Different approaches to obtain functionalized alumina as additive in polymer electrolyte membranes
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Abstract
A series of sulfated aluminum oxides (S-Al2O3), investigated as an electrolyte additive in Nafion membranes, was synthesized via three different methods: (i) sol–gel sulfation starting from an aluminum alkoxide precursor, (ii) room temperature sulfation of fumed aluminum oxide, and (iii) hydrothermal sulfation of fumed aluminum oxide. Through the characterization of the synthesized S-Al2O3 by means of X-ray diffraction (XRD), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and infrared (IR) spectroscopy, a higher sulfation rate was found to be achieved via a hydrothermal sulfation, and the coordination state of sulfate groups was identified as monodentate. By using this hydrothermally synthesized S-Al2O3 as additive, a composite Nafion-based membrane was realized and compared to plain Nafion, by means of thermal analyses and fuel cell tests. Although higher hydration degree was found for the undoped membrane by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), improved retention of fuel cell performance upon the increase of operation temperature was observed by using the composite electrolyte, confirming the stabilizing effect of the acidic inorganic additive.
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Introduction
Polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) are promising electrochemical devices with high energy conversion, high efficiency, and low environmental impact. The membrane electrode assembly (MEA) is the core component in PEMFC systems, which is composed of ion-exchange membrane coated with an electrode layer each side, where the electrochemical reactions take place, and this electrocatalytic layer is sandwiched by gas-diffusion layers [1, 2]. Although fuel cells are the promising energy conversion system, they are still not affordable and too expensive to be widely used as power generators. In particular, the most costly components in these devices are noble metal catalysts and perfluorinated membranes as electrolytes [3–5]. In order to be more competitive in the field of renewable power sources, the cost of PEMFCs must be decreased. In addition, high performance durability and efficiency should be achieved at desired operating conditions which are high temperature (T > 80 °C) and low relative humidity (RH < 30%). In these conditions, the electrode kinetics is enhanced and the cell overpotential is reduced, as well as the water management is facilitated compared to fully humidified devices [6].

The foremost famous benchmark material of proton exchange membrane is Nafion because of its high proton conductivity, good mechanical and electrochemical stabilities, and low permeability to fuels and electrons [7]. However, in PEMFCs operating at temperatures above 80 °C, Nafion membranes suffer from a severe decrease of proton conductivity because of water evaporation, resulting in a significant increase of the ohmic resistance [8, 9]. In addition, the Nafion polymer relaxation process, which occurs at around 80 °C, induces a shrinkage of the membrane and causes the degradation of electrolyte–electrode interface [10].

The addition of inorganic particles to the polymer membrane, and in particular of solid acids, is a promising strategy to develop composite electrolytes for high temperature fuel cells due to the ability of increasing the water retention
capacity of the membrane, providing additional acid sites and also enhancing its thermal and mechanical properties [11, 12]. Conspicuous interest has been devoted to functionalized inorganic materials, including sulfated metal oxides (S-MO₂), because of their dual property of high acidity and hygroscopicity which promote the water trapping in Nafion and create additional proton pathways through the membrane. This results in the enhancement of proton conductivity and improvement of the fuel cell performance at the desired conditions described above [13]. The morphology and the surface properties of these additives can be tuned to obtain nanometer sized particles as well as high and stable sulfation extent on the metal oxide. Furthermore, homogeneous dispersion of metal oxide particles in the Nafion matrix, to avoid phase segregation and fuel crossover through the membrane, is another aspect to be considered. Some sulfated particles based on zirconium [14, 15], titanium [16, 17], and tin [18] oxides have been investigated as additives in Nafion membranes for fuel cell devices, showing attractive results in terms of improved water affinity, enhanced proton conductivity, and mechanical features due to the presence of the inorganic particles within polymer matrix. Among all, sulfated aluminum oxide (S-Al₂O₃) is a very promising material for fuel cell applications because of its high intrinsic acidity and catalytic activity. Arata et al. successfully synthesized a super acidic sulfated alumina having the Hammet function scale (H0) ≤ 14.5 [19]. In our previous papers, we have investigated S-Al₂O₃ to form hybrid composite membranes based on Nafion and demonstrated the positive influence of this inorganic filler on the temperature-dependent properties of the Nafion matrix [20]. However, there are no systematic studies about the particle synthesis procedure and sulfation degree, and therefore a strategy to obtain highly sulfated S-Al₂O₃ has not yet been optimized. Also, during the synthesis procedure, the formation of undesired soluble ionic compounds, i.e., aluminum sulfate, should be controlled. Indeed, the presence of these impurities causes a decrease of stability of Nafion membrane under operating conditions of PEMFCs. To address these issues, herein, we report a study on different synthesis approaches to produce pure S-Al₂O₃ and emphasize its properties as additive in Nafion membranes.

**Experimental**

**Synthesis of sulfated alumina oxides**

As summarized in Table 1, six types of S-Al₂O₃ were prepared by three different procedures. Sol–gel sulfated alumina (SGSA) was prepared as follows. Two grams of aluminum isopropoxide (≥ 98%, from Aldrich) was dispersed in 150 mL of 2-propanol and vigorously stirred for 2 h. Then, 50 mL of 0.5 M sulfuric acid was added and stirred for 0.5 h. The dispersion was filtered, dried, and calcined at 550 °C for 3 h (the temperature was increased at a rate of 3 °C min⁻¹ until 550 °C).

Sulfated fumed alumina (SFA) has been prepared via room temperature sulfation. A fumed aluminum oxide (≥ 99.8%, from Evonik Resource Efficiency GmbH) was primary calcinated at 550 °C for 3 h. The calcinated Al₂O₃ was dispersed in a stirred H₂SO₄ solution (3.2 M) for 1 h. The solid obtained was filtered and it was washed with distilled water several times until a neutral pH was reached. Finally, the sample was dried and calcinated at 550 °C for 3 h after an increase of 3 °C/min. In addition, to investigate the effect on sulfation of the initial calcination, the sample prepared without the thermal pretreatment was also investigated, which is called FSA-uc henceforth.

Hydrothermally sulfated fumed alumina (HSA) was prepared as follows. The fumed alumina was dispersed in 0.8 M H₂SO₄ by stirring for 15 min and then autoclaved at 140 °C for 18 h. The precipitated solid was filtered and dried at 120 °C overnight. Finally, the obtained powders were calcinated at 550 °C for 3 h. The samples were also prepared without filtering and drying procedures, respectively, which are named HSA-uf and HSA-ud.

| Procedure | Sol–gel sulfation | Room-T sulfation | Hydrothermal sulfation |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Sample name | SGSA | SFA | SFA-uc | HSA | HSA-uf | HSA-ud |
| Precursor | Aluminum isopropoxide | Fumed aluminum oxide | Fumed aluminum oxide | - | - |
| Pretreatment of precursor | - | Calcination | - | - |
| Sulfation condition | Mixed with 0.5 M H₂SO₄ at r.t. for 0.5 h | Mixed in 3.2 M H₂SO₄ at r.t. for 1 h | Autoclaved in 0.8 M H₂SO₄ at 140 °C for 18 h | - | - |
| Purification | Filtration and pre-dry | H₂O rinsing and filtration | Filtration and pre-dry | Only pre-dry | Only filtration |
| Calcination | 550 °C for 3 h | 550 °C for 3 h | 550 °C for 3 h | - | - |
Membrane preparation

The solvents, which are used in commercially available Nafion dispersion (5 wt.% in water/alcohol, E.W. 1100, from Ion Power Inc), were gradually replaced with N,N-dimethylacetamide at 80 °C. For the preparation of composite membranes, S-Al2O3 particles were added into the dimethylacetamide at 80 °C. For the preparation of composite membranes, S-Al2O3 particles were added into the dimethylacetamide at 80 °C. For the preparation of composite membranes, S-Al2O3 particles were added into the dimethylacetamide at 80 °C. Dry membranes were hot-pressed at 175 °C, 50 atm for 15 min in order to improve the thermomechanical stability of the membranes. Finally, the samples were activated at 80 °C in the following solutions: 3% w/w hydrogen peroxide (H2O2, 34.5–36.5%, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), sulfuric acid (0.5 M), and distilled water. A plain Nafion membrane was prepared by the same procedure and used as reference. All membrane samples were stored in distilled water.

Chemical-physical characterizations

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis patterns on the inorganic compounds were collected using a Rigaku D-max Ultima+ diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator in the 2θ range 10–90°. The Kα radiation of Cu was used. The average crystallite size of S-Al2O3 was calculated using the Debye–Scherrer equation ($D = k\lambda/\beta\cos\theta$), in which $k$ is a dimensionless shape factor, with a typical value of about 0.9 [23]. $D$ is the crystal size, $\lambda$ is the wavelength of X-ray, $\theta$ is the Bragg angle in radians, and $\beta$ is the full width at half maximum of the peak in radians.

Thermal analyses were conducted by means of thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA), using a TGA/SDTA851 (Mettler-Toledo, Zaventem, Belgium), as well as of differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), using a DSC821 instrument (Mettler-Toledo, Zaventem, Belgium). TGA was carried out from 25 to 900 °C in the case of S-Al2O3 powder samples and from 100 to 600 °C in the case of dry membrane samples, under air flux at a scan rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$. DSC was carried out on fully humidified membrane samples in the temperature range between 100 and 180 °C at a scan rate of 20 °C min$^{-1}$ under nitrogen flux.

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy measurements were performed on alumina powders by using a Bruker Alpha spectrometer in the frequency range 400–4000 cm$^{-1}$. The S-Al2O3 was dissolved in a KBr matrix and analyzed in transmission mode.

Fuel cell tests were performed using a compact fuel cell stack (Scribner Associates Inc, Southern Pines, NC, USA) connected to a 5 cm$^2$ cell fixture. The performances were analyzed in terms of cell potential, power density, impedance spectroscopy, and the ohmic potential drop within the cell. The membrane electrode assembly (MEA) was prepared as follows: the surface of the electrodes (BASF, 0.5 mg Pt cm$^{-2}$) was brushed with 0.4 mg Nafion solution, resulting in ca. 0.4 mg dry Nafion per cm$^{-2}$. The membrane was hot-pressed between two electrodes at 120 °C and 10 atm for 7 min. The cell was fed with hydrogen and air under 1 atm at anode and cathode side, respectively. The humidification of the cell was accomplished by bubbling the fed gases through stainless steel cylinders incorporated in the compact system and containing distilled water. The temperature of the humidifiers, as well as that of the cell, was properly set to achieve the desired relative humidity. In operando electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed with the 880 Impedance Analyzer in the 10 kHz–1 Hz frequency range. The amplitude of the sine wave was chosen to be 5% of the DC current present at 0.65-V cell potential. The impedance spectra were analyzed by the ZView software program (Scribner Associates) for the equivalent circuit fitting.

Results and discussions

Synthesis and structural analysis

The XRD patterns of S-Al2O3 synthesized through the three different procedures are shown in Fig. 1 and S1. The presence of γ-alumina (γ-Al2O3, PDF#00–046-1131) phase has been confirmed in all the samples. In the case of SGSA (Figure S1), strong peaks assigned to aluminum sulfate (Al2(SO4)3, PDF#00–081-1835) were observed, which is an ionic compound not suitable for fuel cell application due to its solubility into water. Moreover, under fuel cell working conditions, such ionic species are expected to poison Nafion membrane conductivity, reducing the overall cell performance [24].

In the case of the series of SFA samples (Fig. 1(a)), only the peaks related to γ-Al2O3 are visible. Therefore, the sulfated ratio of these samples is expected to be low. The crystallite size of these materials was calculated based on the most intense (4 0 0) peak at around 67°, and the values were very similar for all the samples (i.e., 7.57, 7.57, and 7.37 nm for pristine Al2O3, SFA-uc, and SFA, respectively). The crystallite size of pristine Al2O3 is preserved through this room temperature sulfation procedure. We therefore can confirm that this sulfation pathway is sufficiently mild, which does not cause the deterioration of pristine Al2O3 crystalline structure, but not effective enough in terms of sulfation rate as the presence of sulfated alumina was not visible in the XRD spectra.

In contrast to the SGSA and SFA, the series of HSA samples exhibited multiple crystalline phases of γ-Al2O3,
3Al₂O₃•4SO₃•xH₂O, and Al₂(SO₄)₃ (Fig. 1(b)), and the composition of these materials was different depending on the purification procedures after the autoclave sulfation. The HSA-ud, which was not pre-dried prior to the calcination, exhibited solely the peaks of γ-Al₂O₃, while the HSA-uf, which was not filtered during the purification, showed intense peaks of Al₂(SO₄)₃ and 3Al₂O₃•4SO₃•xH₂O, at 15.1°, 25.3°, and 28.5°, and minor peaks associated to γ-Al₂O₃. The former result suggests that pre-drying at 120 °C overnight before calcination is important to improve the sulfation ratio of aluminum oxide. However, when pre-drying was carried out without filtration, formation of Al₂(SO₄)₃ was revealed, which is undesired for fuel cell applications. This is most likely because HSA-uf was dried in the presence of sulfate anion excess in the solution, since it was not filtered, and this can lead to the formation of ionic compounds. By combining the filtration and pre-dry procedures, HSA was obtained, and in this case, the peaks related to γ-Al₂O₃ and 3Al₂O₃•4SO₃•xH₂O were observed. This means that both pretreatment procedures are necessary for the selective formation of 3Al₂O₃•4SO₃•xH₂O apart from Al₂(SO₄)₃. Because the presence of Al₂(SO₄)₃ was confirmed in the case of SGSA and HSA-uf, these samples have been excluded from further assessments.

**Evaluation of sulfation ratio using TGA**

In order to evaluate the amount of sulfate groups bonded to the aluminum oxide surface, TGA was performed on the four powder samples under air in a temperature range between 25 and 900 °C (Fig. 2(a)). Below 500 °C, the weight loss is generally derived from dehydration and removal of chemisorbed OH groups. S-Al₂O₃ samples are expected to show another important mass loss between 500 and 900 °C, due to the removal of sulfate groups bonded to the surface of the alumina oxide.

In the table inserted in Fig. 2, the weight of samples at 400 °C (W₄₀₀) and 900 °C (W₉₀₀) and the difference between these values (ΔW) are reported. The ΔW can be regarded as a sulfated ratio of S-Al₂O₃ in weight. The largest ΔW was 13.0% for HSA, confirming a sufficient sulfation degree and suggesting a high surface acidity compared to the other samples. Especially, the SFA samples (blue curves) showed limited ΔW less than 4%, which indicates that the room temperature synthesis does not promote the sulfation process effectively. In the case of HSA, the removal of sulfate groups from the sample occurred at the temperature above the calcination temperature, specifically 550 °C, suggesting that the sulfate groups are strongly bonded to the oxide surface. In order to demonstrate this assumption, the HSA powder was...
treated in boiling water and a new TGA trace was recorded (Fig. 2(b) HSA-hyd, top). The treated sample exhibits $\Delta W$ of 11%, which is 2% smaller than that of pristine HSA. This is because some sulfate groups were lost due to the hydrolysis. However, major part of sulfate groups is present even after the harsh treatment, confirming a high stability of sulfate crystalline phase on the HSA surface. Furthermore, two types of sulfate groups differently bonded to the HSA sample surface become visible by performing derivative thermal gravimetric analysis (DTGA), as reported in Fig. 2(b), bottom. Specifically, two decomposition steps centered at ca. 723 °C and 836 °C are detected, the latter of which is diminished after the hydrolysis-stability test. As reported in literature [25], three different sulfate species, i.e. surface sulfate, multilayer sulfate, and crystallized $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$, can be identified by the DTGA peaks at ca. 950 °C, 630 °C, and 800 °C, respectively. Similarly, in our case, the intense peak at the lower temperature is considered to arise from the sulfate groups organized in multilayers, whereas we deem the small and broad peak around 836 °C is attributed to the surface sulfate. Indeed, the presence of $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$ in HSA was not revealed by XRD analysis. In addition, according to the same literature, surface sulfate exhibits the peak centered at 950 °C, being it broad and starting below 800 °C when the $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ concentration of the sulfation solution is low alike the present study. After the hydrolysis, the peak around 836 °C was diminished which is probably because the surface sulfate groups were hydrolyzed and washed out during the treatment in boiling water. In contrast to the decrease in the peak intensity at 836 °C, the minor change of the peak at 726 °C confirms the high stability of sulfate groups organized in multilayers against the hydrolysis.

FTIR results

With the aim of better interpretation about the sulfate coordination on $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, FTIR spectroscopy measurements were carried out and reported in Fig. 3. The spectra of S-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ were compared to that of the pristine $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ powder as reference. In all the spectra, the distinctive bands related to Al-O bending vibrations were observed below 1000 cm$^{-1}$. The spectra reported in Fig. 3 are therefore normalized by using the absorption intensity at 827 cm$^{-1}$. In addition, the typical bands associated with the bending and stretching vibration of OH groups at 1630 cm$^{-1}$ and 3385 cm$^{-1}$ as well as the bands related to sulfate groups at around 1150 cm$^{-1}$ are observed. The intensity of the peak related to sulfate groups increased in the following order: $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ < SFA < SFA-uc ~ HSA-ud < HSA, confirming the high sulfate concentration in the HSA sample.

The most important features for inorganic particles to be used as filler in Nafion composite membranes are its acidity and the adsorption property of water on its surface. In the case of HSA sample, the O–H stretching vibration appearing at 3385 cm$^{-1}$ is broad and asymmetric. Aricò et al. [26] have reported that this broad peak can be divided into two: one in the range of 3040–3240 cm$^{-1}$ due to the surface acid–base functional O–H and the other in the range of 3400–3540 cm$^{-1}$ due to O–H of physically adsorbed water. Although the peak in the present study is difficult to decipher, taking similar positions and ratio of peak intensities into account, the main peak centered at 3385 cm$^{-1}$ is considered to be attributed to water physically absorbed onto the oxide surfaces. In the same paper, it is also reported that this peak shifts to lower frequencies as a function of surface acidity of the filler, since the O–H bending frequency decreases due to strong hydrogen bonds of water with highly acidic fillers. In the present case, the peak position becomes lower in the following order: $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (3460 cm$^{-1}$) > SFA-uc (3453 cm$^{-1}$) > SFA (3446 cm$^{-1}$) > HSA-ud and HSA (3433 cm$^{-1}$). Consequently, we assume that hydrothermally sulfated samples possess a higher acidity compared to SFA samples. To confirm this, the acidity of the sample was evaluated based on the ion-exchange capacity (IEC), which expresses the amount of exchangeable protons [27]. The number of acid sites per gram was 0.15 meq g$^{-1}$ for $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ oxide and 0.35 meq g$^{-1}$ for the HSA sample. The superior surface acidity of HSA was thus confirmed.

Furthermore, the coordination mode of sulfate groups in S-$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ can be recognized by analyzing the intense and asymmetric peak at about 1150 cm$^{-1}$ [28]. Upon the adsorption of sulfate onto mineral surfaces, the symmetry $\nu_3$ band is known to split into either 3 or 2 peaks when bidentate

**Fig. 3** FTIR spectra of the series of SFA and HSA samples as well as the commercial alumina oxide.
or monodentate coordination is formed, respectively [29]. As shown in Fig. 4, the asymmetric peak in the range of 1050–1250 cm\(^{-1}\) can be accurately fitted by two Gaussian peaks in all cases, which suggests the formation of monodentate coordination of the sulfate groups on \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\). In the case of HSA, a visible deviation of the fitted curve (broken red line) from the original one (black solid line) was found at around 1170 cm\(^{-1}\). Even though the peak fitting using three Gaussian peaks did not converged, there is a possibility that HSA also includes bidentate sulfate groups. Interestingly, the linear correlation was found when the intensity ratio of the two peaks at higher frequency and lower frequency is plotted as a function of the sulfated ratio determined by means of TGA, i.e. \(\Delta W\) (Figure S2). Even though the change in peak intensity ratio has been frequently observed in similar systems, i.e. adsorption of sulfate onto several mineral compounds, the reason is still under discussion because the peak intensity can be caused by many factors such as adsorbed moisture and presence of free sulfate [30]. In the literature [31], the change in the peak intensity is explained by the possible formation of adsorbed bisulfate.

**Characterization of composite membranes**

Composite membranes were then prepared by using HSA filler, which was filtrated and pre-dried prior to the calcination (see Table 1), that exhibited the most promising properties based on XRD, TGA, and FTIR analyses. The obtained composite membrane samples, labelled as M-HSA, are opaque white, because of the presence of the inorganic additive particles, whereas plain Nafion membrane, used as reference for comparison purpose, is completely transparent. Each membrane results in uniform thickness, with values in the dry state of 85 \(\mu\text{m}\) and 90 \(\mu\text{m}\) for Nafion and M-HSA, respectively (Table 2).

The thermal stability of the membranes was investigated by TGA, reported in Figure S3. A slight weight loss below 300 °C is due to removal of water from the Nafion matrix [32]. A better thermal stability was found for pure Nafion, exhibiting higher decomposition temperature. The decomposition of Nafion occurs in three different steps [32]: (1) desulfonation process around 300 °C, (2) decomposition of perfluoroether side chains above 370 °C, and (3) decomposition of the fluorocarbon backbone above 430 °C. In the case of the composite membrane, the desulfonation was found to be comparable to that of pure Nafion membrane, while the decompositions of side chain and backbone occurred in a single step which was observed at lower temperature.

| Property | Filler (%) | Thickness (\(\mu\text{m}\)) | \(T_{\text{onset}}\) (°C) | \(\Delta H\) (J g\(^{-1}\)) |
|----------|------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Nafion   | 0          | 85                       | 131.2           | 454.8            |
| M-HSA    | 5          | 90                       | 142.8           | 248.0            |

Fig. 4 Gauss curve fitting of FTIR spectra of S-\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) samples

Table 2 Properties of HSA-doped and undoped Nafion membranes
known to occur when the Nafion matrix is rendered less mobile by the interaction with additives [33]. It is reported that the sulfated metal oxides have Lewis acid sites, which contribute to the formation of a Brønsted acid sites on the water molecule absorbed to the filler surface [15]. Similarly, in the present case, HSA filler itself has Lewis acid property, and the water absorbed on to the filler is expected to exhibit high hydrogen donor ability. Taking into consideration that Nafion has perfluorinated vinyl ether chains, Nafion is considered to form ion–dipole interactions with HSA and hydrogen bonds with the absorbed water. These interactions probably reduced the flexibility of Nafion matrix and caused the degradation phenomena at a lower temperature with respect to a pristine Nafion membrane. However, both membranes were confirmed to be stable in the temperature range, in which they are expected to be used as the electrolyte in PEMFCs [34].

Quantification of the state of water in the membrane is of great interest because it influences the proton transport properties of the membranes. Therefore, DSC analysis of M-HSA and Nafion was performed (Fig. 5), revealing that the endothermic peak attributed to order–disorder transition of ionic clusters in Nafion is present in both cases. The onset temperature ($T_{\text{onset}}$) and the specific enthalpy value ($\Delta H$) of the transition, calculated by the integration of the endothermic peak, are reported in Table 2. According to the literature [35], solely water interacting with hydrophilic Nafion matrix contributes to this thermal transition. In particular, $\Delta H$ value increases by increasing the water content [20] because water is the major component in the ionic clusters, meanwhile the transition temperature decreases due to the plasticizer effect of water. As summarized in Table 2, the composite membrane shows a lower $\Delta H$ value and a higher transition temperature compared to plain Nafion, suggesting the hydration degree of M-HSA is lower than that of pristine Nafion. This finding can be explained as follows: it can be due to a non-optimized distribution of the filler within the Nafion matrix, which obstructs the mobility of the chain segments and restricts the release of water [36, 37], or it can be related to preferential filler-to-polymer interactions, preventing a direct coordination of water with the polymer hydrophilic groups. The latter is also supported by TGA results, where strong interactions of the acidic HSA filler with Nafion side chains were already supposed.

**Fuel cell performances**

Fuel cell performances, employing the M-HSA composite membrane, were investigated as a function of temperature under humidified conditions. Figure 6 (a) shows polarization and power curves recorded at 70 °C and 90 °C. Results on an undoped Nafion-based fuel cell, investigated in the same conditions, are shown in Fig. 6 (b) as a reference, which
were already published in our previous work [20]. No performance improvements in terms of maximum current and power delivered are observed for the M-HSA-based system with respect to a plain Nafion membrane. In addition, the composite membrane shows a higher loss of potential, in particular in the activation and mass-diffusion regions of the polarization curve. As already discussed for the DSC analysis, a non-optimized distribution of HSA within Nafion matrix might be possible, hindering proton/water diffusivity and reducing the overall fuel cell performance.

The effect of our additive on the proton conduction resistance in the Nafion membrane was estimated by ohmic resistance measurements of the cell ($R_{\text{ohm}}$ in $\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$) using the current interrupt method (Fig. 7). In our previous work [38], results on plain Nafion-based fuel cell, investigated in the same conditions for a membrane of comparable thickness, are published. The M-HSA composite membrane shows slightly higher $R_{\text{ohm}}$ than plain Nafion membrane, suggesting a lower proton conductivity due to the presence of the inorganic filler. However, the $R_{\text{ohm}}$ of the cell decreases as the current density increases and reaches values lower than those of the plain Nafion membrane. Also, based on the value of $R_{\text{ohm}}$, the ionic conductivity was calculated and reported in Fig. 7 and Table 3. The conductivity is confirmed to be higher than $10^{-2}$ S cm$^{-1}$ under the investigated conditions. It should be noted that this value is mainly related to the ionic conductivity of the membrane but it is also affected by the cell components and operating conditions because the ohmic and activation losses during cell potential changes are not separable [39, 40].

The ionic conductivity was also analyzed through in operando impedance spectroscopy, recorded while polarizing the cell at 0.65 V (Figure S4). In the ESI, the spectra of pristine Nafion are also reported as a reference. The spectra of the composite M-HSA were fitted by using the equivalent circuit $R_{\Omega}(R_{\text{ct},1}Q)(R_{\text{ct},2}Q)$, where $R_{\Omega}$ is the ohmic resistance which corresponds to the intercept on the real axis in the higher frequency region, $Q$ is the phase constant element, and $R_{\text{ct},1}$ and $R_{\text{ct},2}$ are the charge transfer resistances which reflect the

---

### Table 3

| Temperature (°C) | Current interrupt method @ 200 mA cm$^{-2}$ | EIS @ 0.65 V |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------|--------------|
|                 | $R_{\Omega}$ (mΩ) | $\sigma$ (mS cm$^{-1}$) | $R_{\Omega}$ (mΩ) | $R_{\text{ct},1}$ (mΩ) | $R_{\text{ct},2}$ (mΩ) | $R_{\text{ct}}$* (mΩ) | $\sigma$ (mS cm$^{-1}$) |
| 70 °C           | 25.7             | 69.9            | 24.1             | 117.2            | 64.4             | 181.6             | 74.7            |
| 90 °C           | 20.9             | 85.8            | 19.7             | 114.9            | 82.6             | 197.5             | 91.4            |

* $R_{\text{ct}} = R_{\text{ct},1} + R_{\text{ct},2}$
diameter of semi-circles in the mid (200–10 Hz) and lower (10–1 Hz) frequency regions, respectively. As summarized in Table 3, the RΩ and the corresponding ionic conductivity, evaluated by EIS, are comparable to those evaluated by the current interruption method under comparable polarization conditions (i.e., at 200 mA cm⁻²). As expected, RΩ decreases with temperature, due to lower activation energy towards proton conductivity in the membrane. By comparing these values, evaluated by EIS, with those of pristine Nafion membrane (Figure S4), it can be noticed that the HSA addition to the Nafion membrane does not compromise the ohmic resistance, and the unfavorable RΩ increase by the temperature rise is more controlled in the case of composite membrane. In the spectra of M-HSA, two overlapping semicircles are visible in the mid-low frequency region, with the mid frequency arc due to the combination of an effective charge transfer resistance for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) as well as double-layer capacitance within the catalyst layer, whereas the second arc at lower frequencies is associated with the mass-transport limitations of gas phase reactant within the gas diffusion media. In contrast to RΩ decreasing with temperature rise, the overall charge transfer resistance (Rct) increases with temperature, resulting in 181.6 mΩ at 70 °C and 197.5 mΩ at 90 °C, which is mainly caused by the increase of Rct,2, i.e., the mass-transport resistance. Indeed, the cell potential plot below 0.5 V (see Fig. 6) decreases slightly more steeply at 90 °C. This behavior generally becomes more evident when fuel cell operates under fully humidified conditions because water can block micro pores in the catalyst layer and cause the mass-transport limitations in the O₂ diffusion layers [41].

It is worth noticing that a general good control in cell performance variation upon the temperature increase is obtained when using the composite M-HSA membrane. When the relative power density change (ΔP) is calculated, by considering maximum power density values, according to the following equation:

\[ \Delta P(\%) = \left( \frac{P_{90\degree\text{C}} - P_{70\degree\text{C}}}{P_{90\degree\text{C}}} \right) \times 100 \]

the ΔP values are found to be 8.7% for M-HSA and 17.2% for plain Nafion (called N cell in the literature [20]), which demonstrate that the M-HSA membrane is able to retain better performance at higher temperatures. Therefore, the addition of M-HSA was found to decrease the overall cell performance but to improve the temperature-dependent cell response.

Conclusions

In the present work, the physical chemical properties of sulfated aluminum oxides, prepared by different synthetic routes and used as additive in Nafion membranes, are compared. A tailored hydrothermal synthesis is proposed as the most convenient way, among those investigated, to obtain pure, super acidic S-Al₂O₃. Higher efficiency of sulfation was found in the following order: HSA > HSA-uf > FSA > FSA-uc, as confirmed by the presence of 3Al₂O₃•4SO₃•xH₂O in XRD analysis, the higher weight loss due to removal of sulfate groups in TG analysis, and a stronger peak intensity of the sulfate group in FTIR spectra. The Nafion membrane incorporating a 5 wt.% of HSA additive was investigated and its applicability in PEMFCs was tested. The M-HSA composite electrolyte showed lower hydration degree and fuel cell performances compared to plain Nafion, most likely due to a non-uniform dispersion of the filler and to preferential filler-to-polymer interactions, affecting water distribution. Anyhow, low ohmic resistance and better fuel cell performance retention are obtained by using M-HSA, with respect to undoped Nafion, when the cell operation temperature is increased. This highlights the beneficial effect of the acidic inorganic filler, supporting proton conductivity and stabilizing the membrane properties for higher temperature operation.
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