Potential diagnosis of COVID-19 from chest X-ray and CT findings using semi-supervised learning
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Abstract
COVID-19 is an infectious disease, which has adversely affected public health and the economy across the world. On account of the highly infectious nature of the disease, rapid automated diagnosis of COVID-19 is urgently needed. A few recent findings suggest that chest X-rays and CT scans can be used by machine learning for the diagnosis of COVID-19. Herein, we employed semi-supervised learning (SSL) approaches to detect COVID-19 cases accurately by analyzing digital chest X-rays and CT scans. On a relatively small COVID-19 radiography dataset, which contains only 219 COVID-19 positive images, 1341 normal and 1345 viral pneumonia images, our algorithm, COVIDCon, which takes advantage of data augmentation, consistency regularization, and multicontrastive learning, attains 97.07% average class prediction accuracy, with 1000 labeled images, which is 7.65% better than the next best SSL method, virtual adversarial training. COVIDCon performs even better on a larger COVID-19 CT Scan dataset that contains 82,767 images. It achieved an excellent accuracy of 99.13%, at 20,000 labels, which is 6.45% better than the next best pseudo-labeling approach. COVIDCon outperforms other state-of-the-art algorithms at every label that we have investigated. These results demonstrate COVIDCon as the benchmark SSL algorithm for potential diagnosis of COVID-19 from chest X-rays and CT-Scans. Furthermore, COVIDCon performs exceptionally well in identifying COVID-19 positive cases from a completely unseen repository with a confirmed COVID-19 case history. COVIDCon, may provide a fast, accurate, and reliable method for screening COVID-19 patients.
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Introduction
Novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2).1 Due to the highly infectious nature of the disease,
study conducted by Ai et al. [3] shows that CT Scan has better sensitivity compared to RT-PCR in detecting COVID-19 and can be used for the diagnosis of the disease. CT-Scans can also provide information about the severity of COVID-19, which cannot be obtained by standard RT-PCR testing.

Chest CT scans and X-rays of COVID-19 patients often display abnormal patterns, for example, bilateral, multilobar ground glass opacities (GGO) with a peripheral or posterior distribution, mainly in the lower lobes and on occasion in the middle lobe [70]. The visual differences in chest CT scan and X-ray images between COVID-19, pneumonia, and normal patients are subtle and require expert radiologists, which causes a bottleneck as their number is limited. In this context, artificial intelligence/machine learning can help to identify positive cases, find abnormalities and provide aid to medical personnel.

For the past few years, deep learning models have demonstrated their potentials to be useful to radiologists and medical imaging experts for various disease detection and classification tasks such as skin cancer [16], brain disease [34], arrhythmia [52], breast cancer [39], pneumonia [48], etc. A few state-of-the-art supervised models have even achieved performance equivalent to experienced radiologists [48]. Recently, deep learning-based approaches have also been applied successfully in diagnosis of COVID-19 [22]. The extraordinary performance of such deep learning models based on supervised learning, however, requires large amounts of labeled data [11]. A situation that may be challenging in medical image analysis, where data collection and annotation are time-intensive tasks and increase the workload on the radiologists.

Semi-supervised learning (SSL) curbs the disadvantages of supervised learning methods by utilizing a small amount of labeled and a larger amount of unlabeled data [73]. Data augmentation, together with transfer learning, can produce powerful, more robust models that require less training time. In medical imaging, large unlabeled datasets are readily available along with smaller high-quality labeled datasets. Therefore, SSL methods can be an excellent option for automated medical image diagnosis.

Up until now, many SSL models, such as Pseudo-labelling, [32] VAT, [40] π-Model, [31] Mean Teacher, [55] MixMatch, [10] and FixMatch [53] have been implemented successfully for analyzing two-dimensional image data. Recently, we also reported a semi-supervised image classification algorithm, MultiCon [49], which uses multi-contrastive learning alongside consistency regularization to outperform other semi-supervised approaches in image classification.

Consistency regularization is a semi-supervised learning component that adds a supplementary loss function to a network such that the predictions of the network remain consistent even after the inputs are perturbed. This is done by making use of the unlabeled data to find latent features for the additional loss function. Phillip et al. [8] developed the first variant of this component which was later made popular by Samuli et al. [31] and Mehdi et al. [50]. Some of the recent variations include replacing parts of the loss function [40], using this component in larger SSL pipeline [10, 30] and replacing $\ell^2$ loss with cross-entropy [66].

Contrastive Learning is the process that allows models to learn high level features about the dataset by finding how similar or different a pair of data points are from each other. This is an unsupervised learning technique that is implemented before any segmentation or classification task. Despite being a relatively new area of study, it has been the basis for many excellent works such as contrastive predictive coding [20, 43], representation learning using Deep InfoMax (DIM) [4, 21, 59] or momentum contrast [18], learning invariances using Augmented Multiscale DIM [9] or Contrastive MultiView Coding [56] etc.

Previous deep learning approaches have shown promises in identifying COVID-19 cases from chest radiography images [5, 13, 14, 19, 22, 46, 61–64, 71, 72], please refer to Table 1. But as the performance of these methods depend on large labeled dataset, researchers have also tried to explore the performance of semi-supervised learning methods in the diagnosis of COVID-19 cases [2, 17, 27, 28, 36]. Jun et al. [36] used active contour regularization on a region scalable fitting (RSF) model to further tune the pseudo-labels of the unlabeled CT images. Mohammed et al. [2] proposed a dual path few shot semi-supervised segmentation approach that uses only a few labeled CT images to accurately segment COVID-19 infection. A semi-supervised shallow framework was proposed by Debanjan et al. [28] that diagnosed segmentation of CT images produced by parallel quantum-inspired self-supervised Network (PQIS-Net). Shahin et al. [27] used autoencoder based semi supervised approach to first extract regions of interest from chest X-ray images which are then fed to a deep architecture to classify them.

Herein, we use the state-of-the-art SSL algorithms and our algorithm, MultiCon [49], for the classification of grey-scale X-ray and CT scan images as COVID-19, pneumonia, or normal cases from a publicly available COVID-19 radiography dataset [47] and a COVID-19 CT Scan dataset [71]. In the present work, we refer MultiCon as COVIDCon for better understanding to the readers. The loss function of COVIDCon is constituted using two loss terms—consistency regularization and multi-contrastive learning Fig. 1. The consistency regularization component keeps the probability distributions of weakly augmented and strongly augmented dataset similar to each other. The multi-contrastive learning part keeps the data points of the same class together and instances of different classes further apart. The notion behind using these two components together is the complementary nature of these components. If consistency
regularization is removed, the loss function would be optimized regardless of the labeled data. Similarly, if multi-contrastive learning is removed, the predictions would be inaccurate due to the scarce amount of labeled data.

Methodology

This section presents the methods and materials used in this study. Sections 2.1 and 2.2 refer to the descriptions of COVID-19 Radiography and CT Scan datasets used for training and testing the SSL methods.

COVID-19 radiography dataset

The COVID-19 radiography dataset [47] has been developed by a team of researchers from Qatar University, the University of Dhaka and their collaborators from Pakistan and Malaysia with the help of medical doctors. The dataset consists mostly of posterior-to-anterior images of chest X-rays with 1024 × 1024 resolution from COVID-19 positive cases, viral pneumonia cases, as well as normal cases. COVID-19 Radiography dataset is available publicly, and it gets updated continuously with new X-ray images. As of 14th June 2020, the dataset contains a total of 2905 unique images, which are distributed over 3 imbalanced classes, namely COVID-19, Normal and Viral Pneumonia. The COVID-19 class contains 219 unique X-ray images, while viral pneumonia and normal classes contain 1341, 1345 images, respectively. A few sample chest X-rays of the COVID-19, viral pneumonia, and normal cases are listed in Fig. 2.

COVID-19 CT scan dataset

The China Consortium of Chest CT Image Investigation (CC-CCII) compiled a dataset [71] of CT images, with 512 × 512 resolution, from cohorts of their patients. The dataset consists of 617,775 images, which are 2D slices, from 4154 patients divided into three classes of novel coronavirus pneumonia (NCP), common pneumonia (CP), and normal cases. The images in the common pneumonia class are a mixture of some of the common classes of pneumonia in China, such as viral pneumonia and bacterial pneumonia. The version used for this experiment (version 2.2) is publicly available for download and was released on 24th April 2020. Image slices containing lesions were only used to train the model, resulting in 21,777 images in the coronavirus pneumonia class and 36,894 images in the common pneumonia class. In order to maintain a balance among the data in the three classes, a subset of 24,096 images from the normal class was chosen randomly. We used 617,775 CT images from 4154 patients. The metadata.csv file (reference 54) contains the information that each patient is classified to individual categories such as: pneumonia (NCP), covid (CP) and normal. We took the advantage of this csv file and obtained the patient ids classified into different categories. From those patient ids we randomly chose 80% for our train data and rest 20% patient ids and corresponding scan ids in order to segregate between the train and test data.
Table 1 Summary of existing deep learning approaches used in the detection of COVID-19 (CXR chest X-ray, CT computed tomography scan, AUC area under the curve)

| Entry | Imaging modality | No of classes | Algorithms/methods | Accuracy % | AUC |
|-------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|-----|
| Oztruk et al. [44] | CXR | 3 | DarkNet | 87.02 | - |
| Xu et al. [68] | CT | 3 | Resnet-18 | 86.70 | - |
| Panwar et al. [45] | CXR | 2 | nCOVnet | 88.10 | 0.88 |
| S. Wang et al. [62] | CT | 2 | Deep Learning | 89.5 | - |
| Hemdan, Shouman & Karar [19] | CXR | 2 | VGG-19, DenseNet201, ResNetV2, InceptionV3, InceptionResNetV2, Xception, MobileNetV2 | 90.00 | - |
| Pathak et al.[46] | CT | 2 | ResNet-50 | 93.02 | 0.93 |
| Wang et al. [61] | CXR | 3 | COVID-Net | 93.30 | - |
| Maghdid et al.[38] | CT, CXR | 2 | AlexNet, Modified CNN | 96.10 | - |
| Abbas et al. [1] | CXR | 3 | DeTrac | 95.12 | - |
| Asnaoui et al. [15] | CXR | 2 | CNN, VGG16, VGG19, InceptionV3, Xception, DenseNet201, MobileNetV2, InceptionResNetV2, ResNet50 | 96.61 | - |
| Chowdhury et al. [12] | CXR | 3 | AlexNet, ResNet18, DenseNet201, SqueezeNet | 97.94 | - |
| Narin et al. [41] | CXR | 2 | ResNet50, ResNetV2, InceptionV3 | 98.00 | - |
| Uear et al. [58] | CXR | 3 | Deep Bayes-SqueezeNet | 98.26 | - |
| Nour et al. [42] | CXR | 3 | CNN, SVM, DT, KNN | 98.97 | - |
| Ardakani et al. [6] | CT | - | VGG-16, ResNet-18, ResNet-101, AlexNet, VGG-19, Xception, SqueezeNet, GoogleNet, MobileNet-V2, ResNet-50 | 99.51 | 0.99 |
| Toğaçar et al. [57] | CXR | 3 | MobileNetV2, SqueezeNet, SVM | 99.27 | 1.00 |
| Wehbe et al. [63] | CXR | 2 | DeepCOVID-XR | 82 | 0.88 |
| Li et al. [35] | CT | 3 | COVNet | - | 0.96 |
| Jin et al. [25] | CT | 2 | ResNet152, U-Net | 94.98 | 0.97 |
| Ardakani et al. [6] | CT | 2 | AlexNet, VGG16, 19, SqueezeNet, GoogleNet, MobileNet-V2, Xception, ResNet18, 50, 101 | 99.51 | 0.99 |
| Ismael et al. [23] | CXR | 2 | ResNet50, SVM | 94.74 | 0.99 |
| Shankar et al. [51] | CXR | 4 | FM-HCF-DLF | 94.08 | - |
| Jain et al. [24] | CXR | 3 | Xception Net, ResNeXt | 97.97 | - |
| Song et al. [54] | CT | 2 | DRE-Net | 94 | 0.99 |

Fig. 2 Sample chest X-rays taken from the COVID-19 Radiography dataset. a Normal case, b COVID-19 case showing bilateral ground-glass opacities with prominent peripheral, perihilar and basal distribution within a multilobar involvement, and c viral pneumonia case with visible left basilar opacity

Fig. 3 CT images taken from COVID-19 CT Scan dataset. Typical examples showing a common pneumonia (CP), b COVID-19 (NCP), and c normal CT scan images
To avoid overfitting, we ensured that none of the patient gets assigned to both train and test data. A few sample CT scans of the COVID-19, common pneumonia and normal cases are listed in Fig. 3.

**COVID-19 unseen dataset**

The COVID-19 unseen dataset has been assembled and maintained by the The American College of Radiology (ACR). The dataset contains 51 images in total each of which are from unique patients diagnosed with COVID-19.

**Our algorithm: COVIDCon**

For the detection of COVID-19 from the digital chest X-Rays and CT scans, we have used our algorithm, COVIDCon, which is based on our previously developed algorithm [49]. We have compared the performance of COVIDCon with other state-of-the-art SSL methods including MixMatch [10], Virtual Adversarial Training (VAT) [40], Pseudo-labeling [32], Mean Teacher [55], $H$ model [31], Interpolation Consistency Training (ICT) [60] in (Table 2).

| Approach          | Artificial augmentation | Artificial post-processing | Contrastive learning |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| $H$-model [31]    | Weak                    | None                      | None                 |
| Pseudo-label [32] | Weak                    | None                      | None                 |
| Mean Teacher [55] | Weak                    | None                      | None                 |
| VAT [40]          | None                    | Adversarial               | None                 |
| ICT [60]          | Weak                    | Sharpening                | None                 |
| MixMatch [10]     | Multiple weak           | Sharpening                | None                 |
| FixMatch [53]     | Weak + strong           | Pseudo-labeling           | None                 |
| COVIDCon [49]     | Weak + strong           | Pseudo-labeling           | Multi contrastive loss |

Unlike other SSL algorithms, MultiCon works with all three components—(i) artificial augmentation, (ii) artificial post-processing, and (iii) contrastive learning.

Table 2. Comparison between different SSL algorithms

and a large unlabeled dataset. This is especially advantageous since unlabeled data is cheap and easy to obtain, and it also relieves experts from manually labeling them. The proposed algorithm is a three-step process which comprises of augmenting the data, followed by pseudo-labeling the unlabeled data and then finally obtaining a feature representation using a contrastive prediction task. Unlike other methods, COVIDCon combines the loss terms from both consistency regularization and multi-contrastive learning so that the feature embedding can satisfy the positive concentrated and negative separated properties.

Data augmentations used in this technique are of two types: weak augmentation ($W_a$) and strong augmentation $S_a$. Weak augmentation corresponds to a flip-shift strategy, which randomly flips images with a probability of 50% and translates them vertically and horizontally up to 12.5%. Strong augmentation strategies are based on RandAugment [53]. We use color inversion, contrast adjustment, translation, transformations. RandAugment selects a subset of these and randomly assigns how intense they will be within a mini-batch.

A side effect of using strong augmentations, is that the image might differ a lot from the original image. For this reason, the weakly augmented version of each unlabeled image is assigned a pseudo label and the model is optimized using cross entropy loss by assigning the above pseudo label to the corresponding strongly augmented version. The supervised cross entropy loss of labeled images and the unsupervised loss mentioned above combine together to make consistency regularization. Consistency regularization ensures the fact that even after the perturbations caused by strong augmentation, the labeled and unlabeled data follow a similar distribution. Contrastive learning maximizes the mutual information between the differently augmented views of the data. The idea behind contrastive learning is to learn representations such that similar samples stay close to each other, while dissimilar ones are far apart. This results in separation of classes where samples form clusters on the basis of their
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Footnote:

1. https://cortex.acr.org/COVID19/.
classes in the feature space, which consequently results in improved predictions.

After assigning a pseudo label to strongly augmented unlabeled images, we select those images in the mini-batch whose pseudo labels are predicted with a probability greater than a threshold. Of the selected images, we extract their feature vectors and reduce distances between vectors of images with same class while increasing distances between vectors of images with different classes. This strategy results in clustering of images with same classes and results in a more generalized model.

\[
\ell_{CR} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{1}{4} \left( \max(q_u) \geq \tau \right) \right] L_{CE}(\hat{y}_u, P(y_{i}k)(x_u)) \\
+ L_{CE}(\hat{y}_u, P(y_{j}k)(x_u)) \\
\hat{y}_u = \arg \max(P(y_{i}k)(x_u)) \\
\hat{y}_j = \arg \max(P(y_{j}k)(x_u))
\]

where \(q_u\) are the probabilities of the labels of the unlabeled image, \(\max(q_u)\) is the probability of the pseudo label, \(\tau\) is the threshold, \(y_i\) is the label for the labeled image, \(v_u\) is the correct label of the unlabeled image, \(\hat{y}_u\) is the pseudo label of the unlabeled image, \(\hat{y}_i\) is the actual label of the labeled image.

In the case of multi-contrastive learning, the augmented dataset is clustered with the goal of grouping data from the same class and pushing the data from different classes further away from each other. Given the similarity measurement \(S(x_i, x_j) = S(f(x_i; \theta), f(x_j; \theta))\) of the sample pair \((x_i, x_j)\), the multi-contrastive loss \(\ell_{MC}\) is:

\[
\ell_{MC} = \sum_{i=1}^{m^+} \frac{1}{m^+ \cdot m^-} \sum_{y_i \neq y_j} g(\alpha_{ij} (\omega - S(x_i, x_j))) \\
+ \frac{1}{m^-} \sum_{y_i \neq y_j} g(\alpha_{ij} [S(x_i, x_j) - \omega]) \\
\text{s.t} \ \ g(x) = \log(1 + \exp(x))
\]

where \(\omega\) is the hyperparameter in the Binomial deviance [69], \(m^+\) is the number of positive pairs as designated by \(y_i = y_j\) and \(m^-\) is the number of negative pairs as designated by \(y_i \neq y_j\). Finally, the consistency regularization component and multi-contrastive loss sum together and gives the overall loss term \(\ell: \ell = \ell_{CR} + \lambda \ell_{MC}\) Where \(\lambda\) is a fixed scalar hyperparameter denoting the relative weight of different objective function.

COVIDCon is a specialized algorithm developed for two-dimensional image analysis. It is especially suitable for identifying subtle visual differences in images and therefore has the potential in clinical diagnosis, for example, detection of COVID-19 by X-ray image analysis.

| Methods/Labels  | 50          | 200         | 1000        |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| II-model        | 79.82 ± 0.61| 70.04 ± 0.31| 73.24 ± 0.15|
| Mean teacher    | 67.46 ± 0.72| 73.55 ± 0.45| 85.04 ± 0.22|
| ICT             | 78.93 ± 0.57| 79.28 ± 0.36| 80.71 ± 0.12|
| VAT             | 77.6 ± 0.69 | 79.55 ± 0.47| 89.42 ± 0.25|
| MixMatch        | 61.51 ± 0.62| 74.75 ± 0.34| 85.62 ± 0.12|
| Pseudo-label    | 72.35 ± 0.56| 77.2 ± 0.41  | 80.88 ± 0.18 |
| FixMatch        | 86.29 ± 0.42| 90.29 ± 0.17 | 93.74 ± 0.3  |
| COVIDCon        | 92.71 ± 0.57| 94.22 ± 0.36 | 97.07 ± 0.14 |

The numbers highlighted in bold refers to the best performance of the respective algorithm.

**Implementation details**

We utilized Pytorch 4 to implement COVIDCon on the radiography and CT Scan datasets. The X-ray and CT Scan images were resized into 84 × 84 and pretrained ResNeXt-101 [65] was used as the deep learning model. The ResNeXt model was pretrained in a weakly-supervised fashion on 940 million public images with 1.5K labels matching with 1000 ImageNet1K synsets, followed by fine-tuning on ImageNet1K dataset 5. For the implementation of COVIDCon, we randomly initialized and added 3 fully connected layers to ResNeXt. COVIDCon was trained for 10 epochs, the learning rate was set at 0.01, the size of the mini-batch was 128, and the weight decay was 0.001.

We used an identical set of hyperparameters (\(\lambda_1 = 2, \lambda_2 = 40, \omega = 1\) and \(r = 0.1, \lambda = 0.8\) during the training step) for all experiments. These hyperparameters are chosen in such a way that they empirically gave convergent results. For training and testing, we split the dataset into 80:20 ratio, respectively. To avoid any overfitting, we ensured that none of the image gets assigned to both train and test data. Overall, we repeat each experiment five times independently and report the average result.

**Baseline methods**

We consider recent state-of-the-art methods, such as II-Model [31], Mean Teacher [55], ICT [60], Virtual Adversarial Training [40], Pseudo-Label [32], MixMatch [10], and FixMatch, [53] as the baseline methods. We use the official codes from the original papers and implement the same network architecture ResNeXt-101, [65] training epoch, and
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4 http://www.image-net.org.
5 http://www.image-net.org.
initialized the hyperparameters in each method based on the author’s recommendations. We retuned the hyperparameters for each baseline method to ensure a fair comparison with COVIDCon.

Results

Results on COVID-19 radiography dataset

We first employed COVIDCon on the COVID-19 Radiography dataset and obtained the average class prediction accuracies (Table 3) of the model with different numbers of labeled data. COVIDCon outperformed other state-of-the-art SSL methods at all labeled data. With just 50 labels, COVIDCon achieved an average accuracy of 92.71%, which is slightly better than the next best state-of-the-art FixMatch model. The performance of FixMatch, however, decreased with more labeled data on account of overfitting, an issue, which was not observed in the case of COVIDCon. COVIDCon reached the highest class prediction accuracy of 97.07% on average with 1000 labeled data, which is 7.65% better than the next best VAT method.

In order to better understand the performance of COVIDCon, we analyzed (Fig. 4) the confusion matrix. For 98.73% of cases, COVIDCon correctly predicted the true class for COVID-19 X-ray images with 1000 labeled data. The prediction accuracies were 96.1% and 97.6% for normal and viral pneumonia cases, respectively. At 1000 labels, FixMatch, the next best state-of-the-art, obtained accuracies on identifying COVID-19 and viral pneumonia cases with 82.60% and 91.9%, respectively. Almost 9 COVID cases have been misclassified as viral pneumonia at these labels. Given the small and imbalanced nature of the dataset, COVIDCon performed well in predicting all the three classes. It successfully differentiated all COVID-19 cases from viral pneumonia cases and confused only 1.27% COVID-19 cases as normal. Given the similarities of the image features in some of the chest X-rays of COVID-19 and viral pneumonia cases, COVIDCon performed well, and a few mispredictions are well within the limit of diagnostic inaccuracy in actual clinical settings.

The class prediction accuracies are improved with increasing epoch numbers. At 6 epoch COVIDCon reached the accuracy of 94.22%, which is slightly decreased at 10 epoch with 50 labeled data.

Results on COVID-19 CT scan dataset

The class prediction accuracies are improved with increasing epoch numbers. At 6 epoch COVIDCon reached the accuracy of 98.56%, which increased further to 99.13% at 10 epoch with 20,000 labeled data. The confusion matrices in Fig. 5 show that COVIDCon achieved excellent accuracies to identify all of the three classes. With increasing labeled data, independent class prediction accuracies have also increased. For example, with 5000 labeled data, COVIDCon misclassified 3 out of 100 COVID-19 cases as viral pneumonia, whereas just 1.6% COVID-19 cases were classified wrongly as viral pneumonia. At 5000 and 20000 labels, VAT, the next best state-of-the-art, misclassified almost 8 and 11 COVID cases as viral pneumonia, respectively. Therefore, COVIDCon is more accurate compared to other state of the art.

Receiver operator characteristics curve

Receiver operator characteristic areas under the curves (ROC-AUC) are obtained (Fig. 6) on the COVID-19 Radiography and COVID-19 CT scan datasets at 1000 and 20,000 labels, respectively. The microaverage Area Under the Curve (AUC) is found to be 0.99 and 1, respectively, for both the datasets. The ROC-AUC values for individual classes lie in the range of 0.98 and 1, proving the efficacy of our method.

Consistent with the results obtained on the radiography dataset, COVIDCon outperformed other state-of-the-art SSL methods at all numbers of labeled data. The performance of COVIDCon on the CT scan dataset is recorded in Table 4. With 5000 labeled data, COVIDCon achieved an average accuracy of 98.30%, which is 6% better than the next best state-of-the-art Pseudo Label model. COVIDCon reached the highest-class prediction accuracy of 99.13% on average, with 20,000 labeled data, which is 6.45% better than the next best state of the art model, Mix Match.
t-Distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) analysis

In order to understand the discrimination of learned embedding from our approach, we visualize the final embedding using t-SNE [37] implementation, which shows a snapshot of the COVID-19 Radiography dataset projected into a 2-dimensional feature space. We mimic the learning procedure by randomly selecting 1000 labeled samples under 10 epochs training. Then we test the learned embedding on the test set and show (Fig. 7) the visualizations. The results demonstrate the fact that with the assistance of multi-contrastive loss, COVIDCon could reduce the intra-class variances much better in comparison to the next best FixMatch approach, and produce well-separated feature embeddings, resulting in high prediction accuracy for all of the three classes as can be seen from the confusion matrices in Figs. 4 and 5. Similarly, COVIDCon produces much better well-separated feature embeddings (Fig. 7) on CT scan datasets as well. All these results demonstrate the importance of the multi-contrastive loss component (Table 2) of COVIDCon.

Testing COVIDCon on COVID-19 unseen dataset

Oftentimes machine learning model that works almost perfectly using cross-validation fails miserably when tested on new unseen data. Therefore we tested COVIDCon on a small repository\(^6\) of confirmed COVID-19 cases, where each data instance has a case history. COVIDCon performed well in
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\(^6\) https://cortex.acr.org/COVID19/.
identifying COVID-19 positive cases with 98% accuracy. It correctly identified all cases in the dataset except for the case number 59,638 (Fig. 8, which is predicted as normal from chest X-ray analysis instead of positive. Furthermore, cases numbered 59,554 and 56,442 having unknown COVID-19 test result, are also identified as positive by COVIDCon. Interestingly, the case 56442 has COVID-suspected CT features (Fig. 8), and is also identified successfully as COVID-19 positive by COVIDCon. These results demonstrate the accuracy of our model and its potential use in the clinical settings.

### Ablation study

In order to understand the effect of each loss component of COVIDCon, we performed an ablation study. We isolated different components of our loss function and investigated their impacts on the model’s performance. We evaluated supervised cross-entropy (CE), consistency regularization (CR), cross-entropy and multi-contrastive (MC) loss, and finally COVIDCon. From the prediction accuracies for the COVID-19 Radiography and CT Scan datasets, as recorded in Table 5, we observed that the contrastive loss in conjunction with supervised cross-entropy performed quite well on its own. But the composition of all components, proposed in COVIDCon demonstrated the best performance.

### Discussion

Our investigations use state-of-the-art benchmark SSL methods for the potential diagnosis of COVID using X-ray and CT-Scan for the first time. We observe that COVIDCon exhibits significantly improved performance compared to other state-of-the-art methods in all settings that we have studied. For limited labeled data, COVIDCon performs exceptionally well. For instance, at 1000 labels, on the COVID-19 Radiography Dataset, it achieves an accuracy of 97.07%, which is 7.65% better than the next best VAT model [40]. The improved performance of COVIDCon on such a small dataset signifies its use in the medical diagnosis domain, where it is often difficult to obtain large annotated datasets. COVIDCon also works extremely well on a larger COVID-19 CT Scan Dataset. It achieves an accuracy

---

**Table 5** Ablation study of COVIDCon on COVID-19 radiography and CT scan dataset

| Loss function  | COVID-19 radiography (1000 labels) | COVID-19 CT scan (30000 labels) |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Supervised CE  | 55.21                             | 96.93                           |
| Semi-supervised CR | 96.13                           | 98.4                            |
| CE + MC       | 96.34                             | 98.51                           |
| COVIDCon      | **97.07**                         | **99.06**                       |

The numbers highlighted in bold refers to the best performance of the respective algorithm.
of 99.13%, which is 6.5% superior to its closest competitor, Pseudo-label. These results demonstrate COVIDCon as the benchmark SSL algorithm for potential diagnosis of COVID-19 from chest X-rays and CT-Scans.

Furthermore, COVIDCon performs exceptionally well in identifying COVID-19 positive cases from completely unseen chest X-rays and CT scans; therefore has potentials to be used in clinical settings. In that context, additional attributes, such as demographic information, race, etc. can also be included in COVIDCon for strengthening the ground for classification. It will be also important to understand the possibilities of identifying asymptomatic COVID-19 cases and differentiate them from normal cases from X-rays and CT scans screening using COVIDCon. For that, a large amount of data from normal, asymptomatic, as well as symptomatic COVID-19 cases will be required and collaborative work between hospitals and machine learning scientists will be necessary. Future studies will be focused on making the proposed approach available to the society for use in early and efficient detection of COVID-19.
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