Chapter 7

19F MRI Probes with Tunable Chemical Switches

Kazuya Kikuchi and Tatsuya Nakamura

7.1 Magnetic Resonance Imaging

MRI is the imaging technique based on nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) phenomena. MRI offers high resolution, deep tissue imaging, and no radiation exposure (Louie et al. 2000). To acquire high contrast images, contrast agents such as Gd\(^{3+}\) complexes and superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticle (SPIO) are widely used in the field of clinical and research (Fig. 7.1) (Lee et al. 2008). Gd\(^{3+}\) complexes shorten the longitudinal relaxation time \((T_1)\), results in enhancement of MRI signals. SPIO shorten the transverse relaxation time \((T_2)\), results in attenuation of MRI signal intensities. Figure 7.2 shows the switching OFF/ON type probes based on Gd\(^{3+}\) complexes and SPIO (Perez et al. 2002). However, 1H MRI often suffers from high background signals derived from water and lipid etc. Therefore, there is a limitation of monitoring of biological signals.

Recently, heteronuclear MRI has been attracted considerable attentions as the alternative 1H MRI. Several non proton MRI such as \(^{13}\)C, \(^{15}\)N, \(^{19}\)F, \(^{29}\)Si, \(^{31}\)P, and \(^{129}\)Xe has been utilized in biological analysis (Table 7.1) (Cassidy et al. 2013). Among these non proton MRI, 19F MRI has considerable attentions, because fluorine has a 100% natural abundance and a high gyromagnetic ratio (Ahrens et al. 2005). In our bodies, there are a large amount of fluorine atoms in bones and teeth and almost no fluorine atoms in tissues. However, these fluorine atoms are immobilized in a solid state, exhibits very short \(T_2\) which results in invisible MRI. Therefore, the 19F MRI can acquire the image without the background signals.
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Toward this ends, $^{19}$F MRI contrast agents (always ON type probes) have been utilized in visualization of foci, and cell tracker (Ahrens et al. 2005; Thurecht et al. 2010; Srinivas et al. 2007). In particular, perfluorocarbon (PFC) encapsulated nano-emulsions have attracted significant attention as highly sensitive $^{19}$F MRI contrast agents.

**Table 7.1** NMR observable nucleus and the sensitivity

| Nuclei | Resonant frequency (MHz·T$^{-1}$) | Relative sensitivity | Natural abundance (%) | NMR sensitivity |
|--------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| $^1$H  | 42.58                             | 1                    | 99.985                | 1              |
| $^{13}$C | 10.71                           | 1.59 × 10$^{-2}$     | 1.108                 | 1.76 × 10$^{-4}$|
| $^{15}$N | 4.31                             | 1.04 × 10$^{-3}$     | 0.37                  | 3.85 × 10$^{-6}$|
| $^{19}$F | 40.05                           | 8.33 × 10$^{-1}$     | 100                   | 8.33 × 10$^{-1}$|
| $^{29}$Si | 8.46                            | 7.84 × 10$^{-3}$     | 4.70                  | 3.69 × 10$^{-4}$|
| $^{31}$P | 17.24                           | 6.63 × 10$^{-2}$     | 100                   | 6.63 × 10$^{-2}$|
| $^{129}$Xe | 11.78                          | 2.12 × 10$^{-2}$     | 26.4                  | 5.60 × 10$^{-3}$|

Toward this ends, $^{19}$F MRI contrast agents (always ON type probes) have been utilized in visualization of foci, and cell tracker (Ahrens et al. 2005; Thurecht et al. 2010; Srinivas et al. 2007). In particular, perfluorocarbon (PFC) encapsulated nano-emulsions have attracted significant attention as highly sensitive $^{19}$F MRI contrast agents.
agents (Srinivas et al. 2010), and have been utilized as a cell tracker, and oxygen delivery. Recently, several activatable 19F MRI probes (switching OFF/ON type probes) have also been developed. However, there are only a few examples of in vivo applications owing to the low sensitivity of such probes.

### 7.2 Perfluorocarbon Encapsulated in Silica Nanoparticle (FLAME)

In the author’s research group, novel unique shape nanomaterials, which are perfluoro-15-crown-5 ether (PFCE)-encapsulated silica nanoparticles, FLAMEs (FL-uorine A-ccumulated silica nanoparticle for M-RI contrast E-nhancement), were developed (Fig. 7.3) (Matsushita et al. 2014). FLAMEs are composed of a liquid PFCE, which shows the high molecular mobility to achieve the long $T_2$, and a silica shell, which can be easily surface-modified for various functionalization. Although Ahrens et al. reported lipid-based PFCE nanoemulsions as 19F MRI contrast agents for immune cell tracking (Ahrens et al. 2005; Srinivas et al. 2007), the chemical modification of the lipid emulsion surface is limited due to the unstability in organic solvents. In contrast, the silica shell fulfills the many demands such as high hydrophilicity, high stability in both aqueous and organic solutions, and chemically surface-modifiable property. In fact, various surface functionalization of FLAMEs was achieved and the functionalized FLAMEs were useful for monitoring a reporter protein expression in living cells and in vivo detection of a tumor. These biological applications represent only a fraction of the forthcoming applications.

### 7.3 Paramagnetic Relaxation Enhancement (PRE) Effect

There are three types of paramagnetic effects: paramagnetic relaxation enhancement (PRE) effect, pseudocontact shifts (PCSs), and residual dipolar couplings (RDCs) (Clore and Iwahara 2009). Since PCSs and RDCs are observed only in anisotropic electron systems, only PRE is effective in the case of SPIO and Gd$^{3+}$.

![Fig. 7.3 Illustration and transmission electron microscope image of FLAME. The molecular motion of PFC is highly retained and thus the sensitivity of the nanoparticles is high sensitive](image-url)
complexes (Keizer et al. 2007). The PRE decreases the spin-spin relaxation time \( T_2 \) and results in the broadening of the NMR signals and the decrease of the MRI signals. There are two types of the relaxation mechanism of PRE effect. One is PRE through dipole-dipole interaction and the other is PRE through Curie-spin relaxation. The PRE effect of Gd\(^{3+}\) complexes is occurred through dipole-dipole interaction. The transverse \( (\Gamma_2) \) PRE rates of Gd\(^{3+}\) are described by the Solomon–Bloembergen (SB) equations (Solomon 1955; Bloembergen and Morgan 1961; Lipari and Szabo 1982):

\[
\Gamma_2 = \frac{1}{15} \left( \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \right)^2 \gamma_1^2 g^2 \mu_B^2 S(S+1) \left\{ 4J_{SB}(0) + 3J_{SB}(\omega_1) \right\}
\]

where \( \mu_0 \) is the permeability of free space, \( \mu_B \) is the magnetic moment of the free electron, \( \gamma_1 \) the fluorine gyromagnetic ratio, \( g \) is the electron g-factor, \( S \) is the electron spin quantum number, and \( \omega_1/2\pi \) is the Larmor frequency of the fluorine compound. \( J_{SB}(\omega) \) is the spectral density function;

\[
J_{SB}(\omega) = r^{-6} \frac{\tau_C}{1 + (\omega\tau_C)^2}
\]

\( \tau_C \) is the correlation time, defined as \( (\tau_r^{-1} + \tau_s^{-1})^{-1} \). \( \tau_r \) is the rotational correlation time of the molecule, and \( \tau_s \) is the effective electron relaxation time.

In contrast, Curie-spin relaxation arises from dipole-dipole interaction between a observable nuclide and the magnetization of the electron. The PRE effect of SPIOs is governed by Curie-spin relaxations owing to their high magnetic susceptibility. The \( \Gamma_2 \) PRE rates of Curie-spin relaxation are given by (Bertinin et al. 2002):

\[
\Gamma_2 = \frac{1}{5} \left( \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \right)^2 \frac{\omega_1 g^4 \mu_B^4 S^2 (S+1)^2}{(3k_B T)^2 r^6} \left( 4\tau_r + \frac{3\tau_r}{1 + \omega_1^2 \tau_r^2} \right)
\]

where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T \) is temperature.

In both cases, PRE effect is effective over short distance due to its \( r^{-6} \) dependency, where \( r \) is the distance between NMR-observable nuclei and a paramagnetic center. When the \( T_2 \) relaxivity of SPIO is compared with that of Gd\(^{3+}\) complexes, SPIOs have higher \( T_2 \) relaxivity than Gd\(^{3+}\) complexes (Table 7.2). Thus, SPIO is efficient for decreasing the \(^{19}\)F NMR/MRI signals of PFCE near the FLAME core compared with Gd\(^{3+}\) complexes.
PRE effect is effective over short distance due to its $r^{-6}$ dependency, where $r$ is the distance between NMR-observable nuclei and a paramagnetic center (Clore and Iwahara 2009; Iwahara and Clore 2006). The author’s research group has employed PRE effect to develop activatable 19F MRI small molecule probes for detection of enzyme activity (Mizukami et al. 2008). The probes consist of fluorine compound, enzyme substrate, and Gd$^{3+}$ complex. Gd$^{3+}$ complex was conjugated with fluorine compounds through enzyme substrate. The distance between fluorine compound and Gd$^{3+}$ complex was approximately 2.2 nm, determined by molecular mechanic method. Since PRE effect is effective at such close distance, 19F NMR/MRI signal of the probes were decreased. Upon addition of enzyme, Gd$^{3+}$ complexes were away from fluorine compounds, which results in high 19F NMR/MRI signal enhancements.

In the case of FLAME, most of PFCE compounds are more than 50 Å away from the surface-modified Gd$^{3+}$ complexes due to the thickness of the silica shell. Thus, it was assumed that the PRE effect might not sufficiently attenuate the 19F NMR/MRI signals of FLAME.

The authors first confirmed whether the PRE of the Gd$^{3+}$ complexes on the FLAME surface was effective. Different concentration of Gd$^{3+}$ diethylenetriaminepentaacetate (DTPA) complexes were attached to FLAME-
DTPA-Gd1–2 (Scheme 7.1). The $^{19}$F NMR spectrum of FLAME-DTPA without Gd$^{3+}$ exhibited a sharp, single peak ($T_2 = 420$ ms). Meanwhile, that of FLAME-DTPA-Gd became a broader peak as Gd$^{3+}$ concentration increased (Fig. 7.4a). The $T_2$ of FLAME-DTPA-Gd$s$ decreased in Gd$^{3+}$ concentration dependent manner ($T_2 = 68, 40$ ms for FLAME-DTPA-Gd1, 2 respectively). Although the $^{19}$F MRI signal of FLAME-DTPA were observed due to the long $T_2$, that of FLAME-DTPA-Gd was decreased with Gd$^{3+}$ concentration increasing (Fig. 7.4b). These results indicated that the $^{19}$F NMR/MRI signals of PFCE in FLAME were affected by the PRE from the surface-modified Gd$^{3+}$ complexes. Therefore, the author expected that activatable $^{19}$F MRI probes with high $^{19}$F MRI signal enhancement would be achieved by introducing a cleavable linker between FLAME and the surface-modified Gd$^{3+}$ complexes.

This result was explained by the molecular mobility on the NMR/MRI measurement time scale. Iwahara et al. reported that the PRE effect was efficient in spite of the long average distance, when NMR-observable nuclei can occasionally enter the effective range of the PRE effect (Lee et al. 2008). The long $T_2$ indicates that the PFCE in FLAME maintains high molecular mobility even in the nanoparticle structure (Matsushita et al. 2014). Although the PFCE at the center of the FLAME core is about 250 Å away from the surface Gd$^{3+}$ complexes (where PRE is not efficient),
the fluorine compounds can access the inner shell of FLAME on the measurement time scale. Near the inner shell, although the contribution of one Gd$^{3+}$ complex to the PRE effect is small, the PRE effect from multiple surface Gd$^{3+}$ complexes is combined, and thus the $T_2$ of PFCE is efficiently decreased (Fig. 7.5). Although Grüll et al. observed the PRE of PFCE in Gd$^{3+}$-modified nanoemulsions, where the distance between the Gd$^{3+}$ complexes and the fluorine core was less than 22 Å (De Vries et al. 2014), we confirmed that the PRE was effective as such distance for the first time.

Next, the authors designed activatable FLAMEs, FLAME-SS-Gd$^{3+}$ (FSG), to image reducing environments. Gd$^{3+}$ complexes were attached to the FLAME surface via disulfide linkers to reduce the $T_2$ of the fluorine compounds by the PRE effect, which attenuates the $^{19}$F NMR/MRI signals (Fig. 7.6). When the disulfide of FSG was reduced, the Gd$^{3+}$ complexes were cleaved from the FLAME surface. Then, the $T_2$ of the encapsulated PFCE would be elongated and the $^{19}$F NMR/MRI signal intensity would increase.

To optimize the amount of Gd$^{3+}$ complexes on the surface of FLAMEs, three types of FSGs with different concentrations of Gd$^{3+}$ were prepared (Scheme 7.2). The synthetic intermediate FLAME-Py was prepared by the reaction of FLAME...
with different amounts of 2-((3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl)dithio)pyridine, isopropanol; (b) Gd-DOTA-SH, MeOH

**Scheme 7.2** Preparation of FLAME-SS-Gd\(^{3+}\) (FSG). (a) 2-((3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl)dithio)pyridine, isopropanol; (b) Gd-DOTA-SH, MeOH

**Table 7.3** Physical properties of FLAME and FSGs

|          | \(\zeta\)-potential/mV | \(n_{19F}\)\(^a\) | \(n_{Gd}\)\(^a\) | \(n_{19F}/n_{Gd}\)^\(^a\) | \(T_{2, TCEP-}/ms\) | \(T_{2, TCEP+}/ms\) |
|----------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| FLAME    | -24.8 ± 1.7            | 1.7 \(\times\) 10\(^6\) | 0               | –                        | 420             | –               |
| FSG1     | -12.6 ± 2.4            | 1.7 \(\times\) 10\(^6\) | 9.1 \(\times\) 10\(^2\) | 1.8 \(\times\) 10\(^3\) | 120             | 383             |
| FSG2     | 3.9 ± 1.4              | 1.7 \(\times\) 10\(^6\) | 2.1 \(\times\) 10\(^3\) | 7.7 \(\times\) 10\(^2\) | 66              | 365             |
| FSG3     | 5.7 ± 1.5              | 1.7 \(\times\) 10\(^6\) | 3.1 \(\times\) 10\(^3\) | 5.3 \(\times\) 10\(^2\) | 27              | 371             |

\(^a\)These values were predicted assuming that FSG has a single size of 53.4 nm (diameter)

\(^b\)Not measured

Next, the number of fluorine atoms and Gd\(^{3+}\) ions per nanoparticle were calculated as \(n_{19F}\) and \(n_{Gd}\), respectively (Table 7.3). The quantity of attached Gd\(^{3+}\) ions was measured by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES), and the amount of the fluorine atoms was quantified by \(^{19}\)F NMR in comparison with that of an internal standard, sodium trifluoroacetate. The average diameter of FLAME was 53.4 nm with a 5 nm-thick silica shell, as measured by transmission electron microscopy. If FLAME has a single size of 53.4 nm, the mole of PFCE per one nanoparticle (\(m_{PFCE}\)) could be calculated as follows:

\[
m_{PFCE} = \frac{w_{PFCE}}{MW_{PFCE}} = \frac{d_{PFCE} \times V_{core}}{MW_{PFCE}} = \frac{d_{PFCE} \times \frac{4}{3} \pi r_{core}^3}{MW_{PFCE}} \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-19} \text{ (mol / particle)}
\]

where \(w_{PFCE}\) is the weight of PFCE in FLAME, \(MW_{PFCE}\) is the molecular weight of PFCE, \(d_{PFCE}\) is the density of PFCE (1.86 g/cm\(^3\)), \(V_{core}\) is the volume of PFCE in FLAME, and \(r_{core}\) is the radius of the FLAME core (21.7 nm). Thus, the number of fluorine atoms per one nanoparticle (\(n_{19F}\)) was calculated as:

\[
n_{19F} = m_{PFCE} \times 20 \times N_A \approx 1.7 \times 10^6 \left(\text{ }^{19}\text{F atom / particle}\right)
\]
where $N_A$ is Avogadro’s constant. Since the amount of the Gd$^{3+}$ ions was measured by ICP-AES, the molar ratio of the Gd$^{3+}$ ions to PFCE for FSG1, FSG2, and FSG3 was calculated to be 0.011, 0.026, and 0.038, respectively. Therefore, the number of Gd$^{3+}$ ions per nanoparticle ($n_{Gd}$) was calculated as:

$$FSG_1 : \frac{m_{Gd^{3+}}}{m_{PFCE}} = 0.011$$

$$n_{Gd} = m_{Gd^{3+}} \times N_A = 0.011 \times m_{PFCE} \times N_A \approx 9.1 \times 10^2 \text{ (particle}^{-1})$$

$$FSG_2 : \frac{m_{Gd^{3+}}}{m_{PFCE}} = 0.026$$

$$n_{Gd} = m_{Gd^{3+}} \times N_A = 0.026 \times m_{PFCE} \times N_A \approx 2.1 \times 10^3 \text{ (particle}^{-1})$$

$$FSG_3 : \frac{m_{Gd^{3+}}}{m_{PFCE}} = 0.038$$

$$n_{Gd} = m_{Gd^{3+}} \times N_A = 0.038 \times m_{PFCE} \times N_A \approx 3.1 \times 10^3 \text{ (particle}^{-1})$$

The $\zeta$-potentials of FSGs gradually shifted towards the positive direction with increasing amounts of surface Gd$^{3+}$ ions (Table 7.3). This was because the slightly electronegative silanol groups on the FLAME surface were decreased owing to the coupling with 2-((3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl)dithio)pyridine. The $n_{Gd}$ and $\zeta$-potential data indicated that different concentrations of Gd$^{3+}$ complexes were successfully introduced on the FLAME surface.

The $^{19}$F NMR spectrum of FLAME without paramagnetic ions exhibited a sharp peak. In contrast, the $^{19}$F NMR peaks of FSGs were decreased and more broad according to the concentration of surface Gd$^{3+}$ on account of the PRE effect (Fig. 7.7a). Although the $^{19}$F NMR of FSG1 exhibited a sharp peak, the $T_2$ of FSG1 (120 ms) was shorter than that of FLAME (420 ms) (Table 7.3). The $T_2$ of FSG2 and FSG3 was 66 ms, 27 ms, respectively. As such, the PRE effect was observed in all FSGs.

$^{19}$F NMR spectra and $T_2$ of FSGs were measured after treatment with a reducing agent, tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) (Fig. 7.7). Addition of TCEP made the $^{19}$F NMR peaks of all FSGs sharper and taller as compared to those before the addition. The $T_2$ values of FSG1–3 were significantly increased upon addition of TCEP within 2 h, and were comparable to that of FLAME. All Gd$^{3+}$ complexes were cleaved upon addition of more than 2 mM TCEP (Fig. 7.7b). The highest $^{19}$F NMR SNR of FSG1–3 was obtained at 2 mM TCEP, and the values were 16.2 for FSG1, 19.5 for FSG2, and 17.9 for FSG3. The signal enhancement factors in response to the reductant were 3.1, 9.7, and 12.7 for FSG1–3, respectively. Thus, FSG3 was the most sensitive $^{19}$F NMR probe in the detection of the reducing environment.

The $^{19}$F NMR signals of the FSGs increased upon addition of other reducing agents such as glutathione, cysteine, and dithiothreitol (Fig. 7.8). In particular, addition of glutathione induced the greatest $^{19}$F NMR signal enhancement. Although there are some concerns about the stability of reduction-triggered nanoparticles in normal tissues, rational optimization of the disulfide linkage will lead to practical in vivo applications.
Fig. 7.7 (a) $^{19}$F NMR spectra of FSGs incubated with or without TCEP. $C_{PFCE}$: 0.6 mM, $C_{TCEP}$: 1.0 mM, incubation time: 4 h, accumulation time: 10 min 55 s. (b) $^{19}$F NMR signal to noise ratio of FSGs in the presence of TCEP (Blue: FSG1, Red: FSG2, Green: FSG3). $C_{PFCE}$: 0.15 mM

Fig. 7.8 $^{19}$F NMR spectra of FSG2 ($C_{PFCE}$ = 0.15 mM) incubated with several thiol-based reducing agents (3 mM). Left to right, control (without reductant), glutathione (GSH), cysteine (Cys), 1,4-dithiothreitol (DTT). The accumulation time was 1 min 22 s. Incubation time was 4 h
Finally, $^{19}$F MR phantom images of FSGs solutions with or without TCEP were obtained by varying $T_{E,eff}$. In general, the MRI signal of the long $T_2$ component is well observed at both short and long $T_{E,eff}$. In contrast, the MRI signal of samples with moderately short $T_2$ is only visible at short $T_{E,eff}$, and that of the extremely short $T_2$ component is not observed even at short $T_{E,eff}$. As expected from the $^{19}$F NMR results, almost no $^{19}$F MRI signals of FSG2 and FSG3 were detected without TCEP at any $T_{E,eff}$ due to the strong PRE effect (Fig. 7.9a, b). In contrast, the $^{19}$F MRI signals of FSG1 were observed at $T_{E,eff} \leq 84$ ms because of the moderately short $T_2$. However, the measurement of FSG1 without TCEP at $T_{E,eff} \geq 108$ ms extinguished the undesired $^{19}$F MRI signals. Reductive reactions induced a noticeable $^{19}$F MRI signal enhancement in FSG1–3 at any $T_{E,eff}$ (filled circles). At $T_{E,eff} = 12$ ms, approximately 60- and 40-fold increases were observed in FSG2 and FSG3, respectively. Although the signal the enhancement of FSG1 was only two-fold at $T_{E,eff} = 12$ ms, a 50-fold increase was observed at $T_{E,eff} = 108$ ms. These results indicated that FSG2 was the most effective probe for detecting reducing environments. One of the advantages of FSGs is the high sensitivity, because the $^{19}$F NMR/MRI signals of $1.7 \times 10^6$ fluorine atoms in the core were decreased by ca. $1.0 \times 10^3$ Gd$^{3+}$ complexes on the
FLAME surface. The ratios of fluorine atoms to Gd\(^{3+}\) complexes (Table 7.1) are the highest among known PRE-based probes, of which the ratios were single digits. This high ratio led to the high signal amplification.
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