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Abstract
Objective Sleep problems are common in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). The presence of sleep problems at the time of presentation for ADHD treatment could impact the level of improvement in ADHD symptoms or executive function occurring with ADHD pharmacotherapy. Therefore, we examined the influence of baseline sleep quality on the effects of SHP465 mixed amphetamine salts (MAS) extended-release.

Methods Adults (18–55 years) with Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision-defined ADHD and baseline ADHD Rating Scale IV (ADHD-RS-IV) total scores ≥ 24 were randomized to once-daily SHP465 MAS (12.5–75 mg) or placebo in a 7-week, double-blind, dose-optimization study. Post-hoc analyses evaluated SHP465 MAS treatment effects on ADHD symptoms, using the ADHD-RS-IV, and executive function, using the Brown Attention-Deficit Disorder Scale (BADDs), based on baseline sleep quality as defined by Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) scores [sleep quality impaired (PSQI total score > 5; PSQI component scores 2 or 3) versus not impaired (PSQI total score ≤ 5; PSQI component scores 0 or 1)]. Analyses were conducted in the intent-to-treat population.

Results Of 280 enrolled participants, 272 were randomized (placebo, n = 135; SHP465 MAS, n = 137). The intent-to-treat population consisted of 268 participants (placebo, n = 132; SHP465 MAS, n = 136), and 170 participants (placebo, n = 76; SHP465 MAS, n = 94) completed the study. Treatment differences nominally favored SHP465 MAS over placebo in both sleep impairment groups regarding ADHD-RS-IV total score changes (all nominal p < 0.05), except for those with impairment defined by sleep efficiency (p = 0.2696), and regarding BADDs total score changes (all nominal p < 0.05), except for those with impairment defined by sleep duration (p = 0.1332) and sleep efficiency (p = 0.8226). There were no statistically significant differences in SHP465 MAS treatment effects between sleep impairment groups.

Conclusions Improvements in ADHD symptoms and executive function occurred with dose-optimized SHP465 MAS, regardless of baseline sleep quality impairment in some aspects of sleep in adults with ADHD, with no significant differences observed as a function of sleep impairment.

Clinical Trials Registration ClinicalTrials.gov identifier—NCT00150579.

Key Points
Regardless of baseline sleep quality impairment, SHP465 MAS treatment was associated with nominal improvement in ADHD symptoms compared with placebo in adults diagnosed with ADHD.

Regardless of baseline sleep quality impairment, SHP465 MAS treatment was associated with nominal improvement in executive function compared with placebo in adults diagnosed with ADHD.
1 Introduction

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is estimated to impact 4.4% of the US adult population [1] and 2.8% of adults worldwide; prevalence estimates range from as low as 0.6% in Romania and Iraq to as high as 7.3% in France [2]. Individuals diagnosed with ADHD may present with sleep problems [3–5]. Although these sleep problems may represent symptoms of comorbid mood or anxiety in some individuals, analyses correcting for such contributions from a community sample [4] and a longitudinal twin study [6] suggest ADHD is associated with sleep problems independent of psychiatric comorbidities.

It has been reported that at least some individuals with ADHD may present with hypo-arousal [7], which mimics ADHD-like symptoms, that might or might not improve with stimulant treatment. Alterations in arousal could also influence sleep quality and result in ADHD-like symptoms that might or might not improve with stimulant treatment. Sleep problems could also create a greater functional burden for individuals with ADHD. For example, impaired cognition has been shown to be associated with insomnia and sleep deprivation in several studies [8–11]. Individuals with primary insomnia exhibited worse executive function than ‘good sleep’ controls on an attention network test in the absence of significant differences in alertness, orientation, or reaction time [8]. In a study of individuals seeking treatment for insomnia, objectively measured full-scale intelligence quotient, verbal comprehension, memory, and processing speed were impaired relative to participants with insomnia not seeking treatment [9]. Lastly, sleep deprivation negatively impacts memory and mathematical processing in healthy adults [10, 11].

Theoretically, sleep problems at the time of presentation for ADHD treatment could impact the level of improvement in ADHD symptoms or executive function occurring with ADHD pharmacotherapy. Although how baseline sleep quality impacts treatment response to ADHD pharmacotherapy has not been evaluated to the best of our knowledge, such information could help clinicians optimize care for adults with ADHD and comorbid sleep problems.

The current post-hoc analyses use the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) to examine how baseline sleep quality impacts the effects of SHP465 mixed amphetamine salts (MAS) extended-release—a once-daily, single-entity MAS product for oral administration approved in the US for ADHD symptom reduction and/or improvement in executive function. It was hypothesized that SHP465 MAS treatment would be associated with greater improvement in ADHD symptoms and related executive function challenge in individuals with sleep problems due to the potential added benefit of stimulant treatment on hypoarousal. However, the possibility that sleep problems increase patient burden and make treatment less effective was also considered.

2 Methods

2.1 Study Design and Treatment

Detailed descriptions of the study design, participants, and endpoints have been reported [17]. This phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, dose-optimization study was conducted in the US (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00150579) [17]. All study procedures were conducted in accordance with ethical standards of the 18th World Medical Assembly and amendments of the 29th, 35th, 41st, and 48th World Medical Assemblies. The study was approved by either a central institutional review board (IRB) or local IRBs. Participants were required to provide written informed consent before study procedures were conducted.

The study included four phases (Fig. 1): screening (2 weeks), washout and baseline (7–28 days), double-blind treatment (7 weeks), and follow-up (4 weeks). During double-blind treatment, participants were randomized 1:1 to placebo or once-daily dose-optimized SHP465 MAS. Treatment started at 12.5 mg SHP465 MAS. The dosage was increased weekly to 25, 50, and 75 mg until an optimal dose (a dose associated with a ≥30% decrease in baseline ADHD-RS-IV score and acceptable tolerability) was attained. Down titration was allowed after 1 week at 50 mg (to 37.5 mg) or 75 mg (to 62.5 mg) SHP465 MAS. Once an optimal dosage was attained, it was maintained until the end of the study. Randomization was conducted using a centralized, interactive voice response system, with treatments assigned by a block-randomization schedule.
2.2 Participants

The study included adult men and nonpregnant/nonlactating women (18–55 years) with a confirmed ADHD diagnosis based on Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR) criteria, baseline ADHD-RS-IV scores ≥ 24, and satisfactory medical assessments with no clinically significant abnormalities based on medical history, physical examinations, and clinical and laboratory evaluations.

Individuals were excluded if they had a psychiatric comorbidity controlled with a prohibited medication or uncontrolled and associated with significant symptoms that contraindicated SHP465 MAS use or could confound assessments. Prohibited medications included anticonvulsants, antipsychotics, anxiolytics, benzodiazepines, clonidine or guanfacine, herbal preparations with psychoactive properties, investigational medications, monoamine oxidase inhibitors, psychostimulants, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors, sedating antihistamines, sedative hypnotics, and tricyclic antidepressants. Additional exclusion criteria included having an illness or unstable medical condition that could confound study results, increase participant risk, or lead to difficulty complying with the protocol; cardiac structural anomalies; electrocardiogram or laboratory anomalies at screening or baseline; a history of hypertension; resting systolic blood pressure > 139 mmHg or resting diastolic blood pressure > 89 mmHg; a history of intellectual disability or severe learning disability, seizure disorder other than infantile febrile seizures, a tic disorder, current diagnosis and/or family history of Tourette syndrome; a history (within 6 months before screening) of drug dependence or substance abuse disorder according to DSM-IV-TR criteria, excluding nicotine; being underweight (body mass index < 18.5 kg/m²) or morbidly obese; using psychoactive medications (prescription or over-the-counter) requiring a washout period of > 28 days; using investigational medications within 30 days of screening; and a documented allergy to, intolerance of, or history of nonresponsivity to methylphenidate or amphetamines.

2.3 Measures

The prespecified primary efficacy measure was the clinician-administered ADHD-RS-IV. The 18-item ADHD-RS-IV, which includes two 9-item subscales (hyperactivity/impulsivity; inattentiveness), measures current ADHD symptoms [18]. Items are scored on 4-point scales [0 (no symptoms) to 3 (severe symptoms)], with total scores ranging from 0 to 54. In the current study, ADHD-RS-IV assessments were conducted at baseline and all study visits (Fig. 1).

The self-reported BADDS was a secondary efficacy measure. The BADDS consists of 40 items scored on 4-point scales (0 = never; 1 = once a week or less; 2 = twice a week; 3 = almost daily) [19, 20]. Items are grouped into five clusters (organizing and activating to work, sustaining attention and concentration, sustaining energy and effort, managing affective interference, and using working memory and accessing recall) of conceptually related ADHD symptoms [19]. BADDS total score ranges from 0 to 120, with scores for the 9-item organizing and activating to work, sustaining
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attention and concentration, and sustaining energy and effort clusters ranging from 0 to 27, scores for the 7-item managing affective interference cluster ranging from 0 to 21, and scores for the 6-item using working memory and accessing recall cluster ranging from 0 to 18 [19]. In this study, BADDS assessments were conducted at baseline and week 7/early termination (ET) (Fig. 1).

Sleep was assessed at baseline and all study visits (Fig. 1) as a safety/tolerability assessment using a modified 18-item PSQI, which assessed sleep quality during the past week. The modified PSQI used in this study differed from the validated PSQI [21] in that the validated PSQI assesses sleep habits over the last 30 days and includes input from a bed partner or roommate. The self-rated PSQI groups items into seven components (sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleep medication, daytime dysfunction), with each component score rated on a scale ranging from 0 to 3 (higher scores indicate more severe impairment) [21]. Component scores are used to generate a global score ranging from 0 to 21. In these analyses, five PSQI component scores and global score (Table 1) were used to categorize baseline sleep as either impaired or not impaired. The ‘use of sleep medication’ and ‘sleep quality’ components were not assessed because these components were thought to reflect sleep problems that overlapped with other PSQI components.

### 2.4 Data Presentation and Analysis

The prespecified primary and secondary efficacy findings, as well as safety and tolerability findings, from this study have been reported [17]. The post-hoc analyses described in this report examined changes from baseline to study endpoint (the average of weeks 5–7 or last post-randomization assessment if data from weeks 5–7 were missing) for ADHD-RS-IV scores and from baseline to week 7/ET for BADDS scores as function of baseline sleep quality (not impaired versus impaired). Baseline PSQI total scores ≤ 5 were defined as sleep not impaired, and scores > 5 were defined as sleep impaired, as has previously been described [22]. To the best of our knowledge, no publications describe cutoff values for sleep quality impairment based on PSQI component scores. Therefore, for the analyses based on PSQI components, scores of 0 or 1 were defined as sleep not impaired and scores of 2 or 3 were defined as sleep impaired. This dichotomization is justified because component items scored at 2 or 3 reflect higher impairment than those scored at 0 or 1.

**Table 1** PSQI component scoring

| PSQI score | Items and scoring* | Component scoring |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Global     | Sum of the individual component scores | Not applicable |
| Sleep latency | Sum of the individual responses to | 0 (summed item range: 0) |
|             | Item 2 (how long to fall asleep): 0 (≤ 15 min), 1 (16–30 min), 2 (31–60 min), 3 (> 60 min) | 1 (summed item range: 1–2) |
|             | Item 5a (cannot get to sleep within 30 min): 0 (not at all), 1 (< 1 time), 2 (1 or 2 times), 3 (≥ 3 times) | 2 (summed item range: 3–4) |
| Sleep duration | Item 4 (hours of actual sleep) | 3 (summed item range: 5–6) |
| Sleep efficiency | Efficiency calculation: (hours slept)/(waking time – bedtime hours in bed) × 100 using | 0 (> 85%) |
|             | Item 1 (usual bedtime) | 1 (75–84%) |
|             | Item 3 (usual waking time) | 2 (65–74%) |
|             | Item 4 (hours slept) | 3 (< 65%) |
| Sleep disturbance | Sum of the individual responses to | 0 (summed item range: 0) |
|             | Items 5a (cannot get to sleep within 30 min), 5b (wake up in middle of night/early morning), 5c (get up to use bathroom), 5d (cannot breathe comfortably), 5e (cough/snore loudly), 5f (feel too cold), 5g (feel too hot), 5h (have bad dreams), 5i (have pain), 5j (other) | 1 (summed item range: 1–9) |
| Daytime dysfunction | Sum of the individual responses to | 2 (summed item range: 10–18) |
|             | Item 7 (trouble staying awake while driving, eating, or engaging in social activity) | 3 (summed item range: 19–27) |
|             | Item 8 (trouble keeping enthusiasm to get things done) | 0 (summed item range: 0) |
|             | Both scored as 0 (not at all), 1 (> 1 time), 2 (1 or 2 times), 3 (≥ 3 times) | 1 (summed item range: 1–2) |

*Based on the prior week

**PSQI** Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index

---
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Statistical analyses were conducted in the intent-to-treat (ITT) population (randomized participants receiving one or more study drug dose and having one or more post-baseline primary efficacy assessments and a baseline assessment). Changes from baseline to endpoint in ADHD-RS-IV scores were analyzed using mixed-effects models for repeated measures. Changes from baseline to week 7/ET in BADDS scores were analyzed using analysis of covariance. Baseline age, body mass index, lifetime insomnia and lifetime depression based on medical history form responses, and respective baseline outcomes were included in the models as covariates because these factors could contribute to sleep quality and fatigue. A sensitivity analysis was also conducted in which baseline lifetime insomnia was not included as a covariate in the analyses. For comparisons between sleep impairment groups, reported p values are based on interaction terms (sleep impairment × treatment) for the end-of-treatment visit in the primary models using the same baseline covariates. All reported p values are nominal (unadjusted) and presented for descriptive purposes. Adjustments for multiple comparisons were not applied because the study was not powered for such adjustments.

3 Results

3.1 Disposition and Demographics

A full description of participant disposition is published [17]. In brief, 280 participants were enrolled and 272 were randomized (placebo, n = 135; SHP465 MAS, n = 137). The ITT population consisted of 268 participants (placebo, n = 132; SHP465 MAS, n = 136), and 170 completed the study (placebo, n = 76; SHP465 MAS, n = 94).

Demographics and baseline clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 2. Most participants were men [136/268 (50.7%), White [227/268 (84.7%)], and diagnosed as having a combined ADHD presentation [190/268 (70.9%)]. The mean ± standard deviation (SD) age at baseline was 36.6 ± 10.16 years.

Most study participants had not been treated with ADHD pharmacotherapy before participating (placebo, 100/132; SHP465 MAS, 103/135). Since this study was not designed to assess sleep quality, melatonin use was not prohibited. However, only two participants (one in each treatment group) reported using melatonin before the study and only one participant in the SHP465 MAS group used melatonin during the study.

3.2 ADHD-RS-IV Score Changes

3.2.1 ADHD-RS-IV Total Score

Mean ± SD ADHD-RS-IV total scores decreased from baseline with placebo and SHP465 MAS in participants with and without baseline impaired sleep quality, as measured by PSQI global or component scores (Fig. 2a). Least squares (LS) mean [95% confidence interval (CI)] treatment differences for ADHD-RS-IV total score changes from baseline to endpoint favored SHP465 MAS over placebo (all nominal p < 0.001) in participants without impaired sleep, as defined by PSQI global score and all components, and favored SHP465 MAS over placebo (all nominal p < 0.05) in participants with impaired sleep, as defined by PSQI global score and all components except sleep efficiency (Fig. 3a). There were no statistically significant differences in drug-specific (SHP465 MAS – placebo) ADHD-RS-IV total score changes from baseline between sleep impairment groups (all nominal p > 0.05).

3.2.2 ADHD-RS-IV Subscale Scores

LS mean (95% CI) treatment differences for changes from baseline to endpoint favored SHP465 MAS over placebo for both subscales (all nominal p < 0.01) in participants without baseline sleep impairment, as defined by PSQI global score and all components, and favored SHP465 MAS over placebo for both subscales (all nominal p < 0.01) in participants with baseline sleep impairment, as defined by PSQI global score and all components except sleep efficiency on the hyperactivity/impulsivity and inattentiveness subscales and sleep duration on the hyperactivity/impulsivity subscale (Fig. 3b, c). There were no statistically significant differences in drug-specific changes from baseline in ADHD-RS-IV subscale scores between sleep impairment groups (all nominal p > 0.05).

3.3 BADDS Score Changes

3.3.1 BADDS Total Score

Mean ± SD BADDS total scores decreased from baseline with placebo and SHP465 MAS in participants with and without impaired sleep quality, as measured by PSQI global score and component scores (Fig. 2b). LS mean (95% CI) treatment differences for BADDS total score changes from baseline to week 7/ET favored SHP465 MAS over placebo (all nominal p < 0.001) in participants without impaired sleep, as defined by PSQI global score and all components, and favored SHP465 MAS over placebo (nominal p < 0.01) in participants with impaired sleep, as defined by PSQI global score and all components except sleep efficiency (Fig. 3b). There were no statistically significant differences in drug-specific changes from baseline in BADDS total score changes between sleep impairment groups (all nominal p > 0.05).
sleep, as defined by PSQI global score across all components except for sleep efficiency and sleep duration (Fig. 4a). There were no statistically significant differences in the drug-specific changes from baseline in BADDS total score between sleep impairment groups (all nominal \( p > 0.05 \)).

### 3.3.2 BADDS Cluster Scores

LS mean (95% CI) treatment differences for changes from baseline to week 7/ET favored SHP465 MAS over placebo for all BADDS clusters (all nominal \( p < 0.05 \)) in participants without baseline sleep impairment, as defined by PSQI global score and all components, and favored SHP465 MAS over placebo for all BADDS clusters (all nominal \( p < 0.05 \)) in participants with baseline sleep impairment when impairment was defined by PSQI sleep latency component (Fig. 4b, c, f). Treatment differences also favored SHP465 MAS over placebo in participants with baseline sleep impairment on the organizing and activating to work (nominal \( p = 0.0069 \)), sustaining attention and concentration (nominal \( p = 0.0043 \)), and using working memory and accessing recall (nominal \( p < 0.001 \)) BADDS clusters when impairment was defined by the PSQI sleep latency component (Fig. 4b, c, f). There were no significant differences in the drug-specific change from baseline in BADDS cluster scores between sleep impairment groups (all nominal \( p > 0.05 \)).

### 3.4 Sensitivity Analyses: Impact of Baseline Lifetime Insomnia

At baseline, 17 of 267 participants (placebo, \( n = 9 \); SHP465 MAS, \( n = 8 \)) reported lifetime insomnia. Lifetime insomnia at baseline was reported more frequently by participants without baseline sleep quality impairment as measured by the days dysfunctional due to sleepiness, sleep duration, and sleep efficiency PSQI components and in participants with sleep quality impairment at baseline as measured by the sleep latency PSQI component [see Supplemental Table 1 in the electronic supplementary material (ESM)]. The results...
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of sensitivity analyses that omitted baseline lifetime insomnia as a covariate were consistent with the primary analyses [see Supplemental Tables 2 and 3 (see ESM)].

4 Discussion

This analysis examined the impact of baseline sleep quality impairment on SHP465 MAS treatment response in adults
with ADHD. Dose-optimized SHP465 MAS treatment resulted in significantly greater decreases in ADHD-RS-IV and BADDS scores than placebo in a manner that was generally independent of baseline sleep quality. Although poor sleep quality measured by sleep duration and/or sleep efficiency was associated with loss of drug-specific improvement, between-group comparisons indicated there were no differences on ADHD-RS-IV or BADDS scores as a function of baseline sleep quality. The observation that less separation from placebo was associated with poor sleep duration

Fig. 3 Treatment differences (SHP465 MAS − placebo) in ADHD-RS-IV total score change (a), hyperactivity/impulsivity subscale score change (b), and inattentiveness subscale score change (c) by baseline sleep quality, ITT population. ADHD-RS-IV Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale, version IV. CI confidence interval, ITT intent-to-treat, MAS mixed amphetamine salts, PSQI Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. aSleep quality not impaired (baseline PSQI global score ≤5; baseline PSQI component score of 0 or 1), sleep quality impaired (baseline PSQI global score >5; baseline PSQI component score of 2 or 3). bBased on the following sample sizes: sleep quality not impaired [global score (placebo, 61; SHP465 MAS, 58), sleep efficiency (placebo, 111; SHP465 MAS, 123), sleep duration (placebo, 114; SHP465 MAS, 116), sleep latency (placebo, 78; SHP465 MAS, 70), sleep disturbance (placebo, 88; SHP465 MAS, 89), days dysfunctional due to sleepiness (placebo, 86; SHP465 MAS, 82)]; sleep quality impaired [global score (placebo, 69; SHP465 MAS, 77), sleep efficiency (placebo, 20; SHP465 MAS, 12), sleep duration (placebo, 17; SHP465 MAS, 20), sleep latency (placebo, 53; SHP465 MAS, 66), sleep disturbance (placebo, 43; SHP465 MAS, 47), days dysfunctional due to sleepiness (placebo, 44; SHP465 MAS, 54)]. *Nominal p < 0.05; **nominal p ≤ 0.01; ***nominal p ≤ 0.001 (SHP465 MAS versus placebo)
and/or poor sleep efficiency suggests additional examination might reveal that sleep problems attenuate the effects of ADHD pharmacotherapy. However, the lack of a drug-specific effect between sleep impairment groups indicates that the effects of SHP465 MAS are robust. This conclusion is further supported by the lack of drug-specific differences when baseline lifetime insomnia was not included as a covariate in the analyses.
The lack of a robust effect of sleep quality impairment on SHP465 MAS treatment response was unexpected. In a conceptual model of interactions between ADHD and sleep, Hvolby posited that common overlapping neurobiologic mechanisms could give rise to both ADHD and sleep disturbances [23]. This supposition is supported by evidence that indicates monoaminergic systems are involved in the pathophysiology of ADHD [24] and the modulation of sleep and wakefulness [25]. Given that psychostimulants modulate monoaminergic systems [24], it was hypothesized that SHP465 MAS responses might be altered by baseline sleep impairment in a manner consistent with altered monoaminergic function. Given the lack of literature in this area, it is unclear if other indices or definitions of sleep impairment might moderate SHP465 MAS treatment responses in adults with ADHD. Studies in adults indicate the subjective sleep assessments based on the PSQI do not correlate with objective measures of sleep architecture assessed using polysomnography [26, 27], suggesting that these measures examine different aspects of sleep. Therefore, the possibility that categorization of sleep impairment based on objective measures would have revealed pronounced effects of sleep impairment on SHP465 MAS treatment response cannot be excluded.

From a clinical perspective, these data suggest sleep impairment in adults initiating SHP465 MAS for ADHD would not generally be expected to adversely influence the likelihood of a treatment response on the core symptoms of ADHD or executive function. However, given that stimulants can be associated with sleep disturbance in some, but not all, individuals [23, 28], it is important to monitor for sleep-related adverse effects of SHP465 MAS regardless of whether the individual being treated presents with sleep disturbances at treatment initiation. It should also be noted that a shift analysis of sleep quality was not conducted so it is not known if there were new-onset sleep problems associated with SHP465 MAS treatment.

The differential trends observed regarding SHP465 MAS treatment effects based on baseline sleep quality (i.e., a lack of nominal superiority of SHP465 MAS in those with baseline sleep quality impairment on some measures) suggest sleep quality may have reduced SHP465 MAS treatment responses. It is worth noting that between-group comparisons approached nominal significance for the BADDS clusters of organizing and activating to work (nominal \( p = 0.0898 \)), managing affective interference (nominal \( p = 0.0510 \)), and using working memory and accessing recall (nominal \( p = 0.0791 \)) when impairment was defined by the PSQI sleep efficiency component. In these instances, sample sizes were small in the sleep-impaired group (placebo, \( n = 17 \); SHP465 MAS, \( n = 10 \)) and the effects of SHP465 MAS were either reduced or the opposite of what was observed in participants without impaired sleep. Additional analyses in larger populations are needed to more fully examine these relationships.

The study used for these analyses utilized dose optimization, which attempts to model clinical practice treatment paradigms. Therefore, it is hypothesized that these findings are generalizable to clinical practice. However, there are limitations to generalizing the findings obtained from a population of clinical trial participants, who are compensated for study participation, to individuals being treated in clinical practice. For example, although ADHD is often comorbid with other psychiatric disorders [29, 30], this study excluded individuals with psychiatric comorbidities, which may have resulted in a healthier population with greater tolerance to stimulant effects. Other limitations should also be considered. First, adjustments for multiple comparisons were not applied because the study was not powered for such adjustments. As a result, all reported \( p \) values are nominal and descriptive. Additional studies that are specifically powered for these assessments are needed to obtain more definitive results. Second, the sample size was small, particularly in some of the sleep impairment dichotomizations, and the data were highly variable. These issues limit the ability to interpret these data. Third, these findings are based on mean changes in the overall study population so the possibility that different response patterns occurred in individual participants cannot be excluded. Fourth, sleep impairment was defined using a subjective self-report that was included as a secondary safety endpoint. As subjective and objective sleep assessments may examine different aspects of sleep, it should be acknowledged that different results may have been observed if an objective sleep measure was used to define sleep impairment. Future analyses using objective sleep measures could provide additional insight into the effects of baseline sleep quality on SHP465 treatment response. Fifth, it is noteworthy that the PSQI was developed for evaluation of prior month experiences, but it was modified in this study to capture experiences during the prior week. This modification may have impacted the sensitivity or specificity of the sleep quality categorizations. Lastly, differences in ADHD-RS-IV and BADDS scores at baseline or study endpoint as a function of sleep quality impairment were not assessed. Such data could provide insight into why baseline sleep quality did not differentially affect SHP465 MAS treatment response.

Although this study was not designed to directly explore the hypothesis that arousal states are associated with ADHD, these findings lend support to the theory that sleep problems may be associated with states of hyperarousal or hypoarousal and ADHD symptoms. Because stimulants have wake-promoting effects that can interfere with sleep in some individuals, SHP465 MAS might be expected to result in lower levels of improvement in individuals with sleep problems who exhibit ADHD symptoms (e.g., hyperactivity associated with a state of hyperarousal). This phenomenon was potentially observed in individuals with ADHD reporting...
poor sleep quality, as defined by poor sleep efficiency and/or sleep duration. These individuals experienced a relatively lower magnitude response to SHP465 MAS, particularly on the ADHD-RS-IV hyperactivity/impulsivity subscale. Alternatively, individuals presenting with ADHD who report sleep problems severe enough to cause sleep-related fatigue may be considered to be in a state of hypoarousal. These individuals could be somewhat resistant to the effects of SHP465 MAS, possibly due to a higher burden of brain-related challenges. Importantly, having individuals with hyperarousal or hypoarousal in the same study could influence the overall treatment effect observed for SHP465 MAS because individual responses and predictive factors might not be detected in an analysis of the overall population. However, the commonality in both arousal scenarios is a sleep problem that causes disturbances in arousal that present as ADHD and alter treatment response compared with individuals who present with a similar ADHD phenotype without associated sleep problems. Further studies specifically designed to evaluate the relationship between arousal and stimulant responsiveness are needed to better understand the association between arousal and ADHD. Future analyses examining the treatment effects over time and as a function of SHP465 MAS dose, as well as the relationship between study discontinuation and baseline sleep quality, could also provide additional insight into the impact of sleep quality on SHP465 MAS treatment effects.

5 Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first analysis evaluating sleep impairment as a mediator of treatment outcome with ADHD pharmacotherapy. Our findings suggest that SHP465 MAS has favorable effects on the core symptoms of ADHD and on executive function in the presence of sleep problems at baseline. Some differential trends were observed as a function of how impaired sleep quality was defined, with impairment in sleep efficiency being associated with trends toward reduced magnitude of the SHP465 MAS treatment responses versus placebo. However, inferential analyses indicated there were no differences in the effects of SHP465 MAS on ADHD symptoms or executive function based on baseline sleep quality. Therefore, evidence supporting a role for sleep impairment mediating stimulant outcome was not found based on the current analyses. Further analyses that explore the moderating effects of sleep quality characteristics on ADHD symptoms and executive function using path analyses are needed to more thoroughly examine these relationships. If our results are confirmed by further studies, baseline sleep impairment should not be considered by practitioners to be a factor limiting treatment response to amphetamine-based stimulants in individuals with ADHD. Despite these findings, when treating patients with sleep impairment and ADHD, the evaluation of outcomes both pre- and post-treatment is recommended.
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