Two Approaches to Interpretation of Hubble Diagram
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Supernovae of type Ia are used as standard candles in modern cosmology, they serve to test cosmological models. Interpretation of the Hubble diagram based on the standard cosmological model led cosmologists to conclusion that the Universe is filled mostly with cosmic dust and mysterious dark energy.

In this paper we present exact solutions of the Friedmann equation in standard cosmology and conformal cosmology. The theoretical curves interpolating the Hubble diagram for the latest supernova data are expressed in an analytical form. The functions belong to the class of meromorphic Weierstrass functions. Both approaches describe the modern Hubble diagram with the same accuracy. Physical interpretation from the standpoint of conformal cosmology is preferable, since supernova data are described without using a Λ-term. In the standard cosmology, the Hubble diagram is described by some characteristics: a Hubble parameter $H(t)$, a deceleration $q(t)$, and a jerk $j(t)$. As calculations show, the deceleration parameter $q$ changes its sign during the evolution of the Universe, the $j$-parameter remains constant. In the modern era, the Universe expands with acceleration, and in the past its acceleration was negative. The change in the sign of acceleration, without a clear physical reason, puzzles cosmologists.

It seems obvious to us that to study objects dislocated from us at distances of billions of light years, we should not use the coordinate time customary for work in laboratories, but the conformal time. In conformal coordinates, the behavior of photons is described as in the Minkowski space. The time intervals $dt$ and $d\eta$ are different, they are related by the scale factor: $dt = a d\eta$. The conformal luminosity distance is longer than the standard luminosity distance, which is manifested when observing distant stellar objects. As a result, the effective magnitude value — the redshift relationship, on which the Hubble diagram is constructed, will be different. Using the conformal Friedmann equation, we introduce the conformal parameters $H(\eta)$, $q(\eta)$, $j(\eta)$. All parameters remain positive during the evolution of the Universe. The scale factor grows with deceleration. The Universe does not experience a jerk.
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1. Introduction

A type Ia supernova occurs when a white dwarf in a double star system accumulates a mass by accretion that sufficient to overcome the Chandrasekhar limit. The nature of explosion of such a supernova depends on its prehistory insignificantly. Redshifts $z > 0.1$ are large enough to ignore peculiar motions of light sources. Supernovae type Ia, sufficiently bright stars whose absolute luminosity is known with good accuracy, they serve as standard candles for testing cosmological models. Two collaborations The Supernova Cosmology Project and High-z Supernova Search Team compared the results of observations of supernovae with theoretical predictions for luminosity distances as redshift functions. Interpretation of the Hubble diagram on the basis of a standard cosmological model with adjustable cosmological parameters led cosmologists to conclusion that the Universe is filled mainly with dust and, so-called, dark energy — a substance with an equation of state not found in Nature [1–3]. Phenomenological approach has not led to an understanding of the state of matter from which the Universe consists.

Conformal cosmological model [4], based on conformal Dirac’s variables [5], allows to explain data on supernovae without Λ-term [6–9]. We show that solutions of
the differential Friedmann equation belong to the class of Weierstrass meromorphic functions \[10\]. Therefore, it is natural to use them to compare predictions of these two approaches \[11–13\]. In conclusion, we show that the difference between distance modulus is interpolated by means of the Chebyshev polynomial of the fourth order with a sufficient degree of accuracy.

2. Friedmann equation in classical cosmology

In the standard cosmological model the Friedmann equation

\[
\left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho - \frac{k}{a^2}
\]

is used for fitting SNe Ia data. It ties a scale of the Universe \(a(t)\) with density of matter \(\rho\). Here \(G\) is Newton's constant, \(k\) is a sign of curvature of a space, a dot denotes a derivative with respect to coordinate time \(t\). In generic case, the equation (1) is represented in the following form

\[
\frac{1}{x^2} \left( \frac{dx}{dt} \right)^2 = H_0^2 \left[ \Omega_\Lambda + \Omega_{\text{curv}} x^{-2} + \Omega_M x^{-3} + \Omega_{\text{rad}} x^{-4} + \Omega_{\text{rigid}} x^{-6} \right],
\]

where the variable \(x\) is given as a ratio of a scale \(a(t)\) to a modern one \(a_0 = 1\):

\[
x \equiv \frac{a(t)}{a_0} = \frac{1}{1 + z},
\]

\(z\) is a redshift of spectral lines (observed variable), \(H_0 = h \cdot 10^5\) m/s/Mpc, \(h = 0.72\pm0.08\) – Hubble constant. In the right hand of the Friedmann equation (2) \(\Omega_i\) are partial densities of, correspondingly, \(\Lambda\)-term, curvature of the space, dust-like matter, radiation, stiff-state matter. For distant sources with \(z > 1\) the interpretation of the cosmological redshift as a Doppler shift is not valid \[14\]. An equation of continuity

\[
\dot{\rho} = -\frac{3\dot{a}}{a} (\rho + p),
\]

with an equation of state of matter \(p = w\rho\), which connects the density \(\rho\) and the pressure \(p\), yields the dependence of the density on the scale factor. So,

- for interstellar dust \(p = 0\): \(\rho \sim a^{-3}\);
- for radiation \(p = \rho/3\): \(\rho \sim a^{-4}\);
- for contribution from \(\Lambda\)-term \(p = -\rho\): \(\rho \sim \Lambda\);
- for stiff state of matter \(p = \rho\): \(\rho \sim a^{-6}\).

The data of modern astronomical observations are fitted using cosmological parameters \[2\]: \(\Omega_\Lambda = 0.72\), \(\Omega_M = 0.28\). A solution of the Friedmann equation (2) with such parameters is presented in analytical form

\[
a(t) = a_0 \left( \frac{\Omega_M}{\Omega_\Lambda} \left[ \text{sh} \left( \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda / H_0} t \right) \right] \right)^{2/3}.
\]

The second derivative of the scale factor is

\[
\ddot{a} = \frac{H_0^2 a_0}{2} \left[ 2 \Omega_\Lambda \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right) - \Omega_M \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^2 \right].
\]
Hence, in the modern era the Universe expands with acceleration, since $2\Omega_\Lambda > \Omega_M$; in the past, its acceleration was negative $\ddot{a} < 0$. This change of the sign of acceleration without a clear physical cause is noted by cosmologists. From the solution (4), taking into account the relation between the scale factor and the redshift (3), it follows age — redshift relationship

$$H_0t = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda}}\text{Arsh} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_\Lambda}{\Omega_M}} \frac{1}{(1+z)^{3/2}} \right),$$

(6)

The age $t_0$ of the modern Universe in the coordinate time can be obtained by setting $z = 0$ in (6)

$$t_0 = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda}} \frac{1}{H_0} \text{Arsh} \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_\Lambda}{\Omega_M}}.$$

(7)

If we know the redshift $z$ of a certain galaxy, how do we find the coordinate distance $r$ to it? Since for the rays of light the spacetime interval is zero

$$ds^2 = -c^2dt^2 + a^2(t)dr^2 = 0,$$

we have the relation between intervals of space and time $c dt = -a(t)dr$, and, using the notation introduced above (3) $x \equiv a/a_0$, 

$$-a_0r = c \int \frac{dt}{x} = c \int \frac{dx}{x} \frac{1}{dx/dt}.$$

(8)

Substituting now the Friedmann equation (2) into (8)

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = H_0\sqrt{\Omega_M/x + \Omega_\Lambda x^2},$$

(9)

we get an integral

$$H_0r = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda}} \int_{1/(1+z)}^{1} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x^3 + 4a_3x}},$$

(10)

where we denote the ratio of partial densities as $4a_3 \equiv \Omega_M/\Omega_\Lambda$. The integral is calculated using the inverse Weierstrass $\wp$-function [12] and one obtains coordinate distance — redshift relationship

$$H_0r = -\frac{c}{\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda}}\wp^{-1} \left[ 1 - \sqrt{1 + \Omega_M/\Omega_\Lambda(1+z)^3} \right] + \frac{c}{\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda}}\wp^{-1} \left[ \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + \Omega_M/\Omega_\Lambda}}{2} \right].$$

(11)

The resulting formula is expressed in terms of the Weierstrass $\wp$-function [10], satisfying the differential equation

$$\left[ \frac{d\wp(u)}{du} \right]^2 = 4[\wp(u) - e_1][\wp(u) - e_2][\wp(u) - e_3],$$

(12)
where \( \wp(\omega_\alpha) = e_\alpha \), \( \wp'(\omega_\alpha) = 0 \), \( \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \) — three roots of a cubic polynomial on the right-hand side of the differential equation (12)

\[
e_1 \equiv \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{\Omega_M}{\Omega_\Lambda} \right)^{2/3} (1 + i\sqrt{3}), \quad e_2 \equiv -\frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\Omega_M}{\Omega_\Lambda} \right)^{2/3}, \quad e_3 \equiv \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{\Omega_M}{\Omega_\Lambda} \right)^{2/3} (1 - i\sqrt{3}).
\]

Invariants of the Weierstrass function are the following

\[
g_2 = 0, \quad g_3 = -a_3^2 = -\left( \frac{\Omega_M}{4\Omega_\Lambda} \right)^2;
\]

the discriminant of a cubic polynomial is negative: \( \Delta \equiv g_2^3 - 27g_3^2 < 0 \).

In astronomy, the method of determining distances to ultra-distant objects is based on measuring their luminosity. The radiation power \( L \) of an object (a star or a galaxy) is called its absolute luminosity. The flux density \( \ell \), i.e., the radiation power per unit area, is called its visible luminosity. In Euclidean geometry they are connected by the formula

\[
\ell = \frac{L}{4\pi d^2}.
\]

where \( d \) is the distance from us to the radiation object. In the second century BC the Greek astronomer Hipparchus classified the stars visible to the naked eye into six classes according to their brightness. The brightest stars were assigned the first magnitude, and barely visible — the sixth magnitude. According to Norman Pogson in 1856 it was decided that the luminosity of the objects of the first stellar magnitude is a hundred times greater than the luminosity of the objects of the sixth stellar magnitude [14], i.e.,

\[
\ell = \ell_0 \cdot 100^{-m/5}, \quad L = L_0 \cdot 100^{-M/5},
\]

where \( \ell_0 \) and \( L_0 \) are some relevant luminosities. With the creation of photomultipliers at the beginning of the XX-th century, the factors \( \ell_0 \) and \( L_0 \) were fixed. We express from (14) the apparent stellar magnitude \( m \) and the absolute stellar magnitude of the object \( M \) using the decimal logarithms

\[
m = -\frac{5}{2} \log \frac{\ell}{\ell_0}, \quad M = -\frac{5}{2} \log \frac{L}{L_0}.
\]

Then we express from (15) the distance modulus \( m - M \) through the distance \( d \) to the radiation object, using (13)

\[
m - M = -\frac{5}{2} \log \left( \frac{\ell}{L} \cdot \frac{L_0}{\ell_0} \right) = \frac{5}{2} \log \left( 4\pi d^2 \cdot \frac{L_0}{\ell_0} \right) = 5 \log d + \frac{5}{2} \log \left( 4\pi \frac{\ell_0}{L_0} \right).
\]

For performing calculations, the factors \( \ell_0 \) and \( L_0 \) in (14) are chosen in such a way that the distance \( d \) is measured in megaparsecs

\[
m - M = 5\log d (\text{Mpc}) + \mathcal{M},
\]

where \( \mathcal{M} = 25 \).

In the Friedman–Robertson–Walker cosmology, by analogy with the formula for the distance \( d \) in Euclidean geometry (13), we determine a luminosity distance \( d_L \) to a star object

\[
d_L = \sqrt[5]{\frac{L}{4\pi \ell}}.
\]
In standard cosmology, the luminosity distance \( d_L(z)_{SC} \) is related to the coordinate distance \( r \) [2]:

\[
d_L(z)_{SC} = (1 + z)a_0 r.
\] (18)

Here it was taken into account that the area of the sphere around the luminous object passing through the Earth increases, the frequency of photons decreases during their motion. Substituting the formula for the coordinate distance (11) into (18), we get an analytical expression for luminosity distance

\[
d_L(z)_{SC} = \frac{c(1 + z)}{H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda}} \left( \varphi^{-1} \left[ (1 - \sqrt{1 + \Omega_M/\Omega_\Lambda}) \right] - \varphi^{-1} \left[ \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + \Omega_M/\Omega_\Lambda(1 + z)^3}}{2(1 + z)^2} \right] \right).
\]

Modern observational cosmology is based on the Hubble diagram. **Effective stellar magnitude – redshift relationship**

\[
m(z) = M = 5\log[d_L(z)_{SC}] + \mathcal{M},
\] (19)

is used to test cosmological theories \((d_L\text{ in megaparsecs}) [2]\). Here \( m(z) \) is the apparent magnitude, \( M \) is its absolute magnitude, and \( \mathcal{M} = 25 \) is a constant.

### 3. Friedmann equation in conformal cosmology

Interpretation of the Hubble diagram, based on a conformal cosmological model with parameters \( \Omega_{\text{rigid}} = 0.755, \Omega_M = 0.245 \), yields the same qualitative approximation as the standard cosmological model with parameters \( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.72, \Omega_M = 0.28 \) [9]. A parameter \( \Omega_{\text{rigid}} \) corresponds to a stiff state of matter, when the energy density is equal to the pressure \( p = \rho \) [15, 16] that is happened under a nucleosynthesis regime in stars. We write out **conformal Friedmann equation** [4] with using meaningful conformal partial parameters, discarding insignificant contributions

\[
\left( \frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{H_0}{c} \right)^2 \left[ \Omega_{\text{rigid}} \left( \frac{a_0}{a} \right)^4 + \Omega_M \left( \frac{a_0}{a} \right) \right].
\] (20)

The right-hand side of the equation (20) includes the densities of the state of matter \( \rho(a) \) in accordance with their conformal weights; in the left, the prime denotes the derivative with respect to the conformal time. After introducing the dimensionless variable \( x \equiv a/a_0 \), the conformal Friedmann equation (20) takes the form

\[
\left( \frac{2c}{\sqrt{\Omega_M H_0}} \right)^2 x^2 \left( \frac{dx}{dt} \right)^2 = 4x^3 - g_3 \equiv 4(x - e_1)(x - e_2)(x - e_3),
\] (21)

where one root in the cubic polynomial on the right-hand side (21) is real, while the other ones are complex conjugated

\[
e_1 \equiv \sqrt{\Omega_{\text{rigid}}} \frac{1 + \sqrt{3}}{\Omega_M} \frac{1}{2}, \quad e_2 \equiv -\sqrt{\Omega_{\text{rigid}}} \frac{1}{\Omega_M}, \quad e_3 \equiv \sqrt{\Omega_{\text{rigid}}} \frac{1 - \sqrt{3}}{2}.
\]

The invariants of the cubic polynomial are as following:

\[
g_2 = 0, \quad g_3 = -\frac{4\Omega_{\text{rigid}}}{\Omega_M}.
\]
where $\cal H_0$ is a conformal Hubble constant. The conformal Hubble parameter is related to the usual parameter as $H \equiv (a/a_0)\cal H$. Then we introduce a new variable $u$ by the rule

$$x = \wp(u) = -\frac{d\zeta(u)}{u}.$$

The Weierstrass $\zeta$-function satisfies the quasi-periodicity conditions [10]

$$\zeta(u + 2\omega) = \zeta(u) + 2\zeta(\omega), \quad \zeta(u + 2\omega') = \zeta(u) + 2\zeta(\omega'),$$

where $\omega$ and $\omega'$ are semi-periods of the function $\wp(u)$.

The conformal Friedmann equation (21) is integrated and we obtain the conformal age – redshift relationship in explicit form

$$\eta = \frac{2c}{\sqrt{\Omega_M\cal H_0}} \left( \zeta \left[ \wp^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{1+z} \right) \right] - \zeta \left[ \wp^{-1}(1) \right] \right). (23)$$

The equation written out in integral form is known in cosmology as the Hubble law. An explicit formula for conformal age of the Universe $\eta_0$ can be obtained by putting in (23) $z = 0$:

$$\eta_0 = \frac{2c}{\sqrt{\Omega_M\cal H_0}} \left( \zeta \left[ \wp^{-1}(0) \right] - \zeta \left[ \wp^{-1}(1) \right] \right). (24)$$

The interval of the coordinate conformal distance is equal to the interval of the conformal time $dr = d\eta$, so we can represent (23) as the conformal distance – redshift relationship.

In conformal coordinates, the behavior of photons is exactly the same as in the Minkowski space. The time intervals between the emission of two photons and between their absorption are the same. The time interval $dt = -adr$, used in standard cosmology, and the time interval $d\eta = -dr$, used in conformal cosmology, are different. The conformal luminosity distance $d_L(z)_{CC}$ is related to the standard luminosity distance $d_L(z)_{SC}$ [9]

$$d_L(z)_{CC} = (1 + z)d_L(z)_{SC} = (1 + z)^2r(z),$$

where $r(z)$ is a coordinate distance. For photons $dr/d\eta = -1$, so we get an explicit dependence: luminosity distance – redshift relationship

$$d_L(z)_{CC} = \frac{2c(1+z)^2}{\sqrt{\Omega_M\cal H_0}} \left( \zeta \left[ \wp^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{1+z} \right) \right] - \zeta \left[ \wp^{-1}(1) \right] \right). (25)$$

The effective magnitude – redshift relationship in the conformal cosmology has the form

$$m(z) - M = 5\log[d_L(z)_{CC}] + M. (26)$$

4. Comparative analysis

According to the conformal cosmological model, the conformal quantities are physical observables. Pearson's criterion $\chi^2$ was applied in [9] for statistical fitting of results on supernovae Ia [2]. The contribution from the component corresponding to the rigid state of matter $\rho_{\text{rigid}}$ in the conformal model replaced the contribution from the $\Lambda$-term in the standard model. In the rigid state of matter, its energy density is equal to the pressure. As a result of the analysis, the conclusion was drawn: the best fitting of the conformal model was not inferior to the best fitting of the standard model [9].

The curves for the two models, according to (26) and (19), are shown in Fig. 1.
The difference between the curves: effective magnitude value – redshift

\[ \Delta(m(z) - M) = 5\log[d_L(z)_{CC}] - 5\log[d_L(z)_{SC}] \]  

– predictions of models (26) and (19), is demonstrated in Fig. 2. A slight difference between the curves, within the error of observations, is manifested in the early and late stages of the evolution of the Universe [12].

The function (27) has a complex form, but it is easy interpolated, with a sufficient degree of accuracy, Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, the least deviating from zero on the interval \([-1; 1]\]

\[ \Delta(m - M) = \frac{a_0}{2} + a_1 T_1(x) + a_2 T_2(x) + a_3 T_3(x) + a_4 T_4(x). \]

Here you have utilized a variable \(-1 \leq x \leq 1\): \(x = (20/17)z - 1\), and the coefficients of the expansion have the form: \(a_0/2 = 0.0703, a_1 = 0.0513, a_2 = 0.0513, a_3 = -0.0123, a_4 = 0.0015\). Chebyshev polynomials [17, 18]:

\( T_0 = 1, \quad T_1(x) = x, \quad T_2(x) = 2x^2 - 1, \quad T_3(x) = 4x^3 - 3x, \quad T_4(x) = 8x^4 - 8x^2 + 1. \)
In the standard cosmology, the following characteristics are introduced to describe the Hubble diagram: Hubble parameter, a deceleration and a jerk [2]

\[ H(t) \equiv +\left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right) = H_0 \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_M}{a^3} + \Omega_\Lambda}, \]  
\[ q(t) \equiv -\left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right) \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^{-2} = \frac{\Omega_M/2 - \Omega_\Lambda a^3}{\Omega_M + \Omega_\Lambda a^3}, \]  
\[ j(t) \equiv +\left( \frac{\dddot{a}}{a} \right) \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^{-3} = 1. \]  

As we see, the deceleration parameter \( q \) (29) changes its sign during the evolution of the Universe at the inflection point \( a^* = \sqrt[3]{\frac{\Omega_M}{2\Omega_\Lambda}} \), \( j \)-parameter remains constant.

We define analogous parameters for the conformal cosmology

\[ \mathcal{H}(\eta) \equiv +\left( \frac{a'}{a} \right), \]  
\[ q(\eta) \equiv -\left( \frac{a''}{a} \right) \left( \frac{a'}{a} \right)^{-2}, \]  
\[ j(\eta) \equiv +\left( \frac{a'''}{a} \right) \left( \frac{a'}{a} \right)^{-3}. \]  

We calculate the conformal parameters using the conformal Friedmann equation (20). Hubble parameter

\[ \mathcal{H}(\eta) = \frac{H_0}{a^2} \sqrt{\Omega_{\text{rigid}} + \Omega_M a^3} > 0; \]  
deceleration parameter

\[ q(\eta) = \left( \frac{\Omega_{\text{rigid}} - (\Omega_M/2)a^3}{\Omega_{\text{rigid}} + \Omega_M a^3} \right) > 0 \]  
changes from 1 to (\( \Omega_{\text{rigid}} - \Omega_M/2 \)); hence, the scale factor grows with deceleration; the jerk parameter

\[ j(\eta) = \frac{3\Omega_{\text{rigid}}}{\Omega_{\text{rigid}} + \Omega_M a^3} > 0 \]  
changes from 3 to 3\( \Omega_{\text{rigid}} \). Dimensionless parameters \( q(\eta) \) and \( j(\eta) \) remain positive during the evolution. The Universe does not undergo, during its evolution, the so-called jerk – artifact of approach of the standard cosmological model.

5. Conclusions

We present exact solutions of the Friedmann equation in standard cosmology and conformal cosmology. The theoretical curves interpolating the Hubble diagram for the
latest supernova data are expressed in an analytical form. The functions belong to the class of meromorphic Weierstrass functions. Both approaches describe the modern Hubble diagram with the same accuracy. We introduce conformal parameters describing the Hubble diagram. All parameters remain positive during the evolution of the Universe.
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Два подхода к интерпретации диаграммы Хаббла

А. Е. Павлов

Кафедра сопротивления материалов и деталей машин
Российский государственный аграрный университет — МСХА им. К. А. Тимирязева
Тимирязевская ул., д. 49, Москва, Россия, 127550

Сверхновые типа Ia используются как стандартные свечи в современной космологии, служат для проверки космологических моделей. Интерпретация диаграммы Хаббла на основе стандартной космологической модели привела космологов к заключению, что Вселенная заполнена в основном космической пылью и загадочной тёмной энергией.

В настоящей работе представлены точные решения уравнения Фридмана в стандартной космологии и конформной космологии. Теоретические кривые, интерполирующие диаграмму Хаббла для последних данных по сверхновым, выражаются в аналитическом виде. Функции принадлежат классу мероморфных функций Вейерштрасса. Оба подхода описывают современную диаграмму Хаббла с одинаковой точностью. Физическая интерпретация с позиций конформной космологии предпочтительнее, поскольку данные по сверхновым описываются без использования $\Lambda$-члена. В стандартной космологии для описания диаграммы Хаббла введены характеристики: параметры Хаббла $H(t)$, замедления $q(t)$ и толчка $j(t)$. Как показывают расчёты, параметр замедления $q$ меняет свой знак в течение эволюции Вселенной, $j$-параметр остаётся постоянным. В современную эпоху Вселенная расширяется с ускорением, а в прошлом её ускорение было отрицательным. Изменение знака ускорения, без явной физической причины, озадачивает космологов.

Нам представляется очевидным, что для исследования объектов, находящихся от нас на расстояниях миллиардов световых лет, следует пользоваться не координатным временем, привычным для работы в лабораториях, а конформным временем. В конформных координатах поведение фотонов описывается как в пространстве Минковского. Интервалы времени $dt$ и $d\eta$ отличаются, их связывает масштабный фактор: $dt = a d\eta$. Конформное фотометрическое расстояние длиннее стандартного фотометрического расстояния, что проявляется при наблюдении за удалёнными звёздными объектами. В результате соотношения: эффективная звёздная величина – красное смещение, на которых строится диаграмма Хаббла, будут разными. Используя конформное уравнение Фридмана, в работе вводятся конформные параметры $H(\eta)$, $q(\eta)$, $j(\eta)$. Все параметры остаются положительными в течение эволюции Вселенной. Масштабный фактор растёт с замедлением. Вселенная не испытывает толчка.

Ключевые слова: сверхновые типа Ia, уравнение Фридмана, функции Вейерштрасса, диаграмма Хаббла, космологические параметры, $\Lambda$-член
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