Formaldehyde has been discussed as a typical indoor pollutant for decades. To evaluate the current state-of-the-art in formaldehyde research and to identify the plethora of regulated and unregulated formaldehyde sources in indoor and outdoor spaces, an extensive literature search was carried out. The acquired data were analyzed with the aid of Monte-Carlo methods to calculate realistic formaldehyde concentration profiles and exposure scenarios under consideration of aging, source/sink behavior and diffusion effects. Average concentrations of formaldehyde are within 20–30 μg/m³ for European households under residential-typical conditions. The assumption of an average air exchange rate of 0.5 h⁻¹ is also plausible. Formaldehyde emission rates of materials and products for indoor use are widely spread and range from non-detectable to > 1000 μg/h. However, processes like combustion, cleaning activities, operation of air purifiers and indoor chemistry were identified as temporary but relevant formaldehyde sources, which might cause high peak concentrations.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
Specifications table

| Subject area            | Environmental Sciences            |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| More specific subject area | Indoor Air                        |
| Type of data            | Indoor air concentrations and material emission rates |
| How data was acquired   | Survey and evaluation of the current literature |
| Data format             | As taken from the cited references |
| Experimental factors    | If necessary, data were converted from ppb to μg/m³ and vice versa. Chamber concentrations were converted into area specific and unit specific emission rates. |
| Experimental features   | A literature survey was performed to collect published data about formaldehyde emissions from building materials and consumer products for indoor use in different databases. |
| Data source location    | The data were taken from different sources (see cited references) |
| Data accessibility      | All data can be assessed via the cited references. |
| Related research article| This article provides the scientific basis for the research paper: T. Salthammer (2019) Formaldehyde sources, formaldehyde concentrations and air exchange rates in European housings, Building and Environment, accepted for publication. |

Value of the data

- This work was carried out to gather representative data in order to calculate realistic distributions of indoor related formaldehyde emission rates and formaldehyde concentrations in Europe.
- Data concerning formaldehyde concentrations in indoor and outdoor air, temporary and permanent sources, as well as data on air exchange, were collected for the European region.
- Material aging, source/sink behavior and diffusion effects were also considered.
- The data can be used to estimate human exposure to formaldehyde in the indoor environment under real-life conditions.

1. Data

An evaluation of potential formaldehyde sources, formaldehyde concentrations and air exchange rates is provided. A multitude of different permanent and temporary formaldehyde emission sources were identified. In addition to the typical building products, these also include chemical reactions occurring in indoor spaces, infiltrated outdoor air, combustion processes of all kinds, the operation of equipment such as air purifiers and emissions from human activities such as sauna, cooking and cleaning. The data represent the living behavior and indoor conditions in European housings. This means that all evaluated and presented formaldehyde emission rates of building and consumer products refer to their availability on the European market. Indoor and outdoor formaldehyde concentrations outside of Europe are not discussed.

2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

A literature survey was performed to collect published data about formaldehyde emissions from building materials and consumer products for indoor use in different databases:

- CAS SciFinder (SF) [http://www.cas.org/products/scifinder](http://www.cas.org/products/scifinder).
- Web of Science (WoS) [https://apps.webofknowledge.com](https://apps.webofknowledge.com).
- SCOPUS (SCO) [https://www.scopus.com/home.uri](https://www.scopus.com/home.uri).
- PubMed (PM) [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/).
- Google Scholar [https://scholar.google.de/](https://scholar.google.de/).
Keywords were chosen in a way that the number of hits was reduced sequentially until all papers published from 1990 on were extracted which contained data on emission rates from products used in indoor environments. Papers containing chamber concentrations from which emission rates could be calculated were considered as well. For this procedure, keywords describing products of relevance were identified in advance, such as textile, wood, particleboard, fibreboard/fibreboard, OSB, laminate, carpet, flooring, paper, adhesive, ceiling, foil, gypsum, insulation, sealant, furniture, paint, varnish, lacquer, film, tile, wallpaper, building material, construction material.

The sequential extraction of papers from the databases was performed as follows: At the beginning, all entries with the keyword “formaldehyde” were compiled. The SF database delivered approximately 267,500 hits, the WoS database approximately 43,750. The number of hits then was reduced by specification with the keyword emission, by excluding patents and setting a time limit for the year of publication from 1990 on. Further, only English, German, French, Italian or Spanish written papers were chosen. This procedure gave app. 5250 hits for SF and app. 3100 hits for WoS. From these, papers were taken with the keywords emission rate combined with the product specifications listed above. As a result, app. 570 papers from SF and app. 300 from WoS were identified. As a next step, all publications which could be excluded to be relevant because the title did not comply with the subject were removed. The same procedure was done with the remaining ones by checking the abstracts. Moreover, all reports and publications representing biased data were removed. Consequently, the report and database by Hofmann and Plieninger[1] was not considered. Finally, together with some studies from the WKI fundus including entries in the WKI owned sample database ERAD, 165 papers were collected in an EndNote database and closer investigated for relevant data. In case where concentrations (C) are given together with air exchange rates (ACH) and loading factors (L) (in case of area specific sources), area specific emission rates (SERA) and unit specific emission rates (SERu) were calculated. Reports and journals not being covered by scientific databases (e.g. HK Holz- und Möbelindustrie, Holztechnologie, Holz-Zentralblatt, etc...) were searched separately.

For each product or scenario the available data were summarized and, if possible, percentiles (10\%\%
, 25\%\%, 50\%\%, 75\%\%, 90\%\%) were calculated. Then an appropriate function (normal, log-normal or a combination of both), which represents these percentiles best, was determined by use of a least-squares algorithm[2]. Finally, a stochastic Monte-Carlo approach was applied to calculate probability distributions from pseudo-random numbers with 100,000 runs per calculation. Ranges (uniform) are provided if the derivation of a statistical function was not possible.

2.1. Units

Many different units can be found in the international literature for the concentration of formaldehyde in air. In the following, only mass-related units will be used for the comparison of concentrations and emission rates. For the conversion of volume-related units (ppb and ppm) into mass-related units (\(\mu g/m^3\) and \(mg/m^3\)) according to Eq. (1), a pressure of 1013 mbar (101,300 Pa), a temperature of 23 °C (293 K) and \(M(HCHO) = 30.03 g/mol\) will be assumed.

\[ p \cdot V = n \cdot R \cdot T \]  

(1)

Therefore, 1 ppb = 1.24 \(\mu g/m^3\), 1 \(\mu g/m^3\) = 0.81 ppb, 0.1 ppm = 0.124 mg/m\(^3\) and 100 \(\mu g/m^3\) = 80.6 ppb.

In comparison to the thermodynamic standard chamber temperature of 25 °C (298 K) and a temperature of 20 °C (293 K) there is a marginal difference in the conversion factor (1.24 vs. 1.25 and 1.23), which will be neglected in the discussion.

2.2. Statistical software

The scientific software OriginPro 2016G (OriginLab Corporation, Northhampton, USA) was applied. The LabTalk script was used to calculate probability distributions.

ORIGIN LabTalk script representing a log-normal distribution: \(\text{exp}[\text{normal}(N) \cdot \sigma + \mu]\).
N is the number of calculated random variables, \( \sigma \) is the arithmetic standard deviation with \( \sigma_g = \exp(\sigma) \), \( \mu \) is the arithmetic mean with \( \text{GM} = \exp(\mu) \). GM is the geometric mean and \( \sigma_g \) is the geometric standard deviation.

3. Air exchange rates

Tables 1 and 2 and Figs. 1 and 2.

Table 1
Measured air exchange rates in different types of residential buildings in Europe and in the U.S.

| ACH \( [h^{-1}] \) | Statistics | Condition | Reference |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| 0.60                | Median     | Conventional houses (Sweden) | Langer et al. [3] |
| 0.68                | Median     | Passive houses (Sweden) | Langer et al. [3] |
| 0.44                | Median     | Dwellings (France) | Langer et al. [4] |
| 0.35                | Median     | Residences (U.S.) | Du et al. [5] |
| 1.15                | Median     | Residences, basement (U.S.) | Du et al. [5] |
| 0.08 – 0.69         | Range      | Low energy buildings (Lithuania) | Kauneliene et al. [6] |
| 0.43                | Median     | Renovated | Földváry et al. [7] |
| 0.45                | Median     | Renovated | Földváry et al. [7] |
| 0.4                 | Median     | All dwellings, night-time, heating season (France) | Derbez et al. [8] |
| 0.5                 | Median     | All dwellings, night-time, non-heating season (France) | Derbez et al. [8] |

Table 2
Influence of window opening on the average air exchange rates in housings [9].

| Window opening \( [h/h] \) | ACH \( [h^{-1}] \) | Remarks |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| 0.05 | 0.35 – 0.6 | Heating period |
| 0.30 | 0.9 – 1.7 | Heating period |

Fig. 1. Average air exchange rates for manually ventilated houses (town houses and twin houses) in dependence of window opening. The figure was adapted with permission from Reiß and Ehrhorn [9], Copyright: Fraunhofer IRB-Verlag, all rights reserved.
4. Formaldehyde in ambient air

Table 3 and Fig. 3.

Table 3
Formaldehyde concentrations in outdoor air as determined in different international studies (GM = geometric mean). For better comparison, mass related data were converted to ppb and are marked with.*

| Location                  | C_{HCHO} [ppb] | Comments | Reference                  |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Rural European sites      | 0.4–5.5        | Range    | Solberg et al. [10]         |
| Kuopio, Finland           | 35/55          | Maximum  | Solberg et al. [10]         |
|                           | 1.0–2.2        | Background |                      |
| Uppsala, Sweden           | 1.1*           | GM       | Sakai et al. [11]           |
| Milan, Italy              | 1.5–13         | Range    | Hak et al. [12]             |
| Rome, Italy               | 1.0–5.7*       | Range    | Santarsiero and Fuselli [13]|
|                           | 2.0*           | Median   |                             |
| Athens, Greece            | 0.04–31.6*     | Range    | Bakeas et al. [14]          |
|                           | 12.9*          | Median   |                             |
| Barcelona, Spain          | 3.1–4.1        | Range    | Gallego et al. [15]         |
| European cities           | 0.3–4.0        | Range    | Bruinen de Bruin et al. [16]|

* Converted to “ppb.”
5. Formaldehyde concentrations in indoor air under living conditions

Tables 4 and 5 and Fig. 4.

Table 4
Formaldehyde concentrations in indoor air as determined in different international studies. N is the number of measurements.

| Country     | N   | GM [µg/m³] | 50 – P [µg/m³] | 75 – P [µg/m³] | 95 – P [µg/m³] | Reference               |
|-------------|-----|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Germany     | 586 | 23.3       | 23.5           |                | 47.7           | Umweltbundesamt [17]    |
| France      | 143 | 26.7       |                |                |                | Marchand et al. [18]    |
| France      | 143 | 30.9       |                |                |                | Marchand et al. [18]    |
| France      | 554 | 19.5       | 19.7           | 29             |                | Langer et al. [4]       |
| Sweden      | 20  | 11.1       |                |                |                | Langer et al. [3]       |
| Sweden      | 21  | 15.7       |                |                |                | Langer et al. [3]       |
| Sweden      | 294 | 16.0       | 17.0           |                |                | Langer and Bekö [19]    |
| England     | 876 | 22.2       | 24.0           | 35.2           | 61.2           | Raw et al. [20]         |
| Spain       | 10  | 22.5       | (31)           |                |                | Rovira et al. [21]      |
| Spain       | 10  | 27.3       | (38)           |                |                | Rovira et al. [21]      |
| Italy       | 40  | 10.6       |                |                |                | Santarsiero and Fuselli [13] |
| Italy       | 59  | 14.2       |                |                |                | Lovreglio et al. [22]   |
| Lithuania   | 11  | 30.8       | 40.5           |                |                | Kauneliene et al. [6]   |
| Denmark     | 20  | 40         |                |                |                | Kolarik et al. [23]     |
| Slovakia    | 20  | 30         | 30             |                |                | Földváry et al. [7]     |
| Slovakia    | 20  | 41         | 42             |                |                | Földváry et al. [7]     |
| France      | 65  | 13.8       | 19.1           |                |                | Derbez et al. [8]       |
| France      | 65  | 19.4       | 25.4           |                |                | Derbez et al. [8]       |

a Living room.
b Bedroom.
c New passive houses.
d New conventional houses.
e Housing stock.
f Estimated from boxplot.
g Low energy houses.
h New Danish buildings.
i Renovated building.
j Master bedroom, heating season.
k Master bedroom, non-heating season.
Table 5
Formaldehyde indoor and outdoor concentration (arithmetic mean and standard deviation) from the AIRMEX study (see Bruinen de Bruin et al. [16] for details). N is the number of measurements.

| Location        | Outdoor [ppb] | Indoor (public build.) [ppb] | Indoor (homes) [ppb] |
|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
|                 | N  | µ      | σ   | N  | µ      | σ   | N  | µ      | σ   |
| Brussels        | 3  | 2.7    | 0.5 | 16 | 13.9   | 5.6 | 3  | 19.5   | 3.0 |
| Budapest        | 7  | 2.1    | 0.3 | 12 | 18.2   | 6.8 | 7  | 24.4   | 9.2 |
| Leipzig         | 14 | 2.2    | 0.5 | 28 | 22.9   | 10.4| 7  | 28.6   | 13.4|
| Helsinki        | 5  | 2.1    | 0.3 | 11 | 19.7   | 9.8 | 12 | 28.8   | 9.3 |
| Arnhem          | 3  | 2.0    | 0.4 | 5  | 17.7   | 10.4| 5  | 30.7   | 17.8|
| Athens          | 10 | 3.2    | 1.3 | 20 | 20.5   | 8.8 | 14 | 24.1   | 12.9|
| Catania         | 12 | 3.7    | 0.8 | 17 | 14.7   | 5.0 | 6  | 14.4   | 4.9 |
| Dublin          | 6  | 0.4    | 0.2 | 11 | 17.5   | 13.3| 7  | 30.1   | 24.2|
| Nijmegen        | 2  | 2.4    | 0.1 | 4  | 19.5   | 6.8 | 7  | 14.4   | 4.9 |
| Thessaloniki    | 8  | 4.9    | 1.4 | 7  | 20.6   | 8.3 | 2  | 30.1   | 24.2|

Fig. 4. Monte-Carlo simulation of a log-normal distribution of formaldehyde concentrations under normal living conditions in European homes with 100,000 runs and a statistical interval of $\Delta (\text{HCHO}) = 2 \, \mu g/m^3$. The statistical parameters are as follows: $25 – P = 15.7 \, \mu g/m^3$, 50 – P (median) = 23.1 \, \mu g/m^3, 75 – P = 34.0 \, \mu g/m^3, 95 – P = 59.4 \, \mu g/m^3, GM = 23.1 \, \mu g/m^3$ and $\sigma_g = 1.78 \, \mu g/m^3$. ORIGIN LabTalk: exp[normal(100,000)*0.575 + 3.14].

6. Formaldehyde concentrations in indoor air under steady-state conditions

Table 6 and Fig. 5.
Table 6
Formaldehyde steady-state concentrations in living rooms and bedrooms of Austrian dwellings (see Tappler et al. [24] and Wallner et al. [25] for details). N is the number of measurements.

| N                  | 50 – P [µg/m³] | 95 – P [µg/m³] | Ventilation system | Campaign  |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|
| 62 (test group)    | 27             | 53             | yes                | First     |
| 61 (test group)    | 22             | 46             | yes                | Second    |
| 61 (control group) | 40             | 67             | no                 | First     |
| 59 (control group) | 31             | 59             | no                 | Second    |

Fig. 5. Distribution of formaldehyde steady-state concentrations in newly built prefabricated houses in Germany (N = 60, AM = 41.8 µg/m³, GM = 37.2 µg/m³, 25 – P = 27 µg/m³, 50 – P (median) = 38 µg/m³, 75 – P = 50.8 µg/m³). Data by Courtesy of Bundesverband Deutscher Fertigbau e. V. (2017).

7. Formaldehyde concentrations in special indoor environments – sauna cabins

Table 7.
Table 7
Formaldehyde concentrations in sauna cabins according to Wegscheider et al. [26] (see this reference for experimental details). The synonyms should be interpreted as follows: “cold”: before operation; “hot”: during operation; “Eucalyptus, Birch, Citrus, Mint, Herbs, Menthol”: type of essence.

| Sauna | HCHO cold [mg/m³] | HCHO hot [mg/m³] | HCHO Eucalyptus [mg/m³] | HCHO Birch [mg/m³] | HCHO Citrus [mg/m³] | HCHO Mint [mg/m³] | HCHO Herbs [mg/m³] | HCHO Menthol [mg/m³] |
|-------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1     | 0.01             | 0.18             | 0.79                    | 1.1               |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 2     | 0.01             | 0.25             |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 3     | 0.02             | 0.37             |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.56              |
| 4     | 0.08             | 0.11             |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 4     | 0.1              |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 4     | 0.12             | 0.14             |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.22              |
| 4     | 0.12             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.19              |
| 4     | 0.21             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.21              |
| 5     | 0.04             | 0.28             | 0.32                    |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 5     | 0.15             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 5     | 0.15             | 0.16             |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 5     | 0.14             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.33              |
| 5     | 0.17             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.17              |
| 5     | 0.03             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.03              |
| 6     | 0.03             | 0.06             | 0.05                    |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 6     | 0.03             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 6     | 0.03             | 0.1              |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.07              |
| 7     | 0.01             | 0.13             |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 7     | 0.01             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 7     | 0.05             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.16              |
| 7     | 0.05             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.35              |
| 7     | 0.1              |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.7               |
| 7     | 0.21             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   | 0.47              |
| 7     | 0.47             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 7     | 0.47             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 7     | 0.47             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 7     | 0.01             | 0.08             |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 7     | 0.01             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 7     | 0.01             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 7     | 0.01             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 7     | 0.01             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 7     | 0.01             |                 |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
8. Formaldehyde from indoor chemistry

Table 8 and Figs. 6 and 7.

Table 8
Formaldehyde concentrations as determined in test chamber experiments in the presence of ozone. See references for experimental details. For better comparison, mass related data were converted to ppb and are marked with “a”.

| Material                  | $C_{\text{HCHO}}$ [ppb] | Comments                                      | Reference              |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Carpet                     | 1.3 – 8.1                | 28 – 44 ppb O$_3$                             | Weschler et al. [27]   |
|                            | 0.5 – 4.6                | no O$_3$                                      |                        |
| Gas phase                  | 74.4 – 407.2$^a$         | 266 – 770 µg/m³ limonene                      | Zhang et al. [28]      |
|                            |                          | 53 – 298 µg/m³ O$_3$                          |                        |
| Gas phase                  | 19.2 – 28.8$^a$          | 310 – 1694 µg/m³ VCH                          | Zhang et al. [28]      |
|                            |                          | 177 – 293 µg/m³ O$_3$                         |                        |
| Latex paint                |                         | $Emission$ rates presented, see reference     | Reiss et al. [29]      |
| Different materials        | < 4 – 112$^a$            | 40 – 80 ppb O$_3$                             | Moriske et al. [30]    |
| Carpet                     | $Emission$ rates presented, see reference |                        | Morrison and Nazaroff [31] |
| Carpet                     | $Emission$ rates presented, see reference |                        | Abbass et al. [32]     |
| Air freshener              | 11.2 – 23.7              | 60 ppb O$_3$                                  | Singer et al. [33]     |
| Painted wooden board       | 104$^a$                  | 50 ppb O$_3$ (max.)                           | Huang et al. [34]      |
|                            | 40$^a$                   | 50 ppb O$_3$ (24 h)                           |                        |
| Cleaning agent             | 2.4$^a$                  | 5 ppb O$_3$                                   | Norgaard et al. [35]   |
|                            | 8.8$^a$                  | 50 ppb O$_3$                                  |                        |

$^a$ Converted to “ppb”

Fig. 6. Percentage of production of formaldehyde for 20 different indoor scenarios (the data are taken from Mendez et al. [36]).
9. Formaldehyde from the burning of candles

In a so far unpublished WKI study by Wensing a formaldehyde emission rate of 96 mg/g was measured. With a mass loss of 4 g/h this can be converted to a time related value of 384 mg/h. Derudi et al. [39] measured formaldehyde emission rates between 2 mg/g and 3 mg/g from scented candles but did not determine the mass loss.

Petry et al. [40] also studied formaldehyde emission rates from fragranced and unfragranced candles. The results are as follows: 137.9 mg/h, 235.3 mg/h, 73.0 mg/h, 283.9 mg/h, 372.2 mg/h, 316.5 mg/h, 19.6 mg/h, 234.0 mg/h, 289.0 mg/h, 280.0 mg/h, < 25.7 mg/h (Table 9 and Fig. 8).

Table 9
Emission factors of formaldehyde released from scented burning candles (Ahn et al. [37]; Kim et al. [38]).

| Type of candle | Mass loss [g/min] | Emission rate [mg/g] | Emission rate [mg/h] |
|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Clean Cotton   | 0.068             | 36.9                 | 151                  |
| Floral         | 0.041             | 2.67                 | 7                    |
| Kiwi melon     | 0.074             | 8.85                 | 39                   |
| Strawberry     | 0.054             | 95.7                 | 310                  |
| Vanilla        | 0.078             | 0.59                 | 3                    |
| Plain          | 0.082             | 27.6                 | 136                  |

Fig. 7. Monte-Carlo simulation of a log-normal distribution of formaldehyde emission rates from indoor chemistry with 100,000 runs and a statistical interval of Δ(HCHO) = 1 μg/h. The statistical parameters are as follows: 25 – P = 28.62 μg/h, 50 – P (median) = 40.05 μg/h, 75 – P = 56.18 μg/h, GM = 40.05 μg/h and σg = 1.65 μg/h. ORIGIN LabTalk: exp[normal(100,000)*0.5+3.69].
Lee and Wang [42] studied the release of formaldehyde from 10 types of incense sticks in an 18.26 m³ stainless-steel chamber at T = 23 °C, RH = 50% and ACH = 0.5 h⁻¹. The average burn time was between 25 min and 51 min. The chamber concentrations ranged from approx. 20 mg/m³ to 300 mg/m³. Mass related formaldehyde emission rates ranged from approx. 400 mg/g to 1700 mg/g (Table 10).

Maupetit and Squinazi [41] studied the release of formaldehyde from incense sticks and incense cones in a 32.3 m³ test house at T = 20 °C and ACH = 0.6 h⁻¹. The burnt mass of the sticks was between 0.16 g and 1.25 g with a 50–P value (median) of 0.32 g. The duration of combustion was between 15 min and 64 min with a 50–P value (median) of 29 min. The burnt mass of the cones was between 0.39 g and 0.90 g with a 50–P value (median) of 0.49 g. The duration of combustion was between 10 min and 25 min with a 50–P value (median) of 17 min.

**Table 10**

Formaldehyde concentrations in a test house from the burning of incense sticks and cones. The data are taken from Maupetit and Squinazi [41]. Abbreviations P1–P4 refer to the nomenclature in the paper.

| Type of incense | Max [µg/m³] | 50–P [µg/m³] |
|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| Stick (P1)     | 37.1        | 9.8          |
| Stick (P2)     | 39.3        | 6.4          |
| Stick (P3)     | 38.2        | 3.8          |
| Stick (P4)     | 47.5        | 2.9          |
| Cone (P1)      | 51.0        | 39.3         |
| Cone (P2)      | 57.6        | 28.5         |
| Cone (P3)      | 43.8        | 9.7          |
| Cone (P4)      | 51.0        | 10.0         |

**Fig. 8.** Monte-Carlo simulation of a log-normal distribution of formaldehyde emission rates from the burning of candles with 100,000 runs and a statistical interval of Δ(HCHO) = 10 µg/h. The statistical parameters are as follows: 25–P = 151.8 µg/h, 50–P (median) = 192.4 µg/h, 75–P = 243.5 µg/h, GM = 192.5 µg/h and σ = 1.42 µg/h. ORIGIN LabTalk: exp[normal(100,000) *0.35+5.26].

**10. Formaldehyde from incense burning**

Lee and Wang [42] studied the release of formaldehyde from 10 types of incense sticks in an 18.26 m³ stainless-steel chamber at T = 23 °C, RH = 50% and ACH = 0.5 h⁻¹. The average burn time was between 25 min and 51 min. The chamber concentrations ranged from approx. 20 mg/m³ to 300 mg/m³. Mass related formaldehyde emission rates ranged from approx. 400 mg/g to 1700 mg/g (Table 10).

Maupetit and Squinazi [41] studied the release of formaldehyde from incense sticks and incense cones in a 32.3 m³ test house at T = 20 °C and ACH = 0.6 h⁻¹. The burnt mass of the sticks was between 0.16 g and 1.25 g with a 50–P value (median) of 0.32 g. The duration of combustion was between 15 min and 64 min with a 50–P value (median) of 29 min. The burnt mass of the cones was between 0.39 g and 0.90 g with a 50–P value (median) of 0.49 g. The duration of combustion was between 10 min and 25 min with a 50–P value (median) of 17 min.
11. Formaldehyde from the consumption of conventional and electronic cigarettes

As in the case of other combustion sources, the emission rate is often presented in the unit μg/cig (mass emitted per cigarette burnt) (Tables 11 and 12). A summary of formaldehyde emissions from conventional cigarettes can be found in the review by Salthammer et al. [113].

Table 11
Indoor air concentrations (μg/m³) of formaldehyde measured during a 2 hour use of e-cigarettes containing different liquids with (+) or without (−) nicotine in a 45 m³ room at ACH = 0.56 h⁻¹. The data were taken from Schober et al. [43].

| Compound   | No vaping [μg/m³] | Liquid 1 [μg/m³] | Liquid 2 [μg/m³] | Liquid 3 [μg/m³] |
|------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| formaldehyde | 25.0 (−)          | 24.0 (+)         | 28.0 (+)         | 27.0 (+)         |
|            |                   | 25.0 (−)         | 27.0 (+)         | 28.0 (+)         |
|            |                   | 25.0 (−)         | 55.0 (+)         | 21.0 (+)         |

Table 12
Duplicate determinations of analyte concentrations in the vapor generated by an electronic cigarette device filled with an e-liquid in dependence of the battery setting [44].

| Measurement #1 (μg/puff) | Battery setting |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
|                          | 3.3 V | 3.8 V | 4.3 V | 4.8 V |
| Measurement #1 (μg/puff) | 46    | 45.9  | 34.9  | 93    |
| Measurement #2 (μg/puff) | 61    | 45.9  | 35.0  | 101   |

12. Formaldehyde from cooking and cooking related activities

Logue et al. [46] studied pollutant exposures from natural gas cooking burners by use of models. For the winter period one week time averaged formaldehyde concentrations were 1 ppb (median) and 13 ppb (95% - P), respectively. The highest one hour average concentrations were approximately 13 ppb (median, summer), 111 ppb (95% - P, summer), 19 ppb (median, winter) and 158 ppb (95% - P, winter), respectively (Table 13 and Fig. 9).

Table 13
Concentrations of formaldehyde during selected cooking tests [45].

| Activity     | Oven | Condition | Kitchen [μg/m³] | Outdoor [μg/m³] |
|--------------|------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| Oven cleaning| Gas  | Standard  | 417.3          | 2.7             |
| Broil fish   | Gas  | Standard  | 129.3          | 1.5             |
| Oven cleaning| Electric | Standard  | 224.5          | 0.8             |
| Broil fish   | Electric | Standard  | 129.4          | 0.4             |
| Pork roast   | Gas  | Aluminum pan | 49.1          | 1.0             |
| Pork roast   | Gas  | Exhaust vent. | 36.5          | 1.1             |
Peng et al. [47] studied effects of cooking method, cooking oil, and food type on aldehyde emissions in cooking oil fumes. The formaldehyde concentrations in the oil fumes were between 4 \( \text{mg/m}^3 \) and 27 \( \text{mg/m}^3 \), depending on the cooking oil (palm rapeseed, sunflower, soybean) and the cooking method (pan-frying, deep-frying, stir-frying). The formation and emission of formaldehyde and other organic compounds from the heating of fatty acids and fatty acid esters was reviewed by Abdullahi et al. [48]. Formaldehyde is also formed by Strecker degradation in Maillard systems [49].

Bednarek et al. [50] performed a study on human exposure to air pollutants during a dinner. Seven adults volunteered in a 55 \( \text{m}^3 \) room at ACH = 0.29 h/\( C_0 \). During the cooking phase (indoor barbecue) the formaldehyde concentration increased from 23 \( \text{mg/m}^3 \) to 58 \( \text{mg/m}^3 \) within two hours. The consumption of 33 cigarettes led to a further increase of the formaldehyde concentration to 154 \( \text{mg/m}^3 \).

13. Formaldehyde from ethanol fireplaces

Guillaume et al. [52] also measured high formaldehyde concentrations between 0.4 mg/m\(^3\) and 0.9 mg/m\(^3\) in the exhaust gas of four decorative ethanol fireplaces (Table 14). Höllbacher et al. [53] studied a single device and measured 62 \( \mu \text{g/m}^3 \) formaldehyde in a model room. Formaldehyde sources from combustion (candles, ethanol fireplaces, mosquito coils, etc.) were reviewed by Szulejko and Kim [54].

| Type of fuel | V [m\(^3\)] | ACH [h\(^{-1}\)] | \( t_{\text{burning}} \) [h] | \( C_{\text{HCHO (mean)}} \) [ppb] | \( C_{\text{HCHO (max)}} \) [ppb] | Emission rate [\( \mu \text{g/h} \)] |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| O1 – ethanol (98%) | 48 | 0.69 | 1.70 | 131 | 210 | 5380 |
| O1 – ethanol (94%) | 48 | 0.69 | 1.40 | 259 | 456 | 10,637 |
| O3 – gel-type | 48 | 0.91 | 3.10 | 56 | 129 | 3033 |
| O3 – gel-type | 48 | 0.91 | 2.60 | 60 | 177 | 3249 |
| O4 – gel-type | 48 | 0.91 | 3.20 | 54 | 202 | 2925 |
| O3 – gel-type | 48 | 0.43 | 2.50 | 39 | 67 | 998 |
| O3 – gel-type | 48 | 0.91 | 2.80 | 36 | 47 | 1949 |

![Graph](image-url)

**Fig. 9.** It is difficult to calculate a realistic distribution of formaldehyde emission rates on the basis of the available data set. The highest concentrations from Table 13 refer to oven cleaning rather than the cooking process itself. When taking into account the available data, a normal distribution was calculated with a mean value \( \mu = 700 \mu \text{g/h} \) and a standard deviation \( \sigma = 100 \mu \text{g/h} \). It should, however, be mentioned that the assumed normal distribution only provides a very rough estimation of a realistic cooking scenario. ORIGIN LabTalk: normal(100,000)*100+700.
14. Formaldehyde emission from miscellaneous products

Tables 15 and 16.

Table 15
Formaldehyde maximum concentration levels (24 h mean) for calculated scenarios from the EPHECT project (see Dimitrulopoulou et al. [55,56] and Trantallidi et al. [57] for details).

| Product                                | ACH [h⁻¹] | V [m³] | C_HCHO [ppb] | Remark   |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|--------|---------------|----------|
| All – Purpose cleaning agent           | 0.1       | 24     | < 1           | Spray    |
| All – Purpose cleaning agent           | 0.1       | 17     | 1             | Liquid   |
| Kitchen cleaning agent                 | 0.35      | 24     | < 1           | Liquid   |
| Floor cleaning agent                   | 0.35      | 24     | 6             | Liquid   |
| Floor cleaning agent                   | 0.1       | 17     | 30            | Liquid   |
| Floor cleaning agent                   | 0.3       | 24     | 3             | Liquid   |
| Floor cleaning agent                   | 0.1       | 24     | 6             | Liquid   |
| Furniture polish                       | 0.1       | 32     | 1             | Spray    |
| Floor polish                           | 0.1       | 45     | < 1           | Liquid   |
| Electric air freshener                 | 0.3       | 24     | 7             | Liquid   |
| Electric air freshener                 | 0.1       | 24     | 19            | Liquid   |
| Perfume                                | 0.1       | 17     | < 1           | Spray – Pump |

Table 16
Data from the study by Lefebvre et al. [58]. Subject blanks (bathroom with study subject), range of maximum air concentrations of formaldehyde in the bathroom after product application and mean bathroom concentrations. The conditions were as follows: V = 9.4 m³, T = 23 °C, RH = 30–50%, ACH = 5 h⁻¹.

| Product            | Subject blank (mean) [μg/m³] | Peak conc. (range) [μg/m³] | Room conc. (mean) [μg/m³] |
|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Facial moisturizer | 1.9–3.3                      | 3.1–14.4                    | 3.3                       |
| Body lotion        | 1.5–4.1                      | 5.4–17.8                    | 6.2                       |
| Foundation         | 1.5–2.4                      | 2.9–4.8                     | 2.8                       |
| Shower gel         | 1.2–3.0                      | 0.9–4.7                     | 2.7                       |
| Shampoo            | 1.5–3.3                      | 1.9–5.3                     | 2.5                       |
| Deodorant          | 2.1–3.8                      | 1.9–5.3                     | 2.6                       |
| Hair conditioner   | 1.7–3.8                      | 3.5–8.7                     | 4.5                       |
| Hair styling gel   | 1.7–6.0                      | 3.3–10.9                    | 2.7                       |

15. Formaldehyde from wood combustion

Tables 17 and 18.

Table 17
Formaldehyde emission factors (EF) from residential wood combustion. Note: these emission factors refer to the formation of formaldehyde from the combustion process. They do not refer to the release of formaldehyde into the indoor environment.

| Biomass           | Appliance | EF_HCHO [mg/kg] | Reference                |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Maritime pine     | Wood stove | 653             | Cerqueira et al. [59]    |
| Eucalyptus        | Wood stove | 1038            | Cerqueira et al. [59]    |
| Cork oak          | Wood stove | 1080            | Cerqueira et al. [59]    |
| Holm oak          | Wood stove | 988             | Cerqueira et al. [59]    |
| Pyrenean oak      | Wood stove | 1772            | Cerqueira et al. [59]    |
| Softwood          | Fireplace | 113             | McDonald et al. [60]     |
| Hardwood          | Fireplace | 178             | McDonald et al. [60]     |
| Hardwood          | Wood stove | 246             | McDonald et al. [60]     |
| Pine              | Fireplace | 1165            | Schauer et al. [61]      |
| Oak               | Fireplace | 759             | Schauer et al. [61]      |
| Eucalyptus        | Fireplace | 599             | Schauer et al. [61]      |
| Birch             | Wood stove | 422             | Hedberg et al. [62]      |
Lévesque et al. [64] investigated 31 Canadian homes and found no difference in the HCHO concentrations in relation to the sampling location nor in relation to whether a combustion appliance was present or not.

16. Formaldehyde from air cleaning devices and paints

Sidheswaran et al. [67] demonstrated that at room temperature and 80% RH the indoor formaldehyde concentrations increased from 9–12 μg/m³ to 12–20 μg/m³ when synthetic filters were replaced with fiberglass filtration media in the HVAC units (Tables 19 and 20).

More data on the release of formaldehyde from air cleaners and photocatalytic paints are available from Farhanian and Haghighat [68], Zhong et al. [69], Gunschera et al. [70], Ongwanee and Kruewan [71], Salthammer and Fuhrmann [72], Auvinen and Wirtanen [73] and Geiss et al. [74].

Table 18
Formaldehyde concentrations in private homes before and during operation of wood burning fireplace ovens (see Salthammer et al. [63] for details).

| Oven | $C_{\text{HCHO}}$ [ppb] before operation | $C_{\text{HCHO}}$ [ppb] during operation |
|------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1    | 12                                       | 18                                     |
| 2    | 14                                       | 18                                     |
| 3    | 16                                       | 55                                     |
| 4    | 16                                       | 34                                     |
| 5    | 10                                       | 16                                     |
| 6    | 19                                       | 20                                     |
| 7    | 10                                       | 19                                     |

Table 19
Initial and final steady-state formaldehyde concentrations in a 20 m³ chamber under different conditions during operation of PCO filters (see Destaillats et al. [65] for details).

| UV-type/experiment | UVC/2 | UVC/3 | UVC/4 | UVA/5 | UVA/6 |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $C_{\text{initial}}$ [μg/m³] | 30 ± 1 | 20 ± 1 | 29 ± 5 | 27 ± 4 | 29 ± 1 |
| $C_{\text{steady-state}}$ [μg/m³] | 44 ± 1 | 33 ± 1 | 22 ± 3 | 18 ± 1 | 11 ± 1 |

Table 20
Steady-state formaldehyde concentrations in a 14.75 m³ stainless-steel chamber in absence and presence of air freshener and operation of an air cleaning device (see Waring et al. [66] for details).

| Formaldehyde steady-state concentration [μg/m³] |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Background | Air cleaner | Air cleaner + air freshener | Air freshener |
| 17.6 ± 2.8 | 19.3 ± 2.8 | 49.3 ± 3.9 | 45.9 ± 2.7 |

17. Formaldehyde from textiles

Table 21 and Fig. 10.
Formaldehyde from carpet

Hodgson et al. [76] determined the area specific emission rates from at least four samples. In one case the emission rate could be quantified with SER_A(24 h) = 57.2 µg/(m² h) and SER_A(168 h) = 18.2 µg/(m² h). In all other experiments, the maximum formaldehyde concentrations in the chamber were 5 ppb or less (Fig. 11).

Morrison and Nazaroff [31] studied carpet for area specific emission rates of formaldehyde in test chambers at T = 23 °C and RH = 50%. In three cases the emission rates were between 9 µg/(m² h) and 15 µg/(m² h). In the other five cases, the emission rates were below 4 µg/(m² h).

Table 21
Formaldehyde steady-state concentrations and emission rates from chamber experiments (T = 23 °C, RH = 45%, ACH = 1.0 h⁻¹) and results from extraction analysis. The data were taken from Aldag et al. [75]).

| Sample | Material                   | Steady-state [ppb] | Emission rate [µg/(m² h)] | Emission rate [µg/(g h)] | Extraction [mg/kg] |
|--------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Curtain| 100% cotton                | 4.3                | 5                         | 0.15                     |                   |
| Curtain| 100% polyester             | 1.3                | 2                         | 0.12                     |                   |
| Curtain| 100% polyacrylics          | 1.6                | 2                         | 0.04                     |                   |
| Curtain| 100% viscose               | 0.8                | 1                         | 0.07                     |                   |
| Pants  | 100% cotton                | < 0.4              | < 0.4                     | < 0.01                   | 11.0              |
| T-shirt| 100% linen                 | 2.3                | 3                         | 0.07                     | 17.7              |
| Pants  | 100% polyester             | < 0.4              | < 0.4                     | < 0.01                   | 11.0              |
| T-shirt| 100% polyester             | 2.4                | 3                         | 0.05                     | 24.8              |
| Pants  | 100% polyamide             | < 0.4              | < 0.4                     | < 0.01                   | 5.7               |
| T-shirt| 100% polyamide             | 2.0                | 3                         | 0.07                     | 2.9               |
| Shirt  | 55% cotton 45% polyester   | 0.6                | 1                         | 0.03                     | 4.3               |
| Shirt  | 96% viscose 4% elastane    | 3.2                | 5                         | 0.08                     | 6.2               |

Fig. 10. Monte-Carlo simulation of a log-normal distribution of formaldehyde emission rates from textiles with 100,000 runs and a statistical interval of Δ(HCHO) = 0.1 µg/(m² h). The statistical parameters are as follows: 25 – P = 1.5 µg/(m² h), 50 – P (median) = 1.9 µg/(m² h), 75 – P = 2.3 µg/(m² h), GM = 1.9 µg/(m² h) and σ_g = 1.38 µg/(m² h). ORIGIN LabTalk: exp[normal(100,000)*0.32 +0.642].

18. Formaldehyde from carpet

Hodgson et al. [76] determined the area specific emission rates from at least four samples. In one case the emission rate could be quantified with SER_A(24 h) = 57.2 µg/(m² h) and SER_A(168 h) = 18.2 µg/(m² h). In all other experiments, the maximum formaldehyde concentrations in the chamber were 5 ppb or less (Fig. 11).

Morrison and Nazaroff [31] studied carpet for area specific emission rates of formaldehyde in test chambers at T = 23 °C and RH = 50%. In three cases the emission rates were between 9 µg/(m² h) and 15 µg/(m² h). In the other five cases, the emission rates were below 4 µg/(m² h).
In the work by Katsoyiannis et al. [77], the 72 h chamber concentrations obtained from three carpets in three different chambers were between 2.8 mg/m$^3$ and 14 mg/m$^3$. Under assumption of steady-state conditions the calculated area specific emission rates are between 3.5 mg/(m$^2$ h) and 17.5 mg/(m$^2$ h).

Abbass et al. [32] conducted tests with six types of new unused carpets using 52 l glass chambers at $T = 21 \, ^\circ\mathrm{C}$, RH = 50%, ACH = 3 h$^{-1}$ and $L = 0.8 \, \text{m}^2$/m$^3$. In the absence of ozone, the 24 h formaldehyde emission rates of five samples were between 10 µg/(m$^2$ h) and 16 µg/(m$^2$ h).

19. Formaldehyde from wallcoverings

Fig. 12 and Tables 22 and 23.
20. Formaldehyde from surface coatings

Reiss et al. [29] measured emission rates between 0.05 \( \text{mg/h} \) and 3.45 \( \text{mg/h} \) with a median of 0.21 \( \text{mg/h} \) of 11 types of latex paint in a flow reactor. Chang et al. [81] studied the drying process of latex paint in a chamber at \( T = 23^\circ \text{C} \), \( \text{RH} = 50\% \), \( ACH = 0.5 \text{h}^{-1} \) and \( L = 0.48 \text{m}^2/\text{m}^3 \). Within 50 h after application the formaldehyde chamber concentration of one paint was in the range of 0.5 \( \text{mg/m}^3 \), while the concentration of a different paint was 0.01 \( \text{mg/m}^3 \). In a second study under identical chamber conditions, Chang et al. [82] followed the drying process of a freshly applied latex paint and measured a chamber concentration of about 0.1 \( \text{mg/m}^3 \) after 300 h (Tables 24–26 and Fig. 13).

### Table 22
Calculated area specific emission rates for the release of formaldehyde from different types of wallcoverings (paper, textile, vinyl, acrylic) in the steady-state at \( T = 23^\circ \text{C} \) and \( \text{RH} = 45\% \). The experiments were performed in test chambers and by use of the WKI flask method between 1990 and 1992 (see Salthammer et al. [78]).

| No. of samples | \( \text{SER}_A < 1 \mu\text{g/(m}^2\text{h)} \) | \( \text{SER}_A 1 - 10 \mu\text{g/(m}^2\text{h)} \) | \( \text{SER}_A 11 - 30 \mu\text{g/(m}^2\text{h)} \) | \( \text{SER}_A 31 - 60 \mu\text{g/(m}^2\text{h)} \) |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 27             | 0                               | 20              | 5               | 2               |

### Table 23
Calculated area specific emission rates after 3 d and 28 d for the release of formaldehyde from wallcoverings at \( T = 23^\circ \text{C} \) and \( \text{RH} = 45\% \). The experiments were performed in test chambers between 2011 and 2016 (WKI data, unpublished).

| No. of samples | \( \text{SER}_A < 1 \mu\text{g/(m}^2\text{h)} \) | \( \text{SER}_A 1 - 10 \mu\text{g/(m}^2\text{h)} \) | \( \text{SER}_A 11 - 30 \mu\text{g/(m}^2\text{h)} \) | \( \text{SER}_A 31 - 60 \mu\text{g/(m}^2\text{h)} \) |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 144 (after 3 d)| 107                             | 28              | 6               | 3               |
| 97 (after 28 d)| 89                              | 7               | 1               | 0               |

### Table 24
Emission rates of formaldehyde from so-called environmentally friendly paint on glass plates by use of the Field and Laboratory Emission Cell (FLEC). The data are taken from Horn et al. [79].

| Test sample no. | Formaldehyde \( \text{SER}_A \) [\( \mu\text{g/(m}^2\text{h)} \)] | 0.01 d | 1 d | 9 d | 37 d | 42 d | 85 d |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| Paint 18\(^a\) | 3                                                        | 2     | 0.6 | 10  | 8    | 4    | 12   |
| Paint 21\(^a\) | 9                                                        | 2     | 5   | 8   | 4    |      |      |
| Paint 22\(^a\) | 3                                                        | 1     | 7   |     | 2    |      |      |

\(^a\) Nomenclature of paints by Horn et al. [79].

### Table 25
Calculated area specific emission rates of formaldehyde from different paints and lacquers. The chamber concentrations and experimental conditions are taken from Horn et al. [80].

| Test sample no. | Formaldehyde \( \text{SER}_A \) [\( \mu\text{g/(m}^2\text{h)} \)] | 10 d | 28 d |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------|------|
| 3587 (flooring paint)\(^a\) | 3                                                        | 3    |      |
| 3463 (dispersion)\(^a\)       | 5                                                        | 5    |      |
| 3584 (dispersion)\(^a\)       | 1                                                        | 2    |      |
| 3586 (dispersion)\(^a\)       | 5                                                        | 2    |      |
| 3626 (dispersion)\(^a\)       | 4                                                        | 1    |      |
| 3690 (latex-dispersion)\(^a\) | 8                                                        | 3    |      |

\(^a\) Nomenclature of paints by Horn et al. [80].
Table 26
Area specific emission rates of formaldehyde from different types of lacquers applied on aluminum (see Schieweck and Salthammer [83] for experimental details). The measurements were carried out in test chambers by A. Schieweck within the framework of her PhD thesis.

| Test sample          | Formaldehyde SERₘ [µg/(m² h)] |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|
|                      | 24 h   | 48 h   | 72 h   | 120 h  | 144 h  |
| 2 K polyester¹       | 1      | 2      | < 1    | < 1    | < 1    |
| Epoxy²               | < 1    | < 1    | < 1    | < 1    |        |
| 2 K polyurethane³    | < 1    | < 1    | < 1    | < 1    | < 1    |
| 2 K polyurethane³    | 1      | 1      | 1      | < 1    | < 1    |
| Cellulose nitrate³   | 1      | 1      | < 1    | < 1    | < 1    |
| 2 K polyurethane³    | < 1    | < 1    | < 1    | < 1    | < 1    |

¹ Nomenclature of samples by Schieweck (unpublished).

Fig. 13. Monte-Carlo simulation of a log-normal distribution of formaldehyde emission rates from paint and lacquer with 100,000 runs and a statistical interval of Δ(HCHO) = 0.2 µg/(m² h). The statistical parameters are as follows: 25 – P = 1.7 µg/(m² h), 50 – P (median) = 2.3 µg/(m² h), 75 – P = 3.2 µg/(m² h), GM = 2.3 µg/(m² h) and σ = 1.56 µg/(m² h). ORIGIN LabTalk: `exp[normal(100,000)*0.44–0.83].`

21. Formaldehyde from solid wood

Table 27 and Fig. 14.
Table 27
Calculated area specific emission rates from solid wood.

| Wood type   | $\text{SER}_A$ [$\mu$g/(m² h)] | Reference                  |
|-------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Oak         | 4                              | Risholm-Sundmann et al. [84]|
| Pine        | 5                              | Risholm-Sundmann et al. [84]|
| Beech       | 7                              | Böhm et al. [85]            |
| Poplar      | 4                              | Böhm et al. [85]            |
| Birch       | 4                              | Böhm et al. [85]            |
| Oak         | 4                              | Böhm et al. [85]            |
| Pine        | 5                              | Böhm et al. [85]            |
| Spruce      | 6                              | Böhm et al. [85]            |
| Beech       | 3                              | Meyer and Boehme [86]       |
| Douglas fir | 5                              | Meyer and Boehme [86]       |
| Oak         | 4                              | Meyer and Boehme [86]       |
| Spruce      | 4                              | Meyer and Boehme [86]       |
| Pine        | 5                              | Meyer and Boehme [86]       |

Fig. 14. The available data did not allow the calculation of log-normally distributed emission rates. The Shapiro–Wilk test did not reject the hypothesis of normally distributed data on a 95% confidence level. When considering the small number of data, a conservative approach was applied to calculate a normal distribution with a mean value of 4 µg/(m² h) and a standard deviation of 1 µg/(m² h). ORIGIN LabTalk: normal(100,000)*1.0 + 4.0.

22. Formaldehyde emission from raw wood-based materials

Table 28 and Figs. 15–17.

Yrieix et al. [88] published results of a European inter-laboratory comparison on raw particleboard. The mean of area specific emission rates from six independent laboratory results was 58.5 µg/(m² h) with a relative standard deviation of 9.6%. Horn et al. [80] measured the formaldehyde emission from seven OSB and found a range from 7 µg/(m² h) to 88 µg/(m² h) with a 50–P value of 33 µg/(m² h).
Table 28
Formaldehyde area specific emission rates for different types of raw wood-based materials at 23 °C and 45% relative humidity. The data (in ppm) are taken from Marutzky and Schripp [87] and converted into $\mu$g/(m² h) under assumption of steady-state conditions.

| No. | $\text{SER}_A$ from particleboard [\mu g/(m² h)] | $\text{SER}_A$ from MDF [\mu g/(m² h)] | $\text{SER}_A$ from OSB [\mu g/(m² h)] | $\text{SER}_A$ from plywood [\mu g/(m² h)] |
|-----|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1   | 50                                | 100            | 100             | 12                |
| 2   | 125                               | 87             | 75              | 12                |
| 3   | 137                               | 25             | 75              | 12                |
| 4   | 112                               | 112            | 75              | 62                |
| 5   | 112                               | 87             | 87              | 62                |
| 6   | 125                               | 150            | 62              | 12                |
| 7   | 37                                | 37             | 87              | 62                |
| 8   | 37                                | 50             | 25              | 50                |
| 9   | 125                               | 62             | 37              | 37                |
| 10  | 125                               | 175            | 12              | 50                |
| 11  | 150                               | 100            | 12              | 37                |
| 12  | 37                                | 75             | 50              | 50                |
| 13  | 50                                | 50             | 25              | 37                |
| 14  | 50                                | 25             | 50              | 50                |
| 15  | 112                               | 112            | 50              | 50                |
| 16  | 125                               | 100            | 62              | 50                |
| 17  | 200                               | 25             | 100             | 37                |
| 18  | 125                               | 125            | 112             | 50                |
| 19  | 125                               | 75             | 62              | 12                |
| 20  | 62                                | 212            | 100             | 25                |
| 21  | 25                                | 50             | 100             | 50                |
| 22  | 150                               | 62             | 37              | 25                |
| 23  | 50                                | 225            | 12              | 150               |
| 24  | 12                                | 175            | 50              | 187               |
| 25  | 12                                | 125            | 50              | 125               |
| 26  | 137                               | 87             | 37              | 62                |
| 27  | 112                               | 62             | 12              | 237               |
| 28  | 112                               | 75             | 125             | 150               |
| 29  | 125                               | 62             | 6               | 62                |
| 30  | 37                                | 75             | 12              | 200               |
| 31  | 37                                | 100            | 75              |                   |
| 32  | 125                               | 6              |                 |                   |
| 33  | 125                               |                 | 100             |                   |
| 34  | 62                                |                 | 75              |                   |
| 35  | 50                                |                 | 75              |                   |
| 36  | 37                                |                 | 75              |                   |
| 37  | 37                                |                 | 87              |                   |
| 38  | 137                               |                 | 62              |                   |
| 39  | 75                                |                 | 87              |                   |
| 40  | 87                                |                 |                 |                   |
| 41  | 62                                |                 |                 |                   |
| 42  | 112                               |                 |                 |                   |
| 43  | 50                                |                 |                 |                   |
| 44  | 62                                |                 |                 |                   |
| 45  | 175                               |                 |                 |                   |
| 46  | 37                                |                 |                 |                   |
| 47  | 62                                |                 |                 |                   |
| 48  | 212                               |                 |                 |                   |
Fig. 15. Box-Whisker plots (10 – P, 25 – P, 50 – P (median), 75 – P, 90 – P and (□) mean) of calculated area specific emission rates of wood-based materials. Data from Table 28.

Fig. 16. Monte-Carlo simulation of an asymmetric distribution of formaldehyde emission rates from particleboard with 100,000 runs and a statistical interval of Δ(HCHO) = 5 µg/(m² h). The statistical parameters are as follows: 25 – P = 66 µg/(m² h), 50 – P (median) = 83 µg/(m² h), 75 – P = 99 µg/(m² h), GM = 79 µg/(m² h) and σg = 1.37 µg/(m² h). ORIGIN LabTalk: exp [normal(100,000) *0.2 + 4.79]-[normal(100,000)*4+40].
23. Formaldehyde from furniture

Tables 29 and 30 and Fig. 18.

**Fig. 17.** Monte-Carlo simulation of an asymmetric distribution of formaldehyde emission rates from OSB with 100,000 runs and a statistical interval of $\Delta$(HCHO) = 5 µg/(m² h). The statistical parameters are as follows: 25–P = 29 µg/(m² h), 50–P (median) = 43 µg/(m² h), 75–P = 61 µg/(m² h), GM = 39 µg/(m² h) and $\sigma_g$ = 1.96 µg/(m² h). ORIGIN LabTalk: ABS(exp[normal(100,000)*0.32+4.29]–[normal(100,000)*4+30]).

| Object          | T [°C] | RH [%] | V [m³] | ACH [h⁻¹] | L [m²/m³] | SER$_U$ (168 h) [µg/h] |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|------------|-----------|------------------------|
| Table plate     | 23     | 50     | 3      | 0.51       | 0.51      | 84                     |
| Office chair    | 23     | 50     | 3      | 0.67       | 1 Object  | 50                     |
Table 30
Measured furniture, type of chamber, testing time and area specific emission rates in the study by Andersen et al. [90].

| ID | Type            | Chamber volume [m³] | Testing time [d] | SERₐ [mg/m² h] |
|----|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 1  | Stool           | 0.225               | 28               | 0.18           |
| 2  | Chair           | 0.225               | 28               | 0.10           |
| 3  | Kitchen front door | 0.225             | 6                | < LOD          |
| 4  | Kitchen front door | 0.225             | 6                | 0.01           |
| 5  | Kitchen front door | 0.225             | 6                | 0.01           |
| 6  | Kitchen front door | 0.225             | 19               | 0.01           |
| 7  | Kitchen front door | 0.225             | 28               | 0.15           |
| 8  | Kitchen front door | 0.225             | 10               | 0.03           |
| 9  | Coffee table    | 1                   | 6                | < LOD          |
| 10 | Bookcase        | 1                   | 6                | 0.02           |
| 11 | Armchair        | 1                   | 7                | < LOD          |
| 12 | Cabinet         | 15                  | 5                | 0.02           |
| 13 | Armchair        | 15                  | 5                | 0.03           |
| 14 | Chest of drawers | 15                 | 4                | 0.02           |
| 15 | Armchair        | 15                  | 5                | < LOD          |
| 16 | Dining table    | 15                  | 5                | < LOD          |
| 17 | Bookcase        | 15                  | 6                | 0.02           |
| 18 | Bookcase        | 15                  | 7                | 0.02           |
| 19 | Armchair        | 24                  | 5                | 0.05           |
| 20 | Bookcase        | 24                  | 6                | 0.01           |
| 21 | Cabinet         | 24                  | 6                | 0.02           |

Fig. 18. Monte-Carlo simulation of a log-normal + normal distribution of formaldehyde emission rates from furniture with 100,000 runs and a statistical interval of \( \Delta (\text{HCHO}) = 2.5 \mu g/(m^2 \text{ h}) \). The statistical parameters are as follows: 25 – \( P = 11.7 \mu g/(m^2 \text{ h}) \), 50 – \( P \) (median) = 20.4 \( \mu g/(m^2 \text{ h}) \), 75 – \( P = 32.7 \mu g/(m^2 \text{ h}) \), GM = 17.8 \( \mu g/(m^2 \text{ h}) \) and \( \sigma_g = 2.54 \mu g/(m^2 \text{ h}) \). ORIGIN LabTalk: ABS(0.25*(exp[normal(100,000)*0.5 + 4.8] – [normal(100,000)*4 + 40])).
24. Formaldehyde from laminate

An et al. [92] studied the release of formaldehyde in a 20 l chamber and in the Field and Laboratory Emission Cell (FLEC) at $T = 25 \, ^\circ C$ and RH = 50%, respectively. After 7 days testing time the calculated formaldehyde emission rates were between $7 \mu g/(m^2 \, h)$ and $15 \mu g/(m^2 \, h)$ (Tables 31 and 32 and Fig. 19).

Table 31
Chamber testing of laminate, formaldehyde steady-state concentrations after 28 days testing time (see Marutzky [91] for details).

| Carrier      | $T$ (°C) | RH (%) | $ACH$ (h$^{-1}$) | $L$ (m$^3$/m$^3$) | $C$ (ppm) |
|--------------|----------|--------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| MDF          | 23       | 45     | 1                | 1                 | 0.03      |
| MDF          | 23       | 45     | 1                | 1                 | 0.01      |
| MDF          | 23       | 45     | 1                | 1                 | 0.02      |
| HDF          | 23       | 45     | 1                | 1                 | 0.03      |
| HDF          | 23       | 45     | 1                | 1                 | 0.01      |
| particleboard| 23       | 45     | 1                | 1                 | 0.005     |
| particleboard| 23       | 45     | 1                | 1                 | 0.03      |

Table 32
Formaldehyde chamber testing of laminate flooring, steady-state concentrations (unpublished results, all measurements later than 2012).

| No. | $T$ [°C] | RH [%] | $ACH$ [h$^{-1}$] | $L$ [m$^3$/m$^3$] | $t$ [d] | $C$ [μg/m$^3$] | $C$ [μg/(m$^3$ h)] |
|-----|----------|--------|------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------|-------------------|
| 1   | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 3      | 8.8           | 7                 |
| 2   | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 3      | < 3.8         | < 3               |
| 3   | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 7      | < 3.8         | < 3               |
| 4   | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 28     | 5             | 4                 |
| 5   | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 3      | 3.8           | 3                 |
| 6   | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 3      | 5             | 4                 |
| 7   | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 3      | 8.8           | 7                 |
| 8   | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 7      | 8.8           | 7                 |
| 9   | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 3      | 16.3          | 13                |
| 10  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 7      | 16.3          | 13                |
| 11  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 28     | 12.5          | 10                |
| 12  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 3      | 6.3           | 5                 |
| 13  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 7      | 6.3           | 5                 |
| 14  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 28     | 6.3           | 5                 |
| 15  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 3      | 11.3          | 9                 |
| 16  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 7      | 11.3          | 9                 |
| 17  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 28     | 12.5          | 10                |
| 18  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 3      | 30            | 24                |
| 19  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 7      | 30            | 24                |
| 20  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 28     | 28.3          | 23                |
| 21  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 3      | 35            | 28                |
| 22  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 7      | 32.5          | 26                |
| 23  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 3      | 10            | 8                 |
| 24  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 3      | 11.3          | 9                 |
| 25  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 3      | 5             | 4                 |
| 26  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 7      | 5             | 4                 |
| 27  | 23       | 50     | 0.5              | 0.4               | 28     | 3.8           | 3                 |
Pierce et al. [93] investigated the impact of laminate flooring manufactured in China on formaldehyde concentrations in a model room. In complementary chamber tests with two selected products and under so-called non-destructive test conditions the chamber concentrations after seven days were 0.018 ppm (product 1) and 0.012 ppm (product 2). The test conditions were $T = 25^\circ C$, (77 $^\circ F$), RH $= 50\%$, ACH $= 0.5$ h$^{-1}$, $L= 0.43$ m$^2$/m$^3$.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [94] released a report on formaldehyde emission from Chinese–produced laminate. Increased emission rates with a geometric mean of 41.7 µg/(m² h), a geometric standard deviation of 2.3 µg/(m² h) and maximum value of 350 µg/(m² h) at $T = 24.5 – 25.7^\circ C$ and RH $= 46.0 – 51.5\%$ are reported.

Wiglusz et al. [95] studied the effect of temperature on the emission of formaldehyde from laminate flooring. The tested materials did not show formaldehyde emissions at temperatures of 23 $^\circ C$ and 29 $^\circ C$. At 50 $^\circ C$ one of the materials showed a formaldehyde emission rate of approx. 40 µg/(m² h) after 20 days testing time.

25. Formaldehyde from windows and doors

Tables 33 and 34.
Table 33
Types of measured windows, chamber conditions and area specific emission rates. The data were taken from the study by Wensing and Bliemetsrieder [96].

| Material         | T [°C] | RH [%] | ACH [h⁻¹] | L [m²/m³] | HCHO [µg/m³] |
|------------------|--------|--------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| Spruce (coated)  | 23     | 50     | 0.57       | 0.076     | < 2          |
| Larch (coated)   | 23     | 50     | 0.57       | 0.076     | < 2          |
| Spruce (coated)  | 23     | 50     | 0.57       | 0.076     | < 2          |
| Spruce (coated)  | 23     | 50     | 0.46       | 0.061     | < 3          |
| Spruce (coated)  | 23     | 50     | 0.46       | 0.061     | < 3          |

Table 34
Types of measured door leafs and door frames, chamber conditions and area specific emission rates. The data were taken from the study by Wensing and Bliemetsrieder [97]. See also Wensing et al. [98].

| Material         | T [°C] | RH [%] | ACH [h⁻¹] | L [m²/m³]  | SER₄ [µg/(m².h)] | Time [d] |
|------------------|--------|--------|------------|------------|--------------------|----------|
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 50.9               | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 30.7               | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 41.7               | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 39.4               | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 9.6                | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 2.3                | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 7.3                | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 23.9               | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 22.5               | 14       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 9.2                | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 21.6               | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 4.1                | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 20.2               | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 13.3               | 14       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 65.6               | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 38.1               | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 10.6               | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 5.0                | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 4.1                | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 53.7               | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 32.1               | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 3.7                | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 53.2               | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 51.4               | 28       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 25.7               | 14       |
| Door leaf        | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 51.4               | 28       |
| Door frame       | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 120.2              | 28       |
| Door frame       | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 211.9              | 28       |
| Door frame       | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 100.9              | 28       |
| Door frame       | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 284.4              | 28       |
| Door frame       | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 98.2               | 28       |
| Door frame       | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 76.1               | 28       |
| Door frame       | 23     | 50     | 0.5        | 1.09       | 2.8                | 28       |
26. Formaldehyde from mineral wool

An inter laboratory comparison experiment on the determination of formaldehyde emitted from mineral wool board using small test chambers has been described by Wiglusz et al. [99]. Eleven laboratories took part and the most reliable testing round yielded a range between 44 $\mu$g/(m$^2$ h) and 210 $\mu$g/(m$^2$ h) with a 50 – P value of 57 $\mu$g/(m$^2$ h). So far unpublished WKI data from eight different samples of mineral wool (four glass wool, four stone wool) are shown in Fig. 20. The concentrations after 96 h were between 10 $\mu$g/m$^3$ and 66 $\mu$g/m$^3$ with a geometric mean of 31.0 $\mu$g/m$^3$.

27. Aging effect

Few studies deal with the long-term emission behavior of materials and products. Most available data refer to test chamber results of freshly produced materials measured after 28 days. Colombo et al. [100] applied an empirical potential function to extrapolate the formaldehyde emission rate of particleboard, fiberboard and plywood in large environmental chambers. For plywood, taking the 28 days value as a starting point, reductions of 33% after 1 year and 42% after 2 years can be calculated from the fit parameters. For particleboard, taking again the 28 days value as a starting point, reductions of 45% after 1 year and 66% after 2 years are obtained from the fit parameters. Brown [101] studied the release of formaldehyde from particleboard and MDF in test chambers and found that formaldehyde emission factors for all products assessed were approximately 300–400 mg/(m$^2$ h) in the first few weeks after product manufacture and 80–240 mg/(m$^2$ h) after 6–10 months. Liang et al. [102] studied the long-term formaldehyde emissions from MDF in a full-scale experimental room and found that concentrations decreased by 20–65% in the corresponding months of the second year. Under the assumption that the lifetime of wood-based materials in housing is ten years or more, a weighting factor of 0.4 can be estimated. Fig. 21 shows a Monte-Carlo simulation under assumption of a normal distribution.
28. Source/sink behavior and diffusion effects

Many other studies have shown that materials like textiles, wool, zeolites, etc. act as strong but partly reversible sources for formaldehyde \([104–107]\) (Figs. 22–24).

**Fig. 21.** Monte-Carlo simulation of a normal distribution of an aging factor with 100,000 runs and a statistical interval of \(\Delta = 0.05\). The statistical parameters are \(\mu = 0.4\) and \(\sigma = 0.1\). ORIGIN LabTalk: normal(100,000)*0.1+0.4.

**Fig. 22.** Formaldehyde sorption/desorption experiment with a ceiling tile (mineral wool covered with glass fleece and paint) in a 1 m\(^3\) glass chamber. The figure was taken from Gunschera et al. \([103]\). Phase I: formaldehyde was doped from a gas bottle into an empty 1 m\(^3\) glass chamber for 4–6 h to achieve a steady-state concentration of approx. 150–160 ppb. Phase II: the chamber was loaded with the test specimen, loading factor 0.5 m\(^3\)/m\(^2\), \(T = 23^\circ\mathrm{C}\), RH = 50%, \(ACH = 0.4\) h\(^{-1}\) and the formaldehyde concentration was continuously monitored for 70–75 h. Phase III: the formaldehyde supply was stopped and formaldehyde monitoring was continued for 24 h. Phase IV: the chamber was emptied and the decay of the formaldehyde concentration was measured for 12 h.
The barrier effect was also investigated by Yrieix [110–112] for different types of wood-based materials. One study [112] focused on formaldehyde emissions from different coated particleboards (melamine faced board with two paper basis weights, laminate board, wood veneer with two porosities, not varnished finish foil). In a follow-up study Yrieix compared the barrier effect of melamine impregnated decorative papers to formaldehyde emissions according to their paper basis weight (low and high basis weight) and to paper printing (surface printing or in the mass of the paper, mineral content) [110] (Tables 35–37).

Fig. 23. Percentage of deposition of formaldehyde for 20 different indoor scenarios (the data are taken from Mendez et al. [36]).

Fig. 24. Formaldehyde concentration plots in three different diffusion experiments. The figure was taken from Gunschera et al. [103]. A tray made from stainless steel was completely filled with commercially available glass wool or stone wool several kinds of mineral wool and capped with a pre-conditioned 0.01 m gypsum board. The gap between tray and board was sealed and fixed in a metal frame. This construction was set up in a 1 m³ glass chamber at $T = 23 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, RH = 50% and $\text{ACH} = 0.5 \, \text{h}^{-1}$. If the mineral wool is only covered with gypsum board (A), a diffusion of formaldehyde into the chamber air is clearly visible, leading to a steady-state concentration of about 30 ppb. If the surface of the gypsum board is covered with foil (B) the decaying concentration curve proves that the diffusion effect is negligible. In case of gypsum board being treated with primer and wallcovering (C) a very slight increase of the formaldehyde concentration could be observed (3 ppb within 600 h testing time).
Table 35
Formaldehyde concentrations in the test chamber under the conditions of EN 16516 [108] (T = 23 °C, RH = 50%, ACH = 0.5 h⁻¹, L = 1 m²/m³). See Meyer et al. [109] for details.

| Construction                                                                 | C [ppm] |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Particleboard                                                                | 0.13    |
| Particleboard + gypsum plasterboard                                          | 0.10    |
| Particleboard + gypsum plasterboard + woodchip wallpaper + paint              | 0.08    |
| Particleboard + gypsum plasterboard + vinyl wallpaper                        | 0.05    |
| Particleboard + diffusion barrier film + gypsum plasterboard + vinyl wallpaper| 0.02    |

Table 36
Reduction of the area specific formaldehyde emission rate from particleboard by different types of covering (1 m³ stainless steel chamber, T = 23 °C, RH = 45%, ACH = 0.5 h⁻¹ and L = 0.5 m²/m³). WKI, unpublished data.

| Test | Covering                        | Rel. SERₐ [%] | Reduction of rel. SERₐ [%] |
|------|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| 1    | No covering                     | 100          | 0                          |
| 2    | With primer                     | 30           | 70                         |
| 3    | With primer and dispersion paint| 24           | 76                         |
| 4    | With primer and plaster         | 22           | 78                         |
| 5    | With primer and wallpaper (fleece) | 6        | 94                         |
| 6    | With primer and latex paint     | 2            | 98                         |

Table 37
Formaldehyde emission rates of raw wood-based materials and covered wood based materials after 28 d. The effect of formaldehyde reduction is also presented. The data are taken from Yrieix [110].

| Test no. | Raw material [µg/(m² h)] | Covered material [µg/(m² h)] | Reduction [%] |
|----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| 01       | 82                       | 3.3                         | 96            |
| 02       | 82                       | 3.5                         | 96            |
| 03       | 107                      | 15                          | 86            |
| 04       | 42                       | 3.0                         | 93            |
| 05       | 107                      | 23                          | 79            |
| 06       | 42                       | 8.0                         | 81            |
| 07       | 60                       | 2.5                         | 96            |
| 08       | 75                       | 4.0                         | 95            |
| 09       | 50                       | 5.0                         | 90            |
| 10       | 20                       | 4.1                         | 80            |
| 11       | 34                       | 4.7                         | 86            |
| 12       | 115                      | 12                          | 90            |

Acknowledgments

The data were collected due to information requirements on formaldehyde given in the ECHA decision letter “DECISION ON SUBSTANCE EVALUATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 46(1) OF REGULA-

tion (EC) NO 1907/2006, for formaldehyde, CAS No 50-00-0 (EC No 200-001-8)”. The study was funded by ReachCentrum on behalf of the REACH Consortium for Formaldehyde, Brussels, Belgium, contract no. P-I216/CT01/Fraunhofer20160325.

Transparency document. Supporting information

Transparency data associated with this article can be found in the online version at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.11.096.
References

[1] H. Hofmann, P. Plieninger, Bereitstellung einer Datenbank zum Vorkommen von flüchtigen organischen Verbindungen in der Raumluf, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin, 2008.

[2] P.R. Bevington, D.K. Robinson, Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences, McGraw Hill, New York, 2003.

[3] S. Langer, G. Békó, E. Bloom, A. Widheden, L. Ekberg, Indoor air quality in passive and conventional new houses in Sweden, Build. Environ. 93 (2015) 92–100.

[4] S. Langer, O. Ramalho, M. Derbez, J. Ribéron, S. Kirchner, C. Mandin, Indoor environmental quality in French dwellings and building characteristics, Atmos. Environ. 128 (2016) 82–91.

[5] L. Du, S. Battersman, C. Godwin, Z. Rowe, J.Y. Chin, Air exchange rates and migration of VOCs in basements and residences, Indoor Air 25 (2015) 598–609.

[6] V. Kaunelienė, T. Prasauskas, E. Krugly, I. Stasiulaitienė, D. Čiutas, L. Šeduikytė, D. Martuzevičius, Indoor air quality in low energy residential buildings in Lithuania, Build. Environ. 108 (2016) 63–72.

[7] V. Földváry, G. Békó, S. Langer, K. Arrhenius, D. Petráš, Effect of energy renovation on indoor air quality in multifamily residential buildings in Slovakia, Build. Environ. 122 (2017) 363–372.

[8] M. Derbez, G. Wyart, E. Le Ponner, O. Ramalho, J. Ribéron, C. Mandin, Indoor air quality in energy-efficient dwellings: levels and sources of pollutants, Indoor Air 28 (2018) 318–338.

[9] J. Reiß, H. Ehrhorn, Klassifizierung des Nutzerverhaltens bei der Fensterlüftung – Querauswertung der Fenster-öffnungszeiten von 67 messtechnisch erfassten Wohnungen, in: H. Künzel (Ed.), Wohnungslüftung und Raumklima, Fraunhofer IRB Verlag, Stuttgart, 2009, pp. 125–135.

[10] S. Solberg, C. Dye, S.-E. Walker, D. Simpson, Long-term measurements and model calculations of formaldehyde at rural European monitoring sites, Atmos. Environ. 35 (2001) 195–207.

[11] K. Sakai, D. Norbäck, Y. Mi, E. Shibata, M. Kamijima, T. Yamada, Y. Takeuchi, A comparison of indoor air pollutants in Japan and Sweden: formaldehyde, nitrogen dioxide, and chlorinated volatile organic compounds, Environ. Res. 94 (2004) 75–85.

[12] C. Hak, I. Pundt, S. Trick, C. Kern, U. Platt, J. Dommen, C. Ordonez, A.S.H. Prevot, W. Junkermann, C. Astorga-Llorens, B. R. Larsen, J. Melliqvist, A. Strandberg, Y. Yu, B. Galle, J. Kleffmann, J.C. Lörzer, G.O. Braathen, R. Volkamer, Intercomparison of four different in-situ techniques for ambient formaldehyde measurements in urban air, Atmos. Chem. Phys. 5 (2005) 2881–2900.

[13] A. Santarsiero, S. Fuselli, Indoor and outdoor air carbonyl compounds correlation elucidated by principal component analysis, Environ. Res. 106 (2008) 139–147.

[14] E.B. Bakeas, D.J. Argyris, P.A. Siskos, Carbonyl compounds in the urban environment of Athens, Greece, Chemosphere 52 (2003) 805–813.

[15] E. Gallego, F.J. Roca, J.F. Perales, X. Guardino, E. Gadea, P. Garrote, Impact of formaldehyde and VOCs from waste treatment plants upon the ambient air nearby an urban area (Spain), Sci. Total Environ. 568 (2016) 369–380.

[16] Y. Bruinen de Bruin, K. Koistinen, S. Kephalopoulos, O. Geiss, S. Tirendi, D. Kotzias, Characterisation of urban inhalation exposures to benzene, formaldehyde and acetaldehyde in the European Union, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 15 (2008) 417–430.

[17] Umweltbundesamt, Vergleichswerte für flüchtige organische Verbindungen (VOC und Aldehyde) in der Innenraumluf

[18] C. Marchand, S. Le Calve, P. Mirabel, N. Glasser, A. Casset, N. Schneider, F. de Blay, Concentrations and determinants of gaseous aldehydes in 162 homes in Strasbourg (France), Atmos. Environ. 42 (2008) 505–516.

[19] S. Langer, G. Békó, Indoor air quality in the Swedish housing stock and its dependence on building characteristics, Build. Environ. 128 (2016) 82–91.

[20] G.J. Raw, S.K.D. Coward, V. Földváry, G. Békó, Indoor air quality in energy-efficient dwellings: levels and sources of pollutants, Indoor Air 28 (2018) 318–338.

[21] J. Rovira, N. Roig, M. Nadal, M. Schuhmacher, J.L. Domingo, Human health risks of formaldehyde indoor levels: an issue of concern, J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part A 51 (2016) 357–363.

[22] P. Lovegro, A. Carrus, S. Iavicoli, I.D. Drago, B. Persechino, L. Soleo, Indoor formaldehyde and acetaldehyde levels in the province of Bari, South Italy, and estimated health risk, J. Environ. Monit. 11 (2009) 955–961.

[23] B. Kolarik, L. Gunnarsen, A. Logadottir, L. Soleo, Indoor-formaldehyde and acetaldehyde levels in Greece, J. Environ. Monit. 14 (2012) 552–561.

[24] P. Tappler, H., – P. Hutter, H. Hengsberger, W. Ringer, U. Muňoz-Czerny, B. Damberger, F. Twrdik, K. Torghele, M. Kundi, A. Wanka, P. Wallner, G. Wurm, Lüftung 3.0 – Bewohnergesundheit und Raumluftqualität in neu errichteten, energieeffizienten Wohnhäusern, Österreichisches Institut für Baubiologie und Bauökologie (IBO), Wien, 2014.

[25] P. Wallner, U. Munoz, P. Tappler, A. Wanka, M. Kundi, J.F. Shelton, H.P. Hutter, Indoor environmental quality in mechanically ventilated, energy-efficient buildings vs. conventional buildings, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 12 (2015) 14132–14147.

[26] W. Wegscheider, B. Heinrich, A. Albrecht, H. Asennmacher, D. Fendler, H. Kübler, G. Naujocks, B. Scheibner, Sau-
naugüsse: thermische Reaktionsprodukte und (Formaldehyd-)Exposition, Gefahrstoffe – Reinhalt. Luft. 77 (2017) 322–341.

[27] C.J. Wescler, A.T. Hodgson, J.D. Wooley, Indoor chemistry: ozone, volatile organic compounds, and carpets, Environ. Sci. Technol. 26 (1992) 2371–2377.

[28] J. Zhang, W.E. Wilson, P.J. Lioy, Indoor air chemistry: formation of organic acids and aldehydes, Environ. Sci. Technol. 28 (1994) 1975–1982.

[29] R. Reiss, P.B. Ryan, P. Koutrakis, S.J. Tibbetts, Ozone reactive chemistry on interior latex paint, Environ. Sci. Technol. 29 (1995) 1906–1912.

[30] H.-J. Moriske, G. Ebert, L. Konieczny, G. Menk, M. Schondube, Concentrations and decay rates of ozone in indoor air in dependence on building and surface materials, Toxicol. Lett. 96–97 (1998) 319–323.
B. Lévesque, S. Allaire, D. Gauvin, P. Koutrakis, S. Gingras, M. Rhainds, H. Prud’Homme, J.-F. Duchesne, Wood-burning appliances and indoor air quality, Sci. Total Environ. 281 (2001) 47–62.

H. Destaillats, M. Sleiman, D.P. Sullivan, C. Jacquiod, J. Sablayrolles, L. Molins, Key parameters influencing the performance of photocatalytic oxidation (PCO) air purification under realistic indoor conditions, Appl. Catal. B: Environ. 128 (2012) 159–170.

M.S. Waring, J.A. Siegel, R.L. Corsi, Ultrafine particle removal and generation by portable air cleaners, Atmos. Environ. 42 (2008) 5003–5014.

M. Sidheswaran, W. Chen, A. Chang, R. Miller, S. Cohn, D. Sullivan, W.J. Fisk, K. Kumagai, H. Destaillats, Formaldehyde emissions from ventilation filters under different relative humidity conditions, Environ. Sci. Technol. 47 (2013) 5336–5343.

D. Farhanian, F. Haghighat, Photocatalytic oxidation air cleaner: identification and quantification of by – Products, Build. Environ. 72 (2014) 34–43.

L. Zhong, F. Haghighat, C.-S. Lee, N. Lakdawala, Performance of ultraviolet photocatalytic oxidation for indoor air applications: systematic experimental evaluation, J. Hazard. Mater. 261 (2013) 130–138.

J. Gunschera, D. Markewitz, B. Bansen, T. Salthammer, H. Ding, Portable photocatalytic air cleaners: efficiencies and by – Product generation, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23 (2016) 7482–7493.

M. Ongwandee, A. Kruewan, Evaluation of portable household and in-car air cleaners for air cleaning potential and ozone-initiated pollutants, Indoor Built Environ. 22 (2013) 659–668.

T. Salthammer, F. Fuhrmann, Photocatalytic surface reactions on indoor wall paint, Sci. Technol. 41 (2007) 6573–6578.

J. Auvinen, L. Wirtanen, The influence of photocatalytic interior paints on indoor air quality, Atmos. Environ. 42 (2008) 4101–4112.

O. Geiss, C. Cacho, J. Barrero-Moreno, D. Kotzias, Photocatalytic degradation of organic paint constituents-formation of carboxyls, Build. Environ. 48 (2012) 107–112.

N. Aldág, J. Gunschera, T. Salthammer, Release and absorption of formaldehyde by textiles, Cellulose 24 (2017) 4569–4581.

A.T. Hodgson, J.D. Wooley, J.M. Daisey, Emissions of volatile organic compounds from new carpets measured in a large scale environmental chamber, J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc. 43 (1993) 316–324.

A. Katsoyiannis, P. Leva, D. Kotzias, VOC and carbonyl emissions from carpets: a comparative study using four types of environmental chambers, J. Hazard. Mater. 152 (2008) 669–676.

T. Salthammer, E. Schriever, R. Marutzky, Emissions from wallcoverings: test procedures and preliminary results, Toxicol. Environ. Chem. 40 (1993) 121–131.

W. Horn, E. Roßkamp, D. Ullrich, Biozidemissionen aus Dispersionenfarben, WaBoLu-Hefte, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin, 2002.

W. Horn, O. Jann, J. Kasche, F. Bitter, D. Müller, B. Müller, Umwelt- und Gesundheitsanforderungen an Bauprodukte - Ermittlung und Bewertung der VOC-Emissionen und geruchlichen Belastungen, Umweltbundesamt, Texte 16/07, Dessau, 2007.

J.C.S. Chang, R. Fortmann, N. Roache, H.-C. Lao, Evaluation of low-VOC latex paints, Indoor Air 9 (1999) 253–258.

J.C.S. Chang, Z. Guo, R. Fortmann, H.C. Lao, Characterization of formaldehyde emissions from a low-VOC latex paint, Indoor Air 12 (2002) 10–16.

A. Schieweck, T. Salthammer, Airborne pollutants in museum showcases: emissions from construction and decoration materials, Stud. Conserv. 54 (2009) 218–235.

M. Risholm-Sundman, A. Vestin, A. Weibull, Formaldehyde emission-comparison of different standard methods, Atmos. Environ. 41 (2007) 3193–3202.

B. Meyer, C. Boehme, Formaldehyde emission from solid wood, For. Prod. J. 47 (1997) 45–48.

R. Marutzky, T. Schrip, Development of a Concept for Evaluation and Updating of Inspection Regulations Concerning the Formaldehyde Release of Construction Products – Wood-based Panels, Deutsches Institut für Bautechnik (DIBt), Berlin, 2012.

C. Yrieix, A. Dulaurent, C. Lafargue, F. Maupetit, T. Pacary, E. Uhde, Characterization of VOC and formaldehyde emissions from a wood based panel: results from an inter-laboratory comparison, Chemosphere 79 (2010) 414–419.

J. Galinkina, V. Roth, C. Schelle, Prüfkammeruntersuchungen an Möbelobjekten, Gefahrstoffe - Reinhalt. Luft. 72 (2012) 55–63.

H.V. Andersen, H.B. Klinke, L.W. Funch, L. Gunnarsen, Emission of Formaldehyde from Furniture, The Danish Environmental Protection Agency, Copenhagen, 2016.

R. Marutzky, Umweltrelevante Eigenschaften von Laminatfußboden, HK Holz- und Möbelindustrie (4) (1997) 38–41.

J.-Y. An, S. Kim, H.-J. Kim, Formaldehyde and TVOC emission behavior of laminate flooring by structure of laminate flooring and heating condition, J. Hazard. Mater. 187 (2011) 44–51.

J.S. Phulrite, A. Abelmann, J.T. Lotter, P.S. Ruestow, K.M. Unice, E.M. Beckett, H.A. Fritz, J.L. Bare, B.L. Finley, An assessment of formaldehyde emissions from laminate flooring manufactured in China, Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 81 (2016) 20–32.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Possible Health Implications from Exposure to Formaldehyde Emitted from Laminate Flooring Samples Tested by the Consumer Product Safety Commission, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, 2016.

R. Wiglus, E. Sitko, G. Nikel, I. Jarnuszkiewicz, B. Igielska, The effect of temperature on the emission of formaldehyde and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from laminate flooring – case study, Build. Environ. 37 (2002) 41–44.
[97] M. Wensing, B. Bliemetsrieder, Untersuchung der raumluftrelevanten Emissionen von Innentüren zur Bewertung des Verhaltens von Bauprodukten in Bezug auf Hygiene, Gesundheit und Umweltschutz, Internationaler Verein für Technische Holzfragen e.V. (IVTH), Braunschweig, 2011.

[98] M. Wensing, B. Bliemetsrieder, A. Ligarski, Investigation into emissions from internal doors relevant to indoor air in order to assess the behavior of building products with respect to hygiene, health and environmental protection, Gefahrstoffe - Reinhalt. Luft. 73 (2013) 87–93.

[99] R. Wiglusz, I. Jarnuszkiewicz, E. Sitko, G. Nikol, Interlaboratory comparison experiment on the determination of formaldehyde emitted from mineral wool board using small test chambers, Build. Environ. 35 (2000) 53–57.

[100] A. Colombo, O. Jann, R. Marutzky, The estimate of the steady state formaldehyde concentration in large chamber tests, Staub. Reinhalt. Luft. 54 (1994) 143–146.

[101] S.K. Brown, Chamber assessment of formaldehyde and VOC emissions from wood-based panels, Indoor Air 9 (1999) 209–215.

[102] W. Liang, S. Yang, X. Yang, Long-term formaldehyde emissions from medium-density fiberboard in a full-scale experimental room: emission characteristics and the effects of temperature and humidity, Environ. Sci. Technol. 49 (2015) 10349–10356.

[103] J. Gunschera, S. Mentese, T. Salthammer, J.R. Andersen, Impact of building materials on indoor formaldehyde levels: effect of ceiling tiles, mineral fiber insulation and gypsum board, Build. Environ. 64 (2013) 138–145.

[104] B.Y. Sou, R.C. McMillan, S.M. Causer, P.E. Ingham, Absorption of Formaldehyde by Carpets, Wool Research Organisation of New Zealand Reports (2002) 1–9.

[105] M. Del Carmen Cazorla, M. Grutzeck, Indoor air pollution control: formaldehyde adsorption by zeolite rich materials, Ceram. Trans. (2006) 3–13.

[106] H. Xiang, Y.-J. Wang, Y.-H. Di, Experimental study of wool fiber on purification of indoor air, Text. Res. J. 77 (2007) 946–950.

[107] S. Kim, The reduction of indoor air pollutant from wood-based composite by adding pozzolan for building materials, Constr. Build. Mater. 23 (2009) 2319–2323.

[108] EN 16516, Construction products – Assessment of Release of Dangerous Substances – Determination of Emissions into Indoor Air, Beuth Verlag, Berlin, C2017(C).

[109] B. Meyer, R. Marutzky, H. Schwab, Reduction in Formaldehyde Release from Installed Particle Boards through Structural and Decorative Wall Coverings, IVTH Short Report No. 4/15, International Association for Technical Issues Related to Wood (IVTH), Braunschweig, 2015.

[110] C. Yrieix, Etude “Effet barrière CHOH2” Influence des revêtements sur les émissions de formaldéhyde par les panneaux à base de bois, l’Institut Technologique Forêt Cellulose Bois-construction Ameublement (FCBA), Paris, 2014.

[111] C. Yrieix, Caractérisation des émissions de COV et de formaldéhyde par des panneaux à base de bois représentatifs des productions françaises, l’Institut Technologique Forêt Cellulose Bois-construction Ameublement (FCBA), Paris, 2013.

[112] C. Yrieix, Influence des revêtements sur les émissions de formaldéhyde par les panneaux de particules, l’Institut Technologique Forêt Cellulose Bois-construction Ameublement (FCBA), Paris, 2013.

[113] T. Salthammer, S. Mentese, R. Marutzky, Formaldehyde in the indoor environment, Chem. Rev. 110 (2010) 2536–2572.