Nanoarchitectonics: a navigator from materials to life
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Nanoarchitectonics is a new concept for the fabrication of functional material systems through harmonization of various actions including atomic/molecular-level manipulation, chemical reactions, self-assembly and self-organization and their modulation by external fields/stimuli. This working principle and target-scales are exactly the same as those for biological systems where every aspect of living systems is heavily dependent on physicochemical occurrences at the nano–micro scale length. Therefore, nanoarchitectonics can work as a navigator to convert conventional materials into life-like high-level functional systems. It would be a key concept in the breakthrough of materials innovation in the near future.

Technology to architectonics

As Pasteur demonstrated in the so-called swan-neck flask experiment, living creatures cannot spontaneously emerge from non-living matters. So, we cannot create living systems from life-less materials, although living creatures can be regarded as the ultimate functional systems due to their incredibly high specificity and efficiency even under ambient mild conditions. One of our greatest endeavours in science is to create functional materials with bio-like high functions from non-living components. How can we complete this impossible mission?

Due to the rapid progress in science, we currently know that activities of bio-systems are fundamentally based on combinations of nano-sized chemical phenomena such as molecular recognitions, energy transfer, and self-assembly. Therefore, efforts to control nano-sized systems and their assemblies would open up ways to our dreams. Our strongest tool for the regulation of nano-worlds is believed to be nanotechnology, where various techniques to fabricate nano-sized structures are included. Although they enable us to control functions with nano-components, most of them work as separate actions. This feature is much different from those observed in bio-systems where various types of processes are working together in fantastic harmonization. Therefore, more advanced concepts exceeding individual technologies is required for approaches towards living-creature-like highly functional systems.

It could be architectonics rather than individual techniques or technology. Masakazu Aono proposed a key term, nanoarchitectonics, at the 1st International Symposium on Nanoarchitectonics Using Suprainteractions in 2000. Unlike conventional nanofabrication strategies, material fabrication with nanoarchitectonics is accomplished with concerted harmony with various actions including atomic/molecular-level manipulation, chemical reactions, self-assembly and self-organization and their modulation by external fields/stimuli. This concept is now introduced to various research fields from basic materials production/organization to advanced applications including bio-related fields.

Harmony at the nanoscale

Another important difference between nanotechnology and nanoarchitectonics would be a way of thinking for precision. Pursuing structural precision is an important task in nanotechnology.
Scientists in nanotechnology usually try to achieve higher and higher structural precision. Better nanotechnology means technology with higher structural precision. This way of thinking on precision is effective for rigid and stable materials such as inorganic nanomaterials in static states and less disturbed conditions, such as ultrahigh vacuum and low temperatures. Therefore, most of the advanced nanotechnology examples such as atom manipulations are achieved for less-dynamic objects under well-controlled conditions.

In sharp contrast, nanoarchitectonics does not try to accomplish too precise structural control and strict regulation of phenomena. This way of thinking on precision is especially suited to nano-scale systems with certain dynamisms. When systems of materials include features of dynamism, the difference between micro-scale and nanoscale phenomena becomes critical. In macroscopic and microscopic scales, structural fabrications can be basically carried out according to their blueprint. Even when dynamic motions are included, simple Newtonian mechanics can mostly explain their behaviours in microscopic scale. Therefore, the functions and properties of materials are basically expectable with high precision.

However, down-sizing of systems with certain dynamic natures to nanoscale regions could result in various unpredictable features. In a nanoscale regime, uncontrollable disturbances and fluctuations such as thermal/statistical fluctuations have significant effects. Mutual interactions between nano-components often make the situation much more unpredictable. One input signal may cause perturbations to surrounding moieties, resulting in additional mutual interactions. The relationship between input and output is far from a one to one scheme.

In dynamic nanoscale systems, harmony would be a crucial factor. Instead of connecting individual interactions and phenomena precisely in series, harmonizing various issues together leading to soft, flexible, adjustable, adaptable and compatible functions is a crucial process in dynamic nanoscale worlds. Nanoarchitectonics is more appropriate for these tasks than nanotechnology.

Sharing features with life

The best harmony of functional materials is probably achieved in biological systems where huge numbers of components are working together under unavoidable and substantial thermal fluctuations. One day, I discussed this matter with a young scientist in Singapore (Dr Dalton Tay at the Nanyang Technological University). He kindly provided me with an interesting chart that depicts the relationship between the size and the complexity level in biological systems (Fig. 1). He said that every aspect of living systems is heavily dependent on the organization, biochemical composition and physicochemical occurrences at the nano–micro scale length. In particular, a border line between non-living matters and living entities exists at scale regimes from nanometers to submicrometers. Harmonized assemblies based on interactive events including molecular recognition and self-assembly at these scale regions convert functional materials into living creatures. The working principle and target-scales are exactly the same as those in nanoarchitectonics. Nanoarchitectonics may be capable of creating living creatures from component materials. Our dreams may come true with nanoarchitectonics.

From an atom-level switch to brain-like computing

It is important to extend this concept to the design and fabrication of general functional materials, systems, and devices. One example is shown in Fig. 2, where all-inorganic atomic-level switches can work as artificial synapses, and furthermore, huge networking of the atomic switch units creates brain-like computing systems through harmonizing ion/atom motions (artificial synapses) and electrical outputs (brain-like computing). Harmony in these examples appears as assembled chemical and physical actions in a self-regulated balance.

An atomic switch utilizes chemical processes in the nanoscale. Two electrodes (Ag2S and Pt) are set with ca. 1 nm gap, and application of a negative bias to the Pt electrode induces reduction of Ag cations to Ag at the Ag2S electrodes through a tunnel current. The formation of clusters of several Ag atoms turns this switch on by establishing a conduction path. In turn, application of positive bias oxidizes Ag atoms to an Ag ion, resulting in the switch-off of the system. This switching system is based on various chemical and physical processes such as redox reaction and atom diffusion while electrical switches in our house are based simply on the physical contact.

A switching mechanism upon the atomic reaction and diffusion accomplishes bio-like functions as artificial synapse behaviours to realize short-term plasticity and long term potentiation through modulating frequencies of input pulses. Applications of low-frequency pulses with an interval of 20 s can form one quantized conductive channel only upon each pulse input, and cannot...
Future

The above mentioned systems are exemplified because they nicely couple man-made device technology and wise biofunctions. However, possibilities of the nanorarchitecture approach are not limited to these brain-like computing systems. A wide range of usages of the nanorarchitecture concept were already proposed from nanoscopic machineries to large-scale environmental systems. Life-like self-responsive, self-thinking and self-powered functional systems can be potentially fabricated with nanorarchitecture. Actually, self-regulated ON/OFF-type material release systems were invented by architecting hierarchic pore-layer structures from a nano to submicron-level. Self-powered systems based on nanorarchitectonics can automatically convert our daily motions to an energy source for various functions. Harmonization of these features on the basis of the nanorarchitecture concept would convert conventional materials to bio-like systems.

Nanorarchitectonics can work as a navigator to convert artificial materials (even metals and semiconductors) into life-like high-level functional systems. By embracing the harmonization concepts underlying nanorarchitecture, we are able to open a new chapter in nanomaterials science. It would be a key concept in the breakthrough of materials innovation in the near future.
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