Sexual dimorphism and sex-biased gene expression in an egg parasitoid species, Anastatus disparis
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Abstract

Background: Differences in the expression of genes present in both sexes are assumed to contribute to sex differences including behavioural, physiological and morphological dimorphisms. For enriching our knowledge of gender differences in an important egg parasitoid wasp, Anastatus disparis (Hymenoptera: Eupelmidae), sex-biased differences in gene expression were investigated using Illumina-based transcriptomic analysis.

Results: A total of 15,812 resulting unigenes were annotated, and a large set of genes accounting for 50.09% of the total showed sex-biased expression and included 630 sex-specific genes. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analyses showed that the functional categories associated with sex-biased genes were mainly related to reproduction. In addition, the transcriptome data provided evidence that sex pheromones in A. disparis are produced by the female, and activity of Δ12-desaturases appear to have been replaced by Δ9-desaturases playing roles in sex pheromone production. The large set of sex-biased genes identified in this study provide a molecular background for sexually dimorphic traits such as flyability, longevity, and aggression in this species and suggests candidate venom proteins expressed only in females that could be used for biological control.

Conclusions: This study provides comprehensive insight into sexually dimorphic traits of a parasitoid wasp and can inform future research into the molecular mechanisms underlying such traits and the application of parasitoids to the biological control of pest species.
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Background

Sex differences, including behavioural [1], physiological [2], and morphological dimorphisms [3], occur in a broad range of animal and plant species. It is often assumed that most of these phenotypic differences are mediated by differences in the expression of genes present in both sexes [4, 5]. Genes that are expressed in both sexes but at a higher level in one sex have been termed sex-biased genes, which can be further separated into male-biased and female-biased genes, depending on which sex shows higher expression [6]. This differential gene expression may involve a significant proportion of the genome [7–10]. For example, when whole adult females and males of Drosophila melanogaster are compared, up to 57% of their genes show sex-biased expression [8]. In addition, research in Drosophila reveals that the genes expressed differentially tend to be male biased [11]. However, the finding of more male-biased genes in Drosophila may be confounded by the inclusion of sex-specific genes [12]. In contrast, studies in Tribolium castaneum and Anopheles gambiae [13, 14] have shown that many of the sex-biased genes in these species are female biased. In general, male-biased genes
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evolve more rapidly than female-biased genes [15–18], suggesting that males experience stronger positive selection than females [4, 5], although the genetic architecture of gene expression and other factors might also explain the more rapid evolution of male-biased genes [19]. Sex-biased gene expression has been documented in a range of different species, including brown algae [20], birds [21, 22], nematodes [23], Daphnia pulex [10], cichlid fishes [24], guppies [25], moths [26], the pea aphid [27], and multiple insect species [8, 28–33]. Studies such as those listed above provide a comprehensive overview of sex-biased gene expression in a broad range of species and offer more useful information to determine sexual dimorphism.

Haplodiploid parasitoid species are important insects that parasitize other organisms [34] and have been extensively applied to reduce the population sizes of pest species [35–40]. However, sex differences in gene expression have not been investigated in parasitoid species other than the model organisms Nasonia species [41]. Sex-biased genes differ between non-haplodiploid and haplodiploid. In most species, the male and female genomes differ in the genes located on sex-specific chromosomes (such as the Y chromosome of mammals) [4, 5]. However, sex determination in hymenopteran species is haplodiploid: males develop from unfertilized eggs and are haploid, whereas females develop from fertilized eggs and are diploid [42]. Therefore, in haplodiploid species, females and males are nearly identical genetically [6]. As a result, most differences between females and males of haplodiploid species are due to differences in gene dosage. Furthermore, sex-biased genes in haplodiploid species, e.g., Nasonia spp., involve a significant proportion of the genome and a greater proportion than observed in species with sex chromosomes [41].

Anastatus disparis (Hymenoptera: Eupelmidae) is an egg parasitoid species, which can parasitize the eggs of several noxious species of Lepidoptera, including Lymantria dispar, Antheraea pernyi, Odonestis pruni, and Actias selene ningpoana [43, 44]. It has been considered a potential biological control agent of the gypsy moth in North America [43, 45]. Sexual dimorphism related to behaviour, physiology, and morphology in A. disparis have been extensively described. First, although wasp body size is correlated with host quality [44, 46], the body size of females is typically significantly larger than males. Females can live more than 1 month in the field and lay hundreds of eggs over their lifetime, while males live for only approximately 5–7 days and exhibit frequent and extreme fighting behaviour to acquire mating opportunities [43, 46, 47]. Third, females do not fly but jump very quickly, while males can fly short distances [45, 47]. In the present study, the sexually dimorphic traits of this parasitoid wasp (e.g., longevity, flyability) were investigated at the transcriptome level to improve our understanding of their biological traits. The transcriptome data revealed a series of candidate genes that can aid future studies of biological traits in A. disparis. Importantly, males of few parasitoid wasps other than A. disparis exhibit extreme fighting behaviour [48, 49]. Thus, we expected that transcriptome data could provide a molecular background and knowledge on the male fighting behavior, and potential candidate genes for future studies of the molecular and evolutionary mechanisms underlying extreme fighting. As a parasitoid species, A. disparis has been applied as a biological control agent [44–47]. This study also attempted to explore the potential application, e.g., venom proteins identified from the transcriptome data for improving biological control of pest species.

Results

Sex-biased genes

Using our assembled transcriptome as a reference, we identified putative genes expressed in males and females using the Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (FPKM) method. Genes with FPKM > 1 in at least one sample and a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 were defined as significant sex-biased (SB) genes [41]. By comparing the female and male transcriptomes, 7920 SB genes in A. disparis were found, accounting for 50.09% of the total (7920/15812) (Table 1). Previous studies in T. castaneum and A. gambiae [13, 14] showed that many annotated sex-biased genes were female-biased. In contrast, in our study and consistent with results in Nasonia species, the numbers of female- and male-biased genes were approximately equal, being 4310 (Table S1) and 3610 (Table S2, respectively).

Gene Ontology (GO) analysis suggested that the identified SB genes were highly enriched for GO terms associated with reproductive functions. Nineteen subcategories were enriched in male-biased genes (Fig. 1), which may be mainly related to sperm. For example, the enrichment of these genes in subcategories involving the encoding of transport proteins (i.e., transmembrane transport; transporter activity) might be consistent with the motile nature of sperm [11]. The high over-representation of gene products associated with membranes (integral component of membrane; membrane) is likely due to the requirements of the sperm axoneme structure [11]. In addition, the enriched subcategory of “oxidation-reduction process” might also provide supporting evidence, which in males are needed to provide an environment supportive of sperm viability and motility and to counter the oxidative effects of sperm catabolism [50, 51].

Eighteen subcategories were enriched in female-biased genes, as shown in Fig. 2. Consistent with previous studies in Drosophila, mosquitoes and Daphnia [8–10],
many subcategories of the biological process (BP) category were assigned to genes encoding proteins involved in ribosomal function, translation initiation, and DNA replication, which might be related to egg production in females [11, 52, 53]. Genes encoding translational initiation complexes and ribosomal content were highly expressed in females and might associated with maternal loading into the egg. Indeed, mutations in many ribosomal protein genes result in female sterility due to defective egg formation [53]. The over-representation of transcripts from genes required for DNA replication may be required for nurse cell polyploidization or the rapid division of embryonic cells, which rely on maternally deposited gene products [11, 52].

**Sex differences in flyability, longevity and aggression**

Several other of the identified SB genes provide insight into sexually dimorphic traits of *A. dispersis* and include candidate genes that can be studied in the future; these are described below.

Transcriptome data suggest a molecular background for why the two sexes of this species have distinct flight performance with males can fly short distances, while females do not fly [43, 45, 47]. Result of quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) showed that the protein-coding genes *vestigial* (Fig. 3, c42845.graph_c0; qRT-PCR: $t = -4.799$, $df = 4$, $p < 0.05$) and *nubbin* (Fig. 3, c72605.graph_c2; qRT-PCR: $t = -4.507$, $df = 4$, $p < 0.05$) highly expressed in *A. dispersis* males; both have been identified as important for the physical development of wings in *Drosophila* [54]. Furthermore, studies of aphids have revealed that *trehalase* and *seryl-tRNA synthetase*, which are involved in the conversion of trehalose to glucose [55] and tRNA metabolic processes [56], respectively, are related to flightless morphs. In the present study, the transcriptome data showed that genes encoding *trehalase* (c23296.graph_c0) and *seryl-tRNA synthetase* (c66701.graph_c0) were highly expressed in (flightless) females; these results were verified by qRT-PCR (Fig. 3: c23296.graph_c0, $t = -3.169$, $df = 4$, $p < 0.05$; c66701.graph_c0, $t = 3.396$, $df = 5$, $p < 0.05$).

Consistent with the pattern in many other parasitoid species, among *A. dispersis* reared indoors, females have longer lifespan than males (Fig. 4a, Wilcoxon $= 34.646$, $df = 1$, $p < 0.001$). In the wild, *A. dispersis* females typically survive more than 1 month, whereas males live only for approximately 1 week [43]. The transcriptome data might provide a molecular background and suggest candidate genes for future studies of exploring the sex differences in longevity in this species. A gene encoding superoxide dismutase (SOD) (Fig. 4b, c61117.graph_c0; qRT-PCR: $t = 2.694$, $df = 6$, $p < 0.05$) which has been hypothesized to play a key role in ageing and is associated with longevity in *Drosophila* [57], was annotated and found to be upregulated in *A. dispersis* females. In addition, we found that 2 genes encoding vitellogenin

---

**Table 1** Summary of number of annotating genes in sex-biased categories

| Sex-biased expression category | Criteria | Number | Proportion (%) |
|-------------------------------|----------|--------|----------------|
| Annotated genes in RNA-seq    | FPKM > 1 at least one replicate | 15,812 | – |
| Female-biased genes           | q < 0.05; female-biased | 4310 | 27.258% |
| Male-biased genes             | q < 0.05; male-biased | 3610 | 22.831% |
| Female-specific genes         | FPKM < 0.4 in males; FPKM > 2 in females | 518 | 3.276% |
| Male-specific genes           | FPKM < 0.4 in females; FPKM > 2 in males | 112 | 0.708% |

**Fig. 1** GO enrichment analysis of male-biased genes. GOSeq was used for the GO enrichment analysis, and an adjusted Q-value < 0.05 was chosen as the significance cutoff.
c67249.graph_c0: qRT-PCR, \( t = 3.955, df = 6, p < 0.05; \) c68140.graph_c0: qRT-PCR, \( t = 2.831, df = 4, p < 0.05 \), which is a yolk protein believed to play an important role in egg production, was upregulated in females [58]. In addition, vitellogenin plays an important role in antioxidant function related to queen longevity and is highly upregulated in the queens of diverse eusocial insect taxa [59–62].

Males of A. disparis frequently engage in extreme fighting behaviour in an attempt to acquire mating opportunities. Our transcriptome data are consistent with this observation, with the functions of some annotated genes highly expressed in males being associated with aggression, as widely studied in D. melanogaster [63, 64]. Male fighting in A. disparis is high intensity and dangerous, with contestants commonly being severely injured or killed [48, 49]. The annotated gene muscleblind (Fig. 5: c70505.graph_c4) was highly expressed in males and might play a role in the high-intensity male fighting in A. disparis (qRT-PCR: \( t = 7.244, df = 4, p < 0.05 \)). Muscleblind encodes a protein with a zinc finger domain involved in muscle development; it might thus directly affect the frequency and/or intensity of aggressive interactions involving tussling or other elaborate postures [63]. Besides, a increasing number of studies have shown that biogenic amine systems, such as dopamine, octopamine, and serotonin, modulate behavioural changes in many species [65]. Our transcriptome data also showed that protein-coding genes of dopamine (c72380.graph_c7, qRT-PCR: \( t = 3.75, df = 4, p < 0.05 \)), octopamine (c72432.graph_c2, qRT-PCR: \( t = 1.44, df = 4, p < 0.05 \)) and serotonin (c70527.graph_c1; qRT-PCR: \( t = 4.12, df = 4, p < 0.05 \)) receptors were unregulated in males (Fig. 5). Aggressive behaviour is widely observed in animal
species; however, only a few species show dangerous fighting patterns. There have been few studies on this topic, especially those focused on molecular mechanisms. The annotated genes in this study could serve as candidate genes in future studies of the molecular and evolutionary mechanisms underlying dangerous fighting.

**Sex-specific genes**

Among genes with sex-biased expression, some are expressed exclusively in one sex; this phenomenon has been termed sex-specific expression [6]. In most species, the male and female genomes differ by genes located on sex-specific chromosomes (such as the Y chromosome of mammals) [4, 5]. Because sex determination in hymenopteran species is haplodiploid, females and males are nearly identical genetically [6]. However, a total of 630 sex-specific genes were found in our species (Table 1), accounting for 3.98% of all the annotated genes, with the criteria for being considered sex specific being an FPKM<0.4 in one sex and an FPKM>2 in the other [41]. In the present study, 518 (Table S3) and 112 (Table S4) were female- and male-specific genes, respectively.

Many of the male-specific genes that were functionally annotated were found to be related to venom acid phosphatases, cytochrome P450 and chitinase, suggesting functions in detoxification, defence and mate choice [41]. In addition, some annotated genes were found to be associated with reproductive functions. For example,
male-specific genes encoding ejaculatory bulb-specific protein (Table S4, c52066.graph_c0) are specifically expressed in the ejaculatory bulb and seminal fluid.

GO term analysis revealed that, similar to the SB genes, many female-specific genes were enriched for reproductive functions (Table S5). Interestingly, the subcategory “establishment of ommatidial planar polarity” was found to be enriched in female-specific genes involving vision function. These genes were specifically expressed in females and might be relevant to female searching for mates, food or hosts. In addition, other female-specific genes included potentially useful candidate genes for further study. For example, an annotated toll gene (c71387.graph_c0) was specifically expressed in females; this gene is important during embryogenesis to establish the dorsal-ventral axis and is required for innate immunity in both mammals and invertebrates [66, 67].

**Discussion**

For enriching our knowledge of gender differences in *A. disparis*, sex-biased differences in gene expression were investigated using Illumina-based transcriptomic analysis. The analysis revealed that a large set of genes accounting for 50.09% of the total showed sex-biased expression (Table 1). Although *A. disparis* is a haplodiploid species with no sex chromosomes, approximately half of the genes in adults exhibit SB expression. The percentage of sex-based genes varies among species differences; for example, it is greater than 75% in *Nasonia* species and is 57% in *D. melanogaster*, which has qualitatively distinct sex chromosomes [8, 41].

Generally, the majority of sexually dimorphic traits are assumed to arise from differences in the expression of genes present in both sexes [4, 5]. Transcriptome data provided molecular background for sexually dimorphic traits such as flyability, longevity, and aggression in *A. disparis* (Figs. 3, 4, 5). Besides, previous studies [49, 68] have suggested that sex pheromones in *A. disparis* are produced by the female. Our transcriptome data also provide supporting evidence for this observation: the gene (c65173.graph_c0) encoding pheromone biosynthesis-activating neuropeptide (PBAN) exhibited extremely female-biased expression. Many studies of lepidopteran species and other insect taxa have shown that pheromone biosynthesis in females is stimulated by PBAN, a brain factor [69, 70]. In addition, many highly expressed genes encoding proteins in females in this study were related to sex-pheromone synthetic enzymes, such as acyl-CoA desaturase and short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase (Table S6). One gene (c68575.graph_c0) annotated Δ9-desaturase exhibited extremely female-biased expression. Result of qRT-PCR also showed that this gene significantly highly expressed in female abdomen (Fig. 6, \( t = -3.576, df = 4, p < 0.05 \)). Δ9-Desaturases play important roles in the synthesis and sexual dimorphism of sex pheromones in *D. melanogaster* [71]. However, males of *Nasonia* species lack Δ9-desaturases; they have been replaced by Δ12-desaturases, which play important roles in sex pheromone production [41, 72]. Our results showed that in contrast to *Nasonia* species, *A. disparis* potentially lacks Δ12-desaturase activity, as no associated gene was annotated. Sex pheromone production in *A. disparis* females likely involves Δ9-desaturases rather than Δ12-desaturases. More studies are needed to verify these possibilities.

In addition, transcriptomic analysis revealed that accounting for 3.98% of all of the annotated genes were
sex-specific genes. This percentage is similar to the range observed in *Nasonia* species of 3.8 ~ 4.8% [41]. Sex-specific genes also provided potentially useful candidate genes for further study. A prominent characteristic of parasitoids is that they can propagate on or in other arthropods. The venom of parasitoid wasps is important for the successful development of the progeny; it is injected into a host by a female wasp before or at oviposition. Many genes annotated as associated with venom proteins (see details in Table S7) are related to known insect venoms and venom families in *Nasonia vitripennis* [73, 74]. Despite the large diversity of parasitoid wasp species, only a small number of venom proteins in wasps have been described. The venoms of parasitoid wasps have diverse physiological effects on their hosts, including developmental arrest, alterations in growth and physiology, suppression of immune responses, induction of paralysis, oncosis or apoptosis, and alteration of host behaviour [75–78]. An increasing number of studies have applied the venom from parasitoid wasps with the aim of developing improved biological control strategies [79]. For example, venom protein rVPr1 from the endoparasitoid *Pimpla hypochondriaca* can help control two pest insects, *Lacanobia oleracea* and *Mamestra brassicae*, in the field by suppressing the mounting of haemocyte-mediated immune responses [80, 81]. Thus, the female-specific genes annotated for venom proteins in this study may represent candidate genes for future studies of venom biology, host-parasite interactions, and biological control.

**Conclusions**

In this study, we studied sex differences at the transcriptional level in an egg parasitoid species, *A. disparis*, by carrying out an Illumina-based transcriptomic analysis. The analysis revealed a large set of genes showing sex-biased expression, including a few sex-specific genes. GO enrichment analyses showed that the functional categories associated with sex-biased genes were mainly related to reproduction. The majority of sexually dimorphic traits are assumed to arise from differences in the expression of genes present in both sexes [4, 5]. This study provides comprehensive insight into the sexually dimorphic traits of parasitoid wasps (e.g., sex pheromone production, flyability, longevity, aggression) at the transcriptome level and improves our understanding of those biological traits. In addition, it provides a large number of candidate genes (e.g., trehalase, muscleblind) for future research into the molecular mechanisms underlying biological traits. Furthermore, the transcriptomic results (e.g., venom proteins) suggest the opportunity for the improved application of parasitoids to the biological control of pest species.

**Methods**

**Insect materials**

*Anastatus disparis* colonies were first established from a population reared on *Lymantria dispar* egg masses collected in the wild and subsequently maintained on *Antheraea pernyi* eggs [43, 44]. Most *A. disparis* adults emerge daily in the morning, primarily from 9:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., and we collected adults during this period.
RNA extraction
We collected 15 adults of each sex for each of three replicates and snap-froze them in liquid nitrogen. TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA) was used for extracting RNA from each group following the manufacturer’s protocol. Non-denaturing agarose gel electrophoresis and a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) were used to assess the quality and quantity of the isolated RNA, respectively. The A260/280 values were all above 2.0, and electrophoresis of the RNA samples demonstrated that the 28S and 18S rRNA were intact.

Transcriptome sequencing and read assembly
A total of 3 μg of total RNA from each sample was converted into cDNA using the NEBNext Ultra™ RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina™ (NEB, USA). Consequently, we constructed 6 cDNA libraries derived from three adult females and three adult males of A. disparis. To generate the raw reads, cDNA libraries were tagged with different adapters and then sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform by Beijing Biomarker Technologies Co., Ltd. Besides, an index was inserted into Illumina adapters so that all samples can be sequenced in a single lane. Approximately 8.6 Gb of paired-end reads were produced for each RNA-seq sample, and the library sizes of males and females were similar. Then, reads containing adapter, poly-N reads and low-quality reads were removed from the raw data by FASTX-Toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/), resulting in approximately 7.24 Gb of clean reads from each sample. The Q30 percentage was higher than 88.72% for each sample, indicating the high quality of the sequences. The high-quality reads from the six samples were pooled and assembled using Trinity software (v2.5.1) with the default parameters [82]. We chose the longest isoform of each gene to construct the unigene set. After the isoforms were selected, the assembled transcripts were predicted to be the unigenes produced. Bowtie2 was used to align the reads to the unigenes [83]. A total of 225,389 unigenes were produced; the N50 size of the unigenes was approximately 715 bp, and the mean unigene length was 570.38 bp (Table S8).

Gene expression and functional annotation
Using our assembled transcriptome as a reference, we identified putative genes expressed in males and females by RSEM [84] using the FPKM method. Functional annotation was performed by sequence similarity searching with an E-value cutoff of 10−5 using Blastx against 8 public databases: Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG), euKaryotic Orthologous Groups (KOG), egg NOG (v4.5), Protein family (Pfam), Swiss-Prot, NCBI non-redundant protein sequences (nr), KEGG Ortholog (KO) and GO.

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and enrichment analyses
DEGs were identified using the DESeq2 package (v1.6.3) in R, and RSEM reads were incorporated into DESeq2 using tximport [85]. Genes with at least 2-fold expression changes and an FDR < 0.01 were considered differentially expressed. The GOseq R package [86] was used to determine the statistical enrichment of DEGs in the GO subcategories, and an adjusted Q-value < 0.05 was chosen as the significance cutoff.

qRT-PCR
The expression levels of the DEGs identified in the transcriptomic analysis were evaluated by qRT-PCR. Following the abovementioned protocols, RNA from each sample group was extracted, and the concentration was measured. Then, the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit (TaKaRa; Japan) was used to synthesize first-strand cDNA using 0.5 mg of total RNA as a template. The resultant cDNA was diluted to 0.1 mg/ml for subsequent qRT-PCR analysis (ABI StepOne Plus; USA) using SYBR Green Real-Time PCR Master Mix (TaKaRa; Japan). Primers for the selected genes (Table S9) were designed using Primer Express 2.0 software. Each reaction mixture contained 0.4 μL of each primer (10 μmol/μL), 10 μL of 2 × SYBR Green Master Mix, and 2 μL of cDNA template, with water added to yield a final volume of 20 μL. The cycling parameters were 95 °C for 30 s followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 5 s and 62 °C for 34 s. To evaluate nonspecific product amplification, the reaction was followed by a melting curve protocol (65 °C to 95 °C in increments of 0.5 °C every 5 s). Relative gene expression was calculated by the 2ΔΔCt method. The housekeeping gene translation elongation factor 1-α (EF1A) was used as a reference to eliminate sample-to-sample variation in the initial cDNA samples.

Longevity assay
For the longevity assays, males and females (1 day old) were selected at 10:00 a.m. Each male was isolated individually in a cylindrical box (height: 5 cm, diameter: 10 cm) and received honey water daily (honey: water = 2:3 vol/vol). Each male was inspected twice daily, at 10 a.m. and 10 p.m., and the date of death of each male was recorded.

Statistical analysis
Prior to analysis, the raw data were tested for normality and homogeneity of variances using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Levene’s tests, respectively, and the data were transformed where necessary. The qRT-PCR data of gene expression were compared between males and females with independent t-tests. Survival analysis was applied to analyse the sex difference in longevity. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 20).
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