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Abstract
Interactions of low-energy electrons with the FEBID precursor Cr(CO)₆ have been investigated in a crossed electron–molecular beam setup coupled with a double focusing mass spectrometer with reverse geometry. Dissociative electron attachment leads to the formation of a series of anions by the loss of CO ligand units. The bare chromium anion is formed by electron capture at an electron energy of about 9 eV. Metastable decays of Cr(CO)₅⁻ into Cr(CO)₄⁻, Cr(CO)₄⁻ into Cr(CO)₃⁻ and Cr(CO)₃⁻ into Cr(CO)₂⁻ are discussed. Electron-induced dissociation at 70 eV impact energy was found to be in agreement with previous studies. A series of Cr(CO)ₙC⁺ (0 ≤ n ≤ 3) cations formed by C–O cleavage is described for the first time. The metastable decay of Cr(CO)₆⁺ into Cr(CO)₅⁺ and collision-induced dissociation leading to bare Cr⁺, are discussed. In addition, doubly charged cations were identified and the ration between doubly and singly charged fragments was determined and compared with previous studies, showing considerable differences.

Introduction
Organometallic compounds have been extensively studied since they are used for a broad field of applications. Among the variety of applications, nanotechnologies have caught special attention since organometallic compounds can be used as a precursor to deposit metals on a surface. The conventional lithography techniques are approaching the limits of spatial resolution [1], therefore it is crucial to search and improve new methods and techniques for future technological requirements. Focused electron beam induced deposition (FEBID) can be considered an assisted chemical vapour deposition (CVD) technique. How-
ever, in the former case the organometallic precursor is not fragmented by thermal energy but instead by a high-energy electron beam. The precursor molecules are delivered to the substrate in the gas phase and further irradiated by a high-energy electron beam. The electron beam decomposes the precursor molecules, leaving the metal on the surface and the organic ligands are pumped away [2,3]. FEBID has shown high potential in growing defined three-dimensional structures close to any geometry and to write on uneven surfaces. Although FEBID is a promising technique, improvements are still needed in order to get pure and highly resolved deposits. CVD precursors are normally used as FEBID precursors; however, their performance is limited, leading to co-deposition of ligands and ligand fragments together with the desired metal, with the formation of non-defined deposits on the surface.

When high-energy electrons interact with the surface, a cascade of low-energy electrons (LEE) and backscattered electrons are generated. Many chemical reactions can be triggered by those secondary electrons with an energy distribution characterised by a substantial fraction close to the ionization energy of FEBID precursors, peaking well below 10 eV and extending with appreciable intensities down to 0 eV [4]. The quality of the formed nanostructures is controlled and influenced by the interactions of the secondary and backscattered electrons with the precursor molecules. LEE initiate chemical reactions on the surface by dissociative electron attachment (DEA) and dissociative electron ionization, as well as neutral dissociation. Those processes need to be well understood, in order to maximise the quality of deposited metal as well as to minimise the adverse or unwanted effects, such as non-pure metal deposition resulting from the co-deposition of ligands.

In order to improve the quality of metallic deposits, LEE interactions with organometallic precursors have been studied. Several studies have been reported, e.g., DEA studies with $\eta^1$-(C$_3$H$_4$)Ru(CO)$_3$Br [5] and the bimetallic precursor HFeCo$_2$(CO)$_{12}$ [6,7]. Results of electron interactions with platinum-based precursors, such as Pt(PF$_3$)$_4$ and MeCpPtMe$_3$ [8-10], as well as with Co(CO)$_3$NO and W(CO)$_6$ [11-13] were also reported. Metal carbonyl precursors were investigated by electron transmission spectroscopy describing the negative ion states [14], and electron attachment thresholds for Cr(CO)$_6$, Mo(CO)$_6$, and W(CO)$_6$ were reported [15]. Electron attachment to tungsten hexacarbonyl [13] and tungsten hexachloride [16], as well as electron ionization studies with those molecules were performed with proposed fragmentation pathways and determined threshold energies for the formed cationic species [17]. Electronic energy levels of metal carbonyls and metal cyanides were described by Gray and Beach [18], and the molecular orbitals were calculated by Johnson and Klemperer using the SCF-Xα-MSW method [19]. Negative ions were previously reported for a series of pentacarbonyl metals of group VI in the periodic table including Fe(CO)$_5$, showing dissociation by capture of LEE with an energy close to 0 eV [20]. Electron ionization of W(CO)$_6$ clusters was also recently investigated [21] showing the sequential decay of the ionized organometallic precursor. Aggregates of Fe(CO)$_5$ deposit on Ar nanoparticles were studied by Lengyel and co-workers [22]. In this study strong differences in electron-induced decomposition of aggregates of iron pentacarbonyl (Fe(CO)$_5$) when compared to electron attachment under isolated conditions were observed. The ion yield curves (ion yield plotted as a function of the initial electron energy) for the formation of cluster anions containing two or more iron atoms turned out to be different from those of Fe(CO)$_5$ in the gas phase.

The dimer metal cation W$_2^+$ resulting from electron ionization of the neutral W(CO)$_6$ dimer was reported by Neustetter et al. [23] showing the fast conversion of the weak cluster bond into a strong covalent metallic bond. In comparison to electron collisions, anisotropic coulombic explosion of CO ligands upon multiple ionization in a femtosecond laser field was recently reported by Tanaka et al. [24] for Cr(CO)$_6$, Mo(CO)$_6$, and W(CO)$_6$.

In the present work we studied the interaction of LEE with the chromium carbonyl precursor, Cr(CO)$_6$. In general, a low-energy electron may be captured by a target molecule, which leads to the formation of a transient negative ion (TNI). This electronically and/or vibrationally excited TNI will relax by formation of a negatively charged fragment ion and neutral fragment(s) (DEA) or via electron detachment leaving the target molecule eventually in an excited state. If the incident electron energy is higher than the ionization threshold of the molecule, electron ionization and dissociation is energetically possible. The formation of both positive and negative ions, from the molecular ion as well as from intermediate ions formed, may also occur in a slow reaction extending to the microsecond-timescale (metastable decay).

Results and Discussion

Dissociative electron attachment

Figure 1 shows the ion yield curves of the negative ions formed upon electron attachment. When the incoming electron attaches to the Cr(CO)$_6$ molecule, the transient negative ion is formed, Cr(CO)$_6$$^{−}$. The excess electron should occupy the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) 9α$_{5g}$ with sigma character [19], or one of the higher-lying virtual molecular orbitals. The ion yields for the detected anionic species are in agreement with Pignataro and co-workers [20]. The dissociative channels described in this study follow the reactions below:
The Cr(CO)$_6^-$ ion is formed through resonance near 0 eV, reflected in a peak maximum of the ion yield at 0.1 eV, i.e., slightly red-shifted compared to the maximum position of 0.4 eV being reported previously. The Cr(CO)$_5^-$ ion is formed via three different resonances: two low-energy resonances in agreement with previous studies, and a third resonance, reported here for the first time, and evident through a maximum in the ion yield curves centred at 8.6 eV. The anions Cr(CO)$_4^-$, Cr(CO)$_3^-$, Cr(CO)$_2^-$ and Cr$^-$ are formed through resonances contributing to the respective ion yields above 4.0 eV. These are apparent through two maxima of the Cr(CO)$_5^-$ and Cr(CO)$_4^-$ ion yields at 4.7 eV and 8.7 eV and at 5.9 eV and 8.3 eV, respectively. In the Cr(CO)$^-$ and Cr$^-$ ion yields, only contributions through the higher lying resonance are visible. These appear with a maximum at 8.5 eV and 8.8 eV, respectively. In the case of Cr(CO)$_5^-$ the high-energy resonance appearing in the ion yields at 8.7 eV is reported here for the first time. The anions Cr(CO)$^-_1$ and Cr$^-$, are formed through high lying resonances with maxima in the respective ion yields at 8.5 eV and 8.8 eV.

As described below in the Experimental section, metastable decay processes were studied. By a proper tuning of the magnetic sector, it is possible to separate the metastable anion that will decay into a lighter anion and a neutral fragment. In the present studies we have observed three different metastable decays:

$$\text{Cr(CO)}_5^- \rightarrow \text{Cr(CO)}_4^- + \text{CO},$$
$$\text{Cr(CO)}_4^- \rightarrow \text{Cr(CO)}_3^- + \text{CO},$$
$$\text{Cr(CO)}_2^- \rightarrow \text{Cr(CO)}_1^- + \text{CO}.$$

In Figure 2 the energy dependence for these three decay reactions is shown. Decays happening in the ion source are called prompt decays, i.e., precursor anions have a lifetime below 3–4 μs. This corresponds to the flight time till the first field-free region (FF1). In the second field-free region (FF2), the flight time varies between 16 and 20 μs, depending on the ion.

### Table 1: Appearance energies and peak energies for the negative ions formed by DEA to Cr(CO)$_6$.

| anion     | mass (u) | AE (eV) | AE (eV) [20] | peak energy (eV)$^a$ | peak energy (eV) [20] |
|-----------|----------|---------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Cr(CO)$_6^-$ | 192      | 0.0     | 0.10         | —                    | —                    |
| Cr(CO)$_5^-$ | 164      | 0.5     | 0.60         | 3.8                  | 8.6                  |
| Cr(CO)$_4^-$ | 136      | 2.9     | 3.00         | 4.7                  | 8.7                  |
| Cr(CO)$_3^-$ | 108      | 4.6     | 4.50         | 5.9                  | 8.3                  |
| Cr(CO)$_2^-$ | 80       | 6.2     | 6.00         | —                    | 8.5                  |
| Cr$^-$        | 52       | 6.4     | 6.85         | —                    | 8.8                  |

$^a$Values are taken of the maxima of the peaks.
Table 2 summarizes the entrance and exit times for different anions in FF1 and FF2.

| anion          | Cr(CO)$_5^-$ | Cr(CO)$_4^-$ | Cr(CO)$_3^-$ | Cr(CO)$_2^-$ |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                | entrance     | exit         | entrance     | exit         | entrance     | exit         | entrance     | exit         |
| FF1            | 4            | 18           | 4            | 17           | 4            | 15           | 3            | 14           |
| FF2            | 24           | 44           | 22           | 41           | 20           | 38           | 19           | 35           |

Table 2: Flight time in FF1 and FF2 for different anions (values in µs).
Electron ionization

Figure 3 shows the electron ionization mass spectrum at an electron energy of ca. 70 eV. The recorded mass spectrum is in agreement with previous studies of Junk and Svec [25] and Foffani and co-workers [26]. In both studies, the most intense fragmentation channel turned out to be the formation of the bare metal cation, Cr⁺. In contrast, in the present study we observe Cr⁺ and Cr(CO)⁺ cations as preferred ions. The less intense fragment cations are, in agreement with previous studies, Cr(CO)₂⁺, Cr(CO)₃⁺ and Cr(CO)₄⁺. Cr(CO)₅⁺ (0 ≤ n ≤ 3) is formed by the cleavage of C–O triple bonds. For this series the formation of CrC⁺ is the preferable channel, and Cr(CO)₂C⁺ and Cr(CO)₃C⁺ are less intense ions.

Doubly charged cations are also observed in the present study, though no signal for the doubly charged Cr(CO)₆²⁺ was observable within the detection limit of the present apparatus. Apart from the series Cr(CO)₆⁺ and Cr(CO)₆²⁺ (0 ≤ n ≤ 5), the series CrC(CO)₃⁺ and CrC(CO)₆⁺ (0 ≤ n ≤ 3) series are also observed. The intensity ratio of doubly to singly charged ions was determined from the peak maxima in the mass spectrum. The values are summarized in Table 3. When we compare our results with those from Junk and Svec [25], the doubly/singly charged ratio shows a different tendency. This difference can be attributed to the high mass resolution of the present spectrum, when compared with previous findings. In addition, it should be noted that in [25] no value of the used electron energy is stated. Different electron energies may also explain the different ratios.

| species      | ratio ion²⁺/ion⁺ × 100 | present study | [25] |
|--------------|-------------------------|---------------|------|
| Cr(CO)₆⁺     | —                       | 1.0           |      |
| Cr(CO)₅⁺     | 23.2                    | 1.0           |      |
| Cr(CO)₄⁺     | 72.9                    | 10.0          |      |
| Cr(CO)₃⁺     | 93.1                    | 3.0           |      |
| Cr(CO)₂⁺     | 19.7                    | 13.0          |      |
| Cr(CO)⁺      | 12.6                    | —             |      |
| Cr           | 0.9                     | —             |      |
| CrC(CO)₃⁺    | 77.4                    | —             |      |
| CrC(CO)₂⁺    | 97.9                    | —             |      |
| CrC(CO)⁺     | 51.5                    | —             |      |
| CrC          | 0.3                     | —             |      |

Cr(CO)₅⁺ is also formed by the metastable decay of Cr(CO)₆⁺ when flying through the FF2. No other metastable decays are observed for the parent ion as shown in Figure 4. In this case the metastable ion yield was detected in a scan of the electric field $E$ of the electric sector after the FF2. In a subsequent experiment, collision-induced dissociation (CID) was stimulated by introducing air into a collision cell mounted in the FF2. The collision energy of Cr(CO)₆⁺ is 677.8 ± 2.3 eV in the centre of

![Figure 3: Electron ionization mass spectrum of Cr(CO)₆ obtained at an electron energy of ca. 70 eV.](image)
mass system. The formed cations were subsequently accelerated by 600 V. Figure 4 shows the resulting CID spectrum of Cr(CO)$_6^{5+}$. In CID, a complete loss of CO ligands also occurs, leading to the formation of the bare metal cation, Cr$^+$. Due to the subsequent acceleration of the formed fragments in the cell, CID peaks are slightly shifted to higher electric field values, which is clearly visible for the Cr(CO)$_5^{5+}$ peak.

**Conclusion**

In this work, we have presented a detailed investigation of electron interactions with the FEBID precursor Cr(CO)$_6$. Electron attachment leads to the dissociation of the compound and no molecular parent anion can be detected on mass spectrometric timescales in the present study. The most abundant anions observed in the present study were Cr(CO)$_5^-$ and Cr(CO)$_4^+$. However, we note that at electron energies close to 0 eV the electron current has not reached the regulated value of 10 μA (see section Experimental) and hence the amount of Cr(CO)$_6$ formed close to 0 eV may be underestimated in the present work. One common temporary negative ion state leads to the formation of fragment anions close to 8.5 eV, which is clearly visible for the Cr(CO)$_5^{5+}$ peak.

![Figure 4: Electric sector field scan transmitting the Cr(CO)$_6^{5+}$ precursor ion at the electric field $E_0$ without collision gas (red curve) and with collision gas (black) in the collision cell. The fragment ions formed in the collision cell were subsequently accelerated with 600 V. Without collision gas only the metastable decay of the parent cation into Cr(CO)$_5^{5+}$, in the FF2 was detected; in the collision-induced dissociation spectrum several more peaks appear (see text). The metastable peak is still present in this case. The initial electron energy was ca. 70 eV.](image)

Experimental

Since the used experimental setup was already described in detail elsewhere [27], only a short overview will be given. A double focussing two-sector-field mass spectrometer (VG ZAB2-SEQ) in Nier–Johnson geometry was used. The ion beam was produced in a standard Nier-type ion source. The chromium hexacarbonyl (Cr(CO)$_6$) sample from Sigma-Aldrich with a stated purity of >98% was filled in an external sample container. The sample container was heated to temperatures between 74 °C and 79 °C. The regulation of the electron current to 10 μA set in at an electron energy of about 2 eV. The ions were extracted by a repeller lens out of the interaction region, accelerated by a voltage drop of 6 kV, momentum-selected by the magnetic sector, energy-selected by the electric sector, and detected by a channel electron multiplier (Dr. Sjuts, Germany). Mass scans were taken by varying the magnetic field while the electric field was kept constant at electron energies of ca. 70 eV.

For the study of dissociative electron attachment, the calibration of the incident electron energy scale was done by measuring the SF$_6^-$ and F$^-$ resonances of SF$_6$. The electron energy resolution was approximately 1 eV (FWHM) [27]. Additionally, the experimental setup allowed for the measurement of ion yields from metastable decays and collision-induced dissociation (CID). Between the acceleration region and the magnetic sector the first field-free region (FF1) is located, followed by a second field-free region (FF2) after the magnetic sector. For the observation of metastable decay processes occurring in the FF1 and the FF2 two different measurement techniques were used. As discussed by Cooks et al. [28] and by Ferreira da Silva et al. [29], a metastable decay of a precursor ion (with mass $m_p$) into ionic fragment 1 and neutral fragment 2 (with mass $m_{f1}$ and $m_{f2}$) can be detected in the first field-free region by a variation of the acceleration voltage $V_{f1}$. Since the fragment keeps its velocity while its kinetic energy is altered, the decay product will only pass the magnetic sector field if the acceleration voltage is increased accordingly to

$$V_{f1} = \frac{m_p}{m_{f1}},$$

and the mass transmitted through the magnetic sector is set to

$$m^+ = \frac{m_{f1}^2}{m_p}. $$
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For the detection of a metastable decay in the FF2, the magnetic sector field was fixed at the mass $m_p$ while varying the electric sector field to $E^*$ (for detection of an ion with mass $m_f$):

$$E^* = \frac{m_f}{m_p} E.$$  \hspace{.5cm} (3)

For the CID experiments a collision chamber mounted in the FF2 was used. Ambient air at variable pressures was used as a collision gas to achieve a collision-induced dissociation of the precursor ion \cite{30}. The collision chamber allowed subsequent acceleration of the ions by applying a voltage of 600 V, which facilitated the separation of metastable and CID ion yield.
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