Mice lacking melatonin MT2 receptors exhibit attentional deficits, anxiety and enhanced social interaction
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Abstract

Background: Aside from regulating circadian rhythms, melatonin also affects cognitive processes, such as alertness, and modulates the brain circuitry underlying psychiatric diseases, such as depression, schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, via mechanisms that are not fully clear. In particular, while melatonin MT1 receptors are thought primarily to mediate the circadian effects of the hormone, the contribution of the MT2 receptor to melatonin actions remains enigmatic.

Aims: To characterise the contribution of MT2 receptors to melatonin’s effects on cognition and anxiety/sociability.

Methods: Mice with a genetic deletion of the MT2 receptor, encoded by the Mtnr1b gene, were compared with wild-type littermates for performance in a translational touchscreen version of the continuous performance task (CPT) to assess attentional processes and then monitored over 3 days in an ethological home-cage surveillance system.

Results: Mtnr1b knockout (KO) mice were able to perform at relatively normal levels in the CPT. However, they showed consistent evidence of more liberal/risky responding strategies relative to control mice, with increases in hit rates and false alarm rates, which were maintained even when the cognitive demands of the task were increased. Assessment in the home-cage monitoring system revealed that female Mtnr1b KO mice have increased anxiety levels, whereas male Mtnr1b KO mice show increased sociability.

Conclusions: The results confirm that the MT2 receptor plays a role in cognition and also modulates anxiety and social interactions. These data provide new insights into the functions of endogenous melatonin and will inform future drug development strategies focussed on the MT2 receptor.
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Introduction

Melatonin (MT) receptors are G protein-coupled receptors with highly restricted patterns of expression. MT1 receptors (encoded by the MTNR1A gene) are expressed in the vasculature, the pancreas and the pars tuberalis of the pituitary, and in the brain are enriched in the dentate gyrus and the suprachiasmatic nucleus of the hypothalamus, where MT1 receptors play a well-characterised role in the regulation of circadian rhythmicity (Ng et al., 2017). MT2 receptors (encoded by the MTNR1B gene) are also found in the pancreas. Indeed, in genome-wide association studies, human sequence variations in the in MTNR1B gene have been strongly and robustly associated with altered resting plasma glucose levels, and with maternal influences on foetal development (Horikoshi et al., 2013). There is growing interest in the possibility that MT receptors represent promising targets for the treatment of psychiatric disorders (Comai and Gobbi, 2014; Dubocovich, 2007; Kishi et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2016). For example, agomelatine is a novel, clinically effective antidepressant/ anxiolytic combining antagonism of 5HT2C receptors with agonist activity at MT1/MT2 receptors (Taylor et al., 2014).

In the brain, MT2 receptors are located primarily in the CA3 region of the hippocampus and the thalamic reticular nucleus (TRN) (Lacoste et al., 2015; Ng et al., 2017; Ochoa-Sanchez et al., 2011). This distribution pattern suggests an important role in a wide range of cognitive functions. It is well established that the CA3 region of the hippocampus involved in learning and memory. The TRN has a key role in regulating thalamocortical function and has been proposed to act as an ‘attentional searchlight’ (Crick, 1984), focussing sensory information to facilitate salient stimuli and suppress irrelevant stimuli. This concept is supported by studies in primates in which TRN activity is modified by shifts of visual attention (McAlonan et al., 2006) and in mouse optogenetic studies in a cross-modal (visual and auditory) divided attention task (Wimmer et al., 2015). Importantly, these optogenetic studies showed an engagement of TRN subnetworks to enable optimal performance, whereby distinct patterns of TRN firing were dependent on the target sensory modality, consistent with a gating role of the TRN during selective attention. While the ‘sensory’ regions of the TRN have been explored in attentional
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tasks, there is a lack of knowledge of the role of ‘cognitive’-related TRN inputs from the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and mediodorsal thalamus in tests of cognitive flexibility and working memory (Pratt and Morris, 2015). The TRN is also involved in the generation of gamma oscillations (Macdonald et al., 1998; Pinault and Deschénes, 1992), which are linked to cognitive processes (Colgin et al., 2009; Osipova et al., 2006).

Evidence has accumulated that dysfunction of the TRN may play a crucial role in psychiatric disease aetiology (Ferrarelli and Tononi, 2011; Pratt and Morris, 2015). The localisation of MT2 receptors in the TRN may therefore be particularly relevant for understanding the role of MT in the CNS, and in particular, potential pro-cognitive effects (Wade et al., 2014). A non-selective MT1/MT2 agonist (ramelteon) was reported to improve cognitive function in a small cohort of schizophrenia patients (Shirayama et al., 2014). Conversely, ramelteon reportedly impaired cognitive function in a small group of normal volunteers (Miyata et al., 2015), similar to earlier reports with melatonin (Dollins et al., 1993), so there is a lack of clarity on the cognitive actions of combined MT1/MT2 agonists in humans.

In mice, an MT2 receptor agonist (UCM765) decreased anxiety in novel environments (elevated plus maze test, novelty suppressed feeding test and open-field test), increased non-rapid eye movement (NREM) sleep, and also increased TRN neuron firing (Comai and Gobbi, 2014; Comai et al., 2020; Ochoa-Sanchez et al., 2011, 2012), supporting the link between MT2 receptors and NREM sleep. Mice lacking both MT1 and MT2 receptors are hyperactive, with enhanced performance in a simple maze-based cognitive task (spontaneous alternations in a Y maze) (O’Neal-Moffitt et al., 2014). Mice lacking MT2 receptors alone reportedly showed decreased NREM sleep, increased wakefulness (Comai et al., 2013) and reduced habituation in the elevated plus maze test of anxiety task (Larson et al., 2006). A role for MT2 receptors in reward processing has also been proposed (Clough et al., 2014; Hutchinson et al., 2012).

Despite great interest in understanding the central effects of melatonin, and drugs acting on melatonin receptors, the contribution of MT2 receptors to these actions remains unclear. In this study, we tested the hypothesis that mice lacking MT2 receptors would show deficits in cognitive tests of attentional capacity and cognitive flexibility (inhibitory control) and altered activity patterns in a low-stress environment by continuous monitoring of group housed animals in a home-cage setting. Importantly, we employ a translationally relevant mouse touchscreen task designed to be analogous to the common human continuous performance task (CPT) to measure attentional performance, including the ability to respond to ‘target’ visual pattern stimuli, to withhold responses to ‘non-target’ stimuli and to respond to task manipulations of varying cognitive load (Kim et al., 2015).

Materials and methods

Mice with targeted deletion of the Mtnr1b gene (encoding the MT2 receptor), on C57Bl/6N background, were obtained under the knockout (KO) mouse project from MRC Harwell (Oxfordshire, UK). Note that the C57Bl/6N substrain does not have the Aanat mutation, present in the C57Bl/6j substrain, which compromises melatonin synthesis (e.g., see GenBank: BC119139.1; Transcript: MGP_C57BL6NJ_T0034145.1). Heterozygote breeding pairs were used to generate KO and wild-type (WT) control mice (n=12/group), with 50% male and 50% female for each group. All animals were food restricted to within 85% of free feeding weight according to age and group housed in either groups of two or three animals per cage. The holding room was maintained under a reverse 12 h day/night cycle (lights off at 10 am). All studies were approved by the institution’s Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body.

Continuous performance task

Sessions occurred on days, typically between 10.30 am and 2.30 pm, 5 days/week. Mtnr1b KO mice appeared overtly normal and exhibited similar growth curves to WT mice. On commencement of the training protocol for CPT studies, the average weights were 29.3 g for WT males, 29.8 g for KO males, 22.5 g for WT females and for 23.8 g KO females. Mouse touchscreen operant boxes (Campden Instruments Ltd. with ABET II touch software) (Loughborough, UK) had the main touchscreen masked apart from three horizontal apertures, allowing access to three discrete sections of the touchscreen. The task stimulus was presented in the centre panel, with the two flanking panels used for distractor stimuli during the distractor session. Reward (Yazoo™ strawberry milkshake – 70 µl) (FrieslandCampina, London, UK) was provided for correct responses, when a tone was also played and the food reward hopper illuminated. While ‘S’+ responses were rewarded, ‘S’− responses triggered a correction phase, where the stimulus was repeatedly presented until a correct withholding of a response allowed an increment onto the next trial.

Training stages were conducted as described by Kim et al. (2015). Stimuli used are shown in Figure 1. To probe cognitive function further, mice were tested for performance with a degraded stimulus, with flashing distractor stimuli (Figure 1), and with variable stimulus duration. For the last, the stimulus duration for S+ presentation was varied across three stimulus durations of 1 s, 0.6 s and 0.2 s with a probability of 33% each trial type. All other variables matched the stage 6 trial set.

The following main measures were recorded: ‘Hit’ – correct response with a touch to the S+ stimulus within the limited hold period; ‘Miss’ (omission) – failure to respond to a S+ stimulus; ‘Mistake’ (false alarm) – incorrect response to a S− stimulus and ‘Correct rejection’ – successful withholding of a response to the
S− stimulus. From these basic measures, a series of complex measures were constructed as with the human CPT: hit rate (HR) – the rate of response to correct S+ stimulus – HR = Hit/(Hit+Miss); false alarm rate (FAR) – the rate of response to the S− stimulus – FAR = False alarm/(False alarm+Correct rejection); sensitivity index (SI) – the perceptual discriminability between the S+ and S−, that is, higher values indicate better visual discrimination (Kim et al., 2015) – SI=(HR−FAR)/(2(HR+FAR)−(HR+FAR)^2) and responsivity index (RI) – the criterion or willingness to make responses, for example, conservative=low RI values or liberal=high RI values (Kim et al., 2015, see erratum for correct formula) – RI=(HR+FAR−1)/((1−(HR−FAR)^2)). This is effectively the converse of the LnBeta index used clinically, where low values indicate less conservative, more liberal strategies.

**Home-cage monitoring**

Subjects were 24 mice as above, aged 21–28 weeks during data acquisition. A radiofrequency identification (RFID) transponder was implanted subcutaneously under isoflurane anaesthesia, and a minimum of 24h later, groups of three mice were transferred to a Home-Cage Analyser (Actual Analytics Ltd., Edinburgh, UK). After an initial 1.5h prior to habituate, mice were monitored for 72h (recording commenced at 10am – onset of dark phase) (Bristow et al., 2020; Mitchell et al., 2020b). One cage (three WT females) was subsequently excluded from the analysis due to technical issues. Data output included, for 30 min time bins: total distance travelled (mm), total number of antenna transitions, separation (mean Euclidean distance to closest cage mate (mm)) and isolation (time spent >100 mm apart from cage mates (s)).

**Statistical analysis**

There is a growing awareness that the addition of approaches that are complementary to null-hypothesis significance testing, such as Estimation statistics and Bayesian analysis, is often beneficial for the interpretation of the biological significance of reported effects. Hence, we have included these strategies in this piece of work. In addition to ANOVA analysis, for the main hypothesised effects, figures also show effect size estimation (estimationstats.com), with mean differences shown as Gardner–Altman estimation plots (Calin-Jageman and Cumming, 2019; Ho et al., 2019). For one sample and unpaired t-tests, we also show Bayes factors, estimated using JASP (Quintana and Williams, 2018) (The JASP team, Amsterdam, Netherlands, https://jasp-stats.org), with default (Cauchy) priors. The Bayes factors were robust against choice of priors (JASP) in each case. Bayes factor magnitudes were interpreted by standard practice in life sciences research (Lee and Wagenmakers, 2013).

**Results**

**Continuous performance task**

Mtnr1b KO mice acquired the CPT at an equivalent rate to WT control mice, with no significant differences between the groups as they passed through stages 1–6 of task acquisition. Once at stage 6, Mtnr1b KO mice showed largely normal levels of performance over 5 days of baseline testing, except that the frequency of correct responses and false alarm responses was elevated (Figure 2(a) and (c)). By comparison, measures of sensitivity and reaction time were broadly equivalent to controls (Figure 2(b) and (d)). The total number of trials completed was also not significantly different in Mtnr1b KO mice (data not shown).

The parameters of the task were then manipulated to increase cognitive load. Reducing the contrast of the stimulus led to reduced HRs, perceptual sensitivity and responsivity without affecting FARs (Figure 3). The introduction of a distractor slightly reduced HRs without significantly affecting the other parameters (Figure 4). In both cases, the increased FARs and impulsivity of the Mtnr1b KO mice were maintained despite the increased difficulty of the task (no significant genotype x contrast/distractor interaction) (Figures 3 and 4). For manipulations to increase cognitive load, sex effects were not detected in general, with the exception of FARs with variable stimulus duration (males > females, F(1,71) = 8.1; p = 0.007), and of responsivity with variable stimulus duration, altered contrast and inclusion of distractor (males > females, F(1,71) = 66.9, 17.2 and 130.3; p < 0.001, p < 0.001 and p < 0.001, respectively).

Decreasing the duration of the stimulus produced the anticipated decrement in HR in both genotype groups (Figure 5) and was similar to the effect of a distractor; in that, the other parameters were not significantly affected.

The experiments with increased cognitive load, be it the presence of a distractor, or reduced stimulus duration or stimulus contrast, all incorporate a component of the session using the standard test conditions. To confirm the robustness of the cognitive abnormalities across the CPT test sessions, we directly compared the effect size of the genotype effects in stage 6 (before the increased cognitive load sessions) and in the standard condition components of the subsequent probe sessions (Figure 6). While no evidence for abnormal sensitivity was obtained, the effects on HR, FAR and responsivity were found to be consistent.

Overall, the CPT data indicate subtle attentional impairment in Mtnr1b KO mice, with a tendency to be more impulsive and less cautious in response selection.

**24-h monitoring**

The anticipated circadian variation in patterns of activity was observed, with all mice being substantially more active in the dark phase, as compared to the light phase (Figure 7).

There were differences in the pattern of activity between male and female Mtnr1b KO mice. Male Mtnr1b KO mice showed reduced locomotor activity compared to male WT mice, and this was increasingly apparent over time. They also exhibited less separation distance from their cage mates than WT controls. In contrast, distance travelled and separation distance were unchanged in female Mtnr1b KO mice compared to female WT controls.

When a deeper analysis of behaviour during the dark (most active) period was performed, it was confirmed that the Mtnr1b KO males were less active (in terms of distance moved) than WT controls, although time spent mobile was slightly increased (Figure 8). Mtnr1b KO male mice also appeared more sociable, spending more time in social interaction (shorter distance of separation and shorter time isolated from cage mates) than WT control males. These changes in social behaviour did not appear to
result from altered anxiety levels as thigmotaxis and time spent in the centre of the cage was unchanged. Conversely, the female Mtnr1b KO showed clear evidence of an anxious phenotype, with increased thigmotaxis and reduced time spent in the centre of the cage but with no change in social behaviours (Figure 8).

Discussion

Mtnr1b KO mice were overtly normal, but showed attentional deficits, and when group housed in a low-stress environment, there was increased sociability in males and increased anxiety in female mice. The results provide insight into the effects mediated by endogenous melatonin, out with its well-studied modulation of circadian rhythm.

There has been some debate about studying melatonin effects in C57Bl6 mice as compared to C3H/He mice. Indeed, mice of the C57Bl6J strain produce low levels of endogenous melatonin in the pineal and have lower plasma melatonin compared to the C3H/He inbred strain. Nevertheless, levels are similar in C57Bl6 mice to those in outbred strains (Gomez-Corvera et al., 2009; Goto et al., 1989; Vivien-Roels et al., 1998). The low pineal melatonin concentrations in C57Bl6J mice are proposed to be due to a synthetic enzyme mutation (Roseboom et al., 1998), although

Figure 2. CPT proficiency of WT and Mtnr1b KO mice after full acquisition of task (stage 6): (a) hit rate, (b) sensitivity, (c) false alarm rate and (d) responsivity. Data were collected over five consecutive days. ANOVA (genotype and sex): effect of genotype $F_{(1,114)}=13.05, p=0.001$ (a), $F_{(1,114)}=0.92, \text{ns}$ (b), $F_{(1,114)}=4.08, p=0.047$ (c) and $F_{(1,114)}=2.90, p=0.092$ (d). Bars show median and interquartile range (sexes pooled for visualisation), with ‘Tukey’ whiskers and open symbols for outliers. ANOVA: analysis of variance; CPT: continuous performance task; KO: knockout; WT: wild type.

* $p<0.05$. *** $p<0.001$ (ANOVA main effect).
**Figure 3.** CPT proficiency of WT and Mtrn1b KO mice with decreased stimulus contrast: (a) hit rate, (b) sensitivity, (c) false alarm rate and (d) responsivity. ANOVA: effect of contrast $F(3,95) = 28.50$, $p < 0.001$ (a), $F(3,90) = 14.45$, $p < 0.001$ (b), $F(3,95) = 1.77$, ns (c), $F(3,95) = 17.85$, $p < 0.001$ (d), effect of genotype $F(1,95) = 18.82$, $p < 0.001$ (a), $F(1,90) = 0.27$, ns (b), $F(1,95) = 15.43$, $p < 0.001$ (c) and $F(1,95) = 32.46$, $p < 0.001$ (d). Sex was also included as an additional factor in the analysis. Bars show median and interquartile range, with 'Tukey' whiskers and open symbols for outliers.

ANOVA: analysis of variance; CPT: continuous performance task; KO: knockout; WT: wild type.

**Figure 4.** CPT proficiency of WT and Mtrn1b KO mice without (non-dist) or with a distractor stimulus (congruent (cong) or incongruent (incong)): (a) hit rate, (b) sensitivity, (c) false alarm rate and (d) responsivity. ANOVA: effect of distractor $F(2,71) = 3.26$, $p = 0.048$ (a), $F(2,68) = 2.08$, ns (b), $F(2,71) = 0.28$, ns (c), $F(2,71) = 1.02$, ns (d), effect of genotype $F(1,71) = 23.34$, $p = 0.001$ (a), $F(1,68) = 3.77$, $p = 0.059$ (b), $F(1,71) = 5.06$, $p = 0.030$ (c), $F(1,71) = 12.62$, $p < 0.001$ (d). Sex was also included as an additional factor in the analysis. Bars show median and interquartile range, with 'Tukey' whiskers and open symbols for outliers.

ANOVA: analysis of variance; CPT: continuous performance task; KO: knockout; WT: wild type.

* $p < 0.05$. ** $p < 0.001$ (ANOVA main effect). # $p < 0.05$ versus corresponding WT group, post hoc Tukey’s test.
there is evidence that this mutation is not present in all tissues, and that other cells may contribute to measurable plasma melatonin levels (Conti et al., 2000; Gomez-Corvera et al., 2009) (but see also Kennaway, 2019). It is important that in this study the Mtnr1b KO mice used (from International Mouse Phenotyping Consortium), were produced on the C57Bl6N substrain (not the C57Bl6J strain), where this mutation does not occur, and C57Bl6N mice are known to produce melatonin from peripheral synthesis sites (Conti et al., 2000). Indeed, C57Bl6N, C57Bl6J and C3H-He strains show similar age-related patterns of circadian activity (Banks et al., 2015). It should also be remembered that genetic deletion of MT2 receptors is predicted to have effects even in the absence of any endogenous melatonin signalling. For example, the function of serotonin acting at MT2/5-HT2C receptor heteromers (Kamal et al., 2015) will be perturbed in Mtnr1b KO mice, and of course 5-HT2C receptors have a well-characterised role in anxiety and sociability (Heisler et al., 2007; Millan, 2005), and also in restraining impulsivity (Fletcher et al., 2013; Robinson et al., 2008). Hence, the disrupted behaviours observed in the Mtnr1b KO mice may in part relate to alterations in the functionality of MT2/5-HT2C receptor heteromers.

**Cognitive dysfunction in Mtnr1b KO mice**

In the CPT, subtle alterations in performance were caused by the lack of MT2 receptors. The potential confound of metabolic factors arising from a role of MT2 receptors in glucose regulation is unlikely as cognitive testing is conducted when both genotypes are at equivalent weights on their respective growth curves. While in most cases, sensitivity of responding was unaffected, the Mtnr1b KO mice had a higher HR, but showed a robust propensity to make errors of commission (false alarms) and adopt a more liberal response strategy, compared to WT controls. The Mtnr1b KO mice were able to cope with the increased cognitive load as efficiently as WT mice. Their liberal responding strategies remained, however, whatever the difficulty of the task. Therefore, Mtnr1b receptors have a role in the inhibitory control mechanisms.

The manipulations introduced to increase cognitive load (reduced stimulus contrast, variable stimulus duration and introduction of a distractor) all produced the anticipated impairments in the CPT performance. Interestingly, reductions in HR appeared to be the most sensitive index of the increased difficulty (reductions in accuracy or sensitivity or both). These findings are similar to previous reports in mice (Hvoslef-Eide et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2015). The data are also similar to those observed clinically, emphasising the translational value of this paradigm. For example, decreased contrast (degraded stimulus) produces decreased sensitivity and decreased response bias in healthy control subjects (Chen et al., 1998; Hsieh et al., 2005). There was no evidence to suggest that the cognitive changes in Mtnr1b KO mice are related to altered sleep – circadian patterns of behaviour appeared grossly normal, and previous evidence has generally found no change in response bias after sleep loss (Horne et al., 1983).
Figure 6. Robustness of attentional abnormalities in Mtnr1b knockout (KO). The mean differences are shown in the Cumming estimation plots for stage 6 (pair 1), and the standard parameter parts of the distractor (pair 2), variable stimulus duration (pair 3) and degraded stimulus (pair 4) stages. Each mean difference is plotted as a bootstrap sampling distribution. Mean differences are depicted as dots; 95% confidence intervals are indicated by the ends of the vertical error bars. Results of the Bayesian one-sample t-tests for the mean differences versus zero (Bayes factor computed with the default prior) are also indicated, along with 'pizza' plots depicting the odds of the data under the null versus alternative hypothesis. Apart from sensitivity, analysis confirms anecdotal to moderate confidence in the genotype effects.

Figure 7. Circadian patterns of activity in home cage monitoring for males and females: (a) locomotor activity (distance travelled) shown in 4 h time bins. Main effects of sex \( F(1, 459) = 8.46; p = 0.04 \), dark/light phase \( F(1, 459) = 129.30; p < 0.0001 \) and 4 h time bin \( F(16, 459) = 13.46; p < 0.0001 \) and two-factor interaction between genotype and sex \( F(1, 459) = 33.76; p < 0.0001 \). The Tukey’s post hoc test revealed significant hypoactivity in male Mtnr1b knockout (KO) versus male wild-type (WT) \( p < 0.0001 \). (no other interactions) and (b) social interaction (separation from cage mates) shown in 4 h time bins. Main effects of genotype \( F(1, 459) = 26.46; p < 0.0001 \), dark/light phase \( F(1, 459) = 43.43; p < 0.0001 \) and 4 h time bin \( F(16, 459) = 90.03; p < 0.0001 \) and two-factor interaction between genotype and sex \( F(1, 459) = 66.40; p < 0.0001 \). The Tukey’s post hoc test revealed significant reduced separation in male Mtnr1b KO versus male WT \( p < 0.0001 \) (no other interactions).
It is of interest that anterior cingulate cortex lesions produce a remarkably similar impairment in mice (increased FARs and impulsivity, maintained with increasing cognitive load) (Hvoslef-Eide et al., 2018). The TRN is thought to play a key role in attentional processes, including a role in gating and gain control during selective attention (Guillery et al., 1998; Wimmer et al., 2015), and the projection from the cingulate to the TRN is proposed to contribute to this (Fitzgibbon and Kikuchi, 2011). Hence, the lack of MT2 receptors in the TRN may have contributed to the cognitive changes observed. The phenotype may also be relevant to impairments observed with psychiatric disease. Patients with schizophrenia tend to show reduced perceptual sensitivity relative to healthy controls, while response bias can be unaffected, increased or decreased (Hsieh et al., 2005; Ito et al., 1997; Liu et al., 1997; Mass et al., 2000; Seidman et al., 1998). However, patients with major depressive disorder show reduced response inhibition (Katz et al., 2010), and increased FARs have been detected (Cornblatt et al., 1989). Patients with bipolar disorder also show increased FARs and a more liberal response pattern in the CPT (Sax et al., 1995). A depression/bipolar disorder-related cognitive phenotype in mice lacking MT2 receptors is therefore of great interest with respect to ideas that melatonin plays a role in attention and cognitive control.

**Figure 8.** Locomotor, social and anxiety-related activity in the dark phase on days 2 and 3, assessed via home-cage monitoring, shown separately for males and females: (a) locomotor activity (distance travelled), (b) time spent mobile, (c) thigmotaxis, (d) time in centre zone, (e) social interaction (separation from cage mates) and (f) social interaction (time spent isolated from cage mates). Effect of genotype: $F(1,125)=0.11$, ns (a), $F(1,125)=8.34$, $p=0.005$ (b), $F(1,125)=5.35$, $p=0.023$ (c), $F(1,125)=7.31$, $p=0.008$ (d), $F(1,125)=11.25$, $p=0.001$ (e), $F(1,125)=6.85$, $p=0.010$ (f), effect of sex $F(1,125)=0.09$, ns (a), $F(1,125)=24.32$, $p<0.001$ (b), $F(1,125)=18.18$, $p<0.001$ (c), $F(1,125)=22.36$, $p<0.001$ (d), $F(1,125)=5.25$, $p=0.024$ (e) and $F(1,125)=1.08$, ns (f). Data are shown with ‘pizza’ plots depicting the odds of the data under the null versus alternative hypothesis, for males only (a), (b), (e) and (f), or for females only (c) and (d). Analysis confirms moderate or strong to extreme confidence in the genotype effects.
agonists may be useful for treating depression or bipolar disorder (Alston et al., 2019; Kishi et al., 2019).

**Anxiety and locomotor activity in Mtnr1b KO mice**

We tested to see whether ethological behaviours are disrupted in Mtnr1b KO mice. We choose to explore these behaviours in group-housed mice in a home-cage environment as (1) ethologically relevant behaviours can be measured simultaneously in the same mice, (2) the temporal aspects of behaviour can be monitored over several days and (3) mice are subject to less stress than in tests, such as the EPM and three-chamber test, where single animals are taken out of their home cage and placed in a novel environment (Bains et al., 2016; Mitchell et al., 2020a).

In relation to locomotor activity, the anticipated circadian variation in patterns of activity was observed, with male and female mice of both genotypes showing more activity in the dark phase as compared to the light phase. Of interest is the marked increase in locomotor activity immediately prior to the onset of the dark phase, which then subsides during the course of the dark period. We and others have noted this pattern of activity (Bains et al., 2016; Mitchell et al., 2020a).

In contrast, we observed increased anxiety-related responses (decreased time in centre and increased thigmotaxis) in female Mtnr1b KO mice. Genetic deletion of the Mtnr1b gene in mice on a C3H/HeJ background also reportedly causes increased anxiety (Liu et al., 2017), despite the fact that mice (sex not specified) were single-housed, which can influence anxiety in mice (Voikar et al., 2005). It would be of interest to examine whether the exposure of Mtnr1b KO mice to other more stressful paradigms (such as the elevated plus-maze) would reveal sex-dependent effects on anxiety. A recent study (Comai et al., 2020) also reported an anxiety-like phenotype in male Mtnr1b KO mice (C3H/HeN background strain) that was limited to the light phase in one task and limited to the dark phase in another task. Hence, it is clear that MT2 receptors are involved in reducing anxiety, albeit with some complexity in how the anxiogenic phenotype becomes apparent.

A role for MT2 receptors in anxiety regulation is not unexpected. Agomelatine suppresses anxiety in rats (Papp et al., 2006; Regenass et al., 2018), as does melatonin (Bustamante-García et al., 2014; Comai and Gobbi, 2014; Papp et al., 2006). A novel selective MT2 agonist also has anxiolytic properties (Ochoa-Sánchez et al., 2012), so our data reinforce the concept that MT2 receptors modulate anxiety responses, and that activation of MT2 receptors may have therapeutic potential in anxiety disorders.

**Social dysfunction in Mtnr1b KO mice**

The combination of reduced distance of separation and reduced isolation time, as observed in group housed male Mtnr1b KO mice over a sustained period in a low-stress environment, is strongly indicative of increased sociability. Genetic deletion of the Mtnr1b gene in mice on a C3H/HeJ background reportedly does not affect social interaction (in a standard three-chamber test) (Liu et al., 2017). The discrepancy with our data in terms of the social interaction may reflect differences in output measures of the three-chamber test, or, as noted above, the fact that testing was conducted in the light phase and in single-housed mice, possibly the sex of the mice (not specified), along with the possible additional contribution of blindness.

There has been particular interest in male-specific effects on social responsiveness, considering the increased incidence of autism spectrum disorders (ASD) in males compared to females. Our data, implicating melatonin and MT2 receptors in modulation of social behaviours in males are therefore of significant interest.

**Sex-dependent effects in Mtnr1b KO mice**

The sex-dependent effects observed in the present study are intriguing. Previous studies of genetic deletion of the MT1 receptor have shown sex-dependent changes in terms of exploratory and anxiety measures in a novel environment in WT mice that were no longer apparent in MT1 receptor KO mice (Weil et al., 2006). Sex differences in spontaneous exploratory activity were apparent in singly housed MT1 KO mice, where behaviour was recorded over several days (Adamah-Biassi et al., 2014). These data are consistent with our data, in suggesting sex differences in the effects of melatonin signalling in the CNS. It is clear that in humans, there are differences between the sexes in terms of circadian melatonin fluctuations (Cain et al., 2010; Gunn et al., 2016), with women showing higher peak plasma levels. Assuming that this is also the case in the CNS, this implies that the behavioural effects of disrupting melatonin signalling in humans are likely to differ between the sexes. This provides some interesting context for our results showing that female Mtnr1b KO mice have increased anxiety levels, whereas male Mtnr1b KO mice show increased sociability.

The possibility of an interaction between sex hormones and melatonin in mediating sex differences in behaviour is a mute point. There is strong evidence that ovarian hormones can affect emotional and cognitive behaviours (ter Horst et al., 2012). In particular, stressors often affect females differently from males, which may be related to the stage of the oestrous cycle. In novel environments, such as the elevated plus-maze and the open field, female rodents generally exhibit less anxiety-like behaviours than males (ter Horst et al., 2012). In the context of the present study, where group-housed animals are monitored for 72 h in a low-stress home-cage environment, it is interesting to note that female Mtnr1b KO mice exhibited increased anxiety compared to males. However, it is worth noting that not all behaviours recorded in the present study showed sex-dependent effects. Taken together, our findings of increased anxiety but not social-related behaviours in female Mtnr1b KO mice support an interaction between melatonin and oestrogen in influencing some but not all behaviours. It is possible that melatonin plays both a
neuroendocrine role (hypothalamic–pituitary–gonadal system) along with a neurotransmitter role to modulate particular behaviours. The precise neurobiological mechanisms that underpin this relationship to mediate sex-dependent changes in behaviours warrant further investigation.

Neurobiological implications

We have been interested in the role of the TRN in the aetiology of psychiatric disease, and in the processes underlying cognitive activity in thalamocortical networks (Pratt and Morris, 2015). The TRN projects most extensively to the mediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus (MD), which itself projects massively to PFC and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC). Due to the high expression levels of MT2 receptors in the TRN, we consider it possible that many of the effects of Mtnr1b gene deletion that we report here reflect altered activity in this TRN–MD–PFC/OFC network. Consistent with this idea, direct inhibition of MD in rats decreases social behaviour and also decreases anxiety (Ferguson and Gao, 2018). Similarly, a minor suppression of MD activation of PFC or OFC results in cognitive impairment (Parmaudeau et al., 2013, 2015). It is also relevant that keta- mine, attracting attention as a rapidly acting antidepressant, supported by an award from the Medical Research Council (UK), grant reference: MR/P024130/1.
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