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SUMMARY

Whereas stem and progenitor cells proliferate to maintain tissue homeostasis, fully differentiated cells exit the cell cycle. How cell identity and cell-cycle state are coordinated during differentiation is still poorly understood. The Drosophila testis niche supports germline stem cells and somatic cyst stem cells (CySCs). CySCs give rise to post-mitotic cyst cells, providing a tractable model to study the links between stem cell identity and proliferation. We show that, while cell-cycle progression is required for CySC self-renewal, the E2f1/Dp transcription factor is dispensable for self-renewal but instead must be silenced by the Drosophila retinoblastoma homolog, Rbf, to permit differentiation. Continued E2f1/Dp activity inhibits the expression of genes important for mitochondrial activity. Furthermore, promoting mitochondrial biogenesis rescues the differentiation of CySCs with ectopic E2f1/Dp activity but not their cell-cycle exit. In sum, E2f1/Dp coordinates cell-cycle progression with stem cell identity by regulating the metabolic state of CySCs.

INTRODUCTION

Adult stem cells maintain tissue homeostasis by balancing self-renewal and differentiation (Jones and Wagers, 2008). In most adult tissues, proliferative capacity is limited to self-renewing stem cells and progenitors or transit-amplifying cells, but terminally differentiated cells are post-mitotic (Ruijtenberg and van den Heuvel, 2016). How cell-cycle state and cell identity are coordinated is still poorly understood.

During development, terminal differentiation is accompanied by permanent cell-cycle exit, with cells usually differentiating in G1, suggesting that the initiation of DNA replication is an important regulated step in ensuring appropriate cell-cycle exit (Butitta and Edgar, 2007; Ruijtenberg and van den Heuvel, 2016; Soufi and Dalton, 2016).

Progression through the cell cycle is driven by cyclin-dependent kinases (Cdks). Cdk4/6, together with Cyclin D (CycD), are active in the early G1, leading to mono-phosphorylation of the retinoblastoma (Rb) protein. Rb binds a transcription factor, composed of a dimer of transcriptional activator E2f proteins with dimerization partner (Dp), and represses transcription. The steps leading to the inactivation of Rb are still poorly understood (Narasimha et al., 2014; Pennycook and Barr, 2020; Rubin et al., 2020; Soufi and Dalton, 2016); yet, when this inhibition is relieved, E2f/Dp drive transcription of S phase genes and of Cyclin E (CycE) (Figure 1A). In turn CycE, together with Cdk2, inhibits Rb through further phosphorylation, leading to positive feedback on CycE levels and irreversible entry into S phase (Cappell et al., 2016; Pennycook and Barr, 2020; Rubin et al., 2020; Schwarz et al., 2018).

Cell-cycle regulators play different roles in stem cell fate regulation, depending on the context. Many adult stem cells, such as hematopoietic stem cells, are quiescent; in these, inducing ectopic proliferation results in loss of self-renewal capacity (Cheng et al., 2000). Conversely, in highly proliferative stem cells, such as those residing in Drosophila ovaries and testes, mutation of cyclins, Cdks, and the Cdk activator Cdc25, required for cell-cycle progression, results in loss of stem cell maintenance (Ables and Drummond-Barbosa, 2013; Inaba et al., 2011; Wang and Lin, 2005; Wang and Kalderon, 2009), suggesting that cell-cycle progression promotes stem cell maintenance. Consistently, loss of the cell-cycle inhibitor Rb results in expansion of the stem cell and progenitor populations and a block in terminal differentiation (Sage, 2012). Whether these functions of cell-cycle regulators in self-renewal and differentiation are related to their roles in promoting cell-cycle progression, or, as suggested for CycE (Ables and Drummond-Barbosa, 2013), whether they control identity and cell-cycle progression independently remains unclear.

To gain insight into the mechanisms linking cell proliferation and identity in stem cells, we use the Drosophila testis as a model. The testis stem cell niche consists of a cluster of quiescent somatic cells called the hub, which is anchored to the apical tip of the testis and supports two stem cell populations (Figure 1B). Germline stem cells (GSCs) divide to give rise to...
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gonialblasts, which undergo a series of incomplete divisions to form a 16-cell cyst which matures and undergoes meiosis to form spermatids. A population of somatic stem cells, called cyst stem cells or CySCs, give rise to cyst cells, which enmesh the developing germline and support its differentiation (Hardy et al., 1979; Kiger et al., 2000; Tran et al., 2000). CySCs are the only proliferating somatic cells; their daughters exit the cell cycle as they differentiate (Cheng et al., 2011; Gonczy and DiNardo, 1996; Hardy et al., 1979), providing an ideal system to study how cell identity is linked to proliferative capacity. Previous work has shown a link between cell-cycle progression and CySC identity: CySCs lacking the Cdk activator Cdc25 (encoded by \textit{string} in \textit{Drosophila}) are not maintained (Inaba et al., 2011), while, conversely, accelerating proliferation results in an increased likelihood of self-renewal at the expense of neighboring wild-type CySCs (Albert et al., 2018; Amoyel et al., 2014, 2016; Michel et al., 2012). Moreover, mutants for the RB homolog Rbf accumulate stem-like cells and lack differentiated cells in larval testes (Dominado et al., 2016). In adults, Rbf is required to maintain quiescence of terminally differentiated hub and cyst cells (Greenspan and Matunis, 2018), although its role in adult stem cells has not been established.

Since differentiating daughters of CySCs exit the cell cycle, we asked whether regulators of G1-S transition were important in maintaining CySC identity. We took advantage of reduced genetic redundancy in \textit{Drosophila}, which has one activator E2f, called E2f1, and one repressor E2f2, both of which bind a single DP homolog, Dp, which mediates transcription by both activator and repressor complexes (Dynlacht et al., 1994; Frolov et al., 2001, 2005; Korenjak et al., 2012; Sawado et al., 1998). We find that E2f1/Dp activity in CySCs coordinates cell-cycle progression with stem cell identity by controlling CySC metabolism.

**RESULTS**

**Promoting the G1/S transition causes ectopic proliferation and expands the CySC population**

To test whether entry into S phase was linked to maintenance of CySC identity, we asked whether promoting progression through the G1/S transition could also affect cell fate. We manipulated the key cyclin controlling S phase entry, CycE, and the transcriptional regulator of S phase genes, the E2f1 activator complex, composed of E2f1 and Dp and their inhibitor, Rbf. We assessed cell proliferation by EdU incorporation and cell identity using antibodies against Zfh1, which labels CySCs and their immediate daughters (Leatherman and Dinardo, 2003), and Eya, which labels post-mitotic, differentiated cyst cells (Fabrizio et al., 2003). In control testes, Zfh1 expression was detected in 33.5 ± 1.7 cells (N = 38 testes) arranged in two tiers surrounding the hub, whereas cyst cells distant from the hub expressed Eya (Figures 1C and 1D). Consistent with previous reports that the only proliferating somatic cells in the testis are CySCs (Cheng et al., 2011; Gonczy and DiNardo, 1996; Hardy et al., 1979), DNA replication in somatic cells, as assayed by EdU incorporation, was only detected in Zfh1-positive cells around the hub (Figure 1D, arrows).

Using an endogenously tagged CycE-GFP fusion (Doherty et al., 2021), we detected CycE expression in occasional CySCs (Figure S1A, arrows), consistent with periodic expression during cell-cycle progression. We mis-expressed CycE in the cyst lineage using \textit{traffic jam (tj)}-Gal4, which drives expression in CySCs and early cyst cells (Fairchild et al., 2016; Li et al., 2003), together with Gal80ts to restrict expression to adult stages (referred to as tjts). Mis-expressing CycE resulted in somatic cells distant from the hub incorporating EdU (Figure 1E, arrows), consistent with a role for CycE in promoting S phase entry. In addition, we observed an expansion of Zfh1-expressing cells away from the niche compared with controls (Figure 1E). The number of Zfh1-positive cells increased significantly in CycE-expressing testes (Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test, p < 0.0001, Figure 1C), from 33.5 ± 1.7 in controls (N = 38 testes) to 48.3 ± 2.5 (N = 31). However, we always observed Eya-positive cells further distally (Figure 1E), and never observed EdU incorporation in these cyst cells, suggesting that, although delayed, differentiation occurred normally in somatic cells overexpressing CycE.

Next, we tested whether the transcriptional regulator of S phase gene expression, E2f1, together with its partner Dp, could influence CySC fate. Previous work has shown that E2f1/Dp is active in CySCs (Amoyel et al., 2014; Herrera et al., 2021). Using an established reporter for E2f1/Dp transcriptional activity, \textit{PCNA-GFP} (Thacker et al., 2003), we detected E2f1/Dp activity in CySCs (Figure S1B, arrows), but not in differentiated cyst cells away from the hub (Figure S1B, arrowheads, quantified in Figure S1C). In CySCs, \textit{PCNA-GFP} was detected in a subset of cells, consistent with periodic cell-cycle-dependent activation.

**Figure 1. Regulators of the G1/S transition affect CySC numbers and self-renewal**

(A) Diagram of regulatory interactions controlling S phase entry.

(B) Schematic of the \textit{Drosophila} testis. The hub (gray) supports germline stem cells (GSCs) (yellow) and somatic cyst stem cells (CySCs) (magenta). CySCs produce post-mitotic cyst cells (cyan) which support germ cell development.

(C) Quantification of the number of Zfh1+ Eya+ cells in the genotypes indicated.

(D–G) Testes from control (D) or from animals mis-expressing CycE (E), E2f1/Dp (F), or Rbf RNAi (G) in somatic cells with tjts. (D') labeled with antibodies against Zfh1 (magenta) to mark CySCs and early daughter cells, N-Cad (white) to label the hub, Eya (cyan) to label differentiated cyst cells, and EdU (yellow) (D').

(H–K) Control (H and I) or CycE (J and K) mutant clones positively labeled with GFP (yellow) and identified as CySCs by Zfh1 expression (magenta) or cyst cells using Eya (cyan).

(J) Control clones were recovered at 2 days post clone induction (dpci) and maintained at 7 dpci (J).

(K) CycE-GFP mutant CySCs at 2 dpci (arrow).

(L) Fraction of testes containing marked control or CycE-GFP mutant CySC clones. See Table S1 for N values.

(M) CycE-GFP mutant cells at 2 dpci expressed Eya (M’) prematurely and downregulated Zfh1 (M’). ‘p < 0.05, “**”p < 0.0001, determined by Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn’s multiple comparisons (O) or Fisher’s exact test (L). Dotted lines outline the hub. Scale bars, 20 μm.
of E2f1/Dp. Reporter expression was strongly reduced upon Dp knockdown (Figures S1D–S1F), indicating that PCNA-GFP expression reflects endogenous Dp-dependent transcription. Similar to CycE overexpression, Dp and E2f1 overexpression led to ectopic proliferation of somatic cells far from the hub (Figure 1F, arrows). In addition, we counted 41.4 ± 1.8 Zfh1-positive cells, significantly higher than the control (N = 20, Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test, p < 0.0046, Figure 1C). To test whether activating endogenous E2f1/Dp could also result in ectopic Zfh1-expressing cells, we knocked down the negative regulator of this complex, Rbf. Rbf expression was detected in all cells at the apical tip of the testes (Figure S1G), as described previously (Greenspan and Matunis, 2018), and efficient knockdown was achieved by RNAi expression (Figure S1H). Expression of an RNAi against Rbf in the somatic lineage led to an expansion of the Zfh1-positive population to 86.6 ± 7.4 (N = 20, Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test, p < 0.0001), in addition to ectopic proliferation away from the hub (Figures 1C and 1G). Importantly, testes in which Rbf was knocked down had no Zfh1-negative, Eya-positive cyst cells (Figure 1G and see below), implying a complete block in differentiation.

Altogether, over-activating key drivers of the G1/S transition is sufficient to promote proliferation away from the stem cell niche and affects the ability of CySCs to differentiate.

**CycE is required for CySC self-renewal**

Next, we asked whether these regulators were necessary for the maintenance of CySc identity. We generated marked CySC clones using mitotic recombination. Since CySCs are the only dividing somatic cells in the testis, any labeled somatic cells were necessarily generated from a CySC division. We measured the persistence of clones over time as a reflection of the ability of labeled CySCs to self-renew in the niche. Control marked clones were readily recovered at 2 days post clone induction (dpci) (Figures 1H and 1L; Table S1) and were maintained at 7 dpci (Figures 1I and 1L; Table S1).

By contrast, clones mutant for a null allele of CycE never contained Zfh1-expressing CySCs at 7 dpci and all clones consisted exclusively of Eya-positive cyst cells (Figures 1J–1L; Table S1). The impaired self-renewal of CycE mutant CySCs was already evident at 2 dpci, as few CySC clones were observed at that stage (p < 0.035, Fisher’s exact test, Figures 1J and 1L; Table S1). By 7 dpci, only Eya-positive differentiated cells were labeled (p < 0.0001, Fisher’s exact test, Figure 1K, arrowheads). We note that Eya-positive CycE mutant cells did not appear wild-type, as their nuclei were enlarged, similar to reports in the female germline (Ables and Drummond-Barbosa, 2013). Despite low CySC clone recovery rates, even at 2 dpci, clones were induced at similar rates to controls, as GFP-positive CycE mutant somatic cells were observed in 26/31 (or 84%) testes examined compared with 20/23 (87%) in controls (p > 0.99, Fisher’s exact test). However, most of these cells did not express Zfh1, suggesting that CycE mutant CySCs differentiated rapidly.

To confirm our findings, we generated clones homozygous mutant for a hypomorphic allele. CycE<sup>HX</sup> homozygous CySC clones were recovered significantly less than control clones at 7 dpci (Table S1, p < 0.041, Fisher’s exact test), consistent with a requirement for CycE in CySC self-renewal. We used an antibody against the activated effector caspase, Death caspase-1, but did not observe any dying clonal CySCs either in control (N = 21 clones) or in CycE mutant clones (N = 9 clones). In contrast, by 2 dpci, we observed mutant clones that expressed Eya prematurely (Figure 1M, arrowhead), surrounded by wild-type cells expressing Zfh1. These observations suggest that CycE mutant CySC clones are poorly recovered because they differentiate prematurely.

Taken together with the gain-of-function experiments described above, our data indicate that CycE is necessary for CySC self-renewal and at least partly sufficient to drive ectopic Zfh1 expression and cell-cycle progression several cell diameters away from the hub, establishing CycE as a critical regulator of CySC fate.

**The E2f1/Dp complex is not required for CySC self-renewal**

In most contexts studied to date, CycE expression is transcriptionally induced at the G1/S transition by the E2f1/Dp complex (Dimova and Dyson, 2005; Dimova et al., 2003; Duronio et al., 1995, 1996; Korenjak et al., 2012; Stevaux and Dyson, 2002; Vermeulen et al., 2003). Since CycE is essential for CySC maintenance, we reasoned that E2f1 and Dp would also be required for CySC self-renewal and generated mutant clones to assess their role.

Unexpectedly, both control and Dp mutant CySC clones were recovered at 7 and 14 dpci (Figures 2A–2C) with indistinguishable...
clone recovery rates (p > 0.99 at both 7 and 14 dpci for Dpa3 and p = 0.72 at 7 dpci and p > 0.99 at 14 dpci for Dpa4 compared with control, Fisher’s exact test, Figure 2D). We confirmed this surprising result with two separate null alleles (Figure 2D; Table S1), and verified genetically that the alleles we used were indeed Dp mutants and did not complement a deficiency uncovering the Dp locus (see Supplemental materials and methods). Moreover, no Dp protein could be detected in Dp mutant clones (Figure S1I). In addition, we examined the expression of the E2f1/Dp transcriptional target PCNA in Dp mutant clones and observed reduced reporter expression (Figure 2E). Dp mutant clones at 7 and 14 dpci contained many cells and could incorporate EdU (Figures 2F and 2G), indicating that Dp is dispensable for DNA replication and proliferation in CySCs. Indeed, the S phase index of Dp mutant clones was not different to that of control clones at 7 dpci (Figure 2H). Although surprising, this result is consistent with work showing that most tissues in Drosophila can proliferate in the absence of Dp activity during development (Frolov et al., 2001; Royzman et al., 1997; Zappia and Frolov, 2016).

Consistently, E2f1 mutant CySC clones were recovered at 7dpci (Figures 2I–2K, arrows) with a slightly lower but not significantly different clone recovery rate to controls (Fisher’s exact test, p > 0.05, Figure 2I). Like control and Dp mutant clones, E2f1 mutant clones were composed of both Zfh1-expressing CySCs and Eya-positive differentiating cyst cells (Figures 2J and 2K, arrows and arrowheads, respectively), suggesting that neither their self-renewal nor their differentiation capacity was altered.

Finally, we tested whether Cdk4, an upstream positive regulator of E2f1/Dp, was required for CySC self-renewal. We induced mutant clones for two separate alleles of Cdk4. These clones were recovered at similar rates to controls at 7 dpci (Figure S2; Table S1), indicating that Cdk4 is not required for CySC self-renewal.

Altogether, our data show that E2f1/Dp activity is not required in CySCs for self-renewal, despite the fact that ectopically activating this complex is sufficient to drive CySC over-proliferation (Figure 1). This result stands in contrast with the requirement identified above for CycE in CySC self-renewal and implies that CycE expression in CySCs does not depend on E2f1/Dp or CycD/Cdk4 activity. Indeed, we observed that both Dp and E2f1 mutant CySCs could express CycE, as detected by an antibody or endogenously tagged CycE-GFP (Figures 2L and 2M).

**E2f1/Dp inhibition by Rbf is required for cyst cell differentiation**

Despite a genetic lack of requirement for Dp in CySC self-renewal, Dp-dependent transcriptional activity was detected in CySCs (Figure S1) (Amoyel et al., 2014) in a pattern suggesting cell-cycle-dependent E2f1/Dp activation. Moreover, Rbf knockdown resulted in a block of CySC differentiation (Figure 1F), suggesting that E2f1 and Dp were indeed expressed in the cyst lineage. Although E2f1/Dp overexpression resulted in a weaker phenotype than Rbf knockdown (Figures 1C, 1F, and 1G), this could be due to the presence of endogenous Rbf or to insufficient expression levels. To resolve the question of what endogenous role Rbf and E2f1/Dp activity might play in CySC self-renewal and differentiation, we characterized the role of Rbf in CySCs and examined the dependency of Rbf knockdown on E2f1/Dp.

Lineage-wide Rbf knockdown with tf9° led to expansion of Zfh1-expressing cells such that they filled the entire testis, and an absence of Eya-positive differentiated cyst cells (Figures 1F, 3A, and 3B). Similarly, CySC clones overexpressing two different RNAi constructs targeting Rbf were entirely composed of Zfh1-expressing cells and devoid of Eya-expressing cells (Figures S3A–S3D). Finally, we generated negatively marked CySC clones mutant for Rbf displayed a similar phenotype to Rbf knockdown CySCs: clones were only composed of Zfh1-expressing cells and no Eya-expressing differentiated cyst cells were present within the clones (Figures S3E and S3F, N = 22/26), indicating a block in differentiation in Rbf mutant CySCs.

The ectopic Zfh1-expressing, Eya-negative cells that were detected away from the niche in Rbf knockdowns expressed low levels of Zfh1 compared with cells adjacent to the hub (Figures 1F and 3B). To confirm whether these ectopic cells were indeed CySCs, we examined other markers of CySCs, Esg, Chinmo, and Wg (Flaherty et al., 2010; Leatherman and Dinardo, 2008; Voog et al., 2014). In controls, both Esg and Chinmo labeled CySCs, as well as the hub and early germ cells (Figures 3C and 3A). Both markers were expanded throughout Rbf knockdown testes and showed an expression pattern similar to Zfh1 (Figures 3D and 3B), with high expression in CySCs close to the hub and lower levels in ectopic cells throughout the testis. Wg expression was observed in distinctive puncta around the hub in control testes, but punctate expression was observed throughout the testis in Rbf knockdowns (Figures 3C and 3D). These results suggest that Rbf-depleted cells maintain a CySC-like state similar to immediate CySC daughters, which have lower Zfh1 expression than CySCs in contact with the hub (Leatherman and Dinardo, 2008), but that their differentiation does not progress further. Furthermore, preventing cell death could not restore differentiation in the Rbf knockdowns and activation of the self-renewal pathway JAK/STAT was not responsible for the presence of ectopic CySCs upon Rbf loss (Figures S4E–S4J).

Previous work has shown that, in larvae, Rbf binding to DNA is abolished in the absence of Dp (Korenjak et al., 2012), indicating that Rbf exerts its effects on gene expression through Dp.

---

**Figure 3. Inhibition of E2f1/Dp is necessary for cyst cell differentiation**

(A and B) Control testis (A) or Rbf knockdown (B) showing Zfh1 expression (magenta) (A’) in CySCs surrounding the hub labeled with Fas3 and Eya (cyan) (A”) in cyst cells.

(C and D) esg-GFP expression (yellow) (C’ and D’) in control (C) and Rbf knockdown (D) testes. The hub is labeled with Fas3 (cyan), and CySCs and early cyst cells are labeled with Tj (magenta) (C’ and D’).

(E) Knockdown of both Dp and Rbf resulted in Zfh1 expression (magenta) (E’) being restricted around the hub (Fas3, cyan) and Eya+ cells detected further from the hub (cyan) (E”) similar to control testes. Dotted lines outline the hub. Scale bars, 20 μm.
Indeed, loss of function of both Dp and Rbf led to a similar distribution of Zfh1-expressing cells around the hub, and Eya-expressing cells away from the hub, as in control testes, both in tissue-wide knockdowns (Figure 2E) and in mutant clones (Figures S3D and S3H), despite the lack of any detectable Rbf protein by immunohistochemistry (Figure S3I, arrowhead). Consistently, E2f1 loss of function also restored differentiation in Rbf RNAi clones (Figures S3D and S3G), similar to observations in larval testes (Dominado et al., 2016). Thus, the effects of Rbf loss of function in CySCs are attributable entirely to ectopic E2f1/Dp activity.

In sum, our data suggest that the E2f1/Dp complex acts as a link to coordinate cell cycle and CySC identity. While E2f1/Dp activity is not required for cell-cycle progression, its continued activity in CySCs is sufficient to inhibit differentiation. In turn, Rbf acts as a permissive factor for differentiation by relieving the E2f1/Dp-mediated inhibition of differentiation.

**Ectopic E2f1/Dp activity in CySCs alters expression of genes regulating metabolism and energy production**

To gain insight into the mechanisms by which the E2f1/Dp complex transcriptionally inhibits differentiation, we compared gene expression in sorted somatic cells in tj+ control and Rbf knockdown testes. Using stringent criteria (2-fold change and FDR < 0.01), we identified 5,000 differentially expressed transcripts (3,329 upregulated and 2,098 downregulated in Rbf knockdown compared with control) (Table S2), indicating that Rbf knockdown results in a large disruption to gene expression, presumably as a combination of the direct effects of Rbf loss and indirect effects of a block in differentiation.

Gene ontology (GO) analysis of upregulated genes revealed an enrichment for several processes involved in cell-cycle progression, DNA synthesis, and replication (Figure 4A). The latter category included many well-described E2f1/Dp targets, such as PCNA and Minichromosome maintenance (Mcm) genes (Ishida et al., 2001; Yamaguchi et al., 1993) (Figure 4B). In particular, PCNA was upregulated 20-fold following Rbf knockdown (Table S2), which we confirmed using the PCNA-GFP reporter (Figures 4C–4E). In controls, GFP in the somatic lineage was observed only adjacent to the hub (Figure 4C, arrow), whereas it was absent from the hub (Figure 4C, arrowhead). However, in Rbf knockdowns, GFP was detected in somatic cells distant from the hub (Figure 4D, arrows). In addition to cell-cycle-related genes, expression of CySC and early cyst cell markers was also differentially detected in the Rbf knockdown, including Zfh1 (10.4-fold increase, FDR < 0.001), chinmo (5.2-fold increase, FDR < 0.001), and tj (17.9-fold increase, FDR < 0.001). These results recapitulate our previous observations that these markers were ectopically expressed in Rbf knockdowns (Figures 1G, 3B, and S4B).

While upregulated transcripts were largely consistent with increased proliferation, transcripts that were downregulated upon Rbf knockdown fell into distinct categories (Figure 5A). Transcripts encoding cell junction proteins were downregulated, in keeping with previous reports showing that expression of septate and adherens junction components increases during cyst cell differentiation (Dubey et al., 2019; Fairchild et al., 2015, 2017; Papagiannouli et al., 2019). A large number of downregulated transcripts encoded genes involved in various aspects of oxidative metabolism and ATP production (Figures 5A and 5B), suggestive of an altered metabolic state in Rbf-deficient cells. To validate the downregulation observed by sequencing, we examined the expression of a GFP protein trap in the Aldolase 1 (Ald1) locus, which was downregulated in the Rbf knockdown group. In controls, Ald1-GFP expression was detected at low levels in CySCs and increased distally from the hub (Figure 5C). Expression was reduced in both CySCs adjacent to the hub and ectopic CySC-like cells in testes in which Rbf was knocked down (Figure 5D). Since the reduction in Ald1-GFP away from the hub might reflect a lack of differentiated cells, we quantified GFP fluorescence in control and Rbf-deficient CySCs adjacent to the hub. We observed a significant decrease in Ald1-GFP in CySCs in which Rbf was knocked down (Figure 5E, p < 0.0001, Mann-Whitney test).

The reduction in Ald1 expression in Rbf-deficient CySCs suggested that they may have a metabolic defect prior to differentiation. Therefore, we examined mitochondrial morphology in control and Rbf-deficient CySCs using electron microscopy. In control testes, the cytoplasm of CySCs contained numerous mitochondria (Figure 5F). Mitochondria in differentiated cyst cells were more elongated and more electron dense than in CySCs (Figure 5G). By contrast, in Rbf knockdown CySCs we observed many fewer mitochondria, and these appeared smaller and more globular than in controls (Figure 5H). Somatic cells located away from the hub displayed similar small and round mitochondria and had many fewer mitochondria present than control differentiated cyst cells, resembling more closely the distribution and morphology observed in Rbf-deficient CySCs (Figure 5I). To confirm these observations, we used the dye tetramethylrhodamine (TMRM), to assess mitochondrial membrane potential (Figures 5J–5L). We quantified TMRM intensity in CySCs contacting the hub in control and Rbf knockdown testes, and observed a significant reduction in Rbf knockdowns (Figure 5L, p < 0.0001, Mann-Whitney test).

Overall, our data show that Rbf-deficient CySCs express lower levels of genes controlling many aspects of oxidative metabolism than control CySCs, and have reduced mitochondrial activity. These results suggest that lower metabolic activity in Rbf-deficient cells may contribute to their inability to differentiate and raise the possibility that alterations in metabolism may play a role in normal cyst cell differentiation.

**Endogenous cyst cell differentiation is associated with metabolic gene expression changes**

To test whether wild-type cyst cell differentiation did indeed involve increased expression of metabolic genes, we compared gene expression profiles in sorted CySCs (Figure S5A) and differentiating cyst cells (Figure S5B) in control animals. Principal-component analysis considering all expressed genes separated the ten transcriptomes into two non-overlapping clusters (Figure SSC). Applying stringent criteria of FDR < 10−3 and an absolute of the log2(fold change) > 1.5, we found 571 upregulated genes in CySCs compared with the cyst cell population and 1,284 downregulated ones (Table S2). We examined known CySC and cyst cell markers to validate our results. zfh1 transcripts were detected at a 5.4-fold higher level in the CySC
samples relative to differentiated cyst cells (Figure S5D, and see Table S2). Consistent with previous observations (Inaba et al., 2011; Li et al., 2003), Zfh1-positive CySCs exhibited 2.2-fold higher expression of $tj$ and 1.6-fold expression of $stg$ $(stg)$ than Zfh1-negative differentiated cyst cells (Figures S5D and S5E; Table S2). Conversely, expression of the differentiation marker $eya$ (Fabrizio et al., 2003) was upregulated 6.2-fold in differentiated cyst cells relative to CySCs, while $Rbf$ expression was 12.5-fold higher (Figures S5D and S5E).

Having validated that our approach enabled us to identify genes that were differentially expressed in and relevant to the function of CySCs, we next asked what transcriptional changes occurred during normal cyst cell differentiation. Genes upregulated in cyst cells relative to CySCs were enriched for GO terms associated with all aspects of cellular energy generation through oxidative phosphorylation, including pyruvate metabolism, TCA cycle, and the mitochondrial electron transport chain (Figures 6A and 6B). These data are consistent with the more mature mitochondrial morphology visible in differentiated cyst cells by electron microscopy (Figures 5F and 5G). These differences in gene expression suggested that cyst cells and CySCs differed in their cell physiology, in particular that differentiated cyst cells had a metabolic state biased toward increased oxidative phosphorylation. To test this, we used the MitoTimer sensor (Läker et al.,...
2014), which consists of a mitochondrially targeted RFP that is initially present in an immature green fluorescent precursor but transitions to the mature red fluorescent form when oxidized. Using to express the reporter in a single overnight pulse, we observed that the mitochondrial matrix of differentiated cyst cells had a lower ratio of reduced to oxidized MitoTimer than CySCs (Figures 6C–6E, p < 0.001, t test), suggesting increased oxidation in the mitochondrial matrix as cyst cells differentiate. To validate this result, using a dye that was independent of driver expression, we examined the pattern of TMRM labeling in CySCs and differentiated cyst cells (Figures 6F and 6G). We measured the aspect ratio of mitochondria and observed a higher circularity index in CySCs compared with cyst cells (Figure 6H, p < 0.0003, Mann-Whitney test), consistent with cyst cells having more elongated and complex mitochondrial networks. TMRM intensity was significantly higher in cyst cells than CySCs (Figure 6I, p < 0.0001, Mann-Whitney test), indicating increased mitochondrial activity in differentiated cells.

Altogether, differentiation of wild-type CySCs into cyst cells involves significant transcriptional changes of genes regulating cellular metabolism, resulting in increased mitochondrial activity and higher morphological complexity with differentiation.

Promoting mitochondrial biogenesis can rescue differentiation but not cell-cycle exit in Rbf knockdown testes

Our results indicate that oxidative metabolism increases during cyst cell differentiation, and that, conversely, CySCs lacking Rbf have decreased expression of genes encoding mitochondrial proteins and fewer mitochondria. We asked how similar the gene expression profile of Rbf-deficient CySCs was to wild-type CySCs. Approximately 27% of genes that were differentially expressed between CySCs and cyst cells were similarly changed in Rbf-deficient CySCs (Figure 5A; Table S2). In addition, GO analysis of the overlapping downregulated genes revealed enrichment for categories related to energetic metabolism and oxidative phosphorylation (Figure 5B). Genes downregulated in these categories include glycolytic and mitochondrial enzymes, such as Ldh, Pyk, Gapdh1, blw, or Mtpx. These data suggest that the gene expression of Rbf-deficient CySCs is similar to wild-type CySCs for metabolic genes and that the metabolic state of Rbf-deficient CySCs may be limiting their ability to differentiate.

Since Rbf-deficient CySCs showed decreased expression of metabolic genes and fewer mitochondria, we asked whether promoting mitochondrial biogenesis in Rbf-deficient CySCs could rescue their block in differentiation (Figures 7A and 7B). To this end, we expressed the transcription factors Spargel (Srl, homolog of PGC1α), which, together with Delg (Flybase: Ets97D, the homolog of NRF-2), non-redundantly regulate mitochondrial gene expression and promote mitochondrial biogenesis and activity (Rera et al., 2011; Tiefenbock et al., 2010). In otherwise wild-type CySCs, overexpression of Srl and Delg led to an increase in staining for the mitochondrial dye MitoTracker, indicating higher mitochondrial mass (Figures 7C and 7A). However, we observed no difference in the intensity of TMRM fluorescence compared with control (Figure 7D), suggesting that Srl and Delg expression specifically increased mitochondrial mass but not activity in CySCs. We next assessed whether overexpression of Srl and Delg could increase mitochondrial mass or activity in Rbf-deficient CySCs. Indeed, we observed that both MitoTracker and TMRM intensity were significantly increased in Rbf-deficient CySCs upon expression of Srl and Delg (Figures 7E, 7F, and 7B). Thus, driving mitochondrial biogenesis in CySCs lacking Rbf is sufficient to partially restore mitochondrial activity levels.

Finally, we tested whether expression of Srl and Delg could rescue differentiation in Rbf-deficient cells. While knockdown of Rbf resulted in expansion of Zfh1 expression away from the hub and a lack of Eya-expressing cells (Figures 7A and 7B), co-expression of Srl and Delg led to a distribution of cell types that resembled control testes: Zfh1-expressing cells were restricted to about two rows surrounding the hub, while cells further away expressed Eya (Figure 7G). We counted Zfh1-expressing cells and found that, whereas knockdown of Rbf resulted in an increase from 33.5 ± 1.7 in controls to 66 ± 7.4 (Figure 7H, p < 0.0001, Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn’s multiple comparisons test, N = 38 for controls and N = 20 for Rbf knockdown), this was significantly reduced to 41.1 ± 2.1 Zfh1-positive cells when Srl and Delg were co-expressed (p < 0.0001 compared with Rbf knockdown, p < 0.1 compared with control, Dunn’s multiple comparisons, N = 37). In addition, while in Rbf knockdowns only 10% of testes contained Eya-positive differentiated cyst cells (p < 0.0001 compared with control, Fisher’s exact test), all rescued testes contained Eya-positive cells (Figure 7I, p < 0.0001 compared with Rbf knockdown, Fisher’s exact
Figure 6. Endogenous cyst cell differentiation involves changes in metabolic gene expression and activity

(A) Plot showing the most significantly enriched GO terms among genes downregulated in control CySCs compared with differentiating cyst cells. Significance is indicated by color coding from red to blue, and the length of each column reflects the number of genes contained within each GO term.

(B) Heatmap showing relative expression of genes grouped under the GO term “generation of metabolites and energy” in CySCs and differentiating cyst cells. Columns represent biological replicates. Blue represents lower expression in log2(fold change), while higher expression is shown in red.

(C) Expression of MitoTimer in a control testis driven with tjts. Oxidized (red) (C”) reporter is detected both in CySCs close to the hub (DE-Cadherin, blue) and in cyst cells distant from it. Reduced (green) (C’) reporter was mainly present in CySCs surrounding the niche.

(D) Ratio of reduced/oxidized MitoTimer.

(E) Quantification of the ratio of reduced to oxidized MitoTimer. ***p < 0.001, N = 19 testes, Student’s t test.

(F and G) Testes from tjts > CD8-GFP animals stained with TMRM (yellow) (F’ and G’) in control CySCs (F) and differentiated cyst cells (G). GFP (cyan) was used to outline individual CySCs and cyst cells.

(H) Circularity index of mitochondria in control CySCs and cyst cells.

(I) Quantification of TMRM intensity in control CySCs and cyst cells. ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001, determined by Mann-Whitney test. Scale bars, 20 μm.
test). We confirmed that Rbf protein was absent in the somatic lineage in these rescued testes (Figure S7C). Similarly, clones expressing Rbf RNAi together with either Srl or Delg were partially rescued in their ability to differentiate (Figures S7D–S7H), and contained Eya-expressing cyst cells in 47% (N = 36) and 26% (N = 35) of cases, respectively, compared with 9% in Rbf knockdown alone (N = 46). Although the increase of differentiated cells in Delg-overexpressing clones was not significant (Fisher’s exact test, p = 0.06), overexpression of Srl resulted in a statistically significant rescue of differentiation (Fisher’s exact test, p < 0.0001).

We observed that the rescued testes did not appear completely normal. Compared with controls, the rescued Eya-positive cells appeared halted in their differentiation: they did not express as high levels of Eya and their nuclei did not grow as large as those of wild-type differentiated cyst cells (compare Figures 7A and 7G). Importantly, when we assayed for proliferation in the rescued testes, we observed Eya-positive, Zfh1-negative cells that were also EdU positive (Figure 7G, arrowheads) in 77% of tests (N = 43), which we never observed in controls (Figure 7I, N = 16, p < 0.0005, Fisher’s exact test).

Thus, increasing mitochondrial biogenesis rescues the ability of Rbf-deficient CySCs to differentiate but not to exit the cell cycle. These data suggest that coordinating cell-cycle exit and differentiation in cyst cells is achieved by Rbf-dependent inhibition of E2f1/Dp activity, enabling a metabolic state that permits differentiation.

**DISCUSSION**

Our experiments show that Rbf coordinates differentiation and cell-cycle exit in G1 by silencing E2f1/Dp activity and enabling a metabolic state compatible with differentiation (Figure 7J).

Our observations concur with previous studies showing that several regulatory networks control S phase entry in *Drosophila* (Duronio et al., 1998; Frolov et al., 2001; Royzman et al., 1997; Zappia and Frolov, 2016). In CySCs, while CycE is necessary for proliferation, E2f1 and Dp are dispensable. CycE expression is thought to be transcriptionally induced by E2f1/Dp and we note that ectopic E2f1/Dp is able to induce CycE expression, as CycE transcripts were upregulated in Rbf-deficient testes (Figure 4B), and ectopic CycE was observed in larval testes mutant for Rbf (Dominado et al., 2016). However, continued proliferation and CycE expression in Dp or E2f1 mutant CySCs indicates that other inputs impinge on CycE regulation. One likely possibility is that self-renewal signals induce CycE expression and, indeed, two signals known to be active in CySCs and required for their self-renewal, Hedgehog and Hippo, are known regulators of CycE (Amoyel et al., 2013, 2014; Duman-Scheel et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2005; Michel et al., 2012). In particular, Zfh1 directly inhibits Hippo activity in CySCs, restricting Yki activation to the CySC pool, and suggesting a possible link to cycE expression (Albert et al., 2018). It is intriguing to note that, in female GSCs, CycE is detected in G2 and M phases as well as G1, indicating that its expression may be regulated differently in different cell types (Hsu et al., 2008).

Despite a reporter pattern consistent with periodic cell-cycle-dependent activation, E2f1/Dp are not required for normal cycling in CySCs, consistent with findings that cells lacking E2f/Dp activity both in the *Drosophila* embryo and larva can express cell-cycle genes and continue to proliferate (Duronio et al., 1998; Frolov et al., 2001; Royzman et al., 1997). Indeed, recent work showed that Dp null mutants could be rescued to adulthood if Dp was restored only in muscle (Zappia and Frolov, 2016). Similarly, mouse retinal progenitors and Müller glia deficient for all three mammalian activator E2fs could continue to proliferate (Chen et al., 2009). Thus, other factors are capable of controlling expression of the genes required for DNA replication, at least partly redundantly with the E2f complex. Several transcription factors have been described which have overlapping targets with E2f/Dp, including DREF and, recently, the SP/Krüppel-like factor Cbut (Cbt) (Tue et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2021). Intriguingly, Cbt can drive PCNA expression, consistent with our observation that PCNA-GFP is reduced but not absent upon Dp loss of function (Figures 2E and S1D–S1F). Thus, continued proliferation and expression of replication genes in the absence of E2f1/Dp could be due either to de-repression from a lack of E2f repressive activity, or to active regulation by other factors such as Cbt. Nonetheless, since Cbt does not drive CycE expression (Zhang et al., 2021), it seems likely that a combination of regulators of replication genes together with specific regulators of CycE are required to promote cell-cycle entry and progression in CySCs.

Our data instead argue that the role of E2f1/Dp activity is to promote a metabolic state that prevents differentiation. Thus, restraining E2f1/Dp activity through Rbf is essential to allow cyst...
cell differentiation, such that both differentiation and cell-cycle exit are coordinated through regulation of E2f/Dp. In the testis, the critical window in which E2f1/Dp activity impacts cell identity is not in the CySCs themselves, but in their daughter cells that are leaving the niche and initiating differentiation (Figure 7J).

Rb and E2f/Dp regulate metabolism in mice and Drosophila (Blanchet et al., 2011; Guarnier et al., 2017; Nicolay et al., 2015; Sankaran et al., 2008; Zappia et al., 2019). Intriguingly, Rbf, E2f1, E2f2, and Dp directly bind the enhancers of several genes encoding mitochondrial-associated proteins both in Drosophila larvae and mammalian cells (Ambrus et al., 2013; Blanchet et al., 2011). However, the mechanisms of action appear cell specific as in larval Drosophila tissues E2f1 and Dp maintain mitochondrial gene expression and activity, while in differentiated skeletal muscle E2f1 acts together with Rb to inhibit oxidative metabolic gene expression.

Many studies have shown a critical role for mitochondria in stem cell differentiation, both in Drosophila and mammalian tissues (Chakrabarty and Chandel, 2021; Schell et al., 2017; Senos Demarco and Jones, 2019; Teixeira et al., 2015). Several mechanisms have been proposed by which this action of mitochondria on cell identity could be mediated, from ROS production to impacts on histone marks through metabolic intermediates (Chakrabarty and Chandel, 2021; Tatapudy et al., 2017). What controls the changes in mitochondrial activity during differentiation is still poorly understood. Indeed, our results show that increasing mitochondrial mass does not result in increased mitochondrial activity, indicating that activity is regulated independently of biogenesis. The rounded and immature appearance of mitochondria in CySCs lacking Rbf suggests that biogenesis and/or fusion dynamics may be responsible for the decreased activity, rather than a reduced availability of fuel for mitochondrial oxidation. Alternatively, an intriguing interpretation of our results is that differentiation depends on the number of mitochondria per cell, and that the rescues we observe are simply a consequence of increasing mitochondrial mass rather than activity. Future work will determine the mechanisms by which mitochondrial numbers and/or activity change in coordination with other factors known to control cell identity to promote differentiation.

Overall, our results suggest a model for how cell-cycle exit and differentiation are linked in CySCs: by limiting the ability of cells to change their metabolic state, E2f1/Dp activity ensures that cycling cells cannot differentiate.

Limitations of the study
The design of our experiments using tigal4 to sort cells means that our sequencing approach identified both likely Dp/E2f1 transcriptional targets and indirect targets that are upregulated as a consequence of an increase in the representation of CySC-like cells in the samples. Nonetheless, we validated that Ald1 expression was downregulated in CySCs, indicating that at least some of the genes identified were indeed affected in CySCs themselves.

In CySCs, many genes encoding mitochondrial factors have reduced expression upon ectopic E2f1/Dp activity in a manner antagonized by Rbf. These observations suggest that in the testis the regulation may be indirect. In many instances, Rb loss results in dysregulation of chromatin regulators, suggesting a potential mechanism by which these effects could be mediated (Benevolenskaya et al., 2005; Gonzalo et al., 2005).
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# STAR★METHODS

## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| Mouse monoclonal anti-Eya (1:20) | Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB) | Cat# eya10H6; RRID:AB_528232 |
| Mouse monoclonal anti-Fas3 (1:20) | DSHB | Cat# 7G10; RRID:AB_528238 |
| Chicken polyclonal anti-GFP (1:500) | Aves Lab | Cat# GFP-1010; RRID:AB_2307313 |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (1:500) | ThermoFisher | Cat# A6455; RRID:AB_221570 |
| Rat monoclonal anti-NCad (1:20) | DSHB | Cat# MNCD2; RRID:AB_528119 |
| Mouse monoclonal anti-Wg (1:200) | DSHB | Cat# 4D4; RRID:AB_528512 |
| Mouse monoclonal anti-Rbf (1:15) | Gift of N. Dyson | RRID:AB_2567501 |
| Mouse monoclonal anti-Dp clone Yun6 (1:5) | Gift of N. Dyson | RRID:AB_2889822 |
| Guinea pig polyclonal anti-CycE (1:100) | Gift of J. Nordman | N/A |
| Rat polyclonal anti-Chinmo (1:50) | Gift of N. Sokol | RRID:AB_2570149 |
| Guinea pig polyclonal anti-Tj (1:3000) | Gift of D. Godt | RRID:AB_2568583 |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti-Zfh1 (1:5000) | Gift of R. Lehmann | N/A |
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** |        |            |
| Schneider’s Drosophila medium | Merck | S0146 |
| Tetramethylrhodamine (TMRM) | ThermoFisher | T668; CAS 115532-50-8 |
| Mitotracker Red CMXRos | Cell Signaling | 9082 |
| 5-ethynyl-2’-deoxyuridine (EdU) | Abcam | ab146186 |
| **Critical commercial assays** |        |            |
| SMART-Seq v4 Ultra | Takara Bio | R400752 |
| Nextera XT DNA preparation kit | Illumina | FC-131-1024 |
| **Deposited data** |        |            |
| Rbf vs control RNA seq | This paper | UCL Data Repository: https://doi.org/10.5522/04/13484814 |
| CySC vs cyst cell RNA seq | This paper | NCBI: PRJNA630200 |
| **Experimental models: Organisms/strains** |        |            |
| D. melanogaster: tj-Gal4 | Amoyel lab | Flybase: FBT0034540 |
| D. melanogaster: tub-Gal80Δ1 | Amoyel lab | Flybase: FBT0027797 |
| D. melanogaster: tub-Gal80Δ3 | Amoyel lab | Flybase: FBT0027796 |
| D. melanogaster: Zfh1-T2A-Gal4 | Bökel lab | Flybase: FBA0340857 |
| D. melanogaster: Zfh1-T2A-Gal80 | This study | N/A |
| D. melanogaster: Dp RNAi: P(GD4444) | Vienna Drosophila Resource Center (VDRC) | VDRC: 12722; Flybase: FBT0091763 |
| D. melanogaster: UAS-E2f1, UAS-Dp | Laura Buttitta | N/A |
| D. melanogaster: w*; P(UAS-CycE.L)MJL1 | Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (BDSC) | BDSC: 4781; Flybase: FBT0012496 |
| D. melanogaster: Rbf RNAi: P(Trp.GLO1293)attP40 | BDSC | BDSC 41863; Flybase: FBT0149374 |
| D. melanogaster: Rbf RNAi: P(Trp.HMS03004)attP2/TM3 | BDSC | BDSC 36744; Flybase: FBT0146791 |
| D. melanogaster: PCNA-GFP | Laura Buttitta | Flybase: FBT0210496 |
| D. melanogaster: w1118; PBac[768.FSVS-0]Al1CPT1002230 | Kyoto Stock Center | Kyoto 115279; Flybase: FBT0143752 |
| D. melanogaster: Tt(Tt)CycE<sup>GRFP</sup> (cycE-GFP) | Caroline Doherty and Stanislav Shvartsman | Flybase: FBA0367148 |
| D. melanogaster: w1118; P(UAS-MitoTimer)3 | BDSC | BDSC 57323; Flybase: FBT0161163 |
| D. melanogaster: UAS-Srl | Hugo Stocker | N/A |
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| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| D. melanogaster: UAS-Delg | Martine Simonelig | N/A |
| D. melanogaster: P[PTT-un]P01986 (esg-GFP) | Leanne Jones | Flybase: FBti0196571 |
| D. melanogaster: Dp(1;3)DC012 | BDSC | BDSC 30222; Flybase: FBab0046286 |
| D. melanogaster: Rbf14 | BDSC | BDSC 7435; Flybase: FBab0095620 |
| D. melanogaster: CycE[Rss], FRT[Oa] | Agnes Audibert | Flybase: FBa0033578 |
| D. melanogaster: CycE[Rs], FRT[sa] | Daniel Kalderon | Flybase: FBa0241968 |
| D. melanogaster: FRT[do], Dp[ad] | Maxim Frolov | Flybase: FBa0063497 |
| D. melanogaster: RBT82B, 2f1nM729 | BDSC | BDSC 35849; Flybase: FBa0033853 |
| D. melanogaster: Df[2R]Exel7124/CyO | BDSC | BDSC 7872; Flybase: FBa0038034 |
| D. melanogaster: Df[3R]Exel6186, P[XP-U]Exel6186, TM6B, Tb1 | BDSC | BDSC 7665; Flybase: FBa0038241 |

Software and algorithms

Image J (Schneider et al., 2012) https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/
RStudio RStudio Team, 2020 http://www.rstudio.com/
clusterProfiler (R package) v3.14.3 Yu et al., 2012 https://guangchuangyu.github.io/software/clusterProfiler
DEBrowser Kucukural et al., 2019 https://www.bioc conductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/debrowser.html
ShinyGO v0.75 Ge et al., 2020 http://bioinformatics.sdstate.edu/go/
A.I.R. RNAseq web-based analysis package Vera et al., 2019 https://transcriptomics.sequentiabiotech.com/
Hisat2 (Kim et al., 2019) http://daehwankimlab.github.io/ hisat2/
StringTie (Pertea et al., 2015) https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/stringtie/
DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq2.html
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**Cell Reports**

**Article**

w;; zfh1-T2A-T2A-Gal80 (referred to in brief as zfh1-Gal80) was generated by crossing yw vasa-Cas9 first to zfh1-T2A-Gal4 w+; TM3, Sb (Albert et al., 2018) and then to the Pn/CyO; Gal4-Gal80HACK/TM6B (94E5) Gal4-Gal80 HACK stock (Lin and Potter, 2016) that contains all the required components such as gRNA genes, homology arms, and an eye RFP selection marker to insert a T2A-Gal80 cassette into the Gal4 ORF of any Gal4 transgene on the homologous chromosome.

For clonal analysis, flies were raised and maintained at 25°C. Adult flies were collected 0-3 days after eclosion and heat shocked at 37°C for 1 hour. Clonal CySCs were identified as Zfh1-positive cells adjacent to the hub that were also positive for the clone marker (GFP expression or absence of GFP or RFP, depending on the genotype). Negatively marked Rbf mutant clones were generated using a duplication of the X chromosome on the third chromosome, Dp(1:3)DC012 (Venken et al., 2010), which fully rescues the viability of Rbfhemizygous mutants. The experimental genotype was Rbf+/w; hs-flp+/+; ubi-GFP Dp(1:3)DC012 FRT2A/FRT2A. Control clones were generated in the same way but wild type for Rbf in the endogenous locus (y,w,hsflp122/Y;; ubi-GFP Dp(1:3)DC012 FRT2A/FRT2A).

Negatively-marked E2f1 mutant clones were generated with FRT7968, ubi-RFP (gift of E. Piddini). All other clones were generated by the MARCM technique (Lee and Luo, 2001). Stocks used to generate clones were: y,w,hsflp122; Tub>Gal4,UAS-nlsGFP; FRT429, Tub>Gal80,CD71; y,w,hsflp122, Tub>Gal4,UAS-nlsGFP,FRT429,Tub>Gal80; y,w,hsflp122,Tub>Gal4,UAS-nlsGFP; FRT429,Tub>Gal80 and w,hs-flp,CS87-Gal4,UAS-RedStinger; FRT429,Tub>Gal80. We used the following alleles: CycE<sup>4295</sup> (gift of A. Audibert); E2f1<sup>m725</sup> (also known as E2f1<sup>1729</sup>, BDSC#35849); Dp<sup>o3</sup> and Dp<sup>o4</sup> (gift of M. Frolov). Dp and E2f1 mutations were validated by lack of complementation against Df(2R)Exel7124 (BDSC #7872), and Df(3R)Exel6188 (BDSC #7665), respectively, and in addition, the Dp<sup>o3</sup> hemizygous mutant was rescued to adulthood by Dp over-expression with Mei2-Gal4, as previously described (Zappia and Frolov, 2016).

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Immunohistochemistry**

The following antibodies were used: rat anti-Chinmo (gift of N.Sokol), 1:50; mouse anti-Eya (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, DSHB), 1:20; mouse anti-Fas3 (DSHB), 1:20; mouse anti-Wg (DSHB), 1:500; chicken anti-GFP (Aves Lab, GFP-1010), 1:500; rabbit anti-GFP (Thermo Fisher, A6455), 1:500; rat anti-Ncad (DSHB), 1:20; mouse anti-Rbf (gift of N. Dyson), 1:15; mouse anti-Dp (gift of N. Dyson), 1:5; guinea pig anti-Tj (gift of D. Godt), 1:3000; rabbit anti-Zfh1 (gift of R. Lehmann), 1:5000; guinea pig anti-CycE (gift of J. Nordman), 1:100. Fixing and immunohistochemistry was carried out as previously described (Fliherty et al., 2010; Michel et al., 2011). In brief, dissected abdomens were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 minutes. Samples were washed twice in PBS, 0.5% Triton X-100 for 30 minutes then blocked in PBS, 1% BSA, 0.2% Triton X-100 (PBТB) for one hour, before overnight incubation in primary antibodies diluted in PBТB. Samples were then washed twice in PBТB for 30 minutes, and incubated in secondary antibodies diluted in PBТB for 2 hours at room temperature, then washed in PBS, 0.2% Triton X-100, and mounted on slides with Vectashield mounting medium for imaging.

For EdU staining, testes were dissected in Schneider’s medium and incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature in Schneider’s medium containing 10μM EdU. Samples were then fixed and incubated with primary and secondary antibodies as above. Click reaction was then carried out for 30 minutes at room temperature in the following reaction buffer: 2.5μM Alexa-405 picolyl azide (Click Chemistry Tools), 0.1 mM THPTA, 2 mM sodium ascorbate and 1 mM CuSO₄.

**RNAseq experiments**

CySCs and differentiating cyst cells were labelled with RedStinger expression driven by zfh1-T2A-Gal4 and tj-gal4; zfh1-Gal80, respectively. In the case of Rbf knockdown experiment, somatic cells were labelled with GFP driven by tj-gal4. Testes were dissected in Schneider’s medium and then separation buffer containing Schneider’s medium, collagenase, trypsin and EDTA was added. Samples were vigorously agitated for 15-30 min. The resulting cell suspension was then filtered using a cell strainer and GFP- or RedStinger-positive cells were isolated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting. For sequencing of control CySCs and cyst cells, 150 cells per replica were sorted directly into 384 well skirted plate (Eppendorf twintec 0030128648) containing per well 2 μl lysis buffer comprised of 1.9 μl of 0.2% Triton X-100 diluted in nuclease free H2O (Invitrogen 10977049) and 0.1 μl 40 U/μl murine RNase Inhibitor (NEB M0314S). For the Rbf experiments, 10,000-15,000 cells were sorted into Eppendorf tubes containing Schneider’s medium, prior to lysis.

mRNA was isolated from the samples, reverse transcribed, and amplified using the SmartSeq2 kit (Illumina) for the study within the somatic lineage and SMART-Seq v4 Ultra (Takara Bio) for the Rbf knockdown experiment. Libraries were then generated using the Nextera kit (Illumina) and 75bp single end read-sequencing was carried out.

Reads were quality checked and mapped using the A.I.R. RNAseq web-based analysis package (Sequentia Biotech, Barcelona). The underlying algorithms and software packages collated in A.I.R. are described in (Vara et al., 2019). To assign differential gene expression between samples we used the DESeq algorithm as implemented in the A.I.R. package. In the case of the Rbf knockdown experiment, reads were mapped and aligned using Hisat2 and StringTie. Differential expression was analysed using DESeq2. Volcano plots and heatmaps were generated using DEBrowser (Kucukural et al., 2019) in RStudio.

Gene ontology analysis was performed using the clusterProfiler package in the shinyGO web platform for both experiments (Ge et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2012). Overlapping categories were simplified using the dropGO function in the shinyGO version of clusterProfiler and the 20 most significantly-enriched categories were plotted. Heatmaps were generated using the DEBrowser package for the genes contained in the highlighted GO category.
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The corresponding raw datasets for the RNAseq experiments have been deposited online. Differentially expressed genes are listed in the supplementary information (Table S2).

**Imaging of mitochondria**

Mitochondria were imaged using TMRM (ThermoFisher Scientific #T668) or Mitotracker Red CMX Ros (Cell Signaling Technology #9082) in live samples. Flies from tjts crosses raised at 18°C in rescue experiments or tj crosses at 25°C in overexpression of Srl Delg alone were collected 0-4 days after eclosion and shifted to 29°C for 10 days. Testes were dissected in Schneider’s medium and incubated in Schneider’s medium with 25 nM TMRM or 50 nM Mitotracker Red for an hour.

**Electron microscopy**

tjts crosses were kept at 18°C. Flies were collected 0-4 days after eclosion and shifted to 29°C for 10 days. Testes were dissected and fixed in 1% glutaraldehyde/paraformaldehyde for 1.5 hours. Samples were then embedded in paraffin and sectioned for imaging.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

For CySC counts, all Zfh1-positive and Eya-negative cells were counted. For GFP and TMRM intensity quantifications, control and experimental flies were dissected on the same day and processed simultaneously. Processing of images for the analysis of mitochondrial morphology was carried out in Image J by using the ‘subtract background’ and ‘despeckle’ tools, after which a fast Fourier transform bandpass filter was applied, modifying a previously described pipeline (Merrill et al., 2017). The circularity index of individual mitochondria was measured using the ‘analyze particles’ function.

Statistical tests were carried out using GraphPad Prism. For comparison of clone recovery rates, Fisher’s exact test was used, for all other experiments, the test is indicated in the main text and figure legend. Numbers shown are mean ± SEM.