Data Descriptor: A curated collection of tissue microarray images and clinical outcome data of prostate cancer patients
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Microscopy image data of human cancers provide detailed phenotypes of spatially and morphologically intact tissues at single-cell resolution, thus complementing large-scale molecular analyses, e.g., next generation sequencing or proteomic profiling. Here we describe a high-resolution tissue microarray (TMA) image dataset from a cohort of 71 prostate tissue samples, which was hybridized with bright-field dual colour chromogenic and silver in situ hybridization probes for the tumour suppressor gene PTEN. These tissue samples were digitized and supplemented with expert annotations, clinical information, statistical models of PTEN genetic status, and computer source codes. For validation, we constructed an additional TMA dataset for 424 prostate tissues, hybridized with FISH probes for PTEN, and performed survival analysis on a subset of 339 radical prostatectomy specimens with overall, disease-specific and recurrence-free survival (maximum 167 months). For application, we further produced 6,036 image patches derived from two whole slides. Our curated collection of prostate cancer data sets provides reuse potential for both biomedical and computational studies.

| Design Type(s)       | disease state design • cell type comparison design |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Measurement Type(s)  | Gene Deletion • cell image data                   |
| Technology Type(s)   | chromosome organization assay by fluorescence in situ hybridization • Chromogenic In Situ Hybridization • microscopy assay |
| Factor Type(s)       | diagnosis                                         |
| Sample Characteristic(s) | Homo sapiens • prostate gland • lymph node         |
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Background & Summary

Technical advances of large-scale molecular studies, including next generation genomic analyses and proteomic profiling, of human tissue samples have enabled discovery of genetic and other molecular aberrations in different regions of a tumour, defined as intra-tumour heterogeneity (ITH), having critical implications in precise diagnosis and treatment of cancers\(^1\)-\(^3\). Yet, such studies often evaluate samples prepared from homogenised tissues and exclude corresponding histo-morphology, thereby failing to investigate molecular changes and to identify minor sub-clones at single-cell level.

We have recently developed an integrative method (ISHProfiler), combining an image-based computational workflow with a dual-colour chromogenic and silver in situ hybridization assay (DISH) that accurately detects copy number variation (CNV) with preserved histo-morphology at single-cell resolution, expressively visualizes multi-level heterogeneity (cellular, inter-, and intra-tumour heterogeneity), and objectively quantifies heterogeneous allelic gains and losses of various genes in diverse human tumours hybridized with molecular probes\(^6\). Our ISHProfiler supports broad applications in biomedical and computational research and alleviates the limitations of the gold standard method, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)\(^7\). These include error-prone manual counting under a fluorescence microscope, severe inter-observer variability, and qualitative assessment of genetic status\(^8\)-\(^10\).

To benchmark the ISHProfiler, we have analysed a large number of stained tissue microarray (TMA) images with associated clinical data\(^1\). Here, we provide a more detailed description of these data and guarantee their open access for future data re-mining studies. Our collection of benign and malignant prostate formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue samples consist of PTEN DISH images of a TMA with corresponding signal colour maps of the PTEN gene and the corresponding centromeric probe (CEP) of chromosome 10 (\(n = 71\); Fig. 1), matching hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) images from the serial sections (\(n = 71\)), patches of two whole slide PTEN DISH images (\(n = 3,726\) and \(n = 2,310\)), patient-level annotations (\(n = 71\)), clinical information (\(n = 424\)), survival data (\(n = 339\)), computational models (\(n = 71\)), and computer source codes (Table 1). The 424 prostate FFPE tissue samples comprises 339 radical prostatectomy (RPE) specimens, 28 castration resistant prostate cancers (CRPCs), 17 lymph node metastases (LNM), 11 distant metastases (DM), and 29 benign prostatic hyperplasias (BPHs). In addition, the survival data exhibit a median follow-up of 95 months and a maximum of 167 months for the 339 RPE samples with additional clinico-pathological, immunological and molecular data (Table 2).

In comparison to comprehensive data collections such as TCGA\(^4\), CAMELYON16 (camelyon16.grand-challenge.org), TUPAC16 (www.tupac.tue-image.nl), and HER2 scoring contest (warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/dcs/research/combi/research/bic/her2contest), our data resource is small but well curated. It combines images, genetic information, and the clinical data, into a unified computational model that quantifies PTEN genetic status as distribution. The data reveals multi-level tumour heterogeneity and alleviates the problem that genetic status is traditionally a binary classification. A potential reuse of this collection of data will be the investigation of whether a quantitative, model-based description of a heterogeneous genetic status is superior compared to a binary decision, when associating the results with clinical outcomes.

Although our related work\(^6\) quantifies the genetic alteration and tumour heterogeneity by classifying molecular signals of interest without consideration of tumour cell recognition\(^11\)-\(^12\), the digitized DISH images retain intact tissue morphology, thus potentially enabling studies that address the detection of tumorous tissues and the re-analysis of genetic aberrations at single-cell resolution.

With patient-level annotation of PTEN genetic status of the 71 prostate cancer TMA samples acquired by two different scanning protocols, computational scientists can reuse the dataset for testing whether molecular signals such as genes of interests and the corresponding CEP can be recognized in an unsupervised fashion or independently of scanning procedures, thus completely avoiding the labour-intensive, error-prone, and subjective manual annotation of these molecular signals.

Selection of tumour tissue regions for high-throughput molecular profiling, such as genomic and proteomic studies, is currently accomplished by staining whole slide tissues with H&E, immunohistochemistry (IHC) or in situ hybridization (ISH), followed by manual evaluation of small selected regions of interest by trained pathologists\(^6\). Our quantitative signal colour map produced by ISHProfiler, which preserves tissue topology and combines genetic analysis with clinico-pathological assessment, offers an alternative approach for hotspot tissue region selection from heterogeneous tumour tissues with a high degree of accuracy, objectivity and reproducibility.

Methods

The following methods are either modified, shortened or expanded versions of the methods and Supplementary Information in our related work\(^6\).

Prostate cancer patients

A total of 424 FFPE tissue samples were retrieved from the archives of the Department of Pathology and Molecular Pathology, University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland\(^13\)-\(^17\). One tissue core (diameter 0.6 mm and thickness of 4 \(\mu\)m) of a representative tumour area per patient was taken from a ‘donor’ block and was arranged in a new ‘recipient’ block using a customized instrument. The TMA included a series of consecutive (non-selected) RPE specimens with localized prostate cancer, CRPCs, LNM, DM and BPHs. H&E-stained slides of all specimens were evaluated by two experienced pathologists to identify
representative areas for TMA construction (H.M., P.J.W.). Specimens were annotated with clinical information such as patient demographics, histological findings, treatment, and outcome data including overall and disease-specific survival as well as biochemical (PSA) recurrence. Tumour stage and Gleason score of the cohort were assigned according to the International Union Against Cancer (UICC) and WHO/ISUP 2016 criteria. Gleason scores were assigned by two independent investigators (P.J.W., H.M.) and a consensus was achieved in case of discrepant results by both investigators. Effectively, 424 samples were used in the FISH analysis and a subset of 71 samples was used for DISH manual assessment and computational analysis (Data Citations 1–3). The DISH subset comprises 38 primary acinar adenocarcinomas from RPE, 10 CRPCs, six PC LNM, one DM, and 16 BPHs. The study was approved by the Cantonal Ethics Committee of Zurich (StV-No. 2008-0025) and the associated methods were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines.

**PTEN FISH analysis**

For *PTEN* deletion analysis, a dual-colour FISH was performed using commercially available DNA probes for the region 10q23.3 (Spectrum Orange, *PTEN* locus-specific probe; Abbott Molecular) and 10p11.1-q11.1 (Spectrum Green, CEP of chromosome 10; LSI *PTEN*/CEP10; Abbott Molecular), as described previously. In detail, four micron thick sections were deparaffinized in xylene before immersion in 100% ethanol. Sections were then placed in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0) at 96 °C for 15 min, followed by treatment with pepsin (Medite GmbH) at 37 °C for 40 min. Sections were dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol. Probes and target DNA were co-denatured at 75 °C for 10 min. Post-hybridization washings were performed with 2x SSC solution at room temperature and 73 °C for 2 min. Slides were then air-dried in dark. Nuclei were counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) in an antifade solution. Each tissue core was evaluated for each FISH probe by manually counting signals in 20–60 intact non-overlapping interphase nuclei, using a fluorescence microscope (Leica DM6000 B). Manual scoring (Data Citation 3) was performed in tumour areas with loss of *PTEN* signals. The average of two experienced pathologists’ manual, independent assessment led to the final score.

---

**Figure 1. Sample tissue cores of matching H&E images, DISH images, and signal colour maps.** Six examples of H&E images (top row), DISH images (middle row), and signal colour maps (bottom row) with patient-level expert annotations, such as tissue types and *PTEN* genetic status. Signal colour maps can be generated by our ISHProfiler software. For the signal colour maps, black signals indicate the *PTEN* gene, red signals indicate the CEP10, and green signal indicate the mixed classes *PTEN*+CEP10. CRPC, castration resistant prostate cancer; LNM, lymph node metastases; RPE, radical prostatectomy.
scoring methods were used: the percentage of aberrant nuclei and the ratio of \( \text{PTEN} \) to CEP10 signals. As a threshold for \( \text{PTEN} \) deletion, the percentage of aberrant nuclei was used in accordance to a previous publication\(^{18} \): hemizygous \( \text{PTEN} \) deletion was defined as the presence of fewer \( \text{PTEN} \) signals than CEP10 signals in at least 60% of counted nuclei. Homozygous \( \text{PTEN} \) deletion was defined if at least one third (33%) of aberrant nuclei revealed zero \( \text{PTEN} \) signal in a tissue core, with the presence of one or two \( \text{PTEN} \) signals in adjacent normal cells. Accordingly, \( \text{PTEN} \) deletion was defined if the average ratio of \( \text{PTEN} \) to CEP10 signals was less than or equal to 60%.

| Subjects | Protocol 1 | Protocol 2 | Protocol 3 | Protocol 4 | Data Citation |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Prostate cancer TMA (\( n = 71 \)) | DISH staining and digitization by Zeiss | Manual assessment | Computational analysis (Matlab codes) | Comparison with FISH and patient-level annotation | Data Citation 1 |
| Prostate cancer TMA (\( n = 71 \)) | DISH and H&E staining and digitization by Hamamatsu | Manual assessment | Computational analysis (Matlab codes) | Comparison with FISH and patient-level annotation | Data Citation 2 |
| Prostate cancer (\( n = 424 \)) | FISH staining, collection of clinical and survival data | Manual assessment | Kaplan-Meier estimator (R codes) | Univariate and multivariate Cox regression | Data Citation 3 |
| Prostate cancer and two whole slide images (\( n = 3,726; n = 2,310 \)) | DISH staining and digitization | NA | Computational analysis | Post visual inspection | Data Citation 4 |
| Prostate cancer TMA (\( n = 71 \)) and two whole slide images (\( n = 3,726; n = 2,310 \)) | DISH and H&E staining and digitization by Hamamatsu | NA | NA | Output.ndpi file format | Data Citation 5 |

Table 1. Summary of DISH images, \( \text{PTEN} \) genetic status, clinical information and survival data of patient cohorts with prostate cancer.

| Variable Name | Description (range or categorization) |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| TMA | References the 'Loc' variable in demo1.xlsx (ISHProfiler®) (e.g., A_1_1) |
| rfs | Recurrence-free survival (0–163 months) |
| st_rfs | Status for recurrence-free survival (0: censored, 1: recurrence, 3: never reached nadir) |
| os | Overall survival (0–167 months) |
| st_os_gen | Status for overall survival (0: censored, 1: any death) |
| st_os_spec | Status for disease-specific survival (0: censored, 1: death from prostate cancer) |
| tiss | Tissue type (1: RPE, 2: CRPC, 3: LNM, 4: DM, 8: BPH) |
| age_d64 | Age at RPE (dichotomization by 64) (0 <= 64 years, 1 >= 64 years) |
| BMI_d25 | Body mass index (dichotomization by 25) (0 <= 25 kg m\(^{-2}\), 1 >25 kg m\(^{-2}\)) |
| Gleason Score (1: Gleason score 5–6, 2: 7, 3: 8–10) |
| pT | Tumour stage (1: pT2, 2: pT3, 3: pT4) |
| pN | Nodal status (0: no tumour, 1: positive surgical margin) |
| R1 | Surgical margin status (0: R0, 1: R1) |
| PSA_d10 | PSA at diagnosis (dichotomization by 10) (0 <10 ng ml\(^{-1}\), 1 >=10 ng ml\(^{-1}\)) |
| PTEN_cyt | PTEN IHC (cytoplasmic intensity) (0: negative, 1: weak, 2: moderate, 3: strong) |
| SPOP | SPOP mutation FD (0: wild-type, 1: mutated) |
| ERG | ERG break-apart FISH (0: FISH–, 1: FISH+) |
| PTEN_FISH_ratio | PTEN FISH ratio (0.28–1.00) |
| PTEN_FISH_ratio_d60 | PTEN FISH ratio (dichotomization by 0.6) (0: no deletion (>0.6), 1: PTEN deletion (<=0.6)) |
| PTEN_FISH_percent_ab_nucl | PTEN FISH % aberrant nuclei (0–100) |
| PTEN_FISH_percent_d60 | PTEN FISH % aberrant nuclei (dichotomization by 0.6) (0: no deletion (>0.6), 1: PTEN deletion (<=0.6)) |
| PTEN_total_signals | Total PTEN FISH signals (10–123) |
| nuclei_number | Number of nuclei analysed (9–40) |
| CEP10_total_signals | Total CEP10 FISH signals (17–127) |

Table 2. Summary of clinical and survival data.
PTEN DISH analysis

A BenchMark ULTRA automated stainer was used for the optimization and performance evaluation of the DISH assay for CEP10 and PTEN DNA targets. In this assay, a black signal represented the PTEN probe, a red signal corresponded to the CEP10, which were visualized with ultraView SISH DNP and Red ISH DIG detection kit respectively, after hybridization with the PTEN DNP probe and CEP10 probe cocktail. All tissue sections were counterstained with hematoxylin II and bluing reagent (Ventana). Air-dried glass slides were coverslipped using the Tissue-Tek Film automated coversliper (Sakura Finetek Japan). The threshold of 60% for the ratio was used.

Image-based computational workflow (ISHProfiler)

Tissue cores or slides were digitized and pre-processed (white balancing, deconvolution, and contrast modification) using the scanner’s default auto-correction settings. Images were then resized by bicubic interpolation to 4,096 × 4,096 pixels for efficient tiling (4,096 = 212) and served as input data for the computational workflow. Pseudocode of the workflow was provided in the Supplementary Information of our related study6.

The basic workflow consists of three major algorithmic steps: First, each tissue was digitized, pre-processed, and resized. Second, DISH signals were detected by the circular Hough transform19. Third, a support vector machine (SVM) model20 was trained and validated (5-fold cross validation and grid search that iterates over all pairs of C and gamma) on an independent image set from a single tissue spot with the expert annotation, consisting of 1,000 image patches of size 13 × 13 pixels with PTEN, CEP10, PTEN+CEP10, white background noise and blue cell stains in the centre of the patch. The feature vector was constructed by concatenating (13 × 13 = 169) RGB values. The final model was used to classify the signals into five classes: PTEN, CEP10, mixed classes PTEN+CEP10, background noise and cell stains.

For reduction of misclassified signals, only gene and corresponding CEP signals were used for subsequent calculation. Signals classified as white or blue were discarded. The maximum of the global ratio was set to three to circumvent false positive gene signals due to unspecific staining (any roundish black signals) for cases with gene deletion. About 30% of signals were classified as PTEN or CEP10. Analogous to the ratio scoring method, the global ratio was defined as the division of all PTEN by all CEP10 signals in a single tissue core.

For the circular Hough transform, the signal radii were defined empirically from 1 to 7 pixels according to domain knowledge and the edge gradient threshold was set to Matlab default (Otsu’s method). The detection sensitivity was set to Matlab default (0.85) for tissues scanned by the Zeiss scanner and was set to 0.95 for tissues digitized by the Hamamatsu scanner, because the Zeiss scanner has a higher image resolution and a more advanced sensor.

Based on the class and position of detected molecular signals, a signal colour map can be generated to visualize heterogeneous PTEN deletion. Moreover, advanced algorithms can be used for quantifying genetic alteration and investigating tumour heterogeneity6.

The computational workflow was implemented in Matlab (R2014b) and tested on a MacPro (2014). Matlab built-in functions for the circular Hough transform (imfindcircles) and ROC analysis (perfcurve) were used. The software package LIBSVM21 (version 3.18) was used to train, validate and test SVM models on the data.

Code availability

The Matlab demo codes with initial parameter settings can be downloaded from https://github.com/zhoqi/SD_ISHProfiler. A basic workflow that classifies PTEN and CEP10 DISH signals has been integrated into the open source software TMARKER22 as a JAVA plug-in and is available at: http://www.nexus.ethz.ch/equipment_tools/software/tmarker_Plugins.html. In addition, R codes for survival analysis with Kaplan-Meier estimator and univariate and multivariate Cox regression are also openly available at: https://github.com/zhoqi/SD_survival.

Data Records

Image data

An image dataset (JPEG file format) of the PTEN DISH assay of 71 prostate cancer tissue samples, which were digitized by a Carl Zeiss Axio Scan.Z1 scanner, with a scanning resolution of 40x (0.11 μm per pixel). The native image resolution is 7,000 × 7,000 pixels for a single tissue core. Each tissue core is named as *_PTEN_Zeiss_7000.jpg, where * stands for the sample ID. A single tissue core as used in our work has an image resolution of 4,096 × 4,096 pixels, which is named as *_PTEN_Zeiss_4096.jpg (Data Citation 1). Detailed technical specification of the scanner of the Zeiss scanner can be found at: http://applications.zeiss.com/C125792900358A3F0/EC2B774E2EB1662AC1257ACB00506F68/$FILE/EN_41_011_023_Axio_Scan_Z1.pdf.

An image dataset (JPEG file format) of PTEN DISH assay of 71 prostate cancer tissue samples, which were digitized by a Hamamatsu C9600 NanoZoomer 2.0-HT Digital slide scanner, with a resolution of 40x (0.23 μm per pixel). The original image resolution is 3,100 × 3,100 pixels for a single tissue core, which is named as *_PTEN_Hamamatsu.jpg. In addition, 71 corresponding H&E images, named as *_HE_Hamamatsu.jpg, from serial sections were digitized by the same Hamamatsu scanner.
A whole tissue slide (108,000 × 138,000 pixels) of a transurethrally resected CRPC sample, which was hybridized with PTEN DISH and digitized with a Hamamatsu C9600 NanoZoomer 2.0-HT Digital slide scanner. The whole tissue side image (JPEG file format) was tiled into 3,726 single image patches of size 2,000 × 2,000 pixels, which were organized according to the accompanying README file and the overview image 'B12-7405_8_boxes.jpg' in PTEN.zip (Data Citation 4).

A second whole tissue slide (84,000 × 110,000 pixels) of an intra-ductal carcinoma of the prostate (IDC-P), which was hybridized with PTEN DISH and digitized with a Hamamatsu C9600 NanoZoomer 2.0-HT Digital slide scanner. The whole tissue side image (JPEG file format) was tiled into 2,310 single image patches of size 2,000 × 2,000 pixels, which were organized according to the accompanying README file and the overview image 'B05-41379_10_boxes.jpg' in PTEN_IDCP.zip (Data Citation 4).

Each tissue image was provided in its native.ndpi format, which can be handled by the OpenSlide (www.openslide.org) software. The.ndpi TMA DISH image contains all 71 images (PTEN_Hamamatsu.ndpi). The same applies to the.ndpi TMA H&E image (HE_Hamamatsu.ndpi). The two whole slide images (B12-7405_8.ndpi and B05-41379_10.ndpi) are provided in original resolution and not tiled into ndpi). The same applies to the.ndpi TMA DISH image (www.hamamatsu.com/resources/pdf/sys/SBIS0043E_NanoZoomers.pdf.

Clinical and survival data
Clinical and survival data of 424 prostate cancer patients (SPSS file format and tab-delimited format) with manual annotation of PTEN FISH for 339 RPE cases (Data Citation 3). The column names and their descriptions are listed in Table 2.

Technical Validation
Validated by independent images
The set of 71 DISH tissue cores was digitized by the bright-field and fluorescence slide scanner (Carl Zeiss) according to manufacturer’s instructions. In addition, we also performed a second digitization by using a Hamamatsu scanner. With application of the same parameter settings of circular Hough transform and SVM model, our image-based computational workflow produced similar classification results for both image datasets (r = 0.83, P < 0.0001), independent of scanning resolution and quality.

Validation on two whole slide images
The whole slide image with 108,000 × 138,000 pixels was tiled into 3,726 image patches of size 2,000 × 2,000 pixels. We then used the same parameter settings as the TMAs for the calculation of circular Hough transform and the SVM model to detect and classify molecular signals. A landscape of PTEN deletion was generated by merging all signal colour maps of each image patches. The heterogeneous PTEN status matched closely to a serial section that was immunohistochemically stained with anti-PTEN antibody (Dako; clone 6H2.1). We performed the same validation on a second whole slide image with 84,000 × 110,000 pixels and obtained a similar result.

Statistical validation of survival data
Nonparametric Kaplan-Meier estimators were used to analyse the overall, disease-specific and recurrence-free survival of the 339 RPE cases, of which 298 patients have median follow-up of 95 months with a variety of clinico-pathological, immunohistochemical and molecular features. We provided the original SPSS file (Data Citation 3) and R source codes (Code availability) for plotting a Kaplan-Meier curve for the overall survival (Fig. 2a,b) and for performing analysis of univariate and multivariable Cox regression (Fig. 2c), in which multivariate stepwise reverse selection was set to P = 0.1 as the limit. The figures shown in this section are a modified version of Supplementary Figs 2 and 3 in our related work, in which additional Kaplan-Meier curves for disease-specific and recurrence-free survival and forest plots for univariate Cox regression were reported.

Usage Notes
Brief instructions
The first dataset (Data Citation 1) comprises high quality images that were scanned by a Carl Zeiss Axio Scan.Z1 scanner. The leading part of the filename (e.g., A_1_1 from A_1_1_PTEN_Zeiss_4096) matches the corresponding identifier in the first column of the annotation file demo1.xlsx (Loc: TMA core location; label: No deletion versus deletion; type: tissue type including BHP, RPE, CRPC, LNM, and DM; DISH_Manual: manual assessment of PTEN to CEP10 ratio).

To use ISHProfiler software, perform the following tasks.

1. Download the Matlab code from https://github.com/zhoqi/SD_ISHProfiler.
2. Install LIBSVM, version 3.18 or above, in the same directory as demo1.m.
3. Download the 71 images, named as *_PTEN_Zeiss_4096.jpg from (Data Citation 1), and place them in the directory `/images`

4. Run demo1.m, demo2.m and demo3.m

The file demo1.m outputs the global ratios for all 71 tissue cores. It also plots a sample tissue core image, superimposed with detected and classified PTEN gene and CEP signals. The PTEN signals are in black, CEP10 signals in red, and the mixed classes of PTEN+CEP10 signals in green. The file demo2.m plots pairwise distances of 71 tissue cores in the two-dimensional principal component analysis subspace, color-coded by PTEN DISH expert annotation. The file demo3.m plots six sample signal colour maps and outputs a computational model that specifies the position and colour of the detected molecular signals for all 71 tissue cores.
each tissue core. With modifications of demo2.m, users can apply the code to the other datasets (Data Citations 2 and 4), without changing the pre-trained SVM model model.mat. For computational scientists, they can explore these rich datasets by providing their own user annotations or perform unsupervised learning of genetic alteration and tumour heterogeneity.

Survival analysis of the 339 RPE prostate cancer patients recorded in the SPSS file (Data Citation 3) can be performed by running the R code pten_surv.R (Code availability), which generates plots as in (Fig. 2) and in our related work6. Make sure that the external packages survival, Olsurv and foreign are pre-installed.

We are going to prepare a series of multi-omics (genomics, transcriptomics and proteomics) datasets, which are based on the same patient cohort of this manuscript. Therefore, the clinical and survival data of 424 prostate cancer patients serves as a master file for future reference (Data Citation 3).

Access controls
Access permission to data and computer codes will be granted for research purpose only. Any interested researcher who will use the clinical survival data (Data Citation 3) is required to agree to the terms and conditions given by the authors prior to data access.
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