Image-guided high-dose-rate brachytherapy of malignancies in various inner organs – technique, indications, and perspectives
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Abstract
In the last few years, minimally invasive tumor ablation performed by interventional radiologists has gained increasing relevance in oncologic patient care. Limitations of thermal ablation techniques such as radiofrequency ablation (RFA), microwave ablation (MWA), and laser-induced thermotherapy (LITT), including large tumor size, cooling effects of adjacent vessels, and tumor location near thermosensitive structures, have led to the development of image-guided high-dose-rate (HDR) brachytherapy, especially for the treatment of liver malignancies. This article reviews technical properties of image-guided brachytherapy, indications and its current clinical role in multimodal cancer treatment. Furthermore, perspectives of this novel therapy option will be discussed.
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Purpose
Potentially curative treatment is always the first-line approach in patients with early cancer. In patients with early liver cancer like hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), thermal ablation allows excellent local tumor control, comparable to resection but less invasive, has fewer complications, and the loss of liver parenchyma is reduced [1]. For patients with advanced malignant disease and a shift in focus to palliation, minimally invasive ablation treatments have recently evolved into valid alternatives that supplement systemic drug regimens, and achieve at least temporary control of local tumor progression. Patients with oligometastatic disease may benefit from local treatment despite the impossibility to achieve true cure [2,3].

Thermal ablation techniques such as radiofrequency ablation (RFA) and laser-induced thermotherapy (LITT) began to emerge as alternative treatment options to open surgery in the 1990s [4,5,6]. Microtherapeutic techniques have since developed continuously and, most recently, cryoablation [7], microwave ablation (MWA) [8,9], and irreversible electroporation (IRE) [10] have been added to the growing armamentarium of minimally invasive treatment options [11]. All of these new minimally invasive approaches compete with the gold standard of open surgical resection in terms of effectiveness and tolerability.

Minimally invasive techniques based on hyperthermia have several limitations including a maximum tumor size of 3-3.5 cm, heat sink effects in the vicinity of large blood vessels, and the risk of causing cholestasis when treating lesions close to the thermosensitive bile ducts [12,13,14,15]. To overcome these limitations, image-guided interstitial high-dose-rate brachytherapy (HDRBT) was introduced into clinical practice by Ricke et al. in 2002 [16,17]. High-dose-rate brachytherapy has no restrictions with regard to the tumor size that can be treated; its therapeutic effect is not degraded by heat dissipation, and it can also be used to treat tumors in the vicinity of thermosensitive structures [18,19]. Unlike conventional external beam radiotherapy (EBRT), the therapeutic effect of HDRBT is not endangered by patient movement or respiratory excursion since the applicator is anchored directly within the tumor [20,21].

High-dose-rate brachytherapy is primarily used to achieve complete tumor elimination or tumor bulk reduction in patients with primary or secondary liver malignancies. Initial studies have reported the successful use of HDRBT in other organ systems including the lungs, lymph nodes, and the kidneys. These uses are also discussed in this educational article.
Interventional technique, treatment planning, and radiation properties

The procedure consists of four major steps: 1) image-guided catheter implantation, 2) computer-based 3D treatment planning, 3) subsequent single fractionated high dose irradiation in afterloading technique, and 4) catheter removal and sealing of the puncture tract \([16,17,22]\).

As with all percutaneous interventions, HDRBT can only be performed when clotting function is not impaired (Quick > 50\%, thrombocytes > 50,000/\mu l, pTT < 50 s) and no infection is present. Following analgesia and sedation (intravenous [i.v.] fentanyl and midazolam), brachytherapy catheters are positioned using computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) fluoroscopy for guidance (Figure 1). After local anesthesia at the access site, the target lesion (primary tumor or metastasis) is punctured with a coaxial needle and a long 6F angiographic introducer sheath with hydrophilic coating (Radiofocus, Terumo, Japan) is placed via a stiff angiographic guidewire (e.g., Amplatz, Boston Scientific, USA). The introducer sheath serves to insert the 6F afterloading catheter (Primed, Halberstadt Medizintechnik GmbH, Halberstadt, Germany). One or multiple brachytherapy catheters will be inserted to cover the whole target volume. Positioning of the brachytherapy catheters inside the tumor volume is followed by a contrast-enhanced planning CT scan (iodine-based i.v. contrast agent [CA] at a volume of 80-120 ml adjusted to body weight [BW]) or an MRI scan (gadolinium [Gd]-based i.v. CA; gadobutrol at 0.1 ml per kg BW or Gd-EOB-DTPA at 0.1 ml per kg BW). It is recommended to perform the CT scan on a multislice spiral CT scanner or to acquire MRI during breath-hold at a slice thickness of 5 mm or less. The CT or MRI dataset is used to determine the final catheter positions based on the tumor extent. Irradiation planning is performed by a physicist, an interventional radiologist and a radio-oncologist using a dedicated treatment planning workstation (BrachyVision, Varian Medical Systems, Charlottesville, VA, USA; or Oncentra, Nucletron, Elekta AB, Stockholm, Sweden) (Figures 1, 3-5). The catheter positions are entered as coordinates \((x, y, z)\) into the radiotherapy system. For each catheter, the distances to the tumor border are encoded using a system of reference points. High-dose-rate brachytherapy is performed using a \(^{192}\text{Ir}\) source with 10 Ci activity. Along the implanted brachytherapy catheters, the iridium source could be stopped for a defined time. The longer the source stops at a position, the larger the irradiated volume will be, so with multiple catheters even complex 3D volumes could be covered. Intratumoral HDRBT applicator positioning allows precise 3D radiation planning with application of

![Fig. 1. 72-year-old female patient with metastatic colorectal cancer. A, B) Interventional setting in the computed tomography (CT) room with the patient placed in supine position. The interventionist and the assisting nurse are placing three applicators into three liver metastases. The CT-guided intervention can be monitored online via the in-room monitors on the right side in A. C) Irradiation planning is performed by an interventional radiologist and a physicist using a dedicated treatment planning workstation (BrachyVision, Varian Medical Systems, Charlottesville, VA, USA)]
a very high radiation dose to the target volume in a single fraction and a rapid drop in dose outside the target lesion. In this way, surrounding organs and structures are spared. The minimum target dose at the tumor border is 20 Gy and is planned as a single fraction. Higher doses within the tumor volume are acceptable and are not limited. High-dose-rate brachytherapy can also be used in tumors directly adjacent to the hepatic bifurcation or in tumors infiltrating central portal vein branches [16]. When a tumor is located in the vicinity of an organ at risk such as the stomach, the radiotherapy dose needs to be lowered accordingly. Radiation is usually applied for a duration of 10 to 40 minutes, depending on the clinical target volume (CTV). Data regarding a safe upper limit of irradiation duration are not available; the authors use an upper limit of approximately 60 min for application of clinical standard doses in very large target volumes. Tumor portions that do not receive enough radiation during the first HDRBT session may be targeted again in a second session. Ideally, the planned isodose should cover a few millimeters beyond the tumor border to ensure an adequate safety margin. Following radiation, the catheters are removed while administering gelfoam (liver, lymph nodes, kidney, adrenal gland) or fibrin glue (only for treatment of pulmonary lesions) to seal the puncture tract.

Clinical indications and current state of research

Therapeutic decisions in cancer patients should be made by a multidisciplinary team including surgeons, oncologists, hematologists and interventional radiologists, and treatment should be tailored to the individual patient to ensure optimal outcome. Local tumor ablation may be one component of a multimodal treatment strategy, meaning that the newer local treatment options need to be integrated into existing regimens that may include systemic and/or local chemotherapy and combined surgical resection.

Several studies have investigated the effectiveness and safety of HDRBT. One advantage of HDRBT is that it can be used repeatedly to treat different target lesions in one organ or in different organs [23]. For patients with liver metastases, it has been shown that HDRBT is safe to use for repeated irradiation of the same site, for instance, in case of local recurrence [24].

Primary and secondary liver tumors

Hepatocellular carcinoma is commonly associated with cirrhosis, making it a complex condition that requires interdisciplinary assessment, since treatment planning must take not only on the tumor stage but also the patient’s general condition and liver function into account. According to the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) staging system, image-guided tumor ablation is recommended for patients with early-stage HCC (single HCC or 3 nodules < 3 cm), with the possibility of long-term cure and 5-year survival rate estimates ranging from 50% to 75% [25]. In very early HCC (BCLC 0, solitary nodule < 2 cm), tumor ablation is the accepted first-line therapeutic option [26,27]. Among different tumor ablation techniques, RFA is the most widely accepted modality. To achieve complete
Ablation and an adequate tumor-free margin with most of the currently available RF devices, the tumor should not exceed 3 cm in diameter [28]. When using RFA to treat HCC with a diameter of 3 cm to 5 cm, it is recommended to perform arterial embolization prior to RFA to achieve adequate local tumor control rate [29].

Conventional percutaneous radiation of HCCs has some limitations, like the low radiation sensitivity of hepatocellular cancer cells and the radiation of liver parenchyma in these mostly cirrhotic patients. Although, stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) could show statistically better local tumor control rates compared to RFA in HCC patient with tumors measuring 1.8 vs. 2.2 cm in diameter [30].

High-dose-rate brachytherapy allows a very high radiation dose to the tumor with a low dose to normal liver tumors and adherent organs. Preliminary studies with retrospective, matched-pair or prospective randomized designs demonstrated excellent local tumor control (LTC) rates of 93.3-96.1%, and encouraging progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) [16,18,31,32]. A prospective phase 2 study of HCC conducted by Mohnike et al. showed a high survival benefit compared to best supportive care (BSC): patients with a Cancer of the Liver Italian Program (CLIP) score of 2, had a median OS of 23 months in the brachytherapy group vs. 5 months in the control group; for patients with CLIP scores ≥ 3, median OS was 18 vs. 4 months [31]. In the largest study, hitherto investigating patients with HCC treated by HDRBT, survival was not affected by patient age, gender or disease pattern, and lesion diameter (mean > 5 cm) did not influence OS [32]. In a study evaluating HDRBT for HCC as a bridge to liver transplant, the results showed similar or even better outcome for HDRBT (histologically higher degree of necrosis and lower recurrence rate after liver transplant) than for transcatheater arterial chemoembolization (TACE) [33]. Hence, although TACE is the recommended first-line treatment for patients with intermediate stage HCC, the debate on alternative treatment strategies for patients with bulky and/or multifocal unresectable HCC is still open.

Approximately 60% of patients with advanced colorectal cancer are diagnosed with synchronous or metachronous liver metastases (CRLMs) (Figure 2) [34]. Depending on the extent and distribution of CRLMs and patient comorbidity, curative operation is not possible in approximately 80% of cases [35]. These patients may benefit from new systemic therapies including biological agents such as cetuximab and bevacizumab but also...
from percutaneous tumor ablation, which may even offer potentially curative treatment in selected patients [36]. Then, it is possible to combine local ablation with surgical resection or systemic regimens. The CLOCC study suggests that combined RFA and systemic therapy is superior to systemic therapy alone (PFS 16.8 vs. 9.9 months).

Many studies have investigated RFA of CRLMs with variable success rates (local progression ranging from 11.9-42%) [4,37]. In these studies, tumor size and location in the proximity of large blood vessels were shown to be the most important prognostic factors for the outcome of RFA in the treatment of liver metastases [37].

A retrospective study of Collettini et al. investigating CT-HDRBT in CRLMs found local tumor progression in 12.9% of cases and a median OS of 18 months [38]. Compared to HCC, the LTC rate in CRC liver metastases is reduced, probably because colorectal cancer tumor cells are more aggressive and less sensitive to radiation compared to hepatocellular tumor cells [32]. The authors concluded that a combination with other local and systemic therapies should be evaluated in patients with lesions > 4 cm in diameter, in which higher progression rates are expected [38]. In a prospective randomized phase II study of Ricke et al., repeated brachytherapy of CRLMs was found to be the most important prognostic factor even before salvage chemotherapies. The survival benefit was found to be greatest in patients treated with combined local brachytherapy and systemic salvage chemotherapy [23].

Other available data on the effect of the type of treatment on overall survival, also for metastases from other primaries such as breast cancer, are from single-arm prospective phase II studies or retrospective studies and are of limited value. In the treatment of liver metastases from breast cancers (BCLMs), two studies showed excellent LTC rates of 96.5-97.4% at 12 months [39,40].

Use of CT-HDRBT for treatment of cholangiocarcinoma (CCC) or liver metastases from pancreatic adenocarcinoma, renal cell carcinoma, and gastric or gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma showed similar promising outcomes [41,42,43]. However, these reports have limited validity because they are based on retrospective studies and relative small patient populations.

For patients with unresectable and unablatable HCC, CRLMs, BCLMs, and other liver metastases in whom systemic and local therapies (chemotherapy, surgery, local ablative procedures) have been exhausted, radioembolization (RE) and SBRT are alternative therapies [44,45,46,47].

---

**Fig. 4.**

A) 55-year-old patient with squamous cell CUP metastatic to the lymph nodes and bones. Status: post systemic chemotherapy with carboplatin and 5-FU. B) Computed tomography (CT)-guided positioning of a total of 3 catheters in the lesion in a left paravesical lymph node. C) Follow-up after 1 year shows partial remission of the left paravesical lymph node. D) 3D radiotherapy plan: hypofractionated brachytherapy on 2 successive days. 1st fraction: target dose: 11 Gy, applied dose: 12.2 Gy; 2nd fraction: target dose: 14 Gy, applied dose: 14.5 Gy.
Primary and secondary lung tumors

In patients with early non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) or pulmonary metastases, anatomical surgical resection is the therapeutic gold standard with 5- and 10-year survival rates of 36% and 26%, respectively. However, approximately two thirds of patients with pulmonary malignancy have poor lung function or serious comorbidity, precluding curative surgery [48]. New systemic chemotherapies have improved response rates in metastatic colorectal cancer but long-term survival remains poor [49]. Several minimally invasive therapeutic options are available for patients with inoperable lung tumors including SBRT (97.6% LTC rate) [50], stereotactic radiosurgery with the CyberKnife (95% LTC rate) [51], and imaging-guided tumor ablation [52]. The excellent LTC rates reported for SBRT and CyberKnife treatment have for the most part been achieved in studies including patients with small tumors (< 5 cm) and tumors in peripheral location.

Radiofrequency ablation is the most widely used thermal ablation technique [52,53]. Most centers use RFA in patients with a maximum of 5 pulmonary metastases and only for tumors that are smaller than 3.5 cm. This means that only patients with stage IA or IB NSCLC can be treated by RFA [52]. Microwave ablation is a promising thermal ablation technique for the lungs, since it uses so called dielectric hysteresis to heat the tissue and thus, unlike RFA, does not depend on tissue conductivity or electrical current. This makes MWA a suitable technique for organs with higher tissue resistance such as the lung. Microwave-based systems are said to also allow abla-
tion of larger tumor volumes and to be less susceptible to negative effects due to heat dissipation. In a most recent study, Egashira et al. reported an LTC of 94% for patients with a median lung tumor size of 12 mm (range 6-45 mm) [54]. Compared with thermal ablation techniques, CT-HDRT allows successful treatment of markedly larger lung tumors (> 5 cm) and of centrally located lesions using similar radiation doses as for the treatment of liver tumors (Figure 3). An exception are lesions in close vicinity to radiation-sensitive organs (esophagus, spinal cord). Initial LTC rates of 93.7-96.4% reported by Ricke et al., Peters et al., and Colletti et al. are very encouraging, especially in light of the fact that pulmonary lesions markedly larger than 3 cm in diameter were treated. Moreover, it was shown that local tumor progression could be treated successfully by repeated ablation [55,56,57].

The combination of percutaneous tumor ablation and systemic chemotherapy might improve survival of patients with colorectal cancer and inoperable metastases. For example, Chua et al. report median overall survival of 21 months for RFA alone versus 59 months for RFA and chemotherapy [58].

**Extrahepatic extrapulmonary tumors**

Successful palliative treatment of tumors in various extrahepatic and extrapulmonary sites has also been described for HDR brachytherapy (Figure 4). In a study of Wieners et al., tumor locations included the hepatoduodenal ligament, mesentery, adrenal gland, mesogastrium, and local recurrences after rectal or pancreatic cancer. The median dose in the target volume was 11 Gy (4-18 Gy), resulting in 76.5% LTC and 47% progression-free survival at 6 months [59]. Treatment of lymph node metastases in para-aortic and periceliac location, and close to the hilum was found to be safe and effective, resulting in LTC in 80% of cases [60].

A recent study investigating treatment of malignant melanoma metastases in various locations, including the retroperitoneal lymph nodes besides the liver and lung, showed 90% LTC after a median follow-up of 5 months. Median overall survival was 8 months [61].

An overview of studies reflecting the current spectrum of image-guided HDR brachytherapy in different organ systems is provided in Table 1.

**Safety, complications, and their management**

Image-guided HDRBT in a single session has proven to be a safe procedure. The available data on the use of this technique in primary and secondary liver malignancies indicate that even considerable comorbidity or high age is not an absolute contraindication.

Brinkhaus et al. report that HDRBT of liver tumors does not impair hepatic function and shows high overall safety and favorable survival rates. They determined liver function in 69 patients after HDRBT. Liver function was assessed using conventional biochemical parameters and the LiMAx test based on 13C-methacetin kinetics. Liver enzymes and bilirubin normalized after 6 weeks. There was no change in the LiMAx after the intervention and no patient developed radiation-induced liver disease (RILD) [63]. However, it has to be borne in mind that the risk of RILD is higher when liver tumor volumes are very large or in patients undergoing repeated brachytherapy. It is recommended to apply a dose of ≥ 10 Gy to a maximum of one third of the liver volume. A liver-protective regimen including enoxaparin, pentoxifylline, and ursodeoxycholic has been shown to significantly reduce the rate of RILD over an 8-week period following targeted radiotherapy [64].

Approximately, 2-3% of patients undergoing liver interventions develop hemoperitoneum classified as grade 1 or 2 event according to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), and patients rarely require transfusion for this complication. In our patients, we observed bleeding requiring embolization for control (CTCAE grades 3-4) in approximately 1-2% of cases. All of these patients had cirrhosis with or without portal vein thrombosis. Therefore, we assume that the risk of bleeding is increased in patients with higher arterial blood flow due to cirrhosis. Patients with Child B cirrhosis had a 22-fold higher risk than patients with Child A disease [31]. We have observed that use of gelfoam instead of fibrin glue is very helpful, since filling of the tract with gelfoam during stepwise withdrawal of the catheter ensures a high degree of control.

In our experience, patients with a tumor in central location (e.g., central CCC) or patients after Whipple surgery and biliodigestive anastomosis have an increased risk of developing liver abscess or cholangitis. We use peri-interventional antibiosis in these patients (e.g., oral ciprofloxacin, 500 mg, 1-0-1, for 2 weeks). Overall, liver abscess formation occurs in only 1-2% of cases and is altogether rare.

Available experience suggests that the bile ducts are rarely affected by complications such as strictures and cholestasis. The dose tolerance of the large bile ducts in patients treated by HDRBT was investigated in recent retrospective analysis of 102 patients with different primary tumors (no cases of CCC) and liver metastases in central location. This analysis revealed a significant correlation between dose exposure and bile duct complications and a relatively high threshold (cutoff of 21.3 Gy per 1 ml surface), suggesting that exposure of the large bile ducts is no limitation but must be considered in radiotherapy planning [65]. In contrast, there are dose limits that must be taken into account when treating lesions near the stomach or duodenum in order to prevent symptomatic ulceration. A prospective study included 33 patients with metastases near the stomach in liver segments II and III to investigate the gastric mucosal tolerance dose. This study identified a tolerance dose of 15.5 Gy per 1 ml of organ surface for the clinical endpoint of endoscopically proven symptomatic gastric ulcer [66]. Proton pump inhibitors (PPI) are prescribed prophylactically when using interstitial radiotherapy for treating liver lesions in the vicinity of the stomach.

General symptoms such as nausea and vomiting are usually limited to the first day after the intervention, and occur in less than 10% of cases. Small asymptomatic pleu-
ral effusions are observed in 10-15% of patients undergoing HDRBT of liver lesions. Pleural effusion requiring treatment may occur when a larger portion of the diaphragm is exposed but this is a rare event. In a prospective phase 2 trial of 30 patients with 83 primary and secondary pulmonary malignancies treated by CT-guided HDRBT, there was one pneumothorax treated with an 8F drain, while 6 patients developed small pneumothorax and did not require treatment [56]. This low complication rate is attributable to the fact that fibrin glue was used to seal the lateral aspect of the tract when removing the catheter, and that there is less mechanical damage to adjacent tissue compared with thermal ablation. Although the applicators for RFA and image-guided brachytherapy are similar in size, acute complications during and after the intervention such as pneumothorax appear to be more common when a thermal ablation technique is

| Table 1. Overview of relevant original publications on image-guided high-dose-rate brachytherapy of malignancies in various inner organs, in chronological order. The table summarizes tumor entities investigated, number of patients and lesions included, lesion size, follow-up (mean and range), local progression, and median overall survival |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Author         | Entity                          | Patients/ Tumors (n) | Tumor size (cm) | Follow-up (months) | Local progression (%) | Overall survival (months) |
| Ricke et al. [16] | Primary and secondary liver malignancies | 37/38 | 4.6 (2.5-11) | 14 (4-22) | 13 | NA |
| Ricke et al. [17] | Primary and secondary liver malignancies | 20/20 | 7.7 (5.5-10.8) | 13 (NA) | NA | 22 |
| Mohnike et al. [31] | Hepatocellular carcinoma | 75/126 | 4.4 (1-15) | 9.6 (1.2-58.5) | 3.9 | 19.4 |
| Collettini et al. [18] | Hepatocellular carcinoma (≥ 5 cm) | 35/35 | 7.1 (5-12) | 12.8 (2-32) | 6.7 | 15.4 |
| Collettini et al. [32] | Hepatocellular carcinoma | 98/192 | 5 (1.8-12) | 23.1 (4-64) | 8.5 | 29.2 |
| Schnapauff et al. [62] | Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma | 15/15 | 5.2 (1-18) | 18 (1-27) | NA | 14 |
| Rieke et al. [23] | Colorectal liver metastases | 73/199 | 3.6 (1-13.5) | 15.2 (NA) | 25.1 | 27.9 |
| Collettini et al. [38] | Colorectal liver metastases | 80/179 | 2.8 (8-10.7) | 16.9 (NA) | 12.9 | 18 |
| Wieners et al. [39] | Breast cancer liver metastases | 41/115 | 4.4 (1-11) | 18 (1-56) | 3.5 | NA |
| Collettini et al. [40] | Breast cancer liver metastases | 37/80 | 2.5 (0.8-7.4) | 11.6 (3-32) | 2.6 | 18 (3-39) |
| Wieners et al. [43] | Pancreatic cancer liver metastases | 20/49 | 2.9 (1.0-7.3) | 13.7 (1.4-55.0) | 10 | 8.6 |
| Rieke et al. [57] | Primary and secondary lung malignancies | 15/30 | 2 (0.6-11) | 5 (1-9) | 5 | NA |
| Peters et al. [56] | Primary and secondary lung malignancies | 30/83 | 2.5 (0.6-11) | 9 (1-21) | 3.6 | NA |
| Collettini et al. [55] | Primary and metastatic lung malignancies | 22/33 | 3.3 (1-8.6) | 13.7 (3-29) | 6.2 | NA |
| Bretschneider et al. [61] | Metastases of malignant melanoma | 14/52 | 1.5 (0.7-10) | 5 (1-11) | 10 | 8 |
| Collettini et al. [60] | Lymph node metastases | 10/10 | 3.6 (1.2-6.7) | 13.2 (4-20) | 20 | NA |

| Table 2. Organ-related dose limitations of high-dose-rate brachytherapy at Charité, Berlin |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Organ                           | Dose in 1 cc (D_{1cc}) | Dose in 0.1 cc (D_{0.1cc}) | D_{0.1cc} | D_{1cc} |
| Small intestine                 | 12 Gy           | 15 Gy           | 12 Gy | 15 Gy |
| Colon                           | 12 Gy           | 15 Gy           | 12 Gy | 15 Gy |
| Stomach                         | 12 Gy           | 15 Gy           | 12 Gy | 15 Gy |
| Oesophagus                      | 15 Gy           | 18 Gy           | 15 Gy | 18 Gy |
| Spinal canal                    | 10 Gy           | 12 Gy           | 10 Gy | 12 Gy |
| Liver hilum                     | 18 Gy           | 20 Gy           | 18 Gy | 20 Gy |
| Skin surface                    | 10 Gy           | 10 Gy           | 10 Gy | 10 Gy |

D_{0.1cc}, D_{1cc} – minimum dose to the most exposed 0.1 cm³, 1 cm³.
used. Published reports describe pneumothorax not requiring treatment in 30-50% of patients treated with RFA [67,68,69]. Organ-related dose limitations of HDBRT at Charité, Berlin are shown in Table 2.

New aspects and perspectives

Besides the indications and studies discussed so far, there are a number of recent investigations aimed at expanding the spectrum and applications of HDRBT.

For patients with renal cell carcinoma (RCC), nephron-sparing surgery (NSS) is the gold standard, while percutaneous thermoablation, using RFA or MWA for instance, have evolved into additional curative treatment options. For stage T1a RCC (< 4 cm) in peripheral or exophytic location and with enough distance from critical structures (calyceal system, ureter, vessels, intestine), treatment efficiency is nearly 100%; in patients with T1b RCC (> 4 and ≤ 7 cm), thermal ablation can be performed after prior transarterial embolization (TAE) [70]. Survival rates were found to be similar to NSS, while there were fewer complications and the initial decrease in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was less pronounced [71]. However, ablation becomes difficult or impossible in many cases due to an unfavorable location, lesion size, or nearby critical structures.

In a preliminary study, the effectiveness and safety of HDRBT was evaluated in the treatment of 19 RCCs in 17 patients [72] (Figure 5). Catheters were positioned using CT or MRI fluoroscopy. In addition, imaging with CT or MRI, and renal function was assessed by MAG-3 renal scintigraphy as well as determination of serum creatinine and GFR to identify possible radiation-induced acute or chronic renal dysfunction. Renal function was assessed before and 3, 6, and 12 months after the intervention. The results indicate that, with application of a median dose of 46 Gy per milliliter of tumor volume, no patient suffered a significant loss of renal function over the 12 month follow-up period. Only two patients showed a temporary decrease in the tubular excretion rate in MAG-3 scintigraphy at 6 months with a complete return to normal in the further course. Local tumor control after a median follow-up of 9 months is 89.7% [72].

To further improve local control in patients with very large tumors (> 8 cm), a study investigated the effect of hypofractionation on liver metastases from colorectal cancer [73]. In this study, the brachytherapy introducer sheaths were left in situ for 2 days and radiotherapy was delivered in 3 fractions of 10 Gy each. In this preliminary study, median OS was 17.5 months, and an LTC of 90% was achieved. No CTCAE grade III or IV adverse events were observed [73]. Other studies combining transarterial embolization with a radiosensitive drug (TACE) with HDBRT are already recruiting patients.

A most recent publication reports a joint interventional radiology and radiation oncology technique for achieving local control in challenging cases, for which other ablative techniques are contraindicated [74]. The lesions treated were in nontraditional locations such as pelvis, extremity, retroperitoneum, and head/neck in 18 patients with heavily pretreated malignancies. High-dose-rate brachytherapy was performed either in combination with EBRT or as monotherapy. The authors found a good one-year LTC of nearly 60% and a favorable toxicity profile.

While the routine imaging modality used to position brachytherapy catheters is CT fluoroscopy, it is also possible to position the catheters using MRI fluoroscopy in an open MRI system (1.0 T, Panorama, Phillips, The Netherlands). Compared with CT fluoroscopy, MRI fluoroscopy has the advantage of multiplanar imaging and better visualization, particularly of small liver lesions, when a hepatobiliary contrast agent is used (Gd-EOB-DTPA, Primovist®, Bayer Healthcare, Germany) [23,75].

A very recent study has analyzed and compared activity-based costs of HCC ablation using CT-guided HDRBT versus CT-guided RFA. Although HDRBT is a more complex procedure with more staff involved, it can be performed at lower costs per patient than RFA when treating more than 55 patients annually, mainly due to lower costs for disposables and a decreasing percentage of fixed costs with an increasing number of treatments [76].

In the future, additional prospective randomized controlled trials comparing HDRBT with standard treatments such as RFA and TACE are needed to generate evidence-based results for this relatively novel treatment method, and to define appropriate indications for various tumor entities and tumor stages.

Conclusions

Image-guided HDRBT is a safe and effective therapy option and has gained acceptance in integrated cancer treatment. It is most useful in a salvage situation in patients with large hepatic tumors, and the spectrum of applications in terms of tumor location, size, and number has been continuously expanded in recent years. Limitations such as cooling or lesion size are less of an issue when using HDRBT compared with thermal ablation techniques. In patients with advanced or metastatic malignancy, HDRBT allows satisfactory local tumor control and, therefore can temporarily halt disease progression, particularly in patients in whom chemotherapy fails. Future oncological treatment strategies should aim at optimally combining the different surgical, systemic, and interventional radiological treatment options to tailor treatment to each patient’s individual needs. This requires patient-centered discussion and decision making by interdisciplinary tumor boards.
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