Broad spectral line and continuum variabilities in QSO spectra induced by microlensing of diffusive massive substructure
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Abstract

We investigate the variability of the continuum and broad lines in QSO spectra (particularly in the Hβ line and continuum at λ 5100 Å) caused by microlensing of a diffuse massive structure (like an open star cluster). We modeled the continuum and line emitting region and simulate a lensing event by a star cluster located in an intervening galaxy. Such a type of microlensing event can have a significant influence on magnification and centroid shift of the broad lines and continuum source. We explore relationships between the continuum and broad line flux variability during the microlensing event.
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1. Introduction

The light from a distant quasar (or QSO), can be perturbed by compact massive objects, as e.g. stellar clusters, intermediate mass compact objects (IMCOs with $10^2 - 4 M_\odot$) and cold dark matter structure, especially
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in the case of macrolensed QSOs. This can cause a magnification in the luminosity of a QSO (see e.g. Popović & Chartas, 2005; Jovanović et al., 2008; Sluse et al., 2012; Stalevski et al., 2012) and in its photometric position (see e.g. Hog et al., 1995; Walker, 1995; Miyamoto & Yoshii, 1995; Dominik & Sahu, 2000; Lee et al., 2010; Zackrisson & Riehm, 2010; Treu, 2010; Popović & Srdić, 2013, etc.), the so called lensing effects. Additionally, lensing can affect the spectra of a lensed QSO in the continuum (see e.g. Lewis et al., 1998; Popović & Chartas, 2005; Blackburne et al., 2006; Jovanović et al., 2008; Pooley et al., 2012) and broad lines (see e.g. Schneider & Wambsganss, 1990; Abajāņa et al., 2002; Richards et al., 2004; Sluse et al., 2012; Guerras et al., 2013, etc.) and produce the spectral anomaly in the images of a lensed quasar. Depending on the image angular separation lensing can be divided into several categories from which three are the most used (see Zackrisson & Riehm, 2010; Treu, 2010): macrolensing (> 0.1 arcsec), millilensing (~ 10^{-3} arcsec) and microlensing (~ 10^{-6} arcsec). The effect of lensing of compact objects (millilensing and microlensing) is usually consider to be present in the images of a macrolensed QSO, but the effect of micro/millilensing may be present also in QSOs which are not macrolensed. This is the case where the line-of-sight from an observer to a source does not lie very close to the center of a massive galaxy, but still the compact massive object from the galaxy and stars can affect the QSO light (see e.g. Zakharov et al., 2004; Zackrisson & Riehm, 2007). Note here that there is a number of QSOs observed close to galaxies, cluster of galaxies and/or throughout a galaxy stellarc disc (see e.g. Gaztañaga, 2003; Zibetti et al., 2004; Meusinger et al., 2010; Andrews et al., 2013, etc). Consequently, the spectra of non-(macro)lensed QSOs may be also affected by lensing of compact objects, but here we will consider the case of macro-lensed quasars, taking a typical lens distance as \( z_d = 0.5 \) and source as \( z_s = 2.0 \).

On the other hand, active galactic nuclei (AGNs, QSOs are a branch of AGNs) very often show an intrinsic variability in the continuum and broad lines that can be used to constrain the structure of these objects (see e.g. Peterson, 2013, and reference therein), but variability in the continuum and broad lines may be caused also by milli/microlensing. Especially if a group of stars acts as a lens the strong amplification can be seen, not only in the continuum source, but also in broad line source, so called Broad Line Region – BLR. Here, similarly to the work of Garsden et al. (2011), we use the microlensing event, that produces variation in the continuum and emission from the BLR region, (here we consider the Hβ broad line) to study the
properties of the BLR region.

The aim of this paper is to investigate correlation between the variability of broad lines and continuum during lensing by a bulk of stars concentrated on a relatively small surface, as e.g. star clusters, simulating a massive diffuse lensing structure that contains between several tens to several hundreds of solar mass stars. We consider the complex emitting structure of a QSO, taking stratification in the continuum and the BLR emission. We explore the microlensing influence on the Hβ spectral line emitted from the BLR and optical continuum at λ 5100Å.

The paper is organized as follows: In the next section we present the source and lens model; in §3 we give results of our simulations and discussion, and finally in §4 we outline our conclusions.

2. Source and lens models

2.1. The continuum emission

An AGN has a complex inner structure, meaning that different parts are emitting in different spectral bands, i.e. a wavelength dependent dimension of different emission regions is present in AGNs (see e.g. Popović et al., 2012). It is widely accepted that the majority of the AGN radiation is coming from the accelerated material spiraling down towards a black hole in a form of an accretion disc. The radiation from the disc is mostly thermalized from outer regions $R_{\text{out}}$ to the center, with slight exception at the inner radius, i.e. very close to the black hole, where Compton upscattering due to the high mass accretion could have a significant role (see Done et al., 2012).

Here we use the same model of an accretion disc as in Popović & Simić (2013) for the continuum, taking into account the spectral stratification of the source where the effective temperature is a function of the radius (see Krolik, 1998; Popović & Simić, 2013):

$$ T \propto R^{-3/4}(1 - (R_{\text{in}}/R)^{1/2})^{1/4}, $$

where $R_{\text{in}}$ is the inner disc radius. At a larger radius this equation could be reduced to $T \propto R^{-\beta}$, where in the standard model $\beta = 3/4$.

The model allows us to calculate the luminosity of a small surface element at an arbitrary position in the disc. It is proportional to the surface energy density and area of the emitting surface (Popović & Simić, 2013):
Figure 1: First panel: The model of the source, disc-continuum and the BLR region. Second panel: The composite spectra in the H$\beta$ wavelength range.

\[ dL(\lambda, R) \propto \frac{dS}{\lambda^5} (\exp(\frac{hc}{\lambda k\alpha(\beta)R-\beta} - 1))^{-1}. \]  

(1)

where $dS$ is the surface element of the source and $h$ and $k$ are the Planck and Boltzman constant, respectively. We replaced $T$ in the expression for the energy density with the distance $R$ and computed the proportionality coefficient $\alpha(\beta) = T_0 R_0^\beta$, where $T_0$ is the temperature at the distance $R_0$. To compute the spectral energy distribution (SED) for disc configuration we integrate over the whole disc area:

\[ L(\lambda) \propto \int_{S_{\text{disc}}} dL(\lambda, R) \]  

(2)

The inclination of the disc with respect to the observer could be included as $\cos(i)$ ($i$-inclination angle). In this paper we assumed a face-on disc ($i = 0$).

Using the disc model for the UV and optical continuum emission of QSOs we can explore influence of the microlensing on such system and model of SED amplification and fluctuation in object position as it has been shown in Popović & Simić (2013). But, here, especially we will pay attention only to continuum around the H$\beta$ line.

2.2. The model of the BLR and H$\beta$ line

The lensing effect is geometrical, and its influence on spectra is caused by different sizes of emission regions (Popović & Chartas, 2005). To explore qualitatively relationships between the broad line and continuum flux variation we choose the H$\beta$ wavelength range, since one can expect that this effect will be similar in other broad lines and the corresponding continuum.
We accepted that the emission gas in the BLR is virialized and that photop- 
ionization is dominant in the BLR, consequently, the BLR size and the line 
properties depend on the mass of the central object (Peterson & Wandel, 
1999).

To add the BLR emission to the above described continuum disc-source, 
we assumed a model of spherically distributed clouds, taking that some con-
straints for the BLR are connected with the luminosity of the continuum 
source. Kinematical parameters of the BLR directly depends on the dimen-
sion and mass of the central black hole.

The relationship between the BLR size \( R_{BLR} \) and continuum luminosity 
has been taken from Kaspi et al. (2005):

\[
\frac{R_{BLR}}{10 \text{ lt days}} = (2.23 \pm 0.21) \left( \frac{\lambda L(\lambda \text{5100 Å})}{10^{44} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}} \right)^{0.69 \pm 0.05}.
\]  

(3)

where \( L(\lambda \text{5100 Å}) \) is the continuum luminosity at \( \lambda \text{5100Å} \).

To estimate the intensity of the H\( \beta \) line, we used relation (Kaspi et al., 
2005):

\[
\frac{R_{BLR}}{10 \text{ lt days}} = AL^B
\]

(4)

where \( A = 8.91^{+0.92}_{-0.83} \) and \( B = 0.690 \pm 0.068 \) are constants taken from 
Kaspi et al. (2005). Estimating the BLR size from Eq. 3 we can calcu-
late the luminosity of the H\( \beta \) line as:

\[
\log \frac{L(H\beta)}{10^{43}} = 1.45 \log \left\{ \frac{R_{BLR}}{10 \text{ lt days}} - 8.91 \right\}.
\]

(5)

In order to estimate the equivalent width (EW) of the H\( \beta \) we assumed that 
the broad emission lines are broadened primarily by the virial gas motions in 
the gravitational potential of the central black hole (see Peterson & Wandel, 
1999). Therefore, we assumed that the dimension of the BLR is from the 
continuum source to the above estimated \( R_{BLR} \) and that in this volume are 
located \( N \) uniformly distributed clouds with velocities \( v_i \) which depend from 
the mass of the black hole and distances from the center as:

\[
v_i = \sqrt{\frac{2GM_{BH}}{R_i}}.
\]

(6)
where $v_i$ is taken as Gaussian dispersion velocity (since the line profile is assumed to have a Gaussian profile). The width of the $H\beta$ line is assumed as 2000 km/s.

Here we assumed that the BLR is homogenous and to represent the width of the $H\beta$ line we use velocity dispersion of a Gaussian as at distance of the averaged radius of the BLR. The $H\beta$ intensity is taken to be directly proportional to the volume of the BLR $L_{H\beta} \sim V_{BLR}$. Note here that the model of the BLR is very simple, but since we explore the flux variability (amplification) that depends on the BLR and continuum source dimensions, it should not significantly affect obtained results.

### 2.3. Microlensing model

A distribution of stars in the lens plane is used to generate microlensing magnification map in the source plane which is computed by the ray-shooting technique (see e.g. Kayser et al., 1986; Schneider & Weiss, 1986, 1987; Treyer & Wambsganss, 2004). This technique is in details described in Popović & Simić (2013) and here will not be repeated. In Fig. 2 we present star distributions (panels up) for 50 and 200 solar mass stars, and corresponding amplification maps (panels down). The number and random distribution of stars in our model is typical for open star clusters. Note here that globular star clusters are more compact in size and much more populated than the open clusters. Consequently this produce microlensing effect to be very similar as the point like objects, with well studied microlensing influence.

Using the described model for source and microlens we are able to create images of the lensed continuum disc-source and BLR (see Fig. 3). Consequently, we are able to calculate the centroid shift of the image for different spectral filters as (Popović & Simić, 2013):

$$D_{cs}(F) = \frac{\int_F \sum x_{pix} L_{pix}^{lens}(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int_F \sum L_{pix}^{lens}(\lambda) d\lambda}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $F$ denotes integration for a particular (continuum and broad line) spectrum and A is the whole energy range. Also, we designated with $x_{pix}$ the coordinate of a particular pixel in the image with the corresponding luminosity of $L_{pix}^{lens}$.

The magnification for a particular source image is computed as the ratio of the luminosity for all pixels in a spectrum with the luminosity in the same spectrum without lens influence, as (Popović & Simić, 2013):
Figure 2: Upper panels show a randomly star distribution in the lens plane, a) case 50 solar mass stars distributed randomly in a circle with radios of 0.04 mas in lens plane (left panels) and b) the same as a) but for 200 solar mass stars (right panels). In the bottom panels we give the corresponding magnification maps in the source plane. The solid lines across the microlens map show the path of the source, numbers denote the position for which spectra are shown in Fig. 4. The standard lens system with $z_d = 0.5$ and $z_s = 2.0$ is considered.
With these variables computed for any particular image during the lensing event (transition) we are able to estimate its maximal and minimal values, as well as the trend of change for different lens condition. The relevant length scales for microlensing is the dimension of the Einstein Ring Radius (ERR) in the lens plane, defined as:

\[
\xi_0 = \sqrt{\frac{4Gm}{c^2 D_d D_s}}
\]

and its projection in the source plane is:

\[
ERR = \frac{D_s}{D_d} \xi_0 = \sqrt{\frac{4Gm}{c^2 D_s D_d}}
\]

where \(G\) is the gravitational constant, \(c\) is the speed of light, \(m\) is the microlens mass. We adopted standard notation for cosmological distances to the lens \(D_d\), source \(D_s\) and between them \(D_{ds}\). In our simulation value of 1ERR is close to \(5 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ cm} \sim 1.7 \text{ pc} \sim 20.6 \text{ lt-days}\).

Time scales for a microlensing event is described in detail in the book on gravitational microlensing (see Schneider et al. [1992]; Zakharov, [1997]; Petters et al. [2001]). Based on the sizes of the source \(R_{src}\) and caustic \(r_{caustic}\) pattern we distinguish two cases, when \(R_{src} > r_{caustic}\) and \(R_{src} < r_{caustic}\) (presented in detail in Jovanović et al. [2008]). Both cases could be expressed in a single form:

\[
T_{\text{crossing}} = (1 + z_d) \frac{R}{v_\perp(D_s/D_d)}
\]

where \(R\) replaces the \(R_{src}\) or \(r_{caustic}\). Here we used a simple approach, since we considered that a microlensing event duration corresponds to the time needed for crossing over the caustic network created by the lens. In this way the dimension of the caustic patterns in the magnification map determines the total time scale for a particular event, and it can be computed by using Eq. 11 with the \(R\) replaced by the dimension of map \(r_m\). We used already introduced comoving distances \(D_s\) and given map dimensions in ERRs to calculate map linear dimensions, and hence the width of the caustic network.
Figure 3: Images of the continuum (left) source and the BLR (right). The lens maps are presented in Figure 2. Panels up present the case of 50 solar mass star lensing, while panels down present 200 solar mass lensing. The lens system is assumed to have $z_d = 0.5$ and $z_s = 2.0$. 
\( v_\perp \) is transverse velocity, and in our simulations we assumed the typical one of \( v_\perp = 600.0 \) km/s, that gives a crossing time for complete map (40 ERR) of the order of thousands years.

2.4. Parameters of source and lens

The continuum source is defined with its inner and outer radius. As we adopted the standard model for the disc we considered that the most of the continuum radiation in the observed energy range, is coming from the disc part within the area defined by \( R_{\text{in}} = 10^{13} \) m and \( R_{\text{out}} = 10^{15} \) m. For evaluating the proportionality coefficient \( \alpha \) (see Eq. 1), we took the temperature of \( T_0 = 2 \cdot 10^4 \) K, with the peak radiation around 1500 Å, at the radius \( R_0 = 3.15 \cdot 10^{13} \) m, (see Blackburne et al., 2011). The coefficient \( \beta \) usually has the value of \( \beta = 3/4 \) and we kept that value constant throughout all simulations. The disc inclination can be changed, but in the simulations we assumed a face-on disc orientation. The source plane dimension is the same in all our computation and equal to 40 ERR, that with the size of the map of 2000 pixels gives a resolution of 0.02 ERR/pix.

By evaluating the continuum source luminosity at \( \lambda = 5100 \) Å we are able to estimate the dimensions of BLR region using the Eq. 3 and hence line parameters Eqs. 5 and 6.

Here we consider the BLR size of 128 light days (i.e. the radius is 64 light days), for a black hole of 8 \( \cdot 10^8 \) \( M_\odot \). The luminosity of the H\( \beta \) line without lensing is \( 1.5 \cdot 10^{44} \) ergs/s.

The lens has been assumed to have a circular shape, containing \( N_s \) stars of Solar mass ranging from 50 and 200 solar mass stars. We also assumed that lens and source are placed at the cosmological distances, with \( z_d = 0.5 \) and \( z_s = 2.0 \) (so called the standard lens). We are confident, based on the discussion in Popović & Simić (2013) that such carefully chosen lens reflect good enough condition for the gravitational bound systems. Any more massive and densely populated lens will act as one compact object with known influence on the distant source.

In all calculations we assumed a flat cosmological model, with \( \Omega_M = 0.27 \), \( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.73 \) and \( H_0 = 71 \) km s\(^{-1}\)Mpc\(^{-1}\).

3. Results

To explore correlation between the line and continuum variability during microlensing event by diffuse massive structure we modeled microlensing of
the described above source by a group of 50 and 200 solar mass stars. As it can be seen in Fig. 3, the images of the continuum source and BLR are quite different. In the case of 50 stars there are microlensing magnification of both regions, and magnifications stay significant in the more massive lens (200 stars). It is interesting that the continuum source is split in several spots, but the BLR image shows several holes. As it can be seen in Fig. 3, in the surface of a source (in the BLR and continuum), at some parts, the demagnification is present. This demagnification is caused by the distribution of caustics in the lens, but the total brightness of the source is always magnified.

We also explore a transition of the lens along such a complex sources and changing in spectral properties. In Fig. 4 we present the spectral changes due to microlensing the systems of 50 (panels up) and 200 (panels down) solar like stars. The particular image in the panels refers to the positions denoted with numbers in Figure 2, which present points of our calculation. A rough estimate gives that these points cover the time interval of around 1500 days, starting from left position and going toward the edge on right side. As it can be seen in Fig. 4, very important changes are seen in the line amplification, while there is no significant changes in the line profile.

3.1. Continuum vs. line variation

As expected during the lensing event, a variations (amplification) in the broad line and continuum are present. Those variations can be used to explore the parameters of the BLR (see e.g. Garsden et al., 2011). In our case we consider a very simple BLR model, taking that the BLR has one velocity field over entire volume. The correlations between the continuum and line luminosities for considered two cases are given in Fig. 5, a weak correlation is present in the case of 50 star lens \( r =0.37445, P=0.0266 \), that is not statistically important (left panel in Fig. 5), while in 200 star lens \( r =0.8996, P=0 \) the correlation is important and statistically significant.

On the other hand one can expect that, during a microlensing event, the delay of the signal between the continuum and line could be observed. Therefore we calculated 40 points across the paths shown in Fig. 2 and calculated cross correlation functions (CCF) for both cases (lensing 50 and 200 solar mass stars, Fig. 6—left and right panel, respectively). As one can see from Fig. 6 the lags are zero, i.e. there is no lag that indicates the size of the BLR (64 light days).
Figure 4: The variation in the H\(\beta\) spectrum range during a microlensing event: the case of 50 (panel up) and 200 (panel down) solar mass stars. The number on plots corresponds to the position shown in Fig. 2.

Figure 5: Magnification in line against magnification in the continuum for the lens of 50 (left) and 200 (right) solar mass stars.
Figure 6: CCFs between the continuum and line for the case of lensing of 50 (left) and 200 (right) solar mass stars. The X-axis presents the distance scale in the source plane.

The reason for it is that the continuum source stays highly magnified during the event, and variation in the continuum is relatively small with regards to variation in the line. One can expect that a smaller source, as it is the continuum should have a more prominent variation during a typical microlensing event (several stars, see e.g. Jovanović et al., 2008), but in this case the continuum stays high amplified during the event, since there is always a group of caustics that crosses the small continuum source. However, in the case of lens with 50 stars, one can see in Fig. 6 that the amplification in the continuum can be significantly higher than in the line (points that have a large scatter in Fig. 5 left). The amplification in the line is higher than in the continuum, that is expected, since the continuum source is smaller, and always covered by a smaller group of caustics than line one. As e.g. in the lens with 200 stars, the density of caustics is higher in the center, but a small surface of the continuum source will be amplified by smaller number of caustics than the BLR. The ERR of the lens is comparable with the projected dimension of the BLR, therefore, amplification in the line flux shows higher variability during lensing than the continuum one.

As it can be seen in Fig. 7 the equivalent width is increasing during the event, and this increase is lasting after the center of lens crosses the center of source, and after that decreases (see Fig. 5). As we noted above, it is since the continuum source is too compact, and all time stays amplified, but the BLR has maximal amplification when the lens crossing near the central part.

As one can see in Fig. 7 the EW, in both cases, has an off-centered maximum, that is close to the BLR radius (vertical dashed line in Fig. 7). This is caused by the fact that crossing the source (continuum + BLR), amplifications of the BLR and continuum increase, but when the continuum source
is completely covered by the lens and the amplification of the continuum stays more or less constant, the lens covering rest part of the BLR and amplification in the line is increasing (after the lens crossed the central part of the source). Therefore, the peak of the EW curve and its asymmetry may indicate the BLR sizes.

At the end we should note here that the intrinsic variation of an AGN can be present and can affect the obtained relationships between the broad line and continuum variability in the case of microlensing.

4. Conclusion

We modeled lensing of a complex source (typical AGN) containing the continuum source suppose to be a disc and BLR assumed to be spherically symmetric with a homogenous velocity field. The lens is assumed to be a massive diffuse structure (like a stellar cluster) containing 50 and 200 solar mass stars. Our simulation were performed for a standard lens system with $z_d = 0.5$ and $z_s = 2.0$. We measured the variability in the broad line and corresponding continuum, in this case of the broad H$\beta$ line and continuum at $\lambda 5100$Å.

From our simulations we can outline following conclusions:
i) The diffuse massive lens can significantly magnify, in addition to the continuum, the broad line emission. Also, the images in the continuum and in the broad line are different in shape.

ii) The amount of variability in the broad line flux is higher than in the continuum, since the continuum source is much compact and stays strongly magnified during a longer period of lensing.

iii) The correlation between the line and continuum luminosity variations is higher in the case of more massive diffuse object, e.g. in the case of lensing of 50 solar mass stars the coefficient of correlation $r = 0.37445 \ (P=0.0266)$, and for 200 stars is significantly higher $r = 0.8996 \ (P=0)$ and statistically significant.

iv) CCFs of the continuum with line luminosity during microlensing event show that the measured lag does not correspond to the BLR dimensions, in our case we obtained zero lag; but it seems that the EW curve during the event could give more information about the BLR sizes, but this should be explored on a larger number of different models for the lens as well as for the BLR.
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