Depression Does Not Predict Clinical Outcome of Chinese Peritoneal Dialysis Patients After Adjusting for the Degree of Frailty
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Abstract

Background

Depression and frailty contribute to the adverse clinical outcome of peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients. However, the interaction between depression and frailty in PD patients remains uncertain. We determined the prevalence of depression and frailty in prevalent Chinese PD patients, dissected the internal relationship between depression and frailty, and determined their relative contribution to the adverse clinical outcome in PD patients.

Methods

In a prospective observational study, we recruited 267 prevalent PD patients. Depression was identified by Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9). Frailty was identified by a validated Frailty Score. All cases were followed for one year. Outcome measures included number and duration of hospitalization, peritonitis rate, and all-cause mortality.

Results

Of the 267 patients, 197 patients (73.8%) were depressed, and 157 (58.8%) were frail. There was a substantial overlap between depression and frailty. Although depression and frailty were associated with the number and duration of hospitalization by univariate analysis, the association became insignificant after adjusting for confounding factors by multivariate analysis. Both depression and frailty were associated with one-year mortality by univariate analysis. One-year patient survival was 95.9%, 86.5%, 82.4% and 71.0% for patients with nil, mild, moderate and severe frailty, respectively (p = 0.001). Frailty was an independent predictor of patient survival by multivariate analysis (adjusted hazard ratio 1.424, 95% confidence interval 1.011-2.005. p = 0.043), while the prognostic effect of depression disappears after adjusting for frailty score.

Conclusion

Depression and frailty were common among Chinese PD patients. Frailty, but not depression, was an independent predictor of one-year mortality.

Introduction

Depression and frailty are common problems in the general population and dialysis patients. The
worldwide prevalence of depression in dialysis patients was 22.8% [1]. In a study conducted in Hong Kong, the prevalence of major depressive episode among peritoneal dialysis (PD) population was 16% [2]. Depressed PD patients usually suffer from multiple physical and psychological symptoms, all of which affect their physical function, quality of life, and impede on their adherence to dialysis regimen [3]. A study in Hong Kong showed that 55% of PD patients were classified as having high emotional distress, which was an independent risk factor for the development of peritonitis [4]. Another meta-analysis also showed that the presence of depressive symptoms was a significant predictor of mortality in dialysis patients [5].

In addition to depression, it is increasingly recognized that physical frailty is an important contributing factor to the adverse clinical outcome of dialysis patients [6–8]. Frailty was present in 15% of patients with mild to moderate chronic renal insufficiency [9], and 67.7% of dialysis patients [10]. A large cohort study in United States reported that frailty was associated with female sex, older age, and a higher comorbidity burden [11]. For dialysis patients, prior studies showed that patients who were on hemodialysis were more likely to be frail than those who were on PD [10]. Our previous study showed that frail PD patients have a high risk of requiring hospitalization and their hospital stay tends to be prolonged [6].

Although both depression and frailty are common, their relation in dialysis patients have not been well studied. Iyasere et al [12] showed that patients on assisted PD had a higher prevalence of possible depression and higher Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) depression score than in-center hemodialysis patients, but their frailty scores were similar. Our previous study showed that around 40% frail PD patients had depressive symptoms, while depression was rare in PD patients without frailty [13]. More importantly, physical frailty and depressive symptoms had additive adverse effect on the nutritional status and clinical outcome [13]. However, our previous study used the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) for the screening of depression [14], which may not be appropriate for middle-age dialysis patients. Moreover, frailty and depression were both defined as dichotomized entities in that study [13], so that the severity of either problem could not be considered. In the present study, we determined correlation between depression and frailty in prevalent Chinese PD
patients, and explored their interaction on the peritonitis rate, hospitalization, and short-term mortality.

Patients And Methods
Study population
We recruited 267 prevalent PD patients from a single dialysis unit between 2015 and 2016. Patients with expected survival of less than 3 months, or those who were planned to receive kidney transplantation in 3 months, were excluded. The study was approved by the Joint Chinese University of Hong Kong - New Territories East Cluster Clinical Research Ethics Committee. All study procedures were in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Data collection
After written informed consent, clinical and laboratory data were obtained by chart review. Clinical data comprises of patient’s age, gender, body weight, height, primary diagnosis of renal disease, concomitant chronic medical illnesses including diabetes mellitus, ischemic heart disease, cerebrovascular accident, peripheral vascular disease, chronic hepatitis B and C infection, chronic lung disease, malignancy and immunological diseases. Laboratory data comprises of serum albumin level, serum cholesterol (including total cholesterol, high density cholesterol, low density cholesterol) level, dialysis adequacy by Kt/V, residual renal function by measuring the residual glomerular filtration rate from urine. The Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) was used to assess the comorbidity load. The Comprehensive Malnutrition Inflammation Score (MIS) [15] and Subjective Global Assessment (SGA) [16] were used to assess nutritional state.

Assessment of depression
The Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ–9) was used for depression screening and classification of depression severity in our study population [17,18]. PHQ–9 is a questionnaire comprised of 9 questions corresponding to the 9 criteria for defining depression according to Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Fourth Edition (DSM-IV). Each question was scored from 0 point (i.e. not at all) to 3 points (i.e. nearly every day) according to severity. Overall score was then calculated and patients were divided into different severity of depression, grading from none, mild, moderate, moderately severe, and severe according to the PHQ–9 score of 0 to 4, 5 to 9, 10 to 14, 15 to 19, and ≥20,
respectively [17,18].

Assessment of frailty
We used a validated Chinese questionnaire that consisted of 30 yes/no questions (Supplementary Figure 1) [6,19,20]. The questions involve assessment of subjective assessment of personal health, psychological state, physical state in terms of number of hospital or doctor visit and medication needs to be taken, body weight, need of assistance in different aspects of daily living and mobility. A total score was calculated and the degree of frailty was divided into nil (score 5 or below), mild (score 6–8), moderate (score 9–11), or severe (score 12 or above).

Outcome measures
After the baseline assessment, all patients were followed for 1 year. Primary outcomes included the number of hospitalization, total duration of hospitalization, number of peritonitis and all-cause mortality. Peritonitis was diagnosed by the International Society of Peritoneal Dialysis (ISPD) Peritonitis Recommendations published in 2016 [21]. Technique survival was added post hoc as a secondary outcome measure; technique failure was defined as transfer to long-term hemodialysis. Censoring events for technique survival include kidney transplant, recovery of renal function, loss to follow up, and transfer to other dialysis centers.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed by SPSS for Windows software version 24 (SPSS Inc., Chicago). Descriptive data were presented as mean ± SD if normally distributed, or median (inter-quartile range) otherwise. Patients were grouped according to the degree of depression and frailty as defined above for analysis. Baseline clinical parameters between depression and frailty groups were compared by Kendall’s tau test and Spearman rank order correlation coefficient as appropriate, with post hoc subgroup analysis, when needed, by Student’s t-test or one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables, and Chi-square test for categorical variables.

The number of hospital admission and duration of hospitalization were compared between frailty groups by Spearman’s rank correlation. To adjust for clinical confounding factors, the log-linear regression model was then used to because the data were highly skewed. Potential confounders, including age, duration of dialysis, body weight, Charlson’s comorbidity score, serum albumin, total
Kt/V, and residual GFR, were added to the model. Backward stepwise elimination was used to determine the independent predictor of hospitalization.

Kaplan-Meier method was used to present the data of patient and technique survival, and log-rank test was used to compare between survival curves. The Cox proportional hazards model was then used to adjust for potential confounders and identify independent predictors of patient survival. In addition to the degree of frailty and depression being added separately, the Cox models were constructed by similar clinical parameters used in the analysis of hospitalization. These parameters were selected because of their reported significance in determining the prognosis of PD patients. Backward stepwise elimination was applied to remove insignificant variables. P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All probabilities were two-tailed.

Results
A total of 267 patients were recruited. Their baseline clinical and demographic data are summarized in Table 1. According to the PHQ–9 score, 110 patients (41.2%) were classified as not depressed, 80 (30.0%) were mildly depressed, 43 (16.1%) were moderately depressed, 26 (9.7%) were moderate-to-severely depressed, and 8 (3.0%) were severely depressed. The demographic data, clinical and biochemical parameters are compared between groups with different degree of depression and summarized in Table 1.

As for the severity of frailty according to the Frailty Score (FQ), 73 patients (27.3%) were classified as not frail, 74 (27.7%) mildly frail, 51 (19.1%) moderately frail, and 69 (25.8%) severely frail. There was a significant correlation between the Frailty Score and Charlson comorbidity score (r = 0.674, p < 0.0001). A history of cerebrovascular disease was associated with the severity of frailty (p < 0.001).

There was a substantial overlap between depression and frailty (Figure 1). In essence, 157 patients (58.8%) with PHQ–9 score >4 were classified as depressed, whereas 194 patients (72.7%) with frailty score >5 and were classified as frail; 140 patients (52.4%) are both depressed and frail. The proportion of cases with higher frailty score increases with severity of depression. There was a significant correlation between PHQ-9 score and frailty score (Spearman’s r = 0.661, p < 0.0001).

Hospitalization and peritonitis
After 1 year of follow-up, there were 749 hospital admissions for a total of 5224 days; 72 patients (27.0%) did not require hospitalization. The relation between hospitalization and depression and frailty are shown in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively. In short, the PHQ–9 score had a modest but statistically significant correlation with the number of hospitalization ($r = 0.164, p = 0.007$) and duration of hospitalization ($r = 0.147, p = 0.016$). Similarly, the frailty score also had a modest but significant correlation with the number of hospitalization ($r = 0.220, p < 0.0001$), and duration of hospitalization ($r = 0.176, p = 0.004$). However, after adjusting for potential confounding factors by multivariate log linear regression analysis, neither depression nor frailty remained as an independent predictor for number of hospitalization or duration of hospitalization. In this model, only Charlson comorbidity index, body weight, and residual GFR had significant independent association with the number of hospital admission as well as the duration of hospitalization (details not shown).

During the study period, there were 90 peritonitis episodes; 203 patients (76.0%) were free of peritonitis. There was no significant correlation between peritonitis rate and PHQ–9 score ($r = -0.001, p = 0.9$) or frailty score ($r = 0.042, p = 0.5$). The correlation between PHQ–9 score or frailty score with peritonitis rate remains insignificant when only patients who performed self-PD were analyzed (details not shown).

**Patient survival**

During the study period, 43 patients (16.1%) died. During this period, 9 patients (3.4%) underwent kidney transplantation, 9 (3.4%) were switched to chronic hemodialysis, and 2 (0.7%) were transferred to other renal center. The overall one-year patient survival rate was 86.4%, 85.0%, 86.0%, 80.8%, and 50.0% for patients with nil, mild, moderate, moderate-to-severe, and severe depression, respectively (log rank test, $p = 0.001$) (Figure 2). The corresponding one-year technique survival was 81.8%, 82.5%, 76.7% and 37.5%, respectively (log rank test, $p = 0.046$). As for frailty, one-year patient survival was 95.9%, 86.5%, 82.4% and 71.0% for patients with nil, mild, moderate and severe frailty, respectively ($p = 0.001$) (Figure 3), and the corresponding one-year technique survival was 90.4%, 81.1%, 76.5%, and 71.0%, respectively ($p = 0.003$). After adjusting for potential confounding factors by multivariate Cox regression analysis, frailty was an independent predictor of patient
survival (adjusted hazard ratio [AHR] 1.424, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.011 - 2.005, p = 0.043). In addition, Charlson Comorbidity Index and serum albumin level were independent predictors of patient survival (Table 4), but depression was not an independent predictor. There was also no interaction between PHQ–9 score and frailty score in predicting patient survival or technique survival.

Discussion
Depression is the most common psychiatric problem in patients with end stage renal disease [22].

One of the most common diagnostic tools for depression is the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ–9) questionnaire, which was designed by Spitzer, Williams and Kronenke in 2001 [23]. PHQ–9 is based on the diagnostic criteria for Major Depressive Disorder under the code of Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV). It is chosen due to many of its advantages. The use of this questionnaire is free of charge, and it is shorter than many other depression rating scales. PHQ–9 can be administered in person, over the phone or as self-report. It also facilitates the diagnosis of major and minor depression, and assesses the severity of depression symptom. It is available in multiple languages including traditional Chinese, which was used in our study. PHQ–9 questionnaire has also been validated in dialysis population [24]. PHQ–9 is sensitive and specific at identifying depression in general population [17] and in dialysis population [23]. However, it should be noted that PHQ–9 is best used as a monitoring tool for the assessment of depression severity rather than as a screening tool, and a slightly elevated PHQ–9 score (i.e. the “mild depression” group) may also always imply an actual diagnosis of depression. The use of PHQ–9 in this study may explain the high rate of depression in our study.

As for frailty, there are a few validated tools in measuring frailty. The Frailty Index (FI) is a tool to quantify and summarize patient’s vulnerability. It includes assessment of factors including of symptoms, underlying concomitant diseases, conditions and disability [18]. There are also other tools including Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) scale [25], Study of Osteoprototic Fracture (SOF) scale [19], Canadian Study of Health and Aging (CSHA) Clinical Frailty Scale [26], to assess frailty. However, all of these scales require direct patient examination, which is time consuming and impractical as the screening test in large number population. Apart from technical difficulties, not all of the above-
mentioned screening tools have been validated in CKD cohorts. In our study, we used a questionnaire developed by our university and has been validated in the Chinese population [6]. The questions are straightforward and easy to answer, which simplify the process of screening.

The prevalence of depression in our study was 58.8%. The result is comparable to another local study, which reported 55% prevalence of depression among Chinese PD patients [4]. On the other hand, the worldwide prevalence of depression among dialysis population is reported to be 20–30% [27]. However, most of the western studies focus on hemodialysis patients. A study in Korea reported 75% prevalence of depression among the population undergoing CAPD [28].

At the first glance, it seems an unusually high figure to have over 70% of patients who required hospitalization within one year. It should be noted, however, that our local policy mandates hospital admission for many intervention procedures, such as cardiac catheterization and colonoscopy. Unfortunately, we do not have the detailed breakdown on the cause of hospitalization for our patients, but the figure in this study is similar to our previous reports [29,30]. We did not find a significant association between depression and the number or duration of hospitalization after adjusting for clinical confounding factors. Although previous studies reported that depression in patients with end stage renal disease is significantly associated with adverse medical outcomes, including the number of hospitalization [31] and cumulative hospital days [32], these studies were based on hemodialysis patients and may not be applicable to our patients. A local study showed that depression is significantly associated with mortality rate in Chinese peritoneal dialysis patients [4]. However, this study only recruited patients who were newly started on PD.

In our study, depression was not an independent predictor of mortality after adjusting for frailty and other clinical confounding factors. In contrast, most of the previous studies reported an association between depression and mortality in dialysis patients. For example, Lopes et al [33] noted an approximately 40% increase in mortality in patients who indicated the presence of depressive symptoms on self-reported questionnaires, although the study did not deploy any depression scale and the patients were not grouped according to their depression severity. A meta-analysis reported the mortality risk in patients on dialysis was 1.5 times higher in the presence of depressive symptoms
after adjusting for other confounding factors [5]. However, none of the previous study on depression adjusts for frailty, even though the two parameters have a close internal correlation.

The prevalence of frailty in our study population was 72.7%, which is similar the prevalence of 73% reported by Bao et al [34], and 67.7% by Johansen et al [10]. In analyzing the baseline demographics and clinical parameters in our study population, we noted that frailty score had significant correlations with Charlson Comorbidity Index and dialysis adequacy. Correlation of frailty and comorbidities in dialysis patients was also reported in a prior study [35].

In our study, frailty score is better correlated with the number of hospitalization and duration of hospitalization than depression score. However, after adjusting for the confounding factors by multivariate analysis, frailty score was not a significant predictive factor of the number or duration of hospitalization. Our result is in contrary to prior studies. For example, Johansen et al [10] showed that frail patients were more likely to be hospitalized for any reason even after adjusting for other potential risk factors for hospitalization [10]. Mara et al [36] reported that frailty was a predictor of hospitalization in hemodialysis. Inclusion of hemodialysis patients in these studies may account for the discrepancy of their results with our study. Other possibilities include difference in patients’ ethnicity and age distribution.

With multivariate Cox regression analysis, our study showed that frailty was a significant predictor of all-cause mortality. Our result is in line with previous studies. For example, Alfaadhel et al [37] demonstrated that frailty at the initiation of dialysis was associated with mortality. Johansen et al [10] team showed that frailty was independently associated with the risk of death. We believe that frailty screening can help to identify a high risk group.

There are several limitations of our study. First, it is a single-center study of limited sample size, which affects the external validity and statistical power to detect subtle subgroup effects. Because of the limitation in our original study design, we did not measure cognitive impairment, which is an integral part of frailty and is directly related to depression. The follow up duration of one year is also not sufficient to detect any effect on patient mortality. Second, our study population was entirely Chinese. Because of the potential contribution of genetic and environmental factors to the mortality
risk, our result may not be applicable to patients from other parts of world or even other parts of China. Our study only examined the rate of adverse outcome after one year, which may not be sufficient for mortality. Since our study recruited prevalent PD patients, the onset of depression and frailty (i.e. before or after dialysis was initiated) was not known. It was also possible that survivor bias was present, which may affect the result of our study.

In conclusion, our study shows that depression and frailty are common in prevalent PD patients. There is also a significant relationship between frailty and mortality in PD patients. Further studies are needed to determine the benefit of treatment for frailty in PD patients.

Declarations
Conflict of interest
This study was supported by the Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK) research accounts 6901031 and 7101215. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. Dr. CC Szeto receives research grant and consultancy from Baxter Healthcare. The authors declare no other conflict of interest.

Human rights
Ethical approval: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee at which the studies were conducted and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Chinese University of Hong Kong (IRB approval number CRE-2015.250).

Informed consent
Written informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

References
1. Palmer S, Vecchio M, Craig JC, Tonelli M, Johnson DW, Nicolucci A, Pellegrini F, Saglimbene V, Logroscino G, Fishbane S, Strippoli GF. Prevalence of depression in chronic kidney disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Kidney Int 2013; 84: 179-191.
2. Chan LK, Yu EC, Li SY. Depression in Patients Receiving Peritoneal Dialysis. East
3. Murtagh FE, Addington-Hall J, Higginson IJ. The prevalence of symptoms in end-stage renal disease: a systematic review. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis 2007; 14: 82–99.

4. Chan KM, Cheung CY, Chan YH, Chan HW, Chak WL, Chau KF. Prevalence and impact of anxiety and depression in Chinese peritoneal dialysis patients: a single center study. Nephrology (Carlton) 2018; 23: 155-161.

5. Farrokhi F, Abedi N, Beyene J, Kurdyak P, Jassal SV. Association between depression and mortality in patients receiving long-term dialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Kidney Dis 2014; 63: 623–635

6. Ng JK, Kwan BC, Chow KM, Cheng PM, Law MC, Pang WF, Leung CB, Li PK, Szeto CC. Frailty in Chinese Peritoneal Dialysis Patients: Prevalence and Prognostic Significance. Kidney Blood Pressure Res 2016; 41: 736-745.

7. Seidel UK, Gronewold J, Volsek M, Todica O, Kribben A, Bruck H, Hermann DM: Physical, cognitive and emotional factors contributing to quality of life, functional health and participation in community dwelling in chronic kidney disease. PLoS One 2014;9:e91176.

8. van Loon IN, Wouters TR, Boereboom FT, Bots ML, Verhaar MC, Hamaker ME: The Relevance of Geriatric Impairments in Patients Starting Dialysis: A Systematic Review. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2016;11:1245–1159.

9. Shlipak MG, Stehman-Breen C, Fried LF, Song X, Siscovick D, Fried LP, Psaty BM, Newman AB. The presence of frailty in elderly persons with chronic renal insufficiency. Am J Kidney Dis 2004; 43: 861-867.

10. Johansen KL, Chertow GM, Jin C, Kutner NG. Significance of frailty among dialysis patients. J Am Soc Nephrol 2007; 18: 2960–2967.

11. Woods N, LaCroix A, Gray S, Aragaki A, Cochrane BB, Brunner RL, Masaki K, Murray A,
Newman AB. Frailty: emergence and consequences in women aged 65 and older in the Women’s Health Initiative Observational Study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2005; 53:1321-1330.

12. Iyasere OU, Brown EA, Johansson L, Huson L, Smee J, Maxwell AP, Farrington K, Davenport A. Quality of Life and Physical Function in Older Patients on Dialysis: A Comparison of Assisted Peritoneal Dialysis with Hemodialysis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2016; 11: 423–430.

13. Szeto CC, Chan GC, Ng JK, Chow KM, Kwan BC, Cheng PM, Kwong VW, Law MC, Leung CB, Li PK. Depression and Physical Frailty Have Additive Effect on the Nutritional Status and Clinical Outcome of Chinese Peritoneal Dialysis. Kidney Blood Press Res 2018; 43: 914–923.

14. Balogun RA, Balogun SA, Kepple AL, Ma JZ, Turgut F, Kovesdy CP, Abdel-Rahman EM. The 15-item geriatric depression scale as a predictor of mortality in older adults undergoing hemodialysis. J Am Geriatr Soc 2011; 59: 1563–1565.

15. Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kopple JD, Block G, Humphreys MH. A malnutrition-inflammation score is correlated with morbidity and mortality in maintenance hemodialysis patients. Am J Kidney Dis 2001; 38: 1251–1263.

16. Enia G, Sicus C, Alati G, Zoccali C. Subjective global assessment of nutrition in dialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1993; 8: 1094–1098.

17. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med 2001; 16: 606–613.

18. Rockwood K, Mitnitski A. Frailty in relation to the accumulation of deficits. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2007; 62A: 722–727.

19. Cawthon PM, Marshall LM, Michael Y, Dam TT, Ensrud KE, Barrett-Connor E, Orwell ES. Osteoporotic Fractures in Men Research Group. Frailty in older men: Prevalence,
progression, and relationship with mortality. J Am Geriatr Soc 2007; 55: 1216-1223.

20. Chan LS. Chronic disease self-management in Hong Kong Chinese older adults living in the community. [PhD thesis].
http://etheses.lib.cuhk.edu.hk/pdf/cuhk/920150488.pdf

21. Li PK, Szeto CC. ISPD Peritonitis Recommendations: 2016 Update on Prevention and Treatment. Perit Dial Int 2016; 36: 481-508.

22. Kimmel PL, Cukor D, Cohen SD, Peterson RA. Depression in end-stage renal disease patients: a critical review. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis 2007; 14: 328-334.

23. Watnick S, Wang PL, Demadura T, Ganzini L. Validation of 2 depression screening tools in dialysis patients. Am J Kidney Dis 2005; 46: 919-924.

24. Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: a self report depression scale for research in the general population. Appl Psychol Meas 1977; 1: 385-401.

25. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, Seeman T, Tracy R, Kop WJ, Burke G, McBurnie MA. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2001; 56: M146-M156.

26. Rockwood K, Song X, MacKnight C, Bergman H, Hogan DB, McDowell I, Mitnitski A. A global clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. CMAJ 2005; 173: 489-495.

27. Finkelstein FO, Finkelstein SH. Depression in chronic dialysis patients: assessment and treatment. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2000; 15: 1911-1913.

28. Kim JA, Lee YK, Huh W, Kim YG, Kim DJ, Oh HY, Kang SA, Kim Moon YH, Kim HW, Kim JH. Analysis of Depression in Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis Patients. J Korean Med Sci 2002; 17: 790-794.

29. Szeto CC, Lai KB, Chow KM, Kwan BC, Cheng PM, Kwong VW, Choy AS, Leung CB, Li PK. Plasma Mitochondrial DNA Level is a Prognostic Marker in Peritoneal Dialysis
Patients. Kidney Blood Press Res 2016; 41: 402-412.

30. Szeto CC, Kwan BC, Chow KM, Kwok JS, Lai KB, Cheng PM, Pang WF, Ng JK, Chan MH, Lit LC, Leung CB, Li PK. Circulating bacterial-derived DNA fragment level is a strong predictor of cardiovascular disease in peritoneal dialysis patients. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0125162.

31. Weisbord SD, Mor MK, Sevick MA, Shields AM, Rollman BL, Palevsky PM, Arnold RM, Green JA, Fine MJ. Associations of depressive symptoms and pain with dialysis adherence, health resource utilization, and mortality in patients receiving chronic hemodialysis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2014; 9: 1594-1602.

32. Hedayati SS, Grambow SC, Szczech LA, Stechuchak KM, Allen AS, Bosworth HB. Physician-diagnosed depression as a correlate of hospitalizations in patients receiving long-term hemodialysis. Am J Kidney Dis 2005; 46: 642-649.

33. Lopes AA, Bragg J, Young E, Goodkin D, Mapes D, Combe C, Piera L, Held P, Gillespie B, Port FK. Depression as a predictor of mortality and hospitalisation among haemodialysis patients in the US and Europe. Kidney Int 2002; 62: 199-207.

34. Bao Y, Dairymple L, Chertow GM, Kaysen GA, Johansen KL. Frailty, dialysis initiation, and mortality in end-stage renal disease. Arch Intern Med 2012; 172: 1071-1077.

35. Lee SY, Yang DH, Hwang E, Kang SH, Park SH, Kim TW, Lee DH, Park K, Kim JC. The Prevalence, Association, and Clinical Outcomes of Frailty in Maintenance Dialysis Patients. J Ren Nutr 2017; 27: 106-112.

36. McAdams-Demarco MA, Law A, Salter ML, Boyarsky B, Gimenez L, Jaar BG, Walston JD, Segev DL. Frailty as a Novel Predictor of Mortality and Hospitalization in Individuals of All Ages Undergoing Haemodialysis. J Am Geriatr Soc 2013; 61: 896-901.

37. Alfaadhel TA, Soroka SD, Kiberd BA, Landry D, Moorhouse P, Tennankore KK: Frailty
Tables

Table 1. Baseline clinical and demographic data according to severity of depression.

| Depression                  | All patients | none     | mild      | moderate   | moderately severe | severe  | P value |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------|-----------|------------|-------------------|---------|---------|
| No. of patients             | 267          | 110      | 80        | 43         | 26                | 8       |         |
| Age (year)                  | 62.9 ± 12    | 62.3 ± 12.7 | 62.2 ± 11.6 | 63.5 ± 12.1 | 64.0 ± 9.7        | 70.1 ± 12.5 | p = 0.3³ |
| Sex (M:F)                   | 131:136      | 57:53    | 36:44     | 25:18      | 11:15             | 2:6     |         |
| Weight (kg)                 | 64.9 ± 13.1  | 65.4 ± 13.7 | 63.3 ± 11.6 | 66.2 ± 15.0 | 66.1 ± 12.2       | 61.9 ± 14.3 | p = 0.5⁴ |
| Height (cm)                 | 160.2 ± 7.8  | 161.0 ± 7.8 | 159.0 ± 7.5 | 162.0 ± 7.5 | 159.0 ± 8.9       | 158.0 ± 6.4 | p = 0.9⁵ |
| Duration of dialysis (months)| 30.9 (13.8 - 62.1) | 29.6 (14.9 - 55.7) | 30.5 (13.1 - 62.5) | 42.7 (17.1 - 70.5) | 22.4 (12.9 - 59.7) | 38.4 (35.4 - 49.3) | p = 0.8⁶ |
| Renal diagnosis, no. of patient (%) | | | | | | | p = 0.17¹⁰ |
| diabetic nephropathy        | 98 (36.7%)   | 37 (33.6%) | 27 (33.8%) | 20 (46.5%) | 9 (34.6%)         | 5 (62.5%) |         |
| hypertensive nephrosclerosis| 30 (11.2%)   | 11 (10%) | 9 (11.3%) | 6 (14%) | 4 (15.4%)         | 0 (0%) |         |
| glomerulonephritis          | 84 (31.5%)   | 38 (34.5%) | 25 (31.3%) | 10 (23.3%) | 8 (30.8%)         | 3 (37.5%) |         |
| polycystic kidney           | 8 (3.0%)     | 4 (3.6%) | 3 (3.8%) | 1 (2.3%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |         |
| urological problems         | 11 (4.1%)    | 9 (8.2%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (2.3%) | 1 (3.8%) | 0 (0%) |         |
| unknown                     | 30 (11.2%)   | 10 (9.1%) | 14 (17.5%) | 4 (9.3%) | 2 (7.7%) | 0 (0%) |         |
| others                      | 6 (2.2%)     | 1 (0.9%) | 2 (2.5%) | 1 (2.3%) | 2 (7.7%) | 0 (0%) |         |
| Comorbidity, no. of case (%)| | | | | | | p = 0.17¹⁰ |
| diabetes                    | 121 (45.3%)  | 47 (42.7%) | 34 (42.5%) | 23 (53.5%) | 11 (42.3%) | 6 (75%) |         |
| ischemic heart disease      | 29 (10.9%)   | 16 (14.5%) | 13 (16.3%) | 6 (14.0%) | 3 (11.5%) | 0 (0%) |         |
| cerebrovascular disease     | 47 (17.6%)   | 16 (14.5%) | 15 (18.8%) | 11 (25.6%) | 3 (11.5%) | 2 (25%) |         |
| peripheral vascular disease | 9 (3.4%)     | 4 (3.6%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (2.3%) | 3 (11.5%) | 1 (12.5%) |         |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index  | 5.66 ± 2.51  | 5.45 ± 2.26 | 5.25 ± 2.32 | 5.37 ± 2.12 | 4.81 ± 1.72 | 6.50 ± 2.56 | p = 0.4²⁴ |
| PD exchange by assistants, no. of case (%) | 34 (12.7%) | 10 (9.1%) | 9 (11.3%) | 8 (18.6%) | 2 (7.7%) | 5 (37.5%) | p = 0.14¹⁰ |
| Baseline biochemistry  | | | | | | | |
| serum albumin (g/L)         | 33.7 ± 4.37  | 34.1 ± 4.57 | 34.2 ± 3.92 | 31.8 ± 4.39 | 35.0 ± 3.15 | 28.4 ± 3.69 | p = 0.09³⁰ |
| total cholesterol (mmol/l)  | 4.91 ± 1.35  | 4.95 ± 1.28 | 4.77 ± 1.34 | 4.86 ± 1.54 | 5.13 ± 1.39 | 5.08 ± 1.44 | p = 0.9³⁰ |
| LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)    | 2.82 ± 1.12  | 2.82 ± 1.04 | 2.66 ± 1.09 | 2.92 ± 1.29 | 3.06 ± 1.20 | 2.89 ± 1.43 | p = 0.8³⁰ |
| HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)    | 1.31 ± 0.57  | 1.32 ± 0.46 | 1.28 ± 0.53 | 1.21 ± 0.49 | 1.36 ± 0.48 | 1.87 ± 1.76 | p = 0.5³⁰ |
| weekly Kt/V                  | 1.82 ± 0.48  | 1.89 ± 0.53 | 1.81 ± 0.49 | 1.58 ± 0.36 | 1.86 ± 0.37 | 1.86 ± 0.47 | p = 0.08³⁰ |
| residual GFR (ml/min/1.73m²) | 1.46 ± 2.00  | 1.87 ± 2.25 | 1.34 ± 1.73 | 0.85 ± 1.87 | 1.33 ± 1.67 | 0.39 ± 0.81 | p = 0.003³⁰ |

LDL, low density lipoprotein; HDL, high density lipoprotein. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median (inter-quartile range), and compared by ⁿᵃKendall’s tau test and ⁿᵇSpearman rank order correlation coefficient.

Table 2. Relation between depression, hospitalization,
and peritonitis rate.

| Depression | none | mild | moderate | moderately severe | severe | P-value |
|------------|------|------|----------|-------------------|--------|---------|
| No of patients | 110  | 80   | 43       | 26                | 8      |
| Without hospitalization, no. of patients (%) | 35 (31.8%) | 21 (26.3%) | 10 (23.3%) | 6 (23.1%) | 0 | p = 0.075<sup>a</sup> |
| Number of hospitalization | 2.29 ± 2.67 | 2.84 ± 3.20 | 3.30 ± 3.48 | 3.35 ± 3.22 | 5.13 ± 3.87 | p = 0.007<sup>b</sup> |
| Duration of hospitalization (days) | 6.0 (0.0 - 21.5) | 6.5 (0.8 - 23.0) | 9.0 (1.0 - 26.0) | 16.5 (3.0 - 30.5) | 47.0 (21.5 - 88.3) | p = 0.021<sup>b</sup> |
| Peritonitis-free, no. of patients (%) | 84 (76.4%) | 60 (75.0%) | 33 (76.7%) | 18 (69.2%) | 8 (100%) | p = 0.9<sup>a</sup> |
| Number of peritonitis episode (per year) | 0.36 ± 0.77 | 0.35 ± 0.66 | 0.30 ± 0.60 | 0.42 ± 0.70 | 0 | p = 0.9<sup>b</sup> |

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median (inter-quartile range), and compared by <sup>a</sup>Kendall’s tau test and <sup>b</sup>Spearman rank order correlation coefficient.

### Table 3. Relation between frailty, hospitalization, and peritonitis rate.

| Depression | none | mild | moderate | severe | P-value |
|------------|------|------|----------|--------|---------|
| No of patients | 73   | 74   | 51       | 69     |         |
| Without hospitalization, no. of patients (%) | 22 (30.1%) | 24 (32.4%) | 15 (29.4%) | 11 (15.9%) | p = 0.058<sup>a</sup> |
| Number of hospitalization | 2.10 ± 2.54 | 2.26 ± 2.90 | 3.35 ± 3.29 | 3.74 ± 3.43 | | p < 0.0001<sup>b</sup> |
| Duration of hospitalization (days) | 7.0 (0.0 - 15.0) | 5.0 (0.0 - 17.0) | 11.0 (0.0 - 30.5) | 14.0 (3.0 - 32.0) | | p = 0.004<sup>b</sup> |
| Peritonitis-free, no. of patients (%) | 56 (76.7%) | 60 (81.1%) | 34 (66.7%) | 53 (76.8%) | | p = 0.6<sup>a</sup> |
| Number of peritonitis episode (per year) | 0.27 ± 0.53 | 0.32 ± 0.85 | 0.45 ± 0.70 | 0.33 ± 0.66 | | p = 0.5<sup>b</sup> |

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median (inter-quartile range), and compared by <sup>a</sup>Kendall’s tau test and <sup>b</sup>Spearman rank order correlation coefficient.

### Table 4. Multivariate Cox regression analysis of patient survival

| Factors              | AHR      | 95%CI         | P value |
|----------------------|----------|---------------|---------|
| Charlson comorbidity index | 1.258    | 1.058 - 1.497 | p = 0.010 |
| albumin              | 0.863    | 0.797 - 0.934 | p < 0.0001 |
| frailty score        | 1.424    | 1.011 - 2.005 | p = 0.043 |

AHR, adjusted hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval
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Kaplan-Meier plot of patient survival according to the severity of depression.
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Kaplan-Meier plot of patient survival according to the severity of frailty.