INTRODUCTION

The aging process has influence on oral-pharyngeal transit duration during swallows\(^{11, 12, 13, 15, 19}\). Swallow function has a decline with aging, mainly in those over 80 years old. In the population over 69 years, about 11% of subjects reported symptoms indicative of significant dysphagia. Objective – Our objective was to evaluate the hypothesis that older asymptomatic subjects before 80 years old have compensations to sustain a safe and efficient swallow, at least with swallowing of liquid bolus. Method – We performed videofluoroscopic evaluation of swallows in 55 normal volunteers, a younger group with 33 subjects (16 men and 17 women) aged 19 to 55 years, mean 35.5±9.8 years, and an older group with 22 subjects (15 men and 7 women) aged 56 to 77 years, mean 64.8±6.8 years. The subjects swallowed in duplicate 5 mL and 10 mL of liquid barium with a pH of 7.9, density of 1.82 g/cm\(^3\), and viscosity of 895 cp. Results – The mean duration of pharyngeal transit, pharyngeal clearance, upper esophageal sphincter (UES) opening, hyoid movement and oral-pharyngeal transit were longer in the younger group compared with the older group. The relation between pharyngeal clearance duration and hyoid movement duration was similar in younger and older subjects, for 5 mL and 10 mL bolus volumes. Conclusion – On average, a highly viscous liquid bolus crosses the pharynx faster in older subjects (56-77 years old) than in younger subjects (19-55 years old), which suggested an adaptation to the aging process to maintain a safe swallow.

HEADINGS – Deglutition disorders. Pharynx. Age effect. Peristalsis. Viscosity.
METHOD

Videofluoroscopic evaluation of swallows was performed in 55 asymptomatic volunteers, 31 men and 24 women, aged 19 to 77 years. This population was organized in two groups, a younger group with 33 subjects (16 men and 17 women) aged 19 to 55 years, mean 35.5±9.8 years, 21 with ages below 40 years old, and an older group with 22 subjects (15 men and 7 women) aged 56 to 77 years, mean 64.8±6.8 years, 6 with ages above 70 years old.

As there was only seven women in the older group we compared also the swallows of younger (n=16, ages: 19-52 years, mean: 35.1±9.4 years) and older (n=15, ages: 56-77 years, mean: 64.7±6.8 years) men, and younger (n=17, ages: 22-55 years, mean: 36.4±10.2 years) and older (n=7, ages: 56-72 years, mean: 65.0±6.8 years) women. None of the volunteers had dysphagia, gastroesophageal reflux symptoms, previous surgery of the head, neck, esophagus or stomach, neurologic diseases, or any kind of problem with the ingestion of liquid or solid foods. The study was approved by the Human Research Committee of the University Hospital of Ribeirão Preto. Written informed consent was given by all volunteers.

We used the radiologic instrument Arcomax Phillips model BV 300 (Veenpluis, The Netherlands), and the digital image processing system Ever Focus model EDSR 100 V1.2 (Taipei, Taiwan) with a DVR (Ever Focus) monitor, run at 60 frames/second and a clock time that indicates digital time in seconds and the number of frames on each video frame. Each subject was studied while sitting in a chair, turned laterally to the image intensifier. Lateral images were obtained of the mouth, pharynx, and proximal esophagus. The subjects swallowed in duplicate 5 mL and 10 mL of liquid barium (100% barium sulfate, Barigol, Laboratory Cristália, Itapira SP, Brazil) with a pH of 7.9, density of 1.82 g/cm$^3$, and viscosity of 895 cp, measured at 26º C with spindle rotation of 50 RPM. The viscosity was measured by a Brookfield rheometer (Brookfield Engineering Laboratories, Massachussets, USA).

We timed the following features: (1) onset of propulsive tongue tip movement at the maxillary incisors, (2) onset and end of the hyoid movement, (3) passage of the bolus head through the fauces, (4) passage of the bolus tail through the fauces, and (5) onset and offset of upper esophageal sphincter opening. From these timings we calculated the oral transit (tongue tip at incisors to passage of the bolus tail through the fauces), pharyngeal transit (bolus tail at fauces to closure of UES), pharyngeal clearance (bolus head at fauces to closure of UES), UES opening duration (time between onset and offset of UES opening), duration of hyoid movement (time between onset and end of the hyoid movement), oral-pharyngeal transit (tongue tip at incisors to offset of UES opening), and the relation between pharyngeal clearance duration and hyoid movement duration. The researchers involved in the analyses of the videofluoroscopy were blinded to age of the volunteers before the interpretation of the exams.

The statistical analysis was done by the Center of Quantitative Analysis of the Medical School of Ribeirão Preto USP (CEMEQ) using a linear model with mixed effects (22). The results are reported as mean and standard deviation, unless otherwise stated. The differences were considered significant when $P \leq 0.05$ in a two tailed statistical analysis.

RESULTS

The duration of pharyngeal transit, pharyngeal clearance (Figure 1), UES opening, hyoid movement and oropharyngeal transit were longer in the younger group compared with the older group (Table 1). The results found for younger

![FIGURE 1. Duration of pharyngeal clearance and pharyngeal transit, in seconds, in younger and older subjects after swallows of 5 mL and 10 mL of a highly viscous liquid barium bolus. The horizontal bars represent the mean. *$P \leq 0.05$ vs older](image)

### TABLE 1. Transit duration, in seconds, in younger (n=33) and older (n=22) subjects with swallows of 5 mL and 10 mL highly viscous liquid barium bolus. Mean (SD)

|         | Younger | Older | $P$ value | Younger | Older | $P$ value |
|---------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------|
| 5 mL    |         |       |           |         |       |           |
| OT      | 0.59 (0.32) | 0.49 (0.34) | 0.17       | 0.43 (0.16) | 0.36 (0.15) | 0.22       |
| PT      | 0.34 (0.11) | 0.24 (0.09) | 0.01       | 0.33 (0.12) | 0.25 (0.12) | 0.06       |
| PC      | 0.58 (0.19) | 0.47 (0.30) | 0.05       | 0.56 (0.19) | 0.42 (0.16) | 0.03       |
| UESO    | 0.33 (0.11) | 0.25 (0.10) | 0.01       | 0.38 (0.13) | 0.27 (0.07) | 0.01       |
| HM      | 0.85 (0.29) | 0.57 (0.22) | 0.01       | 0.78 (0.29) | 0.49 (0.13) | 0.01       |
| OPT     | 0.95 (0.35) | 0.76 (0.40) | 0.02       | 0.79 (0.21) | 0.61 (0.22) | 0.02       |

OT: oral transit; PT: pharyngeal transit; PC: pharyngeal clearance; UESO: upper esophageal sphincter opening; HM: hyoid movement; OPT: oropharyngeal transit
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TABLE 2. Transit duration, in seconds in younger (n=16) and older (n=15) men with swallows of a 5mL and 10 mL highly viscous liquid barium bolus. Mean (SD)

|        | Younger | Older | P value | Younger | Older | P value |
|--------|---------|-------|---------|---------|-------|---------|
| OT     | 0.48 (0.28) | 0.51 (0.39) | 0.99 | 0.42 (0.16) | 0.36 (0.15) | 0.39 |
| PT     | 0.36 (0.12) | 0.23 (0.09) | 0.01 | 0.35 (0.12) | 0.22 (0.07) | 0.01 |
| PC     | 0.55 (0.17) | 0.46 (0.35) | 0.07 | 0.55 (0.18) | 0.40 (0.17) | 0.02 |
| UESO   | 0.32 (0.11) | 0.26 (0.11) | 0.09 | 0.37 (0.11) | 0.26 (0.06) | 0.01 |
| HM     | 0.92 (0.29) | 0.60 (0.23) | 0.01 | 0.92 (0.30) | 0.50 (0.12) | 0.01 |
| OPT    | 0.85 (0.33) | 0.75 (0.45) | 0.20 | 0.79 (0.20) | 0.57 (0.20) | 0.01 |

OT: oral transit; PT: pharyngeal transit; PC: pharyngeal clearance; UESO: upper esophageal sphincter opening; HM: hyoid movement; OPT: oropharyngeal transit

TABLE 3. Transit duration, in seconds in younger (n=17) and older (n=7) women with swallows of a 5mL and 10 mL highly viscous liquid barium bolus. Mean (SD)

|        | Younger | Older | P value | Younger | Older | P value |
|--------|---------|-------|---------|---------|-------|---------|
| OT     | 0.69 (0.32) | 0.47 (0.17) | 0.08 | 0.44 (0.16) | 0.37 (0.15) | 0.37 |
| PT     | 0.33 (0.11) | 0.25 (0.08) | 0.22 | 0.31 (0.12) | 0.32 (0.18) | 0.98 |
| PC     | 0.60 (0.2) | 0.49 (0.17) | 0.27 | 0.57 (0.20) | 0.47 (0.14) | 0.33 |
| UESO   | 0.34 (0.12) | 0.24 (0.09) | 0.05 | 0.38 (0.14) | 0.28 (0.09) | 0.04 |
| HM     | 0.77 (0.28) | 0.49 (0.18) | 0.01 | 0.65 (0.21) | 0.46 (0.16) | 0.08 |
| OPT    | 1.05 (0.35) | 0.79 (0.28) | 0.06 | 0.79 (0.23) | 0.70 (0.26) | 0.37 |

OT: oral transit; PT: pharyngeal transit; PC: pharyngeal clearance; UESO: upper esophageal sphincter opening; HM: hyoid movement; OPT: oropharyngeal transit

TABLE 4. Relation between pharyngeal clearance duration/hyoid movement duration with swallows of a 5mL and 10 mL highly viscous liquid barium bolus. Mean (SD)

|        | Younger (n=33) | Older (n=22) | P value |
|--------|---------------|-------------|---------|
| 5 mL   | 0.72 (0.32)   | 0.84 (0.29) | 0.14 |
| 10 mL  | 0.77 (0.26)   | 0.86 (0.31) | 0.21 |

DISCUSSION

We found in this group of older subjects a lower mean of pharyngeal transit duration compared with the mean transit duration of younger subjects. This was an unexpected result which suggested adaptations of the swallowing to the effect of the progressive aging. The faster transit might be the consequence of a possible increase in pharyngeal contraction amplitude among the older subjects, seen with liquid and viscous bolus(28), a fact that may be a compensation to the difficult in pharyngeal transit seen with progressive aging. Esophageal contraction amplitude increases between 40 to 60 years old, and is the same of young subjects after 60 years old(19, 20). Pharyngeal contraction amplitude is described as not influenced(19, 28) or increased(19, 20) by aging. Also, the pharyngeal intrabolus pressure, which may be associated with a possible decrease in pharyngeal compliance and elevated pharyngeal out flow resistance, is increased in the older population, another compensation to maintain a normal pharyngeal flow(24), which is associated with the impairment of the UES opening(19, 24). An increase in pharyngeal contraction amplitude and in pharyngeal intrabolus pressure may be a way to sustain a safe transit duration and avoid the risk of aspiration inside the airway. With the progress of aging, this compensation may be not enough, increasing the pharyngeal transit duration and the risk of aspiration and pulmonary complications(16). A recent investigation described that the tongue-pressure functional reserve does not decline in healthy aging(27), with is another possibility to sustain a rapid pharyngeal transit before the evolution of the aging process cause the impairment of the pharyngeal transit.

Most of the studies which evaluated the pharyngeal transit in the elderly showed that the transit is longer than in younger subjects(12, 13, 15, 19, 24). Increased slowness in movement is a general characteristic in aging(20); however, these investigations had the participation of older subjects than we included in this. The swallowed barium has a
high density, which causes a prolongation of the transit duration in normal subjects\(^{(1, 26)}\), compared with swallows of low-density barium, but there are no results showing that these transit prolongations occur in old people as in young people. Although there was a superposition between the results of pharyngeal transit and clearance between the two age groups, the means of each one are different, raising the possibility that an increase in transit duration with the increase of barium density may happen in some younger subjects but not in older subjects. The aging process causes a progressive loss of pharyngeal transit and clearance between the two age groups, the means of each one are different, raising the possibility that such an increase in the transit duration with the increase of barium density may happen in some younger subjects but not in older subjects. The sensory regulation of swallowing is necessary for a normal deglutition\(^{(14)}\).

With the aging process, the UES pressure decreases\(^{(28, 29)}\) and the sphincter has an opening impairment\(^{(16, 24, 28)}\). The pharyngeal efficiency has to improve to sustain the pharyngeal transit and avoid aspiration. This compensation may be insufficient with the progression of aging, putting the elderly subject at risk of aspiration. Older adults without neurological insults elicit more cortical involvement to complete the same swallowing tasks as younger adults\(^{(8, 11)}\), suggesting that they need a more intense neurological activity to perform a safe swallow.

The duration of the pharyngeal transit in a healthy subject lasts approximately 1 second\(^{(25)}\). As in older subjects pharyngeal swallow response to the bolus presence is delayed\(^{(11)}\), it is possible that the pharyngeal transit is shorter during the compensation period. With the progress of aging, this compensation is lost and the patients may have problems to swallow, more frequently with a higher bolus volume or a viscous bolus, and has an increase in residue amount in vallecula and/or at the UES\(^{(11)}\).

Swallowing apnea duration during saliva swallowing increases with aging in women but not in men\(^{(9)}\), which suggested the possibility that a gender effect may have interaction with an age effect. As we have a predominance of males in the older group and not in the young group, the composition of the population evaluated might have influence on the results. We demonstrated that in men the events associated with swallowing are shorter in older than in younger men. The described effect of the aging process seems to be more intense in men than in women.

Although some differences were observed between younger and older groups, the relation between pharyngeal clearance and hyoid movement duration is similar in both groups, one more indication that there is a swallow adaptation to sustain safe swallows, at least before 70 years. Normal aging does not influence pharyngo-UES coordination\(^{(30)}\).

There are some limitations in this investigation. The proportion of the number of men and women is not the same in the younger and older group, the evaluation was performed with only one consistency and both groups have a large age distribution.

In conclusion, a highly viscous liquid bolus crosses the pharynx faster in older subjects (56-77 years old) than in younger subjects (19-55 years old).
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**RESUMO**

Objetivo – O nosso objetivo foi avaliar a hipótese de que o indivíduo assintomático antes dos 80 anos de idade tem compensações que mantém deglutição segura e eficiente, pelo menos com deglutição de líquido. Método – Foi realizada avaliação videofluoroscópica da deglutição em 55 voluntários normais, um grupo mais jovem, com 33 indivíduos (16 homens e 17 mulheres) com idades entre 19-55 anos, média de 35,5 ± 9,8 anos e um grupo mais velho, com 22 indivíduos (15 homens e 7 mulheres) com idades entre 56-77 anos, média de 64,8 ± 6,8 anos. Os indivíduos ingeriram, em duplicata, 5 mL e 10 mL de sulfato de bário líquido, com pH de 7,9, densidade de 1,82 g/cm\(^3\), e viscosidade de 895 cp. Resultados – A duração média do trânsito faríngeo, da depuração da faringe, da abertura do esfíncter superior do esôfago, do movimento do osso hióide e do trânsito oro-faríngeo foi mais longa no grupo mais jovem em comparação com o grupo mais velho. A relação entre a duração da depuração da faringe e duração do movimento do osso hióide foi semelhante em indivíduos mais jovens e mais velhos, com os volumes de 5 mL e 10 mL. Conclusão – Em média, um bolo líquido altamente viscoso atravessa a faringe mais rápido em indivíduos mais velhos (56-77 anos de idade) do que em indivíduos mais jovens (19-55 anos de idade), o que sugere uma adaptação ao processo de envelhecimento para manter uma deglutição segura.

**DESCRITORES** – Transtornos de deglutição. Faringe. Efeito idade. Peristáltismo. Viscosidade.
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