Optimization of Cutting Force in Turning of AA 7068/TiC MMCs using Taguchi Technique
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Abstract: Metal Matrix Composites (MMCs) are widely used in variety of engineering applications. The usage of aluminium based composites in modern automobile and aerospace sectors is enormous due to superior Mechanical properties as compared to other materials. In this investigation Taguchi and Response surface methodologies have been used to minimize the cutting force in turning of AA7068/TiC MMCs. The experiments are planned and executed according to central composite design technique on all geared lathe machine using TiCN-Al2O3 tool insert (KCP05). The process parameters used are wt. % of TiC, cutting speed, feed and depth of cut. The objective of this experiment is to optimize the process parameters and evaluate the effect of process parameters. A second-order mathematical predictive model has developed between the process parameters & cutting force based on response surface methodology (RSM). The conclusions of this experiment is give that the most influenced process parameter for cutting force is depth of cut (d) and is followed by wt.% of TiC. Precision is observed between the predicted values and experimental results; therefore, the evaluated model is fit to predict the cutting force in machining of AMMCs.

Index Terms: Cutting force, CCD Technique, RSM, TiCN-Al2O3.

I. INTRODUCTION

Aluminium based MMCs are vividly utilized in automotive manufacturing sectors, aircrafts, mineral processing sectors and other sectors. These are concentrating on best quality in the regard of surface finish and dimensional accuracy, cutting forces evaluation is very important role in various design fields such as contact deformation, friction, positional accuracy, heat and electrical current conduction, tightness of the joints. Therefore, cutting forces has been the theoretical and experimental investigations for many years. Various techniques are developed for measuring surface roughness for machined specimens ranging from simple stylus probe instrument to sophisticated optical techniques [1].

Aluminium based MMCs are fabricated through various methods i.e., powder metallurgy, stir casting, squeeze casting spray deposition and mechanical alloying [2]. From these techniques, stir casting is most commonly taken up to fabrication of MMCs [3]. Various reinforcements can be used for Al-MMCs, namely, TiC, SiC, Al4O3, B4C, TiB2, etc. In comparison Titanium Carbide (TiC) has low density, better thermal stability, corrosion resistance, and superior mechanical properties than SiC, Al4O3, B4C, TiB2 [4].

The machining properties of aluminium based MMCs were investigated by several investigators. Basavarajappa et al., Sai chaitanya kishore et al. studied the effects of cutting forces and surface finish in turning of Al6061/TiC and implied that the high cutting speed results reveals in reduction of surface finish and cutting forces [5], [6]. Biswajit das et al. studied the consequences of process parameters on surface finish of Al/Cu/TiC composites and discovered that there happens to be non-linear relationship amongst the cutting conditions and surface finish parameters [7]. Mahamani et al. Investigated the machining behavior on Al-5cu-TiB2 composites [8]. Birol et al. developed Al-TiC with various percentages of reinforcement by varying the temperature and found that Al1Ti particles were replaced by TiC particles when the powder blend was heated above 800°C [9].

In spite of many investigations on machining of AA-based MMCs no researchers have studied the machining behavior of Al7068/TiC MMCs. In the present study, AA7068/TiC are fabricated using stir casting technique, at various weight fraction of reinforcement varied from 0 to 10 wt. % in steps of 2wt. % and investigated the effect of control parameters on cutting forces in the machining of AA7068/TiC MMCs.

II. MATERIALS & METHODS

In this study AA7068/TiC fabricated using stir casting at various weight fraction of reinforcement varied from 0 to 10 wt. % in steps of 2wt. %. The test specimens are prepared with a dia 22 mm and length 190 mm respectively.

III. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The experiments are planned and executed according to CCD. The control parameters considered in this study wt. % of TiC, V, f, d. The control parameters as shown in Table 1. During machining operation the cutting force (Fc) is observed at each experimental run using klister lathe tool dynamometer model. The specification of a tool holder is PSBNR-2525M12 and the cutting tool insert is MTCCVD-TiCN-Al2O3 manufactured by the kenna metals. The MTCCVD-TiCN-Al2O3 tools are exceptionally wear resistance compared to ceramic tools or tungsten carbide tools. The tool insert is SNMG120408. A snapshot of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 1.
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Form lathe tool dynamometer different cutting force with respect to various cutting speeds are observed the different trends as shown in Figure 3(i)-(v). The graphs have been taken at the end of machining time using the dynamometer.

| S.No | Parameters                     | Levels/Parameters |
|------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1    | Weight percentage of TiC, (wt.%) | 2     4     6     8    10  |
| 2    | Cutting Speed (V), m/min,       | 30    40    50    60   70  |
| 3    | Feed (f), mm/rev,              | 0.06  0.07  0.08  0.09 0.1  |
| 4    | Depth of Cut (d), mm            | 0.2   0.5   0.8   1.1   1.4  |

**Table 1 Control Parameters for Machining of AA7068/TiC**

### IV. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Experiments are planned and executed according to CCD on an all geared lathe and the experimental results are obtained as shown in Table 2.

#### A. Response Surface Methodology

Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is a statistical measure of performance or quality for data analysis and prediction of optimal parameters setting [10], [11], that are useful for several problems and the aim is to optimize this response. In various engineering applications, there is a affinity aimed an output variable of interest ‘y’ and a set of controllable levels \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}, in some systems, the nature of relationship amid y and x values might be known. Then, a model can be written in the form.

\[
Y = f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) + \epsilon
\]

Where \(\epsilon\) represents noise in the response ‘y’

If we denote the expected response be \(E(Y) = f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)\)

\[
\hat{Y} = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \beta_{ij} x_i x_j + \epsilon
\]

To determine regression coefficients of the model for the cutting forces, MINITAB-18 software was used.

#### B. Taguchi’s S/N Ratios

Taguchi signal to noise (S/N) ratio is a statistical estimation of performance or quality for data analysis and prediction of optimal parameters setting [12], [13]. It depends on the quality characteristics of the process to be optimized. The standard S/N ratios generally used such as Nominal-is-Best, Smaller-the-better and Higher-the-Better. In this investigation, MINITAB-18 was used to solve the optimization problem. Cutting forces was taken as smaller is better and Higher is worse. In this case, the S/N ratio was computed as equation (3).

\[
S/N = -10 \log \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i^2 \right)
\]

| Sl.no | Wt. % | Cutting Speed, m/min | Feed, mm/rev | Depth of Cut, mm | Cutting Forces, Fz | S/N Ratio |
|-------|-------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 1     | 4     | 40                    | 0.07         | 0.5              | 139.48            | -42.89   |
| 2     | 8     | 40                    | 0.07         | 0.5              | 178.11            | -45.01   |
| 3     | 4     | 60                    | 0.07         | 0.5              | 129.55            | -42.24   |
| 4     | 8     | 60                    | 0.07         | 0.5              | 162.89            | -44.23   |
| 5     | 4     | 40                    | 0.09         | 0.5              | 149.80            | -43.51   |
| 6     | 8     | 40                    | 0.09         | 0.5              | 182.03            | -45.20   |
| 7     | 4     | 60                    | 0.09         | 0.5              | 132.50            | -42.44   |
| 8     | 8     | 60                    | 0.09         | 0.5              | 161.08            | -44.14   |
| 9     | 4     | 40                    | 0.07         | 1.1              | 140.56            | -42.95   |
| 10    | 8     | 40                    | 0.07         | 1.1              | 159.38            | -44.04   |
| 11    | 4     | 60                    | 0.07         | 1.1              | 152.63            | -43.67   |
| 12    | 8     | 60                    | 0.07         | 1.1              | 168.40            | -44.52   |
| 13    | 4     | 40                    | 0.09         | 1.1              | 154.30            | -43.76   |
| 14    | 8     | 40                    | 0.09         | 1.1              | 174.78            | -44.84   |

**Figure 1: Experimental Setup**

**Figure 2:** Various cutting force with respect to various cutting speeds (i) 30m/min (ii) 40m/min (iii) 50m/min (iv) 60m/min (v) 30m/min.
Response surface methodology is adopted to analyze the test runs and the audience relation has been established acquired for cutting forces in uncoated units as:

\[ F_z = 323.7 + 7.87 \times Wt.\%_{\text{TiC}} - 4.32 \times V - 1310 \times f - 207.2 \times d + 0.667 \times Wt.\%_{\text{TiC}} + 0.02984 \times V \times V + 9513 \times f \times f + 42.7 \times d \times d - 0.04412 \times Wt.\%_{\text{TiC}} \times V - 18.7 \times Wt.\%_{\text{TiC}} \times f - 0.608 \times Wt.\%_{\text{TiC}} \times d - 5.9 \times V \times f + 2.275 \times V \times d + 969 \times f \times d \]  

(4)

C. ANOVA for cutting forces

Table 3 Anova Table for Surface Roughness

| Source            | DF | Adj SS | Adj MS | F-Value | P-Value |
|-------------------|----|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Model             | 14 | 8664.45| 618.89 | 22.96   | 0.000   |
| Linear            | 4  | 6819.95| 1704.99| 63.25   | 0.000   |
| Wt. % of TiC      | 1  | 5330.92| 5330.92| 197.76  | 0.000   |
| V                 | 1  | 137.52 | 137.52 | 5.10    | 0.038   |
| f                 | 1  | 807.24 | 807.24 | 29.95   | 0.000   |
| d                 | 1  | 544.26 | 544.26 | 20.19   | 0.000   |
| Square            | 4  | 731.85 | 182.96 | 6.79    | 0.092   |
| Wt. % of TiC*Wt. % of TiC | 1  | 203.29 | 203.29 | 7.54    | 0.014   |
| V*f               | 1  | 254.58 | 254.58 | 9.44    | 0.007   |
| f*d               | 1  | 421.66 | 421.66 | 15.64   | 0.001   |
| 2-Way Interaction | 6  | 1112.65| 185.44 | 6.88    | 0.001   |
| Wt. % of TiC*V    | 1  | 10.84  | 10.84  | 0.40    | 0.535   |
| Wt. % of TiC*f    | 1  | 2.23   | 2.23   | 0.08    | 0.777   |
| Wt. % of TiC*d    | 1  | 213.23 | 213.23 | 7.91    | 0.013   |
| V*f               | 1  | 5.61   | 5.61   | 0.21    | 0.655   |
| V*d               | 1  | 745.43 | 745.43 | 27.65   | 0.000   |
| f*d               | 1  | 135.32 | 135.32 | 5.02    | 0.040   |
| Error             | 16 | 831.30 | 52.08  | 1.15    | 0.291   |
| Lack-of-Fit       | 10 | 375.98 | 37.60  | 4.08    | 0.050   |
| Pure Error        | 6  | 55.32  | 9.22   | 0.65    | 0.65    |
| Total             | 30 | 9095.75|        |         |         |

D. S/N Ratio Analysis for Optimum Settings

The MINITAB-18 Software was used to analyze the main effect of S/N ratio on the optimization analysis for cutting force. Figure 3 shows the main effect plot and the corresponding S/N response for cutting force. The overall mean response is represented by the horizontal line at the centre of the curve. The S/N ratio analysis is shown in figure 3. From the S/N ratio analysis in figure 3, the level of the factors with the smallest S/N ratio was taken as the optimum level for the response, therefore the optimum process parameters are wt.% of TiC 10%, cutting speed 60m/min, feed 0.10mm/rev, depth of cut 1.4mm to minimize the cutting force. The values of experimental and RSM are plotted in graph is shown in figure 4.

E. Cutting parameters trend Analysis on cutting force

The variation of cutting force observed at various cutting parameters in machining of MMCs by MTCCVD-TiCN-Al2O3 tool insert is discussed in Fig 5 to 7. The response graphs have been obtained from RSM model. The graphs were drawn with vary one parameter by keeping all the other parameters are constant at midlevel.

ANOVA is used to analyze the outputs to identify the factors that significantly affect the performance measure the total variance of the results. The ANOVA is done at α=0.05 significance level and gave results are cutting forces shown in Table 3. The sources with P-value < 0.05 are considered as highly statistically significant. The coefficient of determination R² =95.20. Hence, the developed model is statistically significant. By observing table 4, weight percentage of TiC (wt.% of TiC ) is the most effected parameter followed by depth of cut, feed (f) and Cutting speed (V) on cutting force.

Figure 3: Response graph for cutting force.

Table 4: Response table for cutting forces

| Level | Wt. % of TiC | Cutting Speed m/min | Feed mm/rev | Depth of Cut mm |
|-------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| 1     | -41.81       | -44.71              | -42.83      | -43.75          |
| 2     | -43.24       | -44.03              | -43.70      | -43.71          |
| 3     | -43.99       | -44.91              | -44.04      | -43.86          |
| 4     | -44.68       | -43.89              | -44.22      | -44.21          |
| 5     | -45.95       | -43.64              | -44.58      | -44.96          |

Delta 4.14 1.07 1.75 1.25

Rank 1 4 2 3
rates. Because of TiC particles are equally spread in matrix material. Figure 7 shows that the cutting forces increases with increases of depth of cut at more wt.% of TiC. At higher depth of cut and feed rate that the cuttings force increases and also observed that lower cutting forces observed at higher cutting speeds. In case of AA7068/2%Wt.% turning the cutting force is lower, science AA7068 is softer in nature (lower hardness) undergoes lower cutting force when compared to higher weight of TiC (10%).

V. CONCLUSION

1. AA-7068 / TiC MMCs are developed by using stir casting technique.
2. The effect of control parameters on the cutting forces has been appraised with the help of central composites design (CCD).
3. A quadratic model for cutting forces has been developed from the observed data. The predicted and measured esteems are genuinely near to each other which show that the cutting forces and prediction model can be adequately used for predicting the cutting forces within the range of process parameters selected in MMCs with 96.27% confidence level.
4. The experimental results indicated that low cutting forces were observed at 10% weight fraction of TiC.
5. RSM is found to be more effective for modeling and analysis of cutting forces in turning of MMCs composites at different combination of design variables such as wt. % of TiC, cutting speed, feed and depth of cut.
6. RSM reveals that low cutting forces are observed at 10 weight percentage of TiC.
7. The optimal combination of control parameters for the cutting force weight percentage of TiC (wt.% of TiC) = 10wt.%, cutting speed (V) = 70m/min, feed (f) = 0.06mm/rev and the depth of cut (d) = 0.8mm.
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