 2017); Yıldırım et al. (2017) and Spiniello et al. (2020)). But because we are now focusing on the stellar population properties, we further highlight those CMGs that are confirmed relics with crossed pentagons. The difference between the young CMGs and the relics is now very visible in the age panel, while it is hard to differentiate in the metallicity one. We find that cEs in general follow the same properties as the more massive galaxies, rather than the stellar populations of the more extended galaxies of similar mass (dwarf ellipticals). In fact, they do not even seem to follow the lines of iso-velocity as suggested in G+15 but rather the lines of higher iso-velocity. Once the sample is enlarged (from the eight objects in G+15 to around two hundred here), the claimed trends disappear. This is dramatically apparent in the metallicity plot, where the bulk of cEs have higher metallicities than their counterparts in iso-velocity, and are more similar to galaxies of higher velocity dispersions, such as the CMGs. That is, cEs seem to follow a diagonal decreasing track to smaller sizes, masses and velocity dispersions (black arrow), which is a direct effect expected from the stripping process. We are thus suggesting that for many of these cEs that are the result of stripping, their progenitor galaxy could have been a CMG (Figure 4, channel $a$), and some other might even be the relic seed of $d$ channel in Figure 4. Such a connection between compact galaxies at all stellar masses has not been reported so clearly before and suggests that cE galaxies share a direct link to the inner-most parts of ETGs.

Another crucial property that can give us important clues on the origin of these objects is the abundance of alpha elements, [$\alpha$/Fe], which can be used as a global formation timescale clock in galaxies. Typically, large values of $\alpha$-enhancement are thought to be representative of very rapid formation timescales in ETGs. Early in the formation of the galaxy, only more massive stars evolve and explode as core collapse supernovae, producing large yields of alpha elements such as Mg and thus having large alpha-abundances. As time evolves, lower mass stars start also evolve and the explosions of the SNIa start to yield higher values of iron-based elements. This will naturally lower the overall [$\alpha$/Fe] (e.g. Thomas et al. 1999; Ferreras...
Another proxy for the stellar mass is the velocity dispersion, so the K+20 and some of our cEs are compatible with following the relation. Scatter around this relation, although the highest density contours of relation found in Gallazzi et al. (2020) (dashed line). cEs show a large scatter around this relation, although the highest density contours of K+20 and some of our cEs are compatible with following the relation. Another proxy for the stellar mass is the velocity dispersion, so the second panel shows the $[\alpha/Fe] - \sigma$ relation compared to the relation of Zheng et al. (2019) (solid grey line). We find that including the cEs in the scaling relations would actually make it steeper, similar to the slope that Liu (2020) obtained when including only their more massive objects (grey dashed line). These are additional indications for the stripping nature, as most of these cEs have alpha-abundances larger than expected for their mass/sigma. The objects in our sample that seem to follow the trends are J1614, VCC 344 and NGC 3665cO (if we consider the instrumental resolution as an upper limit for the velocity dispersion). These three objects are the ones that have similar SFH shapes (Figure 9). However, only J1614 is truly young, as seen in the third panel of Figure 12, which shows the age–$[\alpha/Fe]$ relation. While younger galaxies show relatively low values of alpha-enhancement, there is a large spread for old ones, flattening the relation. Nonetheless, the dichotomy between old/young cEs is still present. The last panel shows the relation of the alpha-elements with total metallicity. Here more enhanced galaxies seem to have lower metallicities. This was already hinted in FM+18 but with this larger sample, the trend is now more clear. From this relations with the alpha abundance, we thus find that the results unequivocally reinforce the intrinsic origin for J1614 and the stripping one for NGC 4486B, NGC 5846A and NGC 5846cE.

One last property that can provide insight into the nature of cEs is the ratio within the dynamical and the stellar mass. It has been shown that the region covered by low-mass compact systems (cEs and UCDs), presents abnormally enhanced values (e.g. Mieske et al. 2013; Forbes et al. 2014; Janz et al. 2015, 2016b; FM+18). The true nature of this effect is still a matter of debate, as it can be accounted for through different processes. One possibility is the presence of dark matter (e.g. Hasegan 2007; Baumgardt & Mieske 2008), while another possibility calls for having a different initial mass function. A third option is related to the presence of a black hole in the centre of the galaxy. In the case of intrinsic cEs, one would expect them to follow the local scaling relations governing low-mass galaxies, and therefore could host the so-long sought intermediate black holes (Mezcua 2017; Ferré-Mateu et al. in prep). But those resulting from the stripping of a larger, more massive galaxy, will instead host a super massive black hole (SMBH), as expected according to the original mass of the progenitor galaxy. This way, they will have a larger dynamical mass than their stellar mass (Seth et al. 2014).

In addition, while the more massive progenitor is losing its stellar mass and shrinks due to the stripping or tidal effects, its central velocity dispersion remains almost unaltered, further emphasizing the deviations from the 1:1 relation (Pfeffer & Baumgardt 2013). Unfortunately, the search for such intermediate black holes and even the more massive SMBHs in the centers of cEs is a very challenging and difficult endeavour due to the small sizes of the objects, with only a handful of them reported to date (e.g. Kormendy et al. 1997; van der Marel et al. 1997; Mieske et al. 2013; Paudel et al. 2016).

Therefore, studying the deviations in the $M_{dyn}/M_\star$ relation can provide an indirect proof for the (possible) existence of such SMBHs. Although we showed in FM+18 that this was not the most determining property, it did help to shed light onto the origin of those cEs that extremely deviated from the 1:1 relation (e.g. $M_{dyn}/M_\star > 2$). The dynamical masses have been obtained from the Virial theorem for pressure-dominated systems:

$$M_{dy} = C G^{-1} \sigma^2 R_e,$$

Figure 11. Galaxy size vs. stellar mass, similar to the top panel of Figure 10, but now with all objects colour-coded by their stellar populations properties: stellar age (left panel) and total metallicity $[Z/H]$ (right panel). The control sample of dEs/E/S0s are now plotted as diamonds, and the pentagons correspond to a sample of compact massive galaxies (crossed if they are confirmed massive relic galaxies; Trujillo et al. 2009; Ferré-Mateu et al. 2012, 2015, 2017; Yıldırım et al. 2017; Spiniello et al. 2020). Lines of iso-velocity dispersion obtained directly from the Virial theorem are shown, ranging from 20 to 250 km s$^{-1}$. The cEs in general have similar ages to the more massive ETGs, but their metallicities do not follow the lines of iso-velocity as suggested by G+15. They tend to have higher metallicities that are similar to those seen in their massive compact counterparts.

et al. 2003). This way, massive galaxies, which form early and fast, tend to have high alphas whereas low mass galaxies tend to exhibit lower alpha-enhancements due to their more extended SFHs (e.g. Thomas et al. 2005; de La Rosa et al. 2011; McDermid et al. 2015).
\[ \sigma \] is the velocity dispersion, \( R_e \) is the effective radius of the system and \( C \) is a virial coefficient. The latter value is given by the Sérsic index of the system (Bertin et al. 2002), which is \( C = 6.5 \) for UCDs and other compact systems such as cEs (Mieske et al. 2013; Forbes et al. 2014; FM+18). We have also computed these dynamical masses for the sample of K+20, as shown by the density contours in Figure 13. This figure also shows the values used in FM+18 (black circles). NGC 5846cE, NGC 4486B and NGC 5846A are, by this order, the ones deviating the most from the relation, while J1614 and VCC 344 are closer to unity.

We suggest that, together with the rest of properties seen previously – high \([\alpha/Fe] \), old ages, high metallicities, and relatively high velocity dispersions/stellar masses, and being fast rotators – NGC 5846cE, NGC 4486B and NGC 5846A undoubtedly share a stripped origin. Their bursty SFHs suggest that their progenitors had some reservoirs of gas, so it could have been either a spiral galaxy or a gas-rich ETG. Given the radial gradients in age and metallicity, the latter seems to be a more plausible progenitor. Their local and global environment (all three have an associated host and belong to groups of galaxies) further supports this claim. We then confirm J1614 as a low-mass, intrinsic cE due to its extended SFH, very young mean age, low metallicity, low alpha-abundance, very low velocity dispersion and because it follows the majority of the scaling relations of massive ETGs. This is the only cE that has no associated host in our sample and although it belongs to a cluster, it is located in the outskirts of it. This is where these type of low mass galaxies with extended SFHs tend to be found (e.g. Thomas et al. 2005; Ferré-Mateu et al. 2014). For VCC 344 and NGC 3665cO it is not as straightforward as for the other cEs in our sample. They both have similar SFHs to ETGs of \( M_* \sim 10^{10} - 11 \) \( M_\odot \) (e.g. McDermid et al. 2015) but both follow the \([\alpha/Fe] \) relations. VCC 344 is close to unity in Figure 13 and its stellar population gradients are very similar to those of low-mass ETGs. However, they are both extreme outliers in the mass–metallicity relation. Altogether and considering that they are both located in dense environments (one is in a cluster, the other in a group), we suggest that they are more compatible with being the result of stripping. But in both cases, the host galaxy would have been a massive, gas-poor elliptical galaxy (either compact or extended).

Figure 12. \([\alpha/Fe] \) relations of cEs. This figure shows the relation of the \([\alpha/Fe] \) enhancement values obtained for our cEs (colour-coded following the previous figures), those from the AIMSS compilation studied in Janz et al. (2016a) (open circles), FM+18 (black circles) and K+20 (density contours) with different structural and stellar population parameters. First and second panels show the relation with the mass of the galaxy (with the stellar mass and the velocity dispersion, respectively), including literature relations from SDSS (Gallazzi et al. 2020) and MaNGA (Zheng et al. 2019; Liu 2020). Third and fourth panels show the relation with the stellar mean age and metallicity (from the line-index approach).

Figure 13. Dynamical-to-stellar mass ratio with galaxy mass. The relation between the dynamically inferred mass (\( M_{\text{dyn}} \)) and that from the stellar populations as function of the stellar mass of different galaxies, with the cEs for which this information is available in the literature (those in FM+18) shown as solid black circles, the K+20 cEs as contours and this work cEs in coloured dots. The open diamonds correspond to galaxies from the AIMSS compilation.

6 CONCLUSIONS

cEs are a very rare family of galaxies that populate the low-mass/luminosity end of the realm of ETGs. Here we have obtained and analyzed new IFU data from KCWI at the Keck II Telescope for a sample of 6 nearby cEs. Of these, only NGC 4486B was previously studied with an IFU, while NGC 5846A, VCC 344 and J1614 only had long-slit spectroscopy. The remaining two cEs are newly reported galaxies and not much spectral information has been gathered to date about them (NGC 5846cE and NGC 3665cO).

We measured the first order kinematic and rotation parameters, as well as the stellar population properties, i.e. stellar ages, total metallicities, alpha enhancements and star formation histories (SFHs). We compared our sample with a larger sample of cEs and with samples of ETGs, LTGs and compact massive galaxies. Despite the caveat of the different physical scales that set limitations on our power to
compare them, this can help in addressing the possible progenitors of cEs in the case of stripped cEs. Our results are here summarized:

- All our cEs have similar structural parameters, with Sérsic indices $n \lesssim 1$ and high axial ratios ($b/a \gtrsim 0.7$). Their surface brightnesses at $1_R$ are around $\mu_V \sim 19-20$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$. They have a range of sizes, from the most compact NGC 3665cO (136 pc) to the largest NGC 5846A ($R_e = 520$ pc).
- We find that cEs have moderate rotational velocities but they reveal a wide range of velocity dispersions ranging from 36–170 km s$^{-1}$. Their $\lambda_g$ values generally place them as (core-less) fast-rotators, due to their moderate rotation for their low ellipticities. Their kinematical trends can not provide definitive clues on the type of progenitor and we need the information from the stellar populations.
- Our cEs show mostly uniformly old ages ($\sim 12$ Gyr) with the bulk of their stars formed very early on. They show mild decreasing age gradients (of $\sim$2 Gyr within $1_R$) but steep metallicity gradients with high central metallicities ([Z/H]$\sim -0.1-0.2$ dex). We have compared the shapes of these gradients with literature ones (from the MASSIVE, MaNGA and CALIFA surveys) finding that the majority of the cEs follow similar trends to ETGs, in particular to the low-mass ones. Only J1614 has strikingly different gradients. Its SFH is also different, being younger ($\sim 7$ Gyr) and more extended. It is also metal-poor ([Z/H]$\sim -0.15$ dex) than the other cEs. All cEs show almost constant values of $\alpha$/Fe throughout their structures, with NGC 4486B, NGC 5846A and NGC 5846cE being alpha-enhanced and J1614, NGC 3665cO and VCC 344 having solar abundances.
- The majority of the cEs are outliers in the mass--metallicity relation, which may be interpreted as a signature for a stripping origin. We can confirm that NGC 4486B, NGC 5846A and NGC 5846cE are, indeed, cEs that were formed following this scenario. This is reinforced by the fact that they are also outliers in the relations of $\alpha$/Fe and $M_{\text{dyn}}/M_*$. Their SFHs show several star forming episodes, which would suggest a progenitor that was gas-rich and the stripping process involved gas flows. In the case of NGC 5846A the progenitor is more likely to be of the spiral-type due to this galaxy rotational parameters. NGC 3665cO and VCC 344 follow the $\alpha$/Fe and $M_{\text{dyn}}/M_*$ but are outliers in the mass--metallicity relation. Moreover, they have SFHs that are typically seen in more massive ETGs or compact massive galaxies, which would suggest that these galaxies are the result of stripping such types of galaxies. Only J1614 is unequivocally an in-situ-formed cE. It follows all the scaling relations studied and shows an extended SFH, with younger mean ages and is more metal-poor than average.
- We find that cEs have the same stellar populations of their massive compact counterparts of higher velocity dispersion. In the mass-size diagram, objects under stripping will follow diagonal tracks whereby they have their size, stellar mass and $\sigma$ reduced. We therefore caution that one should be comparing this rare family of galaxies to such massive compact galaxies or at most, to the innermost regions of large ETGs or LGTs. Unfortunately, to date the majority of surveys that provides 2D maps and trends do not have the power to resolve such inner regions or do not provide the results in a physical scale, making these comparisons difficult.

Although cEs are rare, it is clear that they can form via a mixture of different channels, as we have presented in Figure 4. Unfortunately, whether there is a preferred channel to form this galaxies and whether it is mass dependent, is still an open question due to the selection and observational biases discussed throughout this paper. Future studies should aim to obtain high quality and spatially resolved spectra, such as the one presented here or in FM+18, for large samples of cEs in order to estimate the relative frequency of each channel. The fact that some cEs are formed in-situ and are hence intrinsically low-mass compact galaxies highlights them as targets to search for the elusive intermediate black holes. Furthermore, the fact that a connection to the more massive but compact galaxies is seen for the first time, suggests that the realm of compact galaxies might follow its own formation path beyond the extended ETGs.
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