Photoperiod sensing system for timing of flowering in plants
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CONSTANS (CO) induces the expression of FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) in the photoperiodic pathway, and thereby regulates the seasonal timing of flowering. CO expression is induced and CO protein is stabilized by FLAVIN-BINDING KELCH REPEAT F-BOX PROTEIN 1 (FKF1) in the late afternoon, while CO is degraded by CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1 (COP1) during the night. These regulatory cascades were thought to act independently. In our study, we investigated the relationship between FKF1 and COP1 in the regulation of CO stability in response to ambient light conditions. A genetic analysis revealed that FKF1 acts as a direct upstream negative regulator of COP1, in which cop1 mutation is epistatic to fbf1 mutation in the photoperiodic regulation of flowering. COP1 activity requires the formation of a hetero-tetramer with SUPPRESSOR OF PHYA-105 (SPA1), [(COP1)2(SPA1)2]. Light-activated FKF1 has an increased binding capacity for COP1, forming a FKF1-COP1 hetero-dimer, and inhibiting COP1 homo-dimerization at its coiled-coil (CC) domain. Mutations in the CC domain result in poor COP1 dimerization and misregulation of photoperiodic floral induction. We propose that FKF1 represses COP1 activity by inhibiting COP1 dimerization in the late afternoon under long-day conditions, resulting in early flowering. [BMB Reports: https://doi.org/10.5483/BMBRep.2018.51.4.052]

Perspective 2018; 51(4): 163-164] In higher plants, flowering is the most important event for the preservation of the species. The process is tightly controlled by various signals such as light, water, temperature, biotic and abiotic stresses, and plant hormones. These signals activate the internal signal known as ‘florigen’, a hormone-like mobile molecule responsible for the onset of flowering, encoded by FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), Heading date 3a (Hd3a) and Rice Flowering Locus T (RFT) in Rice (Oryza sativa), TaFT1 in Wheat (Triticum aestivum), and HvFT1 in Barley (Hordeum vulgare). The flowering-time mechanism regulated by FT is known to be regulated by the photoperiodic, vernalization, autonomous, and hormonal pathways in Arabidopsis.

In the photoperiodic pathway, CONSTANS (CO) plays a central role in activating FT expression for the induction of flowering in Arabidopsis. CO, a zinc-finger transcription factor, is regulated by the circadian clock and light conditions at the transcriptional and posttranslational levels, respectively. More specifically, CO transcription is controlled by the FLAVIN-BINDING KELCH REPEAT F-BOX PROTEIN 1 (FKF1)-GIGANTEA (GI)-CYCLING DOF FACTOR 1 (CDF1) regulatory module. Light-activated FKF1 interacts with GI and degrades CDF1, a key repressor of CO expression, to initiate CO transcription in a light-dependent manner. The CO protein is posttranslationally stabilized by FKF1 in the late afternoon, but degraded by CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1 (COP1) during the night. In our study, we suggest that FKF1 directly represses COP1 to promote CO protein stability. In long-day (LD) conditions, light-activated FKF1 interacts with COP1 and inhibits its homo-dimerization, which is required for COP1 to form a hetero-tetramer [(COP1)2(SPA1)2] complex that enables its function. In short-day (SD) conditions, FKF1 is mainly expressed in the dark, which results in inactive-FKF1 that cannot prevent COP1 homo-dimerization. Previous reports have shown that FKF1 and COP1 are independently involved in flowering via their regulation of CO; however, we found that FKF1 and COP1 act in the same regulatory module, and that FKF1 inhibits COP1 activity to enhance CO protein stability, accelerating floral induction. COP1 is a RING-type E3 ligase, which targets proteins
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Diagram 1. Possible model of the FKF1-COP1-CO regulatory module in the photoperiod-dependent induction of flowering. The blue-light receptor FKF1 is highly expressed at ZT14 - ZT16, at which time the plants are exposed to light under LD conditions (16 h light/day), but are in the dark under SD (8 h light/day) conditions. Another blue-light receptor, CRY2, is stabilized in light, but quickly destabilized in darkness. In LD conditions, the light-activated blue-light receptors FKF1 and CRY2 interact with the COP1-SPA1 complexes, forming FKF1-COP1 and CRY2-SPA1 complexes. This might inhibit the formation of the COP1-SPA1 complexes in the late afternoon, resulting in the inactivation of COP1 or the destabilization of the COP1-SPA1 complex. Inhibition of COP1-SPA1 complex formation increases CO protein stability in the late afternoon, enabling it to interact with FKF1 and induce FT transcription for floral induction. In SD conditions, both CO and FKF1 are expressed in the dark, and CRY2 is destabilized in the dark. Thus, the blue-light receptors FKF1 and CRY2 are present in their inactive forms in darkness, and cannot destabilize COP1 complex, resulting in the rapid breakdown of CO by ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis.

It remains unclear how FKF1 suppresses COP1 activity. Light-activated FKF1 interacts with the COP1 complex ([COP1]&SPA1)], and inhibits COP1 homo-dimerization, but does not affect the COP1-SPA1 interaction. This regulatory activity may require the function of another blue-light receptor class, the CRYPTOCHROME (CRYs) as CRY1 and CRY2 interact with COP1 to inhibit its activity. Additionally, we found that other FKF1-family proteins, such as ZEITLUPE (ZTL) and LOV KELCH PROTEIN2 (LKP2), which have well-conserved LOV-domains that function as blue-light photoreceptors, also interact with COP1. Taken together, it is possible that blue-light receptors simultaneously inhibit COP1 activity by interacting with the COP1 complexes. To confer CO protein stability, FKF1 and CRY2 inhibit COP1 activity by simultaneously forming FKF1-COP1 and CRY2-SPA1 heterodimers. CRY1 and other ZTL proteins also interact with COP1 to regulate its other functions, such as hypocotyl elongation. The possibility that the blue-light receptors simultaneously inhibit COP1 activity remains to be examined.

COP1 is also involved in the regulation of floral induction in response to ambient temperatures, via a mechanism that involves the control of GI protein stability. Under low ambient temperature (LAT, 16°C), the resulting delayed flowering is tightly controlled by the COP1 and GI proteins; as COP1 stability increases, and it facilitates degradation of GI protein. During the photoperiodic regulation of flowering, FKF1 forms a complex with GI; therefore, it is likely that FKF1 is also part of the regulatory machinery regulating flowering under LAT conditions, and further studies should investigate whether FKF1 senses not only light signals to control photoperiodic flowering, but also temperature fluctuations to regulate thermo-sensitive flowering.

A plant’s survival depends on its ability to recognize fluctuating environmental conditions, and to select the optimal timing of flowering for its reproductive success. Our study suggests that FKF1 inhibits COP1 activity by repressing the homo-dimerization of COP1 in a light-dependent manner. Elucidating this machinery provides insight into the photoperiodic regulation of flowering. We also suggest that the blue-light receptors are involved in this regulatory pathway. Furthermore, we hypothesize that FKF1 influences the timing of flowering under LAT conditions. In this study, we elucidated the FKF1-COP1-CO regulatory pathway of flowering; however, these regulatory components might also be involved in other developmental processes, such as germination, photomorphogenesis, senescence, and defense mechanism. Further studies should examine the possibilities (Diagram 1).
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