Spatial and Temporal Analysis of Dry and Wet Spells in the Wadi Cheliff Basin, Algeria
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Abstract: The Mediterranean Basin, located in a transition zone between the temperate and rainy climate of central Europe and the arid climate of North Africa, is considered a major hotspot of climate change, subject to water scarcity and drought. In this work, dry and wet spells have been analyzed in the Wadi Cheliff basin (Algeria) by means of annual precipitation observed at 150 rain gauges in the period 1970–2018. In particular, the characteristics of dry and wet spells (frequency, duration, severity, and intensity) have been evaluated by means of the run theory applied to the 12-month standardized precipitation index (SPI) values. Moreover, in order to detect possible tendencies in the SPI values, a trend analysis has been performed by means of two non-parametric tests, the Theil–Sen and Mann–Kendall test. The results indicated similar values of frequency, severity, duration, and intensity between the dry and the wet spells, although wet events showed higher values in the extreme. Moreover, the results of the trend analysis evidenced a different behavior between the northern side of the basin, characterized by a negative trend in the 12-month SPI values, and the southern side, in which positive trends were detected.
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1. Introduction

Drought, similar to floods, is a dangerous natural hazard that can affect almost every region of the world at any time. Its genesis and course depend on many factors, both natural and those resulting from human pressure. Unlike floods, drought develops gradually and exhibits a high temporal inertia, so its symptoms are often underestimated and mistakenly perceived as less of a threat to humans compared to other natural disasters. Long-term droughts affect all sectors of the economy and, as a result, society as a whole. Drought is mainly related to a rainfall deficit leading to a decrease in water supplies affecting the flora and fauna of a given region [1,2]. Meteorological drought is characterized by a deficit of precipitation, an elevated temperature, and low humidity. These anomalies then propagate to impact the surface water and groundwater sources, ecosystems, and human activities. The impact of drought on society, the environment, and the economy depends on its duration and spatial extent. Water stress or water deficit caused by drought has a substantial influence on low production in major agricultural crops [3,4].

The extent of the water deficit on the land surface can be quantified by various indices based on meteorological variables. These include the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI), the Crop Moisture Index (CMI), the Surface Water Supply Index (SWSI),
the Rainfall Anomaly Index (RAI), the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI), and the Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) [5,6]. The World Meteorological Organization has recommended that the standardized precipitation index (SPI) developed by McKee et al. [7] is used as a universal meteorological drought index because of its standardized form and the lower requirement of available data that is needed [8–11]. For example, a study described by Ekewzuo and Ezeh [12] and performed in West Africa used a 3-month SPI and showed that the most exposed area to extreme drought conditions occurs over the northern Sahel domain though the frequency of occurrence is very low.

In general, climate change has worsened the extremes of high temperature and both low and high precipitation, and thus has increased the risk of drought [13,14]. For example, Vilaj et al. [15] reported that the SPI reveals an increasing occurrence of droughts in Kerala, India, caused by a decreasing trend of extreme precipitation indexes and an increasing trend of extreme temperature indexes. Algeria is a good example of the worrying manifestations of climate change. As Hadour et al. [16] reported for the RCP8.5 scenario, a decrease in winter rains for the 2039, 2069, and 2099 horizons is projected, while the temperatures will increase. To help with water management under this increasing risk of drought, spatially detailed long-term meteorological data are needed. These data can inform an analysis of the tendency of meteorological drought indicators and better represent their spatiotemporal complexity. The western part of Algeria has experienced several droughts over the last century [16–18]. Drought effects within the country are modulated by the high heterogeneity of the spatial distribution of the rainfall [19].

The variability of precipitation and thus the variability of the drought intensity is linked with many physical mechanisms. For example, in the Iberian Peninsula, Vicente-Serrano et al. [20] linked the increasing drought tendency with greater atmospheric evaporative demand associated with temperature rises. Markonis et al. [21] showed that drier conditions over the Mediterranean are in accordance with a recent north–south polarization of drought patterns over Europe. Parry et al. [22] analyzed three major pan-European droughts in the second half of the twentieth century through synoptic conditions and large-scale circulation patterns, emphasizing that each major drought episode had its own unique spatiotemporal signature. Studies performed by Littman [23] evidenced the influence of the phase pace of the NAO (North Atlantic Oscillation) teleconnection pattern on the precipitation and temperature variability in Turkey. Kingston et al. [24] found that the combination of the NAO and the EA/WR circulation patterns was the most important driver of drought that the European land area was experiencing on a monthly time scale. López-Moreno and Vicente-Serrano [25] found opposing NAO–SPI relationships between northern and southern Europe. Precipitation and drought are also linked to sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies [26,27].

The Wadi Cheliff is the longest river in Algeria and plays a vital role in its socio-economic development. The Wadi originates from the Saharan Atlas, near Aflou in the mountains of the Jebel Amour, and has a length of approximately 750 km, flowing into the Mediterranean Sea. Accordingly, the present paper shows the results from an analysis of meteorological drought performed on a large number of (150) rainfall stations with long-term precipitation records, which is a unique contribution for the Wadi Cheliff basin.

The aim of the paper is the spatiotemporal analysis of the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) variability on the Wadi Cheliff basin in the period 1970–2018. Run theory has been applied on the 12-month SPI series and some characteristics of the drought and wet spells have been identified. Additionally, trends of annual 12-month SPI are shown.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Study Area and Data

The Wadi Cheliff Basin (WCB) covers an area of 43,750 km² and lies between 00°07′44″E and 03°31′07″E and between 33°53′13″N and 36°26′34″N (Figure 1). The topography of the basin is complex and rugged. The altitude varies from −4 m to 1969 m.
Climatically, the basin is arid and semi-arid. The mean annual temperature decreases gradually from the north to south as the elevation increases going upstream [28]. The mean annual precipitation recorded at different stations (1970–2018) ranged from 161 mm to 662 mm, 80% of which fell between November and March. For this study, datasets of several rainfall stations (Figure 1) with long-term annual precipitation records from 1970 to 2018 across the WCB were taken from the National Agency of the Water Resources (ANRH). However, the period of the records for these stations varies and some have missing records. To improve the data quality, only the observing stations with data series accounting for 70% or more of the overall period were chosen for our study. After excluding the stations with too many missing values, the double mass curve technique was used to analyze the remaining missing data. The data was subjected to quality control and data gap filling using the linear regression method. The period of study was chosen as 1970–2018, which is as long as possible based on the availability of recorded data for the majority of the stations in the region [29].

2.2. The Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI)

The SPI is an index by which we can evaluate wet and the dry spells for any region in the world. According to McKee et al. [7], drought has a beginning date, an end date, a drought intensity and a drought magnitude. The SPI quantifies the intensity of a drought...
or wet spell and is mathematically based on the cumulative probability of the precipitation amount recorded at each station.

A period of observation at one meteorological station was used to determine the parameters of scaling and the forms of precipitation probability density function:

\[ g(x) = \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x/\beta} \text{ for } x > 0 \]  

(1)

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are the shape and scale parameters respectively, \( x \) is the precipitation amount and \( \Gamma(\alpha) \) is the gamma function. The gamma function is defined as follows:

\[ \Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty y^{\alpha - 1} e^{-y} dy. \]  

(2)

The shape and scale parameters can be estimated using the approximation of Thom [30]:

\[ \alpha = \frac{1}{4A} \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4A}{3}} \right), \]  

(3)

and

\[ \beta = \frac{x}{\alpha}, \]  

(4)

with

\[ A = \ln(x) - \frac{\sum \ln(x)}{n}, \]  

(5)

where \( x \) is the mean value of the precipitation quantity; \( n \) is the precipitation measurement number; \( x \) is the quantity of the precipitation in a sequence of data.

The acquired parameters were further applied to determine the cumulative probability of a certain precipitation for a specific time period in a time scale of all the recorded precipitation. The cumulative probability can be presented as:

\[ G(x) = \int_0^x g(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x/\beta} \, dx, \]  

(6)

Since the gamma distribution is undefined for a rainfall amount \( x = 0 \), in order to take into account the zero values that occur in a sample set, a modified cumulative distribution function (CDF) must be considered.

\[ H(x) = q + (1 - q)G(x), \]  

(7)

with \( G(x) \) the CDF and \( q \) the probability of zero precipitation, given by the ratio between the number of zeros in the rainfall series \( m \) and the number of observations \( n \).

The calculation of the SPI is presented on the basis of the following equation [31,32]

\[ \text{SPI} = \begin{cases} 
- \left( t - \frac{c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2}{1 + d_1 t + d_2 t^2 + d_3 t^3} \right) 0 < H(x) \leq 0.5 \\
+ \left( t - \frac{c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2}{1 + d_1 t + d_2 t^2 + d_3 t^3} \right) 0.5 < H(x) \leq 1.0 
\end{cases}, \]  

(8)

where \( t \) is determined as:

\[ t = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{\ln \left( \frac{1}{H(x)} \right)} 0 < H(x) \leq 0.5 \\
\sqrt{\ln \left( \frac{1}{1-H(x)} \right)} 0.5 < H(x) \leq 1.0 
\end{cases}, \]  

(9)

and \( c_0, c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \) and \( d_3 \) are coefficients whose values are:

\[ c_0 = 2.515517, c_1 = 0.802853, c_2 = 0.010328 \]
According to the criteria of McKee et al. [7], severe and extreme droughts correspond to categories of negative SPI (below-average precipitation amount), as shown in Table 1.

### Table 1. Climate classification according to the SPI values.

| SPI Value | Class          | Probability (%) |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| SPI ≥ 2.00| Extremely wet  | 2.3             |
| 1.50 ≤ SPI < 2.00 | Severely wet | 4.4             |
| 1.00 ≤ SPI < 1.50 | Moderately wet | 9.2             |
| 0.00 ≤ SPI < 1.00 | Mildly wet  | 34.1            |
| −1.00 ≤ SPI < 0.00 | Mild drought | 34.1            |
| −1.50 ≤ SPI < −1.00 | Moderate drought | 9.2         |
| −2.00 ≤ SPI < −1.50 | Severe drought | 4.4             |
| SPI < −2.00 | Extreme drought | 2.3             |

2.3. Run Theory

The run theory proposed by Yevjevich [33] refers to the occurrence of consecutive comparable conditions, such as wet or dry periods, allowing the characterization of each spell by assessing some characteristics such as duration, frequency, severity, and intensity. Figure 2 shows an example of the run theory for a fixed threshold.

![Figure 2. Example of drought characteristics evaluated using the run theory for a given threshold level [34].](image)

A “run” is defined as an interval in which the values are all above (positive run) or below (negative run) the threshold [33]. Once the runs, and thus the dry (SPI below the threshold) or wet (SPI over the threshold) spells, have been identified, it is possible to extract some characteristics. The percentage of dry or wet spells over the study period constitute the dry (DF) and wet (WF) frequencies. The dry and wet durations (DD and WD) are the time period lengths in which SPI values are constantly below or above the threshold. Durations can be expressed in weeks, months, years or any other time period. The average drought and wet durations (ADD and AWD) are the ratio between the sum of the durations of all the drought and wet spells and the number of drought and wet spells (ND and NW). The cumulated drought and wet values during each spell represent the drought and wet severities (DS and WS). The average drought and wet severities (ADS and AWS) are the ratio between the sum of the DS and WS of all the spells and ND and NW, respectively. The drought and wet intensities (DI and WI) were evaluated, for each event, as the ratio between the DS and DD and the WS and WD, respectively, thus the average drought and wet intensities (ADI and AWI) are the ratios between the sum of the DI and WI of all the spells and ND and NW, respectively.

\[ d_1 = 1.432788, \ d_2 = 0.189269, \ d_3 = 0.001308 \]
In this study, drought and wet periods were evaluated considering the SPI thresholds of −1 and 1, respectively. Moreover, duration, severity, and intensity were estimated using the run theory applied to the 12-month SPI series, and thus DD and WD are expressed in years. This methodology has been applied in past studies performed for several areas of the world [35].

2.4. Theil–Sen Estimator

Considering that precipitation is often non normally distributed, the Theil–Sen estimator is generally considered more powerful than the linear regression methods in trend magnitude evaluation, because it is not subject to the influence of extreme values [36]. Given \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \) precipitation observations at times \( t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n \) (with \( t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_n \)), for each \( N \) pairs of observations \( x_j \) and \( x_i \) taken at times \( t_j \) and \( t_i \), the gradient \( Q_k \) can be calculated as:

\[
Q_k = \frac{x_j - x_i}{t_j - t_i} \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \ldots, N, \tag{10}
\]

with \( 1 < i < j < n \) and \( t_j > t_i \).

The estimate of the trend in the data series \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \) can then be calculated as the median \( Q_{\text{med}} \) of the \( N \) values of \( Q_k \), ranked from the smallest to the largest:

\[
Q_{\text{med}} = \begin{cases} 
Q_{(N+1)/2} & \text{if } N \text{ is odd} \\
\frac{Q_{(N/2)} + Q_{(N+2)/2}}{2} & \text{if } N \text{ is even} 
\end{cases} \tag{11}
\]

The \( Q_{\text{med}} \) sign reveals the trend behavior, while its value indicates the magnitude of the trend.

2.5. Mann–Kendall Test

As regards the MK test [37,38], in order to evaluate the trend significance, the statistic \( S \) based on the rank sums is calculated as:

\[
S = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \text{sgn}(x_j - x_i) \quad \text{where} \quad \text{sgn}(x_j - x_i) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } (x_j - x_i) > 0 \\
0 & \text{if } (x_j - x_i) = 0 \\
-1 & \text{if } (x_j - x_i) < 0 
\end{cases} \tag{12}
\]

In which \( x_j \) and \( x_i \) are the observations taken at times \( j \) and \( i \) (with \( j > i \)), respectively, and \( n \) is the dimension of the series.

Under the null hypothesis \( H_0 \), the distribution of \( S \) is symmetrical and is normal in the limit as \( n \) becomes large, with zero mean and variance:

\[
\text{Var}(S) = \frac{n(n-1)(2n+5) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i(i-1)(2i+5)}{18} \tag{13}
\]

in which \( t_i \) indicates the number of ties with extend \( i \).

Given the variance of \( S \), it is possible to evaluate the standardized statistic \( Z_{MK} \) as:

\[
Z_{MK} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{S-1}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(S)}} & \text{for } S > 0 \\
0 & \text{for } S = 0 \\
\frac{S+1}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(S)}} & \text{for } S < 0 
\end{cases} \tag{14}
\]

By applying a two-tailed test, for a specified significance level \( \alpha \), the significance of the trend can be evaluated.

3. Results

Figure 3 shows the boxplots with the main statistics of \( DF, WF, ADD, AWD, ADS, AWS, ADI, \) and \( AWI \). Generally, similar values were evaluated between the dry and the wet spells although higher frequencies and durations of wet events were detected in the
minimum and in the maximum values across the 150 stations. In fact, as regards the frequency, the DF ranged between 0 and almost 23% while the WF ranged between 8.3 and 25%. Similarly, considering the duration, ADD and AWD showed almost the same median, but maximum values of 3 and 4 years were obtained for ADD and AWD, respectively. This behavior has been confirmed also for the severity and the intensity, with AWS and AWI showing minimum and maximum values higher than the ones obtained for ADS and ADI.

Figure 3. Characterization through boxplots of frequency (%), average duration (in years), average severity, and average intensity (year$^{-1}$). The top and the bottom of the boxes are the third and the second quartiles, respectively; the band inside the box is the median and the ends of the whiskers represent the minimum and maximum of all of the data.

Figure 4 shows the spatial distribution of DF, ADD, ADS and ADI. With respect to the dry frequency, the highest values largely involved the northern side of the basin, although in one station on the southern side a DF value higher than 20% was detected. Conversely, the southern side of the basin, which is the area with the highest elevation in which few
rain gauges are located, was characterized by the lowest values of $DF$, and two rain gauges did not show any dry event (Figure 4a).

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** Spatial distribution of the (a) dry frequency in %, (b) average dry duration in years, (c) average dry severity and (d) average dry intensity in year$^{-1}$. 

The average dry duration did not present any noteworthy spatial behavior. In fact, the lowest values ($\approx 1$ year) were distributed across the basin, while the highest ones (>2.5 years) were localized in the central part of the basin, but without any clear connection with orography (Figure 4b).

A spatial behavior similar to $ADD$ was detected for $ADS$ (Figure 4c). Indeed, from the spatial distribution of the average dry severity it is not possible to identify definite areas characterized by the highest values (>3.5 year), which were spread across the central part of the basin.

Finally, the spatial distribution of the average dry intensity evidenced some differences between the northern and the southern side of the basin (Figure 4d). In fact, the $ADI$ values showed a distribution quite similar to the one obtained for the $DF$ values, with intensities
lower than 1.2 localized in the southeastern part of the basin, especially in the stations characterized by the highest elevations.

Figure 5 is similar to Figure 4 but for the wet events and, thus, it shows the spatial distribution of $WF$, $AWD$, $AWS$, and $AWI$.

Figure 5. Spatial distribution of the (a) wet frequency in %, (b) average wet duration in years, (c) average wet severity and (d) average wet intensity in year$^{-1}$.

Regarding the frequency of the wet events, values higher than 20% were detected in several areas of the basin, without any particular geographical difference and without any connection with the orography (Figure 5a). Similarly, the average wet duration did not show any significant spatial behavior with the lowest values (<1.5 years) distributed across the basin and only two stations showed $AWD$ values higher than 3 years (Figure 5b).

Differently from $DF$ and $ADD$, the spatial distributions of the average wet severity and intensity evidenced some differences between the northern and the southern side of the basin (Figure 5c,d). In fact, for both $AWS$ and $AWI$ the highest values were localized in the southern part of the basin, especially in the stations characterized by the highest elevations.
In order to detect the temporal evolution of drought in the period 1970–2018, the 12-month SPI series were tested for trends through the Theil–Sen estimator and the Mann–Kendall test. As a result, for a SL = 95%, 26 out of 150 stations (i.e., 39%) showed a negative trend while an opposite behavior was detected in 13 out of 150 stations (i.e., 19.5%). Spatially, the negative trend mainly involved the northern areas of the basin, with a maximum decrease of more than \(-0.3/10\) years (Figure 6). On the contrary, a positive trend was evidenced in the southern part of the basin reaching values between 0.2 and 0.3/10 years.

Finally, with the aim to assess the drought response to the global circulation variability, a correlation analysis between the SPI values and the NAO was computed for each station. As a result, a clear link between drought and the NAO was evidenced. In particular, negative correlation values (\(<-0.4\)) mainly involved the northern areas of the basin, while a positive correlation was evidenced in the southern part of the basin (Figure 7).
4. Discussion

Although a lack of precipitation can be considered an ordinary part of the seasonal climatic cycle within the Mediterranean basin, future climate projections have identified the Mediterranean region as an area particularly subject to water scarcity and drought [39]. In order to monitor the drought phenomenon and to assess the climate anomalies quantitatively in terms of intensity, duration, frequency, recurrence probability, and spatial extent, several indices have been developed [40]. Among the several indices, the SPI has been applied in the trend detection of drought in many areas of the world [41]. While a trend analysis on the SPI values can only point out the possible changes in the expected precipitation amount over the years, the study of some of the main drought characteristics such as frequency, duration, severity, and intensity is essential for politicians, local communities, and stakeholders to identify the most vulnerable areas and their drought features.

The detection of these characteristics is particularly relevant for Algeria, which some studies have identified as one of the countries in North Africa that will become a global hot spot for drought by the end of the twenty-first century [42]. In fact, the results of this study evidenced a great spatiotemporal variability in wet and dry episodes in the Cheliff Basin, with different results obtained between the northern side of the basin, characterized by the
highest annual rainfall values, and the southern part, where annual rainfall values below 250 mm have been registered. In particular, the results in this area could be influenced by the low total amount of precipitation, which makes it more difficult to accurately detect drought development. The main outcomes of this study allow an identification of the areas, in the northern side of the basin, that could also face water stress conditions in the future, thus requiring drought monitoring and adequate adaptation strategies. At the same time, in the area currently suffering from a water deficit, an increase in the wet episodes has been detected, which is an important result that can inform people involved in the water resource management of the changing potential for flooding and water storage. The different behavior between the northern and the southern part of the basin has been pointed out in previous works e.g., in [29], the authors of which evidenced an annual rainfall decrease of more than 20 mm/10 years on the northern side and an increase of about 5 mm/10 years on the southern side. These results can be influenced by both global and local factors. Global factors which impact on the intra-annual precipitation distribution include teleconnection patterns [43,44]. In fact, as evidenced in Figure 7, a clear link exists between drought and the NAO. This result agrees with the results obtained by several authors e.g., [29], which evidenced that the Mediterranean rainfall regime is strongly linked to general atmospheric circulation patterns such as the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO), the Mediterranean Oscillation (MO), the Western Mediterranean Oscillation (WeMO), and especially the North Atlantic Oscillation indices that are negatively correlated with precipitation in Algeria [45]. Indeed, positive NAO phases can cause dry conditions across large parts of the Mediterranean Basin, from Spain and Morocco across to the Balkans and western Turkey [46]. Specifically, a predominant negative phase of the NAO occurred between 1940 and 1980, corresponding to a period when precipitation was above normal. This was followed by a predominant positive phase, which significantly contributed to the rainfall reduction observed from the beginning of the 1980s in the Mediterranean basin, and also in Algeria [29]. Moreover, another regional-scale system that could influence the rainfall conditions in North Africa is the well-documented Sahelian drought and its multidecadal variability, which resulted from the response of the African summer monsoon to oceanic forcing and was amplified by land–atmosphere interactions [47].

In order to better appreciate the results of this study, an important final remark must be made concerning the database. In this study a high-quality database in the period 1970–2018 has been used. Unfortunately, only annual data (evaluated for water year) were available and thus it was not possible to perform a detailed analysis at a monthly scale. This study is nevertheless a valuable contribution not only to the quality of the database but also to its spatial resolution, which covers almost all the basin, with some gaps only in the central area. Continuous and spatially distributed data allowed a reliable analysis of the drought characteristics in the basin. Although globally gridded satellite-based precipitation products have become available in recent years as potential sources of data, ground-based precipitation measurements still remain the main and most accurate source in any climatological analysis [48].

5. Conclusions

This study aimed to analyze some of the main characteristics of the wet and dry events affecting the Cheliff Basin in the period 1970–2018 using the 12-month SPI, which is a broad proxy for water resource availability, evaluated from 150 rain gauges distributed across the basin. Generally, the analysis of the main statistics of the frequency, severity, duration, and intensity were evaluated for both dry and wet events, and it was found that their median values were similar, although higher minimum and maximum values were detected for the wet events. These results clearly indicate that the Cheliff Basin is at risk for extreme wet events as well as dry events. From the spatial distribution of the wet and dry characteristics, the basin areas facing water stress were identified. Finally, the results of the trend analysis performed on the 12-month SPI values evidenced a decreasing trend on the
northern side of the basin and an opposite behavior on the southern side, characterized by the highest elevations and the lowest annual rainfall values, in which positive values were detected. These results, probably linked with the predominant positive NAO phase which significantly contributed to the rainfall reduction observed from the beginning of the 1980s in Algeria, could be highly relevant for people involved in natural resource management decision-making for sustainable long-term water resource management in semi-arid regions.
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