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ABSTRACT

The ultraluminous X-ray source (ULX), NGC 5408 X-1, is one of only 3 such objects to show a quasi-periodic oscillation (QPO) in its power spectrum. Previous analysis of this signal identified it with the well-studied type C low-frequency QPO (LFQPO) seen in black hole binaries (BHBs), implying an intermediate mass black hole (IMBH). However, in BHBs this QPO has a centroid frequency which scales tightly with the position of the low-frequency break in the broad band power spectrum. We use this relation to predict the frequency of the power spectral break in NGC 5408 X-1, and show that this is inconsistent with the break frequencies in both available, archival XMM-Newton observations. Thus the broad band power spectral shape does not support this identification of the QPO.

The energy spectra also do not support an IMBH interpretation. They can be fit by a two-component model, best described by soft thermal emission at low energies, together with low-temperature, optically thick Comptonisation producing a tail which dominates above 2 keV. The parameters of the tail are unlike those seen in any of the sub-Eddington BHB spectral states. The energy dependent variability supports this deconvolution, as it is consistent with the soft thermal component below 2 keV diluting extreme variability of the high energy tail. The only objects with similar spectra which have similar amounts of variability are the BHB, GRS 1915+105, and some extreme NLS1s. This suggests that NGC 5408 X-1 is in a similar super-Eddington state, placing a natural limit on the mass of \( \lesssim 100M_\odot \). Its QPO could then be similar to the ultra-LFQPO seen occasionally in GRS 1915+105, consistent with a large stellar mass black hole. We suggest a model geometry which may explain the spectra and variability of highly super-Eddington sources.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Extragalactic X-ray sources with luminosities in excess of \( 10^{39} \) erg s\(^{-1} \) have now been widely detected in the nearby Universe (Fabbiano 1989; Miller & Colbert 2004; Roberts 2007). These are designated as ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs) if they are not coincident with the nucleus of their host galaxy (i.e. an AGN) nor correspond to a foreground or background object, e.g. a QSO. They are too luminous to be powered by a sub-Eddington accretion flow in a stellar-mass black hole binary (BHB) unless substantial beaming of their emission is invoked (King et al. 2001), so either require super-Eddington mass accretion onto a stellar mass black hole, or sub-Eddington accretion onto an intermediate mass black hole (IMBH; see Colbert & Mushotzky 1999). Unambiguously distinguishing between these possibilities requires radial velocity measurements of the underlying binary motion to directly determine the mass (see e.g. Charles & Coe 2006). Until these become available, we can only use more indirect arguments to identify the nature of these objects, primarily by comparing the X-ray spectral and timing properties of ULXs with those of stellar-mass BHBs (see e.g. the review by Roberts 2007).

The X-ray spectra of ULXs can be fairly well described by a two component (disc plus power-law) model, as commonly used for BHBs. Taking the disc temperatures at face value implies masses of order \( 10^5-10^6M_\odot \) (Kaaret et al. 2003; Miller et al. 2003; Miller et al. 2004a; Cropper et al. 2004; Dewangan et al. 2004; Roberts et al. 2005), favouring sub-Eddington accretion flows onto an IMBH (Colbert & Mushotzky 1999). However, the disc component generally does not dominate these spectra, and BHBs show that in these circumstances the derived disc radii vary dramatically, so cannot be used to estimate mass (Kubota & Done 2004). Kajava & Poutanen (2009) show that this 'cool disc' component varies as \( L \propto T^{-3.5} \), implying that the radius of the thermally emitting material increases with increasing luminosity, in sharp contrast to the \( L \propto T^4 \) expected from a disc with constant inner radius (see also Feng & Kaaret 2009).
The observed behaviour may instead be produced from a super-Eddington source powering a strong wind, where the photosphere of the wind expands with increasing luminosity (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; King & Pounds 2003; Begelman, King & Pringle 2006; Pontanen et al. 2007).

While the 'disc' spectrum is ambiguous, the 'power-law' is somewhat clearer in distinguishing between IMBH and stellar mass systems (or rather in distinguishing between sub and super-Eddington accretion). Sources with the highest quality data show clear signs that the high energy 'power-law' tail rolls over above 6 keV, so it is better fit by low temperature thermal Comptonisation (Stobbart, Roberts, & Wilms 2006; Miyawaki et al. 2009). A rollover at such low temperatures is not seen in any of the sub-Eddington BHBs, so this suggests that ULXs are super-Eddington and in a new, ultraluminous state (Roberts 2007; Gladstone et al. 2009, hereafter G09; Vierdayanti et al. 2010). Similarly, low temperature thermal Comptonisation is also required in the brightest Narrow-Line Seyfert 1s (NLS1s, e.g. RE J1034+396) and the brightest BHB in our own Galaxy, GRS 1915+105 (Zdziarski et al. 2001; Middleton et al. 2009; Ueda et al. 2009). Super-Eddington accretion then implies a much smaller mass black hole in ULXs, of the order 10-100 M⊙.

Another diagnostic of the nature of the accretion flow is its rapid variability as this is strongly correlated with spectral state. Sub-Eddington BHBs show power density spectra (PDS) which can be very roughly described by band limited noise, with a low frequency break at νb from a slope of ν0 to ν−1, followed by a high frequency break, νa, to ν−2. This broad-band noise is often accompanied by strong low frequency Quasi-Periodic Oscillations (LFQPOs) which correlate with the low frequency break (νλFQPO ∼ 10νb; Wijnands & van der Klis 1999). The LFQPO increases in frequency, coherence and power as the source flux increases and the spectrum softens from a faint low-hard state through the hard-intermediate state up to the soft-intermediate state (Roberts 2007; Gladstone et al. 2009, hereafter G09; Vierdayanti et al. 2010). During this transition, the broadband power spectrum narrows in νPν, with νb increasing while the power at high frequencies remains fairly constant (Czerny et al. 2008; Done, Gierliński & Kubota 2007).

Whilst the characteristic breaks are difficult to constrain in the low signal-to-noise ULX data (see Heil, Vaughan & Roberts 2009), QPO features are much easier to measure. Three ULXs are known to demonstrate strong QPOs in their PDS (Strohmayer & Mushotzky 2003), NGC 5408 X-1 (Strohmayer et al. 2007, hereafter S07; Strohmayer & Mushotzky 2009, hereafter SM09) and, more recently, a second source in M82 (Feng, Rao, & Kaaret 2010). Identifying these with the type C LFQPO seen in sub-Eddington BHBs implies that these are all IMBHs (Casella et al. 2008; S07; SM09). However, it also implies that the power spectra should show a low frequency break, and that the energy spectra should be consistent with a low-hard or very high state as these are the states in which the LFQPO is seen. We reanalyse the data from NGC 5408 X-1, looking at both spectra and variability, and show that neither the energy spectra nor power spectra support the identification of the QPO with the standard LFQPO seen in sub-Eddington BHBs. Instead we show that the spectra, power spectra, and variability as a function of energy are all better matched by a super-Eddington flow, implying a stellar mass compact object, in which case the QPO may be analogous to the milli-Hz QPOs seen in GRS 1915+105.

2 DATA EXTRACTION

The source was well-exposed on 2 occasions for all 3 EPIC cameras on-board XMM-Newton (OBSIDs: 0302900101, hereafter Obs 1 & 0500750101, hereafter Obs 2). These are separated by almost exactly 2 years and have a duration of 132 and 116 ks respectively.

We follow standard extraction procedure, re-processing the raw data files in sas v9.0 with up-to-date cross-calibration files. Taking extraction regions of 38′′ for both source and background (ensuring that the background region was within the central chip, far enough removed from the source to avoid PSF wings and not co-incident with other sources in the field of view), we extract lightcurves and spectra using xselect v5.10 and using patterns 0-4 and 0-12 for PN and MOS respectively. The extracted lightcurves are found to be contaminated by background flares at the beginning and end of Obs 1, so excluding these gives a single Good Time Interval (GTI) of ∼80ks. However, for Obs 2, the background flares are more extensive, giving only ∼30ks of continuous, clean data. This is in keeping with the data used for the recent rms-flux analysis of Heil & Vaughan (2010) but is markedly different to that of SM09 who used ∼70ks from the lightcurve in Obs 2.

Figure 1 shows the EPIC PN count rate as a function of energy for each observation, together with its ratio (Obs1/Obs2). Despite using different GTIs to S07 and SM09, we find a similar result, which is that there is a 20 per cent decrease at soft energies in Obs 2 compared to Obs 1, but that there is no significant change at hard energies.
Figure 2. Energy spectra of combined EPIC MOS and PN observations of NGC 5408 X-1. Both spectra indicate a break around 2–3 keV or a deficit of photons in 1–2 keV band relative to the extension of the power-law, fitted to the data above 4 keV. This can be interpreted as a signature of thermal Comptonisation, thus the absorption-corrected convolution in green (solid line) is composed of a thermal disc component (diskpn) in blue (dashed line), together with thermal Comptonisation of the seed disc photons (comptt) in red (dot-dashed line).

Table 1. Table of best-fitting spectral parameters

|       | DISKPN |       | COMPJT |
|-------|--------|-------|--------|
|       | \(N_H\) | \(T_{\text{max}}\) (keV) | norm \((\times 10^{-3})\) | \(F_d\) | \(T_e\) (keV) | \(\tau\) | norm \((\times 10^{-4})\) | \(F_c\) |
| Obs 1 | 9.6^{+0.8}_{-0.5} | 0.17^{+0.00}_{-0.01} | 2.9^{+0.1}_{-0.3} | 1.6^{+0.7}_{-0.4} | 1.6^{+0.4}_{-0.2} | 6.3^{+1.3}_{-2.3} | 5.8^{+1.3}_{-0.7} | 1.2^{+1.1}_{-0.5} | 959 (829 d.o.f.) |
| Obs 2 | 10.8^{+1.6}_{-1.3} | 0.17^{+0.01}_{-0.00} | 2.7^{+0.5}_{-0.9} | 1.6^{+1.4}_{-0.6} | 1.4^{+0.5}_{-0.3} | 7.0^{+2.6}_{-1.5} | 5.9^{+2.0}_{-1.2} | 1.2^{+2.0}_{-0.6} | 637 (589 d.o.f.) |

Notes: Best-fit parameters for the model: \(N_{BH}(\text{diskpn}+\text{comptt})\). \(N_H\) \((\times 10^{20}\text{cm}^{-2})\) is the neutral absorption column with the lower limit set at Galactic \((5.73 \times 10^{20}\text{cm}^{-2})\). \(T_{\text{max}}\) is the peak disc temperature (with \(R_{\text{in}}\) set at \(6R_g\)) which provides the seed photons for the electron plasma at temperature \(T_e\); \(\tau\) is the optical depth of the same plasma and \(F\) is the 0.3-10 keV flux \((\times 10^{-12} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})\) in the disc \((F_d)\) and Compton component \((F_c)\) respectively. All errors are 90% confidence limits.

3 ENERGY SPECTRA

For each observation we fit the EPIC PN and MOS spectra simultaneously in xspec 11.3.2, allowing the normalisation of the model to float between the different instruments. The best fitting values of these constants are consistent with each other to within 10 per cent in all the fits.

The spectrum of the first observation has been extended to Obs 2 for the first time. We follow G09 and fit both observations with a diskpn + comptt model, where diskpn has \(R_{\text{in}}\) fixed at \(6R_g\). This is absorbed by a neutral column (tbabs) with lower limit set to that of Galactic absorption at \(5.73 \times 10^{20}\text{cm}^{-2}\) (SM09). The resulting best-fitting models are plotted with the de-absorbed data in Figure 2, and the associated parameters are given in Table 1 together with their 90% confidence limits.

The coronal component is cool \((T_e \sim 1.5\text{ keV})\) and optically thick \((\tau \sim 7)\) in both fits, providing a physical basis for the turn-over evident at \(\sim 4\text{ keV}\) in both spectra in Figure 2. We assess the significance of this high energy break in the following, simple manner. We took the best-fitting model and fixed the temperature of the corona at (i) 100 keV, similar to the corona seen in the hard state (Ibragimov et al. 2005); and (ii) 20 keV, similar to that in the very high/steep power-law state (Kubota & Done 2004). We then re-fitted the model. In each case this led to a degradation in the quality of the fit, with \(\Delta \chi^2 > 28\) for one additional
degree of freedom (d.o.f.) for cases (i) and (ii) in Obs 1, and \( \Delta \chi^2 > 14 \) for Obs 2, compared to the free fit resulting in the cool corona. Statistically, this leads to > 99.9% confidence in all cases (according to the F-test) that the cool, optically thick solution provides a superior fit to the hotter corona cases. This argues strongly that the energy spectrum cannot be well-described by classic sub-Eddington accretion states, as assumed by SM09.

Caballero-Garcia & Fabian (2010) highlight that the spectrum of NGC 5408 X-1 contains line-like residuals at low energies (particularly below 1 keV; see their Figure 1). Introducing an optically thin plasma (mekal) component to each best-fitting model, to account for these features, significantly improved the fit (\( \Delta \chi^2 \) of 83 for 2 d.o.f. in Obs 1 and 50 for 2 d.o.f. in Obs 2, for a solar abundance MEKAL and a best fitting temperature ~ 0.8 keV). Previous work (SM09) has suggested that an extended X-ray component may be present, associated with the star formation regions close to NGC 5408 X-1 in its host galaxy. One might naively assume that this plasma could originate in such a star-forming region. However, we note the large \( L_X \) from this plasma (\( \sim 2.6 \times 10^{38} \) erg s\(^{-1}\)) may be somewhat high for a small star-forming region in a small galaxy; for context, the whole of M101 has a diffuse \( L_X \) of \( \sim 2 \times 10^{39} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) (Warwick et al. 2007). Furthermore, we find minimal evidence for extended emission in an analysis of the longest available Chandra dataset (OBSID:4558). This suggests that the features are intrinsic to the source (as suggested by Caballero-Garcia & Fabian 2010), which we will explore further in a future paper. In this paper, we are concerned only with the continua, and the parameters of this do not differ significantly from the fits reported in Table 1 after the addition of the mekal component.

### 4 TIMING ANALYSIS

#### 4.1 Broad band power spectra

We extract evenly-sampled PDS for each observation using the XRONOS tool POWSPEC, from the background subtracted, co-added lightcurves of the EPIC PN and MOS detectors (using the same continuous GTI and extraction regions as used in the energy spectral analysis). Co-adding the data maximises the total count rate and so maximises the power spectral statistics. While the spectral response differs between PN and MOS detectors, the fractional variability at any specific energy should be perfectly correlated across both (although see Barnard et al. 2007 for possible, extraction-method-dependent problems).

As the observations are taken in full-frame mode, the maximum time resolution available across all 3 detectors is that for the MOS cameras of 2.6 s. However, in order to have sufficient statistics for a broad-band variability analysis we use a time binning of 10 s. This binning gives an upper limit to the frequency range (0.05 Hz), just below the frequency at which the Poisson (white noise) errors become dominant.

SM09 and S07 show that the significance of the QPO (found at \( \sim 0.01 \) Hz and \( \sim 0.02 \) Hz in Obs 1 and 2, respectively) is maximised over the energy bands 0.2-8 keV and 1-8 keV, respectively. We use the same energy bands to constrain the band noise power as this generally correlates with the QPO (McClintock & Remillard 2006). Our power spectra show the QPO at \( \sim 0.01 \) Hz and \( \sim 0.02 \) Hz for Obs 1 and 2, respectively. The significance of these features has been confirmed in previous analyses (SM09; Heil, Vaughan & Roberts 2009) and so here we concentrate instead on the shape of the broad band noise. If this QPO is the standard type C LFQPO seen in BHBs, then the broad band noise should break from \( \nu^3 \) to \( \nu^{-1} \) at a frequency which is a factor \( \sim 10 \) lower than that of the QPO (Wijnands & van der Klis 1999). We can test for the presence and position of this break in our data and compare it to the expected and observed position of the QPO. In order to do this we use the entire length of each observation, calculating a PDS extending down to the lowest available frequencies (1/()}
Figure 4. The average PDS for Obs 1 (left) and 2 (right) from 1000 s lightcurve segments in different energy bands. These have white noise subtracted and are geometrically re-binned by a factor of 1.02. This only has an effect at the highest frequencies, where it reduces some of the variance associated with white noise subtraction, but preserves the full (linear) resolution at lower frequencies. Green (upper histogram in both) corresponds to 1-8 keV, black (middle histogram in both) to 0.3-10 keV and magenta (lower histogram in both) to 0.3-1 keV respectively. The vertical red line indicates the upper limit on the PDS sampled by the rms spectrum on 50s binning (Fig 5). Plainly there is more variability in the hard energy band than in the soft in both datasets.

Figure 5. The rms spectra calculated from the lightcurves in each energy band. The black points (squares) correspond to the full frequency bandwidth i.e. from $1/T_{\text{obs}}$ to the Nyquist frequency for 10 s binning. It is clear that the variability rises strongly with energy for both observations up to $\sim 1-2$ keV. After this the signal-to-noise is very poor so the variability may either level off or decrease with energy. There are only marginal differences in the pattern of variability with energy on different timescales. The red points (circles) show the rms which results from calculating the rms from $1/T_{\text{obs}}$ using 50 s binning of the lightcurve, so that it is more sensitive to the longer term variability (i.e. the PDS to the left of the vertical red line in Fig 4), while the blue points (triangles) show the difference between these two, so that this gives the rms of the rapid variability, integrated over frequencies from $1/(2 \times 50)$ to $1/(2 \times 10)$ Hz. All these show that the amount of variability increases with energy up to 1-2 keV, consistent with the model where the variability at low energies is diluted by a constant soft component (black line).
length of the observation), so as to get the best possible constraints on a low frequency break. However, power spectral statistics mean that the uncertainty on the derived power is equal to the power itself leaving the continuum unconstrained. We get much better constraints from simulations, i.e. we create large numbers of simulated lightcurves with a model power spectrum which breaks from $\nu^0$ to $\nu^{-1}$ at a frequency $\nu_b$ which we vary from 0.001 – 0.01 Hz. The simulated lightcurves have the same statistical properties as the original, including noise power (Middleton & Done 2010, see also Uttley et al. 2001), after which we obtain the average PDS of the simulated lightcurves, together with uncertainties given by the dispersion in the simulation values. These can then be compared to the real power spectra to get a $\chi^2$ description of how well the simulations describe the data, and hence constrain $\nu_b$.

The upper and lower panels in Figure 3 show reduced $\chi^2$ against $\nu_b$ for the comparison between the simulations and the PDS for Obs 1 and 2. These are not smooth as power spectra are stochastic, but fitting the reduced $\chi^2$ data with a quadratic allows us to determine the 90% significance bounds ($\Delta \chi^2 = 2.7$).

This gives a lower limit on the break frequency of $> 6.9 \times 10^{-3}$ Hz for Obs 1, while Obs 2 gives a detection at $4.0^{+1.3}_{-1.1} \times 10^{-3}$ Hz. Obs 1 is clearly inconsistent with the predicted break at $10^{-3}$ Hz for a type C LFQPO at 0.01 Hz. The break in Obs 2 is closer to the type C prediction of $2 \times 10^{-3}$ Hz, but still outside the 90 per cent confidence interval allowed by the data. Thus the broad band power spectral shape does not support the identification of the QPO with a type C LFQPO.

4.2 Energy-dependent variability

The energy spectrum compresses all variability information into a single average count rate, while a power spectrum compresses energy information. Here instead we combine the two approaches, looking at the energy dependence of the variability in order to disentangle different physical components in the spectrum.

Figure 4 shows the average PDS of each observation, calculated from ~80 (Obs 1) or 30 (Obs 2), 1000 s segments of the lightcurve. These are shown in soft (0.3-1 keV, magenta), hard (1-8 keV, green) and total (0.3-10 keV, black) band-passes, where the normalisation of the PDS is $\sigma/I$ (where $\sigma^2$ is the intrinsic, statistical error corrected, variance of the lightcurve and I is the mean count rate). Plainly there is more variability at hard energies than at soft energies, thus the total bandpass PDS lies between the two energy-resolved PDS. There is also significantly more variability in the hard energy band in Obs 2 than Obs 1, with $\sigma/I = 0.426 \pm 0.008$ for Obs 1 increasing to 0.514 $\pm$ 0.013.

We get a more direct view of the energy dependence of the variability by calculating the rms variability spectrum, $\sigma/I(E)$ (see Edelson et al. 2002; Markowitz, Edelson & Vaughan 2003; Vaughan et al. 2003; Gierliński & Zdziarski 2005). To do this, we extract the total lightcurve over the length of the observation in each chosen energy bandpass ($0.3-0.45, 0.45-0.7, 0.7-1.1, 1.1-1.8, 1.8-2.5, 2.5-10$ keV). We first use 10 s binning, so we are in effect integrating the power spectrum in that energy band between frequencies of $1/T_{obs}$ to the Nyquist frequency of 0.05 Hz. The results from this are shown by the black points in Figure 5. In each observation there is a very significant increase in rms from soft through to intermediate energies, peaking at an extraordinary 40% in Obs 1 and ~50% in Obs 2! Following this peak, the fractional variability appears to flatten or perhaps even decrease at higher energies, though the lack of statistics at these energies means that this is not at all well constrained.

We can further resolve the variability by looking at long and short timescales. We recalculate the rms using a binning of 50 s, to show only the power on long timescales (red...
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Figure 7. Left-hand panel: Average (from 1000 s segments of the lightcurve) PDS of both observations of NGC 5408 X-1 in the 1-8 keV band. Centre panel: 2 PDS from RXTE observations of the BHB GRS 1915+105 in a highly luminous (probably super-Eddington) state (OBSIDs: 20405-01-33-00 and 10408-01-05-00, termed 33 and 05, in blue and magenta respectively) created from the entire length of the observation. Right-hand panel: the XMM-Newton EPIC-pn PDS of the NLS1 IRAS 13224-3809 created from the entire length of the observation. All PDS are very slightly geometrically re-binned (by a factor of 1.02) and have had their white noise subtracted. The amount of variability (i.e. the integrated power under the PDS) seen in the tail of NGC 5408 X-1 is unusually high, and appears to only be matched by that seen in some super-Eddington sources.

points). This shows a very similar pattern of variability to that derived from the total lightcurve, with much more variability at hard energies than at soft. The blue points show the energy dependence of the rapid variability, formed from the difference (in quadrature) between $\sigma/I(E)$ from the 10 s binning and that from the 50 s binning. Thus this effectively isolates variability between frequencies of 0.01-0.05 Hz, but again the pattern of variability with energy is very similar.

Both observations show a very similar pattern, but the rms variability at 1-2 keV is even larger for Obs 2 than Obs 1. The black points in Fig 6 show the ratio of the total rms spectrum in Obs 2 compared to Obs 1, while the red and blue points show the ratio of rms for the long and short timescale variability respectively. Apart from on short timescales, these show significantly more variability above 1 keV in Obs 2, as expected from the power spectral analysis (Fig 4).

Thus, variability on all timescales and in both observations shows a strong increase as a function of energy. This is consistent with a model in which there is a relatively stable component at soft energies diluting the variability as might be expected by a thermal disc (see Churazov et al. 2001) or optically thick photospheric component (G09, Żdziarski, Misra & Gierliński 2010). This can be modelled by changing the normalisation of the hard energy spectral component by the percentage rms and taking the ratio of this to the best-fit model. The result on 10s binning is shown as the solid black line in Fig 5, which closely resembles the shape of the rms.

We note that the shape of this rms is inconsistent with models in which the spectrum is dominated by a single component which changes only in normalisation. This would give an rms which is constant with energy. This rules out the reflection dominated model of Caballero-Garcia & Fabian (2010) unless the variability is strongly fine-tuned. The rms shape requires that the unseen illuminating power-law spectrum pivots around 0.3 keV (see the spectral and rms analysis of a reflection dominated model for RE J1034+396 in Middleton et al. 2009).

5 DISCUSSION

The spectral and rms analysis presented here both support a two-component model, where the soft component can be modelled by low temperature thermal emission that is mostly constant on timescales of up to a day, while the hard component, best modelled by a cool, optically thick corona, is steep and varies quite dramatically on timescales down to at least a hundred seconds. Whilst this superficially resembles the properties of the 'steep power-law' state (McCintock & Remillard 2006) seen at fairly high luminosities, both the spectra and variability are inconsistent with such an interpretation. The low temperature of the thermal component means that if this were from an accretion disc then the black hole mass is of order $10^4 M_\odot$. A similar large mass is derived if the QPOs are identified with the BHB type C LFQPO. However, the ULX luminosity of $\sim 10^{40}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ is then only $L/L_{\text{Edd}} \sim 0.01$. BHBs have hard tails ($\Gamma \sim 1.5 - 1.8$) at such low mass accretion rates, rather than the soft tail$^1$ seen in NGC 5408 X-1. Similarly, the BHB power spectra at these low mass accretion rates show a low frequency break tightly correlated with the QPO frequency. These breaks are not present at the predicted frequency in NGC 5408 X-1.

$^1$ The negative slope of the data at E>1.5 keV in both panels of Fig. 2 demonstrates that $\Gamma >2$, assuming a power-law fit, given the panels are plotted in Ef(E) space.
Soft, variable tails are only seen in BHBs in the ‘steep power-law’ state, at $L/L_{Edd} \sim 0.3-1$ (Remillard & McClintock 2006), giving a mass of $80-230M_\odot$ if NGC 5408 X-1 is in an analogous state. However, the large fractional variability of 0.3-0.4 is only seen above 10 keV in BHBs in this state, where the tail dominates over the constant disc component (see the energy-dependent rms spectra in Gierliński & Zdziarski 2005). Scaling this energy for the difference in mass from $80-230M_\odot$ to $10M_\odot$ gives a prediction that the tail should start to dominate above 4.5-6 keV, at significantly higher energies than seen in NGC 5408 X-1, where the variable tail dominates above 2 keV.

Thus, NGC 5408 X-1 does not look convincingly similar to any of the sub-Eddington BHB states. Instead, the large implied emission radius of the soft thermal component can also be incorporated into the super-Eddington flow models by identifying this not with the disc itself but with much more extended emission from the photosphere of a wind (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Begelman et al. 2006; Poutanen et al. 2007). Similarly, the extreme variability associated with the tail can be matched by occasional states of the pathologically variable BHB, GRS 1915+105, where it is probably associated with instabilities in a super-Eddington flow (Belloni et al. 1997). Fig 7 (left hand panel) shows the power spectrum of the tail in NGC 5408 X-1 (1-8 keV) compared to that of RXTE data from $\kappa$ and $\mu$ variability states of GRS 1915+105 (centre panel, OBSIDs 20405-01-33-00: Belloni et al. 2000). These are calculated using standard 1 data (0.125 s resolution) which has no energy information, but the source spectrum in the RXTE bandpass means that the counts are concentrated in the 3-10 keV energy range. As well as showing similarly extreme amounts of broad band noise, these also show small milli-Hertz QPO features at similarly low frequencies as seen in NGC 5408 X-1 (0.01 Hz for 33). These ultra-low frequency QPOs can dominate the power spectrum of GRS 1915+105 in other states where there is much less broad band variability (OBSID: 10408-01-05-00 shown in magenta in the same panel), but this is unlike NGC 5408 X-1, where the QPOs are weak and the broadband variability is strong. Nonetheless, it is clear that stellar remnant black holes can produce ultra-LFQPOs as well as the standard type C LFQPOs.

However, while the variability properties of NGC 5408 X-1 and some states of GRS 1915+105 are very similar, the energy spectra are subtly different. The $\kappa$ and $\mu$ state observations of GRS 1915+105 have spectra which can be well modelled by a disc plus low temperature, optically thick Comptonisation (Zdziarski et al. 2001, 2005; Done, Wardziński & Gierliński 2004; Ueda et al. 2009, 2010), but unlike NGC 5408 X-1, the Comptonisation component dominates the bolometric luminosity, rather than the thermal component (see Fig. 2, compared to Middleton & Done 2010).

Thus, even the most super-Eddington BHB is not really a good match to the spectrum of NGC 5408 X-1. The only known systems which show a similar combination of steep spectral tail and extreme variability are a small subset of Narrow Line Seyfert 1 galaxies (Leighly 1999; O’Neill et al. 2005) 2. These are likely to be super-Eddington sources, but there are large uncertainties in deriving mass and mass accretion rate for these sources (Grupe & Mathur 2004; Marconi et al. 2008). Fig 7 (right hand panel) shows the power spectrum from XMM-Newton data (OBSID 0110890101) of the most extremely variable NLS1, IRAS 13224-3809 (Boller et al. 1997; Gallo et al. 2004; Ponti et al. 2010). Since any soft thermal component in the AGN should be at much lower energies, we use the full bandpass from 0.3-10 keV. Clearly the peak in $\nu P(\nu)$ reaches similar values, though the frequencies are very different.

2 However, we note that a second ULX with similar properties was reported while this paper was being reviewed (Rao, Feng & Kaaret 2010)
Since NGC 5408 X-1 does not match with any of the sub-Eddington BHB states, nor to any of the multiple states of the mildly super-Eddington BHB GRS 1915+105, it seems most likely that it represents a strongly super-Eddington source. Such flows power a strong wind, where the wind photosphere gives the large radius, luminous, soft thermal component at low energies (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Begelman et al. 2006; Poutanen et al. 2007). This extended emission will be constant on short timescales. We also see a much more variable tail, so the optically thick wind cannot cover all lines of sight to the inner disc. A reasonable geometry for this is an equatorial disc wind (Abolmasov, Karpov & Kotani 2009; Ohsuga et al. 2007, 2009).

The low temperature, optically thick Compton emission could be produced by a corona over the inner disc, a more extreme version of the marginally optically thick corona seen in the steep power-law state (e.g. Done & Kubota 2006; G09). However, it could also be the spectrum of the inner disc itself as at such high mass accretion rate, the high disc temperature means that there is very little true continuum opacity (Beloborodov 1998, Done & Davis 2008). This means that the energy does not thermalise, and cannot even be well approximated by a colour temperature corrected blackbody (see e.g. Watarai et al. 2001). We favour this latter origin as the probably super-Eddington AGN RE J1034+396 shows clear evidence for a separate, variable corona, as well as a more constant low temperature Comptonised component (the energy shift from the much larger black hole mass means that any wind photosphere emission is at too low an energy to be observed). This predicts there should also be an additional weak tail in the ULX, which is so far unobserved.

While the small size scale of the inner disc means that it could vary intrinsically, we note that other ULXs with similar spectra do not show similarly extreme variability (Heil et al. 2009, spectra from G09). Instead, a solution which can explain the range of variability behaviour in these wind-dominated ULXs could be if the extreme variability is extrinsic, from clumps in the wind. Numerical simulations show that this wind is turbulent (Ohsuga 2007; Ohsuga et al. 2009), giving strong variability of the Comptonisation component from the inner disc on sightlines which intercept the major wind streamlines, but the soft thermal emission will remain mostly constant due to its much larger size scale.

We show a simple cartoon of this model in Fig 8 (see also Leighly 2004). At extreme super-Eddington mass accretion rates, a large fraction of the accretion energy is ejected in the wind, making this energetically dominant (Poutanen et al. 2007). The photosphere of the wind (orange) is the source of soft thermal emission, while the inner disc (green) is the source of the low temperature, optically thick Comptonised emission. We propose that this has only low level intrinsic variability in strongly super-Eddington sources, perhaps because the disc structure is stabilised by advection (Abramowicz et al. 1988). Thus these sources have very low variability when viewed along a line of sight which does not intercept the wind, while there is strong variability from obscuration of the Comptonised emission when viewed through the turbulent wind. The intrinsically variable, but rather weak corona (blue) gives rise to a weak high energy tail, so far not observed in ULXs.

Scaling this model up to NLS1s could perhaps similarly explain the extreme variability from sources such as IRAS 13324-3809 and 1H0707-495 from absorption in strong winds (Gallo 2004, see also Miller et al. 2010 for how this can also match the spectrum), the existence of which are supported by UV emission lines (Leighly 2004). The much lower variability seen from the low temperature Comptonised component in RE J1034+396 is then associated with a more face-on viewing angle.

6 CONCLUSION

Where timing characteristics scale with mass, they can be used to determine the unknown mass of the black hole in ULX. However, the wide variety of properties seen in BHB means that this only works if the correct spectral state is identified for scaling. The key issue in ULXs is whether they are analogous to any of the classic, sub-Eddington, BHB states. If so, the large luminosities of these systems implies an IMBH. Instead, if ULXs are super-Eddington, then there are no well defined templates from BHB except for the unique source GRS 1915+105.

The suggested identification of the QPO in NGC 5408 X-1 is with the type C LFQPO seen in BHBs. However, BHBs show a tight correlation between this QPO frequency and that of the low frequency break in the broad band power spectrum (Wijnands & van der Klis 1999). We use extensive numerical simulations to constrain the position of this break in the two observations of NGC 5408 X-1, and find that they are inconsistent with the predicted frequencies from a type C LFQPO.

The energy spectra of both observations of NGC 5408 X-1 are best described by a soft thermal component (disc or photosphere) together with a low temperature, optically thick, thermal Compton component, as proposed for other high quality ULX spectra (G09). The rms spectra show that the variability increases strongly with energy, consistent with a constant soft component which dilutes a separate, strongly variable component at higher energies. The amount of variability in the high energy component is extreme, and we speculate that this is extrinsic, from stochastic obscuration by the turbulent wind. The only objects with comparable variability and spectra are GRS 1915+105 and some extreme NLS1s, both of which are most probably super-Eddington accretors. This also suggests an alternative identification for the QPO in NGC 5408 X-1 as being more closely related to the ultra low frequency QPO seen occasionally in GRS 1915+105. Both spectra and timing are then consistent with identifying NGC 5408 X-1 as a super-Eddington source. This places a natural upper limit on the central BH mass of \( \sim 100 M_\odot \), consistent with a BH formed from stellar collapse in a low metallicity environment (e.g. Belczynski et al. 2010).
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