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Abstract

Ultraviolet C (UVC), or ultraviolet germicidal irradiation, is known for its effective air, water, and surface disinfectant properties. With the rise of global awareness about public sanitation and personal hygiene due to the emergence of the current coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic, several applications of UVC were introduced to the commercial market. The present experimental study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of commercial household ultraviolet C germicidal devices for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) inactivation. UVC dosages (mJ/cm$^2$) of 10 UVC devices were determined at the recommended settings compared to a benchmark for SARS-CoV-2 inactivated UVC dosage (3.7 mJ/cm$^2$). Of the 10 devices, 3 were handheld UVGI surface disinfection equipment, 4 were UVGI disinfection chambers, and 3 were movable UVGI air and surface purifiers. Three UVGI disinfection chambers and all movable UVGI air and surface purifiers provided sufficient UVC dosages for SARS-CoV-2 inactivation. None of the studied handheld UVGI surface disinfection equipment achieved the UVC dosage for SARS-CoV-2 inactivation. A lack of standardization in the distance and cycle duration for each UVC application was observed. Standard usage guidelines for UVC devices are required to improve the effectiveness of UVC irradiance for SARS-CoV-2 inactivation and minimize the potential side effects of UVC.

Introduction

The emergence of the current coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has raised global awareness about public sanitation and personal hygiene. COVID-19, caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), was first reported in Wuhan, China, on December 31, 2019, and was identified as a pandemic disease by the World Health Organization on March 11, 2020$^1$. The transmission of SARS-CoV-2 primarily occurs through direct contact, indirect contact (fomite transmission), and respiratory droplets$^2$. Recent studies have shown potential airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2. The studies generated aerosols of SARS-CoV-2 from nebulizers under experimental conditions. One study showed that SARS-CoV-2 remains viable within aerosols for 3 hours$^3$. Another study suggested that the infectivity and virion integrity of SARS-CoV-2 persisted for up to 16 hours in respirable-sized aerosols.$^4$

To control the pandemic, several modalities have been adopted to reduce transmission, including social distancing, use of masks, hand hygiene, surface cleaning and disinfection.$^5$ Regarding disinfection methods, ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (UVGI) systems are gaining popularity due to their effective disinfectant properties for air, liquids, and surfaces.$^6$–$^9$

Ultraviolet radiation is electromagnetic radiation that is classified into UVA (315–400 nm), UVB (280–315 nm), UVC (200–280 nm), and vacuum UV (100–200 nm). UVC is primarily used in UVGI because it has strong germicidal effects, and its wavelength (particularly 250–270 nm) is strongly absorbed by the
nucleic acids of microorganisms. UVC inactivates microorganisms by interrupting deoxyribonucleic acid or ribonucleic acid replication through the formation of pyrimidine dimers.\textsuperscript{10}

There are several types of UVC light sources that have different peak UVC emissions and different applications. Low-pressure mercury lamps, with a peak emission of 254 nm, are the most common type of UVC light source. Other types include excimer lamps (or far-UVC lamps), pulsed xenon lamps, and light-emitting diodes (LEDs).\textsuperscript{11}

Although the germicidal effect of UVC radiation was documented over many decades, the applications of UVC have been limited since overexposure to UVC radiation can potentially cause adverse effects on human health, including corneal irritation, conjunctival irritation, and skin irritation.\textsuperscript{12} Therefore, the UVGI system has mainly been used in healthcare settings and research. Recently, the demand for household UVC germicidal devices has been increasing in response to the current COVID-19 pandemic. Several applications of UVC were developed and introduced to the commercial market. To the best of our knowledge, no study has evaluated the effectiveness of commercial household UVC devices for SARS-CoV-2 inactivation. Therefore, the present study aimed to measure the dose of available commercial UVC devices and to determine the effectiveness of those devices for SARS-CoV-2 inactivation.

**Materials And Methods**

**Study design**

A prospective experimental study was conducted from February to March 2021. The study protocol was reviewed by the Institutional Review Board at Mahidol University and was considered a value-adding study (COA. MURA2021/82).

**Commercial UVC devices**

Ten UVC devices were included in the study. The devices had a household-use purpose and availability in the market. The studied devices were categorized based on UVC light source and application (Fig. 1). Types of UVC light sources were divided into low-pressure mercury lamps and light-emitting diodes (LEDs). Applications were divided into handheld UVGI surface disinfection equipment, UVGI disinfection chambers, and movable UVGI air and surface purifiers. Regarding confidentiality, labels were assigned to each device and were used throughout the study. For ease of communication, the label comprised letters (M or L) followed by a number. M was used for devices with a low-pressure mercury source, while L was used for devices with an LED source. The label and specification of UVC devices are provided in Table 1. All studied UVC devices were demonstrated in Fig. 2.
### Table 1
The label and specification of 10 studied ultraviolet C germicidal devices.

| UVC light source                        | Application                               | Label | UVC wave range (nm) | UV light power (W) |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Low-pressure mercury lamps              | Handheld UVGI surface disinfection equipment | M1    | N/A                 | 2.5               |
|                                         |                                           | M2    | 253.7               | 2                 |
| UVGI disinfection chamber               |                                           | M3    | 253.7               | 24                |
|                                         |                                           | M4    | 253.7               | 8                 |
| Movable UVGI air and surface purifier   |                                           | M5    | N/A                 | 38                |
|                                         |                                           | M6    | 253.7               | 24                |
|                                         |                                           | M7    | 253.7               | 8                 |
| Light-emitting diodes                   | Handheld UVGI surface disinfection equipment | L1    | 265–280             | 4.5               |
|                                         | UVGI disinfection chamber                 | L2    | 265                 | 8                 |
|                                         |                                           | L3    | 270–285             | 5–8               |

UVC, ultraviolet C; UVGI, ultraviolet germicidal irradiation; N/A, not applicable

### UVC light measurement

A Lutron UVC-254SD meter equipped with a cosine correction filter UV photosensor was used to measure UVC irradiance. The sensor covered 220 nm to 280 nm UVC wavelengths.

### Experimental procedures

To evaluate the effectiveness of UVC devices in practice, UVC irradiance was determined at short and long distances. First, we placed the sensor at a distance of 2 cm for all devices. Long distances for each device varied depending on the manufacturers’ usage instructions. The duration of the cycle for each device was determined based on instructions given by the manufacturers. In the case of no given instructions, the distance and duration of the cycle were determined by dermatologists based on the application and power of the device.

The UV photosensor was pointed directly toward UVC devices. UVC measurement was repeated three times in each position. UVC irradiance (mW/cm²) was subsequently converted to UVC dosage (mJ/cm²) by summing all irradiance values obtained every second during a cycle.

### Determination of SARS-CoV-2 inactivation

A UVC dosage of 3.7 mJ/cm² was applied as a benchmark for SARS-CoV-2-inactivating UVC dosage. The value was the UVC radiation dose required for a 90% SARS-CoV-2 reduction, as reported in a recent review.
The recommended settings were the maximum distance and minimum cycle duration for achieving a SARS-CoV-2-inactivating UVC dosage for the studied devices.

**Results**

Ten commercial household UVC devices were included. Of the 10 devices, 7 devices were low-pressure mercury lamps, while the others were LEDs. The power of devices with a low-pressure mercury source ranged from 2 to 38 W, while that of devices with an LED source ranged from 4.5 to 8 W. Regarding application, there were 3 handheld UVGI surface disinfection equipment, 4 UVGI disinfection chambers, and 3 movable UVGI air and surface purifiers. For standardization purposes, UVC dosages were compared across devices with similar applications.

There were 3 handheld UVGI surface disinfection equipment (M1, M2, and L1). The long distances of M1, M2, and L1 were 1.4 m, 5 cm, and 3 cm, respectively, following the manufacturers’ instructions. According to the usage instructions, none of the studied handheld UVGI surface disinfection equipment achieved the SARS-CoV-2-inactivating UVC dosage. At 1.4 m, no UVC irradiance was detected from M1. The maximum distance at which UVC irradiance could be detected was 10 cm. Considering the duration, 2 minutes of M1 usage provided a sufficient UVC dosage for SARS-CoV-2 inactivation. At the recommended distances of M2 and L1, UVC irradiances were detected for both devices; however, the SARS-CoV-2-inactivating UVC dosage was not achieved despite the use of the recommended duration. Recommended settings from the authors for M1, M2, and L1 are shown in Table 2. The distances ranged from 1 to 10 cm, while the durations of the cycles varied from 35 sec to 2 min.
Table 2
Ultraviolet C dosage and recommended settings of the 3 studied handheld UVGI surface disinfection equipment.

| Handheld UVGI surface disinfection equipment | Low-pressure mercury lamps | Light-emitting diodes |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| **Label**                                  | M1                          | M2                    | L1                    |
| **Power (W)**                              | 2.5                         | 2                     | 4.5                   |
| **Short distance**                         | 2 cm                        | 2 cm                  | 2 cm                  |
| **Cycle duration**                         | 15/30 min                   | 30 sec                | 2 sec                 |
| **UVC dosage (mJ/cm²)**                    | 260.60/436.54               | 4.52                  | **0.29**              |
| **Long distance**                          | 1.4 m                       | 5 cm                  | 3 cm                  |
| **Cycle duration**                         | 15/30 min                   | 30 sec                | 2 sec                 |
| **UVC dosage (mJ/cm²)**                    | Undetectable                | **2.46**              | **0.22**              |

Recommend settings

| **Manufacturer**                           |                            |                        |                        |
| **Distance**                               | No more than 1.4 m         | 3–5 cm                 | 1–3 cm                |
| **Cycle duration**                         | 15/30 min                  | 30 sec                 | 2 sec                 |

| **Author**                                 |                            |                        |                        |
| **Distance**                               | No more than 10 cm         | 3–5 cm                 | 1–3 cm                |
| **Cycle duration**                         | 2 min                      | 45 sec                 | 35 sec                |

UVC, ultraviolet C; UVGI, ultraviolet germicidal irradiation

Four UVGI disinfection chambers labeled M3, M4, L2, and L3 were included in the experiment. Since the UVC light sources of the studied chambers were located in the lid, 2 cm and 10 cm from the light source could be defined as the top and bottom of the chambers, respectively. It was remarked that there were 2 light sources (located in the lid and at the floor) in 1 UVGI disinfection chamber (Fig. 2-d). However, the sensor of UVC meter point toward the light source located at the lid. M3, M4, and L2 showed favorable results. As shown in Table 3, these devices provided sufficient UVC dosage for SARS-CoV-2 inactivation when following the usage instructions from the manufacturers. In contrast, L3 could not deliver a sufficient UVC dosage measured at the bottom of the chamber with the recommended duration.
### Table 3
Ultraviolet C dosage and recommended settings of the 4 studied UVGI disinfection chambers.

| UVGI disinfection chambers | Low-pressure mercury lamps | Light-emitting diodes |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Label                     | **M3**                      | **M4**                |
| Power (W)                 | 24                          | 8                     |
| Short distance            | 2 cm                        | 2 cm                  |
| Cycle duration            | 35 sec                      | 11/16/21 min          |
| UVC dosage (mJ/cm\(^2\))  | 86.14                       | 790.22/1115.19/1423.00|
| Long distance             | 10 cm                       | 10 cm                 |
| Cycle duration            | 35 sec                      | 11/16/21 min          |
| UVC dosage (mJ/cm\(^2\))  | 22.62                       | 411.14/587.35/755.75  |

Recommend setting

**Manufacturer**

| Distance | In chamber | In chamber | In chamber | In chamber |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Cycle duration | 35 sec   | 11/16/21 min | 5 min  | 3 min  |

**Author**

| Distance | In chamber | In chamber | In chamber | In chamber |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Cycle duration | 6 sec    | 8 sec      | 3 min      | 6 min      |

UVC, ultraviolet C; UVGI, ultraviolet germicidal irradiation

All 3 studied movable UVGI air and surface purifiers were low-pressure mercury lamps with powers of 8 to 38 W. No usage instructions were given for M7; therefore, we measured UVC irradiance under the same settings as M5 and M6. These 3 devices were effective for inactivation at the recommended settings, as shown in Table 4.
Table 4
Ultraviolet C dosage and recommended settings of the 3 studied movable UVGI air and surface purifiers.

| Movable UVGI air and surface purifiers | Low-pressure mercury lamps |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| **Label**                             | **M5** | **M6** | **M7** |
| **Power (W)**                         | 38     | 24     | 8      |
| **Short distance**                    | 2 cm   | 2 cm   | 2 cm   |
| **Cycle duration**                    | 15 min | 15 min | 15 min |
| **UVC dosage (mJ/cm²)**               | 9622.97| 9137.52| 2794.49|
| **Long distance**                     | 2 m    | 2 m    | 2 m    |
| **Cycle duration**                    | 15 min | 15 min | 15 min |
| **UVC dosage (mJ/cm²)**               | 36.55  | 21.61  | 5.37   |

Recommend setting

| Manufacturer |
|--------------|
| **Distance** | 2 m | 2 m | N/A |
| **Cycle duration** | 15 min | 15 min | N/A |

| Author |
|--------|
| **Distance** | 2 m | 2 m | 2 m |
| **Cycle duration** | 2 min | 3 min | 11 min |

UVC, ultraviolet C; UVGI, ultraviolet germicidal irradiation; N/A, not applicable

Discussion

UVC devices with different specifications were included in this study to represent the variety of UVC devices available on the market. SARS-CoV-2 inactivation performance was examined and categorized by device application to provide ease of use in practice.

Different applications of UVC devices possess certain characteristics that make them useful for different purposes. Specifically, handheld UVGI surface disinfection equipment is characterized as a small portable UVC device providing a minimum sufficient level of UVC irradiance for SARS-CoV-2 inactivation. Therefore, this application is appropriate for disinfecting small surface areas, such as cell phones, keyboards, and door handles. The effective range is a short distance ranging from 1 to 10 cm. A practical
misleading point for this application is the overestimation of the application range, as evidently seen in the M1 device. A longer duration of UVC irradiance could not compensate for a longer effective range.

UVGI disinfection chambers are another common UVC application suitable for surface disinfection. Importantly, the values of UVC irradiance at the top and bottom of the chamber were different. The UVC dosage at the bottom of the chamber in 3 devices (M3, M4, and L2) was more than the dosage required for SARS-CoV-2 inactivation; therefore, the recommended duration could be shortened. However, the design of the chambers can prevent potential UVC side effects in humans; thus, the authors support manufacturers’ usage instructions to gain the benefit of inactivation of bacteria and other viruses without increasing the risk of side effects.\textsuperscript{14}

Comparing the 3 studied applications, the UVC dosages of the movable UVGI air and surface purifiers at the recommended settings were the highest, which can be explained by their usage purpose. These applications are effective not only for surface disinfection but also proper for air disinfection. Therefore, the expected range of the applications is longer than that of the other 2 applications. Unlike UVGI disinfection chambers, UVC irradiance from movable UVGI air and surface purifiers disperses, so potential side effects to humans should be taken into consideration. Accordingly, the authors suggest a shorter irradiance duration for movable UVGI air and surface purifiers in SARS-CoV-2 inactivation.

In addition to distance and duration, the direction of UVC irradiance is another crucial factor determining the disinfectant property of UVC. Boyce et al.\textsuperscript{15} conducted an experimental study to evaluate the impact of room location on UVC irradiance and UVC dosage. The results revealed that the orientation of the UVC sensor relative to the UVC device affected UVC irradiance. The UVC sensor pointed directly at UVC light yielded the highest UVC irradiance.

Another key concern in selecting UVC devices is the type of UVC light source. A low-pressure mercury lamp is a traditional UVC source mainly emitting at 254 nm\textsuperscript{11}. Although a low-pressure mercury lamp effectively generates UVC irradiance for disinfectant purposes, it has a major disadvantage that should not be overlooked. The main component (mercury) is known for its toxicity to humans and the environment\textsuperscript{16,17}. As the accessibility of UVC devices expands, the public should be aware of mercury toxicity, and the safety of commercial mercury lamps must be ensured by manufacturers. LEDs are another UVC light source that were recently introduced to commercial markets. The use of LEDs is rising because they contain no mercury; thus, UVC LED devices are safe for human use and environmentally friendly. However, the limitations of LEDs are their small surface and directionality; hence, the use of UVC is limited for UVGI disinfection chambers and handheld UVGI surface disinfection equipment applications.

Conclusion

All movable UVGI air and surface purifiers and 3 out of 4 UVGI disinfection chambers achieved an adequate UVC dose for SARS-CoV-2 inactivation, but handheld UVGI surface disinfection equipment
provided a minimum sufficient level of UVC irradiance for SARS-CoV-2 inactivation. There was no standardization of the distance and cycle duration for each UVC application in achieving SARS-CoV-2 inactivation in the present study. Standard usage guidelines for UVC devices are required to improve the effectiveness of UVC irradiance for SARS-CoV-2 inactivation as well as to minimize the potential side effects of UVC.
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**Figures**

![Diagram of 10 studied ultraviolet C germicidal devices.](image)

**Figure 1**

Diagram of 10 studied ultraviolet C germicidal devices.
Figure 2

Images of 10 studied ultraviolet C germicidal devices. Ten studied ultraviolet C germicidal devices can be classified into 2 groups based on UVC light source: low-pressure mercury lamps (a, b, d, e, h, i, and j) and light-emitting diodes (c, f, and g). Regarding application, a-c are handheld UVGI surface disinfection equipment, d-g are UVGI disinfection chambers, and h-j are movable UVGI air and surface purifiers.
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