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ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: The rise in cesarean deliveries, has led to increase in maternal complications in subsequent pregnancies such as abnormal placental implantation, uterine rupture, hemorrhage and, less commonly, cesarean scar pregnancies (CSP). Our objective was to describe patient characteristics following a combined medical and surgical treatment approach to first trimester cesarean scar pregnancies.

Methods: This was a case series approved by the Institutional Review Board of cesarean scar pregnancies over a two-year period at a single academic institution. The study included five patients with diagnosed cesarean scar pregnancies opting for pregnancy termination with the desire for fertility preservation. Medical treatment involved intra-gestational sac injection of lidocaine followed by systemic injection of methotrexate. At a minimum of two months later, surgical resection of cesarean scar pregnancy and repair of the uterus was performed.

Results: Median patient age was 36 (range 34–42) years, with 4 (3–10) prior pregnancies and 2 (1–3) prior cesarean deliveries. 40% (2/5) were Hispanic, 20% (1/5) Caucasian, 20% (1/5) African-American, and 20% (1/5) South Asian. After medical intervention, patients waited on average 4.6 ± 2.3 months before surgery. No post-intervention complications or recurrences occurred. Two patients had a subsequent pregnancy.

Conclusion: This case series demonstrates an ideal management of cesarean scar pregnancy using combined medical and surgical approach in treating current ectopic pregnancy and repairing the uterine defect successfully without recurrence.
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INTRODUCTION

Cesarean deliveries currently represent approximately 32% of deliveries in the United States and have increased 60% since 1996.1 With this rise in cesarean deliveries, there has been an increase in maternal complications in subsequent pregnancies such as abnormal placental implantation, uterine rupture, hemorrhage and, less commonly, cesarean scar pregnancies (CSP).2 A hysterotomy at the time of a cesarean delivery may potentially leave a uterine defect, or “niche”, with a reported prevalence of 24–70% after one cesarean delivery.3

A cesarean scar pregnancy is formed upon embryo implantation in the niche, followed by invasion of the placental tissue within, and often through, the myometrial walls. The current incidence of cesarean scar pregnancies is between 1/1800 to 1/2000 pregnancies, representing about 6% of ectopic pregnancies.4,5 Scar pregnancies are often misdiagnosed and mistaken for cervical ectopic pregnancy or threatened abortions5 and are associated with significant maternal morbidity including uterine rupture, hemorrhage, and death.5

Early diagnosis and treatment of the condition is essential. However, the “gold standard” modality for treatment of scar pregnancies is yet to be established. Since its original diagnosis in 1978, suggested medical treatments include expectant management, systemic and local injection of
methotrexate (MTX), ultrasound guided aspiration or injection of abortifacients, and uterine artery emboliza-
tion.\textsuperscript{4,5,7,8} Surgical options include dilation and curet-
tage, hysteroscopy, open or laparoscopic resection and
hysterectomy.\textsuperscript{4,6,9,10} A review of 112 cases of scar preg-
nancies demonstrated that surgical wedge resection
with repair of uterus had the highest success rate in
comparison with D&C, which was associated with high
maternal morbidity.\textsuperscript{5} The first laparoscopic repair of
uterine scar defect (niche or uteroperitoneal or isthmo-
cele) was reported in 2003.\textsuperscript{11,12} Our objective was to
describe patient characteristics following a combined
medical and surgical treatment approach to first trimes-
ter cesarean scar pregnancies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This case series was approved by the Institutional Review
board, and cesarean scar pregnancies were diagnosed at a
single academic institution and treated using a protocol of
combined medical and surgical approaches as described
below. Cases were collected over a span of two years
from 2016 to 2018. Patients were diagnosed by positive
serum beta human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) and
first trimester ultrasonography identifying an intrauterine
pregnancy in the location of an anterior lower uterine seg-
ment scar with the following sonographic signs\textsuperscript{4,5,13}: loss
of myometrial wall thickness surrounding the gestational
sac (Figure 1), low implantation of the gestational sac with
loss of myometrial wall thickness anteriorly. (Figure 1)
low implantation of gestational sac, above the internal
cervical os. (Figure 2), empty uterine fundus (Figure 3), and
Doppler evidence of vascular invasion into the sur-
rounding myometrium. (Figure 4).

Upon diagnosis of cesarean scar pregnancy, patients were
counseled regarding their options including medical, sur-
gical, and expectant management in addition to risks and
benefits of continuation of pregnancy. All patients opted
for pregnancy termination with the desire for fertility pres-
servation. Treatment began with transabdominal sono-
graphic guided intra-gestational sac injection of 1 or 2%
lidocaine depending on availability, 5 – 10 cc, until cardiac

\textbf{Figure 1.} Low implantation of the gestational sac with loss of
myometrial wall thickness anteriorly.

\textbf{Figure 2.} Low implantation of gestational sac, above the internal
 cervical os.

\textbf{Figure 3.} Cross-hair lines indicating an empty fundal cavity with
gestational sac implanted in lower uterus.

\textbf{Figure 4.} Doppler evidence of vascular invasion into the surrounding
myometrium.
activity ceased (Figure 5). This was immediately followed by a single systemic intramuscular injection of 50 mg/m² methotrexate. Patients were monitored outpatient with serial beta hCG levels to ensure continued downward trend with no plateau. Once medical therapy was completed, patients were advised to wait a minimum of 2 months prior to undergoing laparoscopic resection of the cesarean scar pregnancy with repair of uterine defect. During this time patients were advised to use barrier contraception. Prior to surgery, all patients had sonographically documented persistent products of conceptions within the uterine niche.

The following describes our technique using robotic assisted laparoscopic resection of residual products of conception and repair of uterine defect in the treatment of cesarean scar pregnancies. Surgeries were completed on an outpatient basis under general endotracheal anesthesia after appropriate consents were obtained. Video hysteroscopy was performed to visualize the defect and identify the location of the pregnancy. Once identified, attempts were made to remove as much products of conception as possible with the resectoscope loop without energy (Figure 6). This was done to be able to better evaluate the uterine cavity. A HUMI® uterine manipulator (Copper

Figure 5. Initial treatment with sonographic mapping for ultrasound guided transabdominal intra-gestational sac injection of lidocaine.

Figure 6. Hysteroscopic view of uterine cavity with retained products and use of cold loop electrode.

Figure 7. Laparoscopic view of anterior uterus prior to revision prior to lysis of adhesions.

Figure 8. Laparoscopic view of uterus after resection of cesarean ectopic pregnancy with well vascularized edges.
Surgical, Trumbull, CT) was then placed. Exploratory laparoscopy was then performed using the da Vinci XI (Intuitive, Sayville, CA) robotic platform. Three robotic 8-mm ports were placed at the level of umbilicus for entry of the telescope, electrosurgical scissors, and bipolar forceps. One 12-mm assist trocar was placed in the right lower abdomen for introducing suture material and removal of specimen. The procedure started with lysis of any adhesions between the uterus and anterior abdominal wall (Figure 7). At this point, a bladder flap was developed using a combination of sharp and blunt dissection. To decrease the risk of bladder injury, the anterior leaf of the broad ligament was opened bilaterally, and entry was made into the “New Space”, as previously described by Nezhat et al. To identify the isthmocele margins, the fibrotic edge was removed, and well vascularized tissue was identified. Indocyanine green dye was injected intravenously and the robotic firefly feature was used to confirm the vascularity of the tissues periodically. Monopolar robotic scissors were used to resect the protruding pregnancy from the uterine defect. The specimen was then confined to a laparoscopic bag and sent to pathology. The edges of the uterine defect were further resected using sharp dissection to achieve a fresh vascular plane for optimal healing (Figure 8). The uterine defect was then repaired transversely using a two-layer closure with #0 barbed suture in a continuous non-locked fashion, avoiding the placement of intracavitary sutures (Figure 9). Chromopertubation was performed to ensure a watertight repair and patency of the fallopian tubes. All surgeries were performed endoscopically without conversion to laparotomy. Blood loss was minimal, and all patients were discharged home the same day.

All medical and surgical interventions were performed by one maternal-fetal medicine specialist (MC) and one advanced gynecologic laparoscopic surgeon (FN), respectively. Patients’ charts were reviewed for demographic, obstetric, medical, and surgical history. Descriptive statistics were used to evaluate data with mean ± SD for normally distributed continuous data and median (range) for not normally distributed data.

RESULTS

Five cases were diagnosed with cesarean scar pregnancies and treated using an institutional protocol of combined medical and surgical approaches during the study period. After counseling, all patients opted for pregnancy termination with the desire for fertility preservation. The median age of the patient was 36 (range 34–42) years. The median number of prior pregnancies was 4 (range 3–10) and number of prior cesarean sections was 2 (range 1–3). Forty percent (2/5) of the patients were Hispanic, 20% (1/5) Caucasian, 20% (1/5) African American, and 20% (1/5) Portuguese.

Table 1.
Characteristics of Patients Undergoing Combined Medical and Surgical Treatment of Cesarean Scar Pregnancy

| ID | Age | Race            | G | Prior CD | Prior CSP | Medical Treatment | Gestational Age at Medical Management* | Interval Months | Subsequent Pregnancy |
|----|-----|-----------------|---|----------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 1  | 42  | Asian           | 6 | 3        | 1         | 5 cc of 2% lidocaine | 6.1                                   | 2              | No                  |
| 2  | 40  | African American| 10| 1        | 0         | 10 cc of 2% lidocaine | 8.1                                   | 2              | No                  |
| 3  | 36  | Hispanic        | 4 | 3        | 0         | 5 cc of 2% lidocaine | 8.2                                   | 6              | No                  |
| 4  | 34  | White           | 3 | 1        | 0         | 5 cc of 1% lidocaine | 7.3                                   | 5              | Yes                 |
| 5  | 34  | Hispanic        | 4 | 2        | 0         | 5 cc of 1% lidocaine | 6.5                                   | 8              | Yes                 |

G, gravidity; CD, cesarean delivery; CSP, cesarean scar pregnancy. *Gestational age expressed in weeks and days.
South Asian. After medical intervention with intra-sac lidocaine and systemic methotrexate, patients waited a mean duration of 4.6 ± 2.3 months prior to proceeding with surgery (Table 1). Beta hCG levels prior to surgical procedure ranged from 0–582 mIU/mL.

Full descriptive characteristics for the 5 cases are provided in Table 1. All of the patients underwent uneventful medical and surgical intervention as detailed above, with pathology confirmed chorionic villi in all resected tissues. Six weeks post-operatively, all patients had transvaginal sonographic assessment of the lower uterine segment, which demonstrated a well healed lower uterine segment without evidence of a defect (Figure 10). Patients were advised to wait a minimum of 3–4 months prior to an attempt to conceive. There were no complications post interventions and no recurrence of cesarean scar pregnancies thus far. Two patients have had a successful subsequent pregnancy with normal implantation.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we describe our combined medical and surgical treatment approach for cesarean scar pregnancies. We have found that treatment of the cesarean scar pregnancy as well as surgical repair of the niche defect decreases the recurrence rates, pelvic pain, and abnormal uterine bleeding. Among our patients, we did not observe recurrences, complications, failed treatment, or complaints of pelvic pain or abnormal uterine bleeding. We were also able to show successful pregnancy outcomes following our intervention.

Currently, there is a lack of gold standard treatment protocols for the management of these pregnancies. A systematic review of 52 studies describes 14 different approaches with the authors recommending the following five surgical procedures for successful treatment of a scar pregnancy: “[1] resection through a transvaginal approach, [2] laparoscopy, [3] uterine artery embolization in combination with dilatation and curettage and hysteroscopy, [4] uterine artery embolization in combination with dilatation and curettage, and [5] hysterectomy”. We have had a favorable experience using a combination of both medical and surgical treatment approaches with a minimally invasive technique for resection of residual products of conception and repair of uterine defect.

The strength of our study is consistency, as all of the patients underwent the same successful medical and surgical procedures by the same two providers. In addition to the small sample size, a limitation to our study is differences in time interval between medical and surgical interventions among the 5 patients. Although we recommended waiting two months prior to proceeding with surgery, longer time intervals occurred due to patient preference. A minimum of two months was recommended to allow the medical therapy to terminate the pregnancy and decrease blood supply to the affected area and so decrease overall surgical complications and blood loss. We want to emphasize that our results provide the groundwork for a successful new way of treating cesarean scar pregnancies, using a combined approach. Nevertheless, high-quality prospective studies are needed to replicate our results.
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