Mapping distribution of *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* in Tana Toraja and surrounding districts (Indonesia) based on fruit morphology
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Abstract. Al-Amanah H, Sjahrl R, Haring F, Riadi M, Lareken SH. 2022. Mapping distribution of *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* in Tana Toraja and surrounding districts (Indonesia) based on fruit morphology. Biodiversitas 23: 982-991. Katokkon pepper (*Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense*) is one of the many *Capsicum* genetic resources still cultivated in small farms and home gardens in Tana Toraja, North Toraja, Enrekang and Mamasa districts of Indonesia. This study was conducted to identify genetic diversity of *C. annuum* var. *chinense* pepper based on fruit characteristics as morphological markers in the endemic area. The study location was determined by purposive sampling. Morphological characterization was carried out by observing quantitative and qualitative characters. Results showed that *C. annuum* var. *chinense* was widely distributed in the districts of Tana Toraja 47% (14 sub-districts, 17 villages), North Toraja 34% (11 sub-districts, 14 villages), Enrekang 12% (3 sub-districts, 5 villages), and Mamasa 7% (2 sub-districts, 6 villages). Cluster analysis based on quantitative characters of fruit morphology from 51 accessions led to 5 dendrogram clusters with 90% similarity level. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) results had a cumulative diversity value of 75.913%. The color characteristic of fruit before ripening was light green, which turned red when mature. Cross-sections of the fruits were slightly corrugated. Fruits were pendant, and shapes were blocky. Fruit shape at pedicel attachment was truncated. Fruit shapes at the blossom end were blunt. In all the areas explored, only four common genotypes, i.e. Limbong Sangpolo or Local, Leatung 1, Leatung 2, and Jumbo were found.
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INTRODUCTION

Pepper plants have a large diversity of species, as well they treasure various uses also. Although pepper is not the leading economic crop, several countries, including Indonesia, have recognized this plant as one of the most widely used plants by the local people. The utilization and cultivation of this plant are also diverse; hence this plant has significant economic value (Yamamoto et al. 2013).

Pepper is the most important horticultural commodity, and it is widely used as an ingredient in the kitchen and the food, beverage, pharmaceutical, and cosmetic industries (Sousa et al. 2015). Researchers have identified over 35 species of peppers in the world (Garcia et al. 2016); however, farmers have widely cultivated five species only, including *Capsicum annuum*, *C. chinense*, *C. frutescens*, *C. baccatum* and *C. pubescens*. These species are critical and research is needed to be focused on their breeding programs (Ibiza et al. 2012). In addition, testing seed quality and germination using an easy method are also necessary (Kusumawardana et al. 2018). Diversity studies on pepper are reported to be helpful using fruit morphology (Albrecht et al. 2012).

Katokkon (*Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense*) pepper is a species of chili pepper only found and cultivated in Tana Toraja District and its surrounding in the province of South Sulawesi, Indonesia. However, its commercial potential has not been widely exploited. *C. annuum* var. *chinense* pepper is a commodity that the Toraja people are most interested in because of its distinctive aroma and a very spicy taste which comes from its capsaiacin content (Marano et al. 2017). Capsaicin is found in the placenta, seeds and fruit flesh (Sahid et al. 2020), and the level of the pungency of pepper fruits is determined by the content of capsaiacin (Simonovska et al. 2016). Due to the hot and spicy taste, *C. annuum* var. *chinense* pepper is considered one of the favorite food ingredients in Tana Toraja, especially for spicy food enthusiasts. The extraordinary spicy taste is also what makes the price relatively high in the market. The community still cultivates these endemic plant genetic resources for consumption and commercial use. The *C. annuum* var. *chinense* pepper has a unique shape similar to...
The bell pepper type of paprika but is smaller (Marano et al. 2017). Peppers, however, also have ornamental potential due to differences in plant size, color, and fruit shape when grown in pots or in the garden (Padilha and Barbieri 2016). *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* pepper has a high social value, and the Toraja people include this pepper in culinary practice for a certain rituals, ceremonies and festivals.

The origin of the *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* pepper plant is still debatable. As far as our knowledge, the first seeds could have been brought by the Portuguese or the Dutch missionaries. However, they are now locally adapted. Their existence has begun to spread in other areas due to the migration of the Toraja ethnic communities to North Toraja, Enrekang, and Mamasa Districts. Pepper is also reported to achieve optimal spiciness in the mountains with an altitude of about 600-1,200 meters above sea level (Florenzhy and Harjianti 2017).

Availability of genetic resources and appropriate breeding methods are important in assembling new high-yielding *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* pepper varieties (Wijaya et al. 2020). Genetic diversity is essential to fulfilling the purpose of breeding crops since it is a level that refers to the total variation in the overall number of species (Peñuela et al. 2021). We can use high genetic diversity as a reference for selecting parents to assemble new varieties and enrich genetic diversity (Belay et al. 2019). Genetic diversity is the basis for increased germplasm availability and subsequent utilization during a breeding program (Larekeng et al. 2020). Plant breeding can improve the desired quality/traits of plants (Olatunji and Afolayan 2019).

Exploring local wisdom is known to provide in-depth bioresource-based traditional knowledge and the source of traditionally conserved genetic resources. The *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* pepper plants are known to be conserved by Toraja ethnic communities in high mountainous areas. However, the lack of information about the diversity and taxonomic status of the *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* pepper until now has made its conservation status and prospects unclear. Many reports are still not clear about the different naming of the *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* pepper, making it seems contradictory because it is only based on morphological characters of *C. chinense* or *C. annuum* (Florenzhy and Harjianti 2017; Tammu et al. 2021; Larekeng et al. 2019; Sjahril et al. 2020; Wätjen et al. 2021).

This report aims to identify and map the distribution of *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* pepper genetic diversity based on specific morphological markers in Tana Toraja District and surroundings. The report is a part of research on the genetic diversity of *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* pepper based on the molecular marker ISSR that aims to confirm the species name of the *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* pepper growing in Tana Toraja District and the surroundings.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**
This research was conducted using survey and observation methods in four districts as the center of *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* pepper cultivation, i.e., Tana Toraja District (3°5'23.49"S, 119°44'33.37"E), North Toraja District (2°52'39.78"S, 119°50'3.78"E), Enrekang District (3°28'33.31"S, 119°52'47.26"E), and Mamasa District (2°58'25.35"S, 119°21'59.51"E). The location was determined by purposive sampling based on information obtained from the agriculture office, and field officers from the Agriculture Extension, and local residents for *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* local resources.

**Sample collection**
The sample was collected from 14 sub-districts of Tana Toraja, 11 sub-districts of North Toraja, 3 sub-districts of Enrekang, and 3 sub-districts of Mamasa. Each district was surveyed according to information on the existence of *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* pepper farms or gardens. *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* pepper fruit and plant samples were observed in situ. Fruit samples (10 fruits) per plant were taken directly from the farmer’s land for morphological characterization and photograph. Seeds were counted for each fruit and placed in paper bags for storing during exploration.

**Morphological characteristics analysis**
The fruit characters observed were quantitative, i.e. fruit weight, fruit diameter, fruit length, stalk length, and fruit thickness. Whereas the qualitative character observed includes fruit color before ripening and at the mature stage, the character of the fruit position, calyx margin, fruit shape at the blossom end, fruit cross-section, and fruit shape at pedicel attachment. The characterization carried out was based on descriptors for *Capsicum*.

**Data analysis**
The findings of the location of *Capsicum annuum* var. *chinense* pepper farms were marked using a GPS (Global Positioning System) device and converted into a map using the ArcGIS version 10.8 application. Data were analyzed using the Unweight Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean Technique (UPGMA) cluster and the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) method using the Multivariate Statistical Package program (MVSP) ver. 3.22.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Mapping distribution of Capsicum annuum var. chinense pepper**
The locations of fruit samples taken from four districts of Tana Toraja, North Toraja, Enrekang, and Mamasa is shown in Figure 1, where we can see that the numbers of survey sites were dominantly in Tana Toraja and North Toraja. Geographically, the four districts are interrelated, where Tana Toraja and the North Toraja Districts are the centers of trade (Tammu et al. 2021). The same study on the *Capsicum annuum* in Tunisia showed that the high morphological diversity was due to the relationship between locations or accessions from adjacent areas and different areas. Intra regional diversity can be a source of
inter-regional diversity useful for increasing pepper genetic information (Lahbib et al. 2012).

The point locations of the distribution map of *C. annum* var. *chinense* pepper (Figure 1) are tabulated in Table 1 and their percent distribution across four districts are shown in Figure 2. Tana Toraja was found to have the most district and villages whose farmers used to grow *C. annum* var. *chinense* (47%), followed by North Toraja District (34%), Enrekang District (12%), and Mamasa District (7%). As the North Toraja District was originally a single big district including Tana Toraja District until the expansion in the year 2008, it can be inferred that the center of *C. annum* var. *chinense* pepper growing area is actually in Tana Toraja (3° 5'23.49"S, 119°44'33.37"E). Our interview with the farmers and agriculture extension officers has indicated that the *C. annum* var. *chinense* pepper has traditionally been cultivated in Toraja for four generations. Yamamoto et al. 2014) postulated that some of the Capsicum species might have been introduced to Indonesia sometime in the late 1930s or The World War II. Lyrics of many Toraja folklore songs such as ‘Dolong-dolong’, which is also available in YouTube, tell the story about *C. annum* var. *chinense* pepper (Iskandar 2018).

The *C. annum* var. *chinense* pepper distribution found during our survey (Table 1) also had an interesting relationship with altitude. For instance, in Tana Toraja, they grow approximately at 797-1,363 m above sea level (asl.), whereas in North Toraja it is approximately at 714-1,564 m ASL. Meanwhile, in Mamasa District it was only found in two locations in the range of 901-1,162 m asl. and in Enrekang at 832-1,732 m asl. These data suggested that the mean range for optimal *C. annum* var. *chinense* pepper growing altitude to be between 766-1,360 m asl. Interestingly, a location at Latimojong mountain (119° 47’ 44.345"E, 3° 16’ 52.656"S) with an altitude of 1,732 m asl, mean temperature 17°C (AccuWeather 2021) was situated far above the highest mean altitude calculated (E4S2).

Figure 2. The percentage of distribution *C. annum* var. *chinense* pepper found in four districts in Tana Toraja, North Toraja, Mamasa, and Enrekang of South Sulawesi Province, Indonesia

Figure 1. Distribution map of *C. annum* var. *chinense* pepper in four districts of Tana Toraja, North Toraja, Mamasa, and Enrekang of South Sulawesi Province, Indonesia
Table 1. Distribution of C. annum var. chinense pepper in four districts in Tana Toraja, North Toraja, Mamasa, and Enrekang districts of South Sulawesi Province, Indonesia

| Districts         | Sub-districts | Village             | Geographic coordinates | Elevation (m asl.) | Genotype                      |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Tana Toraja       | Gandang Batu Silanan | Sillanan           | 119°51’0.648" E 3°11’32.724" S | 1,363              | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Jumbo |
|                   | Curra           | Limbong Sangpolo    | 119°45’3.914" E 2°57’29.274" S | 1.196              | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Leatung I, Leatung II, Jumbo |
|                   | Makale          | Lamunan, Rante      | 119°50’48.000" E 3°63’50.000" S | 827                | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Jumbo, Leatung I |
|                   | South Makale    | Pasang, Tomandana   | 119°48’48.204" E 3°8’20.268" S | 1,230              | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Jumbo, Leatung I |
|                   | North Makale    | Lemo                | 119°53’29.634" E 3°18’036" S | 911                | Jumbo                         |
|                   | Malimbong Balepe | Kole Sawangan       | 119°47’3.12" E 3°44’904" S | 756                | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Jumbo |
|                   | Mengkendek      | Buntu Datu          | 119°53’20.730" E 3°15’1.410" S | 875                | Jumbo                         |
|                   | Rantetayo       | Tapparan, Madandan  | 119°48’28.503" E 3°34’8.365" S | 837                | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Jumbo |
|                   | Rembon          | Sarapeang, To Pao   | 119°46’45.696" E 3°52’7.816" S | 818                | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Jumbo |
|                   | Saluputti       | Pattan Ulus         | 119°45’27.492" E 2°34’4.376" S | 849                | Jumbo, Leatung I, Leatung II |
|                   | South Sangala   | Tokesan             | 119°55’58.404" E 3°71’5.384" S | 947                | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Jumbo |
|                   | Sangalla        | Kaero               | 119°55’13.908" E 3°51’1.400" S | 797                | Limbong Sangpolo (Local)      |
| North Toraja      | Buntai          | Tongkonan Basse,    | 119°58’28.992" E 3°22’3.784" S | 1,001              | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Leatung I, Leatung II, Jumbo |
|                   |                 | Kila Balabatu       |                         |                    |                               |
| North Toraja      | Kapala Pitu     | Kapala Pitu, Siku'  | 119°50’20.616" E 2°56’11.766" S | 1,564              | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Jumbo |
|                   | Kesu            | Tallu Lolo          | 119°53’11.874" E 2°59’56.166" S | 798                | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Jumbo |
| North Toraja      | Nanggala       | Nanggala Sangpiak   | 119°59’34.884" E 2°57’7.728" S | 876                | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Jumbo |
|                   |                 | Salu                |                         |                    |                               |
| North Toraja      | Rantebua        | Buangin             | 119°58’58.800" E 3°31’0.000" S | 714                | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Jumbo |
|                   | Rantepao        | Limbong             | 119°51’41.976" E 2°57’10.466" S | 1,311              | Leatung I                     |
| North Toraja      | Rindingalo      | North Pangala       | 119°48’42.894" E 2°54’2.552" S | 1,206              | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Leatung I |
| North Toraja      | Sanggalanget    | Buntu La’Bo         | 119°56’12.588" E 3°15’0.808" S | 807                | Limbong Sangpolo (Local)      |
| North Toraja      | Sescan          | Diri                | 119°54’24.599" E 2°54’2.301" S | 1,092              | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Jumbo |
| North Toraja      | Sofia           | Salu                | 119°51’8.000" E 2°58’18.000" S | 906                | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Jumbo |
| North Toraja      | Tallunglipu     | Rante Paku          | 119°54’50.328" E 2°58’10.776" S | 841                | Limbong Sangpolo (Local)      |
| Mamasa            | Sumarorong      | Tadisi, Sumarorong, | 119°18’57.488" E 3°57’3.464" S | 901                | Limbong Sangpolo (Local), Jumbo |
|                   |                 | Rante Kamase, Banea |                         |                    | Leatung I                     |
| Mamasa            | Mamsa           | Rambu Saratu,       | 119°23’8.103" E 2°55’4.774" S | 1,162              | Limbong Sangpolo              |
| Enrekang          | Curio           | Buntu Barana,       | 119°53’5.857" E 3°17’8.058" S | 915                | Limbong Sangpolo, Leatung 2, |
|                   | Baroko          | Tongko, North Benteng Alla | 119°47’44.345° E 3°16’2.656" S | 1,732              | Jumbo, Limbong Sangpolo, Leatung 1, Leatung 2 |
| Enrekang          | Alla            | Pana                | 119°50’30.928" E 3°16’2.343" S | 832                | Jumbo, Leatung 2              |

Note: Limbong Sangpolo genotype is more commonly recognized by farmers as a Local genotype

Morphological quantitative characteristics analysis

Cluster analysis is used to group data obtained in the field into several classes with grouping criteria based on the size of the dissimilarity. We can measure the dissimilarity between objects using the Euclidean distance measure. The closer or smaller the Euclidean distance between genotypes, the more similar the genotypes are (Habtie et al. 2017).

The cluster analysis divided the 51 accessions data into 5 clusters (Figure 3; Table 2). Cluster I comprise one accession (E4S2). Cluster II comprises of 12 accessions (T33, T12S2, E2S1, E3S2, E3S3, TU29S1, TU15, E4S1, E2S2, T32, T23 and E5S1). Cluster III comprises 2 accessions (T55S1 and T40). Cluster IV consists of 30 accessions (T34, T24, T2, M5, M6, T52, M2, TU29S2, M7, E6S1, T1, M1, T27, T50, T11, T7S2, TU30S2, T53, E6S2, T25, T7S1, M8, E3S1, TU26, E1, TU14, T55S2, T54, T46 and T22). Cluster V consists of 6 accessions (TU30S3, T12S1, TU30S1, T6, M4 and M3). Based on the fruit’s morphological characters and the planting location, it showed 5 clusters with a 90% similarity level.

The differences in genotype groups can determine the similarities and kinship between them. Genotypes that are in the same group have similarities and are closely related. Genotypes that are in different groups have featured quite unique to the group members. Expanding genetic diversity crosses between closely related genotypes will produce higher diversity than closely associated ones (Zhang et al. 2016).

Taxonomists begin the classification process by identifying species morphologically. Morphological character identification is a straightforward and rapid procedure applied directly to plant populations (Susilowati et al. 2020). Larekeng et al. (2019), in their research with *Tectona grandis* has observed tree organs such as leaves,
stems, flowers, fruits, canopies, resin, and bark for comparing the trees. Results of morphological identification also reflect the species' relatedness (Herison et al. 2018). Based on their research on C. annum var. chinense pepper, Sjahri et al. (2020) also showed a variety of morphological characteristics. The shape of the fruit tip and the shape of the fruit base show grooves dominate them. The second cluster shares qualitative morphological characteristics with the first cluster, including a dark green leaf color and petals with jagged edges.

Principal component analysis (PCA) is a method used to simplify data by transforming the data linearly to determine the characteristics that affect plant genetic diversity (Deka et al. 2016). The data from the analysis shows that there are characters that make positive and negative contributions to the quadrant grouping. This shows that characters with positive values contribute significantly to the diversity of the same group and the reverse for characters with negative values (Singh et al. 2020). They reported the same phenomenon using PCA on pepper plants, which showed that the morphological characters could be the source of genetic diversity in the Capsicum annum group (Devi et al. 2017).

Based on the bi-plot analysis (Figure 4), the dots indicate accession, while the vector shows the variable morphological component of the fruit. PC1 and PC2 explained a cumulative diversity value of 75.913%. We divide the functional diversity group into four quadrants to show the tendency of accession to a variable. Quadrant I on the bi-plot comprised of 16 accessions (E1, E6S2, M1, M3, M4, M6, T11, T12S1, T46, T52, T53, T34S1, T55S2, TU14, TU29S2, TU30S1) with variable fruit weight (BB), fruit diameter (DB), and flesh thickness (TB). Quadrant II consists of 11 accessions (E3S1, E6S1, M2, M7, M8, T6, T7, T22, T55S1, TU26, TU30S3) with variable stem length (PT) and fruit length (PB). Quadrant III of 13 accessions (E2S1, E2S2, E3S2, E4S2, M5, T2, T24, T27, T34, T50, T40, TU15, TU30S2) and quadrant IV consists of 11 accessions (E2, E5S1, E4S1, T1, T7, T12S2, T23, T25, T32, T33, TU29S1) The third and fourth quadrant did not have a specific character to characterized the accession.

Table 2. Groups of 51 accessions according to cluster analysis from five agronomics traits

| Cluster | Accession |
|---------|-----------|
| I       | E4S2      |
| II      | T33, T12S2, E2S1, E3S2, E2S3, TU29S1, TU15, E4S1, E2S2, T32, E5S1, and T23 |
| III     | T55S1 and T40 |
| IV      | T34, T24, T2, M5, M6, T52, M2, TU29S2, M7, E6S1, T1, M1, T27, T50, T11, T7S2, TU30S2, T53, E6S2, T25, T7S1, M8, E3S1, TU26, E1, TU14, T55S2, T54, T46, and T22 |
| V       | TU30S3, T12S1, TU30S1, T6, M4, and M3 |

Figure 3. Cluster analysis showing the relationship among 51 accessions of C. annum var. chinense pepper based on five agronomic traits (fruit weight, fruit diameter, fruit length, stalk length, and fruit thickness)
A similar result was reported by the study of Zhigila et al. (2014), which showed that fruit length is an important characteristic attributed to the variation in species of Capsicum. Variations in quantitative characters are influenced by environments and many genes, each of which has a small influence on the characters. In Cayenne pepper, a genotype is reported to affect almost all quantitative parameters observed, such as the color of young fruit, ripe fruit color, fruit shape, fruit tip shape, fruit surface appearance, fruit cross-sectional shape, pedicle attachment shape etc. (Nanda et al. 2018). Some earlier studies have also stated that the variables of fruit diameter and fruit length have high heritability estimates. Based on the view of plant breeding, to get superior pepper varieties, the selection of genotypes that have fruit length, fruit thickness, and fruit diameter is an effort that can be done to increase pepper production (Occhiuto et al. 2014).

A high degree of genetic diversity or variability is one of the prerequisites for an effective breeding program. According to Saputro et al. (2018), one of the critical factors in plant breeding programs is the availability of genetic resources or germplasm with a high degree of genetic variability and accurate genetic information. Along with genetic variability, phenotypic variability plays a critical role in tree breeding programs to produce superior trees (Devi et al. 2017). Genetic diversity research using genotype-clustering techniques enables quantifying the degree of similarity or diversity among genotypes and thus serves as a valuable tool for breeding improvement in Sicilian chili pepper (Virga et al. 2020).

**Morphological qualitative character analysis**

The morphological qualitative character of fruit showed appearances of several colors before ripening (fruit number 3 dark green and number 5 patches of purple), and at the mature stage showed the red color figure number 1, 2, 4, 6 (Figure 5A). We reported a similar result that most of the colors of young fruit in Capsicum were dark green, green, and light green. The same study reported that the colors of ripe fruit in several genotype’s of chili were red, bright red, and dark red (Saleh et al. 2016). The fruit shape characters observed in 51 genotypes were blocky, campanulate, and triangular (Figure 5B, C, D). The fruit's cross-sectional corrugation shape is caused by the appearance of its surface, namely slightly corrugated, corrugated, and intermediate (Figure 5E, F, G). The fruit shape at pedicel attachment is truncate, cordate, and lobate (Figure 5H, I, J). The fruit’s shape at the blossom's end is blunt, sunken, and sunken pointed (Figure 5K, L, M) (Figure 5; Table 3). The fruit position is intermediate facing downwards. The same study reported that the pepper genotype’s position was mostly downwards, except for some of the fruit genotypes in a horizontal position (Santos et al. 2019).

![Figure 4. Bi-plot drawn based on the first and second components obtained from principal component analysis on morphological diversity of C. annum var. chinense pepper in Tana Toraja, North Toraja, Enrekang, and Mamasa Districts of South Sulawesi Province, Indonesia](image-url)
Figure 5. Qualitative character of *C. annum* var. *chinense* pepper fruit. A. Light green, patches of purple, dark green fruit color before ripening and red fruit color at the mature stage, B. Blocky fruit shape, C. campanulate, D. Triangular, E. Corrugated cross-sections of fruit (F. Intermediate; G. Slightly corrugated; H. Truncate fruit shape at pedicel attachment; I. Cordate; J. Lobate; K. Blunt fruit shape at the blossom end; L. Sunken; M. Sunken and pointed. Pictures are not scaled except picture A.

Figure 6. Field photographs showing characteristics of fruit color and shape of four varieties of *C. annum* var. *chinense* pepper grown in Tana Toraja, North Toraja, Enrekang, and Mamasa of South Sulawesi Province, Indonesia. A. Genotype Limbong Sangpolo or called Local in some areas, B. Genotype Leatung 1, C. Genotype Leatung 2, D. Genotype Jumbo.
Table 3. Grouping of the qualitative character of *C. annuum* var. *chinense* pepper fruits 51 accessions found in Tana Toraja, North Toraja, Enrekang, and Mamasa Districts of South Sulawesi Province, Indonesia

| Qualitative character | Accession |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Fruit color before ripening is light green | T2, T7S1, T6, T12S1, T22, T24, T25, T34, T46, T40, T52, T54, T55S1, M6, M8, E1, E2S2, E3S1, TU26, TU29S2, TU30S3 |
| Fruit color before ripening has patches of purple. | T1, T7S2 T11, T12S2 T23, T27, T32, T33, T50, T53, M1, M2, M3, M4, M5, M7, E2, E4S1, E5S1, E6S1, TU14, TU15, TU29S1, TU5S2, TU30S1 |
| Fruit color before ripening is dark green | T55S1, E2S3, E3S2, E4S2, E6S2 |
| Fruit color at the mature stage is red | All accession |
| Fruit cross-section is corrugated | T2, T6, T12S1, T27, T46, T54, T55S1, E1, E2S2, E3S1, E3S2, M1, M2, M8, TU14, TU29S1, TU29S2, TU30S1, TU30S2, TU30S3 |
| Fruit cross-section is slightly corrugated | M5 |
| Fruit cross-section is intermediate | T1, T7S1, T72, T11, T12S2, T22, T24, T25, T32, T33, T40, T50, T52, T53, T55S2, E2S1, E2S3, E4S1, E5, E6S1, E6S2, M3, M4, M6, M7, TU15, TU26 |
| Fruit shape is blocky | T1, T2, T7S1, T7S2, T6, T12S2, T12S1, T22, T23, T25, T27, T32, T33, T46, T40, T50, T52, T53, T55S1, M1, M2, M3, M4, M7, M8, E1, E2S1, E2S3, E3S1, E3S2, E4S2, E4S3, E5S1, E6S1, E6S2, TU14, TU15, TU26, TU29S1, TU30S1, TU30S2, TU30S3 |
| Fruit shape is campanulate | T24, T55S2, M5, M6, E2S2, TU29S2 |
| Fruit shape is triangular | T1, T34 |
| Fruit shape at pedicel attachment is truncate | E2S1, E4S1, E5S1, E6S1 |
| Fruit shape at pedicel attachment is cordate. | T2, T7S1, T7S2, T6, T12S2, T24, T25, T32, T33, T46, T40, T50, T52, E1, E2S2, E3S1, E6S2, M5, M6, TU14, TU15, TU29S1, TU29S2 |
| Fruit shape at pedicel attachment is lobate | T1, T11, T12S1, T22, T23, T24, T32, T33, T54, T55S1, T55S2, E2S3, E3S2, E4S2, E5S2, E6S2, M1, M2, M3, M4, M7, M8, TU26, TU30S1, TU30S2, TU30S3 |
| Fruit shape at blossom end is blunt | T1, T2, T7S1, T7S2, T6, T11, T22, T24, T32, T34, T40, T55S1, M1, M2, M3, M4, M7, M8, TU29S1, TU29S2 |
| Fruit shape at blossom end is sunken | T12S2, T12S1, T23, T25, T27, T46, T50, T52, T53, T54, T55S1, E1, E2S1, E3S1, E4S1, E5S1, E6S1, E6S2, M5, M6, TU14, TU15, TU26, TU30S1, TU30S2, TU30S3 |
| Fruit shape at blossom end is sunken and pointed | E2S2, E2S3, E3S2, E4S2 |

The qualitative fruit characters observed above in Tana Toraja, North Toraja, Enrekang, and Mamasa Districts were also observed in young fruit. The morphological characteristic of fruit before ripening was light green, which turned red when mature. The fruit cross-section was slightly corrugated. The fruit was a pendant, and shapes were blocky. Fruit shape at pedicel attachment was truncated. Fruit shapes at the blossom end were blunt. There was no difference in fruit shape and color between different altitudes. However, there seemed to be a slight variance between the 51 accessions found, but it was not area or location-specific (Table 1; Figure 6). In almost all the regions surveyed, there were only four variants of genotypes that were mentioned by the respondents and the survey guides during our interviews. The genotype variants names were Limbong Sangpolo (some people name it “Local”), Leatung 1, Leatung 2, and Jumbo (Figure 6). This variance may be genetic because they can grow in all altitudes and locations without changing the shape and color of fruits. Qualitative characters are characters that have little or no effect on the environment and are characters that are controlled by simple genes (Wartono et al. 2019). To fortify the findings, further molecular studies may be required.

In this study, we concluded that *C. annuum* var. *chinense* pepper plants were widely distributed in Tana Toraja District (47%), followed by North Toraja District (34%), Enrekang District (12%), and in Mamasa District (7%). However, since the sites of the *C. annuum* var. *chinense* pepper farms’ GPS coordinates were found close to each other at the border between Tana Toraja and North Toraja Districts and considering our interviews and literature, we propose that the center of growing and production of *C. annuum* var. *chinense* pepper has been initiated in Tana Toraja.

Interestingly, in all the areas explored, we found only four common genotypes, i.e., Limbong Sangpolo or Local, Leatung 1, Leatung 2 and Jumbo. Further studies in this regard could be targeted with a molecular approach to analyze differences among those four genotypes of *C. annuum* var. *chinense* pepper.
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