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Abstract

We present a morphological study of the 17 lensed Lyα emitter (LAE) galaxies of the Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey Emission-Line Lens Survey (BELLS) for the GALaxy-Lyα EmitteR sYstems (BELLS GALLERY) sample. This analysis combines the magnification effect of strong galaxy–galaxy lensing with the high resolution of the Hubble Space Telescope to achieve a physical resolution of $\sim 80$ pc for this $2 < z < 3$ LAE sample, allowing a detailed characterization of the LAE rest-frame ultraviolet continuum surface brightness profiles and substructure. We use lens-model reconstructions of the LAEs to identify and model individual clumps, which we subsequently use to constrain the parameters of a generative statistical model of the LAE population. Since the BELLS GALLERY sample is selected primarily on the basis of Lyα emission, the LAEs that we study here are likely to be directly comparable to those selected in wide-field, narrowband LAE surveys, in contrast with the lensed LAEs identified in cluster-lensing fields. We find an LAE clumpiness fraction of approximately 88%, which is significantly higher than that found in previous (non-lensing) studies. We find a well-resolved characteristic clump half-light radii of $\sim 350$ pc, a scale comparable to the largest HI regions seen in the local universe. This statistical characterization of LAE surface-brightness profiles will be incorporated into future lensing analyses using the BELLS GALLERY sample to constrain the incidence of dark-matter substructure in the foreground lensing galaxies.
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1. Introduction

Strong gravitational lensing provides a unique observational tool, both for quantifying the distribution of matter in the lensing objects, and for delivering a magnified view of small and faint sources in the distant universe. Here, we examine the Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey Emission-Line Lens Survey (BELLS) for the GALaxy-Lyα EmitteR sYstems (BELLS GALLERY) sample of 17 confirmed strong galaxy–galaxy lenses selected spectroscopically from the Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS) of the third Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS-III) and confirmed with high-resolution imaging by the Hubble Space Telescope (HST). The lensing galaxies in this sample are massive red galaxies at redshifts of $z_{\text{lens}} \sim 0.5$, and the sources are Lyα emitting galaxies (LAEs) at redshifts $2 < z_{\text{LAE}} < 3$. By virtue of their spectroscopic emission-line detection, the lensed LAEs of the BELLS GALLERY sample are comparable to the types of LAEs selected through wide-field narrowband surveys, but they can be studied in greater detail thanks to the magnifying power of strong lensing. The primary scientific motivation for the BELLS GALLERY observing program is to use the intrinsically clumpy rest-frame ultraviolet emission in the lensed LAEs as a probe of dark-matter substructure in the lens galaxies. Further discussion of this dark-matter substructure analysis project is provided in Shu et al. (2016a, 2016b).

This paper presents an analysis of the lensed LAEs of the BELLS GALLERY sample to characterize the clumpiness of their rest-frame UV emission. This information is central to the dark-matter substructure analysis of the sample, so that the surface-brightness perturbations caused by the lensing effects of dark-matter substructure can be detected and characterized statistically. Simultaneously, this paper also provides an unmatched high-resolution characterization of the high-redshift LAE population. LAE galaxies are defined by a high equivalent width (EW $> 20$ Å) Lyα line and are believed to be composed of extremely large regions of active star formation. Many efforts
have been made to detect and characterize LAE galaxies (e.g., Conselice et al. 2003; Conselice 2004; Ravindranath et al. 2006; Shimasaku et al. 2006; Bournaud et al. 2007; Ouchi et al. 2008, 2017; Elmegreen et al. 2009a, 2009b; Tacconi et al. 2010; Gronwall et al. 2011; Kashikawa et al. 2011; Mandelker et al. 2014; Moody et al. 2014; Guo et al. 2015). In general, these galaxies appear as clusters of bright clumps, sometimes with a background of continuum emission. Evidence suggests that these clumps are larger and brighter than most star-forming regions in nearby low-redshift galaxies (Elmegreen et al. 2009a). Efforts have been made in quantifying mass, star formation rates, gas composition, and kinematics, as well as other LAE properties (e.g., Nilsson et al. 2009; Ono et al. 2010a, 2010b; Swinbank et al. 2010; Tacconi et al. 2010; Shibuya et al. 2014; Livermore et al. 2015; Nakajima et al. 2016; Hashimoto et al. 2017). These have revealed a wealth of information about the early universe, but they are ultimately limited by LAE surface brightnesses. Most studies rely upon stacks of galaxies and can draw only limited inferences about individual LAEs. Other studies show that LAE dust content, particularly clumpy dust, in the interstellar medium can have an impact on most LAE observables (Kobayashi et al. 2007, 2010; Verhamme et al. 2008; Duval et al. 2014). Finkelstein et al. (2009) showed that clumpy dust models can provide a good fit to a set of $z \sim 4.5$ LAEs, although they invoked a multiphase ISM that may be unlikely to form in nature (Laursen et al. 2013). Nevertheless, dust in LAE galaxy ISM could cause some of the irregularity in LAE surface-brightness profiles (Buck et al. 2017). With limited resolution, however, it is difficult to make this distinction. A further challenge to morphological studies is that the clump sizes are near the resolution limit of instrumental point spread functions (PSFs) and often cannot be distinguished from point sources (Guo et al. 2015). As a result, direct imaging studies cannot decisively determine whether the clumps are different in nature from star-forming regions in our local universe or if the larger apparent size is merely an artifact of insufficient resolution (Shibuya et al. 2014; Kobayashi et al. 2016; Tamburello et al. 2017; Fisher et al. 2017).

Fortunately, the magnification due to gravitational lensing improves spatial resolution and allows us to examine scales smaller than the instrumental point source function (PSF). Several studies have used strong gravitational lensing to characterize LAE galaxies and their star-forming regions (Jones et al. 2010; Swinbank et al. 2010, 2012; Barro et al. 2014; Livermore et al. 2015; Johnson et al. 2017). These have typical resolutions of $\sim 100$ pc but reach scales as small as 30 pc, suggesting that clumps are smaller than found in direct imaging studies. Here, we add to these studies with the single largest sample of lensed galaxies and focus upon a detailed analysis of clump morphology. Because the BELLS GALLERY LAEs are lensed by elliptical galaxies, they will also be subject to fewer systematic modeling biases than the cluster lenses in the surveys above.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we summarize the sample and our source reconstruction approach. Section 3.1 describes the method used to fit surface brightness profiles to individual clumps within the individual galaxies. In Section 3.2, we examine the distributions of the clump parameters, including relative shapes, sizes, surface brightness, and distance from centroid. We then find a set of analytic probability distribution functions (PDF) to describe these parameters. In Section 4, we show the results, and finally in Section 5, we discuss how our results compare with previous studies and discuss how studies can build upon this work.

2. Data

Candidate lensed LAE galaxies were selected from the SDSS-III/Boss spectroscopic data set (Dawson et al. 2013). BOSS is a cosmological redshift survey designed primarily to constrain the properties of dark energy using the baryon acoustic oscillation feature imprinted in the large-scale structure traced by galaxies. By virtue of the large number of spectra ($\sim 10^5$) obtained by BOSS, rare objects such as the lenses of the BELLS GALLERY sample can also be found in significant numbers. The lens systems that we analyze here were identified through the presence of prominent emission lines that were not consistent with emission from the target galaxy or other low-redshift interloper objects, as detailed in Shu et al. (2016a).

Follow-up imaging of the best candidates was conducted with the Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) aboard HST under Cycle 23 GO Program 14189. In total, 21 targets were observed for one orbit each through the F606W filter ($\sim 1500–1900$ $\AA$ for the source galaxies). Image inspection and analysis (Shu et al. 2016b) shows that 17 of the candidates are grade A (definite) gravitational lenses.

We use reconstructed source images found by Shu et al. (2016b). Shu et al. (2016b) used a pixelized lens modeling procedure to constrain the parameters of a smooth lens mass model and to reconstruct high-resolution images of the source LAEs. The models used a variation on the “semi-linear” framework of Warren & Dye (2003) (see also Koopmans et al. 2006; Veggetti & Koopmans 2009; Nightingale & Dye 2015), which does a linear inversion for the pixelized source-plane image along with a nonlinear optimization of the lens mass model parameters. To prevent the model from overfitting noise, we included a regularization parameter. This regularization parameter was tuned until the $\chi^2$ of the pixelized model is similar to that of the $\chi^2$ found by modeling the source as several Sérsic clumps. The effect of varying the regularization parameter is discussed in Section 4.

Because lens modeling accounts for the PSF of HST, the reconstructed LAE images are effectively deconvolved, and are taken directly as input data for the analysis in this paper. These images can be seen in the left panel of each group in Figure 1. The effective angular resolution is on the order of 0.01 arcsec, corresponding to a typical spatial resolution of $\sim 80$ pc. This is an order of magnitude better than direct observations with HST, and is comparable to the $\sim 100$ pc resolution found in most other gravitational lensing studies. Similar resolutions may be achieved with the next generation Extremely Large Telescopes.

3. Modeling

Our overall goal is to use the data to constrain the parameters of a generative statistical model of the rest-frame UV continuum surface-brightness characteristics of the $2 < z < 3$ LAE population. In particular, we want to

1. characterize the physical sizes, arrangements, and multiplicities of the clumps that make up the rest-frame ultraviolet emission of $2 < z < 3$ LAEs;
2. simulate realistic high-resolution optical images of $2 < z < 3$ LAEs; and
3. determine probability density functions (PDFs) for multiple clump LAE surface-brightness models that can
be applied within a hierarchical Bayesian analysis of evidence for dark-matter substructure in the BELLS GALLERY sample.

We proceed in two main stages: first, to detect and parametrically model the individual clumps in each reconstructed image (Section 3.1) and second, to model the statistical
distribution of these clump parameters across the sample (Section 3.2).

3.1. Source Fitting

We model each LAE source galaxy in our sample as a collection of clumps. This procedure involves individual clump detection, adoption of a parametric profile for modeling individual clumps, and simultaneous optimization of the model parameters for all clumps in each LAE.

Our initial clump detection is done manually through visual inspection. We also investigated an automated clump inspection. We also investigated an automated clump approach had difficulty resolving overlapping clumps that were more easily distinguished by visual inspection. Spatial noise correlations also gave rise to false clumps which were better rejected visually.

The steps that we use for clump detection and modeling are as follows:

1. Set a heuristic brightness detection threshold in each LAE image at 10% of the maximum image pixel brightness. This threshold is used to reject spurious clump identifications associated with correlated noise peaks, especially in the outlying regions of the image.

2. Inspect a 3D surface contour map in combination with a 2D color image of each LAE to select pixels that represent clump centers. Individual clumps must be separated by at least two pixels.

3. Using our adopted clump surface-brightness profile (see below), set initial guesses for clump-model parameters. For the radius parameter, we set $r_c$ to be the clump full width at half max divided by two. For the central surface-brightness parameter ($i_c$), we take the count value in the central pixel. We estimate the axis ratio ($q_c$) and position angle (PA) parameters by eye. Each parameter is allowed to vary independently between clumps.

4. Manually tune the guesses to decrease the model residuals using a reduced chi-squared ($\chi^2_r$) as the metric. Pixel errors are derived from the lens-model reconstruction and depend both upon Poisson noise in the HST images and lens-model parameters (see Shu et al. 2016b for more details). Note that this $\chi^2_r$ is not strictly correct due to correlated noise from the lens-modeling reconstruction, but it is a good indicator of relative fit quality.

5. When manual adjustments have no significant impact, use the lmfit nonlinear fitting routine (Newville et al. 2014) for a final optimization of parameters. To prevent runaway parameters, we constrain the clump centroid parameters $x_c$, $y_c$ to be within ±0.5 pixels and constrain the central surface-brightness parameter to be at most a factor of 3 from its original estimate. To capture clump ellipticity, we fit for major and minor axis lengths ($a_c$ and $b_c$), which are also allowed to increase or decrease by a factor of 3 from their original estimates. Major and minor axis lengths are converted into a clump radius, $r_c$, and axis ratio, $q_c$, for our subsequent analysis. For a best-fit $q_c > 1$ we apply the transformation $q'_c = 1/q_c$ and $PA' = PA + \frac{\pi}{2}$ so the final $q_c$ is less than one.

6. Repeat the fitting procedure of step 5, updating the starting parameters and parameter bounds according to the results of the previous step. Continue to repeat until $\chi^2_r$ no longer improves. By iterating on parameters and parameter bounds in this way, we achieve a converged model without allowing the clump models to diverge entirely from their initially detected identities.

Our chosen surface-brightness profile is the Elson, Fall, and Freeman (EFF) profile (Elson et al. 1987; Rozas et al. 1998; Schweizer 2004; Ryon et al. 2015),

$$I(r) = i_c(1 + r^2/r_c^2)^{-\eta}$$

used to fit resolved H II regions in local galaxies. We generalize $r$ to an elliptical radius by

$$r = \sqrt{q_c(x - x_c)^2 + (y - y_c)^2}/q_c,$$

where $q_c$ is the axis ratio and $x_c$ and $y_c$ are the horizontal and vertical clump centroids, respectively. The scale factor $r_c$ can be converted to the half-light radius by

$$r_{1/2} = r_c \sqrt{(1/2)^{\eta} - 1}.$$  

We also examined the Sersic profile (Sersic 1968; Ciotti & Bertin 1999), which is ubiquitous in galaxy fitting routines. However, our data do not strongly constrain the shape parameters $n$ and $\eta$ of the Sersic and EFF profiles, respectively.
Thus, we report results only for the EFF profile with the exponent fixed. We tabulate results for $\eta = 3/2$ and show that using a steeper $\eta = 3$ profile has little effect on the derived parameters. We do not examine a shallower profile because integrated EFF luminosity diverges at $\eta \leq 1$.

The raw data, the final EFF model, and the residuals are shown in Figure 1 for each galaxy. Physical length scales are roughly the same for each image, but the intensity (color) scale varies slightly between each galaxy. The mottled appearance of the residuals is a result of correlated noise inherent in gravitationally lensed source reconstruction (Section 2).  Clump positions are highlighted in the data pane for each galaxy. Our method detected a total of $n_c = 87$ clumps.

During this procedure, we did not include a component for a diffuse background continuum. This component is often included in other surveys, but many of these encompass multiple bands (Guo et al. 2015). Elmegreen et al. (2009a) found that if a continuum was evident it was often only at wavelengths redder than our rest-frame UV data. Moreover, any diffuse background emission associated with a lensed LAE will be partly absorbed into the smooth model of the foreground lens galaxy and effectively subtracted. Thus, it is not surprising that our data show no evidence of such a continuum.

Clump radii and relative positions are converted to parsecs using the LAE redshifts from Shu et al. (2016a) and a fiducial cosmological model with $\Omega_m = 0.274$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.726$, and $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ (WMAP7, Komatsu et al. 2011).

3.2. Parameter Distribution

Given the models of the 17 LAEs, we now examine the statistical distribution of the clump parameters from Table 1 across the sample. Two parameters, the number of clumps $N_c$ and the mean surface brightness quadrupole moment $Q_\eta$, are measured for each LAE. The distributions of these two parameters are shown in Figure 2.

The 87 clumps across the sample are characterized by six parameters: the position $x_c$, $y_c$, size $r_c$, peak brightness $i_c$, axis ratio $q_c$, and position angle $PA_c$. For the purposes of statistical analysis, we combine $x_c$ and $y_c$ into a single parameter $d_c$, the radial distance from the galaxy light-weighted centroid, which characterizes the radial distribution of clumps within an LAE. The average ellipticity of the distribution of clumps within an LAE is captured by the quadrupole parameter $Q_\eta$. The distribution of clump position angles shows no preference for either radial or tangential alignment with respect to the overall LAE geometry as seen in Figure 3. As such, we assume these position angles to be randomly distributed. The distributions of the four remaining clump parameters ($d_c$, $r_c$, $i_c$, and $q_c$) are shown in Figure 4.

Before modeling the distributions of these parameters across the sample, we apply completeness corrections, surface-brightness corrections, and $K$-corrections.

To estimate incompleteness, we first measure the noise level in the 17 input images. From this, we identified the mean $1\sigma$ noise level as 0.005 ADU/second/pixel, with 40% scatter. We then randomly select our model clumps from the sample of 87 and compare their central-pixel brightness to the noise level. This brightness is a function of half-light radius and peak surface brightness and preferentially selects against large radius, low peak surface brightness clumps. Those with central-pixel brightness below the $1\sigma$ noise level are considered undetected. We repeat this process until each clump is well sampled, at least 10,000 times. We then weight the radius and surface brightness of each point by the inverse of its detection rate. In practice, this effect is small; only three clumps show a need for incompleteness corrections, all with weights below a factor of five. Even if the noise threshold is increased, the completeness corrections remain small.

For the surface-brightness corrections, we account for the impact of the variable source redshift by correcting all galaxies to a common reference redshift of $z_{ref} = 2.6$. This effect is included by multiplying each per-Angstrom surface brightness value by a factor of $(1 + z_{LAB})^5/(1 + z_{ref})^5$. Here, $z_{LAB}$ is the LAE galaxy redshift as determined from the wavelength of the Ly$\alpha$ emission line ($z_s$ in Table 1 of Shu et al. 2016b). Because we lack sufficient spectral information to empirically determine $K$-corrections, we adopt the corrections in van der Burg et al. (2010) (Figure 7, top) from a qualitatively similar selection of galaxies. To convert these to our sample, we note that the i-band corrections in van der Burg et al. (2010) between redshifts 3–4 correspond to a central UV wavelength of 1900–1500 Å, which matches the central wavelength of the F606W filter between redshifts 2.2 < $z_s$ < 3. The corrections are approximately linear over this redshift range and can be approximated by

$$K = -0.055(z_{LAB} - z_{ref})\text{mag}$$

for a correction to $z_{ref} = 2.6$.

Before we select models for the probability density functions (PDFs) of the clump parameters, we consider the possibility of correlations between various parameters, as shown in Figure 5. We determine the significance of these correlations by calculating Pearson’s correlation coefficient for each of the parameter pairs using 1000 bootstrap samplings from the 87 identified clumps. We find a significant correlation, greater than 2$\sigma$ (2.5th and 97.5th percentile), between $d_c$ and $i_c$, and between $r_c$ and $i_c$. We attempted to model these parameters with joint distributions, but were unable to adequately re-create the observed correlation. Instead, we model each clump parameter as uncorrelated and impose a linear cutoff above which randomly drawn clumps are rejected (the dashed lines in Figure 5).

There is no a priori distribution expected for any of our clump-model parameters, therefore we explored a number of standard distributions (Gaussian, Weibull, and Cauchy) to find a mathematical model that is sufficiently but not overly

| Parameter | Description |
|-----------|-------------|
| $Q_\eta$  | Mean LAE axis ratio/quadrupole moment |
| $N_c$     | Number of clumps (per galaxy) |
| $n_c$     | Total number of clumps (all galaxies) |
| $x_c$     | Horizontal clump centroid |
| $y_c$     | Vertical clump centroid |
| $d_c$     | Clump radial distance from centroid |
| $r_c$     | Clump radius |
| $i_c$     | Peak clump surface brightness |
| $q_c$     | Clump axis ratio |
| $PA_c$    | Clump position angle |
We adopt a Cauchy distribution for the galaxy axis-ratio parameter $Q_g$, clump axis-ratio parameter $q_c$, and the clump radius $r_c$ and a Weibull distribution for the distance from light-weighted centroid $d_c$, and the clump surface brightness $I_c$. The Cauchy PDFs are truncated at $x = 0$ and above the maximum value found in Section 3.1.

For each of these PDFs, we determine the best-fit parameters by maximizing the log-likelihood function

$$\ln L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} \ln P(x_i, \theta),$$

where $P$ is the PDF model (Equations (5) or (6)), $n_c = 87$ is the total number of clumps, the $x$ are the measured clump parameters, and the $\theta$ are the PDF parameters.

Finally, we quantify the effect of the choice of lens-modeling regularization parameter (see Section 2) on our PDF model results. We repeat the analysis detailed in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 for two alternative sets of lensing-reconstructed source images: one generated with a regularization parameter decreased by a factor of 10 relative to the standard value, and the other with a regularization parameter increased by a factor of 10. The impact of this parameter is discussed in the following section.
4. Results

Table 2 summarizes the results, and the model distributions are shown in black overlaying the histograms in Figures 2 and 4. Parameter uncertainties are determined using bootstrap resampling with 1000 iterations and we report the sixteenth and eighty-fourth percentiles, corresponding to 1σ in the Gaussian limit. PDF parameter estimates for the two alternative regularization scenarios are also shown.

We find the following results from our analysis:

1. Out of our $n_c = 87$ total clumps, we find 1–9 clumps per galaxy, with an approximately uniform distribution in number and 88% of galaxies having more than one clump ($f_{\text{clumpy}} = 88\%$). Lowering the regularization parameter, which reduces the smoothing, gave 88 total clumps with 1–8 clumps per galaxy and $f_{\text{clumpy}} = 94\%$. Higher regularization, which increases the smoothness, decreases the total clump count to 61 with 1–7 clumps per galaxy, yet finds the same $f_{\text{clumpy}} = 94\%$.

2. The derived clump parameters are not strongly affected by a change in profile shape, ($\eta$ in Equation (1)). Figure 6 shows a comparison of the clump parameters obtained for $\eta = 3$ instead of $\eta = 3/2$. The statistical distributions, such as the typical peak in the parameter values, is also little affected. Thus, we find that our fitted parameters are robust to moderate changes in the shape of the surface brightness profile.

3. The characteristic clump core radius, $r_c$, is 200 pc. Of the 87 clumps, 75% have core radii above 160 pc (two pixels) suggesting that most clumps are fully resolved in our images. A lower regularization parameter has no significant impact on the typical core radius, but a higher regularization parameter increases it to 330 pc.

4. The distances of the clumps from the light-weighted centroid is relatively small, with a typical scale length of $\lambda_d \sim 1$ kpc. Most clump centers are within 2 kpc of the galactic center. This result is robust against model choice and regularization parameter. We found comparable results when calculating LAE galaxy half-light radii using the more common method of measuring photon counts in concentric ellipses. In this aperture method we measured LAE galaxy half-light ranging from 0.4–1.6 kpc with a median at 0.8 kpc.

5. Clump axis ratios peak around $q_c \sim 0.5$ and galaxy axis ratios peak around $Q_g \sim 0.6$. This peak is roughly constant with changes in the regularization. The width of the clump axis ratio distribution broadens for both alternative regularization choices, particularly for low
regularization where the distribution becomes more consistent with a uniform distribution than a Cauchy distribution.

In calculating these results, we examined the blurring impact of observational noise. We first generate simulated galaxy images (see Figure 7), with parameters drawn from the fitted distributions, and add typical Gaussian background noise. We then fit the images to derive output clump parameters and modeled the resulting distributions. The input and output clump parameters generally agree to within 1σ and the output model PDF parameters agree with the inputs to 2σ or better. We thus conclude that observational noise has a negligible impact on the parameter estimates.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

Although the BELLS GALLERY sample is relatively small compared with LAEs selected from wide-field, narrowband surveys, the enhanced spatial resolution from combining gravitational lensing and HST imaging allows more detailed surface-brightness characterization relative to other samples of LAEs at the same redshifts.

Figure 5. Scatterplots of parameters with their associated Pearson’s correlation coefficients. Correlations are identified between $i_c$ and $r_c$ (top left) and between $d_c$ and $i_c$ (bottom center). To re-create these correlations, we impose a linear cutoff (dashed lines) above which random draws are rejected in later simulations.

Table 2

| Quantity     | Distribution | Parameters (standard reg.) | Parameters (low reg.) | Parameters (high reg.) |
|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| $Q_g$ (galaxy axis ratio) | Cauchy (Equation (5)) | $Q_g = 0.58^{+0.21}_{-0.44}$ | $Q_g = 0.67^{+0.25}_{-0.31}$ | $Q_g = 0.62^{+0.20}_{-0.05}$ |
| $q_c$ (clump axis ratio) | Cauchy (Equation (5)) | $q_c = 0.50^{+0.16}_{-0.03}$ | $q_c = 0.42^{+0.45}_{-0.32}$ | $q_c = 0.53^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$ |
| $r_c$ (radius, kpc) | Cauchy (Equation (5)) | $r_c = 0.20^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$ | $r_c = 0.18^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$ | $r_c = 0.33^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$ |
| $i_c$ (counts) | Weibull (Equation (6)) | $\lambda_i = 0.13^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$ | $\lambda_i = 0.17^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ | $\lambda_i = 0.08^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$ |
| $k_c$ (counts) | Weibull (Equation (6)) | $k_c = 0.87^{+0.09}_{-0.11}$ | $k_c = 1.02^{+0.06}_{-0.08}$ | $k_c = 0.83^{+0.11}_{-0.10}$ |
| $d_c$ (distance, kpc) | Weibull (Equation (6)) | $\lambda_d = 1.00^{+0.39}_{-0.09}$ | $\lambda_d = 0.94^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$ | $\lambda_d = 0.87^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$ |
| $k_d$ (distance, kpc) | Weibull (Equation (6)) | $k_d = 1.18^{+0.12}_{-0.10}$ | $k_d = 1.22^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$ | $k_d = 1.17^{+0.12}_{-0.22}$ |

Note. Column 3 gives best-fit PDF parameters for the quantities $Q_g$, $r_c$, $k_c$, $d_c$, and $q_c$ given the standard distributions in Figure 4, while columns 4 and 5 show results using reconstructions with lower and higher regularization parameters.
We found 1–9 clumps per galaxy, which is higher than most direct imaging studies. While Elmegreen & Elmegreen (2005) found as many as 10 clumps, Guo et al. (2015) found a strong preference for fewer clumps and a lower \( f_{\text{clumpy}} \) of \( \sim 55\%–60\% \). A larger number of clumps are, in part, expected due to our increased resolution. Indeed, we identify a strong correlation between the number of clumps and the magnification (from Shu et al. 2016b, Table 2). In lensed images of SDSS J1110+6459 at a resolution of 30 pc, Johnson et al. (2017) and Rigby et al. (2017) identified over 20 clumps with radii between 30 and 50 pc. If we restrict the accounting to clumps contributing more than 8% of the total UV luminosity, as proposed in Guo et al. (2015), we find that the total number of clumps drops to 65 with a range of 1–7 clumps per galaxy, although \( f_{\text{clumpy}} \) remains at 88%. Though high, this is not far beyond the bounds found in Shibuya et al. (2016) at this redshift.

Using Equation (3), our core radius of 200 pc can be converted to a half-light radius of 350 pc. We caution, however, that this conversion depends on the ill-constrained \( \eta \) parameter. For \( \eta = 3 \), the typical core radius is little-changed but the half-light radius drops to 130 pc. In general, half-light radius measurements, using either profile fits or isophotal measurements, depend on knowledge at high radii where clumps blend into the noise. Core radii, or their equivalent, are much less sensitive to the low surface brightness regions of the source and the precise characteristics of the noise. Both our peak core radius and half-light radius are comparable to the average clump radius of \( \sim 320 \) pc found in cluster-lensing studies (Livermore et al. 2015) of \( 1 < z < 4 \) star-forming galaxies that were not detected based on Ly\( \alpha \) emission. These lensing studies, however, find significantly lower radii than the 750–900 pc found in direct imaging studies of \( 2.1 < z < 3.1 \) LAE galaxies (Bond et al. 2012). Most LAE clumps are not fully resolved without a combination of strong lensing and high-resolution imaging. Even in the BELLS GALLERY sample, we expect some clumps to be unresolved, especially

**Figure 6.** Scatterplots of the four primary clump parameters for \( \eta = \frac{3}{2} \) and \( \eta = 3 \). Dotted lines show a one-to-one correlation. Aside from a few outliers, most parameters are little affected by the change in profile shape. Only \( r_c \) (top left) shows a small increase for the higher value of \( \eta \). The impact of this choice on the inferred peak of the parameter distributions is, however, statistically insignificant.
considering the 30–50 pc scale clumps identified in a $z = 2.481$ star-forming galaxy by Johnson et al. (2017). Nevertheless, at our typical effective spatial resolution of 80 pc, we appear to resolve a characteristic peak in the clump radius distribution. We conclude that high-redshift LAE clumps are significantly larger than most local H II regions, having typical radii similar to the largest giant H II regions detected in the local universe (e.g., Fuentes-Masip et al. 2000; Monreal-Ibero et al. 2007; Wisnioski et al. 2012).

Our measurements of overall LAE galaxy sizes confirm that these galaxies are spatially small, at least in the UV spectral range, with size scales on the order of 0.4–1.6 kpc. This size scale is consistent with direct imaging surveys that find $\sim 2 < z < \sim 3$ LAE half-light radii between 1–1.5 kpc (Bond et al. 2012; Malhotra et al. 2012). The spatial distribution of the clumps within each LAE, proportional to $P(d_e)/d_e$, is consistent with an exponential function with a scale radius of $\sim 0.3$ kpc. This shape roughly matches the luminosity profile of spiral galaxy disks.

The LAE galaxy ellipticities in our sample are similar, but slightly smaller than those measured in other studies. Gronwall et al. (2011) found that ellipticities peak near 0.55 in redshift $z = 3.1$ LAEs and Shibuya et al. (2014) found a peak near 0.5 with a distribution similar to that found in this paper. Gronwall et al. (2011) also found a skew toward high ellipticities (low axis ratios) which we did not find here, but they note that unresolved sources show a bias toward high ellipticities due to noise and PSF blurring. This ellipticity bias at low resolution is also found in higher redshift ($z = 4.86$) LAEs by Kobayashi et al. (2016). Given that our LAE galaxies are well-resolved, this bias should have less impact on our results.

The details of the BELLS GALLERY selection function are different from those of other LAE surveys, and in particular vary between galaxies due to different magnification factors. However, the fact that these lenses are selected based on Ly$\alpha$ emission suggests that our sample should encompass a qualitatively similar set of galaxies to those found in wide-field, ground-based, narrowband surveys. In comparison, lensed LAEs selected in galaxy cluster fields are generally identified based on H$\alpha$ or H$\beta$ lines, making it likely that our LAE sample is a closer analog to field LAE samples.

In addition to providing a quantitative characterization of the $2 < z < 3$ LAE population, the statistical model of LAE clumpiness that we present here can be used to simulate mock LAEs, like those in Figure 7, for other studies, and to provide prior probabilities on LAE surface-brightness structure for our forthcoming analysis of dark-matter substructure in the foreground lensing galaxies.
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Figure 7. Simulated $z = 2.6$ galaxies drawn from fitted PDFs and shown with identical intensity (color) scales. These resemble the observed LAEs shown in Figure 1, confirming that the fitted PDFs describe the source galaxy morphology.
