BACKGROUND: Several recent studies suggest rotors detected by phase mapping may act as main drivers of persistent atrial fibrillation. However, the electrophysiological nature of detected rotors remains unclear. We performed a direct, 1:1 comparison between phase and activation time mapping in high-density, epicardial, direct-contact mapping files of human atrial fibrillation.

METHODS: Thirty-eight unipolar electrogram files of 10 s duration were recorded in patients with atrial fibrillation (n=20 patients) using a 16×16 electrode array placed on the epicardial surface of the left atrial posterior wall or the right atrial free wall. Phase maps and isochrone wave maps were constructed for all recordings. For each detected phase singularity (PS) with a lifespan of >1 cycle length, the corresponding conduction pattern was investigated in the isochrone wave maps.

RESULTS: When using sinusoidal recomposition and Hilbert Transform, 138 PSs were detected. One hundred and four out of 138 PSs were detected within 1 electrode distance (1.5 mm) from a line of conduction block between nonrotating wavefronts detected by activation mapping. Far fewer rotating wavefronts were detected when rotating activity was identified based on wave mapping (18 out of 8219 detected waves). Fourteen out of these 18 cases were detected as PSs in phase mapping. Phase analysis of filtered electrograms produced by simulated wavefronts separated by conduction block also identified PSs on the line of conduction block.

CONCLUSIONS: PSs identified by phase analysis of filtered epicardial electrograms colocalize with regions of conduction block lines identified by activation mapping. Detection of PSs using phase analysis has a low specificity for identifying rotating wavefronts during human atrial fibrillation using activation mapping.

VISUAL OVERVIEW: A visual overview is available for this article.
Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common sustained arrhythmia in adults and associated with substantial morbidity and lower quality of life. Catheter ablation has been developed to eliminate sites or regions triggering AF. Although in patients with paroxysmal AF, pulmonary vein isolation shows satisfactory efficacy, the results are less convincing in patients with persistent AF, possibly because the driver mechanisms are more diverse and not restricted to the pulmonary vein area.

The current plethora of proposed mechanisms sustaining AF may partially reflect the different techniques applied to map the arrhythmia. Traditionally, multiple wavelets, randomly interacting with each other and thereby continuously resulting in new wavefront generation were believed to underlie AF. The hypothesis was supported by the notion of multiple, simultaneous AF wavefronts using high-density direct-contact mapping or ECG-Imaging (ECG-I, a noninvasive technique based on phase analysis of body surface potentials). More recently, several groups have advocated localized rotating drivers perpetuating AF in humans. Using phase analysis of endocardial atrial electrograms recorded with a basket catheter, Nayar et al introduced Focal Impulse and Rotor Modulation (FIRM), a technology capable of identifying localized rotors as sources of AF. When the cores of these rotors were ablated, AF often terminated. Early meta-analyses showed benefit of driver-guided ablation for AF, but subsequent meta-analyses have been more conflicting.

WHAT IS KNOWN?
- Several recent studies suggest rotors detected by phase mapping may act as main drivers of persistent atrial fibrillation.
- The electrophysiological nature of detected rotors remains unclear.

WHAT THE STUDY ADDS?
- Phase singularities identified by phase analysis of filtered epicardial electrograms colocalize with conduction block lines identified by activation mapping but have low specificity for identifying rotating wavefronts during human atrial fibrillation.
- Phase analysis of filtered electrograms produced by simulated wavefronts separated by conduction block also identified phase singularities on the line of conduction block.
- These findings were reproducible after using a variety of methods for (1) activation time annotation of fibrillation electrograms, (2) filtering of epicardial electrograms, and (3) phase analysis of epicardial electrograms.

METHODS
The authors agree to make available the data in anonymised form (unless legally restricted), methods used in the analysis, and materials used to conduct research for joint analyses on request.

Electrophysiological Study
Each patient provided a written informed consent to the study protocol, which had been approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee (METC [Medisch Ethische Toetsingscommissie] Maastricht University). Intraoperative epicardial, direct-contact mapping was performed in 20 patients (11 in paroxysmal AF, 9 in persistent AF) undergoing open-chest surgery using an electrode array of 16×16 electrodes with an interelectrode distance of 1.5 mm (single-use, custom-made, gold-coated electrode array). Patient characteristics are presented in Table I in the Data Supplement. The electrode array was positioned on the left atrial posterior wall between the pulmonary veins or on the right atrial free wall, as depicted in Figure 1A. A silver plate was placed in the thoracic cavity and served as a reference electrode. Atria were mapped sequentially for 10 s at each site using a 256-channel mapping amplifier (bandwidth, 0.56–408 Hz; sampling rate, 1 kHz; resolution 12 bits). Far-field QRS complexes were subtracted from the fibrillation electrograms by single beat cancelation method. After exclusion of poor contact recordings (≤5% of all signals), 38 recordings were included (18 in left atrium and 20 in right atrium).
Activation Mapping and Conduction Block Detection

Local atrial activations were detected and annotated in the unipolar electrograms using a probabilistic algorithm described previously. Briefly, local candidate deflections were detected using template matching. A probability density function of the AF cycle length (CL) was calculated based on the largest and steepest candidate deflections. Candidate deflections were subsequently assigned to the groups of true local activations or subsidiary deflections (eg, deflections because of far-field or fragmented activations) so that the match of the AF CL histogram and the calculated probability density function was maximal.

To compare results of automatic activation time annotation with another method, a subset of the recording was annotated manually (section 2.5 in the Data Supplement). Isochrone wave maps were constructed based on the local activation times, as described previously. Waves were defined as groups of electrode activations interconnected by normal conduction (CV ≥ CV block, where CV indicates conduction velocity) and separated by lines of conduction block, marked on the isochrone wave maps as a dashed line. Waves of <3 electrodes were excluded from analysis. Waves were classified as rotating if their wavefront followed a self-intersecting path of normal conduction that lasted for >75% of the median AF CL.

Conduction block between 2 adjacent electrodes was defined as a local activation time difference corresponding a local conduction velocity below a threshold value (default CV_{block}=10 cm/s, if not stated otherwise in the article). The duration of conduction block between a pair of electrodes was measured as the time from the appearance of local conduction block until the next incidence of normal conduction. Intrawave blocks were not taken into consideration, as such blocks may appear as a result of a drift or meandering of functional reentry pivot points (See Data Supplement for further discussion). In the article, by conduction block, we understand a line of conduction block that separates 2 waves that did not have a viable conductive connection anywhere in the field of view of electrode array.

To check how rotating wavefronts in activation time mapping relate to detected phase singularities (PSs) in phase mapping, we compared the location of geometric center of rotating waves with PS locations.
Phase Mapping

The first step of phase mapping is the calculation of electrogram phase. If phase reconstruction methods are directly applied on unfiltered atrial electrograms, the phase signal shows frequent phase transitions caused by fractionation and complex morphology of the signals as presented in Figure 2A–2C. To avoid frequent phase transitions unrelated to the activation cycles, appropriate filtering must be performed before phase calculation.

In this study, we compared 2 filtering methods. As the main method, we applied the concept of sinusoidal reconstruction which, combined with the Hilbert transform, was demonstrated to reconstruct electrogram phase in a robust way, as presented in Figure 2D–2F. In parallel, we applied a recently published filtering method that is based on previous studies applying filtering in frequency domain to facilitate identification of electrogram deflections during AF. This filtering technique extracts a smooth signal proportional to the energy present in the deflection reflecting local activations, present in the high-frequency band (40–250 Hz). To reconstruct phase from a filtered signal, we compared 2 methods, the Hilbert transform and time-delay embedding. Time-delay embedding reconstructs a trajectory in phase state space by plotting a signal against the same signal delayed by time \( \tau \) allowing for assigning a phase angle (or phase) to the current sample of the measured signal. Time-delay \( \tau \) was taken as 0.25 of the local AF CL as suggested earlier.

In the next step, PSs were detected using calculated phase signals. Several different approaches to identify PSs have been suggested in the past. Here, a PS was defined as a point around which a gradual phase increase followed by a phase transition \( > \pi \) was present. To increase robustness of PS detection, we tested whether the criterion mentioned above was met in 2 paths encircling the tested point (Figure 1C, electrodes marked by red dots). One circle is formed by electrodes at 1.5 mm distance (2x2 grid) and 1 formed by electrodes 3 mm from the tested point (outer, 4x4 grid). For full explanation, see the Data Supplement or Kuklik et al. A PS was included into analysis if the PS lifespan was greater than the local AF CL. Local AF CL was defined as average interval between consecutive local deflections in all of the neighboring electrodes. In this article, only PSs with a life span of at least 1 rotation were taken into consideration.

Spatial Comparison Between Conduction Block Lines and Phase Singularity

At the moment of PS detection, we compared the underlying conduction pattern in the phase map (Figure 1D) and the isochrone wave map (Figure 1F). Both isochrone and phase map presented in Figure 1 were manually interpolated to enhance the readability of maps, by combining multiple isochrones to one, if there were >1 isochrone between 2 adjacent electrodes.

To assess the spatial association between PSs and conduction block lines, the distribution of the average Euclidian distance between PSs and the closest site of conduction block was computed. The time segment for comparison between PSs and conduction blocks was chosen as the full lifespan of the PS. Every millisecond when a PS was present, the distance of PSs to the closest block line was determined, and the average distance throughout the lifespan was calculated.
ogy was simulated using the Fenton-Karma model. The basic block is detected between pairs of adjacent electrodes. This is detected on the intersection of a square of 4 electrodes, and the electrodes was adjacent to the PS point. Note that the PS to include all block between electrodes in which at least one of those that are farther than 1 electrode to the closest conduction block and of non-PS locations into 2 subgroups: those that are closer and exactly 1 electrode from the nearest conduction block, covering the same time interval as the lifespan of each PS. We divided the average distance of PS points and of non-PS locations into 2 subgroups: those that are closer or exactly 1 electrode from the nearest conduction block and those that are farther than 1 electrode to the closest conduction block. The threshold of 1 electrode distance was set to 2 mm to include all block between electrodes in which at least one of the electrodes was adjacent to the PS point. Note that the PS is detected on the intersection of a square of 4 electrodes, and block is detected between pairs of adjacent electrodes.

Simulation Study
We hypothesized that a line of conduction block—even without any turning of the wavefronts—can already lead to PS detections in the phase analysis of the filtered electrograms. To investigate this hypothesis in a more controlled condition, a simple computational model with a line of conduction block separating a virtual medium into a right and a left half was used. The 2-dimensional model we used consisted of a myocyte sheet of 200x500 computational cells coupled diffusively at the interfaces (monodomain conduction model). Tissue electrophysiology was simulated using the Fenton-Karma model. The basic parameters of the Fenton-Karma model reproduce the restitution properties of the Courtemanche-Ramirez-Nattel model of atrial tissue. Details are given in the Data Supplement. A train of 10 consecutive stimulations with a CL of 170 ms on both sides of the line of conduction block was applied. A simulated grid of 16x16 unipolar electrodes was used to reconstruct electrograms, resembling our recording technique during the epicardial mapping study. Unipolar electrograms were computed according to a current source monodomain approximation. The signals were further analyzed to produce isochrone wave maps and phase maps with the same software as the data recorded from human atria in the electrophysiology study.

Statistical Analysis
Results are expressed as means±SD unless otherwise stated. The average minimal distance of a PS during its lifespan to conduction block was compared with the average distance to conduction block of a random sequence of points in the mapping area where no PS was detected within the same time frame. Differences in paired average distances (for every PS and a random sequence of non-PS locations) were tested with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Statistical analysis of continuous variables was performed using 2 sample Student t test. P values <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Phase Singularity Incidence and Lifespan in Phase Mapping
In total, 138 PSs lasting longer than 1 locally measured CL were detected. More PSs were detected in patients with persistent AF than in patients with paroxysmal AF (0.08±0.05 PS/cycle [quantity] in persistent AF group versus 0.04±0.04 PS/cycle in paroxysmal AF, P=0.02). There was no significant difference in PS number between chambers (0.06±0.05 PS/cycle [qty] in left atrial versus 0.06±0.06 PS/cycle in right atrial, P=0.94). A detailed distribution of PS in patients is presented in Table. The longest PS lifespan was 584 ms, that is, 3.2× the locally measured CL, and the average number of rotations was 1.35. Only 9 of 138 PSs were present for >2 rotations; however, none of them did coincide with the reentrant activations detected by wave mapping. An example of detected PS is shown in Figure 1D.

Direct Comparison of Activation and Phase Mapping
A representative example of a 1:1 comparison between phase map and isochrone wave map is presented in Figure 3A or 3B. Six other examples are presented in Figure 3D and in detail in the Data Supplement. In the isochrone wave maps, a conduction block line is shown as a dashed black-and-white line dividing 2 detected activation waves passing through the mapping area. The PS detected in the phase mapping in Figure 3A was located directly on the conduction block line, located between 2 waves propagating in opposite directions (Figure 3B). When the phase map at the same moment is examined, the map appears to be smoothed, producing a PS at a single point. In Figure 3C, electrograms (top, blue graphs) and phase signals are depicted for the electrodes around the detected PS. As is visible in the recordings from 4 central electrodes, the double potentials appearing on both sides of conduction block (marked green in Figure 3C) modify the processed phase signals such that time point of the phase transition is slightly shifted, and a PS is detected.

Despite the differences presented in Figure 3, the general patterns in both isochrone mapping and the phase mapping were in good agreement. The over-all pattern of wavefront propagation was similar—the median time difference between activation time and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Statistical analysis of continuous variables was performed using 2 sample Student t test. P values <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
marked by activation time annotation or phase transitions in all recordings was as short as 5.6±2.6 ms. Also, the mean AF CL difference between both methods was negligible (1.58±0.2 ms).

**Distance of PSs to Conduction Block Lines**

During the lifespan of each PS, the average minimal distance of PSs and random non-PS locations to the closest conduction block line was calculated. One hundred and four out of 138 all PSs detected (74%) were present on average within ≤1 electrode distance from the closest conduction block line (Figure 4A).

One hundred and thirty out of 138 (94%) of PSs appeared within ≤1 electrode distance from the closest conduction block line at some time point during their lifespan. By contrast, only 15% of random non-PS locations were on average within 1 electrode distance from the conduction block (Figure 4B). Importantly, when activation time was annotated manually the same spatial association between PSs and lines of conduction block was found (Section 2.5 in the Data Supplement).

The amount of conduction block events detected in the recording is dependent on the threshold CV$_{block}$ representing minimal acceptable conduction velocity. To
test whether the distance of PSs and non-PS locations to the nearest conduction block line depends on the CV_{block}, the averaged distances of all PSs and non-PS locations for different values of CV_{block} are depicted in Figure 4C. With lower thresholds for conduction velocity, the number of detected conduction block events was smaller because waves that conducted more slowly were still treated as normal conduction. However, throughout a large range of thresholds for CV_{block}, PSs were consistently closer to the nearest conduction block compared with non-PS locations.

Influence of Filtering and Phase Calculation Method on the Average Distances Between Conduction Block and Phase Singularity

To investigate how electrogram processing and filtering influence PS detection at the line of conduction block, we compared sinusoidal recomposition with frequency-based filtering. As a substitute of Hilbert transform for phase signal construction, we used time-delay embedding. We applied all 4 combinations of electrogram processing to calculate the phase signals. The results of the distribution of average distance of a PS to the nearest conduction block as presented in Figure 4 were calculated with all 4 method combinations and are presented in Figure VII in the Data Supplement. For all tested filtering methods, the PSs were found more than twice as close to conduction block than random non-PS locations (for all cases the differences in paired average distances resulted in P<0.001; tested with a Wilcoxon signed-rank test).

Contribution of Fibrillation Waves to Phase Singularity Formation

Only 8% of all waves detected in all recordings were adjacent to PSs during their lifespan and could be in any way be connected to formation of the PS. Forty-five percent (62) of PSs were formed directly on the conduction block line between 2 waves, propagating in the opposite directions. Twenty-eight percent (39) were formed through interaction of 3 waves that mostly showed sequential activation in the direction of the PS. The full analysis is presented in the Data Supplement. Phase singularity detection had a moderate sensitivity of 77% to detect rotating wavefronts found in activation mapping. The specificity for detection of such rotating waves by PS analysis was only 14 out of 138, that is, around 10%.

In-Silico Comparison of Phase Mapping Versus Activation Mapping

To investigate why PSs appear in the vicinity of a conduction block line, we performed an in-silico study, in which a simple tissue strip divided by a line of complete conduction block was analyzed by isochrone and phase mapping. The results are presented in Figure 5 in the similar fashion as results from experimental study in Figure 3. Double potentials at a line of conduction block
DISCUSSION

Defining the pattern of activation during AF is fundamental to our understanding of the pathophysiology of AF. The current diversity in the perception of different mechanisms sustaining AF is partly because of the fact that a large diversity of AF mapping technologies is used by investigators studying AF mechanisms. Furthermore, no consensus has been achieved so far with regards to minimal requirements for electrogram recordings and analysis, as well as interpretation of AF electrograms. Recent phase mapping studies have identified rotors as drivers for AF\textsuperscript{11,12} but are inconsistent with direct-contact mapping studies in patients with AF\textsuperscript{9,37} and differ largely with regard to the spatiotemporal stationarity of rotors.\textsuperscript{11,12} This raises significant conceptual questions about the existence of these drivers for AF but also about the role of signal processing in the interpretation of fibrillation electrograms. Here, we aim to clarify the role of electrogram filtering and phase analysis in the identification of rotating fibrillation waves.

Figure 5. Direct comparison of phase mapping and direct activation mapping in a computer simulation.
A. A simulated tissue strip was divided by a line of conduction block. Consecutive activation fronts propagated in opposite direction on both sides of the conduction block line. Phase map (B) and isochrone wave map (C) captured at the same time point. D. Simulated unipolar electrograms (top, blue graphs) and phase signals depicted for the simulated electrodes around the phase singularity (PS). At the conduction block line, filtering of the double potentials (green color) shifted the time of phase inversion for some of the electrodes. The resulting smoothing effect on phase near the conduction block produces an artificial PS at the conduction block line.

(marked by green color in Figure 3D) were blurred by the filtering step, affecting reconstructed electrogram’s phase for some of these electrodes (bottom curves in plots). This resulted in a smoothing effect on the spatial image of phase near the line of conduction block and produced an artificial PS located at the conduction block line.
Our results imply that the identification of PSs using phase analysis of filtered electrograms has a very low specificity in identifying wavefronts rotating >360 degrees as identified by isochrone wave maps. Instead, PSs to a large extent reflect lines of conduction block located between nonrotating wavefronts.

**Characteristics of PSs as Markers for Rotors**

We evaluated 2 approaches of interpretation of atrial electrogram recordings, one based on detecting activation times and the other based on conduction times. We found that a high number of detected PSs were located very close to a line of conduction block at the exact same time point. Moreover, in a simple in-silico study, we observed that a block line is a sufficient condition to result in PS detection during phase mapping. More specifically, conduction of 2 waves in opposite directions on both sides of a line of conduction block may become represented as a rotating pattern in phase analysis. This is likely because of a shift of the phase inversion in time from the time of true tissue activation caused by the required filtering step of the electrograms.

Our results suggest that using phase analysis on complex electrograms recorded during AF may not be specific enough to mark true rotational activity. Instead, repetitive conduction patterns along a line of conduction block could produce an apparent PS and may be misinterpreted as a rotor. Repetitive conduction patterns along a conduction block which are consistent with other studies showing spatiotemporal linking of waves38 may result in detection of rotors of considerable live spans in phase analysis.

Our results certainly do not imply that rotating waves do not exist. In fact, using our activation time annotation and isochrone wave map algorithms, we could identify 18 waves that rotated >360 degrees within the mapping area. This confirms our previous observations23 that during human AF recorded from the epicardial surface such waves are a rare phenomenon (in this study about 0.2% of all waves). Fourteen out of these rotating 18 waves (waves rotating >360 degrees) were adjacent to a PS location at least during some time in their lifespan. Thus, the sensitivity of phase analysis to detect rotating waves confirmed by activation time annotation was moderate (77%). However, a much more relevant result of our study is that the specificity of phase mapping to detect rotating waves was as low as 10% (only 14 out of 138 detected PSs actually represented rotating waves).

**Filtering of Atrial Signals**

Analysis of AF activation patterns always requires various degrees of signal preprocessing, such as filtering of initially recorded electrograms. Both techniques that showed rotors to be drivers of AF—ECG-I and FIRM basket mapping—use phase analysis to determine and analyze conduction behavior during AF. The discrepancy between conduction patterns shown by phase analysis and direct activation time mapping in this study shows how much filtering changes our perception of the conduction pattern during AF. The effect of annotation and phase mapping was also reported recently by Zaman et al39 where only 5 of 25 rotor and focal sources shown by phase mapping could be confirmed identified on isochrone maps calculated from annotated electrograms from the same FIRM basket catheters recordings.

In our study, applying 2 different filtering techniques with 2 different phase reconstruction methods led to detection of PSs preferentially on the line of conduction block, suggesting that the PSs are the result of sequential activation of wavefronts near the line of conduction block rather than because of truly rotational activation. Visual inspection confirmed that the dominant pattern underlying the PS was conduction of 2 waves in opposite directions on both sides of a line of conduction block.

Fibrillatory patterns mapped with phase analysis techniques both in ECG-I10 and FIRM12,19 show rather simple, smooth conduction pattern, that is, higher spatial organization and much lower complexity than methods based on annotation of local unipolar electrograms. In ECG-I this may be, at least partially, because of a filtering effect of the thorax as volume conductor and related limitations of the inverse solution performed to compute electrograms on the atrial surface. In case of contact electrograms used in FIRM mapping, this is likely to be an effect of limited resolution of the grid (increasing the likelihood that a block line is present between adjacent electrodes), limited contact, and the processing algorithms applied to compute phase maps.

Apart from the temporal filtering performed during signal preprocessing, one can consider spatial filtering of the detection of activation wavefronts. Previous work by our group23 demonstrated that increasing electrode spacing heavily influences the number of detected PSs. Increasing electrode spacing represents a form of low-frequency spatial filtering of the signal. Our data demonstrate that low-frequency spatial filtering of phases can create a bias toward detection of rotors.

**Clinical and Physiological Implications**

If our hypothesis is correct and if PSs are indeed markers for conduction block lines separating nonrotating waves rather than a reliable method to detect rotating wavefronts, several surprising results reported in recent AF mapping studies can be explained more easily.
Conduction block is high. The colocalization between saguerre et al might reflect areas with a higher probability maps produced by the ECG-I approach by Haissaguerre et al presented an ECG-I study in patients with atrial flutter or ventricular tachycardia comparing activation time maps with phase maps and also found examples of sequential activation around a line of conduction block being detected as PSs in the phase mapping approach.

Furthermore, in another study involving noninvasive mapping of atrial electrical activity, Haissaguerre et al reported that the reentrant and focal sources detected in phase mapping appeared at the borders of fibrotic atrial regions, identified by magnetic resonance imaging atrial imaging. In such fibrotic atrial regions, the probability of conduction block is high. The colocalization between rotors and fibrotic areas may actually reflect the high incidence of block events close to fibrotic regions.

Finally, our results could offer an explanation for the counterintuitive notion that ablation at the core of rotors can sometimes terminate AF. The probability density maps produced by the ECG-I approach by Haissaguerre et al might reflect areas with a higher probability of conduction block and not necessarily areas where truly rotating wavefronts appear. Such areas of a higher probability of conduction block could contribute to wave generation and increase AF complexity. When eliminated by ablation, AF complexity may decline, and AF may eventually terminate.

Furthermore, our results suggest that the rotors detected by FIRM used to analyze endocardial electrograms could reflect spatially constant lines of block in the endocardial conduction pattern. Recently Li et al and Hansen et al demonstrated that in Langendorff-perfused human atria AF can be driven by localized stationary reentrant circuits along loops of endocardial trabeculae. The gap between the endocardial bundles represents an anatomic line of block which in phase mapping may be detected as PSs. Whether localized and stationary reentry circuits as described by Hansen et al reflect rotors identified by FIRM certainly warrants further investigation.

Limitations
In our study, we found only short-lasting PSs; the longest recorded lifespan was 584 ms (3.2 rotations). This may not fully reflect the conduction pattern on the endocardial side of the atrial wall. However, similar findings with respect to the lifespan of PSs were reported in a study by Haissaguerre et al in exploring the use of body surface potential mapping and inverse solution (ECG-I approach) to identify rotors in the atria.

Methods for determining local atrial activation using unipolar electrograms in AF are limited by absence of a gold standard and the inherent complexities of the electrograms. Thus, there may be limitations to conclusions drawn from activation mapping in AF.

Moreover, we have not validated the existence of conduction block. Future studies using techniques such as extension of a line of conduction by creating an ablation line to an anatomic boundary might further validate the conduction lines identified using activation mapping.

The field of view we obtained during high-density epicardial mapping was a 2.4×2.4 cm. This is just a portion of the entire atrial surface and using this technique a full view on all rotational activity in the atria cannot be achieved. However, there are 3 aspects of our epicardial mapping approach that strengthen our conclusions: (1) we used high-density mapping grids which provide more reliable analysis of conduction patterns than the often sparse set of points obtained using basket catheters, (2) the majority (101 out of 138) of PSs we found originated and disappeared within field of view suggesting that our conclusion regarding the short lifespan of PSs is reliable, (3) we mapped an area between pulmonary veins, which is often reported as a frequent location of stable PSs. Yet, even in this area, PSs were short-lived and true rotation occurred in <1% of all fibrillation waves.

This study was performed in patients undergoing cardiac surgery and might not apply to other patients with AF.

As the techniques of contact mapping, FIRM and ECG-I differ substantially (epicardial or endocardial measurement, density of coverage, etc), the rotating wavefronts presented in our study may represent different phenomena than the rotational activity reported by ECG-I and FIRM-based studies.

Conclusions
Rotors identified by phase analysis of filtered, epicardial atrial electrograms are more likely to reflect lines of conduction block between nonrotating waves than rotating wavefronts. A single line of block is sufficient to produce a PS when phase analysis is used on filtered electrograms from that area. Our study raises fundamental questions about the very use of phase analysis to unravel the pathophysiology of AF and to identify rotors as targets for AF ablation. However, absence of a gold standard for the analysis of local atrial activation in AF may limit these conclusions.
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