An Evaluation of 2D Human Pose Estimation based on ResNet Backbone
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ABSTRACT: 2D Human Pose Estimation (2D-HPE) has been widely applied in many practical applications in life such as sports analysis, medical fall detection, human-robot interaction, using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), which has achieved many good results. In particular, the 2D-HPE results are intermediate in the 3D Human Pose Estimation (3D-HPE) process. In this paper, we perform a study to compare the results of 2D-HPE using versions of Residual Network (ResNet/RN) (RN-10, RN-18, RN-50, RN-101, RN-152) on HUman 3.6M Dataset (HU-3.6M-D). We transformed the original 3D annotation data of the Human 3.6M dataset to a 2D human pose. The estimated models are fine-tuning based on two protocols of the HU-3.6M-D with the same input parameters in the RN versions. The best estimate has an error of 34.96 pixels with Protocol #1 and 28.48 pixels with Protocol #3 when training with 10 epochs, increasing the number of training epochs reduces the estimation error (15.8 pixels of Protocol #1, 12.4 pixels of Protocol #3). The results of quantitative evaluation, comparison, analysis, and illustration in the paper.
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1. Introduction

Human pose estimation is defined as the process of localizing joints of humans in the 2D or 3D space (also known as keypoints - elbows, wrists, etc). Estimating human pose from the captured images/video has two research directions: 2D-HPE and 3D-HPE. If the output is a human pose on images or videos then this problem is called 2D-HPE. If the output is a human pose on 3D space then is called 3D-HPE. Therefore, a lot of research on this issue in the last 5 years. The results of human pose estimation are applied in many fields such as sports analysis [1, 2]; medical fall event detection [3]; identification and analysis in traditional martial arts [4]; robot interaction, construction of actions and movements of people in the game [1]. The 2D-HPE is an intermediate result for the 3D-HPE. The 3D-HPE result is highly dependent on the 2D-HPE result when based on the approach of Zhou et al. [5]. To build a complete system, it is necessary to evaluate and compare the results at each step as in the studies of Chandrasekaran et al. [6]-[7] for building a System on Chip. The authors made a test scheduling the algorithms on Chip.

Currently, many studies on 3D-HPE use 2D-HPE results on color images as an intermediary to estimate 3D human pose [8]-[9]. These studies are often grouped into the "2D to 3D Lifting Approaches" [10].

Estimating 2D human pose based on deep learning has two methods: The first is the regression methods, which applied a deep network to learn joints location from the input ground-truth joints on the images to body joints or parameters of human body models/human skeleton to predict the key points on the human; The second method predicts the approximate locations of body parts. Deep learning network has achieved remarkable results for the estimation task. In which, all skeletal keypoints are regressed based on the ground-truth heatmaps (2D keypoints) by 2D Gaussian kernels [11]-[12]. In particular, the 2D keypoint estimation from the heatmap is shown in stacked hourglass networks [13] as start-of-the-art. However, it still faces many challenges such as heavy occlusion, partially visible human body. RN [14] is one of the backbones with the best results in feature extraction of ImagNet datasets and is used in many CNNs to detect, segment, recognize the objects, and estimate pose (as presented in Figure 1th [15]). In this paper, we experiment to compare the estimation of 2D human pose based on studies using the CNNs to estimate 2D human pose according to the regression methods. We use different versions of RN for 2D-HPE. The training model
is based on RN-10, RN-18, RN-50, RN-101, RN-152. The results of 2D human pose prediction are evaluated on the benchmark HU-3.6M-D, which is a widely used and challenging dataset, the body parts of the human are obscured. To get the 2D human pose annotation data of the HU-3.6M-D for the 2D-HPE, we perform an inverse transformation from the 3D pose annotation of human in the Real-World Coordinate System (R-WCS) of MOCAP system to 2D pose annotation of human according to image coordinates based on the set of intrinsic parameters provided for calibration of the image data. The results are presented in the following part of the paper.

In this paper, we have some contributions as follows:

- We have fine-tuned different versions of the RN with the size \((224 \times 224)\) of input data to estimate the 2D human pose in the RGB image.
- We have fine-tuned the estimated model on the HU-3.6M-D, with 2D ground-truth determined based on 3D pose annotation data and the intrinsic parameters of the camera.
- We evaluate the estimated results based on the absolute estimated coordinates between the original data and the estimated data. From there, choose the best RN version with input data of \(224 \times 224\) for 2D-HPE on the RGB image, and will have good results in 3D-HPE.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 introduces the related studies on 2D-HPE methods. Section 2 presents the main idea and versions of RN. Section 3 shows and discusses the experimental results of 2D human pose estimation, and Section 5 concludes the paper and future works.

2. Related Works

RN [14] is a backbone applied to many CNNs for feature extraction and object prediction in the first step such as Fast R-CNN [16], Faster R-CNN [17], Mask R-CNN [18], etc. Figure 1 shows the RN as the backbone in the Mask R-CNN network architecture. RN [14] is more efficient than other backbones like AlexNet [19], VGG [20], [21].

2D-HPE from RGB image data using CNN can be done by two methods [10]: regression methods, body part detection methods.

The regression methods use the CNNs model to learn joints location from the input ground-truth joints on the images to body joints or parameters of human body models/human skeleton to predict the key points on the human. Toshev et al. [22] proposed a Deep Neural Network (DNN) based on the cascade technique for regressing the location of body joints. The proposed CNN includes seven layers, the input image size of CNN is resized to \(220 \times 220\) pixels. The cascade of pose regressors technique is applied to train the multi-layer prediction model. The first stage is the cascade starts with the initial position predicted over the entire input image. In the next stage, Deep Neural Networks regressors are trained to predict a displacement of the joint locations with the correct locations in the previous stage. Thus, the currently predicted pose is refined based on each subsequent stage. Liang et al. [23] a strategy of compositional pose regression based on the RN-50 [14]. The bone is parameterized and bone-based representation that contains human skeleton information and skeleton structure but did not use joint-based representation. The loss function is calculated based on each part of the human body, the joints are defined based on a constant origin point in the image coordinate system \(J_o\). Each bone has a directed vector pointing from it to its parent. Luuizon et al. [24] proposed a regression method that used two Soft-argmax functions (Block-A and Block-B) for 2D human pose estimation from images, Block-A provides refined features and Block-B provides skeleton-part and active context maps. Two blocks are used to build one prediction block. Block-A used a residual separable convolution, the input feature maps are transformed into part-based detection maps and context maps by Block-B.

As for the body-part detection methods, a body-part detector is trained to predict the locations of human joints. Newell et al. [13] proposed the stacked hourglass architecture for the training model to predict the positions of body joints on the heatmap in which the 2D annotation is used to generate the heatmap by 2D Gaussian heatmap method. The stacked hourglass repeats the bottom-up and top-down processing with intermediate supervision with the eight hourglasses. This CNN used the convolutional and max-pooling layers at a very low resolution and used then the top-down sequence of upsampling (the nearest neighbor up-sampling of the lower resolution) and a combination of features across scales. The results of 2D-HPE on the MPII dataset based on the \(\text{PCKh}@0.5\) measurement are 90.9%, 99.0%, 97.0% are the results of the FLIC dataset on the \(\text{PCK}@0.2\) measurement.

Cao et al. [25] proposed a two-branch CNN model, the body part detection is predicted from heatmaps by using the 2D keypoints annotation to generate the ground-truth confidence maps. The confidence maps are predicted by the first branch and the part affinity fields are predicted by the second branch. The part affinity fields are a novel feature representation of both location and orientation information across the limb’s active region.

Most of the above studies were evaluated on the COCO [26], MPII [27] datasets and evaluated on the Percentage of Correct Keypoints \(\text{PCK} - \%\) measure. This measure is usually based on the estimated joint length with the root joint length, without taking into account the absolute estimates of the 2D keypoints (estimated absolute coordinates and ground-truth coordinates).

3. 2D-HPE Based on The RN and Its Variations

2D-HPE is an intermediate result to estimate 3D human posture according to the method: 2D to 3D lifting methods and model-based methods [10]. Therefore, the 2D-HPE results have a great influence on the 3D-HPE results. The RN is applied in many studies on human pose estimation and
Figure 1: The human instance segmentation model on the image based on the Mask R-CNN architecture. Mask R-CNN is generated based on the combination of Faster R-CNN [17] and FCN.

Figure 2: Illustrating the architecture of the RN for 2D-HPE.

gives good results [28]-[29]. That is the motivation for us to carry out this study to select a model with good 2D pose estimation results. We compared results from different versions of the RN (RN-10, RN-18, RN-34, RN-50, RN-101, RN-152) [14] to select the best results.

Residual Network (ResNet/RN) was introduced in 2015 and the 1st place in the 2015 ILSVRC challenge with an error rate is only 3.57%. Currently, there are many variations of RN architecture with a different number of layers. The named RN is followed by a number indicating the RN architecture with a certain number of layers. The RN have the number with each version RN-10 (10 Conv layers), RN-18 (18 Conv layers), RN-34 (34 Conv layers), RN-50 (50 Conv layers), RN-101 (101 Conv layers)), RN-152 (152 Conv layers), as shown in Fig. 3.

RN is a DNN designed to work with hundreds or thousands of convolutional layers. When building a CNN network with many convolutional layers, the Vanishing Gradient phenomenon occurs, leading to bad model training results. The Vanishing Gradient phenomenon is presented as follows: The training process in DNN often uses Backpropagation Algorithm [30]. The main idea of this algorithm is that the output of the current layer is the input of the next layer and computes the corresponding cost function gradient for each parameter (weight) of the network. The Gradient Descent is then used to update those parameters.
The above process will be repeated until the parameters of the network are converged. Normally we would have a hyper-parameter (the number of epochs - the number of times the training set is traversed once and the weights updated) that defines the number of iterations to perform this process. If the number of loops is too small, then the network may not give good results, and vice versa, the training time will be longer if the number of loops is too large. However, in practice, Gradients will often have smaller values at lower layers. As a result, the updates performed by Gradients Descent do not change much of the weights of those layers and make them not converged and the network does not work well. This phenomenon is called "Vanishing Gradients". RN proposed to use a uniform “identity shortcut connection” connection to traverse one or more layers, illustrated in Fig. 4.

RN is like other CNNs, includes convolution, pooling, activation, and fully-connected layer. In RN, there appears a curved arrow starting at the beginning and ending at the end of the residual block as Fig. 4. In other words, it will add an input $x$ value to the output of the layer, which will counteract the zero derivatives, since $x$ is still added. With $Hx$ being the predicted value, $Fx$ is the label, the desired output $Hx$ to be equal to or approximately $Fx$.

When the input of the network is the same as the output of the network, RN uses identity block, otherwise use the convolutional block, as presented Fig. 5.

In this paper, RN is a backbone for 2D-HPE and feature extraction. Recently, RN version 2 (v2) [14] is an improved version of RN version 1 (v1) for classification performance. The residual block [31] of RN v2 has two changes: A stack of $1 \times 1$-$3 \times 3$-$1 \times 1$ at the steps BN, ReLU, Conv2D is used; the Batch normalization and ReLU activation that comes before 2D convolution. Figure 6 shows the difference between RN v1 and RN v2.

**4. Experimental Results**

**4.1. Dataset**

To fine-tune, generate and evaluate the model and the estimated model, we use the benchmark HU-3.6M-D [32]. HU-3.6M-D is the indoor dataset for the evaluation of 3D-HPE from single-view of the cameras or multi-view of the cameras (the data is collected in a Lab environment from 4 different perspectives). This dataset is captured from 11 subjects/people (6 males and 5 females), the people perform with six types of action (upper body directions movement, full body upright variations, walking variations, vari-
Figure 7: An illustration of human pose in HU-3.6M-D.
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actions while seated on a chair, sitting on the floor, various movements) which includes 16 daily activities (directions, discussion, greeting, posing, purchases, taking photo, waiting, walking, walking dog, walking pair, eating, phone talk, sitting, smoking, sitting down, miscellaneous). The frames are captured from TOF (Time-of-Flight) cameras, the data frame rate of the cameras is from 25 to 50 Hz. This dataset contains about 3.6 million images (1,464,216 frames for training - 5 people (2 female and 3 male), 646,180 frames for validation - 2 people (1 female and 1 male), 1,467,684 frames for testing - 4 people (2 female and 2 male)), 3.6 million 3D human pose annotations captured by the marker-based MoCap system. 3D human pose annotation of HU-3.6M-D consists of 17 keypoints arranged in order as shown in Fig. 7.

3D human pose annotations of HU-3.6M-D are annotated based on the R-WCS. To evaluate the estimation results, we convert this data to the Camera Coordinate System (CCS). We based on the parameter set of the cameras and the formula for converting data from 2D to 3D of Nicolas [33] by Eq. 1.

\[
P_{3Dc,x} = \frac{x_d - cx \cdot depthx_d, y_d}{fx}
\]

\[
P_{3Dc,y} = \frac{y_d - cy \cdot depthx_d, y_d}{fy}
\]

\[
P_{3Dc,z} = depthx_d, y_d
\]

where \(fx, fy, cx\) and \(cy\) are the intrinsics of the depth camera. \(P_{3Dc}\) is the coordinate of the keypoint in the CCS.

Before evaluating the results of the 2D posture estimation, we re-projected the 3D human pose annotation from the R-WCS to the CCS using Eq. 2.

\[
P_{3Dc} = P_{3Dw} - T \cdot R^{-1}
\]

where \(R\) and \(T\) are the rotation and translation parameters to transform from the R-WCS to the CCS. \(P_{3Dw}\) is the coordinate of the keypoint in the R-WCS. We also projected to 2D human pose annotation using Eq. 3.

\[
P_{2D,x} = \frac{P_{3Dc,x} \cdot fx + cx}{P_{3Dc,z}}
\]

\[
P_{2D,y} = \frac{P_{3Dc,y} \cdot fy + cy}{P_{3Dc,z}}
\]

where \(P_{2D}\) is the coordinate of the keypoint in the image.

The source code and HU-3.6M-D have 2D annotation data as shown in link 1.

The authors have divided the HU-3.6M-D into 3 protocols to train and test the estimation models. Protocol #1 includes Subject #1, Subject #5, Subject #6, and Subject #7 for the training model, and Subject #9 and Subject #11 for the testing model. Protocol #2 is divided similarly to Protocol #1. However, the predictions are further post-processed by a rigid transformation before comparing to the ground-truth. Protocol #3 includes Subject #1, Subject #5, Subject #6, Subject #7, and Subject #9 for the training model, and Subject #11 for the testing model. This dataset is saved in path 2.

4.2. Implementation

The input data of our network includes color/RGB image data and 2D human pose annotation. All images are resized to \((224 \times 224)\) before being fed to the network.

In this paper, the loss function for training the estimation model includes two parts: \(L_1, L_2\). We used the loss function \(L_1\) and Adam optimizer for the training process. First, we initialized the loss function \(L_1\) for 2D coordinates predicted from RN. Then, we computed the loss function

\[\text{https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1s3VmcZL6M2EK2M_Esel-EVBVEZ5brM7Q\?usp=sharing}\]

\[\text{http://vision.imar.ro/human3.6m/}\]
$L_2$ from the predicted 2D data. The loss function $L$ of the whole training process is calculated as Eq. 4.

$$L = \alpha * L_1 + \beta * L_2$$ (4)

We set $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to 0.1 to bring the 2D error (in pixels) into a similar range. The mean error was used to calculate the loss functions. We trained each network for 10 epochs, with the batch size being 32, Adam optimizer with the learning rate being 0.001, the number of the worker being 4.

In this paper, we used a PC with GPU GTX 970, 4GB for fine-tuning, training, testing the RN and its variations. The source code of fine-tuning, training, testing and development process was developed in Python language ($\geq 3.6$ version) with the support of the OpenCV-Python, Pytorch/Torch ($\geq 1.1$ version), CUDA/cuDNN 11.2 libraries. In addition, the support of some other libraries is required such as Numpy, Scipy, Pillow, Cython, Matplotlib, SciKit-image, TensorFlow $\geq 1.3.0$, Keras $\geq 2.0.8$, H5py, Image, IPython. The source code for fine-tuning, training, testing is shown in link \(^4\).

### 4.3. Evaluation Measure

To evaluate 2D-HPE, we evaluate in two phases. The first is to evaluate 2D human pose estimation results based on Eq. 5. It is the average distance between the 2D keypoint of the 2D ground-truth and the estimated 2D keypoint when using the trained model based on RN, the distance is calculated as the $L_2$ error value on the test set in pixels.

$$Err_{avg} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{N} \frac{1}{J} \sum_{J} L_2 p_i, \tilde{p}_i$$ (5)

where $N$ and $J$ are the numbers of frames and number of joints ($J = 17$) respectively, $\tilde{p}_i$ and $p_i$ are predicted and ground-truth coordinates of $i^{th}$ joint of the hand, $L_2$ is the Euclidean distance between two points.

### 4.4. Results and discussions

The pre-trained model of RN and its variants are shown in link \(^4\). In this paper, we only evaluate the 2D-HPE on Protocol #1 and Protocol #3 of the HU-3.6M-D. The average error ($Err_{avg}$) between the 2D keypoint annotation and the estimated 2D keypoint of Protocol #1 of the HU-3.6M-D is shown in Tab. 2.

The average error ($Err_{avg}$) on the validation set following each epoch of Protocol #1 of the HU-3.6M-D is shown in Fig. 8.

In table 2, the average error of the RN-10 is 34.96 pixels, which is the best result on Protocol #1. The average error ($Err_{avg}$) between the 2D keypoint annotation and the estimated 2D keypoint of Protocol #3 of the HU-3.6M-D is shown in Tab. 1.

![Figure 8: The average error between the 2D keypoint annotation and the estimated 2D keypoint of Protocol #1 on the validation set.](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1-3uperior?usp=sharing)

![Figure 9: The average error between the 2D keypoint annotation and the estimated 2D keypoint of Protocol #3 on the validation set.](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1-Muperior?usp=sharing)

| CNNs | RN-10 | RN-18 | RN-50 | RN-101 | RN-152 |
|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| $Err_{avg}$ (Pixels) |       |       |       |        |        |
| 34.96 | 38.58 | 669.11 | 628.95 | 652.34 |}

In table 1, the average error of the RN-10 is 28.48 pixels, which is the best result on Protocol #3. Figure 10 illustrates a 2D-HPE result on the image. The blue skeleton is the ground-truth of the human pose, the red skeleton is the estimated human pose. When we do the training RN-10 with 50 epochs, the average error ($Err_{avg}$) on the test set of Protocol #1 and Protocol #3 is **15.8 pixels**, **12.4 pixels**, respectively. Thus, increasing the number of training epochs reduces the estimation error.

In this paper, the RN-10 has better results than RN-18, RN-50, RN-101, RN-152 networks when training 10 epochs,
Table 1: The average error ($\text{Err}_{\text{avg}}$) between the 2D keypoint annotation and the estimated 2D keypoint of Protocol #3 of the HU-3.6M-D.

| CNNs / Average Error ($\text{Err}_{\text{avg}}$) (Pixels) | RN-10 | RN-18 | RN-50 | RN-101 | RN-152 |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| 28.48                                                   | 29.35 | 578.99| 602.44| 593.48 |

Figure 10: Illustrating a 2D-HPE result on the image of Protocol #1 on Subject #9, Subject #11.

Figure 11: Illustrating a 2D-HPE result on the image of Protocol #1 on Subject #9 of RN-10.
RN-10 network is a smaller CNN than other networks, which proves that a smaller number of convolutional layers will make the network converge faster. This is also consistent with the explanation that smaller networks will learn more efficiently than large CNNs [34].

Figure 11 shows the result sequences of 2D-HPE of RN-10 on Protocol #1 of Subject #9. The estimated 2D keypoints are blue-green nodes, the joints between the estimated 2D keypoints are the red lines.

5. Conclusions and Future Works

In this paper, we have performed a comparative study for 2D-HPE based on versions of RN (RN-10, RN-18, RN-50, RN-101, RN-152) on HU-3.6M-D with two evaluations Protocols (Protocol #1, Protocol #3). We have transformed 3D human pose annotation data to 2D human pose annotation. The average error of the RN-10 is 34.96 pixels, 28.48 pixels, respectively, which is the best result on Protocol #1, Protocol #3. The results are evaluated and shown in detail and visually on the images. Therefore, RN-10 is a good CNN for estimating 2D human pose on images, this result can be used to estimate 3D human pose. In the future, we will use the human pose estimation results of RN-10 for 3D-HPE to compare with the studies of Zheng et al. [35] and Li et al. [9], which have the best results currently on the 3D-HPE.
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