The aim of this work was to investigate the microstructure and mechanical properties of the two-component melt-spun (TCMS) alloy produced from Ni40Fe40B20 and Ni70Cu10P20 melts. The Ni40Fe40B20, Ni70Cu10P20, Ni55Fe20Cu5P10B10 alloys were arc-melted. Then the alloys were melt-spun in the two different ways i.e.: by casting from a single-chamber crucible and from the two-chamber crucible. All of the above mentioned alloys were processed in the first way and the Ni40Fe40B20 and Ni70Cu10P20 were simultaneously cast on the copper roller from the two-chamber crucible. The microstructure of the alloy was studied using transmission electron microscopy (TEM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with energy dispersive spectrometry (EDS) and light microscopy. The mechanical properties were investigated using tensile testing and nanoindentation. The two-component melt-spun (TCMS) amorphous Ni55Fe20Cu5P10B10 alloy present hardness, tensile strength and Young modulus on the significantly higher level than for a single phase amorphous Ni55Fe20Cu5P10B10 alloy and slightly below the corresponding values for the Ni40Fe40B20.
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### 1. Introduction

Metallic glasses are highly valued engineering material, among others due to their good mechanical properties, high magnetic permeability and interesting electrical properties. However, the lack of plasticity is serious disadvantage [1-2]. The possibility of improving the ductility of metallic glasses was examined in many works. The two-phase composite Ni58.5Nb20.25Y21.25 alloy has better plasticity due to the addition of the second phase. The propagation of shear bands during deformation mainly initiates in the softer matrix, but it is interrupted or deflected when they collide with the globular harder phase [3]. Another alloy improved by precipitations of the second phase is (Zr48Cu36Ag8Al8)90Ta10. The addition of 10% Ta increase plastic strain from 0.1% to 31% of this alloy [4]. However, the size of the precipitates of second phase in these alloys is diversified and the ability to produce such materials is limited only to a group of alloys, consisting mostly of rare earth elements.

There is a new technique for production of amorphous composites which overcomes limitations listed above [5-7]. Two component melt spinning enables obtaining composite amorphous/amorphous alloys consisting of thin bands of glassy phases of the differentiated chemical composition. Composites produced in this way are also characterized by a ductile fracture. The aim of this work is show interesting microstructure and mechanical properties of the two-component melt-spun (TCMS) alloy produced from Ni40Fe40B20 and Ni70Cu10P20 melts.

### 2. Experimental

Three-component alloys: Ni40Fe40B20, Ni70Cu10P20 and five-component alloy Ni55Fe20Cu5P10B10 were prepared starting from pure elements 99.95 wt. % Ni, 99.95 wt. % Fe, 99.95 wt. % Cu, Ni-P, Cu-P, Ni-B, and Fe-B master alloys. The precursors were arc-melted under argon titanium gettered atmosphere. Then the alloys were melt-spun in helium atmosphere at 40 m/s and ejection pressure of 150 kPa. The crucible orifice diameter was 1.2 mm. The four alloys were ejected on the roller. Three ribbons produced from Ni40Fe40B20, Ni70Cu10P20 and Ni55Fe20Cu5P10B10 alloys were obtained by ejection after re-melting in a single-chamber crucible and then ejected into the copper roller. However, the ribbon of the Ni55Fe20Cu5P10B10 nominal composition was obtained also by two component melt spinning (TCMS) of the Ni40Fe40B20 and Ni70Cu10P20 liquid alloys (Fig. 1). The microstructure and phase analysis of the TCMS sample was investigated using JEOL 300 kV transmission electron microscope (TEM). Cross-section microstructure of the TCMS Ni55Fe20Cu5P10B10 ribbon was observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM) with EDS JEOL 6610 and light microscope (LM) OLYMPUS GX51.

Nanoindentation tests were performed on mounted and polished cross-section of the ribbons, using a Nanoindenter NHT 50-183 with a diamond Berkovich-type indenter. The measurements are performed using a following parameters: constant loading rate of 100 μN/min to a maximum force of 50 μN, held
during 10 s followed by unloading at a constant rate of 100 μN/min. The hardness and Young modulus were derived from load-displacement curves in accordance with Oliver and Pharr method [8]. After the tests, traces of the indenter were examined by scanning electron microscope with EDS JEOL 6610. The tensile tests of the ribbons were performed. The specimens with a gauge length of 20 mm, a width of 2.4 mm, and a thickness of 23 μm ± 6 μm were prepared, and tested at room temperature at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min. Following the tensile tests, the fractures of the Ni55Fe20Cu5P10B10 TCMS ribbon as well as the Ni40Fe40B20, Ni70Cu10P20, and Ni55Fe20Cu5P10B10 ribbons melt-spun from a single chamber crucible were characterized by means of a scanning electron microscope with EDS JEOL 6610.

3. Results and discussion

TEM microstructure of the TCMS Ni55Fe20Cu5P10B10 alloy is presented in Figure 2a. The microstructure of this ribbon shows darker bands marked as “A” and brighter bands marked as “B” (Fig. 2a). Electron diffraction pattern in Figure 2b shows broad diffusive ring. This proves that the TCMS alloy has amorphous structure. One strong diffusive ring is located in the position which corresponds to the range of values between 1.9 Å and 2.3 Å. Different of contrast between areas “A” and “B” as shown in the microstructure of the two-component melt-spun alloy, may be due to the content of the species having different atomic numbers. Thus, the “A” areas are darker because they contain more Ni (Z = 28) and Cu (Z = 29) and “B” areas are enriched in Ni (Z = 28) and Fe (Z = 26).

Cross-section microstructure of TCMS Ni55Fe20Cu5P10B10 ribbon and results of EDS analysis is presented in Figure 3. EDS line scan is defined as white line on SEM image (Fig. 3a) and as white arrows on LM image (Fig. 3b). Figure 3b presents lamellar microstructure of TCMS Ni55Fe20Cu5P10B10 ribbon ejected by two component melt spinning (TCMS) from the Ni40Fe40B20 and Ni70Cu10P20 liquid alloys. Results of EDS analysis (Fig. 3c) show that the bands visible on LM image (Fig. 3b) have differentiated chemical composition. The darker bands are enriched in Ni, Cu and P but brighter bands mainly contain Fe. Boron content was not analyzed, but it is expected that the brighter areas are also enriched in B. Obviously, the fluxes of Ni40Fe40B20 and Ni70Cu10P20 liquid alloys were slightly mixed while passing through the orifice in the crucible. However, rapid cooling during the melt spinning process did not lead to complete mixing and homogenization of the alloys. It allowed to obtain a lamellar microstructure, composed of bands of Ni-Fe-B and Ni-Cu-P alloys.

Fig. 3. Microstructure of TCMS Ni55Fe20Cu5P10B10 ribbon with results of EDS analysis; a) SEM image with EDS line scan; b) Light microscope image with EDS line scan determined by white arrows; c) EDS results of line marked on (a) and (b)
The observation performed using TEM and SEM confirm that the microstructure of TCMS Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Cu\textsubscript{5}P\textsubscript{10} ribbon has a lamellar wood-like morphology, consisting of brighter and darker amorphous bands of the differentiated chemical composition that probably correspond to the Ni-Cu-P and Ni-Fe-B alloys.

Figure 4 presents load-displacement nanoindentation curves of all studied alloys and EDS maps of Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Cu\textsubscript{5}P\textsubscript{10} ribbons ejected from single-chamber and double-chamber crucible (Fig. 4b). Due to the weak contrast, the indentation places were marked by triangles. The values of Hardness (H) and Young modulus (E) are presented in Figures 5a, 5c and in Table 1. Load-displacement curves (Fig. 4a) and the values received from the nanoindentation test (Fig. 5a, 5c, Table 1) show that the highest hardness and Young modulus are obtained for Ni\textsubscript{40}Fe\textsubscript{40}B\textsubscript{20} alloy, i.e.: $H = 961$ HV, $E = 176$ GPa, respectively. Considerably lower H and E values are obtained for the remaining ribbons melt-spun from the single-chamber crucible, i.e.: Ni\textsubscript{70}Cu\textsubscript{10}P\textsubscript{20} – $H = 620$ HV, $E = 114$ GPa, and Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Cu\textsubscript{5}P\textsubscript{10}B\textsubscript{10} – $H = 575$ HV, $E = 108$ GPa. Hardness of two-component melt-spun Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Cu\textsubscript{5}P\textsubscript{10}B\textsubscript{10} ribbon is $H = 724$ HV and Young modulus $E = 141$ GPa. The results of EDS analysis (Fig. 4b) show lamellar microstructure of TCMS Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Cu\textsubscript{5}P\textsubscript{10}B\textsubscript{10} ribbon. Bands enriched in Fe also contain Ni, in turn bands enriched in Ni, contain Cu and P. This results prove that microstructure of TCMS amorphous composite is composed of bands of Ni-Fe-B and Ni-Cu-P alloys.

The results of the tensile tests presented in Figures 5b, 5c, 6 and Table 1 show that the highest tensile strength and Young modulus are obtained for Ni\textsubscript{40}Fe\textsubscript{40}B\textsubscript{20} alloy, i.e.: $R_m = 2055$ MPa, $E = 152$ GPa, respectively. Substantially lower $R_m$ and E values are obtained for the another ribbons ejected from the single-chamber crucible, i.e.: Ni\textsubscript{70}Cu\textsubscript{10}P\textsubscript{20} – $R_m = 592$ MPa, $E = 54$ GPa, and Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Cu\textsubscript{5}P\textsubscript{10}B\textsubscript{10} – $R_m = 634$ MPa, $E = 78$ GPa. For all of the above mentioned alloys $\sigma – \varepsilon$ linear relationships without apparent plastic deformation are observed. However, the TCMS Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Cu\textsubscript{5}P\textsubscript{10}B\textsubscript{10} $\sigma – \varepsilon$ plot just before breaking presents plastic deformation. Tensile strength of the alloy is $R_m = 985$ MPa, and Young modulus is $E = 119$ GPa.

Homogeneous alloys: Ni\textsubscript{40}Fe\textsubscript{40}B\textsubscript{20}, Ni\textsubscript{70}Cu\textsubscript{10}P\textsubscript{20}, which were used for producing the TCMS ribbon have significantly different mechanical properties. Hardness, Young modulus and tensile strength of Ni\textsubscript{40}Fe\textsubscript{40}B\textsubscript{20} ribbon is significantly higher than obtained for Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Cu\textsubscript{5}P\textsubscript{10}B\textsubscript{10} alloy. However, mechanical properties of two-component melt-spun ribbon are lower than Ni\textsubscript{40}Fe\textsubscript{40}B\textsubscript{20} and higher than Ni\textsubscript{70}Cu\textsubscript{10}P\textsubscript{20} alloy. Values of hardness and Young modulus of TCMS Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Cu\textsubscript{5}P\textsubscript{10}B\textsubscript{10} ribbon are also near to the average values obtained for Ni\textsubscript{40}Fe\textsubscript{40}B\textsubscript{20} and Ni\textsubscript{70}Cu\textsubscript{10}P\textsubscript{20} alloys. Moreover, the $\sigma – \varepsilon$ curve of TCMS ribbon as opposed to other studied alloys, shows plasticity. Hardness, Young modulus and tensile strength obtained for TCMS ribbon are also higher than for Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Cu\textsubscript{5}P\textsubscript{10}B\textsubscript{10} alloy ejected from single-chamber crucible. These results are confirm that two-phase structure of TCMS ribbon has improved the mechanical properties and plasticity of the alloy in comparison with single-phase alloys. The obtained results are also in accordance with the results of Consustell [3].

The main reason for the differentiation of Young modulus values obtained using nanoindentation and tensile test is that the nanoindentation test is local method and tensile test involves

| Alloy | Nanoindentation test | Tensile test |
|------|----------------------|-------------|
|      | Hardness, [HV] | Young modulus, $E$, [GPa] | Tensile strength, $R_m$, [MPa] | Young modulus, $E$, [GPa] |
| Ni\textsubscript{40}Fe\textsubscript{40}B\textsubscript{20} | 961 | 176 | 2055 | 152 |
| Ni\textsubscript{70}Cu\textsubscript{10}P\textsubscript{20} | 620 | 114 | 592 | 54 |
| Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Cu\textsubscript{5}P\textsubscript{10}B\textsubscript{10} | 575 | 108 | 634 | 78 |
| TCMS Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Cu\textsubscript{5}P\textsubscript{10}B\textsubscript{10} | 724 | 141 | 985 | 119 |
more volume of the sample. Furthermore, the stress distribution at the nanoindenter is complex compared with the much simpler stress distributions for the macroscopic tensile test [9]

Tensile fractures of Ni$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$B$_{20}$, Ni$_{70}$Cu$_{10}$P$_{20}$, and Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Cu$_{5}$P$_{10}$B$_{10}$, and TCMS Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Cu$_{5}$P$_{10}$B$_{10}$ are presented in (Fig. 7a-d), respectively. Fractures of Ni$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$B$_{20}$, Ni$_{70}$Cu$_{10}$P$_{20}$, and Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Cu$_{5}$P$_{10}$B$_{10}$ (Fig. 5a-c) ribbons ejected from single-chamber crucible are smooth, showing the fragility of the glassy alloys. This is connected with plastic flow in the form of a single shear bands, which is consistent with observation of Spaepen [10]. However, the fracture of the TCMS Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Cu$_{5}$P$_{10}$B$_{10}$ (Fig. 7d) alloy ejected from single-chamber crucible has more developed surface than the Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Cu$_{5}$P$_{10}$B$_{10}$ alloy (Fig. 7c) produced by using traditional single-chamber crucible. There are many shear bands on a fracture (Fig. 7d) (marked by white arrows) which is typical for ductile materials [11]. It is associated with a band-like microstructure of the differentiated Ni-Fe-B/Ni-Cu-P chemical composition. EDS maps presented in Figure 7e show that segments of ductile fracture can be found in the boundaries between the bands of Ni-Fe-B and Ni-Cu-P alloys (marked by dotted lines and arrows). The observation proves that the differentiated chemical composition of the Ni-Fe-B and Ni-Cu-P bands influence the fracture formation in the TCMS Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Cu$_{5}$P$_{10}$B$_{10}$ alloy. It is in agreement with results of Concustell [3] where the spherical precipitations of the second phase located in the amorphous matrix improved ductility of Ni-Nb-Y alloy.

4. Conclusion

1. The results of TEM observations confirm that the TCMS Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Cu$_{5}$P$_{10}$B$_{10}$ is amorphous and it consists of bands with different chemical composition, whereas SEM observations and EDS analysis confirm that these bands correspond to Ni$_{50}$Cu$_{10}$P$_{20}$ and Ni$_{50}$Fe$_{40}$B$_{20}$ areas.
2. TCMS ribbon was produced from alloys of significantly different mechanical properties. Values of Young modulus, hardness and tensile strength of TCMS are intermediate between Ni$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$B$_{20}$ and Ni$_{70}$Cu$_{10}$P$_{20}$ alloys. Moreover, the TCMS Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Cu$_{5}$P$_{10}$B$_{10}$ presents mechanical properties on the significantly higher level than for a single phase amorphous Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Cu$_{5}$P$_{10}$B$_{10}$ alloy.

3. The unique microstructure of the TCMS alloy influences the formation of the ductile fracture that is related to the arrangement of the bands of the differentiated chemical composition. While the Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Cu$_{5}$P$_{10}$B$_{10}$ alloy ejected from single-chamber crucible presents brittle fracture, the special feature of the fracture found in the TCMS Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Cu$_{5}$P$_{10}$B$_{10}$ alloy is ductile appearance of the fracture, where ductile segments of the fracture coincide with the boundaries between the Ni$_{70}$Cu$_{10}$P$_{20}$ and Ni$_{40}$Fe$_{40}$B$_{20}$ bands.
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