INTRODUCTION

Marine carnivores include species belonging to the orders Cetacea and Pinnipedia, genus Enhydra, and species Ursus maritimus, and they are united by lifestyle rather than evolutionary history (Erwin et al., 2017). They have undergone significant habitat transitions during their evolution (Williams, 1999), and the order Cetacea has dramatically changed from herbivorous to carnivorous (Wang et al., 2016). Furthermore, the marine environment is a unique habitat, as its temperature is lower and salinity is higher than those of the terrestrial environment (Liu et al., 2019). Therefore, marine carnivores are ideal models for investigating convergent evolution (Uhen, 2007). Many studies have focused on the adaptive evolution of marine carnivores using genomics (Noh et al., 2022; Yim et al., 2014), microbiomics (Dudek et al., 2022; Glaeser et al., 2022), and transcriptomics (Toren et al., 2020). Based on phylogenetic
independent contrasts analysis, Wang, Shang, Wu, et al. (2022) found that the evolutionary rate of marine Cetartiodactyla mitochondrial protein-coding genes was significantly higher than terrestrial Cetartiodactyla. Noh et al. (2022) found that SUMO2 and EP300 (hypoxia genes) were the most significant genes in the Weddell seal (Leptonychotes weddellii) compared to other placentals. The bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus) possesses a unique microbiome compared to that of other mammals and is similar to carnivorous marine fishes (Soverini et al., 2016). Based on comparative genomic analysis, Foote et al. (2015) discovered that convergent amino acid substitutions are widespread in the genome of marine carnivores, and a subset of positive selection evolutionary genes was putatively associated with marine phenotypes. Thus, the marine habitat drives the convergent evolution of marine mammal genes (including those of Odobenus rosmarus, Tursiops truncatus, Orcinus orca, and Trichechus manatus latirostris).

The gut microbiome is an important factor for host adaptations to the environment (Wang et al., 2019; Wang, Shang, Wei, et al., 2022; Wang, Shang, Wu, et al., 2022; Wang, Wu, et al., 2022). Wang, Shang, Wu, et al. (2022) found that the gut microbiome function of red and corsac foxes can help hosts adapt to different environmental niches. Moreover, to adapt to plateau environments, short-chain fatty acid (SCFA)-producing bacteria are significantly enriched in the host gut (Li et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016). The gut microbiome also plays an important role in host health and survival (Davies et al., 2022; Gentle & Weir, 2018) and is dependent on various factors, such as diet (Greene et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2022), phylogeny (Sun et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2019), and habitat (Gacesa et al., 2022). Previous studies have shown that mammal gut microbiomes are strongly correlated with host phylogeny (Amato et al., 2019; Ley et al., 2008). In other words, mammals with closer phylogenetic relationships have similar gut microbiome compositions (Gregor et al., 2022). However, some influencing factors can surpass phylogeny to drive the convergent evolution of the mammalian gut microbiome (Huang et al., 2021; Song et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2021). For example, high altitude drives the convergent evolution of indicator microbiota in the gut microbiome of ungulates (Zhang et al., 2016). The gut microbiome was found to be similar among myrmecophagous species, although their phylogenetic relationships were distant (Delsuc et al., 2014). A bamboo diet was shown to drive gut microbiome convergence between the giant panda (Ailuroppoda melanoleuca) and red panda (Ailurus fulgens) (Huang et al., 2021). Surprisingly, Proteobacteria were found to be the dominant phylum in bats and birds and were driven by flight behavior (Song et al., 2020).

Thus, extreme environments, special feeding habits, or behaviors can drive convergent evolution of the gut microbiome of species with the distant phylogenetic relationships. Under a broader phylogeny, it remains unclear whether marine habitats drive the convergent evolution of the gut microbiota of marine carnivores. Based on previous studies, we hypothesized that marine habitats drive the convergent evolution of the gut microbiome of marine carnivores. Therefore, we studied and compared the published gut microbiome (16S rRNA gene) data of four marine carnivores, five terrestrial carnivores, and seven terrestrial herbivores. Our findings helped explain these scientific problems and provide a new perspective for understanding the adaptation of marine carnivores to the marine environment.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Species sampling and 16S rRNA gene sequence data

We analyzed the gut microbiomes of 108 samples representing 16 species belonging to nine families and 14 genera to explore the convergent evolution of the gut microbiome. 16S rRNA gene data of the gut microbiome of the nine species (Cuon alpinus [Wu et al., 2016], Canis lupus [Wu et al., 2017], Vulpes Vulpes [Wang, Shang, Wei, et al., 2022; Wang, Shang, Wu, et al., 2022; Wang, Wu, et al., 2022], V. Corsac [Wang, Shang, Wei, et al., 2022; Wang, Shang, Wu, et al., 2022; Wang, Wu, et al., 2022], Ovis musimon [Sun et al., 2019], Pantherops hodgsonii [Wang, Shang, Wei, et al., 2022; Wang, Shang, Wu, et al., 2022; Wang, Wu, et al., 2022], Pseudois nayaur [Wang, Shang, Wei, et al., 2022; Wang, Shang, Wu, et al., 2022; Wang, Wu, et al., 2022], Moschus chrysogaster [Sun et al., 2020], Halichoerous grypus [Watkins et al., 2022], Nyctereutes procyonoides [Ishida-Kuroki et al., 2020], Enhydra lutris nereis [Dudek et al., 2022], Balaeonoptera physalus, and Physeter macrocephalus [Glaeser et al., 2022]) were downloaded from the NCBI SRA database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The 16S rRNA gene sequences are listed in Appendix S1. Based on diet and habitat, these species were divided into three groups: terrestrial herbivores (TH group; C. elaphus, O. musimon, P. hodgsonii, P. nayaur, B. grunniens, C. alpinus, and M. chrysogaster), terrestrial carnivore (TC group; C. alpinus, C. lupus, V. Vulpes, V. Corsac, and N. procyonoides), and marine carnivore (MM group; H. grypus, B. physalus, P. macrocephalus, and E. lutris nereis). Except for the data on C. alpinus, C. lupus, and O. musimon, all sample data were obtained from wild individuals. In previous studies, C. alpinus, C. lupus, and O. musimon were captive individuals (not treated with antibiotics) (Sun et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2016, 2017).

2.2 | Sequence processing and statistical analyses

The paired-end reads of the 16S rRNA gene was sequenced using a high-throughput sequencing platform. The MOTHUR (Schloss et al., 2009) software was used to merge all 16S rRNA gene data. To avoid sequencing inaccuracy, the Parallel-Meta Suite (PMS; V 3.7; Chen et al., 2022) was used to denoise (Callahan et al., 2017) and remove chimeras (Edgar et al., 2011). To eliminate the effect of using different sequencing intervals and sequencing depths of 16S rRNA data, PMS was used to cluster the sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs, with the conventional criterion of 97% sequence
identity) and annotate the taxonomy (GreenGenes V13-8) of each species, and the relative microbiome abundance table of each sample was obtained. This table was used as an intermediate result and reanalyzed by PMS to obtain OTU relative abundance tables and each taxon relative abundance table for all species. Based on the OTU level, alpha (α) diversity, principal component analysis (PCA), principal coordinate analysis (PCoA), gut microbiome niche breadth, and analysis of similarities (Anosim) were plotted using the Tutools platform (http://www.cloudtutu.com). Alpha diversity indexes were used to analyze the gut microbiome diversity between species. The gut microbiome niche breadth was used to judge whether a species is specialized. We used PCA, PCoA, and Anosim to verify whether the gut microbiome composition of species in different habitats was different. The Tutools platform was also used to perform the Kruskal–Wallis test (q < .01; false discovery rate [FDR] method to correct decisions) to detect differences in the abundance of the gut microbiota between groups.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Overview of the 16S rRNA gene data

After quality control, a total of 8,011,810 effective tags were obtained from 108 samples. Each sample contained an average of 74,183 tags. The good coverage index of all samples was more than 96.5% (Figure 1), which showed that the gut microbiomes were sufficient for subsequent analysis and also effectively represented those in the 16 species.

3.2 | Alpha diversity and niche breadth of the gut microbiome

The alpha index (including Richness, Shannon, Simpson, Pielou, Invsimpson, Chao1, and ACE indices) boxplot between species showed that the alpha diversity of the gut microbiome of the investigated marine carnivores (H. grypus, HG; B. physalus, BP; P. microcephalus, PM; and E. lutris nereis, EL) was significantly (Kruskal–Wallis test, \( p < .01 \)) lower than that of the investigated terrestrial carnivores (C. alpinus, CA; C. lupus, CL; V. vulpes, VV; V. corsac, VC; N. procyonoides, NP) and terrestrial herbivores (C. elaphus, CE; O. musimon, OM; P. hodgsonii, PH; P. nayaur, PN; B. grunniens, BG; C. nippon, CN; M. chrysogaster, MC). The alpha diversity of terrestrial herbivores was overall the highest, followed by that of terrestrial carnivores (Figure 1).

Furthermore, the degree of specialization of mammalian gut microbiomes in different habitats was characterized using the niche breadth of the gut microbiome. Overall, the niche breadth of the gut microbiome of marine carnivores was the lowest, and that of terrestrial herbivores was the highest (Figure 2). This result demonstrated that the gut microbiome of marine carnivores is specialized.

**Figure 1** Kruskal–Wallis test of gut microbiome alpha diversity between species. The abscissa is the species, and the ordinate is the numerical value. \( p \) Value less than .05 indicates that the difference between groups is significant.
Cluster analyses can be used to gather similar samples in a group. Therefore, we used cluster analyses to determine whether the marine environment drives the convergent evolution of the gut microbiome in marine carnivores. Based on the OTU level, the gut microbiome compositions of the MM, TC, and TH groups were separated in the PCA plot (Figure 3a). We used PCoA (Figure 3b) to verify this result. PCoA also showed that according to their gut microbiomes, the investigated animals could be divided into three groups: marine carnivores, terrestrial carnivores, and terrestrial herbivores. Anosim demonstrated that the gut microbiome compositions of the MM, TC, and TH groups were significantly different ($R = .778$, $p = .001$) according to Bray-Curtis distances (Figure 4). These results indicated that marine habitats drive the convergent evolution of the gut microbiome in marine carnivores.

Gut microbiome composition

At the phylum level, Firmicutes (MM, 36.72%; TC, 40.83%; TH, 60.92%) dominated the gut microbiome of the three groups. Bacteroidetes was the second most dominant phylum in the TC (30.87%) and TH groups (21.97%), and Proteobacteria was the second most dominant phylum in the MM group (24.18%). The third most dominant phylum in the TC (21.42%) and MM groups (13.75%) was Fusobacteria, while in the TH group (2.49%), it was Proteobacteria (Figure 5a). Notably, the relative abundance of the top three phyla accounted for 74.65%, 84.65%, and 85.38 of the bacterial community in the MM, TC, and TH groups, respectively. At the genus level, Fusobacterium was predominant in the MM (10.86%) and TC (21.43%) groups, while Ruminococcaceae_Group (24.25%) was the most abundant in the TH group (Figure 5b).

Discrepancies in the gut microbiome between groups

We used the Kruskal-Wallis test ($q < .01$) to characterize significantly enriched microbiota among the three groups. The phyla Firmicutes, Tenericutes, Fibrobacteres, TM7, Verrucomicrobia, and Spirochaetes were significantly enriched in the TH group; Planctomycetes, Cyanobacteria, Proteobacteria, and Euryarchaeota were significantly enriched in the MM group; and Bacteroidetes and Fusobacteria were significantly enriched in the TC group ($q < .01$) (Figure 6a). These phyla, except for Tenericutes and Planctomycetes, were the top 10 dominant phyla in the studied microbiomes.

At the genus level (Figure 6b), Peptoclostridium was significantly enriched in the MM group ($q < .01$); Ruminococcaceae_Group, Eubacterium, Christensenellaceae_Group, and Lachnospiraceae_Group were significantly enriched in the TH group; and Bacteroides and Fusobacterium were significantly enriched in the TC group ($q < .01$).
These genera were among the top 10 genera with the highest relative abundances in the three groups. These results indicated that different habitats shaped the differences in the gut microbiome composition among the studied animals.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we characterized 108 samples representing 16 species belonging to 8 families and 13 genera. A big dataset...
effectively eliminates the influence of abnormal individuals on the results. We obtained 8,011,810 effective tags, and the good coverage index was higher than 96.5% for all species. These results indicated a greater degree of coverage of the gut microbiome and also showed that the subsequent biometric analyses were reasonable. By comparing the gut microbiota across the three groups, we suggest that
the different habitats affect the gut microbiome composition of the species. Especially, marine habitats can surpass phylogeny to drive the convergent evolution of gut microbiome composition in marine carnivores.

Our results showed that the alpha diversity (including Richness, Shannon, Simpson, Piolou, Invsimpson, Chao1, and ACE indices) of the MM group was significantly lower than those of the TH and TC groups. These results were consistent with those reported in previous studies (Bai et al., 2021; Nishida & Ochman, 2018). This could be related to the marine environment and host lifestyle (especially, land to the sea) (Thewissen et al., 2007). In addition to alpha diversity, the gut microbiome niche breadth of the MM group was lower than that of the TH and TC groups. Because of their habitat and evolutionary history, marine carnivores are highly specialized species (Hindle, 2020), and their specific gut microbiome can help them adapt to their unique habitats.

According to the PCA and PCoA, marine carnivores were clustered in the same group, whereas terrestrial carnivores and terrestrial herbivores gathered in a separate group. Although HG, EL, and terrestrial carnivores have close phylogenetic relationships, the gut microbiome compositions of HG and EL were similar to those of BP and PM. These results revealed that marine habitats could surpass phylogeny to drive the convergent evolution of the gut microbiome in marine carnivores.

Compared with those in the TC and TH groups, Planctomycetes, Proteobacteria, and Cyanobacteria were found to be significantly enriched in the gut microbiome of the MM group. Planctomycetes are mainly aquatic bacteria (Peeters et al., 2020) that widely exist in different marine environments (Shu & Jiao, 2008). Cyanobacteria play important roles as photosynthesis, nitrogen fixers, and producers of biologically active substances, and are more abundant in various marine ecosystems than in terrestrial ones (Andreeva et al., 2020; Sunagawa et al., 2015). Proteobacteria is the most important phylum in marine ecosystems (Sunagawa et al., 2015). Proteobacteria and Cyanobacteria were among the top 10 phyla in the MM group. Proteobacteria was the second most abundant phylum in this group. Furthermore, the genus Peptoclostridium was significantly enriched in the MM group compared with that in the TC group and has previously been isolated from marine sediments (Galperin et al., 2016). During the long process of evolution, marine microbiota may have colonized the gut of marine carnivores. In addition, the life history of marine carnivores increases the possibility of marine microbiota colonization. These bacteria may have played an important role in host adaptation to the marine environment.

5 | CONCLUSION

In summary, marine carnivores have the same pattern of gut microbiome niche breadth, a diversity, and colonization of marine microorganisms in their gut microbiome. Although the phylogenetic relationships among P. microcephalus, B. physalus, and terrestrial herbivores are closer than those among P. microcephalus, B. physalus, H. grypus, and E. lutris nereis, the gut microbiomes of marine carnivores were grouped together. Therefore, marine habitats can surpass phylogeny to drive the convergent evolution of the gut microbiome in marine carnivores. This study provides a new perspective on the adaptive evolution of marine carnivores.
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