Hydroxyapatite and Demineralized Bone Matrix from Marine Food Waste – A Possible Bone Implant
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Abstract: In the present study, a novel bone implant (BI) was prepared using demineralized bone matrix (DBM) and hydroxyapatite (HA) isolated from Bluefin trevally (BT) bones, which was considered to be a marine industry food waste. Gelatin (GA) was used as a binder. Physico-chemical characterization and in vitro studies were carried out using this implant. Fourier transform infrared spectrum of BI exhibited the characteristic bands of all the three components viz., DBM, HA and GA, while scanning electron microscopic studies revealed the irregular shape of the particles. The mechanical properties of BI were also appreciable. In vitro studies were carried out using Human keratinocyte cell line (HaCaT), wherein MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide) assay proved the biocompatibility of BI. From the results obtained it could be stated that BI prepared from waste marine bones could serve as a promising biomaterial for bone tissue engineering applications.

Keywords: Fish Waste, Recycling, Bone Implant, Biomaterial

1. Introduction

Fish bone, a by-product of the marine food product industries is an organic solid waste, which needs proper disposal [1]. Bluefin trevally (BT) fishes used for fillet production and large quantity of bone are being discarded as waste. The enormous volumes of these materials are not utilized, but a create disposal problem in the environmental pollution [2]. The marine food product industries waste for making biomaterial of higher value [3]. Many treated fish waste products have been developed for various purposes are animal feed, biodiesel/biogas, chitosan, natural pigments, collagen and bone implant [4].

Bone tissue engineering based on clinical applications in bone replacement, bone neoplasia and tumors, maxillofacial, craniofacial, orthopedic, neck and head surgery [5]. Natural or synthetic polymers, human bones, corals, coral derivatives, animal bones, synthetic ceramics, and composites are currently used as commercial substitutes to replace or repair bone and teeth [6]. Manjubala et al [7] have reported that recovering osteoconduction and osteoinduction, an association of extracellular matrix scaffolds with osteogenic cells, growth and differentiation factors may be required.

Hydroxyapatite [Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆(OH)₂] used for various therapeutic fields, its good biocompatibility, bioactivity, high osteoconductive and osteoinductive, nontoxicity, noninflammatory behavior and nonimmunogenicity properties [8]. Hydroxyapatite (HA) synthesis was used to different production methods were reported such as sol–gel, chemical precipitation, hydrothermal technique, electrodeposition technique, multiple emulsion technique etc [9].

Hydroxyapatite (HA) widely used as bone graft material such as biomedical and dental applications. Calcium phosphate bio ceramics viz., calcium phosphate, tri-calcium phosphate and hydroxyapatite are most suitable bone substitution materials [10]. Demineralized bone matrix (DBM) commonly used bone-graft replacement material [11],
The bone mineral are rich in HA and the bone protein is mainly composed of collagen. Collagen acts as a structural framework in which plate-like small crystal of HA are embedded to strengthen the bone [13].

The objective of this study was to prepare a bone implant (BI) from fish bone wastes. The prepared BI was characterized for its physicochemical properties using Fourier transform infrared, X-ray diffractometry, thermogravimetric analysis and scanning electron microscopy. In vitro studies were carried out using Human keratinocyte cell line (HaCaT) to assess the biocompatibility of BI.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Synthesis of Hydroxyapatite (HA) Powder from Fish Bone Waste

500g of fish bone wastes were collected from Poombukar boating harbour, Tamilnadu, India and were cut into 2×2 inch pieces using a prebreaker. The bone pieces were incinerated at 300°C for 3h. The samples were further ashed at 750°C for about 5 h using muffle furnace. The ashed samples were crushed to form a fine powder using a mortar/pestle. The crushed bones of BT were washed thoroughly in distilled water and defatted using acetone: chloroform in the ratio 3:1 for 12h. The defatted bones were acid extraction using 2N HCl (The solution was changed daily) for 7 days at 4°C. pH was maintained at 7 and the resulting soft bone was grinded and dried under shade at 30°C for 12h. The dried samples were stored at about 20°C till further use. It is denoted as DBM.

2.2. Preparation of Demineralised Bone Matrix (DBM)

Bone implant (BI) was prepared according to the method of [14]. BI was prepared by mixing HA: DBM and GA in the ratio of 65%:25%:10% and the resultant mixture was packed into the glass tube (size 10 mm diameter) and extruded out with a suitable glass rod. The cylindrical implants formed were cut into required length and cure at 30°C for 2 to 3 h. The prepared bone implant was dried at 55°C overnight and finally at 100°C for 4 h. The dried implant were sealed and stored in polythene covers for further use.

2.3. Preparation of Bone Implant (BI)

Bone implant (BI) was prepared by mixing HA: DBM and GA in the ratio of 65%:25%:10% and the resultant mixture was packed into the glass tube (size 10 mm diameter) and extruded out with a suitable glass rod. The cylindrical implants formed were cut into required length and cure at 30°C for 2 to 3 h. The prepared bone implant was dried at 55°C overnight and finally at 100°C for 4 h. The dried implant were sealed and stored in polythene covers for further use.

2.4. Preparation of Simulated Body Fluid (SBF)

The growth medium of simulated body fluid (SBF) was prepared using chemical grade NaCl (7.995 g), NaHCO$_3$ (0.353 g), KCl (0.224 g), KHPO$_4$3H$_2$O (0.228 g), MgCl.6H$_2$O (0.305 g), CaCl$_2$ (0.227 g) and Na$_2$SO$_4$ (0.0710 g) in 1 L of deionized water. The prepared solution was buffered at pH 7.4 with tris (hydroxyl methyl) amino methane (CH$_3$OH) and 1M hydrochloric acid (HCl) at 37°C [15].

2.5. Preparation of Bone Implant (BI II)

The bone implant (1.5mm diameter ×3cm length) was soaked in 20 mL of SBF solution for 21 days. The SBF solution was refreshed in every two days to maintain pH level and avoid microbial contamination. After 21 days of soaked sample, the BI was removed from SBF solution and vacuum dried at 45°C for 24h.

2.6. Physico-Chemical Characterization

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy measurements were carried out to determine the formation and changes in the functional groups of the individual component in BI. The spectra were measured at a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$ in the frequency range of 4000-500 cm$^{-1}$ using Nicolet 360. Crystal structure and phase composition of bone graft were detected using X-ray diffractometer. (Bruker D8 ADVANCE) with Cu Ka ($\lambda= 0.1548\ nm$) radiation in the 2θ scan ranged from 2° to 90° at a scan rate of 1°/min. The thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA) of the prepared scaffolds was carried out using a Seiko SSC 5200 H thermal analysis in nitrogen atmosphere (80 mL/min) at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Primary weight loss of these materials as a function of temperature was recorded using this study. The surface morphology of the samples before and after in vitro bio-mineralization assay was visualized using scanning electron microscope (SEM) (SEM Model LEICA stereo scan 440). The samples were coated with gold ions using an ion coater (Fisons sputter coater) 0.1 Torr pressure, 20 mA current and 15 kV accelerating voltage for 70 seconds.

The porosities of the samples HA, BI and BI1 were determined using the method according to Zhang et al [16]. Briefly, known weights of the each sample were soaked in known of ethanol for 5 min and then a series of brief evacuation- depressurization cycles were conducted to force the ethanol into the pores of the samples. The process was repeated until the air bubbles stops. The samples were removed after total volume of the ethanol and the ethanol impregnated samples was assessed.

The percent porosity was calculated using following equation

$$\text{Porosity} (\%) = \left[1 - \frac{W_w - W_d}{SV}\right] \times 100$$

Where SV is the sample volume $W_w$ and $W_d$ are the wet and initial dry weights of the sample, respectively.

Mechanical properties were analysed using specimens of 4 mm wide and 10 mm length. Compressive strength was measured using INSTRON (model 4501) at a speed rate of 1mm/min. Tensile strength (Mpa) was measured using INSTRON (model 4501) at an extension rate of 5 mm/min.

2.7. MTT (3-(4, 5-dimethylazol-2-yl)-2,5- diphenyltetrazolium Bromide) Assay

Biocompatibility study was performed on Human keratinocyte cell line (HaCaT) obtained from National Centre for Cell Science (NCCS), Pune, India. The cells were maintained in Dulbecco’ Modified Eagles Medium and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37°C,
95% air and 5% CO$_2$. Cell viability activity was evaluated calorimetrically by MTT (3-(4, 5-dimethylazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay. Cells (25×10$^3$ cells/mL) were seeded on sample in a 24-well plate. After 30 minutes, 10% medium was added and the cells were incubated for 1, 3 and 5 days. At the end of the incubation period, MTT was added and the plates were incubated for 4h at 37°C. Following incubation, the media was aspirated completely and MTT formazon crystals formed were dissolved by the addition of dimethylsulfoxide, and the reading was taken at 570 nm using Spectra Max M4. The cell growth on the culture plate without sample was taken as controls. The percentage of cells viability was calculated compared to the control. The cells morphology was viewed using inverted microscope [17].

2.8. Statistical Analysis

Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) of three individual experiments (n = 3). ANOVA (Analysis of variance) and Duncan’s multiple range analysis were done to determine the significant differences among the groups. p values of p˂0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results and Discussion

Preparation of bone implant using marine food waste would result in low cost bone implant with higher strength. Bio-compatible biopolymers are incorporated in these materials to enable good quality bone implant material for its appropriate usage in the orthopaedic medical field. The prepared bone implant shows biochemical characteristic required for the application of bone implant.

3.1. Physico-Chemical Characterization

FTIR spectrum was used to study the functional groups of the samples. The FTIR spectra of HA (Figure 1a), O-H stretching peak at 5372 cm$^{-1}$ which confirm the presence of hydroxyapatite. FTIR spectrum of BI (Figure 1b) has shown characteristic bands of phosphate and hydroxyl group of HA, GA and DBM, the hydroxyl group of gelatine exhibited peaks at 1658 cm$^{-1}$ and 1552 cm$^{-1}$. The peak of the tetrahedral orthophosphate group was observed at 564 cm$^{-1}$. In addition, BI1 (Figure 1c) exhibits amide I and II absorption bands at 1662 cm$^{-1}$ and 1550 cm$^{-1}$, respectively and contains all the characteristic absorption peaks at HA, GA and DBM. XRD patterns of HA, BI and BI1 (Figure 2 (a-c)) showed a peak in the range of 31.8-32.50 of 2θ values, which corresponds to the characteristic peak of apatite phase. The spectrum of BI exhibits the diffraction peaks at 20 values which confirm the crystalline nature of HA, GA and DBM in the sample. The XRD spectra of BI1 shows 20 values 19° broadening of the apatite peaks with decrease in crystallinity of HA.
Jianguo et al. [18] reported that orthophosphate and carbonate group were present in all kinds of bone materials. The amide I characteristic frequencies broadband range from 1,600 to 1,700 cm$^{-1}$ of the carbonyl group (C=O bond) and amide II wave number 1551 cm$^{-1}$ [19]. The XRD values were found compared to standard HA in the case previously reported by Ucker et al. [20]. The weight loss between 300 to 600°C could be due to the degradation of organic compounds in the composite and after treatment in SBF into intermediate compounds. The thermal degradation temperature of collagen was between 200 to 440°C [21]. The weight loss at 600 to 1200°C can be ascribed to the degradation of bone implant material as reported by Das et al. [22].

TGA analysis of (a) BI (b) BI1.

TGA of BI and BI1 are shown in (Figure 3a & b) respectively. BI (Figure 3a), weight loss was recorded in 708 and 90.14% remained as final residue. The major weight loss between 166 to 708°C could be due to the decomposition of gelatine. Similarly BI1 (Figure 3b) observed initial weight loss of about 6% at 218°C and final weight loss of about 6% at 724°C and 85% as final residue. In both the cases, the initial weight loss is due to the evaporation of water molecules in the samples and the final weight loss is due to the denaturation of protein present in BI and BI1 respectively.

Figure 2. XRD of (a) HA (b) BI (c) BI1.

Figure 4. SEM images of (a) DBM (b) HA particles (c) BI (d) BI1.

The surface morphology of DBM, HA, BI and BI1 was studied using SEM. The SEM picture of DBM was observed in spherical size (Figure 4a). Figure 4b showed HA powder exhibited irregular shapes. Figure 4c seen at BI as fine particles of HA dispersed well in GA. The images revealed proper binding of GA by HA and DBM. Figure 4d SEM images clearly indicate mineralization of BI in SBF solution and revealed the increase in calcium and phosphate contents in the composite with SBF treatment compared to those of without treatment, this is due to deposition of calcium and phosphate onto composite treated in SBF. The porosity observed of HA, BI and BI1 are shown in Figure 5. The results exhibited that the water absorption of the samples for using tissue engineering application. BI1 which showed more porous in nature with a smoother surface compared to HA and BI. It was confirmed BI1 sample pore size were well dispersed. The BI possessed compressive strength of about 4.56±0.26 Mpa and 18.51±0.07 Mpa as tensile strength. It is clearly evident from the results, that BI1 possessed better mechanical properties, which may find applications in the manufacture of bone implant.

Figure 3. TGA analysis of (a) BI (b) BI1.

Figure 5. Showing the porosity of HA, BI, BI1.
Previous studies that prepared bone implant using SEM analysis was indicated rough porous surface, density, cell adhesion [23]. The bone implants were of suitable size and highly porous to provide an optimal environment for new bone regeneration [24]. Boskey [25] reported the mineralized bone matrix and their morphologies, ultra structure and biochemical characteristics. Mbarki et al [26] reported the calcium based bone implant could be used specific properties such as porosity, density, tensile strength and compressive strength. Liu and Fh [27] stated that suitable bone scaffold material could be using laser sintering technology in 3D printing technology with tensile strength 14.1MPa and three point fracture strength of this composite value of tensile strength 24Mpa.

3.2. MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium Bromide) Assay

The biocompatibility of BI1 was evaluated using MTT assay, which is low cost to determine the toxicity exerted by the biomaterials. Figure 6a observed the viability of the human keratinocyte cell line in the presence of BI1 for 1, 2 and 3 days, respectively. To examine the results showed that the proliferation of HaCaT cells was more significantly increased on BI1 treated samples compared to control. The morphology of the HaCaT cells that adhered on the BI1 was assessed through inverted microscopy, as shown in Figure 6b. The results suggest that where BI1 is used as bone implant no toxic substance will be released by the processes bone and as well no chemical residue.

Figure 6. In vitro studies (a) MTT assay representation of BI1 on Human keratinocyte cells. The asterisks (*) indicate statistically significant differences compared to the control p<0.05 (b) Phase contrast micrographs (20×) of Human keratinocyte cells culture on day 1, day 2 and day 3.

Evaluating MTT assay is an effective method of assessing biocompatible, cell attachment and proliferation [28, 29]. From the results showed no cytotoxic and good biocompatibility, growth and proliferation of HaCaT cells. HaCaT cells were well distributed on the BI1 and there was no statistically significant differences between control and BI1 treated group. Calcium and phosphate serve as nucleating agents for the formation of hydroxyapatite, a main component of bone [30].

4. Conclusion

Preparation of bone implant from fish bone is an attempt towards cost effective materials. Also, since the fish bone used in the bone implant is derived from marine food waste materials, it possesses an environmental significant as well. Since the bone implant possess better mechanical properties they may find applications in orthopedic fields. Since the raw materials used originate from waste, this bone implant would be profitable compared to conventional bone implant. MTT assay performed under different exposure conditions demonstrated the non-cytotoxic behavior of composites.
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