Molecular and Clinical Investigation of COVID-19: From Pathogenesis and Immune Responses to Novel Diagnosis and Treatment
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The coronavirus-related severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS-CoV) in 2002/2003, the Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS-CoV) in 2012/2013, and especially the current 2019/2021 severe acute respiratory syndrome-2 (SARS-CoV-2) negatively affected the national health systems worldwide. Different SARS-CoV-2 variants, including Alpha (B.1.1.7), Beta (B.1.351), Gamma (P.1), Delta (B.1.617.2), and recently Omicron (B.1.1.529), have emerged resulting from the high rate of genetic recombination and S1-RBD/S2 mutation/deletion in the spike protein that has an impact on the virus activity. Furthermore, genetic variability in certain genes involved in the immune system might impact the level of SARS-CoV-2 recognition and immune response against the virus among different populations. Understanding the molecular mechanism and function of SARS-CoV-2 variants and their different epidemiological outcomes is a key step for effective COVID-19 treatment strategies, including antiviral drug development and vaccine designs, which can immunize people with genetic variabilities against various strains of SARS-CoV-2. In this review, we center our focus on the recent and up-to-date knowledge on SARS-CoV-2 (Alpha to Omicron) origin and evolution, structure, genetic diversity, route of transmission, pathogenesis, new diagnostic, and treatment strategies, as well as the psychological and economic impact of COVID-19 pandemic on individuals and their lives around the world.
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HIGHLIGHTS

- The emergence of novel types of COVID-19 can be a serious health threat for humans.
- Genetic variability in certain genes involved in the immune system, encoding human leukocyte antigen (HLA) A, B, and C, may affect susceptibility to and severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection.
- One vaccine or treatment option alone cannot immunize people with genetic variabilities against various strains of SARS-CoV-2 in different areas of the world.
- Among different virus vectors, the adeno-associated virus can be used to deliver the CRISPR/Cas13d system to infected lung cells in SARS-CoV-2 patients.

INTRODUCTION

From the outset of the twenty-first century, three zoonotic β-coronaviruses (CoVs) have crossed the inter-species barriers, infected humans, and caused severe fatal pneumonia. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) first appeared in 2003 (Poutanen et al., 2003; Zhong, 2004), Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) emerged in 2012 (Lu L. et al., 2013) and a novel β-coronavirus appeared in Hubei province, China, in December 2019 (Wu and McGoogan, 2020; Zhuz et al., 2020). The novel β-coronavirus was named 2019-novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV), and the infection it causes was called COVID-19 disease by the World Health Organization (WHO) on 12 January 2020 (Du Toit, 2020; Gralinski and Menachery, 2020). Afterward, on 11 February 2020, the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) study group renamed it severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (Gorbalenya et al., 2020). The WHO revised the state of Public Health International Emergency (30 January 2020) to a pandemic (11 March 2020) (Du Toit, 2020). Despite global efforts to control this serious pandemic, it rapidly spread worldwide and continued to rise. Two years after the COVID-19 appearance, the number of confirmed infections reported to WHO has exceeded 435 million, with a death toll of 5,952,215 until March 2022 (World Health Organization, 2022a). COVID-19 can be asymptomatic, mild, or severe or lead to death. Most of the patients infected by COVID-19 clinically manifest with mild symptoms, such as dry cough, breathing difficulty, fever, and nausea (Huang C. et al., 2020). However, others suffer from acute and severe pneumonia, which can develop with serious complications such as septic shock, pulmonary non-cardiogenic edema, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), organ failure, and damage to the lung parenchyma (Chen W. et al., 2020; Huang C. et al., 2020; Lu H. et al., 2020). The incubation period for COVID-19 is usually <14 days. Advanced age is also associated with increased mortality. Patients having any medical comorbidities (obesity, diabetes, tumor, or heart, lung, and/or kidney diseases) have a greater risk of developing severe COVID-19 and higher mortality rates (World Health Organization, 2022b). Although, no specific animal has been identified to date as an early natural source of novel COVID-19 disease, it is suggested that several animal species or mammalians or birds, including bats, snakes, pangolins, poultry, marmots, and turtles sold at Seafood Wholesale Market in Wuhan’s Huanan, were probably associated with SAR-CoV-2 (Chung et al., 2020; Huang P. et al., 2020; Yang Y. et al., 2020). Recent comparative genomic analyses combined with evolutionary tree synthesis assumed that bat coronaviruses such as Bat-CoV-RaTG13a are closest relatives to SARS-CoV-2 with 96% similarity at the genomic level and phylogenetic homology and transmitted to humans through some unknown potential intermediated hosts. The case fatality rate (CFR) of the novel SARS-CoV-2 (2%–4%) is relatively lower than that of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV (Li W. et al., 2005a; Cui et al., 2019; Li and Shi, 2019; Zhou P. et al., 2020). However, genetics and clinical evidence suggests structural, genetic, and epidemiological similarity of novel COVID-19 to those of SARS and MERS, making the previous findings applicable to COVID-19. Thus far, various SARS-CoV-2 strains (from Alpha to Omicron) with high transmissibility, infectivity, and mortality rates have emerged secondary to a high rate of recombination, S1/S2/RBD mutation, deletion, and substitutions during convergent evolution (Andreata-Santos et al., 2022). This review aims to provide the reader with the most recent information on SARS-CoV-2 special features, such as diverse strains, the host-pathogen interaction, virus pathogenicity, treatment strategies, the mechanisms by which virus evades immune system, transmission route, genetic variability in human immunity-related genes, and finally, different host immune responses. These data may serve as a reference for more precise and comprehensive studies: firstly, to psychologically minimize the potential negative effects of some misinformation about this not-fully-understood virus on people on a worldwide scale and, secondly, to build up a base knowledge as a first step to design an efficient vaccine for COVID-19 (Cui et al., 2019).

ORIGIN AND EVOLUTION OF SARS-COV-2

A profound knowledge of how an animal coronavirus jumped across inter-species boundaries to infect humans will considerably help prevent the following zoonotic outbreaks. At present, the source and intermediate host of SARS-CoV-2 are unknown, and no compelling evidence currently shows that any domestic animal can transmit SARS-CoV-2 to other animals, including humans. Sequencing 2019-nCoV in different patients showed almost 99.9% sequence identity, suggesting that novel SARS-CoV-2 emanated from one source within a very limited period of time (Zhang T. et al., 2020; Zhou P. et al., 2020). Comparisons of this SARS-CoV-2 with other coronaviruses in origin and evolution might help us find its initial reservoirs. In this regard, investigating the evolutionary relationship of the receptor-binding domain (RBD) sequence in spike protein, the cleavage site of S-protein in SARS-CoV-2, and identification of the early sequence, intermediate host its receptor would be of great help in understanding the origin of the virus. The SARS-phylogenetic analysis demonstrated that novel SARS-CoV-2 is closely related to the bat SARS-like CoVs such as bat-SL-
CoVZC45 and bat-SL-CoVZXC21 (Lu R. et al., 2020; Zhou P. et al., 2020). Phylogenetic tree and whole-genome analysis also showed that SARS-CoV-2 shares above 85% nucleotide sequence homology with previous SARS-CoVs, including SARS/MERS CoVs (Dhama et al., 2020; Li W. et al., 2005a). Furthermore, the phylogenetic tree based on S-proteins and the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 showed 74% similarity at the RBD level and 76% S-protein similarity to these SARS-CoVs, respectively (Jaimes et al., 2020; Walls et al., 2020). Because of the high degree of similarity of RBD in spike protein, both novel SARS-CoV-2 and previous SARS-CoVs are likely to use the same receptor for angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) to enter host cells (Jaimes et al., 2020). In addition, the following cell culture studies illustrated that the spike protein of the new SARS-CoV-2 employs the human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), the same receptor as what SARS-CoV uses for entry (Letko et al., 2020). However, the most notable difference between 2019-nCoV S and SARS-CoV S is an insertion in the S1/S2 protease cleavage site that prompts an “RRAR” fur in the recognition site in SARS-CoV-2 compared to the single arginine in SARS-CoV [28,29]. On the contrary, 96% nucleotide homology has been demonstrated between SARS-CoV-2 and Bat-CoV RaTG13 in a study conducted in Yunnan, China [18, 30]. It has also been shown that SARS-CoV-2 shares 97.43% identity in the spike protein and 89.57% identity in the amino acid sequence of RBD with Bat RaTG13 [18-19,31] as well as the highest similarity in ORF1ab (98.55) and nucleocapsid protein (N) (99.05), respectively (Li Q. et al., 2020). Such a high degree of sequence similarity suggests that the SARS-CoV-2 is more closely related to the Bat-COV RaTG13 than the other SARS-CoVs (Li C. et al., 2020). These findings also suggest that bats can still be considered a potential source as they host the closest relative of SARS-CoV-2, similar to the case for SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV (Huang J.-M. et al., 2020). Moreover, other animal species might serve as intermediate hosts between bats and humans. SARS-CoV-2 was first reported on 31 December 2019, when most bat species were hibernating in Wuhan. Besides, a variety of other animals but not bats were vended at the Huanan seafood market. Additionally, SARS-CoV-2 has less than 90% sequence identity with its nearest relatives, bat-SL-CoVZC45 and bat-SL-CoVZXC21, which reveals that these Bat-like CoVs are not direct ancestors of 2019-nCoV. What is more, bats have been recognized as natural sources of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV pathogens, which have been passed on to humans via some intermediate hosts such as palm civets or civets (Y. Guan et al., 2003) or dromedary camels (Azhar et al., 2014), respectively. Therefore, given that the first group of patients infected with COVID-19 were in contact with wild animals sold at a Chinese seafood market, it is suggested that bats are the initial hosts of SARS-CoV-2, which in turn has been transmitted to humans by an unknown wild animal host(s) (Lau et al., 2005; Chan J. F.-W. et al., 2020). Previous studies on the possible intermediate hosts, with regard to viral receptor-binding domains (RBD) and host receptors, have suggested that snakes, pangolins, and turtles may also serve as potential intermediate hosts in transmitting the virus to humans (Liu Z. et al., 2020). With 93.2% nucleotide and 94.1% amino acid identity to SARS-CoV-2, pangolin CoV has been suggested as the most closely related to SARS-CoV-2. Moreover, Pangolin-CoV shows 92.8% nucleotide and 93.5% amino acid identity to Bat RaTG13 (Lam et al., 2020; Zhang T. et al., 2020). However, some Pangolin-CoV genes, including orf1b, the spike (S) protein, orf7a, and orf10, share higher amino acid sequence homology with SARS-CoV-2 than RaTG13 genes. Comparative analysis of SARS-CoV-2, Bat RaTG13, and pangolin CoV in RBD and five essential amino-acid residues engaged with human ACE2 revealed that SARS-CoV-2 has 96.68% RBD identity with pangolin CoV and 89% RBD similarity with Bat RaTG13 (Zhang T. et al., 2020). Furthermore, Pangolin CoV has only 85% RBD similarity with the Bat RaTG13. These findings indicate that Pangolin-CoV is highly similar to SARS-CoV-2 compared to RaTG13. Interestingly, these five key amino-acid residues have a major role in human-to-human and cross-species transmission. However, only one amino acid is different between Pangolin-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, which does not belong to the five cardinal residues engaged in the interaction with human ACE2. Contrarily, RaTG13 accommodates 17 amino acid residues different from SARS-CoV-2, of which four belong to the key amino acid residues (Zhang T. et al., 2020). These findings also provide more evidence to support the hypothesis that chances are higher for pangolin CoV to endure the host defenses and infect humans than Bat RaTG13 (Zhang T. et al., 2020). Besides, the nucleocapsid protein (N-protein) is the most plenteous and conserved protein in coronaviruses, including SARS-CoV-2, Pangolin-CoV, and RaTG13. Phylogenetic analysis showed that the nucleocapsid protein (N-protein) of SARS-CoV-2 and RaTG13 contains four dissimilar amino acids (375/S, 215/G/S, 243/G/S, and 267/A/Q), while their S-proteins differ by as many as 33 amino acids. It has been shown that the SARS-CoV-2 virus has a very distinctive peptide (PRRA) insertion located at position 680 of the S-proteins, which may be associated with the cellular proteases and proteolytic cleavage, and affects the host’s transmissibility. Bat RaTG13 does not have this insertion in its S-protein (Wong S. K. et al., 2004; Li X. et al., 2020c; Ji W. et al., 2020). These findings further support the hypothesis that Pangolin-CoV is a highly possible intermediate host involved in cross-species spread and transmission to humans compared with bat RaTG13 or other SARS-CoVs. For cross-species spread and transmission to humans, SARS-CoV-2 must acquire a cleavage site or undergo some mutations, insertions, and deletions occurring at its spike protein, near the S1–S2 junction, allowing for optimal and improved binding to human-like ACE2 (Ji W. et al., 2020). The interaction of five key amino acid residues of S-protein with the angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2) receptor is thought to be critical for human-to-human and cross-species transmission of SARS-CoV-2. It is also possible for the SARS-CoV-2 to jump into humans through an animal host with an ACE2-encoding gene similar to the human orthologous (allowing natural selection to proceed efficiently) (Andersen et al., 2020). Similarity plot analysis of bat, pangolin, and SARS-CoV-2 nucleotide sequence also indicated possible recombination in S-protein of SARS-CoV-2. Both Pangolin-CoV and RaTG13 do not have the fur in the recognition sequence motif at the S1/S2 cleavage site of the S-protein as observed in SARS-CoV-2 (Andersen et al., 2020).
These findings suggest that SARS-CoV-2 is a recombinant evolved virus of Bat-CoV and Pangolin-CoV with some genetic mutations and recombination in the spike protein gene as a result of natural selection. In fact, some homologous recombination has happened between bat and pangolin CoVs, triggering cross-species transmission of SARS-CoV-2, leading to the evolution that increases its adaptability during the outbreak (Huang J.-M. et al., 2020). This indicates that SARS-CoV-2 might gain optimized ACE2 proteins from an intermediate host such as a bat to facilitate its entry into host cells and suggests that the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein RBD–ACE2 host receptor interaction mediates infection in humans and other animals (Zhou P. et al., 2020). In SARS-CoV-2, some mutations have also been detected in five genes of S, N, ORF8, ORF3a, and ORF1ab, of which about 42% are non-synonymous mutations (Tang et al., 2020). Because of the global spread of SARS-CoV-2, its amino acid sequence has also changed significantly, resulting in increased viral diversity in some SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. This explains the probable cross-species transmission, adaptation of viruses to the human body, human-to-human transmission, and the viral genome evolution in the human population (Shen et al., 2020). Structural studies and biochemical experiments have demonstrated a high affinity for SARS-CoV-2 RBD toward human ACE2 and other species with high receptor homology. Notably, the high-affinity binding of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein to human ACE2 is most likely the result of natural selection on a human-like ACE2. There is a strong body of evidence in the literature that SARS-CoV-2 might not be a purposefully manipulated laboratory-based virus (Wan et al., 2020).

**Coronavirus: Genome Structure and Classification**

Coronaviruses are enveloped, non-segmented positive-sense RNA viruses containing a very large RNA (~26–32 kb) surrounded by a symmetrical nucleocapsid (Song et al., 2019). They are the largest group of viruses belonging to the Riboviria realm, the idovirales order, including the Coronaviridae, Arteriviridae, and Roniviridae families. The Arteriviridae family are phylogenetically classified into four genera: the Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Delta coronaviruses (α, β, γ, and δ) (Chandra and Awasthi, 2020). Each genus is further subdivided into lineage subgroups of A, B, C, and D. Human CoVs consist of HCoV-229E and NL63, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, HCoV-OC43, and HCoV-HKU1 (Chandra and Awasthi, 2020). Four α-coronaviruses of HCoV-229E, HCoV-NL63, HCoV-OC43, and HCoV-HKU1 are associated with mild symptoms in humans, while two human β-coronaviruses of SARS-CoV (Peiris et al., 2003) and MERS-CoV cause severe disease (Xu et al., 2004). The newly emerged SARS-CoV-2 is the seventh human coronavirus, belonging to β-coronaviruses and lineage B subgenus. β-Coronaviruses (including SARS-CoV-2) are classified by the club-like spikes (S-proteins) that project from their outer surface, their large RNA genome, and their replication strategy. Human SARS-CoV-2 genome consisted of 29,903 nucleotide-based RNA molecules (Papanikolaou et al., 2022) with at least ten open reading frames (ORFs), encoding 27 proteins (including 15 nonstructural proteins, 4 structural proteins, and 8 auxiliary proteins). The first ORF (ORF1a/b) forms about two-thirds of viral RNA and encodes two nonstructural polyproteins involved in the formation of viral replicas transcriptase complex. Other ORFs on the remaining one-third of the genome encode four main structural proteins of spike surface glycoprotein (S), envelope (E), nucleocapsid (N), and membrane or matrix (M) proteins. They also encode for sixteen non-structural proteins (NSP1–NSP16) virus’ critical molecules such as helicase and RNA-directed RNA polymerase, which participate in viral replication and translation and facilitate virus entry into the cells (Papanikolaou et al., 2022). The most important feature of coronaviruses is the heavily glycosylated spike glycoprotein (S) (~150 kDa), located on the surface of CoVs to help them enter target cells. Spike mediates viral entry into host cells through homodimers protruding from the viral surface (Tortorici and Veesler, 2019; Walls et al., 2020). Spike S encompasses two subunits of S1 (N-terminal) and S2 (C-terminal), which create a unique crown-like formation (corona) on virion’s surface. S1 subunit acts as the main receptor-binding domain (RBD) and recognizes and binds to the host cell surface receptor, whereas the S2 domain is involved in the fusion mechanism between cell membrane and virus (Li F. et al., 2005; Ge et al., 2013; Lu G. et al., 2013; Raj et al., 2013; Gui et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2017; Letko et al., 2020; Walls et al., 2020). These two subunits (S1 and S2) have a major role in viral infection and pathogenesis and are critical targets for antiviral neutralizing antibodies. SARS-CoV-2 uses angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) as a receptor to enter target cells (Hoffmann et al., 2020a; Yao H.-P. et al., 2020). ACE2 is expressed on human nasal epithelial cells, lung, spermatogonia, Sertoli, gastric, duodenal, and rectal epithelial cells (Song et al., 2018). ACE2 is a substrate for membrane attachment, activating the S1 and S2 subunits. Then, ACE2–SARS-CoV-2 cell complex triggers intracellular signaling transduction affecting hypoxia regulatory molecules (Tsiambas et al., 2020). Specific proteases, such as furin, trypsin, cathepsin, or serino-protease (transmembrane serine protease 2-TMPRSS2), are involved in the virus entry into the cell, leading to the intracellular infection signal (Coutard et al., 2020; Lukassen et al., 2020). As a host cell protease, furin cleaves the S-protein into two separate polypeptides: the S1 and S2 subunits. The S1 subunit contains the receptor-binding domain (RBD) (with 193 amino acid residues), which contains two subdomains of the core and external portions (Wong S. K. et al., 2004). The RBD core subdomain is responsible for the formation of S trimer particles (Bosch et al., 2003), and the external subdomain with two exposed loops on the surface binds to the ACE2 receptor (Raj et al., 2013). Six RBD amino acids of SARS-CoV-2, including L455, F486, Q493, S494, N501, and Y505, are essential for binding to ACE2 receptors and determining the host range of SARS-CoV-like viruses. Spike RBD has all the structural information needed for virus attachment to the host receptor ACE2 (Andersen et al., 2020). The receptor-binding domain (RBD) in the spike protein is the most variable part of the coronavirus genome (Andersen et al.,
Different Variants of Novel COVID-19

Like other viruses, SARS-CoV-2 evolves over time. SARS-CoV-2 has undergone many mutations since its earlier detection in Wuhan at the end of 2019. Different SARS-CoV-2 variants such as Alpha (α), Beta (β), Gamma (γ), Delta (δ), and recently Omicron variant (B.1.1.529) have appeared by a broad spectrum of recombination events, point mutations, deletions, and amino acid substitutions, particularly in spike RBD, and RBM of novel SARS-CoV-2. Beta, Gamma, and Delta variants have been associated with disease severity and higher virulence but lower pathogenicity. WHO classified SARS-CoV-2 variants into three main categories: variants of concern (VOCs), variants of interest (VOIs), and variants under monitoring (VUMs) (Andreata-Santos et al., 2022). The four previously reported VOCs are Alpha (B.1.1.7), Beta (B.1.351), Gamma (P.1), and Delta (B.1.617.2) variants, whereas the recent variant, Omicron (B.1.1.529), was firstly recognized in South Africa on 26 November 2021, designated as the fifth VOC (He X et al., 2021). It seems that the SARS-CoV-2 virus tries to survive through some variants with/orf deletions with a low level of activity. Furthermore, under the pressure of natural selection and evolution, point deletions sometimes lessen the viral spread (Akkiz, 2021). However, some of the mutations enhance the ability of the virus to spread and transmit across species and infect multiple cell types leading to a variety of human diseases. Typically, various point mutations (substitutions) and specific deletions have occurred in the S1/S2 domains and RBD areas of RNA genomic sequences in SARS-CoV-2, resulting in the emergence of a new set of SARS-CoV-2 variants. Major (non) synonymous mutations affecting the RBD region in novel SARS-CoV-2 include N501Y, E484K, L452R, and K417N/T (Papanikolaou et al., 2022; Rahimi et al., 2021; Winger and Caspari, 2021). P681H/R substitution has been recognized in S1/S2 furin cleavage site, D614G and G142D in S1 and V176F, A701V, and T20N on the S2 region. Interestingly, critical deletions (ΔH69/ΔV70/ΔA156/ΔA157/ΔY144/ΔL242/ΔA243/ΔL244) influencing the non-RBD S1 region increase the transmission ability and infectivity of the virus and negatively affect the potency of immunity that neutralizing antibodies provide (decreased serum neutralization titers) (Papanikolaou et al., 2022). However, frequent deletions observed in other regions, including open reading frames (ORF) 7 and 8, surprisingly lead to a low replication load and strong response to mAbs. Various mutations of D614G, N501Y, E484K-Q, K417N/T, and L452R are associated with a significantly strong hACE2 binding affinity, elevated viral load production, increased human-to-human transmissibility and infectivity, enhanced disease severity, and immune escape against vaccine and antibody therapeutic strategies due to low immune response rates (Danioloski et al., 2021; Gobeil et al., 2021; Nelson et al., 2021). All of these mutations were predominantly found in Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, Theta, and Omicron variants. Amino acid D614G substitution (glycine for aspartic acid) in spike occurred in all of the five VOCs. D614G mutation demonstrates a higher load of infectious virus in the upper respiratory tract and an increased replication and transmissibility in SARS-CoV-2 variants. The combination of deletions of D614G and E484Q only in the Delta variant granted the virus a significantly increased infectivity and transmissibility and empowered the virus as the response rate to mAbs and targeted vaccines reduced substantially. The Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant also shares N501Y with the Alpha, Beta, and Gamma variants. This mutation is believed to enhance the binding affinity between spike and angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) and enhance transmissibility (Papanikolaou et al., 2022; Kumar et al., 2021). Recent evidence indicates that the Omicron variant is probably more infectious than the Delta and Beta variants (Pulliam et al., 2021; Lippi et al., 2022). It has been reported that the Omicron variant has at least 35 mutations in its spike (S) protein compared with early SARS-CoV-2. Generally, 15 of the 29 substitutions located in the receptor-binding domain (RBD) are the early target for neutralizing the monoclonal antibody. Moreover, 10 of those on the receptor-binding motif (RBM), involved in recognizing the human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptors, are suggested to increase the spread and virulence of the Omicron variant. These findings suggest that the monoclonal antibodies approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) may be less effective against the Omicron variant. Although the impact of Omicron on morbidity and mortality is still unknown, the number and combination of mutations/deletions/insertions in this recent variant is impressively associated with high transmissibility, infectivity, and possibly increased re-infection rates (Andreata Santos et al., 2022).

L and S Types of SARS-CoV-2 (SNP)

Population-based genetic analyses of SARS-CoV-2 genomes indicated that this virus has evolved into two major types, L and S, by means of two different SNPs that show nearly complete linkage across the viral strains’ sequence. Although the L type (~70%) is more prevalent than the S type (~30%), the S type was the ancestral strain. Although the L type was more prevalent in the early stages of the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak in Wuhan, the frequency of the L type decreased after early January 2020. It is likely that human intervention may have exerted more selective pressure on the L type, leading it to be more aggressive, and spread more quickly. On the contrary, the frequency of the S type, which is evolutionarily older and less aggressive, might have relatively increased due to the lower selective pressure imposed on it. A combination of these findings strongly supports an urgent need for further immediate and comprehensive studies on genomic data, epidemiological data, and clinical symptoms of COVID-19 patients, facilitating the development of effective drugs and vaccines against the virus and aiding us in predicting when and where potential epidemics may occur and preventing their tolls (Huang J.-M. et al., 2020; Wang W. et al., 2020).

Basic virology and pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2

Coronavirus encompasses a large family of viruses that may cause severe respiratory disease. The early site of SARS-CoV-2 infection
and its pathogenesis is still unknown. For betacoronaviruses such as SARS-CoV-2, cell entry is the mainstay of cross-species transmission. SARS-CoV-2 binds to ACE2 receptors on alveolar epithelial cells, infects the lower respiratory tract, and causes lethal and severe pneumonia in humans (Fan et al., 2020). The process of SARS-CoV-2 infection consists of virus attachment to the cell surface, receptor involvement, protease cleavage, and membrane fusion. Cell entry depends on the binding of the viral spike S glycoprotein (subunit S1) to the host receptor ACE2 in SARS-CoV-2 [61] and DPP4 in MERS-CoV (Millet and Whittaker, 2014; Ou et al., 2016). In fact, the S-protein-receptor interaction is the first determinant for coronavirus to infect host species. After binding to the host receptor, the virus must access the host cell cytosol. This is generally done through a two-step process of acid-dependent cleavage of S-protein by the host serine protease of TMPRSS2 or other proteases. This cleavage will activate S-protein by its conformational changes, leading to the fusion of the virus to the cellular membranes. The cleavage of the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein occurs at two sites: 1) at the junction site of S1 and S2, a.k.a. S-protein priming, which is important for separating the RBD and the fusion domains of the S-protein; 2) at the S2 site, immediately upstream of the fusion peptide in the S2 subunit, releasing the fusion peptide and causing the virus-membrane fusion (Fehr and Perlman, 2015; Walls et al., 2020). These processes produce two subunits, including an N-terminal S1 that recognizes the cell surface receptor and a C-terminal S1 that promotes the fusion of the viral envelope with the cellular membrane. Notably, the cleavage sequence can determine the zoonotic potential of coronaviruses. The S-protein interacts with the host receptor ACE2, mediating the receptor-binding domain (RBD) region of the S-protein through a conformational rearrangement (Millet and Whittaker, 2014; Ou et al., 2016). The site of RBD within coronavirus S-protein varies according to the type of the virus. Some viruses (e.g., MHV) have the RBD at the N-terminus of their S1 region, while in others (e.g., SARS-CoV), the RBD is located at the C-terminus of their S1. The fusion of the virus S-protein and host receptor ACE2, which occurs within acidified endosomes (plasma membrane in MHV), is of pivotal importance for the entry of the virus into cells and for inducing the humoral immune response during infection (Fehr and Perlman, 2015; Kirchdoerfer et al., 2016; Yang X. et al., 2020). Cleavage at S2 exposes a fusion peptide, which is inserted into the membrane. Then, the joining of two heptad repeats in S2 forms an antiparallel six-helix bundle (Li F. et al., 2005), which causes the fusion of virus and cellular membranes, resulting in the viral genome release into the cytoplasm. Lack of an adequate and timely immune response against the infection or immunosuppression in infected patients enables rapid viral replication and spread, which initiates critical and deadly stages of the disease, and severe pneumonia, lung damage, and subsequent respiratory failure will ensue (Zheng, 2020). The high binding affinity between ACE2 and the S-protein in SARS-CoV-2 (~15 nM and 10–20-fold higher than SARS-CoV S) is thought to play a significant role in the human-to-human transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and predicts disease severity in humans (Kuba et al., 2005; Li W. et al., 2005b). Additionally, high expression and activation of serine protease TMPRSS2 at S1/S2 subunits probably is an important determinant of the virus’s tendency to enter the infected cells, viral pathogenesis, transmissibility, and spread among humans (Tortorici et al., 2019). Notably, the ACE2 expression protects against lung injury and is downregulated by SARS-CoV-2; it would thus be interesting to find out whether SARS-CoV-2 interferes with the ACE2 expression (Li, 2008). Moreover, the capability of the virus to engage with ACE2 from different animal species appears to reflect host susceptibility to SARS-CoV infection and explains zoonotic spillover and numerous SARS-CoV-2 human-to-human transmission events reported to date (Muus et al., 2020).

**Sex-Age-Based Differences in Susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 Infection Sex Difference**

A higher mortality rate among older males (≥65 years) suggests a gender and age-dependent difference in susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection and disease outcomes. Epidemiological data from previous COVs and the recent COVID-19 pandemic revealed that male patients demonstrate a more severe disease and increased mortality than females on a global scale (Karlberg et al., 2004). Furthermore, immunological differences suggest that females have a rapid and aggressive innate and adaptive immune response to combat the invading virus, while the reduced antiviral response in males may lead to more susceptibility to severe diseases. The enhanced antiviral response in females results in a reduced viral RNA copy number and reduced expression of viral antigens in a sex hormone-dependent manner. Thus, it is assumed that sex differences in COVID-19 are represented in the early viral infections and hormonal signaling pathways. In SARS-CoV-2, more infection severity in men is associated with increased plasma cytokine levels of the innate immune system, such as IL-8 and IL-18. In contrast, less infection severity in females corresponds with higher T-cell activation. Furthermore, increases in TNF-a and IL-6 immunological activation are significant and independent predictors of severity and mortality in COVID-19 (Viveiros et al., 2021). Typically, genetic, epigenetic, and hormonal factors and the immune system activity are major factors explaining the gender and age differences in SARS-CoV-2 infection. Two major factors in sex-specific immune responses to COVID-19 infection are different expression levels of immune-related genes such as ACE2 located on the X chromosome, and the sex-specific steroid hormones, androgen, and estrogen, which regulate different immune responses in men and women (Markle and Fish, 2014; Asselta et al., 2020). The SARS-CoV-2 virus uses angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) and transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2) to facilitate infection. A meta-analysis study indicated that increased expression levels of ACE2, TMPRSS2, and CTSL in specific cell types are correlated with advanced age and male gender. It has been suggested that the cell-type-specific expression or co-expression of ACE2 and proteases, as the mediators of SARS-CoV-2 viral entry, may affect susceptibility, severity, and transmissibility of COVID-19, as well as certain aspects of the
epidemiology, and clinical course of the disease (Channappanavar et al., 2017; Muus et al., 2021). Further investigations are needed to understand whether gender, age, and comorbidity are risk factors for COVID-19 infection and death (Liu et al., 2010; Wei et al., 2020).

X Chromosome
A recent paper highlighted an association between specific ACE1 I/D genotypes and differences in clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients. This can be due to the hACE2 gene located on chromosome X (band Xp22.2), which is a crucial molecule involved in the immune response. Aggressive clinic-pathological phenotypes of male patients can be explained by chromosome X-linked genes modifications (Tsiambas et al., 2020). It is suggested that the different expression levels of the genes on the X chromosome may affect the susceptibility to and the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Certain genes on the X chromosome regulate immune responses by encoding proteins such as human leukocyte antigens (HLA), ACE2 receptor, Toll-like receptors (TLR7, TLR8), cytokine receptors (IL2RG and IL13RA2), and a transcription factor for regulatory T cells (FOXP3) (Libert et al., 2010; Case et al., 2012; Conti and Younes, 2020). The Y chromosome also possesses various gene regulatory properties and polymorphisms that influence sex-based susceptibility to viral infection (Yang et al., 2010). As a host cell receptor for SARS-CoV-2, ACE2 is one of the major sex-based genes on the X chromosome. The expression level of ACE2 is strongly upregulated by estrogen and androgen and repressed by inflammatory cytokines and T2D (which increases with age and chronic diseases). There is a strong association between ACE2 expression and COVID-19 infection, susceptibility, severity, and fatality. Higher expression of ACE2 in males compared to females is one of the factors related to the severe symptoms and even death of COVID-19 infection (Liu et al., 2010; Fischer et al., 2015). In females, the X-inactivation mechanism (XCI) is the main factor for the sex-dependent expression of ACE2. Females have two X chromosomes, whereas males carry one X and one Y chromosome. Many immune-associated genes are X-linked, and females have two copies of these genes. Females benefit from a large reserve of proteins provided by the two X chromosomes. The X-inactivation mechanism in females balances the double allelic dosage of genes on the X chromosome by the epigenetic silencing of one of the X chromosomes in the early development process. The ACE2 gene is an X-linked gene that resides in the Xp22.2 region of the X chromosome and escapes X chromosome inactivation, which may confer a “double-dosage” of ACE2 mRNA. This mechanism leads to cellular mosaicism in women. ACE2 expression is dependent on sex hormones. In addition, certain mutations in X chromosome genes such as ACE2 in COVID-19 patients have been detected in some lung cells in females, whereas all the cells in males will exhibit the risky allelic variant (Liu et al., 2010; Bianchi et al., 2012; Case et al., 2012; Markle and Fish, 2014). Like the ACE2 receptor, the protease TMPRSS2 is crucial for SARS-CoV-2 entry into the host cells (Zhou P. et al., 2020). Both genes mediate COVID-19 infection through their sex-dependent expression in the lung cell. Higher expression of TMPRSS2 in male lung cells promotes SARS-CoV-2 entry to cells via membrane fusion; additionally, the TMPRSS2 expression is induced by androgen/estrogen stimulation (Souyris et al., 2018). Furthermore, some genetic variants in the 3’ region of the gene TMPRSS2 identified in lung cells might have a significant impact on the TMPRSS2 expression and its catalytic activity, leading to more severe disease (Jin et al., 2020). The Toll-like receptor 7 (TLR7) gene, encoded on the X chromosome, is another gene that may escape X inactivation, resulting in a higher expression level of TLR7 in female immune cells, which causes more cytokine production against viral infection. Stronger Th1 immune responses in females also cause a lower susceptibility to the COVID-19 infectious pathogen than in males (Pinheiro et al., 2011; Markle and Fish, 2014). However, sex hormones may modulate ACE2 expression.

MicroRNAs and Long Non-Coding RNAs
MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs with 18–24 nucleotides, which play an important role in many biological processes, including regulating gene expression. Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are RNA molecules larger than 200 nucleotides, which modulate gene expression at transcriptional and translational levels. lncRNAs are RNAs encoded by the human genome that are not translated into proteins (Ratti et al., 2020). The X chromosome contains 10% of all the miRNAs in the human genome, whereas the Y chromosome only contains two miRNAs. The X-linked ACE2 gene is regulated downstream by micro RNAs (miRNAs) and proteolytic cleavage. However, the TMPRSS2 gene is positively regulated by androgens in the prostate and, thus, may demonstrate male-biased expression. The X chromosome encodes major microRNAs such as miRNA-18 and miRNA-19, which play a role in sex differences in immune responses to coronaviruses diseases, including SARS-CoV-2 infection. Other X-linked microRNAs are miR-233 (regulating neutrophil differentiation), miR-106A, miR-424, mir542, and miR-503 (negative regulation of monocyte differentiation), expression of which can be under sex hormone control (Dai et al., 2013; Channappanavar et al., 2017). The high expression of miRNA genes on the X chromosome in females is a result of incomplete X inactivation, which furthers the sex differences in susceptibility to COVID-19 diseases. lncRNAs also play a crucial role in the regulation of innate and adaptive immune responses as catalysts of X inactivation, which leads to sex-differential (Channappanavar et al., 2017).

Genetic Polymorphisms
Polymorphism in autosomal genes on sex chromosomes encoding immunological proteins can have sex-differential effects on immune responses. It is proposed that epigenetic and hormone-dependent mechanisms might affect sex-based differences in gene variants (SNP) expression, but this needs stronger evidence support (Souyris et al., 2018).

Sex Hormones
Sex steroids such as estrogen and androgen, as regulators of immune responses, exert their function by binding to estrogen receptors (ER), androgen receptors (AR), and progesterone.
receptors (PR). These receptors are expressed by immune cells such as B- and T-lymphocytes, macrophages, monocytes, natural killer cells, dendritic cells, and myeloid cells. It has been suggested that androgen receptors may be one of the important factors responsible for gender differences in COVID-19 presentation. As an anti-inflammatory hormone, androgen has a significantly higher expression in men than in women (Chien et al., 2006; Malkin et al., 2004; vom Steeg and Klein., 2016). Androgen in men suppresses pro-inflammatory responses by reducing the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines and increasing the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines. An investigation found a higher expression of IL-16, IL-7, ILCs, and IL-18 in males with COVID-19 than in females, which may promote COVID-19-related cytokine storms. Most patients with severe COVID-19 infection have experienced "cytokine storms" that can trigger the immune system to attack the body violently, resulting in an acute respiratory distress syndrome and multiple organ failure. A previous study demonstrated a positive correlation between the severity of pneumonia and the cytokine storm and inflammatory response caused by COVID-19 infection. These findings may hold answers to the question of why the risk of severe COVID-19 and case fatality rate (CFR) in males is higher than in females (Chien et al., 2006; Garanina et al., 2019; Guan et al., 2020b).

**Clinical Symptoms and Immunopathology Features**

COVID-19 infection can cause mild, moderate, severe, and/or life-threatening pneumonia. The most common symptoms of COVID-19 are fever, cough, running nose, and body ache. These symptoms may develop in different SARS-CoV-2 variants, including Alpha, Mega, Delta, and recently Omicron. In severe cases of COVID-19, loss of smell and taste sensation and difficulty in breathing have been observed, while Omicron-positive people did not have breathing issues to date (Ettaboina et al., 2021). The incubation period of the SARS-CoV-2 infection ranges from 2 to 14 days (Chan J. F.-W. et al., 2020; Guan et al., 2020b; Jiang et al., 2020) compared to the Delta variant of SARS-CoV-2 with a 4-day incubation period. COVID-19 infection may culminate in progressive respiratory failure due to alveolar damage (Ye et al., 2020). The most common manifestation on chest CT scans of the infected patients is peripheral and bilateral ground-glass opacities or consolidative lesions, in which their density and extension have been correlated with disease severity and mortality (Guan et al., 2020a). Patients with mild COVID-19 present with fever, cough, sore throat, fatigue, headache, dyspnea, or myalgia, have normal or decreased leukocyte counts (Peiris et al., 2004), and might show increased blood levels of ALT, AST, LDH, CK-MB, CRP, and ESR (Chan J. F.-W. et al., 2020). Patients with moderate infection often experience dyspnea after 1 week. Patients with severe COVID-19 rapidly progress to critical conditions, including acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), severe pulmonary infection, acute respiratory failure, severe metabolic acidosis and coagulation disorders, hypoxemia unresponsive to conventional oxygen therapy, and septic shock, which can result in multi-organ failure (e.g., acutely altered function in liver and kidneys) and even death. Pathologically, inflammation and immune cell infiltration, necrosis, and hyperplasia are typically inspected in infected tissues and secretions (Guo et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2020). Damage to the pulmonary interstitial arteriolar walls indicates that inflammatory response is the main determinant of the course of the disease, despite the pathogenic effect of CoVs (Wong C. et al., 2004). Notably, patients with severe forms of infection also had more prominent laboratory abnormalities, including lymphocytopenia, thrombocytopenia, and leukopenia (Guo et al., 2019) (Figure 1). Patients who require intensive care in the course of hospitalization have high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-2, IL-7, IL-10, G-CSF, IP-10, MCP-1, MIP-1A, and TNF-α circulating in their bloodstream, which leads to cytokine storm and is highly relevant to disease
severity and final clinical outcomes (Huang C. et al., 2020). An early surge in the serum level of pro-inflammatory cytokines can lead to potentially severe disease, similar to what precedes a cytokine storm (Promptetchara et al., 2020; Wu F. et al., 2020). In COVID-19 patients with a higher level of IL-6 and IL-10; increased CRP; elevated levels of ALT, AST, and creatine kinase; increased neutrophils; and decreased lymphocytes, the clinical course of disease is more severe and the mortality rate is considerably higher (Huang C. et al., 2020; Wu and Yang, 2020). In contrast, a high level of IL1B, IFNγ, IP10, and MCP1 probably leads to the activation of the T-helper-1 (Th1) cell responses and increases the secretion of T-helper-2 (Th2) cytokines (IL4 and IL10) that suppress inflammation (Mescher et al., 2006). The majority of COVID-19 infected patients had a lower absolute count of lymphocytes. This finding suggests that 2019-nCoV might mainly act on lymphocytes, especially T lymphocytes, as does SARS-CoV. Viral particles cross the respiratory mucosa and infect other cells, induce a cytokine storm in the body, and generate a series of immune responses that, in turn, cause alterations in the number and functionality/activity of peripheral white blood cells such as lymphocytes. Some studies suggest that a substantial decrease in the total number of lymphocytes implies that coronavirus consumes many immune cells and inhibits the cellular immune function of the host. Damage to the T lymphocytes might be an important factor in exacerbating the condition and leading to a rapid clinical deterioration in COVID-19 patients (Chen N. et al., 2020). Moreover, the total count of other immune cells, including CD4+T, CD8+T, dendritic cells (DCs), macrophages, and natural killer cells (NKGs), significantly decreases. What is more, according to previous studies, CD4+T cells, but not CD8+T cells, are important for harnessing the SARS-CoV infection (Bai et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2010). Nearly 85% of COVID-19 patients are asymptomatic or present with mild symptoms, around 10% may develop with severe symptoms such as the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), and at least 5% are critical cases who need treatment in the intensive care unit (ICU), of which roughly half die, with an average morality rate of approximately 2.4% (Dandekar and Perlman, 2005; Wu F. et al., 2020). Notably, children and teenagers with COVID-19 infections have milder disease than adults, and fatal cases are more common in elderly patients with chronic and multiple comorbidities, such as hypertension, heart disease, diabetes, endocrine system pathology, digestive system disorders, malignancy, nervous system disease, and respiratory disease, due to weakened immune function (Cai, 2020; Wu C. et al., 2020a; Zhou F. et al., 2020). In an investigation by Guo et al., most of the patients were men, with an average age of 55.5 years. In total, 41.9% of the patients were female, and 0.9% of the patients were younger than 15 years (Guo et al., 2019). Despite the phylogenetic homogeneity between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoVs, it seems that the severity and fatality rate of COVID-19 are lower than those of SARS and MERS (Guo et al., 2019; Li X. et al., 2020a).

**DIAGNOSIS OF COVID-19**

The detection of the disease at an early stage is essential to isolate COVID-19 patients from healthy people. According to the China guideline of Diagnosis and Treatment of Pneumonitis Caused by SARS-CoV-2 (Corman et al., 2020), available and widely accepted clinical diagnostic tools for COVID-19 include molecular methods of full genome sequencing and real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR), lung CT scan, serological evaluation of anti-viral immunoglobulin M (IgM), G (IgG) antibodies, and viral culture (Ettaboina et al., 2021). In RT-PCR, respiratory specimens are collected by nasal swab or swab from the throat of an infected patient. This technique is performed by targeting the specific primers in the ORF1ab and N gene regions of SARS-CoV-2. Genome sequencing is almost not applicable given its high cost. Early laboratory assessments mostly...
consist of complete blood count, blood chemical analysis, coagulation testing, liver function tests, blood urea nitrogen and creatinine, CRP, LDH, ESR, procalcitonin, creatine kinase, and electrolytes (Adams et al., 2020). The low blood count of lymphocytes has also been proposed as a paraclinical indicator to diagnose the COVID-19 infection (Channappanavar et al., 2017). Besides, RT-qPCR also has some limitations, including sample collection and transportation, sample safety, kit performance, and a low positive rate of RT-PCR for throat swab samples (30%-60%) at initial presentation, its false-negative rate, its lack of sensitivity, insufficient stability, and its relatively long processing time (Ai et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2020; Xie et al., 2020). The number of mutations involving epitopes of the SARS-CoV-2 variants (particularly Omicron) has made several single-target molecular tests ineffective, raising the false-negative rate results in patients infected by this VOC. Virus antigens or serological antibody testing kits can be implemented for diagnosis (Channappanavar et al., 2017). Although antigen tests rely mainly on nucleocapsid proteins, they can also detect the proteins of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant but maybe with a lower sensitivity (Tiecco et al., 2022; Ettaboina et al., 2021). The antigen tests are generally less sensitive to very early infections compared with molecular tests. Following the FDA’s long-standing rapid test recommendations, a negative antigenic test in a symptomatic patient or patients with a high likelihood of infection inevitably requires follow-up molecular testing (Tiecco et al., 2022). Over the last few decades, lung ultrasound (LUS) has been a useful, bedside, safe, and non-ionizing imaging modality for diagnosing a variety of acute respiratory diseases, including COVID-19 pneumonia, and it has been superior to chest x-ray and clinical examination (Copetti, 2016; Lichtenstein and Malbrain, 2017). It can be a beneficial diagnostic/triaging tool in emergency departments given resource-limited settings (Lichtenstein and Meziere, 2008). LUS has several advantages over RT-PCR (real-time polymerase chain reaction) as it can precede RT-PCR test positivity (Kalafat et al., 2020), and it is consistent with CT imaging. Moreover, LUS is more sensitive than chest x-ray (plain chest radiography) in both children and adults and in pregnant women without radiation exposure and with ease of sterilization (Pagano et al., 2015; Mayo et al., 2019; Denina et al., 2020; Feng et al., 2020; Huang Y. et al., 2020; Kalafat et al., 2020; Poggiali et al., 2020). Chest CT imaging is a non-invasive, easy-to-perform, and fast method of diagnosis with high diagnostic accuracy and timelier COVID-19 diagnosis compared to RT-PCR, with the only drawback of radiation. It has been reported that almost all COVID-19 patients demonstrate peripherally distributed radiographic features of ground-glass opacity, multifocal organizing pneumonia, and interstitial changes on their CT scan (Ai et al., 2020; Huang P. et al., 2020). Like LUS, chest CT may show pulmonary abnormalities in COVID-19 infected patients with initial negative RT-PCR results (Chung et al., 2020). Individuals who have negative RT-PCR results may benefit greatly from a combination of repeated RT-qPCR tests and chest CT scans (Yang Y. et al., 2020). A low-dose chest CT protocol (mainly based on reducing CT tube current from 100 to 150 mAs in the standard CT protocol to 50 mAs) has been introduced and implemented to overcome the main chest CT scan downside, which does not decrease diagnostic accuracy of CT images but reduces radiation dose considerably (up to 89%) in comparison to the standard-dose protocol (Azadbakht et al., 2021). Regarding some limitations of RT-PCR and CT scans to diagnose COVID-19, immunological detection kits are used to target viral antigens or antibodies in clinical laboratories. SARS-CoV-2 IgG/IgM Antibody Test Kit and ELISA kits for SARS-CoV-2 can detect and quantify SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG and are highly sensitive for IgG identification from 10 days following symptoms onset (Adams et al., 2020).

**CRISPR Based Methods and Their Usage in COVID-19 Detection and Treatment**

These methods are alternative RNA-based antiviral strategies acting against infections caused by RNA viruses, with the capability of targeting highly different conserved regions by multiple crRNAs, further reducing the chances of viral escape from inhibition through mutation and drug reissuance. Another advantage of this novel strategy is that it targets not only viruses infecting humans but also those that are currently found in animal reservoirs and might transfer to humans unexpectedly. This approach relies on a CRISPR-based system for recognizing and degrading the virus genome or mRNAs. The Cas13a RNA-targeting technique of SHERLOCK (Specific High Sensitivity Enzymatic Reporter Unlocking) as a new efficient CRISPR-based diagnostic test has been developed to rapidly detect all types of RNA viruses. This approach consists of two guide RNAs (gRNAs), which combine with a Cas13 protein and form a SHERLOCK system to detect SARS-CoV-2 RNA in human lung epithelial cells. The gRNAs are designed based on certain specific regions of the S gene and Orf1ab gene in SARS-CoV-2, which can bind to their complementary sequences in the SARS-CoV-2 RNA and recognize the viral RNA. In this system, a paper strip is used for visual readout, similar to a pregnancy test. After dipping a paper strip into a prepared sample, if a new line appears on the strip, it indicates virus existence in the sample. As it takes only 45 min to detect viral RNA in the sample, the new test works faster than widely used RT-PCR techniques, taking about 4 hours to reveal the result in a respiratory sample (Curti et al., 2020). DNA Endonuclease-Targeted CRISPR Trans Reporter (DETECTR) is another low-cost and accurate CRISPR-Cas12-based approach developed for SARS-CoV-2 detection in respiratory samples in approximately 30 min. Cas12 gRNAs are designed to specifically detect the N gene in SARS-CoV-2 and the E (envelope) and the N (nucleoprotein) genes in other viral strains (Freije et al., 2019; Mustafa and Makhawi, 2020). Moreover, two novel CRISPR-Cas13 techniques, CARVER (Cas13-assisted restriction of viral expression and readout) and PAC-MAN (Prophylactic Antiviral CRISPR in Human Cells), have been developed for therapeutic purposes, which target the SARS-CoV-2 virus and restrict its replication (Figure 2).

In order to clear the virus, these techniques contain guide RNAs (gRNAs) designed to organize the viral RNA by specifically binding to complementary sequences in the viral RNA genome and effectively cut it using an RNA-targeting Cas13 nuclease
Guide RNAs have been designed based on highly conserved regions of the viral genome encoding the major structural proteins of SARS-CoV-2, including the orf1ab, S (spike), M (membrane), N (nucleocapsid), and E (envelope) genes. Targeted inhibition of these proteins can lead to disabled viral replication and function. A total of 10,333 guide RNAs have been designed to specifically target 10 peptide-coding sites on the RNA genome of SARS-CoV-2. This novel approach is applicable to defend against the virus variants that evolve and may escape traditional drugs and vaccines. It particularly targets the different regions of the same virus or different SARS-CoV-2 strains (L and S strains) by the crRNAs pool (Konermann et al., 2018). Cas13d and its components can be delivered within polymers or lipid nanoparticles with chemical alterations to increase stability. Besides, a recently developed DNA-based liposomal delivery strategy (i.e., the HEDGES platform) is also optimal in this regard (Konermann et al., 2018). If we find this therapeutic strategy secure and effective with a safe delivery method, it could be a good alternative to traditional vaccines in which viruses can escape from inhibition. Among different virus vectors, the adeno-associated virus (AAV) can be used to deliver the CRISPR/Cas13d system to infected lung cells in SARS-CoV-2 patients (Hoffmann et al., 2020b). Before in vivo therapeutic application of the CRISPR/Cas13d system on
patients, it is necessary to determine the safety and efficacy of this system in clearing 2019-nCov and other viruses in animals (Broughton et al., 2020; Cui et al., 2019) (Figure 3).

**Innate Immune Responses Mechanism to SARS-CoV-2 Infection**

The epithelium of the lungs and the respiratory tract are exposed to viruses existing in the inhaled air. SARS-CoV-2 entry into the respiratory tract depends on its attachment to the ACE2 receptor on the surface of the host’s lungs by the viral spike (S) protein, leading to antigen presentation via APCs. Antigen detection by the innate immune sensors in the respiratory tract stimulates humoral and cellular immunity, mediated by virus-specific B and T cells. Indeed, the appearance of viral dsRNA in the cytoplasm and its detection by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) [including the Toll-like receptors (TLR3 and TLR7), cytoplasmic T lymphocytes (CTLs), cytosolic RNA sensor, and RIG-I/MDA5], triggers the downstream signaling cascade of NF-kB and IRF3 against RNA viruses in the lungs (Totura et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2014). Alveolar lymphocytes and macrophages, dendritic cells (DCs), airway epithelial cells, and innate lymphoid cells as innate immune contributors induce the secretion of large amounts of cytokines and chemokines, establishing an antiviral state in the lung cells (Taniguchi and Takaoka, 2001). This antiviral state stimulates the production of type I and type III interferons (IFNs), genes (ISGs), and other proinflammatory cytokines (TNF-α and IL-6), which control viral replication by inducing an effective adaptive immune response. Furthermore, type I IFN simulates the release of antiviral proteins to protect neighboring uninfected cells (Trottein and Paget, 2018; Zhou et al., 2018). In general, T helper cells, especially Th17 cells, produce the proinflammatory cytokine IL17 via the STAT3 and NF-kB signaling pathways and assist cytotoxic T cells and B cells to clear the virus. Natural killer T cells, mucosal-associated invariant T cells, and neutrophils also effectively mediate the innate and adaptive immune responses, clearing viruses from the respiratory system (Martin and Frevert, 2005; Gabay, 2006). All the aforementioned immune responses can prompt an uncontrolled immune-inflammatory response called a “cytokine storm.” The cytokine storm denotes highly increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines (IFN-α, IFN-γ, G-CSF, IL-1b, IL-2, IL-6, IL-7, IL-10, IL-12, IL-18, IL-33, TNF-α, TGFb, MCP-1, MIP-1A, etc.) and chemokines (CCL2, CCL3, CCL5, CXCL8, CXCL9, CXCL10, etc.) in severe SARS-CoV-2 infection (Kenway-Lynch et al., 2014; Jones and Jenkins, 2018). The serum levels of TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-10 in SARS-CoV-2-infected patients are negatively correlated to the total number of T cells, CD4+T, and CD8+T cells, suggesting that cytokine storm might reduce T-cell count via apoptosis or necrosis (Williams and Chambers, 2014). Moreover, high initial viral loads, and an increased number of IMMs and neutrophils in the lungs, accompanied by elevated proinflammatory cytokine/chemokine levels, cause lung damage in SARS patients (Xu Z. et al., 2020). Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and multiple organ failure, which are the main death causes in COVID-19 infections, are results of the cytokine storm following severe pulmonary inflammation (Cameron et al., 2008; Sui et al., 2008). Humoral immunity (B cells), as the adaptive immune response, defends only against viruses outside the cell (i.e., extracellular viral particles). In fact, neutralizing antibodies bind to the crown-like spikes of these extracellular viruses and prevent them from interacting with host cells and even prevent re-infection in the future. Incomplete, delayed, or even strongly induced host immune response can result in pulmonary tissue damage (Ter Meulen et al., 2006; Kikkert, 2020). The adaptive immune evasion mechanism is a primary viral reaction to escape host immune detection and suppress innate immune responses aimed at lengthening the virus’s survival, boosting its replication rate, and promoting the host’s cell infection (Prompetchara et al., 2020). For this purpose, SARS-CoV-2 can downregulate MHC class I, MHC class II, and CD80/86 in antigen-presenting cells (APCs) by infecting macrophages or dendritic cells, which inhibit T-cell activation. SARS-CoV-2 also modifies intracellular membranes to form double-membrane vesicles that lack PRRs, thereby replicating in these vesicles and avoiding the host detecting their dsRNA (Lessler et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2018; Snijder et al., 2006). This is probably associated with a longer incubation period of 2–14 days in SARS-CoV-2 compared to other CoVs (Martin and Frevert, 2005). Besides, some accessory proteins of SARS-CoV-2 can attach to the dsRNA during replication to block the TLR-3 activation and evade the immune response. Another viral strategy for suppressing the host immune response is encoding viral proteases for cleaving innate immune factors (Martin and Frevert, 2005). This interaction between the cellular immune responses and viral evasion mechanisms identifies infection outcomes (Gralinski and Baric, 2015; Farrag and Almajhdi, 2016). Moreover, delayed innate immune response that is secondary to temporary suppression when an innate immune response has been evaded contributes to an overreaction and exacerbated immune response, which leads to cytokine storms, damaging inflammation, and other severe complications (Rojso et al., 2011; Farrag and Almajhdi, 2016). However, in-depth knowledge of this virus–host interaction proposes important opportunities for designing and creating novel antiviral strategies. Because each virus uses various strategies for suppressing the host immune response via evolved multifunctional proteins, mostly it is difficult to determine the immune evasive pattern to offer novel antiviral treatment strategies based on it. Notably, long-lasting immune protection after SARS-CoVs infection has not yet been proved, and indeed, CoVs can re-inffect individuals sometime after earlier infection. It might be associated with the virus’s high genetic variation and genetic drift and shift, causing new strains that are not efficiently recognized by the innate immune system. This is the reason why individuals experience various CoV infections in the course of their lives (Gralinski and Baric, 2015).

**Genetic Variability in Immune System and Susceptibility to COVID-19**

Recently, a study investigated the probable relationship between the global distributions of three immune system genes, called human leukocyte antigen (HLA) A, B, and C genes, involved in recognizing pathogens, with potential epidemiological outcomes of the current pandemic. This investigation revealed that the
effect of genetic variation in the human immune system genes (HLA), as significant segments of the viral antigen presentation pathway, may affect an individual’s susceptibility to COVID-19 and the severity of infection. It has been suggested that genotype variability in HLA genes causes differences in the capability of recognizing a pathogen and affects the capacity of the immune response against COVID-19 infection. Thus, certain alleles might be responsible for more susceptibility and more severe infection in some individuals. Because of poor recognition of SARS-CoV-2 by this haplotype, some patients may be more vulnerable to the virus. It has been demonstrated that individuals with the HLA-B*46:01 allele and the fewest binding peptides for SARS-CoV-2 show a particular vulnerability to COVID-19, as previously shown for SARS. Using this knowledge, individuals with high-risk HLA types could be prioritized in vaccine design against SARS-CoV-2 (Cui et al., 2019).

Viral Transmission and Spread
SARS-CoV-2 was initially zoonotic in nature and then gained the potential for human-to-human transmission. The source of infection for this novel virus is mainly a virus-contaminated hand touching the mouth and nose (Jiang et al., 2020). The most important route of transmission for SARS-CoV-2 is person-to-person transmission through close contact with infected patients or respiratory droplets, which they spray when coughing, talking loudly, or sneezing (Phan et al., 2020; Rothe et al., 2020). Vertical transmission of the novel coronavirus or transmission through breastfeeding has not yet been proven (Rothe et al., 2020). Transmissions of the novel coronavirus or transmission through infected patients or respiratory droplets, which they spray when coughing, talking loudly, or sneezing (Phan et al., 2020; Rothe et al., 2020). Vertical transmission of the novel coronavirus or transmission through breastfeeding has not yet been proven (Rothe et al., 2020). Vertical transmission of the novel coronavirus or transmission through breastfeeding has not yet been proven (Rothe et al., 2020). Vertical transmission of the novel coronavirus or transmission through breastfeeding has not yet been proven (Rothe et al., 2020). Vertical transmission of the novel coronavirus or transmission through breastfeeding has not yet been proven (Rothe et al., 2020). Vertical transmission of the novel coronavirus or transmission through breastfeeding has not yet been proven (Rothe et al., 2020).

Viral load and the severity of the disease are the main determinants of inter-host transmission. However, there is no direct evidence for the transmissibility of coronaviruses from contaminated surfaces to hands (Cao et al., 2020). Although early reports were supportive of the absence of human-to-human transmission for the virus, it is currently clear that efficient human-to-human transmission is essential for the large-scale spread of 2019-nCoV. The basic reproductive number (R0) of COVID-19, the number of individuals that each case can infect, determines its transmissibility, ranging from 2.2 to 2.6 (Li X. et al., 2020b). Given that 2019-nCoV uses the human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (hACE2) as a host receptor, it is likely that 2019-nCoV will further adapt to the human host by increasing its binding affinity to hACE2. Adaptation to the human host depends on some mutations that frequently occur during viral RNA replication errors or through recombination events in SARS-CoV-2, which support their tendency for human infection (Ge et al., 2013; Chen J et al., 2020). The viral mutations mostly occur in the receptor-binding motif (RBM) of the SARS-CoV S-protein and increase the binding affinity of the viral spike protein to the human cell receptor ACE2. These mutations facilitate the virus’s replication and assist the virus in adapting to the new conditions in the human host cell. Consequently, the new virus could undergo adaptive evolution, which results in more efficient human-to-human transmission and can increase the virus’s virulence. In fact, interactions between the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and its host receptor, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), mediate both the cross-species and human-to-human transmissions (Chan J. F.-W. et al., 2020; Liu Z. et al., 2020). Among different SARS-CoV-2 variants, Omicron shows the highest transmissibility. It might be due to multiple mutations in the virus genome that increase the binding affinity to the ACE2 receptor. For instance, N501Y mutation combined with Q498R, E484K, S477N, and H69/V70 deletions might increase the binding affinity to ACE2 receptor by up to 1000-fold and up to the level of low pM in KD value. The existence of H655Y and N679K mutations in the furin cleavage site is supposed to enhance spike cleavage (S1/S2 junction) and lead to more transmission. Moreover, the P681H mutation Alpha variant and P681R in the Delta VOC probably increase the transmission rate through the same mechanism. Other Omicron spike mutations, including K417N, G446S, E484A, Q493R, G496S, and Y505H, might also impact virus transmissibility via increasing binding affinity to human ACE2. In contrast, RBD mutations such as E484A, Y145del, and Y505H may result in the complete loss of interactions between an antibody and RBD, raising immune escape and reinfections (Tiecco et al., 2022). In the case of COVID-19, it is suggested that one or more mutations may be selected and sustained during the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak as the virus adapts to human hosts, which possibly reduces the virus’s ability to infect cells and might have influenced its transmissibility (Anwar et al., 2013). Moreover, the currently reported 17 non-synonymous mutations that happened in the ORF1ab, ORF7a, ORF8, and spike genes of the SARS-CoV-2 genome (Tortorici et al., 2019) in a family cluster of COVID-19 patients supported the hypothesis that the viral mutations could have occurred during person-to-person transmission. Indeed, due to positive selection pressure, these mutations can enhance the transmission of this novel virus to the new host(s) for its survival (Anwar et al., 2013).

Treatment Strategies Against SARS-CoV-2
Since the beginning of the new pandemic, many clinical trials have been conducted and numerous specific drugs have been utilized to treat COVID-19 infection and prevent mortality. However, several drugs that were documented to be useful in small clinical trials were ineffective in larger studies. Current COVID-19 treatment options, proved or authorized by the FDA, include antiviral drugs (molnupiravir, nirmatrelvir, and remdesivir), anti-SARS-CoV-2 monoclonal antibodies (bamlanivimab-etesevimab, casirivimab-imdevimab, and sotrovimab), anti-inflammatory drugs (dexamethasone), and immunomodulator agents (baricitinib, tocilizumab) (Takashita et al., 2022). Despite the success of some SARS-CoV-2 therapeutic strategies, such as effective vaccines, COVID-19 treatment remains challenging due to the large number of mutations that have continuously emerged in the SARS-CoV-2 variants and may contribute to attenuating the effectiveness of current treatments. Remdesivir was the first FDA-approved drug in COVID-19 treatment. To date, only the antiviral remdesivir has been shown to facilitate significant clinical improvements and has been approved by major drug safety regulators for COVID-19 (Takashita et al., 2022). Supportive treatment consists of oxygen...
therapy, invasive mechanical ventilation, membrane oxygenation, prescribing systemic glucocorticoids, and renal replacement therapy (CRRT) (Lu L. et al., 2013). Oxygen therapy is used for patients with a severe acute respiratory infection, respiratory distress, hypoxemia, or shock (Schultz et al., 2017; Bai et al., 2020). For early treatment for fever, antipyretic therapies are administered (e.g., paracetamol), and guaifenesin would be helpful for non-productive cough (Liu K. et al., 2020). Intravenous administration of immunoglobulin and steroids (methylprednisolone) can enhance the anti-infection status in critical patients with ARDS and septic shock, who otherwise may experience multiple organ failures. In the absence of shock, intravenous fluids should be carefully administered (Channappanavar et al., 2017). Renal replacement therapy (RRT) is an option for patients with AKI, and antibiotics are the mainstay of therapy in sepsis (Li and De Clercq, 2020). Antiviral RNA-target therapy, antibody and plasma therapy, immunomodulatory therapy, oligonucleotide-based therapies, peptides and interferon therapies, traditional Chinese therapies, and vaccines are also used to treat 2019-nCoV infection (Coleman et al., 2014; Lu, 2020). SARS-CoV-2 components might serve as potential targets for developing antiviral drugs and vaccines, particularly spike protein (S), serine protease TMPRSS2, polymerases, and ACE2 receptors, which are mainly involved in the virus’s entry into the host cell and viral replication (Wu C. et al., 2020b). Because the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein has a higher binding affinity to ACE2 than the spike proteins of other SARS-CoVs, it may be an ideal target for neutralizing antibodies and vaccines designed to combat viral infection. Anti-SARS-CoV antibody functionally intervenes with a special feature of the coronavirus (i.e., viral S-protein-receptor attachment) (Yang et al., 2013). Structural proteins, membrane proteins, and other accessory proteins of SARS-CoV-2 (ORFs, RBD) can also make credible vaccine targets (Li Y. et al., 2020). Specific inhibitors targeting key proteases such as TMPRSS2 (involved in replication and proliferation of the virus) are among the most effective drugs for treating COVID-19 infection (Xu H. et al., 2020). ACE2, as a receptor protein in both SARS-CoV and 2019-nCoV, is highly expressed in the epithelia of the human lung and small intestine, and coronavirus can infect human cells via binding to this receptor (Kawase et al., 2012). Thus, ACE2 can be considered an ideal target for 2019-nCoV infection treatment. Camostat mesylate is an approved serine protease TMPRSS2 inhibitor used in Japan for the off-label treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients (Sheahan et al., 2020).

Antiviral Therapy

Antiviral therapy against SARS-CoV-2 consists of many of the medications previously prescribed for SARS/MERS, influenza, and HIV infections, including nucleoside analogs (lopinavir, ribavirin, chloroquine, remdesivir, ritonavir, favipiravir, and galidesivir), neuraminidase inhibitors (oseltamivir), spike protein inhibitors (griffithsin), RNA synthesis inhibitors (TDF, 3TC), anti-inflammatory drugs, fusion peptide (EK1), abidol, and Chinese traditional medicine (ShuFengJieDu capsules and Lianhuaqingwen capsule) (Savarino et al., 2006; Zumla et al., 2016; Ji S. et al., 2020; Wang M. et al., 2020). However, the efficacy and safety of some of these drugs in COVID-19 treatment have not been confirmed. Hydroxychloroquine, which was used to prevent COVID-19, had no effect on preventing illness, hospitalization, or death from the disease and may increase the risk of cardiac arrhythmia, blood and lymph disorders, kidney injury, and liver problems. Based on previous evidence, ribavirin and lopinavir-ritonavir have been effective for HIV, SARS, and MERS infection therapy. The clinical application of two HIV-1 protease inhibitors, namely, lopinavir and ritonavir, appears to be effective in suppressing COVID-19 infection (Wang et al., 2021). Remdesivir, an inhibitor of the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), has a similar chemical structure to HIV reverse-transcriptase inhibitors. It targets the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase and inhibits viral RNA synthesis in human coronaviruses. Remdesivir, originally used to treat Ebola, is an effective and nearly safe treatment for COVID-19 infection (Savarino et al., 2006; Richardson et al., 2020). A recent study suggested the various mutations in the RdRp (V557L, V473F, N491S, F480 L/S/C, P323L, and or E802D) are associated with remdesivir resistance in the Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant (Chen et al., 2022). A combination of lopinavir/ritonavir or ribavirin as nucleoside analogs with IFNs as protease inhibitors can act synergistically upon 2019-nCoV and reduce the mortality rate in critical conditions (Ji S. et al., 2020; Wang M. et al., 2020). The antiviral drug nirmatrelvir (PF-07321332), co-administered with ritonavir, inhibits the SARS-CoV-2 protease involved in viral replication. Molnupiravir, used orally for patients with mild-to-moderate COVID-19, increases the frequency of viral RNA mutations and impairs SARS-CoV-2 replication. Molnupiravir is expected to be effective against all SARS-CoV-2 variants, including the recently discovered Omicron (Jayk Bernal et al., 2022). Several approved antiviral drugs such as nafamostat, camostat, or aprotinin have been effective in SARS-CoV-2 variants, especially Omicron. The Pfizer company confirmed that the nirmatrelvir pill was effective in patients infected with Omicron (Ettaboina et al., 2021).

Antibody and Plasma Therapy

Neutralizing monoclonal antibodies include sotrovimab (GSK4182136 or S309), bebtelovimab (LY-CoV1404), bamlanivimab-etesevimab (LY-CoV016-LY-CoV555), and casirivimab-imdevimab (REGN-CoV2), which prevent infection of human cells by blocking the S-protein–ACE2 attachment and mediate SARS-CoV-2 entry into the human respiratory epithelial cells (Tieco G et al., 2022). These antibodies target the spike protein (S), act as antigenic proteins, and stimulate the antibody response by interacting with the cell surface receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2). Long-term antibody response is affected by antibody concentration and the severity of the infection (Liu and Wang, 2020; Tian et al., 2020). Bamlanivimab-etesevimab (LY-CoV016-LY-CoV555) is a cocktail of two antibodies binding to the RBD of the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein, blocking the virus attachment to the human ACE2 receptor, and thereby increasing the neutralization rate. Casirivimab-imdevimab (REGN-CoV2) also reduces the risk of severe COVID-19 infection by binding to distinct
epitopes of the S-protein. The neutralization potency of both cocktails is still considered a treatment option for Delta VOC but is greatly reduced in the Omicron variant (Quirios-Roldan et al., 2021). Recombinant human monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), including CR3022, m396, and CR3014, can also neutralize viral function via binding to the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of SARS-CoV-2 (Gierer et al., 2013; Nguyen et al., 2020). It is notable that the receptor-binding domain (RBD) on the spike protein is a critical target for neutralizing antibodies. In contrast to the Beta or Delta SARS-CoV-2 variants, the Omicron variant has a significant ability to escape humoral immunity and neutralizing antibodies due to 15 mutations (such as E484A, K417N, D614G, N501Y, K417N, and P681H) identified in antigenic sites (RBS-A, RBS-B, RBS-C, the CR302, and S309) on its spike RBD. These mutations result in increased ACE2 binding affinity, increased transmissibility and pathogenicity, potential resistance to monoclonal antibodies targeting these sites, and immune evasion (Yuan et al., 2021). Besides, both Beta and Gamma variants could escape the neutralizing antibodies of LY-CoV555 due to the E484K mutation in spike and LY-CoV016 due to the K417N/T mutation (Starr et al., 2021). Because CoVs are very potent in generating antibody-escape mutations in the RBD, antibodies that target non-RBD epitopes are wiser choices for COVID-19 treatment (Sui et al., 2008; Shimojima et al., 2015).

**Immunomodulatory Therapy With Anti-Inflammatory Drugs**

Cytokine storms (CRS) are the result of the high activation of immune cells and inflammatory responses. Many investigations have reported high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including IL-2, IL-6, IL-10, IFN-γ, CXCL10, and CCL2 in COVID-19. Interleukin-6 (IL-6) is a strong pro-inflammatory cytokine that plays an important role in the body’s fight against viral infection (Hertanto et al., 2021; Villaeascusa et al., 2022). IL-6 is produced by several cell types, such as T cells, B cells, monocytes, and fibroblasts. TNF-α and IL-1β activate IL-6 expression, which has a key role in the mechanism of acute inflammation. According to the findings of clinical trials, both immunosuppression (IL-1 and IL-6 inhibitors) and immunomodulation (interferon alpha (IFN-α) and interferon beta (IFN-β)) and convalescent plasma therapy have been proposed as an effective treatment only in severe or critical COVID-19 conditions who require respiratory support. Anti-inflammatory drugs that inhibit the IL-6 pathway include sarilumab and tocilizumab, which block the IL-6 receptor, siltuximab and rituximab, which block IL-6 itself. Moreover, IFN-β-1a, IFN-α-2b, chloroquine, lopinavir, ritonavir, atazanavir, and ritonavir prevent mortality by reducing inflammation via viral clearance from the upper respiratory tract (Hertanto et al., 2021; Villaeascusa et al., 2022). Interleukin-10 plays an immunomodulatory role by affecting T-helper 1 and inhibiting IFN-γ, IL-12, and TNF-α. This might result in a reduction of the damaging effects of inflammatory pneumonia (which is a critical phase in COVID-19 pathophysiology). Interferons (IFNs) are cytokines made and released by host cells in response to viral pathogens. A combination of interferons (IFNs) and ribavirin is more effective against coronaviruses compared to the IFNs alone (Morgenstern et al., 2005; Tian et al., 2020). Furthermore, SARS-CoV-2 can escape innate immunity early during the infection by inhibiting IFN effects in viral replication and spread. Currently, corticosteroids (dexamethasone, hydrocortisone, and prednisone) with potent anti-inflammatory effects have been used to treat only severe or critical COVID-19, according to WHO recommendations. However, the role of immune system modulation in SARS-CoV-2 variants (Alpha to Omicron) remains unknown.

**Vaccine**

To date, the vaccine design for coronaviruses has faced many challenges. Due to the high rate of genetic recombination and mutation in SARS-CoV-2 variants, developing a suboptimal vaccine may potentially increase the evolution and diversity of the virus in the wild. Despite this, several strategies are being considered for vaccine development to reduce the likelihood of recombination (Prompetchara et al., 2020). In general, it is assumed that live attenuated vaccines must either induce stronger immune responses than the original virus or diminish the disease during secondary mucosal infection. In this regard, for developing vaccines, spike (S) and its receptor-binding domain (RDB) might be considered as an antigen protein, which can stimulate the production of neutralizing antibodies to block the entry of the virus into the host cells (Pardi et al., 2018). According to disruptive vaccine technology, nucleic acid-based vaccines (including RNA or mRNA vaccines) can be designed to provoke an immune response against COVID-19 infection and can be delivered by lipid nanoparticles or an LNP mechanism. Reverse genetic technology can be used to develop new vaccines to combat COVID-19 by utilizing the virus’s innate immune evasive function (Maruggi et al., 2019; Ramaiah and Arumugaswami, 2020). The attenuated virus may trigger better innate immune responses due to the lack of one or more of its evasive functions. Experimental SARS vaccines, including recombinant S-protein and inactivated viruses, induce neutralizing antibodies to raise against SARS-CoV and can offer some protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection (Yang et al., 2004; Wang C. et al., 2020). Current COVID-19 vaccines validated for use by WHO include Pfizer–BioNTech-BNT162b2, Moderna COVID-19 vaccine (mRNA 1273), SII/COVISHIELD and AstraZeneca/AZD1222 vaccine, Sinopharm, Sinovac-CoronaVac, Covovax (NVX-CoV2373) vaccine, and Bharat Biotech BSV152. These vaccines are based on different platforms, including DNA plasmid-based, RNA platform, innovative nucleoside-modified viral messenger RNA encapsulated within nanoparticles, specifically lipid ones (LNPs), non-replicating viral vector, inactivated or weakened versions of the virus, and protein subunit platform (Tsiambas et al., 2021). Recent evidence suggests that the current COVID-19 vaccines might be less effective against the Omicron variant in comparison to other SARS-CoV-2 variants. That is why even three doses of mRNA vaccines may not be sufficient to prevent infection and symptomatic disease with this VOC. This is due to
an elevated number of mutations in the Omicron N-terminal domain (NTD) and RDB, significantly making them difficult to be recognized by the NTD/RDB targeting neutralizing antibodies, allowing reinfection, and reducing the efficacy of currently used vaccine (Liu, L et al., 2021). Other observations revealed the importance of variant-specific vaccines based on the mutated spike, especially against the Omicron variant. However, the two-dose Pfizer vaccine had a high level of neutralizing power against other variations, such as Delta, which have been proven to help reduce disease severity, hospitalizations, and death (Ettaboina et al., 2021).

### Psychological Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic

Since the emergence of SARS-CoV-2, continuing COVID-19 crises such as experiencing the new waves of infections caused by different SARS-CoV-2 variants (Alpha to Omicron), viral reinfection, vaccination delay and the non-existence of potent pharmacological treatments for COVID-19, and pandemic fatigue eventually have led to psychological exhaustion, which negatively affects the adherence to health protocols. The COVID-19 epidemic has spread fear, anxiety, depression, and even panic in the community, leading to relapses or worsening of the pre-existing psychiatric disorders in patients because of their higher susceptibility to stress in comparison to the general population (Yao H. et al., 2020). Mental disorders are associated with increased susceptibility to infections and can enhance the risk of infections, including pneumonia. The psychiatric illness itself may also result in lower COVID-19 treatment efficacy. Therefore, clear information on the role of mental disorders in COVID-19 transmission, infection, and the mental health status of the community is urgently required (Santos, 2020). Research conducted on previous infections such as SARS/MERS-CoVs and Ebola has revealed their vast psychosocial impacts on individuals, communities, and international levels during infection outbreaks (Yao H. et al., 2020). Although, currently, there is no detailed data on the psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the public health while it hits its peak, some pieces of evidence show the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic with anxiety disorders, especially cases of obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) who suffer from continuous hand washing immediately after coughing, sneezing, or after touching contaminated objects. Long-lasting perceived stress resulting from COVID-19 can lead to severe OCD symptoms for those who are overanxious about the virus (Zhang and Ma, 2020). Research has also reported that vast, negative, and extended psychological effects such as anger, confusion, and post-traumatic stress symptoms may be associated with long-lasting quarantine, fear of infection, frustration, a lack of basic and needed supplies, misinformation, and financial problems (Zhang and Ma, 2020). Many COVID-19 patients with mental disorders have not been able to get regular health services, and many medical appointments have been suspended. This results in an increased risk of psychiatric disorders, creates a new set of challenges in treatment, and can potentially make therapeutic measures less effective (Santos, 2020). Also, healthcare professionals and many medical practitioners, as the first line of defense against COVID-19, experience severe depression, anxiety, emotional distress, burnout, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) at the onset, during, and after the end of the outbreak of such infections because of the extreme workloads, physical and mental exhaustion, insomnia, anxiety, and the fear of being infected or transmitting the infection to their families while doing their job with little or substandard protective equipment (Zhang and Ma, 2020). However, several psychiatric comorbidities have been observed even among non-infected people during the COVID-19 pandemic, including depression, panic attacks, anxiety, cognitive distress, psychomotor excitement, suicidality, delirium, and post-trauma stress symptoms due to the fear of getting exposed to COVID-19 (Ayittey et al., 2020; Santos, 2020). Symptoms of the viral infection, such as cough and fever, may also be associated with the emergence or worsening of cognitive distress and anxiety. In a survey study, the psychological impact of the COVID-19 outbreak on mental health status was measured using the Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale (DASS-21) and IES-R scale. The results revealed that the COVID-19 pandemic could trigger the onset of the mental symptoms of depression, moderate-to-severe anxiety, insomnia, and distress among the physicians and nurses, caretakers, and young or old affected people (Ayittey et al., 2020). In a study investigating the psychological impact of the infection outbreak, a significant correlation was found between the female gender, student status, and specific physical symptoms such as myalgia, dizziness, corza, and poor health status with higher levels of stress, anxiety, and depression (Ding et al., 2017). The prevalence of moderate or severe psychological impact, as measured by IES-R, was higher than depression, anxiety, and stress, as measured by the DASS-21. Most respondents (>70%) were worried about their family members contracting COVID-19. Sociodemographic data suggest that females are more affected by the psychological impact of the outbreak (i.e., they suffer from higher levels of stress, anxiety, and depression). This finding was in line with previous exhaustive epidemiological studies, which found that women were at a higher risk of mental disorders such as depression (Ding et al., 2017). Furthermore, the uncertainty of the COVID-19 status could have an adverse effect on the mental health of students and their educational status. Some studies revealed that the general population experienced the negative psychological impact of the COVID-19 outbreak to a higher degree. This also correlates to the high rate of older adult suicide deaths reported in Hong Kong among the affected individuals during the SARS and MERS epidemics (Cui et al., 2019). A study conducted on the psychological impact of SARS infection on affected families showed that they were socially isolated even after being treated and disease-free because the public avoided them (Ayittey et al., 2020). However, the clear and up-to-date information on medicines and treatment plans, routes of transmission, health status and number of both infected cases and recovered individuals, and involved families provided for the medical staff and general public is associated with decreased COVID-19 related psychological impact and levels of stress, anxiety, and depression (Ayittey et al., 2020; Zhang and Ma,
Generally, behavioral therapy based on the model of stress adaptation coupled with psychotherapeutic treatments should also be provided for people with signs of mental disorders to reduce the cognitive effects of the pandemic. Using masks and medical gloves, regardless of the presence or absence of infection symptoms, is associated with less degrees of anxiety and depression. Psychological first aid (PFA) or psychosocial support is helpful in decreasing the acute distress and focuses on the mental health of the affected survivors and clinicians to offer them safety, comfort, and practical help and help them meet their needs after traumatic events such as the COVID-19 pandemic (Ayittey et al., 2020).

ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF COVID-19 ON CHINA AND THE WORLD

The impact of the recent COVID-19 outbreak on the world economy has become a matter of increasing concern. Governments are struggling to prevent the spread of the COVID-19 infection, contain the pandemic, and diminish the adverse effects of the pandemic on trade between nations. Uncertainties about the COVID-19 pathophysiology and its causative pathogen have interrupted global trade and supply chains, decreased asset prices, and forced international businesses to make hard decisions (Atkeson, 2020; Fernandes, 2020; McKibbin and Fernando, 2020). China’s position as the world’s largest manufacturer and importer of crude oil has caused economists to ignore their prediction of yearly global growth, especially in the stock market (Fernandes, 2020). During the SARS-CoV outbreak in China from 2002 to 2003, the global economy nearly lost roughly $40 billion. The current Chinese economy is several times larger than during the SARS epidemic and is even more connected to the whole world. This integration of China, the world’s second-largest economy after the United States, with the rest of the world has resulted in a significant impact of COVID-19 on the global economy. As China now contributes to approximately 16.3% of the world’s GDP, the country has been the main growth driver worldwide, with IMF estimating that China alone accounted for 39% of the global economic growth in 2019 (McKibbin and Fernando, 2020). This implies that any deceleration in the China economy could likely affect the global economy. Some economists, however, estimate that, in the event of the continuous spread of the Wuhan 2019-nCoV, China is expected to lose up to $62 billion in the first quarter of 2021, while the world is likely to lose over $280 billion in the same period. Nevertheless, some economists believe that it is too early to estimate the total impact of COVID-19 on the world’s economy and trade since the infection has not yet reached its peak. According to an estimated model by the same experts, the global GDP is likely to decline by roughly 0.42% in the first quarter of 2021 due to the outbreak. Furthermore, the negative impact of the crisis will be greater on the service-oriented economies, which means more jobs are at risk. Countries whose economies are highly dependent on tourism (more than 15% of GDP), such as Greece, Portugal, and Spain, will be more negatively influenced by this crisis. This current crisis is posing adverse effects on countries that are highly dependent on foreign trade by affecting supply chains. The literature suggests that if the crisis continues, it will cost 2.5%–3% of global GDP per month on average (McKibbin and Fernando, 2020).

CONCLUSION

The continuous emergence of new SARS-CoV-2 variants has made the control of the COVID-19 pandemic more complicated. It is also not clearly known whether new variants evolving after the Omicron variant will bear a higher transmission rate, infection capacity, and immune-escape potential. However, there is no doubt that the Omicron variant will not be the last variant of SARS-CoV-2. Fortunately, we have accumulated a lot of experience and methods to deal with the novel coronavirus, and we know what we need to do to stop the spread of virus variants. With global collaboration and rapid data sharing, human society would ultimately win the war against COVID-19. As the SARS-CoV-2 continues evolving and becoming more transmissible between humans and given that it raises serious health concerns worldwide, it is a matter of intense research nowadays. We still do not know whether different SARS-CoV-2 strains, resulting from genetic mutations or adaptive evolution, have affected the transmissibility, susceptibility, and severity of COVID-19 infection. Thus, a convincing knowledge of the SARS-CoV-2 characteristics, including pathogenic mechanism, viral mutation and genetic recombination, its interaction with the host immunopathological response, evolution and genetic diversity, transmission network, and any specific and effective treatment option, may provide a clearer biological understanding of how the virus infects some patients severely, while the majority of patients are only mildly symptomatic or even asymptomatic. This comprehension can also boost a scientist’s ability to successfully design an effective vaccine and take some efficient prophylactic and therapeutic measures, not only for 2019-nCoV but also for future outbreaks of similar coronaviruses.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ES and NK provided direction and guidance throughout the preparation of this manuscript. JA, HE, HH, HR, EA, and HN conducted the literature and drafted the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript, made significant revisions, and approved the final version of the manuscript draft.

FUNDING

The financial support for the current research to ES was provided by the Research Deputy of Kashan University of Medical Sciences (Grant no. 99070), Kashan, Iran.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank all our colleagues for their assistance.
