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A binary liquid near its consolute point exhibits critical fluctuations of local composition and a diverging correlation length. The method of choice to calculate critical points in the phase diagram is a finite-size scaling analysis, based on a sequence of simulations with widely different system sizes. Modern, massively parallel hardware facilitates that instead cubic sub-systems of one large simulation are used. Here, this alternative is applied to a symmetric binary liquid at critical composition and different routes to the critical temperature are compared: 1) fitting critical divergences of the composition structure factor, 2) scaling of fluctuations in sub-volumes, and 3) applying the cumulant intersection criterion to sub-systems. For the last route, two difficulties arise: sub-volumes are open systems, for which no precise estimate of the critical Binder cumulant $U_c$ is available. Second, the boundaries of the simulation box interfere with the sub-volumes, which is resolved here by a two-parameter finite-size scaling. The implied modification to the data analysis restores the common intersection point, yielding $U_c = 0.201 \pm 0.001$, universal for cubic Ising-like systems with free boundaries. Confluent corrections to scaling, which arise for small sub-system sizes, are quantified and the data are compatible with the universal correction exponent $\omega \approx 0.83$.

1. Introduction

The calculation of phase diagrams of fluid substances is of interest for manifold applications. A particularly challenging task is finding the loci of critical points, with the liquid–vapour critical point as a prominent example. Binary liquid mixtures, in addition, exhibit phase separation and the coexistence of differently composed liquid phases, which leads to a line of critical points in the phase diagram.\[1,2\] In the vicinity of these critical points, the fluid is characterized by diverging length and time scales,\[3–6\] which puts considerable difficulties on their simulation. The growth of the correlation length interferes with the finite size of the simulation box, with the consequences that the observed phase transition is rounded and shifted and critical divergences are capped.

In the past decade, the seemingly never ending growth in computing power was, among other factors, driven by a shift to massive parallelization, making molecular simulations of unprecedented system sizes and run lengths broadly available.\[7–13\] The use of huge systems mitigates artifacts due to a finite simulation box and allows, in principle, probing the critical divergences directly as one would do in an experiment. Yet, an elegant and conceptually superior alternative exploits the scale invariance of the critical fluid and turns the limitation of a finite system size into an advantage by explicitly following the divergences of certain fluid properties as a function of the system size.\[14,15\] The latter approach requires that the simulations at each thermodynamic state point are repeated for a wide range of system sizes, including comparably small systems. However, on prevalent massively parallel hardware such as high-performance graphics processing units (GPUs), it is not possible to run a set of independent simulations concurrently, and so the conventional finite-size scaling does not participate in substantial advances of computing hardware.

Both aspects, a systematic finite-size scaling and the efficient simulation of huge systems, can be combined in the finite-size scaling analysis of sub-systems. This old idea was carried out successfully for 2D and 3D Ising lattice models,\[14,15\] but its application to 2D Lennard–Jones (LJ) fluids was limited by the computing resources available 30 years ago and suffered from the insufficient separation of the two length scales,\[16–18\] The approach was revived only recently to determine critical points in the phase diagrams of 3D active suspensions;\[19,20\] in these studies, additional countermeasures were needed to avoid biased sampling by sub-volumes that contained an interface.

Compared to the conventional finite-size scaling, there are two important differences: boundary conditions are not periodic, and the system geometry is inherently described by two lengths: the
simulation box \( L \) and the sub-system size \( \epsilon \). In the limit of small sub-systems relative to the simulation volume (\( \epsilon \ll L \)), the sub-systems realize open systems in the sense of statistical mechanics; in particular, they can exchange heat and mass with a large reservoir. Open sub-systems are receiving increasing interest in the simulation community, motivated by studies of small-system thermodynamics\(^{[21,22]} \) but also liquid–vapour interfaces\(^{[23]} \) and by the finite-size scaling of Kirkwood–Buff integrals to calculate chemical potentials.\(^{[24,25]} \) On the other hand, methodological advances in adaptive resolution techniques permit the direct simulation of an open system coupled to one\(^{[26–28]} \) or many\(^{[29]} \) reservoirs acting as a thermodynamic mean field. The free boundary conditions, as realized by sufficiently small, open sub-systems, modify the fluctuations of density and composition.\(^{[32]} \)

In the context of critical phenomena, the critical amplitudes are known to be different for free and periodic boundary conditions,\(^{[30,31]} \) which applies also to the critical Binder cumulant \( U_c \) used to locate the critical temperature.\(^{[34,35]} \) Specifically, the value\(^{[32,33]} \) \( U_c \approx 0.6236 \pm 0.0002 \) is universal for cubic Ising-like systems with periodic boundaries and thus relevant for conventional finite-size scaling based on a sequence of simulations.\(^{[34,35]} \) For free boundary conditions, however, only a rough estimate \( U_c \approx 0.21 \) exists,\(^{[14]} \) and this lack of knowledge of \( U_c \) constitutes an obstacle to the straightforward application of sub-volume finite-size scaling. Another obstacle lies in the competition of the two lengths \( \epsilon \) and \( L \), that is, the size of the sub-system and that of the simulation box, which renders the effective boundary conditions non-ideal, that is, neither free nor periodic.

In this work, we revisit the sub-system scaling analysis in the vicinity of a critical point and compare different approaches to estimate the critical temperature. We introduce a two-parameter scaling ansatz that accounts explicitly for the two lengths \( \epsilon \) and \( L \), thereby suggesting a finite-size protocol suitable for finite simulation boxes. As a by-product, we improve the estimate of \( U_c \) for free boundaries by an order of magnitude. We demonstrate the method for a symmetric binary liquid of Lennard–Jones particles, which has pair interactions that are symmetric with respect to the two molecular species \( A \) and \( B \). Thus, the critical composition is a 1:1 mixture that exhibits phase separation into symmetric A-rich and B-rich phases, occurring in close analogy to the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the Ising model. This model system (and slight variants thereof) was studied extensively near consolute points using combinations of semi-grand canonical Monte Carlo (SGMC) methods and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations.\(^{[35–41]} \) In particular, cuts of the phase diagram in the temperature–composition and in the temperature–density planes are available, and the critical fluctuations of the local concentration were shown to scale as expected for the 3D-Ising universality class. Moreover, the coarsening kinetics and the critical singularities of the transport coefficients were characterized in great detail, corroborating theoretical expectations and being in agreement with the available experimental evidences.

After giving technical details on the model liquid and the simulations in Section 2, we analyze in Section 3 the critical behavior of the structure factor \( S(q) \) of local concentration fluctuations obtained from the whole, large simulation box for a range of wavenumbers \( k \). This is then contrasted in Section 4 by a scaling analysis of the concentration susceptibility \( \chi_c \), calculated from sub-volumes of different edge lengths \( \epsilon \). Both approaches yield already estimates of the critical temperature \( T_c \). Binder’s cumulant method based on sub-systems is carried out in Section 5 to improve these values and we show the necessity for the two-parameter scaling ansatz.

### 2. Model System and Simulation Details

The model of a symmetric binary mixture considered here\(^{[35,39]} \) employs pair interactions given by the truncated and force-shifted Lennard–Jones potential

\[
u_{\alpha\beta}(r) = u_{\alpha\beta}(|r|) - u_{\alpha\beta}(r) - (r - r_c) u'_{\alpha\beta}(r)
\]

for \( r \leq r_c \) with \( u_{\alpha\beta}(r) = 4\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}[r/\sigma_{\alpha\beta}]^{-12} - [r/\sigma_{\alpha\beta}]^{-6} \) as usual for particle species \( \alpha, \beta \in \{A, B\} \). All particles have the same diameter, \( \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \sigma \), and mass \( m \), but different interaction strengths, \( \epsilon_{AA} = \epsilon_{BB} = 2\epsilon_{AB} = \epsilon \). The number density \( \rho \) of the fluid is fixed at \( \rho\sigma^3 = 1 \) in order to reduce interferences with the liquid–vapour critical point. At critical composition \( (N_A = N_B) \), this binary fluid exhibits a continuous demixing transition at the critical temperature\(^{[35,39]} \) \( T_c \approx 1.421c/\epsilon \). Dimensionless quantities are indicated by an asterisk and are formed with \( \epsilon, \sigma, \tau := \sqrt{m\sigma^2/\epsilon} \) as units of energy, length, and time; for example, \( \rho^* := \rho\sigma^3 \) and \( T^* := k_B T/\epsilon \).

A typical MD workflow consists of thermalization and equilibration runs, followed by one or several production runs. For temperature control, we used a Nosé–Hoover thermostat as described in ref.\(^{[42]} \) for the initial equilibration in the canonical ensemble \( (N_A, N_B, V, T) \) fixed). Then, the thermostat was switched off and the system evolved microcanonically, that is, at fixed total energy, with a time step of \( \delta t = 0.001\tau \). The subsequent production run was performed microcanonically as well and particle configurations and observables such as Fourier modes of the density field were recorded every \( 10^3 \) integration steps. A single simulation run comprised an initial thermalization for \( 20000\tau \), followed by microcanonical equilibration and production runs (at fixed total energy \( E \)) over \( 50000 \) and \( 30000\tau \), respectively.

Further, for each temperature, the data were averaged over two independent runs and the error bars shown in the figures represent the standard errors of these means. The microcanonical ensemble was used because we have also calculated transport coefficients and time correlation functions (not discussed here) from the same set of simulations; the intermediate equilibration step is needed for proper temperature control in the NVE ensemble. For the cubic simulation box, periodic boundary conditions and an edge length of \( L \approx 44.4\sigma \) were chosen, corresponding to a total of \( 87808 \) particles. The home-grown code takes advantage of the massively parallel architecture of high-end graphics processing units (GPUs)\(^{[43–45]} \) and simulations were run on a GPU of type Tesla K20Xm (Nvidia Corp.).

Simulations for Figure 4 were performed at the anticipated critical temperature \( T^* = 1.421 \) with \( N = 108000 \) particles \( (L \approx 47.6\sigma) \) using the software HAL’s MD package.\(^{[46,47]} \) Particle configurations were stored every \( 10\tau \) in the structured, compressed, and portable H5MD format.\(^{[48]} \) Statistical data were averaged over three independent simulation cycles. Each cycle started with an initial thermalization at the target temperature over \( 1.5 \times 10^5\tau \) and a subsequent microcanonical equilibration of the same duration. Then the velocities of the final configuration were rescaled.
to impose a total (or: internal) energy of $E_{\text{int}} = -0.616e$, which corresponds to $T_c$. After further equilibration over $10^5\tau$, a data production run of the same length followed. This procedure allowed us to control the temperature of the microcanonical simulation with an accuracy of $\Delta T = 0.0003/k_B$. Each cycle required about 47 h on a single GPU of type Tesla V100-PCIe.

3. Correlation Length and Concentration Susceptibility

The structural properties of the system arise from two fluctuating fields: the density field $\delta \rho(r) = \delta \rho_A(r) + \delta \rho_B(r)$ and the concentration field $\delta c(r) = x_A \delta \rho_A(r) - x_B \delta \rho_B(r)$, where $\delta \rho_A(r)$ denotes the fluctuations of the microscopic, partial density of species $a$, $x_a = N_a/N$ is the mole fraction of the species, and $N = N_A + N_B$. The relevant order parameter is $\varphi = \langle |x_A - x_B| \rangle$, where the critical composition is $x_c = 1/2$ due to the symmetry of the mixture under investigation.

Critical fluctuations of the composition are quantified by the generalized static structure factor, $S_c(k) = N\langle \delta c(k)\delta c(0) \rangle$ with $\delta c(k) := \int e^{i k \cdot r} \delta c(r) \, dr$, which far away from the critical point is of the Ornstein–Zernike form for small wavenumber $k$,

$$S_c(k) \approx \rho k_B T \chi_0 \left[1 + (k\xi)^2 \right]^{-1/2}; \quad k\sigma \ll 1. \tag{2}$$

This asymptotic expression serves as definition for the (second-moment) correlation length $\xi(T)$ and the concentration susceptibility $\chi(T)$. Upon approaching the consolute point, the two quantities exhibit the familiar algebraic divergences

$$\xi(T) \simeq \xi_0 e^{-\gamma_T} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(T) \approx \chi_0 e^{-\gamma_T}; \quad T \downarrow T_c, \tag{3}$$

as functions of the reduced temperature $\varepsilon := |T - T_c|/T_c$. These critical laws are governed by the short-ranged Ising universality class for three dimensions, which fixes the exponents to their precisely known values, $\nu \approx 0.630$ and $\gamma \approx 1.237$, respectively[30]; the non-universal amplitudes $\xi_0$ and $\chi_0$ are system-specific[31]. Moreover, the static structure factor assumes the scaling form

$$S_c(k) = k^{-2\nu+\eta}s(k\xi); \quad k\sigma \ll 1, \quad \xi/\sigma \gg 1, \tag{4}$$

where the anomalous dimension $\eta = 2 - \nu/\gamma \approx 0.036$ characterizes the spatial decay of the OP correlations and $s(x)$ is a universal scaling function, which interpolates from the critical power-law, $s(x \gg 1) = \text{const}$, to the divergence of $S_c(k)$ at small wavenumber, $s(x \to 0) = x^{\nu-\eta}$; the latter encodes the scaling of the susceptibility. The anomalous scaling of $S_c(k)$ requires a modification of Equation (2) to the form[32]

$$S_c(k) \approx \rho k_B T \chi_0 \left[1 + (k\xi)^2 \right]^{-1+\eta/2}. \tag{5}$$

Our simulation data for $S_c(k)$ are well described by relations Equations (2) and (5), respectively, for temperatures ranging from $T^* = 1.70$ down to 1.43, see Figure 1a. Linear regression of $S_c(k)^{-1}$ as function of $(k\sigma)^2$ for $k\sigma \leq 1$ yields values for $\xi_0$ and $\chi_0$ (see inset); Equation (5) was used for $T^* \leq 1.60$. The data nicely follow the expected critical singularities over more than one decade in magnitude and for $\varepsilon \leq 0.1$ (see Figure 1b–d). Fitting both power laws to the respective data set provides us with first estimates of the critical temperature $T_c$ as well as the amplitudes $\xi_0$ and $\chi_0$. Using the correlation length data, we obtained $T_c^* = 1.421 \pm 0.001$ and $\xi_0 = (0.365 \pm 0.009)\sigma$, while the data for the concentration susceptibility yielded $T_c^* = 1.421 \pm 0.001$ and $\chi_0^* = 0.092 \pm 0.011$. The results for $T_c$ and $\xi_0$ are in excellent agreement with known results[35,39]. Merely, our value for $\chi_0^*$ is somewhat larger than the previously reported value[35] of $\chi_0^* = 0.076 \pm 0.006$.

4. Scaling Analysis of Sub-System Fluctuations

4.1. Concentration Susceptibility

In a typical semi-grand canonical Monte Carlo simulation, the fluctuations in the concentration are sampled by switching the particle identities at a predefined rate while keeping the total particle number fixed. Then by linear response theory, the susceptibility $\chi$ in the mixed phase is proportional to the variance of the fluctuating mole fraction $x_A = N_A/N$ of, for example, species $A$:

$$\rho k_B T \chi = N \langle (x_A - x_c)^2 \rangle; \quad T > T_c. \tag{6}$$
Scaling plots of the reduced susceptibility \( \chi^r(T) = \chi(T) / \sigma^2 \) according to Equation (7) with the correlation length \( \xi = \xi(T) \) obtained from the static structure factor as in Figure 1. The data collapse onto the scaling function \( \chi_0 \zeta(y) \) is tested for four different choices of the critical temperature \( T_c \) as written in each panel, which enters the reduced temperature \( \epsilon = (T - T_c) / T_c \). Black solid lines represent the critical asymptote as \( y \to 0 \), amended by the leading universal correction (Equation (9)), which employs the amplitudes \( z_0, z_1 \) from the fit to \( f^0 \) in Figure 3. The black dashed line in panel (c) is a fit to the large-\( y \) approximation (Equation (8)) of \( \chi_0 \zeta(y) \) and indicates the approach to the Gaussian fixed point, \( y \to \infty \). The amplitude \( \chi_0 \) (horizontal black line) estimated from this fit and its uncertainty (gray shaded region) are indicated.

The standard finite-size scaling of the susceptibility\(^{35,49}\) suggests that the concentration and replacing \( N \) by the average particle number \( N \) serves as a short-hand for the power law divergence given in Equation (3). The function \( Z(\cdot) \) is the appropriate scaling function that interpolates between the thermodynamic critical divergence \( (\epsilon / \xi \zeta)^{1/2} \sigma \) fixed) and the finite-size scaling at criticality \( (y \to \infty, \epsilon \zeta \sigma)^{1/2} \). In the first limit, the scaling variable \( \gamma = \epsilon / \xi \zeta \) and the scaling function approaches unity exponentially fast,\(^{35}\) and a satisfactory description of the data for \( y \geq 3 \) follows the form

\[
Z(y \to \infty) \approx (1 - z_0 e^{-y^{1/\gamma}}),
\]

including the leading correction to scaling with \( z_0 \) and \( a_1 \) being system-specific parameters (see Figures 2 and 3). For the opposite limit \( y \to 0 \), we recall that for a finite system, all physical observables are analytic in the temperature, also at \( T_c \). For the susceptibility, it implies \( \chi(r)(T) \approx \chi(T) + O(\epsilon) \) as \( \epsilon \to 0 \) such that by comparing with Equation (7) the scaling function behaves as

\[
Z(y \to 0) \approx z_0 \zeta(x \zeta^0) \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\zeta^0} \right)^{y^{1/\gamma}}, \quad \epsilon \gg \sigma.
\]

More generally, one finds for the critical region near \( T_c \) a linear dependence on \( T \):

\[
\chi_r(T) \approx \chi_0 \epsilon^{-1/\gamma} \left[ 1 - \bar{z}_1 \epsilon^{1/\gamma} \left( T - T_c \right) \right]
\]

with coefficients \( \chi_0 \) and the scaling function \( R(y) \). Plotting the data for \( \epsilon^{-1/\gamma} \chi_r(T) \) for a range of temperatures and sub-system sizes as a function of \( y = \epsilon / \xi \zeta \) should yield data collapse onto the function \( \chi_0 Z(y) \). Figure 2 shows a sequence of such plots for different estimates of \( T_c \), which are informed by the provided that the symmetric mixture is at critical composition, \( \langle x_a \rangle_{\ell} = \langle x_b \rangle_{\ell} = x_c = 1/2 \); in practice, the averages are taken in the semi-grand canonical ensemble.\(^{35,36,39,41}\) The standard procedure for the finite-size scaling analysis is then based on a sequence of simulations for different boxes of linear extent \( L \) to determine \( \chi(T; L) \) for each value of \( L \) and to study the behavior as \( L \to \infty \).

Above, we extrapolated the composition structure factor by virtue of its specific wavenumber dependence, Equation (5), to access the susceptibility, \( S_{\omega}(k \to 0) \approx \rho k T \chi \). Such a systematic change of the wavenumber has a close analogy to the described finite-size scaling analysis. A finite system of size \( L \) does not support fluctuations on length scales larger than \( L \) and, likewise, the correlations contained in \( S_{\omega}(k) \) for given \( k \) stem essentially from fluctuations on length scales \( \lesssim 2\pi / k \). Thus, the value \( S_{\omega}(k)/\rho k T \) may be interpreted as the effective susceptibility of a finite system of size \( 2\pi / k \). Observing that a single simulation yields data for different wavenumbers suggests to perform the conventional scaling analysis but for a sequence of finite sub-systems\(^{14,15}\).

The idea is easily linked to Equation (6) by noting that sub-volumes of a large system represent open systems coupled to a (finite) particle reservoir. Within each sub-volume, particle number and concentration exhibit similar fluctuations as in the grand canonical ensemble, although they are still locally conserved\(^{31,32}\). Specifically, we partitioned the simulation box of linear extent \( L \) into \( m \) cubic sub-systems of edge length \( \ell = L / m \), and recorded the fluctuating particle numbers \( N^{r(\ell)} \) and \( N^{b(\ell)} \) for each sub-system; the total number of particles is denoted by \( N_r := N^{r(\ell)} + N^{b(\ell)} \). The susceptibility \( \chi_r \) is then calculated from Equation (6) by substituting \( x_a = N^{r(\ell)}/N_r \) for the instantaneous concentration and replacing \( N \) by the average particle number \( N \) as \( N/m^3 \) in each sub-system.

The standard finite-size scaling of the susceptibility\(^{35,49}\) suggests that \( \chi_r \) for \( T > T_c \) asymptotically obeys the scaling ansatz

\[
\chi_r(T) \approx \chi_0 \epsilon^{-1/\gamma} \zeta(\epsilon / \xi \zeta), \quad \epsilon, \xi \gg \sigma.
\]

where \( \epsilon = \epsilon(T) \) as before and \( \xi = \xi(T) \) serves as a short-hand for the power law divergence given in Equation (3). The function

\[
\xi(T) = \xi_0 \epsilon^{-1/\gamma} Z(\epsilon / \xi \zeta), \quad \epsilon, \xi \gg \sigma.
\]

in the semi-grand canonical ensemble.}\(^{35,36,39,41}\) The standard procedure for the finite-size scaling analysis as in Figure 1. The data collapse onto the scaling function \( \chi_0 \zeta(y) \) is tested for four different choices of the critical temperature \( T_c \) as written in each panel, which enters the reduced temperature \( \epsilon = (T - T_c) / T_c \). Black solid lines represent the critical asymptote as \( y \to 0 \), amended by the leading universal correction (Equation (9)), which employs the amplitudes \( z_0, z_1 \) from the fit to \( f^0 \) in Figure 3. The black dashed line in panel (c) is a fit to the large-\( y \) approximation (Equation (8)) of \( \chi_0 \zeta(y) \) and indicates the approach to the Gaussian fixed point, \( y \to \infty \). The amplitude \( \chi_0 \) (horizontal black line) estimated from this fit and its uncertainty (gray shaded region) are indicated.

Figure 2. Scaling plots of the reduced susceptibility \( \chi^r(T) = \chi(T) / \sigma^2 \) according to Equation (7) with the correlation length \( \xi = \xi(T) \) obtained from the static structure factor as in Figure 1. The data collapse onto the scaling function \( \chi_0 \zeta(y) \) is tested for four different choices of the critical temperature \( T_c \) as written in each panel, which enters the reduced temperature \( \epsilon = (T - T_c) / T_c \). Black solid lines represent the critical asymptote as \( y \to 0 \), amended by the leading universal correction (Equation (9)), which employs the amplitudes \( z_0, z_1 \) from the fit to \( f^0 \) in Figure 3. The black dashed line in panel (c) is a fit to the large-\( y \) approximation (Equation (8)) of \( \chi_0 \zeta(y) \) and indicates the approach to the Gaussian fixed point, \( y \to \infty \). The amplitude \( \chi_0 \) (horizontal black line) estimated from this fit and its uncertainty (gray shaded region) are indicated.
converge and, in principle, one could read off $X_0$ directly. However, a more robust approach should allow for corrections to scaling. Equation (8), which suggests to fit this form to the data with $X_0$, $z_{\infty}$ and $a$, as parameters. Even though the range of values for large $y$ is severely limited, we performed an asymptotic fit as $y \to \infty$ to estimate the amplitude $X_0$, yielding $X_0 = 0.080 \pm 0.002$ and thereby improving our earlier estimate of $X_0 = 0.092 \pm 0.011$. The value of $a$, from the best fit comes out to be $a = 0.11 \pm 0.02$ and that of $z_{\infty} = 1.0 \pm 0.3$.

### 4.2. Order Parameter Distribution

The concentration susceptibility $\chi$ is essentially the variance of the fluctuating order parameter $\phi := x_\lambda - x$, see Equation (6), and thus merely one characteristic of the statistical distribution of $\phi$. A more general description is in terms of the probability density $P_y(\phi; T)$ of $\phi$ for sub-system size $\ell^y$ and temperature $T$, which also admits a finite-size scaling hypothesis:\[^{14,15}\]

$$P_y(\phi; T) \approx a e^{\phi/y} P\left( a e^{\phi/y} \phi, \ell / \xi(T) \right), \quad \ell / \xi(T) \gg \sigma$$

with a universal scaling function $P\left( \hat{y}, \ell / \xi \right)$, where $\hat{y} := a e^{\phi/y}$ and $y := \ell / \xi(T)$, and a scale factor $a$ such that

$$a^2 = k_b T X_0 e^{\sigma/y}$$

as usual, the critical exponent $\xi^{(50)} \beta \approx 0.326$ describes the scaling of the order parameter as $T \uparrow T_c$.

By normalization of $P_y$, it holds $\int_0^\infty P_y(\hat{y}, \ell / \xi) d\hat{y} = 1$ for all $y$. At critical composition of the mixture, $\langle x_{\lambda} \rangle_c = x_c$, the first moment of $P_y$ vanishes due to symmetry, $\langle \phi \rangle = 0$. The second moment encodes the scaling of the susceptibility,

$$X_\ell(T) = \frac{\ell^d}{k_b T} \int d\phi \phi^2 P_y(\phi; T)$$

$$\simeq \frac{\ell^{d-2d\beta/\nu}}{a^2 k_b T} \int d\hat{y} \hat{y}^2 P\left( \hat{y}, \ell / \xi \right)$$

by virtue of the definition of $X_\ell$, analogous to Equation (6), with $\langle N_\lambda \rangle_c = \rho e^\epsilon$, and using Equation (12) in the second step. For every value of $\gamma = \ell / \xi$, the $\hat{y}$-integral yields a constant $f_\ell(\gamma)$. Invoking the hyper-scaling relations[^{30}] $\gamma / \nu = 2 - \eta = d - 2\beta / \nu$, we recover Equation (7):

$$X_\ell(T) \approx \frac{\ell^{\gamma/\nu}}{a^2 k_b T} f_\ell(\epsilon / \xi)$$

$$\approx X_0 e^{\sigma T} \xi / (1 + \epsilon) Z(\epsilon / \xi), \quad \ell / \xi \gg \sigma,$$

upon identifying the scaling function as $Z(y) = y^\gamma / \xi y^2\gamma$, substituting $\xi = \xi_0 e^{-\epsilon}$ and $k_b T = k_b T_c (1 + \epsilon)$, and due to our choice of $a$.

Similarly, one readily obtains for the $k^\text{th}$ moment of the order parameter the scaling form

$$\langle \phi^k \rangle_c := \int d\phi \phi^k P_y(\phi; T)$$

...
of practical importance is how quickly does an observable such as \(\chi\) of the system that fade out upon coarse-graining by the RG flow; in dimensionless form is defined as

\[
\chi(T) = \chi_0 e^{-\gamma T} Z(\ell', \xi; u_{\text{mod}}), \quad \ell', \xi \gg \sigma,
\]

which defines universal scaling functions \(f_c\) for \(k = 1, 2, \ldots\) If \(P_2(\phi)\) is symmetric, \(\langle \phi^k \rangle = 0\) for odd \(k\). In Figure 3, we test this scaling prediction for \(k = 2\) and \(4\) on the sub-system analysis of the present binary fluid: plotting \(\ell' e^{-\gamma T} f_c(\ell'; \xi)\) against \(\ell' / \xi\) the data collapse nicely onto the functions \(f_c\) over the full range 0.05 \(\leq \ell' / \xi \leq 20\).

In the regime \(\gamma \gg 1\), that is, for large, near-critical sub-systems, the distribution \(P_2(\phi)\) is Gaussian, which is inherited to the scaling function \(P(\ell', y)\) being Gaussian in its first argument with zero mean and variance \(c_1\). In this case, the moments and thus the functions \(f_c\) are related to each other since all cumulants except the first two vanish. Specifically, \(\langle \phi^k \rangle_c = 3 \langle \phi^2 \rangle_c^k\) for \(k = 2, 4\) as predicted from the equivalent expression (11) by focussing on the behavior at the critical point (\(y = 0\)). In this case, Equation (23) implies for the susceptibility at \(T = T_c\):

\[
\chi_c(T_c) \approx z_0 \chi_0 \left( \frac{\ell'}{\xi_0} \right)^{\gamma / \nu} \left[ 1 + c_0 (\ell' / \xi_0)^{-\omega} \right], \quad \ell' \gg \sigma,
\]

replacing \(z_0\) by \(z_0 (1 + c_i e^{-\omega})\) for \(i = 0, 1, \infty\); the value of \(u\) has been absorbed in the amplitudes \(c_i\). The procedure turns Equation (9) into

\[
Z(y; u e^{-\omega}) \approx z_0 \chi_0 \left( 1 - z_0 \chi_0 + z_0 e^{-\omega} - z_0 z_1 e^{-\omega} \right)
\]

for \(y \to 0\) and \(\ell' \gg \sigma\). Clearly, there are two types of leading corrections to the critical divergence of \(\chi_c\); one type scales as \(y^{\omega / \nu}\) and is removed by fine-tuning of the temperature to its critical value.

4.3. Confluent Corrections to Scaling

In the preceding sections, we discussed the asymptotic behavior for large sub-system sizes \(\ell' \gg \sigma\). However, large sub-system sizes are challenging to reach in simulations and a question of practical importance is how quickly does an observable such as \(\chi_c(T)\) approach its leading power-law asymptote as \(\ell'\) is increased? Renormalization group (RG) theory explains how these so-called confluent corrections to scaling emerge from irrelevant scaling variables.\(^{155,156}\) These variables encode microscopic details of the system that fade out upon coarse-graining by the RG flow; yet, the confluent corrections are associated with universal critical exponents. This type of corrections was analysed in simulation studies of, for example, the 3D Ising model, \(^{155,156}\) the 3D Heisenberg model, \(^{51}\) the statistics of percolation clusters, \(^{158,159}\) and critical transport on such structures.\(^{159,160}\)

For the susceptibility, we include the leading confluent correction in our discussion by extending the scaling ansatz Equation (7) by an irrelevant scaling variable \(u\)

\[
\chi_c(T) \approx \chi_0 e^{-\gamma T} Z(\ell', \xi; u_{\text{mod}}), \quad \ell', \xi \gg \sigma,
\]

with the 3D-Ising correction exponent\(^{150,155,156,161}\) \(\omega \approx 0.83\); here, \(y = \ell' / \xi\) means \(y = (\ell' / \xi_0) e^{-\omega}\). For finite systems, the function \(\chi_c\) is analytic in \(T\), but also in \(u\); in particular, the scaling function \(Z\) is analytic in its second argument and obeys \(Z(y, 0) = Z(y)\). As a consequence, the amplitudes \(z_0, z_1, z_{\infty}\) in Equations (8) and (9) depend on \(u e^{-\omega}\) and can be expanded in this parameter for large \(\ell'\) (keeping \(u\) fixed). At leading order, this amounts to

\[
\chi_c(T) \approx \chi_0 e^{-\gamma T} Z(\ell', \xi; u_{\text{mod}}), \quad \ell', \xi \gg \sigma,
\]
into account, we have fitted Equation (24) to the data in Figure 4 with only $z_0$ and $c_0$ as free parameters. The fit was restricted to $3.5 \leq \ell / \sigma \leq 7.5$ and yielded $z_0 = 0.085(5)$ and $c_0 = 2.8(3)$, where the errors were estimated from varying the bounds of the fit window by $\pm 0.5 \sigma$. Note that this value of $z_0$ is slightly smaller than the one obtained previously from fits to the temperature scaling of the 2nd moment (Figure 3). The obtained curve is a reasonable description of the data and compatible with confluent corrections that scale as $\epsilon^{\nu\epsilon}$ at leading order. In Figures 2 and 3, this type of correction appears to be of minor importance, it would be visible as deviations from the data collapse for small $\epsilon$ and arbitrary $\xi$.  

5. Binder Cumulant for Sub-Systems

The data collapsing approach to determine $T_\nu$ as described in Section 4.1 has some subjective component. A superior method to locate a continuous phase transition was established by$^{[14,15]}$ and is based on the 4th normalized cumulant $U_4(T)$ of the order parameter distribution; it is closely related to the kurtosis used in descriptive statistics. Close to criticality, the composition fluctuations $\phi = x_i - \bar{x}_i$ are symmetric under sign change and one defines the dimensionless ratio

$$U_4(T) = 1 - \frac{\langle \phi^4 \rangle_{\epsilon}}{3\langle \phi^2 \rangle_{\epsilon}^2},$$  

(25)

where the subscript $\epsilon$, as before, denotes the linear extent of the (sub-)system. At high temperature, the fluctuations are of Gaussian nature, and thus $U_4(T \gg T_c) \rightarrow 0$. Far below the critical temperature $T_c$, the distribution has two sharp peaks at the coexisting compositions, and the Binder cumulant tends to $U_4(T \ll T_c) \rightarrow 2/3$. As the critical temperature is approached from either side of $T_c$, the correlation length in the system diverges and the critical divergences of the moments cancel (cf. Equation (20)) so that $U_4(T) := U_4$ remains finite and becomes independent of the sub-system size $\epsilon$. The critical Binder cumulant $U_4 = 1 - \frac{\langle \phi^4 \rangle}{3\langle \phi^2 \rangle^2}$ is a universal property of the critical renormalization group fixed point and as such depends only on the boundary conditions and the geometric shape of the sub-system.$^{[14,15,30,31,62,63]}

The analyzed open sub-systems mimic a grand canonical ensemble in the limit of an infinitely large reservoir, $L \rightarrow \infty$, which is relaxed in practice to the condition that the sub-system size $\epsilon$ does not compete with the finite extent $L$ of the whole simulation box, $\epsilon \ll L$; see ref. [23] for a quantitative estimate. We consider the idealized case $L \rightarrow \infty$ first, corrections due to the finite simulation box are studied in Section 5.4.  

5.1. Common Intersection Point

The basis of our discussion of the 4th cumulant is the general scaling ansatz$^{[15]}$

$$U_4(T) = U^*(\epsilon^{1/\nu} \epsilon) \cdot \epsilon = (T - T_c)/T_c,$$  

(26)

employing a scaling function $U^*(\cdot)$ that is analytic since $U_4(T)$ describes finite (sub-)volumes and depends smoothly on temperature. Scaling is expected to hold when all length scales are sufficiently large, in particular, when $\epsilon \gg \sigma$; the ratio $\epsilon / \xi(T)$ is controlled by the scaling variable $x := \epsilon^{1/\nu} \epsilon$. Moreover, $U^*(x)$ fulfills $U^*(0) = U_4$ and interpolates between the limits $U^*(x \rightarrow \infty) = 0$ and $U^*(x \rightarrow -\infty) = 2/3$. Expanding Equation (26) for small argument shows that, near criticality, the quantity $U_4(T)$ varies linearly with temperature around $U_4^*$:

$$U_4(T) \approx U_4^* + u_1 \epsilon^{1/\nu} (T - T^*), \quad T \rightarrow T^*,$$  

(27)

where $u_1 := U^{*'}(0) T^{-1}$ is a non-universal constant.

Accordingly, a family of curves $U_4(T)$ for different sub-system sizes $\epsilon \ll \xi(T)$ has a common intersection point at $(T_c, U_c)$ in an asymptotic sense, which suggests a procedure to locate the critical temperature $T_c$. It requires the simulation of one large system for a number of temperatures sufficiently close to $T_c$, which in itself is challenging due to critical slowing down. Our simulation results for sub-system sizes $\epsilon = L/n$ with $m = 6, 8, 10, 12$ and $L \approx 44.4\sigma$ are shown in Figure 5a,b. The data exhibit a common intersection point as anticipated from Equation (27), and we read off $T_c^* = 1.419$, in reasonable agreement with our previous result; the critical value of the Binder cumulant $U_4 = 0.22 \pm 0.01$ is close to the earlier reported value in ref. [14] for Ising spins on a lattice.  

5.2. Scaling Function

A more physics-adapted way of writing the scaling form Equation (26) for $T > T_c$ is $U_4(T) = U^*_\nu(\epsilon / \xi) + U^*_y(y \geq 0) = U^*(\langle \xi \rangle^{1/\nu})$, noting that $\epsilon \approx (\xi / z_0)^{1/\nu}$ in the critical region. Instead, plotting our results for $U_4(T)$ against $y = \epsilon / \xi(T)$ for different values of $\epsilon$ yields data collapse onto the scaling function $U^*_\nu(y)$ (see Figure 6). For small arguments, that is, taking $T \rightarrow T^*$ for $\epsilon$ fixed, the data converge to $U^*_\nu(y \rightarrow 0) = U^*_y$. Near $\epsilon / \xi \approx 1$, a crossover occurs to the high-temperature regime (which implies small $\xi$, that is, $\epsilon / \xi \rightarrow \infty$), where the fluctuations are Gaussian and thus $U^*_\nu(y \rightarrow \infty) = 0$.

What can be said about the convergence to the Gaussian regime, that is, the asymptotics of $U^*_\nu(y \rightarrow \infty)$? An intuitive argument assumes that the sub-volume of linear extent $\epsilon$ can be divided into independent “correlation blobs” of size $\xi$ and invokes a standard proof of the central limit theorem: consider the sum $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ of $n$ independent random variables $X_i$ that are identically distributed according to some characteristic function $\varphi_X(i)$ with variance $\sigma_X^2 < \infty$. Then $Y$ has the characteristic function $\varphi_Y(i) = (\varphi_X(i))^n$ and its cumulants $\mu_k$ are generated by $n \log \varphi_X(i)$, which shows that $\mu_k \propto n$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. The normalized variable $Y/\sigma_Y$ with $\sigma_Y^2 := n \sigma_X^2/n$ becomes Gaussian as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since its cumulants follow $\mu_k \sigma_Y^2 \propto n^{k/2}$ and vanish for $k \geq 3$.

In the present context, we have to consider $Y/n$ instead of $Y$ since the concentration $x_i$ in a sub-volume of size $\epsilon$ is given by the arithmetic mean of the values of $x_i$ each of the $n = (\epsilon / \xi)^d$ correlation blobs. The cumulants of $Y/n$ are proportional to $n^{1/4}$, such that the normalized cumulant (Equation (25)) vanishes as $U^*_\nu \propto n^{-3}(n^{-1})^2 = n^{-1}$. Thus, we expect that $U^*_\nu(y) \sim y^{-d}$ for $y = \epsilon / \xi \rightarrow \infty$, here $d = 3$. Despite the limited availability of data for $U_4$ in this regime, there is numerical evidence for the scaling $U_4 \sim y^{-3}$ for $y \geq 3$ as shown in Figure 6. This scaling co-
incides with the behavior derived for conventional finite-size scaling $U_i \sim L^{-3}$ (see Equation (14) of ref. [14]).

Eventually, using $x = (\epsilon' / \xi)^{1/3}$ as the scaling variable rectifies the critical power-law $U_i(y) \sim U_i \sim y^{1/3}$ for $y \to 0$ as a straight line, $U_i(x) = U_i \sim x$ (Equation (27)), which is supported by the inset of Figure 6.

### 5.3. Cumulant Ratios

An alternative method for estimating $T_c$ from $U_\nu(T)$ that does not depend on the value of $U_i$ is to consider the ratio $U_\nu / U_i$ as function of temperature, where $\epsilon' > \epsilon''$ are two different sub-system sizes. For any choice of $\epsilon'$ and $\epsilon''$, the ratio $U_\nu / U_i = 1$ at $T = T_c$, since $U_\nu(T)$ is independent of $\epsilon'$ at the critical point, see Figure 5(c). The behavior for $T \to T_c$ follows from Equation (27)

$$
\frac{U_\nu(T)}{U_i(T)} \approx 1 + \frac{U_i}{U_c} \left( 1 - \left( \epsilon' / \epsilon'' \right)^{-1/3} \right)(T - T_c),
$$

which allows for a linear regression of the data for $U_\nu / U_i$ near $T_c$ to find the intersection with unity. A larger slope is achieved for a larger sub-system size $\epsilon'$ and a larger ratio $\epsilon' / \epsilon''$, whereas $\epsilon'' \gg \sigma$ must not be chosen too small. From this, we inferred $k_b T_c / \epsilon = 1.4204 \pm 0.0008$, consistent with and slightly improving our previous estimates of $T_c$ given above.

The determination of the critical Binder cumulant $U_i$ follows a similar approach. From the foregoing discussion, it is clear that the graph of $U_i(T)$ versus $U_\nu(T)$ for a given choice of $\epsilon'$ and $\epsilon''$ displays a fixed point at $U_i$. Thus, $U_i$ can be determined from the intersection of this graph with the diagonal, $U_i = U_\nu$. Close to criticality, solving Equation (27) for $T$ and substituting into $U_\nu(T)$ yields a linear relationship between $U_\nu$ and $U_i$ (at the same temperature):

$$
U_\nu = U_i + \left( \epsilon' / \epsilon'' \right)^{-1/3} (U_i - U_c) \quad ; \quad U_i \to U_c.
$$

Thus, $U_i$ will be the only free parameter in a linear regression of the data for $(U_i, U_\nu)$. The procedure is illustrated in Figure 7 with three different choices of $(\epsilon', \epsilon'')$. The data for $U_i(T)$ plotted against $U_\nu(T)$ for a range of temperatures $T$ and fixed $(\epsilon', \epsilon'')$ do indeed fall on straight lines as inferred from Equation (27). However, the slopes do not match with the prediction $(\epsilon' / \epsilon'')^{-1/3}$ of Equation (29), which points at a deficiency of the ansatz Equation (26). Nevertheless, permitting both $U_i$ and the slope as fit parameters yields approximately a common intersection point of the straight lines at $U_i \approx 0.22$ (Figure 7b). A close-up of this intersection region reveals appreciable differences between the
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Taking \( L \to \infty \) yields Equation (26), and in terms of the scaling functions: 

\[ U(x) = U(x, a \to 0) \]

The function \( \tilde{U}(x, a) \) is analytic in \( x = 0 \) for any fixed \( a \geq 0 \), which we infer from the fact, used before, that in a finite system thermodynamic observables depend smoothly on temperature. The dependence on \( a \), on the other hand, is not known albeit an exponentially fast approach to the thermodynamic limit is not unlikely: 

\[ \tilde{U}(x, a) - \tilde{U}(x, 0) = O(e^{-1/a}) \text{ as } a \to 0. \]

Expanding Equation (30) around \( x = 0 \) yields close to criticality:

\[ U_c(T; L) \approx \tilde{U}(\xi/L) + \tilde{u}_1(\xi/L) \epsilon^{1/\beta}(T - T_c) \]

(31)

as \( T \to T_c \), where we introduced an effective critical cumulant as \( \tilde{U}_c(\alpha) := \tilde{U}(0, \alpha) \) and the geometry-dependent coefficient \( \tilde{u}_1(\alpha) := T_c^{-1} \partial_x \tilde{U}(x, \alpha)|_{x=0} \). It becomes evident from Equation (31) that the curves \( U_c(T; L) \) vs. \( T \) for different \( \epsilon \), but the same system size \( L \), do not have a common intersection point. Such a point emerges only asymptotically for \( \epsilon/L \) sufficiently small such that \( U \) and \( \tilde{u}_1 \) do not depend appreciably on \( \epsilon/L \), that is, in the limit \( L \to \infty \).

Nevertheless, a common intersection point at \((T_c, \tilde{U}(\xi/L))\) is achieved for fixed ratios \( a = \epsilon/L \), since then the \( \epsilon \)-dependence enters only the term proportional to \( T - T_c \) in Equation (31). In practice, the reciprocal \( m = 1/\alpha \) is an integer number counting the sub-volumes (along each axis) that fit into the whole system. Thus, the refined analysis procedure accounting for finite system sizes \( L < \infty \) would be as follows: From a set of simulations for a few system sizes \( L \) and many \( T \) values in the critical regime, compute the sub-system statistics and \( U_c(T; L) \) in particular for selected values of \( \alpha \). Data for different \( \alpha \) are analyzed separately. For given \( \alpha \), plotting \( U_{\alpha L}(T; L)/U_{\alpha L}(T; L') \) as function of \( T \), the value of \( T_c(\epsilon/L) \) can be read off from the intersection with unity; more precisely, it follows from the linear regression according to (cf. Equation (28))

\[ \frac{U_{\alpha L}(T; L)}{U_{\alpha L}(T; L')} \approx 1 + \frac{\tilde{u}_1(\alpha)}{\tilde{U}_c(\alpha)} (aL)^{1/\beta} \left[ 1 - (L'/L)^{1/\beta} \right] (T - T_c) \]

(32)

in the limit \( T \to T_c \).

Since Equation (32) follows directly from Equation (31), we test this finite size scaling analysis on the measured data for the Binder cumulant. To this end, we consider \( U_{\alpha L}(T) \) as a function of temperature for different combinations of \( \epsilon \) and \( L \) but with \( \alpha \) fixed. We have carried out additional simulations with different box lengths varying from \( L \approx 31.7 \sigma \) (32 000 particles) to \( L \approx 50.8 \sigma \) (131 072 particles), and the results of the analysis are shown in Figure 8.

Since \( T_c(\alpha) \) and \( U_c(\alpha) \) are a priori not known, we fitted the data \( U_{\alpha L} \) near the critical temperature to a linear function (Equation (31)). For each value of \( \alpha \), this lines exhibit a well-defined common intersection point, from which we have read off \( T_c(\alpha) \) and \( U_c(\alpha) \) (Figure 8a,b). We note that for \( \alpha^{-1} \geq 10 \), no common intersection point could be detected due to almost equal slopes, why we restricted the analysis to \( \alpha^{-1} \approx 3, 4, \ldots, 9 \). The values obtained for \( U_c(\alpha) \) and \( T_c(\alpha) \) show a considerable dependence on \( \alpha \), yet they converge as \( \alpha \to 0 \) (Figure 8c,d). An extrapolation of the data to this limit, that is, for \( L \gg \epsilon \), yields our final esti-
mates of i) the universal value for the critical Binder cumulant \( U_c(0) = 0.201 \pm 0.001 \) and ii) the critical temperature \( T_c = 1.421 \pm 0.001 \) specific to the investigated binary liquid.

6. Summary and Conclusions

We have given a comprehensive analysis of the local order parameter fluctuations in open sub-systems and compared different approaches to locate the critical temperature \( T_c \). The applicability of the procedures was demonstrated for a symmetric binary liquid with known phase diagram, fully based on molecular dynamics simulations of one large system. Thus, such simulations provide an alternative to (semi-)grand canonical Monte Carlo schemes, and arguably have the advantage of simultaneously probing the dynamic properties of the system, for example, transport coefficients (which we have not discussed here). Complementary, the study of the static structure factor calculated for a large system size yields the critical divergences of the correlation length and the susceptibility (Figure 1), which were found to be compatible with the 3D-Ising universality class as expected and from which we get a first estimate of \( T_c \).

For the composition fluctuations, obtained from the particle number statistics in cubic sub-volumes, we have shown that the standard finite-size scaling procedures, as for a sequence of simulations with periodic boundaries, are successful if the edge length \( L \) of the simulation box is replaced by that of the of sub-volume (\( \ell \)). In particular, the data for the susceptibility \( \chi_c(T) \) and the 4th moment \( \langle \phi^4 \rangle_c \), collapse onto master curves after appropriate rescaling (see Equations (7) and (20)) and if \( T_c \) is chosen properly (Figures 2 and 3). Confluent corrections to scaling are compatible with a decay \( \sim \ell^{-\alpha} \) with \( \alpha \approx 0.83 \) and are practically relevant due to computational limitations on exploring the ideal scaling regime \( \sigma \ll \ell \ll L \). For example, the susceptibility at \( T = T_c \), deviates from its critical power-law divergence by still 20\% for a sub-system size of \( \ell = 10 \sigma \), which corresponds to \( \ell \approx L/5 \) for the comparably large simulation box used here (Figure 4).

Further, we have found that the Binder cumulant \( U_c(T) \) of the sub-systems, plotted against temperature \( T \), yields only an apparent common intersection point (Figure 5). Nevertheless, it yields \( T_c \) to an accuracy of about 0.2\% in our example, where we partitioned the simulation box into \( m^3 \) cubes for \( m = 6, \ldots, 12 \) with \( \ell = 3.7 \sigma \) for the smallest sub-systems. Here, it was favorable to consider the cumulant ratios \( U_c/T_c \), which does not require knowledge of the critical value \( U_c \). Due to the free boundary conditions, the latter adopts a universal value\(^{(23)} \) \( U_c \approx 0.2 \) that is very different from its 3D-Ising value for periodic boundaries \( (U_c^{3D} \approx 0.624) \).

Extending the finite-size scaling ansatz (Equation (26)) for \( U_c(T) \) by the aspect ratio \( \alpha = \ell/L \) as a second scaling variable, we have shown that a true common intersection point is predicted asymptotically and observed in our simulation data, provided that lines of constant \( \ell/L \) are considered (Equation (31) and Figure 8). A disadvantage of this more correct approach is that it requires again a sequence of simulations for a number of large system sizes, so that \( \ell \) can be varied while keeping \( \ell/L \) fixed. Despite the existence of a common intersection point for aspect ratios even close to unity (e.g., \( \alpha = 1/3 \)), we showed that its location \( (U_c, T_c) \) can sensitively depend on \( \alpha \), but converged for \( \alpha \leq 0.1 \) in our case. A similarly large aspect ratio between sub-system

---

Figure 8. a,b) Binder cumulant \( U_c(T; L) \) as a function of temperature for fixed ratios \( \alpha = \ell/L \) between the sizes of the sub-system (\( \ell \)) and the simulation box (\( L \)) as indicated in each panel. Linear fits (solid lines) in the critical regime exhibit a common intersection point at \( (U_c(0), T_c(0)) \), which determines effective, \( \alpha \)-dependent values for \( U_c \) and \( T_c \) according to Equation (31). c,d) Results for \( U_c(0) \) and \( T_c(0) \), respectively, obtained from aspect ratios of \( \alpha = 1, 3, \ldots, 9 \) for system sizes ranging from \( L = 31.7 \sigma \) to \( 50.8 \sigma \). Solid lines are smooth interpolations of the data to guide the eye.
and overall simulation box is needed to establish free boundary conditions on the surfaces of the sub-system as derived by one of us recently.[12] From the extrapolation $a \to 0$, we improved previous estimates of the critical Binder cumulant to $U_c = 0.201 \pm 0.001$; the value applies for physical systems in the short-ranged 3D-Ising universality class and if cubic domains with free boundaries are considered. Interestingly, our estimates for the critical temperature, $T_c = 1.421 \pm 0.001$, did not change appreciably for the various approaches used here and are in agreement with previously reported values for this particular model system.[35,39]

In conclusion, we have shown that the analysis of open sub-systems offers a reliable method to locate critical points, thereby taking advantage of large-scale simulations facilitated by massively parallel computing hardware. A complication arises due to the interference of the sub-system size $\ell$ with the size $L$ of the simulation box, which requires a finite-size scaling procedure with $\ell/L$ fixed; the latter defeats the idea of sticking to a single, large value of $L$. Only for $\ell/L \leq 1/10$ or even less, free boundary conditions are effectively realized on the sub-volume surfaces and the dependence on $L$ drops out. In many practical situations, this allows resorting to the simplified analysis with $L$ fixed (Figure 5), that is, based on one or few simulation runs of one large system. On the other end of the scale, we found that sub-volume sizes as small as $\ell \approx 3–4\sigma$ still permit scaling, so that the choice $L \approx 50–100\sigma$ yields sufficient room for varying the sub-system size $\ell$. A spin-off from a large ratio $m = L/\ell$ is that the simulation data at a single instance in time permit a statistical average over $m^3$ sub-systems, similarly as contributions at small wavenumbers $k$ to the structure factor $S_g(k)$ are self-averaging. Eventually, the presented sub-system analysis combined with the two-parameter scaling should also be applicable to the more challenging study of asymmetric, for example, colloid–polymer mixtures.[19,64,65] Apart from specific applications to fluids and taking advantage of universality, Monte Carlo simulations of large 3D Ising lattices should provide a highly sensitive test of the extended finite-size scaling of $U_c(T, L)$ (Equations (31) and (32)) and a more precise estimate of $U_c$.

**Appendix A: Alternative Scaling Ansatz for $U_c(T, L)$**

It is tempting to propose as a natural extension of the scaling ansatz Equation (26) that

$$U_c(T, L) = U^\infty(\ell^{1/\nu}, L^{1/\nu}), \quad \ell = (T - T_c)/T_c,$$  

(A1)

which is expected to hold when all length scales are sufficiently large, that is, for $\ell, L, T \gg \sigma$. Taking $L \to \infty$ yields Equation (26), and in terms of the scaling functions, $U(x) = U^\infty(x, X \to \infty)$ with $X := L^{1/\nu}$, so that $\lim_{x \to 0} U^\infty(x, X \to \infty) = U_c \approx 0.20$ recovers the universal Binder cumulant for open boundaries. On the other hand, choosing $\ell = L$ reproduces the conventional finite-size scaling with periodic boundaries,[32,33] and so $\lim_{x \to 0} U(x, X) = U^\infty \approx 0.624$. From a practical perspective, effective values of $U_c$ are obtained from carrying out the data analysis described in Section 5.1 for various finite $L$. We thus define $U_c(a) := \lim_{x \to 0} U(x, a^{1/\nu})$ where $a := \ell/L$ fixes the aspect ratio of the geometry. With this, the limit $x \to 0$ becomes a function of $a$ and interpolates between $U_c$ for $a = 0$ (free boundaries) and $U^\infty$ for $a = 1$ (periodic boundaries). In particular, the scaling function $U(x, X)$ is not continuous at the origin, $x = X = 0$, not even speaking of analyticity. Yet, the function is analytic in $x$ alone for any fixed $L \leq \infty$, since $U_c(T; L)$ depends smoothly on temperature in a finite (sub-)system. The standard treatment to derive asymptotic scaling behavior, however, fails as it relies on a Taylor expansion of the scaling function around the critical point ($x = 0$), and at the bottom line the ansatz (A1) proves fruitless.
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