The Euclidean Space is Evil: Hyperbolic Attribute Editing for Few-shot Image Generation
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Abstract

Few-shot image generation is a challenging task since it aims to generate diverse new images for an unseen category with only a few images. Existing methods suffer from the trade-off between the quality and diversity of generated images. To tackle this problem, we propose Hyperbolic Attribute Editing (HAE), a simple yet effective method. Unlike other methods that work in Euclidean space, HAE captures the hierarchy among images using data from seen categories in hyperbolic space. Given a well-trained HAE, images of unseen categories can be generated by moving the latent code of a given image toward any meaningful directions in the Poincaré disk with a fixing radius. Most importantly, the hyperbolic space allows us to control the semantic diversity of the generated images by setting different radii in the disk. Extensive experiments and visualizations demonstrate that HAE is capable of not only generating images with promising quality and diversity using limited data but achieving a highly controllable and interpretable editing process. Code is available at https://github.com/lingxiao-li/HAE.

1. Introduction

Due to the persistent development of deep learning, the task of image generation has received significant research attention in recent years. Specifically, the Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [21] and its variants (e.g., StyleGANv2 [34]) have succeeded in generating high-fidelity and realistic images, requiring a large number of high-quality data for model training. However, considering the long-tail distribution and data imbalance widely exists among different image categories [30], it is difficult for GANs to be trained on categories with sufficient training images to generate new realistic and diverse images for a category with only a few images. This task is referred to as few-shot image generation [10, 26, 30, 28, 29, 27, 15]. A variety of tasks can benefit from improvements in few-shot image generation, for instance, low-data detection [17] and few-shot classification [54, 57].

In general, existing GAN-based few-shot image generation mechanisms can be classified into three categories. Transfer-based methods [10, 39] introduce meta-learning or domain adaptation on GANs to generate new images by enforcing knowledge transfer among categories. Fusion-based methods [2, 23, 30, 28] perform feature fusion of multiple input images in a feature space and generate images via decoding the fused features back to image space. However, the output is still highly similar to the source images. Transformation-based methods [1, 29, 27, 15] find intra-category transformations or inject random perturbations to conditional unseen category samples to generate images without tedious fine-tuning. By representing the images in the Euclidean feature space, the above learning mechanisms tend to be over-complicated, and the generated
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images are often collapsed due to limited diversity.

Similar to the ubiquity of hierarchies in language [44, 56, 13], the semantic hierarchy is also common in images [35, 11]. As Fig. 1 shows, the semantic hierarchies constructed in the language domain can be instantiated with visual images. From the visual perspective, an image can be regarded as a collection of attributes of multiple levels. High-level attributes, a.k.a. category-relevant attributes, define the category of an image, such as the shape and color of an animal [15]. For instance, in the middle row of Fig. 1, changing the high-level attributes of the given image of a Shih-Tzu dog, the category can be changed to a Rhodesian Ridgeback Dog. While the low-level or fine-grained attributes, including expressions, postures, etc., that vary within the category as shown at the bottom of Fig. 1, are called category-irrelevant attributes. Therefore, an image can also be viewed as a descendant of another image with the same category-relevant attributes by adding fine-grained category-irrelevant attributes to its parent image. To edit the visual attributes for high-quality image generation, it is crucial to capture the attribute hierarchy within the large image data corpus and find a good representation space. Ideally, we aim to construct a hierarchical visual representation in a latent space that allows us to change the category of an image by moving the latent code in a category-relevant direction, and perform few-shot image generation by moving the code in a category-irrelevant direction.

Unfortunately, the Euclidean space and its corresponding distance metrics used by existing GAN-based methods can not facilitate the hierarchical attribute representation, thus the design of complicated attribute disentangling and editing mechanisms seems to be crucial for the generation quality. Inspired by the application of hyperbolic space in images [35] and videos [55], we found that the metrics introduced in hyperbolic geometry can naturally and compactly encode hierarchical structures. Unlike the general affine spaces, e.g., the Euclidean space, hyperbolic spaces can be viewed as the continuous analog of a tree since tree-like graphs can be embedded in finite-dimension with minimal distortion [44]. This property of hyperbolic space provides continuous and up to infinite semantic levels for attribute editing, allowing us to robustly generate diverse images with only a few images from unseen categories with simple operations.

Based on the above findings, we propose a simple but effective Hyperbolic Attribute Editing (HAE) method for few-shot image generation. Our method is based on the observation that hierarchical latent code manipulation can be easily implemented in Hyperbolic space. The core of HAE is mapping the latent vectors from the Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^n \) to a hyperbolic space \( \mathbb{D}^n \). We minimize a supervised classification loss function to ensure the images are hierarchically embedded in hyperbolic space. Once we capture the attribute hierarchy among images, we can generate new images of unseen categories by moving the latent code from one leaf to another with the same parents by fixing the radius. Most importantly, the hyperbolic space allows us to control the semantic diversity of generated images by setting different radii in the Poincaré disk. Those operations can well facilitate continually hierarchical attribute editing in hyperbolic space for flexible few-shot image generation with both quality and diversity.

Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We propose a simple yet effective method for few-shot image generation, i.e., hyperbolic attribute editing. In order to capture the hierarchy among images, we use hyperbolic space as the latent space. To the best of our knowledge, HAE is the first attempt to use hyperbolic latent spaces for few-shot image generation.
- We show that in our designed hyperbolic latent space, the semantic hierarchical attribute relations among images can be reflected by their distances to the center of the Poincaré disk.
- Extensive experiments and visualization suggest that HAE achieves stable few-shot image generation with state-of-the-art quality and diversity. Unlike other few-shot image generation methods, HAE allows us to generate images with better control of diversity by changing the semantic levels of attributes we want to edit.

2. Related Work

Few-shot image generation. Recently, diverse methods have been proposed for few-shot image generation. The transfer-based methods [10, 39] which introduce meta-learning or domain adaptation on GANs can hardly generate realistic images. While fusion-based methods that fuse the features by matching the random vector with the conditional images [28] or formulating the problem as a conditional generating task [23, 30] suffer from the limited diversity of generated images. Furthermore, transformation-based methods [1, 29, 27, 15] can generate images with only one conditional image by focusing on either capturing the cross-category or intra-category transformations by injecting random perturbations [1]. Nevertheless, the transformation captured by those methods is not very consistent. Ding et al. [15, 14] propose the “editing-based” perspective, the intra-category transformation can be modeled as category-irrelevant image editing based on one sample instead of pairs of samples. Most recently, Zhu et al. [60] finetune powerful diffusion models (DMs) [25] pre-trained on large source domains on limited target data to generate diverse and high quality images. DMs outperform GANs [21] on sample quality with a more controllable training process.
Figure 2: The overview of HAE. The Hyper layer is a hyperbolic feedforward layer called Möbius linear layer which is used to project the latent code from Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ to hyperbolic space $\mathbb{D}^n$ [7]. $\bar{z}_{Di}$ can be viewed as the “parent” or average code of $z_{Di}$ and $z'_{Di}$. One can generate diverse images without changing the category by moving the latent code from one child to another of the same parent in the hyperbolic space.

at the cost less flexibility and editability, since they denoise images in the image space rather than operate in the latent space. Furthermore, the inference process of DMs is much slower than GANs [52].

Hyperbolic Embedding. The use of hyperbolic space in deep learning [44, 45, 56, 55, 35] is a pioneering work in recent years. It was first used in natural language processing for hierarchical language representation [44, 45, 56]. The Riemannian optimization algorithms are used to optimize models in hyperbolic space [5, 3]. As hyperbolic space is successfully applied to represent hierarchical data, Ganea et al. [18] derives hyperbolic versions of tools in neural networks including multinomial logistic regression, feed-forward, and recurrent neural networks. Following this, hyperbolic geometry is used in image [35], video [55], and graph data [7, 47]. Most recently, Lazcano et al. [36] shows that hyperbolic space outperforms traditional Euclidean space in image generation using HGAN. However, the hierarchy and controllability of hyperbolic space remain uninvestigated in HGAN, as the generator is still governed by Gaussian samples in Euclidean space.

Latent Code Manipulation. It has been shown that the latent spaces of GANs are able to encode rich semantic information [20, 32, 50]. One of the popular approaches is finding linear directions corresponding to changes in a given binary labeled attributes, which might be difficult to obtain for new datasets and could require manual labeling effort [50, 20, 12]. Others [8, 58, 41, 31, 9] try to find semantic directions in an unsupervised manner. For instance, PCA is applied in the latent space to create interpretable controls for synthesizing images [31, 9]. Most recent works [53, 51] directly compute in the close form to find the meaningful semantic direction without training and optimization. In comparison, our work HAE focuses on attributes in different semantic levels in the latent space rather than trying hard to find disentangled interpretable directions as previous works.

3. Method

The overall framework of HAE is shown in Fig. 2, we first give a detailed explanation of getting the hierarchical representations in the hyperbolic space, and then we introduce the framework of HAE and explain the loss functions.

3.1. Hierarchical Representation

The major issue of our study is how to obtain the hierarchical representation from real images to facilitate editing in different semantic levels, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Therefore, hyperbolic space is introduced as the latent space to achieve this goal.

Unlike Euclidean spaces with their zero curvature and spherical spaces with their positive curvature, hyperbolic spaces with negative curvature have been shown that it is more appropriate for learning hierarchical representation [44, 45]. Informally, hyperbolic space can be viewed as a continuous analogy of trees [44]. One important feature of hyperbolic space is that the length grows exponentially with its radius while linearly in Euclidean space. This property allows hyperbolic space to be naturally compatible with hierarchical data [22] including text, images, videos, etc.

The $n$-dimensional hyperbolic space can be formally defined as a homogeneous, simply connected $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold, denoted as $\mathbb{H}^n$ with constant negative sectional curvature\(^1\). We choose to work in the Poincaré disk from five isometric models of hyperbolic

\(^1\)The curvature of the hyperbolic space $c$ is set as $-1$ in this work.
space defined in [6] since it is commonly used in gradient-based learning [44, 18, 45, 56, 55, 35]. The Poincaré disk model \((\mathbb{D}^n, g^D)\) is defined by the manifold \(\mathbb{D}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| < 1\}\) equipped with the following Riemannian metric:

\[
g^D_x = \lambda^2_g^n, \tag{1}\]

where \(\lambda = \frac{-2}{1 - \|x\|^2}\), and \(g^E\) is the Euclidean metric tensor \(g^E = I^n\). The induced distance between two points \(x, y \in \mathbb{D}^n\) can be defined by:

\[
d^D(x, y) = \arccosh \left( 1 + \frac{\|x - y\|^2}{(1 - \|x\|^2)(1 - \|y\|^2)} \right). \tag{2}\]

Figure 3: Illustration of the property of hyperbolic space on the Poincaré disk. Given two latent codes of Spaniel \(z^{D}_{\bar{c}}\) and \(z^{D}_c\) (red dots) on the edge of Poincaré disk, the geodesic between these two points is the blue curve rather than a straight line in Euclidean space. Therefore, their average latent code is calculated as \(z^{D}_{\bar{c}/c}\) (pink dot) which is closer to the center \(O\) (still a Spaniel, but less fine-grained). While the latent code of a tiger \(z^{D}_{\bar{c}}\) (blue dot) locates far from the latent code of a Spaniel. Thus, the hyperbolic average code of tiger and Spaniel \(z^{D}_{\bar{c}/c}\) (purple dot) is closer to the center \(O\) than \(z^{D}_{\bar{c}}\) which is more abstract (a feline contains features from both tiger and Spaniel).

Recall that a geodesic is a locally minimized-length curve between two points. In the hyperboloid model, the geodesic can be defined as the curve created by intersecting the plane defined by two points and the origin with the hyperboloid [38]. Thus, the mean of two latent codes in hyperbolic space can be defined as the curve created by intersecting the plane defined by two points and the origin with the hyperboloid [38]. Thus, the mean of two latent codes in hyperbolic space, i.e., the mean between two leaf embeddings is not another leaf embedding, but the hierarchical parent of them [55]. This feature allows us to generate new images by moving the latent code from one leaf to another with the same parents. We can also change the semantic levels of attributes by determining how abstract their parent is.

This unique property is visualized in Fig. 3 on a 2-D Poincaré disk. The image embedding near the edge of the ball (with a large radius) represents a more fine-grained image while the embedding near the center (which has a smaller radius) represents an image with abstract features (an average face).

Although the hyperbolic space shares similar features with trees, it is continuous. In other words, there is no fixed number of hierarchy levels. Instead, there is a continuum from very fine-grained (near the edge of Poincaré disk) to very abstract (near the origin).

### 3.2. Network Architecture

Although we aim to embed and edit real images in hyperbolic space, the whole network does not need to be implemented in a hyperbolic manner. Instead, we can take advantage of the number of existing GAN inversion models and optimization algorithms that have been fine-tuned for Euclidean space.

To achieve image editing, we need to embed the image back into the latent space. In particular, we select pSp [49] as the backbone of HAE to encode images to the \(W^+\)-space of StyleGAN2 [34]:

\[
w_i = \text{pSp}(x_i), \tag{3}\]

where \(w_i \in \mathbb{R}^{18 \times 512}\) is the corresponding latent vector of \(x_i\) in the \(W^+\)-space.

To manipulate latent code in hyperbolic space, we need to define a bijective map from \(\mathbb{R}^n\) to \(\mathbb{D}^n\) to map Euclidean vectors to the hyperbolic space and vice versa. A manifold is a differentiable topological space that locally resembles the Euclidean space \(\mathbb{R}^n\) [37, 38]. For \(x \in \mathbb{D}^n\), one can define the tangent space \(T_x\mathbb{D}^n\) of \(\mathbb{D}^n\) at \(x\) as the first order linear approximation of \(\mathbb{D}^n\) around \(x\). Therefore, this bijective map can be performed by exponential and logarithmic maps. Specifically, the exponential map \(\exp^c_x : T_x\mathbb{D}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{D}^n\), maps from the tangent spaces into the manifold. While the logarithmic map \(\log^c_x : \mathbb{D}^n \to T_x\mathbb{D}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^n\) is the reverse map of the exponential map.

We use exponential and logarithmic maps at origin \(0\) for the transformation between the Euclidean and hyperbolic representations. After getting \(w_i\) in the \(W^+\)-space, we first use a Multi-layer Perceptron (MLP) encoder to reduce the dimension of latent vectors in Euclidean space. Then we apply an exponential map to project the Euclidean latent code \(z_{Di}\) to hyperbolic space. After that, we use the hyperbolic feed-forward layer as [18] to obtain the final hierarchical representation \(z_{D1}\) as shown in Fig. 2:

\[
z_{Di} = f^{D_i}(\exp^c_0(\text{MLP}_E(w_i))), \tag{4}\]

where \(f^{D_i}\) is the feed-forward layer designed for \(z_{Di}\) in hyperbolic space.
where \( f^{\otimes_c} \) is the Möbius translation of feed-forward layer \( f \) as the map from \( \mathbb{D}^n_c \) to \( \mathbb{D}^m_c \), denoted as Möbius linear layer.

Finally, the hyperbolic representation \( z_D \) needs to be projected back to the \( \mathbb{W}^+ \)-space of StyleGAN2. In practice, this is achieved by applying a logarithmic map followed by an MLP decoder:

\[
\mathbf{w}_i' = \text{MLP}_D(\log_\mathbb{D}(z_D)),
\]

and \( \mathbf{w}_i' \) will be fed into a pre-trained StyleGAN2’s generator \( G \) to reconstruct the image \( x_i' \).

### 3.3. Loss Function

The loss function of HAE consists of two parts: the Hyperbolic loss ensures to get the hierarchical representation in the hyperbolic space and the reconstruction loss guarantees the quality of reconstruction images.

**Hyperbolic Loss.** To learn the semantic hierarchical representation of real images in hyperbolic space, we minimize the distance between latent codes of images with similar categories and attributes while pushing away the latent codes from different categories. We choose the supervised approach to achieve this. In order to perform multi-class classification on the Poincaré disk defined in Sec. 3.1, one needs to generalize multinomial logistic regression (MLR) to the Poincaré disk defined in [18]. An extra linear layer needs to be trained for the classification and the softmax probability can be computed as: Given \( K \) classes and \( k \in \{1, \ldots, K\} \), \( p_k \in \mathbb{D}_c^n \), \( a_k \in T_{p_k} \mathbb{D}_c^n \backslash \{0\} \):

\[
p(y = k \mid x) \propto \exp \left( \frac{\lambda_{p_k} \|a_k\|}{\sqrt{c}} \sinh^{-1} \left( \frac{2 \sqrt{c} \left( -p_k \otimes_c x, a_k \right)}{1 - c \|p_k \otimes_c x\|^2 \|a_k\|} \right) \right),
\]

\[
\forall x \in \mathbb{D}_c^n.
\]

where \( \otimes_c \) denotes the Möbius addition defined in [35] with fixed sectional curvature of the space, denoted by \( c \).

After getting the softmax result for each class, one can use negative log-likelihood loss (NLL Loss) to calculate the hyperbolic loss:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{hyper}} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log(p_{n}),
\]

where \( N \) is the batch size and \( p_{n} \) is the probability predicted by the model for the correct class.

As mentioned in Sec. 3.1, the distance between points grows exponentially with their radius in the Poincaré disk. In order to minimize Eq. (7), the latent codes of fine-grained images will be pushed to the edge of the ball to maximize the distances between different categories while the embedding of abstract images (images have common features from many categories) will be located near the center of the ball. Since hyperbolic space is continuous and differentiable, we are able to optimize Eq. (7) with stochastic gradient descent, which learns the hierarchy of the images.

**Reconstruction Loss.** In order to guarantee the quality of the generated images, we first use the \( L_2 \) loss and LPIPS loss used in pSp [49], given image \( x_i \):

\[
\mathcal{L}_2(x_i) = \| x_i - \text{HAE}(x_i) \|_2.
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{LPIPS}}(x_i) = \| F(x_i) - F(\text{HAE}(x_i)) \|_2,
\]

where \( F(\cdot) \) denotes the perceptual feature extractor.

Since the pSp encoder and StyleGAN2 generator are pre-trained, we only train the neural layers between the encoder and generator of HAE. To further guarantee the network to better project back to the \( \mathbb{W}^+ \)-space, the reconstructed \( \mathbf{w}_i' \) should be the same as the original \( \mathbf{w}_i \):

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{rec}}(w_i) = \| w_1 - w_i' \|_2,
\]

where \( w_i' \) can be calculated by Eq. (4) and Eq. (5).

The **overall loss function** is:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_2(x_i) + \lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_{\text{LPIPS}} + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{\text{rec}} + \lambda_3 \mathcal{L}_{\text{hyper}},
\]

where \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_3 \) are trade-off adaptive parameters. This curated set of loss functions ensures the model learns the hierarchical representation and reconstructs images.
3.4. Image Generation

To study the generating quality of the model, a straightforward way is to generate new images via interpolation between two designated images, or random perturbation.

In hyperbolic space, the shortest path with the induced distance between two points is given by the geodesic defined in Eq. (2). The geodesic equation between two embeddings $z_{D_i}$ and $z_{D_j}$, denoted by $\gamma_{z_{D_i} \to z_{D_j}}(t)$, is given by

$$
\gamma_{z_{D_i} \to z_{D_j}}(t) = z_{D_i} \oplus_c t \oplus_c ((-z_{D_i}) \oplus_c z_{D_j}), \quad t \in [0, 1],
$$

where $\oplus_c$ denotes the Möbius addition with aforementioned sectional curvature $c$, with details in supplementary material.

We adopt the following method to achieve generating via perturbation: For a given image $x_i$, we first rescale its embedding $z_{D_i}$ to the desired radius $r_D$. Then we sample a random vector from seen categories in $z_{D_j}$ with radius $r_D$ fixed and take the geodesic as the direction of perturbation to generate images.

4. Experiment

4.1. Implementation Details

In the training stage, we first train a StyleGAN2 [33] and pSp [49] with seen categories. Given a trained pSp, the MLP encoder $MLP_E$ is an 8-layer MLP with a Leaky-ReLU activation function. The dimension of the latent code in hyperbolic space is chosen to be 512. More details can be found in the supplementary.

4.2. Datasets

We evaluate our method on Animal Faces [40], Flowers [46], and VGGFaces [48] following the settings described in [15].

Animal Faces. We randomly select 119 categories as seen for training and leave 30 as unseen categories for testing. Flowers. The Flowers [46] dataset is split into 85 seen categories for training and 17 unseen categories for testing. VGGFaces. For VGGFaces [48], we randomly select 1802 categories for training and 572 for testing.

4.3. Analysis of Hierarchical Feature Editing

We analyze the properties of the learned hierarchical representations and how the levels of attributes relate to their locations of latent codes in hyperbolic space.

As we mentioned in Sec. 3.1, there is a continuum from fine-grained attributes to abstract attributes, corresponding to the points from the peripheral to the center of the ball. We define the hyperbolic radius $r_D^2$ as the hyperbolic dis-
Table 1: FID(↓) and LPIPS(↑) of images generated by different methods for unseen categories on three datasets. **Bold** indicates the best results and *underline* indicates the second best results. VGGFaces is marked with * because different methods report different numbers of unseen categories on this dataset (e.g. 552 in LoFGAN, 96 in DeltaGAN, 497 in L2GAN, and 572 in AGE and SAGE). Note that: Disco-FUNIT [29] does not provide pre-trained models on VGG Faces [48] dataset.

| Method          | Settings | Flowers |            | Animal Faces |            | VGG Faces* |
|-----------------|----------|---------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|
|                 |          | FID(↓)  | LPIPS(↑)   | FID(↓)       | LPIPS(↑)   | FID(↓)     | LPIPS(↑)   |
| DAWSON [39]     | 3-shot   | 188.96  | 0.0583     | 208.68       | 0.0642     | 137.82     | 0.0769     |
| MatchingGAN [28]| 3-shot   | 143.35  | 0.1627     | 148.52       | 0.1514     | 118.62     | 0.1695     |
| F2GAN [30]      | 3-shot   | 120.48  | 0.2172     | 117.74       | 0.1831     | 109.16     | 0.2125     |
| LoFGAN [23]     | 3-shot   | 79.33   | 0.3862     | 112.81       | 0.4964     | **20.31**  | 0.2869     |
| DeltaGAN [27]   | 1-shot   | 109.78  | 0.3912     | 89.81        | 0.4418     | 80.12      | 0.3146     |
| Disco-FUNIT [29]| 1-shot   | 90.12   | 0.4436     | 71.44        | 0.4511     | -          | -          |
| AGE [15]        | 1-shot   | 45.96   | 0.4305     | 28.04        | 0.5575     | 34.86      | 0.3294     |
| SAGE [14]       | 1-shot   | **43.52** | 0.4392   | 27.43        | 0.5448     | 34.97      | 0.3232     |
| HAE (Ours)      | 1-shot   | 50.10   | **0.4739** | **26.33**    | **0.5636** | 35.93      | **0.5919** |

Figure 8: Comparison between images generated by MatchingGAN, LoFGAN, AGE, and HAE on Flowers, Animal Faces, and VGGFaces. Zoom in to see the details. Note that: SAGE [14] has not released code and pre-trained models.

HAE (Ours) 1-shot

Figure 4.4. Few-shot Image Generation

As Fig. 5 shows, the image categories will be changed when \( r_D \) is smaller than about 4, and the category-irrelevant attributes of images will be changed when \( r_D \) is larger than about 5. The embeddings of Animal Faces are visualized in 2-D Poincaré disk using UMAP [43] shown in Fig. 9. As Fig. 6 shows, the posture and the angle of the images will be changed at the early stage of interpolation without changing the category. Thus, the images can be generated by moving the latent code of a given image to some randomly selected semantic direction within the cluster of the category. In practice, we select \( r_D = 6.21 \) and step size of perturbation as 8 to achieve few-shot image generation as
Figure 9: UMAP visualization of hyperbolic 2-D embeddings of Animal Faces dataset. We observe that similar categories are clustered and positioned near the boundary, while ambiguous samples are located near the center. Zoom in to see the details.

Fig. 4 shows the diverse images generated by adding random perturbations from seen categories. We conduct three experiments to show that HAE can achieve promising few-shot image generation. More examples of generated images are available in the supplementary.

Quantitative Comparison with State-of-the-art. We calculate the FID [24] and LPIPS [59] to evaluate the fidelity and diversity of the generated images following one-shot settings in [15, 14]. The comparison results are shown in Tab. 1. Our method achieves the best scores on most of the FID and LPIPS metrics compared with state-of-the-art few-shot image generation methods, which indicates that our method not only improves the model from the semantic aspect but also achieves state-of-the-art performance on the traditional evaluation metrics. Specifically, the LPIPS score of HAE beats SOTA model SAGE on all three datasets since HAE can generate more diverse images.

Qualitative Evaluation. We qualitatively compare our method with MatchingGAN [28], LoFGAN [23], DeltaGAN [27] and AGE [15]. As shown in Fig. 8, HAE can generate images with diversity and fine-grained details. More importantly, the newly generated images have more semantic diversity than others. For instance, the shadow and skin color of the generated faces change with the light condition, and this effect looks more natural. We further conduct a user study by randomly selecting 60 (20 from each dataset) images with generated variants using AGE and HAE. 50 users from different backgrounds are asked to rate the results only based on diversity and quality external information. This is achieved by randomly shuffling the order of images pairwisely and inside any pair. HAE won by a ratio of 58.1% (1743/3000) over AGE (more details in supplementary).

Transferability. If we move latent codes at category-irrelevant levels, the target perturbation is transferable across all categories. We edit the images from three categories with the same editing direction, the output images are shown in Fig. 10. It demonstrates that HAE achieves a highly controllable and interpretable editing process.

Table 2: FID(↓) and LPIPS(↑) of images generated by HAE in different geometries for unseen categories on three datasets. **Bold** indicates the best results and underline indicates the second best results.

| Method     | Flowers |   | Animal Faces |   | VGG Faces |
|------------|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|            | FID     | LPIPS                         | FID     | LPIPS                         | FID | LPIPS     |
| SAGE [14]  | 43.52   | 0.4392                        | 27.43   | 0.5448                        | 34.97 | 0.3232     |
| HAE(Euc)   | 54.62   | 0.4293                        | 25.27   | 0.5129                        | 38.46 | 0.5908     |
| HAE(Hyp)   | 50.10   | 0.4739                        | 26.33   | 0.5636                        | 35.93 | 0.5919     |

4.5. Ablation Study

HAE in Euclidean. We re-trained HAE models in Euclidean space with the NLL loss to validate the performance gain in Tab. 1 is due to the hierarchical hyperbolic representation rather than the disentanglement caused by Eq. (7). The quantitative comparison is shown in Tab. 2. It shows that the hyperbolic space boosts the performance, especially for the LPIPS score, since the latent code is more disentangled in hyperbolic space [19]. This finding is also supported by the UMAP visualization in Fig. 9. More details can be found in the supplementary material.

Hyperbolic Radius versus Truncation. StyleGAN [33] uses truncation trick [42, 4, 33, 34] in W-space to achieve the balance between the image quality and diversity. The experiments in [33, 34] also show that the truncation level in \( W^+ \)-space control the level of abstraction of the generated images. We conduct the experiments in Sec. 3.4 using truncation to validate the gains of hyperbolic space. The results are illustrated in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12. As Fig. 11 shows, the category of the image changes along with the posture of the dog as the truncation gets smaller, while the category-relevant attributes do not change when the hyperbolic radius...


**5. Conclusion**

In this work, we propose a simple yet effective method HAE to edit hierarchical attributes in hyperbolic space. After learning the semantic hierarchy from images, our model is able to edit continuous semantic hierarchical features of images for flexible few-shot image generation in the hyperbolic space. Experiments demonstrate that HAE is capable of achieving not only stable few-shot image generation with state-of-the-art quality and diversity but a controllable and interpretable editing process. Future work includes the combination of HAE and large pretrained models and applications to more downstream tasks.
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