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Abstract

We present a more efficient version of the e-magyar NLP pipeline for Hungarian called emtsv. It integrates Hungarian NLP tools in a framework whose individual modules can be developed or replaced independently and allows new ones to be added. The design also allows convenient investigation and manual correction of the data flow from one module to another. The improvements we publish include effective communication between the modules and support of the use of individual modules both in the chain and standing alone. Our goals are accomplished using extended tsv (tab separated values) files, a simple, uniform, generic and self-documenting input/output format. Our vision is maintaining the system for a long time and making it easier for external developers to fit their own modules into the system, thus sharing existing competencies in the field of processing Hungarian, a mid-resourced language. The source code is available under LGPL 3.0 license$^1$.

1 Introduction

The e-magyar processing system (Váradi et al., 2018) integrates the state-of-the-art Hungarian NLP tools into a single, easy-to-use, maintained, and updated system. It has been designed to facilitate both research and application-oriented processing with the important goal of the system being fully open for research purposes, thus encouraging future expansion, but also being easy for the non-NLP audience to use, and to become a good experimental tool, delivering the best performance available, regarding both processing speed and correctness.

Since its publication, the system has become popular and widely used in the Hungarian NLP community. Attempts have also been made to analyze large corpora with it, such as the Hungarian Webcorpus (Halácsy et al., 2004) and the Hungarian Gigaword Corpus (Oravecz et al., 2014). This work led to the discovery of previously unknown errors and weaknesses, which were taken into account in our developments. In this article, we present our work with two aspects emphasized: the unified communication format and the architecture design.

In the first version of e-magyar, the inter-modular communication format was the internal xml format of GATE (Cunningham et al., 2011), into which the Hungarian system was integrated. However, user experience showed that most users do not know or want to use the GATE system for their work: users with linguistic interest found it inconvenient, while for those with a technical background, it was unnecessarily cumbersome. In many cases, GATE introduces unnecessary complexity regarding installation, debugging, the format, and resource demand, due to the xml-based standoff annotation (see Section 3), which in many cases undermines stability. Therefore, we voted for the development of a new, standard and GATE-independent inter-modular communication format opening the way to use existing devices as separate modules or with transparent inter-modular messages. The format also simplifies the manual modification of inter-modular content. Available tools, even those independent of their programming language, become easier to integrate into the system.

Another focus of the development was on rethinking the architecture design. Modules which were available before the creation of e-magyar were written in various programming languages, following different linguistic annotations, and lacking a modularized and transparent structure. In contrast, our principles are unifor-
mity, interoperability, comparability, and the inter-
changeability of individual modules (e.g. when a
new candidate performs better).

In this article, we show how we converted the
tools of the previous e-magyar version follow-
ing the UNIX toolbox philosophy: “each does one
thing and each does it very well”. Restructured
modules are supposed to be able to both operate
independently of each other or interacting, as
needed. Also sections of the pipeline can be run,
i.e. users can enter or exit at any point and can
modify the data manually, as long as they adhere
to format requirements, which are natural and pro-
gramming language agnostic by design from the
beginning.

Design properties of other processing chains
were kept in mind during the development of
emtsv for the sake of comparability. Other sys-
tems mostly take strictly a single natural language
as their starting point, but then they are extended
to be multilingual or even intended to be universal
afterwards. Some of them go with changed needs,
which now favor scalable cloud-based technolo-
gies – dubbed microservices – that do not require
end user installation: the chain is provided as a
service, sometimes without source code.

In parallel with the development, the poten-
tial use of emtsv was also contemplated. For
instance, emtsv could be profitable for pre-
annotating tasks in corpus building. Thanks
to the high performance of the modules, pre-
analyzing the text could shorten and ease the oth-
erwise expensive and protracted human annota-
tion. Furthermore, the modular architecture of
the toolchain allows us to exit at a certain point
of the analysis, carry out some manual correc-
tion in the data, and then enter the chain again
putting the data back to emtsv. Let us take an
imaginable workflow as an illustrative example.
Firstly, the output of tokenization and tagging is
corrected manually for a revised, finer input for the
dependency parser, the second step of the work-
flow. Therefore, the effect of occurrent errors from
the tokenizer or the part of speech (POS) tagger
could be eliminated. It is worth to mention, that
since the dependency parser allocates ID numbers
to each token, modifications in tokenization (in-
serting, deleting, splitting, or joining tokens) do
not cause complications in token numbering. At
the end of the workflow, the output of the depend-
cency parser is converted into the CoNLL-U for-
mat\(^2\), which is edible for widely-used annotation
and visualization tools, e.g. allowing to carry out
further corrections in the dependency graph in a
drag-and-drop manner.

In Section 2, we present the currently available
language processing systems similar to emtsv for
the sake of comparison. Section 3 describes our
extended tsv format, Section 4 gives an overview
of the architecture, while Section 5 presents the in-
dividual modules. Section 6 summarizes the paper
and Section 7 presents future work.

2 Related Work

As an NLP pipeline primarily for Hungarian,
emtsv can be compared to Magyarlánc (Zsib-
rita et al., 2013), currently in version 3.0, and the
hun* toolchain\(^3\). Though there are overlaps be-
tween the modules of the compared chains, here
we focus on the structure of the chain as a whole,
which is, to some extent, independent of the indi-
vidual modules.

Magyarlánc provides a Java-based, tightly
coupled chain, using the latest international state-
of-the-art modules. It is suitable for annotating a
large amount of Hungarian text with detailed and
proper linguistic analysis, but the modification of
the system (e.g. adding possible new modules or
replacing existing ones) is cumbersome.

The most relevant modules of the hun*
toolchain are the HunToken\(^4\) sentence and
word tokenizer (written in Flex and Shell), the
HunMorph morphological analyzer (Trón et al.,
2005) (w. in OCaml), the HunPOS POS tagger
(Halácsy et al., 2006) (w. in OCaml), the HunNER
named entity recognizer (Varga and Simon, 2007)
(w. in Python), and the HunTag sequential tag-
ger (Recski and Varga, 2009) (w. in Python). The
hun* chain and emtsv share several properties:
the loosely coupled architecture, the tsv format,
the heterogeneity of programming languages ap-
plied for the development of the modules, and the
open source availability. However, hun* only
works with Latin-2 character encoding and its di-
rect development has discontinued.

There are several examples of systems simi-
lar to Magyarlánc on the international scene,
which usually suffer from similar shortcomings

\(^2\)http://universaldependencies.org/
\(^3\)https://hlt.bme.hu/en/resources/
hun-toolchain
\(^4\)https://github.com/zseder/huntoken
mentioned above. At the same time, they have merits like being language independent (or at least supporting many natural languages), fast or able to process large amounts of data. Currently we do not intend to compete with all of these aspects, but focus on producing the best results for Hungarian the most efficiently, and creating a format that is close to standards and easy to convert to other ones. We want to give full control to the user by creating a loosely coupled system. The point here is to involve the community in the development: for transparent operation, systems not only need to be open-source but also need to be accepted and maintained by the NLP community, which is more difficult to achieve.

In the remainder of this section, we highlight a few existing language-independent analyzers to present some of their disadvantageous properties which tend to be common with the tools not included.

UDPipe (Straka and Straková, 2017) was written in C++ roughly at the same time as e-magyar with the goal of analyzing general texts. Training data follows the Universal Dependencies and Morphology (UD)\(^5\) annotation scheme and format. Although it has bindings for many programming languages, and is truly efficient, it does not allow for easy extension and development of the applied pipeline, despite that its source code is free\(^6\). This is a shortcoming if developers want to introduce their own modules, such as a custom morphological analyzer.

The Python-based spaCy\(^7\) started similarly, originally consisting of closed-end modules, but since version 2.0, it has become more and more open in architecture in order to support more natural languages. Although spaCy and emtsv are similar in their direction of development, their current status is too far to allow comparison: emtsv is more loosely coupled.

Another strategy is followed by WebSty\(^8\) and Weblicht\(^9\). These pipelines try to integrate existing tools including even language-dependent ones to better support individual languages. Their only criterion is that the tools have to support the UD format. The principle of this approach is scalability in great computer clusters: running in the cloud asynchronously orchestrated by a task scheduler on demand. The entire system is accessible via a web-based API, where tasks can be specified with data files. The source code of the software is not available for running a local instance, and modules cannot be developed by external developers.

In emtsv, we try to eliminate the architectural drawbacks of previous systems described above and, at the same time, reserve their advantageous features.

3 Uniform Data Format

The classic structure of e-magyar (Sass et al., 2017) heavily relies on the features inherited from the original tools, depending on their input and output formats. In that system, GATE is the layer of architecture that creates a common, unified data format, thus providing interoperability between the individual modules, that are agnostic of each other. This idea is suitable as long as the user wants to work within the GATE ecosystem.

The common format is GATE xml, which is not a standard and easy-to-implement solution, as no DTD or Schema file is available that describes the format. These are dispensable as long as files are produced and processed solely by GATE: the format can be regarded as internal. In a GATE xml file, annotation follows the complete text separately. In a typical scenario of processing this format, one must constantly jump between the two parts of the xml file, so the entire text and annotation should be kept in memory e.g. by building a tree with DOM strategy. This requirement at best slows down the processing of large xml files, whereas it makes impossible to process the data as stream. In addition, the cumbersome deployment of the GATE system in itself greatly increased the complexity of the pipeline for users, developers, and service providers, whether or not they really needed the added functionality provided by GATE.

This motivated us to design an inline (i.e. in the sense that annotation should be locally available at the element which is annotated), streamable, simple, customisable, self-documenting and easy-to-use format that can be easily converted into other formats. We support conversion without data loss to standard formats such as CoNLL-X (Buchholz and Marsi, 2006), CoNLL-U, or even GATE xml. The newly chosen format specifica-
Table 1: An illustration of the format, a three-column tsv file with a header (resembling CoNLL-U column names): word forms, lemmas, and explicit morphological analysis. ‘The dog-s bark-[3Pl]. The…’. Comments may occur only at the beginning of sentences.

The role of the header is particularly important:

Hashmark as every character, however rare, will have its occurrences in a large corpus: using it as special will lead to error on the long run. Collision of occurrences as literals and as special characters in the original corpus often results in unexpected errors that take a long time to debug, limits and slows down the operation and later the extensibility of the system.

Although the spacing between the structuring elements in JSON can be selected to be tab, it is prohibited in emtsv because of its tab separated layout.

4 Architecture

The described tsv format is simple, easy to manage, supported by several existing tools, and enables users to write additional modules. It was our primary goal to facilitate the easy development and integration of additional modules into the system. Furthermore, besides the traditional command-line interface (CLI) and the format-agnostic Python library interface, we have also created a REST API whose use is independent of programming languages.
With the help of traditional UNIX pipelines, CLI provides a useful tool for advanced users. The CLI can be used even on large texts without knowing the internal operation of the modules. The Python library can be integrated into larger software systems by IT/NLP users. Finally, the REST API opens up the possibility of using the system according to modern cloud-based trends, even for completely non-NLP users and business circles: with its help, emtsv can be made available as a scalable service in the cloud for a wide range of end-users, without a need for installation on the end-user side.

According to the modern requirements, the emtsv system is also available as a Docker image\(^{12}\). This image can be used like a ‘standalone executable’ with ‘batteries included’ as it features the CLI interface and the REST API as well. Its advantage over the traditional installation is that the whole system is packed together with all its dependencies pre-configured and can be deployed with a single command. Therefore it is easy to use on any machine running Docker in a form comparable to highly integrated pipelines. The deployed image can instantly be used with HTTP requests from local or remote computers, from the command line or from any software.

Individual modules are combined together by our newly developed xtstv framework, which handles tsv as a communication format in a general way. This allows both the communication via the format described in Section 3 (i.e. the choice of the input columns, attaching the output columns, and reserving the rest), the creation of REST APIs, and the dynamic format-check (Section 5) regardless of the specific content of the modules. Extra modules can be added to the system with the following parameters specified in a declarative fashion: the unique name of the module, – that distincts it from alternative instances of the same tool with a different model or parameter setting –, the actual tool that performs the function of the module, the names of the input and output columns, and the specification of models and other parameters when needed as the parameters of the tool. If one wants to use a module with other pre-trained models (e.g. the Named Entity tagger trained on financial reports or on encyclopedic text), alternative instances of the same module can also be created within the xtstv framework. xtstv dynamically creates and runs the desired chain as described above. Although the described interfaces (CLI, REST API, Python library) have been implemented in Python to meet the user requirements, the modules can be implemented in other programming languages based on the specification, even in a heterogeneous fashion like in the case of UNIX pipelines.

The description of emtsv so far can be summarized as follows: a loosely coupled architecture, the possibility of adding new modules written in any programming language, the standard tsv format, the three API types (CLI, Python package, REST API, the latter optionally running in the user’s cloud), scalability, the openly available source code, and a pipeline adhering to the UNIX philosophy. These enable users being on different levels of programming skills and coming from different backgrounds to combine rule-based and statistical systems, to manually correct the output of any modules then to feed it to any of the next modules, to compare the output of alternative modules as a part of the same pipeline for the same input, to interpret errors, and to retrain the models if needed. This wide spectrum of features exceeds the capabilities of the previously presented tool chains applicable for Hungarian (see Section 2).

The following section describes the role of each of the available modules in the chain, as well as the minimum requirements for new modules, which enable the chain to be expanded with new modules or the modification of existing ones within the framework.

5 Modules

Module management means that the fields required by the given module need to be available by the time of running the module. This can be controlled by the header available already at the time of assembling the pipeline, indicating an error early, even in the case of a dynamically defined pipeline. Recall that each module specifies the needed and produced columns. For example, it is known at the time of chain assembly, on the basis of the specified fields, that the POS tagger needs the form and anas (i.e. analyses) columns, or that dependency parsing must be preceded by POS tagging but not by NP chunking, as shown in Figure 1.

The organization of modules is based on the
Figure 1: The current processing chain of emtsv, with input and output fields.

previous version of e-magyar, however we split logically independent functions into separate modules, even if they were built into one module previously. Thus, the tasks of each module can be more clearly specified, which makes their testing and development simpler. For a unified handling of modules in xtsv (see Section 4), modules originally written in Java were wrapped into Python modules. The names of these wrappers have been given the uniform ending py. In Python wrappers, Java is uniformly called by the Pyjnius package\footnote{https://github.com/kivy/pyjnius}. The Python wrapper communicates with the original Java module through Java-native types, which cuts down the original input and output handling code, so eliminating the differences between the input and output formats in favor of emtsv. The additional changes made to the individual modules are described in the subsections below. Module names are prefixed with em: e for electronic and m for magyar ‘Hungarian’.

5.1 emToken

Although tokenization rules themselves remain unchanged, we revised the tokenizer for the new pipeline significantly. emToken (Mittelholcz, 2017), the tokenizer in e-magyar, consists of several submodules with different functionalities such as checking illegal characters, sentence segmentation, abbreviation processing, and word tokenization itself. So far, these submodules were compiled into a single monolithic binary file. In the new version, each submodule is compiled into a binary file that can be run separately, reading from standard input and writing to standard output. These submodules are linked together by a Python script. For the new structure, the test system for the emToken was also redesigned. These refactoring steps enabled us an organic integration within the emtsv framework.

Detokenization is currently not supported, but emToken returns the spaces besides the tokens properly, so future work can modify the module to insert original (possibly spacial) spaces in a separate column to make detokenization possible. An alternative would be to record the word offset (the index of the starting character) in a column, from which it can be seen whether consecutive tokens were originally separated by a space or not.

5.2 emMorph and emLem

We fixed some bugs that affect the morphological analyzer emMorph (Novák et al., 2016) and its interaction with the lemmatizer emLem. The output of the morphological analysis, i.e. the string representing individual steps of the underlying transducer, is regarded as an internal format, as it is not used directly but is transformed into a more readable form of the morphemic sequence. The post-processing is executed by the emLem module. The original Java implementation of emLem has been replaced by a new Python code\footnote{https://github.com/ppke-nlpg/emmorphpy} to improve simplicity and code transparency. Bugs uncovered during rewriting have been fixed.

The module containing emMorph+emLem has been supplemented with a special REST API, which allows the user to easily access the analyses of individual word forms through the browser, by pasting each word form into a special URL. This demo interface\footnote{https://emmorph.herokuapp.com/} runs in the cloud, where quick access to emMorph is provided.

The output of the extended emMorph module is a specially formatted JSON file with fields for both human and machine use (see Figure 2). Each
analysis contains four fields: the lemma; the morphemic sequence in two formats: one intended for machine use (morphana) and one for human reading (readable); the bare tag of the strict morphosyntactic category without phonological-orthographic content (tag); and the two-level output of the morphological analyzer (twolevel) for debugging purposes. The readable field omits redundant surface forms, i.e. those that coincide with the deep form. The REST API is capable to return multiple words at once, when called using the HTTP POST method. The advantage of the standard JSON format is that it protects against errors caused by unexpected characters in large corpora. For the sake of fitting into tsv, the use of a tab outside the string is prohibited in the generated JSON.

5.3 emTag

The emTag POS tagger is based on PurePOS (Orosz and Novák, 2013). It requires an inconvenient, non-standard input format, that is exposed to errors caused by unexpected characters. The new format described in Section 3 makes possible to eliminate errors caused by unexpected characters in large corpora.

Now alternative morphological analyzes can be separately provided for the Java-based PurePOS as native Java data structures with the input text (even from within a Java program). The PurePOS-Python interface contains the add-ons required for emtsv. PurePOS can be used in three ways with the Python interface: alone with pre-analyzed input, with its built-in statistical morphological analyzer, or using the emMorph+emLem rule-based morphological analyzer.

5.4 emChunk and emNER

The configuration of the HunTag3 (Endrédy and Indig, 2015) sequential tagger, which served as the basis of the xtsv framework, has been slightly modified to meet the requirements of the new emtsv format: features are now reached by column names not by column numbers. In addition, HunTag3 has undergone a number of internal transformations, resulting in the standardized management of the input and output formats, completely separate from the rest of the computation.

5.5 emMorph2UD

The original converter (DepTool), that converted the output of emTag to linearized attribute-value pairs for the emDep dependency parser (see Section 5.6) is replaced by emMorph2UD, a new converter. There are two main reasons for this improvement. Firstly, looking more closely at DepTool, it turned out that it did not handle certain morphological features: the content of the input morphological tags were often lost. Secondly, the tags generated by DepTool had a specific format that could be used only within the toolchain between the two modules.

As UD is a cross-linguistically consistent grammatical annotation scheme, it is reasonable to provide the output in that formalism beside the tags of emMorph. Therefore, emMorph2UD converts the morphological tags emitted by emTag to UD. Formerly in e-magyar, the model behind emDep was trained on POS tags and morphosyntactic features converted by DepTool. Consequently, the model had to be replaced with one trained on Szeged Treebank with UD tags (Vincze et al., 2017).

The emMorph2UD module can be used both for inter-modular communication in emtsv between emTag and emDep using the formalism of UD, and as an output format with UD morphological tags. For a detailed description and precise evaluation of emMorph2UD, see Vadász and Simon (2019).

5.6 emDep and emCons

We also detached the Bohnet dependency parser (Bohnet and Nivre, 2012) and the Berkeley con-
stituent parser (Durrett and Klein, 2015) from Magyarlánc 3.0, so the parsers now work with a smaller resource footprint. The model of emDep has been replaced (see Section 5.5): its input is now the set of POS tags and morphological attribute–value pairs, converted from the output of emMorph to conform the UD annotation scheme. The output of emDep, i.e. the syntactical annotation, did not change.

5.7 emCoNLL

To satisfy the need of a standard well-proven format, the output can be converted to the CoNLL-U format with the help of the module emCoNLL. By this, the output of emtsv is suitable for tools dealing with CoNLL-U format, such as processing, annotation or visualization tools. Since the fields UPOS, HEAD and DEPREL are not allowed to be left unspecified in the CoNLL-U format, emCoNLL depends on the dependency parser, thus only the output of emDep can be used as an input of emCoNLL. In addition, CoNLL-U supports only one extra field (MISC) for a further annotation layer, however, there might be several competing modules for that one field (emMorph, emLem, emChunk and emNer). This problem is solved by leaving this extra column empty, thus only mandatory fields are filled during the conversion. This module serves as a good example for splicing a simple and useful additional module to the end of the toolchain.

6 Summary

In this article, we introduced emtsv, the new version of the e-magyar language processing pipeline that has undergone a major transformation. emtsv is not only competing, but at several points exceeds its competitors. Its main characteristics are the uniform communication format, the easy interoperability of the modules thanks to this format, the free source code, the loosely coupled modules (open for new modules, be they rule-based or statistic), and the scalability. It can run as service through a REST API, as a pipeline in CLI, or can be integrated into larger systems as a Python library API and available as Docker image as well. Developers can plug in their own modules. Modules can be individually upgraded, compared, rewritten, retrained, or customized. Consequently, emtsv is now the Hungarian NLP pipeline with the broadest functionality.

7 Future Work

Bootstrapping a human-annotated corpus

Starting with a large free corpus, we plan to pre-process raw text with emtsv, and improve the output module-by-module by semi-manually correcting the output of the nth module and then passing the improved version to module n + 1 (this could not be done in the former version of e-magyar). Free availability of the corpus used for this process is important in order to that the research community can experiment with new methods by changing tools and data. The process will provide a good opportunity to test the system in detail, to detect errors, and to turn to computational linguistic research proper, i.e. to justify linguistic theories.

Over-tokenizers

In the time of pre-trained deep language models (aka contextualized word representations, such as Peters et al. (2018)), a system with symbolic inter-modular communication may seem anachronous, but we believe emtsv as a pre-processing tool can help state-of-the-art systems, especially in handling less frequent or out-of-vocabulary words. Our approach belongs to subword-level modelling (Botha and Blunsom, 2014), specifically the simple but effective engineering solution of splitting rare or unknown words to their components (going against our own xtsv recommendation of no token splitting introduced in Section 3). Though unsupervised statistical segmentation (e.g. Morfessor (Creutz and Lagus, 2005) or byte-pair encoding (Sennrich et al., 2016)) is widely used, segmentation to meaningful parts (Lazaridou et al., 2013; Avraham and Goldberg, 2017) offers the exploitation of additional linguistic knowledge. Splitting off inflectional suffixes have already hugely reduced word perplexity (Nemeskey, 2017). We plan to extend this line of work to compositional compounds, especially noun+noun compounds like szín-tan ‘color-theory’, compositional derivational suffixes e.g. szeker-estül, lit. chariot-along.with.one’s ‘along with one’s chariot’, and compositional preverbal prefixes e.g. agyon-tápol, ‘over-nurture’. The planned modules can work by assigning probability scores to composition candidates based on gold constructions with similar constituents, where similarity is measured in the
Universal guesser  We store all possible morphological analyzes to be able to fine-tune them before disambiguating, but these analyzes apply only for the tokens recognized by the fixed lexicon of the rule-based morphological analyzer (emMorph). These analyzes also lack weights, which is desired by the latter processing steps. In order to treat each module equally, we plan to create a Universal guesser module (harmonised with emMorph) that is able to analyze OOV tokens – with rules or statistical machine-learning – as well and set the appropriate weights for each analyzes (e.g. by using the same training material used by the POS-tagger module (emTag) currently). Stripping out this task from the POS-tagger – where it currently resides – creates the possibility to fine-tune analyzes for all tokens prior to POS-tagging if needed. Also it enables us to substitute the guesser module – or the POS-tagger – with others (e.g. Morfessor and Lemmy\footnote{https://github.com/sorenlind/lemmy}) and find the one with the best performance by testing it in real-life conditions.

Phrases and verb constructions  Our plans include creating new modules for emNer trained on texts in different domains, as well as new models for chunking (i.e. annotating all types of phrases in the sentence), and even enhancing emDep based on lessons learned from Mazsola (Sass, 2008).

Load-balancing  Currently, every module runs in one instance. A rather technical follow-up development would be to run bottleneck modules in multiple copies: paralleling increases performance. For example, if the disambiguator processes 10 sentences while the syntactic parser finishes with 2 sentences, then it is worth starting the syntactic parser in 5 instances and process sentences in parallel. This technology is called load-balancing and it is popular both within the Python and the Docker world.

A multilingual chain  The new xtsv framework is actually completely language and module independent. We may create a multilingual analyzer whose pipeline can start with a language identifier. In order to do so, we need modules for other natural languages. It is important for these toolsets not to be monolithic like Magyarlánć, but separated into modules – by their logical role in the pipeline – that can be given to a tsv-wrapper and combined freely in xtsv.
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