EPIC: an effective low toxicity regimen for relapsing lymphoma
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Summary We have treated 40 patients was relapsed or resistant lymphoma with the combination of Etoposide, Prednisolone, Ifosfamide and Cisplatin (EPIC). Complete response was obtained in 11 patients (28%) with an overall response of 58%. The presence of bulky disease (P<0.005), elevated LDH serum levels (P<0.005), response to prior chemotherapy (P<0.01) and B symptoms (P<0.05) were significantly associated with response. However on multivariate analysis only the presence of bulky disease and of B symptoms were independent adverse factors for response and for survival. The regimen was well tolerated with myelosuppression being the most common toxicity. Leucopenia < 1,000 µl⁻¹ developed in 27% and 4% of cycles respectively. There were no treatment related deaths. The EPIC regimen has equivalent activity to other reported cisplatin based regimens used in the treatment of recurrent lymphoma, but is associated with lower treatment related morbidity and mortality.

Most patients with aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) and Hodgkin's disease (HD) relapsing or resistant to front line chemotherapy have a poor prognosis (De Vita et al., 1989; Cabanillas et al., 1990; Longo, 1990). Although good initial responses have been reported with several salvage regimens, toxicity is significant and long term disease free survival low (Cabanillas et al., 1982; Cabanillas et al., 1987; Velasquez et al., 1988; Hagemeister et al., 1987; Santoro et al., 1986). There is therefore a requirement for more effective low toxicity regimens. In an attempt to meet this need we devised a new salvage chemotherapy combination which includes etoposide, prednisolone, ifosfamide and cisplatin (EPIC). These drugs have different mechanisms of action (Achterrath et al., 1982; Colvin, 1982; Zwelling & Kohn, 1979; Plooy et al., 1984). The biological rationale for this schedule is derived from the single agent activity of these drugs in lymphoma, (Cavalli et al., 1981; Rodriguez et al., 1978; Taylor et al., 1982) the in vitro and in vivo data suggesting synergy between them (Achterrath et al., 1982; Schabel et al., 1979; Dewinko et al., 1976; Frei et al., 1988; Goldin, 1982; Durand & Goldie, 1987) and the lack of cross resistance between cisplatin and drugs used in first line combinations (Schabel et al., 1979). Also there is evidence of incomplete cross resistance between ifosfamide and cyclophosphamide (Hilgard et al., 1983).

Clinical benefits have been reported with the use of these drugs in different schedules (Judson & Wiltschaw, 1985; Scheulen et al., 1983). Furthermore, investigators at the MD Anderson Hospital have demonstrated that in relapsed and resistant lymphoma the substitution of etoposide for vincristine in a combination which also included ifosfamide and methotrexate increased the CR rate from 17% to 37% (Cabanillas et al., 1982; Cabanillas et al., 1980). Similarly the addition of 100 mg m⁻² cisplatin to the ESA schedule (etoposide, Methylprednisolone, cytarabine) raised the overall response rate from 38% to 69% (Cabanillas et al., 1988). Therefore the clinical evidence supports the experimental data indicating activity and at least an additive effect of these drugs in relapsed and resistant lymphoma.

In this study we describe our experience with the EPIC protocol in the management of these patients.

Patients and methods

Patient selection

Thirty-two patients with NHL and 10 with HD were enrolled into this trial between November 1989 and March 1991. Eligibility criteria included the following: (1) biopsy proven relapsing or resistant NHL or HD; (2) measurable disease; (3) informed consent; (4) EDTA ≥ 60 ml min⁻¹. Response to prior chemotherapy was defined according to WHO criteria (Miller et al., 1981). Patients were then classified as follows:

- Relapse from prior remission (CR or PR).
- Primary resistant disease; failure to achieve a remission (PR or CR) with any chemotherapy used in the past.

The purpose of this classification was to group patients in terms of the chemosensitivity prior to implementing EPIC chemotherapy.

All but two patients with NHL had been exposed to alkylating agents and anthracyclines in previous combinations. The two exceptions were patients with follicular NHL treated with CVP and one of these had also received high dose therapy plus autologous bone marrow transplantation (ABMT). The patients with HD had all been treated with both a MOPP type and an anthracycline containing combination. In addition, seven had been treated with extended field radiotherapy and three with high dose chemotherapy and bone marrow transplant in the past.

Bulky disease was considered to be present if any mass measured > 5 cm in diameter on CT evaluation or clinical examination.

Patient's characteristics are shown in Table I. Staging was conducted prior to the first cycle of chemotherapy and included clinical examination, full blood count, usual serum chemistries, chest radiograph and computer tomography (CT) scan of chest, abdomen and pelvis. An EDTA clearance test was performed before every other cycle unless clinically indicated. Restaging with the appropriate imaging technique was performed every two courses. MRI and high dose Gallium scans were performed at the completion of therapy if a residual mass was shown on CT.

Chemotherapy

The dose schedule of the EPIC regimen is as follows: Etoposide 100 mg m⁻² intravenous in 500 ml of saline over 1 h on Days 1–4; Ifosfamide 1 g m⁻² by bolus iv on Days 1–5 with hydration and Mesna; Prednisolone 100 mg daily orally on Days 1–5; cisplatin 60 mg m⁻² by short intravenous infusion with hydration and anti-emetics on Day
Table I Patient characteristics

|                        | No. | % |
|------------------------|-----|---|
| **All patients**       | 40  | 100 |
| **Age Mean (range)**   | 50  | (19–68) |
| **Gender**             |     |    |
| Male                   | 23  | 58 |
| Female                 | 17  | 42 |
| **Histology**          |     |    |
| Intermediate grade NHL | 29  | 73 |
| Diffuse immunoblastic (3 transformed) | 14 |    |
| Diffuse large cell (2 transformed) | 7 |    |
| Diffuse mixed (1 transformed) | 5 |    |
| Follicular large cell | 2 |    |
| Peripherally mixed | 1 |    |
| Low grade NHL          | 2  | 5 |
| Follicular small cleaved | 1 |    |
| Follicular mixed        | 1  |    |
| Hodgkin’s disease      | 9  | 22 |
| Nodular sclerosis       | 7  |    |
| Mixed cellularity       | 2  |    |
| **Number of previous treatments** |     |    |
| One                    | 17  | 42 |
| ≥ 2                    | 23  | 58 |
| **Response to prior therapy** |     |    |
| CR                     | 9   | 22 |
| PR                     | 10  | 25 |
| Primary resistant disease | 21 | 53 |
| **Interval in remission (19 patients)** |     |    |
| ≤ 3 months             | 9   | 47 |
| 3–6 months             | 6   | 32 |
| 6–12 months            | 2   | 11 |
| >12 months             | 2   | 11 |
| **Stage**              |     |    |
| IIA                    | 3   | 8 |
| IIB                    | 2   | 5 |
| IIIA                   | 1   | 2 |
| IIIIB                  | 4   | 10 |
| IVA                    | 11  | 28 |
| IVB                    | 19  | 47 |
| **BM involvement**     |     |    |
| Yes                    | 12  | 30 |
| No                     | 27  | 67 |
| Not investigated        | 1   | 3 |
| **Extranodal involvement** |     |    |
| None                   | 10  | 25 |
| One site               | 13  | 33 |
| ≥ 2 sites              | 17  | 42 |
| **Bulky disease**      |     |    |
| Present                | 19  | 48 |
| Absent                 | 21  | 52 |
| **B symptoms**         |     |    |
| Present                | 25  | 62 |
| Absent                 | 15  | 38 |
| **LDH serum levels (25 patients)** |     |    |
| ≤ 240                  | 14  | 56 |
| >240 (elevated)        | 11  | 44 |

10 provided EDTA clearance ≥ 60 ml min⁻¹. Treatment was given as an in-patient and was repeated every 3 weeks.

Chemotherapy was delayed if white cell count < 2,000 μl⁻¹ or platelets < 100,000 μl⁻¹ on day one. Cimetidine, co-trimoxazole and antifungal prophylaxis with oral nystatin and amphotericin were given throughout the treatment. The response to EPIC and toxicity were determined using the WHO criteria (Miller et al., 1981).

Statistical analysis
Time to treatment failure (TTF; time to relapse, progression or death) and duration of survival were calculated from the beginning of treatment. Survival curves were estimated by the method of Kaplan and Meier (Peto et al., 1977). The Log rank test was utilised to compared differences in survival and TTF (Peto et al., 1977). The proportional hazards model was used to determine the independence of factors for survival (Cox, 1972). Prognostic factors for response were compared using chi-square, Fisher exact or Mann Whitney non parametric test as indicated, and their independent effect was tested using the logistic regression model (Lehmann, 1959).

Results

Response rates
Forty patients were evaluable for response. CR was obtained in 11 patients (28%) and PR in 12 (30%), with an overall response rate of 58%. Two patients were excluded from the final analysis of response – one was found to have a second neoplasm instead of a relapsed NHL. The other, a patient with HD who had achieved CR, was excluded because in retrospect we could not exclude an effect of the prior chemotherapy in the response. However these two patients were included in the toxicity analysis. The response rates associated with several prognostic factors are shown in Table II. Patients relapsing from a CR achieved a response of 89%, while only three patients (27%) with primary resistant disease responded (P < 0.01). The overall response rate for NHL 16/31 (48%) was not significantly different from that of HD 7/9 (78%). Stage, bone marrow involvement or number of extranodal sites affected did not correlate with the quality of response and response rate. The presence of B symptoms (P < 0.05), bulky disease (P < 0.005) and elevated LDH (P < 0.005) were poor prognostic features. However on multivariate analysis only bulky disease and the presence of B symptoms were independent predictors of response and survival. Patients with absence of bulky disease and B symptoms (nine patients) had a response rate of 100% compared with 56% of those with only one or them and 8% of those with both bulky disease and B symptoms.

Patients with transformed NHL did worse than other intermediate grade NHL, but the difference was not significant.

Seven patients with HD (78%) had a PR. There were no CRs. Five of these patients were subsequently treated with high dose therapy and marrow transplantation. The other two had already been treated with bone marrow transplantation before EPIC.

Time to treatment failure and survival
TTF and survival are shown in Figures 1, 2 and 3. Median TTF is 18 months for CR and 6 months for PR (P < 0.005). Seven (30%) of the patients who responded remain free of disease, with a median follow up of 12 (4–15) months. The median survival for the whole group of patients is 9 months. There is no difference in survival for NHL vs HD. The TTF for patients with NHL is marginally better than that for those with HD (P = 0.07). Median survival for CR, PR and non responders is 21, 12 and 6 months respectively (P < 0.005). Fourteen patients remain alive, with a median follow up of 13 (4–21) months. One died from a second neoplasm soon after achieving PR.

Toxicity and chemotherapy
The EPIC regimen was generally well tolerated. A total of 150 courses of treatment were given to 42 patients, with a median 3 (1–8) cycles. The most frequent significant side effect was myelosuppression (see Table III). Forty episodes of WHO grade 4 leucopenia (WBC < 1000 μl⁻¹) were found in 23 patients. Delay of therapy usually due to myelosuppression or infection, occurred in 20 patients with a median delay of 2 weeks per patient. Twelve episodes of fever with neutropenia (8%) were recorded, including three that were severe (WHO grade 3). Two non-disseminated Herpes-Zoster infections were also found. Alopecia was almost universal. Nausea and vomiting were usually moderate, only one patient developing WHO grade 3 toxicity. Mucositis was rare and haemorrhagic cystitis was not found in our patients. Two patients had reversible impairment of renal function and cisplatin was omitted in one and two courses respectively. The dose of etoposide was reduced by 25% in two patients.
Table II Response to EPIC regimen

| Patient characteristics | CR No. | CR % | PR No. | PR % | P value (overall response) |
|-------------------------|--------|------|--------|------|---------------------------|
| All patients (40)       | 11     | 28   | 23     | 58   |                           |
| **Histology**           |        |      |        |      |                           |
| Intermediate grade NHL  | 11     | 38   | 14     | 48   | NS                        |
| Low grade NHL           |        |      |        |      |                           |
| Hodgkin’s disease       |        |      |        |      |                           |
| **Response to prior chemotherapy** |        |      |        |      |                           |
| CR                      | 5      | 56   | 8      | 89   | P < 0.05                  |
| PR                      | 4      | 40   | 6      | 60   |                           |
| **B symptoms**          |        |      |        |      |                           |
| Present                 | 5      | 20   | 11     | 44   | P < 0.05                  |
| Absent                  | 6      | 40   | 12     | 80   |                           |
| **Bulky disease**       |        |      |        |      |                           |
| Present                 | 4      | 21   | 4      | 21   | P < 0.005                 |
| Absent                  | 7      | 33   | 19     | 90   |                           |
| **LDH**                 |        |      |        |      |                           |
| < 240                   | 5      | 36   | 11     | 79   | P < 0.005                 |
| > 240 (elevated)        | 2      | 29   | 2      | 29   |                           |
| **Extranodal site**     |        |      |        |      |                           |
| None                    | 3      | 30   | 6      | 60   | NS                        |
| One                     | 4      | 31   | 8      | 62   |                           |
| Two or more             | 4      | 24   | 9      | 53   |                           |

NS = non-significant.

Figure 1 Time to treatment failure for patients who achieved either CR (---) or PR (----).

Figure 2 Kaplan and Meier survival curve for patients achieving CR (---), PR (----) and non-responders (-----).

Figure 3 Time to treatment failure for Hodgkin’s disease (-----) and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (----).

Table III Toxicity

| Toxicity                        | No. of patients | %   |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| All patients                    | 42              |     |
| Myelotoxicity (WHO grade 4)     |                 |     |
| WBC < 1,000 µl⁻¹                | 23              | 55  |
| Platelets < 25,000 µl⁻¹         | 3               | 7   |
| Neutropenic fever               | 10              | 24  |
| Grade 3                         | 3               |     |
| Grade 2                         | 7               |     |
| Other infections                |                 |     |
| Herpes zoster                   | 2               | 5   |
| Hickman line infection          | 1               | 2   |
| Nausea and vomiting             | 20              | 48  |
| Grade 3                         | 1               |     |
| Grade 2                         | 7               |     |
| Grade 1                         | 12              |     |
| Mucositis                       | 2               | 5   |
| Peripheral neuropathy           | 2               | 5   |
| Renal toxicity (reversible)     | 2               | 5   |
| Ifosfamide encephalopathy (reversible) | 1            | 2   |
following a septic episode. Ifosfamide was reduced by 50% in a patient with tremor. Two other patients had a 20% reduction of ifosfamide, one because of renal impairment and the other following an episode of neutropenic fever. In one patient a dose of cisplatin was omitted because of neutropenia.

In five patients treatment was discontinued after one course of chemotherapy. One had had high dose chemotherapy with ABMT and developed prolonged thrombocytopenia. The other four had progressive disease.

**Discussion**

Several therapeutic alternatives have been developed for patients with NHL who had failed first line doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide containing regimes. These include the use of drug combinations, theoretically non cross resistant with first line regimens, the reversal of multidrug resistance and the use of high dose chemotherapy with ABMT.

The role for intensive chemotherapy with ABMT in relapsed NHL is undecided. However Philip et al. have reported an actuarial 3 year disease-free survival after ABMT of 0% and 14% for NHL patient with refractory and resistant relapsed disease respectively (Philip et al., 1987). Moreover, long term disease free survival is around 20% in non selected groups of patient, (Takvorian et al., 1987; Appelbaum et al., 1987; Phillips et al., 1990) results not much better than those achieved with conventional salvage therapy alone. This indicates that in relapsed NHL, intensive chemotherapy with ABMT only has a place in patients who have sensitive disease and low tumour burden after salvage therapy (Philip et al., 1987; Takvorian et al., 1987). In patients achieving CR with salvage chemotherapy the advantage of intensive chemotherapy is not clear and the results of ongoing trials, such as the Parma study, are eagerly awaited (Philip et al., 1991). Patients achieving only a PR with a second line chemotherapy have a very poor prognosis, and should probably be offered intensive therapy with ABMT in an attempt to achieve long term remissions.

Another approach is to overcome drug resistance by infusional therapy with doxorubicin and vincristine or by the addition of a P-170 glycoprotein blocking agent to those combinations (Chabner & Wilson, 1991). Miller et al. have reported a response rate of 72% in 18 NHL and HD patients using a prolonged continuous infusion of verapamil plus doxorubicin and vincristine together with cyclophosphamide and dexamethasone (Miller et al., 1991).

The EPIC regimen is an attempt to develop a new chemotherapy combination for relapsed and resistant lymphoma non cross resistant with first line regimens. The results of several such combinations have been published (see Table IV). Of particular interest are the series of trials by the MD Anderson Hospital Group. When comparing these regimens in terms of response it is clearly crucial to be mindful of the difference in case selection (Press et al., 1991). Patients with primary resistant lymphomas and resistant relapse do particularly badly (Cabanillas et al., 1982; Cabanillas et al., 1987; Philip et al., 1987; Takvorian et al., 1987; Appelbaum et al., 1987; Phillips et al., 1990). Several other prognostic features for relapsed lymphoma have been reported. These include the duration of response to first line chemotherapy (Cabanillas et al., 1982) elevated serum LDH, (Cabanillas et al., 1987; Velasquez et al., 1988; Press et al., 1991) presence of bulky disease (Cabanillas et al., 1987), number of sites of disease (Cabanillas et al., 1987) and tumour burden (Velasquez et al., 1988). For HD the duration of initial remission, presence of extranodal disease, LDH level, haemoglobin and number of prior relapses influence the outcome in patients treated with first line chemotherapy (Hagemeister et al., 1987). In the IMVP-16 (ifosfamide, mephtoxate and VP-16) trial a response rate of 62% with an impressive CR of 37% was obtained in 52 patients (Cabanillas et al., 1982). However their groups of patients had better prognostic factors that the patients in this study. For example, the CR to prior chemotherapy was 40% vs 23% in our group and the duration of response to that therapy was greater than 6 months in 60% of their patients, but in only 14% of ours. The addition of methyl GAG (the MIMIE protocol) did not improve the response but increased the toxicity (Cabanillas et al., 1987). With the DHAP regimen, a combination of dexamethasone, high dose Ara-C and cisplatin, the MD Anderson group achieved an overall response rate of 57.7% with a CR rate of 31% (Velasquez et al., 1988). Unfortunately, toxicity was severe with a toxic death rate of 17%. This group of 90 patients also had slightly better prognostic features than our group; 48% had achieved a CR with previous chemotherapy. It is of interest that all CR but one were observed in patients with low tumour burden. The results obtained with the DHAP regimen have been confirmed by others (Philip et al., 1991; Press et al., 1991). Goss et al. with the DICE regimen (dexamethasone, ifosfamide, cisplatin, etoposide) which is similar to EPIC, achieved a CR in 27% of their patients, but with greater toxicity (Goss et al., 1991).

While seven of nine (78%) patients with HD had a PR, none achieved a CR. The analysis is confounded by the subsequent use of high dose chemotherapy and ABMT in three of the seven responding patients before a maximum response was achieved. As previously stated, a third of the patients had prior high dose chemotherapy and ABMT. In one of them EPIC had to be stopped after one course due to marrow failure, the other two attained a PR. Other investigators have obtained CR in 13%-44% of patients relapsing after MOPP and ABVD type combinations (Hagemeister et al., 1987; Santoro et al., 1986; Pfundshuh et al., 1987; Tseng et al., 1987). Of particular interest are the results of the Italian (Santoro et al., 1986) and German (Pfundshuh et al., 1987).

| Regimen | Ref. | CR with prior Tx. | % Response (CR) | Toxic deaths | Granulocytopenia < 500 μl⁻¹ | Granulocytopenia < 300 μl⁻¹ | Median survival (months) | Median TTF (CR) (months) |
|---------|------|------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| IMVP-16 | Cabanillas | 52 | 40 | 62 (37) | 4 | nm | nm | 15* | 12° |
| MD Anderson | 1982 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MIME-NHL | Cabanillas | 208 | 42 | 60 (24) | 6 | 59 | nm | 9 | 15° |
| MD Anderson | 1987 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| IMVP-16/MIME | Huigens | 18 | 33 | 50 (11) | 6 | nm | 95 | BMT | BMT |
| (Amsterdam) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| DHAP | Velasquez | 90 | 42 | 57.5 (31) | 17 | 48 | 53° | 6 | 15 |
| MD Anderson | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| VIP | Nichols | 28 | 29 | 36 (8) | 4 | 44 | nm | 7 | nm |
| DICA | Goss | 22 | 41 | 77 (27) | 9 | 41 | 41 | mm | nm |
| DICA | Wash’ton | 39 | nm | 67 (23) | 1 | 44 | 74 | BMT | BMT |
| EPIC | R.M.H. | 40 | 23 | 58 (28) | 0 | 24 | 55° | 9 | 18 |

*WBC < 1000. BMT = Bone marrow transplant.
The EPIC regimen was associated with manageable toxicity in our group of heavily pretreated patients. There were no toxic deaths. However, the low toxicity regimen for lymphomas associated with neotropism in our group (8%) compares favourably with the other regimens (Cabanillas et al., 1982; Cabanillas et al., 1987; Velasquez et al., 1988; Hagemeister, 1987; Phillips et al., 1990; Press et al., 1991; Goss et al., 1991; Huijgens et al., 1988; Nichols et al., 1988). Prophylactic cotrimoxazole may have contributed to this low infection rate and absence of mortality.

The poor outcome in the transformed group of lymphomas has been previously found by some (Armitage et al., 1981) but not by other authors (Acker et al., 1983).

Dose intensity is an accepted aim in the treatment of aggressive lymphomas and has been related to relapse free survival (De Vita et al., 1988). There is evidence suggesting a steep dose-response relationship for cisplatin (Drewinko et al., 1973; Ozols et al., 1984; Ozols et al., 1985; Levin & Hryniuk, 1987). However a study in advanced germ cell tumour patients showed that doubling the dose of cisplatin (from 20 mg m\(^{-2}\) for five consecutive days to 40 mg m\(^{-2}\) did not improve the outcome (Nichols et al., 1991). Cisplatin toxicity on the other hand increases with higher doses (Drewinko et al., 1973; Roelofs et al., 1984; Campbell et al., 1983; Kelsen et al., 1985; Reddel et al., 1982) and with increasing cumulative dose (Roelofs et al., 1984; Dominici et al., 1989). The method of drug administration is also important (Drewinko et al., 1973; Roelofs et al., 1984; Posner et al., 1986). Doses up to 200 mg m\(^{-2}\) per course, either as a daily bolus for 5 days or by continuous infusion have been given with an important but acceptable increase in toxicity (Ozols et al., 1985; Dominici et al., 1989; Ozols et al., 1988). We have used an intermediate dose of cisplatin dose (60 mg m\(^{-2}\) per course).


due to the availability of studies reporting a decrease in cisplatin dose intensity results in a greater response rate and survival in these trials are similar. It thus remains unproved that moderate increase in cisplatin dose intensity results in a greater response rate and survival in lymphoma.

Long term disease free survival in patients with resistant or relapsed aggressive lymphomas treated with conventional chemotherapy is extremely low. Efforts should probably be directed towards improving the results of first line treatments in patients with poor prognostic features. EPIC stands as a second line chemotherapy regimen with a good overall response rate and a low toxicity profile and could be a useful combination for cytoreduction prior to high dose chemotherapy. The search for a satisfactory regimen for resistant disease needs to be continued.

---

Dr Hickish is a CRC funded Senior Registrar and Dr Cunningham was a CRC funded Senior Lecturer.
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