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Abstract

We consider $N = 2$ supergravity in four dimensions with small $R^2$ curvature corrections. We construct large charge non-extremal black hole solutions in all space, with either a supersymmetric or a non-supersymmetric extremal limit, and analyze their thermodynamic properties. This generalizes some of the extremal solutions presented in (Gruss E and Oz Y J. High Energy Phys. JHEP 05(2009)041 (arXiv:0902.0831 )). The indexed entropy of the non-extremal extension of the supersymmetric black hole, has the form of the extremal entropy, with the charges replaced by a function of the charges, the moduli at infinity and the non-extremality parameter. This is the same behavior as in the case without $R^2$-terms.

PACS numbers: 04.65.+e, 04.70.Dy

1. Introduction

Recently there has been an increasing interest in higher derivative $N = 2$ black holes [1–8]. In this work, we consider $N = 2$ supergravity in four dimensions with small $R^2$ curvature corrections. We construct large charge non-extremal black hole solutions in all space, with either a supersymmetric or a non-supersymmetric extremal limit, and analyze their thermodynamic properties. The extremal limits were discussed in [9]. The horizon geometry and entropy of small near-extremal black holes were discussed in [10].

Non-extremal black holes without $R^2$-terms were discussed in [11]. When considering the Bekenstein–Hawking entropy, one notices that it has the same form as that of the extremal black holes, with the charges being replaced by a specific function of the charges and the non-extremality parameters. With $R^2$-terms, the entropy is no longer given by the Bekenstein–Hawking area law, but is given in general by the Wald formula [12]. A natural question to ask is whether the above property holds for the $R^2$-corrected black hole entropy. Indeed,
we will provide evidence that this is the case for a class of non-extremal black holes with a supersymmetric extremal limit.

A relation between the indexed entropy of the BPS $N = 2$ black holes and the topological string partition function, evaluated at the attractor point (horizon), has been proposed in [13]:

$$Z_{BH} = \left| Z_{top} \right|^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

We suggest that one may still use the relation (1) for the above class of non-extremal $N = 2$ black holes, with the replaced charges. This may be so at least to the first order in the non-extremality parameter. If correct, one gets all the perturbative $F$-terms corrections to the non-extremal or near-extremal $N = 2$ black holes entropy using the topological string partition function. We note that this is not correct in general, as in the case of small black holes [10], and in the case of black holes with a non-supersymmetric extremal limit, discussed later. Both do not exhibit the entropy structure discussed above. Note that the $R^2$-terms considered in this paper are $F$-terms. One generally expects also $D$-term corrections, which are not taken into account here. For supersymmetric black holes, it is conjectured that such terms do not contribute to the entropy [13].

For extremal non-supersymmetric black holes, it is conjectured that the mass–charge ratio is decreased by higher curvature corrections [14]. It is interesting to consider the behavior for non-extremal black holes. We will present a case where the mass–charge ratio decreases for a near-extremal black hole, and increases when the black hole is far from extremality.

We refer the reader to [9] for a brief review of four-dimensional $N = 2$ supergravity with $R^2$-terms, including all terminology and field definitions relevant for this paper. A comprehensive review may be found in [2], whose sign conventions for the curvature tensors we follow. In this paper, we will use $a, b, \ldots = 0, 1, 2, 3$ to denote the tangent space indices, corresponding to the indices $\mu, \nu, \ldots$ of the spacetime coordinates $(t, r, \phi, \theta)$.

2. Formulation of the calculation

We will consider $N = 2$ Poincaré supergravity coupled to $N_V$ Abelian $N = 2$ vector multiplets. The couplings of the theory are described by a prepotential, for which we assume the form

$$F(X, \hat{A}) = D_{ABC} X^A X^B X^C \frac{X^0}{X^0} + \epsilon D_A X^A \frac{\hat{A}}{X^0},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $X^0, X^A$ are the moduli, $D_{ABC}, D_A$ are constants, $D_{ABC}$ is symmetric in all indices and $A, B, C = 1 \ldots N_V$. The second term, containing the scalar $\hat{A} = T_{ab} T^{ab} -$, describes $R^2$ couplings in the Lagrangian, where $T_{ab}$ is an auxiliary field. This term may arise as a $g_s$ correction in the large volume limit of type IIA string theory compactified on a Calabi-Yau three–fold, or as an $\alpha'$ correction in heterotic string theory compactified on $K3 \times T^2$. We will treat the higher curvature terms in the Lagrangian as a small perturbation, in the spirit of [15]. This is valid for the exterior region of black hole solutions in the large charge approximation. The physical dimensionless expansion parameter is one over charge squared: $Q^{-2}$. It is however convenient to express this as an expansion in $|D_A| \ll |D_{ABC}|$ (see also [4, 7, 8]). To make this explicit, we have inserted the expansion parameter $\epsilon$ in front of the second term in (2), and at the end we will set $\epsilon = 1$. One introduces the notation: $F_I \equiv \frac{1}{2A} F(X^I, \hat{A}),$ $F_{I \hat{A}} \equiv \frac{1}{2A} F(X^I, \hat{A})$ and similarly for higher order and mixed derivatives, where $I = 0 \ldots N_V$.

We look for static spherically symmetric solutions, where the metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = -e^{-2U(r)} f(r) dt^2 + e^{2U(r)} (f(r)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 + r^2 d\theta^2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The large Calabi–Yau volume approximation requires $\text{Im}(X^A/X^0) \gg 1$. 
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Introduce the rescaled variables:
\[ Y^I = e^U X^I \]
\[ \Upsilon = e^{2U} \hat{A} \]
\[ e^{-K} = i(Y^I F_I(Y, \Upsilon) - Y^I \bar{F}_I(\bar{Y}, \bar{\Upsilon})). \]

The latter is called the Kähler potential\(^2\).

Consider black holes with one electric charge \( q_0 \) and \( p^A (A = 1, 2, 3) \) magnetic charges. In our convention, \( D \equiv D_{ABC} p^A p^B p^C > 0 \).\(^3\) For \( q_0 > 0 \), one can have a non-extremal solution [11] with a supersymmetric extremal limit [1, 6, 16]. By reversing the sign of the charge \( q_0 \), but taking the moduli to depend on the absolute value, one can have a non-extremal solution with a non-supersymmetric extremal limit [7, 8, 17]. At the \( R \)-level, i.e. without \( R^2 \)-terms, in addition to the sign changes, the two solutions differ also in the form of the auxiliary \( T \) field. The thermodynamic properties of the solution with the non-supersymmetric extremal limit can be obtained by an analytic continuation. This is no longer true when including higher curvature corrections. We will construct the \( R^2 \)-level solutions for both cases. The extremal limits (\( \mu = 0 \)) were discussed in [9]. In the following, we will denote \( E \equiv D_A p^A \).

We are interested in black hole solutions of the \( R^2 \) curvature corrected theory, to first order in \( \epsilon \). As a starting point for our ansatz, we may take the \( R \)-level solution (\( \epsilon = 0 \)), with the prepotential \( F(\epsilon = 0) \) replaced by \( F(\epsilon) \). This, however, proves to be insufficient, and we need to introduce a further general linear \( \epsilon \)-correction to the fields. We look for solutions in the form:
\[ e^{2U} = e^{-K(\epsilon)} (1 + \epsilon \xi_U(r)) \]
\[ f = (1 - \frac{\mu}{r}) (1 + \epsilon \xi_f(r)) \]
\[ Y^A = -\frac{i}{2} y^A (1 + \epsilon \xi_A(r)) \quad \text{(no summation)} \]
\[ y^0 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{D_{ABC} y^A y^B y^C - 4\epsilon D_A y^A \Upsilon} (1 + \epsilon \xi_0(r)) \]
\[ T_{01}^- = i T_{23}^- = \left( \frac{3k^3}{\alpha^3(r + k^3)} + \text{sgn}(q_0) \frac{k_0}{\alpha_0(r + k_0)} \right) \frac{1}{r} e^{K(\epsilon)/2} (1 + \epsilon \xi_T(r)) \]
where \( \mu \geq 0 \) is a non-extremality parameter, \((k_0, k^A) > 0 \) are constants with either \( k^1 = k^2 = k^3 \) or otherwise only \( D_{333} \neq 0 \), and
\[ y^A = \frac{\alpha^A p^A}{k^A} + \frac{\alpha^A p^A}{r} \quad \text{(no summation)} \]
\[ y^0 = \frac{\alpha_0|q_0|}{k_0} + \frac{\alpha_0|q_0|}{r} \]
\[ (\alpha_0, \alpha^A) = \left( \sqrt{\frac{k_0}{k_0 + \mu}}, \sqrt{\frac{k^A}{k^A + \mu}} \right) \quad \text{(no summation).} \]

We use
\[ \Upsilon = -4 e^{2U} (T_{01}^-)^2 = -4 \left( \frac{3k^3}{\alpha^3(r + k^3)} + \text{sgn}(q_0) \frac{k_0}{\alpha_0(r + k_0)} \right) \frac{1}{r^2} + O(\epsilon) \]
which is a sufficient approximation since \( \Upsilon \) always comes with a factor of \( \epsilon \).

\(^2\) The scaling \( X(z)^I = e^{-K/2} X^I \) used in some previous works is not general enough.
\(^3\) The common convention uses \( D < 0 \) and a reversed sign for \( q_0 \).
The event horizon is located at $r = \mu$ and the inner horizon at $r = 0$. In order for the perturbative expansion to be valid, we require $|\epsilon \xi(r)| \ll 1$ for all $\xi$-functions for $r \geq \mu$. We were not able to extend this requirement to $0 \leq r < \mu$, as our solutions for $\xi_A(r)$, $\xi^0(r)$ and sometimes $\xi_T(r)$ diverge at $r = 0$ for $\mu > 0$. However, we do require that the overall $\epsilon$ correction to $g_{\tau\tau}$ satisfies a similar condition for $0 \leq r < \mu$, to get the expected causal structure\(^4\). Although the approximation breaks down at the inner horizon, the solutions are valid in the physical region of interest, from the event horizon to infinity. In addition, we set the boundary conditions: $\lim_{r \to \infty} \xi(r) = 0$. This gives an asymptotically flat solution.

The equations of motion for the vector field strengths were derived in [9, 10]. For a static spherically symmetric solution with our choice of charges, and the complex-valued form of the prepotential (2) and the ansatz (5), we get

\[
F_{01}^0 = i F_{23}^0 = \frac{1}{2} F_{00} \left( iG_{230} - iF_{0A} F_{23}^A + \frac{1}{2} \left( F_0 + F_{0j} \hat{X}^j - 64F_{230}(2C_{0101} - D) \right) T_{0i} \right)
\]

\[
F_{01}^- = i F_{23}^- = \frac{1}{2} F_A^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} e^{-2U} p^A
\]

where $C_{0101}$ is the component of the Weyl tensor, $D$ is an auxiliary field and

\[
G_{230} = \frac{1}{r^2} e^{-2U} q_0.
\]

There are several other fields\(^5\) for which we consider the following truncation. We refer the reader to [9] for a discussion:

\[
A_a = V_{a j} = Y_I^j = V_a = M_{ij} = \Phi_a^j - \delta_a^j = D_a A_I^j = \chi + 2 = 0.
\]

This implies

\[
D = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} R,
\]

where $R$ is the Ricci scalar.

For our ansatz to constitute a solution, it must satisfy the equations of motion for the metric\(^6\), the moduli $Y_I$, the auxiliary field $T_{0i}$, as well as the fields $A_a$, and either $V_a$ or $D$ depending on the compensating multiplet used. In the cases that we solved, we observed that when using the hypermultiplet compensating multiplet, the equation of motion for $D$ has an overall factor of $(k^3 - k_0)^2$, after substituting the ansatz. For $k^3 = k_0$, one has to take this limit only after solving the equations of motion, in order not to lose a constraint.

The Einstein–Hilbert term in the Lagrangian determines that ‘Newton’s constant’ is given by the unscaled Kähler potential:

\[
G_N^{-1} = i(\hat{\chi} F_I(X, \hat{A}) - X^I \tilde{F}_I(X, \hat{A})) = 1 - \epsilon \xi U(r).
\]

Usually one fixes $G_N = 1$ as the dilatational $D$-gauge choice. This, however, is too restrictive and does not always allow a solution. Therefore, $G_N$ is a function of the radial coordinate, resembling the case of dilaton gravity. The metric in the Einstein frame is given by $g_{\mu\nu}^E = G_N^{-1} g_{\mu\nu}$. The ADM mass (in Planck units) for a non-normalized metric is given by

\[
g_{\tau\tau}^E \bigg|_{t \to \infty} = g_{\tau\tau}^E(\infty) \left( 1 - g_{\tau\tau}^E(\infty)^{-1/2} \frac{2M}{r} + O \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \right).
\]

\(^4\) In addition, the curvature is then regular also at $r = 0$.

\(^5\) Here $i, j, a = 1, 2$, and $I = 1, \ldots, 2r$, where $r$ is an integer.

\(^6\) For a discussion on the derivation of the metric field equations, see [10, appendix B].
One may see this by applying the coordinate transformation \( t \to (-g_t^E(\infty))^{-1/2} t \), \( g_t^E(\infty) \), to get the conventionally normalized line element.

The central charge is given by

\[
Z = \lim_{r \to \infty} e^{k/2} (p^I F_I(Y, \Upsilon) - q_I Y^I). \tag{15}
\]

\( Z \) is determined by the charges and the asymptotic moduli values at infinity, and does not receive higher curvature corrections. It reads

\[
|Z| = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \sqrt{|h_0|_D A_{ABC} h_B^A h_C^B} \tag{16}
\]

where

\[
(h_0, h^A) = \left( \frac{\alpha_0 q_0}{k_0}, \frac{\alpha^A p^A}{k^A} \right) \quad \text{(no summation),}
\]

and where in the second equality of (16) we imposed the normalization \( g_{tt}(\infty) = -1 \):

\[
4|h_0|_D A_{ABC} h^A h^B h^C = 1. \tag{18}
\]

The supersymmetry algebra requires \( M \geq |Z| \). Note that \( Z \) will not depend on \( \mu \) when written as a function of the charges and \((h_0, h^A)\) alone.

The Wald entropy for the non-extremal black hole with \( R^2 \)-terms was derived in [10]²:

\[
S = \lim_{r \to \mu} \left( \frac{A}{4G_N} - 4 A \cdot \Im(F_F^3(T_{04} T_{04}^2 + 16 C_{040} + 16 D)) \right), \tag{19}
\]

where \( A \) is the area of the event horizon.

The Hawking temperature for a static spherically symmetric black hole is given by

\[
T = \lim_{r \to \mu} \frac{-\partial g_{tt}}{4\pi \sqrt{-g_{tt}} g_{rr}}. \tag{20}
\]

One may check that after imposing (18), one has the first law of thermodynamics relation:

\[
T = \frac{\partial M}{\partial S} = \frac{\partial M(q_I, p_I, h_I, h^I, \mu)}{\partial \mu} \left( \frac{\partial S(q_I, p_I, h_I, h^I, \mu)}{\partial \mu} \right)^{-1}, \tag{21}
\]

where \( q_I, p_I, h_I, h^I \) are held fixed, and assuming \( \partial S/\partial \mu \neq 0 \).

In the following, we will further assume \( k \equiv k^1 = k^2 = k^3 = k_0 \). At the \( R \)-level, this gives non-extremal version of the double-extremal black hole. We were able to find similar solutions for different combinations of \( D_{ABC} \)’s and \( D_A \)’s, providing that for each term such as \( D_3 \) there is at least one corresponding term \( D_{AB3} \). Our calculations were done using Maple with GRTensor.

2.1. Non-extremal black holes with a supersymmetric extremal limit

For simplicity, we consider the general cases with one or two indices: \( D_{ABC}, D_A, (A, B, C) \equiv 1, 3 \), and a specific case with three indices: \( D_{ABC} = D_{123}, D_A = D_1, D_2, D_3 \).

² This derivation uses the nonlinear compensating multiplet. One may also derive the entropy formula when using the hypermultiplet compensating multiplet. This will yield the same formula, after substituting \( \chi \) which is found from the equation of motion for \( D_3 \) given in [3, equation (4.16)].
In the non-extremal extension of the extremal supersymmetric case \((q_0 > 0)\), the solution reads

\[
\xi_U(r) = \xi_f(r) = \xi_T(r) = 0 \\
\xi_0(r) = \frac{E}{D} \Xi(r),
\]

where

\[
\Xi(r) = \frac{16\mu^2 \left(2 \ln \frac{r+k}{r} - k(r + k)(2k + \mu)^2(r\mu + 2kr - k\mu) - k(k + \mu)P(r)\right)}{k^2(r + k)^2(2k + \mu)^2(2k + \mu)}
\]

\[
P(r) = 18rk^2 + 10rk\mu + 2r\mu^2 + 12k^3 + k^2\mu - k\mu^2.
\]

For \(D_{ABC} = D_{333} \) and \(D_A = D_3\):

\[
\xi_3(r) = \frac{E}{3D} \Xi(r)
\]

and \(\xi_1(r), \xi_2(r)\) are irrelevant. For \(D_{ABC} = D_{111}, D_{113}, D_{133}, D_{333}\) and \(D_A = D_1, D_3\):

\[
\xi_1(r) = \xi_3(r) = \frac{-D_1(D_{113}p^1 + D_{333}p^3) + D_3(D_{113}p^1 + D_{333}p^3)}{3((D_{113} - D_{133})P^1 + D_{111}D_{333}p^1 + (D_{133} - D_{113}D_{333}p^1)p^1)p^1} \Xi(r)
\]

\[
\xi_2(r) = \frac{D_1(D_{113}p^1 + D_{333}p^3) - D_3(D_{113}p^1 + D_{111}p^1)}{3((D_{113} - D_{133})P^1 + D_{111}D_{333}p^1 + (D_{133} - D_{113}D_{333}p^1)p^1)p^1} \Xi(r)
\]

and \(\xi_2(r)\) is irrelevant. For \(D_{ABC} = D_{123}\) and \(D_A = D_1, D_2, D_3\):

\[
\xi_1(r) = \frac{E - 2D_1p^1}{D} \Xi(r) \\
\xi_2(r) = \frac{E - 2D_2p^2}{D} \Xi(r) \\
\xi_3(r) = \frac{E - 2D_3p^3}{D} \Xi(r).
\]

As discussed before, for \(\mu > 0\) our solutions for \(\xi_A(r), \xi_0(r)\) diverge at \(r = 0\).

The entropy is given by (19), where in our solutions \(D\) vanishes on the horizon to first order in \(\epsilon\), and does not contribute. The entropy is

\[
S = 2\pi \sqrt{q_0 D} \left(1 + \frac{\mu}{k} + \frac{128E}{D} + O(Q^{-2})\right) \\
\approx 2\pi \sqrt{Q_0 D_{ABC} p^1 p^2 p^3 + 256Q_0 D_A p^A},
\]

where

\[
(Q_0, p^A) = \left(\frac{q_0}{\alpha_0}, \frac{p^A}{\alpha^A}\right) \quad \text{(no summation)},
\]

and \((\alpha_0, \alpha^A)\) are given by (7). This has the same form as the extremal supersymmetric entropy [1] with the charges \((q_0, p^A)\) replaced by \((Q_0, p^A)\), which are functions of the original charges, the asymptotic moduli and the non-extremality parameter. This is the same behavior as seen at the \(K\)-level [11]. Note also that the higher curvature correction to the entropy does not depend on \(\mu\), nor on the asymptotic moduli at infinity, similar to the case of small near-extremal black holes [10]. The Hawking temperature reads

\[
T = \frac{k\mu}{8\pi \sqrt{q_0 D}(k + \mu)} + O(Q^{-5}).
\]
the central charge is

$$|Z| = \sqrt{2}(q_0 D)^{1/4} = \sqrt{k(k + \mu)}$$

and the ADM mass takes the form

$$M = \frac{\sqrt{2}(q_0 D)^{1/4}(2k + \mu)}{2\sqrt{k(k + \mu)}} + O(Q^{-3}) = k + \frac{1}{2}\mu + O(Q^{-3}).$$

The temperature and the mass do not get first-order corrections.

2.2. Non-extremal black holes with a non-supersymmetric extremal limit

In the non-extremal extension of the extremal non-supersymmetric case ($q_0 < 0$), the solution reads

$$\xi_U(r) = \frac{64E k^2(k + \mu)^3}{D(r + k)^4},$$

$$\xi_T(r) = \frac{8E P_3(r)}{15Dk^2(r + k)^3(k + \mu)}$$

$$\xi_0(r) = \frac{4E(-6(r + k)^3(2k + \mu)^2(2rk + r\mu - k\mu)(5k^2 + 5k\mu - 18\mu^2) \ln \frac{r}{k + \mu} + k(k + \mu) P_0(r))}{15Dk^2(r + k)^4(k + \mu)^3(2k + \mu)},$$

$$\xi_r(r) = \frac{4E(6(r + k)^3(2k + \mu)^2(2rk + r\mu - k\mu)(5k^2 + 5k\mu - 18\mu^2) \ln \frac{r + k}{r + k} + k(k + \mu) P_T(r))}{15Dk^2(r + k)^4(k + \mu)^3(2k + \mu)},$$

where the polynomials $P(r)$ are given in the appendix. For $D_{A\beta C} = D_{333}$ and $D_A = D_3$:

$$\xi_3(r) = \frac{4E(-6(r + k)^3(2k + \mu)^2(2rk + r\mu + k\mu)\ln \frac{r + k}{r + k} + k(k + \mu) P_3(r))}{45Dk^2(r + k)^4(k + \mu)^3(2k + \mu)},$$

and $\xi_1(r)$, $\xi_2(r)$ are irrelevant. For $D_{A\beta C} = D_{123}$ and $D_A = D_3$:

$$\xi_1(r) = \xi_2(r) = \frac{4E(6(r + k)^3(2k + \mu)^2(2rk + r\mu - k\mu)(15k^2 + 15k\mu + 26\mu^2) \ln \frac{r + k}{r + k} + k(k + \mu) P_1(r))}{15Dk^2(r + k)^4(k + \mu)^3(2k + \mu)}.$$

As discussed before, for $\mu > 0$ our solutions for $\xi_A(r)$, $\xi_0(r)$, $\xi_T(r)$ diverge at $r = 0$. Note also that for $\mu = 0$ the radial derivatives of our solutions for $\xi_A(r)$, $\xi_0(r)$, $\xi_T(r)$ diverge at $r = 0$. The curvature, however, is regular.

The entropy is given by (19), where in our solutions, $D$ does not contribute to first order in $\epsilon$. Note also that $G_N(\mu) \neq 1$. The entropy reads

$$S = 2\pi\sqrt{-q_0 D}\left(1 + \frac{\mu}{k} + \frac{16E(75k^4 + 270k^3\mu + 150k^2\mu^2 + 35k\mu^3 + 11\mu^4)}{15Dk^2(k + \mu)(2k + \mu)}\right) + O(Q^{-2}),$$

(35)
the Hawking temperature is
\[ T = \frac{k\mu}{8\pi \sqrt{-q_0 D(k + \mu)}} \left( 1 - \frac{8E(210k^4 + 30k^3\mu - 40k^2\mu^2 + 13k\mu^3 + 11\mu^4)}{15D(k + \mu)^2(2k + \mu)} \right) + O(Q^{-5}). \]  
(36)

the central charge is
\[ |Z| = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(-q_0 D)^{1/4} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{k(k + \mu)} \]  
(37)

and the ADM mass takes the form
\[ M = \frac{\sqrt{2}(-q_0 D)^{1/4}(2k + \mu)}{2\sqrt{k(k + \mu)}} \left( 1 - \frac{8E(18k^4 + 36k^3\mu - 6k^2\mu^2 - 24k\mu^3 - 11\mu^4)}{15D(k + \mu)(2k + \mu)^2} \right) \]
\[ + O(Q^{-3}) = \left( k + \frac{1}{2}\mu \right) \times \left( 1 - \frac{8E(18k^4 + 36k^3\mu - 6k^2\mu^2 - 24k\mu^3 - 11\mu^4)}{15D(k + \mu)(2k + \mu)^2} \right) + O(Q^{-3}). \]  
(38)

Note that when taking the limit \( \mu \gg k \) in the above expressions, our approximation requires that \( \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{k}} \ll 1 \). In the following, we assume \( D \) and \( E \) have the same sign. The higher curvature corrections increase the entropy, decrease the temperature (for \( \mu > 0 \)), decrease the mass for small \( \mu \) and increase the mass for large \( \mu \). In the extremal limit \( \mu = 0 \), this agrees with the mass–charge ratio conjecture of [14]. The maximum temperature, where the specific heat diverges, is at lower \( \mu \).
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Appendix. Polynomials for non-extremal black holes with a non-supersymmetric extremal limit

\[ P_f(r) = r^3(4k^3 + 6k^2\mu + 24k\mu^2 + 11\mu^3) + r^2(25k^4 + 44k^3\mu + 96k^2\mu^2 + 44k\mu^3 + 2k\mu^4) \]
\[ + r(60k^5 + 125k^4\mu + 164k^3\mu^2 + 66k^2\mu^3 + 30k^6 + 60k^5\mu + 65k^4\mu^2 + 24k^3\mu^3) \]
\[ P_h(r) = r^3(236k^4 + 352k^3\mu - 756k^2\mu^2 - 440k\mu^3 - 86\mu^4) \]
\[ + r^2(594k^5 + 771k^4\mu + 2172k^3\mu^2 - 891k^2\mu^3 - 96k\mu^4) \]
\[ + r(448k^6 + 390k^5\mu + 2180k^4\mu^2 - 510k^3\mu^3 + 58k^2\mu^4) \]
\[ + 480k^7 + 1336k^6\mu + 991k^5\mu^2 + 916k^4\mu^3 + 263k^3\mu^4 \]
\[ P_T(r) = r^3(-236k^4 - 352k^3\mu + 756k^2\mu^2 + 440k\mu^3 + 86\mu^4) \]
\[ + r^2(-594k^5 - 771k^4\mu + 2172k^3\mu^2 + 891k^2\mu^3 + 96k\mu^4) \]
\[ + r(1472k^6 + 5370k^5\mu + 8420k^4\mu^2 + 3390k^3\mu^3 + 422k^2\mu^4) \]
\[ - 240k^7 - 1456k^6\mu - 2311k^5\mu^2 - 2236k^4\mu^3 - 623k^3\mu^4 \]
\[ P_{3a}(r) = r^3(216k^4 + 312k^3\mu - 636k^2\mu^2 - 300k\mu^3 - 42\mu^4) \]
\[ + r^2(534k^4 + 651k^3\mu - 1812k^2\mu^2 - 471k\mu^3 + 36k\mu^4) \]
\[ + r(388k^4 + 270k^3\mu - 1820k^2\mu^2 - 90k\mu^3 + 190k^2\mu^4) \]
\[ + 540k^5 + 1576k^4\mu + 1471k^3\mu^2 + 1256k^2\mu^3 + 347k\mu^4 \]
\[ P_{3b}(r) = r^3(1712k^4 + 2584k^3\mu + 2988k^2\mu^2 + 1300k\mu^3 + 226\mu^4) \]
\[ + r^2(4338k^4 + 5757k^3\mu + 675k^2\mu^2 + 2583k\mu^3 + 372k\mu^4) \]
\[ + r(3316k^4 + 3090k^3\mu + 3820k^2\mu^2 + 930k\mu^3 + 10k^2\mu^4) \]
\[ + 300k^7 - 1448k^6\mu - 1703k^5\mu^2 - 1328k^4\mu^3 - 31k\mu^4 \]
\[ P_4(r) = r^4(-748k^4 + 1136k^3\mu - 1812k^2\mu^2 - 800k\mu^3 - 134\mu^4) \]
\[ + r^3(-1902k^5 - 2553k^4\mu - 4284k^3\mu^2 - 1527k^2\mu^3 - 168k\mu^4) \]
\[ + r(-1464k^6 - 1410k^5\mu - 2820k^4\mu^2 - 510k^3\mu^3 + 90k^2\mu^4) \]
\[ + 120k^7 + 1512k^6\mu + 1587k^5\mu^2 + 1292k^4\mu^3 + 339k\mu^4. \]

References

[1] Cardoso G L, de Wit B and Mohaupt T 1999 Corrections to macroscopic supersymmetric black-hole entropy Phys. Lett. B 451 309 (arXiv:hep-th/9812082)

[2] Mohaupt T 2001 Black hole entropy, special geometry and strings Fortsch. Phys. 49 3 (arXiv:hep-th/0007195)

[3] Cardoso G L, de Wit B, Käppeli J and Mohaupt T 2000 Stationary BPS solutions in \( N = 2 \) supergravity with \( R^2 \) interactions J. High Energy Phys. JHEP12(2000)019 (arXiv:hep-th/0009234)

[4] Cardoso G L, de Wit B, Käppeli J and Mohaupt T 2001 Examples of stationary BPS solutions in \( N = 2 \) supergravity theories with \( R^2 \)-interactions Fortsch. Phys. 49 557 (arXiv:hep-th/0012232)

[5] Sen A 2005 How does a fundamental string stretch its horizon? J. High Energy Phys. JHEP05(2005)059 (arXiv:hep-th/0411255)

Hubeny V, Maloney A and Rangamani M 2005 String-corrected black holes J. High Energy Phys. JHEP05(2005)035 (arXiv:hep-th/0411272)

[6] Bak D, Kim S and Rey S J 2005 Exactly soluble BPS black holes in higher curvature \( N = 2 \) Supergravity arXiv:hep-th/0501014

[7] Sahoo B and Sen A 2006 Higher derivative corrections to non-supersymmetric extremal black holes in \( N = 2 \) supergravity J. High Energy Phys. JHEP09(2006)029 (arXiv:hep-th/0603149)

[8] Cardoso G L, de Wit B and Mahapatra S 2007 Black hole entropy functions and attractor equations J. High Energy Phys. JHEP07(2007)085 (arXiv:hep-th/0612225)

[9] Grass E and Oz Y 2009 Large charge four-dimensional extremal \( N = 2 \) black holes with \( R^2 \)-terms J. High Energy Phys. JHEP05(2009)041 (arXiv:0902.0831)

[10] Grass E and Oz Y 2007 On the entropy of four-dimensional near-extremal \( N = 2 \) black holes with \( R^2 \)-terms J. High Energy Phys. JHEP12(2007)050 (arXiv:0708.2554)

[11] Kastor D and Win K Z 1997 Non-extreme Calabi–Yau black holes Phys. Lett. B 411 33 (arXiv:hep-th/9705090)

Behrndt K, Cvetic M and Sabra W A 1998 Entropy of near-extreme \( N = 2 \) black holes Phys. Rev. D 58 084018 (arXiv:hep-th/9711221)

[12] Wald R M 1993 Black hole entropy is Noether charge Phys. Rev. D 48 R3427 (arXiv:gr-qc/9307038)

Jacobson T, Kang G and Myers R C 1994 On black hole entropy Phys. Rev. D 49 6837 (arXiv:gr-qc/9312023)

Iyer V and Wald R M 1994 Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy Phys. Rev. D 50 846 (arXiv:gr-qc/9403028)

Jacobson T, Kang G and Myers R C 1995 Black hole entropy in higher curvature gravity arXiv:gr-qc/9502009

[13] Ooguri H, Strominger A and Vafa C 2004 Black hole attractors and the topological string Phys. Rev. D 70 106007 (arXiv:hep-th/0405146)

[14] Arkani-Hamed N, Motl L, Nicolis A and Vafa C 2007 The string landscape, black holes and gravity as the weakest force J. High Energy Phys. JHEP06(2007)1060 (arXiv:hep-th/0610001)

Kats Y, Motl L and Padi M 2007 Higher-order corrections to mass-charge relation of extremal black holes J. High Energy Phys. JHEP12(2007)068 (arXiv:hep-th/0606100)

[15] Callan C G, Myers R C and Perry M J 1989 Black holes in string theory Nucl. Phys. B 311 673 http://ccdb4fs.kek.jp/cgi-bin/img/allpdf?200036442
[16] Sabra W A 1997 General static $N = 2$ black holes Mod. Phys. Lett. A 12 2585 (arXiv:hep-th/9703101)
Sabra W A 1998 Black holes in $N = 2$ supergravity theories and harmonic functions Nucl. Phys. B 510 247
(arXiv:hep-th/9704147)
Behrndt K, Lüst D and Sabra W A 1998 Stationary solutions of $N = 2$ supergravity Nucl. Phys. B 510 264
(arXiv:hep-th/9705169)

[17] Tripathy P K and Trivedi S P 2006 Non-supersymmetric attractors in string theory J. High Energy Phys. JHEP03(2006)022 (arXiv:hep-th/0511117)