Study on the detectability of gravitational radiation from single-binary encounters between black holes in nuclear star cluster: the case of hyperbolic flybys.
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With the release of the third Gravitational-Wave Transient Catalogue (GWTC-3), 90 observations of compact-binary mergers by Virgo and LIGO detectors are confirmed. Some of these mergers are suspected to have occurred in star clusters. The density of black holes at the cores of these clusters is so high that mergers can occur through a few generations forming increasingly massive black holes. These conditions also make it possible for three black holes to interact, most likely via single–binary encounters. In this paper, we present a first study of how often such encounters can happen in nuclear star clusters (NSCs) as a function of redshift, and whether these encounters are observable by gravitational-wave (GW) detectors. This study focuses on effectively hyperbolic encounters leaving out the resonant encounters. We find that in NSCs single–binary encounters occur rarely compared to binary mergers, and that hyperbolic encounters most likely produce the strongest GW emission below the observation band of terrestrial GW detectors. While several of them can be expected to occur per year with peak energy in the LISA band, their amplitude is low, and detection by LISA seems improbable.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The constant upgrades to current-generation gravitational-wave detectors Advanced Virgo [Virgo Collaboration 2014] and Advanced LIGO [LIGO Scientific Collaboration 2015] and their much improved sensitivities made the detection of GW events a common occurrence. During the last few years, the observation of several tens of GW signals from compact object mergers [LIGO and Virgo Collaboration 2019] has started a new era in GW astronomy. The first observation of a binary neutron star merger also proved the feasibility of joint GW and electromagnetic observations, thus opening the era of multimessenger astronomy [LIGO and Virgo Collaboration 2017a,b].

With the successful outcome of current-generation detectors, the GW community is planning the construction of next-generation detectors, such as Einstein Telescope (ET) [ET Steering Committee 2020], Punturo et al. [2010] and Cosmic Explorer [Evans et al. 2021]. The improved sensitivity of these ground-based detectors is expected to increase the number of observed events from tens per year to hundreds of thousands per year opening an enormous science case. [Kalogera et al. 2021, Maggiore et al. 2020]. Moreover, the addition of the planned space-based detector LISA [Amaro-Seoane et al. 2017a] together with pulsar-timing arrays [Hobbs et al. 2010] and possibly with decihertz, Moon-based GW detectors [Harms et al. 2021, Jani & Loeb 2021] will enable the scientific community to cover the GW spectrum from nanohertz to kilohertz.

Both the positive results of current GW astronomy and the perspective of more sensitive future detectors, which will broaden the accessible frequency band of the GW spectrum, prompted a series of studies aimed at establishing the possibility of detecting GWs from non-canonical sources (i.e., different from compact object mergers, continuous waves and a stochastic background). Among these sources are hyperbolic encounters between compact objects, black holes (BHs) in particular. This kind of interactions on unbound orbits between isolated BHs have already been the subject of studies with the scope of providing both the analytical [Capozziello et al. 2008, Cho et al. 2018, De Vittori et al. 2014, Garcia-Bellido & Nesseris 2018, Majar et al. 2010, Morrás et al. 2022, Mukherjee et al. 2021] and numerical [Damour et al. 2014, Nagar et al. 2021] tools to determine the GW emission of a fly-by or of a dynamical capture. Dynamical friction in star clusters (SCs) causes the segregation of BHs, and the very high densities reached in the SC core triggers both the formation of binary black holes (BBHs; see, e.g., [Mapelli 2020] for a review) and close encounters with other stars and BHs [Agra-Sejda et al. 2018, Arca Sedda et al. 2020, Askar et al. 2017, Banerjee 2018, Banerjee et al. 2010, Fragione et al. 2019, Fragione & Kocsis 2018, Frigione et al. 2022, Kamai et al. 2022, Kremer et al. 2019, Mapelli 2016, Mapelli et al. 2013, 2021, O’Leary et al. 2009, Rastello et al. 2021, Rizzuto et al. 2022, Rodriguez et al. 2016, 2015, 2019, Samsing et al. 2017].
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Introduction

Binary black holes (BBHs) are predicted to be significant contributors to the gravitational wave (GW) signal detected by current and future gravitational wave detectors, such as LIGO and Virgo. The rate of GW events due to BBHs can be estimated through the theory of hyperbolic encounters, which is the dominant way in which BBHs form in dense stellar environments.

In this paper, we propose a numerical method to estimate the GW emission and the rate of BBH-BH encounters. The results are presented and discussed in Section III. A short summary concludes the paper in Section IV.

II. ASTROPHYSICAL BACKGROUND

Initially, following the work by Spitzer Jr [1969], globular clusters (GC) were not thought to retain a significant number of BHs because of the much higher mass of BHs compared to typical stars, the BHs would quickly mass segregate to form an isolated subcluster that is dynamically decoupled from the GC. Due to the small size, high density, and small number of objects in the subclusters, relaxation and strong encounters were expected to eject the majority of BHs on a timescale of 1 Gyr.

Nevertheless, recent works have changed the picture and now predict that large numbers of BHs can remain bound to star clusters where they interact to form binaries [e.g., Ascar et al. 2019, Breen & Heggie 2013, Di Carlo et al. 2019, Mapelli et al. 2016, Morscher et al. 2015, Rastello et al. 2021, Rodriguez et al. 2015, Ziosi et al. 2014]. In particular, for our case study, we choose to focus on NSCs where mass segregation and the high density reached in their cores favours the formation of BBHs and three-body encounters [Mapelli 2020].

A. Properties of nuclear star clusters

NSCs are the oldest among the different types of clusters, with an estimated age of ~13.6 Gyr [Neumayer et al. 2020], and are defined as extremely dense and massive star clusters occupying the innermost region or nucleus of most galaxies. From an observational point of view, NSCs are identified as luminous and compact sources that clearly stand out above their surroundings [Neumayer et al. 2020]. It has also been argued that, for lower masses, NSCs are formed primarily from GCs that inspiral into the center of the galaxy [Antonini et al. 2012, Capuzzo-Dolcetta 1993, Peffer et al. 2018, Tremaine et al. 1975], while for higher masses star formation within the nucleus forms the bulk of the NSC [Guillard et al. 2016, Hopkins & Quataert 2010a,b, Mapelli et al. 2012].

The two-body relaxation time-scale of NSCs, i.e., the time that a cluster needs to reach thermal equilibrium through two-body encounters, is related to the half-mass radius $r_h$ of the cluster and is defined as [Spitzer 1987]:

$$t_{rh} \approx 4.2 \times 10^9 \left( \frac{15}{\ln \Lambda} \right) \left( \frac{r_h}{4 \text{pc}} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{M_{cl}}{10^7 M_\odot} \right)^{1/2} \text{yr},$$

with $\ln \Lambda \sim 10$ being the Coulomb logarithm and $M_{cl}$ the total mass of the cluster. Even though for the most massive NSCs this time may be higher than the Hubble time, BHs still manage to segregate in the core on a lower timescale, defined as the dynamical friction timescale [Chandrasekhar 1943]:

$$t_{df} \approx \frac{3}{4(2\pi)^{1/2} G^2 \ln \Lambda \rho m_{BH}^3},$$

where $\sigma$ is the 3D velocity dispersion, $m_{BH}$ the mass of the BH and $\rho = 3M_{cl}/(8\pi r_h^3)$ the mass density at the half-mass radius. In this way, the core reaches very high densities, of the order of $\sim 10^6 \text{pc}^{-3}$, favoring close encounters between its components.

The assumption we make is that our BHs dynamically evolve in a cluster whose properties are stationary.

B. Properties of binary black holes in star clusters

Binary systems are hard or soft according to their binding energy $E_b$ [Heggie 1975]. Soft binaries have a binding energy less than the average kinetic energy of the stars in the cluster; hard binaries have a binding energy higher than the average kinetic energy of the stars in the cluster. All the binaries we refer to in this work are hard binaries, i.e.:

$$E_b = \frac{Gm_1m_2}{2a} \geq \frac{1}{2}m_\star \sigma^2,$$

where $m_1$ and $m_2$ are the primary and the secondary mass of the BBH, $a$ is the semi-major axis of the binary, $m_\star$ is the mass of a typical star in the cluster and $\sigma$ is the three-dimensional dispersion velocity.

The dynamical formation of BBHs in clusters is possible through different formation channels. The fastest way through which BBHs can form is via three-body encounters between three isolated BHs [Arcas Scedda et al. 2020, Fragione & Silk 2020, Mapelli 2020]. These encounters happen in high-density conditions, such as during the core-contraction of the cluster. A temporary
the conservation of energy, the binary tightens according to Heggie’s law that states that hard binaries tend to become harder.

The semi-major axis of the binary will decrease over time due to binary–single encounters as follows:

$$\frac{da}{dt} \bigg|_{3b} = -2\pi\xi\frac{G\rho_c}{\sigma}a^{-3},$$

(7)

where $\rho_c$ is the local density of stars and $\xi \approx 3$ is a dimensionless hardening rate [Quinlan 1996]. This contribution of hardening proportional to $a^{-3}$ dominates over that due to the GW emission by the system, which instead takes over when the semi-major axis is small since it is proportional to $a^{-3}$ [Peters 1964]:

$$\frac{da}{dt} \bigg|_{GW} = -\frac{64}{5}G^3m_1m_2(m_1m_2)^2f(e),$$

(8)

where

$$f(e) = \left(1 + \frac{73}{24}e^2 + \frac{37}{96}e^4\right).$$

(9)

The evolution of the semi-major axis can be written as the sum of the two contributions [Mapelli 2020]

$$\frac{da}{dt} = \frac{da}{dt} \bigg|_{3b} + \frac{da}{dt} \bigg|_{GW} = -c_1a^2 - c_2a^3,$$

(10)

where

$$c_1 = 2\pi\xi\frac{G\rho_c}{\sigma}, \quad c_2 = \frac{64}{5}G^3m_1m_2(m_1m_2)^2f(e).$$

(11)

The value of $a$ at which the transition between the two regimes occurs is obtained by imposing $(da/dt)_{3b} = (da/dt)_{GW}$:

$$a_{GW} = \left[\frac{32G^2}{5\pi\xi c^5}\frac{\sigma m_1m_2(m_1 + m_2)}{\rho_c(1 - e^2)^{7/2}}f(e)\right]^{1/5}. $$

(12)

III. METHOD AND SIMULATIONS

We obtain the rates of BBH-BH encounters and an estimate of the emitted GW spectrum with numerical simulations. We use the N-body simulation code ARWV [Chassonnery & Capuzzo-Dolcetta 2021] to simulate the three-body encounters to characterize the possible types of encounters and as benchmark for simplified analytical models. The number of encounters happening in NSCs is estimated by use of a Monte-Carlo simulation starting from appropriate initial conditions.

A. Initial conditions

The initial conditions for single–binary encounters are characterized by the parameters and formalism first introduced by [Hut & Bahcall 1983] and then updated by [Lee 1995].
Dall’Amico et al. [2021]. The orientation angles of the encounter are drawn randomly from an isotropic sphere and are defined as:

- \( \phi \in [0, 2\pi) \) is the angle between the pericenter of the binary orbit and the intersection of the vertical plane in which lies the initial velocity of the intruder;
- \( \psi \in [0, 2\pi) \) is the orientation of the impact parameter with respect to the orbital plane direction in a surface perpendicular to the initial velocity of the intruder;
- \( \theta : \cos(\theta) \in [-1;1] \) is the angle between the direction perpendicular to the orbital plane and the intruder initial velocity at infinity.

The orbital phase is generated in the range \([-\pi; \pi]\).

We sampled the initial magnitude velocity of the intruder from a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution with a dispersion velocity of 50 km/s, which is typical of NSCs [Georgiev et al. 2016]. We generate the binary orbital eccentricities based on a thermal distribution proportional to \( b^2 \) in the range \([0,1]\) [Heggie 1975].

The initial distance between the center-of-mass of the binary and the intruder is set to be 100 times the initial semi-major axis of the binary so that the intruder does not feel the gravitational potential of the binary at the semi-major axis of the binary so that the intruder does not have enough velocity to escape from the cluster. This last check is done on the semi-major axis: the minimum semi-major axis that a binary can have without being ejected from the cluster due to dynamics is (Coleman Miller & Hamilton 2002)

\[
a_{\text{ej}} = \frac{2\xi m^2}{(m_1 + m_2)^3} \frac{Gm_1m_2}{v_{\text{esc}}^2}.
\]

When the semi-major axis decreases to \( a_{\text{ej}} \), we compare it to \( a_{\text{gw}} \), i.e., its value at which the emission of gravitational waves from the binary become dominant. If \( a_{\text{ej}} < a_{\text{gw}} \), the binary will merge into the cluster before it can be ejected; otherwise the binary will be ejected from the cluster, and we will no longer take it into account in the simulation. We considered a delay time of 1 Gyr after the merger, according to Sec II, required for the resulting BH to drift back into the core and form a new hard binary: when a binary in the cluster merges, the resulting BH experiences a relativistic kick that ejects it outside the star cluster’s core. After each merger, however, we check if \( v_{\text{kick}} < v_{\text{esc}} \) before proceeding with the integration, where \( v_{\text{kick}} \) is the relativistic kick [Lousto et al. 2012] and \( v_{\text{esc}} \) is the escape velocity from the NSC.

The kick depends on the mass ratio and spins of the progenitor BHs. To compute it we draw the spins of the two BHs according to a Maxwell distribution with one-dimensional root-mean square 0.1, as inferred from GWTC-2 [LVK Collaboration 2021c] and with random direction since they are in a dynamical environment. We then calculated the kick following [Lousto et al. 2012]:

\[
v_{\text{kick}} = (v_m^2 + v_\perp^2 + 2v_m v_\perp \cos \phi + v_{\parallel}^2)^{1/2},
\]

After defining the parameters that characterize an encounter and a binary system, we simulate the evolution of BBHs in NSCs to assess how many of them are retained in a cluster, after a given amount of time, and therefore provide the number of encounters that we have to simulate. The procedure consists in considering an initial set of binaries and to let them evolve following equation (10), within the time window \([0, t_{\text{NSC}}]\), where \( t_{\text{NSC}} \) is the current life time of the cluster and 0 is the time at which a BBH is already formed, i.e., after a time \( t = t_{\text{sh}} + \min(t_{\text{sh}}, t_{\text{sb}}, t_{\text{cap}}) \). We find that the time window between the first formation of an hard BBH inside a NSC and \( t_{\text{NSC}} \) is about 12 Gyr; therefore each simulation, if not interrupted, will last 12 Gyr.

The semi-major axis of a binary, according to equation (7), evolves due to binary—single encounters and decreases at a constant rate [Heggie 1975]. We consider that, after each encounter, the binding energy of the binary increases by a quantity:

\[
\Delta E_b = \xi \frac{m_3}{m_1 + m_2} E_b,
\]

thus varying the semi-major axis according to

\[
a_{\text{new}} = -G \frac{m_1 m_2}{2E_{\text{new}}},
\]
where
\[ v_m = Aq^2 \frac{1-q}{1+q} (1+Bq) \]
\[ v_\perp = H \frac{\eta^2}{1+q} |x_1| / q(x_2) | \]
\[ v_\parallel = \frac{16q^2}{1+q} \left[ V_{1,1} + V_A S_\parallel + V_B S_\perp + V_C S_\perp^2 \right] \]
\[ |x_1| - q(x_2) | \cos(\phi_\Delta - \phi) \]

with \( q = m_2/m_1 \) assuming \( m_2 \leq m_1 \), \( \eta = q(1+q)^{-2} \), \( A = 1.2 \times 10^4 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), \( B = -0.93 \), \( H = 6.9 \times 10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) and \( V_{1,1} = 3678 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), \( V_A = 2481 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), \( V_B = 1792 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) and \( V_C = 1506 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). Vectors with subscripts \( \parallel \) and \( \perp \) are respectively parallel and perpendicular to the orbital angular momentum. \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) are the spin vectors relative to the two black holes, and the vector \( \vec{S} \) is defined as \( \vec{S} = 2(x_1 + q\vec{x}_2)/(1+q)^2 \). The angle \( \phi \) is the phase of the BBH that we have randomly taken between 0 and \( 2\pi \), and \( \phi_\Delta \) is the angle between the in-plane component of the vector \( \vec{A} \equiv (m_1 + m_2)^2(x_1 + q\vec{x}_2)/(1+q) \) and the infall direction at merger.

If the resulting BH is retained in the cluster, we consider its total mass as 95% of the mass of the two progenitor BHs, in order to take into account the emitted gravitational radiation, and we randomly take its spin in the interval [0.6, 0.9]. We form a new generation binary with this BH and a BH coming from our distribution of singles BH with mass higher than 10 M\(_\odot\), to prevent lighter BHs from being replaced in the first few encounters of the binary [Heggie 1975]. The semi-major axis is uniformly generated between the values \( a_{\text{hard}} \) at which the binary start to be hard and its \( a_{\text{crit}} \) i.e., the max(\( a_{gw}, a_{ej} \)).

C. Number of simulated binaries

Rough estimates of the number of binaries present at the formation of each NSC can be obtained by considering an average mass of the cluster of \( M_\odot = 1.5 \times 10^6 M_\odot \) with a total mass in BHs of \( M_{\text{bh}} = 0.001 M_\odot \). For a typical mass of the BHs of 10 M\(_\odot\), the number of BHs in each NSC is about \( N_{\text{BH}} = 1500 \). We consider a fraction of 0.01 \( N_{\text{BH}} \) of BHs [Antonini & Rasio 2016] and an average density of galaxies of 0.03 Mpc\(^{-3}\) from 60 Mpc up to redshift 3.5 and, for distances less than 60 Mpc, we follow Eq. 6 of [Kocsis et al. 2006]. Following the procedure described in Section III B we simulate our sets of initial binaries in two different scenarios: one in which the size of the core of the NSC has a radius of 0.1 pc and one in which it is 1 pc. In both cases, our population of BHs dwells in the core, where we have assumed a constant density of objects of 10\(^6\) pc\(^{-3}\).

In Figure 1 there is an example of a BBH evolution over 12 Gyr assuming the core of the NSC to have a radius of 1 pc. Each encounter is represented by either a green line if the intruder is a star or a red line if the intruder is a BH; we are interested in encounters between the BBH with other BHs. At the time \( t = 0 \) a binary from the initial set of binaries starts to evolve, decreasing its semi-major axis according to (10). The dashed gray lines refers to the moment when the semi-major axis reaches the value max(\( a_{gw}, a_{ej} \)). In the specific case of Figure 1 the binary merges around 7.5 Gyr. The resulting black hole is retained in the cluster and manages to find a new BH companion, forming a second-generation binary. The latter also manages to evolve in the cluster making some encounters up to the current times. Between the merger and the formation of the second generation binary, there is a delay time of 1 Gyr.

D. Simulating 3-body encounters with ARWV

The dynamics of each BBH-BH encounters are simulated, individually, through multiple runs of the direct N-body code ARWV [Chassonery et al. 2019, Chassonery & Capuzzo-Dolcetta 2021]. ARWV makes use of the algorithmic regularization chain method to integrate the equations of motion [Mikkola & Aarseth 1989, 1993a]. The use of this chain scheme reduces the round-off errors making the regularization algorithm more efficient, especially for close interactions. ARWV also includes a post-Newtonian treatment up to order 2.5 to correct the equations of motion in case of strong gravitational interactions [Mikkola & Merritt 2008].

Equation (10) tells us when an encounter with a BH takes place during the simulation of the evolution of BBHs in the NSC. This determines the redshift at which the encounter happens, the semi-major axis of the binary at the moment of the encounter, and the masses of the three bodies. We use this information as the initial conditions for ARWV simulations. The parameters of the encounter (spins of the three BHs, velocities, im-
FIG. 2. Trajectory of a single–binary encounter. The encounter in the plot lasts 16 minutes and has a time resolution of 1s, starts when the trajectory is blue and ends when it is red. Body 1 and body 2 are the components of the binary. The masses for body 1, body 2 and body 3 are $94.5 \, M_{\odot}$, $10.9 \, M_{\odot}$, and $7.1 \, M_{\odot}$, respectively. The closest approach between body 1 and body 3 is $0.002 \, \text{AU}$ with a relative velocity between the two of $8 \times 10^3 \, \text{km/s}$; at that moment the binary separation is $0.128 \, \text{AU}$.

Impact parameters and the angles $\phi, \psi$ and $\theta$) are drawn randomly from their distributions described in Section III A. ARWV outputs the positions and velocities of each body at each time step. In this way, it helps us to discriminate whether the initial parameters of the BBH-BH system lead to an encounter that is a simple flyby or a resonant encounter - multiple flybys around the binary -, in which the intruder can also take the place of one of the two components of the binary for some orbits or permanently.

For the present work we will consider only flybys, i.e., those encounters in which the intruder follows a nearly hyperbolic trajectory around the binary or, more frequently, across it. Resonant encounters will be included in the study at a later stage, because of their intrinsic complexity. Indeed, they happen on a much longer timescale: from our ARWV simulations we observed that, on average, the shortest duration of a resonant encounter is in the range of days, making it much more computationally expensive to simulate them at a fine-grained temporal resolution. Moreover, as we will detail in Sec. IV B when we compute the gravitational spectrum we obtain noisy results at high frequencies. Signals resulting from hyperbolic encounter tend to be similar in shape, while there could be more diverse waveforms coming from resonant encounters given the wide variety of orbits they could describe. Therefore, we will need to adopt a different approach with respect to the one described in Sec. IV B; this will be the subject of future developments of this work.

From the output of the ARWV simulations with a time resolution of 1s we identified the moment of maximum approach between the intruder and one of the two components of the binary, since in almost all cases, at the time of the encounter, the distance between the two components of the binary is at least double the distance between the intruder and the object around which it flies by. This allowed us to roughly identify the characteristic frequency of the event as

$$f = \frac{1}{2\pi r_{\text{min}}},$$

neglecting the presence of the third body. Here, $r_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum distance between the intruder and the closest body of the binary while $v'$ is the relative velocity when the minimum distance is reached. We use this frequency value to adjust the time resolution and repeat the simulation until a satisfactory representation of the trajectories is obtained around the closest encounter, like in the case of Figure 2.

IV. RESULTS

In our calculations, the time evolution of the semi-major axis of the binaries in NSCs is driven by two processes: encounters with other bodies in the surrounding environment and emission of gravitational waves. From these simulations, when an encounter with another black hole occurs, we extract the main parameters that describe a single–binary encounter between black holes: the masses of the binary, its semi-major axis, the mass of the intruder and the time at which the encounter happens in the simulation, from which we then obtain the redshift. In order to simulate the single–binary encounters with ARWV, other parameters are needed, which are described in section III A. Since the distributions of the parameters span a very wide range of values, we also obtained a wide range of characteristic frequencies of the different encounters. On the basis of step-wise refined estimates of these frequencies, we have chosen the simulated duration of the encounters and the temporal resolution used for ARWV.

A. Redshift distribution of the encounters

We explore two scenarios: one in which the size of the core of the NSC has a radius of $0.1 \, \text{pc}$ and one in which it is $1 \, \text{pc}$. For simplicity, we consider the density of objects in the core to be $10^6 \, \text{pc}^{-3}$; this is a conservative assumption since the true density follows a Bahcall-Wolf distribution [Bahcall & Wolf 1976]. Then, we assume that all the BHs are inside the core. We let binaries evolve for $12 \, \text{Gyr}$ according to the procedure described in section III B. From these simulations we obtained the following results for the two cases:
FIG. 3. Left-hand panels: distribution of the mass values $m_1$ of the binary in the different generations. Masses $m_1$ of the first generation BHs are the most massive component of the binary, that we inject at the beginning, while masses $m_1$ in the following generations are the BHs resulting from the merger of the previous generation binaries when they are retained in the NSC. The upper panel refers to the scenario where the core radius of the NSC is 0.1 pc, while the bottom panel refers to the 1 pc scenario. Right-hand panels: total mass of the binaries involved in an encounter with an object in the environment. The upper panel refers to the case of a core of 0.1 pc, the lower one to 1 pc. Unlike the first scenario where the intruders are all BHs, in the case of 1 pc cores, encounters occur more frequently with stars. In all these simulations there are always $10^4$ first generation BHs.

- 0.1 pc core radius: the binaries make a total of about $2 \times 10^6$ encounters with single BHs in the case of $10^5$ starting binaries.
- 1 pc core radius: the binaries make a total of about $3.6 \times 10^5$ encounters with single BHs in the case of $10^6$ initial binaries and $3.6 \times 10^4$ encounters in the case of $10^5$ initial binaries.

Therefore, starting with the same number of binaries, we find on average 100 times as many encounters in the scenario of 0.1 pc core compared to that of 1 pc.

The binaries involved in the encounters can still be the original binaries - we call them first generation binaries - but also some of the following generations, composed of a BH resulting from the merger of the previous generation binary and a BH of the cluster, randomly chosen from the list of intruders, i.e. from our distribution of single BHs. Among the various simulations made, we get a maximum of 8 generations. On the right side of Figure 3 each dot of the plot represents an encounter between a binary and an object in the surrounding environment. In particular, the total mass of the binary and the time at which the encounters takes place in the simulation are indicated. The different colors refer to the generation of the binary. First generation binaries are the ones we injected at a time close to the formation of the cluster. From the plots we can see that even these binaries, especially those with lower mass, can last up to the present time (12 Gyr): in fact, assuming that intruders have all the same mass, and that all binaries start with the same semi-major axis, then, at each encounter, binaries with a smaller total mass would tighten more, because they acquire a larger fraction of energy ($\Delta E_b$); therefore, with a smaller semi-major axis, encounters are less frequent. On the other hand, binaries with a greater total mass display a small reduction of the semi-major axis after each encounter, thus making encounters more frequent.

For the following generations, the mass $m_1$ of the binary is the result of the merger of the previous generation binary. The increase of the distribution of $m_1$ as the generations progress is illustrated on the left-hand side of Figure 3 for the two scenarios. At the same generation, smaller masses are formed when the core is 1 pc.

As a result of our simulation, for all the binaries making an encounter with a third BH we have an associated redshift and the semi-major axis of the binary which,
parametric study of the GW signal strength:

A. Gravitational signal

Simulating a high number of encounters with ARWV to generate spectra of emitted GW signals is computationally very expensive. For this reason, we used ARWV only to produce several examples of GW spectra, and to assess whether analytical approximations could be used to produce these spectra for a large number of signals. We start by presenting the analysis of a selected subset of single–binary encounters whose parameters have values that can generate a GW signal of interest for our interferometers. In particular, among $3.6 \times 10^5$ BBH-BH encounters happening over the course of 12 Gyr in the 1 pc core, we have selected four groups of events for a parametric study of the GW signal strength:

- events within 160 Mpc;
- events in which the mass $m_1$ of the binary is greater than 450 $M_\odot$;
- events in which the initial relative velocity $v_0$ between binary and intruder is greater than 190 km/s;
- events in which the semi-major axis of the binary is less than 0.2 AU.

Events selected in this way represent only less than 2.5% of all the encounters. Only the 44% of this small sample, corresponding to 3980 single–binary encounters, turned out to be purely hyperbolic by looking at the trajectory of the three bodies from the ARWV simulation with a time resolution of 1 s. Table I shows in detail the number of encounters selected for each category, along with the absolute number and the relative percentage of hyperbolic events. This is not an indication of a general relationship between hyperbolic and resonant encounters (or exchanges): our set of events is very peculiar and includes cases in which the semi-major axis of the binary is very small, so that the encounter with the intruder will more likely end up in a three-body system that remains bound for a fairly long period of time.

We calculate the wave amplitude of the signal according to Ferrari et al. [2020]:

$$h_{jk}^{TT} = \frac{2G}{c^4R} \dot{Q}_{jk}^{TT},$$

where $j, k = 1, 2, 3$, $R$ is the luminosity distance of the source from us, and $\dot{Q}_{jk}$ is the second time derivative of the transverse-traceless part of the quadrupole moment.

We consider the wave propagating along the $z$–direction and, of the full GW tensor, we choose the $h_{11}$ component - the plus polarization - to calculate the signal spectrum as $2f^{1/2} | \hat{h}_+ (f) |$ [Moore et al. 2014], where $\hat{h}_+ (f)$ is the Fourier transform of $h_+ (t)$.

The middle panel of Figure 5 shows the amplitude spectral density as a function of the frequency of a typical signal calculated in the numerical procedure. We applied a low-pass filter to reduce the numerical error at high frequency coming from the ARWV simulations. In the example shown in Figure 5, the threshold frequency of the low-pass filter is $3 \times 10^{-2}$ Hz.

The trajectories of the three bodies that generate the signal in Figure 5 are plotted with a time resolution of 1 s in Figure 2. The masses of the binary components are 94.5 $M_\odot$ and 10.9 $M_\odot$, while the mass of the intruder is 7.1 $M_\odot$. The two-body hyperbolic encounter occurs between the intruder and the most massive component of the binary. They reach a minimum distance of 0.002 AU with relative velocity of $8 \times 10^3$ km/s. At that moment, the binary separation is 0.128 AU. The luminosity distance at which the encounter happens is 2.1 Gpc.

We compared the numerical simulation of the GW burst with a simple analytical model, i.e., a Gaussian-shaped burst in the time domain, due to a two-body hyperbolic encounters [Capozziello et al. 2008, Bellido & Nesseris 2018]. Its maximum amplitude, at the moment of closest approach, is $h_+$, which we get from equation [20] at the characteristic frequency. The Fourier transform $\hat{h} (f)$ of this signal is again a Gaussian, and we compute the amplitude spectral density $2\sqrt{f} | \hat{h} (f) |$ to compare it with the detector sensitivities. This simplified model matches well our numerical signals around peak amplitude when the single–binary encounter is purely hyperbolic between two of the three bodies, with
FIG. 5. The middle plot shows the simulated GW spectrum $2\sqrt{f}h(f)$ of the single–binary encounter, whose trajectory is shown in Fig. 2, compared to the LISA and ET sensitivity curves. In the left plot, the corresponding GW burst is shown in time domain around the closest distance between two BHs. A comparison of the numerical and simplified analytical models is shown in the right plot.

the third body being far enough so that its effect on the trajectory of the encounter is negligible. This is the case of the signal in Figure 5 in the left panel of Fig 5, the filtered numerical signal in the time domain is shown.

With the red dot in Figure 5 we identify the amplitude spectral density at the corner frequency defined in Eq. 19

To avoid the numerical simulation of all encounters, we use this as estimate of the peak amplitude of the signals. Comparing with numerical estimates for several signals, we find that the analytical estimate is similar or smaller by a modest factor compared to the numerical peak amplitudes. The underestimated analytical signals are due to the fact that our model is a Gaussian approximation of the time domain signal using the minimum distance between bodies in the ARWV simulations. Signals from encounters with spinning black holes can have larger peak amplitudes [De Vittori et al. 2014]. For a reference set of signals, we find that peak amplitudes calculated with the numerical simulation are larger by up to a factor 5 for almost all of the signals.

We plot these signals in Figure 6. Since the analytical approximation is valid for two-body encounters, we took into account only hyperbolic events for which, at the moment of maximum approach, the distance between the two masses of the binary is at least triple the distance between the intruder and the mass around which it flies by. This allows us to consider only scenarios that are more similar to an encounter between two bodies, making it meaningful to compare the numerical signal to the analytical one. The signals in Fig. 6 are in total - for the four categories - 1863 out of the set of 3980 hyperbolic encounters. The different colors refer to the different time resolutions that we used to simulate the encounters. They are categorized according to the values of the parameters that we selected. Moving clockwise from the top left panel, there are the encounters during which the semi-major axis of the binary is smaller than 0.2 AU, those in which at infinity the relative velocity between the binary and the intruder is higher than 190 km/s, those that are closest to us, i.e. within a luminosity distance of 160 Mpc, and finally, the ones for which the mass $m_1$ of the binary exceeds 450 $M_{\odot}$ (this does not imply that the closest approach of the intruder happens with this object).

The highest frequency signals are those in which the semi-major axis of the binary is smaller, even though none of the signals fall inside the sensitivity curves of the two interferometers. This is also the case in which we neglect a larger fraction of encounters because they are resonant: in fact, when the semi-major axis of the binary is smaller, it is more likely that an unstable bound system of three bodies is formed, ending up with the ejection of one of the three. This situation is promising in view of finding signals in the ET band, when we will also analyze the resonant signals.

| 1 pc NSC core, $3.6\times10^5$ BBH-BH encounters | Category | Total | Flyby | % |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------|-------|-------|---|
| $a_{\text{binary}} < 0.2$ AU                  | 7561     | 2750  | 36.4% |
| $v_0 > 190$ km/s                              | 861      | 760   | 88.3% |
| $m_1 > 450$ M$_{\odot}$                      | 287      | 245   | 85.4% |
| $R < 160$ Mpc                                 | 284      | 225   | 79.2% |

TABLE I. Values of the parameters that we used to select the events. For the scenario of 1 pc NSC core radii, starting with $10^6$ first generation binaries we get a total of $3.6\times10^5$ BBH-BH encounters; of these we selected a subset according to specific values of the parameters, reported in the first column. The total number of events per category is shown in the second column. The number of encounters in which the intruder flies by the binary are in the third column while their percentage is reported in the fourth column.
FIG. 6. Characteristic frequency and amplitude spectral density of the GW emitted during single–binary encounters with respect to the sensitivity curves of LISA and ET. Each dot represents an encounter in which the intruder makes a flyby around one object of the binary. The coordinates of the dots are extracted from the analytical signal at the characteristic frequency defined in Eq 19. The different colors refer to the different time resolutions we used to simulate them with ARWV. We selected four samples of events according to specific value of the parameters in the scenario of 1 pc core radius: in the top right-hand panel, the relative velocity at infinity between the binary and the intruder is higher than 190 km/s. Top left-hand panel: the semi-major axis of the binary is smaller than 0.2 AU. Lower left-hand panel: the most massive component of the binary exceeds 450 $M_\odot$. Lower right-hand panel: the distance of the encounter from us is less than 160 Mpc.

C. Rate of encounters

The number of single–binary encounters per year is computed as:

$$ R(z) = \int_{z_{\text{min}}}^{z_{\text{max}}} R_m(z) \frac{dV(z)}{dz} \frac{1}{1+z} dz $$

where $R_m(z) = dN/dt/dV$ is the source-frame rate density at redshift $z$, $dV/dz$ is the differential comoving volume shell and $(1+z)^{-1}$ accounts for the time dilation due to cosmic expansion between the source and the observer frames.

In Figure 7, we show the trend of the cumulative rate in the two scenarios that we have considered, which can be seen as upper and lower limit of the number of single–binary encounters that we expect to happen in NSCs up to a certain redshift. The rate up to $z = 3.5$ then is within the range $[0.006 - 0.345]$ yr$^{-1}$ Gpc$^{-3}$.

From Figure 7, we observe, for the case of 0.1 pc NSC radius, a cumulative rate of about 500 events per year. Precisely, we extrapolate 542 events following the distribution in Figure 4 and we simulate them with ARWV in order to measure their frequencies and spectrum. Of these 542 encounters, 365 are hyperbolic. For those in which the minimum distance between the intruder and the closest body of the binary is less than one third the distance between the two components of the binary at that moment, the amplitude spectral density is plotted in Figure 8 as a function of their characteristic frequencies.

By comparing the signals obtained (Fig. 8) with the values of the initial parameters that describe the encounter (Fig. 9), we notice a strong dependence of the characteristic frequency of the encounter from the initial values of the semi-major axis and from the impact parameter of the binary; from Fig. 9 it is evident that encounters with small semi-major axis and small value
FIG. 7. Cumulative rate of the expected number of single–binary encounters between BHs per year. The blue curve refers to the scenario of a radius of the NSC core of 0.1 pc while the pink curve for a core of 1 pc radius.

FIG. 8. Same as Fig. [a] Here the events are extracted according to the distribution in Fig. [7] for the scenario of 0.1 pc core radius. We simulate a total of 542 encounters, i.e. the number of encounters that we expect to happen in one year up to redshift 3.5. The 289 events plotted are the purely hyperbolic for which at the time of the encounter the distance between the two components of the binary is at least triple the distance between the intruder and the body it passes closest.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We presented a calculation of the rate of encounters between three black holes (BHs) in nuclear star clusters (NSCs) as a function of redshift. In particular, we focused on single–binary encounters which, in dense stellar environments, we assumed to occur more frequently than encounters between two or three unbound BH [Arca Sedda et al. 2018, Arca Sedda et al. 2020, Askar et al. 2017, Banerjee 2021, Banerjee et al. 2010, Fragione et al. 2019, Fragione et al. 2022, Kamlah et al. 2022, Kremer et al. 2019, Mapelli 2016, Mapelli et al. 2013, 2021, O’Leary et al. 2009, Rastello et al. 2021, Rizzuto et al. 2022, Rodriguez et al. 2016, 2015, 2019, Rizzuto et al. 2013a, b, Tanikawa 2013, Zevin et al. 2019, 2021, Ziosi et al. 2014, Zwart & McMillan 1999]. Moreover, we selected the hyperbolic encounters, since the resonant encounters require more careful numerical analyses to calculate the associated GW signals and their impact on the rate.

The probability of BBH-BH encounters depends strongly on the core size of the NSC. We found that for a core size of 1 pc, we can expect about 10 BBH-BH en-
counters per year up to redshift \( z = 3.5 \), and for a core size of 0.1 pc, which means increased BH density, we find that about 500 BBH-BH encounters occur.

The hyperbolic encounters were selected using the \( N \)-body code ARWV, from which we obtain the positions and the velocities of the three bodies at each time step to provide an analytical estimate of the GW signal amplitude. The simplified analytical estimates were compared with the full numerical results for several encounters to confirm a match between the two. We found that the vast majority of the encounters have their peak GW emission below the LISA sensitivity band. Several signals appear in the LISA band, while no signal was found in the observation band of present and future terrestrial GW detectors. We found the highest-frequency peak emission close to 0.1 Hz. The signals in the LISA band have too low amplitude to be detectable. This leads us to the conclusion that GW signals from hyperbolic BBH-BH encounters in NSCs will likely remain undetected in the foreseeable future.

Our initial work leaves possibilities for follow-up studies. First, resonant encounters are very promising candidates for detectable GW signals, but they require a more demanding numerical analysis, which is why we omitted them in this first study. Also, resonant encounters will give rise to complex GW signals, and the question of how one would detect them needs to be addressed carefully as well. Second, our study focused on NSCs, which show stronger mass segregation and high density in their cores making BBH-BH encounters more likely. However, whether most BBH-BH encounters happen inside NSCs should be tested more carefully since other types of star clusters are more numerous than NSCs. Furthermore, while building our distributions of BHs (both binary and single) we considered masses coming from the astrophysical evolution of stars in a dynamical environment [Di Carlo et al. 2019], neglecting the possible presence of more massive primordial BHs [Clesse & García-Bellido 2017] [Greene 2012]. We also neglected the possible interactions between the binaries with the supermassive BH that could be at the center of galaxies [Volonteri 2010], as well as with possible intermediate mass BHs that are believed to populate the cores of NSCs [McKernan et al. 2012] [Miller & Davies 2012]. Finally, we made the simplistic assumption of considering the properties of the cluster to be stationary during the entire simulation of 12 Gyr. For NSCs this choice is conservative: during its life, the cluster could increase its mass over time due to new star formation [Mapelli et al. 2012] and by accreting globular clusters [Capuzzo-Dolcetta & Miocchi 2008] [Antonini et al. 2012].
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