RNA interference (RNAi) is a natural cellular process that regulates gene expression and provides an innate defence mechanism against invading viruses and transposable elements. The finding that dsRNA initiates RNAi was among the most significant recent contributions to cell biology, and since the discovery that RNAi can be mediated by 21 nucleotide (nt) duplexes, researchers have worked to harness their potential for addressing biological questions and treating human disease. Some reagents, such as small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), are applied directly to cells, tissues and organisms; others are engineered to be expressed in cells, such as hairpin structures that provide siRNAs when processed. The basic premise underlying the broad utility of RNAi is that, in theory, we can design siRNAs (or vectors encoding them) to target virtually any gene of interest. RNAi technologies use a cell's natural machinery to move exogenously applied siRNAs to the appropriate cellular compartment, where they encounter the correct mRNA target and induce its degradation.

Initial work on RNAi in flies and worms moved quickly to larger mammals and fuelled excitement for potential clinical applications. However, in a similar way to other developing fields in human therapy, such as gene- and antibody-therapy, early excitement has been tempered as a realistic understanding emerges of the milestones that must be reached before the eventual approval of human therapy. Over recent years various complex barriers to achieving efficient RNAi have become evident. These hurdles include: specificity for the target gene; delivery to the correct cell or tissues; the durability of RNAi activity and the ability to redose (if needed); and considerations of the stability of the target mRNA and encoded protein. We have also become aware of the problems posed by the various platforms used to elicit RNAi. For example, early work in which siRNAs were applied to mouse airway epithelial cells to reduce viral burdens in vivo elicited inhibition of target gene expression, but it was later found that the induction of an innate immune response probably contributed to the efficacy in these and other experiments. Altering the chemical make-up of the synthetic RNA diminished the immune response, as did avoiding known pro-inflammatory sequences. This finding also opened investigators' eyes to the possibility of purposefully using immunostimulatory RNAi as a direct therapeutic or adjuvant.

Although the clinical utility of RNAi has not yet been realized, ongoing patient trials provide opportunities for success. The numbers of RNAi-based preclinical and clinical trials have grown over the past several years and have included studies in retinal degeneration, dominantly inherited brain and skin diseases, viral infections, respiratory disorders, cancer and metabolic diseases (Table 1).

Here, we provide an overview of RNAi and discuss strategies to use the pathway for directed gene silencing for therapy. We describe delivery systems that might be suitable for different circumstances, and bring to the reader's attention issues that must be surmounted for widespread use in vivo.
Harnessing small RNA biogenesis

The development of RNAi for therapy is based on our understanding of small RNA biogenesis pathways. The two main types of small RNAs involved in gene silencing are microRNAs (miRNAs) and siRNAs, and their processing and targeting is summarized in Fig. 1 (further details can be found in recent reviews). Recent data suggest that base pairing can also occur between central miRNA nucleotides and target miRNAs. Data from several laboratories showed that miRNAs repress the initiation of translation, although more recent work indicates that miRNA–mRNA complexes can be transported to cytoplasmic processing bodies, after which deadenylation and mRNA degradation occurs. Interestingly, some miRNA-mediated translational repression is reversible.

RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). RISC is a group of proteins, including one of the Argonaute proteins, that induces target mRNA cleavage based on loaded small interfering RNA or microRNA guide strands.

miRNAs and siRNAs. miRNAs mediate post-transcriptional gene silencing and are processed from endogenously expressed transcripts (Fig. 1). Either processed strand can mediate post-transcriptional gene silencing, but many miRNAs show asymmetry, primarily loading one strand into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). The small RNA guides RISC to the mRNA target, where the miRNA typically binds to the 3' UTR. Watson–Crick base pairing between miRNAs and their targets is usually partial, but with high complementarity from bases 2–8 of the 5’-3’ seed region. Recent data suggest that base pairing can also occur between central miRNA nucleotides and target miRNAs. Data from several laboratories showed that miRNAs repress the initiation of translation, although more recent work indicates that miRNA–mRNA complexes can be transported to cytoplasmic processing bodies, after which deadenylation and mRNA degradation occurs. Interestingly, some miRNA-mediated translational repression is reversible.

### Table 1 | Clinical trials for RNAi therapy*

| Clinical setting | Drug | Indication(s) | Target(s) | Sponsor | Status |
|------------------|------|---------------|-----------|---------|--------|
| Ocular and retinal disorders | TD101 | Pachyonychia congenita | Keratin 6A N171K mutant | Pachyonychia Congenita Project | Completed, Phase I |
| | QPI-1007 | Non-arteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy | Caspase 2 | Quark Pharm., Inc. | Active, Phase I |
| | AGN211745 | Age-related macular degeneration; choroidal neovascularisation | VEGFR1 | Sirna Therapeutics, Inc. | Completed, Phase I, II |
| | PF-655 | Diabetic macular oedema (DME); age-related macular degeneration (AMD) | RTP801 | Quark Pharm., Inc. | Active, Phase I |
| | SYL040012 | Glaucoma | β2 adrenergic receptor | Sylentis | Active, Phase I, II |
| Cancer | CEQ508 | Familial adenomatous polyposis | β-catenin | MDRNA, Inc. | Active, Phase I |
| | ALN-PLK1 | Liver tumours | PLK1 | Alnyam Pharm. | Active, Phase I |
| | FANG | Solid tumours | Furin | Gradalis, Inc. | Active, Phase II |
| | CALAA-01 | Solid tumours | RRM2 | Calando Pharm. | Active, Phase I |
| | SPC2996 | Chronic myeloid leukemia | BCL-2 | Santaris Pharm. | Ongoing, Phase I, II |
| Kidney disorders | ALN-VSP02 | Solid tumours | VEGF, kinesin spindle protein | Alnylam Pharm. | Active, Phase I |
| | NCT00672542 | Metastatic melanoma | LMP2, LMP7, and MECL1 | Duke University | Active, Phase I |
| | Atu027 | Advanced, recurrent or metastatic solid malignancies | PKN3 | Silence Therapeutics | Active, Phase I |
| LDL lowering | OPI-1002/I5NP | Acute kidney injury | p53 | Quark Pharm., Inc. | Terminated, Phase I |
| | OPI-1002/I5NP | Delayed graft function kidney transplant | p53 | Quark Pharm., Inc. | Active, Phase I, II |
| | OPI-1002/I5NP | Kidney injury acute renal failure | p53 | Quark Pharm., Inc. | Completed, Phase I |
| Antiviral | TKM-ApoB | Hypercholesterolaemia | APOB | Tekmira Pharm. Corp. | Terminated, Phase I |
| | PRO-040,201 | Hypercholesterolaemia | APOB | Tekmira Pharm. Corp. | Terminated, Phase I |
| | SC3649 | Hepatitis C virus | miR-122 | Santaris Pharm | Active, Phase II |
| | pHIV-7-shl-TAR-CCR5RZ | HIV | HIV Tat protein, HIV TAR RNA, human CCR5 | City of Hope Medical Center/Benitec | Active, Phase 0 |
| | ALN-RSV01 | RSV in volunteers | RSV nucleocapsid | Alnylam Pharm. | Completed, Phase II |
| | ALN-RSV01 | RSV in lung transplant patients | RSV nucleocapsid | Alnylam Pharm. | Completed, Phase I |
| | ALN-RSV01 | RSV in lung transplant patients | RSV nucleocapsid | Alnylam Pharm. | Active, Phase II |

APOB, apolipoprotein B; BCL-2, B-cell CLL/lymphoma 2; CCR5, C-C chemokine receptor type 5; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; LMP2, also known as proteasome subunit beta type 9 (PSMB9); LMP7, also known as proteasome subunit beta type 8 (PSMB8); LMP2, also known as proteasome subunit beta type 10 (PSMB10); RRM2, ribonucleoside-diphosphate reductase subunit M2; RSV, respiratory syncytial virus; RTP801, also known as DNA damage-inducible transcript 4 protein (DDIT4); VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor. *From ClinicalTrials.gov.
Figure 1 | The miRNA and siRNA pathways of RNAi in mammals. Primary microRNAs (pri-miRNAs) are transcribed by RNA polymerases\textsuperscript{156–158} and are trimmed by the microprocessor complex (comprising Drosha and microprocessor complex subunit DCGR8) into ~70 nucleotide precursors, called pre-miRNAs\textsuperscript{67,159,160} (left side of the figure). miRNAs can also be processed from spliced short introns (known as mirtrons)\textsuperscript{161}. pre-miRNAs contain a loop and usually have interspersed mismatches along the duplex. pre-miRNAs associate with exportin 5 and are exported to the cytoplasm\textsuperscript{162,163}, where a complex that contains Dicer, TAR RNA-binding protein (TRBP; also known as TARBP2) and PACT (also known as PRKRA) processes the pre-miRNAs into miRNA–miRNA* duplexes\textsuperscript{116,164,165}. The duplex associates with an Argonaute (AGO) protein within the precursor RNAi-induced silencing complex (pre-RISC). One strand of the duplex (the passenger strand) is removed. The mature RISC contains the guide strand, which directs the complex to the target mRNA for post-transcriptional gene silencing. The 'seed' region of an miRNA is indicated; in RNAi trigger design, the off-target potential of this sequence needs to be considered. Long dsRNAs (right side of the figure) are processed by Dicer, TRBP and PACT into small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). siRNAs are 20–24-mer RNAs and harbour 3′OH and 5′ phosphate (PO\textsubscript{4}) groups, with 3′ dinucleotide overhangs\textsuperscript{3,166,167}. Within the pre-RISC complex, an AGO protein cleaves the passenger siRNA strand. Then, the mature RISC, containing an AGO protein and the guide strand, associates with the target mRNA for cleavage. The inset shows the properties of siRNAs. The thermodynamic stability of the terminal sequences will direct strand loading. Like naturally occurring or artificially engineered miRNAs, the potential 'seed' region can be a source for miRNA-like off-target silencing. shRNA, short hairpin RNA.
siRNAs are small dsRNAs, 20–24 nt in length, that are processed from longer dsRNAs (FIG. 1). One strand is the ‘guide’ strand and directs silencing, with the other strand — the ‘passenger’ — being degraded. Which strand becomes which is determined by the thermodynamic properties of the duplex. siRNAs generally show full complementarity to their target mRNA, and cleavage occurs 10–12 bases from the 5’ end of the guide strand binding site.

Exogenous inhibitory RNAs. Our understanding of small RNA biogenesis has enabled the development of several strategies for harnessing RNAi pathways for therapy. Recombinant inhibitory RNAs are designed to mimic primary miRNAs (pri-miRNAs) (in the case of artificial miRNAs or exogenous miRNAs) or precursor miRNAs (pre-miRNAs) (in the case of short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs)), whereas chemically synthesized RNA oligonucleotides are designed to mimic Dicer products or substrates. Each class mediates gene silencing but enters the pathway at a different step. The main differences between exogenously applied oligonucleotide siRNAs and hairpin-based species (shRNA or miRNA shuttles) are the mode of delivery and the duration of gene silencing (TABLE 2). However, recent advances in non-viral and viral systems are blurring this distinction. In the following sections we describe the main strategies for the design and delivery of inhibitory RNAs.

**siRNA approaches**

The most common method used to harness the RNAi pathway for targeted gene silencing is to transfect 21–22 nt siRNAs into cells. Another option is to use longer, 25–27 nt duplexes that can be processed by Dicer into siRNAs; these are called ‘Dicer-ready siRNAs’. In some cases, the silencing potency of Dicer-ready siRNAs can be greater than for siRNAs. For both synthetic triggers, transfection is generally accomplished to high efficiency in cell lines using commercially available transfection reagents. However, as discussed below, alternative packaging is often required for delivery to primary cells and for in vivo applications.

In rational siRNA design it is important to consider the siRNA sequence, the chemical nature of the silencing moiety (for example, RNA with or without modified bases and sugars), the length of the RNA and the nature of the 3’ and 3’ ends. In vitro synthesis of siRNAs using T7 polymerase creates 5’ triphosphates, which can induce type I interferon responses (type I IFN responses). Similarly, blunt-ended siRNAs induce cytoplasmic retinoic acid inducible gene 1 protein (RIG-I) and IFN production. Chemically synthesized siRNAs lacking 5’ triphosphates and containing appropriate 3’ overhangs alleviate these issues.

Many siRNAs, although able to reduce expression of the target gene, are immunostimulatory in a sequence-independent manner because they are recognized by the pattern recognition Toll-like receptors (TLRs) TLR3. This can be through endosomal or on the cell surface, recognizes dsRNAs and can be activated by uncomplexed 21-mer siRNAs. TLR3 activation inhibits blood and lymphatic vessel growth, which can be advantageous in the setting of corneal vascularization, where inhibition of angiogenesis is desired. TLR activation can also be advantageous in cancer therapies by stimulating dendritic cells to respond immunologically to cancer cells. Recently, the generally unwanted stimulation of TLRs by oligonucleotides was used cleverly to achieve gene silencing and immune stimulation for cancer therapy: well-characterized CpG oligonucleotide agonists of TLR9 fused to siRNAs targeting an immune suppressor promoted antitumour immune responses in mice. This interesting combination of tumour targeting and siRNA immunostimulatory therapy may substantially augment the promising clinical results from the use of TLR9 agonists alone.

TLR activation would be contraindicated in other settings, such as in attempts to revert or inhibit ischaemia. In addition, endosomal TLR7 and TLR8 recognize ssRNAs and can be activated when siRNAs complexed with carriers are internalized or taken up through receptor targeting. Altering the chemical nature of the siRNA dramatically reduces TLR responses elicited by exogenously applied siRNAs. For example, using 2′-O-methyl-modified purine nucleosides in the passenger strand reduces IFN induction but retains targeting specificity. This modification also improves serum stability by reducing susceptibility to RNases.

**siRNA delivery options.** Chemically modified siRNAs are most often packaged into carriers for systemic delivery as their negative charge and size prevent cellular penetration. Uncomplexed siRNAs that are delivered systemically are also readily cleared by the kidney and excreted. The array of carriers is vast, and excellent summaries of their chemical make-up and biological properties can be found elsewhere. Among the most common are lipid-based carriers or cholesterol conjugates to the sense strand of the duplex. Cholesterol-conjugated siRNAs, which are commercially available, enable improved uptake to the liver as they are bound by low-density lipoprotein (LDL) in serum and LDL uptake in the liver is robust. Lipophilic siRNAs can also bind high-density lipoprotein (HDL); this can target siRNAs to tissues with HDL receptors, such as gut, kidney and vaginal epithelial cells and oligodendrocytes in the brain.

Exciting data in nonhuman primates showed that a single delivery of siRNAs complexed into stable nucleic acid lipid particles (SNALPs) reduced target gene expression for almost 2 weeks. Recently the same delivery strategy was used successfully to protect nonhuman primates from a lethal challenge of Ebola virus, using siRNAs targeting the expression of three Ebola virus proteins. Improvements in SNALPs that reduce the doses required for effective silencing in nonhuman primates by tenfold will augment the clinical utility of these reagents.

Complexing siRNAs with carriers also provides opportunities for targeting specific cells or, in the case of cancer, tumour beds. In the first in-human study, nanoparticles designed for enhanced uptake to cancer cells by using transferrin-receptor-targeting ligands showed reduced levels of the target mRNA and evidence for
Targeting of specific receptors, requires sophisticated screening to stabilize for systemic delivery, broad cell-type delivery

- ssDNA vector, small packaging capacity, mildly immunogenic, lasting expression in nondividing cells, capsid pseudotyping/engineering facilitates specific cell-targeting
- DNA vector, episomal, lasting expression, immunogenic

*Representative references. *Bacterial minicells can carry plasmids, short interfering RNAs or drugs. *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *The* nucleic acids *in non-viral carriers can be any size from small* oligonucleotides *to large artificial chromosomes.*

siRNA-mediated cleavage of that target. As the study continues, it will be interesting to learn the pharmacokinetics of the siRNAs in additional patients and to see the clinical effects of the therapy. Other carriers for tissue-specific targeting include aptamers, antibodies, peptides and proteins, and oligonucleotide agonists. Some are synthetically linked to the siRNAs, as in the case of oligonucleotides, peptides and aptamers, whereas others are part of more complex carrier systems (reviewed in Figs 1). Delivery systems: non-viral vectors. RNAi trigger-expressing plasmids can be packaged into many of the same carriers that can be used for siRNA delivery, although the nature of the particle will change with the different cargo (large DNAs versus small RNAs). Several non-viral platforms for gene delivery are being investigated (reviewed in Ref. 71) and, as for siRNAs, commercial reagents are available for plasmid transfection of cell lines and some primary cells in vitro.

### Table 2 | Methods for the delivery of RNAi triggers to cells and tissues

| Species/formulation | Packaging capacity | Applications and considerations | Refs* |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| **Viral vector**    |                    |                                 |       |
| Adenovirus          | Up to ~35 kb, usually <10 kb | dsDNA vector with large packaging capacity, transient expression, highly immunogenic | 76,77 |
| Adeno-associated virus (AAV) | ~4.5 kb | ssDNA vector, small packaging capacity, mildly immunogenic, lasting expression in nondividing cells, capsid pseudotyping/engineering facilitates specific cell-targeting | 82,91, 103,108 |
| Lentivirus           | Up to 13.5 kb (larger inserts will decrease titre) | RNA vector, integration competent and incompetent forms available, less immunogenic than adenovirus or AAV, envelope pseudotyping facilitates cell targeting, clinical production more difficult than for adenovirus or AAV | 83-88, 140,155 |
| Herpes simplex virus | 150 kb             | DNA vector, episomal, lasting expression, immunogenic | 119   |
| **Bacterial vector species** |             |                                 |       |
| Escherichia coli, *S. Typhymurium* | | Delivery of short hairpin RNA or small interfering RNA to gut tissue | 73-75 |
| **Non-viral formulations** |             |                                 |       |
| Nanoparticle        | Self-assembling, may target specific receptors, requires technical expertise to prepare | 59 |
| Stable nucleic acid lipid particle (SNALP) | Stabile for systemic delivery, broad cell-type delivery | 51 |
| Aptamer             | Targeting of specific receptors, requires sophisticated screening to develop | 53 |
| Cholesterol         | Stable for systemic delivery, broad cell-type delivery | 46 |
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Bacteria can be used as an innovative platform for RNAi delivery; this approach is built on earlier work showing that therapeutic bacteria can enter tumours in patients with cancer\(^2\), presumably via a permissive vasculature. The basic premise is that recombinantly engineered *Escherichia coli* can enter mammalian cells after *in vivo* delivery and transfer shRNAs. When bacteria containing plasmids that expressed shRNAs targeting β-catenin were fed to mice, β-catenin expression was reduced in the intestinal epithelium\(^7\). This platform is now in clinical testing for familial adenomatous polyposis, an inherited form of colon cancer\(^4\). A related approach in mice used minicells derived from *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium and targeted them to tumour-cell-surface receptors to deliver siRNAs or shRNAs; this method reduced tumour burden and improved mouse survival\(^8\).

**Delivery systems: viral vectors.** The viral vectors used to deliver shRNAs or artificial miRNAs include murine oncoretroviruses, lentiviruses, adenoviruses, adeno-associated viruses (AAVs), and herpesviruses, among others (TABLE 2). We refer the reader to recent reviews describing the biology and production of these vector systems\(^9\). Here we highlight major differences among some of the tools that are relevant to their use for RNAi-based applications, with respect to their tissue tropisms and the fate of their recombinant genomes in host cells.

The genomes of recombinant adenoviruses and AAVs generally remain episomal after the virus has entered the host cell, the viral capsid has been uncoated and the nucleocapsid has been transported to the cell nucleus. An advantage of vector systems with genomes that remain episomal is that insertional mutagenesis is avoided. However, unless only transient expression is desired, their use is limited to cells that divide slowly (for example, some epithelial cells) or not at all (for example, neurons) because the episomal genome will be lost following cell divisions. Naturally occurring differences in capsid structures among adenoviruses or
Box 1 | Off-target silencing

When designing therapeutic strategies involving small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) or expression-based systems, it is important to know details about the RNA strand that is incorporated into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) and mediates silencing. Genomically encoded microRNAs (miRNAs) in mammalian cells possess the necessary information within the miRNA duplex for appropriate loading of the miRNA or the miRNA* strand. So, when chemically synthesized siRNAs or systems that express short hairpin RNAs or artificial miRNAs, the design must take into account what nature has taught us. For example, the designer should consider whether the artificial miRNA has the 5′ and 3′ ends that are necessary for appropriate processing and export, and whether subsequent biochemical processing results in loading of the correct strand into RISC.

The are several methods to determine which strand is loaded into RISC, including northern blots, small RNA PCR's and luciferase-based plasmid systems with targets for the guide strand or the passenger strand placed in the 3′ UTR of the reporter (FIG. 2). Although there are publicly available algorithms that use thermodynamic rules in silencing RNA, it is important to sequence expressed constructs to characterize their termini. Sequencing is necessary to ascertain the relative proportions of the intended mature silencing RNA and RNAs that result when cleavage sites are shifted by one or several nucleotides; such alterations to the RNA could substantially increase off-target silencing. Off-target silencing occurs through the interaction of a seed sequence with transcripts harbouring complementary sequences142-151. siRNAs with high off-target potentials, based on seed complement frequencies in the transcriptome, result in increased silencing of unintended miRNAs and toxicity152. This problem can be reduced by designing that bias RISC loading towards the correct RNA strand and that ensure that processing of silencing RNA precursors only produces the desired small RNA duplex. Incorporating chemical modifications, such as 2′-O-methyl groups, into the guide and passenger strands of chemically synthesized siRNAs reduces indiscriminate effects of ssRNAs and dsRNAs153. Additionally, incorporation of unlocked nucleic acids (acyclic RNA mimics)154 makes the RNAs poor ligands for Toll-like receptors.

Varying amounts of off-target silencing can occur with different silencing platforms because the levels of the silencing RNAs will vary. For example, for expression systems, transfection with plasmids or transduction with adenoviruses or adeno-associated viruses will yield more copies per cell than transduction with lentiviruses. siRNA transfection can also result in abundant off-target silencing if the siRNA has low on-target potency and a moderate or high off-target potential (see above). Less off-target silencing with a lentivirus-based system compared with siRNAs was reported recently, but that study used high doses of siRNAs with high off-target potential155.

miRNA*

The precursor microRNA (pre-miRNA) processed by Dicer generates an miRNA duplex containing the miRNA strand and the miRNA* strand, one of which is loaded into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). The ratio of one strand to the other being loaded into RISC to mediate silencing activity can vary among species, tissues, and disease or developmental settings.

Off-target effects

Any detectible phenotypic change that is triggered by the RNA treatment, other than those that are derived directly or indirectly from silencing the targeted miRNA.

miRNA

among AAVs affect the ability of the viruses to infect diverse cell types in vitro or specific cells within tissues. Capsid genes can also be manipulated to artificially alter tropism to a cell surface molecule or tissue of choice1,2. Such capsid retargeting takes advantage of the fact that once binding is established, viruses can use secondary receptors for internalization.

A major difference between adenoviruses and AAVs is their packaging capacity (~4.5 kb for AAVs compared with up to 35 kb (although usually less than 10 kb) for adenoviruses)). Another distinguishing point is that all viral genes are removed from AAV vector genomes, whereas recombinant adenoviruses often express many viral genes that may induce immune responses to transduced cells and cause their subsequent elimination. For these reasons, AAVs are generally useful for achieving RNAi in cells that one wants to save, whereas adenoviruses are useful tools for either transient expression or when immune induction is desired. Notably, both adenoviruses and AAVs infect cells at multiple copies per cell, which can be problematic with shRNAs (instead of artificial miRNAs), as dosing may be amplified. Dosing is a function of the copy number and how efficiently the hairpin is expressed and processed26 and, in many cases, higher expression is not necessarily beneficial. If hairpins are inappropriately processed, or expressed at very high levels, toxicity (BOX 1) and/or saturation of the RNAi machinery can occur25,24 (see above).

Lentiviruses are another delivery option. If their RNA genomes contain hairpins, they can be cleaved by RNA processing enzymes, which can be a problem during vector production. However, the negative effect this has on vector titres can be rescued by inhibiting the RNAi pathway46. Expression cassette placement is also important for lentiviruses expressing shRNAs or artificial miRNAs, as some configurations negatively affect vector production or shRNA expression84-86. Recombinant lentiviruses can transduce dividing and non-dividing cells and generally integrate into transcriptionally active chromatin. A potential problem is that insertion could activate an oncogene or inactivate a tumour suppressor gene. Insertional promiscuity can be dramatically reduced by using integrase-defective lentiviruses87. Like adenoviruses and AAVs, the vector tropism of lentiviruses can be altered, in this case through manipulation of the viral envelope used in vector production88.

The most obvious application for recombinant lentiviruses is for transduction in vitro or, in the case of clinical applications, ex vivo gene transfer to haematopoietic progenitor cells or peripheral blood lymphocytes for treatment of viral infections89. In these settings, recombinant lentiviruses are applied to cells at 1 to 5 vectors per cell, because higher concentrations are often toxic. The final number of integrants per cell is approximately 0.5 to 1 copy per cell. This fact is important when considering lentiviruses for RNAi delivery; if the copy number is low, shRNA expression from strong promoters may be preferred.

Therapeutic applications of RNAi in vivo

Important considerations for therapeutic RNAi are that gene silencing approaches rarely remove 100% of a transcript, that off-target silencing can occur (BOX 1) and that each target organ, cell type and target transcript presents unique challenges. In some cases, the goal is to target every cell in an organ, in other instances promiscuous cell tropism is disadvantageous. For example, one might wish to target cancer cells for gene silencing but avoid normal surrounding tissue, or to express the therapeutic RNA in hepatocytes but not Kupffer cells after delivery to the liver. Tissue specificity can be achieved in some cases by incorporating ligands on the carrier that direct transfection or infection to the desired cell, as described above. Alternatively, researchers have taken advantage of the natural tropism90-91 or modified tropism of viral vectors for cell and tissue targeting82, or have used cell-specific promoters to express the silencing RNAs. Delivery to the respiratory tract. Alterations in gene expression in epithelial cells of the respiratory tract contribute to disease pathogenesis in many disorders.
including asthma, chronic obstructive airway disease and cystic fibrosis. In addition, these cells are a key site of interaction between the host and the environment and many common viral pathogens replicate in these cells as the initial step in their life cycle, providing opportunities to silence viral gene products or host genes that modify the viral life cycle or the host’s response to that virus. These reasons, and the fact that the respiratory tract is an accessible tissue, make the airway epithelium an attractive tissue for exploring RNAi therapies.

The potential of RNAi-based treatments to modify the host response to respiratory virus infections has been extensively studied. In 2004 and 2005 several high-profile papers were published in which synthesized siRNAs or expressed shRNAs were used to inhibit the influenza A virus, severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus, respiratory syncytial virus and parainfluenza virus in animal models. These studies showed both the promise and potential pitfalls of RNAi as a therapeutic strategy. Although the respiratory tract is readily accessible using topical or aerosol delivery techniques available in the clinic, several years of basic and clinical studies in the field of gene therapy have humbled investigators. These studies used a number of well-conceived viral and non-viral delivery techniques to treat monogenic disorders such as cystic fibrosis, but the treatments were hindered by the physical barriers posed by the epithelial cells themselves, in addition to their secretions and host defence mechanisms. In principle, delivery of RNAi oligonucleotides might pose a less significant challenge than expression plasmids or other genetic payloads as oligonucleotides need only enter the cytoplasm of surface cells to function. In addition, the mucosal surface of the airways and alveoli are active sites of innate and adaptive immunity, and RNAi delivery vectors or RNAi oligonucleotides may elicit immunologic responses. In the context of anti-infection RNAi strategies, immunostimulation confounded the early preclinical results, and possibly the ongoing clinical development.

In addition to targeting the gene products of respiratory viruses, several groups have used siRNA technology to knock down expression of host gene products or reporter genes in the respiratory tract in animal models. To date, the animal studies have shown little efficacy and poor delivery has also been demonstrated when fully differentiated cell models were studied. In short, effective RNAi activity requires the application of siRNAs before the development of a well-differentiated epithelial barrier. Thus, efficient delivery remains an important hurdle to overcome as clinical studies are developed.

Antiviral strategies in other tissues. HIV remains an attractive target for drug development, including for therapies based on RNAi. Examples of strategies include targeting the receptor for the virus and the virus itself. However, the error-prone replication cycles of HIV can be problematic. Combinatorial approaches that include RNAi and other gene silencing approaches have therefore been developed for HIV.

An approach undergoing clinical testing uses lentiviral vectors expressing an shRNA targeting an exon shared by HIV tat and rev genes. The strategy to transduce haematopoietic progenitor cells in vivo and then reinfuse them into patients. Early data from this Phase 1 trial show that transduced cells successfully engrafted within 11 days in all four patients treated. Importantly, there were no treatment-related toxicities. Vector expression was documented for up to 24 months in multiple cell lineages, as was expression of the introduced ribozyme and shRNA.

RNAi was recently used to inhibit lethal infection by the filovirus Ebola in a primate model. A combination of modified siRNAs targeting Ebola L polymerase, viral protein 24 (VP24) and VP35 were SNALP-formulated and delivered intravenously. This strategy protected animals from death, including those that received siRNAs only after the onset of the infection. RNAi-based therapies are also under development for hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV). Chronic hepatitis contributes significantly to hepatocellular carcinoma pathogenesis, this further drives interest in new HBV and HCV therapies as a means to reduce disease burden. As with HIV, the hepatitis viruses have a high mutation frequency during viral replication. Therefore, most current antiviral strategies focus on the delivery or expression of more than one RNAi construct to achieve success against chronic hepatitis infection. A current focus of several laboratories is to use miRNA or shRNA expression methods to target more than one viral transcript. Host proteins can also be targeted, for example siRNAs directed to diacylglycerol acyltransferase 1 (DGAT1) can reduce HCV virion production. Additionally, inhibiting the expression of the host gene product polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1) using siRNA reduces HCV replication. PLK1 inhibition is also being used in anticancer studies (see below).

Promising results have also been reported using RNAi to modify virus and host gene expression in a mouse model of genital tract herpes simplex virus 2 (HSV-2) infections. Lipid-complexed RNA oligonucleotides inhibited expression of the HSV-2 UL27 and UL29 genes and the host receptor nectin 1. These approaches showed efficacy in both the prevention and treatment of infection. The manipulation of host miRNAs to inhibit viral expression is also being explored (see further discussion below).

RNAi for neurological disorders. The blood–brain barrier limits access to the central nervous system (CNS) and thus the most practical manner to silence targets in neural cells is through direct injection of the RNAi trigger. As siRNAs have a short half-life, redosing using indwelling catheters would be required for chronic diseases. However, for acute illnesses or delivery to brain tumours, the short half-life of siRNAs may be desirable. By contrast, viral platforms provide lasting expression.
and may be ideal for chronic disorders. For example, vectors expressing therapeutic RNAi improved disease phenotypes for many months in preclinical studies in rodent models of polyglutamine repeat diseases108–113, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis114–116, Parkinson’s disease117 and Alzheimer’s disease118,119. In nonhuman primate brains, viral-vector-based systems are safe120 and, given the encouraging results of AAVs in the human brain and eye121, clinical trials for neurodegenerative diseases with AAVs expressing RNAi triggers are anticipated.

An issue to consider is the delivery to the correct cell type in the brain. Specificity for neurons versus glia has not been achieved for uncomplexed siRNAs, and in fact it has been reported that siRNAs delivered into nonhuman primate brains enter oligodendrocytes122. This might be a suitable method for therapies aimed at treating multiple sclerosis or other white matter diseases. For encapsidated viral vectors, the nature of the protein coat imparts a natural tropism for neurons (in the case of AAV2, for example) or for other cell types123. For enveloped viral vectors, such as those lentiviral systems derived from HIV, the tropism is imparted by the envelope used in vector production in a process known as pseudotyping122. Also, some vectors traffic from the site of injection to other regions of the brain via neuronal connections, but others remain localized. Thus targeting is achieved collectively by the site of injection, the propensity of the virus to infect certain cell types and traffic along or within neuronal axons and neurites, and the promoter used to drive expression of the RNAi trigger.

For chronic, dominantly inherited disorders, it may be preferable to silence only the mutant allele. For some brain diseases there are highly prevalent disease-linked polymorphisms that provide opportunities for allele-specific silencing. Primary dystonia, which is caused by a common GAG deletion in torsin A (TORIA), is one example123. Other disorders have several disease-linked SNPs present in most patients and these SNPs provide an opportunity for disease allele silencing. However, when targeting a SNP using RNAi, it is critical to consider the potential for off-target binding of the seed sequence in the small RNA (BOX 1), as unintended off-target silencing could abrogate any beneficial effects from leaving the wild-type allele intact. Huntington’s disease is a case in point. Preclinical work in animal models shows that partial knockdown of both alleles of huntingtin is tolerated and provides clinical benefit124, yet genotyping shows that 4 to 5 SNPs in huntingtin may be present in the majority of patients with Huntington’s disease125,126. Small RNAs that are specific to the SNPs may have moderately high off-target potential and therefore should be tested for their long-term tolerability in vivo, as well as the safety of partial reductions in expression from both mutant and wild-type alleles.

**Targeting metabolic disease and hepatic cancers.** One of the first organs tested for the effectiveness of RNAi in vivo was the liver127,128, and RNAi-based treatments for metabolic diseases (such as hypercholesterolaemia), viral infections, cancer and liver fibrosis (reviewed in REF 129) are in progress. For metabolic diseases, there are preclinical and clinical trials underway for lowering plasma LDLs using siRNAs that target the expression of apolipoprotein B (APOB) and proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9). In this work, the siRNA are complexed to carriers or embedded in liposomal particles (for example, SNALPs). Data from rodents and nonhuman primates50,128 show significant LDL-lowering properties and, in one of the first trials in humans, corporate news releases stated that the SNALP-formulated APOB siRNA was well tolerated at all but the highest dose. Newer formulations that show improved potency in nonhuman primates are under development.

The liver was also one of the first organs targeted in the development of RNAi-based therapies for cancer. One study used SNALPs targeting PLK1, a cell cycle protein that is crucial for the activating phosphorylation of many cell cycle proteins; inhibition of PLK1 induces cell cycle arrest and tumour cell apoptosis130. Mice with hepatic tumours treated with SNALP-formulated PLK1 siRNA showed significant improvements in survival. In December 2010, this technology advanced to a Phase I trial in humans with liver cancer (TABLE 1).

Another hepatic cancer application is SNALPs simultaneously delivering siRNAs to kinesin spindle protein (KSP) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). KSP is required for cell division, and VEGF is required for tumour cell growth. In early 2011, sponsors of a Phase I trial using this approach reported evidence of RNAi activity in biopsied tissue131. These preliminary reports, along with the first report of RNAi activity from exogenously applied siRNA complexes132, are important milestones in the development of RNAi delivery systems as cancer therapeutics.

**miRNAs as therapeutic targets.** The identification of misregulated miRNAs in cellular transformation and maintenance of the malignant state has profound implications for cancer therapy. As with other misregulated genes, miRNAs can be targets for gene silencing approaches, whether the miRNAs are encoded in the host genome or expressed from oncogenic viruses (reviewed in REF 132). Inhibition of oncogenic miRNAs that regulate multiple targets might switch off dozens of cancer-promoting signals. Rather than devising siRNAs to target the misregulated miRNA, researchers have developed miRNA sponges133; these provide alternative binding platforms for the miRNAs and so inhibit their ability to bind and suppress their natural targets (FIG. 1). An early example was the intravenous delivery of antagonors, which are chemically modified RNA oligonucleotides antisense to the miRNAs134,135. In a primate model of HCV infection, oligonucleotides that sequestered miR-122 inhibited virus replication136. Plasmid- and virus-based approaches are also being used for reducing endogenous miRNA levels133,137. Typically for this approach, strong promoters drive expression of a sequence encoding several miRNA target sites downstream of a reporter. The multiple copies expressed become targets for binding of miRNAs, which are sequestered from targeting their endogenous miRNAs.
Summary and future considerations

In addition to the developments described above, there has been substantial progress in using gene silencing approaches for treating skin\(^{18}\) and retinal disease\(^{12,14}\). Like the liver and airway, these accessible tissues were early targets for preclinical testing. Exploiting the small RNA biogenesis and gene silencing pathways for heart diseases, either using siRNAs against single targets\(^{41}\) or inhibiting the action of misregulated miRNAs\(^{142}\), has also yielded promising results that are approaching clinical trials.

In addition to its utility as a stand-alone strategy, RNAi may have expanded applications as an adjuvant in multipronged treatment settings. For example, targeting multidrug resistance protein 1 (MDR1; also known as ABCB1) in cancer cells may enhance the activity of chemotherapeutics\(^{43}\), and other host genes have been targeted for similar ends for cancer therapeutics\(^{144-146}\). Another RNAi adjuvant strategy is the use of dsRNA oligonucleotides as immunostimulatory agonists alongside vaccines, as in the case of a RIG1 agonist to enhance the activity of a DNA vaccine against influenza\(^{47}\).

RNAi therapy development should consider whether regional delivery and partial knockdown, or global delivery and complete knockdown, is required for a therapeutic result. An example of the former is directed delivery to specific regions of the brain for Parkinson's disease. By contrast, RNAi therapy for cancer may require delivery to all cancer cells. Another important issue that is yet to be resolved is dosing of the therapeutic RNAi. In the case of cancer, pharmacologists must balance the target cells' ability to recover from the exogenously applied siRNAs or anti-miRNA treatments with the practical considerations of patient compliance for repetitive dosing. Will clinical success and eventual cure require treatment for weeks, months or years? Viral vector expression systems for RNAi can overcome this problem by providing sustained expression, but this strategy requires genomic integration of the vector if the target cells are dividing. In order to overcome the potential dangers of genomic integration, methods for integration into genomic ‘safe harbours’ will be important. With respect to long-term RNAi from viral vectors as therapies for genetic diseases, the question is whether regulated expression is required. To help answer this question, it is expected that long-term studies in large animals (for example, non-human primates) will yield valuable information regarding chronic application of inhibitory RNAs from various platforms. A further consideration is that although the end points for some trials are obvious, for example lowering blood cholesterol or reducing tumour burden, sensitive and specific end points are not always clear for chronic disorders in which the tissue cannot be easily biopsied or in which biomarkers are not validated.

In slightly more than a decade, we have advanced rapidly from RNAi discovery, to understanding the molecular processes driving small RNA biogenesis and function, to developing reagents that harness the power of the RNAi pathway. Although many hurdles remain for using these technologies for therapy, exciting early clinical results show how far we have come.
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