PHYSICAL SOIL ATTRIBUTES IN DIFFERENT EUCALYPTUS CROP MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS IN WESTERN MATO GROSSO, BRAZIL
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Abstract

The aim of this study was to determine the effect of four soil management systems on the physical attributes of an Oxisol for Eucalyptus crops in western Mato Grosso, Brazil. The management systems are differentiated by the soil preparation form during the implantation and initial forest establishment phases, namely a conventional system (plowing, harrowing and subsoiling for preparation and harrows for weed control after planting) and a conservationist system (pasture desiccation, subsoiling, herbicide weed control and cover crop), with added differences in the eucalyptus fertilizer supply manner (haul and furrow). Nine soil layers were sampled continuously for each management system in between the sowing lines using 100 cm³ volumetric rings (0.05 m in diameter and height), totaling a studied layer of 0.45 m. The following variables were evaluated: total pore volume, microporosity, macroporosity, density and soil resistance to penetration. The different managements did not lead to significant differences for the studied attributes two years after forest establishment, with only a small depth effect observed. The attribute values are within the appropriate limits for eucalyptus crop development, indicating good soil quality under the eucalyptus forest for the study conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

The Brazilian forestry sector is based on the Eucalyptus genus, which occupies 5.7 million hectares of the total 7.83 million forest hectares present in the country (INDÚSTRIA BRASILEIRA DE ÁRVORES – IBÁ, 2019). The expansion of Eucalyptus forests in Brazil is due to the wide adaptability of this species to the most diverse edaphoclimatic conditions (FERREIRA et al., 2017).

In the state of Mato Grosso, eucalyptus crops are still incipient, and little information is available concerning eucalyptus-responsive management practices, unlike in other Brazilian regions, which already apply genotype-specific...
management systems. As a rule, eucalyptus genotypes strongly interact with their environment, and chemical, physical and biological factors are prominent (SANTOS et al., 2015).

Physical soil attributes are directly associated to water availability, as they are responsible for defining soil water storage capacity and root growth conditions along the soil profile (BAPTISTA; LEVIEN, 2010). In a broader context, physical soil quality, which is determined by soil structure, both directly and indirectly alters plant production and environmental quality (WENDLING et al., 2012). Soil structure is associated to soil density, porosity, permeability, soil resistance to root penetration, and water availability, among others (SILVA et al., 2011).

The municipality of Cáceres, in western Mato Grosso, exhibits marked edaphological drought characteristics, stimulated by the high temperatures and low precipitation levels occurring during certain periods of the year (NEVES, 2011). Because of this, management practices that favor deep root growth are paramount. Decreased soil resistance to penetration through subsoiling practices in eucalyptus sowing furrows favors plant root system development, which can then begin to explore larger soil volumes, increasing water and nutrient absorption. Thus, adequate fertilization management practices favor root growth and development, resulting in larger areas to be explored, containing higher organic material concentrations which directly influence structural soil quality, permitting increased particle aggregation and, consequently, improved aggregate stability (BORDRON et al., 2019; GHOSH et al., 2019).

The adoption of a conservation system for eucalyptus crops aiming to improve soil water storage conditions and promote root growth are valid alternatives to mitigate crop water deficits. In this context, the aim of the present study was to evaluate physical soil attributes, porosity, density and soil penetration resistance in two different eucalyptus crop management systems established in Cáceres, Mato Grosso, Brazil.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was conducted at the IFMT experimental farm, Cáceres Campus, located in the municipality of Cáceres, MT. The local climate according to the Köppen classification is hot and humid tropical, with a dry winter (Awa) (NEVES, 2011). The soil is classified as a Dystrophic Red Latosol, with a clay content of 230 g kg⁻¹ and displaying a slight depth gradient.

The experimental eucalyptus area occupies 2 ha and was established on an area previously occupied for 14 years by a *Urochloa brizantha* pasture. The area was in use until the beginning of the eucalyptus forest sowing preparation operations, with an average capacity of 3.5 animal units (450 kg live weight). Grass suppression was performed 45 days before eucalyptus planting, by applying glyphosate at a dose of 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ (glyphosate ammonium concentration = 792.5 g kg⁻¹). A second application of the same herbicide was performed 12 days before eucalyptus planting, at 1 kg ha⁻¹. Another herbicide application was carried out on the crop planting lines to control signalgrass, one day before eucalyptus planting, using a paraquat + diuron mixture at 2 L ha⁻¹ (190g L⁻¹ of active ingredient), applied by a directed spray applied at 0.75 m on each side of the planting furrows.

Soil management and sampling depths were assessed for four management system levels (Table 1) and nine depth levels. The cover crop treatments consisted of signalgrass (*Urochloa ruziizensis*).

| Treatment | System       | Cover crop | Crop fertilization | Cover fertilization |
|-----------|--------------|------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 1 (S)     | Conventional¹ | Absent     | Furrow             | Line                |
| 2 (BS)    | Conservationist² | Signalgrass | Furrow             | Line                |
| 3 (BL)    | Conservationist | Signalgrass | Haul               | Furrow              |
| 4 (BSL)   | Conservationist | Signalgrass | 1/2 furrow + 1/2 Haul | 1/2 furrow + 1/2 Haul |

¹ conventional - plowing, harrowing and subsoiling performed in the planting line up to 0.7 m in the eucalyptus forest preparation and harrowing in post-planting weed control.
² conservationist - desiccation applying a herbicide and subsoiling performed in the planting line up to 0.7 m, and herbicide application by a directed spray during post-planting.

Conventional soil preparation was performed through initial layer plowing up to 0.2 m, using a plowing harrow with 32-inch discs, followed by two leveling harrow passes. Subsoiling was then carried out with a fertilizer subsoiler, equipped with a straight-inclined rod without wings, at a depth of 0.7 m, including the other management systems. The soil was dry up to 0.3 m and friable during subsoiling, with humidity ranging between 0.22 and 0.27 m⁻³ from 0.30 to 0.50 m.
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Crop fertilization was carried out with 400 kg ha$^{-1}$ of a 06-30-06 + 1% Zn + 1% B formula, and cover fertilization was performed with 400 kg ha$^{-1}$ of a 20-00-20 mix, comprising three moments, with the first cover fertilization carried out at the beginning of the following rainy season (October), by hauling the total area. Fertilization and liming recommendations were defined according to Neves et al. (2008), based on a previous soil analysis.

Furrow fertilization treatments were carried out before sowing, with fertilizer distribution adjusted for maintenance at a depth of 0.35 m during subsoiling operations. Regarding the hail fertilization treatments, the fertilizer was distributed throughout the total area after signalgrass establishment in the conservationist treatments, 25 days after seedling sowing.

The VM 01 (Eucalyptus urophylla x Eucalyptus camaldulensis) clone was used, spaced 3 x 2 m and sowed on the first and second days of May 2014. Ten days after planting, signalgrass was sowed by hauling throughout the total area. Subsequently, a tree trunk was passed over the area to promote greater contact between the seeds and the soil.

The parquat + diuron herbicide mixture was applied to the sowed lines at a 2 L ha$^{-1}$ dose (100g L$^{-1}$ of active ingredient) to control invasive plants after sowing, using a directed jet between sowed lines. A tractor brush cutter was used in the signalgrass treatments (BS, BL, BSL), while a light harrow was used in the signalgrass-free treatment (S).

A randomized block (RBC) experimental design consisting of four treatments and six replications was applied, totaling 24 plots comprising 136 plants per plot. All evaluations were carried out 2 years after the eucalyptus forest establishment.

In order to preserve the soil structure during sampling, trenches were opened up in each plot and samples were collected using 100 cm$^3$ volumetric rings (0.05 m in height and diameter), at the following soil layers: 0.00-0.05; 0.5-0.10; 0.10-0.15; 0.15-0.20; 0.20-0.25; 0.25-0.30; 0.30-0.35; 0.35-0.40 and 0.40-0.45 m, totaling nine undisturbed samples per plot. The samples were obtained from the center of the sowed lines, in order to isolate effects regarding the presence or absence of signalgrass, as well as any furrow or hail signalgrass fertilization effects.

To determine sample water content at saturation under 6 kPa, the soil samples were saturated by capillarity and their masses were determined. The samples were then subjected to a 6 kPa tension using a porous plate funnel. Total pore volume (VTP) was given by the water content in the saturation moisture. Microporosity is defined as the water content under a 6 kPa tension. Macroporosity was determined by the difference between the VTP values and microroporosity (TEIXEIRA et al., 2017).

After reaching equilibrium at 6 kPa, soil mass and resistance to penetration were determined. Resistance to penetration was obtained using a bench-top electronic penetrometer, equipped with a 45° and 4 mm diameter circular cone tip, with rod displacement set at a constant speed of 2 mm sec$^{-1}$ with 50 mm penetration. The mean penetration resistance value was calculated for each sample, disregarding the first and last 25 readings referring to the initial and final 5 mm of each sample.

After determining soil resistance to penetration, the samples were dried in an oven at 105 °C for 48 h in order to calculate dry soil masses and densities (TEIXEIRA et al., 2017).

The statistical data analyses including soil depth as an additional factor assumed a subdivided plot model with a completely crossed factor structure, given by:

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + BLCi + MANj + s(PROFk) + MANj \cdot s(PROFk) + uij + eijk$$

Where:

- $y_{ijk}$ is the observed value for the response in a plot in block $i$ with management $j$ at depth $k$;
- $\mu$ is a constant belonging to all observations that, only in the absence of effects from the other terms in the model, corresponds to the separate value of the response;
- BLC$i$ represents the effect of the blocks ($i = 1, \ldots, 6$), assumed as random effect;
- MAN$j$ represents the main management effect ($j = 1, \ldots, 4$);
- $s(PROFk)$ represents the effect of depth ($k = 1, \ldots, 9$). As depth is a quantitative factor, a base function is used to represent its effect, of a cubic spline (3 degrees of freedom);
- MAN$j \cdot s(PROFk)$ represents the interaction between management and depth.
- $uij$ is the experimental error at the plot level, which is assumed to be independent and display a mean distribution of 0 and constant variance.
- $eijk$ is the experimental error at the soil sample level, which is assumed to be independent and display a mean distribution of 0 and constant variance.
The assumption of error independence along the profile at a given collection position may not be verified, given that nearby soil samples may show spatial dependence. Therefore, an exponential correlation structure was initially considered for sample level error:

\[ p(d) = \exp\left(-\frac{d}{\lambda}\right), \]

where \(d\) is the difference in depths and \(\lambda\) is the parameter related to the extent of spatial dependence.

In practice, the range is the distance at which the correlation is \(5\%\) which corresponds to \(d = 3\lambda \approx 0.05\). In the absence of spatial dependence, the simplest model of independent errors is considered, i.e. \(p = 0\).

Since the terms of the cubic spline base function were not significant, the linear model was adjusted to represent the depth effect, as:

\[ s(\text{PROF}_k) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{PROF}_k \]

And with no interaction between management and depth, the additive model was adjusted to:

\[ y_{ijk} = \mu + BLCi + \text{MAN}j + s(\text{PROF}_k) + u_{ij} + e_{ijk} \]

The described models for the hypothesis tests concerning the effect of the experimental factors were adjusted to the data by the restricted maximum likelihood method (REML), while the maximum likelihood method (ML) was applied to test for spatial dependence. The statistical software R version 3.3.2 was used for the data analysis (2016-10-31), using the nlme package (PINHEIRO et al., 2019).

An adjusted curve was obtained to present the results, accompanied by the confidence bands for each regression (95%), based on the final model.

RESULTS

Concerning the studied variables 2 years after the eucalyptus forest establishment, no significant effects for total pore volume, microporosity macroporosity regarding management source variations, layer and management:layer were observed. A significant effect was detected only for soil density and resistance to penetration in the spline bases (layer) variation sources and for the management:spline bases (layer) interaction (Table 2).

Tabela 2. Resumo da análise de variância para a variáveis resposta (layer) variation sources and for the management:spline bases (layer) interaction (Table 2).

| V.S. | g.l. Num | g.l. Den | F VTP | F Micro | F Macro | F Ds | RP |
|------|----------|----------|-------|---------|---------|------|-----|
| Management | 3 | 15 | 0.266 ns | 1.517 ns | - | - | - |
| Layer | 1 | 187 | 0.272 ns | 1.991 ns | - | - | - |
| Management:Layer | 3 | 187 | 0.217 ns | 1.673 ns | - | - | - |
| Management | 3 | 15 | - | - | 0.713 ns | 0.590 ns | 0.379 ns |
| Base spline (layer) | 3 | 180 | - | - | 0.973 ns | 12.44** | 7.81** |
| Management:Base spline (layer) | 9 | 180 | - | - | 2.471 ns | 2.47** | 2.551** |

** and *: significant at 1% and 5% probability and non-significant, respectively.

1F.V.: variation source; 2g.l. Num.: Numerator degrees of freedom; 3g.l. Den.: denominator degrees of freedom; 4,5,6,7,8F value for VTP, Micro, Macro, Ds and RP.

Total porosity, given by water content at saturation (Figure 1), did not differ significantly between management and soil layer.
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Microporosity, given by water soil content at 6 kPa (Figure 2), was not significantly different between the two types of studied management and layers. Higher microporosity, however, was observed for the BSL management, in the soil layer ranging from 0.35 to 0.45 m.
Macroporosity, given by the difference in soil water content between 0 and 6 kPa (Figure 3), was statistically similar for both management and layer. The BSL management, again displaying no significant difference, exhibited the highest macroporosity in the 0.00-0.05 m layer and the lowest, between 0.40 and 0.45 m.

No significant differences between mean soil density values per layer were noted for the BL, BS and S managements (Figure 4). In the BSL management, however, a significant layer effect was observed, with the lowest density of 1.49 Mg m⁻³ observed at a depth of 0.00-0.05 m, and the highest, of 1.61 Mg m⁻³, at 0.15-0.20 m.
Figure 4. Soil density (Mg m$^{-3}$) as a function of soil depth (m) at each management level. The line that expresses the fit of the model is accompanied by the confidence bands (95%).

Significant effects for the BS and BL management layers concerning soil resistance to penetration were observed (Figure 5). The lowest soil resistance was observed in the subsurface layers, associated to lower soil density. No statistically significant differences for the evaluated depths were noted for the BSL and S managements.
DISCUSSION

The adoption of an economically profitable and sustainable system aiming to minimize eucalyptus forest establishment costs by using less implements, as well aiming to reduce soil revolving and increase soil protection by using cover plants, leads to positive results for both physical soil quality and crop development (SILVA et al., 2011).

The adoption of conservationist soil management systems compared to conventional systems aims to minimize soil disturbances and maintain greater surface protection through cover crops comprising cultural residues, which favor a continuous supply of organic carbon, paramount in maintaining adequate quality soil structure (STEFANOSKI et al., 2013). In contrast, soils under conventional management systems are prone to greater compaction, due to soil structure degradation and decreased microbial activity, leading to increased soil density and decreased total porosity (BERTOL et al., 2019).

The total porosity results reported herein did not differ significantly for either management system of layer, with mean values (0.37 m³ m⁻³) within the ideal range, reported as 0.3 to 0.6 m³ m⁻³ (BERTOL et al., 2019).

Macroporosity values in all studied management systems and layers were above the critical limit of 0.10 m³ m⁻³, similar to the findings reported by Silva et al. (2011) and Rocha et al. (2015), who demonstrated that macroporosity values above this limit indicate favorable plant growth and root development conditions.

The results of the present study are in contrast to those reported by Souza et al. (2016) who noticed differences between soil depths in eucalyptus cultivation. In addition, the aforementioned authors observed macroporosity changes in native forests layers, but only below 0.40 m, whereas differences in eucalyptus crops were observed at the superficial 0.00-0.20 m layer.

The density results ranging from 1.44 Mg m⁻³ to 1.66 Mg m⁻³ reported by Rosa et al. (2018), evaluated after one year of eucalyptus sowing, are similar to the values reported herein, and lower than the critical or restrictive levels proposed by Reichert et al. (2007) of 0.91, 1.93 and 1.94 Mg m⁻³ for the 0.0-0.10 m, 0.10-0.20 m and 0.20-0.40 m layers, respectively.

According to Reinert et al. (2008), lower densities in top soil layers are associated to higher amounts of roots originating from the main crop and/or cover crops, which contribute to increasing organic matter deposition, improved soil physical properties and decreased soil density.

Soil resistance to penetration for all studied depths and management systems ranged from 0.58 to 1.5 MPa, below the established critical value of 2 Mpa, indicating favorable root development conditions (GONÇALVES et al., 2017). Concerning all management systems, a lack of statistically significant differences for the evaluated depths was observed only for the BSL management.

The establishment of the eucalyptus crop leads to positive soil physical attributes, indicating adequate eucalyptus development values. In addition, a forest effect concerning physical soil attribute standardization after different soil preparation and/or implantation interventions was observed, similarly to that reported by Rocha et al. (2015), with two years noted as enough time to obtain soil uniformity.

CONCLUSIONS

• No management effects on soil attributes were observed two years after eucalyptus planting.
  • An effect of management: spline bases (layer) interaction was observed for soil density and resistance to penetration.
  • The soil attribute values reported herein are within an adequate range for eucalyptus development, indicating a positive effect of this culture on physical soil attributes.
  • Eucalyptus planting over a pasture area with no soil revolving throughout the total area is a viable conservationist alternative for the western Mato Grosso region.
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