EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT CONCURRENT TRAINING METHODS ON AEROBIC AND ANAEROBIC CAPACITY IN U 21 SOCCER PLAYERS
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Abstract: Purpose: The main aim of this study is to investigate the effect of the concurrent training method of muscular strength training or muscular endurance training combined with high-intensity interval training (HIIT) on the aerobic threshold (AerT) and anaerobic threshold (AT).

Material and methods: Twenty soccer player from the University team were recruited. Participants were divided into muscular strength training group (MS, N = 10) and the muscular endurance training group (ME, N = 10). All subjects sustained the regular specific training programs during the experimental period and had additional different concurrent training twice per week for twelve weeks. power output during the graded exercise test, peak power (PP), average power (AP), fatigue index (FI) during anaerobic power test were tested by graded exercise test on Wingate anaerobic power test. as well as one-repetition maximum (1-RM) of lower limbs and Romanian Deadlift (RDL) - Hamstring Leg Exercise were tested simultaneously before and after the experiment. Data were analysed by two-way mixed design ANOVA.

Results: After 12 weeks of training, the AT power output, 1-RM of half squat and the 1-RM of RDL were significantly higher than before training (MS: 407.12 ± 52.92 vs 431.78± 48.84 watt, 157.45 ± 35.66 vs 169.87 ± 47.31 kg, 120.16± 15.28 vs 122.56± 19.39 kg; ME: 411.11 ± 48.48 vs 429.16 ± 52.13 watt, 135.34 ± 26.27 vs 144.41 ± 35.78 kg, 96.93 ± 24.57 vs 103.46 ± 24.15 kg, p <.05) in MS group and ME group. Time to exhaustion of graded exercise test in ME group was significantly higher than before training (22.13 ± 7.73 vs 25.78 ± 8.74 min, 23.44 ± 7.73 vs 24.78 ± 8.74 p <.05). The AerT power output, PP, AP, and FI were no significant changes in both groups. Nevertheless, all dependent variables were no significant difference between groups before and after training.

Conclusion: Conducting the concurrent training method of muscular strength training or muscular endurance training combined with HIIT twice per week for twelve weeks increased soccer players’ aerobic endurance as well as 1-RM of lower limbs. The concurrent training method of muscular endurance training combined with HIIT also promoted the performance of time to exhaustion. However, there were no significant difference between two training methods and minor significant benefits on anaerobic power.
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INTRODUCTION

There are many aspects to successful sports performance, including advanced technical preparation, strategy and physical fitness. soccer has clear physical requirements (Bloomfield, Polman, & O’Donoghue, 2007). Soccer Team sports need high levels of aerobic capacity (Hoff, Wisloff, Engen, Kemi, & Helgerud, 2002), weightlifters need a high level of muscle strength (Krzyżtofik, Wilk, Wojdała, & Golaś, 2019; Reggiani & Schiaffino, 2020). However, many sports require multiple physical abilities at the same time to achieve optimal performance (Mujika, Halson, Burke, Balagué, & Farrow, 2018). For example, in rugby, athletes need to have the acceleration and explosive power to surpass the opponent’s crossing line, the muscle mass and muscle strength of the ball, long-distance movement and the aerobic ability to continuously intercept and tackle (Winter et al., 2016); soccer players need aerobic capacity, Repetitive sprint ability, maximum muscle strength and explosive power (Alemdaroglu, 2012; Stojanovic, Ostojic, Calleja-González, Milosevic, & Mikic, 2012). Therefore, having both good aerobic and anaerobic abilities in most sports is the key to becoming a top athlete (Adel, Mokhtar, Abdelkader, Mohamed, & Othman, 2019; Buchheit, Mendez-Villanueva, Delhomel, Brughelli, & Ahmaidi, 2010). Aerobic endurance and anaerobic power are key...
factors that determine the level of soccer players (Helgerud, Engen, Wisloff, & Hoff, 2001; Tumility, 1993). Previous studies have found that soccer player has a higher aerobic power output in the incremental test to exhaustion (Dittrich, da Silva, Castagna, de Lucas, & Guglielmo, 2011; Impellizzeri, Rampinini, & Marcora, 2005), The peak power (PP) and average power (AP) measured by the Wingate anaerobic power test can predict the performance of soccer players (Al’Hazzaa, Almuzaini, Al-Refaee, & Sulaiman, 2001). Therefore, increasing aerobic capacity and anaerobic power at the same time will help improve soccer skills performance (Amani-Shalamzari et al., 2019; Belkadi et al., 2015). Common ways to improve aerobic endurance include moderate continuous training and high intensity interval training (HIIT). Studies have confirmed that both continuous training and HIIT can improve aerobic endurance (Schau, Pinto, Silva, Dolinski, & Alberton, 2018), but the time spent and training volume of HIIT are significantly lower than continuous training (Wen et al., 2019), and some studies have pointed out The effect of HIIT on aerobic endurance training is better than continuous training (Way, Sultana, Sabag, Baker, & Johnson, 2019; Wen et al., 2019). Anaerobic power can be improved through resistance training and power training (Helgerud et al., 2001; Impellizzeri et al., 2005). Recent studies have pointed out that athletes can increase anaerobic power by increasing muscle strength or increasing the percentage of fast-twitch muscle fibers (Beboucha, Belkadi, Benchehida, & Bengoua, 2021; Lievens, Klass, Bex, & Derave, 2020). In addition to high training load and low training volume muscle strength training (Vmax) can increase the maximum muscle strength (one-repetition maximum, 1-RM) (S. Benhammou, Mourot, Mokkedes, Bengoua, & Belkadi, 2021; Tillin & Folland, 2014), few studies have found that both adults and adolescents perform low training load and high training Extensive muscular endurance training can also achieve the benefits of 1-RM improvement (Farrell, Lantis, Ade, Cantrell, & Larson, 2018; Ferley, Scholten, & Vukovich, 2020). The integrated analysis of Stricker (2020) shows that resistance training for children and adolescents is beneficial in various aspects, including: muscle strength and explosive power, injury prevention and injury recovery, cardiopulmonary fitness, body composition, bone density (Stricker et al., 2020). Among them, by designing appropriate short-term resistance training, children and adolescents can increase their muscle strength by 30% (Behm, Faigenbaum, Falk, & Klentrou, 2008; Belkadi, Benchehida, Benbernou, & Sebbane, 2019). The integrated analysis of Cavar (2019) unifies the young athletes to conduct resistance training for at least 6 weeks (Cavar et al., 2019) with an average of 2.6 ± 0.9 times per week, the range of training intensity and training volume There are multiple groups (60-80% 1-RM × 2-3 groups × 8-15 reps) from medium load to near maximum load, which can significantly improve muscle strength. Endurance training and resistance training in different periods and on different days were usually needed to improve aerobic and anaerobic capacity at the same time (Kraemer & Ratamess, 2004). Force training is carried out. This training method is called concurrent training (Robineau, Lacome, Piscione, Bigard, & Babault, 2017; Sousa et al., 2020). However, Hickson’s (1980) research found that synchronized training produces a phenomenon called interference effect, which results in less muscle-lifting than resistance training alone (Hickson, 1980), muscle hypertrophy (Hickson, 1980; Kraemer & Ratamess, 2004) or explosive power (Mikkola, Rusko, Izquierdo, Gorostiaga, & Häkkinen, 2012). However, few recent studies have found that pairing with endurance training has little or no impact on the effectiveness of resistance training (B Alabinis, Psarakis, Moukas, V Assiliou, & Behrakis, 2003; McKay, Paterson, & Kowalchuk, 2009) Methenitis (2018) pointed out that low-volume and short-term HIIT or sprint interval training, endurance training combined with resistance training has the lowest or no negative impact on the adaptation induced by resistance training (Lalia, Ali, Adel, Asli, & Othman, 2019; Methenitis, 2018). The resistance training part is often carried out by explosive force, strengthening, muscle strength and muscular endurance training, and endurance training is carried out by running, swimming, rowing or cycling. Continuous or intermittent endurance training (Gäbler, Prieske, Hortobágyi, & Granacher, 2018).

The study on adolescents has no interference effect compared to resistance training or endurance training alone, synchronized training may have the better training effect. This may be related to the fact that Gäbler et al. (2018) and Gäbler and Granacher (2019) mentioned that adolescents are different from adults in body measurement, physiological characteristics, and biomechanics, and therefore, synchronized training may be related to the different responses of adults (Gäbler & Granacher, 2019; yassin zenati, belkadi, & benbernou, 2021). In summary, both aerobic and anaerobic capacity are required by athletes in many sports. Moderate to mid-to-high intensity resistance training can increase the neuromuscular recruitment of young people to enhance muscle strength. If the target is adolescents and use HIIT’s synchronized training, you can train for the two abilities on the same day, and avoid interference effects as much as possible. However, there is notable paucity been conducted to determine the possible effects of resistance
training with HIIT on aerobic endurance and anaerobic power by combining muscle strength or muscle endurance. Therefore, this study will conduct different synchronized training twice a week for 12 weeks to explore the changes in the aerobic and anaerobic capacity of adolescents after the intervention of the two training methods.

Purpose: of the study was To explore the aerobic threshold (AerT) power and anaerobic threshold (AT) power during aerobic exercise with the same HIIT for twelve-week muscle strength training or muscle endurance training for U21 soccer players; and explore the anaerobic exercise influence of peak power (PP), average power (AP), fatigue index (FI) and 1-RM of lower limbs.

**Materials and Methods**

**Participants**

Twenty male soccer players from soccer university team were recruited in this study. The experimental participants had no chronic diseases such as heart disease and high blood pressure, and no serious bone and muscle injuries were reported within six months. Before the start of the experiment, the participants took various pre-tests and were matched-paired with AT scores. The participants were divided into muscle strength (MS) group and muscle endurance (ME) group. All experiment participants fill in personal information, health survey form and experiment participant consent form before the experiment. During the experiment, no extra strenuous exercise or resistance training was allowed except for normal soccer training and activities. During the experiment, participants were also required to eat and maintain daily habits, the study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the Ethic Committee of the local physical and education sports Institute N°PRFU N° J00L02UN270120220003.

**Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants. Mean ± SD**

| Variable          | MS group N=10 | ME group N=10 |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Age               | 19.50 ± 1.50  | 19.95 ± 0.25  |
| Height (cm)       | 168.89±6.33   | 170.11±4.85   |
| Weight (kg)       | 69.36±3.85    | 70.36±4.85    |
| BMI (kg/m²)       | 26.60±2.60    | 25.80±2.80    |
| Training experience (years) | 7.00±2.50    | 8.50±3.50    |

*BMI = body mass index; MS = muscle strength; ME = muscle endurance*

**Experimental design**

Participants in the experiment took the Incremental soccer test (*Footeval*), Wingate anaerobic power test, and 1-RM estimation test for lower limbs before the experiment. After two days of rest, they began to perform simultaneous training twice a week for 12 weeks. Resistance training was performed with low load and high repetitions, while resistance training was performed with low load and high repetitions in the ME group. The two trainings were separated by at least 48 hours; during the two groups of experimental participation. Participants will carry out special soccer training normally; after the 12th week, 48 hours later, the participants’ Incremental soccer test (*Footeval*), Wingate anaerobic power test, and lower limb 1-RM estimated test performance will be measured again to evaluate 12 weeks benefits of resistance training, the experimental framework is shown in Figure 1.
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Figure 1. Experimental framework

Table 2. Training schedule

| Training content | 1_ 4 weeks | 5_ 8 weeks | 9_ 12 weeks |
|------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
|                  | load       | Number of Rep/sets.sc | Rest       | load       | Number of Rep/sets.sc | Rest       | load       | Number of Rep/sets.sc | Rest       |
| MS group         | Lift actions exercise | 85% 1_RM | 2 sets /6Rep | 3mn | Lift actions exercise | 85% 1_RM | 4 Sets /6Rep | 3mn |
|                  | Auxiliary actions | 75% 1_RM | 3 sets /10 Rep | 1mn | Auxiliary actions | 75% 1_RM | 5 Sets/10 Rep | 1mn |
|                  | Body Plank exercise | own weight | 10 sets /10s | 10mn | Body Plank exercise | own weight | 10 sets /10s | 10mn |
|                  | HITT | 90% AEROBIC | 8 sets /60s | 60s | HITT | 90% AEROBIC | 10 Sets/60 s | 60s |
| ME group         | Lift actions exercise | 67%1_RM | 2 sets /12Rep | 30sc | Lift actions exercise | 67%1_RM | 3 Sets /15Rep | 30sc |
|                  | Auxiliary actions | 67%1_RM | 2 sets /12Rep | 30sc | Auxiliary action | 67%1_RM | 3 Sets /15Rep | 30sc |
|                  | Body Plank exercise | own weight | 8 sets /10s | 10sc | Body Plank exercise | own weight | 10 Sets/10 s | 10sc |
|                  | HITT | 90% AEROBIC | 8 sets /60s | 60sc | HITT | 90% AEROBIC | 10 Sets/60 s | 60sc |
| Exercise                | Action            | Sets/Reps | Duration |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|
| Body Plank exercise    | own weight        | 12 Sets/10 s | 10 sc    |
| HITT                   | 90% AEROBIC       | 12 Sets/60 s | 60 sc    |
| ME group               | Lift actions      | 67%1_RM   | 3 Sets/18 Rep | 30 sc |
| Auxiliary actions      | Lift actions      | 67%1_RM   | 3 Sets/18 Rep | 30 sc |
| Body Plank exercise    | own weight        | 10 Sets/10 s | 10 mn    |
| HITT                   | 90% AEROBIC       | 10 Sets/60 s | 60 s     |

**Note:** Lift actions exercise: half squat, Romanian deadlift, auxiliary actions: one-foot leg push, Standing Hip Flexion with Cable, lift Heel, toe lift, Body Plank exercise, HIIT: high intensity Interval training

**Figure 2. Training action exercise**

The experimental process:

- Experimental participants completed the Incremental soccer test (Footeval), the Wingate anaerobic power test, and the lower limb 1-RM estimation test in sequence on three different days before the experiment. The interval between each experiment was at least 24 hours so that the experimental participants could complete with best effort in each test.

- Two days after the pre-test was completed, the two groups of experimental participants began to perform twice a week for 12 weeks, each time the muscular strength or muscular endurance of the 7 movements are combined with endurance training. The two trainings are separated by at least 48 hours. The two groups of experimental participants normally conduct special training.

- The two resistance training schedules are based on Haff and Triplett (2015) in the NSCA book(G. Haff & Triplett, 2015). Resistance training principles and youth resistance training principles, balanced development of adolescents’ front and rear muscle groups, including core exercises: half squats, Romanian dead lift (RDL), auxiliary exercises: single leg push, standing and single foot Hip flexion, heel lift, toe lift and joint actions: stick pose, and refer to the research and design training content of (Hill-Haas, Bishop, Dawson, Goodman, & Edge, 2007)) training volume adjustment for adolescent muscle strength training and refer to the research (Ignjatovic, Radovanovic, Stankovic, Markovic, & Kocic, 2011). Adjust the training volume for adolescent
muscle endurance training, and calculate the total training volume of the two groups in the way of load \times \text{number of groups} \times \text{times}.

- The specific content is shown in Table 2, and the training action diagram is shown in Figure 2-1 to Figure 2-6.
- The endurance training schedule uses a stationary bicycle to perform HIIT and adjusts the intensity according to (McKay et al., 2009). During the training, the revolutions per minute (rpm) of the bicycle must be maintained above 100 rpm.
- 48 hours after the 12th week of the training period, the incremental soccer test (Footeval), Wingate anaerobic power test, and lower limb 1-RM estimation test will be performed again on different days.

Tests items and methods:

**Wingate Anaerobic Test**

Wingate anaerobic with stationary bicycle (Bar-Or, 1987). The test is used to determine peak anaerobic power and anaerobic capacity. Anaerobic power is the ability to produce energy by the ATP-PC energy pathway. Anaerobic capacity is the combined ability of both anaerobic pathways to produce energy and so is shown as the average power output during the test.

**The Footeval Test**

Is an incremental and intermittent football (soccer) specific test designed by (Manouvrier, Cassirame, & Ahmaidi, 2016). The test is based on the 20m shuttle test, though it incorporates dribbling of a soccer ball and 30 second rest periods after every minute. With the aim to measure aerobic fitness and skill in soccer players.

Equipment required: 30m x 10m grassed field area, measuring tape, marker cones, soccer balls, soccer goal, portable barrier for reflecting the kicked ball, audio track and audio player as shown in the figure 3.

**Figure 3. (Footeval) Test intermittent (soccer) specific test**

**One-Repetition Maximum or 1-RM test:**

Repetition maximum is often expressed as 1RM or one-repetition maximum. This indicates the heaviest weight you can lift with maximum effort in a single repetition. A 1RM is your personal weightlifting record for a squat, deadlift, or any other weightlifting exercise.

The 1RM measurement is a standard in weight training for marking improvement (Seo et al., 2012). By establishing your 1RM and tracking it, you are able to observe your progress. According to (Gregory & Travis, 2015) 1-RM test process adjusted to 6-RM test process, the entire test must measure the weight of 6-RM within 5 times, and use (G. G. Haff & Triplett, 2015) The table “Estimated 1-RM and Load Weight” estimates the weight of 1-RM.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the using SPSS software (version 22) and Significance levels were set at $p \leq 0.05$. Shapiro- Wilk test was used to evaluate normal distribution of the conformity of continuous variables. The t-test for normally distributed variables. Comparison by two-way ANOVA, mixed design Between and within.
AerT power, AT power, PP, AP, FI and squat, RDL. To detect the sub-group differences, the rate % of change of the pre- and post-test values between each group, and compare the difference in the rate of change between groups by independent sample t test. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The effect size of the pre- and post-measurement values between each group. Judgment of the amount of effect: Very small (trivial): <0.20, small (small): 0.20-0.49, medium (moderate): 0.50-0.79, large: >0.80 (Hedges & Schauer, 2019)

**Results**

The results shown in Table 3 referred to the influence of twelve weeks of different synchronized training on aerobic endurance, the AerT power of the two groups was not significantly different, before training the AT power of the second group was significantly better than before training (p <.05); only the ME group had significantly better time to exhaustion than before training (p <.05), There is no significant difference between the two groups before and after the test group.

The effect of AT power in the MS group and ME group were 0.48 and 0.36, respectively; the effect of exhaustion time in the ME group was 0.24, which was a small effect.

Table 3. Aerobic threshold power and anaerobic threshold power

|                      | MS group             | ME group             |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| **(AerT) power (watt)** | Before training       | After training       |
|                      | 160.44 ± 53.73       | 164.00 ± 64.44       |
|                      | 176.22 ± 33.50       | 179.57 ± 45.70       |
| **Change rate (%)**  | 49.85 ± 61.54        | 40.04 ± 67.12        |
| **(AT) power (watt)** | Before training       | After training       |
|                      | 407.12 ± 52.92       | 411.11 ± 48.48       |
|                      | 431.78 ± 48.84*      | 429.16 ± 52.13*      |
| **Change rate (%)**  | 13.15 ± 7.17         | 0.86 ± 4.50          |
| **exhaustion Time (min)** | Before training       | After training       |
|                      | 22.13 ± 7.37         | 23.44 ± 7.73         |
|                      | 25.22 ± 8.24         | 24.78 ± 8.74*        |
| **Change rate (%)**  | 11.57 ± 18.61        | 12.63 ± 10.13        |

Note: MS group: muscular strength group, ME group: muscular endurance group, AerT: aerobic threshold, AT: anaerobic threshold. Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation, each group n = 10
* Significant difference compared with previous test (p <.05).

The results shown in Table 3,4 and 5 referred to the influence of twelve weeks of different synchronized training on anaerobic performance, twelve weeks later, the weight of the muscle strength group was 73.91 ± 6.64 kg, and the weight of the muscle endurance group was 72.13 ± 7.45 kg. The results of PP, relative PP, AP, relative AP and FI of the muscle strength group and muscle endurance group before and after the test are shown in Table 4. There was no significant difference between the two groups of PP, relative PP, AP, relative AP and FI after twelve weeks of training, and there was no significant difference between the two groups before and after the test.

Table 4. Peak power average and fatigue index

|                      | MS group             | ME group             |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| **PP (watt)**        | before training       | after training       |
|                      | 710.57 ± 172.12      | 744.82 ± 206.38      |
|                      | 716.89 ± 210.15      | 729.19 ± 225.56      |
| **Change rate (%)**  | 4.53 ± 11.03         | 1.32 ± 6.80          |
| **Relative pp (watt)kg/w** | before training       |
|                      | 13.00 ± 1.35         | 13.22 ± 2.19         |
after training. & 13.43 ± 1.62 & 13.28 ± 2.39 \\
Change rate ( %) & 3.60 ± 10.18 & 0.32 ± 6.76 \\

| Ap (watt) | before training & 441.28 ± 132.45 & 446.45 ± 141.43 \\
| | after training. & 448.22 ± 128.45 & 444.92 ± 143.72 \\
| | Change rate ( %) & 1.82 ± 4.39 & -0.53 ± 0.27 \\

| Relative Ap (watt) kg/w | before training & 8.00 ± 1.14 & 8.19 ± 1.39 \\
| | after training. & 8.07 ± 1.11 & 8.07 ± 1.44 \\
| | Change rate ( %) & 0.97 ± 4.15 & -1.48 ± 0.74 \\

| F I (%) | before training & 64.33 ± 10.38 & 66.51 ± 10.85 \\
| | after training. & 66.09 ± 8.74 & 58.68 ± 12.10 \\

Note: MS group: muscular strength group, ME group: muscular endurance group, PP: peak power, AP: average power, FI: fatigue index. Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation, each group n = 10

Table 5. Maximum muscle strength of lower limbs

| | MS group | ME group |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Half squat (kg) | before training & 157.45 ± 35.66 & 135.34 ± 26.27 \\
| | after training & 169.87 ± 47.31* & 144.41 ± 35.78* \\
| Change rate (%) & 12.83 ± 10.34 & 10.69 ± 8.82 \\

| relative to squat (kg/kg/w) | before training & 2.34 ± 0.40 & 2.16 ± 0.29 \\
| | after training & 2.52 ± 0.49* & 2.37 ± 0.38* \\
| Rate of change & 7.92 ± 10.07 & 9.65 ± 9.49 \\

| (%) Romanian deadlift (kg) | before training & 120.16± 15.28 & 96.93 ± 24.57 \\
| | after training & 122.56± 1939* & 103.46 ± 24.15* \\
| Rate of change & 9.05 ± 9.44 & 8.41 ± 9.44 \\

| (%) vs. Romanian deadlift (kg/kgw) | before taking & 1.71 ± 0.26 & 1.61 ± 0.26 \\
| | after training & 1.85 ± 0.29* & 1.72 ± 0.29* \\
| Change rate (%) & 8.06 ± 7.99 & 7.28 ± 8.33 \\

Note: MS group: muscular strength group, ME group: muscular endurance group, AerT: aerobic threshold, AT: anaerobic threshold. Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation, each group n = 10
* Significant difference compared with previous test (p <.05)

Discussion

The main study was conducted to evaluate the effect of twelve weeks of different synchronized training on the aerobic endurance of U21 soccer players. In this study, after 12 weeks of muscle strength and muscle endurance training with the same HIIT, the AerT power and AT power after the two types of training have a tendency to increase, and the AT power of the two groups has improved significantly, and the effect is small (ES: 0.36-0.48), the result of AT power increase is in line with our expected result. In the previous studies of synchronized training, most of the aerobic endurance indicators used VO2max (Dittrich et al., 2011; Farrell et al., 2018; Impellizzeri et al., 2005; McKay et al., 2009; Wen et al., 2019); however, AT and endurance sports performance are also highly correlated (Gharaczibaei, 2021), and predict the performance of soccer players or long-distance runners by analyzing AT (Hoff et al., 2002;
Impellizzeri et al., 2005; Messonnier et al., 2022; Schau et al., 2018). Speed or power higher output means that athletes can maintain higher speed or power output during long-term exercise (Izquierdo, Häkkinen, Gonzalez-Badillo, Ibáñez, & Gorostiaga, 2002; Mohammed, Bachir, Eddine, & Adel, 2018). The change of AT may be Resynthesis of creatine acid, supplementation of glucose and oxygen, and elimination of lactic acid are related to positive adaptation (Benchehida et al., 2021; Kendrick et al., 2008; McKay et al., 2009; Schumann, Yli-Peltola, Abbiss, & Häkkinen, 2015). Therefore, the results of this study show that the two groups of different forms of synchronized training have significantly increased the power output of AT, and it can be inferred that the aerobic endurance performance of the two groups of athletes has improved significantly. However, the AerT power did not change significantly in the results of this study. Skovgaard (2018) believe that the load corresponding to AerT is the upper limit of pure aerobic metabolism (Hill-Haas et al., 2007; Skovgaard et al., 2018; Messonnier et al., 2022). Previous studies have pointed out that resistance training can increase the running economy of well-trained long-distance runners by 8% (Gorostiaga, Izquierdo, Iturralde, Ruesta, & Ibáñez, 1999; Kraemer, Ratamess, & French, 2002; Krzysztofik et al., 2019). HIIT can improve neuromuscular characteristics and then manifest in muscle strength and work economy (Mikkola et al., 2012; Tillin & Folland, 2014; García-Pinillos, Cámara-Pérez, Soto-Hermoso, & Latorre-Román, 2017), while improving anaerobic metabolism (Ziemann et al., 2011). The training program designed in this study did not train for aerobic metabolism, so it could not improve AerT power. The use of resistance training combined with HIIT synchronized training found that the power output of AT has been significantly improved after training (Petré, Löfving, & Psilander, 2018), which are the same as the results presented in this study; if the order of resistance training and endurance training is reversed (HIIT first, then resistance training), the power output of AT is not significantly different from before training (Fyfe, Bartlett, Hanson, Stepto, & Bishop, 2016; Ignjatovic et al., 2011; Saddek et al., 2020). However, a study of healthy adults with moderate activity points out that regardless of the order of resistance exercise and endurance exercise, the power of AT can be significantly increased (Lee et al., 2020). The results of this study showed that only the ME group made significant progress in exhaustion time in the wingate test. In the past, synchronized training was used to improve individual endurance performance (Hickson, 1980; Mujika et al., 2018; Petré et al., 2018; Winter et al., 2016), but high-intensity training will increase more type II Muscle fibers, not aerobic type I muscle fibers (García-Pinillos et al., 2017; Lievens et al., 2020; Mikkola et al., 2012; Mokhtar et al., 2019; Reggiani & Schiaffino, 2020). High-volume resistance training may improve monocarboxylate transporter proteins MCT1 and MCT4, thereby increasing lactate clearance and increasing the load corresponding to AT (Adel, Abdelkader, et al., 2019; Mohamed, Mohamed, Mohammed, Mokrani, & Belkadi, 2019). Lantis, Farrell III, Cantrell, & Larson (2017) proved that high-volume resistance training can delay the appearance of 4 mmol·L−1 and increase leg muscle strength for experimental participants with endurance training experience (Farrell et al., 2018). Therefore, it can be inferred that the ME group increased the lactic acid clearance rate after training, and the exhaustion time in the wingate test was longer than before the training. However, the current research on synchronized training for young players is limited compared with adults, and the synchronized training research that allows young athletes to use HIIT as an endurance training method focuses on actual sports performance and the impact of VO2 (Buchheit et al., 2010; Karahan, 2020; Wen et al., 2019), there is a limited research to explore the change of aerobic capacity through [la-] calculating AerT and AT.

After 12 weeks of muscle strength and endurance training with the same HIIT, there was no significant difference between PP and AP after the two trainings and before training, and the FI showed an increasing trend; however, the half squats and RDL 1-RM of the two groups as well as the relative half squat, RDL, 1-RM has been significantly improved after training, and the effect is small to medium (ES: 0.27-0.62). This result is in line with our expectation that by improving the 1-RM of the lower limbs. Previous studies with adults found that synchronized training did not only significantly improved the 1-RM of the upper or lower limbs, but also significantly enhanced the PP of the upper or lower limbs (Hartono, Martin-Arrowsmith, Peeters, & Churchward-Venne, 2022; Murlasits, Kneffel, & Thalib, 2018; Parastesh, Saremi, Hashemi, Ramezani, & Shavandi, 2022). However, some studies have found that although the 1-RM of the lower limbs is significantly increased compared to before training, there is no significant change in PP (Adel, Abdelkader, et al., 2019; Adel, Alia, & Mohammed, 2020). The study of (Jones et al., 2021) divided 08 men with casual exercise habits into resistance training group, HIIT + resistance training group, and medium-intensity continuous training + resistance training group, for 8 weeks, 3 times a week training intervention. The results showed that 1-RM, CMJ in the resistance training group the performance training effect is significantly better than the other.
two groups. The author pointed out that although synchronized training significantly improves 1-RM, resistance training has a more significant improvement in 1-RM training effect than synchronized training. Therefore, it may be related to the interference effect of synchronized training, which affects the development of 1-RM. There is no significant improvement in the PP that makes the reverse jump. In addition, HIIT can improve the aerobic and anaerobic capacity, physical fitness and performance of time to exhaustion of well-trained athletes (Gäbler et al., 2018; Kraemer et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2020). (Schumann et al., 2015) let men and women with a fitness habit use the Wingate test (do their best) to perform HIIT. The results show that after training, PP, AP and FI are significantly better than the previous test. (Adel, Abdelkader, et al., 2019) compare the difference between HIIT (85~100% HRmax) and high-intensity continuous running (85% HRmax). The results show that only PP and AP improved significantly after training in the HIIT group. The common point of the above studies is that they all use the maximum training intensity for HIIT, while the twelve-week HIIT in this study maintained the same training intensity, only increasing the training volume after the 4th and 8th weeks. It is speculated that the factors that did not improve anaerobic power may be related to the insufficient training intensity of HIIT. In many synchronized training studies targeting teenagers in the past, the use of moderate to medium-to-high intensity resistance training (60-85% 1-RM) combined with endurance training can significantly improve the 1-RM of ordinary teenagers and young athletes (García-Pinillos et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2020; Robineau et al., 2017). Such results are usually attributed to increased neuromuscular activation rather than muscle hypertrophy (Reggiani & Schiaffino, 2020). In this study, the two types of simultaneous training with 67-85% 1-RM training load improved the 1-RM of the lower limbs of young soccer players, supporting the results of previous studies. It can be inferred that athletes at this stage do not need to use maximum load for resistance training to improve 1-RM performance. The study of (Saddek Benhammou et al., 2021) used elite male long-distance runners to compare the performance differences between 12-week muscle strength training and endurance training on teams. The results showed that the 1-RM, running economy, and peak speed of the two training methods were significantly improved after training, but VO2max and HRmax did not improve significantly. The author believes that because the experimental participants are high-level athletes, the trainability of aerobic capacity is limited; on the other hand, the addition of resistance training does not have a negative impact on VO2max. This result can explain the difference between resistance training and endurance training. They do not interfere with each other, but have the concept of additional benefits (Izquierdo et al., 2002). The same as this study is that they all improve 1-RM, but it may be due to the relationship between different levels, different dependent variables of the test, and different exercise patterns. The aerobic capacity (AT) of this study has improved, and the anaerobic capacity (PP) There is no difference.

Conclusions

This study is divided into two types of synchronized training for 12 weeks, two training interventions per week, namely muscle strength training with HIIT and muscle endurance training with HIIT, and their effects on aerobic endurance, anaerobic power and 1-RM. Conclusion In order to improve the AT power of young athletes under normal soccer training conditions, both types of synchronized training can also improve 1-RM of the lower limbs. In this study, PP, AP, and FI have not changed. It is recommended that if young athletes are allowed to perform HIIT endurance training in future studies, attention should be paid to the adjustment of training intensity. This study originally expected that the various indicators of the muscle strength group after training were significantly higher than the muscle endurance group, but the results of the two groups were not significantly different in each variable. Therefore, it can be inferred that regardless of whether the synchronized training is carried out by muscle strength or muscle endurance, resistance training loads at medium to medium-to-high intensity (67-85% 1-RM) can improve aerobic endurance and 1-RM effect, it is recommended that the coach can follow the exercise. The training status and development situation of the staff choose a suitable training method. Finally, the standard deviation of each variable in the results of this study is very large. It is speculated that athletes at this stage (junior high school, high school) have large differences in abilities, and gender may also be one of the factors. It is recommended that the ethnic conditions of experimental participants in future studies are as large as possible Similarity, to avoid inconsistencies in the abilities of various experimental participants, resulting in unclear training effects.
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