Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the GhIQD gene family in upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.)
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Abstract

Background: Calmodulin (CaM) is one of the most important Ca $^{2+}$ signaling receptors because it regulates diverse physiological and biochemical reactions in plants. CaM functions by interacting with CaM-binding proteins (CaMBPs) to modulate Ca $^{2+}$ signaling. IQ domain (IQD) proteins are plant-specific CaMBPs that bind to CaM by its specific CaM binding sites. Result: In this study, we firstly identified 102 GhIQD genes in the Gossypium hirsutum L. genome. The GhIQD gene family was classified into seven clusters (Ia, Ib, Ic, II, IIIa, IIIb and IV), and we then mapped the GhIQD genes to the 26 chromosomes. Moreover, we found that 100 of the 102 GhIQD genes resulted from segmental duplication events, indicating that segmental duplication is the main force driving GhIQD gene expansion. Gene expression pattern analysis showed that a total of 89 GhIQD genes are expressed in the elongation stage and second cell wall biosynthesis stage of the fiber cells, suggesting that GhIQD genes may contribute to fiber cell development in cotton. In addition, we found that 20 randomly-selected GhIQD genes were highly expressed in different tissues. Exogenous application of MeJA significantly enhanced the expression levels of GhIQD genes. Conclusion: Our study shows that GhIQD genes are involved in fiber cell development in cotton and are also widely induced by MeJA, which provides a basis to systematically characterize the evolution and biological functions of GhIQD genes, as well as clues to breed better cotton varieties in the future.

Background

Calcium signaling is one of the most important cytosolic second messages that mediates various developmental processes and the responses to biotic and abiotic stresses [1]. Cytoplasmic Ca$^{2+}$ signals exert their functions through changes in the Ca$^{2+}$ concentration with spatiotemporal specificity [2], and can be induced by extracellular stimuli such as
drought, salt-alkali stress, and light, or intracellular stimuli such as plant hormones and pathogenic factors [3]. In stimulated cells, cytoplasmic Ca$^{2+}$ levels show significant transient increases or changes in their concentration gradients or regional distribution [4]. Ca$^{2+}$ ions can be detected and decoded by calcium-binding proteins known as Ca$^{2+}$ receptors, which then transduce the signals into a series of downstream effects [5], including the dephosphorylation or phosphorylation of target proteins [6]. Most Ca$^{2+}$ receptor proteins contain helix-loop-helix fold (EF-hand) motifs that act as Ca$^{2+}$-binding domains. In higher plants, the calcium receptor proteins can be divided into four categories [7]: Calmodulin (CaM), CaM-like proteins (CML), calcineurin B-like proteins (CBL), and calcium-dependent protein kinases (CDPK) [8], all of which contain EF-hand motifs.

CaM is widely distributed in eukaryotic cells. Among the known signal transduction pathways, CaM-mediated signal transduction has been shown to be the main pathway that functions in plants [1, 9]. CaM is highly conserved and stable, and both the C- and N-termini contain two spherical domains that are connected by α-helix motifs. Every spherical domain has an EF-hand motif, which is a Ca$^{2+}$ ion-binding domain. One CaM molecule can bind four Ca$^{2+}$ ions. The combination of Ca$^{2+}$ with CaM forms the Ca/CaM complex, which changes the conformation of CaM, exposing the negatively charged hydrophobic surface in the spherical region and playing a role in the interaction between CaM and target proteins [10]. CaM that is not combined with Ca$^{2+}$ ions, known as apocalmodulin (ApoCaM), functions by combining with Ca$^{2+}$-independent CaMBP to transmit signals in low-Ca$^{2+}$ conditions [11, 12]. Thus, the identification and analysis of CaMBPs is important to illustrate the various functions of CaM in signal transduction.
processes.

CaM is one of the most important Ca$^{2+}$ signaling receptors [13], and it regulates diverse physiological and biochemical reactions. However, CaM has no enzymatic activity and transmits signals by interacting with CaM-binding proteins to modulate cellular physiology [14]. CaM-binding proteins (CaMBPs) play important roles between Ca$^{2+}$ and CaM and are the target proteins of the direct action of CaM. CaMBPs can be divided into two classes that are Ca$^{2+}$-dependent or Ca$^{2+}$-independent [1]. The IQ motif was the firstly identified Ca$^{2+}$-independent CaM-binding motif. In plants, the proteins containing IQ motifs include the myosin protein family, the calmodulin-binding transcription activator (CAMTA) protein family, the cyclic nucleotide-gated channel (CNGC) protein family, the IQ-motif containing (IQM) protein family, and the IQ67-domain containing (IQD) protein family [15]. IQD gene family members, plant-specific CaM/CML-binding proteins (CaMBPs), were firstly reported in Arabidopsis and rice and are [16]. They are characterized by domains consisting of 67 amino acid residues, such as the IQ67 domain, that are defined by a unique repetitive arrangement of the IQ motif; the Ca$^{2+}$-dependent CaM recruitment motifs exhibit 1-5-10 and 1-8-14 arrangements [17].

Plant-specific IQD gene families have been analyzed in Populus trichocarpa [8], Arabidopsis thaliana, Oryza sativa [16], Phyllostachys edulis [18], Glycine max [19], and Solanum lycopersicum [20], and the functions of a few IQD genes have been reported. In tomato, the SUN genes and other members of the IQD gene family exert their effects on organ shape by interacting with microtubules [15]. In A. thaliana, AtIQD5 regulates pavement cell morphogenesis via Ca$^{2+}$ signals [21]. The tomato IQD gene SUN24 regulates seed germination through the abscisic acid (ABA) signaling pathway [22]. The AtIQD1 protein localizes to microtubules and interacts with kinesin light chain-related protein 1
(KLCR1) to facilitate the cellular transport of specific cargo [17]. *PtIQD* genes show tissue-specific expression patterns and could also be regulated by drought and methyl jasmonate (MeJA) stresses [8]. Additionally, some of the *GmiQD* genes are expressed specifically and could be regulated by MeJA stress [19].

Upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) is one of the most important economic crops worldwide, because it provides raw materials for the textile industry as well as an edible oil for food use. Therefore, *G. hirsutum* L. fiber production and quality are very important. However, the production is greatly affected by abiotic and biotic stresses. For example, in China, aphid infestation has been found to reduce *G. hirsutum* L. production by 30% [23], and salt stress has been shown to decrease cotton fiber production by 20% [24]. IQD proteins are CaMBPs that play important roles in plant stress signal transduction. However, these proteins have not been reported in *G. hirsutum* L. In this study, we firstly identified 102 *GhIQD* genes and determined their chromosomal locations, predicted protein physicochemical properties, duplications, phylogenetic relationships, and expression patterns during fiber development at 5, 10, 15, and 25 days post anthesis (dpa). Twenty selected *GhIQD* genes were selected for the analysis of tissue-specific expression patterns and their response to MeJA stress. These preliminary results for the *GhIQD* genes provide the foundation for further research on the physiological and biochemical functions of IQD proteins in *G. hirsutum* L.

**Results**

**Identification of *GhIQD* gene family members in *G. hirsutum* L.**

In order to identify IQD gene family members in *G. hirsutum* L., 36 *Arabidopsis* IQD protein sequences were used as queries to search the allotetraploid cotton genome database. After the further selection of conserved domains, a total of 102 *IQD* genes from the *G. hirsutum* L. genome were identified as members of the *GhIQD* gene family. The
chromosomal locations of the *GhIQD* genes were then determined using the allotetraploid cotton genome information [25]. As a result, all of the *GhIQD* genes were mapped to the 26 chromosomes and named GhIQDA01.1 to GhIQDD13.12 based on their relative positions on the chromosomes (Table 1, Fig. 1). The number of amino acids (aa) in the predicted GhIQD protein sequences ranged from 120 (GhIQDA02.3) to 900 aa (GhIQDA05.6) with an average length of 458 aa, and the open reading frames (ORFs) ranged from 363 base pairs (bp) to 2703 bp with an average length of 1377 bp. The molecular weights (MWs) of the proteins encoded by these proteins varied from 13,689.84 Daltons (Da) (GhIQDA02.3) to 99,360.68 Da (GhIQDD05.6), with an average MW of 51,151.02 Da. Based on isoelectric point (pl) analysis, the calculated pl's of the 96 *GhIQD* genes were >7.0 (with an average of 10.27), whereas six *GhIQD* genes were predicted to encode proteins with pl's <7.0 (average of 6.05), including *GhIQDD06.1, GhIQDA01.2, GhIQDA06.1, GhIQDD01.2, GhIQDD05.6*, and *GhIQDA05.6*. The predicted subcellular localizations showed that 82 GhIQD proteins localize to the nucleus, nine GhIQD proteins localize to the mitochondria, nine GhIQD proteins localize to the chloroplasts, and two GhIQD proteins were found to localize to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Table 1).

IQD proteins are reported to specifically bind to calcium via CaM-binding sites[26]. To better explore the biological functions of GhIQD proteins, the CaM-binding sites of the *GhIQD* proteins were predicted using the online Calmodulin Target Database software. As a result, *GhIQD* proteins are predicted to contain CaM-binding sites. Multiple consecutive strings of amino acid residues with scores >7 are given in Additional file 1. This result suggests that all GhIQD proteins contain CaM-binding sites with 1-3 strings of high-scoring amino acid residues. Based on the whole-genome duplication analysis in *G. hirsutum* L., 5926 (8.14%) and 55707 (76.56%) genes originated from tandem and segmental duplication, respectively. Therefore, we investigated the role of duplication events in the
evolution of *GhiQD* genes. As shown in Fig. 2, among the 102 *GhiQD* genes identified in *G. hirsutum* L., 100 (98.04%) were derived from segmental duplication events, and only two genes (*GhiQDA13.3* and *GhiQDD13.3*) resulted from proximal duplication. In contrast, none of the *GhiQD* genes was found to have arisen from tandem duplication events (Additional files 2 and 3). These results indicate that segmental duplication is the main driving force in the expansion of the *GhiQD* genes.

**Phylogenetic analysis of *GhiQD* proteins**

To examine the molecular evolutionary relationships among plant IQD proteins, the amino acid sequences of the IQD proteins from *Arabidopsis*, tomato, soybean, and *G. hirsutum* L. were used in a phylogenetic analysis. As shown in Fig. 3, a phylogenetic tree was constructed with the Neighbor-Joining (NJ) method from an alignment of all complete IQD protein sequences. The NJ tree showed that the IQD proteins group into seven clusters (Ia, Ib, Ic, II, IIIa, IIIb and IV).

A Ka/Ks ratio $>1$ indicates that paralogous gene pairs were produced by positive selection, a ratio $<1$ indicates that paralogous gene pairs were under purifying selection and a ratio equal to 1 indicates that paralogous gene pairs were not subjected to selection pressure [27]. To explore the type selection pressure experienced by the duplicated *GhiQD* genes, paralogous *GhiQD* gene pairs were used to calculate synonymous (Ks) and non-synonymous (Ka) substitution rates to assess the ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous substitutions. As shown in Fig. 3, 50 paralogous gene pairs were identified. The Ka/Ks ratios of 48 members were $<1.0$, and the Ka/Ks ratios for the remaining two paralogous gene pairs were $>1$ (Additional file 4), suggesting that the *GhiQD* paralogous gene pairs were mainly produced by purifying selection.

**Expression profiling of *GhiQD* genes during fiber development**

Gene expression profiling can provide us with clues about the possible biological functions
of genes. Therefore, we analyzed the gene expression profiles of \textit{GhIQD} genes using the transcriptome data downloaded from the publicly available CottonFGD database. As shown in Fig. 4, a total of 89 \textit{GhIQD} genes are expressed during the developmental process in fiber cells. Based on the heatmap, six clusters of \textit{GhIQD} genes are predominately expressed in cotton fiber cells (Fig. 4a). In detail, the 13 \textit{GhIQD} genes in cluster 2 were highly expressed in fiber cells at 5 days post anthesis (dpa); the expression levels of 17 \textit{GhIQD} family members in cluster 5 were up-regulated in the 10 dpa samples; 21 genes in cluster 3 were significantly expressed in fibers at 20 dpa; genes in cluster 1 with 21 members were highly expressed in 25 dpa fiber cells; in cluster 4, the transcripts of seven genes were abundant in fibers at 20 and 25 dpa; and the remaining 10 \textit{GhIQDs} in cluster 6 were highly expressed in the 5 dpa and 25 dpa samples (Fig. 4b). These results imply that \textit{GhIQD} genes may function in fiber cell development in cotton.

\textbf{Tissue-specific expression analysis of \textit{GhIQD} genes by quantitative real time-PCR (qRT-PCR)}

The \textit{GhIQD} gene family has 102 members; of these, 20 genes were randomly selected to investigate their expression patterns in different tissues, including the calyx, leaf, stigma, stem, root, petal, pollen, and hypocotyl. As shown in Fig. 5, \textit{GhIQDD12.1}, \textit{GhIQDA13.1}, and \textit{GhIQDD13.1} were predominantly expressed in pollen (Fig. 5d), indicating that these genes may play pivotal roles in pollen development. \textit{GhIQDD01.3}, \textit{GhIQDD01.2}, and \textit{GhIQDD05.2} showed stem-specific expression (Fig. 5b), and \textit{GhIQDA01.1}, \textit{GhIQDA05.2}, and \textit{GhIQDA08.1} were expressed preferentially in leaves (Fig. 5a). The \textit{GhIQDA06.1}, \textit{GhIQDD06.1}, and \textit{GhIQDD09.1} genes showed higher expression levels in leaves and stems (Fig. 5c). Most genes investigated were abundantly expressed in different tissues (Fig. 5e), as observed for \textit{GhIQDA02.1} and \textit{GhIQDD02.1} that were highly expressed in all tissues with similar expression patterns. The cluster II genes, \textit{GhIQDA12.3} and \textit{GhIQDD12.4}, were highly
expressed in the leaf, petal, and hypocotyl (Fig. 5e).

**Expression profiling of \textit{GhIQD} genes in response to MeJA treatment**

According to previous studies, the expression of most \textit{IQD} genes can be induced by MeJA stress in plants [22]. In this study, the expression patterns of \textit{GhIQD} genes in plants exposed to MeJA treatment were examined in an qRT-PCR experiment. The results showed that the expression levels of the 20 randomly-selected \textit{GhIQD} genes were significantly increased by MeJA treatment (Fig. 6). As the time of treatment increased, the transcript levels for most genes increased significantly. In detail, the expression levels of \textit{GhIQDD01.3, GhIQDA09.3, GhIQDD02.1, GhIQDD05.2, GhIQDD09.1, GhIQDD13.1} and \textit{GhIQDA13.1} were induced from 0 h, with the highest expression levels detected at 12 and 24 h after the MeJA treatment. The \textit{GhIQDA06.1, GhIQDD06.1, and GhIQDD09.4} genes also exhibited the highest expression levels at 24 h after the MeJA treatment. The expression levels of \textit{GhIQDD01.2, GhIQDA13.4, GhIQD12.4, GhIQDD12.1, GhIQDA12.3, GhIQDA08.1, GhIQDA01.1} and \textit{GhIQDA02.1} peaked at 6 h after the treatment. Compared with the other genes, the maximum expression of \textit{GhIQDA05.5} occurred at 72 h. These results showed that the \textit{GhIQD} genes are widely induced by MeJA treatment.

**Discussion**

Calcium is one of the most important cytosolic second messengers, and calcium levels can be induced by intracellular and extracellular stimuli. CaM is one of the most important \textit{Ca}^{2+} signaling receptors that regulates diverse physiological and biochemical reactions. CaM functions by interacting with CaM-binding proteins (CaMBPs) to modulate cellular physiology. IQD proteins are plant-specific CaM/CML CaMBPs that are characterized by 67-amino acid domains. In this study, we firstly identified 102 \textit{GhIQD} genes in \textit{G.hirsutum} and analyzed their chromosomal locations, protein physicochemical properties, gene
duplication events, phylogenetic relationships, and expression patterns during development of fiber cells. Twenty randomly selected GhIQD genes were used for the analysis of tissue-specific expression patterns and their response to MeJA treatment.

**The GhIQD gene family expanded by segmental duplication**

A number of IQD genes have been reported in different plants; there are 33 AtIQD genes in *A. thaliana*, 28 OsIQD genes in *Oryza sativa* [16], 38 PtlIQD genes in *Populus trichocarpa* [8], 29 PeIQD genes in *Phyllostachys edulis* (moso bamboo) [18], 67 GmIQD genes in *Glycine max* [19], and 34 SISUN/IQD genes in *Solanum lycopersicum* [20]. In this study, a total of 102 GhIQD genes were identified in *G. hirsutum* L. The number of IQD genes in *G. hirsutum* L. is greater than that found in other plant species, possibly because *G. hirsutum* L. is an allotetraploid cotton species that originated from the hybridization of *G. arboreum* and *G. raimondii* and subsequent polyploidization 1-2 million years ago [25]. A whole-genome duplication analysis showed that 100 of the GhIQD genes arose from segmental duplication, and the other two genes, GhIQDA13.3 and GhIQDD13.3, originated from proximal duplications. Additionally, Ka/Ks analysis indicated that most of the GhIQD genes were under purifying selection, which indicates that the segmentally duplicated GhIQD genes were subjected to strong purifying constraints during evolution.

**GhIQD genes participate widely in the regulation of growth in *G. hirsutum* L.**

In *Arabidopsis*, the AtIQD proteins were reported to widely link calcium signaling to microtubules, membrane subdomains, and the nucleus [28]. From the results of the transcriptome analysis, the GhIQD gene expression patterns could be clustered into six groups. In the fiber development process, the 5 dpa ovule stage is primary cell wall synthesis stage of fiber cells; 10 dpa corresponds to the elongation stage of fiber development; and 20-25 dpa is the transition stage of fiber development from elongation to secondary wall synthesis, which is important for fiber strength [29]. *PdIQD10* gene was
found to involve in the secondary cell wall biosynthesis and biomass formation in *Populus* [30]. Therefore, the genes in cluster 6 might participate in primary cell wall synthesis, the *GhIQD* genes in cluster 5 may contribute to fiber elongation, and the *GhIQD* genes in clusters 1, 3, and 4 may be involved in fiber strength development.

In Arabidopsis, *AtIQD* genes function as hubs in Ca$^{2+}$ signaling to regulate growth and development with tissue-specifically [31]. To further elucidate the possible functions of the *GhIQD* genes, their expression patterns were investigated in various tissues (Fig. 5). The results show that some *GhIQD* genes are predominantly expressed in specific tissues, and the paralogous gene pairs exhibit similar expression patterns, such as *GhIQDA13.1* and *GhIQDD13.1*, which are predominantly expressed in pollen, indicating that *GhIQD* gene pairs are functionally redundant.

MeJA is an ester of jasmonic acid and is widely present in plants [32]. MeJA triggers the biosynthesis of plant defensive compounds and initiates the expression of pathogenesis-related genes involved in systemic acquired resistance and local resistance [33]. In this study, the expression levels of all 20 selected *GhIQD* genes were increased (Fig. 6) in response to MeJA treatment, which is consistent with previous studies showing that most of the *IQD* genes in *P. trichocarpa* and moso bamboo are induced by MeJA treatment [8, 18]. These results imply that the *IQD* gene family plays an important role in tolerance to MeJA abiotic stress in plants.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we identified 102 *GhIQD* genes in *G. hirsutum* L. Segmental duplication was the main driving force behind the expansion of the *GhIQD* family. Ka/Ks analysis showed that *GhIQD* genes were under purifying selection. Based on the expression analysis, 89 genes could be detected during the stages of fiber development; tissue-specific
expression analysis showed that some of the GhIQD genes were specifically expressed; and all 20 selected GhIQD genes could be induced by MeJA treatment. These preliminary results provide the foundation for further research on the physiological and biochemical functions of IQD proteins in *G. hirsutum* L.

**Methods**

**Identification of GhIQD gene family members in *G. hirsutum* L.**

To identify GhIQD gene family members in *G. hirsutum* L., the *G. hirsutum* L. genome [25] sequences were downloaded from the Cotton Functional Genomics Database (CottonFGD, https://cottonfgd.org/about/download.html). The AtIQD protein sequences from *A. thaliana* were downloaded from The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR, https://www.arabidopsis.org/index.jsp), and the IQD protein sequences from *Glycine max* and *Solanum lycopersicum* were downloaded from Phytozome (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html). The *G. hirsutum* L. genome sequences were searched using a Basic Local Blastp search against reported IQD proteins, including 34 SlSUN, 27 OsIQD, 66 GmIQD, and 33 AtIQD proteins. The redundant sequences were removed manually. We also used a Hidden Markov Model (HMM) with the default parameters to search the *G. hirsutum* L. genome for IQD proteins (PF00612) in the Pfam database (http://pfam.xfam.org), and the SMART databases (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de) were used to confirm that all the candidate sequences were members of the IQD family [34]. The identified sequences were named according to their physical locations on the 26 *G. hirsutum* L. chromosomes and were visualized with MapChart 2.2 software [35].

The isoelectric point (pI) and molecular weight (MW) were predicted for each protein with the online software ExPASy (https://www.expasy.org/tools/). The subcellular localization of GhIQD proteins was predicted using WoLF PSORT (https://wolfpsort.hgc.jp).
GhIQD protein sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Analysis

A multiple alignment of all the predicted IQD protein sequences from maize, soybean, bamboo, Arabidopsis, tomato, and G. hirsutum L. was performed with MEGA version 7 [36, 37].

Gene Duplication and Synteny Analysis of GhIQD genes

The duplication pattern of each GhIQD gene was analyzed using MCScanX software according to the instruction manual [38]. The whole-genome BLASTP analysis of G. hirsutum L. was performed using local Blast software considering e-values of less than 1e-5, and an output was produced [39]. The Blast search outputs and the positions of all protein-coding genes were imported into MCScanX software (http://chibba.pgml.uga.edu/mcscan2/), and the genes were classified into the various types of duplications, including segmental, tandem, proximal, and dispersed duplications [40], using the default parameters [41]. Synteny relationships were visualized with CIRCOS software [42]. Non-synonymous (Ka) and synonymous (Ks) substitution rates and the Ka/Ks ratio were estimated using DnaSP v5 software [43].

Expression analysis of the GhIQD genes

In the present study, RNA-seq data was downloaded from the public Cotton Functional Genomics Database (CottonFGD, https://cottonfgd.org/about/download.html), and the data were then used to survey the expression of the GhIQD genes [44]. The accession numbers of the RNA-Seq data for 5 dpa, 10 dpa, 20 dpa, and 25 dpa are SRR1695191, SRR1695192, SRR1695193, and SRR1695194, respectively, and all the expression values were standardized to fragments per kilobase per million (FPKM) values [45]. The heatmap was performed to visualize gene expression patterns using OmicShare tools (https://www.omicshare.com/tools/Home/Soft/heatmap). R software was used to visualize the gene expression profiles, and the TCseq package [46] was used to cluster the GhIQD
gene expression patterns.

**Plant materials and treatments**

The *G. hirsutum* L. cultivar TM-1 was grown in the field in Anyang, Henan province, China. Leaves, stems, roots, and hypocotyl tissues were collected at the seedling stage. Stigma, petal, pollen, and calyx samples were collected at the flowering stage. The *G. hirsutum* L. cultivar Shiyuan 321 was grown in a greenhouse under a 14 h light / 10 h dark photoperiod at 30°C (day) and at 28°C (night) [47]. Seedlings at the five-leaf stage were sprayed with 0.5 mM MeJA and sampled at seven time points (0 h, 3 h, 6 h, 12 h, 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h) after treatment [48].

The cotton cultivars TM-1 and Shiyuan 321 were obtained from the State Key Laboratory of Cotton Biology, Institute of Cotton Research of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences. All tissue samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C, and three biological replicates were conducted for each sample.

**RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis**

Total RNA from the collected samples was extracted using the Tiangen RNAprep Pure Plant Plus Kit (cat. no. DP441; Tiangen, Beijing, China) as directed by the manufacturer. First-strand cDNA was synthesized via reverse transcription of 2 μg of total RNA using the PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (cat. no. RR047A; TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan). Oligo 7 software was used to design gene-specific primers for qRT-PCR (Additional file 5). The *GhHis3* gene (AF024716) was used as an internal reference control for gene expression [49]. The qRT-PCR experiments were performed with the TB Green™ Premix Ex Taq™ II RNaseH Plus kit (cat. no. RR420A; TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan) on an ABI7500 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, USA) with three replicates per sample. qRT-PCR assays were performed in a volume of 20 μl, which contained 2 μl of each primer, 1 μl of cDNA
and 7 μl of ddH₂O. The amplification conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min (Step 1), followed by 40 cycles of 10 s at 95°C, 15 s at 58°C, and 15 s at 72°C (Step 2). The relative expression levels of the GhIQD genes were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method [50]. Statistical analyses were conducted using the t-test as implemented in SPSS software [51].
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**Table**

**Sample characteristics**

Among the participants, 88.1% were male, 54.5% were over 45 years old, 84.2% had more than a high-school education, 45.5% worked in manufacturing, 54.5% were craft or machine operator and assemblers, 65.3% were regular workers, and 70.3% worked in small- or medium-sized organizations. Fractures represented the largest portion (61.4%) of primary injuries, followed by cartilage or tendon rupture (23.8%). More than half of the participants had injured their lower extremities (47.5%), spine (45.0%), or upper extremities (30.7%) (table 1).

As shown in Table 2, the mean scores of each item of the RTWSE-19 ranged from 4.72±3.38 to 8.09±2.39. The two items with the highest mean scores were item 16 (“Could you describe to your supervisor the nature of your injury and your medical treatment”) and item 17 (“Could you discuss openly with your supervisor things that may contribute to your discomfort”) with mean scores of 8.09±2.39 and 7.97±2.48, respectively. The two items with the lowest mean scores were item 3 (“Could you change the type of work activities you do to reduce discomfort”) and item 14 (“Could you reduce your physical workload”) with mean scores of 4.72±3.38 and 4.81±3.13, respectively. Items related to the “communicating needs to others” subscale showed relatively higher mean scores, while items related to the “modifying job tasks” subscale featured relatively lower mean scores.
Evaluation of psychometric properties

**Exploratory Factor Analysis.** EFA models of 1–4 factors revealed that a 3-factor model was the best fit for the 19-item scale (χ² = 228.834, p < .000; CFI = .953; TLI = .931; RMSEA = .069; SRMR = 0.032), but low factor loading (below 0.4) was indicated for item 1 (“Could you suggest to your supervisor ways to change your work to reduce discomfort?”) and item 7 (“Could you avoid re-injury?”).

After removing two items from the item pool, EFA was conducted for 1

| Gene ID       | Gene name  | length (aa) | ORF length (bp) | Mass (Da)   | pI    | Predicted location | Exon number |
|---------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------|--------------------|-------------|
| GH_A01G1101   | GhIQDA01.2 | 838         | 2517            | 92762.8     | 6.45  | nucl               | 6           |
| GH_A01G2332   | GhIQDA01.3 | 466         | 1401            | 51680.07    | 10.04 | nucl               | 5           |
| GH_A02G0100   | GhIQDA02.1 | 540         | 1623            | 60671.48    | 11.13 | nucl               | 5           |
| GH_A02G1393   | GhIQDA02.2 | 385         | 1158            | 43210.99    | 10.19 | nucl               | 3           |
| GH_A04G0950   | GhIQDA04.1 | 467         | 1404            | 51973.41    | 10.46 | nucl               | 5           |
| GH_A05G0263   | GhIQDA05.1 | 411         | 1236            | 45861.25    | 10.34 | nucl               | 3           |
| GH_A05G0790   | GhIQDA05.2 | 434         | 1305            | 47787.02    | 9.98  | nucl               | 6           |
| GH_A05G1339   | GhIQDA05.3 | 403         | 1212            | 44740.05    | 10.14 | nucl               | 3           |
| GH_A05G1378   | GhIQDA05.4 | 447         | 1344            | 50742.09    | 10.1  | nucl               | 4           |
| GH_A05G1577   | GhIQDA05.5 | 306         | 921             | 34962.1     | 10.42 | nucl               | 5           |
| GH_A05G1877   | GhIQDA05.6 | 900         | 2703            | 99312.5     | 5.27  | nucl               | 6           |
| GH_A05G3022   | GhIQDA05.7 | 339         | 1020            | 37749.85    | 10.8  | nucl               | 4           |
| GH_A05G3607   | GhIQDA05.8 | 574         | 1725            | 52858.66    | 10.51 | nucl               | 5           |
| GH_A05G4277   | GhIQDA05.9 | 464         | 1395            | 52514.35    | 10.14 | nucl               | 5           |
| GH_A06G0050   | GhIQDA06.1 | 659         | 1980            | 72053.71    | 6.26  | nucl               | 5           |
| GH_A06G1837   | GhIQDA06.2 | 442         | 1329            | 50167.53    | 9.86  | nucl               | 5           |
| GH_A06G1940   | GhIQDA06.3 | 382         | 1149            | 43725.98    | 10.81 | nucl               | 5           |
| GH_A07G0094   | GhIQDA07.1 | 414         | 1245            | 45320.56    | 10.21 | nucl               | 3           |
| GH_A07G0227   | GhIQDA07.2 | 437         | 1314            | 49258.14    | 10.51 | nucl               | 5           |
| Gene ID         | Gene Name | Chromosome | Start | Stop  | Length | Expression | Stability | Type |
|----------------|-----------|------------|-------|-------|--------|------------|-----------|------|
| GH_A07G0720    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_A08G1336    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_A09G1366    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_A09G1685    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_A10G0319    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_A11G0174    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_A11G0321    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_A12G1325    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_A12G2604    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_A12G2690    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_A13G0768    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_A13G1240    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_D01G1150    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_D01G2410    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_D03G0607    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_D05G0268    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_D05G0787    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_D05G1342    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_D05G1607    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_D05G1915    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| GH_D05G3028    |            |            |       |       |        |            |           |      |
| Protein   | ID     | Length | Mass (Da) | pI    | Compartment |
|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|-------|-------------|
| GH_D06G0037 | GhIQDD06.1 | 664    | 72524.53  | 6.89  | nucl        |
| GH_D06G1866 | GhIQDD06.2 | 442    | 50104.22  | 9.81  | nucl        |
| GH_D06G1971 | GhIQDD06.3 | 386    | 44138.29  | 10.65 | nucl        |
| GH_D07G0100 | GhIQDD07.1 | 415    | 45440.73  | 10.2  | nucl        |
| GH_D07G0234 | GhIQDD07.2 | 437    | 49274.22  | 10.48 | nucl        |
| GH_D07G0707 | GhIQDD07.3 | 650    | 72097.97  | 9.75  | nucl        |
| GH_D08G1206 | GhIQDD08.1 | 540    | 60919.52  | 9.78  | nucl        |
| GH_D08G2761 | GhIQDD08.2 | 359    | 39873.37  | 10.43 | nucl        |
| GH_D08G2809 | GhIQDD08.3 | 395    | 45440.73  | 10.2  | nucl        |
| GH_D09G1141 | GhIQDD09.1 | 436    | 47553.9   | 10.08 | nucl        |
| GH_D09G1315 | GhIQDD09.2 | 414    | 47099.05  | 10.12 | nucl        |
| GH_D09G1630 | GhIQDD09.3 | 446    | 49661.4   | 10.1  | nucl        |
| GH_D09G2241 | GhIQDD09.4 | 511    | 57044.68  | 11.27 | nucl        |
| GH_D09G2334 | GhIQDD09.5 | 406    | 45218.73  | 9.98  | nucl        |
| GH_D10G0332 | GhIQDD10.1 | 311    | 35020.59  | 10.74 | nucl        |
| GH_D10G1333 | GhIQDD10.2 | 523    | 58604.9   | 10.63 | nucl        |
| GH_D11G0175 | GhIQDD11.1 | 293    | 33561.81  | 11.06 | nucl        |
| GH_D11G0334 | GhIQDD11.2 | 767    | 84784.04  | 9.8   | nucl        |
| GH_D11G1078 | GhIQDD11.3 | 531    | 59590.38  | 10.55 | nucl        |
| GH_D11G1617 | GhIQDD11.4 | 411    | 47034.9   | 9.46  | nucl        |
| GH_D12G1345 | GhIQDD12.1 | 501    | 56136.15  | 10.76 | nucl        |
| GH_D12G2626 | GhIQDD12.2 | 518    | 58961.41  | 9.89  | nucl        |
| GH_D12G2716 | GhIQDD12.3 | 451    | 49960.99  | 9.73  | nucl        |
| GH_D13G0741 | GhIQDD13.1 | 522    | 60358.36  | 9.98  | nucl        |
| GH_D13G0744 | GhIQDD13.2 | 552    | 60897.05  | 9.98  | nucl        |
| GH_D13G1947 | GhIQDD13.3 | 376    | 43085.2   | 10.43 | nucl        |
| GH_D13G2149 | GhIQDD13.4 | 377    | 42426.34  | 10.51 | nucl        |
| GH_A01G0547 | GhIQDA01.1 | 285    | 32302.82  | 10.15 | mito        |
| GH_A02G2016 | GhIQDA02.1 | 120    | 31689.84  | 11.79 | mito        |
| GH_A03G0236 | GhIQDA03.1 | 488    | 53976.96  | 9.96  | mito        |
| GH_A13G0956 | GhIQDA13.1 | 480    | 53068.34  | 9.98  | mito        |
| GH_D01G0541 | GhIQDD01.1 | 285    | 32287.85  | 10.11 | mito        |
| GH_D03G0046 | GhIQDD03.1 | 133    | 15440.04  | 11.65 | mito        |
| GH_D03G1733 | GhIQDD03.2 | 489    | 54209.22  | 10.02 | mito        |
| GH_D12G2109 | GhIQDD12.2 | 315    | 36162.76  | 10.74 | mito        |
-4 factor models. The 3-factor model of the 17 item-scale demonstrated reasonable model fit, with marginal improvement of fit-index values (\(= 169.401, p < .000; \text{CFI} = .963; \text{TLI} = .943; \text{RMSEA} = .068; \text{SRMR} = 0.029\)) compared to 3-factor model of the 19-item scale. The resulting screen test also suggested a 3-factor solution. The final model revealed three distinct concepts: meeting job demands (7 items), modifying job tasks (5 items), and communicating needs to others (5 items). Two items from the original 19-item scale which concerned modifying job tasks were excluded from the final model. The factor loadings for each item are presented in Table 2.

**Intercorrelations of subscales.** Subscales were significantly and moderately correlated: meeting job demands and modifying job tasks \((r = 0.612, p < .001)\); meeting job demands and communicating needs \((r=0.494, p<.001)\); and modifying job tasks and communicating needs \((r = 0.501, p < .001)\) (table 3).
**Floor and ceiling effect.** No floor or ceiling effects were found for total RTWSE and subscale scores using the criteria of 15%. Regarding communicating needs RTWSE, 12.4% achieved the highest score (10), below the 15% cutoff (table 3).

**Reliability.** All Cronbach’s alphas for the overall scale and subscales were satisfactory. The Cronbach's alpha for the overall RTWSE-17 was 0.925 and was 0.842 for communicating needs, 0.851 for modifying job tasks, and 0.926 for meeting job demands (table 3).

**Construct validity**

Significant correlations were found between fear-avoidance beliefs about physical activity (r = −0.231, p < 0.001) and work (r = −0.441, p < 0.001), SF-12 mental health (r = 0.324, p < 0.001), depression (r = −0.301, p < 0.001), and general self-efficacy (r = 0.502, p < 0.001) and RTWSE-17 scores. Current pain intensity (r= −0.028, p=.692) and SF-12 physical health (r=0.061, p=.386) showed no correlation, and the monthly average pain intensity (r= −0.150, p=0.033) showed low correlation with RTWSE-17 scores. These patterns did not differ in significance or direction when applied to the subscales of the RTWSE-17, except that physical fear-avoidance showed no correlation with modifying job tasks and communicating needs (table 4).

**Additional File Legends**

**Additional file 1: Predicted calmodulin-binding sites of IQD proteins in G. hirsutum L.** The calmodulin-binding sites were predicted with the online Calmodulin Target Database software, and the table shows strings of amino acid residues with a score of at least 7. Residues with a score of 9 are highlighted in bold. The numbers before the
strings and after the strings indicate the locations of the first and the last amino acid residues of the strings in the GhIQD protein, respectively.

**Additional file 2:** List of segmentally duplicated *IQD* gene pairs in the *G. hirsutum* L. genome along with their e-values identified from MCScanX.

**Additional file 3:** List of tandem and segmentally duplicated *IQD* genes in the *G. hirsutum* L. genome identified with MCScanX software.

**Additional file 4:** Divergence between paralogous *IQD* gene pairs in *G. hirsutum* L.

**Additional file 5:** Gene-specific primer pairs used in the qRT-PCR experiments.

**Figures**
Chromosomal locations of cotton GhIQD genes on the 26 G. hirsutum L. chromosomes. A01-A13 and D01-D13 indicate chromosomes from the A-subgenome and D-subgenome, respectively. The chromosome number is shown above each chromosome, and the relative locations of the GhIQD genes are indicated on the chromosomes.
Figure 2

Circos figure of GhIQD gene pairs that arose from segmental duplication. The G. hirsutum L. chromosomes from the A- and D-subgenomes are shown in different colors, and the gene pairs involved in segmental duplication are linked by blue lines. The CIRCOS genome visualization tool was used to construct this figure.
Figure 3

Phylogenetic and evolutionary analysis of IQD proteins from different plant species. Note, green dots indicate G. hirsutum L. IQD proteins; pink dots indicate soybean IQD proteins; red dots indicate IQD proteins from tomato; blue dots indicate Arabidopsis IQD proteins. The phylogenetic tree was generated from an alignment of the IQD protein sequences using the Neighbor-Joining (NJ) method in the MEGA 7 software package.
Expression profiling of GhIQD genes during four developmental stages of the cotton fiber cell. (a) A hierarchical clustering heatmap of GhIQD gene expression in fiber cells at 5, 10, 20, and 25 dpa. These data were obtained from the transcriptome data available on the Cottongen website (https://www.cottongen.org/). (b) Gene expression of 89 GhIQD genes in six
different clusters during four developmental stages of cotton fiber cells.

Figure 5

Tissue-specific expression analysis of 20 selected GhIQD genes by qRT-PCR. The relative expression levels of 20 GhIQD genes were examined by qRT-PCR assays and normalized to the expression level of the house-keeping gene GhHis3. The calyx, leaf, stigma, stem, root, petal, pollen, and hypocotyl tissues are indicated on the X-axis. Relative gene expression levels compared with the calyx are indicated on the Y-axis, * represents a significant difference at the p<0.05 level, ** represents an extremely significant difference at the p<0.01 level.
Figure 6

The expression profiles of 20 selected GhIQD genes in response to MeJA treatment at 0, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h. The gene expression values were determined by qRT-PCR and calculated using the 2-ΔΔCT method. The heatmap was drawn with Omicshare (https://www.omicshare.com/tools/Home/Soft/heatmap). The color scale on the top of the figure represents expression values computed by the 2-ΔΔCt method.
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