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We present a mathematical model of glucose-lactose diauxic growth in Escherichia coli including both the positive and negative regulation mechanisms of the lactose operon as well as the inducer exclusion. To validate this model, we first calculated the time evolution of β-galactosidase for only the lactose nutrient and compared the numerical results with experimental data. Second, we compared the calculated cell biomass of the glucose-lactose diauxic growth with the experimental optical density of the diauxic growth for a particular E. coli MG 1655. For both cases, the numerical calculations from this model are in good agreement with these two experiments’ data. The diauxic growth pattern of a wild type E. coli was also investigated.

I. INTRODUCTION

The complexity of how cells respond to their changing environments requires the understanding of gene regulation and protein expression. An interesting diauxic growth pattern of Escherichia coli (E. coli) on glucose and lactose nutrients has been extensively studied and well characterized for a long time (e.g., Jacob and Monod [19], Jacob and Monod [20], Beckwith [1], Nester et al. [23]). Fig. 1 illustrates a biphasic growth pattern of E. coli in a glucose-rich and lactose-rich medium. Since extra energy is required to make additional enzymes (lactose permease and β-galactosidase) for the lactose transportation and metabolization, the utilization of glucose was always preferred. The diauxic growth pattern can be explained by the lactose operon genetic regulatory network and the inducer exclusion.

Quantitative mathematical models of the lactose operon and diauxic growth have been investigated by many authors (Jong [8], Yagil and Yagil [32], Wong et al. [31], Kremling and Gilles [11], Kremling et al. [12], Mahaffy and Savev [18], Yildirim and Mackey [33], Satil-lan and Mackey [28], Bailey [4], Lee and Bailey [13], Lee and Bailey [16], Laffend and Shuler [13], Ozbudak et al. [26]). A recent genetically structured model for the diauxic growth of E. coli on glucose and lactose was developed by Wong et al. [31]. In their work, all known information including the lactose operon transcription and translation processes, the flux models of unidirectional glucose transport and bidirectional lactose transport, the inducer exclusion, the catabolite repression, and the repressor DNA loop repression, was described by a set of nonlinear ordinary differential equations (ODEs). By solving the system ODEs with appropriate experimental parameters, they produced a typical diauxic growth curve. However, no comparison was made with experimental data. Kremling and Gilles [11] and Kremling et al. [12] developed another structured mathematical model of glucose-lactose diauxic growth and it was validated by their own experiments.

Many other studies of lactose operon modeling were on the stability analysis of steady states and dynamic evolutions of E. coli on the lactose nutrient only. Mahaffy and Savev [18] and Yildirim and Mackey [33] developed their mathematical models including the time delay of translation processes explicitly. Similar to Yagil and Yagil [32], a simple Hill’s function was applied as the regulation function of the lactose operon. Mahaffy and Savev [18] reported that there was a hysteresis of solution within a certain range of the parameter space. Yildirim and Mackey [33] showed a bistable steady state of the lactose operon. However, a common problem in their model was the assumption of a constant growth rate. This assumption is only valid in the exponential growth period, and may not be true through the period from the initial growth of E. coli to the exponential balanced growth.

In this paper, we extended the mathematical model proposed by Wong et al. [31] on one hand by including more realistic complexities (for example, the time
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**FIG. 1:** Diauxic Growth of E. coli on glucose and lactose. I: glucose growth phase; II: lag phase; III: lactose growth phase. E. coli preferentially takes glucose and when the glucose nutrient is depleted, a short lag phase appears before the cell growth resuming on the lactose utilization. Note that the logarithmic scale used in the number of cells.
delay of translation processes of protein synthesis); on the other hand, we simplified their model (for example, by using an intuitive definition of the growth rate (Krembling et al. 12)). As is known, validation and prediction are two essential features for any useful mathematical model for real biological systems. Therefore, the focus of this present work is to validate this extended mathematical model by two particular experiments’ data, and predict the diauxic growth pattern of a wild type E. coli on glucose and lactose in general.

To construct the mathematical model, we made four changes to the model of Wong et al. 31. First, a modified bidirectional flux model based on a simple microscopic description of the symmetric lactose transport was derived. This change connects the difference of influx and efflux of the lactose transport. Second, the time delay of translation processes was considered explicitly. As pointed out by Yildirim and Mackey 32, it was important but failed to appear in Wong’s model. In addition, the rate of translation was modeled by an empirical function of the E. coli growth rate (Bremer and Dennis 3). The third change concerned the growth rate of E. coli. In our treatment, we used the growth rate that depends on the glucose and lactose uptake rate. This intuitive definition let us eliminate the stiffness of the model of Wong et al. 31. The last change was on the inducer exclusion. A Hill’s function with a higher steepness to model the inhibition of lactose permease was employed since it was reported by Kimata et al. 2 that the inducer exclusion may play a major role in the glucose-lactose diauxic growth pattern.

The studies presented here had three parts. In the first part, we defined three levels of βgalactosidase: basal level, partly induced level, and fully induced level. For the lactose nutrient only, we calculated the time evolution of βgalactosidase and compared it to Knorre 10 experimental data. In the second part, we studied the diauxic growth of a particular E. coli MG 1655. The cell biomass of E. coli MG 1655 was calculated by inputting the same concentrations of glucose and lactose as those in experimental studies by Chang et al. 4 (http://www.ou.edu/microarray/oumcf/growth_trans.htm). To fit the experimental data, we converted the cell biomass to the corresponding experimental optical density (OD), and provided an analysis of the discrepancy between the numerical results and the observed OD in the lactose growth period. The numerical results of the mathematical model for both cases are in good agreement with these two experiments’ data. In the third part, by adjusting the rate of glucose transport, the diauxic growth pattern of a wild type E. coli was studied.

![Fig. 2: The lactose operon](image)

**TABLE I: Effectors, transcription factors and binding sites in the lactose operon system**

| Effector | Transcription factor | Regulation site |
|----------|---------------------|-----------------|
| σRNA polymerase | promoter |
| cAMP | CRP | CRP binding site |
| allolactose | repressor | operator |

**II. THE MODEL**

**A. Biological model**

The structure of the lactose operon system is shown in Fig. 2. The transcription of the lactose operon is regulated by the interaction of three transcription factors with their corresponding effectors at their binding sites in DNA listed in Table I. The σ effector, if associated with the RNA polymerase, can help the RNA polymerase to find its promoter site and prepare to initiate the transcription.

The lactose operon genetic regulatory network has both positive and negative feedback loops. The catabolite repression is positively regulated by the extracellular glucose. The high level of the extracellular glucose results in a low level of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), so cAMP receptor protein (CRP) is not activated. If the extracellular glucose density is very low, then a high level of cAMP will result in an activation of CRP. cAMP as a modulator binds CRP to positively control its DNA binding activation. The complex of
cAMP~CRP at its binding site facilitates RNA polymerase binding at the promoter site and helps RNA polymerase in the transcription of the lactose mRNA.

The negative regulation feedback loop is controlled by the interaction between the complex of repressor-operator and allolactose. If the intracellular allolactose (the nature inducer) is not available, the repressor protein is bound at the operator site tightly, and thus the transcription of RNA polymerase is blocked. If the level of the intracellular allolactose is high, the allolactose will bind with the repressor and free the operator so that the transcription of the lactose operon can be initiated. It was reported by Oehler et al. [25] that the binding affinity of the complex of repressor protein and allolactose at the operator site was lowered by about a few thousand fold. If the transcription of the lactose operon is derepressed, then βgalactosidase and lactose permease will be expressed.

The glucose-lactose diauxic growth can be explained by the positive and negative regulation feedback loops and the inducer exclusion. The mechanism of the lactose transporter protein (lactose permease) inhibited by the interaction between lactose permease and the unphosphorylated enzyme type II A subunit (EIIA), a component of phosphoenolpyruvate:sugar phosphotransferase system (PTS) (Postma et al. [27]), is called the inducer exclusion, or glucose effects. Fig. 3 illustrates the metabolic pathway of E. coli in a glucose and lactose medium. When the extracellular glucose is negligible, the inducer exclusion and the positive regulation are minimized. Because it takes time to induce the lactose operon to make the necessary enzymes βgalactosidase and lactose permease to transport and metabolize lactose, a plateau with a negligible growth rate shows up before the cell growth on the lactose utilization. The plateau shown in Fig. 1 characterizes the glucose-lactose diauxic growth in E. coli.

B. Mathematical model

The dynamics of the glucose-lactose diauxic growth has been modelled by Wong et al. [31] by using a set of nonlinear ODEs. Here, we present the four changes in the extended mathematical model which are different from the Wong et al. [31] study. The complete rate equations to solve the dynamics of the glucose-lactose diauxic growth are listed in Appendix A. The units of the intracellular quantities and the extracellular quantities are mol/gDCW (gDCW: gram Dry Cell Weight) and M respectively. The units of the intracellular quantities can be converted to M by using the cell density \( \rho_{\text{cell}} = 300 \text{ gDCW/L} \) when necessary. The unit of biomass is gDCW.

1. Flux model of lactose transport

The flux of the lactose transport entering the cell is given by,

\[
\frac{d\rho_{\text{lac}}^o(t)}{dt} = -k_{\text{lac}}^i (\rho_{\text{cell}}\rho_{\text{perm}}(t)) \frac{\rho_{\text{lac}}^i(t) - \rho_{\text{cell}}\rho_{\text{lac}}^i}{\rho_{\text{lac}}^o(t) + \rho_{\text{cell}}\rho_{\text{lac}}^i + \rho_{\text{lac}}^o(t)}
\]

where \( \rho_{\text{lac}}^o, \rho_{\text{lac}}^i, \) and \( \rho_{\text{perm}} \) denote the densities of the extracellular lactose, the intracellular lactose, and the intracellular lactose permease respectively. The rate constant of the lactose transport is \( k_{\text{lac}}^i \) and the saturation constant of lactose transport is \( \rho_{\text{lac}}^o \). The flux of the lactose transport is proportional to the the density of lactose permease regulated by the lactose operon. The derivation of Eq. 1 based on the microscopic description is given in Appendix B.

Eq. 1 is different from the flux model of the lactose transport given by Wong et al. [31]. First, this flux model is proportional to the difference of the extracellular and intracellular lactose densities. Second, there is only one saturation constant for both influx and efflux of the lactose transport. In our numerical results shown later, the intracellular lactose density is very small compared to the extracellular lactose density. This could explain why the saturation constant of the efflux is very high in the efflux model of the lactose transport by Wong et al. [31].

2. Time delay of translation

Proteins such as βgalactosidase and lactose permease are synthesized in the translation process. The rate equa-
tions of them are,
\[
\frac{d\rho^\text{gal}(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{4} k_{\text{ts}} \rho_m \text{mRNA}(t - \tau_3) - (\mu + d_p) \rho^\text{gal}(t) \quad (2)
\]
\[
\frac{d\rho_\text{perm}(t)}{dt} = k_{\text{ts}} \rho_m \text{mRNA}(t - \tau_p) - (\mu + d_p) \rho_\text{perm}(t) \quad (3)
\]
where \(\rho_m \text{mRNA}\) denotes the density of mRNA molecules. \(\tau_3\) and \(\tau_p\) are the time delay constants of \(\beta\)galactosidase and lactose permease respectively. These two constants are the results due to the finite speed of producing polypeptides at the ribosome sites. The factor \(\frac{1}{4}\) in Eq. 2 accounts for a \(\beta\)galactosidase molecule consisting of four monomers. The number of proteins produced per unit time, i.e., the rate of translation constant, is \(k_{\text{ts}}\). This rate is dependent on the growth rate \((\mu)\). Here, the relationship between \(k_{\text{ts}}\) and the growth rate \(\mu(t)\) was assumed to be,
\[
k_{\text{ts}} = \begin{cases} 
  k_{\text{eff}}^\text{c} \left( \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} \right)^T + k_{\text{basal}} \quad \mu \leq \mu_0, \\
  k_{\text{eff}}^\text{c} + k_{\text{basal}} \quad \mu > \mu_0.
\end{cases}
\]
where \(\mu_0\) is the growth rate corresponding to a one-hour doubling time. If there is no lactose in the nutrient pool, then the lactose operon is maintained at its basal level by the stochastic and rare transcription. Thus, the rate of translation \(k_{\text{ts}}\) was assumed to be \(k_{\text{ts}}^\text{basal}\). If lactose nutrient is available, then the rate of translation is increased until \(\mu > \mu_0\). The higher the steepness parameter \(m\) is, the faster the rate of translation \(k_{\text{ts}}\) returns to its normal level from \(k_{\text{basal}}\). The protein degradation time of \(\beta\)galactosidase and lactose permease is \(d_p\).

3. Inducer Exclusion

When a glucose molecule is transported into a cell, it is phosphorylated into a glucose-6-phosphate by a phosphorylated EIIA. The unphosphorylated EIIA inhibits the lactose permease and thus prevents the lactose transport. This inhibition is currently known only for the extracellular lactose. Therefore, in the expression of the flux model of the lactose transport, an inhibition factor is attached to the influx of the extracellular lactose density. We used a similar Hill’s function (Wong et al. [31]) but with a higher steepness for modeling the inducer exclusion process in this study. It was reported by Kimata et al. [9] that glucose effects may play an important role in the diauxic growth pattern. To incorporate this information, the inducer expression is modeled by,
\[
R_{i\text{ex}} = \frac{K_{i\text{ex}}}{K_{i\text{ex}}^2 + \rho^\text{gal}(t)^2}.
\]
where the inhibition constant is \(K_{i\text{ex}}\) and the extracellular glucose density is \(\rho^\text{gal}\). The total flux of lactose coming into the cell with the inducer exclusion is now modified,
\[
\frac{d\rho^\text{lac}(t)}{dt} = -k_{i\text{ex}} \left( \rho_{\text{cell}} \rho_\text{perm}(t) - \rho^\text{gal}(t) \right) \rho^\text{lac}(t) + \rho_{\text{cell}} \rho^\text{lac}(t) + \rho^\text{lac}(t) \quad (4)
\]

4. Growth Rate

The doubling time may be defined as the time needed for a cell absorbing the number of glucose molecules \(N_{\text{cell}}^\text{glu}\) to make a cell. To find this number \(N_{\text{cell}}^\text{glu}\), the yield coefficient of glucose \((Y_{\alpha/\text{glu}})\) and an average \(E.\ coli\) cell biomass was used. The doubling time is thus given as a function of the flux of glucose or lactose transport,
\[
T_d = \frac{N_{\text{cell}}^\text{glu}}{dN_{\text{glu}}/dt},
\]
and the growth rate is defined as \(\mu = \frac{\ln 2}{T_d}\). The intracellular lactose and allolactose is hydrolyzed into glucose and galactose, and galactose is then converted into glucose. We simply used one lactose molecule contributing two glucose molecules. The growth rate is thus given,
\[
\mu(t) = \frac{\ln 2}{N_{\text{cell}}^\text{glu}} \left( k_{i\text{ex}} \rho^\text{gal}(t) \rho_{\text{glu}}(t) + \rho^\text{glu}(t) \rho_{\text{glu}}(t) + \rho_{\text{glu}}(t) + \rho_{\text{glu}}(t) \right). \quad (5)
\]

The rate of the glucose transport and the saturation constant of the glucose transport are denoted as \(k_{i\text{glu}}^0\) and \(\rho^*_{\text{glu}}\) respectively. Note that the unit of \(N_{\text{cell}}^\text{glu}\) is now \(mol/gDCW\). The expression of the growth rate shows that \(\mu(t)\) depends on the extracellular glucose and lactose densities as well as the inducer exclusion. This intuitive definition of the growth rate (Krempfing et al. [12]) avoids the stiffness resulting from the assumption of the growth rate depending on the level of glucose-6-phosphate and the extra burden of the lactose operon (Wong et al. [31]).

III. RESULTS

To solve the dynamics of \(E.\ coli\) growth on glucose and lactose nutrients, the rate equations in Appendix A were integrated by the fourth-order Runge-Kutta algorithm. All the parameters used in the rate equations are listed in Table III.

We first assumed the volume of the glucose or lactose nutrient pool to be infinite but their densities were kept as constants all the time during the cell growth. This corresponds to the average cell growth studies without considering the change of the extracellular glucose or lactose densities. Second, we took the volume of glucose or lactose pool as 1 l, but the extracellular glucose and lactose densities were varied with time. The dynamics of the glucose-lactose diauxic growth were then investigated. In
both numerical calculations, the repressor density was assumed to be a constant, which is roughly 15 repressor proteins per cell. Finally, we studied the diauxic growth pattern of a wild type E. coli by adjusting the rate constant of the glucose transport.

A. Lactose growth

The induction status of the lactose operon in this study is described by the basal level, partly induced level, and fully or completely induced level. The fully induced status is defined as the maximal level of βgalactosidase when the lactose operon works at its maximal transcription efficiency. It was reported that the repression level of the lactose operon increased 2400 - 3000 fold for 10 - 15 repression proteins per cell (30). Therefore, we defined the basal level of $\rho_{basal}$ as about one 2700th of $\rho_{max}$. The maximal value of βgalactosidase was obtained by letting the extracellular lactose density be very high. A partly induced lactose operon was defined as being between the observable induction level and the maximal induction level of βgalactosidase. Similar to Ozbudak et al. (20), this observable induction level was defined as one 100th of $\rho_{max}$. If the induction level of the lactose operon falls between the basal level and the observable level, the lactose operon is not induced. If the induction level is above the observable level but less than the maximal levels, then the lactose operon is partly induced.

In the numerical calculations, the maximal level of βgalactosidase, lactose permease, intracellular lactose and allolactose were obtained by letting $\rho_{lac} = 4 \times 10^{-2} M$ or above, because the maximal transcription efficiency was saturated at the very high extracellular lactose density. By using the parameters listed in Table II, $\rho_{max}^{\beta\text{galactosidase}}$ is $2.1 \times 10^{-8} \text{ mol/gDCW}$, which is about 3150 βgalactosidase molecules per cell if 1 molecule per cell corresponds to $2 \times 10^{-9} M$. First, we let the extracellular lactose density be zero, and solved the system ODEs. The basal level of the rate of translation $k_{ts}^{basal}$ was adjusted and estimated such that the induction level of the lactose operon was less than or equal to the basal level. Next, a few numerical experiments were done within a range of the extracellular lactose densities from $1 \times 10^{-3} M$ to $4 \times 10^{-2} M$. The calculated results of βgalactosidase were normalized by their steady state values respectively. In Fig. 4 the numerical curves of βgalactosidase for four different levels of the extracellular lactose were plotted and compared to Knorre’s experimental data (10). At the very beginning (less than 25 minutes) of the cell growth on lactose only, the sigmoid shape of these calculated curves can be seen in Fig. 4. This is in contrast to the hyperbolic shape given in the previous study by Satillan and Mackey (28). The reason for this change is mainly due to the change we made on the growth rate $\mu$, i.e., $\mu(t)$ is the time-dependent growth rate while it was assumed to be a constant in the previous study (Satillan and Mackey (28)). Other changes such as the time delay of the protein translation and the time-dependent translation rate (depending on the growth rate $\mu(t)$) also played some roles. The sigmoid shape of βgalactosidase could partly explain the lag phase of the glucose-lactose diauxic growth before the cell growth switched on lactose.

If both glucose and lactose are present in an infinite pool, then the extracellular lactose and glucose densities are to be assumed to be fixed all the time during E. coli growth. In this situation with the initial cell biomass or cell number assumed to be very small, bistability of the lactose operon can be studied. A numerical study of the lactose operon bistability with full consideration of interactions of regulation sites on DNA with their effectors and transcription factors has been given by Satillan and Mackey (28). In their study, the level of βgalactosidase was taken as the order parameter to indicate the lactose operon transition from the uninduced state to the induced state. When the extracellular lactose density increased up to a threshold value, the level of $\rho_{\beta\text{gal}}$ increased discontinuously from zero to a finite value. When the process was reversed, the level of βgalactosidase decreased continuously through that threshold value.

In this study, we did the numerical calculations on both increasing and decreasing extracellular lactose density. However, we did not find discontinuation of $\rho_{\beta\text{gal}}$. A possible reason of failing to see the bistability is due to the growth rate $\mu$. The growth rate in our study is time dependent and less than the constant growth rate used in Satillan and Mackey (28). Moreover, the transcription efficiency of the lactose operon in their calculation was carried out by the partition function which was different from our approach. For lactose only in a nutrient pool, we found the threshold value to turn on the lactose operon at the observable induction level to be
The threshold value to turn on the lactose operon was thio-methylgalactoside (TMG) were used as substrates. The bistability of the lactose operon when both glucose and cellular lactose density. Ozbudak et al. [26] studied the operon can only be reached by a high level of the extracellular lactose. To monitor the cell growth, the OD at 600 nm changed during the growth period of E. coli MG 1655. The MG 1655 was cultured in 1 liter with a medium containing 0.5 g/l glucose and 1.5 g/l lactose. To monitor the cell growth, the OD at 600 nm was measured.

In numerical calculations, the glucose and lactose extracellular densities (0.5 g/l and 1.5 g/l) correspond to \( \rho_{\text{glu}}(t = 0) = 2.78 \text{ mM} \) and \( \rho_{\text{lact}}(t = 0) = 4.38 \text{ mM} \), respectively. It was reported that the lag phase or the transition between the glucose growth and the lactose growth lasted about 36 minutes. The OD discussed by Lawrence and Maier [14] is accurate when its values increase from 0.1 to 0.6, and is linearly related to the cell biomass. If OD is higher than 0.6, the true OD should be higher than that observed in the experimental measurement, and the linear relationship is no longer valid. The real OD can be corrected by a standard curve in a specific experiment. Since the rate of glucose uptake depends on the extracellular glucose density, the number of EIIBC, and the dwelling time associated with the phosphorylation process, different species of E. coli may have different numbers of EIIBC and dwelling time. This results in the different growth rate and doubling time.

The typical doubling time in glucose exponential growth of E. coli MG 1655 is about 60 minutes (http://www.genome.wisc.edu/resources/strains.htm). To fit the experimental data given by Chang et al. [4], the rate constant of glucose transport, \( k_{\text{glu}} \), was taken as \( 2.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol glu/gDCW/min} \) while a typical range of this rate constant is \( 1.0 - 3.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol glu/gDCW/min} \). The rate constant of glucose transport determines the doubling time of the cell growth on glucose (see Eq. 4).

The calculated OD in Fig. 5 is in good agreement with the experimental OD during the glucose growth and the lag phase, but it is higher during the lactose growth. This discrepancy can be explained by the OD measurement mentioned before. Since the OD during the lactose growth is greater than 0.6, the real OD should be higher than the experimental measured OD (Lawrence and Maier [14]), and a standard calibration can be applied to correct the measurement due to the insensitivity of the light scattering. This statement actually confirms that the higher calculated curve of OD is consistent with the analysis of the real OD measurement. Because the initial cell mass given in this study is very small, \( 10^{-3} \text{ gDCW} \), we have shifted the time and used a proportional constant between the cell biomass and the OD. The proportional constant between the OD and the cell biomass is 2.62, i.e., \( OD = 2.62X \). In Fig. 5 the lag phase was estimated to be 32 - 38 minutes.

B. Glucose-lactose diauxic growth

If the glucose and lactose nutrient pool is finite, then the extracellular glucose and lactose densities will be changed during the growth period of E. coli. There have been many experimental works on the glucose-lactose diauxic growth pattern, and most experimental data have been given in terms of OD rather than the cell biomass. Ozbudak et al. [26] studied the bistability of the lactose operon when both glucose and thio-methylgalactoside (TMG) were used as substrates. The threshold value to turn on the lactose operon was estimated as 3 \( \mu \text{M} \) with only TMG.

C. Diauxic growth pattern of a wild type E. coli

The doubling time of the typical wild type E. coli is usually within 20 to 40 minutes, which is lower than the doubling time of E. coli MG 1655. To reflect this characteristic, we increased the rate constant of glucose transport \( k_{\text{glu}} = 3.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol glu/gDCW/min} \) for a wild type E. coli.

In the numerical calculations, four levels of the initial extracellular glucose and lactose were set as: \( \rho_{\text{glu}}(t = 0) = \rho_{\text{lact}}(t = 0) = 1, 2, 3, 4 \text{ mM} \). The initial levels of mRNA, \( \beta \text{galactosidase} \), and lactose permease were set to their basal levels. The biomass was initially used as 10\(^{-5}\) gDCW. All other quantities were zero initially. In Fig. 6 we plotted time courses of cell biomass, growth rate, mRNA, \( \beta \text{galactosidase} \), intracellular lactose, extracellular lactose and glucose. The diauxic growth
patterns (logarithmic scale used in the cell biomass) on glucose and lactose are shown in Fig. 6(a). The slopes of the cell biomass represent different growth rates.

From Fig. 6(b), during the exponential growth period of glucose, the growth rate \( \mu(t) \) was almost a constant. However, when the level of the extracellular glucose decreased after 500 minutes, the growth rate \( \mu(t) \) dropped rapidly. In the following lag phase, the growth rate was negligible or less than the threshold value of detecting cell growth. After the lag phase, \( E. coli \) restarted the lactose utilization and the growth rate increased rapidly. When the lactose nutrient was almost used up the growth rate dropped quickly again.

In Fig. 6(b), during the lactose growth period, the growth rate \( \mu(t) \) never reached a constant, so no exponential growth was reached. This is accounted for by the high cell biomass accumulated after the glucose growth period. The base cell biomass was now \( 5 \times 10^{-2} - 2 \times 10^{-1} gDCW \). Therefore, during the lactose growth period, there were no enough lactose nutrient supplied before the lactose exponential growth was reached.

Fig. 6(c) shows how protein \( \beta \)galactosidase changed with time. The protein expression increased rapidly once the lactose utilization started. However, the \( \beta \)galactosidase took a long time to decrease to zero even if there were no lactose nutrient available. This can be explained by the protein degradation time which is approximately 100 minutes. The time evolution of lactose permease was the same as \( \beta \)galactosidase except at the level four times greater than the level of \( \beta \)galactosidase.

The level of mRNA\(_{zya}\) is shown in Fig. 6(d). The mRNA\(_{zya}\) molecules increased and decreased similar to the growth rate \( \mu(t) \) during the lactose growth period. The peak densities of mRNA\(_{zya}\) in Fig. 6(d) were about 15 - 18 molecules per cell. The level of the intracellular lactose in Fig. 6 was very low and it had the same time period, there were no enough lactose nutrient supplied before the lactose exponential growth was reached.

IV. SUMMARY

We have presented an extended mathematical model of the glucose-lactose diauxic growth in \( E. coli \). The mathematical model made with four changes was validated by two experiments’ data. For the lactose nutrient only in an infinite pool, the numerical result of time evolution of \( \beta \)galactosidase was compared with Knorre’s experimental data. For both glucose and lactose nutrients in an finite pool, the dynamics of the cell biomass were converted to the experimental OD of \( E. coli \) MG 1655. The discrepancy between the calculated OD and the observed experimental OD in the period of the lactose growth was analyzed. The diauxic growth pattern of a wild type of \( E. coli \).
coli was studied. The extended mathematical model in this work shows that diauxic growth patterns of different species of E. coli can be quantitatively investigated and understood well by integrating all available information of the involved biological processes with different time scales.

APPENDIX A: RATE EQUATIONS

The nonlinear ODEs (Wong et al. [31]) used to solve the dynamics of the diauxic growth with the four changes made in this study are:

\[
\frac{d\rho^\text{glu}}{dt} = -\rho^\text{glu} \frac{\rho^\text{glu} \rho^\text{lac}}{\rho^\text{lac} + \rho^\text{glu}}, \quad (\text{A1})
\]

\[
\frac{d\rho^\text{lac}}{dt} = -k^\text{lac}_\text{cell} \rho^\text{lac} \frac{\rho^\text{lac} R_{\text{ex}} - \rho^\text{lac} + \rho^\text{lac} + \rho^\text{lac}}{\rho^\text{lac} + \rho^\text{lac} + \rho^\text{lac}}, \quad (\text{A2})
\]

\[
\frac{d\rho_{\text{mRNA}}}{dt} = k_{\text{t}} P \rho_{\text{lacz}} - (\mu(t) + d_{\text{mRNA}}) \rho_{\text{mRNA}}, \quad (\text{A3})
\]

\[
\frac{d\rho_{\beta\text{gal}}}{dt} = \frac{1}{4} k_{\text{t}} P \rho_{\text{mRNA}} (t - \tau_{\beta}) - (\mu(t) + d_p) \rho_{\beta\text{gal}}, \quad (\text{A4})
\]

\[
\frac{d\rho_{\text{perm}}}{dt} = k_{\text{t}} P \rho_{\text{mRNA}} (t - \tau_{\beta}) - (\mu(t) + d_p) \rho_{\text{perm}}, \quad (\text{A5})
\]

\[
\frac{d\rho_{\text{lac}}}{dt} = k^\text{lac}_\text{cell} \rho_{\text{perm}} \frac{\rho^\text{lac} - \rho^\text{lac}}{\rho^\text{lac} + \rho^\text{lac} + \rho^\text{lac}} - k_{\text{lac}}^\text{cat} \rho^\text{gal} \rho^\text{lac} \rho^\text{lac} + k_{\text{lac}} \rho^\text{lac} \rho^\text{lac} + k_{\text{lac}} \rho^\text{lac} \rho^\text{lac} - \mu \rho^\text{lac}, \quad (\text{A6})
\]

\[
\frac{d\rho_{\beta\text{gal}}}{dt} = k_{\text{t}}^\text{cat} \rho_{\text{gal}} \rho_{\text{lac}} \rho_{\text{lac}} + k_{\text{lac}} \rho_{\text{lac}} + k_{\text{lac}} \rho_{\text{lac}} - \mu \rho_{\text{lac}}, \quad (\text{A7})
\]

\[
\frac{d\rho_{\text{cAMP}}}{dt} = k_{\text{cAMP}} \frac{K_{\text{cAMP}}}{K_{\text{cAMP}} + \rho_{\text{glu}}} \rho_{\text{glu}} - (k_x + \mu(t)) \rho_{\text{cAMP}}, \quad (\text{A8})
\]

\[
\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = \mu(t) X(t). \quad (\text{A9})
\]

Eq. A1 describes the flux of glucose transport entering the cell. The extracellular glucose density is \( \rho^\text{glu}(t) \). The rate of glucose transport and the saturation constant are \( k^\text{glu}_\text{cell} \) and \( \rho^\text{glu} \) respectively.

Eq. A2 describes the flux of lactose transport entering the cell. The extracellular lactose density is \( \rho^\text{lac}(t) \), and the intracellular lactose density is \( \rho^\text{lac} \). The cell density \( \rho^\text{cell} \) is used for converting the unit \( \text{mol/gDCW} \) of the intracellular quantities having the same unit \( \text{M} \) as the extracellular lactose density. The rate of lactose transport and the saturation constant are \( k^\text{lac}_\text{cell} \) and \( \rho^\text{lac} \) respectively.

Eq. A3 describes the transcription process of the lactose operon. The densities of mRNA and the lactose genes are \( \rho_{\text{mRNA}} \) and \( \rho_{\text{lacz}} \). The degradation rate and the rate of transcription are \( d_{\text{mRNA}} \) and \( k_{\text{t}} \) respectively. The maximal transcription rate \( k_{\text{t}} \) is estimated as \( 2/s \), for the size of mRNA polymerase with the \( \sigma \) factor is \( \sim 37 \text{ nm} \) and the elongation rate of mRNA molecule is about \( 30 \text{ bp/s} \).

The factor \( P \), representing the transcription efficiency of the lactose operon, is decomposed into \( P = P_1 \times P_2 \times P_3 \). \( P_1 \) is the probability of the complex of RNA polymerase with the \( \sigma \) factor at the promoter site; \( P_2 \) is the probability of the complex cAMP and CRP at the CRP binding site; and \( P_3 \) is the probability of the empty operator site. The calculations of \( P_1, P_2 \) and \( P_3 \) are given in Appendix C.

Eqs. A4 and A5 describe the rate changes of \( \beta \)galactosidase and lactose permease. The rate of translation is \( k_{\text{t}} \) and it depends on the growth rate \( \mu(t) \). The degradation rate is \( d_p \). Time delay constants of \( \beta \)galactosidase and lactose permease are \( \tau_{\beta} \) and \( \tau_{p} \) respectively.

Eqs. A6 and A7 describe the rate changes of the intracellular lactose and allolactose. The hydrolysis of lac-
tose and allolactose was assumed to follow the Michaelis-Menten mechanism. The saturation constants of the lactose and allolactose hydrolyzation are $K_{lac}$ and $K_{allo}$. The rate constants of transforming lactose or allolactose to glucose and galactose are $k_{cat}^{lac}$ and $k_{cat}^{allo}$ respectively.

Eq. A8 describes the catabolite repression regulated by cAMP. The cAMP synthesis constant and inhibition constant are $k_{cAMP}$ and $K_{cAMP}$ respectively. Eq. A9 describes the dynamics of the cell biomass. The values of all parameters in the rate equations are given in Table II inserted here.

**APPENDIX B: DERIVATION OF THE FLUX MODEL OF THE LACTOSE TRANSPORT**

The microscopic description of bidirectional lactose transport is similar to that of the glucose transport; however, the lactose transport is more complicated. For simplicity, we first derived the flux model of glucose transport and then the flux model of bidirectional lactose transport.

Glucose is transported by the glucose transporter enzyme type II BC (EIIBC), a component of PTS. In this study we assumed two states of EIIBC: either free or occupied. If the EIIBC subunit is occupied by a glucose molecule, then it can not accept any more glucose molecules until it is free again. The total number of EIIBC on the plasma membrane of *E. coli* is given at time $t$,

$$N_{tot}^e(t) = N_f^e(t) + N_o^e(t),$$

where numbers of free and occupied by glucose are $N_f^e(t)$ and $N_o^e(t)$ respectively. The total flux of nutrients into the cell depends on the number of free EIIBC and the extracellular glucose density,

$$J_{cell}^e = N_f^e K_c \rho_{glu}(t).$$

$K_c$ is the proportional constant characterized by the nature of biochemical reactions of glucose and EIIBC. At any instant time, $N_f^e(t)$ glucose transporters are occupied by glucose molecules and if the time for a glucose molecule to be completely transported into the cell is $t_s$, we then expect the number of glucose molecules per unit time entering the cell to be,

$$J_{cell}^e = \frac{N_f^e(t)}{t_s}.$$

The time $t_s$ for transporting a glucose molecule into cell may be associated with the phosphorylation process, and the time scale of this transportation process is $\sim ms$. Because it is very small compared to the time scale of changing the density of EIIBC, which is $\sim s$, these fluxes must be equal. This gives us the number of free EIIBC as,

$$N_f^e(t) = \frac{N_{tot}^e(t)}{1 + t_s K_c \rho_{glu}(t)}.$$
APPENDIX C: CALCULATION OF TRANSCRIPTION EFFICIENCY

The transcription initiated by mRNA polymerase will not start until the lactose operon is activated. The activation of the lactose operon is mainly determined by the transcription efficiency (Bailey [2]). Presently, three approaches are available. The first is to use a Hill’s function as the regulation function and ignore the detailed molecular biochemical information of the lactose operon. This approach has been developed for a long time to simulate the regulation process of the lactose operon. The second approach is based on the assumption of fast equilibrium approximation; the transcription efficiency is treated as the product of three binding probabilities: the probability ($P_1$) of mRNA polymerase associated with $\sigma$ factor binding at the promoter site; the probability ($P_2$) of a complex of CRP and cAMP binding at the CRP site; and the probability ($P_3$) of the free operator. From the mass balance law and given detailed biochemical reactions of the lactose operon, a set of nonlinear algebra equations can be constructed with all kinetic parameters from the experimental studies. The third approach is through identifying all possible states occurring in the binding sites and then giving a partition function considering the statistical mechanics with the rapid equilibrium approximation. The transcription efficiency, or the induction probability, is calculated by the states allowed for transcription to all possible states.

The total induction probability is thus,

$$ P = P_1 \times P_2 \times P_3, $$

where

$$ P_1 = \frac{\rho(mRNAp, \sigma, p)}{\rho(p)}, $$

$$ P_2 = \frac{\rho(CRP, cAMP, CRP site)}{\rho(CRP site)}, $$

$$ P_3 = \frac{\rho(o, free)}{\rho(o, tot)}. $$

Similar to Lee and Bailey [13], Laffend and Shuler [13], and Wong et al. [31], the transcription efficiency was calculated by three fractions. At any instant time, rapid equilibrium of the lactose operon was assumed. The biochemical reactions associated with this rapid equilibrium are written as,

$$ \rho_{x1} + \rho_{x2} \xrightarrow{C_1} \rho_{x1x2}, $$

$$ \rho_{x1} + \rho_{x3} \xrightarrow{C_2} \rho_{x1x3}, $$

$$ \rho_{x1} + \rho_{x4} \xrightarrow{C_3} \rho_{x1x4}, $$

$$ \rho_{x1x2} + \rho_{x3} \xrightarrow{C_4} \rho_{x1x2x3}, $$

$$ \rho_{x1x2} + \rho_{x4} \xrightarrow{C_5} \rho_{x1x2x4}. $$

The equilibrium constants $C_1$, $C_2$, $C_3$, $C_4$, and $C_5$ are listed in Table III. The $x_1$, $x_2$, and $x_3$ are transcription factor, effector, and response element respectively (see Table II). $x_4$ stands for the nonspecific DNA sites in E. coli. If the mass balance law is implied at any time, we have the following equations,

$$ \rho_{x1}(tot) = \rho_{x1} + \rho_{x1x2} + \rho_{x1x3} + \rho_{x1x4} + \rho_{x1x2x3} + \rho_{x1x2x4}, $$

$$ \rho_{x2}(tot) = \rho_{x2} + \rho_{x1x2} + \rho_{x1x2x3} + \rho_{x1x2x4}, $$

$$ \rho_{x3}(tot) = \rho_{x3} + \rho_{x1x3} + \rho_{x1x2x3}, $$

$$ \rho_{x4}(tot) = \rho_{x4} + \rho_{x1x4} + \rho_{x1x2x4}. $$

Since the number of nonspecific sites of DNA is much greater than that of regulation sites, $\rho_{x4}$ is approximated as the total number of DNA sites, $\rho_{x4}(tot) = \rho_{x4}^{\text{tot}}$. The combinations of $x_1$, $x_2$, $x_3$, $x_4$ represent the complexes of them. In this study, only complexes like $x_1x_2$, $x_1x_3$, $x_1x_4$, $x_1x_2x_3$, and $x_1x_2x_4$ exist. Table III inserted here.

By using equilibrium relationships, $\rho_{x1x2} = C_1\rho_{x1}\rho_{x2}$, $\rho_{x1x3} = C_2\rho_{x1}\rho_{x3}$, $\rho_{x1x4} = C_3\rho_{x1}\rho_{x4}$, $\rho_{x1x2x3} = C_4\rho_{x1}\rho_{x2}\rho_{x3}$, and $\rho_{x1x2x4} = C_5\rho_{x1}\rho_{x2}\rho_{x4}$, three nonlinear algebraic equations with three unknown quantities, $\rho_{x1}$, $\rho_{x2}$, and $\rho_{x3}$ are given,

$$ \rho_{x1}(tot) = \rho_{x1} + C_1\rho_{x1}\rho_{x2} + C_2\rho_{x1}\rho_{x3} + C_3\rho_{x1}\rho_{x4} + C_4C_1\rho_{x1}\rho_{x2}\rho_{x3} + C_5C_1\rho_{x1}\rho_{x2}\rho_{x4} $$

$$ \rho_{x2}(tot) = \rho_{x2} + C_1\rho_{x1}\rho_{x2} + C_4C_1\rho_{x1}\rho_{x3}\rho_{x2} + C_5C_1\rho_{x1}\rho_{x2}\rho_{x3} $$

$$ \rho_{x3}(tot) = \rho_{x3} + C_2\rho_{x1}\rho_{x3} + C_4C_1\rho_{x1}\rho_{x2}\rho_{x3} $$

The $\rho_{x1}(tot)$, $\rho_{x2}(tot)$, and $\rho_{x3}(tot)$ are input densities, which can be constant values (see Table III), or predicted by the differential equations [13], whereas $\rho_{x1}$, $\rho_{x2}$, and $\rho_{x3}$ are free parts of them. The transcription efficiency $P = P_1 \times P_2 \times P_3$ can be calculated by solving three sets of the above three nonlinear algebra equations respectively. For $P_1$, $\rho_{x1} = \rho_{x1}^{\text{tot}}$, $\rho_{x2} = \rho_{x2}^{\text{tot}}$, and $\rho_{x3} = \rho_{x3}^{\text{tot}}$; for $P_2$, $\rho_{x1} = \rho_{x1}^{\text{crp}}$, $\rho_{x2} = \rho_{x2}^{\text{AMP}}$, and $\rho_{x3} = \rho_{x3}^{\text{tot}}$; for $P_3$, $\rho_{x1} = \rho_{\text{repressor}}$, $\rho_{x2} = \rho_{\text{allo}}$, and $\rho_{x3} = \rho_{\text{tot}}$. The densities of cAMP and the intracellular allolactose are provided by the nonlinear ordinary differential equations in Appendix A.
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TABLE II: Parameters used in modeling E. coli growth.

| Parameter       | Value                  | Reference |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------|
| $k_{glu}^t$     | $1.0 - 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ mol glu/(gDCW min) | [22]      |
| $\rho_{glu}$   | $15 \mu M$             | [27]      |
| $k_{lac}$      | $2148$ min$^{-1}$      | [31]      |
| $\rho_{lac}$   | $2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ M | [17]      |
| $k_{tc}$       | $120$ min$^{-1}$       | estimated |
| $d_{mRNA}$     | $0.693$ min$^{-1}$     | [16]      |
| $k_{ff}$       | $18.8$ min$^{-1}$      | [16]      |
| $\tau_\beta$  | $0.05$ min             | [33]      |
| $\tau_p$      | $1.05$ min             | [33]      |
| $d_p$          | $0.01$ min$^{-1}$      | [15]      |
| $k_{basal}$    | $1.8$ min$^{-1}$       | estimated |
| $K_{lac}$      | $1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ M | [31]      |
| $K_{allo}$     | $2.8 \times 10^{-4}$ M | [31]      |
| $k_{cat}$      | $9540$ min$^{-1}$      | [31]      |
| $k_{allo}$     | $8460$ min$^{-1}$      | [31]      |
| $k_{allo}$     | $1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ min$^{-1}$ | [21] |
| $K_{cAMP}$     | $2 \times 10^{-5}$ M/ min | [7] |
| $K_{AMP}$      | $4 \times 10^{-4}$ M  | [24]      |
| $k_{ex}$       | $2.1$ min$^{-1}$       | [6]       |
| $Y_{x/gluc}$   | $90$ gDCW/(mol glu)    | estimated |
| $\rho_{cell}$ | $300$ gDCW/L          | [31]      |
| $\rho_{allo}$ | $8.47 \times 10^{-12}$ mol/gDCW | [31] |
| $\rho_{cat}$  | $8.47 \times 10^{-10}$ mol/gDCW | estimated |
| $\rho_{cAMP}$ | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ M   | [31]      |
| $\rho_{AMP}$  | $1 \times 10^{-6}$ M   | [31]      |
| $\rho_{cAMP}$ | $2 \times 10^{-6}$ M   | [31]      |
| $\rho_{allo}$ | $8.47 \times 10^{-12}$ mol/gDCW | [31] |
| $\rho_{allo}$ | $8.47 \times 10^{-12}$ mol/gDCW | [31] |
| $\rho_{allo}$ | $0.0118$ M            | [31]      |