Hepatic regulation of VLDL receptor by PPARβ/δ and FGF21 modulates non-alcoholic fatty liver disease
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Abstract

Objective: The very low-density lipoprotein receptor (VLDLR) plays an important role in the development of hepatic steatosis. In this study, we investigated the role of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor (PPAR)β/δ and fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21) in hepatic VLDLR regulation.

Methods: Studies were conducted in wild-type and Pparβ/δ-null mice, primary mouse hepatocytes, human Huh-7 hepatocytes, and liver biopsies from control subjects and patients with moderate and severe hepatic steatosis.

Results: Increased VLDLR levels were observed in liver of Pparβ/δ-null mice and in Pparβ/δ-knockdowned mouse primary hepatocytes through mechanisms involving the heme-regulated eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2α (eIF2α) kinase (HRI), activating transcription factor (ATF) 4 and the oxidative stress-induced nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2 (Nrf2) pathways. Moreover, by using a neutralizing antibody against FGF21, Fgf21-null mice and by treating mice with recombinant FGF21, we show that FGF21 may protect against hepatic steatosis by attenuating endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress-induced VLDLR upregulation. Finally, in liver biopsies from patients with moderate and severe hepatic steatosis, we observed an increase in VLDLR levels that was accompanied by a reduction in PPARβ/δ mRNA abundance and DNA-binding activity compared with control subjects.

Conclusions: Overall, these findings provide new mechanisms by which PPARβ/δ and FGF21 regulate VLDLR levels and influence hepatic steatosis development.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier GmbH. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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1. Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is currently the most common liver disorder, and its growing prevalence has increased to reach worldwide epidemic proportions [1]. NAFLD encompasses a spectrum of liver injuries ranging from hepatic steatosis, defined by the excessive accumulation of triglycerides in the liver, to the most severe condition of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). In addition, NAFLD is
Hepatic triglyceride levels are regulated by multiple mechanisms such as de novo synthesis, fatty acid oxidation, lipolysis, dietary fat consumption, and the secretion and hepatic delivery of lipoprotein particles [3–5]. Recently, it has been reported that very low-density lipoprotein receptor (VLDLR) plays an important role in the development of hepatic steatosis [6]. VLDLR belongs to the low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor family and is widely expressed in the brain, heart, skeletal muscle, and adipose tissue, whereas its expression is very low in the liver under normal conditions [7,8]. This receptor binds apolipoprotein E (apoE) triglyceride-rich lipoproteins such as chylomicrons, VLDL, and intermediate density lipoproteins, leading to lipid entry into the cell through lipoprotein lipase (LPL)-dependent lipolysis or receptor-mediated endocytosis [9–12]. As a result, a link has been established between VLDLR levels and plasma triglyceride levels [13]. VLDLR-null mice are leaner, display normal blood lipids [14] and are protected from obesity induced by HFD feeding or leptin deficiency [15]. However, following fasting or exposure to a HFD, these animals show increased plasma triglyceride levels [15,16]. In recent years, it has been reported that VLDLR is regulated by several transcription factors, including Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor (PPAR) γ in adipose tissue [12] and hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α) in the heart [17], contributing to lipid deposition in both tissues. In liver, the upregulation of VLDLR levels has been reported to be dependent on the activation of oxidative stress-induced nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2 (Nrf2) in alcoholic liver disease [18], whereas stimulation of activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) signaling during endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress induces hepatic steatosis via increase VLDLR by enhancing lipoprotein delivery to the liver [6]. In addition, hepatic VLDLR upregulation plays an essential role in the triglyceride-lowering effect of fenofibrate through PPARα activation [19]. However, little is known about the effects of PPARβ/δ on VLDLR regulation in the liver. PPARβ/δ is a ligand-activated transcription factor involved in the regulation of glucose and lipid homeostasis [20], and it has been proposed as a therapeutic target for the treatment of metabolic syndrome [21]. Thus, genetic manipulation of PPARβ/δ as well as its activation by agonists attenuate dyslipidemia and hyperglycemia, improve whole-body insulin sensitivity, and prevent diet-induced obesity [22]. In this study, we show that Pparβ/δ deficiency regulates VLDLR levels through Nrf2 and ATF4-dependent mechanisms, whereas fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21) deficiency exacerbates ER stress-induced VLDLR levels, contributing to the progression of hepatic steatosis. Finally, our findings show that in humans with severe hepatic steatosis, increased levels of VLDLR accompany a reduction in PPARβ/δ activity.

2. RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

2.1. Reagents

Control, VLDLR, HRI, ATF4, and Nrf2 siRNA were purchased from Santa Cruz (Dallas, TX) and PPARβ/δ siRNA from GE Dharmacon (Lafayette, CO). Mouse FGF21 neutralizing antibody was purchased from Antibody and Immunoasays Services (Hong Kong, China) and human recombinant FGF21 from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). Triglyceride levels were measured using a commercial kit (Sigma, St. Louis, MO).

2.2. Mice

Male (8–9-wk old) Pparβ/δ knockout (Pparβ/δ−/−) mice and their wild-type littermates (Pparβ/δ+/+) with the same genetic background (C57BL/6X129/SV) [23] and an initial weight of 20–25 g were fed a standard diet. Genotyping was performed as previously described [23]. Wild-type and Pparβ/δ-null mice were treated for 24 h through i.p. injection with DMSO (vehicle) or tunicamycin (3 mg kg−1 body weight). Likewise, male wild-type and Pparβ/δ-null mice at 12 wk. of age were injected intraperitoneally with IgG (9 μg/mouse) or a neutralizing antibody (9 μg/mouse) against FGF21 [24] together with DMSO or tunicamycin (3 mg kg−1 body weight) and were sacrificed at 14 h after treatment. In addition, male wild-type and Pparβ/δ-null mice were either fed a 30% fructose solution or plain tap water for 12 wk, as previously described [25]. The hepatic content of malondialdehyde (MDA) and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) were determined using the lipid peroxidation (MDA) and Peroxidetect assay kits (Sigma), respectively. Male (10-wk old) knockout (FGF21−/−) mice [6;129Sv-Fgf21tm1Lex/C2mmd] and their wild-type littermates (FGF21+/+) were obtained from the Mutant Mouse Regional Resource Center (MMRRC). For examination of the effect of FGF21 on VLDLR levels, male C57BL/6 mice at 12 wk of age were treated with DMSO or tunicamycin (1 mg kg−1 body weight), and starting at 6 h before tunicamycin injection, recombinant mouse FGF21 (PeproTech, London, UK) was administered intraperitoneally at 1 mg kg−1 body weight for a total of five times every 6 h. Mice were sacrificed at 24 h after tunicamycin treatment. All animals were killed under anesthetic conditions, and livers were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after resection and stored at −80°C. The research complied with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85-23, revised 1996). All procedures were approved by the University of Barcelona Bioethics Committee, as stated in Law 5/21 July 1995 passed by the Generalitat de Catalunya.

2.3. Cell culture

Human Huh–7 cells (a kindly gift from Dr. Mayka Sanchez from Josep Carreras Leukaemia Research Institute) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% serum, at 37°C/5% CO2. Primary mouse hepatocytes were isolated from non-fasting male C57BL/6 mice (10–12 weeks old) by perfusion with collagenease as described elsewhere [26], siRNA transfections were performed with Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies).

2.4. Human samples

Subjects were recruited by the Gastroenterology Department at the Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences (Teheran, Iran) with the approval of the Ethical Committee of the University Review Board of the participating Taleghani Hospital. NAFLD subjects (Table S1) were diagnosed according to WHO criteria. Patients were considered as having potential liver steatosis if they had abnormal liver blood tests. Alcohol consumption had to be less than 20 g/day for the past 5 years, as assessed using a standard questionnaire. In addition, liver specimen had to be compatible with NAFLD [27], without any pattern suggestive of other cause. Patients were not included if they had another cause of chronic liver disease, complicated cirrhosis, or received putative antibiotic treatment in the past 6 months. Liver biopsies were performed at Taleghani Hospital in 2012–2013 using a Megenhi 16 swg (1.6 mm) × 70 mm syringe. The material consisted of 15 needle biopsies from adult patients. Specimens were immediately sent for pathology assessment by routine procedures; two biopsies were required to obtain an acceptable specimen. All the biopsies were reviewed by the pathologist and the presence of steatosis was graded in grade 0 with <5% of hepatocytes presenting steatosis (control group) (n = 5), grade 2 with 33–66% of hepatocytes presenting steatosis (n = 4), and grade 3 with more than
66% steatotic hepatocytes (n = 6). Written informed consent was obtained from each patient included in the study and the study protocol conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 2013 Declaration of Helsinki as reflected in a priori approval by the institution’s human research committee.

2.5. RNA preparation and quantitative RT-PCR

The relative levels of specific mRNAs were assessed by Real-Time RT-PCR, as previously described [28]. Primer sequences used for Real-Time RT-PCR are displayed in Table S2.

2.6. Immunoblotting

Isolation of total and nuclear extracts was performed as described elsewhere [28]. Proteins (30 μg) were separated by SDS-PAGE on 10% acrylamide separation gels and transferred to Immobilon polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore). Western blot analysis was performed using antibodies against VLDLR (sc-18824), Nrf2 (sc-722), Nqo1 (sc-393736), ATF4 (sc-200) (Santa Cruz), VLDLR (AF2258) (R&D System), elf2z (9722), phospho-elf2z (Ser511) (9721), IgG control (27295) (Cell Signaling Technology Inc., Danvers, MA), actin (A5441) (Sigma–Aldrich, Madrid, Spain). Detection was achieved using the Western Lightning®ECL chemiluminescence kit (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The equal loading of proteins was assessed by Ponceau S staining. The size of detected proteins was estimated using protein molecular-mass standards (Bio-Rad).

2.7. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay

The electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) was performed using double-stranded oligonucleotide for the consensus binding site of PPRE (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Nuclear extracts (NE) were isolated as previously reported [28]. Oligonucleotides were labeled by incubating the following reaction at 37 °C for 2 h: 2 μL oligonucleotide (1.75 pmol/μL), 2 μL of 5X kinase buffer, 1 μL of T4 polynucleotide kinase (10 U/μL), and 2.5 μL [γ-32P] ATP (3,000 Ci/mmol at 10 mCi/mL). The reaction was stopped by adding 90 μL of TE buffer (10 mmol/L Tris—HCl, pH 7.4, and 1 mMol/EDTA). To separate the labeled probe from the unbound ATP, the reaction mixture was eluted in a Nick column (GE Healthcare, Barcelona, Spain) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Five micrograms of crude nuclear protein was incubated for 10 min on ice in binding buffer (10 mmol/L Tris—HCl, pH 8.0, 25 mmol/L KCl, 0.5 mmol/L dithiothreitol, 0.1 mmol/L EDTA, pH 8.0, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 5 mg/mL BSA, and 50 μg/mL poly(dI-dC)) in a final volume of 15 μL. Then, specific competitor oligonucleotide or antibody for supershift assays were added and incubated for 15 min on ice. Subsequently, the labeled probe (100,000 cpm) was added and the reaction was incubated for an additional 15 min on ice. Finally, protein–DNA complexes were resolved by electrophoresis at 4 °C on 5% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels in 0.5X Trisborate-EDTA buffer and subjected to autoradiography.

2.8. Hematoxylin-eosin and Oil Red and staining

We performed hematoxylin-eosin and Oil Red O staining as previously reported [28]. ORO staining was quantified using Image J software.

2.9. Statistical analyses

Results are expressed as means ± S.D. Significant differences were established by two-way ANOVA using the GraphPad Instat program (GraphPad Software V5.01) (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). When significant variations were found by two-way ANOVA, the Tukey–Kramer multiple comparison post-test was performed. Differences were considered significant at p < 0.05.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Pparβ/δ-/- mice show increased hepatic VLDLR levels

First, we examined whether Pparβ/δ-deficiency affected VLDLR levels. VLDLR mRNA and protein levels were increased in the livers of Pparβ/δ-/- mice compared with wild-type littermates (Figure 1A and B). This increase in VLDLR was accompanied by the presence of hepatic steatosis in Pparβ/δ-/- mice compared to wild-type littermates, as demonstrated by ORO and hematoyxin-eosin staining and hepatic triglyceride quantification (Figure 1C and D), whereas differences in plasma triglyceride levels did not reach statistical significance (data not shown). In accordance with the observations in the liver of Pparβ/δ-deficient mice, siRNA knockdown of Pparβ/δ in primary hepatocytes (Figure S1B) led to enhanced Vldlr mRNA and protein levels (Figure 1E and F). Similarly, transfection of human Huh-7 hepatocytes with siRNA against Pparβ/δ caused a significant increase in VLDLR mRNA levels (Figure 1G) and in cellular lipid accumulation (Figure 1H). Next, we focused on ATF4 as the potential transcription factor responsible for the increase in VLDLR in Pparβ/δ-deficient cells. Although ATF4 is activated by ER stress through eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2α (elf2z), the increase in phosphorylated elf2z and activation of its downstream ATF4 signaling pathway can occur independently of ER stress, since elf2z can also be phosphorylated by other kinases, including the heme-regulated elf2z kinase (HRI) [29]. Interestingly, Pparβ/δ-/- mice showed increased levels of HRI, which in turn activates the elf2z-ATF4 pathway [28], suggesting that HRI might also regulate VLDLR levels. In agreement with this, siRNA knockdown of Hri (Figure S1A) in primary hepatocytes caused a reduction in VLDLR mRNA and protein levels (Figure 2A). Moreover, two well-known HRI activators [30], BTCP and BTDCP, upregulated VLDLR mRNA and protein levels in human Huh-7 hepatocytes (Figure 2B), and BTDCP also upregulated VLDLR levels in the liver of mice treated with this compound (Figure 2C).

Next, we checked whether other transcription factors such as Nrf2, known to be involved in the upregulation of hepatic VLDLR [18], might be responsible for the increase in the expression of this gene in the livers of Pparβ/δ-null mice. Interestingly, livers of Pparβ/δ-/- mice showed increased levels of phosphorylated Nrf2, an indicator of the activity of this transcription factor [31], compared with wild-type litters (Figure 2D). In agreement with this, the mRNA and protein levels of the Nrf2-target gene NadP(H) quinine dehydrogenase 1 (Napo1) were also upregulated (Figure 2D and E). To confirm the involvement of HRI, ATF4, and Nrf2 in the upregulation of Vldlr in the context of Pparβ/δ deficiency, we performed siRNA studies in primary hepatocytes. Knockdown of Pparβ/δ increased the expression of Vldlr, but this increase was prevented by siRNA transfection against Atf4, Nrf2, or Hri (Figure 2F). Two ATF4-target genes, Trib3 [28] and Fgfl2 [32], were upregulated by Pparβ/δ knockdown, but this was prevented by transfecting siRNA against either Atf4 or Hri (Figure S1C and D). Similarly, expression of Nrf2 and two of its target genes, Napo1 and Hox-1, increased following Pparβ/δ knockdown and this was prevented by siRNA transfection against Nrf2 (Figure S1E–G). These findings indicate that Pparβ/δ deficiency increases Vldlr expression through the HRI-elf2z-ATF4 and Nrf2 pathways.

3.2. Pparβ/δ deficiency exacerbates hepatic steatosis and VLDLR upregulation caused by ER stress

Given that ER stress induces hepatic steatosis via increased expression of VLDLR through elf2z-ATF4 [8], we hypothesized that Pparβ/δ deficiency may exacerbate liver steatosis in the context of ER stress by potentiating the increase in VLDLR levels. To test this, wild-type and
Figure 1: VLDLR abundance is increased in liver of Pparβ/δ-null mice and in primary hepatocytes following knockdown of Pparβ/δ. Livers from male wild-type (WT) and Pparβ/δ-null mice were used (n = 6 per group). A, Assessment by quantitative real-time RT-PCR of hepatic Vldlr. B, Immunoblot analysis of liver VLDLR. C, Oil Red O and hematoxylin-eosin staining of livers. Scale bar: 100 μm. D, Liver triglyceride levels. Data are presented as the mean ± S.D. (n = 6 per group) relative to the wild-type mice. Vldlr mRNA abundance (E) and protein levels (F) in primary hepatocytes transfected with control siRNA or Pparβ/δ siRNA for 24 h. VLDLR mRNA levels (G) and Oil Red O staining (H) in Huh-7 hepatocytes transfected with control siRNA or Pparβ/δ siRNA for 24 h. Levels are presented as the mean ± S.D. (n = 3–5 per group). *p < 0.05 vs. wild-type mice or control siRNA. Scale bar: 100 μm.
Figure 2: HRI regulates VLDLR abundance in hepatocytes. A, primary hepatocytes were transfected with control or Hri siRNA for 24 h, and the mRNA abundance and protein levels of VLDLR were assessed. *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01 vs. control siRNA. B, Huh-7 hepatocytes were incubated for 16 h in the absence (Control, CT) or presence of 10 μmol/L of either BTdCPU or BTCtFPU and the mRNA abundance and protein levels of VLDLR were analyzed. ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01 and *p < 0.05 vs. control. C, mRNA abundance and protein levels of VLDLR in liver of mice treated with DMSO (vehicle) or BTdCPU (70 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹) for 7 days (n = 6 per group). ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01 and *p < 0.05 vs. control cells or control mice. Immunoblot analyses of total and phospho-Nrf2 and NQO1 (D) and mRNA abundance of Nqo1 (E) in liver from male wild-type (WT) and Pparβ/δ-null mice (n = 6 per group). *p < 0.05 vs. control. F, VLDLR mRNA abundance in primary hepatocytes transfected with control, Pparδ, Atf4, Nrf2 and Hri siRNA for 24 h *p < 0.05 vs. control siRNA. #p < 0.05 vs. Pparδ siRNA.
**Pparβ/δ**−/− mice were treated with the ER stressor tunicamycin for 24 h. Tunicamycin increased hepatic triglyceride accumulation in wild-type mice (approximately 5-fold increase, p < 0.01) compared with vehicle-treated animals, but this accumulation was exacerbated in tunicamycin-treated **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice (approximately 8-fold increase, p < 0.001 compared with tunicamycin-treated wild-type mice) (Figure 3A–C). In agreement with an increased lipoprotein delivery to the liver through VLDLR as the mechanism responsible for hepatic steatosis, plasma triglyceride levels were reduced in tunicamycin-treated wild-type mice (60% reduction, p < 0.01) compared with vehicle-treated wild-type mice. This reduction was exacerbated in tunicamycin-treated **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice (70% reduction, p < 0.001) compared with vehicle-treated **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice (Figure 3D), which is consistent with the higher increase in VLDLR protein levels in the livers of tunicamycin-treated **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice (Figure 3E). Likewise, the expression of two additional ATF4-target genes, Trb3 and Chop, was significantly higher in tunicamycin-treated **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice than in tunicamycin-treated wild-type mice, indicating higher ATF4 activity in the former group (Figure 3F and G). Of note, in contrast to Trb3 expression that was increased in **Pparβ/δ**−/null mice compared to wild-type mice, Chop expression was independent of the genotype and it was only increased following tunicamycin treatment. Since ER stress can also activate Nrf2 [33], we next evaluated whether this antioxidant transcription factor can contribute to the increase in VLDLR in tunicamycin-treated **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice. Tunicamycin administration led to significant changes in hepatic phospho-Nrf2 and NQO1 protein levels in wild-type mice, and the increase in phospho-Nrf2 was exacerbated in **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice (Figure 3H). Overall, these findings suggest that ATF4 and Nrf2 activation by tunicamycin in the presence of **Pparβ/δ** deficiency intensifies the increase in hepatic VLDLR.

### 3.3. FGF21 protects against hepatic steatosis and the upregulation of VLDLR levels caused by ER stress

Since FGF21 suppresses the eIF2α-ATF4 pathway through a negative feedback [34], we hypothesized that the reported increase in FGF21 observed in **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice [28] might attenuate the increase in the ATF4-target gene Vldlr. To check this, we treated **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice with an FGF21 neutralizing antibody and tunicamycin. Administration of the FGF21 neutralizing antibody to **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice increased hepatic triglyceride accumulation in both vehicle and tunicamycin-treated mice compared with mice receiving IgG (Figure 4A and B). Consistent with this, Vldlr mRNA levels increased in **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice injected FGF21 neutralizing antibody compared with mice treated with IgG, especially in tunicamycin-treated mice (Figure 4C). No changes in these parameters were observed in wild-type mice injected with the neutralizing antibody (Figure S2A and B). VLDLR regulation by FGF21 was confirmed in the livers of Fgt21-deficient mice. These mice showed increased hepatic triglyceride accumulation (Figure 4D), a process which has been reported to be age-dependent [35], and Vldlr mRNA (Figure 4E) and protein abundance accompanied by enhanced eIF2α phosphorylation and ATF4 protein levels (Figure 4F). Similarly, the expression levels of two ATF4-target genes, Trb3 and Chop, were also increased in the livers of Fgt21−/− mice compared with wild-type mice (Figure S2C and D), although Chop did not reach statistical significance. In contrast, phosphorylated levels of Nrf2 were reduced in Fgt21−/− mice (Figure 4G), rendering its involvement in VLDLR upregulation unlikely. Finally, we examined the effects of recombinant FGF21 treatment on ER-stress-induced VLDLR levels. In Huh-7 hepatocytes FGF21 significantly reduced the increase in VLDLR and CHOP expression (Figure 5A and B) and in VLDLR protein levels caused by tunicamycin, and these reductions were accompanied by a decrease in the levels of phospho-eIF2α. (Figure 5C). Similarly, administration of FGF21 reduced the increase in both the expression and the protein levels of VLDLR caused by tunicamycin in liver (Figure 5D and E). These findings suggest that FGF21 may protect against hepatic steatosis by limiting the increase in VLDLR levels via attenuation of the eIF2α-ATF4 pathway.

### 3.4. VLDLR upregulation is intensified by fructose feeding in the liver of **Pparβ/δ**−/− null mice

Fructose feeding leads to hepatic steatosis [36]. Consequently, we explored whether VLDLR was involved in the effects of fructose on liver in the context of **Pparβ/δ** deficiency. As previously shown [25], feeding wild-type mice (C57BL/6J/SV genetic background) with fructose did not result in hepatic steatosis (Figure 6A and B). However, **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice exposed to fructose exhibited a clear and intense steatosis. When hepatic VLDLR mRNA and protein levels were assessed, water-fed **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice showed a significant increase that was intensified by fructose feeding (Figure 6C and D). As previously reported [37], fructose feeding increased Fgt21 expression in liver of wild-type mice (Figure S3A), indicating that despite the lack of induction of triglyceride accumulation in the liver of wild-type mice, fructose feeding was efficacious. This increase was exacerbated in **Pparβ/δ**−/− deficient mice. In contrast, expression of the ATF4-target gene Chop was unaffected in fructose-fed **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice (Figure S3B), rendering it unlikely that this pathway was involved in the VLDLR upregulation observed in the liver of these mice. Consistent with the trend observed in VLDLR, phospho-Nrf2 and the expression of its target gene Npo1 were elevated in livers of fructose-fed **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice (Figure 6E and F). The oxidative stress status measured by the lipid peroxidized product MDA and H2O2 was significantly increased only in fructose-fed **Pparβ/δ**−/− mice (Figure 6G and H), suggesting that enhanced ROS levels was the stimulus responsible for the increase in the activity of this redox transcription factor. To clearly demonstrate the involvement of Nrf2, the expression of this gene and that of **Pparβ/δ** was knocked down by siRNA transfection in mouse primary hepatocytes. As previously shown [25], feeding **Pparβ/δ**−/null mice showed the increase in **Vldlr** and this increase was intensified by incubation with fructose (Figure 6B). However, Nrf2 knockdown abrogated the increase caused by the reduction in **Pparβ/δ** expression and incubation with fructose (Figure 6I). The increase in VLDLR expression was also observed in human hepatocytes transfected with siRNA against **Pparβ/δ** and this effect was specific for fructose, since it was not observed in hepatocytes exposed to a related carbohydrate such as mannoit (Figure S3C). Overall, these data suggest that in the context of **Pparβ/δ** deficiency, fructose induces ROS production, a well-known activator of Nrf2, which in turn increases VLDLR levels and ultimately produces hepatic steatosis by increasing lipoprotein delivery to the liver.

### 3.5. VLDLR content is increased in the liver of patients with steatosis

Our findings in *in vitro* and animal models suggest a new potential pathway that might contribute to NAFLD. In this pathway, a reduction in **Pparβ/δ** levels might result in activation of both ATF4 and Nrf2, which in turn would enhance VLDLR levels, leading to increased VLDL (tri-glyceride) delivery to the liver. The contribution of this potential pathway was explored in liver biopsies of patients classified into three grades based on the percentage of hepatocytes presenting steatosis: grade 0 (≤5%), grade 2 or moderate steatosis (33–66%) and grade 3 or severe steatosis (>66%) (Figure 7A). VLDLR mRNA expression
Figure 3: Pparβ/δ deficiency exacerbates hepatic steatosis and VLDLR upregulation caused by ER stress. Oil Red O (A) and hematoxylin-eosin (B) staining of livers from male wild-type (WT) and Pparβ/δ-null mice treated for 24 h through i.p. injection with DMSO (vehicle) or tunicamycin (Tunic) (3 mg kg⁻¹ body weight). Scale bar: 100 μm. C, Liver triglyceride levels. D, Serum triglyceride levels. E, Immunoblot analyses of VLDLR, Trb3 (F) and Chop (G) mRNA abundance. H, Immunoblot analyses of total and phospho-Nrf2 and NQO1. Data are presented as the mean ± S.D. (n = 6 per group). ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01 and *p < 0.05 vs. wild-type animals treated with DMSO (vehicle). ###p < 0.001, ##p < 0.01 and #p < 0.05 vs. wild-type animals treated with tunicamycin. ###p < 0.001, ##p < 0.01 and #p < 0.05 vs. Pparβ/δ-null mice treated with DMSO (vehicle).
Figure 4: Increased Fgf21 expression in liver of Pparb/-null mice attenuates VLDLR abundance. A. Oil Red O and hematoxylin-eosin staining of livers from male wild-type (WT) and Pparb/-null mice injected intraperitoneally with IgG (9 mg/mouse) or a neutralizing antibody (Ab) (9 mg/mouse) against FGF21 together with DMSO or tunicamycin (Tunic) (3 mg kg⁻¹ body weight). Scale bar: 100 μm. Mice were sacrificed at 14 h after treatment. B, Liver triglyceride levels. C, Vldlr mRNA abundance. ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01 and *p < 0.05 vs. Pparb/-null mice treated with IgG and DMSO. **p < 0.01 and *p < 0.05 vs. Pparb/-null mice treated with neutralizing antibody against FGF21 and DMSO. *p < 0.05 vs. Pparb/-null mice treated with IgG and tunicamycin. Liver triglyceride levels (D) and Vldlr mRNA abundance (E) in the liver from WT and Fgf21/-null mice. Data are presented as the mean ± S.D. (n = 5 per group). ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01 and *p < 0.05 vs. wild-type mice. Immunoblot analyses of VLDLR, total and phospho-eIF2α and ATF4 (F) and total and phospho-Nrf2 (G) were performed in liver lysates. Data are presented as the mean ± S.D. (n = 5 per group). ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01 and *p < 0.05 vs. wild-type mice.
Figure 7B and protein levels (Figure 7C) were increased in patients suffering hepatic steatosis. The increase in VLDLR levels was accompanied by a reduction in PPARβ/δ mRNA abundance that only reached statistical significance in livers of patients with severe hepatic steatosis (Figure 7D). The expression of the PPARβ/δ-target genes involved in fatty acid oxidation, PDK4 and CPT-1α, was reduced in the livers of patients with steatosis (Figure 7D). Consistent with the reduced levels of PPARβ/δ, the DNA-binding activity of this transcription factor assessed by EMSA showed a reduction in patients with hepatic steatosis, especially in those with severe hepatic steatosis (Figure 7E). The reduction in PPARβ/δ expression and activity in patients with severe hepatic steatosis was accompanied by an increase in HRI, TRB3, and NQO1 expression (Figure 7F), suggesting that the increase in VLDLR levels in humans with severe hepatic steatosis in the context of reduced PPARβ/δ levels might be the result of activation of the HRI-eIF2α-ATF4 and Nrf2 pathways. Expression of ATF4-target gene FGF21 was significantly increased only in the patients with severe steatosis (Figure 7G), whereas expression of its receptors, β-klotho and FGFR1c, was reduced, although the reduction of the receptor did not reach significance, suggesting that the effect of this hormone might be attenuated (Figure 7G).

5. DISCUSSION

Here we present evidence that VLDLR is regulated by PPARβ/δ and FGF21. The reported increase in VLDLR in Pparβ/δ null macrophages
Figure 6: VLDLR upregulation is intensified by fructose feeding in the liver of Pparβ/δ-null mice. Oil Red O (A) and hematoxylin-eosin (B) staining of livers from male wild-type (WT) and Pparβ/δ-deficient mice (PPARβ/δ-/-) fed with either water or water containing 30% fructose for eight weeks. Scale bar: 100 μm. C, Hepatic Vldlr mRNA abundance. Immunoblot analyses of hepatic VLDLR (D) and total and phospho-Nrf2 (E). F, Nqo1 mRNA abundance. MDA (G) and H2O2 (H) levels from liver of wild-type (WT) and Pparβ/δ-deficient mice fed with either water or water containing 30% fructose. Data are presented as the mean ± S.D. (n = 6 per group). **p < 0.01 and *p < 0.05 vs. water-fed WT mice. ##p < 0.01 and #p < 0.05 vs. fructose-fed WT mice. ¶p < 0.01 and ¶p < 0.05 vs. water-fed Pparβ/δ-/- mice. Vldlr mRNA abundance in primary hepatocytes transfected with control, Pparδ and Nrf2 siRNA for 24 h in the presence or absence of 25 mM fructose. *p < 0.05 vs. control siRNA. #p < 0.05 vs. Pparδ siRNA.
Figure 7: Liver of patients with steatosis show increased VLDLR content. A, Hematoxylin-eosin staining of liver biopsies from control subjects (Control, CT) and patients with moderate (30–66% of hepatocytes presenting steatosis) and severe (>66%) hepatic steatosis. Scale bar: 100 μm. Hepatic VLDLR mRNA (B) and protein (C) abundance. D, mRNA abundance of PPARγ, PDK4, and CPT1α. E, Autoradiograph of EMSA performed with a 32P-labeled PPRE and crude nuclear protein extract (NE) from liver biopsies. One main specific complex (I) based on competition with a molar excess of unlaabeled probe is shown. The supershift assay performed by incubating NE with an antibody (Ab) directed against PPARγ shows a reduction in the band. F, mRNA abundance of HRI, TRB3, and NQO1. G, mRNA abundance of FGF21, β-KLOTHO, and FGFR1c. Data are presented as the mean ± S.D. (n = 4 per group) relative to the control (CT) group. ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01 and *p < 0.05 vs. CT group.
Figure 8: Proposed mechanisms by which PPARγ regulates VLDLR levels and hepatic steatosis. Pparγ/δ deficiency may result in an increase in VLDLR levels and hepatic steatosis through several mechanisms. The activation of HRI caused by Pparγ/δ deficiency (reference [28]) and by activators of this kinase (BTdCPU) may increase the levels of VLDLR through the eIF2α-ATF4 pathway. ER stress can also activate the eIF2α-ATF4 pathway leading to an increase in the expression of VLDLR and FGF21. This hormone suppresses the eIF2α-ATF4 pathway through a negative feedback mechanism and thereby also regulates the levels of VLDLR. ER stress also enhances the activity of Nrf2, a transcription factor reported to upregulate the expression of Fgf21 (reference [19]). Fructose feeding increases the levels of ROS and the activity of Nrf2 providing a mechanism for the increase of the levels of VLDLR. All these mechanisms may result in an increase in the levels of VLDLR causing hepatic steatosis. TG: triglyceride.
the relationship between PPARβ/δ and VLDLR observed in mice might also operate in humans with hepatic steatosis.

Targeting PPAR isoforms for the treatment of fatty liver disease has been extensively studied, mainly in the case of PPARα [42,43]. However, although the beneficial effect of activating PPARα for NAFLD has been proven in several mouse models [42,43], fibrates, which are PPARα agonists, do not correct NAFLD in humans [44]. In contrast, long-term activation of PPARβ/δ can improve hepatic steatosis by activating fatty acid oxidation in different mouse models [45,46], whereas clinical studies have also demonstrated a reduction of hepatic fat content in humans upon treatment with PPARβ/δ agonists [47,48]. Our findings show that in patients with hepatic steatosis the reduction in PPARβ/δ activity is accompanied by an increase in VLDLR levels. It remains to be studied whether in the clinical setting PPARβ/δ agonists improve hepatic steatosis by regulating VLDLR abundance. Moreover, since we have reported that PPARβ/δ activation in mice increases PPARβ/δ expression and activity and enhances the hepatic levels of the endogenous PPARβ/δ ligand 16:0/18:1-phosphatidylcholine [49], we cannot discard that the reduction of PPARβ/δ activity may also affect the activity of PPARα. Consistent with this, drugs combining PPARβ/δ and PPARα/γ isotype agonism are a promising treatment for NAFLD. In fact, the dual PPARα/β/δ agonist elafibraron (GFT505) shows anti-steatohepatitic effects in rodent models [50], and, in abnormally obese subjects, it improves hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity and probably NAFLD, although liver fat content was not measured [51]. On the other hand, the increase in hepatic FGF21 expression in patients suffering hepatic steatosis is in agreement with previous studies [52], and it has been considered to be the result of a resistance to this hormone [53], implying that the lack of FGF21 activity may also contribute to increase VLDLR levels in hepatic steatosis. It is important to point out that the low number of patients analyzed is a limitation of this part of the study and precludes that VLDLR plays a role in NAFLD although it might correlate with liver fat accumulation.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The findings of this study suggest that Pparβ/δ-deficiency results in an increase in VLDLR levels, whereas FGF21 prevents the increase in hepatic VLDLR levels. In patients with hepatic steatosis, a reduction in the levels and/or activity of PPARβ/δ was accompanied by an increase in VLDLR abundance, suggesting that this crosstalk might be involved in fatty liver development. Overall, these data suggest that modulation of PPARβ/δ and/or FGF21 activity might be a key therapeutic target for the treatment of hepatic steatosis by regulating VLDLR abundance.
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