Magnesium and silicon in interstellar dust: X-ray overview
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ABSTRACT

Context. The dense Galactic environment is a large reservoir of interstellar dust. Therefore, this region represents a perfect laboratory to study the properties of cosmic dust grains. X-rays are the most direct way to detect the interaction of light with dust present in these dense environments.

Aims. We investigated the magnesium and the silicon K-edges detected in the Chandra/HETG spectra of eight bright X-ray binaries, distributed in the neighbourhood of the Galactic centre. We modelled the two spectral features using accurate extinction cross-sections of silicates, which we measured at the synchrotron facility Soleil, France.

Methods. We investigated the magnesium and the silicon K-edges detected in the Chandra/HETG spectra of eight bright X-ray binaries, distributed in the neighbourhood of the Galactic centre. We modelled the two spectral features using accurate extinction cross-sections of silicates, which we measured at the synchrotron facility Soleil, France.

Results. Near the Galactic centre, magnesium and silicon show abundances similar to the solar ones and they are highly depleted from the gas phase ($\delta_{\text{Mg}} > 0.90$ and $\delta_{\text{Si}} > 0.96$). We find that amorphous olivine with a composition of MgFeSiO$_4$ is the most representative compound along all lines of sight according to our fits. The contribution of Mg-rich silicates and quartz is low (less than 10%). On average we observe a percentage of crystalline dust equal to 11%. For the extragalactic source LMC X-1, we find a preference for forsterite, a magnesium-rich olivine. Along this line of sight we also observe an under-abundance of silicon $A_{\text{Si}}/A_{\text{MC}} = 0.5 \pm 0.2$.

Key words. astrochemistry – X-rays: binaries – X-rays: ISM – dust, extinction – ISM: abundances – X-rays: individuals: LMC X-1

1. Introduction

Over the last 20 yr, X-ray absorption spectroscopy has been demonstrated to be a successful tool to study the chemical and physical properties of interstellar dust. Pioneering works (e.g. Lee et al. 2002; Takei et al. 2003; Costantini et al. 2005) already detected dust signatures in the X-ray spectra taken with the high-resolution spectrometers aboard XMM-Newton and Chandra, at that time newly launched (both in 1999). These dust features generically known as X-ray absorption fine structures (XAFS, Newville 2004), appear as a modulation of the region beyond the energy of the photoelectric absorption edge. They are produced by the interaction between a photoelectron wave and all the other waves backscattered by neighbouring atoms in the solid lattice. X-ray absorption fine structures are sensitive to the composition and structure of the absorber and, therefore, they represent a unique probe for investigating the chemistry, crystallinity, and size distribution of the interstellar dust.

Most of the common metals included in the cosmic dust show a photoelectric edge in the X-ray band 0.2–8 keV. This allows us to investigate the nature of different dust species like silicates, carbonaceous material, sulphides, and oxides. Nowadays, accurate extinction cross-sections of several interstellar dust analogues are available. It is possible to characterise the XAFS for multiple photoelectric K-shells: in particular the K edges of carbon (Bilalbegović et al. 2018; Costantini et al. 2019), oxygen (Costantini et al. 2012; Psaradaki et al.; in prep.), magnesium (Rogantini et al. 2019), silicon (Zeegers et al. 2017, 2019), iron (Lee & Ravel 2005; Rogantini et al. 2018), aluminium, sulfur, and other low abundant elements (Costantini et al. 2019), plus the L-edges of iron (Lee et al. 2009; Westphal et al. 2019). The extinction cross-sections are calculated from the optical constants specific to the material. These are mainly measured using synchrotron radiation or through electron energy loss spectroscopy (e.g. with an electron microscope, Egeron 2009). An alternative is to use the density functional theory (DFT, Jones 2015), a computational quantum mechanical modelling that allows the prediction and calculation of material behaviour based on the relative electron density.

The spectra of bright low-mass X-ray binaries, lying in the plane of our Galaxy, are perfect laboratories to investigate the fine structures of dust. Indeed, relative large column densities guarantee an optimal optical depth of the edge and high fluxes are necessary to ensure a sufficient signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) to distinguish the dust features. Zeegers et al. (2019) analysed the line of sight of nine bright X-ray sources nearby the Galactic centre. They found that most of the spectra can be well fit by amorphous olivine (MgFeSiO$_4$). Nonetheless, interstellar silicates are expected to exist in various forms in the interstellar medium. For example, Kemper et al. (2004), Chiar & Tielens (2006), and Min et al. (2007) compared laboratory spectra with mid-infrared observations and found that a mixture of olivine and pyroxene dust models fit the $\sim$9 $\mu$m feature.

The broad, smooth, and featureless infrared bands around 9.7 and 18 $\mu$m also suggest that most of the interstellar silicates
are amorphous (Li & Draine 2001; Li et al. 2007; Molster et al. 2010). From a direct comparison of the Sgr A* spectrum with theoretical spectra for pure silicates, Kemper et al. (2004) found that only less than 2.2% of the dust probably has a crystalline order. Li et al. (2007) concluded that the allowed degree of crystallinity would be ϵ≈5% considering the effect of the ice mantle coating the silicate cores on the determination of the crystallinity degree of silicates. Recent X-ray observations found a higher amount of crystalline dust, between 3 and 20%, in the region near the centre of the Galaxy (Rogantini et al. 2019; Zeegers et al. 2019). Moreover, crystalline dust has been observed in a variety of environments, from proplanetary discs (Honda et al. 2003; Natta et al. 2007) to a diffuse interstellar medium (Westphal et al. 2014). The precise amount of crystalline dust and its survival in the interstellar medium are still widely debated in the scientific community.

X-ray absorption spectroscopy provides the possibility to study the composition of the dust grains in different environments (Lee et al. 2002; Draine 2003; Ueda et al. 2005; Valencic et al. 2009; Costantini et al. 2012; Pinto et al. 2013; Corrales et al. 2016; Hoffman & Draine 2016; Schulz et al. 2016; Zeegers et al. 2019). Dust grains undergo a cyclic process of production, growth, and destruction, which may change their properties. Dust particles mainly condense in the vicinity of late-type stars, in nova and supernova ejecta. In harsh and turbulent regions, the grains are exposed to radiation, which may reprocess and destroy them. Only refractory cores would survive in these environments (Whittet 2002). In contrast, in the dark and cold molecular clouds of the Galaxy, grains are shielded from radiation. Consequently, we expect to observe larger grains in these environments with a more complex structure. In these low temperature environments ices may accrete onto these pre-existing refractory cores. The ice mantle is the chemical laboratory for the production of more elaborate molecules. Due to their high penetrating power, X-rays can be used to probe the dust grain properties in Galactic regions characterised by different densities.

From studying the metallicity of B-type stars, Cepheids, and open clusters, the abundances of the common elements show, in general, a gradient as a function of the distance from the Galactic centre (Rolleston et al. 2000; Pedicelli et al. 2009; Genovali et al. 2014). These variations would be a consequence of the successive generations of stars having enriched the interstellar matter. Thus, abundance investigation can provide a key to understanding the formation and chemical evolution of galaxies. However, only a few measurements are available within 5 kpc of the Galactic centre (Rich et al. 2017; Schultheis et al. 2019). With X-rays, we can investigate directly the abundances and depletions of several metals in the inner part of the Galaxy and therefore extend the characterisation of the metallicity gradient observed in the Galactic plane.

In this study, we focus on the magnesium and silicon K-edges (located at 1.3 and 1.84 keV, respectively) through which we study the denser region in the central part of the Galaxy. Currently, the Chandra High-Energy Transmission Grating Spectrometer (HETGS) represents the best instrument for studying simultaneously the two absorption K-edges thanks to the high effective area and energy resolution of its gratings, MEG and HEG, in the 1–2 keV energy range.

Magnesium and silicon are two abundant metals in the interstellar matter: respectively, they are the eighth and ninth most abundant element in the Universe with log A(Mg) = 7.599 and log A(Si) = 7.586 (Lodders 2010). Magnesium is mostly the product of carbon and neon burning in core-collapse supernovae, whereas silicon is produced by oxygen shell burning (Arnett & Thielemann 1985; Thielemann & Arnett 1985). In the interstellar matter, they are both highly depleted from the gas phase: in dense environments, more than 90% of their mass is thought to have been locked in dust particles (Jenkins 2009; Palme et al. 2014; Zhukowska et al. 2018). The depletion of magnesium and silicon is adequately explained by the formation of a mixture of both iron-rich and iron-poor silicates (Jones & Williams 1987; Jones 2000; Kimura et al. 2003; Mattsson et al. 2019). Other silicon- and magnesium-bearing species, such as silicon carbide (SiC), spinel (MgAl2O4), gehlenite (Al2Ca2Si2O8), and diopside (MgCa(SiO3)2) are too rare to make a significant contribution (Jones 2007). Thus, magnesium and silicon share the same depletion trend as a function of the environment.

In our pilot work we showed the analysis of Mg and Si K-edges of the bright X-ray binary GX 3+1 (Rogantini et al. 2019, henceforth, Paper I). Here, we expand the number of sources to characterise the cosmic dust properties and quantify the abundances and depletion of silicon and magnesium in the dense neighbourhood of the Galactic centre. The source sample is presented in Sect. 2, together with the data reduction and analysis of the Chandra observations. The simultaneous fit of the Si and Mg absorption K-edges with both gas and dust models is described in Sect. 3. We discuss the results and the properties of the interstellar dust in Sect. 4. Finally, in Sect. 5, we provide our conclusions and a summary of our results. Throughout the paper, for the fitting process we use C-statistics (Cash 1979; Kaastra 2017) unless otherwise stated. The errors quoted are for the 68% confidence level. In the analysis, we adopt the protosolar abundances tabulated by Lodders (2010).

2. Source sample and analysis

2.1. Source sample selection

We selected our sources from the Chandra Data Archive following a criterion to optimise the detections of the two edges. The primary selection was based on the hydrogen column density (N_H). The column density towards the source was closely related to the optical depths of the edges. The range 0.5–5 × 10^{22} cm^{-2} allows an adequate level of X-ray transmittance for our analysis. Second, we selected the sources with a flux larger than 1 × 10^{-11} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} in the soft X-ray energy band 0.5–2 keV and enough exposure time, in order to ensure a high signal-to-noise ratio to observe the fine structures of the edges.

In this study, we used bright low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs). They obey the two conditions above and their spectrum, generally, does not host emission lines in the soft energy band. Moreover, we verify that the sources in our sample are persistently bright, in order to make the best use of the satellite exposure times.

Finally, we selected only the observations taken in timed exposure (TE) mode. The continuous clocking (CC) mode is not suitable for analysing the magnesium and silicon K-edge. Absorbed spectra taken in CC mode are affected by the

---

1. The abundances are often given in logarithmic scale relative to N_H = 10^{18}. For element X, log A_X = 12 + log(N_X/N_H).

2. We define depletion as the ratio of the dust abundance to the total abundance of a given element, both gas and dust.

3. See http://cxc.harvard.edu/cda/
contribution of the two-dimensional scattering halo around the source collapse in a one-dimensional image. Its contribution is hard to disentangle from the dispersed spectrum, particularly in the absorption edge regions (we refer to the Chandra Proposers’ Observatory Guide⁴, version 21.0). In total, we selected seven Galactic X-ray binaries plus the brightest X-ray source in the Large Magellanic Cloud, LMC X-1. These sources are summarised in Table 1 where we indicate the obsID, exposure time, and average count rate for each observation, plus the Galactic coordinates and distance of the sources, as reported in the literature.

2.2. Data reduction

We obtained the observations for our analysis from the Chandra Transmission Gratings Catalog and Archive (TGCA⁵, Huenemoerder et al. 2011). For each observation, we selected both the HEG and MEG spectra and we combined separately the positive and negative first orders using the tool combine_grating_spectra included in Chandra’s data analysis system CIAO (version 4.11, Fruscione et al. 2006). For the brightest sources, the grating spectra taken in TE mode are affected by photon pile-up. The bulk of pile-up events comes from the MEG first orders and affects, in particular, the harder part of the spectra. Both magnesium and silicon edge regions are relatively less affected, as in these regions the spectrum is depressed due to the interstellar medium column density (see also Appendix A).

All spectra show an apparent one-resolution-element-bin-wide excess at 6.741 Å. This excess has also been previously observed in other sources, with different interpretations: an emission line from Si XIII (e.g. Iaria et al. 2005), dust scattering peak (Schulz et al. 2016; Paper I), or instrumental effect (Miller et al. 2002, 2005). Here, we adopt the latter interpretation (justified in Appendix C). Therefore, we added a delta line model centred at 6.741 ± 0.001 Å to the continuum. This value has been estimated using the spectrum of three bright and well-known low-mass X-ray binaries, namely GX 9+9, Cyg X-2, and 4U 1820-30, plus the blazar Mrk 421 (see Appendix C).

2.3. Continuum and absorption

In order to study the dust absorption, first we characterise the broadband spectrum and then we focus on the fit of the magnesium and silicon K-edges with our dust extinction models. To fit the underlying continuum of each source we used the spectral analysis code SPEX⁶ version 3.05 (Kastra et al. 1996, 2018). We used a two-component spectral model consisting of a thermal component in the soft end and non-thermal component in the hard end of the spectral bandpass. In order to obtain the best fit of the continuum we tested different combinations of several SPEX emission models: blackbody (bb, Kirchoff & Bunsen 1860), disc blackbody (dbb, Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Mitsuda et al. 1984), modified blackbody (mrb, Rybicki et al. 1986; Kastra & Barr 1989) as thermal models and power law (pol), and Comptonisation (comt, Titarchuk 1994) as non-thermal models.

The absorption by cold gas is given by the multiplicative model hot (de Plaa et al. 2004; Steenbrugge et al. 2005) fixing the electron temperature at the lower limit of $kT_e = 0.5$ eV. By

### Table 1. X-ray binaries.

| Obsid  | Date       | Exp. Rate | Galactic coordinates | Distance |
|--------|------------|-----------|----------------------|----------|
|        | (UT)       | (ks)      | (deg)                | (deg)    |
|        |            | (c/s)     |                      | (kpc)    |
| GRS 1758-258 |              |           |                      |          |
| 2429   | 2001-03-24  | 29.6      | 17.2                 | −1.361   | 8 (a)   |
| 2750   | 2002-03-18  | 27.5      | 30.3                 |          |         |
| GRS 1915+105 |            |           |                      |          |
| 660    | 2000-04-24  | 30.6      | 127.2                | +0.219   | 8.6 ± 2.0(b) |
| 7485   | 2007-08-14  | 48.6      | 150.3                |          |         |
| GX 3+1 |            |           |                      |          |
| 16492  | 2014-08-17  | 43.6      | 100.4                |          |         |
| 16307  | 2014-08-22  | 43.6      | 102.2                |          |         |
| 18615  | 2016-10-27  | 12.2      | 67.6                 |          |         |
| 19890  | 2017-05-23  | 29.1      | 86.2                 | 2.294    | +0.794  | 6.1(c) |
| 19907  | 2016-11-02  | 26.0      | 70.0                 |          |         |
| 19957  | 2017-04-30  | 29.1      | 93.1                 |          |         |
| 19958  | 2017-05-21  | 29.1      | 86.4                 |          |         |
| GX 9+1 |            |           |                      |          |
| 717    | 2000-07-18  | 9.0       | 165.2                | 9.077    | +1.154  | 5(e)   |
| GX 17+2 |            |           |                      |          |
| 11088  | 2010-07-25  | 29.1      | 176.5                | 16.432   | +1.277  | 9.1 ± 0.5(e) |
| H 1742-322 |        |           |                      |          |
| 16738  | 2015-06-11  | 9.2       | 16.8                 |          |         |
| 17679  | 2015-06-12  | 9.2       | 17.4                 |          |         |
| 17680  | 2015-06-13  | 9.2       | 18.0                 | 357.255  | −1.833  | 8.5(f) |
| 16739  | 2015-07-03  | 26.8      | 10.7                 |          |         |
| IGR J17091-3624 |        |           |                      |          |
| 12406  | 2011-10-06  | 27.3      | 46.8                 |          |         |
| 17787  | 2016-03-30  | 39.5      | 29.9                 | 349.525  | +2.213  | 12(g)  |
| 17788  | 2016-04-30  | 38.8      | 28.5                 |          |         |
| LMC X-1 |            |           |                      |          |
| 93     | 2000-01-16  | 18.9      | 26.5                 |          |         |
| 11074  | 2010-01-02  | 17.2      | 24.2                 |          |         |
| 11986  | 2010-01-07  | 8.2       | 25.5                 |          |         |
| 11987  | 2010-01-18  | 18.6      | 22.3                 |          |         |
| 12068  | 2010-01-04  | 13.1      | 23.5                 |          |         |
| 12071  | 2010-01-09  | 4.3       | 25.7                 | 280.203  | −31.516 | 48(b)  |
| 12069  | 2010-01-08  | 18.1      | 26.0                 |          |         |
| 12070  | 2010-01-10  | 17.4      | 25.7                 |          |         |
| 12089  | 2010-01-21  | 14.7      | 23.3                 |          |         |
| 12090  | 2010-02-26  | 14.0      | 23.4                 |          |         |
| 12072  | 2010-01-05  | 18.1      | 23.9                 |          |         |

References. (a) Soria et al. (2011): assumed distance; (b) Reid et al. (2014); (c) den Hartog et al. (2003); (d) Iaria et al. (2005); (e) Galloway et al. (2008); (f) Steiner et al. (2012); (g) Court et al. (2017): assumed distance; (h) Oroz et al. (2009).

default, SPEX adopts protosolar abundances for the gas phase (Lodders 2010). For LMC X-1, we apply the typical element abundances found in the Large Magellanic Cloud, listed with the relative references in Table A.2.

In this study we update the neutral magnesium and silicon cross-sections with respect to the official release of SPEX,

⁴ http://cxc.harvard.edu/proposer/POG/pdf/MPOG.pdf
⁵ See http://tgcat.mit.edu/
⁶ 10.5281/zenodo.1924563
adding the resonance transitions, $1s \rightarrow np$, calculated using both the Flexible Atomic Code (Gu 2008) and COWAN code (Cowan 1995), respectively. We present them in Appendix B.

For sources with multiple data sets, we simultaneously fitted the continuum of the different observations by coupling the absorption by neutral gas in the interstellar matter that we assume to be constant. In Table A.1 we summarise the parameter values of the best model for each source.

Also, we tested whether there is ionised gas along the line of sight towards the sources. In particular, we investigated the presence of collisionally ionised gas, by adding to the model an extra hot component, and photo-ionised gas, by applying the xabs model (Steenbrugge et al. 2003) to the continuum. For an accurate modelling, it is essential to distinguish absorption lines due to neutral gas or cosmic dust. We find the presence of photo-ionised gas in outflow in GRS 1915+105 with an outflow velocity of $v \sim 145 \pm 9$ km s$^{-1}$ and logarithmic ionisation parameter $\log \xi = 3.72 \pm 0.02$ and $N_H = (5.9 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. These values are consistent, within the uncertainties, with the results obtained by Ueda et al. (2009). Along the line of the sight of the remaining sources, we do not find significant evidence of either photo-ionised and collisional-ionised gas.

### 3. Magnesium and silicon edge models

In Paper I, we show how the simultaneous fit of multiple edges of different elements allows us to better constrain, with respect to single-edge modelling, the chemical properties and size of the interstellar grains, limiting the possible degeneracies of the fit. These edges are the result of absorption by cold gas together with the interstellar dust present along a relatively dense line of sight. In the case of magnesium and silicon, we expect a large contribution from cosmic dust since a large fraction of these elements are included in dust grains. Whereas the gaseous contribution is already modelled by the hot component, it is necessary to add the AMOL model to the broadband model (Pinto et al. 2010) to shape the cosmic dust scattering and absorption. In order to evaluate the dust-to-gas ratio, we set these two models free to compete for the fitting of the edges.

In our analysis, we use dust extinction models based on accurate laboratory measurements. These models include both the scattering and absorption cross-sections and we summarise them in Table 2, where we specify their chemical formula and crystallinity. The laboratory measurements and post-processing are explained in Zeegers et al. (2017, 2019) and Paper I. Here, we assume the Mathis-Rumpl-Nordsieck (MRN, Mathis et al. 1977) dust grain size distribution, which follows a power-law distribution, $dn/da \propto a^{-3.5}$, where $a$ is the grain size, with minimum and maximum cut-offs of 0.005 and 0.25 $\mu$m, respectively.

The AMOL model allows for four dust compounds to be tested in a given fitting run. Thus, we tested all the possible combinations of the 14 dust models following the method of Costantini et al. (2012) and obtaining 1001 different models to fit for each source.

We selected the dust mixture that presents the minimum C-statistic value among all the models as the best fit. In Fig. 1, for all the sources we show the Chandra data and their best fit around the magnesium and silicon edges and residuals to the best fit. The dust mixtures that characterise the best fits are listed in Table 3 with the relative column densities. Following the procedure presented in Paper I, we selected the models that were statistically similar to the best fit through the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC; Akaike 1974, 1998). In particular, the $\Delta$AIC$_i$, namely the difference between the $i$-model and the selected best model, allows a meaningful comparison and ranking of the candidate’s models.

Based on the criteria presented in Burnham & Anderson (2002), we consider the $i$-models with $\Delta$AIC$_i < 4$ to be statistically comparable to the selected best model. Instead, models with a $\Delta$AIC > 10 can be omitted from further consideration. The overall analysis of the selected models permits us to understand and define the characteristics of the most representative dust compounds and at the same time to rule out the dust species that fail in describing the magnesium and silicon edges.

In Fig. 2, we show the relative fractions of the dust compounds. For clarity, we cluster the compounds with a similar crystallinity and structure. In particular, compounds number (1, 2, and 4) are grouped as crystalline olivine (c-olivine); compound number 3 as amorphous olivine (a-olivine); (6, 8, and 11) as c-pyroxene; (5, 7, 9, and 10) as a-pyroxene; (12 and 13) as c-quartz; and (13 and 14) as a-quartz. In the bar chart, we show the models with $\Delta$AIC < 4 together with the models with $\Delta$AIC < 10 which include fits with has a less significance compared to the best fit.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Mineralogy of the dust towards the Galactic centre

The properties of the cosmic dust derived through the fits of the eight X-ray binaries are listed in Table 3. The analysis of the samples shows a clear preference for amorphous olivine (compound number 4) in the best fit and all the fits with $\Delta$AIC < 4. For every source, amorphous olivine represents more than 60% of the total amount of the dust reaching 98% of the total for GRS 1758-258. In the bottom part of Table 3 we report the quantities $\xi_{\text{cryst}}$, $\xi_{\text{amol}}$, and $\xi_{\text{Fe}}$, which, respectively, give information about the crystallinity, structure, and chemistry of the cosmic dust. Following

| # | Name     | Chemical formula | Form         |
|---|----------|------------------|--------------|
| 1 | Forsterite | Mg$_2$SiO$_4$ | Crystalline  |
| 2 | Fayalite  | Fe$_2$SiO$_4$ | Crystalline  |
| 3 | Olivine   | Mg$_2$FeSiO$_4$ | Amorphous   |
| 4 | Olivine   | Mg$_{1.5}$Fe$_{0.4}$Si$_{0.9}$O$_4$ | Crystalline |
| 5 | Enstatite | Mg$_3$Si$_2$O$_4$ | Amorphous   |
| 6 | Enstatite | Mg$_3$Si$_2$O$_4$ | Crystalline |
| 7 | EnF0Fs40  | Mg$_0.6$Fe$_{0.4}$Si$_{0.3}$O$_3$ | Amorphous   |
| 8 | EnF0Fs40  | Mg$_0.6$Fe$_{0.4}$Si$_{0.3}$O$_3$ | Crystalline |
| 9 | EnF0Fs25  | Mg$_0.75$Fe$_{0.25}$Si$_{0.3}$O$_3$ | Amorphous   |
| 10| En90Fs10  | Mg$_{0.9}$Fe$_{0.1}$Si$_{0.3}$O$_3$ | Amorphous   |
| 11| En90Fs90  | Mg$_{0.9}$Fe$_{0.1}$Si$_{0.3}$O$_3$ | Crystalline |
| 12| Quartz    | SiO$_2$         | Crystalline  |
| 13| Quartz    | SiO$_2$         | Amorphous    |
| 14| Quartz    | SiO$_2$         | Amorphous    |

Notes. The nomenclature $\text{En}(x):\text{Fs}(1-x)$ indicates the fraction of magnesium (or iron) included in the compound. “En” stands for enstatite (the Mg-pure pyroxene, Mg$_3$Si$_2$O$_4$) and “Fs” for ferrosilite (the Fe-pure pyroxene, Fe$_2$SiO$_4$). The two amorphous quartz present two different levels of glassiness: compound number 13 has an intermediate amorphous form whereas compound 14 has a full amorphous structure.
Fig. 1. Zoom-in on the magnesium (in the left column) and silicon K-edge (in the right column). The HEG and MEG data are respectively shown in light and dark grey. The solid red line represents the best fit whose dust composition is specified in Table 3. Bottom panels: residuals defined as (data − model)/error. The data are stacked and binned for display purposes. For GRS 1915+105 we only display observation ID 7485, which also shows photoionisation lines (see Appendix A for further details).
Fig. 1. continued.
Table 3. Best fitting dust compounds for each source and their derived properties.

| Dust compound | GRS1758-258 | GRS1915+105 | GX 3+1 | GX 9+1 | GX 17+2 | H1743-322 | IGRJ17091-3624 | LMC X-1 |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|--------|--------|---------|------------|----------------|--------|
| Dust column densities (10^{21} cm^{-2}) | | | | | | | | |
| (1) c-Forsterite | – | – | – | 0.6 ± 0.3 | – | – | – | 0.45 ± 0.08 |
| (2) c-Fayalite | – | 6 ± 1 | – | <0.3 | <0.8 | 2 ± 1 | – | – |
| (3) a-Olivine | 7.7 ± 0.4 | 15 ± 1 | 7.0 ± 0.1 | 6 ± 2 | 7.8 ± 0.7 | 8 ± 2 | 4.1 ± 0.1 | 0.33 ± 0.09 |
| (4) c-Olivine | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | <0.23 |
| (5) a-Enstatite | – | – | – | <2.9 | – | – | – | – |
| (6) c-Enstatite | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | <0.05 |
| (7) a-En60Fs40 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| (8) c-En60Fs40 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| (9) a-En75Fs25 | <0.9 | <0.2 | – | – | <0.6 | – | <0.4 | – |
| (10) a-En90Fs10 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| (11) c-En90Fs10 | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| (12) c-Quartz | 0.6 ± 0.4 | – | – | <0.08 | <0.4 | <0.7 | <0.09 | – |
| (13) a-Quartz | – | 1.4 ± 0.2 | – | – | <0.1 | 0.4 ± 0.3 | – | – |
| (14) a-Quartz | <0.3 | <0.1 | <0.06 | – | – | – | – | – |
| Total | 8.2^{+2}_{-1} | 21 ± 2 | 8.4 ± 0.3 | 6^{+2}_{-1} | 8^{+2}_{-1} | 10 ± 3 | 4.5^{+0.8}_{-0.4} | 0.8^{+0.4}_{-0.2} |

Notes. In the upper part of the table we report the column density for each dust species (see Table 2) and we calculate the total dust column density. In the bottom part we show the value of $\zeta_{\text{cryst}}$, $\zeta_{\text{oliv}}$, and $\zeta_{\text{Mg}}$ as defined in the text. Errors given on parameters are 1σ errors.

the notation used by Zeegers et al. (2019), they are defined as:

$$\zeta_{\text{cryst}} = \frac{\text{crystalline dust}}{\text{crystalline dust + amorphous dust}},$$

$$\zeta_{\text{oliv}} = \frac{\text{olivine}}{\text{olivine + pyroxene}},$$

$$\zeta_{\text{Mg}} = \frac{\text{Mg dust}}{\text{Mg dust + Fe dust}},$$

where with olivine we indicate the sum of compounds number 1–4 of Table 2, whereas pyroxene represents compounds 5–11. The parameters Mg dust and Fe dust indicate, respectively, the magnesium and iron content of interstellar dust.

The quantities $\zeta_{\text{cryst}}$, $\zeta_{\text{oliv}}$, and $\zeta_{\text{Mg}}$ together with the chemical composition represent the dust properties accessible by X-ray absorption spectroscopy and we discuss them separately below. The extragalactic source LMC X-1 will be discussed in Sect. 4.4.

4.1.1. Crystallinity

In our analysis the best fits show a crystallinity $\zeta_{\text{cryst}}$ between 0 and 0.3. Selecting the fits of X-ray sources with higher quality data, GX 3+1, GX 9+1, and GX 17+2, and considering the uncertainties on the values, we observe crystallinity below 0.2. In particular for GX 3+1 we obtain a crystallinity upper limit of 0.01. The other sources in the analysis show similar results, although for some of them the $\zeta_{\text{cryst}}$ value is affected by large uncertainties because of the quality of the data. The microquasar GRS 1915+105 is a possible outlier, showing the largest amount of crystalline dust with $\zeta_{\text{cryst}} = 0.27 \pm 0.05$.

Even considering the uncertainties on the parameters $\zeta_{\text{cryst}}$, the average crystallinity fraction, $<\zeta_{\text{cryst}}>$ ~ 0.11, is larger than the ones observed at longer wavelengths, in particular in the infrared. The smooth shape of the ~9 μm and ~18 μm absorption features suggests that less than 2.2% of the dust in the interstellar medium appears to be crystalline (Kemper et al. 2004). Our model set contains both the crystalline and amorphous counterpart of all the compounds except for two of them (see Table 2).

This may introduce some bias on our estimation of the amount of crystallinity along the line of sight of the X-ray binaries. In particular, this seems the case for GRS 1915+105 where the crystalline percentage is driven by the fayalite, for which the amorphous cross-section is not available (see also Zeegers et al. 2017, and Paper I).

However, if we are observing a real overabundance of crystalline grains, this apparent discrepancy with the infrared results might be attributed to the presence of poly-mineralic silicates, which are expected to be agglomerated particles, possibly containing both glassy and crystalline constituents (Marra et al. 2011; Speck et al. 2011). In this case, because X-rays are sensitive to a short range order (Mastelaro & Zanotto 2018), XAFS would show crystalline features, whereas there might not be sharp crystalline features in the infrared spectrum (see Zeegers et al. 2019; Paper I and reference therein). Infrared vibrational spectroscopy is indeed sensitive to a long-range order of the particles, which is missing for poly-mineralic grains (Oberti et al. 2007).

Although infrared and X-ray observations sample different lines of sight, they investigate the common dense medium towards the Galactic centre, with comparable extinction values (e.g. Kemper et al. 2004; Li et al. 2007; Min et al. 2007). Future dedicated studies will be necessary to reduce the uncertainties on the percentage of crystalline dust retrieved through infrared and X-ray spectroscopy.

4.1.2. Olivine, pyroxene, and quartz

The dust observed along the line of sight of our sources shows overall an olivine structure characterised by the anion [SiO$_4$]$^{-4}$. Indeed, we find values of $\zeta_{\text{oliv}}$ very close to unity (meaning that the dust is olivine-dominated) except for GX 9+1, for which we find a lower limit of 0.7 due to the large uncertainties on the
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Fig. 2. Relative fraction for the different dust species calculated considering models with \( \Delta AIC < 4 \) in light blue and \( \Delta AIC < 10 \) in dark grey. We group the compounds by their structure and crystallinity (see Sect. 3 for details). We use the abbreviations \( c \) – for crystalline and \( a \) – for amorphous.

To illustrate this, in Fig. 3 we display the importance of the olivine presence in the dust composition. The Mg and Si K-edges are shown in transmission\(^8\), and together with the best fit (red solid line) we also plot the transmittance of each dust compounds (solid lines) and the transmittance of magnesium and silicon in gaseous form (dashed lines). In Fig. 4 we show an unacceptable fit obtained using a model that does not include any compound with an olivinic-configuration. Large residuals in both edges characterise the fit of dust mixtures without olivine.

\(^8\) Here, we consider the transmission for magnesium and silicon in both dust and gas. Thus, the observed counts are divided by the underlying continuum together with the cold absorption, except the two elements of interest.

Our results are consistent with Zeegers et al. (2019); they also observe a large fraction of olivine dust along the lines of sight of their sample of X-ray binaries near the Galactic centre. In the infrared, the broad silicate features are typically modelled with a mixture of olivines and pyroxenes (Molster et al. 2002; Chiar & Tielens 2006; Henning 2010). For example, Kemper et al. (2004) found that cosmic silicates are composed of \( \sim 85\% \) olivine and \( \sim 15\% \) pyroxene, values similar to our observations.

In contrast, Fogerty et al. (2016) studying the line of sight of heavily reddened stars observed with \textit{Spitzer} found the presence of polivene, an amorphous silicate with intermediate stoichiometry (\( \text{Mg}_{1.5}\text{SiO}_{3.5} \), Jäger et al. 2003). This compound is not present in our sample set and it is not available in the literature. Ab initio methods (Ramachandran et al. 2008) do not provide enough accurate XAFS profiles suitable for the purpose of our analysis.
Fig. 3. Zoom-in on the magnesium (in the left column) and silicon K-edge (in the right column) for GX 3+1. The HEG and MEG data are respectively shown in light and dark grey. We show the best fit obtained in the analysis together with contribution of each dust compound listed in the legend. The light-blue dashed line represents the absorption by magnesium and silicon in the gas phase. The dust mixture is dominated by the amorphous olivine (see also Table 3).

Fig. 4. Zoom-in on the magnesium (in the top panel) and silicon K-edge (in the bottom panel) for GX 3+1. The HEG and MEG data are respectively shown in light and dark grey. We show the fit of a dust mixture without any olivinic compounds. In particular the dust counterpart consists of c-enstatite (~35%), c-En60Fs40 (~15%), a-quartz (~50%), and e-quartz (<1%). We note the large residuals (up to ~15σ) in both edges.

We plan to include the XAFS cross-section of compounds with pyrosilicate anion (Si$_2$O$_5^-$) in future X-ray studies.

Finally, the overall contribution of quartz in the fits of the sources is modest. Even in the fits with ΔAIC < 10, its fraction is always less than 10%. This is consistent with the infrared spectroscopy results, where a strong absorption band by quartz particles in the interstellar medium has never been observed (Sargent et al. 2009).

4.2. Silicate cations

Analysing the silicate features in the infrared spectrum of an evolved star, Kemper et al. (2002) found that ~80% of the silicate is characterised by a comparable amount of magnesium and iron cations and less than 10% is represented by magnesium-pure pyroxene (Mg$_2$SiO$_4$) and olivine (Mg$_2$SiO$_4$). Similar results were found by Kemper et al. (2004), where they concluded that the cation composition of the dust in the interstellar medium is most likely ζMg ∼ 0.5–0.6, where ζMg expresses the magnesium percentage overall cations (Eq. (1)). This is in agreement with our results, where we find ζMg ∼ 0.5 (Table 3). Only two sources, H 1743-322 and GRS 1915+105, diverge from the average trend showing a preference for iron-rich olivine, namely fayalite. Whereas for the former source ζMg is affected by large uncertainties because of the quality of the data, for the latter it shows a significant deviation from the average value found in the other sources (Fig. 2).

The large amount of olivine observed along the line of sight of our X-ray binaries has important implications for the production and growth of interstellar dust in the Galaxy. Magnesium, iron, and silicon are indeed present in olivine with the stoichiometric ratio 1:1:1. These elements share very similar abundance values and they are equally highly depleted in dust in the diffuse and cold interstellar medium (Whittet 2002). However, in the interstellar medium iron presents some important differences with respect to the other two elements. For example, it
4.3. Abundances and depletions

In Table 4, we report both the depletion and abundance values of magnesium and silicon that we observed along the lines of sight of our X-ray binaries. We find that both elements are significantly depleted from the gas phase of the interstellar medium near the Galactic centre. Silicon, in particular, shows depletion values consistently higher than 0.95. Since the signal-to-noise ratio in the magnesium edge is usually lower than the silicon region, the uncertainties on the estimates are consequently larger, and we often find lower limits. These depletion values are consistent with Jenkins (2009) and De Cia et al. (2016).

Absolute abundances, calculated by summing the gas and the dust contribution, show that in the environment near the Galactic centre, sampled by these sources, values are consistent with solar.

The abundances and depletion do not show any trend with the hydrogen column density. This is not surprising as our sources show a limited interval of line-of-sight column densities, ranging from $(1 - 5) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, which can extend to $(1 - 10) \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ for Si considering the sources studied by Zeegers et al. (2019). Moreover, our sources are all located within 5 kpc from the Galactic centre. In this view, our results on the abundances are in agreement with the results obtained by previous works (Davies et al. 2009; Genovali et al. 2015; Martin et al. 2015), which advance the idea that the abundance gradient of magnesium and silicon flattens close to solar abundances in the inner part of the Galaxy.

4.4. LMC X-1

The only extragalactic source in our sample is LMC X-1. It is the brightest X-ray source in the Large Magellanic Cloud, located at a distance of 48 kpc. Despite the long exposure time (~160 ks, see Table 1), the flux is not high enough to guarantee an optimal signal-to-noise ratio. Therefore, the best values of the fits are affected by larger uncertainties compared to the fits of the spectra of the Galactic sources.

Less than 12% of the hydrogen column density towards the Large Magellanic Cloud, $4 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Kalberla et al. 2005), is also heavily depleted in the warm neutral medium, thus following a distinct depletion behaviour (Jenkins 2009). Moreover, whereas magnesium and silicon condense onto silicate grains in the envelope of asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars and in the expanding core-collapse supernovae ejecta (Höfner & Olofsson 2018; DwRK et al. 2019) iron is mainly produced in Type Ia supernovae, whereas magnesium and silicon condense onto silicate grains in the envelope of asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars and in the expanding core-collapse supernovae ejecta (Höfner & Olofsson 2018; Dwek et al. 2019). This will be crucial to disentangle the role of iron in the ISM.

Table 4. Abundances and depletions.

| Source      | $N_X^{\text{tot}}$ (10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $\delta X$ | $A_X$ (10$^{-25}$ H$^{-1}$) | $A_X^{\text{dust}}$ (10$^{-25}$ H$^{-1}$) | $A_X/A_\odot$ |
|-------------|----------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Magnesium   |                                        |            |                               |                                           |               |
| GRS 1758-258| 7.7 ± 0.5                              | >0.97      | 3.6 ± 0.3                     | 3.6 ± 0.3                                 | 0.9 ± 0.1     |
| GRS 1915+105| 20 ± 3                                 | 0.8 ± 0.1  | 4.0 ± 0.5                     | 3.0 ± 0.2                                 | 1.0 ± 0.1     |
| GX 17+2     | 9.4 ± 2.2                              | >0.97      | 4.3 ± 0.9                     | 4.3 ± 0.9                                 | 1.0 ± 0.3     |
| GX 3+1      | 7.0 ± 0.1                              | >0.99      | 3.7 ± 0.1                     | 3.7 ± 0.1                                 | 0.9 ± 0.1     |
| GX 9+1      | 8.5 ± 3.5                              | >0.90      | 5 ± 2                         | 5 ± 2                                     | 1.3 ± 0.5     |
| H1743-322   | 9.6 ± 2.8                              | >0.74      | 4 ± 1                         | 3.0 ± 0.7                                 | 0.9 ± 0.3     |
| IGR J17091-3624 | 4.4 ± 0.2                     | >0.96      | 3.6 ± 0.2                     | 3.6 ± 0.2                                 | 0.9 ± 0.1     |
| LMC X-1     | 1.4 ± 0.3                              | >0.99      | 0.8 ± 0.2                     | 0.7 ± 0.2                                 | 0.20 ± 0.05   |
| Silicon     |                                        |            |                               |                                           |               |
| GRS 1758-258| 8.4 ± 0.8                              | 0.98 ± 0.01| 3.9 ± 0.4                     | 3.8 ± 0.4                                 | 1.0 ± 0.1     |
| GRS 1915+105| 22 ± 2                                 | 0.98 ± 0.01| 4.2 ± 0.3                     | 4.2 ± 0.3                                 | 1.1 ± 0.1     |
| GX 17+2     | 9.3 ± 2.0                              | 0.98 ± 0.01| 4.3 ± 0.9                     | 4.2 ± 0.9                                 | 1.1 ± 0.2     |
| GX 3+1      | 8.7 ± 0.2                              | 0.96 ± 0.01| 4.5 ± 0.1                     | 4.4 ± 0.1                                 | 1.2 ± 0.1     |
| GX 9+1      | 7.7 ± 2.3                              | 0.96 ± 0.03| 5 ± 1                         | 5 ± 1                                     | 1.2 ± 0.4     |
| H1743-322   | 11 ± 3                                 | 0.97 ± 0.02| 4 ± 1                         | 4 ± 1                                     | 1.0 ± 0.3     |
| IGR J17091-3624 | 4.8 ± 0.3                     | 0.98 ± 0.01| 4.0 ± 0.3                     | 3.9 ± 0.3                                 | 1.0 ± 0.1     |
| LMC X-1     | 0.9 ± 0.3                              | >0.98      | 0.5 ± 0.1                     | 0.5 ± 0.1                                 | 0.13 ± 0.04   |

Notes. The parameter $N_X^{\text{tot}}$ represents the total column density of the X element, $\delta X$ its depletion from the gas phase, $A_X$ the total abundance, and $A_X^{\text{dust}}$ the abundance of the X element in dust. In Sect. 4.4 we provide for LMC X-1 the abundance ratios obtained assuming the Large Magellanic Cloud abundances listed in Table A.2.
is of Galactic origin (Hanke et al. 2010). Thus, to model the absorption, we use the typical Large Magellanic Cloud abundances found in the literature and given in Table A.2. Similar to the Galactic lines of sight, magnesium and silicon are highly depleted from the gas phase. In particular, we observe depletion values for magnesium and silicon of $\delta_{\text{Mg}} > 0.98$ and $\delta_{\text{Si}} > 0.99$, respectively. These results are consistent with the work by Tchernyshyov et al. (2015).

Comparing the abundances of the two elements with the abundances tabulated in Table A.2 we find $A_{\text{Mg}}/A_{\text{LMC}} = 1.0 \pm 0.3$ and $A_{\text{Si}}/A_{\text{LMC}} = 0.5 \pm 0.2$. Moreover, the fit led by the Mg K-edge tentatively shows the presence of magnesium-rich silicate, in particular, the presence of forsterite, which is the most representative compound in the dust mixture. We obtain a cation ratio $\zeta_{\text{Mg}}$ with an upper limit of 0.76. Since our set of compounds does not include the amorphous counterpart of forsterite, the crystallinity ratio, $\zeta_{\text{cryst}}$, could be biased (see the discussion over GRS 1915+105 in Sect. 4.1.1 and in Paper I). A possible presence of forsterite in its amorphous state would, therefore, decrease the crystalline ratio.

Our results hint at a possible under-abundance of silicon along the line of sight of LMC X-1. An abundance lower than the average is also found by Schenck et al. (2016) in the two supernova remnants (0540-69.3 and DEM L316B) close to LMC X-1. We caution, however, that the quality of the data in the silicon region (see Fig. 1) affects our estimation. The values obtained when studying the supernova remnants are also affected by large uncertainties (Maggi et al. 2016; Schenck et al. 2016). Moreover, the interstellar matter abundances may vary over the entire Magellanic Cloud.

### 4.5. Two-edge fit

In this work we made use of the simultaneous fit of two edges (magnesium and silicon) in order to derive the dust properties. This is important to remove some of the degeneracies in the model. For example, Zeegers et al. (2019) only studied the Si K-edge of GRS 1758-258 and GX 17+2 with the same technique and dust models used in the present work. Whereas for GX 17+2, which benefits from high quality data, we find similar results when we include the magnesium K-edge, for GRS 1758-258 the joint fit of the two dust edges improves significantly the modelling of the absorption. Using a single edge, a global fit would not provide a definitive answer on the dust chemistry (Zeegers et al. 2019). However, thanks to the magnesium K-edge we can constrain the dust composition. The fit shows a striking preference for the amorphous olivine. Also the estimates of the abundances and depletion benefit from the addition of the Mg K-edge, decreasing the uncertainties on the relative best values by a factor of four. The simultaneous fit of multiple edges helps to reduce the degeneracies of the fit and to better constrain the properties of the dust. This has been done previously by Costantini et al. (2012) and Pinto et al. (2013), where they fit simultaneously the iron L-edges with the oxygen K-edge, both located below 1 keV.

### 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we characterise the absorption by the material present in the dense environments towards and near the Galactic centre. We studied the X-ray spectrum of seven bright X-ray binaries that lie in the Galactic plane. In particular, we inspected the magnesium and silicon K-edges at 1.3 and 1.84 keV, respectively. We evaluated the abundances of the two elements considering both dust and gas contributions. For every line of sight, we observe Mg and Si abundances consistent with the solar ones. Moreover, magnesium and silicon are highly depleted from the gas phase: we find that more than 90 and 95% of Mg and Si, respectively, are locked in dust grains.

Therefore, the interstellar dust largely contributes to shape the magnesium and silicon edges, making these two features a good probe to investigate the properties of the cosmic grains. We modelled them using accurate extinction models of silicates based on our laboratory measurements performed at the Soleil-LUCIA beamline. We conclude that:

- Dust composition: for every line of sight, a high percentage of dust ($65 \pm 85\%$) is represented by MgFeSiO$_4$, an olivine with a cation ratio of $\zeta_{\text{Mg}} = 0.5$. Magnesium-rich silicates are not preferred in the fits. Only the modelling of GRS 1915+105 possibly points to the prevalence of a more iron-rich olivine.
- Dust crystallinity: although the dust features are fitted mostly by dust with an amorphous structure, for most of the studied lines of sight the crystalline ratio (with an average of $\zeta_{\text{cryst}} = 11\%$) is larger than the ratio found in the infrared. For the outlier GRS 1915+105 the estimation of the percentage of crystalline dust is possibly affected by bias due to the incompleteness of our dust model set.
- Dust structure: in almost all the sources the olivine dust type [SiO$_4$]$^{2-}$ is the dust mixture that best fits both Mg and Si K-edges. We do not find any significant presence of pyroxene along the studied lines of sight. Finally, the contribution of quartz is always below 10%.

In addition to the Galactic X-ray binaries, we explored the absorbed spectrum of the brightest source in the Large Magellanic Cloud, LMC X-1. As in the other source in the sample, Mg and Si are highly depleted. Si appears to be under-abundant along this line of sight, with respect to the average Large Magellanic Cloud abundances. The Si abundance is consistent with that found for neighbouring objects in the LMC. However, the results are affected by large uncertainties due to poor photon statistics.
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Appendix A: Broadband spectra

We give an overview of the parameters obtained from the best fit modelling of the X-ray binaries studied in this work. As mentioned in Sect. 2.1, the sources were selected by their value of \( N_H \) and flux. The effect of pile-up on these bright sources can be high and distort the signal from the source. Therefore, we ignore the regions of the spectra where this effect is present. In particular, for the shape of its effective area, MEG is affected by pile-up around 2 keV. Thus, in many observations we exclude MEG data around the region of the silicon K-edge. GRS 1915+105 (obsid 7458) presents an extreme case where the effect of pile-up is higher than 40% around 2–3 keV because of the high 2–10 keV flux. For this specific source we only selected the energy band between 1.2 and 2.4 keV of the HEG spectrum, whereas for MEG we ignored the region above 1.7 keV. In this way, we limited the spectrum into a narrow band, which we fit adopting the pile-up corrected model used by Lee et al. (2002).

In general, we fit the continuum of the sources using both thermal and non-thermal components (see Sect. 2.3) absorbed by interstellar matter. In Table A.1 we list all the models and the best values of their relative parameters. For each source, the hydrogen column density does not change significantly between the different observations and we assume it is constant for all the observations. The Large Magellanic Cloud has a much lower metallicity than our Galaxy (Russell & Dopita 1992). We compile both sets of abundances in Table A.2.

Finally, we inspect the spectra for the presence of out-flowing ionised gas and hot gas present along the line of sight. Indeed, if absorption lines of ionised gas appear close to the edge, it is necessary to take them into account for precise modelling. We test whether adding a hot model (to model collisionally ionised gas) improves the description of the data. We do this for the Galactic and LMC sources in Sect. 2.5.

Table A.1. Best fit parameters for all the sources.

| obsID | hot \( N_H \) \((10^{22}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) | pow \( kT \) (keV) | cont \( kT \) (keV) | bb \( kT \) (keV) | dbb \( kT \) (keV) | abs \( N_H \) \((10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\)) | \( v \) \((10^3\) km s\(^{-1}\)) | \( F_{0.5-2\,\text{keV}} \) \((10^{-10}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) | \( F_{2-10\,\text{keV}} \) \((10^{-10}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) | Cstat/d.o.f |
|-------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 2429  | 2.14 ± 0.05     | 3.9 ± 0.2      | 0.90 ± 0.06    | 1.00 ± 0.01    | 0.79 ± 0.08    | 1.2 ± 0.2       | 0.12 ± 0.01    | 12621/11561  |
| 1492  | 1.12 ± 0.04     | 0.8 ± 0.1      | 3.0 ± 0.1      | 2.7 ± 0.2      | 1.5 ± 0.1      | 1.3 ± 0.4       | 7.8 ± 0.8      | 6056/5241    |
| 16307 | 1.09 ± 0.04     | 0.8 ± 0.1      | 12 ± 3         | 5.9 ± 0.7      | 3.7 ± 0.2      | 7.5 ± 0.5       |                 |               |
| 18615 | 1.25 ± 0.04     | 0.7 ± 0.2      | 3.1 ± 1        | 2.7 ± 0.2      | 3.3 ± 0.2      | 4.8 ± 0.3       |                 |               |
| 19907 | 1.21 ± 0.04     | 0.7 ± 0.1      | 12 ± 3         | 5.9 ± 0.7      | 3.7 ± 0.2      | 7.5 ± 0.5       |                 |               |
| 19957 | 1.20 ± 0.03     | 0.8 ± 0.2      | 12 ± 3         | 5.9 ± 0.7      | 3.7 ± 0.2      | 7.5 ± 0.5       |                 |               |
| 19958 | 1.22 ± 0.03     | 0.8 ± 0.1      | 12 ± 3         | 5.9 ± 0.7      | 3.7 ± 0.2      | 7.5 ± 0.5       |                 |               |
| 11088 | 1.65 ± 0.02     | 2.4 ± 0.1      | 22 ± 9         | 0.76 ± 0.04    | 0.53 ± 0.04    | 4.3 ± 0.2       | 13 ± 1         | 4946/4244   |
| 16738 | 1.47 ± 0.04     | 0.38 ± 0.09    | 0.38 ± 0.09    | 0.35 ± 0.02    | 0.35 ± 0.02    | 0.38 ± 0.05    |                 |               |
| 17679 | 2.67 ± 0.09     | 0.45 ± 0.05    | 0.45 ± 0.05    | 0.21 ± 0.01    | 0.21 ± 0.01    | 0.54 ± 0.03    |                 |               |
| 17680 | 1.48 ± 0.04     | 0.42 ± 0.06    | 0.42 ± 0.06    | 0.35 ± 0.02    | 0.35 ± 0.02    | 0.91 ± 0.05    |                 |               |
| 16739 | 1.49 ± 0.04     | 0.44 ± 0.08    | 0.44 ± 0.08    | 0.39 ± 0.02    | 0.39 ± 0.02    | 0.96 ± 0.05    |                 |               |
| 12406 | 1.78 ± 0.03     | 0.86 ± 0.01    | 0.86 ± 0.01    | 0.86 ± 0.01    | 0.86 ± 0.01    | 1.9 ± 0.1      |                 |               |
| 17787 | 1.20 ± 0.01     | 0.55 ± 0.01    | 0.55 ± 0.01    | 0.55 ± 0.01    | 0.55 ± 0.01    | 1.1 ± 0.1      |                 |               |
| 17788 | 1.99 ± 0.03     | 0.69 ± 0.01    | 0.69 ± 0.01    | 0.69 ± 0.01    | 0.69 ± 0.01    | 1.0 ± 0.1      |                 |               |

Notes. We report the parameter values for each model used in the analysis where \( kT \) indicates the temperature, \( N_H \) the column density, \( \Gamma \) the photon index of the power-law model, \( \xi \) the ionisation parameter, \( v \) the flow velocity (which, in the case of a negative number, corresponds to the outflow velocity), and \( F_{0.5-2\,\text{keV}} \) and \( F_{2-10\,\text{keV}} \) the fluxes in the two different energy ranges. Errors given on parameters are 1σ errors. We also list the obsID of each observation and the C-statistic and degree of freedom (d.o.f) for every fit.
Table A.2. Comparison of element abundances in the Galactic interstellar medium (Lodders 2010) and in the Large Magellanic Cloud.

| X   | $A_{\text{Gal}}(X)$ | $A_{\text{LMC}}(X)$ | $10^3\Delta A(X)$ | Ref. |
|-----|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----|
| He  | 10.987              | 10.94               | 0.897             | (1) |
| C   | 8.443               | 8.04                | 0.395             | (1) |
| N   | 7.912               | 7.14                | 0.169             | (1) |
| O   | 8.782               | 8.04                | 0.181             | (2) |
| Ne  | 8.103               | 7.39                | 0.194             | (2) |
| Na  | 6.347               | 5.50                | 0.142             | (3) |
| Mg  | 7.599               | 6.88                | 0.191             | (2) |
| Al  | 6.513               | 5.86                | 0.222             | (4) |
| Si  | 7.586               | 6.99                | 0.254             | (2) |
| S   | 7.210               | 6.70                | 0.309             | (1) |
| Cl  | 5.299               | 4.76                | 0.289             | (1) |
| Ar  | 6.553               | 6.29                | 0.546             | (1) |
| Ca  | 6.367               | 5.89                | 0.333             | (1) |
| Sc  | 3.123               | 2.64                | 0.329             | (1) |
| Ti  | 4.949               | 4.81                | 0.678             | (1) |
| V   | 4.042               | 4.08                | 1.094             | (1) |
| Cr  | 5.703               | 5.47                | 0.585             | (1) |
| Mn  | 5.551               | 5.21                | 0.456             | (1) |
| Fe  | 7.514               | 6.84                | 0.211             | (1) |
| Ni  | 6.276               | 6.04                | 0.581             | (1) |
| Zn  | 4.700               | 4.28                | 0.380             | (1) |

Notes. The third column is the Large Magellanic Cloud abundance relative to the Galactic abundance, which is a parameter of the hot absorption model in SPEX. For all the other not listed elements (which are low abundant and hardly contribute to the absorption in the soft X-ray band) the average value $10^3\Delta A \approx 0.4$ is assumed.

References. (1) Russell & Dopita (1992); (2) Schenck et al. (2016); (3) Garnett (1999); (4) Korn et al. (2000).

Appendix B: Neutral silicon cross section

Here we present our calculation of the photoabsorption cross-section for the neutral silicon K-shell in gas form. The inner-shell X-ray absorption for a single, isolated silicon atom implemented in SPEX is obtained by independent-particle calculation (Verner et al. 1993), which returns a simple step function model where resonant transitions are not included. However, in order to investigate the presence of gaseous atomic silicon in the interstellar medium, in addition to the overwhelming abundance of silicon in cosmic dust, it is important to calculate and include in the model the resonance transition and relaxation effect.

In Fig. B.1 we show the Si I photoabsorption cross-sections obtained from the Flexible Atomic Code (FAC, Gu 2008, in black) and the COWAN code (Cowan 1981, in blue). For comparison we overlap the cross-section calculated by Hasoglu & Gorczyca (2018) using the modified R-matrix method (Berrington et al. 1995). The cross-sections show different profiles with a shift in the energy of 1.5–3.5 eV. In our analysis, we use the Si I cross-section calculated with the COWAN code since it can represent better the residual in the pre-edge of the Si K-shell for GX 3+1, used as test source in Paper I due to its high signal-to-noise ratio. In Table B.1 we list the atomic parameters of the Si I transitions, namely the line-centre wavelengths $\lambda_c$ and the oscillator strengths $f_{\text{osc}}$, necessary to evaluate the optical depth of the lines.

Fig. B.1. Comparison of the cross-section for neutral silicon, Si I, calculated with different codes. The cross-section calculated with the R-matrix, in red, is taken from Hasoglu & Gorczyca (2018).
Table B.1. Atomic parameter of those Si I transitions that contribute to the line absorption of Si I.

| Lower energy level | Upper energy level | FAC | COWAN |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----|--------|
|                    |                    | $\lambda_c$ (Å) | $E_c$ (eV) | $f_{osc}$ | $\lambda_c$ (Å) | $E_c$ (eV) | $f_{osc}$ |
| $1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^3P_0$ | $1s^1(4S)2s^22p^63s^23p^3S_1$ | 6.7489 | 1837.1 | 4.19 x 10^{-3} | 6.7364 | 1840.5 | 5.36 x 10^{-3} |
|                    | $1s^1(4D)2s^22p^63s^23p^3D_1$ | 6.7445 | 1838.3 | 6.10 x 10^{-3} | 6.7324 | 1841.6 | 6.64 x 10^{-3} |
|                    | $1s^1(3P)2s^22p^63s^23p^3P_1$ | 6.7408 | 1839.3 | 3.26 x 10^{-3} | 6.7284 | 1842.7 | 3.58 x 10^{-3} |
|                    | $1s^1(3P)2s^22p^63s^23p^3P_1$ | 6.7393 | 1839.7 | 5.12 x 10^{-5} | 6.7273 | 1843.0 | 2.17 x 10^{-7} |
| $1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^3P_1$ | $1s^1(4S)2s^22p^63s^23p^3S_2$ | 6.7514 | 1836.4 | 3.99 x 10^{-7} | 6.7390 | 1839.8 | 4.67 x 10^{-7} |
|                    | $1s^1(4S)2s^22p^63s^23p^3S_1$ | 6.7489 | 1837.1 | 1.42 x 10^{-2} | 6.7364 | 1840.5 | 1.60 x 10^{-2} |
|                    | $1s^1(3D)2s^22p^63s^23p^3D_1$ | 6.7448 | 1838.2 | 3.73 x 10^{-3} | 6.7324 | 1841.6 | 4.75 x 10^{-3} |
|                    | $1s^1(3D)2s^22p^63s^23p^3D_2$ | 6.7448 | 1838.2 | 1.32 x 10^{-2} | 6.7324 | 1841.6 | 1.50 x 10^{-2} |
|                    | $1s^1(3P)2s^22p^63s^23p^3P_0$ | 6.7408 | 1839.3 | 3.37 x 10^{-3} | 6.7287 | 1842.6 | 3.81 x 10^{-3} |
|                    | $1s^1(3P)2s^22p^63s^23p^3P_1$ | 6.7408 | 1839.3 | 2.52 x 10^{-3} | 6.7284 | 1842.7 | 2.88 x 10^{-3} |
|                    | $1s^1(3P)2s^22p^63s^23p^3P_2$ | 6.7404 | 1839.4 | 4.09 x 10^{-3} | 6.7284 | 1842.7 | 4.39 x 10^{-3} |
|                    | $1s^1(3P)2s^22p^63s^23p^3P_1$ | 6.7393 | 1839.7 | 1.91 x 10^{-5} | 6.7273 | 1843.0 | 3.93 x 10^{-8} |
| $1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^3P_2$ | $1s^1(4S)2s^22p^63s^23p^3S_3$ | 6.7514 | 1836.4 | 3.74 x 10^{-6} | 6.7393 | 1839.7 | 1.32 x 10^{-6} |
|                    | $1s^1(4S)2s^22p^63s^23p^3S_2$ | 6.7489 | 1837.1 | 2.21 x 10^{-2} | 6.7368 | 1840.4 | 2.63 x 10^{-2} |
|                    | $1s^1(4D)2s^22p^63s^23p^3D_1$ | 6.7448 | 1838.2 | 4.57 x 10^{-4} | 6.7324 | 1841.6 | 2.80 x 10^{-4} |
|                    | $1s^1(4D)2s^22p^63s^23p^3D_2$ | 6.7448 | 1838.2 | 3.99 x 10^{-3} | 6.7324 | 1841.6 | 4.49 x 10^{-3} |
|                    | $1s^1(3D)2s^22p^63s^23p^3D_3$ | 6.7448 | 1838.2 | 2.44 x 10^{-2} | 6.7324 | 1841.6 | 2.72 x 10^{-2} |
|                    | $1s^1(3D)2s^22p^63s^23p^3D_1$ | 6.7434 | 1838.6 | - | 6.7313 | 1841.9 | 3.89 x 10^{-5} |
|                    | $1s^1(3P)2s^22p^63s^23p^3P_0$ | 6.7408 | 1839.3 | 4.29 x 10^{-3} | 6.7284 | 1842.7 | 4.97 x 10^{-3} |
|                    | $1s^1(3P)2s^22p^63s^23p^3P_1$ | 6.7404 | 1839.4 | 1.32 x 10^{-2} | 6.7284 | 1842.7 | 1.46 x 10^{-2} |
|                    | $1s^1(3P)2s^22p^63s^23p^3P_1$ | 6.7393 | 1839.7 | 4.53 x 10^{-5} | 6.7273 | 1843.0 | 4.91 x 10^{-8} |

Notes. The line-centre wavelength and energy are indicated with $\lambda_c$ and $E_c$, respectively. The oscillator strength, indicated with $f_{osc}$, is dimensionless.

Appendix C: Instrumental feature at 6.741 Å

For all the sources in our sample, we observe a line in emission at 6.741 Å, in the vicinity of the silicon K-edge. This feature had already been noticed in other sources, leading to different interpretations (see Sect. 2.2). In the present sample, we explored first the possibility of a Si X\,III forbidden line emission ($\lambda = 6.7405$ Å). However, this is difficult to explain since, at the ionisation parameter that produces the Si X\,III ($f$) line we also expect to detect the Ne\,X and Mg\,XII lines, which are not observed. Their ionic densities would all peak at the same ionisation parameter (Fig. 6 of Mehdipour et al. 2016).

The emission feature could also be associated with the scattering peak of Si. This possibility was explored in Paper I. However, this apparent emission feature was also observed in sources that should not display either an emission line, as they present a featureless spectrum, or a scattering peak, as their column density is too low to produce absorption by Si (e.g., Mrk 421).

Here we explore the possibility of an instrumental line. We consider the Galactic X-ray binaries, GX 9+9, 4U 1820-30, and Cyg X-2, and the blazar MRK 421. In Fig. C.1, we show the silicon K-edge region of the previous sources. After subtracting the underlying continuum, we fit simultaneously the line with a delta function and we find a line-centre wavelength of $\lambda_c = 6.741 \pm 0.001$. Moreover, we analyse separately the ±1 order of MEG and HEG for sources (e.g. 4U 1636-53) with a relatively low flux, to minimize the pileup effect. We notice that only ±1 MEG, which does not cross the front-illuminated chip in the silicon region, does not display the emission peak. We suggest therefore that the deep calibration silicon feature present in the effective area of ±1 MEG and ±1 HEG could play a role in displaying the spike at 6.741 Å.
Fig. C.1. Fit of the line in emission present in the silicon K-edge region. To fit the underlying continuum we use a simple power law. The different data sets are shifted along the Y axis for clarity.