Density function for the second coefficient of the Hilbert–Kunz function on projective toric varieties
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Abstract
We prove that, analogous to the Hilbert–Kunz density function, (used for studying the Hilbert–Kunz multiplicity, the leading coefficient of the Hilbert–Kunz function), there exists a $\beta$-density function $g_{R,m} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $(R, m)$ is the homogeneous coordinate ring associated with the toric pair $(X, D)$, such that

$$\int_0^\infty g_{R,m}(x) \, dx = \beta(R, m),$$

where $\beta(R, m)$ is the second coefficient of the Hilbert–Kunz function for $(R, m)$, as constructed by Huneke–McDermott–Monsky. Moreover, we prove, (1) the function $g_{R,m} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is compactly supported and is continuous except at finitely many points, (2) the function $g_{R,m}$ is multiplicative for the Segre products with the expression involving the first two coefficients of the Hilbert polynomials of the rings involved. Here we also prove and use a result (which is a refined version of a result by Henk–Linke) on the boundedness of the coefficients of rational Ehrhart quasi-polynomials of convex rational polytopes.
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1 Introduction

Let $R$ be a Noetherian ring of dimension $d$ and prime characteristic $p$, and let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal such that $\ell(R/I) < \infty$. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module. Then the Hilbert–Kunz function of $M$ with respect to $I$ is defined by

$$HK(M, I)(n) = \ell(M/I^{[q^n]}M)$$

where $q = p^n$, the ideal $I^{[q^n]} = n$th Frobenius power of $I$, and $\ell(M/I^{[q^n]}M)$ denotes the length of the $R$-module $M/I^{[q^n]}M$. The limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ell(M/I^{[q^n]}M)}{q^d}$$

exists (see [14]) and is called the Hilbert–Kunz multiplicity of $M$ with respect to the ideal $I$ (denoted by $e_{HK}(M, I)$). Thus $HK(M, I)(n) = e_{HK}(M, I)q^d + O(q^{d-1})$. The Hilbert–Kunz multiplicity has been studied by many people since then.

In 2004, Huneke–McDermott–Monsky ([9]) proved the existence of a second coefficient for the Hilbert–Kunz function:

**Theorem 1** (Theorem 1 of [9]) Let $R$ be an excellent normal Noetherian ring of dimension $d$ and characteristic $p$ and let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal such that $\ell(R/I) < \infty$. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module. Then there exists a real number $\beta(M, I)$ such that

$$HK(M, I)(n) = e_{HK}(M, I)q^d + \beta(M, I)q^{d-1} + O(q^{d-2}).$$

They also found a relation with the divisor class group. This invariant was further studied by Kurano [10], and he proved there that $\beta(M, I) = 0$ if $R$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein ring and $M$ is a Noetherian $R$-module of finite projective dimension. Later the above theorem of Huneke–McDermott–Monsky was generalised from normal rings to the rings satisfying $(R_1)$ condition by Chan–Kurano in [2] (also independently by Hochster–Yao in [8]). Later Bruns–Gubeladze in [1] have proved that HK function is a quasipolynomial and gave another proof of the existence of the constant second coefficient $\beta(R, m)$ for a normal affine monoid.

In order to study $e_{HK}(R, I)$, when $R$ is a standard graded ring ($\dim R \geq 2$) and $I$ is a homogeneous ideal of finite colength, the second author (in [18]) has defined the notion of Hilbert–Kunz density function, and obtained its relation with the HK multiplicity (stated in this paper as Theorem 5): The HK density function is a compactly supported continuous function $f_{R,I} : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$e_{HK}(R, I) = \int_0^\infty f_{R,I}(x)dx.$$  

Moreover, there exists a sequence of functions $\{f_n(R, I) : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}_n$ given by

$$f_n(R, I)(x) = \frac{1}{q^{d-1}}\ell(R/I^{[q^n]})_{\lfloor xq \rfloor}$$
such that \( f_n \) converges uniformly to \( f_{R, I} \).

The existence of a uniformly converging sequence makes the density function a more refined invariant (compared to \( e_{HK} \)) in the graded situation, and a useful tool, e.g., in suggesting a simpler approach to the HK multiplicity in characteristic 0 (see [20]), in studying the asymptotic growth of \( e_{HK}(R, m^k) \) as \( k \to \infty \) (see [19]). Applying the theory of HK density functions to projective toric varieties (denoted here as toric pairs \((X, D)\)), one obtains (Theorem 6.3 of [13]) an algebraic characterisation of the tiling property of the associated polytopes \( P_D \) (in the ambient lattice) in terms of such asymptotic behaviour of \( e_{HK} \).

In the light of Theorem 5, one can speculate whether there exists a similar ‘\( \beta \)-density function’ \( g_{R, m} : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[
\int_0^\infty g_{R, m}(x) \, dx = \beta(R, I),
\]

which may similarly refine the \( \beta \)-invariant of [9] in the graded case.

We find that this is indeed true for a projectively normal toric pair \((X, D)\), i.e., \( X \) a projectively normal toric variety over an algebraically closed field \( K \) of characteristic \( p > 0 \), with a very ample \( T \)-Cartier divisor \( D \). Let \( R \) be the homogeneous coordinate ring of \( X \), with respect to the embedding given by the very ample line bundle \( \mathcal{O}_X(D) \), and let \( m \) be the homogeneous maximal ideal of \( R \). We also use the notation \( r\text{Vol}_d \) to denote the \( d \)-dimensional relative volume function (see Definition 47).

We construct such a \( \beta \)-density function \( g_{R, m} \) as a limit of a ‘uniformly’ converging sequence of functions \( \{g_n : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \), which are given by

\[
g_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{q^{d-2}} \left( \ell(R/m^{[q]}|_{\{\lambda q\}} - \tilde{f}_n(\lambda) q^{d-1}) \right),
\]

where \( \tilde{f}_n(\lambda) = f_{R, m}(\{\lambda q\}/q) \) and \( f_{R, m} \) denotes the HK density function for \((R, m)\). From the construction, it follows that \( g_n \) is a compactly supported function.

Recall that given a toric pair \((X, D)\), of dimension \( d - 1 \), there is a convex lattice polytope \( P_D \), a convex polyhedral cone \( C_D \) and a bounded body \( P_D \) as in Notations 4 (such a bounded body was introduced by Eto (see [5]), in order to study the HK multiplicity for a toric ring, and he proved there that \( e_{HK} \) is the relative volume of such a body). In [13], it was shown that the HK density function at \( \lambda \) is the relative volume of the \( \{z = \lambda\} \) slice of \( P_D \). Here we prove that \( \beta \)-density function at \( \lambda \) is expressible in terms of the relative volume of the \( \{z = \lambda\} \) slice of the boundary, \( \partial(P_D) \), of \( P_D \).

In this paper, the following is the main result.

**Theorem 2** (Main Theorem) Let \((R, m)\) be the homogeneous coordinate ring of dimension \( d \geq 3 \), associated to the toric pair \((X, D)\). Then there exists a finite set \( v(P_D) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) such that, for any compact set \( V \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \setminus v(P_D) \), the sequence \( \{g_n|_V\}_n \) converges uniformly to \( g_{R, m}|_V \), where \( g_{R, m} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \setminus v(P_D) \to \mathbb{R} \) is a continuous function given by

\[
g_{R, m}(\lambda) = r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(\partial(P_D) \cap \partial(C_D) \cap \{z = \lambda\}) - \frac{r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(\partial(P_D) \cap \{z = \lambda\})}{2}.
\]
Moreover, for \( q = p^n \), we have
\[
\int_0^\infty g_n(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_0^\infty g_{R,m}(\lambda) d\lambda + O\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty \tilde{f}_n(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_0^\infty f_{R,m}(\lambda) d\lambda + O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right).
\]

As a consequence, we get the following

**Corollary 3** With the notations as above for a projectively normal toric pair \((X, D)\), we have
\[
\beta(R, m) = \int_0^\infty g_{R,m}(\lambda) d\lambda = \text{rVol}_{d-1}(\partial(P_D) \cap \partial(C_D)) - \frac{\text{rVol}_{d-1}(\partial(P_D))}{2}
\]
and the Hilbert–Kunz function of \( R \) with respect to the maximal ideal \( m \) is given by
\[
\text{HK}(R, m)(q) = e_{HK}(R, m)q^d + \beta(R, m)q^{d-1} + O(q^{d-2}).
\]

Note that we can write
\[
g_n(\lambda) = \#(qP_D \cap \{z = \lfloor \lambda q \rfloor\})/q^{d-2} - (q) f_{R,m}(\lfloor \lambda q \rfloor)/q,
\]
where \# denotes the number of lattice points.

We show in Sect. 3 that \( P_D = (\bigcup_j P_j) \setminus (\bigcup_j E_j) \), where \( P_j \) and \( E_j \) are certain rational convex polytopes with proper intersections. Then by applying the theory of Ehrhart quasi-polynomials and exhibiting that (in the case of a toric pair), the second coefficients of relevant Ehrhart quasi-polynomials are constant, we deduce that for \( x \in S = \{m/p^n \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \setminus \nu(P_D) \), the sequence \( \{g_n(x)\}_n \) is convergent and converges pointwise to \( g_{R,m} \). However, we still know neither the existence of \( \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x) \), for every \( x \in [0, \infty) \) (or for all \( x \) except at finite number of points), nor that this limit is a continuous function. On the other hand, for \( \lambda_n := \lfloor \lambda q \rfloor / q \in S \), we have
\[
g_n(\lambda) = g_{R,m}(\lambda_n) + \tilde{c}(\lambda_n)/q,
\]
where \( \tilde{c}(\lambda_n) \) involves coefficients of Ehrhart quasi-polynomials of facets of \( P_j \cap \{z = \lambda_n\} \). Therefore, to achieve a ‘uniform convergence’, we needed to prove the following:

**Theorem 30** For a rational convex polytope \( P \), where \( P_\lambda := P \cap \{z = \lambda\} \) and its Ehrhart quasi-polynomial is given by
\[
i(P_\lambda, q) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim(P)} C_i(P_\lambda, q)q^i, \quad \text{if} \ \lambda q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},
\]
there exist constant \( \tilde{c}_i(P) \) such that every \( |C_i(P_\lambda, q)| \leq \tilde{c}_i(P) \), for all \( q \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) and \( q = p^n \).
We prove the result using the theory of lattice points in non-negative rational Minkowski sums. In fact we prove a general result about convex rational polytopes: Recall that, for rational convex polytopes $P_1, P_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\dim (P_1 + P_2) = d$, a well-known result of McMullen implies that the function $Q(P_1, P_2; -) : \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, given by $Q(P_1, P_2; r) = \#((rP_1 + rP_2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$ is a quasi-polynomial of degree $d$ (called the rational Ehrhart quasi-polynomial), i.e., we have

$$Q(P_1, P_2, ; r) = \sum_{(l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2, l_1 + l_2 \leq d} p_{l_1, l_2}(r) r_1^{l_1} r_2^{l_2}$$

such that (1) for some $(r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^2$ we have that $p_{l_1, l_2}(r_1, r_2) = p_{l_1, l_2}(r_1 + r_2 + t_1, r_2 + t_2)$, for every $r = (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^2$ and (2) $p_{l_1, d-l_1}(r)$ is independent of $r$.

Here we prove:

**Theorem 28** There exists a decomposition $(0, \tau_1] \times (0, \tau_2] = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n W_i$, where $W_i$ are locally closed subsets of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2$ and, for each $(l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$, there exists a set of polynomials $\{ f_{l_1, l_2}^i : W_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \}$, such that

$$p_{l_1, l_2}(r) = f_{l_1, l_2}^i(r), \text{ for every } r \in W_i \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^2.$$ 

In particular, for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^2$, there exist constants $C_{l_1, l_2}$ such that

$$|p_{l_1, l_2}(r)| \leq C_{l_1, l_2}, \text{ and } p_{l_1, d-l_1}(r) = C_{l_1, d-l_1}.$$

The proof of Theorem 28 is a refinement of the proof of Theorem 1.3 of Henk–Linke ([7]), where they have proved (in our context) that the coefficients $p_{l_1, l_2}(-, -)$ are polynomials on the interior of the 2-cells in $\mathbb{R}^2$, induced by the hyperplane arrangement (given by the support functions of $P_1$ and $P_2$). Since such cells do not cover $\mathbb{R}^2$ (or $(0, \tau_1] \times (0, \tau_2]$), and the complement contains line segments, the boundedness of the coefficients $p_{l_1, l_2}(-, -)$ cannot be directly obtained from the result of [7].

Note that their result was proved for Minkowski sums of any finite number of polytopes, which can also be easily refined using similar methods (see Remark 29). The similar result for rational Ehrhart quasi-polynomial for a single polytope was in an earlier paper of Linke (see Theorem 1.2, Corollary 1.5 and Theorem 1.6 of [11]).

Now the uniform convergence of the sequence $\{ g_{n | V} \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to $g_V$, for any compact set $V$ of $[0, \infty) \setminus v(\mathcal{P}_D)$ is straightforward. Using the fact that the HK density function $f_{R, m}$ is compactly supported, continuous, and (in this toric case) is a piecewise polynomial function, we deduce that

$$\int_0^\infty \tilde{f}_n(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_0^\infty f_{R, m}(\lambda) d\lambda + O(1/q^2).$$

This and the similar approximation of the integral of the function $g_{R, m}$ by the integrals of the functions $g_n$, as in the result stated above, implies that $\int g_{R, m}(\lambda) d\lambda$ is the second coefficient of the HK function of $(R, m)$.
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The paper is arranged as follows.

In Sect. 2, we recall notations and known results about projective toric varieties, including a brief review of the density function, treated in detail in [13].

In Sect. 3, we deal with the results about facets of the compact body $\overline{P}_D$, for a toric pair $(X, D)$. Section 4 is an independent section on rational convex polytopes. Here we study the coefficients of the (multivariate) rational Ehrhart quasi-polynomial and prove they take only finitely many polynomial values. Now, for a polytope $P$ and $P_\lambda = P \cap \{ z = \lambda \}$, we relate the coefficients of the quasi-polynomial $i(P_\lambda, n)$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\lambda n \in \mathbb{Z}$, with the coefficients of a fixed rational Ehrhart quasi-polynomial of Minkowski sum of two polytopes. In particular, we get a uniform bound on the coefficients of such $i(P_\lambda, n)$, which is important for the proof in Sect. 5.

In Sect. 5 we present the main result about the $\beta$-density function.

In Sect. 6 we prove that the $\beta$-density function is a multiplicative function for Segre products of toric pairs. Here we also compute the $\beta$-density function on projective toric varieties, and follow the notations from [6]. Let $X$ and the induced embedding of $U^{(\Delta_1)}$ into $X$ be the torus with character lattice $M = \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the dual lattice with a dual pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Let $T = \text{Spec}(K[M])$ be the torus with character lattice $M$. Let $X(\Delta)$ be a complete toric variety over $K$ with fan $\Delta \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$. We recall that the $T$-stable irreducible subvarieties of codimension 1 of $X(\Delta)$ correspond to one-dimensional cones (which are edges/rays of $\Delta$) of $\Delta$. If $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_t$ denote the edges of the fan $\Delta$, then these divisors are the orbit closures $D_i = V(\tau_i)$. Let $v_i$ be the first lattice point along the edge $\tau_i$. A very ample $T$-Cartier divisor $D = \sum_i a_i D_i$ (note that $a_i$ are integers) determines a convex lattice polytope in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$P_D = \{ u \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle u, v_i \rangle \geq -a_i \text{ for all } i \}$$

and the induced embedding of $X(\Delta)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is given by

$$\phi = \phi_D : X(\Delta) \to \mathbb{P}^{r-1}, \ x \mapsto (\chi^{u_1}(x) : \cdots : \chi^{u_r}(x)),$$

where $P_D \cap M = \{ u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r \}$. For $(X(\Delta), D)$ and $P_D$ as above, consider the cone $\sigma$ in $N \times \mathbb{Z}$ whose dual $\sigma^\vee$ is the cone over $P_D \times 1$ in $M \times \mathbb{Z}$. Then the affine variety $U_\sigma$ corresponding to the cone $\sigma$ is the affine cone of $X(\Delta)$ in $A^K_{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore, the homogeneous coordinate ring of $X(\Delta)$ (with respect to this embedding) is $K[\chi^{(u_1, 1)}, \ldots, \chi^{(u_r, 1)}]$. Note that there is an isomorphism of graded rings (see Proposition 1.1.9, [3]).
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where the kernel $I$ is generated by the binomials of the form

$$Y_1^{a_1}Y_2^{a_2}\cdots Y_r^{a_r} - Y_1^{b_1}Y_2^{b_2}\cdots Y_r^{b_r}$$

where $a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1, \ldots, b_r$ are non-negative integers satisfying the equations

$$a_1u_1 + \cdots + a_r u_r = b_1u_1 + \cdots + b_r u_r \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 + \cdots + a_r = b_1 + \cdots + b_r.$$

Note that due to this isomorphism, we can consider $R = K[S]$ as a standard graded ring, where $\deg \chi^{(u_i, 1)} = 1$.

Throughout this section, we use the following

**Notations 4**

1. A toric pair $(X, D)$ means $X$ is a projective toric variety over a field $K$ of characteristic $p > 0$, with a very ample $T$-Cartier divisor $D$. A toric pair is projectively normal if its coordinate ring (with respect to the embedding given by $D$) is integrally closed domain.

2. The polytope $P_D$ or $P_{X, D}$ is the lattice polytope associated with the given toric pair $(X, D)$ (as in (2)).

3. $f_{R, m} = \text{HKd}(R, m)$ is the HK density function of $R$ with respect to the ideal $m$, where $R$ is the associated graded ring with the graded maximal ideal $m$ (as in (3)).

4. Let $C_D$ denote the convex rational polyhedral cone spanned by $P_D \times 1$ in $M_\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

5. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_D = \{ p \in C_D \mid p \notin (u, 1) + C_D, \text{ for every } u \in P_D \cap M \}.$$ 

6. For a set $A \subset M_\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote

$$A \cap \{ z = \lambda \} := A \cap \{ (x, \lambda) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \}.$$ 

**Theorem 5** (Theorem 1.1 in [18]) Let $R$ be a standard graded Noetherian ring of dimension $d \geq 2$ over an algebraically closed field $K$ of characteristic $p > 0$, and let $I \subset R$ be a homogeneous ideal such that $\ell(R/I) < \infty$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q = p^n$, let $f_n(R, I) : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be defined as

$$f_n(R, I)(x) = \frac{1}{q^{d-1}} \ell(R/I^{[q]})_{[xq]}$$

(here $\ell(R/I^{[q]})_{[xq]}$ denote the dimension of the $K$-vector space $(R/I^{[q]})_{[xq]}$).

Then $\{ f_n(R, I) \}_n$ converges uniformly to a compactly supported continuous function $f_{R, I} : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$, where

$$f_{R, I}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(R, I)(x)$$

and

$$e_{HK}(R, I) = \int_0^\infty f_{R, I}(x) \, dx.$$
We recall Theorem (1.1) from [13]:

**Theorem 6** Let \((X, D)\) be a toric pair with associated ring \((R, \mathfrak{m})\), and \(P_D, C_D, \mathcal{P}_D\) as in Notations 4. Then the Hilbert–Kunz density function of \((R, \mathfrak{m})\) is given by the sectional volume of \(\overline{\mathcal{P}}_D\), i.e.

\[
f_{R, \mathfrak{m}}(\lambda) = r\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\overline{\mathcal{P}}_D \cap \{z = \lambda\}), \text{ for } \lambda \in [0, \infty).
\]

Moreover, \(f_{R, \mathfrak{m}}\) is given by a piecewise polynomial function.

### 3 The boundary of \(\mathcal{P}_D\)

Recall that (Notations 4) associated with a given toric pair \((X, D)\), we have a convex polytope \(P_D\), a convex polyhedral cone \(C_D\) and a bounded set \(\mathcal{P}_D \subset \mathbb{R}^d\). In [13], we had written a decomposition \(C_D = \bigcup F_j\), where \(F_j\)'s are \(d\)-dimensional cones such that, each \(F_j := F_j \cap \overline{P}_D\) is a convex rational polytope and is a closure of \(P_j' := F_j \cap \overline{P}_D\). To study the boundary of \(\mathcal{P}_D\) we need a set of lemmas about the facets of \(P_j\)'s. We also assume without loss of generality that \(d \geq 3\), as \(d = 2\) corresponds to \((\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n))\), for \(n \geq 1\), which is easy to handle directly.

**Notations 7**

1. \(L(P_D) = P_D \cap M\) is the (finite) set of lattice points of \(P_D\).
2. For a convex polytope \(Q\), let \(\nu(Q) = \{\text{vertices of } Q\}\) and \(\overline{F}(Q) = \{\text{facets of } Q\}\).
3. \(A(F)\) is the affine hull of \(F\) in \(\mathbb{R}^d\), for a set \(F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d\). Recall that affine hull of a set \(S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n\) is the smallest affine set containing \(S\), i.e. 
\[
A(S) = \{\sum_{i=1}^m a_i s_i | m \in \mathbb{N}, s_i \in S, a_i \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i=1}^m a_i = 1\}.
\]
4. For a polytope \(F\), \(\dim F := \dim A(F)\).
5. \(F_j \in \{d\}-\text{dimensional cones}\), which is the closure of a connected component of \(C_D \setminus \cup_{iu} H_{iu}\), where the hyperplanes \(H_{iu}\) are given by
\[
H_{iu} = \text{the affine hull of } \{(v_{ik}, 1, (u, 1), \mathbf{0}) | v_{ik} \in v(C_{0i}), u \in P_D \cap M\},
\]
where \(C_{0i} \in \{(d - 3) \text{ dimensional faces of } P_D\}\) and \(\mathbf{0}\) is the origin of \(\mathbb{R}^d\).
6. For a subset \(A \subseteq C_D\), we denote
   
   (a) \(\partial_C A = \text{boundary of } A \text{ in the subspace topology of } C_D\) (thinking of \(C_D \subset \mathbb{R}^d\)) and
   (b) \(\partial(A) = \text{the boundary of } A \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d\).

In particular \(\partial_C A \subseteq \partial(A)\).

7. For \(u \in L(P_D)\), let \(C_u = (u, 1) + C_D\) and let
\[
P_j' = F_j \cap \cap_{u \in L(P_D)}((u, 1) + C_D)^c = F_j \cap \cap_{u \in L(P_D)}[C_D \setminus C_u],
\]
which is a convex set (Lemma 4.5 of [13]).
8. Let \(P_j' = 
\[\overline{T}_j \cap \cap_{u \in L(P_D)}(C_D \setminus C_u)\]
the convex rational polytope which is the closure of \(P_j'\) in \(C_D\) (which equals the closure in \(\mathbb{R}^d\)).
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Therefore,
\[ P_D = \bigcup_{j=1}^{s} P_j' \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{P}_D = \bigcup_{j=1}^{s} P_j, \]
where \( P_1, \ldots, P_s \) are distinct polytopes, whose interiors are disjoint.

Note that
\[ P_j = F_j \setminus \bigcup_{u \in L(P_D)} C_u = \bigcap_{u \in L(P_D)} F_j \setminus C_u. \]

Lemma 8 For each \( P_j \) as in Notations 7, we have

1. \( P_j = P_j' \cup \left( \bigcup_{u \in L(P_D)} \{ \partial C_u \cap P_j \} \right) \).
2. For any \( u \in L(P_D) \), we have \( \partial C_u \cap P_j = \bigcup_{F' \in F(C_u), \ F' \subseteq \partial(C_D)} F' \cap P_j \).

Proof (1) We only need to prove that \( P_j \subseteq P_j' \bigcup_{u} \{ \partial C_u \cap P_j \} \), as the other way inclusion is obvious.

Let us denote \( P_D = \bigcap_{u \in P_D \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} [C_D \setminus C_u] \) by \( U \). Then \( P_j' = F_j \cap U \) and \( P_j = F_j \cap \overline{U} \). It is easy to check that \( F_j \cap \overline{U} \subseteq (F_j \cap U) \cup (\partial C U \cap F_j \cap U) \). Now
\[ \partial C U \subseteq \bigcup_{u} \partial C_u \implies (\partial C U) \cap F_j \cap U \subseteq \bigcup_{u \in L(P_D)} \{ \partial C_u \cap P_j \}. \]

This proves Assertion (1).

(2) We leave this to the reader. This proves lemma.

Lemma 9 For any facet \( F \in F(P_j) \), we have one and only one of the following possibilities:

1. \( F \subset F_{j_i} \), for some facet \( F_{j_i} \in F(F_j) \): (i) In this case \( F = A(F_{j_i}) \cap P_j = F_{j_i} \cap P_j \) and

(ii) \( \dim \left[ A(F) \cap (\bigcup_{u \in L(P_D)} \partial C_u \cap P_j) \right] \leq d - 2. \)

2. \( F \subset F_{u_v} \), for some facet \( F_{u_v} \in F(C_u) \) and \( u \in L(P_D) \). In this case \( F = P_j \cap F_{u_v} = P_j \cap A(F_{u_v}) \), where \( F_{u_v} \notin \partial(C_D) \).

Proof Note \( P_j' = F_j \cap \left( \bigcap_{u \in L(P_D)} [C_D \setminus C_u] \right) \). Therefore,

\[ F \subset \partial(P_j) \subseteq \partial(F_j) \cup \bigcup_{u \in L(P_D)} \partial(C_u) = \bigcup_{F_{j_i} \in F(F_j)} F_{j_i} \cup \bigcup_{u \in L(P_D)} \cup_{F_{u_v} \in F(C_u)} F_{u_v}. \]

This implies \( d - 1 = \dim F = \max\{ \dim(F_{j_i} \cap F), \dim(F_{u_v} \cap F) \}_{j_i, u_v} \). Hence at least one of the sets, \( F_{j_i} \cap F \) or \( F_{u_v} \cap F \), for some \( j_i \) or \( u_v \), is of dimension \( d - 1 \). This implies either \( A(F) = A(F_{j_i}) \) or \( A(F) = A(F_{u_v}) \).

(1) Let \( F \in F(P_j) \) such that \( F \subseteq (A(F_{j_i}) \cap P_j) \), for some \( j_i \). Then \( F = P_j \cap A(F_{j_i}) = P_j \cap F_{j_i} \cap A(F_{j_i}) = P_j \cap F_{j_i} \). In particular \( F \subseteq F_{j_i} \). This proves Assertion (1) (i).

Suppose given \( F \in F(P_j) \) such that \( F \subseteq A(F_{j_i}) \) and for some \( u \in L(P_D) \),
\[ \dim \left[ A(F) \cap (\partial C_u \cap P_j) \right] = d - 1. \]
Then \( \dim (A(F) \cap F_{u_v} \cap P_j) = d - 1, \)
for some $F_{u,v} \subseteq F(C_u)$ such that $F_{u,v} \not\subseteq \partial(C_D)$. Then $A(F) = A(F_{u,v}) = A(F_{j_i})$, where $A(F_{j_i})$ is a hyperplane passing through the origin $0$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and therefore, $A(F)$ is a vector subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Also $F_{u,v} = (u, 1) + F'$, for some $F' \in F(C_D)$. Therefore, $A(F) = (u, 1) + A(F')$. Hence $(u, 1) + y = 0$, for some $y \in A(F')$, which implies $A(F) = A(F')$. Therefore, $F_{u,v} \subseteq A(F) \cap C_D = F' \subseteq \partial(C_D)$, which is a contradiction. This implies (1) (ii) and hence the first assertion.

(2) We first prove the following

**Claim** For any $F_j$ and for a facet $F'' \subseteq F(C_u)$, where $u \in L(P_D)$, if $F'' \cap F_j \neq \phi$, then $F'' \cap F_j = A(F'') \cap F_j$, where $F'' = F_j \setminus \partial(F_j)$.

**Proof of the Claim** Recall (see Lemma 4.5 of [13]) that $F_j \setminus C_u$ is a convex set, for any $u \in L(P_D)$.

If $(A(F'') \cap F_j) \setminus F'' \neq \phi$, then there exists $x \in (\partial F'') \cap F_j$ and an open set (in $\mathbb{R}^d$) $B_x \subseteq F_j$ such that $B_x \cap C_u \cap F_j \neq \phi$. Hence there is another facet $F' \in F(C_u)$ such that $F' \cap F_j \neq \phi$ ($x \in \partial(F') \cap F_j$).

Note that $\dim(F' \cap F_j) = \dim(F'' \cap F_j) = d - 1$ and $\dim(F' \cap F'') \leq d - 2$. Hence we choose $x_1 \in F'' \cap F_j$ and $x_2 \in F' \cap F_j$ such that $x_1 \neq x_2$. Then $t x_1 + (1 - t) x_2 \subseteq F_j \cap C_u$, for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Now we can also choose small enough neighbourhoods (open in $\mathbb{R}^d$) $B_{x_1}$ and $B_{x_2}$ of $x_1$ and $x_2$, respectively, which are contained in $F_j$. Let $L$ be the affine line through $x_1$ and $x_2$. Now, the line segment of $L$ with end points $x_1' \in B_{x_1} \cap L \cap C_u$ and $x_2' \in B_{x_2} \cap L \cap C_u$, passes through $C_u$, which contradicts the convexity property of $F_j \setminus C_u$. Hence the claim.

Suppose $F \in F(P_j)$ such that $F \not\subseteq A(F_{j_i})$, for any $F_{j_i} \in F(F_j)$. Then there exists $F_{u,v} \in F(C_u)$, for some $u \in L(P_D)$, such that $\dim(F \cap F_{u,v}) = d - 1$. This implies $A(F) = A(F_{u,v})$, and therefore, $F_{u,v} \not\subseteq A(F_{j_i})$, for any $F_{j_i}$. On the other hand $F \cap F_{u,v} \subseteq F_j$. Hence $F_{u,v} \cap F_j \neq \phi$, which implies, by the above claim that $A(F_{u,v}) \cap F_j = F_{u,v} \cap F_j$. Therefore,

$$F \subseteq A(F_{u,v}) \cap P_j \cap F_j = F_{u,v} \cap F_j \cap P_j = F_{u,v} \cap P_j \subseteq F.$$

Moreover, by definition $F \cap F_{u,v} \subseteq P_j \setminus P_j'$, and therefore, by Lemma 8, $F_{u,v} \not\subseteq \partial(C_D)$. This proves the second assertion and hence the lemma.

**Lemma 10** If $P_i \neq P_j$, then $\dim(P_i \cap P_j) \leq d - 1$. Moreover, if $\dim(P_i \cap P_j) = d - 1$, then $P_i \cap P_j \in F(P_i) \cap F(P_j)$, that is, $P_i$ and $P_j$ meet along a common facet.

**Proof** We know $P_i \cap P_j \subseteq F_i \cap F_j$, where $\dim(F_i \cap F_j) \leq d - 1$. If $\dim(P_i \cap P_j) = d - 1$, then $F_i \cap F_j \subseteq F(P_i) \cap F(P_j)$. Let $F = F_i \cap F_j$; then $F_i \cap A(F) = F_j \cap A(F) = F$. But $P_i = \overline{F_i \cap U}$ and $P_j = \overline{F_j \cap U}$. Therefore, $P_i \cap A(F) = \overline{F_i \cap U \cap A(F)} = \overline{F \cap U} = P_j \cap A(F)$. This proves the lemma.

**Lemma 11**

1. $\partial(P_D) = \bigcup_{F \in F(P_D)} (F \cap F_{u,v} \cap P_j)$. In particular
2. $\partial(P_D) = \bigcup_{F \in F(C_D)} (F \cap P_D) \cup \bigcup_{F \in F(C_u), u \in L(P_D)} (F \cap P_D)$.
Proof} Note \( \partial(\mathcal{P}_D) = \partial(\overline{\mathcal{P}}_D) \subseteq \bigcup_j \bigcup_{F \in F(P_j)} F \). Moreover, \( \bigcup_{j,v} E_{j,v} \subseteq \partial(\mathcal{P}_D) \), where

\[
\{E_{j,v}\} = \{F \in F(P_j) \mid F \subseteq F', \ F' \in F(C_u), \ u \in L(\mathcal{P}_D)\} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{P}}_D \cap \overline{\mathcal{P}}^c_D \subseteq \partial(\mathcal{P}_D). \tag{4}
\]

Let \( V = \bigcup F \{ F \mid F \in F(P_j), \ F \neq P_i \cap P_j, \ \text{for any } j \} \). Then \( \bigcup_{j,v} E_{j,v} \subseteq V \) (see Lemma 9). Therefore, \( \partial(\mathcal{P}_D) \backslash V \subseteq \bigcup_{F \in F(P_j)} F \). If \( \partial(\mathcal{P}_D) \backslash V \neq \emptyset \), then there exists \((d-1)\)-dimensional open ball \( B_{d-1} = \partial \mathcal{P}_D \cap P_i \cap P_j \), for some \( P_i \neq P_j \). Therefore, \( P_i \backslash V \) and \( P_j \backslash V \) are nonempty open sets of \( P_i \) and \( P_j \), respectively. Hence, we can choose an open set \( B_d \) such that

\[
B_d = [B_d \cap (P_i \backslash V)] \cup [B_d \cap (P_j \backslash V)] \cup [B_d \cap (P_i \cap P_j)],
\]

where \( B_d \cap P_i \cap P_j \subseteq B_{d-1} \). Since \( B_{d-1} \subseteq P_i \cap P_j \), we have \( B_{d-1} \cap \overline{\mathcal{P}}^c_D = \emptyset \), which implies \( B_{d-1} \cap \partial(\mathcal{P}_D) = \emptyset \), hence a contradiction. Therefore, \( \partial(\mathcal{P}_D) = V \).

This proves Assertion (1). Now

\[
\partial(\mathcal{P}_D) = \bigcup_{F \in F(P_j), \ F \subseteq A(F_j), \ F \neq P_i \cap P_j} F \cup \bigcup_{j,v} E_{j,v}
= \bigcup_{F \in F(C_D)} F \cap \overline{\mathcal{P}}_D \cup \bigcup_{F \in F(C_u), \ u \in L(\mathcal{P}_D)} F \cap \overline{\mathcal{P}}_D.
\]

This proves the lemma. \( \square \)

**Notations 12** In the rest of the paper, for a bounded set \( Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) and for \( n, m \in \mathbb{N} \), we define

\[
i(Q, n, m) := \#(nQ \cap \{z = m\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d), \tag{5}\]

where \( z \) is the \( d^{th} \) coordinate function on \( \mathbb{R}^d \).

**Remark 13** From Lemma 8, it follows that

\[
P_j = P_i \bigcup (\cup_y E_{j,y}), \ \text{where } \{E_{j,y}\} = \{F \in F(P_j) \mid F \subseteq F', \ F' \in F(C_u), \ u \in L(\mathcal{P}_D)\}
\]

and \( E_{j,v} \notin \partial(C_D) \). Note, \( E_{j,y} \cap P_i' = \emptyset \), for every \( i \), as \( P_i' \subseteq \bigcup_{u \in L(\mathcal{P}_D)} C_D \backslash C_u \). In particular,

\[
i(\mathcal{P}_D, n, m) = i(\cup_j P_j', n, m) = i(\cup_j P_j, n, m) - i(\cup_y E_{j,y}, n, m).
\]

Therefore, we have

\[
i(\mathcal{P}_D, n, m) = \sum_j i(P_j, n, m) - \sum_{j<k} i(P_j \cap P_k, n, m)
- \sum_{j,y} i(E_{j,y}, n, m) + \sum_{\alpha \in I_1} \epsilon_\alpha i(Q'_{\alpha}, n, m), \tag{6}\]

\( \subseteq \) Springer
where \( \{Q'_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I_1} \) runs over a certain finite set of polytopes of dimension \( \leq d-1 \): either \( Q'_\alpha = P_{j_1} \cap \ldots \cap P_{j_l} \), for distinct \( P'_{j_i} \), s, where \( l \geq 3 \), or \( Q'_\alpha = E_{j_1, \gamma_1} \cap \ldots \cap E_{j_l, \gamma_l} \), for distinct \( E'_{j, \gamma} \), and \( l \geq 2 \). Note that \( \epsilon_\alpha \in \{1, -1\} \), depending on \( \alpha \in I_1 \).

**Lemma 14** Let \( Q \) be a convex polytope such that \( Q \subseteq F \), for some facet \( F \in F(P_j) \), where \( 1 \leq j \leq s \). Then \( \dim(Q \cap \{z = \lambda\}) \leq d - 2 \), for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). Moreover

1. if \( \dim(Q) \leq d - 2 \), then \( \dim(Q \cap \{z = \lambda\}) = d - 2 \), at the most for one \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), i.e. in that case \( \dim(Q) = \dim(Q \cap \{z = \lambda\}) = d - 2 \) and \( A(Q) = A(Q \cap \{z = \lambda\}) \).
2. \( Q \) lies in the hyperplane \( \{z = \lambda\} \).

**Proof** By definition, \( Q \subseteq F \), for some \( F \in F(F_j) \) or, for some \( F \in F(C_u) \) and \( u \in L(P_D) \). But such hyperplanes are transversal to the hyperplane \( \{z = 0\} \). Hence \( \dim Q \cap \{z = \lambda\} \leq d - 2 \), for every \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \).

(1) Suppose \( \dim(Q \cap \{z = \lambda_0\}) = d - 2 \), for some \( \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). Then

\[
A(Q) = A(Q \cap \{z = \lambda_0\}) = A(Q \cap \{z = \lambda_0\}).
\]

Therefore, \( Q \subseteq A(Q \cap \{z = \lambda_0\}) \) and \( Q \cap \{z = \lambda\} = \emptyset \), for \( \lambda \neq \lambda_0 \). Hence \( \dim Q \cap \{z = \lambda\} = d - 2 \), at the most at one point.

(2) By Lemma 9, we have \( Q \subseteq A(F) \), where \( F \in F(F_j) \) or \( F \in F(C_u) \).

Case (1) Let \( F \) be a facet of \( F_j \) for some \( F_j \). Then \( F \subseteq H_{iu} \) for some hyperplane \( H_{iu} \) (as given in Notations 7) (5) and \( A(Q) = A(F) = H_{iu} \). Hence, for \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \), we have \( A(Q) \cap \{z = m\} = H_{iu} \cap \{z = m\} \), where it is easy to check that \( m(u, 1) \in H_{iu} \cap \{z = m\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \).

Case (2) If \( F \) is a facet of \( (u, 1) + C_D \), then \( F = (u, 1) + F' \), for some facet \( F' \) of \( C_D \). Now \( F' \) is a cone over a facet \( F'' \) of \( P_D \). Hence there exist a subset of vertices \( \{u_j\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \) of \( P_D \) such that \( A(Q) = A(F) = \{(u, 1) + \sum_j \alpha_j (u_j, 1) \mid \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}\} \).

Now it is easy to check that \( (u, 1) + (m - 1)(u_j, 1) \in A(F) \cap \{z = m\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \).

(3) With the notations as in (2), we have \( A(nQ \cap \{z = n\lambda\}) = A(nQ) \cap \{z = n\lambda\} = A(nF) \cap \{z = n\lambda\} \).

Now, for \( F \in F(F_i) \), one can check that \( n\lambda(u, 1) \in A(nF) \cap \{z = n\lambda\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \), and for \( F \in F(C_u) \) for some \( u \in L(P_D) \) one can check \( n(u, 1) + (\lambda - 1)n(u_j, 1) \in A(nF) \cap \{z = n\lambda\} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \). This completes the proof of the lemma.

4 Ehrhart quasi-polynomial for rational convex polytope

In this section, we mainly deal with the Ehrhart’s theory of lattice points inside rational convex polytopes. Recall that, if \( \mathbb{Z}^d \) is the integral lattice in \( d \)-dimensional Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^d \), then a convex polytope \( P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) is called integral (rational), if all its vertices have integral (rational) coordinates.

**Definition 15** For a rational polytope \( P \), the smallest number \( \rho \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \) such that \( \rho P \) is an integral polytope is called the rational denominator of \( P \), and is denote by \( \tau(P) \).
Furthermore, the rational $i$-index $\tau_i(P)$ of $P$ is the smallest number $\rho \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that for each $i$-dimensional face $F$ of $P$ the affine space $\rho A(F)$ contains integral points. Here $A(F)$ denotes the affine hull of $F$.

The following classical result is due to Ehrhart ([4]) and McMullen ([12]).

**Theorem 16** Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational polytope. Then $i(P, -) : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, given by

$$i(P, n) := \#(nP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim(P)} C_i(P, n)n^i, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

is a quasi-polynomial of degree $\dim P$, i.e., for every $i$, the coefficient $C_i(P, n)$ is periodic in $n$ of period $\tau_i(P)$, and $C_{\dim P}(P, n)$ is not identically zero (in fact is $r\text{Vol}_{\dim P}(P)$, if $A(P)$ contains an integral point).

Moreover if $P^\circ$ denotes the interior of $P$ in the affine span of $P$, then $i(P^\circ, n) = \#(nP^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) = (-1)^{\dim(P)}i(P, -n)$. In particular, $A(F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \neq \emptyset$, for every $F \in F(P)$ implies

$$C_{\dim(P)-1}(P, n) = (1/2) \sum_{F \in F(P)} r\text{Vol}_{\dim(P)-1}(F).$$

Here note that, since $i(P, n)$ is a quasi-polynomial, it can be defined for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

McMullen has generalised Theorem 16 for the rational Minkowski sum of finitely many polytopes $P_1, \ldots, P_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Throughout this section, we use the following notations and definition from the literature.

**Notations 17**

1. For $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $l = (l_1, \ldots, l_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k_{\geq 0}$, we denote $\prod_{i=1}^k r_i^{l_i}$ by $r^l$ and $\sum_i l_i$ by $|l|$.
2. The Hadamard product $r \odot s$ of two rational vectors $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}^k$ is the coordinate-wise product $r \odot s = (r_1s_1, \ldots, r_ks_k)$.

With these notations, McMullen’s result (see comments on page 2 of [7]) on the Ehrhart quasi-polynomial of a Minkowski sum of rational polytopes can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 18** Let $P_1, \ldots, P_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be rational polytopes. Then the function $Q(P_1, \ldots, P_k, -) : \mathbb{Q}^k_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{N}$ given by

$$Q(P_1, \ldots, P_k, r) = \# \left( \sum_i r_i P_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \right), \text{ for } r = (r_1, \ldots, r_k) \in \mathbb{Q}^k_{\geq 0}$$

is a rational quasi-polynomial of degree $\dim(P_1 + \cdots + P_k)$ with period $\tau = (\tau(P_1), \ldots, \tau(P_k))$, i.e., $Q(P_1, \ldots, P_k, r) = \sum_{|l| \leq d} p_l(r)l^l$, where $p_l : \mathbb{Q}^k_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{Q}$ is a periodic function with period $\tau_i = \tau(P_i)$ in the $i$th argument, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, and $p_l(r)$ is nonzero positive constant for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}^k_{\geq 0}$ with $|l| = \dim(P_1 + \cdots + P_k)$.
Theorem 20 Let $P$ be a rational polytope of dimension $d$ with rational Ehrhart quasi-polynomial

$$i(P, r) := \#(rP \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim(P)} C_i(P, r)r^i, \text{ where } r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}. $$

Then (1) there exist $0 = r_0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_l = \tau(P)$, such that $C_i(P, -)$ is a polynomial of degree $d - i$ on $(r_m, r_m)$, for each $m = 1, \ldots, l$ and $i = 0, \ldots, d$. (2) The reciprocity theorem is true for rational dilates and for all dimension, i.e. for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, $i(P, r) = (-1)^{\dim(P)}i(P, -r)$. In particular $C_d(P, r) = \text{Vol}_d(P)$, for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, and, in addition, if $C_{d-1}(P, r)$ is independent of $r > 0$, then $C_{d-1}(P, r) = (1/2) \sum_{F \in F(P)} r \text{Vol}_{d-1}(F)$.

Later, the above theorem was generalised for Minkowski sum of polytopes by Henk and Linke in their paper [7, Theorem 1.3].

We recall briefly some important points relevant to the statement of Theorem 1.3 of [7]. For a polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, let $h(P, -) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be its support function, i.e. $h(P, v) = \max\{\langle v, x \rangle : x \in P\}$. A hyperplane $H(P, v) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle x, v \rangle = h(P, v)\}$, for $v \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ is called a supporting hyperplane of $P$. If $P$ is full-dimensional, i.e. $\dim(P) = d$, then each facet $F$ of $P$ is given by a unique supporting hyperplane $H_F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle x, a_F \rangle = b_F\}$, where $(a_F, b_F) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ is unique up to multiplication by a positive real number. Let $H_F^- = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle x, a_F \rangle \leq b_F\}$, Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a full-dimensional lattice polytope; the hyperplane representation of $P$ is

$$P = \bigcap_{F \in F(P)} H_F^- = \bigcap_{F \in F(P)} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle x, a_F \rangle \leq b_F\}$$

where the intersection runs over all facets $F$ of $P$. We call $a_F/\|a_F\|$ the outer unit normal of the facet $F$ of $P$.

Let $P_1, \ldots, P_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be rational polytopes with $\dim(P_1 + \cdots + P_k) = d$. Let $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, be the outer normals of the facets of the rational polytope $P_1 + \cdots + P_k$. Observe that for all $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ the facets of the polytope $r_1P_1 + \cdots + r_kP_k$ have the same outer normals $v_1, \ldots, v_m$. For details about support function and face decomposition of Minkowski sum, see [15]. Now, for $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we know $z \in \sum_{i=1}^k r_i P_i$ if and only if $\langle z, v_j \rangle \leq \sum_{i=1}^k r_i h(P_i, v_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Thus
Let \( P \) be the interior of a fixed \( k \)-dimensional cell given by this section. Then \( Q(P_1, \ldots, P_k, -) \) is constant on \( S \).

The result of Henk–Linke (Theorem 1.3) can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 21** Let \( P_1, \ldots, P_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be rational polytopes with \( \dim(P_1 + \cdots + P_k) = d \) and let \( I \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k \) with \(|I| \leq d\). Then \( Q_I(P_1, \ldots, P_k, -) \) is a piecewise polynomial function of degree at most \( d - |I| \) on open \( k \)-cells given by the hyperplane arrangements as in (7).

Here we consider the case with \( k = 2 \). By Theorem 18, \( Q(P_1, P_2, -) \) is a quasi-polynomial of degree \( \dim(P_1 + P_2) \) with period \( \tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \). The hyperplane arrangement in (7) can be rewritten as

\[
\left\{ \{ r \in \mathbb{R}^2_{>0} : r_1 h(P_1, v_j) + r_2 h(P_2, v_j) = \langle z, v_j \rangle \} : z \in \mathbb{Z}^d, j = 1, \ldots, m \right\}
\]  

and \( Q(P_1, P_2, -) \) is constant on each open 2-cell in the complement of these lines.

**Notations 22**

1. For \( z \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), and \( 1 \leq j \leq m \), we denote the line

\[ L_j(z) = \{ r \in \mathbb{R}^2 : r_1 h(P_1, v_j) + r_2 h(P_2, v_j) = \langle z, v_j \rangle \}. \]

the positive halfspace \( L_j(z)^+ = \{ r \in \mathbb{R}^2 : r_1 h(P_1, v_j) + r_2 h(P_2, v_j) \geq \langle z, v_j \rangle \} \)

and the positive open halfspace \( L_j(z)^{+o} = \{ r \in \mathbb{R}^2 : r_1 h(P_1, v_j) + r_2 h(P_2, v_j) > \langle z, v_j \rangle \} \).

Similarly, one defines \( L_j(z)^- \) and \( L_j(z)^{-o} \) for \( z \in \mathbb{Z}^d \) and for \( j = 1, \ldots, m \).

2. Denote the period rectangle \( T = (0, \tau_1] \times (0, \tau_2], \) where \( \tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2) = (\tau(P_1), \tau(P_2)) \)

3. Note that for each \( j \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \), there can be only finitely many \( L_j(z) \) intersecting the period rectangle \( T \), as \( v_j \in \mathbb{Z}^d \).

4. \( \mathbb{R}^2_{>0} \) is the disjoint union of locally closed sets, namely

\[
\mathbb{R}^2_{>0} = \left( \bigcup_{\tilde{S} \in \tilde{C}_p} S \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{I \in \tilde{I}_p} I \right) \cup T_0.
\]  

where \( \tilde{C}_p = \) the set of open 2-cells obtained by the hyperplane arrangement as given in (8), the set

\[
T_0 = \{ L_j(z) \cap L_i(z') \cap \mathbb{R}^2_{>0} : L_j(z) \neq L_i(z'), \text{ for all } z, z' \in \mathbb{Z}^d \text{ and } 1 \leq i, j \leq m \}
\]
is a discrete set of points and

$$\tilde{I}_p = \text{the connected components of } \mathbb{R}_{>0}^2 \setminus \left( \bigcup_{S \in \tilde{C}_p} S \right) \cup T_0$$

is the set of open intervals. In particular, for any $I \in \tilde{I}_p$, there exists a unique line $L_{j_0}(z_0)$ such that $I$ is a connected component of

$$[L_{j_0}(z_0) \setminus \{L_{j_0}(z_0) \cap L_j(z) \mid L_{j_0}(z_0) \neq L_j(z), \ 1 \leq j \leq m, \ z \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}] \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^2.$$ 

**Definition 23** For given $I \in \tilde{I}_p$, we associate (the unique) $S_I \in \tilde{C}_p$ as follows: By definition $I \subset L_j(z)$, for a unique line $L_j(z)$ (as in Notation 22) in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then $S_I$ is the unique cell in $\tilde{C}_p$ such that $I \subset S_I$, the closure of $S_I$ in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^2$ and $S_I \subset L_j(z)^{+\rho}$.

**Lemma 24** Given $(I, S_I) \in \tilde{I}_p \times \tilde{C}_p$, we have

$$S_I \subset L_{j_i}(z_{i_1})^+ \iff I \subset L_{j_i}(z_{i_1})^+.$$ 

Moreover, if $L_{j_0}(z_{i_0})$ is the line containing $I$, then for $L_{j_i}(z_{i_1}) \neq L_{j_0}(z_{i_0})$, we have

$$S_I \subset L_{j_i}(z_{i_1})^+ \iff S_I \subset L_{j_i}(z_{i_1})^+ \iff I \subset L_{j_i}(z_{i_1})^+ \iff I \subset L_{j_i}(z_{i_1})^+.$$ 

**Proof** It is easy to check.

**Lemma 25** Given $S \in \tilde{C}_p$, $Q(P_1, P_2, s) = \text{constant } \text{for all } s \in S$.

**Lemma 26** Given $(I, S_I) \in \tilde{I}_p \times \tilde{C}_p$

$$Q(P_1, P_2, s) = \text{constant } \text{for all } s \in S_I \cup I.$$ 

**Proof** It is easy to check.

**Lemma 26** Let $u = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ and let $T = (0 \times \tau_1] \times (0 \times \tau_2]$ be as in Notations 22. Then,

1. for given $S \in \tilde{C}_p$, we have $Q(P_1, P_2, s) = \text{constant, for all } s \in S \cap T + u \odot \tau$ and,
2. for given $(I, S_I) \in \tilde{I}_p \times \tilde{C}_p$, we have $Q(P_1, P_2, s) = \text{constant, for all } s \in (S_I \cup I) \cap T + u \odot \tau$.

**Proof** For $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$, the polytope $u_1 \tau_1 P_1 + u_2 \tau_2 P_2$ is an integral polytope. Therefore, for every facet $F_j$ of (this polytope) with the outer normal $v_j$, we can choose $\tilde{z}_j \in F_j \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $h(u_1 \tau_1 P_1 + u_2 \tau_2 P_2, v_j) = \langle \tilde{z}_j, v_j \rangle$. Now, it is easy to check that, for every $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$L_j(z + \tilde{z}_j) = L_j(z) + u \odot \tau, \quad L_j(z + \tilde{z}_j)^{+\rho} = L_j(z)^{+\rho} + u \odot \tau,$$

\( \odot \) Springer
and

\[ L_j(z + \tilde{z}_j)^{-o} = L_j(z)^{-o} + u \odot \tau. \]

A given \( S \in \tilde{C}_P \) can be written as

\[ S = \left[ \cap_{\mu=0}^{\mathcal{S}} L_{j_\mu}(z_{i_\mu})^{+o} \right] \cap \left[ \cap_{v=1}^{\mathcal{S}} L_{l_v}(z_{k_v})^{-o} \right] \cap \mathbb{R}^2_{>0}, \]

for some \( z_{i_\mu}, z_{k_v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \) and \( 1 \leq j_\mu, l_v \leq m \).

Therefore,

\[ S + u \odot \tau = \left[ \cap_{\mu=0}^{\mathcal{S}} L_{j_\mu}(z_{i_\mu})^{+o} + u \odot \tau \right] \cap \left[ \cap_{v=1}^{\mathcal{S}} L_{l_v}(z_{k_v})^{-o} + u \odot \tau \right] \cap \left[ \mathbb{R}^2_{>0} + u \odot \tau \right], \]

Note that, for any \( z \in \mathbb{Z}^d \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq m \), if \( S \in \tilde{C}_P \), then we have \( L_j(z - \tilde{z}_j) \cap S = \phi \), since \( L_j(z - \tilde{z}_j) \) is one of the lines in the hyperplane arrangement \( 7 \). This implies \( L_j(z) \cap (S + u \odot \tau) = (L_j(z - \tilde{z}_j) \cap S) + u \odot \tau = \phi \). Hence \( S + u \odot \tau \subseteq S_1 \), for some \( S_1 \in \tilde{C}_P \).

Therefore, by Lemma 25,

\[ Q(P_1, P_2, s) = \text{constant} \quad \text{for all} \quad s \in (S + u \odot \tau) \cap (T + u \odot \tau) = (S \cap T) + u \odot \tau. \]

This proves Assertion (1).

Note that \( I \in \hat{I}_P \) if and only if \( I \subset L_{j_0}(z_0) \), some \( 1 \leq j_0 \leq m \) and \( z_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), such that \( I \) is a connected component of

\[ (L_{j_0}(z_0) \setminus (L_{j_0}(z_0) \cap L_j(z)) \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m \quad \text{and} \quad L_j(z) \neq L_{j_0}(z_0))) \cap \mathbb{R}^2_{>0}. \]

This implies that \( I + u \odot \tau \) is a connected component of

\[ \left[ (L_{j_0}(z_0 + \tilde{z}_{j_0}) \setminus (L_{j_0}(z_0 + \tilde{z}_{j_0}) \cap L_j(z + \tilde{z}_j)) \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \right. \]

and

\[ L_{j_0}(z_0 + \tilde{z}_{j_0}) \neq L_j(z + \tilde{z}_j)] \cap \mathbb{R}^2_{>0}. \]

Hence \( I + u \odot \tau \in \hat{I}_P \). One can easily check (from Lemma 24) that \( S_I + u \odot \tau = S_{I+u\odot\tau} \). Hence,

\[ (S_{I+u\odot\tau} \cup (I + u \odot \tau)) \cap (T + u \odot \tau) = ((S_I \cup I) \cap T) + u \odot \tau. \]

Now, by Lemma 25,

\[ Q(P_1, P_2, s) = \text{constant} \quad \text{for all} \quad s \in ((S_I \cup I) \cap T) + u \odot \tau. \]
This completes the proof of the lemma. □

In the proof of the next lemma, we imitate the arguments given in the proof of Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 of [7].

Lemma 27 Let \( p : \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^2 \to \mathbb{Q} \) be a rational quasi-polynomial of degree \( n \geq 1 \) with period \( \tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^2 \) and constant leading coefficients, i.e.,

\[
p(r) = \sum_{l_1+l_2 \leq n} p_{l_1,l_2}(r) r_1^{l_1} r_2^{l_2}, \quad \text{where} \quad r = (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^2,
\]

such that

1. \( p_{l_1,l_2}(r) \in \mathbb{Q} \) is constant for all \( (l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \) with \( l_1 + l_2 = n \), and for every \( r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^2 \).
2. \( p_{l_1,l_2} : \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^2 \to \mathbb{Q} \) are periodic functions with period \( \tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \) for all \( (l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \) with \( l_1 + l_2 < n \).

Let \( E \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2 \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), such that, for every \( u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \), there exists \( c_u \in \mathbb{Q} \) with

\[
p(r + u \odot \tau) = c_u \text{ for all } r \in E \cap \mathbb{Q}^2.
\]

Then for all \( (l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \) with \( l_1 + l_2 \leq n \), the coefficient function \( p_{l_1,l_2} : E \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^2 \to \mathbb{Q} \) is a polynomial of degree at most \( n - (l_1 + l_2) \).

Proof We prove the lemma by induction on \( \deg(p) = n \). For \( n = 1 \) and for \( r \in E \cap \mathbb{Q}^2 \), we have

\[
c_0 = p(r) = p_{0,0}(r) + p_{(1,0)}(r) r_1 + p_{(0,1)}(r) r_2.
\]

Therefore, \( p_{0,0} : E \cap \mathbb{Q}^2 \to \mathbb{Q} \) is a polynomial of degree \( \leq 1 \) (if \( p_{(1,0)} = p_{(0,1)} = 0 \) then \( p_{(0,0)} \) is constant).

Now let \( n \geq 2 \).

Let

\[
q(r) = p(r + e_2 \odot \tau) - p(r) = p(r_1, r_2 + \tau_2) - p(r_1, r_2).
\]

Then, for \( r \in E \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^2 \), and \( u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \), we have

\[
q(r + u \odot \tau) = p(r + (u + e_2) \odot \tau) - p(r + u \odot \tau) = c_{u+e_2} - c_u.
\]

Next we show that \( q \) is a quasi-polynomial of degree \( n - 1 \) and of period \( \tau \) with constant leading coefficients. Now, for every \( r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^2 \),

\[
q(r) = p(r_1, r_2 + \tau_2) - p(r_1, r_2)
\]

\[
= \sum_{l_2 \neq 0, \ l_1+l_2 \leq n} p_{l_1,l_2}(r) r_1^{l_1} \left[ \tau_2^{l_2} + \binom{l_2}{1} \tau_2^{l_2-1} r_2 + \ldots + \binom{l_2}{l_2-1} \tau_2 r_2^{l_2-1} \right]
\]
Let \( P \)

\[
\sum_{l_1, l_2 \geq 0, \ l_1 + l_2 \leq n - 1} q_{l_1, l_2}(r) r_1^{l_1} r_2^{l_2},
\]

where

\[
q_{l_1, l_2}(r) = p_{l_1, l_2+1}(r)c_1(l_1, l_2) + p_{l_1, l_2+2}(r)c_2(l_1, l_2) + \cdots + p_{l_1, n-l_1}(r)c_{n-l_1-l_2}(l_1, l_2)
\]

and \( c_i(l_1, l_2) \) are positive constants. Therefore, \( q_{l_1, l_2} : Q_{>0}^2 \rightarrow Q \) are periodic functions with period \( (\tau_1, \tau_2) \) and, for every \( r \in Q_{>0}^2 \),

\[
q_{l_1, n-l_1-1}(r) = p_{l_1, n-l_1}(r)c_1(l_1, l_2) = C_{l_1} \quad \text{a constant, for every } 0 \leq l_1 \leq n - 1.
\]

Therefore, by induction hypothesis, \( q_{l_1, l_2} : E \cap Q_{>0}^2 \rightarrow Q \) is a polynomial of degree at most \( n - 1 - l_1 - l_2 \), for all \( (l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2 \) such that \( l_1 + l_2 \leq n - 1 \). By (13) and descending induction on \( (l_1, l_2) \), we deduce that \( p_{l_1, l_2+1}(r) : E \cap Q^2 \rightarrow Q \) is a polynomial of degree at most \( n - (l_1 + l_2 + 1) \). Similarly, by considering the function \( q'(r_1, r_2) = p(r_1 + \tau_1, r_2) - p(r_1, r_2) \), we deduce that \( p_{l_1+1, l_2}(r) : E \rightarrow Q \) is a polynomial of degree at most \( n - (l_1 + l_2 + 1) \). Now, the function \( p_{0,0} : Q_{>0}^2 \rightarrow Q \) is given by

\[
p_{0,0}(r) = c_0 - \sum_{(0,0) \neq (l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2, l_1 + l_2 \leq n} p_{l_1, l_2}(r) r_1^{l_1} r_2^{l_2}
\]

and hence \( p_{0,0} : E \cap Q_{>0}^2 \rightarrow Q \) is a polynomial of degree at most \( n \). This completes the proof of the lemma.

\[\Box\]

**Theorem 28** Let \( P_1, P_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \) be two rational convex polytopes such that \( \text{dim}(P_1 + P_2) = d \). Let \( Q(P_1, P_2; -) : Q_{>0}^2 \rightarrow Q \) be the rational quasi-polynomial of degree \( d \), given by

\[
Q(P_1, P_2; r) = \#((r_1 P_1 + r_2 P_2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) = \sum_{(l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2} p_{l_1, l_2}(r) r_1^{l_1} r_2^{l_2}.
\]

Then there is a finite set \( \tilde{S} \) consisting of locally closed, bounded subsets of \( \mathbb{R}_{>0}^2 \) and a finite set of polynomials

\[
\{f_{l_1, l_2}^U : U \rightarrow Q \mid U \in \tilde{S}, \ (l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2, \ l_1 + l_2 \leq n\},
\]

such that

1. \( \mathbb{R}_{>0}^2 = \bigcup_{U \in \tilde{S}} \bigcup_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2} (U + u \cap \tau) \) and

\[
p_{l_1, l_2}(r) = f_{l_1, l_2}^U(r), \quad \text{for every } r \in U \subseteq \tilde{S}.
\]
2. In particular, there exist non-negative constants \( C_{l_1, l_2} \) such that for all \( \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Q}^2_{\geq 0} \):

\[
|p_{l_1, l_2}(\mathbf{r})| \leq C_{l_1, l_2}, \quad \text{and} \quad p_{l_1, d-l_1}(\mathbf{r}) = C_{l_1, d-l_1}.
\]

**Proof** Let

\[
\tilde{S} = \{(S_I \cup I) \cap T \mid S_I \in \tilde{C}_P, \ I \in \tilde{I}_P \mid (S_I \cup I) \cap T \neq \emptyset \} \cup \{I_0 \cap T \}.
\]

Since \( T_0 \) is a discrete set of points, the set \( T_0 \cap T = \) a finite set of points.

By Lemmas 26 and 27, for every \( U \in \tilde{S} \) there is a set of polynomials,

\[
\{f_{l_1, l_2}^U : U \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \mid (l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^+, \ l_1 + l_2 \leq n\},
\]

such that \( p_{l_1, l_2}(\mathbf{r}) = f_{l_1, l_2}^U(\mathbf{r}) \), for every \( \mathbf{r} \in U \).

Now since each \( p_{l_1, l_2} : \mathbb{Q}_2^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \) is a periodic function of period \( \tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \), we can choose \( C_{l_1, l_2} = \max\{|f_{l_1, l_2}^U(\mathbf{r})| \mid \mathbf{r} \in U, \ U \in \tilde{S}\} \). This proves the theorem. \( \square \)

**Remark 29** Theorem 28 can be generalised to the Minkowski sum of any finite number of polytopes, say \( P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) where \( \dim(P_1 + \cdots + P_n) = d \): For this we express \( \mathbb{R}^d_+ \) as the disjoint union of locally closed sets, namely

\[
\mathbb{R}^d_+ = \left( \bigcup_{S \in \tilde{C}_n} S \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{S \in \tilde{C}_{n-1}} S \right) \cup \cdots \cup \left( \bigcup_{S \in \tilde{C}_1} S \right) \cup S_0,
\]

where \( \tilde{C}_k \) denotes the set of \( k \)-cells obtained by the hyperplane arrangements as given in (7). For \( \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \), and \( 1 \leq j \leq m \), let \( H_j(\mathbf{z}) \) denote the hyperplane

\[
H_j(\mathbf{z}) = \{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i h(P_i, \mathbf{v}_j) = \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle\},
\]

where \( \mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_m \in \mathbb{Z}^d \) are the outer normals of the facets of the rational polytope \( P_1 + \ldots + P_n \). Similarly define the positive halfspace \( H_j(\mathbf{z})^{+o} \) and positive open halfspace \( H_j(\mathbf{z})^{+o} \) (as in Notations 22 (1)). Now, given any \( I \in \tilde{C}_k \), there exists a unique cell \( S_I \in \tilde{C}_n \) such that \( I \subseteq S_I \), the closure of \( S_I \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d_+ \), and

\[
S_I \subseteq H_{j_1}(\mathbf{z}_1)^{+o} \cap H_{j_2}(\mathbf{z}_2)^{+o} \cap \cdots \cap H_{j_{n-k}}(\mathbf{z}_{n-k})^{+o},
\]

where \( I \subseteq H_{j_1}(\mathbf{z}_1) \cap H_{j_2}(\mathbf{z}_2) \cap \cdots \cap H_{j_{n-k}}(\mathbf{z}_{n-k}) \). Now one can check that \( Q(P_1, \ldots, P_n, \mathbf{s}) = \) constant for all \( \mathbf{s} \in S_I \cup I \) and hence the proof follows imitating the rest of the arguments.

**Theorem 30** Let \( P \) be a convex rational polytope in \( \mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} \) of dimension \( d \) and let

\( \square \) Springer
be the Ehrhart quasi-polynomial for $P_\lambda := P \cap \{z = \lambda\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \{\lambda\}$, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$. Then there are constants, independent of $n$, $\tilde{c}_i(P)$ and $\tilde{c}_{d-1}(P_\lambda)$ such that for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$,

$$|C_i(P_\lambda, n)| \leq \tilde{c}_i(P), \quad \text{and} \quad C_{d-1}(P_\lambda, n) = \tilde{c}_{d-1}(P_\lambda) \quad \text{provided} \quad \lambda n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$  

**Proof** We say a polytope $P$ satisfies ($\star$) condition if all the vertices of $P$ lie in the union of two hyperplanes $\{z = a_1\} \cup \{z = a_2\}$, for some rational numbers $a_1 < a_2$.

First we prove the theorem for $P$ with the additional ($\star$) condition.

Let $P_1 = P \cap \{z = a_1\}$ and $P_2 = P \cap \{z = a_2\}$. Then

$$P_1 = \text{convex hull}\{(v_1, a_1), \ldots, (v_m, a_1)\} \quad \text{and} \quad P_2 = \text{convex hull}\{(w_1, a_2), \ldots, (w_s, a_2)\},$$

for a set of some rational points $\{v_i, w_j\}_{i,j} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$.

Note that, for $\lambda \in [a_1, a_2]$, there is a decomposition as a Minkowski sum

$$P \cap \{z = \lambda\} = r_\lambda P_1 + r'_\lambda P_2, \quad \text{where} \quad r_\lambda = \frac{a_2 - \lambda}{a_2 - a_1}, \quad r'_\lambda = \frac{\lambda - a_1}{a_2 - a_1}.$$  

Let $\tilde{P}_1 = \text{convex hull}\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ and $\tilde{P}_2 = \text{convex hull}\{w_1, \ldots, w_s\}$. Note that $\dim(\tilde{P}_1 + \tilde{P}_2) = d - 1$, as there exists an isometric map $\tilde{P}_1 + \tilde{P}_2 \rightarrow P_1 + P_2$ given by

$$\sum_i \lambda_i v_i + \sum_j \mu_j w_j \mapsto \left(\sum_i \lambda_i v_i + \sum_j \mu_j w_j, a_1 + a_2\right) = \left(\sum_i \lambda_i v_i, a_1\right) + \left(\sum_j \mu_j w_j, a_2\right)$$

and therefore, $\dim(P_1 + P_2) = \dim(2(P \cap \{z = a_1 + a_2/2\})) = d - 1$. Hence, by Theorem 28, we have

$$Q(\tilde{P}_1, \tilde{P}_2, \mathbf{r}) := \#(r_1 \tilde{P}_1 + r_2 \tilde{P}_2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} = \sum_{l_1 + l_2 \leq d-1} p_{l_1, l_2}(\mathbf{r}) r_1^{l_1} r_2^{l_2}, \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Q}^2_{\geq 0},$$

(14)

where, there exist constants $C_{l_1, l_2}$ and $C_{l_1}$ such that

$$|p_{l_1, l_2}(\mathbf{r})| \leq C_{l_1, l_2} \quad \text{and} \quad p_{l_1, d-1-l_1}(\mathbf{r}) = C_{l_1} \quad \text{for all} \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Q}^2_{\geq 0}.$$
If $\lambda n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, then one can check that

$$i(P \cap \{z = \lambda\}, n) = \#((r_\lambda n P_1 + r_\lambda' n P_2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) = \#((r_\lambda n \tilde{P}_1 + r_\lambda' n \tilde{P}_2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}).$$

Therefore, by (14),

$$i(P \cap \{z = \lambda\}, n) = \sum_{l_1 + l_2 \leq d-1} p_{l_1, l_2}(r_\lambda n, r_\lambda' n)r_\lambda^{l_1}r_\lambda'^{l_2}n^{l_1 + l_2} = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} C_i(P_\lambda, n)n^i,$$

where

$$C_i(P_\lambda, n) = \sum_{l_1 + l_2 = i} p_{l_1, l_2}(r_\lambda n, r_\lambda' n)r_\lambda^{l_1}r_\lambda'^{l_2}.$$

Now $a_1 < \lambda < a_2$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ implies $(r_\lambda n, r_\lambda' n) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^2$, and therefore, by Theorem 28 and Theorem 20, there exist constants $c_i'(P)$ and $c_{d-1}'(P_\lambda)$ such that $|C_i(P_\lambda, n)| \leq c_i'(P)$ and $C_{d-1}(P_\lambda, n) = c_{d-1}'(P_\lambda) = r\text{Vol}_{d-1}(P_\lambda)$ (see Definition 47 for a discussion on the relative volume $r\text{Vol}_{d-1}$).

Now $\tilde{c}_i(P) := \max\{c_i'(P), C_i(P_{a_1}, n), C_i(P_{a_2}, n)\}$, is finite, by the theory of Ehrhart polynomials for the rational polytopes $P_{a_1}$ and $P_{a_2}$. Moreover, $C_{d-1}(P_{a_i}, n)$ is constant ($= 0$, if $\dim(P_{a_i}) < d - 1$).

This proves the theorem for a rational polytope $P$ which satisfies the condition ($\star$).

Consider the projection $\pi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to the last coordinate. Let $b_1 < b_2 < \cdots < b_l$, where $b_i$ are the images of the vertices of the polytope $P$. Now $P_{b_{m+1}} := P \cap \{b_m \leq z \leq b_{m+1}\}$ satisfy the condition ($\star$). Hence the proof of the theorem follows by taking

$$\tilde{c}_i(P) = \max\{\tilde{c}_i(P_{b_{m+1}}) | 1 \leq m \leq l - 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{c}_{d-1}(P_\lambda) = \tilde{c}_{d-1}((P_{b_{m+1}})_\lambda),$$

if $\lambda \in [b_m, b_{m+1}]$.

\[\square\]

## 5 Main theorem

We now resume the study of Eto’s set $\mathcal{P}_D$, and the decompositions $\mathcal{P}_D = \bigcup_{j=1}^s P_j'$ and $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_D = \bigcup_{j=1}^s P_j$, as discussed in Sect. 3. We will make use of properties of relative volumes, recalled in “Appendix” (see Lemmas 48 and 49).

### Notations

1. $F(Q) = \{\text{the facets of } Q\}$ and $v(Q) = \{\text{the vertices of } Q\}$, where $Q$ is a convex polytope.
2. Let $v(\mathcal{P}_D) := \bigcup_{j=1}^s \pi(v(P_j))$, where $\pi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the projection given by projecting to the last coordinate $z$ and the set $\pi(v(P_j)) = \{\rho_{j_1}, \ldots, \rho_{j_m}\}$, with $\rho_{j_1} < \rho_{j_2} < \cdots < \rho_{j_m}$.
3. Let $S = \{m/q | q = p^n, \ m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\} \setminus v(\mathcal{P}_D)$.

\[\square\]
Lemma 32 Let $P_j$ be a convex polytope as given in Notations 7 (8). Let

$$i(P_j, n, \lambda) = i(P_{j\lambda}, n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim(P_{j\lambda})} C_i(P_{j\lambda}, n)n^i \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

be the Ehrhart quasi-polynomial for $P_{j\lambda} = P_j \cap \{z = \lambda\}$, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Then for $\lambda \in S$ and $q\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

1. $\sum_{j=1}^s C_{d-1}(P_{j\lambda}, q) = f_{R,m}(\lambda)$.
2. $C_{d-2}(P_{j\lambda}, q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{F \in F(P_j)} \text{rVol}_{d-2}(\tilde{F} \cap \{z = \lambda\})$.
3. For every $i \leq d - 3$, we have $|C_i(P_{j\lambda}, q)| \leq \tilde{c}_i(P_j)$ for some constants $\tilde{c}_i(P_j)$ independent of $\lambda \in S$.

Proof Let $\lambda = m_0/q_0$ and $q \geq q_0$. Then $q\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(1) By Theorem 1.1 of [13], for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $f_{R,m}(\lambda) = \text{Vol}_{d-1}(P_D \cap \{z = \lambda\})$. Also, by the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [13] (see the proof of the claim there), we have

$$C_{d-1}(P_{j\lambda}, q) = C_{d-1}(P_{j\lambda}) = \text{rVol}_{d-1}(P_{j\lambda})$$

and

$$\sum_j \text{rVol}_{d-1}(P_{j\lambda}) = \text{Vol}_{d-1}(P_D \cap \{z = \lambda\}).$$

(2) Case (a): If $\lambda \in (\rho_{j_1}, \rho_{j_m})$, where $\{\rho_{j_1}, \ldots, \rho_{j_m}\} = \pi(v(P_j))$ (as in Notations 31), then $\dim P_{j\lambda} = d - 1$. Note that the set of facets of $P_{j\lambda}$

$$F(P_{j\lambda}) = \{\tilde{F} \cap \{z = \lambda\} \mid \tilde{F} \in F(P_j), \dim \tilde{F} \cap \{z = \lambda\} = d - 2\}.$$ 

By Lemma 14 (3), for any $F \in F(P_{j\lambda})$, we have $A(q_0 F \cap \{z = m_0\}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \neq \phi$. Hence, for all $q \geq q_0$, Theorem 16 implies

$$C_{d-2} \left( q_0 P_{j\lambda}, \frac{q}{q_0} \right) = \sum_{F \in F(P_{j\lambda})} \frac{\text{rVol}_{d-2}(q_0 F_{\lambda})}{2} = \frac{q_0^{d-2}}{2} \sum_{F \in F(P_{j\lambda})} \text{rVol}_{d-2}(F_{\lambda}).$$

Moreover $\text{rVol}_{d-2}(\tilde{F} \cap \{z = \lambda\}) = 0$ if $\dim(\tilde{F} \cap \{z = \lambda\}) < d - 2$.

(2) Case (b): For $\lambda \notin [\rho_{j_1}, \rho_{j_m}]$ we know $i(P_{j\lambda}, q) = 0$, for all $q$.

(3) follows by Theorem 30. This proves the lemma. \hfill \Box

Lemma 33 Let $Q_\alpha = P_i \cap P_j$ or $E_{jv}$ (as in Notations 7 and Remark 13), where

$P_i \neq P_j$. Let $i(Q_{\alpha\lambda}, n) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-2} C_i(Q_{\alpha\lambda}, n)n^i$ be the Ehrhart quasi-polynomial for $Q_{\alpha\lambda} = Q_\alpha \cap \{z = \lambda\}$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$. Then, for $\lambda \in S$ and $q\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

1. $C_{d-2}(Q_{\alpha\lambda}, q) = \text{rVol}_{d-2}(Q_{\alpha\lambda})$. Moreover
2. (a) if $\dim(Q_\alpha) = d - 1$, then $|C_i(Q_{\alpha\lambda}, q)| \leq \tilde{c}_i(Q_\alpha)$, for every $i \leq d - 3$, and
   (b) if $\dim(Q_\alpha) \leq d - 2$, then $i(Q_{\alpha\lambda}, q) \leq C_\alpha q^{d-3}$. 

Proof Let $\lambda = m_0/q_0$ and let $q \geq q_0$. \hfill \Box
Case (a): If \( \dim(Q_{\alpha \lambda}) = d-2 \), then by Lemma 14 (3), for \( q \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), \( A(q_{0}Q_{\alpha \lambda}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d} = A(q_{0}Q_{\alpha} \cap \{z = m_{0}\}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d} \neq \emptyset \). Hence, by Theorem 16

\[
C_{d-2}(q_{0}Q_{\alpha \lambda}, q/q_{0}) = C_{d-2}(q_{0}Q_{\alpha \lambda}) = r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(q_{0}Q_{\alpha \lambda}) = q_{0}^{d-2}r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(Q_{\alpha \lambda}).
\]

(15)

Case (b): Let \( \dim(Q_{\alpha \lambda}) < d-2 \). By Lemma 49, for a convex rational polytope \( Q_{\alpha} \), there exists a constant \( C_{\alpha} \) such that \( i(Q_{\alpha \lambda}, q) \leq C_{\alpha}q^{\dim(Q_{\alpha \lambda})} \). Therefore

\[
C_{d-2}(Q_{\alpha \lambda}, q) = 0 = r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(Q_{\alpha \lambda}).
\]

This proves the first assertion.

(2) If \( \dim(Q_{\alpha}) = d-1 \), then by Lemma 48, there exists a map \( \varphi_{\alpha} : \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \) and \( z_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \) such that \( i(Q_{\alpha \lambda}, q) = i(\varphi_{\alpha}(Q_{\alpha}), q) \), where \( \varphi_{\alpha}(Q_{\alpha}) \) is a \( d-1 \)-dimensional polytope in \( \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \). Hence Assertion 2 (a) follows from Theorem 30. If \( \dim(Q_{\alpha}) \leq d-2 \), then \( Q_{\alpha} = P_{i} \cap P_{j} \), as \( \dim E_{j} = d-1 \) if \( E_{j} \neq \emptyset \). Without loss of generality we can assume that \( \dim(Q_{\alpha}) \geq 1 \). Since \( Q_{\alpha} \) is transversal to the hyperplane \( \{z = 0\} \), we have \( \dim(Q_{\alpha \lambda}) < \dim(Q_{\alpha}) \), for all \( \lambda \). Hence, by Lemma 49, we have Assertion (2) (b). This completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 34 For \( Q'_{\alpha} \), where \( \alpha \in I_{1} \), we have \( i(Q'_{\alpha \lambda}, q) = c'_{\alpha}(\lambda)q^{d-3} \), where \( |c'_{\alpha}(\lambda)| < \tilde{c}_{\alpha} \), for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \).

Proof Note that \( \dim(Q'_{\alpha}) \leq d-2 \), for \( \alpha \in I_{1} \). Hence, by Lemma 14 (1), if \( \dim(Q'_{\alpha \lambda}) = d-2 \), for some \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), then \( \lambda \in v(P_{D}) \). Therefore \( \lambda \in S \) implies \( \dim(Q'_{\alpha \lambda}) \leq d-3 \). Hence the proof follows by Lemma 49.

Definition 35 For a pair \((R, m)\), where \( R \) is a standard graded ring of dimension \( d \), we define

1. a sequence of functions \( g_{n} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), given by

\[
g_{n}(\lambda) = g_{n}([\lambda q]/q) = \frac{1}{q^{d-2}}\left(\ell(R/\mathfrak{m}^{[q]})_{\lfloor \lambda q \rfloor} - \overline{f}_{n}(\lambda)q^{d-1}\right),
\]

where \( f_{R, \mathfrak{m}} \) is the HK density function for \((R, m)\) (see Theorem 5) and \( \overline{f}_{n}(\lambda) := f_{R, \mathfrak{m}}([\lambda q]/q) \).

2. We also define the \( \beta \) density function \( g_{R, \mathfrak{m}} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), given by

\[
g_{R, \mathfrak{m}}(\lambda) = \sum_{\{F \in \mathcal{F}(P_{j}), F \subseteq \partial(C_{D})\}} \frac{r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(F_{\lambda})}{2} - \sum_{\{F \in \mathcal{F}(P_{j}), F \subseteq \partial(C_{D}), u \in L(P_{D})\}} \frac{r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(F_{\lambda})}{2},
\]

where \( P_{j} \) and \( v(P_{D}) \) are as in Notations 7 and in Notations 31 and \( F_{\lambda} = F \cap \{z = \lambda\} \). Hence, by Lemma 11 (2),

\[
g_{R, \mathfrak{m}}(\lambda) = r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(\partial(P_{D}) \cap \partial(C_{D}) \cap \{z = \lambda\}) - \frac{r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(\partial(P_{D}) \cap \{z = \lambda\})}{2}.
\]

(16)
Remark 36 By Lemma 48, for a facet $F$ of $P_j$, there exists an invertible affine transformation $\varphi_F : A(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and $e_F = \varphi(z_1) \in \varphi(A(F)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ (let $z_F$ be the coordinate corresponding to the basis vector $e_F$, see Lemma 48) such that for $\lambda \in S = \{m/p^n \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$,

$$r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(F \cap \{z = \lambda\}) = \text{Vol}_{d-2}(\varphi(F) \cap \{z_F = \lambda\}).$$

Note that $\cup_j \{v(F) \mid F \in F(P_j)\} \subseteq v(P_D)$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.3 of [13], the function $\psi_F : [0, \infty) \cup v(P_D) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by $\lambda \rightarrow \text{Vol}_{d-2}(\varphi(F) \cap \{z_F = \lambda\})$ is continuous.

Thus the function $g_{R,m}$ is a compactly supported function and is continuous outside the finite set $v(P_D)$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.4 of [13], $g_{R,m}$ is a piecewise polynomial function.

Lemma 37 Let $(X, D)$ (as in Notations 4) be a toric pair of dimension $d - 1 \geq 1$ and let $(R, m)$ be the associated coordinate ring. If $\lambda_n := [\lambda q] / q \in S$, then there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_{P_D}$ such that

$$g_n(\lambda) = g_{R,m}(\lambda_n) + \tilde{c}(\lambda_n)/q, \text{ where } |\tilde{c}(\lambda_n)| \leq \tilde{C}_{P_D}.$$ 

Proof For a polytope $P$, we have $i(P, q, \lambda_n q) = i(P_{\lambda_n}, q)$ and $\ell(R/m[\lambda_n q]) = i((P_D)_{\lambda_n}, q)$, where, by Remark 13 (6), we have

$$i((P_D)_{\lambda_n}, q) = \sum_j i((P_j)_{\lambda_n}, q) - \sum_{j<k} i((P_j \cap P_k)_{\lambda_n}, q) - \sum_{j,y} i((E_{j,y})_{\lambda_n}, q) + \sum_{\alpha \in \ell_1} \epsilon_{\alpha} i((H_{\alpha})_{\lambda_n}, q).$$

Now, by Lemma 32, for $1 \leq j \leq s$, we have

$$i((P_j)_{\lambda_n}, q) - r\text{Vol}_{d-1}((P_j)_{\lambda_n})q^{d-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{F \in F(P_j)\}} \text{rVol}_{d-2}(F_{\lambda_n})q^{d-2} + \tilde{c}_j(\lambda_n)q^{d-3}$$

$$= \left[ \sum_{\{F \in F(P_j)\}} \frac{r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(F_{\lambda_n})}{2} + \sum_{\{F \in F(D_{P_D})\}} \frac{r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(F_{\lambda_n})}{2} + \sum_{\{v\}} \frac{r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(E_{j,v})_{\lambda_n}}{2} \right] q^{d-2} + \sum_{j} \tilde{c}_j(\lambda_n)q^{d-3},$$

where $F \in F(P_j)$ and $|\tilde{c}_j(\lambda_n)| \leq \tilde{c}_j$, for some constant $c_j$ independent of $\lambda_n$.

Hence, by Lemma 32,

$$\sum_j i((P_j)_{\lambda_n}, q) - f_{R,m}(\lambda_n)q^{d-1}$$

$$= \left[ \sum_{\{F \cap P_j \cap P_{j'}\}} \frac{r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(F_{\lambda_n})}{2} + \sum_{\{F \cap D_{P_D}\}} \frac{r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(F_{\lambda_n})}{2} + \sum_{\{j,v\}} \frac{r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(E_{j,v})_{\lambda_n}}{2} \right] q^{d-2} + \sum_{j} \tilde{c}_j(\lambda_n)q^{d-3}.$$
and by Lemma 33,
\[ \sum_{i<j} i((P_i \cap P_j)_{\lambda_n}, q) = \sum_{\{F=P_i \cap P_j\}_{i<j}} \mathrm{rVol}_{d-2}(F_{\lambda_n}) q^{d-2} + \tilde{c}_{ij}(\lambda_n) q^{d-3}, \]
where \( |\tilde{c}_{ij}(\lambda_n)| \leq \tilde{c}_{ij} \).

Now, by Lemmas 33 and 34, we have
\[ \frac{1}{q^{d-2}} \ell\left(\frac{R}{\mathbf{m}[q]}\right)_{\lambda_n} q - f_{R,m}(\lambda_n) q = \sum_{\{F \in F(P_j), F \subseteq \partial(C_D)\}} \frac{\mathrm{rVol}_{d-2}(F_{\lambda_n})}{2} - \sum_{\{j,v\}} \frac{\mathrm{rVol}_{d-2}(E_{jv})_{\lambda_n}}{2} + \frac{\tilde{c}(\lambda_n)}{q}, \]
where \( |\tilde{c}(\lambda_n)| \leq \tilde{C}_{P_D} \). Hence \( g_n(\lambda) = g_{R,m}(\lambda_n) + \tilde{c}(\lambda_n)/q \), where \( |\tilde{c}(\lambda_n)| \leq \tilde{C}_{P_D} \).

This implies the lemma. \( \square \)

**Remark 38** By construction, it follows that \( \mathrm{Support}(g_{R,m}) \cup_n \mathrm{Support}(g_n) \subseteq \pi(\overline{P_D}) \), which is a compact set and where \( \pi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \) is the projection as in Notations 31 (2).

**Lemma 39** The function \( g_{R,m} \) is a compactly supported function and is continuous on \( [0, \infty) \setminus v(P_D) \).

1. For any given compact set \( V \subseteq [0, \infty) \setminus v(P_D) \), the sequence \( g_n|_V \) converges uniformly to \( g_{R,m}|_V \).
2. \( \int_0^\infty g_n(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_0^\infty g_{R,m}(\lambda) d\lambda + O(1/q) \).

**Proof** By Remark 36, the function \( g_{R,m} \) is a compactly supported function and is continuous outside \( v(P_D) \).

(1) Let us fix a compact set \( V \subseteq [0, \infty) \setminus v(P_D) \). We can assume \( V \subseteq [0, \pi(\overline{P_D})] \setminus v(P_D) \). Now, let \( [0, \pi(\overline{P_D})] \setminus v(P_D) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m (b_i, b_{i+1}) \), where \( g_{R,m}|(b_i, b_{i+1}) = \Psi_i \), for some polynomial function \( \Psi_i \). We choose \( q_0 = p^{n_0} \) such that
\[ V \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m [b_i + 2/q_0, b_{i+1} - 2/q_0] \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m [b_i, b_{i+1}]. \]

Now, \( q \geq q_0 \) and \( \lambda \in V \cap (b_i, b_{i+1}) \) imply \( \lambda_n \in [b_i + 1/q_0, b_{i+1} - 1/q_0] \). Hence
\[ |g_{R,m}(\lambda) - g_{R,m}(\lambda_n)| = |\Psi_i(\lambda) - \Psi_i(\lambda_n)| \leq C_i/q, \]
where \( C_i \) is a constant determined by \( P_i \) and is independent of \( q \). By Lemma 37, we have \( |g_n(\lambda) - g_{R,m}(\lambda)| \leq C_i/q + \tilde{C}_{P_D}/q \), for all \( \lambda \in V \cap (b_i, b_{i+1}) \) and \( q \geq q_0 \). Hence \( |g_n(\lambda) - g_{R,m}(\lambda)| \leq c_0/q \), for all \( \lambda \in V \) and \( q \geq q_0 \). This proves part (1) of the lemma.

(2) Fix \( q_0 = p^{n_0} \) such that \( \bigcup_{i=1}^m [b_i + 2/q_0, b_{i+1} - 2/q_0] \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m [b_i, b_{i+1}] \). For \( q = p^n \), if \( q \geq q_0 \), then \( V_1 := \bigcup_{i=1}^m [b_i + 2/q, b_{i+1} - 2/q] \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m [b_i, b_{i+1}] \). Now, arguing as above one can deduce that there is a constant \( c_0 \) such that \( |g_n(\lambda) - g_{R,m}(\lambda)| \leq c_0/q \), for all \( \lambda \in V_1 \). Moreover \( \mu([0, \pi(\overline{P_D})] \setminus V_1) \leq 4m/q \) (here \( \mu \) denotes the Lebesgue measure).
measure in \( \mathbb{R}^1 \). Note that all the functions \( g_n \) and \( g \) are bounded with support in \([0, \pi(\overline{p}_D)]\). Hence
\[
\int_0^\infty |g_n(\lambda) - g(\lambda)|d\lambda \leq \int_{V_1} |g_n(\lambda) - g(\lambda)|d\lambda + \int_{[0, \infty) \setminus V_1} |g_n(\lambda) - g(\lambda)|d\lambda = O(1/q).
\]

The same assertion follows for \( q < q_0 \), by the boundedness of \( g \) and \( g_n \). This proves the part (2) of the lemma, and hence the lemma. \( \square \)

**Lemma 40** Let \( f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) be a continuous compactly supported piecewise polynomial function. For \( q = p^n \), let \( \tilde{f}_n : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) be the function given by \( \tilde{f}_n(x) = f(\lfloor qx \rfloor/q) \). Then, for all \( q = p^n \), we have
\[
\int_0^\infty \tilde{f}_n(x) \, dx = \int_0^\infty f(x) \, dx + O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right).
\]

**Proof** We assume the following claim for the moment.

**Claim** If \( P(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x] \) is a polynomial function and \( \tilde{P}_n(x) := P(\lfloor qx \rfloor/q) \), then
\[
\int_0^A [P(x) - \tilde{P}_n(x)] \, dx = P(A)/2q + O(1/q^2).
\]

Now, since \( f \) is a compactly supported piecewise polynomial continuous function, there exist \( 0 = b_0 < b_1 < \ldots < b_{v+1} \) and polynomials \( \Phi_0(x), \ldots, \Phi_v(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x] \) such that \( f|_{[b_i, b_{i+1}]} = \Phi_i(x) \) and \( \text{Support}(f) \subseteq [b_0, b_{v+1}] \) and \( f(b_0) = f(b_{v+1}) = 0 \). Now
\[
\int_0^\infty f(x) \, dx - \int_0^\infty \tilde{f}_n(x) \, dx = \int_0^\infty \left( f(x) - \tilde{f}_n(x) \right) \, dx
= \sum_{i=0}^v \int_{b_i}^{b_{i+1}} (\Phi_i(x) - (\tilde{\Phi}_i)(n)) \, dx
= \sum_{i=0}^v \left[ \int_{b_i}^{b_{i+1}} (\Phi_i(x) - (\tilde{\Phi}_i)(n)) \, dx - \int_{b_i}^{b_{i+1}} (\Phi_i(x) - (\tilde{\Phi}_i)(n)) \, dx \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right),
\]
where \( (\tilde{\Phi}_i)(n) = \Phi_i(\lfloor qx \rfloor/q) \). Therefore, by the above claim
\[
\int_0^\infty [f(x) - \tilde{f}_n(x)] \, dx = \sum_{i=0}^v \left[ \frac{\Phi_i(b_{i+1})}{2q} - \frac{\Phi_i(b_i)}{2q} \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right)
= \sum_{i=0}^v \left[ \frac{f(b_{i+1})}{2q} - \frac{f(b_i)}{2q} \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right).
\]

**Proof of the Claim** We can assume without loss of generality that \( P(x) = x^l \), for some \( l \geq 1 \). Note that there is \( q_0 = p^{n_0} \) such that, for any \( q \geq q_0 \), there is \( l_0 \) such that \( l_0/q \leq A < (l_0 + 1)/q \). Then
\[
\int_0^A (P(x) - \tilde{P}_n(x)) \, dx = \int_0^{l_0/q} (P(x) - \tilde{P}_n(x)) \, dx + O(1/q^2),
\]
as the inequalities $(A - l_0/q) \leq 1/q$ and $l \geq 1$ implies $|\int_{l_0/q}^{A} (P(x) - \tilde{P}_n(x))dx| = O(1/q^2)$. It is also obvious that $P(A)/2q + O(1/q^2) = P(l_0/q)/2q + O(1/q^2)$.

Now, if $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ then

$$\int_{m/q}^{m+1/q} (P(x) - \tilde{P}_n(x))dx = \int_{m/q}^{m+1/q} (x^l - (m/q)^l)dx$$

$$= \int_{m/q}^{m+1/q} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{i} (m/q)^i (x - m/q)^{l-i} \right] dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1/q} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{i} (m/q)^i (t)^{l-i} \right] dt = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{i} (m/q)^i \frac{1}{(l-i+1)(q^{l-i+1})}.$$ 

Now $\sum_{m=0}^{qA/m} m^i / q^{l+1} = A^{i+1}/q^{l-i}(i+1) + O(1/q^{l-i+1})$. This implies

$$\int_{0}^{A} (P(x) - \tilde{P}_n(x))dx = \sum_{l=0}^{qA-1} \int_{m/q}^{m+1/q} (P(x) - \tilde{P}_n(x))dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \left[ \binom{l}{i} (l-i+1)(i+1) \frac{A^{i+1}}{q^{l-i}} + O(1/q^{l-i+1}) \right]$$

$$= \frac{A^l}{2q} + \cdots + \frac{A^2}{2q^{l-1}} + \frac{A}{(l+1)q^l} + O(1/q^2) = P(A)/2q + O(1/q^2).$$

This proves the claim and hence the lemma. \hfill \Box

**Proof** (Proof of the Main Theorem) Now the proof follows by putting together Definition 35 (16), Lemma 39 and taking $f = f_{R,m}$ in Lemma 40. \hfill \Box

**Proof** (Proof of the Corollary 3) Let $g_{R,m}$ and $g_n$ denote the function as given in Definition 35.

The formula for the integral of $g_{R,m}$ follows from the definition of $g_{R,m}$ and Fubini’s theorem.

For $q = p^n$ and for the function $\tilde{f}_n$ given by $\tilde{f}_n(x) = f_{R,m}(\lfloor qx \rfloor/q)$, we have

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} g_n(\lambda)d\lambda = \frac{1}{q^{d-2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \ell((R/m[q]))_{\lfloor q\lambda \rfloor} \right) \tilde{f}_n(\lambda)q^{d-1} d\lambda$$

$$= \frac{1}{q^{d-2}} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{m/q}^{m+1/q} \ell((R/m[q])_{\lfloor q\lambda \rfloor}) - q^{d-1} \int \tilde{f}_n(\lambda)d\lambda \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{q^{d-2}} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q} \ell((R/m[q])_{m}) - q^{d-1} \int \tilde{f}_n(\lambda)d\lambda \right).$$
By Lemma 40,
\[ \int_0^\infty g_n(\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{\ell(R/m^{[q]})}{q^{d-1}} - q \int_0^\infty f_{R,m}(\lambda) d\lambda + O(1/q). \]
hence by Theorem 1.1 of [T2] and part (2) of Lemma 39,
\[ \int_0^\infty g_{R,m}(\lambda) d\lambda + O(1/q) = \frac{\ell(R/m^{[q]})}{q^{d-1}} - (q) e_{HK}(R, m) + O(1/q) \]
which implies
\[ \ell(R/m^{[q]}) = e_{HK}(R, m) q^d + q^{d-1} \int_0^\infty g_{R,m}(\lambda) d\lambda + O(q^{d-2}). \]
This gives the corollary. \qed

**Remark 41** Since \( \partial P_D \) and \( \partial P_D \cap \partial C_D \) consist of rational \( d - 1 \) dimensional convex polytopes, the number \( \beta(R, m) \) (the volume of the integral) is a rational number and also is independent of the characteristic. We note that the above argument gives a direct proof of the result of [9] in our particular situation, for the graded ring \( R \). However, the assertion that \( \beta(R, m) \) is a constant and rational has been proved earlier by Bruns–Gubeladze in [1], for any normal affine monoid.

It is an interesting problem to extend the computations here to the case of \( R \)-modules, and to determine the homomorphism \( Cl(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) of [9] in this toric case.

### 6 Some properties and examples

**Definition 42** Let \( R \) be a Noetherian standard graded ring of dimension \( d \geq 2 \) with the maximal homogeneous ideal \( m \). Let \( \ell(R_m) = e_0(R,m) n^{d-1} + \tilde{e}_1(R, m) n^{d-2} + \cdots + \tilde{e}_{d-1}(R, m) \) be the Hilbert polynomial of \( (R, m) \). We define the Hilbert density function \( F_R : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) of \( R \) as
\[ F_R(\lambda) = \frac{e_0(R,m)}{(d-1)!} \lambda^{d-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(\lambda) := \frac{1}{q^{d-1}} \ell(R_{[q\lambda]}). \]
Similarly, we can define the second Hilbert density function \( G_R : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) as
\[ G_R(\lambda) = \tilde{e}_1(R, m) \lambda^{d-2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(\lambda) := \frac{1}{q^{d-2}} \left( \ell(R_{[q\lambda]}) - F_R \left( \frac{[q\lambda]}{q} \right) \right). \]

**Remark 43** Let \( R \) and \( S \) be two Noetherian standard graded rings over an algebraically closed field \( K \) of dimension \( d \geq 2 \) and \( d' \geq 2 \) with homogenous maximal ideals \( m \) and \( n \), respectively. Then, using the Kunneth formula for sheaf cohomology, it is easy to see
\[ \tilde{e}_1(R \# S, m \# n) = \frac{e_0(R,m)}{(d-1)!} \tilde{e}_1(R, m) + \frac{e_0(S,n)}{(d'-1)!} \tilde{e}_1(S, n). \]
Hence we have $G_{R#S} = G_R F_S + G_S F_R$.

**Proposition 44** Let $(R, m)$ and $(S, n)$ be two Noetherian standard graded rings over an algebraically closed field $K$ (of characteristic $p > 0$) of dimension $d' \geq 2$ and $d \geq 2$, associated with the toric pairs $(X, D)$ and $(Y, D')$, respectively. Then we have,

$$G_{R#S} - g_{R#S,m#n} = (G_R - g_{R,m})(F_S - f_{S,n}) + (G_S - g_{S,n})(F_R - f_{R,m}).$$

Here the functions $g_{R,m}$ and $g_{S,n}$ denote the $\beta$-density functions for the pairs $(R, m)$ and $(S, n)$, respectively. The function $g_{R#S,m#n}$ denotes the $\beta$-density function for the pair $(R#S, m#n)$.

**Proof** Let $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$. For $n \in N$ and $q = p^n$, we write $m = \lfloor q \lambda \rfloor$. Then for the Noetherian standard graded ring $R#S$ with homogenous maximal ideal $m#n$, we have

$$g_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{q^{d+d'-3}} \left( \ell( R#S / (m#n)^{q|1} )_m - f_{R#S,m#n}(m/q) q^{d+d'-2} \right).$$

By Proposition 2.17, [18], we have

$$g_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{q^{d+d'-3}} \left[ \ell(R_m) \ell(S/n^{q|1})_m + \ell(S_m) \ell(R/m^{q|1})_m - \ell(R/m^{q|1})_m \ell(S/n^{q|1})_m \right]$$

$$- \left[ F_R(m/q) f_S(m/q) + F_S(m/q) f_R(m/q) - f_{R,m}(m/q) f_S(n/m/q) \right] q = \Phi_n(\lambda) + \Psi_n(\lambda) + \xi_n(\lambda),$$

where

$$\Phi_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{q^{d+d'-3}} \left[ \ell(R_m) \ell(S/n^{q|1})_m - F_R(m/q) f_S(n/m/q) q^{d+d'-2} \right],$$

$$\Psi_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{q^{d+d'-3}} \left[ \ell(S_m) \ell(R/m^{q|1})_m - F_S(m/q) f_R(m/q) q^{d+d'-2} \right]$$

and

$$\xi_n(\lambda) = - \frac{1}{q^{d+d'-3}} \left[ \ell(R/m^{q|1})_m \ell(S/n^{q|1})_m - f_{R,m}(m/q) f_S(n/m/q) q^{d+d'-2} \right].$$

We have

$$\Phi_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{q^{d+d'-3}} \ell(R_m) \ell(S/n^{q|1})_m - F_R(m/q) f_S(n/m/q) q^{d+d'-2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{q^{d-1}} \ell(R_m) \times \frac{1}{q^{d'-2}} \left[ \ell(S/n^{q|1})_m - f_S(n/m/q) q^{d'-1} \right]$$

$$+ f_{S,n}(m/q) \times \frac{1}{q^{d'-2}} \left[ \ell(R_m) - F_R(m/q) q^{d'-1} \right].$$

Thus $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi_n(\lambda) = F_R(\lambda) g_{S,n}(\lambda) + f_{S,n}(\lambda) G_R(\lambda)$. Similarly $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Psi_n(\lambda) = F_S(\lambda) g_{R,m}(\lambda) + f_{R,m}(\lambda) G_S(\lambda)$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \xi_n(\lambda) = f_{R,m}(\lambda) g_{S,n}(\lambda) + f_{S,n}(\lambda) g_{R,m}(\lambda)$. This implies,
$$g_{R^nS,m^n} = FRg_{S,n} + f_{S,n}GR + FG_{R,m} + f_{R,m}G_{S,n} + f_{S,n}GR_{m,n}.$$  

By Remark 43, we have

$$G_{R^mS} - g_{R^nS,m^n} = (G_R - g_{R,m})(F_S - f_{S,n}) + (G_S - g_{S,n})(F_R - f_{R,m}).$$

\[\square\]

**Example 45** Consider the toric pair \((\mathbb{P}^2, -K)\), where \(-K\) is the anticanonical divisor of \(\mathbb{P}^2\), and let \((R, m)\) be the associated coordinate ring with the homogeneous maximal ideal \(m\). The Hilbert–Kunz function of \((R, m)\) is \(HK(R, m)(q) = q^3 + O(q)\). For \(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\) and for \(q = p^n\), let \(f_n(R, m)\) and \(f_R, m\) be as in given in Theorem 5 and \(g_n\) and \(g_{R,m}\) be as in Definition 35. A simple calculation shows

$$f_n(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2^{q^2}}(m + 2)(m + 1) & \text{if } 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \\
\frac{1}{2^{q^2}}((m + 2)(m + 1) - 3(m - q + 2)(m - q + 1)) & \text{if } 1 \leq \lambda < 2, \\
\frac{1}{2^{q^2}}((m + 2)(m + 1) - 3(m - q + 2)(m - q + 1) + 3(m - 2q + 2)(m - 2q + 1)) & \text{if } 1 \leq \lambda < 2.
\end{cases}$$

Hence

$$f_{R,m}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 & \text{if } 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \\
\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{3}{2}(\lambda - 1)^2 & \text{if } 1 \leq \lambda < 2, \\
\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{3}{2}(\lambda - 1)^2 + \frac{3}{2}(\lambda - 2)^2 & \text{if } 1 \leq \lambda < 2.
\end{cases}$$

$$g_n(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{q}(\frac{3}{2}m + 1) & \text{if } 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \\
\frac{1}{q}(-3m + 9\frac{9}{2}q - 2) & \text{if } 1 \leq \lambda < 2, \\
\frac{1}{q}(\frac{3}{2}m - 9\frac{9}{2}q + 1) & \text{if } 1 \leq \lambda < 2,
\end{cases}$$

$$g_{R,m}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{3}{2}\lambda & \text{if } 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \\
-3\lambda + \frac{9}{2} & \text{if } 1 \leq \lambda < 2, \\
\frac{3}{2}\lambda - \frac{9}{2} & \text{if } 2 \leq \lambda < 3,
\end{cases}$$

and \(\int_0^\infty g_{R,m}(\lambda) \, d\lambda = 0\).

**Example 46** We compute the \(\beta\)-density function for the Hirzbruch surface \(X = \mathbb{F}_a\) with parameter \(a \in \mathbb{N}\), which is a ruled surface over \(\mathbb{P}^1_K\), where \(K\) is a field of characteristic \(p > 0\). See [17] for a detailed description of the surface as a toric variety. The \(T\)-Cartier divisors are given by \(D_i = V(v_i), i = 1, 2, 3, 4\), where \(v_1 = e_1, v_2 = e_2, v_3 = -e_1 + ae_2, v_4 = -e_2\) and \(V(v_i)\) denotes the \(T\)-orbit closure corresponding to the cone generated by \(v_i\). We know the Picard group is generated...
by \( \{D_i : i = 1, 2, 3, 4\} \) over \( \mathbb{Z} \). One can check the only relations in Pic(\(X\)) can be described by \( D_3 \sim D_1 \) and \( D_2 \sim D_4 - a D_1 \). Therefore Pic(\(X\)) = \( \mathbb{Z} D_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z} D_4 \). One can use standard method of toric geometry to see that \( D = c D_1 + d D_4 \) is ample if and only if \( a, c > 0 \). Then \( P_D = \{(x, y) \in M_{\mathbb{R}} | x \geq -c, y \leq d, x \leq a y\} \) and \( \alpha^2 = \text{Vol}(P_D) = cd + \frac{ad^2}{2} \). For a detailed analysis of Hilbert–Kunz function and Hilbert–Kunz density function of \( \mathbb{F}_a \), see [17]. In the following we use results from [17] to calculate \( \{f_n(R, m)\} \) and \( f_{R, m} \) in order to describe the \( \beta \)-density function. One can also use the computations from Example 7.2 of [13].

If \( c \geq d \), then \( f_{R, m}(q) = (*) \), where

\[
(*) = q^3 \left( c + \frac{ad}{2} \right) \left[ \frac{d}{3} + \frac{(d + 1)d}{6c(ad + c)} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6d} \right]
+ q^2 \left( c + \frac{ad}{2} \right) (d + 1) \left[ \frac{1}{4c} + \frac{d}{2c(ad + c)} - \frac{1}{2d} \right] + \frac{d + 1}{2} + O(q)
\]

and if \( c < d \) then \( f_{R, m}(q) = \)

\[
(*) + q^3 d \left( c + \frac{(d + 1)a}{2} \right) \left( \frac{a + 1}{6ad + c} - \frac{1}{6ac} - \frac{a - 2}{4ad + c} - \frac{1}{4d} - \frac{1}{2d} + \frac{c}{6d^2} \right)
- q^2 d \left( c + \frac{(d + 1)a}{2} \right) \left( \frac{a + 2}{4a(c + ad)} + \frac{1}{a - 1} \right)
\]

An easy but tedious calculation shows that, for \( c \geq d \)

\[
g_{R, m}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
(c + \frac{ad}{2} + d) \lambda & \text{if } 0 \leq \lambda < 1 \\
-(c + \frac{ad}{2} + d) \left( cd + \frac{ad^2}{2} + c + \frac{ad}{2} + d \right) \lambda & \\
+(c + \frac{ad}{2} + d) (d + 1) \left( c + \frac{ad}{2} + 1 \right) & \text{if } 1 \leq \lambda < 1 + \frac{1}{c+ad} \\
d(d + 1) - d \left( c + \frac{ad}{2} + d \right) \lambda & \text{if } 1 \leq \lambda < 1 + \frac{1}{c+ad} \\
+(c + \frac{ad}{2}) (d + 1) \left( \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{2a} \right) \left( c + 1 - c \lambda \right) & \text{if } 1 + \frac{1}{c+ad} \leq \lambda < 1 + \frac{1}{c} \\
d(d + 1) - d \left( c + \frac{ad}{2} + d \right) \lambda & \text{if } 1 + \frac{1}{c} \leq \lambda < 1 + \frac{1}{c}.
\end{cases}
\]

and for \( c \leq d \)

\[
g_{R, m}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
(c + \frac{ad}{2} + d) \lambda & \text{if } 0 \leq \lambda < 1 \\
-(c + \frac{ad}{2} + d) \left( cd + \frac{ad^2}{2} + c + \frac{ad}{2} + d \right) \lambda & \\
+(c + \frac{ad}{2} + d) (d + 1) \left( c + \frac{ad}{2} + 1 \right) & \text{if } 1 \leq \lambda < 1 + \frac{1}{c+ad} \\
d(d + 1) - d \left( c + \frac{ad}{2} + d \right) \lambda & \text{if } 1 \leq \lambda < 1 + \frac{1}{c+ad} \\
+(c + \frac{ad}{2}) (d + 1) \left( \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{2a} \right) \left( c + 1 - c \lambda \right) & \text{if } 1 + \frac{1}{c+ad} \leq \lambda < 1 + \frac{1}{c} \\
d(2 + \frac{a}{2}) \left( c + \frac{ad}{2} + \frac{a}{2} \right) & \text{if } 1 + \frac{a+1}{a+ad+c} \leq \lambda < 1 + \frac{1}{c}.
\end{cases}
\]
Appendix A

We recall the following notion of relative volume for a convex polytope, given by R. Stanley ([16, see page 238]).

Definition 47 Let \( Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be an integral convex polytope of dimension \( m \). Let \( A(Q) \) be the affine span of \( Q \). Then \( A(Q) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \) is an abelian group of rank \( m \). Choose an invertible affine transformation \( \varphi : A(Q) \to \mathbb{R}^m \) such that \( \varphi(A(Q) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) = \mathbb{Z}^m \). Then the image \( \varphi(Q) \) of \( Q \) is an integral polytope and relative volume of \( Q \) is defined to be volume of \( \varphi(Q) \).

If \( Q \) is an \( m \)-dimensional rational polytope, then there is \( n > 0 \) such that \( nQ \) is an integral polytope. We define \( \text{rVol}_m(Q) := \text{rVol}_m(nQ)/n^m \) and \( \text{rVol}_{m_1}(Q) := 0 \) if \( m_1 > m \).

Moreover, if \( Q = \bigcup_i Q_i \), is a finite union of \( d' \)-dimensional convex rational polytopes \( Q_i \) such that \( \dim(Q_i \cap Q_j) < d' \), for \( Q_i \neq Q_j \), then we define \( \text{rVol}_{d'}(Q) = \sum_i \text{rVol}_{d'}(Q_i) \) and \( \text{rVol}_{d''}(Q) = 0 \), if \( d'' > d' \). One can show that \( \text{rVol}_{d'}(Q) \) is independent of the choice of the finite decomposition \( Q = \bigcup_i Q_i \).

Lemma 48 Let \( F \) be a rational convex polytope of dimension \( d-1 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) satisfying the following: \( A(F) \cap \{ z = i \} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \neq \phi \), for every \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), where \( A(F) \) denotes the affine hull of the polytope \( F \), and \( z \) is the coordinate function on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Let \( \varphi : A(F) \to \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \) be an invertible affine transformation such that \( \varphi(A(F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) = \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \).

Then there is \( z_1 \in A(F) \cap \{ z = 1 \} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \) and \( \{ x_1, \ldots, x_{d-2} \} \subset A(F) \cap \{ z = 0 \} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \) such that \( \{ \varphi(x_1), \ldots, \varphi(x_{d-2}), \varphi(z_1) \} \) is a basis of \( \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \) and

\[
\text{rVol}_{d-2}(F \cap \{ z = i \}) = \text{Vol}_{d-2}(\varphi(F) \cap \pi_\varphi^{-1}(i)),
\]

where \( \pi_\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} = \varphi(A(F)) \to \mathbb{R} \) is the map given by \( \sum \lambda_i \varphi(x_i) + \lambda_{d-1} \varphi(z_1) \to \lambda_{d-1} \).

Proof Reduction By hypothesis, we can choose \( x_0 \in A(F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \). Let \( y_0 = \varphi(x_0) \). Let \( \psi_{x_0} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( \psi_{-y_0} : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \) denote the translation maps given by the elements \( x_0 \) and \( -y_0 \), respectively. Then replacing \( \varphi \) by \( \psi_{-y_0} \circ \varphi \circ \psi_{x_0} : A(F) - x_0 \to \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \) and \( A(F) \) by \( A(F) - x_0 \), we can assume that \( \varphi \) is a linear transformation and \( A(F) \) is a \( \mathbb{R} \)-vector space. Let \( V_n = A(F) \cap \{ z = n \} \), and then \( V_0 \) is a \( d-1 \)-dimensional vector subspace. We choose \( z_1 \in V_1 \).

Claim (1) \( V_n = V_0 + nz_1 \) and (2) \( \varphi(V_n) = \varphi(A(F)) \cap \pi_\varphi^{-1}(n) \). There exists a basis \( \{ \varphi(x_1), \ldots, \varphi(x_{d-2}), \varphi(z_1) \} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \) of \( \varphi(A(F)) \).

Proof of the Claim (1) Let \( x_1, \ldots, x_{d-2} \) be a set of generators of the free abelian group \( V_0 \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \). Then \( \{ x_1, \ldots, x_{d-2} \} \) is a vector space basis for \( V_0 \). Therefore \( \{ \varphi(x_1), \ldots, \varphi(x_{d-2}), \varphi(z_1) \} \) is a basis for \( \varphi(A(F)) = \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \). Hence \( \varphi(A(F)) = \sum_i \mathbb{R}\varphi(x_i) + \mathbb{R}\varphi(z_1) \). It is obvious that \( V_0 + nz_1 \subseteq V_n \). Let \( y \in V_n \), and then \( y = \sum_i \lambda_i x_i + \lambda_0 z_1 \). Comparing the \( (d-1) \)th coordinate we get \( \lambda_0 = n \) and therefore \( y \in V_0 + nz_1 \). (b) Let \( y \in V_n = V_0 + nz_1 \). Then \( y = (\sum_i \lambda_i x_i) + nz_1 \). This implies \( \varphi(V_n) = \sum_i \mathbb{R}\varphi(x_i) + \mathbb{R}\varphi(z_1) \subseteq \varphi(A(F)) \cap \pi_\varphi^{-1}(n) \). This proves the claim.
Since $\varphi(V_n) = \sum_i \mathbb{R}\varphi(x_i) + n\varphi(z_1)$ and $V_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \sum_{i=1}^{d-2} \mathbb{Z}x_i + \varphi(z_1)$, we have $\varphi(V_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \subseteq \varphi(V_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$. Now

$$\varphi(A(F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) = \bigcup_n \varphi(V_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \subseteq \bigcup_n (\varphi(V_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}) \subseteq \varphi(A(F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}).$$

This implies $\varphi(V_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) = \varphi(V_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $r\text{Vol}_{d-2}(V_n) = \text{Vol}_{d-2}\varphi(V_n) = \text{Vol}_{d-2}[\varphi(A(F)) \cap \pi_{\varphi}^{-1}\{n\}]$. This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 49 If $Q$ is a convex rational polytope in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $Q_\lambda = Q \cap \{z = \lambda\}$, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then there is a constant $C_Q$ (independent of $\lambda$) such that $i(Q_\lambda, n) = c_\lambda(n)d_{\text{dim}}Q_\lambda$ with $|c_\lambda(n)| \leq C_Q$.

Proof For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let $d_\lambda = \text{dim}(Q_\lambda)$. Let $P_\lambda$ be an integral $d_\lambda$-dimensional cube with length of each side $= c_\lambda$ and $Q_\lambda \subseteq P_\lambda$. Then $i(Q_\lambda, n) \leq i(P_\lambda, n) \leq c_\lambda n^{d_\lambda}$. Since $Q$ is a bounded set, there exists a constant $C'_Q$ such that, for any $\lambda$ we can choose $P_\lambda$ with $|c_\lambda| \leq C'_Q$. Hence the lemma follows by taking $C_Q = (C'_Q)^{\text{dim}(Q)}$. □
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