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Abstract
This paper is devoted to the analysis of value concepts and theories that provided the basis for the integrated reporting development, their implementation, and disclosure in integrated reporting practice. It is widely accepted that traditional financial reporting no longer meets the needs of its users — the analysis of historical financial information is backwards-looking, the emphasis on financial capital is not any more relevant for every company due to the involvement of other capitals that more significantly contribute to the company's success. Integrated reporting is meant to bridge this reporting gap. The paper also provides a high-level overview of current progress in integrated reporting adoption on the international level.
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Nowadays integrated reporting is the most cutting-edge approach to modern corporate reporting. It is not surprising that for many companies stakeholder engagement has already become a common practice. In accordance with this trend, the demand for integrated reports is growing swiftly — organizations are eager to satisfy the information needs of stakeholders who are in turn interested in obtaining in-depth understanding of the company they are involved with. Thus, both organizations and stakeholders may take advantage of integrated reporting, which can become the largest source of information and contributor to company’s success.

The primary goal of an integrated report is to provide for all stakeholders a clear understanding of how a company creates value over time. Value creation is an ultimate objective of each company and measure of its performance. Thereby, the value and value creation concepts are the backbone of integrated reporting.

Evolution of Value Creation Concepts
In academic literature, there is no uniform interpretation of these concepts; the value is variously defined by many types of research. One of the definitions is presented by A. Haller (2016): the notion “value” in general means the appreciation of the benefits that are gained by the person (or a group) from an object or some issue. There are separate approaches to different types of value (e.g. economic, societal or moral etc.), however, the conventional understanding of value in a business world traditionally boils down to the economic approach implying that corporations create value measurable in the monetary terms.

The evolution of value creation concepts starts with shareholder value theory that emerged prior to all other views. Capitalists and corporations regarded the maximization of shareholder wealth as the dominant objective for decades. The theory proposes that the value
should be primarily created for owners of the business and the overriding duty of management is to maximize shareholder returns.

In the pursuit of shareholder profit maximization, it was conceded that such problems as focus on short-term profits, neglecting innovations due to resources distraction and accounting fraud caused management to make bad business decisions which led to the global corporate scandals and financial crises. The viability of shareholder value theory was questioned, and as a consequence, the new alternative concepts were proposed.

Creating shared value (CSV) concept was introduced in 2006 by distinguished professors M. Porter and M. Kramer (2011). According to their views, creating “shared value” implies generating economic value in a way that also produces value for society by addressing its challenges. CSV is a particular kind of business strategy by implementing which a company gears its business model delivering shared value and integrating it with social needs. For realizing this concept, a company should identify one or two vital societal problems that are considered key to the company’s success and by fully resolving them, it becomes capable of increasing its profits (Kramer, 2014). Creating shared value approach enhances company’s competitiveness while simultaneously improving the social and economic environment in the communities in which the company operates.

The authors position the concept of CSV as a critique of the corporate social responsibility (CSR) approach that considers societal challenges peripheral to the concerns of business (Vargova, 2015). M. Porter and M. Kramer claim that CSR presents a too narrow approach to social responsibility, since “giving away money is very different than solving a social problem” (Epstein-Reeves, 2012). CSR focuses merely on compliance with community standards, good corporate citizenship and sustainability issues and by substance is closer to philanthropy, therefore it has little in common with profit maximization. By contrast, CSV integrates societal improvement into economic value creation itself. To make the comparison more illustrative, the vision of the evolution of approaches to the role of business in the society is represented in Figure 1.

Another crucial approach to the value concepts tree is stakeholder value creation concept, which is more holistic and elaborate if compared to shareholder value theory. According to our understanding, if we bring all the described value concepts including stakeholder value approach into one line, reflecting their extent of social involvement, it can be illustrated as represented in Figure 2.

The evolution of value creation concepts makes it possible to trace how the priorities of companies, values, and approaches to identifying strategies have changed over time. All these concepts have their weight so far and serve as a basis for the development of the business model and strategy of companies.

**Stakeholder Engagement and Value Creation**

Nowadays companies increasingly understand the necessity of addressing needs and expectations of a larger number of interest groups. It leads to the paradigm shift: from the tra-
ditional shareholder approach to stakeholder concept.

The central place in this theory belongs to a stakeholder. The official definition of this notion is documented in the international standard ISO 26000 “Guidance on social responsibility” produced by International Organisation for Standardization. In accordance with the standard, a stakeholder is an individual or group that has an interest in any decision or activity of an organization (ISO, 2010). Today the relations with stakeholders are regarded as a key strategic priority — stakeholder relationship management has become a vital tool for many companies.

Following R. Freeman (2010), each company has a network of stakeholders represented by internal and external groups and individuals that might or might not have any impact connected with the company. Their relationships are interdependent (Figure 3).

The groups in Figure 3 are simplified examples and can be broken down into several smaller categories. However, it is widely thought that concurrent management of all stakeholder groups is ineffective — a tailored approach is
preferable for each group. For that reason, the academics promote stakeholder prioritization theories. One of such approaches proposed by Clarkson M. B. E. (1995) is concerned with the division between primary and secondary stakeholders. The researcher supposes that primary stakeholders are those groups without whose continuous participation the company would not proceed as a going concern, e.g. customers, suppliers, investors, employees as well as regulators. The secondary stakeholders are those who affect or affected by the activities of the corporation, but they do not have any transactions with it and are not crucial for its survival, e.g. the media, NGOs, social activists and other various interest groups.

The reason why corporations move towards stakeholder engagement is apparent: by enhancing communications between the companies and their stakeholders they try to increase trust, accountability, and transparency of the corporations and derive additional value created from this engagement. Only effectively integrated stakeholder thinking is able to create sustainable stakeholder value.

Stakeholder value creation concept is another fundamental pillar of integrated and sustainability reporting along with the value creation concept. Both of them are covered in the International Integrated Reporting Framework introduced by The International Integrated Reporting Council (the IIRC) in 2013.

The International Integrated Reporting Council (the IIRC), which is a global supporting body for integrated reporting, was established in August 2010 as a result of collaboration of several well-known organizations — International Federation of Accountants (IFAC), The Prince’s Accounting for Sustainability Project and the Global Reporting Initiative (the GRI) with the support of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). The IIRC’s main objective was to develop proposals on the integrated reporting framework based on the already existing GRI’s sustainability reporting guidelines (Owen, 2013). In 2013, after the extensive discussion, which involved business leaders, standard setters, investors and academics from all over the world, the IIRC introduced the International Integrated Reporting Framework and now continues promoting its adoption by the reporting entities on the global level.

According to the stakeholder value creation approach fully covered in the IIRC’s Framework, the value is created within two interrelated aspects: “for the organization itself” and “for others” (The IIRC, 2013). The first part involves financial returns gained by the company and transferred to the providers of capital. Such understanding of value is clearly linked to shareholder value theory. However, financial value is relevant, but not sufficient to assess value creation. This process extends beyond financial benefits that are usually expressed in terms of profit and loss statement, balance sheet and company’s growth. The value may also be represented through utility value assessed by a customer or any other stakeholder within three multiple areas: functional utility (functions the product fulfils), economic utility (the cost of the product) and emotional utility (how the customer feels when using it). The relationship between the two value aspects is demonstrated in Figure 4.

Therefore, the value is also created for “others” — stakeholders and society in general. Thus, the second part implicates stakeholder value approach, which manifests itself through numerous activities, relationships, and interactions. Providers of capital are equally interested in both aspects of creating value for others enhances the ability of the company to create value for itself.

Business Model and Six Capitals Concept
The value is created for all the stakeholders through a selected business model, which is at the heart of each company. The IIRC’s Framework defines a business model as an established system of inputs, business activities, outputs and outcomes that aims to create value over the short, medium and long-term (Business model, 2013). It also can be referred to as a process by which a company strives for creating and sustaining value. The complex model of value creation process is presented in Figure 5.

The value creation cycle starts with the identification of key inputs — the six capitals and extent to which they are involved in the process. This concept is a basement of value creation and has an application focus. The six capitals are defined in Table 1.
Table 1
The six capitals description

| Type of capital          | Description                                                                 | Additional notes                                                                 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Financial capital        | Money of investors and creditors, invested in the company for a long period | Has a direct impact on development and maintenance of other types of capital      |
| Manufactured capital     | Means of production, which are directly involved in the implementation of operational activities | Can include assets manufactured by the producing organization and retained for its own use |
| Intellectual capital     | A set of identifiable and unidentifiable intangible assets, which form a source of intellectual property and organizational capital | The key contributor to company's intellectual capital is its personnel            |
| Human capital            | A set of knowledge, experience, skills, and qualifications of employees, their abilities, opportunities in business strategy implementation, initiative, the motivation for innovation | People are the primary source of human capital, while organizational culture is not a part of human capital |
| Social and relationship capital | The company's reputation in the community; social relationships with customers, suppliers, business partners, employees, public authorities, which are the source of benefits | Employees are often engaged in developing social capital through volunteer work and other social activities |
| Natural capital          | Renewable and non-renewable natural resources, which are involved in economic activities of the organization | Both current and future company's prosperity fundamentally depends on natural capital |

Source: Adapted by the author (Capitals, 2013).
The capitals are not independent; all of them are interconnected and interact within established hierarchy. In Figure 6, the capitals are illustrated in accordance with their mutual configuration and role in the value creation process.

Through business activities, these inputs are converted into outputs. These activities usually include planning, designing and manufacturing products or the application of specialized skills, knowledge, and expertise for the services provided. Following this scheme, the outputs are company’s key products and services. In addition, some other outputs can also result from the activities, such as waste and by-products.

The outputs lead to a range of outcomes, which can be both internal and external in relation to the organization and its stakeholders. These items should be well distinguished — in the example of the car manufacturer, a car is an output and the outcomes for consumers can be mobility, status, and safety; environmental outcomes include an increase in emissions.

Thus, a business model exists at the core of every organization and represents fundamental of its activities. This element is considered a central part of facilitating a better understanding of how a company creates value, therefore, it should be properly disclosed in the integrated report.
Figure 8. Coca-Cola business model disclosure (Coca-Cola, 2015).
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**Serving consumers and communities**
We offer a range of beverages to satisfy evolving consumer preferences and active, healthy lifestyles. By providing products that meet consumer needs and operating a responsible, sustainable business, we create value for the communities where we operate.

**Serving customers effectively**
We manage customer relationships as well as promotions and displays at the point of sale. Our customers rely on us to have a full range of quality products on the shelves every day, so that they can satisfy consumers’ refreshment needs. In order to give our customers the best possible service, we segment each market and serve each customer based on size and need, taking into account prevalent market conditions.

---

### Value created

We create value for our stakeholders and our business by carefully managing the use of and return on all capitals, or inputs.

**Net profit**

€280m

**Reduction in plants**

11%

**Direct employment**

33,311

**Reduction in water consumption**

5.5%

**Water replenishment rate of**

164%

**Total taxes**

€271m

**Volunteer hours**

6,000

**Spend on community programmes**

€8.2m

---

### Value shared with

**Shareholders**

By running a profitable, sustainable, responsible business, we create value which is subsequently retained by our business, making it stronger, and shared with all of our stakeholders.

Through the process of managing all inputs to our business well, we create profits which benefit shareholders through dividend payments and share value.

**Suppliers**

As we create value, we support businesses throughout our value chain, and support job creation beyond our business.

**Employees**

Developing, recognising and rewarding our people secures a skilled and motivated workforce.

**Customers**

Our efforts to produce products efficiently and responsibly builds value for our customers’ businesses.

**Communities**

When our business is profitable, sustainable and responsible, the communities where we operate benefit through job creation, tax payments to governments, useful products and services, and minimisation of environmental impact. We also consistently invest 2% to 2.5% of our pre-tax profits in programmes to support communities in our territory.

---

Find out more about how we share value with our stakeholders in the following pages.
How our activities deliver financial value

Listen & Respond
We use comprehensive data to understand what customers want to buy and how they want to shop.

Strategy & Planning
Robust financial management ensures we are able to continue to invest in our business and deliver profitable growth for our shareholders.

Develop & Design
New ideas fuel future performance, which is why attracting and developing talent is central to the future of our business.

How our activities deliver non-financial value

Listen & Respond
Our customers trust in the M&S brand is a key point of difference. We retain this competitive advantage by doing things in the most responsible way – we do the work so our customers don’t have to.

Strategy & Planning
We improve efficiency and reduce waste across the business through the effective use of our resource and sourcing systems.

Develop & Design
By cultivating talent and encouraging diversity, we have an engaged and autonomous workforce empowered to put our customers first.

How our activities deliver strategic value

Listen & Respond
By analysing what our customers want, we ensure our growth plans are right for the future of M&S.

Strategy & Planning
Our UK store estate programme will drive sales growth by ensuring that we have an estate that reflects how our customers want to shop.

Develop & Design
By constantly improving product quality and choice, we drive growth by making M&S more relevant to our customers more often.

Source & Buy
We capitalise on the strong, long-term relationships we have with our suppliers to deliver efficiencies, improve margins and drive profitability without compromising on the quality of our products.

Brand & Sell
Our brand is at the heart of the M&S difference and we create unique products that drive financial value.

Serve & Engage
We build and maintain customer loyalty by prioritising customer service and linking it to our employee benefits.

Source & Buy
We are leading the way on sourcing products with integrity to exceed customers’ expectations on quality, safety and sustainable sourcing.

Brand & Sell
We have built our brand on robust standards of responsibly sourced products and services.

Serve & Engage
We bring our brand to life by driving engagement and participation in store, online and through community support and volunteering.

Source & Buy
Our progress towards a more flexible and direct sourcing operation is benefiting our Clothing & Home margins.

Brand & Sell
We sell our products through our own branded channels, empowering us with the ability to grow and develop them in the way that is right for our customers.

Serve & Engage
The rationale behind every strategic decision starts with our customer – we want a winning culture built around giving them great products and service.

Figure 9. Marks & Spenser business model disclosure (Marks & Spencer, 2017).
### RELATED RISK FACTORS

**Financial performance risks**
- There are a number of risks related to how we deliver financial value:
  1. Clothing & Home recovery
  2. Food safety and integrity
  3. Corporate responsibility
  4. Information security (including cyber)
  5. Customer proposition & experience
  6. Talent & succession
  7. Brand

**Non-financial performance risks**
- There are a number of risks related to how we deliver non-financial value:
  1. Clothing & Home recovery
  2. Food safety and integrity
  3. Corporate responsibility
  4. Information security (including cyber)
  5. Customer proposition & experience

**Strategic performance risks**
- There are a number of risks related to how we deliver strategic value:
  1. Clothing & Home recovery
  2. Technology
  3. Margin
  4. UK store estate
  5. Profitable growth

### ACCOUNTABILITY

**Financial accountability**
- BOARD
  - OPERATING COMMITTEE

**Non-financial accountability**
- BOARD
  - OPERATING COMMITTEE
  - ADVISORY PLAN A COMMITTEE
  - OPERATIONAL PLAN A COMMITTEE

**Strategic accountability**
- BOARD
  - OPERATING COMMITTEE

### OUTPUTS

**Key financial measures**
- Group revenue
- Group profit before tax and adjusted items
- Adjusted earnings per share
- Dividend per share
- Return on capital employed
- Free cash flow (pre-shareholder returns)

**Key non-financial measures**
- Total Food customers and average number of shops per customer
- Total Clothing & Home customers and average number of shops per customer
- Employee engagement score
- Percentage of products with a Plan A quality
- Greenhouse gas emissions (tonnes)
- Greenhouse gas emissions (per sq ft)

**Key strategic measures**
- Food UK revenue
- Food gross margin
- Food like-for-like revenue growth
- UK space growth – Food
- Clothing & Home UK revenue
- Clothing & Home gross margin
- Clothing & Home UK like-for-like revenue growth
- International revenue
- International operating profit
- International space growth
- M&S.com sales
- M&S.com weekly site visits

### KEY OUTCOMES

**Financial value created**
- Strong profits build strong cash position
- Returns to shareholders
- Taxes to government
- Increased investment opportunities
- Employee rewards

**Non-financial value created**
- Maintained and improved reputation with consumers
- Better trained and fully committed employees
- Stronger relationships with suppliers and communities
- Culture where innovation and agility thrive

**Strategic value created**
- Growth in sales, product range and presence
- Supply chain efficiency
- Increased customer base with broadening appeal
- A more dynamic, flexible and agile business
Disclosing Value Creation in Integrated Reports

The background paper for IIRC Integrated Reporting Framework provides a value creation model disclosure map, which is a practical guidance issued to help companies arrange their business model disclosures (Figure 7). The organizations are not required to strictly adhere to the topics on the map, however, they are to choose those elements which appropriately represent company’s environment and flow of capitals.

The disclosure map proposes to structure the information in the integrated report in accordance with four major elements of business model — inputs, business activities, outputs, and outcomes. Further, a company should identify key points in each segment relevant to the specifics of its value creation model.

To instantiate how companies try to implement disclosure on value creation in their integrated reports, we would analyse several reporting examples of well-known companies: Coca-Cola Hellenic Bottling Company and Marks & Spencer Group Plc.
The most illustrative and meeting all the requirements is Coca-Cola disclosure on business model represented in the integrated report for 2015 FY (Figure 8). The company intelligently adapted the guidelines from IIRC’s Framework and demonstrated the full value creation process starting from the six capitals (inputs) and ending with the value created and shared (outputs and outcomes). The capitals are shortly described in the company’s context and specified in the other sections of the report. Business activities are combined in one continuous process by which the value is added. The columns “Values created” and “Value shared” provides stakeholders with insight into the range of outputs and outcomes, demonstrating both financial measures and non-financial impacts.

Another valuable example is Marks & Spencer integrated report introduced for 2017 FY (Figure 9). It has a distinguishing feature from Coca-Cola report: Marks & Spencer uses an enhancing element in its business model disclosure — it is structured based on financial, non-financial and strategic objectives of the company, which are reflected in each section of the model, including business activities, outputs, and outcomes. The company also included some supplementary points concerning related risks factors and accountability schemes, thus they are separately disclosed further in the report. Moreover, Marks & Spencer business model chart introduces distinct outputs and outcomes sections: the outputs are represented by a number of key measures, which directly influence shareholder value, the outcomes — by concise logical conclusions. However, the weak point concerns inputs to the model — the six capitals are not properly described and no references are mentioned.

Overall, the reviewed value creation models are introduced by leading global companies and pioneers in integrated reporting, therefore, the disclosures are properly designed and in accordance with high standards. Nevertheless, the information in the report provided for stakeholders always needs further improvement.

Integrated Reporting at the Global Level
In general, the international integrated reporting practice is only gaining momentum, since the active implementation of standards by companies provided by the International Integrated Reporting Framework started in 2013. It is worth noting that the first country, which began developing integrated reporting guidelines, was South Africa. Since 2010, it established its national Integrated Reporting Committee of South Africa (IRC) that developed an integrated reporting framework for South African companies and this very framework was used in the preparation of the global guidance.

Today South Africa is the only country where integrated reporting is universally mandatory for all companies listed on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (JSE) due to the requirements of the King Code of Governance (King III) issued in 2009 and stated that all the entities are to prepare an integrated report or explain why they did not do so (Roberts, 2017).

According to the KPMG’s research of corporate responsibility reporting, in 2017 14% of all participated companies resealed integrated reports (KPMG, 2017). In 2015, this figure equalled to 10% — the percentage of companies that confirmed their reports are integrated (KPMG, 2015).

Despite a slight global growth, there has been considerable increase in the number of integrated report issued by companies in particular in four countries: in Japan 42 out of 100 companies released their reports in the form of integrated reports (+100%), in Brazil and Mexico both 21 more reports were issued (+267% and +320%), and in Spain — 9 reports (+33%) (Figure 10).

For Russian companies, preparation and presentation of non-financial reporting including integrated reporting in accordance with the global practices and requirements of international standards is a relatively new experience, which definitely causes certain difficulties (Efimova, 2017). Notwithstanding, an organization in Russia willingly issues different types of non-financial reports — according to the Russian Union of Industrialists and Entrepreneurs (the RSPP) since 2000 up to now companies released 799 reports, almost 75% of which are represented by corporate social responsibility and sustainability reports. Moreover, Russian companies have already published 140 integrated reports (18%) (Table 2).
At present, the Russian government approved the Concept for the Development of Public Non-Financial Reporting by issuing the Resolution № 876-p as of May 5, 2017. Further development of legal framework, methodological recommendations, and other supporting guidance would accelerate the adoption and full implementation of the International Integrated Reporting Framework in Russia, and as a consequence, drive the increase in both non-financial reporting quantity and quality.

Conclusions
The scientists, business leaders, governments, and institutes started exploring the issues of maintaining our world in balance quite a long time ago. Substantial results have been already achieved in many related spheres as well as in integrated and sustainability reporting field. The concepts introduced in this paper on which the integrated reporting is based significantly contributed to its development and continue serving as its essential theoretical pillar.

Integrated reporting is frequently referred to as the future of corporate reporting, and nowadays more and more companies issue annual integrated reports to provide stakeholders with the information they need. The business model, one of the key instruments of an integrated report, is the vehicle that defines and executes an organization’s strategy and maps out the process by which a company creates sustainable value over time. Summarising how an organization uses the various capitals to implement its strategy provides a valuable insight into company’s ability to create value over different time horizons. Disclosing such information is essential for each company and highly appreciable for stakeholders since it plays a significant role in enhancing the company’s future resilience.

References
Clarkson, M. B. E. (1995). A Stakeholder Framework for Analyzing and Evaluating Corporate Social Performance. *The Academy of Management Review, 20*(1), 92–117. Retrieved from https://www.jstor.org/stable/258888?seq=15#page_scan_tab_contents.

Coca-Cola Hellenic Bottling Company. (2015). *Integrated Annual Report 2015*. Retrieved from http://examples.integratedreporting.org/fragment/572.

Efimova, O. V. (2017). Public Non-Financial Reporting in Russia: Problems and Prospects of Development. In *Materials of XII International Scientific Conference “Accounting, Analysis and Audit: History, Present, and Prospects of Development”* (pp. 25–30). Retrieved from https://elibrary.ru/download/elibrary_32465118_10104043.pdf.

Epstein-Reeves, J. (2012). What is ‘Creating Shared Value’? Retrieved from https://www.forbes.com/sites/csr/2012/06/04/what-is-creating-shared-value/#5d18dc602bf1.

Freeman, R. E. (2010). *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Haller, A. (2016). Value Creation: A Core Concept of Integrated Reporting. In C. Mio (Ed.), *Integrated Reporting: A New Accounting Disclosure* (pp. 37–59). London: Palgrave Macmillan.

International Organization for Standardization. (2010). *ISO 26000 — Guidance on Social Responsibility*. Retrieved from http://www.cnis.gov.cn/wzgg/201405/P02014051222495099020.pdf.

KPMG. (2017). *Survey of Corporate Responsibility Reporting 2017: The Road Ahead*. Retrieved from https://assets.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmg/xx/pdf/2017/10/kpmg-survey-of-corporate-responsibility-reporting-2017.pdf.

KPMG. (2015). *Survey of Corporate Responsibility Reporting 2015: Currents of Change*. Retrieved from https://assets.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmg/pdf/2016/02/kpmg-international-survey-of-corporate-responsibility-reporting-2015.pdf.

Kramer, M. (2014). Creating shared value through stakeholder engagement. — Delivering tomorrow: Dialog on future trends. Retrieved from https://delivering-tomorrow.com/creating-shared-value-through-stakeholder-engagement/.

Marks & Spencer Group Plc. (2017). *Annual Report and Financial Statements 2017*. Retrieved from http://examples.integratedreporting.org/fragment/683.
Owen, G. (2013). Integrated Reporting: A Review of Developments and their Implications for the Accounting Curriculum. Accounting Education, 22(4), 340–356. Retrieved from https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/09639284.2013.817798?needAccess=true.

Porter, M. E., Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating Shared Value. Harvard Business Review, 1–2 (January — February 2011), 62–77. Retrieved from https://hbr.org/2011/01/the-big-idea-creating-shared-value.

Roberts, L. G. (2017, July). Integrated Reporting: The South African Experience. The CPA Journal. Retrieved from https://www.cpajournal.com/2017/07/28/integrated-reporting-south-african-experience/.

The International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC). (2013). Business Model. Background Paper for <IR>. Retrieved from https://integratedreporting.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/Business_Model.pdf.

The International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC). (2013). Capitals. Background Paper for <IR>. Retrieved from http://integratedreporting.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/IR-Background-Paper-Capitals.pdf.

The International Integrated Reporting Council (the IIRC). (2013). The International Integrated Reporting Framework. Retrieved from http://integratedreporting.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/13–12–08-THE-INTERNATIONAL–IR-FRAMEWORK-2–1.pdf.

The Russian Union of Industrialists and Entrepreneurs (the RSPP). (2018). The register of non-financial reporting of Russian companies. Retrieved from http://www.rspp.ru/simplepage/475.

Usenko, L., Zenkina, I. (2016). Modern Trends and Issues of Corporate Reporting Data Disclosure on Organization Activities. Mediterranean Journal of Social Science, 7(3), 212–220. Retrieved from http://www.mcser.org/journal/index.php/mjss/issue/view/204.

Vargova, M. M. (2013). Sustainability and Business at a Crossroads: The Idea of Positive Investments in Creating Shared Value. In P. Taticchi, P. Carbone, V. Albino (Eds.), Corporate Sustainability (pp. 89–103). Heidelberg, Germany: Springer-Verlag.

**Value Concepts and Value Creation Model in Integrated Reporting**

Концепции и модель создания стоимости в интегрированной отчетности

Эльвира Шевелева

Студент, магистерская программа «Международные финансы», Финансовый университет, Москва, Россия; elvira_sheveleva@inbox.ru

Научный руководитель — И. В. Зенкина, д. э. н., профессор Департамента учета, анализа и аудита, Финансовый университет, Москва, Россия.

**Аннотация**

В данной статье анализируются концепции и теории создания стоимости, которые легли в основу процесса развития интегрированной отчетности, а также их реализация на практике и раскрытие в интегрированной отчетности. В настоящее время широко признано, что традиционная финансовая отчетность больше не отвечает потребностям ее пользователей — анализ исторической финансовой информации носит ретроспективный характер, акцентирование финансового капитала в отчетности больше не значимо для всех компаний, поскольку успех зачастую зависит в большей степени от вовлечения других видов капитала. Интегрированная отчетность призвана ликвидировать данный разрыв. В статье также приводится общая оценка текущего прогресса в отношении распространения и практического применения стандартов интегрированной отчетности в мире.
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