Giant non-adiabatic effects in layer metals: Raman spectra of intercalated graphite explained
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The occurrence of non-adiabatic effects in the vibrational properties of metals have been predicted since the 60’s, but hardly confirmed experimentally. We report the first fully ab initio calculations of non-adiabatic frequencies of a number of layer and conventional metals. We suggest that non-adiabatic effects can be a feature of the vibrational Raman spectra of any bulk metal, and show that they are spectacularly large (up to 30% of the phonon frequencies) in the case of layer metals, such as superconducting MgB$_2$, CaC$_6$ and other graphite intercalated compounds. We develop a framework capable to estimate the electron momentum-relaxation time of a given system, and thus its degree of non-adiabaticity, in terms of the experimentally observed frequencies and linewidths.
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The adiabatic Born-Oppenheimer approximation (ABOA) is the state of the art in first-principles calculations of vibrational properties in solids. In metals, even if in principle unjustified, this approximation generally leads to phonon dispersion in very good agreement with experimental data [1]. Indeed violations of the ABOA are hardly visible in solids. Engelsberg and Schrieffer suggested [2] that non-adiabatic (NA) effects could lead to a significant renormalization of zone-center optical phonon frequencies. An intense effort have been devoted to detect measurable NA effects in metals, mainly by Raman spectroscopy [3, 4, 5], however the detected NA phonon-frequencies-renormalizations are typically smaller than some percent of the adiabatic phonon frequency.

Recently it has been shown that NA effects are crucial to interpret the dependence of Raman spectra on doping [6, 7] in graphene and on doping [8, 9] and on diameter and temperature [10] in nanotubes. However, despite being a central issue for the physics of graphene-based systems, the NA phonon frequency shift $\Delta \omega$ (the difference between adiabatic and NA phonon frequencies), although measurable, is less than 1% of the adiabatic phonon-frequency. Moreover, these systems have lower dimensionality and a peculiar electronic structure, it is then unclear whether sizeable NA effects can actually be observed in truly three-dimensional bulk metals.

Layer metallic materials, such as Graphite Intercalated Compounds (GICs), are three dimensional (3D) metals possessing a considerable anisotropy along one direction. Variation of the interlayer distance by intercalation or applied pressure allows to bridge the gap between two-dimensional monolayer systems (such as graphene) and truly three-dimensional systems [11]. Thus these metals are ideal to judge the role of reduced dimensionality in determining non-adiabatic effects. CaC$_6$ is also an 11.5 K superconductor [12] with an intermediate electron-phonon coupling $\lambda \approx 0.83$ [13]. Most interestingly, the recent measurement of CaC$_6$ Raman spectrum [14] shows that the phonon frequencies related to in-plane C vibrations are almost 80 cm$^{-1}$ larger than those obtained from density-functional theory (DFT) adiabatic calculations. This result is puzzling since in graphite the adiabatic result for the $E_{2g}$ phonon frequency is 1577 cm$^{-1}$, in excellent agreement with the experimental value of 1582 cm$^{-1}$.

In this Letter we develop a first-principles theoretical framework to calculate the magnitude of NA effects on zone-center optical-phonons in metals. We identify the general conditions for having sizeable adiabatic effects in 3D bulk metals and analyze the experimental constraints that can hinder the observation of NA effects. We demonstrate that the occurrence of NA effects is not limited to reduced dimensionality but it is a general properties of metals. We apply our approach to GICs, MgB$_2$ and a few bulk metals, finding giant NA effects. To the best of our knowledge, our work is the first systematic implementation and study of non-adiabatic effects in a first principles framework.

Non adiabatic effects due to the treatment of the electron-phonon coupling in the Migdal approximation (neglecting of vertex corrections in the adiabatic limit) are usually of the order $\sqrt{m/M}$, where $m$ and $M$ are the electronic and ionic mass respectively, and thus generally very small. Engelsberg and Schrieffer [2] showed that NA renormalization of adiabatic phonon frequencies, a larger effect, unrelated to the neglecting of vertex corrections, and not of the order of $\sqrt{m/M}$, can be observed if the following two conditions are satisfied:

\[ |\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{v}_F| \ll \omega \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)  
\[ \hbar \omega \gg \sigma \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\mathbf{v}_F$ is the Fermi velocity, $\mathbf{q}$ is the phonon wavevector, $\omega$ is the phonon frequency, $\sigma = \hbar/\tau$ and $\tau$ is the electron momentum-relaxation time (Drude) of the electrons near the Fermi surface due to all possible momentum-exchange scattering mechanisms.

The first condition is verified for optical phonons of small wavevector whose phase velocity is larger than the electronic Fermi velocity. Even if in principle Eq. (1) can be fulfilled in any system, provided $\mathbf{q}$ is small enough, in practice the penetration length of the laser light limits the smallest exchanged $\mathbf{q}$ in Raman experiments. The fact that Eq. (1) is experimentally difficult to satisfy in 3D metals explains why the observation of NA effects in these systems has been somehow disappointing.

The second condition states that the electron momentum-
static perturbation theory, as in Ref. [1]. Note that
the modification of the electronic charge density ($\Delta n$) for a phonon at $q=0$ ($\Gamma$) and the first-order variation of the electronic charge density ($\Delta n$) are [13]:

$$D_{\Gamma}(\omega) = \frac{2}{N_k} \sum_{k, m \neq n} |D_{km,kn}|^2 |f_{km} - f_{kn}|$$

$$+ \int n(r) \Delta^2 V^b(r) \, dr$$

$$- \int \Delta n_{\Gamma}(r, \omega) K(r, r') \Delta n_{\Gamma}(r, \omega) \, dr \, dr'$$

$$\Delta n_{\Gamma}(r, \omega) = \frac{2}{N_k} \sum_{k, m \neq n} \frac{\langle k | r | k' \rangle \langle k' | r | k \rangle D_{km,kn} |f_{km} - f_{kn}|}{\epsilon_{km} - \epsilon_{kn} + \hbar \omega}$$

where $n(r)$ is the charge density, the sum is performed on $N_k$ k-points, $D_{km,kn} = \langle k | \Delta V^sc | k' \rangle$ is the deformation potential proportional to the electron-phonon matrix element, $\Delta V^{sc}$ and $\Delta V^b$ are, respectively, the first derivative of the Kohn-Sham potential and the second derivative of the bare (purely ionic) potential with respect to the phonon displacement. The kernel $K(r, r')$ is the second functional derivative of the Hartree exchange and correlation potential respect to the densities at $r$ and $r'$. Finally $f_{km}$ is the Fermi function for a Bloch state $|k\rangle$ having band energy $\epsilon_{km}$. The NA frequencies has to be computed self-consistently from $\omega^{NA} = \sqrt{D_{\Gamma}(\omega^{NA})/M}$. Since in Eqs. [3] and [4] only interband transitions contribute, if $\hbar \omega^{NA} \ll |\epsilon_{km} - \epsilon_{kn}|$, i.e. if the phonon energy is much smaller than the direct gap between empty ($\epsilon_{km}$) and occupied ($\epsilon_{kn}$) states, $D_{\Gamma}(\omega^{NA}) \approx D_{\Gamma}(0)$. We verified numerically that in all systems considered in the present study this approximation applies and that the results obtained with $D_{\Gamma}(\omega^{NA})$ and $D_{\Gamma}(0)$ are indistinguishable.

The adiabatic frequency $\omega^A$ is as usual calculated within static perturbation theory, as in Ref. [1]. Note that $\omega^A \neq \sqrt{D_{\Gamma}(0)/M}$ since in the adiabatic case the intraband ($m=n$)

$$\hbar \Delta \omega \approx 1 \sum_{kn} \frac{|D_{km,kn}|^2}{M \omega^A} \delta(\epsilon_F - \epsilon_{kn}) = n(\epsilon_F) g^2(\epsilon_F),$$

where $n(\epsilon_F)$ is the density of states at the Fermi level, $g^2(\epsilon_f) = |D_{km,kn}|^2 / 2M \omega^A$ is the square electron-phonon matrix element due to intraband transitions, and $g^2(\epsilon_F)$ is the average of $g^2(\epsilon_f)$ over the Fermi surface.

We apply our first principles approach to obtain $E_{2g}$ non-adiabatic phonon frequencies at $\Gamma$ for a number of GICs, for MgB$_2$ and for bulk hcp Ti (see footnote [16] for computational details). The results are illustrated in Fig. 1 for GICs and are collected in Tab. I for all the systems. In general huge $\Delta \omega$ values ($> 60 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) are found in all layer compounds. The most spectacular non-adiabatic effects are found in KC$_8$ ($\Delta \omega = 310 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, 20% of $\omega^A$) and in MgB$_2$ ($\Delta \omega = 230 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, 30% of $\omega^A$). Even in bulk Ti we find a significant shift, $\Delta \omega = 12 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, (more than 8% of $\omega^A$). From Fig. 1 it is evident that experimental Raman data [17, 18, 19, 20, 21] in all GICs are in much closer agreement with $\omega^{NA}$ than with $\omega^A$, whereas in MgB$_2$ Raman data lie in between the two theoretical frequencies. In Ti, contrary to the layer metals, the observed Raman frequencies [22] are much closer to the adiabatic value.

To further refine our theoretical model, we now compute the phonon frequency in presence of a finite value of the electron-momentum relaxation rate $\sigma$, $\omega^\sigma = \omega^A + \Delta \omega^\sigma$. $\Delta \omega^\sigma$ can be obtained using electron and hole Green functions dressed by the interaction (electron-electron, electron-phonon, and electron-defect) in the calculation of the phonon self-energy and of the dynamical matrix [3, 23, 24]. Assuming $i) \sigma$ independent of energy and $ii) n(\epsilon)$ and $g(\epsilon_f)$ constants for bands

FIG. 1: Calculated adiabatic (empty symbols) and non-adiabatic (filled symbols) $E_{2g}$ phonon frequencies of several GICs, compared to the available experimental data. The full line represents the experimental values.
within $\epsilon_F \pm \sigma$, Refs. [3, 23, 24] obtained:

$$\hbar \Delta \omega^\sigma \simeq \hbar \Delta \omega \left( \frac{\hbar \omega^A}{(\hbar \omega^A)^2 + \sigma^2} \right).$$

(6)

Note that when $\hbar \omega^A \gg \sigma$, $\Delta \omega^\sigma \simeq \Delta \omega$ and the experimentally observed phonon frequency is $\omega^NA$, i.e. the system is in the purely NA regime. On the contrary, for $\hbar \omega^A \ll \sigma$, $\Delta \omega^\sigma = 0$ and the measured phonon frequency is $\omega^A$, i.e. the system is completely adiabatic.

The linewidth of an optical phonon mode at $\Gamma$ is also affected by the presence of a finite momentum-relaxation rate. The decay of a phonon into non-interacting (undressed) electron-hole pairs ($\sigma = 0$) is forbidden for a zone-center optical mode if the direct gap is larger than the phonon energy ($\hbar \omega < |\epsilon_{\omega e} - \epsilon_{\omega h}|$). This condition being verified in all layer systems considered here, thus a zero linewidth should be measured [25, 26]. However, in all stage-1 GICs and in $MgB_2$, very large linewidths, hardly explainable in terms of anharmonicities, are measured. Analogously to the calculation of $\omega^\sigma$, a finite momentum-scattering rate $\sigma$ can be considered in the evaluation of the imaginary part of the phonon self-energy [3, 23, 24, 27]. This leads to the following expression for the phonon full linewidth at half maximum due to the phonon decay in dressed electron-hole pairs ($\gamma^EPC$):

$$\frac{\gamma^EPC}{2} = \hbar \Delta \omega \frac{\sigma \hbar \omega^A}{(\hbar \omega^A)^2 + \sigma^2}.$$  

(7)

Eq. (6) can be used to extract $\sigma$. Setting $\Delta \omega^\sigma = \omega_{\exp} - \omega^A$, we obtain $\sigma$ from the inversion of Eq. (6). Then $\sigma$ is inserted in Eq. (7) to determine $\gamma^EPC$ and the results are reported in Tab. I. The comparison between $\gamma^EPC$ and the experimental Raman linewidths $\Gamma_{\exp}$ is shown in Fig. 2. The agreement is overall very good, except for LiC$_6$ and RbC$_8$. Note that the experimental linewidth includes all sort of broadening (including inhomogeneous effects), while $\gamma^EPC$ includes only the phonon decay into dressed electron-hole pairs. Thus when $\Gamma_{\exp} \approx \gamma^EPC$ it means that the dominant broadening mechanism is the latter one. This is the case in MgB$_2$ [27, 28], and in most GICs. Moreover, by comparing these results with the universal curves of Eqs. (6) and (7) (Fig. 3), we deduce that our estimate of the momentum-relaxation time is a good indicator of the degree of non-adiabaticity.

As an example, our results quantitatively indicate that MgB$_2$, although being characterized by a huge $\Delta \omega$, has a smaller $\Delta \omega^\sigma$ and a relatively short momentum-relaxation time. It is thus a mostly adiabatic system, even though $\Delta \omega^\sigma$ is still very large. On the contrary, all GICs have relatively small $\sigma$‘s, falling in the left part of the universal curves of Fig. 3 and are thus mostly non-adiabatic. The result on hcp titanium actually indicates that all metals might have NA $\Gamma$ frequencies significantly different from the adiabatic ones, but that they cannot be experimentally observed by Raman because the condition (1) is not verified in experiments. In other words, non-adiabaticity is not a unique property of low-dimensional or layer systems, and can occur even in perfectly conventional metals. Interestingly, despite the structural similarity, in bulk Mg no NA effect exists.

In conclusion, we provide a quantitative first-principles theoretical framework to explain the difference between the reported experimental E$_{2g}$ mode frequencies, and the (ordinary) adiabatic calculated ones in several relevant layer metals. We have shown that giant non-adiabatic effects occur in these systems. Moreover, NA effects are in principle very relevant even in bulk metals, although difficult to measure. Finally, we have
shown that the electron momentum-relaxation time can be extracted from Raman peak positions and linewidths and is a good indicator of the degree of non-adiabaticity of the system.

Calculations have been performed at the IDRIS French National Computational Facility under the projet CP9-71387.
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