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Abstract

Establishment of foodborne pathogens in agricultural production areas (orchards, fields) has been linked to produce-related outbreaks. The source of contaminants is often undetermined; however, agricultural water could serve as a conduit for the initial and continual contamination of produce. Of particular concern, is the potential persistence of foodborne pathogens in agricultural soils used for crops that come in contact with the ground (i.e., root crops, tree nuts). Previous research has been conducted on the survival and persistence in various soil types; however, definitive and harmonized conclusions of critical soil characteristics have not been established, making it difficult to apply these findings to other soil types. The objective of this study was to compare the relative survival and persistence of generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* in a variety of Oregon’s agricultural soils when irrigated with contaminated water. Adkins, Cullius, Latourell, Madras, Quatama, and Willamette soils were collected from state experiment stations and irrigated with inoculated irrigation water to achieve high (4 log CFU/g) and low (2 log CFU/g) contamination levels of generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella*. Soils were held under ambient conditions in a temperature-controlled greenhouse (10-24 °C) and analyzed for surviving populations for up to 86 days post-inoculation. Soils varied significantly in the rate of reduction and persistence of bacterial contaminants, with Quatama being the most inhibitory and Cullius being the least inhibitory. These findings suggest that soils high in clay, particularly smectite, have the highest potential for supporting the survival and long-term persistence of generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella*.
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Introduction

Approximately 50% of food borne illness outbreaks in the U.S. are linked to produce [1-3]. In an attempt to reduce the illness burden associated with produce, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is implementing rules meant to mitigate contamination along the production and supply chain [4,5]. Within the “Produce Safety Rule”, agricultural water quality, specifically microbiological quality, has been highlighted as an area of on-farm food safety that will require increased monitoring and potential mitigation. The highest risk associated with poor quality water has been attributed to applications in which water comes in direct contact with the harvestable portion of the crop; therefore, this has been the focus during the continued evolution of water quality regulations [4,6]. While contact with water represents the most immediate concern for produce contamination, there is also the potential for poor quality water to serve as a conduit for the long-term establishment of foodborne pathogens in agricultural fields and orchards. This contamination is of particular concern for crops that come into direct contact with soil prior to harvest (i.e., root crops, tree nuts). The 5-year persistence of an outbreak-associated *Salmonella* Enteritidis PT30 in an almond orchard has demonstrated this as a serious risk [7,8].

As fields and orchards are irrigated with contaminated water, potentially harmful microorganisms are distributed into the soil. The persistence of relevant indicators and pathogens is dependent on many factors, including specific hydrological aspects as well as agronomic practices; however, of particular interest is the relative ability of contaminants to survive in various agricultural soil types. Previous studies indicated that foodborne pathogens, such as *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella*, survive and persist with varying ability in different soil types [9-16]. Differences in study design and storage conditions have led to various conclusions about soil characteristics that significantly contribute or predict pathogen survival and persistence.
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Soils vary greatly in their physical and chemical characteristics even within fields that appear uniform; this variation influences the survival and persistence of microorganisms in soil. Oregon grows over 250 crops on a diversity of soils representing over 300 unique soil series of prime farmland [17]. Previous work demonstrated similar decay rates, but significantly different persistence of generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* in two dissimilar agricultural soils sourced from onion fields in Oregon: Semiahmoo muck (irrigation not required-Typic Haplumbrepts) and Owyhee silt loam (irrigation required - Xeric Haplargids) [18]. The complexity and variability of soil systems as well as the lack of harmonized conclusions from these studies make it challenging to predict survival and persistence of contaminating bacteria in soil types or under moisture and temperature conditions differing from those used in the individual studies. To assist the regional agriculture industry in understanding their potential food safety risks and guide further research on mitigation strategies, it would be helpful to demonstrate the survival and persistence of indicators and pathogens in relevant food production soils.

The primary objective of this study was to compare the relative survival and persistence of generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* in a variety of Oregon's agricultural soils when irrigated with contaminated water. Findings from these studies could guide mitigation strategies for fields that have been contaminated by water applications. Six soils were collected from dominant and diverse growing regions in Oregon to determine the relative risk of long-term contamination. The Alfisols soil order is represented by two fine-loamy soil series, Quatama and Latourell, which are in the Aquultic and Ultic Haploxeralfs subgroups, respectively. The Aridisols order is represented by the coarse-loamy Adkins soil series, which is a Xeric Haplargids. The remaining three soils (Willamette, Madras, and Cullius) are members of the Molisols. Willamette is a fine-silty Pachic Ultic Argixerolls, Madras is a fine-loamy Aridc Argixerolls, and Cullius is a clayey Aridc Lithic Argixerolls [19,20]. Additional details on these soil series have been compiled in (Table 1).

### Materials and Methods

#### Greenhouses

Two Oregon State University (OSU) greenhouses (West 6-5 - 700 sq. ft; West 7-6 - 340 sq. ft.) were used for these survival studies. Both greenhouses were constructed of solid concrete floors with steel mesh grid tables (5’ × 15’). Greenhouse temperatures were maintained by thermostat control (High: 24 °C; Low: 10 °C) and temperature values throughout the study were recorded using a weather station (EasyweatherProweather station, Tycon Power Systems, Bluffdale, UT). To assist with the maintenance of temperature, greenhouse windows were covered with polyethylene fabric shade cloth throughout the duration of this study. All greenhouse operations were managed by OSU greenhouse personnel.

#### Soils

Six soil types (225 kg each) were collected from Oregon State University Experiment Stations in diverse growing regions and transported to the Oregon State University greenhouses (Table 1). Soil samples (500 g) were submitted to Edge Analytical Laboratories (Corvallis, OR) for pH and mineral composition analysis. Soils were sifted through wire mesh grid boxes (1.27 cm grid) to reduce clumping and distributed (400g) into plastic sample cups (500 ml; Party City Corporation, Rockaway, NJ). Sample cups (n = 100) were distributed into large grow trays (4’ × 4’; Botanicare, Chandler, AZ) to contain any contaminated runoff. A total of 24 trays were used and each tray was treated as a block for a given treatment (inoculation level and soil type) with the treatments randomized across both greenhouses. Polyvinyl chloride pipe cages with mosquito netting were constructed around each tray to prevent flying insects from accessing contaminated plants and soil.

#### Bacterial strains

Generic *E. coli* strains (TVS 353, TVS 354, and TVS 355) and *Salmonella* (Montevideo LIH-614, Michigan LIH-615, Saintpaul LIH-1262) were used in the inoculation cocktail. Generic *E. coli* strains had been previously isolated by Dr. Trevor Suslow's laboratory (University of California-Davis) from lettuce, irrigation water, and soil from the Salinas Valley and were adapted to be rifampicin-resistant by the Suslow laboratory. *Salmonella* strains were originally isolated from samples associated with foodborne outbreaks and had been previously adapted to be resistant to rifampicin by Dr. Linda Harris's laboratory (University of California-Davis).

#### Inoculum preparation

Stock cultures were stored at -80 °C in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB; Neogen, Lansing, MI) with 40% glycerol. Frozen cultures of each strain were activated by transferring to TSB with incubation at 37 °C for 24 hours. For each strain, 0.1 ml of overnight culture was spread onto each of three Tryptic Soy Agar plates (TSO, Neogen) containing rifampicin (50 mg/L; Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA; TSB + rif) and incubated at 37 °C for 22-26 hours. Bacterial lawns were harvested by adding 3 ml of 0.1% peptone water and scraping with a disposable cell spreader. Cell suspensions for each strain were collected separately and transferred to individual 15 ml sterile conical tubes. The cocktail was prepared by mixing 1 ml of each of the harvested lawns of the six strains into a 15-ml conical tube and held at 4 °C for up to 2 weeks. The stock cocktail solution was enumerated using standard serial dilution and spread plating techniques on Hektoen Enteric agar (Neogen) plates containing rifampicin (50 mg/L; HE + rif) to allow for differential enumeration (generic *E. coli* = yellow colonies; *Salmonella* = black colonies). The cocktail solution was diluted in 0.1% PW as necessary and 6 ml was then added to well water (19 L; private residential well, Philomath, OR) in a polypropylene carboy (U-Line, Pleasant Prairie, WI).

#### Soil inoculation

Inoculated well water (25 ml) was applied to each soil sample (400 g). To normalize inoculation levels, each sample was inoculated twice with two days between applications. Inoculated irrigation water samples (n = 3) were collected after each irrigation event and analyzed using standard serial dilution and spread plating techniques to verify contamination levels. Soils samples were maintained in the greenhouse for...
up to 86 days.

**Microbial analysis**

Soil samples were collected by aseptically pouring the complete soil content from a single cup into a sterile 710 ml Whirl-Pak filter bag (Nasco, Salida, CA). The 400-g sample was combined with 400 ml of 0.1% peptone water and mixed by hand for 20-30 seconds. Serial dilutions were prepared in 0.1% peptone with 0.1-1.0 ml being spread plated onto Hektoen Enteric Agar (Neogen, Lansing, MI) with rifampicin (50 mg/L; Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA; HE + rif). Plates were differentially enumerated for *Salmonella* and generic *E. coli* following incubation at 37 °C for 24 h. Five replicates were used to enumerate the bacterial density every 2 days in the first 20 days after the final contamination event and every 3 days thereafter.

When microbial counts from soil samples fell below the detection limit of 1 CFU/g for standard plating methods, a 96-well Most Probable Number (MPN) method was employed. The soil: peptone water mixture was aliquoted (1 ml) to 96 well deep well plates (VWR International, Radnor, PA). An additional 1ml of Lactose Broth (Neogen) containing rifampicin (50 mg/L; L + rif) was added to each well and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Following incubation, each well was spotted onto HE + rif plates using a 96 well tip comb. HE + rif plates were incubated 25 °C for 48 hours prior to evaluation. The lower incubation temperature was used to minimize colony overgrowth to improve differentiation of *E. coli* and *Salmonella* colonies. Five MPN samples were evaluated every three days. Qualitative positive results for generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* were calculated as MPN/ml using Poisson distribution where $d = -2.303/v \log(s/n)$, $n =$ total # of well, $s =$ # of negative wells, and $v =$ volume per well.

Once microbial counts fell below the detection limit of the MPN method (< 1 MPN/96 g) for two consecutive days, a qualitative total sample enrichment was employed. Ten soil samples (400 g) were collected weekly and enriched in 800 ml of L + rif with incubation at 37 °C for 24 h. Following incubation, enrichments were streaked onto HE + rif plates with subsequent incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Generic *E. coli* presence was confirmed on Eosin Methylen Blue (EMB; Neogen) agar following incubation at 37 °C for 24 h. Soil analyses were discontinued when generic *E. coli* or *Salmonella* were no longer detected in any of the 10 enrichment samples (up to 86 days).

**Results and Discussion**

Surface waters in Oregon that are used for irrigation of produce vary significantly in their microbial quality. For the purposes of this study, we were interested in evaluating the persistence of generic *E. coli* in soils that had been irrigated with water of exceptionally poor microbial quality. There are areas within the state of Oregon that utilize surface water for irrigating root crops with historic *E. coli* levels that occasionally exceed 10,000 MPN/100 ml (3 log CFU/ml) (personal communication). This contamination level was the target for our “low inoculation” water. Generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* concentrations in the low inoculation irrigation water averaged 2.70 ± 0.09 log CFU/ml and 2.76 ± 0.12 log CFU/ml, respectively. To evaluate the survival of microbial contamination in soils under a “worst-case” scenario, our “high inoculation” water was targeted at a 100-fold increase of the “low” level. Generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* concentrations in the high inoculation irrigation water were 4.59 ± 0.06 log CFU/ml and 4.93 ± 0.04 log CFU/ml, respectively. Two applications of con-
contaminated water (2 × 25 ml) to soil (400 g) resulted in generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* levels in high inoculum soil samples of 3.36 ± 0.31 and 3.60 ± 0.21 log CFU/g, respectively. Generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* levels in all low inoculum soil samples on day 0 were 2.09 ± 0.45 and 2.39 ± 0.45 log CFU/g, respectively.

Survival and persistence of high inoculum and low inoculum generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* in different soils are shown in (Figure 1 and Figure 2). All soil types revealed similar initial contamination levels at day 0. Generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* survival patterns were comparable in all soil types at both inoculum levels. This was in good agreement with previous research showing similar survival curves for generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* Typhimurium in diverse agricultural soils [13,21,22]. Both *E. coli* and *Salmonella* populations were stable for a period of days, followed by a period of sharp decline, with a low level of survivors persisting for extended periods of time (≥ 80 days). The same pattern of bacterial survival has been demonstrated previously in a variety of low moisture environments, including agricultural soils [8,10,11,23-26]. Soils inoculated at the higher contamination level harbored low numbers of surviving cells for longer periods of time than soils inoculated at the lower inoculation level. With the exception of Quatama, survivors of *E. coli* and *Salmonella* were detected in at least 20% of soil samples after > 80 days of irrigation with highly contaminated water (Table 2). These results are in agreement with previous reports [8,11].

Individual soils revealed significant differences in their ability to support survival of the inocula. Quatama revealed the most inhibitory effect on generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* with rapid die-off occurring in the first 20 days (Figure 1 and Figure 2) and no survivors being detected at 49 and 69 days at low and high inoculum levels, respectively (Table 2). Generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* persisted only slightly better in Latourell and Willamette soils as compared to Quatama. The enhanced persistence in Latourell and Willamette soils was most clearly demonstrated in soil samples inoculated at high levels where 20-50% of soil samples remained positive for at least one of the inoculated species through the end of the study (84 days post-inoculation; Table 2). The rates of reduction of the inocula in Madras and Adkins soils were significantly lower when compared with those of Quatama, Latourell, and Willamette, taking nearly 40 days to complete the rapid die-off period. Cullius was the most supportive of the survival and persistence of generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella*, taking nearly 60 days to complete the die-off period for highly contaminated soils (Figure 1 and Figure 2). The correlations of specific soil characteristics with the survival and persistence of *E. coli* have been reported previously [9,27-31]. Different factors have been reported to have significant impact on pathogen survival including soil type, including soil texture (clay, silt, sand), soil pH, organic matter, exchangeable bases, nitrogen, temperature (static and variable), solar radiation, soil moisture/water activity, matric potential, oxidation-reduction potential, clay mineral type, microbial biomass carbon (MBC), microbial interactions (predation/competition; relative abundance of Acidobacteria and Chloroflexi), contamination level, and method of contamination [10,15,27,32-34].

The data of physical and chemical properties of agricultural soils from diverse growing regions of Oregon (Table 1) revealed that there are substantial differences in physical and chemical characteristics of these soils such as water availability, organic matter contents, cation exchange capacity, mineral contents, pH, and soil texture (clay%, silt%, and sand%).

![Figure 2: Reduction and persistence of *Salmonella* in different soil types when inoculated with high (4.9 log CFU/ml) and low (2.8 log CFU/ml) levels of *Salmonella* in water. Data points represent the mean (n = 5) with error bars indicating the standard error of the mean. Lines represent the sigmooidal (Gompertz 4P) interpolation of each data set.](image-url)
Table 1: Physical and chemical properties of agricultural soils from diverse growing regions of Oregon.

| Soil order | Alifesols | Aridisols | Mollisols |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Soil Series | Quatama* | Latourell* | Adkins* | Willamette* | Madras* | Cullius* |
| USDA | Aquultic | Ultic | Haploxeralfs | Xeric Haplocalcids | Pachic Ultic Argixerolls | Aridic Argixerolls | Aridic Lithic Argixerolls |
| Taxonomic Subgroup | | | | | | |
| Drainage and Permeability | Well drained; moderate | Well drained; moderate | Well drained; moderately slow | Well drained; moderately slow | Well drained; slow |
| Precipitation and Air | 1150 mm/45 in 12 °C/53°F | 1270 mm/50 in 12 °C/53°F | 203 mm/8 in 11 °C/52°F | 1150 mm/45 in 11 °C/52°F | 254 mm/10 in 9 °C/48°F | 254 mm/10 in 9 °C/48°F |
| Temperature | | | | | | |
| Total Acreage of Soil Series | 21,058 | 15,241 | 93,879 | 38,735 | 75,367 | 19,606 |
| Crops | Berries, row crops, vegetables, orchards, nursery, grains | Vegetables, nursery stock, fruits | Wheat | Fruit and nut trees, berries, vegetables | Irrigated cropland | Irrigated cropland |
| Soil Physical Properties | | | | | | |
| Clay% | 23.1 | 13.5 | 6.0 | 24.6 | 24.3 | 39.1 |
| Silt % | 37.6 | 42.0 | 34.0 | 66.6 | 36.3 | 33.8 |
| Sand % | 39.3 | 44.5 | 60.0 | 8.8 | 39.4 | 27.1 |
| Particle size | Fine-loamy | Fine-loamy | Coarse-loamy | Fine-silty | Fine-loamy | Clayey |
| Available Water Capacity (cm/cm) | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.17 |
| Organic Matter (%) | 4.3 (1.50) | 4.3 (2.50) | 1.8 (0.85) | 3.9 (5.00) | 2.6 (1.50) | 2.6 (1.50) |
| Mineralogy | Mixed | Mixed | Mixed | Mixed | Mixed | Smectitic |
| Hydrologic Soil Group | C | B | B | C | C | D |
| Soil Chemical Properties | | | | | | |
| Cation Exchange Capacity (meq/100 g) | 12.9 | 8.7 | 7.5 | 20.0 | 21.3 | 25.8 |
| pH | 5.0 (5.8) | 5.4 (5.8) | 6.1 (7.2) | 5.1 (6.1) | 6.5 (7.4) | 6.6 (7.4) |
| NO3-N (ppm) | 18 | 26 | 126 | 30 | 21 | 9 |
| P Weak Bray (ppm) | 78 | 89 | 69 | 91 | 35 | 32 |
| NaHCO3-P (ppm) | 93 | 130 | 55 | 125 | 44 | 38 |
| K (ppm) | 205 | 228 | 560 | 289 | 485 | 491 |
| Mg (ppm) | 162 | 129 | 212 | 92 | 587 | 595 |
| Ca (ppm) | 1157 | 1140 | 1050 | 1071 | 1507 | 1509 |
| Na (ppm) | 4 | 3 | 33 | 2 | 37 | 39 |
| SO4-S (ppm) | 10 | 6 | 17 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| Zn (ppm) | 2.9 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Mn (ppm) | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 14 |
| Fe (ppm) | 84 | 75 | 29 | 117 | 49 | 49 |
| Cu (ppm) | 1 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 2 | 2.1 |
| B (ppm) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

*a Soil obtained from North Willamette Research and Extension Center, Aurora, OR.
*b Soil obtained from Hermiston Agricultural Research and Extension Center, Hermiston, OR.
*c Soil obtained from Central Oregon Agricultural Research Center, Madras, OR.
*d Acreage of soil series obtained from the Series Extent Explorer (https://casoilsresource.lawr.ucdavis.edu/see). Adkins soil series is present in OR and WA with estimated OR acreage from web soil survey (https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx). All other series are only present in OR.
\*Clay% is the percentage of mineral soil particles less than 0.002 mm in diameter. Silt% is the percentage of mineral soil particles between 0.002 and 0.05 mm in diameter. Sand% is the percentage of mineral soil particles between 0.05 and 2 mm in diameter.

\*AWC-quantity of water that the soil is capable of storing for use by plants. The capacity for water storage is given in cm of water per cm of soil for each soil layer.

\*pH and organic matter are reported from analytical measurements from Edge Analytical and from the USGS-NRCS database. USGS reference information is presented in parentheses.

### Table 2: Qualitative persistence of generic *E. coli* (E) and *Salmonella* (S) in various Oregon agricultural soils at low (2.0-2.4 log CFU/g) and high (3.3-3.6 log CFU/g) levels of initial contamination.

|                      | Alfisols | Aridisols | Mollisols |        |        |
|----------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|
|                      | Quatama  | Latourell | Adkins    | Willamette | Madras |
|                      |          |          |          |        |        |
| Low Initial Inoculum |          |          |          |        |        |
|                      | day      | E S day  | E S day  | E S day | E S day |
|                      | 6        | 1/5 0/5 | 26 5/5 0/5 | 59 0/5 4/5 | 29 0/5 0/5 | 44 3/10 1/10 | 59 3/5 0/5 |
|                      | 29       | 0/5 0/5 | 29 0/5 0/5 | 62 0/5 0/5 | 32 0/5 1/5 | 51 2/10 2/10 | 62 0/5 0/5 |
|                      | 32       | 0/5 0/5 | 32 0/5 0/5 | 65 0/5 0/5 | 35 0/5 0/5 | 58 1/10 1/10 | 65 0/5 0/5 |
|                      | 35       | 0/10 1/10 | 35 0/10 2/10 | 68 1/10 8/10 | 38 0/5 0/5 | 65 1/10 2/10 | 68 1/10 1/10 |
|                      | 42       | 0/10 1/10 | 42 1/10 1/10 | 75 2/10 8/10 | 41 0/10 1/10 | 72 2/10 1/10 | 75 3/10 3/10 |
|                      | 49       | 0/10 1/10 | 49 0/10 0/10 | 82 2/10 10/10 | 48 0/10 0/10 | 79 3/10 1/10 | 82 4/10 1/10 |
| High Initial Inoculum |          |          |          |        |        |
|                      | day      | E S day  | E S day  | E S day | E S day |
|                      | 38       | 0/5 0/5 | 53 0/5 0/5 | 71 0/5 1/5 | 65 2/5 1/5 | 62 2/5 1/5 | 71 2/5 3/5 |
|                      | 41       | 5/10 6/10 | 56 4/10 9/10 | 74 2/5 3/5 | 68 2/5 0/5 | 65 1/5 0/5 | 74 1/5 1/5 |
|                      | 48       | 3/10 5/10 | 63 1/10 3/10 | 77 2/5 5/5 | 71 0/5 0/5 | 68 0/5 0/5 | 77 2/5 2/5 |
|                      | 55       | 0/10 3/10 | 70 1/10 3/10 | 80 3/5 5/5 | 74 0/5 0/5 | 71 0/5 0/5 | 80 1/5 1/5 |
|                      | 62       | 2/10 2/10 | 78 0/10 2/10 | 83 4/5 5/5 | 77 0/10 4/10 | 74 10/10 4/10 | 83 2/5 1/5 |
|                      | 69       | 0/10 0/10 | 84 2/10 2/10 | 86 3/5 5/5 | 84 3/10 5/10 | 81 5/10 8/10 | 86 5/5 1/5 |

*Results with a denominator of 5 indicate samples on respective day were analyzed using Most Probable Number methodology. Numerator indicates number of samples analyzed that were positive for either generic *E. coli* or *Salmonella*.

*Results with a denominator of 10 indicate samples on respective day were analyzed for the qualitative presence or absence of generic *E. coli* or *Salmonella* by enrichment methodology. Numerator indicates the number of samples analyzed that were positive for either generic *E. coli* or *Salmonella*.

The differences in these characteristics may explain the differences in survival and persistence of generic *E. coli* and *Salmonella* among different tested soils. These data (Table 1) indicate no difference in available water between Quatama (the most inhibiting soil) and Cullius (the most supportive soil), therefore, the water content does not play a significant factor that affect the persistence of the pathogens. This was in disagreement with numerous researchers who suggested soil moisture as a factor that influences the survival of *E. coli* and other bacteria in soil systems [21,27,32,35-37]. The moisture in soil systems is a dynamic, dependent variable and it is greatly influenced by experimental design and environmental conditions; therefore, it cannot be considered as a main factor that affect bacterial persistence in the soil. Moreover, studies provided information related to soil moisture content used a wide variety of terms (percent moisture, percent water-holding capacity, soil moisture tension, degree of saturation) and often leave out critical descriptive elements to allow for the reader to compare between studies [27]. Several authors have attempted to evaluate bacterial survival in soil systems using designs that maintain moisture (closed/sealed systems); however, results from these systems were the least practical for translating results to agricultural settings. Others have controlled the moisture by adjusting the initial moisture level of the soil to achieve a relative field capacity across all soils and they revealed differing conclusions about the impact of initial moisture on bacterial survival [8,32]. In the current study, the chosen approach was similar to that of Fremaux, et al. [15] and applied the same water: soil ratio across all tested soils and they revealed differing conclusions about the impact of initial moisture on bacterial survival [8,32]. In the current study, the chosen approach was similar to that of Fremaux, et al. [15] and applied the same water: soil ratio across all soil types to evaluate the bacterial survival as a function of the soils’ innate characteristics. Throughout the course of the current study, soil samples dried as a function of temperature and humidity of the greenhouse environment. The study was not designed to correct for starting moisture of the soil sam-
Soil texture has been recognized as an important factor that can influence the survival of pathogens in soils. The data in (Table 1) revealed that Quatama (the most inhibiting soil) contains a higher percentage of sand; however, Cullius (the most supportive soil) contains a higher percentage of clay. Sandy soils contain a predominance of non-cohesive particles which leads to a poor retention of nutrients and a lower water holding capacity. These characteristics have been attributed to shorter periods of survival for enteric pathogens in sandy soils [10,12]. However, other studies have demonstrated increased survival and long-term persistence in sandier soils suggesting that moisture status plays an insignificant role when evaluating the potential for long-term persistence of E. coli in dry soil systems [11,15,16,33].

However, clay content of soils has been correlated with increased survival of enteric pathogens in several studies [12,15,29,38-41]. Clay is the smallest particle size fraction (＜0.002 mm) providing a large amount of surface area; therefore, soils high in clay may favor the adsorption of bacteria to particles and create a physical barrier (pore size) against microbial predators and parasites [39]. Supplementation of a sandy brown soil with three clay minerals (montmorillonite/smectite, kaolinite, and illite) was shown to improve the survival of Listeria monocytogenes, E. coli O157:H7, and Salmonella Dublin [40]. Brennan, et al. [40] observed that each clay mineral had a unique impact on the cation exchange capacity and surface area of the soil and the survival of pathogens was improved with the addition of all clay minerals; however, montmorillonite revealed the greatest increase and kaolinite revealed the least improvement in survival of pathogens. These studies demonstrate the impact of distinct clay minerals on bacterial survival in soils; however, soils contain a mixture of clay minerals that are often not well defined. NRCS classifies soils based on predominant clay mineral component using x-ray diffraction [42]; however, many soils are classified as “mixed” which provides little opportunity to evaluate the relative clay mineral composition of these soils. Of the soils investigated in this study, Cullius had the highest composition of clay (39.1%) and was classified as smectitic mineralogy. All remaining soils were < 25% clay and classified as having mixed mineralogy. These classifications of Cullius support the significantly improved survival of generic E. coli and Salmonella compared to the other Oregon soils investigated in this study.

One of the most important factors that likely influence the survival and persistence of pathogens is the pH of the soil. Quatama has the lowest pH value (5.1, acidic), which may explain the most inhibitory effect of this soil type, whereas Cullius has the highest pH value (6.6, nearly neutral), which explain the most supportive effect of this soil type. It has been observed previously that E. coli survives longer in soils at neutral to slightly alkaline pH (6.0-8.5) compared to acidic soils [9,26,27,37,43,44]. The survival of E. coli O157:H7 was evaluated in 14 soil types from eastern China and the survival of the pathogen was superior in slightly alkaline soils (pH 8.4-8.6) and poor in highly acidic soils (pH 4.2-4.7) [26].

The pH of environmental systems has an inherent impact on the stress of bacterial contaminants and affect the bacterial adsorption characteristics of clay minerals and other associated soil chemistry [36,41,45].

Other components of the soil, such as organic matter and mineral contents, which may affect the survival of the pathogens are presented in (Table 1). Although Quatama has higher organic matter contents in comparison to other soil types, it revealed the most inhibitory effect. However, Cullius has the lowest organic matter contents and it revealed the most supportive effect to the pathogens. Quatama contains a higher amount of NO_3-N and lower mineral content, meanwhile, Cullius has lower NO_3-N and higher mineral content. These components may have significant impacts on the electrochemistry of the soil system which will influence the moisture retention of the soil, adsorption of bacteria to the soil, and proximity of bacteria to chemical stresses (production of reactive oxygen species) [39,41]. Application of biological soil amendments provides an additional source for contamination; however, the addition of “safe” biological soil amendments will likely increase the survival of enteric pathogens in agricultural soils. Persistence of enteric bacteria has been demonstrated in soil systems with low levels of E. coli O157:H7 and E. coli O26 being detected beyond 270 days post-inoculation in various manure-amended soils [15,33].

Conclusion

From the current study, it can be concluded that the survival and persistence of generic E. coli and Salmonella were affected by the soil type. Quatama revealed the most inhibitory effect; however, Cullius revealed the most supportive effect on the survival and persistence of these microorganisms. The physical and chemical properties of soils such as water availability, soil texture, cation exchange capacity, pH, organic matter and mineral contents are important factors which may affect the survival and persistence of microorganisms in different soils. This study demonstrated the long-term risk posed by the survival of foodborne pathogens introduced to soil by contaminated irrigation water. The results of this study may help produce growers in identifying mitigation strategies for reducing pathogens in soil and preventing contamination of edible crops that may be in direct contact with soil, particularly those soils that are likely to support survival and persistence of enteric pathogens.
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