Response of basal metabolic rate to complete submergence of riparian species *Salix variegata* in the Three Gorges reservoir region
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One-year old seedlings of *Salix variegata* (submergence-tolerant) and *Cinnamomum camphora* (submergence-intolerant) were selected and subjected to complete submergence (2 m) for 1, 5, 10, and 20 days, to elucidate the submergence-tolerance mechanism of *S. variegata* in the Three Gorges reservoir region. The basal CO₂ emission ratios (BCERs) and O₂ consumption rates (OCRs) of leaf, stem, and root were determined. The basal O₂ consumption rates (BOCRs) were calculated from the OCRs of different parts and their biomass allocations and used for evaluating the basal metabolic rate (BMR) of species with BCERs. The results showed that: (1) The BCERs of both species responded to flooding similarly, and no significant differences occurred between the submerged *S. variegata* (SS) and the submerged *C. camphora* (SC) seedlings, and between the control *S. variegata* (CS) and the control *C. camphora* (CC) seedlings. (2) The BOCR of SS were significantly lower than those of SC on days 1 and 20, while no significant differences occurred between CS and CC for every duration. Therefore, the BMRs, evaluated from BOCR rather than from BCERs, were related to submergence-tolerance of species, and the response of BMR to submergence would contribute to the survival of *S. variegata* seedlings under flooding.

Flooding is an abiotic stress with widespread effects on society and environment¹². At present, flooding-environment interactions have gained extensive attention from around the world³–⁵, of which response of plant species to flooding is primary⁶. Plants when subjected to flooding usually exhibit either escape strategy (keeping in close contact with the air via fast growth of seedlings)⁸–⁹ or quiescence strategy (maintaining survival in flooding without growth or even being dormant)¹⁰. The quiescence strategy has been proved to be an effective action for tolerating deep and prolonged flooding or frequently fluctuated flooding.

In the Three Gorges reservoir, water levels fluctuate regularly from 145 to 175 m in elevation, according to the water scheduling scheme of the Three Gorge reservoir¹¹. Severe flooding with a maximum water level fluctuation of 30 m has caused several environmental problems, such as vegetation deterioration, soil erosion, and pollution¹². At present, ecological restoration with well-adaptive plant species is considered an ideal option for mitigating environmental impacts of impounding water¹³,¹⁴. *Salix variegata* Franch., a riparian shrub species native to lowland ecosystems in the Three Gorges reservoir region, is a well-studied adaptive plant species for revegetation in the drawdown zone of the Three Gorges reservoir region. It can not only survive a severe complete submergence stress (2 m) for more than 180 days but also regrow quickly during desubmergence¹⁵. *S. variegata*, when subjected to complete submergence, presents several responses for survival, such as being out of growth¹⁶, reducing carbohydrate consumption, postponing flowering, and adjusting reproductive allocations¹⁷. These performances indicate that *S. variegata* is a species following quiescence strategy for tolerating flooding and would downregulate energy-dependent physiological activities for survival¹⁸, which is unrelated to the plant basal metabolism. However, whether the response of basal metabolism to flooding contributed to its tolerance or not is still unclear even after 10 years of research on the submergence mechanisms of *S. variegata*.
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Basal metabolism is the minimum level of energy required to sustain the vital functions of organisms and has been frequently used in investigating the adaptive capacities of organisms to external environments\(^{19-20}\). However, many studies of basal metabolism were conducted for animals\(^{21}\), and few studies have paid attentions to the response of plant basal metabolism to complete submergence. The fact that plants following the quiescence strategy can tolerate long duration or deep flooding\(^{22-24}\) is probably attributed to the low basal metabolic level. The basal metabolic level of organisms is measured using the basal metabolic rate (BMR), which is calculated from O\(_2\) consumption per unit time under given conditions\(^{25}\). It is convincible to evaluate the energy-dependent metabolism level by investigating the changes of O\(_2\) (aerobic respiration substrates) for animals. Evaluating BMR of plants is more complex than that of animals. On the one hand, plants could produce O\(_2\) via photosynthesis besides consumption, which might be affected by stress\(^{26-28}\). On the other hand, plants would conduct anaerobic metabolism under stress, especially for flooding stress. Therefore, the effect of growth and anaerobic metabolisms must be taken into consideration when evaluating the response of plant BMR to flooding.

Generally, capacities of conducting underwater photosynthesis and upregulating anaerobic metabolic level are two of the key factors of submergence-tolerant plants\(^{2-9}\). Plants obtain energy for physiological activities from aerobic and anaerobic metabolism simultaneously under flooding, greatly increasing the difficulty of measuring the BMR. However, investigating the BMR level of plants is still feasible under controlled conditions. First, the essence of BMR is the energy metabolic level, which is dependent on the hereditary feature\(^{30}\). As the main pathway of producing energy, respiratory metabolism of plants is related to its BMR\(^{31}\). Next, the respiratory metabolic level of plants can be measured by changes in substrate\(^{32}\) or enzymes activities\(^{33}\).

At present, the O\(_2\) consumption rate (OCR) and CO\(_2\) emission ratio (CER) are frequently used for investigating aerobic respiration\(^{34}\). The enzyme activities of fermentation, such as pyruvate decarboxylase (PDC), alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH), lactic dehydrogenase (LDH), and so on, are often used to evaluate anaerobic respiration\(^{34}\). OCR and CER can reflect the overall metabolic level of aerobic and anaerobic respiration under flooding stress. O\(_2\) is consumed in anaerobic respiration indirectly, maintaining redox equilibrium of plants as an efficient oxidant\(^{35}\). CO\(_2\) is released via the ethanol fermentation, the main pathway of the anaerobic respiration of plants\(^{36}\). However, no effective system is available for measuring the overall respiration of plants under flooding at present, and few trials have been conducted to elucidate plant BMR from respiration.

In the present study, the OCR and CER of two plant species were measured to investigate the interactions of BMR and submergence in a well-designed and carefully controlled experiment. First, the experiment was conducted in the winter season, which not only reduced the growth impacts but also mimicked winter flooding in the drawdown zone of the Three Gorges reservoir. Next, \textit{S. variegata} and the control species were \textit{C3} plant species. Besides different submergence-tolerance abilities, the respiratory quotient of \textit{C3} plants was 1\(^{-}\), and thus the OCR and CER could be interconverted equally. It was the key factor that determined the success probability of the experiment. Finally, seedlings were placed in a dark room for 24 h, before measurement, to consume the photosynthetic products produced on the day. The OCR and CER of total seedlings were defined as basal OCR (BOCR) and basal CER (BCER), respectively.

One-year old seedlings of \textit{S. variegata} (submergence-tolerant species) and \textit{Cinnamomum camphora} (intolerant species) were selected and complete submergence was conducted (2 m) for 1, 5, 10, and 20 days in the present study. The BCRs and OCRs of the leaves, stems, and roots of both species were determined, and the BOCR were investigated as the average OCR of seedlings. The relationship between submergence tolerance of plants and their BMRs was elucidated from BCRs and BOCR, and the index was also selected for evaluating tolerant abilities.

**Results**

**Biomass remained stable under submergence.** The biomass of \textit{S. variegata} ranged from 2.154 to 5.653 g (CS) and 2.284 to 4.980 g (SS), with the means being 3.515 ± 0.298 (±SE) g (CS) and 4.086 ± 0.584 g (SS), respectively (Fig. 1A). The biomass of \textit{C. camphora} ranged from 0.282 to 3.640 g (CC) and 1.027 to 5.162 g (SC), with the means being 2.507 ± 0.305 g and 2.193 ± 0.349 g, respectively (Fig. 1B).

No significant differences were found in the biomass of CS, SS, and SC with different duration (ANOVA, CS, \(P = 0.637\); SS, \(P = 0.964\); and SC, \(P = 0.196\), except in the biomass of CC (ANOVA, \(P = 0.034\)) (Fig. 1). The Duncan’s multiple-range test results showed that the difference of CC occurred on days 5 and 10, with the former being significantly lower than the latter.

The \(t\) test results showed no significant differences in the biomass of the two species between treatment and control, or between species for every duration, except for that of SS and SC on day 1 (\(t\) test, \(P = 0.041\)).

**Biomass allocation responded slightly to submergence.** The biomass allocation ranked as root > stem ≥ leaf in both species, with root being about a half of the biomass (Fig. 2).

No significant differences were found in biomass allocations of leaf, stem, and root for the two species (ANOVA, leaf of CS, \(P = 0.802\); stem of CS, \(P = 0.862\); root of CS, \(P = 0.856\); leaf of SS, \(P = 0.761\); stem of SS, \(P = 0.584\); root of SS, \(P = 0.662\); leaf of CC, \(P = 0.517\); stem of CC, \(P = 0.878\); root of CC, \(P = 0.976\); leaf of SC, \(P = 0.781\); stem of SC, \(P = 0.523\); and root of SC, \(P = 0.555\)).

The \(t\) test results showed that no significant difference occurred in the biomass allocation of the two species between treatment and control, or between species for all parts and duration, except that of leaf between species on day 20 (\(t\) test, control, \(P = 0.002\); submerged, \(P = 0.027\)).

**BCER of \textit{S. variegata} and \textit{C. camphora} responded to submergence in a similar manner.** The BCERs of SS and SC responded to submergence significantly, with differences among various duration (ANOVA, SS, \(P = 0.002\); SC, \(P = 0.005\)). The BCERs of SS and SC on days 10 and 20 were significantly lower than those on days 1 and 5 (Fig. 3). The \(t\) test results showed significant differences between treatment and control on days 1
and 5 for *S. variegata*, and on day 5 for *C. camphora*, while no significant differences occurred between species for every duration.

**OCR of *S. variegata* and *C. camphora* responded differently to submergence.** The OCRs of leaves of *S. variegata* and *C. camphora* responded to submergence in a similar manner, with the treatment being lower than the control on days 20 and 1, respectively (*t* test, *S. variegata*, *P* = 0.017; *C. camphora*, *P* = 0.029, Fig. 4A). The *t* test results also showed no significant differences between species for every duration. A significant difference was observed in the OCRs of leaves among various duration for SS (ANOVA, *P* = 0.013), with those on day 20 being significantly lower than those on days 1, 5, and 10 (Fig. 4A) (Duncan's multiple-range test), while no significant difference occurred for SC (ANOVA, *P* = 0.342; Fig. 4A).
The response of OCRs of stems of *S. variegata* differed compared with that of *C. camphora*. A difference between treatment and control for *S. variegata* was observed on days 1, 5, and 10, while no difference occurred for *C. camphora* (Fig. 4B). The *t* test results showed a significant difference in the OCRs of stems of SS and SC on days 1, 5, and 10.
1 and 10, while no significant difference was found in that of CS and CC for every duration. No significant differences were observed in the OCRs of stems of SS and SC during duration (ANOVA, SS, $P = 0.311$; SC, $P = 0.435$), while it decreased with duration for control (ANOVA, CS, $P = 0.005$; CC, $P = 0.023$) (Fig. 4B).

A significant difference was observed in the OCRs of roots of SS among various duration (ANOVA, $P = 0.002$), with it being higher or lower than CS on days 10 and 20, respectively. However, no significant difference occurred in the OCRs of roots of the *C. camphora* seedlings among duration (ANOVA, $P = 0.941$), or between treatment and control for every duration. The *t* test results showed a significant difference in the OCRs of roots of SS and SC on days 1, 5, and 20, while no significant difference was found in the OCRs of roots of CS and CC for every duration (Fig. 4C).

**BOCR of *S. variegata* and *C. camphora* responded differently to submergence.** The BOCR was evaluated as an average OCR of seedlings, which was calculated using the following formula: $\text{BOCR} = \sum (\text{OCR} \times \text{biomass allocation})$.

No significant difference was found in the BOCR of SS (ANOVA, $P = 0.084$), while a difference was found in that of CS (ANOVA, $P = 0.002$). The BOCR of the CS seedlings decreased significantly, with the BOCR on days 10 and 20 being significantly lower than that on days 1 and 5 (Fig. 5A). The *t* test results showed that the BOCR of the SS seedlings was significantly lower than that of the control on days 1 and 5.

No significant difference was found in the BOCR of the SC seedlings (ANOVA, $P = 0.828$), while a difference was found in that of the CC seedlings (ANOVA, $P = 0.015$). The BOCR of the CC seedlings decreased significantly, with the BOCRs on days 10 and 20 being significantly lower than those on days 1 and 5 (Fig. 5B). The *t* test results showed a significant difference in the BOCRs of the SC and CC seedlings only on day 1.

The *t* test results showed a significant difference in the BOCRs of SS and SC on days 1 and 20, while no significant difference was found in the BOCRs of CS and CC for every duration (Fig. 5).

**OCR and BCER of *S. variegata* were less affected by biomass and temperature compared with those of *C. camphora*.** Generally, mechanism levels of plants are related to their developed status and habitat conditions. To elucidate correlation of the BMR of *S. variegata* and flooding, effects of developed status (biomass) and temperature (habitat factor) on BMR were investigated via correlation analysis, in which the temperatures were those recorded by apparatus directly during measuring.

No significant correlations were found between OCRs and biomass for both *S. variegata* and *C. camphora* (Table 1). No significant correlations occurred between BCERs and biomass for *S. variegata*, while significant correlation was observed between BCER and biomass for *C. camphora*.

No significant correlations were observed between OCRs and temperature, and BCERs and temperature for SS and SC. Significant correlations were observed between OCRs and temperature for the leaf, stem, and root of CC, and the stem of CS.

---

**Figure 5.** Effect of flooding on the BOCRs of *S. variegata* and *C. camphora*. (A) *S. variegata* and (B) *C. camphora*. Values are mean ± standard error of mean $\pm \text{SE}$, based on three independent assays from ANOVA. The BMR was evaluated from the average OCR of seedlings, which was calculated using the following formula: $\text{BOCR} = \sum (\text{OCR} \times \text{biomass allocation})$. Different letters indicate statistical significance ($P < 0.05$) according to the Duncan’s test. *Indicates difference between the submerged seedlings and the control, according to the *t* test ($P < 0.05$).
respectively (Fig. 4A), indicating that the tolerant and intolerant species would both reduce O$_2$ consumption the BMR of plants. Therefore, the BCER determined in the present study was inappropriate to be used for evaluating the BCERs of the two species were the average CER of total seedling, not considering the allocation of young and mature part. Therefore, the OCR of leaf was not an ideal index for evaluating submergence tolerance of plants. Quercus castaneifolia and wilting. Therefore, the OCR of leaf was not an ideal index for evaluating submergence tolerance of plants. Parad et al. (2016) reported that when subjected to continuous flooding, leaves of Quercus castaneifolia experienced precocious senescence and wilting. Therefore, the OCR of leaf was not an ideal index for evaluating submergence tolerance of plants.

The OCRs of leaves of SS and SC were significantly lower compared with those of control on days 20 and 1, respectively (Fig. 4A), indicating that the tolerant and intolerant species would both reduce O$_2$ consumption under water but with a bit of difference. The difference probably resulted from different abilities of conducting underwater photosynthesis. A previous study reported that the S. variegata seedlings could conduct photosynthesis on complete submergence, while the C. camphora seedlings could not. No significant difference was found in the OCRs of leaves between species in the present study, irrespective of treatment and control (Fig. 4A). It indicated that the OCR of leaf was of only a little relation to submergence tolerance. Parad et al. (2016) reported that the BCERs of both species responded to flooding in a similar manner, and no significant differences were observed between CS and CC, and SS and SC (Fig. 3). This indicated no significant interrelation between BCER and submergence tolerance. It was probably due to the interference of biomass. A highly significant negative correlation (Tab. 1) was found in the BCER and the biomass index. Data in the table indicate the Pearson’s correlation values.

### Table 1. Pearson’s correlations of OCRs and BCERs to biomass or temperature. Biomass in the table indicates the total fresh weight of the total seedling; and temperature indicates the temperature on measuring the certain index.

| Species | Index | Biomass | Temperature |
|---------|-------|---------|-------------|
| S. variegata | leaf OCR | CS −0.252 | 0.479 |
| | | SS 0.168 | 0.306 |
| | stem OCR | CS −0.548 | 0.894** |
| | | SS 0.085 | −0.022 |
| | root OCR | CS −0.074 | 0.453 |
| | | SS 0.061 | 0.425 |
| | BCER | CS −0.434 | 0.296 |
| | | SS 0.221 | −0.271 |
| C. camphora | leaf OCR | CC −0.091 | 0.591* |
| | | SC −0.363 | 0.026 |
| | stem OCR | CC −0.47 | 0.770** |
| | | SC −0.039 | −0.036 |
| | root OCR | CC −0.488 | 0.703** |
| | | SC −0.254 | −0.366 |
| | BCER | CC −0.811** | 0.537 |
| | | SC −0.265 | −0.462 |

### Discussion

Generally, CER and OCR are the two key indices for investigating energy-dependent metabolisms of plants, with the former being more widely applied than the latter. The BCER and BOCR were investigated directly or indirectly to elucidate the relationship between submergence tolerance of plants and their BMR in the present study. The BCER must be a preferred index, because the determination of BCER was nondestructive and easy. Moreover, the BCERs of both treatment and control were determined by the same measuring system, which could reduce the systematic error caused by different instruments. However, the BCERs of both species responded to flooding in a similar manner, and no significant differences were observed between CS and CC, and SS and SC (Fig. 3). This indicated no significant interrelation between BCER and submergence tolerance. It was probably due to the interference of biomass. A highly significant negative correlation (Tab. 1) was found in the BCER and the biomass index. Data in the table indicate the Pearson’s correlation values.

The OCRs of leaves of SS and SC were significantly lower compared with those of control on days 20 and 1, respectively (Fig. 4A), indicating that the tolerant and intolerant species would both reduce O$_2$ consumption under water but with a bit of difference. The difference probably resulted from different abilities of conducting underwater photosynthesis. A previous study reported that the S. variegata seedlings could conduct photosynthesis on complete submergence, while the C. camphora seedlings could not. No significant difference was found in the OCRs of leaves between species in the present study, irrespective of treatment and control (Fig. 4A). It indicated that the OCR of leaf was of only a little relation to submergence tolerance. Parad et al. (2016) reported that when subjected to continuous flooding, leaves of Quercus castaneifolia experienced precocious senescence and wilting. Therefore, the OCR of leaf was not an ideal index for evaluating submergence tolerance of plants.

The OCRs of roots of SS increased first, followed by a decrease with duration (Fig. 4C), indicating that O$_2$ consumption of roots was complex. It is because O$_2$ of roots comes from multiple channels and is affected by relevant elements. On the one hand, roots mainly obtain O$_2$ from the photosynthesis of leaves, and are affected by
the photosynthetic level of leaves and the transfer efficiency of O₂ of stems. On the other hand, roots could absorb O₂ directly from the external environment via special roots, such as aerial roots and water roots, which is affected by the O₂ level in the environment and root structure. A previous study reported that *S. variegata* could take water roots⁴², while *C. camphora* could not⁴⁶. Parad et al. (2013)⁴⁶ reported that the flooded plants of *Pyrus bissieriana* developed adventitious roots, while the non-flooded ones did not. Therefore, the OCR of root is related to the submergence tolerance of plants, but it is not an available index for evaluating submergence tolerance.

In the present study, the BOCR was calculated from OCRs (leaf, stem, and root) and its biomass allocations. It seemed that the BOCR would be affected by the possible differences in OCRs and biomass allocations between species. Luckily, the both selected species in the present study, *S. variegata* and *C. camphora*, had several similar traits, ensuring that the BOCRs were compared among species directly. First, no significant differences were found in biomass (except for the biomass of CC on day 5) (Fig. 1) and biomass allocations (except for the leaf percentage on day 20) of the two species for every duration (Fig. 2), including the submerged and the control seedlings. Next, no significant differences occurred in the OCRs of leaves, stems, and roots for CS and CC (Fig. 4). Therefore, the BOCRs could reflect the integral level of O₂ consumption of plants, and interrelations of BMR and submergence tolerance. Moreover, the BOCRs of SS and SC seedlings could also be compared with each other directly, which could not only eliminate the effects of systematic errors of different measuring instruments but also reduce the effects of temperature on the OCRs of plants.

The BOCR of the SS seedlings was significantly lower compared with that of SC in the present study, while no significant difference was found in the control seedlings of the two species (Fig. 5). This indicated that the tolerant plants might need less energy for maintaining physiological activities than the intolerant plants. Lei et al. (2012)⁴³ reported that both *S. variegata* and *C. camphora* could upregulate the activities of antioxidant enzymes, such as superoxide dismutase and peroxidase. However, the overall photosynthetic level of *S. variegata* was significantly higher compared with that of *C. camphora* on day 15 of submergence, although the former increased less than the latter. Ye and Zeng (2013)⁴⁴ also found that nonstructural carbohydrate contents of *S. variegata* reduced insignificantly when subjected to complete submergence (2 m) for 150 days. Therefore, the BOCR level of plants was closely correlated with its tolerance ability and might be a good index for selecting adaptive species of revegetation in drawdown zones.

OCRs of SS and SC remained relative stable in the present study, about 0.1–0.2 (μmol.O₂.g⁻¹.min⁻¹) (Fig. 4). It indicated that both *S. variegata* and *C. camphora* would consume O₂ persistently upon submergence, requiring the plants to obtain O₂ from water or preserve abundant O₂ in seedlings. Previous studies extensively explored the mechanisms of obtaining O₂ under flooding to cope with hypoxia stress⁴⁵–⁴⁶. Adventitious roots would be developed for absorbing O₂ from water⁴⁶, and aerenchyma is usually formed for diffusing gas among organs⁴⁸,⁴⁹. Dissolved oxygen is considered to play a vital role in the submergence tolerance of plants⁵⁰. Generally, the *Salix* species, as riparian plants, would depend on aerenchyma for dealing with hypoxia stress on a wetland habitat⁵¹,⁵², while few morphology responses to flooding have been reported for *Cinnamomum* species. Therefore, it is possible to use OCRs to hypothesize stress, including morphological and physiological adaptation, that resulted in different submergence tolerances of plants.

The relationship between OCRs of stem and submergence tolerance of species, rather than the OCRs of leaf and root, was an unexpected finding. In previous studies, the focus of submergence tolerance mechanisms for plants was on responses of leaves and roots to flooding⁷–¹⁷; few studies have been conducted on stem physiology of plants during flooding in *S. variegata*. The OCR of stem of SS was lower compared with that of CS on day 1 of submergence in the present study, while no significant difference occurred for OCRs of leaf and root (Fig. 4). The quick response of the OCR of stem to flooding indicated that the transport efficiency of *S. variegata* for nutrition and gas might be affected by flooding significantly, which would probably influence its tolerance abilities. Therefore, the stem physiology of *S. variegata* must be referred in further studies to conduct revegetation with the species in the drawdown zone of the Three Gorges reservoir region.

The present study had certain limitations. A few factors were not taken into consideration, and some results, such as the fluctuation root OCR under flooding, could not be explained clearly. However, submergence tolerance of plants is known to be related to their basal metabolism. The OCRs of stem and the BOCRs can be used as good alternative indices for evaluating submergence tolerance of plants. Finally, this study was indeed a successful attempt for investigating the tolerance mechanisms of plants under flooding, although many details still need to be explored further.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant materials.** One-year old seedlings of *S. variegata* and *C. camphora* were collected in June 2008. *S. variegata* seedlings were taken from a riparian shrub community located on the banks of the Jialing River, and *C. camphora* seedlings were collected from the campus of Southwest University, Chongqing, China. The seedlings of both species were of similar height, ranging from 15 to 20 cm. They were transplanted into plastic containers (18 × 15 cm² high) with 3 kg soil (loam, collected from an experimental garden of the Southwest University). Sixty seedlings for each species were cultivated in the present study, supplying enough materials for treatments (3–5 replicates for each treatment) and pretreatments. No endangered or protected species is involved in the present study.

The growth conditions were recorded using the DynaMet Weather Station (Dynamax Inc., MI, USA). The average air temperature and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) are shown in Fig. 6, and the experimental procedures are shown in Fig. 6.

**Flooding treatment and sampling.** At the beginning of December 2008, the seedlings were subjected to flooding treatments, which consisted of a set of submergence treatments and control (nonsubmersion). The treatment groups were named as follows: the control seedlings of *S. variegata* (CS), the submerged seedlings of *S.
**variegata** (SS), the control seedlings of *C. camphora* (CC), and the submerged seedlings of *C. camphora* (SC). The submersion treatment was conducted in a concrete tank (2-m long × 2-m wide × 2.2-m high) for four duration (1, 5, 10, and 20 days) with a water level of 2 m above the soil surface. The nonsubmerged seedlings were watered daily to maintain the field capacity and allowed to drain freely. Seedlings were collected randomly (*n* = 3) at the end of each treatment period, and one seedling was a replicate.

All the collected submerged seedlings were washed carefully under water without air contact, while the nonsubmerged seedlings were washed in the air. Then, the seedlings were placed in a dark room for 24 h to meet the requirements of determining the basal metabolism. Both species showed no growth in winter and mainly

---

**Figure 6.** Changes in average air temperature and PAR. Shallow with inclined lines indicate submergence duration, and the dash lines show the date for sampling at 1, 5, 10, and 20 days of treatment.

**Figure 7.** Response of BCERs and BOCRs to complete submergence for *S. variegata* and *C. camphora*. FW, fresh weight; Chloro-Lab2, liquid-phase O₂ measurement system; Li-6400-09, portable photosynthesis system with soil chamber; Li-6400-01, portable photosynthesis system with the standard chamber.
survived based on daily photosynthesis and previous reserves. Therefore, temporary cultivation for 24 h in the dark was considered to eliminate the effects of growth respiration. Soil lixivium (1 kg soil was put into 10 L of water for 48 h) was used to maintain fundamental physiological activities of the seedlings. The seedlings of the treatment group were kept completely submerged by lixivium, while the control seedlings were only subjected to waterlogging for roots.

**Measurement of BCERs and OCRs.** The measurement of BCERs and OCRs of the two species were conducted in a dark room. A lamp (5 W, green light) was used to supply light for operating apparatus. The BCERs of the two species were determined using a Li-6400 Portable Photosynthesis System (Li-COR Inc., NE, USA), monitoring changes in CO$_2$ (μmol·CO$_2$·g$^{-1}·min^{-1}$) converted from CO$_2$ flux via a 6400–09 Soil Chamber (Li-COR Inc., NE, USA). The whole procedure of measuring BCERs must be accomplished within 15 min, avoiding the effects of postsubmergence. A previous study reported that the physiological activities of plants were stable in desubmergence for 30 min. Therefore, the limit of 15 min for operating was considered to meet the requirement of reducing the interference of desubmergence.

The OCRs of the leaf, stem, and root of the control groups were determined using the 6400–01 Standard Chamber, monitoring changes in CO$_2$ (μmol·CO$_2$·g$^{-1}·min^{-1}$). The OCRs of the submerged seedlings were analyzed using a Chloro-Lab2 liquid-phase O$_2$ measurement system (Hansatech, Poole, UK), measuring changes in O$_2$ (μmol·O$_2$·g$^{-1}·min^{-1}$). The submerged seedlings were kept in water during sample preparation and determination. Allobene was used to guard against oxidation of the control seedlings.

**Statistical analyses.** Data transformation was hardly inevitable to compare differences of OCRs between the treatment and control groups. As the respiratory quotient of C3 plants was 1, CER in the leaf, stem, and root of the control group (μmol·CO$_2$·g$^{-1}·min^{-1}$) was transformed to be consistent with the OCR in the submerged group (μmol·O$_2$·g$^{-1}·min^{-1}$). The BOCR was evaluated as an average OCR of seedlings, which was calculated using the following formula: \( \text{BOCR} = \sum (\text{OCR} \times \text{biomass allocation}) \).

Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Product and Service Solutions version 19.0 (SPSS Inc., IL, USA). A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the means of BCER, OCR, and BOCR in the submerged seedlings and control. Before conducting ANOVA, the homogeneity of variances must be tested. If homogeneity of variances was assumed, the Duncan’s multiple-range test was used to test whether the BCER, OCR, and BOCR differed between duration. If the homogeneity was not assumed, the Tamhane’s test was used. The independent samples test (t test) was used to test differences between the submerged seedlings and the control, and between species for each treatment. All the results were presented as mean values ± standard error (SE) obtained from three independent replicates.

Figures 1–6 were drawn using Origin 9.0 (OriginLab, MA, USA), while Figure 7 was drawn using Microsoft Office 2016.

**Data Availability.** All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.
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