CASE REPORT

Unexpected huge post-stenting coronary perforation during complex left main revascularization

Sol ana koroner revaskülarizasyonunda stent sonrası beklenmedik dev koroner perforasyon

Gianluca Rigatelli, M.D. 1, Marco Zuin, M.D. 2, Loris Roncon, M.D. 1

1 Department of Cardiology, Santa Maria della Misericordia Hospital, Viale Tre Martiri, Rovigo, Italy
2 Department of Translational Medicine, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

Summary– A 78-year-old man with a tight left main and a long calcified disease of the left anterior descending coronary artery refused surgery. After stenting, the patient went into a shock because of a large coronary artery rupture. Pericardial drainage with blood reinfusion, without heparin reversal, allowed for completion of revascularization.

Özet– Sol ön inen koroner arterde sıkı sol ana ve uzun kalsifiye hastalığı olan 78 yaşındaki hasta ameliyati reddetti. Stent taktıktan sonra sakan bir koroner arter rüptürü nedeniyle şok geçirdi. Heparin reversi olmadan kan reinfüzyonlu perikardiyal drenaj revaskülarizasyonun tamamlanmasına olanak sağladı.

Coronary perforations remain a rare event after stent deployment with an estimated incidence ranging between 0.34% and 0.51%. 1-2 In this report, we present a case of coronary type III perforation during a complex left main (LM) revascularization.

CASE REPORT

A 78-year-old man with hypertension was referred with recurrent episodes of chest pain when mild effort was applied for the past 3 days. Physical examination and cardiac auscultation did not reveal any abnormalities. Biochemical tests showed hypercholesterolemia and a low-density lipoprotein level of 145 mg/dL. Electrocardiogram revealed mild ST segment depression in D1, DII, and V4-V6. Transthoracic echocardiogram revealed an ejection fraction of 60% with hypokinesia of the anterior and inferobasal walls. On coronary angiography, an LM diffuse disease involving the left circumflex (LCx) and the left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery with a long moderate calcified disease were observed. The right coronary artery (RCA) showed a severe proximal midportion disease. Using the Abbott system (Abbott Medical), a resting full cycle ratio demonstrated ischemic lesions in all territories (Figure 1). SYNTAX score was 29.0, EuroSCORE II was 6.03, Dual Antiplatelet Therapy (DAPT) score was 3.0, and PRECISE-DAPT score was 23. The patient strongly refused surgery. Therefore, after administration of ticagrelor 90 mg twice a day and aspirin 100 mg, a transradial intravascular ultrasound (IVUS)-guided (Boston Scientific Corp) complete percutaneous coronary revascularization was scheduled. After successful stenting of the RCA (Figure 2) with a 2.75 × 40 mm Orsiro Mission stent (Biotronik), IVUS of the left system was attempted after wiring of both LAD and LCx with a Sion Blue (Asahi Intech) on LCx and a Whisper LS (Abbott Medical) on LAD, but this failed owing to excessive tortuosity. It was decided that IVUS should be used for optimization after stenting (Video 1*). After multiple predilatations of LAD with a 2.0 × 30 Euphora balloon (Medtronic Inc.) and a 2.5 × 27 mm Euphora NC-balloon at increasing pressure (maximum 18 atm), a 2.5 × 40 and a 3.0 × 40 mm
Orsiro Mission stents at 12 atm were implanted on the basis of quality coronary angiography (QCA) diameter (Figure 3, Video 2*). The patient went into a shock owing to a large type III coronary artery perforation Figure 4A, Video 3*). Long-lasting balloon inflation with a 3.0 × 20 mm balloon at nominal pressure was performed (Video 4*), while an attempt to pass a 3.0 × 19 mm covered stent (Graftmaster, Abbott) failed because of the calcification and LAD angle. Pericardial drainage (Figure 4B) with continuous blood reinfusion through a femoral 5F vein access without heparin reversal helped to obtain hemodynamic stability despite a global slow flow with ongoing ischemia. As referral to cardiac surgery was difficult, the procedure was finalized with the nano-inverted-T technique (Figure 5). The LCx was predilated with a 2.5 × 27 NC balloon at 14 atm, and two Orsiro 3.0 x 30 and 3.5 x 40 mm were implanted with one ring protrusion in the LM, while a 3.5 × 20 NC balloon was parked in the mid LAD. The ring was crushed with the 3.5 × 20 balloon in the LM at 20 atm, and a 3.5 x 40 mm Orsiro stent was then implanted in the LM-proximal LAD at 20 atm; the size was selected on the basis of the Finet-law of coronary bifurcation. A proximal optimization technique (POT) with a 4.5 × 12 NC balloon was accomplished allowing an easy rewiring of LCx. Kissing balloon with a 3.5 × 20 NC balloons at 20 atm, and a final POT at 20 atm were finally performed with a good result (Video 5*). IVUS demonstrated an eccentric calcification after the first diagonal with a mean diameter of 3.2 mm at the proximal-mid portion and a mean LM diameter at the body of 4.6 mm with good apposition of the stents, suggesting that the chosen stents diameter was correct (Figure 6). Total contrast medium volume administered was 280 mL.
Figure 5. Completion of the left system percutaneous coronary intervention: (A) predilatation and stenting of mid-distal left circumflex artery (LCx); (B) stenting of proximal LCx with one rig protruding into the left main (LM); (C) after crushing the ring with a balloon previously parked into the mid left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD), the LM-proximal LAD were stented; (D) first proximal optimization technique (POT); (E) Kissing technique; (F) Final POT.

Figure 6. Angiographic and intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) control: (A) Final angiographic results in right anterior cranial and (B) spider views; (C, D) Left system complete IVUS control revealing a good apposition of the stent in all the segments and nicely sized stents in the left anterior descending coronary artery, left circumflex, and left main; (E) the IVUS appearance of the rupture site.
DISCUSSION

In this case report, we describe a case of unexpected coronary type III perforation during a complex LM revascularization. The reported risk factors include older age, peripheral arterial disease, lower body mass index, treatment of complex lesions (type C), and treatment of chronic total occlusions. Treatment usually includes conservative measures in the catheterization laboratory and/or emergent referral for cardiac surgery, if possible. This case has several relevant observations. First, when IVUS cannot be used from the beginning of the procedure, a careful predilatation with increasing balloon sizes and pressures can offer a valid alternative. Second, once a coronary rupture has occurred, perseveration of the implantation of a covered stent might be detrimental. Similarly, the use of ping-pong technique could be time-consuming and of uncertain benefit. Third, if IVUS is not available to determine the LM diameter, the Finet-law based on QCA diameter allows the choice of an adequate LM stent size. Finally, eccentric calcium laminae should be carefully evaluated for any potential risk of rupture before any interventions. In this patient, the passage of a covered stent was not possible, and this suggests that even the passage of a lithotripsy balloon would fail owing to the profile of such a device. An undersized stent would probably be reasonable but would expose the patient to eventual problems at the stent site in the future. Finally, in an emergent situation, when to complete the revascularization, especially in LM, remains mandatory, the nano-inverted-T stenting has the advantages of being quick and of avoiding multiple re-wiring and kissing steps, with shorter procedural time and lower metal amount at the carina compared with the culotte or the DK-crush techniques. At 6-month, he underwent negative ergometric test at 150 W. Dual antiplatelet regimens were continued for 12 months and switched to aspirin long-life and ticagrelor 60 mg twice a day for other 6 months.

Coronary perforations remain rare occurrences but can still occur after stent deployment with prompt recognition and conservative management being the key factors for procedural and clinical success.

Informed Consent: Informed consent was obtained from the patient for the publication of the case report and the accompanying images.
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