The Sernbo score predicts 1-year mortality after displaced femoral neck fractures treated with a hip arthroplasty
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Background and purpose — Displaced femoral neck fractures (FNFs) are associated with high rates of mortality during the first postoperative year. The Sernbo score (based on age, habitat, mobility, and mental state) can be used to stratify patients into groups with different 1-year mortality. We assessed this predictive ability in patients with a displaced FNF treated with a hemiarthroplasty or a total hip arthroplasty.

Patients and methods — 292 patients (median age 83 (65–99) years, 68% female) with a displaced FNF were included in this prospective cohort study. To predict 1-year mortality, we used a multivariate logistic regression analysis including comorbidities and perioperative management. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was used to evaluate the predictive ability of the Sernbo score, which was subsequently divided in a new manner into a low, intermediate, or high risk of death during the first year.

Results — At 1-year follow-up, the overall mortality rate was 24%, and in Sernbo’s low-, intermediate-, and high-risk groups it was 5%, 22%, and 51%, respectively. The Sernbo score was the only statistically significant predictor of 1-year mortality: odds ratio for the intermediate-risk group was 4.2 (95% CI: 1.5–12) and for the high-risk group it was 15 (95% CI: 5–40). The ROC analysis showed a fair predictive ability of the Sernbo score, with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.79 (95% CI: 0.73–0.83). Using a cutoff of less than 11 points on the score gave a sensitivity of 61% and a specificity of 83%.

Interpretation — The Sernbo score identifies patients who are at high risk of dying in the first postoperative year. This scoring system could be used to better tailor perioperative care and treatment in patients with displaced FNF.

Hip fracture patients are plagued with a high 1-year mortality rate ranging between 8% and 36% (Abrahamsen et al. 2009). Perioperative care of elderly and frail patients with displaced femoral neck fractures (FNFs) might be improved by an accurate estimation of postoperative morbidity and mortality, since such data could call for a more detailed preoperative optimization of comorbidities, perhaps serve as a guide to the most optimal choice of surgical method, and aid in timing of surgery. A number of scoring systems have been used to predict mortality after hip fractures. The most commonly used ones are the physiological and operative severity score for the enumeration of mortality and morbidity (POSSUM) (Mohamed et al. 2002, van Zeeland et al. 2011), the Charlson comorbidity index score (Kirkland et al. 2011), and the Nottingham hip fracture score (Wiles et al. 2011). These scoring systems are, however, based on detailed information on comorbidities, which can be difficult to obtain in elderly patients during the initial acute setting. The Sernbo score is a 4-component score (including age, social situation, mobility, and mental state) that was initially developed as a tool for decision making regarding treatment with either a total hip arthroplasty (THA) or a hemiarthroplasty (HA) (Leonardsson et al. 2010). This simple score can be calculated using information obtained during routine orthopedic patient assessment.

We assessed the Sernbo score in predicting 1-year mortality after hip replacement for a displaced FNF in elderly patients.
Patients
We included all patients aged over 65 years with an acute displaced FNF treated with either HA or THA between February 2012 and February 2015. All non-displaced FNFs and pathological fractures were excluded. The routine at our department is to perform hip arthroplasty for displaced FNF in patients over 65 years of age. THA is used in the relatively younger patients (65–79 years), in more active patients, and in those with rheumatoid or osteoarthritic changes in the affected hip. HA is used in older patients (> 79 years) who are less active and have lower demands, in those with a short life expectancy, and in those with cognitive dysfunction.

Data collection
Data were collected prospectively throughout the study period from a combination of in-hospital surgical and medical records, at admission and during the follow-up period of at least 1 year (or until death). Patient data included age, sex, preoperative comorbidities, ASA score, preoperative hemoglobin and serum creatinine, type of arthroplasty (THA/HA), and surgical approach (Table 2). The Sernbo score includes age, habitat, walking aids, and mental status—giving from 8 up to a maximum of 20 points in 5 increments (Table 1). 3 empirical mortality-risk subgroups were subsequently formed: low risk (20 or 17 points), intermediate risk (14 points), and high risk (11 or 8 points), based on potential subgroups that would have clinically useful differences in mortality.

Implant and surgery
A cemented total hip arthroplasty (THA) or hemiarthroplasty (HA) was used in all patients (Lubinus SP2; Waldemar Link, Hamburg, Germany) with either a modular 32-mm cobalt-chrome femoral head or a uni/bipolar head. Patients were operated either using a direct lateral approach (Hardinge 1982) or a posterolateral approach (Moore 1957) according to the surgeon’s preference. Prophylactic antibiotics (cloxacillin, 2 g; Meda, Solna, Sweden) were administered 30 min preoperatively and 2 more doses were given within 24 h after surgery.

Preoperative and postoperative care
The hip fracture patients in the study were included in a fast-track system, which is routine in our hospital. The ambulance personnel transported the patient on the ambulance trolley directly to the Radiology Department. After radiographs were taken, the patient was transferred to the emergency department for primary assessment. The orthopedic surgeon on call conducted the physical examination, evaluation of radiographs, pain management with fascia iliaca block, scheduling for surgery, and admission to the ward. The preoperative optimization included cardiovascular optimization by the anesthetist on call.

The postoperative care at the orthopedic department included a team with an orthopedic surgeon and a specialist in geriatric medicine.

Statistics
Categorical variables associated with mortality were evaluated using the chi-squared test. Significant variables were then included in a multivariate logistic regression analysis to predict mortality, and the results are presented as odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). The Hosmer-Lemeshow test was used to assess goodness of fit. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to calculate the predictive ability of the Sernbo score and to determine its sensitivity and specificity. The significance level was set at 0.05. To validate the mortality subgroups, Kaplan-Meier survival curves were used with log-rank test. The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics software version 22.0 and using the MedCalc program for the ROC analysis.
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Results
Patients and descriptive data 297 patients with a displaced FNF were treated with either HA (82%) or THA (18%). Their median age was 82 (65–99) years, and 203 (68%) of them...
were women. During the study period, 7 patients had had bilateral surgery for a displaced FNF. 5 of these sustained their contralateral fracture during the first postoperative year, and were therefore excluded (n = 292). None of the patients were lost to follow-up. Further baseline data are presented in Table 2. The distribution of patients in each increment of the Sernbo score are shown in Figure 1 (see Supplementary data).

Mortality

The 1-year mortality was 24% in the whole study group. The mortality according to Sernbo score is presented in Table 3, with the distribution of patients in each increment. According to the previous subclassification of the Sernbo score, 41% of patients had a low mortality risk, 31% had an intermediate risk, and the remaining 28% formed the high-risk group. The mortality in each group was 5%, 22%, and 51%, respectively. According to the Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, these differences were statistically significant (Figure 2). The mortality subgroups intermediate risk and high risk, increasing age, and surgery using hemiarthroplasty were predictive of 1-year mortality in the univariate statistical analyses (Table 4, see Supplementary data). The multivariate analysis generated a final model (Table 5) including the Sernbo intermediate mortality risk group (OR = 4.2, 95% CI: 1.5–12) and the Sernbo high mortality risk group (OR = 15, 95% CI: 5–40). The Hosmer-Lemeshow test for goodness of fit was not significant (chi-square = 4.8; p = 0.8, p = 8) and the Nagelkerke $R^2$ was 0.3. Table 6 is a classification table for multivariate logistic regression, showing observed and predicted mortality.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis

The ROC curve analyses showed that the Sernbo score predicted mortality with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.79 (95% CI: 0.73–0.83), which is normally classified as a fair degree of accuracy (Figure 3). Using the Youden index J of 0.44 and the associated cutoff of less than 11 points on the

### Table 2. Patient demographics based on the patients’ preoperative medical records.

| Age b | 83 (65–99) |
|-------|------------|
| Sex   |            |
| Male  | 94 (32)    |
| Female| 203 (68)   |
| Type of arthroplasty |          |
| Hemiarthroplasty | 243 (82) |
| Total hip arthroplasty | 54 (18) |
| Surgical approach |          |
| Posterolateral | 127 (43) |
| Direct lateral | 170 (57) |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease |      |
| Yes   | 32 (11)    |
| No    | 265 (89)   |
| Diabetes mellitus |        |
| Yes   | 54 (18)    |
| No    | 243 (82)   |
| Ischemic heart disease |      |
| Yes   | 79 (27)    |
| No    | 218 (73)   |
| Parkinson’s disease |       |
| Yes   | 10 (3)     |
| No    | 287 (97)   |
| Stroke |          |
| Yes   | 52 (18)    |
| No    | 245 (82)   |
| 1-year mortality |        |
| Dead  | 72 (24)    |
| Alive | 225 (76)   |
| ASA   |            |
| 1–2   | 146 (49)   |
| 3–4   | 151 (51)   |
| Sernbo score |        |
| High risk | 80 (27)  |
| Intermediate risk | 90 (30) |
| Low risk | 122 (41)  |
| Hemoglobin b, g/L | 130 (85–177) |
| Serum creatinine b, µmol/L | 90 (20–407) |

a Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes mellitus, ischemic heart disease, Parkinson's disease, and previous stroke are noted as being present or not.

b Mean (range)

### Table 3. The number of patients in each increment of Sernbo score who died

| Sernbo score points | Death | No | Yes | Total |
|---------------------|-------|----|-----|-------|
| 8                   | 8     | 8  | 25  | 33    |
| 11                  | 14    | 33 | 47  |       |
| 14                  | 54    | 36 | 90  |       |
| 17                  | 65    | 11 | 76  |       |
| 20                  | 41    | 5  | 46  |       |
| Total               | 182   | 110| 292 |       |

### Table 5. Multivariate logistic regression model predicting 1-year mortality. Based on statistically significant variables from the univariate analyses (Table 4).

| Odds ratio (95% CI) | p-value |
|--------------------|---------|
| Sernbo score        |         |
| Low risk            | 1.0     |
| Intermediate risk   | 4.2 (1.5–12) | 0.01 |
| High risk           | 15 (5.3–40) | < 0.01 |
| Age                 | 1.1 (0.9–1.1) | 0.09 |
| Arthroplasty        |         |
| Total hip arthroplasty | 1.0    |
| Hemiarthroplasty    | 0.9 (0.3–3.5) | 0.9  |
score yielded a sensitivity of 61% and a specificity of 83%. 
Using each of the Sernbo components alone (i.e. age, habitat, 
walking ability, and mental status) to predict 1-year mortality 
generated an AUC of 0.65, 0.68, 0.67, and 0.60, respectively.

Discussion

The expected increase in numbers of patients suffering from a 
displaced FNF (Rosengren et al. 2017) will adversely affect the 
economics of future healthcare systems. A comparison between 
the risk and benefits of different treatments and care will there-
fore be important. In this prospective cohort study, the Sernbo 
score predicted 1-year mortality with a relatively high degree 
of accuracy, and patients in the high-risk group were almost 15 
times more likely to die than those in the low-risk group after a 
displaced FNF treated with either HA or THA.

The typical FNF patient is often elderly and frail, with sig-
nificant comorbidity and a medical history disguised by cog-
nitive impairment and complicated by anticoagulation treat-
ment. The timing of surgery in this population has recently 
been reviewed (Lewis and Waddell 2016), and these authors 
suggest that an early operation (within < 24 h) is appropri-
ate in relatively healthy patients. In patients with substantial 
comorbidities, they concluded that a delay (of up to 5 days) is 
acceptable and will not affect mortality if the general condi-
tion of the patient can be optimized in the meantime. In this 
context, the Sernbo score appears to be a valuable tool for 
identification of patients at risk—and those in need of detailed 
preoperative optimization and intensified postoperative reha-
bilitation.

Current care of displaced FNF patients at our hospital 
focuses on a fast-track system in which the Sernbo score can 
easily be evaluated by the orthopedic surgeon on call or by 
non-specialized caregivers. The Sernbo score seems amend-
able to stratification into 3 rather distinct categories with statis-
tically significantly different mortality rates, which might fur-
ther facilitate its use in clinical practice. It was also anticipated 
that the score would guide surgeons in their choice of surgi-
cal treatment in the high- and low-risk groups. We included 
patients treated with both THA and HA to gain access to the 
whole population of patients with displaced FNFs who were 
eligible for arthroplasty. The choice between THA and HA 
was guided by the patient’s biological age, estimated remain-
ing lifetime, and activity level (Rogmark and Leonardsson 
2016). Even though THA means more extensive surgery and 
higher perioperative risk, the effect on mortality rate in our 
study was small, as also shown in a meta-analysis (Yu et al. 
2012). Thus, our results do not aid in decision making between 
HA and THA, but a 1-year mortality may be too short to be 
fully relevant in this regard. Perhaps future studies with longer 
follow-up may provide better discriminative information. On 
the other hand, it can be argued that the operative trauma of 
adding an acetabular component perhaps does not influence 
mortality, and might in fact lead to less pain and better func-
tion postoperatively. Clearly, the optimal choice between HA 
and THA in the elderly and frail requires further evaluation.

Our findings partially corroborate the findings of Dawe et al. 
(2013), who found the Sernbo score to be the only predictor of 
mortality (AUC = 0.71, 95% CI: 0.65–0.76) with a sensitivity 
of 92% and a specificity of 51%. However, Dawe et al. pre-
sented 30-day mortality as endpoint, and our study suggests 
that the predictive ability of the score is maintained—or per-
haps even slightly better—at 1-year. Our opinion is that these 
data add to its clinical value and merit further use of the score. 
A review by Karres et al. (2015) investigating 6 models for 
prediction of 30-day or 1-year mortality included the Charl-
son comorbidity index (CCI), the orthopedic physiologic and 
operative severity score for the enumeration of mortality and 
morbidity (O-POSSUM), estimation of physiological ability 
and surgical stress (E-PASS), and the Nottingham hip fracture 
score (NHFS)—the latter 3 being specifically designed for 
hip fractures. All the models except O-POSSUM had an AUC 
of greater than 0.7, demonstrating fair discriminative power, 
but none gave good or excellent discrimination. In contrast 
to these scoring systems, the Sernbo score is much less com-
plex and uses simple variables that are often available in the 
emergency setting. The present study achieved a fair degree 
of predictive ability (AUC = 0.79), which indicates that the 
Sernbo score appears to be valid and at least on a par with 
other instruments in the literature (Takawira et al. 2015).

Several factors affect 1-year mortality in this patient group, 
such as age, cognitive impairment (Söderqvist et al. 2009, 
Stewart et al. 2011, Baker et al. 2011, Hu et al. 2012), the 
patient’s pre-fracture living conditions (Holvik et al. 2010, 
Alzahrani et al. 2010, Stewart et al. 2011, Hu et al. 2012), and 
undoubtedly their previous mobility. A higher score on the 
“mini mental test” and female sex has been shown to be asso-
ciated with increased survival rates (Breherton and Parker 
2015). The Sernbo score covers all these observations, and the 
ROC analyses indicated that all of its 4 inherent aspects were 
needed in conjunction to accurately predict mortality. In our
opinion, perhaps the only aspect missing in the Sernbo score is a strictly medical comorbidity item. We could not, however, identify the ASA score as a predictor, in contrast to previous studies (Bretherton and Parker 2015). There are, of course, many other factors that can confound the results, which we have not taken into account. One example is length of hospital stay (Nordström et al. 2015), especially in patients who are discharged early to a short-term nursing home (Nordström et al. 2016), where the risk of complications is higher (Ellis et al. 2011, Grigoryan et al. 2014) and where rehabilitation facilities are less developed (Hollingworth et al. 1993).

The main limitation of the present study is its single-center, observational design—where several confounders may have been either overlooked or underestimated due to type-II error. There was selection bias also, of course, in that the study was conducted using FNF patients who were already eligible for any type of hip arthroplasty surgery. The strength of the study is its prospective design, with none of the patients lost to follow-up. Future, larger studies will show whether this scoring system is useful at the national level, including also patients with trochanteric fractures.

In summary, patients with a Sernbo score graded as high-risk were approximately 15 times more likely to die during the first year after a hip fracture than those in the low-risk group. This easy-to-use scoring system could be used to direct preoperative management and plan multidisciplinary postoperative care in high-risk patients when they are admitted.
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