Synthesis and Antiproliferative Activity of a New Series of Mono- and Bis(dimethylpyrazolyl)-s-triazine Derivatives Targeting EGFR/PI3K/AKT/mTOR Signaling Cascades
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ABSTRACT: Here, we synthesized a new series of mono- and bis(dimethylpyrazolyl)-s-triazine derivatives. The synthetic methodology involved the reaction of different mono- and dihydrazinyl-s-triazine derivatives with acetylacetone in the presence of triethylamine to produce the corresponding target products in high yield and purity. The antiproliferative activity of the novel mono- and bis(dimethylpyrazolyl)-s-triazine derivatives was studied against three cancer cell lines, namely, MCF-7, HCT-116, and HepG2. N-(4-Bromophenyl)-4-(3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-6-morpholino-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine 4f, N-(4-chlorophenyl)-4,6-bis(3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine 5c, and 4,6-bis(3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine 5d showed promising activity against these cancer cells: 4f [(IC50 = 4.53 ± 0.30 µM (MCF-7); 0.50 ± 0.08 µM (HCT-116); and 3.01 ± 0.49 µM (HepG2))]; 5d [(IC50 = 3.66 ± 0.96 µM (HCT-116); and 5.42 ± 0.82 µM (HepG2))]; and 5c [(IC50 = 2.29 ± 0.92 µM (MCF-7))]. Molecular docking studies revealed good binding affinity with the receptor targeting EGFR/PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling cascades. Compound 4f exhibited potent EGFR inhibitory activity with an IC50 value of 61 nM compared to that of Tamoxifen (IC50 value of 69 nM), with EGFR inhibition of 83 and 84%, respectively, at a concentration of 10 µM. Interestingly, 4f showed remarkable PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitory activity with 0.18-, 0.27-, and 0.39-fold decrease in their concentration (reduction in controls from 6.64, 45.39, and 86.39 ng/mL to 1.24, 12.35, and 34.36 ng/mL, respectively). Hence, the synthetic 1,3,5-triazine derivative 4f exhibited promising antiproliferative activity in HCT-116 cells through apoptosis induction by targeting the EGFR and its downstream pathway.

INTRODUCTION

The widespread importance of the synthesis and modification of anticancer agents has given rise to many numbers of medicinal chemistry programs. In this regard, s-triazine derivatives have attracted attention due to their remarkable activity against a wide range of cancer cells.1−7 Hexalen, also known as Altretamine (Figure 1, compound I), which was first approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA) in 1990, is an example of an antineoplastic drug based on an s-triazine privileged structure, and it is used for the treatment of refractory ovarian cancer.8 Other examples of commercial drugs based on the s-triazine moiety include Enasidenib (Idhifa) (Figure 1, compound II), which is used to treat IDH2-positive acute leukemia and was first approved by the U.S. FDA in 2017,7 and Gedatolisib (Figure 1, compound III), a first-in-class PI3K/mTOR inhibitor used to treat breast cancer.10 Many other compounds carrying an s-triazine scaffold, including compounds IV11 and V12 for EGFR-TK inhibitors, compound VI ZSTK474 (PI3K/MEK dual inhibitors),13 compound VII, named Bimiralisib (PQR309),14−16 and compound VIII as a dual inhibitor of PI3K/mTOR, have been reported as anticancer agents targeting EGFR/PI3K/AKT/mTOR cascades (Figure 1).17

The literature has reported other biological activities of s-triazine derivatives,18 including antimicrobial,19 antimalarial,20 anti-inflammatory,21,22 antibacterial and antifungal,11 antioxidant, anticholinesterase,23,24 and antiviral activity.25 In this regard, s-triazine can be considered a promiscuous molecule. Beyond their biological activities, s-triazine derivatives have
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many applications in coordination chemistry \(^2^6\) and as promising corrosion inhibitors.\(^2^7\)

Furthermore, it has been reported that the combination of s-triazine derivatives with other significant bioactive moieties results in a prominent enhancement of their potential activity in various biological and pharmaceutical applications.\(^2^8,2^9\)

Pyrazole is one of the heterocyclic analogues that has a wide range of bioactivities, including anti-inflammatory, anticancer, antifungal, and antimicrobial activity, among others.\(^3^0\)−\(^3^3\)

Many pyrazole-containing drugs have already been approved by the corresponding regulatory agencies and are widely used for various pharmacological and medicinal applications. Phenazone (analgesic and antipyretic), aminopyrine and aminophenazone (anti-inflammatory), and oxyphenbutazone (antipyretic, analgesic, anti-inflammatory, mild uricosuric) are representative examples of such drugs.\(^3^3\)−\(^3^7\)

Synthesis of a new class of compounds with pharmacophoric hybridization has received a lot of attention from researchers, as indicated in lower part of Figure 1, in which the designed molecules-based s-triazine with different pharmacophores was explored.

As a continuation of the promising anticancer properties shown by pyrazolyl-s-triazine derivatives synthesized in our previous research projects,\(^2^8,3^5\) in the present study, a novel series of these derivatives (Figure 1) was synthesized, and their activity was tested against breast carcinoma (MCF-7), colorectal carcinoma (HCT-116), and liver carcinoma (HepG2) cells. Furthermore, a molecular docking study was performed targeting EGFR/PI3K/PI3K/mTOR cascades. Finally, the EGFR enzymatic assay and the PI3k/AKT/mTOR downstreaming signaling pathway were assessed to confirm the computational study.

Figure 1. Selected s-triazine as an anticancer agent targeting EGFR/PI3K/AKT/mTOR cascades and our designed compounds.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemistry. The initial derivatization step of cyanuric chloride 1 in this synthetic route was carried out via nucleophilic aromatic substitution of the chlorine atom by aromatic nitrogen-containing nucleophiles, such as aniline and \( p \)-substituted aniline, in the presence of an alkaline medium of sodium hydrogen carbonate as the hydrogen chloride receptor, following the previously reported method (Supporting Information (SI)). The regioselectivity of this reaction was thermally optimized by performing the reaction at 0°C to ensure the replacement of only one chlorine atom, leaving the two other chlorine units in the triazine moiety, which afforded the dichloro-monosubstituted-\( \text{S} \)-triazine derivatives 2a–d.

The second synthetic step involved a further displacing of one of the chlorine atoms in monosubstituted triazine derivatives 2a–d by aliphatic nitrogen-containing nucleophiles such as piperidine, pyrrolidine, and morpholine. The same synthetic methodology as the previous step (SI) was applied, but the reaction time was increased to 12 h to overcome the deactivation behavior of the amino electron-donating group, which was inserted in the first step toward nucleophilic aromatic substitution. This approach afforded 2-chloro-4,6-disubstituted-\( \text{S} \)-triazine derivatives 3a–l in good yield and purity, as indicated from the spectral data (SI). The monochloro 3a–l and dichloro 2a–d derivatives were then treated with hydrazine hydrate (80%) in EtOH overnight under reflux to afford the hydrazine derivatives, which, without further purification, reacted directly with acetylacetone in the

Scheme 1. Synthetic Route of Mono- and Bispyrazolyl-\( \text{S} \)-triazine Derivatives and the X-ray Crystal Structures of Compounds 5a (CCDC 2163939) and 5d (CCDC 2163940)
presence of triethylamine and DMF as solvent following the method reported by our group and others\textsuperscript{35,37,38} to render the target products 4\texttextsubscript{a}−\texttextsubscript{l} and 5\texttextsubscript{a}−\texttextsubscript{d}, respectively (Scheme 1) (Material and methods, SI). The chemical structures for all of the new synthesized compounds were assigned based on different spectroscopic tools including NMR, MS, IR, and CHN analysis. Indeed, single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of compounds 5\texttextsubscript{a} and 5\texttextsubscript{d} further confirmed their structures.

Table 1. Cytotoxicity Profile of Pyrazolyl-s-triazine Derivatives in Human Cancer Cell Lines\textsuperscript{a}

| Compound no. | IC\texttextsubscript{50} values (\textmu M) MCF-7 cells | HCT-116 cells | HepG2 cells |
|--------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| 4\texttextsubscript{a} | 13.71 ± 2.99 | 9.61 ± 2.28 | 9.32 ± 0.32 |
| 4\texttextsubscript{b} | 7.15 ± 0.78 | 10.06 ± 1.30 | 8.23 ± 1.17 |
| 4\texttextsubscript{c} | 18.63 ± 0.78 | 12.42 ± 0.97 | 8.61 ± 0.92 |
| 4\texttextsubscript{d} | 27.74 ± 3.19 | 30.31 ± 2.42 | 15.73 ± 4.29 |
| 4\texttextsubscript{e} | 7.32 ± 0.33 | 32.24 ± 3.33 | 3.81 ± 0.67 |
| 4\texttextsubscript{f} | 4.53 ± 0.30 | 0.50 ± 0.080 | 3.01 ± 0.49 |
| 4\texttextsubscript{g} | 8.65 ± 0.69 | 2.42 ± 0.90 | 6.33 ± 2.23 |
| 4\texttextsubscript{h} | 7.87 ± 1.39 | 2.17 ± 0.32 | 4.35 ± 0.99 |
| 5\texttextsubscript{a} | 10.75 ± 1.40 | 3.17 ± 1.10 | 4.64 ± 0.36 |
| 5\texttextsubscript{b} | 2.66 ± 1.65 | 10.40 ± 2.54 | 5.60 ± 1.24 |
| 5\texttextsubscript{c} | 2.29 ± 0.92 | 11.04 ± 1.62 | 19.50 ± 8.06 |
| 5\texttextsubscript{d} | 11.97 ± 1.68 | 3.66 ± 0.96 | 5.42 ± 0.82 |

\begin{itemize}
  \item Tamoxifen | 5.12 ± 0.36 | 26.41 ± 4.11 | 2.45 ± 0.20
\end{itemize}

\textsuperscript{a}Values are expressed as mean ± SD of three independent trials. Tamoxifen was used as the control.
The crystal data and refinement details are summarized in Table S1, while the X-ray structure showing thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level is shown in Scheme 1. Both structures crystallized in the triclinic crystal system and P1 space group. The structure of 5d comprised one molecule of the organic target compound and one crystal water, which represents the asymmetric formula of the structure leading to \( z = 2 \). On the other hand, the asymmetric formula of 5a comprised two molecules of the target compound and six water molecules, leading to \( z = 4 \). In the former, the two pyrazolyl moieties are twisted from the mean plane of the s-triazine core mean plane by 14.36° and 5.18° for the pyrazole groups N1N2C2C3C22 and N8N7C15C17C18, respectively, while in the latter, the corresponding value does not exceed 11.17°. In addition, the phenyl group of the aniline moiety creates a twist angle of 38.09°, with the s-triazine core mean plane of 53. The corresponding values for 52 are 14.25° and 23.29°.

**Biology. In Vitro Antiproliferative Assay.** In our previous publication, we reported a series of mono- and bis-pyrazolyl-s-triazine derivatives incorporating piperidine and morpholine on the triazine ring and studied their effects on human breast cancer (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231), human liver carcinoma (HepG2), human colorectal carcinoma (LoVo), and human...
leukemia (K562) cell lines. Most of the reported triazine derivatives showed weak to moderate activity against the four cell lines. As a continuation of our interest in pyrazolyl-s-triazine derivatives, here, we constructed aniline and p-substituted aniline as the hydrophobic tail in the newly synthesized pyrazolyl-s-triazine derivatives and assessed these compounds against breast cancer (MCF-7), colon carcinoma (HCT-116), and liver carcinoma (HepG2). Lipophilicity in the tested compounds improved the EGFR-based cytotoxicity against the tested cancer cell lines. This may be due to the lipophilic moieties in the EGFR binding sites that enhanced their binding affinities and hence the stability of compound-protein complexes. The synthesized triazine compounds 4a–1 d and 5a–d affected the viability of the three cell lines (moderate to strong), as determined by the MTT cell viability assay (Table 1). To explain the cytotoxicity of the compounds and to better understand the structure–activity relationship, we would classify them into the following two categories: group A: 4a–4l, which have the monopyrazole/anilino derivatives/amine derivatives; and group B: 5a–5d, which have a bispyrazoles/anilino derivative-based triazine nucleus.

The results for group A (monopyrazolyl-s-triazine derivatives), 4a–4l, are shown in Table 1. In summary, the compounds exhibited IC\textsubscript{50} values (μM) ranging from 2.93 to 27.74 μM in the breast cancer cell line (MCF-7). The most active hybrid in this series was 4j (IC\textsubscript{50} = 2.93 ± 1.11 μM), whose chemical structure comprised monopyrazole/p-MeO-anilino and piperidine as pending groups of the triazine core structure. By switching the methoxy group as the electron-donating atom such as Cl (compound 4g) or Br (compound 4d), the activity decreased to 8.65 ± 0.69 and 27.74 ± 3.19 μM, respectively. Also, this effect was observed for the unsubstituted aniline ring, as indicated in 4a (IC\textsubscript{50} = 13.71 ± 2.99 μM). The introduction of the morpholine ring, such as in compounds 4c (IC\textsubscript{50} = 18.63 ± 0.78 μM) and 4l (IC\textsubscript{50} = 18.62 ± 0.80 μM), in place of the piperidine in the structure, such as in compounds 4a (IC\textsubscript{50} = 13.71 ± 2.99 μM) and 4j (IC\textsubscript{50} = 2.93 ± 1.11 μM), did not improve cytotoxicity, except compound 4f showed higher cytotoxicity with IC\textsubscript{50} = 4.53 ± 0.30 μM compared to that of compound 4d (27.74 ± 3.19 μM) (Table 1). Switching from a six-membered (piperidine or morpholine) pyrrolidine ring to a five-membered ring led to improved activity, as shown with 4k, 4b, 4e, and 4h (Table 1). For example, compound 4b (IC\textsubscript{50} = 7.15 ± 0.78 μM) is greater than compounds 4a (IC\textsubscript{50} = 13.71 ± 2.99 μM) and 4c (IC\textsubscript{50} = 18.63 ± 0.78 μM), also as observed in compound 4e (IC\textsubscript{50} = 7.32 ± 0.33 μM), which is more active than 4d (IC\textsubscript{50} = 27.74 ± 3.19 μM); meanwhile, compound 4k (IC\textsubscript{50} = 3.76 ± 0.52 μM) is more potent than 4l (IC\textsubscript{50} = 18.62 ± 0.80 μM). Again, the p-methoxy derivatives showed more potency than p-chloro, p-bromo-, and the unsubstituted derivatives and even greater potency than the control Tamoxifen against the breast cancer cell line (MCF-7).

On the other hand, regarding the colon carcinoma cell line (HCT-116), 4a–4l showed IC\textsubscript{50} values ranging from 0.50 to 32.24 μM. The most active hybrid in this series was 4f (IC\textsubscript{50} = 0.50 ± 0.08 μM), whose chemical structure comprised monopyrazole/p-Br-anilino and a morpholine motif based on the triazine core structure and was compared to monopyrazole/p-Br-anilino and piperidine 4d (IC\textsubscript{50} = 30.31 ± 2.42 μM) or pyrrolidine 4e (IC\textsubscript{50} = 32.24 ± 3.33 μM) (Table 1). By keeping the morpholine ring along with the pyrazole ring and changing the substituents on the aniline, the results indicated that compound 4l (MeO group) showed more activity than 4i (Cl-atom) and 4c (H) (Table 1).

Group A 4a–4l showed activity against the liver carcinoma cell line (HepG2), with IC\textsubscript{50} values ranging from 3.01 ± 0.49 to 15.73 ± 4.29 μM. Again, the most active hybrid in this series was 4f (IC\textsubscript{50} = 3.01 ± 0.49 μM) (mono-pyrazole/p-Br-anilino and morpholine as triazine pending substituents) compared to its analogues (monopyrazole/p-Br-anilino and piperidine 4d or pyrrolidine 4e as triazine pending substituents) as in the case of HCT-116.

Group B (bis-pyrazolyl-s-triazine derivatives) 5a–5d showed activity against the breast cancer cell line (MCF-7), with IC\textsubscript{50} values ranging from 2.29 ± 0.92 to 11.97 ± 1.68 μM (Table 1). The most active hybrid in this series was 5c (two bis-pyrazoles and p-Cl-anilino as substituents of the triazine). Replacement of the p-Cl-anilino with the p-Br-anilino in 5b did not greatly alter its activity. In contrast, the unsubstituted (compound 5a) or p-methoxy group as the electron-donating group (compound 5d) led to a decrease in activity (Table 1). On the other hand, 5a and 5d showed greater activity against the colon carcinoma cell line (HCT-116) than did the p-bromo derivatives, as reflected by their IC\textsubscript{50} values (Table 1).

In the case of the liver carcinoma cell line (HepG2), 5a, 5b, and 5d exhibited similar activity, and 5c showed the least activity in this series, with an IC\textsubscript{50} of 19.50 ± 8.06 μM (Table 1).

**Molecular Docking Analysis.** Molecular docking studies were used to study the possible binding model and specifics of the interaction mechanism between the synthetic compounds and target protein EGFR and as a valuable method to graphically illustrate the changes in the interaction between the receptor and ligand. MOE (molecular operating environment) was used to conduct molecular docking studies to examine the target compounds’ binding association and interaction with the active site residues such as Lys745, Met790, Asn842, and Asp855 of the EGFR kinase protein.39 All of the compounds (Table 1) were docked to the target protein EGFR. The docking score of the compounds was used to assess their binding affinities. Those that showed the highest binding...
affinity to EGFR (Figure 2) and best interactions were 4f, 5c, 5d, Tamoxifen, and Erlotinib. The results show that the selected docked compounds had strong binding affinities with the target protein PDB ID 6V6O, with a docking score of $-7.49$ to $-8.82$ kcal/mol. Docking analysis revealed that Lys745, Thr854, and Asp855 are in the binding pocket of a protein that plays a pivotal role in the binding mode of the ligand with the receptor. The docking scores of EGFR with compounds 4f, 5c, 5d, Erlotinib, and Tamoxifen were $-8.26$, $-8.82$, $-8.68$–$-8.51$, and $-7.49$, respectively. Binding interaction analysis revealed that each of the selected compounds has hydrogen bonding with Lys745, Thr854, and Asp855. In five promising compounds, standard Erlotinib and Tamoxifen formed two and three hydrogen bonding interactions, respectively, while test compounds 4f and 5d have four and 5c demonstrates five hydrogen bonding interactions (Figure 2).

Hence, the molecular docking results of binding affinities of 4f, 5d, and 5c toward EGFR were consistent with the experimental enzymatic inhibition and the cytotoxic activity observed. Analysis of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway showed the tested compounds exhibited promising inhibitory activities downstream. These results are consistent with the findings of Singh and co-workers, who discovered some novel triazine derivatives via attenuated EGFR and PI3K/AKT/mTOR activities.

**Potential Inhibition of PI3K and mTOR with Novel Trisubstituted Triazine Derivatives.** Most of the com-

Figure 3. (a) Validation of PI3K (5JHB) showing cocrystallized ligand (blue) overlapped with docked structure (green). (b) Validation of mTOR (4JSV) showing cocrystallized ligand (blue) overlapped with docked structure (pink). (c) Docking pose of 4f (pink) in PI3K active site overlapped with cocrystallized ligand (blue). (d) Interactions of 4f (pink) in PI3K active site. (e) Docking pose of 4f (pink) in mTOR active site overlapped with cocrystallized ligand (blue). (f) Interactions of 4f (pink) in mTOR active site. Magnesium ions in the active site are shown as green spheres.
pounds showed potent inhibition of MCF-7, HCT-116, and HepG2 cancer cells. We sought to study potential enzymatic targets of these compounds through target fishing. To this end, we searched for potential targets of the most potent structure, 4f, using two online servers. The first, SwissTargetPredict, revealed several kinases as the top hits for this compound. Among these, phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) were the top two predicted based on the probability and number of similar compounds in 2D and 3D structures. Of note, mTOR is a component of the protein kinase B (PKB) pathway, and it acts downstream of PI3K in that pathway. Dual inhibition of PI3K and mTOR is a known strategy used to fight cancer, and morpholino triazine is a scaffold that inhibits both targets. Given these considerations, we used molecular docking to study the potential binding modes of our compounds with the

![Figure 4. Bioavailability radar of selected compounds: (A) 4f, (B) 5d, (C) 5c, (D) Tamoxifen, and (E) Erlotinib.](image)

**Table 3. Physicochemical Properties of Selected Ligands**

| S. No | properties               | 4f    | 5d    | 5c    | Tamoxifen | Erlotinib |
|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| 1     | molecular weight (g/mol) | 430.30| 390.44| 394.86| 372.52    | 399.44    |
| 2     | no. of heavy atoms       | 27    | 29    | 28    | 28        | 29        |
| 3     | no. aromatic heavy atoms | 17    | 22    | 22    | 18        | 16        |
| 4     | rotatable bonds          | 4     | 5     | 4     | 8         | 10        |
| 5     | H-bond acceptor          | 5     | 6     | 5     | 1         | 6         |
| 6     | H-bond donor             | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1         | 1         |
| 7     | TPSA (Å²)                | 80.99 | 95.57 | 86.34 | 13.67     | 74.73     |
two target proteins (Table 2). Target proteins were downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) under codes 5HJB for PI3K and 4JSV for mTOR. Code 5JHB is a crystal structure of PI3K cocrystallized with a potent inhibitor that has the morpholino triazine scaffold. Redocking of the cocrystallized ligand was done for validation purposes. The software was able to predict the correct pose with good accuracy and a root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of 1.149 Å, as predicted by DockRMSD (Figure 3a). Code 4JSV, on the other hand, is the crystal structure of mTOR cocrystallized with ADP, which indicates an ATP binding site. Validation of this target gave an RMSD of 1.642 Å, which is within an acceptable range (<2 Å) (Figure 3b). Docking scores revealed (Table 2) the test compounds showed greater binding to PI3K compared to mTOR, with differences reaching about 1.6 kcal/mol. Among each target, the energy differences were not large and most of the compounds showed similar binding modes. In terms of binding pose and interaction, the test compounds bound to PI3K in a similar manner as the morpholino triazine cocrystallized ligand. In fact, derivatives with a morpholino triazine moiety such as 3f, the best compound in the biological evaluation, showed good overlap with the cocrystallized ligand (Figure 3c). Compound 4f conserved the hydrogen bond between the oxygen atom of its morpholine ring and V882, similar to what was observed for the cocrystallized ligand. Furthermore, 4f showed another hydrogen bond with T887. In addition, several hydrophobic interactions were maintained, such as those with P810, W812, V882, and P961 (Figure 3d). Docking of the test compounds in the ATP binding site of mTOR showed good overlap with ADP, which was cocrystallized with the protein, as seen with 4f as an example (Figure 3e). The pyrazole ring of 4f overlapped with the adenine ring of ADP. Also, the oxygen atom of the morpholino ring was in proximity to one of the magnesium ions present in the active site, as well as several hydrophobic interactions (Figure 3f). These results suggest that our test compounds have potential inhibitory capacity over PI3K and possibly over mTOR. These compounds thus require further attention.

ADME Analysis. The prediction of the physicochemical and pharmacokinetic properties of the selected compounds (as described above) from docking results was explored. The ADME results showed six physicochemical properties, namely, lipophilicity, size, polarity, solubility, saturation, and flexibility. From Figure 4, A–E denotes 4f, 5c, 5d, Tamoxifen, and Erlotinib, respectively.

All six physicochemical properties were in the validated range for compounds 4f and 5c. While in compound 5d, binding pose and interaction, the test compounds bound to PI3K in a similar manner as the morpholino triazine cocrystallized ligand. In fact, derivatives with a morpholino triazine moiety such as 3f, the best compound in the biological evaluation, showed good overlap with the cocrystallized ligand (Figure 3c). Compound 4f conserved the hydrogen bond between the oxygen atom of its morpholine ring and V882, similar to what was observed for the cocrystallized ligand. Furthermore, 4f showed another hydrogen bond with T887. In addition, several hydrophobic interactions were maintained, such as those with P810, W812, V882, and P961 (Figure 3d). Docking of the test compounds in the ATP binding site of mTOR showed good overlap with ADP, which was cocrystallized with the protein, as seen with 4f as an example (Figure 3e). The pyrazole ring of 4f overlapped with the adenine ring of ADP. Also, the oxygen atom of the morpholino ring was in proximity to one of the magnesium ions present in the active site, as well as several hydrophobic interactions (Figure 3f). These results suggest that our test compounds have potential inhibitory capacity over PI3K and possibly over mTOR. These compounds thus require further attention.

ADME Analysis. The prediction of the physicochemical and pharmacokinetic properties of the selected compounds (as described above) from docking results was explored. The ADME results showed six physicochemical properties, namely, lipophilicity, size, polarity, solubility, saturation, and flexibility. From Figure 4, A–E denotes 4f, 5c, 5d, Tamoxifen, and Erlotinib, respectively.

All six physicochemical properties were in the validated range for compounds 4f and 5c. While in compound 5d,

Table 4. IC₅₀ of the Activity of the Tested Compounds against EGFR-PK Assay

| compound | autophosphorylation percentage inhibition at conc (10 μM) | EGFR PK inhibition, IC₅₀ (nM) |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 4f       | 82.6 ± 2.38                                              | 61 ± 0.002                    |
| 5c       | 69.68 ± 2.01                                             | 102 ± 0.004                   |
| 5d       | 74.68 ± 2.47                                             | 98 ± 0.003                    |
| Erlotinib| 83.89 ± 3.26                                             | 78.65 ± 3.54                  |

Values are expressed as mean ± SD of three independent replicates. IC₅₀ values were calculated using a sigmoidal nonlinear regression curve fit of percentage inhibition against five concentrations of each compound.

Figure 5. Activity of compounds 4f, 5d, and 5c against the EGFR downstream signaling pathway (PI3K/AKT/mTOR) in untreated and treated HCT-116 cells. Values are expressed as mean ± SD of three independent replicates. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.001 significant difference between control and treated group using unpaired t-test.
instauration was slightly outside the validated range. Finally, for Tamoxifen, three physicochemical properties, namely flexibility, size and polarity, were in the validated range (Figure 4D), while three others lipophilicity, saturation and solubility fell outside the range. For Standard Erlotinib (Figure 4E) all five physicochemical properties in range except flexibility. For Standard Erlotinib (Figure 4E) all four D), while three others lipophilicity, saturation and solubility were out of range. Some other physicochemical properties are also listed in Table 3.

**EGFR Enzymatic Assay.** Compounds 4f, 5c, and 5d were tested for their inhibitory capacity against EGFR. Compound 4f exhibited potent inhibitory activity; EGFR had an IC₅₀ value of 61 nM compared to that of Erlotinib, with an IC₅₀ value of 69 nM, with EGFR inhibition of 83 and 84%, respectively, at a concentration 10 μM (Table 4). 5d and 5c showed moderate activity, with IC₅₀ values of 98 and 102 nM and EGFR inhibition of 74.7 and 70%, respectively.

**PI3K/akt/mTOR Downstream Signaling Pathway.** The EGFR/PI3K/akt/mTOR signaling cascade is important in many cellular processes, including cell growth and proliferation, apoptosis, survival, and metabolism, all of which contribute to tumor progression.⁴⁵-⁴⁸ To study the effective molecular targets of the promising 4f, 5d, and 5c, which showed the highest cytotoxic activity against HCT-116 cells and promising EGFR inhibition, these compounds were tested against the PI3K/akt/mTOR pathway.

The three compounds exhibited promising inhibitory activity against this pathway. Interestingly, 4f showed remarkable activity against PI3K/akt/mTOR, reducing the concentrations in the control condition from 2.29 and 5.53 μM, which is similar but lower value than that of Tamoxifen (IC₅₀ 5.12 ± 0.36 μM). Almost all of the tested compounds showed potent activity against the HCT-116 cell line compared to that of the control, and 4f showed excellent potency (IC₅₀ of 0.50 ± 0.080 μM). Compounds 4e, 4f, 4h, 5a, 5b, 5c, and 5d displayed potent activity against the HepG2 cell line (IC₅₀ between 3.01 and 5.60 μM) and thus activity similar to that of Tamoxifen (IC₅₀ 2.45 ± 0.20 μM). Based on the docking studies’ scores, we selected 4f, 5c, 5d, Erlotinib, and Tamoxifen for further molecular docking studies. The binding interaction analysis and examination of physicochemical properties revealed that 4f, 5c, and 5d outperformed Erlotinib and Tamoxifen. Following the molecular target, 4f exhibited potent EGFR inhibitory activity, with an IC₅₀ value of 61 nM (Tamoxifen, IC₅₀ = 69 nM). Additionally, for the downstreaming pathway of PI3K/akt/mTOR, 4f showed remarkable inhibitory activity, reducing the expression of these genes 1.08-, 0.27-, and 0.39-fold, respectively. Finally, 4f induced apoptosis in HCT-116 cells by upregulating the pro-apoptotic genes p53, Bax, and caspase-3, -8, and -9, while it downregulated the antiapoptotic gene Bcl-2. We conclude that novel mono- and bis(dimethylpyrazolyl)-s-triazine derivatives are potent inhibitors of EGFR/PI3K/akt/mTOR.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Unless stated otherwise, reagents were obtained from commercial sources, such as Sigma-Aldrich (Chemie GmbH, Taufkirchen, Germany), and used without further purification. The synthesized compounds were fully characterized. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Merck silica gel 60 F254, 20 × 20 cm plates, and visualized using a 254 nm UV lamp. A standard rotary evaporator was used for vacuumed removal of the solvents. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ and DMSO-d₆ on a JEOL spectrometer (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) (400 or 500 MHz). Infrared spectra were recorded on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet IS10 FT-IR spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Rigaku Oxford Diffraction Supernova diffractometer and processed with CrysAlisPro software v. 1.171.41.93a (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, Yarnton, UK, 2020) using Cu Kα radiation.

**General Procedure for the Synthesis of Mono- and Bispyrazolyl-s-triazine Derivatives.** Mono-pyrazolyl-s-triazine derivatives 4a—l and bis-pyrazolyl-s-triazine 5a—d were prepared from the reaction of the hydrazine-s-triazine derivatives with acetylatedone in the presence of triethylamine as a base following the methods reported by our group and others.²⁸,³⁵,³⁷,³⁸ to give the desired products in good yield and purity (Material and Methods, SI).

### CONCLUSION

In summary, we synthesized new mono- and bis(dimethylpyrazolyl)-s-triazine derivatives and studied their activity against the MCF-7, HCT116, and HepG2 cancer cell lines. All of the compounds showed moderate to good cytotoxicity against the three lines in comparison to Tamoxifen as the control. Of these compounds, 4f, 4j, 4k, 5b, and 5c showed potent activity against MCF-7 cells, showing an IC₅₀ between 2.29 and 5.53 μM, which is a similar but lower value than that of Tamoxifen (IC₅₀ 5.12 ± 0.36 μM). Almost all of the tested compounds showed potent activity against the HCT-116 cell line compared to that of the control, and 4f showed excellent potency (IC₅₀ of 0.50 ± 0.080 μM). Compounds 4e, 4f, 4h, 5a, 5b, 5c, and 5d displayed potent activity against the HepG2 cell line (IC₅₀ between 3.01 and 5.60 μM) and thus activity similar to that of Tamoxifen (IC₅₀ 2.45 ± 0.20 μM). Based on the docking studies’ scores, we selected 4f, 5c, 5d, Erlotinib, and Tamoxifen for further molecular docking studies. The binding interaction analysis and examination of physicochemical properties revealed that 4f, 5c, and 5d outperformed Erlotinib and Tamoxifen. Following the molecular target, 4f exhibited potent EGFR inhibitory activity, with an IC₅₀ value of 61 nM (Tamoxifen, IC₅₀ = 69 nM). Additionally, for the downstreaming pathway of PI3K/akt/mTOR, 4f showed remarkable inhibitory activity, reducing the expression of these genes 1.08-, 0.27-, and 0.39-fold, respectively. Finally, 4f induced apoptosis in HCT-116 cells by upregulating the pro-apoptotic genes p53, Bax, and caspase-3, -8, and -9, while it downregulated the antiapoptotic gene Bcl-2. We conclude that novel mono- and bis(dimethylpyrazolyl)-s-triazine derivatives are potent inhibitors of EGFR/PI3K/akt/mTOR.
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