Examining Dark Triad traits in relation to mental toughness and physical activity in young adults
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Objective: The Dark Triad (DT) describes a set of three closely related personality traits: Machiavellianism, narcissism, and psychopathy. Mental toughness (MT) refers to a psychological construct combining confidence, commitment, control, and challenge. High MT is related to greater physical activity (PA) and, relative to men, women have lower MT scores. The aims of the present study were 1) to investigate the association between DT, MT, and PA, and 2) to compare the DT, MT, and PA scores of men and women.

Methods: A total of 341 adults (M=29 years; 51.6% women; range: 18–37 years) took part in the study. Participants completed a series of questionnaires assessing DT, MT, and PA.

Results: Machiavellianism, narcissism, and psychopathy were all significantly associated with higher MT scores (rs =0.45, 0.50, and 0.20, respectively). DT traits and MT were associated with more vigorous PA. Compared to men, women participants had lower scores for DT traits (overall score and psychopathy), while no differences were found for MT or PA in both sexes.

Conclusion: DT traits, high MT, and vigorous PA are interrelated. This pattern of results might explain why, for instance, successful professional athletes can at the same time be tough and ruthless.
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Introduction

In 2002, Paulhus and Williams1 described the personality construct of the Dark Triad (DT), and since then, DT has been attracting increasing attention.2 DT consists of three dimensions: Machiavellianism (a manipulative, self-centered, immoral, and calculative attitude), narcissism (exaggerated self-love, dominant, self-aggrandized, egocentric), and psychopathy (lack of empathy, adventurousness, low anxiety, cold-blooded). Machiavellianism refers to a personality trait associated with taking advantage and being self-centered, immoral, and calculating,3 with frequent and extreme use of deception and manipulation.4,5 While Machiavellian individuals present themselves as charming and attractive personalities to impress their peers,4 they might remain hypocritical, superficial, and manipulative.5 Further, Machiavellianism did correlate with low agreeableness and conscientiousness in the “Big Five” model.1 A Machiavellianistic behavior is closely related to self-interest and personal gain.6 Narcissism is characterized by views of megalomania, exaggerated self-love, dominance, superiority, self-centeredness, self-aggrandizement, and egocentric attitude.7 In interpersonal relationships, narcissist people behave in an exploitative and egocentric way and try to take advantage of others to satisfy their admiration.8 Further, narcissism is negatively correlated with agreeableness and positively associated with the extraversion and openness to experience factors of the Big Five model.7 Lastly, psychopathy is associated with a tendency to be highly impulsive, adventurous, and cold-blooded and have little
empathy and low anxiety. Similar to Machiavellianism and narcissism, psychopathy is associated with low empathy.

Whereas the personality constructs of Machiavellianism, narcissism, and psychopathy had already been acknowledged within various areas of psychology and psychiatry, they were examined separately and in isolation from one another. Only with Paulhus and Williams did these three dimensions integrate into an overall construct. These authors also introduced the term “dark” to highlight the rather problematic and socially controversial set of mental attitudes and behaviors reflected in a shared use of antagonistic, dishonest, and egocentric interpersonal styles. Since then, research has identified associations between higher DT scores and increased sexual desire as well as rather impersonal, masochistic, and “harder” sexual behavior, lower intimacy in personal relationships, and poorer skills in managing and coping with money. Further, people scoring high on DT traits both report and display overt schadenfreude/gloating; view others’ personalities as being less positive, desirable, or reliable; show and express more mating rivalry (backbiting, manipulation, lying); are more prone to aggressive, risky, illegal, racist, and impulsive behavior; report being evening rather than morning or intermediate chronotypes; and are less intrinsically motivated to religious observance. Lastly, recent research has shown that men scoring high on DT traits spent more time in editing “selfies” posted on social network sites. On the other hand, women see “bad boys” (ie, men scoring high in DT traits) as physically and sexually attractive. Additionally, and most importantly, people scoring high on DT traits seem to be more mentally tough.

Mental toughness (MT) is a psychological construct related to positive stress management and a relatively new area of academic research. It involves a cognitive strength variable that is known to be associated with good performance both in elite sport and, more recently, in nonelite sport. Indeed, in previous studies we employed the Mental Toughness Questionnaire–48 (MTQ48) and showed in several samples of adolescents and young adults, who were nonelite athletes, that 1) the construct of MT was associated with higher levels of physical activity (PA) among nonelite athletes, 2) MT was associated with greater stress resilience, 3) MT remained relatively stable over time, 4) MT was associated with higher PA levels relative to men, women reported lower MT, and 6) higher MT was associated with both better subjective and objective sleep. These findings suggested, therefore, that MT is related to successful stress management, psychological well-being, superior sleep quality, and higher levels of PA. In conclusion, we note that MT is associated with a broad range of positive behaviors, including a straightforward, highly motivated strategy to achieve goals, along with a strong belief in oneself and one’s own ability, and with greater PA.

Although in previous studies MT has been associated with success in sport and psychological well-being, some researchers have also identified potentially dysfunctional outcomes. (These dysfunctional outcomes are also called the “Dark side of Mental Toughness”, though “dark” is better translated as “costs,” “disadvantages,” “expenses,” “exaggerations,” or “downside.”) More specifically, athletes with high MT seemed to be at a greater risk of ignoring medical advice and disregarding rehabilitation. For example, Coulter suggested that “mentally tough athletes may be overconfident or too committed to the pursuit of winning that they fail to recognize or accept medical advice about minor injuries, rendering them at a higher risk of major injury than players with less self-belief”. From these studies we deduce that mentally tough athletes might be particularly committed to their aims while disregarding the costs and “side effects” as people scoring high in Machiavellianism often do. Accordingly, one aim of the present study was to explore the possible relation between MT and DT traits.

While most research related to the “dark side of mental toughness” has been conducted with elite athletes, to our knowledge, to date only one study has considered the relation between DT and MT in a nonelite sport population. Onley et al investigated the association between DT and MT among healthy adults and observed significant positive associations between MT and narcissism and negative associations between MT and psychopathy and Machiavellianism. Further, Onley et al claimed a common genetic basis to DT and MT. In the light of Onley et al’s findings, we investigated whether the association between DT and MT could be replicated. Further, given the association between MT and PA, we asked whether DT might also be related to PA, and whether there are differences between sexes given that women typically have lower MT scores than men and lower PA levels.

Accordingly, the following three hypotheses were formulated. First, following Onley et al, we anticipated that DT traits and MT would be correlated. Second, following Gerber et al, we expected that DT traits, MT and PA would be positively interrelated. Third, again following Gerber et al, we expected lower MT and PA scores among women than among men, and we expected that men would score higher on DT traits. We believe that the present study has the
potential to add to the current knowledge on DT and MT in an important way: it is possible that the pattern of results will help explain why, for example, athletes can be successful, ruthless, and selfish at the same time. Accordingly, the pattern of results might help clarify why nonathletes might also be mentally tough and concomitantly socially ruthless.

Methods
Sample
The sample consisted of 341 Iranian adults (age: M = 29.0 years; SD = 6.58; range: 18–37 years, 51.6% women; mean age of women participants: M = 28.89, SD = 5.45; mean age of men participants: M = 29.23, SD = 7.45; t(339) = 0.34, P > 0.65, d = 0.05) who volunteered to take part in an online survey. All participants who joined the survey had a unique IP address. To recruit participants, the study was posted electronically on Facebook and other local social media networks (Cloob and Iriexpert). Data were collected between December 2013 and July 2014.

Procedures
Data collection was done via the Internet, which is a both timely and reliable way to gather data (refer Brand et al. for an overview). Commercially available software (Unipark®, http://www.unipark.de) was used for data collection. The software is an easily applied tool for the creation of Internet-based studies. The software provider guarantees that all data are stored on a server that is not accessible to any third party. The user of the software receives the raw data related to the questionnaires but not to the IP address of the participants. Thus, both data security and participant anonymity are ensured. Moreover, to avoid repeat participation, the software is designed to block recruitment of subjects whose IP addresses have already been used. Participants were informed about the purpose of the study and about the voluntary basis of their participation. They were also assured of the confidentiality of their responses, and written informed consent was obtained on the first page of the questionnaire. Moreover, participants could discontinue or withdraw from the study without giving any further explanation. To improve compliance, participants could take part in a prize draw, although to do so they needed to provide an email address. Three iPod Shuffles® were raffled as prizes. Data were automatically gathered and afterward converted into an SPSS® file for further analysis.

The study was approved by the Review Board of the Allameh Tabataba’i University, Faculty of Educational Sciences and Psychology, Tehran, Iran, and the entire study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Measures
Participants completed a series of standardized questionnaires as follows:

DT traits
Machiavellianism was measured with the 20-item MACH-IV. Participants were asked how much they agreed (1 = strongly disagree; 5 = strongly agree) with statements such as: “Never tell anyone the real reason you did something unless it is useful to do so”; or “The best way to handle people is to tell them what they want to hear.” Responses were averaged to create a Machiavellianism index, with higher mean scores reflecting stronger Machiavellianism (Cronbach’s α = 0.85).

Narcissism was assessed with the 40-item Narcissistic Personality Inventory. For each item, participants were asked to rate how much they agreed (1 = strongly disagree; 5 = strongly agree) with the statements that applied to them (eg, “Everybody likes to hear my stories”; and “I am an extraordinary person.”). The items were summed to obtain a Narcissism index (Cronbach’s α = 0.92). Higher scores reflect greater narcissism.

Subclinical psychopathy was assessed by the 40-item Self-Report Psychopathy Scale-III. Participants rated how much they agreed (1 = strongly disagree; 5 = strongly agree) with statements such as “I almost never feel guilty” or “I think I could beat a lie detector”. Responses across items were averaged to create an overall index of psychopathy (Cronbach’s α = 0.88), with higher scores indicating greater psychopathy.

In addition to examining the DT traits separately, we also created a composite measure of the overall DT. As recommended by Jonason et al., we first standardized (z-scored) overall scores for each measure, and then averaged all three scores to create a composite DT index. A principal component factor analysis showed that all three measures loaded well (>0.52) on a single factor that accounted for 52.11% of the variance (eigen value >1.56).

Mental toughness
In this study, we used the 18-item short form of the MTQ48, which measures total MT. Previous studies have reported high correlations between the short and long forms of MTQ. Evidence has also been provided for the factorial validity of MTQ48 in previous studies. MTQ instruments generally
Table 1

| Table 1 | Inter-correlations and descriptive statistics of study variables |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| M  | N  | P  | MT  | Vigorous PA | Moderate PA | Walking | Descriptive statistics, mean (SD) |
| Machiavellianism | – | 0.29* | 0.22a | 0.117** | 0.045 | –0.024 | 63.41 (7.26) |
| Narcissism | – | 0.34* | 0.50a | 0.113** | 0.016 | 0.047 | 127.49 (20.75) |
| Psychopathy | – | 0.20a | 0.088 | 0.076 | 0.153** | 0.102.85 (15.02) |
| DT Composite Score | – | 0.53* | 0.146* | 0.064 | 0.081 | –0.001 (0.71) |
| Mental Toughness | – | 0.192* | –0.012 | 0.089 | 62.39 (7.68) |
| Descriptive statistics, mean (SD) | 435.35 (192.15) | 578.24 (266.69) | 497.94 (209.58) |

Notes: *Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed). **Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (two-tailed).

Abbreviations: M, Machiavellianism; N, narcissism; P, psychopathy; min, minutes; MT, mental toughness; PA, physical activity; DT, Dark Triad; SD, standard deviation.
Table 2 Mental toughness, Dark Triad scores, and physical activity categories, separately by sexes

|                      | Mean ± SD Men (n=165) | Mean ± SD Women (n=176) | t      | d     |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-------|
| Machiavellianism     | 63.97±7.66             | 62.84±6.85              | -1.437 | -0.156|
| Narcissism           | 129.23±19.98           | 125.74±21.51            | -1.553 | -0.168|
| Psychopathy          | 105.86±15.07           | 99.83±14.96             | -3.702 | -0.402|
| DT composite (z-transformed) | 0.12±0.68             | -0.11±0.74              | -3.098 | -0.336|
| Mental toughness     | 62.55±7.79             | 62.23±7.57              | -0.390 | -0.042|
| Physical activity (vigorouse) | 461.55±256.40         | 409.130±127.89          | -0.464 | -0.051|
| Physical activity (moderate) | 586.50±287.20          | 569.98±246.18           | -0.129 | -0.014|
| Physical activity (walking) | 539.53±281.46         | 456.35±137.71           | -0.417 | -0.046|

Notes: Total vigorous/moderate/walking PA per week = (sum of minutes per day) × (days per week). d is Cohen’s d. *P<0.05.
Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; PA, physical activity; DT, Dark Triad.

Our first hypothesis was that there would be an association between DT and MT, and this was confirmed. Thus, we were able to replicate the findings reported by Onley et al. However, in contrast to their findings, we were also able to show that MT was consistently and positively associated with all facets of DT. Given that the data available to us cannot clarify why we found robust associations between DT and MT, when Onley et al’s findings did not, we offer the following suggestion: By definition, mentally tough individuals feel committed to their aims and goals (commitment), while feeling committed to own goals is also characteristic of those scoring high on Machiavellianism: 5. Indeed, Machiavellianism is closely related to self-interest, personal gain, and achievement of own aims. In this view, toughness might be considered one of the proximal, downstream factors that enable those high on the DT to be successful in pursuing their goals that are often offensive to people. Accordingly, we may assume that mentally tough individuals trying to achieve their aims could disregard others’ needs as those scoring high on psychopathy tend to do. Mentally tough individuals report having high confidence in their abilities; likewise, those with higher narcissism scores have confidence in their abilities (though others may not share their opinion of themselves). A further explanation might be that items in the two questionnaires have common variance. Future studies might investigate these issues at a statistical level.

Our second hypothesis was that both DT and MT would be associated with PA, and the pattern of results confirmed this. Therefore, we hold that the present results add to the current literature in an important way: DT and vigorous, but not moderate, PA are associated. We also note that both in the present study and in previous studies only vigorous PA appears to be robustly associated with psychological traits such as DT and MT. From the present study, we do not have any direct evidence as to why vigorous but not moderate PA should be associated with DT, and previous explanations for this remain somewhat unsatisfactory. The following may be a more plausible explanation: Vigorous exercising demands planning, organization, engagement, renouncing easier and more reward-oriented activities, as well as resistance to pain, time pressures, and, for example, health concerns. Accordingly, we might expect that strongly goal-oriented and self-confident individuals are physically vigorously active. Another possibility is that both MT traits and DT traits are acquired in the course of long-term, regular, and vigorous training in sports with possibly a more competitive character. Accordingly, MT and DT traits may have emerged over time among those participants in the present study who practiced more exercise in childhood and adolescence. In this regard, Brand et al showed that a relation was already apparent between MT and vigorous, but not low to moderate, PA in preadolescents and adolescents.

Our third hypothesis was that women would have lower DT, MT, and PA scores than men; this was partially confirmed because higher DT traits scores were observed in men compared to women participants, while no differences were observed as regards subjective PA. Higher DT scores were expected in men compared to women participants, and the reason might be the higher intrasexual competition, as also the fact that economically more successful men attain higher in social dominance, which, again, has a favorable impact on short-term mating. Accordingly, the present pattern of results only partially mirrors the findings reported by Gerber et al and others. Despite the intriguing findings, several limitations warn against overgeneralization. First, we relied entirely on self-ratings. Experts’ rating and objective data would enhance the validity of the present pattern of results. This holds particularly true for two main aspects: PA and personality traits on Axis II of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders Fifth Edition (DSM-5). As regards PA, future studies might assess it via activity trackers,
for example, of the kind available on smart phones, or using commercially available actigraphs. As regards personality traits on the Axis II of the DSM-5, again, experts’ ratings would shed more light on the participants’ possible personality of psychopathology. This is critical, as data were gathered via an online survey, which by definition precludes both direct contact with the participants and expert assessment. In this view, as with any kind of online research, data might be biased. And accordingly, second, only participants willing and able to complete the online survey took part in the study and accordingly a systematic sample bias cannot be entirely ruled out. In this regard, third, the present pattern of results might have emerged as a result of further latent and unassessed factors, which might have biased two or more dimensions in the same direction. This comment holds particularly true as regards sleep-altering psychological processes such as stress, social interaction, anxiety, and impulsivity. Fourth, Figueredo et al proposed a multivariate structural equation model to gain further insight into the latent factors of the DT, whereas we focused on a more basic statistical approach.

Conclusion
Among a sample of young adults, higher scores on DT traits were associated with greater MT and higher vigorous PT. Further, apart from overall DT score and psychopathy, no differences were observed between the sexes.
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