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Introduction

A stop sign is in a grassy rural area. A pizza with cheese and pepperoni is on a wooden tray. A green glass vase holding several stems of green flowers. A purple glass vase holding several stems of purple flowers.

Fig. 1. Given a segmentation mask and a text provided by a user that describes desired objects and visual attributes, the goal of this model is to generate realistic images semantically matching the given descriptions with the global structure defined by the masks.

Method

Table 1. Quantitative comparison: FID, IS, and R-prcn of ours and baselines on the COCO dataset. For FID, lower is better, for IS and R-prcn, higher is better.

| Method       | FID    | IS (±)          | R-prcn (%) |
|--------------|--------|-----------------|------------|
| SPADE        | 42.74  | 11.69 ± 0.26    | -          |
| AttnGAN-Seg  | 32.39  | 12.09 ± 0.28    | 75.24 ± 3.39 |
| ControlGAN-Seg | 31.41  | 11.56 ± 0.16    | 80.43 ± 2.79 |
| Ours         | **28.30** | **15.96 ± 0.16** | **83.23 ± 1.37** |

Fig. 2. Architecture of our network. POS-Attn denotes the part-of-speech tagging-based attention. Lc denotes the compatibility loss.

Experiments

Fig. 4. Effectiveness of dual-directional feedback. c, d, and e show the synthetic images produced at each stage by the model without adopting the discriminator with dual-directional feedback. f, g, and h show the synthetic images generated at each stage by our full model.

Fig. 5. Left: rectification ability of our generators. a denotes images generated at the first stage by the model without dual-directional feedback. In b and c, denote our model takes these flawed features and feeds them through stages 2 and 3 progressively, producing the corresponding images shown at b and c. Right: disentanglement of objects and background.

Table 2. Ablation studies of different components used in our approach.

| Method               | FID    | IS (±)          | R-prcn (%) |
|----------------------|--------|-----------------|------------|
| Ours w/o Dual        | 32.14  | 12.16 ± 0.20    | 80.13 ± 2.20 |
| Ours w/o Compatibility  | 29.47  | 14.72 ± 0.32    | 81.43 ± 1.21 |
| Ours w/o POS-Attn   | 32.72  | 12.77 ± 0.21    | 81.07 ± 1.60 |
| Ours w/ WSA [32]    | 30.14  | 14.49 ± 0.15    | 82.03 ± 1.03 |
| **Ours**             | **28.30** | **15.96 ± 0.16** | **83.23 ± 1.37** |