Sucrose, maltodextrin and inulin efficacy as cryoprotectant, preservative and prebiotic – towards a freeze dried *Lactobacillus plantarum* topical probiotic
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**ABSTRACT**

Probiotic formulations must contain the right strain(s) in sufficient numbers when administered to confer the desired health benefit. However, significant cell death can occur during freeze-drying and over storage. This study assesses various saccharides for their ability to protect *Lactobacillus plantarum* cells over freeze-drying and storage, as well as their potential to act as prebiotics. The cryoprotective potential of 10% (m/v) of skimmed milk, inulin, maltodextrin, and sucrose were investigated during freeze-drying. Storage was assessed over 12 weeks at 4 °C and room temperature. Improved cell survival over freeze drying was observed with all the saccharides. However, only maltodextrin and sucrose retained cell viability over storage at 4 °C. Overall, skimmed milk demonstrated the highest survival up to 91%. Despite good cryoprotectant performance, inulin provided the least protection over storage, with <1% cell survival. Prebiotic potential was determined through growth experiments with 2% (m/v) of the saccharides in glucose-free MRS. All saccharides supported cell growth, with sucrose performing best and inulin worst.
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**1. Introduction**

The use of probiotics for treating diseases has in recent years sparked increasing interest amongst researchers and pharma industries. Numerous studies have shown that the establishment of commensal and sometimes mutualistic microbes may hinder the growth of disease-causing microbes found in the same host microbial environment and additionally benefit the population of beneficial bacteria. Probiotics are defined as live microorganisms that when administered in the adequate amount confers health benefits on the host [20]. These beneficial microbes eliminate disease-causing bacteria through antagonistic mechanisms such as competitive adhesion advantage to host cells, production of lactic acid, production of hydrogen peroxide, and production of bacteriocins. Lowering of the pH by probiotic microbes can further make the environment unsuitable for pathogens to survive [15, 25, 27].

For probiotic treatments to be effective, it is important that strains in a probiotic formulation have been clinically proven to confer the desired benefits and they must be present in the sufficient number upon administration, as the definition implies. However, the functionality and efficiency of probiotics can be compromised due to loss of viability over storage [4]. Cell dehydration is a frequently used final step in probiotic production to keep the probiotic microbes in an inactivated form during storage, thereby ensuring that there are enough viable cells still present when the probiotic is taken. However, the drying process itself is detrimental to the probiotic cells and can result in reduced viability and stability of cells over storage.

Freeze drying is a preferred method due to its low operating temperature and pressure which help to retain the native structure, biochemical properties, and activities of bacterial cells [10, 24]. To minimise cell damage during freeze drying and to achieve high cell viability yields, protectants known as cryoprotectants can be added to the biomaterial before drying. A good cryoprotectant can be easily vitrified and can protect the embedded bacterial cells throughout the whole freeze drying process and during subsequent storage. The identification of the right protective agents to enhance cellular survival during storage is the key challenge [30]. The choice of cryoprotectants by manufacturers has been on a trial-and-error case based on factors such as protection performance, availability, cost and resulting physical characteristics of the final product [11]. Commonly used probiotic cryoprotectants include disaccharides (saccharose, lactose, trehalose), polyols (mannitol, sorbitol), and polysaccharides (maltodextrin, dextran, inulin) [1]. Disaccharide sugars and oligomeric sugars are
preferred as additives for freeze drying not only because they can be easily vitrified but also because they are small molecular structures that could easily replace the water molecules removed during drying, and thus maintain the cell integrity [1]. The protective ability of sugars in freeze drying media can be linked to various mechanisms, one of which is the ability of sugar molecules to permeate through the cell membranes and replace the removed water molecules that were initially present between the bi-phospholipid layers within the cells. The water molecules act as spacers between the phospholipid heads that prevent them from rubbing against each other and subsequently causing an acyl chain reaction. This chain reaction leads to Van der Waals forces that shift the fluid state within cells to a more viscous gel-like phase. Upon rehydration, the transition back to the liquid crystal-like phase is non-homogenous due to the inhomogeneous nature of the phospholipid layers. This result in packing defects within cells and the cells lose their membrane integrity which consequently leads to death [1]. Therefore, its replacement during dehydration by the sugar molecules helps to retain the native robust structure of cell membranes after the freeze drying process. However, this protective mechanism favours systems with low sugar concentrations [3]. For higher concentration of sugar molecules (>0.2 M), there exists a preferential hydration between the solute and water molecules. This leads to a network of arrangement between the sugar and excluded water molecules on the cell membrane surfaces. As a result, the native state of the cell membrane is retained [1, 3]. Another mechanism that can assist the improved survival of cells with the inclusion of cryoprotectants in the drying media is the vitrification hypothesis, that is the ability of the cryoprotectants to form a protective glassy matrix during the freezing process, in which the cells are embedded [1]. In a protective glassy matrix, all diffusion-controlled processes that could be detrimental are slowed down, thus enhancing bacterial viability during long-term storage [4]. Furthermore, Tymczyszyn et al. [32] suggests that survival during dehydration can be improved when cells are pre-cultivated in the growth media supplemented with sugar used for cryoprotection. Tymczyszyn et al. [32] attributes this improved survival to a lowered water activity in the growth media which subsequently enhances microbial response to osmotic stress during dehydration.

The efficacy of probiotics upon administration can be improved through the use of prebiotics, which are substrates that promote probiotic habituation. In the past, prebiotics (by definition) were limited to substances that could remain available in the gut flora after the journey through the gastrointestinal tract. These included non-digestible fibres such as inulin and other oligosaccharides. In recent times, the field of prebiotics has extended the utilization of microbes to confer health benefits beyond the gastrointestinal tract. An example of this is evidence of improved vaginal and skin health with the application of Lactobacillus plantarum [8, 21, 35]. With this advancement, a new definition of the term was established by a panel of experts convened by the International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) [14]: “A substrate that is selectively utilized by host microorganisms conferring a health benefit.” This opens up far more options for prebiotics, especially for topical administration. For example, Succi et al. [34] reported that strains belonging to Lactobacillus rhamnosus taxa have a genetic makeup that allows them to have a wide range of adaptability and selectivity to various substrates. These strains can grow in environments rich in lactose, inulin, sucrose, trehalose, starch, fructans, maltose, cellobiose and raffinose. The combined use of prebiotics in probiotic formulations is known as “synbiotics”. An example of this is when prebiotics are contained in probiotic foods such as yogurt that act to promote growth in the bowel when ingested [30]. Furthermore, some prebiotics are being considered for their possible protective role on probiotic bacteria [2, 7, 34]. This approach is of great interest since prebiotics could be used to play a double role both as sugars “for their historical role by stimulating proliferation and activity of probiotic bacteria in the colon and as protective agents against various environmental stresses” [34].

In this study, different typical cryoprotectant saccharides were assessed for their ability to fulfill multiple roles in a probiotic product: (1) protect probiotic cells during freeze drying, (2) preserve the viability of the cell concentrates over storage at different typical temperatures, and (3) act as a prebiotic substrate for the probiotic cells. This is a step towards the development of an effective synbiotic product for the topical treatment of conditions such as bacterial vaginosis and acne vulgaris. Lactobacillus plantarum was used as a model strain, where L. plantarum is known to utilize carbohydrates such as glucose in a homofermentative metabolism to produce lactic acid as the main fermentation product [18, 33]. The cryoprotectants considered were the saccharides inulin, maltodextrin, glucose and sucrose. These were considered for their availability, commercial feasibility, non-animal origin and for being Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS). Skimmed milk was included as a freeze drying “positive control” due to the commonness of its industrial use.

Material and methods

2. Materials

The following reagents were used: de Man, Rogosa & Sharpe (MRS) broth (product number 69,966, 99% purity), skimmed milk for bacteriological purposes (product number 70,166, ≥50% reducing sugars), o-(-)glucose (product number G8270, ≥99.5% purity) and L-Cysteine (product number 168,149, 97% purity), all purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Germany; inulin, purchased from NOW foods, USA; food-grade sucrose, purchased from Pick n Pay stores, South Africa; maltodextrin, purchased from Supplement Factory, South Africa.

3. Collection and isolation of Lactobacillus strain

Lactobacillus plantarum was isolated in the work by Happel et al. [17], in which microbial samples were collected and isolated from bacterial vaginosis negative South African women (ages 18–25). Primary L. plantarum isolate stocks were frozen in 60% glycerol stocks (20% v/v) and stored at –80 °C. Frozen glycerol stocks were transferred to the Centre for Bioprocessing Engineering Research (CeBER) at the University of Cape Town (UCT), re-grown twice in MRS media and stored at –80 °C in 50% glycerol stocks (25% v/v). The working glycerol stocks of L. plantarum were regrown again twice in 50% glycerol stocks (25% v/v) frozen and stored at –80 °C.

4. Freeze drying and storage with cryoprotectants

4.1. Fermentation of L. plantarum

Fermentation media were prepared by dissolving MRS broth in deionized water to make up a solution of 0.5 g/L concentration. The prepared media was autoclaved after preparation using a Hirayama HG50 autoclave at 121 °C for 20 min and then cooled to room temperature for use.

Fermentations were performed in 100 ml serum bottles sealed with a rubber septum in aluminium caps. Fresh bacterial sub-culture was prepared from thawed working glycerol stocks, as discussed in 2.2. From the bacterial glycerol stocks, 2 ml was inoculated into a 50 ml working volume of sterile MRS media. The fermentation was run overnight at 37 °C and 140 rpm using the Stuart SSL1 shaker. At the end of the fermentation, the overnight culture was inoculated into 100 ml fresh sterile MRS fermentation media to make up an initial optical density (OD) reading of 0.1 (see section 2.5.1). The fermentation was run under the same conditions as that of the inoculum fermentation until stationary phase was obtained. Samples were taken using a syringe at 1 h time intervals to measure the cell density and pH (Lasec pH 50+ DHS metre) for determining the growth kinetic parameters. Experiments were performed in triplicate.
4.2. Cell harvesting

Once stationary phase was attained, the cells were concentrated and separated from the supernatant by centrifugation at 8000 g for 15 min at 4 °C (Beckman JA-25 / J-A centrifuge). The supernatant was discarded, and the cell pellet was twice washed by resuspending in sterile deionized water and homogenizing at a medium speed (Benchmark Scientific BV1000 vortex) before reconcentrating by centrifugation.

4.3. Preparation of freeze drying suspensions

The various cryoprotectants were suspended in demineralized water to achieve a 10% m/v solution and autoclaved for 20 min at 121 °C. Freshly harvested cells were suspended in 30 ml of the prepared solution and vortexed to achieve a homogenous 1% m/v biomass feed suspension.

2 ml samples were collected from the feed suspensions and stored at 4 °C to quantify the number and vitality of viable cells before freeze drying. 100 μl was collected from this for serial dilution to enumerate the cells in suspension. The remainder of the bacterial suspension from each fermentation experiment was separated into 5 ml ampoules for freeze drying.

4.4. Freeze drying

The bacterial suspensions were frozen in a freezer at −80 °C for 24 h, following which they were transferred to a freeze dryer (Instruvac 5KL V) operated at 300 mTorr and −35 °C for 24 h.

4.5. Storage of freeze dried samples

The freeze dried samples were sealed in 5 ml airtight vials sealed with rubber stoppers embedded in aluminium caps. Storage was performed under two different conditions: at room temperature (20 ± 2 °C) and 4 °C (standard refrigeration temperature). At equal time intervals of 4 weeks for a total of 3 months, a vial representing each experimental system was taken, rehydrated to the original volume, and analysed to determine the impact of the various cryoprotectants on the cells over the storage period.

5. Prebiotic potential of cryoprotectant saccharides

L. plantarum was fermented in sterilized 100 ml glucose-free MRS media in serum bottles, supplemented with 2% (m/v) of inulin, maltodextrin, or sucrose. Fermentations were performed as described in section 2.3.1, at 37 °C and for 24 h.

6. Analytical methods

6.1. Cell density

The density of cells in solution was tracked using OD measurements, read at 660 nm using the Thermo Scientific Genesys 10S UV–VIS spectrophotometer. Fresh MRS media was used as the blank.

A linear trendline standard curve was used to relate the dry biomass in grams to the OD measurement, given by Eq. (1). For readings above 0.6, the culture was diluted 10 times using fresh MRS media. The curve was developed using fresh bacterial suspensions of specific OD. The suspensions were concentrated by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm (Eppendorf 5418R microfuge) for 15 min in pre-weighed and pre-dried (80 °C, 48 h) Eppendorf microfuge tubes, washed in sterile deionized water and centrifuged again. The pellets were dried at 80 °C (Labotec Eco Therm 277 oven) for 48 h and placed in a desiccator for 2 h to cool. The biomass dry weight was determined by weighing with a Radwag AS220.R2 balance.

Cell dry weight $[g] = 0.0005-OD_{660} - 8 \times 10^{-3}$ (1)

6.2. Cell growth kinetic parameters

Cell growth was quantified through enumeration of the maximum specific growth rate ($\mu_{\text{max}}$, $\mu_{\text{lag}}$) and maximum cell density (ODmax). The $\mu_{\text{max}}$ (h⁻¹) was calculated using the natural integral of Eq. (2), where X is the amount of cells (mass/volume) at time t (Maier, 2000).

$\frac{dX}{dt} = \mu_{\text{max}}X$ (2)

6.3. Cell viability

Cell survival was measured in terms of viability, calculated using the values of enumerated colony forming units (CFU). The bacterial suspension from each experiment was diluted serially in sterile deionized water and spread on MRS agar plates (50 g/L MRS supplemented with 12 g/L bacteriological agar) in duplicate. The agar plates were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h after which the CFU were counted.

Counts were performed before freeze drying, after freeze drying, and after storage. In the case of freeze dried cells, the cells were rehydrated to the initial sample volumes using sterile deionized water in an ice bath.

Eq. (3) shows the formula applied in calculating the percentage viability. $N_0$ [CFU/ml] represents the number of cell counts before freeze drying and $N_1$ [CFU/ml] represents the number of cell counts at time t (after freeze drying or after storage).

Viability [%] = $\frac{N_1}{N_0} \times 100$ (3)

6.4. Moisture content

The moisture content of the freeze dried material was determined by the gravimetric method [10]. Weighing boats were made from cut aluminium foil sheets in equal sizes, labelled, and oven dried at 80 °C for 24 h (Labotec Eco Therm 277 oven). The weights of the boats were measured using the Radwag AS220.R2 balance. After freeze drying, samples were transferred on to the boats and weighed immediately ($W_{fs}$ [g]) using the weighing balance. The samples were then oven dried at 80 °C for 24 h and placed in a desiccator for 2 h, after which they were reweighed ($W_{os}$ [g]). The moisture content ($M_w$) was calculated as a percentage of the loss in weight of the samples using Eq. (4).

$M_w [%] = \frac{W_{fs} - W_{os}}{W_{fs}} \times 100$ (4)

6.5. Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) imaging

TEM imaging was performed at the Aaron Klug Centre for Imaging and Analysis, UCT.
7. Results and discussion impact of cryoprotectants on L. plantarum over freeze drying

The moisture content of freeze dried probiotic bacteria is important in ensuring stability throughout its shelf life and the viability over storage [31]. Table 1 reports the moisture content of the L. plantarum after freeze drying in the presence of the various drying media each containing 10% (m/v) of the cryoprotectants skimmed milk (positive control), inulin, maltodextrin, and sucrose, and after freeze drying without cryoprotectants (negative control). Tests with glucose were also performed, but this system produced a rubbery matrix instead of the desired glassy matrix (data qualitatively assessed and hence not shown) and was therefore excluded from the analysis.

The average final moisture content achieved under these conditions was in a relatively wide range of 1.39 ± 0.21% (with skimmed milk) to 7.15 ± 0.89% (with sucrose). The moisture content values correspond with the molecular weights of the cryoprotectants, with the lowest molecular weight sucrose resulting in the highest moisture content and the moisture content in the samples then reducing as the molecular weight of the carbohydrates increased. It is generally accepted that
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Fig. 1. Survival of L. plantarum freeze dried in (a) water (negative control) and 10% (m/v) (b) skimmed milk (positive control), (c) inulin, (d) maltodextrin, and (e) sucrose. Results are reported for immediately before and after (B/A) freeze drying and over 12 weeks of storage at 4 °C and at room temperature (RT).
probiotic moisture content should be kept below 5% for the stability of *Lactobacillus* [6]. This was not achieved for the sucrose freeze drying experiments, and not all of the inulin runs.

The survival of *L. plantarum* when the cells were freeze dried in the various drying media and over storage are presented in Fig. 1. An initial survival rate of up to 91% was achieved for cells freeze dried with skimmed milk, compared to the cells freeze dried in water which demonstrated a survival rate of only 22%. Amongst the saccharide cryoprotectant candidates, inulin followed skimmed milk by demonstrating the second-highest survival rate of 85%, followed by sucrose and maltodextrin with survival rates of 33% and 31% respectively.

These results are consistent with observations of the state of the cell membranes post-freeze drying, visualised with TEM. The loss in the native cell membrane is observable in Fig. 2, when the *L. plantarum* cells were freeze dried in water without cryoprotectants. By contrast, protection of the native cell membrane form of the *L. plantarum* cells when freeze dried in the presence of inulin is seen in Fig. 3. This result is similar to Reddy et al. [26], where *L. plantarum* demonstrated high survival rates of 100% when freeze dried in skimmed milk. However, the survival rates obtained in that study when *L. plantarum* was freeze dried in maltodextrin and sucrose (≥ 90%) and in water without cryoprotectants (≥ 40%), were higher than the rates obtained in this work. One possible reason for this is that Reddy et al. [26] pre-exposed their cells to cold shock prior to freeze drying, such that the cells developed cold shock resistance. Derzelle et al. [9] explain that this developed resistance by *L. plantarum* to cold shock is linked to the release of three cold shock proteins CspC, CspP and CspL during exposure to cold shock in the early log phase, confirmed to have been expressed in Reddy et al. [26]. Pre-stressing cells prior to the freeze drying process is also known to improve the integrity of cells membranes [36]. Another probable explanation for the higher rates of survival obtained by Reddy et al. [26] is the higher concentration of cryoprotectants in the drying media -20% (m/v) compared to 10% used in this study.

**8. Preservative effect of cryoprotectants on *L. plantarum* over storage**

Conditions known to affect the survival of probiotics during storage are the temperature and duration of shelf life [23]. This is due to the biochemical activity of cells being enhanced when cells are stored at a

---

Fig. 2. TEM images of freeze dried *L. plantarum* cells without cryoprotectants (negative control).

Fig. 3. TEM images of freeze dried *L. plantarum* cells embedded in inulin Prebiotic potential of cryoprotectants on *L. plantarum*. 
higher temperature compared, and these reactions release metabolites which are detrimental to cells and eventually cause cell death. Furthermore, an absence of protective material around stored cells will contribute to a decrease in cell viability.

When freeze dried L. plantarum samples without excipients were rehydrated after being stored under dry airtight conditions at 4 °C or room temperature, there was a further decline in the viability beyond that found at the end of the dehydration process. After only 4 weeks of storage, the viable cell numbers had reduced to only $2.2 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml (4% viability) at 4 °C and $5.8 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml (0.05% viability) at room temperature. By the 12th week, less than 1% of the cells remained viable in both cases.

There was a significant improvement in the survival rates with skimmed milk as the cryoprotectant compared to that of the negative control at both 4 °C and room temperature. After 4 weeks of storage, 89% of the cells ($6.2 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml) remained viable at 4 °C and 43% ($4.4 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml) remained viable at room temperature. This degree of viability remained approximately constant at the 8- and 12-week measurement marks. Therefore, a high degree of product stability was observed under refrigerated conditions, with negligible degradation of the cell viability. The product also appeared to be relatively stable at room temperature following the initial decrease in viability during the first month (to just over 60%). The high survival rates observed for dehydration with skimmed milk when compared to the saccharides can be attributed to the stabilizing effect on cell membrane contents, with the presence of protein in skimmed milk known to provide additional protective coating to cells [5].

Despite the initially high survival rate of the cells over freeze drying in the presence of inulin, the CFU counts after 4 weeks of storage showed negligible cell survival. A reduction in the number of cells was observed from $1.1 \times 10^9$ CFU/ml before freeze drying to $1.6 \times 10^7$ CFU/ml (representing a viability of 1%) and $3.8 \times 10^6$ CFU/ml (representing a viability of less than 1%) after 4 weeks storage at 4 °C and room temperature respectively. This low protective capacity of inulin over storage is proposed to be due to its high hygroscopic nature and low dry state Tg [12, 22, 28].

L. plantarum stored under ambient conditions in the presence of maltodextrin demonstrated a lesser decline in survival under refrigeration, from $3.1 \times 10^9$ CFU/ml immediately after freeze drying, to $1.3 \times 10^8$ CFU/ml (13% viability) by the end of the 12 week storage period. By comparison, only 4% of the cells were viable at 4 weeks and less than 1% at 8 weeks when the cells were stored at room temperature. Overall, the protective performance of maltodextrin over storage was therefore significantly better than that of inulin but still not as good as skimmed milk.

Incorporation of sucrose as a cryoprotectant led to a maintained cell viability of between 25% and 33% at 4 °C, with no further reduction in the number of viable cells following the initial decrease over the freeze drying process to $3.4 \times 10^8$ CFU/ml. However, at room temperature the viability had decreased to only 2% after 4 weeks of storage and less than 1% at 12 weeks. In contrast to this result, a much higher protective performance by sucrose was obtained by Gull et al. [16] when L. curvatus was freeze dried in the presence of sucrose (10% (m/v)). This suggest that certain strains possess higher resistance to stress when dehydrated in combination with cryoprotectants.

Having investigated the cryoprotective and preservative potential of the selected saccharides, their probiotic potential and hence ability to play a third role in the probiotic formulation efficacy was investigated. To determine this, the microorganism was cultured in glucose-free MRS media in which the glucose carbon source was substituted with 2% (m/v) of inulin, maltodextrin, and sucrose. These experiments were performed to compare the ability of L. plantarum to selectively utilize these carbohydrates for cell growth and decrease pH, both of which are essential probiotic pharmacological outcomes for restoring and maintaining vaginal and skin health. Across all experiments, the control was standard MRS media (already containing 2% (m/v) of glucose) without addition of the cryoprotectants.

The growth curves presented in Fig. 4 show that all cryoprotectant candidates supported the growth of L. plantarum. Table 2 presents the $\mu_{max}$, $t_{lag}$ and $OD_{max}$ obtained from the experimental data. The $t_{lag}$ values remained the same across all runs at approximately 1 h. No significant differences are observed for the $\mu_{max}$ values of the different systems, all of which fall between 0.59 h⁻¹ and 0.63 h⁻¹. There were, however, differences between the final cell densities achieved. The highest OD$_{max}$ value of 5.65 ± 0.09 was obtained for sucrose-containing MRS media, followed by 4.67 ± 0.03 for the glucose-containing control and 3.76 ± 0.02 for maltodextrin, with these three systems achieving stationary phase at circa 10 h. The use of inulin resulted in the lowest OD$_{max}$ value of 3.46, achieved at hour 5 when the system entered stationary phase.

The results signify that L. plantarum has high affinity for the digestion of sucrose, like that of glucose. This could be linked to the dimer nature of sucrose which is made up of shorter glucose units compared to the longer polymer units of maltodextrin and inulin [19]. The higher OD$_{max}$ achieved in the sucrose system may be correlated with the higher carbon content of sucrose compared to glucose (42 wt% vs 40 wt%), though this argument does not hold for the lower maltodextrin and inulin OD$_{max}$ as they comprise of 42 wt% – 44 wt% carbon. Gänzle and Follador [13] reported that Lactobacillus possesses the capacity to hydrolyse starch such as maltodextrin by the release of MaII, MaIN and DEXII which are α-Glucosidases intracellular enzymes. Therefore, it is possible that the maltodextrin nutrients were catabolised for other cell functions such as the conversion of carbon to energy for transportation of substances, to maintain osmotic balance or the production of cell metabolites rather than channeling this substrate nutrient for cell division. A similar mechanism could explain for the much lower OD$_{max}$ values obtained for inulin. This means that in the culture, inulin acted as a limiting substrate to a greater degree compared to that glucose substrate and other cryoprotectants.

The pH reduction profiles of L. plantarum cultivated in 2% (m/v) cryoprotectant supplemented glucose-free MRS media are presented in Fig. 5. The profiles show that during fermentation of L. plantarum, all cryoprotectant candidates stimulated a reduction in pH of the growth media from the initial pH of 6.0 to a more acidic level. In the case of inulin, the pH was reduced to a value of 4.78 ± 0.01 by hour 5 after which it remained constant. This corresponds to when stationary phase was reached in the growth curve plot. In all the other runs, there was no significant difference in the pH reduction profiles of the control and sucrose or maltodextrin supplemented media, with the pH only plateauing after hour 10 to a final value of between 3.64 - 3.78.
viability when the freeze dried cells were stored at 4 °C. By contrast, both sucrose and maltodextrin were able to retain cell survival than maltodextrin and sucrose, but it did not perform as well as skimmed milk. It also proved unable to preserve the cells over storage. Inulin was the most effective saccharide over freeze drying, achieving more than twice the cell survival of the literature recommended value of 5%. Only skimmed milk was found to be effective at preserving the cell viability at room temperature regard.

The data presented in this paper is stored on the ZivaHub platform, available at: https://doi.org/10.25375/uct.15089883
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