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Abstract

Background and Aim: Attempts to use the plant products are to be an appropriate option due to substantial concerns about human health and environmental problems of using synthetic pesticides. Therefore, the cytotoxicity of \textit{Nepeta crispa} essential oil was compared with propoxur against invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines.

Materials and Methods: The cell lines of Sf9 and L929 which were derived from the ovary glands of fall armyworm, \textit{Spodoptera frugiperda} (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and mouse fibroblast cells, respectively, were obtained from the National Cell Bank of Pasteur Institute of Iran. About a number of $2 \times 10^3$ cells were placed into the wells of 96-well plate experiments. Then, appropriate concentrations of essential oil of \textit{N. crispa} plant and propoxur added to the wells. The cells were allowed to grow for 3-5 days and estimated the numbers of cells. The cells of control experiment wells contained only cells with dimethyl sulfoxide. All control and treatment experiments repeated at least four replicates.

Results: Propoxur had negative effects on the viability of both invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines. The cytotoxicity of propoxur against invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines was gradually increased in accordance with propoxur concentrations. The cytotoxicity of \textit{N. crispa} essential oil against vertebrate (L929) cell line was gradually decreased in accordance with plant concentrations, while the cytotoxicity of \textit{N. crispa} essential oil against invertebrate (Sf9) cell line was strongly increased in accordance with plant concentrations.

Conclusion: Plant essential oil not only had no negative effects but also had boosting effects on vertebrate cell viability. Essential oil of \textit{N. crispa} plant had negative effects on invertebrate cell viability with the differences that the products derived from plants possessing of biodegradable and environmentally friendly derivatives, hydrolyzing rapidly in nature, and nearly having no destructive effects on environment, humans, or the mammals.
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Introduction

Attempts to use the plant products as natural pesticides usually based on plant essential oils are considered as a good candidate for the management of arthropod vectors of diseases and agricultural pests due to possessing of readily biodegradable and environmentally friendly derivatives [1-5]. There is a growing interest in the potential use of essential oils as a replacement for traditional herbicides and pesticides. Essential oils are complex natural products of plant origin exhibiting to have insecticidal, attractant, or repellent properties [6-8].

There are substantial concerns about human health and environmental problems of synthetic pesticides. Pesticides have potential side effects despite their importance to public health. Exposures to various types of insecticides may be a risk factor for cancers. Several undesirable effects of pesticides are maybe toxicity to non-target organisms, residues of pesticides, depletion of ozone layer, environmental pollution, and direct toxicity to users [2,9-12]. Insecticide resistance in agricultural pests and vectors of diseases is also maybe as a substantial problem in management programs of the pests and vectors [7,13-19].

One of the most natural aromatic plants in Iran is \textit{Nepeta crispa} Willd. (Lamiales: Lamiaceae) that is popular in Iranian traditional medicine, especially with Hamadan Province people. \textit{N. crispa} have insecticidal activity with antimicrobial and antifungal properties. The \textit{N. crispa} plants are autochthonous of Iran climate, especially Hamadan Province [20-22].

Many studies have been conducted on the insecticidal properties of \textit{N. crispa} plant, but a simultaneous comparative study about the cytotoxicity of essential oil of \textit{N. crispa} with synthetic pesticides...
such as propoxur against the cell lines of invertebrates and vertebrates would be a particular of importance. Therefore, in this study, we compared the cytotoxicity of N. crispa essential oil with propoxur against invertebrates and vertebrates cell lines.

Materials and Methods

Ethical approval

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran, with approval number: IR.UMSHA. REC.1396.320.

Invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell line providing

Invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines were obtained from the National Cell Bank of Pasteur Institute of Iran. Cell line of Sf9 derived from the ovary glands of fall armyworm, Spodoptera frugiperda (Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Cell line of Sf9 is routinely cultured and maintained at 27°C in 5 ml of Grace’s insect cell culture medium in 25 cm² culture flasks, enriched with 10% fetal bovine serum at Pasteur Institute of Iran. The doubling time of Sf9 cells was found to be 18-24 h under optimum conditions. Sf9 invertebrate cells were subcultured every 3 days. Cell line of L929 was derived from mouse fibroblast cells. It was maintained at 37°C in 3 ml of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium Media (Gibco®) in 25 cm² culture flasks, enriched with 10% fetal bovine serum, and buffered with 4% sodium bicarbonate in an atmosphere of 5% carbon dioxide. The doubling time of L929 cells for cultures was approximately 24 h and the cell was subcultured every 6 day [23].

Plant essential oil isolation

Technical grade of propoxur (white crystaline powder 97%) was purchased from Guangdong Company, China (Mainland). The aerial parts (foliage) of N. crispa (Lamiales: Lamiaceae) during their flowering stage were collected from Avicenna Medicinal Herbs Research Center, Hamadan Province of Iran in June 2017. The plant was confirmed by a voucher specimen (no. 72) in the Department of Pharmacognosy, School of Pharmacy, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran. A total of 1000 g powder of shade-dried aerial parts of N. crispa were subjected to hydrodistillation using a Clevenger-type apparatus for 4 h. The essential oil was dehydrated over anhydrous sodium sulfate and transferred into amber-colored vials to store in a refrigerator at 4°C for further work.

Bioassay procedures

Essential oil (0.1 mg) of N. crispa plant was dissolved with 1 ml of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) due to hydrophobic properties and then diluted with sterilized distilled water to prepare the concentrations of 10⁻¹⁰, 10⁻⁹, 10⁻⁸, 10⁻⁷, 10⁻⁶, 10⁻⁵, 10⁻⁴, and 10⁻³ μg/μL containing 0.000097, 0.00097, 0.0097, 0.097, 0.97, 9.7, 97, and 970 μg/μL, respectively. Propoxur technical grade (97%) was dissolved with ethanol 96% to prepare the concentrations of 10⁻¹⁰, 10⁻⁹, 10⁻⁸, 10⁻⁷, 10⁻⁶, 10⁻⁵, 10⁻⁴, and 10⁻³ μg/μL containing 0.000097, 0.00097, 0.0097, 0.097, 0.97, 9.7, 97, and 970 μg/μL, respectively.

To consider the cytotoxicity of essential oil of N. crispa plant and propoxur against invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines, about 2 × 10⁵ cells/100 μl of culture medium were placed into the wells of a 96-well plate of treatment experiments and then appropriate concentrations of N. crispa essential oil and propoxur added to the wells. We allowed the cells to grow for 3-5 days and estimated the number of cells as described. Control cell wells contained only cells with 1 μl/mL of DMSO. All treatment and control experiments repeated at least four replicates.

Cell line number estimation

The base method for cell estimation is the Mossman method, which uses 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-difenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT, tetrazolium, compound). MTT is a quantitative coloring for living cells and cell proliferation, and it is a known method for in vitro cytotoxicity which measures the active metabolism of the cells. In this coloring solution, dehydrogenase enzyme reduced the MTT and produced blue formazan.

The wells of 96-well plates containing invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines were incubated with 10 μ MTT for 3 h at 36°C and 27°C, respectively. After the blue formazan and cells settled out and the supernatant was removed, 100 μ of DMSO was added to any well of 96-well plate, shook for 15 min and then the absorbance of the solution read at 492 nm using ELISA reader [24].

Statistical analysis

The cytotoxicity trend lines of N. crispa essential oil and propoxur against invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines were estimated by Microsoft Excel version 2013. The trend lines were drawn by clicking on graph line distribution and selecting “add trendline” option using procedure described in previous studies [17-28]. The equations and R-squared values of the cytotoxicity trend lines were also calculated by Microsoft Excel. IBM SPSS statistics data editor version 24 was used for any statistical analyses. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for comparing cytotoxicity of essential oil of N. crispa plant and propoxur between control and treatments, and cross treatments of N. crispa plant and propoxur. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Cytotoxicity of N. crispa essential oil and propoxur

Tables-1 and 2 show the data of N. crispa essential oil and propoxur cytotoxicity against invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines, respectively. Figures-1 and 2 show the cytotoxicity of N. crispa essential oil and propoxur against invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines, respectively. Figure-3 shows the cytotoxicity trend lines of N. crispa essential
The cytotoxicity of essential oil of *N. crispa* plant against vertebrate (L929) cell line was gradually decreased with a moderately increasing slope in accordance with essential oil concentrations of *N. crispa* plant from $10^{-10}$ to $10^{-3}$ μg/μL (Figures-1b and 3). Wilcoxon signed-rank test revealed significant differences between the treatments of $10^{-10}$ and $10^{-4}$ essential oil concentrations of *N. crispa* plant against vertebrate (L929) cell line with control (p<0.05) (Table-3 and Figure 1-b). Wilcoxon signed-rank test did not show a significant difference between the treatment of $10^{-3}$ essential oil concentration of

Table-1: Cytotoxicity of *Nepeta crispa* essential oil (ng/μL) against invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines.

| Replicate | R₁ | R₂ | R₃ | R₄ | R₅ | R₆ | R₇ | R₈ |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Control   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.898 | 0.731 | 0.429 | 0.564 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.244 | 0.276 | 0.273 | 0.265 | 0.154 | 0.104 | 0.130 | 0.159 |
| Treatment Concentration |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| $10^{-10}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.769 | 0.561 | 0.865 | 0.732 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.594 | 0.556 | 0.578 | 0.278 | 0.858 | 0.862 | 0.935 | 0.873 |
| $10^{-9}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.699 | 0.34 | 0.431 | 0.569 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.548 | 0.525 | 0.572 | 0.538 | 0.837 | 0.867 | 0.876 | – |
| $10^{-8}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.692 | 0.986 | 0.593 | 0.496 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.554 | 0.545 | 0.538 | 0.580 | 0.813 | 0.827 | 0.865 | 0.837 |
| $10^{-7}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.239 | 0.292 | 0.268 | 0.277 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.560 | 0.588 | 0.575 | 0.75 | 0.83 | 0.859 | 0.872 | 0.854 |
| $10^{-6}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.279 | 0.322 | 0.301 | 0.269 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.578 | 0.588 | 0.583 | 0.842 | 0.861 | 0.893 | 0.865 | – |
| $10^{-5}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.242 | 0.24 | 0.262 | 0.243 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.598 | 0.546 | 0.87 | 0.691 | 0.695 | – | – | – |
| $10^{-4}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.192 | 0.217 | 0.269 | 0.235 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.861 | 0.909 | 0.101 | 0.878 | 0.723 | 0.699 | 0.707 | – |
| $10^{-3}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.191 | 0.235 | 0.222 | 0.231 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.573 | 0.546 | 0.545 | 0.668 | – | – | – | – |

Cell line of Sf9 derived from the ovary gland of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Cell line of L929 derived from mouse fibroblast cells. R=Replicate

Table-2: Cytotoxicity of propoxur (μg/μL) against invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines.

| Replicate | R₁ | R₂ | R₃ | R₄ | R₅ | R₆ | R₇ | R₈ |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Control   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.429 | 0.256 | 0.274 | 0.307 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.429 | 0.256 | 0.274 | 0.307 | 0.377 | 0.319 | 0.287 | 0.226 |
| Treatment Concentration |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| $10^{-10}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.402 | 0.254 | 0.27 | 0.249 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.402 | 0.254 | 0.27 | 0.249 | 0.213 | 0.238 | 0.243 | 0.208 |
| $10^{-9}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.263 | 0.232 | 0.264 | 0.390 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.263 | 0.242 | 0.244 | 0.253 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.228 | 0.236 |
| $10^{-8}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.267 | 0.257 | 0.25 | 0.258 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.255 | 0.257 | 0.25 | 0.245 | 0.183 | 0.215 | 0.221 | 0.206 |
| $10^{-7}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.244 | 0.272 | 0.263 | 0.235 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.244 | 0.247 | 0.243 | 0.194 | 0.215 | 0.219 | – | – |
| $10^{-6}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.275 | 0.225 | 0.264 | 0.236 | – | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.243 | 0.225 | 0.23 | 0.237 | 0.183 | 0.211 | 0.217 | – |
| $10^{-5}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.243 | 0.247 | 0.243 | 0.237 | 0.237 | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.238 | 0.229 | 0.225 | 0.223 | 0.2 | 0.199 | 0.226 | – |
| $10^{-4}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.226 | 0.225 | 0.221 | 0.229 | 0.187 | 0.209 | 0.216 | – |
| L929      | 0.226 | 0.219 | 0.221 | 0.222 | – | – | – | – |
| $10^{-3}$ |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sf9       | 0.212 | 0.225 | 0.219 | 0.211 | 0.215 | – | – | – |
| L929      | 0.212 | 0.225 | 0.219 | 0.211 | – | – | – | – |

Cell line of Sf9 derived from the ovary gland of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Cell line of L929 derived from mouse fibroblast cells. R=Replicate
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N. crispa plant against vertebrate (L929) cell line with control (p=0.068) (Table-3), even though there was a significant difference at p<0.001 level between the treatments of 10\(^{-3}\) essential oil concentration of N. crispa plant against vertebrate (L929) cell line with control. Wilcoxon signed-rank test did not show significant differences between the treatments of 10\(^{-10}\) and 10\(^{-3}\) essential oil concentrations of N. crispa plant against invertebrate (Sf9) cell line with control (p>0.05) (Table-2 and Figure-1a), even though there was a significant difference at p<0.001 level between the treatments of 10\(^{-10}\) and 10\(^{-3}\) essential oil concentrations of N. crispa plant against vertebrate (L929) cell line with control (p<0.05) (Table-3 and Figure-2b).

Comparing of N. crispa essential oil and propoxur cytotoxicity

The cytotoxicity of propoxur against invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines was gradually increased with an intensively increasing slope in accordance with propoxur concentrations of N. crispa plant, while the cytotoxicity of essential oil of N. crispa plant against vertebrate (L929) cell line was gradually decreased with a moderately increasing...
slope in accordance with essential oil concentrations of *N. crispa* plant. The cytotoxicity of propoxur against invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines was gradually increased with a relatively low decreasing slope in accordance with propoxur concentrations (Figure-3).

Wilcoxon signed-rank test did not show significant differences between separately treatments and cross treatments of *N. crispa* plant and propoxur concentrations against invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines, and invertebrate (Sf9) cell line, respectively (p>0.05), even though there were significant differences between cross treatments (10⁻¹⁰-10⁻⁴) of *N. crispa* plant and propoxur concentrations against vertebrate (L929) cell line (p<0.05) (Table-3).

**Discussion**

Based on the results of the study, propoxur had negative effects on the viability of both invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines. These results confirm the reports of the previous studies that concluded insecticides decreased growth of the invertebrate and vertebrate cell lines [29,30]. Compared with the propoxur treatment experiments, the highest rate of cell viability was observed in the control group which did not receive any toxic agent. The viability of cell lines which were exposed to the different concentrations of propoxur was lower than control group and decreased with increasing concentrations of propoxur. The negative effects of propoxur on the viability of both invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines were also confirmed by Wilcoxon signed-rank test by observing significant differences between the treatments of 10⁻⁵-10⁻³ and 10⁻⁸-10⁻³ concentrations of propoxur with control group against the invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines, respectively; at p<0.05 or p<0.001 levels (Table-3).

Unlike propoxur, essential oil of *N. crispa* plant did not have negative effects on the viability of vertebrate (L929) cell line. Compared with the control
### Table-3: Results of Wilcoxon signed-rank test between cytotoxicity of *N. crispa* essential oil and propoxur against invertebrate (Sf9) and vertebrate (L929) cell lines.

#### Descriptive statistics

| Essential oil of *N. crispa* plant | Propoxur |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
| **Concentration** | **Mean** | **Standard deviation** | **Mean** | **Standard deviation** |
| | SF9 | L929 | Control Treatment | SF9 | L929 |
|------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10^{-10}         | 0.7318 | 0.1269 | 0.6918 | 0.2270 | 0.0223 | 0.109 | 0.001 | 0.1748 |
| 10^{-9}          | 0.5098 | 0.1574 | 0.7080 | 0.1748 | 0.2873 | 0.0701 | 0.2370 | 0.0173 |
| 10^{-8}          | 0.6918 | 0.2119 | 0.6949 | 0.1515 | 0.2580 | 0.0085 | 0.2290 | 0.0269 |
| 10^{-7}          | 0.2690 | 0.0223 | 0.7360 | 0.1391 | 0.2580 | 0.0198 | 0.2270 | 0.0212 |
| 10^{-6}          | 0.2928 | 0.0236 | 0.7443 | 0.1516 | 0.2500 | 0.0354 | 0.2209 | 0.0200 |
| 10^{-5}          | 0.2468 | 0.0102 | 0.6471 | 0.1039 | 0.2437 | 0.0031 | 0.2200 | 0.0148 |
| 10^{-4}          | 0.2283 | 0.0324 | 0.7522 | 0.3007 | 0.2358 | 0.0170 | 0.2161 | 0.0145 |
| 10^{-3}          | 0.2198 | 0.0199 | 0.9065 | 0.3632 | 0.2220 | 0.0036 | 0.2164 | 0.0057 |

#### Wilcoxon signed-rank test

**Analysis between treatments and control**

| Essential oil of *N. crispa* plant | Mean ranks | Z | p-value (two tailed) |
|-----------------------------------|------------|---|---------------------|
| SF9                               | Negative  | Positive |                   |
| 10^{-10}                          | 2.0        | 3.0       | -0.365b            | 0.715 |
| 10^{-9}                           | 3.5        | 1.5       | -0.730b            | 0.465 |
| 10^{-8}                           | 2.0        | 3.0       | -0.365             | 0.715 |
| 10^{-7}                           | 2.5        | 0.001     | -1.826e            | 0.068 |
| 10^{-6}                           | 2.5        | 0.001     | -1.826e            | 0.068 |
| 10^{-5}                           | 2.5        | 0.001     | -1.826e            | 0.068 |
| 10^{-4}                           | 2.5        | 0.001     | -1.826e            | 0.068 |
| 10^{-3}                           | 2.5        | 0.001     | -1.826e            | 0.068 |
| L929                              | 10^{-10}   | 0.001     | 4.5                | 0.012 |
|                                  | 10^{-9}    | 0.001     | 4.0                | 0.018 |
|                                  | 10^{-8}    | 0.001     | 4.5                | 0.012 |
|                                  | 10^{-7}    | 0.001     | 4.0                | 0.012 |
|                                  | 10^{-6}    | 0.001     | 4.5                | 0.012 |
|                                  | 10^{-5}    | 0.001     | 4.5                | 0.012 |
|                                  | 10^{-4}    | 1.0       | 4.5                | 0.028 |
|                                  | 10^{-3}    | 0.001     | 2.5                | 0.068 |

**Analysis between the treatments of essential oil of *N. crispa* plant and propoxur**

| SF9 | 10^{-10} | 0.001 | 2.5 | -1.826a | 0.068 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.0001a | 1.000 |
| L929| 10^{-10} | 0.001 | 2.5 | -1.826a | 0.068 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.0001a | 1.000 |
| 10^{-9} | 3.0 | 2.0 | -1.069b | 0.715 | 1.0 | 2.5 | -1.069b | 0.285 |
| 10^{-8} | 2.0 | 2.7 | -1.095b | 0.273 | 0.001 | 1.5 | -1.342b | 0.180 |
| 10^{-7} | 2.5 | 0.001 | -1.826e | 0.068 | 0.001 | 2.0 | -1.604b | 0.109 |
| 10^{-6} | 2.5 | 0.001 | -1.826e | 0.068 | 1.0 | 2.5 | -1.069b | 0.285 |
| 10^{-5} | 3.0 | 1.0 | -1.841b | 0.066 | 0.001 | 2.5 | -1.342b | 0.180 |
| 10^{-4} | 2.5 | 0.001 | -1.826e | 0.068 | 2.0 | 2.7 | -1.095b | 0.273 |

**Cross analysis between the treatments of essential oil of *N. crispa* plant and propoxur**

| 10^{-10} | 0.000 | 2.500 | -1.826a | 0.068 | 0.001 | 4.500 | -2.521b | 0.012 |
| 10^{-9} | 0.000 | 2.500 | -1.826a | 0.068 | 0.001 | 4.000 | -2.371b | 0.018 |
| 10^{-8} | 0.000 | 2.500 | -1.826a | 0.068 | 0.001 | 4.500 | -2.524b | 0.012 |
| 10^{-7} | 1.500 | 2.831 | -1.289b | 0.197 | 0.001 | 3.500 | -2.201b | 0.028 |
| 10^{-6} | 0.000 | 2.500 | -1.826a | 0.068 | 0.001 | 4.000 | -2.366b | 0.018 |
| 10^{-5} | 2.000 | 3.000 | -0.365c | 0.715 | 0.001 | 4.000 | -2.371b | 0.018 |
| 10^{-4} | 3.250 | 1.750 | -0.552c | 0.581 | 1.000 | 4.500 | -2.197b | 0.028 |
| 10^{-3} | 4.000 | 2.000 | -0.365c | 0.715 | 0.001 | 2.500 | -1.826b | 0.068 |

*The sum of negative ranks equals the sum of positive ranks, b* based on negative ranks and c* based on positive ranks.
The p-value of significant (p<0.05) is shown in bold font style. Cell line of SF9 derived from the ovary gland of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Cell line of L929 derived from mouse fibroblast cells. R=Replicate. *N. crispa*: *Neepeta crispa*
group, the highest rate of cell viability was observed in the experiment treatments which were treated with essential oil of *N. crispa* plant. The viability of vertebrate (L929) cell line which was exposed to different essential oil concentrations of *N. crispa* plant was higher than control group and increased with increasing essential oil concentrations of *N. crispa* plant. The boosting effects of experiments of *N. crispa* plant on the viability of vertebrate (L929) cell line were also confirmed by Wilcoxon signed-rank test by observing significant differences between the treatments of $10^{-10}$ and $10^{-3}$ essential oil concentrations of *N. crispa* plant with propoxur treatments of $10^{-10}$-$10^{-3}$ concentrations and control group against the vertebrate (L929) cell line at $p<0.05$ or $p<0.001$ levels. In addition to some previous benefits of the natural products deriving from natural plants [31,32], this boosting effects of essential oil of *N. crispa* plant on the viability of cell line of vertebrates may be considered as the new benefits of the products deriving from natural plants.

Like propoxur, essential oil of *N. crispa* plant had negative effects on the viability of invertebrate (Sf9) cell lines with the differences that the products deriving from natural plants possessing of readily biodegradable and environmentally friendly derivatives, hydrolyzing rapidly in nature, and nearly having no destructive effects on environment, humans, or the mammals [1,2]. In addition, the application of essential oil concentrations of *N. crispa* plant (ng/μL) was 1000 folds lower than concentrations of propoxur (μg/μL). The viability of invertebrate (Sf9) cell lines which were exposed to essential oil concentrations of $10^{-9}$ and $10^{-7}$-$10^{-3}$ *N. crispa* plant was lower than control group and decreased with increasing essential oil concentrations of *N. crispa* plant.

The negative effects of essential oil of *N. crispa* plant on the viability of invertebrate (Sf9) cell lines were also confirmed by Wilcoxon signed-rank test by observing a significant difference between the treatments of $10^{-7}$ and $10^{-3}$ essential oil concentrations of *N. crispa* plant with control group against the invertebrate (Sf9) cell lines at $p<0.001$ level. In addition to that, the food chain and ecosystem contamination with pesticides, non-target organism extinction, insecticide resistance of insects, mutation, and pollution of water and soil have been critical problems using pesticides in the past decades. However, with the application of the products deriving from natural plants, there is no concern about their potential side effects such as toxicity to non-target organisms, residues of pesticides, depletion of ozone layer, environmental pollution, and direct toxicity to users. We will not encounter probably some psychotic disorders, neurological diseases and fatigue of muscles or cancers, and face up to severe insecticide effects on performance of vertebrate reproductive system [2,9-12]. Some studies
did not encounter a substantial problem of insecticide resistance in arthropods of the vectors of diseases and agricultural pests [7,13-19].

Conclusion
Based on the results of the study, propoxur had negative effects on the viability of both invertebrate and vertebrate cell lines. Unlike propoxur, essential oil of \( Eruca sativa \) did not have negative effects on the viability of vertebrate cell line. The viability of vertebrate cell line was increased with increasing essential oil concentrations of \( N. crispa \) plant. This boosting effect of \( N. crispa \) plant on the viability of vertebrate cell line may be considered as the new benefits of the products deriving from natural plants.
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