Obstetric Nursing in best practices of labor and delivery care

Enfermagem Obstétrica nas boas práticas da assistência ao parto e nascimento
Enfermería Obstétrica en las buenas prácticas de la asistencia al parto y el nacimiento

ABSTRACT
Objective: to evaluate the association of Obstetric Nursing in the best practices of delivery and birth care in maternity hospitals. Method: a cross-sectional study, with 666 women selected for delivery. Parturition obstetric practices performed by professionals were categorized into: clearly useful practices that should be encouraged, practices that are clearly harmful or ineffective and that should be eliminated and practices used inappropriately at the time of parturition. Results: clearly useful practices were used in greater proportions in the hospitals that had Obstetric Nursing working, while clearly harmful practices and those used inappropriately were practiced in smaller proportions in hospitals that had Obstetric Nursing, both with statistical difference. Conclusion: institutions with Obstetric Nursing adopt better practices of delivery and birth care, based on scientific evidence, when compared to those that do not act.

Descriptors: Obstetric Nursing; Labor, Obstetrics; Parturition; Humanized Delivery; Obstetrics; Birth.

RESUMO
Objetivo: avaliar a associação da Enfermagem Obstétrica nas boas práticas da assistência ao parto e nascimento em maternidades. Método: estudo transversal, com 666 mulheres selecionadas por ocasião da realização de parto. As práticas obstétricas realizadas pelos profissionais que assistiam o processo de parturência foram categorizadas em: práticas claramente úteis e que devem ser estimuladas, práticas claramente prejudiciais ou ineficazes e que devem ser eliminadas, práticas usadas de modo inapropriado no momento de parturência. Resultados: práticas claramente úteis foram utilizadas em maiores proporções nos hospitais que possuíam a Enfermagem Obstétrica atuante, enquanto práticas claramente prejudiciais e aquelas usadas de modo inapropriado foram praticadas em menores proporções em hospitais que possuíam a Enfermagem Obstétrica, ambas com diferença estatística. Conclusão: instituições com Enfermagem Obstétrica adotam melhores práticas de atenção ao parto e nascimento, baseadas em evidências científicas, quando comparadas às que ela não atua.

Descritores: Enfermagem Obstétrica; Trabalho de Parto; Parto; Parto Humanizado; Obstetrícia; Nascimento.

RESUMEN
Objetivo: evaluar la asociación de la Enfermería Obstétrica en las buenas prácticas de la asistencia al parto y el nacimiento en maternidades. Método: estudio transversal, con 666 mujeres seleccionadas por ocasión de la realización del parto. Las prácticas obstétricas realizadas por los profesionales que asistían al proceso de parturición se clasificaron en: prácticas claramente útiles y que deben ser estimuladas, prácticas claramente perjudiciales o ineficaces que deben ser eliminadas, y prácticas utilizadas de manera inapropiada en el momento de parturición. Resultados: las prácticas claramente útiles fueron utilizadas en mayores proporciones en los hospitales que poseían la Enfermería Obstétrica actuante, mientras prácticas claramente perjudiciales y aquellas usadas de modo inapropiado fueron practicadas en menores proporciones en hospitales que poseían la Enfermería Obstétrica, ambas con diferencia estadística. Conclusión: las instituciones con Enfermería Obstétrica adoptan mejores prácticas de atención al parto y nacimiento, basadas en evidencias científicas, cuando comparadas a las que no actúa.

Descriptores: Enfermería Obstétrica; Trabajo de Parto; Parto; Parto Humanizado; Obstetricia; Nacimiento.
INTRODUCTION

Delivery and birth forms the life cycle of many women, and for many years it has been a personal and private event shared with other women, their relatives and midwives. These, considered reliable people for the pregnant women or with recognized experience in the community, performed delivery care from the popular knowledge and experience constructed within their communities[4].

Over time, the scientific transformations in the ways of life have consolidated values that favor the strong technologies, the economic benefit, and the biological science influenced in a significant way the care provided to women during birth. The technocratic care model based on the body’s conception as a machine for women as an object and of delivery as a dangerous event was consolidated, replacing the popular care provided to women[2].

The technocratic model implied the institutionalization of delivery and birth in hospitals, consolidating a set of standardized obstetric practices, with the primacy of technology over human relations[9]. Such interventionist practices have made the obstetric context often a setting of violence and, when performed without scientific criteria or indication, may increase the risk of post-delivery complications[4]. These culminated in a situation called “Perinatal Paradox”, which describes the maintenance of high rates of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality, related to poor quality of care and use of obsolete and iatrogenic practices[5].

Reflections of abusive interventions have crossed the centuries and, currently, Brazil’s position as one of the countries with the highest cesarean rates represents, clearly, this process of medicalization. In view of the increasing rate of cesarean delivery in Brazil since the 1980s, it is possible to characterize labor (LA) and delivery care as excessively interventionist and consider it one of the main complication points in delivery. In 2016, cesarean accounted for 88% of birth certificates in private services and 46% in the Brazilian Unified Health System (SUS – Sistema Único de Saúde), reaching an average rate of 52%[6]. This rate is much higher than the 15% ceiling recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO)[7].

The risk of complications intrinsic to this alarming number of cesareans, especially those performed without indication, contributes to the increase in maternal mortality rates. Evidence shows that cesareans, even though they are elective, they are also associated with a higher prevalence of post-delivery antibiotic use and an increase in preterm births[8]. Moreover, causalities of high rates of cesarean sections represent a significant additional expense for health systems that are already overburdened and often weakened[9].

From the 1980s, the understanding of irrational use of these technologies, as pointed out by international social movements and health institutions, guided the construction of new policies in which the insertion of Obstetric Nursing was thought as a power for the transformation of the delivery care model. Obstetric Nursing in hospital institutions, in fact, represented a redirection to a woman-centered model of care, in its protagonism and autonomy, as well as in obstetric practice based on scientific evidence[9,10].

In this context, WHO has issued recommendations on patterns of treatment and care related to pregnant women in order to reduce unnecessary interventions. These practices were subsequently ratified by the Ministry of Health (MoH) and are known as best practices in care for vaginal delivery. They are based on scientific evidence and are one of the most important milestones in the transition to the Brazilian obstetric care model[10].

Best practices of care for vaginal delivery were classified in order to guide the professional’s behavior: clearly useful practices that should be encouraged; Practices that are clearly harmful or ineffective and should be eliminated; and inappropriately used practices at the time of LA and delivery. In Brazil, best practices during LA occurred in less than 50% of women in 2014, with worse rates for the North, Northeast and Center-West regions[11].

Recent publications from WHO[12] and MoH[13], in order to synthesize available scientific information on delivery and birth care, confirm the concern of these organs with the global obstetric setting. Despite efforts and incentives to use best practice, unnecessary interventions are still very frequent and, as a consequence, maternal mortality rates remain high[14]. In Brazil, in 2012, 1,552 maternal deaths were recorded per 100,000 live births, and in the Southeast the rate was found in 540 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births. In Minas Gerais, 111 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births were recorded[15].

Delivery care, therefore, must be safe and linked to the scientific evidence, in order to encourage the autonomy of women and give a new meaning to this unique moment of parturition for women and professionals who live it[16]. There are few nursing studies about best practices for vaginal delivery associated with the presence of Obstetric Nursing and the hospital care model. This work may therefore indicate ways to improve the model of obstetric care in the city of Belo Horizonte, based on the reflection on the subjects who participate in these practices, as well as practices during LA, delivery, and birth.

OBJECTIVE

To evaluate the association of Obstetric Nursing in best practices of delivery and birth care in public and private maternity hospitals in the city of Belo Horizonte.

METHOD

Ethical aspects

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais and by the Ethics Committees of the maternity hospitals involved. The collection of data was initiated after the parturients’ signature was obtained through the Free and Informed Consent Form.

Design, place of study and period

It is an observational study with a cross-sectional design, developed with research data: “Birth in Belo Horizonte – National Enquiry into Labour and Birth”, carried out in 7 maternities that meet the public health network and in 4 maternity hospitals that meet the supplementary health network of Belo Horizonte - Minas Gerais.

The survey “Birth in Belo Horizonte - National Inquiry into Labor and Birth” used the same method of sampling, logistics and material resources of the Brazilian study entitled “Birth in Brazil – National Enquiry into Labour and Birth”[17,18].
Sample

In this study, 666 women admitted to maternity units selected for delivery and who started LA (induced or not), regardless of the birth route.

The data collection took place from November 2011 to March 2013, through an interview with puerperae, at least 6 hours after delivery. This time was pre-established as the minimum interval defined for post-delivery rest and by means of investigation in their medical records. This step was performed with the aid of a standardized questionnaire with sociodemographic identification variables, clinical history, as well as other variables.

Study protocol

The obstetric practices performed by the professionals who assisted LA and delivery were created following recommendations from WHO(19) and MoH(6) and were organized into three categories for the purpose of this study: clearly useful practices which should be encouraged (offering of diet, freedom of movement and movement, presence of companion, use of partograph and non-pharmacological methods (NPM) for pain relief); practices which are clearly harmful or ineffective and which should be (enema, tricotomy, “lying on the back with legs raised” position at the time of Kristeller’s delivery and maneuver); and practices used inappropriately at the time of LA and delivery (amniotomy, oxytocin infusion, analgesia, and episiotomy)(3).

For this study, the exhibition counted on three categories following the delivery care models prevalent in the institutions: presence of Obstetric Nursing working in direct care for LA; presence of Obstetric Nursing in the institution (but not directly acting in the work of LA); and hospitals without the presence of Obstetric Nursing.

Analysis of results and statistics

For the analysis of the data, the statistical package Statistical Software for Professional (Stata), version 14.0 was used.

The population description of this study was performed and the estimates were presented in proportions (%), with their respective Confidence Intervals (95% CI). For the quantitative variables, after asymmetry was verified by the Shapiro-Wilk Test, data were presented by means of median and interquartile range (IQR).

In order to verify the difference in the proportions between obstetric practices performed by professionals serving LA and delivery, and different delivery care models, the Pearson’s Chi-Square Test or Fisher’s Exact Test. In the variables presenting a statistical difference, a Bonferroni correction analysis was performed in order to avoid type I errors derived from multiple comparisons. The analyzes were performed and stratified according to the final birth route. A significance level of 5% was considered in all analytical procedures.

It should be emphasized that the sample number of the variables may be different in certain categories resulting from the LA evolution.

RESULTS

The median age of the sample of the 666 women in this study was 26 years (IQ = 21-31 years), with a predominance of self-reported brown color (68.17%), women not engaged in paid work (53.30%) had high school (59.05%) and had a stable union (66.97%) (Table 1).

Table 1 – Sample profile, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, 2011 – 2013

| Category                  | n(%)      | 95% CI          |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Age*                      | 26 (21 - 31) |                |
| Color                     |           |                 |
| White                     | 1503 (22.52) | 19.50 – 25.86   |
| Black                     | 62 (9.31)  | 7.32 – 11.77    |
| Brown*                    | 454 (68.17) | 64.52 – 71.60   |
| Paid occupation           |           |                 |
| Yes                       | 311 (46.70) | 42.92 – 50.50   |
| No                        | 355 (53.30) | 49.49 – 57.07   |
| Schooling level           |           |                 |
| None and Elementary School| 219 (32.93) | 29.45 – 36.60   |
| High School               | 386 (58.05) | 54.24 – 61.75   |
| Higher Education          | 60 (9.02)  | 7.06 – 11.45    |
| Marital status            |           |                 |
| Stable union              | 446 (66.97) | 63.29 – 70.44   |
| Without partner           | 220 (33.03) | 29.55 – 36.70   |

Note: *Median (Interquartile Interval); *Include: Mulatto, brunette, yellow, and indigenous.

Figure 1 shows the distribution of the LA outcomes in relation to the birth pathway and the obstetric model present in hospital institutions. Of the 666 women who started LA, 66 (9.91%)
progressed to cesarean section, of which: 22 (33.33%) were in hospitals where Obstetric Nursing was active, 20 (30.30%) in hospitals that had presence of Obstetric Nursing (but without direct action in LA and delivery) and 24 (36.36%) were in a hospital with absence of Obstetric Nursing. No statistical difference was observed in the groups in relation to the birth pathway, however, p value is at the critical level, demonstrating that, with the sample increase, there could be a statistical difference.

Obstetric practices during LA and delivery in women who progressed to the vaginal birth route can be observed in Table 2. Regarding the clearly useful practices that should be encouraged, the highest proportions of women for whom diet was offered during LA, freedom of movement and position were encouraged. Partograph and NPM were used to relieve pain during LA, and were located in hospitals that had Obstetric Nursing, with a statistical difference in all variables (Table 2).

Table 2 shows, in relation to the practices that are clearly harmful or ineffective and that should be eliminated, smaller proportions for the practices of enema and tricotomy in the hospitals that had Obstetric Nursing, in relation to the hospitals that did not have this professional, with statistical difference. Regarding the practice of keeping the pregnant woman in a position “lying on the back with legs raised”, the presence of Obstetric Nursing in the hospital was associated with lower proportions, statistically significant.

Table 2 - Obstetric practices during labor and delivery in relation to Obstetric Nursing for women who had their children through the vaginal route, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil 2011 to 2013

| Practices that are clearly useful and should be encouraged | Hospital with presence of obstetric nurse | p value |
|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|
| Diet offer                                                 | Active (A) | Presence (B) | No (C) |
| No                                                        | 106 (26.84) | 83 (21.01) | 206 (52.15) |
| Yes                                                       | 123 (68.33) | 19 (10.56) | 38 (21.11) |
| Freedom of movement and position                           | No (A)     | Presence (B) | No (C) |
| No                                                        | 9 (10.11) | 16 (17.98) | 64 (71.91) |
| Yes                                                       | 184 (52.12) | 60 (17.00) | 109 (30.88) |
| Accompanying person                                        | No (A)     | Presence (B) | No (C) |
| No                                                        | 11 (55.00) | 2 (10.00) | 7 (35.00) |
| Yes                                                       | 230 (39.66) | 106 (18.28) | 244 (42.07) |
| Partograph                                                 | No (A)     | Presence (B) | No (C) |
| No                                                        | 53 (25.85) | 53 (25.85) | 99 (48.29) |
| Yes                                                       | 181 (49.45) | 55 (15.03) | 130 (35.52) |
| NPM for pain relief                                        | No (A)     | Presence (B) | No (C) |
| No                                                        | 57 (22.89) | 50 (20.08) | 142 (57.03) |
| Yes                                                       | 173 (52.58) | 54 (16.41) | 102 (31.00) |
| Practices that are clearly harmful or ineffective and should be eliminated |
| Enema                                                      | No (A)     | Presence (B) | No (C) |
| No                                                        | 234 (41.34) | 108 (19.08) | 224 (39.58) |
| Yes                                                       | 0 | 0 | 5 (100) |
| Tricotomy                                                  | No (A)     | Presence (B) | No (C) |
| No                                                        | 234 (41.34) | 108 (19.08) | 224 (39.58) |
| Yes                                                       | 0 | 0 | 5 (100) |
| “lying on the back with legs raised” position              | No (A)     | Presence (B) | No (C) |
| No                                                        | 72 (83.72) | 5 (5.81) | 9 (10.47) |
| Yes                                                       | 166 (33.27) | 99 (19.84) | 234 (46.89) |
| Kristeller Maneuver                                         | No (A)     | Presence (B) | No (C) |
| No                                                        | 237 (41.43) | 108 (18.88) | 227 (39.69) |
| Yes                                                       | 0 | 0 | 3 (100) |
| Practices inappropriately used at the time of LA and delivery | No (A) | Presence (B) | No (C) |
| Amniotomy                                                  | No (A)     | Presence (B) | No (C) |
| No                                                        | 123 (50.00) | 40 (16.26) | 83 (33.74) |
| Yes                                                       | 45 (38.14) | 26 (22.03) | 47 (39.83) |
| Oxytocin infusion                                          | No (A)     | Presence (B) | No (C) |
| No                                                        | 135 (46.71) | 40 (13.84) | 114 (39.45) |
| Yes                                                       | 99 (35.11) | 68 (24.11) | 115 (40.78) |
| Analgesia                                                  | No (A)     | Presence (B) | No (C) |
| No                                                        | 202 (49.03) | 86 (20.87) | 124 (30.10) |
| Yes                                                       | 32 (20.13) | 22 (13.84) | 105 (66.04) |
| Episiotomy                                                 | No (A)     | Presence (B) | No (C) |
| No                                                        | 217 (51.30) | 57 (13.48) | 149 (35.22) |
| Yes                                                       | 20 (13.16) | 51 (33.55) | 81 (53.29) |

Note: p <0.05 in bold; Fisher’s Exact Test or Pearson’s Chi-Square; NPM - Non-Pharmacological Methods; LA - Labor; similar letters mean similarity between the proportions of the group.
In the practices used inappropriately at the time of LA and delivery, there was Obstetric Nursing in the hospital associated with lower proportions of oxytocin infusion and analgesia use, with a statistically significant difference. In hospitals where Obstetric Nursing was active, there were higher proportions of non-episiotomy compared to the other hospitals with different models of obstetric care, statistically significant (Table 2).

Regarding the obstetric practices during LA and delivery in women who progressed to cesarean section, it was observed for the clearly useful and encouraging practices, greater proportions of diet offering and NPM use for pain relief for women who were in LA in the institutions where the presence of Obstetric Nursing was present, with statistical difference between the groups (Table 3).

### DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that the presence of Obstetric Nursing substantially reduces the rates of interventions performed and that, possibly, their presence is directly related to higher rates of vaginal deliveries. A recent study showed that the presence of Obstetric Nursing in LA care and delivery in maternity hospitals has a positive impact, including the reduction of cesarean sections[20]. The process of performing the delivery offered by Obstetric Nursing is centered on the promotion of practices based on scientific evidence seeking to rescue the protagonism of women in the process of giving birth[21].

Regarding obstetric practices in women who received vaginal delivery, it was observed that “Practices that are clearly useful and should be encouraged” were used in greater proportions in the hospitals that had Obstetric Nursing working. In contrast, “Practices that are clearly harmful or ineffective and should be eliminated” and “inappropriately used practices” were practiced to a lesser extent in hospitals that had Obstetric Nursing. Regarding obstetric practices, in women who progressed to cesarean section in hospitals with active Obstetric Nursing, clearly useful practices were also used in greater proportions. It can be inferred, therefore, that the active presence of Obstetric Nursing at the time of LA and delivery characterizes a more humanized and less interventionist care, emphasizing the safety and well-being of women at parturition[22].

Implanted fasting by professionals may cause unsatisfactory progression of LA leading to unnecessary interventions and possibly resulting in a cesarean section[23]. In parturition, energy expenditure is the equivalent of continuous moderate physical exercise. Therefore, the supply of fluids and foodstuffs orally to the parturient, respecting their desire, besides not interfering in the development of LA and delivery can be beneficial[24].

Regarding the freedom of movement and position, in this study it was observed greater proportions in the presence of Obstetric Nursing. The findings resemble recent studies[3,20-21]. The movement of the parturient during LA increases maternal comfort and facilitates the progression of LA (11), besides reducing LA time and not being associated with the increase of interventions or negative effects on the well-being of mothers and babies[25]. Ambulance in the 1st stage of LA and change of position contribute to an effective relief of contraction pain

### Table 3 - Obstetric practices during labor and delivery in relation to Obstetric Nursing for women who had their children by cesarean section, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil, 2011 to 2013

| Practices that are clearly useful and should be encouraged | Hospital with presence of obstetric nurse | p value |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Diet offer                                                 | Active Presence | Presence | No     |
| No                                                        | A                       | AB       | B               | 0.032 |
| Yes                                                      | 8 (25.00)               | 11 (34.38) | 13 (40.63)       |
| Freedom of movement and position                          |                        |          | 0.289          |
| No                                                        | 2 (20.00)               | 4 (40.00)  | 4 (40.00)       |
| Yes                                                      | 16 (44.44)              | 12 (33.33) | 8 (22.22)       |
| Accompanying person                                       |                        |          | 0.315          |
| No                                                        | 2 (50.00)               | 2 (50.00)  | 0               |
| Yes                                                      | 20 (32.26)              | 18 (29.03) | 24 (38.71)      |
| Partograph                                                |                        |          | 1.000          |
| No                                                        | 3 (50.00)               | 2 (33.33)  | 1 (16.67)       |
| Yes                                                      | 5 (45.45)               | 3 (27.27)  | 3 (27.27)       |
| NPM for pain relief                                       |                        |          | 0.012          |
| No                                                        | A                       | A        | B               |
| Diet offer                                                 | 6 (28.57)               | 4 (19.05)  | 11 (52.38)      |
| Freedom of movement and position                          | 13 (43.33)              | 13 (43.33) | 4 (13.33)       |
| Accompanying person                                       |                        |          | 0.289          |
| No                                                        | 2 (22.22)               | 3 (33.33)  | 4 (44.44)       |
| Yes                                                      | 1 (33.33)               | 2 (66.67)  | 0               |
| Oxytocin infusion                                          |                        |          | 0.574          |
| No                                                        | 1 (11.11)               | 3 (33.33)  | 1 (11.11)       |
| Yes                                                      | 3 (37.50)               | 2 (25.00)  | 3 (37.50)       |
| Analgesia                                                 |                        |          | 0.683          |
| No                                                        | 5 (50.00)               | 2 (20.00)  | 3 (30.00)       |
| Yes                                                      | 3 (42.86)               | 3 (42.86)  | 1 (14.29)       |

Note: p <0.05 in bold, Fisher’s Exact Test or Pearson’s Chi-Square; NPM - Non-Pharmacological Methods; LA - Labor; similar letters mean similarity between the proportions of the group.
and lower rates of cesarean section or use of forceps, as well as reduction of perineal trauma and less blood loss during LA(26).

Regarding the completion of the partograph, this study evidenced that the presence of Obstetric Nursing is associated with greater proportions of the use of this tool. The main objective of the partograph is to provide an overview of LA, to alert the professional about deviations in maternal or fetal well-being and progress in LA(27), besides contributing to an efficient exchange of information among the multiprofessional team(28).

Regarding NPMs for pain relief, this study observed greater proportions in its use, when Obstetric Nursing was active in the institution. A representative study of the Brazilian population showed that one-third of the women received NPM during LA (31.3%), with greater acceptance in women whose deliveries were served by Obstetric Nursing, and twice as likely to be offered in their presence. NPM reduce parturient stress and anxiety levels and promote greater satisfaction(29). It is important to emphasize and value the autonomy of women in LA, since each one faces this phase differently(30).

It is important to emphasize the importance of the companion in the delivery and birth process, a study carried out in southern Brazil, showing that the support of the companion is associated with best practices and movement, able to reduce the pain and duration of LA(31).

Regarding harmful or ineffective delivery care practices, they are still routinely used in many health institutions in Brazil(32). In this study, in the presence of Obstetric Nursing working in the institution, there were lower proportions for use of enema, trichotomy, and “lying on the back with legs raised” position.

Regarding the routine use of enema, the presence of Obstetric Nursing working in the institution was associated with its non-achievement, when compared to institutions that do not have this professional. The use of enemas in women admitted to LA does not have a significant effect on infection rates, besides there is dissatisfaction on the part of women about this practice and therefore it should be discouraged(33).

The presence of Obstetric Nursing in the institution was associated with non-performance of trichotomy when compared to institutions that did not have Obstetric Nursing. In 2014, a systematic review demonstrated that there is insufficient evidence to recommend perineal trichotomy for women on admission to LA(34), since it does not influence better rates of infection, dehiscence of the perineal wound or other neonatal infections(31).

“lying on the back with legs raised” position was observed in smaller proportions when Obstetric Nursing was active in the institution, being more common in the institution where there was no Obstetric Nursing. The use of this practice should be discouraged for practitioners and civil society. Nevertheless, it is known that this position is culturally accepted as the ideal for delivery by women and health professionals(35). Scientific evidence shows that the delivery of upright positions and ambulation in the 1st phase of labor reduce LA time and the need for analgesia. Therefore, the act of verticalizing the woman contributed to increase the oxygenation of the fetus during the expulsive period and also increase the pelvic diameters when squatting or kneeling(35).

Regarding the practices used inappropriately in LA and delivery, the use of analgesia and episiotomy were associated with lower proportions when Obstetric Nursing was present in the institution (in relation to the institution that did not have Obstetric Nursing in its staff). Nonetheless, oxytocin infusion has been shown to be associated with lower proportions when Obstetric Nursing was present, but not active, in the institution - when compared to other care models. It is emphasized that the non-association when the birth route was cesarean derives from the fact that such practices are used in a more advanced period of LA.

A study had already demonstrated that the presence of Obstetric Nursing did not interfere in oxytocin infusion rates(26). This practice, in addition to disrupting the natural course of delivery and freedom of movement of the parturient, is related to a more painful experience in LA and can culminate in an iatrogenic cesarean section - when used indiscriminately(36).

Regarding analgesia, there are lower proportions of pregnant women who received this method of pain relief in the active presence of Obstetric Nursing in the institution - in relation to the institution that did not have it. In this study, there was no separation between the various types of analgesia available in the service. Nevertheless, the literature shows that pregnant women who use epidural analgesia as a form of pain relief are at greater risk of having an instrumental delivery(38). It should also be emphasized that the institutions where Obstetric Nursing was active, adopt a less selective model of analgesia followed by information about the advantages and disadvantages of this procedure. This may have contributed to the decrease in the demand for analgesia in institutions where Obstetric Nursing was active(39).

Of the practices used inappropriately at the time of LA and delivery, episiotomy is one of the procedures that causes more puerperal problems(37). The WHO and MoH discourage the practice of episiotomy and is recommended in a maximum of 15% of cases - because it is a harmful practice in routine use(38).

Study limitations

Some limitations in this study should be recognized. Firstly, it is a cross-sectional study, which makes it impossible to identify the temporality of the associations shown in the results. In this respect, the loss of some data is added, intrinsic to the fact that the data collection was also performed in medical records. However, sensitivity analyzes were carried out, noting that this aspect would not be significantly affecting the estimates, at least as regards the general conclusions.

Contributions to Nursing

Despite these limitations, this work advances in the perspective of analysis of data not yet fully explored on women’s health. The results provide important epidemiological information, emphasizing that in institutions with Obstetric Nursing, even when not active in LA and delivery care, there are better perspectives when compared to institutions where it does not act.

CONCLUSION

It is observed that the introduction of Obstetric Nursing in hospitals increases the use of clearly useful practices, reducing the use of practices that are clearly harmful and inappropriately used when
institutions, specifically in the transformation of the care model already shows evidence that there is an interest of these Brazilian health institutions, their presence in the parturient care because of the difficulty of insertion of these professionals in the safety of humanized care. At the same time, it proposes that the role of managers in the teamwork, or collaborative work, for the comprehensiveness of care. They can positively imply the sense of control of this process and the experience of this particular event.
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