Perispeckles are major assembly sites for the exon junction core complex
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ABSTRACT The exon junction complex (EJC) is loaded onto mRNAs as a consequence of splicing and regulates multiple posttranscriptional events. MLN51, Magoh, Y14, and elf4A3 form a highly stable EJC core, but where this tetrameric complex is assembled in the cell remains unclear. Here we show that EJC factors are enriched in domains that we term perispeckles and are visible as doughnuts around nuclear speckles. Fluorescence resonance energy transfer analyses and EJC assembly mutants show that perispeckles do not store free subunits, but instead are enriched for assembled cores. At the ultrastructural level, perispeckles are distinct from interchromatin granule clusters that may function as storage sites for splicing factors and intermingle with perichromatin fibrils, where nascent RNAs and active RNA Pol II are present. These results support a model in which perispeckles are major assembly sites for the tetrameric EJC core. This subnuclear territory thus represents an intermediate region important for mRNA maturation, between transcription sites and splicing factor reservoirs and assembly sites.

INTRODUCTION

The exon junction complex (EJC) is a multiprotein complex loaded onto mRNA as a consequence of splicing (Le Hir et al., 2000; Tange et al., 2004). Placed 20–24 nucleotides upstream of the splice junction, the EJC communicates splicing history to downstream events. Structurally, this RNA-binding complex is composed of more than a dozen proteins that have been classified into two groups: EJC “core” and “peripheral” factors (Tange et al., 2005; Le Hir and Seraphin, 2008). Four proteins form the EJC core: the constitutive heterodimer Magoh/Y14, the DEAD-box RNA helicase elf4A3 (eukaryotic initiation factor 4AIII), and MLN51 (metastatic lymph node 51), also known as CASC3 and Barentsz (BTZ; Ballut et al., 2005; Tange et al., 2005). EJC cores accompany mRNAs to the cytoplasm, where the translation machinery removes them from open reading frames (Le Hir et al., 2001b; Dostie and Dreyfuss, 2002; Lejeune et al., 2002; Gehring et al., 2009b); they constitute binding platforms for peripheral factors that dynamically associate and dissociate during mRNA travel (Le Hir and Andersen, 2008; Buchwald et al., 2010; Bono and Gehring, 2011). Several functions are attributed to this complex, in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm. These include pre-mRNA splicing (Ashton-Beaucage et al., 2010; Rognant and Treisman, 2010), mRNA transport (reviewed in Giorgi and Moore, 2007, and Martin and Ephrussi, 2009), translation activation (Nott et al., 2004; Tange et al., 2005; Diekmann et al., 2007; Le Hir and Seraphin, 2008; Ma et al., 2008), and mRNA degradation via nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD; reviewed in Isken and Maquat, 2008; Shyu

© 2012 Daguenet et al. This article is distributed by The American Society for Cell Biology under license from the author(s). Two months after publication it is available to the public under an Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 3.0 Unported Creative Commons License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0). “ASCB,” “The American Society for Cell Biology,” and “Molecular Biology of the Cell®” are registered trademarks of The American Society of Cell Biology.

Supplemental Material can be found at:
http://www.molbiolcell.org/content/suppl/2012/05/12/mbc.E12-01-0040.DC1

Tange et al., 2005; Le Hir and Seraphin, 2008). Four proteins form the EJC core: the constitutive heterodimer Magoh/Y14, the DEAD-box RNA helicase elf4A3 (eukaryotic initiation factor 4AIII), and MLN51 (metastatic lymph node 51), also known as CASC3 and Barentsz (BTZ; Ballut et al., 2005; Tange et al., 2005). EJC cores accompany mRNAs to the cytoplasm, where the translation machinery removes them from open reading frames (Le Hir et al., 2001b; Dostie and Dreyfuss, 2002; Lejeune et al., 2002; Gehring et al., 2009b); they constitute binding platforms for peripheral factors that dynamically associate and dissociate during mRNA travel (Le Hir and Andersen, 2008; Buchwald et al., 2010; Bono and Gehring, 2011). Several functions are attributed to this complex, in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm. These include pre-mRNA splicing (Ashton-Beaucage et al., 2010; Rognant and Treisman, 2010), mRNA transport (reviewed in Giorgi and Moore, 2007, and Martin and Ephrussi, 2009), translation activation (Nott et al., 2004; Tange et al., 2005; Diekmann et al., 2007; Le Hir and Seraphin, 2008; Ma et al., 2008), and mRNA degradation via nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD; reviewed in Isken and Maquat, 2008; Shyu
et al., 2008; Stalder and Muhlemann, 2008; Rebbapragada and Lykke-Andersen, 2009).

The EJC core is not a preassembled complex, and core components are brought together by the splicing machinery. In vitro experiments have shown that the proteins elf4A3, Magoh, and Y14 are recruited by the active spliceosome before exon ligation and form a precore complex on the mRNA (Bessonov et al., 2008; Reichert et al., 2002; Gehring et al., 2009a). In a second step that rapidly follows exon ligation, MLN51 joins this complex to generate the stable EJC core (Degot et al., 2004; Gehring et al., 2009a). The reconstitution and the crystal structure of the EJC core revealed the original mechanism by which it is stably bound to RNA in a sequence-independent manner (Ballut et al., 2005; Andersen et al., 2006; Bono et al., 2006). In the presence of ATP, the two conserved domains of elf4A3 adopt a close conformation around the RNA, and this conformation is locked in by the Magoh/Y14 heterodimer (Figure 1A). The most conserved domain of MLN51, named SELOR, folds around elf4A3 and also contributes to RNA binding. Of importance, all of these interactions are required to ensure the remarkably high stability of the complex (Figure 1A; Andersen et al., 2006; Bono et al., 2006). In vivo, the four components of the EJC core shuttle between the nucleus and the cytoplasm, but Magoh, Y14, and elf4A3 are mainly nuclear, whereas MLN51 is predominantly cytoplasmic (Degot et al., 2002; Ferraiuolo et al., 2004; Kataoka et al., 2000; Le Hir et al., 2001a; Palacios et al., 2004; van Eeden et al., 2001). In this study, we used a combination of microscopy approaches to better understand how and where the EJC core is assembled in intact cells.

RESULTS

The EJC core factors are enriched at the periphery of nuclear speckles

With the exception of the proteins Magoh and Y14, which constitute a stable heterodimer (Fribourg et al., 2003), free elf4A3, MLN51, and Magoh/Y14 proteins do not interact together in a preassembled EJC core (Figure 1A). Instead, the EJC core has an original mode of assembly that is governed by the spliceosome (reviewed in Bono and Gehring, 2011). To have a better view of the localization of EJC core and peripheral factors, we systematically tagged them with a fluorescent protein. When transfected into HeLa cells, the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP)-tagged EJC core factors Magoh and Y14 showed similar localization, with a characteristic doughnut-shaped accumulation around well-defined spherical nuclear speckles (Figure 1B, a and b). The YFP-tagged elf4A3 (Figure 1B, c) was more diffusely distributed in the nucleus, with a weaker but significant enrichment around speckles. Nuclear speckles, also named “SC35 domains” or “splicing factor compartments,” are nuclear punctate structures functioning as storage/assembly/modification compartments that supply splicing factors to active transcription sites (Hall et al., 2006; Lamond and Spector, 2003; Spector and Lamond, 2011). In cells, these structures can be traced using splicing factor labeling, including SC35/5RSF2 (serine/arginine–rich splicing factor 2) or 9G8/5RSF7 (serine/arginine–rich splicing factor 7; Carro-Fonseca et al., 1991; Spector et al., 1991). We then looked at the localization of endogenous elf4A3 and MLN51 in isolation and in untransfected cells. Consistent with previous reports, in both tested cell lines (HeLa and Sk-Br-3), speckles (traced here with 9G8 and SC35) have variable sizes and irregular shapes (Hall et al., 2006; Spector and Lamond, 2011). Endogenous elf4A3 was found throughout 9G8- or SC35-labeled speckles, with enrichment at their periphery (Figure 1D, a and b). As mentioned before, MLN51 is a shuttling protein that is predominantly cytoplasmic (Degot et al., 2002; Macchi et al., 2003). Cell fractionation experiments confirmed that MLN51 is predominantly present in the cytoplasm but also showed that significant amounts are detected in the nucleus (Figure 1C). At steady state, our antibody was not sensitive enough to reliably detect MLN51 in the nucleus, and we thus studied its localization under crm-1 nuclear export blocking conditions (leptomycin B [LMB] treatment). After 5 h of treatment, MLN51 was enriched in the nucleus in all cells and gave a diffuse nucleoplasmic signal. In some cells, MLN51 accumulated at the periphery of SC35-positive speckles (Figure 1D, c). This labeling corresponded to MLN51 because, under LMB, a YFP-MLN51 fusion also localized at the periphery of nuclear speckles (Figure 1B, d).

Peripheral EJC factors, including the apoptosis and splicing-associated protein complex, are predominantly enriched in perispeckles

We next looked at the localization of two different classes of EJC peripheral factors (Figure 2). Factors of the first class are believed to bind the EJC core in the nucleus and include the splicing factors Acinus-S, SAP18, and RNPS1, which together constitute the nuclear trimeric apoptosis and splicing-associated protein (ASAP) complex (Schwerk et al., 2003; Tange et al., 2005), the export factor NXf1 (Huang et al., 2003; Luo et al., 2001; Schmidt et al., 2006), and the NMD factor Upf3b (Lykke-Andersen et al., 2000). The ASAP proteins, present in active spliceosome (Bessonov et al., 2008), are expected to associate with the EJC core very early after mRNA synthesis (Tange et al., 2005), whereas NXF1 and Upf3b are supposed to be recruited early after mRNA release from the spliceosome (Le Hir et al., 2001b). Of interest, the three ASAP proteins transiently expressed showed an accumulation in perispeckles as factors of the EJC core (Figure 2, a–c). Upf3a presented a more diffuse nuclear localization but was also enriched in perispeckles (Figure 2d). In contrast, the export factor NXf1/TAP was predominantly localized at the nuclear envelope (Figure 2g). The second class of EJC peripheral factors includes factors important for EJC functions that are not supposed to associate with the EJC in the nucleus but rather in the cytoplasm: the NMD factor UPF1, which associates with the UPF complex (Behm-Ansmant and Izaakrulde, 2006), and the EJC disassembly factor PYM, which interacts with the heterodimer Magoh/Y14 (Diem et al., 2007). Consistently, both UPF1 and PYM were mainly cytoplasmic (Figure 2, e and f). In addition, nuclear export inhibition was not sufficient to enrich UPF1 and PYM at the perispeckle region (Supplemental Figure S2). In parallel experiments, the localization of additional fluorescent protein–tagged control constructs was undertaken. The transfection of the empty
YFP vector results in a diffuse nuclear and cytoplasmic distribution of the YFP protein (Figure 2). The nuclear pore complex protein NUP153 tagged with green fluorescent protein (GFP) is enriched at the nuclear envelope, without signal enhancement in the speckle and perispeckle regions (Figure 2h). In agreement with previous observations (Daigle and Ellenberg, 2007), the λN22-3XGFP-M9 probe remained in the nucleus, with some nucleolar enrichment (Figure 2i). Taken together, these data show that peripheral EJC factors known to associate with the core in the nucleus, especially factors from the ASAP complex, are in and out speckles with an enrichment in perispeckles.

EJC assembly–deficient mutants are not enriched in perispeckles

Because the EJC is dynamically assembled in the nucleus, the enrichment of its components in perispeckles could correspond to either storage sites for unassembled factors or sites where assembled EJC would transiently accumulate, for instance, if splicing and EJC assembly occur there. To distinguish between these possibilities, we analyzed the localization of several EJC assembly–defective mutants. For this purpose, we generated mutants for Y14, Magoh, and MLN51 proteins that are unable to integrate the EJC complex in vitro or in cell extracts (EJC-defective) and/or to trigger NMD using tethering experiments (NMD-defective; Fribourg et al., 2003; Ballut et al., 2005; Gehring et al., 2005). Three Y14 mutants unable to form the EJC core were tested: the Y14-C149K/F150A and Y14-L118R mutants, which cannot interact with Magoh, and the Y14-L106R/R108E mutant, which can interact with Magoh but not with eIF4A3 (Figure 3A; Fribourg et al., 2003; Gehring et al., 2005; Andersen et al., 2006; Bono et al., 2006). Similarly, two Magoh mutants were analyzed: the L136R point mutant, which cannot bind Y14, and K130E/F134A, which can bind Y14 but not eIF4A3 (Fribourg et al., 2003; Gehring et al., 2005; Figure 3B). With regard to MLN51 (Figure 3C), two key motifs were chosen for mutagenesis: the SELOR module with the H220A/D221A mutation, which abrogates its interaction with eIF4A3, thus preventing EJC assembly (Ballut et al., 2005), and the NES consensus region with the L468A mutation, which prevents nuclear export (Macchi et al., 2003). First, we verified the binding specificities of the mutants with respect to the core factor interactions by coimmunoprecipitation in HeLa cells. Consistent with previous report (Gehring et al., 2005), Flag-Magoh coprecipitated wild-type (wt) YFP-Y14 and YFP-Y14-L106R/R108E but not YFP-L118R and YFP-Y14-C149K/F150A mutants (Figure 3A, compare lanes 6 and 7 to lanes 8 and 9). Similarly, GFP-Y14 was coimmunoprecipitated with wt Flag-Magoh and Flag-Magoh K130E/F134A but not with Flag-Magoh L136R (Gehring et al., 2005; Figure 3B, lanes 4–6). Finally, endogenous eIF4A3 coprecipitated wt YFP-MLN51 and the NES mutant YFP-MLN51 L468A but not the YFP-MLN51-H220A/D221A mutant (Ballut et al., 2005; Gehring et al., 2005) and not the double mutant YFP-MLN51-H220A/D221A/L468A (Figure 3C, lanes 7–10).

Next we looked at the localization of these mutants in HeLa cells. Although the wt YFP-Y14 protein was concentrated in perispeckles (Figure 4A, a), all EJC assembly–defective Y14 mutants were diffusely distributed in the nucleus (Figure 4A, b–d). Similar results were observed with EJC assembly–defective Magoh mutants (Figure 4C, b and c) and with the EJC-defective MLN51 mutants bearing the mutation in the SELOR module YFP-MLN51-H220A/D221A and YFP-MLN51-H220A/D221A/L468A (Figure 4B, b and d). Thus, all the EJC assembly–defective mutants tested were distributed throughout the nucleoplasm, including speckles, and not significantly enriched in perispeckles.

To confirm the localization of MLN51 in perispeckles without using LMB, we looked at the distribution of the YFP-MLN51-L468A mutant, which lacks a functional NES signal. Of interest, this construct was significantly enriched at the perispeckle territory (Figure 4B, c). In addition, as mentioned, simultaneous mutations of the NES and SELOR motifs resulted in a diffuse nuclear localization of the protein, with no accumulation at the perispeckle (Figure 4B, d). These data indicate that MLN51 transits in the nucleus and that its enrichment at the perispeckle requires its association with the EJC.

Taken together, these data show that EJC assembly–defective mutants are uniformly distributed in and out speckles and no longer enriched in perispeckles. They support the notion that perispeckles are not storage sites for free EJC core factors but represent regions enriched in EJC-bound mRNAs.

Fluorescence resonance energy transfer imaging indicates that the four EJC core factors interact in living cells

To substantiate this model, we used fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) to detect protein–protein interactions in live cells. We used the sensitized emission method, which exploits the ability of a donor fluorophore to transfer some energy from its excited state to an adjacent acceptor fluorophore, inducing energy emission. This energy transfer is distance and orientation dependent; therefore we used two distinct settings. In a first set of experiments, the cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) tag of Y14 served as the fluorescence donor and the YFP tags of Magoh, MLN51-SELR, MLN51-SELR-H220A/D221A, and eIF4A3 served as fluorescence acceptors. In the second setting, the CFP-MLN51-SELR was used as the donor molecule and the YFP tag of Y14, Magoh, and eIF4A3 were acceptors of fluorescence. On the basis of biochemical and localization studies, we used the SELOR module of MLN51 to design this assay. In fact, this motif is essential and sufficient to stabilize the EJC core (Degot et al., 2004; Ballut et al., 2005; Stroupe et al., 2006; Andersen et al., 2006) and is predominantly localized in the nucleus in the absence of LMB treatment. To show that YFP-SELR is part of the tetrameric core, the EJC was immunoprecipitated using an antibody against eIF4A3. In agreement with previous studies (Degot et al., 2004; Ballut et al., 2005; Andersen et al., 2006; Bono et al., 2006), the tetrameric EJC core is stabilized by the presence of YFP-SELR (Figure 5A). In live cells, the fusion proteins showed doughnut-shaped signals characteristic of perispeckle enrichment (Figure 5B), as observed before (Figure 1A). The YFP-eIF4A3 fusion construct was more diffuse in the nucleus, with some enrichment in perispeckles (Figure 5B). Compared with the signals obtained with the untagged control CFP and YFP proteins, a strong FRET signal was observed for CFP-Y14 and Magoh-YFP. This strong FRET signal was expected given their heterodimeric state (Fribourg et al., 2003). In addition, among the tested pairs, a significant FRET efficiency was observed between CFP-Y14 and the YFP-tagged Magoh and MLN51 and between CFP-MLN51 and the YFP-tagged Y14, Magoh, and eIF4A3 (Figure 5C). Confirming immunoprecipitation and localization experiments (Figures 3C, 4B, and 5B), the MLN51 H220A/D221A mutation abrogated FRET (Figure 5C). No significant FRET signal was measured between CFP-Y14 and YFP-eIF4A3 (Figure 5C). Because fluorophore orientation is crucial for energy transfer, we speculated that this conformation was not appropriated and could interfere with the peculiar configuration of the helicase eIF4A3.

Collectively, these experiments revealed the presence of the tetrameric EJC core, including MLN51, in the nucleus of living cells. These results reinforce the notion that perispeckles are sites
FIGURE 1: The EJC core factors are enriched in perispeckles. (A) Schematic representation of the EJC core assembly. Except for the constitutive Magoh/Y14 heterodimer, the four factors are not preassembled. As a consequence of splicing and in the presence of ATP and RNA, the EJC core is formed and stably anchored onto mRNA, mainly through direct contacts between eIF4A3 and MLN51 with RNA. (B) The core EJC factors are predominantly enriched at the periphery of nuclear speckles. The YFP-tagged EJC core constructs, Magoh (a), Y14 (b), eIF4A3 (c), and MLN51 (d), were transfected into HeLa cells, and the endogenous SR protein 9G8 was detected by immunofluorescence (red). Cells in d were treated with 20 ng/ml LMB for 5 h and then processed for immunofluorescence. Enlargements of selected
Ultrastructural characterization of perispeckles using correlative light and electron microscopy

At the ultrastructural level, speckles comprise clusters of 20- to 25-nm granules connected by a thin fibril. These structures are called interchromatin granule clusters (IGCs), and they function as storage, modification, and assembly sites for many RNA-processing factors (Spector et al., 1983; Fakan et al., 1984; Thiry, 1995; Puvion and Puvion-Dutilleul, 1996; Spector and Lamond, 2011). Structures called perichromatin fibrils (PFs) can be observed at the outer periphery of IGCs and in the nucleoplasm. These fibrillar structures (3–5 nm in diameter) of intermediate density were previously shown to contain nascent and polyadenylated RNAs (polyAs) and are believed to represent a major site of transcription and splicing (Fakan, 1994; Puvion and Puvion-Dutilleul, 1996). No specific nuclear structure was previously described that could correspond to the perispeckle region. To determine whether perispeckles overlap with IGCs or PFs, we used a correlative microscopic approach to determine the localization of containing messenger ribonucleoprotein (mRNP)-bound EJCs rather than storage sites for unassembled subunits.

Ultrastructural characterization of perispeckles using correlative light and electron microscopy

At the ultrastructural level, speckles comprise clusters of 20- to 25-nm granules connected by a thin fibril. These structures are called interchromatin granule clusters (IGCs), and they function as storage, modification, and assembly sites for many RNA-processing factors (Spector et al., 1983; Fakan et al., 1984; Thiry, 1995; Puvion and Puvion-Dutilleul, 1996; Spector and Lamond, 2011).
FIGURE 3: Mutants EJC factors display specific binding requirements with their core EJC partners. EJC-defective mutants Y14, Magoh, and MLN51 were generated through directed mutagenesis and were characterized by communoprecipitation. (A) Left, positions of the Y14 mutations in the context of the core EJC; right, binding properties of Y14 mutants. HeLa cells were cotransfected with Flag-Magoh and GFP-Y14 wild type (wt), GFP-Y14 L106R/R108E, GFP-Y14 L118R, or GFP-Y14 C149K/F150A, as indicated. Cells extracts were subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-Flag antibody. Immunoprecipitated complexes were immunoblotted with anti-GFP (top) and anti-Flag (bottom) antibodies. Expression of both Flag- and GFP-tagged constructs was confirmed by analyzing whole total extracts. Asterisk indicates immunoglobulin G chains. Note that only Y14 wt and Y14 L106R/R108E (lanes 6 and 7) coprecipitate with Magoh (black arrows). (B) Left, positions of the Magoh mutations in the context of the core EJC; right, binding properties of Magoh mutants. HeLa cells were cotransfected with GFP-Y14 and Flag-Magoh wt, Flag-Magoh L136R, or Flag-Magoh K130E/F134A, as indicated. Efficiencies of the coexpression were analyzed on total extracts. Note that Magoh wt and Magoh K130E/F134A interact with Y14 (lanes 4 and 6). (C) Left, position of the MLN51 mutation in the context of the core EJC (H220A/D221A) with or without the NES motif mutation; right, binding properties of MLN51 mutants. HeLa cells were transfected with YFP-MLN51 wt, YFP-MLN51 H220A/D221A, YFP-MLN51 L468A, or YFP-MLN51 H220A/D221A/L468A, as indicated. Endogenous eIF4A3 was immunoprecipitated using a specific anti-eIF4A3 antibody, and immunoprecipitated complexes were then immunoblotted with anti-MLN51 (top) and anti-eIF4A3 (bottom) antibodies. Transfection efficacy was assessed by immunoblotting with anti-MLN51 antibody on total extracts. Asterisk indicates the expression of the endogenous MLN51 protein. Note that MLN51 wt is coprecipitated with eIF4A3 and that mutation in the NES motif L468A enhances binding between MLN51 and eIF4A3 (lanes 7 and 9).
FIGURE 4: EJC-defective mutants fail to localize at the perispeckle region. (A, B) HeLa cells were transfected with wt and mutant YFP-tagged Y14 (A) and MLN51 (B) constructs (green), and the endogenous SR protein 9G8 was detected by immunofluorescence (red). LMB treatment (20 ng/ml for 5 h) was done in the context of MLN51 wt and H220A/D221A mutant (B, a, b). Both EJC-defective Y14 mutants (A, b–d) and MLN51 mutants (B, b, d) are diffuse in the nucleoplasm. (C) HeLa cells were transfected with wt and mutant Flag-tagged Magoh constructs and then stained using anti-Flag (green) and anti-SC35 (red). Only Magoh wt is enriched at the perispeckle. For immunofluorescence analysis, nuclei were counterstained using the Hoechst-33258 dye (blue; merge). (A–C) Enlargements of selected speckles are shown with 3× magnification, and line-scan analyses (right) were performed as previously described. Scale bar, 5 μm.
The fluorescent signals corresponding to GFP-SELOR were acquired before fixation, whereas transmission EM pictures were taken after high-pressure freezing and embedding (Supplemental Figure S3). Fluorescent confocal acquisitions first acquired were fitted with EM pictures on three serial sections (Figure 6A). On images representing fitted confocal and EM sections, the fluorescent doughnut-shaped signals were at the outer periphery of dense, granular structures characteristic of IGCs (Figure 6, A and B).

To gain more details about the localization of GFP-MLN51-SELR with respect to nuclear architecture, we performed immunogold labeling on ultrathin sections using anti-GFP antibody coupled to gold particles (Figures 6C and S3). The fluorescent signals corresponding to GFP-SELOR were acquired before fixation, whereas transmission EM pictures were taken after high-pressure freezing and embedding (Supplemental Figure S3). Fluorescent confocal acquisitions first acquired were fitted with EM pictures on three serial sections (Figure 6A). On images representing fitted confocal and EM sections, the fluorescent doughnut-shaped signals were at the outer periphery of dense, granular structures characteristic of IGCs (Figure 6, A and B).

The fluorescent signals corresponding to GFP-SELOR were acquired before fixation, whereas transmission EM pictures were taken after high-pressure freezing and embedding (Supplemental Figure S3). Fluorescent confocal acquisitions first acquired were fitted with EM pictures on three serial sections (Figure 6A). On images representing fitted confocal and EM sections, the fluorescent doughnut-shaped signals were at the outer periphery of dense, granular structures characteristic of IGCs (Figure 6, A and B).

To gain more details about the localization of GFP-MLN51-SELR with respect to nuclear architecture, we performed immunogold labeling on ultrathin sections using anti-GFP antibody coupled to gold particles (Figures 6C and S3). The fluorescent signals corresponding to GFP-SELOR were acquired before fixation, whereas transmission EM pictures were taken after high-pressure freezing and embedding (Supplemental Figure S3). Fluorescent confocal acquisitions first acquired were fitted with EM pictures on three serial sections (Figure 6A). On images representing fitted confocal and EM sections, the fluorescent doughnut-shaped signals were at the outer periphery of dense, granular structures characteristic of IGCs (Figure 6, A and B).

The fluorescent signals corresponding to GFP-SELOR were acquired before fixation, whereas transmission EM pictures were taken after high-pressure freezing and embedding (Supplemental Figure S3). Fluorescent confocal acquisitions first acquired were fitted with EM pictures on three serial sections (Figure 6A). On images representing fitted confocal and EM sections, the fluorescent doughnut-shaped signals were at the outer periphery of dense, granular structures characteristic of IGCs (Figure 6, A and B).

To gain more details about the localization of GFP-MLN51-SELR with respect to nuclear architecture, we performed immunogold labeling on ultrathin sections using anti-GFP antibody coupled to gold particles (Figures 6C and S3). The fluorescent signals corresponding to GFP-SELOR were acquired before fixation, whereas transmission EM pictures were taken after high-pressure freezing and embedding (Supplemental Figure S3). Fluorescent confocal acquisitions first acquired were fitted with EM pictures on three serial sections (Figure 6A). On images representing fitted confocal and EM sections, the fluorescent doughnut-shaped signals were at the outer periphery of dense, granular structures characteristic of IGCs (Figure 6, A and B).
counted in concentric ellipses with fixed intervals (Figure 6C, b and c). Very few gold particles were found in the chromatin or in nucleoli (Figure 6C and unpublished data). In addition, the labeling was not homogeneously distributed over the delimited subnuclear territory (from ellipse 1 to 9) since the density decreased toward the center of the IGC (Figure 6C, b–d). The gold particle density per surface area was 7 particles/μm² (p/μm²) in the most external ring of the IGC territory and increased to 33 p/μm² at the outer periphery of the IGC (ellipse 7). The 2.5-fold increase in labeling of the outer IGC (25 p/μm²) is significant as compared with the inner IGC (10 p/μm²; Figure 6E). Of interest, the gold labels were often arranged in regions of intermediate density, along some wide and distinct fibers (Figure 6D, a and b, arrowheads). This fiber-like pattern corresponding to PFs thus overlaps with the perispeckle region. To get more insight into the relationships between the EJC and the nuclear architecture, we also investigated the distribution of Acinus. Acinus is a peripheral EJC factor that associates with the core in the nucleus (Tange et al., 2005). The correlative light and electron microscopy (CLEM) methodology was similarly applied to MCF7 cells overexpressing the YFP-tagged Acinus protein (Supplemental Figure S4). Consistent with the localization of YFP-Acinus by light microscopy (Figure 2A), the YFP signal corresponding to Acinus was enriched at the outer periphery of IGC; little signal was observed inside the IGC (Supplemental Figure S4A). The patterning of YFP-Acinus was then assessed by immunogold labeling using an antibody specific for the YFP tag. Most labeling was found at the outer IGC periphery (Supplemental Figure S4B and unpublished data). A significant 6.4-fold increase of particle density per μm² was measured between the speckle and the peripheral zone. Of importance, the PFs close to IGC limits were also decorated with gold particles (Supplemental Figure S4).

This correlative approach shows that perispeckles represent nuclear territories distinct from but topologically related to IGCs and supports the hypothesis that perispeckles represent regions where EJC cores are assembled.

Perispeckles are enriched in polyA mRNAs and transcripts undergoing splicing and are close to regions containing active RNA polymerase II

Because PFs contain both polyA RNAs and nascent transcripts (Fakan, 1994; Puvion and Puvion-Dutilleul, 1996), we next examined whether assembled EJC colocalized with either of these markers. Given that elf4A3, Y14, and Magoh are nuclear-resident proteins, we reasoned that elf4A3 and Magoh colocalizing signals indicate the presence of assembled EJC rather than the localization of the free subunits or of other complexes. A triple labeling between polyA RNAs, endogenous elf4A3, and Flag-Magoh showed that they were enriched in doughnut-shaped regions surrounding regular and round speckles (Figure 7A). Line-scan analyses on different speckles highlighted the similar position of the three signals (Figure 7A, magoh, g–l). In transfected cells, elf4A3 and polyA mRNAs are predominantly detected in perispeckles (Figure 7A, d,h and b,g). The enrichment of both markers is independent of Magoh overexpression (Figure 7A, d and h). It has been shown that cotranscriptional splicing can occur around speckles (Hall et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2008; Brown et al., 2008). Recruitment of some EJC proteins at the site of transcription and splicing was previously documented in mammalian cells. By following transcription using a β-globin gene reporter cell line, Custodio et al. (2004) showed the accumulation of two factors from the core complex Magoh and Y14, together with the core spliceosomal components (U small nuclear ribonucleoproteins [snRNPs]) at transcription sites, thus providing evidence that Magoh and Y14 can cotranscriptionally bind to mRNPs. Magoh and Y14 are recruited before splicing completion (Bessonov et al., 2008; Reichert et al., 2002; Gehring et al., 2009a); therefore it is possible that their cotranscriptional recruitment precedes the formation of the tetrameric EJC core. We thus sought to determine the position of perispeckle region with respect to transcription sites. To do so, we performed a triple labeling between Flag-Magoh and polyA mRNAs to mark perispeckles and endogenous active RNA polymerase II (Pol II) detected with the specific antibody against its phosphorylated C-terminal domain (Pol II, H14; Sutherland and Bickmore, 2009). Active polymerases were excluded from nucleoli and from the central region of speckles and were distributed in the nucleoplasm. However, sites of enrichment in active Pol II intermingled with perispeckles (Figure 7B). Line scans highlighted the vicinity between active Pol II on one side and polyA RNAs and Flag-Magoh on the other (Figure 7B). We sought to determine the position of perispeckles with respect to spliced and unspliced transcripts. To do so, we used reporter genes containing (MINX WT) or lacking (MINX Δin) functional splice sites (Schmidt et al., 2011). The MINX sequence is an artificial derivative from the adenovirus genome (Zillmann et al., 1988; Spiluttini et al., 2010). It is composed of two exons flanking an intron, and this splicing unit is followed by the β-galactosidase coding sequence (Figure 8A). Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) using specific probes corresponding to the LacZ sequence (Figure 8A) was used to localize both reporter transcripts, and nuclear speckles were labeled using endogenous SC35 (Figure 8B). Both reporters were efficiently transcribed, as shown by the nuclear accumulation of LacZ transcripts. Although both transcripts were absent from the core of nuclear speckles, they were differently distributed in the nucleus (Figure 8B). Transcripts synthesized from the MINX WT construct were enriched at the periphery of nuclear speckles, whereas transcripts corresponding to MINX Δin were present as random clusters in the nucleus (Figure 8B).

Taken together, these results show that transcripts undergoing splicing are preferentially localized around speckles and support the notion that perispeckles represent EJC-bearing, mRNA-enriched regions intermingled with transcription sites.

DISCUSSION

The experiments presented here support the idea that the EJC core is assembled in the nucleus and marks discrete subnuclear territories containing spliced mRNPs. These doughnut-shaped territories are at the periphery of 9G8- and SC35-positive nuclear speckles and were termed perispeckles (Schmidt et al., 2006).

It had been unclear whether MLN51 is part of the nuclear core of the EJC or whether it joins the EJC after the nuclear export of the spliced mRNA. Indeed, MLN51 functions as a nucleocytoplasmic shuttling protein but exhibits predominantly cytoplasmic localization (Degot et al., 2002; Macchi et al., 2003). Several observations argue in favor of a nuclear association of MLN51 within the EJC core. Indeed, MLN51 was reported to be a bona fide component of the spliced nuclear mRNP5s in proteomic analysis of spliceosomal complexes and can be loaded on the mRNA during in vitro splicing reactions (Degot et al., 2004; Tange et al., 2005; Zhang and Krainer, 2007; Herold et al., 2009). In addition, MLN51, via its conserved SELOR module, participates in the stabilization of the core complex by increasing elf4A3 affinity for RNA (Ballut et al., 2005) and directly binding RNA in the context of the assembled core (Andersen et al., 2006; Bono et al., 2006). Using subcellular fractionation, we showed the presence of low concentration of MLN51 in the nucleus. In addition, under conditions in which the CRM1-nuclear export pathway is
inhibited with LMB, MLN51 was predominantly in perispeckles. Consistently, the YFP-MLN51/L468A construct bearing a mutation in the consensus NES motif was localized in perispeckles in the absence of treatment. Endogenous MLN51 was nuclear in LMB-treated cells, and, for a small proportion of cells, enrichment was observable at the periphery of nuclear speckles. These results are consistent with a nuclear association of MLN51 in the EJC core and show that localization of the endogenous MLN51 protein involves a complex regulatory system, involving both nuclear localization signals and NES. This shuttling mechanism is responsible for the low concentration of MLN51 in the nucleus. As previously proposed, the low concentration of MLN51 might prevent the formation of the stable EJC core inadvertently on RNA without the splicing process to orchestrate its assembly (Tange et al., 2005).

Besides MLN51, the three other EJC core proteins, Y14, Magoh, and elf4A3, are nuclear-resident proteins. To further study whether perispeckles represent sites enriched in EJC-bound mRNP s rather than storage sites for free subunits, we looked at the localization of specific EJC core mutants that cannot be assembled in the complex. Structural and mechanistic studies have identified binding interfaces required to build the EJC core (Fribourg et al., 2003; Ballut et al., 2005; Gehring et al., 2005; Andersen et al., 2006; Shibuya et al., 2006). EJC-defective Y14, Magoh, and MLN51 mutants were diffusely present in the nucleoplasm. In contrast to their wild-type counterparts, these mutants were present in and out speckles without any enrichment at their periphery, indicating that perispeckles are not storage sites for free EJC components. We propose that perispeckles represent sites enriched in EJC-bound mRNP s. To test this hypothesis, interaction between EJC core factors was measured in situ in living cells by a FRET microscopic approach. A significant FRET efficiency was measured between Magoh, Y14, elf4A3, and MLN51-SELO R. Taken together, these experiments thus indicate that perispeckles represent nuclear territories enriched in EJC cores.

The architectural organization of the nucleus is essential for the coordination of the different steps involved in gene expression, including transcription and mRNA processing (Matera et al., 2009; Moore and Proudfoot, 2009). The role of nuclear speckles in splicing and gene expression is still a subject of debate (Han et al., 2011). This domain has been considered as a storage site for splicing factors, which are recruited to a subset of active genes for cotranscriptional splicing (reviewed in Lamond and Spector, 2003). Other studies are in favor of an active role of this domain in gene expression. These studies showed that a subset of actively transcribed genes or coexpressed genes cluster together around, or associate with, nuclear speckle domains (Shopland et al., 2003; Hall et al., 2006; Brown et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2008, 2010; Dias et al., 2010; Zhong et al., 2009). In addition, the observation that splicing factors are rapidly exchanged in speckles while the domain is relatively immobile suggests a role of these domains in supplying splicing complexes (Phair and Misteli, 2000). Recent studies highlighted the role of a protein called Son and the long, noncoding transcript named MALAT1 in the structure and function of nuclear speckles. The SR-related protein Son was shown to function as a scaffold for the proper organization of nuclear speckle components, and its depletion was associated with mitotic arrest (Sharma et al., 2010). MALAT1 was shown to play a critical role in the localization and activity of SR-splicing factors (Tripathi et al., 2010; Bernard et al., 2010). In addition, Son was directly involved in the nuclear localization of MALAT1 (Tripathi et al., 2010). The Son and MALAT1 components are interconnected and cooperate to maintain the functional and morphological integrity of nuclear speckles. Taken together, these reports support the view that speckles have an active role during gene expression.

By transmission microscopy, the core region of nuclear speckles corresponds to IGCs, which do not show gene activity, whereas its periphery contains PFs with nascent RNAs, indicative of active transcription (Fakan et al., 1984; Spector et al., 1991) and considered as the main support of splicing (Visa et al., 1993; Fakan, 1994; Puvion and Puvion-Dutilleul, 1996). Both regions are functionally connected, since disruption of IGCs perturbs coordination between transcription and pre-mRNA splicing (Sacco-Bubulya and Spector, 2002). We characterized the perispeckles at the ultrastructural level with respect to the nuclear architecture. For this purpose, we used a correlative microscopic approach that enables the precise localization of a fluorescent signal on ultrathin EM sections. The fluorescence-tagged SELO R-MLN51 signal was ring-shaped and excluded from typical granular IGCs on serial ultrathin sections. To gain more details on its localization, we performed immunogold labeling on serial ultrathin sections. Gold particles
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**Figure 6:** Ultrastructural characterization of the perispeckle region by CLEM. MCF7 cells were transiently transfected with GFP-MLN51-SELO R construct and then processed for microscopy analysis and immunogold labeling by CLEM. (A) GFP-MLN51 SELOR is present at the periphery of IGCs. Left, three representative images of GFP signal are live confocal z-sections (a, d, g). Middle, transmission EM images were acquired from thin sections (b, e, h). Right, fitting between light and electron microscopy acquisitions was performed after EM image compression (c, f, i). Nuclear speckles, also called IGCs, are indicated with white arrows (b) inside the nucleus (Nu). A thin cytoplasmic strip is observable (Cy). Scale bar, 1 μm. (B) The GFP signal fits at the periphery of the nuclear speckle. A selected area in A, e (white square), was enlarged with transmission EM section (a) and the corresponding fitted image (b). (C) The GFP signal is enriched in the close vicinity of nuclear speckles. (a) A nonfitted view of a representative IGC immunogold labeled with GFP-specific antibody. (b) Schematic representation of the GFP-specific immunogold labeling; the black line indicates the IGC territory, and gold beads are highlighted with blue dots. (c) Radial distribution of the labeling densities within and around the IGC territory; the central IGC domain is separated into five concentric ellipses and is surrounded by four similar and peripheral ellipses. (d) Histogram representing the labeling density of GFP-MLN51 SELOR signal in concentric nuclear ellipses per surface unit in μm². From left to right, bars depict the IGC center to the peripheral environment. (D) Gold particles are associated with perichromatin fibrils. (a, b) Enlarged regions showing the distribution of the GFP labeling around the IGC (dashed line). Gold particles overlap with filamentous structures in a less granular region, corresponding to perichromatin fibrils (PF, arrowheads). (E) The GFP-MLN51 SELOR signal is enriched at the periphery of IGC. Graph representing the preferential distribution of GFP-MLN51 SELOR at the periphery of IGC. The mean labeling density of gold particles per surface unit in μm², which marks the presence of GFP-SELO R, was quantified inside IGCs vs. periphery ± standard deviations. The p value was obtained from Student’s statistical t test; **p ≤ 0.01.
were significantly more numerous at the periphery of IGCs versus their core regions: the enrichment peaked at the proximal periphery of IGCs. Of more interest, we found that this signal intermingled with regions of intermediate density containing PFs. Similarly, the peripheral nuclear EJC factor Acinus harbored this pattern distribution. These ultrastructural studies show that the perispeckle territory, traced with two EJC factors, is distinct but topologically related to IGCs and correlates with the presence of PFs.

**FIGURE 7:** Perispeckles contain polyA mRNAs and overlap with sites of active Pol II. (A) EJC core factors and polyA RNA colocalize at the perispeckle. HeLa cells were transfected with an empty vector (empty vector, a–f) or a Flag-Magoh construct (magoh, g–l) and then processed for combined immunofluorescence and RNA FISH. Fluorescent Alexa Fluor 488 or Cy3-oligo-d(T) probe were hybridized for polyA RNA detection (green in empty vector, b; red in magoh, g). The EJC core components Magoh and eIF4A3 were stained with anti-Flag (white in magoh, j) and anti-eIF4A3 (white in empty vector, d; green in magoh, h) antibodies, respectively. The splicing factor SC35 is detected by immunofluorescence (red in empty vector, a). (B) Active RNA polymerase II is close to perispeckle. HeLa cells were transfected with Flag-Magoh construct (magoh) and then processed for combined immunofluorescence RNA FISH. A fluorescent Cy3-oligo-d(T) probe was hybridized for polyA RNA detection (red). The EJC core component Magoh and active RNA polymerase II were stained with anti-Flag (white) and anti-Pol II H14 (green) antibodies, respectively. In A and B, nuclei were counterstained with the Hoechst-33258 dye (blue). Insets show a representative speckle with 2.5× magnification. Scale bar, 5 μm. Line-scan graphs were analyzed as described in the legend to Figure 1.
FIGURE 8: Intron-containing RNAs concentrate around nuclear speckles. (A) Scheme of the MINX constructs. The plasmid comprises the LTR-HIV promoter, followed by the MINX sequence fused to the LacZ coding sequence. The MINX sequence consists of adenovirus major late gene sequences (AdML): an exon2/intron2 sequence fragment is fused to a fragment of intron1/exon2 sequence (MINX WT). For MINX Δin, the fused intron2/intron1 is excised. The red bar represents the position of the FISH probes. (B) MINX WT is recruited at the periphery of nuclear speckles. HeLa cells were cotransfected with either MINX WT or MINX Δin and Tat-expressing vector. Cells were then processed for combined immunofluorescence LacZ FISH. A pool of fluorescent Cy3-LacZ probes was hybridized for MINX reporter detection (red). The endogenous SC35 protein was stained with anti-SC35 (white) antibody. Nuclei were counterstained with the Hoechst-33258 dye (blue). Insets show a representative speckle with a 2.5× magnification. Line-scan graphs were analyzed as previously mentioned. Scale bar, 5 μm. (C) Model for the assembly of the EJC core. Unassembled Magoh/Y14 and elf4A3 are in the nucleus, whereas MLN51 is in the cytoplasm. Nascent pre-mRNAs exiting from Pol II (step 1) are processed into mRNP (step 2) in perispeckles. Following spliceosome assembly, elf4A3 and Magoh/Y14 are recruited onto the RNA. After splicing completion, MLN51 joins the complex. The stable EJC core recruits peripheral factors (step 3).
How spliceosomal components are recruited and assembled onto nascent transcripts in living cells remains unclear. Recently, the use of FRET microscopy revealed that splicing complexes can be differently localized in the nucleus. Interactions of the splicing factors SF2/ASF and SC35 with snRNPs are preferentially localized in nuclear speckles and in the nucleoplasm, respectively (Elis et al., 2008). Whereas nonassembled spliceosomal snRNPs concentrate in Cajal bodies, polyA RNAs concentrate at sites colocalized with snRNPs- and SC35-rich domains (Carter et al., 1993; Xing et al., 1993; Sleeman et al., 2003). Consistently, Hall et al. (2006) noted that the polyA signal demarcates a slightly larger “speckle” region, which was believed to overlap with PFs. Other studies also showed that the speckle domain has a substructure (Mintz and Spector, 2000) and contains a variety of proteins, some of which are not involved in splicing (Saitoh et al., 2004). The identity of this “speckle shell” is not yet characterized (Hall et al., 2006), but it shares many features with the perispeckle region. Very recently, the visualization of both cotranscriptional and posttranscriptional splicing was achieved in living cells using a single-molecule approach. This study showed that the released transcripts are not randomly distributed in the nucleolus but are attracted to nuclear speckles (Vargas et al., 2011). Analogous observations were made by injection of intron-containing transcripts and in situ hybridization (Dias et al., 2010) and using MINX gene-cassette models (this study). The fact that spliced mRNAs tend to cluster around speckles is consistent with our results indicating that the EJC cores are assembled at these places.

In conclusion, the EJC plays fundamental roles in mRNA biogenesis by allowing the spliceosome to communicate with many other key machineries. However, it had been unclear where the tetrameric MINX gene-cassette models (this study). The fact that the released transcripts are not randomly distributed in the nucleolus but are attracted to nuclear speckles (Vargas et al., 2011). Analogous observations were made by injection of intron-containing transcripts and in situ hybridization (Dias et al., 2010) and using MINX gene-cassette models (this study). The fact that spliced mRNAs tend to cluster around speckles is consistent with our results indicating that the EJC cores are assembled at these places.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plasmid constructs**

The vectors encoding MLN51 and truncated versions of the protein fused to the enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (EYFP) were previously described (Baguet et al., 2007; Degot et al., 2004). CFP-fused MLN51 constructs were obtained as follows. PCR fragments corresponding to MLN51/1-703 (full-length) and MLN51/137-283 (SELR) were obtained by PCR using pCR3.1-MLN51 construct as template and the following primers: AEG200 (5′-GAGACAATGG CGTTCTCGT

CGT AAGATGCGGG AC-3′) as forward primer (fp) and AGF274 (5′-CAATTGTGAA CTGGAGACCC TGCTTACAC-3′) as reverse primer (rp) and AGF268 (5′-AACAAATGCG ACACAAAG CACTGTGACT-3′) as fp and AGF272 (5′-CAATTGTGAT CAGTGAAGCT TGGTIAAGCG-3′) as rp, respectively. The cDNA fragments were digested by Muni and inserted in frame into the EcoRI site of the pECFP-C1 expression vector (Clontech, Mountain View, CA). The YFP-SELR-HDAA mutant was previously described (Baltat et al., 2005). The complete open reading frames (ORFs) of wild-type and mutants Y14/RNA-binding motif protein 8 (RBMB; NM_005105; Degot et al., 2004; Gehring et al., 2005) were digested by Muni and inserted in frame into the EcoRI site of the pEYFP-C1 and pECPF-C1 and pEGFP-C1 plasmids. The complete ORFs of wild-type and mutant Magoh (NM_018048) were inserted into Flag-tagged vector (Fribourq et al., 2003; Degot et al., 2004). The ORFs of UF1 (NM_002911), UF3 (NM_006362), Magoh (NM_002370), Acinus-S (NM_074977), SAP18 (NM_005870), and eIF4A3 (NM_014740) were obtained by RT-PCR using Flag-tagged, pMS2-tagged, or pET28a constructs (Ballat et al., 2005; Chamieh et al., 2008; Degot et al., 2004; Tange et al., 2005) and cloned into pmCHERRY-N1, pEYFP-N1, and pEGFP-N1 plasmids. The MLN51-H220A/D221A mutant was obtained from pEYFP-MLN51 vector by site-directed mutagenesis (QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit; Stratagene, Santa Clara, CA) using the primer HDAAs (5′-GAGGGTCGCT GGGAGGCGGC CAAATTCGGG GAAGAT-3′), which replaces a histidine (H220) and an aspartic acid (D221) by two alanines. The MLN51-H220A/D221A, MLN51-L468A, and MLN51-H220A/D221A/L468A mutants were obtained from pEYFP-MLN51 vector by site-directed mutagenesis (QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit) using the primers HDAAs (5′-GAGGGTCGCT GGGAGGCGGC CAAATTCGGG GAAGAT-3′), which replaces a histidine (H220) and an aspartic acid (D221) by two alanines and a leucine (L468) by an alanine, respectively. The ORFs of RNP1 (NM_006711), NFX1 (NM_006362), and PYM (NM_032345) were isolated by PCR from HeLa or MCF7 polyA RNAs and cloned into pEYFP-C1 plasmids. Plasmids encoding GFP-tagged control constructs, NUP153, and the mRNA probe p4X-LamdaN22-3XmEGFP-M9 were purchased from EUROSCARF (Oberseul, Germany). The MINX wt and MINX Δin reporter genes were used as described previously (Schmidt et al., 2011).

**Cell culture and transfections**

HeLa, MCF7, and Sk-Bre3 cells provided by the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD) were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 5% of fetal calf serum (FCS) for HeLa and in 10% FCS for MCF7 and Sk-Bre3. For immunofluorescence, 5000–10,000 cells were plated on glass coverslips in 24-well plates and transfected using jetPEI transfection reagent (Polyplus-transfection SA, Illkirch, France) with 1 μg of various plasmids. For immunoprecipitations, HeLa cells grown in 10-cm dishes were either transfected with 10 μg of different YFP-MLN51 constructs or cotransfected with 5 μg of Flag-Magoh and 5 μg of YFP-Y14 plasmids. To inhibit Crm1-dependent nucleocytoplasmic transport, HeLa cells were incubated, 24 h after transfection, for 1 h with 10 μg/ml cycloheximide, and then 20 μg/ml leptomycin B (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was added for 5 h.

**Immunofluorescence microscopy**

After 24 h of culture, cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), fixed for 5 min at room temperature in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, and permeabilized for 10 min with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS. After blocking in 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS, cells were incubated at room temperature with the primary
antibodies for 1 h. Cells were washed three times in PBS and incubated 1 h with Cy3- and Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated appropriate secondary antibody (1:1000). Cells were washed three times in PBS, and nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst-33258 dye. Slides were mounted in Vectashield (Polysciences, Warrington, PA). For RNA FISH, fixed cells were incubated in 2× saline–sodium citrate (SSC)–20% formamide for 5 min and hybridized overnight at 37°C with hybridization buffer (20 ng/ml fluorescent oligo d(T) probe [40 Ts], 2× SSC, 20% formamide, 30 μg/ml Escherichia coli tRNA, 0.02% RNase-free BSA, 2 mM vanadyl-ribonucleoside complex, 1% dextran sulfate). Cells were washed twice for 30 min at room temperature in 2× SSC–20% formamide prior to immunostaining. In situ hybridization and immunofluorescence for transcripts containing LacZ sequences (MINX WT and MINX Δn) were performed as previously described (Schmidt et al., 2011).

Image acquisition and data analysis
Observations were made with confocal fluorescence microscopes (Leica SP1 and Leica SP2 UV, 63×, numerical aperture 1.4; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Images were confocal sections ranging from 0.2 to 0.3 μm in thickness. Image analyses were done using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) and Photoshop (Adobe, San Jose, CA) software. Quantitative analysis of fluorescence signals over the speckle territory was performed in ImageJ with the line-scan function. Within ImageJ, a line of length around ~2 μm was selected centered on a representative speckle. The PlotProfile feature of ImageJ was used to record the pixel intensities along the selected line. This process was repeated for five representative speckles. The data for each profile were then exported into Excel software (Microsoft, Redmond, WA) in order to be normalized with a higher pixel intensity value for each channel. For a given channel, the mean and SD (n = 5 speckles) were calculated. Graphs were plotted and analyzed with Excel.

Generation and analysis of low-expression YFP-tagged constructs
To generate constructs expressing low levels of EJC proteins, we used a strategy adapted from Varnai et al. (2007), by exchanging the cytomegalovirus promoter with the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (TK) promoter. The minimal TK promoter was amplified by PCR using the pRL-TK vector from Promega (Madison, WI; nucleotides 610–1029) as template and the synthetic oligonucleotides 5′-AGATCTTATTAATATGATCACAAACCCCG CCCAGCGCTT-3′ and 5′-AGATCTCTCA GACATAGT AGTCGTATTA AGTACTCTAGC-3′ as fp and rp primers, respectively. This DNA fragment was digested using Asel and XbaI and inserted into the Asel and Nhel sites in the following plasmids: pEYFP-N1 empty, pEYFP-MLNS1, pEYFP-MLNS1/137-283 (SELR), pEYFP-Y14, pEYFP-Magoh, and pEYFP-elf4A3. To analyze the level of expression of these constructs, HeLa cells were transfected and analyzed by immunoblot and immunofluorescence. Immunofluorescence allowed estimating transfection efficiency by directly counting the number of GFP-positive cells. For immunoblot analysis, transfected cells were washed with 1× PBS twice and lysed in RIPA buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM Na2EDTA, 1 mM ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1% SDS) supplemented with 0.5 mM dithiothreitol and Complete Protease Inhibitor (Roche, Neuilly sur Seine, France). Nearly equal amounts of proteins (20 μg) were separated on 7–14% SDS–PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked with milk 3% in 1× PBS, Tween-20 0.1%, and incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-Magoh (#2D2, 1/500), anti-Y14 (#3H4, 1/250), anti-elf4A3 (#2625, 1/5000), anti-MLN51 (#1608; 1/2000) and anti-GFP (1/2000) antibodies. Signals were acquired using the LAS Quant 4000 System (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) and quantified using ImageJ. For Magoh, Y14, MLN51, and elf4A3, the signals corresponding to the YFP fusions were measured and adjusted according to the transfection efficiency, which was 50%. The signals corresponding to the endogenous protein were measured on the same lane. Signals corresponding to the endogenous proteins were set to 1. The YFP-SELR construct expression level was measured indirectly. This truncated protein form cannot be detected using our anti-MLN51 antibody; therefore its expression was measured using the YFP signal and quantified by comparison with the YFP-MLN51 signal.

Immunoprecipitation
Flag-Magoh complexes or elf4A3-containing complexes were immunoprecipitated from RNaseA-treated HeLa cell lysates (20 μg/ml, 15 min on ice) with M2 anti-Flag agarose (Sigma-Aldrich) and anti-elf4A3 rabbit polyclonal antibody bound to protein A/protein G–coupled agarose beads, respectively; the incubation was achieved at 4°C for 2 h for M2 anti-Flag and overnight for anti-elf4A3 in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonlfuryl fluoride, and 0.5% Triton X-100 supplemented with Complete Protease Inhibitor). Beads were washed four times in lysis buffer. Precipitated complexes were eluted with SDS-sample buffer and separated in SDS-polyacrylamide 12% gels by gel electrophoresis (PAGE).

Antibodies
To generate the anti-elf4A3 rabbit polyclonal antibody (#2625), the synthetic peptides corresponding to residues 1–20 of the human elf4A3 protein sequence were coupled to ovalbumin and injected into New Zealand rabbits. The same peptide was used to generate a mouse monoclonal antibody (#2E5) as described before (Moog-Lutz et al., 1997). The recombinant Magoh/Y14 heterodimer, produced as in Ballut et al. (2005), was used to generate two monoclonal antibodies (#2D2 against Magoh and #3H4 against Y14). The anti-9G8 and anti–histone H3 were from the Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire (IGBMC; Illkirch, France) mouse monoclonal antibody facility. The anti-MLN51 antibodies were the anti-MLN51 Ct (#1608) described previously (Degot et al., 2002) and the CASC3 polyclonal antibody from ProteinTech (Chicago, IL). The anti–activated RNA polymerase II (CTD-Ser-5 phosphospecific) H14 antibody, anti-sc35, and anti-tubulin antibodies were from Covance Research Products (Princeton, NJ), Sigma-Aldrich, and Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA), respectively. For immunoprecipitation, YFP-tagged proteins and Flag-Magoh were detected using the anti-GFP (IGBMC mouse monoclonal antibody facility) and the anti-Flag rabbit polyclonal (Sigma-Aldrich) antibodies, respectively. For Western blotting, horseradish peroxidase–conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit antibodies were used as secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA). For immunofluorescence, secondary Cy3-, Cy5-, and Alexa 488–conjugated, affinity-purified donkey anti-rabbit and anti-mouse were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch and Invitrogen/Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR), respectively.

Subcellular fractionation
The presence of MLN51 in the nucleus was analyzed by subcellular fractionation in both HeLa and MCF7 cells and gave similar results. Fractionation of cultured cells was done using the Proteo-Extract Subcellular Proteome Extraction kit (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Aliquots of subcellular
extracts representing cytoplasm, membrane, nucleus, and cytoskeletal elements were separated in SDS-polyacrylamide 6–10% gels by gel electrophoresis (PAGE), blotted, and analyzed.

Fluorescence resonance energy transfer
FRET exploits the capacity of a higher-energy fluorophore (donor) to transfer energy when excited to a lower-energy fluorophore (acceptor) when these two molecules are closer than 10 nm (reviewed in Wouters et al., 2001). Thus, the binding of two fluorescent protein–tagged constructs can be detected by measuring the emission intensity of the acceptor fluorophore after exciting the donor fluorophore. FRET between EJC core components was measured using the Sensitized Emission FRET wizard in Leica MP confocal software. This software calculates FRET efficiency by the method of Gordon et al. (1998). Each symbol starts with an uppercase letter representing the filter set—A, for the acceptor filter set; D, for the donor filter set; and F, for the FRET filter set. The second letter is lowercase and indicates which fluorochromes are present in the specimen—a, for the acceptor only; d, for the donor only; and f, for both donor and acceptor present. The third letter is lowercase and indicates the signal from only one of the fluorochromes when both fluorochromes are present—a, d, and f indicate acceptor, donor, and FRET signal, respectively.

F \text{fd} = \text{Dfd} \times (\text{Fdd}/\text{Ddd}) \text{ refers to only the donor signal when both donor and acceptor are present.}

F \text{a} = \text{Afa} \times (\text{Faa}/\text{Aaa}) \text{ refers to only the acceptor signal when both donor and acceptor are present.}

\text{FRET efficiency } = (\text{Fff} – \text{Ffd} – \text{Ffa})/\text{Afa}

Owing to spectral bleedthrough between donor and acceptor emission channels, FRET can only be confirmed after background subtraction. So, appropriate background subtraction is determined from a series of control images involving donor-only (CFP), acceptor-only (YFP), and FRET samples. Because sensitized emission FRET is nondestructive, it lends itself well to time-lapse imaging of live cells.

In practice, HeLa cells were plated onto 35-mm glass-bottom dishes (MatTek, Ashland, MA). Cells were transfected with CFP-Y14, Magoh-YFP, YFP-SELOR, YFP-SELOR-HDAA, or elf4A3-YFP plasmids (1.5 μg of donor, 1.5 μg of acceptor). The day after transfection, live imaging was performed on a fluorescence microscope (Leica TCS MP-AOBS, 63×, numerical aperture 1.4) equipped with an incubation chamber at 37°C (PeCon GmbH, Erbach, Germany). Cells with identical expression level of the fluorophores were chosen. The reference images from control cells expressing donor-only (CFP), acceptor-only (YFP), and FRET images from cells expressing both were therefore collected simultaneously under identical optical conditions. FREI efficiency was calculated in the region of interest (ROI), including nuclear speckles, perinuclear, and a portion of the nucleoplasm. Statistical analysis was performed by using the Mann–Whitney test (http://faculty.vassar.edu/lowry/VassarStats.html). Values correspond to three independent experiments. Statistics were acquired by comparing each pair to the YFP/CFP empty plasmid pair using the Mann–Whitney test, and an asterisk indicates p < 0.001.

Correlative light and electron microscopy and immunogold labeling
MCF7 cells were grown on supports coated with type I collagen and suitable for high-pressure freezing (HPF) as described in Spiegelhalter et al. (2010). Briefly, a laser-micropatterned Aclar film was mounted onto gold-plated live-cell carriers (16707897, Leica Microsystems). This system makes it possible to select cells and store the exact position of the cell of interest with the reference coordinates. Cells at 70% confluency were transfected with 1 μg GFP-SELOR. For acquisitions and further freezing steps, the live-cell carriers were mounted on the rapid loader of the HPF machine (EMPACT-2, Leica Microsystems). Acquisitions were performed using a fluorescence confocal microscope (Leica TCS MP-AOBS, 63×, numerical aperture 1.4; Leica Microsystems). Cryoprotection is achieved by dipping the samples into a solution of 20% of BSA in the culture medium. The loader was removed from the confocal setup and transferred to the EMPACT-2 for HPF. After freezing, samples were collected in liquid nitrogen. For immunogold analysis, dehydration, and infiltration with Lowicryl HM20, procedures were as previously described (Spiegelhalter et al., 2010). After embedding with resin and removal of the carriers, serial thin sections (60 nm) were cut on an ultramicrotome (Cryotim; Diatome, Biel, Switzerland) and were collected on Formvar-carbon–coated nickel slot grids. Immunogold labeling was performed on the EM IGM automated system (Leica Microsystems). Rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (ab6556; Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom) was revealed with 10-nm, gold-coupled protein A (EM Lab, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands). Sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined with a transmission electron microscope (Philips CM12; Philips, Eindhoven, Netherlands). Images were acquired with an Orius 1000 charge-coupled device camera (Gatan, Pleasanton, CA). Fitting and compression sectioning were resolved using Fiji software (ImageJ). Quantification of gold particles was performed to appreciate the enrichment of the GFP signal in distinct subnuclear regions. The number of gold particles per μm² was quantified for 10 speckles for each immunolabeling experiment. The ROIs were delimited, and their surfaces were measured using ImageJ. Gold particles were finally manually counted within each ROI, and the graphical data set is presented as mean ± SEM. Statistics were acquired by comparing particle distributions between peripheral and core ROI using Student’s t test; **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.0001, respectively.
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