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ABSTRACT

Neural stem cell (NSC) based therapies are at the forefront of regenerative medicine strategies to combat illness and injury of the central nervous system (CNS). In addition to their ability to produce new cells, NSCs secrete a variety of products, known collectively as the NSC secretome, that have been shown to ameliorate CNS disease pathology and promote recovery. Pre-clinical and clinical research to harness the NSC secretome for therapeutic purposes advances, a more thorough understanding of the endogenous NSC secretome can provide useful insight into the functional capabilities of NSCs. In this review, we focus on research investigating the autocrine and paracrine functions of the endogenous NSC secretome across life. Throughout development and adulthood, we find evidence that the NSC secretome is a critical component of how endogenous NSCs regulate themselves and their niche. We also find gaps in current literature, most notably in the clinically-relevant domain of endogenous NSC paracrine function in the injured CNS. Future investigations to further define the endogenous NSC secretome and its role in CNS tissue regulation are necessary to bolster our understanding of NSC-niche interactions and to aid in the generation of safe and effective NSC-based therapies.

1. Introduction

Neural stem cells (NSCs) have the potential to transform treatment of illness and injury in the central nervous system (CNS). NSC-based therapies have been investigated as treatments for stroke, multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer's disease, traumatic brain injury, spinal cord injury and Parkinson's disease (Willis et al., 2020b). While the generation of new neurons, astrocytes or oligodendrocytes is frequently the goal of such work, NSC-derived secreted products (i.e. their secretome) can also aid in cellular processes such as host cell survival, neuroplasticity and neuroimmune modulation (Zhang et al., 2020). Paracrine function of stem cells is well accepted as a mechanism by which mesenchymal-lineage stem cells interact with neural tissue (Badyra et al., 2020). However, the contents and potential of the NSC secretome are still emerging topics of research.

Throughout development and aging, natural NSC niches support healthy tissue homeostasis and offer potential reservoirs for CNS regeneration after damage (Marsh and Blurton-Jones, 2017) (Kozareva et al., 2019). In addition to generating new, differentiating cells, endogenous NSCs produce a diverse secretome composed of growth factors, cytokines, chemokines, morphogens, microRNAs (miRNAs), and other products (Shoemaker and Kornblum, 2016). Some secretome products, particularly soluble proteins, are secreted via exocytosis of secretory vesicles. Others, such as miRNAs, are packaged into small, lipid-bound extracellular vesicles (EVs) (e.g. exosomes) before release into the extracellular space (Vogel et al., 2019). Studies of these endogenous NSC secreted factors provide a window into how NSCs can interact with CNS tissue, thereby deepening fundamental understanding of the function of NSCs and how they might be used therapeutically.

This review will focus on research to date investigating the functions...
of the endogenous NSC secretome across neurodevelopment in health and disease. We will focus primarily on rodent models, where most data are available. Across the lifespan, we categorize the potential functions of the NSC secretome into 3 categories: autocrine, paracrine and bidec- 
tional. Autocrine signaling is defined as secreted signaling from one NSC to another, or one NSC to itself. Paracrine signaling is defined as 
secreted signaling from NSCs to neighboring cells, which can include 
cells derived from other lineages (e.g. endothelia, microglia), as well as 
NSC progeny such as progenitor cells or immature neurons. Bidric- 
tional functions include the more complex interchange between NSCs 
and other cells in which an NSC secretome product alters a neighboring 
cell in ways that feedback to change NSC function. In this review, we 
identify all three categories of secretome function in NSCs and discuss 
current gaps in this field of study.

2. Neural stem cell secretome across neurodevelopment

2.1. NSC secretome in the pre and peri-natal CNS

Pre and peri-natal development of the CNS is a highly conserved 
process among vertebrates (Semple et al., 2015). In rodents such as 
mice, CNS generation begins on embryonic day (ED) 9 with the forma- 
tion of the neural plate and then the neural tube from ectodermal tissue 
(Rice and Barone, 2000). Following the involution and fusion of the 
nervous system, neural epithelial cells in the newly formed ventricular zone 
divide to create an expanding pool of radial glia neural stem cells 
(rgNSCs). rgNSCs extend bipolar processes to contact both the ventric- 
ular space and the surface of the developing cortical plate, the latter of 
which eventually serves as a migration scaffold for progenitors (Noctor 
et al., 2004). Ventricular rgNSCs in the nascent mammalian CNS initially 
favor symmetric divisions, thereby expanding the rgNSC pool (Hau- 
bensak et al., 2004). Over the course of the remaining embryonic days, 
they shift to favor asymmetric divisions that produce unipotent 
neural, astroglial and then oligodendroglial progenitor cells, in that 
temporal order (Qian et al., 2000). As a result of this sequential pro- 
duction of fate-restricted progenitors, most neurogenesis in the cortical 
and subcortical areas is complete by birth while astrocyte and oligo-
dendroglial generation occurs mostly in the first postnatal month (Miller 
and Gauthier, 2007).

### Table 1
Summary of NSC Secreted Factors and their Functions.

| Factor                        | Developmental Stage | In vitro/ in vivo | Region                  | Signaling Mechanism | Function                                      | Citation(s)                      |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Sonic Hedgehog (SHH)          | Prenatal            | In vivo           | Telencephalon           | Autocrine           | Regionally specific proliferation and differentiation | (Komada et al., 2008; Shikata et al., 2011) |
| Platelet Derived Growth Factor (PDGF) | Prenatal            | In vitro          | Cortex                  | Autocrine           | Maintains proliferation and differentiation | (Erlandsson et al., 2006) |
| Chondroitin Sulfate Proteoglycans (CSPGs) | Prenatal            | In vitro          | Cortex                  | Autocrine           | Increase survival and proliferation            | (Tham et al., 2010) |
| Apolipoprotein E (ApoE)       | Prenatal            | In vivo/ in vivo  | Forebrain               | Autocrine           | Stimulate survival                            | (Gan et al., 2011) |
| Pituitary Adenylate Cyclase-Activating Peptide (PACAP) | Prenatal            | In vitro          | Cortex                  | Autocrine           | Temporal regulation of CNS neurogenesis        | (Lu and DiCicco-Bloom, 1997; Obitsu et al., 2008; Suh et al., 2001) |
| Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) | Prenatal            | In vivo           | Cortex                  | Paracrine - Endothelia | Chemoattraction | (Di Marco et al., 2020; Komabayashi-Suzuki et al., 2019; Ruhrberg et al., 2002) |
| Micro RNAs                    | Perinatal           | In vitro          | SVZ                     | Paracrine - Microglia | Driven an inflammatory phenotype               | (Morton et al., 2018) |
| VEGF                          | Adult               | In vitro/ in vivo | DG                      | Autocrine           | Maintain quiescence                           | (Kirby et al., 2015) |
| Insulin-like Growth Factor 2 (IGF2) | Adult               | In vitro/ in vivo | DG                      | Autocrine           | Supports RGL Maintenance                      | (Bracco et al., 2012) |
| Milk fat globule-EGF8 (MFG8)  | Adult               | In vitro/ in vivo | DG                      | Autocrine           | Maintain quiescence                           | (Zhou et al., 2018) |
| SHH                           | Adult               | In vitro/ in vivo | DG/SVZ                  | Autocrine           | Maintain NSC quiescence                       | (Daynac et al., 2016; Favaro et al., 2009) |
| Wingless and Int-1 (WNT)      | Adult               | In vivo/ in vivo  | DG                      | Autocrine           | Maintain quiescence                           | (Wedler et al., 2009) |
| Glycosylated Cystatin C (Ccg)  | Adult               | In vivo           | DG                      | Autocrine           | Promotes NSC survival and neurogenesis         | (Taupin et al., 2009) |
| Stem Cell-derived Neural Stem/ Progenitor Cell Supporting Factor (SDNSF) | Adult               | In vitro          | DG                      | Autocrine           | Promotes NSC survival                         | (Toda et al., 2003) |
| Diazepam Binding Inhibitor (DBI) | Adult               | In vivo           | DG/SVZ                  | Paracrine - Progenitors | Block local GABA to increase activation       | (Alfonso et al., 2012; Dumitr et al., 2017) |
| Pleiotrophin (PTN)            | Adult               | In vivo           | DG                      | Paracrine - Immature Neurons | Increases dendritic length and complexity | (Tang et al., 2019) |
| Micro RNAs                    | Old Age             | In vivo           | Hypothalamus            | Paracrine           | Increase NSC survival                         | (Zhang et al., 2017) |
the distribution of cortical neurons in the neocortex but had no impact on formation of the ventral telencephalon, possibly due to disruptions of the rgNSC radial process (Komada et al., 2008). This case of SHH autocrine signaling illustrates a critical consideration in investigations of the NSC secretome. SHH, like many other secreted proteins, is made by multiple cell types and in multiple anatomical locations. Yet, the functional role of a secreted protein for a target cell can hinge on which cell makes that protein. Factors such as diffusion/transport distance of secreted proteins and varying receptor expression levels likely play roles in determining the relative effects of the same protein made by different cells, though this is an area in need of further investigation. The case of spatially-specific SHH autocrine signaling highlights the importance of evaluating secretome function cell-specifically when attempting to understand its functional impact.

Several other factors have been implicated in autocrine rgNSC self-regulation, including platelet derived growth factor (PDGF), chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (CSPGs), apolipoprotein E (ApoE) and putative adenylate cyclase-activating peptide (PACAP). PDGF is a pleiotropic growth factor expressed by cells from both the mesodermal and ectodermal lineages (Ding et al., 2013; Puna and Sasahara, 2014). While it was originally discovered to influence blood vessel formation and maturation, PDGF is also critical for neural development (Fruttiger et al., 2000; Höllström et al., 1999). In the developing CNS, PDGF is expressed by both neurons and rgNSCs where it is reported to act as a mitogen for immature neurons (Erlândsson et al., 2006; Yeh et al., 1991). In E15 rat rgNSCs cultured in PDGF free media, self-expressed PDGF supports rgNSC proliferation and inhibits their differentiation in an autocrine manner (Erlándsson et al., 2006). This example of autocrine PDGF signaling in rgNSCs in vitro highlights the importance of cell culture experiments for investigating the functions of the NSC secretome. PDGF signal, and signaling from many other NSC-secreted factors, influence multiple, interacting facets of CNS development. Cell culture experiments provide a readily tractable experimental system that allows for investigation of rgNSCs in isolation from other cell types. While in vivo, cell-specific experiments remain critical as well, in vitro experiments provide a unique window into autocrine signaling that has fueled progress in this field substantially.

rgNSCs also express and secrete a family of proteoglycans known as CSPGs, which modulate many processes related to CNS development, such as cell division, adhesion and migration (von Holst et al., 2006; Kubota et al., 1999; Mizuguchi et al., 2003). While CSPGs are expressed throughout the body and have been studied for their role in cartilage structure and function and tumor growth, the main focus of work on CSPGs has been on their role in neurodevelopment (Silver and Silver, 2014; Watanabe et al., 1998). RGNSCs and their progenitors express and secrete multiple types of CSPGs, including aggregcan, neurocan and phosphacan, and RGNSC-secreted CSPGs signal within the RGNSC pool to enhance survival (von Holst et al., 2006; Kabos et al., 2004; Tham et al., 2010). Given the production of CSPGs by multiple cell types and their effects on many cell types in the developing CNS, cell culture experiments have been critical to understanding the autocrine role of CSPGs in rgNSCs. Tham et al. first established that CSPGs are detectable by mass spectrometry in the conditioned media of mixed rgNSC and progenitor neurosphere-derived cells from the embryonic mouse CNS, indicating the potential for autocrine signaling. Subsequent experiments showed that supplementing neurospheres with CSPGs increased rgNSC survival and proliferation while CSPG inhibition led to exhaustion of the NSC pool (Tham et al., 2010). Whether autocrine CSPG support is mediated by increasing quiescence or cell survival remains to be established. Future work is also necessary to determine the distinct roles of each type of CSPG in regulating rgNSCs. However, these findings suggest that growth factors and morphogens are not the only factors within the rgNSC secretome that play a role in autocrine signaling.

Similar to CSPGs, autocrine ApoE plays a significant role in stimulating rgNSC survival. ApoE is a lipid transporter expressed most notably in the liver, where it regulates lipid metabolism (Marais, 2019). In the adult CNS, ApoE is most highly expressed and secreted by astrocytes, and it has been established as a negative regulator of cell proliferation (Nathan et al., 1994; Pitas et al., 1987). During development, though, ApoE expression by rgNSCs increases during the shift towards gliogenesis (Gan et al., 2011). In cultured mouse forebrain rgNSCs, self-secreted ApoE stimulated neurosphere formation by facilitating NSC self-renewal (Gan et al., 2011). In addition, rgNSC specific ApoE knockdown in vivo increased activation of the rgNSC pool in the developing dentate gyrus of mice (Tensaouti et al., 2018), demonstrating that the functional role of rgNSC-produced ApoE persists in the context of the full in vivo niche.

RgNSCs also secrete factors that promote gliogenesis in an autocrine manner. For example, autocrine PAC1 receptor stimulation by the pleiotropic neuropeptide, PACAP, in cultured cortical rgNSCs led to proliferation and differentiation into the astrocytic lineage, suggesting that autocrine CAPAC-mediated signaling may regulate the switch from neurogenesis to gliogenesis in the developing CNS (Nishimoto et al., 2007). In contrast, though, another study found that PACAP stimulation inhibited proliferation and promoted neurogenesis in cultured rat neuronal progenitors (Lu and Dicicco-Bloom, 1997). When administered into the embryonic CNS, PACAP similarly inhibited proliferation at ED13.5 (Ohtsuka et al., 2008). However, when given at ED15.5 in rats, it did not promote neurogenesis as it did in vitro; rather it reduced cortical neurogenesis (Suh et al., 2001). Together, these findings suggest a possible temporal dimension in which PACAP effects the rgNSC pool and CNS development that requires further investigation.

2.1.2. Paracrine regulation

The paracrine role of the rgNSC secretome is generally less extensively studied than its autocrine role. Nonetheless, examples of well understood paracrine functional roles of the rgNSC secretome exist, the most prominent of which is induction of CNS vascularization. Vascularization of the CNS is critical for development, yet because endothelia cannot be produced from neuroectodermal tissue (James and Mukouyama, 2011), they must be attracted from the mesoderm to vascularize the CNS. The rgNSC secretome drives vascularization of the CNS through the expression and secretion of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a powerful chemoattractant and mitogen for endothelia (Gerhardt et al., 2003; Haigh et al., 2003; Raab et al., 2004). Specifically, VEGF from rgNSCs and their progenitors signal to VEGFR2 on endothelial tip cells to induce tip cell migration and to VEGFR2 on stalk cells to stimulate their proliferation (Di Marco et al., 2020; Gerhardt et al., 2003; Komabayashi-Suzuki et al., 2019; Miyama et al., 1997; Ruhrberg et al., 2002; Shen et al., 2004). The end result is coordinated growth and migration of endothelia-lined vessels towards zones of rgNSC and progenitor production. The specific role of rgNSC- and progenitor-produced VEGF in eliciting vascularization is essential. Even hemizygous loss of vegf in rgNSCs leads to embryonic lethality due to a disruption of CNS vasculature development (Ferrara et al., 1996). Vascular chemotraction towards rgNSC-expressed VEGF also drives NSC activation in the developing brain as angiogenic sprouting and the ingression of tip cells induces asymmetric rgNSC division and the production of neurons that form the cortical layers (Karakatsani et al., 2019). These findings establish that rgNSC-secreted factors not only influence migration of non-neural tissue into the developing CNS, but also influence their own regulation through bidirectional cellular communication.

The rgNSC secretome may also play a paracrine role in CNS immune system development and regulation, though this is generally less well-understood than its role in vascular development. Microglia are the resident neuroimmune cells of the CNS. They are derived from the yolk sac and then migrate to the CNS during gestation (Thion et al., 2018). Using next generation small RNA sequencing of EVs derived from conditioned media of cultured P0 subventricular zone (SVZ) rgNSCs, Morton and colleagues (Morton et al., 2018) identified high expression of several miRNAs known to regulate microglia morphology and...
physiology, including miRNAs let-7, miR-9 and miR-181 (Kumar et al., 2015; Lehmann et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015). MiRNAs are non-coding RNA fragments that negatively regulate gene expression and previous work has shown that miRNAs may regulate the balance of quiescence and activation in NSCs (Shi et al., 2010). Morton and colleagues found that ingressing microglial cells took up fluorescently-tagged EVs derived from cultured NSCs, and that EV incorporation was correlated with a shift from a stellate to a more rounded morphology by microglia. Consistent with these morphological changes, cultured primary microglia treated with rgNSC EVs also showed increased expression of IL-1β, IL-18 and IL-6, indicating greater proinflammatory activity. Interestingly, the conditioned medium from EV-treated microglia significantly inhibited proliferation of cultured SVZ-derived rgNSCs, once again indicating that the NSC secretome may indirectly self-regulate by driving bi-directional communication with other niche cell types. One notable remaining challenge is to show a causal link between NSC-EVs synthesized in vivo and microglial regulation. The majority of the experimental work above relied on production and concentration of EVs in vitro, which may or may not reflect physiological levels of NSC-EV production. This is a common obstacle in studies where cell-specific manipulation is technically challenging, such as is the case for production of EVs, and remains an area for future investigation and technique development.

2.1.3. The human NSC secretome in neurodevelopment

While most studies of the NSC secretome use rodent models, evidence is building to support the importance of the NSC secretome in humans. Neurodevelopment is highly conserved across mammalian species. rgNSCs in the developing human ventricular zone have bipolar processes which eventually serve as a migration scaffold for progenitors during cortical neurogenesis, much like rodents do. In humans, rgNSCs begin neurogenesis around gestational week (gw) 5 and continue until gw 20 (deAzevedo et al., 2003; Rakic, 2004). After 20 weeks, the bulk of neuron production has occurred in the developing brain, and rgNSCs switch to gliogenic divisions (Zecевич et al., 2005). These pre- and perinatal events in human neurodevelopment have many parallels in rodents. As postnatal development proceeds, though, olfactory bulb neurogenesis derived from NSCs in the SVZ is not maintained in humans, though the NSCs themselves do seem to persist within the SVZ (Bergmann et al., 2012; Sorrells et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2014). In contrast, neurogenic NSCs do appear to be maintained in the adult human hippocampus, though this is currently controversial (Fig. 1). A preponderance of data support the maintenance of hippocampal neurogenesis throughout life in humans (Boldrini et al., 2018; Dennis et al., 2016; Eriksen et al., 1998; Moreno-Jiménez et al., 2019; Spalding et al., 2013; Tobi et al., 2019), as well as specific preservation of NSCs well into human aging (Mathews et al., 2017). However, one key study recently presented findings to the contrary (Sorrells et al., 2018), leading to a debate about the very existence of adult hippocampal neurogenesis in humans and the proper methods for addressing that question. As this debate highlights, studying endogenous NSCs in humans is technically challenging. Because of these challenges, relatively few studies have addressed the function of the endogenous NSC secretome in humans. The focus of NSC secretome studies in humans has thus far been on cultured NSCs.

Understanding the human NSC secretome within the healthy brain is currently limited by the rarity of exploratory studies to define it. Only a small number of studies have attempted an unbiased characterization of the NSC secretome, exclusively using conditioned media from cultured NSCs. Recently, Cervenka et al., performed a Luminex xMAP assay for 30 pre-selected targets in the conditioned media from cultured human NSCs differentiated from embryonic stem cells in vitro. They detected the secretion of 28 growth factors and cytokines, including VEGF and II-6 (Cervenka et al., 2020). Another study of cultured human embryonic cortex NSC-produced exosomes focused on their secretion of miRNAs (Stevanato et al., 2016). They found that human NSC-produced exosomes highly expressed miRNA-1246, 4488, 4508 and 4516, which can have a wide range of effects including the regulation of cell growth and apoptosis. While these studies have begun to define the human NSC secretome, there is a clear need for future investigations to determine what other factors are present, such as the morphogens, growth factors, cytokines, chemokines and other soluble proteins that have been identified in the rodent NSC secretome.

Several studies of the human NSC secretome have taken a more targeted approach of searching for pre-selected factors in the NSC secretome then testing their potential therapeutic relevance in models of disease. Most studies use cultured human NSCs derived from differentiated human embryonic NSCs or from fetal brain tissue and test their function by infusion of conditioned media or whole NSC transplants into mouse models of disease. For example, Lee et al., used western blot to identify the expression of trophic factors relevant to Alzheimer's disease in the conditioned media of cultured human NSCs. They found that human NSCs isolated from 13 week old fetal brain tissue secreted brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), nerve growth factor (NGF), VEGF, FGF2, and glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) (Lee et al., 2015). Transplant of human NSCs into a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease increased synaptic density, reduced apoptosis, and reduced amyloid β load, all improvements in the host tissue that the authors attribute to the NSC secretome (Lee et al., 2015). Several other studies similarly support the therapeutic role of the human NSC secretome in stroke (Eckert et al., 2015; Hicks et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2014). For example, intracerebral infusion of conditioned media from cultured embryonic cortex-derived human NSCs—which contained factors such as IGF1, VEGF, basic FGF and EGF—led to improvement in blood vessel remodeling, sprouting and angiogenesis in a mouse model of ischemic stroke (Hicks et al., 2013). Multiple sclerosis has also been shown to be particularly susceptible to the anti-inflammatory properties of the human NSC secretome. Reduction in neuroinflammation, enhanced remyelination and improved motor behavior have all been linked to treatment with human NSC-derived conditioned media containing factors such as TGFβ (Aharonoviz et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2014; Puchino et al., 2009). While these disease-focused studies suggest that the human NSC secretome has potent functional roles in the CNS, the function of endogenous human NSC secreted products, in healthy or diseased brains, remains largely uninvestigated.

Though studies of the human NSC secretome are limited, the apparent conservation of many secretome factors between rodent and human NSCs gives hope that the rodent literature will be predictive for humans. For example, overlapping secretome factors in cultured human
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![Fig. 1. Diagram of the neurogenic niches in the adult rodent and human brains.](image-url)
ESC-derived NSCs and rodent NSCs include VEGF, IL-6, and miRNAs. In rodents, these factors induce endothelial ingress into the developing CNS, regulate rg and rglNSC quiescence and influence microglia morphology and physiology, raising the possibility for similar function in human NSCs during neurodevelopment or adulthood. These possibilities suggested by parallels with rodents remain open for future investigation.

2.1.4. Open questions

There are likely many other rgNSC secretome factors that remain to be discovered. A broad, unbiased identification of the rgNSC secretome is currently lacking in vivo, but in vitro approaches provide some clues to the possible diversity of rgNSC secreted proteins. For example, (Severino et al., 2013) performed liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry on the conditioned media of cultured NSCs from the immortalized NSC line mes-c-myc A1, which were derived from the mesencephalon of ED 11 mice as they underwent differentiation into neurons. This study identified 104 non-redundant proteins and found that a significant percentage of the proteins were related to nervous system development and function, cell adhesion and cell survival. The same group then used a magnetic bead-based multiplexed immunoassay to further investigate the secretion of cytokines and chemokines by proliferating rgNSCs (Colucci-D’Amato et al., 2015). They found IFN-α, IL-1α, IL-6, IL-12, IL-13, IL-5, and IL-10 were released by rgNSCs. Of these factors, IL-6 has been proposed to be a regulator of rgNSC quiescence but exhibits pleiotropic effects depending on the cell (Hirano et al., 1990; Mathieu et al., 2010). The functional roles of the rest of these factors in autocrine regulation of rgNSCs and paracrine regulation of the developing nervous system remain to be investigated. While the methodologies used to identify the secretome in these studies are biased towards only the most highly expressed factors within the secretome, these studies identify several factors and potential cellular processes that the rgNSC secretome is poised to regulate in the developing rodent brain.

The conservation of the NSC secretome across species remains a significant open question. Beyond mammals, neurogenic niches can also be found throughout life in fish, birds, reptiles, and amphibians (Alunni and Bally-Cuif, 2016), suggesting that many properties of NSCs may be evolutionarily conserved. Across these species, the functions of NSCs in developmental neurogenesis and the production of adult-born neurons are well established. However, there is a dearth of studies investigating the NSC secretome in these other model systems. The roles of WNT, BMP and SHH signaling are highly conserved during CNS development (Ashe, 2016; Letelier et al., 2018; Steinhardt and Angers, 2018) and growth factors, like VEGF and IGF, are known to stimulate neurogenesis across species (Bargieri et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2013; Erkenbrack and Petsio, 2017; Louisaint et al., 2002). Whether the NSC secretome plays a role in stimulating that signaling across species remains unclear. Paracrine signaling by NSCs across species also remains almost completely uninvestigated to our knowledge.

In summary, the rgNSC secretome in the developing CNS plays a pivotal role in NSC regulation, endothelial migration and possibly neuroimmunologic regulation (Fig. 2). RgNSC autocrine factors are often spatially and temporally specific in their regulation of the rgNSC pool. RgNSCs also produce paracrine signaling factors that may function to attract and regulate other cell types within the developing CNS. This paracrine signaling between niche cells can be bi-directional, resulting in indirect regulation of the rgNSC pool. Though it is clear that the rgNSC secretome is a major component of healthy CNS development, this field has many questions left unexplored. Future studies of the spatial and temporal changes in the rgNSC secretome (both what comprises the secretome and in what quantities factors are produced) are needed to better elucidate how the rgNSC secretome influences healthy neurodevelopment. Further development and refinement of methods for spatially and temporally specific manipulation of the rgNSC secretome will also facilitate better understanding of the role of rgNSC secreted factors in neural development.

2.2. NSC secretome in the juvenile CNS

The rodent CNS continues to develop following birth and throughout adolescence. Postpartum neurodevelopment in rodents is marked by a decline in neurogenesis (Mathews et al., 2010) and progressive confinement of NSCs into neurogenic niches, the most prominent of which are the dentate gyrus (DG) of the hippocampus and the SVZ lining the lateral ventricles (Bond et al., 2015). The formation of these two niches is qualitatively different and the NSCs that establish them are derived via different ontological processes.

The adult rodent DG neurogenic niche relies on an NSC class known as radial glia-like NSCs (rgNSCs), which reside in the subgranular zone of the DG throughout life. The DG rgNSC pool matures from a subset of Hopx- precursors that originate in the neuroepithelium. These select precursors detach from the ventricle around ED15.5 and migrate to the nascent hippocampus via the dentate migratory stream over the first several postnatal weeks (Berg et al., 2019). While the hippocampal formation is established by ED17, granule cells that form the DG continue to be produced in large quantities throughout juvenile development until ~postnatal day (PD) 21 (Urban and Guillenot, 2014). RgNSCs largely complete migration to the subgranular zone of the DG by PD14 (Nicola et al., 2015), where they continue to mature into a more adult phenotype (Hochgerner et al., 2018). Around the end of the first postnatal month, a resolved SGZ is evident with largely quiescent rglNSCs and proliferating intermediate progenitor cells (IPCs) that continue to generate neuroblasts that mature into new granule neurons, though at a greatly diminished rate compared to the early peri-natal period (Gilley et al., 2011; Hochgerner et al., 2018). Throughout aging, rglNSC quiescence deepens and IPC proliferative capacity diminishes even further (Harris et al., 2021).

The adult SVZ niche relies on an NSC class known as type B NSCs. SVZ type B NSCs are derived from a subpopulation of rgNSCs set aside during embryonic development. RgNSCs enter a quiescent state between ED13 and ED15 and mature into early type B NSCs between ED17.5 and PD21 (Fuentealba et al., 2015; Furutachi et al., 2015). During early postnatal development, the maturing type B NSCs are responsible for the production and supply of both astrocytes and oligodendrocytes for most of the developing brain (Levison and Goldman, 1993). They also give rise to neurons that form the medial and lateral septum and striatum depending on their spatial location before entering their adult quiescent state (Obernier and Alvarez-Buylla, 2019). In adulthood, type B cells settle into an architecturally defined niche, where they extend processes to contact both the CSF in the lateral ventricle and local blood vessels (Fuentealba et al., 2012). Though they are more quiescent than early postnatal, maturing B cells, they still reactivate to give rise to type C IPCs, which in turn divide to create neuroblasts (type A cells). Those type A neuroblasts migrate away from the SVZ via the rostral migratory stream to eventually mature into interneurons in the olfactory bulb (Obernier and Alvarez-Buylla, 2019).

2.2.1. Open questions

During this early postnatal development time window, when the neurogenic niches are forming, there is little known about the NSC secretome of either rgNSCs or type B NSCs. Previous reports have identified transcriptional changes that occur with maturation of NSCs in both the SVZ and SGZ (Hochgerner et al., 2018; Yuzwa et al., 2017). A recent combined analysis of multiple single cell RNAseq datasets from several rodent strains through early postnatal life has further underscored the importance of the juvenile time period for qualitative changes in NSC phenotype, and, in particular, for increased expression of genes associated with regulating the extracellular environment (Borrett et al., 2022). These findings suggest that modulation of the external environment (e.g. via secretome) may be a feature of more mature NSCs that first emerge during postnatal juvenile development and are then more firmly established in young adulthood. Yet, to the best of our knowledge, there has been no attempt to characterize the secretome of maturing NSCs as...
they adopt new, tissue specific identities that are unique to their neurogenic niches. The field of maturing NSC secretome function therefore remains an almost completely open target for future research.

2.3. NSC secretome in the adult CNS

2.3.1. Autocrine regulation

Known autocrine factors that play a role in self-sustaining NSCs in the adult brain include VEGF, insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2), milk fat globule-EGF 8 (MFG8), SHH, Wingless and Int-1 (WNT), glycosylated cystatin C (CCg) and stem cell-derived neural stem/progenitor cell supporting factor (SDNSF). While some of these autocrine factors are conserved in both SGZ and SVZ niches, such as SHH, the expression and secretion of others in the secretome, like WNT, VEGF, MFGE8 etc., appear to be niche-dependent. These differences may be due to differences in soluble factors released by other cells within each niche or to divergence in adult DG and SVZ NSC pool formation/maturation.

VEGF is a pleiotropic, soluble factor that is expressed by adult DG rglNSCs, as well as other cell types. Despite VEGF production by other CNS cell types, such as astrocytes, self-produced VEGF is necessary to maintain the balance between quiescence and activation among rglNSCs (Kirby et al., 2015). In our previous work, we established this autocrine role of rglNSC-VEGF by showing that cell-specific loss of VEGF in adult NSCs and their progenitors led to a surge in rglNSC proliferation followed by exhaustion of the rglNSC pool (Kirby et al., 2015). This phenotype of disrupted quiescence and exhaustion occurred both in cultured DG NSCs and in rglNSCs in the in vivo adult mouse hippocampus, suggesting it was not reliant on bidirectional signaling with neighboring cell types. VEGF expression was not found to be a prominent feature of SVZ NSCs, suggesting this secretome-mediated self-maintenance mechanism is unique to hippocampal rglNSCs.

In addition to being secreted by the liver (Adamek and Kasprzak, 2018), IGF2 is also synthesized locally in the hippocampus, where it is necessary to maintain the rglNSC pool and support the survival of adult-born neurons (Bracko et al., 2012; Ziegler et al., 2012). At least some portion of this local IGF2 appears to derive from rglNSCs themselves. Brako et al. used fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) to isolate of GFP+ rglNSCs and their IPC progeny from Sox2-GFP mice, and identified IGF2 as highly expressed in these cells. In situ hybridization and antibody staining in the adult mouse hippocampus confirmed IGF2 expression was unique to DG, but not SVZ, NSCs and IPCs (Bracko et al., 2012). IGF2 knockdown with a lentivirus expressing a short hairpin RNA against Igf2 resulted in significantly decreased proliferation of infected cells both in the adult hippocampus and in cultured hippocampal rglNSCs but had no effect in SVZ NSCs in vitro or in vivo (Bracko et al., 2012). These data strongly suggest IGF2 is a DG rglNSC-specific, self-secreted factor that supports rglNSC maintenance, further establishing heterogeneity between the regional NSC secretomes of the adult brain.

MFGE8 is another soluble protein found within the adult DG rglNSC secretome that signals in an autocrine manner to maintain the stem cell pool (Zhou et al., 2018). Using transcriptional single cell RNAseq data, Zhou and colleagues found that MFG8, a phagocytic factor, was enriched in DG rglNSCs and further investigation with antibody staining revealed its expression in astrocytes as well, but not IPCs or microglia. They found that knockdown of NSC-specific MFG8 led to exhaustion of rglNSCs, demonstrating a cell-specific role for NSC-derived MFG8 despite production by local astrocytes (Zhou et al., 2018). The effect of MFG8 appeared to rely on signaling through self-expressed integrin receptors, rather than any effect on phagocytic activity, suggesting that autocrine MFG8 signals non-canonically to regulate the adult rglDG NSC pool. The role of MFG8 in the SVZ type B NSC pool has yet to be established.

Similar to its role in development, SHH expression in adulthood may act as an autocrine signaling factor to maintain NSC pools. SHH is
detectable in NSCs and IPCs in both adult neurogenic niches (Favaro et al., 2009). In the DG, SHH expression is closely tied to expression of the transcription factor SOX2. Conditional SOX2 knockdown reduced SHH expression in hippocampal rglNSCs, resulting in a significant reduction of rglNSCs and their progeny after 12 days, an effect that could be replicated in vitro and then rescued by treatment with wild type rglNSC conditioned media and inducible SHH expression (Favaro et al., 2009). Taken together, these data strongly suggest that autocrine SHH in the NSC secretome is necessary to maintain the DG rglNSC pool. In the SVZ, SHH functions similarly, where its expression is necessary to maintain NSC quiescence. Daynac and colleagues found that over-expression of NSC SHH increased NSC quiescence and downregulated neurogenesis. They further report that cultured adult SVZ NSCs respond similarly to exogenous SHH treatment (Daynac et al., 2016), suggesting that SHH also maintains the SVZ NSC pool. These discoveries of SHH and its role in autocrine signaling within adult NSC pools suggest that some NSC secretome functions are conserved through development and across different neurogenic niches.

Similar to VEGF, WNT is a pleiotropic signaling molecule with numerous functions throughout the lifespan. In the developing CNS, WNT is primarily a niche-derived signal that supports neurogenic processes from rglNSC self-renewal to progenitor differentiation (Arredondo et al., 2020). WNT secretion has also been detected in cultured adult rat DG NSCs (Wexler et al., 2009), where blocking self-derived WNT signaling by overexpressing an N-cadherin fragment or axin to antagonize β catenin led to NSC activation and proliferation. Similar to cultured adult DG NSCs, rglNSCs in vivo respond to WNT via canonical β catenin signaling, which promotes balanced NSC quiescence and IPC proliferation. Interestingly, the in vivo DG niche also brings another potential interacting component in to WNT regulation of rglNSCs. Hippocampal IPCs express dickkopf-related protein 1 (DKK1), a WNT inhibitor (Niehrs, 2006), and NSC/IPC-specific Dkk knockdown in adult mice drastically increased rglNSC activation (Seib et al., 2013). These findings suggest that balancing WNT exposure is a necessary step to ensure proper regulation of the adult hippocampal rglNSC pool. The potential cross-talk of IPC-derived DKK1 and rglNSC-derived WNT also exemplifies how autocrine regulation may still involve communication with neighboring cells, in this case between stem cells and progenitors within the niche. WNT expression was not found to be a prominent feature of SVZ type B NSCs, suggesting that this secretome-mediated self-maintenance mechanism, like that of VEGF, IGF2 and MFG8, is unique to hippocampal NSCs.

Cystatin C is a secreted protein expressed by all nucleated cells but is most widely studied as a biomarker of kidney function (Onopik et al., 2015). In adult DG rglNSCs, the glycosylated form of Cystatin C (CCγ) may act as a self-produced cofactor to support neurogenesis. For example, Taupin and colleagues found that adult rglNSCs secrete CCγ and addition of CCγ, along with the mitogen FGF2, to NSCs plated at clonal density supported proliferation and differentiation (Taupin et al., 2000). In the adult rat hippocampus, antibody staining and in situ hybridization confirmed Cystatin C was expressed in rglNSCs and IPCs. Cystatin C deficient mice also have decreased adult hippocampal neurogenesis. However, as these mice were Cystatin C deficient across life in these mice drastically increased rglNSC activation (Seib et al., 2013). These studies together identify a unique mechanism within both adult neurogenic niches, whereby NSC-secreted factors may modulate their own response to neurotransmitters secreted by other cells, including in some cases their own progeny.

2.3.2. Paracrine regulation

Paracrine effects of the endogenous adult NSC secretome are far less well understood than the autocrine effects. One recent study showed that rglNSCs in the adult DG may regulate the maturation of their own progeny via secretion of pleiotrophin (PTN) (Tang et al., 2019). PTN is a pleiotropic soluble growth factor with numerous effects across the body. Tang and colleagues found PTN was specifically expressed by rglNSCs and IPCs in the SGZ and that PTN knockdown with a lentivirus expressing a short hairpin RNA against Ptn significantly reduced dendritic length and complexity. PTN is also expressed by SVZ NSCs (Qin et al., 2017), yet its role in paracrine signaling to niche cells has yet to be established. These data strongly suggest a paracrine role of rglNSC secreted PTN in immature neuron maturation.

2.3.3. Open questions

Understanding of both the autocrine and paracrine effects of the adult NSC secretome are currently limited by the rarity of exploratory studies to define the adult NSC secretome. One early study seeking to define the adult rodent NSC secretome performed two-dimensional electrophoresis and mass spectrometry on cultured NSCs from the adult rat hippocampus (Debl et al., 2003). They identified several detectable proteins related to cell-to-cell communication, protein folding, membrane biogenesis, lipid transfer and cytoskeleton modification. This was one of the first examples of proteomic analysis to identify factors within the adult NSC secretome. More recently, we performed a mass spectrometry-based assessment of conditioned media from cultured adult hippocampal NSCs from mice (Denninger et al., 2020). We found NSC secretome proteins related to the positive regulation of gene expression, cell metabolism, IL-7 response, IGF binding,
extracellular matrix modification and, similarly to Dahl and colleagues, cytoskeleton modification. Taken together, these studies suggest a rich NSC-secretome with many potential functions that remain to be investigated in vivo.

Paracrine effects of the adult NSC secretome are especially understudied. Multiple cell types express receptors for known NSC-derived, secreted molecules like WNT, VEGF, and IGF, suggesting the possibility for paracrine regulation of niche neighboring cells by NSCs. However, this possibility is largely uninvestigated to date. Paracrine function of NSCs in adulthood has been more commonly studied in disease models using NSC transplants or conditioned media transfers. These studies suggest that adult and/or embryonic NSCs secrete products that can modulate the innate immune system and provide a paracrine benefit of transplant that is independent of engraftment of differentiated progeny. More in-depth reviews of this topic can be found in (Vogel et al., 2018; Willis et al., 2020a).

In summary, several key factors of the adult NSC secretome have been identified, most of which have been tied to self-regulation of adult neurogenic processes from NSC preservation to IPC differentiation (Fig. 2). NSC autocrine factors appear to be anatomically and ontologically-specific with little overlap between adult SVZ, adult SGZ and prenatal NSC-regulating factors. Paracrine regulation of niche function by adult NSCs also seems likely but so far has not been extensively studied in endogenous, uninjured niches. Future studies focusing on in vivo identification of putative components of the NSC secretome and more directly investigating the NSC secretome impact on other niche cell types, such as astrocytes, neurons, endothelia and microglia, are necessary to fully elucidate how NSCs may regulate their microenvironment under normal, healthy conditions. Sex differences in the NSC secretome, developmentally or in adulthood, also largely unreported and require greater inclusion in future studies.

2.4. NSC secretome in the aging brain

While neurogenesis persists across the rodent lifespan, the production of adult-born neurons drops precipitously in old age (Kempermann et al., 1998; Kuhn et al., 1996). Studies of the NSC pools and neurogenic niches of the aged brain thus far have focused mainly on mechanisms to bolster neurogenesis and thereby prevent cognitive decline in old age and disease models (Babcock et al., 2021; Lauretta et al., 2021; Maharjan et al., 2020). Though the aging NSC secretome is generally understudied, there is one prominent study from hypothalamic NSCs (htNSCs) which suggests the aging NSC secretome may be functionally relevant. Though the SGZ and SVZ host the vast majority of known NSCs in the adult mammalian CNS, htNSCs are also present in the rodent mediobasal hypothalamic region, a brain region important for regulating homeostasis. Zhang et al. found that htNSCs significantly decline with age, and depletion of the htNSC pool using a genetic model to ablate NSCs significantly accelerated the organism-wide aging process as defined by impaired locomotion, muscle endurance, coordination, sociability and cognition. The authors then showed that cultured htNSCs secrete exosomal miRNAs that were able to stimulate htNSC survival, improve both the physical and cognitive sequela of aging described above, and increase the lifespan when transplanted back into the hypothalamus of old mice (Zhang et al., 2017). While they do not identify specific miRNAs necessary to prevent/combat aging, this is one of the first and only studies to investigate the NSC secretome in the process of normal aging. The examination of NSC-secreted miRNAs throughout development and in old age is an open target for future investigation into the NSC secretome. However, as with the findings showing NSC-FV mediated changes in microglial activity during development, most of the supporting data rely on concentrated exosomes from cultured htNSCs. The function of physiological levels of htNSC-produced exosomes remains a target for future investigation.

2.4.1. Open questions

Little attention has been given to the NSC secretome in aging. Given the potent role of the NSC secretome in maintaining NSC pools during young adulthood, it seems likely that exhaustion of NSCs and decline of neurogenesis in the aged brain could be caused or exacerbated, at least in part, by changes in the NSC secretome. For instance, expression of numerous soluble factors known to derive in part from NSCs, such as WNT and VEGF, significantly decrease in the neurogenic niche with age (Palomer et al., 2019; Shetty et al., 2005). It is possible that a decline in the number of NSCs or in the quantity or quality of what the remaining NSCs secrete may contribute this effect, and thereby contribute to exhaustion of neurogenesis. To the best of our knowledge, though, there is a general dearth of investigations of the endogenous NSC secretome from the SVZ or SGZ of aged mice. This lack of studies investigating the aging NSC secretome may be due to their low number and detection limits of commonly used tools in proteomics. In the future, it is possible that this could be combated by the development of methodologies to more robustly derive NSCs from the aged brain, or more sensitive tools to detect secreted proteins from aged NSCs.

3. Neural stem cell secretome in disease

Investigation into the clinical applications of transplanted NSCs have revealed potential for the NSC secretome in regenerative medicine. As noted above, this is the primary way in which the human NSC secretome has been investigated to date. Similar studies in rodents are also abundant. Across both humans and rodents, the transplanted NSC secretome has been shown to exert therapeutic effects through three major mechanisms: stimulating neuroprotection, enhancing CNS plasticity, and regulating the neuroimmune system (Zhang et al., 2020). Cultured NSCs from varying sources can secrete factors, such as VEGF, GDNF, IGF and BDNF, that improve host neuron survival following NSC transplant or treatment with NSC conditioned media after spinal cord injury, Parkinson’s disease, traumatic brain injury and Alzheimer’s disease (Hu et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2015; Mendes-Pinheiro et al., 2018; Ziv et al., 2006). Cultured NSCs also can increase CNS plasticity through the secretion of GDNF, FGF, VEGF and other factors which stimulate dendritic growth, axonal regeneration, and angiogenesis in models of spinal cord injury and ischemia (Hicks et al., 2013; Mendes-Pinheiro et al., 2018; Romanyuk et al., 2015). Modulation of the neuroimmune system is also a prominent feature of exogenous NSC secretome products. Through the secretion of TGFβ and anti-inflammatory cytokines, transplanted NSCs have been shown to decrease neuroinflammation and inhibit the activation of immune cells in models of ischemia, multiple sclerosis and spinal cord injury (Chen et al., 2014; Rong et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2018). These findings establish the therapeutic potential of NSC secreted factors derived from exogenous NSCs and are reviewed more thoroughly in the following sources (Willis et al., 2020b, 2020a; Zhang et al., 2020).

3.1. Open questions

Whether the functions of exogenous NSCs in disease models mimic those of endogenous cells during injury or diseases remains unclear. Many CNS insults including traumatic brain injury, ischemia and Alzheimer’s disease alter neurogenesis, suggesting that they impact endogenous NSCs. Furthermore, manipulations of endogenous NSC pools to increase growth factor expression has been shown to enhance recovery in many disease pathologies (Ohori et al., 2006; Song et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2020). However, there is a lack of studies investigating how the endogenous NSC secretome responds to or influences pathology or recovery in disease states. This is a relevant open question for any effort to support CNS recovery via manipulation of the endogenous NSC population. More investigation of the endogenous NSC secretome following CNS injury and disease is therefore needed to clarify the role of these cells in disease pathology and recovery.
4. Conclusion

Research to date supports autocrine, paracrine and bidirectional signaling roles of the NSC secreome across neurodevelopment in multiple species. We find evidence of all three categories of secreome function in endogenous NSCs. However, we also find notable gaps, particularly in terms of the paracrine and bidirectional functions of adult niche NSCs. NSC secreted factors have been most studied during prenatal development and in adulthood for their autocrine regulation of the NSC pool in rodents. Much less is known about the NSC secreome in the juvenile and aging brain or its role in paracrine signaling to niche cells under physiological conditions. We found no studies examining the endogenous NSC secreome following NSC injury or disease. Despite an incomplete understanding of how NSCs interact with their niche, they are being actively pursued as a therapeutic (Alia et al., 2019; McAvoy et al., 2020). A more thorough understanding of how the endogenous NSC secreome influences the surrounding niche is still needed to help guide these attempts to harness endogenous NSCs for therapeutic purposes, as well as to advance fundamental understanding of NSC functions in the CNS. In sum, we find that while ample evidence indicates a potent role for the endogenous NSC secreome in regulating neural tissue, numerous open questions remain as challenges for future research.
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Corrigendum to “The neural stem cell secretome across neurodevelopment” [Experimental Neurology Volume 355, September 2022, 114142]

Tyler J. Dause a, Jiyeon K. Denninger a, Bryon M. Smith a, Elizabeth D. Kirby a,b,c,*

* Department of Psychology, The Ohio State University, United States
b Department of Neuroscience, The Ohio State University, United States
c Chronic Brain Injury Initiative, The Ohio State University, United States

The authors regret to inform the editor of a mistake in our recent manuscript “The neural stem cell secretome across neurodevelopment.” It has come to our attention that incorrect data from Červenka et al., 2020 was used in the formation and analysis of Figure 1 to compare the human and mouse neural stem cell secretomes. In this figure, data containing the proteomics of the whole cell lysate were used instead of data from the conditioned media. We believe that Figure 1, and the associated text, should be removed from the manuscript. It is also necessary to correct the text describing the methodology of Červenka et al., 2020 in section 2.1.3 The human NSC secretome in neurodevelopment. Červenka et al., used a Luminex xMap assay for 30 pre-selected targets in the conditioned media, not mass spectrometry, to identify secreted factors.

The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.
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