Abstract  Conventional electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra of lipids that are spin-labelled close to the terminal methyl end of the acyl chains are able to resolve the lipids directly contacting the protein from those in the fluid bilayer regions of the membrane. This allows determination of both the stoichiometry of lipid–protein interaction (i.e., number of lipid sites at the protein perimeter) and the selectivity of the protein for different lipid species (i.e., association constants relative to the background lipid). Spin-label EPR data are summarised for 20 or more different transmembrane peptides and proteins, and 7 distinct species of lipids. Lineshape simulations of the two-component conventional spin-label EPR spectra allow estimation of the rate at which protein-associated lipids exchange with those in the bulk fluid regions of the membrane. For lipids that do not display a selectivity for the protein, the intrinsic off-rates for exchange are in the region of 10 MHz: less than 10× slower than the rates of diffusive exchange in fluid lipid membranes. Lipids with an affinity for the protein, relative to the background lipid, have off-rates for leaving the protein that are correspondingly slower. Non-linear EPR, which depends on saturation of the spectrum at high radiation intensities, is optimally sensitive to dynamics on the timescale of spin-lattice relaxation, i.e., the microsecond regime. Both progressive saturation and saturation transfer EPR experiments provide definitive evidence that lipids at the protein interface are exchanging on this timescale. The sensitivity of non-linear EPR to low frequencies of spin exchange also allows the location of spin-labelled membrane protein residues relative to those of spin-labelled lipids, in double-labeling experiments.
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Introduction

Since the original observation of motionally restricted boundary lipid in progressively delipidated preparations of cytochrome oxidase by Griffith and colleagues (Jost et al. 1973), electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) of spin-labelled lipids has developed into an extremely versatile method for studying lipid–protein interactions in biological membranes (Marsh et al. 1982, 2002a; Marsh 1983, 1987, 1990, 1995, 1996, 2008b; Marsh and Powell 1988; Knowles and Marsh 1991; Marsh and Horváth 1998; Marsh and Páli 2004; Esmann and Marsh 2006).

The spin-label EPR method is based primarily on the spectral resolution of lipids directly in contact with the intramembranous sector of the protein from those exhibiting the characteristic chain fluidity gradient in the bulk membrane (cf. Schorn and Marsh 1996a, b). The fraction, $f_b$, of spin-labelled lipids contacting the protein is related directly to the stoichiometry and selectivity of interaction with the protein (Marsh 1985; Brotherus et al. 1981):
\[
\frac{1 - f_b}{f_b} = \frac{1}{K_r} \left( \frac{N_t}{N_b} - 1 \right)
\]

where \( N_t \) is the total lipid/protein mole ratio. In Eq. 1, \( N_b \) is the number of lipid association sites on the protein and \( K_r \) is the association constant of spin-labelled lipid with the protein, relative to that of the unlabelled background host lipid, according to the lipid exchange reaction:

\[
P \cdot L_{N_b} \rightleftharpoons \frac{K_r}{f_b} \cdot \left( L \right)_{N_b} \rightarrow L^* + L
\]

where \( P \) is protein, \( L \) is lipid and the asterisk (*) indicates spin label. Material balance relates the fraction of protein-associated spin-labelled lipids to the kinetics of lipid exchange in the above reaction:

\[
f_b = \frac{1}{f_b} \left( 1 - f_b \right) \frac{\tau_{c}^{-1}}{\tau_{b}^{-1}}
\]

where \( \tau_{c}^{-1} \) is the on-rate and \( \tau_{b}^{-1} \) is the off-rate for spin-labelled lipids \( L^* \) interacting with the protein. These dynamic parameters governing lipid exchange also can be determined by spin-label EPR.

In addition to the systematic dependences on lipid–protein ratio and lipid selectivity that are observed, and invariance with respect to different spin-label configurations (Esman et al. 1988a), there are also spectroscopic verifications of the method. These include multi-frequency EPR (Horváth et al. 1994) and saturation transfer EPR (Marsh 2007; Horváth et al. 1993b). The latter techniques demonstrate unambiguously that the two-component nature of the spin-label spectra is preserved under quite different conditions of \( g \)-shift anisotropy or dynamic window of observation (see also Watts et al. 1981).

**Stoichiometry and selectivity of lipid–protein interaction**

Amongst the various available techniques, spin-label EPR remains unique in yielding directly the stoichiometry of the protein-associated lipid, a quantity that is related to the intramembranous structure and assembly of integral proteins (see Fig. 1; Marsh 1997; Páli et al. 2006) and can be used to detect oligomer formation (Arora et al. 2003; Ryba et al. 1993; Kótá et al. 2008; Marsh 2009). Representative data on the numbers of lipids, \( N_b \), that contact the

---

Fig. 1 First shell of lipids surrounding the crystal structure of *Escherichia coli* outer membrane protein FhuA (PDB code 2FCP) (Ferguson et al. 1998). Part of the shell of energy-minimised \( \text{d} \text{C}_{14:0} \text{PtdCho} \) lipids (space-filling representation) is cut away to show the protein in ribbon and wire-frame representation. In total, 34 lipids contact the intramembrane perimeter of the protein (Páli et al. 2006). The stoichiometry of motionally restricted lipids observed by EPR is \( N_b = 32 \) (Ramakrishnan et al. 2004).
intramembranous perimeter of different membrane proteins are presented in Table 1.

An extension of this method is to investigate the partial penetration of surface-associated proteins and peptides into the membrane (Göransson et al. 1986; Rietveld et al. 1985, 1986; Jordi et al. 1989; Snel and Marsh 1994; Sankaram et al. 1989a, b, c; Keller et al. 1995, 1996; Snel et al. 1995; Montich and Marsh 1995; Montich et al. 1995; Ramakrishnan et al. 2001; Swamy et al. 2002). In these cases of partial penetration, the lipid stoichiometries are relatively low and the degree of motional restriction of the lipid chains is less than that for truly transmembrane proteins.

A wide variety of spin-labelled species is available (see Marsh 2008a) that can be used together with the EPR method to determine the selectivity patterns of interaction with different transmembrane proteins (see Fig. 2). Representative values of the mean relative association constants for different lipid species are listed for a variety of

| Protein                                      | EPR (mol/mol) | X-ray ± 2 | Geometry |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|----------|
| **α-helical:**                               |               |           |          |
| Rhodopsin                                    | 22–25         | 27 ± 2    | 24.5     | 1–5      |
| ADP-ATP carrier                              | 25            | 28 ± 2    | 22.5     | 6        |
| Ca-ATPas                                     | 22–24         | 28 ± 4    | 31       | 7, 8     |
| Na, K-ATPase                                 | 32–33         | –         | 33       | 9–11     |
| Cytochrome reductase                         | 38 ± 3        | 46 ± 4    | 37       | 12       |
| Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor             | 41 ± 7        | 52 ± 4    | 52       | 13, 14   |
| Cytochrome oxidase                           | 56 ± 5        | 57 ± 4    | 68.5     | 15       |
| Phospholamban A                              | 11.3±0.1³     | 10        | 17       |          |
| M13 coat protein                             | 4–5           | 4³        | 18       |          |
| Myelin proteolipid                           | 10 ± 2        | 10²       | 19       |          |
| 16-kDa proteolipid                           | 5–6           | 10²       | 20       |          |
| **β-barrel/sheet:**                          |               |           |          |
| OmpA                                         | 11            | 11        | 21       |          |
| OmpG                                         | 20 ± 1        | 19        | 22       |          |
| FomA                                         | 23            | 23        |          |          |
| FhuA                                         | 32            | 30        | 21       |          |
| M13 phage coat protein                       | 4             | 4³        | 18       |          |
| K27, K-channel peptide²                      | 2.2           | 14        | 24       |          |
| K27-Δ²                                      | 2.5           | 25        |          |          |
| **β³-helix:**                                |               |           |          |
| Gramicidin A                                 | 3.6 ± 0.3     |           | 26       |          |
| a 1. Watts et al. (1979), 2. Pates and Marsh (1987), 3. Ryba et al. (1987). 4. Ryba and Marsh (1992), 5. Pates et al. (1985), 6. Horváth et al. (1990c), 7. Silvius et al. (1984), 8. Thomas et al. (1982), 9. Brotherus et al. (1981), 10. Esmann et al. (1985), 11. Esmann et al. (1988a), 12. Powell et al. (submitted), 13. Mamtipragada et al. (2003), 14. Ellena et al. (1983), 15. Knowles et al. (1979), 16. Kleinschmidt et al. (1998), 17. Arora et al. (2005), 18. Peelen et al. (1992), 19. Brophy et al. (1984), 20. Páli et al. (1995), 21. Ramakrishnan et al. (2004), 22. Anbazhagan et al. (2008a), 23. Anbazhagan et al. (2008b), 24. Horváth et al. (1997), 25. Horváth et al. (1995), 26. Kóta et al. (2004), 27. Páli et al. (2006)
| b Prediction per monomer deduced from structure of dimer (27)
| c In diC14:0PtdGro
| d In C16:0C18:1:1PtdCho
| e Predictions for pentamer: nagg = 5
| f Predictions for hexamer: nagg = 6
| g β-sheet form of M13 coat protein
| h Sequence: KLEALYILMVLGFFGFTLIMLSYIR. Both K27 and K27-Δ² are in the β-sheet form
transmembrane proteins in Table 2. The free energy of association, \( \Delta G_{\text{ass}}(L^*) \), that characterises the lipid selectivity is related to the relative association constant by:

\[
\Delta \Delta G_{\text{ass}} = \Delta G_{\text{ass}}(L^*) - \Delta G_{\text{ass}}(L) = -RT \ln(K_r)
\]

where \( T \) is the absolute temperature and \( R \) is the ideal gas constant. Selectivities are observed for certain negatively charged lipids that are partly, but not exclusively, of electrostatic origin (see, e.g., Fig. 3). Hydration and hydrogen bonding probably play an additional role in lipid selectivity (cf. Cevc et al. 1980).

Selectivity studies have also been performed with spin-labelled glycolipids (Li et al. 1989a, b, 1990), gangliosides (Schwarzmann et al. 1983; Esmann et al. 1988b; Mantipragada et al. 2003), and a variety of lyso and acyl derivatives of CL (Powell et al. 1987; Abramovitch et al. 1990; Esmann et al. 1988c). In addition to the selective interaction with lipids, the method may also be extended to spin-labelled drugs: for example, local anaesthetics (Miyazaki et al. 1992; Horváth et al. 1990a), and inhibitors of the osteoclast V-ATPase (Dixon et al. 2004, 2008).

**Lipid exchange dynamics at the protein interface**

Whereas the great power of the spin-label method lies in the determination of structural parameters (i.e., stoichiometries) and thermodynamic parameters (i.e., selectivities), the dynamic parameters that can be determined by EPR spectroscopy are equally of interest. Of especial relevance
is the rate at which lipids in direct contact with the protein exchange with those in the bulk fluid bilayer regions of the membrane.

Resolution of two distinct components in the conventional EPR spectra of spin-labelled lipids in lipid–protein systems implies that exchange between the two lipid

Table 2 Relative association constants $K_r$, referred to phosphatidycholine or phosphatidylglycerol ($K_{r,o} \approx 1$), for various spin-labelled phospholipid species interacting with different transmembrane proteins or peptides

| Protein | $K_r/K_{r,o}$ | References$^a$ |
|---------|---------------|----------------|
| | CL | Ptd | St | PtdSer | PtdGro | PtdEtn | PtdCho |
| $\alpha$-helix: | | | | | | | |
| PLP | – | 10.4 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | – | 1.0 | 1 |
| DM-20 | – | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1 |
| Myelin proteolipid$^b$ | 1.5$^c$ | 2.9$^e$ | 7.0$^c$ | 1.4$^c$ | 1.1$^c$ | 0.5$^c$ | 1.0 | 2 |
| | 3.0$^d$ | 2.4$^d$ | 2.9$^d$ | 1.4$^d$ | 2.0$^d$ | 1.7$^d$ | 1.0 | 3 |
| Na, K-ATPase | 3.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 4, 5 |
| Na, K-ATPase–trypsinised | – | – | 1.5, 2.8$^e$ | 1.9 | – | – | 1.0 | 6 |
| Cytochrome $c$ oxidase | 5.4 | 1.9 | – | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 7 |
| ADP-ATP-carrier | 3.8 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 2.4 | 0.8 | – | 1.0 | 8 |
| Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor | 5.1 | – | 4.9 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 9 |
| M13 phage coat protein | – | 1.6$^f$ | 1.2$^f$ | 1.2$^f$ | 1.1$^f$ | 1.0$^f$ | 1.0 | 10 |
| 16-kDa proteolipid | – | – | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | – | 1.0 | 11 |
| Phospholamban–$\Delta^{36,41,46}$ | – | 1.3 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 12 |
| Phospholamban–$\Delta^{1–25}$ $\Delta^{36,41,46}$ | – | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 12 |
| GaP | – | 1.0, 2.9$^g$ | 1.9, 4.3$^e$ | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 13 |
| Rhodopsin | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 14, 15 |
| $\beta$-barrel/sheet: | | | | | | | |
| OmpA$^g$ | – | 2.5 | ~0.2 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 16 |
| OmpG | – | 1.2 | 0.7 | – | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 17 |
| FomA | – | 1.2 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 18 |
| FhuA$^g$ | – | 1.5 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 19 |
| M13 phage coat protein | 4.2$^b$ | 4.2$^b$ | 2.3$^b$ | 2.1$^b$ | 1.6$^b$ | 0.9$^b$ | 1.0 | 20 |
| K27, K-channel peptide$^i$ | – | 3.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.1 | – | 1.1 | 21 |
| K27$\Delta^2$ | – | 4.3 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 1.0 | – | 1.0 | 22 |
| $\beta^{6,7}$-helix: | | | | | | | |
| Gramicidin A | – | 1.2 | 0.7, 1.4$^e$ | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 23 |

$^a$ 1. Horváth et al. (1990b), 2. Brophy et al. (1984), 3. Sankaram et al. (1991), 4. Esmann et al. (1985), 5. Esmann and Marsh 1985), 6. Arora et al. (1998), 7. Knowles et al. (1981), 8. Horváth et al. (1990c), 9. Mantipragada et al. (2003), 10. Peelen et al. (1992), 11. Páli et al. (1995), 12. Arora et al. (2003), 13. Hubert et al. (2003), 14. Marsh et al. (1982), 15. Watts et al. (1979), 16. Ramakrishnan et al. (2004), 17. Anbazhagan et al. (2008a), 18. Anbazhagan et al. (2008b), 19. Ramakrishnan et al. (2004), 20. Datema et al. (1987), 21. Horváth et al. (1997), 22. Horváth et al. (1995), 23. Kóta et al. (2004)

$^b$ CL, cardiolipin (PtdGro); Ptd, phosphatidic acid; St, stearic acid; PtdSer, phosphatidylserine; PtdGro, phosphatidylglycerol; PtdEtn, phosphatidylethanolamine; PtdCho, phosphatidylcholine; PLP, myelin proteolipid protein

c In diC14,0PtdCho

d In diC14,0PtdGro

e Values for protonated and charged forms, respectively

$^f$ $\alpha$-helical (partly) form of the protein in diC14,0PtdCho

$g$ Relative to PtdGro

$^b$ $\beta$-sheet form of the protein in diC14,0PtdCho/diC14,0PtdGro (80:20 mol/mol)

$^i$ Sequence: KLEYILMLGLFFGFFTLGIMLSYIR. $\beta$-sheet forms of K27 and K27$\Delta^2$
populations is slower than the difference in their spectral frequencies (Horváth et al. 1994). The critical rate is \( \sim 5 \times 10^8 \) s\(^{-1}\) (see, e.g., Marsh et al. 2002b), which exceeds that for translational diffusion of free lipids in fluid bilayer membranes (Sachse et al. 1987; King et al. 1987). Thus, even if spin-labelled lipids at the protein interface exchange at rates comparable to those of translation in the bulk, they are resolved as a separate component of slower rotational mobility. The exchange is slow on the conventional spin-label EPR timescale, but is still sufficiently rapid to affect transverse relaxation, i.e., linewidths.

The rate of exchange of spin-labelled lipids at the intramembranous surface of the protein can be estimated by simulating the two-component EPR lineshapes with the Bloch Equations that incorporate two-site exchange:

\[
\frac{L_f}{t} = \frac{L_b}{\tau_b^{-1}}
\]

where subscripts ‘b’ and ‘f’ refer to protein-associated and bilayer-associated environments, respectively, of the lipid spin label. The steady-state rate equations for the complex transverse magnetisations: \( M_b = u_b + i\nu_b \) and \( M_f = u_f + i\nu_f \) in the rotating frame, can be written as (Horváth et al. 1988a, 1994):

\[
[(\omega - \omega_b) + i\tau_b^{-1}]M_b - i\tau_f^{-1}M_f = -\gamma_e B_1 M_0\delta_b
\]

(4)

\[
[(\omega - \omega_f) + i\tau_f^{-1}]M_f - i\tau_b^{-1}M_b = -\gamma_e B_1 M_0(1 - \delta_b)
\]

(5)

where \( \omega_b = \omega_{b,0} - iT_{2,b}^{-1} \) and \( \omega_f = \omega_{f,0} - iT_{2,f}^{-1} \) are the complex angular resonance frequencies of the protein-associated and bilayer-associated spin-label components, respectively, and \( T_{2,b} \) and \( T_{2,f} \) are the corresponding transverse relaxation times. In Eqs. 4 and 5, \( \gamma_e \) is the electron gyromagnetic ratio, \( B_1 \) is the microwave magnetic field intensity and \( M_0 \) is the equilibrium z-magnetisation. The slow-exchange solution for the EPR absorption lineshape is then given by:

\[
v(\omega) \propto \frac{f_b(T_{2,b}^{-1} + \tau_b^{-1})}{(\omega_{b,0} - \omega + iT_{2,b}^{-1} + \tau_b^{-1})^2}
\]

\[
+ \frac{f_f(T_{2,f}^{-1} + \tau_f^{-1})}{(\omega_{f,0} - \omega + iT_{2,f}^{-1} + \tau_f^{-1})^2}
\]

(6)

when \( \tau_b^{-1}, \tau_f^{-1} \ll (\omega_{b,0} - \omega_{f,0}) \). The two rate constants are related by Eq. 2, where \( \tau_b^{-1} \) is the intrinsic exchange rate that depends on the affinity of \( L^e \) for the protein (i.e., on \( K_e \)), and is independent of the lipid–protein ratio. The on-rate, on the other hand, is diffusion-controlled and depends on the size of the free pool of lipid according to Eq. 2.

Data on the intrinsic off-rate constants (\( \tau_{b,0}^{-1} \)) for exchange of phosphatidylcholine (a lipid that does not express selectivity for the protein) at the interface with different transmembrane proteins are listed in Table 3. These are obtained from simulation of the spectral lineshapes by using the exchange-coupled Bloch Equations. For comparison, the intrinsic diffusional lipid–lipid exchange rates in fluid phosphatidylcholine bilayers are \( \tau_{\text{diff}}^{-1} \approx 8 \times 10^7 \) s\(^{-1}\) (Sachse et al. 1987; King et al. 1987).

Table 3: Off-rate constants (\( \tau_{b,0}^{-1} \)) and activation energies (\( E_{a,o} \)) for exchange of spin-labelled phosphatidylcholine (14-PCS) at the intramembranous surface of different transmembrane proteins

| Protein/lipid              | T (°C) | \( \tau_{b,0}^{-1} \) (s\(^{-1}\)) | \( E_{a,o} \) (kJ/mol) | References\(^a\) |
|---------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Myelin proteolipid protein/diC\(_{14,0}\)PtdCho | 30    | \( 1.6 \times 10^7 \)             | 20                     | 1, 2             |
| Myelin DM-20 protein/diC\(_{14,0}\)PtdCho       | 30    | \( 1.5 \times 10^7 \)             | –                      | 3                |
| ADP-ATP carrier/egg PtdCho                           | 10    | \( 1.4 \times 10^7 \)             | –                      | 4                |
| M13 coat protein(x-helix)/diC\(_{18,1}\)PtdCho | 24    | \( 3.0 \times 10^7 \)             | –                      | 5                |
| M13 coat protein(x-helix)/diC\(_{14,0}\)PtdCho | 30    | \( 2.3 \times 10^7 \)             | –                      | 5                |
| M13 coat protein(β-sheet)/diC\(_{14,0}\)PtdCho | 30    | \( 5.3 \times 10^6 \)             | –                      | 6                |
| Rhodopsin/diC\(_{14,0}\)PtdCho                   | 30    | \( 1.6 \times 10^7 \)             | 20                     | 7                |

\(^a\) 1. Horváth et al. (1988b), 2. Horváth et al. (1988a), 3. Horváth et al. (1990b), 4. Horváth et al. (1990c), 5. Peelen et al. (1992), 6. Wolfs et al. (1989), 7. Ryba et al. (1987)
The rates of exchange at the protein interface are such that 2H-labelled lipids would be in fast exchange on the quadrupolar NMR timescale, explaining why only single-component lipid spectra are observed in lipid–protein systems by solid-state NMR (Meier et al. 1987). Because the on-rate is diffusion-controlled for all lipids, the off-rates must reflect the relative affinities of the different lipids for the protein. Combining Eqs. 1 and 2 for any fixed total lipid/protein ratio, one sees that the intrinsic off-rates of lipids A and B depend inversely on their relative association constants (Horváth et al. 1988a):

$$\frac{\tau_b^{-1}(A)}{\tau_b^{-1}(B)} = \frac{K_s(B)}{K_s(A)}$$

(7)

This reciprocal relation is found to hold for most systems investigated (Horváth et al. 1988b, 1990b, c; Wolfs et al., 1989; Peelen et al. 1992), with few exceptions that must reflect the existence of highly specific sites (Horváth et al. 1990c).

**Sensitivity of spin-lattice relaxation to exchange of spin-labelled lipids**

Slow-exchange simulations, which depend on transverse relaxation rates, lie at the limits of dynamic sensitivity for conventional spin-label EPR spectroscopy. More sensitive determination of the exchange rate comes from EPR saturation experiments because these depend on longitudinal (i.e., spin-lattice) relaxation rates, which are optimally matched in timescale to lipid exchange processes (Páli et al. 1999, 2000; Arora and Marsh 1998; Arora et al. 1999).

The effect of two-site exchange on spin-lattice relaxation can be determined from the rate equations for the population differences ($n$) between the up and down spin orientations (see Fig. 4). These population differences determine the longitudinal z-magnetisation. The steady-state rate equations when spin transitions are induced at rate $2W$ by $B_1$-irradiation of the protein-associated component b are (Horváth et al. 1993a):

$$-2Wn_b + \frac{n_o^b - n_b}{T_{1,b}^o} - n_b\tau_b^{-1} + n_r\tau_f^{-1} = 0$$

(8)

$$\frac{n_f^o - n_f}{T_{1,f}^o} - n_f\tau_f^{-1} + n_b\tau_b^{-1} = 0$$

(9)

where $n_0^b$ and $n_f^o$ are the spin population differences at Boltzmann equilibrium, and $T_{1,b}^o$ and $T_{1,f}^o$ are the spin-lattice relaxation times in the absence of exchange, for protein-associated and bilayer-associated spin-labelled lipids, respectively, in both cases. Solution of these rate equations, together with Eq. 2 (which also holds for population differences), yields the standard expression for saturation of the spin system at the protein-associated site ‘b’ (see, e.g., Slichter 1978):

$$n_b = \frac{n_b^0}{1 + 2W \tau_{1,b}^o}$$

(10)

where $T_{1,b}^o$ is the effective spin-lattice relaxation time in the presence of exchange. This is given by (Horváth et al. 1993a):

$$\frac{T_{1,b}^o}{\tau_{1,b}^o} = 1 + \frac{(1 - f_b)T_{1,b}^o\tau_b^{-1}}{1 - f_b + f_b T_{1,f}^o\tau_b^{-1}}$$

(11)

from which the intrinsic off-rate, $\tau_b^{-1}$, for exchange is obtained.

Figure 5 shows progressive saturation curves for a spin-labelled lipid in lipid bilayers alone, associated with a delipidated protein (PLP), in lipid membranes containing PLP. Data are given for temperatures both below and above the chain-melting transition of the lipid. In both cases, spin-labelled lipids associated with the delipidated protein saturate more readily than those in membranes of the lipid alone, indicating a pronounced difference in the lipid chain dynamics at the protein interface (Livshits et al. 1998, 2003). In the fluid phase, this mobility difference is clear from the two-component lineshapes, i.e., occurs on the timescale of $T_{2}$-relaxation. The saturation properties show that this distinction extends also to the gel phase, where differences in mobility are found on the longer timescale of $T_{1}$-relaxation.

The saturation behaviour in the protein-containing membranes is intermediate between that in the lipid-alone and protein-alone environments. However, in the fluid
phase, the saturation curve is strongly biased towards that from the lipid alone. The dependence of the double-integrated intensity of a two-component spectrum on the microwave field strength, $B_1$, is given by (Snel and Marsh 1994; Páli et al. 1993):

$$S(B_1) = S_0 B_1 \left( \frac{f_b}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma_b}} + \frac{1 - f_b}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma_f}} \right)$$

(12)

where the saturation parameter for the protein-associated lipids is $\sigma_b = \gamma_e B_2^2 T_{1h} T_{2h}$ and similarly for $\sigma_f$ ($\gamma_e = 1.76086 \times 10^{11}$ rad s$^{-1}$ T$^{-1}$). (Note that Eq. 12 illustrates the double advantage of using the integrated EPR intensity, instead of spectral lineheights, for constructing saturation curves: contributions from different components in multi-component systems are strictly additive, and the saturation curves do not depend on the degree of inhomogeneous broadening of any of the components.) The dotted saturation curves in Fig. 5 correspond to predictions from Eq. 12 by using the saturation parameters determined from the corresponding single-component systems. In the gel phase, where the lipid mobility is low, the spectrum from the lipid–protein membrane saturates exactly as predicted for a linear combination of the two isolated environments. In the fluid phase, on the other hand, the spectrum saturates less readily than predicted from the isolated components. Alleviation of saturation arises from lipid exchange between the two environments that must take place on the timescale of spin-lattice relaxation (i.e., in the microsecond regime), in fluid membranes. Exchange at these rates (cf. Table 3), although still relatively rapid, confirms that the two-component lineshapes observed by conventional EPR correspond to slow exchange.

Of the various non-linear EPR methods (Marsh 1993), out-of-phase detection (as in saturation transfer EPR) has proved to be an especially powerful tool for probing lipid exchange in protein-containing membranes. In the absence of exchange between components on the $T_1$-timescale, the first integral $I_{ST}$ of the $V_2$-STEPR spectrum (second harmonic detected in phase quadrature) is additive between the different components (Horváth and Marsh 1983):

$$I_{ST} = (1 - f_b) I_{ST,b}^0 + f_b I_{ST,b}$$

(13)

where $I_{ST,b}^0$ and $I_{ST,b}$ are the values of $I_{ST}$ for components ‘b’ and ‘f’, respectively, and $f_b$ is the fraction of component ‘b’ in the two-component mixture. Figure 6 gives the integrated $V_2$-STEPR intensity as a function of the fraction of spin-labelled lipid that is associated with the PLP protein in reconstituted membranes. Samples all have the same total lipid/protein ratio; $f_b$ is varied by using spin-labelled lipid species with differing affinities for PLP. The value of $f_b$ is determined by spectral subtraction with the two-component conventional $V_1$-EPR spectra, as for the data in Tables 1 and 2. Below the lipid chain-melting temperature ($T_c$), $I_{ST}$ depends linearly on $f_b$, as predicted by Eq. 13, showing that in the gel phase any lipid exchange is extremely slow, relative to the microsecond timescale. In the fluid lipid phase, above $T_c$, however, the dependence of $I_{ST}$ on $f_b$ lies below the straight (dashed) line expected for no exchange. Saturation is partially alleviated by exchange between sites on and off the protein at rates comparable to the spin-lattice relaxation time. This result, which is based on differential selectivities of various lipid species for PLP (cf. Table 2), complements that from the progressive saturation experiments given in the right panel of Fig. 5.
Both theoretical simulations and experiment indicate that the normalised saturation transfer intensity, \( I_{ST} \), is approximately proportional to \( T_1 \), over a considerable range of spin-lattice relaxation times (Páli et al. 1996). The net ST-EPR intensity in the presence of exchange between components ‘b’ and ‘f’ is thus given by (cf. Marsh and Horváth 1992; Páli et al. 1992):

\[
I_{ST} = (1 - f_b) T_{1,f}^0 + f_b T_{1,b}^0 T_{1,b}^o \frac{T_{1,f}^o}{T_{1,f}^o + f_b T_{1,b}^o} \tag{14}
\]

where \( T_{1,b} \) and \( T_{1,f} \) are the spin-lattice relaxation times at lipid locations, respectively, on and off the protein, and \( T_{1,b}^o \) and \( T_{1,f}^o \) are the corresponding values in the absence of exchange. Combining Eq. 14 with Eq. 11 and its equivalent for \( T_{1,b}^o \) gives the predicted dependence of \( I_{ST} \) on \( f_b \) in the presence of exchange. The non-linear least-squares fit to the data shown for the fluid phase in Fig. 6 yields a normalised on-rate constant for lipid exchange of \( s = 2.9 \) (at fixed lipid/protein ratio of 37:1 mol/mol and \( T = 30^\circ C \)). With this on-rate, the off-rates \( \tau_b^{-1} \) for the lipids with different affinities for PLP are determined from the values of \( f_b \) by material balance, i.e., Eq. 2 (see Table 4).

Accessibility of penetrant proteins to spin exchange with labelled lipids

The extent of membrane penetration by a spin-labelled segment of a surface-associated or transmembrane protein can be assessed from spin–spin interactions with spin labels located at different positions in a lipid molecule (Snel and Marsh 1994; Páli et al. 1999). Spin exchange in the double-labelled system is analogous to molecular exchange at the lipid–protein interface (Marsh 1992a, b; and see Fig. 4). In the presence of Heisenberg spin-exchange between the two distinguishable spin-labelled species, the spin-lattice relaxation time of the spin-labelled protein is given by (Snel and Marsh 1994):

\[
\frac{T_{1,P}^o}{T_{1,P}^{ex}} = 1 + \frac{(1 - f_P) T_{1,P}^o \tau_{ex}^{-1}}{1 + f_P T_{1,L}^o \tau_{ex}^{-1}} \tag{15}
\]

where subscripts P and L refer to spin-labelled protein and lipid, respectively, and \( f_P \) is the fraction of the total spin-label intensity that is associated with the protein. The mutual spin exchange frequency \( \tau_{ex}^{-1} \) is proportional to the total spin label concentration and is a measure of the collision frequency between the two spin-labelled species.

Table 5 gives the spin exchange frequencies between a spin label on Cys\(^{14}\) or Cys\(^{17}\) in the N-terminal region of apocytochrome c bound to phosphatidylglycerol membranes and spin labels in the polar group (T-PASL) or at different positions in the acyl chains (5-PGSL and 14-PGSL) of the membrane lipids. (Note that Cys\(^{14}\) and Cys\(^{17}\) are the sites of covalent attachment of the haem group in the holoprotein and, at labelling levels of 1:1 mol/mol, either one or other of these residues in the apoprotein bears the spin label.) The maximum exchange frequency is found with a spin label on the C-14 atom of the lipid chain. This indicates that the N-terminal section of apocytochrome c penetrates deeply into the membrane, consistent with lipid–protein interactions facilitating the translocation of this haem-less precursor into the mitochondrion (Görissen et al. 1986; Snel et al. 1994). In contrast, for the mature holocytochrome c protein labelled on Cys\(^{102}\) close to the C-terminal, the largest exchange frequency is found with a lipid spin labelled in the polar headgroup, as expected for a
Table 5 Normalised spin exchange frequencies between lipids spin-labelled at different positions and spin-labelled proteins (cytochrome c and apocytochrome c) bound to phosphatidylglycerol bilayer membranes (Snel and Marsh 1994)

| Spin-labelled lipid | $\Delta(1/T_1 T_2) \times 10^{-13}$ (s$^{-2}$) | $T_{1,P}^{-1}$ (s) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Apocytochrome c/diC$_{14:0}$PtdGro: | | |
| T-PASL | 0 | 0 |
| 5-PGSL | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 14-PGSL | 8.5 | ≥5 |
| Apocytochrome c/diC$_{18:1}$PtdGro: | | |
| T-PASL | 5.6 | ≥5 |
| 5-PGSL | 0 | 0 |
| 14-PGSL | 5.4 | 23 |
| Cytochrome c/diC$_{18:1}$PtdGro: | | |
| T-PASL | 1.6 | 0.8 |
| 5-PGSL | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 14-PGSL | 0 | 0 |

Spin exchange frequencies, $\Delta(1/T_1 T_2)$, are normalised with respect to the intrinsic spin-lattice relaxation time of the spin-labelled protein, $T_{1,P}^{-1}$, which is the difference in $1/T_1 T_2$ of the double-labelled system from that predicted by Eq. 12 with saturation parameters from the single spin-labelled systems. T-PASL is phosphatidic acid spin-labelled on the phosphate headgroup.

Conclusion

Spin-label EPR has provided a wealth of data on both stoichiometry and selectivity of lipid–protein interactions in biological membranes. The experiments are, however, mostly restricted to spin-labelled lipids at probe concentrations, which means that a small number of high-affinity sites are not distinguished from a smaller generalised selectivity for all lipid sites at the intramembranous perimeter of the protein. In one instance, competition with exogenous cardiolipin failed to yield evidence for a very high-affinity site on cytochrome oxidase (Powell et al. 1985), where endogenous cardiolipin had been replaced by phosphatidylcholine (Watts et al. 1978). On the other hand, both X-ray crystallography and fluorescence experiments have located non-annular lipid at intersubunit sites. Therefore, this remains a future challenge for the EPR spectroscopist. More data are also needed on the dynamics of lipid exchange: the methods are in place but the current database is of relatively modest size. Nevertheless, a specific site for cardiolipin on the ADP-ATP translocator is already indicated by comparison of exchange rates and relative association constants for this lipid (Horváth et al. 1990c).
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