Electrochemotherapy of radioresistant head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells and tumor xenografts
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Abstract. Electrochemotherapy is an established local ablative method used for the treatment of different tumor types, including tumors of the head and neck area. Clinical studies have demonstrated a lower response rate of tumors that recur in pre-irradiated area. The aim of the present study was to explore the response of experimentally induced radioresistant cells and tumors to electrochemotherapy with cisplatin or bleomycin. The radioresistant cells (FaDu-RR) were established by fractionated irradiation of parental human squamous cell carcinoma cell line, FaDu. We compared the 2 cell lines in response to chemotherapy and electrochemotherapy with cisplatin or bleomycin in vitro and in vivo. Using specific mass spectrometry-based analytical methods we determined the difference in the uptake of chemotherapeutics in tumors after electrochemotherapy. Additionally, we compared the capacity of the cells to repair DNA double-strand breaks (DSB) after exposure to the drugs used in electrochemotherapy with the γH2AX foci resolution determined by immunofluorescence microscopy. Our results indicate radio- and cisplatin cross-resistance, confirmed with the lower response rate of radioresistant tumors after electrochemotherapy with cisplatin. On the other hand, the sensitivity to electrochemotherapy with bleomycin was similar in both cell lines and tumors. While the uptake of chemotherapeutics after electrochemotherapy was comparable in both tumor models, there was a difference between the cell lines in capacity to repair DNA DSB—the radioresistant cells had a lower level of DSB and faster DNA repair rate after exposure to both, cisplatin or bleomycin. Due to the higher complete response rate after electrochemotherapy with bleomycin than with cisplatin, we conclude that the results favor bleomycin-over cisplatin-based electrochemotherapy for treatment of radioresistant tumors and/or tumors that regrow after radiotherapy.

Introduction

Electrochemotherapy is an effective local ablative technique that consists of the use of intratumoral or systemic administration of chemotherapeutics (cisplatin or bleomycin) in combination with electroporation. Electroporation is exposure of cells to short, intense electric pulses that enable formation of transient pores in the cell membrane which allow diffusion of chemotherapeutics into the cells, to exert the antitumor effect (1,2).

There are multiple mechanisms of action involved in electrochemotherapy. The primary mechanism is the direct effect on tumor cells, by increased drug accumulation in the cells after electroporation (3). Furthermore, due to the release of tumor antigens after electrochemotherapy and, consequently, the immunogenic cell death, the immune response of the organism is elicited (4,5). There are also indirect effects of electrochemotherapy, the vascular lock and the vascular disruptive effect (6).

Knowledge of the underlying mechanisms of electrochemotherapy has facilitated its translation into clinical practice for the treatment of various cutaneous and subcutaneous tumors. The objective response rate is high, ~70-80% (7-9) and is comparable to other local ablative treatment approaches (10). In the clinic, electrochemotherapy is predominantly used in palliative treatment for cases of recurrent/residual lesions.
after intensive previous treatments using standard modalities. Furthermore, this method can be the first treatment option when surgery or radiotherapy would have caused an aesthetic or functional defect, e.g. in selected tumors of the head and neck area (11-14).

However, recent studies indicate differential effectiveness of electrochemotherapy in different cell types (15,16). Moreover, it has been shown that the effectiveness of electrochemotherapy depends on the tumor histology (8,17), size (18,19) and previous treatment (20). As reported by Campana et al., the pre-treatment with radio- or chemotherapy significantly lowered the response rate of the electrochemotherapy-treated tumors (20,21). One of the possible reasons for this is the endothelial cell dysfunction (increased permeability and apoptosis) caused by irradiation that contributes to post-irradiation inflammation and tissue fibrosis. In cases with the intravenous administration of the drug, the resulting reduction in blood supply can diminish delivery of the drug to tumor cells (9,22).

In addition, pre-irradiated recurrent tumors consist of selected, highly resistant malignant cells that survived previous treatment. Intrinsic radioresistance of surviving tumor cells is one of the main obstacles in radiotherapy that affects the curability of the patients and could, together with impaired blood supply, contribute to the lower responsiveness to salvage electrochemotherapy (23,24).

In the head and neck area, many tumors treated by electrochemotherapy have been previously irradiated, which pose several questions: First of all, what is their sensitivity to electrochemotherapy as a potential salvage treatment option; and secondly, whether the differential sensitivity to electrochemotherapy with bleomycin or cisplatin exists.

In order to assess the importance of intrinsic radioresistance in salvage electrochemotherapy for recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck, we determined the response rate of a cell line and tumor xenografts in SCID mice of human hypopharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma with induced intrinsic radioresistance to electrochemotherapy with cisplatin or bleomycin. We compared its sensitivity to the parental tumors without the induced radioresistance. Additionally, the uptake of chemotherapeutics in the tumors after electrochemotherapy and capacity of the cells to repair DNA damage after exposure to these drugs were evaluated.

Materials and methods

Cell lines. In the present study, 2 isogenic cell lines were used: parental FaDu [human squamous cell carcinoma cell line; obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA, USA)] and the radioresistant subline FaDu-RR, which was established in the laboratory of the Department of Experimental Oncology (Institute of Oncology, Ljubljana, Slovenia) by fractionated irradiation of the FaDu cell line. The cells received 4 cycles of irradiation (30 Gy/cycle; 2 Gy/day; 5 days a week for 3 weeks; the total dose was 120 Gy) with the Gulmay 225 X-ray system (Gulmay Medical Ltd., Byfleet, UK) with 0.55 mm Cu and 1.8 mm Al filtering, at a dose-rate 1.8 Gy/min. The radioresistance of the newly established FaDu-RR cells was confirmed with clonogenic assay: The effective dose at 50% survival (\(ED_{50}^{cl}\)) was 1.60±0.11 Gy for FaDu and 2.57±0.09 Gy for FaDu-RR (P<0.001) (Table I). Both cell lines were grown as a monolayer in Advanced Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Loughborough, UK), supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 100 U/ml penicillin (Grüßental, Aachen, Germany), 50 mg/ml gentamicin (Krra, Novo Mesto, Slovenia) and 10 mM L-glutamine (GlutaMAX; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

Animals and tumor models. In total, 120 6- to 8-week old female immunodeficient SCID (C.B-17/Scid-Pkdcl−/− mice) were purchased from Envigo Laboratories (Udine, Italy). They were kept at room temperature (21°C) with a 12-h light cycle in a specific pathogen-free environment with food and water ad libitum. The radioresistant and radiosensitive tumor models were induced by subcutaneous injection of 2x10⁶ FaDu or FaDu-RR cells in 100 μl of 0.9% sodium chloride (B. Braun Melsungen AG, Melsungen, Germany) solution. To prepare the cell solution, the cells were trypsinized and centrifuged (470 x g for 5 min). The cell pellet was then resuspended in 0.9% sodium chloride, at the concentration of 20x10⁶ cells/ml. To monitor tumor growth, the volume of the tumors was measured using a Vernier caliper, and calculated with the equation for an ellipsoid: \(V = \frac{\pi a b c}{6}\) (where a, b and c are 3 perpendicular diameters of the tumor). When the tumors reached 6 mm in the longest diameter (~40 mm³), the mice were divided randomly into experimental groups, consisting of 5-7 mice, and the treatment started according to the protocol. The experiments were approved by the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Food of the Republic of Slovenia (permit no. U34401-1/2015/16), and were in compliance with the standards required by the UKCCCR guidelines and the EU directive.

Histology. The radioresistant and radiosensitive tumors (3/group) were induced as described above. When the tumors reached ~100 mm³ in size, they were excised, separated from the skin, fixed in a zinc fixative [BD Pharmingen™ 10X Zinc Fixative (Formalin free); BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA] and embedded in paraffin. From each paraffin block 2-µm-thick sections were cut and stained with Masson trichrome, as well as immunohistochemically (IHC) to determine proliferation (Ki-67; cat. no. RM9106S1; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), hypoxia (HIF-1α; dilution 1:1,000; cat. no. ab2185; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and the number of tumor blood vessels (anti-CD31 antibody; dilution 1:1,500; cat. no. ab28364; Abcam). From the slides, 5 random parts of each tumor were selected and captured with a DP72 CCD camera (Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan) connected to an Olympus BX-51 fluorescence microscope (Olympus Corp.). Images were quantitatively evaluated by 3 independent researchers, as previously described (25).

Exposure to chemotherapeutics in vitro. Cells were plated on Petri dishes (VWR International GmbH, Wien, Austria). When the cells attached, various concentrations of cisplatin (ranging from 1.67 to 8.33 μM) or bleomycin (from 2.5 to 20 μM) suspensions were prepared out of a stock cisplatin (1 mg/ml; Cisplatina Kabi; Fresenius Kabi AG,
Bad Homburg, Germany) or bleomycin (3 mg/ml; Heinrich Marck Nachf GmbH, Illertissen, Germany) suspensions, respectively, and added to the culture medium with the cells. After 2 h, the medium containing the chemotherapeutics was replaced with fresh medium. The cell survival was determined by clonogenic assay, where the plating efficiency (ratio between the number of colonies and the number of plated cells) and surviving fraction (ratio between the plating efficiency of the treated groups and control group) of the cells were calculated.

**Electrochemotherapy in vitro.** In this experiment, 90 µl of cell suspension [2.2×10^7 cells/ml in electroporation buffer (125 mM sucrose, 10 mM K_2HPO_4, 2.5 mM KH_2PO_4 and 2 mM MgCl_2·6H_2O)] was mixed with 10 µl of culture medium, containing increasing concentrations of cisplatin (from 1.67 to 33.32 µM) or bleomycin (from 10^{-5} to 1 µM). One-half of the mixture was exposed to electric pulses and the other half served as control for treatment with the chemotherapeutics alone. After that, the cells were incubated for 5 min at room temperature in ultra-low attachment plates (Costar® 24-Well Plate, Ultra-Low Attachment Surface; Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA), then diluted in the cell culture medium and plated on Petri dishes for the clonogenic assay. The parameters of electrical pulses were 8 square wave electric pulses, amplitude over distance ratio of 1,492.5 µg/ml), dissolved in 0.9% sodium chloride, into the retro-orbital sinus. Selection of the chemotherapeutic dosage for electrochemotherapy was based on previous studies (28,29) and was in the range where complete responses of different tumor models were expected. For electrochemotherapy-treated tumors, electric pulses (8 electrical pulses of 100 µsec duration at 1 Hz, the electric field intensity was 1,300 V/cm) were applied 3 min after the mice were i.v. injected with cisplatin or bleomycin. The electric pulses were delivered by ELECTRO Cell B10 electric pulse generator (Leroy Biotech, Saint-Orens-de-Gameville, France) using 2 stainless steel plate electrodes with 6-mm inner distance. When the tumors reached 250 mm³ in size, the mice were sacrificed with cervical dislocation that followed anesthesia with 3% isoflurane. Survival (Kaplan-Meier) curves were drawn. Growth delay (GD) was calculated as the difference in tumor doubling time (DT) of the treated groups and DT of the corresponding control group. Due to the difference in the growth rate of control tumors (FaDu vs. FaDu-RR), also the normalized GD (nGD) was calculated for each treated group (30).

**Platinum determination in vitro and in vivo.** The uptake of cisplatin was evaluated after chemotherapeutic treatment (32), the blood of the treated mice was collected with 3% isoflurane; the tumors were excised and separated from the overlying skin, weighed and stored at -20°C until further analysis.

As a part of this experiment, the electropermeabilization of these 2 cell lines was determined, measuring the propidium iodide (PI) uptake immediately after electroporation (using electric field intensities from 400 to 1,600 V/cm). The increase in uptake of PI after electroporation was used as an indicator of electropermeability of cells. The measurement of median fluorescence and percentage of PI-positive cells was carried out with FACSCanto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) as described by Prevec et al (27).

**Electrochemotherapy in vivo.** The mice bearing either radioresistant or radiosensitive tumors were divided into the following experimental groups (5-7 mice/group): the control (received no therapy), the electroporation-only, the chemotherapy and the electrochemotherapy group. The mice in the chemotherapy group received cisplatin- or bleomycin-based chemotherapy. They were injected with 4 mg/kg cisplatin (concentration 1,142.8 µg/ml) or 5 mg/kg bleomycin (concentration 1,492.5 µg/ml), dissolved in 0.9% sodium chloride, into the retro-orbital sinus. Selection of the chemotherapeutic dosage for electrochemotherapy was based on previous studies (28,29) and was in the range where complete responses of different tumor models were expected. For electrochemotherapy-treated tumors, electric pulses (8 electrical pulses of 100 µsec duration at 1 Hz, the electric field intensity was 1,300 V/cm) were applied 3 min after the mice were i.v. injected with cisplatin or bleomycin. The electric pulses were delivered by ELECTRO Cell B10 electric pulse generator (Leroy Biotech, Saint-Orens-de-Gameville, France) using 2 stainless steel plate electrodes with 6-mm inner distance. When the tumors reached 250 mm³ in size, the mice were sacrificed with cervical dislocation that followed anesthesia with 3% isoflurane. Survival (Kaplan-Meier) curves were drawn. Growth delay (GD) was calculated as the difference in tumor doubling time (DT) of the treated groups and DT of the corresponding control group. Due to the difference in the growth rate of control tumors (FaDu vs. FaDu-RR), also the normalized GD (nGD) was calculated for each treated group (30).

| Cell line    | ED_{50} ± SEM | DMF (ED_{50}) | ED_{90} ± SEM | DMF (ED_{90}) |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| FaDu        | 1.60±0.11     | 1             | 4.16±0.13     | 1             |
| FaDu-RR     | 2.57±0.09     | 1.60          | 5.35±0.13     | 1.29          |

Comparison between parental (FaDu) and radioresistant (FaDu-RR) cell lines in sensitivity to irradiation. The ED_{50} and ED_{90} values are represented as the arithmetic mean (AM) ± standard error of the mean (SEM) from at least 3 independent experiments, performed in triplicates. DMF, dose-modifying factor; ED_{50}, effective dose killing 50% of the cells; ED_{90}, effective dose killing 90% of the cells. *P<0.05, significantly different from the parental FaDu cells.
All the collected samples were first digested in 1:1 mixture of 65% nitric acid (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and 30% hydrogen peroxide (Merck KGaA) at 90°C for 48 h. Before analyses, digested samples were diluted with Milli-Q water (Direct-Q 5 Ultrapure water system; EMD Millipore, Watertown, MA, USA). Platinum content was determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (7,700x ICP-MS; Agilent Technologies Japan Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) by monitoring the $^{195}$Pt and $^{199}$Pt isotopes (33,34). The measured platinum content in samples (given in ng) obtained from tumors was then divided by the mass of the tumor (g); the serum samples were divided by the volume of isolated serum (ml); the samples from the in vitro experiment were normalized to number of cells in the pellet (ng/10⁶ cells).

**Bleomycin determination in vivo.** The samples for bleomycin determination were obtained in the same way as for platinum determination after in vivo chemo- and electrochemotherapy, using 6 mice/group. For analysis, the tumor samples were ground to fine powder under liquid nitrogen, sonicated, centrifuged and filtered. After the purification with solid phase extraction the bleomycin concentration was determined by liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) on Nexera ultra high performance LC (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) coupled to QTRAP® 4500 MS/MS system (AB Sciex Germany GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) (35). The measured bleomycin concentration in each sample was then normalized to the mass of the tumor or to the volume of the isolated serum, as described above.

γH2AX immunofluorescent staining. For determination of DNA double-strand breaks (DSB) after exposure to cisplatin or bleomycin, the cells were first plated on coverslips in 6-well plates and then exposed to 3.33 µM of cisplatin or 5 µM of bleomycin in cell medium for 2 h. At different time-points after the exposure, the cells were fixed in a mixture of 4% paraformaldehyde [Thermo Fisher (Kendel) GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany] and 0.1% Triton X-114 (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA), and then permeabilized in 0.5% Triton X-114 and after that blocked in 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). Cells were then incubated overnight at 4°C in mouse monoclonal anti-γ H2A.X (phospho S 139) antibody [9F3] (cat. no. ab26350; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) at dilution 1:3,000 in 0.1% BSA and 0.1% Triton X-114 mixture. The cells were then washed thoroughly with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated in secondary donkey anti-mouse IgG H&L (Alexa Fluor 488) antibody (cat. no. ab150105; Abcam) at dilution 1:2,000 in 0.1% Triton X-114 for 1 h at room temperature. The cells were then washed with PBS and distilled water, and the coverslips were mounted on microscope slides with Fluoroshield with DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) for nuclei counterstaining, dried and viewed under the Olympus BX-51 fluorescence microscope (Olympus Corp.) equipped with a camera DP72 (Olympus Corp.). Filter U-MWIB (Olympus Corp.) was used for γH2AX foci and U-MWU2 (Olympus Corp.) was used for nuclei counterstaining at 100-fold magnification. The number of γH2AX foci/nuclei was evaluated by image analysis using Fiji software (ImageJ image processing program; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) (36). For the analysis, γH2AX foci were determined in control groups as well (i.e. cells received no treatment) in both cell lines to assess the baseline number of foci/nuclei. In both control groups, >90% of nuclei had 3 or fewer foci; therefore, in the analysis of the treated cells, nuclei with ≥3 foci were considered as γH2AX-positive.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis and graphical representation of the results were performed by SigmaPlot Software (version 13; Systat Software, Hounslow, UK). All data were tested for normal distribution using Shapiro-Wilk test and the arithmetic mean (AM) and standard error of the mean (SEM) were calculated. Statistical difference between the experimental groups (chemo- and electrochemotherapy in vitro, electropermeabilization, platinum and bleomycin uptake) was determined with a t-test and one-way analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) followed by a Holm-Sidak test. In the γH2AX test, the data are presented as the median and quartiles and the Mann-Whitney rank sum test was used to assess the statistically significant differences. For the statistical analysis of the in vivo growth delay data, the growth delay of tumors in experimental groups was normalized to the doubling time of tumors in the control group of each model due to the difference between growth rates of the 2 models. For the survival of mice, Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was performed. The difference between experimental groups was considered significant if the P-value was <0.05.

**Results**

**Characterization of the cell lines.** The 2 cell lines differed in growth characteristics; the doubling time in FaDu cells was 25±1.2 h, while in FaDu-RR cells, the doubling time was 34.6±2.9 h. The FaDu cell line and its radioresistant subline FaDu-RR differed in sensitivity to a 2-h exposure to cisplatin. The radioresistant cell line FaDu-RR was more resistant to cisplatin compared to the parental FaDu cell line (IC₅₀ for FaDu was 2.55±0.56 and 4.36±0.61 µM for FaDu-RR; P<0.001) (Fig. 1A). However, the 2 cell lines were equally sensitive to a 2-h exposure to bleomycin (IC₅₀ for FaDu was 5.93±0.34 and 6.63±0.47 µM for FaDu-RR; P=0.540) (Fig. 1B).

While the exposure of the cells to electric pulses significantly increased the response of the cell lines to both chemotherapeutics (P<0.001), there was no difference between the cell lines in sensitivity to electrochemotherapy with cisplatin or with bleomycin (Fig. 1C and D). Furthermore, the cells did not differ in membrane electropermeabilization, which was measured with the PI uptake. The percentage of PI-positive cells at 1,300 V/cm (i.e. electric field intensity that is used in electrophoresis) was 95% in both cell lines (Fig. 1E and F), indicating that the resistance of FaDu-RR cells to cisplatin may be due to the impaired influx of cisplatin. By cell electroporation, however, this restriction was overcome and both cell lines were equally sensitive to electrochemotherapy with cisplatin.

**Characterization of the tumor models.** Characteristics of the radiosensitive and radioresistant tumors differed significantly with respect to growth rate and histological properties. The radioresistant tumors grew slower (DT of control groups
was 1.8±0.2 days for FaDu and 2.6±0.4 days for FaDu-RR (P=0.067), which was reflected in the lower proliferation rate of radioresistant tumors: The percentage of the proliferative cells, obtained with IHC staining for the proliferative marker Ki-67, was 83.1±1.3% in FaDu and 53.6±3.3% in FaDu-RR (P<0.001). Furthermore, radioresistant tumors were less vascularized, with a lower average number of blood vessels/field (60-fold magnification), obtained with IHC staining for endothelial cell marker CD31, compared to radiosensitive tumors (12.6±1.0 in FaDu vs. 5.2±0.5 in FaDu-RR; P<0.001). The difference in tumor structure was also noticeable: in FaDu tumors the connective tissue was evenly distributed between the cells while in FaDu-RR tumors, it was less organized in individual bundles. Despite the difference, the percentage of connective tissue was similar in both tumor models (17.3±1.1 in FaDu vs. 20.3±1.0% in FaDu-RR; P=0.094). Furthermore, the tumors did not differ in the percentage of hypoxic cells (5.7±0.6 in FaDu vs. 4.5±0.6% in FaDu-RR; P=0.119), most likely due to the small size of the tumors at the time of the histological analyses (~100-150 mm³) (Fig. 2).

Electrochemotherapy in vivo. Treatment of FaDu or FaDu-RR tumors with cisplatin or bleomycin alone had no effect on their growth, due to relatively low doses of the chemotherapeutics that were used. However, the FaDu-RR tumors were more resistant to electrochemotherapy with cisplatin, compared to FaDu tumors: FaDu-RR tumors had shorter nGD (10.3±0.9 in FaDu-RR, 18.6±3.2 in FaDu; P=0.026) and had no complete responses (CR), while in FaDu tumors there was 16.7% of CR (P=0.015) (Fig. 3A).

After electrochemotherapy of radiosensitive FaDu and radioresistant FaDu-RR tumors with bleomycin, there was no difference in the survival curves (P=0.900), with 40% of CR and comparable nGD (30.9±5.8 in FaDu vs. 27.9±2.1 in FaDu-RR tumors; P=0.787) obtained in the 2 groups (Fig. 3B).

Platinum and bleomycin accumulation. The content of both chemotherapeutics in the tumors and serum was measured 1 h after the treatment with chemotherapy and electrochemotherapy. While there was no difference in platinum or bleomycin accumulation in the serum of mice with FaDu or FaDu-RR tumors (Fig. 4A and B), the tumor uptake of cisplatin was lower in the radioresistant tumors compared to the radiosensitive ones (1.05±0.02 µg/g in FaDu vs. 0.90±0.04 µg/g in FaDu-RR; P=0.010). Nevertheless, after the electrochemotherapy, there was no difference in the uptake of chemotherapeutics between the 2 tumor models: The measured bleomycin concentration in tumors was 0.47±0.06 µg/g in FaDu and 0.42±0.06 µg/g in FaDu-RR (P=0.602); the platinum concentration was 2.07±0.23 µg/g in FaDu and 2.41±0.25 µg/g in FaDu-RR (Fig. 4C and D). Tumor uptake of bleomycin was comparable between FaDu and FaDu-RR tumors, either in case of drug administration only or after adding electric
pulses. The potentiation of the drug content in tumors after application of the electrical pulses was higher after electrochemotherapy with bleomycin (7.6-fold in FaDu and 4.7-fold in FaDu-RR) than with cisplatin (2-fold in FaDu and 2.7-fold in FaDu-RR).

The in vitro experiment (Fig. 4E) showed no difference between the cell lines in regards to platinum accumulation after a 5-min exposure to cisplatin (0.69±0.11 ng/10⁶ cells in FaDu vs. 1.17±0.52 ng/10⁶ cells in FaDu-RR; P=0.210) or after electrochemotherapy (1.67±0.50 ng/10⁶ cells in FaDu vs. 1.47±0.26 ng/10⁶ cells in FaDu-RR; P=0.734).

With the additional experiment, where the exposure time to cisplatin alone was prolonged to 2 h (Fig. 4F), the in vivo results were confirmed, demonstrating lower platinum accumulation in FaDu-RR cells (2.16±0.02 ng/10⁶ cells in FaDu vs. 1.33±0.16 ng/10⁶ cells in FaDu-RR; P=0.006).

The bleomycin uptake in vitro was not performed due to insufficient sensitivity of the analytical method for determination of bleomycin in the cells.

DNA double-strand breaks: Level and repair rate. The γ-H2AX foci were determined at several time-points (i.e.,
6-48 h after exposure to cisplatin and 1-24 h after exposure to bleomycin) with intention to assess the differences in the repair rate of DNA DSBs. The efficiency of the repair was evaluated at the last time-point (48 h after cisplatin and 24 h after bleomycin) as the residual foci indicate the capacity of cells to repair the DNA damage and consequently cell survival (37). Our results suggest that the radioresistant cells repaired DSBs faster and more effectively than the parental cells, regardless of the drug that the cells were exposed to. The percentage of γH2AX-positive nuclei at the last time point after exposure to cisplatin or to bleomycin was significantly lower in FaDu-RR cells (after cisplatin: 38.2±4.6 in FaDu vs. 14.1±0.9% in FaDu-RR; P=0.007; after bleomycin: 42.5±8.3 in FaDu vs. 12.0±5.2% in FaDu-RR; P=0.036). Furthermore, the FaDu cells exhibited a higher level of DNA DSBs after exposure to chemotherapeutics, which was demonstrated by a higher median number of foci/nucleus in FaDu cells; the highest level of foci was detected 18 h after exposure to cisplatin (4 foci/nucleus in FaDu vs. 3 foci/nucleus in FaDu-RR; P=0.128) and 2 h after exposure to bleomycin (12 foci/nucleus in FaDu vs. 3 foci/nucleus in FaDu-RR; P<0.001) (Fig. 5C and D).

Discussion

In the present study, we compared the in vitro and in vivo response of 2 isogenic head and neck cell lines with different radiosensitivity to electrochemotherapy with cisplatin or bleomycin to explore the influence of intrinsic radiosensitivity to the outcome of electrochemotherapy. In addition, the difference in the DNA-damage response after exposure to cisplatin or bleomycin, as well as the difference in the uptake of these drugs, were explored.

The in vivo results indicate that radioresistant tumors were also resistant to electrochemotherapy with cisplatin, but were equally sensitive to electrochemotherapy with bleomycin. Due to the higher complete response rate after electrochemotherapy with bleomycin than with cisplatin, the results favor bleomycin over cisplatin-based electrochemotherapy for treatment of radioresistant tumors and/or tumors that regrow after radiotherapy.

To explore the role of intrinsic radioresistance of tumor cells in the response to treatment with electrochemotherapy, we selected the model where the radioresistant cells were derived from the parental cells, and selected pharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma, since many head and neck tumors that are treated by electrochemotherapy, are pre-irradiated tumors (20,38). We established an isogenic radioresistant cell subline from the head and neck FaDu cell line through repeated exposure to irradiation. The so-called ‘isogenic models of radioresistance’ (39) have already been widely used to study molecular response to irradiation in numerous human cancer cell lines where the selection of a radioresistant subline was achieved through repeated exposure of parental cells to fractionated irradiation of variable overall total dose and treatment time (40-44). Several differences have been observed in radioresistant sublines compared to their parental cell lines, including higher cellular levels of glutathione (45), reduced induction of apoptosis (46) and increased ability to repair DNA damage (47). Furthermore, cross-resistance with DNA-damaging agents, especially with cisplatin, was observed (42,46,48). Additionally, in the clinic, observations indicate that development of radioresistance correlates with the chemoresistance of the tumors and vice versa. Moreover, the tumor response to induction chemotherapy is considered...
the most reliable in vivo assay of tumor chemosensitivity and radiosensitivity (or resistance) currently available in the clinical setting (49). The in vitro results of this study are in line with these observations. While a significant resistance of the radioresistant subline to cisplatin was observed, there was no difference between the cells in sensitivity to bleomycin. Moreover, the repair of DNA double-strand breaks after exposure to cisplatin or bleomycin was faster and more effective in the radioresistant subline, regardless of the agent they were exposed to. The explanation why the latter does not correlate with the cell survival after exposure to bleomycin may lie in different drug mechanisms of action that may be involved (50-52). We must point out that the aim of γH2AX immunofluorescent staining was to assess the difference between the 2 cell lines in the amount and repair-rate of DNA double-strand breaks after exposure to chemotherapeutics, used in electrochemotherapy. Since the electroporation (as a delivery system) only facilitates the passage of the drugs into the cells and does not interfere with the action of the drug on the DNA, we avoided adding the electroporation in this experiment.

When the exposure to cisplatin was combined with electrical pulses (electrochemotherapy), the difference in response between the cell lines was less obvious and not statistically
significant. One of the possible reasons for this observation could be the facilitated passage of the drug through the cell membrane during the electroporation. Our results of the cisplatin uptake indicate that the radioresistant cells develop mechanisms to efflux cisplatin, influencing either active transport or passive diffusion (53,54). The application of electrical pulses overcame this problem, as the uptake of cisplatin in radioresistant cells after electrochemotherapy is comparable to the uptake in the parental cells.

In vivo, the tumors established from the parental cells and the xenografts could only be induced in immuno-compromised SCID mice; consequently, the adaptive immune system that could also play a role in response to electrochemotherapy, was excluded. Exploring the role of the immune system in the response of such tumors to electrochemotherapy would demand a different design of the study, performing other or additional experiments (4,5,60). As this study deals mainly with intrinsic radioresistance of tumor cells, including these experiments would be beyond the scope of the research.

In conclusion, our pre-clinical study of radioresistant head and neck tumor model confirms that intrinsic radioresistance of tumor cells significantly affects the outcome of treatment of such tumors to electrochemotherapy with cisplatin, but not to electrochemotherapy with bleomycin. Due to the higher complete response rate obtained after electrochemotherapy with bleomycin compared to cisplatin, the results favor bleomycin over cisplatin-based electrochemotherapy for treatment of radioresistant tumors and/or tumors that regrow after radiotherapy.
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