Cheilostomatida (Bryozoa) from the Ionian Apulian coast (Italy) with the description of new species
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Abstract
The mesophotic zone is a relatively poorly studied area of the Mediterranean Sea, drawing great interest by the scientific community in the last years. This zone represents a connection between the shallow water and the deep-sea communities, in which photophilic framework builders (e.g. coralline red algae) are gradually replaced by heterotrophic ones, such as ahermatypic corals and the bivalve Neopycnodonte cochlear. In this habitat the framework-forming organisms produce a hard substrate with a high topographic complexity, hosting a great biodiversity of secondary structuring taxa like bryozoans. During a survey on coralligenous banks in the mesophotic zone in c. 60 m depth off Gallipoli (southern Apulia), epibiotic aggregations of N. cochlear were found on the fans of the hexacoral Savalia savaglia. In the present paper the diversity of cheilostomatid bryozoans hosted by these bivalve aggregations is described and compared with published information on similar nearby habitats. A total of 48 taxa were found, six of which are newly described: Crassimarginatella matildae sp. nov., Micropora biopesula sp. nov., Haplopora celeste sp. nov., Schizomavella (Schizomavella) cerronii sp. nov., Schizomavella (Calvetomavella) bianca sp. nov., and Schizoporella adelaide sp. nov. The species richness known from the southern Apulian shelf at this depth (47 species) is hereby raised to 83 cheilostomatid bryozoans. Moreover, only 12 species are shared with the other localities studied previously, while 36 are restricted to Gallipoli, supporting the hypothesis of a high rate of exclusivity among Apulian sites in terms of species composition. The differences in faunal composition, and particularly the presence of several new species discovered at Gallipoli, show once more that our knowledge of the bryozoan fauna in certain Mediterranean habitats is still incomplete and warrants further studies.

https://doi.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:DDC82039-EF44-4169-8198-C67F60B14BA0

Keywords: Neopycnodonte cochlear, Mediterranean Sea, animal forest, biogenic structures, Savalia savaglia

Introduction
In the Mediterranean Sea the bryozoan diversity is relatively high considering the dimensions of the basin: the c. 556 described species represent about 10% of the global bryodiversity (Rossi & Di Martino 2016). This number is expected to increase since many habitats and areas in the Mediterranean are still under-studied, such as the eastern and southern regions (D’Onghia et al. 2015; Rossi & Di Martino 2016). Each year, several new species and genera are also being described in the Mediterranean thanks to revision works (e.g. Souto et al. 2010a; Vieira et al. 2014; Reverter-Gil et al. 2016; Berning et al. 2019) as well as owing to newly collected material from hardly accessible habitats like dark caves, the mesophotic zone and deep-sea areas (Rossi et al. 2018; 2020a, 2020b). The mesophotic zone is a relatively unexplored area of the Mediterranean Sea, and growing interest by the scientific community in the exploration of this zone is evident in the last years (e.g. Cerrano et al. 2019 for a
review; Albano et al. 2020; Giampaletti et al. 2020). Infact, this zone comprises many different habitats (e.g. part of the coralligenous, various kinds of animal forests and maërl beds) and, due to the limitation of light, the light-dependent, shallow water bioconstructors are gradually replaced by heterotrophic deep-sea communities (see Gori et al. 2017). In the mesophotic zone of the southern Italian Apulian region, two peculiar habitats were recently discovered in which invertebrates are the main bioconstructors (Corriero et al. 2019; Cardone et al. 2020). One of these habitats is constructed by the bivalve Neopycnodonte cochlear (Poli, 1795) and the second is made by two species of scleractinian corals (Phyllangia americana mouchezii (Lacaze-Duthiers, 1897) and Polycyathus muellerae (Abel, 1959)). In these two different habitats the bioconstructions are composed of a multilayered aggregation of dead animals in the centre and an outer layer of presently living organisms, forming a hard substrate with a high topographic complexity, and hosting a huge biodiversity (Corriero et al. 2019; Angeletti & Taviani 2020; Cardone et al. 2020; Giampaletti et al. 2020). In this habitat the bryozoans, as well as other calcified organisms, play an important secondary role in bioconstruction (Cardone et al. 2020). In a previous study, the analysis of blocks from these peculiar habitats along the Apulian coasts showed the presence of 50 bryozoan species, 46 of which were Cheilostomatida (Giampaletti et al. 2020).

In the frame of the Mediterranean Mesophotic research project (MESOMED) expeditions, which aim at exploring the Mediterranean mesophotic zone, coralligenous banks were found off Gallipoli (Apulia, Southern Italy). On the banks the outer layer was dominated by the octocoral Paramuricea clavata Risso, 1826 or by the gold coral Savalia savaglia (Bertoloni, 1819). Epibiontic organisms occasionally settle on injured branches of S. savaglia. Particularly the bivalve Neopycnodonte cochlear may form large epibiotic aggregations. The aim of the present paper is to describe the
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diversity of cheilostomatid bryozoans hosted by these aggregations and compare the results with the bryofauna present at the same depth in the adjoining Apulian areas.

Material and methods

The coralligenous banks at 60 m depth off Gallipoli (Lecce) (40°0′56.20″N; 17°55′17.84″E) along the Ionian Apulian coast (Figure 1(a)) were investigated by technical scuba diving on 16 July 2015. The coralligenous banks are up to 2 m in height, towering over a horizontal substrate. The coralligenous is characterised by an outer layer that is dominated by the octocoral Paramuricea clavata Risso, 1826 and the gold coral Savalia savaglia. Epibiontic organisms settle on injured branches of S. savaglia, in particular the bivalve Neopectenodonta cochlear (Figure 1(b)). This species starts to colonise dead or damaged portions of the colony (e.g. those inflicted by fishing lines), triggering the development of a very diverse epibiotic community throughout its lifetime as well as post mortem. The bryozoan species studied in the present work live on dead shells of N. cochlear (Figure 1(c)) that were still attached to the S. savaglia skeleton. Fourteen groups of shells cemented to each other (about 5–6 shells each) were collected from different S. savaglia colonies and preserved dry. The shell specimens were observed using a Nikon SMZ18 stereomicroscope in order to detect and preliminarily identify the living bryozoan specimens. For all the preliminarily identified bryozoan species, small portions were detached from the shell for detailed analysis of the morphological characters under a scanning electron microscope (SEM). Both untreated and bleached colony portions (using sodium hypochlorite) were mounted on stubs, coated with gold-palladium in a Balzer Union evaporator, and examined with a Philips XL20 SEM. Morphometrics were made on the micrographs using the image software ImageJ (Schneider et al. 2012), and are given in the descriptions as mean ± standard deviation, minimum–maximum values, and number of measurements (whenever >2 measurements were taken). Values are in µm unless otherwise noted; abbreviations: L – length, W – width. The type specimens are deposited in the Museo di Storia Naturale di Genova (Italy) labelled with the acronym MSNG. All other examined material is in the first author’s personal collection. Comparative material was examined from the bryozoan collection of the Natural History Museum London (NHMUK).

The synonymy lists of the respective species are not exhaustive. In the light of recent taxonomic revisions, which revealed that many of the previously accepted bryozoan species are actually species complexes, we here provide only those references in which the species is figured (besides the original publication in which the species was introduced), which allowed verifying their synonymy.

Taxonomic accounts

Phylum Bryozoa Ehrenberg, 1831
Class Gymnolaemata Allman, 1856
Order Cheilostomatida Busk, 1852
Suborder Inovicellina Jullien, 1888
Family Aeteidae Smitt, 1868
Genus Aetea Lamouroux, 1812
Aetea spp.
Fig. 2a, b

Material examined

Pica coll: SEM stubs n°18, 40, 58, all bleached colonies.

Remarks

The colonies are too poorly preserved to permit identification to species level, while it is clear (from

Figure 2. Aetea spp. (a, b). Adnate portion of zooids. Scale: (a), (b) 200 µm.
the budding pattern of the encrusting colony portion) that at least two species are present.

Suborder Flustrina Smitt, 1868
Superfamily Calloporoidea Norman, 1903
Family Calloporidae Norman, 1903
Genus *Callopora* Gray, 1848
*Callopora dumerilii* (Audouin, 1826)

Flustra dumerilii Audouin, 1826: 240.
*Callopora dumerilii*: Hayward & Ryland 1998: 160, figs. 40A–C; Hayward & McKinney 2002: 16, figs. 6D–G; Ostrovsky & Schäfer 2003: 20, fig. 4; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 80, figs. 20a–d.

**Material examined**
Pica coll: SEM stub n°34 bleached colony; two additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**
The auto- and ovicellate zooids in the colonies studied here usually have six oral spines while in other populations most zooids have only four spines (cf. Hayward & Ryland 1998: 160; Hayward & McKinney 2002: 18). While *Callopora dumerilii* usually occurs in shallow waters in the Mediterranean Sea (Hayward & McKinney 2002; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014), the present specimens from 65 m presumably mark the deepest record of the species in this region.

Genus *Copidozoum* Harmer, 1926
*Copidozoum planum* (Hincks, 1880)

Membranipora plana Hincks, 1880b: 81, pl. 11, fig. 2.
*Copidozoum planum*: Prenant & Bobin 1966: 255, fig. 85; Rosso 1996: pl. 2, fig. c; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 90, figs. 29a–d; Souto et al. 2014: 134, fig. 3B; Reverter-Gil et al. 2019: 227, fig. 2c.

**Material examined**
Pica coll: SEM stub n°56, bleached colony; two additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**
The present specimens are in morphological accordance with the other Mediterranean populations referred to *Copidozoum planum*.

---

Figure 3. *Callopora dumerilii*. (a) Colony. (b) Autozooid with avicularia. (c) Close up of maternal zooids. (d) Maternal zooid with ovicell. Scale: (a) 500 µm; (b–d) 200 µm.
Genus *Corbulella* Gordon, 1984

*Corbulella maderensis* (Waters, 1898)

*Membranipora maderensis* Waters, 1898: 677, pl. 48, fig. 19.

*Crassimarginatella maderensis*: Harmelin 1973: 481, figs 1a–g, 2k, 3h, 4a; Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 85, text-fig. 103, pl. 1, fig. G.

*Corbulella maderensis*: Ostrovsky et al. 2009: figs. 1e, 3c; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 92, figs. 31a–c; Rosso et al. 2019a, fig. 5a.

**Material examined**

Pica coll: SEM stubs n°6 with an unbleached colony; SEM stubs n°7, 9, 15, each with a bleached colony; six additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**

A comparison of SEM images of the present specimens and material from Madeira (NHMUK 2016.6.9.4, Norman collection), the type locality of *Corbulella maderensis*, showed no morphological differences.

Genus *Crassimarginatella* Canu, 1900

*Crassimarginatella matildae* Pica & Berning sp. nov.

*Crassimarginatella crassimarginata* Prenant & Bobin 1966: 249 (part), non fig. 83; Harmelin 1973: 483 (part), figs. 3e, g (non figs. 3f, i); Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 85, text-fig. 104; Harmelin & d’Hondt 1993: 69 (part), fig. 8 (non fig. 7); Rosso et al. 2017: figs. 5b–c.

**Diagnosis**

*Crassimarginatella* with subhexagonal zooids, gymnocyst moderately well developed proximally and narrowing laterally; cryptocyst evenly developed proximally and laterally, narrowing and disappearing only at the very distal end, surface granular to beaded, encircling an oval opesia; zero, two or occasionally three spines on distal zooid margin, two spines in ovicellate zooids. Cystid of vicarious avicularium usually smaller than autozooid but may reach the same size, avicularium with an overall oval appearance, rostrum and mandible distally producing a narrow downcurved micro; crossbar complete, thick and without columella. Ovicells hyperstomial, oeecium hemispherical; ectooecium
smooth, entirely calcified apart from a narrow proximal window of variable shape.

**Etymology**
Named after the first author’s nephew, Matilda Colapaoli.

**Material examined**

**Holotype**
MSNG 62402: one bleached ovicellate colony in the internal portion of a shell, Gallipoli, Lecce (Italy), 40° 0′56.20″N, 17°55′17.84″E, depth 60 m; 16 Jul. 2015.
Paratypes

Same data as for holotype. MSNG 62403: one bleached colony with ancestrula; MSNG 62404: unbleached colony; MSNG 62405: one bleached colony; MSNG 62406: bleached colony with ovi-cells; MSNG 62407: SEM stub n°83, one bleached colony; MSNG 62408: SEM stub n°8, one bleached colony with ancestrula.

Description

Colony encrusting unilaminar, multiserial (Figure 6 (a)), forming patches of up to 8 mm in diameter. Dried specimens light brown. Zooids flat (L: 590 ± 110, 305–855, 30; W: 403 ± 75, 283–542, 30) and arranged in quincunx, subhexagonal with rounded distal edge, widest usually at about mid-distance, separated by shallow grooves; smooth.
gynocyst moderately developed proximally and laterally, narrowing distally (Figure 6(b)); cryptocyst encircling a usually oval opesia (L: $374 \pm 58, 212–479, 30$; W: $241 \pm 48, 162–402, 30$), relatively evenly developed all around the margin except in proximity of orifice, surface beaded along the upper rim and with circumferential ridges in the lower part (Figure 6(b)). Basal walls only marginally calcified; lateral gynocyst walls well developed, with a single basal pore chamber per neighbouring zooid, communication via uniporous septula surrounded by a large round to oval window. Periancestrular zooids with five short thin orificial spines, these rapidly diminishing to a distal pair in many autozooids (Figure 6(c)) with most zooids in the zone of astogenetic repetition lacking spines while few may have three spines; maternal zooids with a single spine at each proximolateral ooeicum corner (Figure 6(d)).

Colony not precocious. Ooeicum hyperstomial, hemispherical, wider than long (L: $169 \pm 16, 143–186, 5$; W: $282 \pm 29, 230–304, 5$), closure type (sub-) cleithral. Ectoecium smooth, completely calcified with only a thin transversal suture line or a small foramen above the opening (Figure 6(d)).

Avicularium vicarious, surrounded by five autozooids, usually slightly smaller than an autozooid while some may be as large as an autozooid (L: $342 \pm 43, 269–399, 15$; W: $208 \pm 30, 167–267, 14$); cystid with variably developed gynocyst (Figure 6(e)). Rostrum (L: $196 \pm 26, 133–235, 14$) at an acute angle to frontal plane, distally with a relatively long and narrow mucro that is downcurved and incised into the transverse wall of the avicularian cystid; avicularian surface almost equally divided into a distal rostral and a proximal opesial half by a robust and relatively smooth crossbar, semicircular proximal opesia usually slightly wider than the similarly shaped palatal opesia, both framed by a cryptocrystalline rim similar to that of autozooids (Figure 6(e)).

Ancestrella tattiform (Figure 6(f)), 230–240 μm long and 296–340 μm wide, more or less circular, with large round opesia and ten evenly spaced spines, surrounded by seven periancestrular zooids (Figure 6(c)).

Remarks

Hincks (1880a) described Crassimarginatella crassimarginata from Madeira, which is characterised by avicularia with a distally rounded rostrum and mandible, while oral spines are apparently absent. The nominal species was subsequently recorded also in the Mediterranean Sea (e.g. Gautier 1962; Prenant & Bobin 1966; Zabala & Maluquer 1988). However, although Harmelin (1973) as well as Harmelin and d’Hondt (1993) explicitly noted the differences in avicularium morphology between the Atlantic and Mediterranean forms, the populations have until now been treated as synonymous. As the mucro in the distal rostrum, in which the pointed, sclerotised tip of the mandible comes to rest, is a constant and exclusive character in the Mediterranean population, we here treat the two taxa as specifically distinct, and introduce Crassimarginatella matildae as new species. It is endemic to the Mediterranean Sea while the Alboran Sea is an ecotonal area where both species co-occur (Harmelin & d’Hondt 1993).

Genus Ellisina Norman, 1903

**Ellisina gautieri** Fernández Pulpeiro & Reverter Gil, 1993

Fig. 7a-d

Ellisina gautieri Fernández Pulpeiro & Reverter Gil, 1993: 98, pl. 2 figs. 1–2.

Ellisina cf. antarctica: Harmelin 1969: fig. 7; Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 81, text-figs. 89–90.

Ellisina gautieri: Hayward & Ryland 1998: 192, figs. 56C–D; Hayward & McKinney 2002: 18, figs. 7E–G; Berning 2006: 26, figs. 16–18; Chimenoz Gusso et al. 2014: 100, figs. 37a–d; Sokolover et al. 2016: 446, figs. 5A–B.

Material examined

Pica coll: SEM stub n°8, 24, each with a bleached colony with ancestrula; SEM stub n°12, unbleached colony with ancestrula; two additional unbleached colonies.

Remarks

The present specimens morphologically agree with the other Mediterranean populations referred to **Ellisina gautieri**.

Superfamily Flustroidea Fleming, 1828
Family Flustridae Fleming, 1828
Genus **Chartella** Gray, 1848

?**Chartella** sp.

Fig. 8a-b

Material examined

Pica coll: SEM stub n°51, unbleached colony.
Remarks

The small colony studied is possibly the early astogenetic, encrusting phase of an erect flustrid, which cannot be determined even to genus level owing to the absence of significant characters and comparative material as usually only the erect colony portion of flustrids is described and figured. The zooids are very lightly calcified, c. 0.7 mm long and 0.5 mm wide, and a cryptocyst is not developed. Spines or avicularia were not observed. As Giampaoletti et al. (2020) reported Chartella papyrea (Pallas, 1766) from the mesophotic site of Monopoli in the Adriatic Sea, we doubtfully assign the present specimens to this genus.

Superfamily Buguloidea Gray, 1848
Family Bugulidae Gray, 1848
Genus Bugula Oken, 1815
Bugula sp.

Material examined

Pica coll: one unbleached colony.

Remarks

Only a tiny colony was obtained, which was observed using an optical stereomicroscope in order to confirm the genus as it does not allow to determine the specimen to species level.
Family Beaniidae Canu & Bassler, 1927

Genus Beania Johnston, 1840

Beania mediterranea Souto, Nascimento, Reverter-Gil & Vieira 2018

Fig. 9a-b

Beania mediterranea Souto, Nascimento, Reverter-Gil & Vieira, 2018: 1510, figs. 2c, 6a–h.

Diachoris buskiana: Waters 1879a: 120, pl. 12 fig. 1.

Beania magallanica: Prenant & Bobin 1966: 555, fig. 191; Zabala 1986: 333, fig. 96; Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 101, fig. 176; Hayward & McKinney 2002: 24, figs. 10A–B; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 68, figs. 9a–c.

Material examined

Pica coll: SEM stub n°38, unbleached colony; seven additional unbleached colonies.

Remarks

The specimens from Apulia showed no differences to Beania mediterranea, which was recently described by Souto et al. (2018).

Beania mirabilis Johnston, 1840

Beania mirabilis Johnston, 1840: 272.

Beania mirabilis: Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 101, text-fig. 175; Hayward & Ryland 1998: 244, text-fig. 79; Hayward & McKinney 2002: 26, figs. 10C–D; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 69, figs. 10a–d; Rosso et al. 2019b, fig. 4E.

Material examined

Pica coll: one unbleached colony.

Remarks

As only a single colony with few zooids was obtained, the specimen was not examined using SEM.

Superfamily Microporoidea Gray, 1848

Family Microporidae Vigneaux, 1949

Genus Micropora Gray, 1848

Micropora biopesiula Pica & Berning sp. nov.

Fig. 10a-h

Micropora coriacea: Rosso 1996: pl. 2, fig. f; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 104, fig. 41a–d.

Diagnosis

Micropora with zooids that are somewhat longer than wide (L/W = 1.41); cryptocyst granular, perforated by 25–50 pseudopores and two pairs of opesiules: a larger, elongated and funnel-shaped one in the distolateral corners, and a smaller pair of round opesiules proximal to the former; autozooidal opesia slightly wider than long (L/W = 0.61). Gymnocyst bosses lateral to proximal orifice small and usually inconspicuous. Avicularia absent. Ovicell semi-immersed in distal zooid, ooeicum hemispherical, about as wide as long (L/W = 1.12), cryptocystal surface granular, proximal margin arched, composed of a broad flat band of smooth gymnocyst with a central suture above which an apex is formed; ooeicial orifice dimorphic, slightly larger than in autozooids but with similar length/width ratio (0.63).

Etymology

The name refers to the presence of two pairs of opesiules.

Figure 9. Beania mediterranea. (a) Colony. (b) Autozooids with avicularia. Scale: (a) 1 mm; (b) 500 μm.
Figure 10. *Micropora biopesiula* sp. nov. (paratype). (a) Colony centre (SEM stub n°50). (b) Zooid arrangement (SEM stub n°50). (c) Zooid at the colony margin with oval pore-chamber windows (SEM stub n°15). (d) Close-up of autozooidal opesia and opesiules (SEM stub n°50). (e) Maternal zooid with ovicell (SEM stub n°50). (f) Ovicell with the cryptocrystal cover of the ovicell-producing distal zooid broken, showing the globular structure of the ooeicum underneath (SEM stub n°36). (g) Ancestrula with first-generation autozooid (SEM stub n°40). (h) Ancestrula and early autozooids (SEM stub n°15). Scale: (a, h) 500 µm; (c–e) 100 µm; (f, g) 200 µm.
Material examined

Holotype
MSNG 62409: unbleached ovicellate colony, Gallipoli, Lecce (Italy), 40°56.20’N, 17°55’17.84” E, depth 60 m, 16 Jul. 2015.

Paratypes
Same data as for holotype. MSNG 62410: unbleached colony with ancestrula; MSNG 62411: small unbleached colony; MSNG 62412: small unbleached colony; MSNG 62413: SEM stub n°15, bleached colony; MSNG 62414: SEM stub n°36, bleached ovicellate colony; MSNG 62415: SEM stub n°40, bleached ancestrula and first zooid; MSNG 62416: SEM stub n°50, bleached colony with ovicells and ancestrula.

Description
Colony encrusting unilaminar, multiserial (Figure 10(a)), forming patches of up to 2 cm in diameter. Dried specimens light brown. Zoids irregular in shape (L: 631 ± 57, 551–767, 20; W: 456 ± 71, 343–544, 20), more or less hexagonal to irregular oval (Figure 10(b)), slightly longer than wide (L/W = 1.4), separated by a narrow groove or suture; marginal walls slightly rising distal to mid-distance of zooid, mostly composed of granulated cryptocyst except for a distal gymnocyst part where a pair of relatively small rounded bosses are occasionally formed lateral to the proximal opesia (Figure 10(b)). Oval pore-chamber windows visible at the colony growing edge, usually two per neighbouring zooid (Figure 10(c)). Cryptocyst finely granulated, flat to slightly convex, gently dipping dis- tolaterally before suddenly rising toward the opesia, densely perforated by 25–50 pseudopores (Figure 10(b,d)). A pair of deeply recessed opesiule, elongated in shape is placed proximolateral to the orifice (L: 69 ± 11, 51–102, 27; W: 36 ± 7, 20–49, 27) (Figure 10(b–d)). A second pair of small rounded opesiules (L: 24 ± 4, 17–33, 27) proximally to the large opesiules (Figure 10(c,d)). Opesia (L: 99 ± 6, 89–110, 20; W: 162 ± 7, 150–176, 20) slightly raised above the zooid surface, D-shaped and wider than long (L/W = 0.6), with a narrow gymnocyst forming the distolateral margin (Figure 10(d)). Spines and avicularia absent.

Ovicell (L: 291 ± 12, 276–313, 12; W: 260 ± 15, 233–289, 12) semi-immersed in the frontal shield of the distal zooid (Figure 10(e,f)), ooeicum hemispherical, about as wide as long (L/W = 1.1), opesia dimorphic, slightly larger than in autozooids (L: 114 ± 6, 107–128, 13; W: 180 ± 9, 172–194, 13), closure type cleithral. Proximal ooeicial margin bordered by a smooth broad rim with a thin, central, longitudinal suture line and an apex forming above it (Figure 10(e)).

Ancestrula similar in shape to adult zooid (Figure 10(g)), but approximately as long as wide (310 × 280 µm), opesia about twice as wide as long (OpL 60 µm, OpW 130 µm).

First asexually produced zooid budded from distal end of ancestrula, the two second-generation autozooids are produced by both the ancestrula and the 1st generation zooid, oriented at a c. 90° angle; the 3rd-generation zooids grow in the interspaces of this cross-shaped formation, establishing growth of the colony in an opposite direction respect to the polarity of the ancestrula (Figure 10(h)).

Remarks
Three Micropora species are known from the modern Mediterranean Sea and eastern Atlantic. Micropora coriacea (Esper, 1791) is the most frequently cited species with an alleged worldwide distribution, which, however, most likely represents a species complex. The species differs from Micropora biope- siuda sp. nov. in having only the single distal pair of funnel-shaped opesiiules, and usually more pronounced bosses lateral to the proximal orifice margin (e.g. Hayward & Ryland 1998: 288, figs 97, 99C–D). Besides having interzoooidal avicularia, Micropora normani Levinsen, 1909 from the Atlantic coast of Europe has smaller zooids, fewer pores in the cryptocyst, and also only a single pair of opesiules (Hayward & Ryland 1998: 290, figs 98, 99B). Finally, the West African Micropora robusta Cook, 1985 also has interzoooidal avicularia and just a single pair of opesiules.

Three other Micropora species may exist in the region (see Bock & Gordon 2021): Micropora depressa (Moll, 1803) and Micropora aculeata (d’Orbigny, 1852), which are both considered as taxa inquereda, as well as Micropora africana (d’Orbigny, 1852). To our knowledge, none of these species have ever been cited or redescribed since their original description, and their status, as well as their generic assignments, are unclear at present.

As it will be difficult to clarify the status and systematic placement of these three species any time soon, we have decided to describe the present species as new to science in order to raise awareness among biologists that the oft-cited M. coriacea is not the only Micropora species present in the Mediterranean Sea.
*Micropora biopesiula* sp. nov. has so far only been reported from Italian coasts (Rosso 1996; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014). Whether the new species is also present in the eastern Mediterranean cannot be decided at present as images and descriptions are wanting (e.g. Hayward 1974).

Genus *Mollia* Lamouroux, 1816

*Mollia circumcincta* (Heller, 1867)

![Figure 11](image)

Membranipora *circumcincta* Heller, 1867: 96, pl. 6, fig. 5.

*Mollia circumcincta*: Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 91, pl. 2, figs. C–D; Hayward & McKinney 2002: 34, figs. 13D–H; Berning 2006: 36, figs. 32, 33; Ayari-Kliti et al. 2012: 85, pl. 2 fig. 3; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 106, figs. 42a–f; Rosso et al. 2019a: fig. 5c.

**Material examined**

Pica coll: SEM stub n°49, one bleached colony; two additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**

*Mollia circumcincta* [for a recent description based on type material from the Adriatic see Hayward and McKinney (2002: 34)] has been reported only a few times since its discovery, and all records from beyond the central Mediterranean Sea have to be regarded as doubtful. For instance, in the colony from the western Mediterranean figured by Zabala and Maluquer (1988: pl. 2, figs. C, D), the ooeicum differs in producing a relatively large area of smoothly calcified ectooecium proximally and laterally that encircles a distally positioned area of exposed nodular endooecium. In Adriatic and NE Ionian *M. circumcincta*, in contrast, the ectooecium is extremely reduced and restricted to the proximolateral corners of the ooeicum. Moreover, the salient distolateral rim framing the zooids is thicker and the nodules covering the cryptocrystal surface larger in central Mediterranean populations.

The peculiar calcified ridge on the interior basal wall that was noted in fossil specimens (Berning 2006: figs. 32 and 33) are here shown to be present also in the Recent species (Figure 11(c)). It is unclear what function the ridge fulfils, and if it is present in all *Mollia* species or restricted to *M. circumcincta*. 

Figure 11. *Mollia circumcincta*. (a) Colony. (b) Maternal zooids. (c) Calcified ridge on the interior basal wall of a zooid; note the pores in the basal wall, indicating the position of calcified basal attachment tubes. (d) Zooids at the colony growth margin showing the formation of the connecting tubules. Scale: (a) 1 mm; (b–d) 200 µm.
Family Onychocellidae Jullien 1882
Genus **Onychocella** Jullien, 1882
**Onychocella marioni** Jullien, 1882
Fig. 12a-b

**Onychocella marioni** Jullien, 1882: 277.
**Onychocella marioni**: Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 87, pl. 2, fig. A; Rosso 1996: pl. 2, fig. b; Ayari-Kliti et al. 2012: 86, pl. 2, fig. 5; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 110, figs. 45a–c; Achilleos et al. 2020: fig. 2; Rosso et al. 2020b: 3, figs. 1–3.

**Onychocella angulosa**: Taylor et al. 2018: fig. 1a–c.

**Material examined**
Pica coll: SEM stub n°44, one bleached colony; one additional unbleached colony.

**Remarks**

While Taylor et al. (2018) have recently summarised the problems existing around the type species of the genus **Onychocella**, and discussed the potential synonymy of the fossil **Onychocella angulosa** (Reuss, 1848) and the present-day **Onychocella marioni** Jullien, 1882, Rosso et al. (2020b) thoroughly redescribed and figured the Recent species, to which we assign the Apulian specimens.

---

**SUPERFAMILY CRIBRILINOIDEA HINCKS, 1879**

Family Cribrilinidae Hincks, 1879
Genus **Cribrilaria** Canu & Bassler, 1929
**Cribrilaria cf. hincki** (Friedl, 1917)
Fig. 13a-f

cf. **Cribrilina radiata** var. hincki Friedl, 1917: 236.
**Colletics hincki**: Prenant & Bobin 1966: 595, figs. 207–I, –II.
**Puillina** (**Cribrilaria**) hincki: Harmelin 1988: 31, figs. 5, 9; Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 107, text-fig. 216, pl. 6, figs. C–D.

**Cribrilaria hincki**: Rosso et al. 2019b: fig. 5B.
**Non Puillina hincki**: Hayward & McKinney 2002: 38, figs. 17A–C.

**Material examined**
Pica coll: SEM stubs n°47, 72, each with one ovicellate colony.

**Remarks**

There is quite a confusion concerning the morphology of **Cribrilaria hincki**. When introducing the species, Friedl (1917) mentioned (without figuring the material) that his specimens from the northern Adriatic Sea are characterised by “sehr langen dolchförmigen Avicularien” (transl.: very long, dagger-shaped avicularia) that he considered similar to specimens reported from Madeira by Hincks (1880a: 74, pl. 10, fig. 1) as **Cribrilina radiata** var. (Moll 1803). According to Hincks’ figure, the Madeiran species has indeed very long rostra that are apparently positioned between zooids (which is, however, not quite clear from the drawing). In subsequent works (e.g. Prenant & Bobin 1966; Harmelin 1988; Zabala & Maluquer 1988), **C. hincki** was regarded as having an extremely elongate avicularium and a serrated rostrum that is recumbent on the distal zooid. The present specimens comply with these characters, but neither ours nor any of the colonies recorded in the above-mentioned publications were from the type locality, the northern Adriatic. Recently, Hayward and McKinney (2002: 38, figs. 17A–C) did identify specimens from the type locality as **P. hincki**, which have, however, comparatively short avicularia that are wedged between zooids, and are therefore unlikely to be conspecific. Thus, without having seen the type material, which is beyond the scope of this paper, it is impossible to define the exact morphology of **C. hincki**.

---

*Figure 12. Onychocella marioni. (a) Colony. (b) Zooids with an onychocellarium. Scale: (a) 1 mm; (b) 200 μm.*
**Cribrilaria cf. venusta** (Canu & Bassler, 1925)  
Fig. 14a-e

*cf. Puellina venusta* Canu & Bassler, 1925: 22, pl. 2, fig. 5.  
*Puellina venusta*: Harmelin & d’Hondt 1993: 68, fig. 6; Hayward & Ryland 1998: 336, figs. 119C–D.  
*Cribrilaria crenulata*: Harmelin 1970: 91, figs. 1i–k, pl. 2 figs. 1–3.  
*Cribrilaria venusta*: Harmelin 1978: 180, pl. 2, figs. 3–5.  
*Puellina (Cribrilaria) venusta*: Bishop & Househam 1987: 28, figs. 43–49, 99; Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 107, text-fig. 215, pl. 7C–D; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 221, figs. 120a–e.

**Material examined**  
Pica coll: SEM stubs n°12, 23, each with one colony with ancestrula; SEM stub n°37, one bleached oviscellate colony; two additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**  
The present specimens are within the morphological range observed in other Mediterranean populations referred to *Cribrilaria venusta*. The original description and image of the species by; Canu and Bassler (1925), however, suggest that both the orifice and oviscell are distinctly smaller in relation to zooid size. A revision of the type material is therefore necessary before the Mediterranean specimens can unequivocally be synonymised with Canu & Bassler’s species from the southern Gulf of Cádiz.

---

**Cribrilaria cf. innominata** (Couch, 1844)  
Fig. 15a-h

*cf. Lepralia innominata* Couch, 1844: 114, pl. 22, fig. 4.  
*cf. Cribrilaria innominata*: Bishop 1986: 96, figs. 1–8.
cf. *Puellina* (*Cribrilaria*) *innominata*: Bishop & Househam 1987: 33, figs. 5–58.

*Puellina* (*Cribrilaria*) *innominata*: Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 108, text-fig. 220, pl. 6E, F; Harmelin 1988: 29, fig. 4; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 213, figs. 115a–e.

**Material examined**

Pica coll: SEM stubs n°16, 55, bleached ovicellate colony; SEM stub n°63, bleached colony with ancestrula; seven additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**

Several slight morphological differences exist between the British *Cribrilaria innominata* (Couch, 1844), as redescribed by Bishop (1986), and Mediterranean specimens attributed to this species. For instance, the apophyses that occur at the base of (some of) the oral spines in Mediterranean populations (Harmelin 1988; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014) have not been reported in British specimens. Also, in Mediterranean colonies only a single costal pair contributes to the formation of the suboral umbo that proximally frames the lacuna, and there is no median ridge on the costate frontal shield, whereas in British specimens the two distal pairs of costae form the umbo that proximally turns into a short median carina (Bishop 1986; Hayward & Ryland 1998). Additional comparative SEM studies and genetic analyses are needed before a conclusion as to the status of the Mediterranean specimens can be drawn.

---

**Cribrilaria radiata** (Moll, 1803)

**Fig. 16a-d**

Eschara radiata Moll, 1803: 63, pl. 4, fig. 17. *Cribrilaria radiata*: Harmelin 1970: 80, figs. 1a–c, 3a; pl. 1, figs. 1–3. *Puellina* (*Cribrilaria*) *radiata*: Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 107, text-fig. 214, pl. 7. fig. A; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 218, figs. 118a–e; Rosso et al. 2019a: fig. 5g.

**Material examined**

Pica coll: SEM stubs n°15, 43, each with one bleached ovicellate colony; five additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**

The Apulian specimens are identical with other Mediterranean records of *Cribrilaria radiata*.  

---

Figure 14. *Cribrilarioia* cf. *venusta*. (a) Colony. (b) Zooids. (c) Orifice with the proximal border denticulated. (d) Maternal zooids. E. Ancestrula and first-generation autozooid. Scale: (a) 1 mm; (b, d, e) 200 µm; (c) 100 µm.
Figure 15. Cribrilaria cf. innominata. (a) Zooidal arrangement. (b) Autozooid. (c) Zooids and avicularia with inatramural buds, the one to the right with reversed polarity. (d) Avicularium. (e) Maternal zooid with oviceil. (f) Growth margin of colony with newly formed zooids. (g) Ancestrula. (h) Ancestrula and early autozooids. Scale: (a) 500 µm; (b, c, f, h) 200 µm; (d, e, g) 100 µm.

Genus Glabrilaria Bishop & Househam, 1987

Glabrilaria pedunculata (Gautier, 1956)

Puellina pedunculata: Harmelin 1988: 33, figs. 10–11; Harmelin & d’Hondt 1993: 69, fig. 9.
Puellina (Glabrilaria) pedunculata: Bishop & Househam 1987: 55, figs. 95–97; Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 107, text-fig. 209, pl. 7, figs. E–F: Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 215, figs. 116a–c: Rosso et al. 2019a: 711, figs. 5e–f.

Glabrilaria pedunculata: Gautier, 1956: 203, fig. 2.
Cribrilaria pedunculata: Harmelin 1970: 93, figs. 1g–h, pl. 2, fig. 6.
non *Cribrilaria pedunculata*: Harmelin 1978: 188, figs. 5–6.

*Glabrilaria pedunculata*: Rosso et al. 2021, fig. 5g.

**Material examined**

Pica coll: SEM stub n°5 unbleached colony; SEM stub n° 52 unbleached colony with ancestrula; SEM stubs n°8, 9, 10, each with one bleached ovicellate colony; six additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**

The pedunculate avicularia and the kenozooidal ovicell are typical for the genus, and the present specimens fully conform with previous records of *Glabrilaria pedunculata*.

---

**Superfamily Hippothooidea Busk, 1859**

**Family Hippothoidae Busk, 1859**

**Genus *Hippothoa* Lamouroux, 1821**

*Hippothoa flagellum* Manzoni, 1870

Fig. 18a-b

---

*Hippothoa flagellum* Manzoni, 1870: 328, pl. 2, fig. 5.

*Hippothoa flagellum*: Hayward & Ryland 1999: 88, fig. 18; Hayward & McKinney 2002: 42, figs. 18F–I.

**Material examined**

Pica coll: SEM stub n°64, one bleached dead colony; one additional unbleached colony.

**Remarks**

The colonies encrust a sponge and were, post mortem, covered by a thin veneer of sponge tissue and numerous spicules in turn, giving the colonies a peculiar appearance.

---

**Family Chorizoporidae**

**Genus *Chorizopora* Hincks, 1880**

*Chorizopora brongniartii* auctt. (Audouin 1826)

Fig. 19a-d

---

*Chorizopora brongniartii*: Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 141, pl. 19, fig. D; Hayward & McKinney 2002: 42, figs. 19A–C; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 151, figs. 72a–d.

**Material examined**

Pica coll: SEM stub n°CHO1 one bleached colony; two additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**

A variety of morphotypes from around the world have been attributed to *Chorizopora brongniartii*.
(Audouin, 1826). This species complex thus requires revision after fixation of a neotype as the original material has been lost.

Family Haplopomidae Gordon in de Blauwe 2009
Genus Haplopoma Levinsen, 1909
Haplopoma celeste Pica & Berning sp. nov.
Fig. 20a-d

Diagnosis
Haplopoma with an evenly perforated frontal shield except for an area around the ascopore, pseudopores bevelled but simple, shield surface smooth but transversely wrinkled and often with a distinct median crest of variable length produced by jointed knobs; orifice broader than long, with a pair of small round condyles; ovicellate zooids of similar size as autozooids; ooeial surface evenly perforated by pseudopores, occasionally with a low median ridge.

Etymology
Named after the first author’s niece, Celeste Beatrix Pica; used as a noun in apposition.

Material examined
Holotype
MSNG 62417: one unbleached colony with ovicells, Gallipoli, Lecce (Italy), 40°0’56.20"N, 17°55’17.84”E, depth 60 m, 16 Jul. 2015.

Figure 17. Glabrilaria pedunculata. (a) Colony. (b) Edge of the colony showing a kenozooid and a maternal zooid with kenozooidal ovicell. (c) Zooids and a kenozooid with pedunculate avicularia. (d) Avicularium. (e) Maternal zooid with avicularia. F. Ancestrula with early autozooids. Scale: (a) 500 µm; (b, c, f) 100 µm; (d) 20 µm; (e) 50 µm.
Paratypes
Same data as for holotype. MSNG 62418: unbleached ovicellate colony; MSNG 62419: unbleached ovicellate colony; MSNG 62420: unbleached colony; MSNG 62421: SEM stub n°1, bleached ovicellate colony; MSNG 62422: SEM stub n°12, bleached colony; MSNG 62423: SEM stub n°81, bleached ovicellate colony; MSNG 62424: SEM stub n°84, bleached colony.

Description
Colony encrusting, unilaminar, multiserial (Figure 20(a)), forming small patches of up to 4 mm in diameter.

Zooids (L: 569 ± 54, 455–669, 16; W: 326 ± 31, 278–419, 16) elongated pentagonal to hexagonal with a rounded distal end, separated by shallow grooves (Figure 20(a,b)). Gymnocyst frontal shield translucent, slightly convex, surface smooth but with transverse wrinkles and uniformly perforated by some 28–36 bevelled round pseudopores except for an area around the ascopore (Figure 20(b)), a short slit is visible distal to each pore using an optical microscope; ascopore circular (23 μm), only slightly larger than the frontal pores (Figure 20(b)); proximal to the ascopore a distinct narrow crest of variable length formed by a linear series of more or less jointed knobs is present in most zooids (Figure 20(b)). Five oval basal pore chambers on each zooid side, communication pores round and
large, widely spaced, three or four per neighbouring zooid (Figure 20(b)), basal wall only marginally calcified. Orifice semicircular (L: 66 ± 3, 61–72, 16; W: 102 ± 3, 98–108, 16), wider than long and widest at about mid-distance, proximal margin straight with a pair of small rounded condyles near each corner and distinct notches extending proximolaterally (Figure 20(c)).

Ovicellate zooids about the same size as autozooids, ovicells kenozoidal, oecium hemispherical, partly immersed below colony surface, slightly wider than long (L: 238 ± 8, 229–247, 5; W: 245 ± 15, 230–266, 5), closure type cleithral; smooth ectooecial calcification resembling the frontal shield of the zooid with scattered pores, occasionally with a low central ridge (Figure 20(d)).

Ancestrula not observed.

Remarks

The new species is very similar to Haplopoma planum Ryland, 1963 as regards the pseudoporous frontal shield, the non-stellate pore type, and the orifice with its condyles, while H. planum lacks the central crest on the zooidal surface, and has distinctly larger zooids, orifices and ovicells (cf. Hayward & Ryland 1999: 312, figs. 141A–B, 144). Haplopoma planum is a northern species, however, occurring from Shetland to the Arctic.

The other existing species from the Mediterranean Sea and warm-temperate eastern Atlantic differ more significantly in having only a single row of frontal pseudopores, [Haplopoma impressum (Audouin, 1826); Haplopoma sciapilum Silén & Harmelin, 1976], or stellate pores [Haplopoma bimucronatum (Moll, 1803); Haplopoma graniferum (Johnston, 1847)], among other character differences.

Together with H. bimucronatum, H. planum and H. sciaphilum, Haplopoma celeste sp. nov. is among the species that are recorded from waters below 50 m depth (or from caves in shallower waters), while the other species are mostly restricted to in the shallow subtidal. To our knowledge, H. celeste has not been recorded before in previous works and is thus only known from the central Mediterranean Sea at depths of 60 m.

Family Romancheinidae Jullien, 1888
Genus Escharella Gray, 1848

Escharella cf. variolosa (Johnston, 1838)

cf. Lepralia variolosa Johnston, 1838: 278, pl. 34 fig. 4.
cf. Escharella variolosa: Zabala & Malaquer 1988: 125, pl. 13, fig. C; Hayward & Ryland 1999: 132, figs. 41,
42B; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 158, figs. 77a–d; Souto et al. 2014: 140, fig. 4F.

**Material examined**
Pica coll: two unbleached colony fragments.

**Remarks**
Only two poorly preserved colony fragments were found, which makes it difficult to precisely identify the species.

Superfamily Smittinoidea Levinsen 1909
Family Smittinidae Levinsen 1909
Genus *Prenantia* Gautier, 1962
*Prenantia ligulata* (Manzoni, 1870)
Fig. 21a–f

*Lepralia ligulata* Manzoni, 1870: 334, pl. 3, fig. 17. *Smitia inerma*: Calvet 1907: 437, pl. 28, fig. 3. *Prenantia inerma*: Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 122, text-fig. 271, pl. 11, figs. D–E. *Prenantia ligulata*: Poluzzi 1975: 62, pl. 20, fig. 11; Rosso 2004, figs. 16–18; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 207, figs. 111a–c.

**Material examined**
Pica coll: SEM stub n°23, small bleached colony; SEM stub n°54, bleached ovicellate colony; SEM stub n°67, bleached colony with ancestrula; one additional unbleached colony.

**Remarks**
For the sake of consistency, we here continue to follow the most recent works and refer the modern species to the fossil *Prenantia ligulata* (Manzoni, 1870), which would have priority if it should turn out to be synonymous with *Prenantia inerma* (Calvet, 1907), as suggested by Poluzzi (1975). However, the types of both species need to be consulted before this decision can be finalised.

The ancestrula has been observed before but was only incompletely described owing to the peri-ancestral zooids covering the proximal and lateral gymnocyct (Rosso 2004). Here we provide additional information on the morphology of the ancestrula (Figure 21(e,f)). It is about 420 μm long and 290 μm wide, with steep marginal walls rising to an elevated oval area that comprises about the distal two-thirds of the ancestrula. The distinctly raised margin demarcating this area is tightly framed and indented by nine spines that are more or less arching over the frontal surface, the six distal ones of which are relatively closely spaced. The area comprises a broad proximal shelf of smooth cryptocyst that gently slopes towards the centre and narrows distolaterally, and a relatively small, distal, suborbicular opesia. The proximal cryptocyst shelf exhibits a central longitudinal suture.

Family Bitectiporidae MacGillivray, 1895
Genus *Schizomavella* Canu & Bassler, 1917
*Schizomavella* (*Schizomavella*) *cornuta* (Heller, 1867) Fig. 22a–f

*Lepralia cornuta* Heller, 1867: 110, pl. 6, fig. 6. *Schizomavella cuspidata*: Hayward & Thorpe 1995: 665, pl. 2; Reverter Gil & Fernández-Pulpeiro 1996: 263, figs. 4A–C (only); Hayward & Ryland 1999: 286, figs. 131 A, C (not 131B, D). *Schizomavella cornuta*: Hayward & McKinney 2002: 57, figs. 26A–C Reverter-Gil et al. 2016: 283, figs. 2–3.

**Material examined**
Pica coll: SEM stub n°35, 48, each with one bleached ovicellate colony; seven additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**
The present specimens fully conform with the characters of *Schizomavella* (*Schizomavella*) *cornuta*.

*Schizomavella* (*Schizomavella*) *cf. linearis* (Hassall, 1841)
Fig. 23a–d

cf. *Lepralia linearis* Hassall, 1841: 368, pl. 9, fig. 8. cf. *Schizomavella linearis*: Hayward & Thorpe 1995: 671, pl. 4, figs. a–d; Hayward & Ryland 1999: 282, figs. 127–128; Hayward & McKinney 2002: 59, figs. 26E–G; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 252, figs. 138a–f; Reverter-Gil et al. 2016: 304, fig. 11.

**Material examined**
Pica coll: SEM stub n°69, one bleached ovicellate colony; five additional unbleached colonies.
Remarks

The Apulian specimens belong to the *Schizomavella linearis* species complex but differ from all populations hitherto described. Most closely related is the form referred to by Reverter-Gil et al. (2016) as the “pseudolinearis” morphotype from the Adriatic Sea, which has a relatively narrow sinus and avicularia that were reported to be often directed distally (Reverter-Gil et al. 2016: 308), although their fig. 11f exclusively shows medially directed avicularia. In the present specimens, the sinus is even narrower, and the avicularia are oriented distomediaally to distolaterally. However, despite the large morphological differences between some of the Mediterranean populations and those from the type locality, the British Isles (see Hayward & Thorpe 1995; Hayward & Ryland 1999), Reverter-Gil et al. (2016) were reluctant to introduce new species owing to the transitional nature of some of the characters, and also because of an absence of a clear geographic pattern in the morphotypes. We have, accordingly, decided to add the present specimens to the species complex and wait for genetic analyses to tackle the issue of their relatedness.

*Schizomavella (Schizomavella) subsolana*

(Hayward & McKinney, 2002)

Fig. 24a–d

*Schizomavella subsolana* Hayward & McKinney, 2002: 61, figs. 28A–D.
Material examined
Pica coll: SEM stub n°19, one bleached ovicellate colony; one additional unbleached colony.

Remarks
The present specimen slightly differs from those from the type locality, Rovinj, in the northern Adriatic Sea (Hayward & McKinney 2002), in having a less rugose frontal shield with somewhat smaller pores. As all other characters are identical, we consider these differences to have been caused by environmental conditions at greater depth in which the Apulian population was found (60 m vs. 5–20 m off Rovinj).

Schizomavella (Schizomavella) cerranoi
Pica & Berning sp. nov.
Fig. 25a-f

Diagnosis
Schizomavella with unilaminar colonies. Zooids rectangular in outline, frontal shield perforated by numerous, relatively small pores. Orifice proximally and laterally surrounded by a thin peristome; primary orifice suborbicular, with a narrowly U-shaped sinus occupying about one-fourth of the proximal margin, condyles relatively long and narrow, with sloping shoulders or running parallel to proximal orifice margin and stopping short of the sinus, no additional structures; distal orifice margin usually with 4 spines persisting...
thoughout ontogeny in non-ovicellate zooids. Suboral avicularium forming a tall umbo, oriented almost perpendicular to frontal plane, rostrum elongate triangular, crossbar with a small rounded columella. Ovicell marginally covered by secondary calcification of the distal zooid, forming a rugged crest around a small central area of exposed ectooecium that is regularly perforated by small pseudopores.

**Etymology**

Named after the person who collected the material studied in this paper, Prof. Carlo Cerrano.

**Material examined**

**Holotype**

MSNG 62425: SEM stub n°29, one bleached ovicellate colony, Gallipoli, Lecce (Italy), 40°0'56.20" N, 17°55'17.84"E, depth 60 m, 16 Jul. 2015.

**Paratypes**

Same data as for holotype. MSNG 62426: SEM stub n°58, one bleached colony; MSNG 62427: portion of one bleached ovicellate colony.

**Description**

Colony encrusting, unilaminar and multiserial (Figure 25(a,b)), developing into a small rounded patch. Autozooids rectangular or irregularly polygonal (L: 460 ± 54, 358–559, 20; W: 414 ± 76, 327–535, 20), in regular radiating lines, separated by fine sutures (Figure 25(b)). Frontal shield convex, uniformly perforated by relatively small pores, distally umbonate incorporating a suboral avicularium (Figure 25(b)). Orifice partially immersed during ontogeny, surrounded laterally and proximally by a thin, raised peristome that abuts the cystid of the suboral umbo (Figure 25(c)); primary orifice rounded, slightly wider than long (L: 116 ± 7, 107–127, 7; W: 101 ± 5, 91–113, 15), sinus narrowly U-shaped (L: 18 ± 2, 16–20, 6; W: 26 ± 3, 22–31, 7), occupying a little less than one fourth of the proximal border (W: 88 ± 5, 81–95, 6), condyles long and relatively narrow, ending short of the sinus, edge sloping or parallel to proximal orifice margin, no proximolateral notches or other structures (Figure 25(c,d)). Usually, three to occasionally four distal oral spines on the distal orifice margin in non-ovicellate zooids, persisting throughout ontogeny (Figure 25(c)). Suboral avicularium (L: 110 ± 0.7, 110–111, 2; W: 50 ± 4, 47–53, 2) almost perpendicular to the frontal plane, the cystid forming a tall structure; rostrum elongate triangular (L: 83 ± 3, 81–86, 3), crossbar complete with small rounded columella, palatal foramen Y-shaped (Figure 25(e)). Ovicell acleithral, almost covering the entire frontal shield of the succeeding zooid, about as long as wide or slightly elongated (L: 238 ± 15, 193–314, 20; W:
238 ± 15, 192–260, 20); its margins (particularly distally) covered by relatively smooth secondary calcification of the distal zooid, producing a distinctly raised rugged rim around the exposed ectooecium, which is relatively flattened and regularly perforated by variably shaped pseudopores (Figure 25(f)). Ancestrula unknown.

**Remarks**

The new species is very closely related to *Schizomavella (Schizomavella) subsolana* (see above) but differs from it in having a distinctly narrower and deeper sinus. Moreover, *Schizomavella (Schizomavella) cerranoi* sp. nov. is characterised by larger zooids (particularly their width) and orifices, by a smaller area of exposed ectooecium as well as by having a maximum number of four oral spines. As the two morphotypes apparently occur sympatrically, and as intermediate morphologies were not found in any of the colonies, we have decided to introduce a new species for the present material.

*Schizomavella (Schizomavella) cf. tubulata*
Reverter-Gil, Souto, Novosel & Tilbrook, 2015
Fig. 26a-d

cf. *Schizomavella (Schizomavella) tubulata*: Reverter-Gil et al. 2016 (nomenclatural availability: 2015): 298, fig. 8; Rosso et al. 2019a: fig. 6a.

**Material examined**

Pica coll: SEM stub n°23, one bleached colony; SEM stub n°30, bleached colony with ancestrula.

**Remarks**

Only two small immature colonies were obtained. The specimens are very similar to the recently introduced species *Schizomavella (Schizomavella) tubulata* Reverter-Gil, Souto, Novosel & Tilbrook, 2015 from the Adriatic Sea. Both species have similarly shaped orifice -ith four to five distal spines and a spatulate suboral avicularium oriented almost perpendicular to the frontal plane. In our specimens the early astogenetic zooids show more spines, up to seven, decreasing in number toward the zone of astogenetic repetition. The present colonies differ slightly in lacking a finely denticulate rostrum (cf. Reverter-Gil et al. 2016: fig. 8E), in the absence of a peristome encircling the entire orifice, and in the frontal shields with far fewer and smaller pores than in the holotype. However, our colonies are relatively young and immature, in contrast to the originally described mature colonies that showed multilaminar growth. Reference of the Apulian colonies to *S. tubulata* is thus somewhat doubtful.
**Schizomavella (Calvetomavella) biancae**

Pica & Berning sp. nov.

Fig. 27a-f

*Schizomavella discoidea*: Hayward & Ryland 1999: 280, figs. 123C–D, 126A–C (part, not 126D); López de la Cuadra & García-Gómez 2001: figs. 2C–D; De Blauwe 2009: 364, figs. 387–388; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 249, figs. 136a–c.

**Diagnosis**

*Schizomavella (Calvetomavella)* with encrusting unilaminar colonies. Autozooidal frontal shield with a coarsely granular to nodular surface and few small pores around a central imperforate area. Orifice suborbicular in shape and with a narrow and deeply U-shaped sinus as well as broad condyles sloping towards sinus, six or seven oral spines. Avicularia dimorphic: large avicularia often paired and situated along distolateral margins though single latero-suboral ones also occur; oblong in outline, i.e. rostrum distally rounded with slightly raised and serrated edges, palate mostly calcified by a pair of cryptocrystal shelves forming central ridges and an elongate foramen at about mid-distance, crossbar with tiny columella. Small avicularia single and usually (latero)suboral though distolateral ones also occur, oval to oblong in outline, slightly raised distal part of rostrum serrated, distal foramen Y-shaped, crossbar with tiny columella. Ovicells relatively large, often covering over half the frontal area of the distal zooid, ooeicia flattened.
globular, ectooecium almost entirely exposed apart from a narrow peripheral rim and with c. 25 rimmed tubaeform pores, ovicellate zooids forming a peristome a with a large U-shaped suboral notch.

Etymology

Named after one of the first author’s nieces, Bianca Colapaoli.

Material examined

Holotype

MSNG 62428: SEM stub n°21, with a bleached ovicellate colony, Gallipoli, Lecce (Italy), 40° 0’56.20”N, 17°55’17.84”E, depth 60 m, 16 Jul. 2015.

Paratypes

Same data as for holotype. MSNG 62429: SEM stub n°68, bleached colony with ancestrula; MSNG 62430: bleached ovicellate colony.

Description

Colony encrusting, unilaminar, multiserial (Figure 27(a)). Autozooids rectangular to irregular polygonal in shape (L: 433 ± 50, 351–490, 8; W: 328 ± 67, 236–434, 12), slightly convex and separated by a fine suture (Figure 27(a)). Frontal shield coarsely granular to nodular, perforated by small round pores (up to 15) mainly located laterally near the suture, suboral area imperforate (Figure 27(b)).

Primary orifice slightly elevated (L: 96 ± 3, 91–99, 12; W: 81 ± 3, 75–85, 12) and with six or seven spines in autozooids, about as long as wide, anter suborbicular, proximal margin straight with a narrow and deeply U-shaped sinus (L: 23 ± 2, 21–25, 8; W: 21 ± 3, 13–21, 8) occupying approximately one-fifth to one-fourth of the proximal width (W: 73 ± 4, 64–78, 12) (Figure 27(b,d)). Condyles broad and as long as the proximolateral margin, sloping towards corners of the sinus that are marked by slightly raised and obliquely positioned ridges (Figure 27(c)).

Avicularia adventitious, dimorphic: large avicularia usually paired and positioned lateral to the orifice though single and suboral ones also frequently occur, and usually single and small suboral avicularia that may occasionally be positioned distolaterally (Figure 27(b,d,e)). Large avicularia (L: 157 ± 2, 136–196, 9; W: 42 ± 6, 32–49, 8) (Figure 22(b,e)), pointing proximolaterally, oblong in outline, i.e. rostrum (L: 134 ± 15, 117–167, 9) with parallel margins and distally rounded with a slightly elevated serrated rim; palate mostly calcified by a pair of lateral shelves that form central ridges, producing an elongated enclosed foramen at about

Figure 26. Schizomavella (Schizomavella) cf. tubulata. (a) Colony. (b) Zoid. (c) Ancestrula. (d) Ancestrula with early autozooids. Scales: (a) 1 mm; (b) 100 µm; (c) 50 µm; (d) 200 µm.
mid-distance, foramen immediately distal to crossbar of various irregular shapes, foramen proximal to crossbar D-shaped or oval, complete crossbar usually with a tiny columella. Small avicularia (L: 58 ± 8, 47–66, 5; W: 36 ± 11, 20–47, 5) (Figure 27(d)) oval to oblong in outline, rostrum (L: 39 ± 8, 30–49, 5) with a slightly raised and serrated distal margin, Y-shaped distal foramen, foramen proximal to crossbar oval or D-shaped, complete crossbar with a small columella.

Ovicells hyperstomial, relatively large (L: 189 ± 15, 165–208, 6; W: 213 ± 10, 199–227, 6), ooeicum somewhat flattened-globular with the ectooecium almost entirely exposed apart from a peripheral rim of frontal calcification by the distal zooid, perforated by about 25 rimmed tubaeform pores (Figure 27(e)). Ovicellate zooids develop a peristome with the lateral walls attached to the proximolateral ooeicial margins, terminal peristomial margins straight lateral to orifice while proximally abruptly sloping to form a large U-shaped suboral notch (Figure 27(e)).

Ancestrula not well preserved, oval in shape, longer than wide, opesia mushroom-shaped surrounded by nine or ten mural spines (Figure 27(f)).

**Remarks**

As noted by Reverter-Gil et al. (2015: 40), who figured material of *Schizomavella (Calvetomavella) discoidea* (Busk, 1859) from its Madeiran type locality using SEM for the first time, the species is specifically distinct from eastern Atlantic continental shelf and Mediterranean Sea populations, which were historically assigned to that species. *Schizomavella (C.) discoidea* differs from the present colonies in having larger pores in the frontal shield, a differently shaped peristome in ovicellate...
zooids (with sloping lateral margins, i.e. the U-shaped proximal notch is not developed), a broader orificial sinus, and in the shape of the avicularian rostrum, which is tapering distally (cf. Reverter-Gil et al. 2015: fig. 2). At the present state of knowledge, Schizomavella
(Calvetomavella) biancae sp. nov. occurs in the central and western Mediterranean Sea, and along the Atlantic continental shelf of Europe, with the Shetland Islands as its northernmost limit of distribution (cf. Hayward & Ryland 1999; Reverter-Gil et al. 2015). However, in the absence of SEM images from most publications, the distinction between the new species and the morphologically closely related Schizomavella (Schizomavella) halimedae (Gautier, 1955) is rendered difficult. The two species differ in having large spatulate (even gigantic) avicularia [S. (S.) halimedae] vs. elongated lateral avicularia [S. (C.) biancae sp. nov.], which may, however, be missing in certain colony regions (see also López de la Cuadra & García-Gómez 2001: 1723; Reverter-Gil et al. 2016: 313). Also, the ooecium is covered by nodular secondary calcification in the former species, whereas the pseudoporous ectooecium is entirely exposed in the latter. As the depth ranges of these species are also largely overlapping, with S. (S.) halimedae likely to occur shallower than S. (C.) biancae sp. nov., which was reported from caves at 13 m to a depth of about 100 m (López de la Cuadra & García-Gómez 2001: 1724), it may be that some records of S. (S.) halimedae actually correspond to S. (C.) biancae sp. nov.

**Superfamily Schizoporellioidea Jullien 1882**

**Family Schizoporellidae Jullien, 1882**

**Genus Schizoporella** Hincks, 1877

**Schizoporella magnifica** (Hincks, 1886)

*Fig. 28a–d*

*Schizoporella magnifica*: Hincks 1886: 268, pl. 10, fig. 1; Zabala & Malquer 1988: 133, text-fig. 313, pl. 18, fig. E; Hayward & Ryland 1999: 216, figs. 89, 90A; Hayward & McKinney 2002: 71, figs. 31F–J; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 266, figs. 146a–d.

**Material examined**

Pica coll: SEM stub n°39, bleached colony with ancestrula; SEM stub n°62, bleached oovicate colony; two additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**

*Schizoporella magnifica* (Hincks, 1886) occurs throughout the Mediterranean Sea and north to the SW British Isles (Hayward & Ryland 1999: 216). The sinus in the present colonies seems to be relatively wide but nevertheless within the range observed in other populations (e.g. Hayward & McKinney 2002: fig. 31F, H). Another similar yet specifically distinct *Schizoporella* species is also present on the Neopycnodonte shells (see below).

**Schizoporella adelaide** Pica & Berning sp. nov.

*Fig. 29a–d*

**Diagnosis**

*Schizoporella* with rectangular to oval zooids; frontal shield perforated by c. 40 small round pores, imperforate suboral area prominent but without umbo; orifice slightly wider than long, the short sinus narrowly U-shaped, condyles small, somewhat faceted and short with rounded shoulders. Adventitious avicularia single or double, situated in distolateral corner(s) of zooid, rostrum elongate triangular and gently concave, distal half narrow and with a hooked tip; crossbar with rounded columella. Ovicells occupying almost the entire frontal shield of distal zooid, ooeic marginally perforated, central imperforate area usually with an umbo.

**Etymology**

Named after the first author’s nephew, Adelaide Colapaoli; used as a noun in apposition.

**Material examined**

**Holotype**

MSNG 62431: SEM stub n°80, with one bleached oovicate colony, Gallipoli, Lecce (Italy), 40°0’56.20’’N, 17°55’17.84’’E, depth 60 m, 16 Jul. 2015.

**Paratypes**

Same data as for holotype. MSNG 62432: one bleached colony.

**Description**

Colony encrusting, multiserial and unilaminar (*Figure 29(e))*. Zooids rectangular to slightly irregular or even oval in shape (L: 545 ± 30, 485–600, 17; W: 495 ± 120, 315–830, 21), separated by a deep groove that is closely juxtaposed by often elongated marginal areolar pores (*Figure 29(e))*.
Frontal shield slightly convex, surface relatively smooth yet covered by indistinct granules, pierced by c. 40 small round and irregularly arranged pores, suboral region free of pores and forming the greatest elevation of the autozooid but without producing an umbo (Figure 29(e)). Primary orifice slightly broader than long (L: 130 ± 10, 100–160, 28; W: 145 ± 10, 125–175, 27), anter transversely elliptical, proximolateral border straight (W: 115 ± 15, 95–150, 26) with rounded shoulders leading into a short and narrowly U-shaped sinus (L: 30 ± 5, 20–40, 20; W: 30 ± 7, 20–45, 20) that occupies almost one quarter to one third of the entire proximal margin; condylies small but distinctive, edge slightly crenellate and disposed parallel to the proximal border, with rounded or sloping shoulders stopping short of the sinus (Figure 29(f)).

Adventitious avicularia single or paired (L: 150 ± 20, 120–180, 11; W: 80 ± 10, 60–105, 13), placed laterally to the orifice and directed distolaterally to laterally, positioned acute to frontal plane; proximal opesia D-shaped, rostrum elongate triangular (L: 100 ± 15, 70–120, 11) with concave margins and a hooked tip, calcified palate restricted to the distinctly narrower distal half; crossbar complete with a well-developed rounded median columella (Figure 29(e, g)).

Ovocells hyperstomial, oocia globular, slightly longer than wide (L: 440 ± 40, 390–490, 6; W: 390 ± 40, 340–460, 6), occupying almost the entire frontal shield of distal zooid, oocia marginally perforated by round to slit-like pores except centrally where an umbo is usually developed (Figure 29(h)). Ancestruma not observed.

Remarks

*Schizoparella adelaide* sp. nov. is morphologically similar to *Schizoparella magnifica* (see above) but can be distinguished from that species owing to a more elongated orifice with a distinctly shorter sinus and condyles, and a crossbar in the avicularium that has a prominent columella. Similarities also exist with the British *Schizoparella cornulis* Hayward & Ryland, 1995, the only other European species that has an avicularium with a columella. While its orifice is rather similar as well (about as long as wide; see Hayward & Ryland, 1995: 46), it has distinctly larger condyles than the new species, and also produces a suboral umbo on its frontal shield. Two undescribed species, *Schizoparella* sp. 2 and sp. 3, which were recorded by Chimenz Gusso et al. (2014) from the southern Italian Island of Ustica are also similar to *S.

*adelaide* sp. nov. with regards to the distal pair of avicularia (see also Rosso et al. 2019b: fig. 5I). Both species differ from the new species, however, in having a broader sinus, non-crenellate and shorter condyles, and in the absence of a columella in the avicularian crossbar, among other characters.

As no other records could confidently be assigned to *Schizoparella adelaide* sp. nov., the species must be regarded as endemic to the central Mediterranean Sea at present.

Family Cheiloporinidae Jullien, 1888

Genus *Hagiosynodos* Bishop & Hayward, 1989

*Hagiosynodos latus* (Busk, 1856)

Fig. 30a-d

*Lepralia lata* Busk, 1856: 309, pl. 10, figs. 1–2.

*Lepralia kirchenpaueri*: Heller 1867: 105, pl. 2, fig. 11.

*Hippopodinella lata*: Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 136, text-fig. 31 8; Lippi Boncambi et al. 1997: 401, fig. 1.

*Hippopodinella kirchenpaueri*: Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 136, text-fig. 319, pl. 19, fig. A.

*Hagiosynodos latus*: Hayward and McKinney 2002: figs. 35A–D; De Blauwe 2009: 380, figs. 406–408; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 168, figs. 85a–f.

*Hagiosynodos kirchenpaueri*: Hayward & McKinney 2002: 76, figs. 35E–H.

*Hagiosynodos latus*: Berning 2006: 97, figs. 123–124

Material examined

Pica coll: SEM stubs n°66, 82, each with one bleached ovicellate colony.

Remarks

The number and identity of *Hagiosynodos* species present in the Mediterranean Sea is unclear and a matter of debate, and genetic analyses are certainly needed in order to solve this problem. Most of the interspecific differences given to justify the distinction between *Hagiosynodos latus* (Busk, 1856), *H. kirchenpaueri* (Heller, 1867) and *H. hadros* Hayward & McKinney, 2002 are the dimensions of certain characters. However, the more (fossil) material is looked at, the more difficult it is to differentiate between interspecific differences and intraspecific variability in response to (micro)environmental conditions, and to draw a clear line between these species (Schmid 1989; Berning 2006). Accordingly, the present material is adding to this problem (see Table 1). Whereas some of the characters show dimensions similar to those of *H. hadros* (zooid length) or *H. kirchenpaueri* (width of poster),
most of the others are intermediate between either *H. kirchenpaueri* and *H. hadros* (distance between orifices, orifice length, ovicell width), between *H. kirchenpaueri* and *H. latus* (width of anter, distance between frontal shield pores), or in between all of the three (ovicell length). Zooid width, on the other hand, is distinctly greater in the present material than in all of the species reported by Hayward and McKinney (2002).

Concerning qualitative character differences, the present colonies resemble *H. latus* in the presence of a distal lip (or occasionally a pair of distolateral teeth connected by a narrow shelf), while this character is apparently absent in *H. kirchenpaueri* and *H. hadros* (Hayward & McKinney, 2002: 79). Thus, whereas skeletal dimensions and relative proportions would vaguely support an assignment of the present colonies to either *H. kirchenpaueri* or *H. hadros*, the only distinct character difference argues against this decision. Thus, while we do not reject the likelihood of three distinct species being present in the NE Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea as such, we here stress the inability of distinguishing the species based on morphometry and morphology, and hope that genetic analyses may aid in solving the issue. Accordingly, we here assign the specimens to *H. lata* and treat the other species as synonymous for now.
Family Phoceanidae Vigneaux, 1949
Genus *Phoceana* Jullien, 1903
*Phoceana cf. tubulifera* (Heller, 1867)
Fig. 31a-b

Table I. Comparison between character dimensions (means, in µm) of the present specimens with the three species reported by Hayward and McKinney (2002).

| Character                              | This work | *H. kirchenpaueri* | *H. latus* | *H. hadros* |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------|-------------|
| Distance between orifices              | 390       | 342                | 317        | 425         |
| Zooid length                           | 550       | 485                | 428        | 559         |
| Zooid width                            | 390       | 350                | 293        | 351         |
| Orifice length                         | 130       | 125                | 107        | 135         |
| Width of anter                         | 75        | 78                 | 74         | 88          |
| Width of poster                        | 90        | 95                 | 75         | 102         |
| Ovicell length                         | 275       | 316                | 248        | 246         |
| Ovicell width                          | 290       | 309                | 239        | 288         |
| Distance between frontal shield pores  | 38        | 41                 | 36         | 48          |

Material examined
Pica coll: SEM stub n°60, one bleached colony.

Remarks
The colony recovered is presumably a part of the encrusting base of an erect colony. Although the zooids are chaotically arranged, possibly as a result of damage
to the colony and subsequent reparative budding, the zooids look similar to *Phloeana tubulifera* (Heller, 1867), which has been described from the Adriatic Sea though seldom reported thereafter. The frontal shield is perforated proximally by pseudopores and forms a prominent peristome around the orifice, while the surface is finely granular. Differences to *P. tubulifera* exist, however, in characters of the orifice. While a lyrula is also present in our material, it is distinctly longer and broader than that figured by Hayward and McKinney (2002: fig. 23c) from the erect portion of the colony. Moreover, condyles were not figured nor reported to be present by these authors, while short and rounded ones exist in our material (Figure 31(b)). We have therefore decided to merely confer our colonies to *P. tubulifera*.

Family **Microporellidae** Hincks, 1879  
Genus **Microporella** Hincks, 1877  
*Microporella appendiculata* (Heller, 1867)  
Fig. 32a–e

**Lepralia appendiculata** Heller, 1867: 31, pl. 2, fig. 8.  
*Microporella pseudomarsupiata*: Zabala and Maluquer 1988: 141, text-fig. 3 35, pl. 19, fig. C  
*Escharina appendiculata*: Hayward and Ryland 1999: 294, figs. 134a–b, 135; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 187, figs. 100a–b; Di Martino & Rosso 2021: 5, fig. 2.

**Material examined**  
SEM stubs n°2, 13, 40, each with one bleached colony with ancestrula; SEM stub n°16, bleached colony; SEM stubs n°32, 49, each with one unbleached colony; five additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**  
The present specimens, though mostly small colonies, seem to agree with previous records of *M. appendiculata* from the Mediterranean Sea (see Di Martino & Rosso 2021).

**Microporella verrucosa** (Peach, 1868)  
Fig. 33a–e

*Eschara verrucosa* Peach, 1868: 116.  
*Diporula verrucosa*: Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 137, text-fig. 3 23, pl. 19, fig. B; Pouyet & Moissette 1992: 66, pl. 10, figs. 1–2; Rosso 1996: 216, pl. 5, fig. b; Hayward & Ryland 1999: 302, figs. 138C–D, 139; Rosso et al. 2014: 202, figs. 3A–C; Achilles et al. 2019: fig. 2E.  
*Microporella verrucosa*: Di Martino & Rosso 2021.

**Material examined**  
Pica coll: SEM stub n°53, one unbleached colony; SEM stub n°57, one bleached colony.

**Remarks**  
The fragments here reported are exclusively from the encrusting base of the erect *M. verrucosa* and lack oviceils. Mediterranean material of this species has recently been redescribed (Di Martino & Rosso 2021), yet the types of *M. verrucosa* as well as of a Pliocene species from Sicily, *Eschara lunaris* Waters, 1878, need to be revised to test for synonymy between the fossil and Recent forms on the one hand, and the Mediterranean and Atlantic populations on the other hand.

Family **Escharinidae** Tilbrook, 2006  
Genus **Escharina** Milne Edwards, 1836  
*Escharina cf. protecta* Zabala, Maluquer & Harmelin, 1993 comb. nov.  
Fig. 34a–h

cf. *Escharina dutertrei protecta*: Zabala, Maluquer & Harmelin, 1993: 73, figs. 12a–c, figs. 13–16.  
*Escharina dutertrei protecta*: Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 160, figs. 78a–c.  
*Escharina dutertrei protecta*: Hayward & McKinney 2002: 74, figs. 33A–C.

**Material examined**  
Pica coll: SEM stub n°41, unbleached oviceillate colony with ancestrula; SEM stub n°59, bleached oviceillate colony; one additional unbleached colony.

**Description**  
Zooids oval to hexagonal (Figure 34(a)), longer than wide (L: 624 ± 86, 487–770, 10; W: 407 ± 81, 315–593, 10), frontal shield slightly convex and vaguely to distinctly nodular, forming a crescent of gymnocystral calcification around proximolateral orifice that is almost level proximally while rising towards the proximal pair of spines to produce a pair of triangular flaps (Figure 34(b,c)); a single row of distinct pores present along the zooecial margin (Figure 34(b)); zooecia separated by grooves between slightly raised ridges, each zooid connected via several basal pore chambers. Orifice longer.
than wide (L: 90 ± 9, 80–104, 5; W: 113 ± 11, 97–123, 5) (Figure 34(d)); proximal margin straight or very slightly upturned with very narrow crenellate condyles that parallel the edges; sinus drop-shaped, anter horse-shoe-shaped (Figure 34(c)). Orifice in ovicellate zooids dimorphic, only little longer but distinctly wider (L: 92–105, 2; W: 128–134, 2); five or seven spines on autozooidal distal margin, two in ovicellate zooids (Figure 34(f,g)).

Avicularia paired, small (L: 70 ± 8, 58–73, 6; W: 34 ± 2, 30–37, 6), positioned in distolateral corners of zooecium, rostrum short and funnel-shaped, directing distomedially, mandible setiform, very long and slender (ML 398 ± 43, 315–439, 6); crossbar complete, straight distally, with a thickened rounded-triangular pivot proximally (Figure 34(b–d,f)).

Ovicell kenozooidal, ooeicum globular but appearing semi-immersed as soon as the distal zooid has formed (Figure 34(c,g)), wider than long (L: 205 ± 17, 187–220, 3; W: 332 ± 7, 326–340, 3); surface as frontal shield with a narrow proximal band of thickened calcified ectooecium, presumably closed by the operculum; suboral crescent distinctly raised also around proximal aperture while rising lateral to orifice to produce an entire peristome that abuts the proximolateral ooeicum.

Ancestrula tatiform (Figure 34(h)), longer than wide (L: 377; W: 228), gymnocyst well-developed proximally and abruptly narrowing laterally, opesia pear-shaped (L: 198; W: 142), mural rim with 11 spines, the five proximal ones wider spaced. First autozooid budded distally, which then forms a pair of 2nd generation autozooids laterally.

**Remarks**

*Escharina dutertrei* Audouin, 1826 was originally described from the Red Sea. As its types are lost, and a neotype has not been selected yet, the species remains ill-defined. This problem led; Zabala et al. (1993) to introduce three new subspecies characterised by a pair of small distal avicularia: the nominaltypical *Escharina dutertrei dutertrei*, *Escharina dutertrei haywardii* from the boreal Atlantic, and *Escharina dutertrei protecta* from the Mediterranean Sea and the Azores. At least the Atlantic-Mediterranean subspecies only occur offshore, which, together with the contrast in water temperatures between the boreal Atlantic and the tropical Red Sea, suggests that they are not Lessepsian species. This, in turn, argues against their status as subspecies as they must be regarded as evolutionary entities that are geographically separated from the Red Sea population. Therefore, and in concert with the modern species taxon concept in Bryozoa, in which subtle differences are often considered species-specific, we here regard the three taxa as
distinct at species level. Accordingly, while *E. dutertrei* still needs to be redefined, *Escharina haywardi*; Zabala, Maluquer & Harmelin, 1993 comb. nov. from W Britain is distinguished from the Mediterranean *Escharina protecta* Zabala, Maluquer & Harmelin, 1993 comb. nov. in lacking protective structures around the orifice and in having a different orifice morphology. Based on the image of a specimen of *E. protecta* from the Azores provided by; Zabala et al. (1993: fig. 14), and owing to the sheer distance between the localities that inhibit a genetic exchange, the central Atlantic population is also likely to be specifically distinct.

The present specimens are very similar to *E. protecta* but may not be conspecific for the following reasons: there is a distinct area of gymnocrystal calcification around the proximolateral orifice of autozooids that forms the outer rim of the protective structure, which is little elevated in the centre while rising distally towards the proximal pair of

Figure 34. *Escharina cf. protecta*. (a) Colony. (b) Autozooids. (c) Ovicellate zooid with extensive peristome. (d) Orifice and avicularia. (e) Crenellate condyles. (f) Spines on autozooid. (g) Maternal zooid. (h) Ancestrula with early astogenetic autozooids. Scales: (a) 1 mm; (b, c, g, h) 200 μm; (d) 100 μm; (e) 20 μm; (f) 50 μm.
spines where it forms a pair of small flaps. The flaps are much larger and thicker as well as more distinctly raised and irregularly conical in the autozooids of *E. protecta*, and apparently not connected by a peristomial rim proximally, and the gymnocystral area also seems to be missing. Only in ovicellate zooids is the peristome similarly developed in both species, although the lateral flaps also appear to be larger in *E. protecta*. Moreover, the condyles are even narrower and the avicularian mandibles are distinctly longer the present specimens when compared with the images provided by Zabala and Maluquer (1988: pl. 15, fig. C) and Zabala et al. (1993: fig. 12b), respectively. We have therefore decided to describe this morphotype in detail, while an analysis of additional material from different habitats and regions is necessary to test where the boundaries between intra- and interspecific differences lie in this species complex.

*Escharina vulgaris* has been reported from the western Mediterranean Sea between depths of 105 and 350 m, as well as in cryptic habitats in shallow waters (6–25 m). The specimens from the central Mediterranean reported by Hayward and McKinney (2002) and Chimenz Gusso et al. (2014), all seem to be of the same morphotype as the colonies presented here while their preferred habitat is similar to the nominal species, occurring in cryptic environments in shallow waters and down to at least 90 m (Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014).

**Escharina vulgaris** (Moll, 1803)

Fig. 35a-d

*Eschara vulgaris* var. a Moll 1803: 55, pl. 3, figs. 10A–B.

*Escharina vulgaris*: Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 129, text-fig. 290; Rosso 1996: 212, pl. 4, fig. e; Hayward & Ryland 1999: 236, figs. 100C–D, 101; Hayward & McKinney 2002: 72, figs. 32E–I; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 161, figs. 79a–d; Rosso et al. 2014: 203, figs. 4A–B.

**Material examined**

Pica coll: SEM stubs n°4, 7, 8, 10, each with one bleached ovicellate colony; SEM stub n°24, bleached ovicellate colony with ancestrula; five additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**

There are no apparent differences between the colonies recovered here and other records of *Escharina vulgaris* from the Mediterranean Sea.
Genus *Herentia* Gray, 1848

*Herentia majae* Berning, Tilbrook & Rosso, 2008

Fig. 36a-d

*Herentia majae* Berning et al. 2008: 1519, fig. 2.

**Material examined**

Pica coll: SEM stub n°33, bleached colony with ancestrula; two additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**

Only early astogenetic colonies were recovered, in which the characters of the adult zooids described by Berning et al. (2008) are not fully developed yet. Based on the small U-shaped sinus as well as on the absence of an areolar pore between the vibraculum and orifice, however, we consider the colonies to belong to *H. majae*. The ancestrula and early astogeny has not been described before, and we here figure these stages for the first time. The ancestrula is, as in the type species of the genus, *Herentia hyndmanni* (Johnston, 1847), a dome-shaped kenozooid with a completely calcified frontal shield, apart from a central pore and a pair of distolateral spines, the bases of which can vaguely be observed (Figure 36(c,d)). As in *H. hyndmanni*, the first-generation autozooid is budded distally, which then buds another zooid laterally, while the third-generation zooid is formed in between the two. Even at this stage, differences between *H. hyndmanni* and *H. majae* are evident as the early astogenetic zooids in the former already produce the drop-shaped sinus (Berning et al. 2008: fig. 1B).

Genus *Therenia* David & Pouyet, 1978

*Therenia rosei* Berning, Tilbrook & Rosso, 2008

Fig. 37a-d

*Therenia rosei* Berning, Tilbrook & Rosso, 2008: 1530, fig. 6; Rosso et al. 2013: 172, fig. 3e; Sokolover et al. 2016: fig 11; Rosso et al. 2019a: fig. 6d.

**Material examined**

Pica coll: SEM stub n°61, bleached ovicellate colony; two additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**

The present colonies can unequivocally be assigned to *Therenia rosei* Berning Tilbrook & Rosso, 2008. Their occurrence on shells at 60 m
depth falls within the previously reported habitat range.

Genus Hippomenella Canu & Bassler, 1917
Hippomenella mucronelliformis (Waters, 1899)

Lepralia mucronelliformis Waters, 1899: 11, pl.3, figs. 15, 21;
Hippomenella mucronelliformis: Brown 1949: 513, figs. 1, 2a, b, d, e; Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 117; Berning 2013: 10, fig. 3; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 176, figs. 92a–e; Rosso et al. 2019a: fig. 6f; Rosso et al. 2021: fig. 5f.

Material examined
Pica coll: SEM stub n°22, bleached colony with ancestrula; SEM stub n°65, bleached ovicellate colony; two additional unbleached colonies.

Remarks
The present specimens are morphologically indistinguishable from Hippomenella mucronelliformis (Waters, 1899) from Madeira, the types of which have recently been imaged and described by Berning (2013). As only little and unbleached material was available for that study, and owing to the few published records and images, we here present additional characters of the species. The ancestrula is tataform, oval in outline (L: 465; W: 322), with the gymnocyct well-developed and gently sloping proximally while narrowing and steepening distally. The opesia is also oval (L: 262; W: 208) and the mural rim carries some 12 spines. The 1st-generation autozooid is budded distally, which then gives rise to another zooid laterally. The avicularian rostrum is serrated along its entire length in both the long and short forms.

Figure 37. Therenia rosei. (a) Zooid arrangement. (b) Autozooid. (c) Autozooidal orifice. (d) Orifice in ovicellate zooid. Scales: (a) 500 µm; (b) 200 µm; (c, d) 100 µm.

Superfamily Celleporoidea Johnston 1838
Family Celleporidae Johnston, 1838
Genus Celleporina Gray, 1848
Celleporina cf. canariensis Aristegui Ruiz, 1989

Celleporina cf. canariensis Aristegui Ruiz, 1989: 147, figs. 3, 7–9.
Celleporina canariensis: Hayward & McKinney 2002: 86, figs. 39E–G; Rosso et al. 2019a: fig. 6g.
Material examined
Pica coll: SEM stub n°11, bleached ovicellate colony; six additional unbleached colonies.

Remarks
The present specimens, all of which small colonies, are identical with the species recorded as *Celleporina canariensis* Aristegui, 1989 from the Adriatic Sea by Hayward and McKinney (2002). While the large frontal avicularia are lacking in our material, which may be due to the small colony size, all other characters coincide. We have doubts, however, if the Mediterranean population is indeed conspecific with the nominal species from the Canary Islands as the frontal avicularia are not spatulate and the ovicells smaller in relation to zooid size in the Mediterranean population (compare with; Aristegui Ruiz 1989: figs 3, 7–9).

Genus *Buskea* Heller, 1867
*Buskea nitida* Heller, 1867
Fig. 40a-d

Material examined
Pica coll: SEM stub n°71, bleached ovicellate colony.
Figure 39. *Celleporina cf. canariensis*. (a) Colony. (b) Zooids. (c, d) Maternal zooid with ovicell. Scale: (a) 1 mm; (b) 500 µm; (c) 100 µm; (d) 200 µm.

Figure 40. *Buskea nitida*. (a) Colony. (b) Zooids. (c) Close up of the orifice. (d) Maternal zooid. Scales: (a) 500 µm; (b–d) 100 µm.
Figure 41. *Turbicellepora avicularis*. (a) Colony. (b) Zooids and avicularia of variable size and shape. (c, d) Avicularia. Scales: (a) 1 mm; (b) 200 µm; (c) 50 µm; (d) 100 µm.

Figure 42. *Turbicellepora cf. coronopus*. (a) Colony. (b) Orifice and small avicularium. (c) Large spatulate avicularium. (d) Maternal zooid. Scales: (a) 500 µm; (b–d) 100 µm.
Remarks
The only recovered specimen is a delicate branch fragment composed of 4 alternating series of zooids, and is identical with *Buskea nitida* Heller, 1867 from the Adriatic as reported by Hayward and McKinney (2002), which is arguably regarded as conspecific with *Buskea quincuncialis* Norman, 1867 from Great Britain.

Genus *Turbicellepora* Ryland, 1963

*Turbicellepora avicularis* (Hincks, 1860)

**Fig. 41a-d**

*Cellepora avicularis* Hincks, 1860: 278.

*Turbicellepora avicularis*: Hayward 1978: 566, figs. 1, 2A, B, 3, 4I–K, 5A–E, 8, 9; Hayward & Ryland 1979: 284, fig. 124; Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 161, text figs. 449–451, pl. 27, figs. E–F; Hayward & Ryland 1999: 336, figs. 15 5C–D, 156; Hayward & McKinney 2002: 90, fig. 41A–D; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 295, figs. 164a–f.

Material examined
SEM stubs n°78, 79, each with a fragments of the same bleached ovicellate colony.

Remarks
Only a single, large yet immature colony was recovered, which, based on zooidal and avicularian characters, nevertheless allows us to assign it to *Turbicellepora avicularis* (Hincks, 1860) as reported by Hayward and Ryland (1999) and Hayward and McKinney (2002).

*Turbicellepora cf. coronopus* (Wood, 1844)

**Fig. 42a-d**

cf. *Cellepora coronopus*: Wood 1844: 18.
cf. *Turbicellepora coronopus*: Hayward 1978: 575, figs. 2E, F, 4L, M, 5F, G, 13; Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 161, text-figs. 452–454; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 298, figs. 166a–d.

Material examined
SEM stubs n°20, 28, 31, each with a bleached ovicellate colony; two additional unbleached colonies.

Remarks
As the species is not well defined, it is difficult to unambiguously assign the present specimens to *Turbicellepora coronopus* Wood, 1844. The type of the nominal species is a Pliocene fossil from Great Britain, which has never been examined using SEM, while the alleged Recent records of the species are confined to the Mediterranean region (Hayward 1978). Lagaaïj (1952: 137, pl. 15, fig. 8) designated a lectotype and provided an optical image of it, from which details of the orifice and ovicell cannot be observed. At least one character given in the description, however, differs from Recent specimens assigned to *T. coronopus* the “normal”, oval, suboral avicularium is reported to be occasionally replaced by a small spatulate one in the type; these avicularia have not yet been recorded in Mediterranean specimens.

Moreover, the only Recent specimens that have been imaged before using SEM (Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014) apparently differ in having the suboral avicularium in the centre of the peristome (if single), i.e. directly proximal to the sinus, or on the lateral margin (if paired). In our specimens, as well as in the type of *T. coronopus*, they are always single (occasionally even absent) and usually slightly offset to the right or left from the proximal peristomial centre. We believe that, besides the lectotype, more material needs to be studied to determine what is to be regarded as intraspecific variability in this
species, and if the Mediterranean populations are indeed conspecific with *T. coronopus*.

Family Phidoloporidae Gabb & Horn, 1862
Genus *Reteporella* Busk, 1884

*Reteporella cf. couchii* (Hincks, 1878)

**Figure 44.** *Reteporella cf. couchii.* (a) Adult colony; (b) Frontal avicularia. (c) Orifice. (a) Avicularia. Scales: (a) 2 mm; (b, d) 200 µm; (c) 50 µm.

*Reteporella cf. harmeri* (Hass, 1948)

**Figure 44a-d**

Material examined
Pica coll: SEM stub n°76, bleached colony.

Remarks
While lacking ovicells, the single colony fragment found suggests it may be specifically distinct from *Reteporella couchii* (Hincks, 1878), which was originally described from Great Britain. Although the distinct peristome with its lateral flaps forming a median suture as well as the typical suboral avicularium, which is positioned on an erect cylindrical cystid, are present, both are distinctly shorter than in *R. couchii*. Whereas these differences may be related to secondary thickening of the frontal surface during ontogeny, the SEM images of additional specimens from the Mediterranean Sea provided by Zabala and Maluquer (1988) and Chimenz Gusso et al. (2014) show the same features. It may thus be possible that a sister species of *R. couchii* inhabits the Mediterranean Sea.

The species reported as *R. couchii* by Reverter-Gil et al. (2019) may represent yet another species as the frontal plane of the suboral avicularium is not facing distally but frontally, among other differences.

*Reteporella cf. harmeri* (Hass, 1948)
Material examined

Pica coll: SEM stub n°45, 75, 74, each with a bleached colony fragments.

Remarks

The only three specimens available, a fragment from close to the colony growth margin as well as two colony bases, are lacking ovicells and are difficult to assign to a species. The species comes closest to the drawings of specimens referred to *Reteporella harmeri* (Hass, 1948) by Zabala and Maluquer (1988). As in *Reteporella cf. couchii* above, the peristome is well-developed, forming two lateral flaps that merge in the centre, producing a long suture leading to a proximal labial pore. One of the flaps either develops a pointed umbo during early ontogeny or produces a large, elongate triangular and distally hooked avicularium that is facing rather distally and pointing in a frontal to lateral direction. Both the umbo and the avicularian cystid form a slightly protruding and relatively straight edge with three knobs along the proximal peristomial rim. The lateral orifice margins initially carry six spines, while these are lost during ontogeny and the bases gradually covered by secondary calcification. Two additional types of dimorphic avicularia are present and formed during later ontogeny: oblong avicularia on the frontal as well as elongate-triangular ones on the abfrontal surface. Both types are medium-sized, budded anywhere on the surface during ontogeny, and point in various directions. None of the crossbars in the three avicularium types bear a columella. The abfrontal surface is granular.
Whereas most of these characters are shared with the species figured by Zabala and Maluquer (1988), *R. harmeri* needs revision. As Hass’ types are apparently lost, a neotype needs to be selected, and the species redescribed and imaged using SEM.

Genus *Dentiporella* Barroso, 1926  
*Dentiporella sardonica* (Waters, 1879)  
**Fig. 45a-f**

*Cellepora sardonica* Waters, 1879b: 196, pl. 14, figs. 2, 5, 6.  
*Rhychozoon revelatus*: Hayward & McKinney 2002: 96, figs. 4 4A–D.

*Schizotheca fissa*: (a) Colony. (b) Zooids. (c) Orifice. (d) Avicularia. (e) Ancestrula. (f) Ancestrula with early astogenetic autozooids. Scales: (a) 500 µm; (b, d, f) 200 µm; (c) 20 µm; (c) 100 µm.

*Dentiporella sardonica*: Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 157, text-fig. 432, pl. 27A, B; Souto et al. 2010b: fig. 8.

**Material examined**

Pica coll: SEM stubs n°3, 17, 27, 42, 46, each with one bleached ovicellate colony; two additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**

The present specimens conform with the characters of the lectotype of *Dentiporella sardonica*, which was recently imaged by Souto et al. (2010b).
Genus *Schizotheca* Hincks, 1877

*Schizotheca fissa* Busk, 1856

Fig. 46a-f

*Lepralia fissa*: Busk 1856: 308, pl. 9, figs. 8–10. *Schizotheca fissa*: Zabala & Maluquer 1988: 150, text-fig. 392, pl. 23, fig. A; Hayward & Ryland 1999: 382, figs. 180D, 181; Reverter Gil & Fernández Pulpeiro 1998: 48, pl. 2, fig. C; Hayward & McKinney 2002: 98, figs. 4 4E–H; Reverter Gil & Fernández Pulpeiro 2007: 1935, fig. 3; Chimenz Gusso et al. 2014: 278, figs. 153a–e; Rosso et al. 2019, fig. 6i.

**Material examined**

Pica coll: SEM stubs n°4, 18, 25, each with one bleached colony; three additional unbleached colonies.

**Remarks**

The present specimens conform in all aspects with *Schizotheca fissa* (Busk, 1856), which is widespread in the Mediterranean Sea and NE Atlantic.

**Conclusive remarks**

The shell aggregations of *Neopycnodonte cochlear* collected in the mesophotic zone of the Apulian coast display a relatively large associated biodiversity. We identified 48 cheilostomatid bryozaan species, six of which are newly described in the present paper: *Crassimarginatella matildae* sp. nov., *Micropora biope-siula* sp. nov., *Haplopoma celeste* sp. nov., *Schizomavella* (*Schizomavella*) *cerrano* sp. nov., *Schizomavella* (*Calvetomavella*) *biancae* sp. nov., and *Schizoporella adelaide* sp. nov. This number is particularly remarkable when compared with the species richness of other mesophotic habitats from southern Apulia, which were recently presented by Giampaioletti et al. (2020) and Cardone et al. (2020). These authors reported 22 bryozaan species from the site of Monopoli (30–55 m depth) and 18 species from Otranto (45–64 m), both located in the southern Adriatic Sea, as well as 26 species from Santa Maria di Leuca (45–70 m), which is a little south of the locality Gallipoli (60 m) in the Ionian Sea studied herein. The number of species from Gallipoli is even slightly greater than the total number of the three localitites (47 spp.) studied until now (Table SI).

Giampaioletti et al. (2020) already noted a high rate of exclusivity among sites in terms of species composition, which is corroborated by our study as only 12 shared species were reported from Gallipoli, while 35 are restricted to this site and were not recorded by Giampaioletti et al. (2020). Thus, a total of 83 cheilostomatid species have been reported from the southern Apulian mesophotic habitats to date.

A comparison of the data needs to be done with caution, however, as Giampaioletti et al. (2020) did not provide images of the species, and the taxa were apparently identified by optical means only. Without SEM images it is difficult to judge whether morphologically closely related taxa were correctly identified by them. For instance, *Microporella marsupiata* (Busk, 1860) may be confused with *M. appendiculta*, and it cannot be ruled out that the colonies they assigned to *Schizoporella magnifica* belong to that species or to the very similar *S. adelaide* sp. nov. Moreover, the species Giampaioletti et al. (2020) reported as *C. crassimarginata* (Hincks, 1880) from Santa Maria di Leuca is likely synonymous with *C. matildae* sp. nov., and their *Schizomavella discoidea* (Busk, 1859), reported from all three stations, is probably identical with *S. (C.) biancae* sp. nov.

The differences in faunal composition between similar and geographically relatively proximate sites in the mesophotic zone, and particularly the presence of several new species discovered at Gallipoli, show once more that our knowledge of the bryozaan fauna in certain Mediterranean habitats, such as the outer shelf and upper slope, is still uncomplete and warrants further studies (Rosso & Di Martino 2016).
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