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Abstract
No medications specific treatments are known to be effective for COVID-19 until now. Several drug therapies are being used to optimize supportive care to relieve symptoms. Some observational and essentially theoretical studies have shared opposite opinions regarding the use of ACE inhibitors and ARBs in SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. Therefore, the aim of this review is to evaluate the relationship between ACE inhibitors and ARBs use and clinical effects in COVID-19 patients to guide clinicians for their medical early decision. Electronic searches were conducted on Embase, Medline via PubMed and ClinicalTrials.gov. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Statement was followed and adapted the research as a rapid review. Due to the emergency of the COVID-19 pandemic, any research in English, Portuguese or Spanish that discuss the mechanism of action, in vitro studies and those that draw conclusions based on observational or experimental studies were included. No studies were excluded based on the participant’s clinical or demographical characteristics. The risk of bias was assessed by one reviewer and checked by a second reviewer, using the Joanna Briggs Institute Checklist for Case Series. Any other mechanistic, theoretical or in vitro studies were evaluated as high risk of bias for clinical. Out of 64 studies retrieved, 17 were included in the review. Three studies suggest that both medications may increase the risk of worsening COVID-19, while three suggest they could be beneficial. Currently, there are seven ongoing trials on ARBs and ACE inhibitors. The available evidence does not allow us to draw definite conclusions on either harm or benefit of these medications in the presence of COVID-19. Discontinuing the therapy may be hasty and more robust evidence-based research is needed.

Introduction
Coronavirus infections are generally mild, however, the epidemics of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV)\textsuperscript{1–3} and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV)\textsuperscript{4,5} have caused more than 10,000 cumulative cases in the past two decades.\textsuperscript{6} Both prominent coronaviruses, 2002 SARS-CoV and 2012 MERS-CoV, have markedly affected humans, causing 8,422 and 1,600 infections, as well as 916 and 574 deaths, respectively.\textsuperscript{7–9} These viruses are categorized within the same genus of the subfamily Orthocoronavirinae within the family Coronaviridae.\textsuperscript{10}
In December 2019, a group of patients with pneumonia of unknown cause was identified in Wuhan, in the Hubei province, China.\textsuperscript{10} High-throughput sequencing from lower respiratory tract samples indicated a novel coronavirus, named 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) or, more recently, SARS-CoV-2, which had previously not been detected in humans or animals.\textsuperscript{6,9-11}

Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) common symptoms include fever, fatigue, and dry cough, followed by anorexia, myalgia and dyspnea.\textsuperscript{10,12-14} Chest imaging frequently shows bilateral infiltration.\textsuperscript{15} A case study with 1,099 COVID-19 patients in China found that the most common abnormalities found on computerized tomography (CT) scans were ground-glass opacity and bilateral patchy shadowing.\textsuperscript{13}

As of March 30\textsuperscript{th}, The World Health Organization (WHO) had been notified of 693,282 confirmed cases, from which 58,469 were notified in the last 24 hours. Furthermore, until the same date 33 106 deaths were registered, and 3,215 occurred in the last 24 hours. Among these confirmed new cases, 987 (23 deaths) were in the Western Pacific Region, 31,784 (2,535 deaths) in Europe, 375 (19 deaths) in South-East Asia Region, 3,552 (145 deaths) in Eastern Mediterranean Region, 21,289 (484 deaths) in the Americas, and 482 (nine deaths) in Africa. According to WHO, these situations are classified as very high risk at a global level.\textsuperscript{16} Currently, other countries outside China and Europe, like the U.S.A., Canada, and Brazil are experiencing an accentuated growth in COVID-19 cases and deaths. Until March 30\textsuperscript{th}, The Brazilian Ministry of Health confirmed 4,579 cases and 154 deaths since the first case registered on February 25\textsuperscript{th}.\textsuperscript{17,18} In the USA, there were 122,653 confirmed cases and 2112 deaths until March 30\textsuperscript{th}.\textsuperscript{16}

Case series and other observational data regarding aggravation and risk of Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) and death related to SARS-CoV-2 infection have been published.\textsuperscript{6,9,23,24,12-15,19-22} Some of these studies investigated factors specifically related to Intensive Care Unit (ICU) admission.\textsuperscript{6,9,12} According to recent publications, some clinical characteristics and comorbidities as age, presence of diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, elevated Sequential Organ Failure
Assessment (SOFA) score, and serum D-dimer, lead to an increased risk of aggravation and death.\textsuperscript{15,19}

Therefore, there is raising concern regarding COVID-19 patients with such comorbidities. Patients with diabetes have higher expression of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2 (ACE-2) receptor as well as hypertensive patients treated with ACE inhibitors or Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers (ARBs).\textsuperscript{25}

According to a Chinese case series, the plasma levels of Angiotensin II in 2019-nCoV infected patients were significantly higher than those of healthy individuals.\textsuperscript{26} In addition, the level of angiotensin II in patients with nCoV-2019 was strongly associated with viral load and lung injury, suggesting that the imbalance of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) in patients is related to 2019-nCoV. The mechanism of infection by SARS-CoV-2 appears to be related to a glycoprotein, called Spike, which is responsible for the entry of viruses into host cells. The receptor binding domain (RBD) in the Spike molecule directly binds the receptors on the surface of host cells. In the case of SARS-CoV and bat-type-CoV, the receptor is ACE-2. As a result, it has been speculated that ACE-2 plays an important role in the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2.\textsuperscript{27}

Some observational and essentially theoretical studies have shared opposite opinions on this issue, mainly regarding the use of ACE inhibitors and ARBs.\textsuperscript{25–28} Some of them postulate that the increase in ACE-2 receptors caused by ACE inhibitors and ARBs could increase the viral load.\textsuperscript{25,27} On the other hand, some authors believe that due to the increase in angiotensin II in 2019-nCoV infected subjects and the augmented lung permeability, the use of ACE inhibitors and ARBs could be an adjuvant for recovering of pneumonia.\textsuperscript{26,28}

Our aim is to evaluate the relationship between ACE inhibitors and ARBs use and clinical effects in nCoV-2019 infected patients, by means of a systematic rapid review, which could guide clinicians for their medical early decision, since clear and reliable evidence is not yet available.

Methods

Study design

We conducted a systematic rapid review to evaluate the risks and benefits of using ACE inhibitors and
ARBs in SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. The current analysis was a response to the need for scientific evidence to support the compilation of a COVID-19 guideline for the German Hospital Oswaldo Cruz in São Paulo, Brazil, one of the Brazilian Excellence hospitals and, consequently, one of the hospitals with many confirmed cases of COVID-19.

We did not register any protocol at PROSPERO database due to the urgency of this issue and to the warning of PROSPERO regarding a delay in reviews for protocol approval. However, the research question that guides this study was formulated before conducting any review. We also followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Statement\textsuperscript{29} and adapted the research as a rapid review according to Tricco et al., 2017.\textsuperscript{30}

**Eligibility Criteria**

Due to the emergency of the COVID-19 pandemic and the low certainty of evidence, we considered eligible any research that discuss the mechanism of action, *in vitro* studies and those that draw conclusions based on observational or experimental studies regarding the use of ACE inhibitors or ARBs in COVID-19 patients. We considered studies published in English, Portuguese or Spanish. *In vitro* studies, pharmacodynamic studies, and state-of-the-art reviews about COVID-19, without necessarily being linked to the disclosure of relevant clinical information were excluded. No studies were excluded based on the participant’s clinical or demographical characteristics.

Data selection, extraction and methodological assessment quality were performed by one reviewer and checked by a second reviewer. Any disagreement was solved by consensus.

**Research question**

As there is a lack of evidence on comparative effectiveness, it was not suitable to format our question following PICO acronym. In this way, and to run a sensitive search, we adopted only “P” and “I”. Thus, our aim is to approach all the evidence of ACE inhibitors and ARBs use in patients with COVID-19, independently if the use was for primary disease (i.e. cardiovascular disease, hypertension, etc.) or as a treatment for COVID-19.

**Source of evidence and Search Strategy**
Medline (via PubMed) and Embase were searched on March 20th and ClinicalTrials.gov on March 23rd. Posteriorly, a search updating was conducted on March 31st. No validated or unvalidated filters were applied. We combined MeSH, entry terms, and word variations for “ACE inhibitors”, “ARBs”, and “COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2”, by means of the appropriate Boolean operator. In the clinical trials protocol registry database (ClinicalTrials.gov) we only selected evidence directly related to ACE inhibitors and ARBs as a therapeutic option for COVID-19. Any other health technology different from those were excluded, unless it was described as a comparator. The fully reproducible search strategies are described in Table 1.

Risk of bias Assessment

We planned to evaluate any epidemiological study and, since we did not insert any filter that could restrict data gathering, we could accomplish that. However, due to the scarcity of data in this particular period we could only find observational non-comparative data. Therefore, for case series we applied the Joanna Briggs Institute Checklist for Case Series. Nevertheless, following hierarchy of evidence, we consider case series a very weak and biased source of evidence. Any other mechanistic, theoretical or in vitro studies were evaluated as high risk of bias for clinical conclusions.

Ethics Compliance

This study did not recruit patients or involve any personal data that required consent or Ethic’s Board approval.

Results

Search results

Through search strategies on Medline (via PubMed) and Embase, 58 references were retrieved. Additionally, in a validation search in Google Scholar, two references were inserted through manual search. Seven clinical trial records specifically related to ACE inhibitors or ARBs were found on ClinicalTrials.gov. After removing duplicates, 64 references were evaluated by their titles and abstracts. Of these, 42 references were excluded, and 22 were evaluated by full text reading. Five reports were excluded after complete reading: four because they were opinion reports that
mentioned therapeutic options in general, not specifying discussions about mechanisms of action or addressing any outcomes data or other results.\textsuperscript{35–38} One case report was also excluded\textsuperscript{39}, because it presented a specific result for an end-stage renal disease patient (Table 2). Thus, 17 studies were included in the current review: two case series,\textsuperscript{26,40} seven opinion/commentary reports,\textsuperscript{25,28,34,41–44} one \textit{in vitro} study,\textsuperscript{27} and seven ClinicalTrials.gov registries. The ClinicalTrials.gov results will be discussed in detail below. The complete screening procedure is exhibited in Figure 1.

\textit{Individual study results}

Study characteristics, such as study design, population, brief results and methodological quality are exhibited in Table 3. Due to the essential descriptive characteristics of the included studies, results are presented in a narrative form.

Chen et al., 2020 evaluated the particularities of the SARS-CoV-2 surface and possible structures related to its transmission capacity.\textsuperscript{27} The authors analyzed the genomic sequence of nCoV-2019 deposited by Wang et al., 2020.\textsuperscript{45} As mentioned by the authors, a glycoprotein, named Spike, is responsible for the entry of viruses in host cells. The receptor binding domain (RBD) in the Spike molecule directly binds the receptors on the surface of host cells. In the case of SARS-CoV and bat-type-CoV, the receptor is ACE-2. As a result, they speculate that ACE-2 plays an important role in the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2.

Diaz, 2020 postulates that ACE-2 receptors serve as binding sites for SARS-CoV-2 virions in the lungs. Therefore, patients taking ACE inhibitors and ARBs may be at increased risk for severe disease outcomes due to SARS-CoV-2 infections. The author exemplifies his point of view based on Chinese studies, which showed that patients with nCoV-2019 who had more severe conditions also had hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, among other diseases, for which ACE inhibitors and ARBs are frequently prescribed.\textsuperscript{44}

A commentary discusses the idea of considering angiotensin receptor 1 (AT1R) blockers as a provisional treatment for SARS-CoV-2 infections and proposes a research direction based on modeling
data from patient clinical records to assess its feasibility. The author postulates that the use of ARBs causes an increase in the concentration of circulating ACE based on examples in mice and humans. In this sense, the author adds that, being aware that ACE is the main link between SARS-CoV-2 and the cell, the suggestion to treat patients with SARS with AT1R antagonists, to increase their expression of ACE-2, seems counterintuitive.²⁸

Danser et al., 2020 presented a narrative review that indicates that there is there is no evidence to abandon renin-angiotensin system blockers.⁴² They discussed that, as previously shown in previous studies, ACE-2 is the receptor responsible for SARS coronavirus entry and that binding to the ACE-2 receptor requires the surface unit of a viral spike protein. Additionally, it was discussed that because of this incipient assumption, much confusion started, with controversial opinions and great fear about the influence of cardiovascular comorbidities and their respective treatments on the pathogenicity of COVID-19.⁴²

Sparks et al., 2020 expose the mechanistic and biochemical perspectives on the interaction between SARS-CoV-2 and ACE-2. The authors analyze the molecular aspects that may explain the benefits and harms of using ACE inhibitors and ARBs in the treatment of patients with cardiovascular diseases and COVID-19. Because of the lack of adjustment by confounders, the authors conclude that a clear relationship between hypertension and increased COVID-19 morbidity is premature. The authors recommend that those patients who use antihypertensive drugs for cardiovascular diseases do not interrupt the use, as there is still no evidence to support it.⁴¹

One viewpoint paper discusses that, despite the increased mortality and morbity in COVID-19 hypertensive patients, no study have checked this assumption when controlling for confounding.⁴³ Thus, it remains unclear if this association is related to the pathogenesis of hypertension or another associated comorbidity or treatment. The authors postulate that there has been a growing concern that this association with hypertension is confounded by ACEI inhibitors and ARBs use. The link with these medications is because of the known association between angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) and SARS-CoV-2.⁴³
In a Chinese case series, respiratory samples from patients, including throat smears and bronchoalveolar fluid (BALF), were collected and real-time PCR was used to confirm the 2019-nCoV infection. The authors assessed potential biomarkers of disease severity. They found an elevated level of serum angiotensin II in patients with nCoV 2019 and this was strongly associated with viral load and lung injury, suggesting that the imbalance of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) in patients was caused by 2019-nCoV and that enzyme-inhibiting drugs such as ACE inhibitors and ARBs, that balance RAS, can be used to treat patients infected with 2019-nCoV.26 Fang et al., 2020 explain that patients with diabetes have a higher expression of ACE-2 as well as hypertensive patients treated with ACE inhibitors or ARBs. According to the authors, as the virus uses ACE-2 to replicate, having the aforementioned comorbidities and using ACE inhibitors and ARBs may contribute to the pathogenicity of SARS-CoV2.25

Guo et al., 2020 conducted a case series of 187 patients with COVID-19, 77% of whom were discharged and another 23% died.40 These patients were evaluated at the Seventh Hospital of Wuhan City, China and 63 (35.3%) had some cardiovascular disease such as hypertension, coronary heart disease, cardiomyopathy, and 52 (27.8%) had myocardial damage indicated by high levels of troponin T (TnT). This study showed that patients with high levels of TnT were elderly, had more comorbidities, higher proportion of SARS, higher mortality, higher white blood cell count, neutrophilia, high level of D-dimer and higher concentration of inflammatory markers than individuals with normal TnT level. Despite reporting that there is a greater proportion of patients using ACE inhibitors and ARBs among those with high TnT, the authors states that there was no difference in mortality between these groups.40

Vaduganathan et al., 2020 is a narrative review that discusses potential benefits and harms of Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System Inhibitors (RAAS) in Patients with Covid-19.34 These paper gathers in vitro, animal models, other respiratory viruses and recent COVID-19 research data. The authors highlight that the data in humans are too limited to support or refute the hypotheses of harm (raise of viral load) or benefit (downregulation of angiotensin II) of the RAAS. They discuss the lack of clinical
tests of RAAS blockers on ACE-2 levels and activity in humans, stating that ACE-2 may be beneficial rather than harmful in patients with lung injury patients, not only COVID-patients. Finally, they discuss that withdrawal of RAAS inhibitors may be harmful in certain high-risk patients with known or suspected Covid-19.\textsuperscript{34}

\textit{Methodological quality}

The majority of the studies were comments, opinions and \textit{in vitro} studies. Regarding the usefulness of data in the context of clinical decision, these reports should be interpreted with caution. Furthermore, only two study in humans were found.\textsuperscript{26,40} These case series, according to JBI Critical Appraisal Tool for case series, were classified as having moderate\textsuperscript{26} and good\textsuperscript{40} methodological quality, respectively. We emphasize that this classification does not put the evidence from case series close to that of comparative observational or randomized studies and that the confidence in this evidence is low \textit{(Table 4)}.

\textit{Clinical trials protocols}

Seven records of clinical trials involving ACE inhibitors, ARBs and recombinant ACE-2 therapy were found in the ClinicalTrials.gov database \textit{(Table 5)}. Two Randomized Controlled Trials (RCT) registries propose to evaluate losartan versus placebo (NCT04303299 and NCT04311177). These registries are not yet recruiting and have primary estimated completion date for March and April 2021, respectively. Two case control registries intend to compare outcomes of patients with confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia treated and not treated with ACE inhibitors or ARBs (NCT04318301 and NCT04318418). These observational studies have estimated primary completion date for March and April 2020, respectively. One RCT intends to evaluate the combination of lopinavir/ritonavir or hydroxychloroquine or losartan plus standard care versus placebo plus standard care in hospitalized adults with COVID-19 (NCT04328012). Two studies initially registered on this database were recently withdrawn. One would evaluate replacement with recombinant human angiotensin-2 converting
enzyme associated with conventional treatment versus conventional treatment only and was withdrawn for not obtaining Center for Drug Evaluation approval (NCT04308668). The other study was a cohort study that would evaluate outcomes of hypertensive patients with COVID-19 pneumonia receiving or not ACE inhibitors treatment. It was withdrawn due to the existence of similar studies registered at the present date (NCT04272710).

Discussion

After the publication of Fang et al., 2020, in which the authors discussed the potential harms in the use of ACE inhibitors, ARBs and some anti-inflammatory drugs, as ibuprofen in COVID-19 patients, much has been said about the care for the diabetic, hypertensive and cardiovascular population, as there is a potential risk in the use of these drugs for these comorbidities. The primary WHO recommendation was to avoid the use of ibuprofen was based on concerns raised by French officials, who cited the above-mentioned study. Currently, some institutions like Health Canada, European Medicines Agency and The UK government published that there is no scientific evidence establishing a link between ibuprofen and worsening of COVID-19. Therefore, they recommend that subjects who currently use any NSAIDs to treat their chronic diseases should not stop their treatment and seek for specialized information. These events drew our attention to the need to assess the role of ACE inhibitors and ARBs in COVID-19 pathogenesis.

Fang et al., 2020 point out that, in different reports, patients who presented with severe forms of disease, had comorbidities frequently treated with ACE inhibitors or ARBs. However, none of them assessed the use of those medications in their cohorts or verified their association with disease severity or other outcomes. Additionally, their assumption that those medications pose significant harm to COVID-19 pneumonia patients is based on the hypothesis of an upregulation of ACE-2, to which SARS-CoV-2 binds. However, the response of an individual does not depend solely on the exposure to ACE inhibitors or ARBs.

The available evidence is conflicting, given the weak methodological quality of the scientific evidence and the heterogeneous assumptions presented by the studies. Among evaluated studies, three
highlight the fact that SARS-CoV-2 binds to ACE-2 receptors, increases its capacity for dissemination and, consequently, increases its pathogenicity. Thus, they emphasized that patients with cardiovascular comorbidities and/or using ACE inhibitors and ARBs may have a higher risk of worsening with COVID-19.

On the other hand, some studies\textsuperscript{26,28,34} suggest that infected patients present an increase in the concentration of angiotensin II, increasing pulmonary permeability and, consequently, the pathogenicity of COVID-19. These authors suggest that ACE inhibitors and ARBs may be therapeutic options for SARS-CoV2, as they lead to decreased angiotensin II concentration and reduced pulmonary permeability.

Indeed, there are some research pointing that there is no evidence to interrupt or withhold treatment with ACE inhibitors or ARBs in COVID-19 patients who also present cardiovascular comorbidities.\textsuperscript{34,41–43} These studies jointly analyze the pathways that suggest benefits and harms of ACE inhibitors and ARBs and conclude that no strong adjustments by confounders have been made, and that comorbidities themselves or other factors strongly associated with the COVID-19 condition, such as age, need to be better assessed.

Two studies showed results from tests on humans,\textsuperscript{26,40} both are case series one with 12\textsuperscript{26} and the other with 187\textsuperscript{40} Chinese patients with COVID-19. Liu et al., 2020 found an increased level of angiotensin II in those patients, which was associated with viral load and lung injury. According to the authors, considering this RAS imbalance, those patients, potentially, could benefit from drugs that regulate this system, like ACE inhibitors and ARBs.\textsuperscript{26} Guo et al., 2020 found that patients with higher levels of troponin T presented more comorbidities, were aged, were using more frequently ACE inhibitors and ARBs and showed an increase in biochemical inflammatory biomarkers. However, there was no difference in mortality between those treated and not treated with ACE inhibitors or ARBs.\textsuperscript{40}. Therefore, it is possible to infer that there are still some doubts about how much the severity of COVID-19 may be related to the use of ACE inhibitors or ARB or to the very complications of the age group or comorbidities.
Additionally, the American, European and Brazilian cardiology societies,\textsuperscript{51-53} the latter endorsed by the Brazilian Ministry of Health,\textsuperscript{54} published statements in which they highlighted the weak evidence available so far, and that any decision of avoiding ACE inhibitors and ARBs therapies is hasty. These Medical Societies also recommended that best care practices being observed to ensure the effectiveness of treatments, until more robust data can prove the true effect of SARS-CoV-2 on the ACE-2 receptor mechanism, as well as the role of ACE inhibitors and ARBs in pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2.

Much of the available evidence on the use of ACE inhibitors and ARBs still lie in theoretical grounds, based on molecular assays, animal studies and the previous experience with other coronaviruses infections.

To date, there are no studies with ARBs and ACE inhibitors in patients with COVID-19 in terms of comparative efficacy, comparing both active treatments, one active treatment vs. placebo or supportive measures, or no treatment. Furthermore, answers to these medicines’ effectiveness is not about to be published. According to our search in ClinicalTrials.gov, there are only two registered RCT protocols with losartan versus placebo, both with preliminary results planned to 2021 (NCT04312009 and NCT04311177). There are also retrospective case-control studies registered that aims to evaluate outcomes of hypertensive patients with confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 on ACE inhibitors or ARBs versus control. Their estimated completion date is March/April, 2020 (NCT04318301 e NCT04318418). More recently, a new RCT have been registered, and it aims to compare the efficacy of different medication (lopinavir/ ritonavir or hydroxychloroquine or losartan compared to placebo on disease severity. This study is expected to be completed in April, 2021 (NCT04328012).

Our review has some important limitations, most of them related to the weak quality of the evidence found. The whole evidence is observational and most of it purely theoretical and descriptive, and no study has ever evaluated the ACE inhibitors and ARBs effectiveness in the context of clinical research or comparative randomized or non-randomized studies.

We conducted a systematic rapid review, as proposed by Tricco et al., 2017,\textsuperscript{30} due to the urgency of
response. We had to save time and, therefore, instead of conducting the steps by independent reviewers, one author performed the steps and a second reviewer checked the data and the assessments. Nevertheless, we believe that the most relevant evidence published had been included in this research and that the omission of some systematic steps has not invalidated the results. We did not include evidence published in Chinese due to the language barrier, but we checked their abstracts in English and found that only one study which would be eligible. Finally, results should be interpreted cautiously, taking into account the presence of bias associated with the outcomes.

To our knowledge, this is the first systematic rapid review to compile the available evidence on the use of ACE inhibitors and ARBs in patients with COVID-19. We conducted a comprehensive systematic review, including different types of documents that evaluate the use of these medications in the context of SARS-CoV-2 infection and contrasted with recommendations by different institutions, like medical societies and the WHO.

Conclusion
Based on the currently available evidence, it is not possible to state that ACE inhibitors and ARBs are harmful or beneficial for patients with COVID-19. The Brazilian, European and American Cardiology Societies do not recommend the interruption of standard proven therapies and they recommend continuing adopting the best therapeutic practices, until more robust evidence, can say otherwise.
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Tables

Table 1. Full electronic search strategies.
| Database            | Search Strategy                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Results |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Medline (via PubMed)| ((("Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors"[Mesh] OR "Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors" [Pharmacological Action] OR "Ramipril" [Mesh] OR "Captopril"[Mesh] OR "Enalapril"[Mesh] OR "Fosinopril"[Mesh] OR "Lisinopril"[Mesh] OR "Quinapril"[Mesh] OR "benazepril" [Supplementary Concept] OR "zofenopril" [Supplementary Concept] OR "Perindopril"[Mesh] OR "trandolapril" [Supplementary Concept] OR "Cilazapril"[Mesh] OR ACE inhibitor* OR Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors OR ramipril OR captopril OR enalapril OR fosinopril OR lisinopril OR quinapril OR benazepril OR zofenopril OR perindopril OR trandolapril OR cilazapril)) OR ("Angiotensin II Type 1 Receptor Blockers"[Mesh] OR "Angiotensin II Type 2 Receptor Blockers"[Mesh]) AND ("SARS Virus" [Mesh] OR "Coronavirus"[Mesh] OR novel coronavirus OR covid-19 OR covid 19 OR sars-cov-2 OR sars cov 2 OR ncovid)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 38      |
| Embase              | ("dipeptidyl carboxypeptidase inhibitor"/exp OR "dipeptidyl carboxypeptidase inhibitor" OR 'angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors'/exp OR 'angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors' OR 'ace inhibitor'/exp OR 'ace inhibitor' OR 'ramipril'/exp OR 'ramipril' OR 'captopril'/exp OR 'captopril' OR 'enalapril'/exp OR 'enalapril' OR 'fosinopril'/exp OR 'fosinopril' OR 'lisinopril'/exp OR 'lisinopril' OR 'quinapril'/exp OR 'quinapril' OR 'benazepril'/exp OR 'benazepril' OR 'zofenopril'/exp OR 'zofenopril' OR 'perindopril'/exp OR 'perindopril' OR 'trandolapril'/exp OR 'trandolapril' OR 'cilazapril'/exp OR 'cilazapril') AND [embase]/lim OR ('angiotensin receptor antagonist'/exp OR 'angiotensin receptor antagonist' OR 'angiotensin receptor blocker'/exp OR 'angiotensin receptor blocker' OR 'angiotensin 1 receptor antagonist'/exp OR 'angiotensin 1 receptor antagonist' OR 'angiotensin 2 receptor antagonist'/exp OR 'angiotensin 2 receptor antagonist' OR 'candesartan'/exp OR 'candesartan' OR 'eprosartan'/exp OR 'eprosartan' OR 'irbesartan'/exp OR 'irbesartan' OR 'telmisartan'/exp OR 'telmisartan' OR 'valsartan'/exp OR 'valsartan' OR 'losartan'/exp OR 'losartan' OR 'olmesartan'/exp OR 'olmesartan' OR 'fimasartan'/exp OR 'fimasartan' OR 'azilsartan'/exp OR 'azilsartan') AND [embase]/lim AND ('sars-related coronavirus'/exp OR 'sars-related coronavirus' OR 'covid 19' OR 'covid-19' OR 'novel coronavirus' OR 'sars-cov2' OR 'sars-ncov' OR 'sars-cov-2') AND [embase]/lim                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 20      |
| ClinicalTrials.gov  | covid-19 OR sars-cov-2 OR sars-cov OR novel coronavirus                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 7       |

Table 2. Excluded study and reasons for exclusion.
Table 3. Characteristics of the included studies and risk of bias assessment.

| Author/ year   | Study Design           | Sample | Reasons for exclusion                                                                                     |
|----------------|------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chen et al., 2020 | In vitro              | NA     | When performing the molecular simulation, the structure is almost fully superimposable with that in a loop, which means the two cellular link structures are very similar. Amino acid residues at the RBD/ACE-2 binding affinity. As a result, nCoV2019 has a stronger binding affinity compared with SARS-CoV. The authors draw attention to the low expression of ACE in the lungs, emphasizing that the pathogenicity of nCoV2019 in this organ may result from viral replication. In addition, they draw attention to the high expression of ACE in the intestine and kidneys, meaning SARS-CoV-2 can be present in the urine and feces and that fecal-oral and bodily fluids transmission cannot be ruled out. |
| Danser et al., 2020 | Narrative review/opinion | NA     | The authors suggest there is evidence from animal studies that ARBs may upregulate membrane-bound ACE-2, whereas ACE inhibitors may not. Even if the reported upregulation generally with high doses, could be extrapolated to facilitate SARS-CoV-2 entry. Furthermore, it treatment with ARBs may actually be protective, upregulating ACE-2 expression, decreasing angiotensin II levels, and reducing viral entry. Finally, based on the current evidence, the authors recommend the withdrawal of ACE inhibitors and ARBs in COVID-19 patients. |
| Diaz, 2020       | Letter                 | NA     | The author postulates that since patients treat number of ACE-2 receptors in the lungs. SARS-CoV-2 binds to the activity of ACE-2 and, consequently, a greater pulmonary permeability, increasing the pathogenesis of the excessive activation of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) in patients with respiratory illness. |
| Gurwitz 2020     | Commentary             | NA     | The author explains that SARS-CoV-2 binds to the activity of ACE-2 and, consequently, a greater pulmonary permeability, increasing the pathogenesis of the excessive activation of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS) in patients with respiratory illness. |
| Liu et al., 2020 | Case series            | 12 patients, eight men, seven over 60 years of age. These patients came from The plasma levels of angiotensin II of patients those of and healthy individuals. In addition, it was strongly associated with viral load and lobar edema. |

Correspondences/opinion reports that addresses therapeutic options and do not present a discussion about their mechanisms of action or their results (in vitro or clinical).

Marin 2020
Fedson et al., 2020
Perico et al., 2020
Ferrey et al., 2020

Case series reporting a patient with end-stage renal disease in whom losartan was withheld due to specific aggravation. No additional information is given.
| Reference                  | Article Type | Institution                          | Summary                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Fang et al., 2020          | Correspondence | NA                                   | This is the main study related to the recent discussion on the role of ACE in the pathology of COVID-19. Based on this study, WHO suggests the interruption of ibuprofen use. The study suggests that patients with heart disease, hypertension or diabetes, which are frequently treated with medications that increase ACE-2, are at increased risk of severe COVID-19 infection and therefore should be monitored for ACE-2 modulating medications, such as ACE inhibitors or ARBs. |
| Guo et al., 2020           | Case series   | Shenzhen Third People’s Hospital     | The study aimed to evaluate the association of underlying CVD and myocardial injury with fatal outcomes in patients with COVID-19. Clinical Results (Normal TnT level versus High Age (mean (SD), years): 53.53 (13.22) vs. 71.40 (9.43), p < .001 Hypertension [N (%)]: 28 (20.7) vs. 33 (63.5), p < .001 CHD [N (%)]: 4 (3.0) vs. 17 (32.7), p < .001 Cardiomyopathy [N (%)]: 0 (0) vs. 8 (15.4), p < .001 Diabetes [N (%)]: 12 (8.9) vs. 16 (30.8), p < .001 COPD [N (%)]: 0 (0) vs. 4 (7.7), p = 0.001 Chronic Kidney disease [N (%)]: 1 (0.7) vs. 5 (9.6), p = 0.002 ACEI/ARB use history [N (%)]: 8 (5.9) vs. 11 (21.1), p = 0.002 ARDS [N (%)]: 16 (11.9) vs. 30 (57.7), p < 0.001 Death [N (%)]: 12 (8.9) vs. 31 (59.6), p < 0.001 Biochemical Results (Normal TnT level versus High Blood cells count/mL [median (IQR)]: White blood cell count/mL: 4640 (6170-3740) vs. 6010 (3540-4090) Neutrophil: 3070 (2350-4870) vs. 6010 (3540-4090) Coagulation profile [Median (IQR)]: Prothrombin time, s: 12.4 (12.0-13.0) vs. 13.3 D-dimer, μg/mL: 0.29 (0.17-0.60) vs. 3.85 (0.5) Inflammatory biomarkers [Median (IQR)]: hsCRP, mg/dL: 3.13 (1.24-5.75) vs. 8.55 (4.87-Procalcitonin, ng/mL: 0.05 (0.04-0.11) vs. 0.21 Globulin, g/L: 27.4 (25.6-29.6) vs. 29.7 (27.0-3 Cardiac biomarkers [Median (IQR)]: Creatine kinase – MB fraction, ng/mL: 0.81 (0.4) The authors discuss that, although there is a high-level of TnT relative to the mortality among groups. The authors did not report bias by the small sample. |
| Patel et al., 2020         | Viewpoint     | NA                                   | The study analyzes the mechanistic issues related to the lack of consistent evidence regarding |
According to the authors, there is concern that the expression of ACE-2, resulting in increased patient susceptibility to viral host cell entry and propagation. On the other hand, animal modeling studies have shown that the SARS virus worsened lung injury that was improved by treatment with ARBs, suggesting that SARS-CoV exacerbates lung injury by decreasing ACE-2 that can be reversed by ARB treatment.

Finally, the authors postulate that despite the use and cessation of ACE inhibitors and ARBs for COVID-19 in patients with cardiovascular comorbidities. The authors highlight that the European Society of Cardiology recommended not to stop those medicines and that physicians and anti-hypertensive therapy because there is no evidence that treatment with ACE inhibitors or ARBs should be discontinued due to COVID-19 infection.

The authors mentioned published data that support the fact that there is no evidence to support a clear relationship between cardiovascular diseases and SARS-CoV-2 pathogenicity. They explore the fact that none of the reports have adjusted this correlation for age or provided age-stratified data for the hypertension and mortality association to understand if this is a robust finding.

They postulate that the complex relationship between viral protein binding to ACE-2, RAS components, and viral pathogenicity is not fully understood. Thus, they emphasize that there is no good evidence to support ACE inhibitors and ARBs harms or benefits.

The authors also suggested that stopping ACE inhibitors and ARBs in asymptomatic, stable patients with heart failure, kidney disease, or hypertension will disrupt clinical care, resulting in a disruption of attempts at social distancing.

The authors discuss that there is some concern that stopping ACE inhibitors and ARBs have different effects on ACE-2, the effects of these agents on ACE-2 have been highlighted, based on animal and human studies, that there has been no increase in angiotensin (1-7) production, and that ACE-2 activity was not different between individuals receiving ACE inhibitors or ARBs and their untreated controls.

The authors point out that only 25 to 30% of treated hypertensive individuals use this class of drugs and that studies designed to assess the influence of RAAS inhibitors on COVID-19 are needed. Because ACE inhibitors and ARBs have different effects on ACE-2, the effects of these agents on ACE-2 have been highlighted, based on animal and human studies, that there has been no increase in angiotensin (1-7) production, and that ACE-2 activity was not different between individuals receiving ACE inhibitors or ARBs and their untreated controls.

The authors discuss that there is insufficient data to transport the analyses in animal models to humans and that there is still no evidence of the effect of ACE-2 expression on the human lung. It is argued that there is a potential increase in viral proliferation related to lung damage. Based on in vitro studies, continued viral infection, there is a reduction in angiotensin II activity in the lungs that may facilitate the initial using ACE inhibitors and ARBs.

Finally, the authors conclude that stopping chronic treatment for cardiovascular disease is dangerous and not recommended. They also report that changing antihypertensive agents is not simple and that it can cause different effects in patients with CHD, CHD: Coronary Heart Disease; COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; CRP, C-Reactive Protein; CVD, Cardiovascular Disease; RAAS, Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone-System; RBD, Receptor Binder Domain; SARS-CoV-2, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2019-nCoV, 2019 novel Coronavirus; ACE, Angiotensin Converting Enzyme; ARB, Angiotensin Receptor Blocker; AT1R, Angiotensin Receptor 1; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; COVID-19, Coronavirus Disease, 2019; CHD: Coronary Heart Disease; COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease; CRP, C-Reactive Protein; CVD, Cardiovascular Disease; RAAS, Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone-System; RBD, Receptor Binder Domain; SARS-CoV-2, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus.
2; TnT: Troponin T; WHO, World Health Organization.

Table 1: Methodological quality according to JBI critical appraisal for Case Series.

| Criterion                                                                 | Liu et al., 2020 | Guo et al., 2020 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Were there clear criteria for inclusion in the case series?               | Yes               | Yes               |
| Was the condition measured in a standard, reliable way for all participants included in the case series? | Yes               | Yes               |
| Were valid methods used for identification of the condition for all participants included in the case series? | Yes               | Yes               |
| Did the case series have consecutive inclusion of participants?            | Yes               | No                |
| Did the case series have complete inclusion of participants?              | Unclear           | Not Applicable    |
| Was there clear reporting of the demographics of the participants in the study? | Yes               | Yes               |
| Was there clear reporting of clinical information of the participants?    | Yes               | Yes               |
| Were the outcomes or follow up results of cases clearly reported?         | Yes               | No                |
| Was there clear reporting of the presenting site(s)/clinic(s) demographic information? | No                | No                |
| Was the statistical analysis appropriate?                                 | No                | Yes               |

Legend: COVID-19, Coronavirus Disease, 2019; RT-PCR, Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction.

Table 5: Clinical trials registered at ClinicalTrials.Gov as of March 31th, 2020.

| NCT number | Type of Strategy | Study Characteristics                                                                 |
|------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| NCT04312009| Treatment        | Title: Losartan for Patients With COVID-19 Requiring Hospitalization. Country: U.S.A. Study design: Phase II, parallel, quadruple blind RCT Status: Not yet recruiting Participants: Adults with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection or with upper respiratory infection recent exposure to COVID-19 patient and respiratory symptoms Intervention: Losartan Comparator: Placebo Primary outcome: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) Resp |
| NCT04311177| Treatment        | Title: Losartan for Patients With COVID-19 Not Requiring Hospitalization. Country: U.S.A. Study Design: Phase II, parallel, quadruple blind RCT Status: Not yet recruiting Participants: Adults with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection or with upper respiratory infection recent exposure to COVID-19 patient and respiratory symptoms Intervention: Losartan Comparator: Placebo Primary outcome: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) Resp |
| NCT04287686 | Treatment | Title: Recombinant Human Angiotensin-converting Enzyme 2 (rhACE2) as a Treatment for Patients With COVID-19  
Country: China  
Study Design: Parallel, open label RCT  
Status: Withdrawn (Reason: Without CDE approval)  
Participants: Adults with confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 and signs of respiratory distress (n=24)  
Intervention: rhACE2 + standard of care  
Comparator: Placebo + standard of care  
Primary outcome: Time course of body temperature (fever) and viral load |  
| NCT04272710 | Treatment | Title: Prognostic Factors in COVID-19 Patients Complicated with Hypertension  
Country: China  
Study Design: Retrospective Cohort  
Status: Withdrawn (Reason: Similar projects have been registered, and it needs to be withdrawn)  
Participants: Adults with confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 and hypertension (n=NA)  
Intervention: ACE inhibitors treatment  
Comparator: No ACE inhibitors treatment  
Primary outcome: Occupancy rate in the intensive care unit, mechanical ventilation |  
| NCT04318301 | Treatment | Title: Hypertension in Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 (HT-COVID)  
Country: China  
Study Design: Observational – Retrospective case-control  
Status: Active, not recruiting  
Participants: Adults with confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 (n=275), divided into treated and not treated with ACE inhibitors and ARBs for hypertension  
Primary outcome: Rate of death |  
| NCT04328012 | Treatment | Title: Comparison Of Therapeutics for Hospitalized Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2 During the COVID-19 Pandemic (COVID-MED)  
Country: USA  
Study Design: Parallel, quadruple blind, phase II/III RCT  
Status: Not yet recruiting  
Participants: Hospitalized adults with confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19  
Intervention: Lopinavir/ritonavir + standard care/ hydroxychloroquine + standard care  
Comparison: Placebo + standard care  
Primary outcome: Severity of disease |  
| NCT04318418 | Treatment | Title: ACE Inhibitors, Angiotensin II Type-I Receptor Blockers and Severity of COVID-19  
Country: Italy  
Study Design: Observational – Case control  
Status: Not yet recruiting  
Participants: Hospitalized patients with confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 (n=5 in each group: patients who develop and do not develop severe COVID-19)  
Exposure: ARB or ACE inhibitors  
Primary outcome: Severe COVID-19 |  

Legend: ACE, Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme; ARBs, Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers; CDE, Center for Drug Evaluation; COVID-19, Coronavirus Disease 2019; NA, not available; RCT, Randomized Controlled Trial; SARS-CoV-2, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2.  
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