PRODUCTS OF FLAT MODULES AND GLOBAL DIMENSION
RELATIVE TO $\mathcal{F}$-MITTAG-LEFFLER MODULES
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Abstract. Let $R$ be any ring. We prove that all direct products of flat right $R$-modules have finite flat dimension if and only if each finitely generated left ideal of $R$ has finite projective dimension relative to the class of all $\mathcal{F}$-Mittag-Leffler, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the class of all flat right $R$-modules. In order to prove this theorem, we obtain a general result concerning global relative dimension. Namely, if $\mathcal{X}$ is any class of left $R$-modules closed under filtrations that contains all projective modules, then $R$ has finite left global projective dimension relative to $\mathcal{X}$ if and only if each left ideal of $R$ has finite projective dimension relative to $\mathcal{X}$. This result contains, as particular cases, the well known results concerning the classical left global, weak and Gorenstein global dimensions.

1. INTRODUCTION

The motivation of this work comes from the study of right Gorenstein regular rings. A (non necessarily commutative with unit) ring $R$ is said to be right Gorenstein regular if the category of right $R$-modules is a Gorenstein category in the sense of [9, Definition 2.18]. These rings are precisely, by [9, Theorem 2.28] and [6, Theorem 1.1], those for which the right global Gorenstein dimension is finite. Classical Iwanaga-Gorenstein rings, that is, two sided noetherian rings with left and right self-injective dimensions finite, are left and right regular Gorenstein. Actually, the right Gorenstein regular property can be viewed as the natural one-sided generalization of the Iwanaga-Gorenstein condition to non-noetherian rings. Right Gorenstein regular rings have been studied in [9], [5], [11], [10] and [8].

In [5, Corollary VII.2.6] it is proved that a ring $R$ is right Gorenstein regular if and only if the class of all right $R$-modules with finite projective dimension coincides with the class of all right $R$-modules with finite injective dimension. A direct consequence of this fact is that the class of all modules with finite projective dimension is closed under direct products. As it is deduced from our Theorem 4.2, rings with this property satisfy that direct products of right $R$-modules with finite flat dimension have finite flat dimension. So, in order to understand right regular Gorenstein rings it is necessary to study rings in which the class of right $R$-modules with finite flat dimension is closed under direct products.

The main objective of this paper is to characterize rings for which products of right modules with finite flat dimension have finite flat dimension (we shall call them left weak coherent rings, since, by the classical result [7, Theorem 2.1], they...
are natural extensions of left coherent rings). This is essentially done in Theorem 4.2 where we characterize left weak coherent rings as those which have finite left global dimension with respect to the \( \mathcal{F} \)-Mittag-Leffler modules, where \( \mathcal{F} \) is the class of all flat right \( R \)-modules (see Definition 2.1 for details). At this point, a question arises naturally: is it possible to obtain an intrinsic description of these rings? In order to do this we study, in Section 3, the projective global dimension relative to a class, \( \mathcal{X} \), consisting of left \( R \)-modules that contains all projective modules. The main result in this section, Corollary 3.6, states that (when \( \mathcal{X} \) is closed under filtrations), the left global projective dimension relative to \( \mathcal{X} \) is finite if and only if every left ideal has finite projective dimension relative of \( \mathcal{X} \). Moreover, if \( \mathcal{X} \) is closed under direct limits (more generally, under \((\aleph_0, \mathcal{X})\)-separable modules, see Definition 2.2 for details), the left global \( \mathcal{X} \)-projective dimension is finite if and only if each finitely generated left ideal has finite \( \mathcal{X} \)-projective dimension. This result is interesting in its own because it contains, as particular cases, well known theorems concerning the left global and weak dimensions, see [3, Theorem 1] and [22, Theorem 8.25], and the left Gorenstein global dimension, see [11, Proposition 3.5].

This result about relative homological algebra allow us to improve the mentioned characterization of left weak coherent rings, since, in order to compute the left global dimension relative to the \( \mathcal{F} \)-Mittag-Leffler modules we only have to look at finitely generated left ideals. Thus, in Theorem 4.2 we characterize left weak coherent rings as those for which each finitely generated left ideal has finite \( \mathcal{F} \)-Mittag-Leffler dimension.

Let us point up that, as a byproduct of our results, we obtain that left coherent rings are precisely those rings with left \( \mathcal{F} \)-Mittag-Leffler global dimension 1: that is, rings in which submodules of \( \mathcal{F} \)-Mittag-Leffler left \( R \)-modules are again \( \mathcal{F} \)-Mittag-Leffler (see Corollary 4.3). In addition, we prove that over left coherent rings the class of all right \( R \)-modules with flat dimension less or equal than \( n \) (for some natural number \( n \)) is definable (see Corollary 4.8).

2. PRELIMINARIES

We fix, for the rest of the paper, a non necessarily commutative ring with identity \( R \). We shall work in the categories \( R\text{-Mod} \) and \( \text{Mod-}R \) consisting of all left and right \( R \)-modules respectively. Morphisms will operate on the opposite side than scalars. This implies that if \( f : M \to N \) and \( g : N \to L \) are morphisms in \( R\text{-Mod} \), then its composition will be \( fg \). We shall denote by \( R\mathcal{F} \) the class of all flat left \( R \)-modules and by \( \mathcal{F}R \) the class of all flat right \( R \)-modules; we shall omit the subscript when there is no possible confusion. Given an infinite regular cardinal \( \lambda \) and \( M \) a left \( R \)-module, we shall say that \( M \) is \( \lambda \)-generated if it has a generating system with less than \( \lambda \) generators. Moreover, \( M \) is said to be \( \lambda \)-presented if there exists an exact sequence \( R(\gamma) \to R(\mu) \to M \to 0 \) with \( \mu \) and \( \gamma \) cardinals smaller than \( \lambda \). If \( \mathcal{X} \) is a class of left \( R \)-modules, we shall denote by \( \mathcal{X}^{\leq \lambda} \) the class of all \( \lambda \)-presented modules belonging to \( \mathcal{X} \). For any set \( A \), \( |A| \) will be its cardinality. We shall denote by \( \omega \) the set of natural numbers.

A direct system of left \( R \)-modules, \( (M_i, \tau_{ij})_{i<j} \), is called well ordered if \( I \) is an ordinal. Let \( \kappa \) be an ordinal and \( (M_\alpha, \tau_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha<\beta<\kappa} \) a well ordered system of left \( R \)-modules. Following [15, Definition 3.1.1], we shall say that the direct system is continuous if for each limit ordinal \( \beta < \kappa \) the direct limit of the system \( (M_\alpha, \tau_{\alpha\beta})_{\gamma<\alpha<\beta} \) is the module \( M_\beta \) with \( \tau_{\alpha\beta} \) the structural morphism from \( M_\alpha \) to \( M_\beta \) for each \( \alpha < \beta \).
Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of left $R$-modules and suppose that $\text{Coker} \tau_{\alpha, \alpha+1} \in \mathcal{X}$ for each $\alpha + 1 < \kappa$. If each $\tau_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a monomorphism for every pair $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$, we shall say that the direct system is a $\mathcal{X}$-continuous direct system of monomorphisms. If, moreover, each $\tau_{\alpha, \beta}$ is an inclusion for every $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$, we shall say that the direct system is a $\mathcal{X}$-filtration. If $M$ is the direct limit of the filtration $(M_{\alpha\beta}, \tau_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha < \beta < \kappa}$, we say that $M$ is a $\mathcal{X}$-filtered module. Note that, in this case, $M = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} M_\alpha$ for a continuous chain of submodules of $M$, $\{M_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$, satisfying $\frac{M_{\alpha+1}}{M_\alpha} \in \mathcal{X}$. We shall say that $\mathcal{X}$ is closed under filtrations (resp. $\mathcal{X}$-continuous limits of monomorphisms) if each $\mathcal{X}$-filtered module (resp. each limit of a $\mathcal{X}$-continuous system of monomorphisms) belongs to $\mathcal{X}$. It is easy to see that if $M$ is the limit of a $\mathcal{X}$-continuous system of monomorphisms then it is a $\mathcal{X}$-filtered module. Then $\mathcal{X}$ is closed under $\mathcal{X}$-continuous direct system of modules if and only if it is closed under $\mathcal{X}$-filtrations.

The class $\mathcal{X}$ is called deconstructible (see [23, Definition 1.4]) if there exists a set of modules $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{X}$ is equal to $\text{Filt-}\mathcal{X}$, the class of all $\mathcal{S}$-filtered modules. As a consequence of [23, Lemma 1.6], $\mathcal{X}$ is deconstructible if and only if it is closed under filtrations and there exists a set $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \text{Filt-}\mathcal{S}$.

Recall the notion of Mittag-Leffler with respect to a class of modules, see [21] and [2].

**Definition 2.1.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be any class of right $R$-modules. A left $R$-module $M$ is said to be $\mathcal{X}$-Mittag-Leffler if for each family of right modules belonging to $\mathcal{X}$, $\{F_i : i \in I\}$, the canonical map from $(\prod_{i \in I} F_i) \otimes M$ to $\prod_{i \in I} F_i \otimes M$ is monic.

For any class of right $R$-modules $\mathcal{X}$, we shall denote by $M^\mathcal{X}$ the class consisting of all $\mathcal{X}$-Mittag-Leffler left $R$-modules. If $\mathcal{X}$ is equal to $\text{Mod-}R$, then we get the classical definition of Mittag-Leffler module, which was introduced by Raynaud and Gruson in [20]. We are specially interested in $\mathcal{F}_R$-Mittag-Leffler left $R$-modules, which will be called Mittag-Leffler modules with respect to the flat modules too. Of course, there are modules which are Mittag-Leffler with respect to the flat modules but that are not Mittag-Leffler. This follows from the facts that all left $R$-modules are Mittag-Leffler if and only if $R$ is left pure-semisimple (see [4, Theorem 8]), and that all left $R$-modules are Mittag-Leffler with respect to the flats if and only if $R$ is left noetherian (this follows easily from [16, Theorem 1]). Then, if $R$ is left noetherian and not left pure-semisimple, there exist modules in $R\text{-Mod}$ which are $\mathcal{F}$-Mittag-Leffler but not Mittag-Leffler.

By [13, Theorem 2.6], the Mittag-Leffler property can be characterized in terms of some local freeness. Let us recall what this local property means:

**Definition 2.2.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of left $R$-modules and $\kappa$ an infinite regular cardinal. We shall say that a left $R$-module $M$ is $(\kappa, \mathcal{X})$-free if it has a $(\kappa, \mathcal{X})$-dense system of submodules, that is, a direct family of submodules, $\mathcal{S}$, such that:

1. $\mathcal{S}$ is closed under well-ordered ascending chains of length smaller than $\kappa$, and
2. every subset of $M$ of cardinality smaller than $\kappa$ is contained in an element of $\mathcal{S}$.

Given any class $\mathcal{X}$ of left $R$-modules, a module $M$ is $(\aleph_0, \mathcal{X})$-free if and only if it is the union of a direct family of submodules belonging to $\mathcal{X}$. If $\mathcal{X}$ is in $\text{Mod-}R$, it is proved in [13, Theorem 2.6] that the $\mathcal{X}$-Mittag-Leffler modules are precisely the $(\aleph_1, M^\mathcal{X})$-free modules.
Finally, let us fix some notation concerning the category of short exact sequences of left \( R \)-modules, \( \text{Ses}(R\text{-Mod}) \). Recall that a morphism in this category is a triple \((f^1, f^2, f^3)\) making the corresponding diagrams commutative. Given three classes, \( X_1, X_2, \text{ and } X_3 \), of left \( R \)-modules, we shall denote by \( \text{Ses}(X_1, X_2, X_3) \) the full subcategory of \( \text{Ses}(R\text{-Mod}) \) consisting of all short exact sequences with first term in \( X_1 \), second term in \( X_2 \) and third term in \( X_3 \). We shall use continuous limits and filtrations in the category \( \text{Ses}(R\text{-Mod}) \), which are defined in the same way as in the category of \( R\text{-Mod} \).

3. GLOBAL DIMENSION RELATIVE TO A CLASS

In this section we prove that the ring \( R \) has finite left projective global dimension relative to a class \( X \) which is closed under filtrations and contains all projective modules if and only if each left ideal of \( R \) has finite projective dimension relative to \( X \). As we mentioned in the introduction, this result is crucial to characterize when products of right modules with finite flat dimension have finite flat dimension.

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( X \) be a class of left \( R \)-modules containing all projective modules.

(1) Given a natural number \( n \) and a left \( R \)-module \( M \), we shall say that \( M \) has projective dimension relative to \( X \) (or \( X \)-projective dimension) less or equal than \( n \) if there exists projective resolution of \( M \) such that its \((n - 1)\)st syzygy belongs to \( X \). We shall denote by \( X_n \) the class of all modules with \( X \)-projective dimension less or equal than \( n \).

(2) The left global projective dimension relative to \( X \) (or \( X \)-projective global dimension) of \( R \) is the supremum of the set consisting of the \( X \)-projective dimensions of all left \( R \)-modules, if this supremum exists, and \( \infty \) otherwise.

Let \( X \) be any class of left \( R \)-modules that contains all projective modules. It is very easy to prove that \((X_n)_1 = X_{n+1}\) for each natural number \( n \). Consequently, a left \( R \)-module \( M \) has \( X \)-projective dimension less or equal than \( n + 1 \) if and only if there exists a short exact sequence

\[
0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0
\]

with \( P \) projective and \( K \) with \( X \)-projective dimension less or equal than \( n \). If, in addition, \( X \) is closed under direct summands and finite direct sums, the \( X \)-projective dimension does not depend on the chosen projective resolution, since, for each natural number \( n \), any two \( n \)-syzygies of a module are projectively equivalent by [22 Proposition 8.5]. Finally, if \( X \) is the left hand class of a hereditary cotorsion pair cogenerated by a set, then, by [13 Proposition 1.11], the \( X \)-resolution dimension can be computed using exact sequences with terms in \( X \) or left \( X \)-resolutions in the sense of [12 Definition 8.1.2]. Moreover, in this case, the \( X \)-projective dimension of a module \( M \) is the least natural number \( n \) such that \( \text{Ext}^n_X(M, C) = 0 \) for each \( C \in X^\perp \).

Let \( X \) be any class of modules. In the following result we establish that some closure properties of \( X \) are inherited by \( X_n \). These properties will be useful to compute global dimensions.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( X \) be a class of left \( R \)-modules that contains all projective modules. Then

(1) If \( X \) is closed under filtrations, then \( X_n \) is closed under filtrations for each \( n \in \omega \).
(2) If $X$ is deconstructible, then $X_n$ is deconstructible for each $n \in \omega$.

(3) Suppose that $X$ is closed under direct summands and finite direct sums. Let $\kappa$ be any infinite regular cardinal such that each $(\kappa, X)$-free module belongs to $X$. Then, each $(\kappa, X_n)$-free module belongs to $X_n$ for every $n \in \omega$.

Proof. (1) We shall induct on $n$. Case $n = 0$ is true by hypothesis. Suppose that we have proven the result for some natural number $n$ and let us prove it for $n + 1$.

Let $M$ be an $X_{n+1}$-filtered module and let $\{M_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ be an $X_{n+1}$-filtration of $M$ for some ordinal $\kappa$. Take, for each $\alpha < \kappa$, a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X_\alpha \overset{f_\alpha}{\rightarrow} P_\alpha \overset{g_\alpha}{\rightarrow} M_\alpha \rightarrow 0$$

with $P_{n+1}$ projective and $X_{n+1} \in X_{n}$. We are going to construct a $\text{Ses}(X_n, P, R\text{-Mod})$-continuous direct system in $\text{Ses}(R\text{-Mod})$, $(S_\alpha, \tau_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha \leq \beta < \kappa}$, with

$$S_\alpha : 0 \rightarrow X_\alpha \overset{f_\alpha}{\rightarrow} P_\alpha \overset{g_\alpha}{\rightarrow} M_\alpha \rightarrow 0,$$

satisfying:

(i) $\tau_{1, \alpha \beta} : X_\alpha \rightarrow X_{\alpha + 1}$ is a monomorphism, $\tau_{2, \alpha \beta} : P_\alpha \rightarrow P_{\alpha + 1}$ is a split monomorphism and $\tau_{3, \alpha \beta} : M_\alpha \rightarrow M_{\alpha + 1}$ is the inclusion.

(ii) For each $\beta < \kappa$ limit, $S_\beta = \lim_{\rightarrow \alpha < \beta} S_\alpha$.

These $S_\alpha$ and $\tau_{\alpha \beta}$, for each $\gamma < \alpha < \kappa$, can be constructed recursively. Let us sketch this construction. Case $\alpha = 0$ is trivial. Given any $\alpha$ such that $S_\alpha$ and $\tau_{\alpha \beta}$ have been already constructed for each $\gamma < \alpha$, we can construct, as in the proof of the Horseshoe lemma, [22] Proposition 6.24, a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rightarrow & X_\alpha \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & P_\alpha \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & M_\alpha \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$

Then take $S_{\alpha + 1}$ to be the middle column of the diagram with $P_{\alpha + 1} = P_\alpha \oplus P_{\alpha + 1}$. If $\alpha$ is a limit ordinal and we have already constructed the sequence $S_\gamma$ for each $\gamma < \alpha$, then take $S_\alpha$ to be the direct limit of the system $(S_\gamma, \tau_{\gamma \beta})_{\gamma \leq \beta < \alpha}$. This finishes the construction.

Now let

$$S : 0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow P \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

be the direct limit of the system $(S_\alpha, \tau_{\alpha \beta})_{\alpha \leq \beta < \kappa}$. Since direct limits in the category of short exact sequences are computed componentwise, we get that $N = M$. Moreover, we conclude that $X$ is a $X_n$-filtered module and, by induction hypothesis, it belongs to $X_n$; and that $P$, being filtered by projective modules, is projective. Then the short exact sequence $S$ says that $M \in X_{n+1}$ and the proof is finished.
(2) If $\mathcal{X}$ is deconstructible then $\mathcal{X}_n$ is closed under $\mathcal{X}_0$-filtrations by (1). Moreover, if there exists a set $\mathcal{S}$ such that each module in $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathcal{S}$-filtered then, actually there exists an infinite regular cardinal number $\lambda$ such that $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \text{Filt-}\mathcal{X}^{<\lambda}$. Then, the same proof of [18, Theorem 2.2] gives that $\mathcal{X}_n \subseteq \text{Filt-}\mathcal{X}_n^{<\lambda}$ (the mentioned result is proved when $\mathcal{X}$ is the left hand class of a hereditary cotorsion pair cogenerated by a set; but in the proof it is only used that $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \text{Filt-}\mathcal{X}^{<\lambda}$ for some infinite regular cardinal).

(3) In some part of the following proof we have to assume that $R$ has more than two elements. Note that if $R$ has two elements there is nothing to prove as $\mathcal{X}_n = \mathcal{X}$ for each $n \in \omega$.

We shall induct on $n$. Case $n = 0$ is the hypothesis. Suppose that the result is true for any natural number $n$ and let us prove it for $n + 1$. Let $M$ be any $(\kappa, \mathcal{X}_{n+1})$-free module and fix $S$ a $(\kappa, \mathcal{X}_{n+1})$-dense system of $M$. For each $m \in M$ let $\varphi_m : R \rightarrow Rm$ be the morphism given by multiplication; for each $T \subseteq M$, denote by $\varphi_T : R^{(T)} \rightarrow M$ the induced morphism and by $K_T$ its kernel. Let us denote by $e_m$ the canonical element of $R^{(M)}$ for each $m \in M$. We claim that $\mathcal{T} = \{K_S : S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is a $(\kappa, \mathcal{X})$-dense system of $K_M$. First of all note that, since the $\mathcal{X}$-dimension does not depend on the chosen projective resolution (as $\mathcal{X}$ is closed under direct summands and finite direct sums), $K_S \in \mathcal{X}_n$ for each $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Moreover, $T$ is trivially a direct system and each $X \leq K_M$ with $|X| < \kappa$ is contained in some $K_S$ for some $S \in \mathcal{S}$.

It only remains to prove that $\mathcal{T}$ is closed under well-ordered ascending chains of length smaller than $\kappa$. First of all, let us prove that if $K_S \leq K_T$ for some $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$, then $S \leq T$. Let $m \in S$ and suppose that $m \notin T$ and take $r \in R - \{1\}$. Since $re_m - e_r m$ and $(1 - r)e_m - e_{(1 - r)m}$ are nonzero element in $K_S \leq K_T$ and $m \notin T$, we conclude that both $rm$ and $(1 - r)m$ belong to $T$ (note that, if $L \in \mathcal{S}$ and $l, t \notin L$ then $K_L \cap (R_l \oplus R_t) = 0$, $R_l$ and $R_t$ being the copies of $R$ in coordinates $l$ and $s$ respectively). Then $m = rm + (1 - r)m$ belongs to $T$, a contradiction. Now, using this fact, each well ordered chain $\{K_{S_{m}} : \alpha < \mu\}$ of modules in $\mathcal{T}$ (with $\mu < \kappa$), gives, taking unions, the short exact sequence

$$0 \xrightarrow{\bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} K_{S_{\alpha}}} \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} R^{(S)} \xrightarrow{\mu} S \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$$

where $S = \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} S_{\alpha}$. Then, since $\bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} K_{S_{\alpha}} = KS$ and $S \in \mathcal{S}$, we conclude that $\bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} K_{S_{\alpha}} \in \mathcal{T}$. Consequently, $\mathcal{T}$ is closed under well ordered unions.

The conclusion is that $K_M$ is $(\kappa, \mathcal{X}_n)$-free and by induction hypothesis, $K_M \in \mathcal{X}_n$. Consequently, $M \in \mathcal{X}_{n+1}$ and the proof is finished. \hfill \Box

**Remark 3.3.** (1) of Proposition 3.2 extends [18, Lemma 2.1], where it is proved that $\mathcal{X}_n$ is closed under filtrations when $\mathcal{X}$ is the left hand class of a hereditary cotorsion pair cogenerated by a set.

The preceding proposition contains well known results concerning the projective, flat and Gorenstein projective dimensions. Moreover, we can extend the characterization of Mittag-Leffler modules given in [18, Theorem 2.6] to modules with finite dimension with respect to Mittag-Leffler modules.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be any class of right $R$-modules and $M$ a module. The following assertions are equivalent:

1. $M$ has $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{X}}$-Mittag-Leffler dimension less or equal than $n$. 
Theorem 3.5. Let $\lambda$ be an infinite regular cardinal, $n$, a nonzero natural number and $\mathcal{X}$ a class of left $R$-modules closed under filtrations that contains all projective modules. The following assertions are equivalent:

1. Each $\lambda$-presented module has $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension less or equal than $n$.
2. Each $\lambda^\lt$-generated left ideal of $R$ has $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension less or equal than $n - 1$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) If $I$ is a left ideal of $R$ which is $\lambda^\lt$-generated, the module $\frac{R}{I}$ is $\lambda^\lt$-presented and, by hypothesis, has $\mathcal{X}$-resolution dimension less or equal than $n$. This means that $I$ has $\mathcal{X}$-resolution dimension less or equal than $n - 1$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let $M$ be any $\lambda^\lt$-presented module, choose $\mu$ a cardinal smaller than $\lambda$ such that there exists an epimorphism $f : R^{(\mu)} \to M$ and that, its kernel $K$ is $\lambda^\lt$-generated. We claim that $K$ has $\mathcal{X}$-resolution dimension less or equal than $n - 1$.

In order to prove the claim, denote by $p_\alpha : R^{(\alpha)} \to R$ and $i_\alpha : R \to R^{(\mu)}$ the projection and inclusion for each $\alpha < \mu$, and by $K_\alpha = K \cap R^{(\alpha)}$. Note that the family $\{K_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ is a filtration of $K$. Now, for each $\alpha < \kappa$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_\alpha \longrightarrow K_{\alpha+1} \longrightarrow q_\alpha R$$

where $q_\alpha$ is the restriction of $p_\alpha$ to $K_{\alpha+1}$. Note that $\text{Im} q_\alpha$ is $\lambda^\lt$-generated: if $\{x_\delta : \delta < \beta\}$ is a generating set of $K$ with $\beta$ a cardinal smaller than $\lambda$, then $\{(x_\delta)p_\gamma i_\gamma : \delta < \beta, \gamma < \mu\}$ is a generating set of $K$, from which follows that $\{(x_\delta)p_\gamma i_\gamma : \delta < \beta, \gamma < \alpha + 1\}$ is a generating set of $K_{\alpha+1}$ with cardinality smaller than $\lambda$. The conclusion is that $\frac{K_{\alpha+1}}{K_\alpha}$ is isomorphic to a $\lambda^\lt$-generated left ideal of $R$ and, by hypothesis, has $\mathcal{X}$-resolution dimension less or equal than $n - 1$. This means that $K$ is $\mathcal{X}_{n-1}$-filtered and by Proposition 3.2 belongs to $\mathcal{X}_{n-1}$. This proves our claim and finishes the proof of the theorem. \hfill \qed

Note that always exists an infinite regular cardinal $\lambda$ such that $R$ is left $\lambda$-noetherian, in the sense that each left ideal is $\lambda^\lt$-generated. Then, as an immediate consequence of the previous result, if each $\lambda^\lt$-presented module has finite $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension, then $R$ has finite left global $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension. Moreover, if we do not establish any cardinal restriction, we obtain an intrinsic description of rings with finite global projective relative dimension:

Corollary 3.6. Let $n$ be a nonzero natural number and $\mathcal{X}$ a class of left $R$-modules closed under filtrations that contains all projective modules. The following assertions are equivalent:

1. $R$ has left global $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension less or equal than $n$.
2. Each left ideal of $R$ has $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension less or equal than $n - 1$.

If, in addition, $\mathcal{X}$ is closed under direct summands, finite direct sums and each $(\kappa, \mathcal{X})$-free module belongs to $\mathcal{X}$, for some infinite regular cardinal $\kappa$, then these conditions are equivalent to:

3. Each $\kappa^\lt$-generated left ideal of $R$ has $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension less or equal than $n - 1$. 
Proof. (1) ⇔ (2) follows from the preceding theorem. (2) ⇒ (3) is clear. In order to prove (3) ⇒ (2) simply note that, if $I$ is a left ideal, the hypothesis says that the set of all $\kappa$-generated left ideals contained in $I$ is a $(\kappa, X_{n-1})$-dense system of $I$. By Proposition 3.2, $I$ has $X$-dimension less or equal than $n - 1$. □

This result contains, as particular cases, the well known results concerning the global, weak and Gorenstein global dimensions (see [22, Theorem 8.16], [22, Theorem 8.25] and [11, Proposition 3.5]). Moreover, it can be applied to the $F$-Mittag-Leffler dimension.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let $n$ be a nonzero natural number. Then $R$ has left $M^F$-projective global dimension less or equal than $n$ if and only if each finitely generated left ideal has $M^F$-projective dimension less or equal than $n - 1$.

Proof. Follows from the preceding result using that the class $M^F$ is closed under filtrations by [2, Proposition 1.9] and under $(\aleph_0, M^F)$-free modules, that is, under direct unions of submodules, by [16, Theorem 1]. □

Another application of our result is when the left global and weak dimensions coincide (for example, when the ring is left perfect). In this case, the left global dimension is the supremum of the projective dimensions of the finitely generated left ideals:

**Corollary 3.8.** Let $R$ be a ring such that the left global and weak dimensions coincide, and let $n$ be a nonzero natural number. Then $R$ has left global dimension less or equal than $n$ if and only if each finitely generated left ideal has projective dimension less or equal than $n - 1$.

Proof. Simply note that by hypothesis and Corollary 3.6, the left global dimension is equal to the supremum of the flat dimensions of all finitely generated left ideals. But this supremum is smaller or equal than the supremum of the projective dimension of all finitely generated left ideals. □

Let us finish this section with an useful result in order to compute global dimensions. It is is proven with the argument used in [5, Corollary VII.2.6].

**Lemma 3.9.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be classes of left $R$-modules such that $\mathcal{X}$ is closed under direct summands, finite direct sums and contains all projective modules, and $\mathcal{Y}$ is closed under countable direct sums or countable direct products. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

1. Each module in $\mathcal{Y}$ has finite $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension.
2. There exists a natural number $n$ such that each module in $\mathcal{Y}$ has $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension less or equal than $n$.

Proof. We only have to prove that (1) implies (2). We do it assuming that $\mathcal{Y}$ is closed under countable direct sums (the case of products is analogous). Suppose that (2) is false and take, for each natural number $n$, a module $Y_n$ in $\mathcal{Y}$ with $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension equal to $n$. Then, $Y = \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} Y_n$ belongs to $\mathcal{Y}$ and has infinite $\mathcal{X}$-dimension since, otherwise, it would exist $m \in \omega$ such that $Y$ would have $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension less or equal than $m$, which would imply that $Y_{m+1}$ would have this property too (note that if $\mathcal{X}$ is closed under direct summands, then so is $\mathcal{X}_m$). But the dimension of $Y_{m+1}$ is $m + 1$, which is a contradiction. □
4. WHEN DIRECT PRODUCTS OF FLAT MODULES HAVE FINITE FLAT DIMENSION

In this section we investigate rings for which direct products of right $R$-modules with finite flat dimension have finite flat dimension. As a consequence of our first result, we only have to look at direct product of flat modules.

**Proposition 4.1.** The following assertions are equivalent:

1. The direct product of any family of flat right $R$-modules has finite flat dimension.
2. There exists a natural number $n$ such that the product of any family of flat right $R$-modules has flat dimension less or equal than $n$.
3. There exists a natural number $m$ such that the direct product of any family of right $R$-modules with flat dimension less or equal than $m$ has finite flat dimension.
4. There exist natural numbers $m$ and $n$ such that the direct product of any family of right $R$-modules with flat dimension less or equal than $m$ has flat dimension less or equal than $m + n$.
5. For any natural number $m$, the direct product of any family of right $R$-modules with flat dimension less or equal than $m$ has flat dimension less or equal than $m + n$.

**Proof.** (1) $\iff$ (2). Follows from Lemma 3.9 by taking $\mathcal{Y}$ the class of all products of flat right $R$-modules and $\mathcal{X}$ the class of all flat right $R$-modules.

(3) $\iff$ (4) and (5) $\iff$ (6). Again follows from Lemma 3.9 by taking $\mathcal{Y}$ the class consisting of all products of right $R$-modules with flat dimension less or equal than $m$ and $\mathcal{X}$ the class of all flat right $R$-modules.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (5). By induction on $m$. Case $m = 0$ is (1). Suppose that the result is true for some natural number $m$ and let us prove it for $m + 1$. Let $\{F_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of right $R$-modules with flat dimension less or equal than $m + 1$. Then, for each $i \in I$ there exists a projective presentation

$$0 \rightarrow K_i \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow 0$$

with $K_i$ having flat dimension less or equal than $n$. With all these sequences, we can form the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} K_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} P_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} F_i \rightarrow 0$$

Now apply the induction hypothesis to get that $\prod_{i \in I} P_i$ and $\prod_{i \in I} K_i$ have finite flat dimension and, consequently, that $\prod_{i \in I} F_i$ has finite flat dimension too.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Trivial. $\square$

We shall say that $R$ is a left weak coherent ring if it satisfies the equivalent conditions of the preceding result. Suppose that $R$ is such a ring. Then we can take $n$ the maximum of the set consisting of all flat dimensions of all direct products of flat right $R$-modules. In this case, we shall say that $R$ is left weak $n$-coherent. Note that left weak 0-coherent rings are precisely left coherent rings. In the following result we characterize left weak coherent rings in terms of the left global $\mathcal{M}^F$-projective resolution.
Theorem 4.2. Let \( n \) be a natural number. The following assertions are equivalent:

1. \( R \) is left weak \( n \)-coherent.
2. There exists a set \( I_0 \) with \( |I_0| \geq |R| \) such that \( R^I_0 \) has flat dimension less or equal than \( n \).
3. \( R \) has left global \( \mathcal{M}_F \)-projective dimension less or equal than \( n + 1 \).
4. Each finitely generated left ideal of \( R \) has \( \mathcal{M}_F \)-projective dimension less or equal than \( n \).
5. Each cyclic left \( R \)-module has \( \mathcal{M}_F \)-projective dimension less or equal than \( n + 1 \).

Proof. (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2). Trivial.

(2) \( \iff \) (3). Let \( M \) be a left \( R \)-module. We claim that the \( \mathcal{M}_F \)-projective dimension of \( M \) is less or equal than \( n \) if and only if \( \text{Tor}_1^R(R^I_0, M) = 0 \). Then the equivalence of (2) and (3) follows from this claim.

We proceed by induction on \( n \). Fix a projective presentation of \( M \),

\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]

In order to prove case \( n = 1 \) consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows

\[
\begin{array}{c}
R^I_0 \otimes K \rightarrow R^I_0 \otimes P \rightarrow R^I_0 \otimes M \rightarrow 0 \\
0 \rightarrow K^I_0 \rightarrow P^I_0 \rightarrow M^I_0 \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]

Since \( \text{Tor}_1^R(R^I_0, P) = 0 \), as \( P \) is projective, we conclude that \( \text{Tor}_1^R(R^I_0, N) = 0 \) if and only if \( f \) is monic, if and only if \( g \) is monic if and only if \( K \) is \( \mathcal{F} \)-Mittag-Leffler by [16, Theorem 1], if and only if \( M \) has \( \mathcal{M}_F \)-projective dimension less or equal than 1.

Now suppose that we have proved the claim for some nonzero natural number \( n \). Then, looking at the exact sequence (1), we get that \( \text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(R^I_0, M) = 0 \) if and only if \( \text{Tor}_n^R(R^I_0, K) = 0 \), if and only if the \( \mathcal{M}_F \)-resolution dimension of \( K \) is less or equal than \( n \) (by induction hypothesis), if and only if the \( \mathcal{M}_F \)-resolution dimension of \( M \) is less or equal than \( n + 1 \).

(3) \( \iff \) (4) \( \iff \) (5). These are Corollary 3.7.

When \( n = 0 \) we obtain new characterizations of left coherent rings:

Corollary 4.3. The following assertions are equivalent:

1. \( R \) is left coherent.
2. For each natural number \( m \), the direct product of any family of right \( R \)-modules with flat dimension less or equal than \( m \) has flat dimension less or equal than \( m \).
3. Each left \( R \)-module has \( \mathcal{M}_F \)-projective dimension less or equal than 1.
4. Each left ideal of \( R \) is \( \mathcal{F} \)-Mittag-Leffler.
5. Each submodule of a \( \mathcal{F} \)-Mittag Leffler left \( R \)-module is \( \mathcal{F} \)-Mittag Leffler.

Proof. (1) \( \iff \) (2). If \( R \) is left coherent, direct products of flat right \( R \)-modules are flat by Chase’s result, [7, Theorem 2.1]. Then, the same proof as (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (3) in Proposition 4.1 gives the equivalence of (1) and (2).
The equivalences of (1), (3) and (4) follow from the previous theorem. $(5) \Rightarrow (3)$ is clear. It remains to prove that $(3)$ implies $(5)$. Let $M$ be a $\mathcal{F}$-Mittag-Leffler left $R$-module and $K \leq M$ a submodule. Take a projective presentation $f : P \rightarrow M$, and, making pullback, we can construct the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & K & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & M_k & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \rightarrow & K & \rightarrow & Q & \rightarrow & P & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
K' & \rightarrow & K'
\end{array}
\]

By $(3)$, $K'$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{F}$ and by [21] Corollary 2.4, $Q$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{F}$ too. Since the middle row is split exact, $K$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{F}$ again by [21] Corollary 2.4] and the proof is finished.

This result says that rings in which the class $\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{F}$ is closed under submodules, that is, rings with left global $\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{F}$-projective dimension equal to one are, precisely, left coherent rings. Recall that, as it can be easily deduced from [16] Theorem 1], rings with left global $\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{F}$-projective dimension zero are left noetherian rings.

**Examples 4.4.**

1. There exist left weak coherent rings which are not left coherent. For example, let $R$ be a non semihereditary ring with weak dimension 1 (such a ring is constructed in [14] Example 3.1.2]). Then $R$ weak 1-coherent, but it is not left coherent by [14] Theorem 3.1.3].

2. There exist left weak coherent rings which have infinite weak global dimension. For instance, in [19] Example 7.7.2] it is given a noetherian ring which has infinite global dimension. Since, for noetherian rings, the global and weak dimensions coincide, this ring has infinite weak dimension too.

3. Right regular Gorenstein rings are left weak coherent. This is because, as it has been proved in [5] Corollary VII.2.6], for these rings the class of all right $R$-modules with finite projective dimension coincides with the class of all modules with finite injective dimension. In particular, this means that the class of all right $R$-modules with finite projective dimension is closed under direct products. Then all direct products of copies of $R_R$ have finite flat dimension. By Theorem 4.2, $R$ is left weak coherent.

Let us finish the paper with some applications of our result. In the first of them we characterize when the class of all right $R$-modules with finite flat dimension is closed under products. Recall that the right finitistic flat dimension is the supremum of the set consisting of the flat dimensions of all right $R$-modules with finite flat dimension, if this supremum exists, and $\infty$ otherwise.

**Corollary 4.5.** The following assertions are equivalent:

1. The class of all right $R$-modules with finite flat dimension is closed under direct products.

2. $R$ is left weak coherent and has finite right finitistic flat dimension.
Proof. (1) ⇒ (2). By Lemma 3.9 taking \( X \) and \( Y \) the class of all flat modules.

(2) ⇒ (1). Trivial. □

Recall that a class of right \( R \)-modules is definable if it is closed under products, pure submodules and direct limits. As a second application of our results, we are going to prove that the class of all right \( R \)-modules with flat dimension less or equal than \( n \) is definable for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), when \( R \) is left coherent ring. We shall use the following preliminary lemma that says that, in order to see that a class is closed under direct limits, we only have to prove that it is closed under continuous well ordered limits. The proof can be done with the same argument used in [1, Corollary 1.7].

Lemma 4.6. Let \( X \) be a class of right \( R \)-modules which is closed under continuous well ordered limits. Then \( X \) is closed under direct limits.

Proposition 4.7. For each natural number \( n \), the class of all right \( R \)-modules with flat dimension less or equal than \( n \) is closed under direct limits and pure submodules.

Proof. First of all, we shall prove that \( F_n \) is closed under direct limits. In view of the preceding result, we only have to see that \( F_n \) is closed under continuous well ordered direct limits. We shall make the proof inductively on \( n \). Case \( n = 0 \) is the well known property of flat modules. Assume that we have proved the result for some natural number \( n \) and let us prove it for \( n + 1 \). Let \( (M_\alpha, \tau_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha < \beta < \kappa} \) be a continuous well ordered system of modules belonging to \( F_{n+1} \). We are going to construct, for each \( \alpha < \kappa \), a direct system in \( \text{Ses}(F_n, F, \text{Mod-R}) \),

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & F_\gamma & \xrightarrow{f_\gamma} & G_\gamma & \xrightarrow{g_\gamma} & M_\gamma & \longrightarrow & 0, \quad (\tau_{1\gamma}, \tau_{2\gamma}, \tau_{3\gamma})_{\delta < \gamma \leq \alpha}
\end{array}
\]

with \( \tau_{3\alpha} = \tau_{\gamma\alpha} \) for each \( \gamma \leq \alpha \). Then, the result will follow by taking direct limits, since the resulting exact sequence belongs to \( \text{Ses}(F_n, F, \text{Mod-R}) \) and its last term is \( M \) (note that flat dimension can be computed using flat resolutions).

We shall make the construction by transfinite recursion on \( \alpha \). If \( \alpha = 0 \) simply take a short exact sequence

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & F_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & G_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & M_0 & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

with \( G_0 \) flat and \( F_0 \in F_n \). Now fix \( \alpha > 0 \) and assume that we have made the construction for each ordinal smaller than \( \alpha \). If \( \alpha \) is successor, say \( \alpha = \gamma + 1 \), take a short exact sequence

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & F_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} & G_\alpha & \xrightarrow{g_\alpha} & M_\alpha & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

with \( g_\alpha \) a flat precover of \( M_\alpha \). Then \( F_\alpha \) has flat dimension less or equal than \( n \). Now, using the factorization property of the precover, we can construct the commutative diagram,

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & F_\gamma & \xrightarrow{f_\gamma} & G_\gamma & \xrightarrow{g_\gamma} & M_\gamma & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

Then if we set \( \tau_{3\alpha} = \tau_{\gamma\alpha} \) and \( \tau_{i\alpha} = \tau_{i\gamma}\alpha \tau_{i\gamma} \) for each \( i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \) and \( \delta < \gamma \), we will have successor case done. If \( \alpha \) is limit the construction is made by taking direct limits.
Now we prove that $F_n$ is closed under pure submodules. Take a pure short exact sequence
\begin{equation}
0 \to K \to M \to L \to 0
\end{equation}
with $M \in F_n$. Then there exists a direct system of splitting exact sequences,
\begin{equation}
(0 \to K_i \to M_i \to L_i \to 0, (\tau^1_{ij}, \tau^2_{ij}, \tau^3_{ij}))_{i \leq j \in I},
\end{equation}
whose direct limit is \ref{eq:short_exact}. For any module $C$, if we apply $\text{Tor}_R^{n+1}(\_ , C)$ to this system, we get another direct system of splitting short exact sequences whose limit is
\begin{equation}
0 \to \lim_{\to} \text{Tor}_R^{n+1}(K_i, C) \to \lim_{\to} \text{Tor}_R^{n+1}(M_i, C) \to \lim_{\to} \text{Tor}_R^{n+1}(L_i, C) \to 0.
\end{equation}
Now, using that $\text{Tor}_R^{n+1}$ commutes with direct limits (see, for example, \cite[Proposition 7.8]{22}), we actually get the short exact sequence
\begin{equation}
0 \to \text{Tor}_R^{n+1}(K, C) \to \text{Tor}_R^{n+1}(M, C) \to \text{Tor}_R^{n+1}(L, C) \to 0.
\end{equation}
Finally, since $M$ has flat dimension less or equal than $n$, we conclude that $\text{Tor}_R^{n+1}(M, C) = 0$ and, consequently, $\text{Tor}_R^{n+1}(K, C) = 0$. Since $C$ was arbitrary, $K$ has flat dimension less or equal than $n$ and the proof is finished.

Note that as a consequence of \cite[Corollary 2.36]{1}, this result says that, for any ring and $n \in \omega$, the full subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$ whose class of objects consists of all modules with flat dimension less or equal than $n$ an accessible category.

**Corollary 4.8.** Let $n$ be a natural number and suppose that $R$ is left coherent. Then the class of all right $R$-modules with flat dimension less or equal than $n$ is definable.

**Proof.** If $R$ is left coherent then the class $F_n$ is closed under direct products (this can be deduced with the same argument used in Proposition \ref{prop:flat_dimension}). Then the result follows from the previous proposition. \hfill \Box
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