Posterior Fixation Can Further Improve the Segmental Alignment of Lumbar Degenerative Spondylolisthesis with Oblique Lumbar Interbody Fusion
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Abstract

**Background:** For patients with degenerative spondylolisthesis, whether additional posterior fixation can further improve segmental alignment is unknown, compared with stand-alone cage insertion in oblique lumbar interbody fusion (OLIF) procedure. The aim of this study was to compare changes of the radiographical segmental alignment following stand-alone cage insertion and additional posterior fixation in the same procedure setting of OLIF for patients with degenerative spondylolisthesis.

**Methods:** A retrospective observational study. Selected consecutive patients with degenerative spondylolisthesis underwent OLIF procedure from July 2017 to August 2019. Three radiographic parameters of disc height (DH), slip ratio and segmental lordosis (SL) were measured on preoperative CT scans and intraoperative fluoroscopic images. Comparisons of those radiographic parameters prior to cage insertion, following cage insertion and following posterior fixation were performed.

**Results:** A total of thirty-three patients including six males and twenty-seven females, with an average age of 66.9±8.7 years, were reviewed. Totally thirty-six slipped levels were assessed with thirty levels at L4/5, four at L3/4 and two at L2/3. Intraoperatively, with only anterior cage support, DH was increased from 8.2±1.6mm to 11.8±1.7mm (p<0.001), the slip ratio was reduced from 11.1±4.6% to 8.3±4.4% (p=0.020) with the slip reduction ratio 25.6±32.3%, and SL was slightly changed from 8.7±3.7° to 8.3±3.0° (p=1.000). Following posterior fixation, the DH was unchanged (from 11.8±1.7mm to 11.8±2.3mm, p=1.000), the slip ratio was reduced from 8.3±4.4% to 2.1±3.6 % (p<0.001) with the slip reduction ratio 57.9±43.9%, and the SL was increased from 8.3±3.0° to 10.7±3.6° (p=0.008).

**Conclusions:** Compared with stand-alone cage insertion, additional posterior fixation provides better segmental alignment improvement in terms of slip reduction and segmental lordosis in OLIF procedures in the treatment of lumbar degenerative spondylolisthesis.

**Background**

Lumbar degenerative spondylolisthesis is the anterior slip of one vertebral body on another in the presence of an intact neural arch[1] with underlying pathologies of segmental instability, anterior slip and spinal stenosis[2, 3]. Neural decompression and segmental stabilization are aims of surgical treatment, thus traditional surgical techniques involve direct neural decompression and instrumented fusion. As a recently developed minimally invasive technique for degenerative lumbar disease[4, 5], oblique lumbar interbody fusion (OLIF) can provide both direct and indirect neural decompression and segmental fusion, and gains the popularity in surgical treatment of degenerative spondylolisthesis.

Inserting a large cage into the intervertebral space, OLIF procedure can enlarge the canal and achieve indirect neural decompression by decreasing disc bulge and folds of ligamentum flavum[6]. Two techniques of OLIF procedure for degenerative spondylolisthesis were described in the literature, cage insertion with or without posterior fixation (stand-alone technique). Shortening operation duration without the cost of posterior instrumentation, stand-alone technique for selected patients is favored by some
surgeons [7, 8]. However, the cage subsidence, migration and relatively high reoperation rate were reported [9, 10]. The additional posterior fixation can provide more stability during the course of bony fusion without the presence of complications of stand-alone technique[9].

Another advantage of posterior fixation lies on segmental alignment improvement. For mild to moderate slip degree of spondylolisthesis, inserting cage alone can partially reduce the slip due to tightening the surrounding ligamentous structures, thus improve the segmental alignment which may be further improved by additional posterior fixation with reduction maneuver and rod compression. To the authors’ knowledge, whether additional posterior fixation can further improve segmental alignment than stand-alone technique is unknown in the literature. The aim of this study is to assess the changes of segmental alignment following stand-alone cage insertion and additional posterior fixation in the same procedure setting for degenerative spondylolisthesis.

**Material And Methods**

**Patients population**

Patients who had degenerative spondylolisthesis from L1 to L4 and received OLIF procedure with posterior pedicle screw fixation from July 2017 to August 2019 in the authors’ hospital were consecutively reviewed. Surgical indications for those patients were symptomatic radiculopathy or neurological claudication that were unresponsive to at least 3 months conservative treatments. Persistent mechanical low back pain and signs indicating segmental instability together with hypermobility suggested by flexion-extension lateral radiographs were confirmed before surgeries. Patients with isthmic spondylolisthesis, high grade spondylolisthesis (larger than Meyerding Grade 2 spondylolisthesis), degenerative scoliosis (Cobb angle > 30°) and L5 degenerative spondylolisthesis were excluded.

Selected patients underwent OLIF procedure with percutaneous pedicle screw fixation for indirect decompression. Indications for indirect decompression include: intermittent neurological symptoms can be resolved by lying down and rest; <Grade 4 facet joint osteoarthritis[11]; no bony lateral recess stenosis; no non-contained disc.

A total of 33 patients including 6 males and 27 females, with an average age of 66.9 ± 8.7 years, were selected in this study. 21 patients underwent one-level fusion, 9 two-level fusion and 3 multi-level (≥ three levels) fusion. Totally 36 slipped levels were assessed in this study. Preoperative slip ratio was 11.1 ± 4.6% (Range: 3.5–23.0%) on average. 11 patients received indirect decompression and percutaneous pedicle screw fixation. The detailed patient characteristics were shown in Table 1. These selected patients have short-term follow-up (postoperative 3 months) with intact pain and disability scores. The study was approved by the ethical committee of the authors’ hospital.
Table 1
Patient characteristics

| No. of patients | 33 |
|-----------------|----|
| Age (years)     | 66.9 ± 8.7 |
| Female: Male    | 27:6 |
| Fusion levels   |     |
| one-level fusion| 20 |
| two-level fusion| 10 |
| multi-level fusion (≥ 3 levels fusion) | 3 |

| Slipped level |     |
|---------------|----|
| L2/3          | 2  |
| L3/4          | 4  |
| L4/5          | 30 |
| Preoperative slip ratio (%) | 11.1 ± 4.6 |
| Indirect decompression with percutaneous pedicle screw fixation | 11 |

Radiographic parameter measures

Three radiographic parameters including disc height (DH), slip ratio and segmental lordosis (SL) were used to assess the segmental alignment of index level which were measured on the lateral views of fluoroscopic images that were obtained prior to cage insertion, following cage insertion and following posterior fixation. Taking L4/5 degenerative spondylolisthesis for example, the definition and measurement methods for radiographic parameters of interest are delineated as follows and illustrated on Fig. 1.

- **Disc height (DH):** Due to the inconsistency of fluoroscopic magnification, disc height cannot be measured directly on fluoroscopic images. The length of posterior wall of L4 vertebral body on the midsagittal cut of preoperative CT scans were chosen as a reference distance, R mm. A perpendicular line was drawn from the midpoint of superior endplate of L5 on the lateral fluoroscopic view. The distance between midpoint of L5 superior endplate and intersection point of this perpendicular line and L4 inferior endplate was measured as a mm. The length of posterior wall of L4 vertebral body was measured and recorded as b mm on the lateral fluoroscopic view. DH was defined as \( R \times a/b \) mm.

- **Slip ratio:** A perpendicular line was drawn from the posteroinferior point of L4 vertebral body to the L5 superior endplate and the distance of from the intersection point to posterosuperior point of L5
vertebral body was measured and recorded as c mm on the lateral fluoroscopic view. The slip ratio was defined as the ratio of c to the length of L5 superior endplate.

- Slip reduction ratio: defined as the change of slip ratio following cage insertion or posterior fixation divided by slip ratio prior to cage insertion.
- Segmental lordosis (SL): defined as angulation between the parallel lines of L4 superior endplate and L5 inferior endplate on the lateral fluoroscopic view.

Preoperative CT scans and intraoperative fluoroscopic images were transferred into Carestream PACS (Version 11.0) and OsiriX Lite (Version 10.0.5) respectively. To ensure reliability of measurement, two observers received training of measurement on software workstation and the inter-observer reliability were assessed by interclass correlation coefficient (ICC). The ICC value were greater than 0.75 which indicated good reliability of measurement, and the average value of two observations was calculated for statistical analysis.

**OLIF procedure**

After general anesthesia, the patient was placed in right decubitus position. The center of intervertebral disc of the index level was identified under fluoroscopy and marked on skin. A skin incision was made 4–10 cm anterior to the center of index intervertebral disc. The musculature of abdominal wall was bluntly divided along the muscle bers until the retroperitoneal space was reached. The psoas major and abdominal aorta were palpated and bluntly dissected through the interval between them by the surgeon’s fingers. The tip of guiding wire was placed at the intervertebral space and confirmed under fluoroscopy. Afterwards, a serial of dilators was placed and the final pathway was established. The disc was incised and removed, followed by endplate preparations, implant trialing and grafting. Appropriate size of cage with 6 degrees of lordosis (Clydesdale Spinal System, Medtronic) was chosen and inserted into proper position which was confirmed under fluoroscopy.

Percutaneous pedicle screw fixation was performed in selected patients who met the criteria for indirect decompression. If direct decompression was planned, posterior midline dissection and exposure was performed, followed by pedicle screw fixation and partial laminectomy. Reduction maneuvers by screw-rod construct were attempted for all cases. Following rod bending and tightening the caudal screw nuts, the cranial screw nuts were gradually tightened during reduction maneuver.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Version 23.0 (IBM Corp, Chicago, Illinois). Categorical data were presented as numbers and/or ratio, while numerical data as mean and standard deviation. One-way ANOVA was used to compare the radiographic parameters prior to cage insertion, following cage insertion and following reduction maneuver. Multiple comparisons were performed for radiographic parameters prior to cage insertion and following cage insertion, as well as following cage insertion and following posterior fixation if one-way ANOVA result was statistically significant. Student paired t-test was used to compare the preoperative and postoperative pain and disability scores to assess the clinical
improvement. Statistical significance level was defined as $P < 0.05$ on the basis of two-sided hypothesis test.

**Results**

Intraoperatively, with only anterior cage support, DH was increased from $8.2 \pm 1.6$ mm to $11.8 \pm 1.7$ mm ($p < 0.001$), the slip ratio was reduced from $11.1 \pm 4.6\%$ to $8.3 \pm 4.4\%$ ($p = 0.020$) with the slip reduction ratio $25.6 \pm 32.3\%$, and SL was unchanged (from $8.7 \pm 3.7\degree$ to $8.3 \pm 3.0\degree$, $p = 1.000$).

Following posterior fixation, the DH was unchanged (from $11.8 \pm 1.7$ mm to $11.8 \pm 2.3$ mm, $p = 1.000$), the slip ratio was reduced from $8.3 \pm 4.4\%$ to $2.1 \pm 3.6\%$ ($p < 0.001$) with the slip reduction ratio $57.9 \pm 43.9\%$, and the SL was increased from $8.3 \pm 3.0\degree$ to $10.7 \pm 3.6\degree$ ($p = 0.008$). Radiographic parameters at different stages of OLIF procedures were shown in Table 2 and Fig. 2. A case example was shown in Fig. 3.

| Table 2 | Radiographic parameters of segmental alignment at different stages of OLIF procedures. |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Prior to cage insertion | Following cage insertion | Following posterior fixation | $P$ Value | Comparison prior to Cage insertion and following cage insertion ($P$ value) | Comparison following cage insertion and following posterior fixation ($P$ value) |
| Disc height (mm) | $8.2 \pm 1.6$ | $11.8 \pm 1.7$ | $11.8 \pm 2.3$ | $< 0.001^*$ | $< 0.001^*$ | $1.000$ |
| Slip ratio (%)  | $11.1 \pm 4.6$ | $8.3 \pm 4.4$ | $2.1 \pm 3.6$ | $< 0.001^*$ | $0.020^*$ | $< 0.001^*$ |
| Segmental lordosis (°) | $8.7 \pm 3.7$ | $8.3 \pm 3.0$ | $10.7 \pm 3.6$ | $0.006^*$ | $1.000$ | $0.008^*$ |

*Means statistically significant

Preoperative Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) for back pain was $4.8 \pm 3.45$, VAS for leg pain $6.1 \pm 1.6$, Japanese Orthopaedic Association (JOA) score $15.9 \pm 6.1$ and Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) $47.9 \pm 20.1\%$. Postoperative VAS for back pain was $1.6 \pm 1.5$, VAS for leg pain $1.3 \pm 1.5$, JOA score $22.6 \pm 5.3$ and ODI $23.8 \pm 17.6\%$. All the differences between preoperative and postoperative VAS, JOA score and ODI were shown statistically significant. The treatment effects at 3-month follow-up were $3.3$ (95% confidential interval [CI], 1.5-5.0) for VAS of back pain, $4.9$ (95% CI, 3.7-6.0) for VAS of leg pain, $6.7$ (95% CI, 4.3–9.1) for JOA score and $24.1$% (95% CI, 11.8–36.4% for ODI.

**Discussion**
In patients with degenerative spondylolisthesis, anterior displacement of inferior articular processes and osteophyte formation at superior articular process lead to lateral recess stenosis, while the step at the disc and thickening of ligamentum flavum cause central canal stenosis[3]. Using mini-open oblique lateral approach, OLIF allows for large cage insertion which can result in reduction of disc bulging, the elongation of ligamentum flavum and thus enlarging lumbar spinal canal[6, 12, 13]. That's why indirect neural decompression could be achieved through this method.

The slip reduced by OLIF

The degree of slip negatively correlates with canal size and patients’ quality of life preoperatively[14, 15]. Although attempt for complete reduction of slip was not necessary in direct decompression procedure in terms of improving patient-reported outcomes[16], complete reduction of slip and restoring the normal anatomy of this segment can increase the canal size. Sato et al[13] compared axial canal diameter, sagittal canal diameter and spinal canal cross-sectional area before and after OLIF procedures with posterior fixation for degenerative spondylolisthesis. Slip ratio was reduced from 14% preoperatively to 5% postoperatively and all those parameters of canal size were increased with slip reduction. As a result, reducing slip as much as possible can decompress the nerve impingement to the greatest extent, particularly necessary for indirect decompression in OLIF procedures.

Inserting large-size cage raises the disc height, hence stretches the ligamentous structures around the slipped level and reduces the slip. In this study, following cage insertion, disc height was improved from 8.2 mm to 11.8 mm, while the slip ratio was improved from 11.1–8.3% and slip reduction ratio was 25.6% on average, which meant one quarter of slip were reduced by stand-alone cage insertion. These findings supported the mechanism of slip reduction by cage insertion alone. However, residual slip of 8.3% limits the stand-alone technique in terms of capacity of indirect nerve decompression.

In this study, following posterior fixation, the slip was further reduced to 2.1%, slip reduction ratio was 57.9% on average, which meant greater than half of slip reduction was achieved by posterior fixation. Therefore, additional posterior fixation and reduction maneuver could reduce the slip to larger extent than stand-alone technique could.

Segmental lordosis improved by OLIF

Segmental lordosis can be improved by insertion of cage with lordotic angle design[17]. The magnitude of improvement correlates with preoperative segmental lordosis and anteroposterior position of cage[18]. This current study, however didn't show increase the segmental lordosis by cage insertion alone (8.7°to 8.3°). The likely cause was that the large preoperative segmental lordosis (8.7°) limited the capacity of anterior realignment due to tightness of anterior longitudinal ligament. Additional posterior fixation shortened the posterior column and further increased the segmental lordosis (10.7°) in this study, which indicated that posterior fixation could further improve segmental lordosis even if anterior realignment reached its limit.

Stand-alone versus additional posterior fixation
Stand-alone cage insertion of OLIF procedure without posterior fixation is advocated by some surgeons[7, 8]. Several clinical results favoring standalone technique were reported in the literature[7, 19], and those favorable outcomes depend on inserting a large cage which can both achieve indirect decompression effect and provide instant stability by axial loading[6]. However, some drawbacks of stand-alone technique were shown during follow-ups. Cage subsidence and subsequent loss of correction may occur without posterior fixation[8]. The effect of indirect decompression was also decreased during follow-up in some patients undergoing stand-alone techniques[10]. A recent meta-analysis showed the reoperation rate and occurrence of cage migration was higher for standalone technique[9].

OLIF with posterior fixation can enhance the segmental stability, decrease the rate of cage subsidence and migration, and maintain the instant indirect decompression effect by cage insertion[9]. Additionally, as this study revealed, posterior fixation for patients with degenerative spondylolisthesis can further reduce the slip that maximizes the effect of indirect decompression, together with improvement of segmental lordosis. Therefore, OLIF with additional posterior fixation was recommended for patients with degenerative spondylolisthesis.

Limitations

Although this study allows to demonstrate the changes of segmental alignment within the same procedure setting, this retrospective observational study has some limitations. Firstly, all the slip were Grade I spondylolisthesis (slip ratio: 3.5–23%) with most slipped levels located at the L4/5 level, even if the inclusion criteria included Grade I and II slips, which may constrain drawing conclusion for Grade II slip or other segments. Secondly, additional fixation did improve the segmental alignment together with favorable symptoms and disability improvements in short-term, however, whether the improved segmental alignment or the superiority of posterior fixation can be maintained is still uncertain in long-term.

Conclusions

Stand-alone cage insertion did have some degree of slip reduction and restoration of disc height. However, compared with stand-alone cage insertion, additional posterior fixation provides better segmental alignment improvement in terms of slip reduction and segmental lordosis in OLIF procedures in the treatment of lumbar degenerative spondylolisthesis.
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Figures
Figure 1

The methods of measurement for Disc Height (DH), slip ratio and Segmental Lordosis (SL). Ia. The length of posterior wall of L4 vertebral body were chosen as a reference, R mm. Ib. Draw a perpendicular line from the midpoint of superior endplate of L5. The distance between midpoint of L5 superior endplate and intersection point of this perpendicular line and L4 inferior endplate was measured as a mm. Measure the length of posterior wall of L4 vertebral body as b mm. DH was R × a/b mm. II. draw a perpendicular line from the posteroinferior point of L4 vertebral body to the L5 superior endplate and measure the distance.
of intersection point and posterosuperior point of L5 vertebral body as c mm. The slip ratio defined as the ratio of c to the length of L5 superior endplate. III. angulation between the lines of L4 superior endplate and L5 inferior endplate.

**Figure 2**

Changes of radiographic parameter (DH, SL and slip ratio) at different stages of OLIF procedures. The values were expressed as means and 95% confidential interval.

**Figure 3**

A case example of Meyerding I spondylolisthesis. a. Prior to cage insertion. Disc height (DH) 6.7mm; Slip ratio 20.1%; Segmental lordosis(SL) 17.4°b. Following cage insertion. DH 8.4mm; Slip ratio 24.7%; SL 26.2°c. Following posterior fixation. DH 8.4mm; Slip ratio 6.5%; SL 33°(Yellow bars indicate posterior margin of vertebral body)