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Dark matter might be made of “warm” particles, such as sterile neutrinos in the keV mass range, which can decay into photons through mixing and are consequently detectable by x-ray telescopes. Axionlike particles (ALPs) are detectable by x-ray telescopes, too, when coupled to standard model particles and decay into photons in the keV range. Both particles could explain the unidentified 3.5 keV line, and, interestingly, XENON1T observed an excess of electron recoil events most prominent at 2–3 keV. One explanation could be an ALPs origin, which is not yet excluded by x-ray constraints in an anomaly free symmetry model in which the photon production is suppressed. We study the diffuse emission coming from the Galactic halo and calculate the sensitivity of all-sky x-ray survey performed by eROSITA to identify a sterile neutrino or ALP dark matter. We estimate bounds on the mixing angle of the sterile neutrinos and coupling strength of the ALPs. After four years of data taking by eROSITA, we expect to set stringent constraints, and in particular, we expect to firmly probe mixing angle \( \sin^2(2\theta) \) up to nearly 2 orders magnitude below the best-fit value for explaining the unidentified 3.5 keV line. Moreover, with eROSITA, we will be able to probe the ALP parameter space of couplings to photons and electrons and potentially confirm an ALP origin of the XENON1T excess.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The nature of dark matter remains an exciting open question in astrophysics, cosmology, and particle physics. The most studied candidate is cold dark matter (CDM), which are particles with negligible thermal velocities during structure formation era and that interact only through gravity with baryons and with themselves [1]. However, the nonobservation of CDM with direct detection experiments motivates searching for other candidates besides CDM: for example, dark matter with much lower masses such as warm dark matter (WDM), with non-negligible thermal velocity at early times [2,3]. WDM suppresses structure at small scales due to free-streaming effects and may explain some CDM issues on structure formation, while it behaves as CDM on large scales [4].

Sterile neutrinos are well-motivated WDM candidates [5–8]. They have much larger mass than active neutrinos and can explain all of the dark matter density in the Universe [9]. Moreover, sterile neutrinos have right-handed chirality contrary to the three-flavor standard model neutrinos which have only left-handed chirality. The presence of right-handed neutrinos is naturally expected in order to explain light neutrino masses found by the neutrino oscillation measurements [10,11], and additionally, it may solve the matter-antimatter asymmetry of the Universe [11]. In the neutrino minimal standard model, it is possible to simultaneous explain baryogenesis, neutrinos mass, and dark matter, with sterile neutrino as the dark matter candidate [12].

Through a mixing angle \( \theta \) with active neutrinos, sterile neutrinos can decay into an active neutrino and a photon with photon energy \( E_\gamma = m_\nu_s/2 \), where \( m_\nu_s \) is the sterile neutrino mass. The rate of decay depends on its mass and mixing angle and is given by the following decay rate [13,14]:

\[
\Gamma_{\nu_s}(m_\nu_s, \theta) = 1.38 \times 10^{-29} \text{ s}^{-1} \left[ \frac{\sin^2(2\theta)}{10^{-7}} \right] \left( \frac{m_\nu_s}{1 \text{ keV}} \right)^5.
\]

Sterile neutrinos in the keV mass range will produce x-ray photons, which can be observed by x-ray telescopes as a monochromatic line signal.
Through a stacked x-ray spectrum analysis of 73 galaxy clusters, an emission line at approximately 3.5 keV was detected [15], hinting toward experimental evidence for sterile neutrino decay. The same emission line was soon after observed in x-ray spectra of the M31 galaxy and the Perseus galaxy cluster [16], as well as in the Milky Way center [17]. The signal can be interpreted as a signature of decaying sterile neutrinos with mass of $m_{\nu_s} \sim 7$ keV and mixing angle of $\sin^2(2\theta) \approx (0.2 - 2) \times 10^{-10}$ [9]. Many follow-up studies confirmed the emission line in spectra of dark matter dominated objects with different x-ray instruments [18–21]. However, other studies did not detect any line emission in dark matter dominated objects [22–28] and suggested non-dark-matter explanations including astrophysical effects, statistical fluctuations, and instrumental systematics. Recently, using XMM-Newton data, Ref. [29] has provided strong constraints on the unassociated x-ray line from decaying dark matter (see also Refs. [30,31] and Ref. [32]). It remains relevant to search for a line emission from sterile neutrino decays.

Another interesting WDM candidate is the axionlike particle (ALP), which is a pseudo-Nambu-Goldstone boson that emerges when a continuous global symmetry is spontaneously broken [33–36]. In contrast to the QCD axions, ALPs do not solve the $CP$ problem and can be light due to the broken symmetry. ALPs can couple to various standard model particles such as protons, electrons, and photons and therefore can decay into two photons, producing a narrow x-ray line, possibly explaining the unidentified 3.5 keV line.

As another interesting possibility, ALP decay could explain the observed excess of electron recoil events over known backgrounds at the XENON1T experiment, where a best-fit mass value of $m_a \approx 2.3$ keV and coupling to electrons of $g_{ae} \sim 10^{-13}$ is found with a 3$\sigma$ significance over the background [37]. The ALP coupling to standard model particles is already too tightly constrained by x-ray observations to explain this excess. Therefore, the photon coupling needs to be suppressed [35]. In an anomaly-free symmetry model, the ALP is coupled to leptons without any anomalous coupling to photons and is dominated by the coupling to the least massive lepton—the electron [34,38,39]. In this model, photons are only induced through threshold corrections, and, although suppressed, the decay into two photons can be the leading decay mode for ALPs with masses less than twice the electron mass.

The ALP-electron coupling suggested by XENON1T is of the same order as the coupling suggested to explain the observed excess in the cooling of various stellar objects like white dwarfs and red giants [40], known as the stellar cooling anomalies [41–44]. The evolution of these objects is described by the well-established cooling process, and, indeed, the cooling anomaly based on white dwarf luminosity function analysis is found at 4$\sigma$ [45], hinting toward a preferred region for the ALP parameter space. Following Ref. [34], we consider a model in which ALPs can explain both the XENON1T excess and the stellar cooling anomaly.

Whether dark matter is made of sterile neutrinos or ALPs, they can be well probed with current generation and future x-ray telescopes. In this paper, we estimate the sensitivity of all-sky x-ray survey performed by eROSITA to observe a decaying sterile neutrino and axionlike particle signal. The hierarchical clustering of dark matter predicts that the Milky-Way galaxy is embedded in a halo of dark matter particles, with a higher density toward the Galactic Center [46]. The largest contribution to the observable dark matter induced x-ray flux originates from the Galactic Center, and we study the diffuse emission coming from the Galactic halo around its center. eROSITA has excellent angular and energy resolution and will also observe the full sky over the course of four years with an average exposure of 2.5 ks [47], making the survey a valuable probe for dark matter decay with a narrow x-ray line emission. By simulating the all-sky x-ray signal due to dark matter decay, we make a sensitivity projection for eROSITA to a sterile neutrino and ALP signal under a background-only hypothesis. We find that the eROSITA will enable us to probe much deeper regions of the parameter space for both the sterile neutrino and ALP dark matter.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the main features of the all-sky x-ray maps. In Sec. III, we present our analysis methodology, followed by our results and discussions in Sec. IV. We conclude our paper in Sec. V.

II. ALL-SKY X-RAY MAP

A. Sterile neutrino signal from the Galactic halo

The x-ray photon flux produced through sterile neutrino decay inside the Galactic halo depends on the sterile neutrino decay rate $\Gamma_{\nu_s}$, sterile neutrino mass $m_{\nu_s}$, energy spectrum $dN_{\text{decay}}/dE$ per decay, and the dark matter density distribution through the so-called $D$ factor. The x-ray photon intensity is given as follows:

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dE} = \frac{\Gamma_{\nu_s}}{4\pi m_{\nu_s}} \frac{dN_{\text{decay}}}{dE} D. \tag{2}$$

The flux $F$ per pixel is given by integrating $\Phi$ over the pixel solid angle $\Delta \Omega$. The energy spectrum per decay is a delta function:

$$\frac{dN_{\text{decay}}}{dE} = \delta\left(E - \frac{m_{\nu_s}}{2}\right). \tag{3}$$

The $D$ factor describing the dark matter density profile, $\rho$, of the Milky Way halo, integrated over the line of sight $s$ is given by

$$D = \int ds \rho(r(s, l, b)). \tag{4}$$
where the radial distance from the Galactic Center is described as

$$r = \sqrt{s^2 + R^2 - 2sR \cos l \cos b},$$  \tag{5}$$
with \((l, b)\) the Galactic coordinates and \(R = 8.5\) kpc the distance from the Sun to the Galactic Center. We consider both the spherically symmetric Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) [48] profile and a cored profile [49]. The NFW profile is given by

$$\rho_{\text{NFW}}(r) = \frac{\rho_s}{(r/r_s)(r/r_s + 1)^2},$$  \tag{6}$$
parametrized by a scale radius \(r_s\) and a characteristic density \(\rho_s\). The cored profile is given by

$$\rho_c(r) = f(r)\rho_{\text{NFW}}(r) + \frac{1 - f^2(r)}{4\pi^2 r_c} M_{\text{NFW}}(r),$$  \tag{7}$$
where the function, \(f(r) = \tanh(r/r_c)\), considers the shallowness of the dark matter core below the core radius \(r_c\); \(M_{\text{NFW}}(r)\) is the enclosed mass for the NFW density profile within \(r\) that is given by

$$M_{\text{NFW}}(r) = M_{200}\rho_c \left[ \ln \left( 1 + \frac{r}{r_s} \right) - \frac{r}{r_s} \left( 1 + \frac{r}{r_s} \right)^{-1} \right],$$  \tag{8}$$
where \(M_{200} = 1.11 \times 10^{12} M_\odot\), \(g_c = 1/\log(1 + c) - c/(1 + c)\); and \(c = 12.2\) is the halo concentration parameter [49]. In our analysis, we consider only complete core formation, reducing the number of parameters needed to specify the dark matter core properties. Adopting the parameters from Ref. [50] for both NFW and cored profile, we set the core radius \(r_c\) of the Milky Way to 1 kpc and use a scale radius of \(r_s = 26\) kpc. For the local dark matter density, we take \(\rho_0 = 0.28\) GeV cm\(^{-3}\), for which we find a density at the scale radius of \(\rho_s = 0.16\) GeV cm\(^{-3}\).

**B. Extragalactic sterile neutrino signal**

Additionally, a decaying sterile neutrino contributes to the diffuse extragalactic signal, emitting at different redshifts. The average x-ray photon intensity is given by

$$d\Phi_{\text{eg}} = \frac{\Gamma_{\nu} \Omega_{\text{DM}} \rho_c}{4\pi m_{\nu}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dz}{H(z)} \delta \left( E(1+z) - \frac{m_{\nu}}{2} \right),$$  \tag{9}$$
with \(H(z) = H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_m (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}\) the Hubble parameter as a function of redshift \(z\) and with \(H_0 = 70\) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\), \(\Omega_m = 0.27\), \(\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73\), \(\Omega_{\text{DM}} = 0.22\), and the critical density \(\rho_c = 5.2 \times 10^{-6}\) GeV cm\(^{-3}\).

Figure 1 shows the integrated flux for the extragalactic (green solid line) and Galactic component by adopting NFW (orange solid line) and cored (purple dotted line) profiles as a function of angle \(\Psi\) subtending from the Galactic Center in the energy range of \([4.1:4.9]\) keV, with \(m_{\nu} = 9\) keV and \(\Gamma_{\nu} = 10^{-28}\) s\(^{-1}\). The Galactic flux associated with the cored profile is only slightly smaller with respect to that with the NFW profile at angles close to the Galactic Center and nearly identical farther away. Furthermore, the extragalactic flux is more than an order of magnitude smaller than the Galactic flux within the small energy bins that we adopt in this paper, and including the extragalactic flux will thus not lead to any significant improvement.

**C. Axionlike particle signal**

ALPs can couple to several standard model particles. Here, we consider the coupling to photons and electrons. In the case of an ALP-to-photon coupling, the decay into two photons produces a monoenergetic line at the energy of \(m_{\alpha}/2\), with a decay rate given by [51]

$$\Gamma_{\alpha \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} \approx 5 \times 10^{-29} \left( \frac{m_{\alpha}}{7\text{keV}} \right)^3 \left( \frac{f_a}{5 \times 10^{14}\text{GeV}} \right)^2 \text{s}^{-1},$$  \tag{10}$$
where \(m_{\alpha}\) is the ALP mass and \(f_a\) is the decay constant. One can convert the decay constant to the photon coupling \(g_{a\gamma\gamma}\) through the conversion

$$f_a = \frac{a C_{a\gamma\gamma}}{2\pi g_{a\gamma\gamma}},$$  \tag{11}$$
with \(C_{a\gamma\gamma} = 8/3 - 1.92 \approx 0.75\) [35].
To explain the XENON1T excess by ALP, the ALP-photon coupling must be suppressed due to existing bounds [35]. We therefore consider ALPs that couple mainly to electrons and assume an anomaly-free symmetry, where photons are only induced through threshold corrections. In this model, the decay rate is given by [38]

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\rightarrow\gamma\gamma} \approx 3.5 \times 10^{-57} \text{ GeV} \left( \frac{m_\alpha}{2 \text{ keV}} \right)^7 \left( \frac{g_{\alpha e}}{5 \times 10^{-14}} \right)^2,$$

(12)

with $g_{\alpha e}$ the coupling between the ALP and electron. The energy spectrum for the ALP is described by a delta function, as in Eq. (3), where we replace $m_\nu$ by $m_\alpha$. We further multiply the delta function by a factor of 2 to take into account that two photons are produced from the ALP decay. This allows for a direct comparison with the sterile neutrino signal and background components and to convert to those on the neutrino flux and allows us to use the obtained x-ray counts to model the x-ray bubbles with a temperature of 0.6–1 keV energy band and drop below the detector’s background above approximately 2.3 keV [56]. An average count rate is measured by eROSITA in the 0.6–1 keV energy band of 0.0038 photons s$^{-1}$ arc min$^{-2}$ and 0.0026 photons s$^{-1}$ arc min$^{-2}$ in the northern and southern bubbles, respectively. We adopt a thermal spectrum to model the x-ray bubbles with a temperature of 0.3 keV [56], where we fix the normalization with the aforementioned count rates. For its morphology, we consider an uniform template of the Fermi bubbles, downloaded from https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/.

Moreover, in order to exclude the extended emission from the Galactic plane, we remove all pixels with $|b| < 20^\circ$. Figure 2 illustrates a sky map with energy bin around $m_\nu = 9$ keV with $\Gamma_\nu_s = 10^{-28}$ s$^{-1}$, corresponding to $\sin^2(2\theta) \approx 9.3 \times 10^{-11}$, and additionally the isotropic and anisotropic background components. We analyze the full-sky map under the signal hypothesis consisting of a sterile neutrino signal and background components and under the null hypothesis with background components only.

### E. Background events

We consider an overall diffuse cosmic x-ray background, which is energy dependent and especially dominant at lower keV energies. It is represented by a power law with photon index $\Gamma = 1.42 \pm 0.03$ and with a normalization at 1 keV of $8.44 \pm 0.24$ photon cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ [54]. Moreover, we consider eROSITA’s detector background, which is caused by high-energy particles that show a flat spectral energy distribution with a normalization of $3.5 \times 10^{-4}$ counts keV$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ arc min$^{-2}$ [55]. We distribute both background contributions isotropically over the sky and apply the same energy binning as mentioned above.

Besides the isotropic background contributions, x-ray bubbles are observed in the Milky Way, which are most prominent in the 0.6–1 keV energy band and drop below the detector’s background above approximately 2.3 keV [56].

![FIG. 2. X-ray sky map with sterile neutrino signal with $m_\nu_s = 9$ keV, $\Gamma_\nu_s = 10^{-28}$ s$^{-1}$, as well as background components with 2.5 ks of eROSITA exposure within one energy bin around 4.5 keV whose width is $\sigma_E$. Pixels at the Galactic plane are removed with $|b| < 20^\circ$.](image-url)
III. ANALYSIS

We calculate the sensitivity to detect a sterile neutrino signal by performing a joint likelihood analysis on simulated data. We generate mock datasets assuming background only (the null hypothesis with $\Gamma = 0$), with Monte Carlo simulations following a Poisson distribution. This is performed for each pixel of our pixelized sky map in the binned energy window under consideration. For each sterile neutrino mass, we generate 500 mock datasets $n_i$, where $i$ runs over the energy bins as well as spatial pixels. The likelihood of obtaining $n_i$ as a function of the decay rate for a specific sterile neutrino mass is given by the likelihood functions,

$$\mathcal{L}(\Gamma) = \prod_{i} P[n_i|\mu_i(\Gamma)] = \prod_{i} \frac{\mu_i(\Gamma)^{n_i} e^{-\mu_i(\Gamma)}}{n_i!},$$

where $\mu_i(\Gamma)$ are the expected counts in each bin under the signal hypothesis with a decaying dark matter and background component. The test statistic (TS) to determine the signal hypothesis with a decaying dark matter and back-sterile neutrino mass, we generate 500 mock datasets the binned energy window under consideration. For each pixel of our pixelized sky map in Monte Carlo simulations following a Poisson distribution. This is performed for each pixel of our pixelized sky map in Monte Carlo runs over the energy bins as well as spatial pixels.

The test statistic (TS) to determine the best-fit model under a maximum likelihood estimation is then defined as

$$\text{TS} = -2 \ln \left( \frac{\mathcal{L}(\Gamma)}{\mathcal{L}_\text{max}} \right).$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\text{max}$ is the maximum likelihood. We obtain upper limits on the decay rates at 95% confidence level (CL), which corresponds to a test statistic of $\text{TS} = 2.71$.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We analyze the simulated sky maps under the null hypothesis and report the sensitivity of eROSITA on the mixing angle as a function of the sterile neutrino mass. Figure 3 shows the result by applying the NFW profiles by removing the Galactic plane with $|b| < 20^\circ$. The two bands show the 68% and 95% containment regions of the sensitivities and the median (solid line) from the Monte Carlo runs with an NFW profile. The black star indicates the best fit for the unidentified 3.5 keV line with mixing angle $\sin^2(2\theta) \approx (0.2-2) \times 10^{-10}$ [15], the upper gray area indicates current x-ray constraints [27,57–63], while the lower gray area indicates the theoretical upper bound for dark matter underproduction [64,65].

FIG. 3. Sensitivity to the mixing angle as a function of the sterile neutrino mass for the cored (dashed orange) and NFW (green) profiles. The green bands show the 68% and 95% containment regions of the sensitivities and the median (solid line) from the Monte Carlo runs with an NFW profile. The black star indicates the best fit for the unidentified 3.5 keV line with mixing angle $\sin^2(2\theta) \approx (0.2-2) \times 10^{-10}$ [15], the upper gray area indicates current x-ray constraints [27,57–63], while the lower gray area indicates the theoretical upper bound for dark matter underproduction [64,65].

FIG. 4. Sensitivity to the mixing angle, adopting a NFW density profile. The pixels with the following Galactic latitudes are removed: $|b| < 10^\circ$ (dotted), $|b| < 20^\circ$ (dot-dashed), and $|b| < 30^\circ$ (solid).
FIG. 5. Current and future limits on the ALP photon coupling. The blue bands show the 68% and 95% containment regions of sensitivities from the Monte Carlo runs, and the blue solid line shows the median. The gray shaded area shows the region that is excluded by current x-ray observations [27,57–63], and the black star indicates the best fit from the unidentified 3.5 keV line with \( g_{\text{eff}} \approx (0.6 - 2) \times 10^{-18} \) [15].

(dashed), \(|b| < 20{\degree}\) (dot-dashed), and \(|b| < 30{\degree}\) (solid line) for a NFW density profile. As expected, including a larger latitude \(|b| < 10{\degree}\) shows slightly stronger constraints with respect to \(|b| < 30{\degree}\), however, only by a factor of approximately 1.2. The x-ray bubbles contribute to the lowest energies we consider. However, since the sky coverage of the Fermi bubbles template is only 7% (before masking), we find that our limits weaken only by a factor 1.1 at most by including the x-ray bubbles.

The bounds on the mixing angle can be converted to the ALP-photon coupling \( g_{\text{eff}} \) and ALP-electron coupling \( g_{ae} \), and the sensitivity of eROSITA to the photon coupling is shown in Fig. 5. Again, the best fit for the 3.5 keV line is indicated by a black star, and with future eROSITA observations, an ALP scenario can be probed as an explanation for the unidentified x-ray line. Even though recent work found no evidence for an unassociated x-ray line [27], in which the current x-ray limits are shown as the gray shaded area (see Refs. [27,57–63]), eROSITA will be able to probe a region of the parameter space not yet excluded by current x-ray limits.

Furthermore, we consider an anomaly-free ALP model in order to explain the XENON1T excess, an excess that has been observed to be most prominent at ALP mass of \( m_a = 2–3 \text{ keV} \) and electron coupling \( g_{ae} \sim 10^{-13} \) and has the best fit at \( m_a = 2.3 \text{ keV} \) [37]. We test if eROSITA will be able to confirm this, and we show its expected sensitivity in Fig. 6, where the two bands show as before the 68% and 95% containment bands from the Monte Carlo runs, while the solid line represents the median. We show the region that is excluded by current x-ray observations in gray, and with eROSITA, we can indeed probe a parameter space not yet constrained [27,57–63]. The black solid line represents the XENON1T limits (note that they are, however, given at 90% CL) [37]. The XENON1T excess best fit may not be reached by future eROSITA data; however, if the best fit alters toward \( m_a \sim 3.5 \text{ keV} \), which is still inside the XENON1T excess region of interest with energies between 1–7 keV, an ALP origin could be confirmed. Interestingly, the expected sensitivity of Athena taken from Ref. [67] shows comparable sensitivity.

Moreover, the stellar cooling anomaly can be explained by an ALP contribution. The preferred region for the white dwarf cooling anomaly is illustrated as the yellow shaded area, while the preferred values for the red giant branch in globular clusters is illustrated as the yellow dotted line [34,41,68], and the preferred regions are close to the XENON1T excess. Reference [34] points out that the stellar cooling argument and the XENON1T excess cross each other for ALP constituting only a fraction \( r \approx 0.1 \) of the total dark matter, since the XENON1T data scales as \( 1/\sqrt{r} \). The excess could possibly be explained by a combination of ALP and another background component like tritium, as suggested by Ref. [37].

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, we search for a decaying sterile neutrino and axionlike-particle signal from the Galactic halo with all-sky eROSITA survey after four years of observations. We generate mock datasets with the diffuse cosmic x-ray background and eROSITA’s detector background, as well as expected sky maps with counts from decaying sterile neutrinos in the Galactic halo. By performing a likelihood
analysis, we set stringent bounds on the mixing angle of sterile neutrinos. We also convert these bounds to the ALP-photon coupling ($g_{\gamma\gamma}$) and ALP-electron coupling ($g_{\gamma e}$). We consider a cored and cusped Navarro-Frenk-White density profile and find only tiny dependence on the choice of the density profile.

We will be able to probe a value for the mixing angle of sterile neutrinos up to nearly 2 orders of magnitude below the best-fit value that could explain the unidentified 3.5 keV line [15] and 1 order of magnitude stronger than below the best-fit value that could explain the unidentified of sterile neutrinos up to nearly 2 orders of magnitude of the density profile.

We will also be able to probe a large parameter space for the ALP couplings to photons and electrons that are not yet excluded by x-ray observations, to the same degree for the ALP couplings to photons and electrons that are not yet excluded by x-ray observations, to the same degree yet excluded by x-ray observations, to the same degree yet excluded by x-ray observations.

We consider a cored and cusped Navarro-Frenk-White satellite galaxies.

We also consider a cored and cusped Navarro-Frenk-White density profile and find only tiny dependence on the choice of the density profile.

We will be able to probe a value for the mixing angle of sterile neutrinos up to nearly 2 orders of magnitude below the best-fit value that could explain the unidentified 3.5 keV line [15] and 1 order of magnitude stronger than below the best-fit value that could explain the unidentified of sterile neutrinos up to nearly 2 orders of magnitude of the density profile.
[52] C. Dessert, N. L. Rodd, and B. R. Safdī, Science 367, 1465 (2020).

[53] A. Zonca, L. Singer, D. Lenz, M. Reinecke, C. Rosset, E. Hivon, and K. Gorski, J. Open Source Software 4, 1298 (2019).

[54] D. Lumb, R. Warwick, M. Page, and A. De Luca, Astron. Astrophys. 389, 93 (2002).

[55] P. Predehl, R. Andritschke, V. Arefiev, V. Babyshkin, O. Batanov, W. Becker, H. Brünger, A. Bogomolov, T. Boller, K. Born et al., Astron. Astrophys. 647, A1 (2021).

[56] P. Predehl, R. A. Sunyaev, W. Becker, H. Brunner, R. Burenin, A. Bykov, A. Cherepashchuk, N. Chugai, E. Churazov, V. Doroshenko et al., Nature (London) 588, 227 (2020).

[57] S. Horiuchi, P. J. Humphrey, J. Onorbe, K. N. Abazajian, M. Kaplinghat, and S. Garrison-Kimmel, Phys. Rev. D 89, 025017 (2014).

[58] K. C. Y. Ng, S. Horiuchi, J. M. Gaskins, M. Smith, and R. Preece, Phys. Rev. D 92, 043503 (2015).

[59] K. Perez, K. C. Y. Ng, J. F. Beacom, C. Hersh, S. Horiuchi, and R. Krivonos, Phys. Rev. D 95, 123002 (2017).

[60] K. C. Y. Ng, B. M. Roach, K. Perez, J. F. Beacom, S. Horiuchi, R. Krivonos, and D. R. Wik, Phys. Rev. D 99, 083005 (2019).

[61] K. N. Abazajian, Phys. Rep. 711–712, 128 (2017).

[62] A. Caputo, M. Regis, and M. Taoso, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 03 (2020) 001.

[63] B. M. Roach, K. C. Ng, K. Perez, J. F. Beacom, S. Horiuchi, R. Krivonos, and D. R. Wik, Phys. Rev. D 101, 103011 (2020).

[64] F. D. Serpico and G. G. Raffelt, Phys. Rev. D 71, 127301 (2005).

[65] J. F. Cherry and S. Horiuchi, Phys. Rev. D 95, 083015 (2017).

[66] V. Barinov, R. Burenin, D. Gorbunov, and R. Krivonos, Phys. Rev. D 103, 063512 (2021).

[67] A. Neronov and D. Malyshev, Phys. Rev. D 93, 063518 (2016).

[68] N. Viaux, M. Catelan, P. B. Stetson, G. G. Raffelt, J. Redondo, A. A. R. Valcarce, and A. Weiss, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 231301 (2013).

[69] S. Ando, S. K. Barik, Z. Feng, M. Finetti, A. G. Chaves, S. Kanuri, J. Kleverlaan, Y. Ma, N. M. D. Serracapriola, M. S. P. Meinema, I. N. Martinez, K. C. Y. Ng, E. Peerbooms, C. A. van Veen, and F. Zimmer, Phys. Rev. D 104, 023022 (2021).

[70] E. G. Speckhard, K. C. Y. Ng, J. F. Beacom, and R. Laha, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 031301 (2016).

[71] D. Powell, R. Laha, K. C. Y. Ng, and T. Abel, Phys. Rev. D 95, 063012 (2017).

[72] D. Zhong, M. Valli, and K. N. Abazajian, Phys. Rev. D 102, 083008 (2020).