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Ancient writing on colonies, ethnicity, mercenaries and warfare in archaeological context

English translations of the passages are provided as links below and are derived from the LOEB standard editions. The following ancient authors are included here: Diodorus Siculus (46, 47, 48), Thucydides (49, 50), Herodotus (51, 52, 53), and Xenophon (54). Diodorus Siculus of Agyrium lived in the 1st c. BCE and published his 40-volume universal history in the mid-1st c. BCE (circa 59-56 BCE). Thucydides of Athens is the main primary source available for the Peloponnesian War, publishing his work in the late 5th c. BCE. Herodotus of Halicarnassus is regarded as the founder of history, publishing his work in the mid-5th c. BCE. Xenophon of Athens was born in the 430s BCE and published his works in the first quarter of the 4th c. BCE.
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Site descriptions

Himera, Sicily, Italy (⊕ 37.972, 13.825)

Laurie Reitsema, Britney Kyle

Greek colonies were established across the Mediterranean region beginning in the 8th century BCE (1). On Sicily, Greek’s established colonies (poleis) along the eastern and southern coasts, with Himera the only colony established on the northern coast, while Phoenicians established emporia on the western side (2-4). Himera was founded in 648 BCE by culturally Greek individuals from Zancle (modern day Messina), which itself was a colony founded by Chalcidians from the island of Euboea in the late 8th century BCE (5-8); (Herodotus 6.24; Strabo 6.2). Settlers from Syracuse, a Corinthian Greek colony, and possibly individuals from a third unknown group referenced by Thucydides, may also have contributed to the establishment of Himera (9). Himera was an important trade port located on the Tyrrhenian Sea coast and formed the northern- and western-most boundary of Greek control in Sicily (5, 10) (7). It is clear from coins and ceramics that Himera was well connected with foreign cities through trade (6, 7, 11-15).

Two historically documented battles took place at Himera, in 480 and 409 BCE (10). In both cases, Carthaginian Phoenicians attacked Himera. Leading into the 5th century BCE, Himerans were generally cooperative with neighboring Phoenicians, with evidence of trade between the two groups and with the neighboring indigenous Sicilians, the Sicani (6, 16). However, by the 5th century BCE,
Greek tyrannies became increasingly prominent on Sicily, and a division emerged between Greek poleis opposed to, and allied with, Phoenicians; specifically, Carthage (17, 18). In the early 5th century, the tyrant of Himera, Terillus, opted for alliance with Carthage. When Terillus was ousted by Himerans in 483 BCE (Herodotus 7.165), Carthage agreed to aid him in regaining control, and in 480 BCE, dispatched a large force to retake the city. Faced with the threat of Carthaginian invasion in 480 BCE, Himerans successfully rallied outside forces, with specific mentions of soldiers coming from Syracuse and Agrigento (Herodotus 7.165-166; Diodorus 11.20-21). This Greek alliance was successful in defending Himera in 480 BCE (Diod. 11.22; 10, 19).

An act of revenge, Carthage returned to Himera in 409 BCE with a large mercenary army (Diod. 13.59; (15, 20). Although Syracuse dispatched a relief force, a small number of whom aided Himera early in the battle, most Syracusan soldiers turned back out of concern for their own city’s defense (Diod. 13.59-61; Xen. Hel. 1.1). Many citizens of Himera evacuated, and the remainder unsuccessfully attempted to defend their city (10) (Diod. 13.62; Xen. Hel. 1.1) (15, 19). The Greek loss in the Battle of Himera in 409 BCE marked the destruction and abandonment of the polis (Diod. 13.62) (10).

Until recently, knowledge of the two Battles of Himera came from historical documents, many of which were written hundreds of years after they occurred (e.g., Diod. 11.20-25, 13.62). In 2009, excavations of a necropolis near the ancient city of Himera revealed a series of mass graves that are likely associated with the Battles of Himera (15, 21, 22).

There were three cemeteries in use at the site of Himera: the East, the West, and the South (which remains unexcavated). The East necropolis was in use for the entire occupation of the colony, whereas the West necropolis was only in use during the 6th and 5th centuries BCE (23). Preliminary analyses of differences between the East and West necropoleis indicate that individuals interred in the East necropolis had lower δ13C and δ15N values and higher prevalence of skeletal pathology, suggesting that individuals in the East necropolis may have had differential access to resources, likely as a result of lower social status or due to changing access to resources through time (24).

Except for one individual excavated at the East necropolis, all skeletal remains included in this study are all from the West necropolis of Himera, including single interments of members of the civilian population, and multiple interments of individuals interpreted as casualties of the ancient battles in 480 BCE and 409 BCE (15)(Table S1). Mass graves #1-7 are associated with the Battle of 480 BCE. Objects from within the graves, and in other burials disturbed by the mass graves, place mass graves #1-7 in the late 6th-early 5th centuries. Mass grave #8/9, a continuous, large interment that represents a single mass burial (22), is associated with the Battle of 409 BCE and contains objects dating to the 5th century. Mass graves #1-7 are consistently orderly with bodies in extended, supine position on an East-West axis. They range in size from 18 bodies (grave #1/2, which came to be recognized as a single interment after excavation had begun), to just two bodies (grave #7). In contrast, mass grave #8/9, which contains 69 bodies associated with the 409 BCE battle, is less orderly, with bodies in multiple layers and positioned to fit the grave rather than vice versa, suggesting hastier burial. Evidence suggesting the mass graves are casualties from the Battles of Himera and not, for example, victims of epidemics, include the fact that they comprise young- to mid-aged adult males, many have signs of perimortem trauma, including weapon wounds, and weapon points are embedded in some of the bodies (22). Their deliberate burial in the Greek city’s own necropolis suggests these individuals are Greek, and not enemy, casualties (15). Retrieval and burial of corpses was of paramount social and religious importance in Greek society at this time (25). We know nothing of the manner in which members of the Carthaginian army were buried, but it was typical in Greek warfare for the victor to allow the enemy access to the battlefield to remove its dead (25, 26).
Table S1. Distributions of skeletons across graves in Himera's West necropolis

| Grave Number | Number of individuals, total | Number studied in 2016 by BK and LJR | Number in DNA study | % of the total skeletons in grave | % of the total seen by LJR/BK | (See footnote) |
|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| 1/2           | 18                          | 18                                  | 4                   | 22%                              | 22%                           |                |
| 3             | 22                          | 19                                  | 2                   | 9%                               | 11%                           |                |
| 4             | 15                          | 8                                   | 2                   | 13%                              | 25%                           |                |
| 5             | 4                           | 4                                   | 2                   | 50%                              | 50%                           |                |
| 6             | 3                           | 3                                   | 2                   | 67%                              | 67%                           |                |
| 7             | 2                           | 2                                   | 2                   | 100%                             | 100%                          |                |
| 8/9           | 69                          | 9                                   | 5                   | 7%                               | 56%                           | 6%             |

*Mass graves 8/9: 69 skeletons recovered in mass grave 8/9 but 88 bodies estimated based on taphonomic analysis: 5/88=6%

Mass graves #1-4 and #5-7 are spatially segregated in Himera’s West necropolis, forming two clusters in the western portion. All are along a N-S axis, with bodies oriented E-W. Mass graves #1/2, 3 and 4 each contain more than 10 individuals, whereas mass graves #5-7 each contain fewer than 10 individuals. Individuals in mass graves #1-4 tend to have partially abducted right humeri, evidence of having been dragged, whereas individuals in mass graves #5-7 do not (36). The individuals in mass graves #1-4 are also significantly younger than those in mass graves #5-7, and mass graves #5-6 contain grave goods, unlike the other 480 BCE graves (22). Mass grave #8/9 is the largest and densest of the mass graves, containing 69 individuals (27). Unusually for the necropolis, mass grave #8/9 is laid out on an E-W axis, and bodies within it are oriented in numerous positions, of which N-S is most common. An effort was made to sample more than one individual from every mass grave. Individuals were selected for analysis chiefly on the basis of skeletal completeness, prioritizing partial skeletons over highly fragmented remains. In 2016, only petrous pyramids were collected. If a petrous pyramid was identified separated from the rest of the skull, the entire petrous pyramid was collected. In 2017 the sampling strategy expanded to include teeth, which are more numerous than petrous pyramids at Himera. Teeth were chosen based on presence of intact enamel crowns and of roots, and presence of a remaining antimere (Datasets S1 and S2).

Sixty-three individuals from the mass graves were studied by the Bioarchaeology of Mediterranean Colonies Project in 2016 including demographic and paleopathological analyses. The 70 individuals that were not studied osteologically in 2016 almost always were represented by only poorly preserved bones or fragments that were not observable for skeletal stress markers. Of 63 individuals studied in 2016, approximately one-third had less than 15% of cranial bones present and three had no teeth. Therefore, the sample of soldiers included here (n=19) makes up approximately 14% of the total number of individuals documented in the mass graves (n=133), but approximately 30% of the skeletons available for osteological, isotopic and genetic analysis with sufficient cranial or dental remains. Although the number of individuals analyzed from every mass grave associated with 480 BCE is the same – two from each, excepting mass grave #1/2 for which four individuals were sampled – representativeness is higher for mass graves #5-7 by virtue of their smaller sizes (fewer than 10 individuals in each); for example, mass grave #3 contains 22 individuals and only two were genetically analyzed (9%), while mass grave #7 contains two individuals and both were analyzed (100%). The five individuals genetically analyzed from mass grave #8/9, associated with 409 BCE, represent approximately 7% of the total number of individuals (n=69 with identifiable remains and n=88 estimated taphonomically) and 55% of the total number studied osteologically in 2016. Members of the civilian sample were selected prioritizing partial skeletons over highly fragmented remains, and in an effort to include individuals buried in each of
the two most common body positions at Himera: supine and flexed. In Sicily, it is unlikely that the two different body positions reflect ethnic identity and ancestry (see discussion in Shepherd (28)), but genetic evidence is lacking. Approximately 10,000 skeletons have been excavated from the West necropolis at Himera, meaning the 11 individuals from the civilian sample reported here are a preliminary, and not a representative, estimation of the genetic diversity of the population.

The following individuals from Himera provided genome-wide data –

Attributed to the 480 BCE Battle of Himera:

W0396 (I10943). Petrous bone; young adult male
W0461 (I10944). Petrous bone; young adult male
W0494 (I10945). Petrous bone; young adult male
W1771 (I10946). Petrous bone; young adult male
W1774 (I10947). Petrous bone; young adult male
W2587 (I10948). Petrous bone; young adult male
W0403 (I10949). Petrous bone; young adult male with enthesopathy on proximal ulna
W0814 (I10950). Petrous bone; young adult male
W0653 (I10951). Petrous bone; young to mid-aged adult male
W2738 (I10952). Petrous bone; young adult male
W2590 (I7217). Petrous bone; young adult male with ante-mortem trauma of left distal tibial diaphysis
W2737 (I7218). Petrous bone; young adult male
W2764 (I7219). Petrous bone; young adult male with spear in chest; perimortem humeral trauma
W2825 (I7221). Petrous bone; young to mid-aged adult male with ante-mortem trauma to left tibia and right clavicle
W0428 (I17872). Tooth; young adult male
W0336 (I17870). Tooth; young adult male with spearhead found in abdomen

Attributed to the 409 BCE Battle of Himera:

W4380 (I17866). Tooth; young adult male
W4666 (I17884). Tooth; adult male
W4378 (I7223). Petrous bone; mid-aged adult male
W4651 (I7224). Petrous bone; young adult male
W4670 (I7225). Petrous bone; young adult male

Attributed to the civilian population of Himera:
W1153 (I17878). Tooth; mid-aged adult male
W2073 (I17879). Tooth; young adult female
W2499 (I17881). Tooth; young adult female
W2309 (I17887). Tooth; young adult female
W1480 (I20160). Tooth; male
W1656 (I20161). Tooth; female
W1788 (I20162). Tooth; male
W1838 (I20163). Tooth; male
W3182 (I20166). Tooth; male
W3612 (I20167). Tooth; female
W3702 (I20168). Tooth; male

Interred at the East necropolis of Himera:
RO1025-B (I17432). Petrous bone; mid-aged adult male interred in a double burial with another mid-aged adult male

Polizzello, Sicily, Italy (⊕ 37.608, 13.834)

Giulio Catalano and Luca Sineo

The sample of natives of Polizzello and Baucina (described below), respectively 6th-5th century BCE (Hellenized) and Iron Age, serve as a comparison to understand, with respect to the population of Himera, the indigenous size and the level of variability of the population. The site of Polizzello is located on a mountain 800 meters high just a short distance from Mussomeli (CL) in the central interior of Sicily. Archaeological excavations, started in the 1920s, have provided a long prehistoric and historic sequence from the Early Bronze Age to Medieval period (29). The human remains analyzed here were recovered in four rock-cut tombs excavated in 2004 by the Soprintendenza ai Beni Culturali e Ambientali of Caltanissetta. Typological analyses of burial style and grave inclusions indicate that the skeletal sample is attributed to the Iron Age period (900-700 BCE) when Polizzello was one of the most important indigenous Sicanian settlement in central-south Sicily(29). According to scholars, the site of Polizzello could be considered a proto-urban center characterized by a strong pastoral component. Archaeological surveys have attested the presence of Aegean trace in handicrafts and funerary architecture supporting the existence of contacts with Aegean-Cyprian cultures. Moreover, elements of Phoenicians derivation were also found. Together with these exotic features, Polizzello also developed a local production that seems to derive from previous Sicanian elements found in Mid-Late Bronze Age cultural phases of Thapsos and North
Pantalica. The peculiar tradition found in Polizzello seems also the result of impermeability of Sicanian settlements of central-south Sicily to the penetration of Siculo-Ausonian and Italic elements (30). With the emergence of extensive contacts with Greek colonies probably started around the early 7th century BCE, a process of acculturation took place in western Sicily and the cultural uniqueness of Sicanian settlements was contaminated by Greek components.

Based on anthropological studies, the presence of at least 224 individuals was estimated, including adults and infants of both sexes. Palaeopathological analyses have revealed high percentages of cribra variants and dental enamel hypoplasia (31).

The osteological collection of Polizzello is housed in the Museum of Mussomeli (Caltanissetta).

Genome-wide data in this study came from 19 petrous bones:

POL1 (I13376). Petrous bone; adult female
POL3 (I13377). Petrous bone; adult female
POL4 (I13378). Petrous bone; adult male
POL5 (I13379). Petrous bone; adult female
POL6 (I13380). Petrous bone; adult female
POL7 (I13381). Petrous bone; adult female
POL10 (I13382). Petrous bone; adult male
POL12 (I13383). Petrous bone; adult male
POL14 (I13384). Petrous bone; adult female
POL15 (I13385). Petrous bone; adult male
POL17 (I13386). Petrous bone; adult male
POL18 (I13387). Petrous bone; adult female
POL22 (I13389). Petrous bone; adult male
POL25 (I13390). Petrous bone; adult female
POL26 (I13391). Petrous bone; adult male
POL27 (I13392). Petrous bone; adult female
POL28 (I13393). Petrous bone; adult female
POL29 (I13394). Petrous bone; adult female
POL30 (I13395). Petrous bone; adult female
Baucina, Sicily, Italy (⊕ 37.925, 13.536)

Giulio Catalano, Luca Sineo and Britney Kyle

Together with Polizzelo, Baucina was chosen as a comparative sample for Himera because these are two of the most significant sites from which quantitative information (number of individuals) of ancient indigenous people was available. The necropolis is located on the Monte Falcone mountain (695 meters) near the modern town of Baucina. The site, excavated by the Soprintendenza and the University of Palermo several times, was a vast indigenous center between the 6th century and above all the 5th century BCE (32). Although dates for the skeletal assemblage at Baucina are not certain, with the exception of a few pieces of prehistoric pottery fragments and pieces of obsidian, the finds from the site all date to the 6th-5th c. BCE (33). Furthermore, the presence of Greek colonial pottery from the end of the 6th century BCE indicates contact with Greek settlements, likely Himera due to its proximity, and the presence of Punic amphora suggest commercial relations with nearby Solunto and Palermo. Thus, “Punic, Greek and indigenous traditions [are thought to have] coexisted” at the site, making it an important location to examine relationships between these groups (32). Simple burials and collective tombs of the type known as a ‘grotticella’ (chamber tombs) also show significant influences by the culture of the neighbouring Greek colony of Himera. The human skeletal remains found in the necropolis are estimated to derive from at least 50 individuals.

The samples providing genome-wide date are:

BAU_3 (I13125). Tooth; adult male

BAU_6 (I13128). Tooth; adult male

Population genetic analyses

We compiled a reference dataset consisting of whole genome data from 2,673 ancient individuals (Dataset S4) (https://reich.hms.harvard.edu/allen-ancient-dna-resource-aadr-downloadable-genotypes-present-day-and-ancient-dna-data), as well as previously reported whole-genome sequencing data from 346 worldwide modern-day individuals (34-37) (Dataset S4), and merged the pseudo-haploid genotypes from the Himera samples with this dataset.

We use individuals with fewer than 50,000 1240K SNPs covered in exploratory analyses and in group-based analyses, but do not individually formally model them.

Exploratory analyses

We tested for pairs of related individuals using the software READ (38), and found that in our dataset only two IA individuals from Polizzello (I13378 and I13394) share a likely 2nd degree relationship (Fig. S8). ANOVA between the different groups show significant differences in the variances of P0 (p=3.22E-8), and multiple one-tailed T-tests confirm this is due to the significantly lower mean P0 in the Sicily_IA group (Fig. S8B). This might suggest greater genetic homogeneity in this group compared to the inhabitants and combatants of Himera.

We merged the dataset above with 3291 modern-day individuals from 109 worldwide populations genotyped on the Affymetrix Human Origins (HO) array, 96 newly reported in this study (Dataset S3). We used the smartpca function of EIGENSOFT (39) to perform principal component analysis (PCA) using default parameters, with the settings lsproject: YES and numoutlier:0. We projected the ancient individuals onto a PCA plot of 1196 modern-day West Eurasian individuals (40), 1900
modern-day worldwide individuals, and 1390 Eurasian individuals, restricting to the HO dataset of 597,573 SNPs (Figs. 2A and S9).

Most samples plot in PCA space together with Aegean and Sicilian LBA individuals with the remarkable exception of seven soldiers of the 480 BCE battle that plot together with Central Europeans, Northeastern Europeans, IA Steppe nomads and Caucasian populations, respectively. For the 10 low-coverage samples whose data intersects with fewer than 25,000 HO SNPs, 8 attributed to the civilian population of Himera and 2 480 BCE soldiers, we additionally plot together with Central Europe, Northeastern Europe, IA Steppe nomads and Caucasian populations, respectively. The civilian samples overlap in their confidence distribution with individuals from the BA Aegean, Sicily IA and Sardinian Punic individuals, and are inconsistent with populations from Western and Northern Europe, the Eurasian Steppes, the Caucasus, Near East or North Africa, suggesting that the genetic heterogeneity seen in the soldiers from the 480 BCE battle is due to their specific military context and not an effect of them being drafted from an already extremely heterogeneous local Himeran population. More subtle genetic heterogeneity in the Himeran civilian sample is nevertheless hinted at by the two higher coverage samples I20163, I20168 and I20166 whose 95% CI regions do not overlap, with the former two clustering more closely with Sicily IA and the latter more closely with the BA Aegean. The 95% CI region of the low-coverage individuals I17870 and I17872 from the 480 BCE battle is consistent with modern-day individuals from Central Europe and the Caucasus, respectively.

We performed clustering using unsupervised ADMIXTURE (41), using all samples used in the worldwide PCA and ancient reference samples that overlap more than 50,000 HO SNPs. We pruned SNPs in linkage disequilibrium with one another with PLINK using the parameter --indep-pairwise 200 25 0.4, which left us with 282,184 SNPs. We performed an ADMIXTURE analysis for values of k between 2 and 15, and carried out 5 replicates at each value of k. We retained the highest likelihood replicate at each k and mainly discuss results for k = 6, as it serves to visually discriminate the relevant ancestral components (Figs. 2B and S10).

Most individuals exhibit at k=6 three major components, maximized in WHG, EEF and CHG, respectively, with the proportions qualitatively similar to that seen in the PCA. Notably, the two individuals that cluster with IA Steppe nomads have two additional components, maximized in Han and Karitiana; these components also appear in almost all Steppe nomads in highly variable proportions. Some of the lower coverage civilian genomes exhibit a small proportion of the component maximized in the southern African Ju’hoan which is also found as a minor component in the Punic individuals from Sardinia and Ibiza as well as Eastern Mediterranean and North African individuals. This might point towards some genetic influence through contact with the Phoenician/Punic sphere, although in principle it could also reflect statistical noise due to the lower coverage of these individuals. In the higher coverage civilian individuals this component also appears in I20163 (although not I20166 or I20168), increasing confidence that this is a true signal and not just an artefact of low coverage.

**Genetic clustering and outlier detection**

To determine suitable analysis groupings and assess genetic homogeneity within and between groups of individuals from the same chronological and archaeological contexts, we used the *qpWave* tool in ADMIXTOOLS (42), with default parameters and allsnps=’YES’ (which calls upon the tool *qpfstats* which precomputes the expected value of f-statistics based on the overlap of all covered SNPs in a test population with the base population, [https://github.com/DReichLab/AdmixTools/blob/master/qpfstats.pdf](https://github.com/DReichLab/AdmixTools/blob/master/qpfstats.pdf)), testing individuals pairwise against each other to determine whether they were consistent with being a clade relative to 17 ‘Right’ outgroups (Mbuti.DG, Russia_Ust_Ishim_HG.DG, CHG, EHG, Spain_Elmiron, Czech_Vestonice16, Russia_MA1_HG.SG, Israel_Natufian, Jordan_PPNB, Turkey_N_Barcin, WHG, Iran_GanjDareh_N, Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya, Morocco_EN.SG, Greece_Crete_BA, Greece_LBA and Russia_Shamanka_Eneolithic.SG). The results are shown in Fig. S13; we
consider models with a p-value <0.01 as rejected. We confirm that cladality is not rejected for most pairs of individuals within a chronological and archaeological grouping, with the notable exception of 5 subgroups that can be identified within the soldiers of the 480 BCE battle. These subgroupings mirror genetic differentiation also seen in PCA and ADMIXTURE analyses. Genetic heterogeneity is furthermore evident within groups, as some pairwise models are rejected.

We then tested each individual for which pairwise qpWave tests with the others was rejected against the rest of the individuals grouped together, and treat the individual as a genetic outlier if this model is rejected, an approach to outlier detection previously used by Fernandes, et al. (43). We use 16 reference populations (P16) Mbuti.DG, Russia_Ust_Ishim_HG.DG, CHG, EHG, Spain_Elmiron, Czech_Vestonice16, Russia_MA1_HG.SG, Israel_Natufian, Jordan_PPNB, Turkey_N_Barcin, WHG, Iran_GanjDareh_N, Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya, Morocco_EN.SG, Greece_Crete_BA and Russia_Shamanka_Eneolithic.SG, adding Greece_LBA in tests of groupings that chronologically post-date them. As f-statistics computed by qpstats are expected to differ somewhat from the direct computation and we chose a different set of outgroup populations, we obtain in some cases different results here from those that have previously been published.

We additionally use the program qpfmv, which is a specialized implementation of qpWave that tests if every pair of samples $i$, $j$ within an chronologically and archaeologically defined grouping is a clade with respect to the set of populations $P_k$, asking if all the pairwise $f_4$ statistics $f_4(i, j; O, P_k)$ are 0 up to noise, where $O$ is an outgroup. In our tests we used Mbuti.DG as the outgroup and as reference populations the rest of the populations used with qpWave. qpfmv tests for rejection of the null hypothesis of homogeneity using a Hotelling $T^2$ statistic. This test indicates whether there remains any subtle genetic inhomogeneity in the grouping, even after outlier removal.

For the purpose of defining source populations in subsequent analyses, we reanalyze 17 previously published individuals (43): 11 individuals dated to the EBA, 2 from the MBA and 4 from the LBA:

**Sicily_EBA**

The qpfmv test (P16) rejects genetic homogeneity among all samples with a p-value <<0.01. In pairwise qpWave models based on the qpstats output, using the same outgroups as in the original qpWave test without the chronologically later Greece_LBA, we identify 3 potential outliers for which cladality is rejected with other individuals (I3124, I8561, I11443; Table S2). We reran the test, grouping the other eight samples that formed a clade. In this test, cladality to the main grouping was not rejected for I3124 (p=0.058). Cladality was strongly rejected for I8561 and I11443 (p=14.3E-14 and 1.5E-42, respectively). We therefore treat these two individuals as genetic outliers from the Sicily_EBA group. Repeating the qpfmv analysis on the remaining group, homogeneity is rejected (p=6.4E-04), suggesting an inhomogeneous population overall which is consistent with the variation seen on PCA and ADMIXTURE and recent admixture.
However, the combined groups of the rejected (P16+Greece_LBA) models (P16+Greece_LBA) with respectively two or more other individuals in this cluster are also occupied by most Sicilian MBA and LBA individuals (Figs. 2A and S12). This group comprises 21 individuals from two Sicilian IA sites. They include I13125 and I1338191). We therefore treat I10371 as an outlier.

Sicily MBA

In pairwise qpWave models (P16), we cannot reject cladality between the two samples (p=0.868). The qpWave test cannot reject genetic homogeneity (p=0.8) and we group both individuals in further analyses.

Sicily LBA

In the qpWave test (P16+Greece_LBA), we detect one sample I10371 for which pairwise qpWave models result in rejection (Table S3). Comparing this sample against the group of other three samples that form a clade, we find that cladality is still rejected and this individual represents a genetic outlier (p=1.85E-03). Before outlier removal, the qpWave test rejects genetic homogeneity with p=1.01E-04. After removing I10371, we cannot reject homogeneity for the remaining 3 samples (p=0.077). We therefore treat I10371 as an outlier.

Table S2. P-values for the qpWave models between Sicily_EBA individuals. Rejected models with p<0.01 marked in red.

| I11442 | I11443 | I3122 | I3123 | I7796 | I7797 | I7800 | I7805 | I7806 | I7807 | I8561 | number of rejected tests |
|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------------|
| 4.04E-29 | 5.63E-01 | 6.14E-02 | 1.32E-01 | 7.12E-01 | 6.32E-01 | 6.41E-01 | 4.65E-01 | 2.43E-02 | 1.90E-11 | 2 |
| 4.04E-29 | 4.28E-38 | 3.08E-15 | 6.95E-20 | 8.64E-09 | 2.11E-03 | 2.76E-11 | 3.66E-15 | 2.22E-03 | 4.75E-05 | 10 |
| 5.63E-01 | 4.28E-38 | 7.91E-02 | 4.95E-03 | 6.64E-01 | 8.04E-01 | 6.71E-01 | 6.23E-01 | 4.45E-01 | 9.46E-13 | 3 |
| 6.14E-02 | 8.98E-15 | 7.91E-02 | 7.08E-01 | 3.67E-01 | 4.67E-02 | 8.12E-01 | 4.65E-01 | 2.31E-02 | 1.32E-05 | 2 |
| 1.32E-01 | 6.99E-20 | 4.05E-03 | 7.08E-01 | 1.71E-01 | 6.72E-02 | 6.76E-01 | 4.41E-01 | 1.24E-03 | 2.31E-03 | 4 |
| 1.32E-01 | 6.99E-20 | 5.98E-01 | 2.76E-01 | 6.32E-01 | 6.35E-01 | 9.64E-01 | 7.26E-01 | 1.62E-04 | 2 |
| 6.14E-01 | 2.76E-11 | 6.71E-01 | 8.12E-01 | 8.76E-01 | 7.26E-01 | 5.54E-01 | 5.78E-01 | 3.97E-03 | 2 |
| 6.14E-01 | 2.76E-11 | 5.98E-01 | 2.76E-01 | 6.32E-01 | 6.35E-01 | 9.64E-01 | 7.26E-01 | 1.62E-04 | 2 |
| 6.14E-01 | 2.76E-11 | 6.14E-01 | 2.76E-01 | 6.14E-01 | 5.78E-01 | 7.26E-01 | 1.62E-04 | 2 |
| 6.14E-01 | 2.76E-11 | 5.98E-01 | 2.76E-01 | 6.32E-01 | 6.35E-01 | 9.64E-01 | 7.26E-01 | 1.62E-04 | 2 |

For the following groups we report new data:

Sicily IA

This group comprises 21 individuals from two Sicilian IA sites. They cluster closely together on PCA space also occupied by most Sicilian MBA and LBA individuals (Figs. 2A and S11), and are similar in their proportions of genetic components determined by ADMIXTURE (Fig. 2B). Two individuals (I13125 and I13382) have evidence of genetic heterogeneity in that pairwise qpWave models (P16+Greece_LBA) with respectively two or more other individuals in this cluster are rejected (p-values <0.007; Table S4). When we model these individuals as clades with the combined groups of the other individuals, the models for I13125 and I13382 are not rejected (p=0.325 and 0.0165, respectively) and so we subsequently group all Sicily IA individuals. However, the qpWave test rejects genetic homogeneity among all samples with a p-value <<0.01, and the result remains after removing the two potential outliers. This suggests the presence of
Table S4. P-values for the qpWave models for pairwise combinations of Sicily_IA individuals. Rejected models with p<0.01 marked in red.

| Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1 |
|-------------------------|
| Hybridization analysis of the 480 BCE battle (Table S5), already shown in PCA and ADMIXTURE (Figs. 2, S2 and S11) and as expected genetic homogeneity is rejected by qpMv (p<0.01). One pair of individuals (I10945/W0494 and I10948/W2587) that falls within the “Aegean-like” cluster appears inconsistent with forming a clade in qpWave with a p-value of 6.13E-04. However, these individuals are each clade with the combined groups of the other individuals (p=0.0253 for I10945/ W0494 and p=0.936 for I10948/W2587) and so we include them in the genetic analysis grouping of “Aegean-like” individuals which we refer to as Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1. However, the presence of subtle inhomogeneity within this group is suggested by qpMv, with a p-value of 1.14E-3. |

Table S5. P-values for the qpWave models for pairwise combinations of individuals associated with the 480 BCE battle of Himera. Rejected models with p<0.01 marked in red.
This group comprises two individuals archaeologically identified as soldiers of the 480 BCE battle that, compared to Himera Group 1, cluster on PCA shifted towards Central Europeans populations (Figs. 2A and S11), and have a higher proportion of the component maximized in WHG in ADMIXTURE (Fig. 2B). This pair of individuals (I10946/W1771 and I10950/W0814) forms a clade in the qpWave model with a p-value of 0.869 to the exclusion of any other pairwise models formed with other 480 BCE soldiers (Fig. S13).

This group comprises two individuals archaeologically identified as soldiers of the 480 BCE battle that cluster on PCA closest to ancient Eastern Baltic populations (Figs. 2A and S11), and have like these the highest proportion of the component maximized in WHG in ADMIXTURE compared to other contemporaneous groups (Fig. 2B). This pair of individuals (I10943/W0396 and I10949/W0403) forms a clade in the qpWave model with a p-value of 0.256, to the exclusion of any other pairwise models formed with other 480 BCE soldiers.

This group comprises two individuals archaeologically identified as soldiers of the 480 BCE battle that cluster on PCA closest to ancient nomadic populations of the Western Steppe (Figs. 2A and S11), and also carry the component maximized in modern-day Han in ADMIXTURE that is found in varying proportions in most ancient Steppe nomads (Fig. 2B). This pair of individuals (I10944/W0461 and I10947/W1774) forms a clade in the qpWave model with a p-value of 0.572, to the exclusion of any other pairwise models formed with other 480 BCE soldiers.

A single individual archaeologically identified as a soldier of the 480 BCE battle clusters on PCA closest to ancient and modern populations from the Caucasus and Iran (Figs. 2A and S11), and also carry the component maximized in CHG in ADMIXTURE compared to the other ancient Sicilians (Fig. 2B). This individual (I10951/W0653) is not cladal with any of the other 480 BCE soldiers according to the qpWave models and thus is treated as his own group.

This group comprises five individuals archaeologically identified as soldiers of the 409 BCE battle that cluster on together with LBA Aegeans and the soldiers of Himera Group 1 (Figs. 2A and S11), and are similar in their proportions of genetic components determined by ADMIXTURE (Fig. 2B). All pairs of individuals appear cladal in our qpWave models (p>0.05; Table S6) and homogeneity is not rejected by qpfmv (p=0.120) and we group them together for further analyses.

|       | I17866 | I17884 | I7223 | I7224 | I7225 | number of rejected tests |
|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------------|
| I17866| 3.48E-01 | 1.06E-01 | 2.69E-01 | 5.89E-02 | 0 |
| I17884| 4.38E-01 | 9.48E-02 | 3.15E-01 | 5.46E-02 | 0 |
| I7223 | 1.06E-01 | 9.48E-02 | 7.95E-01 | 3.71E-01 | 0 |
| I7224 | 2.69E-01 | 3.15E-01 | 7.95E-01 | 5.92E-02 | 0 |
| I7225 | 5.89E-02 | 5.46E-02 | 3.71E-01 | 5.92E-02 | 0 |
Sicily Himera Civilians

Eleven individuals are archaeologically attributed to the civilian population of the colony of Himera who died not in association with either of the two battles. In the PCA and ADMIXTURE the individuals appear similar to Sicily_IA, Aegean and Himera_480BCE_1 and the soldiers of the 409 BCE battle (Figs. 2A, S11 and S12), as well as to Punic individuals. The similarity to Punic individuals is also supported by the presence of a small proportion of the component maximized in Ju'hoan in some individuals. This, as well as the slight genetic heterogeneity they show, might alternatively be an artefact of the low coverage of the samples. Only three of these individuals are covered on more than 50,000 SNPs – I20163/W1838, I20166/W3182 and I20168/W3702, covered at 109,255, 136,921 and 147,935 SNPs, respectively. Accordingly, our qpWave tests are not able to reject most models involving these low coverage samples (Table S7): cladality between the group of low coverage samples and I20163/W1838 (p=0.649), and I20168/W3702 (p=0.252), respectively, are not rejected, while it is for I20166/W3182 (p=3.13E-3).

I20163/W1838 and I20166/W3182 do not form a clade (p=1.75E-04), I20163/W1838 with I20168/W3702 only with a marginal p-value of 1.11E-2, which agrees with their position on the PCA plot with I20166/W3182 and I20168/W3702 clustering more closely to BA Aegeans and Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1, and I20163/W1838 clustering more closely to Sicily_IA. I20166/W3182 and I20168/W3702 are cladal (p=0.205). Using qpLDA, homogeneity of the remaining individuals, including low coverage samples, is rejected after removing I20163/W1838 (p<<0.01), I20166/W3182 (p=5.4E-5), and I20168/W3702 (p<<0.01). In subsequent analyses we only use the three higher coverage individuals and do not group them together.

Table S7. P-values for the qpWave models for pairwise combinations of individuals associated with the general populace of Himera. Rejected models with p<0.01 marked in red.

| I20163 | I20166 | I20168 | I17879 | I17879 | I17881 | I17881 | I20160 | I20161 | I20162 | I20167 | number of rejected cases |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------------|
| 3.13E-3 | 1.75E-04 | 1.11E-02 | 4.32E-01 | 4.81E-01 | 3.85E-01 | 6.43E-01 | 4.30E-02 | 5.72E-01 | 4.27E-02 | 1.66E-01 | 1 |
| 1.75E-04 | 2.05E-01 | 7.80E-03 | 1.32E-01 | 2.37E-01 | 4.05E-01 | 3.49E-01 | 6.38E-02 | 1.83E-03 | 5.88E-02 | 2 |
| 1.11E-02 | 2.05E-01 | 3.55E-02 | 9.10E-02 | 7.46E-01 | 7.71E-01 | 2.40E-01 | 6.01E-01 | 1.45E-01 | 1.72E-01 | 0 |
| 4.32E-01 | 7.80E-03 | 3.55E-02 | 4.85E-01 | 4.35E-01 | 2.83E-01 | 2.47E-01 | 2.44E-01 | 7.50E-02 | 1.80E-02 | 1 |
| 4.81E-01 | 1.32E-01 | 9.10E-02 | 4.35E-01 | 5.87E-01 | 5.87E-01 | 7.09E-01 | 9.31E-01 | 6.94E-01 | 1.10E-01 | 0 |
| 3.85E-01 | 2.37E-01 | 7.86E-01 | 4.35E-01 | 9.57E-01 | 5.87E-01 | 7.09E-01 | 9.31E-01 | 6.94E-01 | 1.10E-01 | 0 |
| 6.43E-01 | 4.35E-01 | 2.47E-01 | 4.34E-02 | 5.24E-02 | 7.90E-01 | 2.47E-01 | 2.44E-01 | 7.09E-01 | 1.80E-02 | 0 |
| 4.30E-02 | 5.87E-01 | 7.09E-01 | 9.31E-01 | 6.94E-01 | 1.10E-01 | 7.90E-01 | 2.47E-01 | 2.44E-01 | 7.09E-01 | 1.80E-02 | 0 |
| 5.72E-01 | 3.55E-02 | 9.10E-02 | 7.46E-01 | 7.71E-01 | 2.40E-01 | 6.01E-01 | 1.45E-01 | 1.72E-01 | 0 |
| 4.27E-02 | 7.86E-01 | 4.35E-01 | 9.57E-01 | 5.87E-01 | 7.09E-01 | 9.31E-01 | 6.94E-01 | 1.10E-01 | 0 |
| 1.66E-01 | 4.27E-02 | 1.80E-02 | 3.60E-02 | 8.66E-02 | 8.10E-01 | 3.60E-01 | 5.56E-01 | 4.78E-02 | 0 |

After we established genetic groups and outliers, we investigated their genetic affinities and ancestry with outgroup f3-statistics of the form f3(Sicilian group, X; Mbuti.DG) to measure shared genetic drift between Sicilian groups and ancient populations X relative to the outgroup Mbuti.DG. We show the results in Fig. S16.

Ancestry modeling with qpAdm

We used qpAdm (42) with the parameter allsnps: YES with precomputed f-statistics from qpfstats to estimate proportions of ancestry in each individual (Dataset S5) as well as in the established analysis groupings (Table S8) using as source proxies distantly related populations. We modeled the individuals/groups as combinations of 1 to 4 distal sources from the set Turkey_N_Barcin, WHG, Iran_GanjDareh_N, Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya with the outgroups Mbuti.DG, Russia_Ust_Ishim_HG.DG, CHG, EHG, Spain_ELmiron, Czech_Vestonice16, Russia_MA1_HG.SG, Israel_Natufian, Jordan_PPNB, Morocco_EN.SG and Russia_Shamanka_Eneolithic.SG (P11). We added the unused sources in each model to the outgroups, as this allows us to better distinguish possible models and decreases the standard errors. Only when this approach led to no feasible model did we apply a less stringent approach and report the feasible model using only the base outgroup set P11.
We confirm the appearance of an Iran-related ancestry component in the MBA (43) that is not present to the same extent or at all in the previous EBA and makes up 9-13% of the group’s ancestry (p=5.13E-02; Table S8). The model of this group involving the least sources does not require input from Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya, although EBA requires 7-10% of this ancestry. The later Sicily_LBA can be modeled (p=8.36E-02) using only the three sources Turkey_N_Barcin (83.9 ± 1.6%), WHG (5.4 ± 1.6%) and Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya (10.6 ± 2.1%).

Table S8. Admixture proportions estimated by qpAdm for the most parsimonious models with distal sources. The sources used are Turkey_N_Barcin (1), WHG (2), Iran_GanjDareh_N/CHG (marked with †) (3), Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya (4), and Russia_Shamanka_Eneolithic.SG (5).

| Group | p-value | Ancestry coefficients | Ancestry coefficient standard errors |
|-------|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
|       |         | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  |
| Sicily_EBA | 8.69E-02 | 0.787 | 0.118 | 0.005 | 0.016 | 0.011 | 0.017 |
| Sicily_EBA | 4.21E-01 | 0.622 | 0.072 | 0.107 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.018 |
| Sicily_MBA | 1.04E-01 | 0.793 | 0.07 | 0.137 | 0.024 | 0.016 | 0.023 |
| Sicily_LBA | 1.44E-02 | 0.797 | 0.113 | 0.05 | 0.021 | 0.014 | 0.020 |
| Sicily_LBA | 3.7E-01 | 0.623 | 0.047 | 0.13 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.020 |
| Sicily_IA | 1.79E-01 | 0.764 | 0.064 | 0.003 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.015 | 0.016 |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1 | 5.71E-02 | 0.764 | 0.056 | 0.17 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE_2 | 7.39E-01 | 0.821 | 0.084 | 0.206 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.025 |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE_3 | 1.77E-02 | 0.316 | 0.182 | 0.499 | 0.019 | 0.02 | 0.025 |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE_4 | 8.54E-01 | 0.212 | 0.062 | 0.199 | 0.024 | 0.036 | 0.032 | 0.024 |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE_5 | 1.79E-02 | 0.334 | 0.013 | 0.405 | 0.011 | 0.034 | 0.035 | 0.036 |
| Sicily_Himera_409BCE | 1.5E-02 | 0.768 | 0.234 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| Sicily_Himera_Civilians_20163 | 3.09E-02 | 0.938 | 0.064 | 0.036 | 0.036 |
| Sicily_Himera_Civilians_20166 | 5.83E-02 | 0.669 | 0.215 | 0.119 | 0.046 | 0.058 | 0.049 |
| Sicily_Himera_Civilians_20168 | 6.1E-02 | 0.749 | 0.251 | 0.046 | 0.046 |
| Sicily_Himera_Civilians_20168 | 5.43E-02 | 0.78 | 0.214 | 0.043 | 0.043 |
| Sicily_Himera_EastNecropolis | 3.29E-02 | 0.899 | 0.101 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |

As more proximal ancestry sources in the qpAdm analysis, we used diverse Eneolithic to IA populations that appear to have affinity to the analysis groups informed by the results of PCA, ADMIXTURE and outgroup f₃-statistics, using the outgroup set P11 and adding additional outgroups Turkey_N_Barcin, WHG, Iran_GanjDareh_N, Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya and Sicily_EBA. We examine all possible 1-, 2- and 3-way models and consider models valid if their fit was p>0.01 and report the most parsimonious model that uses the least sources. In cases where several models from the most parsimonious rank are not rejected, we apply a “model competition” approach in which we add all unused sources to the outgroup set P16.

**Sicily IA**

As individuals (Dataset S5), Sicily_IA could be modeled with the predominant ancestry related to Turkey_N_Barcin (~68-85%, with low coverage individuals having a feasible model of 100% Turkey_N_Barcin), with additional ancestry coming from Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya in 22 of 25 individuals (~4-23%), as well as WHG in 19 individuals (~2-11%), and 12 individuals alternatively modeled with Iran_GanjDareh_N instead of Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya (~10-21%). The complete group of Sicily_IA can be modeled as a mixture of four distal sources (p=1.79E-01; Table S13) Turkey_N_Barcin (76.4 ± 1.2%), WHG (6.4 ± 0.9%), Iran_GanjDareh_N (6.3 ± 1.5%) and Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya (10.9 ± 1.6%). Thus, for Sicily we have evidence of Iran-related gene flow not mediated by the Bronze Age Steppes expansion for which we use Yamnaya as proxy. This additional Iran-related ancestry was reported to be already present in Sicily in MBA and LBA individuals (43). Our models confirm the Iranian-related ancestry in Sicily_MBA (13.7 ± 2.3%), and cannot reject one of the alternative models that uses it as a source (9.0 ± 2%; p=1.44E-02).

As proxies for more proximal sources of ancestry, we tested 1-, 2-, and 3-way models of the sources Greece_Crete_BA, Greece_LBA, Armenia_LBA.SG, Sicily_LBA, Sicily_LBA_I10371, Sicily_MBA, Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1, Balkan_IA, Italy_IA_Republic.SG, Israel_MLBA_Canaanite, Spain_BA, Spain_IA and Italy_Sardinia_IA_Punic_1 (Table S9). All working models include either Sicily_MBA.
or Sicily_LBA_110371 as a local source contributing to the at least 60% of the ancestry and either Italy_IA_Republic.SG, Spain_BA, Spain_IA or Armenia_LBA.SG as a non-Sicilian source. Several models involving the most temporally proximal source Sicily_LBA are consistent with 0% ancestry deriving from an additional source.

### Table S9. Admixture proportions estimated by qpAdm for the most parsimonious valid models for Sicily_IA with proximal sources.

| Admixture Sources | p-value | Ancestry coefficients | Standard errors | Model comp.? |
|-------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
|                   | Anc 1   | Anc 2 | p | 1 | 2 |
| Sicily_LBA        | 2.11E-02 | 0.875 | 0.125 | 0.066 | 0.066 | no |
| Balkan_IA         |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_LBA_480BCE_1 | 1.45E-02 | 0.154 | 0.846 | 0.089 | 0.089 | no |
| Sicily_LBA        | 1.62E-02 | 0.912 | 0.088 | 0.047 | 0.047 | no |
| Italy_IA_Republic.SG |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_LBA        | 1.08E-02 | 0.962 | 0.038 | 0.02 | 0.02 | no |
| Armenia_LBA.SG    |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_LBA_110371 | 4.78E-01 | 0.542 | 0.458 | 0.05 | 0.05 | no |
| Italy_IA_Republic.SG |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_LBA_110371 | 3.63E-01 | 0.595 | 0.405 | 0.05 | 0.05 | no |
| Spain_IA          |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_LBA_110371 | 1.83E-01 | 0.572 | 0.428 | 0.051 | 0.051 | no |
| Spain_BA          |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_MBA        | 3.84E-02 | 0.786 | 0.214 | 0.072 | 0.072 | no |
| Balkan_IA         |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_MBA        | 2.85E-02 | 0.859 | 0.141 | 0.044 | 0.044 | no |
| Italy_IA_Republic.SG |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_LBA_110371 | 1.29E-02 | 0.571 | 0.429 | 0.105 | 0.105 | yes |
| Balkan_IA         |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_LBA_110371 | 2.34E-01 | 0.604 | 0.396 | 0.056 | 0.056 | yes |
| Italy_IA_Republic.SG |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_LBA        | 1.29E-02 | 0.917 | 0.083 | 0.088 | 0.088 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA_110371 | 4.29E-01 | 0.602 | 0.398 | 0.047 | 0.047 | yes |
| Spain_IA          |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_MBA        | 1.79E-01 | 0.588 | 0.412 | 0.051 | 0.051 | yes |
| Balkan_IA         |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_MBA        | 1.71E-02 | 0.818 | 0.182 | 0.077 | 0.077 | yes |
| Italy_IA_Republic.SG |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_LBA_110371 | 5.22E-02 | 0.875 | 0.125 | 0.041 | 0.041 | yes |
| Spain_IA          |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_MBA        | 1.10E-02 | 0.886 | 0.114 | 0.038 | 0.038 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA_110371 | 3.69E-02 | 0.782 | 0.218 | 27.498 | 27.498 | yes |
| Sicily_MBA        |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_LBA        | 3.21E-02 | 0.905 | 0.095 | 0.064 | 0.064 | yes |
| Balkan_IA         |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_LBA_110371 | 5.33E-02 | 0.91 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.04 | yes |
| Italy_IA_Republic.SG |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_LBA_110371 | 3.89E-02 | 0.960 | 0.034 | 0.019 | 0.019 | yes |
| Armenia_LBA.SG    |         |       |     |   |   |   |
| Sicily_LBA        | 1.55E-02 | 0.987 | 0.013 | 0.04 | 0.04 | yes |

**Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1**

The individuals of this group could all be modeled as a 2-way mixture of ~76-83% Turkey_N_Barcin and ~17-24% Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya, requiring no additional WHG ancestry (Dataset S5). As a group, the feasible model (p=1.21E-02; Table S13) is a 3-way mixture of Turkey_N_Barcin (76.4 ± 1.3%), Iran_GanjDareh_N (5.8 ± 1.5%) and Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya (17.9 ± 1.3%).

We tested 1-, 2-, and 3-way models of the proximal sources Greece_Crete_BA, Greece_LBA, Sicily_LBA, Sicily_LBA_110371, Sicily_MBA, Sicily_IA, Sicily_Himera_Civilians_I20163, Sicily_Himera_Civilians_I20166, Sicily_Himera_Civilians_I20168, Balkan_IA, Italy_IA_Republic.SG, Israel_MLBA_Canaanite, Spain_IA and Italy_Sardinia_IA_Punic_1 (Table S10). After model competition, four 2-way models had a p-value>0.05, involving at least one source that accounts for an Iranian related component (Greece_Crete_BA, Greece_LBA, Armenia_LBA.SG, Sicily_LBA_110371, Sicily_Himera_Civilians_I20166, Sicily_Himera_Civilians_I20168). Some working 2-way models involve one with a lower proportion of Iranian-related ancestry: Italy_IA_Republic.SG, Balkan_IA, Sicily_MBA, Sicily_IA. In the model-competition approach, two 2-way models are not rejected that involve sources comprised of more than a single individual (meaning there is more statistical power to reject these models): 1) 46.5 ± 4.7% from Greece_Crete_BA and 53.5 ± 4.7% from Balkans_IA (p=3.83E-01), and 2) 79.2 ± 11.5% from Greece_LBA and 20.8 ± 11.5% from Balkans_IA (p=1.20E-02). According to these results, the soldiers in the 480 BCE battle carry a large part of ancestry that can be traced back to the BA inhabitants of the Aegean ("Mycenaens" and "Minoans") which could represent both the descendants of Greek settlers in Sicily or other colonies or possibly direct migrants from the Aegean.
Table S10. Admixture proportions estimated by \textit{qpAdm} for the most parsimonious valid models for Sicily_Himera\_480BCE\_1 with proximal sources.

| Admixture Sources | 1 | 2 | p-value | Ancestry coefficients | Standard errors | Model comp.? |
|-------------------|---|---|---------|------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Sicily_IA          | Sicily_Himera_Civilians I20166 | 1.29E-02 | 0.482 | 0.018 | 0.09 | 0.09 | no |
| Sicily_IA          | Sicily_Himera_Civilians I20168 | 1.61E-01 | 0.488 | 0.512 | 0.082 | 0.082 | no |
| Greece_Crete_BA    | Balkan_IA                        | 4.87E-01 | 0.474 | 0.526 | 0.043 | 0.043 | no |
| Greece_Crete_BA    | Sicily_Himera_Civilians I20168 | 4.90E-02 | 0.367 | 0.633 | 0.1 | 0.1 | no |
| Greece_LBA         | Sicily_LBA I10371                | 1.45E-02 | 0.637 | 0.163 | 0.366 | 0.366 | no |
| Sicily_LBA         | Sicily_Himera_Civilians I20168 | 7.20E-02 | 0.401 | 0.599 | 0.092 | 0.092 | no |
| Sicily_LBA I10371  | Balkan_IA                        | 3.73E-02 | 0.381 | 0.619 | 0.092 | 0.092 | no |
| Sicily_LBA I10371  | Italy_IA Republic.SG             | 1.10E-02 | 0.567 | 0.433 | 0.058 | 0.058 | no |
| Sicily_LBA I10371  | Sicily_Himera_Civilians I20168 | 4.11E-01 | 0.337 | 0.663 | 0.098 | 0.098 | no |
| Sicily_LBA I10371  | Armenia_LBA.SG                   | 2.71E-01 | 0.675 | 0.325 | 0.039 | 0.039 | no |
| Sicily_MBA         | Sicily_Himera_Civilians I20166 | 2.76E-02 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.896 | 0.896 | no |
| Sicily_MBA         | Sicily_Himera_Civilians I20168 | 1.10E-01 | 0.437 | 0.563 | 0.314 | 0.314 | no |
| Greece_Crete_BA    | Balkan_IA                        | 3.83E-01 | 0.465 | 0.535 | 0.047 | 0.047 | yes |
| Greece_LBA         | Sicily_LBA I10371                | 2.12E-02 | 0.969 | 0.151 | 0.224 | 0.224 | yes |
| Greece_LBA         | Sicily_MBA                       | 1.20E-02 | 0.792 | 0.208 | 0.115 | 0.115 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA         | Sicily_Himera_Civilians I20166 | 2.23E-02 | 0.394 | 0.606 | 0.086 | 0.086 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA         | Sicily_Himera_Civilians I20168 | 1.04E-01 | 0.343 | 0.657 | 0.102 | 0.102 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA I10371  | Sicily_Himera_Civilians I20166 | 5.08E-02 | 0.328 | 0.672 | 0.78 | 0.78 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA I10371  | Sicily_Himera_Civilians I20168 | 1.63E-01 | 0.302 | 0.698 | 0.187 | 0.187 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA I10371  | Balkan_IA                        | 4.44E-02 | 0.361 | 0.639 | 0.128 | 0.128 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA I10371  | Italy_IA Republic.SG             | 6.78E-02 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.059 | 0.059 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA I10371  | Armenia_LBA.SG                   | 1.86E-01 | 0.692 | 0.308 | 0.04 | 0.04 | yes |
| Sicily_MBA         | Sicily_Himera_Civilians I20166 | 1.01E-01 | 0.454 | 0.546 | 0.093 | 0.093 | yes |

**Sicily_Himera\_480BCE\_2**

These two individuals could be modeled as 3-way models of ~60-62% Turkey\_N_Barcin, ~7-10% WHG and ~29-30% Russia\_Samara\_EBA\_Yamnaya (Dataset S5). As a group, the ancestry coefficients were estimated as 62.1 ± 2% Turkey\_N_Barcin, 8.4 ± 2% WHG and 29.6 ± 2.5% Russia\_Samara\_EBA\_Yamnaya (\textit{p}=7.39E-01; Table S13).

We tested 1-, 2-, and 3-way models of the proximal sources Greece\_Crete\_BA, Greece\_LBA, Sicily\_LBA, Sicily\_MBA, Balkan\_IA, Italy\_IA\_Republic.SG, Spain\_IA, Italy\_IA\_Republic_o.SG, Hungary\_IA\_Scythian.SG, Czech_HallstattBiblyan.SG, Sicily\_IA, France\_GrandEst\_IA1.SG, France\_GrandEst\_IA2.SG and France\_HautsDeFrance\_IA2.SG (Table S11). Many 2-way models are feasible, even using the model competition approach, and in all of them Sicily_Himera\_480BCE\_2 derives at least half of its ancestry from a western European, central European or Balkan IA group.
### Table S11. Admixture proportions estimated by qpAdm for the most parsimonious valid models for Sicily_Himera_480BCE_2 with proximal sources.

| Admixture Sources | p-value | Ancestry coefficients | Standard errors | Model comp.? |
|-------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
|                   | 1       | 2                     | Anc 1  | Anc 2 | SE 1 | SE 2 |            |
| Greece_Crete BA   | 9.06E-01| 0.266 0.734           | 0.037 0.037 | no |
| Greece_Crete BA   | 6.78E-01| 0.32   0.68            | 0.034 0.034 | no |
| Greece_Crete BA   | 9.47E-01| 0.367 0.633           | 0.036 0.036 | no |
| Greece_LBA        | 1.96E-02| 0.429 0.571           | 0.058 0.058 | no |
| Greece_LBA        | 2.29E-02| 0.516 0.484           | 0.048 0.048 | no |
| Greece_LBA        | 7.96E-01| 0.376 0.624           | 0.053 0.053 | no |
| Greece_LBA        | 3.74E-01| 0.443 0.557           | 0.048 0.048 | no |
| Greece_LBA        | 6.48E-01| 0.489 0.511           | 0.048 0.048 | no |
| Sicily_LBA        | 4.71E-01| 0.341 0.659           | 0.051 0.051 | no |
| Sicily_LBA        | 6.52E-01| 0.411 0.589           | 0.044 0.044 | no |
| Sicily_LBA        | 7.28E-01| 0.455 0.545           | 0.046 0.046 | no |
| Sicily_MBA        | 3.28E-01| 0.302 0.698           | 0.047 0.047 | no |
| Sicily_MBA        | 3.50E-01| 0.37   0.63            | 0.041 0.041 | no |
| Sicily_MBA        | 8.41E-01| 0.422 0.578           | 0.042 0.042 | no |
| Balkan IA         | 6.25E-02| 0.485 0.515           | 0.144 0.144 | no |
| Balkan IA         | 4.34E-01| 0.606 0.394           | 0.079 0.079 | no |
| Balkan IA         | 2.76E-01| 0.684 0.316           | 0.062 0.062 | no |
| Balkan IA         | 3.75E-01| 0.683 0.317           | 0.064 0.064 | no |
| Balkan IA         | 8.50E-01| 0.523 0.477           | 0.081 0.081 | no |
| Balkan IA         | 3.34E-01| 0.622 0.378           | 0.075 0.075 | no |
| Balkan IA         | 5.54E-01| 0.655 0.345           | 0.07 0.07 | no |
| Spain IA          | 8.33E-02| 0.45   0.55            | 0.094 0.094 | no |
| Spain IA          | 6.28E-01| 0.365 0.635           | 0.05 0.05 | no |
| Spain IA          | 6.11E-01| 0.431 0.569           | 0.044 0.044 | no |
| Sicily_LBA        | 9.42E-01| 0.48   0.52            | 0.043 0.043 | no |
| Sicily_LBA        | 1.36E-02| 0.37   0.63            | 0.035 0.035 | no |
| Greece_Crete BA   | 6.15E-02| 0.431 0.569           | 0.06 0.06 | yes |
| Greece_LBA        | 4.03E-02| 0.425 0.575           | 0.056 0.056 | yes |
| Greece_LBA        | 1.23E-01| 0.458 0.542           | 0.051 0.051 | yes |
| Greece_LBA        | 4.49E-01| 0.498 0.502           | 0.05 0.05 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA        | 2.11E-02| 0.387 0.613           | 0.05 0.05 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA        | 4.41E-01| 0.417 0.583           | 0.043 0.043 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA        | 4.93E-01| 0.44   0.56            | 0.046 0.046 | yes |
| Sicily_MBA        | 3.66E-02| 0.362 0.638           | 0.046 0.046 | yes |
| Sicily_MBA        | 4.24E-01| 0.386 0.614           | 0.041 0.041 | yes |
| Sicily_MBA        | 7.11E-01| 0.423 0.577           | 0.042 0.042 | yes |
| Balkan IA         | 4.35E-01| 0.614 0.386           | 0.092 0.092 | yes |
| Balkan IA         | 3.00E-01| 0.644 0.356           | 0.093 0.093 | yes |
| Balkan IA         | 4.10E-01| 0.654 0.346           | 0.076 0.076 | yes |
| Balkan IA         | 4.81E-01| 0.676 0.324           | 0.08 0.08 | yes |
| Balkan IA         | 1.00E-01| 0.793 0.207           | 0.057 0.057 | yes |
| Balkan IA         | 5.43E-02| 0.85   0.35            | 0.118 0.118 | yes |
| Balkan IA         | 7.66E-02| 0.797 0.203           | 0.059 0.059 | yes |
| Spain IA          | 2.20E-02| 0.562 0.438           | 0.079 0.079 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA        | 1.67E-02| 0.399 0.601           | 0.048 0.048 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA        | 2.70E-01| 0.428 0.572           | 0.043 0.043 | yes |
| Sicily_LBA        | 5.06E-01| 0.463 0.537           | 0.043 0.043 | yes |
| Greece_Crete BA   | 1.38E-01| 0.325 0.675           | 0.035 0.035 | yes |
| Greece_Crete BA   | 4.17E-01| 0.366 0.634           | 0.036 0.036 | yes |

#### Sicily_Himera_480BCE_3

As individuals they could be modeled as 3-way models of ~31-32% Turkey_N_Barci, ~16-20% WHG and ~48-52% Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya (Dataset S5). As a group (p=3.16E-02; Table S8); with 31.9 ± 1.9% Turkey_N_Barci, 18.2 ± 2 % WHG and 49.9 ± 2.5 % Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya.

As combinations of more proximal sources of ancestry, we tested 1-, 2-, and 3-way models of Sicily_IA, Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1, Estonia_IA.SG, Latvia_BA, Lithuania_BA, WesternSteppe_IA_Nomadic_NE, WesternSteppe_IA_Nomadic_Steppe and England_IA.SG.

The only model that was not rejected was a 1-way model with Lithuania BA as the source (p=0.129). This group, comprising 4 Eastern Baltic individuals dated to the 13th to 7th century BCE,
carries a greater proportion of WHG and EHG ancestry than other contemporary European populations, but lacks the Siberian-related ancestry found in this region in later times and roughly contemporaneously further north (44, 45).

Sicily_Himera_480BCE_4

This group yields no feasible models when using only the common 4 distal sources for European populations. Due to the position on the PCA clustering with IA steppe nomads and the additional ancestry component maximized in East Asians (Fig. 2B), we moved Russia_Shamanka_Eneolithic.SG to the sources, accounting for any East Asian-related ancestry also seen in varying proportions in contemporaneous Steppe nomads (46, 47), resulting in models that are not rejected. The individuals can be modeled as 20-28% Turkey_N_Barcin, 59-63% Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya and 12-16% Russia_Shamanka_Eneolithic.SG (Dataset S5). As a group, the best-fitting model (p=6.19E-01; Table S8) is Turkey_N_Barcin (21.2 ± 2.4%), Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya (62.4 ± 3.2%), Russia_Shamanka_Eneolithic.SG (10.2 ± 2.4%) and CHG (6.2 ± 3.6%).

Using more proximal sources of ancestry, we tested 1-, 2-, and 3-way models of, CentralSteppe_IA_Nomadic_EA1, CentralSteppe_IA_Nomadic_EA2, CentralSteppe_IA_Nomadic_o, CentralSteppe_IA_Nomadic_Steppe, WesternSteppe_IA_Nomadic_EA, WesternSteppe_IA_Nomadic_intermediate, WesternSteppe_IA_Nomadic_NE, WesternSteppe_IA_Nomadic_o, WesternSteppe_IA_Nomadic_Steppe, TianShan_IA_Nomadic, TianShan_IA_Nomadic_o1, TianShan_IA_Nomadic_o2, TianShan_IA_Prescythian.SG and Hungary_IA_Scythian.SG (Table S12). We find a working 1-way model in TianShan_IA_Nomadic_o2 (p=1.53E-01; Table S12), a genetic outlier who appears to carry more Western Eurasian ancestry than the main cluster of contemporaneous nomads sampled from the TianShan region. Since this group is only represented by a single individual, we also report working 2-way models, which include the Mediterranean sources or groups known to have more western Eurasian affinity. We investigate the possible source of western Eurasian admixture further by running the competitive modeling approach. While none of the models return a p-value>0.01, the 2-way models with the highest p-value (p>3.86E-04), derive ~88% of ancestry from CentralSteppe_IA_Nomadic_Steppe (i.e. individuals associated with nomadic IA cultures excavated in the Central region of the Eurasian steppes, who genetically resemble BA Steppe pastoralists with added East Asian/Siberian gene flow), and ~12% from an Aegean source (represented by Greece_LBA or Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1), consistent with two 3-way models with the highest p-values (1.19E-03 and 1.27E-03, respectively) that require, in addition to ~79% CentralSteppe_IA_Nomadic_Steppe and ~13-15% of an Aegean source, around 6-7% ancestry deriving from CentralSteppe_IA_Nomadic_EA1 (Table S12). The rejection of these models suggests that while a western Eurasian, and possibly Mediterranean source is plausible, we have not used the exact contributing source in our models. This admixture between groups from the steppe and western Eurasia could have taken place in the steppe, since IA Steppe nomads such as the Scythians were genetically very homogeneous and also included individuals of mostly Aegean ancestry (47, 48); furthermore, Aegean migrants living in Greek colonies on the shores of the Black Sea are likely to have been in contact with the neighboring nomadic populations.
We tested 1-3 samples involving Turkey_N_Barcin, Iran_GanjDareh_N and either WHG or Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya, and alternative models involving Turkey_N_Barcin, and either Iran_GanjDareh_N or Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya. I17866/W4380 required 3 sources for alternative models involving Turkey_N_Barcin, Iran_GanjDareh_N and either WHG or Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya, and alternative models involving Turkey_N_Barcin, and either Iran_GanjDareh_N or Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya worked for 17884/W4666 (Dataset S5). The latter two samples also show a slight shift towards Near Eastern populations with respect to the other three, and a slightly higher proportion of the ADMIXTURE component maximized in CHG (Fig. 2B). As a group, they were modeled as 76.6 ± 1.5% Turkey_N_Barcin and 23.4 ± 1.5% Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya (p=1.30E-02; Table S8).

We tested 1-, 2-, and 3-way models of the proximal sources Greece_Crete_BA, Greece_LBA, Armenia_LBA.SG, Sicily_LBA, Sicily_LBA_I10371, Sicily_MBA, Sicily_IA, Turkey_N_Barcin, and ~29%. This individual could be modeled as a 4-way model (p=1.73E-02; Dataset S5), as a 4-way model of Turkey_N_Barcin (33.4 ± 3.7%), WHG (1.3 ± 3.5%), Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya (20.1 ± 6.4%) and CHG (45.2 ± 5.8%). This is consistent with no WHG contribution; however, the corresponding 3-way model is rejected (p=4.02E-3).

**Sicily Himera 409BCE**

Three of the individuals worked with 2 sources ~74-80% Turkey_N_Barcin and ~20-26% Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya. I17866/W4380 required 3 sources for alternative models involving Turkey_N_Barcin, Iran_GanjDareh_N and either WHG or Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya, and alternative models involving Turkey_N_Barcin, and either Iran_GanjDareh_N or Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya worked for 17884/W4666 (Dataset S5). The latter two samples also show a slight shift towards Near Eastern populations with respect to the other three, and a slightly higher proportion of the ADMIXTURE component maximized in CHG (Fig. 2B). As a group, they were modeled as 76.6 ± 1.5% Turkey_N_Barcin and 23.4 ± 1.5% Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya (p=1.30E-02; Table S8).

We tested 1-, 2-, and 3-way models of the proximal sources Greece_Crete_BA, Greece_LBA, Armenia_LBA.SG, Sicily_LBA, Sicily_LBA_I10371, Sicily_MBA, Sicily_IA, Turkey_N_Barcin, and ~29%. This individual could be modeled as a 4-way model (p=1.73E-02; Dataset S5), as a 4-way model of Turkey_N_Barcin (33.4 ± 3.7%), WHG (1.3 ± 3.5%), Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya (20.1 ± 6.4%) and CHG (45.2 ± 5.8%). This is consistent with no WHG contribution; however, the corresponding 3-way model is rejected (p=4.02E-3).

**Sicily Himera 480BCE**

This individual could be modeled as a 4-way model (p=1.73E-02; Dataset S5), as a 4-way model of Turkey_N_Barcin (33.4 ± 3.7%), WHG (1.3 ± 3.5%), Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya (20.1 ± 6.4%) and CHG (45.2 ± 5.8%). This is consistent with no WHG contribution; however, the corresponding 3-way model is rejected (p=4.02E-3).
After model competition, the two valid 1-way suggest cladality between the soldiers of the 409n BCE battle and the preceding Aegean-like cluster from the 480 BCE battle, Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1 (p=2.28E-02) and Greece_LBA (p=3.11E-02).

Table S13. Admixture proportions estimated by qpAdm for the most parsimonious valid models for Sicily_Himera_409BCE with proximal sources.

| Admixture Sources        | p-value | Ancestry coefficients | Standard errors | Model comp. |
|--------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|
|                          | Anc_1   | SE_1                  |                 |             |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1   | 3.29E-02 | 1                     | 0               | no          |
| Greece_LBA               | 2.43E-02 | 1                     | 0               | no          |
| Sicily_Himera_409BCE_1   | 2.28E-02 | 1                     | 0               | yes         |
| Greece_LBA               | 3.11E-02 | 1                     | 0               | yes         |

Sicily Himera Civilians

The three higher coverage individuals in this group were established above to be genetically inhomogeneous.

For I20163/W1838 we obtained the working model 93.6 ± 3.6% Turkey_N_Barcin and 6.4 ± 3.6% WHG (p=3.09E-02; Table S8).

I20166/W3182 requires a 3-way model (p=5.83E-02; Table S12) of Turkey_N_Barcin (66.7± 4.8%), Iran_GanjDareh_N (21.5 ± 5.8%) and Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya (11.9 ± 4.9%).

I20168/W3702 can be modeled alternatively as 74.9 ± 4.6% Turkey_N_Barcin and 25.1 ± 4.6% Iran_GanjDareh_N, or as 78.6 ± 4.3% Turkey_N_Barcin and 21.4 ± 4.3% Russia_Samara_EBA_Yamnaya.

For these three individuals, we tested 1-, 2-, and 3-way models of the proximal sources Greece_Crete_BA, Greece_LBA, Armenia_LBA.SG, Sicily_LBA, Sicily_MBA, Sicily_IA, Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1, Sicily_Himera_409BCE, Balkan_IA, Italy_IA_Republic.SG, Israel_MLBA_Canaanite, Spain_IA and Italy_Sardinia_C_o Italy_Sardinia_IA_Punic_1 (Table S14).

I20163/W1838 can be fit by four valid 2-way models, most involving a Sicilian source. The second source is either Spain_IA, Israel_MLBA_Canaanite, Italy_Sardinia_IA_Punic_1 or Italy_Sardinia_C_o. The inclusion of the latter three, a Levantine group, Punic individuals with variable North African and European ancestry, and a Chalcolithic Sardinian with North African ancestry, indicate that this individual is the descendant of local Sicilians who also harbors Levantine or North African ancestry – around 9-15%, if Italy_Sardinia_C_o is representative of unadmixed ancestry of this type. The model with the highest p-value gives 61.6 ± 8.7% Sicily_IA and 38.4 % ± 8.7% Italy_Sardinia_IA_Punic_1 (p=0.295).
Table S14. Admixture proportions estimated by *qpAdm* for the most parsimonious valid models for Sicily_Himera_Civilians_I20163 with proximal sources.

| Admixture Sources | p-value  | Ancestry coefficients | Standard errors | Model comp.? |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
|                   |          | Anc_1     | Anc_2     | SE_1 | SE_2 |        |
| Israel_MLBA_Canaanite | 2.48E-02 | 0.453  | 0.547  | 0.061 | 0.061 | no     |
| Sicily_LBA         | 2.74E-02 | 0.848  | 0.152  | 0.052 | 0.052 | no     |
| Sicily_MBA         | 2.52E-02 | 0.847  | 0.153  | 0.055 | 0.055 | no     |
| Sicily_LBA         | 1.56E-02 | 0.779  | 0.221  | 0.086 | 0.086 | no     |
| Sicily_LBA         | 1.18E-02 | 0.734  | 0.296  | 0.123 | 0.123 | no     |
| Sicily_MBA         | 2.48E-02 | 0.692  | 0.308  | 0.118 | 0.118 | no     |
| Sicily_IA          | 3.39E-02 | 0.87    | 0.13   | 0.032 | 0.032 | no     |
| Sicily_LBA         | 1.26E-01 | 0.877  | 0.123  | 0.034 | 0.034 | no     |
| Sicily_MBA         | 3.01E-02 | 0.787  | 0.146  | 0.036 | 0.036 | no     |
| Spain_IA           | 1.35E-02 | 0.438  | 0.562  | 0.081 | 0.081 | no     |
| Sicily_MBA         | 9.85E-02 | 0.566  | 0.414  | 0.094 | 0.094 | no     |
| Sicily_LBA         | 2.39E-01 | 0.616  | 0.384  | 0.086 | 0.086 | no     |
| Sicily_LBA         | 9.48E-02 | 0.632  | 0.398  | 0.111 | 0.111 | no     |
| Greece_LBA         | 1.66E-02 | 0.673  | 0.327  | 0.1   | 0.1   | no     |
| Sicily_LBA         | 1.57E-02 | 0.743  | 0.267  | 0.112 | 0.112 | no     |
| Sicily_MBA         | 9.35E-02 | 0.673  | 0.327  | 0.096 | 0.096 | no     |
| Sicily_LBA         | 1.06E-02 | 0.869  | 0.131  | 0.033 | 0.033 | yes    |
| Israel_MLBA_Canaanite | 2.98E-02 | 0.276  | 0.724  | 0.075 | 0.075 | yes    |
| Sicily_LBA         | 1.22E-01 | 0.552  | 0.448  | 0.088 | 0.088 | yes    |
| Sicily_MBA         | 9.31E-02 | 0.849  | 0.351  | 0.098 | 0.098 | yes    |
| Sicily_MBA         | 2.86E-02 | 0.841  | 0.159  | 0.054 | 0.054 | yes    |
| Sicily_MBA         | 5.42E-02 | 0.675  | 0.325  | 0.107 | 0.107 | yes    |
| Sicily_MBA         | 2.57E-02 | 0.894  | 0.106  | 0.035 | 0.035 | yes    |
| Sicily_LBA         | 2.99E-02 | 0.225  | 0.775  | 0.09  | 0.09  | yes    |
| Sicily_IA          | 1.78E-02 | 0.727  | 0.273  | 0.106 | 0.106 | yes    |
| Sicily_IA          | 1.25E-01 | 0.876  | 0.124  | 0.034 | 0.034 | yes    |
| Sicily_IA          | 2.95E-01 | 0.816  | 0.384  | 0.087 | 0.087 | yes    |
| Spain_LBA          | 1.32E-02 | 0.317  | 0.683  | 0.105 | 0.105 | yes    |
| Sicily_LBA         | 3.55E-02 | 0.252  | 0.746  | 0.078 | 0.078 | yes    |
| Greece_LBA         | 1.00E-02 | 0.829  | 0.371  | 0.138 | 0.138 | yes    |
| Sicily_MBA         | 2.34E-02 | 0.44    | 0.56    | 0.063 | 0.063 | yes    |

Both I20166/W3182 and I20168/W3702 can be modeled as cladal with Greece_LBA (p-values=3.55E-02 and 7.80E-02, respectively), likely confirming descendants of Greek settlers among the civilians of Himera.

**Sicily_Himera_EastNecropolis**

This individual can be modeled distally as 89.9 ± 2% Turkey_N_Barcin and 10.1 ± 2% WHG (p=3.29E-02; Dataset S5).

In proximal 1-way models the individual is cladal with preceding Sicilian groups Sicily_MBA, Sicily_LBA and Sicily_IA (Table S15), consistent with its position on the PCA and ancestry components inferred by ADMIXTURE (Fig. 2).

Table S15. Admixture proportions estimated by *qpAdm* for the most parsimonious valid models for Sicily_Himera_EastNecropolis with proximal sources.

| Admixture Sources | p-value  | Ancestry coefficients | Standard errors | Model comp.? |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
|                   |          | Anc_1     | SE_1    |        |
| Sicily IA         | 6.69E-02 | 1         | 0       | no     |
| Sicily_LBA        | 2.18E-01 | 1         | 0       | no     |
| Sicily_MBA        | 5.77E-01 | 1         | 0       | no     |
| Sicily IA         | 6.95E-02 | 1         | 0       | yes    |
| Sicily_LBA        | 2.61E-01 | 1         | 0       | yes    |
| Sicily_MBA        | 6.25E-01 | 1         | 0       | yes    |
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The relationship between the civilians and soldiers of Himera

In most Greek cities the army was composed of citizens who could furnish themselves with armor. The results of the qpAdm analysis, as well as the PCA and ADMIXTURE analyses, which involve low coverage individuals, indicate a higher genetic diversity among the civilians than among Aegean-related soldiers (the groups Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1 and Sicily_Himera_409BCE), although not as high as among the genetic outliers of the 480 BCE battle, who likely included foreign mercenaries. To compare the genetic diversity between the groups of Aegean-related soldiers (including both battles) and the civilians of Himera, we used the software PAST 4.04 (49) to conduct a one-way PERMANOVA (50) on the coordinates of the first two PCs, and show that the centroids of the two groups are not significantly different in their position (p=0.218; 9999 permutations, Euclidean similarity index; Fig. S15). However, the variance within groups, represented by the Euclidean distances of points to the centroid of their respective group, is significantly higher in the civilian sample (p=2.51-05; t-test, Exact permutation; Fig. S15). On face value, this suggests that the soldiers might be a less diverse subset of the civilian population, in accordance with the historical hypothesis. However, as the scatter in the civilian sample could be due to noise created by the low coverage of the majority of these individuals, we repeated the tests with 5 iterations of randomly downsampling each soldier to the coverage of one of the civilian individuals (and dropping one soldier to match the number of civilian samples available). After downsampling, the centroids of the soldiers remain not significantly different from the centroid of the civilian group (p>0.140; 9999 permutations, Euclidean similarity index), while the difference in variance becomes non-significant in 4 of 5 cases (p>0.209 in 4 cases, and p=0.024 in one case; t-test, Exact permutation; Fig. S15). This demonstrates how high missingness can make a population appear more genetically heterogeneous than it really is, when only taking PCA into account. However, qpAdm results (see above) show that at least one of the civilian individuals, I20163/W1838, has an ancestry composition distinct from what we see in any of the soldiers. Overall, the results do not contradict the hypothesis that the general populace comprised a more diverse ancestry than that represented by Aegean-related soldiers who best represent the descendants of Greek settlers. The possibility that the citizen-soldiers who defended Himera against attack in 409 BCE represent a subset of the population more closely related to “Greek” founders than other citizens warrants further investigation.

Local Sicilian ancestry in the Aegean-related soldiers

We find that for each of the battles the largest groups of soldiers (Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1 and Sicily_Himera_409BCE) are primarily related to the Aegean BA. This inference is based on their clustering with Greece_BA on the PCA, similar proportions of genetic components in ADMIXTURE analysis, and valid qpAdm models involving Aegean sources. However, these groups do not seem completely genetically homogeneous in the mentioned analyses, as well as in the qpKmv result for Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1, and valid qpAdm models involve also non-Aegean sources (Table S10). It is possible that the heterogeneous nature of the groups can be explained by genetic substructure in their ancestral Aegean populations, as well as admixture of their ancestors with non-Aegean groups such as local Sicilians. This could be indicated by the position of three of the Sicily_Himera_409BCE individuals shifted more closely to indigenous Sicilian groups on the PCA. Indeed, we do not expect both groups to share the same recent population history, as, according to historical sources, the 480 BCE battle involved supporting armies from other Greek poleis, while in the 409 BCE battle Himera was defended by her own inhabitants with no outside support.

Grouped modeling with proximal sources as performed previously might obscure slight differences in individual ancestry. As a simple model, we might interpret the position of the Himeran soldiers on the PCA as a genetic cline between an Aegean-related and an indigenous Sicilian-related source, in which each individual has slightly differing proportions of the two different ancestries. Local admixture between the communities that inhabited the same island and undoubtedly...
interacted with each other for decades or even centuries is expected. We therefore first model each individual in Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1 and Sicily_Himera_409BCE as a 2-way mixture between Greece_LBA and a local preceding or contemporaneous indigenous Sicilian group; here we use Sicily_LBA and Sicily_IA. We first confirm that we can distinguish the source proxies with our chosen outgroups (P16 + Greece_Crete_BA, Armenia_LBA,SG, Israel_MLBA_Canaanite, Italy_Sardinia_C_o, Sicily_Himera_Civilians_I20163, Sicily_Himera_Civilians_I20166, Sicily_Himera_Civilians_I20168) using qpWave (Table S16). All models of cladality are rejected with p-values <0.01.

Table S16. qpWave results confirming that our outgroups can distinguish between the proposed source populations at a significance level of p < 0.01.

| Pop_A          | Pop_B          | p-value  |
|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Greece_LBA     | Sicily_IA      | 4.79E-29 |
| Greece_LBA     | Sicily_LBA     | 1.33E-15 |
| Sicily_LBA     | Sicily_IA      | 5.60E-11 |

We ran qpAdm by adding all unused sources in a given model to the outgroups. In Table S17, we report the results for all 2-way models using Greece_LBA and Sicily LBA or IA as sources. When these are all rejected for a given individual or result in coefficients and standard errors consistent with no ancestry deriving from one of the sources, we additionally test feasible 1-way models. We find among the soldiers of the 480 BCE battle three (I10945/W0494, I10952/W2738 and I7221/W2825) which are best modeled as deriving all of their ancestry from Greece_LBA without added genetic input. For 4 soldiers (I10948/, I7217/W2590 and I7218/W2737 and I7219) the most parsimonious model is an admixture of around 52-86% Greece_LBA and 21-48% Sicily_LBA or Sicily_IA.

Among the soldiers of the 409 BCE battle, one (I17884/W4666) is consistent with descending solely from Greece_LBA. The other 4 are estimated to derive additional 18-64% of their ancestry from Sicily_LBA or Sicily_IA. The results demonstrate a local Sicilian contribution to the ancestry of the majority of Aegean-related soldiers fighting at Himera, suggesting that their ancestors inhabited Sicily for some generations.
Table S17. Admixture proportions estimated by qpAdm for 1- and 2-way models for individual Himeran soldiers using Sicily_LBA/IA and Greece_LBA as the proximal sources. Marked in grey are the most parsimonious models for each individual; in cases where two models are not rejected, we chose the one with lower standard errors.

| Group | Individual | Admixture Sources | p-value | Ancestry coefficients | Standard errors | Result |
|-------|------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|
|       |            |                   |         | Anc_1 | Anc_2 | SE_1 | SE_2 |        |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I10945 Sicily_LBA | Greece_LBA | 5.63E-01 | -0.12 | 1.12 | 0.155 | 0.155 | infeasible, consistent with no Sicily contribution |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I10945 Sicily_IA | Greece_LBA | 4.66E-01 | -0.063 | 1.063 | 0.17 | 0.17 | infeasible, consistent with no Sicily contribution |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I10945 Greece_LBA | 5.37E-01 | 1 | 0 | not rejected |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I10948 Sicily_LBA | Greece_LBA | 6.52E-03 | 0.162 | 0.838 | 0.151 | 0.151 | rejected |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I10948 Sicily_IA | Greece_LBA | 1.35E-01 | 0.482 | 0.518 | 0.145 | 0.145 | not rejected |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I10952 Sicily_LBA | Greece_LBA | 3.22E-01 | 0.069 | 0.931 | 0.161 | 0.161 | consistent with no Sicily contribution |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I10952 Sicily_IA | Greece_LBA | 3.44E-01 | -0.021 | 1.021 | 0.157 | 0.157 | infeasible, consistent with no Sicily contribution |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I10952 Greece_LBA | 3.96E-01 | 1 | 0 | not rejected |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7217 Sicily_LBA | Greece_LBA | 2.03E-01 | 0.212 | 0.788 | 0.152 | 0.152 | not rejected |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7217 Sicily_IA | Greece_LBA | 2.75E-01 | 0.317 | 0.883 | 0.159 | 0.159 | not rejected |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7218 Sicily_LBA | Greece_LBA | 2.82E-01 | 0.127 | 0.873 | 0.129 | 0.129 | consistent with no Sicily contribution |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7218 Sicily_IA | Greece_LBA | 8.52E-01 | 0.383 | 0.817 | 0.143 | 0.143 | not rejected |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7219 Sicily_LBA | Greece_LBA | 1.34E-02 | 0.179 | 0.821 | 0.184 | 0.184 | consistent with no Sicily contribution |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7219 Sicily_IA | Greece_LBA | 5.87E-02 | 0.415 | 0.585 | 0.151 | 0.151 | not rejected |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7221 Sicily_LBA | Greece_LBA | 4.97E-01 | -0.103 | 1.103 | 0.14 | 0.14 | consistent with no Sicily contribution |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7221 Sicily_IA | Greece_LBA | 4.63E-01 | -0.036 | 1.036 | 0.151 | 0.151 | infeasible, consistent with no Sicily contribution |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7221 Greece_LBA | 5.72E-01 | 1 | 0 | not rejected |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7221 Sicily_LBA | Greece_LBA | 6.52E-02 | 0.243 | 0.757 | 0.121 | 0.121 | not rejected |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7221 Sicily_IA | Greece_LBA | 4.53E-01 | 0.458 | 0.542 | 0.139 | 0.139 | not rejected |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7224 Sicily_LBA | Greece_LBA | 4.45E-01 | 0.129 | 0.871 | 0.128 | 0.128 | not rejected |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7224 Sicily_IA | Greece_LBA | 3.92E-01 | 0.153 | 0.847 | 0.145 | 0.145 | not rejected |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7225 Sicily_LBA | Greece_LBA | 2.42E-01 | 0.044 | 0.356 | 0.122 | 0.122 | not rejected |
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE | I7225 Sicily_IA | Greece_LBA | 3.04E-01 | 0.509 | 0.491 | 0.129 | 0.129 | not rejected |

**Runs of homozygosity and population size**

We used the hidden Markov model algorithm implemented in the software package hapROH (51) to detect ROH, which is possible for samples covered at more than 400,000 SNPs (Fig. S14 and Table S18).

Fewer than half of the individuals exhibit any ROH, indicating a large effective population size (51). Endogamous practices are unlikely, as we find no evidence of recent inbreeding between close relatives, and most individuals do not carry ROH over 4 cM in length. However, in all groups except Sicily_Himera_480BCE_2 and Sicily_Himera_409BCE some of the individuals carry a low amount of ROH in the length range of 4-20 cM. This could signify consanguinity between very distantly related parents which can happen by chance, especially if no cultural institutions are in place to prohibit unions between even distant relatives, or if genetic substructure existed among the population of Sicily.
Table S18. Distribution of Runs of Homozygosity >4cM

| Lab ID          | Group Label                  | Sum total of ROH segments >4cM | Sum total of ROH segments >20cM |
|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| I10371          | Italy_Sicily_LBA             | n/a (too few SNPs)            | n/a (too few SNPs)              |
| I10372          | Italy_Sicily_LBA             | 15.487098                     | 0                               |
| I3876           | Italy_Sicily_LBA             | 5.8545797                     | 0                               |
| I3878           | Italy_Sicily_LBA             | 0                             | 0                               |
| I10373          | Italy_Sicily_LBA_son.I3878   | 5.362302                      | 0                               |
| I17866          | Sicily_Himera_409BCE         | 0                             | 0                               |
| I17884          | Sicily_Himera_409BCE         | n/a (too few SNPs)            | n/a (too few SNPs)              |
| I7223           | Sicily_Himera_409BCE         | 0                             | 0                               |
| I7225           | Sicily_Himera_409BCE         | 0                             | 0                               |
| I7224           | Sicily_Himera_409BCE         | 0                             | 0                               |
| I7217           | Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1       | 20.644401                     | 0                               |
| I7219           | Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1       | 18.598111                     | 0                               |
| I7218           | Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1       | 17.690196                     | 0                               |
| I7221           | Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1       | 0                             | 0                               |
| I10945          | Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1       | 0                             | 0                               |
| I10952          | Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1       | 0                             | 0                               |
| I10948          | Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1       | 0                             | 0                               |
| I10946          | Sicily_Himera_480BCE_2       | 0                             | 0                               |
| I10950          | Sicily_Himera_480BCE_2       | 0                             | 0                               |
| I10943          | Sicily_Himera_480BCE_3       | 5.178202                      | 0                               |
| I10949          | Sicily_Himera_480BCE_3       | 4.426599                      | 0                               |
| I10947          | Sicily_Himera_480BCE_4       | 4.1877                        | 0                               |
| I10944          | Sicily_Himera_480BCE_4       | 0                             | 0                               |
| I10951          | Sicily_Himera_480BCE_5       | 7.792598                      | 0                               |
| I17878          | Sicily_Himera_Civilians      | n/a (too few SNPs)            | n/a (too few SNPs)              |
| I17879          | Sicily_Himera_Civilians      | n/a (too few SNPs)            | n/a (too few SNPs)              |
| I17881          | Sicily_Himera_Civilians      | n/a (too few SNPs)            | n/a (too few SNPs)              |
| I17432          | Sicily_Himera_EastNecropolis | 5.397784                      | 0                               |
| I13133          | Sicily_IA                    | n/a (too few SNPs)            | n/a (too few SNPs)              |
| I13140          | Sicily_IA                    | n/a (too few SNPs)            | n/a (too few SNPs)              |
| I13142          | Sicily_IA                    | n/a (too few SNPs)            | n/a (too few SNPs)              |
| I13125          | Sicily_IA                    | n/a (too few SNPs)            | n/a (too few SNPs)              |
| I13128          | Sicily_IA                    | n/a (too few SNPs)            | n/a (too few SNPs)              |
| I13390          | Sicily_IA                    | 16.812196                     | 0                               |
| I13376          | Sicily_IA                    | 9.601511                      | 0                               |
| I13384          | Sicily_IA                    | 6.7605                        | 0                               |
| I13378          | Sicily_IA                    | 5.289501                      | 0                               |
| I13389          | Sicily_IA                    | 4.4148                        | 0                               |
| I13387          | Sicily_IA                    | 0                             | 0                               |
| I13392          | Sicily_IA                    | 0                             | 0                               |
| I13394          | Sicily_IA                    | 0                             | 0                               |
| I13377          | Sicily_IA                    | 0                             | 0                               |
| I13395          | Sicily_IA                    | 0                             | 0                               |
| I13379          | Sicily_IA                    | 0                             | 0                               |
| I13380          | Sicily_IA                    | 0                             | 0                               |
| I13381          | Sicily_IA                    | 0                             | 0                               |
| I13391          | Sicily_IA                    | 0                             | 0                               |
| I13382          | Sicily_IA                    | 0                             | 0                               |
| I13383          | Sicily_IA                    | 0                             | 0                               |
| I13385          | Sicily_IA                    | 0                             | 0                               |
| I13386          | Sicily_IA                    | 0                             | 0                               |
Phenotypic analysis

We used the HIrisPlex-S system (52-54) to predict the pigmentation of individuals included in our study. Individuals with at least one SNP of the system with data could be submitted to HIrisPlex-S for phenotype prediction, and discussion is limited to the individuals for which the system returned inference probabilities for the different phenotypes (n/a was returned if the SNPs were insufficient for a prediction to be made).

We followed the procedure of a previous publication (55) in simulating genotypes (10 times per individual) from genotype likelihoods at each SNP locus and the prior probability of the allele frequency of each SNP (Dataset S7). The simulated genotypes were submitted to the HIrisPlex-S website (https://hirisplex.erasmusmc.nl/) on Dec. 7, 2020. Results across the 10 replicates were averaged and phenotype predictions were made for the hair, eye, and skin color phenotypes based on these averages (HairSimple: "light" or "dark", HairDetailed: "red", "blond", "brown", "black", Eye: "blue", "intermediate", "brown", and Skin color: "very pale", "pale", "intermediate", "dark", "dark-to-black"). We find a prevalence of brown hair, brown eyes and intermediate complexion among the studied individuals (Table S19).

We also screened the data for the derived alleles of MCM6 at locus rs4988235 and EDAR at locus rs3827760. We find the presence of the derived alleles in two individuals.

We find 4 in 6 of the sequences covering the MCM6 locus in the individual I10943/W0396 carry the derived allele, indicating that the individual is heterozygous. MCM6 is a regulatory gene that affects the lactase LCT gene and rs3827760 (A>G) is responsible for lactase persistence, the ability to digest milk into adulthood, in the majority of Europeans with this trait (56, 57). It is hypothesized that this allele originated among Steppe pastoralists and spread into Europe with their migration at the transition from Neolithic to Bronze Age (58). The lactase persistence trait was under positive selection and the frequency of the allele started to increase rapidly towards the end of the Bronze Age (59). In the eastern Baltic, a sharp increase is already seen at the end of the Late Neolithic with the variant reaching a frequency over 50% in the 1st millennium BCE (44, 45), while the variant remained less common further south in Europe and its distribution still follows a decreasing north to south cline to this day (60). This observation of the derived allele in I10943/W0396 agrees with his genetic ancestry, with the greatest affinity to BA and IA Eastern Baltic groups. Notably, this individual also has an uncommon physical appearance among the studied samples, with Hirisplex-S estimating highest probabilities for a combination of blond hair, blue eyes and pale skin. This phenotype also rose in frequency in the Eastern Baltic in the 1st millennium BCE (44, 45).

The derived EDAR allele is found in 1 of 4 of the reads covering the locus in the individual I10944/W0461. The variant affects tooth morphology and hair texture and thickness and is found in high frequencies only in modern-day East Asians and Native Americans (61-63). This result corresponds with the findings that I10944/W0461 shares the highest genetic affinity with IA steppe nomads who for the most part carry East Asian ancestry (46-48), and that this individual's ancestry can only be modeled when including a South Siberian source (Table S12).
Table S19. Phenotypic information on Sicilian individuals. Hirsiplex-S predictions are based on the highest probability of ten replicates. Samples with evidence of carrying the derived EDAR or MCM6 allele are marked in grey.

| Group                  | Sample  | Eye  | HairDetailed | HairSimple | Skin       | EDAR  | MCM6  |
|------------------------|---------|------|--------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|
|                        |         |      |              |            |            |       |       |
| Sicily_IA              | I13126  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | NA         | 7 (0%)| 3 (0%)|
|                        | I13128  | NA   | NA           | Intermediate | 2 (0%) | 2 (0%)|
|                        | I13376  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | Intermediate | 9 (0%)| 13 (0%)|
|                        | I13377  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | 6 (0%) | 13 (0%)|
|                        | I13378  | Brown| Black        | Dark       | 6 (0%) | 13 (0%)|
|                        | I13379  | NA   | Brown        | Dark       | Intermediate | NA   | 1 (0%)|
|                        | I13380  | Brown| Black        | Dark       | 7 (0%) | 7 (0%)|
|                        | I13381  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | Intermediate | 6 (0%)| 11 (0%)|
|                        | I13383  | Brown| Black        | Dark       | Intermediate | 11 (0%)| 15 (0%)|
|                        | I13384  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | Intermediate | 7 (0%)| 3 (0%)|
|                        | I13385  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | Intermediate | 19 (0%)| 19 (0%)|
|                        | I13386  | Brown| Black        | Dark       | Intermediate | 8 (0%)| 8 (0%)|
|                        | I13387  | Brown| Black        | Dark       | Intermediate | 3 (0%)| 7 (0%)|
|                        | I13389  | Brown| Brown        | Light      | Intermediate | 9 (0%)| 7 (0%)|
|                        | I13390  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | 9 (0%) | 6 (0%)|
|                        | I13391  | Brown| Brown        | Intermediate | 8 (0%)| 15 (0%)|
|                        | I13392  | Brown| Black        | Dark       | 12 (0%)| 9 (0%)|
|                        | I13393  | Blue | Blond        | Light      | Intermediate | 3 (0%)| 8 (0%)|
|                        | I13394  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | Intermediate | 8 (0%)| 15 (0%)|
|                        | I13395  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | Intermediate | 3 (0%)| 5 (0%)|
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE_1 | I11046  | Brown| Black        | Dark       | Intermediate | 14 (0%)| 15 (0%)|
|                        | I11048  | Brown| Black        | Dark       | 8 (0%) | 10 (0%)|
|                        | I11052  | Brown| Red          | Light      | Intermediate | 10 (0%)| 10 (0%)|
|                        | I17217  | Brown| Brown        | Light      | Intermediate | 1 (0%)| 4 (0%)|
|                        | I17218  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | Intermediate | 6 (0%)| 7 (0%)|
|                        | I17219  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | Intermediate | 14 (0%)| 11 (0%)|
|                        | I17221  | NA   | Blond        | Light      | Intermediate | 15 (0%)| 17 (0%)|
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE_2 | I11046  | NA   | Brown        | Light      | Intermediate | 5 (0%)| 5 (0%)|
|                        | I11050  | Brown| Brown        | Light      | Intermediate | 22 (0%)| 13 (0%)|
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE_3 | I11043  | Blue | Blond        | Light      | Pale       | 14 (0%)| 6 (67%)|
|                        | I11049  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | Intermediate | 1 (0%)| 2 (0%)|
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE_4 | I11044  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | Pale       | 4 (25%)| 8 (0%)|
|                        | I11047  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | Intermediate | 15 (0%)| 8 (0%)|
| Sicily_Himera_480BCE_5 | I11051  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | Intermediate | 9 (0%)| 3 (0%)|
| Sicily_Himera_409BCE   | I11786  | NA   | NA           | Intermediate | NA | 1 (0%)|
|                        | I17223  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | 14 (0%)| 15 (0%)|
|                        | I17224  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | Intermediate | 7 (0%)| 14 (0%)|
|                        | I17225  | Brown| Brown        | Dark       | Intermediate | 10 (0%)| 8 (0%)|
| Sicily_Himera_Civilians| I11781  | NA   | NA           | NA         | 1 (0%) | NA |
|                        | I12016  | Brown| NA           | Light      | NA         | 1 (0%)| 4 (0%)|
| Sicily_Himera_EastNecropolis | I117432 | NA   | Black        | Dark       | Intermediate | 5 (0%)| 2 (0%)|
Figure S1. Mass graves #1/2 in the West necropolis of Himera, indicating individuals genetically analyzed in yellow.
Figure S2. Mass grave #3 in the West necropolis of Himera, indicating individuals genetically analyzed in yellow.
Figure S3. Mass grave #4 in the West necropolis of Himera, indicating individuals genetically analyzed in yellow.
Figure S4. Mass grave #5 in the West necropolis of Himera, indicating individuals genetically analyzed in yellow.
Figure S5. Mass grave #6 in the West necropolis of Himera, indicating individuals genetically analyzed in yellow.
Figure S6. Mass grave #7 in the West necropolis of Himera, indicating individuals genetically analyzed in yellow.
Figure S7. Mass graves #8/9 in the West necropolis of Himera, indicating individuals genetically analyzed in yellow. Individual 4651 was disinterred prior to mapping; its approximate location is indicated in yellow.
Figure S8. READ results. (A) 40 pairs of individuals with the lowest P0 values, gray bars represent 2SE and red, green and blue reference bands represent expected values for twins, 1st degree and 2nd degree relatives, respectively. (B) Variance of P0 within population groups, whisker plots showing mean and IQR, whiskers extending to 1.5 IQR. Table inset shows p-values for pairwise one-sided T-tests with significant values after Bonferroni correction shaded red.
Figure S9. PCA showing modern-day West Eurasian and North African individuals and projected new ancient individuals. Individual points and population labels centered on the population median in corresponding colors.
Figure S10. Full results of unsupervised ADMIXTURE for k=2 to k=15. Ancestry components k as bar plots for published ancient individuals (A), present-day individuals (B), present-day published (top) and newly reported individuals from Greece and Italy (C) and ancient Sicilian genomes (D). Cross validation errors for 5 runs per number of k (E).
Figure S11. Eurasian and worldwide PCA. PCA constructed using 1390 Eurasian (A) and 1900 modern-day worldwide (B) individuals, with projected new ancient individuals. Worldwide PCA is zoomed in on the West Eurasian populations.
Figures S12. 95% CI ellipses for the PCA projection of low-coverage samples. PC axes computed on modern-day West Eurasian and North African individuals. Shown for 2 samples with fewer than 50,000 SNPs overlapping the 1240K SNP set and 14 samples with more than 50,000 SNPs covered associated with the 480 BCE battle (A), and for 8 civilians with fewer than 50,000 SNPs overlapping the 1240K SNP set in relation to 3 higher coverage civilians (B). Low coverage samples shown as colored outlines with shaded ellipses, high coverage samples as shaded gray ellipses.
Figure S13: qpWave tests for pairs of individuals to assess genetic homogeneity within and between archaeological groupings. Group labels are given at the top, grey fields represent rejected models with p-values below 0.01.
Figure S14. ROH in ancient Sicilians and Himeran soldiers. Each individual is represented by stacked vertical bars, where the length of each bar is determined by the ROH of this individual falling into four length classes (4-8, 8-12, 12-20, and >20 cM, color-coded). The two right-most panels show the expected ROH for offspring of closely related parents and small population sizes, respectively.
Figure S15. 95% CI ellipses on PC1 and PC2 of the PCA projection of Himera’s civilians and Aegean-related soldiers. Coordinates shown for original coverage and 5 iterations of random downsampling on the coverage of the Aegean-related soldiers. Group centroids shown as outlined symbol, 95% CI ellipses of original coverage and downsampled group shown with solid and dashed lines, respectively. Table inset shows p-values for a PERMANOVA of PC1 and PC2 between civilian group and soldiers, and p-values for a T-test of the individual distances to the group centroid. Significant p-values of <0.05 shaded red.
Figure S16. Shared genetic drift of Sicilian groups with Eurasian Eneolithic to IA populations measured by outgroup $f_3$-statistics. Warmer colors indicate more shared genetic drift.
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