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Abstract
This paper describes the submission of the team Amrita_CEN to the shared task on iSarcasm Eval: Intended Sarcasm Detection in English and Arabic at SemEval 2022. The sarcasm detection task was formulated as a classification problem and modelled using machine learning classifiers. We used K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN), Support Vector Machine (SVM), Naïve Bayes, Logistic Regression, Decision Tree, and the Random Forest ensemble method. In addition, the class imbalance problem in the dataset was addressed using a feature engineering technique. We submitted the predictions by SVM, Logistic Regression and Random Forest ensemble based on the performance during training.

1 Introduction
Sarcasm is an ironic form showing a disparity between the actual and intended meaning of the text affecting the decision-making process. These are reflected in our day-to-day communication with each other happening in social media forums. Twitter exhibits rich sarcasm phenomena, thereby encouraging automatic sarcasm detection methods and removing such tweet data. Due to the sociocultural aspects of sarcastic communication, the majority of the sarcasm detection work has been focused only on the English language (Oprea and Magdy, 2020b), and only a limited amount of work was done in other languages such as Arabic (El Mahdaouy et al., 2021).

Identification of sarcastic comments from social media contexts is essential since the author and the receiver are at various places. Therefore, exchanging conversations may sometimes lead to a negative meaning of the text that even the author has not meant to convey. Moreover, the data stream for sarcasm does not exhibit any static structure like specific tags in the form of #sarcasm, and #irony (Ptáček et al., 2014) (Khodak et al., 2018). This event can lead to noisy labels due to several reasons, as outlined by (Oprea and Magdy, 2020b).

Other works reported on the topic mainly depend on manual labelling, provided with manually annotated sarcasm labels. In (Oprea and Magdy, 2020b) the authors pointed out that manual labelling represents the author annotation in contrast with the intention of the authors.

The sarcasm prediction on Twitter that influences Machine Intelligence is a challenging task (Khare et al., 2022). It can be achieved with the help of the Natural Language Processing (NLP) approach, and many recent works on automatic sarcasm detection have focused on Twitter data as it primarily requires an understanding of the human expressions, language, and emotions expressed via textual or non-textual content (Kumar et al., 2021). Therefore, the goal of the SemEval shared task is to facilitate the development of machine learning models that can detect sarcasm from tweets. The shared task consists of two subtasks:

- Subtask A: For a given text, determine whether it is sarcastic or non-sarcastic.
- Subtask B (English only): A binary multi-label classification task for a given a text, determine which ironic speech category it belongs.

In this paper, we describe the machine learning models designed for solving the problems given in iSarcasm shared tasks (Abu Farha et al., 2022). The performance of the models was evaluated using the F1-score. The models submitted achieved the following scores: 0.4966 in English, 0.6127 in Arabic and 0.0567 F1-score in subtasks A and B, respectively.

2 Literature Review
The majority of the published works developed for the text sarcasm detection used datasets that were annotated using a weak supervision method, where the texts were regarded as sarcastic only if they met...
preset criteria, including specific tags like sarcasm and irony (Oprea and Magdy, 2020a) (Ptáček et al., 2014) (Khodak et al., 2018). In (Oprea and Magdy, 2020b), S.V Opera and W Magdy reported that labelling using a weak supervision method could lead to noisy labels. Other works on this topic were based on manual labelling, where the human annotators are given the role of labelling the texts (Filatova, 2012) (Riloff et al., 2013) (Abercrombie and Hovy, 2016). The disadvantage of such a labelling procedure is that it represents the perception of the annotator, which may differ from the author’s intention (Oprea and Magdy, 2020b).

In addition to the above-mentioned method, a significant majority of works on sarcasm detection were centered exclusively on the English language (Oprea and Magdy, 2019) (Campbell and Katz, 2012) (Riloff et al., 2013) (Joshi et al., 2016) (Amir et al., 2016) (Rajadesingan et al., 2015) (Bamman and Smith, 2015). It is because of its sociocultural aspects on sarcastic communication (Oprea and Magdy, 2020b), leading to the uncertainty that, the models trained on English could generalize to other languages. All the reported works on sarcasm detection in other languages such as Arabic (Karoui et al., 2017) (Ghanem et al., 2019) (Abbes et al., 2020) (Farha and Magdy, 2020) were relied on the afore-mentioned labelling techniques.

3 Dataset and Task Description

The dataset comprises tweets in English and Arabic. There are two subtasks in English and one in Arabic. Tweets in the English dataset were categorized into two: Sarcastic and Non-sarcastic. It contains 3,467 instances of tweets and ten columns containing the attributes (id, tweet, sarcastic, rephrase, sarcasm, irony, satire, understatement, overstatement, rhetorical question). The objective of task-1 is to determine whether a given text is sarcastic or not. Task-2 is a multi-label classification that aims to classify a tweet into different ironic speech categories, such as Sarcasm, Irony, Satire, Understatement, Overstatement, and Rhetorical questions. The shared task-1 in Arabic focused on categorizing a tweet into sarcastic or non-sarcastic, similar to task-1 in English. The Arabic dataset contains 2,601 instances of tweets and five attributes (id, tweet, sarcastic, rephrase, dialect). Table 1 describes the datasets used for task-1 and task-2 in English and task-1 in Arabic.

4 System Overview

This section discusses the overview of the models submitted to the shared tasks. The flow of the model building is illustrated in Figure 1.

4.1 Data preprocessing

The shared task was provided with two kinds of input

(a) Task-1: text file contain tweets provided with its label, rephrased form and also the irony of the same for both English and Arabic language.

(b) Task-2: English text file considered for task 1 is used for irony identification in csv format.

The “Tweet” column from the datasets (Tasks 1 and 2) contains tweets, which must be preprocessed before extracting features for model creation. The preprocessing steps include tokenization, lemmatization, stop word removal and represented tweets.
as vectors using the Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF) algorithm (HB et al., 2016). The preprocessing of the tweets was carried out by using the functions available in the NLTK\footnote{https://www.nltk.org/} library, whereas the sklearn TfidfVectorizer() \footnote{https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.feature_extraction.text.TfidfVectorizer.html} helps to vectorize the tweets.

4.1.1 Tokenization
Tokenization is the first step that we executed in preprocessing. Here, the tweet from the user is split into tokens for the ease of feature extraction.

4.1.2 Lemmatization
Lemmatization refers to correctly identifying the base form of a word and converting it into the meaningful base form considering the context.

4.1.3 Stopword removal
Stop word removal is performed to remove the most commonly occurring words in the tweet, such as pronouns and articles. A similar operation was performed on Arabic data by collecting a publicly available stopword list.

4.2 Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF)
TF-IDF is a feature extraction method for vectorizing a sentence or tweet. The TF-IDF vector can be obtained for a sentence by computing Equation 1 for each word in that sentence.

$$TF-IDF(t, D) = TF(t, D) \times IDF(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where the Term Frequency

$$TF(t) = \frac{N(t)}{T}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

and Inverse Document Frequency

$$IDF(t) = \log \frac{n}{df(t)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where, $t$ is the word in a tweet, $N(t)$ is the number of times word $t$ occurs in a document, $T$ is the number of words in a document, $n$ is the total number of sentences/tweets in the dataset, and $df(t)$ is the number of documents in which the term $t$ appears.

4.3 SMOTE
SMOTE (Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique) (Chawla et al., 2002) is an oversampling method for solving the class imbalance problem in the dataset. It resolves the problem by increasing the number of data points in the minority class with synthetically generated random data points. It is achieved by randomly selecting one or more k nearest neighbours of each minority class. The process can be initiated using the following steps:

1. Given the minority class $S$, for each $y \in S$, the nearest k-neighbours of $y$ are obtained using Euclidean distance of $y$ and every other elements in $S$.

2. Sampling rate $T$ is given according to the proportion of imbalance. For each $y \in S$, $T$ elements are selected randomly from nearest k-neighbours. And the set $S_1$ is made.

3. For every $y_k \in S_1$, $k = 1, 2, 3..., T$, the formula for generating new example ($y'$) is,

$$y' = y + rand(0, 1) \ast |y - y_k|$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The SMOTE algorithm was implemented using the SMOTE function available in the imblearn Python package\footnote{https://imbalanced-learn.org/stable/references/generated/imblearn.over_sampling.SMOTE.html}.

4.4 Model development
We utilized K-Nearest neighbour (KNN) (Guo et al., 2003), Support Vector Machine (SVM) (Soman et al., 2009), Naive Bayes (Huang and Li, 2008),
2011), Decision Tree (Priyam et al., 2013) and Random Forest (Premjith et al., 2019) ensemble method for developing the models for various sub-tasks in iSarcasm. The procedures for model development for different tasks are given in the following subsections.

4.4.1 Sub task1: Sarcasm Identification

We built a binary classifier to determine whether the given tweet is sarcastic or not. Therefore, for the same purpose, we applied machine learning classifiers to the processed train data. For English tweet data, encouraging results were obtained by Decision tree and logistic regression. The decision tree is a particular type of probability tree that makes the decision about the process (Rahaman et al., 2021), and Logistic Regression is used for predicting the categorical dependent variable using a given set of independent variables (Sarsam et al., 2020). SVM and Random forest classifiers obtained the best performance for Arabic data. The Random Forest classifier reduces the bias due to overfitting and class imbalance between tweets. Bouazizi and Ohtsuki (Bouazizi and Ohtsuki, 2016) used logistic regression to label the data as sarcastic or non-sarcastic.

4.4.2 Sub task2: ironic speech category Identification

A multi-label classifier was developed for this task to determine the ironic speech category of the tweets. We applied a multi labelled classifier strategy with fitting one classifier per target, allowing multiple target variable classifications. The primary purpose behind this class is to extend estimators enabling estimation of a series of target functions mentioned in the dataset, which are trained using a single predictor matrix to predict a series of responses. We implemented a classification model using Logistic Regression, and a decision tree for the same as mentioned above (Sarsam et al., 2020) (Rahaman et al., 2021).

4.5 Evaluation Metrics

The trained models were evaluated using macro F1-score, Precision, Recall and Accuracy. Accuracy is given by the ratio of the total number of correct predictions to the measure of total predictions done by the model, regardless of correct or incorrect predictions. Precision defines the actual positive among the predicted positive. The recall is a measure of the correctly classified total number of positives. Moreover, F1-score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall. Macro-average is defined as the average of precision, recall, and F1-score in different classes.

5 Experimental Setup

We implemented the models using Python version 3. The training data is split into train and validation sets for confirming the best performing model. In the Arabic sarcasm identification model using the SVM classifier (subtask 1), we used a range of gamma values (0.1, 1, 10, 100) and c regularization parameter value (0.1, 1, 10, 100) and changed the kernel type to RBF, linear and polynomial to see how the accuracy and F1-score vary. In random forest classifier different, n_estimators value (10, 100, 1000) and the maximum features are given to sqrt, log2 to see the changes (Premjith and Kp, 2020). The English tweet irony detection model (subtask 2) is a multi-class classification problem and implemented using a multioutput classifier set to multilabel.

The model performance was analyzed using macro F1-score obtained using the sklearn metrics along with the accuracy, precision and recall (Pedregosa et al., 2011) of the trained model.

6 Result

All the subtasks were evaluated on the macro-average F1-scores of each information unit. We fixed the best performing models by using cross-validation. The Random Forest classifier and SVM obtained the best F1-scores for English task 1 and Arabic, respectively. In subtask 2, Logistic Regression gave the higher F1-score. We were officially ranked 23rd in task1 English with an F1-score of 0.4966 and accuracy of 56.71% using the Random Forest classifier and ranked 20th in Arabic with 0.6127 of F1-score and 79.21% accuracy using SVM binary classifier. In subtask 2, we were ranked 14th with a macro F1-score of 0.0567 using the Logistic Regression model. The obtained result from our model among all participating teams are shown in table 2, 3 below.

7 Conclusion

This paper presents the submission of Amrita_CEN towards the SemEval 2022 Task 6 competition named " iSarcasmEval - Intended Sarcasm Detection in English and Arabic ". A total of six machine learning algorithms were used, including five
classical ML models and one ensemble technique. The class imbalance problems were dealt with by oversampling technique called SMOTE, and for evaluation, macro F1-score were considered for both the subtasks. The model trained using Random forest, SVM and logistic regression performed well among the subtasks given, and the results were submitted using the same.
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