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ABSTRACT

The study were carried out at Sarapium Forest, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation in “Sarapium”, Ismailia Governorate, Egypt, during 2018 and 2019 seasons to investigate the effect of media depth on the performance of different types of emitters for irrigating cotton (verity Giza 94) using treated wastewater. Also this study estimates the effect of using treated wastewater on the cotton growth, quantity and quality.

The first experiment design for filtration performance was a split-plot with four replications. The main plots involved two media filtration depths (50 cm and 70 cm) and the sub-plots involved the time of operation (0, 25, 50, 75 and 100h). While the second experiment design for planting cotton was a split-plot with three replications. The main plots involved two plant distribution (Mutual and Opposite) and the sub-plots involved the three types of emitters namely: online 4 l/h compensative, online 4 l/h non-compensative and built-in 4 l/h-30cm non-compensative the distance between emitters were (30 cm).

The results indicated that: Increasing media filtration depth from 50 to 70 cm has led to decrease the filtration flowrate with increasing pressure losses, biological oxygen demand (BOD₅) and total suspended solids (TSS). The filtration flowrate decreased by increasing operation time from 0 to 100 but pressure losses, BOD₅ and TSS was increased. Emitters performance of online compensative and built-in non-compensative were generally better than the online non-compensative under using wastewater quality and emitters performance decrease by increasing operation time from zero to 100 hours. Plants distribution significantly effect on growth and yield components of cotton. Planting cotton by mutual method gave the highest values of number of opened bolls per plant, seed cotton yield (Ken./fed.). Using on-line compensative emitter gave the largest values of plant height, number of opened bolls per plant, boll weight and seed cotton yield (Ken./fed.). Mutual planting method and online compensative gave the highest values of number of frutiing branches per plant, boll weight, number of opened bolls per plant, seed index and seed cotton yield (per fed.).
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INTRODUCTION

According to Ministry of Water Resources and Irrigation (MWRI), Egypt (2014) Agriculture expends a large amount of the obtainable water in Egypt, with its share exceeding 85% of the total demand for water. Utilizing treated wastewater represents a viable option. Capra and Scicolone (2007) stated that the performance of the emitters and filters depends on the quality of the wastewater; Total Suspended Solids (TSS) influence the percentage of totally clogged emitters, the mean discharge emitted, the emission uniformity, and the operating time of the filter between cleaning operations; no significant difference was observed between the same kind of emitter placed on soil or sub-soil; gravel media and disk filters assured better performance than screen filters. Keller and Bliesner...
(1990) categorized the total physical suspended solids (TSS) in irrigation water used in pressured irrigation system as follow: low when TSS <50 ppm medium 50-100 ppm, and high >100. El-Berry et al (2003) mentioned that the granulated media was more efficient in the removal qualification such as TSS mg/L, BOD₅ mg/L with growing by percentages of 16.2, 2.7 respectively than those of the crushed one.

Shortage of irrigation water is an important problem in arid and semi-arid regions. Therefore, the wastewater application in agriculture seems to be an indispensable solution. At the same time, Egypt produces approximately 3.65 BCM of treated wastewater annually, 0.73 BCM of which (20%) are treated primary treatment, and 2.92 BCM (80%) are treated secondary treatment (2030 strategic vision for treated wastewater in Egypt).

Mhaske (2016) decided that cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) crop when it was irrigated with treated sewage water (TSW) had better crop growth throughout the growing period. Cotton’s seed yield was increased by 11.82% with treated sewage water. Mohamed et al (2000) decided that the Egyptian cotton cultivars could be yielding economically irrigated with drainage fresh water mixture. However, fresh/drainage water treatment significantly improved seed cotton (yield/fed.) by about 8.10 – 21.80% in comparison with drainage water treatment.

Drip irrigation has been considered essential irrigation systems which should be utilized in the latterly reclaimed sandy areas. This method increases crop production and save much water. Cotton as a long summer season growing crop needs considerable amounts of irrigation water because maintain its growth particularly during the long flowering and fruiting period and to build up enough assimilates for fiber development. Therefore, trials have been devoted to use drip irrigation under sandy soil conditions. Sampathkumar et al (2006) found that respectable excesses in seed cotton yield/fed. because of the use of drip irrigation and reported that drip irrigation resulted in a good crop growth and yield advantage within reason a constant moisture content preserved always in the root zone of the cotton crop by repeated irrigation at shorter periods. The suitable plant density with good distribution for cotton plant per feddan was bringing about higher yield, earlier ripeness and reduced cost of insect and weed control. The suitable spacing is one of the management pursuits that influence canopy light interception, ripeness and vegetative dry matter of the cotton plant. Obasi and Msaakpa (2005) reported that wider hill spacing raised no. of sympodia, open bolls, boll weight and seed cotton yield while, it reduced plant height. Hamed (2006) decided that increasing plant population produced the best values of the first fruiting branch node, no. of plants/fed and seed cotton yield/fed while, detraction population density led to a considerable increase in number of fruiting branches per plant, no. of open bolls per plant, boll weight and seed cotton yield per plant. El-Shahawy and Hamoda (2011) found that increasing hill spacing significantly exceeded no. of sympodia per plant, no. of open bolls per plant, boll weight and seed cotton yield/fed. While plant height, first sympodial position and lint % decreased.

The purposes of this investigation were to survey the influence of media depth on the performance of various types of emitters for irrigating cotton, response of Egyptian cotton verity (Giza 94) using treated wastewater and to investigate the effect of utilizing treated wastewater on the cotton growth, quantity and quality.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study were carried out at Sarapium Forest, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation in “Sarapium”, Ismailia Governorate, Egypt, during 2018 and 2019 seasons to investigate the effect of media depth on the performance of different types of emitters for irrigating cotton (verity Giza 94) using treated wastewater. Also this study estimates the effect of using treated wastewater on the cotton growth, quantity and quality. The first experiment design for filtration performance was a split-plot with four replications. The main plots involved two media filtration depths (50 cm and 70 cm) and the sub-plots involved the operation time (0, 25, 50, 75 and 100h). While the second experiment design for planting cotton was a split-plot with three replications. The main plots involved two plant distribution (Mutual and Opposite) and the sub-plots involved the three types of emitters namely: online 4 l/h compensative, online 4 l/h non-compensative and built-in 4 l/h-30cm non-compensative the distance between emitters were (30 cm). The hydraulic data were filtration flowrate and pressure losses. The measured data involved organic matter such as BOD₅ (mg/l) and physical matter TSS (mg/l). The values of some chemical and organic analysis of the treated wastewater as analysed in laboratory at Ismailia Wastewater Treatment Plant in “Sarapeum” are presented in Table (1).
Table 1. Some Chemical analysis of treated wastewater (secondary treatment)

| PH | EC (ds/m) | Cations (meq/l) | Soluble Anions (meq/l) |
|----|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------|
|    |           | Ca⁺⁺ | Mg⁺⁺ | Na⁺ | K⁺ | CO₃⁻ | HCO₃⁻ | SO₄⁻ | CL⁻ |
| 7.83 | 2.16      | 7.56 | 5.37 | 12.03 | 0.96 | N.D  | 8.3    | 7.23 | 7.7 |

Table 2. Some organic and biological analysis of treated wastewater

| BOD₅ (mg/l) | TSS (mg/l) | VSS (mg/l) | TDS (mg/l) | Chlor. A (mg/l) | F.Coliform No/100 ml |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 48.2       | 28.9       | 23.3       | 654        | 0.064           | 32.183              |

(TDS): Total dissolved solids, (Chlor. A): Chlorophyll, (F.Coliform): Fical coliform.

Table 3. Technical Specifications of filtration unit

| Specifications                        | Gravel filters | Disk filter |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Number of filters.                    | 3              | 1           |
| Recommended maximum flow rate (m³ h⁻¹). | 18             | 30          |
| Maximum operating pressure (bar).     | 10             | 5           |
| Filtration capacity (m³ m⁻² h⁻¹).     | 53.1           | -           |
| Inlet and outlet diameters (inch).     | 2              | 3           |
| Height (mm).                          | 1100           | 60          |
| Tank diameter (mm).                   | 500            | 25          |
| Wall Thickness (mm).                  | 5.0            | 3           |
| Thickness of media layers (mm).       | 600            | -           |
| Back washing diameter (inch).         | 2              | -           |
| Up drain types.                       | Cylindrical    | -           |
| Up drain diameter (inch).             | 2              | -           |
| Effective diameter of granular media (mm). | 1.0-1.5       |             |

The disk filter used with diameter 3 inch, 120 mesh and maximum flowrate 30 m³ h⁻¹.

Methods of calculation

- Emitter Exponent

The emitter is the most important part of drip irrigation tubing. An emitter with a high degree of pressure compensating (x = 0) is technically possible. Although the ideal emitter has not yet been invented. Emitter flow rate may fluctuate as pressure along the lateral line varies due to friction, evaluation, and accidental restriction, resulting in a non-uniform water application (Braud and Soon, 1980).

Emitter discharge rate is a function of operating pressure as described in the power law:

\[ q = k H^x \]  

Where:
- \( q \): emitter discharge rate, l/h.
- \( k \): emitter constant.
- \( H \): operating pressure (KPa), and \( x \): emitter exponent.

For a fully laminar flow regime, emitters must be very sensitive to head changes and the value of \( x \) must be 1.0. This means that a pressure variation of 20.0 % may result in ± 20.0% emitter flow rate variation. Most non-compensating emitters are always fully turbulent. With an \( x \) level of about 0.5, indicating that a pressure variation of approximately 10.0 %. Further, for compensating emitter pressure variation causes little discharge variation. Compensating emitter has an \( x \) level ranging from 0.1 to 0.4. A typical pressure compensating emitter would have an \( x \) level equal to 0 (Braud and Soon, 1980). Equation (1) was used to calculate the \( x \) values in this study.
-Emitter flow rate variation (q\text{var})

Emitter flow rate variation (q\text{var}) (Camp et al 1997).

\[ Q_{\text{var}} = \left( \frac{q_m - q_n}{q_n} \right) 100 \] ... (2)

Where:

- \( Q_{\text{var}} \): variation of average flow rate from the nominal (%).
- \( q_m \): average flow rate, (l/h).
- \( q_n \): nominal flow rate at pressure of 1.0 bar and the same water temperature.

-Manufacturer’s variation (CV\text{m}).

The manufacturer’s coefficient of emitter’s variation is a measurement of the variance of discharge of a random test of a production. Model and size of emitter as produced by the manufacturer and before any field operation or aging has taken place (ASAE 1996). The manufacturer’s coefficient of emitter’s variation (CV\text{m}) is defined as follows:

\[ CV_{\text{m}} = \frac{S}{q_a} \] ...(3)

Where:

- \( CV_{\text{m}} \): the manufacturer’s coefficient of emitter’s variation.
- \( S \): standard deviation of emitter discharges rates at a reference pressure head.
- \( q_a \): average flow rate of emitters at that reference pressure head (l/h).

The manufacturer’s variation is predominantly brought out by pressure and heat instability during emitter production. In extension, a high CV\text{m} could happen because of a heterogeneous admixture of the materials used in the production of emitters. Typical values for CV\text{m} range from 2.0 to 15 %, although higher are possible (Boswell, 1985). Classification of (CV\text{m}) values according to ASAE standards are shown below in Table (4).

The emitter manufacture coefficient of variation "CV\text{m}" is one of the statistical terms, which can be used to show the trickle irrigation system uniformity. Numerous guidelines have been suggested for "CV", but those recommended by (ASAE, 1996) include:

-Field emission uniformity coefficient, EU (%).

At the end of treatments the discharge of 16 emitters discharges within an irrigation block and is shown by equation (3) the treatment was measured to estimate the field emission uniformity coefficient, EU (%) as follows:

\[ EU = \left( \frac{q_{\text{min}}}{q_a} \right) 100 \] ...(4)

Where:

- \( EU \): the emission uniformity %.
- \( q_{\text{min}} \): measured mean of the lowest ¼ of the emitter discharge(l/h).
- \( q_a \): measured mean of all emitter discharge(l/h).
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Table 4. ASAE recommended classification of emitter manufacture coefficient of variation "CVm"

| Classifications | Excellent | Average | Marginal | Poor | Unacceptable |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|----------|------|--------------|
| CVm (%)         | <5.0      | 5.0–7.0 | 7.0–11.0 | 11.0–15.0 | >15.0        |

The experiment layout included a flowmeter, two pressure gauges combined before and after each filter and twelve polyethylene lateral lines for each emitters. Lateral line was 30 m long and had 100 emitters attached at a spacing of 0.3 m. The external diameters of the laterals were 16 (mm) with wall thickness 1.2 (mm), the system was operated for up to 100 h. On each day of operation, the exact time of operation, the total flow volume were recorded. Because the head loss in lateral lines was very small, the pressure along the lateral can be neglected.

The experiment for planting cotton was planted on April 17th in 2019 season. Cotton plant thinned after complete emergence (35 day from planting) to two plants /hill. Standard agricultural practices were followed throughout the growing season. All samples were chosen random from each plot to study growth and yield traits. Soil samples were analysed before planting, according to Chapman and Pratt (1978). The results of the soil analysis are shown in Table (5).

Growth characters (plant height at harvest (cm) and number of fruiting branches /plant) were estimated. Additionaly samples were randomly taken from each plot to determine number of open bolls per plant, boll weight gm, seed index gm, lint percentage (Lint %) and seed cotton yield/fed.

Table 5. Soil mechanical and chemical analyses of the experimental sites in 2019 season

| Mechanical analysis:          | Chemical analysis:          |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Sand (%)                      | pH                          |
| Silt (%)                      | E.C. (m.moh)                | Organic matter (%) |
| Clay (%)                      | Total CaCO3 (%)             |
| Textur e class                | 7.85                        | 2.08       |
| 93.52                         | 2.13                        | 0.21       |
| Soluble anions concen. (meq/L)| Soluble cations concen. (meq/L) |
| (meq/100g soil)               | (meq/100g soil)             |
| Na⁺                           | Cl⁻                         | HCO₃⁻      | SO₄²⁻ |
| 46.44                         | 18.20                       | 15.52      | 11.50 |
| K⁺                            | Mg²⁺                        |            | 1.45  |

Statistical analysis

Whole collected data were subjected to statistical analysis as approached by Gomez and Gomez (1984) and means were compared by LSD at 5% level of probability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hydraulic characteristics on media filter

Data in Table (6) showed that filtration flow rate (m³/h) and pressure losses were significantly affected by media filtration depth, operation time and the interaction between them. Increasing media filtration depth from 50 to 70 cm the filtration flow rate was decreased and the pressure losses was increased. By increasing the operation times from 0 to 100 the filtration flow rate was decreased and the pressure losses was increased. The reduction of filtration flow rate for different media depth in filter and water quality

The characteristics of wastewater used to evaluate the filtration efficiency (biological oxygen demand (BOD₅) and total suspended solids (TSS)) during time of operation (h) up to 100 hours are shown in Table (7). The data showed that BOD₅ and TSS were significantly affected by media filtration depth, operation time and the interaction between them. Increasing media filtration depth from 50 to 70 cm and operation time from zero to 100 gave
Table 6. Effect of media filtration depth and operation time on filtration flow rate and pressure losses

| Treatments | Filtration flow rate (m³/h) | Pressure losses | Mean |
|------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------|
|            | Operation time (B)          | Operation time (B) |      |
| Media      | 0  | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Mean |
| filtration depth (A) | 0  | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 |
| 50 cm      | 25.56 | 22.76 | 21.43 | 19.43 | 16.33 | 21.10 | 0.133 | 0.133 | 0.200 | 0.333 | 0.300 | 0.220 |
| 70 cm      | 20.16 | 18.43 | 16.48 | 15.53 | 13.73 | 16.87 | 0.167 | 0.167 | 0.233 | 0.467 | 0.333 | 0.273 |
| Mean       | 22.86 | 20.60 | 18.95 | 17.48 | 15.03 | 16.87 | 0.150 | 0.150 | 0.217 | 0.400 | 0.317 |

LSD at 0.05 for

A  0.23       B  0.61       AxB  0.86

Table 7. The characteristics of wastewater used to evaluate the water quality due to (BOD₅) and (TSS)

| Treatments | TSS (mg/l) | BOD₅ (mg/l) | Mean |
|------------|------------|-------------|------|
|            | Operation time (B) | Operation time (B) |      |
| Media      | 0  | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | Mean |
| filtration depth (A) | 0  | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 |
| 50 cm      | 17.50 | 22.50 | 23.50 | 27.00 | 33.00 | 24.70 | 40.30 | 42.10 | 46.60 | 48.30 | 50.90 | 45.64 |
| 70 cm      | 21.30 | 23.50 | 26.50 | 30.00 | 39.00 | 28.06 | 41.70 | 44.30 | 48.50 | 55.00 | 59.00 | 49.70 |
| Mean       | 19.40 | 23.00 | 25.00 | 28.50 | 36.00 | 28.06 | 41.00 | 43.20 | 47.55 | 51.65 | 54.95 |

LSD at 0.05 for

A  0.25       B  0.58       AxB  0.79

the highest values of BOD₅ and TSS. The interaction between media filtration depth and operation time was significantly effect in BOD₅ and TSS. 70 cm media filtration depth with 100 h operation time gave the highest values of BOD₅ and TSS.

Calibration of used drippers

Data shown in Fig. (2) showed that when the pressure increases, the dripper flow rates increase. The laboratory experiments were conducted for three types of drippers (online compensative drippers, online non-compensative and built-in drip line), with nominal flow rates about (4 l/h), and gives the dripper flow-pressure functions as well as the regression equations.

Influence of wastewater on emitters performance

Data in Table (8) showed that great impact of the treated wastewater quality on the behaviour of different type emitters (online 4 l/h compensative, online 4 l/h non-compensative and built-in 4 l/h-30cm non-compensative). Emitters performance of online compensative and built-in non-compensative were generally better than the online emitter non-compensative. The online emitter non-compensative with a similar discharge were more sensitive to clogging than inline emitter compensative and built in non-compensative when the total suspended solids and organic matter content increased. Data also in the same table showed that the emitters on and in pipes with a smaller diameter were sensitive to clogging by increasing operation time from 0 to 100 h. El-Tantawy et al (2009) found that on-line emitter is perfectable than in-line emitters. Inline emitters were more sensitive to clogging than on-line in partial and total percentages of emitters clogging.

Determination of irrigation water requirements

The irrigation water requirements were resolved along the season, by using weather data, spring from the standard FAO-penman method explained in (Allen et al 1998). The daily references evapo-transpiration (ETₒ) was received from Central Lab. for Agricultural Climate. The crop coefficient factor (k_c) as showed in Table (9).
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Fig. 2. Performance curves of tested dripper with flow rate (4 l/h).

Table 8. Hydraulic characteristics for different dripper under investigation under operating pressure (125 KPa)

| Operation Time (h) | Type of emitter       | Flow rate (l/h) | *Manufacture’s coefficient of variation (CV_m %) | *Distribution Uniformity (DU %) | *Dripper flow Variation (q_var %) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0h                 | Online 4 l/h Compensative | 4.34            | 2.52 (Excellent)                             | 96.86 (Excellent)              | 11.39 (Acceptable)               |
|                    | Online 4 l/h Non-compensative | 3.93            | 6.93 (Average)                              | 89.3 (Good)                    | 21.6 (Unacceptable)              |
|                    | Built-in 4 l/h-30cm Non-compensative | 4.51            | 3.5 (Excellent)                             | 95.0 (Excellent)              | 12.13 (Acceptable)               |
| 50h                | Online 4 l/h Compensative | 4.12            | 4.5 (Excellent)                             | 94.07 (Excellent)              | 17.65 (Acceptable)               |
|                    | Online 4 l/h Non-compensative | 3.74            | 7.19 (Marginal)                             | 89.97 (Good)                   | 20.7 (Unacceptable)              |
|                    | Built-in 4 l/h-30cm Non-compensative | 4.10            | 3.5 (Excellent)                             | 90.12 (Excellent)              | 11.78 (Acceptable)               |
| 100h               | Online 4 l/h Compensative | 3.62            | 3.4 (Excellent)                             | 96.14 (Excellent)              | 12.37 (Acceptable)               |
|                    | Online 4 l/h Non-compensative | 3.23            | 7.85 (Marginal)                             | 89.75 (Good)                   | 25.3 (Unacceptable)              |
|                    | Built-in 4 l/h-30cm Non-compensative | 3.30            | 7.05 (Average)                             | 89.77 (Good)                   | 22.96 (Unacceptable)              |

AUJASCI, Arab Univ. J. Agric. Sci., 28(1), 2020
Table 9. The standard crop coefficient (kc) for cotton crop in Mediterranean climate, at the crop growth stages

| Stage           | Duration of the stages (days) | KC  |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----|
| Initial         | 30                            | 0.45|
| Development     | 50                            | 0.75|
| Mid-season      | 55                            | 1.15|
| Late season     | 45                            | 0.75|
| Total           | 185                           |     |

Water requirements of cotton plants (ETc) for the growing season were determined according to the following equation (Allen et al 1998).

\[ ET_c = ETo \times k_c \]

Where:
ETc : the crop water requirement (mm/day).
ETo : the crop coefficient.
Kc : the reference evapotranspiration (mm/day).

Table 10. Determination of irrigation water requirements for cotton

| Month     | April | May  | June | July | Aug. | Sep. | October |
|-----------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| ETc (mm/day) | 3.5   | 4.7  | 4.6  | 4.3  | 3.5  | 3    | 2.2     |
| ETc (mm/day) |       |      |      |      |      |      |         |
| ETc (m³/day/fed.) | 1.575 | 2.115| 3.525| 3.45 | 4.945| 4.025| 2.25    |
| ETc (m³/day/fed.) |       |      |      |      |      |      |         |
| ETc (m³/fed./month) | 6.615 | 8.883| 14.805|14.49 |20.769|16.905|9.45     |
| ETc (m³/fed./month) |       |      |      |      |      |      |         |
| ETc (m³/fed./growth stage) | 99.225|133.245|222.075|434.7 |145.383|388.815|507.15  |
| ETc (m³/fed./season) | 232.47|802.158|914.865|      |      |      |         |
| ETc (m³/fed./season) |       |      |      |      |      |      |         |

Effect of plants distribution and emitter types as well as their interaction on cotton

Data in Table (11) showed that plant height at harvest, number of fruiting branches per plant, number of opened bolls per plant, boll weight, seed cotton yield (Ken./fed.), lint % and seed index were significantly affected by plants distribution. Planting cotton by mutual method gave the highest values of no. of fruiting branches /plant no. of opened bolls/plant, boll weight, seed cotton yield (Ken./fed.), and seed index while gave the lowest values of plant height at harvest and lint % compared with the opposite method of planting cotton. The increase in growth and yield due to good plants distribution by using mutual planting method may be due to good water destruction and light and decreased humidity and insects. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Obasi and Msaakpa (2005) and El-Shahawy and Hamoda (2011). Data also in Table (9) showed that plant height at harvest, no. of fruiting branches /plant, no. of opened bolls/plant, boll weight, seed cotton yield (Ken./fed.), lint % and seed index were significantly affected by emitter types. Using online compensative emitter gave the highest values of plant height, number of fruiting branches per plant, number of opened bolls per plant, boll weight, seed cotton yield (Ken./fed.), and seed index while gave the lowest values of lint % compared with the other types of emitters, followed by built in non compensative emitter while the online non compensative emitter came the last. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Sampathkumar et al (2006) reported significant
increases in seed cotton (yield/fed.) due to the use of drip irrigation. Also, reported that drip irrigation showed a good crop growth and yield advantage because of a stable moisture content maintained in the root zone of the cotton crop by frequent irrigation at shorter intervals. El-Tantawy et al. (2009) found that online emitter is better than inline emitters. In-line emitters were more sensitive to clogging than online in partial and total percentages of emitters clogging. Significant interaction occurred between plants distribution and emitter types for most studied characteristics Table (9). Mutual planting method and Online 4l/h consumptive gave the highest values of no. of fruiting branches/plant, no. of opened bolls/plant, boll weight, seed index and seed cotton (yield/fed.).

Table 11. Growth attributes and yield and yield components as affected by plants distribution and Emitter types as well as their interaction

| Treatments                  | Growth attributes | yield and yield components |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Plants distribution (A)     |                   |                           |
| Emitter types (B)           |                   |                           |
| Online 4l/h compensative    | 147.94            | 17.57                     |
| Online 4l/h non compensative| 142.33            | 16.69                     |
| Built-in 4l/h non compensative| 146.41         | 17.14                     |
| Mean                        | 145.56            | 17.13                     |
| Opposite                    |                   |                           |
| Emitter types (B)           |                   |                           |
| Online 4l/h compensative    | 157.12            | 15.80                     |
| Online 4l/h non compensative| 151.21            | 15.10                     |
| Built-in 4l/h non compensative| 153.30         | 15.32                     |
| Mean                        | 153.87            | 15.41                     |
| General mean of (B)         |                   |                           |
| Emitter types (B)           |                   |                           |
| Online 4l/h compensative    | 152.53            | 16.68                     |
| Online 4l/h non compensative| 146.77            | 15.89                     |
| Built-in 4l/h non compensative| 149.86          | 16.23                     |
| Mean                        | 153.87            | 15.41                     |
| LSD at 0.05 for A           | 0.80              | 0.11                      |
| LSD at 0.05 for B           | 0.36              | 0.07                      |
| LSD at 0.05 for A x B       | 0.60              | 0.11                      |
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مصطفى عطيه أحمد عماره

في معدل تصرف الفطر وزاء. فقد الضغط ونسبة امتصاص الأوكسجين المذاب في الماء، وتسعة الماء

الصليه الماء. أداء النباتات خارجي منظم 4 لتر / ساعة وداخلي غير منظم 4 لتر / ساعة أفضل من خارجي غير منظم 4 لتر / ساعة وكفاءة النباتات قيل بزيادة وقت التشغيل. نتج تنازل صنف القطن المصري جيزة 94 في الأراضي الهندية حيث عبر المستهلك عن طاقته الأنتاجية تحت الظروف سبايكية الإسماعيلية باستخدام الرى بالمياحة الماجا. أثير توزيع النباتات معنويًا على صنف القطن المقصورة وكتاناته حيث أعملت الشروط الزراعية بالتبادل على القطن صنف طول النبات. بينما أعطت الزراعة بالتبادل أفضل الفعل صنف عدى الأقرع الشيره. وعدد اللوز: وزن اللوز: وزن آل البذرة ومحصول القطن الزهر / الفدان. أثر ضعف النباتات معنويًا على صنف القطن المقصورة حيث أعطت استخدام نظام الفطر المنظم للضفاض أفضل الفعل للحصول وكونيات للجذور بالماء. بقية أنواع النباتات الأخرى. أعطي التفاعل بين توزيع النباتات وأنواع النباتات تأثيرات معنوية على عمليات النباتات تحت الدراسة وأعطت الشروط بالتبادل مع استخدام نظام القطن المقصورة في أفضل الفعل للحصول. الأقرع الشيره.
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