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Abstract. – A heuristic generalization of the Boltzmann-Gibbs microcanonical entropy is proposed, able to describe meta-equilibrium features and evolution of macroscopic systems. Despite its simple-minded derivation, such a function of collective parameters characterizing the microscopic state of N-body systems, yields, at one time, a statistical interpretation of dynamic evolution, and dynamic insights on the basic assumption of statistical mechanics. Its natural (implicit) time dependence, entails a Second Law-like behaviour and allows moreover, to perform an elementary test of the Loschmidt reversibility objection, pointing out the crucial relevance of Chaos in setting up effective (statistico-mechanical and dynamical) arrows of time. Several concrete (analytical and numerical) applications illustrate its properties.

Introduction. – The definition of an entropy-like quantity in terms of dynamical variables for mechanical systems out of equilibrium, is a fundamental issue of Statistical Mechanics (SM). In the last decade, most studies focused on Non Equilibrium Stationary States (NESS) of driven systems, [1]. In parallel, however, there has been a revival, [2] of the investigations on the seemingly simpler issue, dealing with isolated systems, left free to evolve from a non equilibrium initial state; i.e., on the original concern of Boltzmann: the dynamical interpretation of the tendency of macroscopic systems to spontaneously relax to an equilibrium state, and why and how natural evolution is associated with an increase of a macroscopic state variable, called Entropy in the realm of Thermodynamics (TD), for which a definition in terms of microscopic phase variables is sought [3]. In these latter papers in particular, a modification of the (perfect gas) H function is suggested, when the contribution of the interaction potential to the total energy is not negligible. These works acknowledge the deep (and generally ignored) Jaynes critical analysis, [4], on the (ab)use of the identification of the Boltzmann-H function with the (negative of the) thermodynamic entropy, and on the (logically equivalent) statement that the derivation of any flavour of a H-theorem should amount to a proof of the Second Law.

Here, I present a further attempt, inspired again by the Jaynes thought-provoking analysis, which, far from giving a mathematically rigorous derivation, relies upon a naive extension
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of a well known (and implicitly commonly adopted) generalization of the Boltzmann-Gibbs (BG) microcanonical entropy and does not require any modification of the most simple and fundamental mechanical definition of entropy, [5]. The interconnections with other recent works around this area, either from the viewpoint of an axiomatic treatment of the Second Law, [6], or dealing with NESS and related chaotic hypotheses, [1,7] will appear(1) elsewhere, [8], where conceptual, analytical and numerical aspects are also discussed in more details.

In the following, I describe the basically simple idea, and how it, leaving formally unchanged the BG entropy, naturally leads to an implicitly time-dependent quantity. Then I apply it to three different models, discussing a) the analytic predictions; b) the surprising agreement between these and the numerical outcomes, in equilibrium and non-equilibrium cases; c) the experimental increase of generalized entropy along dynamical trajectories and d) the evidence of the crucial relevance of Chaos for the onset of irreversibility.

The basic assumption. – The minimally biased choice for a reconciliation between Dynamics, spontaneous evolution and statistical description of isolated systems, has to be microcanonical in spirit: I will deal with N-body systems (in a $f$-dimensional configuration space), governed by a Hamiltonian:

$$H(q, p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_i^2}{2m_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} V_{ij}(||q_i - q_j||) = E \equiv K + U .$$

The SM over the $(2fN - 1)$-dimensional constant energy surface, $\Sigma_E$, establishes the logical connection between Dynamics and TD, exploited through the BG entropy, $S_{BG}(E, N, V) = k_B \ln W(E, N, V)$, where $W$ is the measure of $\Sigma_E$ ($k_B$ being the Boltzmann’s constant), and depends on few macroscopic parameters ($E, N$ and, e.g., the spatial volume $V$).

However, it is well known that, in the case of isolated systems, dynamical trajectories actually explore only a (zero-measure) subset of $\Sigma_E$, lying down rather on the $D \doteq (2fN - 1 - M)$-dimensional manifold, $\Omega$, defined by $E$ and the other $M$ integrals of motion of the system. Indeed, if a consistent SM is sought, $S_{BG}$ has to be suitably modified, whenever the dynamics admits additional conserved or constrained quantities. This generalization is simple and is tacitly assumed in most textbooks and discussions, mainly because, generally, it is $M \ll N$ and the results do not depend on the choice (see, however [10] for a curious counterexample). The above definitions lead to the microcanonical SM and work egregiously well for equilibrium TD. However, it has been argued (see, e.g., [11]) that the above expressions, if suitably interpreted, could give a measure of entropy even in nonequilibrium states. Although such a terminology will horrify anyone eager for rigorous definitions, Jaynes instead was a convinced supporter of this interpretation, though referring to the Gibbs entropy, $S_G = -k_B \int_\Omega \rho \ln \rho d\Gamma$, [4].

In the same spirit, the basic extension here proposed consists in assuming that the region $\tilde{\Omega}$ whose measure, $\tilde{W} \doteq ||\tilde{\Omega}||$, enters in the definition of the generalized entropy, depends not only on the (conserved) values of energy, $H(q, p) \equiv E$ and on the additional integrals of motion, $K(q, p) \equiv I$, where $I \doteq \{I_1, . . . , I_M\}$, fixed a priori by the initial conditions and thereafter rigorously conserved, but can have a functional dependence also on other collective variables, $\{P_i\}$, whose evolution is instead determined (apart from statistical fluctuations), implicitly and self-consistently, by the dynamics. As the $\{P_i\}$ evolve, according to Hamilton’s equations, the measure of the effectively available phase-space volume changes, so that the generalized
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entropy function, $\tilde{S}_{BG}$, of the system is allowed to evolve as well: were $\Omega = \Omega(E, N, I)$ alone, then, clearly, no entropy evolution could be explained.

The basic consistency requirement amounts to verify whether the quasi deterministic evolution of the $\{P_i\}$ implies almost always an increase of $S_{BG}$, and, viceversa, whether the maximization of the latter with respect to the macroscopic parameters, gives predictions about their behaviour consistent with the dynamics. In the affirmative case, a meaningful (yet heuristic) reconciliation between Dynamics and TD is obtained. It has to be emphasized how, in this scheme, physical intuition plays a major role to single out the best suited macroscopic parameters able to describe the dynamical and statistical evolution of the system. All selection criteria should consider that TD and SM, deal with macroscopic systems; consequently, a possibly singular behaviour of single microscopic constituents, which does not alter the values of collective parameters, cannot modify the pertinent level of description. To show the effectiveness of the approach, I will confine to few (yet physically relevant) cases, where the above selection is more or less straightforward and the physical meaning of the parameters transparent\(^2\). Therefore, introducing the specific energy, $\varepsilon = E/N$, and assuming that the $\{P_i\}$ vary within suitable intervals $I_i(\varepsilon, N, I)$, the generalization suggested reads:

\[
\tilde{S}_{BG}(\varepsilon, N, I; \{P_i\}) \doteq k_B \ln \tilde{W} = k_B \ln \int_{P_i \in I_i} \delta[E - H(q, p)] \delta^{(M)}[I - K(q, p)] dq dp. \tag{2}
\]

The (implicit) time dependence is hidden in the dynamically determined evolution of the $\{P_i\}$. Such macroscopic variables can be often singled out analyzing the scaling properties of the model. A common example, exploited below, is the virial ratio, $Q \doteq A_V(K)/U$, between the (time averages of) kinetic and potential energies. A purely dynamical theorem (see, e.g., [12, 13]) assures that it attains, for confined motions, a well defined value, dependent, in the general case, only on the form of potential $V$ and, possibly, on $\varepsilon$. The phase-space volume can often be expressed as a function of the related instantaneous virial ratio, $Q(t) \doteq A_V K(t)/U(t)$.

Applications. As a first example let us consider the simplest N-body hamiltonian system (except, perhaps, the perfect gas): a chain ($f = 1$) of N harmonic oscillators with nearest neighbour interactions. Although this system is integrable, for irrational frequency ratios, kinematic phase mixing takes place and motions of single oscillators decorrelate. It is obvious that no irreversible evolution can occur; however, while some variables approach a definite value only in time averaged sense, others assume, even locally, values practically coincident with asymptotic ones. For example, in this case, $Q_{ho} \doteq (K)/U \equiv 1$ is energy independent and $Q(t)$ oscillates around this value, within statistical $O(N^{-1/2})$ fluctuations, unless collective oscillations occur, which are, however, quickly damped out by the (kinematic) mixing. As a first exercise, [9], I show how the dynamic evidence, $Q \equiv 1$, receives within the present approach a statistical explanation (or, at least, interpretation). Indeed, assuming for simplicity $m_i \equiv m$ and introducing suitable scales, $(R, V)$, for positions and momenta coordinates, $q_i = R x_i$, and $p_i = m V y_i$, such that $2 \varepsilon = m V^2 + m \omega^2 R^2$, with $\omega$ a weighted average frequency and exploiting the definition of $Q(t)$, it is possible to write, [8],

\[
V^2 = \frac{2Q \varepsilon}{m(1+Q)}; \quad R^2 = \frac{2 \varepsilon}{m \omega^2 (1+Q)} . \tag{3}
\]

\(^2\)In particular, dealing with self-confining interparticle potentials allows to neglect the effects of a vessel and to exploit the fact that, once $E$ and $N$ are fixed, the spatial volume $V$ is implicitly constrained within well defined limits, at least on timescales of interest, see [8].
from which, substituting into eqs. [2], we obtain

$$\bar{S}_{BG} = \frac{fN}{2} k_B \ln \left[ \frac{4Q \varepsilon^2}{\omega^2(1 + Q)^2} I(x, y) \right]; \quad (4)$$

with \( I(x, y) \) a dimensionless integral, depending only on the microscopic state, and evolving (much) more slowly than, \( Q \). Despite its simplicity, eq. (4) has two important consequences: a) the maximization of \( \bar{S}_{BG} \) with respect to \( Q \), yields the virial equilibrium condition: \( \partial \bar{S}_{BG}/\partial Q = 0 \iff Q = 1 \); b) the microcanonical temperature, \( T = (\partial \bar{S}_{BG}/\partial E)^{-1} \), leads to the well known formula for the heat capacity of a (1-D) harmonic crystal: \( E = N k_B T \), coherent, incidentally, with the orthodicity requirement, \([15]\).

Before to go beyond what could have been considered just a funny educational curiosity\(^{(3)}\), I want to point out that, in the general case, the evolution of the collective parameters is not the only source of entropy increase; however, a separation of scales (of size and time) exists, such that the gross and faster evolution is driven by these quantities, \([8, 14]\).

Let us consider now a system (called here RMLB-model) which has been used to model phase transitions in small clusters of atoms, \([16]\), whose Hamiltonian is

$$H(q, p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2 \frac{1}{2m_i} + \frac{1}{2} K_0 N r^2 \left[ 1 - b r^2 + c r^4 \right], \quad \text{with} \quad r^2 \equiv N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i^2. \quad (5)$$

The TD (and, surprisingly, the dynamics too) of this model presents (even in the case \( K_0 = 1 \), \( c = 1 \) and \( m_i \equiv 1 \)) several interesting aspects, that have been, \([16]\), or will be discussed elsewhere in details, \([8]\). The approach proposed, allows to recover its TD peculiarities and non trivial phenomenology (as, e.g., multiple local entropy maxima and the occurrence of negative heat capacities) and to interpret the connections between dynamical and TD computations, even in a system, again, without any dynamic stochasticity.

Assuming, as before, \( f = 1 \), exploiting, in this case, the virial theorem, \([8]\), and introducing the specific entropy, \( \sigma = \bar{S}_{BG}/N k_B \), we get a parametric entropy-energy relation through the order parameter \( \rho \equiv (r^2)^{1/2} \):

\[
\varepsilon = (\rho^2 - \frac{3b}{2} \rho^4 + 2 \rho^6); \quad \sigma \approx \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ 2 \rho^2 (\rho^2 - 2b \rho^4 + 3 \rho^6) \right], \quad (6)
\]

As before, the microcanonical Temperature, which reads here: \( k_B T(\varepsilon) = \left. \frac{d\varepsilon/d\rho}{d\sigma/d\rho} \right|_{\rho=\rho_x} \) (with \( \rho_x \) such that \( \varepsilon(\rho_x) = \varepsilon \)), yields the caloric curves, and confirms also for this model, that, within this approach, the orthodic condition holds, \([8]\). To compare concretely the predictions of the proposed SM with the outcomes of Dynamics, I’ve performed several Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations of Hamiltonian \([5]\) for different values of \( b \) and \( \varepsilon \) and computed the corresponding dynamical temperatures, through well known formulas, \([17]\). These are compared, in fig.\(\text{I}\) with the theoretic curves derived above. We see that the agreement is absolute almost everywhere, except in the regions of negative heat capacity. As anticipated above, the interesting features of this model, indeed, originate from the existence of a threshold value, \( b > b_x \), above which coexistence regions appear. There, as shown in the insets of fig.\(\text{I}\), both \( \varepsilon(\rho) \) and \( \sigma(\rho) \) are not anymore invertible functions, so that \( \rho_x \) is multivalued as is \( T(\varepsilon) \). This is not at all a drawback: on the contrary, the big fluctuations there occurring, help to understand the nature of the coexistence in this system: in a (microcanonical) MD simulation
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range, so that \( \rho \) attains a well defined value; if \( b > b_\ast \), instead, \( r \) can be trapped,
intermittently, within different bands, compatible with the given \( \varepsilon \). Correspondingly, \( \rho \) and,
consequently, \( \sigma \) and \( T \), show much larger fluctuations.

The dynamics of both models analyzed so far is not enough unpredictable, and this makes
them not exactly the kind of system one expects to be suited for a S M approach. Despite
this, the results shown allow to argue that deterministic chaos is not always essential for a (at
least partially) successful SM description of (meta-)equilibrium states.

To investigate deeply the distinguishing features of TD, e.g. the Second Law and the
origin of an effectively irreversible evolution, I will focus now on the (3D) self-gravitating
N-body system (SGS), whose dynamics is surely unpredictable, has been the original stim-
ulus which brought about, [9], the first reflections and for which preliminary accounts have
already appeared, [14]. For simplicity, I assume to have a bound \( \varepsilon = E/N < 0 \) system
of \( N \) equal mass \( (m_i \equiv m) \) particles, interacting via a softened \(^{14}\) Newtonian potential,

\[ \varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{E}{N} \right) \]

\(^{14}\) As discussed elsewhere, [8], the softening is adopted here not (only) to avoid numerical singularities,
but mainly to be fully coherent with the basic assumptions of the approach proposed. Therefore, in what
follows \( d_{ij} \equiv \sqrt{(r_i - r_j)^2 + \eta^2} \), where \( \eta \equiv 0.5 \). That is, the two-body interaction is softened when the
particles get closer than a (small) fraction of the mean interparticle separation, \( \overline{d} \equiv G N^{2/3} m^{2/3} \). In all the
numerical simulations here discussed, every particle has unit mass, the initial conditions are generated at virial
equilibrium, \( -2K = U \), with specific energy fixed \( \varepsilon = -10 \), and particles distributed initially with uniform
spatial density in a spherical box of radius \( R_G \), with a velocity distribution approximately Maxwellian. Time is
measured in units of dynamical (or crossing) time \([9, 14, 18]\), \( t_D = (Gd)^{-1/2} \approx N/|\varepsilon|^{1/2} \). The numerical
integrations are performed in double precision, using a high-order symplectic algorithm, [20], and the time-step
is chosen small enough to keep the (maximum) relative energy error below \( 10^{-4} \). The position and the velocity
of the center of mass of the system are rigorously conserved within the round-off errors, i.e., within \( 10^{-14} \).
The consequences (or lack thereof) of modifying some of the choices are discussed elsewhere, [8].

Fig. 1 – Comparison of the SM (lines) and dynamical (symbols) caloric curves of the RMLB model.
Black, blue, red and green curves refer, respectively, to: \( b = 1.0 \); \( \sqrt{2} \); 1.55 and 1.7. The insets show the
behaviour of \( T(\rho) \) and \( \varepsilon(\rho) \).

Fig. 2 – Comparison of the theoretical, microcanonical distribution of the \( Q \)-values, predicted from
eq(7), with the experimental, relaxed distribution, computed along a numerically integrated hamil-
tonian trajectory, for a SGS with \( N = 1000 \). The dashed curve represents a gaussian fit. In the inset
the same comparison is made, for \( N = 16000 \) but for a much shorter time.
$V_{ij}(\|q_i - q_j\|) = -Gm_im_j/d_{ij}$. Gravitational interaction is not rigorously \textit{confining}; it can be argued, however, \cite{9,14,18} that, up to any physically meaningful time, the number of \textit{escaping particles} is negligible. Thus, as before, we can introduce suitable scale factors for coordinates and momenta, defining the \textit{gravitational} and \textit{inertial} radii, \( R_G \approx (\sum m_i)^2/ (\sum_{i \neq j} m_im_j/d_{ij}) \approx GNm^2/|\varepsilon| \) and \( R_I \approx \left[ \sum m_ir_i^2/\sum m_i \right]^{1/2} \), respectively, and the ratio among them, \( \alpha \approx R_I/R_G \). After some elementary algebra, \cite{8}, we can express again the phase-space volume as a function of \textit{standard parameters} \((\varepsilon, N)\), \textit{collective} phase functions, \( Q \equiv -2K/U \) and \( \alpha \), and a dimensionless integral, \( J \), accounting for the correlations amongst particles, to obtain:

\[ S_{BG} \equiv Nk_B\sigma \equiv 3Nk_B/2 \ln \left[ -G^2N^2m^3/2\varepsilon \right] \alpha^2Q(2 - Q) J(x, y) . \]  

(7)

The different kinds of parameters in eq. (7) play distinct roles: as far as we consider the system isolated, \( \varepsilon \) and \( N \) (and clearly \( m \)) are rigorously constant, whereas \( Q \), \( \alpha \) and \( J \) evolve, though on very well separated (and increasing) timescales. A thoroughful analysis of their hierarchy is presented elsewhere, \cite{8,14}. The implications of eq. (7) follow immediately: \textbf{a)} the definition of the \textit{microcanonical temperature} leads to the \textit{caloric curve} for SGS,

\[ T^{-1} \equiv (\partial S_{BG}/\partial E) \equiv k_B(\partial \sigma/\partial \varepsilon) \implies \varepsilon = -3/2kBT , \]  

(8)

yielding a direct proof of the well known (for SGS) \textit{negative heat capacity}. \textbf{b)} As in previous cases, \( S_{BG} \) in eq. (7) is maximized for \( Q = 1 \), and this confirms again the statistical interpretation of virial theorem. \textbf{c)} What’s more, for this system, the present approach allows to go well beyond the \textit{mere} prediction of the correct average value: eq. (7) provides also a \textit{distribution law} for the values of \( Q \) in the microcanonical ensemble. This is a rather convincing result if we ponder over the surely chaotic nature of SGS, \cite{9,14,19}; implying that their statistical properties are likely to be very strong. It is therefore reasonable to expect that (microcanonical) ensemble probability distributions should be \textit{accurately sampled} during a long enough hamiltonian trajectory. The agreement between Dynamics and (modified) microcanonical SM is clearly evident from Figure 2: the histogram reports the effective counting of the actual values assumed by \( Q(t) \) along a numerically integrated trajectory \((N = 1000 \text{ and } \eta_e = 0.01)\) while the solid line represents (up to a normalization factor) the \textit{pdf} for the \( Q \)-values, as predicted by eq. (7). It can be argued that both distributions are very close to the gaussian curve (dashed line), which seems to reproduce equally well the numerical data. However, there is a \textit{physically important} distinction: the gaussian results from an \textit{optimized} empirical \textit{fit}, whereas the \( \mu \)-canonical \textit{pdf} has no fitting parameters. The difference is strinkingly evident in the inset, where an analogous comparison is made for a simulation with \( N = 16000 \), but on a much shorter time, just comparable with the \textit{virialization time}. There, the gaussian fit is apparently unsatisfactory, as the \textit{weight} of the (relatively) large oscillations of \( Q \) during the \textit{virialization process}, imposes to the \textit{fit} a very large width. On the other hand, it should be stressed that, on increasing \( N \) (and awaiting for a long enough integration time), the \( \mu \)-canonical \textit{pdf} and the gaussian fit will overlap, as the former, in the \( N \rightarrow \infty \), approach a gaussian with width \( \sigma^2 \propto N^{-1} \) and the amplitude of the fluctuations will vanish, so that the gaussian fit shrinks, \cite{8}. Thus, the proposed framework, allows to reproduce the statistical distribution of collective parameters along hamiltonian trajectories even for finite time, when equilibrium distributions fail, recovering, however, in the \( N \rightarrow \infty \) and \( t/t_D \rightarrow \infty \) limits, the \textit{normal} equilibrium results. So far we have thus seen that a \textit{maximum entropy}-like criterion applied to \( S_{BG} \) is not only consistent with dynamical equilibrium conditions but
Fig. 3 – Increase with time (measured in units of the dynamical time, $t_D$) of $\sigma^*$, along hamiltonian trajectories of several SGS. From top to bottom it is $N = 1000, 2000, 4000, 8000, 16000$. Except for the largest $N$, the plots represent the average of different realizations. Always it is $\eta_* = 0.02$.

Fig. 4 – Reversibility objection and Chaos. Evolution of $\sigma^*$ along single trajectories of $N = 4000$ SGS’s with $\eta_* = 0.02$, 0.2, 2, from top to bottom. At $t = t_{\text{inv}} = 20t_D$ the velocities are reversed. The upper left inset is an enlargement around $t_{\text{inv}}$ for the smallest $\eta_*$; the other inset contains the plots of $\delta^*(t) = \sigma^*(t) - \sigma^*(2t_{\text{inv}} - t)$.

predicts also correctly (meta-)equilibrium statistical and TD properties of many body systems. However noteworthy may be that, one could wonder whether $\tilde{S}_{BG}$ satisfies also deeper properties expected to hold for an entropy function. If we were able to show (if not to prove!) that $\tilde{S}_{BG}$ increases almost monotonously with time, then we could be really confident that the naive estimate of the phase space volume $\tilde{\Omega}(E, N, I; \{P_i\})$ contains a profound meaning, connected with the Second Law and the issue of irreversibility. That this is indeed the case is, I think, unambiguously shown in figure 3, where the (numerical) time evolution of the quantity $\sigma^* = \ln [\alpha^2 Q(2 - Q)]$, for different values of $N$. After a relatively fast (with a $N$-independent rate) initial increase, $\sigma^*$ steadily grows, although with a slower rate the larger is $N$, [8], and with fluctuations which also are smaller the greater is $N$ [8]. $\tilde{S}_{BG}$, computed along (microcanonical) dynamical trajectories behaves like the TD entropy and, moreover, the frequency of local (in time) violations of the Second Law vanishes for large $N$.

This is much of, though not all, the content of the Second Law: this law establishes indeed what is (popularly) known as an arrow of Time; that is, it enables to distinguish the past from the future evolution. This contrasts with Hamiltonian dynamics, invariant under time reversal. I will not discuss here [8] the many attempts to settle the issue, but simply recall two amongst the many arguments invoked to justify the onset of macroscopic irreversibility in the dynamics of mechanical systems: the occurrence of non typical initial conditions and the presence of a chaotic dynamics. Indeed, discussing the first two models, we have seen that

(5) Which is, essentially, the only evolving contribution to the specific entropy $\tilde{S}_{BG}/Nk_B$, as the other time-dependent quantity, the integral $J$, evolves on much longer timescales. Notice that time is measured in units of the so-called dynamical time, $t_D \propto N$.

(6) The details and the implications in astrophysical context of these results will be discussed elsewhere, along with the clear-cut intensive nature of $\sigma^*$ emerging from the figure.
even systems which are definitely not chaotic, possess (some) collective properties which can be described statistically. This amounts to say, that TD concepts can be useful to describe at least some equilibrium properties of even dynamically regular macroscopic systems, provided that no reference is made to non equilibrium behaviour, associated to the Second Law.

With regard to the issue of irreversibility, were the entropy increase due solely to the peculiarity of the initial state, then the Loschmidt reversibility objection would not lead to any paradox, and entropy could possibly decrease going back in time. I claim, however, that this is not the case, and precisely that Chaos plays an essential role in the true onset of irreversibility. In this perspective, therefore, the relationship between Second Law and irreversibility loses a tiny part of its complete and absolute logical equivalence: the first can originate from a non-generic initial state of the system, the impossibility to retrace exactly the evolutive path backward in time arises from the presence of a chaotic dynamics. In this evenience, consequently, entropy functions exist that increases in both directions of time, irrespectively of the choice of initial conditions.

Figure 4 unequivocally supports the above assertions, giving them a clear empirical evidence. The curves there shown represent, as in fig.3, the time evolution of $\sigma^*$ for three $N = 4000$ particles systems, all starting from the same initial conditions, but with varying softening parameter, $\eta$. At variance with the previous figure, however, the validity of Loschmidt reversibility objection is checked, reversing, at time $t = t_{inv}$, every particle’s velocity. The results are clear: A) for a strongly, or even moderately, chaotic system, the entropy evolution is reversed only for very short time intervals after the inversion; subsequently the (dynamical) instability drives again the collective variable $\sigma^*$ to increase, obeying to a Second Law even for the backward time evolution (see upper inset). B) Viceversa, if the dynamics is virtually regular, as in the case of a very large $\eta_*$, although an initial entropy increase occurs as well, due to the non complete genericity of the initial state, after the inversion, the macroscopic quantity $\sigma^*$, traces back along its path, taking exactly the same values assumed prior to inversion, and accompanies the return of the system to the initial (macroscopic and microscopic) state (lower inset).

I'm obviously aware that the above results deserve a deeper discussion and that the interpretation of the numerical effects mimicking the unavoidable external disturbances should be carefully investigated; nevertheless, I find it extremely instructive, as it shows a clear link between microscopic instability (let it be driven by numerical or ambiental noise) and irreversible behaviour of macroscopic quantities, whether it is agreed upon to call them entropies or not.

Conclusion. – A simple naïve estimate of phase space volume, compatible with the values of macroscopic parameters of several hamiltonian N-body systems has been used to introduce a simple generalization of the BG entropy that obeys and satisfies the corresponding Second Law. The results here presented are unambiguous and do not require anything more than an undergraduate mathematics. The same conceptual approach can (and will) be generalized to a wider class of systems, just using a more refined formal treatment. Admittedly, the extreme simplicity and the heuristic nature of the approach call perhaps for a more rigorous formalization. Nevertheless, its relevance resides just in its elementary derivation and discloses itself through the ability to describe adaptively the TD properties of a system in terms of suitable macroscopic parameters which evolve on suitable timescales. Another conceptually

It is wise to recall that Loschmidt’s objections (as well as Zermelo’s one) were against the Boltzmann’s H-theorem, so related to the interpretation of the Boltzmann’s H-function, based on the single particle distribution function. Nevertheless, it is clear that Loschmidt’s criticisms could apply equally to the present context (whereas for the Zermelo’s objection it is not exactly equivalent; [8]).
appealing feature of the approach here proposed is that the hypotheses needed for different levels of statistical descriptions emerged naturally, along with the development of the reasoning. In particular, we have seen how the conditions, like literal ergodicity or mixing, usually required, are not always essential to justify an effective (though partial) TD description.
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