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Evaporative fractionation of zinc during the first nuclear detonation

James M. D. Day, Frédéric Moynier, Alex P. Meshik, Olga V. Pradvytseva, Donald R. Petit

Volatile element and compound abundances vary widely in planets and were set during the earliest stages of solar system evolution. Experiments or natural analogs approximating these early conditions are limited. Using silicate glass formed from arkosic sands during the first nuclear detonation at the Trinity test site, New Mexico, we show that the isotopes of zinc were fractionated during evaporation. The green silicate glasses, termed “trinitite,” show $+0.5 \pm 0.1\%$ atomic mass unit isotopic fractionation from ~200 m to within 10 m of ground zero of the detonation, corresponding to an $\alpha$ fractionation factor between 0.999 and 0.9995. These results confirm that Zn isotopic fractionation occurs through evaporation processes at high temperatures. Evidence for similar fractionations in lunar samples consequently implies a volatile-depleted bulk Moon, with evaporation occurring during a giant impact or in a magma ocean.

RESULTS

Samples investigated in this study include trinitite taken within 10 m of ground zero (IF), at 100 m (IG), and within 150 to 250 m [trinitite 1 (T1), T2, and T3]. For samples IF and IG, Xe isotope abundances were measured, allowing estimation of the cooling rate and temperatures of formation of the glass. The 10 m sample, IF, formed at 1663 K and cooled within 17 min of emplacement, while the 100 m sample, IG, formed at 1593 K and cooled within 5 min of emplacement (18). Peripheral samples (T1, T2, and T3) likely formed at lower temperatures and higher rates of cooling, and samples T2 and T3 had experimental evidence of this effect has yet to be demonstrated for Zn but has been found in potassium, from $-10^{-9}$ to 1 bar (11, 12), with the results possibly consistent with fractionation factors close to unity at high pressures (13). A major impediment in interpreting volatile element isotope fractionations has been the fact that laboratory experiments are either unable to reproduce the scale or temperatures consistent with planetary-scale volatile processes, or are lacking for many elements, making empirical assessment of isotopic fractionation and volatile element loss challenging. This is a particular problem because studies of volatile compounds, such as water or OH in lunar glasses, suggest that the Moon may have volatile element abundances approaching Earth’s upper mantle composition (14, 15); other studies have suggested localized volatile loss from the Moon (16), in contrast to the large-scale processes of volatile loss suggested for Zn (6, 8, 9) and K (13).

To empirically constrain the isotopic fractionation factor of Zn and the behavior of moderately volatile elements during evaporative fractionation, we have investigated Zn isotope and trace element abundances in trinitite glasses from the Trinity test site, New Mexico, USA. The Trinity test site was the location of the first nuclear detonation, on 16 July 1945. The $^{239}$Pu implosion device (Y-1561), known as the “Gadget,” exploded with the equivalent force of 84 TJ, creating local conditions at the site of 8430 K and >8 GPa, and localized vaporization of the arkosic desert sand. Vaporization, melting, and redistribution of the sand led to the formation of a thin sheet of dominantly green-colored glass that extended approximately 300 to 350 m from ground zero, beneath the Gadget (17). The Trinity test site is a large-scale “experiment” for understanding volatile processes occurring at temperatures and/or pressures relevant to those found during planetary formation.
quantities of unmelted desert sand adhering to them. Although maximum conditions at the nuclear test site were exceptionally high (8430 K), we consider the bound of 1663 K as the maximum temperature condition for the formation of the trinitite glasses. By using the Clausius-Clapeyron relationship, this equates to ≤0.2 GPa of vapor pressure in the desert air.

Trinitite samples have similar abundances of refractory elements (TC50 >1600 K), regardless of distance from ground zero, save for variations of U and Th abundances (Fig. 1). Trinitite occurs in a variety of colors and differing morphologies, with evidence that red trinitite formed with enhanced abundances of Fe, Cu, and Pb inherited from copper cables, lead bricks, and the iron steel tower holding the bomb casing materials in place at the test site (19). Likewise, U, Th, and Pb excesses in some green trinitite glasses have been related to the presence of bomb materials (20). Significant differences in Fe, Zn, Se, and Ge abundances occur between the “proximal” 10 m (IF) and 100 m (IG) trinitite glasses, compared with the peripheral trinitite glasses (T1, T2, and T3). Remarkably, Fe is lower in the IF and IG trinitite glasses despite their close proximity to the steel tower that held the Gadget in place, in contrast to the metal-rich red trinitite (19).

Zinc isotope and abundance measurements were performed both in bulk samples of trinitite and in leaching and etching experiments to investigate the location of Zn within trinitite samples. Samples T1, T2, and T3 have between 16.3 and 18.3 μg g⁻¹ Zn, with δ⁶⁶Zn values of 0.31 to 0.46‰. Samples IG (100 m) and IF (10 m) have lower Zn abundances (12.6 to 13.2 μg g⁻¹) and δ⁶⁶Zn values of 0.64 and 0.72‰, respectively. In general, hydrochloric acid leachates are significantly isotopically lighter than bulk-rock samples, while the HF-HNO₃ etchates, which are interpreted to attack silicate material in the trinitite, are similar in isotopic composition to the bulk samples, as are the residues after etching. Recombination of leachates, etchates, and residues leads to reconstructed bulk compositions that are similar to the measured bulk samples. In a plot of δ⁶⁶Zn versus δ⁶⁸Zn, bulk-rock samples, residues, and leachates/etchates fall on a slope of ~2, conforming to mass-dependent fractionation. Water leachates were consistently too low in Zn to accurately measure Zn isotope compositions (Fig. 2).

**Zinc isotope fractionation with distance from the Gadget**

A remarkable feature of the Zn isotope compositions of trinitite is that it correlates (R² = 0.8) with the distance from ground zero at the Trinity test site (Fig. 3). With increasing distance from ground zero, Zn abundances increase and δ⁶⁶Zn decreases. The least-melted and peripheral trinitite sample (T3) has δ⁶⁶Zn values similar to those of the arkosic desert sand at ~0.2 to 0.25‰, which is within uncertainty of estimates of terrestrial igneous rocks (21). Extrapolation of the trend in δ⁶⁶Zn versus distance from the Gadget indicates that the average arkosic sand composition is ~0.2‰, consistent with the least-melted trinitite sample. Conversely, δ⁶⁶Zn values for sample IF, 10 m from ground zero, are between 0.4‰ (bulk versus bulk) and 0.6‰ (residue versus residue) heavier than the least-melted trinitite. Collectively, these results indicate evaporative loss of zinc, as well as of some other volatile elements depleted in the proximal trinitites (Se, Ge, and possibly Fe), with distance from the epicenter of the nuclear detonation. Given these conditions, isotopically light δ⁶⁶Zn values for leachates can be interpreted as condensate vapor that collected on the trinitite glass during cooling in the aftermath of the nuclear detonation.

**Natural analogs and empirical fractionation factors**

Some natural analogs approach conditions required for volatile loss in planets. For this reason, we consider their suitability for calculating empirical fractionation factors. Glasses formed through lightning strikes, called fulgurites, can be formed at reducing conditions at pressures up to 25 GPa and temperatures of 2000 K (22); however, fulgurites are also formed locally (10⁹ to 10¹⁰ m) and in the ground, potentially leading to chemical buffering effects with the surrounding soil. No data are currently available for these samples for Zn isotopes. Larger-scale natural analogs include tektite glasses. Tektites form through meteoroid collisions with the Earth’s atmosphere, leading to extreme heating (>2273 K), pressure (4 to 100 GPa), reduction, and potentially localized atmospheric blow-off (23), generating glasses with compositions similar to those of soils (24). Tektites show progressive heavy Zn isotopic enrichment with decreasing Zn abundance, consistent with fractionation due to evaporation and progressive volatile element loss during their formation (25). As with studies of lunar...
rocks (8, 9), tektites do not conform to ideal fractionation behavior, defined by the fractionation (α) factor of different isotopologs of Zn (for example, ZnCl or ZnS), which are typically <0.998. Furthermore, the conditions of evaporation for tektites are not well constrained.

Using the trinitite glasses, it is possible to calculate the empirical fractionation factor during evaporation of Zn at the first nuclear detonation. Rayleigh distillation calculations were performed, assuming a starting composition of 30 μg g⁻¹ Zn and δ⁶⁶Zn of 0.2‰, consistent with the composition of the bulk arkosic sand. Best-fit α factors for the trinitite glasses correspond to values of 0.999 to 0.9998 (Fig. 4). Empirically derived α factors obtained from the trinitite glass at maximum localized conditions of 1663 K (1F) support previous suggestions that the α factor does not approach ideal values estimated from isotopologs because of complex vapor-liquid reactions during evaporative fractionation (6). Our data suggest that with an α of 0.9995, it is possible to fit a Rayleigh distillation model to the most Zn-depleted tektites, assuming a high initial Zn abundance (200 to 300 μg g⁻¹), consistent with abundances of Zn in isotopically lighter tektites (25). The range of fractionation factors for trinitite also encompasses the range of δ⁶⁶Zn and Zn abundances for lunar mare basalts, supporting low Zn contents in the lunar mantle inferred from Zn/Fe variations (10).

**Evaporation of volatile elements from the Moon**

The new results from trinitite provide the first definitive evidence for evaporative fractionation of Zn during high-temperature conditions and are consistent with subdued fractionation factors for Zn. Pressure conditions are more difficult to ascertain, but elevated pressures are likely during planetary formation (13) or during global magma ocean phases on planetary bodies, when volatile loss led to nascent atmospheres (6). These results strongly support the loss of Zn and other volatile and moderately volatile elements through evaporative fractionation effects during the formation and evolution of the Moon, as well as other planetary bodies.

Studies of Zn, Cl, S, and K isotopes in lunar materials (6, 8, 9, 13, 16), OH contents in apatites from lunar mare basalts (26), and moderately volatile element abundance in mare basalts (10, 27) imply a volatile-depleted Moon. These results contrast with evidence from the Apollo high-Ti lunar glass 74220 that implies a lunar interior with water contents similar to those of Earth’s mantle (14, 15). Mechanisms for evaporative loss of volatile elements consistent with available Zn isotope data include a giant impact (8) or loss from a magma ocean (6). Possible evidence for isotopically light Zn on the surface of trinitites at the Trinity test site suggests that the latter mechanism would predict the presence of isotopically light condensates on the lunar surface, and more heterogeneous distribution of volatiles within the Moon. In contrast, giant impact evaporative loss would imply homogeneous “dry out” of materials accreting to form the Moon. The evidence for evaporative fractionation of Zn and other volatile and moderately volatile elements, combined with the presence of high water contents in some lunar samples, implicates heterogeneous distribution of volatiles in an otherwise “dry” Moon.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Samples**

T1, T2, and T3 are samples from the periphery of the affected blast site, with an estimate distance of 200 ± 50 m. T3 had the least amount of trinitite glass, and we used this sample to estimate background trace
element abundances and $\delta^{66}$Zn at the Trinity test site. The composition of T3 is similar to that reported for “unmelted” sand (20). IF1 and IG1 (referred to in the text as IF and IG) are well-characterized samples from 10 and 100 m, respectively, from the location of detonation of the Gadget.

**Leaching and etching experiments**

Because of the requirement of assessing elemental and isotopic heterogeneity within fragments of trinitite, we used a systematic leaching and etching procedure. This included crushing and powdering whole-rock samples, with no etching or modification (IF-2 = IF1; IG-2 = IG1; T1 = J8; T2 = J9; T3 = J10). We then took three powdered splits of IF-2 (IFL), IG-2 (IGL), and T3 (TT) and subjected them to a three-step process. Aliquots of the sample powder were first precisely weighed in a Teflon vessel and then subjected to a first-stage leach for 20 min in 18.2-megaohm H$_2$O, with ultrasonification at 30°C. The H$_2$O leachate was extracted (IFL2, IGL2, and TT2), and 3 M HCl was then added to the residue. The second step was also for 20 min, with ultrasonification at 30°C. The 3 M HCl leach was then extracted from the residue (IFL3, IGL3, and TT3). The final etching stage involved the addition of 1 M HF/HNO$_3$ and etching of the residue for 2 hours on a hot plate at 60°C. After extraction of this final etchate (IFL4, IGL4, and TT4), samples were dried down and weighed before treatment in an identical fashion for dissolution, as described below. These residues are referred to as IFL1, IGL1, and TT1.

**Zinc isotopic and abundance measurements**

We used methods for the purification and isotopic measurement of Zn, as described previously (28). Samples were dissolved in a 4:1 mixture of ultrapure HF/HNO$_3$ in Teflon beakers for 4 days. Zinc purification was achieved using anion exchange chromatography, with a recovery of 99 ± 1%. The samples were loaded in 1.5 N HBr on 0.25-ml AG-1X8 (200 to 400 mesh) ion exchange columns, and Zn was collected in 0.5 N HNO$_3$. The Zn fraction was further purified by eluting the samples twice on a 100-μl column, with the same eluting solutions. The blank measured with samples was 5 ng, in line with our previous work (8, 9), and generally represents less than 2% of total measured Zn for most samples, except for some of the low-Zn abundance leachates (table S1).

Zinc isotopic compositions were measured on the ThermoElectron Neptune Plus Multicollector Plasma Mass Spectrometer, housed at the Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris. The Faraday cups were positioned to collect the masses 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, and 68. Possible $^{64}$Ni isobaric interferences were monitored and corrected by measuring the intensity of the $^{62}$Ni peak. A solution containing 500 parts per billion (ppb) of Zn in 0.1 M HNO$_3$ was prepared for isotopic analysis and was matched with 500-ppb standard solutions. Isotopic ratios of Zn in all samples were analyzed using a spray chamber combined with a PFA nebulizer at 100 μl/min. One block of 30 ratios was collected for each individual sample measurement, in which the integration time of a single scan was 10 s. The background was corrected by subtracting the on-peak zero intensities from a blank solution. Instrumental mass bias was corrected by bracketing each of the samples with standards. External precision based on the JMC Lyon Zn standard was 0.04% relative atomic mass unit (2σ) for $\delta^{66}$Zn and 0.09% relative atomic mass unit (2σ) for $\delta^{68}$Zn and $\delta^{62}$Zn. Values of $\delta^{66}$Zn, $\delta^{67}$Zn, and $\delta^{62}$Zn were found to be within uncertainty for the JMC Lyon Zn standard and IRMM Zn metal (table S1).

**Trace element abundances**

Whole-rock powders were measured for bulk-rock trace element abundances at the Scripps Isotope Geochemistry Laboratory. Samples were digested at 150°C in Optima-grade concentrated HF (4 ml) and HNO$_3$ (1 ml) for >72 hours on a hot plate, with total analytical blanks and terrestrial basalt standards. Samples were sequentially dried and taken up in concentrated HNO$_3$ to remove fluorides, followed by dilution and doping with indium to monitor instrumental drift during analysis. Trace element abundance analyses were done using a Thermo Scientific iCAP Qc quadrupole inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer in standard mode. Analyses were standardized versus reference material BHVO-2 that was measured throughout the analytical run. In addition, reference materials were analyzed as “unknowns” (BHVO-2 and BCR-2) to assess matrix matching, external reproducibility, and accuracy. For trace elements, reproducibility of the reference materials was generally better than 5% (relative SD) and in line with standard data measured in the laboratory (29).

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**
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