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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Among patients with vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia is a subset of patients with disease affecting the anterior circulation as well. We hypothesized that multivessel intracranial dolichoectasia may represent a distinct phenotype from single-territory vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia. The purpose of this study was to characterize clinical characteristics and angiographic features of this proposed distinct phenotype termed “diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia” and compare them with those in patients with isolated vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: We retrospectively reviewed a consecutive series of patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia and compared their demographics, vascular risk factors, additional aneurysm prevalence, and clinical outcomes with a group of patients with vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia. “Diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia” was defined as aneurysmal dilation of entire vascular segments involving ≥2 intracranial vascular beds. Categoric and continuous variables were compared by using χ² and Student t tests, respectively.

RESULTS: Twenty-five patients had diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia, and 139 had vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia. Patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia were older than those with vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia (70.9 ± 14.2 years versus 60.4 ± 12.5 years, P = .0002) and had a higher prevalence of abdominal aortic aneurysms (62.5% versus 14.3%, P = .01), other visceral aneurysms (25.0% versus 0%, P < .0001), and smoking (68.0% versus 15.9%, P < .0001). Patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia were more likely to have aneurysm growth (46.2% versus 21.5%, P = .09) and rupture (20% versus 3.5%, P = .007) at follow-up. Patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia were less likely to have good neurologic function at follow-up (24.0% versus 57.6%, P = .004) and were more likely to have aneurysm-related death (24.0% versus 7.2%, P = .02).

CONCLUSIONS: The natural history of patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia is significantly worse than that in those with isolated vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia. Many patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia had additional saccular and abdominal aortic aneurysms. These findings suggest that diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia may be a distinct vascular phenotype secondary to a systemic arteriopathy affecting multiple vascular beds.

Fusiform and dolichoectatic intracranial aneurysms are generally associated with a poor natural history with high rates of rupture, mass effect, and ischemic stroke.¹-⁴ These aneurysms often form secondary to circumferential loss or weakening of the internal elastic lamina of a vessel segment and can involve an entire vessel or may be limited to a single vessel segment (eg, basilar artery, cavernous or supraclinoid ICA, proximal MCA, and so forth).⁵-⁸ Notably, most prior reports of intracranial dolichoectasia have focused on involvement of single-vessel territories, most commonly the vertebrobasilar system. These dolichoectatic aneurysms are associated with a wide range of proposed, underlying etiologies, including healed, focal arterial dissections; chronic hypertension; prior radiation therapy; connective tissue diseases; arterial tortuosity syndrome; glycogen storage diseases; infection; and myxomatous emboli.⁵-⁸

During the past several years, we have noticed in our clinical practice a subset of patients who have segmental aneurysmal dolichoectasia of multiple intracranial vascular territories in the absence of a known, underlying insult such as radiation, infection, genetic connective tissue disease, or dissection. We hypothesized that multivessel presentation of intracranial dolichoectasia may represent a process distinct from the frequently described single-territory dolichoectasia, potentially with distinct presentation, associations, and natural history. For this report, we have defined “diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia” as aneurysmal dilation of entire segments that involve ≥2 in-
tracranial vascular beds. These criteria were chosen due to the notion that fusiform aneurysmal dilation of multiple intracranial vascular beds indicates a systemic vascular insult, while fusiform aneurysmal dilation of a single bed suggests a more localized pathologic process.

The primary purpose of this article was to fully characterize the clinical risk factors and anatomic and angiographic characteristics of this proposed distinct entity, which we term “diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia.” The secondary purpose was to compare clinical risk factors and anatomic and angiographic characteristics of patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia with those in a group of patients with dolichoectasia isolated to the vertebrobasilar system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Population

Following institutional review board approval, we identified all patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia evaluated at our institution from January 1, 2001, to December 31, 2014. We also identified a control group of patients with isolated vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia. To identify patients, we searched our imaging database for reports of head MR imaging, MRA, CTA, and DSA examinations in which any one of the following terms was used in the body or conclusions of the report: “fusiform,” “aneurysmal dilation,” “dilation,” “fusiform aneurysm,” “dolichoectasia,” “dolichoectatic,” and “dolicho.” All identified imaging examinations were then reviewed by 2 radiologists in consensus. Cases with fusiform aneurysmal dilation of multiple vascular segments were then identified for additional review to determine whether they met the diagnostic criteria for diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia. Cases in which there was fusiform aneurysmal dilation with or without tortuosity isolated to the vertebrobasilar system were defined as “isolated vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia.” Of the cases that were identified for additional review as potentially representing diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia, maximum measurements were obtained of the basilar artery, supraclinoid ICAs, and bilateral M1 segments.

Diagnostic Criteria

To be included in this diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia group, patients had to meet the following diagnostic inclusion criteria: adult patients with fusiform aneurysmal dilation of entire vascular segments (ie, supraclinoid ICA, basilar artery, M1 segment of the MCA) that involved ≥2 intracranial vascular beds (ie, vertebrobasilar system, left anterior circulation, or right anterior circulation). Aneurysmal dilation of a vascular segment was defined as a diameter of at least 2 times the normal diameter of a given vascular segment as defined by an angiographic atlas. Isolated vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia was defined as an aneurysmal dilation 2 times the normal diameter without a definable neck involving a portion of an arterial segment (either vertebral or basilar) with any degree of tortuosity. The criteria for aneurysmal dilation of a vessel segment are summarized in Table 1. Exclusion criteria included the following: 1) pediatric patients, 2) patients with underlying connective tissue diseases (ie, Ehlers-Danlos and Marfan syndromes, Loeps-Dietz syndrome, autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease, neurofibromatosis type 1, and so forth), 3) patients with a history of cranial radiation, 4) patients with an underlying infectious etiology (ie, varicella zoster vasculopathy, HIV, and so forth), and 5) patients with poststenotic dilatations secondary to atherosclerosis or dissection. All patients in the vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia control group and 11 patients in the diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia group were also included in a prior study on the imaging natural history of patients with vertebrobasilar, nonsaccular dolichoectatic aneurysms.

Baseline Demographics and Clinical Risk Factors

We collected the following baseline patient demographic characteristics and comorbidities: age; sex; the presence of an ectatic abdominal aorta (maximum diameter, 2.6–2.9 cm) or an abdominal aortic aneurysm (maximum diameter, ≥3 cm); and the presence of other vascular ectasias/aneurysms, fibromuscular dysplasia, coronary artery disease, peripheral artery disease, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, tobacco use (current, former, never), pack-years of tobacco use (if available), alcohol abuse, sympathomimetic abuse, and family history of abdominal aortic aneurysm, stroke, or aneurysm. In addition to baseline demographic and clinical risk factors, we collected data on presenting symptoms at the time of the discovery of the intracranial dolichoectasia (ie, incidental, stroke, subarachnoid hemorrhage, neuralgia, mass effect, headache, hemifacial spasm, other). Baseline demographic and clinical risk factors were compared between the diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia group and the isolated vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia group.

Imaging Characteristics

Among patients who met the diagnostic criteria for diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia and those in the isolated vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia control group, we collected the following information from their imaging examinations: the presence of a saccular aneurysm; the presence of other intracranial vascular dilations, subarachnoid hemorrhage, or intraparenchymal hemorrhage on presentation; white matter ischemic disease; and thrombus within the aneurysm. All measurements on CTA and MRA were obtained by using multiplanar reformatting software (Terarecon, San Mateo, California). The maximum cross-sectional diameter of the vessel perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the vessel was obtained. For DSA images, the maximum diameter of the vessel perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the vessel was obtained. Imaging characteristics were compared between the diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia group and the isolated vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia group.

| Vascular Segment | Definition of Vascular Segment | Definition of Aneurysmal Dilation |
|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Cavernous ICA    | Entry point of ICA into the cavernous segment to the ophthalmic artery origin | ≥8.5 mm |
| Supraclinoid ICA | ICA from the ophthalmic artery origin to the ICA bifurcation | ≥8.0 mm |
| M1 segment of MCA | Origin of MCA to the MCA bifurcation | ≥5.0 mm |
| Basilar artery   | Confluence of vertebral arteries to the basilar bifurcation | ≥6.0 mm |
Continuous variables are reported as mean ± SD. Categoric variables were compared by using χ² tests, and continuous variables were compared by using a Student t test. To determine whether a diagnosis of diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia was independently associated with aneurysm growth, rupture, and new infarct, we performed a multivariate logistic regression analysis, adjusting for baseline factors that were different between groups (ie, age and smoking history). All analysis was performed by using the SAS-based statistical software package JMP 12.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

### RESULTS

**Baseline Patient Characteristics for Diffuse Intracranial Dolichoectasia**

The search of radiology reports (ie, MR imaging, MRA, CTA, and DSA) yielded 890 unique patients with the terms “fusiform,” “aneurysmal dilation,” “dilation,” “fusiform aneurysm,” “dolichoectasia,” “dolichoectatic,” and “dolicho” in their reports. After further review of imaging reports and radiologic images, 25 patients met the diagnostic criteria for diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia.

The mean patient age was 70.9 ± 14.2 years. Two patients were younger than 50 years of age (8.0%), and 21 patients (84.0%) were 60 years of age or older. Eighty-four percent (21/25) of patients were men. The most common clinical presentation was acute ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (13/25, 52%). Of the ischemic strokes, 6 affected the posterior circulation and 7 affected the anterior circulation. Five patients (20%) had symptoms due to mass effect from the aneurysmal dilations, 3 patients (12%) had dizziness, and in the remaining 4 patients (16%), the aneurysms were discovered incidentally during evaluation for loss of consciousness, syncope, or visual blurring.

Among patients with abdominal imaging, 50% (8/16) had an abdominal aortic aneurysm and an additional 13% (2/16) had aortic ectasia. Hypertension was present in 88% (22/25) of patients, and 68% (17/25) were current or former smokers. Hyperlipidemia was present in 52% (13/25) of patients, and intracranial atherosclerosis was present in 48% (12/25). These data are summarized in Table 2.

### Statistical Analysis

All categoric variables are reported as number (percentage), while continuous variables are reported as mean ± SD. Categoric variables were compared by using χ² tests, and continuous variables were compared by using a Student t test. To determine whether a diagnosis of diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia was independently associated with aneurysm growth, rupture, and new infarct, we performed a multivariate logistic regression analysis, adjusting for baseline factors that were different between groups (ie, age and smoking history). All analysis was performed by using the SAS-based statistical software package JMP 12.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

### Angiographic and Anatomic Characteristics for Diffuse Intracranial Dolichoectasia

The most common distribution of disease was dilation of the basilar artery and 1 internal carotid artery (10 patients, 40%). Five patients (20%) had diffuse dilation of the basilar artery and bilateral ICAs, and 4 patients (16%) had dilation of the bilateral ICAs without dilation of the basilar artery. Two patients (8.0%) had dilation of the basilar artery and bilateral ICAs and MCAs.

The mean maximum diameter of the dilated basilar arteries was 15.2 ± 8.3 mm. The mean maximum diameter of the dilated

---

**Table 2: Summary of patient characteristics and outcomes**

| Variable                          | DID   | VBD   | P Value |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| No.                               | 25    | 139   |         |
| Mean age (SD) (yr)                | 70.9 (14.2) | 60.4 (12.5) | .0002  |
| Age group (yr)                    |       |       |         |
| 20–29                             | 1 (4.0) | 5 (3.6) | <.0001  |
| 30–39                             | 0 (0.0) | 6 (4.3) |         |
| 40–49                             | 1 (4.0) | 6 (4.3) |         |
| 50–59                             | 2 (8.0) | 44 (31.6) |         |
| 60–69                             | 7 (28.0) | 43 (30.9) |         |
| 70–79                             | 6 (24.0) | 30 (21.5) |         |
| ≥80                               | 8 (32.0) | 5 (3.6) |         |
| Sex                               |       |       |         |
| Male                              | 21 (84.0) | 105 (75.5) | .51    |
| Female                            | 4 (16.0) | 34 (24.5) |         |
| Indication for imaging            |       |       |         |
| Stroke                            | 13 (52.0) | 45 (32.7) | .10    |
| Mass effect                       | 5 (20.0) | 25 (18.0) | .81    |
| Other/ incidental                 | 7 (28.0) | 69 (49.6) | .08    |
| Comorbidities                     |       |       |         |
| Abdominal aortic aneurysm or ectasia | 10 (62.5) | 12 (43.4) | .01    |
| Other visceral aneurysms          | 4 (25.0) | 0 (0.0) | <.0001  |
| Fibromuscular dysplasia           | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |         |
| Coronary artery disease           | 10 (40.0) | 40 (29.0) | .38    |
| Peripheral artery disease         | 4 (16.0) | 6 (4.3) | .07    |
| Hypertension                      | 22 (88.0) | 97 (70.3) | .11    |
| Diabetes mellitus                 | 3 (12.0) | 22 (15.9) | .85    |
| Hyperlipidemia                    | 13 (52.0) | 73 (52.9) | .96    |
| Smoking                           | 17 (68.0) | 22 (15.9) | <.0001 |
| Alcohol abuse                     | 1 (4.0) | 7 (5.0) | .82    |
| Sympathomimetic abuse             | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1.0    |
| Family history                    |       |       |         |
| Abdominal aortic aneurysm         | 3 (12.0) | 9 (6.4) | .58    |
| Ischemic stroke                   | 7 (28.0) | 32 (23.0) | .78    |
| Intracranial aneurysm             | 1 (4.0) | 9 (6.4) | .98    |
| Other saccular aneurysms present  | 7 (28.0) | 22 (15.8) | .24    |
| Growth on follow-up               | 6 (46.2) | 30 (21.6) | .09    |
| SAH on follow-up                  | 5 (20.0) | 5 (3.5) | .007   |
| Infarct on follow-up              | 7 (28.0) | 18 (13.0) | .10    |
| Clinical status at last follow-up |       |       |         |
| Good neurologic function          | 6 (24.0) | 80 (57.6) | .004   |
| Poor neurologic function          | 6 (24.0) | 22 (15.8) | .48    |
| Death                             | 13 (52.0) | 37 (26.6) | .02    |
| Aneurysm-related death            | 6 (24.0) | 10 (7.2) |         |

Note: VBD indicates vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia; DID, diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia.
The overall growth rate was 9.5%/patient-year. Seven patients (56%) had imaging evidence of a new infarct after a mean of 60.9 ± 66.5 months of follow-up, including 2 patients with brain stem perforator infarcts, 5 patients with cerebellar or thalamic infarcts, and 4 patients with anterior circulation infarcts.

Five patients (5/25, 20.0%) had imaging evidence of subarachnoid hemorrhage at a mean of 59.0 ± 73.1 months of follow-up. Of these 5 patients, 3 had rupture of 2 basilar dolichoectatic/fusiform aneurysms, 1 had rupture of a posterior inferior cerebellar artery dissecting aneurysm, and in 1 patient, the source of the subarachnoid hemorrhage was unclear (On-line Table). Including patients without imaging follow-up, the overall rate of subarachnoid hemorrhage was 5.9%/year (5 cases over 84.9 patient-years).

The mean clinical follow-up for all 25 patients was 40.8 ± 62.3 months. At last follow-up, 13 patients (52%) had died, 6 patients (24%) had poor neurologic outcome, and 6 patients (24%) had good neurologic outcome. Among the patients who died, 4 died from aneurysm rupture, 2 died from hydrocephalus secondary to mass effect from a growing basilar artery fusiform aneurysm, and 1 patient died from intraparenchymal hemorrhage, which was not aneurysm-related. The remaining deaths were noncerebrovascular in nature, including 4 patients who died from cancer and 2 patients who died from unknown causes. In 4 of the 6 patients who had poor neurologic outcome at last follow-up, the cause of the morbidity was related to diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia. Representative case examples are provided in Figs 1–4.

**Comparison of Diffuse Intracranial Dolichoectasia and Isolated Vertebrabasilar Dolichoectasia Groups**

A total of 139 patients had vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia with a mean follow-up of 44 ± 42.9 months. A case of vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia is demonstrated in Fig 5. None of the patients with vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia progressed to the diffuse form. Patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia were older (70.9 ± 14.2 years versus 60.4 ± 12.5 years, P = .0002), and the age distribution of patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia was older (P < .0001). There was a predominance of males in both patient groups (84.0% versus 75.5%, P = .51). Patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia were significantly more likely to have abdominal aortic aneurysms or ectasias (62.5% versus 14.3%, P = .01) as well as visceral aneurysms (25.0% versus 0.0%, P < .0001). Patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia trended toward higher rates of peripheral artery disease (16.0% versus 4.3%, P = .07) and hypertension (88.0% versus 70.3%, P = .11). Patients with dif-
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**Outcomes for Diffuse Intracranial Dolichoectasia**

Thirteen patients had imaging follow-up (mean, 54.1 ± 78.4 months). Of these patients, 6 (46%) had growth of at least 1 aneurysmal dolichoectasia, which occurred at a mean of 56.0 ± 54.3 months. Given a total of 63.1 patient-years of imaging follow-up, 7 patients (28%) had additional intracranial saccular aneurysms.

The mean clinical follow-up for all 25 patients was 40.8 ± 62.3 months. At last follow-up, 13 patients (52%) had died, 6 patients (24%) had poor neurologic outcome, and 6 patients (24%) had good neurologic outcome. Among the patients who died, 4 died from aneurysm rupture, 2 died from hydrocephalus secondary to mass effect from a growing basilar artery fusiform aneurysm, and 1 patient died from intraparenchymal hemorrhage, which was not aneurysm-related. The remaining deaths were noncerebrovascular in nature, including 4 patients who died from cancer and 2 patients who died from unknown causes. In 4 of the 6 patients who had poor neurologic outcome at last follow-up, the cause of the morbidity was related to diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia. Representative case examples are provided in Figs 1–4.

**Comparison of Diffuse Intracranial Dolichoectasia and Isolated Vertebrabasilar Dolichoectasia Groups**

A total of 139 patients had vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia with a mean follow-up of 44 ± 42.9 months. A case of vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia is demonstrated in Fig 5. None of the patients with vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia progressed to the diffuse form. Patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia were older (70.9 ± 14.2 years versus 60.4 ± 12.5 years, P = .0002), and the age distribution of patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia was older (P < .0001). There was a predominance of males in both patient groups (84.0% versus 75.5%, P = .51). Patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia were significantly more likely to have abdominal aortic aneurysms or ectasias (62.5% versus 14.3%, P = .01) as well as visceral aneurysms (25.0% versus 0.0%, P < .0001). Patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia trended toward higher rates of peripheral artery disease (16.0% versus 4.3%, P = .07) and hypertension (88.0% versus 70.3%, P = .11). Patients with dif-
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**Table 3: Angiographic characteristics and distribution of DID**

| Vessels involved                        | No. (%) |
|----------------------------------------|---------|
| Anterior circulation only              | 4 (16.0) |
| Bilateral ICAs                         | 4 (16.0) |
| Anterior and posterior circulation     | 21 (84.0) |
| Basilar artery + 1ICA                  | 10 (40.0) |
| Basilar artery + bilateral ICAs        | 5 (20.0) |
| Basilar artery + bilateral ICAs + bilateral MCAs | 2 (8.0) |
| Basilar artery + 1ICA/ipsilateral M1   | 2 (8.0) |
| Basilar artery + 1ICA + bilateral MCAs | 1 (4.0) |
| Basilar artery + 1 MCA                 | 1 (4.0) |
| Mean (SD) maximum diameter of dilated (mm) | 15.2 (8.3) |
| Basilar artery                         | 15.2 (8.3) |
| Internal carotid artery                | 12.7 (7.0) |
| MCA                                    | 10.1 (4.3) |
| Thrombus in fusiform/dolichoectatic aneurysm | 7 (28.0) |

Note: DID indicates diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia.
fuse intracranial dolichoectasia were significantly more likely to smoke (68.0% versus 15.9%, \(P < .0001\)).

Patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia trended toward higher aneurysm growth rates (46.2% versus 21.6%, \(P = .09\)) and cerebral infarction rates (28.0% versus 13.0%, \(P = .10\)) and were more likely to have SAH on follow-up (20.0% versus 3.5%, \(P = .007\)). Patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia were less likely to have good neurologic function at follow-up (52.0% versus 26.6%, \(P = .02\)), and were more likely to die due to aneurysm-related factors (24.0% versus 7.2%, \(P = .02\)). These data are summarized in Table 2.

On multivariate analysis, adjusting for age and smoking history, a diagnosis of diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia was independently associated with higher odds of aneurysm rupture (OR = 6.33; 95% CI, 1.23–35.31; \(P = .02\)) and higher odds of new ischemic stroke (OR = 18.62; 95% CI, 3.63–146.57; \(P = .0003\)). There was no significant difference in the odds of aneurysm growth on multivariate analysis (OR = 2.23; 95% CI, 0.57–8.68; \(P = .24\)).

**DISCUSSION**

Our study of 25 patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia demonstrated a number of notable findings. First, this condition is a relatively rare vascular phenotype, predominantly affecting elderly male smokers with hypertension. The natural history of this disease is dismal, with only a minority surviving with good outcome at a mean follow-up of 3.5 years. Furthermore, among patients with abdominal imaging, >60% had abdominal aortic aneurysms or ectasias and nearly 30% of patients had an additional intracranial saccular aneurysm. Compared with a control group of patients with isolated vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia, patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia were more likely to have aortic and visceral aneurysms, were more likely to smoke, and were more likely to experience aneurysm growth, rupture, and morbidity and mortality on both univariate and multivariate analyses. Overall, these findings suggest that diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia may represent a distinct vascular phenotype from isolated vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia and is likely the manifestation of a diffuse, systemic arteriopathy affecting multiple vascular beds. A summary of our proposed diagnostic criteria for diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia and how they compare with current definitions of vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia is provided in Table 4.
We fully acknowledge that patients with multivessel intracranial dolichoectasia have been reported previously in the literature. However, prior reports were generally quite small and did not specifically identify multivessel involvement as a distinct entity and did not provide detailed baseline demographic, imaging, and outcome data to fully characterize our proposed subgroup. In a review of 9 studies on vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia, Gutierrez et al\(^{11}\) noted that approximately 45% of patients with vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia had some degree of ectasia or dilation of the anterior circulation as well. However, on closer inspection of these studies, it is apparent that the degree of dilation or tortuosity of the anterior circulation and the outcomes of patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia were not reported.\(^{3,10,12-14}\) For example, in a case series that our group previously published, 63 of 152 patients (41.5%) with vertebrobasilar nonsaccular dolichoectatic aneurysms had concomitant dolichoectasias in the anterior circulation by visual inspection. However, only 11 of those patients (7.2%) are included in the current study because the other 52 did not meet the size criteria set forth in Table 1. In fact, even in the large surgical series reported by Anson et al\(^{15}\) and Drake et al\(^{16}\) of patients with surgically treated large fusiform aneurysms, <5 percent of cases would meet the diagnostic criteria of diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia. Other small case series and case reports have reported outcomes of patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia with a well-defined underlying etiology such as prior radiation, autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease, connective tissue disease, or infection. However, findings from these studies do not necessarily apply to the patient population included in our series due to substantial differences in risk factors and etiology.\(^{17-23}\)

Our comparison of the baseline clini-

| Table 4: Comparison of diagnostic criteria and outcomes |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
| **Diffuse Intracranial Dolichoectasia** | **Vertebrobasilar Dolichoectasia**<sup>a</sup> |
| Imaging appearance | Fusiform aneurysmal dilation of an entire vascular segment (ie, supraclinoid ICA, basilar artery, M1 segment of the MCA) | Fusiform: aneurysmal dilation without definable neck involving a portion of an arterial segment with any degree of tortuosity Dolichoectatic: uniform aneurysmal dilation of an artery involving the entire basilar or vertebral or both with any degree of tortuosity Transitional: uniform aneurysmal dilation of an artery with superimposed dilation of a portion of the involved arterial segment Vertebrobasilar system only |
| Distribution | ≥2 Intracranial vascular beds (ie, vertebrobasilar system, left anterior circulation, or right anterior circulation) | Basilar artery diameter of >5.0 mm |
| Size criteria | Cavernous ICA: ≥ 8.5 mm Supraclinoid ICA: ≥ 8.0 mm MCA: ≥ 5.0 mm Basilar artery: ≥ 6.0 mm | |
| Growth rate | 10%/year | 7%/year<sup>2,10</sup> |
| Ischemic stroke risk | 11%/year | 3%/year<sup>2,10</sup> |
| Aneurysm rupture risk | 6%/year | 2%/year<sup>2,10</sup> |

<sup>a</sup> Definitions of vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia proposed by Flemming et al.\(^{2}\)
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The natural history of patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia was also substantially more aggressive than that of the isolated vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia group as well as that reported in the vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia literature. Twenty percent of patients in our series had subarachnoid hemorrhage on follow-up, for a subarachnoid hemorrhage rate of 6.9%/patient-year. This compares with rupture rates of just 1%–2% per year reported for dolichoectatic and fusiform aneurysms of both the anterior and posterior circulations. The growth rate for patients with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia was also substantially higher than that reported in the literature among patients with single-vessel dolichoectatic aneurysms (9.5%/year versus 6.5%/year). More than 50% of the patients in the current series had ischemic stroke after a mean of approximately 5 years of follow-up. Meanwhile, the rates of ischemic stroke among previously published large series of vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia are 3%, 11%, 16%, and 38% at 1, 5, 10, and 12 years, respectively.

Limitations
Our study has limitations. First, this is a retrospective study spanning >15 years at a single institution. Therefore, there is wide variation in the type of imaging used, clinical management of these patients, and degree of follow-up. In addition, our study is prone to substantial selection bias. Patients who presented with diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia at our tertiary referral institution were more likely to have complications related to this disease, which could explain the poor natural history seen in our study. We do not have access to pathologic specimens or gene samples from the patients included in this study. Thus, we are unable to determine whether the pathologic basis of this disease is similar to that in other fusiform aneurysms and ectasias or whether the degree of vessel wall weakening is, in fact, more severe. While none of the patients in our series had a clinical diagnosis of a genetic connective tissue disease such as Marfan syndrome, Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, or Loeys-Dietz syndrome, most of the patients included in this series did not receive any genetic testing, so we cannot rule out the presence of an undiagnosed connective tissue disease. Therefore, the total number of patients or the association to secondary diseases may not be as high as that reported in our study. In our comparison of the natural history of diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia with that of vertebrobasilar dolichoectasia, we did not account for the fact that the morphology of the vertebrobasilar dolichoectatic lesions has a significant impact on their natural history. As defined in Table 4, dolichoectatic vertebrobasilar aneurysms have a much more favorable natural history than fusiform or transitional lesions. Last, because this entity has not been extensively studied outside small case series and case reports, there are no well-defined diagnostic criteria. Our choice of size criteria in diagnosing diffuse intracranial dolichoectasia are somewhat arbitrary (doubling of mean values reported in the literature). Using such strict criteria could have resulted in exclusion of patients with milder or earlier forms of this disease.
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