Comparison of Defensive Enzyme Activities in the Leaves of Seven Oriental Lily Hybrids after Inoculation with *Botrytis elliptica*
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**Abstract.** Plant resistance characteristics are closely related to changes in the activities of self-defense enzymes after infection. Despite significant differences in the resistance of different *Lilium* cultivars to leaf blight (*Botrytis elliptica*), few studies of their resistance physiology exist. This study explored changes in the resistance-related enzyme activity of several *Lilium* cultivars after leaf blight inoculation. Seven oriental lily cultivars (*Lilium* hybrids) with obvious differences in resistance were used as experimental materials. After inoculation with *B. elliptica*, the activities of four defense enzymes, superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), and peroxidase (POD), were determined according to the light absorption values at different wavelengths after their reactions. The results showed that the activities of SOD and CAT differed between the highly resistant and highly susceptible hybrids. Before inoculation, SOD activity was relatively low in all cultivars. However, after inoculation, the SOD activity increased sharply in the resistant cultivars. In the moderately resistant cultivars, the SOD activity did not change drastically. In the susceptible cultivars, the SOD activity initially showed slight increases or decreases and then increased. CAT activity showed reactions similar to those of SOD. Some changes in PAL and POD activity occurred after inoculation, but no significant correlations were present between these trends and resistance characteristics. In addition, no significant changes in enzyme activities were found in the control plants of the seven cultivars during the testing period. Overall, the resistance of *Lilium* oriental hybrids to *B. elliptica* is related to SOD and CAT activity but does not show much of a relationship with PAL and POD activity. Studying the physiological metabolic pathways of SOD and CAT appears to be an important direction in research to elucidate resistance to *B. elliptica* in *Lilium* oriental hybrids.

During normal plant growth and development, active oxygen production and elimination maintain a dynamic equilibrium in the cells. However, when the plant is infected by disease, this equilibrium state will be disrupted and the production of active oxygen in cells will exceed its removal. This imbalance results in the accumulation of reactive oxygen in plant cells, which can damage the membrane system, especially the mitochondrial and chloroplast membranes (Song, 2016).

To protect plant cells from these harmful products, some enzymes function to clear free radicals and reactive oxygen. When plants are infected by pathogens, some enzyme activities are enhanced and others are reduced. These changes in enzyme activity affect various physiological and biochemical metabolic pathways in plants, consequently affecting the growth and development of plants and pathogens (Espinosa-Leal et al., 2018). Most reports have focused on enzymes such as phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, peroxidase, superoxide dismutase, and catalase because these enzymes participate in not only phenol metabolism but also the formation and accumulation of bioresistant substances such as lignin and phytoalexin (Ighodaro and Akinloye, 2017). Therefore, they are important to the study of the physiological and biochemical mechanisms of plant disease resistance. For example, superoxide dismutase (SOD) is one of the most important scavenging enzymes; it catalyzes the dismutation of superoxide radicals to the active oxygen species hydrogen peroxide (Ehsani-Moghaddam et al., 2006; Hameed and Iqbal 2014). Catalase (CAT) is an oxygen-scavenging enzyme that has a specific peroxidase role protecting cells from the toxic effects of its substrate (H₂O₂), which is otherwise lethal, during development (Choodamani et al., 2009; Hameed and Iqbal, 2014; Patel et al., 2011). Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) is the primary enzyme in phenylpropanoid metabolism; it has a significant role in the synthesis of several defense-related secondary compounds such as phenols and lignin (Hemm et al., 2004; Tahsili et al., 2014). The inhibition of PAL affects subsequent biosynthetic pathways involving phenolic compounds (Jayaraj et al., 2010). To determine the correlated physiological and biochemical indexes for evaluating the resistance of eggplant (*Solanum melongena*) to verticillium wilt (*Verticillium dahliae*), Zhou (2012) investigated the activities of defense-related enzymes, including PAL, peroxidase (POD), and CAT. They found that resistance was significantly positively correlated with both PAL activity and POD activity. However, no correlation between resistance and CAT activity was detected. Siddique et al. (2014) investigated the activities of PAL, POD, CAT,
Table 1. Superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD), catalase (CAT), and phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) activities of the control (uninoculated) plants in each of seven Lilium oriental cultivars.

| Cultivar      | Index | SOD     | POD     | CAT     | PAL     |
|---------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Constanta     |       | 82.158  | 9.971   | 1.375   | 36.972  |
| Siberia       |       | 30.293  | 4.635   | 1.063   | 34.472  |
| Sorbonne      |       | 145.038 | 6.177   | 0.875   | 27.778  |
| Marco Polo    |       | 115.204 | 2.374   | 0.5     | 30.806  |
| Francia       |       | 91.336  | 1.854   | 0.959   | 8.875   |
| Rodina        |       | 75.732  | 1.231   | 0.913   | 7.526   |
| Siberia       |       | 30.293  | 4.635   | 1.063   | 34.472  |
| Marco Polo    |       | 115.204 | 6.177   | 0.875   | 27.778  |
| Francia       |       | 91.336  | 1.854   | 0.959   | 8.875   |
| Rodina        |       | 75.732  | 1.231   | 0.913   | 7.526   |

Table 2. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity of each of seven Lilium oriental cultivars after inoculation with Botrytis elliptica.

| Reaction to B. elliptica | Cultivar | Control | Day 3 | Day 5 | Day 7 | Day 9 |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| High resistance          | Constanta| 82.158  | 228.235| 119.474| 121.324| 116.911|
|                          | Siberia  | 30.293  | 171.765| 250.542| 224.788| 157.235|
|                          | Sorbonne | 75.732  | 179.608| 283.411| 131.794| 125.033|
| Medium resistance        | Tiber     | 145.038 | 207.844| 218.876| 94.226 | 111.869|
|                          | Marco Polo| 115.204| 122.353| 161.529| 197.691| 157.340|
| High susceptibility      | Francia  | 91.336  | 109.020| 198.805| 168.745| 174.309|
|                          | Rodina   | 138.612 | 129.412| 229.391| 181.678| 135.285|

A different letter or letter combination indicates a significant difference at the 0.01 level between different days after inoculation in the same cultivar according to Duncan’s multiple range test.
Experimental materials. Bulbs of seven Lilium oriental hybrid cultivars (Constanta, Siberia, Sorbonne, Marco Polo, Tiber, Francia, and Rodina) were purchased from Beijing BXi Floral Co. (Beijing, China) and planted in the greenhouse of the Landscaping Experimental Center of Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, China. The greenhouse was kept at an optimum temperature (22 °C) and humidity (>90%) for leaf blight incidence. The culture medium was composed of perlite, peat, and vermiculite in a ratio of 1:1:1. All the materials were planted in early March.

Inoculation. The inoculum was isolated and provided by the Plant Protection Department of Jinling Institute of Technology (Nanjing, China). B. elliptica spores grown on potato dextrose agar medium for 7 d were washed out with sterile water and adjusted to a concentration of 1 × 10^3 to 5 × 10^3 cfu/mL. The seven Lilium oriental hybrids were inoculated by spraying at the time when their buds first became visible.

Sample collection. Samples were collected five times: on the first day before inoculation and on days 3, 5, 7, and 9 after inoculation. Upper, middle, and lower leaves were randomly picked from 10 plants for each hybrid. They were mixed, washed, and dried; then, the petioles were cut off. The blades were cut into 1.0-× 1.5-cm pieces, quickly weighed to obtain 0.3- and 1.0-g/bag samples, and placed in a –80 °C freezer.

Three replicates of each sample were stored. For each hybrid, uninoculated plants were used as the control.

Enzyme extraction. SOD, POD, and CAT were extracted according to Guan et al. (2012). For this purpose, 3 mL of precooled phosphate buffer solution [PBS (0.2 mol·L⁻¹, pH 7.0)] and 0.06 g of polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) were added to 0.6 g of frozen lily leaves. The material was then ground into homogenate in an ice bath and transferred to a 10-mL centrifuge tube. The mortar was washed twice with 4 mL PBS, which was then also placed into the 10-mL centrifuge tube. The volume was adjusted to 8 mL, and the mixture was centrifuged at 3 °C and 8500 g for 30 min. The supernatant was the enzyme crude extract, and it was stored at –80 °C. PAL was extracted according to Sun et al. (2004) as follows: leaf samples weighing 0.6 g were placed in 6 mL of prechilled 0.1 mol·L⁻¹ boric acid–borax buffer [pH 8.7; containing 1 mmol·L⁻¹ ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 20 mmol·L⁻¹ mercaptoethanol], ground in an ice bath, filtered with 4 layers of gauze, and centrifuged at 20,000 g for 3 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was removed and stored at –80 °C.

Measurement of lily leaf defense enzyme activity. SOD activity was determined by the nitrogen blue tetrazolium (NBT) method according to Song (2016) as follows: 1.5 mL of 0.05 mol·L⁻¹ PBS [1.55 for the control group (CK)], 0.3 mL of 130 mmol·L⁻¹ methionone (Met), 0.3 mL of 750 μmol·L⁻¹ nitro-blue tetrazolium (NB T), 0.3 mL of 100 μmol·L⁻¹ EDTA-Na₂, 0.3 mL of 20 μmol·L⁻¹ riboflavin, 0.05 mL of enzyme solution (0 for CK), and 0.25 mL of double-distilled H₂O (ddH₂O) for a total of 3.0 mL were mixed by shaking and reacted under 4000 lx light (natural light and fluorescent lamp) for 20 min. The absorbance was measured at 560 nm.

One SOD activity unit inhibits 50% of the NBT photochemical reduction and is calculated based on the following formula:

\[
\text{SOD activity units per gram} = \left( \frac{A_{\text{CK}} - A_{E}}{A_{\text{CK}}} \right) \times \frac{V}{W} \times 0.5 \times V, \\
\]

where \(A_{\text{CK}}\) represents the optical density (OD) value of the CK, \(V\) is the total volume (milliliters), \(A_{E}\) is the OD of the sample, \(V_{i}\) is the sample volume used, and \(W\) represents the fresh weight of the sample.

POD activity was measured by guaiacol colorimetry according to Song (2016) as follows: 10 mL of 2% H₂O₂, 29 mL of 0.05 mol·L⁻¹ PBS, 0.1 mL of enzyme solution (boiled 5 min for the CK), and 10 mL of 0.05 mol·L⁻¹ guaiacol solution were mixed, placed in a bath at 34 °C for 3 min, and diluted twice. The absorbance was measured at 470 nm every 1 min. The activity unit was the change in \(A_{470}\) within 1 min, and the activity was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{POD activity units per gram} = \Delta A_{470} \times \frac{V}{W} \times \frac{0.01 \times t}{V},
\]

where \(\Delta A_{470}\) is the change in OD during the reaction time, \(V_{i}\) is the total volume of the enzyme, \(W\) is the fresh weight of the fresh leaves, \(V_{S}\) is the sample volume used (milliliters), and \(t\) is the reaction time (minutes).

The activity of CAT was measured using the following ultraviolet absorbance method of Chen et al. (2009): after the addition of 1.0 mL of distilled water, 1.5 mL of pH 7.8 phosphate buffer, and 0.2 mL of enzyme solution, the mixture was warmed at 25 °C in a 10-mL test tube and 0.3 mL of 0.1 mol·L⁻¹ H₂O₂ was added. The mixture was then poured into a quartz cuvette, and the change in absorbance at 240 nm was measured. The amount of enzyme that decreased \(A_{240}\) by 0.01 within 1 min was 1 enzyme unit (U), and the activity was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{CAT activity units per gram} = \Delta A_{240} \times \frac{V}{W} \times \frac{0.1 \times t}{V},
\]

Fig. 1. Trends of changes in superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity in seven Lilium oriental cultivars after inoculation with Botrytis elliptica. (A) Changes in the uninoculated control group (CK) from day 1 to day 7. (B) Comparisons between the inoculated and CK plants of each cultivar.
Table 3. Peroxidase (POD) activity of each of seven *Lilium* oriental cultivars after inoculation with *Botrytis elliptica*.

| Reaction to *B. elliptica* | Cultivar  | POD activity (U/g) |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------------------|
|                           | Control   | Day 3   | Day 5   | Day 7   | Day 9   |
| High resistance           | Constanta| 8.971 c  | 10.336 bc| 12.242 b| 11.682 b| 21.833 a|
|                           | Siberia   | 4.635 c  | 9.561 b  | 12.384 a| 9.835 b | 13.542 a|
|                           | Sorbonne  | 2.374 d  | 7.966 ab | 4.459 c | 7.380 b | 9.556 a |
| Medium resistance         | Tiber     | 6.177 c  | 7.871 bc | 12.340 b| 18.279 a| 15.549 ab|
|                           | Marco Polo| 1.693 c  | 3.449 c  | 5.534 bc| 7.626 b | 19.662 a|
| High susceptibility       | Francia   | 1.854 c  | 2.885 c  | 5.369 bc| 9.007 b | 22.872 a|
|                           | Rodina    | 3.294 c  | 4.881 bc | 4.853 bc| 5.167 b | 10.682 a|

* A different letter or letter combination indicates a significant difference at the 0.01 level between different days after inoculation in the same cultivar according to Duncan’s multiple range test.

where $\Delta A_{290}$ is the change in OD during the reaction time, $t$ is the reaction time (min), $V_t$ is the total volume of the enzyme, $W$ is the fresh weight of the fresh leaves, and $V_S$ is the sample volume used (milliliters).

PAL activity was measured according to the method published by Sun et al. (2004). We added 2.5 mL of extraction buffer to 0.5 mL of the aforementioned enzyme solution and 1 mL of 0.02 mol·L$^{-1}$ L-phenylalanine (prepared with 0.1 mol·L$^{-1}$ boric acid-borax buffer, pH 8.7), and the mixture was allowed to react at 40 °C for 1 h. The reaction was then stopped by placing it in an ice bath, and the OD was measured at 290 nm. PAL activity was calculated using the following formula:

$$
PAL\text{ activity units per gram} = \frac{\Delta A_{290} \times V_t}{W \times V_S \times 0.01 \times t},
$$

where $\Delta A_{290}$ is the change in OD during reaction time, $t$ is the reaction time (minutes), $V_t$ is the total volume of the enzyme, $W$ is the fresh weight of the fresh leaves, and $V_S$ is the sample volume used (milliliters).

**Statistical analysis.** The experiments were performed using a completely randomized design with three replicates. All data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and mean comparisons were performed using Duncan’s multiple range test, with significant difference defined as $P \leq 0.01$ using SPSS software (version 19.0 for Windows; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

**Results**

**Changes in SOD activity.** As shown in Table 1, SOD activity in the control of each cultivar showed no significant change from day 1 to day 7. However, SOD activity in the plants inoculated with *B. elliptica* changed dramatically. Changes in SOD activity in the leaves of the seven *Lilium* oriental cultivars with different levels of resistance after inoculation with *B. elliptica* are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 1. Before inoculation, the SOD concentration of all cultivars was relatively low. SOD activities in the three highly resistant cultivars, Siberia, Sorbonne, and Constanta, were lower than those in the four moderately resistant and highly susceptible cultivars (Hu et al., 2017). The two cultivars with the highest activities were the moderately resistant cultivar Tiber and the highly susceptible cultivar Rodina. The initial SOD activity in ‘Tiber’ was 4.79 times that in the highly resistant cultivar Siberia, which was 1.77 times that in ‘Constanta’. SOD activity in the highly susceptible cultivar Rodina was 4.58 times that in highly resistant ‘Siberia’ and 1.69 times that in ‘Constanta’. After inoculation with *B. elliptica*, SOD activities in the resistant cultivars increased more sharply than those in the other cultivars, with the fastest increase observed in ‘Constanta’ (Supplemental Table 1). Compared with that in the highly resistant cultivars, SOD activity in the moderately resistant cultivars did not change drastically. Furthermore, SOD activity in the moderately resistant cultivar Tiber also increased after inoculation, and it reached its first peak on the third day of inoculation; then, the upward trend weakened. However, SOD activity reached a second peak on day 5; then, it declined rapidly. In another moderately resistant cultivar, Marco Polo, SOD activity increased slowly after inoculation and peaked on day 7. Additionally, SOD activity of the susceptible cultivar Francia also showed slight increases of $\approx7.684$ and $107.469$ U/g on day 3 and day 5 after inoculation; then, it began to decline after reaching 198.805 U/g on day 5. In ‘Rodina’, another susceptible cultivar, SOD activity first decreased and then increased. On day 5, it peaked at 229.391 U/g. By comparing the SOD activities of the resistant and susceptible cultivars, it was apparent that a change in SOD activity within a short time after inoculation was strongly associated with lily resistance. After inoculation, the SOD activity in the highly resistant cultivars always increased...
Table 4. Catalase (CAT) activity of each of seven Lilium oriental cultivars after inoculation with Botrytis elliptica.

| Reaction to B. elliptica | Cultivar | Control | Day 3 | Day 5 | Day 7 | Day 9 |
|--------------------------|----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| High resistance          | Constanta| 1.375 a' | 1.833 a | 1.251 ab | 0.833 b | 0.251 b |
|                          | Siberia  | 1.063 a | 1.167 a | 0.833 a | 0.333 b | 0.251 b |
|                          | Sorbonne | 0.5 b   | 1.584 a | 1.251 a | 0.584 b | 0.333 b |
| Medium resistance        | Tiber    | 0.875 a | 0.5 ab  | 0.667 ab | 0.458 b | 0.333 b |
|                          | Marco Polo| 0.959 ab | 1.167 ab | 1.416 a | 0.667 b | 0.292 b |
| High susceptibility      | Francia | 0.084 b | 0.208 c | 0.333 a | 0.084 b | 0.084 c |
|                          | Rodina  | 0.208 c | 0.5 b   | 0.625 a | 0.251 c | 0.167 c |

*A different letter or letter combination indicates a significant difference at the 0.01 level between different days after inoculation in the same cultivar according to Duncan’s multiple range test.

Fig. 3. Trends of changes in catalase (CAT) activity in seven Lilium oriental cultivars after inoculation with Botrytis elliptica. (A) Changes in the un-inoculated control group (CK) from day 1 to day 7. (B) Comparisons between the inoculated and CK plants of each cultivar.

Changes in POD activity. No significant changes in the POD activities of the controls from day 1 to day 7 were observed in any cultivar (Table 1). However, the POD activities of plants inoculated with B. elliptica changed notably. Changes in the POD activities of the seven Lilium oriental cultivars with different levels of resistance after inoculation with B. elliptica are shown in Table 3, Supplemental Table 2, and Fig. 2. Compared with the changes in SOD activity, the changes in POD activity were not so obvious. Although some differences were observed between the resistant and susceptible cultivars, they did not fully correspond to the level of resistance. The POD enzyme activity in all the cultivars slowly increased after inoculation, and that in two cultivars, Sorbonne and Marco Polo, began to decrease from the third day. POD enzyme activity increased dramatically from day 7 in all cultivars except Tiber. No significant relationship was determined between leaf blight resistance and POD activity.

Changes in CAT activity. In each of the seven Lilium oriental cultivars, the CAT activities in the CK plants showed no significant changes from day 1 to day 7 (Table 1). The changes in CAT activity in the seven Lilium oriental cultivars after inoculation with B. elliptica are shown in Table 4 and Fig. 3. Before inoculation, the CAT activities in the two resistant cultivars, Constanta and Siberia, were significantly higher than those in the two susceptible cultivars, Francia and Rodina. However, the other resistant cultivar, Sorbonne, showed low CAT activity. After inoculation, the CAT activity in ‘Sorbonne’ increased sharply to 1.584 U/g, which was only 0.249 U/g lower than that in ‘Constanta’. All three resistant cultivars reached peaks of CAT activity at day 3 after inoculation (Table 4; Supplemental Table 3). The CAT activity in the moderately resistant cultivar Marco Polo also increased significantly after inoculation. However, the CAT activity in another moderately resistant cultivar, Tiber, showed a different reaction, with a sharp decrease after inoculation. Although the CAT activity increased to a certain degree, it never exceeded the activity before inoculation. The CAT activity of the two susceptible cultivars Francia and Rodina showed a similar trend after inoculation: a slow increase followed by a decrease after day 5. The CAT activity in all seven cultivars decreased after day 5, and all reached similar levels that ranged from 0.084 (Francia) to 0.333 U/g (Sorbonne and Tiber).

Changes in PAL activity. In each of the seven Lilium oriental cultivars, the PAL activities of the control plants showed no significant changes from day 1 to day 7 (Table 1). The changes in PAL activities in the seven Lilium oriental cultivars with different resistance levels after inoculation with B. elliptica are shown in Table 5 and Fig. 4. Before inoculation, PAL activities in all the cultivars except Francia were similar. PAL activities in the highly susceptible cultivars were higher than those in the highly resistant and moderately resistant cultivars. After inoculation, PAL activity in the highly resistant cultivar Constanta increased, reaching its first peak on day 3 and its second peak on day 7 (Supplemental Table 4). PAL activity in ‘Sorbonne’ increased slightly; then, it decreased sharply to its minimum on day 7. However, that in ‘Siberia’ decreased sharply and reached its minimum on day 3; then, it increased to 35.889 U/g on day 9. One moderately resistant cultivar, Tiber, showed behavior similar to that of the cultivar Sorbonne, but it reached its minimum on day 5. The most dramatic change occurred in the moderately resistant cultivar Marco Polo. The two highly susceptible cultivars Francia and Rodina also showed completely different patterns of PAL activity: that in the cultivar Francia increased and then decreased, whereas that in the cultivar Rodina decreased sharply and then increased. Therefore, changes in PAL activity showed differences among different cultivars, but not among the resistance characteristics.
High susceptibility Francia 45.056 ab 49.639 a 45.806 ab 42.167 b 39.056 b

Medium resistance Tiber 27.778 b 29.222 b 20.028 c 36.472 a 36.111 a

High susceptibility Constanta 36.972 b 49.667 a 49.194 a 51.472 a 41.944 b

Table 5. Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) activity of each of seven Lilium oriental cultivars after inoculation with Botrytis elliptica.

| Reaction to B. elliptica | Cultivar  | PAL activity (U/g) |
|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| High resistance          | Constanta | Control 36.972 b   |
|                         |           | Day 3 49.667 a     |
|                         |           | Day 5 49.194 a     |
|                         |           | Day 7 51.472 a     |
|                         |           | Day 9 41.944 b     |
|                         | Siberia   | Control 34.472 a   |
|                         |           | Day 3 27.722 b     |
|                         |           | Day 5 31.333 ab    |
|                         |           | Day 7 35.028 a     |
|                         | Sorbonne  | Control 34.972 a   |
|                         |           | Day 3 35.861 a     |
|                         |           | Day 5 30.583 b     |
|                         |           | Day 7 24.611 c     |
| Medium resistance        | Tiber     | Control 27.778 b   |
|                         |           | Day 3 29.222 b     |
|                         |           | Day 5 20.028 c     |
|                         | Marco Polo| Control 30.806 cd  |
|                         |           | Day 3 31.5 c       |
|                         |           | Day 5 42.306 a     |
| High susceptibility      | Francia   | Control 45.056 ab  |
|                         |           | Day 3 49.639 a     |
|                         | Sorbonne  | Control 45.806 ab  |
|                         |           | Day 3 42.167 b     |
|                         | Tiber     | Control 37.139 a   |
|                         |           | Day 3 23.361 b     |
|                         | Sorbonne  | Control 27.722 b   |
|                         |           | Day 5 31.333 ab    |
|                         | Tiber     | Control 45.472 a   |
|                         |           | Day 3 23.611 b     |
|                         | Marco Polo| Control 34.972 a  |
|                         |           | Day 3 35.861 a     |

*A different letter or letter combination indicates a significant difference at the 0.01 level between different days after inoculation in the same cultivar according to Duncan’s multiple range test.

Discussion

Early and elevated levels of the expression of various defense enzymes are an important feature of plant resistance to pathogens (Vanitha and Umesh, 2008). Plants defend themselves against pathogen challenges by activating defense response pathways and developing complex antioxidant defense systems that respond to pathogens (Kawaoka et al., 2003; Lee and Hwang, 2005). However, although a clear-cut correlation between defense-related enzyme activities and plant resistance has been established, the rules, characteristics, and mechanisms of defense reactions and their relationships with different plant diseases remain unclear (de Armas et al., 2007; Modafar et al., 2006; Santiago et al., 2010). Using un inoculated materials and time points before inoculation as controls, this study analyzed the changes in the activities of PAL, SOD, POD, and CAT in the leaves of Lilium oriental hybrids with different levels of resistance in response to B. elliptica.

According to the results of this study, the activity levels of both SOD and CAT were significantly correlated with resistance, and these results were consistent with those of reports of other plant resistance functions (Chen et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2016). SOD participates in the scavenging of active oxygen. It is at the core of the antioxidant enzyme system and is widely distributed in both plants and animals. As reported for Glycine max (soybean), Brassica rapa pekinensis (Chinese cabbage), and Arabidopsis thaliana (Ma and Zhu, 2003), when subjected to external stress, plants with strong resistance have significantly higher SOD activity than plants with weak resistance. The expression of SOD is also related to plant stress resistance (Zhang et al., 2016). The results of our study were consistent with the results of those previous reports. After inoculation with B. elliptica, SOD activity increased rapidly in the highly resistant Lilium oriental hybrid cultivars Constanta, Siberia, and Sorbonne (Supplemental Table 1). Although SOD activity also increased in two highly susceptible cultivars, Francia and Rodina, the response time and range of increase were far lower than those in the highly resistant cultivars. Therefore, the change in SOD activity appeared to be an important physiological basis for resistance to B. elliptica.

CAT mainly affects plant disease resistance through two physiological pathways. First, the plant resistance factor salicylic acid (SA) can inhibit the activity of CAT and generate excessive reactive oxygen species (ROS), resulting in systemic-acquired resistance (SAR) (Dat et al., 2003). During the onset of oxidative stress due to infestation by pathogenic microorganisms, CAT decomposes H2O2, generates oxygen molecules, and triggers the generation of SA via benzoic acid, thus leading to SAR reactions (Jones, 1996). Second, another resistance signal factor in plants, methyl jasmonate (MeJA), participates in a defense response that is not dependent on SA; instead, it has a synergistic effect on SA. For example, SA can enhance β-galactosidase (GUS) expression driven by the oxidative stress marker AoPR10, which is also regulated by MeJA, but CAT exerts an inhibitory effect. However, H2O2 is an intermediate effector of the two defense pathways mentioned (Mur et al., 2006). In this study, there was a difference in CAT activity between the highly resistant and highly susceptible hybrids; this suggested that altered CAT activity also had an important effect on leaf blight resistance. This result is consistent with previous results found for Brassica juncea (Pandey et al., 2017) and Gossypium (Siddique et al., 2014). However, the physiological pathway by which CAT affects resistance to B. elliptica remains unclear and requires further investigations and experiments.

The activities of PAL and POD have been reported to be related to plant stress resistance (Pandey et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2012). Farahani and Taghavi (2018) found that rutin-induced resistance against Xanthomonas perforans in tomato (Solanum
**lycopersicum** might be mediated through the stimulation of some defense genes, which included PAL. They also found that PAL activity was higher in the leaves of uninoculated plants of a susceptible genotype than in those of a resistant genotype; however, it decreased to the lowest value after inoculation (Siddique et al., 2014). In this study, significant changes in POD and PAL activity occurred after inoculation with *B. elliptica* in all seven *Lilium* oriental hybrids. There were also some differences among the cultivars, but these differences did not clearly correspond to the highly resistant and highly susceptible categories

Disease resistance in plants is a complex process involving many physiological and biochemical reactions (Choudhary and Varma, 2016; Huang, 2001). The results of the changes in defensive enzyme activity are far from sufficient to explain *Lilium* leaf blight resistance. To understand *Lilium* resistance physiology more clearly, other physiological indicators such as endogenous hormones and osmoregulation should be considered.

The results of this study indicated that SOD and CAT have active roles in disease resistance against leaf blight; however, there was no direct connection between leaf blight resistance and PAL and POD activities. These findings can help us understand the resistance physiology of leaf blight and provide indicators for *Lilium* breeding. However, the mechanisms by which SOD and CAT accumulation contribute to resistance in lily remain to be explored in future studies.
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Supplemental Table 1. Differences between superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity on specified days after *Botrytis elliptica* inoculation and before inoculation (control) in seven *Lilium* oriental cultivars.

| Cultivar | Day 3 control | Day 5 control | Day 7 control | Day 9 control |
|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Constanta | 146.077 a      | 37.316 e      | 39.166 c      | 34.753 c      |
| Siberia   | 141.472 a      | 178.532 b     | 56.062 bc     | 49.301 c      |
| Sorbonne  | 103.876 b      | 73.838 d      | –50.812 d     | –33.169 e     |
| Tiber     | 7.149 d        | 46.325 de     | 82.487 b      | 42.136 c      |
| Francia   | 17.684 d       | 107.469 c     | 77.409 b      | 82.973 b      |
| Rodina    | –9.2 d         | 90.779 cd     | 43.066 c      | –3.327 d      |

*Note: Data are presented as the difference between the mean enzyme activity value at the detection time minus that before *B. elliptica* inoculation, and a different letter or letter combination indicates a significant difference at the 0.01 level between different cultivars on the same inoculation day, according to Duncan’s multiple range test.*

Supplemental Table 2. Differences between peroxidase (POD) activity on specified days after *Botrytis elliptica* inoculation and before inoculation (control) in seven *Lilium* oriental cultivars.

| Cultivar | Day 3 control | Day 5 control | Day 7 control | Day 9 control |
|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Constanta | 1.365 b        | 3.271 b       | 2.710 b       | 12.862 b      |
| Siberia   | 4.927 a        | 7.750 a       | 5.201 b       | 8.908 bc      |
| Sorbonne  | 5.591 a        | 6.163 ab      | 12.102 a      | 9.372 bc      |
| Tiber     | 1.694 b        | 3.841 b       | 5.933 b       | 17.969 a      |
| Francia   | 1.031 b        | 3.515 b       | 7.153 b       | 21.017 a      |
| Rodina    | 1.587 b        | 1.559 b       | 1.873 c       | 7.388 c       |

*Note: Data are presented as the difference between the mean enzyme activity value at the detection time minus that before *B. elliptica* inoculation, and a different letter or letter combination indicates a significant difference at the 0.01 level between different cultivars on the same inoculation day, according to Duncan’s multiple range test.*

Supplemental Table 3. Differences between catalase (CAT) activity on specified days after *Botrytis elliptica* inoculation and before inoculation (control) in seven *Lilium* oriental cultivars.

| Cultivar | Day 3 control | Day 5 control | Day 7 control | Day 9 control |
|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Constanta | 0.458 b        | –0.124 c      | –0.542 c      | –1.124 d      |
| Siberia   | 0.104 d        | –0.23 d       | –0.73 c       | –0.812 c      |
| Sorbonne  | 1.084 a        | 0.751 a       | 0.084 a       | –0.167 a      |
| Tiber     | –0.375 e       | –0.208 d      | –0.417 bc     | –0.542 b      |
| Francia   | 0.208 cd       | 0.457 ab      | –0.292 b      | –0.667 b      |
| Rodina    | 0.292 c        | 0.417 b       | 0.043 ab      | –0.041 a      |

*Note: Data are presented as the difference between the mean enzyme activity value at the detection time minus that before *B. elliptica* inoculation, and a different letter or letter combination indicates a significant difference at the 0.01 level between different cultivars on the same inoculation day, according to Duncan’s multiple range test.*

Supplemental Table 4. Differences between phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) activity on specified days after *Botrytis elliptica* inoculation and before inoculation (control) in seven *Lilium* oriental cultivars.

| Cultivar | Day 3 control | Day 5 control | Day 7 control | Day 9 control |
|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Constanta | 12.695 a       | 12.222 a      | 14.500 a      | 4.972 a       |
| Siberia   | –6.750 bc      | –3.139 bc     | 0.556 bc      | 1.417 ab      |
| Sorbonne  | 0.889 b        | –4.389 bc     | –10.361 d     | 0.889 ab      |
| Tiber     | 1.444 b        | –7.750 e      | 8.694 ab      | 8.333 a       |
| Francia   | 4.583 ab       | 0.750 b       | –2.889 c      | –6.000 b      |
| Rodina    | –13.778 c      | –8.389 c      | 5.805 b       | 6.083 a       |

*Note: Data are presented as the difference between the mean enzyme activity value at the detection time minus that before *B. elliptica* inoculation, and a different letter or letter combination indicates a significant difference at the 0.01 level between different cultivars on the same inoculation day, according to Duncan’s multiple range test.*