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1 Introduction.

Statistical approaches to the equations of fluid dynamics are well known [10,11]. The present author, following a different stochastic approach to the previously quoted classical works, has given random implicit representations for the Navier-Stokes equations for an incompressible fluid on a smooth compact manifold without boundary. The approach chosen for the obtention of these representations stemmed from Stochastic Differential Geometry, i.e. the invariant theory of diffusion processes on smooth manifolds, and in particular, in Euclidean space [1,2,8,9,14,23-27]; this approach has lead the author to give as well random representations for the kinematic dynamo problem, i.e. the equations of transport of a passive magnetic field transported by the fluid, in the same geometric situation [5]. While stemming from the fussion of gauge theory and stochastic processes on manifolds, the present theory is founded on the relation between a class of linear connections with torsion [13,23,27], and the random diffeomorphisms determined by them, extending thus the classical diffeomorphims associated to perfect fluids, presented in the pioneering work of V.I. Arnold [15,16] and
further elaborated by Ebin and Marsden [17]. In a recent interesting program, Gliklikh [18-20] has extended the original proposal of Arnold-Ebin-Marsden, to give a formulation of the Navier-Stokes equations on the n-torus as a stochastic perturbation of the group of volume preserving diffeomorphims associated to the flow of perfect flows. In the present gauge-theoretical approach, the representations obtained by the present author were obtained in two instances [5,6,12,13]: Firstly, using the so-called derived (or jacobian) random flow, constructed as the derivative flow of the lagrangian random representations for the fluid particles, in case the manifold is isometrically immersed in Euclidean space (the case of gradient diffusion processes). Secondly, for the more general case of arbitrary compact manifolds, the representations were derived in terms of the Hessian and Ricci random flows related to the former processes. In this note we wish to extend these constructions of the random representations for the vorticity Navier-Stokes and kinematic dynamo equations, to the case of a smooth manifold with smooth boundary, both for which no general representations are known; in this case, the boundary conditions for the vorticity in practice in Fluid-dynamics, will turn to be the well known reflection at the boundary conditions which we shall reintroduce below. Yet, our presentation will follow instead the original approach to Brownian motion on smooth manifolds which lead to Stochastic Differential Geometry: The stochastic extension of the Cartan classical soldering method, as originally presented by Malliavin [8] and Elworthy [2], which we have presented as the mathematical approach to Statistical Thermodynamics, Quantum Mechanics and Gravitation [4,13,23-27,29], while the classical method appeared to be adequate for the formulation of classical relativistic spinning particles subjected to gravitational fields [22,28] without using no lagrangian nor hamiltonean structures. In this setting, the torsion is no longer provided by the full irreducible expression of the torsion tensor, instead, the trace-torsion is the basic ‘physical’ field to be taken in account in a theory of generalized Brownian motions, since its conjugate vector-field turns to be describe the drift of the process. Yet, while in the setting of classical differential geometry in which the transport of fields is done along smooth curves so that the Cartan calculus of differential forms is the basic tool, in this continuous non-differentiable setting this calculus has to be supplemented by the rules of stochastic analysis. These together yield a most formidable theoretical and computational instrument, and the Ito formula for differential forms, its highest and most basic expression of this extended calculus. Thus, it will turn to be of no surprise, that it is precisely this formula which will give us the key to the derivation of the analytical expression for the evolution Navier-Stokes equations for the vorticity on a manifold with smooth boundary. This approach will turn out to extend not only the analytical
expressions obtained in the case of no boundary case obtained by the author, but the original approach in Computational Fluid-dynamics known as the random vortex method, which deals with viscous fluids on the Euclidean plane [40].

2 Riemann-Cartan-Weyl Connections and Their Laplacians

We shall assume an \( n \)-dimensional smooth connected oriented compact manifold, \( M \). We shall further assume as given a Riemann-Cartan-Weyl connection on \( M \), \( \nabla \), i.e. a linear connection on \( M \) (or still, a covariant derivative on \( TM \)) which is \( g \)-compatible, and such that its Christoffel coefficients defined by

\[
\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\gamma} = \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}
\]

are of the form

\[
\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(x) = \frac{\alpha}{(n-1)} \left\{ \delta^\alpha_{\beta} Q_\gamma(x) - g_{\beta\gamma}(x) Q^\alpha(x) \right\}, \quad x \in M
\]  

(1)

with torsion tensor

\[
T^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(x) - \Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma\beta}(x)),
\]

which has an irreducible decomposition which is zero for all its components with exception of the trace-torsion 1-form given by

\[
Q(x) = Q_\beta(x) dx^\beta := T^\alpha_{\alpha\beta}(x) dx^\beta.
\]

The first term in (1) stands for the Christoffel coefficients of the unique torsionless linear connection determined a Riemannian metric \( g \), i.e. the Levi-Civita connection, which we shall denote in the following as \( \nabla^g \).

Associated to this connection we have a Laplacian operator defined by

\[
H_0(g, Q) := 1/2 \Delta^g
\]  

(2)

(the subscript 0 denotes its actions on functions, which we here consider to be smooth, as well as all other fields that appear subsequently) which only depends on \( g \), its derivatives, and \( Q \), since one can compute explicitly to obtain

\[
H_0(g, Q) = 1/2 \Delta_g + L_Q^g.
\]  

(3)

In this expression

\[
\Delta_g = \text{div}\ \text{grad} = (\nabla^g)^2
\]
is the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated to $\nabla^g$. Furthermore, $Q^g$ is the vectorfield conjugate to $Q$ by $g$, i.e. $Q^g(f) = g(Q, df)$, for any smooth function $f$, and $L_X$ denotes the Lie-derivative with respect to the vectorfield $X$ on $M$. Recall that on functions $f$ we have the identity $L_{Q^g} f = Q^g(f)$, so that by recalling that the metric is non-degenerate, we conclude that expression (3) corresponds to that of the most general Laplacian with zero-potential term, acting on functions. We note that the second term in expression (1) describes the drift, and appears that in an invariant setting, it is related to the trace-torsion 1-form.

These operators can be rewritten in a form suitable to carry out stochastic analysis on $M$ and on $P_{O(n)}$, the bundle of orthogonal frames of the tangent space $TM$ over $M$ [1,30], i.e.

$$P_{O(n)} = \{ r = (x,e(x)), x \in M, e(x) = e_\alpha^a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}|_{x} \text{ a basis of } T_x M \}$$

so that

$$g_{\alpha\beta} e_\alpha^a e_\beta^b = \delta_{ab}.$$ 

Consider the canonical horizontal vectorfields on $P_{O(n)}$, $\nabla^\nabla$ and $\nabla^g$ defined by the connections $\nabla$ and $\nabla^g$ respectively, with components $L^\nabla_a, L^g_a, a = 1, \ldots, n$ respectively, given by

$$L^\nabla_a F(r) = e_\alpha^a(x) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^\alpha}(r) - \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(x) e_\alpha^\gamma(x) e_\beta^\delta(x) \frac{\partial F}{\partial e_\delta^c}(r), a = 1, \ldots, n$$

and

$$L^g_a F(r) = e_\alpha^a(x) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^\alpha}(r) - \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ \beta \gamma \end{array} \right\}(x) e_\alpha^\gamma(x) e_\beta^\delta(x) \frac{\partial F}{\partial e_\delta^c}(r), a = 1, \ldots, n.$$ (5)

Then, the horizontal lift of the operator $H_0(g,Q)$ acting on functions defined on $P_{O(n)}$, which we denote as $\tilde{H}_0(g,Q)$ is (see Theorem 1.2, page 238 in [1])

$$\tilde{H}_0(g,Q) = \frac{1}{2} L^\nabla_a (L^\nabla_a),$$

so that for any "basic" function, $\tilde{f}(r) = f \circ \pi(r) \equiv f(x), r = (x,e)$, where $\pi : P_{O(n)} \to M, \pi(r) := x$ for any $r = (x,e) \in P_{O(n)}$ is the bundle projection, we have the identity

$$[\tilde{H}_0(g,Q)\tilde{f}](r) = [H_0(g,Q)f](x)$$

\footnote{Einstein repeated sign sum convention is assumed in the following.}
and the horizontal lift of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, \( \tilde{\Delta}_g \) consequently verifies
\[
\tilde{\Delta}_g = 2\tilde{H}_0(g, 0) = L_a^\nabla^g(L_a^\nabla^g).
\] (8)

Note that we also have
\[
\tilde{H}_0(g, Q) = \frac{1}{2}(L_a^\nabla^g(L_a^\nabla^g)) + L_{\tilde{Q}^g},
\] (9)

where \( \tilde{Q}^g \) is the horizontal lift of \( Q^g \), i.e. \( \tilde{Q}^g(\tilde{f}) := (Q^g(f)) \equiv \pi^*(Q^g(f)) \).

We can now extend these operators to act on smooth differential forms defined on \( M \) and its horizontal lifts to act on smooth differential forms on \( PO(n) \). Indeed, consider
\[
H_p(g, Q) = \frac{1}{2}\triangle_p + L_{\tilde{Q}^g}|_{\Lambda^p(T^*M)},
\] (10)

where
\[
\triangle_p = (d - \delta)^2|_{\Lambda^p(T^*M)}
\]
is the Hodge laplacian acting on differential \( p \)-forms defined on \( M \), so that \( d \) and \( \delta \) are the exterior differential and codifferential operators, respectively. We recall that \( \delta \) is the adjoint operator to \( d \) with respect to the pairing

\[
<< \phi, \nu >> = \int \phi^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p}(x)\nu_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p}(x)vol_g(x),
\]

where \( vol_g(x) = \det (g)dx^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^n \) is the Riemannian volume density, \( \phi = \frac{1}{p!}\phi^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p}(x)dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{\alpha_p} \) and \( \nu = \frac{1}{p!}\nu_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p}(x)dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{\alpha_p} \) are \( p \)-forms and \( \phi^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n} = g^{\beta_1 \alpha_1} \ldots g^{\beta_p \alpha_p}\phi_{\beta_1 \ldots \beta_p} \). Since \( d^2 = 0 \), then also \( \delta^2 = 0 \) and consequently
\[
\triangle_p = -(d\delta + \delta d)|_{\Lambda^p(T^*M)}
\]
(11)
and then
\[
H_p(g, Q) = -\frac{1}{2}(d\delta + \delta d) + L_{\tilde{Q}^g}|_{\Lambda^p(T^*M)}.
\]

As well known, the Lie-derivative of a \( p \)-differential form with respect to a vectorfield is well defined and independant of the metric \( g \):
\[
L_X\omega = (i_X d + di_X)\omega
\]
where \( i_X \) is the interior derivative with respect to the vector field \( X \) and \( \omega \) is an arbitrary \( p \)-form on \( M \), and in case of \( p = 0 \), since \( i_X f = 0 \) for an arbitrary scalar field \( f \) (\( i_X \) reduces degree) we get the original laplacian in (3). While the second term is independant of the metric, the Hodge laplacian depends on the metric and the curvature obtained by taking appropriate expressions on its derivatives. For the forthcoming discussion it is essential we display the dependence of the Hodge laplacian on the curvature, to write the whole laplacian of our interest given by (10) in the form

\[
(H_p(g, Q)\phi)_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p} = \frac{1}{2} (\triangle_g \phi)_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p} - \frac{1}{2} (-1)^\nu \sum_{\nu=1}^{P} R^\beta_{\gamma, \alpha_\nu} \phi_{\gamma \alpha_1 \ldots \hat{\alpha}_\nu \ldots \alpha_p} - \sum_{1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq P} (-1)^{\nu + \mu} R^\beta_{\alpha_\nu, \alpha_\mu} \phi_{\gamma \alpha_1 \ldots \hat{\alpha}_\nu \ldots \hat{\alpha}_\mu \ldots \alpha_p} + (L_Q \phi)_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p}.
\]

(12)

Here, as usual, \( \hat{\alpha} \) denotes omission of the index \( \alpha \). The second and third terms in the r.h.s. of (12), constitute the well known Weitzenbock term, which of course, vanishes completely in the case \( p = 0 \). Note that for 2-forms,

\[
\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge dx^{\alpha_2},
\]

the Weitzenbock term is

\[
- \frac{1}{2} (-1)^\nu \sum_{\nu=1}^{2} R^\beta_{\alpha_\nu} \Omega_{\beta \alpha_\nu} - \sum_{\mu<\nu} (-1)^{\nu + \mu} R^\beta_{\alpha_\nu, \alpha_\mu} \Omega_{\gamma \beta} \]

(13)

where in the first term we have a coupling of the differential form to the Ricci curvature tensor, \( \text{Ric} = (R^\alpha_{\gamma, \alpha}) = (R^\beta_{\gamma, \alpha}) \), and \( R = (R^\beta_{\gamma, \beta}) \) is the (2, 2) tensor associated to the Riemannian curvature tensor [31].

Now, given a \( p \)-form defined on \( M \), we can define a \( p \)-form on \( P_{O(n)} \), its horizontal lift, and thus further establish an isomorphism between \( \Lambda^p(T^*M) \) and \( \Lambda^p(T^*P_{O(n)}) \), the horizontal \( p \)-forms defined on \( P_{O(n)} \), i.e. the subspace of \( \Lambda^p(T^*P_{O(n)}) \) whose projection by the bundle mapping \( \pi \) has zero kernel, i.e. \( \pi^*(\text{hor}(\Lambda^pT^*P_{O(n)})) = \Lambda^p(T^*M) \). Indeed, consider the co-frame bundle \( P^*_O(n) = \{(x, \theta(x) = (\theta^a(x)) = (\theta^a_\alpha(x) dx^\alpha \in T_x^*M, \theta^a(x)(e_b)(x) = \delta^a_b, \text{for any } x \in M \} \), so that \( \theta^a_\alpha \theta^b_\beta \delta^{\alpha \beta} = \delta_{ab} \). Then, given a \( p \)-form \( \Omega \) on \( M \),

\[
\Omega = \Omega(x) = \frac{1}{p!} \Omega_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_p}(x) dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{\alpha_p},
\]

(14)
we can define functions on \( P_O(n) \) given by
\[
\tilde{\Omega}_{a_1...a_p}(r) := \Omega_{a_1...a_p}(x)e^{a_1}(x)\ldots e^{a_p}(x), r = (x, e) \tag{15}
\]
and thus obtain a (horizontal) \( p \)-form on \( P_O(n) \), i.e. an element of \( \text{hor}(\Lambda^p(T^*P_O(n))) \) defined by
\[
\tilde{\Omega} = \tilde{\Omega}(r) = \frac{1}{p!}\Omega_{a_1...a_p}(r)\theta^{a_1}\ldots \theta^{a_p}. \tag{16}
\]
This definition can be inverted: if we start with \( \tilde{\Omega} \in \Lambda^p T^*(P_O(n)) \), we can obtain the \( p \)-form \( \Omega \) defined on \( M \) by
\[
\Omega = \Omega(x) = \frac{1}{p!}\Omega_{a_1...a_p}(x)dx^{a_1}\ldots dx^{a_p}, \tag{17}
\]
where
\[
\Omega_{a_1...a_p}(x) = \tilde{\Omega}_{a_1...a_p}(r)\theta^{a_1}(x)\ldots \theta^{a_p}(x), r = (x, e), \theta = e^{-1}.
\]
Naturally, \( \tilde{\Omega} \) defined by (15) is \( O(n) \)-equivariant, i.e.\[
\tilde{\Omega}_{a_1...a_p}(r) = \tilde{\Omega}_{b_1...b_p}(T_A r) \tilde{B}_{a_1}^{b_1} \ldots \tilde{B}_{a_p}^{b_p}, \tag{18}
\]
for any \( r \in P_O(n), A \in P_O(n), B \) the inverse of \( A \) and \( T_A r = Ar \).
Suppose given a \( p \)-form \( \tilde{\omega} \) defined on \( P_O(n) \); then, we can consider a time-dependant \( p \)-form \( \tilde{\Omega} \) defined on \([0, \infty) \times P_O(n)\) by the expression
\[
\tilde{\Omega}_{a_1...a_p}(\tau, r) = E_r[\tilde{\omega}_{a_1...a_p}(r(\tau, r, w))], \tag{19}
\]
where \( E \) denotes expectation value, \( w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow R^n \) is a continuous mapping such that \( w(0) = 0 \) is the canonical realization of a Wiener process, and \( r = r(\tau, r, w) \) satisfies the Stratonovich stochastic differential equation
\[
\frac{dr(\tau)}{d\tau} = \tilde{L}_u(r(\tau)) \circ dw(\tau), r(0) = r. \tag{20}
\]
We note that if \( r(0) \in P_O(n) \), then \( r(\tau, r, w) \in P_O(n), \forall \tau \geq 0 \). Thus defined, the scalar components \( \tilde{\alpha}_{a_1...a_p} \) of \( \tilde{\Omega}(\tau, r) = \frac{1}{p!}\tilde{\Omega}_{a_1...a_p}\theta^{a_1}\ldots \theta^{a_p} \in \Lambda^p([0, \infty) \times T^*P_O(n)), (1 \leq a_1 < \ldots < a_p \leq p) \) are the unique solution of the Cauchy problem (see page 286 in [1])
\[
\frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau} = \tilde{H}_0(g, Q)V \tag{21}
\]
with
\[ V(0, -) = \tilde{\omega}_{a_1 \ldots a_p}, \forall 1 \leq a_1 < \ldots < a_p \leq p. \] (22)

We wish to solve, more generally, the Cauchy problem for \( p \)-forms on \([0, \infty) \times P_{O(n)}\):
\[ \frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, r) = \tilde{H}_p(g, Q)\tilde{\Omega}(\tau, r), \] (23)
with given
\[ \tilde{\Omega}(0, -) = \tilde{\omega} \in \Lambda^p T^* P_{O(n)}, \] (24)
where we notice that in distinction with the problem (21&22), a coupling of the Weitzenbock term to the components of \( \tilde{\Omega} \) is to be accounted. This problem is simply solved through the use of the Feynman-Kac formula. For this we consider the canonical realization of the generalized horizontal Brownian motion, given by the set
\[ W(P_{O(n)}) := C_0([0, \infty) \rightarrow P_{O(n)}) \]
of all continuous mappings
\[ w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow P_{O(n)}, \]
where \( B(W(P_{O(n)})) \) is the \( \sigma \)-field on \( W(P_{O(n)}) \) generated by the Borel cylinders and \( B_\tau(W(P_{O(n)})) \) be generated by the Borel cylinders up to time \( \tau \). Let \( P_\tau \) be the probability space law on \( W(P_{O(n)}) \) of \( \tau \rightarrow r(\tau, r, w) \). Consider for each \( w \in W(P_{O(n)}) \), the time-dependant \((p, p)\)-tensor \( M(\tau, w) = (M_{a_1 \ldots a_p}(\tau, w)) \) defined on \([0, \infty) \times P_{O(n)}\) as the solution of the system of equations
\[ \frac{dM_{a_1 \ldots a_p}(w(\tau))}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{\nu} \sum_{\nu=1}^p \text{Ric}^{b_1}_k(b_{\nu}(w(\tau)))M_{a_1 \ldots a_p}^{b_2 \ldots b_{\nu+1} b_{\nu+2} \ldots b_{\nu+p}}(w(\tau)) - \sum_{1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq p} (-1)^{\nu+\mu} \tilde{R}_{i,j,k}(w(\tau))M_{a_1 \ldots a_p}^{b_3 \ldots b_{\mu+1} b_{\mu+2} \ldots b_{\mu+p+1} b_{\nu+p+1} b_{\nu+p+2} \ldots b_{\nu+p}}(w(\tau)), \] (25)
with
\[ M_{a_1 \ldots a_p}^{b_1 \ldots b_p}(0) = \delta_{a_1}^{b_1} \ldots \delta_{a_p}^{b_p}, \forall 1 \leq a_1 < \ldots < a_p \leq n, \] (26)
\( \tilde{R} := (\tilde{R}^a_{\beta d}) = (R^\alpha_{\beta e} e^\nu e^\theta a^\theta b^\beta), \) the horizontal lift of the Riemannian curvature \((2,2)\) tensor, which we shall write as \( \tilde{R} = R \otimes e \otimes e \otimes \theta \otimes \theta \), so that we also have that \( R = \tilde{R} \otimes \theta \otimes e \otimes e \otimes e \), and \( \text{Ric}^b_{\ a} = (\text{Ric}^b_{\ a}) = (\tilde{R}^{bc}_{\ca}) \equiv (R^a_{\alpha e^\alpha e^\beta}) \) which we shall write as \( \text{Ric}^b_{\ a} = \text{Ric} \otimes e \otimes \theta \otimes \theta \), is the horizontal lift of the Ricci tensor, to \( P_{O(n)} \). For later use, we introduce the tensor \( \text{Ric}^b_{\ a} = (R^a_{\beta cd}) \), so that \( \text{Ric}^b_{\ a} \otimes \theta \otimes \theta \otimes e \otimes e \), and \( \text{Ric}^b_{\ a} = (\tilde{R}^{bc}_{\ca}) \equiv (R^a_{\alpha e^\alpha e^\beta}) \) which we shall write as \( \text{Ric}^b_{\ a} = \text{Ric} \otimes e \otimes \theta \otimes \theta \), is the horizontal lift of the Ricci tensor, to \( P_{O(n)} \). For later use, we introduce the tensor

\[ R^a_{\beta d} := (R_{\alpha e} e^\nu e^\theta a^\theta b^\beta), \]

so that

\[ R^a_{\beta d} \otimes \theta = \tilde{R}. \]

It is clear that system \((25, 26)\) has a unique solution. From the uniqueness of the solutions, it follows that

\[ M_{\sigma(a_1) \ldots \sigma(a_p)}(\tau, w) = M_{a_1 \ldots a_p}(\tau, w), \]

for every permutation \( \sigma \). One can prove still that this solution is \( O(n) \)-equivariant, since for all \( A \in O(n), w \in W(P_{O(n)}), \)

\[ M_{b_1 \ldots b_p}(\tau, T_A w) = M_{c_1 \ldots c_p}(\tau, w) B_{d_1}^b \ldots B_{d_p}^b A_{a_1}^c \ldots A_{a_p}^c, \]

where \( B = A^{-1} \).

Let now

\[ \tilde{w} = \tilde{w}(r) = \frac{1}{p!} \tilde{w}_{b_1 \ldots b_p} \theta^{b_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \theta^{b_p} \in \Lambda^p(T^* P_{O(n)}) \]

be the horizontal lift of a given \( w = \omega(x) \in \Lambda^p(T^* M) \), and consider the differential form \( \tilde{U} \), defined on \([0, \infty) \times P_{O(n)}\) with components \( \tilde{U}_{a_1 \ldots a_p}(\tau, r) \) given by

\[ \tilde{U}(\tau, r) := E_r[M(\tau, w(\tau)) \otimes \tilde{w}(w(\tau))], \]

which componentwise is

\[ \tilde{U}_{a_1 \ldots a_p}(\tau, r) = E_r[M_{a_1 \ldots a_p}(\tau, w(\tau)) \tilde{w}_{b_1 \ldots b_p}(w(\tau))]. \]

This expression is alternate in \((a_1, \ldots, a_p)\) and obviously, it is \( O(n) \)-equivariant. Consequently, there is a \( p \)-form, \( U \), defined on \([0, \infty) \times M \), whose horizontal lift is \( \tilde{U} \). If we consider the time dependant \( p \)-form given by

\[ M \otimes \tilde{w} := \frac{1}{p!} M_{a_1 \ldots a_p} \tilde{w}_{b_1 \ldots b_p} \theta^{a_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \theta^{a_p}, \]
and apply to it the Ito formula, we get

\[(M \otimes \tilde{\omega})(\tau, w(\tau)) - (M \otimes \tilde{\omega})(0, r) =\]

a martingale + \(\int_0^\tau M \otimes \left[ \frac{\partial \tilde{\omega}}{\partial \tau} + \tilde{H}_p(g, Q)\tilde{\omega} \right](s, w(s)) ds.\) \hspace{1cm} (31)

Therefore,

\[\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}(\tau, r) = \frac{1}{p!} \tilde{U}_{a_1...a_p} \theta^{a_1} \wedge ... \wedge \theta^{a_p}(\tau, r)\]

with components given by (30) is the unique smooth solution of the Cauchy problem

\[\frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial \tau} = \tilde{H}_p(g, Q)\tilde{U},\] \hspace{1cm} (32)

with initial condition

\[\tilde{U}(0, r) = \tilde{\omega}(r).\] \hspace{1cm} (33)

**Theorem 1.** The p-form \(U \in \Lambda^p([0, \infty) \times T^*M),\) such that its horizontal lift coincides with \(\tilde{U},\) i.e. \(\tilde{U} \equiv (U(D\pi)) = U \otimes^p e,\) where \(D\) denotes the derivative and \(\otimes^p e\) denotes the p-th tensor product with \(e,\) is the unique smooth solution of the Cauchy problem

\[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = H_p(g, Q)u, u(0, -) = \omega.\] \hspace{1cm} (34)

This theorem extends a construction originally due to P.Malliavin, for the Riemannian connection driftless case [1,7,30]. The process \(M\) constructed above, to give account of the Riemannian curvature terms, is called a multiplicative operator functional.

To conclude with these definitions, we note that from (6, 10&12) follows an expression -which we shall use later- for the laplacian on 2-forms \(\phi(r) = \phi_{ab} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b\) defined on \(P_{O(n)}: \)

\[\tilde{H}_p(g, Q)\phi_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(L^\nabla)^2 \phi_{ab} + \frac{1}{2}(\text{Ric}^g \phi)_{ab} - (\tilde{R}\phi)_{ab}.\] \hspace{1cm} (35)
3 The heat equation for manifolds with boundary

Now, we shall assume further conditions on $M$, that of having a smooth boundary $\partial M$. Near the boundary, we can choose a coordinate open neighborhood $U$ of $M$, and smooth coordinate functions $(x^1, \ldots, x^n)$, such that $x^n \geq 0$, for all $x \in U$; furthermore $x \in U \cap \partial M$ if and only if $x^n = 0$, and we shall further assume that we have a smooth Riemannian metric $g = (g_{\alpha\beta})$ on $T^*M$ such that $g_{\alpha n}(x) = 0$, for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n - 1$.

Let $\phi$ be a $p$-form on $M$, i.e. $\phi \in \Lambda^p(T^*M)$. Denote by $\phi_{\text{tan}} = \phi|_{\partial M}$, the tangent component of $\phi$, and the normal component by $\phi_{\text{norm}} = \phi - \phi_{\text{tan}}$. We can still represent the normal component using duality. Indeed, if $\ast : \Lambda^p(T^*M) \to \Lambda^{n-p}(T^*M)$ is the star operator defined by the metric $g$, then

$$(-1)^p(n-p)+p-1[\ast(\ast \phi \wedge \eta)]_{\partial M} = \phi_{\text{norm}},$$

where $\eta(x)$ is a 1-form such that $\eta|_{\partial M} = g_{\alpha\beta}(x)n^\beta(x)dx^\alpha, x \in \partial M$, where

$$n(x) := n^\alpha(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{g^{\alpha n}(x)}{g^{nn}(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}, \alpha = 1, \ldots, n, x \in \partial M,$$

is the inward pointing normal unit vector to $\partial M$ at $x$.

Then, we shall say that a $p$-form $\phi$ is said to satisfy the absolute boundary condition if

$$\phi_{\text{norm}} = 0, (d\phi)_{\text{norm}} = 0.$$

Note that in the case of a 2-form on $M$, $\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta$, the absolute boundary conditions for $\Omega$ are

$$\Omega_{\alpha n} = 0, \alpha = 1, \ldots, n - 1,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x^n} = 0, \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, n - 1,$$

respectively.

We wish to solve the boundary-initial-value problem for

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Omega}_{a_1a_2}(\tau, r) \theta^{a_1} \wedge \theta^{a_2} \in \Lambda^2([0, \infty) \times T^*P_O(n))$$

given by

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, r) = \tilde{H}_p(g, Q)\tilde{\Omega}, \quad \tilde{\Omega}(0, r) = \tilde{\omega}(r), r \in P_O(n),$$
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where $\tilde{\omega}$ is the horizontal lift to $P_{O(n)}$ of a given $p$-form, $\omega$ defined on $M$, with the absolute boundary conditions. In fact, conditions (39&40) can be lifted to $[0, \infty) \times P_{O(n)}$ to give:

$$\theta^a_\alpha (x) \theta^a_\beta (x) |_{\partial P_{O(n)}} = 0, \theta = e^{-1} = (\theta^a_\alpha (x) dx^\alpha), \quad (42)$$

$$\theta^a_\alpha (x) \theta^a_\beta (x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \tilde{\Omega}_{a_1 a_2} (\tau, r) |_{\partial P_{O(n)}} = 0, a = 1, \ldots, n - 1. \quad (43)$$

where $\partial P_{O(n)} = \{ r = (x, e) : x \in \partial M \}$. We shall solve problem (41, 42&43) using the generalized horizontal Brownian motion on $P_{O(n)}$ and a multiplicative horizontal operator functional. We start by reformulating the boundary conditions.

Let $P = (P^\alpha_\beta)$ defined by $P^n_n = 1, \quad P^\alpha_\beta = 0, \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \neq n$; consider further $Q := I - P$. Thus, the absolute boundary conditions (42&43) can be rewritten as the single equation

$$P \otimes e \otimes \tilde{\Omega} (r) + Q \otimes e \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \tilde{\Omega} (r, \tau) = 0, \quad r = (x, e) \in \partial P_{O(n)}, \theta = e^{-1}. \quad (44)$$

Furthermore, we can rewrite the boundary conditions (44), instead of as a condition on $\Lambda^2([0, \infty) \times T^* P_{O(n)}), \text{ as a condition for }$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_\tau (r) = \tilde{\Omega}_\tau (r) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Omega}_{a_1 a_2} (\tau, x) dx^a \wedge \theta^a (x) \in L(TM, R^{n*}), \forall \tau \geq 0,$$

i.e. for each $\tau \geq 0$ we have a linear mapping from $TM \to R^{n*}$ such that for each $r = (x, e) \in P_{O(n)}$, $\tilde{\Omega}_\tau (r)$ maps $T_x M$ into $\text{hor} (T^* P_{O(n)}) \simeq R^{n*}$, related to $\tilde{\Omega} (r, \tau)$ by

$$e \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_\tau \equiv \tilde{\Omega}$$

and satisfying the boundary conditions

$$P \otimes e \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_\tau (r) + Q \otimes e \otimes \left[ \frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_\tau}{\partial x^n} (r) - \frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_\tau}{\partial e^m} (r) \Gamma^\alpha_\beta (x) e^\beta_m (x) \right]$$

$$+ \quad (45)$$

$$\quad + \quad e(x) \otimes \Gamma (x) \otimes \theta (x) \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_\tau (r) |_{\partial P_{O(n)}} = 0, \forall r = (x, e) \in \partial P_{O(n)},$$

where $\Gamma (x) = (\Gamma^\alpha_\beta (x))$, and $(\theta \otimes \tilde{\Omega}^\beta_\tau)_{\alpha \beta} = (\frac{1}{2} \theta^\beta_\beta \tilde{\Omega}^\beta_\tau_{a a})$ is the multiplication in the representation space $R^{n*}$ of this linear mapping. Indeed, note that if $\tilde{\Omega}_\tau (r)$ is
Therefore, in account of identity (46), by further multiplying (45) by \( e \otimes \theta \), we retrieve the boundary conditions (44) for equivariant 2-forms in \( \Lambda^2 \) instead of \( P \).

Instead of \( \Lambda^2 \), we start by considering the following Stratonovich stochastic differential equation for the diffusion process \( r(\tau) = (X(\tau), e(\tau)) \) on \( P \approx R^n \times R^n \), following [1]:

\[
dr^\alpha = L^\alpha_k(r(\tau)) \circ dB^k(\tau) + \delta^\alpha_n d\phi(\tau), r(0) = r, \alpha = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{47}
\]

where as before, \( \nabla \) denotes a Riemann-Cartan-Weyl connection with coefficients
of the form (1), \( L^\alpha_k, k = 1, \ldots, n \) denote the canonical horizontal vectorfields defined by this connection on \( P \) in (4), and \( B \) denotes a \( n \)-dimensional Brownian motion. We note that it can be rewritten, for \( r = (X, e) \), as the system

\[
dX^\alpha = e^\alpha_k(\tau) \circ dB^k(\tau) + \delta^\alpha_n d\phi(\tau), X(0) = x, \alpha = 1, \ldots, n \tag{48}
\]

Here, \( \phi(\tau) \) is a continuous non-decreasing process which increases only when \( X(\tau) \in \partial M \), i.e. a local time on \( \partial M \), and which causes the reflection of the process at the boundary.

This is a generalized (i.e. with non-zero drift described by \( \tilde{Q} \)), the horizontal lift of \( Q \) horizontal Brownian motion on the bundle of orthonormal frames \( P \) with reflecting boundary. Indeed, it can be easily seen that if

\[O(n)\)-equivariant, then \( \tilde{\Omega}(\tau, r) = \Omega(\tau, x) \otimes e(x), r = (x, e) \in P_O(n)\) and thus

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_2^\beta}{\partial e_m^\alpha} \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta m}(x) e^\beta_m(x) = e \otimes \Gamma_n(x) \otimes \theta \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_2^\beta. \tag{46}
\]

Therefore, in account of identity (46), by further multiplying (45) by \( \theta \), we retrieve the boundary conditions (44) for equivariant 2-forms in \( \Lambda^2([0, \infty) \times T^*M) \), or multiply by \( \theta \) on the right, to obtain a 2-form in \( \Lambda^2([0, \infty) \times T^*M) \) as explained in the previous footnote.

From a localization argument, we can assume for simplicity that \( M \) is the upper half-space of \( R^n \), \( \{ x : x = (x^1, \ldots, x^n) \in R^n \} \) and \( \partial M = \{ x \in M : x^n = 0 \} \.

The metric \( g \) is assumed further to be bounded and smooth together with all its derivatives, i.e. \( g_{\alpha\beta} \in C^\infty(M), 1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq n \).

We start by considering the following Stratonovich stochastic differential equation for the diffusion process \( r(\tau) = (X(\tau), e(\tau)) \) on \( P \approx R^n \times R^n \), following [1]:

\[
dr^\alpha = L^\alpha_k(r(\tau)) \circ dB^k(\tau) + \delta^\alpha_n d\phi(\tau), r(0) = r, \alpha = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{47}
\]

where as before, \( \nabla \) denotes a Riemann-Cartan-Weyl connection with coefficients
of the form (1), \( L^\alpha_k, k = 1, \ldots, n \) denote the canonical horizontal vectorfields defined by this connection on \( P \) in (4), and \( B \) denotes a \( n \)-dimensional Brownian motion. We note that it can be rewritten, for \( r = (X, e) \), as the system

\[
dX^\alpha = e^\alpha_k(\tau) \circ dB^k(\tau) + \delta^\alpha_n d\phi(\tau), X(0) = x, \alpha = 1, \ldots, n \tag{48}
\]

Here, \( \phi(\tau) \) is a continuous non-decreasing process which increases only when \( X(\tau) \in \partial M \), i.e. a local time on \( \partial M \), and which causes the reflection of the process at the boundary.

This is a generalized (i.e. with non-zero drift described by \( \tilde{Q} \)), the horizontal lift of \( Q \) horizontal Brownian motion on the bundle of orthonormal frames \( P \) with reflecting boundary. Indeed, it can be easily seen that if

\[\text{This identity, which can be rewritten as } \Omega(\tau, x) = \Omega(\tau, r) \otimes \theta(x), \text{ or still } \tilde{\Omega}(\tau, r) = \Omega(\tau, x) \otimes e \otimes e, \text{ will play a crucial role for obtaining the expression for the vorticity as defined on } M \text{ instead of } P_O(n); \text{ we shall work out the boundary-initial-value problem for Navier-Stokes on } [0, \infty) \times L(TM, T^*P_O(n)); \text{ to later project on } \Lambda^2([0, \infty) \times T^*M) \text{ by this procedure.} \]
\((X(0), r(0)) \in P_{O(n)}, \text{ then } (X(\tau), r(\tau)) \in P_{O(n)}\). We readily note that if \(\partial M = 0\) and if we further set \(\phi = 0\) in eq. (47), we obtain the generalized horizontal Brownian motion on \(P_{O(n)}\), for a manifold without boundary. Having assumed that \(g_{\alpha\beta} \in C^\infty_b(M)\), if we assume further that \(Q_\alpha \in C^\infty_b(M) \) \((1 \leq \alpha \leq n)\) and \(\phi \in C^2_b(M)\), then eqt. (47) has a unique strong solution (c.f. Theorem 7.2, chapter IV, [1]).

Set now
\[
A^{nn}(r) = g^{nn}(x), A^{n,\alpha}_k(r) = -e^\beta_k(x) \Gamma^\alpha_{n\beta}(x) g^{nn}(x), r = (x, e).
\] (50)

The following result is crucial to our following constructions.

**Theorem 2.** Let \(r(\tau) = (X(\tau), e(\tau))\), the generalized horizontal Brownian motion with reflecting boundary condition described by eqt. (47). For any smooth function \(F(\tau, r)\) defined on \([0, \infty) \times P_{O(n)}\) we have
\[
dF(\tau, r(\tau)) = [(\tilde{H}_0(g, Q)F)(\tau, r(\tau)) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau}(\tau, r(\tau))]d\tau
\]
\[
+ (L^F_k)(\tau, r(\tau)) dB^k(\tau) + (\tilde{X}_n F)(\tau, r(\tau))d\phi(\tau),
\] (51)

where \(\tilde{X}_n\) is the canonical horizontal lift of \(X_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\), i.e.
\[
(\tilde{X}_n F)(\tau, r) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n}(\tau, r) + A^{n,\alpha}_k(r) \frac{\partial F}{\partial e^\alpha_k}(\tau, r).
\] (52)

**Proof.** It follows from the Ito formula that
\[
dF(\tau, r(\tau)) = e^\alpha_a(\tau) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^\alpha}(\tau, r(\tau)) \circ dB^a(\tau)
\]
\[
- \frac{\partial F}{\partial e^\alpha_a}(\tau, r(\tau)) \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\nu}(X(\tau)) e^\beta_j(\tau) e^\nu_j(\tau) e^a(\tau) \circ dB^a(\tau)
\]
\[
+ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau}(\tau, r(\tau))d\tau + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n}(\tau, r(\tau))d\phi(\tau)
\]
\[
- \frac{\partial F}{\partial e^\alpha_a}(\tau, r(\tau)) \Gamma^\alpha_{nk}(r(\tau)) e^k_a d\phi(\tau)
\]
\[
= (L^F_a)(\tau, r(\tau)) \circ dB^a(\tau) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau}(\tau, r(\tau))d\tau + \tilde{X}_n F(\tau, r(\tau))d\phi(\tau)
\]
so that
\[
d(L^F_a)(\tau, r(\tau)) = L_b^F(L^F_a)(\tau, r(\tau)) \circ dB^b(\tau)
\]
\[
+ \frac{\partial (L^F_a)}{\partial \tau}(\tau, r(\tau))d\tau + \tilde{X}_n (L^F_a)(\tau, r(\tau))d\phi(\tau)
\]
and then
\[(L_a^\nabla F)(\tau, r(\tau)) \circ dB(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} d(L_a^\nabla F)(\tau, r(\tau)) \bullet dB^a(\tau) + (L_a^\nabla F)(\tau, r(\tau)) dB^a(\tau) \]

\[= \frac{1}{2} (L_a^\nabla)^2 F(\tau, r(\tau)) d\tau + (L_a^\nabla F)(\tau, r(\tau)) \bullet dB^a(\tau) \]

\[= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{H}_0(g, Q)(\tau, r(\tau)) d\tau + (L_a^\nabla F)(\tau, r(\tau)) dB^a(\tau), \]

the last identity follows from (6), and \(\bullet\) denotes the Ito contraction on stochastic differentials [1], with which we can conclude with the proof.

From Theorem 2 follows that the process \(r(\tau) \in P_{O(n)}\) is determined by the differential generator \(\tilde{H}_0(g, Q)\) with the boundary condition \(\dot{X}_n F = 0\) on \(\partial P_{O(n)}\). Furthermore,

\[dX^n(\tau) \bullet dX^n(\tau) = A^{nn}(r(\tau)) d\tau, \quad (53)\]

\[dX^n(\tau) \bullet \delta_k^n(\tau) = A_k^{n,\alpha}(r(\tau)) d\tau, \alpha, k = 1, \ldots, n. \quad (54)\]

To obtain a solution of the boundary-initial-value problem (41&45) for the case of smooth boundary, we need to construct a multiplicative operator functional, which extends the one presented for the boundaryless case presented in Theorem 1. Consider a canonical realization of the generalized horizontal Brownian motion on \(P_{O(n)}\) with reflecting boundary condition. We thus consider \(W(P_{O(n)}) = C([0, \infty) \rightarrow P_{O(n)})\), provided with the probability measure \(P_\tau\) of the solution of (46) and, \(r(\tau, w) = w(\tau), \forall w \in W(P_{O(n)})\). Given a Borel probability measure \(\mu\) on \(P_{O(n)}\), we define \(P_\mu(B) = \int_{P_{O(n)}} P_\tau(B) \mu(dr), \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(W(P_{O(n)}))\). Let \(\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_\mu \mathcal{B}(W(P_{O(n)}))^{P_\mu}\) and \(\mathcal{F}_\mu = \{A \in \mathcal{F}: \text{for any } \mu, \text{ there exists } B_\mu \in B_\tau(W(P_{O(n)})), \text{ such that } P_\mu(A \Delta B) = 0\}\). In the following we fix \(\mu\) and consider the probability space \((W(P_{O(n)}), \mathcal{F}, P_\mu)\). Writing for simplicity \(r(\tau) \equiv r(\tau, w) = (X(\tau, w), e(\tau, w)) \equiv (X(\tau), e(\tau)),\) we set

\[\phi(\tau) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_0^\tau I_{[0, \epsilon]}(X^n(s)) g^{nn}(x) ds, \quad (55)\]

and

\[B^i(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \theta^i_\alpha(s) \circ [dX^\alpha(s) - \delta_\alpha^i d\phi(s)], \quad (56)\]

where \(\theta = (\theta_\alpha^\alpha(x) dx^\alpha)\) is the dual (co-tetrad) field to \(e\). Then, \(\{B^i(\tau) : i = 1, \ldots, n\}\) is an \(n\)-dimensional \((\mathcal{F}_\tau)\)-Brownian motion and \(\{r(\tau) = r(\tau, w), \phi(\tau)\}\) satisfies the s.d.e. equation (47).
Lemma 1. \(\{P_r\}\) is invariant under the action of \(O(n)\), i.e. if we define

\[
(T_Aw)(\tau) = T_A(w(\tau)), \forall w \in w(P_{O(n)}), \tau \geq 0
\]

and if \(T_A(P_r)\) is the image of \(P_r\) under the mapping \(w \rightarrow T_Aw\), then,

\[
T_A(P_r) = P_{T_A(r)}.
\]

Proof: Let \(r(\tau)\) be a solution of eq. (47) with \(B(\tau)\) and \(\phi(\tau)\) such that \(r(0) = r\). Then for \(A \in O(n)\), \(\tilde{r}(\tau) := T_A(r(\tau))\) is a solution of eq. (47) with \(\tilde{B}(\tau) = A^{-1}B(\tau)\) and \(\tilde{\phi}(\tau) = \phi(\tau)\), such that \(\tilde{r}(0) = Ar\). If we further observe that \(\tilde{B}(\tau)\) is another \(n\)-dimensional Brownian motion, then \(T_A(P_r) = P_{T_A(r)}\) holds by the uniqueness of solutions.

As a consequence of Lemma 1, we note that \(X(\tau) = \pi(r(\tau))\) defines a diffusion process on \(M\) whose differential generator is \(H_0(g, Q)\), and with boundary condition given by the vanishing of the normal derivative of \(f\) at \(x \in \partial M\):

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial n} := n^\alpha(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\alpha} = 0, \ x \in \partial M.
\]

This diffusion is the so-called generalized Brownian motion on \(M\) with reflecting boundary condition; it represents the random process on actual configuration space, accounting with the given boundary conditions.

In the following we shall fix a probability measure \(\mu\) and consider the probability space \((W(P_{O(n)}), \mathcal{F}, P_\mu)\). Then \(r(\tau) = r(\tau, w) = (X(\tau, w), e(\tau, w)) = (X(\tau), e(\tau))\) is the solution of eq. (47) with \(B(\tau)\) and \(\phi(\tau)\) given by (55&56).

We shall consider the \(R^n \otimes R^n\)-valued process \(K(\tau) = (K^\alpha(\tau, w))\) defined-in extending a construction due to H. Airault (see [3])- as follows: Set \(K^1(\tau) = K(\tau) \otimes P\) and \(K^2(\tau) = K(\tau) \otimes Q\); hence \(K(\tau) = K^1(\tau) + K^2(\tau)\), where

(i) for any \(\tau \geq 0\) such that \(X(\tau) \in \text{int}(M)\),

\[
dK^1(\tau) := dK(\tau) \otimes P = K(\tau) \otimes [\theta(\tau) \otimes de(\tau)
+ \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\text{Ric}(X(\tau)) - R(X(\tau)) \right\} d\tau] \otimes P;
\]

(ii) for any \(\tau \geq 0\),

\[
dK^2(\tau) := dK^2(\tau)Q = K(\tau) \otimes [\theta(\tau) \otimes de(\tau)
+ \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\text{Ric}(X(\tau)) - R(X(\tau)) \right\} d\tau] I_{\text{int}(M)}(X(\tau)) \otimes Q;
\]

and with probability 1, \(\tau \rightarrow K^1(\tau)\) is right-continuous with left-hand limits; furthermore

(iii) \(K^1(\tau) = 0\), if \(X(\tau) \in \partial M\),
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and the initial value is
\[(iv) \quad K^1(0) = I_{\text{int}(M)}(X(0))e(0) \otimes P, K^2(0) = e(0) \otimes Q. \quad (63)\]

Note that in terms of a component representation for \(K\), we have that the above expressions appear to be of the form
\[
(dK)^{1\gamma}_\alpha P^\delta_\gamma = K^{\beta}_\alpha [(\theta \otimes de)^\gamma_\beta P^\delta_\gamma + (R^\gamma_\beta + R^\gamma_\delta)e^\alpha_\beta d\tau] = K^{\beta}_\alpha [(\theta \otimes de)^\gamma_\beta P^\delta_\gamma + (\theta^\beta_\gamma e^\alpha_\beta + \theta^\alpha_\beta R^\alpha_\beta e^\gamma_\beta) P^\delta_\gamma d\tau].
\]

and similarly for \(K^2\).  

An equivalent formulation is the following. An \(R^n \otimes R^n^*\)-valued process adapted to \((\mathcal{F}_\tau)\) is a solution of the above s.d.e. with the given initial conditions iff
\[
K^1(\tau) = K(\tau) \otimes P = I_{\{\tau < \sigma\}}(e(0) + \int_0^\tau K(s) \otimes [\theta(s) \otimes de(s)] \otimes P
\]
\[+ \{\frac{1}{2}\text{Ric}(X(s)) - R(X(s))\}ds) \otimes P
\]
\[+ I_{\{\tau \geq \sigma\}} \int_{t(\tau)}^\tau K(s) \otimes [\theta(s) \otimes de(s) + \{\frac{1}{2}\text{Ric}(X(s)) - R(X(s))\}ds] \otimes P, \quad (64)\]
\[
K^2(\tau) = K(\tau) \otimes Q = e(0) \otimes Q + \int_0^\tau K(s) \otimes [\theta(s) \otimes de(s)
\]
\[+ \{\frac{1}{2}\text{Ric}(X(s)) - R(X(s))\}ds] I_{\text{int}(M)}(X(s)) \otimes Q, \quad (65)\]

where
\[
\sigma = \inf\{s : X(s) \in \partial M\}, \quad (66)
\]

and equal to 0 if this set is empty, is the first-hitting time of \(\{X(\tau) : \tau \geq 0\}\) to \(\partial M\), and
\[
t(\tau) = \sup\{s : s \leq \tau, X(s) \in \partial M\}, \quad (67)
\]

and equal to 0 if this set is empty, is the last-exit time from \(\partial M\).

---

\(^3\)It may seem that instead of taking \(R\) we should take the tensor with components \((R^{\alpha\beta}_\gamma)^e_\delta\) = \((R^{\alpha\beta}_\gamma e^e_\delta)\), yet on multiplying with \(P\) and \(Q\) it turns to be indistinct with our previous choice.
Let \( \Xi \) be the set of all \( R^n \otimes R^n^* \)-valued processes \( \xi(\tau) \) defined on \((W(P_{D(n)}), \mathcal{F}, P)\) adapted to \((\mathcal{F}_\tau)\) such that \( \tau \rightarrow \xi(\tau) \) is right continuous with left-hand limits almost surely and satisfies
\[
\sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} E\mu[||\xi(\tau)||^2] < \infty, \forall T > 0. \tag{68}
\]
Define a mapping \( \Phi : \Xi \rightarrow \Xi \)
\[
\Phi^1(\xi)(\tau) = \Phi(\xi)(\tau)P = I_{\{\tau < \sigma\}}(e(0) + \int_0^\tau K(s) \otimes [\theta(s) \otimes de(s)] \otimes P
+ I_{\{\tau \geq \sigma\}} \int_{t(\tau)}^\tau K(s) \otimes [\theta(s) \otimes de(s)] + \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}(X(s)) - R(X(s)) \right) ds \otimes P, \tag{69}
\]
\[
\Phi^2(\xi)(\tau) = \Phi(\xi)(\tau)Q = e(0)Q + \int_0^\tau K(s) \otimes [\theta(s) \otimes de(s)] + \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}(X(s)) - R(X(s)) \right) ds \otimes Q. \tag{70}
\]
Let \( A(\tau) \) be the right-continuous inverse of \( \tau \rightarrow \phi(\tau) \); set \( D = \{ s : 0 \leq s, A(s- < A(s) \} \). If \( \tau > 0 \) is fixed, then \( t(\tau) = A(\phi(\tau)-) \) a.s. Now for \( g(\tau) \) an \((\mathcal{F}_\tau)\)-well measurable process such that \( \tau \rightarrow E\mu[g(\tau)^2] \) is locally bounded then (see Theorem (6.6) in Ikeda and Watanabe [1])
\[
E\mu[\int_{t(\tau)}^\tau g(s) dB^k(s)]^2 \leq E\mu[\sum_{u \in D} \int_{A(u^-)}^{A(u)^s} g(s) dB^k(s)]^2 = E\mu[\int_0^\tau g(s)^2 ds].
\]
Then, for every \( T > 0 \) there is a constant \( K = K(T) \) such that
\[
E\mu[||\Phi(\xi)(\tau)||^2] \leq K(1 + \int_0^\tau E\mu[||\xi(s)||^2] ds), \forall \tau \in [0,T]. \tag{71}
\]
This proves that if \( \xi \in \Xi \), then \( \Phi(\xi) \in \Xi \). Again, using Theorem 6.6 of [1], we have for \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Xi \), that
\[
E\mu[||\Phi(\xi_1)(\tau) - \Phi(\xi_2)(\tau)||^2] \leq k \int_0^\tau E\mu[||\xi_1(s) - \xi_2(s)||^2] ds, \tau \in [0,T]. \tag{72}
\]
Then, from standard arguments follows the following result.

**Theorem 3.** The stochastic differential equation (60−63) has a solution $K(\tau) \in \Xi$ which is unique.

Let $K(\tau)$ be the solution of (60−63); define

$$M(\tau, w) := K(\tau, w) \otimes \theta(\tau, w) = (K(\tau, w) \theta(\tau, w)), \tau \geq 0,$$

(73)

where we recall $\theta$ is the co-tetrad field dual to $e$.

**Theorem 4.** $M(\tau, w)$ is an $R^{n} \otimes R^{n}^{\ast} \cong L(TM, R^{n}^{\ast})$-valued multiplicative horizontal functional of the generalized horizontal Brownian motion on $P_{O(n)}$ with reflecting boundary, i.e.

$$(i) M(\tau, w) \text{ is } (\mathcal{F}_{\tau})- \text{ adapted}, \quad (74)$$

$$(ii) \forall \tau, s \geq 0, M(\tau + s, w) = M(s, w)M(\tau, \alpha_{s}w), \text{ almost surely} \quad (75)$$

where the shift operator $\alpha_{s} : W(P_{O(n)}) \to W(P_{O(n)})$ is defined by $(\alpha_{s}w)(\tau) = w(\tau + s)$.

**Proof:** Part $(i)$ is obvious by construction. We now fix $s$ and consider $\tilde{K}(\tau) := K(\tau + s, w), \tilde{X}(\tau) := X(\tau + s, w)$ and $\tilde{e}(\tau) := e(\tau + s, w)$. Thus, $\tilde{K}(\tau)$ satisfies eqts. (60−63) with respect to $(\tilde{X}(\tau), \tilde{e}(\tau))$. Still, if we apply the shift operator $\alpha_{s}$ to $K(\tau)$ we obtain that $\kappa(\tau) = K(\tau, \alpha_{s}w)$ satisfies eqts. (60−63) with respect to $(X(\tau, \alpha_{s}w), e(\tau, \alpha_{s}w)) = (\tilde{X}(\tau), \tilde{e}(\tau))$. If we set

$$K'(\tau) := K(s, w) \otimes \theta(s, w) \otimes \kappa(\tau),$$

then,

$$K'(0) = K(s, w) \otimes \theta(s, w) \otimes (I_{\text{int}(M)}(X(s, w)) \otimes e(s, w) \otimes P + e(s, w) \otimes Q)$$

$$= K(s, w) \otimes (I_{\text{int}(M)}(X(s, w)) \otimes P + Q) = K(s, w),$$

by (63) and the fact that $\theta \otimes e \equiv I$, by definition. Hence $\tilde{K}(\tau)$ and $K'(\tau)$ satisfy both eqts. (60−63) and consequently, they coincide for every $\tau$. Then,

$$K(\tau + s, w) = K(s, w) \otimes \theta(s, w) \otimes K(\tau, \alpha_{s}w),$$

and thus multiplying by $\theta(\tau + s, w) = \theta(\tau, \alpha_{s}w)$ on the right yields the second part of this Theorem. c.q.d.

An important property of $M = (M(\tau, w))$ is the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.** If $X(0) \in \partial M$, then

$$P \otimes \theta(0) \otimes M(\tau) = 0, \forall \tau \geq 0. \quad (76)$$
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\textbf{Proof:} It is enough to prove that \( P \otimes \theta(0) \otimes K(\tau) = 0, \forall \tau \geq 0. \) If \( X(0) \in \partial M, \) then
\[
P \otimes \theta(0) \otimes K(\tau) = P \otimes \theta(0) (I_{\text{int}(M)}(X(0)) e(0) \otimes P + e(0) \otimes Q) = P \otimes Q = PQ = 0.
\]

Since \( \tilde{K}(\tau) = P \otimes \theta(0) \otimes K(\tau) \) satisfies eqts. (60–63) with respect to \( \tilde{X}(\tau), \tilde{e}(\tau) \), then \( \tilde{K}(\tau) = 0 \) by the uniqueness of solutions.

\textbf{Lemma 3.} \( M(\tau, T_A w) = A \tau, w A^{-1}, \tau \geq 0, A \in O(n). \)

\textbf{Proof:} Since \( X(\tau, T_A w) = X(\tau, w) \) and \( e(\tau, T_A w) = A e(\tau, w) \), it follows from the uniqueness of the solutions of eqts. (60–63), that \( K(\tau, T_A w) = K(\tau, w) \). Thus, \( M(\tau, T_A w) = K(\tau, w) \otimes \theta(\tau, w) A^{-1} = A \tau, w A^{-1}. \)

\textbf{Proposition 1.} For any \( \tau \geq 0 \) such that \( X(\tau) \in \text{int}(M), \) \( M(\tau) = M(\tau, w) \) satisfies
\[
dM(\tau) = M(\tau) \otimes \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}^b(\tau - \mathcal{R}^b(\tau))d\tau.
\]

\textbf{Proof:}
\[
dM(\tau) = K(\tau) \otimes \theta(\tau) \otimes \left[ d\tau(\tau) \otimes \theta(\tau) + e(\tau) \otimes d\theta(\tau) + d\tau(\tau) \otimes d\theta(\tau) \right]
\]
\[
+ K(\tau) \otimes \theta(\tau) \otimes \left[ \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}(\tau) - \mathcal{R}(\tau) \right] d\tau
\]
\[
= K(\tau) \otimes \theta(\tau) \otimes d(e(\tau) \otimes \theta(\tau)) + K(\tau) \otimes \theta(\tau) \left[ \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}(\tau) - \mathcal{R}(\tau) \right] d\tau
\]
\[
= K(\tau) \otimes \theta(\tau) \otimes \left[ \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric} - \mathcal{R}(\tau) \right] d\tau
\]
(since \( d(e(\tau)) \otimes \theta(\tau) = d(\text{constant}) = 0) \)
\[
= M(\tau) \otimes \left[ \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}^b(\tau) - \mathcal{R}^b(\tau) \right] d\tau,
\]
where the last identity follows trivially from the definitions of \( \text{Ric}^b \) and \( \mathcal{R}^b \), since componentwise we have the equation for \( M = (M^d) = (K^d) \)
\[
dM^d_\alpha = (K^\beta \theta^\beta_\beta R^a_{\beta} e^\gamma_\alpha \theta^d_\gamma + K^\beta \theta^\beta_\beta R^a_{\beta} e^\gamma_\alpha \theta^d_\gamma) d\tau
\]
\[
= (K^\beta \theta^\beta_\beta R^a_{\beta} e^\gamma_\alpha \theta^d_\gamma + K^\beta \theta^\beta_\beta R^a_{\beta} e^\gamma_\alpha \theta^d_\gamma) d\tau
\]
\[
= (M^a_{\alpha} R^d_{\beta} + M^a_{\alpha} R^d_{\beta}) d\tau,
\]
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where we finally note that the r.h.s. of (78) coincides with the coupling of $M$ to the Weitzenbock term in which $\tilde{R}$ has been substituted by $R^p$.

Let $C_0(P_{O(n)} \to L(TM, R^{n^*})) \simeq T^*M \otimes T^*P_{O(n)}$ be the set of all bounded continuous functions $F(r)$ on $P_{O(n)}$ taking values in $L(TM, R^{n^*})$, and such that

$$P \otimes \theta \otimes F(r) = 0, \text{ if } r = (x, e) \in \partial P_{O(n)}, \theta = e^{-1},$$

where again as before we note that the $\otimes$-product with $F$ is meant in the image, $R^{n^*}$ of the 2-form $F(r) = \frac{1}{2} F_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta(x)$ defined on $TM \wedge R^n$ by $F$.

For $\tilde{\omega} \in C_0(P_{O(n)} \to L(TM, R^{n^*}))$ and $r \geq 0$, set

$$(H_r \tilde{\omega})(r) := E_r[M(\tau, w) \otimes \tilde{\omega}(r, \tau, w)].$$

Componentwise, we have that

$$(H_r \tilde{\omega})_{\alpha\beta}(r) := E_r[M(\tau, w)_{\alpha}\tilde{\omega}_{\beta}(r, \tau, w)].$$

**Theorem 5.** \{\(H_r\)\} defines a one-parameter semigroup of operators on $C_0(P_{O(n)} \to L(TM, R^{n^*}))$.

**Proof:** From Lemma (1) we obtain that for $r \in \partial P_{O(n)}$, $P \otimes \theta \otimes (H_r \tilde{\omega})(r) = 0$. From the uniqueness of the solutions of the s.d.e. (60 – 63) we deduce the continuity of the mapping $r \to P_r \in F(W(P_{O(n)}))$, where $F(W(P_{O(n)}))$ is the set of all probabilities on $W(P_{O(n)})$ with the weak convergence topology; consequently, the functions $(H_r \tilde{\omega})(r)$ are continuous in $r$. The equivariance of \{\(H_r\)\} follows from Lemmas 1 and 2.

We are now in conditions of stating the solution of our boundary-initial-value problem (41&45), extending thus Theorem 1 to the case of smooth boundaries.

**Theorem 6.** Let $F(\tau, r)$ be a $L(TM, R^{n^*})$-valued smooth functions on $[0, \infty) \times P_{O(n)}$ such that for each $r \geq 0$, the mapping $r \to F(\tau, r)$ is a function in $C_0(P_{O(n)} \to L(TM, R^{n^*}))$. Then, with probability one,

$$M(\tau) \otimes F(\tau, r(\tau)) - M(0) \otimes F(0, r(0)) = \int_0^\tau M(s) \otimes (L^T s F(s, r(s))dB^a(s) +$$

$$+ \int_0^\tau M(s) \otimes \left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau}(s, r(s)) + \tilde{H}_0 F(s, r(s)) + \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}^\gamma(r(s)) - R^\beta(r(s)) \otimes F(s, r(s)) \right) dsight.$$

$$+ \int_0^\tau M(s) \otimes e(s) \otimes Q \otimes \theta(s) \otimes \left[ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^m}(s, r(s)) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial e^m}(s, r(s)) \Gamma_{\alpha\beta} \cdot (X(s)) e^m = \gamma(s) \Gamma_{\alpha\beta} \cdot (X(s)) e^m(s) +$$

$$e(s) \otimes \Gamma_{\alpha}(X(s) \otimes \theta(s) \otimes F(s, r(s))]d\phi(s).$$
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Proof. The proof is an extension of a similar result for 1-forms, Theorem 6.5 in [1], and is almost identical: One has to replace the expression \( \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}^b \) everywhere it appears by \( \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}^b - R^b \).

Note that the r.h.s. term of eq. (82) in which appears the coupling of \( F \) to the curvature terms, which consistent with our previous notations can be thought as an object of the form \( \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Omega}_{\alpha a} dx^a \wedge \theta^a \) coupling with \( \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}^b - R^b \), coincides with the coupling of the Weitzenbock potential term \( \frac{1}{2} \text{Ric}^b - \tilde{R} \) to an equivariant 2-form \( \tilde{F} \) on \( PO(n) \) induced by \( F \) from the identity \( e \otimes F = \tilde{F} \), or with our previous notation a 2-form \( \tilde{\Omega} \) which satisfies \( \tilde{\Omega} = e \otimes \tilde{\Omega}^b \): Indeed, \( \tilde{R}^b F = \tilde{R} \tilde{F} \), and already \( \text{Ric}^b \otimes F \equiv \text{Ric}^b \tilde{F} \), so that this term expresses the multiplicative operator functional for the zero-boundary case, while the last two terms in the identity (82) give precise account of the boundary conditions. Therefore, Theorem 6 can be regarded as a martingale problem solution [40] to the boundary-initial value problem (41&45); thus, we have proved that \( H_{\tau} \omega \) is the solution to this problem.

4 The Navier-Stokes equations for the vorticity and the absolute boundary conditions

We consider an oriented smooth connected manifold \( M \) with smooth boundary, \( \partial M \), provided with a Riemannian metric, \( g \), and with a time-dependant 1-form \( u(\tau, x) = u_\tau(x), \tau \geq 0, x \in M \). The Navier-Stokes equations for the velocity time-dependant 1-form \( u_\tau(x) \) satisfying the incompressibility condition

\[
\delta u_\tau(x) = 0,
\]

is the non-linear diffusion equation \(^4\)

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}(\tau, x) + \mathcal{P} \nabla^g_{u_\tau} u_\tau = \nu \mathcal{P} \Delta_1 u_\tau
\]

(83)

where \( \mathcal{P} \) denotes the projection operator into the co-closed component of the Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition of \( u_\tau \) \((\tau \geq 0)\) which in view of the trivial identity (c.f. theorem 1.17 in [16])

\[
L_{u_\tau} = \nabla^g_{u_\tau} u_\tau + \frac{1}{2} d(g(u_\tau, u_\tau)),
\]

\(^4\)While in the case of a manifold without boundary, the viscosity term \( \nu \Delta_1 u_\tau \) (\( \nu \) is the kinematical viscosity) commutes with \( \mathcal{P} \), in the case with boundary we have to impose it from the beginning, i.e. we take \( \nu \mathcal{P} \Delta_1 u_\tau \) (c.f. page 144 in [17]) and in any case we are left with the expression in the r.h.s. of eq. (83) or eq. (84).
so that the non-linear term is
\[ \mathcal{P} \nabla^g_{u^g} u_\tau = \mathcal{P} L_{u^g} u_\tau, \]

since by definition \( \mathcal{P} \) vanishes on exact 1-forms, and thus the Navier-Stokes equation takes the form
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \mathcal{P} (\Delta_1 u_\tau + L_{-u^g} u_\tau) \]

which from expression (10) we readily conclude that it can be rewritten as [4-6,12,13]
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}(\tau, x) = \mathcal{P} H_1(2\nu g, -\frac{1}{2\nu} u_\tau) u_\tau(x), \quad x \in M, \tau \geq 0. \tag{84} \]

We further have the no-slip boundary condition given by
\[ u|_{\partial M} = 0. \]

If we know define the vorticity 2-form of the fluid as
\[ \Omega = \Omega_\tau(x) = du_\tau(x), \]
for any \( x \in M, \tau \geq 0 \). Let us apply \( d \) to (84); in account that in writing down the Hodge decomposition of the non-linear term we obtain that
\[ d\mathcal{P} L_{-u^g} u_\tau = L_{-u^g} du_\tau = L_{-u^g} \Omega_\tau, \]
and that similarly, further taking in account (11) we obtain that
\[ d\mathcal{P} \Delta_1 u_\tau = \Delta_2 \Omega_\tau, \]
so that altogether we obtain the Navier-Stokes equations for the vorticity
\[ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \tau}(\tau, x) = H_2(2\nu g, -\frac{1}{2\nu} u_\tau) \Omega_\tau(x), \quad x \in M, 0 \leq \tau. \tag{85} \]

Since we have assumed that \( M \) has a smooth boundary \( \partial M \), our interest now resides in boundary conditions. Then,
\[ \Omega = \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta \]

where for simplicity we have omitted the variables \((\tau, x)\), satisfies the absolute boundary conditions iff
\[ \Omega_{\alpha n} = 0, \forall \alpha = 1 \ldots n - 1, \text{ and } \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \Omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0, 1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n. \tag{86} \]
These are the boundary conditions considered in Fluid Mechanics for the vorticity. Now if we apply $\delta$ to the definition of the vorticity, from eqt. (11) we obtain that

$$\delta \Omega = \delta du = -\nabla_1 u + d\delta u = -2H_1(g, 0)u,$$

where in the last identity we have taken in account the incompressibility condition and eqt. (10) with $Q \equiv 0$, and thus we obtain the Poisson-de Rham equation for the velocity

$$H_1(g, 0)u(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\delta \Omega(x), \quad \tau \geq 0, \quad x \in M. \quad (87)$$

We have already described in [5,6 & 13], the representations for this equation in the case of smooth boundary, by running either a gradient diffusion process by isometrically embedding $M$ in an Euclidean space, or by running random Hessian and Ricci flows in the case of an arbitrary compact manifold, to solve for the vorticity and the velocity, respectively. Yet, in the above mentioned articles, the representation for the vorticity in the smooth boundary case was not given, so we shall now deal with this problem.

We start by noting that from eqts. (1,10&85) and our constructions in Section I we can conclude that there is a Riemann-Cartan-Weyl connection on $TM$ associated to the Navier-Stokes operator. This connection is determined by the Riemannian metric $2\nu g$, it is compatible with this metric, and has a trace-torsion determined by the time-dependant 1-form $Q(\tau, x) = Q_\tau(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\nu u(\tau, x)$ ($x \in M, \tau \geq 0$) [4-6,13]. Thus, this "Navier-Stokes" connection, which we shall denote as $\nabla$, has for Christoffel coefficients, the $n^3$ time-dependant functions on $M$ defined by

$$\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(\tau, x) = \frac{2}{(n-1)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\nu} \delta^\gamma_\beta u(\tau, x) + \frac{1}{2\nu} g_{\beta\gamma}(x) u(\tau, x)^\alpha \right\}, \quad (88)$$

so that we have a time-dependant horizontal canonical vector field, $L^\nabla(\tau, r) = (L^\nabla_a(\tau, r)), a = 1, \ldots, n)$ associated to $\nabla$ by (4). It is trivial to check that expression (88) indeed defines a connection compatible with the metric $2\nu g$ and with

---

5Indeed, from the no-slip boundary condition, it follows say, in the flat 3d case that the vector normal to $\partial M$ given by $\Omega = \text{rot} u_r$ (for every $\tau$) vanishes, which in invariant form is nothing else than $\Omega_{\alpha n} = 0$, for every $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. The condition $(d\Omega)_\text{norm} = 0$, i.e. $\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial n} = 0$, represents that the flow of vorticity through the normal to the boundary is zero, which is a natural condition for a non-permeable boundary, for every $\tau$.

6The first term in (88) designate the Christoffel coefficients of the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^g$ defined in terms of the metric and its first order derivatives.
trace-torsion given by $\frac{1}{2\nu} u_\tau$. As long as eqt. (84) admits an unique solution in $[0,T]$, then this connection is uniquely determined in $[0,T]$ as well.

We wish to solve the boundary-initial-value problem for

$$\tilde{\Omega} \in \Lambda^2([0,T] \times P_{O(n)}), \text{ for } T > 0$$

given by the Navier-Stokes equation for the vorticity as written on $P_{O(n)}$:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, r) = \tilde{H}_2(2\nu g, -\frac{1}{2\nu} u_\tau)\Omega_\tau(r), \quad \tilde{\Omega}(0, r) = \tilde{\omega}(r)$$ \hspace{1cm} (89)

where $\tilde{\omega}$ is the horizontal lift of a given 2-form $\omega$ defined on $M$ (the vorticity at time $0$), and

$$\theta^\nu(\theta^\nu_a \Omega_ab + \theta^\nu_a \frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_{ab}}{\partial x^n})(\tau, r) = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial P_{O(n)}, \forall \tau \geq 0, \alpha, b = 1, \ldots, n - 1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (90)

From (35) we note that we can rewrite the initial-value problem (89) as

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}}{\partial \tau}(\tau, r) = \nu(L^\nabla_a(\tau, r)(L^\nabla_a)(\tau, r))(\tilde{\Omega}_\tau(r)) + \nu \operatorname{Ric}^b \otimes \tilde{\Omega}(\tau, r)$$

$$-2\nu R \otimes \tilde{\Omega}(\tau, r), 0 \leq \tau \leq T,$$ \hspace{1cm} (91)

with

$$\tilde{\Omega}(0) = \tilde{\omega},$$ \hspace{1cm} (92)

and we already proved (c.f. equation (45)) that the boundary conditions admit the expression

$$P \otimes \theta \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_\tau^\nu + Q \otimes \theta \otimes \left[ \frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_\tau^\nu}{\partial x^n} - \frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_\tau^\nu}{\partial e_m^\alpha} \otimes \Gamma^\alpha_{n\beta}(\tau, x) \otimes e_m^\beta(x) \right]$$

$$+ e(x) \otimes \Gamma_n(\tau, x) \otimes \theta(x) \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_\tau^\nu)(\tau, r) = 0, \forall r = (x, e) \in \partial P_{O(n)}, \quad (93)$$

where $\Gamma_n(\tau, x) = (\Gamma^\alpha_{n\beta}(\tau, x))$, and $\tilde{\Omega}_\tau^\nu$ (for $0 \leq \tau \leq T$) is in $L(TM, R^{n*})$ and verifies that its partial horizontal lift $e(x) \otimes \tilde{\Omega}_\tau(r)$ is the corresponding time-dependant 2-form on $P_{O(n)}$ in the boundary-initial-value problem (91–93). The

---

These are the expressions on $P_{O(n)}$ of the given absolute boundary conditions which in p.d.e. theory are "Robin"-type conditions.
essential difference with (41&45) is that the differential operator has a time-dependant drift term.

Consider the Stratonovich stochastic differential equation for the diffusion process \( r(r, \tau, t) = (X(x, \tau, t), e(e, \tau, t)) \) on \( P_{O(n)} \sim R_+^n \times R^{n^2} \) defined by running backwards in time the s.d.e. (47); i.e. :

\[
dr^\alpha(r, \tau, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \eta_k(\tau - t, r(\tau, \tau, t)) \circ dB^k(t) + \dot{\delta}^\alpha_n d\phi(\tau - t), 0 \leq t \leq \tau \leq T
\]

\( (\alpha = 1, \ldots, n) \) with initial condition

\[
r(r, \tau, 0) = r, 0 \leq t \leq \tau \leq T.
\]

We note that it can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{aligned}
dX^\alpha(x, \tau, t) &= e^\alpha_k(e, \tau, t) \circ dB^k(t) + \dot{\delta}^\alpha_n d\phi(\tau - t), 0 \leq t \leq \tau \leq T \\
der^\alpha_k(e, \tau, t) &= -\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}(\tau - t, X(x, \tau, t)) \circ dB^\beta(p(e, \tau, t)) \\
&= -\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}(\tau - t, X(x, \tau, t)) e^\gamma_k(e, \tau, t) - \Gamma_{n\gamma}(\tau - t, X(x, \tau, t)) e^\gamma_k(e, \tau, t) d\phi(\tau - t), 0 \leq t \leq \tau \leq T,
\end{aligned}
\]

\( (\alpha, k = 1, \ldots, n) \) with initial conditions

\[
X(x, \tau, 0) = x, e^\alpha(\tau, e, 0) = e(x) \in T_x M, 0 \leq t \leq \tau \leq T.
\]

Here, \( \phi(\tau) \) is a continuous non-decreasing process which increases only when \( X(x, \tau, t) \in \partial M \). Having assumed that \( g_{\alpha\beta} \in C^\infty_b(M) \), if we further assume that \( u(\tau, x) \) has all its components \( u(\tau, x)_\alpha \in C^\infty_b(M) \) (1 \( \leq \alpha \leq n \) ) and that further \( \phi \in C^2_0(M) \), then (96 – 98) has a strong unique solution.

For \( \omega \in C_0(P_{O(n)} \rightarrow L(TM, R^{n^2})) \) and \( \tau \in [0, T] \), set

\[
(H_\tau \omega)(r) := E_r[M(r, \tau, w) \otimes \omega(r(\tau, \tau, w))].
\]

where \( M(r, t) = (M_\alpha(r, t, w)) \) is a \( R^n \otimes R^{n^*} \)-valued process given by

\[
M(r, t, w) = K(\tau, t, w) \otimes \theta(e, \tau, t), 0 \leq t \leq \tau \leq T,
\]

\[
8Here we are assuming that \( B \) and \( \phi \) satisfy eqts. 55&56.
\]
i.e. componentwise

\[ M^a_\alpha(\tau, t, w) = K^\beta_\alpha(\tau, t, w)\theta^a_\beta(\tau, t, w), \]

where \( K(\tau, t, w) = (K^\alpha_\beta(\tau, t, w)) \) is the unique solution of the s.d.e defined as follows: Set \( K^1(\tau, t, w) = K(\tau, t, w) \otimes P \) and \( K^2(\tau, t, w) = K(\tau, t, w) \otimes Q \) so that \( K(\tau, t, w) = K^1(\tau, t, w) + K^2(\tau, t, w) \), where for any \( \tau \in [0, T] \) such that \( X(x, \tau, t) \in \text{int}(M), t \in [0, \tau] \) we have

\[ dK^1(\tau, t) = dK(\tau, t) \otimes P = K(\tau, t) \otimes [\theta(e, \tau, t) \otimes de(e, \tau, t)]dt \otimes P, \quad (101) \]

and for any \( \tau \in [0, T] \),

\[ dK^2(\tau, t) = dK^2(\tau, t) \otimes Q = K(\tau, t) \otimes [\theta(e, \tau, t) \otimes de(e, \tau, t)]dt \otimes Q, \quad (102) \]

and with probability 1, \( \tau \to K^1(\tau, t) \) is right-continuous with left-hand limits: furthermore

\[ K^1(\tau, t) = 0, \text{ if } X(x, \tau, t) \in \{ x^n = 0 \}, \quad (103) \]

and the initial value is

\[ K^1(\tau, 0) = I_{\text{int}(M)}(X(x, \tau, 0))e(e, \tau, 0) \otimes P, K^2(\tau, 0) = e(e, \tau, 0) \otimes Q. \quad (104) \]

**Theorem 7.** Let \( \tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}(r) \) be a function in \( C_0(P_{O(n)} \to L(TM, R^n^*) \)). Then, \( (H_r\tilde{\omega})(r) = E_r[M(\tau, \tau, w) \otimes \tilde{\omega}(r, \tau, w)] \) is the unique solution of (91), with initial value \( \tilde{\Omega}(0, -) = \tilde{\omega}(-) \), and further satisfying the boundary condition given by (93).

**Proof:** It follows easily from observing that this is the time-dependant version of Theorem 5.

Now, let \( \Phi(\tau, x) = \Phi_\tau(x) \in \Lambda^2([0, T] \times T^* M) \) such that its horizontal (partial) lift \( \Phi_\tau(x) \otimes e(x) = (H_t\tilde{\omega})(r) \), for all \( r = (x, e(x)), 0 \leq \tau \leq T \). Then, from Theorem 7 follows that \( \Phi \) is the unique solution of the boundary-initial-value problem

\[ \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \tau}(\tau, x) = H_g(2\nu g, -\frac{1}{2\nu}u_r)\Omega_\tau(x), \quad \Omega_0(x) = \omega(x), 0 \leq \tau < 0, x \in M, \quad (105) \]

\[^9\text{We shall omit in the following the variable w, as customary, in the expression for K and its specialization to the flat case.}\]
where \( \omega \) is the 2-form on \( M \) such that its horizontal lift is \( \tilde{\omega} \), i.e. \( \omega(x) \otimes e(x) \otimes e(x) = \tilde{\omega}(r) \), and satisfying further the absolute boundary conditions:

\[
\Omega_{an} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \Omega_{\alpha \beta} = 0, \quad 1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n - 1.
\] (106)

Thus, we have obtained the representation for the Navier-Stokes equations for the vorticity with absolute boundary conditions.

Now we can solve for the vorticity equation in the flat space \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Now since in this case \( g = I \), the identity, and thus in (88) the expression for the Christoffel symbols reduce to the form

\[
\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta \gamma}(\tau, x) = \frac{2}{(n-1)} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\nu} \delta^\alpha_{\beta} u(\tau, x)_{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2\nu} \delta^\alpha_{\beta \gamma} u(\tau, x)^{\alpha} \right\},
\] (107)

so we now consider the s.d.e.

\[
dX^\alpha(x, \tau, t) = e^\alpha_k(e, \tau, t) \circ dB^k(t) + \delta^\alpha_n d\phi(\tau - t), 0 \leq t \leq \tau,
\] (108)

\[
de^\alpha_k(e, \tau, t) = -\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta \gamma}(\tau - t, X(x, \tau, t)) e^\gamma_k(e, \tau, t) e^\beta_p(r, \tau, t) \circ dB^p(t) - \Gamma^\alpha_{n \gamma}(\tau - t, X(x, \tau, t)) e^\gamma_k(e, \tau, t) d\phi(\tau - t),
\] (109)

\((\alpha, k = 1, \ldots, n)\) with initial conditions

\[
X(x, \tau, 0) = x, e^\alpha(e, \tau, 0) = e(x) \in T_x M, 0 \leq t \leq \tau.
\] (110)

Here, \( \phi(\tau) \) is a continuous non-decreasing process which increases only when \( X(\tau, t, x) \in \{x^n = 0\} \). Furthermore, since \( \text{Ric} \) and \( R \) are identically equal to 0 in the expressions (101&102), so that \( K(\tau, t) = K^1(\tau, t) + K^2(\tau, t) \) is the solution of the problem described as follows: for any \( \tau \in [0, T], x \in \partial M \) we have

\[
dK^1(\tau, t) := dK(\tau, t) \otimes P = K(\tau, t) \otimes \theta(\tau, t) \otimes de(\tau, t) \otimes P,
\] (111)

and for any \( \tau \in [0, T] \),

\[
dK^2(\tau, t) := K(\tau, t) \otimes \theta(\tau, t) \otimes de(\tau, t) I_{\text{int}(M)}(X(\tau, t)) \otimes Q,
\] (112)

satisfying

\[
K^1(\tau, t) = 0, \text{if } X(\tau, t) \in \{x^n = 0\},
\] (113)

and the initial value is

\[
K^1(\tau, 0) = I_{\text{int}(M)}(X(\tau, 0)) e(\tau, 0) \otimes P, K^2(\tau, 0) = e(\tau, 0) \otimes Q.
\] (114)
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Thus, if we finally consider $M(\tau, t, w) = K(\tau, t, w) \otimes \theta(\tau, t, w)$ (where $\theta = e^{-1}$) for $\tilde{\omega} \in C_0(P_{O(n)} \to L(R^n, R^{n\ast}))$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq T$, set

$$
(H_\tau \tilde{\omega})(r) := E_r[M(\tau, \tau, w) \otimes \tilde{\omega}(r, \tau, \tau, w)]. \tag{115}
$$

**Theorem 8.** The 2-form $\Phi_\tau \in \Lambda^2([0, T] \times R^{n\ast})$ such that

$$
\Phi_\tau(x) \otimes e(x) = (H_\tau \tilde{\omega})(r), r = (x, e(x)), \tag{116}
$$

is the unique solution of the boundary-initial-value problem given by

$$
\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \tau} = H_{n-1}(2\nu I, -\frac{1}{2\nu}u_\tau)\Omega_\tau \equiv \nu \text{div grad } \Omega_\tau - L_{u_\tau} \Omega_\tau \tag{117}
$$

with initial value

$$
e(0) \otimes \Phi(0, -) = \tilde{\omega}(-) \tag{118}
$$

and further satisfying the absolute boundary conditions.

5 **Kinematic Dynamo of Magnetohydrodynamics on Smooth Manifolds with Smooth boundary**

We can extend these constructions to solve the passive transport equations of magnetohydrodynamics. All we have to do is to construct the multiplicative horizontal operator functional for the differential operator $H_{n-1}(2\nu^n g, \frac{1}{2\nu}u_\tau)$, with $\nu^n$ the magnetic diffusivity, $u_\tau$ given by the solution of either the Euler equations, or still the Navier-Stokes equations, with absolute boundary conditions. Indeed, doing this (for which we have to take into account the coupling of the Riemannian curvature to the $(n - 1)$-magnetic form in the Weitzenbock term for the definition of $K$), we can then solve the kinematical dynamo problem for a magnetic field $B(\tau, x)$ defined by duality as

$$
i_B, \mu = \Omega_\tau, 0 \leq \tau \leq T \tag{119}
$$

where $i$ denotes the interior product derivation, $\mu = \det(g)\frac{1}{2}dx^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^n$ is the Riemannian volume density, and $\Omega(\tau, x) \in \Lambda^{n-1}([0, T] \times T^* M)$ satisfies the transport equations (kinematic dynamo problem)

$$
\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \tau} = H_{n-1}(2\nu^n g, \frac{1}{2\nu}u_\tau)\Omega_\tau, \tilde{\Omega}_0 = i_{B_0} \mu, 0 \leq \tau < T, \tag{120}
$$

for given

$$
B(0, -) = B(-), \tag{121}
$$

satisfying the absolute boundary conditions for $\Omega$. 29
6 Conclusions

In this article, we have given random representations for the Navier-Stokes equations for the vorticity, and the kinematic dynamo equation of magnetohydrodynamics, for incompressible fluids on smooth boundary manifolds, and in particular, in the case of flat euclidean space. No such general representations were known but for the case of empty boundary smooth compact manifolds [5,12,13], and as an implementation of the case of manifolds isometrically immersed in Euclidean space, for Euclidean space itself. We would like to remark that this program stemmed as a covariant extension of the random vortex method in 2D (without boundary) of Computational Fluid Dynamics (see A. Chorin [32], and references therein). In the latter method, due to the fact that in flat 2D the vorticity can be identified with a scalar field, the vorticity equation can be integrated by using the Ito formula for scalar fields. The extension of this formula, has been the backbone for the obtention of our representations in both the empty and non-empty boundary cases. We should also stress that product formulas (such as those arising from transition densities as is the case for diffusion equations) have been algorithmically implemented in Fluid Dynamics for a long period [33]. Yet, more specifically related to the present approach, numerical methods for the random integration of nonlinear partial differential equations have been developed (c.f. [34]); furthermore these methods have been implemented for p.d.e.’s for scalar fields satisfying reflecting boundary conditions [35-38]. Thus we might expect that the former approach properly extended to differential forms and implemented for the representations achieved in this article, will eventually lead to interesting numerics.
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