1. Introduction

In recent years, many industries, such as textile and printing factories, have produced tremendous amounts of wastewater because of a heavy reliance on dyes and pigments. As is known, dye wastewater pollution can cause numerous serious problems in water environments, and also has a strong negative impact on human survival and development. Semiconductor-based photocatalysis can mineralize the various organic dyes in aqueous solution to CO₂, H₂O or other harmless products. Therefore, photocatalytic degradation of organic dyes has been regarded as a very efficient and inexpensive method of removing dye pollutants in wastewater.

Recently, many semiconductor photocatalysts have been developed. Among those photocatalysts, ZnO, with a wide band gap energy of 3.20 eV and a large exciton binding energy (60 meV), has attracted much attention owing to its low toxicity, abundant morphologies, easy synthesis, and low cost. Similarly, ZnS, having a wide and direct band gap (Eg = 3.72 eV for hexagonal wurtzite phase and Eg = 3.68 eV for cubic zinc blende phase), is another promising photocatalyst because of its relatively high photocatalytic activity and natural abundance. Many ZnO or ZnS nanostructure have been synthesized through various methods. The properties and applications of nanomaterials are related to their morphologies and nanostructures, such as size, surface area and defect. 1-Dimensional (1D) ZnO (or ZnS) nanomaterials, such as nanorods and nanowires, have been studied with various techniques on their photocatalytic activities. 2-Dimensional (2D) nanomaterials are attracting much attention recently due to their unique physical and chemical properties. Among 2D nanomaterials, porous nanomaterials are highly attractive to scientists for their high surface area and large pore volume towards enhanced photocatalytic activities in eliminating environmental hazards. As most synthesis is complicated or costly, it is always highly attractive to synthesize porous 2D materials with a facile and low-cost route for photocatalytic applications.

In this study, porous ZnS, ZnO and ZnS−ZnO nanosheets (NSs) were fabricated by thermal treatment of ZnS(en)0.5 NSs which are solvothermally synthesized using en as a single solvent. Their phase structures and morphologies are investigated with XRD, XPS, SEM, TEM and BET, and their photocatalytic properties are explored in detail.

2. Experimental section

2.1 Preparation

All reagents were analytical grade, purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Regent Co., Ltd, and used without further purification. The ZnS(en)0.5 precursor was prepared with a solvothermal method using ethylenediamine (en) as both a single solvent and a soft growth template. In a typical synthesis, 2 mmol Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and 4 mmol CS(NH₂)₂ were dissolved in 60 mL en under stirring. After that, the solution was reacted in a 80 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave – at 180 °C for 12 h. After cooled to room temperature, the precipitate was washed several times with distilled water and absolute ethanol alternately, and then dried in an oven at 60 °C for 12 h. The ZnS(en)0.5 NSs were obtained. The ZnS(en)0.5 NSs was annealed at 400 °C, 500 °C and 600 °C for 2 h in an electrical furnace in air to obtain ZnS, ZnS−ZnO, and ZnO NSs, respectively.
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Porous ZnS, ZnO and ZnS−ZnO nanosheets (NSs) are obtained by annealing ZnS(en)0.5 (en = ethylenediamine) NSs under suitable conditions in air. The annealing temperature plays an important role. Porous hexagonal wurtzite ZnS, ZnS−ZnO and ZnO NSs are obtained at 400, 500 and 600 °C, respectively. ZnS−ZnO NSs exhibit the highest photocatalytic activity and good photocatalytic stability in the decomposition of organic dye molecule rhodamine B (RHB) under ultraviolet (UV) light illumination.
2.2 Characterization

The morphologies and crystalline nature were characterized by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, JEOL JSM-7800F) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI Tenai G2 F20 microscope). The phase constituents were determined using X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku-D/max-2500 diffractometer). The elemental compositions were measured by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo Scientific ESCALAB 250Xi A1440 system). The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) special surface areas were measured on a nitrogen adsorption apparatus (AUTOSORB-IQ, Quantachrome Instruments, USA) using N$_2$ as the adsorbate. The pore size distributions were calculated by the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method. UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) were carried out on a SHIMADZU-UV-3600 spectrophotometer at room temperature. The room temperature photoluminescence (PL) spectra were preformed on a Renishaw inVia micro-PL spectrometer with a 325 nm He–Cd laser as the excitation source.

2.3 Photocatalysis

The photodecomposition of RhB was used to study the photocatalytic properties of the as-synthesized samples. A 250 W high-pressure mercury lamp (main emission wavelength $\lambda$=365 nm) was used as UV light source. All photocatalytic reactions were carried out at room temperature. Prior to UV light exposure, the suspension was stirred in the dark for 30 min to equilibrate the adsorption and desorption of RhB molecules. For comparison, blank photodegradation experiment was performed without adding photocatalyst. After certain irradiation intervals, the solution of RhB was analyzed by a UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV-5800PC, Shanghai Metash Instruments Co., Ltd).

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the SEM images of the as-prepared ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$, ZnS, ZnS–ZnO and ZnO. ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ has a sheet-like morphology with regular rectangles, and lateral dimensions are in the range of 0.2–2 $\mu$m. In addition, the surfaces of ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ are quite smooth. The high-magnification SEM image in Fig. 1(a2) shows that the thickness of ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ is approximately 60 nm. Fig. 3(b–d) show the samples obtained by annealing the ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ NSs at various temperatures in air. These images present that the sizes (dimension and thickness) and shape of ZnS, ZnS–ZnO and ZnO NSs are similar to that of ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ NSs. However, the surfaces of ZnS, ZnS–ZnO and ZnO have changed. Fig. 1(b1) shows the surface of ZnS NSs becomes a little rough, due to the pores formed by the removal of en. Compared with that of ZnS NSs, the surface of ZnS–ZnO NSs is relatively rougher. There are numerous small pores on the surface of ZnS–ZnO NSs. As shown in Fig. 1(d), ZnO NSs are composed of nanoparticles with $\sim$60 nm in size, and the thickness of ZnO NSs is about the size of one nanoparticle. Meanwhile, there are numerous pores with a size distribution from 20 to 50 nm on the surface of ZnO NSs. It is proposed that the formation of porous structure of ZnO NSs is from the atomic substitution of sulfur by oxygen.

The microstructures of ZnS, ZnS–ZnO and ZnO NSs are further characterized with TEM and the corresponding results are shown in Fig. 2. Fig. 2(a1, b1 and c1) show the TEM images of ZnS, ZnS–ZnO and ZnO NSs, respectively. It is obviously seen that all samples exhibit the profile of planar sheets with widths of 0.2–1 $\mu$m and lengths of 0.5–2 $\mu$m, which agree well with the observation of SEM images (Fig. 1(b–d)). The HRTEM image in Fig. 2(a2) clearly shows that the planar spacing of about 0.31 nm corresponds well to the (002) plane of hexagonal wurtzite-type ZnS, meaning that ZnS NSs grow along the (001) direction ($c$-axis). The corresponding SAED pattern in Fig. 2(a3) reveals that ZnS NSs is single crystalline. Fig. 2(b1) shows the TEM image of ZnS–ZnO NSs, and the surface of ZnS–ZnO NSs is rougher than that of ZnS NSs. The HRTEM image of ZnS–ZnO NSs in Fig. 2(b2) clearly shows three different crystal parts. One set (in A area) of the planar spacing of about 0.26 nm corresponds to the (002) plane of hexagonal wurtzite-type ZnO, and other set (in B area) of the lattice fringe of approximate 0.31 nm matches well with the lattice spacing of the (002) plane of hexagonal wurtzite-type ZnS. The corresponding FFT patterns of these two set HRTEM images are shown in Fig. 2(b3 and b5), respectively.
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Another FFT pattern in Fig. 2(b4) belonging to C area (in Fig. 2(b2)) implies the coexistence of ZnS and ZnO phases in this area. Fig. 2(b6–b8) display EELS elemental mapping images of Zn, O, and S, respectively, indicating that Zn, O, and S atoms are uniformly distributed in ZnS–ZnO NSs. As shown in Fig. 2(c1), the as-prepared ZnO possesses a sheet morphology composed of nanoparticles and non-uniform pores, consistent with the observation of SEM image (Fig. 1(d)). The HRTEM image in Fig. 2(c2) shows that the lattice spacing is 0.26 nm, which corresponds well to the d-values of hexagonal ZnO (002). The discrete spots in the SAED pattern in Fig. 2(c3) indicate that the as-prepared porous ZnO NSs have a single crystalline nature. Namely, the nanoparticles have the same orientation, consistent with those reported in the ref. 29.

To further investigate the compositions and purity of ZnS and ZnO NSs, XPS are carried out and the results of binding energy spectra are shown in Fig. 3. The survey spectrum in Fig. 3(a) shows the presence of the Zn, S, O and C peaks in ZnS NSs, and the peaks of Zn, O and C in the ZnO NSs. The carbon peak in both ZnS and ZnO NSs mainly originates from the adventitious hydrocarbon from the XPS instrument itself. The weak peak of O in ZnS NSs is mainly attributed to H2O, or OH– adsorbed on the surfaces of ZnS NSs. As shown in Fig. 3(b–d) show the core-level XPS spectra of Zn 2p, S 2p, and O 1s, respectively. The peak location of Zn is different between ZnS and ZnO NSs. For the Zn 2p spectra, the ZnO NSs shows peak-shifting towards higher binding energy in comparison with ZnS NSs, consistent with the values reported in literature. As shown in Fig. 3(c), the peaks at 162.0 and 163.2 eV are attributed to S 2p½ and S 2p½ of S2−, respectively. The peak at 532.4 eV in Fig. 3(d) is assigned to O2− 1s in ZnO. Consequently, these results indicate that ZnS(en)0.5 NSs completely convert into ZnS NSs when the annealing temperature is 400 °C, and pure ZnO NSs are obtained when the annealing temperature increases to 600 °C.
Fig. 4 shows the XRD patterns of the as-prepared samples. All the diffraction peaks of Fig. 4(a) can be well indexed to orthorhombic structure ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$, which is in good agreement with those reported in the literatures.$^{14,38-40}$ And the strong and sharp diffraction peaks in the XRD pattern imply good crystallinity of ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$. When the ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ complex is annealed at different temperature under air atmosphere, its structure changes completely. All the diffraction peaks of Fig. 4(b) fit quite well the standard hexagonal wurtzite structure ZnS (JCPDS no. 36-1450), implying that the precursor completely converts into ZnS after 2 h of thermal annealing at 400 °C. By increasing the annealing temperature to 500 °C, the XRD pattern (Fig. 4(c)) consists of two sets of diffraction peaks of ZnS and ZnO (JCPDS no. 36-1451), demonstrating that ZnS phase progressively transforms into wurtzite ZnO. When the annealing temperature further increases to 600 °C, only peaks of ZnO are detected (Fig. 4(d)), indicating that ZnS is completely oxidized into ZnO. This result indicates that the annealing temperature is the main factor to affect the conversion from ZnS to ZnO.

Fig. 5(a) shows the N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms of ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$, ZnS, ZnS–ZnO and ZnO NSs. According to Fig. 5(a), no obvious hysteresis loop in ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ NSs is detected. However, other samples exhibit typical adsorption–desorption isotherms characteristic of mesoporous materials. The special surface areas of the as-prepared samples are calculated according to the BET (Brunauer–Emmett–Teller) equation, and the corresponding results are summarized in Table 1. ZnS–ZnO NSs show the biggest BET-specific surface area ($S_{BET}$) among all samples.

The pore size distributions of the as-prepared samples are calculated by the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method from the desorption branch of the isotherm curve, and the corresponding results are shown in Fig. 5(b). Obviously, ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ NSs have virtually no pore in the measurement range, which is in good agreement with the reported results.$^{14,41}$ Generally, the sizes of pores increase with the increasing of annealing temperature. Moreover, the pore size distribution becomes broader with the increase of annealing temperature. ZnS NSs and ZnS–ZnO NSs have relative narrow distributions and the average pore size distribution is around 4 and 6 nm, respectively. ZnO NSs have a broad pore size distribution from 20 to 50 nm. The total pore volumes of all samples are listed in Table 1.
The optical properties of the as-prepared samples are characterized by UV-vis DRS and PL spectra analyses. Fig. 5(c) presents the UV-vis DRS of ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$, ZnS, ZnS–ZnO and ZnO NSs. ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ NSs shows a strong optical response in the UV region. ZnS NSs clearly displays a strong absorption both in the UV region from bandgap transition and in the visible region from defects. The absorption of ZnS–ZnO NSs shows a large UV absorption and a small absorption in the visible range. The spectrum of ZnO NSs shows a sharp edge at about 380 nm, which is related to its band-edge absorption of ZnO semiconductor material.

![Fig. 5](a) N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms, (b) pore size distribution curves, (c) UV-vis DRS and (d) room-temperature PL spectra of ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$, ZnS, ZnS–ZnO and ZnO NSs.

Table 1: $S_{\text{BET}}$ and pore volume ($V_p$) of samples

| Sample    | Annealing T (°C) | $S_{\text{BET}}$ (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) | $V_p$ (cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) |
|-----------|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ | —                | 10.90                            | 0.084                   |
| ZnS       | 400              | 29.39                            | 0.121                   |
| ZnS–ZnO  | 500              | 40.83                            | 0.184                   |
| ZnO       | 600              | 19.71                            | 0.141                   |

The optical properties of the as-prepared samples are characterized by UV-vis DRS and PL spectra analyses. Fig. 5(c) presents the UV-vis DRS of ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$, ZnS, ZnS–ZnO and ZnO NSs. ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ NSs shows a strong optical response in the UV region. ZnS NSs clearly displays a strong absorption both in the UV region from bandgap transition and in the visible region from defects. The absorption of ZnS–ZnO NSs shows a large UV absorption and a small absorption in the visible range. The spectrum of ZnO NSs shows a sharp edge at about 380 nm, which is related to its band-edge absorption of ZnO semiconductor material.

Fig. 5(d) shows the room-temperature PL spectra of the as-prepared samples. According to Fig. 5(d), two major emissions centered at about 450 and 600 nm are detected in ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ NSs. ZnS NSs shows an obviously strong green emission band centered at approximately 540 nm, which can be attributed to surface defects coming from ZnS or ZnO crystal. ZnS–ZnO and ZnO NSs display an obviously strong green emission band centered at around 510 nm, which can be attributed to surface defects coming from ZnS or ZnO crystal. It is worth to note that visible light emission peaks increase with the increasing of ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ NSs annealing temperature.

The photocatalytic activities of the as-prepared samples are evaluated and compared by measuring the decomposition of RhB aqueous solution under UV light illumination. Fig. 6(a) presents the degradation efficiency versus irradiation time for the different photocatalysts. For comparison, blank experiment in the absence of photocatalyst is performed, and no appreciable degradation of RhB is detected after 90 min. In contrast, the RhB dramatic degrades when the as-prepared samples are employed as photocatalysts. According to Fig. 6(a), the photocatalytic activities decrease in the order of ZnS–ZnO > ZnS > ZnO > ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$. In addition, based on the Langmuir–Hinshelwood model, the degradation of dyes can be described by an apparent first-order equation, $\ln(C_0/C_t) = kt$, where $C_0$ is the dye initial concentration, $C_t$ is the remaining concentration, $t$ is illumination time and $k$ is the apparent first-order rate constant. Fig. 6(b) shows the $\ln(C_0/C_t)$ versus irradiation time for the different photocatalysts and corresponding rate constant. Clearly, ZnS–ZnO NSs shows the highest rate constant, whereas ZnS(en)$_{0.5}$ NSs has the lowest.

In order to examine the stability of the catalyst, cycling experiments of degradation RhB aqueous solution over ZnS–ZnO NSs are carried out under identical reaction condition. As shown in Fig. 6(c), the photocatalytic activity of ZnS–ZnO NSs has no obviously drop after five cycles of experimental tests. This demonstrates the good photocatalytic stability of ZnS–ZnO NSs.
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Fig. 6(d) displays the relationship between the photocatalytic activity and $S_{\text{BET}}$ of samples. It is interesting to notice that two curves have the similar order. This illustrates that there is a potential correlation between $S_{\text{BET}}$ and photocatalytic activity of those photocatalysts. The adsorption capacities of all as-
prepared samples are investigated before the photocatalytic experiments. According to Fig. 6(a), the adsorption capacity of sample is proportional to its surface area. As we know, organic dye molecules can be degraded only when they are adsorbed by the catalyst surface. Photocatalyst with big surface area will adsorb more organic dye molecules. Accordingly, ZnS–ZnO NSs with the biggest \( S_{\text{BET}} \) exhibits the highest photocatalytic activity among all as-prepared samples. Furthermore, the outstanding photocatalytic activity of ZnS–ZnO NSs can be attributed to synergistic effect between ZnS and ZnO, in that the photoexcited electrons and holes can be effectively separated into the ZnS and ZnO parts, respectively, due to the mismatch of the electronic structures of the ZnS and ZnO. Therefore, ZnS–ZnO NSs show remarkable photocatalytic activity over pure ZnS or ZnO NSs.

4. Conclusions

In summary, porous ZnS, ZnO and ZnS–ZnO NSs are successfully synthesized via simple thermal annealing of ZnS(en)\(_{0.5}\) complex precursor in air atmosphere. The morphology of ZnS(en)\(_{0.5}\) NSs is preserved throughout the conversion process, and ZnS and ZnO NSs have the same hexagonal structure. ZnS–ZnO NSs show the highest photocatalytic activity due to theirs the biggest specific surface area and special heterostructure. In addition, ZnS–ZnO NSs exhibit a good stability. Therefore, ZnS–ZnO NSs would be a good photocatalyst with a extensive potential use for sewage water treatment.
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