On the Controllability of Conformable Fractional Deterministic Control Systems in Finite Dimensional Spaces
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In this paper, we establish a set of convenient conditions of controllability for semilinear fractional finite dimensional control systems involving conformable fractional derivative. Indeed, sufficient conditions of controllability for a semilinear conformable fractional system are presented, assuming that the corresponding linear systems are controllable. The present method is based on conformable fractional exponential matrix, Gramian matrix, and the iterative technique. Two illustrated examples are carried out to establish the facility and efficiency of this technique.

1. Introduction

Controllability concepts have played a substantial role in several fields in engineering, control theory, and applied mathematics. In 1960, the controllability was first defined by Kalman [1] as a property of shifting the systems from any initial state value into any state value at a terminal time. This definition was divided into two notions: an exact and an approximate controllability which become more suitable for dealing with control systems in infinite dimensional spaces. The purpose of those notions is the existence of control systems which are approximately controllable, but are not exact (see [2]). In fact, the term exact controllability would refer to as a controllability which is the same as defined by Kalman. However, the definition of approximate controllability is determined by transferring the systems from any initial state value into some small neighbourhood of any point at terminal time in the state space. Later on, many researchers conducted pioneering studies in an attempt to obtain proper controllability conditions (exact and approximate) for the linear and nonlinear control systems (see, for example, [3–8] and the references cited therein).

Many problems in the real world can be modelled purely by fractional differential equations (for more details, refer to [9, 10]). This new calculus has pointedly attracted the mathematicians to focus clearly on revealing better results. The concept of controllability was extended to fractional control systems by various investigators. For instance, Sakthivel et al. [11] utilized fixed point approach to prove the controllability of nonlinear fractional systems. Vijayakumar et al. [12] obtained the controllability conditions for fractional integrodifferential neutral control systems with nonlocal conditions. Ma and Liu [13] employed analytic methods and resolvent operator to investigate controllability conditions and continuous dependence of a fractional neutral integrodifferential equation involving state-dependent delay. Jneid [14] derived sufficient conditions of approximate controllability for semilinear integrodifferential systems of fractional order with nonlocal conditions by using compact semigroup operator and Schauder fixed-point theorem. Sakthivel et al. [15] studied the approximate controllability conditions for nonlinear fractional stochastic differential inclusions, providing that the corresponding linear part is approximately controllable. Chokkalingam and Baleanu [16] obtained a set of sufficient conditions for controllability for fractional functional integrodifferential systems involving the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (0,1]$ in Banach spaces.
Previous works concerning controllability problems for fractional systems have been limited to Riemann, Liouville, and Caputo derivatives, while only one study concerning exact controllability involving conformable fractional derivative (CFD) as a definition of fractional derivative has been done by Jneid [17] till now. In this work, we aim at bringing up this kind of systems to the attention of investigators. Moreover, we derive controllability results for the semilinear conformable fractional system with initial condition \( \xi \):

\[
\begin{aligned}
T^q_0 x(t) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t) + f(t, x(t), u(t)), \quad 0 < t \leq \tau, 0 < q \leq 1, \\
x(0) &= \xi,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( T^q_0 \) is a conformable fractional derivative \( x \in C(0, \tau; \mathbb{R}^n), u \in C(0, \tau; \mathbb{R}^m), A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) and \( B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \), and \( f : [0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is an appropriate nonlinear function.

The rest of this paper is divided into five sections. In Section 1, we provide needed fundamental information related to conformable fractional derivatives and we establish the mild solution of nonlinear systems involving conformable fractional derivative in terms of fractional exponential matrix by utilizing Laplace transform. The controllability conditions for the linear systems are obtained in Section 3. In Section 4, an iterative analysis approach and controllability conditions are exhibited. We give two suitable examples to show the usefulness and effectiveness of this technique in Section 5. Finally, a short conclusion is given in Section 6.

2. Preliminaries

Let \( 0 < q \leq 1 \) and \( I = (0, \tau] \), through the entire article.

**Definition 1** (see [18]). The CFD of a given function \( f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \), at \( t > 0 \) of order \( q \) is given as

\[
T^q_0 f(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{f(t + \epsilon t^{1-q}) - f(t)}{\epsilon},
\]

provided that the right side of this expression exists as a finite number.

Using this new definition of derivative, one can have the following properties which are similar to those of the classical derivative:

(a) For all constant \( c, T^q_0(c) = 0 \).

(b) For all \( s \in \mathbb{R}, T^q_0(t^s) = st^{s-q} \).

(c) \( T^q_0(e^{\epsilon t}) = e^{\epsilon t/q} \).

**Definition 2** (see [18]). Given a function \( f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \), the conformable fractional Laplace transform of \( f \) at \( t > 0 \) of order \( q \) is given as

\[
\mathcal{F}^q_0(f(t))(s) = F^q_0(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st^{1/q}} f(t)t^{s-1} dt.
\]

**Theorem 1** (see [18]). Given a differentiable function \( f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \),

\[
\mathcal{F}^q_0(T^q_0 f(t))(s) = sF^q_0(s) - f(0).
\]

Moreover,

\[
F^q_0(s) = \mathcal{F} \{ f \left( (qt)^{1/q} \right) \} (s),
\]

where \( \mathcal{F} \) is the classical Laplace transform.

Consider the conformable fractional control system as follows:

\[
\begin{aligned}
T^q_0 x(t) &= \mathcal{A} x(t) + f(t), \quad t \in I, \\
x(0) &= \xi,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( T^q_0 \) is the conformable fractional derivative operator, \( x, f \in C(0, \tau; \mathbb{R}^n) \), and \( A \) is an \( n \times n \) matrix. Now, apply conformable fractional Laplace transform on system (6) to obtain

\[
X^q_0(s) = \xi + \frac{1}{sI_d - A} + F^q_0(s) \frac{1}{sI_d - A},
\]

where \( I_d \) is a \( n \times n \) identity matrix. Utilizing the relation given in (5) and applying the inverse Laplace transform, one can get the solution of system (6) in this way:

\[
x(t) = e^{A(t^{1/q})} \xi + \int_0^t e^{A((t^{1/q}) - s^{1/q})} f(s)s^{q-1} ds,
\]

where \( e^{A(t^{1/q})} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (A^{k/q}) \frac{(s^{q})^k}{k!} \) is called conformable fractional exponential matrix.

3. Linear Control Systems

Let us consider a linear conformable fractional control system that is described by

\[
\begin{aligned}
T^q_0 x(t) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad t \in I, \\
x(0) &= \xi,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is an initial condition, \( T^q_0 \) is the conformable fractional derivative operator, \( x, f \in C(0, \tau; \mathbb{R}^n) \), \( u \in C(0, \tau; \mathbb{R}^m) \), \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), and \( B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \).

**Lemma 1.** The mild solution of system (9) on \( I \) in conformable fractional sense is given by

\[
x(t) = e^{A(t^{1/q})} \xi + \int_0^t e^{A((t^{1/q}) - s^{1/q})} Bu(s)s^{q-1} ds.
\]

**Proof.** This result follows simply from the foregoing section. \( \Box \)

Denote the set of admissible controls by \( U_{ad} = C(0, \tau; \mathbb{R}^m) \) and the reachable set of system (1) by

\[
R_{t, \tau}(f) = \left\{ x^\tau (t) \in \mathbb{R}^n; x^\tau \in C(0, \tau; \mathbb{R}^n) \right\},
\]
where there exists \( u \in U_{ad} \) such that \( x^u \) satisfies system (1).

**Definition 3.** System (9) is said to be controllable on \( I \) if and only if
\[
\text{Theorem 2.} \quad \text{System (9) is controllable on } I \text{ if and only if}
\]

For simplicity, we use \( qQ_\tau \) and \( Q_\tau \) instead of \( qQ_{\tau-0} \) and \( qQ_\tau \), respectively.

**Theorem 2.** System (9) is controllable on \( I \) if and only if \( Q_\tau \) is invertible.

**Proof.** Let \( Q_\tau \) be invertible. Then, \( Q_\tau^{-1} \) exists and we can define a control \( u \) as follows:
\[
u(t) = B^* e^{A^*(t)(-\xi)} Q_\tau^{-1} \left[ h - e^{A^*(t)(-\xi)} \xi \right], \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau, \tag{13}
\]
with \( h \) and \( \xi \) arbitrarily chosen from \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Obviously, \( u \in C(0, \tau; \mathbb{R}^m) \).

Now, substituting this control into equation (10) at the terminal time \( t = \tau \), we get
\[
x^u(\tau) = e^{A^*(\tau)(\xi)} + \int_0^\tau e^{A^*(t)(-\xi)} Q_\tau^{-1} \left[ h - e^{A^*(t)(-\xi)} \xi \right] e^{A^*(\tau-t)(\xi)} ds
\]
\[
= e^{A^*(\tau)(\xi)} + Q_\tau^{-1} \left[ h - e^{A^*(\tau)(\xi)} \xi \right]
\]
\[
= h, \tag{14}
\]
which implies the controllability of system (9).

Conversely, let system (9) be controllable on \([0, \tau]\). Assume the contrary that \( qQ_\tau \) is not invertible. Therefore, there exists a nonzero vector \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \) so that
\[
y^* qQ_\tau y = 0.
\]
This yields
\[
y^* y = \int_0^\tau y^* e^{A^*(t)(-\xi)} B q e^{A^*(\tau-t)(\xi)} ds = 0.
\]
Multiplying through by \( y^* \) yields
\[
y^* y = \int_0^\tau y^* e^{A^*(t)(-\xi)} B u(s) q e^{A^*(\tau-t)(\xi)} ds = 0,
\]
which contradicts that \( y \neq 0 \). Hence, the controllability matrix \( qQ_\tau \) is invertible.

\[ \square \]

**4. Semilinear Control Systems**

Let us consider a semilinear conformable fractional control system that is described by
\[
t^\alpha \frac{d}{dt} x(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) + f(t, x(t), u(t)), \quad t \in I, \quad x(0) = \xi, \quad \text{where } A, B, \xi, x, \text{and } u f \text{ are defined as in the previous section.}
\]
For brevity, for any \( \tau > 0 \), let \( X = C(I; \mathbb{R}^n) \). It is clear that the Cartesian product \( X \times U_{ad} \) is a Banach space equipped with the norm
\[
\phi \mapsto \| \phi \| = \| \phi \| + \| \phi \| U_{ad},
\]
where \( \forall x \in X \) and \( \forall u \in U_{ad} \), and
\[
\| x \| X = \max_{t \in I} \| x(t) \|,
\]
\[
\| u \| U_{ad} = \max_{t \in I} \| u(t) \|.
\]
Let us assume the following:

(A1) \( f \) is bounded and satisfies Lipschitz continuity on \( X \times U_{ad} \). That is, for every \( t \in I, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m \), and \( v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m \), there exist \( M > 0 \) and \( N > 0 \) so that
\[
\| f(t, z_1, v_1) \| \leq M,
\]
\[
\| f(t, z_1, v_1) - f(t, z_2, v_2) \| \leq N(\| z_1 - z_2 \| + \| v_1 - v_2 \|).
\]
(A2) For every \( \tau > 0, Q_\tau \) is invertible.

Define the operator \( F: X \times U_{ad} \rightarrow X \times U_{ad} \) as
\[
F(x, u)(t) = (X(t), U(t)),
\]
where
\[ X(t) = e^{A(e^{\tau q} x)} + Q_t e^{A((t \cdot e^{\tau q}) - (e^{\tau q}))} Q_t^{-1} \left( h - e^{A(e^{\tau q} x)} \right) \]
\[ + \int_0^t e^{A((t \cdot e^{\tau q}) - (e^{\tau q}))} s^{\tau - 1} f(s, x(s), u(s)) ds \]
\[ - \int_0^t Q_t e^{A((t \cdot e^{\tau q}) - (e^{\tau q}))} Q_t^{-1} e^{A((t \cdot e^{\tau q}) - (e^{\tau q}))} s^{\tau - 1} f(s, x(s), u(s)) ds, \]

Introduce the iterative method as follows:
\[ U(t) = B^* e^{A^*(((t \cdot e^{\tau q}) - (e^{\tau q}))} Q_t^{-1} \left( h - e^{A(e^{\tau q} x)} \right) \]
\[ - \int_0^t Q_t e^{A^*(((t \cdot e^{\tau q}) - (e^{\tau q})) f(s, x(s), u(s))} ds. \]

Let the assumptions (A1) and (A2) hold true and
\[ \| \Phi_{n+1} - \Phi_n \| \leq L_1 n^\frac{\tau}{\tau + 1} \]
where
\[ L_1 = M P_1 \left[ 1 + \frac{P_2}{y} + \frac{Q_t P_3}{y} \right]. \]

**Proof.** Let us start to estimate \( \| \Phi_1 - \Phi_0 \|. \) By the definition of norm, we have
\[ \| \Phi_1 - \Phi_0 \| = \max_{i \in I} \| x_i (t) - x_0 (t) \| \]
\[ + \max_{i \in I} \| u_i (t) - u_0 (t) \|. \]

\[ \| x_i^n (t) - x_0^n \| \leq \int_0^t e^{A((t \cdot e^{\tau q}) - (e^{\tau q}))} s^{\tau - 1} \cdot f(s, x_0(s), u_0(s)) ds \]
\[ - \int_0^t Q_t e^{A^*((t \cdot e^{\tau q}) - (e^{\tau q}))} s^{\tau - 1} \cdot f(s, x_0(s), u_0(s)) ds \]
\[ \leq \left[ P_1 M + \frac{Q_t}{y} P_2 P_1 M \right] t. \]

Denote \( \Phi_n(t) = (x_n(t), u_n(t)) \) for all \( t \in I \) and \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)
\[ P_1 = \max_{i \in I} \| e^{A((t \cdot e^{\tau q}) - (e^{\tau q}))} \|, \]
\[ P_2 = \max_{i \in I} \| B^* e^{A^*((t \cdot e^{\tau q}) - (e^{\tau q}))} \|, \]
\[ P_3 = \max_{i \in I} \| e^{A^*((t \cdot e^{\tau q}) - (e^{\tau q}))} \|, \]
\[ y = \max_{i \in I} \| Q_t^{-1} \|. \]

**Lemma 2.** Let the assumptions (A1) and (A2) hold true and let \( n \geq 1. \) Then,
\[ \| \Phi_1 - \Phi_0 \| \leq L_1 t, \]
where
\[ L_1 = M P_1 \left[ 1 + \frac{P_2}{y} + \frac{Q_t P_3}{y} \right]. \]

Combining (32) and (33), we obtain
\[ \| \Phi_1 (t) - \Phi_0 (t) \| \leq L_1 t, \]
\[
L_1 = MP_1 \left[ 1 + \frac{P_2}{y} \right] + \frac{Q_2 P_3}{y}, \]

where

\[
\|x^u(t) - x^u(t)\| = \int_0^t \left( e^{A(t)}((r(t)) - (r(t))) - Q_{r_t} e^{A'}((r(t)) - (r(t))) Q_{r_t}^{-1} e^{A}((r(t)) - (r(t)))\right)
\]

\[
\cdot (f(s, x_2(s), u_2(s)) - f(s, x_1(s), u_1(s)))ds
\]

\[
\leq P_1 N \int_0^t \|x_2(s) - x_4(s)\| ds + \|u_2(s) - u_1(s)\| ds
\]

\[
+ \frac{Q_2 P_3 N}{y} \int_0^t \|x_2(s) - x_4(s)\| ds + \|u_2(s) - u_1(s)\| ds
\]

\[
\leq P_1 N \left( 1 + \frac{Q_2 P_3}{y} \right) \int_0^t \|x_1(s) - x_0(s)\| ds + \|u_1(s) - u_0(s)\| ds.
\]

Combining (35) and (36) yields

\[
\|\Phi_2 - \Phi_1\| \leq L_2 \int_0^t \|x_1(s) - x_0(s)\| + \|u_1(s) - u_0(s)\| ds,
\]

where

\[
L_2 = P_1 N \left[ 1 + \frac{Q_2}{y} \right] + \frac{P_1 P_2 M}{y}
\]

Substituting (34) into (37), we obtain

\[
\|\Phi_2 - \Phi_1\| \leq L_2 \int_0^t \|\Phi_1(t) - \Phi_0(t)\| ds,
\]

\[
\leq L_1 L_2^2 + L_1 L_2^2 \frac{1}{2!} + \cdots + L_1 L_2^2 \frac{k}{k!}
\]

Applying mathematical induction on \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we get the following estimation:

\[
\|\Phi_{n+1} - \Phi_n\| \leq L_1 L_2^2 \frac{1}{n+1}.
\]
fixed points of $F$ say, $(x, u)$ and $(y, v)$ in $X \times U_{ad}$. Then, as in proof of Lemma 2,
\[
\|F(x, u)(t) - F(y, v)(t)\| \leq C \int_0^t \|F(x, u)(\tau) - F(y, v)(\tau)\| \, d\tau,
\]
(43)
for some constant $C$.

Let $S(t) = \int_0^t \|F(x, u)(s) - F(y, v)(s)\| \, ds$. It is clear that $S(0) = 0$ and $\forall t \geq 0$, $S(t) \geq 0$. Differentiating with respect to $t$, we obtain $S'(t) = \int_0^t (F(x, u)(\tau) - F(y, v)(\tau)) \, d\tau$ and $S'(t) - CS(t) \leq 0$. Multiplying by exponential quantity $e^{-Ct}$ gives
\[
[e^{-Ct}S(t)]' \leq 0. \tag{44}
\]

Now, integrating through from zero to $t$ yields
\[
e^{-Ct}S(t) \leq 0. \tag{45}
\]

Since for all $t \geq 0, e^{-Ct} > 0$, then for all $t \geq 0, S(t) \leq 0$. Therefore, for all $t \geq 0, S(t) = 0$, and consequently $S(t) = 0$. Thus, $F(x, u)(t) = F(y, v)(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. This contradicts the assumption that $(x, u)$ and $(y, v)$ are two distinct fixed points. This proof is completed. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 4.** Let assumptions (A1) and (A2) hold true. Then, the semilinear control system \((20)\) is controllable on $1$.

**Proof.** Fix $\xi \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and $h \in \mathbb{F}^n$. From Theorem 3, there is a unique mild solution $x \in X$ as defined in (25) which corresponds to a unique control $u \in U_{ad}$ as defined in (26). Hence, $x(t) = h$. Therefore, the semilinear system \((20)\) is controllable on $I$. \hfill \Box

### 5. Examples

**Example 1.** Consider the following conformable fractional control system:
\[
\begin{aligned}
T^\alpha_0 x(t) &= y(t) + u(t) + \sqrt{x^2(t)} + \frac{5}{2}, \\
T^\beta_0 y(t) &= u(t) + \cos(u(t)),
\end{aligned}
\]
(46)
where $0 \leq t \leq 1, (x(0), y(0)) \in \mathbb{F}^2, u \in C(0, 1; \mathbb{F})$. This system can be expressed in the following general form:
\[
T^\alpha_0 z(t) = Az(t) + Bu(t) + f(t, z(t), u(t)),
\]
(47)
where
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]
(48)
\[
B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
f(t, z(t), u(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{x^2(t)} + \frac{5}{2} \\ \cos(u(t)) \end{bmatrix}.
\]
By simple calculations, we obtain
\[
e^{A(t^\alpha/q)} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{(t^\alpha/q)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{(t^\alpha/q)} \end{bmatrix}.
\]
(55)

The controllability Gramian matrix is
\[
Q_1 = \int_0^1 s^{q-1} e^{A(t^\alpha/q)} BB^* e^{A^*(t^\alpha/q)} \, ds.
\]
(51)
After a simple computation, we get
\[
Q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{1}{q^2} & 1 + \frac{1}{q^2} & 1 + \frac{1}{q^2} \\ 1 + \frac{1}{q^2} & 1 + \frac{1}{q^2} & 1 + \frac{1}{q^2} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
Hence, for every $0 < q \leq 1, \det(Q_1) = -1/q^4 \neq 0$, which means that $Q_1$ is invertible. In addition, the nonlinear function $f$ is bounded and satisfies Lipschitz condition with respect to $z$ and $u$ with the constant $N = 1$; then, by Theorem 4, the given control system \((46)\) is controllable on $[0, 1]$.

**Example 2.** Consider the following conformable fractional control system:
\[
\begin{aligned}
T^\alpha_0 x(t) &= x(t) + u(t) + \cos x \sin x, \\
T^\beta_0 y(t) &= y(t) + v(t) + \sin(u(t) + v(t)),
\end{aligned}
\]
(52)
where $0 \leq t \leq 1, (x(0), y(0)) \in \mathbb{F}^2, u, v \in C(0, 1; \mathbb{F})$. This system can be expressed in the following general form:
\[
T^\alpha_0 z(t) = Az(t) + Bu(t) + f(t, z(t), w(t)),
\]
(53)
where
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},
\]
(54)
\[
B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
f(t, z(t), w(t)) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos x \sin x \\ \sin(u(t) + v(t)) \end{bmatrix}.
\]
By easy calculations, we have
\[
e^{A(t^\alpha/q)} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(t^\alpha/q) & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(t^\alpha/q) \end{bmatrix}.
\]
(55)

The controllability Gramian matrix is
\[ Q_1 = \int_0^1 s^{p-1} e^{A(\varphi(q))} B B^* e^{A^*(\varphi(q))} \, ds, \]
\[ = \int_0^1 s^{p-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \, ds. \]  
(56)

After a simple computation, we get
\[ Q_1 = 2e^{2q/1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \]  
(57)

Hence, for every \( 0 < q \leq 1, \det(Q_1) = 2e^{2q} \neq 0, \) which means that \( Q_1 \) is invertible. In addition, the nonlinear function \( f \) is bounded and satisfies Lipschitz condition with respect to \( z \) and \( w \) with the constant \( N = 1; \) then, by Theorem 4, the given control system (52) is controllable on \([0, 1]).\)

6. Conclusion

In this work, the controllability conditions for semilinear conformable fractional deterministic systems are derived under a normal condition, that is, the associated linear system is controllable. The iterative technique is used here to construct a suitable sequence which is under some conditions uniformly convergent to a mild solution of the semilinear system. The present results show that this technique is very effective in finding the mild solution of semilinear control systems involving conformable fractional derivative. Finally, it should be mentioned that the result of this paper can be expanded to diverse kinds of conformable fractional systems in finite and infinite dimensional spaces as well.
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