Comparative analysis of the psychometric parameters of two quality-of-life questionnaires, the SGRQ and CAT, in the assessment of patients with COPD exacerbations during hospitalization: A multicenter study
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Abstract
The aim of this study was to assess health-related quality of life (HRQL) in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and to discuss the different tools available for its assessment. The most widely used assessments are the St. George respiratory questionnaire (SGRQ) and the COPD assessment test (CAT) questionnaire. Both have a different difficulty in exam completion, calculation, and scoring. No studies exist that analyze the validity and internal consistency of using both questionnaires on patients admitted to the hospital for a COPD exacerbation. A multicenter, cross-sectional analytic observational study of patients admitted to the hospital due to a COPD exacerbation (CIE 491.2). During their hospital stay, they were administered the SGRQ and the CAT questionnaire within the framework of a therapeutic education program (APRENDEPOC). Descriptive and comparative analysis, correlations between the scales (Pearson’s correlation index), consistency and reliability calculations (Cronbach’s $\alpha$), and a forward stepwise multiple linear regression were performed, with significant correlations in both questionnaires considered $p < 0.01$ with the total scores. A statistical significance of $p < 0.05$ was assumed. Altogether, 231 patients were admitted for a COPD exacerbation ($n = 77$) at Hospital Clinic of Barcelona (HCB) and ($n = 154$) at Hospital Universitario General of Castellón (HUGC). The sample profile was not homogeneous between both centers, with significant differences in HRQL between hospitals. Correlation were noted between both scales ($p < 0.01$), along with high levels of internal consistency and reliability (CAT 0.836 vs. SGRQ 0.827). The HRQL is related to dyspnea, wheezing, daytime drowsiness, and edema, as well as to the need to sleep in a sitting position, anxiety, depression, and dependence on others in the execution of daily activities. Our regression analysis showed that the SGRQ questionnaire could predict more changes in HRQL with a higher number of variables.
Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is one of the main causes of morbidity and mortality throughout the world.\(^1\) Eighty million individuals endure a moderate or serious stage of the disease.\(^2\) Initiatives to standardize COPD treatment, such as the Spanish COPD (GESEPOC) guide\(^3\) or the Initiative for Chronic Global Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD),\(^4\) have increased the homogeneity of COPD diagnosis and treatment, although COPD continues to be a disease with high health costs, which among other reasons is because of the high number of readmissions due to exacerbation.\(^5\) Therefore, there is a need to manage these patients in an integral manner, seeking to alleviate their symptoms, improve their exercise tolerance, increase their health status, and improve their overall quality of life.\(^6,7\)

With quality of life being a predictive factor of exacerbation in patients with COPD, it is important to conduct a routine assessment using valid and reliable scales on the impact of COPD on the patient’s health status.\(^8\) This makes health-related quality of life (HRQL) one of the most studied items in clinical trials on the patients with COPD.\(^9\) Despite the interest generated by studying HRQL, there is a consensus only on the use of the COPD assessment test (CAT) questionnaire in stable patients with COPD as a method to predict the risk of a future exacerbation, as indicated in the GOLD guide\(^4\) and the Spanish COPD (GESEPOC) guide.\(^5\) There is no consensus on the most indicated questionnaire to evaluate the patients with COPD during an exacerbation, although several extended questionnaires exist for this purpose and have been adapted to different languages\(^10\) and populations. Their reliability, worth, precision, consistency, and sensitivity to change have been demonstrated but important differences exist in their content, ease of calculation, and completion.\(^9\)

One of these questionnaires is the CAT,\(^8\) which consists of eight questions. Each item is scored from 0 to 5 giving a total score range from 0 to 40. It has good internal consistency, and a completion time of 107 seconds, as validated through an international sample of 1000 patients.\(^11\) Its administration may be through an interview, or self-completed.\(^12\)

Another frequently used questionnaire is the St. George respiratory questionnaire (SGRQ), designed by Jones in 1991,\(^13\) to measure the subjective perception of HRQL. It is the most commonly used questionnaire and considered the gold standard in the assessment of HRQL. It has been validated in several languages for use via telephone.\(^10,14\) It contains 76 questions that are organized into three categories: symptoms, activity, and impact. Each question has an empirically derived weight, making it necessary to calculate the score through a computer application. The SGRQ is therefore more complicated to calculate and is lengthy, with a completion time of 578 seconds.\(^15\) It is difficult to fill out without aid from the health staff, as reported by other authors.\(^16\) Further, controversy exists on the cutoff point of its scale.\(^17\) Given the applicability problems of the SGRQ scale, the SGRQ-c questionnaire was recently created with 40 questions, but its lack of validity in different languages has limited its use, and the SGRQ questionnaire is still the most used.

As the SGRQ is somewhat inadequate in routine clinical practice, it is necessary to use short questionnaires that gather appropriate information and improve the communication between patients and health staff.\(^18\) An example is the CAT questionnaire.\(^19\)

For all of the above, the CAT questionnaire can replace the SGRQ questionnaire, as there are studies that have evaluated the metric parameters of both questionnaires and noted a significant correlation between both scales in the stable COPD population\(^15,20–22\) and displays good sensitivity levels to change and internal consistency in patients with stable and exacerbated COPD in the primary care setting.\(^8,11,23,24\) This correlation between both scales has also been studied in patients with interstitial lung disease.\(^25\) No studies have assessed their correlation and similarity in a population with exacerbated COPD in the hospital setting during a hospital admission for the exacerbation of their respiratory pathology.

Our general objective was to evaluate and compare the psychometric properties of the SGRQ and CAT...
questionnaires in patients with COPD admitted for an exacerbation (CIE 491.2) to two national hospitals.

Secondary objectives:

- Gain knowledge of and compare the characteristics of the population using all of the studied variables (sociodemographic, clinical, psychological and activity index, and HRQL).
- Understand the homogeneity and reliability of the total results of both the SGRQ and CAT questionnaires, as well as between the subgroups of the SGRQ questionnaire (symptoms, activity, and impact) and questions from the CAT.
- Know what population characteristics have a greater association with the total scores obtained in the SGRQ and CAT and determine which questionnaire can best explain a greater variance.

**Methodology**

**Design**

A cross-sectional, observational study of patients admitted to two hospitals with diagnosis of a COPD exacerbation with CIE code 491.2 and who were administered the SGRQ and CAT questionnaires within the first 3 days of hospitalization. Completion of the questionnaires was conducted during the initial assessment of an experimental study with two assignment groups, with analysis before and after the intervention. The subjects were randomly assigned to an experimental group or a comparison group. The intervention consisted of four education and telephone follow-up sessions, with evaluation after 3 months.

**Population and setting**

The Hospital Clinic of Barcelona (HCB; Barcelona-Izquierda, 323,000 inhabitants) and Hospital Universitario General of Castellón (HUGC; Area 2, Department of Health of Castellón, 268,231 inhabitants).

Selection criteria: Patients diagnosed with COPD, based on a history of smoking (active or previous) of at least 20 packs per year, along with the presence of a scarcely reversible airflow obstruction, are defined as a forced expiratory volume ratio on the first second (FEV1)/forced vital capacity (FVC) below 70 after bronchodilation. Patients presented to our emergency service and required admission to the hospital for dyspnea produced by COPD exacerbation with the CIE 491.2 diagnosis code. They participated in the study voluntarily after receiving an explanation and understanding the purpose and interventions of the study.

Exclusion criteria: All patients who could not communicate due to physical or mental disabilities were in an advanced state with a life expectancy of less than 6 months or who fulfilled the inclusion criteria but refused to participate in the study.

The recruitment period was 2 years and 3 months (February 2014 to May 2016) by means of consecutive sampling, with estimated a sample size, per center, based on the annual average of patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria. This produced a sample size of $n = 50$ from HCB and $n = 150$ for HUGC, with an expected loss of 22% based on prior literature.

**Variables**

**Main variables.** The HRQL measured through:

- The SGRQ adapted into Spanish and consisted of 50 items (covering 76 levels) divided into three subscales: symptoms (8 questions), activity (16 questions), and impact (26 questions). All the questions were measured as percentages and means, and each question has an attributed weight. The total score was obtained from the sum of the three categories, ranging from 0 to 100, with the highest scores indicating a worse quality of life. The full scale has a Cronbach’s $\alpha$ of 0.94, with subscale scores of 0.72 for symptoms, 0.89 for activity, and 0.89 for impact.
- The CAT questionnaire consisted of eight questions (cough, phlegm, chest tightness, breathing difficulty during daily life activities, limitation in household activities, confidence to leave the house, sleep, and energy). The range of scores for each element is between 0 and 5, and the total result is the sum of the eight questions. Its Cronbach’s $\alpha$ was 0.88.

**Sociodemographic variables**

Gender, age, and educational level.

**Clinical variables**

The degree of dyspnea was measured with the Medical Research Council (MRC) scale, which has five classification options that range from 0 to 4—ranging from a low to high degree of difficulty in the execution of physical activities. The presence of absence of
coughing, expectoration, fever, the need to sleep in a sitting position, and edema was evaluated. Pain was assessed with a numeric rating scale (NRS) that range from 0 to 10, from least to greatest pain. Variables were obtained through interviews with patients. Co-morbidities were based on a review of the patient’s clinical history (no. of comorbidities), and the Charlson index modified by age, which classifies the degree of comorbidity into three categories (absence 0–1; low 2; high ≥3), was calculated.

**Psychological variables**
Anxiety and depression were assessed with the Hospital Anxiety and Depression scale which consists of 14 questions (seven aspects of anxiety, seven of depression) with four possible answers, with values that ranged from 0 to 3. The final result is the separate sum of questions about anxiety and depression. Results were interpreted in the following manner: 0–7, no case; 8–10, a doubtful case; and >11, a probable case.

**Activity variables**
The variables were evaluated using the Barthel questionnaire on the patient’s dependence on others in the performance of activities of daily living. The metric is composed of 10 Likert-type items. The score categories are as follows: 0–20, total dependence; 21–60, severe dependence; 61–90, moderate dependence; 91–99, scarce dependence; and 100, independence.

**Statistical analysis**
Descriptive analysis of population characteristics was presented as percentages and means \((\times) \pm \) typical standard deviation (SD). Comparative analysis of patients’ characteristics was by centers. For nonparametric data, Fisher’s exact test was used for qualitative data and the Mann–Whitney \(U\)-test was used for quantitative data. For parametric data, the chi-squared test was used for qualitative data and Student’s \(t\)-test was used for quantitative data.

Relationship between the three dimensions of the SGRQ (symptoms, activity, and impact) and the eight questions from the CAT questionnaire and Pearson’s correlation (\(R\)) index was used. To calculate the reliability and internal consistency of these metrics, Cronbach’s index and Cronbach’s \(z\) coefficients at a 95% confidence interval were calculated.

To identify the variables that influence the total scores of the CAT and the SGRQ questionnaires, a univariate analysis was performed with Pearson’s \(R\) index. The variables that were statistically significant \((p < 0.05)\) in both questionnaires were selected as independent variables for a forward stepwise multiple linear regression analysis. The first variable introduced in the model was the variable that had the greatest correlation with the total score of the SGRQ and CAT questionnaires, with the rest of the variables that correlated with the total scores of the questionnaires successively added.

For purposes of interpretation, all \(p\) values were reported, and \(p < 0.05\) was considered statistically significant. Our statistical study used the SPSS software v.23 for Windows.

**Ethical considerations**
This study was approved by the bioethics and research committee at HCB, HUGC, and the Deontological Commission at Universitat Jaume I. Its design and implementation were carried out following the rules specified to the Helsinki Declaration. Data treatment was based on the Organic Law on the Protection of Personal Data, 15/1999 of 13 December. Participant anonymity and data confidentiality were guaranteed. Data were not accessible to individuals outside of the study.

**Results**

**Population characteristics**
A total of 231 patients were admitted for a COPD exacerbation, 77 to HCB, and 154 to HUGC. The population profile was 81.4\% \((n = 188)\) male, with a mean age of 73.3 \(\pm\) 9.3 years and basic studies performed in 47.6\% \((n = 110)\) of the cases.

Clinically, 37.6\% \((n = 85)\) had grade III dyspnea according to the MRC scale, 66.8\% \((n = 157)\) had a cough, 75.3\% \((n = 177)\) had expectoration, 40.9\% \((n = 96)\) had wheezing, and high comorbidity burden was present in 89.6\% \((n = 207)\). Anxiety was present in 18.3\% \((n = 42)\) of patients, and depression was diagnosed in 20.9\% \((n = 48)\). The need for help to carry out basic daily life activities was moderate in 40.3\% \((n = 93)\) of the cases.

The patient profile was homogeneous in variables such as age \((p = 0.189)\), gender \((p = 0.141)\), and expectoration \((p = 0.410)\). The remaining variables studied were different between the hospitals.
### Table 1. Characteristics of the total sample and by hospital.

| Sociodemographic | Total (N = 231) | HCB (n = 77) | HUGC (n = 154) | p   |
|------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|-----|
| **Gender n (%)** |                 |              |                |     |
| Males            | 188 (81.4)      | 66 (85.7)    | 122 (79.2)     | 0.189|
| Females          | 43 (18.6)       | 11 (14.3)    | 32 (20.8)      |     |
| **Age mean ± (SD)** | 73.3 ± 9.3     | 72.4 ± 7.9   | 73.7 ± (9.8)   | 0.141|
| **Level of studies** |            |              |                |     |
| Without studies  | 33 (14.3%)      | 7 (9.1%)     | 26 (16.9%)     | 0.062|
| Basic studies    | 110 (47.6%)     | 35 (45.5%)   | 75 (48.7%)     |     |
| Secondary studies or FP | 65 (28.1%) | 23 (29.9%)   | 42 (27.3%)     |     |
| University studies | 23 (10.0%)     | 12 (15.6%)   | 11 (7.1%)      |     |
| **Clinical variables** |                 |              |                |     |
| Dyspnea (MRC) n (%) |          |              |                |     |
| 0                | 5 (2.2%)        | 2 (2.6%)     | 3 (2.0%)       | <0.001|
| I                | 24 (10.6%)      | 18 (23.4%)   | 6 (4.0%)       |     |
| II               | 69 (30.5%)      | 39 (50.6%)   | 30 (20.1%)     |     |
| III              | 85 (37.6%)      | 18 (23.4%)   | 67 (45.0%)     |     |
| IV               | 43 (19.0%)      | –            | 43 (28.9%)     |     |
| Cough n (%)      | 157 (66.8%)     | 64 (83.1%)   | 93 (60.4%)     | 0.001|
| Expectoration n (%) | 177 (75.3%)   | 62 (80.5%)   | 115 (74.7%)    | 0.410|
| Wheezing n (%)   | 96 (40.9%)      | 22 (28.6%)   | 74 (48.1%)     | 0.005|
| Daytime drowsiness | 53 (22.6%)      | 6 (7.8%)     | 47 (30.5%)     | 0.000|
| Fever n (%)      | 27 (11.5%)      | 3 (3.9%)     | 24 (15.6%)     | 0.009|
| Edema n (%)      | 63 (26.8%)      | 6 (7.8%)     | 57 (37.0%)     | <0.001|
| Need to sleep in sitting position | 93 (39.6%) | 4 (5.2%) | 89 (57.8%) | <0.001|
| Pain (VAS) mean ± (SD) | 0.97 ± 1.9 | 0.09 ± 0.4 | 1.4 ± 2.3 | <0.001|
| No. of comorbidities mean ± (SD) | 3.1 ± 2.1 | 3.6 ± 2.3 | 2.9 ± 2.0 | 0.010|
| Charlson index n (%) |              |              |                |     |
| Absence          | 7 (3.0%)        | 4 (5.2%)     | 3 (2.0%)       | 0.008|
| Low              | 13 (5.6%)       | 9 (11.7%)    | 4 (2.7%)       |     |
| High             | 207 (89.6%)     | 64 (83.1%)   | 143 (95.3%)    |     |
| Psychological variables |          |              |                |     |
| Anxiety n (%)    |                |              |                |     |
| No case          | 136 (59.1%)     | 51 (66.2%)   | 85 (55.5%)     | 0.037|
| Doubtful case    | 52 (22.6%)      | 13 (16.9%)   | 39 (25.5%)     |     |
| Probable case    | 42 (18.3%)      | 13 (16.9%)   | 29 (19.0%)     |     |
| Depression n (%) |                |              |                |     |
| No case          | 129 (56.1%)     | 50 (64.9%)   | 79 (51.6%)     | 0.003|
| Doubtful case    | 53 (23.0%)      | 16 (20.8%)   | 37 (24.2%)     |     |
| Probable case    | 48 (20.9%)      | 11 (14.3%)   | 37 (24.2%)     |     |
| Basic daily life activities |              |              |                |     |
| Barthel's index n (%) |            |              |                |     |
| Total dependence | 8 (3.4%)        | –            | 8 (5.2%)       | <0.001|
| Severe dependence | 33 (14.3%)      | 1 (1.3%)     | 32 (20.8%)     |     |
| Moderate dependence | 93 (40.3%)     | 17 (22.1%)   | 76 (49.4%)     |     |
| Scarce dependence | 12 (5.2%)       | 3 (3.9%)     | 9 (5.8%)       |     |
| Independence     | 85 (36.8%)      | 56 (72.7%)   | 29 (18.8%)     |     |
| HRQL             |                |              |                |     |
| CAT_total mean ± (SD) | 41.9 ± 8.6 | 18.6 ± 7.6 | 23.6 ± 7.6 | <0.001|
| SGRQ_total mean ± (SD) | 50.2 ± 19.6 | 51.2 ± 17.8 | 49.6 ± 20.5 | <0.001|

HCB: Hospital Clinic of Barcelona; HUGC: Hospital Universitario General of Castellón; p: p-value; MRC: Medical Research Council; VAS: visual analogue scale; HRQL: health-related quality of life.

*Fisher’s exact test.

**Pearson’s chi-squared test.

*Student’s t-test.

*Mann–Whitney U-test.
with the most significant ($p < 0.001$) differences being dyspnea, daytime drowsiness, edema, pain, the number of comorbidities, and dependence in the performance of daily activities (Table 1).

**The homogeneity and reliability of the total results of both questionnaires**

Patients presented with greatly affected HRQL, independent of the scale used, despite the significant

differences ($p < 0.01$) between the hospitals (Table 1). There was a significant correlation ($r = 0.614; p < 0.01$) between the total scores of the CAT and SGRQ questionnaires, as seen in the dispersion diagram and Table 2. This strong correlation ($p < 0.01$) was also noted between all of the questions from the CAT questionnaire and the subscales (symptoms, activity, impact) of the SGRQ questionnaire, except for the presence of a cough in the CAT questionnaire with the activity subscale of the SGRQ ($r = 0.124, p > 0.05$; Table 3).

The correlation between population characteristics and total SGRQ and CAT scores

Regarding the internal consistency and reliability of the scales, both had Cronbach’s $x$ coefficients above 0.8 (CAT 0.827 vs. SGRQ 0.836; Table 2).

With respect to the relationship between the total scores of the CAT and SGRQ with sample characteristics, Pearson’s correlation coefficients showed a significant correlation ($p < 0.01$) between both questionnaires with the presence of dyspnea (CAT $r = 0.548, p < 0.01$ vs. SGRQ $r = 0.419, p < 0.01$), wheezing (CAT $r = 0.247, p < 0.01$ vs. SGRQ $r = 0.270, p < 0.01$), daytime drowsiness (CAT $r = 0.188, p < 0.01$ vs. SGRQ $r = 0.270, p < 0.01$), anxiety (CAT $r = 0.450, p < 0.01$ vs. SGRQ $r = 0.549, p < 0.01$), depression (CAT $r = 0.456, p < 0.01$ vs. SGRQ $r = 0.571, p < 0.01$), and increased dependence on others in the performance of activities of daily life (CAT $r = 0.450, p < 0.01$ vs. SGRQ $r = 0.405, p < 0.01$). Other variables, such as the presence of edema, had greater correlation with the SGRQ ($r = 0.419, p < 0.01$) than the CAT ($r = 0.197, p < 0.05$). The need to sleep in a sitting position had inverse results with the CAT ($r = 0.249, p < 0.01$) vs. the SGRQ ($r = 0.156, p < 0.05$). However, pain was significantly correlated only with the SGRQ score ($r = 0.248, p < 0.01$; Table 4).

To best determine which questionnaire can best predict changes in variables related to HRQL, a multiple linear regression analysis was performed, introducing into the regression model those variables that had a significant correlation $p < 0.01$ with both questionnaires (dyspnea, wheezing, daytime drowsiness, anxiety, depression, and dependence in performing daily life activities). Three variables were obtained from the CAT questionnaire that could predict HRQL, with dyspnea, anxiety, and depression explaining 39.4% of the variance. The SGRQ had five variables that could predict HRQL, with the wheezing, the need to sleep in a sitting position, anxiety, depression, and dependence in the execution of activities of daily living able to explain 43.5% of the variance (Table 5).

Discussion

Based on our results, both questionnaires are similar based on their psychometric properties. The SGRQ is more precise than the CAT in the measurement of HRQL in exacerbated patients in the hospital settings. However, both questionnaires have high levels of consistency for use in this type of population.

The sociodemographic profile of the sample was similar in both centers and similar to data presented in epidemiological work in Spain or internationally. Differences close to significance existed in aspects such as educational level, where one of the centers had a greater number of patients with university degrees, which could justify the lower HRQL of patients from the HUGC.

Despite the homogeneity of the inclusion criteria of both centers, patients had a higher degree of dyspnea, expectoration, wheezing, daytime drowsiness, fever, edema, the need to sleep in a sitting position, the pain, number of comorbidities, anxiety, depression, and dependence in the performance of daily activities in the HUGC versus the HCB.

Both HRQL evaluation scales identified great affection of HRQL in COPD patients, results evidenced through three questionnaires in the Wacker study. Our data are therefore in line with studies that state that hospital admission and its duration are associated with a reduced quality of life in patients with COPD and greatly impact the patient’s HRQL. Nonetheless, the degree of this impact varied based on the questionnaire used and the center, regardless of the initial clinical differences. The CAT questionnaire scores were higher in the hospital whose patients had the worst health statuses.

Both the SGRQ and CAT questionnaires have a degree of internal consistency above 0.7, which is similar to the review published by Weldam (9) on the attributes of the questionnaires designed for HRQL assessment in patients with respiratory problems, or with other studies that have measured this item in patients with stable COPD. SGRQ had the highest levels of internal consistency in unstable COPD population COPD with requirement of hospital admission; these results were also identified in patients with stable COPD.
A correlation between the results of both questionnaires has been previously evaluated, with values that range from 0.72 to 0.84,8,11,15 which have even achieved a correlation between the SGRQ subscales with the total results of the CAT.25 However, the study by Morishita-Katsu (21) with an outpatient population calculated a correlation of 0.668.

All the variables that were statistically significant in the CAT questionnaire were significant in the SGRQ, indicating that HRQL was related to variables, such as dyspnea,37,40–43 depression,43–45 and degree of immobility46,47 with a high level of significance and support from prior scientific literature. However, age was identified only by the SGRQ as a predictor of changes in HRQL, and the results are supported by prior scientific evidence.36,37,43 Pain had a statistical relationship only with the SGRQ scale, and it was associated with a worse perception of HRQL and higher levels of perceived health.43 This observation could be explained by the existence of an item that evaluates pain in the SGRQ questionnaire, which was not present in the CAT questionnaire.

In contrast, other variables such as age36,39,48–50 and the number and type of comorbidities24,51 were not related to HRQL, standing against other studies that do establish a relationship between them.

The multiple regression analysis revealed that only 39.4% of the variance of the CAT scores were explained by dyspnea, anxiety, and depression, results that would indicate the existence of other unmeasured factors that would explain the CAT scores, like lung function.47,49 The SGRQ revealed a greater explanation of variance, with 43.5% explained by a higher number of variables, including wheezing, the need to sleep in sitting position, anxiety, depression, and dependence. A similar result occurs in a population with stable COPD, where the SGRQ can better predict HRQL (63%) compared with the CAT (37%).21

Our results therefore support prior research that concludes that the most suitable questionnaires for assessing HRQL in patients with COPD are those created specifically for patients with respiratory pathology, given that they are not sensitive enough to detecting changes in patient’s health status.51

This study is part of a broader evaluation of a structured therapeutic education program, whose principal objective is not to compare both HRQL measurement scales. Due to this, the time needed to complete the questionnaire has not been evaluated and a test–retest has not been performed on the same population to confirm the temporal stability of both questionnaires. Our data collection method, which consists of a self-administered modality vs. interview modality, has not been considered. While both questionnaires are reliable in both modalities, these have not been analyzed in patients with exacerbated COPD in the hospital settings.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the CAT questionnaire has psychometric properties similar to those of the SGRQ questionnaire, their internal consistency is similar, and there is a strong correlation between both metrics. Hence, the CAT questionnaire is a good assessment tool for HRQL in exacerbated patients with COPD in the hospital settings, when time is limited. Although the questionnaires are similar, in our regression analysis, the SGRQ questionnaire was better able to predict changes in HRQL with a higher number of variables. The CAT questionnaire may differ from the SGRQ in the measurement of HRQL because of the multiple circumstances that alter HRQL in exacerbated patients in the hospital settings. Measurement may therefore be more precise with the SGRQ questionnaire.
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