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ABSTRACT

The performance of mengkuang leaf fiber (MLF) reinforced low density polyethylene (LDPE) composites with different fiber volume and different mesh sizes were studied. The fibre weight percentage used in the research were 10%, 20%, and 30% and for different fiber size were <0.5 mm, 0.5-1 mm, and 1-2 mm. The extrusion and hot compression molding were used to fabricate the specimen testing. The mechanical testing performed were impact and flexural test which follows the ASTM standards D790-10 and Izod D256. It was observed that by increasing the fiber volume and fiber size, the flexural strength and the flexural modulus were increased. However the impact strength shows different results for fiber length and fibre content where the impact strength increases with fibre length but decreases with the increase of fiber content. It can be concluded that the 30% fibre content is the optimum fibre loading for the MLF-LDPE composite with the flexural strength of 12.31 MPa, flexural modulus of 378.88 MPa and impact strength of 589.86 J/m whereby the 1.0-2.0 mm fibre length is the best fibre size for the MLF-LDPE composite with the flexural strength of 12.86 MPa, flexural modulus of 267.76 MPa and impact strength of 1511.57 J/m. In future studies fibres should undergo surface modification to increase the available surface area for reaction to increase the strength of the composite by better mechanical interlocking.

Keywords: Natural fiber; mengkuang leaf; composites; polyethylene; alkaline.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, natural fibers has shown its importance in many mass industries such as automotive, medical, sports and construction [1]. Natural fiber composites were well-known for their advantages [2] in most of the automotive [3] and construction [4] application based on economically and ecologically perspective [5, 6]. Natural fibers are preferred due to its advantages such as low cost, low density, low abrasion towards tools and its degradability compared to petroleum based product [7-9]. The studies regarding natural fiber reinforced composite focused on the interface between matrix and filler, morphologies, mechanical properties and thermal properties [10-16]. The concern for environmental issues such as ingestion of plastic by animals has sparked the necessity to
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Study about natural fibres to replace synthetic fibres [17]. The depletion of crude oil and high cost of producing it has urged the studies for a suitable replacement for synthetic fiber [18]. The optimum mechanical properties of composites are characterized by the interface between the matrix and filler and crystallization behaviour of the matrix [19]. A lot of different natural fibers such as jute, PALF, kenaf and coir are being studied around the world as reinforcement for thermoplastic and thermoset matrices [20]. In this study will utilize MLF as a reinforcement and low-density polyethylene (LDPE) as a matrix. Mengkuang fiber is made from mengkuang leaves grown abundantly in Malaysia [21]. The study on MLF-LDPE composite is still rare which provides novelty to this study.

The most important processes involved in this study were extrusion process and compression moulding process. For the extrusion process involving the polyethylene, most commonly used screw speeds are 60 rpm [22, 23]. In twin screw extruder machine, there are temperature of zone 2, zone 3, zone 4, zone 5, zone 6, and die, need to be set up to enable the extrusion process. From the studies done by previous researchers, the temperatures used was in range of 145 ºC to 170 ºC for all zone [11]. The processing temperature is one of the important factor in manufacturing natural fiber composite where the common processing temperature used for biodegradable polymer were not more than 200 ºC, this is to avoid the thermal degradation of biodegradable polymer [24]. Based on the previous research, the composite was compressed using hot and cold heated electric compressed machine at 180 ºC for 5 minutes [25], followed by room temperature cooling. The weaknesses of the natural fiber composite are the poor compatibility between the fiber and the matrix of the composites. In this paper, the mengkuang leaf fiber or Pandanus tectorius with different fiber volume and different mesh sizes were used to enhance the flexural and impact strength of the LDPE.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Materials
The material used in this experiment was mengkuang leaf or the scientific name Pandanus tectorius, and the polyethylene used in this experiment is low density polyethylene (LDPE). The LDPE name was TITANLENE LD1300YY for injection moulding. LD1300YY is a low density polyethylene resin. The melt index for LD1300YY was 20 g/10 min. while the density was 0.920 g/cm³. The various size of mengkuang leaves used in this experiment were >0.5 mm, 0.5-1 mm, and 1-2 mm in mesh size.

Preparation of Composites
The MLF were chopped into 2-5 cm and then were grinded using grinder before it was sieved to the measurement of < 0.5 mm, 0.5-1 mm, and 1-2 mm. The fibers were then dried at 70 ºC- 80 ºC using vacuum oven [26]. The composition of different fiber volumes with polyethylene are shown in Table 1 and Table 2.
Figure 1. The preparation of MLF; (a) chopped MLF, (b) grinder, (c) sieve shaker, (d) mengkuang fiber, (e) weighing balance and (f) vacuum process.

Table 1. The composition of composite with different fiber loading.

| Length (mm) | Polyethylene (%) | Fiber (%) |
|-------------|------------------|-----------|
| <0.5        | 100              | 0         |
| <0.5        | 90               | 10        |
| <0.5        | 80               | 20        |
| <0.5        | 70               | 30        |

Table 2. The composition of composite with different fiber length.

| Length (mm) | Polyethylene (%) | Fiber (%) |
|-------------|------------------|-----------|
| <0.5        | 90               | 10        |
| 0.5-1       | 90               | 10        |
| 1-2         | 90               | 10        |

**Fabrication of Composites**

The extrusion process was generated by using the Prism Eurolab 16 Twin Screw Extruder. The extruder zone temperatures were set up to 168 °C, 168 °C, 165 °C, 165 °C, and 163 °C according to zone 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. The screw speed was set up to 60 rpm. The temperature and the screw speed were varied until the composite product was in proper shape and not thermally damaged (Figure 2a). Hot compression process was done by using Lotus Scientific LS-22025 25 Ton Hot & Cold Moulding Press.
mould used was 20 x 20 x 0.3 cm. The pressure was varied from 8 MPa to 10 MPa. The temperature of hot compression moulding process was 165 ºC (Figure 2b).

![Fabrication of MLF-LDPE composite plates; (a) extrusion, (b) compression moulding.](image)

Figure 2. Fabrication of MLF-LDPE composite plates; (a) extrusion, (b) compression moulding.

The composite was pre-pressed for 5 minutes to eliminate the bubbles and then hot pressed for 5 minutes, followed by cold press for 5 minutes. Table 3 shows the hot-pressing process and condition. The obtained materials were then kept at 25 ºC with 25% humidity for 48 hours before mechanical testing. The obtained sample was cut into 7 replicates for each flexural and impact test.

Table 3. Hot pressing process and condition.

| Conditions                  | Pre-pressing | Hot-Pressing | Cold-pressing       |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Press pressure (MPa)        | 8-10         | 8-10         | 8-10                |
| Process temperature (ºC)    | 165          | 165          | Room temperature    |
| Pressure duration (min)     | 5            | 5            | 5                   |

**Mechanical Testing**

**Flexural Strength Test**

The samples prepared for flexural test was 12.7 cm x 1.27 cm x 0.3 cm in dimension and performed accordingly following ASTM D790-10. The tests were conducted using Instron Universal Testing Machine with crosshead speed of 2 mm/min. The most 5 precise result were taken into calculation of average flexural strength.
Impact Strength Test
The impact tests were performed according to ASTM D256 Izod test standard with the dimension of 6.4 cm x 1.27 cm x 0.3 cm. This test were done using Pendulum Impact Testing machine at room temperature. The most 5 precise result were taken into calculation of average impact strength.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of fiber content on MLF-LDPE composites
Figure 3 to Figure 5 shows the flexural strength, flexural modulus and impact strength of different weight percentage of fiber. It can be seen that flexural strength of different fiber weight showed a continuous increase with the increasing amount of fiber content in the composite. Ismail et al. [28] also found almost similar result where the addition of rice straw fiber increases the flexural and impact strength of rice straw/polyvinyl alcohol and rice straw/polystyrene. The author states that the increase is due to the mechanical interlocking between fibre and matrix. The fiber content of 10% fiber gives about 20.11% increase in flexural strength value compared to pure LDPE while 29.18% and 34.19% for 20% and 30% of fiber content respectively. The flexural modulus was also increased with the increasing of fiber weight percentage from 10% to 30%. It was proved by the increase of flexural modulus of pure LDPE with the addition of fiber content. Atuanya et al. [23] observed the same pattern for flexural modulus of RLDPE/bean pod ash where the modulus increased with fibre content. The author identifies that this increase is due to the the increase in fibre to matrix interactions, increasing the dispersion of stress. For 10% fiber content, the increase of flexural modulus reported was 13.06%. The increase in percentage of flexural modulus for 20% of fiber content was 39.64% and 48.02% for 30% fiber content. Although the flexural strength and flexural modulus was increasing with the increasing of fiber loading, the impact strength shows different result where impact strength was decreased with the increasing of fiber loading. The decrease in percentage of impact strength from 10% weight fiber to 20% weight fiber was 31.98% while the decrease from 20% to 30% weight fiber was 48.45%.

Figure 3. Flexural strength of composite with different fiber loading.
The high particle orientation that hinders the chain movement during deformation was due to the fair distribution of fiber in the polyethylene matrix has led to the increasing of the stiffness and the modulus of the composite [27]. Moreover, the increase in the strength and modulus of the MLF composites indicates the increasing in the rigidity of polyethylene due to the mobility limitation in polyethylene matrix that contains MLF [27]. When the modulus was increased with the increase of fiber loading of MLF, the stress transfer across the polymer particles interface prevented the movement around each particle led to overall increase in modulus and strength [23]. The higher filler amount in the polyethylene and MLF composites resulted in stiffening and hardening of the composite thus reduced its resilience and toughness and possess low impact energy [23]. The other hypothesize was that the decrease in impact strength when increasing the filler content was due to the interfacial interaction between the matrix and filler was weak. Shih et al. [29] records that the impact strength of the composite reduces as the
fibre content increases. The author argues that the addition of fibre improved the rigidity of the composite but changed the characteristic to be more brittle.

**Effect of different fiber length of MLF-LDPE composites**

Figure 6 to Figure 8 shows the flexural strength, flexural modulus, and impact strength for different fiber length. The fiber length used were <0.5 mm, 0.5-1 mm, and 1-2 mm. From the Figure, it can be seen that the flexural strength was increased with the increase of fiber length. Amuthakkannan et al [30] confirmed that the increase in length increases the capability to withstand higher bending load, thus increasing the flexural strength of the composite. The percentage of increase for the fibre length of <0.5 mm, 0.5-1 mm, and 1-2 mm compared to the pure LDPE was 20.11%, 36.51%, and 37.02% respectively. The flexural modulus shows increasing trend with the increase of fiber length. Sumaila et al. [31] agreed that the increase in fiber length increases the flexural strength and the flexural modulus of the composite due to the increase of fiber to fiber contact. The value of flexural modulus increased with the increase of fiber length of MLF. For the length of <0.5 mm, it shows 13.06% of increase in flexural modulus while for the length of 0.5-1 mm, the percentage of increase in flexural modulus was 21.80% and for 1-2 mm length shows 26.45% of increase.

![Figure 6. Flexural strength of composite with different fiber length.](image-url)
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Figure 7. Flexural modulus of composite with different fiber length.

Figure 8. Impact strength of composite with different fiber length.

The impact strength for the MLF-LDPE composite was also increased with the increase of the fiber length. Pickering et al. [32] stated that the increase in fibre length offers better stress transfer and load bearing efficiency. The percentage of increment of impact strength of <0.5 mm to 0.5-1 mm length fiber was 8.29% while for the length of 0.5-1 mm to 1-2 mm fiber length, the percentage of increment was 16.63%.

In term of the fiber strength, the longer fiber acts as the barrier to the crack propagation and withstands higher loads compared to shorter ones. It was obvious that the required energy to pull the shot fiber from the matrix was less that led to dissipation of energy [31] and advancing the crack propagation of the sample thus making the materials to be brittle [27]. Fiber length also affected the properties of MLF reinforced polyethylene where MLF with longer length could withstand a high load and tolerate more stress than shorter ones led to high flexural strength and modulus [33]. Biswas et al. [34] reported that the impact strength and flexural strength of coir fiber reinforced epoxy composites
increases as the fiber length increases. It was hypnotized that the fibers play an important role in term of fracture energy where the long fiber can hold greater strength in applied loading condition compared to shorter fiber in the polyethylene matrix before break. High in flexural strength can be achieved when there are strong interface between the fiber and matrix [30]. Long fiber also gives better resistance in crack propagation compared to shorter ones. It was reported in the research of mechanical and thermal properties of short carbon fiber that flexural strength, flexural modulus, and impact strength was increase with the increasing of fiber length [33].

CONCLUSIONS

From the result and discussion presented, it can be concluded that the work shows the addition of MLF in LDPE composites increased the flexural modulus, flexural strength, for different fiber content and different fiber length and the 30% fiber by weight is the best composition with the flexural strength and modulus of 12.31 MPa and 378.88 MPa for the composite whereby 1.0-2.0 mm is the best fiber length for the MLF-LDPE composites with the impact strength of 1511.57 J/m and flexural strength of 12.86 MPa. This suggests that for applications where the flexural rigidity is required, composite fabricated from longer fibre length is desirable. It is also can agreed that the fabrication of MLF-LDPE composites by compounding and compression moulding process was successfully done. In future studies fibres should undergo surface modification to increase the available surface area for reaction to increase the strength of the composite by better mechanical interlocking.
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