Interactions between the FTO and GNB3 Genes Contribute to Varied Clinical Phenotypes in Hypertension
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Abstract

**Background:** The genes FTO and GNB3 are implicated in essential hypertension but their interaction remains to be explored. This study investigates the role of interaction between the two genes in the pathophysiology of essential hypertension.

**Methods/Principal Findings:** In a case-control study comprising 750 controls and 550 patients, interaction between the polymorphisms of FTO and GNB3 was examined using multifactor dimensionality reduction (MDR). The influence of interaction on clinical phenotypes like systolic and diastolic blood pressure, mean arterial pressure and body mass index was also investigated. The 3-locus MDR model comprising FTO rs8050136C/A and GNB3 rs1129649T/C and rs5443C/T emerged as the best disease conferring model. Moreover, the interacted-genotypes having either 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5 risk alleles correlated with linearly increasing odds ratios of 1.91 ($P = 0.027$); 3.93 ($P = 2.08\times10^{-06}$); 4.51 ($P = 7.63\times10^{-07}$); 7.44 ($P = 3.66\times10^{-08}$) and 11.57 ($P = 1.18\times10^{-05}$), respectively, when compared with interacted-genotypes devoid of risk alleles. Furthermore, interactions among haplotypes of FTO (H₁H₂H₃) and GNB3 (H₄H₅), differed by >1.5-fold for protective-haplotypes, CTGGC+TC [H₄H₅H₆] and CTGAC+TC [H₄H₅H₇] (OR = 0.39, $P = 0.003$; OR = 0.22, $P = 6.86\times10^{-05}$, respectively) and risk-haplotypes, AAGGC+CT [H₄H₅H₆] and AAAGC+TT [H₄H₅H₇] (OR = 2.91, $P = 9.98\times10^{-06}$; OR = 2.50, $P = 0.004$, respectively) compared to individual haplotypes. Moreover, the effectiveness of gene-gene interaction was further corroborated with a 1.29-, 1.25- and 1.38-fold higher SBP, MAP and BMI, respectively, in patients having risk interacted-haplotype H₄H₅H₆ and 2.48-fold higher SBP having risk interacted-haplotype H₄H₅H₇ compared to individual haplotypes.

**Conclusion:** Interactions between genetic variants of FTO and GNB3 influence clinical parameters to augment hypertension.

Introduction

Essential hypertension (EH) is a risk predictor of stroke and cardiovascular diseases and results in high mortality [1]. Studies in the last few decades have established the significance of various physiological pathways in EH [2], including the importance of the relative interactions between the autonomic nervous system (ANS) and G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) in the regulation of blood pressure (BP) [3–6]. Subsequent ongoing cohort studies have revealed that 40–60% of BP variability is genetically determined [7–9]. Among the various genes of these pathways, fat mass and obesity associated (FTO) and guanine nucleotide binding protein, β-polypeptide 3 (GNB3) appear relevant because the former is highly expressed in BP regulating centers of hypothalamus and the latter is involved in intracellular signaling pathways. Recent genome wide and meta-analysis reports have associated both individual genes with hypertension promoting risk factors e.g., BMI and adiposity especially in Asians [10–15].

FTO, originally identified in mice with fused toes, is highly expressed in paraventricular and dorsomedial nuclei of the hypothalamus [16]. Genome-wide linkage studies have identified linkages between FTO and BP [17,18]. Similarly, GNB3, encoding the Gβ3 subunit of heterotrimeric signal transducing G proteins [19] has polymorphisms that have shown to be associated with susceptibility to EH [20–22].

Interestingly, the interactive role of FTO and GNB3 has not been studied despite the known role of both the genes in BP regulation. As EH is a multifactorial disease, the interaction between these two genes may be crucial. To address this question, we screened the potential single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of FTO and GNB3 in a case-control design and looked for their interactive effect in hypertension pathophysiology in correlation with clinical parameters including systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), mean arterial pressure (MAP) and body mass index (BMI).
Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

The study protocol and consent form were approved by human ethics committees of both CSIR-IGIB and GB Pant hospital. Prior to written consent, subjects were informed of the objectives, study organization and implications of their participation.

Study Participants

Ethnically-matched consecutive unrelated 4000 North-Indian participants, over a period of 4 years, were screened in the hypertension and general outpatient clinic of GB Pant hospital, New Delhi. A significant number of subjects were excluded because they did not give consent for the study, were on medication, and to maintain both the age limit and the male to female ratio in the two groups. Moreover, physical examination and laboratory tests excluded individuals with coronary artery disease, vascular disease, stroke, secondary hypertension, diabetes mellitus and renal diseases. In the final analysis we included 1300 case-control participants comprising of 750 controls and 550 patients.

Inclusion Criteria and Clinical Evaluation

Controls recruitment criteria included: age 25–60 years, SBP < 120 mmHg and DBP < 80 mmHg, absence of family history of hypertension and any disease medication. Patients recruitment criteria was: age 25–60 years, SBP > 140 mmHg and/or DBP > 90 mmHg (JNC VII) and absence of antihypertensive medication. All the subjects were rested for 5 minutes prior to BP measurement. Three measurements of BP, in supine position, using a calibrated mercury sphygmomanometer with appropriate adult cuff size were recorded by the clinicians. The point at which the first of two or more Korotkoff sound was heard was recorded as SBP and the disappearance of Korotkoff sound as DBP. Blood was drawn in supine position after overnight fasting. Peripheral blood leukocytes were used for DNA extraction and plasma for the analysis of biochemical parameters; samples were stored at −40 °C if not used immediately.

Routine Biochemical Assays

Total cholesterol, triglycerides, glucose and uric acid were estimated on a high-throughput autoanalyzer (Elecsys 2010, Roche, Germany) and SpectraMax384 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale CA, USA). All the measurements were performed in duplicate. The intra- and inter-assay coefficient of variations were < 5% for all the measurements.

Selection of FTO and GNB3 SNPs

Selection of SNPs was based on their location in respective genes, clinical and functional relevance, and their association with hypertension [13,18,21,22]. Selection was also based on their tagging with other SNPs (www.hapmap.org) and association with BMI, obesity and diabetes that affect BP [10,12,23–26]. Among the FTO SNPs, rs8050136C/A, rs9939609T/A, rs9926289G/A, rs9930506A/G, rs9932754T/C, rs9933040A/T and rs82033414C/G were from intron 1; rs16952624C/T (Ala405Val) was from exon 9 and rs16953075C/T was from the 3′ UTR. In case of GNB3 SNPs, rs11296497T/C (Ile685Thr) was from exon 1 and rs5443 (825C/T) was from exon 10. The selected SNPs cover around 16 kb and 4 kb of the FTO and GNB3 genes, respectively.

Genotyping

Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood leukocytes using a standard protocol. All the nine SNPs of FTO and rs5443C/T SNP of GNB3 were analyzed by SNaPshot ddNTP primer extension PCR (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA). The GNB3 rs11296497T/C was genotyped by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP). Two observers independently read and confirmed all the genotypes; discrepancies, if any, were resolved by repeating PCR-RFLP and SNaPshot. The primers, optimal conditions for amplification and restriction enzymes for digestion are presented in Tables S1 and S2.

Haplotype and Linkage Disequilibrium

Haplotypes were estimated from genotypes using software PHASEv2.1.1 [27] and the best haplotypes were identified for protection and risk. Order of SNPs in inferred-haplotypes was based on their physical location, starting from SNPs at the upstream promoter region to downstream. Distribution of each haplotype was compared using multivariate logistic regression analysis. Haplotypes with < 2% frequency were excluded. The extent of association, i.e., the Lewontin’s coefficient (D′) and squared correlation coefficient (r²) for pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD), was calculated by Haploview-v4.0 [28].

Gene-Gene Interactions

Gene-gene interactions (epistasis), in same subjects, were analyzed in two ways, using (1) interacted-genotypes and (2) interacted-haplotypes.

1) Interacted-genotypes. The interacted-genotypes between FTO and GNB3 were analyzed using multifactor dimensionality reduction (MDR-v.1.2.2) software [29]. The best disease predicting MDR model was identified on the basis of interacted-genotypes carrying different set of risk alleles using the gene counting method. The P value and odds ratio (OR) were calculated using multivariate logistic regression analysis after adjustment with seven confounders namely, age, gender, BMI, alcohol, smoking habit, triglyceride, cholesterol and also by Bonferroni’s correction test for multiple testing.

2) Interacted-haplotypes. In this analysis, we first inferred risk and protective haplotypes of each gene on the basis of P value and OR at 95% confidence interval (CI). We then looked for haplotype-haplotype interactions through the interaction of risk or protective haplotypes between FTO and GNB3 using Haploview-v4.0 [28], Hap Evolution [30] and the gene counting method. Statistical significance was determined empirically using multivariate logistic-regression model after adjustment with seven confounders (the same as used for interacted-genotypes above) and Bonferroni’s correction test for multiple testing.

Correlation Analysis

To strengthen the genetic outcome, the investigated SNPs were analyzed for possible correlation with clinical characteristics. Genotypes and haplotypes were correlated with SBP, DBP, MAP and BMI. Likewise, both the interacted-genotypes and interacted-haplotypes of FTO and GNB3 were correlated with the same clinical parameters to determine the extent of gene-gene interaction.

Statistical Analysis

Unpaired Student’s t-test (two-tailed) was performed to compare the differences in baseline clinical and demographic characteristics between the two groups. A goodness-of-fit test was used for testing the Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) using DeFinetti program
Allele and genotype frequencies between the study subjects were estimated by $\chi^2$ test. The allelic distribution within our population and HapMap populations was compared after retrieving the data from www.hapmap.org. The risk of having hypertension was estimated as an odds ratio (OR) at 95% confidence interval (95% CI) using multivariate logistic regression analysis by SPSS-12 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Haplotypes distribution was compared by multiple regression analysis based on the frequency of each haplotype individually versus all others combined between both the groups. The clinical parameters were expressed as mean ± SD. Further, $P$ value and estimated difference at 95% CI for continuous variables e.g., SBP, DBP, MAP, and BMI against categorical variables e.g., individual and interacted genotypes and haplotypes were analyzed using a general linear model (GLM) after adjustment for the seven confounding factors. The transcription factor binding site (TFBS) using a general linear model (GLM) after adjustment for the seven confounding factors. The transcription factor binding site (TFBS) was developed by Yutaka Akiyama (http://www.rwcp.or.jp/papia). The power of association at $\alpha = 0.05$ was calculated using EPINFO ver.6. A $P$ value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Comparison of Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

Patients had significantly higher BMI ($P = 0.003$), clinical parameters e.g. SBP, DBP and MAP ($P < 0.0001$, each) and the levels of routine biochemical parameters e.g., cholesterol and triglyceride ($P < 0.0001$, each) when compared with controls (Table 1).

Single-locus Association Analyses

The allele and genotype frequencies of studied SNPs were in HWE ($P > 0.05$, Table S3). The allele frequency of the studied SNPs was comparable with HapMap Caucasian population ($P > 0.05$, Table S4). The single-locus genotype distribution is shown in Table S5. The FTO alleles rs8050136A ($P = 0.014$), rs9939690A ($P = 0.002$), rs9926289A ($P = 0.015$) and the GNB3 alleles rs1129649C ($P = 8.76E-06$) and rs5443T ($P = 9.45E-10$) were associated with increased risk of hypertension.

Identification of Risk or Protection Associated Haplotypes

The pairwise LD was similar for both the groups (Figure S1). At >2% cutoff frequency, 9 haplotypes for FTO and 4 haplotypes for GNB3 were inferred (Figure S2). For convenience, the FTO haplotypes were marked as H$_{1-9}$ and the GNB3 haplotypes as H$_{a-d}$. The haplotypes FTO H$_3$: AAAGC and GNB3 H$_C$: CT and H$_E$: TT increased the risk of hypertension with ORs of 1.48 ($P = 0.005$), 1.74 ($P = 4.36E-05$) and 1.79 ($P = 1.79E-04$), respectively, while haplotypes FTO H$_4$: CTCGC and GNB3 H$_C$: TC with ORs of 0.38 ($P = 5.45E-05$) and 0.49 ($P = 2.12E-11$), respectively, were protective. The omnibus global test for both FTO and GNB3 haplotypes showed significant association with hypertension ($P < 0.0001$, each).

Gene-gene Interaction and Hypertension Risk

(A) Interacted-genotypes. The exhaustive data mining MDR analysis was used to evaluate the impact of interactions among the genotypes of the eleven SNPs of FTO and GNB3 on hypertension; Table 2 summarizes the results obtained for 2-locus to 7-locus models. The 3-locus model comprised of the polymorphisms FTO rs8050136C/A and GNB3 rs1129649T/C and rs5443G/T emerged as the best disease predicting model with the highest level of statistical significance ($TA = 0.62$, $GVC = 9/10$; $OR = 3.9$, $P = 0.0005$) and a prediction error of 0.38. Out of the seven expected interacted-genotypes, only six interacted-genotypes were obtained, with the number of risk alleles varying between 0 and 5. Of note, the five interacted-genotypes bearing 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 risk alleles corresponded with linearly increasing ORs, which varied between 1.91 and 11.57 ($P = 0.027 – 3.66E-08$, Figure 1).

(B) Interacted-haplotypes. In this analysis, the 9 FTO and 4 GNB3 haplotypes were allowed to interact with each other and the haplotypes that showed significant interaction were selected. As shown in Figure 2, the FTO risk haplotype, H$_C$: AAAGC interacted with GNB3 risk haplotypes, H$_C$: CT and H$_E$: TT. The interacted-haplotype H$_A$:H$_C$:H$_C$:H$_E$:TT contributed to 1.8- and 1.5-fold increase in hypertension susceptibility than the individual risk haplotypes of either gene alone ($P = 9.98E-06$; $P = 0.004$, respectively). FTO protective haplotypes H$_C$:CTGCG and H$_C$:CTGAC with GNB3 protective haplotype H$_E$: TC to contribute to 1.5- and 2.0-fold lower hypertension susceptibility than the individual protective haplotypes of either gene alone ($P = 0.003$; $P = 6.66E-05$, respectively). Furthermore, the risk alleles FTO rs8050136A and rs9926289A and GNB3 rs5443T showed highest interaction ratio of 32%, 40% and 85%, respectively, and as a consequence all those haplotypes bearing these alleles associated with higher haplotype risk ratio (Figure S3).

### Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of studied participants.

| Parameters | Patients n = 550 | Controls n = 750 | p |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| Gender     |                 |                 |   |
| Male       | 467(85%)        | 649(87%)        | – |
| Female     | 83(15%)         | 101(13%)        | – |
| Clinical characteristics | | | |
| Age, year  | 49.8±11.0       | 48.5±13.0       | NS |
| BMI, kg/m$^2$ | 25.0±3.7       | 24.0±7.4        | 0.003 |
| SBP, mmHg  | 159.4±17.8      | 117.6±8.0       | <0.0001 |
| DBP, mmHg  | 96.4±9.0        | 77.6±3.9        | <0.0001 |
| MAP, mmHg  | 116.9±12.7      | 91.0±21.2       | <0.0001 |
| Biochemical parameters | | | |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L | 3.3±1.2  | 2.4±1.3 | <0.0001 |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L | 1.3±0.8  | 1.0±0.6 | <0.0001 |
| Uric acid, mg/dl | 4.7±1.6  | 4.6±1.4 | NS |
| Glucose, mg/dl | 101.0±22.0 | 98.1±32.0 | NS |
| Protein urea | Nil        | Nil            | – |
| Life style/history | | | |
| Diet, non-veg | 68%        | 30%             | – |
| Family history, EH | 78%        | None            | – |
| Alcohol    | 15%            | 10%             | – |
| Smoking history | 25%        | 15%             | – |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation; n, number of subjects; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; EH, essential hypertension. $P$-values were calculated using EPINFO ver.6 (Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia, USA) software.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063934.t001

Glucose, mg/dl 101.0 ± 15.0
Uric acid, mg/dl 4.7 ± 1.6
Triglycerides, mmol/L 1.3 ± 0.8
SBP, mmHg 159.4 ± 17.8
DBP, mmHg 96.4 ± 9.0
MAP, mmHg 116.9 ± 12.7
Total cholesterol, mmol/L 3.3 ± 1.2
Triglycerides, mmol/L 1.3 ± 0.8
Uric acid, mg/dl 4.7 ± 1.6
Glucose, mg/dl 101.0 ± 22.0
Protein urea Nil
Life style/history Diet, non-veg 68% 30% –
Family history, EH 78% None –
Alcohol 15% 10% –
Smoking history 25% 15% –
Correlation with Clinical Characteristics
(a) Genotypes/alleles versus clinical characteristics. The general linear model revealed a significant positive correlation of risk genotypes of FTO SNPs rs8050136C/A, rs9939609T/A and rs9932754C/T with SBP, MAP and BMI (P = 1.95E–05; P = 0.002, respectively; 1.95 and 1.53 mmHg higher MAP (P = 2.69E–04; P = 0.004, respectively) and 1.04 and 0.57 kg/m² higher BMI, respectively). As a consequence, FTO risk alleles rs8050136A and rs9939609A correlated with 3.51 and 2.47 mmHg higher SBP (P = 1.95E–05; P = 0.002, respectively; 1.95 and 1.53 mmHg higher MAP (P = 2.69E–04; P = 0.004, respectively) and 1.04 and 0.57 kg/m² higher BMI, respectively). FTO risk allele rs9932754A correlated with 2.37 and 1.68 mmHg higher SBP and MAP (P = 0.003; P = 0.001, respectively; Figure 5). The GNB3 risk allele rs1129649C correlated with 1.58 mmHg higher MAP (P = 0.003) and 0.62 kg/m² higher BMI (P = 0.001); GNB3 risk allele rs3445T correlated with 2.32 mmHg higher SBP (P = 0.005), 2.08 mmHg higher MAP (P = 1.04E–04) and 0.97 kg/m² higher BMI (P = 6.77E–07).

(b) Haplotypes versus clinical characteristics. As shown in Figure 4a, FTO risk haplotype H3 correlated with an increase of 5.59 mmHg SBP and 2.19 mmHg MAP (P = 0.002; P = 0.008, respectively). With respect to GNB3 risk haplotype Hc, the increase was 2.53 mmHg SBP (P = 0.04), 2.83 mmHg MAP (P = 2.15E–05) and 0.97 kg/m² BMI (P = 1.10E–04). The protective haplotype Hc correlated with a decrease of 1.52 mmHg MAP (P = 0.02) and 0.85 kg/m² BMI (P = 4.41E–05).

(c) Interacted-genotypes versus clinical characteristics. As shown in Figure 5, the interacted-genotypes bearing 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 risk alleles correlated with linear increase in SBP of 4.88–14.62 mmHg (P = 0.079–1.18E–04), MAP 4.29–11.29 mmHg (P = 0.016–3.73E–06) and 1.16–5.78 kg/m² BMI (P = 0.067–1.40E–11) when compared against interacted-genotypes without risk alleles.

(d) Interacted-haplotypes versus clinical characteristics. As shown in Figure 4b, an estimated increase of 3.96 mmHg SBP, 3.14 mmHg MAP and 1.11 kg/m² BMI was observed in the presence of interacted-haplotype H3/Hc when compared against the remaining interacted-haplotypes from both the genes (P = 0.007; P = 0.001 and P = 3.37E–05, respectively). Of consequence, epistasis influence resulted in a 1.29-, 1.25- and 1.38-fold higher SBP, MAP and BMI, respectively, in the patients with interacted-haplotypes H3/Hc compared to individual risk haplotypes H3 and Hc. The second risk interacted-haplotype, H3/Ha significantly correlated with an estimated increase of 5.44 mmHg SBP (P = 0.003), with epistasis contributing a 2.48-fold higher SBP.

The SNPs and the Associated Transcription Factor
The transcription factor binding site (TFBS) in the presence of protective and risk alleles of both the genes changes the preference for the transcription factors (Figure S4). For example in the presence of rs8050136C allele, the transcription factors (TFs) were CDP-GC and cap; whereas in the presence of risk allele rs8050136A, the TFs were Cdxa, Abd-B and Croc. Further, in the presence of FTO protective allele rs9930506A, the TFs were Dfd and MAtalp and in the presence of risk allele rs9930506G, a single TF Dfd was noted. In the presence of FTO protective allele rs9932754T four TFs HNF-3β, Cap, Sta-1 and Cdxa were observed; whereas, in the presence of risk allele rs9932754C a single TF HSF2 was observed. Likewise, in case of GNB3, the protective allele rs1129649T associated with three TFs NT2, Cap

Table 2. Interaction between genotypes of FTO and GNB3 using MDR.

| FTO×GNB3 | Best models | TB | TA | CVC | P value | OR(95% CI) |
|----------|-------------|----|----|-----|---------|------------|
| 2L       | rs1129649T/C rs5443C/T | 0.62 | 0.59 | 8/10 | 0.067 | 2.1(0.9-4.8) |
| 3L1      | rs8050136C/A rs1129649T/C rs5443C/T | 0.63 | 0.62 | 9/10 | 0.0005 | 3.9(1.8-8.5) |
| 4L       | rs9930506A/G rs9932754C/T rs1129649T/C rs5443C/T | 0.65 | 0.61 | 4/10 | 0.006 | 3.0(1.4-6.5) |
| 5L       | rs9930607/A rs9930506A/G rs9932754C/T rs1129649T/C rs5443C/T | 0.64 | 0.61 | 8/10 | 0.0002 | 4.9(2.0-11.7) |
| 6L       | rs8050136C/A rs9939609T/A rs9926289G/A rs9932754C/T rs1129649T/C rs5443C/T | 0.63 | 0.59 | 6/10 | 0.0165 | 3.0(1.2-7.7) |
| 7L       | rs8050136C/A rs9939609T/A rs9926289G/A rs9930506A/G rs9932754C/T rs1129649T/C rs5443C/T | 0.61 | 0.58 | 10/10 | 0.012 | 3.6(1.3-10.4) |

Overall best MDR model; TB, Testing balance accuracy; TA, Training accuracy; CVC, Cross validation consistency. 2L–7L, represents 2-locus to 7-locus MDR model carrying best interacted genotypes. P values were calculated by permuting the cases and controls 1000 times.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063934.t002
Discussion

In this study, the epistasis models of interacted-genotypes and interacted-haplotypes demonstrated increased hypertension susceptibility. Notably, stratification of the interacted-genotypes, as obtained in the best locus MDR model on the basis of presence of number of risk allele(s) in increasing order, correlated linearly with hypertension susceptibility. Furthermore, the interaction between $\text{FTO}$ and $\text{GNB3}$ when analyzed through haplotype-haplotype interactions revealed substantial modifications in the ORs for risk and protection compared to individual haplotypes. Moreover, the general linear model showed substantial correlation of interacted-genotypes and interacted-haplotypes with clinical characteristics, e.g., SBP, DBP, MAP and BMI.

Our findings on individual genes were significant as it revealed higher OR for EH in the presence of risk alleles of $\text{FTO}$ rs8050136C/A, rs9939609T/A and rs9926289G/A, and $\text{GNB3}$ rs1129649T/C and rs5443C/T SNPs, even after adjustment for potential confounders. Literature suggested an association of the $\text{FTO}$ variants with hypertension [18,23] or mediation through other hypertension risk factors like BMI or adiposity [10,12,31,32]. Likewise, given the role of heterotrimeric G-proteins in intracellular signaling pathways, the $\text{GNB3}$ variants were studied in EH [20–22,33]. The rs5443C/T of $\text{GNB3}$ was associated with enhanced activation of G protein-mediated signaling [20], noradrenaline-induced vasoconstriction [34], higher plasma sodium and lower potassium levels [33] and the C allele of rs1129649T/C was associated with salt sensitive BP [35].

Although our single locus results on $\text{FTO}$ and $\text{GNB3}$ were encouraging, however, in a polygenic and multifactorial disease like hypertension, the magnitude of effect is bound to be missed if the genes are examined individually and without considering potential interactions [36]. The evaluation of gene-gene interactions not only increases the power to detect the effects but also helps in understanding the genetic influences on the biological and biochemical pathways that underpin the disease [37].

Figure 2. Interacted-haplotypes of $\text{FTO}$ and $\text{GNB3}$ in cases and controls. The red and green shaded areas in the haplotypes block represent the risk and non-risk alleles, respectively. The interactions were examined at a frequency of $\geq 2\%$ and $10\%$, respectively. H represents a haplotype, $H$ with numeric and alphabet symbol represent the $\text{FTO}$ and $\text{GNB3}$ haplotypes, respectively. The alleles in each block belonged to SNPs $A = rs1129649T/C$ and $B = 825C/T$ of $\text{GNB3}$ and $1 = rs8050136C/A$, $2 = rs9939609T/A$, $3 = rs9926289G/A$, $4 = rs9930506A/G$ and $5 = rs9932754T/C$ of $\text{FTO}$. $P^*$ value was statistically significant for risk and protective interacted-haplotypes. The $P$-value and OR were calculated after adjustment for potential confounding factors and Bonferroni’s correction. '$f$', represents frequency of interacted-haplotypes. The threshold $P$-value was $(0.05/7) = 0.007$.

Figure 3. Difference in BP and BMI according to risk alleles of $\text{FTO}$ and $\text{GNB3}$ SNPs. CI represents confidence interval. The general linear model was used to calculate $P$-value and estimated difference at 95% CI after adjustment for potential confounding factors and Bonferroni’s correction.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063934.g002

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063934.g003
26,38], which are major risk factors for hypertension [18,23,39–41]. Our study demonstrated that indeed there was a linear correlation between OR and interacted-genotypes that represented the risk conferring alleles in increasing order. The interaction between the two genes revealed higher OR for risk or lower OR for protection conferring interacted-haplotypes compared to individual respective haplotypes of each gene, thus, supporting the role of epistasis in the regulation of BP [42,43]. Such interaction studies of \textit{FTO} with other genes are not available. An interaction between \textit{GNB3} and \textit{ACE} however, was documented in EH [44].

With regard to correlation analysis, our findings signified a major contribution of epistasis towards BP phenotypes. The GLM model revealed a significant linear correlation of interacted-genotypes and interacted-haplotypes with clinical parameters e.g., SBP, MAP and BMI. The latter two parameters were increased by 1-fold in the presence of the interacted-haplotypes $H_3+H_c$ and SBP was increased by 2.5-fold in the presence of $H_3+H_d$, suggesting that the interactions of genetic variants played a significant role in determining the observed phenotype [37,45]. Of consequence, the interactions between genetic variants may modulate the \textit{FTO} expression in metabolically relevant tissues such as hypothalamus, and this may influence subsequent translation of key signaling molecules like \textit{GNB3}; however, this hypothesis needs to be further examined. The other important fact that cannot be ignored is that disease-associated SNPs detected in large-scale association studies are frequently located in noncoding regions, suggesting their involvement in gene regulation [46]; hence, we undertook the TF analysis and observed different sets of transcription factors associating with the risk and protective alleles of both the genes. It is known that the transcriptional regulatory system plays an essential role in controlling numerous biological processes and numerous diseases [46,47]. Overall, our findings not only supported the available reports but also provided an insight into the interaction of risk variants of \textit{FTO} and \textit{GNB3} in the susceptibility to EH.

Inconsistencies in genetic association studies may be due to, limited statistical power, population stratification and chance of false positive results. To minimize population stratification we recruited the patients and controls from the same region [48]. The likelihood of false positive results was decreased using the Bonferroni’s correction test for multiple testing. As already emphasized, our main aim was to investigate the role of \textit{FTO} and \textit{GNB3} in EH; we adjusted all the results with BMI and other possible confounders to ascertain the direct effect of these genes on hypertension regulation. These adjustments provided evidence of \textit{FTO} and \textit{GNB3} influencing BP. Additional prospective studies on gene-gene interaction are warranted to define the underlying mechanisms in the pathophysiology of EH. The present sample size has been adequate to provide statistically significant associations, but it needs to be tested in larger cohorts with different ethnicities.

**Figure 4.** Correlation analyses for (a) individual haplotypes (b) interacted-haplotypes of \textit{FTO} and \textit{GNB3} with clinical parameters. The general linear model was used to calculate significance level after adjustment with age, gender, smoking, alcohol, triglyceride, cholesterol and Bonferroni’s correction test. Besides, $P$ was adjusted for DBP, BMI; $P^*$ for SBP, BMI and $P^*$ for SBP, DBP. (R), represents reference for remaining haplotypes against any studied individual haplotype (H). The X-axis represents individual haplotypes $H_3$: AAAGC, $H_c$: CT and $H_a$: TC and interacted-haplotypes $H_3+H_c$: AAAGC+CT and $H_3+H_d$: AAAGC+TT. The numerator and denominator represent frequency of (R) and (H), respectively. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063934.g004

**Figure 5.** Variation in clinical characteristics according to number of risk-alleles in interacted-genotypes in 3-locus MDR model. The general linear model was used to calculate significance level after adjustment with age, gender, smoking, alcohol, triglyceride, cholesterol and Bonferroni’s correction test. Besides, $P^*$ was adjustment with BMI and DBP; $P^*$ with BMI; $P^*$ with SBP, DBP. The threshold $P$-value was $(0.05/10) = 0.005$. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063934.g005
In conclusion, the interaction between FTO and GNB3, through interacted-genotypes and interacted-haplotypes models, markedly has an epistatic effect and associated with altered clinical phenotypes and consequently with EH. The study has also suggested that gene-gene interaction holds robust information about the phenotype beyond analysis of individual SNPs, and thus including interaction between or among genes may improve the predictive accuracy of genetic-clinical correlations.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Linkage disequilibrium (LD) among studied SNPs of FTO and GNB3. LD was calculated using Haploview-4.0 in cases and controls. D’ box shading represents the strength of LD between SNPs. The light shade represents weak LD, whereas dark shade represents strong LD.

Figure S2 Individual haplotypes of FTO and GNB3 in cases and controls. Total 9 haplotypes of FTO were inferred from five SNPs (rs8050136C/A, rs9939609T/A, rs9926289G/A, rs9935056A/G and rs9932754T/C) and 4 haplotypes of GNB3 from 2 SNPs (rs1129649T/C and rs5443C/T) at overall cutoff frequency of >2%. The symbol # represents statistically significant risk haplotypes, FTO H3 GNB3 Hc and Hd (OR = 1.48, 95% CI = 1.13–1.94, P = 0.005; OR = 1.74, 95% CI = 1.33–2.26, P = 4.36E–05 and OR = 1.79, 95% CI = 1.32–2.43, P = 1.79E–04, respectively); whereas, symbol + represents, statistically significant protective haplotypes, FTO H4 and GNB3 Hb (OR = 0.38, 95% CI = 0.23–0.61, P = 5.45E–05; OR = 0.49, 95% CI = 0.40–0.61, P = 2.12E–11, respectively). P-value and odds ratio (OR) were calculated after adjustment for age, gender, BMI, alcohol, smoking, triglyceride and cholesterol using multivariate logistic regression analysis and Bonferroni’s correction for multiple testing.

Figure S3 Gene-gene interaction between FTO and GNB3. The gene-gene interaction was looked using Hap Evolution software in case-control haplotypes data. 1, represents major allele and 2, represents minor allele of each SNP. The SNPs GNB3 rs1129649T/C, rs5443 and FTO rs8050136C/T, rs9939609T/A, rs9926289G/A, rs9935056A/G and rs9932754T/C are arranged according to their position on chromosomes. Maximum interaction ratio was observed for minor allele GNB3 rs5443T and FTO rs9932754C. The P-value and haplotype risk ratio (HRR) were computed after permutation test.

Figure S4 Diagrammatic representation of the effects of FTO and GNB3 SNPs on transcription factors binding sites. The upper and lower TF, binding sites with BA represents the protective alleles (marked in black) and risk alleles (marked in red), respectively. The prediction of transcription factor, their binding sites and their binding affinity were performed by online software TFSEARCH: Searching Transcription Factor Binding Sites, http://www.rcwcp.or.jp/papia/developed by Yutaka Akiyama. TF, transcription factor; BA, binding affinity (%). Flanking sequences in blue represent transcription factor binding sites (TFBS).

Table S1 Primer sequences, normal and SNapShot PCR cycling conditions used for genotyping of FTO studied polymorphisms.

Table S2 Primers, RFLP and SNapShot PCR cycling conditions for genotyping GNB3 polymorphisms.

Table S3 Goodness-of-fit test for observed and expected genotypes distribution of FTO and GNB3 polymorphisms in patients and controls. Comparison between observed and expected frequencies was performed by an epidemiologic data management and analysis package (EPIINFO) ver.6.

Table S4 Comparison of the FTO and GNB3 studied alleles frequencies with Hapmap population. P-values were calculated using EPIINFO ver.6 (Center for Disease Control, Atlanta, Georgia, USA) software. Indian (IND) population was used as reference.

Table S5 Genotypes and allele distribution of the FTO and GNB3 polymorphisms in controls and patients. P-value, $\chi^2$ and odds ratio (OR) were calculated using multivariate logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age, gender, BMI, smoking, alcohol, triglycerides and cholesterol.
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