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Study on the Atomization and Dust-Reduction Performance of a New Type of External Pneumatic Vortex Fog Curtain Dust Removal Device in Fully Mechanized Excavation Face
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To solve the problem of dust pollution in the heading face, a new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device suitable for a fully mechanized excavation face is designed in this paper. Firstly, dust migration laws at different times are simulated and analyzed by COMSOL software, and the functional relationship of dust concentration distribution above 50 mg/m³ at different heights and different wind speed is derived. Aiming at the dust migration laws of the heading face, a new dust removal device was proposed, and the atomization performance of the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device under different jet wind speed, different atomization pressure, and different nozzle working angle is explored through atomization performance experiment. It is found that when jet wind speed is 30 m/s, atomization pressure is 4 MPa, and nozzle working angle is 75°, the atomization performance of the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device is the best. Through the simulation of COMSOL software, the influence of air volume on the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device is analyzed. It is found that the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device is relatively stable when the air volume at the pressure outlet is less than 400 m³/min. The dust-reduction efficiency of the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device was investigated through the dust-reduction experiment, and it is found that the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device had better dust removal performance under the condition that the ventilation conditions did not interfere with the integrity of the vortex fog curtain.

1. Introduction

As a country rich in coal, poor in oil, and low in gas, China’s total coal energy use accounts for more than 65% of China’s total energy consumption, and the energy use strategy based on coal resources will not change in the short term [1–3]. Most of the mining of coal resources is based on underground mining, and a large amount of dust will be generated during coal mining. Among them, dust pollution is serious during the process of coal breaking, coal discharging, and frame shifting [4, 5]. The dust generated by the rotary coal breaking process of the cutting head or coal shearer occupies more than 80% of the total working dust, and the generated dust will spread to the entire tunneling face with the wind flow field, resulting in tunneling The concentration of dust in the work area far exceeds the national standard, so the problem of dust pollution control in underground mines has become a key problem and a difficult problem for domestic and foreign experts to pay attention to [6–8].

The fine dust and silicon dioxide generated during the tunneling process can easily penetrate the lungs of the human body through the respiratory system, causing lung cell damage and fibrotic damage to varying degrees [9]. Workers who have long worked underground in coal mines face a serious threat of lung disease, especially pneumoconiosis [10], and the treatment of pneumoconiosis requires
high medical costs. According to the 2018 occupational disease report issued by the Ministry of Health of the People’s Republic of China, about 23,000 coal workers are suffering from pneumoconiosis in China and 90% of whom are miners working in the excavation or mining face. Therefore, it is of great practical significance to reveal the laws of flour dust movement in tunneling work and to study related control measures. Yang et al. [11] used the gas-particle flow theory to analyze the dust distribution in the fully mechanized excavation face and simulated and analyzed the three-dimensional dust turbulent flow law in the fully mechanized excavation face by solving the simultaneous differential equations with the finite volume method. Wang et al. [12, 13] used Fluent software to simulate and analyze the ventilation system on the fully mechanized working face of the coal mine. They simulated and analyzed the impact of the rotary transverse disturbance of the digging head on the airflow field and the dust distribution. It finds that increasing the air curtain can significantly reduce the dust pollution. Cheng et al. [14, 15] proposed dust control technology based on a new type of air curtain generator. The ventilation airflow field and dust migration of a new type of air curtain generator in a fully mechanized working face was simulated by using the k-ε two-equation turbulence model, Hertz–Mindlin model, and so on. The influence of dust diffusion and pollution behavior is achieved by changing different radial-axial forced pressure airflow rate ratios. Ge et al. [16–19] who from Liaoning Technology University adopted different spraying methods according to the characteristics of different digging working faces, and through a series of studies, such as optimizing atomization parameters of multiphase flow simulation technology, formed a system that the mechanism of jet atomization as theoretical foundation, dust-fog field simulation as technical core, and dust-reduction parameter optimization as development motive force.

According to the dust movement rule of the tunneling work and the research on dust pollution control by scholars at home and abroad, this paper combines the method of air curtain dust control and atomization dust removal and uses pneumatic vortex fog curtain to control the flour dust. At present, comprehensive dust control system of pneumatic vortex fog curtain and underground dust control work is mostly based on human subjective experience, lack of corresponding equipment control system, and lack of complete theoretical analysis. Considering the technical characteristics and atomization method of air curtain, a new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device installed on a roadheader is studied in this paper, which makes the combination of droplets and dust more closely and effectively prevent the diffusion of dust. The dust movement law is deduced through numerical simulation analysis, which provides a theoretical basis for designing a new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device. In order to accurately analyze the designed dust removal device, the atomization and dust removal performance under different conditions were controlled. The experimental results show that the device has good dedusting effect.

2. Numerical Simulation and Analysis of Dust Escape Law of Fully Mechanized Excavation Face

2.1. Mathematical Model

2.1.1. Continuous Phase Equation. Continuous equation:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho V) = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \(\rho\) is the density, \(g/cm^3\); \(t\) is the time, \(s\); and \(V\) is the velocity vector.

Momentum equation:

$$\frac{\partial (\rho V_x)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho V_x V) = \nabla \cdot \left( \mu \nabla V_x \right) - \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} + S_x,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\frac{\partial (\rho V_y)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho V_y V) = \nabla \cdot \left( \mu \nabla V_y \right) - \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y} + S_y,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\frac{\partial (\rho V_z)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho V_z V) = \nabla \cdot \left( \mu \nabla V_z \right) - \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} + S_z,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \(V_x, V_y, and V_z\) are the velocity components on the \(x, y, and z\) axes, \(m/s\); \(\mu\) is hydrodynamic viscosity, \(Pa\cdot s\); and \(S_x, S_y, S_z\) are the source terms on the \(x, y, and z\) axes, respectively.

2.1.2. Discrete Phase Equation.

$$\frac{d u_{pl}}{dt} = F_d (u_g - u_{pl}) + \frac{g (\rho_{pl} - \rho_g)}{\rho_{pl}} + F,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$F_d = \frac{18 \mu g d_{pl}^2 C_d Re}{24 \rho_{pl}^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \(\rho_{pl}\) is the gas density, \(g/cm^3\); \(\rho_{pl}\) is the liquid density, \(g/cm^3\); \(u_g\) is the gas velocity, \(m/s\); \(u_{pl}\) is the liquid velocity, \(m/s\); \(F_d\) is the unit drag force of the droplet, \(N\); \(d_{pl}\) is the liquid drop diameter, \(m\); \(Re\) is the Reynolds number; \(C_d\) is the drag coefficient; \(g\) is the acceleration of gravity, \(m/s^2\); and \(F\) is the other force, \(N\).

2.1.3. Crushing Model of Droplet Particles in Turbulent Flow. Most of the rupture models of droplets in the turbulent flow field are based on the improved Kolmogorov and Hinze models. The calculation formula of the obtained critical Weber number is

$$W_e = \frac{\rho g u^2 d_{l,\text{max}}^6}{\sigma},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where \(u\) is the air turbulence pulsation velocity on the surface of the droplet particles, \(u^2 = 2 (Ed_{l,\text{max}})^{2/3}\); \(d_{l,\text{max}} = C (\sigma \rho_g)^{3/5} E^{-2/5}\); and \(C\) is 0.725.

2.1.4. Atomization and Crushing Model. Kelvin–Helmholtz (K-H) model is used for the numerical simulation of the secondary breaking atomization of the nozzle. The K-H
model assumes that the jet diameter at the nozzle outlet, the incompressible high-pressure liquid is injected into the gas flow field through a small round hole, and the maximum frequency (ΩKH) and the growth wavelength (ΛKH) are obtained under the corresponding conditions, and the calculation formula is as follows:

\[
\Lambda_{KH} = \frac{9.02r_0(1 + 0.45\sqrt{Z})(1 + 0.4(T)^{0.7})}{(1 + 0.865We^{1.67})^{0.6}},
\]

(8)

\[
\Omega_{KH} = \frac{0.34 + 0.385We^{1.5}}{(1 + Z)(1 + 1.4T^{0.6})} \frac{\sigma}{\rho tr_0},
\]

(9)

where \( We_l \) is the Weber number of the liquid, \( We_l = \rho U_l^2 d_l/\sigma \), where \( Ur \) is the relative velocity between the liquid phase and the gas phase, \( d_l \) is the characteristic length, \( \sigma \) is the surface tension coefficient, and \( \rho_l \) is the density of the liquid phase; \( Z \) is the onset number, \( Z = \sqrt{We_l/Re_p} \), \( Re_p = \rho U_l^2 r_l/\mu_l \), and \( \mu_l \) is the viscosity of the liquid; \( T \) is the Taylor number, \( T = Z/\sqrt{We_l} \), and \( r_0 \) is the droplet breaking radius.

During the crushing process, the time change rate and scale change of the main droplets are calculated as follows:

\[
\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{r_0 - r_{KH}}{\tau_{KH}},
\]

(10)

where \( \tau_{KH} \) is the time scale of K-H crushing, \( \tau_{KH} = 3.788B_1 r_0/\Lambda_{KH} \Omega_{KH}; B_1 \) is the empirical constant, generally 1.73–40, which is 4.04.

Based on the theory of liquid jet stability, small sub-droplets are peeled off from the surface of the main droplet. The size of the newly generated small droplets is proportional to the fastest growing wavelength of the surface wave. Then, the radius of droplet breakup is

\[
r = \begin{cases} 
B_0\Lambda_{KH}, & (B_0\Lambda_{KH} \leq a), \\
\min \left\{ \left( \frac{3\pi a U_r^2}{2\Omega_{KH}} \right)^{0.33}, \left( \frac{3a^2\Lambda_{KH}}{4} \right) \right\}, & (B_0\Lambda_{KH} > a), 
\end{cases}
\]

(11)

where \( B_0 \) is the model constant and takes 0.61.

2.1.5. Collision Model. Considering that the main collision and aggregation between fog droplets, the critical value obtained by Rourke is used to describe the collision model:

\[
S_{th} = \frac{\rho_p d_{pl}^2}{18\rho_g \mu (v/\epsilon)^{1/2}} = \frac{\rho_p}{18\rho_g} \left[ \frac{d_{pl}}{(v/\epsilon)^{1/2}} \right],
\]

(12)

\[
\eta = \left( \frac{S_{th}}{S_{th} + a} \right)^b,
\]

(13)

where \( S_{th} \) is the Stokes number, dimensionless; \( v \) is the air kinematic viscosity, \( m^{-2}s^{-1} \); \( \epsilon \) is the turbulent dissipation rate, dimensionless; \( \eta \) is the collision efficiency; \( a \) and \( b \) are the parameters related to the Reynolds number, \( a \) takes 0.65 and \( b \) takes 3.7.

2.1.6. k-ε Turbulence Model. Based on the theory of gas-solid two-phase flow, the dust particle phase uses a linear twodimensional k-ε turbulence model to describe the movement of air, analyze the force of the dust particles in the gas-phase wind velocity flow field, and establish the dynamic model and motion equation of dust particles in confined space. The force acting on the particles can be expressed by the classic Newton’s second law equation as follows [20, 21]:

\[
m_p = \frac{du}{dt} = F_g + F_f + F_d + F_x.
\]

(14)

The continuous equation, momentum equation, and k-ε turbulence model equation of dust particle phase are as follows [22–24]:

Turbulent kinetic energy equation:

\[
\frac{\partial(\rho k)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u_i k)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_i} \right] + G_k - \rho \varepsilon.
\]

(15)

Turbulent energy dissipation rate equation:

\[
\frac{\partial(\rho \varepsilon)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u_i \varepsilon)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \right] + \rho C_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \frac{\varepsilon}{k} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon + \eta}
\]

(16)

Turbulent viscosity \( \mu_t \) can be expressed as

\[
\mu_t = \rho C_{\mu} \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon},
\]

(17)

where \( m_g \) is mass of solid particles, \( m_g; u_p \) is the velocity of the solid particles, \( m/s \); \( F_d \) is the resistance of particles, \( N \); \( F_g \) is the particle’s own gravity, \( N \); \( F_f \) is the air buoyancy of the particles, \( N \); \( F_x \) is other force acting on the particles, \( N \), where \( G_k = \mu_p E^2 \) and \( \mu_p \) is the viscosity coefficient; \( C_{\varepsilon} = \max [0.43, \eta/(\eta + 5)] \) and \( \eta = E/k; C_{\mu} \) is constant and takes 1.9; \( E = \sqrt{2E_{ij}E_{ij}} \); \( \sigma_k \) and \( \sigma_\varepsilon \) are turbulent Prandtl coefficients of turbulent energy equation and turbulent energy dissipation rate equation, which are 1.0 and 1.2, respectively, where

\[
C_{\mu} = \frac{1}{(A_0 + A_u U^+ k/\varepsilon)}, \quad A_0 = 4.0, \quad A_u = \sqrt{6}\cos \phi,
\]

(18)

\[
\phi = \frac{1}{3} \arccos (\sqrt{6}W),
\]

(19)

\[
W = \frac{E_{ij}E_{jk}E_{kj}}{E_{ij}E_{ij}^{1/2}},
\]

(19)

\[
W^* = \sqrt{E_{ij}E_{ij} + \tilde{\Omega}_{ij} \tilde{\Omega}_{ij}},
\]

\[
U^* \quad \text{is internal energy,} \quad \dot{f}; \quad \Omega_{ij} = \tilde{\Omega}_{ij} - \varepsilon_{ijk} \omega_k; \quad \tilde{\Omega}_{ij} = \Omega_{ij} - 2\varepsilon_{ijk} \omega_k \quad \text{is the time-averaged rotation rate tensor with angular velocity \( \omega_k \) as a reference system, and it includes the influence of rotational flow; \( \Omega_{ij} \) is the similar value of \( \tilde{\Omega}_{ij} \).}
2.1.7. **Gas-Solid Drag Model.** The Schiller–Naumann model, a common drag model, is selected for calculation, and the drag force of dust particles is as follows [25, 26]:

\[
F_D = \frac{1}{\tau_p} m_p (v_g - v_p),
\]

where

\[
\tau_p = \frac{4 \rho_p d_p^2}{3 \mu C_d \text{Re}_p},
\]

\[
C_d = \frac{24}{\text{Re}_p} \left(1 + 0.15 \text{Re}_p^{0.687}\right),
\]

\[
\text{Re}_p = \frac{\rho |U_g - U_p| d_p}{\mu},
\]

\[\text{Re}_p\] is the particle Reynolds function.

2.1.8. **Near-Wall Treatment.** When the particles collide with the wall, the damping force and the elastic force are applied to the normal direction of the particle motion, which is the second and the first terms on the right side of the equation, respectively. The calculation formula of the upward normal second and the first terms on the right side of the equation, the wall, the damping force and the elastic force are applied when the particles collide with the wall, assuming the wall surface is stationary, then the calculation formula of the upward normal force is as follows [27]:

\[
F_{nw,ij} = - (k_{nw,ij} \delta_{n,ij}) n_i - \eta_{nw,ij} (v_{ij} \cdot n_i) n_i,
\]

where \(k_{nw,ij}\) is the particle-wall normal elastic coefficient; \(\delta_{n,ij}\) is the relative normal displacement; \(m\); \(\eta_{nw,ij}\) is the damping coefficient; \(n_i\) is the unit vector of particle \(i\) normal; and \(v_{ij}\) is the relative velocity vector of particles \(j\) and \(i\).

In the process of dust particles colliding with the wall surface, assuming the wall surface is stationary, then \(v_{ij} = v_i\),

\[
k_{nw} = k_n = \frac{4\sqrt{2R}}{(1 - \alpha^2/E_3) + (1 - \alpha^2/E_w)}.
\]

\[
\delta_{n,ij} = \delta_n = R_l - (x y z_i - x y z_i) \cdot n_i,
\]

\[
\eta = a_n (m k_n)^{1/2} \delta_n^{1/4}.
\]

Similar to the normal force calculation process, the calculation formula of the tangential force \(F_{tw,ij}\) for particle-wall collision is as follows:

\[
F_{tw,ij} = - k_{tw,ij} \delta_{t,ij} - \eta_{t,ij} v_{tw,ij},
\]

where

\[
v_{tw,ij} = v_{ij} - (v_{ij} \cdot n) n + L_n \omega_i n,
\]

\[
k_{tw} = k_t = \frac{8 \sqrt{R} E_3}{2(2 - \sigma_t)(1 + \sigma_t)} \delta_n^{1/2}.
\]

2.2. **Physical Models.** To accurately simulate the distribution of dust movement in the heading face, this paper sets up a physical model according to the measurement results of the actual size of the heading face in the underground coal mine of Mugua coal mine in Huozhou, Shanxi Province. The physical model is composed of three parts: roadheader model, pneumatic vortex fog curtain model, and roadheader model. The roadheader model is a rectangle with a length of 20 m, a width of 4.5 m, and a height of 3.5 m. The roadheader model with a length of 9.3 m is placed in the roadheader model.

For the convenience of calculation, the cylinder center point of the cutting section of the roadheader model is used as the origin, and the positive z-axis direction is perpendicular to the top of the roadway from the origin, the positive y-axis direction is perpendicular to the right side wall of the roadway from the origin, and the positive x-axis direction is perpendicular to the outlet of the roadway from the origin. Point vertically to the air outlet of the tunnel. The aerodynamic vortex fog curtain generator model has an outer diameter of 1.1 m, an inner diameter of 0.9 m, a central axis height of 1.75 m, and a horizontal position of \(y = 0\); the high-pressure air current ejector is evenly arranged on the inclination wall surface of the ring-mounted air duct. On the outside, four nozzles with a diameter of 0.02 m are arranged on the periphery of the ring-mounted air duct. The physical model of the fully mechanized excavation face is shown in Figure 1. In this paper, COMSOL software is used to mesh the established three-dimensional physical model. There are three grid cell sizes, which are conventional, coarser, and extremely coarse. The total number of grids is 255023, 740135, and 2450349. The minimum unit mass is 0.07468, 0.1305, and 0.09265. The proportions of the mesh quality exceeding 0.4 under the three divisions are 0.981, 0.988, and 0.991, respectively, indicating that the three mesh divisions are reasonable and the calculation is accurate. Considering the calculator’s ability to process data, this article chooses a coarser grid division, the total number of grid divisions is 740135, and the simulation calculation time is 72 hours. The meshing diagram is shown in Figure 2.

In the COMSOL simulation, the interior space of the roadway model is defined as the calculation domain, the outlet of the high-pressure airflow ejector of the annular air duct is regarded as the gas-phase wind speed inlet, and the exit end of the roadheader is regarded as the “outlet” boundary. The droplet particles are affected by drag force and gravity in the fluid flow, and then dynamic events such as collision, aggregation, and secondary crushing occur. The gas-phase material is air, and the liquid phase material is water. The materials used are provided by the COMSOL’s database. The gas phase is regarded as a continuous phase, the droplet particles are regarded as a discrete phase, the wall conditions are set to freeze, the \(k-e\) turbulence model is used, the droplet second crushing adopts the K-H crushing model, and there is no energy exchange between the gas and liquid phases, and the coupling between the calculation of the phase until iterative convergence. The initial ambient temperature is 293 K, and the pressure is standard atmospheric pressure.

2.3. **Dust Concentration Diffusion Simulation.** The basic boundary conditions of the flow field movement law of the physical model of the fully mechanized excavation face are
excavation face, the cross sections of the numerical simulation results of dust concentration at $X = 0 \text{ m}$, $X = 2.5 \text{ m}$, $X = 7.5 \text{ m}$, $X = 10 \text{ m}$, $X = 12.5 \text{ m}$, $X = 15 \text{ m}$, and $X = 17.5 \text{ m}$ were selected along the $x$-axis of the calculation model. The overall change trend of dust concentration was shown in Figure 4. It can be seen from Figure 4:

At $X = 0–2.5 \text{ m}$, dust particles fall from the heading-point in the restricted space and then get into the gas-phase flow field area of the heading face. The simulation results demonstrate that the highest dust concentration can reach $1,188.9 \text{ mg/m}^3$.

In the section of $X = 5–10 \text{ m}$, due to the wind-wrapping effect of the pressure outlet, the dust particles diffuse along the roadway in the direction of transportation along the roadway. Due to the vortex at the tail of the roadheader, the machine dust accumulation occurs at the tail, and the dust concentration rises. At $X = 10 \text{ m}$, the dust concentration in the working space reaches $167 \text{ mg/m}^3$. Under the action of aerodynamics, dust particles in the restricted space of the heading line move around randomly under the influence of airflow field. At the same time, dust particles with larger particle size slowly settle under the combined action of gravity and resistance, while dust particles with smaller particle size continue to spread forward and the dust concentration is still decrease.

### 2.4. Dust Concentration Analysis and Discussion

To analyze the influence of airflow on the air outlet on the dust pollution of dust particles, the influence of three different heights $H$ on the dust concentration in the working space was selected, and the $XY$ scattered point data are derived and plotted, respectively. Set the air volume of the pressure outlet to $150 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$, $400 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$, and $500 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$. Select three different heights $Z$, which are $1 \text{ m}$, $1.5 \text{ m}$, and $2 \text{ m}$. Select the dust concentration data at $Y = 1.2 \text{ m}$ and $X = 0–20 \text{ m}$. The dust concentration distribution is shown in Figure 5, using software Origin9.0 to draw the relationship between the air volume and the diffusion distance $L$ (m) of high-concentration dust above $50 \text{ mg/m}^3$ and using MATLAB software to fit. The diffusion concentrations of dust at different heights are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
  y & = -1.5 \times 10^{-7}x^3 + 0.0001x^2 - 0.0268x + 5.2771, H = 1 \text{ m}, \\
  y & = -2.8 \times 10^{-7}x^3 + 0.0004x^2 - 0.1021x + 13.546, H = 1.5 \text{ m}, \\
  y & = -1.8 \times 10^{-7}x^3 + 0.0002x^2 - 0.05x + 10.677, H = 2 \text{ m},
\end{align*}
\]

(26)

where $x$ is the air volume of the pressure outlet and $y$ is the diffusion distance.

It can be seen from Figure 5 that as the air volume of the pressure outlet increases, the kinetic energy of dust increases, and the dust concentration increases with the increase of wind speed. Even if the air volume of the pressure outlet is increased from $150 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$ to $300 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$, the dust concentration at the height $H$ is still the highest at $1.5 \text{ m}$.

Figure 6 shows the distribution rule of diffusion distance $L$ of high-concentration dust under three different ventilation conditions. Dust diffusion distance $L$ changes with the change of ventilation conditions. When the measuring point is set at $H = 1 \text{ m}$, the dust diffusion distance $L$ increases first.
and then decreases with the increase of air volume at the pressure outlet, so the air volume rises from 3.5 m at 150 m$^3$/min to 6 m at 400 m$^3$/min, and then the dust diffusion distance $L$ decreases. This is explained by the fact that the dust particles are increased by the air impact of the pressure outlet, and the high-concentration dust continues to move upward, resulting in a decrease in the dust concentration at a height of 1 m. When $H = 1.5$ m and $H = 2$ m are set at the measuring point, the diffusion distance $L$ of high-concentration dust will gradually expand with the increase of air volume. When the air volume at the pressure outlet is 500 m$^3$/min and the measuring point is $H = 2$ m, the diffusion distance of high-concentration dust is the farthest, which is 7.5 m. This shows that the impact dust of the pressure air curtain formed by the pressure air duct diffuses to the whole roadway, resulting in the dust floating in all positions during the construction process. Therefore, it is urgent to choose a new dust control system that can achieve a better dust control effect to solve the dust pollution in the heading face.

3. Structure and Experiment of a New Type of External Pneumatic Vortex Fog Curtain Dust Removal Device

3.1. Device Structure. Compared with the traditional external annular atomizing dust suppression device, the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device combines the external annular atomize with the pneumatic air curtain. A new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device is located at the front of the roadheader arm, and after the heading of the roadheader, it appears in a “ring” shape. The outer ring is high-pressure atomization, while the inner ring is pneumatic vortex air curtain. The fog curtain formed by the high-pressure nozzle is diffused outward by the vortex flow field formed from the pneumatic vortex air curtain. The new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device is composed of six parts, including the air inlet duct, the water supply pipe, the ring-mounted air duct, the ring-mounted water pipe, the high-pressure airflow ejector, and the nozzle, as shown in Figure 7. The air inlet duct is connected to a ring-mounted air duct, the ring-mounted air duct is inclined inward 15°, and 24 high-pressure airflow ejectors are arranged on the ring-mounted air duct at an equal interval. The water supply pipe is arranged along the air inlet duct, which is connected to the ring-mounted water pipe, and the ring-mounted water pipe is outside the ring-mounted air duct. The six nozzles are evenly arranged on the ring-mounted water pipe at 45° intervals, all of which are facing the working direction of the cutting head.
3.2. **Working Mechanism.** In the process of atomization dust control by the pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust control system of the roadheader, the use of atomization alone for dust control often does not achieve effective dust control performance, which requires the pneumatic air curtain and atomization to be treated simultaneously. The working process of the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device consists of three steps. Firstly,
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Figure 6: Influence of different heights on the diffusion distance of dust concentration in the working space: (a) \( H = 1 \) m, (b) \( H = 1.5 \) m, and (c) \( H = 2 \) m.

Figure 7: Schematic diagram of device structure: (1) air inlet duct, (2) water supply pipe, (3) ring-mounted air duct, (4) ring-mounted water pipe, (5) high-pressure airflow ejector, and (6) nozzle.

The airflow in the air inlet duct is directed to the high-pressure airflow ejector through the ring-mounted air duct to form the multiple high-speed jet. The interaction between the jet boundary layer and the surrounding gas makes the spray center show a negative pressure gas field, which sucks the surrounding gas to form a pneumatic vortex air curtain.

Secondly, the water in the water supply pipe is led to the nozzle through the ring-mounted water pipe, and the water is broken and atomized into mist droplets through the nozzle, and the droplets diffuse to the surrounding of the roadheader at high speed. Due to the impact of the vortex jet gas, the mist droplets are broken up into smaller droplets. Finally, the droplet’s movement direction changes due to the influence of the pneumatic vortex air curtain, and the droplet acquires tangential kinetic energy, and rotates and diffuses outward. Compared to the traditional spray dust-reduction method, the droplet size of the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device is smaller and the atomization effect is better. It can better seal the cross section of the roadway, wrap the dust source, and effectively isolate the dust movement.
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3.3. Research Parameters of Atomization Performance. To study the atomization performance of the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device, atomization range and atomization radius of the pneumatic vortex fog curtain are used to characterize the atomization performance of the device. The control variable method was utilized to investigate the atomization performance of the device from three aspects: jet wind speed, atomization pressure, and nozzle working angle.

However, since the dust removal device will be affected by the viscosity of the liquid and the airflow in the roadway in the actual application process, there will be a deviation between the atomization range and the atomization radius of the pneumatic vortex fog curtain. For the convenience of research, the distance from the nozzle to the front end of the pneumatic vortex fog curtain is taken as the atomization range and is represented by the letter \( R \); the radius of the theoretical spray range is taken as the atomization radius and is represented by the letter \( R \), as shown in Figure 8.

3.4. Atomization Performance Experiment. The atomization performance experiment platform is composed of three parts: air curtain generator, atomization system, and experimental area. The air curtain generator includes vector frequency converter, centrifugal fan, air inlet duct, ring-mounted air duct, high-pressure airflow ejector, and thermal anemometer. The atomization system includes a water tank, high-pressure atomizer, pressure regulating controller, pressure gauge, relief valve, turbine flowmeter, high-pressure water pipe, ring-mounted water pipe, and nozzle; the experimental area is a semiclosed experimental box with a length of 2 m, a width of 0.45 m, and a height of 0.35 m, as shown in Figure 9. During the experiment, the GM8903 thermal anemometer and vector frequency converter are connected to the computer to collect air-speed data and achieve the purpose of real-time detection. The turbine flowmeter is used to detect the working flow and working pressure of the pressure water output from the high-pressure atomizer. The chronometer is used to measure the time it takes for the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal system to stabilize, thereby measuring
the volume flow of the fog curtain. The experimental process is as follows:

First bullet: the experimental equipment is connected according to the schematic diagram of the experimental device shown in Figure 9 to ensure that the joints are firmly connected.

Second bullet: the power switch is turned on, the centrifugal fan is started, the vector frequency converter is adjusted, and the intensity of the airflow output is controlled to the experimental conditions. When the output is stable, the working airspeed is measured.

Third bullet: the relief valve is kept open to 30%, the high-pressure atomizer is started, and then the relief valve is closed; the pressure regulating controller is controlled on the high-pressure atomizer to change the output pressure of the high-pressure atomizer, and the pressure gauges are observed to rated working pressures of 4 MPa, 6 MPa, and 8 MPa, respectively.

Fourth bullet: we make sure the pressure is stable during the experiment and measure the liquid flow with the turbine flowmeter.

Fifth bullet: when the airspeed at the exit of the high-pressure airflow ejector is 20 m/s, 25 m/s, and 30 m/s,
the thermal anemometer (GM8903) is used to measure the atomization range and atomization radius at these three airs speeds, and test the atomization range and atomization radius of the spray nozzle under the conditions of 45°, 60°, 75°, 90°, and 105°.

4. Experimental Results and Discussion

4.1. Experimental Results. To ensure the accuracy of experimental coverage, three repeated experiments were made in the same conditions. Under different jet wind speed, atomization pressure, and nozzle working angle, the atomization range and atomization radius of the new external pneumatic vortex fog curtain device are shown in Figures 10 and 11. The vertical coordinate represents the atomization pressure, and the horizontal coordinate represents the nozzle working angle, and the horizontal coordinate represents the atomization range and atomization radius, respectively. The atomization performance data are shown in Table 1.

4.2. Experimental Conclusions. Compared with Figures 10 and 11 and Table 1, it can be found that

(1) As the jet wind speed increases from 20 m/s to 30 m/s, the interference of the jet field on the droplet trajectory needs to be more obvious. When atomizing pressure and nozzle working angle remain the same, as the jet wind speed increases, the atomizing range decreases by 0.06–0.26 m; as the jet wind speed increases, the atomizing radius increases by 0.15–0.35 m. Therefore, the increase of the jet wind speed effectively increases the sealing performance of the fog curtain.

(2) When atomization pressure increased from 4 MPa to 8 MPa, the atomization range increased and the fluctuation range was between 0 and 0.08 m. However, the relationship between the atomization range and atomization pressure was not clear. When atomization pressure increased from 4 MPa to 8 MPa, the atomization radius decreased, so the atomization pressure was 4 MPa and the atomization performance was the highest.

(3) The increase of the nozzle working angle takes such atomization range change course under the same atomization pressure and jet wind speed. The atomizing radius first increases and then decreases with the increase of the nozzle working angle, and the maximum atomizing radius is obtained at 75°; when the nozzle working angle exceeds 90°, the interphase interference of the two-phase flow field decreases, and the direction of the fog field is gradually parallel to the jet field; the radial diffusion effect of the droplets and the atomization radius are reduced, but the atomization range becomes larger. When the nozzle working angle is 105°, the atomization range and the atomization radius are the smallest. Therefore, when jet wind speed is 30 m/s, the atomization pressure is 4 MPa, and the nozzle working angle is 75°, the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device obtains the best atomizing radius and sealing performance.

5. Pressure Wind Effect and Dust-Reduction Efficiency

5.1. Simulation of Influence of Pressure Wind. According to the measurement results of the actual size of the heading face in the underground coal mine of Mugua coal mine in Huozhou, Shanxi Province, a three-dimensional full-scale tunnel physical model with a width of 4.5 m, a height of 3.5 m, and a length of 20 m was built using Solidworks (3D modeling software). The cross-sectional area S is 15.75 m². The length, width, and height of the heading machine in the tunnel physical model are 9.3 m × 2.9 m × 1.8 m. Ventilation ducts are 800 mm diameter flame-retardant ducts, as shown in Figure 12. To make the dust-reducing effect good, the jet wind speed of the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device is set to \( v = 30 \text{ m/s} \), the nozzle working angle is set to 75°, and the atomization pressure is set to 4 MPa. According to the relevant regulations of China, Q pressure of the heading face of coal roadway is \( \geq 9 \text{ s} \) and \( \leq 240 \text{ s} \), so the minimum air volume of the heading face is 141.75 m³/min and the maximum is 3780 m³/min. Therefore, set Q pressure of air outlet as 150 m³/min, 300 m³/min, 400 m³/min, and 500 m³/min. Based on the similar theory, to simulate the effect of the pressure outlet on the movement trajectory of the droplet under different air volume conditions, a physical model with a width of 0.45 m, a height of 0.35 m, and a length of 2 m was established using COMSOL software. Q pressure of the air outlet is set to 1.5 m³/min, 3 m³/min, 4 m³/min, and 5 m³/min, and the regularity of particle trajectories with time is shown in Figures 13–16. Compared with Figures 13–16, the following findings are found:

When the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device works stably, after the air flows out from the pressure outlet, a jet field directed to the wall is formed at the front end of the pressure outlet with high airspeed, and at the same time, the jet impacts the wall surface and bounces. With the increase of pressure outlet airspeed, the impact strength of the airflow effective jet field of the pressure outlet gradually increases. With the increase of the jet wind speed produced by the pressure air duct, the interference of the airflow at the pressure outlet on the movement of the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device becomes more and more obvious, which makes the movement direction of the originally rotating and diffusing droplets change, and the pneumatic vortex fog curtain gradually presents a gap with the increase of the airspeed.

When the air volume is 1.5 m³/min, 3 m³/min, 4 m³/min, and 5 m³/min, the integrality of the fog curtain is complete, relatively complete, relatively divergent, and divergent, respectively; when the air volume of the pressure outlet is more than 3 m³/min, the diffusion distance of the droplets in the working face increases with the increase of the wind speed, forming a greater impact diffusion on the pneumatic vortex
Figure 10: Atomization range at different pressures: (a) pressure 4 MPa, (b) pressure 6 MPa, and (c) pressure 8 MPa.

Figure 11: Atomization radius at different pressures: (a) pressure 4 MPa, (b) pressure 6 MPa, and (c) pressure 8 MPa.

Table 1: Atomization performance data table.

| Jet wind speed (m/s) | Nozzle working angle (°) | Pressure (4 MPa) Range (m) | Radius (m) | Pressure (6 MPa) Range (m) | Radius (m) | Pressure (8 MPa) Range (m) | Radius (m) |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|
|                      |                          | 45°                        | 0.62       | 0.74                       | 0.68       | 0.7                        | 0.68       |
| 20 m/s               |                          | 60°                        | 0.76       | 1.05                       | 0.78       | 1.03                       | 0.8        |
|                      |                          | 75°                        | 0.61       | 1.15                       | 0.64       | 1.12                       | 0.7        |
|                      |                          | 90°                        | 0.57       | 0.72                       | 0.72       | 0.75                       | 0.75       |
|                      |                          | 105°                       | 0.54       | 0.75                       | 0.5        | 0.72                       | 0.65       |
|                      |                          | 45°                        | 0.56       | 0.96                       | 0.57       | 0.93                       | 0.6        |
|                      |                          | 60°                        | 0.65       | 1.27                       | 0.67       | 1.24                       | 0.7        |
|                      |                          | 75°                        | 0.48       | 1.37                       | 0.53       | 1.29                       | 0.55       |
|                      |                          | 90°                        | 0.44       | 1.02                       | 0.45       | 0.98                       | 0.5        |
|                      |                          | 105°                       | 0.35       | 0.88                       | 0.38       | 0.85                       | 0.4        |
|                      |                          | 45°                        | 0.43       | 1.31                       | 0.46       | 1.27                       | 0.5        |
|                      |                          | 60°                        | 0.56       | 1.47                       | 0.59       | 1.43                       | 0.6        |
|                      |                          | 75°                        | 0.36       | 1.6                        | 0.38       | 1.55                       | 0.4        |
|                      |                          | 90°                        | 0.26       | 1.21                       | 0.29       | 1.15                       | 0.3        |
|                      |                          | 105°                       | 0.18       | 1.02                       | 0.19       | 0.99                       | 0.2        |
fog curtain; when the air volume of the pressure outlet reaches 4 m³/min, then the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device can withstand the maximum interference of ventilation airflow; when the air volume of the pressure outlet reaches 5 m³/min, with the increase of the air volume of the pressure outlet, the phenomenon of fog droplet diffusion is intensified, and the gap is continuously expanded, and the rotating fog curtain formed by the fog droplets is completely destroyed by the pressure air, making the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device invalid.

5.2. Dust-Reduction Efficiency. When the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device is turned on, the humidity in the confined space under the mine is high, and small droplets moving in the space with the pressure airflow field cover the entire roadway, which seriously affects the dust control accuracy of the laser dust concentration meter. Therefore, it is necessary to use the dust sampler to sample the dust in the air. In the experiment, a self-made dust generator was set as a dust source in a semiclosed experiment box with a length × width × height of 2 m × 0.45 m × 0.35 m. The dust used in the experiment comes from the raw coal of the Fuxin Power Plant. After the raw coal is crushed, the larger diameter coal dust is removed using a sieve with a mesh size of 0.045 mm for dust generation. The dust emission is performed at 30 g/min. With the increase of the unit mass of the front and rear filter membranes and the flow rate of the equipment sampling, the mass concentration of dust in the air can be obtained according to the formula. According to the simulation conclusion in Section 5.1, the dust-reduction experiment was performed under the conditions that can form a relatively complete fog curtain. The experimental conditions include the following.

**Condition 1.** The dust generator is turned on separately (using the CCZ-1000 laser dust concentration sampler).

**Condition 2.** The pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust control system is turned on, the compressed air duct is turned on, and a dust sampler is used to measure the dust concentration distribution at the measurement point (the atomizing pressure is 4 MPa, the nozzle working angle is 75°, and the jet wind speed is 30 m/s, and the air volume of
the pressure outlet is 1.5 m³/min, 3 m³/min, and 4 m³/ min).

According to the requirements for dust detection in China’s safety production industry standard “Technical Specifications for Comprehensive Dust Control Measures for Underground Coal Mine (AQ1020-2006),” two dust detection points are arranged at the driver’s position of the roadheader and 0.5 m behind the roadheader body (1.5 m from the height of the breathing belt of the floor staff). The position of measuring point 1 is 0.75 m from the driver’s position, and the breathing zone height is 0.15 m. The measuring point 2 is 1.5 m behind the unit’s return air, and the breathing zone height is 0.15 m. The arrangement of measuring points is shown in Figure 17. The distribution of dust concentration under different working conditions is shown in Tables 2 and 3. According to the dust concentration obtained in the table, the calculation of the dust-reduction efficiency of dust prevention measures can be calculated according to the following formula:

$$\eta = \frac{c_1 - c_0}{c_0} \times 100\%,$$

(27)

where $\eta$ is the average dust-reduction efficiency of dust-proof measures, %; $c_0$ is the average dust concentration before taking some dust prevention measures, mg/m³; and $c_1$ is the average dust concentration after taking some dust protection measures, mg/m³. When the $Q$ pressure is calculated to be 1.5 m³/min, 3 m³/min, and 4 m³/min, the total dust-reduction efficiency at the measurement point 1 is 97.1%, 97.1%, and 97.7%, respectively; the respiratory dust-reduction efficiency is 94.5%, 96.3%, and 98.2%. Total dust-reduction efficiency at the measurement point 2 is 97.6%, 94.3%, and 89.4%, respectively; the respiratory dust-reduction efficiency is 96.4%, 92.9%, and 85.8%, respectively. It can be consulted from Figure 18 that at the measurement point 1, as the air volume of the pressure outlet increases, the dust-reduction efficiency increases. This is because the airspeed of the pressure outlet increases and the dust diffuses with the wind and does not return to the driver’s seat, so the

| Condition | Measurement point | Dwell time (min) | Flow (L·min⁻¹) | Filter weight (mg) | Filter weight gain (mg) | Net weight (mg) | Total dust concentration (mg·m⁻³) |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| Condition 1 | 1                | —               | —              | —                 | —                     | —              | 433.9                           |
| Condition 1 | 2                | —               | —              | —                 | —                     | —              | 306.8                           |
| Condition 2 (1) | 1                | 2               | 20             | 89.8              | 90.3                  | 0.5            | 12.5                            |
| Condition 2 (1) | 2                | 2               | 20             | 88.9              | 89.2                  | 0.3            | 7.5                             |
| Condition 2 (2) | 1                | 2               | 20             | 85.4              | 85.9                  | 0.5            | 12.5                            |
| Condition 2 (2) | 2                | 2               | 20             | 87.3              | 88                    | 0.7            | 17.5                            |
| Condition 2 (3) | 1                | 2               | 20             | 90.1              | 90.5                  | 0.4            | 10                              |
| Condition 2 (3) | 2                | 2               | 20             | 82.3              | 83.6                  | 1.3            | 32.5                            |

| Condition | Measurement point | Dwell time (min) | Flow (L·min⁻¹) | Filter weight (mg) | Filter weight gain (mg) | Net weight (mg) | Respirable dust concentration (mg·m⁻³) |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Condition 1 | 1                | —               | —              | —                 | —                     | —              | 135.5                               |
| Condition 1 | 2                | —               | —              | —                 | —                     | —              | 70.2                                |
| Condition 2 (1) | 1                | 2               | 20             | 89.8              | 90.1                  | 0.3            | 7.5                                 |
| Condition 2 (2) | 2                | 2               | 20             | 87.3              | 87.4                  | 0.1            | 2.5                                 |
| Condition 2 (1) | 1                | 2               | 20             | 85.4              | 85.6                  | 0.2            | 5                                   |
| Condition 2 (2) | 2                | 2               | 20             | 89.9              | 90.1                  | 0.2            | 5                                   |
| Condition 2 (1) | 1                | 2               | 20             | 87.3              | 87.4                  | 0.1            | 2.5                                 |
| Condition 2 (2) | 2                | 2               | 20             | 85.7              | 86.1                  | 0.4            | 10                                  |

Figure 18: Dust-reduction efficiency at measuring points 1 and 2.
dust concentration at the driver’s measuring point 1 decreases. With the increase of air volume, the gap appeared in the pneumatic fog curtain which was originally closed to the heading wall, which caused the front dust to break through the gap of the fog curtain and spread backward, and the dust concentration at measuring point 2 behind the roadheader increased.

6. Conclusion
To solve the dust pollution problem of the driving face, a new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device suitable for a fully mechanized face was designed. The following conclusions were obtained through simulation and experiment:

(1) Using COMSOL to simulate the particle movement at different times, it was found that the dust pollution near the head of the roadheader was serious, and it quickly spread to the working space behind the roadheader. Even under 3 different wind speeds, the dust concentration at the height of 1.5 m is the largest.

(2) Through the self-designed spray atomization experiment platform, the atomization performance of the device was tested, and it was found that the nozzle working angle, jet wind speed, and atomization pressure have effects on the atomization range and atomization radius. Under the conditions of a jet wind speed of 30 m/s, an atomization pressure of 4 MPa, and a nozzle working angle of 75°, the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device obtained the best atomizing radius and sealing performance.

(3) Through the research on the anti-interference and dust suppression performance of the new type of external pneumatic vortex fog curtain dust removal device, it is found that the device is more stable when the air volume of the pressure outlet is lower than 400 m³/min. Under the condition that the ventilation conditions do not interfere with the integrity of the vortex fog screen, the dust-reduction efficiency of the new external pneumatic vortex fog screen dust removal device is above 90%, and the dust-reduction effect is good.
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