Optimal Replenishment Policy for Deteriorating Products in a Newsboy Problem with Multiple Just-in-Time Deliveries
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Abstract: Product deterioration is a common phenomenon and is overlooked in most contemporary research on the newsboy problem. In this study, we have considered product deterioration in a production–inventory newsboy model based on multiple just-in-time (JIT) deliveries. This model is solved by a classical optimization technique for the manufacturer production size, wholesale price, replenishment plan, and retailer order policy using a distribution-free approach. Moreover, in order to improve business and entice more customers, a return policy and a post-sale warranty policy is adopted in the model. Theoretical development and numerical examples are provided to demonstrate the validity of this approach.
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1. Introduction

Demand is considered a crucial attribute in inventory research in the present competitive and transparent business. The uncertain product demand is an important factor in products with a short life cycle. For instance, some apparel goods, some electronic devices (e.g., computers, mobile phones, TVs, fridges, etc.), and some food items have uncertain demand as well as short life cycles. Predicting demand accurately is critical in satisfying customer demand. However, in every business, the retailer may face shortages or overstocking problems due to this inaccurate demand prediction; this leads to a loss of business. In order to deal with the uncertain demand problem, a newsboy model which considers the stochastic or exogenous demand is used. For products with stochastic demand, retailers usually adopt newsboy models to determine the optimal ordering decisions. In recent years, variable demand [1], fuzzy demand [2], and price-sensitive demand [3] have received more attention by researchers. The newsboy problem is a classical inventory problem that is worth studying from both theoretical and practical perspectives [4,5]. It is generally applicable for decision making in the apparel and sporting equipment industries [6–8]. In this study, we address the distribution-free newsboy problem [9].

Deterioration is a common phenomenon for most products. For instance, food items, vegetables, electronics items, etc., deteriorate over time. A synergy between product deterioration and demand has been studied. For uncertain demand and high deterioration rate, inventory management has become more difficult for the retailer. When demand is known, it is easier for a retailer to manage deteriorating items. Hence, an important question is how to deal with deteriorating items with uncertain demand.
In our model, we proposed a newsboy model considering product deterioration and uncertain demand. The study can provide some managerial insights for products with the mentioned characteristics. The primary goal of this study is to develop a strategy to derive an optimal production size when the wholesale price is known. We have taken into consideration how the wholesale price and post-sale warranty influence production size and the material replenishment policy. Various integrated inventory models considering return policies for retailers have been developed due to imperfect products in a production process, e.g., failure of the machine, unskilled labor, and bad weather [2]. Our study considers deteriorating items for the newsboy problem and proposes an integrated retailer–manufacturer inventory model. Some of the major contributions of the study are:

- We derive the optimal wholesale price and production strategies for a newsboy problem model.
- We incorporate product deterioration into the newsboy problem model.
- We simultaneously include repair cost, warranty cost, setup cost, item cost, storage cost, and JIT delivery.

The remainder of this study is structured as follows: The literature review is provided in Section 1.1. The problem description, notation, and assumptions are presented in Section 2. Section 3 introduces model descriptions and mathematical formulations. In Section 4, the optimization technique and its algorithm are discussed. Numerical examples are given in Section 5. Finally, Section 6 provides managerial insights, conclusions, and suggestions for future studies.

1.1. Literature Review

Deterioration of products is a crucial factor in today’s competitive and transparent business. Deterioration is the decay, spoilage, obsolesce, or evaporation of products which degrade the quality and quantity of the products [10–13]. Ghare and Schrader [14] were the first authors to introduce a deteriorating inventory model. Later, Covert and Philip [15] and Raafat et al. [16] developed the concept of product deterioration under various assumptions. Wee [17] proposed a production inventory model with partial backlogged shortages, and Mashud et al. [10] considered different types of deterioration with shortages. Wee and Jong [18] examined the just-in-time (JIT) delivery strategy for deteriorating items in an integrated production–inventory model, while Perez and Torres [19] developed a multiple-delivery inventory model. Their models considered product deterioration and the time value of money with JIT delivery. Yang and Wee [20] developed an inventory model for deteriorating items based on a production model for multiple lot-sizing problems. By incorporating pricing strategies into a single-period supply chain with return policies, Lau and Lau [21] have studied the effect of uncertain demand in the retail market on retailers’ and manufacturers’ estimated profits. Lariviere and Porteus [22] incorporated market size and growth into a model to investigate how these two attributes affect profits. Abdel and Ziegler [23] recommended a two-echelon inventory model without shortage. This approach features variable holding costs with fixed demand for a perishable item for multi-echelon supply chains. In the models, the Lagrange function is applied to obtain the optimal order quantity for manufacturers and retailers. Yoo et al. [24] introduced a model for imperfect items under a return policy, and Sarkar et al. [25] provided a remanufacturing and returnable model for a closed-loop supply chain. Eppen [26] proposed a model of N locations with normal distribution demand with a penalty cost. Chang and Lin [27] modified Eppen’s model by incorporating transportation cost; their results indicated that the projected holding and penalty costs were higher under decentralized coordination than under centralized coordination. However, for most cases, centralized coordination is preferable to decentralized coordination due to lesser overall costs [28]. According to Cherikh [28], the excess demand is distributed to other locations due to a stock-out situation, while this proposed model does not allow any stock-out situations through some continuous reviews of inventories. Another important contribution that stemmed from Cherikh [28] is that the model considers multi-locations in the newsboy problem, while this proposed model considers a multi-player newsboy model.
The effect of different demand patterns has impacted the distribution-free newsboy problem where the demand distribution is unknown. Some studies have investigated the distribution-free newsboy problem with the mean ($\mu$) and variance ($\sigma^2$). Gallego and Moon [6] explored the circumstances for constrained multiple products with random yields and constant ordering costs. Numerous researchers have indicated that demand behaves exogenously for varying demand atmospheres [21,29–34]. For instance, if one plugs the trade credit approach in the model then it will trigger the demand for a certain period, which is known as exogenous behavior of demand.

Quality management and manufacturing strategy are primary manufacturing concerns. JIT delivery is a manufacturing methodology in which quality management and manufacturing strategy are incorporated into the overall organizational function. Sakakibara et al. [35] investigated the influence of JIT manufacturing and infrastructure on manufacturing performance. They found that quality management, manufacturing strategy, and workforce management are critical in business competitiveness. Quality management, manufacturing strategy, and purchasing are closely linked in JIT manufacturing. Nassimbeni [36] identified three factors correlated to purchasing, namely synchronization, interaction, and design. To avoid potential production disruptions and wastage, and to ensure quality and quick recovery, small deliveries should be implemented alongside appropriate production–inventory strategies [37,38]. In production–inventory strategies, another important factor which has been discussed recently is the sustainability of the economic growth, which mainly depends on numerous parameters [39–42]. Kung et al. [43] considered a production–inventory system for deteriorating items with machine breakdown, inspection, and partial backordering.

Due to the possible defective products as a result of an imperfect production process [44–48], the company may provide a product warranty; the warranty costs are influenced by the product quality and price. Daryanto and Wee [49] were among the first authors to investigate the joint effect of imperfect production for deteriorating items, and Shaw et al. [50] developed an inventory model for repairable deteriorating items. Hasan et al. [51] considered an imperfect production system for deteriorating agricultural products where product separation is conducted to segregate perfect ones from defective ones. Yeh et al. [52] considered a free warranty service in their production–inventory model, and Wang and Sheu [53] converted this free warranty process into a discrete unit for an imperfect production system. Both of these models minimized the optimal production lot size, and the total supply chain cost. Moreover, Wang [54] developed a production model with a free warranty period and Lin [55] studied the effects of warranty and quantity discounts for deteriorating items with allowable shortages. Ullah et al. [56] investigated the newsboy problem with a discount policy under various pricing strategies. However, from our literature search, few studies have developed a newsboy problem model for deteriorating items with an imperfect process.

2. Problem Description, Assumptions, and Notation

In this section, the problem description of the proposed study is introduced along with the associated assumptions and notation.

2.1. Problem Description

In the proposed production–inventory model (PIM), there are $M$-types of raw material in the manufacturing process (Figure 1). The finished product must be delivered to the retailer before the expiration date. After the expiration date, the products must be discharged at a salvage value.
The retailer can make ordering decisions, but price is not under their control. In our model, the retailer’s ordering costs are considered to be proportional to the ordering capacity, which affects the logistics cost. Different from previous studies, our model considers free repair or replacement by the manufacturer for defective items, as well as the manufacturer’s repair cost, warranty cost, and JIT benefit.

The study is divided into two phases. Phase 1 is related to the retailer’s order strategy and phase 2 focuses on the manufacturer’s strategy and associated circumstances.

2.2. Assumptions

The following assumptions are adopted to formulate the problem:

a. Total orders are calculated by the manufacturer based on the retailer’s orders according to the production lot size for the following cycle while the demand is considered unknown.

b. Material inventory is controlled through periodic reviews; backlogging is forbidden to prevent shortages.

c. A static wholesale price is set by the manufacturer during replenishment, and the newsboy rule is followed by the retailer to regulate the order quantity with reference to the average demand, total salvage cost, and wholesale price.

d. The lead-time for raw materials is fixed, and the transportation time is considered to be zero.

e. The production rate is larger than the demand rate.

f. The deterioration rate is constant, and the deterioration rate is considered only after the product has been received into inventory.

g. No information gaps are considered during negotiations.

h. Replenishment is instantaneous.

i. The production procedure is initially controlled; after intervention, it may vary between controlled and out of control. The intervention time imperfect production process is distributed exponentially with known mean and variance.

j. Imperfect production is not identified until the completion of an inspection process.

k. JIT production and JIT multiple-delivery strategies are considered.

2.3. Notations

Table 1 presents the notations used in the model.
Table 1. Notations.

| Symbol | Description | Formula |
|--------|-------------|---------|
| µc     | The mean demand | $\delta_1$ |
| σ      | Standard deviation parameter | $\delta_2$ |
| S = (1 + $L_1$)C_p | Retailer’s selling price of products | $C_R$ |
| $L_s$ = $L_2C_p$ | Per unit value of lost sale amount | $C_w$ |
| $V = (1 - L_3)C_p$ | Per unit value of salvage amount | $C_m$ |
| $C_{nj}$ | Item cost of material j per unit | $u$ |
| U = $L_4C_p$ | Per unit transportation cost | $H$ |
| $A_1 = L_5C_p$ | Per unit ordering items cost | $L_1^j$ |
| $C_S$ | Cost of setup for production | $C_{wj}$ |
| P      | Production rate | $h_{daj}$ |
| Θ      | Rate of deterioration | $h_{daj}$ |
| K      | Duration of warranty | $H_{nj}$ |
| $A_0$ | Constant ordering cost | $a_j$ |
| F      | Constant transportation cost | $r_j$ |
| $C_p$ | Per unit purchase cost | $L_1$ |
| $L_2$ | Constant coefficient of lost sale amount | $L_3$ |
| $L_4$ | Constant coefficient of transportation cost | $L_5$ |

3. Model Development

The mathematical form of the proposed model is presented in Section 3.1 along with some associated lemmas to support the applicability of the model. In Section 3.2, the cost for the manufacturer is provided along with the respective cost function. Finally, the material cost for the manufacturer is provided in Section 3.3.

3.1. Mathematical Form of the Model

Demand is primarily affected by wholesale price in most practical cases, and this is the most critical factor for decision making when considering ordering a new product. Moreover, demand is usually unknown for seasonal products because of the unknown wholesale price. The distribution-free approach can be adopted to investigate this problem. The primary aim of this study was to develop a distribution-free approach for a two-echelon PIM. We assumed that demand follows the worst possible distribution, $\mathcal{I}$ and an unknown distribution is denoted by $\mathcal{G}$. Let $D^R$ represent the random demand $G \in \mathcal{G}$ with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^2$.

To determine the optimal ordering quantities, the following relations can be derived:

\[
S = (1 + L_1)C_p, \ 0 < L_1 < 1
\]

\[
V = (1 - L_3)C_p, \ 0 < L_3 < 1;
\]

\[
L_s = L_2C_p, \ 0 < L_2 < 1;
\]

\[
U = L_4C_p, \ 0 < L_4 < 1;
\]

\[
A_1 = L_5C_p, \ 0 < L_5 < 1.
\]

The retailer determines the order quantity based on the newsboy policy; the order quantity $(Q^N)$ fulfills the following formula:

\[
ER^G = SE\left(\min\{Q^N, D^R\}\right) + VE\left(Q^N - D^R\right)^+
\]
Lemma 2.

Lemma 1.

Equation (2) can be restated by substituting the following equations:

\[ E\left(\min\{Q^N, D^R\}\right) = D^R - (D^R - Q^N)^+ \]

\[ (D^R - Q^N)^+ = (D^R - Q^N) + (Q^N - D^R)^+ \]

\[ (Q^N - D^R)^+ = (Q^N - D^R) + (D^R - Q^N)^+ \]

Thus, Equation (2) becomes:

\[ EP^G = EP^G - EC^G \]

To maximize Equation (3), the following lemmas developed by Gallego and Moon [6] are applied.

In lemmas 1 and 2, they presented a very compact optimality proof of Scarf’s ordering rule for the newsboy problem where only the mean and the variance of the demand are known.

Lemma 1.

\[ E(D^R - Q^N)^+ \leq \frac{\left[\sigma^2 + (Q^N - \mu_c)^2\right]^{1/2} - (Q^N - \mu_c)}{2} \]

Lemma 2.

\[ E(Q^N - D^R)^+ \leq \frac{\left[\sigma^2 + (\mu_c - Q^N)^2\right]^{1/2} - (\mu_c - Q^N)}{2} \]

Equation (3) can be revised using lemmas 1 and 2 as follows:

\[ EP^G \geq CP\left\{ (L_1 + L_3 - L_2)\mu - (L_1 + L_3)\frac{\left[\sigma^2 + (Q^N - \mu_c)^2\right]^{1/2} - (Q^N - \mu_c)}{2} \right\} \]

\[ - (L_3 - L_2 - L_4 - L_5)Q^N - (L_2)\frac{\left[\sigma^2 + (\mu_c - Q^N)^2\right]^{1/2} - (\mu_c - Q^N)}{2} \right\} - A_1 - F \]

We then maximize the lower bound of Equation (4) by minimizing the following function:

\[ \Theta EP^G = \left\{ (L_3 - L_2 - L_4 - L_5)Q^N + (L_1 + L_3)\frac{\left[\sigma^2 + (Q^N - \mu_c)^2\right]^{1/2} - (Q^N - \mu_c)}{2} \right\} \]

\[ + (L_2)\frac{\left[\sigma^2 + (\mu_c - Q^N)^2\right]^{1/2} - (\mu_c - Q^N)}{2} \ right\} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2}\left\{ (L_3 - L_2 - L_1 - 2(L_4 + L_5))Q^N + (L_3 + L_2 + L_1)\left[\sigma^2 + (Q^N - \mu_c)^2\right]^{1/2} + (L_3 - L_2 + L_1)\mu_c \right\} \]
The wholesale price influences the ordering decision. Therefore, we take the first derivative of $\Theta^{Ep}$ with respect to $Q^N$ and set it equal to zero; after deterioration is taken into consideration, the result is:

$$Q^N_\ast = \frac{1}{1 - \theta} \left\{ \mu_c + \frac{\sigma(R/Z)}{1 - (R/Z)^2} \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5b)$$

where $R/Z = \frac{L_3 - L_2 - L_1 - 2(L_4 + L_5)}{L_3 + L_2 + L_1}$

3.2. Manufacturing Cost

According to Figure 2, the following differential equation represents the inventory level during the manufacturer’s production period:

$$\frac{d\Psi_S(t_1)}{dt_1} = P - \theta \cdot \Psi_S(t_1) \quad 0 \leq t_1 \leq T_p$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

Given the boundary conditions $\Psi_S(0) = 0$ and $\Psi_S(T_p) = Q^N$ [58], the solution of Equation (6) can be written as follows:

$$\Psi_S(t_1) = \frac{P}{\theta} \left\{ 1 - e^{-\theta t_1} \right\}$$

$$\Psi_S(T_p) = Q^N = \frac{P}{\theta} \left\{ 1 - e^{-\theta T_p} \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$
Because \( \theta \ll 1 \) and \( T_P < 1 \), \( e^{-\theta T_P} \) can be replaced by \( 1 - \theta T_P + \frac{1}{2!}(\theta T_P)^2 \). The fraction error for the third term in the Taylor series is given by

\[
\frac{\frac{1}{2!}(\theta T_P)^2}{1 - \theta T_P + \frac{1}{2!}(\theta T_P)^2}
\]

When \( \theta T_P \leq 0.03 \), the error is approximately 0.0464%. The error is negligible for terms higher than the third order in the Taylor series.

Next, the Taylor expansion can be used to estimate \( Q^N_v \):

\[
\frac{P}{\theta} \left( \theta T_P - \frac{\theta \cdot T_P^2}{2} \right) = Q^N_v
\]

From Equations (7) and (8), when the order quantities of the manufacturer and retailer are connected by setting \( Q^N_v = Q^N \) and letting \( Q^N = \frac{Q^N}{1 - \theta} \), the time required to complete production is determined as follows:

\[
T_P = 1 - \sqrt{1 - 2 \theta Q^N} = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \theta Q^N}{1 - \theta}}
\]

**Proposition 1.** When the deterioration rate tends to zero, \( T_P \rightarrow \frac{Q^N}{\theta} \).

The proof of this proposition is provided in Appendix A.

Considering the deterioration rate, the manufacturer’s storage cost is

\[
H \int_0^{T_P} \Psi_S(t_1) dt_1 = H \int_0^{T_P} \left\{ 1 - e^{-\theta t_1} \right\}
\]

\[
= H P \left\{ \frac{e^{-\theta T_P} + e^{-\theta T_P}}{\theta} \right\} \approx H P \left\{ 1 - \frac{e^{-\theta T_P}}{\theta} \right\}
\]

Because the production process is imperfect, the occurrence time is assumed to be exponentially distributed with a mean of \( 1/\mu \); that is,

\[
f(\chi) = \mu e^{-\mu \chi} \text{ and } 1 - F(\chi) = e^{-\mu \chi}
\]

The number of the nonconforming items \( \phi \) is obtained from the following relation with the production time.

\[
\phi = \begin{cases} 
\delta_1 P T_P, & \text{when } \chi \geq T_P \\
\delta_1 P \chi + \delta_2 P (T_P - \chi), & \text{when } \chi < T_P 
\end{cases}
\]

Because \( \mu \) is extremely small, according to Equation (11), the expected number of nonconforming products is

\[
E(\phi) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\delta_1 P T_P \int_0^{T_P} \left[ \delta_1 P \chi + \delta_2 P (T_P - \chi) \right] f(\chi) d\chi + \delta_1 P T_P \int_0^\infty \left( 1 - e^{-\mu \chi} \right) d\chi \\
\delta_2 P T_P + (\delta_1 - \delta_2) P \int_0^{T_P} e^{-\mu \chi} d\chi \\
\delta_2 P T_P + (\delta_1 - \delta_2) P \int_0^{T_P} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\mu \chi)^m}{m!} d\chi \\
\approx \left\{ \delta_1 P T_P - \frac{\delta_1 - \delta_2}{2} P \mu (T_P)^2 \right\}
\end{array} \right.
\]

(12)
In this study, product quality is the responsibility of the manufacturer and should be reviewed periodically [36]. The frequent JIT deliveries enable nonconforming processes to be rapidly detected and addressed, thus, this reduces the number of nonconforming items. The decrease in number of nonconforming objects is expected to be related to the delivered material frequency. The cost of repair can be represented as:

\[ R_C = C_R \left[ E(\phi) \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{M} r_j(n_j - 1) \right) \right] \quad (13) \]

For the duration of its use (i.e., the warranty period), the hazard rates for conforming and nonconforming items are \( v_1(\tau) \) and \( v_2(\tau) \), respectively, and the mean failure rates are \( h_1 = \int_0^K v_1(\tau) d\tau \) and \( h_2 = \int_0^K v_2(\tau) d\tau \), respectively. The cost of the free-repair warranty is

\[ PO = C_w \left[ E(\phi) \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{M} r_j(n_j - 1) \right) \right] h_2 + \left[ Q^N - \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{M} r_j(n_j - 1) \right) \right] h_1 \quad (14a) \]

If the hazard rates of conforming and nonconforming products follow a Weibull distribution (please see [43]), then the mean failure rates are \( h_1 = \int_0^K v_1(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^K (\lambda_1^\alpha \rho_1 t^{\alpha-1}) dt = (\lambda_1 K) \alpha \) and \( h_2 = \int_0^K v_2(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^K (\lambda_2^\alpha \rho_2 t^{\alpha-1}) dt = (\lambda_2 K) \alpha \), respectively.

The post-sale warranty cost is derived as follows:

\[ PO = C_w \left[ E(\phi) \left( 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{M} r_j(n_j - 1) \right) \right] (h_2 - h_1) + PTph_1 \quad (14b) \]

3.3. Material Cost

From Figure 2, the inventory level for material \( j \) can be expressed using the following differential equation:

\[ \frac{d\Psi_{mj}(t)}{dt} = -\alpha P - \theta \Psi_{mj}(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_P/n_j \quad (15) \]

For the boundary condition \( \Psi_{mj}(T_P/n_j) = 0 \), Equation (15) can be solved as follows:

\[ \Psi_{mj}(t) = \frac{\alpha P}{\theta} \left[ e^{\theta(T_P/n_j-t)} - 1 \right] \quad (16) \]

From Equation (2), \( \Psi_{mj}(0) = Q^{N_j}_{mj} \), and assuming very small \( \theta \), the delivery batch size can be determined as follows:

\[ Q^{N_j}_{mj} = \Psi_{mj}(0) = \frac{\alpha P}{\theta} \left[ e^{\theta(T_P/n_j)} - 1 \right] \approx \frac{\alpha P T_P}{n_j} \left( 1 + \frac{\theta T_P}{2 n_j} \right) \quad (17) \]

Additional handling costs include tax and overheads are given by:

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{M} h_{mj} \alpha \mu \left( \frac{P T_P}{n_j} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\theta T_P}{2 n_j} \right) \quad (18) \]
The total storage cost for material $j$ is

$$
H_{r}n_{j}^{\frac{T_{p}}{n_{j}}} \int_{0}^{\Psi_{m}(t_{j})} dt_{j} = H_{r}n_{j}^{\frac{T_{p}}{n_{j}}} \int_{0}^{\alpha_{j}P} \left( e^{(\frac{T_{p}}{n_{j}})} - 1 \right) dt_{j} = H_{r}n_{j}^{\frac{T_{p}}{n_{j}}} \left\{ -1 - \frac{-1}{\theta T_{p}/n_{j} + e^{(TP/n_{j})}} \right\}
$$

(19)

The total profit for the manufacturer can be written as follows:

$$
\frac{(C_{p} - G_{m})}{1-\theta} \left\{ \mu_{c} + \frac{\sigma(\frac{\xi}{\theta})}{1-(\frac{\xi}{\theta})^{2}} - 1 \right\}
+ \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{M} n_{j}C_{mj} + \sum_{j=1}^{M} n_{j}g_{1j} \right\}
+ \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left( -1 - \frac{-1}{\theta T_{p}/n_{j} + e^{(TP/n_{j})}} \right) \right\}
\times \left( g_{3j} + \frac{g_{6}T_{p}}{\theta} \right) + C_{s} + \frac{HP(\theta T_{p}-1+e^{-\theta T_{p}})}{\theta^{2}}

+ \left[ g_{5} \left( 1 - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{M} r_{j}(n_{j}-1)}{g_{7}} \right) + g_{7} \right] T_{p}
- g_{6} \left( 1 - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{M} r_{j}(n_{j}-1)}{g_{7}} \right) T_{p}^{2}
$$

(20a)

This problem is equivalent to the following minimization problem:

**Minimize $TP_{C}(\underline{n})$**

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{M} \left[ h_{dmj} \frac{\alpha_{j}P_{j}}{\theta} \left( e^{(\frac{TP}{n_{j}})} - 1 \right) \right]
+ \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{M} n_{j}C_{mj} + \sum_{j=1}^{M} n_{j}g_{1j} \right\}
+ \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left( -1 - \frac{-1}{\theta T_{p}/n_{j} + e^{(TP/n_{j})}} \right) \right\}
\times \left( g_{3j} + \frac{g_{6}T_{p}}{\theta} \right) + C_{s} + \frac{HP(\theta T_{p}-1+e^{-\theta T_{p}})}{\theta^{2}}

+ \left[ g_{5} \left( 1 - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{M} r_{j}(n_{j}-1)}{g_{7}} \right) + g_{7} \right] T_{p}
- g_{6} \left( 1 - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{M} r_{j}(n_{j}-1)}{g_{7}} \right) T_{p}^{2}
$$

(20b)

where $\underline{n} = (n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{M})$, $g_{1j} = \alpha_{j}PH_{r}$, $g_{2j} = \alpha_{j}P$, $g_{3j} = L_{1}(C_{rj} + H_{rj}) + C_{rj}$,

$$
g_{4j} = (C_{rj} + H_{rj}), j = (1, 2, \ldots, M), g_{6} = \left[ \frac{\left| C_{w}(h_{2}-h_{1}) + C_{w}(h_{2}-h_{1}) \right|}{2} \right]$$

$$
g_{7} = \left| C_{w}Ph_{1} + \mu P \right|, g_{5} = \left( \delta_{1}P \right)(C_{G} + C_{w}(h_{2}-h_{1}))$$

and $T_{p} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left[ 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2n(\xi^{2})}{\theta^{2}(1-\theta)}} \right]
$$

(20c)

The value of $g_{1j}$ is determined based on the material storage cost for material $j$, $g_{2j}$ is determined based on the production cost, $g_{3j}$ is determined based on the unit cost of the material $j$, $g_{4j}$ is determined based on the storage cost rate of the material $j$, $g_{5}$ is determined by the repair cost, and $g_{6}$ is determined by the post-sale warranty cost.

4. Theoretical Derivations

To maximize the supply chain profit, the optimal wholesale price $C_{p}$ for the manufacturer and the optimal replenishment quantity for materials $n'$ must be regulated. The optimal ordering decisions are determined by the retailer. Suppose that $TP_{C}(\underline{n})$ represents the total profit for a single material; the replenishment quantity $n'$ can then be determined by minimizing $TP_{C}(\underline{n})$. 
Property 1. If \( (C_{mj} + g_6 r_j T_P^2) > g_5 r_j T_P \), then there exists \( n^*_j \) such that
\[
n^*_j(n^*_j - 1) \leq \frac{[g_{j1} + \theta(h_{dmj} P + g_2 (g_{j4} T_P / 4 + g_{3j} / 2))] \cdot T_P^2}{2[C_{mj} - r_j T_P (g_5 - g_6 T_P)]} \cdot n^*_j + 1,
\]
where \( j = 1 \ldots M \) and \( T_P(n^*_1 - 1, n^*_2 - 1, \ldots, n^*_M - 1, C_P) \geq T_P(n^*_1, n^*_2, \ldots, n^*_M, C_P) \)
\[
\leq T_P(n^*_1 + 1, n^*_2 + 1, \ldots, n^*_M + 1, C_P)
\]

Proof. \( T_P(n) \) is estimated using the Taylor expansion after neglecting the exponential terms of order higher than two.
Choose \( n_j = n^*_j, j = 1 \ldots M \), such that
\[
T_P(n^*_1 - 1, n^*_2 - 1, \ldots, n^*_M - 1) \geq T_P(n^*_1, n^*_2, \ldots, n^*_M) \leq T_P(n^*_1 + 1, n^*_2 + 1, \ldots, n^*_M + 1) \quad (21a)
\]
Because \( n_i \) does not depend on \( n_j \) for \( i \neq j \) and \( n_j \) is independent of \( C_P \), then \( n^*_j \) can be derived.
For the total profit for material \( j \), \( T_{GS}(n_j) \) the following relationships hold:
\[
\begin{align*}
T_{GS1}(n^*_1 - 1) & \geq T_{GS1}(n^*_1) \leq T_{GS1}(n^*_1 + 1) \\
T_{GS2}(n^*_2 - 1) & \geq T_{GS2}(n^*_2) \leq T_{GS2}(n^*_2 + 1) \\
T_{GS3}(n^*_M - 1) & \geq T_{GS3}(n^*_M) \leq T_{GS3}(n^*_M + 1)
\end{align*}
\]
(21b)
The necessary condition for the optimal solution is given as follows:
\[
n^*_j(n^*_j - 1) \leq \frac{[g_{j1} + \theta(h_{dmj} P + g_2 (g_{j4} T_P / 4 + g_{3j} / 2))] \cdot T_P^2}{2[C_{mj} - r_j T_P (g_5 - g_6 T_P)]} \cdot n^*_j + 1
\]
(21c)
where \( j = 1 \ldots M \). □

Property 2. As the deterioration rate tends to zero, the optimal number of deliveries for material \( j \) is given by
\[
n^*_j(n^*_j - 1) \leq \frac{g_{j1}}{2[C_{mj} - r_j T_P (g_5 - g_6 T_P)]} \cdot T_P^2 \leq n^*_j(n^*_j + 1)
\]
(22)
Proof. When \( \theta = 0 \) is substituted into (21c), then \( \theta(h_{dmj} P + g_2 (g_{j4} T_P / 4 + g_{3j} / 2)) \to 0 \) and, consequently, we get
\[
n^*_j(n^*_j - 1) \leq \frac{g_{j1}}{2[C_{mj} - r_j T_P (g_5 - g_6 T_P)]} \cdot T_P^2 \leq n^*_j(n^*_j + 1)
\]
which completes the proof. □

Property 2 indicates that the ordering cost, production rate, storage cost rate, repair cost, and warranty cost influence delivery decision making.
4.1. The Sufficient Condition

When the Hessian matrix $\nabla^2 TP_G(n)$ is positive definite, a sufficient condition for optimality is achieved. Based on the property of $\nabla^2 TP_G(n) / \partial n_i \partial n_j = 0$, $i \neq j$ (Appendix B), the Hessian matrix can be easily obtained. When the optimal points $n^*_j = (n^*_1, n^*_2, \ldots, n^*_M)$ are substituted into $TP_G(n)$, the optimal wholesale price $C_p$ can be established under a given profit, as follows:

$$Q^{N^*} \cdot G_m = Q^{N^*} \cdot C_p - TP_G(n^*)$$ (23)

4.2. Algorithm

Due to the complexity of the total profit model, a heuristic algorithm procedure is proposed to obtain the optimal values.

Step 1: Input all of the related values.

Step 2: When the condition $(C_{mj} + g_6 r_j T_p^2) > g_5 r_j T_p$ is satisfied, there exists $n^*_j$ for each material; if this condition holds, proceed to Step 3; otherwise, proceed to Step 7.

Step 3: Check $n^*_j (n^*_j - 1) \leq \left[ \frac{[g_1 + \theta (h_{cmj} + g_2 (g_4 T_p / 4 + g_3 / 2))]}{2(C_{cmj} - r_j T_p (g_5 - g_6 T_p))} \right] T_p$ where $j = 1 \ldots M$ to determine the optimal value of $n^*_j$.

Step 4: When $n^*_j = 1 \ldots M$ fulfills the sufficient condition for the optimal result, then $n^*_j = (n^*_1, n^*_2, \ldots, n^*_M)$ is the optimal result; otherwise, proceed to Step 7.

Step 5: The production lot size can be determined from

$$Q^{N^*} = \frac{1}{1-\theta} \left[ \mu_c + \frac{\theta (R/Z)}{[1-(R/Z)^2]^{1/2}} \right],$$

where $R/Z = \frac{L_3 - L_2 - L_1 - 2(L_1 + L_5)}{L_3 + L_4 + L_1}$.  

Step 6: The total cost is determined from Equation (20b), and $C_p$ is calculated from Equation (20a).

Step 7: End.

5. Numerical Examples and Discussion

The preceding theoretical development is verified through the numerical examples.

Scenario 1: Assume a constant deterioration rate

On the basis of the inputs listed in Table 2, the optimal solution is shown in Table 3.

### Table 2. Numerical examples.

| Parameters | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|------------|-----------|-----------|
| $\mu_c$    | 700       | 800       |
| $\sigma$   | 60        | 70        |
| $L_1$      | 0.64      | 0.64      |
| $L_2$      | 0.6       | 0.6       |
| $L_3$      | 0.58      | 0.58      |
| $L_4$      | 0.04      | 0.04      |
| $L_5$      | 0.05      | 0.05      |
| $C_S$      | 1200      | 1000      |
| $P$        | 1200      | 1200      |
| $\theta$   | 0.01      | 0.01      |
| $\mu$      | 0.015     | 0.015     |
| $K$        | 2         | 2         |
| $\lambda_1$| 0.01     | 0.01     |
| $\lambda_2$| 0.015    | 0.012    |
| $\rho_1$   | 0.8       | 0.8       |
| $\rho_2$   | 0.9       | 0.8       |
Table 2. Cont.

| Parameters | Example 1 | Example 2 |
|------------|-----------|-----------|
| $A_0$      | 230       | 230       |
| $F$        | 600       | 600       |
| $\delta_1$| 1/320     | 1/360     |
| $\delta_2$| 1/220     | 1/240     |
| $C_R$      | 40        | 40        |
| $C_w$      | 100       | 90        |
| $C_m$      | 25        | 25        |
| $u$        | 2         | 2         |
| $H$        | 4         | 4.5       |
| $L_1^1$    | 0.002     | 0.0027    |
| $L_2^1$    |           | 0.0025    |
| $C_{m1}$   | 285       | 300       |
| $C_{m2}$   |           | 310       |
| $h_{dm1}$  | 13        | 10        |
| $h_{dm2}$  |           | 13        |
| $C_{r1}$   | 5         | 4         |
| $C_{r2}$   |           | 4.6       |
| $H_{r1}$   | 3.5       | 3         |
| $H_{r2}$   |           | 4         |
| $n_1$      | 3         | 2         |
| $n_2$      |           | 3         |
| $r_1$      | 0.0015    | 0.001     |
| $r_2$      |           | 0.001     |

Table 3. Optimal solutions of the numerical examples.

| Value of $n^*$ | Value of $C_P$ | Total cost $TP_C(n^*)$ |
|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Example 1      | $n^* (\approx 2.68) = 3$ | 59.74 | 23,397.27 |
| Example 2      | $(n_1^*, n_2^*) \approx (2.26, 3.14) = (2,3)$ | 76.62 | 39,671.64 |

From the necessary condition stated in Property 1, the optimal number of material deliveries is three and according to the Hessian matrix, the optimal total profit is 152.15. Therefore, this number of material deliveries is an optimal and unique solution. The optimal solution for multiple materials is also presented in Table 3. Multiple material deliveries incur a higher cost than a single delivery. However, the cost of purchase is also slightly increased. In practice, the smooth operation of a manufacturing site requires multiple material deliveries, and some losses must be incurred.

Scenario 2: Variable deterioration rate

For a variable deterioration rate, the optimal solution demonstrates that increases in the deterioration rate increase the optimal ordering quantity, selling price, total cost, and optimal number of material deliveries. This is because when the rate of deterioration is high, a considerable percentage of the products are lost, along with their related revenues. Table 4 lists various deterioration rates, and the associated changes to clarify the effect of deterioration on the total cost. This information can provide insight to management to restrict deterioration in order to secure a favorable profit margin. Table 4 and Figure 3 illustrate the optimal total cost solutions for various deterioration rates.
Table 4. Optimal solutions for various deterioration rates.

| θ  | (x₁, x₂) | C₀ | Ordering Sizes | Total Cost TP(xst) |
|----|----------|----|----------------|-------------------|
| 0.10 | (3,4)    | 79.77 | 848.42         | 44,527.24         |
| 0.05 | (3,3)    | 77.95 | 803.77         | 41,737.19         |
| 0.01 | (2,3)    | 76.62 | 771.58         | 39,671.64         |
| 0.005| (2,3)    | 76.47 | 767.42         | 39,427.24         |
| 0.001| (2,3)    | 76.35 | 764.35         | 39,233.91         |
| 0.0005| (2,3)   | 76.33 | 763.96         | 39,209.88         |
| 0.0001| (2,3)   | 76.32 | 763.66         | 39,190.68         |
| 0.00001| (2,3) | 76.32 | 763.59         | 39,186.36         |

Figure 3. Total cost for various deterioration rates.

6. Conclusions

In this study, we propose a two-echelon distribution-free deteriorating production–inventory model for deteriorating products with imperfect processes. The proposed newsboy problem model not only incorporates product deterioration, but also JIT multiple deliveries. We have shown some interesting results for varying deteriorating rates in the numerical analysis. We have observed that any increase in deterioration rate raises the optimal ordering quantity, selling price, total cost, and optimal number of material deliveries. Moreover, the model also implemented JIT deliveries which enable rapid detection and rectification of the nonconforming items; this approach reduces the number of nonconforming items. In the study, the optimal ordering policies for the retailer are derived. The results of this study can provide some managerial insights for manufacturers and retailers in their decision making. Theoretical derivations are provided to demonstrate the concavity of the profit function. Besides the model development, a solution procedure is provided to assist manufacturers in determining the optimal wholesale price and replenishing cycle.

The limitations of the study ignore the environmental concerns and assume a single manufacturer–supplier. For the further research, this study can be extended to consider carbon footprint, multiple manufacturers, and multiple suppliers. One can also consider preservation technology to reduce the deterioration rate of the products.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, A.H.M.M. and H.-M.W.; Methodology, A.H.M.M., C.-V.H., H.-M.W. and J.Z.W.; Authentication, H.-M.W. and J.Z.W.; Resources, H.-M.W., A.H.M.M. and C.-V.H.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, A.H.M.M., H.-M.W. and J.Z.W.; Writing—Review and Editing, A.H.M.M. and H.-M.W.; Supervision, H.-M.W. and J.Z.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
Appendix A

From Equation (8), the square roots of the production time can be written as

\[ T_{P1} = \frac{-2P(1-\theta) + 2\sqrt{P^2(1-\theta)^2 - 2P^2Q(1-\theta)}}{2P\theta(1+\theta)} = \frac{g_1(\theta)}{F_1(\theta)} \]

\[ T_{P2} = \frac{-2P(1-\theta) - 2\sqrt{P^2(1-\theta)^2 - 2P^2Q(1-\theta)}}{2P\theta(1+\theta)} = \frac{g_2(\theta)}{F_2(\theta)} \]

Let \( g_1(\theta) = -2P(1-\theta) + 2\sqrt{P^2(1-\theta)^2 - 2P^2Q(1-\theta)} \) and \( F_1(\theta) = 2P\theta(-1+\theta) \); according to L'Hôpital's rule,

\[ \lim_{\theta \to 0} T_{P1} = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{-2P(1-\theta) + 2\sqrt{P^2(1-\theta)^2 - 2P^2Q(1-\theta)}}{2P\theta(1+\theta)} = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{g_1(\theta)}{F_1(\theta)} \]

\[ = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{d_{g_1(\theta)/d\theta}}{d_{F_1(\theta)/d\theta}} = \frac{2P + \frac{2P^2(1-\theta) - 2P^2Q(1-\theta)}{\sqrt{P^2(1-\theta)^2 - 2P^2Q(1-\theta)}}}{-2P + 4P\theta} = -1 + \frac{Q}{P} = \frac{Q}{P} \] (A1)

Similarly, let \( g_2(\theta) = -2P(1-\theta) - 2\sqrt{P^2(1-\theta)^2 - 2P^2Q(1-\theta)} \) and \( F_2(\theta) = 2P\theta(-1+\theta) \); thus,

\[ \lim_{\theta \to 0} T_{P2} = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{-2P(1-\theta) - 2\sqrt{P^2(1-\theta)^2 - 2P^2Q(1-\theta)}}{2P\theta(1+\theta)} = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{g_2(\theta)}{F_2(\theta)} \]

\[ = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{d_{g_2(\theta)/d\theta}}{d_{F_2(\theta)/d\theta}} = -1 - \frac{Q^N}{\sqrt{P^2}} = -2 + \frac{-Q^N}{P} \] (A2)

We select Equation (A1) as the rational root of the production time.

Appendix B

The revised Hessian matrix is

\[
\nabla^2 TP_G(n) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial^2 TP_G}{\partial n_1^2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\partial^2 TP_G}{\partial n_2^2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 TP_G}{\partial n_{m-1}^2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\partial^2 TP_G}{\partial n_m^2}
\end{bmatrix}
\] (A3)

Because \( \frac{\partial^2 TP_G}{\partial n_j^2} > 0 \) and the matrix \( [\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}] \) are greater than zero, \( \nabla^2 TP_G(n) \) is positive definite.
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