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Thioredoxin and glutathione systems differ in parasitic and free-living platyhelminths

Lucía Otero1, Mariana Bonilla1, Anna V Protasio2, Cecilia Fernández1, Vadim N Gladyshev3 and Gustavo Salinas*1

Abstract

Background: The thioredoxin and/or glutathione pathways occur in all organisms. They provide electrons for deoxyribonucleotide synthesis, function as antioxidant defenses, in detoxification, Fe/S biogenesis and participate in a variety of cellular processes. In contrast to their mammalian hosts, platyhelminth (flatworm) parasites studied so far, lack conventional thioredoxin and glutathione systems. Instead, they possess a linked thioredoxin-glutathione system with the selenocysteine-containing enzyme thioredoxin glutathione reductase (TGR) as the single redox hub that controls the overall redox homeostasis. TGR has been recently validated as a drug target for schistosomiasis and new drug leads targeting TGR have recently been identified for these platyhelminth infections that affect more than 200 million people and for which a single drug is currently available. Little is known regarding the genomic structure of flatworm TGRs, the expression of TGR variants and whether the absence of conventional thioredoxin and glutathione systems is a signature of the entire platyhelminth phylum.

Results: We examine platyhelminth genomes and transcriptomes and find that all platyhelminth parasites (from classes Cestoda and Trematoda) conform to a biochemical scenario involving, exclusively, a selenium-dependent linked thioredoxin-glutathione system having TGR as a central redox hub. In contrast, the free-living platyhelminth Schmidtea mediterranea (Class Turbellaria) possesses conventional and linked thioredoxin and glutathione systems. We identify TGR variants in Schistosoma spp. derived from a single gene, and demonstrate their expression. We also provide experimental evidence that alternative initiation of transcription and alternative transcript processing contribute to the generation of TGR variants in platyhelminth parasites.

Conclusions: Our results indicate that thioredoxin and glutathione pathways differ in parasitic and free-living flatworms and that canonical enzymes were specifically lost in the parasitic lineage. Platyhelminth parasites possess a unique and simplified redox system for diverse essential processes, and thus TGR is an excellent drug target for platyhelminth infections. Inhibition of the central redox wire hub would lead to overall disruption of redox homeostasis and disable DNA synthesis.

Background

Platyhelminths (commonly known as flatworms) are a metazoan phylum that includes the neodermata lineage, composed exclusively of parasitic taxa, and the turbellaria lineage, mostly composed of free-living taxa [1]. Neodermatan flatworms comprise the classes Cestoda, Trematoda and Monogenea, of which the first two include the agents of serious human diseases. Notably, trematode infections caused by Schistosoma spp. affect more than 200 million people in Africa, South America and Asia [2]. Cestode infections are less prevalent, but include severe human diseases such as cysticercosis and hydatid disease, caused by Taenia solium and Echinococcus spp., respectively [3]. As yet, there is no vaccine that can prevent platyhelminth infections in humans, neither there are trials underway. Although chemotherapy is the mainstay of control, very few effective drugs are currently used to treat platyhelminth infections, being praziquantel the single drug readily available for schistosomiasis treatment [4].

In most organisms, including the mammalian hosts of platyhelminth parasites, cellular redox homeostasis, antioxidant defenses and supply of electrons for deoxyribonucleotide synthesis rely on two major and independent
pathways: the glutathione (GSH) and the thioredoxin (Trx) systems [5], which have overlapping and differential targets, and function in a great variety of biological processes. These pathways operate through redox cascades that involve transfer of reducing equivalents from NADPH to targets through a series of dithiol-disulfide reactions or variations of this theme (e.g. when selenocysteine, Sec, replaces cysteine) [6]. The core enzymes of these pathways are glutathione reductase (GR) and thioredoxin reductase (TR), both of which are pyridine-nucleotide thiol-disulfide oxidoreductases that reduce the oxidized tripeptide glutathione (GSSG) and the oxidized disulfide reductase thioredoxin (Trx), respectively. In turn, GSH and Trx transfer electrons to downstream targets. Platyhelminth parasites, unlike their mammalian hosts, lack conventional GR and TR enzymes, and hence canonical thioredoxin and glutathione systems [7-9]. Instead, they possess a linked glutathione thioredoxin system that relies exclusively on the selenoenzyme thioredoxin-glutathione reductase (TGR) for provision of reducing equivalents to both pathways. TGR achieves this broad substrate specificity by a fusion of an N-terminal glutaredoxin (Grx) domain to TR domains [10]. In the platyhelminth parasite Echinococcus granulosus, cytosolic and mitochondrial TGR variants derived from a single gene have been reported [11] and functional thioredoxin-glutathione systems have been recently described in both compartments [12], whereas only a cytosolic variant of TGR has been described in S. mansoni [13]. The differences in the thioredoxin and glutathione pathways between parasitic flatworms and their mammalian hosts, and the lack of redundancy of these redox pathways have prompted studies which have recently resulted in validation of TGR as a novel drug target for platyhelminths [14]. Indeed, new drug leads have been identified by quantitative high-throughput screenings using Schistosoma mansoni TGR as a target [15].

The fact that TGR is an essential enzyme that controls the overall redox homeostasis in these parasites warrants further studies on flatworm TGRs. In particular, little is known relating the genomic structure of flatworm TGRs, whether additional TGR variants are expressed, and how the variants are generated. More importantly, it is not known whether the presence of TGR and the absence of TR and GR genes is a signature of the platyhelminth lineage. In this work, we report that additional parasitic flatworms possess TGR and lack TR and GR. In contrast, the free-living platyhelminth Schmidtea mediterranea possesses TR, GR and TGR genes. In addition we investigate the existence, generation and expression of TGR variants in parasitic flatworms.

**Results**

**Analysis of thioredoxin and glutathione systems in free-living and parasitic platyhelminths**

We carried out an exhaustive in silico analysis of available genome and transcriptome data from platyhelminth organisms to examine the presence of TGR, TR and GR sequences. A tblastn search of the E. multilocularis genome using E. granulosus TGR sequence as protein query revealed that E. multilocularis genome possesses a single TGR gene and lacks genes encoding conventional TR or GR, consistent with previous experimental evidence from E. granulosus. E. multilocularis TGR is a selenoprotein: its gene contains an in-frame TGA codon as well as a SECIS element. Echinococcus TGR orthologs are virtually identical; they possess 95% identity at the nucleotide level and 98% identity at the amino acid level (Figure 1). On the other hand tblastn searches of the S. mediterranea genome revealed that, in contrast to the parasitic flatworms E. multilocularis (class Cestoda) and Schistosoma spp. (class Trematoda), the free-living platyhelminth S. mediterranea (class Turbellaria) possesses a TR gene, a GR gene and a TGR gene. The coding sequences of S. mediterranea TR, GR and TGR were predicted based on EST sequences when available; final adjustments of intron-exon boundaries were performed based on a multiple alignment of TRs, GRs and TGRs from different species. The deduced amino acid sequences are shown in Figure 1. All S. mediterranea pyridine-nucleotide thiol-disulfide oxidoreductases identified contain the canonical CX4C redox center. Both TR and TGR genes from S. mediterranea contain an in-frame TGA codon and a SECIS element, and thus encode selenoproteins, with a GCCG (U denotes selenocysteine) C-terminal redox center. S. mediterranea TGR possesses a dithiol Grx domain, containing the CPFC redox active center, similar to S. japonicum TGR and TGRs from other organisms. The TR gene from S. mediterranea has higher identity to mammalian mitochondrial TRs. Indeed, an exon encoding a putative mitochondrial leader peptide was detected upstream of the TR coding sequence. In the absence of additional platyhelminth genomes sequenced, we searched databases for expressed TRs, GRs and TGRs of other flatworms. A tblastn search identified a cDNA encoding a Sec-containing full-length TGR from the platyhelminth parasite Fasciola hepatica (class Trematoda), with high similarity to Schistosoma TGRs and containing the canonical CPYC redox center at the Grx domain, the CX4C redox center of pyridine-nucleotide thiol-disulfide oxidoreductases, and a Sec-containing C-terminal redox motif. Additionally, a tblastn search revealed ESTs encoding a fragment of a TGR from the platyhelminth parasite Taenia solium (class Cestoda). This TGR is highly similar to Echinococcus TGRs. Figure
Figure 1 Amino acid sequence alignment of TR, GR and TGRs of platyhelminths. Sec is indicated by U. The position of the redox active residues in the sequences is indicated by a star. Conserved residues in all proteins are highlighted in grey, conserved residues in the Grx domain of TGRs are highlighted in light grey. Location of the Grx domain is indicated above the sequence. ORFs for TGR, TR and GR from S. mansoni (assembly 31) were predicted in the contigs 000676, 000203 and 001663, respectively. ORF for the leader peptide of TGR partial sequences were retrieved from the EST repository at Genebank (gb|EL743442.1 (SCHJA), gb|AAN63052.1 (ECHGR), and gb|AAW25951.1 (ECHMU) TGR was assembled from contigs 000735 and 000738). The putative mitochondrial leader peptide of S. mediterranea TGR is not included in the sequence, neither leader peptide variants of Schistosoma and Echinococcus TGRs. Sequences were aligned with Clustal W [29], with final manual adjustment after inspection.
1 shows an alignment of flatworm TGRs, and S. mediterranea TR and GR. The SECIS elements of flatworm TGRs and TR are shown in Figure 2. All SECIS structures fit very well the eukaryotic SECIS consensus model, containing a non-Watson-Crick quartet in the SECIS core and unpaired AA in the apical loop. Beyond these regions, little sequence conservation was detected between flatworm TGR SECIS elements.

Phylogenetic analysis of TRs, GRs and TGRs showed that platyhelminth TGRs conform a clade. We could not determine whether platyhelminth TGR is more related to mammalian TGR1 (also known as cytosolic TR) or mammalian TGR2 (Figure 3). S. mediterranea TR and GR clustered with mammalian mitochondrial TRs and GRs, respectively. Overall, these results indicate that thioredoxin and glutathione pathways differ in flatworms, and suggest that the TR and GR genes present in the planarian lineage were lost in the neodermata lineage (Figure 3). Finally, a distant paralog of TGR, corresponding to dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase, was identified in all flatworm genomes.

Analysis of platyhelminth TGR gene structure
To gain further insights on the structure and evolution of platyhelminth TGRs, we determined the sequence of S. mediterranea TGR and analyzed it together with the sequences of TGRs, TRs and GRs available from flatworm genome projects (E. multilocularis, S. mansoni, S. japonicum and S. mediterranea). The comparison of the gene structure revealed high conservation between parasitic flatworm TGR genes. Indeed, Schistosoma spp. and Echinococcus spp. TGR genes contained 17 exons of similar sizes for the four species; most exons were small and the longest was the last exon that contained the 3’ UTR, including the SECIS element (Table 1). Interestingly, introns were also very well conserved between Echinococcus and Schistosoma in the glutaredoxin domain; in the TR domains, introns were significantly longer in Schistosoma spp. A closer inspection revealed that E. granulosus and E. multilocularis genes were virtually identical, with the single significant difference of 175 nucleotide insertion at intron 15 (see Table 1), whereas S. mansoni and S. japonicum sequences differed more markedly in the intron sizes, in particular in the TR domain.

The gene structure of S. mediterranea TGR was similar to those of Echinococcus and Schistosoma TGRs, but had clear differences as well. Indeed, S. mediterranea TGR possessed 12 exons, instead of 17. We could not detect the exon containing the signal peptide in the S. mediterranea TGR gene, although its presence could not be ruled out, since signal peptide sequences are often poorly conserved. Thus, four events of exon fusion/split appeared to have occurred between neodermata and turbellaria lineages (see Table 1). Interestingly, the exon fusion/split events were not equally distributed in the TGR gene

![Figure 2 Structures and nucleotide sequence alignment of SECIS elements of TR and TGRs of platyhelminths.](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2164/11/237)
sequence; they occurred in the coding sequence corresponding to the C-terminal half of TGR. Thus, the gene structure appeared to have more constraints at the Grx domain than at the interface domain. In turn, *S. mediterranea* TR and GR genes displayed a completely different exon/intron structure than platyhelminth TGR genes.

Finally, since only a handful of genes from *Echinococcus* have been sequenced, we analyzed in detail various aspects of *Echinococcus* TGR genes. We examined exon-intron boundaries to identify common features and differences (Additional file 1 shows all the exon-intron boundaries of TGR gene). All but one intron contained the canonical GU-AG donor-acceptor sites, typical of eukaryotic nuclear pre-mRNA, being the donor site of intron 15 the exception to the consensus. In addition, we searched for conserved bases or motifs around the splice sites and found that C or A followed by a purine (A or G) preceded the 5' GU splice site in most cases; and that U or A was present at the -3 base with respect to the 3'AG splice site (Additional file 1). The T+A content in TGR introns was 59% (contrasting 50.7% in exons), indicating a neutral mutational bias towards T+A, as previously noted for *Echinococcus* genes [16]. The small size of all *Echinococcus* TGR introns is noteworthy; while *Echinococcus* TGR genes span 6 kb, *S. mediterranea* and *Schistosoma* spp genes are significantly longer. These data agree with the previous observations that *Echinococcus* genes possess small introns [16]. Taken as a whole, our results are also in agreement with previous findings that both *Echinococcus* species are remarkably similar with regard to sequence information.

**Identification of TGR variants in platyhelminths**

We have previously demonstrated the existence of mitochondrial and cytosolic TGR variants derived from a single gene in *E. granulosus*. In order to identify TGR variants expressed in other flatworms, we performed a tblastn search at the NCBI server (EST others option). Only a single TGR variant was identified in the case of *S. mediterranea*. In the case of *S. japonicum*, ESTs encoding two additional TGR variants to the already reported cytosolic TGR were identified, whereas a single additional variant was identified in *S. mansoni*. Similarly to what has been described in *E. granulosus*, the *Schistosoma* cDNAs encode variants differing in their N-termini (Figure 4). One of the *S. japonicum* variants (TGR_SCHJA_v1) would encode an N-terminal mitochondrial signal peptide. The other *S. japonicum* variant (TGR_SCHJA_v2) and the *S. mansoni* variant identified (TGR_SCHMA_v2) encode a leader peptide related to, but shorter than, the mitochondrial one. It is not possible, in silico, to ascribe a topological signal to this variant. The genomic sequence and exon-intron structure of *Schistosoma* TGR genes strongly support the existence of these variants. Indeed, the information for the identified *Schistosoma* leader peptides is found in an exon upstream of the one encoded...
### Table 1: Exon and intron structure of flatworm TR, GR and TGRs

| Exon/Intron | Em_TGR | Eg_TGR | Sma_TGR | Sja_TGR | Sme_TGR | Sme_TR | Sme_GR |
|-------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| E1          | 69     | 69     | 93      | 93      |         |        |        |
| I1          | 281    | 284    | 1507    | 971     |         |        |        |
| E2          | 120    | 120    | 108     | 108     | 105     | 55     | 132    |
| I2          | 40     | 40     | 34      | 35      | 45      | 48     | 43     |
| E3          | 61     | 63     | 61      | 61      | 63      | 57     | 116    |
| I3          | 41     | 41     | 33      | 30      | 3066    | 48     | 46     |
| E4          | 87     | 85     | 87      | 87      | 81      | 164    | 70     |
| I4          | 118    | 127    | 36      | 36      | 301     | 397    | 44     |
| E5          | 122    | 122    | 125     | 125     | 132     | 54     | 133    |
| I5          | 701    | 705    | 2298    | 2614    | 2389    | 52     | 4105   |
| E6          | 142    | 142    | 142     | 142     | 144     | 139    | 55     |
| I6          | 162    | 164    | 214     | 115     | 41      | 9      | 4262   |
| E7          | 48     | 48     | 54      | 54      | 48      | 54     | 73     |
| I7          | 74     | 74     | 2166    | 179     | 3006    | 533    | 71     |
| E8          | 143    | 143    | 143     | 143     | 141     | 74     | 246    |
| I8          | 300    | 305    | 840     | 643     | 49      | 44     | 8817   |
| E9          | 116    | 116    | 116     | 116     | 342     | 20     | 378    |
| I9          | 121    | 119    | 4231    | 848     | 998     | 75     | 60     |
| E10         | 226    | 226    | 226     | 226     | 165     | 92     | 216    |
| I10         | 885    | 912    | 819     | 1240    | 59      | 1704   |
| E11         | 105    | 105    | 105     | 105     | 159     | 178    |
ing the N-terminal sequence of the Grx domain. TGR_SCHJA_v1 (putatively encoding a mitochondrial TGR variant) and TGR_SCHJA_v2 (with a shorter leader peptide) are derived from alternative splicing of exon I and exon II at a canonical GU donor site present in intron I and at a leaky GU donor site present in exon I, respectively. If the transcript is spliced at this latter GU donor site, it would give rise to a shorter exon I and, consequently to a shorter leader peptide (Figure 4c). The same gene structure is observed in *S. mansoni* TGR suggesting that, in addition to TGR_SCHMA_v2, a mitochondrial variant of TGR (TGR_SCHMA_v1) also exists in this species (Figure 4c). The sequences of the full-length cDNA variants encoding *Schistosoma* cytosolic TGRs, and the structure of the genes suggest that the cytosolic variants (TGR_SCHJA_v3 and TGR_SCHMA_v3) are derived from alternative initiation of transcription, from a puta-

tive promoter at intron I, similar to what has been hypothesized for the *E. granulosus* variants. Figure 4d shows a model for the generation of *Schistosoma* TGR variants, which takes into account all this information.

In order to confirm the presence of the newly identified variant in *S. mansoni* and assess the occurrence of the putative mitochondrial variant (identified in *S. japonicum* ESTs, but not in *S. mansoni*), we performed PCRs from different *Schistosoma* samples (schistosomula, adult worm and cercariae) with forward primers specific for each of the leader peptide variants and a common TGR reverse primer; in addition we used, as a control, a forward primer corresponding to the 5'end of the cytosolic variant (Figure 5a). PCR products of the expected sizes were obtained in the three reactions in all materials, confirming the expression of both leader peptide variants, TGR_SCHMA_v1 and TGR_SCHMA_v2. Figure 5b

### Table 1: Exon and intron structure of flatworm TR, GR and TGRs (Continued)

| Exon | Length (bp) |
|------|-------------|
| E11  | 211         |
| E12  | 74          |
| I12  | 68          |
| E13  | 154         |
| I13  | 198         |
| E14  | 108         |
| I14  | 81          |
| E15  | 93          |
| I15  | 502         |
| E16  | 138         |
| I16  | 305         |
| E17  | 484         |
| I17  | 6378        |
| Σtot | 2290        |

The length of exons and introns is shown for *E. multilocularis* TGR (EmTGR), *E. granulosus* TGR (EgTGR), *S. mansoni* TGR (SmaTGR), *S. japonicum* TGR (SjaTGR), *S. mediterranea* TGR (SmeTGR), *S. mediterranea* TR (SmeTR) and *S. mediterranea* GR (SmeGR). Numbering corresponds to *Echinococcus* TGR.

![Diagram](image-url)
Figure 4 TGR variants in Schistosoma spp. Amino acid sequence alignment of S. japonicum (denoted as SCHJA) and S. mansoni (denoted as SCHMA) TGR variants. Variant 1 (v1) encodes a TGR with a mitochondrial signal peptide, variant 2 (v2) encodes a TGR with a shorter leader peptide with a cytosolic TGR. Variant 3 (v3) encodes a cytosolic TGR. B. Nucleotide sequence alignment of ESTs encoding S. japonicum and S. mansoni TGR variants; the sequence of SCHMA_v1 was deduced from the corresponding TGR gene. C. Nucleotide genomic sequence of S. mansoni and S. japonicum TGRs. The sequence corresponds to the end of the first exon, the first intron (indicated by italics) and the beginning of the second exon. GT and AG donor and acceptor splice sites of intron I, whose splicing generates variant 1, are shown underlined in lower case. Underlined in capital letters shows the result of the PCR reactions using cercariae as a cDNA template.

Finally, we investigated whether there are additional variants in E. granulosus or whether the already known variants are developmentally regulated. To this end, we performed RT-PCRs using the splice leader exon of E. granulosus and TGR reverse specific primers on different E. granulosus materials (larval worms, adult worms and germinal layer of hydatid cyst). To allow discrimination of variants that may subtly differ in size, the PCR products obtained were analyzed on polyacrylamide gels. The results suggest that no additional variants to the already described are expressed in E. granulosus and that the mitochondrial and cytosolic variants are expressed in all stages analyzed (data not shown).

Analysis of transcription from alternative promoter site
We previously postulated that the mRNA variants derived from a single TGR gene may arise from two alternative transcription initiation sites located upstream of the first exon (core promoter) and upstream of the second exon (intron promoter), because: i) both variants contained the trans-splice leader exon and ii) a putative TATA box was present within the intron sequence [11]. The comparison of the core promoter and the putative intron promoter nucleotide sequences of Echinococcus...
and *Schistosoma* TGRs did not evidence significant similarity, although there were some conserved regions at both presumptive promoter regions (data not shown). We tested the promoter activity of the putative intron promoter of *E. granulosus* TGR in a heterologous system (CHO-K1 cells) using a reporter vector (pAcGFP1-1™, Clontech), but this approach was not successful. Thus, in order to obtain evidence of alternative transcription initiation in *E. granulosus*, we performed RT-PCR with forward primers mapping the first intron and a reverse primer from a downstream exon. This strategy has been previously used [17] and its rationale relies on the fact that trans-splicing is, kinetically, a much slower process than cis-splicing, and thus it is possible to find a population of transcripts not completely processed; these transcripts will have been already cis-spliced, but have not been yet trans-spliced. The results indicate that alternative transcription initiation takes place from intron 1, downstream of the TATA box, since a PCR product was obtained with the forward primer 50 bp downstream of the putative TATA sequence present in the intron, but not with primers immediately downstream or upstream from the TATA sequence. Since TGR mRNAs from *Schistosoma* TGRs are not trans-spliced [13] this strategy could not be used to assess alternative initiation of transcription from intron I.

**Discussion**

Previous studies on thioredoxin and glutathione systems in parasitic flatworms indicated that these systems are different to those of their vertebrate hosts [7-9,11]. The main difference is the absence of conventional GR and TR in these parasites, and the substitution of both enzymes by TGR as a sole redox "wire". Our analysis of platyhelminth genomes and transcriptomes indicates that this is the case for all flatworm parasites for which information is available. The *E. multilocularis* genome revealed that this cestode also lacks conventional TR and GR, and its redox thiol-disulfide homeostasis relies exclusively on a single Sec-containing TGR, in agreement with previous bio-

---

**Figure 5** Expression of *S. mansoni* variants detected by RT-PCR. A. Schematic representation of the three mRNA variants of *S. mansoni* TGR, the variant 1 and variant 2 specific primers (F1 and F2) and the primer corresponding to the 5'-end of the cytosolic variant (F3) used in RT-PCRs in combination with a reverse primer derived from exon 3 sequence. B. Electrophoresis of PCR products from *S. mansoni* cercariae: a band of the expected size was observed in the PCRs with F1, F2 and F3 primers and the reverse primer (lanes 2 to 4, respectively). MWM lane corresponds to 100 bp plus ladder (Fermentas).
chemical and molecular studies of *E. granulosus*. A cDNA encoding TGR was also identified from *T. solium*, the other medically important cestode. A similar scenario was observed in the case of trematodes: lack of conventional GR and TR and presence of TGR in the genomes of *Schistosoma* spp. [18,19], and a cDNA encoding TGR from *F. hepatica*, an additional member of the class. Thus the dependence on the single oxidoreductase is common to the neodermata lineage (a platyhelminth subphylum that contains the classes Cestoda and Trematoda, but not the free-living class Turbellaria). Our results further revealed that the absence of TR and GR is not characteristic of the entire platyhelminth phylum. The turbellarian *S. mediterranea* possesses TR, GR and TGR, indicating that flatworm parasites have lost TR and GR genes. Elimination of GR and TR from their genomes suggests streamlining of redox regulation.

Another interesting finding in our study is the identification of novel variants of *S. mansoni* and *S. japonicum* TGRs. Previously, cytosolic and mitochondrial variants derived from a single gene were described in *E. granulosus* [11]. In the case of *Schistosoma*, we could detect in silico and confirm experimentally the existence of two variants in addition to the previously reported cytosolic form. One of the novel forms encodes a mitochondrial TGR; and this is in agreement with the occurrence of cytosolic and mitochondrial thioredoxins in *Schistosoma* [18]. The second newly identified TGR variant encodes a shorter leader peptide. The functional significance of this leader peptide (e.g. topological localization information or a regulatory role) must await functional studies. In many species, distinct genes encode for mitochondrial and cytosolic TRs. Nevertheless, complex expression patterns and rare mRNA variants have also been observed for all TR genes in mammals [20-26], and the functional significance of this complexity is not fully understood. In this context, it is important to note that the three variants of *S. mansoni* TGR and the cytosolic and mitochondrial variants of *E. granulosus* are expressed in all the life cycle stages examined (cercariae, schistosomule and adult worms in the case of *S. mansoni*; larval worms, hydatid cyst wall and adult worms in the case of *E. granulosus*). The existence of TGR variants reveals a relatively complex expression of the gene in these organisms that may be relevant when considering TGR as a drug target; in particular if inhibition is to be achieved at different subcellular compartments.

The study of the mechanism by which TGR variants may be generated in parasitic flatworms was addressed by two different strategies. The initial promoter reporter strategy was not successful. However, in the case of *E. granulosus* it was possible to map the initiation of transcription from the intron promoter, downstream from the presumptive TATA box. Thus, our results suggest that two promoters (core and intron promoters) give rise to two different transcripts. The absence of the splice leader exon in *Schistosoma* TGR mRNAs precluded the use of this strategy. Nevertheless, the information is consistent with the model depicted in Figure 4d. One of the transcripts would be derived from the core promoter and would be alternatively spliced, generating the two variants with related but different N-terminal signal peptides, and an additional variant would be generated from alternative initiation of transcription.

**Conclusions**

Our study found that the biochemical scenario in parasitic flatworms differs from the one observed in free-living flatworms. A unique and simplified redox system, termed linked thioredoxin-glutathione system, is present in all platyhelminth parasites examined. In contrast, conventional and linked thioredoxin and glutathione systems were found in *S. mediterranea*, a free-living platyhelminth. The data also show that canonical GR and TR were specifically lost in the parasitic platyhelmints. Overall, our results reinforce previous studies indicating that TGR is an excellent drug target for flatworm infections [14,15]; indeed, maintenance of redox homeostasis and basic cellular processes such as synthesis of deoxyribonucleotides depend on a single selenoenzyme in different classes of flatworm parasites. Furthermore, the phylogenetic analysis of TRs, GRs and TGRs indicates that flatworm TGRs form a clade with no clear ortholog to either mammalian TRs or TGR; these differences may be relevant for selective inhibition. The fact that all flatworm TGRs contain selenocysteine at the active site constitutes a unique opportunity to target this particularly reactive nucleophilic residue. Alternatively, flatworm parasite redox homeostasis could be disrupted targeting selenium incorporation, for instance by selectively inhibiting platyhelminth selenophosphate synthetase or SECIS-binding protein.

Our work also demonstrates the expression of TGR variants in the human parasites *Schistosoma* spp. Finally, we provide evidence that alternative initiation of transcription and transcript processing contribute to the generation of TGR variants in platyhelminth parasites, revealing plasticity of gene expression in these organisms.

**Methods**

**Identification of TR, GR and TGR genomic, cDNA and coding sequences in platyhelminth databases**

In order to obtain information on platyhelminth TR, GR and TGR sequences, the *S. mansoni*, *S. japonicum*, *E. multilocularis* and *S. mediterranea* genomic databases (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/S_mansoni, http://lifecenter.sgst.cn/schistosoma/en/schistosomeBlast.do, http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/Echinococcus, and...
Sequences and phylogenetic analyses

The selenocysteine insertion sequence (SECIS) elements present in flatworm TR and TGR genes were identified using the SEClSearch program, version 2-19 (http://genome.unl.edu/SEClSearch.html) [27] using the default energy cutoffs in all cases, and the canonical pattern for all but *Schistosoma* TGRs; in these latter instances the non-canonical pattern revealed the SECIS element. Topology prediction of the polypeptides was carried out using SignalP 3.0 [23] and ipSORT [28]. Phylogenetic analyses of flatworm and mammalian GRs, TRs and TGRs were performed on amino acid sequences aligned with ClustalW2 [29]. Neighbor-joining, maximum parsimony, minimum evolution and UPMGA methods were used to reconstruct phylogenies, with MEGA4 [30], and the following parameters: pairwise deletion, Poisson correction and uniform rates among sites. In all cases bootstrap test was performed on 500 replicates. The analyses were performed including and excluding the Grx domain of TGR.

In silico and experimental identification of platyhelminth TGR variants

To identify platyhelminth TGR variants, tblastn searches were performed on the blast servers of NCBI (option EST others) and *S. mansoni*, *E. multilocularis* and *S. mediterranea* genomic databases using the TGR sequences as queries. Experimental evidence of newly identified *S. mansoni* variants was analyzed using forward primers that allow discrimination of the variants (for details see Figure 5) used in combination with a TGR gene-specific reverse primer in PCR reactions from cDNAs of different *S. mansoni* materials. Total RNA was isolated from cercariae, 3 hours schistosomula and adult worms using a modified TRIZOL (Invitrogen)/RNeasy (Qiagen) procedure [31]. Schistosomula were prepared by mechanical transformation of cercariae as previously described [32]. cDNA was generated from 1 μg of total RNA using Superscript II (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s specifications. The *S. mansoni* life cycle is maintained at the Schistosoma Research Group at the Pathology Department, University of Cambridge, UK. In the case of *E. granulosus*, experimental identification of additional TGR variants was examined on different parasite materials (larval worms, adult worms and hydatid cyst wall) by RT-PCR, using *E. granulosus* 5’ splice- leader exon as forward primer and different gene specific reverse primers derived from the previously published TGR cDNA sequence [11]. In all cases RNA was obtained from trizol-treated *E. granulosus* samples and subsequently used as template for reverse transcription reactions and PCRs as previously described [33], using ThermoScript (Invitrogen) reverse transcriptase and Pfu (Fermentas) DNA polymerase, respectively. In all cases, PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis.

Amplification of Echinococcus granulosus TGR gene and its promoter from genomic DNA

Two fragments corresponding to the Grx domain and the TR domains of the TGR gene were amplified by PCR from *E. granulosus* DNA, using forward and reverse primers derived from *E. granulosus* TGR cDNA sequence. The PCR products were cloned in pGEM-T easy™ (Promega) and subsequently sequenced by primer walking. The core promoter of TGR gene (1.5 kbp sequence upstream of TGR coding sequencer) was amplified using a forward primer derived from *E. multilocularis* homologous region, and a reverse primer derived from the 5’-end of *E. granulosus* TGR cDNA sequence; this PCR product was cloned and sequenced as described above.

Analysis of alternative initiation of transcription from *E. granulosus* TGR gene

In order to investigate and map alternative transcription initiation, we also performed RT-PCRs from *E. granulosus* hydatid cyst germinal layer using forward primers spanning the first intron of *E. granulosus* TGR (upstream and downstream of the presumed TATA box present in intron 1) and a reverse primer corresponding to exon 3 (Figure 6). Control PCRs were carried out from genomic DNA.

Additional material

**Table 1** Echinococcus granulosus and *Echinococcus multilocularis* TGR exon-intron boundaries. Exon-intron boundary sequences of TGRs and canonical donor and acceptor splice sites.
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Figure 6 Analysis of alternative initiation of transcription from E. granulosus TGR intron 1. A. The sequence of E. granulosus TGR gene starting from intron 1 ending at exon 3. Exons 2 and 3 are shaded in grey. The putative TATA box present in intron 1 is highlighted in bold and italics. Sequences of the primers used in the PCR experiments are shown underlined and in italics: forward primers 1, 2 and 3 span intron 1 from 5’ to 3’; the reverse primer derives from exon 3 sequence. B. Schematic representation of the primers used in PCR reactions, localized in the TGR sequence. C. Gel-electrophoresis of PCR reaction carried out from genomic DNA (lanes 2 to 4) and from E. granulosus cDNA (lanes 5 to 7) using a combination of the reverse primer and forward primers 1, 2 and 3 (lanes 2 and 5, lanes 3 and 6, lanes 4 and 7, respectively). Lane 1 corresponds to 100 bp plus ladder (Fermentas).
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