Measurements of nitrous acid (HONO) in urban area of Shanghai, China
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Abstract
Nitrous acid (HONO), as a precursor of the hydroxyl radical (OH), plays an important role in the photochemistry of the troposphere, especially in the polluted urban atmosphere. A field campaign was conducted to measure atmospheric HONO concentration and that of other pollutants (such as NO$_2$ and particle mass concentration) in the autumn of 2009 at Shanghai urban areas. HONO mixing ratios were simultaneously measured by three different techniques: LOPAP (Long Path Absorption Photometer), DOAS (Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy), and CIMS (Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometer). The measurements showed that the mixing ratios of HONO were highly variable and depended strongly on meteorological parameters. The HONO levels ranged from 0.5 to 7 ppb with maximum values during early morning and minimum levels during late afternoon. The three instruments reproduced consistent diurnal pattern of HONO concentrations with higher concentration during the night compared to the daylight hours. Comparison of $\frac{\text{HONO}}{\text{LOPAP}}/\frac{\text{HONO}}{\text{CIMS}}$ ratios during daytime and nighttime periods exhibited a non-systematic disagreement of 0.93 and 1.16, respectively. This would indicate different chemical compositions of sampled air for the LOPAP and the CIMS instruments during daytime and nighttime periods, which have possibly affected measurements. Mean HONO concentration reported by LOPAP was 33% higher than by DOAS on the whole period with no significant difference between daytime and nighttime periods. This revealed a systematic deviation from both instruments. The present data provides complementary information of HONO ambient levels in the atmosphere of Shanghai urban areas.
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Introduction

The fast photolysis of nitrous acid (HONO) plays an important role in the tropospheric photochemistry, especially in the polluted urban areas, leading to the production of OH radicals and subsequently to ozone formation (Aumont et al. 2003; Stemmler et al. 2006; Volkamer et al. 2010). HONO photolysis could account for up to 30-60% of the morning OH production in urban areas (Alicke et al. 2003; Elshorbany et al. 2009). HONO present in the atmospheric boundary layer originates from homogenous and heterogeneous sources. Since the gas phase production of HONO from the reaction of NO with OH generally fails to explain the observed atmospheric HONO concentrations (Staffelbach et al. 1997; Aumont et al. 1999), additional sources originating from the heterogeneous chemistry have been also reported. This includes different surfaces (ground and aerosols) such as the photocatalytic conversion of NO$_2$ on mineral dust (Ndour et al. 2008), the dark heterogeneous conversion of NO$_2$ on suspended soot particles (Amman et al. 1998; Arens et al. 2001), the heterogeneous hydrolysis of NO$_2$ (Finlayson-Pitts et al. 2003), the photosensitized reduction of NO$_2$ on organic surfaces (George et al. 2005; Stemmler et al. 2006), the photolysis of adsorbed nitric acid (HNO$_3$) (Zhou et al. 2003) and nitrate (NO$_3^-$) (Zhou et al. 2001), the HNO$_3$ conversion on primary organic aerosols (Ziemba et al. 2010) and from soil-emitted nitrite (Su et al. 2011). Direct emissions from combustion processes (i.e., vehicles exhaust, biomass burning) also contribute, which may account for a large proportion of observed HONO in heavy polluted areas (Kirchstetter et al. 1996; Kurtenbach et al. 2001).

Atmospheric concentrations of HONO in Shanghai urban area have been recently reported in two studies (Hao et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2013). Both measurements have been carried out by the same DOAS technique. Concentration levels of HONO reached a maximum of $\sim$ 7 ppb, in agreement with previous observations in other large cities (Winer and Biermann 1994; Febo et al. 1996; Qin et al. 2009). These measurements in Shanghai (China) showed that the combination of surface and relative humidity promoted the heterogeneous conversion of NO$_2$ into HONO and would contribute to the observed concentration of HONO in the urban area of Shanghai (Hao et al. 2006).

Taking into consideration the important role of the HONO photodissociation in the atmosphere, various techniques have been developed and deployed for its atmospheric measurements, such as long path differential optical absorption spectroscopy (LP-DOAS) and chemical techniques (Febo et al. 1996; Spindler et al. 2003), long path absorption photometry
(LOPAP) (Heland et al. 2001; Kleffmann et al. 2006) and ion-drift chemical ionization mass spectrometry (ID-CIMS) (Hirokawa et al. 2009; Levy et al. 2014). Time resolution, sensitivity, the absence of any artefact and the capability to perform measurements under diverse environmental conditions are paramount of importance in the performance of analytical instruments. Given that the increasing atmospheric interest in measuring HONO, intercomparison studies of instruments have been conducted in previous field measurements (Kleffmann et al. 2006; Stutz et al. 2010; Levy et al. 2014; Pinto et al. 2014). Excellent agreement between LOPAP and LP-DOAS has been obtained (slope = 0.987 ± 0.015; r² = 0.87) (Kleffmann et al. 2006). The results of the comparison generally showed consistent agreement, although there were occasionally significant differences that could be attributed to either an NO₂ artefact of the DOAS instrument or possible interferences in the chemical methods (Heland et al. 2001; Kleffmann et al. 2006; Stutz et al. 2010). Chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) is a powerful and sensitive technique in measuring many trace gases in the atmosphere. Previous HONO intercomparison studies reported that the CIMS measurements showed consistent agreement with other instruments in the morning, but differed significantly during the afternoon and the evening, exceeding the other measurements, likely due to interferences from unknown sources (Pinto et al. 2014).

In the present study, a field campaign was carried out to measure the concentrations of nitrous acid (HONO) and other pollutants (NO₂ and particle mass concentration) in Shanghai (China) during the last two weeks of October 2009. HONO mixing ratios were measured simultaneously by three measurement techniques (LOPAP, DOAS, and CIMS) to characterize HONO concentration levels in the urban area of Shanghai. The objective of this work aimed to compare well-established techniques such as LOPAP, CIMS and DOAS in measuring HONO concentrations in Shanghai urban area.

**Methodology**

**Site description**

The field campaign took place in the northeast of Shanghai (31.3°N, 121.5°E) on the roof (~20 m high) of the 4th Teaching Building on the campus of Fudan University (FDU). The area around the campus was representative for an urban area due to residential, traffic, and construction activities. Handan Road, a trunk road with heavy traffic and with an expressway
tunnel beneath, ran 300 meters south of the site. Besides, there were a few branch roads around the campus. Fig. S1 displays the sampling site at FDU.

Description of measurement techniques

**LOPAP (Long Path Absorption Photometer)**

LOPAP measurement operated from 14th to 29th October 2009. The LOPAP technique has been described in detail elsewhere (Heland et al. 2001; Kleffmann et al. 2002; Kleffmann et al. 2006), and is briefly summarized here. The instrument was composed of two sections, a sampling unit and a detection unit. HONO was sampled in a stripping coil, undergoing fast conversion into an azodye, which was photometrically detected using a long path absorption tube. The sampling section was composed of two glass coils in series where the first channel accounted for HONO and interferences and the second channel, only for interferences assuming that more than 99% of HONO was converted into the first channel. HONO concentration was calibrated using a standard solution of NO$_2^-$ (Titritisol Nitrite standard, 1000 mg L$^{-1}$ NO$_2^-$ in water). The instrument was calibrated three times over the measurement period. Automatic air zero measurements were performed during 45 minutes every 4-5 hours to correct for zero drifts. The instrument was placed in a ventilated aluminium box. The temperature of the stripping coil was kept constant at 25°C by a thermostat in order to ensure similar sampling conditions. A 2σ detection limit of 10 ppt was determined for a 1-minute measurement with LOPAP. The measurement accuracy was 10%.

**Active DOAS (Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy)**

DOAS measurements were conducted from 17th to 25th October 2009. Fig. S2 illustrates the beam of the DOAS instrument running above Handan Road. The instrument has been described in details elsewhere (Yu et al. 2004; Hao et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2013). The light beam of DOAS for measurement ran above the campus and Handan Road. The transmitting/receiving telescopes of DOAS were both set on the roof of about 20 meters Building. The retro-reflectors for DOAS were installed on the tall building of Yangpu High-tech Base at the altitude of 44 meters, with a horizontal distance of 680 meters to the
southeast of source Building. Spectra in a wavelength range of 200-450 nm were recorded by a BWSpec (BRU741E-1024) spectrograph with a spectral resolution of ~0.75 nm FWHM, and detected by a 1024-pixel photodiode array detector. The average HONO concentrations along the optical path were analyzed in the spectral region of 340-370 nm, with the cross sections of HONO (Stutz et al. 2000) and NO\textsubscript{2} (Mérienne et al. 1995). Due to HONO impurities in the NO\textsubscript{2} reference spectrum (typically 0.5 % of the NO\textsubscript{2} concentration), HONO concentration data were corrected using the measured NO\textsubscript{2} concentration ([HONO]=[HONO]+0.005×[NO\textsubscript{2}]). The detection limits obtained for HONO and NO\textsubscript{2} were 0.2 ppb and 2 ppb (3σ), respectively for 5-minutes averaged data. The measurement accuracy was estimated to be 10% for NO\textsubscript{2} and 15% for HONO.

**ID-CIMS (Ion-Drift Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometer)**

ID-CIMS was operated from 14\textsuperscript{th} to 20\textsuperscript{th} October 2009. Details on the instrument can be found elsewhere (Fortner et al. 2004). HONO was measured by ion-drift chemical ionization mass spectrometry (ID-CIMS) using SF\textsubscript{6}-reagent ions. The signal was integrated for about 9 seconds per measurement cycle using single ion monitor mode. The instrument was zeroed with Nylon filter for 15 cycles every 100 measurement cycles. HONO was detected as HONO • F⁻ complex. Its quantification was based on calibrations with gaseous HONO standards in-situ generated by passing quantitatively permeated nitric acid through a NaNO\textsubscript{2} (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.999%) column. The detection limit (2σ) was 0.032 ppbv (for 1 minute averaged data). The accuracy was ~13% based on five consecutive calibrations.

**Other measurement**

The photolysis frequency of NO\textsubscript{2}, JNO\textsubscript{2}, was measured by a filter radiometer (Meteorologie consult gmbh, Germany). The acquisition time was fixed at 1 second. The meteorological data, such as pressure, temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed/direction were continuously monitored using a weather station (Oregon Scientific\textsuperscript{TM}, WMR100 model) every one minute. The absolute uncertainties were ±1°C for the temperature, 5-7% for the relative humidity and ±3 m s\textsuperscript{-1} for 2 - 10 m s\textsuperscript{-1} wind speed range and ±10% between 10 and 56 m s\textsuperscript{-1}.
Particle mass concentration (PM$_1$, PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$) was measured using an optical particle spectrometer (GRIMM Model 180, GRIMM Aerosol Technik GmbH, Germany). The data were recorded every one hour.

**Results and discussion**

Measurements of HONO, other pollutants and meteorological parameters

Fig. 1 shows the time profiles of HONO mixing ratios measured by LOPAP, photolysis frequency of NO$_2$ ($J_{NO2}$) and meteorological parameters (temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed/direction) during the period 14-29 October 2009. NO$_2$ was measured by DOAS (17-25 October) at FDU site and particulate matter mass concentrations (PM$_1$, PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$) at Pudong district between 14th and 29th October. During the campaign, sunny weather conditions prevailed with a mean temperature of 21°C ranging from 15.5 to 27.5°C. The mean relative humidity was 48% reaching up to 96% during the night and down to 18% during the day. The wind speed ranged from 0 to 3.3 m s$^{-1}$ leading to an average value of 0.9 ± 0.6 m s$^{-1}$. The mean maximum $J_{NO2}$ during the whole campaign was $7.8 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$.

Particle mass data were taken from Pudong Meteorological Station (about 9.3 km away from the FDU site) due to the absence of measurements at FDU site during the period of interest. Data reliability was confirmed in a separate study where the concentration of particles through visibility measurement were shown to be in agreement from simultaneous measurements at FDU and Pudong sites at similar period as this work (Li et al. 2011). The average concentrations of PM$_1$, PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ during the observation period were 41.6 ± 26.7, 57.8 ± 29.8 and 98.9 ± 42.9 μg m$^{-3}$, respectively, higher than the US EPA 24-h standard (35 μg m$^{-3}$) for PM$_{2.5}$. PM mass concentration levels during our observation period were comparable to those measured in Shanghai during May-June 2010 (Huang et al. 2012).

HONO concentration was partially influenced by variations of meteorological conditions. Highest HONO mixing ratios were met in the early morning of 15 and 27 October when wind speeds dropped to zero, as shown in Fig. 1. The high HONO mixing ratios under the low wind speed might arise mainly from the HONO accumulations due to direct emissions and heterogeneous chemical reactions, and also related to the poor dispersion and the lower rate of removal. The wind speed on the whole measurement period remained relatively low favouring accumulation of pollutants. Different wind directions might cause
different HONO mixing ratios in the investigated areas (Fig.1). HONO mixing ratios were nearly zero when the wind speed was mainly from the northeast direction on the 15th October and from west direction on the 27th October. On the contrary, under other wind directions, the mixing ratios exhibited different levels due to the influence by both the local emissions and the transportation.

HONO mixing ratios exhibited typical diurnal patterns with maximum levels during late night and early morning and minimum values in the late afternoon. The daily variation patterns of HONO mixing ratios were comparable to previous measurements in urban areas (Febo et al. 1996; Alicke et al. 2003; Acker et al. 2006; Yu et al. 2009).

The HONO mixing ratios during the whole campaign ranged from 44 ppt to 7.0 ppb with a mean value of 1.3 ppb. A mean concentration of 1.1 ppb has been measured previously at the same location from October 2004 to January 2005 (Hao et al. 2006) and a mean value of 0.92 ppb has been reported from two-years observations between August 2010 and June 2012 (Wang et al. 2013). It should be mentioned that the HONO concentration levels at this location have been observed to be independent from temporal variations such as seasons and months (Wang et al. 2013). HONO mixing ratio peaks during rush hours reached ~7 ppb and ~5 ppb, respectively on the 15th and 27th October before sunrise. A rapid decrease of accumulated HONO concentration was observed after sunrise due to photolysis. For the campaign, averaged data maximum and minimum HONO mixing ratios were 2.2 ppb at around 06:00 LT and 0.5 ppb around 13:00 LT, respectively (Fig. 6). The mean concentrations during daytime (07:00 - 17:00 LT) and nighttime (18:00 - 06:00 LT) periods have been estimated to be 1.0 ppb and 1.5 ppb, respectively. Our measurements have been compared with those conducted in the same location previously, between 22nd October 2004 and 4th January 2005 (Hao et al. 2006). These authors reported a mean HONO daytime concentration (07:00-17:00 LT) of 0.7 ppb and a mean nighttime concentration (18:00-06:00 LT) of 1.4 ppb. They obtained a mean concentration of 0.5 ppb at noontime (11:00-14:00 LT). The observed HONO daytime concentration fell within the range of the previous observations in urban areas and megacities worldwide, 0.05-2.0 ppb (Alicke et al. 2002; Acker et al. 2006; Hao et al. 2006; Kanaya et al. 2007; Elshorbany et al. 2009; Qin et al. 2009).

The minimum HONO concentration was obtained between 11:00 LT and 16:00 LT. The concentration was continuously increasing from 16:00 LT to 04:00 LT the next day from 443 ppt to 2.1 ppb. Afterwards, HONO concentration remained nearly constant at 2.1 ppb.
from 04:15 LT to 07:35 LT. Then, it decreased rapidly mainly due to photolysis, reaching the minimum daytime value at 11:00 LT. Resulting from 10 min averaged diurnal data, HONO formation rates (ppb HONO per hour per ppb NO\textsubscript{2}) were estimated to 0.762\% between 15:45 LT and 18:35 LT and decreased to 0.158\% from 18:45 LT to 03:55 LT. The difference between both HONO increase rates may likely due to traffic related emissions during rush hour higher in the late afternoon than in the evening.

Intercomparison of the three instruments for HONO measurements

Fig. 2 displays the time series of HONO mixing ratios measured by LOPAP (14 - 29 October), ID-CIMS (14 - 20 October), and DOAS (17 - 25 October). The measurements by the three techniques generally tracked each other very well. In this section, mean, daytime (07:00 - 17:00 LT) and nighttime (18:00 - 06:00 LT) data resulting from LOPAP are compared to CIMS and DOAS during simultaneous observation period (with \(N\), the number of data). The data used for intercomparison originated from the raw data of each instrument as follows: LOPAP (1 minute sampled data), CIMS (9 seconds sampled data) and DOAS (3 minutes sampled data).

\textit{LOPAP/CIMS}

Intercomparison between LOPAP and CIMS was conducted between 14 October (18:15 LT) and 20 October 2009 (09:05 LT). During this field study, the LOPAP and the CIMS instruments were not co-located, but rather separated by 50 meters distance due to the infrastructure of the sampling site. LOPAP was deployed on the rooftop of building with an open space while CIMS was installed in an attic at constant room temperature and sampled on the top of this room on the same building. The sampling position of CIMS was around 3 meters higher than that of LOPAP. As shown in Fig. 3, the data from CIMS and LOPAP instruments were well correlated with no systematic biases. However, significant differences of HONO mixing ratios (± 21\%) were observed between 17\textsuperscript{th} (18:00 LT) and 18\textsuperscript{th} (12:00 LT) October. The reasons for these differences were unclear but could be related to the sampling position of both instruments. Differences might come from surrounding buildings combined with low wind speed (0 - 1 m s\textsuperscript{-1}). On five-days measurements (14 - 20 October 2009), the mean concentrations measured by LOPAP and CIMS were 1.46 ppb (\(N=6280\)) and 1.38 ppb.
Regarding the respective mean HONO daytime concentrations, 0.91 ppb ($N=2385$) was derived by LOPAP whereas 0.98 ppb ($N=15259$) was recorded by CIMS. The mean HONO nighttime measurements observed were 1.81 ppb ($N=3498$) by LOPAP and 1.56 ppb ($N=25359$) by CIMS. Considering the measurement accuracy of the LOPAP and the CIMS instruments, the intercomparison agreed for the average on the daytime period (within 8%). In nighttime period, LOPAP reported higher values than CIMS (within 14%) and agreed within the measurement accuracy. The ratio between values reported from both instruments expressed as $(\text{HONO}_{\text{LOPAP}}/\text{HONO}_{\text{CIMS}})_{\text{daytime}}$ and $(\text{HONO}_{\text{LOPAP}}/\text{HONO}_{\text{CIMS}})_{\text{nighttime}}$, respectively during the day and the night were 0.93 and 1.16. The ratio values reported from daytime and nighttime measurements differed and therefore, could not be explained solely by a systematic deviation from both instruments. First, HONO concentration is known to be highly variable temporally and spatially (Kurtenbach et al. 2001). Therefore, the observed differences between daytime and nighttime periods may be explained by a diurnal variable influence of local emission sources. Building related surfaces (i.e., glass, concrete) likely contributed as reactive sites promoting HONO production (Chen et al. 2012). At low wind speed, both instruments (positioned at 50 m away) might observe different emission sources. Second, the reported ratio values from daytime and nighttime measurements would also indicate different chemical composition of sampled air by the LOPAP and the CIMS instruments. Indeed, this could have led to the sampling of atmospheric species from unknown sources, which could have interfered measurements, also observed by Pinto et al. (2014).

LOPAP/DOAS

The common period of observation based on the LOPAP and the DOAS measurements was from 17th to 25th October 2009, as shows in Fig. 4. The following observations can be made: (i), the differences between the LOPAP and the DOAS measurements were discernible and more pronounced on 17-19 October and 24-26 October; (ii) HONO levels measured by DOAS were systematically lower than those from LOPAP for all the period which might be attributed to systematic biases. For the same period of intercomparison, the mean HONO concentrations were 1.18 ppb ($N=9064$) by LOPAP and 0.79 ppb ($N=3510$) by DOAS. The daytime HONO concentrations were found to be 0.72 ppb ($N=3652$) by LOPAP and 0.50 ppb ($N=1335$) by DOAS. The nighttime concentrations were measured at 1.49 ppb ($N=4668$) by
LOPAP and 0.98 ppb (N=1875) by DOAS. The mean values obtained by LOPAP were 31% and 34% higher than the values reported by DOAS during the daytime and nighttime periods. Taking into account of the measurement accuracy of the DOAS and the LOPAP instruments, the observed differences were considered statistically significant. This would indicate a systematic disagreement between both measurement techniques. It has to be noticed that the air mass compositions measured by both LOPAP and DOAS instruments could differ due to the sampling locations. The inlet of the LOPAP instrument was sensitive to punctual emission and/or production sources from heterogeneous formation of HONO, at close proximity of the building surfaces. In comparison, the DOAS measurement was operated by averaging concentrations along a long-path beam subject to vertical gradients and non-homogeneity of the sampled air masses. Thus, the DOAS instrument was less sensitive to any abrupt changes in the measured HONO concentrations compared to in situ instruments, which might explain overall lower readings from the DOAS instrument. Furthermore, the observed difference could be combined with negative interferences in DOAS retrievals, in addition to different air masses sampled (Kleffmann et al. 2006; Stutz et al. 2010).

Time series of HONO, NO$_2$ and HONO/NO$_2$ ratio

The efficiency of the heterogeneous NO$_2$-HONO conversion is usually determined by the HONO/NO$_2$ ratio, which is less influenced by transport processes than specific concentrations. During the campaign, NO$_2$ mixing ratios were also measured using DOAS. In this work, HONO/NO$_2$ ratio has been derived from the HONO measurements from LOPAP and DOAS and the measurement of NO$_2$ through DOAS from 17$^{th}$ to 25$^{th}$ October. HONO/NO$_2$ ratio obtained from both LOPAP and DOAS are expressed as HONO$_{LOPAP}$/NO$_2$ and HONO$_{DOAS}$/NO$_2$ ratios, respectively. Fig. 5 shows the time series of HONO (LOPAP and DOAS), NO$_2$ (DOAS) and the obtained HONO/NO$_2$ ratio at FDU site.

The mean NO$_2$ concentrations during daytime and nighttime measurements were 30.0 ppb and 41.9 ppb, respectively. LOPAP measurement led to daytime HONO$_{LOPAP}$/NO$_2,DOAS = 0.032$ (N=1156) whereas nighttime HONO$_{LOPAP}$/NO$_2 = 0.038$ (N=1605). From DOAS measurements, value of HONO$_{DOAS}$/NO$_2 = 0.019$ (N=1332) during the day and HONO$_{DOAS}$/NO$_2 = 0.025$ (N=1873) during the night have been obtained. For comparison, the field measurement conducted by Hao et al. (2006) in October 2004-January 2005 at the same location led to daytime and nighttime HONO/NO$_2$ ratios of 0.022 and 0.058, respectively.
The obtained HONO/NO₂ ratios were in line with those observed in many urban areas in the world during daytime (HONO/NO₂ = 0.003 - 0.075) and nighttime (HONO/NO₂ = 0.025 - 0.175) measurements (Alicke et al. 2002; Acker et al. 2006; Hao et al. 2006; Kanaya et al. 2007; Elshorbany et al. 2009; Qin et al. 2009; Tong et al. 2015).

The HONO and NO₂ concentrations exhibited a diurnal variation with low values during the day and high levels during the early morning. The highest HONO/NO₂ ratio was found during the night between 00:00-06:00 LT while the lowest was measured during daytime (11:00-16:00 LT). According to the DOAS measurements, HONO\textsubscript{DOAS}/NO₂ ratio increased after sunset and kept increasing until midnight. However, distinct peaks around midday were observed on 18\textsuperscript{th}, 19\textsuperscript{th} and 25\textsuperscript{th} of October (Fig. 5).

Fig. 6 shows the mean diurnal variations of HONO, NO₂, J\textsubscript{NO₂} as well as the HONO/NO₂ ratio for the period of 17-25 October 2009. It can be observed that the HONO concentration and HONO/NO₂ ratio increased from sunset throughout the whole night to the early morning due to the combination of local emissions, the heterogeneous conversion of NO₂, the lower boundary layer height and the absence of any photolytic process. During the day, the HONO mixing ratios continuously decreased, reaching a minimum in the late afternoon, due to photolytic processes and an increasing vertical mixing within the higher daytime boundary layer. Also, the observed decrease of HONO/NO₂ ratio was due to HONO photolysis and caused by fresh NO\textsubscript{X} emissions during rush hours at low HONO content (HONO/NO\textsubscript{X} ~ 1% (Kurtenbach et al. 2001)). It can also be observed that HONO/NO₂ ratio tended to increase during the day (between 13:00 and 15:00 LT). This may show the HONO formation efficiency for low NO₂ concentration in agreement with some laboratory studies relating the HONO formation efficiency from the heterogeneous NO₂ conversion on photo-enhanced surfaces (George et al. 2005; Stemmler et al. 2006). Another possible explanation for the high levels might be the local emissions/productions such as homogeneous reaction and/or heterogeneous reactions of NO₂ on aerosol and ground surfaces.

NO₂ mixing ratios showed a similar diurnal behaviour with HONO. NO₂ mixing ratios were high in the early morning due to rush hour emissions and a shallow boundary layer. After that, NO₂ decreased from a maximum at 07:00-08:00 to a minimum around noontime and then increased during the rush hour period in the evening. The HONO/NO₂ ratio showed the same diurnal variation pattern as HONO and NO₂, with high values during the late night and early morning and low values during the day. During our observation period, the maximum HONO/NO₂ ratios reached ~5.6% at 04:00 LT and ~3.8% at 05:00 LT resulted
from LOPAP and DOAS measurements, indicating the presence of HONO in the atmosphere originating from secondary formation.

Relative humidity dependence of the nighttime HONO/NO$_2$ ratio

The role played by the relative humidity (RH) in the nocturnal HONO formation has been reported in several field measurements (Stutz et al. 2004; Hao et al. 2006; Yu et al. 2009, Wang et al. 2013). A little is known about the involvement of water in the NO$_2$ to HONO conversion under the dark. Besides, as mentioned earlier (Section “Time series of HONO, NO$_2$ and HONO/NO$_2$ ratio”), several environmental parameters could influence the time-concentration profiles of HONO and HONO/NO$_2$ ratio during the night. HONO/NO$_2$ ratio observed a continuous increase during the night. In parallel, the humidity independently increased during nighttime, caused by the radiative cooling of the surface layer (where the measurements took place). As both independent processes, the observed positive humidity dependence of HONO/NO$_2$ should not be a proof of any specific chemical mechanism humidity related. Previous studies have shown that the maximum HONO/NO$_2$ ratio was positively dependent to RH below 70% and negatively dependent when RH > 70% in Shanghai area (Hao et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2013). In addition, a positive dependence of HONO/NO$_2$ with RH was also observed in the study of Hou et al. (2015) for RH ≤ 65% in Beijing area. Based on LOPAP and DOAS measurements, the correlation between RH and the HONO formation rate through the reaction of NO$_2$ with adsorbed water on surfaces during the night has been examined. On a kinetic basis, HONO formation rate has been reported to be pseudo first order in NO$_2$, also dependent of the adsorbed H$_2$O and the surface to volume ratio (Kleffmann et al. 1998; Finlayson-Pitts et al. 2003). However, the one-hour averaged data for particles did not allow us to conclude about any contribution of particle concentration to the HONO formation in Shanghai during our measurement campaign.

Nocturnal HONO formation rate has been determined based on the linear increase of the HONO/NO$_2$ ratio in function of time in the periods of 18:00-00:00 and 00:00-03:00. The dependence of relative humidity on HONO formation rate was parameterized according to the approach used by Alicke et al. (2002), where an effective pseudo-first order rate constant, $k$ has been derived according to:
Fig. 7(a) and 7(b) show the plot of HONO formation rate constant \( \frac{d([\text{HONO}]/[\text{NO}_2])}{dt} \) versus RH with HONO concentrations obtained from LOPAP and DOAS measurements, respectively. DOAS measurements showed that for the 25-55% RH range, HONO formation exhibited a positive dependence with RH. Highest value of \( \frac{d([\text{HONO}]/[\text{NO}_2])}{dt} \) ratio was obtained for 45-55% RH range. For RH>55%, HONO formation ratio showed a negative dependence with RH. From LOPAP measurements, the dependence of HONO/NO\(_2\) with RH showed a similar behaviour with a positive dependence reaching a maximum (RH = 55%) and decreased for RH>55%.

By comparison between both techniques, higher values of \( \frac{d([\text{HONO}]/[\text{NO}_2])}{dt} \) ratio were obtained with LOPAP than for DOAS measurements for the same RH value. In addition, based on the DOAS measurements, a lower HONO/NO\(_2\) ratio was obtained in this work compared to that of the study of Hao et al. (2006) conducted five years ago for RH > 50%. The same trend was also observed for the mean HONO/NO\(_2\) ratio (Table S1). This suggests that the primary source of HONO might have a greater contribution to the HONO ambient levels than its secondary sources over the past years.”

On Fig. 7, the positive dependence of HONO/NO\(_2\) with RH (25 % ≤ RH ≤ 55%) is consistent with an efficient NO\(_2\)-HONO conversion on wet surfaces. Moreover, the observed negative dependence (RH ≥ 55%) could be explained by the inhibiting effect of relative humidity on HONO formation rate. Lammel (1999) reported the number of monolayers of water as a function of relative humidity for different environmental surfaces (i.e., soil). For RH > 50%, the numbers monolayers would increase quickly from ~ 1.5 to ~3 -5 monolayers at RH = 90%. At high RH values (RH = 95%), multiple adsorbed water layers are present and eventually, liquid films on the surface, which would make the heterogeneous conversion of NO\(_2\) to HONO unfavored. At high RH, HONO would be dissolved in adsorbed water, leading to a decrease of HONO concentration in the gas phase, and thus HONO/NO\(_2\) ratio values, as observed in the present field study (Lammel 1999, Finalayson-Pitts et al. 2003; Stutz et al. 2004). HONO formation rate have been also found to be wetness surface dependent in previous field measurements (Stutz et al. 2004; Hao et al. 2006; Yu et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2013).
Conclusions

A field campaign was carried out in the urban area of Shanghai, Eastern China during the last two weeks of October 2009. HONO mixing ratio has been continuously measured using three complementary analytical techniques (LOPAP, CIMS and DOAS). The observed mean concentration of HONO was found to be 1.3 ppb, coherent with the other measurements (Hao et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2013). Resulted from the LOPAP measurements, the mean HONO daytime and nighttime mixing ratios have been measured at 1.0 ppb and 1.5 ppb, respectively, in agreement with many observations in urban areas in the world. Overall, the HONO measurement exhibited a good agreement and instruments tracked each other very well, reproducing similar daily HONO patterns. During this campaign, the LOPAP and the CIMS measurements agreed within 8% and 14% during the day and the night, respectively. However, daytime and nighttime HONO/LOPAP/HONO/CIMS ratios showed a non-systematic disagreement, about 0.93 and 1.16, respectively, suggesting the sampling of different chemical species. Comparison of LOPAP and DOAS measurements showed a similar disagreement between the day and the night, about 31% and 34%, respectively where LOPAP measured higher values than DOAS. Possible explanations were attributed to the sampling locations between the local and the long-path samplings or/and negative interferences affecting the DOAS data retrievals.

Using the data obtained by the LOPAP and the DOAS instruments for HONO and those from DOAS for NO\textsubscript{2}, HONO/NO\textsubscript{2} ratio has been estimated. High values of HONO/NO\textsubscript{2} ratio were observed, they were in the same order of magnitude as those previously reported in other high polluted cities. The correlation of HONO/NO\textsubscript{2} ratio with the relative humidity during the nighttime period showed a strong dependence. This suggests that H\textsubscript{2}O played an important role in HONO formation through nighttime chemistry. Although the lack of data on particle concentration, particles might contribute through the heterogeneous chemistry onto HONO formation as reported previously (Hao et al. 2006). Hence, further investigations are of paramount importance to improve our understanding of atmospheric chemical processes controlling the HONO concentration levels in different areas around the globe.
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Fig. 1 Time series of HONO (LOPAP measurement), NO\textsubscript{2} (DOAS measurement), NO\textsubscript{2} photolysis rate ($J_{NO2}$) and meteorological parameters (temperature (T), relative humidity (RH), wind speed (WS) and wind direction (WD)) during the observation period from 14 to 29 October, 2009, in Shanghai, China.
Fig. 2 Intercomparison of HONO measurements by LOPAP, CIMS and DOAS between 14th and 29th October, 2009 in Shanghai, China.
Fig. 3 Intercomparison of HONO measurements by LOPAP and CIMS between 14th and 20th October, 2009 in Shanghai, China. The red solid lines represent the linear square analysis applied on the dataset. The absolute error on the slope and y-intercept are equivalent to two-standard deviations (2σ).
**Fig. 4** Intercomparison of HONO measurements by LOPAP and DOAS between 17\textsuperscript{th} and 25\textsuperscript{th} October, 2009 in Shanghai, China. The red solid lines represent the linear square analysis applied on the dataset. The absolute error on the slope and y-intercept are equivalent to two-standard deviations (2\(\sigma\)).
Fig. 5 Time series of NO$_2$ photolysis rate ($J_{NO2}$), NO$_2$ (DOAS), and HONO/NO$_2$ ratio during the observation period of 17-25 October in Shanghai, China.
Fig. 6 Mean diurnal variation pattern of HONO, NO$_2$, J$_{NO2}$, and HONO/NO$_2$ ratio during the period from 17$^{th}$ to 25$^{th}$ October 2009. The data are one-hour averaged.
Fig. 7 HONO formation rate from LOPAP measurements (a) and DOAS measurements (b) ratios versus the relative humidity (RH) during nighttime measurements in Shanghai, China. The open circles represent the individual measurements for each nighttime period (18:00-00:00 LT and 00:00-03:00 LT). Filled circles represent the average values of HONO formation rate coefficients in the relative humidity (RH) ranges (20-30%, 30-40%, 40-50%, 50-60%, 60-70% and 70-80%). The errors bars originated from one-standard deviation on the average (1σ).