Abstract

Background: RACK1 is a versatile scaffold protein in mammals, regulating diverse developmental processes. Unlike in non-plant organisms where RACK1 is encoded by a single gene, Arabidopsis genome contains three RACK1 homologous genes, designated as RACK1A, RACK1B and RACK1C, respectively. Previous studies indicated that the loss-of-function alleles of RACK1A displayed multiple defects in plant development. However, the functions of RACK1B and RACK1C remain elusive. Further, the relationships between three RACK1 homologous genes are unknown.

Results: We isolated mutant alleles with loss-of-function mutations in RACK1B and RACK1C, and examined the impact of these mutations on plant development. We found that unlike in RACK1A, loss-of-function mutations in RACK1B or RACK1C do not confer apparent defects in plant development, including rosette leaf production and root development. Analyses of rack1a, rack1b and rack1c double and triple mutants, however, revealed that rack1b and rack1c can enhance the rack1a mutant’s developmental defects, and an extreme developmental defect and lethality were observed in rack1a rack1b rack1c triple mutant. Complementation studies indicated that RACK1B and RACK1C are in principle functionally equivalent to RACK1A. Gene expression studies indicated that three RACK1 genes display similar expression patterns but are expressed at different levels. Further, RACK1 genes positively regulate each other’s expression.

Conclusion: These results suggested that RACK1 genes are critical regulators of plant development and that RACK1 genes function in an unequally redundant manner. Both the difference in RACK1 gene expression level and the cross-regulation are likely the molecular determinants of their unequal genetic redundancy.

Background

Receptor for activated C kinase 1 (RACK1) is a seven tryptophan-aspartic acid-domain (WD40) repeat-containing protein, and was originally identified as an anchoring protein for protein kinase C (PKC) in mammals, shuttling the activated enzyme to different subcellular sites [1,2]. Structurally, RACK1 is similar to the heterotrimeric G-protein β subunit (Gβ) which has a seven-bladed propeller structure with one WD40 unit constituting each blade (reviewed in [3,4]). Increasing evidence suggests that in addition to binding the activated PKC, mammalian RACK1 functions as a scaffold protein by physically interacting with many other proteins and facilitating their interactions. It has been shown that RACK1 plays regulatory roles in diverse developmental and physiological responses, including cell cycle control, cell movement and growth, immune response, and neural responses in mammals (reviewed in [3,4]). Therefore, RACK1 is now viewed as a versatile scaf-
fold protein, serving as a nexus for multiple signal transduction pathways.

Although not recognized as such, the first plant RACK1 gene was cloned from tobacco BY-2 cells as an auxin (2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, 2,4-D) inducible gene, arcA [5]. Subsequently, the amino acid sequence homologues of RACK1 were found in all plant species examined (reviewed in [6]). Earlier studies based on gene expression and induction analysis implied that plant RACK1 may have a role in hormone-mediated cell division [5,7], UV and salicylic acid responses [8]. In rice, RACK1, named RWD [9], was found to be one of the seven proteins whose expressions were down-regulated in d1 mutant, a loss-of-function allele of rice heterotrimeric G-protein α subunit [10]. Further, rice RACK1 protein was induced by abscisic acid (ABA) in imbibed wild-type seeds, but not in d1 mutant seeds. It was proposed that RACK1 may play a role in rice embryogenesis and germination [10]. Furthermore, recently, it has been demonstrated that RACK1 proteins are key regulators of innate immunity by interacting with multiple proteins in the Rac1 immune complex in rice [11]. In Arabidopsis, RACK1 proteins have been found to be associated with the subunits of ribosomes [12,13], but no signaling proteins have been identified to interact with Arabidopsis RACK1 proteins.

Structurally, RACK1 proteins in plants are similar to those in mammals, containing a seven-bladed β-propeller [14]. However, analysis of RACK1 proteins in plants and in non-plant organisms revealed an important feature of plant RACK1 proteins: some plants have more than one RACK1 genes, in contrast to the single copy of RACK1 gene in non-plant organisms. For example, the sequenced genomes of rice (Oryza sativa) and Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) contain two and three RACK1 homologous genes, respectively (Figure 1). The three RACK1 proteins encoded by the Arabidopsis genome were designated as RACK1A, RACK1B and RACK1C, respectively [15]. Previously, we provided evidence that RACK1A mediates multiple hormone responses and developmental processes [15]. However, the functions of the other two Arabidopsis RACK1 genes, RACK1B and RACK1C, and the relationship between Arabidopsis RACK1 genes remain unknown. Here we demonstrate that although RACK1B and RACK1C genes are likely dispensable, they still contribute significantly to the RACK1A-regulated developmental processes in Arabidopsis. We provide evidence that the difference in the gene expression level and the cross-regulation are likely the molecular determinants of unequal genetic redundancy of RACK1 genes in regulating plant development.

![Multiple amino acid sequence alignment of RACK1 in plants and in humans](http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html). The amino acid sequences were aligned by CLUSTALW multiple alignment of BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html. Amino acids that are identical or similar are shaded with black or gray, respectively. Gaps are shown as dashed lines. The proteins aligned are (name of species and accession number in parentheses): RACK1A_At (Arabidopsis thaliana, NP_173248), RACK1B_At (Arabidopsis thaliana, NP_175296), RACK1C_At (Arabidopsis thaliana, NP_188441), RACK1A_Os (Oryza sativa, NP_001043910), RACK1B_Os (Oryza sativa, NP_001056254), RACK1C_Pt (Populus trichocarpa, ABK92879), RACK1_Vv (Vitis vinifera, CAN61810), and RACK1_Hs (Homo sapiens, NP_006089). The positions of GH and WD dipeptides in each WD40 repeat are indicated by triangles and asterisks, respectively, on the top of residues. The positions for WD repeat domains were obtained from the SMART database http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de.
Results
T-DNA insertional mutants of RACK1 B and RACK1 C
Arabidopsis genome contains three RACK1 homologous genes, designated as RACK1A, RACK1B and RACK1C, respectively [15]. Within the RACK1 gene family, mutant alleles for only RACK1A have been reported previously [15]. We report here the isolation and characterization of rack1b and rack1c mutant alleles. By searching the Salk Institute sequence-indexed insertion mutant collection http://signal.salk.edu/cgi-bin/tdnaexpress, we obtained two independent T-DNA insertional alleles for each RACK1 gene. All alleles are in the Columbia (Col-0) ecotypic background. We designated the two mutant alleles for RACK1B as rack1b-1 and rack1b-2, respectively. In rack1b-1 allele, the T-DNA was inserted in the second exon of RACK1B gene, and in the rack1b-2 allele, the T-DNA was inserted in the first intron (Figure 2A). RT-PCR analysis indicated that the full-length transcript of RACK1B was absent in both alleles (Figure 2B), implying that they are likely loss-of-function alleles. Unlike rack1a mutants, rack1b mutants do not display any apparent developmental defects (Figure 2C). We designated the two mutant alleles for RACK1C as rack1c-1 and rack1c-2, respectively (Figure 2D). In rack1c-1 allele, the T-DNA was inserted in the second exon of RACK1C gene, and in the rack1c-2 allele, the T-DNA was inserted in the 5’-UTR region. RT-PCR analysis indicated that the full-length transcript of RACK1C was absent in both alleles (Figure 2E), implying that they are likely loss-of-function alleles. Similar to rack1b mutants but unlike rack1a mutants, rack1c mutants do not display any apparent defects in plant development (Figure 2C).

Loss-of-function mutations in RACK1 B and RACK1 C enhance the developmental defects in rosette leaf production of rack1a mutant
Previously, we showed that loss-of-function mutations in one member of Arabidopsis RACK1 gene family, RACK1A, resulted in multiple defects in plant development [15]. Because loss-of-function alleles of RACK1B and RACK1C did not display apparent defects in plant development, we wanted to test if mutations in RACK1B or RACK1C can enhance the developmental defects of rack1a mutants. Therefore, we generated rack1a-1 rack1b-2 and rack1a-1 rack1c-1 double mutants. One of the most dramatic phenotypes observed in rack1a single mutants was the reduced number of rosette leaves [15]. Therefore, we grew single and double mutants together with wild-type (Col) under identical, short-day conditions with 10/14 h photoperiod, counted the number of rosette leaves in double mutants, and compared it with Col and rack1a-1 single mutant. We found that while rack1b-2 and rack1c-1 single mutants produced wild-type number of rosette leaves, both rack1b-2 and rack1c-1 significantly enhanced the phenotype of reduced number of rosette leaves of rack1a-1 single mutants (Figure 3A, B). When plants were grown under 10/14 h photoperiod for 48 days, wild-type produced approximately 30 rosette leaves, whereas rack1a-1 single mutant produced 22 rosette leaves. Under these conditions, rack1a-1 rack1b-2 and rack1a-1 rack1c-1 double mutants only produced about 16 and 19 rosette leaves, respectively (Figure 3B). The rate of rosette leaf production was reduced approximately 27% and 14%, respectively, in rack1a-1 rack1b-2 and rack1a-1 rack1c-1 double mutants, compared with rack1a-1 single mutant (Figure 3C). We also examined the rosette size by measuring the diameter of rosette of each genotype. Similar to the situation of number of rosette leaves, the diameter of rosette was significantly reduced in rack1a-1 single mutant, compared with wild-type plants, and such reduction was further enhanced in rack1a-1 rack1b-2 and rack1a-1 rack1c-1 double mutants (Figure 3D). Interestingly, no synergistic effect was observed between rack1b-2 and rack1c-1 mutations. Statistically, rack1b-2 rack1c-1 double mutants phenocopied parental single mutants.

Figure 2
T-DNA insertional mutants of RACK1 B and RACK1 C.
(A) A diagram to illustrate the T-DNA insertion sites in rack1b-1 and rack1b-2 mutants. (B) RT-PCR analysis of RACK1B transcript in rack1b mutants. RACK1B-specific primers that amplify the full-length transcript of RACK1B in wild-type (Col) were used. (C) The rosette morphology of rack1b and rack1c mutants. Shown are plants grown 48 days under 10/14 h photoperiod. (D) A diagram to illustrate the T-DNA insertion sites in rack1c-1 and rack1c-2 mutants. (E) RT-PCR analysis of RACK1C transcript in rack1c mutants. RACK1C-specific primers that amplify the full-length transcript of RACK1C in Col were used. Gray boxes in (A) and (D) represent coding regions and white boxes represent 5’-UTR and 3’-UTR regions. The T-DNA inserts are not drawn to scale. LB, T-DNA left border. Total RNA isolated from 10 d-old, light-grown seedlings was used for RT-PCR analysis in (B) and (E). RT-PCR was performed with 30 cycles. The expression of ACTIN2 was used as a control.
and displayed wild-type traits of these phenotypes (Figure 3A, B).

Subsequently, we generated rack1a-1 rack1b-2 rack1c-1 triple mutant. Very few triple mutants could survive in soil. For those survived, they were extremely slow in growth and development, and produced fewest rosette leaves and smallest rosette size among all genotypes examined (Figure 3A–D). Not surprisingly, the rate of rosette leaf production in the triple mutant was the slowest among all genotypes examined (Figure 3C). Because rack1b-2 and rack1c-1 mutations enhance the root phenotype of rack1a mutants (Figure 4A), the length of primary root was further shortened in rack1a-1 rack1b-2 and rack1a-1 rack1c-1 double mutants, compared with that in rack1a-1 single mutant (Figure 4A), indicating that rack1b-2 and rack1c-1 mutations can also enhance the effect of rack1a-1 mutation on primary root growth. Similar to the situation of primary root, rack1a-1 mutant produced fewer lateral and displayed wild-type traits of these phenotypes (Figure 3A, B).

Loss-of-function mutations in RACK1B and RACK1C enhance the defects in root development of rack1a mutant

Genetic analysis indicated that loss-of-function mutations in RACK1A affect the production of rosette leaves, and that the effect of rack1a-1 mutation can be enhanced by the rack1b-2 or rack1c-1 mutation or both (Figure 3). We wanted to extend our analysis to non-aerial organs by examining the impact of these mutations on root development. We measured the length of primary root and counted the number of lateral root and used them as parameters of root development and root architecture. We found that the length of primary root of rack1a-1 mutant was slightly shorter than that of wild-type whereas rack1b-2 and rack1c-1 mutants had wild-type length of primary root (Figure 4A). The length of primary root was further shortened in rack1a-1 rack1b-2 and rack1a-1 rack1c-1 double mutants, compared with that in rack1a-1 single mutant (Figure 4A), indicating that rack1b-2 and rack1c-1 mutations can also enhance the effect of rack1a-1 mutation on primary root growth. Similar to the situation of primary root, rack1a-1 mutant produced fewer lateral

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3**

rack1b-2 and rack1c-1 mutations enhance the rosette leaf phenotype of rack1a mutants. (A) The phenotype of rack1 mutants. Shown are plants grown for 48 days under 10/14 h photoperiod. Scale bars, 2 cm. (B) The number of rosette leaves of rack1 mutants. (C) The rate of rosette leaf production of rack1 mutants. The rate of rosette leaf production is expressed as the number of rosette leaves divided by the age of plants. (D) The size of rosette of rack1 mutants. The number of rosette leaves, the rate of rosette leaf production and the size of rosette were measured from plants grown for 48 d under 10/14 h photoperiod. Shown in (B) to (D) are the averages of at least four plants ± S.E. The same experiment was repeated twice with similar trends and the data from one experiment were presented. *, significant difference from Col, P < 0.05. #, significant difference from rack1a single mutant, P < 0.05. **, significant difference from rack1a-1 rack1b-2 double mutant, P < 0.05.
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**Figure 4**

rack1b-2 and rack1c-1 mutations enhance the root phenotype of rack1a mutants. (A) The length of primary root of rack1 mutants. (B) The number of lateral roots of rack1 mutants. The length of primary root and the number of lateral roots were measured from 10 d-old, light-grown seedlings (under 14/10 h photoperiod). Shown are the averages of at least 15 seedlings ± S.E. *, significant difference from Col, P < 0.05. #, significant difference from rack1a single mutant, P < 0.05. **, significant difference from rack1a-1 rack1b-2 double mutant, P < 0.05.
roots than wild-type whereas rack1b-2 and rack1c-1 mutants had wild-type number of lateral roots (Figure 4B). As expected, rack1b-2 and rack1c-1 mutations enhanced the lateral root phenotype of rack1a-1 mutant (Figure 4B). Among all genotypes examined, the rack1a-1 rack1b-2 rack1c-1 triple mutant produced the shortest primary root and did not produce any lateral root under our assay conditions (Figure 4A, B).

**Genetic complementation of rack1a mutants by overexpressing RACK1 genes**

Genetic analyses indicated that there is unequal genetic redundancy among three Arabidopsis RACK1 genes in regulating rosette leaf production and root development, and that RACK1A is likely a non-dispensable gene in this small gene family. Although RACK1B and RACK1C are likely dispensable, they still contribute significantly to the overall activity of RACK1 genes in regulating plant development, as revealed by the phenotypes of double and triple mutants. We wanted to further explore the mechanism of the unequal genetic redundancy of RACK1 genes. Firstly, because RACK1B and RACK1C are highly similar (about 90% identity) to RACK1A at the amino acid level (Figure 1), we wanted to test if RACK1B and RACK1C are in principle functionally equivalent to RACK1A. We reasoned that if RACK1B and RACK1C are indeed functionally equivalent to RACK1A, one would expect that overexpression of RACK1B or RACK1C complements the developmental defects of rack1a mutants. Therefore, we generated transgenic lines overexpressing RACK1B or RACK1C in the rack1a mutant background using the CaMV 35S promoter. As a control, we generated transgenic plants overexpressing RACK1A in rack1a mutant background. At least two independent transgenic lines were analyzed for each transformation. Overexpression of the transgene in these lines was confirmed by RT-PCR analysis (Figure 5A). We examined the same parameters described above, namely the number of rosette leaves, the length of primary root and the number of lateral roots in the transgenic lines overexpressing each RACK1 gene and compared them with those in Col and rack1a single mutants. As expected, overexpression of RACK1A fully complemented the mutant phenotype of rack1a mutant (Figure 5B–D). Similarly, we found that overexpression of RACK1B or RACK1C fully restored rack1a mutant to wild-type morphology, evident by the wild-type number of rosette leaves, wild-type length of primary root and wild-type number of lateral roots in transgenic lines (Figure 5B–D).

**Expression of Arabidopsis RACK1 genes**

Because constitutive expression of RACK1B or RACK1C could efficiently complement rack1a mutant’s developmental defects, these results implied that RACK1B and RACK1C are likely in principle functionally equivalent to RACK1A, and that the unequal genetic redundancy of RACK1 genes is likely due to the difference in their expression patterns or expression levels. Therefore, we sought additional evidence that would shed light on the relationship between RACK1 genes. We examined the expression patterns of RACK1A, RACK1B and RACK1C in various tissues and organs of young seedlings and mature plants by RT-PCR. We found that all three Arabidopsis RACK1 genes were expressed widely in all tissues examined (Figure 6A). These results are largely consistent with the results of analysis of RACK1 gene promoter:β-glucuronidase (GUS) transcriptional reporter lines [15]. By using RT-PCR, we noticed that in any given tissues or organs examined, the transcript level of three RACK1 genes were different, with a general trend of RACK1A > RACK1B > RACK1C (Figure 6A).

In order to quantify the difference in transcript level of RACK1A, RACK1B and RACK1C genes, we used quantitative real-time PCR to more accurately compare the transcript level of three RACK1 genes in different tissues and organs of wild-type Col plants. We selected the samples of shoots and roots of 4 d- and 7 d-old light-grown seedlings and rosette leaves and roots of mature plants for quantitative real-time PCR analysis. We found that consistent with the result of RT-PCR analysis, the transcript level of RACK1C was the lowest and that of RACK1A was the highest among three RACK1 genes, with a trend of RACK1A > RACK1B > RACK1C in all samples examined (Figure 6B). For example, the transcript level of RACK1A was about 5-fold higher than that of RACK1C in the roots of 4 d-old, light-grown seedlings (Figure 6B). In this sample, the transcript level of RACK1B was approximately 2-fold higher than that of RACK1C.

**Cross-regulation of RACK1 genes at the transcriptional level**

The analysis of the expression patterns and transcript level of three RACK1 genes in various tissues and organs supported the view that the unequal genetic redundancy of RACK1 genes is likely due to the difference in the gene expression level. However, other possibilities may also exist. For example, as reviewed by Briggs et al. (2006), cross-regulation is another mechanism that attributes to the unequal genetic redundancy of some homologous genes [16]. Because RACK1A, RACK1B and RACK1C are approximately 90% identical to each other at the amino acid level, we were unable to obtain antibodies that can specifically recognize each RACK1 protein. Therefore, in this study, we examined the impact of loss-of-function mutations of each RACK1 gene on the transcription of the other two RACK1 genes. Further, we examined the impact of combination of loss-of-function mutations of two RACK1 genes on the transcription of the other RACK1 gene. Specifically, we examined the transcript level of
Figure 5
The complementation of rack1a mutants by overexpression of RACK1 genes. (A) RT-PCR analysis of the expression of RACK1 genes in transgenic lines. The transgenic lines 2-7, 6-2, 8-3 and 25-3 are RACK1A overexpressors in rack1a-2 mutants. The transgenic lines 4-5 and 28-2 are RACK1B overexpressors in rack1a-1 mutants. The transgenic lines 4-3, 5-3, 8-3 and 9-6 are RACK1C overexpressors in rack1a-1 mutants. RT-PCR was performed at 28 cycles. The expression of ACTIN2 was used as a control. (B) The number of rosette leaves in transgenic plants overexpressing individual RACK1 gene in rack1a mutant background. The number of rosette leaves was collected from plants grown for 37 d under 14/10 h photoperiod. Shown are the averages of number of rosette leaves from at least four plants ± S.E. (C) The length of primary root in transgenic plants overexpressing individual RACK1 gene in rack1a mutant background. The length of primary roots was measured from seedlings grown for 10 d under 14/10 h photoperiod. (D) The number of lateral roots in transgenic plants overexpressing individual RACK1 gene in rack1a mutant background. The number of lateral roots was counted from seedlings grown for 11 d under 14/10 h photoperiod. Shown in (C) and (D) are the averages of at least 20 seedlings ± S.E. *, significant difference from Col, P < 0.05.
RACK1A in rack1b and rack1c single and double mutants, the transcript level of RACK1B in rack1a and rack1c single and double mutants, and the transcript level of RACK1C in rack1a and rack1b single and double mutants, and compared with their transcript levels in wild-type. For this analysis, we used the 4.5 d-old, light-grown whole seedlings. By using RT-PCR, we noticed that the transcript level of RACK1B was reduced in rack1a and rack1c single and double mutants (Figure 7A). Similarly, the transcript level of RACK1C was reduced in rack1a and rack1b single and double mutants (Figure 7A). However, we did not observe a dramatic reduction of the transcript level of RACK1A in rack1b and rack1c single and double mutants, compared with that in wild-type (Figure 7A). Because the transcript level of RACK1A is the most abundant among three RACK1 homologous genes and the conditions used for RT-PCR (e.g. PCR at 28 cycles) may not allow us to visualize any differences in RACK1A transcript level among different samples, subsequently we used quantitative real-time PCR to more accurately compare the transcript level of three RACK1 genes in wild-type and mutants. We found that the transcript level of any given RACK1 gene was reduced in the loss-of-function alleles of each and both of the other two RACK1 genes (Figure 7B).

**Discussion**

**Roles of RACK1 genes in plant development**

RACK1 gene is evolutionarily conserved in diverse organisms. Although the research interest in RACK1 has grown exponentially since its discovery [1] and RACK1 is now viewed as a multi-functional, versatile scaffold protein in mammals and in yeasts (reviewed in [3,4]), the function...
of RACK1 in plants remains poorly understood. We are just starting to have some hints about its potential functions in plants. Preliminary analysis suggested RACK1 may mediate multiple hormone responses and developmental processes in Arabidopsis [15]. In this study, we focused on the two characteristic developmental defects of rack1a mutants, namely the reduction in rosette leaf production and the reduction in primary root growth and lateral root formation, to study the function of RACK1B and RACK1C and the genetic relationship between RACK1 homologous genes in plant development. We demonstrated that RACK1 genes are critical regulators of plant development and are essential for plant survival. Simultaneous disruption of the function of all three RACK1 genes results in lethality. Thanks to the unequal genetic redundancy of RACK1 genes, we are still able to study the role of RACK1 genes in plant development. The rack1a single mutants, rack1a rack1b and rack1a rack1c double mutants all display developmental defects and are viable. Therefore, these mutants can be treated as "weak alleles" of rack1 mutants. Now that we have identified RACK1 genes as critical regulators of plant development and all "weak alleles" of rack1 mutants are available, future studies should focus on the elucidation of the molecular mechanism by which RACK1 genes regulate plant development, including rosette leaf production, root growth and lateral root formation. Because rack1a mutants have also been shown to display altered responses to hormones [15], it remains unclear if the developmental defects observed in rack1 mutants are due to the altered responses to multiple hormones and if there is also unequal genetic redundancy of RACK1 genes in mediating hormone responses. This is a fertile area that is worth further investigation.

Mechanism of unequal genetic redundancy of RACK1 genes

Genetic redundancy of homologous genes is thought to be due to gene duplication events during the evolution of the organism. Between homologous genes, genetic redundancy can be classified as full redundancy, partial redundancy, and unequal redundancy [16]. While full redundancy and partial redundancy have been documented in numerous cases, unequal genetic redundancy has just begun to be recognized as a common phenomenon of genetic relationship of homologous genes [16]. Unlike non-plant organisms whose genomes contain only a single RACK1 gene, some plant genomes contain more than one RACK1 genes (Figure 1). In particular, the Arabidopsis genome contains three RACK1 genes, which share the similar gene structure with two exons and one intron, and encode three highly similar proteins with approximately 90% identity at the amino acid level [15]. However, the relationship between three Arabidopsis RACK1 homologous genes has been unknown. Previously, we showed that loss-of-function mutation in one member of Arabidopsis RACK1A genes, RACK1A, conferred multiple defects in plant development [15]. Here we show that loss-of-function mutations in RACK1B or RACK1C do not confer apparent developmental defects (Figure 2). These results suggested that RACK1B and RACK1C are likely dispensable in plant development. However, we found that although rack1b and rack1c mutants displayed wild-type morphology, rack1b and rack1c can strongly enhance the developmental defects of rack1a mutants (Figure 3, Figure 4). These results suggested that RACK1B and RACK1C still contribute significantly to the overall activity of RACK1 genes. Because the significance of the RACK1B and RACK1C is determined via the mutants, not directly in the wild-type plants, it is also possible that in the wild-type plants, all the function of RACK1 genes is explicated by RACK1A with no contribution from RACK1B or RACK1C and the these latter can play a role only if RACK1A is not present (e.g. in the rack1a mutant). Nonetheless, the behaviors and relationship of rack1 mutants satisfy the key criteria for RACK1 genes being unequally redundant homologous genes [16].

The unequal genetic redundancy is caused by many factors. Among them, the difference in gene expression pattern, expression level and cross-regulation of homologous genes have been recognized as major determinants [16]. The unequal genetic redundancy of some homologous genes is mainly due to the difference in expression pattern and/or expression level. For example, CAULIFLOWER (CAL) is closely related in sequence to APETALA1 (AP1), but AP1 and CAL regulate the formation of floral meristem in an equally redundant manner because AP1 is expressed at much higher level than CAL throughout sepal and petal development [17]. The unequal genetic redundancy of homologous genes can also be primarily due to the cross-regulation. For example, LONG HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5) and its close homolog HY5 HOMOLOG (HYH), both of which are regulators of photomorphogenesis, are a pair of unequally redundant genes with similar expression patterns and levels [16,18], but a normal protein expression and activity of HYH was dependent on the presence of a functional HY5 [18].

In order to get insight into the mechanism of unequal genetic redundancy of three RACK1 genes, we examined each of these possibilities. Firstly, we showed that RACK1B and RACK1C are likely in principle functionally equivalent to RACK1A, because overexpression of either RACK1B or RACK1C under the constitutive CaMV 35S promoter fully complemented the developmental defects of rack1a mutants (Figure 5). Ideally, it would be advantageous to use the native RACK1A promoter to assess the extent of functional equivalency. Nonetheless, results from our complementation studies indicated that overexpression of RACK1B or RACK1C can restore rack1a mutant
to wild-type equally well as overexpression of RACK1A, supporting the view that RACK1B and RACK1C likely function similarly as RACK1A. These results implied that the unequal genetic redundancy of RACK1 genes is likely due to the difference in gene expression pattern and/or expression level, rather than the difference in protein sequence or activity. To examine this possibility directly, we found that three RACK1 genes are widely expressed in various tissues and organs in young seedlings and in mature plants (Figure 6). However, RACK1 genes are expressed at different levels with a general trend of RACK1A > RACK1B > RACK1C in all tissues and organs examined (Figure 6). These results supported the view that the difference in gene expression level attributes to the unequal genetic redundancy of RACK1 genes in plant development. However, these results cannot rule out the possibility that the expression of each RACK1 gene may also be restricted to certain cell types. For example, BRL1 and BRL3 are homologous to BRI1, a receptor for brassinosteroid (BR), and function as BR receptors in vascular differentiation in Arabidopsis [19]. It was found that BRI1 is ubiquitously expressed in growing cells, but the expression of BRL1 and BRL3 is restricted to non-overlapping subsets of vascular cells. Future expression analysis at cell level (e.g. by in situ hybridization and reporter GFP analyses) may help address the possibility of cell type-specific expression of RACK1 genes.

We also explored the possibility of cross-regulation by examining the transcript level of each RACK1 gene in the loss-of-function alleles of each or both of the other two RACK1 genes. We found that the transcript level of any given RACK1 gene was reduced in the single or double mutants for the other two RACK1 genes (Figure 7). Therefore, both the difference in gene expression level and the cross-regulation contribute to the unequal genetic redundancy of RACK1 genes. Unlike HY5 and HYH, for which the expression of the duplicate gene (HYH) depends on the presence of the ancestral gene (HY5) [18], RACK1 homologous genes mutually depend on each other for reaching full expression, adding another level of complexity for the unequal genetic redundancy. The molecular basis of such mutual cross-regulation of RACK1 genes is presently unknown. It would be interesting to test if RACK1 proteins can work together in a complex, for instance, through homo- and hetero-dimerization.

Conclusion

Among three RACK1 homologous genes in Arabidopsis, RACK1A is likely the ancestral gene whereas RACK1B and RACK1C are duplicate genes because RACK1A appears to retain most of the function of RACK1 gene family. RACK1 genes regulate plant development in a continuous, quantitative manner. It is likely that a certain threshold of gene activity is required for the RACK1 genes to have any influence on the plant development (Figure 8). Because rack1b and rack1c single mutants do not exhibit any defects in plant development whereas the rack1a rack1b and rack1a rack1c double mutants display enhanced phenotypes compared with the rack1a single mutant, it is likely that the residual activities of RACK1B and RACK1C are above this threshold (Figure 8). Therefore, although both RACK1B and RACK1C are likely dispensable, they still contribute significantly to the overall activity of RACK1 genes. Both the difference in gene expression level and the cross-regulation are likely the molecular determinants of unequal genetic redundancy of RACK1 genes in regulating plant development.
Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

All mutants are in the Arabidopsis Columbia (Col-0) ecotype background. The *rack1a-1* and *rack1a-2* mutants have been reported previously [15]. Plants were grown in 5 x 5 cm pots containing moistened 1 : 3 mixture of Sunshine Mix #1 (Sun Gro Horticulture Canada Ltd., http://www.sungro.com) and Metro-Mix 220 (W.R. Grace & Co., http://www.grace.com) with 10/14 h (short-day conditions) or 14/10 h (long-day conditions) photoperiod at approximately 120 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ at 23°C.

Isolation of *rack1b* and *rack1c* T-DNA insertional mutants

The T-DNA insertional mutants of *RACK1B* (At1g18080), *rack1b-1* (SALK_117422) and *rack1b-2* (SALK_145920), and the T-DNA insertion mutants of *RACK1C* (At3g18130), *rack1c-1* (SAIL_199_A04) and *rack1c-2* (SALK_017913), were identified from the SALK T-DNA Express database http://signal.salk.edu/cgi-bin/tdnaexpress. For the SALK T-DNA insertional mutants [20], the insertion was confirmed by PCR using *RACK1B*-specific primers (5'-TCTCGACCTCAAACCTG-3' and 5'-GAGAACACTTGAAGTGAGTGA-3') or *RACK1C*-specific primers (5'-ATCTTCCTCCTGCCTGAGCC-3' and 5'-ACAATACGTACGCAGTCTGGA-3') and a T-DNA left border-specific primer JMLB1 (5'-GGCAATCAGCTGTTACGGC-3'), and a different T-DNA left border-specific primer, GarlicLB3 (5'-TAGCATCTGAATTCTATACACAAATCTCGATACAC-3'), was used. The absence of full-length transcript of *RACK1B* or *RACK1C* in these alleles was confirmed by RT-PCR.

Generation of *rack1a*, *rack1b* and *rack1c* double and triple mutants

Double mutants between *rack1a-1* and *rack1b-2* or *rack1c-1* into *rack1a-1* single mutant and isolated in the F2 progeny by PCR genotyping. Similarly, double mutants between *rack1b-2* and *rack1c-1* were generated by crossing *rack1c-1* into *rack1b-2* single mutant and isolated in the F2 progeny by PCR genotyping. For simplicity, the *rack1a* *rack1b*, *rack1a* *rack1c* and *rack1b* *rack1c* double mutant nomenclatures in this report refer specifically to the *rack1a-1* *rack1b-2*, *rack1a-1* *rack1c-1* and *rack1b-2* *rack1c-1* mutants, respectively.

Triple mutant among *rack1a-1*, *rack1b-2* and *rack1c-1* was generated by crossing *rack1b-2* *rack1c-1* into *rack1a-1* *rack1b-2* double mutants. Because *rack1a-1* *rack1b-2* *rack1c-1* triple mutants cannot survive in soil to maturity, they are maintained in plants homozygous for the *rack1b-2* and *rack1c-1* loci and heterozygous for the *rack1a-1* locus. The status of triple mutant was confirmed by PCR genotyping.

Genetic complementation

The full-length open-reading frames of *RACK1A* (At1g18080), *RACK1B* and *RACK1C* were amplified from a cDNA library made from seedlings grown in light for 10 d, cloned into the pENTR/D-TOPO vector (Invitrogen, http://www.invitrogen.com), and then subcloned into Gateway plant transformation destination binary vector pB2GW7 [22] by LR recombination reactions. In these constructs, the expression of *RACK1A*, *RACK1B* or *RACK1C* was driven by the 35S promoter of the Cauliflower mosaic virus. Binary vectors were transformed into *rack1a-1* or *rack1a-2* mutants by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation [23]. At least 16 independent transgenic lines were selected from each transformation, and two to four representative lines were used for further studies. The expression of transgene was examined by RT-PCR.

RNA isolation, RT-PCR and quantitative real-time PCR analyses

For tissue/organ expression pattern analysis, total RNA was isolated from different parts of seedlings or mature plants, using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg total RNA by oligo(dT)₂₀ primed reverse transcription, using THERMOSRIPT RT (Invitrogen). *RACK1A*-specific primers (5’-GGCATCTCCTACACGAAA-3’ and 5’-GGCAAGACGGCACAGCC-3’), *RACK1B*-specific primers (5’-TTCTGGACCTCAACCGTCTGGA-3’ and 5’-GAGAAGACCTTGAAGTGAGTGA-3’), and *RACK1C*-specific primers (5’-ATCTTCCTACCTGCTGAGCC-3’ and 5’-ACAATACGTACGCAGTCTGG-3’) were used to amplify the transcripts of these three genes, respectively. The expression of *ACTIN2* (amplified by primers 5’-GTTGGGATGAACCAGAAGGA-3’ and 5’-GGCAACCGATCCAGAAAG-3’) was used as a control in PCR reactions. For the examination of the transcript level of *RACK1A*, *RACK1B* and *RACK1C* in the T-DNA insertional mutants or in the transgenic lines, 10 d-old, light-grown seedlings were used for total RNA isolation.

For the quantitative analysis of *RACK1A*, *RACK1B* and *RACK1C* transcript levels in the different tissues/organs of wild-type Col plants or in the *rack1a-1*, *rack1b-2* and *rack1c-1* single and double mutants, real-time PCR was performed. *RACK1A*-specific real-time PCR primers (5’-CTGAGCTGTAAAGGCTGAAACAG-3’ and 5’-CTGATACCCAACTACCCAAAATCTC-3’), *RACK1B*-specific real-time PCR primers (5’-GGTTTACTGGAATCGGAGCACC-3’ and 5’-CTGAAGCTGTAAAGGCTGAAACAG-3’), and *RACK1C*-specific real-time PCR primers (5’-CCAGAAGAAGTAAGGAGTGGTG-3’ and 5’-CTGATACCCAACTACCCAAAATCTC-3’) were used. The expression of *ACTIN2* (amplified by primers 5’-CCAGAAGAAGTAAGGAGTGGTG-3’ and 5’-CTGATACCCAACTACCCAAAATCTC-3’) was used to normalize the expression of each gene. The quantitative real-time
PCR was performed using the MJ MiniOpticon real-time PCR system (Bio-Rad, http://www.biorad.com) and IQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad).

**Rosette leaf production assay**
The number of rosette leaves was collected from wild-type Col and mutant plants grown under 10/14 h or 14/10 h photoperiod with approximately 120 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ at 23 °C. At least four plants from each genotype were used in each experiment, and the experiment was repeated twice. The rate of rosette leaf production was expressed as the number of rosette leaves divided by the age of plant.

**Root growth assay**
Seedlings were grown on MS/G plates consisting of 1/2 Murashige & Skoog (MS) basal medium supplemented with vitamins (Plantmedia, http://www.plantmedia.com), 1% (w/v) sucrose and 0.6% (w/v) phytoagar (Plantmedia), with pH adjusted to 5.7 with 1N KOH. The plates were placed under 14/10 h photoperiod with approximately 120 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ at 23 °C with a vertical orientation for monitoring root growth. The length of primary and the number of lateral roots were collected from at least 15 seedlings each genotype.
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