Introduction

The World Health Organization estimates that 250,000 to 500,000 spinal cord injuries (SCI) occur each year worldwide, including an estimated 17,700 new US cases (1,2). The estimated annual incidence of SCI was 54 cases per 1 million US population in 2012, and there are an estimated 276,000 people currently living with SCI (3,4). In young adults (ages 16–30), males are more than 4 times as likely to sustain a SCI as compared to females (5). Almost every aspect of male reproduction is affected by SCI, resulting in: erectile, endocrine and sexual dysfunction, decreased sperm motility despite an often-normal count, and abnormal semen emission and ejaculation. The aim of this review is to focus on how SCI impacts testicular spermatogenesis, sperm function, semen quality, and overall fecundity while discussing what is not known, and future avenues for research.

Erection, emission, and ejaculation in SCI

The ability to achieve erection is classically divided into either reflex/tactile or psychogenic, and each is dependent on intact lower motor sacral nerves and spinal cord, respectively (11). A complete injury above T11 usually leads to the inability to achieve psychogenic erection, while sacral nerve root injury deleteriously impacts reflex/tactile erections (12). Men with T11-L2 SCI will often have variable ability to achieve erections by one or both pathways (13). Emission is the complex process of delivering sperm and the plasma proteins/nutrients and ultimately depositing semen in the urethra. This involves afferent signals from penile nerves and sympathetic input from the thoracolumbar (T11-L2) sympathetic nerve roots to induce rhythmic contraction of vas deferens, seminal vesicles, and ejaculatory ducts. Ejaculation in an antegrade fashion for adequate deposit of semen requires a closed bladder neck, and somatically controlled rhythmic contraction of bulbocavernosus and ischiocavernosus muscles with
concomitant relaxation of the external sphincter (14). This highly coordinated process of erection, emission, and ejaculation can breakdown in one or multiple steps in the SCI male, and without medication or assisted reproductive technology most often results in infertility. The ability to naturally ejaculate in an antegrade fashion without assistance is dependent on the level and completeness of injury. After complete lower motor neuron (LMN) injury only 18% retain the ability to ejaculate versus 70% with incomplete LMN. With a complete upper motor neuron (UMN) injury, only 11% can ejaculate versus 32% in incomplete UMN (15-17).

**Time after injury**

Mallidis et al. collected semen samples during the acute phase of spinal shock which were shown to be normal, but after 2 weeks the semen parameters mirrored the poor quality of chronic SCI patients (18). Following this acute phase, the poor semen quality did not change in the long run as a function of time after injury (19). In a dog model of SCI, Ohl et al. demonstrated the onset of significant changes in sperm at 3 weeks after injury, and these changes included: decreased sperm motility (62.9% to 20.1%), and histologically the mean number of spermatids on cross section of the testis decreased compared to controls (13.6 versus 43.9) (20). In contrast, using a rat model of SCI Huang et al. showed that spermatogenesis can improve over time with normal spermatogenesis seen in 30% of rats at three months compared to 47% at 6 months (21).

**Seminal plasma**

The most consistent finding on SCI patients’ semen analysis is decreased motility and vitality despite frequently normal counts (22,23). There are multiple theories for the abnormal semen motility, which include increased scrotal temperature, leukospermia, antisperm antibodies, and seminal plasma proteins and cytokines. The ejaculate also appears brown or dark colored after SCI (24).

In 1996, Brackett et al. were the first group to show how the seminal plasma in the SCI male impacts motility (25). In this study, seminal plasma from SCI patients was mixed with sperm from normal men, and resulted in impaired sperm motility. Subsequently, they mixed seminal plasma from normal men with sperm from SCI men, resulting in improved motility. A potential reason for these findings includes the fact that seminal plasma in SCI men has a high number of leukocytes, specifically activated T-cells, which can secrete cytotoxic substances and cytokines (IL-1-beta, TNFalpha, IL-6) (26,27). The neutralization of these inflammatory substances may improve semen parameters (28). Cohen et al. treated seminal plasma with monoclonal antibodies to these inflammatory cytokines, which resulted in improved sperm motility (29). Brackett et al. showed that in SCI men the motility and viability of aspirated sperm from the vas deferens is significantly improved compared to electroejaculatory samples from the same men, which strongly argues for the deleterious impact of seminal plasma (30). De Silva characterized the seminal plasma proteome, and their work demonstrated that there is prostate gland functional failure in SCI men, representing another possible explanation for the mechanism of decreased sperm motility (31). This group also showed the seminal plasma proteomic signature is impaired in SCI men compared to normal controls. Further, this is independent of whether penile vibratory stimulation or electroejaculation is used to collect semen (32).

**Testis biopsy of SCI**

In an effort to determine whether there were predictive factors for azoospermia following electroejaculation, Elliott et al. performed 50 testis biopsies in SCI men averaging 8 years following injury. Despite the fact that mature sperm were identified in 43 of 50 testes biopsies, only 28 had normal spermatogenesis, while 15 had hypospermatogenesis, and 7 had maturation arrest (33). Recently, Sánchez-Ramos et al. prospectively studied 28 SCI men at 4 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months following SCI, and at each time interval they evaluated fine needle aspiration biopsy of the testis to histologically characterize spermatogenesis (34). This study demonstrated early recovery of spermatogenesis, where at four weeks post SCI spermatogenesis was normal in only 39% of patient’s testis biopsy. Conversely, this improved to 48% and 80% at 4 and 6 months after injury, respectively. This suggests that spermatogenesis may improve after an initial period of impairment.

**Motility: Ca channel ion dependence**

Sperm motility is dependent on calcium channels of the sperm (CatSper), located in the sperm flagellum, and they enhance motility through hyperactivation (35-38). These genes are found highly preserved across many mammals.
including humans, and knockout mice without CatSper 1 and 2 subtypes are infertile because of the decreased motility and hyperactivation (37). In 2008, Rezaian et al. studied the gene expression of CatSper following SCI using a mouse model with 75 mice (25 surgery, 25 sham, 25 control). Animals were sacrificed at the following time periods after T9 SCI was performed: day 1 and weeks 1, 2, 4 and 6. Epididymal aspiration allowed analysis of sperm parameters, and this demonstrated that even as early as 2 weeks post-injury there were significant decreases in motility, morphology, and vitality, and by 4 weeks total count was significantly reduced to almost half. Histology of the SCI testes at weeks 4 and 6 compared to controls demonstrated decreased sperm and significant seminiferous tubule integrity loss. There was significant downregulation of Catsper1 and Catsper2 genes by 4 weeks after injury, thus providing a possible mechanism for the decreased motility seen in the sperm of SCI men (39). These findings also correlate temporally with the other studies discussed above, which found changes in testes/sperm within the first month following injury.

**Endocrinopathy following SCI**

The literature is mixed regarding the short and long-term hormonal changes seen following SCI in men. A review by Ibrahim et al. graphically represents how studies have examined the associated endocrinopathies seen in spinal cord injured men, and there is little consensus and almost no consistent trend seen regarding changes in testosterone, LH/FSH, or prolactin (6). For instance, four chronic SCI studies showed the prolactin level in SCI men was no different than controls, which is the exact opposite result from the Sanchez-Ramos study which found an elevated and serially increasing prolactin levels at 1, 3, and 6 months following injury (21,34,40-42). There are many promising studies using SCI animal models that have reported neuroprotective effects of exogenous sex steroids, specifically 17β-estradiol (43). This is one avenue of possible early intervention to decrease the deleterious effects during the acute injury phase, however further discussion is beyond the scope of this article.

**Testosterone and SCI**

There is no published literature that reports the prevalence of testosterone replacement therapy (TRT) in SCI patients. Given the growing body of evidence that men may benefit from TRT, there is a concern that SCI men may be inappropriately prescribed exogenous testosterone. This could potentially lead to medical sterilization a man with a semen profile that is at risk for infertility at baseline. Not all SCI men will have hypogonadism, but the prevalence is estimated to be between 39% and 46% (44,45). There is a higher rate of hypogonadism and an earlier age of onset compared to age matched controls (46). There is also an association between severity of injury (complete SCI) and an increased risk of hypogonadism (44,47). Bauman et al. compared testicular response to human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) stimulation in SCI men and able bodied men, and found that SCI men had adequate and equal response; thus concluding that the hypogonadal state in SCI is not due to primary testicular failure (48). Naderi et al. also showed that hypogonadism seen in SCI is likely a centrally mediated failure of the hypothalamic-pituitary-testis axis (42). Another study by Sullivan et al. supported this finding by using age matched controls compared to SCI men aged 18–45 years old with chronic SCI (>1 year since injury), and found hypogonadism in 25% versus 6% in SCI versus controls (47). Using this same cohort of men, Sullivan et al. showed that men with low testosterone levels was associated with a higher cardiovascular risk profile (49). There is some evidence that non-hormonal intervention could improve hypogonadism in SCI men. The modifiable risk factors of increased BMI and decreased weekly exercise were associated with hypogonadism in SCI (50). There is an ongoing randomized study through the Veterans Affairs examining the effect of TRT and resistance training versus resistance training alone on body mass index and the metabolic profiles of SCI men (51).

**Inflammation and reactive oxygen species**

SCI is a highly inflammatory process that affects multiple organs that we do not fully understand, including the testis. Compared to controls, the semen of SCI men have elevated cytokines and caspases. These specifically include apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing a caspase activation and recruitment domain (ASC), caspase-1, Interleukin-1β, and Interleukin-18 (52). This same group later showed that by neutralizing ASC with an antibody they could improve motility in vitro from an average of 13.3% to 23.9% (53). Fortune et al. used a rat SCI model to examine immune cell response in the acute and long-term settings. At 72 hours SCI testes showed increased neutrophil count, and at 1.5 years there was a statistically increased number of T-cells.
compared to controls (54). This same study performed metabolome analysis, with overall findings of a pro-inflammatory state in the testes starting as early as 24 hours after SCI. They demonstrated increased oxidative stress and decreased testosterone production, and at 72 hours there is already evidence of apoptosis. Compared to controls, white blood cells and reactive oxygen species are higher in SCI men, and there is an inverse correlation between the level of reactive oxygen species and sperm motility (55,56). A known activator of the inflamasome is the pennexin-1 channel, and one study by Ibrahim et al. treated 20 SCI men with probenecid, a common medication for gout that acts as a pennexin-1 inhibitor (57). They found improved motility and rapid linear motility at 4 weeks after initiation of therapy, and the medication was well tolerated.

Fecundity

In a meta-analysis that examined SCI couple’s fertility, which included various sperm retrieval processes, Deforge et al. found a 51% pregnancy rate and 40% live birth rate from 1993 to 2003 (58). With the addition of assisted reproductive technology live birth rates approach 70% (59,60). In the general population, pregnancy rates depend on female age, declining in an almost linear fashion with increasing female age. SCI couple pregnancy rates are still lower than the non-SCI general population with female partners 25–27 years old with estimated 79% pregnancy rates after 12 months (61).

Sexual satisfaction and quality of life

There are a multiple survey-based studies that examine quality of life measures in regards to sexual activity in SCI men, it is estimated that 30–70% of SCI men are sexually inactive (62–64). Factors that positively impacted sexual satisfaction include time since injury and younger age. Negative predictors for sexual satisfaction include ejaculatory dysfunction, chronic pain, and bowel and bladder incontinence (65–67). Gomes et al. found that erectile function was the number one predictor of sexual satisfaction in SCI men (64). Abramson et al. used a systematic review to demonstrate that there was no consensus regarding the sexual function questionnaires used across studies of SCI and sexual function/quality of life (68). Furthermore, another systematic review found that many of the patient related outcome measure instruments that evaluate sexual function in SCI are not methodologically valid (69). There are many limitations to the current literature on sexual satisfaction and quality of life, most importantly is the lack of prospectively collected data and questionnaires that follow patients from time of injury going forward. We lack understanding of how each factor of sexual satisfaction can impact a SCI man’s quality of life. Limitations include the lack of adequate data regarding how medical or surgical interventions impact satisfaction, and how men change with time in regards to function and their perception of their sexual quality of life.

Genetics

There is a paucity of genetic studies of the infertile male with SCI. There are no genome-wide association studies (GWAS), and only a few of the studies discussed in this review examined genetic changes (38,39,54). One recent study by Shi et al. used a rat model with RNA-sequencing to identify genes and pathways of SCI, and found differential expression involving genes related to the immune response, cytokines, and ion channels (70). This is a good first step to identify novel genes and pathways to further evaluate in human studies of SCI. There is an argument against using GWAS studies in spinal cord injuries because it is an isolated event, compared to something like cancer with heritable somatic genes to study. We do not know why there is such a broad spectrum in the presentation and severity of infertility in men with SCI. Could there be somatic genes that are more susceptible to the insults of SCI, or do some men with SCI have genes that promote resilience and DNA repair? We also don’t know how SCI impacts germline DNA.

Epigenetics

The study of epigenetics focuses on changes to the genome other than the primary sequence that can alter gene expression. Two commonly studied epigenetic changes are DNA methylation and histone modification (71). Multiple studies have implicated epigenetic changes that affect spermatogenesis, fertilization, and early embryogenesis (72–75). An example of a recent study by Jenkins et al. identified DNA methylation differences in two groups of non-SCI men with equal semen parameters, but vastly different fecundity (76). Impaired fertility is also seen in men that smoke compared to non-smokers; and a recent study demonstrated broad DNA methylation changes in smokers (77,78). One could argue that SCI is in some ways similar to smoking in that they both are highly inflammatory and increase reactive oxygen species.
species. We need the same type of study comparing DNA methylation patterns in sperm from SCI males compared to controls. To date there have been no published data exploring epigenetic changes in the sperm of SCI men. This is an unexplored area that could shed light on why many SCI couples need to ultimately use assisted reproductive technology.

**Need for national database and repository**

The SCI Model Systems database is a powerful multi-institutional repository, from 29 medical centers, with over 40 years worthy of patient demographic data paired with psychosocial, medical, employment, and survival outcomes (2). Such a network of institutions that specialize in SCI research and treatment would be a prime source of collaboration with urology and infertility research. Many centers have already set up data and semen repositories, but to date there have been no published multi-institutional studies looking at the impact of SCI on spermatogenesis. The National Cancer Institute’s cancer genome atlas is an example of how multiple institutions and scientists have contributed tissue samples to a central repository, which then shares a common database for cancer research. Many breakthroughs in diagnosis and treatment have been made with this powerful and collaborative tool. SCI research needs to emulate this example so we can more readily collaborate to identify new genes and pathways that lead to impaired erections, ejaculation, spermatogenesis, and ultimately fertility. SCI males without antegrade ejaculation seeking reproductive assistance will likely undergo a procedure (penile vibratory stimulation, electroejaculation, or surgical sperm retrieval) to obtain a semen sample. This is a simple, but potentially missed opportunity, for academic centers to biobank sperm and research samples. Ideally urologists and reproductive endocrinologists would collaborate with physical medicine and rehabilitation physicians to enroll SCI participants interested in optimizing their fertility treatment options.

The foundation for potential research across institutions exists, but we must prioritize and fund SCI research. An example of multi-institutional research in SCI is the Neurogenic Bladder Research Group (www.NBRG.org), which began as collaboration between Universities of Michigan, Minnesota, and Utah. Their initial study, funded by the Patient Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) aimed to study quality of life outcomes related to bladder management after SCI (79). This group has subsequently grown to 7 institutions and the lessons learned could be applied to the study of infertility in SCI patients. With a multi-institutional collaboration of SCI researchers focused on infertility there would be the infrastructure and relationships set up for future clinic trials. First, we must identify novel targets for therapeutics to explore in future clinical trials. An example of an ongoing multi-institutional clinic trial in non-SCI male infertility is the FAZST trial, which will assess the effects of folic acid and zinc dietary supplementation in males on semen quality and fertility rates among couples.

*Figure 1* is a proposed study design to assess sexual satisfaction, infertility, hormonal profile, and quality of life in spinal cord injured men. The specific tests and questionnaires would need to be chosen as a group, but the more important features of this study would be consistency between centers, building a biobank of testis tissue, semen, and blood for hormonal studies with an emphasis on studying early SCI men to help identify new mechanisms to target future therapy.

*Figure 2* summarizes the known mechanisms discussed in this review that contribute to male infertility in SCI men, and highlights knowledge gaps in the current literature. First, we know that SCI is an enormous stress to the human body with many downstream effects. The six boxes in the middle represent some of the main findings in SCI men that contribute to male infertility. Most studies thus far have only investigated one facet, for example reactive oxygen species. There is little known about if there is a single early injury pathway, or how they are interconnected mechanistically or temporally, represented by the arrows. Second, there is conflicting evidence regarding hormonal changes in SCI men following the injury. We think that a large number of young men have secondary hypogonadism following SCI, which is likely centrally mediated. We do not know the prevalence of testosterone or gonadotropin use in this population. There is a dearth of literature regarding the impact of gonadotropin use on the semen parameters or SCI fertility. Third, there is a growing body of literature supporting epigenetic changes in the sperm of men that leads to impaired fertility. In SCI men we see histologic changes with decreased spermatogenesis as well as an immune response with leukocytes and inflammation, which may be a gross reflection of epigenetic insults to the spermatogonial stem cells. Epigenetic changes in SCI sperm have yet to be studied. Lastly, we have discussed how almost all studies regarding the mechanism of infertility in SCI are from single institutions, with small study sizes, and rarely
Figure 1 A proposed multi-Institutional prospective cohort study of fertility in spinal cord injury patients. *, In the first year after injury, semen analysis may be difficult to obtain because the ejaculatory reflex is one of the last reflexes to return, which make methods of semen retrieval inconsistent in the first year after injury; **, the IIEF must be interpreted carefully because, studies attribute improvement in patients whose scores on a given question go from 0 to 1. This is a misconception on questions in which “0” denotes no opportunity for sexual activity. IIEF, International Index of Erectile Function.

collect data in a prospective manner. There are emerging study groups in North America focused on the urologic needs of SCI patients that would be a potential avenue to expand into a multi-institutional group to study infertility in SCI.

Conclusions

SCI is an incredibly destructive event that sets off a cascade of events in the human body that affect a man’s fertility long after the acute injury. Multiple groups are investigating this from many different angles, from proteomics to genetics. There are dog, mouse, and rat models for SCI and we also highlighted a few small prospectively collected human studies. A common theme in this body of work is trying to explain the mechanism behind the impaired motility and overall decreased fertility despite the often-normal sperm counts. There are expression changes in the calcium ion channel proteins responsible for hyperactivation of sperm flagellae, while reactive oxygen species increase and cytokines are released by white blood cells, which makes the environment within the testis hostile to spermatogenesis.
Figure 2 Gaps in knowledge in spinal cord injury and impaired fertility research.

Seminal plasma proteins in SCI are also implicated in the decreased motility and overall fertility. Future research efforts in the field would benefit from multi-institutional collaboration with sharing ideas, biobanked specimens, and patients in clinical trials. Male factor infertility research is rapidly changing and the same advancing genetic/epigenetic techniques need to be applied to the large population of SCI men seeking fertility assistance.
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