Oral Gene Application Using Chitosan-DNA Nanoparticles Induces Transferable Tolerance
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. Chitosan (medium molecular weight [MMW]; degree of deacetylation [DD], 79%), ovalbumin (grade V), Freund’s adjuvant (complete, i.e., containing 1 mg/ml killed M. tuberculosis), para-nitrophenyl-phosphate (pNPP), and an anti-mouse IgG (Fc-specific) antibody (alkaline phosphatase conjugated; produced in goat) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Deisenhofen, Germany). Materials. Chitosan (medium molecular weight [MMW]; degree of deacetylation [DD], 79%), ovalbumin (grade V), Freund’s adjuvant (complete, i.e., containing 1 mg/ml killed M. tuberculosis), para-nitrophenyl-phosphate (pNPP), and an anti-mouse IgG (Fc-specific) antibody (alkaline phosphatase conjugated; produced in goat) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Deisenhofen, Germany).

Plasmids. pEGFP was purchased form Clontech (Germany). The enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-encoding region (764 bp) was cloned into pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) to obtain EGFP/pcDNA3.1. BamHI and EcoRI (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) were used as restriction enzymes. OVA/pcDNA3 was a kind gift from Martin Vabulos (Max-Plank-Institut for Biochemistry, Martinsried, Germany) (37). Plasmids were isolated using the Qiagen Plasmid Maxikit (Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Nanoparticle formulation. Nanoparticles were made by complex coacervation of chitosan and DNA as described before (35). Briefly, plasmid solution (200 μg/ml) was mixed 1:1 with sodium sulfate (90 mM)
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Oral tolerance is a promising approach to induce unresponsiveness to various antigens. The development of tolerogenic vaccines could be exploited in modulating the immune response in autoimmune disease and allograft rejection. In this study, we investigated a nonviral gene transfer strategy for inducing oral tolerance via antigen-encoding chitosan-DNA nanoparticles (NP). Oral application of ovalbumin (OVA)-encoding chitosan-DNA NP (OVA-NP) suppressed the OVA-specific delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) response and anti-OVA antibody formation, as well as spleen cell proliferation following OVA stimulation. Cytokine expression patterns following OVA stimulation in vitro showed a shift from a Th1 toward a Th2/Th3 response. The OVA-NP-induced tolerance was transferable from donor to naïve recipient mice via adoptive spleen cell transfer and was mediated by CD4+CD25+ T cells. These findings indicate that nonviral oral gene transfer can induce regulatory T cells for antigen-specific immune modulation.

The intestinal mucosa is constantly challenged by numerous external antigens. The majority consist of food antigens and commensal bacteria against which the immune system usually reacts with systemic unresponsiveness. This phenomenon is known as oral tolerance (17). In recent years, various experimental models exploiting oral tolerance showed its potential in prevention and treatment of diseases such as eczema, atopy, arthritis, uveitis, myasthenia gravis, type 1 diabetes, and allograft rejection (3, 16, 26, 34, 44, 46, 48). However, translation of oral tolerance into clinical studies proved to be difficult (7, 14, 24, 33, 39, 43). Possible explanations could be the required antigen dose, the purity of the antigen, modifications of the antigen during the gastrointestinal passage, and the ways in which the antigen is expressed and presented to the immune system of the gut. Furthermore, developing tolerogenic vaccines on a protein basis for oral tolerance requires selection and purification of the antigen. A potential alternative could be the use of DNA-encoded vaccines, applied via a nonviral gene delivery system, resulting in direct expression of the antigen in the gut.

Chitosan, a nontoxic biodegradable polycationic polymer with low immunogenicity, was shown to be a useful oral gene carrier (8, 27, 28). Chitosan has been complexed with plasmid DNA, forming chitosan-DNA nanoparticles (NP), which are stable during the gastrointestinal passage and will be phagocytized in the gut, resulting in gene expression (2). It was shown that feeding of factor VIII-encoding chitosan-DNA NP to hemophilia A mice resulted in increased factor VIII plasma levels (6, 15) and that oral application of erythropoietin-encoding chitosan-DNA NP led to a significant increase of hematocrit levels (8). In rodent models of diabetes, chitosan-DNA NP encoding insulin or glucagon-like peptide 1 were able to decrease blood glucose concentrations (23, 31, 32). In addition, there is potential for chitosan-DNA NP to be used for immune modulation. Intranasal vaccination with pneumococcal surface antigen A-encoding chitosan-DNA NP or pulmonary application of chitosan-DNA NP encoding T cell epitopes from Mycobacterium tuberculosis led to immune stimulation (4, 45). Roy et al. showed that oral administration of chitosan complexed with DNA encoding a dominant peanut allergen is effective in reducing murine anaphylactic responses to peanuts (35). Although it has been shown that nonviral gene application for immune modulation offers a promising way to induce systemic tolerance for the prevention and treatment of autoimmune, allergic disease and allograft rejection, the underlying immunological mechanisms are less well understood. In this study, we directly compared the effectiveness of protein- and DNA-based tolerogenic vaccines to ovalbumin as a model antigen. In addition, we analyzed the potential of ovalbumin-encoding chitosan-DNA NP (OVA-NP) to induce oral tolerance to OVA and characterized the cellular mechanisms mediating this tolerance induction.
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and heated to 55°C. MMW-chitosan was purified before use. Therefore, it was reprecipitated with ammonia from a chitosan solution (0.5% [wt/vol] chitosan in 1% acetic acid). The precipitate was washed two times in deionized water and vacuum dried. The purified chitosan (37 mg) was solubilized in 200 ml of acetic acid (25 mM), and the pH value was adjusted to 5.5 with NaOH. After sterile filtration of the chitosan solution, it was also heated to 55°C. The heated plasmid and chitosan solutions were mixed 1:1 and vortexed at high speed for 30 s. Particles were stored at room temperature.

**Mice.** BALB/c mice (H-2b) were originally purchased from Charles River (Sulzfeld, Germany). All mice used in this study were aged between 6 and 12 weeks at the time of experimental use and were bred and maintained at the animal facility of the Department of Experimental Surgery at the University of Erlangen-Nürnberg under specific-pathogen-free conditions and treated in accordance with the institutional and state guidelines.

**Gene expression analysis.** Mice were fed chitosan-DNA NP containing 50 µg plasmid encoding EGFP (EGFP-NP). At 0 h, 3, 6, 12, 24 h, and 48 h after oral application, mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) and Pey er’s patches were removed and RNA was isolated using the Qiagen RNeasy minikit (Hilden, Germany). After cDNA synthesis, quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed on a StepOne Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany). The amplification protocol started with an initial holding at 50°C for 2 min and then at 95°C for 10 min. This was followed by a two-step PCR program consisting of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min for 40 cycles. Each sample was analyzed in duplets. The obtained qRT-PCR values were normalized against GAPDH. The sequences of the primers and the hybridization probes were as follows: GAPDH forward, 5'-TTC ACC ACC ATG GAC TGT GGT CAT GA-3'; reverse, 5'-FAM-TGC GCG GCA CGG AGC TAC TCT AGC CCT AGT AGT-3'; GAPDH reverse, 5'-GGC ATG GAC TGT GGT CAT GA-3'; probe, 5'-FAM-TGC GCG GCA CGG AGC TAC TCT AGC CCT AGT AGT-TAMRA-3'; GAPDH forward, 5'-ATC CTG CAC CAC CAA CTG CTT AG-TAMRA-3'; probe, 5'-FAM-TGC GCG GCA CGG AGC TAC TCT AGC CCT AGT AGT-TAMRA-3'; GAPDH reverse, 5'-GGC ATG GAC TGT GGT CAT GA-3'; probe, 5'-FAM-TGC GCG GCA CGG AGC TAC TCT AGC CCT AGT AGT-TAMRA-3'; GAPDH forward, 5'-ATC CTG CAC CAC CAA CTG CTT AG-TAMRA-3'; probe, 5'-FAM-TGC GCG GCA CGG AGC TAC TCT AGC CCT AGT AGT-TAMRA-3'.

**Immunization and antigen treatment.** To induce oral tolerance, mice were given an oral administration of 25 mg ovalbumin (OVA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) on days 0 and 2 as the established treatment arm (1, 25, 41) or OVA-encoding chitosan-DNA NP (OVA-NP) containing 50 µg plasmid-DNA (OVA/pcDNA3) on days 0, 2, 5, 7, and 9. Four groups of control animals received either a corresponding amount of chitosan-DNA NP encoding the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP/pcDNA3.1) or pure chitosan solution, or 50 µg naked OVA/pcDNA3-plasmid, or PBS. Mice were immunized by subcutaneous injection of 100 µg OVA in 100 µl of a 1:1 mixture of PBS and Freund’s adjuvant (CFA) on day 12.

**Measurement of DTH.** Seven days after immunization, mice were challenged by subcutaneous injection of 50 µg OVA in 30 µl PBS into the right ear pinna, while 30 µl PBS was injected into the left ear pinna as a control. Ear swelling was measured before and 24 h after injection with a digital micrometer (Kroeplin, Schlüchtern, Germany). The delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) response was calculated as follows: OVA-specific ear swelling = (right ear thickness - left ear thickness)24 h - (right ear thickness - left ear thickness)0 h.

**Measurement of OVA-specific antibody production.** Immediately after measuring ear thickness, blood samples were collected and OVA-specific IgG-antibody production was measured via enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Ninety-six-well microtiter plates were coated with OVA in PBS (100 µg/ml; 100 µl/well) and incubated over-night at 4°C. The wells were then washed with Tween/PBS (0.5 µl/ml; 200 µl/well) and blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS (100 µl/well) at room temperature for 2 h. Serum samples were serially diluted 1:2 with 1% BSA in PBS, starting at an initial 1:250 dilution. After washing of the blocked wells, 100 µl of the diluted serum samples was added, and the samples were incubated for 1 h at room temperature. After a washing step, 100 µl of a 1:10,000 diluted alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG-antibody was pipetted into the wells. One hour later, the wells were washed before 100 µl of substrate solution (para-nitrophenyl-phosphate in 1 M diethanolamine buffer; 1 mg/ml) was added. After 30 min at room temperature, the reaction was stopped with 3 M NaOH (100 µl/well). The optical density at 405 nm (OD405) (reference OD, 490 nm) was measured with an ELISA reader (SLT; Tecan, Crailshiem, Germany). Results are shown as endpoint dilution titers. The cutoff values were determined for a 95% confidence interval based on four negative-control sera analyzed in parallel, as described before (18).

**Determination of antigen-specific proliferation.** Fourteen days after the first immunization (day 26), mice received a second immunization. Briefly, on day 39, mice were sacrificed, and their spleen mononuclear cells (MNC) were isolated as described before (41). Aliquots (200 µl) of MNC suspensions (2.5 × 10⁶ cells/ml) were cultivated with different OVA concentrations (0, 5, 25, and 50 µg/ml) in 96-well microtiter plates for 72 h. Afterwards, 10 µl [H] thymidine was added (1 µCi/well). Eighteen hours later, cells were harvested and thymidine incorporation was measured. The results were expressed as counts per minute (cpm).

**Cytokine measurement.** Eight days after immunization, mice were sacrificed, and their spleen MNC were isolated. Splenocytes (1.5 × 10⁶) were cultured in 96-well round plates in a final volume of 200 µl in the presence of 20 µg/ml OVA in R10 medium at 37°C with 5% CO₂ and humidified atmosphere as described before (41). Supernatants were harvested after 48 h and stored at −20°C until assayed. Cytokine production was quantified using the mouse cytomteric bead assay (BD, Germany) on a FACSCanto II flow cytometer (BD, Germany). Transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) concentration was analyzed using an ELISA kit (eBio-science, Germany).

**Transfer of tolerance.** Mice were fed OVA-NP containing 50 µg plasmid DNA or PBS as control on days 0, 3, 5, 7, and 10. On day 11, mice were sacrificed and their spleen MNC were isolated. Naive recipient mice were injected with 2 × 10⁶ splenocytes in 200 µl PBS in the tail vein. The next day, recipient mice were immunized against OVA. Seven days after immunization, the OVA-specific DTH response was measured.

Beside the complete spleen cell fraction (3 × 10⁶ cells in 200 µl PBS), purified CD4⁺ T cells (1 × 10⁶ cells; purity, >76%) or a CD4⁺ T cell-depleted cell fraction (3 × 10⁶ cells, depletion of CD4⁺ T cells, >99%) isolated from the spleens of OVA-NP fed mice was transferred. In further experiments, CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells (2 × 10⁶ cells; purity, >90%) or CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells (2 × 10⁶ cells; depletion of CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T cells, >95%) were adoptively transferred. The cell subsets were stained using the CD4⁺CD25⁺ regulatory T cell isolation kit (Miltenyi Biotec) and sorted by magnetically activated cell-sorting (MACS) columns as described by the manufacturer (18).

**Statistical analysis.** Results are given as the means per group ± standard deviations (SD). A normal distribution of the data was confirmed. The data were analyzed using an unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test. When more than two groups were compared, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test followed by Dunnett’s multiple-comparison test was used. P values of <0.05 were considered significant. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.03 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

**RESULTS**

**Gene expression kinetics after oral application of chitosan-DNA NP.** To analyze gene expression kinetics after oral nanoparticle administration, mice received a single dose of antigen-encoding chitosan-DNA NP containing 50 µg plasmid DNA. Three hours after oral application, mRNA of the encoded antigen was already detected in the Peyer’s patches (PP) and mesenteric lymph nodes (Fig. 1A and B). The maximum expression was reached after 6 h in both compartments, and the mRNA remained detectable for up to 48 h. To address whether systemic levels of the gene product can be measured, serum samples of mice receiving OVA-encoding chitosan-DNA NP were analyzed using an OVA-specific
ELISA system. However, at none of the time points were systemic levels of OVA detectable (data not shown).

**OVA-NP suppress delayed hypersensitivity.** First, we investigated whether oral application of OVA-encoding chitosan-DNA NP (OVA-NP) could suppress the cell-mediated OVA-specific delayed-type hypersensitivity response. Mice were fed either OVA-NP or OVA protein before immunization with the antigen. The treatment regime for OVA-NP is shown in Fig. 2A. Feeding OVA-NP significantly suppressed the OVA-specific ear swelling, as effectively as OVA protein did.

To exclude an unspecific immunosuppressive effect of the OVA-NP or chitosan, control mice were treated either with chitosan-DNA NP encoding enhanced green fluorescence protein (EGFP-NP) as control antigen or with pure chitosan solution. The control group receiving pure chitosan solution showed a strong DTH response (Fig. 2B). In addition, EGFP-NP had no effect on the cell-mediated immune response, indicating an antigen-specific suppression.

To analyze whether nanoparticle formation using chitosan was required or whether plasmid alone would be sufficient, mice were treated with naked OVA-encoding plasmid as encapsulation control. Mice fed with plasmid showed no reduction in the DTH response, indicating that nanoparticle formation using chitosan was indeed necessary (Fig. 2B).

**OVA-NP reduce OVA-specific antibody formation.** Next, we analyzed whether oral application of OVA-NP was able to prevent the production of antibodies against OVA. After measuring the DTH response, sera of the treated mice were collected (Fig. 2A) and the OVA-specific IgG antibody concentration was analyzed. Sera of mice treated with OVA-NP showed significantly decreased levels of OVA-specific antibodies compared to control groups receiving PBS, OVA-plasmid (50 μg), pure chitosan solution, or EGFP-NP. The OVA protein-fed group received two doses of 25 mg OVA protein on days 0 and 2. Data shown were obtained for 4 to 8 mice per group (*, P < 0.05; n.s., P > 0.05 for OVA-NP compared to controls).

**OVA-NP suppress antigen-specific proliferation.** To further characterize the OVA-specific proliferative response, spleen
mononuclear cells (MNC) from tolerized and subsequently immunized mice were cultured with increasing OVA concentrations in vitro. Mice fed with PBS and OVA protein served as controls. Baseline cell proliferation without OVA showed no difference among the three groups (Fig. 3). Culturing spleen MNC in the presence of OVA resulted in a dose-dependent proliferation in the control group, whereas in the two groups receiving either OVA-NP or OVA protein an equally strong reduction of cell proliferation was observed.

OVA-NP cause a shift from Th1 to Th2/Th3 response. To determine the effect of OVA-NP treatment on cytokine production patterns, spleen MNC of OVA-NP-, OVA protein-, or PBS-treated and immunized mice were cultured in the presence of OVA, and the cytokine production was measured in the supernatants. Levels of the Th1 cytokines tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and gamma interferon (IFN-γ) were reduced in the groups fed with OVA-NP and OVA protein (Fig. 4). On the other hand, the Th2 and Th3 cytokines interleukin-4 (IL-4), IL-10, and transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) showed an increased concentration in the OVA-NP-treated mice compared to the control groups. This indicates a shift from a Th1 toward a Th2/Th3 response after OVA-NP application.

The tolerance induced by OVA-NP is transferable and mediated by CD4+CD25+ T cells. To investigate whether the nanoparticle-induced tolerance involves the generation of regulatory cells, we adoptively transferred spleen MNC from tolerant into naïve mice. For this purpose, 2×10⁷ spleen cells from mice treated with OVA-NP were injected into naïve animals before immunization with OVA (Fig. 5A). Subsequently, the OVA-specific DTH response was measured. As shown in Fig. 5B, the nanoparticle-induced tolerance was transferable as demonstrated by the suppressed OVA-specific ear swelling.

To further analyze the phenotype of the regulatory cells involved in the tolerance induction via chitosan-DNA NP, we transferred isolated CD4+ T cells or CD4+ T cell-depleted splenocytes of OVA-NP-treated mice into naïve recipients. Transfer of CD4+ T cells resulted in a significant suppression of the OVA-specific DTH response, whereas transfer of CD4+ T cell-depleted spleen cells had no effect (Fig. 5C). To characterize the involved T cell subpopulation, we adoptively transferred either CD4+CD25+ or CD4+CD25- T cells. Only mice receiving the CD4+CD25+ subset were able to suppress the OVA-specific DTH response (Fig. 5D), indicating that CD4+CD25+ T cells are required to induce tolerance after oral OVA-NP application. On the other hand, transfer of CD4+CD25- T cells from mice treated with EGFP-NP or PBS was not able to reduce the OVA-specific DTH response (data not shown), indicating an antigen-specific mechanism.

DISCUSSION
Oral application of antigen is able to induce antigen-specific peripheral tolerance (42) and is a promising approach for the treatment of autoimmune diseases or allograft rejection (3, 16, 26, 34, 44, 46, 48).

Chitosan-DNA NP are of increasing interest as a nonviral gene carrier system (5, 6, 27). Using chitosan-DNA NP for oral application, exploiting oral tolerance mechanisms, would allow the development of DNA-based tolerogenic vaccines. Using DNA-encoded antigen instead of protein or peptide antigens offers potential advantages such as lower costs for synthesis and purifi-
cation. In addition, application of peptides requires the prior determination of the immunogenic epitopes, and their effect will depend on the presence of specific major histocompatibility complex (MHC) antigens, potentially restricting their use. In contrast, gene delivery systems such as chitosan-DNA NP, which are stable during gastrointestinal passage, allow the direct expression of the encoded antigen in the gut. This allows presentation of the immunogenic peptide within the context of the patient’s own MHC antigens, circumventing the need for tailoring the vaccine according to the MHC genotype. Another important aspect of DNA-based vaccines is that the expressed gene of interest will undergo the posttranslational modifications within the host cell. With respect to human antigens being developed for therapeutic use, such as gene substitution or tolerance induction toward autoantigens, this delivers a desired effect, since the DNA vaccine-derived antigen will carry the same posttranslational modifications as the antigen expressed by the patient’s cells. The situation for tolerance induction toward foreign antigens, such as allergy treatment, is somewhat different. Here, the posttranslational modifications of the native antigens will most likely differ from the DNA vaccine-derived antigens expressed by the patient’s cells. However, despite these differences a therapeutic effect of chitosan-DNA nanoparticles in oral tolerance induction was shown in a mouse model of peanut allergy (35).

In this study, we analyzed chitosan-DNA NP for their ability to induce oral tolerance to ovalbumin. The effect of the chitosan-DNA NP was compared with that obtained with an established regimen of oral OVA-protein application, which has been shown...
to reduce the levels of the cellular and humoral anti-OVA immune response (1, 25, 41). Application of OVA-NP suppressed the OVA-specific DTH response, IgG formation, and spleen cell proliferation as effectively as the treatment with OVA protein. The immune modulating effect of OVA-NP was antigen specific, as had been demonstrated previously for protein-induced oral tolerance (29).

Oral tolerance toward protein antigens is mediated by two main mechanisms depending on the doses of administered antigens. High doses of antigen induce clonal deletion and/or anergy of T cells associated with a suppressed Th1 and Th2 response, whereas repeated administration of low doses of antigen induce active suppression, characterized by the generation of regulatory T cells and a shift toward a Th2/thr3 response (11, 17). When we looked at the potential mechanisms involved in the tolerant induction via nanoparticles, we observed an increase of the Th2/thr3-type cytokines IL-4, IL-10, and TGF-β and a reduction of the Th1-type cytokines IFN-γ and TNF-α in the supernatant of OVA-stimulated spleen MNC, indicating a shift from a Th1 toward a Th2/thr3 response. This is in accordance with the cytokine profiles detected in oral tolerance studies using low-dose antigen regimes (10, 11, 17), indicating that related mechanisms promote nanoparticle- and protein-induced low-dose tolerance. In contrast, in the OVA protein group used in our study we observed reduced levels of both Th1 and Th2 cytokines and a basically unchanged TGF-β level, reflecting the high-dose OVA feeding regime used.

Beside the dose of the antigen, the disease model can influence the cytokine pattern in oral tolerance. In Th1 disease models such as experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis or diabetes, treatment via oral tolerance induction is associated with a shift toward a Th2 immune response (12, 20). In contrast, in allergy models, which are characterized by a Th2 cytokine profile, tolerance induction led to a suppression of the Th2 response (21, 30, 36), which was also observed by Roy et al. and Chew et al. using allergen-encoding chitosan-DNA NP (13, 35).

The three main regulatory cell populations involved in oral tolerance are IL-10-producing CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ cells, known as Tr1 cells, TGF-β-producing CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ cells, known as Th3 cells, and CD4+CD25+Foxp3− cells (Tregs), mediating their suppression through CTLA-4 or cytokines such as TGF-β and IL-10 (40). In addition to the thymus-derived naturally occurring Tregs, CD4+CD25+ T cells can also be induced in the gut. TGF-β, abundantly expressed in the gut, was shown to induce the expression of Foxp3 in naïve CD4+ T cells, converting them into CD4+CD25+ Tregs (9). Although all of these regulatory T cells were implicated in the induction of oral tolerance, the contribution of the respective subpopulation is not yet clear and may vary between different tolerance models. In our study, we were able to demonstrate that the transfer of CD4+CD25+ T cells was required to reduce the OVA-specific DTH response, indicating a crucial role for the CD4+CD25+ T cell subset in the induction of systemic tolerance via oral chitosan-DNA NP. This is in accordance with previous reports demonstrating the generation of CD4+CD25+ T cells after oral antigen administration (22, 38). Similarly, the nanoparticle-induced cytokine profiles resulting in increased levels of IL-10 and TGF-β are consistent with the induction of CD4+CD25+ T cells in oral tolerance, as demonstrated by Zhang et al. They showed an increase of CD4+CD25+ T cells accompanied by a decrease of CD4+CD25− T cells after oral administration of OVA to OVA T cell receptor (TCR) transgenic mice. These CD4+CD25+ T cells produced high levels of IL-10 and TGF-β, and their adoptive transfer was able to suppress antigen-specific DTH responses (47).

In summary, our data demonstrate the potential of DNA-based tolerogenic vaccines using antigen-encoding chitosan-DNA nanoparticles as therapeutic strategy for the induction of immunological tolerance. Nanoparticle treatment led to a significant reduction of antigen-specific DTH response and antibody formation, which was as effective as the oral application of protein antigen. CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells were shown to be involved in tolerance induction via nanoparticles. Therefore, using tolerogenic vaccines might be a promising option for the treatment of autoimmune diseases, allergy, or allograft rejection.
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