Effects of urea supplementation on the nutritional quality and microbial community of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa L.*) silage
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Abstract
The objectives of this study were to evaluate the contribution of urea on the nutritional quality and microbial community of ensiled alfalfa (*Medicago sativa L*.). Alfalfa silage was control group without urea (AL), supplementation with 0.5% urea (AU1), or supplementation with 1% urea (AU2). The silage tanks were opened and sampled after silage at 0, 15, 30, and 60 d. Results showed that AU2 had higher pH, ratio of (ammonia–N)/(total nitrogen) (NH₃–N/TN) and crude protein (CP) content than those in AL and AU1, while AU1 had higher acid detergent fiber (ADF) than that in AL and AU2 after 15 d silage. Richness and diversity indices of microbial communities in silage were no significant differences among AL, AU1 and AU2 group. *Proteobacteria* (58.23%) and *Firmicutes* (40.95%) were the predominant phylum in three groups during the silage process. The percent of community abundances on genera level of *Enterobacteriaceae* (37.61%) and *Klebsiella* (41.78%) in AL were a little higher than those in AU1 (30.39%, 25.02%) and AU2 (33.48%, 26.92%). These results showed that silage with urea alone could not improve the quality of alfalfa.

Keywords Urea · *Medicago sativa L.* · Nutrient composition · Microbial diversity

Introduction
Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa L*.), which plays a very important role in agriculture, animal husbandry, and ecological construction, is an excellent perennial legume forage because of its extensive ecological adaptability, high nutrition value, palatability, and biological nitrogen fixation (Wang et al. 2016). Owing to its high protein content, it provides excellent pasture for ruminants and, universally, it is utilized in the form of green alfalfa feed for grazing or as hay or pellets (Putnam et al. 2014). However, alfalfa green hay is seasonal, so silage has become an effective means of utilization of alfalfa. However, it is very difficult to produce high quality alfalfa silage because of its low water-soluble carbohydrates and high buffering capacity.

In practice, silage additives are usually added to improve the fermentation quality of alfalfa silage. At present, the commonly used silage additives include chemicals, enzymes, lactic acid bacteria (LAB), non-LAB species (Muck et al. 2018), essential oils and vanillin (Stamilla et al. 2020), etc. Adequate addition of lactic acid bacteria can ensure the number of lactic acid bacteria needed in the initial stage of silage fermentation and promote lactic acid fermentation. Urea is commonly used as a feed additive to improve the quantity of nitrogen content and ruminant digestibility (Yunus et al. 2000). During silage, proper addition of urea can improve the crude protein content, nutritional value and preserve the soluble carbohydrates in silage materials. To date, little information is available on the single application of urea in forage silage, and whether urea have positive effects on promoting the fermentation quality of alfalfa silage is not clear.

Thus, the objective of this study was to investigate the effects of urea supplementation on the nutritional quality and microbial community of alfalfa silage.
Materials and methods

Harvesting and silage

Alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) from the Hunan Province, China, was harvested at the initial flowering stage, leaving stubble of 5 cm with a forage harvester. The harvested alfalfa was chopped to about 2.0 cm in length using a straw chopper after it was wilted until dry matter (DM) to 65% on the plot. After wilting, alfalfa was silage with/without urea in a special plastic storage tank (plastic drums of 5 dm³ each, diameter 17.5 cm, and height 28.3 cm). Urea was prepared on the day of silage, applied in powder at 0% (AL), 0.5% (AU1) and 1% (AU2) of the fresh weight, respectively. Before being packed into the tanks, urea was spread on the chopped forage on the floor and thoroughly mixed. Approximately 2 kg of chopped fresh alfalfa was immediately packed into a special plastic storage tank, compacted, sealed with plastic wraps (internally and externally), and stored at 20–25 °C until sampled. There were 36 silage tanks in each treatment.

Chemical analysis

After silage for 0, 15, 30, and 60 d, the silage tanks were opened and samples were taken for chemical analysis with three replicates for each treatment. In total, 36 samples were collected.

Silage samples (20 g each) were diluted with 180 mL of distilled water, homogenized for 1 min in an Erlenmeyer flask to obtain silage alfalfa extracts, filtered through two layers of cheesecloth, and immediately analyzed for pH using a digital pH meter (Model PH S210-Basic, METTLER TOLEDO Solutions, Shanghai Precision & Scientific Instrument Co., LTD, China).

An aliquot of the silage alfalfa extract was centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C (Weatherburn 1967). Taking 15 μL supernatant added to 300 μL phenol-Nitpuna solutions in a 1.5 mL centrifuge tube, mix well, then add 300 μL base sodium hypochlorite solutions to mix well again and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The supernatant was analyzed for ammonia–N (NH₃–N) using a microplate reader (TACAN, Switzerland) set at 625 nm. The percentage of crude fiber (CF), neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), in the silage were analyzed according to the methods of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) (William and George 2007). The concentrated sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) and concentrated hydrochloric acid (HCl) were used for sample digestion and acid–base titration, respectively. They were produced by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd (China).

Total deoxyribonucleic acid extraction, polymerase chain reaction amplification and 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing

Total DNA was extracted using the E.Z.N.A. ®Stool DNA Kit (D4015, Omega, Inc., Norcross, GA, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. After DNA isolation, the purity and concentration of genomic DNA were measured with a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop 1000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and its integrity was verified by agarose (0.8%) gel electrophoresis. After being eluted in Elution buffer, the total DNA was stored at – 80 °C until measurement in the polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Polymerase chain reaction amplification was performed in a total volume of 25 μL of reaction mixture containing 25 ng of template DNA, 12.5 μL PCR Premix, 2.5 μL of each primer, and PCR-grade water to adjust the volume. The V3–V4 region of the bacteria 16S rDNA gene was amplified with primers 338F (5'-ACT CCT ACG GGA GGC AGC AG-3') and 806R (5'-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') by thermocycler PCR system (GeneAmp 9700, ABI Inc., Edison, NJ, USA). The PCR conditions for the amplification of the prokaryotic 16S fragments consisted of an initial denaturation at 98 °C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 10 s for denaturation at 98 °C, 30 s for annealing at 54 °C, 45 s for extension at 72 °C; and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were confirmed through 2% agarose gel electrophoresis. Then, the PCR products were extracted from the agarose gel, purified by AMPure XT beads (Beckman Coulter Genomics, Danvers, MA, United States), and quantified by Qubit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The amplicon pools were used for sequencing. The size of amplicon library was assessed on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA), while the number was evaluated using the Library Quantification for Illumina (Kapa Biosciences, Woburn, MA, USA). Finally, the Phix Control library (V3, Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) was unified with the amplicon library (expected at 30%).

Sequence processing and bioinformatics analysis

The amplicon sequences were conducted using an Illumina MiSeq platform according to the manufacturer’s recommendations, provided by LC-Bio (LC-Bio, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China). All paired-end reads were merged using FLASH.
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(Version 2.1.11, Magoč and Salzberg 2011). Quality filtering on the raw tags was performed according to the FQTRIM (V 0.94) to obtain the high-quality clean tags. Low-quality sequences were filtered out using Vsearch software (v2.3.4, Rognes et al. 2016). The same operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were assigned by Vsearch (v2.3.4), with ≥ 97% sequence similarity. The Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) classifier was applied to perform sequence-level taxonomic assignment. Alpha diversity was applied in analyzing the complexity of species diversity for a sample through five indices, including Chaol1, observed species, Good’s coverage, Shannon, and Simpson’s diversity indices, and these were calculated in our samples using QIIME (Version 1.8.0). Beta diversity analysis was used to evaluate the differences in the samples in terms of species complexity. Beta diversity was calculated by principal coordinate’s analysis (PCoA) and cluster analysis using the QIIME software (Version 1.8.0).

Statistical analysis

The experiment was a completely randomized design with three treatments, three replicates, and four-timepoints per treatment. The model included the treatment (T) effect, silage time (ST) effect, and the treatment × silage time (T × ST) reaction effect. The nutrient data were analyzed by the general linear model (GLM) procedure of SAS (version 8.0; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA), and the means were separated. Tukey–Kramer multiple comparison test was used for the different sample means. Differences among means were considered significant at P < 0.05 and extremely significant at P < 0.01, whereas 0.05 ≤ P < 0.1 was considered as a tendency of significant difference. The SAS and R (v3.0.3) software were used for analyzing the 16S rDNA gene data. Continuous variables such as number of reads, the relative abundance of bacteria, and species richness and diversity were analyzed using the GLM procedure of SAS. After statistical comparison of the taxa, Benjamini–Hochberg correction was used to control the false discovery rate by the package “p.adjust” in R; P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Effects of urea on the nutritional quality of alfalfa silage

The nutritional qualities of alfalfa before and after silage are shown in Table 1. The addition of urea to alfalfa led to a higher silage pH compared with that of AL. T and ST significantly affected the pH value. As urea concentration increased (from 0 to 1%) in the same ST, the pH value of silage alfalfa increased gradually (P < 0.001). However, with the prolongation of ST (d 0 to d 60) in the same T, the pH value decreased significantly to some extent (P < 0.001). Meanwhile, the observed T × ST had no significant effect on the pH value. The T and ST did not affect (P > 0.05) DM, and no interactions between T × ST were observed for DM. After silage started, CP of alfalfa silage decreased significantly (P < 0.001) with the increase of urea content. With the extension of ST in the same group, CP significantly decreased (P < 0.001). Significant interaction of T × ST was observed for CP content (P < 0.001). The effect of urea was curvilinear, with a decrease (P > 0.05) on CF in AU1 and AU2 groups. ST significantly influenced CF (P < 0.01), but there were no regular changes with the ST extension. The ST significantly affected NDF (P < 0.01) in AL, except for those of AU1 and AU2 groups. The T and interaction of T × ST had no significant effect on NDF. Higher ADF contents on average were observed in the alfalfa silage of AU1 and AU2 groups as compared to that of AL group (P < 0.01). With the increase of ST, ADF contents decreased linearly and significantly (P < 0.001). The T × ST on had no significant effect on ADF. The NH₃–N/TN ratio in AU2 group was significantly higher than that in AL group (P < 0.001). At the beginning of silage, the NH₃–N/TN ratios of silage in all groups were higher (P < 0.001) than those in the other ST. With the increase of urea addition, the ratio of NH₃–N/TN in silage increased linearly and significantly (P < 0.001). The effects of ST on the ratio of NH₃–N/TN were significantly positive (P < 0.001), except on the first day of silage. There was a significant effect in the interaction of T × ST on the NH₃–N/TN ratio (P < 0.001).

Alpha and beta diversity analysis in the alfalfa silage

This study used high throughput analyses targeting variable regions 3 and 4 of 16S rDNA to detect the bacterial diversity of alfalfa silage. There were three treatments and four timepoints per treatment. After removing unqualified sequences, the valid sequences in the three groups were 12,287, 33,216, and 33,350 on d 0; 16,949, 27,089, and 30,804 on d 15; 16,056, 35,886, and 29,050 on d 30; and 16,949, 27,089, and 33,216, respectively (BioProject ID: PRJNA743588). In the valid high-quality sequences, the sequences between 400 and 500 bp, 300–400 bp, and 200–300 bp were 94.63%, 3.85%, and 1.07%, respectively, and the other sequences had less than 200 bp (Fig. 1). The Good’s coverage for all the samples was more than 97%, which meant that the sequencing data was reliable (Fig. 2), and these reads were clustered into 622 (7464) OTUs based on a 97% sequence identity (equal to the species level). According to the taxonomy analysis, there were 11 phyla,
Table 1  Effects of urea on the nutritional quality of the alfalfa silage

| Nutrient content | Treatments¹ | Mean | SEM | Silage time (d) | SEM² | p value³ |
|------------------|-------------|------|-----|-----------------|------|----------|
|                  |             |      |     |                 | T    | ST       | T×ST |
| pH               | AL          | 5.62b| 0.153| 6.12a           | 5.52b| 5.45bc   | 5.37c| 0.114 <0.001 <0.001 0.450 |
|                  | AU1         | 6.71ab| 7.57a| 6.66b           | 6.32b| 6.28b    |
|                  | AU2         | 7.62a| 8.10a| 7.65b           | 7.58b| 7.16c    |
| DM (%)           | AL          | 29.42| 0.700| 29.58           | 30.17| 27.26    | 30.69| 0.675 0.522 0.059 0.932 |
|                  | AU1         | 29.41| 28.63| 30.43           | 27.99| 30.58    |
|                  | AU2         | 28.66| 28.79| 28.41           | 27.64| 29.78    |
| CP (%)           | AL          | 17.19a| 0.212| 18.01a           | 17.46a| 16.99ab  | 16.31b| 0.201 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 |
|                  | AU1         | 16.57b| 17.58a| 16.49b           | 13.99d| 15.21c   |
|                  | AU2         | 15.82a| 17.45a| 17.19a           | 16.61a| 15.03b   |
| CF (%)           | AL          | 27.41| 0.703| 26.27b           | 27.17b| 29.40ab  | 26.82b| 0.579 0.074 0.002 0.056 |
|                  | AU1         | 28.86| 30.99a| 28.80ab          | 29.83a| 25.82b   |
|                  | AU2         | 28.26| 27.30b| 30.00a           | 30.27a| 25.49b   |
| NDF (%)          | AL          | 43.44| 0.818| 46.01a           | 40.41b| 42.79ab  | 44.55ab| 0.741 0.278 0.001 0.163 |
|                  | AU1         | 44.37| 48.11| 41.02           | 43.92| 44.41    |
|                  | AU2         | 44.44| 46.28| 44.51           | 44.02| 42.94    |
| ADF (%)          | AL          | 35.42b| 0.669| 39.85a           | 36.73a| 33.18b   | 31.92b| 1.339 0.006 <0.001 0.810 |
|                  | AU1         | 38.19a| 43.65a| 40.00a           | 35.67b| 33.42b   |
|                  | AU2         | 38.18a| 42.87a| 39.48b           | 37.26b| 33.10a   |
| NH₃–N/TN (%)     | AL          | 18.02b| 0.777| 9.25b            | 11.24b| 11.93b   | 39.63a| 0.522 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 |
|                  | AU1         | 22.49ab| 13.13b| 17.33b           | 17.57b| 41.93a   |
|                  | AU2         | 29.88a| 17.12b| 27.10b           | 25.02b| 41.72a   |

¹Nutrient content
²AL, control; AU1, control + 0.5% urea; AU2, control + 1% urea
³SEM standard error of means
⁴T treatments, ST silage time

Fig. 1  Percentage of valid sequences
19 classes, 36 orders, 80 families, 165 genera, and 332 species in total.

Alpha diversity and beta diversity characterized the dynamics of the full microbiome. The richness and diversity indices of microbial communities in alfalfa silage were evaluated based on their alpha diversity (Table 2). AU1 and AU2 groups had higher OTU levels than that of AL group ($P < 0.01$). In AU1 and AU2 groups, $T \times ST$ significantly affected OTU level ($P < 0.05$). The $T$ and $ST$ did not affect ($P > 0.05$) Chao1 index, but $T \times ST$ had significant effect on AU1 and AU2 groups ($P < 0.05$). No significant effects were observed on the Observed species, Shannon and Simpson’s diversity indices ($P > 0.05$).

Beta diversity analysis of microbiota in silage was visualized using a principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) plot based on UniFrac (unweighted) distances (Fig. 3). The result of PCoA revealed compositional differences in the bacterial community of 20 samples. These showed the bacterial community structures of samples from all silage were gathered relatively. Silage samples of AU1_15 and AU1_60 were
separated from the AL and AU2 samples, which suggested that proper addition of urea had an impact on microbial community. However, distinctions among bacterial communities in all silage were not very clear.

Microbial community analysis

The main microbial communities in silage are shown in Fig. 4. Figure 4A represents the microbial community composition of silage in 11 phyla. Proteobacteria (58.23%) was the most predominant phylum and Firmicutes (40.95%) was the second most dominant phylum in the silage process. With the extension of ST, the relative abundance of Proteobacteria in AL, AU1 and AU2 group increased to 67.63%, 79.16%, and 73.48%, respectively. However, the relative abundance of Firmicutes in AL, AU1 and AU2 group decreased to 31.28%, 20.18%, and 26.09% for all silages, respectively.

To further understand the effect of urea supplementation on microbial community, the relative abundance of bacterial community on genus level is exhibited in Fig. 4B. During silage, the average dominant bacteria were Enterobacteriaceae (33.83%), Klebsiella (31.24%), and Weissella (10.81%) in all groups. As ST lengthened, the relative abundance of these bacteria showed irregular changes in all groups. The average relative abundances of Enterobacteriaceae (37.61%) and Klebsiella (41.78%) in the AL group were a little higher than those in AU1 (30.39%, 25.02%) and AU2 (33.48%, 26.92%) group.

Discussions

Nutritional quality of alfalfa silage

Research showed that the lower pH value, the less ammonia–N content, the easier the silage, the better quality of silage (Mcniry et al. 2007). In general, when pH value was higher than 4.2, it was a detrimental factor aerobic stability and long-term storage for silage (McDonald et al. 1991). Under these experimental conditions, silages were inferior. Similar to the findings of (Wanapat et al. 2013), urea, as an additive to silage made from tropical forages, decreased fermentation quality by increasing silage pH value. Alfalfa has high protein content, but a low soluble carbohydrate and DM content (Santos et al. 2016); thus, it is hard to produce sufficient lactic acid to lower the pH value. The urea addition did not increase the contents of those two substances, so the pH value of silage alfalfa in the present study was very high (Table 1). The ratio of NH₃–N/TN reflects the degree of protein and amino acid decomposition in silage. The higher ratio indicates more protein breakdown and poor silage quality. In this experiment, the ratio of NH₃–N/TN increased significantly with the increase in urea addition and extension of ST. There were consistent with the results of some researchers (Yunus et al. 2001), which showed that addition urea in silage could significantly increase pH value and ratio of
volatile basic nitrogen (VBN)/TN of silages without supplementation of other silage additives. Researchers found that adding urea to sorghum silage could significantly increase the content of CP and lactic acid and improve the application value of sorghum silage (Wu et al. 2007). This was because the use of urea additives could make up for the shortage of nitrogen (N) in sorghum silage.

To evaluate the nutritional quality of alfalfa silage, several conventional nutritional indices were tested in this study (Table 1). Liu et al (2010) reported that adding urea could increase the DM content of silage. Researches (Moosa et al. 2012; Zhao et al. 2016) showed that urea supplementation could reduce NDF and ADF in silage and increase CP. This study indicated that T, ST, and T × ST did not affect the DM content of alfalfa silage; meanwhile, all factors had significant negative effects on CP. ADF is negatively correlated with animal’s digestibility, which means the lower ADF, the higher digestibility and the greater feeding value of forage (Santos and Kung et al. 2016). Our results showed that ST significantly influenced CF and ADF, but the influences were irregular. Numerically, the CF and NDF contents of alfalfa silage in AU1 and AU2 groups were higher than those in AL group, but the differences were not significant among groups. With the increase of urea addition and ST, ADF increased significantly. These results were different from those of the other researchers (Martins et al. 2015; Zhao et al. 2016), who found that adding urea in forage could significantly decrease NDF and ADF. This may be due to different silage materials.

Wanapat et al (2013) found that CP of whole crop rice silage increased with urea supplementation increasing. Our results showed that NH3–N/TN ratio in silage gradually increased with the increase of urea addition, and the ratio in AU2 group was significantly higher than that of AL group. This result was consistent with that the higher NH3–N/TN ratio was, the lower CP was, and the worse silage quality.
was. The present results were contrary to those of Wanapat et al (2013), which may be due to the different amount of urea and raw materials.

**Microbial quality evaluation of alfalfa silage**

From the results, the average Good’s coverage for all samples was 98%, which indicated that the depth of sequencing was adequate for reliable analysis of the bacterial community. During silage process, changes of alfalfa silage environment were reflected by the slight decrease in the Chao1 index due to the formation of the acidic and anaerobic microenvironment. The microbial diversities and richness of AU1 and AU2 groups were higher than those of AL group because of urea addition. After urea addition, microorganisms could use these non-proteins N to synthesize bacterial proteins, which increase microbial reproduction, resulting in microbial diversity increased. This was consistent with the results of some researchers (Mendez-Garcia et al. 2015), who found that low pH was the main factor underlying limited microbial diversity.

Normally, ensiling is a microbial based and anaerobic fermentation process dominated by lactic acid bacteria (LAB), which converts water soluble carbohydrates (WSC) into organic acids, mainly lactic acid, resulting in the decline of pH and inhibition of undesirable microorganisms (Dunière et al. 2013; Eikmeyer et al. 2013). Ni et al (2017) found that the microbial community in terminal silage could not be predicted by that of fresh material, because large numbers of epiphytic non-LAB bacteria species in pre-ensiled were suppressed or inactivated in the following silage process. From our results, AU1 and AU2 groups had similar microbial communities, which differed from those of AL group. This indicated that the addition of urea could markedly affect the bacterial community composition of alfalfa silage regardless of supplemental level. The variation in microbial community might be an important indicator for efficient fermentation of alfalfa silage after adding urea.

Pang et al (2011) reported that most of bacterial community in silage belonged to the phylum Firmicutes and the genera Lactobacillus, Pedicoccus, and Weissella. This study results showed that the dominant phyla in the measured samples were Proteobacteria and Firmicutes. With the increase of urea addition and the extension of ST, the number of Proteobacteria decreased relatively, while that of Firmicutes increased relatively, conforming to the findings of Keshri et al (2018) and Lin et al (2021). The relative abundance of Firmicutes in AU1 and AU2 groups at 15th day (43.64% and 44.97%, respectively) were greater ($P < 0.05$) than that of AL (5.24%) group; however, Proteobacteria in the alfalfa silage of AU1 and AU2 (55.11% and 54.63%) groups, respectively, were lower than that of AL (93.14%) group. Though this change was not obvious on day 30 and 60 of silage, the relative abundance of Firmicutes in AU1 and AU2 groups were higher, and Proteobacteria in AU1 and AU2 groups were kept lower compared to that of AL group. This result was similar with that of McGarvey et al (2013), who found a shift in the bacterial community from Proteobacteria to Firmicutes, with the relative abundance of Proteobacteria reduced from 89.6% to 26.9%, whereas that of Firmicutes increased from 8.1% to 70.6% after 40 d silage. Allen et al (2009) found that the abundance of the dominant phyla was greater and that of microbial community was less. Similar to the results of other researchers (Allen et al. 2009; McGarvey et al. 2013), urea addition led to a higher abundance of Proteobacteria and Firmicutes in silage, which resulted in the relatively simple microbial diversity.

From the current results, the prevalent genera (Fig. 4B) in all silages were Enterobacteriaceae, Klebsiella, and Weissella. This result was different from that of other researchers (Pang et al. 2011; Ni et al. 2018), who found that most bacteria involved in lactic acid fermentation of silage belonged to genera Lactobacillus, Pedicoccus, Weissella, and Leuconostoc. Usually, low levels of Lactobacillus have been found (Keshri et al. 2018), while Pediococcus grows vigorously and initiate lactic acid fermentation at the early stage of ensiling, thereby stimulating the dominance of Lactobacillus species, lastly Lactobacillus become more active and grow flourishingly as the pH drops. However, in the present study, the relative abundance of Enterobacteriaceae and Klebsiella decreased with the urea addition increase. The relative abundance of Weissella, Lactobacillus, and Pediococcus increased in AU1 and AU2 groups. Researchers (Lin et al. 1992) found that P. pentosaceus was the dominant LAB at the early stage of fermentation, while Lactobacillus dominated within the community after 7 d of silage. In general, after anaerobic fermentation, the complex microbial communities of the raw materials are gradually replaced by LAB, which is one of the criteria for successful silage (McDonald et al. 1991). Therefore, the microbial diversity would sharply reduce after successful fermentation. In our study, although microbial diversity decreased with silage, it did not decrease with the urea addition increase. The higher relative abundance of Enterobacteriaceae and Klebsiella and the lower relative abundance of Pediococcus and Lactobacillus may be relative to the postponed formation of acidic environment. Klebsiella has been detected in many silage studies (Hu et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2020), who belongs to the Enterobacteriaceae family and will compete for substrates with LAB, resulting in a high acetic acid content (Santos et al. 2016). Since the WSC content in the feedstock gradually decreases, the growth of Lactobacillus stalls, which might be another reason for the multiplication of Klebsiella. This result suggests that ensiling alfalfa may have an insufficient pH decrease due to their low WSC content, resulting in the production of harmful bacteria. These...
results were consistent with the pH value (Table 1), which might explain the unsuccessful silage in the present study.

Conclusions

This study has shown that, after adding urea to alfalfa silage, the pH value, CF content, and DM loss of silage did not decrease, while the CP content, abundance and diversity of beneficial bacteria did not increase with the increase of urea addition and silage time. These results indicate silage with urea alone does not improve alfalfa quality.
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