Design and performance of the South Pole Acoustic Test Setup
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Abstract
The South Pole Acoustic Test Setup (SPATS) was built to evaluate the acoustic characteristics of the South Pole ice in the 10–100 kHz frequency range, for the purpose of assessing the feasibility of an acoustic neutrino detection array at the South Pole. The SPATS hardware consists of four vertical strings deployed in the upper 500 m of the South Pole ice cap. The strings form a trapezoidal array with a maximum baseline of 543 m. Each string has seven stages equipped with one transmitter and one sensor module (glaciophone). Sound is detected or generated by piezoelectric ceramic elements inside the modules. Analogue signals are sent to the surface on electric cables where they are digitized by a PC-based data acquisition system. The data from all strings are collected on a central computer in the IceCube Laboratory from where they are sent to a central data storage facility via a satellite link or stored locally on tape. A technical overview of SPATS and its performance is presented.

1. Motivation and system requirements

The sensitive volume needed for the detection of the predicted small cosmogenic neutrino flux, and the study of its angular distribution, is orders of magnitude larger than the instrumented volumes of the current Cherenkov neutrino telescopes [1,2]. New detection methods that are sensitive to the radio or acoustic signatures of a UHE neutrino interaction would allow a more sparse instrumentation and therefore a larger sensitive volume at reasonable cost [3–6]. The feasibility and specific design of an acoustic array as part of a hybrid optical/radio/acoustic neutrino detector, first suggested and simulated in Ref. [7], depends upon the acoustic properties of the South Pole ice in the concerned frequency region 10–100 kHz. The South Pole Acoustic Test Setup (SPATS) was built to evaluate the attenuation length, speed of sound, background noise level and transient noise rate of the South Pole ice and was deployed in the 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 polar seasons.

2. Geometry

The permanently installed hardware of SPATS consists of four instrumented cables, called strings, that were deployed vertically in the upper 500 m of selected IceCube boreholes to form a trapezoidal array. The current geometrical configuration (see Figs. 1 and 2) is the result of a compromise between the geometry necessary to achieve the physics goals and the availability of IceCube holes and personnel availability during deployment.

Measuring, for example, the acoustic properties of the ice both parallel and perpendicular to the flow of the glacier permits the investigation of possible anisotropies of the acoustic properties...
of the ice. For an attenuation length analysis, it is important to have sufficient variation in transmitter to sensor distances so that the fit of amplitude versus distance is well constrained. The uncertainty on the horizontal position of each string is fixed and known to be ±0.5 m, so that the relative error decreases with increasing string-to-string distance (so-called baseline). The vertical distance between the acoustic transducers was chosen to increase with depth following the measured and predicted temperature and density profiles of the ice [8,9]. Table 1 gives the deployment details and baselines for SPATS.

Fig. 2 shows a schematic of the SPATS array with its in-ice and on-ice components. Each of the four strings has seven acoustic stages at specified depths. Table 1 shows the corresponding levels for each string. It was decided to leave out the two most shallow instrumented levels on String D after the 2007 data set hinted towards less optimal acoustic conditions in those upper levels (higher background noise and shorter attenuation length). String D has second-generation SPATS transmitters and sensors. In addition, the Hydrophone for Acoustic Detection at South Pole (HADES, see Ref. [10]) was deployed in two levels of String D (190 m and 430 m). The acoustic stages are discussed in more detail in the following sections.

3. In-ice components

Each acoustic stage consists of a separate transmitter and sensor module (glaciophone). All the electronic circuits are located

| String | Deployed       | Baseline (m) | Instrumented depths (m)          |
|--------|----------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| A      | 14th January 2007 (A–B) | 125          | 80, 100, 140, 190, 250, 320, 400 |
| B      | 11th January 2007 (B–C)  | 302          | 80, 100, 140, 190, 250, 320, 400 |
| C      | 22nd December 2006 (C–A) | 421          | 80, 100, 140, 190, 250, 320, 400 |
| D      | 24th December 2007 (D–C) | 543          | 140, 190(H), 250, 320, 400, 430(H), 500 |

Fig. 1. SPATS strings (large dots) overlaid on the IceCube geometry as of February 2009 (small dots). The string identification letter (A, B, C or D) is given followed by its corresponding IceCube hole number. The open circles, open squares and open triangles show the positions of the 2007/2008, 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 pinger holes (the pinger is a retrievable acoustic transmitter, see Section 3.5) with the corresponding IceCube hole number.

Fig. 2. Schematic of the SPATS array, with the four strings consisting of seven acoustic stages.
in steel\(^2\) pressure housings which have an outer diameter of 101.6 mm and a wall thickness of 5.74 mm. The transmitter and sensor modules are joined together with three ropes, allowing the transmitter module to be mounted about 45 cm above the sensor module (see Fig. 3). The ropes are attached at the top and bottom to a steel nut that is threaded and mounted on a bolt. This bolt also runs through a plastic perforated hollow sphere. The holes allow for water to flow in so that the spheres remain intact at least until freeze-in. These spacer balls are 16 cm in diameter and assure a minimum distance of the stage to the IceCube main cable and the wall of the hole. An entire stage is about 1.5 m long and maximum 16 cm wide with a total weight of 10 kg.

Each acoustic stage is connected to an Acoustic Junction Box (AJB), located at the surface, by two shielded cables (one for each module) each consisting of four twisted pairs. All in-ice cables are threaded around a support rope which carries the weight of the stages. The depth of the string was monitored during deployment by reading out the pressure sensor that is fitted inside a hole in each of the bottom-most transmitter modules. The resulting precision in the depth measurement is estimated to be \( \pm 2 \text{ m} \).

### 3.1. The transmitter module

The SPATS transducers acoustic elements all consist of the same lead zirconium titanate (PZT) material, namely PIC151, manufactured by Pi-Ceramics. This is a soft piezo-ceramic material with a high piezoelectric charge constant \( (d_{33} = 500 \text{ pC/N}) \), high permittivity and a high coupling factor.

The transmitter module consists of a steel pressure vessel that houses a high-voltage pulse generator board (hereafter HV-board) and a temperature sensor. The external transmitter is connected to the steel housing by a short high-voltage cable. For the deepest stages, the temperature sensor is replaced with a commercial pressure sensor. Both sensors give a linear output current range of 4–20 mA, which translates to a temperature range of \(-70 \degree \text{C} \) to \(+10 \degree \text{C}\) and a pressure range of 0–60 bar. The HV-board hosts a circuit designed to pulse the piezoelectric ceramic with a high voltage pulse. An LC circuit is used as an intermediate stage to locally store the charge necessary for the generation of the HV pulse. The total charge accumulated is determined by two remotely controllable parameters: the length of the TTL trigger signal during which the LC circuit is charged and the DC steering voltage. When the TTL pulse goes to a high state, a current (defined by the DC steering voltage), flows across the LC stage. When the TTL pulse goes to a low state, the charge accumulated is discharged onto the piezo and an acoustic pulse is emitted. The charging voltage is variable and is provided by a voltage regulation board.

Gaussian shaped HV pulses with a FWHM of about 17 \( \mu \text{s} \) and a variable maximum up to 1.5 kV are sent to the piezo-ceramic element. The resulting HV pulse is read back as a differential signal (HVRB). This allows effects of cable length and temperature to be studied and taken into account in subsequent analyses. Fig. 4(a) shows an averaged HV pulse, averaged over 10 individual pulses, generated with a first-generation SPATS transmitter module. This shows a very high repetition stability. These pulses are triggered by TTL signals of 5 ms duration. Fig. 4(b) shows the Fourier spectrum for a waveform from HADES (see Section 3.3) listening to a String B transmitter (BT4). The SPATS transmitters typically output most power in the 20–60 kHz range, although the variations of the actual shape of the emission spectra are large. The detected waveforms are very stable for a single transmitter sensor combination. The String D transmitters have an optimized HV-circuit design which results in sinusoidal half-wave HV pulses with a FWHM of about 50 \( \mu \text{s} \) and higher pulse amplitudes. These pulses are triggered by TTL signals of typically 2 ms duration.

The ring-shaped piezo-ceramic element is cast in epoxy for electrical insulation and positioned \(~13 \text{ cm}\) below the steel housing. Azimuthally isotropic emission is the motivation for the

---

\(^2\) Stainless steel grade 304/1.4301.
use of ring shaped piezo-ceramics. The actual emission directivity of such an element was measured in azimuthal and polar directions, see Section 7.3. The ring-shaped ceramic element is not isotropic in the polar plane. The HV cable that connects this element to the transmitter module has limited flexibility and an extra rigidity was added before deployment to avoid bending. Still, it can be expected that the piezo has a certain angle to the horizontal plane. In this case the amplitude variation in the horizontal plane will be larger than that expected from a perfectly horizontally mounted piezo.

3.2. The sensor module

The sensor module has three channels placed 120° apart in order to ensure good angular coverage. A channel consists of a cylindrical (10 mm diameter and 5 mm height) piezo-ceramic element of the PZT type that is pressed against the inner wall of the steel housing. The ceramic element is directly soldered to a three-stage amplifier, the first stage of which consists of a low-noise amplifier\(^3\) with an amplification factor of 100, a large bandwidth and a 3 kHz high-pass filter to suppress low frequencies. The second amplifier stage is an AC coupled inverted amplifier that amplifies the signal by a factor of 100 and suppresses frequencies above 100 kHz. The last stage is a line driver: it prepares the signal for transport over the long in-ice cable by providing a differential output with a gain of 1. Two twisted cables then transport the complementary signals to the differential input of an ADC that is located in the data-acquisition system on the surface. This reduces noise by rejecting common-mode interference. The total amplification factor of the chain of amplifiers is \(10^4\).

The 21 first-generation sensor modules that were deployed on Strings A–C have a central bolt that is connected to three screws. These preload screws put pressure on the ceramic element through the amplifier board to ensure good contact with the steel housing and avoid deformation of the module. This introduces a mechanical coupling between the three different channels of the sensor module. It was therefore decided to replace the preload screws with a metal ring in the second-generation sensor modules on String D. Fig. 5 shows pictures of open first Fig. 5(a) and second Fig. 5(b)) generation SPATS sensor modules.

3.3. HADES

The Hydrophone for Acoustic Detection at South Pole (HADES) was developed in order to offer an alternative in-ice sensor with a different dynamical range. HADES-A and HADES-B (identical design) were deployed on String D at depths of 190 m and 430 m. A ring-shaped piezo-ceramic element is connected to a two-stage differential amplifier that is placed inside the ring. The assembly is then coated with resin (two component hard
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\(^3\) AD754JR.
polyurethane). The choice of resin was made after a series of laboratory tests that investigated the resistance of the material to temperatures down to −85 °C. Also, the acoustic impedance matching was calculated from the measured sound speed for each material. Fig. 5(c) shows a picture of the HADES sensor.

3.4. Naming conventions

The SPATS strings were named Strings A–D. The three sensor channels of each sensor module are each read out by a different fADC board. Therefore, the sensor channels are named channel 0, 1 or 2, based on the fADC board to which they are connected. A sensor channel is named X SY-Z, pointing to the sensor channel Z of the sensor module at position Y on String X. The naming convention for a transmitter is X TY: the transmitter on String X at position Y. Stage positions Y are numbered 1–7 from the top of the string to the bottom. Channel 0 of the top sensor of String A is designated as AS1-0.

3.5. Pinger

In addition to the permanently installed instrumentation which has been described above, a retrievable transmitter, called pinger, was used at the South Pole in the 2007/2008, 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 seasons. A description of the pinger can be found in Refs. [11,12].

4. On-ice components

4.1. The acoustic junction box

The AJB is a robust aluminium box (dimension: 30 × 50 × 80 cm for Strings A–C and slightly larger for String D) that is situated above each acoustic string and buried under roughly 3 m of snow. It is split into two compartments; one holds the connectors from the in-ice cables. These are connected to wall-mounted connector-sockets (patch wall). The other compartment is sealed watertight and contains the electronic components (see Fig. 6). All in-ice signals are first routed through a printed circuit board (PCB) from where they are distributed to the DAQ-boards of a low-power industrial PC, called the string-PC. A power distribution unit (PDU) is used to distribute power to the DAQ boards and the master-PC located in the ICL by two cables (quads) of the IceCube surface cable. They each have two twisted wire-pairs: DC-power and dedicated surface cables to the IceCube Laboratory (ICL). Signal losses and cost are therefore kept to a minimum. Each AJB is connected to the master-PC located in the ICL by two cables (quads) of the IceCube surface cable. They each have two twisted wire-pairs: DC-power and SDSL communication on one quad and DC-power and a GPS signal on the other. All patch cables are shielded and the shield is connected to the AJB shield. The DC power is automatically inhibited in hardware and firmware if the cable shields are not intact.

4.2. The string-PC

The string-PC is a stack of IDAN (Intelligent Data Acquisition Node) PC/104 modules by RTD. It has a compact modular design and its splash-proof rugged aluminium enclosure acts as a heat sink so that no fan is required. It is rated to perform from −40 °C to +85 °C. The peripheral components are controlled by a CPU module with a 600 MHz processor and 512 MB RAM. The Linux operating system is installed on a flash-memory (wide temperature disk-on-module (DOM)). This type of solid-state memory has the advantage that there are no moving parts, unlike a regular hard drive. The drawback is that each memory-cell of the DOM can be accessed for writing a limited number of times. If too many cells fail the disk will become unaccessible. For this reason, all runtime data are stored locally on a temporary RAM-disk. This means that part of the memory of the CPU module is treated as if it were a disk drive. Hence, the read–write cycles to the DOM are kept to a minimum. The 12-bit, 8 channel fADC boards have a maximum sampling frequency of 1.25 MHz. There are three fADCs for each string, which means that all three channels of one sensor module can be read out simultaneously at a maximum sampling frequency of 1.25 MHz. Theoretically, the fADCs should be able to read out all 21 sensor-channels of the string at maximum ~179 kHz sampling frequency. The three boards are linked through a SyncBus (RTD) connection which allows the simultaneous recording of samples (using the same ADC clock of one of the boards). Together with one slow ADC/DAC board with a sampling frequency of 500 kHz, the transmitters can be controlled and the temperature and pressure sensors can be read out.

A relay board has 16 relays which allows the power for each sensor, transmitter and the power to the temperature and pressure sensors to be switched on and off individually. The average power consumption per string is low and varies from ~35 W (no in-ice modules powered on) to ~96 W (all in-ice modules powered-on). All these components were tested at the expected low temperatures (around −55 °C) and several cold boot cycles of the system were successfully performed prior to deployment. The string-PCs have been powered-on without problems after extended power-outages at the South Pole.

The AJB allows for over 90 analogue channels to be controlled and read out without the need to transport the analogue signals over dedicated surface cables to the IceCube Laboratory (ICL). Signal losses and cost are therefore kept to a minimum. Each AJB is connected to the master-PC located in the ICL by two cables (quads) of the IceCube surface cable. They each have two twisted wire-pairs: DC-power and SDSL communication on one quad and DC-power and a GPS signal on the other. All patch cables are shielded and the shield is connected to the AJB shield. The DC power is automatically inhibited in hardware and firmware if the cable shields are not intact.

4.3. The master-PC

The master-PC is a rackable 4U HP Proliant DL380 series with dual 1 GHz Pentium-3 processors and a 12-slot PCI backplane. Two Acopian switching regulated power supplies connected in series supply 96 VDC. They are cooled independently by internal
dual fans. A symmetric DSL connection is assured by Nexcomm Nexpeed NM220G modems. These SDSL modems provide symmetrical data rates of a maximum of 2.3 Mbps for a distance of up to 3 km over a regular wire-pair. In situ testing has shown that the baseline ping-delay to be around 1.5 ms for all four strings. A GPS-based IRIG-B (100 pps) time coding signal, provided by a Meinberg GPS PCI card (GPS169PCI) guarantees absolute time stamping. The GPS clock is specified to produce IRIG-B rising edges within $\pm 2 \mu$s of absolute GPS time. The delay introduced in the IRIG-B signals during propagation from master-PC to string-PC is a few $\mu$s. Each string has a dedicated PCI control board (SPATS Hub Service Board: SHSB) and ethernet card. The SHSB routes the DSL communication, GPS signal and power to the two surface cable quads. The SHSB firmware can be used to power on and off the strings, as well as to monitor the voltage, current and connection of the wire pairs. All minimum and maximum voltages and currents can be set individually and the power is automatically cut-off the instant one of the thresholds is crossed. The master-PC is accessible through the local ICL network. Remote access to that network is only possible when the satellite link with the South Pole Amundson-Scott station is active. Currently, all communication and data-transfer for the entire station are executed over satellites, with a total of 8 h of visibility. All SPATS-data are immediately transferred from the string-PCs to the master-PC where they are compressed and stored until transferred. A specialized shell script then prepares different data streams for both satellite transfer and tape archiving. Data transfer capabilities are very limited at the South Pole. SPATS has been assigned a maximum of 150 MB of satellite-transferred data per day. It can take up to five days for the data to arrive on the IceCube data-servers after the data are picked-up by the satellite system. All data that are not transferred automatically go to tapes that are brought back from South Pole every year. In addition to the SPATS system at the South Pole, two Northern Hemisphere test-systems also exist: String Z and String E. String Z consists of a complete string-PC that is connected to a desktop-PC (simulating the master-PC) through an SDSL connection. It is possible to connect SPATS sensors, transmitters or a function generator. String E consists of a CPU-board and an fADC board to which a function generator can be attached directly. Both test-systems have been extensively used for DAQ software development.

5. System performance

5.1. fADC performance

The temperature of each fADC board is influenced by both external (weather, station-wide power outages) and internal (data-taking modes) factors. The fADC temperatures (and therefore the AJB temperature) take about 12 h to stabilize after an extended power outage. Over the course of an entire year, the fADC temperature changes by a maximum of 20 °C whereas the South Pole surface temperatures fluctuates by more than 35 °C. The insulating snow that surrounds the AJB acts as a buffer to the extreme temperatures: the temperature fluctuations are smaller and have a delay of about 1 month, see Fig. 7.

Each fADC has a maximum sampling frequency of 1.25 MHz. The fADC clocks were found to drift at a rate that is typically several parts per million, this means that the fADC will not sample the waveform at the requested (nominal) frequency but a slightly higher or lower one. The cumulative amount of drift causes decoherence by averaging of SPATS transmitter and pinger pulses if the nominal rather than true sampling frequency is used. This clock drift effect can be accounted for by using the IRIG-B GPS signal since the latter is recorded simultaneously with each sensor channel recording. It is therefore possible to determine the actual sampling frequency at the time of the recording. This is the sampling frequency used for subsequent analyses.

5.2. Current system status

The status of the sensor channels can easily be assessed by investigation of the ADC-count histogram for noise. Currently 6 out of a total of 80 sensor channels are continuously saturated (AS3 and CS1) and disregarded for analysis. The status of the transmitters can be assessed by looking at their HVRB. Most of the transmitters from Strings A–C have evolved after their deployment in the ice from smooth HVRB-pulses to more structured ones. The pulses remain very reproducible although the amplitudes typically have decreased. Currently, all but one of the SPATS transmitters are operational.

6. Data acquisition

6.1. Data format

All strings run exactly the same software at any given time. An electronic logbook keeps track of all activity on the master and string-PCs.

All waveform data are recorded in a binary format and is automatically assigned an incremental run-number. A SPATS run consists of a certain number of events that can each contain a sensor waveform and/or transmitter HVRB waveform. A waveform consists of samples that contain both the IRIG-B and ADC counts so that each sample has precise time stamping.

6.1.1. System monitoring data-taking

The monitoring data allows the basic system parameters to be controlled for both the master-PC and the string-PCs. The string-PC monitoring script runs once per hour and collects the following data:

1. PTS monitoring: the pressure and temperature sensors inside the transmitter modules are read,
2. fADC temperatures: the internal temperature sensor is read out for all fADC boards,
3. Network Time Protocol (NTP) variables: Jitter on the system time NTP synchronization is monitored. The jitter is a measure of the phase noise in the time received from the server, if the time queries happen at uneven intervals, the jitter is high,
4. The string-PC DOM and RAM-disk status.

The daily runlog keeps track of the start- and stop-times of all runs. The master-PC monitoring script runs each hour and collects the following data:

1. power monitoring: the status of the wire pairs for all SPATS surface cables is monitored,
2. ping-delays: the average ping time between the string-PCs and the master-PC is recorded,
3. the master-PC hard disk status,
4. the status of the NTP server on the master-PC.

For satellite times, see for example http://ice.rsmas.miami.edu/access.phtml.
The results of the system monitoring data-taking are compiled in an email (monitor-mail) and sent over the Iridium cellular satellite network during satellite downtimes so that action can be taken right from the start of the next satellite pass. In addition, all data-transfer details are compiled in another email. Herein, the total data-transfer rate for that day and the amount of data that went to the local taping system, without being transferred to the north, are given.

6.1.2. Acoustic data-taking

There are two main C-programs that can be used for a variety of acoustic data-taking modes. In most modes, the three channels of each sensor module are recorded simultaneously and the data-taking loops over all stages of the string. This scheme allows each sensor channel to be recorded at a sampling frequency of 200 kHz. The data taking modes are:

- **Untriggered noise**: The noise waveform is recorded for a short duration (currently 0.1 s) at a sampling frequency of 200 kHz. These full waveforms are used for the absolute noise level analysis, since they retain all frequency information up to 100 kHz.

- **Triggered noise**: If the number of ADC counts on any of the 12 monitored channels (three on each string: one for each ADC board) exceeds a certain level above noise, we record a 5 ms window of data (1001 ADC samples at 200 kHz) centred on the trigger in that channel. The trigger level is defined as $5.2 \sigma_i$ (symmetrically around the channels mean noise value), where $\sigma_i$ is the width of the noise distribution of the respective channel. The resulting trigger rate is roughly stable and of the order of a few triggers every minute for each of the monitored channels. Most of these events are Gaussian noise events, where only one sample is outside the trigger boundaries. The transient events are processed off-line and analysed for time-coincidence clustering. This also allows monitoring of the fADC clock drifts and the recording of noise-histograms during transient data-taking.

- **Untriggered noise histograms**: It was found that it was desirable to have a way of monitoring the noise level for all sensor channels without having to save the entire waveform for each channel. Indeed, this last option uses a large amount of disk space and DSL transfer time. Therefore, the noise is additionally recorded in the form of an ADC-count histogram.

- **Intra-stage, intra-string and inter-string**: A sensor records the acoustic pulses originating from the transmitter on the same stage, same string or on a different string. In a typical inter-string data-taking schedule, one transmitter is triggered while all of the other strings loop over their sensors. This way, all transmitter–sensor combinations are obtained.

- **Pinger runs**: A sensor records the acoustic pulse originating from the retrievable transmitter. For the 2008/2009 pinger season, the pinger signal is recorded for 18 s at a sampling frequency of 200 kHz by all three channels of the sensor module simultaneously. A string completes a loop over all sensor modules in less than 4 min. The four SPATS strings can record the same sensor module at the same time within 10 ms due to the NTP synchronization and the fact that the data-taking script is restarted every 4 min.

Since the observed noise in the SPATS sensors is Gaussian, the noise levels in the ice can be monitored by looking at the evolution of the standard deviation of the Gaussian ADC-count distributions for each channel. The SPATS noise levels are found to be very stable. One exception to this is that noise levels were observed to increase during the IceCube drilling season. In fact, it was shown that the drill can clearly be heard by the SPATS sensors if it is close enough. Fig. 8 shows the width of the ADC-count distributions for all available sensor channels of String B for a period during which the IceCube drill was passing by at the depths of each of the stages.

Currently the SPATS data-taking is concentrating on transient data-taking: 45 min of each hour are dedicated to transient data-taking, the remaining 15 min are reserved for noise data-taking and system monitoring. The thresholds for each channel that takes part in transient data-taking are chosen so that the total data-rate for SPATS remains under 150 MB/day under normal trigger circumstances. In total, over 700 GB of data have been accumulated over the past ~ 4 years.

7. Calibration and tests

Prior to deployment the sensitivity in water and equivalent self-noise level of all sensors of Strings A–C were measured.
South Pole the sensors are exposed to low temperatures (−50 °C), increased static pressure, and a different acoustic coupling from the medium to the sensor. The change of sensor sensitivity with static pressure and temperature has been studied in dedicated laboratory experiments. We are currently investigating how the sensitivity, as determined in water, can be transferred to the in situ conditions in the Antarctic ice.

A present estimate for the average SPATS sensor sensitivity in ice uses information from laboratory studies of temperature and pressure dependence on this quantity (see Section 7.4) as well as data from the noise behaviour during sensor freeze-in at the South Pole. The derived value has however a big uncertainty. With a few sensor channel calibrations have been performed in a laboratory ice tank [13]. The data show that the frequency dependence of the sensitivity changes due to damping of mechanical resonances when going from water to ice. New forthcoming laboratory measurements will hopefully allow the error on the in-ice sensor sensitivity to be reduced considerably.

### 7.1. SPATS sensor calibration in water

The SPATS sensors are calibrated relative to a commercial hydrophone14 with known spectral sensitivity. This reference hydrophone has a rather flat sensitivity curve for frequencies from 10 kHz to 80 kHz with a mean sensitivity of −167.5 ± 0.3 dBr.1 V/μPa.

Ring shaped piezo ceramic elements, similar to the SPATS transmitters, were used to generate a broadband pulse in a water tank at the Hamburgische Schiffbau-Versuchsanstalt (HSVA, Hamburg Ship Model Basin). The available water volume of $12 \times 10^3 \times 5 \text{ m}^3$ is sufficiently large to allow clear separation between direct signals and wall reflections. The acoustic devices were mounted at a depth of 2 m and the spacing between the transmitter and the sensor was 1.03 m, in order to avoid near field effects. The water temperature was 0.5 °C and the salinity was...
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14 SensorTech SQ03.
Acoustic pulses were recorded with the reference hydrophone and the frequency spectrum of the pressure wave was derived. The SPATS sensor modules were positioned in the same acoustic field. The sensor channel under investigation always pointed towards the transmitter.

In order to cancel the expected contributions of background noise, 100 pulses were recorded and averaged for all channels. The uncertainty on the resulting signal frequency spectrum was calculated by dividing each recorded signal into one signal region and four off-signal regions. A discrete Fourier transform of the received signals results in fixed amplitudes $A_i$ and phases $\phi_i$ for the Fourier coefficients, which are Gaussian smeared by noise contributions (see Fig. 9). The width of the two-dimensional Gaussian $\sigma_{\text{signal}}$ consists of noise contributions $\sigma_{\text{noise}}$ and variations of the pressure pulse shape $\sigma_{\text{pulse}}$. From the difference of $\sigma_{\text{noise}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{signal}}$ it was clear that there was no significant contribution from pressure pulse variation (see Fig. 10). The signal amplitudes were derived from the Fourier coefficient distributions and the sensitivity spectra for all channels were obtained as the ratio of the amplitude spectra and the previously determined pressure wave frequency spectrum. The range of measured sensitivities for all SPATS sensors is shown in Fig. 11. The mean sensitivity averaged over all sensors and frequencies from 10 kHz to 80 kHz is $2.6 \times 10^{-6}$ V/μPa equal to $-112$ dB re $1$ V/μPa.

### 7.2. SPATS sensor equivalent self-noise

For each SPATS sensor channel self-noise measurements were performed. External acoustic and electromagnetic interferences were minimized by appropriate shielding. Using the sensor...
sensitivity, the self-noise frequency spectrum was transformed to an equivalent self-noise spectrum. Integration of this equivalent self-noise spectrum over the frequency range of interest resulted in the equivalent self-noise level. This was used as a measure for the threshold above which acoustic waves were detectable by the sensor. In Fig. 12 the equivalent self-noise level integrated from 10 kHz to 50 kHz, the frequency range of interest for acoustic neutrino detection, for all SPATS channels is shown.

7.3. SPATS transmitter directivity calibration

Azimuthal isotropic emission is the motivation for the use of ring shaped piezo ceramics. The actual emission directivity of a ring shaped piezo ceramic inside epoxy was measured in azimuthal and polar direction. For measuring polar variations, the transmitter piezo ceramic was turned around an axis perpendicular to the ring symmetry axis. The pulses were recorded by the reference hydrophone at a distance of 1 m. Fig. 13 shows the received pulse amplitudes as function of azimuth and polar angle. Fig. 13(a) shows a schematic for the azimuthal directivity measurement. It illustrates how the positioning of the piezo inside the epoxy could tilt the piezo away from the azimuthal plane. During deployment in South Pole ice, there is no control over the azimuthal orientation. Amplitude variations around 40% are expected due to this systematic effect.

The variation of the amplitude outside the horizontal plane in the ice is defined by the polar emission pattern. Despite exhibiting a large variation over the total range, on small scales the polar emissivity is smooth. For repetitive measurements that sample the polar range with a variation of no more than ±10%, the amplitude variation is below 10%.

7.4. Pressure and temperature dependence

7.4.1. Pressure

The deepest SPATS stage is deployed at a depth of 500 m and the maximum ambient pressure is expected to be 100 bars. This is due to the fact that the water inside the IceCube hole refreezes first at the surface, creating over-pressure during the freeze-in. The SPATS steel pressure housings have been tested at static pressures up to 120 bar, which is roughly the equivalent of 1200 m of water depth. The housings are not expected to be deformed by more than roughly 50 µm at a tension of 180 N/m². It is possible that a slight deformation gives rise to a change in sensitivity. For example, the preload on a piezo-ceramic element could change due to deformation of the steel housing.

In order to quantify the change in sensitivity due to the increase of ambient pressure, two pressure test sequences were done at Uppsala University, in Sweden, in August 2008 and February 2009. A large (40.5 cm inner diameter) pressure vessel was used in which SPATS or HADES sensors were consecutively installed. A commercial transmitter (SQ9) was mounted outside the pressure vessel for the February 2009 tests. The obtained data consisted of pressure dependent measurements of the transmitter pulse at different operating voltages. The transmitter was pulsed with a single-cycle 20 kHz sine wave. These signals were recorded by the sensors inside the pressure vessel at a maximum pressure of 100 bar. The typical step in pressure was 20 bar. The recorded peak-to-peak voltage (Vpp) served as a measure of sensitivity at the various pressure levels. Fig. 14(a) shows the measured Vpp for the three channels of a SPATS sensor as a function of ambient pressure: no systematic variation with pressure, common to all three channels, is visible. The complete pressure data set indicated that the variation of sensitivity of a SPATS sensor channel with static pressure was less than 30% between 1 bar and 100 bar.

7.4.2. Temperature

The mean ambient temperature in the South Pole ice in the upper few hundred metres is expected to be around −50 °C. Several temperature tests have investigated the temperature dependence of the sensitivity of the SPATS sensors. To this end, industrial freezers capable of reaching temperatures below −60 °C were used. In an experimental verification, performed in 2009, an ITC1001 transmitter was pulsed in air while being suspended near the freezer at the DESY laboratory in Zeuthen, Germany. A SPATS sensor was positioned on a support at the bottom of the freezer. The position of the sensor was not changed between the different measurements. The temperature for each measurement was taken with a thermocouple and a digital thermometer which were both in contact with the steel housing of the sensor. The difference between the two temperature measurements was typically 2 °C which was used as the error. The peak-to-peak amplitudes were extracted as the mean of 100 events with the standard deviation as the statistical error. Fig. 14(b) shows the extracted Vpp as a function of temperature for the three channels of a same SPATS sensor module. A linear fit was made to the data and it was concluded that there was a gain in the sensitivity of 1.5 ± 0.2 when the temperature was lowered from 0 °C to −50 °C.

7.5. Abisko lake test

A SPATS full system test was performed in lake Torneträsk in the Abisko national park in northern Sweden in April 2006. At the test location the lake was between 40 m and 60 m deep and was covered with about 90 cm of ice. Sensors and transmitters were deployed and read out using a portable DAQ system. Holes were drilled in the ice on a straight line from north to south, where the maximum distance was about 800 m, and two holes were located at 400 m west and 400 m east. The goals of this test were threefold: firstly the transmitters were tested to investigate variations between different transmitter modules and to demonstrate that the range of emitted signals is sufficient to meet SPATS requirements. Secondly, the performances of the sensor modules were compared to that of the reference hydrophone and the direction of the pulse was reconstructed using two channels per module. Finally, the DAQ software and hardware were tested under real deployment conditions. For each measurement of a transmitter pulse at a certain distance and depth, 10 events were recorded by the reference hydrophone or one of the SPATS
sensors. Different effects contributed to shifts in the arrival time of the signal. Underwater currents make the devices swing or torsion in the support rope makes them spin. For analysis, the signals were therefore shifted in time so that the first amplitude maxima coincide, before extracting the mean amplitudes. Lake Tornetrask was found to be a silent testing environment under good weather conditions with a stable and low background noise level of about 120 mV for the SPATS sensors, excluding occasional snow scooters and strong winds.

At first, a SPATS sensor was placed at a depth of 30 m and different combinations of transmitter modules and ring shaped piezo ceramics were lowered to varying depths in a hole at a distance of 400 m. The amplitudes of the registered pulses were compared; an example can be found in Fig. 15(a). Signals from all tested transmitters are clearly visible at a distance of 400 m. The observed variations in amplitude lie within the expectations and are due to the uncertainties on azimuthal and polar orientation of the transmitter. A maximum distance of 800 m between transmitter and sensor was then achieved, where the available cable length was the limiting factor for the range test. In Fig. 15(b), the recorded signal with a signal to noise ratio of 5 is shown. The transmitter was positioned at a depth of 20 m. There is a second pulse visible approximately 1 ms after the signal. A calculation taking into account the speed of sound profile showed that the second pulse is a reflection originating from the ice surface. Assuming an acoustic amplitude attenuation length of 1 km, an extrapolation from the signal to noise ratio gives an expected signal to noise ratio of 1 for the SPATS transmitter in water at a distance of 1800 m.

Second, the difference in performance of the SPATS sensor and the reference hydrophone becomes clear in Fig. 16, where the significant difference in scale should be noted. The transmitter was placed at a distance of 100 m and a depth of 30 m. Then, the hydrophone and SPATS sensor were successively lowered to a depth of 16 m. The signal as recorded by the sensor is much stronger than that of the commercial hydrophone. In fact, the hydrophone was incapable of detecting a transmitter signal at a distance of 400 m even with maximum gain setting.

Finally, the SPATS sensor was placed at a depth of 30 m and a transmitter was lowered to the same depth at positions 400 m north, west, south and east of the sensor location. Then three sensor channels are each separated by 10.5 cm of steel. The...
orientation of the sensor module and thus the directional information was obtained by reading out two channels for each position of the transmitter. The difference in arrival times between different channels could be observed at the maximum sampling frequency of 1.25 MHz. This way, the sensor channel that was closest to the source could be identified.

8. Conclusion and outlook

The SPATS detector was successfully deployed in the Antarctic ice at the Geographic South Pole and has been recording data for over five years. All components of the detector were designed to perform under the extreme temperatures and pressures. No major issues occurred after installation and several unexpected power outages have not affected system performance. Most of the science goals have been reached and the physics results have both confirmed and challenged theoretical predictions by producing the first ever experimental results for the acoustic attenuation length [12], both the pressure and shear wave sound speeds [11] and the noise levels and transient sounds [14] in the South Pole bulk ice. SPATS is currently undergoing a system upgrade and continues to take acoustic measurements.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the support from the following agencies: U.S. National Science Foundation-Office of Polar Program, Swedish Research Council, Swedish Polar Research Secretariat, and Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; German Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG),
Germany; Fund for Scientific Research (FNRS-FWO), Flanders Institute to encourage scientific and technological research in industry (IWT), Belgian Federal Science Policy Office (Belspo); M. Ribordy acknowledges the support of the SNF (Switzerland).

References

[1] A. Achterberg, et al., Astroparticle Physics 26 (2006) 155.
[2] J.A. Aguilar, et al., Astroparticle Physics 26 (2006) 314.
[3] I. Kravchenko, et al., Astroparticle Physics 20 (2003) 195.
[4] L.F. Thompson, J.D. Perkin, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 136 (2008) 042070.
[5] P.W. Gorham, et al., Physical Review D 82 (2010) 022004.
[6] J. Aguilar, et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A 626–627 (2011) 128.
[7] D. Besson, S. Boeser, R. Nahnhauer, P.B. Price, J.A. Vandenbroucke, International Journal of Modern Physics A 21S1 (2006) 259.
[8] P.B. Price, et al., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 99 (2002) 7844.
[9] D.G. Albert, Geophysics Research Letters 25 (23) (1998) 4257–4260.
[10] B. Semburg for the IceCube Collaboration, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 604 (2009) 215.
[11] R. Abbasi, et al., Astroparticle Physics 33 (2010) 277.
[12] R. Abbasi, et al., Astroparticle Physics 34 (2011) 382.
[13] T. Meures, L. Paul, M. Ribordy, for the IceCube Collaboration, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A, 662 Suppl. 1 (2012) S230.
[14] R. Abbasi, et al., Astroparticle Physics 35 (2012) 312.