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Influence of surface atomic structure demonstrated on oxygen incorporation mechanism at a model perovskite oxide
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Perovskite oxide surfaces catalyze oxygen exchange reactions that are crucial for fuel cells, electrolyzers, and thermochemical fuel synthesis. Here, by bridging the gap between surface analysis with atomic resolution and oxygen exchange kinetics measurements, we demonstrate how the exact surface atomic structure can determine the reactivity for oxygen exchange reactions on a model perovskite oxide. Two precisely controlled surface reconstructions with (4 × 1) and (2 × 5) symmetry on 0.5 wt.% Nb-doped SrTiO3(110) were subjected to isotopically labeled oxygen exchange at 450 °C. The oxygen incorporation rate is three times higher on the (4 × 1) surface phase compared to the (2 × 5). Common models of surface reactivity based on the availability of oxygen vacancies or on the ease of electron transfer cannot account for this difference. We propose a structure-driven oxygen exchange mechanism, relying on the flexibility of the surface coordination polyhedra that transform upon dissociation of oxygen molecules.
oxygen reduction and oxygen evolution often limit the efficiency of energy conversion technologies including fuel cells, electrolyzers, and photo-/electrochemical water splitting. Perovskite oxides (of unit formula ABO₃) are widely used and studied materials for enabling these reactions at elevated temperatures. They are used in solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC) for electricity production in the synthesis of fuels by electrolysis of water or steam and in the thermochemical splitting of water and CO₂.

The reactivity on these perovskite oxides is often interpreted in terms of the availability of surface oxygen vacancies (Vₐ) or electrons and the position of the oxygen 2p band center. Undoubtedly, the atomic-scale details of surface structures ought to also be critical in determining the speed of the oxygen reduction and evolution reactions (ORR and OER), which is measured either electrochemically or by incorporation of isotopically labeled ∼O₃. Intriguingly, none of these canonical reactivity models consider the role of the precise surface atomic structure. The important question is how the atomic configuration at the surface affects the ORR/OER mechanisms at the molecular scale, either via these reactivity-determining factors or directly via the structure itself. For example, it was shown that LaₐₓSrₓMnO₃, LaₓNiO₃, SrRuO₃, and SrTiO₃ possess different oxygen exchange and water splitting kinetics depending on the surface crystallographic orientation, but the actual atomic structure of the surfaces was not resolved. Reliable computational modeling of surface reactivity at the first-principles level necessarily requires the geometric positions of the surface atoms as an input, which, in turn, need to be confirmed by experiments.

Knowing the geometric arrangement of the surface atoms under reaction conditions has been highly difficult, however. There are scarcely any methods that can determine the surface structure and measure the reactivity to oxygen exchange without perturbing the surface structure under reaction conditions of elevated temperatures and realistic reactant pressures. In addition, many of the Sr-doped perovskite oxides that are used in electrocatalytic or thermochemical reactions (e.g., LaₐₓSrₓMnO₃, LaₓNiO₃, SrRuO₃, and SrTiO₃) possess different oxygen exchange and water splitting kinetics depending on the surface crystallographic orientation, but the actual atomic structure of the surfaces was not resolved. Reliable computational modeling of surface reactivity at the first-principles level necessarily requires the geometric positions of the surface atoms as an input, which, in turn, need to be confirmed by experiments.

In the present study, we marry physical surface science studies with kinetic oxygen exchange measurements on precisely controlled atomic structures and demonstrate how these affect oxygen exchange mechanisms and kinetics. We take SrTiO₃ as a prototypical model perovskite oxide, primarily due to our ability to prepare SrTiO₃(110) with two distinctly different and controllable surface phases with solved structures. Another advantage of this system is that the fact that Sr segregation is suppressed if single-crystal SrTiO₃ surfaces are stabilized by a reconstruction. We use 0.5 wt. % Nb-doped samples that are sufficiently conductive for evaluating the atomic structure with STM. The relevant bulk Vₐ concentration expected under the experimental conditions of this work is extremely low, as discussed later. The bulk oxygen transport is thus strongly suppressed, but the surface oxygen exchange reaction can still be probed in isotope exchange experiments. By quantifying the ∼O₂ exchange for these two reconstructions, while keeping all other experimental parameters exactly constant, we find that their reactivity differs by a factor of three. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations on these precisely resolved surface structures reveal that this difference is neither related to oxygen vacancies nor to variations in work function or surface potential that would affect the availability of electrons on this material. Instead, the structural details determine the interaction with the molecular oxygen. Our results reveal the polyhedral flexibility up to the ideal coordination limit as an important and previously unexplored factor that can govern the reactivity to oxygen exchange reactions on perovskite oxides surfaces.

**Results**

**Characterization of SrTiO₃(110) surface reconstructions.** Figure 1 shows the (4 × 1)- and (2 × 5)-reconstructed surfaces of SrTiO₃(110), prepared as described in the “Methods” section. In both cases, a layer of TiO₂ polyhedra (x = 4, 5, 6 as defined below) sits on a (SrTiO₃)₄ plane, which is only marginally distorted from its bulk structure. These top titania overlayers have distinctly different structural properties, however. The (4 × 1) surface (Fig. 1a) consists of a porous network of corner-sharing tetrahedrally coordinated TiO₄ units, arranged into six- and ten-membered rings (highlighted by tetrahedra in Fig. 1a). The SrTiO₃(110)-(2 × 5)-reconstructed surface (Fig. 1b) consists, instead, of a bilayer of octahedrally coordinated Ti atoms. The subsurface layer consists of edge- and corner-sharing octahedra. The topmost surface layer hosts 16 edge-sharing TiO₆ octahedra,
and two TiO₂ units, in which the apical oxygen atom is missing. Finally, fivefold-coordinated Sr atoms alternate with the TiO₂ units, with a twofold periodicity along [001]. Similar to other surfaces of SrTiO₃, these reconstructions on n-type SrTiO₃(110) form due to thermodynamic conditions, and in this case mainly due to the minimization of surface energy as a function of chemical potential of Ti and Sr, and of strain energy. The same reconstructions exist on undoped or p-type-doped SrTiO₃(110) surfaces.

Representative scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) images and low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) patterns from these two surfaces are shown in Fig. 2. The as-prepared (a, b) and O₂-annealed (c, d) samples appear similar; the slightly different contrast observed in the high-resolution STM images of b and d are related to variations of the tip termination.

After annealing these samples in oxygen atmosphere prior to the ¹⁸O exchange experiment (450 °C, 0.1 mbar O₂, for 5 h), the atomic-scale structure appears essentially unchanged for both surfaces, seen from comparing Fig. 2a, b with Fig. 2c, d. The (4 × 1)-reconstructed surface (Fig. 2c) retains the atomically flat, large-scale morphology of the pristine sample. On the SrTiO₃(110)-(2 × 5) surface (Fig. 2d), the morphology is also largely preserved, along with a slight increase of the fraction covered by rectangular islands. It is known that even small changes in the surface Ti/Sr ratio would switch the surface to another reconstruction, thus we can exclude any cation segregation. These surface structures are stable upon annealing under the same conditions for a total of 20 h, see Supplementary Fig. 2.

We also prepared a “surface bi-crystal,” i.e., a sample with zones of (4 × 1) and (2 × 5) surface structures. The bulk of the

**Fig. 2** Surface structures of SrTiO₃(110), and their stability upon treatment in oxygen atmosphere. Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) images (main panels: a, c 410 × 500 nm²; b, d 190 × 230 nm²; scale bars represent a length of 50 nm; top-right insets: 15 × 15 nm²), and LEED patterns of the SrTiO₃(110)-(4 × 1) (a, c) and -(2 × 5) (b, d) surfaces. The as-prepared (a, b) and O₂-annealed (c, d) samples appear similar; the slightly different contrast observed in the high-resolution STM images of b and d are related to variations of the tip termination.
material has thus exactly the same sample history and composition, only the surfaces are different. Sample work functions were determined by measuring the cutoff of secondary electrons (excited by X-rays); the values are 4.470(3) eV and 4.051(10) eV for the (4 × 1) and (2 × 5) reconstructions, respectively (data shown in Supplementary Fig. 5). By comparing the core-level energies in X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) of the two zones on the surface bi-crystal (see Supplementary Fig. 6), no difference in band bending was found, indicating that any surface potential difference is negligible within the measurement uncertainty (±0.03 eV).

**18O exchange kinetics from ion spectroscopies.** 18O exchange was conducted on monophase samples and on the surface bi-crystal sample. The resulting tracer incorporation was evaluated with secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) and low-energy He+ ion scattering (LEIS), see Fig. 3. The LEIS spectra were acquired on the monophase samples in ultra-high vacuum (UHV) right after the 18O treatment (Fig. 3a). Figure 3b shows representative SIMS depth profiles for the 18O isotope on the different zones of the surface bi-crystal; similar results were achieved for the monophase samples (Supplementary Fig. 1). In each case, the (4 × 1)-reconstructed surface incorporates significantly more 18O during the exchange process than the (2 × 5)-reconstructed surface.

Quantification of tracer incorporation at the surface is commonly assessed through the determination of the exchange coefficient $k^*$. This is usually accomplished by fitting the measured tracer fraction profile to analytical or numerical solutions of Fick’s law of diffusion. However, this approach is not applicable in our case, since the profiles in Fig. 3b are extremely shallow due to virtual absence of oxygen vacancies in the bulk of donor-doped SrTiO3 (Supplementary Note 6), and are dominated by SIMS-related broadening effects (see Supplementary Note 3 and Supplementary Fig. 4). The total amount of incorporated 18O, however, can still be correctly determined by integration of the 18O profiles (see Fig. 3b). From this total amount of exchanged 18O, an oxygen exchange rate coefficient $k^*$ can be obtained (see Methods and Supplementary Eqs. (1–5)). With exchange times of 1 h and 4 h, we find $k^*$ to be $4.5–6.0 \times 10^{-15}$ m s$^{-1}$ for the (2 × 5)-reconstructed surface, and approximately three times as much ($1.4–1.8 \times 10^{-14}$ m s$^{-1}$) for the (4 × 1) (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 3). Moreover, the ratio of effective surface exchange constants $k^*_4/k^*_{2,5}$ amounts to $3.1 \pm 0.3$ and $3.1 \pm 0.6$ for the 1 h and 4 h annealing periods, respectively, implying that the incorporation via the surface remains constant over time, at least over several hours. We can thereby exclude that either saturation effects, or different transport in the near-surface regions are responsible for the observed differences between the two reconstructions. Consequently, only the different activity of the respective surface structures is responsible for the threefold larger 18O incorporation on the (4 × 1).

It has to be noted that, with respect to LEIS (Fig. 3b), SIMS (Fig. 3a) measures a larger difference in incorporated oxygen between (4 × 1) and (2 × 5). The reason lies in the different probing depths of the two techniques: While SIMS probes the total amount of tracer ions incorporated in the samples, LEIS is strictly surface sensitive, and therefore probes the 18O density in the topmost surface layer only. This surface 18O density is obtained by scaling the LEIS-measured tracer concentrations with the corresponding density of oxygen atoms in the topmost layer [$8.81 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $1.04 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$ for (2 × 5) and (4 × 1), respectively], and amounts to $8.4 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ for (2 × 5) and (4 × 1) [1.33 ± 0.04] × 10$^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ for (4 × 1). The 18O density on the (2 × 5) surface nicely agrees with the total SIMS signal [(8.0 ± 0.6) × 10$^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$], whereas on (4 × 1) it amounts to half of the total SIMS counts [(2.5 ± 0.2) × 10$^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$]. This strongly suggests that oxygen exchange is confined to the topmost surface layer on the (2 × 5), while on the (4 × 1) a few atomic layers are involved. This argument is further strengthened by DFT calculations (see below), which show that oxygen vacancies are more prevalent at the very surface on the (2 × 5), while subsurface sites are favored on the (4 × 1). Moreover, the slight broadening
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**Fig. 4** O₂ adsorption structures and O₂ dynamics calculated by FPMD-DFT. Lowest-energy structural models and time evolution (first 1.0 ps; for later time the results remain unchanged) of selected ionic distances and charge populations during the adsorption of one O₂ on a the (n × 1) and c the (2 × n) surfaces without a vacancy, and on b the (n × 1) and d the (2 × n) surfaces with one VO (the O atom filling the VO is highlighted with a dashed yellow circle). Adsorption energies are indicated, and take into account also the VO formation energy. Green, red, and blue spheres indicate Sr, O, and Ti atoms, respectively. The intact, adsorbed O₂ molecule and the O atoms resulting from its dissociation are depicted in purple. Five- (a, b) and sevenfold (d) coordination polyhedra of Ti are outlined in white. O1 and O2 refer to the oxygen atoms belonging to the O₂ molecule, while Ti–O1 (Ti–O2) is the distance between O1 (O2) and the closest Ti atom in the structure.
O₂ reactivity from DFT calculations. The availability of well-supported atomic surface models (Fig. 1) and the fact that these structures are not affected by annealing in \(^{18}\)O₂ (Fig. 2) allow us to directly relate the experimental results to first-principles calculations. We first evaluated the formation energies for O vacancies at various positions within the reconstruction layer, both on the top of the surface and closer to the interface with the underlying SrTiO₃ bulk lattice (Supplementary Fig. 7 and Supplementary Table 1). The formation of the lowest-energy Vₖ on the (2 × 5) surface layer is more favorable by 2.2 eV than on the (4 × 1) surface. Thus, ease of surface Vₖ formation is clearly not a decisive factor in the \(^{18}\)O exchange.

Next, we modeled with first-principles molecular dynamics (FPMD) the interaction of O₂ considering a vacancy-free surface and a defective one with a single Vₖ for each of the two reconstructions. The adsorption structures with the lowest energy at the end of the FPMD runs are displayed in Fig. 4, along with the corresponding relative adsorption energies \(E_{\text{ads}}\). These structures are thermodynamically stable, as proven by the phase diagram shown in Supplementary Figs. 11 and 12. Additional (less stable) configurations are shown in Supplementary Fig. 8 and Supplementary Table 2. The kinetics of the entire process of oxygen incorporation depends on the adsorption energies as well as the unit reaction energy barriers. The rate of incorporation of oxygen from surface to subsurface is affected by the concentration of adsorbed oxygen at the surface. That term, the source of oxygen incorporation, is determined by adsorption energies. For the vacancy-free surfaces, O₂ dissociative adsorption/incorporation is considerably more favorable in the (4 × 1) structure. Even without a Vₖ, O₂ easily dissociates and incorporates into the (4 × 1) surface at both the six-member and the ten-member rings of TiO₄ tetrahedra, with an energy gain of \(-3.17\) eV and \(-2.94\) eV, respectively. On the vacancy-free (2 × 5) surface, in contrast, O₂ does not dissociate. It stays anchored on top of the Sr rows (see Fig. 4c). O₂ adsorption and dissociation is also energetically highly unfavorable on the flat TiO₆ area, resulting in a positive adsorption energy (see Supplementary Fig. 8). If Vₖs are present in the (2 × 5) structure, they play the role of an active center for O₂ dissociation (see Fig. 4d): one O fills the Vₖ site, whereas the second one hops into a nearby bridge position between two surface Ti atoms. We note here that it is important to consider and compare these adsorption energies in the kinetics of oxygen incorporation.

These results can be rationalized by inspecting the time evolution of the relevant ionic distances and the O₂ valence charge during the dissociation of the O₂ molecule in the (4 × 1) and (2 × 5) surfaces without and with vacancy (Supplementary Fig. 9, deliver low transition barriers: 0.3 eV for the clean and defective (2 × 5), and 0.1 eV for the defective (2 × 5) reconstruction.

We attribute the different reactivity of the (4 × 1) and (2 × 5) surfaces to the different degree of structural flexibility of the TiO₄ and TiO₆ surface polyhedra, manifested by the dynamical structural reorganization (rotations and distortions associated with phonon softening, see Supplementary Note 10 and ref. 37) of the TiO₄ and TiO₆ units during the adsorption process. The time evolution of the average O–Ti–O angle of surface polyhedra in the (4 × 1) and (2 × 5) surfaces, displayed in Fig. 5, shows that the under-coordinated TiO₄ polyhedra undergo much larger oscillations compared to the TiO₆ units. The ease of performing such structural distortions ultimately provides the (4 × 1) surface with the structural flexibility to host external adsorbates such as O₂, and off-stoichiometry facilitates charge transfer from the under-coordinated Ti atoms to the adsorbed oxygen. In contrast, stoichiometric TiO₆ octahedra are structurally rigid and chemically saturated, and active adsorption and migration is reduced or suppressed, unless oxygen vacancies that reduce the TiO₆ coordination are formed. In other words, such dynamic reorganization performs similarly to a mobile oxygen vacancy at the surface, and represents an alternative way to promote the oxygen incorporation reaction.

Discussion

Our experimental and computational results are at odds with the commonly accepted models for oxygen exchange mentioned above, i.e., availability and mobility of Vₖs and the electronic effects that facilitate electron transfer. Our experimental and theoretical methods allow us to independently assess these factors: their values suggest a higher reactivity of the (2 × 5) structure, the opposite of what is observed in the \(^{18}\)O experiments.
From our DFT calculations (Supplementary Fig. 7 and Supplementary Table 1), the (2 × 5) surface should accommodate a larger concentration of oxygen vacancies at the surface compared to (4 × 1). The preferential vacancy formation sites on the (4 × 1) system are, in fact, sub-surface. Therefore, the vacancy mechanism cannot explain the higher reactivity of the (4 × 1) compared to the (2 × 5) surface. When it comes to the ease of electron transfer, the surface work function is a good measure to correlate to reactivity\textsuperscript{11-14}. The work function measured by XPS and calculated by DFT is lower by 0.42 eV and 0.7 eV, respectively, for the (2 × 5) compared to the (4 × 1) surface. The core-level binding energies measured on the (4 × 1) and the (2 × 5) surfaces are the same (Supplementary Fig. 6). Therefore, the surface potential or band bending (if it exists) is the same on these two surfaces, and the vacuum level on the (2 × 5) surface should be lower. In addition, the (4 × 1) surface has a larger band gap than the (2 × 5)\textsuperscript{38}. Therefore, electron transfer to O\textsubscript{2} molecules should be easier at the (2 × 5) surface. Again, this cannot explain why (4 × 1) is more reactive. In addition, from our STM images it is clear that the step density, which can signify a reduced reactivity\textsuperscript{39}, is comparable on both surfaces, or slightly higher at the (2 × 5) surface after oxygen exchange treatment (Fig. 2). Thus, step density cannot be the reason for the higher reactivity of the (4 × 1) either. Consequently, none of these traditionally considered models related to vacancies, electronic structure, or step edges for controlling the reactivity of oxide surfaces are able to explain the difference found between the reactivities of the (4 × 1)- and (2 × 5)-reconstructed surfaces on SrTiO\textsubscript{3}(110).

Our computational results reveal that oxygen incorporation on SrTiO\textsubscript{3}(110) is affected directly via the atomic structure itself. The lower coordination of Ti by O atoms on the (4 × 1) accommodates the adsorbed/dissociated oxygen by increasing the coordination of Ti, either at the top surface or by incorporating oxygen atoms to the first subsurface layer. In other words, the enhanced reactivity of the (4 × 1) toward O\textsubscript{2} dissociative adsorption and incorporation is a result of the increased degree of structural and chemical flexibility of the under-coordinated TiO\textsubscript{4} units as compared to the almost fully coordinated and rigid TiO\textsubscript{5} and TiO\textsubscript{6} units in the (2 × 5). The rigid TiO\textsubscript{6} polyhedra in the (2 × 5) surface cannot accommodate more oxygen unless surface V\textsubscript{Os} assist the reaction.

Thus, we suggest that two parallel oxygen exchange mechanisms are present on our SrTiO\textsubscript{3} surfaces: a structurally mediated mechanism, dominating the exchange rate on the (4 × 1) surface, and a vacancy-mediated one, enabling exchange also on the (2 × 5) surface.

Existence of a vacancy-mediated oxygen exchange is often assumed in the literature, and the oxygen exchange rate is frequently compared with the (bulk) V\textsubscript{O} concentration. A direct and proportional dependence of the k\textsubscript{s} on the oxygen vacancy concentration in the bulk was shown for some perovskite oxides\textsuperscript{5}. k\textsubscript{s} divided by the fraction of oxygen sites occupied by V\textsubscript{Os}, denoted here as k\textsubscript{s}/V\textsubscript{O}, amounts to 10\textsuperscript{-6} m\textsuperscript{-1}s\textsuperscript{-1} and 10\textsuperscript{-5} m\textsuperscript{-1}s\textsuperscript{-1} for LSCF and BSCF, respectively, at 800 °C in air, and to 10\textsuperscript{-9}-10\textsuperscript{-8} m\textsuperscript{-1}s\textsuperscript{-1} for LSC at 450 °C and 200 mbar O\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{40} On (2 × 5)-reconstructed surfaces of our 0.5 wt.% Nb-doped SrTiO\textsubscript{3}(110), k\textsubscript{s}/V\textsubscript{O} is at least on the order of 10\textsuperscript{-4} m\textsuperscript{-1}s\textsuperscript{-1} at 450 °C and 0.1 mbar oxygen (see Supplementary Note 6 for estimates of the vacancy concentration in our samples). Intriguingly, this value is comparable to that on LSCF and BSCF, and several orders of magnitude higher than the values reported for LSC. A possible factor contributing to this large discrepancy is the completely clean and stable atomic structures that are retained without any segregation of cations, impurities, or secondary phases on our samples. The often-found surface segregation and phase precipitation of SrO\textsubscript{x} or impurities on the alloyed valent systems hinder their surface reactivity by blocking the electron and oxygen transfer reactions\textsuperscript{30,41,42}. Indeed, a substantially enhanced k\textsubscript{s} was found for a clean LSC surface upon removal of the SrO\textsubscript{x} termination layer.\textsuperscript{43} Presumably, for vacancy-rich perovskite oxides the full potential of oxygen activity has not been exploited yet. These findings further demonstrate that establishing physics-based relations of the surface atomic structure to the reaction kinetics is needed for a mechanistic understanding, rather than relying only on bulk non-stoichiometry of oxygen as a descriptor.

In summary, we have realized a comprehensive and gap-bridging approach between model surfaces with well-defined atomic structure and macroscopic kinetic measurements of reaction rates, and revealed a dependence of reactivity on the precise surface atomic structure on a perovskite oxide. The oxygen exchange kinetics is three times faster on the (4 × 1)-reconstructed surface of SrTiO\textsubscript{3}(110) than on the (2 × 5) one. Neither availability of surface vacancies nor the work function or band bending can explain this enhanced reactivity of the (4 × 1) surface. We find that the surface atomic structure itself has the dominant role by assisting the oxygen adsorption and dissociation on the (4 × 1) surface, owing to a high degree of dynamical flexibility of the under-coordinated surface Ti atoms. This directly demonstrates and explains the influence of reconstructions on the reactivity of a perovskite oxide. Reconstructions are prevalent at the surfaces of any complex oxide\textsuperscript{34}, and oxides with other transition metal cations such as Fe, Mn, and Co can as well form different polyhedral coordinations.\textsuperscript{45,46} Accordingly, the higher flexibility of unsaturated metal–oxygen polyhedra may play a role in affecting the ease of oxygen incorporation on a broader range of perovskite oxide surfaces. Most importantly, the gap-bridging approach that we demonstrated here should motivate more research in resolving the surface atomic structure and relating that to reactivity on a wider range of perovskite oxides.

**Methods**

**Sample preparation.** The surfaces of one-side polished, Nb-doped (0.5 wt.%) SrTiO\textsubscript{3}(110) single crystals (5 × 5 mm\textsuperscript{2}, Crysect GmbH) were prepared in an ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) system. The latter is composed of three in situ interconnected vessels: The preparation chamber is used for Ar-ion sputtering, electron-beam annealing, and contains molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) facilities. The analysis chamber houses a low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) setup, a hemispherical analyzer for X-ray photoelectron (XPS) and low-energy ion scattering (LEIS; 1 keV He\textsuperscript{+}; scattering angle 132°) spectroscopies, and a variable-temperature scanning tunneling microscope (STM). The oxygen exchange experiments were performed in the third vessel (pulsed laser deposition chamber), allowing for infrared-laser annealing of samples at elevated oxygen pressures (up to 200 mbar).

The SrTiO\textsubscript{3}(110) crystals were cleaned in the preparation chamber by repeated cycles of sputtering (1 keV, 5 μA, 10 min) and annealing in O\textsubscript{2} gas (1000 °C, 3 × 10\textsuperscript{-6} mbar, 1 h), to remove contamination. The surface of each sample was then prepared to exhibit predominantly a (4 × 1) or (2 × 5) structure. The (4 × 1) structure was obtained by deposition of sub-monolayer amounts of Sr or Ti at room temperature, and subsequent annealing in an O\textsubscript{2} background (1000 °C, 3 × 10\textsuperscript{-6} mbar, 30 min).\textsuperscript{35} Particular care was taken in obtaining a uniform structure over the whole sample surface, by deposition of small amounts of metals while partially shadowing the sample with a dedicated shutter. The (2 × 5) reconstructed surfaces were obtained by reactivity depositing (5 × 10\textsuperscript{-6} mbar O\textsubscript{2}) 0.8 Å Ti at 600 °C on SrTiO\textsubscript{3}(110)-(4 × 1). Two sets of samples were prepared: either single-phase [uniformly (4 × 1) or (2 × 5)-reconstructed on a given crystal], to allow for STM characterization, or with both the (4 × 1) and (2 × 5) co-existing on the same crystal surface, each occupying ~5 × 2.5 mm\textsuperscript{2}. In the latter case, half of the sample surface was shadowed during reactive deposition of Ti to induce the (2 × 5) surface after the (4 × 1) surface was formed. We refer to the latter as a "surface bi-crystal." The results obtained with the two sets of samples were checked for consistency by comparing the LEED patterns on several spots on the sample at each preparation step, and after the subsequent treatments.

**18O isotope exchange.** To ensure the stabilization of a steady-state oxygen vacancy (V\textsubscript{O}) concentration, the as-prepared samples were first annealed in situ in flowing O\textsubscript{2} gas of natural isotope composition (referred to as 16O\textsubscript{2}) for 4 h or 16 h, at 450 °C and 0.1 mbar, with 60 °C min\textsuperscript{-1} heating and cooling ramps. The reason for performing the exchange at a relatively low temperature and oxygen pressure is...
to minimize the risk of atomic surface structure changes. Furthermore, because of the very low vacancy concentration (as explained above), the O$_2$ exchange is expected to be limited to the near-surface region without bulk transport. Prior to the equilibration step, the vessel was continuously flushed with 16O$_2$ (20 sccm) for 1 h; this ensures reproducible conditions in the vacuum chamber. The pumping speed was regulated to stabilize a pressure of 1 mbar. Finally, each sample was annealed in isotopically labeled oxygen (97.1% 18O$_2$, referred to as 18O$_2$ for brevity) for 1 h at 450 °C with a 0.1 mbar (static) heating and cooling ramps of 60 °C·min$^{-1}$ and 120 °C·min$^{-1}$, respectively. Similar to the 16O$_2$ equilibration step, the chamber was pre-conditioned in 18O$_2$ at 0.5 mbar static pressure for 30 min prior to each experiment. After the 18O$_2$ treatments, samples were analyzed with LEED, XP/S (both monophase and surface bi-crystal samples), STM, and LEIS (monophase samples only) in situ, i.e., in the UHV apparatus, and then transported to the time-of-flight–secondary-ion mass spectrometry (ToF-SIMS) setup.

**Depth profiling and quantification of 18O** Oxygen-isotope depth profiles were analyzed via ToF-SIMS on a TOF-SIMS 5 instrument (ION-TOF, Germany). 25 keV Bi$^{3+}$ clusters (0.02 pA) were used as primary ions in collimated burst alignment mode optimized for oxygen isotope measurements.$^{6,48}$ Negative secondary ions were detected from areas of 100 × 100 μm$^2$ using a raster of 512 × 512 measured points, and the secondary ion counts of 18O$^-$ and 16O$^-$ were used to determine the isotopic composition at = 18O(18O + 16O). For depth profiling, areas of 400 × 400 μm$^2$ were sputtered using C$_6$H$_{12}$ ions, and the depth information was calculated from the sputter coefficients and sputter currents, referenced by measuring the depth of the sputter craters via digital holography microscopy. An electron flood gun (21 eV) was used for charge compensation.

For calculating the average oxygen exchange coefficient $k^*$ from the measured fraction of incorporated 18O ($f_{18O}$), the 18O fraction in the stainless steel UHV vessel ($f_{18O}$) was assumed to be 0.00205, corresponding to the original 18O concentration of the tracer-enriched oxygen. The validity of this assumption was tested by exchanging (at 700 °C) 18O into a fast-mixed ionic electronic conductor, a 50 nm-thick La$_{0.7}$Sr$_{0.3}$CoO$_3$ film grown on SrTiO$_3$(001)$^{40}$, under nominally identical gas composition as the exchange experiment performed on the SrTiO$_3$(110) crystals in the same UHV setup. Such $f_{18O}$ is much larger than all tracer fractions detected in the Nb-doped SrTiO$_3$ single crystals, we can approximately assume a constant tracer exchange flux density $j$ during the exchange time $t$. Within this assumption, the effective oxygen exchange coefficient can be expressed as (see Supplementary Note 2 for the full derivation)

$$k^* = \frac{1}{\langle f_{18O} \rangle_t} = \frac{\sum_i f_{18O}^{\text{meas}}(t_i) - f_{18O}^{\text{bg}}(t)}{\langle f_{18O} \rangle_t - f_{18O}^{\text{bg}}}.$$  

In Eq. (1), $f_{18O}$ denotes the background tracer fraction (approximated by the natural abundance $f_{18O} = 0.00205$), $c_{18O}$ is the concentration of oxygen sites, and $d$ is the sputter depth per measurement point.

**First-principles calculations.** All calculations were carried out using the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP)$^{49,50}$ in the framework of density functional theory (DFT) within the generalized gradient correction approximation of Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof.$^{51}$ The surface reconstructions were modeled with symmetric slabs composed by five layers plus the reconstructed surfaces, separated by ~12 Å of vacuum. We used the same (4 × 4) two-dimensional base unit cell for both types of SrTiO$_3$(110) reconstructions, effectively modeling (4 × 2) and (2 × 4) reconstructions. (4 × 2) and (4 × 1) belong to the same (n × 1) structure family, and (2 × 4) and (2 × 5) belong to the same (2 × n) surface structure family. For consistency with the experimental description, we refer to the slabs representing the (n × 1) overlayer as (4 × 1), and the (2 × n) overlayer as (2 × 5) in the text. The surface geometry was optimized by keeping the central three layers fixed to the corresponding bulk positions and relaxing the remaining atomic positions until the forces on each atom were less than 0.02 eV Å$^{-1}$. For the Brillouin zone–integration, we have adopted a 2 × 2 × 1 k-point grid, corresponding to an 8 × 8 × 1 mesh for the 1 × 1 cell, and used a standard energy cutoff of about 300 eV for the plane-wave expansion. The formation energy of one oxygen vacancy formed on both sides of the symmetric slab was computed using the standard relation

$$E_f(V_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ E_{\text{tot}}(2V_0) + E_{\text{mol}}^{\text{end}} - E_{\text{tot}}(V_0) \right],$$  

where $E_{\text{tot}}$ is the DFT energy of an isolated oxygen molecule, whereas $E_{\text{mol}}^{\text{end}}$ and $E_{\text{tot}}(V_0)$ represent the DFT total energies of the slabs with and without oxygen vacancies, respectively.

The interactions between the surface and oxygen atoms was modeled by studying the adsorption, dissociation, and dynamics of one O$_2$ molecule by means of first-principles molecular dynamics (FPMD) and the climbing image nudged elastic band (CI-NEB)$^{32}$. To reduce the computational costs, the FPMD and CI-NEB calculations were performed using asymmetric slabs with a reduced energy cutoff (250 eV) and a smaller k-mesh (1 × 1). The FPMD calculations were performed at the simulation temperature of 700 K for 5–10 ps using a canonical ensemble and the Nose thermodynamic algorithm.$^{34}$ For the estimation of energy barriers within the CI-NEB method, five images were constructed, and the convergence criteria for the forces was reduced to 0.05 eV Å$^{-1}$.

Relative adsorption energies $E_{\text{ads}}$ per O$_2$ molecule, as given in the text, are defined as $E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{ads}} - E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{bulk}} + E_{\text{mol}}^{\text{end}}$ where $E_{\text{ads}}$ and $E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{bulk}}$ are the T = 0 DFT total energies of the full slab containing the O$_2$ molecule and the clean surface, respectively. $E_{\text{ads}}$ refers to the oxygen vacancy formation energy at site S, chosen as the energetically least costly V$_{O3}$ and V$_{O4}$ for the (4 × 1) and (2 × 5)-like surfaces, respectively (see Supplementary Note 7), and $x$ indicates the number of oxygen vacancies in the slab.

**Work functions** were computed as the difference between the Fermi energy (valence band maximum) and the vacuum level, extracted from the local potential profile in the direction perpendicular to the slab.

**Data availability**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the authors on reasonable request. Source data for Figs. 1 and 4, and Supplementary Figs. 7, 9, and 10 are provided with the paper in Supplementary Data 1.
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