Interactions of Spin Waves with a Magnetic Vortex
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We have investigated azimuthal spin-wave modes in magnetic vortex structures using time-resolved Kerr microscopy. Spatially resolved phase and amplitude spectra of ferromagnetic disks with diameters from 5 µm down to 500 nm reveal that the lowest order azimuthal spin wave mode splits into a doublet as the disk size decreases. We demonstrate that the splitting is due to the coupling between spin waves and the gyrotropic motion of the vortex core.

PACS numbers: 75.30.Ds, 75.75.+a, 75.40.Gb

The magnetic ground state of a soft ferromagnetic particle is determined by a balance between magnetostatic and exchange energies. In the case of disks with negligible magnetocrystalline anisotropy, the stable configuration for radii of several hundred nanometers and thicknesses of the order of 20 - 50 nm is a vortex with a core diameter on the order of the exchange length \( \xi \). The magnetization outside of the core circulates around the central axis, reducing the total magnetostatic energy. This comes at the cost of a large exchange energy near the vortex core, inside of which the magnetization rotates out of the plane of the disk. Because the vortex state represents the simplest type of domain configuration that can be created in a uniformly magnetized particle, its excitation spectra is of fundamental interest. Recent experimental work has identified two classes of excitations in magnetic vortices. First, low-order magnetostatic spin waves can be observed by either time-resolved Kerr microscopy or Brillouin scattering. A second type of excitation is associated with the translational degree of freedom of the vortex core itself. This has a much lower characteristic frequency than the other spin-wave modes, and it is for this reason that the two types of excitations are often treated as distinct. For example, the radial spin wave modes of a particle can be calculated accurately even if the position of the vortex core is regarded as fixed.

In this Letter we report on a study of the dynamics of the lowest order azimuthal spin wave modes in ferromagnetic disks with diameters between 500 nm and 5 µm. In larger diameter disks, these are degenerate as expected for a system with cylindrical symmetry. We demonstrate that this degeneracy is lifted in smaller disks (with diameters less than 2 µm and thickness to diameter ratio > 0.005) due to the motion of the vortex core. The relative phases of the two modes are determined by the polarity of the vortex core, and the magnitude of the splitting is of the same order as the vortex gyrotropic frequency. These results demonstrate a significant coupling between vortex dynamics and magnetostatic spin waves.

Two sets of permalloy disks were fabricated on Si substrates using electron-beam lithography and electron-beam evaporation of permalloy (Ni_{0.81}Fe_{0.19}). The first set of disks were used primarily for investigations of the vortex gyrotropic mode and were 50 nm thick with diameters \( D \) from 500 nm to 2 µm. The disks used for the detailed investigation of azimuthal spin-wave modes covered the range of diameters from 700 nm to 5 µm and were 20 nm thick. Each disk exhibited a single-vortex ground state as determined by magnetic force microscopy.

Measurements were conducted using time-resolved Kerr microscopy (TRKM). Each substrate was thinned to a thickness of approximately 25 µm and mounted over the 30 µm wide center conductor of a coplanar waveguide, as shown in Fig.1(a). The waveguide was placed on the scanning stage of an oil-immersion microscope. The TRKM technique probes the response of the magnetization to a fast magnetic field pulse \( h_p \), which is along \( y \) in Fig.1(a). The magnitude of \( h_p \) is 5 - 10 Oe, and the temporal width of the pulse is 120 - 150 psec. The polar Kerr rotation \( \theta(x, y; t) \) of an optical probe pulse is measured as a function of the time delay \( t \) between \( h_p \) and the subsequent arrival of the probe pulse. A locking technique is used to measure the change \( \Delta \theta(x, y; t) \), due to \( h_p \), averaged over many pulses. The z-component \( m_z(x, y; t) \) of the dynamic magnetization is proportional to \( \Delta \theta(x, y; t) \).

In the remanent state, the vortex core is located at the center of the disk, as shown in the magnetic force micrograph of Fig.1(b). When the pulse \( h_p \) is applied, the core is displaced and then slowly gyrates about the center of the particle as the system relaxes towards equilibrium. This mode, which has also been observed in a resonance experiment, appears as the long-lived low frequency (< 1 GHz) oscillation in Fig.1(c), which shows the polar Kerr signal measured at a position 250 nm away from the center of two disks with diameters of 1.5 and 1 µm. The evolution of the vortex mode eigenfrequency as a function of the disk diameter is shown in Fig.1(d). As discussed in Ref.13, the vortex core oscillates in an effective potential that is due to the magnetostatic energy of the displaced vortex. The solid curve shown in Fig.1(d) follows from a model in which
the vortex deforms rapidly (i.e., on a time scale fast relative to the core motion) in order to cancel out the edge charges.\cite{13} The experimental results are also in good agreement with a semi-analytical solution of the Landau-Lifshitz equation and micromagnetic simulations.\cite{14, 15}

An additional feature of the data in Fig. 1(c) is the higher frequency response at short timescales. As illustrated in Fig. 2(a), the torque $\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{H}_p$ has opposite signs in the two halves of a vortex. As a result, the spins in the upper half of the disk are rotated out of the plane, while those in the lower half of the disk are rotated into the plane. A spatially resolved polar Kerr image of a 1 $\mu$m diameter disk just after the pulse, demonstrating the expected contrast, is shown in the right panel of Fig. 2(a).

The signal at short time-scales in Fig. 1(c) is the subsequent precession and decay of the non-equilibrium magnetization. The spectrum of these higher frequency spin wave modes can be obtained by Fourier transformation of the time-domain data. Spectra obtained for several different disk diameters are shown in Fig. 2(b).

Given the cylindrical symmetry of the vortex state, the spin wave modes can be indexed by the number of nodes $n$ and $m$ along the radial $\hat{r}$ and azimuthal $\hat{\phi}$ directions: $(n,m) \equiv m_{n,m}(r,t) e^{i(m\phi+\omega_{n,m}t)}$, where $\omega_{n,m} = \omega_{n,m}/2\pi$ is the eigenfrequency. This enumeration ignores the node that always exists at the vortex core, inside of which the in-plane components of the magnetization vanish. The $(0,0)$ mode is a purely radial mode and is excited most efficiently by an out-of-plane magnetic field pulse as observed in Ref. 4. The $(0,\pm 1)$ modes are the two lowest order azimuthal modes and are excited by an in-plane pulsed field\cite{4, 6, 17}. Because of the cylindrical symmetry of the vortex ground state, the $(0,\pm 1)$ modes are expected to be degenerate if the core is fixed at the center of the disk. As expected, only a single peak is observed in the spectra of larger disks, as shown for the case of a 5 $\mu$m diameter disk in Fig. 2(b).

Although a single $(0,\pm 1)$ mode is observed in the 5 $\mu$m diameter disk, the peaks in Fig. 2(b) begin to split as the disk diameter decreases. This doublet has also been observed in measurements on a 700 nm diameter disk by Zhu et al.\cite{6} The remainder of this paper is dedicated to understanding these doublets. Clearly the lifting of the degeneracy requires the breaking of cylindrical symmetry, and we argue that the reduced symmetry originates...
The arrows show the direction in which the phase changes from 0 to 2\(\pi\). The response at 3.4 GHz (middle) is the superposition of the (0,-1) and (0,+1) modes. From the gyroscopic motion of the vortex core, an argument supported by more rigorous calculations and micromagnetic simulations. To address this we will label the vortices by a polarity \(P = \pm 1\), where \(P\) corresponds to the vortex core pointing out of \((P = +1)\) or into \((P = -1)\) the plane of the disk, and a chirality \(C = \pm 1\) indicating that the in-plane component of the magnetization rotates counterclockwise \((C = +1)\) or clockwise \((C = -1)\).

As noted above, the low-frequency vortex mode is gyrotropic and therefore has a particular sense of rotation. Ignoring damping, the total force acting on the vortex is

\[
\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G} \times \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{dt} - \frac{\partial W(\mathbf{R})}{\partial \mathbf{R}},
\]

where \(\mathbf{R}\) is the position of the core in the plane, \(\mathbf{G}\) is the gyrovector with a direction (into or out of the plane) corresponding to the sign of the polarization \(P\), and \(W\) is the total energy of the vortex. Since \(W\) does not depend on the chirality, the gyrotropic motion described by Eq. \(1\) depends only the vortex polarity \(P\). In our experiment, the core polarity can be controlled by relaxing the disk from saturation in the presence of a small \((\sim 80 \text{ Oe})\) out-of-plane field. The chirality cannot be controlled but is easily measured by imaging the displacement of the vortex in a small in-plane field. (The direction of displacement is perpendicular to the applied field and depends on the chirality.) In this way, we can select two vortices with identical chiralities but opposite polarities. Spectra for these two vortex states in a 1.5 \(\mu\text{m}\) diameter disk are shown in Fig. 3(a). Each spectrum shows three peaks at identical frequencies of 0.2, 3.2, and 3.8 GHz. The upper two modes are the \((0,\pm 1)\) modes, for which a splitting can be resolved.

Fig. 3(b) shows the spectral power and phase images at three different frequencies for the two vortex polarities. These images are constructed from the Fourier transform of the time-domain data. As expected for azimuthal modes with one node, the phase wraps through \(2\pi\) as the disk is traversed around the circumference. The spectral power images at the two peak frequencies (3.2 and 3.8 GHz), shown in the top and bottom panels of Fig. 3(b), are essentially the same for the two polarities. The phase images, however, invert when the polarity of the vortex core is reversed. In other words, the direction in which the phase winds from 0 \(\rightarrow\) \(2\pi\) changes from counterclockwise to clockwise. This corresponds to reversing the sign of the azimuthal index \(m\), and so the \((0,+1)\) and \((0,-1)\) modes exchange identities when the polarity of the vortex core is reversed.

It is also possible to examine the spectral power and phase in the frequency regime corresponding to a superposition of the two modes. This is shown in the middle panel of Fig. 3(b). An equal superposition of the two \((0,\pm 1)\) modes would be a standing wave, and indeed a line of nodes can be seen in the spectral power image at 3.4 GHz. Since the wave vectors of the \((0,\pm 1)\) modes are either parallel or anti-parallel to the magnetization, they have backward volume character, and both eigenfrequencies are therefore expected to lie below that of the radially symmetric \((0,0)\) mode, although the \((0,0)\) mode cannot be excited in the geometry of this experiment.
from micromagnetic simulations. As the radius increases, the
eigenfrequencies of the \((0, \pm 1)\) modes and the splitti
\(\Delta f\) between the modes both decrease. For \(\beta \leq 0.01\,
\Delta f\) becomes smaller than the frequency resolution in our
experiment and the \((0, \pm 1)\) modes are essentially degenerate.
Although both the absolute frequency and the size
of the splitting are larger than the experimental values,
the same trend is observed in the simulations.

We have also examined the relationship between the
magnitudes of the \((0, \pm 1)\) splitting \(\Delta f\) and the gyrotro
mode frequency \(f_G\). This is shown in Fig. 4(b) for both
the experiment (closed circles) and simulations (open cir
cles). Over the range of our measurements, the splitting
is approximately twice \(f_G\), although it is not possible to
observe the gyrotropic mode in the largest disks. The
simulations considered here as well as those of Zhu et 
al.[6] show a larger splitting relative to \(f_G\), although they also cannot be extended to the largest diameters,
at which both \(\Delta f\) and \(f_G\) must approach zero. The relationship
between the vortex core mode and the az
imuthal spin wave modes has also been considered re
cently by Ivanov and Zaspel[13]. They calculate a splitting \(\Delta f\) which is approximately half \(f_G\), implying a curve that falls significantly below the experimental data in
Fig. 4(b). Although experiment, simulations, and the
theory all indicate that \(\Delta f\) and \(f_G\) are of the same order of
magnitude, the discrepancies among the various ap
proaches are certainly significant. This reflects the dif
ficulty in treating exactly the coupling between the two
different types of excitations. Since the coupling is mag
netostatic in origin, the experimental results may be sus
ceptible to non-ideal structure at the edges of the disk.
This may also be addressed by carefully examining the
role of the boundary conditions in the different theoreti
cal approaches.

The broken degeneracy of the azimuthal spin-wave
modes clearly demonstrates how low-frequency excita
tions associated with domain structure, of which a vortex
is the simplest example, influence spin-wave dynamics.
An intriguing question is how the coupling will evolve at
larger wave vectors, corresponding to higher azimuthal
eigenmodes. Since the magnetostatic interactions that
provide the restoring force on the vortex core are long
range in nature, we expect that the coupling will be
smaller for higher-order modes. At very large wave vec
tors, however, when the wavelength becomes comparable
to the exchange length, spin-wave modes may interact
strongly with the vortex core itself.
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