Multibin correlations in a superposition approach to relativistic heavy-ion collisions
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Analysis of correlation of multiplicities between various rapidity bins is carried out in the framework of a superposition approach consisting of three phases of the ultra-relativistic nuclear collision: early partonic phase, intermediate collective evolution, and statistical hadronization. Simple relations between the moments of produced hadrons and the moments of the sources produced in the initial partonic phase are presented. They involve only a few effective parameters describing the of microscopic dynamics of the system. We illustrate the practicality of the approach with the Glauber model simulations. Our study carries direct relevance for the interpretation of the upcoming results for the multibin multiplicity correlations from the LHC, which will help in an assessment of the correlation features of the state formed in the earliest stage of the reaction.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Long-range rapidity correlations between multiplicities of produced hadrons have been under active consideration since the early experiments with pp and p̅p collisions [1-4], followed with heavy-ion data in the RHIC era [5-7]. Numerous theoretical investigations followed, in particular [8-19]. The interest is driven by the expectation that such correlations may provide understanding of the elementary space-time dynamics in the earliest (partonic) stages of the reaction. An extension of the conventional forward-backward analysis based on multibin correlations in rapidity and applying factorial moments has been developed in [20-22].

We recall that according to the interpretation of the STAR Collaboration data of Ref. [6, 7], made in [23, 24], we are quite far from understanding theoretically in a complete way the forward-backward correlations in heavy-ion collisions, in particular their centrality dependence. With the analyses from the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) expected to be released in the near future, it is important to prepare theoretical ground for the interpretation of the data, which is one of the goals of our work.

In this paper, which extends the analysis of [25] to the case of three bins (forward, central, and backward), we analyze the pseudorapidity correlations in a superposition approach. In this framework, to describe the statistical aspects of relativistic heavy-ion dynamics we use a common picture involving three separate stages: 1) early generation of initial partonic densities (sources), 2) collective (hydrodynamic or transport) evolution, and 3) statistical hadronization. A crucial assumption for the method is that the emission from a source is independent from other sources and universal, i.e., occurs from the same statistical distribution independently of the rapidity or the centrality class of the collision. This leads to very simple formulas for the statistical measures, relating the moments of the initial sources to the moments of the distribution of the produced hadrons, in particular the multibin correlation coefficients. Parameters present in these relations depend on the features of the overlaid statistical distributions and properties of hydrodynamics, and have simple interpretation.

We use the derived relations to obtain predictions for multiplicities, variances, and multibin correlations of the produced hadrons. To model the initial phase, we use the Glauber framework based on wounded nucleons [26-28] amended with binary collisions [29, 31]. The predictions are made for the Pb+Pb collisions at √sNN = 2.76 TeV, as the corresponding analyses from the LHC are expected to be published in the near future.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we review the three-stage approach, consisting of the early production, the hydrodynamic evolution, and the final freeze-out. We derive the relations between the multibin moments of the sources produced in the early phase and of the multibin moments of final hadrons. Some general predictions of the approach are given in Sec. III. In Sec. IV we discuss the technically important issues related to the choice of the centrality bins. For the considered statistical measures, scaling laws with the width of the centrality bin are derived. Section V presents our results of the Glauber simulations for the initial phase. We show here the practicality of our method in the application to the future experimental data, which will serve to verify the model of the initial phase.

II. THREE STAGE APPROACH

In Ref. [25] we have considered a three-stage approach, based on superposition, that describes certain features of
production of particles in relativistic heavy ion collisions and their correlations, focusing entirely on statistical aspects. In this framework, the complicated dynamical evolution of the system is represented with the following separate stages:

1. Initial phase, which may be modeled with the Glauber approach [26–29, 31–32], color glass condensate [33–36], string formation [9, 37], etc. As the result of this early dynamics, some initial space-time distribution of entropy or energy-density is generated. We assume that first the initial sources are formed (see Sec. I.A), denoted with $s$, which then produce the distribution of initial partons, $p$ (see top of Fig. 1). The extent of correlation between the source densities in spatial rapidity bins $B$, $C$, or $F$ depends on the early dynamics.

2. Hydrodynamic evolution (for reviews see, e.g., [38, 39] and references therein) or transport evolution [40] evolves the initial density of partons $p$ into expanding hydrodynamic fluid density $h$ (third row in Fig. 1). In our considerations it is only important that $h$ is (to a good approximation) proportional to $p$.

3. Freeze-out and the statistical hadronization mechanism (see, e.g., [41] for a review), converting the hydrodynamic fluid into hadrons $n$, which are then subject to experimental observation in various pseudorapidity bins.

The dots in Fig. 2 indicate the sources in bin $A$, where $A = F, B, C$. Their corresponding numbers are denoted as $s_A$ throughout this paper.
**B. Initial dynamics**

In the early stage of the reaction, the sources emit partons\footnote{We note that this mechanism was implemented already in the original wounded nucleon model [26], where the Poisson distribution was overlaid over the distribution of the wounded nucleons.} We assume that each source produces partons independently of one another and, in addition, the production occurs independently in the $F$, $B$, and $C$ bins, as they are assumed to be well separated in the spatial rapidity $\eta_i$. Thus, each source $i$ emits $\mu_i$ partons according to the same distribution,

$$p_A = \sum_{i=1}^{s_A} \mu_i, \quad A = B, F, C. \quad (1)$$

It is then elementary to obtain the formulas for the above superposition model for moments corresponding to averages over events (here listed up to rank three):

$$\langle p_A \rangle = \langle \mu \rangle \langle s_A \rangle,$$

$$\langle \Delta p_A \rangle = \text{var}(\mu) \langle s_A \rangle + \langle \mu \rangle^2 \langle \Delta s_A^2 \rangle,$$

$$\langle \Delta p_A \Delta p_{A'} \rangle = \langle \mu \rangle^2 \langle \Delta s_A \Delta s_{A'} \rangle,$$

$$\langle \Delta p_A \Delta p_{A'}^3 \rangle \equiv \mu_3(\mu) \langle s_A \rangle \langle \mu \rangle \langle \Delta s_A^2 \rangle + \langle \mu \rangle^3 \langle \Delta s_A^3 \rangle,$$

$$\langle \Delta p_F \Delta p_C \Delta p_B \rangle = \langle \mu \rangle^3 \langle \Delta s_F \Delta s_C \Delta s_B \rangle,$$

where $A, A' = F, B, C$, and $A \neq A'$. In our notation $\Delta p_A^n = (\langle p_A \rangle \langle s_A \rangle)^n, n = 1, 2, 3$, while $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes averaging over events. The symbol $\mu_3(x)$ stands for the third central moment (skewness) of the distribution of $x$.

**C. Hydrodynamics**

The density of partons formed in the early phase, as described above, provides initial conditions for the equations of the hydrodynamic evolution of the fluid cell in the intermediate phase. This evolution, proceeding until freeze-out, is assumed to be deterministic (note, however, Refs. [53, 55]), hence no extra fluctuations are introduced at this stage. As a result, the initial density of partons $p$ is deterministically carried over to the entropy density of the fluid cell at freeze-out, denoted with $h$ (cf. Fig. 1).

In the vicinity of the average value of $p$ the function $h(p)$ is approximately linear, hence we may expand

$$h = t_0 \langle p \rangle + t_1 \langle p - \langle p \rangle \rangle + \mathcal{O} \left( (p - \langle p \rangle)^2 \right), \quad (3)$$

where $t_1$ are parameters depending on the dynamical response of hydrodynamics to the initial condition. The higher-order terms in Eq. (3) may be dropped if $p$ is sufficiently close to $\langle p \rangle$, otherwise corrections arise to the formulas developed below. With Eq. (3) we get

$$\langle h_A \rangle = t_0 \langle p_A \rangle,$$

$$\langle \Delta h_A^2 \rangle = t_1^2 \langle \Delta p_A^2 \rangle,$$

$$\langle \Delta h_A \Delta h_{A'} \rangle = t_1^2 \langle \Delta p_A \Delta p_{A'} \rangle,$$

$$\langle \Delta h_A^3 \rangle = t_1^3 \langle \Delta p_A^3 \rangle,$$

$$\langle \Delta h_F \Delta h_C \Delta h_B \rangle = t_1^3 \langle \Delta p_F \Delta p_C \Delta p_B \rangle. \quad (4)$$

We note that the approximate linearity of the hydrodynamic response has been well determined for the evolution of eccentricities \cite{31, 56} at a given centrality. For the present case of multiplicities, the success of modeling of the measured particle multiplicity distributions within the Glauber framework \cite{31, 56} indirectly supports the assumptions leading to Eq. (4).

**D. Statistical hadronization**

When hydrodynamic evolution ends, statistical hadronization phase takes over at freeze-out (cf. Fig. 1). We assume that the well-separated fluid cells $h$ emit $n$ hadrons independently from one other into corresponding well-separated phase-space regions in pseudorapidity. Each of the $h$ cells emits $m$ hadrons in the same universal manner, hence

$$n_A = \sum_{i=1}^{n_A} m_i. \quad (5)$$

Then, in full analogy to Eq. (2), we obtain

$$\langle n_A \rangle = \langle m \rangle \langle h_A \rangle,$$

$$\langle \Delta n_A \rangle^2 = \text{var}(m) \langle h_A \rangle + \langle m \rangle^2 \langle \Delta h_A \rangle^2,$$

$$\langle \Delta n_A \Delta n_{A'} \rangle = \langle m \rangle \langle \Delta h_A \Delta h_{A'} \rangle,$$

$$\langle \Delta n_A^3 \rangle = \mu_3(\langle m \rangle) \langle \Delta h_A^3 \rangle + \langle m \rangle^3 \langle \Delta h_A \rangle,$$

$$\langle \Delta n_F \Delta n_C \Delta n_B \rangle = \langle m \rangle \langle \Delta h_F \Delta h_C \Delta h_B \rangle.$$

The numbers $n_A$ are observed experimentally. We note that the distribution of $m_i$ incorporates the effects of the detector acceptance.

**E. Combined formulas**

We are now ready to combine Eqs. (2, 4, 6), which yield relations between moments of produced hadron distributions and moments of the initial sources:
\[ \langle n_A \rangle = \alpha (s_A), \]
\[ (\Delta n_A^2) = \beta (s_A) + \gamma (\Delta s_A^2) \]
\[ (\Delta n_A \Delta n_{A'}) = \gamma (\Delta s_A \Delta s_{A'}) , \]
\[ (\Delta n_{A'}^2) = \zeta (s_A) + 3 \gamma^{1/2} \beta' (\Delta s_A^2) + \gamma^{3/2} (\Delta s_{A'}^2) , \]
\[ (\Delta n_A \Delta n_{A'}^2) = \gamma^{1/2} \beta' (\Delta s_A \Delta s_{A'}) + \gamma^{3/2} (\Delta s_A \Delta s_{A'}^2) , \]
\[ (\Delta n_F \Delta n_C \Delta n_B) = \gamma^{3/2} (\Delta s_F \Delta s_C \Delta s_B) . \]

The constants introduced above are defined as
\[ \alpha = t_0 \langle \mu \rangle \langle m \rangle , \]
\[ \beta = t_0 \langle \mu \rangle \text{var}(m) + t_1^2 \langle m \rangle^2 \text{var}(\mu) , \]
\[ \beta' = t_1 \langle \mu \rangle \text{var}(m) + t_1^2 \langle m \rangle^2 \text{var}(\mu) , \]
\[ \gamma = t_1^2 \langle m \rangle^2 \mu^2 , \]
\[ \zeta = t_0 \mu_3 (m) \langle \mu \rangle + 3 t_2^2 \text{var}(\mu) \langle m \rangle + t_1^2 \mu_3 (m) \langle m \rangle^3 . \]

The algebraic structure of Eqs. (7) is very simple. However, nontrivial character stems from the fact that the parameters (8) do not depend on centrality of the collision.

It is convenient to introduce a short-hand notation
\[ S_{ijk} = \langle \Delta s_B^i \Delta s_C^j \Delta s_F^k \rangle \]
for the moments of rank \( r = i + j + k \), and
\[ \tilde{S}_{ijk} = \frac{\langle \Delta s_B^i \Delta s_C^j \Delta s_F^k \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \Delta s_B^2 \rangle / \langle \Delta s_C^2 \rangle / \langle \Delta s_F^2 \rangle}} \]
for the moments scaled with the standard deviations. In particular, the forward-backward correlation of the number of sources is
\[ \tilde{S}_{101} = \frac{\langle \Delta s_B \Delta s_F \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \Delta s_B^2 \rangle / \langle \Delta s_F^2 \rangle}} = \rho(s_F, s_B) . \]

The scaled variance, used in the following, is defined as
\[ \omega(x_A) = \text{var}(x_A) / \langle x_A \rangle . \]

We also introduce the combinations of parameters
\[ \delta = \beta / \alpha = \omega(m) + \frac{t_1^2}{t_0} \langle m \rangle \omega(\mu) , \]
\[ \kappa = \gamma / \alpha = \frac{t_1^2}{t_0} \langle \mu \rangle \langle m \rangle , \]
\[ \lambda = \beta / \gamma = \frac{t_0 \omega(m)}{t_1^2 \langle m \rangle} + \frac{\omega(\mu)}{\langle \mu \rangle} . \]

### III. GENERAL RESULTS

#### A. Relations of moments of hadrons to moments of sources

Our methodology uses the Glauber model for the sources to make predictions for the moments of \( n_A \).

An interesting relation for the hadron correlations results from Eq. (7):
\[ \rho(n_A, n_{A'}) = \rho(s_A, s_{A'}) \sqrt{\left( 1 - \frac{\delta}{\omega(n_A)} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\delta}{\omega(n_{A'})} \right)} . \]
\[ (14) \]

Since, as we shall see, in the Glauber model to a high accuracy \( \rho(s_A, s_{A'}) \simeq 1 \), it then follows that
\[ \rho(n_A, n_{A'}) \simeq \sqrt{\left( 1 - \frac{\delta}{\omega(n_A)} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\delta}{\omega(n_{A'})} \right)} . \]
\[ (15) \]

which means a very specific relation between the correlation of numbers of hadrons in bins \( A \) and \( A' \) and the corresponding scaled variances, which depends on a single centrality independent parameter \( \delta \). Relation (15) may be straightforwardly tested with the future data.

From Eqs. (7) we also find
\[ \omega(n_A) = \delta + \kappa \omega(s_A) , \]
\[ \rho(n_F, n_B) = \frac{\rho(s_F, s_B)}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\omega(s_F)} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\omega(s_F)} \right)^{1/2}}} . \]
\[ (16) \]

formulas presented already in Ref. [25].

Another interesting relation, linking three bins, has the form
\[ \frac{\langle \Delta n_B \Delta n_C \Delta n_F \rangle}{\langle \Delta n_A \Delta n_{A'} \rangle^{3/2}} = \frac{\langle s_B s_C s_F \rangle}{\langle s_A s_{A'} \rangle^{3/2}} . \]
\[ (17) \]

Again, the right-hand side, obtainable in a model, can be verified against the future data, yielding the left-hand side.

#### B. Implications for the qualitative features of hydrodynamics

Based on first and third of Eqs. (7), we find the relation
\[ \frac{t_0}{t_1} = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma^{1/2}} . \]
\[ (18) \]

Since the \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) parameters may be found by fitting the model predictions to the future data, we are able to obtain from Eq. (18) information concerning the hydrodynamic response in the intermediate phase. Figure 3 shows two possible scenarios for the hydro evolution. When \( t_0 > t_1 \) (\( t_0 < t_1 \)), the hydrodynamic growth \( h(\mu) \) is slower (faster) than the linear function. From the experience of hydrodynamics we expect that \( t_0 \simeq t_1 \), which means that the entropy in the considered cell is proportional to its initial entropy (see, e.g., [57]).
IV. DEPENDENCE OF RESULTS ON CENTRALITY SELECTION

An important question concerns the dependence of the results shown in this paper on centrality selection. In our analysis presented below we define centrality with the help of the number of sources in the central bin, \( s_C \). The results for moments of multiplicities depend on the chosen width of the centrality bin \( \Delta c \) – in that sense they are not intensive measures. Nevertheless, the moments evaluated that way are still useful for comparing model predictions to experiment, as exactly the same choice of width of the centrality windows can be made in model simulations as in the data analysis.

To understand the problem, let us focus on Fig. 4 where we show a result of a simulation in the form of a scattered plot of \( s_F \) and \( s_B \). The short skewed lines cut the events into subsequent centrality classes with \( \Delta c = 2.5\% \), while the longer lines into classes with \( \Delta c = 10\% \) (containing 4 bins of \( \Delta c = 2.5\% \)). We note that the scattered plot is very much elongated, simply reflecting the large correlation between \( s_F \) and \( s_B \). With the exception of very small centralities \( c \) (the lower left corner of the plot), the correlation between \( s_F \) and \( s_B \) within a given centrality window is very close to 1, \( \rho(s_F, s_B) \approx 1 \). As we move towards very low \( c \) (lower-left corner), or when \( \Delta c \) is decreased, \( \rho(s_F, s_B) \) becomes lower than 1. Thus the correlation of the sources is in an obvious way affected by the choice of the centrality bins.

For sufficiently large width of the bins, the above-discussed feature allows us for a derivation of approximate scaling laws of the moments on \( \Delta c \). Details are shown in Appendix A. The average multiplicities do not scale, as long as the window is sufficiently narrow:

\[
\langle s_A \rangle \sim (\Delta c)^0. \tag{19}
\]

For the variance and covariance we find

\[
\langle (\Delta s_A)^2 \rangle \sim (\Delta c)^2, \quad \langle \Delta s_A \Delta s_{A'} \rangle \sim (\Delta c)^2, \tag{20}
\]

from where

\[
\rho(s_A, s_{A'}) \sim (\Delta c)^0. \tag{21}
\]

For the moments of rank 3 we need to be a bit more sophisticated. The marginal distribution for the variable \( s_A \) in a given centrality bin can be approximated as a linear function, \( f(s_A) = N(1 + as_A) \), where \( a \) is small. Then (cf. Appendix A), to leading order in \( a \)

\[
\langle (\Delta s_A)^3 \rangle \sim a(\Delta c)^4, \tag{22}
\]

and

\[
\langle (\Delta s_A)^3 \rangle / \langle \Delta s_A \Delta s_{A'} \rangle^{3/2} \sim a\Delta c. \tag{23}
\]

The above scaling laws are visible in our results presented below.

V. GLISSANDO SIMULATIONS

We use GLISSANDO to model the initial phase. To simulate Pb+Pb collisions at the LHC at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \) TeV, we use (unless stated otherwise) the mixed Glauber model with the mixing parameter \( a = 0.1 \) (cf. Appendix B), the NN cross section \( \sigma_{NN} = 66.3 \) mb and a realistic Gaussian wounding profile. The numbers of sources \( s_A \) are obtained in pseudo-rapidity bins of width \( \delta \eta = 0.2 \), while \( \Delta \eta \) denotes the separation of the centers of the \( F \) and \( B \) bins. The \( C \) bin is centered around zero, while the \( F \) and \( B \) bins are arranged symmetrically.
A. Results for the correlations of sources

We begin presenting our results with the forward-backward and forward-central correlation functions, $\tilde{S}_{101}$ and $\tilde{S}_{110}$, shown in Fig. 5 for the rapidity separations $\Delta \eta = 1.8$ and $\Delta \eta = 10$. We use $\Delta c = 10\%$, but as follows from Eq. (20), the results are insensitive to the width of the bin. We note that these correlation functions are essentially equal to unity, except for very large values of $\Delta \eta$ and $c$. This is an interesting feature of the adopted Glauber model, as it shows that we can use $\rho(s_A, s_A') \simeq 1$ in practical application. This fact has its origin in a strong correlation between the numbers of wounded nucleons from nuclei A and B, as well as between the wounded nucleons and the binary collisions (cf. Appendix B).

The scaled rank-3 correlations of Eq. (10) are displayed in Fig. 6. We note the advocated scaling with the value of $\Delta c$, cf. Eq. (23). Moreover, various rank-3 moments shown in the plot are essentially equal to one another in the Glauber treatment.

B. Results for the correlations of produced hadrons

Now we pass to a presentation of some results for the moments of the distributions of the produced hadrons, $n_A$. Under the assumptions of the superposition approach, Eq. (17) allows us to make predictions for the produced hadrons, based on the model of sources. Our result, for several pseudo-rapidity separations $\Delta \eta$, is shown in Fig. 7. The result is specific to the adopted source production model. In Fig. 8 we compare the outcome, for the same quantity, of the wounded nucleon model (mixing parameter $a = 0$), the mixed model ($a = 0.1$), and the binary-collisions model ($a = 1$).
large differences between the models should allow, with the future data, to discriminate between them.

Finally, we use Eq. [7] with some reasonable choice of the parameters [16] to make predictions for the multiplicity, variance, covariance, and rank-2 correlation coefficients. The results are displayed in Figs. [9][12]. We may now carefully illustrate our methodology: with the data for multiplicity we fit the value of $\alpha$ with the results of Fig. [9] the value of $\gamma$ with Fig. [11] and then the value of $\beta$ with Fig. [10]. Next, we evaluate $\lambda = \beta/\gamma$ and make a test with the help of Fig. [12]. Extension to rank-3 moments is straightforward. That way, we may obtain the parameters of the superposition model in a systematic way. Knowledge of these parameters will provide insight into the microscopic features of the particle production mechanism in the system.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a systematic study of two- and three-rapidity-bin multiplicity correlations in relativistic heavy-ion collisions in a superposition approach, based on three phases of the collision: initial partonic phase, intermediate collective evolution, and final statistical hadronization. The derived expressions show how the moments of the produced particles are related to the moments of the sources produced in the initial phase. The relations, simple but nontrivial, involve only a few parameters collecting the of microscopic information concerning the dynamics (parameters of the superimposed distributions, response of hydrodynamics).

With a definite model for the production of sources, such as the Glauber model used here, one is then able to obtain in a systematic way predictions for the multibin multiplicity correlations of the produced hadrons. Conversely, with the future experimental data, one will be
able to test the models of the initial phase, as well as obtain information on the microscopic parameters. In particular, the awaited forward-backward multiplicity correlation analysis with the LHC data will shed light on the early production mechanism. The statistical method presented in this paper is directly applicable to that case.
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Appendix A: Centrality width dependence

In this Appendix we sketch the derivation of scaling of the moments with the width of the centrality bin. The explanation comes from Fig. 3, where the forward-backward correlation is plotted as scattered plot. For a given centrality and for a typical size of $\Delta c$ we may approximate the distribution of $s_A = x$ as a linear function, which has been normalize to 1. It then immediately follows that

\[
\langle x \rangle = \int_{c-\Delta c}^{c+\Delta c} x f(x) \simeq c + \frac{a \Delta c^2}{12} \quad (A2)
\]

\[
\langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle = \int_{c-\Delta c}^{c+\Delta c} (x - \langle x \rangle)^2 \simeq \frac{a \Delta c^2}{12}
\]

\[
\langle (\Delta x)^3 \rangle = \int_{c-\Delta c}^{c+\Delta c} (x - \langle x \rangle)^3 \simeq -\frac{a \Delta c^4}{120}
\]

\[
\frac{\langle (\Delta x)^3 \rangle}{(\langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle)^{3/2}} \simeq -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{5} a \Delta c
\]

The scaling laws (A2) result from the above formulas.

Appendix B: Emission profiles in spatial rapidity

In a nucleus-nucleus collision, the emission profile \[44\] defining the shape of initial sources in the spatial rapidity

\[
f(x) = \frac{1 + ax}{(1 + ac) \Delta c}, \quad (A1)
\]
has the form

\[ s(\eta_i) = \frac{1-a}{2} \left( f_+ (\eta_i) \right) N_{w,F} + a \left( f_+ (\eta_i) + f_- (\eta_i) \right) N_{bin}, \]  

(B1)

where \( N_{w,F} \) and \( N_{w,F} \) are the numbers of the forward- and backward-going wounded nucleons, \( N_{bin} \) is the number of binary collisions, while \( f_+ (\eta_i) \) and \( f_- (\eta_i) \) describe the corresponding wounded-nucleon emission profiles. Finally, \( f (\eta_i) \) is the emission profile for the binary collisions. We use the following parametrization [44]:

\[ f(\eta_i) = \exp \left( -\frac{(|\eta_i| - \eta_0)^2}{2\sigma^2} \theta(|\eta_i| - \eta_0) \right), \]

(B2)

\[ f_+ (\eta_i) = f_F (\eta_i) f (\eta_i), \]

\[ f_- (\eta_i) = f_F (-\eta_i) f (\eta_i). \]

with

\[ f_F (\eta_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \eta_i \leq -\eta_m \\ \frac{|\eta_i| - \eta_m}{2\eta_m}, & -\eta_m < \eta_i < \eta_m \\ 1, & \eta_m \leq |\eta_i|. \end{cases} \]

Sample values of parameters [62], describing the ATLAS data after the hydrodynamic evolution, are \( \eta_0 = 12.5, \eta_m = 8.58, \sigma_\eta = 1.4 \).
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