Microplastics, an emerging concern: A review of analytical techniques for detecting and quantifying microplastics
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ABSTRACT
Microplastics are ubiquitous tiny plastic particles (< 5 mm) nonbiodegradable and have large surface area in the environment or the body of living things due to anthropogenic activities or fragmentation of plastic debris. Though they have been found in sea food and human body, their health implications are still speculative. Moreover, a major reason for dearth of information on this topical issue is the lack of standard operating protocol for detecting and quantifying microplastics as a result of their presence in more complex environmental matrices. In the present review some methodologies for analyzing microplastics reported in the period 2000 to 2018 have been documented with the aim of assessing which methods is most suitable and in what matrix. The following methods have been studied: CHN analyzers, pyrolysis-gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy (PyrGC/MS), optical microscopy, fourier transform infrared microspectroscopy (Micro-FTIR), raman microspectroscopy (RMS) and scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS), effective for particles <500 μm. However, the quality of result obtained strongly relies on good sampling and sample purification. Specifically, Micro-Raman spectroscopy is best for particles of <1 μm while degradation process of plastics is best monitored with SEM-EDS. Generally, studies have been conducted with often a combination of two methods: one separating and the other quantifying which can be problematic moreso in living tissue where there is no harm reported as at the time of this study. Ultimately based on this study, microplastics have become a cause for concern and advance studies are required to unravel the potential risk of their presence in our food and environment.

1. Introduction
Plastics are organic polymers, synthesized from the polymerisation of monomers extracted from oil or gas. Since it first modern development in 1907 called “Bakelite”, it global production rose from 0.3 billion tons in 2010 [1] to 348 million metric tons in 2017 [2]. Approximately 10 % end up in the marine environment [3] and only a fraction of about 3 % was recycled in 2016. The plastics while in the environment breaks down to form debris. Many studies have reported that majority (60-80 %) of debris in marine environment are made up of plastics [4-6], which are further broken down to tiny particles through weathering processes. The tiny particles are defined as “microplastics” together with primary plastic particles manufactured at microsize dimensions [5,7].

Microplastics can simply be defined as small plastic particles in the environment. Term “micoplastics” was recently coined, although the occurrence of small plastic particles on beaches and in coastal waters was first reported in the 1970s [8]. The U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) classified microplastics as less than 5 mm in diameter.
However, different authors have given different classifications of microplastics with numerous size-ranges. Graham and Thompson [9] classified microplastics with diameters of $<$10 mm, diameter of $<$5 mm was given by Barnes et al. [5] and Betts [10], 2–6 mm by Derraik [3], $<$2 mm and $<$1 mm [11-13]. Their sources vary including cosmetics, clothing, and industrial processes via urban wastewater treatment etc. Microplastics are classified as primary microplastics and secondary microplastics. The primary microplastics are produced from anthropogenic means while secondary microplastics are derived from breakdown of larger plastic debris into microscopic plastic fragments [14].

Microplastics can be ubiquitous and remain in the environment at high levels for many years because of their difficulty in breaking down. In the last decade, many researchers have developed interest and conducted studies on microplastics due to their massive buildup in the environment [12,13,15-17].

Currently, their mobility and entire cycle in the environment is not yet known. They are more particularly in aquatic and marine ecosystems. Due to their persistence in the environment, they find their way and accumulate in the bodies and tissues of many organisms. When in the host organism they could easily undergo chemical exchange due to their large surface area. This may possibly pose some health issues to the host organisms. In addition, they serve as vector to pollutants whose health implications are already established; which include heavy metals (HMs) and persistent organic pollutants (POPs) (i.e polyaromatic hydrocarbons, PAHs, organochlorine pesticides, OCPs and polychlorinated biphenyls, PCBs). Many research reports have shown that PCBs are capable of attaching to the surface of plastics [18-21] and become bioavailable when injected by animals [22,23]. For heavy metals, studies by Holmes [24] and Rochman [25] reported their adsorption to plastics surface when suspended in the marine environment. Ashton and coworkers [26], also reported adsorption of heavy metals such as iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), aluminium (Al), lead (Pb), copper (Cu), silver (Ag), zinc (Zn) in seawater on virgin (new) polyethylene pellets. In the same study, heavy metals, such as Cu, Zn, Fe, were found in higher concentration on plastic production pellets than local sediments in coastline of South West, England [26]. According to Holmes et al. [24, 27], the extent of adsorption of metals on plastics in marine environment is probably due to the weathering, adhesion to foreign material (viz organic matter) over time, surface area and availability of anionic active sites.

Many scientist worldwide have reported on the uptake of microplastics by various marine organisms such as fish, sandhoppers, sea turtle, crustacean and mussel [7,11,28-30]. Due to this, their digestive system may be blocked, thereby leading to potentially serious injuries [31]. Furthermore, microplastics have been found in table salts used for cooking, potable water and human excreta [32]. However, the health implications of microplastics are still scanty studied with no evidence of impacts on human. This is an emerging area of concern. The very scarce available evidence is currently under review by World Health Organization (WHO). Their objectives are to indentify gaps in evidence and create an agenda for research, in order to provide detailed information concerning risk pose to human by microplastics [33].

Researchers argued that the scanty research on microplastics have majorly centered on lack of standard operation protocols (SOP) or methodology for sampling, detecting and quantifying them in the environment [34-38]. Although, different methods have been developed and used by different researchers due to their presence in more complex environmental matrices [35,36,39,40]. A review by Klein et al. [41] summarized possible procedure provided by different researchers for analyzing microplastics in environmental matrix. The review describes the entire study process from sample collection to reporting of results (presented in Figure 1). One key aspect of some of the analytical methods is the extraction of microplastics from dissolving biomass using one or more fairly aggressive chemicals such as potassium hydroxide.
(KOH), hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), perchloric acid (HClO$_4$) and nitric acid (HNO$_3$) which can be destructive to the plastic particles and thus not accurately identifying and quantifying their presence in a sample. These aggressive chemicals are best suited for extracting heavy metals from samples of soil [42-44], water [45,46] and sediment [47].

Microplastics quantification is simply based on differentiating or separating these particles from other matter whether inorganic (e.g. sediment) or organic (e.g. plant material). Density based methods have been applied by many researchers in order to separate lighter compartments of the sample from sediment in a marine environment [35,36,39,48]. Using the density based method, Song et al. [49], explained that interference from other organic particles poses a major problem when identifying microplastics as synthetic polymers. Other methods involve the use of enzymatic digestion and lipophilic dye Nile Red (9-diethylamino-5H-benzo[α]phenoxazine-5-one) quantitative differential staining for small microplastics (1 mm to 20 μm) in a samples [40, 50-52]. Here, we review common analytical techniques by which plastic types are identified, which can also be used in microplastic detection in the environment. These techniques are commonly used in analytical and environmental studies. They have been reported to be sensitive and accurate for indentifying and detecting microplastics in the environment.

2. Sources of data

Materials such as statistical bulletins, journals articles and conference/workshop/seminar papers were used for sourcing information. Web of Science and Google Scholar were two major scientific databases used to search for related articles and at least one of the following keywords needed to sample appear in
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Fig. 1. Strategies provided by researchers for microplastics analysis from sampling to reporting results [41].
article’s title or abstract: “Microplastics”, “Plastics”, “Marine”, “Spectrophotometer”, “Microscopy” and “Spectroscopy” (conducted in May, August and December 2018). One or more of the aforementioned keywords must be present in an article for the article to be included in the present review. All searches were restricted to peer-reviewed articles written in English that were published after 2000 to ensure review focus on recent literature on microplastics. Additionally, selected article prior to 2000 were included (e.g [53] and [54]) due to importance in the initial set of empirical studies.

3. Experimental procedures and methods

3.1. CHN analyzers

CHN Analyzer is a scientific instrument which can determine the concentrations of elements in a given sample using combustion technique. The analyzer is useful in the development and production of plastics. It identifies plastic materials and quantifies nitrogen, carbon, hydrogen, sulfur and oxygen contents in plastics. No sample digestion or toxic chemicals is required for using it, thus making it a reliable system [55].

Liliana and Guido [56] characterized plastics (pellets, powders and films) by the Thermo Scientific FLASH 2000 Elemental Analyzer. This instrument operates with dynamic flash combustion of the sample. A graphical flow diagram of the instrument is presented in Figure 2. The first thing was to introduce samples into the combustion reactor with oxygen using tin containers. The gases produced after combustion was transported by a helium flow to a layer containing copper, and then passed through a GC column, which separate these gases. The gases will be finally measured by a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The measured gases revealed acrylonitrile (monomer), a main component of many types of compounds (polyacrylonitriles) such as plastics. The configuration can be achieved using either sulphur (CHNS) or oxygen (CHNO) respectively [56].

In an environment sample, the CHN analyzer will detects microplastics by the ability to identify materials with higher percentage of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen content. The sizes of the samples are very small (a few milligrams), but may differ depending on system. Some samples matrix being heterogenous in nature and thus larger mass is preferred for their analysis using CHN analyzer. This method was modified by Morét-Ferguson and coworkers [57], using density separation with subsequent C:H:N analysis to identify the polymer origin of visually sorted microplastics. They concluded that the approach narrows the search for the potential polymer, thus enabling identification of microplastic particles by type, which requires no rigorous chemical analysis. However, the major drawbacks of this technique are (1) the relatively
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high time effort, which impede a high sample throughput and (2) not applicable to smaller particles [38].

3.2. Pyrolysis-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (PyrGC/MS)
Pyrolysis–gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (PyrGC/MS) is a chemical analytical technique in which the sample are decomposed into smaller molecule through heating which are separated by gas chromatography and detected using mass spectrometry [48,58]. It is classified under mass spectrometry. The analytes for PyrGC/MS includes polymer, biomolecules and paint. A simple schematic representation of PyrGC/MS process is presented in Figure 3.

The sample is placed in pyrolyzers and rapidly heated to 600–1000 °C (or > 1000 °C, depending on the application). The heating techniques that can be employed include: isothermal furnace, inductive heating and resistive heating. Large molecules are decomposed into volatile fragments through the combustion [59,60]. The fragments will be separated by gas chromatography (GC), which will provide characteristic combustion products. These products will then be identified by mass spectroscopy (MS) and structural information elucidated by comparing them with reference of known pyrograms samples [37,61]. This method has been used by researchers to identify unknown plastic samples of different polymer types and organic plastic additives (OPAs) [61,62]. A typical Pyrolysis–GC/MS chromatogram of a plastic sample is presented in Figure 4.

The major drawback is the manual place of sample particle into the pyrolyzer. This limits the size of particle that can be analyzed because only particles of a certain minimum size can be manipulated manually [38]. For this reason, samples are analyzed in sequence (one particle per run) and thus not suitable for routine monitoring programs where samples are analyzed in continuous manner. However, at 2015 promising pyrolysis-GC/MS approaches for the qualitative/quantitative analysis of microplastics on whole environmental sample filters was being developed. This will address the drawbacks of analyzing a particle per run.

Fig. 3. A simple schematic representation of PyrGC/MS process.

polymer types and organic plastic additives (OPAs) [61,62]. A typical Pyrolysis–GC/MS chromatogram of a plastic sample is presented in Figure 4.

The major drawback is the manual place of sample particle into the pyrolyzer. This limits the size of particle that can be analyzed because only particles of a certain minimum size can be manipulated manually [38]. For this reason, samples are analyzed in sequence (one particle per run) and thus not suitable for routine monitoring programs where samples are analyzed in continuous manner. However, at 2015 promising pyrolysis-GC/MS approaches for the qualitative/quantitative analysis of microplastics on whole environmental sample filters was being developed. This will address the drawbacks of analyzing a particle per run.

Fig. 4. A typical Pyrolysis–GC/MS chromatogram of a plastic sample pyrolyzed at 600 °C, identified as flexible poly vinyl chloride (PVC) [62].
3.3. Optical Microscopy

Optical microscopy is the use of optical microscope (light microscope) to magnify images of small subjects using visible light and a system of lenses. The image from an optical microscope can be captured by normal, photosensitive cameras to generate a micrograph. This method is based on visual identification under a microscope. Visual sorting works well in separating large microplastics as well as separating potential microplastics from other organic or inorganic material in the sample residues [34,57] whereas dissection microscope is used in sorting smaller microplastic particles [63].

The use of this method has been reported in literature [40,52,64]. Wagner et al [40] used two reflected-light stereozoom microscopes (Leica S8APO and S6D) with digital CCD cameras (Leica DFC 420 and 320) for initial documentation, gut dissection, and pre-screening of any observed particles that visually resembled microplastics in fish samples. The optical microscopy was conducted at 6.3x- 80x with a minimum resolution of approximately 10 µm [52]. Wang et al [67] also used optical microscope for analyses of microplastics in ocean trawl and fish guts.

This method can be aided by staining using dyes such as Nile Red [52,64]. Samples will be treated first with the dye solution (often 1 mL) for 48 hours under a fume cupboard until all moisture evaporated to form a dyed membrane. Subsequently, the dyed membrane filters were photographed using a camera under Ultra-violet (UV) light. Example of a useful camera is the Pentax K-30 with resolution 2420x2343 used by Matthias and coworkers [64] in their study.

The dye solution can be prepared using different extraction solvent such as acetone, chloroform and n-hexane. Chloroform with recovery rates of 83.3% was reported to be most suitable solvent for the group of high-density and low-density polyethylene (HDPE, LDPE), polypropylene (PP) and PVC [64,65]. However, the findings of Shim et al [66] implicated n-hexane to be most effective for PE and PP, whereas PET and PA were not detectable. The variation in solvent efficiency in detecting the different microplastic type could be due to different surface and density properties of the microplastic materials. Also, a typical visually identified stained microplastics under an optical microscope is shown in Figure 5.

It is asserted by Wang et al [67] that optical microscopy enables morphological classification of particles or fibers types, quantification of particle size ranges and fiber lengths presents in a sample. However, it is suggested by Hidalgo-Ruz et al. [34] that for particles < 500 mm, ordinary visual sorting with the naked eye or hand lens should not be applied. This may cause misidentification of particles with high probability. To sort visually, there are factors that should be considered generally, which they include source, type, shape, degradation stage, and color of the particles [34,57], which is extremely time-consuming. Martin and Gunner [38] explained that, “even an experienced person cannot discriminate all potential microplastic particles unambiguously from sand grains, chitin fragments, diatom frustule fragments etc.” Thus the error rate of this method reported ranges from 20 % [68] to 70 % [34], which increases as particle size decreases. Therefore, a size limit of 1 mm was recommended for visual sorting [34,57].

3.4. Fourier Transform InfraRed Microspectroscopy (Micro-FTIR)

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) microspectroscopy is a technique which produces molecular microscopic images from samples. The instrument allows observation of spectra measured from regions as small as 5 microns across because it uses an infrared microscope. The spectra correspond to specific chemical bonds between atoms which makes it possible to identify the exact composition of the particle [69]. In Micro-FTIR, two feasible measuring modes are used viz reflectance and transmittance [70]. The former (i.e. reflectance mode) handles difficult spectra obtained from measurements of irregularly-shaped microplastics that may not be interpretable due to refractive error [71]. The later mode (i.e. transmittance mode) handles the total absorption patterns and
uses IR transparent filters (e.g. aluminium oxide). Furthermore, it can only be limited by a certain thickness of the microplastics sample [38]. In addition, the use of micro-ATR (Attenuated Total Reflectance) in combination with microscopy enables the direct measurement on the sample filter without the need for manual handling of particles, when IR spectra are collected at the surface of a particle [40,70-72].

The micro-FTIR method has been used on biological samples such as articular cartilage [73] and to track microplastics in the environment with Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR) [40,73,72] and Focal Plane Array-Based Reflectance [38,70,74]. Wagner [40] and Bradley et al [72] both used the Thermo Scientific Nicolet Continuum FTIR Microscope with 10x optical objective, 15x IR objective, and a Nexus 470 spectrometer with attenuated total reflectance (ATR) (diamond and Ge-ATR). In the study, majority of the particles were analyzed either on glass slides or directly on filter. All acquired FTIR spectra were compared to quantitative matches from commercial FTIR libraries. In addition, in Figure 6, FTIR spectra of a microplastic fiber on filter from a river water sample are shown.

Kerstin and Federik [69] screened microplastic particles in and down-stream a wastewater treatment plant with a Bruker FTIR Tensor in attenuated total reflectance (ATR) mode equipped with a diamond
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Different plastic fragments (≥300 μm) were reportedly found in wastewater treatment plant. Alexander et al. [74] used the FPA based reflectance micro-FT-IR imaging to identify and quantify microplastics in wastewater. The authors concluded that the tool is good for identifying and quantifying microplastics in wastewater and also to determine the abundance of microplastics in other organic-rich environments, such as peat soils [74]. This FPA based micro-FTIR spectroscopy is a very promising technique for verifying polymer origin of microplastic particles. Martin and Gunner [38] recommended for use in monitoring programs on microplastics.

Micro-FTIR enables identification of repetitive fingerprint-like molecular composition of plastic polymers for a clear assignment of a sample to a certain polymer origin. It offers the possibility of accurate identification of plastic polymer particles according to their characteristic IR spectra [15-17,48,71,75], simultaneously record several thousand spectra within an area with a single measurement and thus the generation of chemical images (Fig. 7) [38]. In figure 7, the analysis was done by FPA based micro-FTIR spectroscopy. The intensity of the chosen spectral bands is reflected by the color scale according to peak area (bluish color scale intensity of the peak 1,931–1,832 cm⁻¹; reddish color scale intensity of the peak 2981–2780 cm⁻¹). This enabled clear identification of a quartz particles in light blue (a) at band of 1931–1832 cm⁻¹ and a polyethylene particle in orange (b) at band of 2981–2780 cm⁻¹ in a sample.

The simplicity of this method is in both sampling and analyzing. Samples are simply...
filtered and analyzed directly on the dried filter without further sample preparation. This enables the rapid assessment of microplastic encroachment and can assist in the development of remediation techniques [72]. However, it is extremely time-consuming when targeting the whole sample filter surface at a high spatial resolution because it uses only a single detector element, which happens to be limitation of this technique [15, 71].

3.5. Raman micro-spectroscopy (RMS)
Raman spectroscopy is a “surface technique”, thus large, visually sorted microplastic particles can be analyzed and the technique can also be coupled with microscopy. Raman micro-spectroscopy is straightforward and uses a scattering technique. The simple principle of this technique is measuring the wavelength and intensity of inelastically scattered light from molecules caused by a monochromatic light. The Raman scattered light occurs at wavelengths that are shifted from incident light by the energies of molecular vibrations giving out spectra (Fig. 8). A very small volume (< 1 µm in diameter) of the sample can generate the Raman spectra which allow the identification of species present in that volume [76].

The instrument consists of a standard optical microscope, an excitation laser, a notch filter, a monochromator, and a sensitive detector (such as a charge-coupled device (CCD), or photomultiplier tube (PMT)). The notch filter is used to block elastically scattered light which would otherwise overwhelm the weak signal from the Raman or inelastically scattered photons (~1/10^6 scattered photons). The Raman scattered light may be dispersed according to wavelength and detected by a CCD as shown in Figure 8. Angel et al [53] stated that when a UV laser source is used for Raman microspectroscopy (RMS), then ultraviolet microscopes and UV aided optics must be used. Earlier studies by Taylor et al [78] and Adrian et al [79] have expressed the suitability of RMS for the microscopic examination of minerals, materials such as polymers and ceramics, cells, proteins and forensic trace evidence.

RMS have been used by a lot of researchers for detecting microplastics with high reliability in marine environment [7, 16, 28, 36, 80] and for testing simulated microplastics polluted water [81]. Wagner et al [40] performed the analyses
on ocean trawl and fish using both Renishaw inVia and Bruker Senterra dispersive Raman microscopes with 785 nm and 532 nm lasers. In the study, analytical laser optimization was achieved using several different objectives (5x, 20x, 50x and 100x). The author marched the Raman spectra obtained with spectral matching performed using GRAMS software, which was equipped with commercially available Raman spectral libraries. Rocchia et al [81] simulated microplastics polluted water. A few milligrams of three different reference materials (irregularly shaped polyethylene particles sieved at 74 microns, polystyrene-divinylbenzene PS-DVB microspheres sieved between 37-74 microns and polyethylene-titanium dioxide PE-TiO₂ microspheres with a size range of 27-45 micron) were used to simulate microplastic debris with different particle sizes in 0.5 L of water. The Raman spectra data were collected using the DXR2xi Raman imaging microscope and the accompanying Thermo Scientific OMNICxi Raman imaging software. The obtained spectra are presented in Figure 9. The author concluded that Raman microscopy allows the analysis of particles below 1-micron size and the correct identification of plastic materials as well as inorganic materials such as titanium dioxide or other additives.

The Raman techniques have one major advantage over FTIR, which is its ability to identify particles up to 500 nm in size [80]. By comparison with reference spectra or standard library spectra (e.g. from GRAMS software), specific plastic polymers can be identified within minutes. Accordingly, RMS allows broad range of detection and quantification of very small plastic particles of sizes < 1 µm [7,35] and when combined with Raman spectral imaging, spatial chemical images can be produced based on the Raman spectra of a sample. This was recently demonstrated by researchers [81]. Cole et al [7] demonstrated that polymer particles within biological tissues with subcellular precision can be located with Raman technique when coupled with confocal laser-scanning microscopy. One limitation of this technique is drawn from its inability to measure laser excited fluorescent samples (e.g. residues of biological origin from samples) as they block the generation of interpretable Raman spectra [38]. Generally, lower laser wave lengths.
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transmit high energy result and signal intensity but also in a high fluorescence. Therefore, higher laser wavelengths greater than 1000 nm will minimize fluorescence but the lower energy of the laser results in a lower signal of the polymer sample. More study is required to find the optimum wave length of the laser light which will address this problem of fluorescence and low intensity for assessments of microplastics in environmental samples. In line, [38] recommended that fluorescence should be prevented through a sample pre-purification step prior to measurements for a clear identification of the polymer type of microplastic particles.

Raman spectroscopy and FTIR are complementary techniques. Molecular vibrations, which are Raman inactive are IR active and vice versa and can thus provide complementary information on microplastic samples [38].

3.6. Scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (SEM/EDS)

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) magnifies a specific sample region using a high energy focused beam of electrons. The analyzed region evaluated with SEM can also be assayed for specific elements using Energy Dispersion Spectroscopy (EDS). SEM generates micrographs while EDS provides concentration of chemical composition of the sample. Thus the combined technique is referred to as SEM/EDS or SEM/EDX Analysis. The SEM/EDS method enables the detection of microplastics and its degradation process in a sample due to its micro-scale and nano-scale features with magnification up to 500,000x [15,36]. The method determines particle sizes and elemental composition of microplastics in your test sample. It can only be performed only on solid samples [82].

A key factor in SEM is the interaction volume, which depends on the accelerating voltage of the electron beam and other factors including specimen nature, composition and sample preparation. The higher the accelerating voltage applied, the larger the interaction volume. This is turn determines the emission depth of the different signals used. The emission of secondary electrons (topography) and backscattered electrons (atomic number) are used to generate micrographs in SEM. Thereby providing information on topography, morphology, composition and crystallographic nature of microplastics in an environmental sample [83]. This method has been used to detect microplastics particles in sample [15,36]. Vianello [15] analysed sediments collected from Lagoon of Venice in Italy using SEM to characterize the surface morphology of the microplastic particles. Result showed irregular shapes and similar surface textures for several microplastics in contrast with comparable amorphous and regular surfaces of other plastic microfibers. Furthermore, the method revealed possible occurrence of degradation processes by showing images of weakened surfaces caused by adhering particles [15]. Imhof et al. [36] identified degraded microplastics in sediments of subalpine lake beach using SEM imaging. Many of the PP microplastics showed cracks, pits, grooves, disintegration, and adherent and attached diatoms. A typical SEM image of microplastic particles is presented in Figure 10.

Whilst SEM is effective for identification, EDS helps in quantification. In addition, SEM/EDS analyses have been conducted on ocean trawl and fish gut for microplastics by [40] and [67]. Potential microplastics using particle morphology and elemental chemistry profiles have been tested by Wagner et al [40]. The analytical instrument used has been FEI XL30 Environmental SEM and a Thermo Fisher Scientific Noran System 7 EDS System. Moreover, samples has been imaged at 50x – 10,000x using an atomic number sensitive, backscattered electron (BSE) detector with a resolution of approximately 0.1 µm [67] reported that SEM/EDS is effective in identifying chlorinated plastics such as polyvinyl chloride (PVC) due to their unique elemental signatures. Typical SEM-EDS results for microplastics in an environmental sample are shown in Figure 11.

The technique (SEM/EDS) has been used in identification of plastic additives of inorganic origin contained in microplastic particles, characterization and toxic chemicals extracted from microplastics.
in samples from the North Pacific Gyre by Mendoza and Jones [84]. SEM-EDS have become an indispensable tool for analysis microplastic particles present in a sample. This method enables scientists to monitor how plastics degrade to form microplastic particles, emergent pollutants expressively threatening biota life [61,83]. The limitation of quantitative SEM-EDS is that, this technique should only be carried out in flat polished samples or very thin films with irrelevant topography. Prior to spectra acquisition for quantification purpose, it is imperative that several well-assigned spectra with sufficient X-ray counts have been previously collected (serving as a reference). Then, the spectra need to be processed with matrix corrections with oxygen calculated by stoichiometry and the appropriate ZAF (the atomic number-Z, absorption-A and fluorescence-F correction) procedure. Again, EDS software applies the ZAF correction and carries out subsequent integration of the peaks to give the final semiquantification of the elements present in the specimen. Nevertheless, the user should be as critical as possible when accepting each step of the quantification process provided by the software [85].

4. Conclusions
This study reviewed spectroscopic techniques for quantifying and identification of microplastics in an environmental sample. Generally, for microplastics particles <500 μm, spectroscopic techniques is important for their identification while the quality of result obtained strongly rely on good sampling and effective sample purification. During purification aggressive agents (e.g. strong acidic or alkaline solutions) should be avoided to conserve the whole plastic spectrum and because aggressive solutions negatively affect certain plastic polymer. Also, ordinary visual inspection of samples alone is insufficient but when in tandem with optical microscope it becomes effective, especially, in the case of particles <500 μm. In

![Scanning electron microscopy images showing the surface of several thermoplastics: polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), polystyrene (PS) and polyvinyl chloride (PVC) [83].](image-url)
addition, CHN analyzer, pyrolysis-GC/MS and SEM-EDS have been used effectively for sample identification and quantification. The former which is based on combustion techniques works better for larger particle while SEM-EDS techniques is a better update to optical microscopy by providing information on the degradation process of plastic particles. A spectroscopic verification of microplastic particles by micro-FTIR or micro-Raman spectroscopy is essential and has also been used successfully for analyzing the particles of microplastics. Micro-Raman spectroscopy is very effective for microplastic particles of <1 μm. Furthermore, spectroscopic techniques create an added value as they also provide information on the polymer composition. However, some of the discussed methods have its drawbacks in their use which include cost effectiveness, time consuming and difficulty to use (especially if you are inexperienced) like pyrolysis-GC/MS where samples have to be placed manually.

Finally, microplastics have been studied with non-destructive methods often a combination of two methods: one separating and the other quantifying. It could be observed that since microplastics undergo very few reactions, their identification and quantification can be problematic more so in living tissue where there is no harm reported as at the time of this study. However, microplastics have become a cause for concern and advance studies are required to unravel the potential risk of their presence in our food and environment.
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Nomenclatures (Abbreviations)
NOAA: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration;
POPs: Persistent organic pollutants;
PAHs: Polyaromatic hydrocarbons;
OCPs: Organochlorine pesticides;
PCBs: polychlorinated biphenyls;
WHO: World Health Organization;
SOP: standard operation protocols;
FTIR: Fourier Transform infrared spectroscopy;
SEM-EDS: scanning electron microscopy energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS);
PyrGC/MS: pyrolysis- chromatography/mass spectrometry;
TD-GC/MS: thermal desorption-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry;
GC: gas chromatography;
MS: mass spectroscopy;
TCD: thermal conductivity detector;
OPAs: organic plastic additives;
HDPE, LDPE: high-density and low-density polyethylene;
ATR: Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR);
FPA: Focal Plane Array-Based Reflectance;
RMS: Raman micro-spectroscopy;
CCD: charge-coupled device;
PMT: photomultiplier tube;
UV: Ultraviolet;
PE: polyethylene;
PE-TiO₂: polyethylene-titanium dioxide;
PS-DVB: polystyrene-divinylbenzene;
SEM: Scanning Electron Microscopy;
EDS: Energy Dispersion Spectroscopy;
PP: polypropylene;
PS: polystyrene;
PVC: polyvinyl chloride;
ZAF: atomic number-Z, absorption-A and fluorescence-F.
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