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Abstract

Three-dimensional Topologically Massive Gravity at its critical point has been conjectured to be holographically dual to a Logarithmic CFT. However, many details of this correspondence are still lacking. In this work, we study the 1-loop partition function of Critical Cosmological Topologically Massive Gravity, previously derived by Gaberdiel, Grumiller and Vassilevich, and show that it can be usefully rewritten as a Bell polynomial expansion. We also show that there is a relationship between this Bell polynomial expansion and the Plethystic Exponential. Our reformulation allows us to match the TMG partition function to states on the CFT side, including the multi-particle states of $t$ (the logarithmic partner of the CFT stress tensor) which had previously been elusive. We also discuss the appearance of a ladder action between the different multi-particle sectors in the partition function, which induces an interesting $\text{sl}(2)$ structure on the $n$-particle components of the partition function.
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1 Introduction

Three–dimensional gravity has, for quite some time now, served as an interesting setting in which to test theories of gravity. Pure Einstein gravity in three dimensions is locally trivial at the classical level, and does not exhibit propagating degrees of freedom. However, allowing for a negative cosmological constant leads to a theory with black hole solutions \cite{1} and a careful study of the asymptotics \cite{2} shows the emergence of a Virasoro algebra at the boundary. One can thus expect a dual 2d CFT description, and this setting can be thought of as an early example of the AdS/CFT correspondence \cite{3}.

One can also deform pure 3d gravity by adding a gravitational Chern-Simons term. This theory is known as topologically massive gravity (TMG) and contains a massive graviton \cite{4,5}. When both cosmological and Chern-Simons terms are included, the theory is known as cosmological topologically massive gravity (CTMG). Such theories feature both gravitons and black holes.

Following Witten’s proposal in 2007 to find a CFT dual to Einstein gravity \cite{6}, the Einstein graviton 1-loop partition function was calculated in \cite{7}. However, discrepancies were found in the results. In particular, the left- and right-moving contributions did not factorise, therefore clashing with the proposal of \cite{6}.

Soon after, Li, Song and Strominger \cite{8} showed that the situation can be improved if one replaces Einstein gravity by chiral gravity, which can be viewed as a special case of topologically massive gravity \cite{4,5} at a specific tuning of the couplings ($\mu l = 1$ in the notation of \cite{8}), where
is the radius of AdS$_3$ while $\mu$ is the coefficient of the gravitational Chern-Simons term), and is asymptotically defined with Brown-Henneaux boundary conditions [2]. A particular feature of the theory was that one of the two central charges vanishes. This gave an indication that the partition function could factorise.

Soon after the proposal of [8], based on the appearance of a non-trivial Jordan cell in CTMG at the critical point, the dual CFT at this point was conjectured to be logarithmic [9]. Indeed, Jordan cell structures are a salient feature of logarithmic CFTs (see [10], as well as the very nice introductory notes [11] and [12]). In the case of interest, the bulk Jordan cell structure arises between the left-moving massless graviton mode and a massive bulk mode, which become degenerate at the critical point $\mu l = 1$. On the dual CFT side, this corresponds to the situation where the left-moving stress tensor $T$ acquires a logarithmic partner state $t$, and we have the relations:

$$L_0 |T\rangle = 2 |T\rangle, \quad L_0 |t\rangle = 2 |t\rangle + |T\rangle, \quad \bar{L}_0 |t\rangle = T$$

The proposal of [9] hinged on relaxing the Brown-Henneaux boundary conditions in order to allow the presence of the logarithmic mode. This mode spoils the chirality of the theory, as well as its unitarity. However, it opens up the very intriguing possibility of finding bulk duals to logarithmic CFT’s. A major milestone was the computation of correlation functions [13, 14] in TMG, which confirmed the existence of logarithmic correlators of the general type $\langle T(x) t(y) \rangle = b_L/(x - y)^4$, where $b_L$ is often called the logarithmic anomaly.

In order to further understand the TMG/LCFT proposal, the calculation of the 1-loop graviton partition function of TMG on the thermal AdS$_3$ background was undertaken in [15], by means of heat kernel techniques such as in [16, 17]. The partition function was found to be

$$Z_{TMG}(q, \bar{q}) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^n q^{n-2}} \prod_{m=2}^{\infty} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^m \bar{q}^n}. \tag{1.2}$$

As shown in [15], the partition function (1.2) is consistent with an explicit counting of states in the dual LCFT, up to the level of single-particle states in the logarithmic partner $t$. This certainly strengthens the case for an LCFT dual of TMG. However, as was also pointed out in [18], one would still desire a better understanding of the partition function from the CFT side. In particular, although the multi-particle states encoded in (1.2) were consistent with those of a dual CFT (in that they have positive multiplicities), it would be desirable to match them exactly with the combinatorics of the multi-particle excitations of the logarithmic partner. This is the main question we consider in this work.

The first step in understanding this counting will be to show how the partition function (1.2) can be recast in terms of Bell polynomials. The latter are very useful in many areas of mathematics and have enjoyed many applications in physics as well. In our case, the Bell polynomial reformulation allows us to explicitly match each term in the partition function to the descendants of multi-particle states of the logarithmic partner. We also show that the Bell polynomial form of the partition function is perfectly consistent with Plethystic exponential techniques [19, 20]. Finally, we discuss a ladder construction which generates the $n$-particle part of the partition function from the $n - 1$-particle part (and the reverse), which also allows us to uncover an sl(2) symmetry of the partition function.

There also exist other topologically massive gravity theories, such as the non-chiral New Massive Gravity (NMG) [21], which have been argued to have LCFT duals [22, 23]. The partition function of NMG was also given in [15]. Furthermore, one can extend TMG by including higher spin fields, which appears to lead to a dual LCFT with $W$-algebra symmetry [24]. 1-loop partition functions

---

1 In the rest of this work we will refer to critical CTMG as simply TMG.

2 This is not a fully modular invariant partition function, but corresponds to $Z_{0,1}$ in the notation of [7].
for these higher-spin theories have been computed in [25]. We show that the partition functions of NMG and Higher-spin TMG can also be straightforwardly brought into Bell polynomial form.

This paper is organised as follows: in section 2, the combinatorial properties of $Z_{TMG}$ are derived in terms of Bell polynomials. In section 3, the connection between the Bell polynomials and the Plethystic Exponential is developed. Section 4 illustrates how the matching of the terms in the partition function to explicitly constructed multi-particle states in the CFT works. In section 5, we construct ladder-type (raising and lowering) operators acting on the Bell polynomials and the Plethystic exponential. These operators reveal an $sl(2)$ symmetry acting between the $n$-particle components of the partition function. Finally, after briefly discussing the extension of our results to NMG and higher spin TMG in section 6, we conclude with some open questions.

2 Combinatorial properties of $Z_{TMG}$

In this section, after briefly reviewing the results of [15] as well as some relevant aspects of Bell polynomials, we show how $Z_{TMG}$ can be written as a Bell polynomial expansion.

2.1 Matching of the vacuum and single-$t$ states

As shown in [15], the partition function (1.2) has the general structure expected from a dual logarithmic conformal field theory. In order to see this, one can expand (1.2) in two parts:

$$Z_{TMG}(q, \bar{q}) = Z_{LCFT}^0(q, \bar{q}) + \sum_{h, \bar{h}} N_{h, \bar{h}} q^h \bar{q}^\bar{h} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1-q^n|^2},$$

with

$$Z_{LCFT}^0(q, \bar{q}) = Z_\Omega + Z_t = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1-q^n|^2} \left( 1 + \frac{q^2}{|1-q|^2} \right)$$

where $\Omega$ indicates the vacuum of the holomorphic sector, and $t$ denotes the logarithmic partner of the energy momentum tensor $T^3$. The coefficients $N_{h, \bar{h}}$ are higher-order in $h, \bar{h}$ and should correspond to multi-particle states in $t$. Crucially, although a full understanding of the combinatorics leading to the multi-particle part of (2.1) was not attempted in [15], the coefficients $N_{h, \bar{h}}$ were shown to be all positive, as should be the case if they are indeed counting states in a CFT.

The above result was generalised to NMG as [15]:

$$Z_{NMG}(q, \bar{q}) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1-q^n|^2} \prod_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1-q^m|^2} \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1-q^l|^2}.$$  

This can also be split into two parts,

$$Z_{LCFT}^{NMG}(q, \bar{q}) = Z_{LCFT}^{(0)NMG}(q, \bar{q}) + \sum_{h, \bar{h}} N_{h, \bar{h}} q^h \bar{q}^\bar{h} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1-q^n|^2},$$

with

$$Z_{LCFT}^{(0)NMG}(q, \bar{q}) = Z_\Omega + Z_t + Z_{\bar{t}} = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1-q^n|^2} \left( 1 + \frac{q^2 + \bar{q}^2}{|1-q|^2} \right).$$

We see that, as before, the partition function can be written as the descendants of the vacuum, as well as terms corresponding to the descendants of the left- and right- logarithmic partners $t$ and $\bar{t}$.  

\footnote{Note that since the vacuum $|\Omega\rangle$ is SL(2) invariant, its descendants start at level 2, i.e. from the state $L_{-1} |\Omega\rangle$. On the other hand, $Z_t$ also includes states such as $L_{-1} |t\rangle$. The holomorphic dimension of $t$ is 2 [15], hence the $q^2$.}
We recall that the CFT dual to TMG is expected to have logarithmic behaviour in the holomorphic sector, with $c_L = 0$, with the antiholomorphic sector being non-logarithmic and with $c_R \neq 0$. On the other hand, for the LCFT dual to NMG both sectors are expected to be logarithmic, with $c_L = c_R = 0$. The bulk mode dual to $t$ results in the single double product appearing in (1.2), while the bulk modes dual to $t$ and \( \bar{t} \) (the logarithmic partner of the right-moving CFT stress tensor) lead to the two double products appearing in (2.3). In what follows, we will be interested in better understanding the structure of such double products, focusing mainly on the TMG case.

2.2 Multipartite generating functions

Our main tool for the study of the multi-particle terms in $Z_{TMG}$ will be multipartite generating functions. Following e.g. [26] (see also [27] for a recent summary of the technique and applications), let us review how multipartite generating functions can be written in terms of Bell polynomials, a result also known as the Faà di Bruno formula.

We start by considering the multipartite (also called $m$-partite) numbers $\vec{k} = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m)$, for any ordered $m$-tuple of non-negative integers which are not all zero. Then, the (multi)partitions, i.e. the distinct representations of $(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m)$, can be regarded as sums of multipartite numbers.

Denoting the number of such multipartitions by $N(\vec{k}; m)$, we can express their generating functions as:

$$G(z; X) = \prod_{\vec{k} \geq 0} \frac{1}{1 - z x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_m^{k_m}} = \sum_{\vec{k} \geq 0} N(\vec{k}; m) x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_m^{k_m}. \quad (2.6)$$

It follows that:

$$\log G(z; X) = - \sum_{\vec{k} \geq 0} \log(1 - z x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_m^{k_m})$$

$$= \sum_{\vec{k} \geq 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} x_1^{nk_1} x_2^{nk_2} \cdots x_m^{nk_m}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 - x_j^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 - x_j^n}, \quad (2.8)$$

and finally:

$$\sum_{\vec{k} \geq 0} N(\vec{k}; m)x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_m^{k_m} = \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} F_m(n) \right), \quad (2.9)$$

with $F_m(n) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1 - x_j^n}$.

2.3 The Faà di Bruno formula and Bell polynomials

Bell polynomials were defined by E.T. Bell in 1934 [28], but their name is due to Riordan [29] who studied the Faà di Bruno formula [30, 31] expressing the $n$-th derivative of a composite function
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\[
h_1 = f_1, \quad h_2 = f_1g_2 + f_2g_1^2 \quad h_3 = f_1g_3 + 3f_2g_2g_1 + f_3g_1^3, \ldots
\] (2.10)

Using mathematical induction, one finds

\[
h_n = f_1\beta_{n1}(g_1, \ldots, g_n) + f_2\beta_{n2}(g_1, \ldots, g_n) + \cdots + f_n\beta_{nn}(g_1, \ldots, g_n)
\] (2.11)

where \( \beta_{nj}(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree \( j \) in \( g_1, \ldots, g_n \).

It turns out that the study of \( h_n \) simply reduces to the study of the Bell polynomials:

\[
Y_n(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = \beta_{n1}(g_1, \ldots, g_n) + \beta_{n2}(g_1, \ldots, g_n) + \cdots + \beta_{nn}(g_1, \ldots, g_n)
\] (2.12)

Beyond multipartite partition problems \([26]\) these well-known polynomials find applications in other aspects of combinatorics, number theory, analysis, probability and algebra.

A useful recurrence relation for the Bell polynomials \( Y_n(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \) is \([26]\):

\[
Y_{n+1}(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{n+1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} Y_{n-k}(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{n-1})g_{k+1},
\] (2.13)

We can also write a generating function \( G(z) \):

\[
G(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y_n z^n}{n!} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \log G(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_n z^n}{n!}.
\] (2.14)

From the above, one obtains the following explicit expression for the Bell polynomials (also referred to as the Faà di Bruno formula):

\[
Y_n(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = \sum_{k \vdash n} \frac{n!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{g_j}{j!} \right)^{k_j}.
\] (2.15)

Here the notation \( k \vdash n \) is defined as:

\[
k \vdash n = \{ k(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n) \mid k = k_1 + 2k_2 + 3k_3 + \cdots + nk_n \}.
\] (2.16)

In Appendix A we show how this formula can be expanded to give the explicit Bell polynomials, and also briefly explain their combinatoric meaning.

### 2.4 \( Z_{\text{TMG}} \) in terms of Bell polynomials

After these preliminaries, we are ready to show that \( Z_{\text{TMG}} \) can be rewritten as an (exponential) generating function of Bell polynomials. We start by rewriting eq. (1.2) as:

\[
Z_{\text{TMG}}(q, \bar{q}) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^n)^2} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^2q^m\bar{q}^n}
\] (2.17)

where we have just specialised \( z \) in \([26]\) to be \( q^2 \). Then, if we write:

\[
Z_{\text{TMG}} = \mathcal{A}(q, \bar{q}) \mathcal{B}(q, \bar{q}),
\] (2.18)

with

\[
\mathcal{A}(q, \bar{q}) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}(q, \bar{q}) = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^2q^m\bar{q}^n}.
\] (2.19)
and focus on \(B(q, \bar{q})\), we compute:

\[
\log B(q, \bar{q}) = - \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} \log(1 - q^2 q^m \bar{q}^\bar{m})
\]

\[
= - \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} \left( - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(q^n)^n}{n} q^{nm} \bar{q}^{\bar{m}} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} \frac{q^{2n}}{n} \left( \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} q^{nm} \bar{q}^{\bar{m}} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{n} \left[ (n-1)! \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} q^{nm} \bar{q}^{\bar{m}} \right]
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{n!} (n-1)! \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} q^{nm} \bar{q}^{\bar{m}}.
\]

Hence, we can write:

\[
B(q, \bar{q}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y_n}{n!} q^{2n},
\]

with:

\[
Y_n(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = \sum_{k_1=1}^{n} \sum_{k_2=1}^{n} \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^{n} \frac{n!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \left( \frac{g_1}{1!} \right)^{k_1} \left( \frac{g_2}{2!} \right)^{k_2} \cdots \left( \frac{g_n}{n!} \right)^{k_n},
\]

and:

\[
g_n = (n-1)! \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} q^{nm} \bar{q}^{\bar{m}}.
\]

For easy reference, the first few terms in the expansion (2.21) are given in appendix A. One finds

\[
B(q, \bar{q}) = \frac{1}{0!} Y_0 (q^2)^0 + \frac{1}{1!} Y_1 (q^2)^1 + \frac{1}{2!} Y_2 (q^2)^2 + \frac{1}{3!} Y_3 (q^2)^3 + \ldots
\]

\[
= 1 + Y_1 (q^2) + \frac{1}{2!} Y_2 (q^2)^2 + \frac{1}{3!} Y_3 (q^2)^3 + \ldots,
\]

where

\[
Y_1 = g_1 = \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} q^m \bar{q}^\bar{m},
\]

\[
Y_2 = g_1^2 + g_2 = \left( \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} q^m \bar{q}^\bar{m} \right)^2 + \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} q^{2m} \bar{q}^{2\bar{m}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} (m+1)(\bar{m}+1)q^m \bar{q}^\bar{m} + \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} q^{2m} \bar{q}^{2\bar{m}},
\]

\[
Y_3 = g_1^3 + 3g_1 g_2 + g_3
\]

\[
= \left( \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} q^m \bar{q}^\bar{m} \right)^3 + 3 \left( \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} q^m \bar{q}^\bar{m} \right) \left( \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} q^{2m} \bar{q}^{2\bar{m}} \right)
\]

\[+ \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} q^{3m} \bar{q}^{3\bar{m}},
\]
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and so on. Recalling the form (2.18) of the partition function, we can now write

$$Z_{TMG}(q, \bar{q}) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^n|\bar{q}|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y_n}{n!} q^{2n}. \quad (2.26)$$

We conclude that the double-product part of $Z_{TMG}$ in (1.2) is an exponential generating series of Bell polynomials. Expressing these polynomials in terms of the $g_n$ functions (2.23), we can write out the first few terms in the expansion:

$$Z_{TMG}(q, \bar{q}) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^n|\bar{q}|^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{g_1}{1!} q^2 + \frac{g_2}{2!} (q^2)^2 + \frac{g_3}{3!} (q^2)^3 + \cdots \right]. \quad (2.27)$$

As we will explain in the next section, the usefulness of this reformulation is that each term within the square brackets corresponds to a different $n$-particle sector of the logarithmic partner state $t$. The term arising from $Y_1$ contains the descendants of $t$, the term arising from $Y_2$ the descendants of $t \otimes t$ and so on. So re-expressing the partition function as (2.27) will make it easy to compare it to an explicit construction of the descendants.

2.5 The Bell series as a multi-particle generating function

Let us now provide an interpretation of the combinatorial results obtained. In particular, we would like to suggest that in the expansion $B(q, \bar{q})$, while the terms $(q^2)^n$ represent single particle and multi-particle highest weights (of states $t$ and $t \otimes_n t$ respectively), the terms $Y_n$ for $n \geq 1$ are in fact character representations of descendants of single particles $t$ when $n = 1$, and of multi-particles $t \otimes_n t$ for $n \geq 2$.

We start by recalling the well-known identity for geometric sums:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n = \frac{1}{1-q}. \quad (2.28)$$

From the above equation, it is easy to see that:

$$g_n = (n-1)! \sum_{m,n \geq 0, m \geq n} q^m \bar{q}^n = (n-1) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (q^n)^m \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\bar{q}^n)^m \right) = (n-1)! \left( \frac{1}{1-q^n} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1-\bar{q}^n} \right) = (n-1) \frac{1}{|1-q^n|^2}. \quad (2.29)$$

This allows us to rewrite (2.27) up to third order in the expansion of $(q^2)$ as:

$$Z_{LCFT}(q, \bar{q}) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1-q^n|^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(q^2)^1}{|1-q^2|^2} + \frac{1}{2!} \left( \frac{1}{|1-q|} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \left( \frac{1}{|1-q^2|^2} \right)^2 \right\} (q^2)^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \left( \frac{1}{|1-q^2|^2} \right)^3 + \cdots \right\} \quad (2.30)$$

One can immediately see that the first two terms in the above expansion are the same as those in (2.2). As explained in [15], the “1” in the braces times the overall prefactor corresponds to the descendants of the vacuum, where we note that due to SL(2) invariance we have $L_{-1} |\Omega\rangle = \bar{L}_{-1} |\Omega\rangle = 0$, so the descendants encoded in the prefactor are all the states created by products of the $L_{-k}$ and $\bar{L}_{-\bar{k}}$, with $k, \bar{k} \geq 2$.

As also shown in [15], the next term, proportional to $q^2$, corresponds to the descendants of the logarithmic partner $t$. Let us note that the term inside the braces contains only the global
SL(2) × SL(2) descendants of type $L_{k-1}^k |t\rangle$ and $\bar{L}_{-k-1}^k |t\rangle$, while the prefactor provides the remaining Virasoro descendants. Although for the single-particle $t$ states this is just a trivial rewriting, this observation will be crucial in understanding the structure of the multi-particle states.

Let us now consider the third term in the braces, coming from the $Y_2$ term in the Bell expansion. We claim that this term corresponds to the descendants of the two-particle state $|t\rangle \otimes |t\rangle$, with the term in the braces counting the contributions of only the global SL(2) × SL(2) ($L_{-1}$ and $\bar{L}_{-1}$) descendants, while the overall prefactor counts the $L_{-k}$ and $\bar{L}_{-\bar{k}}$ descendants as above. However we see that, unlike the single-$t$ sector, here the way that the global SL(2) × SL(2) generators create states appears to be different from that of the remaining Virasoro generators. So in the following we will study the combinatorics of the $L_{-1}$ and $\bar{L}_{-1}$ descendants separately from the $L_{-k}, \bar{L}_{-\bar{k}}$ descendants for $k, \bar{k} \geq 2$.

To do this, let us rewrite the two-particle term (suppressing the overall $q^4$ for clarity) as

$$\frac{1}{2!} Y_2 = \frac{1+q\bar{q}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-q^2)} = (1+q\bar{q}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{k}=0}^{\infty} p(k,2)q^k p(\bar{k},2)\bar{q}^\bar{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{k}=0}^{\infty} p(k,2) p(\bar{k},2) q^k \bar{q}^\bar{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{k}=1}^{\infty} p(k-1,2) p(\bar{k}-1,2) q^{k-1} \bar{q}^{\bar{k}-1}$$

(2.31)

where $p(k, 2)$ denotes the number of partitions of $k$ into 2 parts and where in the last line we have changed the range of summation to more easily see the contributions to any given term of order $(k, \bar{k})$. Noting that the value of $p(k, 2) = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1$, we can easily distinguish four different cases, depending on whether the levels $k$ and $\bar{k}$ (or equivalently, the numbers of $L_{-1}$ and $\bar{L}_{-1}$ operators acting on $|t\rangle \otimes |t\rangle$) are even or odd. Focusing on a given term of level $q^k \bar{q}^\bar{k}$ (where we are still suppressing the overall $q^4$), we find:

$$\text{Number of states} = \begin{cases} 2p(k,2)p(\bar{k},2) & \text{if } k \text{ odd and } \bar{k} \text{ odd} \\ 2p(k,2)p(\bar{k},2) - p(k,2) & \text{if } k \text{ odd and } \bar{k} \text{ even} \\ 2p(k,2)p(\bar{k},2) - p(\bar{k},2) & \text{if } k \text{ even and } \bar{k} \text{ odd} \\ 2p(k,2)p(\bar{k},2) - p(\bar{k},2) + 1 & \text{if } k \text{ even and } \bar{k} \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

(2.32)

The interpretation of this counting is as follows: The number of different states we can create from $k$ $L_{-1}$ operators and $\bar{k}$ $\bar{L}_{-1}$ operators is naively $2 \times p(k,2) \times p(\bar{k},2)$. For instance, if $k = 3$ and $\bar{k} = 1$ we can partition the three $L_{-1}$ operators in two ways (since $p(3,2) = 2$): $L_{-1}^3 \otimes 1$ and $L_{-1}^2 \otimes L_{-1}^1$. Then, we can introduce the single $L_{-1}$ operator (since $p(1,2) = 1$) in two ways ($L_{-1} \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes L_{-1}$), to obtain four different overall operators: $L_{-1}^3 L_{-1} \otimes 1$, $L_{-1}^2 L_{-1} \otimes L_{-1}$, $L_{-1}^2 L_{-1} \otimes L_{-1}$ and $L_{-1}^1 \otimes L_{-1} L_{-1}$. However, when $\bar{k}$ is even, this algorithm overcounts, as one of the partitions will be $\bar{L}_{-\bar{k}}^1 \otimes \bar{L}_{-\bar{k}}^1$ which is symmetric. So one needs to subtract a factor of $p(k,2)$. Similarly if $\bar{k}$ is odd and $k$ is even one subtracts $p(\bar{k},2)$. If both $k$ and $\bar{k}$ are even there are two such symmetric partitions which need to be subtracted, but then one undercounts by the doubly symmetric state $L_{-1}^2 L_{-1}^1 \otimes L_{-1}^1 L_{-1}^2$ which needs to be added.

The outcome of this reasoning is very simple: The $Y_2$ term in (2.30), which led to the third term in the braces in (2.30), simply counts all the descendants of $|t\rangle \otimes |t\rangle$ by the action of $L_{-1}$ and $\bar{L}_{-1}$ in all possible different ways. Bringing back the overall factor of $q^4$, a given term at absolute level $l, \bar{l}$ in $B(q, \bar{q})$ will count all the different states by all independent permutations of $l - 4 L_{-1}$ and $\bar{l} \bar{L}_{-1}$ generators. (The states will need to be symmetrised to account for bosonic statistics, but this will not affect the above counting based on the partitions). After this counting is done, it is straightforward to account for the overall prefactor, whose combinatorics are precisely the same as in the single-particle sector. Of course, in explicitly constructing the descendants, instead of e.g.

---

4Recall that, in general, $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n, k) q^n$. 
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function of single-trace operators at large to obtain the generating function of multi-trace operators in gauge theory from the generating \( q^6 \) factor momentarily)

\[
\frac{1}{3!} Y_3 = \frac{1 + q\bar{q} + q\bar{q}(q + \bar{q}) + q^2\bar{q}^2 + q^3\bar{q}^3}{(1 - q)(1 - q^3)(1 - q^4)(1 - \bar{q})(1 - \bar{q}^2)(1 - \bar{q}^3)}
\]  

(2.33)

where in the denominator we recognise the generating function of the partitions \( p(k, 3) \) and \( p(\bar{k}, 3) \) of \( k \) and \( \bar{k} \) into 3 parts, while the numerator will account for the partitions with symmetric parts. Although we will not delve into a detailed study of the various cases as for the two-particle case, we provide examples of how the counting works in the 3-particle case in section 4. We can thus confirm that the \( Y_3 \) term in \( B(q, \bar{q}) \) correctly counts \( L_{-1} \) and \( \bar{L}_{-1} \) descendants of \( t \otimes t \otimes t \).

To conclude this section, the splitting of \( Z_{TMG} \) into \( A(q, \bar{q}) \) and \( B(q, \bar{q}) \) and the rewriting of \( B(q, \bar{q}) \) as a Bell generating function allowed us to simply understand the counting of multi-particle states: Each \( Y_n \) term in \( B(q, \bar{q}) \) contains all the \( L_{-1} \) and \( \bar{L}_{-1} \) descendants of \( |t \rangle \otimes_n |t \rangle \), while the \( A(q, \bar{q}) \) prefactor contains the \( L_{-k} \) and \( \bar{L}_{-k} \) descendants with \( k, \bar{k} \geq 2 \).

3 Bell polynomials and the Plethystic Exponential

In the previous section, we described how reformulating the TMG partition function in terms of Bell polynomials helps to elucidate the counting of multi-particle states and their descendants. It is clear that there should be parallels between these results and the Plethystic Program, initiated in [19] and [20]. This formalism has since found many applications, especially to the counting of operators in gauge theory.

The essential ingredient in the plethystic program is the *Plethystic Exponential*, which is used to obtain the generating function of multi-trace operators in gauge theory from the generating function of single-trace operators at large \( N \), but can equally well be applied to our setting of generating multi-particle states. Let us recall the (bosonic) *Plethystic function*

\[
\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - \nu q^n)^{a_n}} = PE^B [\mathcal{G}_1(q)] \equiv \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu^k}{k} \mathcal{G}_1 \left( q^k \right) \right) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \nu^N \mathcal{G}_N(q),
\]  

(3.1)

where

\[
\mathcal{G}_1(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n.
\]  

(3.2)

Here the integer \( a_n \) indicates the number of operators with dimension \( n \). The plethystic exponential takes a certain function \( \mathcal{G}_1(q) \) and, through its exponentiation, generates new partition functions \( \mathcal{G}_N(q) \) counting all possible \( N \)-tuple symmetric products of the constituents of \( \mathcal{G}_1(q) \), implementing in this way the bosonic statistics. Depending on what is being counted, the term \( \nu \) is sometimes referred to as root coordinates, fugacities or monomials in weight (see e.g. [33] for a discussion).

The Plethystic Exponential in one variable \( q \) can also be generalised to a set of variables \( q_i \) [19]:

\[
\prod_{p_1, \ldots, p_m} \frac{1}{(1 - \nu q_1^{p_1} \cdots q_m^{p_m})^{a_1, \ldots, a_m}} = PE^B [\mathcal{G}_1 (q_1, \ldots, q_m)]
\]

\[
\equiv \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu^k}{k} \mathcal{G}_1 \left( q_1^k, \ldots, q_m^k \right) \right) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \nu^N \mathcal{G}_N(q_1, \ldots, q_m),
\]  

(3.3)
with:

\[ G_1(q_1, \ldots, q_m) = \sum_{p_1, \ldots, p_m=0}^{\infty} a_{p_1, \ldots, p_m} q_1^{p_1} \cdots q_m^{p_m}. \]  

(3.4)

Let us now show that in the case of two variables, our results are the same as what one would obtain by following the Plethystic Exponential prescription. We will make the following specialisation of the variables above:

\[ a_i = 1 \ (i = 1, \ldots, m), \ q_1 = q, \ q_2 = \bar{q}, \ p_1 = m, \ p_2 = \bar{m}, \ \nu = q^2. \]  

(3.5)

We then immediately recover the double product \( B(q, \bar{q}) \) in (2.21). In our case, the term \( \nu \) is specialised to be a monomial in weight. Then, taking:

\[ G_1(q, \bar{q}) = \sum_{m \geq 0, \bar{m} \geq 0} q^m \bar{q}^\bar{m}, \]  

(3.6)

the plethystic exponential of \( G_1(q, \bar{q}) \) is

\[ PE_B [G_1(q, \bar{q})] = \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(q^2)^k}{k} G_1(q^k, \bar{q}^k) \right) \]  

(3.7)

Expanding the exponential, we obtain a series in powers of \( q^2 \):

\[ B(q, \bar{q}) = 1 + PE_B [G_1(q, \bar{q})] \]

\[ = 1 + G_1(q, \bar{q}) (q^2) + \frac{G_1^2(q, \bar{q}) + G_1(q^2, \bar{q}^2)}{2} (q^2)^2 \]

\[ + \frac{G_1^3(q, \bar{q}) + 3G_1(q, \bar{q})G_1(q^2, \bar{q}^2) + 2G_1(q^3, \bar{q}^3)}{6} (q^2)^3 \]

\[ + \frac{G_1^4(q, \bar{q}) + 6G_1^2(q, \bar{q})G_1(q^2, \bar{q}^2) + 3G_1^2(q^2, \bar{q}^2) + 8G_1(q, \bar{q})G_1(q^3, \bar{q}^3) + 6G_1(q^4, \bar{q}^4)}{24} + \cdots \]  

(3.8)

Finally, it is easy to see that the coefficients of \( (q^2)^N \) are equal to the Bell polynomials at each order, by using the identification:

\[ (k-1)! G_1(q^k, \bar{q}^k) = g_k(q, \bar{q}). \]  

(3.9)

From the above derivation, the Plethystic program provides further confirmation that we have reorganised \( Z_{LCFT} \) in a way that clearly shows the single particle and multi-particle Hilbert spaces of \( t \).

We close this section by emphasising that the similarity between our method and the one of the Plethystic program is not unexpected. Indeed, after all, Bell polynomials are a result of plethysm associated with multipartite exponential generating functions. However, the Bell polynomial formalism allows us to uncover hidden symmetry actions on the \( n \)-particle terms in the partition function, as we will see in Section 5. Before that, however, we will demonstrate how our results match with an explicit counting of the multi-particle states and their descendants in the dual LCFT.

### 4 Explicit counting of multi-particle descendants

To illustrate how each Bell polynomial term in (2.27) counts the descendants of multi-particle states of the logarithmic partner \( t \), we show how the counting works for some low-lying states. As
indicated at the end of section 2.5, we will follow a two-step procedure for constructing the multi-particle $t$ states. First, we will focus on the $B(q, \bar{q})$ part and identify the states corresponding to the terms in the Bell polynomial formula. As argued, these are constructed by the symmetrised action of the $L_{-1}$ and $\bar{L}_{-1}$ operators on $t \otimes_n t$. Then, we will add the descendants of each of these states by the action of the $L_{-k}$ and $\bar{L}_{-k}$ operators, with $k \geq 2$, which will correspond to the prefactor $A(q, \bar{q})$ in (2.18).

4.1 Matching of the Bell series

Our claim is that the $n$-th term in Bell polynomial expansion (the terms inside the square brackets in (2.27)) counts all the symmetrised (due to bosonic statistics) descendants of $t \otimes_n t$ by the action of $L_{-1}$ and $\bar{L}_{-1}$.

Recall that the (holomorphic, antiholomorphic) weight of $t \otimes_n t$ is $(2n, 0)$. So to construct a descendant at absolute level $(l, \bar{l})$, we will need to partition $l - 2n L_{-1}$ and $\bar{l} \bar{L}_{-1}$ generators across $n$ copies of $t$, modulo the action of $S_n$.

2-particle states

We will start with the expansion of the two-particle component of the Bell formula. It gives:

$$\frac{1}{2!} Y_2(q^2)^2 = \frac{g_1^2 + g_2}{2!} (q^2)^2 = q^4 + (q^5 + q^6 \bar{q}) + (2q^6 + 2q^5 \bar{q} + 2q^4 \bar{q}^2) + (2q^7 + 3q^6 \bar{q} + 3q^5 \bar{q}^2 + 2q^4 \bar{q}^3) + \cdots \tag{4.1}$$

The descendants of $t \otimes t$ corresponding to the expansion (4.1) are:

\[
\begin{align*}
(4, 0) & : t \otimes t \\
(5, 0) & : L_{-1} t \otimes t \\
(4, 1) & : L_{-1} t \otimes t \\
(6, 0) & : L_{-1}^2 t \otimes t, L_{-1} \otimes L_{-1} t \\
(5, 1) & : L_{-1} \bar{L}_{-1} t \otimes t, L_{-1} t \otimes \bar{L}_{-1} t \\
(4, 2) & : L_{-1}^2 t \otimes t, \bar{L}_{-1} t \otimes L_{-1} t \\
(7, 0) & : L_{-1}^3 t \otimes t, L_{-1}^2 \otimes L_{-1} t \\
(6, 1) & : L_{-1}^2 \bar{L}_{-1} t \otimes t, L_{-1} t \otimes L_{-1} \bar{L}_{-1} t \\
& \vdots
\end{align*}
\]

Here all states should be understood as completely symmetrised due to bosonic statistics, e.g. $L_{-1}^2 t \otimes \bar{L}_{-1} t \rightarrow \frac{1}{2!}(L_{-1}^2 t \otimes \bar{L}_{-1} t + \bar{L}_{-1} t \otimes L_{-1}^2 t)$. We suppress the symmetrisation to avoid clutter.

It can be seen (also by working out examples at higher levels) that the explicit states precisely match the expansion (4.1). This is expected, of course, according to the discussion in Section 2.5.

3-particle states

To count the descendants of $t \otimes t \otimes t$ we expand

$$\frac{1}{3!} Y_3(q^2) = \frac{g_1^3 + 3g_1 g_2 + g_2^0 (q^2)^3}{3!} \tag{4.2}$$

$$= q^6 + (q^7 + q^6 \bar{q}) + (2q^8 + 2q^7 \bar{q} + q^6 \bar{q}^2) + (3q^9 + 4q^8 \bar{q} + 4q^7 \bar{q}^2 + 3q^6 \bar{q}^3) + \cdots \tag{4.3}$$

\footnote{In this section we write $t \otimes t$ instead of $|t \rangle \otimes |t \rangle$.}
According to the discussion in Section 2.5, these states will be descendants of \( t \otimes t \otimes t \):

\[
\begin{align*}
(6, 0) & : t \otimes t \otimes t \\
(7, 0) & : L_{-1}t \otimes t \otimes t \\
(6, 1) & : \bar{L}_{-1}t \otimes t \otimes t \\
(8, 0) & : L_{-1}^2t \otimes t \otimes t , \ L_{-1}t \otimes L_{-1}t \otimes t \\
(7, 1) & : L_{-1}\bar{L}_{-1}t \otimes t \otimes t , \ L_{-1}t \otimes \bar{L}_{-1}t \otimes t \\
(6, 2) & : \bar{L}_{-1}^2t \otimes t \otimes t , \ \bar{L}_{-1}t \otimes \bar{L}_{-1}t \otimes t \\
(9, 0) & : L_{-1}^3t \otimes t \otimes t , \ L_{-1}^2t \otimes L_{-1}t \otimes t , \ L_{-1}t \otimes L_{-1}t \otimes t \\
(8, 1) & : \bar{L}_{-1}^2L_{-1}t \otimes t \otimes t , \ L_{-1}^2t \otimes \bar{L}_{-1}t \otimes t , \ L_{-1}L_{-1}t \otimes L_{-1}t \otimes t , \ L_{-1}t \otimes L_{-1}t \otimes \bar{L}_{-1}t \\
\vdots
\end{align*}
\]

Again, these states should be symmetrised, e.g. \( L_{-1}^2t \otimes \bar{L}_{-1}t \otimes t \) actually means:

\[
\frac{L_{-1}^2t \otimes L_{-1}t \otimes t + L_{-1}^2t \otimes t \otimes L_{-1}t + t \otimes L_{-1}^2t \otimes L_{-1}t + L_{-1}t \otimes L_{-1}^2t \otimes t + L_{-1}t \otimes t \otimes L_{-1}^2t + t \otimes L_{-1}^2t \otimes L_{-1}t}{6}.
\]

As before, we find agreement with the Bell series (4.3). Similarly, one can confirm that \( Y_n \) correctly counts the higher-order descendants of the action of \( L_{-1} \) and \( \bar{L}_{-1} \) on \( t \otimes_n t \). It is important to note that the above way of producing descendants when acting on multi-particle states cannot be written in terms of the standard Lie-algebraic coproduct \( \Delta(L_{-1}) = L_{-1} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_{-1} \) (and similarly for \( \bar{L}_{-1} \)) acting on \( t \otimes t \). Simply creating states with powers of the coproduct \( (\Delta(L_{-1}^k)) \) as we will do in the next section, would lead to only one state at each level, and would not match \( B(q, \bar{q}) \). The coproduct structure behind this counting will be the subject of an upcoming publication [34].

### 4.2 Matching of the full partition function

Having confirmed that each term in the Bell expansion correctly counts the \( L_{-1} \) and \( \bar{L}_{-1} \) descendants of \( t \otimes_n t \), we now need to ensure that the full partition function \( Z_{TMG} \) can be matched to descendants of \( t \otimes_n t \). However, this is immediate to see by considering the prefactor \( A(q, \bar{q}) \) in (2.18). Since each term in the \( n \)-particle Bell expansion is multiplied by the same prefactor \( A(q, \bar{q}) \), the counting will be as in the single-particle sector, i.e. through the combinatorics of the \( L_{-k} \) and \( \bar{L}_{-\bar{k}} \) Virasoro generators, with \( k, \bar{k} \geq 2 \). The only difference is that to act on the product states \( t \otimes_n t \) one needs to consider the appropriate combinations of the Lie-algebraic coproduct \( \Delta(L_{-k}) = L_{-k} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_{-k} \).

#### 2-particle states

Including the prefactor, we find the following result for the two-particle spectrum:

\[
Z_{TMG}^{(t)} = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^n} \left( \frac{q^2 + q^3}{2!} \right) q^4
\]

\[
= q^4 + (q^5 + q^4q) + (3q^6 + 2q^5q + 3q^4q^2) + (4q^7 + 4q^6q + 4q^5q^2 + 4q^4q^3) + \cdots
\]

Let us illustrate the counting by way of examples. For instance, at level (7, 0) two states are already included in (4.2). The additional two states are descendants of states of lower level in (4.2):

\[
\Delta(L_{-2}) \frac{L_{-1}t \otimes t + t \otimes L_{-1}t}{2} = \frac{(L_{-2} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_{-2}) L_{-1}t \otimes t + t \otimes L_{-1}t}{2} = \frac{L_{-2}L_{-1}t \otimes t + L_{-2}t \otimes L_{-1}t + L_{-1}t \otimes L_{-2}t + t \otimes L_{-2}L_{-1}t}{2}
\]

(4.6)
and
\[ \Delta(L_{-3})t \otimes t = (L_{-3} \otimes t + t \otimes L_{-3}t), \tag{4.7} \]
where we have made the symmetrisation explicit. At level \((5, 2)\), we have 3 states included in \(\bar{Z}_{T \text{MG}}\) along with:
\[ \Delta(\bar{L}_{-2}) \frac{L_{-1}t \otimes t + t \otimes L_{-1}t}{2} = \frac{(\bar{L}_{-2}L_{-1}t \otimes t + \bar{L}_{-2}t \otimes L_{-1}t + L_{-1}t \otimes \bar{L}_{-2}t + t \otimes \bar{L}_{-2}L_{-1}t)}{2}. \tag{4.8} \]
One can similarly understand the counting of higher two-particle terms as well.\(^6\)

### 3-particle states

Here the relevant expansion is
\[ Z^{(\text{ttt})}_{T \text{MG}} = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^n} \left( \frac{g_1^3 + 3g_1g_2 + g_3}{3!} \right) q^6 \]
\[ = q^6 + (q^7 + q^6q) + (3q^8 + 2q^7q + 3q^6q^2) + (5q^9 + 5q^8q + 5q^7q^2 + 4q^6q^3) + \cdots \tag{4.9} \]
To construct descendants of the 3-particle states, one needs to consider the appropriate composition of coproducts of the \(L_{-k}\) and \(\bar{L}_{-\bar{k}}\) generators, with \(k, \bar{k} \geq 2\), so that it acts on three copies of the Hilbert space.\(^7\)
\[ (\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta(L_{-n}) = (\Delta \otimes 1)(L_{-n} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_{-n}) = L_{-n} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes L_{-n} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes L_{-n}. \tag{4.10} \]
As an example, at level \((9, 0)\), we have already counted 3 states in \(4.4\) so we require two more. They are given by
\[ (\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta(L_{-2}) \frac{L_{-1}t \otimes t \otimes t + t \otimes L_{-1}t \otimes t + t \otimes t \otimes L_{-1}t}{3} \]
\[ (\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta(L_{-3})t \otimes t \otimes t. \tag{4.11} \]
For the \((8, 1)\) states, we already have four so we need to add one more:
\[ (\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta(L_{-2}) \frac{\bar{L}_{-1}t \otimes t \otimes t + t \otimes \bar{L}_{-1}t \otimes t + t \otimes t \otimes \bar{L}_{-1}t}{3} \tag{4.12} \]
It is straightforward to proceed to higher levels and construct the explicit states that agree with the required counting.

We conclude that the \(t\) multi-particle states in \(Z_{T \text{MG}}\) correctly arise from the hybrid counting we have outlined above. To summarise, one first constructs all possible \(L_{-1}, \bar{L}_{-\bar{1}}\) descendants of \(t \otimes_n t\), where the action of the generators is only constrained by overall symmetry of the multi-particle wavefunction. This agrees with the corresponding \(Y_n\) term in the Bell expansion. Then, one constructs descendants of these states in the standard way, by acting with the appropriate coproducts of the generators \(L_{-k}, \bar{L}_{-\bar{k}}\) with \(k, \bar{k} \geq 2\). This accounts for the prefactor \(\mathcal{A}(q, \bar{q})\).

### 5 A ladder action on \(Z_{T \text{MG}}\)

In this section, we return to a more detailed study of the Bell-polynomial version of \(Z_{T \text{MG}}\), with the goal of uncovering some additional structure. We will see that the partition function admits a natural action of ladder (raising/lowering) operators. From these, one can further construct an \(\text{sl}(2)\) symmetry of the \(n\)-particle terms in \(Z_{T \text{MG}}\).

---

6 Note that when considering coproducts of multiple generators one should take into account the compatibility of the product and coproduct, e.g. \(\Delta(L_{-2}^2) = (\Delta(L_{-2}))^2 = L_{-2}^2 \otimes 1 + 2L_{-2} \otimes L_{-2} + 1 \otimes L_{-2}^2\).

7 Associativity of the Lie-algebraic coproduct guarantees that this is equal to the other combination we could have written, i.e. \((1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta(L_{-n})\). Similarly, there is a unique coproduct for every \(n\)-particle sector.
5.1 Monomiality principle

The operators we are concerned with will act on $\mathcal{B}(q, \bar{q})$, and we will see that they generate an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ algebra. In order to motivate the appearance of this action, let us briefly introduce the monomiality principle, which is a useful tool for studying properties of special polynomials, such as the Bell polynomial.

The idea of monomiality is rooted in the early 1940s, when J.F. Steffensen, in a paper \[35\] that only recently received attention, suggested the concept of the poweroid. A resurgence of the theory arose in the work of G. Dattoli et al, who systematically made use of the principle \[36, 37\].

In essence, all polynomial families, in particular special polynomials, are identical, as it suffices to transform a basic set of monomials using suitable (derivative and multiplication) operators to obtain the polynomials. This result, theoretically proven in \[38\] and \[39\], is closely related to the theory of Umbral Calculus \[40\], since the exponent, for instance in the monomial $x^n$, transforms into its “shadow” in the polynomial $p_n(x)$.

Let us consider the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra, i.e. the nilpotent algebra with generators $\hat{D}$ and $\hat{X}$ satisfying the commutation relations:

$$\hat{D}, \hat{X} = 1, \quad [\hat{D}, 1] = [\hat{X}, 1] = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

This algebra encodes the structure of raising and lowering operators, which arise in canonical quantisation. Here we will be interested in its uses in combinatorial physics, in relation to the monomiality principle, such as in \[41\].

The monomiality principle is based on the fact that a given family of polynomials $p_n(x)$ can be viewed as quasi-monomial under the action of two operators $\hat{D}$ and $\hat{X}$, called “derivative” and “multiplicative” operators respectively, if it satisfies the recurrence relations:

$$\hat{X} p_n(x) = p_{n+1}(x)$$
$$\hat{D} p_n(x) = n p_{n-1}(x)$$
$$p_n(0) = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2)

These operators can immediately be seen as raising and lowering operators acting on the polynomials $p_n(x)$. Eqs. (5.2) also imply the eigenproperty of the operator $\hat{X}\hat{D}$:

$$\hat{X}\hat{D} p_n(x) = n p_n(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

It is interesting to note that the operators $\hat{D}$ and $\hat{X}$ satisfy the commutation relation:

$$[\hat{D}, \hat{X}] = \hat{D}\hat{X} - \hat{X}\hat{D} = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.4)

hence displaying a Weyl algebra structure.

5.2 Ladder action on the Bell polynomials

Let us now focus specifically on the Bell polynomials. Motivated by a construction in \[42\], we define an operator $\hat{X}$ (the concrete version of the abstract $\hat{X}$ above which is appropriate to the Bell polynomials) as:

$$\hat{X} = g_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_k}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.5)

This operator acts as a multiplication operator on the Bell polynomials in $n$ variables denoted in the previous section as $Y(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)$. For $Y_n = Y(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)$, we therefore have:

$$\hat{X} Y_n = Y_{n+1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.6)
It is now natural to define a second operator $\hat{D}$ as
\[
\hat{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial g_1}.
\] (5.7)
This operator acts as derivative operator on $Y_n$:
\[
\hat{D}Y_n = nY_{n-1}.
\] (5.8)
Finally, the combined operator $\hat{X}\hat{D}$ acts on $Y_n$ as:
\[
\hat{X}\hat{D}Y_n = nY_n.
\] (5.9)
It is straightforward to verify that the operators $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{D}$ are generators of the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra. We will next show that these operators can be used to construct a sl(2) algebra.

### 5.3 sl(2) action on the Bell polynomials

Let us consider the standard sl(2) algebra basis $\{f, e, h\}$, satisfying
\[
[f, e] = h, \quad [h, e] = 2e, \quad [h, f] = -2f.
\] (5.10)
Now, given a Heisenberg-Weyl algebra with generators $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{D}$ as above, it is well known [43] that one can obtain a standard sl(2) algebra through the definitions:
\[
f = \frac{1}{2} \hat{X}^2, \quad h = \hat{X}\hat{D} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad e = \frac{1}{2} \hat{D}^2
\] (5.11)
Given the results of the previous section, one can easily verify how these sl(2) generators act on the Bell polynomials:
\[
e Y_n = \frac{1}{2} n(n-1)Y_{n-2}, \quad f Y_n = \frac{1}{2} Y_{n+2}, \quad h Y_n = \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) Y_n.
\] (5.12)
From this action we can also confirm that the algebra closes acting on the Bell polynomials:
\[
[e, f]Y_n = (ef - fe)Y_n = e \left( \frac{1}{2} Y_{n+2} \right) - f \left( \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \right) Y_{n-2}
= \frac{1}{4} \left[ (n+2)(n+1) - n(n-1) \right] Y_n = \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) Y_n
\] (5.13)
Similarly, we can check that
\[
[h, f]Y_n = 2f Y_n \quad \text{and} \quad [e, h]Y_n = 2e Y_n.
\] (5.14)
We summarise the action of the Heisenberg-Weyl operators $\hat{X}, \hat{D}$ and the sl(2) generators $f, e, h$ in Fig. 1.

Let us emphasise that the general statements on monomiality ensure that the structures discussed in this section exist for any polynomial family. What is specific to the Bell polynomials is the precise form of the $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{D}$ operators in (5.5) and (5.7). We emphasise that this sl(2) action relates the different multi-particle sectors in the partition function and is not directly related to the SL(2) symmetry generated by the $L_{-1}, L_0, L_1$ Virasoro operators (which can only act within a given multi-particle sector).

Clearly, the raising/lowering and sl(2) actions described above can be used to quickly produce the right counting of states in a given multi-particle sector given the knowledge of the single-particle or another multi-particle sector. It would be interesting to understand whether these actions can be used (for instance) to formulate consistency conditions on putative multi-particle partition functions.
5.4 Ladder action on the plethystic exponential

For completeness, we now show how from the construction of new operators satisfying the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra, an sl(2) action can be obtained on successive terms of the Plethystic Exponential expansion of $PE^B [G_1(q, \bar{q})]$ (where we are of course restricting to the very special choices in (3.5)).

We start by establishing some notation conventions, writing the Plethystic Exponential expansion as:

$$PE^B [G_1(q, \bar{q})] = PE(1)(q^2)^1 + \frac{1}{2!} PE(2)(q^2)^2 + \frac{1}{3!} PE(3)(q^2)^3 + \cdots,$$

with:

$$PE(1) = G_1(q, \bar{q}), \quad PE(2) = G_1^2(q, \bar{q}) + G_1(q^2, \bar{q}^2),$$

$$PE(3) = G_1^3(q, \bar{q}) + 3G_1(q, \bar{q})G_1(q^2, \bar{q}^2) + 2G_1(q^3, \bar{q}^3), \cdots \quad (5.15)$$

For the sake of clarity, we also write $G_1(q^k, \bar{q}^k) = G_{1,k}$. Now, let us introduce an operator $\hat{X}$ as:

$$\hat{X} = G_{1,1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} kG_{1,k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial G_{1,k}}, \quad (5.16)$$

it is easy to see that it acts as a multiplication operator on $PE(k)$ such that:

$$\hat{X}PE(k) = PE(k+1). \quad (5.17)$$

We then define the operator $\hat{D}$ as

$$\hat{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial G_{1,1}}, \quad (5.18)$$

which acts as derivative operator on $PE(k)$:

$$\hat{D}PE(k) = kPE(k-1), \quad (5.19)$$

and finally, the operator $\hat{X}\hat{D}$ that acts on $PE(k)$ as:

$$\hat{X}\hat{D}PE(k) = kPE(k). \quad (5.20)$$

\[ \text{Figure 1: Ladder operators acting on the Bell polynomials } Y_i. \text{ Note that the sl}(2) \text{ raising/lowering action only connects even or odd polynomials, depending on whether the initial } i \text{ is even or odd.} \]
It is straightforward to verify that the operators $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{D}$ are generators of the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra. From there, the following set of generators:

$$f = \frac{1}{2} \hat{X}^2, \quad h = \hat{X}\hat{D} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad e = \frac{1}{2} \hat{D}^2$$ \hspace{1cm} (5.21)

will satisfy a sl(2) algebra on $G_{1,k}$.

The actions of the Heisenberg-Weyl and sl(2) operators on $PE(k)$ are illustrated in Fig. 2.

![Figure 2: Ladder operators acting between the terms of the plethystic exponential $PE_i$. The sl(2) raising/lowering action only connects even or odd terms, depending on whether the initial $i$ is even or odd.](image)

As in the Bell polynomial picture, we see that the single and multi-article components of the partition function are all related via the action of ladder (multiplication/derivative, or raising/lowering) operators.

## 6 Extension to NMG and Higher-Spin TMG

In this section, we show that the partition functions of New Massive Gravity and Topologically Massive Spin-3 Gravity at the critical point can also be expressed in terms of Bell polynomials.

### 6.1 Partition function of critical New Massive Gravity

The partition function of New Massive Gravity at the critical point was also obtained in [15] and is given in (2.3). As NMG is non-chiral (having $c_L = c_R = 0$), the partition function is symmetric in $q$ and $\bar{q}$. To express (2.3) in terms of Bell polynomials, we start by rewriting:

$$Z_{NMG}(q, \bar{q}) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[1-q^n]^2} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \prod_{\tilde{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^m \bar{q}\tilde{m}} \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \prod_{\tilde{l}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^l \bar{q}\tilde{l}}$$

$$= A(q, \bar{q})B(q, \bar{q})\bar{B}(q, \bar{q}).$$

Following essentially the same methods as for TMG, this expression can be rewritten in terms of Bell polynomials as:

$$Z_{NMG}(q, \bar{q}) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[1-q^n]^2} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y_n}{n!} (q^2)^n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y_n}{n!} (\bar{q}^2)^n \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.1)
We can further rewrite it in a binomial-type form as:

\[
Z_{NMG}(q, \bar{q}) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1 - q^n|^2} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{Y_j}{j!} (q^2)^j (q^2)^{k-j} \right].
\]  

(6.2)

To see how this is in agreement with counting of states in a non-chiral LCFT dual, let us expand the terms in the square bracket as

\[
\mathcal{B}(q, \bar{q})\mathcal{B}(q, \bar{q}) = 1 + Y_1 q^2 + Y_1 \bar{q}^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} q^4 + Y_1^2 q^2 \bar{q}^2 + \frac{1}{2} Y_2 q^4 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{3!} Y_3 q^6 + \frac{1}{2} Y_2 Y_1 q^4 \bar{q}^2 + \frac{1}{3} Y_1 Y_2 q^2 \bar{q}^4 + \frac{1}{3!} Y_3 \bar{q}^6 \right) + \cdots
\]  

(6.3)

As discussed in \[15\] and reviewed in section 2.2, the first three terms correspond to the vacuum sector, the single-particle \( t \) sector and the single-particle \( \bar{t} \) sector. These are the terms contributing to \( Z_{LCFT}^{(0)NMG} \) in (2.5). The terms of the form \( 1/n! Y_n q^{2n} \) and their conjugates \( 1/n! Y_n \bar{q}^{2n} \) are descendants of \( t \otimes n t \) and \( \bar{t} \otimes n \bar{t} \) and will be counted exactly as for TMG. So the first term of a new type is

\[
Y_1^2 q^2 \bar{q}^2 = q^2 q^2 + (2q^2 \bar{q}^2 + 2q^2 \bar{q}^2) + (3q^4 \bar{q}^2 + 4q^3 \bar{q}^3 + 3q^2 \bar{q}^4) + (4q^5 \bar{q}^2 + 6q^4 \bar{q}^3 + 6q^3 \bar{q}^4 + 4q^2 \bar{q}^5) + \cdots
\]  

(6.4)

Clearly, these will be descendants of the two-particle state \( t \otimes \bar{t} \). We find explicitly

\[
(2, 2) : t \otimes \bar{t}
\]
 \[
(3, 2) : L_{-1}t \otimes \bar{t}, \ t \otimes L_{-1}\bar{t}
\]
 \[
(2, 3) : L_{-1}t \otimes \bar{t}, \ t \otimes L_{-1}\bar{t}
\]
 \[
(4, 2) : L_{-1}^2t \otimes \bar{t}, \ L_{-1}t \otimes L_{-1}\bar{t}, \ t \otimes L_{-1}^2\bar{t}
\]
 \[
(3, 3) : L_{-1}\bar{L}_{-1}t \otimes \bar{t}, \ L_{-1}t \otimes L_{-1}\bar{t}, \ L_{-1}t \otimes L_{-1}\bar{t}, \ t \otimes L_{-1}L_{-1}\bar{t}
\]
 \[
(2, 4) : L_{-1}^2t \otimes \bar{t}, \ L_{-1}t \otimes L_{-1}\bar{t}, \ t \otimes L_{-1}^2\bar{t}
\]

\[\ldots\]

We find that the number of states agrees with the counting in (6.4). Similarly, we can look at the states arising from

\[
\frac{1}{2} Y_1 Y_2 q^4 \bar{q}^2 = q^4 \bar{q}^2 + (2q^5 \bar{q}^2 + 2q^4 \bar{q}^3) + (4q^6 \bar{q}^2 + 5q^5 \bar{q}^3 + 4q^4 \bar{q}^4) + \cdots
\]  

(6.6)

which will be three-particle descendants of \( t \otimes t \otimes \bar{t} \). We can write

\[
(4, 2) : t \otimes t \otimes \bar{t}
\]
 \[
(5, 2) : L_{-1}t \otimes t \otimes \bar{t}, \ t \otimes t \otimes L_{-1}\bar{t}
\]
 \[
(4, 3) : \bar{L}_{-1}t \otimes t \otimes \bar{t}, \ t \otimes t \otimes \bar{L}_{-1}\bar{t}
\]
 \[
(6, 2) : L_{-1}^2t \otimes t \otimes \bar{t}, \ L_{-1}t \otimes L_{-1}t \otimes \bar{t}, \ L_{-1}t \otimes t \otimes L_{-1}\bar{t}, \ t \otimes t \otimes L_{-1}L_{-1}\bar{t}
\]
 \[
(5, 3) : L_{-1}\bar{L}_{-1}t \otimes t \otimes \bar{t}, \ L_{-1}t \otimes L_{-1}t \otimes \bar{t}, \ L_{-1}t \otimes t \otimes \bar{L}_{-1}\bar{t}, \ \bar{L}_{-1}t \otimes t \otimes L_{-1}\bar{t}, \ t \otimes t \otimes L_{-1}L_{-1}\bar{t}
\]

\[\ldots\]

The counting of 4-particle and higher sectors will proceed in a similar manner. As for TMG, the overall prefactor in (6.2) corresponds to descendants of the above states created by the appropriate combinations of coproducts \( \Delta(L_{-k}) \), \( k \geq 2 \). In this way, the Bell polynomial expansion has led to a better understanding of the multi-particle sector of \( Z_{NMG} \).

---

8We can of course symmetrise these states, e.g. \( L_{-1}t \otimes \bar{t} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(L_{-1}t \otimes \bar{t} + \bar{t} \otimes L_{-1}t) \), without affecting the counting.
6.2 Partition function of critical Topologically Massive Spin-3 Gravity

In [44, 45] and separately in [21], critical topologically massive gravity was generalised to spin-3 as well as higher spins. It was seen that, analogously to the spin-2 case, the spin-3 mode becomes degenerate with a bulk mode at the critical point $\mu = 1$, leading to the expectation that the dual CFT to higher-spin TMG is logarithmic, and has $W$-algebra symmetry. A check of this proposal was performed in [25], where the 1-loop partition function for topologically massive higher spin gravity was calculated (for arbitrary spin). Focusing on the spin-3 case for concreteness, the result of [25] takes the form:

$$Z_{TMHS}^{(3)}(q, \bar{q}) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^n} \prod_{m=2}^{\infty} \prod_{\tilde{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^m \bar{q}^{\tilde{m}}} \times \left[ \prod_{m=3}^{\infty} \prod_{\tilde{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^m \bar{q}^{\tilde{m}}} \prod_{m=4}^{\infty} \prod_{\tilde{m}=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^m \bar{q}^{\tilde{m}}} \right]$$ (6.8)

where we have combined the spin-2 and spin-3 parts. Before writing the expression of $Z_{TMHS}^{(3)}$ in terms of Bell polynomials, we first note that, starting from an expression coming from gravity on the left-hand side, one obtains an expression featuring the $W$-algebra vacuum characters on the right-hand side. Indeed:

$$Z_{TMHS}^{(3)}(q, \bar{q}) = \left\{ \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^n} \prod_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^n} \right\} \prod_{m=2}^{\infty} \prod_{\tilde{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^m \bar{q}^{\tilde{m}}} \times \left[ \prod_{m=3}^{\infty} \prod_{\tilde{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^m \bar{q}^{\tilde{m}}} \prod_{m=4}^{\infty} \prod_{\tilde{m}=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^m \bar{q}^{\tilde{m}}} \right]$$ (6.9)

where $\chi_0(W_3)$ and $\bar{\chi}_0(W_3)$ are the holomorphic and antiholomorphic vacuum characters of the $W_3$-algebra ([46], see also [47]). This property, as also pointed out in [24], fits perfectly with the expectation that the dual theory is a $W$-LCFT.

Following the same procedure as for TMG, we can straightforwardly express the above partition function in terms of Bell polynomials:

$$Z_{TMHS}^{(3)}(q, \bar{q}) = \chi_0(W_3) \times \bar{\chi}_0(W_3) \times \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y_n}{n!} (q^3)^n \right] \times \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y_n}{n!} (q^3)^n \right] \times \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Y_n}{n!} (q^4 q^3)^n \right] .$$ (6.10)

The interpretation and counting of the states leading to the first and second square brackets in the above expression will be very similar to that discussed for TMG: The $n$-th term in the first bracket will correspond to states built upon $t \otimes_n t$, the $n$-th term in the second bracket will correspond to $n$-particle states of $w$, the logarithmic partner of $W$, while the mixed terms will be counted similarly to the NMG case above. The counting that leads to the third bracket is less clear to us, however, and we leave a fuller understanding of the higher-spin case for future work.\(^8\)

\(^8\)The two proposals differ in their choices of boundary conditions, and as a consequence [44, 45] find a chiral right-moving CFT, not an LCFT as in [24]. Furthermore, the trace part of the spin-3 field is found to be pure gauge in [44, 45] and discarded, while in [24] it is found to be physical (and kept).

\(^9\)Let us note that this term arises from the trace part of the spin-3 field, whose presence (as mentioned above) depends on the choice of boundary conditions.
Conclusion and outlook

As emphasised in [18], it would be useful to exploit our knowledge of critical gravity theories to better understand the corresponding dual CFTs. In this paper, we made a step further towards such an understanding by explicitly showing how $Z_{TMG}$, derived from the gravity side in [15], can be usefully recast in a compact form using Bell polynomials. These polynomials allow us to express at once character representations of single- and multi-particles. As such, we are able to extend the checks of $Z_{TMG}$ performed in [15] to also include the multi-particle sector. In this way, one more of the checks of the TMG/LCFT correspondence formulated in [18] finds a positive answer.

An intriguing result is that the counting needs to be performed in a two-step process, with the combinatorics of the $L_{-1}$ and $\bar{L}_{-1}$ (i.e. the global $SL(2) \times SL(2)$) action on $|t\rangle \otimes n |t\rangle$ being non-standard in that it doesn’t arise from the usual Lie-algebraic coproduct $\Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X$. Of course, showing that the counting works in this way does not amount to an explanation as to why. On the combinatorics side, one could ask what type of coproduct (and associated algebraic structure) would lead to the counting of the Bell polynomial part. This is work in progress [34]. More on the physics side, recall that the Bell polynomial expansion arose from a rewriting of the double-product term in (1.2). In turn, the double-product term derives from the bulk massive mode, which becomes the logarithmic partner of the boundary graviton at the critical point, so it clearly related to the logarithmic nature of the theory. Given that we found similar expansions for NMG and higher-spin TMG, one could wonder whether this type of multi-particle counting arises generically in LCFT’s. We leave a deeper understanding of this question to future work.

In addition, we have also shown explicitly how the Bell polynomials are related to the Plethystic Exponential. Although the existence of a relation between the two series is certainly not unexpected, our explicit mapping could prove beneficial in future studies in other contexts as well. An immediate benefit in our case was that the ladder action and associated $sl(2)$ structure which we uncovered on the Bell polynomial side could automatically also be applied to the Plethystic Exponential. It would be interesting to apply the readily available extensive technology of the Plethystic Exponential to further study algebro-geometric properties of the theory, such as the moduli space of the log partners and their associated orbits, or also the invariant nature of the log partners under group actions.

An important question is whether the TMG/LCFT correspondence can be made even more precise, in the sense of identifying a concrete Logarithmic CFT dual to TMG. The fact that we are at $c = 0$, and thus far away from the semiclassical gravity limit, might preclude a correspondence at the same level as the higher-spin/$W$-algebra CFT duality [49], where $c$ can be tuned to be large. However, one could perhaps still hope for an understanding similar to that in [50], where the partition functions of some, though not all, $c < 1$ unitary minimal models were shown to agree with the dual gravity calculation (after summation over modular images). A successful match was linked to the uniqueness of the modular invariant partition function of the CFT. One could similarly hope that a careful study of partition functions for logarithmic minimal models (e.g. [51]) would provide an indication of the general features of possible bulk duals and lead to a precise correspondence.
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---

11 A comment in this regard can be found, for instance, in [18].
A Bell polynomials

The Bell polynomials are defined through the expansion

\[ Y_n(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = \sum_{k_1+\cdots+k_n=n} \frac{n!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \left( \frac{g_1}{2!} \right)^{k_1} \left( \frac{g_2}{2!} \right)^{k_2} \cdots \left( \frac{g_n}{2!} \right)^{k_n}, \quad (A.1) \]

where the definition of \( k \vdash n \) was given in (2.410). Let us see how this works up to third order in \( n \).

We actually start at order 2, since order 0 by convention gives \( Y_0 = 1 \), and order 1 trivially gives \( Y_1 = g_1 \). At order 2, we have two options: \( \{k_1, k_2\} = \{2, 0\} \) or \( \{k_1, k_2\} = \{0, 1\} \). Clearly, in the first case we have \( 2 = 2 + 2(0) \), and in the second case, \( 2 = 0 + 2(1) \). This gives:

\[ Y_2(g_1, g_2) = \frac{2!}{2!0!} \left( \frac{g_1}{1!} \right)^2 \left( \frac{g_2}{2!} \right)^0 + \frac{2!}{0!1!} \left( \frac{g_1}{1!} \right)^0 \left( \frac{g_2}{2!} \right)^1 = g_1^2 + g_2. \]

At order 3, we have three options: \( \{k_1, k_2, k_3\} = \{3, 0, 0\} \), \( \{k_1, k_2, k_3\} = \{1, 1, 0\} \) and \( \{k_1, k_2, k_3\} = \{0, 0, 1\} \). This gives:

\[ \begin{align*}
Y_3(g_1, g_2, g_3) &= \frac{3!}{3!0!0!} \left( \frac{g_1}{1!} \right)^3 \left( \frac{g_2}{2!} \right)^0 \left( \frac{g_3}{3!} \right)^0 + \frac{3!}{1!1!0!} \left( \frac{g_1}{1!} \right)^1 \left( \frac{g_2}{2!} \right)^1 \left( \frac{g_3}{3!} \right)^0 \\
&\quad + \frac{3!}{0!0!1!} \left( \frac{g_1}{1!} \right)^0 \left( \frac{g_2}{2!} \right)^0 \left( \frac{g_3}{3!} \right)^1 \\
&= g_1^3 + 3g_1g_2 + 3g_3.
\end{align*} \quad (A.2) \]

Similarly, one finds

\[ \begin{align*}
Y_4(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4) &= g_1^4 + 6g_1^2g_2 + 3g_2^2 + 4g_1g_3 + g_4, \quad \text{(A.3)} \\
Y_5(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5) &= g_1^5 + 10g_1^3g_2 + 15g_1^2g_3 + 10g_1g_4 + 5g_4. \\
&\quad \text{and so on (higher Bell polynomials can easily be generated using computer algebra).} \\
\end{align*} \quad (A.4) \]

Having these explicit expressions it is easy to check the action of the raising and lowering operators discussed in section 5.3. For instance,

\[ \begin{align*}
\hat{X} Y_3 &= \left( g_1 + g_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial g_1} + g_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial g_2} + g_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial g_3} \right) (g_1^3 + 3g_1g_2 + g_3) = g_1^4 + 6g_1^2g_2 + 4g_1g_3 + 3g_2^2 + g_4 = Y_4 \\
\hat{Y} Y_3 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial g_1} \left( g_1^3 + 3g_1g_2 + g_3 \right) = 3g_1^2 + 3g_2 = 3Y_2 \quad \text{(A.5)}
\end{align*} \]

Each coefficient in a given Bell polynomial \( Y_n \) corresponds to the number of partitions of a set of \( n \) distinguishable elements into subsets, whose number is given by the number of factors in each term. The length of each subset is given by the index of \( g_i \). Looking at \( Y_4 \), for example, one sees that there is:

- One partition of \( \{ABCD\} \) into a single set of length 4 (the original set),
- 4 partitions into two subsets of lengths 1 and 3: \( \{\{A\},\{BCD\}\}, \{\{B\},\{ACD\}\}, \{\{C\},\{ABD\}\}, \{\{D\},\{ABC\}\} \),
- 3 partitions into two subsets of length 2 and 2: \( \{\{AB\},\{CD\}\}, \{\{AC\},\{BD\}\}, \{\{AD\},\{BC\}\} \),
- 6 partitions into three subsets of length 1,1, 2: \( \{\{A\},\{B\},\{CD\}\}, \{\{A\},\{C\},\{BD\}\}, \{\{A\},\{D\},\{BC\}\}, \{\{B\},\{C\},\{AD\}\}, \{\{B\},\{D\},\{AC\}\}, \{\{C\},\{D\},\{AB\}\} \),
- and finally one partition into four subsets of length 1,1,1,1: \( \{\{A\},\{B\},\{C\},\{D\}\} \).
So Bell polynomials naturally appear whenever one is counting partitions, and it is not surprising that they arise in situations like ours where to construct descendants of multi-particle states one has to essentially partition a certain number of raising operators to act on the state $|t\rangle \otimes_n |t\rangle$ (which would be a highest-weight state in non-logarithmic theory) in all possible ways.

For more information on the Bell polynomials, the reader is referred to one of the many books concerned with the theory of partitions, such as [12, 26].
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