ABSTRACT

Objective: TG2 is multifunctional protein. The up regulation leads into different pathological disorders. The objective of the present study was the prediction of a structural feature of TG2 (Tissue transglutaminase) protein with in silico approach.

Methods: In this study, we have investigated the structural feature of TG2 by using various biological databases (uniprot, NCBI, Pfam) and online tools such as BLAST, PDBsum, protoparam tools.

Results: The predicted structure of TG2 protein has shown that amino acid residues conserved throughout the sequence in selected mammals. During the course of evolution, mammalian TG2 protein is orthologous; human TG2 shares its characters with chimpanzee while mice and rat were closely related to each other. This protein was mainly cytosolic but also present in other cell organalles. It has four catalytic domains and three active sites with multiple metal binding domain specifically for calc ium. The pI value was 5.11, GRAVY-0.283. The phosphorylation sites were present at serine and threonine. The structure was a monomer with 14 alpha helices and 9 sheets. Ramachandran plot showed about 98% residues in the favoured region.

Conclusion: Collectively, these data suggest that the predicted TG2 protein may act as a good therapeutic target. Targeting phosphorylation sites may help in down regulation of TG2. The modelled protein can be used for further work.
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INTRODUCTION

Tissue transglutaminase 2 (TG2) is a calcium-dependent cellular matrix protein ubiquitously expressed member of the large family of transglutaminases [1]. Transglutaminases were first isolated from the mammalian liver in 1950s [2]. Transglutaminase family consists nine members, of which TG2 is one among them. TG2 is the most extensively studied member and biologically characterized, because it performs multiple functions and present in the wide spectrum of living organisms such as microorganisms, invertebrates, birds, mammals and predominantly in human beings [3]. In the humans, it is mainly found in both intracellular and extracellular locations, including cytosol, nucleus, endoplasmic reticulum, mitochondria, extracellular matrix, focal adhesion area, and as an intrinsic component of the plasma membrane [4]. TG2 interacts with both intra and extracellular proteins and alters their structure and functions.

Under the normal cellular condition, TG2 is involved in activities such as a cell death process, cell adhesion, post-translation modifications, migration, growth, apoptosis and extracellular matrix organization [5]. When apoptosis begins TG2 plays a role as protector by prevention of tissue damage, inflammation, and autoimmunity by activating macrophages [6]. Dysregulation in its function results in pathological conditions leading to Huntington’s, Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s diseases and various types of cancers [7].

It takes part in cross-linking of proteins by way of formation of covalent bonds with a free amine group and protein-bound glutamines leading into changes in solubility, structure and function of the proteins [8]. TG2 undergoes post-translational modifications (PTM) by phosphorylation, which take place usually at serine-216 (Ser216) and regulates the function of TG2 protein-protein interaction [9-12].

TG2 catalyses Ca^{2+} dependent transamidation reaction and GTP hydrolyzing activities [13, 14]. The activity of TG2 depends upon conformation form. The transamidation reaction and binding with GTP are responsible for a change in conformation status [15]. During transamination reaction, TG2 is found to be in open conformation, but when GTP binding occurs conformation changes to closed or folded form [16]. Closed conformational form (signalling) is the active form of TG2 which promotes cross-linking activity thereby cell adhesion [17]. The cross-linking activity of TG2 also brings about changes in solubility, structure, and function of proteins. This GTP-bound form is thought to act as a signal transducer which relays and receives signals from different receptors [18].

Due to the involvement of TG2 in different diseases, it is an important target as well as a molecular marker [19]. Selective inhibition of TG2 may help in down-regulation of pathological state [20]. For the design and development of specific inhibitors, as drug molecules, understanding of the exact structure of the active site and pathophysiology of this protein is important [21]. Till now crystal structures of the inactive form TG2 bound to GTP, ATP and with irreversible inhibitors is reported [22-24].

The present study has been undertaken to get in-depth understanding of the structure, function, and phylogeny of TG2 protein so that the information would be highly valuable for drug design, and selection of an appropriate animal model for screening drug molecule. Sequence analysis entails multiple sequence alignment, the evolutionary history of human TG2 with other mammalian organisms, physicochemical characteristics, subcellular location, phosphorylation site followed by the secondary structure prediction and the generation of the ramachandran plot. Functional analysis has also been attempted using a battery of computational tools and web servers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Target identification and sequence retrieval

After literature survey, the precise identification of target was performed. The sequences were retrieved from UniProt database.
From the set of the finished genome sequence, the different mammalian proteins were selected.

**Multiple sequence alignment and generating phylogenetic tree using UPGMA**

Multiple sequence alignment was performed using CLUSTAL OMEGA [25] of EBI and a phylogenetic tree was analyzed by using same web tool.

**Protein domain identification and target validation**

The selected protein sequences were used as input using Pfam [26] and the results were cross-checked with NCBI CDD-tool.

To find out the catalytic domain, a significant protein match was prepared by using the BLAST [27] (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) provided by NCBI.

**Prediction of subcellular localization, signal peptide, and physicochemical characterization**

For the human TG2 protein subcellular localization prediction was carried out by CELLO tools [28]. The presence of signal peptide was checked by Signal P 4.1[29] server and physicochemical characterization was done by the ExPaSy Prot Param tool [30].

**Phosphorylation profile analysis and prediction of Accessible Surface Area (ASA)**

Human TG2 protein was subjected to phosphorylation profile analysis using Netphos 2.0 server [31]. ASA was predicted through Net Surf P [32] server of ExPaSy suite.

**Generation of secondary structure and Ramachandran plot**

The secondary structure of human TG2 was predicted by using PDBsum server [33]. To find the percentage of favourable residues the Ramachandran plot was generated in RAMPAGE [34].

**Prediction of tertiary structure**

Tertiary structure prediction was performed by SWISS-MODEL server [35].

**Quality assessment of protein**

The quality of the human TG2 protein structure was determined by ERRAT crystallography was used for verification by this server.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Sequence retrieval**

After protein database search the amino acid sequence of TG2 protein was retrieved from Uniprot. For the present study mammalian organisms; Human, Chimpanzee, Bovine, European polecat, Pig, Mice, Chick were selected (table 1). The reason for this selection for analysis is, because target protein is predominant in the mammals and the study would help to understand the conserved domain and evolutionary relationship of human TG2 with the selected mammals. Since one of the objectives of the study was to find out the suitability of different animals as models for drug screening.

| S. No. | Organisms               | Uniprot ID | Length of protein |
|--------|-------------------------|------------|------------------|
| 1      | *Homo sapiens*          | P 21980    | 687              |
| 2      | *Mus musculus* (Mouse)  | P 21981    | 686              |
| 3      | *Cavia cutleri* (Pig)   | P 08587    | 690              |
| 4      | *Bos taurus* (Bovine)   | P51176     | 687              |
| 5      | *Rattus norvegicus* (Rat)| AAH620621 | 686              |
| 6      | *Pan troglodytes* (Chimpanzee) | K7D7G1   | 687              |
| 7      | *Gallus Gallus* (Chicken) | Q 018413  | 689              |
| 8      | *Mustela Putorufuro*    | G9KTJJ     | 687              |

Table 1: The different organism with uniprot ID and length of protein for the study

![Fig. 1: The multiple sequence alignment of human, chimpanzee, bovine, European polecat, Pig, Mice, Chick. Note that conserved amino acid sequence in different organisms indicated in yellow](image_url)
Multiple sequence alignment

The result of multiple sequence alignment by using the CLUSTAL OMEGA showed that the amino acid residues of TG2 protein were conserved throughout the sequence. Across the motifs, 11 motifs showed maximum conserved regions. The alignment score of 98914 suggested that this protein can act as a good target protein for further work (fig. 1).

Phylogenetic analysis

After multiple sequence alignment, the phylogenetic tree was constructed by using CLUSTAL OMEGA. The entire sequences were used to understand the overall evolutionary pathway for diversification. The method taken for this analysis was UPGMA rooted tree; neighbour-joining tree without distance correction. The results reveal that TG2 protein is orthologous; may evolve same or new function. It has two clusters, one related to higher (Human, chimpanzee, bovine, European polecats, and pig) and other to lower (Mice, rat, chick) classes of mammals (fig. 2).

Conserved domain identification for function prediction and protein family search

The search carried out at Pfam showed that human TG2 protein contains four domains and belongs to different families. At N-terminal transglutaminase–N (4-122 residues) belongs to transglutaminase family, and core domain (256-359 residues) belongs to transglutaminases like superfamily. Two transglutaminase at C-terminal (473-573 and 587-686 residues) belong to transglutaminase C-terminal Ig family. NCBI CDD server also showed the same result as that of Pfam. The BLAST search was carried out with TG2 against the non-redundant database. The query sequence (Homo sapiens) compared with top 100 organisms. The results indicated that, protein has highest scoring hits, 99% significant match and 0 e-value.

Fig. 2: Phylogenetic analysis of TG2 protein human, chimpanzee, bovine, European polecats, and pig.

Fig. 3: Signal p output showed that human TG2 cleavage site (C-score 0.109), signal score (S-score 0.121) and combined cleavage site (V-Score 0.105).

Fig. 4: Secondary structure analysis of human TG2 protein. Helices and sheets ( ) labelled by H1, H2... strands and by their sheets A, B... motifs by G, and, turn ( ) for the beta hairpin and disulphide ( ).
Prediction of subcellular localization, signal peptide, and physicochemical characterization

Analysis of human TG2 protein carried out with CELLO predicted this protein to be cytosolic. Apart from being cytosolic, it is also located in extracellular, plasma membrane, Golgi complex and other cellular components. TMHMM server also predicted that protein to have a major portion cytosolic protein. The Protparam tool identified this protein have molecular weight about 77 kDa. The in vitro studies have shown that the molecular weight ranges in between 76-80kDa [42]. pI (isoelectric point) of TG2 is 5.11, a total number of negatively charged residue (Asp+Glu) 96, positively charged (Arg+Lys) are 71. Grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY) is-0.283, aliphatic index 87.79. The negative GRAVY value of this protein indicates that it is a protein consisting of hydrophilic residues, the pI value indicates that it is possibly an acidic protein. SignalP (V 4.1) tool, indicated this protein to be a cytoplasmic membrane-associated protein and was found to have signal peptide within 1-12 residues (0.121) with cleavage site predicted between 1-30 residues (0.109) (fig. 3).

Phosphorylation profile analysis, ASA prediction

Phosphorylation generally occurs on serine, threonine, tyrosine and histidine residues in eukaryotic proteins. Regions of human TG2 sequence showed extensive phosphorylation on serine and threonine residues, while low phosphorylation capability was predicted at tyrosine residues.

As per NetSurfP tool found that TG2 has a combination of both buried and exposed amino acid residues which signify the presence of transmembrane segments in this human TG2 protein. The RSA (Relative Surface Accessibility) value ranges from 0.021 to 0.716 indicating that this protein is present at both intra and extracellular membrane.

Prediction of secondary structure and ramachandran plot

PDBeSum analysis showed 9 sheets, 1 beta-alpha unit, 14 beta-hairpins, 13 beta bulges, 36 strands, 14 helices, 16 helix-helix interfaces, 57 beta turns, 6 gamma turns and 1 disulfide bond (fig. 4). The Φ and Ψ distributions of the Ramachandran plots of glycine, proline residues are summarized (fig. 5). Altogether 98 % of the residues are in the favored region and remaining 2 % in the allowed region.

Structure prediction validation

Structure modelling of human TG2 protein (P 21980) was carried out by SWISS-MODEL server. For structure modelling all useful parameter was considered as the template for predicting the structure. The modelled structure was opened in SWISS-PDB VIEWER (fig. 6).

Quality of the protein

Structure validation of the predicted structure was done by feeding the predicting high-resolution crystal structures selected from the PDB into the ERRAT protein verification server. The overall quality factor obtained was 96.486. Using this technique we can differentiate between correctly and incorrectly determined regions of protein structure based on the atomic structure of the protein. It provides a useful tool for model-building and structure verification. It appears to be sensitive to errors in backbone positions on the order of 1.5 Å.

DISCUSSION

TG2 plays various roles, but the exact mechanism of action is still not completely understood. As it has significant involvement in the pathogenesis of human diseases including autoimmune [37], neoplastic [38] and chronic inflammatory diseases [39], eventually ending into tissue fibrosis. So the rigorous investigation is in progress on this protein by both in vitro and in silico study. These observations have raised great interest in transglutaminase as a potential drug target [40].

Over the years, evidence from in vitro studies have been shown that this multifunctional protein undergoes transfers among different cell compartments are taking place such as the cytosol, the mitochondria, at both the intracellular and the extracellular surface and nucleus itself. This subcellular redistribution depends on ligands [41] and conformational changes which influence deeply the activity [42] and the physicochemical properties of the protein [43]. The in vitro study of both normal and transformed cell line models showed that TG2 promotes cell-ECM interaction which plays a critical role in cell growth, survival, migration and invasion [44, 45]. In the present study, the signal P and ASA prediction tool also showed that TG2 present in both locations. The phylogenetic analysis showed that in mammals TG2 protein may share common evolutionary characteristic both functional and structural. Human and chimpanzee are more closely related as depicted in the tree and can be shown as per the distances between these two seems to be very less. While other species were found to be distantly related with respect to the human TG2. Rat and mice also have very less distance so it can be concluded that both are closely related to each other, but in vitro study showed that human TG2 shows ~70% sequence homology with guinea pig [46]. Now TG2 has been considered as phosphor-protein in various cancer types, which would entail that TG2 may act as a target protein for upstream kinases. TG2 phosphorylation at Serine and other potentially important phosphorylation sites will provide information for the development of novel drug molecules to reduce the enhanced cancer growth associated matter [47]. Even in current study Netphos tool revealed that phosphorylation site of the human TG2 present at serine, threonine. The human TG2 has four catalytic domains and structure of this domain is similar to that of other members of TG family. The only difference seems to be in catalytic triad which is located at different sites (277,335,358 residues) on TG2 [48]. The unique GTP binding site on TG2 is located in a cleft between the catalytic core and the first β-barrel. The activity of TG2 is also regulated by redox potential [49]. Under the reducing conditions, the activity of TG2 seems to be increased, while it is inhibited by oxidative conditions. This redox potential also depends on disulphide. The disulfide bonds formed by cysteine triads (Cys230, Cys370, and Cys371) under oxidative become conditions inactivate. The protein model proposed in this study may be used for further docking with possible co-factors or relevant protein interactions to understand the potential mechanism of inhibitory action and molecular mechanism [50].

CONCLUSION

This proposed work has practical significance as it provides a foundation to not only the structure but also the post-translational
modification of this protein. Post-translational modification analysis can further be expanded to obtain new insights into the foundation of conformational changes in the cellular environment and also down-regulation of TG2. The structure can be used for interaction study with co-factors or other proteins on the cell to throw light on interaction mechanism.
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