Asymmetric Dissociative Tunneling Ionization of Tetrafluoromethane in $\omega - 2\omega$ Intense Laser Fields
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Dissociative ionization of tetrafluoromethane (CF$_4$) in linearly polarized $\omega - 2\omega$ ultrashort intense laser fields ($1.4 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$, 800 and 400 nm) has been investigated by three-dimensional momentum ion imaging. The spatial distribution of CF$_3^+$ produced by CF$_4 \rightarrow$ CF$_3^+$ + F + e$^-$ exhibited a clear asymmetry with respect to the laser polarization direction. The degree of the asymmetry varies by the relative phase of the $\omega$ and $2\omega$ laser fields, showing that 1) the breaking of the four equivalent C-F bonds can be manipulated by the laser pulse shape and 2) the C-F bond directed along the larger amplitude side of the $\omega - 2\omega$ electric fields tends to be broken. Weak-field asymptotic theory (WFAT) shows that the tunneling ionization from the 4t$_2$ second highest-occupied molecular orbital (HOMO-1) surpasses that from the 1t$_1$ HOMO. This predicts the enhancement of the tunneling ionization with electric fields pointing from F to C, in the direction opposite to that observed for the asymmetric fragment ejection. Possible mechanisms involved in the asymmetric dissociative ionization, such as post-ionization interactions, are discussed.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Shaped intense laser fields with a field intensity of $\sim 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$ have attracted considerable attention in the last decades for their capability to manipulate ultrafast electronic and nuclear dynamics of atoms, molecules, and solids. Armed with the electric field exerting a force on the electrons comparable to that of the Coulomb potential in a molecule, shaped laser pulses enable us to drive electrons in a nonperturbative manner to exploit unique properties from the targets. The application has been demonstrated in controls of high-order harmonic generation (Bartels et al., 2000; Pleifer et al., 2005; Winterfeldt et al., 2008), photoemission (Bardeen et al., 1997; Wollenhaupt and Baumert, 2011; Eickhoff et al., 2021), and chemical reactions (Levis et al., 2001; Assion et al., 1998; Levis and Rabitz, 2002; Hishikawa et al., 2020).

Laser pulse shaping can be accomplished by a spatial amplitude and phase modulator placed on a Fourier transform plane in a 4f setup (Bardeen et al., 1997; Levis et al., 2001; Assion et al., 1998; Eickhoff et al., 2021). Alternatively, one can synthesize the laser waveform by coherent superposition of pulses with different colors (Chan et al., 2011; Manzoni et al., 2015), which has been used to control high harmonic generation (Takahashi et al., 2010; Neyra et al., 2018) and multiphoton and tunneling ionization of atoms and molecules (Eickhoff et al., 2021; Ohmura and Saito, 2020; Ohmura et al., 2021). Among others, the $\omega - 2\omega$ laser fields, consisting of the fundamental and the second harmonics, have been
widely used for understanding the mechanisms of laser tunneling ionization and chemical reaction control in intense laser fields. In the case of linear polarization along the Z direction, the $\omega$-2$\omega$ electric fields may be expressed as follows (Endo et al., 2019):

$$F(t) = F(t)e_Z,$$

$$F(t) = F_\omega(t) \cos(\omega t) + F_{2\omega}(t) \cos(2\omega t + \phi),$$

where $F_\omega(t)$ and $F_{2\omega}(t)$ represent the envelopes of the fundamental and the second harmonic pulses, respectively, and $\phi$ is the two-color relative phase. The unit vector along the Z-axis is denoted as $e_Z$. Typical $\omega$-2$\omega$ electric fields are illustrated in Figure 1, showing that the direction and degree of asymmetry vary by phase $\phi$ for a given ratio of the $\omega$ and 2$\omega$ field intensities.

Asymmetric fragment ejection through directional bond-breaking has been observed for various molecules in the $\omega$-2$\omega$ intense laser fields. For HD (Sheehy et al., 1995), NO (Endo et al., 2019; Li et al., 2011), CO (Li et al., 2011; Ohmura et al., 2011; Ohmura et al., 2014), OCS (Ohmura et al., 2014; Endo et al., 2022), and CH$_3$X (X = F, Cl, Br, I) (Ohmura et al., 2006a; Ohmura et al., 2006b; Walt et al., 2015), the directional fragment ejection has been observed. The observed asymmetric distribution of fragment ions is interpreted as a result of orientation-selective tunneling ionization followed by dissociation in intense $\omega$-2$\omega$ laser fields. Molecular tunneling ionization has been discussed intensively in the last decade, showing that many of the characteristic properties can be understood in terms of the shape of molecular orbitals (MOs) and their direction of electric dipole moments. Because of the asymmetric MOs and the non-zero dipole moments of the linear heteronuclear molecules mentioned above, tunneling ionization is enhanced in one direction along the molecular axis compared to the other, resulting in orientation-selective ionization.

The asymmetric fragment ejection is also observed with symmetric molecules such as D$_2$ (Ray et al., 2009; Wanie et al., 2015), H$_2$O (Kechaoglu et al., 2019), CO$_2$ (Endo et al., 2016; Endo et al., 2017), and C$_2$H$_2$ (Song et al., 2015). For D$_2$ (Ray et al., 2009; Wanie et al., 2015), electron localization is induced by the coherent superposition of two cationic states through interaction with two kinds of photons of the fundamental and second harmonic, resulting in asymmetric D$^+$ ejection. For C$_2$H$_2$ (Song et al., 2015), H$^+$ ejection associated with breaking the C-H bond shows clear asymmetry with respect to the laser polarization. The observed selectivity is suggested to be produced...
by laser-induced coupling of HOMO and HOMO-1, 2 states. For CO$_2$ (Endo et al., 2016; Endo et al., 2017), asymmetric ejection of O$^+$ was observed on the larger amplitude side of the $\omega$-2$\omega$ laser fields. This is consistent with the results of a theoretical calculation of nuclear wave packet dynamics on the potential energy surfaces (PES) of CO$_2^{2+}$ in $\omega$-2$\omega$ intense laser fields (Sato et al., 2003), demonstrating the chemical reaction control by laser manipulation of PES.

This study discusses the feasibility of applying the $\omega$-2$\omega$ reaction control to more complex symmetric molecules. More specifically, we study a tetrahedral molecule, tetrafluoromethane (CF$_4$), which has four equivalent C-F bonds in the equilibrium structure in $T_d$ symmetry (Figure 2) to see if directional ejection of the fragment can be induced by asymmetric laser fields. The electronic configuration is $\ldots$ (1e)$^4$(4t$_2$)$^6$(1t$_1$)$^6$ in the ground state. The highest-occupied MO (HOMO), 1t$_1$, is triply degenerated (see Figure 3). We discuss dissociative ionization in $\omega$-2$\omega$ intense laser fields:

$$\text{CF}_4 \rightarrow \text{CF}_3^+ + \text{F} + e^- \ldots (3)$$

The dissociative ionization has been subjected to single-photon (Brehm et al., 1974; Creasey et al., 1990; Hikosaka and Shigemasa, 2006; Tang et al., 2013; Larsen et al., 2018; Pertot et al., 2017) and electron impact (Hossen et al., 2018) studies. The process is characterized by the ultrashort lifetime ($< 40$ fs) (Pertot et al., 2017) on the repulsive PESs leading to the CF$_3^+$ + F asymptote in both the ground and the first excited states of CF$_4^+$ as shown in Figure 4. The repulsive PESs imply that the CF$_4$ can serve as a unique benchmark to elucidate how the tunneling ionization of polyatomic molecules proceeds in intense laser fields because fragments can be produced by direct dissociation without additional interaction with the laser fields (Fujise et al., 2022).

The paper is organized as follows. We first describe the experimental setup for the three-dimensional momentum imaging of CF$_3^+$ fragment ions produced by dissociative ionization in Eq. 3 in linearly polarized $\omega$-2$\omega$ intense laser fields (50 fs, $1.4 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$, 800 and 400 nm). Then, we present the experimental results on the asymmetry in the ejection of CF$_3^+$ and its dependence on the relative phase $\phi$ between the $\omega$ and 2$\omega$ laser fields. Finally, the obtained results are compared with theoretical predictions by the weak-field asymptotic theory (WFAT) (Tolstikhin et al., 2011) for tunneling ionization.

2 EXPERIMENT

The schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 1. Details have been described previously (Endo et al., 2019). Briefly, the output from a Ti: Sapphire regenerative laser amplifier system (800 nm, 1 kHz, 50 fs) was introduced to an inline $\omega$-2$\omega$ pulse generator. After generation of the second-order harmonics (400 nm, ~80 fs) by a type-I $\beta$-BBO crystal, the time delay between the $\omega$ and 2$\omega$ pulses was compensated by two birefringent $\alpha$-BBO crystals. The relative phase between the two-color was controlled by a pair of fused silica wedge plates. The relative phase of the two-color laser pulses was stabilized by active feedback control of the wedge plate utilizing the 2$\omega$-2$\omega$ interference spectrum. The polarization direction of the fundamental and second harmonic waves was set parallel with each other by a true zero-order dual-wavelength plate and introduced into an ultrahigh vacuum chamber. The $\omega$-2$\omega$ laser pulse was focused onto a diffusive molecular beam by a focusing mirror ($f = 75$ mm). Fragment ions generated by the interaction with $\omega$-2$\omega$ intense laser fields were guided to a delay-line anode position-sensitive detector (PSD) by a static electric field. The three-dimensional momentum ($p_X$, $p_Y$, $p_Z$) of each fragment ion was obtained from the arrival position (Y, Z) at the detector and the time of flight (t). The kinetic energy release (KER) was calculated from the momentum of CF$_3^+$, $p_{\text{CF}_3^+}$, where we assume the momentum conservation between CF$_3^+$ and the counterpart fragment F atom, $p_F = -p_{\text{CF}_3^+}$. Under this approximation, the KER is expressed as
The perpendicular component has a ratio of \( \frac{C}{m_{\text{CF}}} \) of CF, as shown in Larsen et al., 2018; Hossen et al., 2018; Hikosaka and Shigemasa, 2006; Fujise et al., 2022. The CF component to the laser polarization direction, where the angle from the polarization direction shows an elliptic distribution. The peak momentum values along the laser polarization direction. The parallel component shows a broader intensity is \( I = 1.15 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2 \) and \( I_\omega = 2.6 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2 \), respectively. The total field intensity is \( I_{\Omega+2\omega} = I_\omega + I_{2\omega} = 1.4 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2 \) with a ratio of \( I_{\Omega}/I_\omega = 0.23 \). A mixture of CF and CO was used as the sample gas. The absolute phase difference \( \phi \) between \( \omega \) and \( 2\omega \) pulses at the focal point was determined by the phase dependence of Coulomb explosion of CO, CO \( \rightarrow \) C\(^+\) + O\(^+\) + 2e\(^-\), where C\(^+\) is ejected more to the smaller amplitude side of the \( \omega-2\omega \) electric fields than to the opposite (Li et al., 2011).

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Fragment Momentum Distribution

Figure 5A shows the momentum image of CF\(^+\) that dominates the time-of-flight spectrum, reflecting the repulsive nature of the PES of \( \text{CF}_4 \). The KER spectrum shows a broad single peak at \( E_{\text{kin}} = 0.8 \text{ eV} \) as observed in the previous studies (Tang et al., 2013; Larsen et al., 2018; Hossen et al., 2018; Hikosaka and Shigemasa, 2006; Fujise et al., 2022). The CF\(^+\) momentum image in Figure 5A shows an elliptic distribution. The peak momentum values along the Z-axis and Y-axis are 35 a.u. and 30 a.u., respectively, showing that CF\(^+\) is emitted with a larger momentum along the laser polarization direction. Figure 5B shows the KER spectra obtained for parallel (\( 0^\circ \leq \theta \leq 20^\circ \)) and perpendicular (\( 75^\circ \leq \theta \leq 90^\circ \)) components to the laser polarization direction, where \( \theta \) is the polar angle from the Z-axis. The parallel component shows a broader peak at 0.9 eV extending to a higher KER than the perpendicular component. The perpendicular component has a sharper peak at 0.8 eV, indicating that different pathways contribute to the dissociative ionization. The previous electron impact study at an energy of 67 eV (Hossen et al., 2018) shows that contributions from five different molecular orbitals \( 1t_1, 4t_2, 1e, 3f_2, \) and \( 4t_1 \) overlap within the peak. The KER spectrum associated with the ionization from HOMO (\( 1t_1 \)) exhibits a peak at \( \approx 0.9 \text{ eV} \), while a broader peak appears at a slightly higher energy region for HOMO-1 (\( 4t_2 \)). This suggests that both the \( X^2T_1 \) ground state and \( A^2T_2 \) first excited state contribute to the dissociative ionization in the \( \omega-2\omega \) intense laser fields, although it is difficult to estimate the relative contributions from these orbitals by the present experimental results. It is worth noting that the dissociation from the \( 1e \) HOMO-2 state may also contribute to the KER spectrum (Larsen et al., 2018) through internal conversion from the \( B^2E \) to the \( A^2T_2 \) state (Maier and Thommen, 1980).

3.2 Asymmetric Dissociative Ionization of CF\(_4\)

To understand how CF\(_4\) responds to different shapes of the laser pulse, we focus on the spatial asymmetry in the fragment distribution. For a quantitative discussion, the asymmetry parameter,

\[
A(\phi) = \frac{Y_+(\phi) - Y_-(\phi)}{Y_+(\phi) + Y_-(\phi)}
\]

is introduced, where \( Y_+ \) and \( Y_- \) represent the yields of ions with positive and negative momenta within a 45° acceptance angle along the laser polarization direction (Z-axis), respectively (see Figure 5A). Figure 5C plots the obtained asymmetry parameters for CF\(_4\), \( A_{\text{CF}_4}(\phi) \), together with those obtained for C\(^+\) produced from the Coulomb explosion of CO used for the phase calibration. The asymmetry parameter shows a \( 2\pi \) periodic
dependence on the two-color relative phase. The least-squares fitting to \( A(\phi) = A_0 \cos(\phi - \phi_0) \) provides \( A_0 = 0.09(1) \) and \( \phi_0 = 0.9(1) \), where numbers in the parentheses represent uncertainties. The results show that CF\(_4\) prefers being emitted on the smaller electric field side of the asymmetric laser fields. In other words, the dissociative tunneling ionization is enhanced when the larger amplitude side of the \( \omega-2\omega \) electric fields points from C to F. Figure 5D shows the KER-resolved asymmetry parameter,

\[
A(\phi, E_{\text{kin}}) = \frac{Y_+ (\phi, E_{\text{kin}}) - Y_- (\phi, E_{\text{kin}})}{Y_+ (\phi, E_{\text{kin}}) + Y_- (\phi, E_{\text{kin}})}
\]

An increase in the asymmetry amplitude to \( A_0 \approx 0.12 \) is observed in higher KER region where contributions from the \( \Delta^2T_2 \) state of CF\(_4\) is observed. The maximum and minimum of the asymmetry parameter are seen at \( \phi \approx \pi \) and 0, respectively, over the KER range investigated.

### 3.3 Comparison With Tunneling Ionization Theory

#### 3.3.1 Tunneling Ionization Rates

Theoretical calculations of the tunneling ionization rate of CF\(_4\) were carried out by WFAT (Tolstikhin et al., 2011). The tunneling ionization rate is expressed as (Madsen et al., 2012)

\[
\Gamma(\beta, \gamma) = |G_{00}(\beta, \gamma)|^2 W_{00}(F).
\]

The structure factor \( G_{00}(\beta, \gamma) \) describes the dependence on the molecular orientation relative to the laser electric field \( F \) defined by the Euler angles \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) (Zare, 1988). The field factor \( W_{00}(F) \) is given as

\[
W_{00}(F) = \frac{k}{2} \left( \frac{4\omega^2}{F} \right)^{2\kappa-1} \exp \left( -\frac{2\kappa^2}{3F^2} \right),
\]

which defines the dependence on the field strength \( F \). Here, \( \kappa = \sqrt{2E_0} \), with \( E_0 \) being the energy of the molecular orbital from which the electron is ionized, and the subscript \( 00 \) refers to the dominant ionization channel (Tolstikhin et al., 2011).

The HOMO (1\(\alpha_t\)) and HOMO-1 (1\(\beta_t\)) of CF\(_4\) are both triply degenerate (Figure 3). The Stark interaction with the ionizing field removes the degeneracy. Tunneling ionization occurs from eigenorbitals of the operator \(-|\mu \cdot F|\) within each degenerate subspace, where \( \mu \) is the electric dipole moment of the considered orbital (Kraus et al., 2015). We denote these eigenorbitals as \( \phi_A, \phi_B, \) and \( \phi_C \). The three eigenorbitals are the particular linear combinations of the three degenerate HOMOs shown in Figure 3, which diagonalize the Stark term \( |\mu \cdot F|\). The structure factors \( G_{00}(\beta, \gamma) \) incorporating the effect of the dipole for the eigenorbitals are calculated using the integral representation of the WFAT (Dnestryan and Tolstikhin, 2016; Madsen et al., 2017; Dnestryan et al., 2018) implemented by means of the GAMESS package with a polarization consistent basis set at the pc-4 level (Jensen, 2001).

Figures 6A–C show the squared norms of the structure factors \( |G_{00}(\beta, \gamma)|^2 \) of the three eigenorbitals, \( \phi_A, \phi_B, \) and \( \phi_C \), in the subspace of HOMO \( (E_0 = -18.66 \text{ eV}) \), where the orbitals are labeled with A, B, and C in the ascending order of the dipole, \( \mu_A < \mu_B < \mu_C \). The orbital energy in the field to the first order is given as

\[
E_{00}(F) = E_0 - \mu_i \cdot F,
\]

where \( i = A, B, C \). Figure 7 shows the energy of eigenorbitals calculated using Eq. 9 at four different molecular orientations with respect to \( F \). The structure factors for HOMO in Figures 6A–C show that the largest contribution to the tunneling ionization comes from eigenorbital \( \phi_B \) because the field factor \( W_{00}(F) \) is common for \( \phi_A, \phi_B, \) and \( \phi_C \) (see Eq. 7). Each orbital has nodes along the C-F axis, which appear as the minima in the respective structure factors. The nodes remain visible in the sum of \( |G_{00}(\beta, \gamma)|^2 \) in Figure 6D.

The squared norms of the structure factors \( |G_{00}(\beta, \gamma)|^2 \) of HOMO-1 \( (E_0 = -19.44 \text{ eV}) \) are shown in Figures 6E–H. The eigenorbital \( \phi_A \) having the highest energy among the three orbitals has the largest contributions to the sum in Figure 6H. Figure 6I shows that the tunneling ionization is enhanced by the electric field pointing from F to C when the three degenerate eigenorbitals are equally populated. Because eigenenergy \( E_{00, A} \) of \( \phi_A \) at \((\beta, \gamma) = (124^\circ, 314^\circ)\) is slightly smaller than that at \((\beta, \gamma) = (54^\circ, 134^\circ)\), the large structure factors for the former orientation indicate that the shape of the molecular orbital is more important in determining the tunneling rate in the present case than the increase in the effective ionization potential by the Stark interaction with the dipole moment.

#### 3.3.2 Fragment Angular Distribution

If the breaking of each of the four C-F bonds after ionization occurs with an equal probability, the angular distribution of the F fragment in the laboratory frame can be expressed as follows (Zare, 1988):

\[
P(\theta, \phi) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha \int_0^\pi \sin \beta d\beta \int_0^{2\pi} d\gamma P_{\text{mol}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) f(\theta_m, \phi_m),
\]

where \((\theta_m, \phi_m)\) and \((\theta_m, \phi_m)\) are the spherical angles with respect to the laboratory and molecular frame, respectively, and \(f(\theta_m, \phi_m)\) is the angular distribution of the fragment ion in the molecular frame. The orientation distribution of the molecular ion formed in the \( \omega-2\omega \) laser fields in the laboratory frame may be expressed as

\[
P_{\text{mol}}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, F(t)) dt \right) \right],
\]

where \(\Gamma(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, F(t))\) represents the tunneling rate in the \( \omega-2\omega \) laser field \( F(t) \) of Eq. 2 for molecular orientation defined by the Euler angles \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\) relative to the Z-axis of the laboratory frame (see Figure 2). It can be expressed by \( |G_{00}(\beta, \gamma)|^2 \) and \( W_{00}(F) \) as follows:

\[
\Gamma(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, F(t)) = \begin{cases} |G_{00}(\beta, \gamma)|^2 W_{00}(F(t)) & (F(t) \geq 0) \\ |G_{00}(\pi - \beta, \gamma + \pi)|^2 W_{00}(F(t)) & (F(t) < 0) \end{cases}
\]
When the ionization probability is sufficiently smaller than unity, Eq. 11 reduces to

\[ P_{mol}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Gamma \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, F(t) \) dt. \]  

The angular distribution \( P_{mol}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \) can be expanded by the rotation matrices \( D_{q'q}^{k}(R) \) as follows:

\[ P_{mol}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sum_{k, q'q} a_{q'q}^{k} D_{q'q}^{k}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma). \]  

Here, the coefficients \( a_{q'q}^{k} \) are given as follows:

\[ a_{q'q}^{k} = (2k + 1) \int P_{mol}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) D_{q'q}^{k}(R) d\Omega. \]  

The angular distribution of the fragment ion can be expressed using the spherical harmonics \( Y_{jm}(\theta_m, \phi_m) \):

\[ f(\theta_m, \phi_m) = \sum_{j,m} b_{jm} Y_{jm}(\theta_m, \phi_m), \]  

\[ b_{jm} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi_m \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin \theta_m d\theta_m Y_{jm}^{*}(\theta_m, \phi_m) f(\theta_m, \phi_m). \]  

Thus, we have

\[ P(\theta_s, \phi_s) = \sum_{k, q'q} a_{q'q}^{k} b_{jm} Y_{kq'}(\theta_s, \phi_s). \]  

Under the axial recoil approximation, the angle distribution \( f(\theta_m, \phi_m) \) may be expressed as follows:

\[ f(\theta_m, \phi_m) = \frac{1}{\sin \theta_m} \delta(\theta_m - \theta_m^0) \delta(\phi_m - \phi_m^0), \]

with \((\theta_m^0, \phi_m^0) = (54.7^\circ, 45^\circ)\) for \( \text{CF}_4 \) in \( T_d \) symmetry. By substituting to Eq. 17, we have
amplitude side of the \(\omega-2\omega\) laser fields. In contrast, the angular distribution of HOMO-1 is more directional along the laser polarization direction, consistent with the fragment ion image and the KER spectra in Figures 5A,B, where the ionization from HOMO-1 contributes more to the parallel component than to the perpendicular one.

3.3.3 Asymmetry Parameter

The yields of the F fragment in a finite acceptance angle \(\theta_0\) around 0' and 180' can be expressed as follows:

\[
Y_\theta^R(\phi) = 2\pi \int_0^{\theta_0} P(\theta_\psi) \sin \theta_\psi d\theta_\psi, \quad (23)
\]

\[
Y_\theta^L(\phi) = 2\pi \int_{-\theta_0}^{\pi-\theta_0} P(\theta_\psi) \sin \theta_\psi d\theta_\psi. \quad (24)
\]

The asymmetry parameters defined by Eq. 5 are calculated using Eqs 23, 24, where \(\theta_0 = 45'\) compared with the experimental results. The asymmetry parameter \(A_3(\phi)\) thus obtained for HOMO shows a clear dependence on the relative phase \(\phi\) between the \(\omega\) and \(2\omega\) laser fields. The asymmetry parameter for HOMO (Figure 9A) is positive at \(\phi = 0\), showing that tunneling ionization is more efficient when the larger amplitude side of the laser fields points from C to F. In contrast, the parameter for HOMO-1 exhibits the opposite dependence with negative values at \(\phi = 0\). The difference originates essentially from the shape of the eigen orbitals dominating the tunneling ionization of the respective MOs.

Figure 9B plots the experimental asymmetry parameter \(A_3(\phi)\) for the counterpart fragment F produced by the dissociative ionization (Eq. 3), which is obtained from the asymmetry parameter for CF\(_3^+\) by \(A_3(\phi) = -A_{2E}(\phi)\). It is compared with the corresponding asymmetry parameter calculated with the contributions from the two orbitals, where the angular distribution is given as \(P(\theta_\psi) = P_{\text{HOMO}}(\theta) + P_{\text{HOMO-1}}(\theta)\). The obtained amplitude of \(A_0 = 0.1\) is slightly larger than the experimental results. The small experimental amplitude might be attributed to the contribution from HOMO-2 (1e), located \(~1.4\) eV below the HOMO-1. The B\(^2\)E state of CF\(_3^+\) produced by the tunneling ionization from 1e has a lifetime of \(10^{-10} - 10^{-12}\) s (Maier and Thommen, 1980). This lifetime is longer than or comparable with the molecular rotational periods and could form an isotropic fragment distribution, which reduces the asymmetry of the fragmentation. Figure 9B plots the asymmetry parameter \(A_3(\phi)\) obtained at a higher field intensity \(3.0 \times 10^{14}\) W/cm\(^2\) with a similar intensity ratio of \(I_{2\omega}/I_\omega = 0.25\). The increase in the field intensity resulted in a small amplitude \(A_0 \sim 0.04\), while the amplitude of the calculated results remained essentially the same. Because the relative contribution from the B\(^2\)E state is expected to increase by an increase in the field intensity, the experimental results support the involvement of the B\(^2\)E state in the dissociative ionization.

Interestingly, the calculated asymmetry parameter in Figure 9B has an opposite phase dependence to the experimental results, showing that the dissociative tunneling ionization of CF\(_3^+\) in the \(\omega-2\omega\) laser fields cannot be explained by the angular distribution of the tunneling ionization from.
the HOMO and HOMO-1 alone, although the F (or CF$_3$) fragments are promptly ejected on the repulsive potentials of the X$^2T_1$ and A$^2T_2$ states after the tunneling ionization (Figure 4). The present experimental results show a marked contrast to those obtained by recent studies on the dissociative ionization of CF$_4$ in circularly polarized laser fields (35 fs, 0.8 × 10$^{14}$ W/cm$^2$, 1,035 nm) (Fujise et al., 2022). The recoil-frame photoelectron angular distribution (RFPAD) showed that the dissociative tunneling ionization occurs more efficiently when the electric field points from F to C than the opposite, which is consistent with the prediction by WFAT for the tunneling ionization (see also Figure 8).

Previous studies on spatially oriented OCS showed that the tunneling ionization yields exhibit different angular dependence in linearly polarized and circularly polarized laser fields (Holmegaard et al., 2010; Hansen et al., 2012) as in the present case, where the tunneling ionization is enhanced at different directions of the applied electric fields in the molecular frame. For circularly polarized fields, a significant enhancement of tunneling ionization was observed when the electric fields were applied from C to S along the molecular axis, while the linearly polarized fields favor the tunneling ionization from the direction perpendicular to the axis. The discrepancy was discussed in terms of electron rescattering and the involvement of electronic excitation (Hansen et al., 2012), as well as orbital modification (Murray et al., 2010) and multielectron effects (Majety and Scrinzi, 2015) in the ionization process. These effects can, in principle, be involved in the present case of CF$_4$ to explain the deviation between the experimental and theoretical results in Figure 9B. Furthermore, Figure 7 suggests that the energy shifts of eigenorbitals formed by the Stark interaction becomes large enough to induce mixing between HOMO and HOMO-1, for example, at a field intensity $F \geq 0.06$ a.u. in the molecular orientation in Figure 7B. This would result in additional polarization (field-induced deformation) of the ionizing orbitals, which affects the ionization rate (Matsui et al., 2021) but is not considered in the calculation of the structure factors in Figure 6.

Because the directional ejection of the fragments involves both ionization and fragmentation, post-ionization interaction with the laser fields (Endo et al., 2019; Endo et al., 2022) is
another important factor to consider. The post-ionization interaction in \( \omega-2\omega \) laser fields has been extensively studied with \( \text{H}_2^+ \) (Ray et al., 2009; Wanie et al., 2015). The dissociative ionization shows a clear dependence on the relative phase \( \phi \). The \( \text{H}^+ \) ejection direction is determined by the quantum interference between the pathways associated with excitation and deexcitation between the 1s\( \sigma_g \) and 2p\( \sigma_u \) states of \( \text{H}_2^+ \) by absorption or emission of \( \omega \) and \( 2\omega \) photons. This results in the spatial asymmetry of \( \text{H}^+ \) ejection dependent on both phase \( \phi \) and KER. The quantum interference effect can also manifest itself in circularly polarized laser fields when the tunneling electron is detected in coincidence with \( \text{H}^+ \) (Wu et al., 2013). It appears as the distortion of the molecular-frame photoelectron angular distribution (MFPA). As for \( \text{CF}_4 \), the RFPADs recorded for the dissociative ionization in Eq. 3 in circularly polarized fields exhibited clear dependences on both the helicity of circularly polarized laser fields and the KER. The observed results are interpreted in terms of the laser-induced coupling between the electronic states, depending on the phase of the rotating electric fields in the molecular frame. The coupling between the ground state X\( ^2\text{T}_1 \) and the excited state A\( ^2\text{T}_2 \) through non-adiabatic population transfer in the alternating laser electric fields was suggested as a possible dynamics contributing to the helicity dependence. In the present case of the two-color laser fields consisting of 800 and 400 nm for \( \omega \) and \( 2\omega \), the energy differences between the states and the A\( ^2\text{T}_2 \) and B\( ^2\text{E} \) states are close to the photon energy of \( h\nu = 1.5 \) and 3.1 eV of the present \( \omega \) and \( 2\omega \) fields (see Figure 4), which further facilitates such coupling to modify the asymmetry of the fragmentation through quantum interferences.

4 SUMMARY

In the present study, we investigated the directional fragment ejection of \( \text{CF}_4 \) in dissociative ionization, \( \text{CF}_4 \rightarrow \text{CF}_3^- + \text{F} + \text{e}^- \), in linearly polarized \( \omega-2\omega \) ultrashort intense laser fields (1.4 \( \times \) \( 10^{14} \) W/cm\(^2 \), 800 and 400 nm) by three-dimensional ion momentum imaging. The \( \text{CF}_3^- \) fragment distribution exhibited a clear dependence on the relative phase \( \phi \) between the \( \omega \) and \( 2\omega \) laser fields, showing that the \( \text{CF}_3^- \) ions tend to be ejected to smaller electric field sides of the two-color laser fields. The observed results indicated that the asymmetric ejection of the \( \text{CF}_3^- \) ion or the F fragment can be manipulated by the relative phase of the \( \omega-2\omega \) intense laser fields. To understand the mechanism of the directional fragment ejection, the tunneling ionization rates were calculated by the weak-field asymptotic theory (WFAT) incorporating the Stark interaction in the triply degenerated orbitals of HOMO and HOMO-1. It was shown that the contributions from the HOMO-1 (4\( t_2 \)) are even larger than those from HOMO (1\( t_1 \)). The inverted order is attributed to the large structure factor of HOMO-1, which is governed essentially by the shape of the MO. The observed momentum distribution of \( \text{CF}_3^- \) and the KER spectrum supported that both the X\( ^2\text{T}_1 \) and A\( ^2\text{T}_2 \) states contribute to the dissociative ionization of \( \text{CF}_4 \) in the \( \omega-2\omega \) intense laser fields.

In contrast, WFAT showed that the ionization yield sum becomes larger when the electric field points from F to C along the one of the C-F axis to predict a phase-dependent asymmetry parameter \( A(\phi) \) being \( \pi \) out-of-phase to the experimental one. The difference between experimental and theoretical results could be attributed to additional distortion of molecular orbitals by mixing between HOMO and HOMO-1, as well as to the other processes proposed in the previous studies. The post-ionization process is another possible source of different phase dependence. The direct coupling between the electronic states of \( \text{CF}_4^- \) by non-adiabatic transitions between the orbitals would cause constructive and destructive interference of the dissociating nuclear wavepackets to make the four C-F bonds inequivalent in dissociation. The present study demonstrated the feasibility of applying strong-field coherent control of directional fragment ejection to a symmetric polyatomic molecule in \( T_d \) symmetry. Several factors need to be considered to fully understand the selective breaking of C-F bonds in the dissociative tunneling ionization, even though ultrafast dissociation occurs on the repulsive potential surfaces after the ionization.
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