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Abstract: There is a growing trend worldwide, primarily developed and some developing countries, of the public sector moving from conventional procurement system towards the more sustainable and environmental-friendly approach. The need to consider economic, environmental as well as social aspects of projects before embarking on it yield enormous benefits to the present and future generations. This study seeks to assess the level of awareness and readiness of public procurement experts on Sustainability in north-eastern Nigeria. The study targeted procurements experts in Bauchi and Gombe state working at Due-process unit, a focal point that ensures efficiency, transparency and effectiveness in all procurements (goods and services), and issue certificate of compliance to across the state. A total of seventy-three (73) questionnaire forms were administered to the respondents to rank, based on their experience, social, economic and environmental factors in a Linkert-like scale of five-point. Fifty-three (53) questionnaires were returned, and Cross-tabulation was used to compare the respondents' background information, which shows that most of those with training on Sustainability are engineers with a bachelor's degree.

Descriptive statistics and Friedman's ANOVA were used to, which ranked gender, race, religious discrimination, the factor with highest mean score thus ranked first, while Similar working experience, lowest responsive tender and excellent workmanship were ranked second, third and fourth respectively. Friedman’s ANOVA with the stepwise step-down comparison, with a significant factor of 0.05, ranked using energy saver appliance and fair working condition as the most significant factors. The study recommends that other geopolitical zones of the country should also embark on the same study.
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I. INTRODUCTION

There is a growing trend worldwide, primarily developed and some developing countries, of the public sector moving from conventional procurement system towards the more sustainable and environmental-friendly approach. The need to consider economic, environmental as well as social aspects of projects before embarking on it yield enormous benefits to the present and future generations [7]. [14-16] reports that about 30% of countries Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is spent on public procurement, which, when spending wisely, can contribute to achieving sustainable development goals. The concept of Sustainable Procurement (SP), which was initially floated at the UN World Summit on Sustainable Development in Johannesburg in 2002, is conceptualised to include environmental, financial and social considerations [16]. It involves looking beyond the traditional economic parameters and making decisions based on life-cycle costs, associated environmental and social risks and benefits as well as broader social and environmental implications [15-16].

Sustainable development means "meeting the needs of people today without destroying the resources that will be needed by persons in the future based on long-range planning and the recognition of the finite nature of natural resources" [15]. This does not renegadium from utilising natural resources but instead calls for more effective management of resource utilisation in other not to harm the planet or possible future users or uses of our resources [1].

Nigeria is the most populated country in Africa, which makes it vulnerable to natural disaster and other environmental instabilities. This calls for attention to balance social, economic as well as environmental issues into the procurement system to meet the present need without compromising the future generation [1].

The construction industry is aimed at delivering and maintaining the built environment [13], which comprises of housing, educational, industrial, commercial and infrastructural facilities. If countries are concerned about how economic, social and environmental criteria may be used in public procurement without harming the integrity of the process, then the practice of sustainable procurement needs to be pursued. Sustainable procurement is a process of obtaining goods or services that recognise, integrate and implement social, economic and environmental aspects throughout the process without compromising the well-being of the stakeholders [3]. For sustainable development (SD), it is necessary to ensure the best value for money [9]. The incorporation of economic, environmental drives sustainability, and equity-driven values and policy aims-further defined as people, profit and planet [15]. Sustainable Procurement (SP) worldwide is heavily driven by public procurement agendas and is often viewed as a public sector initiative. While sustainable procurement activities are prevalent in many developed countries, awareness and implementation are still comparatively low in most developing countries [9].
In 2007, Nigerian Public Procurement act was established by the national council on Public Procurement and the Bureau of public procurement as the Regulatory authorities responsible for the monitoring and Oversight of public procurement, harmonising the current Government practices and policies by coordinating, setting benchmarks and developing the framework for legal and Professional capacity for public procurement officers in Nigeria. While there is no emphasis on social and environmental consideration in the act, Section 7 (1) (e) emphasises on achieving value for money in all public procurement projects, yet, there is a total absence of value-for-money in Nigeria's project development matrix.

Countries such as UK, Belgium, Italy, Japan, US, Canada, Germany, the Netherlands, Sweden, Austria, Denmark, Finland, and Spain are considered front runners in implementing some form of Green/Sustainable Public Procurement [15].

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Procurement

"Procurement is the acquirement of appropriate goods and services at the best possible 'total cost of ownership' to meet the needs of the purchaser in terms of quality and quantity, time and location. When the public sector purchases goods and services, it is called public procurement [2]." As has been rightly said, 'It is the process by which government and public sector institutions buy inputs for vital public sector investments in physical infrastructure and for strengthening human, institutional capacities, which lay the foundation for national development' [12]. With 10 to 15 per cent of the national budgets in developed countries and up to 20 per cent in developing countries, government procurement accounts for a substantial part of the economy [12].

B. Sustainable Procurement

Sustainable public procurement (SPP) is a tool which allows governments across the globe to leverage public spending in order to promote their country's social, environmental and economic policies. Public procurement expenditure is 10 to 15 percent of the national budgets in developed countries, and up to 20 percent in developing countries like Nigeria. However, the present public procurement policy in Nigeria does not formally take into account sustainability aspects. The Government of Nigeria recognises that procurement decisions by public entities, Ministries, Departments and Agencies (MDAs) have known social, public health, environmental and economic impacts both locally and globally, at present and in the future.

C. Sustainable Development

Sustainable development concept has received massive attention across the globe. It centres on the continuity of human existence and sets the pace for future generation. Any development that lacks the principles of sustainable development should be considered as unsustainable since only provide the framework on how to protect the environment but also the mindset on how to preserve the earth's natural resources and improve the quality of human lives [10]. The sustainable development goals (SDGs) are as follows:

- "End poverty in all its forms everywhere.
- End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture.
- Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages.
- Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all.
- Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls.
- Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all.
- Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all.
- Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all.
- Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialisation and foster innovation.
- Reduce inequality within and among countries.
- Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable.
- Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns.
- Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts.
- Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development.
- Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss.
- Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels.
- Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalise the Global Partnership for Sustainable Development."

D. Environmental considerations

An organisation must weigh several elements when determine on a facility location and logistic acquisition [10]. Therefore, designs should be made in a manner that minimises energy use, reduces noise and pollution and cause it more potent to generate the products required. Environmental drivers for sustainable procurement pilot the organisation towards effectual and sustainable use of available resources [4]. As such, organisations must promote the use of renewable sources of input and put significant considerations on how those resources are used in order to guarantee the availability of those resources in the future [8]. [11] stated that environmental considerations help the organisation to make a specification for green products through selecting, management and development of...
suppliers with environmental capabilities and commitments. [11] further indicated that there is also the deliberate effort put in place to reduce waste of resources throughout the sourcing cycle, minimisation of pollution, waste and emission or safe disposal of products at the end of life [4-5]. Environmental drivers of Sustainability seek to minimise any adverse environmental impacts of goods and services acquisition, across their life cycles from raw material extraction to their end of life.

III. METHODOLOGY

The research used a questionnaire to collect data. A total of seventy-three (73) questionnaires were administered to procurement experts in Bauchi and Gombe state working at Due-process unit, a focal point that ensures efficiency, transparency and effectiveness in all procurements (goods and services), and issue certificate of compliance with public procurement act 2007, to fill and rank them according to experience on sustainability factors (social, environmental and economy). Fifty-three (53) filled questionnaire forms were returned, which is about 68% used for analysis. The questionnaire form comprises both open and closed-ended twenty-nine (29) questions with five on the background information of the respondents. These include profession, years of experience, educational qualification, training on procurement as well as training on Sustainability. The remaining twenty-four are on factors of Sustainability on Linkert-like scale of five 1=not important; 2=less important; 3=important; 4=moderate important; and 5=very important. The collected data was cleaned, arranged, coded and fed into SPSS 21 and analysed using both descriptive and inferential statistics. Cross-tabulation was used to compare the background information, while Friedman's ANOVA was used for ranking with multiple comparisons of stepwise step-down.

IV. RESULTS

Most of these that received training and workshop on procurement has the working experience above five years. With only two (2) respondents out of fifty-three (53), this means that 96% of the respondents have working experience, which qualifies them to give reliable information

Table 2: Cross-tabulation of respondents’ work experience with training on sustainability

| Experience          | Sustainability | Total |
|---------------------|----------------|-------|
|                     | Yes | No |
| less than 5yrs      | 0   | 2  |
| 5-10 years          | 5   | 7  |
| 11-15 years         | 10  | 5  |
| 16-20 years         | 8   | 6  |
| above 20 years      | 5   | 5  |
| Total               | 28  | 25 |

Table 3: Cross-tabulation of respondents’ Profession with training on Sustainability

| Profession            | Sustainability | Total |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------|
|                       | Yes | No |
| Architect             | 4   | 1  |
| Builder               | 7   | 8  |
| Civil/ services engineer | 12  | 9  |
| QS                    | 4   | 7  |
| OTHERS                | 1   | 0  |
| Total                 | 28  | 25 |

With regards to training on sustainability, all those with at least five years working experience received the training on sustainability. This makes the respondents in a better position to give reliable information and will help to compare traditional and sustainable-wise procurement.
### Descriptive Statistics

| Category                              | N  | Mean  | Std. Deviation | Minimum | Maximum | mean rank | rank |
|---------------------------------------|----|-------|----------------|---------|---------|-----------|------|
| Reduce unemployment                   | 53 | 3.8679| .68043         | 3.00    | 5.00    | 12.60     | 15   |
| Eliminate Child labour                | 53 | 4.1887| .70864         | 3.00    | 5.00    | 15.23     | 9    |
| Avoid discrimination                  | 53 | 4.6792| .47123         | 4.00    | 5.00    | 18.61     | 1    |
| End user participation                | 53 | 3.9245| .78076         | 2.00    | 5.00    | 13.06     | 13   |
| Youth empowerment                     | 53 | 4.0943| .65821         | 3.00    | 5.00    | 14.33     | 10   |
| Respect for Culture                   | 53 | 4.2453| .82987         | 3.00    | 5.00    | 15.61     | 8    |
| Fair working condition                | 53 | 2.8491| .76952         | 1.00    | 4.00    | 6.52      | 21   |
| Local community participation         | 53 | 3.3396| .64877         | 2.00    | 5.00    | 9.06      | 19   |
| Low energy appliances                 | 53 | 2.4151| .49745         | 2.00    | 3.00    | 4.00      | 22   |
| Plastic bag                           | 53 | 2.2075| .71679         | 1.00    | 3.00    | 3.55      | 23   |
| Use material with less absorption     | 53 | 1.8113| .73528         | 1.00    | 4.00    | 2.58      | 24   |
| Use less water                        | 53 | 3.3962| .59935         | 2.00    | 4.00    | 9.03      | 20   |
| Prevent bush burning                  | 53 | 3.9245| .87374         | 2.00    | 5.00    | 13.45     | 11   |
| Disposal of hazardous items           | 53 | 3.4340| .84374         | 2.00    | 5.00    | 9.81      | 17   |
| Environmental impact                  | 53 | 3.3208| 1.08793        | 2.00    | 5.00    | 9.74      | 18   |
| Use less resource                     | 53 | 3.9245| .85145         | 2.00    | 5.00    | 13.10     | 12   |
| Lowest responsive tender              | 53 | 4.6038| .49379         | 4.00    | 5.00    | 18.08     | 3    |
| Less waste in all works               | 53 | 3.7925| .71679         | 3.00    | 5.00    | 12.08     | 16   |
| Good workmanship                      | 53 | 4.5094| .50469         | 4.00    | 5.00    | 17.46     | 4    |
| Use quality materials                 | 53 | 4.3962| .53131         | 3.00    | 5.00    | 16.59     | 7    |
| Discount from tenderer                | 53 | 4.4717| .50398         | 4.00    | 5.00    | 17.12     | 6    |
| Similar work experience               | 53 | 4.6226| .48936         | 4.00    | 5.00    | 18.34     | 2    |
| Life-Cycle Cost                       | 53 | 3.8679| .68043         | 3.00    | 5.00    | 12.61     | 14   |
| Value-For-Money                       | 53 | 4.4906| .63919         | 3.00    | 5.00    | 17.42     | 5    |
Friedman’s ANOVA with Stepwise Step-Down Comparison

| Sample | Subset | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   |
|--------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Use material with less absorption | 2.585  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Plastic bag | 3.547  | 3.547|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Use Low energy appliances |      |      |      |      | 4.000| 4.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Fair working condition |      |      |      |      |      |      | 6.519| 6.519|      |      |      |      |      |
| Using less water |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 9.028| 9.028|      |      |      |
| Local community participation |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 9.057| 9.057|      |
| Environmental impact |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 9.736| 9.736| 9.736|
| Disposal of hazardous items |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 9.811|
| Less waste in all work |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 12.085|
| Reduce unemployment |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 12.604|
| Life-cycle Cost |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 12.613|
| End-user participation |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 13.057|
| Using less resource |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 13.104|
| Prevent bush burning |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 13.453|
| Youth participation |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 14.330|
| Avoid child labour |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 15.226|
| Respect culture and norms |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 15.613|
| Using quality materials |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 16.594|
| Discount from tenderer |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 17.123|
| Value-For-Money |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 17.415|
| Good workmanship |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 17.462|
| Lowest responsive tender |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 18.085|
| Similar work experience |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 18.340|
| Avoid discrimination |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 18.613|

Test Statistic | 4.245 | .925 | 6.113 | 11.92 | 9.106 | 11.370 | 13.747 | 14.761 | 14.638 | 12.965 | 11.666 | 15.836 |
Sig. (2-sided test) | .039 | .336 | .013 | .018 | .059 | .023 | .033 | .064 | .023 | .024 | .070 |
Adjusted Sig. (2-sided test) | .382 | .993 | .150 | .083 | .251 | .104 | .107 | .162 | .078 | .092 | .220 |

Homogeneous subsets are based on asymptotic significances. The significance level is .05.

Each cell shows the sample average rank.

More than half (about 53%) of the respondents received training on sustainability and out of which engineers (civil, electrical and mechanical) have about 43% followed by builders with 25% while QS and Architect have about 18%
each. This means that issues of sustainability are expected to be handled by engineers.

Mean ranking of the sustainability factors according to the respondents indicate discrimination against gender, race, religion and ethics has the highest score thus ranked above other factors, which agrees with UNDP (2013) agenda on sustainability. Similar working experience, lowest responsive tender and excellent workmanship were ranked by the respondents as second, third and fourth, respectively. This means that issues of cost and quality parameters of projects are also considered.

The table above shows the homogeneous subset of the 24 sustainability factors. With stepwise step-down comparison, it compares the factor that has a lowest mean score with the second lowest using a significant level of 0.05, and factors that appeared on the same column with the lowest significance, 0.013 in this case, is the most significant factor. Using appliances with low energy consumption and fair working condition, which appeared in column 3 happened to be the most significant factor. This is because most of the respondents received training on sustainable procurement are engineers, thus looked at sustainability from the engineering aspect. According to the study, 53% of the respondents are aware of sustainability, thus are ready, but the free act on procurement negate the sustainability in procurement.

V. CONCLUSION

The results of the study inform policymakers about current awareness and readiness status of public procurement officers on Sustainability in Bauchi state North-Eastern Nigeria and on which basis they can assess whether the current level is as desired. Finally, future research can focus on examining the awareness and readiness of public procurement officers in other geopolitical zones of the country using more large sample sizes and a different method of data collection.
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