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ON THE REPRESENTATION OF FRIABLE INTEGERS BY LINEAR FORMS

ARMAND LACHAND

ABSTRACT. Let $P^+(n)$ denote the largest prime of the integer $n$. Using
the nilpotent Hardy-Littlewood method developed by Green and Tao,
we give an asymptotic formula for

$$\Psi_{F_1\ldots F_t} \left( \mathcal{K} \cap [-N, N]^d \cap N^{1/u} \right) := \# \left\{ n \in \mathcal{K} \cap [-N, N]^d : P^+(F_1(n)\ldots F_t(n)) \leq N^{1/u} \right\}$$

where $(F_1, \ldots, F_t)$ is a system of affine-linear forms of $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_d]$ no
two of which are affinely related and $\mathcal{K}$ is a convex body. This improves
upon Balog, Blomer, Dartyge and Tenenbaum’s work [1] in the case of
product of linear forms.

1. Introduction and statement of the result

Given a real number $y > 1$, an integer $n$ is said to be $y$-friable if its
greatest prime factor, denoted by $P^+(n)$, satisfies $P^+(n) \leq y$ with the
conventions $P^+(\pm 1) = 1$ and $P^+(0) = 0$. Conversely, an integer $n$ is called
$y$-sifted if its smallest prime factor, denoted by $P^-(n)$, satisfies $P^-(n) > y$
with the conventions $P^-(\pm 1) = +\infty$ and $P^-(0) = 0$. Due to the duality
between sifted integers and friable integers, such integers occur in several
places in number theory and their distribution has been intensively studied
(see [17] and [10] for survey articles related to integers without large prime
factors). A theorem of Hildebrand [16], related to the number $\Psi(N, y)$ of
$y$-friable integers smaller than $N$, asserts that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and uniformly
in the domain

$$(1.1) \quad N \geq 3 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq u \leq \frac{\log N}{(\log \log N)^{5/3+\varepsilon}},$$

we have the asymptotic formula

$$(1.2) \quad \Psi(N, N^{1/u}) = N\rho(u) \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{u \log(u+1)}{\log N} \right) \right)$$
where $\rho$ is the Dickman function, namely the unique solution to the delay differential equation
\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho(u) &= 1 \quad \text{if } 0 \leq u \leq 1, \\
u\rho'(u) + \rho(u - 1) &= 0 \quad \text{if } u > 1.
\end{aligned}
\]

Given $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_d]$ and $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the study of the cardinality
\[
\Psi_F(\mathcal{K}, y) := \# \{ n \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d : P^+(F(n)) \leq y \}
\]
is an interesting question. In particular, the factorization algorithm Number Field Sieve (NFS)\(^1\) rests on the assumption that the cardinality $\Psi_F(\mathcal{K}, y)$ is sufficiently large for some small $y$, for $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_2]$ and $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ a sufficiently regular compact set.

Let $F = F_1^{d_1} \cdots F_t^{d_t}$ be the decomposition of $F$ with $F_1, \ldots, F_t$ the distinct irreducible factors of $F$ and $d_1, \ldots, d_t$ their respective degrees with $d_1 \geq \ldots \geq d_t \geq 1$. If we assume the events "$F_i(n)$ is $y$-friable" to be independent, then (1.2) leads to the following conjecture
\[
(1.3) \quad \Psi_F([0, N]^d, N^{1/u}) \sim \frac{N^d \rho(d_1 u) \cdots \rho(d_t u)}{N^{1+\epsilon}}
\]
for any fixed $u > 0$.

When $d = 2$, the author proved the validity of (1.3) for an irreducible cubic form $F$ or for $F = F_1 F_2$ where $F_1$ is a linear form and $F_2$ is an irreducible quadratic form [18, 19]. For general binary forms $F$, such a formula seems beyond reach but there exist some partial results for estimating $\Psi_F([0, N]^2, N^{1/u})$ when $u$ is sufficiently small. In [1], Balog, Blomer, Darbyge and Tenenbaum proved the existence of a constant $\alpha_F > 1/d_1$ such that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and uniformly for $N \geq 2$, we have
\[
(1.4) \quad \Psi_F([0, N]^2, N^{1/\alpha_F+\varepsilon}) \gg N^2.
\]

Let $d \geq 2$ and $t \geq 1$ be integers. In this paper, we focus on binary forms $F = F_1 \cdots F_t$ where $F_1, \ldots, F_t$ are some affine-linear forms in $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_d]$. The cases $d = 2$ and $t \in \{1, 2\}$ can be deduced from results of [7] related to the distribution of friable integers in arithmetic progressions. The case $d = 2$ and $t = 3$ was essentially considered by a succession of articles of various authors ([3, 21, 4, 5, 6, 15]). In [[15], Corollary 1], Harper used the Hardy-Littlewood circle method to show the existence of $c > 0$ such that, uniformly for $N \geq 2$ and $y \geq (\log N)^c$, we have
\[
\Psi_{X_1 X_2(X_1+X_2)}(\mathcal{K}(N), y) \sim \frac{\mathcal{G}_0(\alpha, y) \mathcal{G}_1(\alpha) \Psi(N, y)^3}{N}
\]
\footnote{The interested reader may find a description of this algorithm in [[2], Chapter 6].}
where $\mathcal{K}(N) = \{1 \leq n_1, n_2 \leq N : n_1 + n_2 \leq N\}$, $\alpha := \alpha(N, y)$ denotes the unique real solution of the equation
\[
\sum_{p \leq y} \frac{\log p}{p^\alpha - 1} = \log N,
\]
\[
\mathcal{S}_0(\alpha, y) := \prod_{p \leq y} \left(1 + \frac{(p - p^\alpha)^2}{p(p - 1)^2(p^{\alpha - 1} - 1)}\right) \prod_{p > y} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p - 1)^2}\right)
\]
and
\[
\mathcal{S}_1(\alpha) := \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-t_1} \alpha^3(t_1 t_2(t_1 + t_2))^{\alpha - 1} dt_2 dt_1.
\]

The celebrated work of Green, Tao and Ziegler [11, 12, 13, 14] provides a scheme - the so-called nilpotent Hardy-Littlewood method - to get asymptotic estimations of the average value
\[
M_{F_1 \ldots F_t}(\mathcal{K}; h) := \sum_{n \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} h(F_1(n)) \cdot \ldots \cdot h(F_t(n))
\]
for any system of affine-linear forms $F_1, \ldots, F_t \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_d]$ such that no two forms are affinely related and for any arithmetic function $h$ with a quasi-random behaviour. In recent years, this approach has been applied successfully for several functions including the von Mangoldt functions $\Lambda$ (this gives a partial resolution of the generalized Hardy-Littlewood conjecture [11]), the Liouville function $\lambda$ or the M"obius function $\mu$ [11], the divisor function $\tau$ [23], the function $r_G$ which counts the number of representations of a binary quadratic form $G$ [24, 25] or, very recently, any multiplicative function that takes values in the unit disk [8].

In this work, we study how the nilpotent Hardy-Littlewood method may be applied to get an asymptotic formula for (1.5) when $h = 1_{S(N^{1/u})}$ is the indicator function of the $N^{1/u}$-friable integers for bounded $u \geq 1$. Such a question is not covered by Frantzikinakis and Host work [8] since $h$ depends on $N$ in the present case. The main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $N, L, d, t$ and $u_0$ be some positive integers. Suppose that $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_t) : \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{Z}^t$ is a system of affine-linear forms such that any two forms $F_i$ and $F_j$ are affinely independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ and the non-constant coefficients of the $F_i$ are bounded by $L$. Then, for any convex body $\mathcal{K} \subset [-N, N]^d$ such that $F(\mathcal{K}) \subset [0, N]^t$ and for any $u_1, \ldots, u_t \in [0, u_0]$, we have
\[
\sum_{n \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} 1_{S(N^{1/u_1})}(F_1(n)) \cdot \ldots \cdot 1_{S(N^{1/u_t})}(F_t(n)) = \text{Vol}(\mathcal{K}) \prod_{i=1}^t \rho(u_i) + o(N^d)
\]
where the implicit constant depends only on \( t, d, L \) and \( u_0 \) and \( S(y) \) denotes the set of \( y \)-friable integers.

As regards the result of Balog et al. [1], we get essentially two major improvements on their works in the case of linear forms:

- Theorem 1.1 gives an asymptotic equivalent which is consistent with the conjectural formula (1.3) whereas (1.4) only gives a lower bound,
- when \( t \geq 4 \), Formula (1.4) is valid with \( \alpha_F = 1 + \frac{2}{t^2} \) while Theorem 1.1 shows that we can choose any positive real number for \( \alpha_F \).

Outline and perspectives

In its primitive form, the nilpotent Hardy-Littlewood method is concerned with arithmetic functions \( h \) which are equidistributed in residue classes of small moduli and supported on a set of integers with positive asymptotic density. For such functions, the problem is reduced to show that \( h \) is suitably Gowers-uniform to deduce asymptotics for \( M_{F_1 \ldots F_t}(\mathcal{K}; h) \) (see the description of the method in Section 2).

In many applications, the function \( h \) may not satisfy the two previous conditions. The method developed in [11, 23, 24] to overcome this difficulties consists in two steps:

- the decomposition of \( h \) into a sum of functions which are equidistributed in residue classes of small moduli (\( W \)-trick, see [[11], Section 5]),
- the construction of a pseudorandom measure \( \nu \) dominating \( h \) in view to apply a transference principle (see [[11], Section 10]).

For bounded \( u \geq 1 \), the set of \( N^{1/u} \)-friable integers has positive density \( \rho(u) \) and is well-behaved in arithmetic progressions of small common difference (see the work of Fouvry and Tenenbaum [7]). In particular, the problem may be directly handled by using the nilpotent Hardy-Littlewood method and showing that \( h \) has small Gowers-uniformity norms. This may be viewed as an application of the impressive results of Matthiesen [22] related to the orthogonality between multiplicative functions and nilsequences. In the Section 3 of the present paper, we develop a more direct and simple approach to study the linear correlations of the friable integers.

It would be interesting to prove Formula (1.3) for unbounded parameters \( u \). In this case, the sequence of friable integers is too sparse to directly apply Green-Tao-Ziegler’s work. A major step to get this generalization would be to construct a pseudorandom majorant for \( 1_{S(N^{1/u})} \).
2. A brief description of the nilpotent Hardy-Littlewood method

In this section, we recall two important arguments of the nilpotent Hardy-Littlewood method. The generalized von Neumann theorem – due to Gowers [9] and Green-Tao [11] – reduces the estimation of $M_{F_1\ldots F_t}(K; h)$ defined in (1.5) to the study of the Gowers uniformity norm $\|h\|_{U^{t-1}[N]}$ (see [[11], Appendix B] for a definition of Gowers norm).

**Theorem A** ([11], Proposition 7.1). Let $t, d, L \geq 1$ be some integers. Suppose that $h_1, \ldots, h_t : [0, N] \to \mathbb{R}$ are functions bounded by 1 and that $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_t) : \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{Z}^t$ is a system of affine-linear forms whose non-constant coefficients are bounded by $L$ and such that any two forms $F_i$ and $F_j$ are affinely independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $K \subset [-N,N]^d$ be a convex body such that $F(K) \subset [0,N]^t$. Suppose also that

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq t} \|h_i\|_{U^{t-1}[N]} \leq \delta$$

for some $\delta > 0$. Then we have

$$\sum_{n \in K} \prod_{i=1}^t h_i(F_i(n)) = o_\delta(N^d) + \kappa(\delta)N^d$$

where $\kappa(\delta) \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$.

**Proof.** Let $(e_1, \ldots, e_d)$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and fix $n = n_1 e_1 + \cdots + n_d e_d \in K$. Then we have

$$|F_i(0)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^d |n_i| L + |F_i(n)| \leq (dL + 1)N$$

because $F(n) \in [0,N]^t$ and $K \subset [0,N]^d$. With the definition (1.1) of the norm $\|\cdot\|_N$ of [11], we therefore have $\|F\|_N \ll_{d,t} L$ and the Proposition 7.1 of [11] can be used to get the result. \[\square\]

The inverse theorem for the Gowers norms, proved by Green, Tao and Ziegler [14], exhibits the link between linear correlations and polynomial nilsequences. The reader may refer to [13] for definitions and properties of filtered nilmanifolds and polynomial nilsequences.

**Theorem B** ([14], Theorem 1.3). Let $s \geq 0$ be an integer and let $\delta \in ]0,1]$. Then there exists a finite collection $\mathcal{M}_{s,\delta}$ of $s$-step nilmanifolds $G/\Gamma$, each equipped with some smooth Riemannian metric $d_{G/\Gamma}$, as well as positive constants $C(s,\delta)$ and $c(s,\delta)$ with the following property. Whenever $N \geq 1$ and $h : [0,N] \cap \mathbb{Z} \to [-1,1]$ is a function such that

$$\|h\|_{U^{s+1}[N]} \geq \delta,$$
there exists a filtered nilmanifold \( G/\Gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{s,\delta} \), a function \( F : G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{C} \) bounded in magnitude by 1 and with Lipschitz constant at most \( C(s,\delta) \) with respect to the metric \( d_{G/\Gamma} \) and a polynomial nilsequence \( g : \mathbb{Z} \to G \) such that

\[
\left| \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N} h(n) F(g(n)\Gamma) \right| \geq c(s,\delta)N.
\]

We describe now the application of the Green-Tao method to the functions \( 1_{S(N^{1/u_i})} \). For any parameter of friability \( N^{1/u_i} \), we consider the balanced function

\[
h_i : N \to [-1,1], \quad n \mapsto 1_{S(N^{1/u_i})}(n) - \rho(u_i).
\]

By writing \( 1_{S(N^{1/u_i})}(n) = h_i(n) + \rho(u_i) \) and using the bound \( \rho(u_i) \leq 1 \), it follows that

\[
\left| \Psi_{F_1 \ldots F_t}(K, N^{1/u}) - \text{Vol}(K) \prod_{i=1}^t \rho(u_i) \right| \leq \sum_{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,t\}, I \neq \emptyset} \left| \sum_{n \in K \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \prod_{i \in I} h_i(F_i(n)) \right| + O_d(N^{d-1}).
\]

In view of the inverse theorem, the problem is reduced to prove that, for any \( i \in \{1,\ldots,t\} \), the function \( h_i \) does not correlate with nilsequences, namely that the upper bound

\[
\sum_{n \leq N} h_i(n) F(g(n)\Gamma) = o(N)
\]

holds for any \((t-2)\)-steps nilsequences \( F(g(n)\Gamma) \).

3. Non-correlation with nilsequences

Let \( s \geq 0, u_0 \geq 1 \) be some integers and let \((G/\Gamma,G_N)\) be a filtered nilmanifold of degree \( s \). In this section, we show that for any 1-bounded Lipschitz function \( F : G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{C} \), any polynomial nilsequence \( g : \mathbb{Z} \to G \) adapted to \( G_N \), \( 1 \leq u \leq u_0 \) and \( N \geq 1 \), we have

\[
\sum_{n \leq N} h(n) F(g(n)\Gamma) = o \left( N \left( 1 + \|F\|_{\text{Lip},d_{G/\Gamma}} \right) \right)
\]

where \( h(n) := 1_{S(N^{1/u})}(n) - \rho(u) \) and the implicit term \( o(\cdot) \) only depends on \( G/\Gamma \) and \( u_0 \). In view of Theorems A and B, this will imply Theorem 1.1.

In [22], Mathiesen develop a method to bound the correlations of a multiplicative function with polynomial nilsequences, under some density and growth conditions and some hypothesis of control of the second moment. Its approach mix the Montgomery-Vaughan method [26], the factorisation theorem for polynomial sequences from Green-Tao [13] and the fact that
the \( W \)-tricked von Mangoldt function is orthogonal to nilsequences [11]. Its main result [[22], Theorem 5.1] may be applied directly to the multiplicative function \( 1_{S(N^{1/u})}(n) \) to get (3.1), once we have checked it satisfies the assumptions required. In the case of the indicator of friable integers and for any \( E \geq 1 \), the various hypothesis which defined the set \( \mathcal{F}_1(E) \) of [22] can be essentially deduced from the estimation

\[
\sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} 1_{S(N^{1/u})}(n) \sim \frac{N}{q} \rho(u) \quad \text{as } N \to \infty
\]

which holds uniformly for \( 1 \leq a, q \leq (\log N)^E \) (see [7]).

In the rest of this paper, we give a direct and simple method to establish (3.1), with a different focus from [22]. The starting point is the Möbius inversion formula in the following form

\[
1_{S(N^{1/u})}(n) = \sum_{P^-(k) > N^{1/u}} \mu(k) 1_{k \mid n}.
\]

We approximate the indicator \( 1_{k \mid n} \) by its mean value \( \frac{1}{k} \) for \( k \leq N^{1-\tau} \) where the parameter \( \tau = o(1) \in [1/\log N, 1] \) will be chosen later. One can write

\[
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left( 1_{S(N^{1/u})}(n) - \rho(u) \right) F(g(n)\Gamma) = \Sigma_1(F, g) + \Sigma_2(F, g)
\]

where

\[
\Sigma_1(F, g) := \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} h_\tau(n) F(g(n)\Gamma) \quad \text{with} \quad h_\tau(n) = \sum_{\substack{k \leq N^{1-\tau} \\ P^-(k) > N^{1/u}}} \mu(k) \left( 1_{k \mid n} - \frac{1}{k} \right)
\]

and

\[
\Sigma_2(F, g) := \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left( \sum_{\substack{k > N^{1-\tau} \\ P^-(k) > N^{1/u}}} \mu(k) 1_{k \mid n} + \sum_{\substack{k \leq N^{1-\tau} \\ P^-(k) > N^{1/u}}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} - \rho(u) \right) F(g(n)\Gamma).
\]

In the definition of the function \( h_\tau \), the summation is restricted over the divisors \( k \leq N^{1-\tau} \) since the contribution from the interval \( N^{1-\tau} < k \leq N \) is negligible (see (3.5) below).
First, we focus on \( \Sigma_2(F, g) \). In view of the following series of estimations, valid whenever \( \tau u < 1 \),

\[
\sum_{N^{1-r} < k \leq N} \frac{\mu^2(k)}{k} \ll \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{N^{1/u} < p_2 < \cdots < p_j \leq N} \frac{1}{p_2 \cdots p_j} \sum_{\max \left( \frac{N^{1/u}, N^{1-r}}{p_2 \cdots p_j} \right) \leq p_i \leq \frac{N}{p_2 \cdots p_j}} \frac{1}{p_i} \\
\ll \tau u \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \left( \sum_{N^{1/u} < p \leq N} \frac{1}{p} \right)^{j-1} \ll \tau u^2,
\]

we have the upper bound

\[
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \sum_{k \geq N^{1-r}} \mu^2(k) 1_{k|n} \ll \tau u^2 N.
\]

On the other hand, one can handle the sum over \( k \leq N^{1-r} \) in \( \Sigma_2(F, g) \) by using [[20], Formula (1.5)] which states that the formula

\[
\sum_{k \leq N} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} = \rho(u) \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{u \log(u+1)}{\log N} \right) \right)
\]

holds for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) and uniformly for \( x \geq 2 \) and \( 1 \leq u \leq (\log x)^{3/8-\epsilon} \). Finally, (3.3) and (3.4) yield that

\[
\Sigma_2(F, g) \ll u N \left( \tau u + \frac{\rho(u) \log(u+1)}{\log N} \right).
\]

In view of the foregoing, it remains to obtain an upper bound for \( \Sigma_1(F, g) \). This is the subject of the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( m, s \geq 1 \) be some integers and let \( A > 0 \) be a real number. There exists a constant \( c(m, s, A) > 0 \) with the following property. Whenever \( Q, N \geq 2 \) are integers, \( \tau \in \]0, 1/2[ \) and \( u \geq 1 \) are such that \( \min(N^\tau, N^{1/u}) \geq (\log N)^{c(m, s, A)} \), \((G/\Gamma, G_N)\) is a filtered nilmanifold of degree \( s \) and dimension \( m \), \( \mathcal{X} \) is a \( Q \)-rational Mal’cev basis\(^2\) of \((G/\Gamma, G_N)\), \( g : \mathbb{Z} \to G/\Gamma \) is a polynomial nilsequence adapted to \( G_N \) and \( F : G/\Gamma \to [-1, 1] \) is a Lipschitz function, then we have

\[
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} h_r(n) F(g(n) \Gamma) \leq N Q^{c(m, s, A)} (1 + \|F\|_{\text{Lip}, \mathcal{X}}) 2^u (\log N)^{-A}.
\]

\(^2\)The notion of \( Q \)-rational Mal’cev basis is introduced in [[13], Definitions 2.1 and 2.4] as a specific basis of the Lie algebra \( g \) of \( G \).
Recall that the smooth Riemannian metric $d_{G/\Gamma}$ of Proposition B is equivalent to the metric $d_X$ (see the 4th footnote and Definition 2.2 of [13]). With the choice $\tau = \frac{(\log \log N)^{1+\varepsilon}}{\log N}$, it follows from the estimations (3.5) and (3.6) that the upper bound

$$\sum_{n \leq N} h(n) F(g(n)\Gamma) = o\left(N \rho(u) \left(1 + \|F\|_{\text{Lip},d_{G/\Gamma}}\right)\right)$$

holds for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and uniformly for $1 \leq u \leq (\log \log N)^{1-\varepsilon}$. This implies (3.1) since $1 \leq u \leq u_0$ is contained in this region for any $u_0$ which does not depend on $N$.

The rest of the article is devoted to the proof of Proposition 3.1. The argument follows essentially the proofs of [[12], Theorem 1.1] and [23], Theorem 9.1] and we only outline the major differences. A key point in the proof consists in reducing the problem to establish the formula (3.6) in the case of totally equidistributed polynomial nilsequence $g$, i.e. such that $|P|^{-1} \sum_{n \in P} F(g(n)\Gamma)$ tends to $\int_{G/\Gamma} F$ as $P$ is a subprogression such that $|P| \to +\infty$.

After this reduction, it will be possible to use the following analogue of [[12], Proposition 2.1] and [23], Proposition 9.2].

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $m, s$ be some positive integers. There exist some constants $c_0(m,s), c_1(m,s) > 0$ with the following property. Whenever $Q \geq 2$, $N \geq 2$ and $\delta \in ]0,1/2[$ such that $\delta^{-c_0(m,s)} \leq N^{\tau}$, $P \subset \{1, \ldots, N\}$ is an arithmetic progression of size at least $\delta N/Q$, $(G/\Gamma, G_N)$ is a filtered nilmanifold of degree $s$ and dimension $m$, $X$ is a $Q$-rational Mal’cev basis of $(G/\Gamma, G_N)$, $g : \mathbb{Z} \to G/\Gamma$ is a polynomial and $\delta$-totally equidistributed nilsequence

adapted to $G_N$ and $F : G/\Gamma \to [-1,1]$ is a Lipschitz function such that $\int_{G/\Gamma} F = 0$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq N} h_{\tau}(n) 1_P(n) F(g(n)\Gamma) \right| \ll \delta^{c_1(m,s)} \|F\|_{\text{Lip},X} QN (2^u + \log N).$$

**Proof that Proposition 3.2 implies Proposition 3.1.** Following some ideas of [12], we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\|F\|_{\text{Lip},X} = 1$ and $Q \leq \log N$. Let $B > 0$ be a parameter to be specified at the end of the proof. Applying Theorem 1.19 of [13], there exists an integer $M$ satisfying

\[ A sequence \ (g(n)\Gamma)_{n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}} \ is \ \delta\text{-totally \ equidistributed \ if \ we \ have} \]
\[ \left| \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{n \in P} F(g(n)\Gamma) \right| \leq \delta \|F\| \]

for all Lipschitz function $F : G/\Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\int_{G/\Gamma} F = 0$ and all arithmetic progressions $P \subset \{1, \ldots, N\}$ of size at least $\delta N$. \[ \]
log \( N \leq M \leq (\log N)^{c(m,s,B)} \) such that we can write the decomposition 
\( g = \varepsilon g' \gamma \) where

1. \( \varepsilon \in \text{poly}(\mathbb{Z}, G_N) \) is \((M,N)\)-smooth (see [[13], Definition 1.18]),
2. \( g' \in \text{poly}(\mathbb{Z}, G_N) \) takes values in a rational subgroup \( G' \subseteq G \) with Mal’cev basis \( \mathcal{X}' \) and \( (g'(n))_{n \leq N} \) is \( M^{-B} \)-totally equidistributed in \( G'/\langle G' \cap \Gamma \rangle \) for the metric \( d_{\mathcal{X}} \) (see [[13], Definition 1.10]),
3. \( \gamma \in \text{poly}(\mathbb{Z}, G_N) \) is periodic of period \( q \leq M \) and \( \gamma(n) \) is \( M \)-rational for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) (see [[13], Definition 1.17]).

Next, we reproduce the arguments of Green and Tao based on partitioning and pigeonholing and we use the properties of periodicity and smoothness of \( \gamma \) and \( \varepsilon \). In this way, the problem is reduced to show that

\[
(3.7) \quad \left| \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} h_r(n) 1_P(n) F'(g'(n) \Gamma') \right| \ll 2^u N / (M^2 (\log N)^{2A})
\]

where \( P \) is a subprogression such that \( |P| \geq \frac{N}{2M^2 (\log N)^{2A}} \), \((G'/\Gamma', G'_N)\) is a \( m \)-dimensional nilmanifold of degree \( s \) with \( M^{C_1(m,s)} \)-rational Mal’cev basis \( \mathcal{X}' \), \( F' : G'/\Gamma' \to [-1,1] \) is a Lipschitz function such that \( \|F'\|_{\text{Lip}, \mathcal{X}'} \leq M^{C_1(m,s)} \) and \( g' \in \text{poly}(\mathbb{Z}, G'_N) \) is \( M^{-C_2(m,s)B + C_1(m,s)} \)-totally equidistributed, for some constants \( C_1(m,s), C_2(m,s) > 0 \).

If we suppose that \( \int_{G'/\Gamma'} F' = 0 \), then we can apply Proposition 3.2 to the sequence \( g' \), with \( M^{C_1(m,s)} \) (resp. \( M^{-C_2(m,s)B + C_1(m,s)} \)) as parameter of rationality (resp. totally equidistribution). Taking \( B, C_1(m,s) \) and \( c(m,s,A) \) sufficiently large, the hypothesis on the size of \( P \) and \( \delta \) are satisfied and we get \((3.7)\).

We can reduce to this last case by writing \( F' = (F' - \int_{G'/\Gamma'} F') + \int_{G'/\Gamma'} F' \). Indeed, we can observe that \( \int_{G'/\Gamma'} F' \) is bounded by \( 1 \) and, since the common difference \( q \) of \( P \) satisfies \( q < N^{1/u} \), then we get some multiplicative independence, when \( P^{-}(k) > N^{1/u} \):

\[
\left| \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left( 1_{k|n} - \frac{1}{k} \right) 1_P(n) \right| \leq 1.
\]

We deduce the major arc estimate

\[
\left| \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} h_r(n) 1_P(n) \int_{G'/\Gamma'} F' \right| \leq \sum_{k \leq N^{1-\tau}} \left| \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left( 1_{k|n} - \frac{1}{k} \right) 1_P(n) \right| \leq \left| \{ k \leq N^{1-\tau} : P^{-}(k) > N^{1/u} \} \right| \ll \frac{N^{1-\tau}}{\log N}.
\]
which implies (3.7) under the condition $N^\tau \geq (\log N)^{c(m,s,A)}$.

\textit{Proof of Proposition 3.2.} We essentially follow the proof of Proposition 9.2 of [23] and we suppose that $\|F\|_{\text{Lip},X} = 1$ and $Q \leq \delta^{-c_1(m,s)}$. For $T \in [0,1/2]$ and $j \geq 1$, we define $S_j(T)$ as the set of the integers $k$ satisfying

$$\left| \sum_{2^j/k < n \leq 2^{j+1}/k} 1_{P(kn)} F(g(kn)\Gamma) \right| > T \frac{2^j}{k}.$$ 

From the estimation

$$\sum_{k \leq N, P^-(k) > N^{1/u}} \frac{\mu^2(k)}{k} \ll \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{j!} \left( \sum_{N^{1/u} < p \leq N} \frac{1}{p} \right)^j \ll u$$

and the trivial bound $|\{k|n: P^-(k) > N^{1/u}\}| \leq 2^u$ valid whenever $n \leq N$, we can see that $h_\tau(n) \ll 2^u$. It follows that

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq N^{1-\tau/2}} h_\tau(n) 1_{P(n)} F(g(n)\Gamma) \right| \ll N^{1-\tau/2} 2^u$$

and therefore we concentrate on the integers $n > N^{1-\tau/2}$.

Since the nilsequence $(g(n)\Gamma)_{n \in \{1,\ldots,N\}}$ is $\delta$-totally equidistributed, the contribution from the part $\sum_{k} \frac{\mu(k)}{k}$ of $h_\tau$ can be handled by observing that we have

$$\sum_{k \leq N^{1-\tau}, P^-(k) > N^{1/u}} \frac{\mu^2(k)}{k} \sum_{N^{1-\tau/2} \leq n \leq N} 1_{P(n)} F(g(n)\Gamma) \ll u \delta N.$$

For the remaining terms $\sum_k \mu(k) 1_{k|n}$ of $h_\tau$, we follow the proof of Proposition 9.2 of [23]. We make a dyadic splitting over the variables $k$ and $n$ and we drop off the condition $P^-(k) > N^{1/u}$:

$$\left| \sum_{k \leq N^{1-\tau}} 1_{N^{1-\tau/2} < k < n \leq N/k} 1_{P(kn)} F(g(kn)\Gamma) \right|$$

$$\ll \sum_{2^i \leq N^{1-\tau}} \sum_{N^{1-\tau/2} / 2^i \leq 2^i \leq N} 2^i \left( \sum_{2^i \leq k < 2^{i+1}} \frac{T}{k} + \sum_{2^i \leq k < 2^{i+1}, k \in S_j(T)} \frac{1}{k} \right)$$

$$\ll \sum_{N^{1-\tau/2} / 2^i \leq 2^i \leq N} 2^i \left( T \log N + \sum_{2^i \leq N^{1-\tau}} \frac{1}{2^i} \#(S_j(T) \cap [2^i, 2^{i+1}]) \right).$$
Put $T := \delta c_1(m, s) \leq Q^{-1}$ for a constant $c_1(m, s) > 0$ sufficiently small. In the previous sum, the contribution of the range $\frac{N^{1-\tau}}{2} \leq 2^j \leq TN$ is negligible and may be bounded by the trivial inequality.

The rest of the proof consists in showing that, if $K \leq N^{1-\tau}$, then we have

$$(3.8) \quad \# (S_j(T) \cap [K, 2K]) \leq TK$$

whenever $TN \leq 2^j \leq N$.

The estimate (3.8) is the analogue of [[23], Lemma 9.3] under the constraint $K \leq N^{1-\tau}$ rather than $K \leq N^{1/2}$ and in the special case $W = 1$ and $b = 0$. To achieve this, we follow the discussion of Type I case of [[12], Part 3] and we suppose for contradiction that (3.8) does not hold for some $K \leq N^{1-\tau}$ and $TN \leq 2^j \leq N$. By reproducing their arguments, we observe the existence of a non-trivial horizontal character $\psi$ with magnitude $0 < |\psi| \leq T - c_2(m, s)$ such that, for any $r \geq 1$ and for at least $T^{-c_2(m, s)}K$ values of $k$, we have

$$\| \partial^r (\psi \circ g)(0) \|_{R/Z} \leq T - c_2(m, s) (K/2j)^r$$

where $g_k(n) = g(kn)$, which is the analogue of the formula (3.7) of [12].

By Lemma 3.2 and 3.3 of [12] – consequences of Waring’s theorem – it follows that there exists an integer $q \ll 1$ and at least $T^{-c_3(m, s)}K^r$ integers $l \leq 10^sK^r$ such that

$$\| g|_{R/Z} \| \leq T^{-c_3(m, s)} (K/2j)^r$$

where the $\beta_r$’s are defined by

$$(3.9) \quad \psi \circ g(n) = \beta_s n^s + \cdots + \beta_0.$$  

To deduce some diophantine information about the $\beta_r$’s, we invoke Lemma 3.2 of [13] in an analogous way as [12] after checking that the hypothesis are satisfied. It suffices to see that $r \geq 1$ and $\frac{T^{-c_3(m, s)}}{10^s} \gg N^{-r} \geq (\frac{N}{2j})^r$ if the constant $c_1(m, s)$ is chosen sufficiently small. It results that there exists $q' \leq T^{-c_4(m, s)}$ such that

$$\| q' \|_{R/Z} \leq T^{-c_4(m, s)} 2^{-rj}$$

for any integer $r \geq 1$. By the definition (3.9), we get the existence of $c_5(m, s) > 0$ sufficiently large such that $q' \leq T^{-c_5(m, s)}$ and

$$(3.10) \quad \| q'(\psi \circ g)(n) \|_{R/Z} \leq 1/10$$

for any $n \leq T^{-c_5(m, s)} 2^j$.  

Let $\eta : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ be a Lipschitz function of norm $O(1)$, mean value zero, and equal to 1 on $[-1/10, 1/10]$ so that

$$\int_{G/\Gamma} \eta \circ (q' \psi) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\eta \circ (q' \psi)\|_{\text{Lip}, X} \leq T^{-c_5(m,s)}.$$

It follows from (3.10) that we have

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq T^{c_5(m,s)} 2^j} \eta(q' \psi(g(n)\Gamma)) \right| \geq T^{c_5(m,s)} 2^j > \delta \|\eta \circ (q' \psi)\|_{\text{Lip}, X} T^{c_5(m,s)} 2^j$$

whenever $c_1(m,s)$ is sufficiently small. This contradicts the hypothesis that $(g(n))_{n \leq N}$ is $\delta$-totally equidistributed, the set of integers less than $T^{c_5(m,s)} 2^j$ being an arithmetic progression of size at least $\delta N$ whenever $c_1(m,s)$ is sufficiently small since $2^j \geq T N$. \qed
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