ON THE ANTICORRELATION BETWEEN GALAXY LIGHT CONCENTRATION AND X-RAY-TO-OPTICAL FLUX RATIO
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ABSTRACT

Active galactic nuclei (AGNs) play an important role in many aspects of modern cosmology, and of particular interest is the issue of the interplay between AGNs and their host galaxy. Using X-ray and optical data sets, we have explored the properties of a large sample of AGNs in the Subaru/XMM-Newton Deep Survey field, and studied their evolution in relation with the evolution of their host galaxy. We present here an anticorrelation between X-ray-to-optical flux (X/O) ratio and galaxy light concentration (C), which has been found for the first time and might suggest that early-type galaxies, having poor matter supply to feed the AGN activity, have lower Eddington rates than those of late-type galaxies.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Deep X-ray extragalactic surveys constitute an extremely useful tool to detect the population of active galactic nuclei (AGNs), to investigate their time evolution, and to shed light on their triggering mechanisms. Moreover, hard X-ray surveys are capable of detecting all but the most absorbed (Compton thick) AGNs. Therefore, they provide the most complete and unbiased samples of AGNs. The combination of X-ray data with optical observations allows successfully tackling the study of the AGN population (Georgakakis et al. 2006; Steffen et al. 2006; Barcons et al. 2007). Several works (Gebhardt et al. 2000; Graham et al. 2001a; Kauffmann et al. 2003) have shown that the AGNs are directly related with some host galaxy properties, particularly with their bulges. A tight correlation between black hole (BH) mass and the bulge dispersion velocity has been well established (Ferrarese & Merrit 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000), as well as with the galaxy light concentration (Graham et al. 2001a).

We present here, for the first time, an anticorrelation between the galaxy light concentration and the X-ray-to-optical flux (X/O) ratio for a large sample of AGNs in the Subaru/XMM Deep Survey (SXDS) field, showing that more concentrated galaxies (earlier morphological types) have lower X/O ratio. This anticorrelation is found to be significant in a wide range of photometric redshifts, with a similar first grade polynomial function fitting in different redshift bins. We suggest that accretion rates in early-type objects (having higher values of concentration index) in typically gas-poor environment, are lower than in late-type objects, that are surrounded by larger reserves of gas for AGN feeding.

2. OBSERVATIONAL DATA

2.1. X-Ray Data Processing and Source Detection

The XMM-Newton observations of SXDS field (Furusawa et al. 2008) were collected from the XMM-Newton Science Archive (XSA). Seven pointings around the central coordinates (α = 02h18m00s, δ = −05′00′′00′′; P.I.: M. Watson) have been gathered, covering a total area of ∼1.3 deg².

The EPIC observations were reprocessed using SAS version 7.1.2 standard reduction procedures. Six energy ranges were defined: soft (0.5–2 keV), hard-2 (2–4.5 keV), very_hard (4.5–10 keV), very_hard-2 (4–7 keV), total (0.5–10 keV), and total-2 (0.5–7 keV). Hardness ratios were defined as follows:

\[
HR(\Delta E, \Delta E') = \frac{CR(\Delta E) - CR(\Delta E')}{CR(\Delta E) + CR(\Delta E')},
\]

where ∆E1 and ∆E2 are different energy bands and CR(∆E) is the count rate in a given energy band. The hardness ratio used in this work is \(HR_{2\Delta} \equiv HR(\text{hard}-2, \text{soft})\).

Source detection was performed by means of the edetect_chain SAS procedure with a likelihood parameter ML = −ln(1 − P) > 14, where P is the probability that the source exists. We considered only those sources for which S/N > 2 in the total band. We have detected 1121 sources fulfilling these conditions. The limiting flux is \(1.3 \times 10^{-15}\) erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ in the 0.5–4.5 keV range.

2.2. Optical Counterparts

Optical data have been gathered from the SXDS Public Suprime-Cam Data Release 1 (DR1; Furusawa et al. 2008). The total mapped area is 1.22 deg², centered at \(\alpha = 02^h 18^m 00^s\), \(\delta = -05^\circ 00' 00''\). Limiting AB magnitudes are 28.4, 27.8, 27.7, and 26.6 in B, V, R_c, i', and z' filters, respectively. We have characterized the morphology of extended objects by means of the Abraham concentration index (C; Abraham et al. 1994), computed by a modified version of SExtractor 2.5.0 (Bertin &
Arnouts 1996, modifications from B. Holwerda), as the ratio between the integrated flux within a radius defined by the normalized radius $a = 0.3$ and the total flux.

We cross-matched the X-ray and optical catalogs with a search radius of $3''$, obtaining a completeness of 99.9% and a reliability of 76.2% (applying the de Ruiter methodology, see de Ruiter et al. 1977; Pović et al. 2009, for more details). We have found 808 X-ray sources with optical counterpart.

3. PHOTOMETRIC REDSHIFTS AND K-CORRECTIONS

We have used ZEBRA (Feldmann et al. 2006) to compute photometric redshifts of the optical counterparts. Input photometric data include 11 optical and IR bands: Subaru/SuprimeCam $B$, $V$, $R_s$, $i'$, and $z'$; $J$, $H$, and $K$ from the UKIDSS$^{10}$ survey; Spitzer/Infrared Array Camera (IRAC) DR2 data in 3.6 $\mu$m, 4.5 $\mu$m, and 5.8 $\mu$m bands (Surace et al. 2005). The best spectral energy distribution (SED) template set has been gathered from the SWIRE template library (Polletta et al. 2007). As a complementary check, photometric redshifts have also been computed using the HyperZ code (Bolzonella et al. 2000) with the same template set, accepting only those objects for which the redshift differences from both codes are less than 0.1 for $z < 1$ and 0.2 for $z \geq 1$. From the initial sample of 808 sources with optical counterparts, 377 objects fulfill these conditions.

In order to obtain $K$-corrections of the optical fluxes we have used the idl routine kcorrect (Blanton et al. 2007). On the other hand, the X-ray fluxes were $K$-corrected by assuming a standard power-law SED with $\Gamma = 1.8$. A rough classification between unabsorbed and absorbed AGNs has been made using $HR_{52}$, that is quite sensitive to absorption (Della Ceca et al. 2004; Dwelly et al. 2005); those objects with $HR_{52} \leq 0.35$ (some 53%) have been considered as unabsorbed, and no intrinsic absorption has been applied to the power-law SED; otherwise the objects have been considered as absorbed and a fixed intrinsic absorption $N_H = 1.0 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ has been included.

4. THE ANTICORRELATION BETWEEN GALAXY LIGHT CONCENTRATION AND X/O FLUX RATIO

Correlating the X-ray and optical properties we found a very strong anticorrelation between the X/O ratio$^{11}$ and the concentration index, represented in Figure 1 (already observed in the population of AGNs of the Groth field; see Pović et al. 2009; Sánchez-Portal et al. 2009). The X/O ratio measures the X-ray flux (in the 0.5–4.5 keV band), normalized with the $R$-band optical flux of the whole galaxy (nucleus, bulge, and disk), although the sources in our sample are predominantly nucleus/bulge dominated. For the whole sample, a first-order polynomial function has been fitted with a slope of $-2.76 \pm 0.14$ and a log $X/O$ intercept of $-1.54 \pm 0.07$. Both unabsorbed and absorbed AGNs follow the same relation. Final $C$ and $X/O$ error bars are shown in the first plot of Figure 1, and are significant in all six redshift ranges. In general, we obtained that $C$ is accurate to 5%, mainly due to the uncertainty introduced by the nuclear-point source influence (see Section 4.1). The average error of the X/O flux ratio is $\Delta X/O = 0.351$ for the full sample.

4.1. Analysis of Possible Bias Effects

Redshift and bandpass shift. It is expected that distance will directly affect the measurements of $C$, tending to shift objects to apparently later Hubble types (Brinchmann et al. 1998; Conselice 2003); besides this, there is an influence of a bandpass shift with respect to the rest wavelength (Bershady et al. 2000). We obtained $K$-corrected X/O ratios and we created six redshift ranges, as shown in Figure 1. The range of $C$ (and $X/O$) is the same in all six bins, i.e., basically independent of redshift. Moreover, the relation is the same in all redshift ranges, as verified by linear regression fits. These facts indicate that the effect of these biases is negligible.

Obscuration. Intrinsic absorption of the nuclear point source could make the X/O flux ratio larger and $C$ smaller, leading to apparent anticorrelation between these two parameters. We used $HR_{52}$ criterion in order to examine the absorption effect on our anticorrelation. As already mentioned in Section 3, this parameter should efficiently select the majority of the most heavily absorbed sources. Figure 2 shows the relationship between $HR_{52}$ and $C$ in the same six redshift ranges as in Figure 1. No correlation was found in any redshift range. So, even if $HR_{52}$ might not be effective to discriminate absorbed AGNs at high redshifts, we can at least claim that for objects within $z \lesssim 1.5$ (e.g., Akylas et al. 2006) obscuration should not play an important role in the observed anticorrelation.

Nuclear point source. The presence of a nuclear point-like source could affect the determination of $C$, in the sense that the measured values are overestimated for the host galaxy compared with their true values. Gabor et al. (2009) found that the presence of a nuclear point source could have a significant bias on concentration measurements to higher values, although the authors do not quantify it. However, the influence of the nuclear point source in the concentration indices has been investigated in Hubble Space Telescope (HST) counterparts of Chandra sources by Grogin et al. (2003), finding that the AGN host concentration differences are truly related to differences in the host galaxy structure and not to the nuclear point-source flux contribution, quantifying the possible influence at $C \sim 10\%$. We have extended this analysis to ground-based data, by building several galaxy models (elliptical, lenticular, early, intermediate, and late spirals), convolved with an HST-like point-spread function (PSF; a Gaussian function with FWHM = 0.043 arcsec) and a ground-like PSF (FWHM = 1.0 arcsec) representative of our data, adding in both cases different fractions of an unresolved nuclear source (nucleus/total fraction up to 50%) and deriving the corresponding Abraham concentration indices. Even though the range of $C$ of ground-like data shrinks considerably with respect to that observed in HST-like data, we observe that HST and ground concentration indices are very tightly linearly correlated, and that the variation of $C$ is dominated by the host galaxy morphology and not by the nuclear component contribution. Both results suggest that the influence of this bias in the anticorrelation is small. We derive that the maximum effect of the nuclear point source on $C$ is about 7% in HST-like models and less than 3% in ground-like ones.

Seeing, apparent brightness, and size. Optically fainter objects tend to show lower concentration indices, and this effect is enhanced with poorer seeing. In fact, this correlation is observed in our data: the apparent magnitude and log $C$ are linearly

$^{10}$ The UKIDSS project is defined in Lawrence et al. (2007). UKIDSS uses the UKIRT Wide Field Camera (WFPCAM). The photometric system is described in Hewett et al. (2006), and the calibration is described in Hodgkin et al. (2009). The pipeline processing and science archive are described in P. C. Irwin et al. (2009, in preparation) and Hambly et al. (2008). We have used data from the third data release, which is described in detail in S. J. Warren et al. (2009, in preparation).

$^{11}$ Computed as $F_{5.8-4.5keV}/F_R$, where the optical flux $F_R$ has been derived from the SExtractor “auto” magnitudes in the $R$ band.
Figure 1. Relationship between the concentration index and the K-corrected X/O ratio in the six redshift bins. The continuum line corresponds to the linear fit for data points in each redshift bin, while the dashed line represents the fit for the whole sample. Final error bars are included in the first plot, and are significant in all six redshift ranges. We found that $C$ is accurate to ~5%. The average error of the X/O ratio is found to be $\Delta X/O = 0.351$ for the full sample. Goodness of full sample fit, as measured by the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient ($\rho$), is $-0.708$.

Figure 2. Relationship between the concentration index $C$ and the HR$_{0.5-2.0}$ hardness ratio in the same redshift bins as in Figure 1.
correlated. A weak tendency that apparently smaller objects have lower concentration indices is present in our data, affecting mainly late-type galaxies. Besides the observational bias effect, this tendency could also be partially intrinsic (as in the case of the apparent brightness), showing that intrinsically smaller objects have lower $C$. In order to test if $C$ is still a good tracer of the host galaxy morphology, we have performed an independent classification based on visual inspection (see Pović et al. 2009). There is a good agreement between the results derived from the combination of the concentration and asymmetry indices and those obtained by inspection. Therefore, even taking into account that apparent brightness and seeing effects play an important role, we are still confident that $C$ is a good tracer of galaxy morphology, and that, on average, lower concentration indices are tracing later morphological types. Moreover, we performed a preliminary analysis of public HST/Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) images of the EGS field (Davis et al. 2007; Lotz et al. 2008), obtaining the $B$-band optical fluxes and concentrations; we combined the optical information with the AEGIS EGS X-ray public data (Laird et al. 2009), obtaining a clear anticorrelation between the $X/O$ ratio and $C$, in all four AEGIS X-ray energy bands.

4.2. Comparison with Galaxy Mock Catalogs

We used The Millennium Simulations database (Springel et al. 2005) in order to perform further tests of the existence of an anticorrelation between $X/O$ and $C$. The mock catalog we used, contained in the MPA Galaxies Database, is the table DeLucia 2006a (Croton et al. 2006; De Lucia & Blaizot 2007). We worked with more than 700,000 galaxies, dividing them in the same redshift ranges as in Figure 1. We obtained the $R$-band bulge-to-total flux ratio ($B/T$) for all simulated galaxies; this parameter is proportional to the galaxy light concentration. The $X/O$ ratios are calculated from the catalog’s $X$-ray bolometric luminosities and the $R$-band bulge fluxes. Figure 3 represents the obtained relationship for more than 60,000 simulated galaxies with $z \leq 0.4$. A clear anticorrelation can be seen for all $B/T$ values except for pure bulge galaxies (i.e., with $\log(B/T) > -0.25$), whose real population should be very small, so we do not expect to have a significant number of these galaxies in our real sample. In the $\log(B/T)$ interval $\approx [-1.5, -0.2]$, where most of our objects are located, this clear anticorrelation can be confirmed, showing that less concentrated or less bulge-dominated objects (later Hubble types) have higher $X/O_{\text{bulge}}$ flux ratios. Finally, galaxies with $\log(B/T) \lesssim -1.5$, are expected to be below our $X$-ray detection limits. There is a significant number of outliers, mostly found below the observed anticorrelation. All these objects show properties of small, dwarf or satellite galaxies (small maximum rotational velocity, reduced virial and stellar mass and hot/cold gas content, low star formation rate (SFR)) and low bolometric X-ray luminosities, well below $10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$, making most of them undetectable at our sensitivity thresholds. In our sample, only 3% of all X-ray emitters with optical counterparts have X-ray luminosities lower than $10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$, in the observed 0.5–4.5 keV energy range. Figure 3 shows a clear difference in X-ray luminosity between the galaxies belonging to the anticorrelation sequence and to the outliers. However, there are examples of outliers found observationally (see Section 5).

12 Actually this correlation is two-fold: besides the observational bias discussed here, it is observed that less concentrated galaxies tend to be intrinsically fainter (e.g., Blanton et al. 2001); this trend is also found in our data.

13 This relation is usually defined as $\alpha_X = 0.3838 \log(f_{\text{2keV}}/f_{\text{2500A}})$. 

5. DISCUSSION

All the tests performed, described in Sections 4.1 and 4.2, suggest that the observed anticorrelation cannot be solely attributed to biases, but also to a true physical effect. Deriving a possible explanation requires understanding the physical connections between the observables $X$ and $O$.

There is a tight correlation between the $C_{31}$ concentration index (reflecting morphologies of bulges) and the mass of the central supermassive BH (SMBH), showing that more concentrated galaxies have more massive BHs (Graham et al. 2001a, 2001b). The Abraham concentration index $C$ used in this work is not the most effective tracer of bulge morphologies; however, all the tests performed so far suggest that $C$ is a parameter good enough to trace the galaxy light concentration and that, on average, higher concentration indices are tracing more concentrated objects (or earlier morphological types), while lower concentration indices are tracing later morphological types. Moreover, $C$ and $C_{31}$ concentration index are monotonically correlated. We analyzed the morphology of SXDS X-ray emitters with optical counterparts using GALSVM (Huertas-Company et al. 2008). We obtained Gini and moment of light ($M_{20}$), finding a clear correlation between these parameters and $C$, indicating again that higher values of $C$ are tracing earlier Hubble types. On the other hand, there is a tight correlation between Gini and $M_{20}$ with $C_{31}$ concentration index (e.g., Lotz et al. 2004).

On the other hand, the physical connections of the $X/O$ flux ratio are not so evident, but as shown below it could be interpreted as an indicator of the Eddington ratio. As already mentioned, our sample is predominantly made of nucleus/bulge-dominated sources. Thus, we can distinguish between two possible cases.

1. If the nuclear luminosity dominates that of the host galaxy, the $X/O$ ratio can be thought as a measure of the X-ray to optical spectral index, $\alpha_{OX}$. On the other hand, there is a strong correlation between the hard/soft X-ray spectral index, $\alpha_X$, and the Eddington ratio (e.g., Grupe 2004; Bian 2005). The relationship between $\alpha_X$ and $\alpha_{OX}$ has not been
thoroughly studied in large samples of AGNs, but a linear correlation has been found between both spectral indices in a sample comprising 22 out of 23 quasars in the complete Palomar-Green X-ray sample with $z < 0.4$ and $M_B < -23$ (Shang et al. 2007). If this last correlation holds for our sample, X/O could be tracing the Eddington ratio in the large nuclear luminosity limit.

2. If the host galaxy luminosity is large when compared with the nuclear luminosity ($L_{\text{bulge+disk}} \gg L_{\text{nucleus}}$), the X/O ratio can be thought as a lower limit of the X-ray-to-bulge luminosity ratio, that is in turn a (weak) measure of the AGN Eddington ratio $L/L_{\text{Edd}} \propto L/M_{\text{BH}}$, assuming that the X-ray luminosity represents the nuclear luminosity and the bulge luminosity is proportional to the bulge mass (and therefore to $M_{\text{BH}}$).

Hence, we can guess a correlation between the X/O ratio and the energy production efficiency of the AGN measured by the Eddington ratio. Under these assumptions, the galaxy light concentration versus X/O flux ratio relation traces the correlation between the nuclear BH mass and the Eddington ratio. This result could therefore suggest that more concentrated or bulge-dominated (early-type) galaxies, having poor matter supply to feed the activity, have lower Eddington rates than those of late-type, with larger reservoirs of the material for AGN feeding.

This suggested approach is consistent with the results obtained by Ballo et al. (2007). They found that AGNs with large SMBH ($M_{\text{BH}} > 3 \times 10^6 \, M_\odot$) have low X-ray luminosity and Eddington rate $\ll 1$, and conversely that smaller SMBH have higher luminosity in X-rays and Eddington rate $\approx 1$, which corresponds to a more efficient accretion rate.

Our approach is also consistent with the results of Wu & Liu (2004), which studied the $M_{\text{BH}}$ and Eddington rates of a sample of 135 double-peaked broad-line AGNs. They obtained that if the separation between the line peaks decreases (this separation is directly related to the FWHM of the line and correlated with the $M_{\text{BH}}$), the Eddington rate increases.

Kawakatu et al. (2007) found an anticorrelation between the mass of a SMBH and the infrared-to-Eddington luminosity ratio, $L_{\text{IR}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$, for a sample of type 1 ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs) and nearby QSOs, which again could be consistent with our approach. The anticorrelation is interpreted as a link between the mass of a SMBH and the rate of mass accretion onto a SMBH, normalized by the AGN Eddington rate, which indicates that the growth of the BH is determined by the external mass supply process, and not the AGN Eddington-limited mechanism, changing its mass accretion rate from super-Eddington to sub-Eddington.

Nevertheless, as pointed out in Section 4.2 there are observational examples of outliers of this anticorrelation, showing that massive elliptical galaxies can have high accreting BHs, and that late-type galaxies can host weakly accreting engines (e.g., Churazov et al. 2005; Desroches et al. 2009).
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