Anderson localization on Falicov-Kimball model with next-nearest-neighbor hopping and long-range correlated disorder
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The phase diagram of correlated, disordered electron systems is calculated within dynamical mean-field theory for the Anderson-Falicov-Kimball model with nearest-neighbors and next-nearest-neighbors hopping. The half-filled band is analyzed in terms of the chemical potential of the system using the geometric and arithmetic averages. We also introduce the on-site energies exhibiting a long-range correlated disorder, which generates a system with similar characteristics as the one created by a random independent variable distribution. A decrease in the correlated disorder reduces the extended phase.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Many recent works ¹, ², ³ have considered a non-perturbative framework to investigate the Mott metal-insulator transition (MIT) ⁴ in lattice electrons with local interaction and disorder using the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) ⁵. For example, the Anderson transition ⁶, ⁷ has been explored on the Bethe lattice ⁸ considering the Hubbard and Falicov-Kimball models. In these studies, the Mott MIT is characterized by opening a gap in the density of states at the Fermi level. At the Anderson localization, the character of the spectrum at the Fermi level changes from a continuous to a dense discrete one.

The study of disordered systems requires the use of probability distribution functions (PDFs). One is usually interested in typical values of these quantities which are mathematically given by the most probable value of the PDF. The metal and the insulator phases could be detected by analyzing the local density of states (LDOS). In particular, the arithmetic mean of this random one-particle quantity is noncritical at the Anderson transition and hence cannot help to detect the localization transition. By contrast, the geometric mean gives a better approximation at a critical strength of the disorder and hence provides an explicit criterion for Anderson localization ³. ¹⁰, ¹¹, ¹². Recently, we adopted the Hölder mean to analyze how the averaged LDOS depends on each Hölder parameter that is used. We showed that the averaged LDOS can vanish in the band center at a critical strength of the disorder for a wide variety of averages ¹³.

Most of these studies are restricted to the hopping between nearest-neighbors (NNs). Recent works suggest that the inclusion of next-nearest-neighbors (NNNs) hopping in the model favors the long-range magnetic ordering ¹⁴. The investigation of these effect is particularly interesting. The breaking of particle-hole symmetry, even at half filling, is a generic property of real materials and creates effects on the paramagnetic phase ¹⁵, besides the frustration of the antiferromagnetic phase ¹⁶. The effect of nonrandom NNN hopping becomes evident already in the noninteracting system through an asymmetric density of states (DOS), as already derived for an arbitrary hopping on the Bethe lattice ¹⁷.

On the other hand, the long-range correlated disorder can be generated in a variety of stochastic processes in nature ¹⁸. A tight-binding one-dimensional model of electronic states with the on-site energies exhibiting long-range correlated disorder and nonrandom hopping amplitudes was studied in ref. ¹⁹. The presence of an Anderson-like metal insulator transition was revealed for a finite range of energy values where the Lyapunov coefficient vanishes. The correlation in the disorder favors the emergence of the extended phase.

In this paper, we investigate two different aspects for the Anderson-Falicov-Kimball model. First, considering nearest-neighbor (NNs) and next-nearest-neighbor (NNNs) hoppings, we analyzed how the presence of the NNN hoppings influence the phase diagram of the ground state of this model using geometric and arithmetic averages. Besides that, we showed how the chemical potential varies as we look at the half-filled band of different systems; the inclusion of the NNN hopping dislocates the half-filled band from the band center, independent of the disorder that is considered. The ground-state phase diagram is also presented for different values of NNN hoppings.

Secondly, we studied the main effects of the long-range correlated disorder (characterized by the exponent α) in the Anderson-Falicov-Kimball model. Unlike the one-dimensional Anderson model, this disorder does not have a great influence on the behavior of the system. We present the ground-state phase diagram for electrons in a half-filled band for different values of this disorder and the dependence of the disorder in terms of the exponent α for a system without Coulomb repulsion.

In the pure half-filled Falicov-Kimball model, the Fermi energy for electrons is inside of the correlation...
(Mott) gap opened by increasing the interaction \( g \). We want to examine how the disorder influences this gap.

The pure Falicov-Kimball model describes two species of particles, mobile and immobile, which interact with each other when both are on the same lattice site. This model is the simplest model to study metal-insulator transitions in mixed valence compounds of rare earth and transition metal oxides, ordering in mixed valence systems, order–disorder transitions in binary alloys, itinerant magnetism \([20]\), crystalization, electronic ferroelectricity in mixed-valence compounds, and phase diagrams of metal ammonia solutions \([21]\). It also captures some aspects of the Mott-Hubbard MIT \([13]\).

It was assumed, for simplicity, that the occupation \( n_f \) of the \( i \)th site has probability \( p \) \((0 < p < 1)\). It was assumed, for simplicity, that just mobile particles are subjected to the structural disorder \([9]\). The energy \( \epsilon_i \) is a random, independent variable, describing the local disorder disturbing the motion of electrons. The model is solved within the DMFT framework.

In section \( \text{III} \) we present the DMFT approach applied to the Anderson-Falicov-Kimball model \([9]\). In section \( \text{IV} \) we present the numerical results concerning the ground-state phase diagram for the next-nearest-neighbor hopping case. In section \( \text{V} \) we discuss the above model for the long-range correlated disorder. Finally in section \( \text{VI} \) we present our conclusions.

\section{II. Dynamical Mean-Field Theory}

The formalism is based on the introduction of the hybridization function \( \eta(\omega) \), which is a dynamical mean field description of the coupling of a selected lattice site with the rest of the system \([12]\). The DMFT is calculated from the Hilbert transforms

\begin{equation}
G(\omega) = \int \frac{d\epsilon N_0(\epsilon)}{\eta(\omega) - \epsilon + 1/G(\omega)},
\end{equation}
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\caption{Averaged local density of states at \( U = 3.6 \) and \( q = 0 \) for (a) \( t_2^*/t_1^* = 0, 0.4 \) and 1.0 for disorder strength \( \Delta = 2.0 \).}
\end{figure}

and

\begin{equation}
G(\omega) = \int d\omega' \frac{\rho_0(\omega')}{\omega - \omega'},
\end{equation}

where \( N_0(\epsilon) \) is the non-interacting density of states and \( G(\omega) \) the translationally invariant Green function.

The \( \epsilon_i \)-dependent LDOS is written as

\begin{equation}
\rho(\omega, \epsilon_i) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} G(\omega, \epsilon_i),
\end{equation}

where \( G(\omega, \epsilon_i) \) is the local \( \epsilon_i \)-dependent Green function \([8]\). \( \epsilon_i \) is considered an independent random variable characterized by a probability function \( P(\epsilon_i) = \Phi(\Delta/2 - \epsilon_i)/\Delta \), with \( \Phi \) being the step function. The parameter \( \Delta \) is a measure for the disorder strength. From the \( \epsilon_i \)-dependent LDOS, we introduce the \( q \)-Hölder averaged LDOS

\begin{equation}
\rho_q(\omega) = \left\{ \sum_i [\rho(\omega, \epsilon_i)]^q \right\}^{1/q}
\end{equation}

where the subscript \( q \) defines the generalized mean. Special cases are, for example, the minimum \((q \to -\infty)\), the geometric mean \((q \to 0)\), the arithmetic mean \((q = 1)\) and the maximum \((q \to \infty)\). Eq. \((5)\) inserted in Eq. \((3)\) closes the self-consistent DMFT.

A chemical potential \( \mu \) is introduced only for the mobile subsystem, to fix the system in the half-filled band \((n_e = p = 1/2)\). For the Bethe lattice in the limit of infinite connectivity \( K \), one can use the scaling \( t_1 = t_1^*/\sqrt{K} \) and \( t_2 = t_2^*/K \). In the nearest-neighbor hopping case \((t_2 = 0)\) the density of states is the semi-elliptic function \( N_0(\epsilon) = \sqrt{4 - (\epsilon/t_1^*)^2/(2\pi t_1^* \omega)} \), where the bandwidth is \( 4t_1^* \). We can find \( \eta(\omega) = t_1^* G(\omega) \). In this case, the ground-state properties in the half-filled band case are
Bethe lattice is given by the analytical expression for the density of states on the $t$ with geometric averaging ($\Theta(\epsilon)$ is the step function and $\lambda(\epsilon)$ is obtained in a straightforward way from the linearized DMFT.

We considered that the initial value of $\rho(\omega, \epsilon_i)$ is a uniform distribution with bandwidth $W = 8t_1^*$. Then we determined $G(\omega)$ in order to obtain $\eta(\omega)$ and finally the new values of $\rho(\omega, \epsilon_i)$. This loop is performed until we find the stable configuration for $\rho_0(\omega)$.

III. NEXT-NEAREST-NEIGHBOR HOPPING

We considered that the initial value of $\rho(\omega, \epsilon_i)$ is a uniform distribution with bandwidth $W = 8t_1^*$, then we determined $G(\omega)$ in order to obtain $\eta(\omega)$ and finally the new values of $\rho(\omega, \epsilon_i)$. This loop is performed until we find the stable configuration for $\rho_0(\omega)$.

If we consider fixed values of $U$ and $t_2^*/t_1^*$ we can observe the dependence of the averaged LDOS on the value of $\Delta$ that is used. Some of these results are presented in Fig. 4. We consider the next-nearest-neighbor hopping model ($t_2^*/t_1^* = 0.0, 0.4$ and $1.0$). We can see that the behavior of the LDOS is not the same in all cases. In contrast to the case $t_2^*/t_1^* = 0$, if $t_2^*/t_1^* \neq 0$ the $\rho_0(\omega)$ is asymmetric.

A signature of the Anderson localization is the vanishing of $\rho_0(\omega)$ as we increase $\Delta$. The detection of the Anderson localization depends on the average that is used to calculate $\rho_0(\omega)$; using the geometrical average $q = 0$, $\rho_0(\omega)$ vanishes for a certain value of $\Delta$ while using the arithmetical average $q = 1$, the localization cannot be detected. Fig. 2 presents the phase diagram of the ground state for the Anderson-Falikov-Kimball model for $U = 3.6$ at $t_2 = 0$ (solid line), $t_2 = 0.4$ (dash-dot line) and $t_2 = 1$ (dash-dot-dot line) using the geometrical average (black lines) and the arithmetic average (brown lines). It is obtained observing the behavior of the average local density of states for different values of $w$ as the disorder strength $\Delta$ is increased. We can identify three regions with respect to the states at the Fermi level: extended gapless phase (continuous spectrum), localized gapless phase (pure point spectrum) and gap phase [3]. The lines delimiting these phases are centered around $w = 0$ for $t_2^*/t_1^* = 0$, what does not happen for $t_2^*/t_1^* \neq 0$. The asymmetry in the phase diagram becomes more evident.

FIG. 2: Phase diagram of the ground state for the Anderson-Falikov-Kimball model for $U = 3.6$ at $t_2 = 0$ (solid line), $t_2^*/t_1^* = 0.4$ (dash-dot line) and $t_2^*/t_1^* = 1$ (dash-dot-dot line). Black lines present mobility edges determined within DMFT with geometric averaging ($q = 0$) and brown lines show band edges determined within DMFT with arithmetic averaging ($q = 1$).

N_0(\epsilon) = \Theta(t_1^* + 4t_2^* + 4t_2^*\epsilon)\frac{\sqrt{4 - \lambda_+^2} + \sqrt{4 - \lambda_-^2}}{2\pi \sqrt{t_1^* + 4t_2^* + 4t_2^*\epsilon}} (6)

where $\Theta(\epsilon)$ is the step function and $\lambda_{\pm}(\epsilon)$ is solution of the equation

$t_2^*\lambda_+^2 + t_1^*\lambda_+ - (t_2^* + \epsilon) = 0. (7)$

FIG. 3: Averaged electron number $n_e$ versus chemical potential at $U = 3.6$, for $t_2^*/t_1^* = 0$ and $\Delta = 0, 2.0, 4.0$ and $6.0$. (a) $q = 1$ and (b) $q = 0$. 

$\eta(\omega) = G(\omega) \left\{ \frac{t_2^*}{1 - G(\omega)t_2^*} + \frac{t_1^*(1 - G(\omega)t_2^*)}{(1 - 2G(\omega)t_2^*)^2} \right\}, (8)$
FIG. 4: Averaged electron number $n_e$ versus chemical potential at $U = 3.6$ using $q = 0$, for $t_2^*/t_1^* ≠ 0$ and $Δ = 0, 2.0, 4.0$ and $6.0$. (a) $t_2^*/t_1^* = 0.4$ and (b) $t_2^*/t_1^* = 1.0$.

FIG. 5: Chemical potential versus disorder parameter $Δ$ for the half-filled band ($n_e = 0.5$). The results are obtained for $t_2^*/t_1^* = 0, 0.4$ and $1.0$.

As already pointed out, if $t_2 ≠ 0$, the chemical potential $μ = U/2$ that was introduced does not affect the system in the half-filled band. When we look at the curves of Fig. 3 obtained for the relation between the chemical potential $μ$ and the average number of electrons $n_e$, we see that all the curves obtained for $t_2^*/t_1^* = 0$ are centered at $μ = U/2$ and $n_e = 0.5$, independent of the average that is considered. This means that the half-filled band of the system is always in a band center ($ω = 0$) as we vary the disorder $Δ$ of the system. In Fig. 4 we present the curves corresponding to $t_2 ≠ 0$ ($t_2 = 0.4$ and $t_2 = 1.0$) using the geometrical average. We can observe in all curves that for a half-filled band system ($n_e = 0.5$) the value of $μ$ does not correspond to $U/2$ anymore, independent of the average that is used and the disorder that is imposed.

Fig. 5 shows the chemical potential versus disorder parameter $Δ$ for the half-filled band ($n_e = 0.5$). We observe that for $t_2^*/t_1^* = 0$ the half-filled band is in a band center ($ω = 0$) independent of disorder $Δ$. As we include the influence of next-nearest-neighbors $t_2^*/t_1^* ≠ 0$ the half-filled band is dislocated to bands with $ω > 0$ that correspond to values of $μ$ always greater than $U/2$.

The ground-state phase diagram for electrons in a half-filled band as a function of $t_2^*/t_1^*$ for $U = 3.6$ is shown in Fig. 6. Performing the same process for other values of $U$, we can easily obtain all the simulation points of the higher the value of $t_2^*/t_1^*$.

FIG. 6: Ground-state phase diagram for electrons in a half-filled band as a function of $t_2^*/t_1^*$ for $U = 3.6$. Dots are determined from the numerical solution of the DMFT equations. Lines are guide to the eye.
IV. LONG-RANGE CORRELATED DISORDER

Recently results [19] have shown that localization properties are modified if correlations are introduced in the disorder distribution. For example, long-range correlated disorder favors the delocalization, giving in 1D systems a range of energies with extended eigenstates. The one-dimensional Anderson model with long-range correlated diagonal disorder displays a phase of extended electronic states where the on-site energy disorder distribution is described by a power-law spectral density.

In what follows, we will study the effect due to long-range correlated disorder in the Anderson-Falicov-Kimball model on the Bethe lattice using the DMFT approach. The energy $\epsilon_i$ will not be considered as a random independent variable. Following an approach based on discrete Fourier transforms to construct long-range correlated sequences, the on-site energies can be generated by the expression [19]

$$\epsilon_i = \frac{N}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} k^{-\alpha/2} \left\| \frac{2\pi}{N} \left(1-\alpha/2\right) \cos \left\{ \frac{2\pi ik}{N} + \phi_k \right\} \right\|,$$

where $N$ is the number of sites and $\phi_k$ are $N/2$ independent random phases uniformly distributed in the interval $[0,2\pi]$. The uncorrelated disorder is recovered for $\alpha = 0$.

We will normalize the energy sequence to have the mean value $\langle \epsilon_i \rangle = 0$. The standard deviation is defined as $\sigma = \sqrt{\langle \epsilon_i^2 \rangle} - \langle \epsilon_i \rangle^2 = 6\Delta/\sqrt{3}$. For $\alpha = 0$, we obtain a gaussian distribution for the $\epsilon_i$. In this sense, the values of the Coulomb repulsion $U$ and the disorder $\Delta$ that we obtain when $\rho_0(w) = 0$ are not the same as obtained with the uniform distribution.

In the case $U = 0$, we find in the literature that for the cubic network the transition from the extended states to the localized ones occurs for $\Delta_c = 5.5$ for the uniform distribution and $\Delta_c = 7.0$ for the gaussian distribution [22, 23]. For the same value of $U$, we found in our simulations $\Delta_c = 5.7$ and 6.8, respectively, for the uniform and the gaussian distributions.

In Fig. 8, we present the ground-state phase diagram for electrons in a half-filled band by using long-range correlated disorder for $\alpha = 0$, 2.0 and 2.5. Dots are determined from the numerical solution of the DMFT equations. Lines are guide to the eye.
The difference between the diagrams can be quantified for different values of the exponent α when we look at the value of ∆ when \( U = 0 \). The result is presented in Fig 9. We see that the value of ∆ diminishes as the value of α is increased and tends to a constant value for \( \alpha > 4 \). The decrease of ∆ means that the correlation reduces the extended phase, different to what happens in low dimension [19].

V. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper, we studied the solutions of the Anderson-Falicov-Kimball model with nearest-neighbor (NNs) and next-nearest-neighbor (NNN) hopping. We found the averaged LDOS calculated using the arithmetic and geometric mean within dynamical mean field theory. We showed that the inclusion of the NNN with \( t_2^*/t_1^* \neq 0 \) moves the half-filled band from the band center (\( \omega = 0 \)) to bands with \( \omega > 0 \), independent of the disorder Δ that is considered. Besides that the chemical potential \( \mu \) changes from \( U/2 \) for \( t_2^*/t_1^* = 0 \) to greater values for \( t_2^*/t_1^* \neq 0 \).

We also analyzed the behavior of the system when long-range correlated disorder is considered using both the geometric and arithmetic means. We showed that the inclusion of this kind of disorder does not present results different from the ones already obtained for an uncorrelated disorder system [13]. At the other hand, we showed that decreasing the correlated disorder reduces the extended phase for \( U = 0 \), different to what happens in low dimension.

A previous work [14] about phase separation in the particle-hole assymetric Hubbard model involving NNN hoppings suggests that the inclusion of the temperature in determining the \( (U, T, \mu) \) phase diagram is crucial for the study of the Anderson localization in a disordered electron system described by the Falicov-Kimball model. We will analyze these effects in future works.
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