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Assessment of Cytotoxic Activity of Rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis L.), Turmeric (Curcuma longa L.), and Ginger (Zingiber officinale R.) Essential Oils in Cervical Cancer Cells (HeLa)
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The objective of this study was to evaluate the cytotoxic activity of rosemary (REO, Rosmarinus officinalis L.), turmeric (CEO, Curcuma longa L.), and ginger (GEO, Zingiber officinale R.) essential oils in HeLa cells. Cytotoxicity tests were performed in vitro, using tetrazolium (MTT) and neutral red assays for evaluation of antiproliferative activity by different mechanisms, trypan blue assay to assess cell viability and evaluation of cell morphology for Giemsa to observe the cell damage, and Annexin V to evaluate cell death by apoptosis. CEO and GEO exhibited potent cytotoxic activity against HeLa cells. IC50 obtained was 36.6 μg/mL for CEO and 129.9 μg/mL for GEO. The morphology of HeLa cells showed condensation of chromatin, loss of cell membrane integrity with protrusions (blebs), and cell content leakage for cells treated with CEO and GEO, from the lowest concentrations studied, 32.81 μg/mL of CEO and 32.12 μg/mL of GEO. The Annexin V assay revealed a profile of cell death by apoptosis for both CEO and GEO. The results indicate cytotoxic activity in vitro for CEO and GEO, suggesting potential use as anticancer agents for cervical cancer cells.

1. Introduction

The use of essential oils (EOs) of aromatic plants for dietary and therapeutic purposes has been a focus on research in health sciences due to their different biological properties such as antimicrobial, analgesic, anti-inflammatory, antiparasitic, antioxidant, and antitumoral effects [1–4]. The main phytochemicals found in EOs are mono and sesquiterpenes, which confer organoleptic characteristics to the EOs as well as their biological activities [5, 6]. In addition, these secondary metabolites have shown low side effects and toxicity [6].

The dried leaves of rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis L.), Lamiaceae family, are used as a food condiment and to enhance or change the flavor of foods [7]. Turmeric (Curcuma longa L.) and ginger (Zingiber officinale R.) are members of the Zingiberaceae family. Turmeric is a native plant from India and South Asia. However, it has now been found worldwide and widely used as a spice, giving foods a characteristic flavor and color. Ginger originates from Southeast Asia and has marked characteristics of odor and hot flavor [8].

Cancer is a public health problem particularly in developing countries. In these countries, it is estimated that the impact of cancer in the population corresponds to approximately 80% from the 20 million new cases estimated for 2025 [9]. In Brazil, National Institute of Cancer (INCA) estimates for the 2016–2017 period around 600,000 new cases of cancer.
A total of 16,340 new cases of cervical cancer are expected in 2016, with an estimated risk of 15.85 cases per 100,000 women [9]. In Latin America, cervical cancer significantly contributes to incidence of cancer among women, being the second cause of death in women.

The antitumor property of EOs has been the source of investigation for the development of drugs to treat different types of cancer. There is the perspective that EOs can be used as a therapeutic agent and confer benefits for human health, provided their toxicity can be established. The objective of this study was to evaluate the cytotoxic activity of rosemary (R. officinalis, REO), turmeric (C. longa, CEO), and ginger (Z. officinale, GEO) essential oils in HeLa human cervical cancer cells, aiming at its determining potential anticancer activity.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Extraction and Characterization of EOs. Rosemary (REO), turmeric (CEO), and ginger (GEO) essential oils were previously obtained by hydrodistillation of dried leaves of R. officinalis [10] and rhizomes of C. longa [11] and Z. officinale [12], according to the European Pharmacopoeia [13]. The EOs were stored at 4°C in flasks shielded from light until time of use. Identification of the principal components was performed by chromatography in gaseous phase coupled to a mass spectrometer (CG-MS) and by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy.

2.2. Cell Cultures. HeLa human cervical cancer and HepG2 human liver cells were obtained from the Rio de Janeiro Cell Bank (Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil). Each cell line was cultured in complete Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), supplemented with 20%(v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) (GIBCO-Invitrogen, Indianapolis, IN, USA), solution containing penicillin (100 IU/mL) and streptomycin (100 μg/mL) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and 2 μg/mL amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The cells were kept in an incubator (Panasonic®, Chicago, IL, USA) in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in air at 37°C [14, 15].

2.3. 3-[4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium Bromide (MTT) Assay. MTT reduction test, adapted from Mosmann [16], was carried out to evaluate cell viability via mitochondrial toxicity of the EOs. Briefly, the cells (1 × 10⁶ cells/mL) were seeded onto 96-well plates overnight. After that, the cells were treated with complete medium only (control cells) or seven different concentrations of REO (31.12–1192 μg/mL), CEO (32.81–2100 μg/mL), or GEO (20.12–1928 μg/mL) for 24 h. Posteriorly, the cell suspension was diluted with trypan blue solution (1:10) and stained cells were counted using a Neubauer Chamber. The cell death can be characterized by several morphological changes such as cell shrinkage, loss of adhesion, and chromatin condensation. The cell membrane can form extensions (blebs) and then the nucleus disintegrates into fragments surrounded by nuclear membrane, originating apoptotic bodies [18]. Thus, morphology evaluation of cells treated with EOs was carried out by Giemsa staining based on the protocol described by Mota et al. [19]. Briefly, the cells were treated with complete medium only (control cells), REO, CEO, or GEO, at five different concentrations (250–2000 μg/mL) for 24 h. After that, the cells were removed from the plate and an aliquot of cell suspension was diluted with trypan blue solution (1:10) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The cells were then observed under light microscopy (Nikon T1-SM, Eclipse, Konan, Tokyo, Japan) and the viability of the cells was estimated using a Neubauer Chamber.

2.4. Neutral Red Uptake (NRU) Assay. NRU assay [17] was used to evaluate the cell viability via lysosomal toxicity of EOs. The cells (1 × 10⁶ cells/mL) were seeded onto 96-well plates overnight. Then, the cells were treated with complete medium only (control cells), REO, CEO, and GEO, at the same concentrations used for the MTT assay, for 24 h. After that, the supernatant of each well was removed and 100 μL of DMEM containing 5% (v/v) FBS and neutral red (NR, 0.25 mg/mL) was added. After 3 h of incubation, NR medium was discarded and the cells were washed with PBS. Posteriorly, 100 μL of NR desorb solution (50 EtOH : 1 acetic acid : 49 water) was added to the wells. The absorbance was measured at 550 nm.

2.5. Trypan Blue Assay. Trypan blue dye is used to analyze cell viability via membrane rupture, since it does not cross intact membrane of viable cells. Thus, stained cells are scored as dead. Briefly, the cells (1 × 10⁶ cells/mL) were seeded onto plate overnight. Then, the cells were treated with complete medium only (control cells), REO, CEO, or GEO, at five different concentrations (250–2000 μg/mL) for 24 h. After that, the cells were removed from the plate and an aliquot of cell suspension was diluted with trypan blue solution (1:10) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The cells were then observed under light microscopy (Nikon T1-SM, Eclipse, Konan, Tokyo, Japan) and the viability of the cells was estimated using a Neubauer Chamber.

2.7. Apoptosis Evaluation by an Annexin V Binding Assay. Annexin V binding assay allows identifying changes in the plasma membrane, which occur during the early events of the cell death process by apoptosis [20]. The assay was carried out using an Alexa Fluor® 488/Dead Cell Apoptosis Kit (Life Power Wave XS, Winooski-Chittenden, VT, USA). This assay was performed in triplicate.
3.1. Chemical Composition of the EOs.

The chemical characteristics of the EOs are responsible for conferring the biological properties of each EO, thereby characterizing each EO in terms of benefit or risk. These characteristics, besides interacting with one another [22], may also be influenced by numerous factors, such as the geographic location of planting and the method by which the EO is extracted [23].

3.2. Assessment of Cytotoxicity. The REO, CEO, and GEO were submitted to MTT and NR assays to assess cytotoxicity activity (Table 2, Figure 1). The results showed that both CEO and GEO exhibited cytotoxic activity against the cervical cancer cells; that is, both of these EOs reduced cell viability. GEO showed cytotoxic activity on both tests (MTT/NR), whereas CEO exhibited greater cytotoxicity on the NR test (Figure 1(d)). Reduced cell viability at the lowest concentration can be observed compared to the other EOs tested.

These results indicate that CEO exhibited no significant cytotoxic activity in HeLa cells (treatment) in comparison to HepG2 cells (control) (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). The major components of CEO were sesquiterpenes (1.8-cineole and α-pinene), while main components for CEO and GEO were oxygenated monoterpenes and oxygenated monoterpenes. Both CEO and GEO showed significant cytotoxic activity in HeLa cells in comparison to HepG2 cells (Figures 1(d), 1(e), and 1(f)). Wang et al. [24] found that the compound 1.8-cineole had low toxicity in tumor lines, a result corroborated by

Table 1: Components of essential oils of dried leaves of R. officinalis (REO) and rhizomes of C. longa (CEO) and Z. officinale (GEO) from Southern Brazil, identified by GC/MS.

| Components          | REO  | CEO  | GEO  |
|---------------------|------|------|------|
| α-Pinene            | 12.4 | 0.6  | 6.0  |
| Camphene            | 3.7  | —    |  —   |
| β-Pinene            | 1.8  | —    | 0.7  |
| p-Cymene            | 2.1  | 0.8  | 0.1  |
| β-Myrccene          | 0.7  | —    |  —   |
| 1.8-Cineole         | 52.2 | 0.7  | 8.9  |
| 3-Carene            | 0.2  | —    |  —   |
| Myrcene             | —    | —    | 1.9  |
| α-Terpinene         | 0.4  | —    |  —   |
| trans-β-Ocimene     | 0.1  | —    |  —   |
| y-Terpine           | 0.4  | —    |  —   |
| cis-Ocimene         | —    | —    | 0.2  |
| Terpinolene         | —    | —    | 0.4  |
| 6-Camphenol         | 0.1  | —    |  —   |
| Oxygenated monoterpenes |     |      |      |
| Vinyl propionate    | —    | 1.7  |  —   |
| Tricycylene         | —    | —    | 0.4  |
| Sabinene            | —    | —    | 0.1  |
| Camphor             | 15.2 | 0.1  |  —   |
| α-Phellandrene      | 0.1  | —    | 0.7  |
| β-Phellandrene      | —    | —    | 8.8  |
| α-Terpineol         | 2.3  | 0.2  | 0.6  |
| 4-Terpineol         | 0.5  | —    | —    |
| y-Curcumene         | —    | 0.5  | —    |
| α-Turmerone         | —    | 23.5 | —    |
| β-Turmerone         | —    | 22.7 | —    |
| Limonene            | 3.5  | —    | —    |
| Linalool            | 0.4  | —    | 0.6  |
| Borneol             | 3.0  | —    | 0.9  |
| Isoborneol          | 0.1  | —    | —    |
| Citronellol         | —    | —    | 0.5  |
| Nerol               | —    | —    | 4.6  |
| Geraniol            | —    | —    | 2.4  |
| Sesquiterpenes      |     |      |      |
| β-Sesquiphellandrene| —    | 2.4  | —    |
| β-Caryophyllene     | —    | 0.4  | —    |
| ar-Turmerone        | —    | 1.5  | —    |
| ar-Turmerone        | —    | 33.2 | —    |
| ar-Curcumene        | —    | 2.6  | —    |
| α-Cadinol           | —    | 1.3  | —    |
| (6R,7R)-Bisabolone  | —    | 3.1  | —    |
| (E)-α-Atlantone      | —    | 1.4  | —    |
| geraniol            | —    | —    | 9.9  |

Source: Bomfim et al. [10], Ferreira et al. [11] and Nerilo et al. [12]. *Majority components of essential oils.
Figure 1: Comparison of cytotoxic effect of *R. officinalis* (REO), *C. longa* (CEO), and *Z. officinale* (GEO) essential oils in cell lines HeLa (treatment) and HepG2 (control) on MTT and NR assays. (a, b) IC\textsubscript{50} result for REO on MTT and NR. (c, d) IC\textsubscript{50} results obtained for CEO on MTT and NR. (e, f) IC\textsubscript{50} results obtained for GEO on MTT and NR. Cell density was $1 \times 10^6$ cells/mL ($n = 3$).
our finding for REO. According to Srivastava et al. [25], monoterpenes compounds are more active against cancer cells than monoterpenes or sesquiterpenes compounds.

The studies conducted by Tyagi et al. [26] evaluate the anticancer potential of nine compounds from CEO. The authors showed that only the compound β-sesquiphellandrene had strong antiproliferative activity in different tumor cells and also exhibited synergism with chemotherapeutic agents. In the present study, the compound β-sesquiphellandrene was the fifth-most prevalent compound in CEO (2.4%), where this may also have an effect on the suppression of cervical cancer colonies in combinatorial action with other compounds identified.

### 3.3. Analysis of Antiproliferative Activity by Trypan Blue Exclusion

The concentrations of REO, CEO, and GEO used in this experiment in HeLa cells (250; 500; 1,000; 1,500; and 2,000 μg/mL) showed no significant difference in comparison to HepG2 cells (control). However, comparison of concentrations pairwise yielded significant differences (p value 1.0). The significant comparisons between pairs were 2,000-1,500 (p = 0.5178) and 2,000-250 (p = 0.7496) for CEO; 2,000-1,000 (p = 0.9089) and 2,000-250 (p = 0.0423) for REO; and 2,000-250 (p = 0.036) and 1,500-250 (p = 0.4382) for GEO. Based on these results, it can be noted that GEO had greater antiproliferative activity against HeLa cervical cancer cells at a lower concentration. Our results corroborate those of the studies by Jeena et al. [27] assessing the cytotoxic and antitumor activity of GEO in Dalton’s lymphoma ascites (DLA) tumor cell line. The study results showed that GEO exhibited potent cytotoxic and antiproliferative activity in vitro in DLA (L929) cells. The authors also highlighted the idea that GEO showed antitumor potential and may be used as an anticancer agent.

### 3.4. Morphological Analysis

For the EOs to exert such cytotoxic and antiproliferative activity, several different mechanisms may be involved. Possible mechanisms include induction of cell death by apoptosis and/or necrosis, arrest of the cell cycle, and loss of function of key cell organelles [28]. In the present study, cell morphology of HeLa after REO, CEO, and GEO exposure was analyzed. The cells demonstrated in Figures 2(C), 2(D), 2(E) and 2(F) have intact organelles, organized cytoplasm, and complete cell membrane similar cells of negative control (NC). REO did not demonstrate cytotoxic effect in HeLa cells. However, cell membrane protrusions called “blebs” (Figure 2(G)) and cell content leakage (Figure 2(H)) were observed in the CEO at 262 and 2100 μg/mL, respectively. For GEO, cell membrane protrusions were visualized in 30.12 μg/mL (Figure 2(I)); blebbing and chromatin condensation occurred at 241 μg/mL (Figure 2(J)). GEO at 1928 μg/mL (Figure 2(K)) presented amorphous cells, blebs, cytoplasm leakage, and formation of apoptotic bodies, containing nuclear fragments or otherwise, which can be visualized.

Our results also show the same characteristic morphological patterns of cell death by apoptosis for CEO and GEO, causing HeLa cells to exhibit changes in their morphology at the lowest concentration studied (Figures 2(F)–2(K)). CEO and GEO exhibited strong cytotoxic activity against cervical cancer cells. The Annexin V assay was performed to confirm cell death by apoptosis (Figure 3). Exposure of HeLa cells to REO, CEO, and GEO at the concentration of 322.45 μg/mL revealed that REO caused no cell death by apoptosis. CEO and GEO, however, showed positive results similar to those of the positive control (camptothecin).

The bioactive properties of EOs have attracted growing interest from scholars seeking to unveil the mechanisms of action of these natural products, prompting an increase in studies assessing the antiproliferative, antitumor, and anticancer activity of these compounds [29].

### 4. Conclusion

Oxygenated monoterpenes compounds present in turmeric (C. longa) and ginger (Z. officinale) essential oils were possibly responsible for presenting better antitumor activity. CEO and GEO showed effective cytotoxic activity against human cervical cancer cells (HeLa), inducing significant reduction in cell viability of these tumor cells. Our results clearly show that this cytotoxicity was responsible for inducing cellular death in human cervical cancer cell by apoptosis. Therefore, both CEO
and GEO can be considered promising chemotherapeutic agents in the treatment of cervical cancer. Further in-depth studies determining the mechanism of action of both CEO and GEO, along with their components, must be warranted.
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