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Scaffolding protein CcmM directs multiprotein phase separation in β-carboxysome biogenesis
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Carboxysomes in cyanobacteria enclose the enzymes Rubisco and carbonic anhydrase to optimize photosynthetic carbon fixation. Understanding carboxysome assembly has implications in agricultural biotechnology. Here we analyzed the role of the scaffolding protein CcmM of the β-cyanobacterium Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942 in sequestering the hexadecameric Rubisco and the tetrameric carbonic anhydrase, CcaA. We find that the trimeric CcmM, consisting of γCAL oligomerization domains and linked small subunit-like (SSUL) modules, plays a central role in mediation of pre-carboxysome condensate formation through multivalent, cooperative interactions. The γCAL domains interact with the C-terminal tails of the CcaA subunits and additionally mediate a head-to-head association of CcmM trimers. Interestingly, SSUL modules, besides their known function in recruiting Rubisco, also participate in intermolecular interactions with the γCAL domains, providing further valency for network formation. Our findings reveal the mechanism by which CcmM functions as a central organizer of the pre-carboxysome multiprotein matrix, concentrating the core components Rubisco and CcaA before β-carboxysome shell formation.

Carboxysomes in cyanobacteria are proteinaceous microcompartments that enclose the photosynthetic key enzyme Rubisco (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase), together with carbonic anhydrase (CA), to generate high CO2 levels for carbon fixation. Implementation of a carboxysome-like CO2-concentrating mechanism (CCM) in chloroplasts as a strategy for improving photosynthetic efficiency in crop plants requires a detailed understanding of carboxysome biogenesis. Recent advances have shown that, early in this process, specialized scaffolding proteins initiate phase separation of Rubisco into a condensate for subsequent encapsulation. However, the mechanisms underlying the sequestration of CA together with Rubisco are not yet understood.

Form I Rubisco, a complex of eight large (RbcL) and eight small (RbcS) subunits (Fig. 1a), evolved in an atmosphere rich in CO2. The drop in CO2 levels 500 million years ago generated the evolutionary pressure for the development of a CCM in photosynthetic bacteria. There are two forms of carboxysome, α and β, which differ in their components and probably evolved independently. Their proteinaceous shell allows the entry of dissolved CO2 in the form of HCO3−, which is converted to CO2 by CA inside the carboxysome (Fig. 1b). The shell prevents CO2 from diffusing out, resulting in the generation of high levels of CO2 in the vicinity of Rubisco and avoiding the competing side-reaction of Rubisco with oxygen.

β-Carboxysome biogenesis is reliant on the protein CcmM as a central organizing scaffold. The full-length CcmM protein in Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942 (Se7942) is a homotrimer of ~58-kDa subunits (SeM58). The N terminus of each M58 protomer consists of a γ-class carbon anhydrase-like (γCAL) domain that has lost the functional motifs for CA activity, followed by three Rubisco small subunit-like (SSUL) modules connected by flexible linkers (Fig. 1c). The γCAL domains mediate M58 trimer formation. A smaller isoform, SeM35 (~37 kDa), comprising only SSUL modules (Fig. 1c) is generated from an internal ribosome binding site. In Se7942, CA activity is provided by a separate β-class CA protein, CcaA (~30 kDa) (Fig. 1c). Its deletion results in loss of CCM function, with cyanobacterial growth being dependent on high CO2 (5%) and CcaA is redox regulated and active only in the oxidizing environment of the carboxysome. It is recruited to carboxysomes by the γCAL domains of CcmM by an unknown mechanism.

We recently reported that the SSUL modules of SeM35 function to sequester Rubisco into a condensate. This mode of condensate formation, through multivalent interactions of folded domains, differs from the use of intrinsically disordered, linear motifs as phase-separation scaffolds in α-carboxysomes and eukaryotic membraneless compartments. Here we used a combined structural and biochemical approach to understand the interactions of SeM58 with CcaA and Rubisco. Our results reveal multiple, interwoven demixing and coassembly reactions with M58 functioning as a central organizer of the pre-carboxysome matrix. The γCAL domains of trimeric M58 recruit CcaA by binding the C-terminal peptide sequence of CcaA. Moreover, the high local concentration of SSUL modules in trimeric M58 provides enhanced avidity for Rubisco compared to M35. Additionally, SSUL modules engage in dynamic electrostatic interactions with γCAL domains. A head-to-head association of M58 trimers via their γCAL domains further increases local SSUL concentration. These interactions cooperate to facilitate the efficient multiprotein coassembly of CcmM (M58 and M35), CcaA and Rubisco for encapsulation into β-carboxysomes.

Results
CcmM–CcaA condensate formation. To investigate the interaction of CcmM and CcaA, we recombinantly expressed and purified CcmM (M58 and M35) and the CcaA of Se7942 (Extended Data Fig. 1a). Size-exclusion chromatography coupled to multivariate light scattering (SEC–MALS) confirmed that M58 is a trimer in solution while CcaA behaved as a tetramer (Extended Data Fig. 1b,c and Supplementary Table 1), consistent with βCAs functioning as dimers or higher-order oligomers. We performed turbidity assays to monitor the interaction between M58 and CcaA. While no
oxidized M58 (M58ox) with 0–1.25 M mixtures with unlabeled protein. Representative data of triplicate measurements are shown. Condensate formation was monitored by turbidity assay at 340 nm. Proteins alone were analyzed as control. Data are mean ± s.d. of triplicate measurements. Notably, no turbidity was observed upon mixing CcaAΔ (Fig. 2a, Extended Data Fig. 1a and Supplementary Table 1).

To confirm this interaction, we recombinantly expressed and purified the γCAL domain of M58 (residues 1–198) (γCAL198) (Fig. 2c, Extended Data Fig. 1a and Supplementary Table 1). As expected, CcaA did not interact with M35, which lacks the γCAL domain, as monitored by turbidity assay (Fig. 2c,d). CcaA at a concentration of 0.5 μM also failed to interact detectably with the trimeric γCAL198 (0.75 μM) (Fig. 2d). However, increasing the concentration of CcaA and γCAL198 by tenfold resulted in the development of turbidity with slow kinetics (t1/2 = ~5 min) (Fig. 2e), while no interaction was observed with M35 (Extended Data Fig. 2d). Interestingly, unlike the salt-sensitive M58-CcaA interaction (Fig. 1d,e), complex formation of CcaA with γCAL198 was somewhat enhanced at higher salt concentration (Fig. 2e), suggesting a contribution of hydrophobic forces. Fluorescence microscopy revealed small CcaA–γCAL condensates on a background of diffusely distributed proteins (Extended Data Fig. 2f).

To test whether the C2 region of CcaA was sufficient to mediate binding to γCAL198, we attached the 15-residue C2 sequence (EGFP) via a short flexible linker (GSGGSG) (EGFPC215) (Fig. 2f). No complex formation of EGFPC215 with γCAL198 was detected following analysis by native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) (Fig. 2f). However, we noticed the presence of a tryptophan residue just N-terminal of the C2 sequence, which would increase the hydrophobicity of the sequence. Indeed, a GFP construct containing the last 17 residues of CcaA (GWLAEPEQQLRYGRNAS) (EGFP(C2ω)) readily bound to γCAL198 (Fig. 2f).

In summary, the C-terminal C2 sequence of CcaA interacts with the γCAL domains of M58, an interaction critical for the recruitment of CcaA into the pre-carboxysome.

### Structural basis of the CcaA C2–γCAL interaction.

To identify the C2 binding site on γCAL, we analyzed the γCAL198–C217 complex by X-ray crystallography. However, crystals of the complex diffracted to only ~3.5 Å resolution, presumably due to the presence of a break in helix α2 of γCAL at residue 181, as suggested by crystal structures of the γCAL domain of *Thermosyphochoccus elongatus* BP-1 (PDB: 3KWC; PDB: 3KWD) (Extended Data Fig. 3a,b). Indeed, SγCAL 1–181 (γCAL181) (Extended Data Fig. 1a) produced well-diffracting crystals, allowing structure solution by molecular replacement (PDB: 3KWC) at 1.67 Å resolution (Fig. 3a, Table 1 and Extended Data Fig. 3c). The overall structure of the γCAL181 protomer is highly similar to that of TγCA (PDB: 3KWD) (Ca root mean square deviation (RMSD), 0.48 Å) (Extended Data Fig. 3d); it consists of an N-terminal, seven-turn, left-handed β-helix followed by a short helix α1, a long linker and part of helix α2, which packs along one face of the β-helix (Fig. 3a)..

The asymmetric unit of the crystal contained the protomer of γCAL181, while γCAL exists as a trimer in solution (Supplementary Table 1). Indeed, analysis using proteins, interfaces, structures and assemblies (PISA) indicated an extensive interface between subunits (4,180 Å² buried at the interface from a total accessible surface of 18,860 Å²) (Extended Data Fig. 3e).

We also solved the structure of γCAL181 in complex with the C2ω peptide of CcaA at a resolution of 1.63 Å (Fig. 3b, Table 1 and Extended Data Fig. 3f,g). The asymmetric unit of the crystal contained the protomer of γCAL181 with one peptide bound (Fig. 3b and Extended Data Fig. 3f). This indicates that all binding sites of the trimeric γCAL181 are occupied by peptide, providing the basis for multivalent network formation between M58 and CcaA (Fig. 3b).

Analysis by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) using the monomeric EGFPC217 revealed a binding affinity (Kd) of ~2 μM at a stoichiometry of ~2.7 per γCAL198 trimer (Extended Data Fig. 3h).

The peptide is bound as a two-turn α-helix (residues PEQQQR)
in a pocket beneath the protruding β10-β11 loop, making extensive interactions with residues in β11, β17, the β19-β20 loop and α1 of the γCAL protomer. The hydrophobic interactions include π-stacking between the indole ring of residue W257 in the C2 peptide with the benzene ring of F123 (β17) in γCAL (Fig. 3c). In addition, the hydrophobic residue Y267 of C2 contacts the γCAL
Fig. 2 | Structural requirements for M58–CcaA condensate formation.  

a. Condensate formation is dependent on the C-terminal C2 peptide sequence of CcaA. a, Domain structure of CcaA and CcaAΔC2, lacking the C-terminal 15 residues of CcaA. Amino acid numbers are indicated. b, Turbidity assays as in Fig. 1d,e at 100 mM KCl with 0.5 μM CcaA or CcaAΔC2 and 0.5 μM M58red or M58ox. Data are mean ± s.d. of triplicate measurements. c, Domain structure of M58, γCAL198 and M35 constructs. Amino acid numbers are indicated. The SSUL modules of M58 and M35 are numbered SSUL1–3 from the N to the C terminus. d, Condensate formation requires the γCAL domains and SSUL modules of M58. Turbidity assays with the combinations of proteins indicated were performed at 100 mM KCl using 0.75 μM γCAL198, 0.5 μM CcaA, 0.5 μM M58red/M58ox and 2.25 μM M35. Note that the relative concentrations of M58 and M35 were adjusted to maintain SSUL modules at a similar concentration. Data are mean ± s.d. of triplicate measurements. e, Condensate formation of γCAL198 and CcaA requires high protein concentrations and the presence of salt. Turbidity assays with the combinations of proteins indicated were performed at 50–200 mM KCl using 5.0 μM CcaA and 7.5 μM γCAL198. Data are mean ± s.d. of triplicate measurements. f, The C217 sequence of CcaA is sufficient for CcaA binding to γCAL198. Left: eGFP constructs containing either the C-terminal 15 or 17 residues of CcaA fused to eGFP. Right: purified proteins (22.5 μM) were incubated with γCAL198 (7.5 μM) (100 mM KCl) for 15 min at 25 °C, followed by analysis of complex formation by native-PAGE and Coomassie staining. Representative data of triplicate experiments are shown. b,d,e, Data are available as Source data.
residue L81 (β11) (Fig. 3c). Two electrostatic interactions (salt bridges) are formed by the guanidinium group of the peptide residue R265 with E143 (β20) and D163 (α1) of γCAL (Fig. 3c). The side chains of C2 residues Q262 and Q263 form hydrogen bonds with the backbone of D141 (β19-β20 loop) and the side chain of N124 (β17) in γCAL, respectively. The side chain of C2 residue Q262 also forms a hydrogen bond with the side chain of γCAL residue Q159 (α1). Moreover, the guanidinium group of R126 (β17) in γCAL forms hydrogen bonds with the backbone of I266 and Y267 in C2, and another hydrogen bond is formed between the backbone of C2 residue Y267 and L81 (β11) of γCAL (Fig. 3c). Notably, the binding site of C2 is highly conserved in the γCA/γCAL domains of CcmM proteins.

To validate the contribution of residues W257 and R265 to the C2–γCAL interface, we generated the mutants W257A and R265D in EFPC217 and CcaA. Gel shift assays showed that both mutant proteins failed to form a complex with trimeric γCAL (Extended Data Fig. 3i). M58–CcaA condensate formation monitored by turbidity assay was reduced by ~50% for CcaA(W257A) and abolished for CcaA(R265D) (Fig. 3d). These results underscore the specific interactions involving residues R265 and W257.

Fig. 3 | Structure of the γCAL–C2 complex. a, Structural model of the Se7942 γCAL domain (residues 1-181) at 1.67-Å resolution, in ribbon representation. Secondary structural elements are indicated. b, Structural model of the γCAL181–C217 complex at 1.63-Å resolution. Left: γCAL domain (blue) is shown in transparent surface representation and the bound helical C217 peptide (pink) in ribbon representation. Right: model of the trimer of the γCAL181–C217 complex in transparent surface representation. c, Details of the interaction of C2 peptide with γCAL. Proteins are colored as in b and shown in ribbon representation, with critical amino acid residues in stick representation. Secondary structural elements and residue numbers are indicated. d, Point mutations of C217 peptide residues forming the interface with γCAL reduced or abolished the interaction between CcaA and M58. Turbidity assays with the combinations of proteins indicated were performed at 100 mM KCl using either 0.5 μM M58red/M58ox and 0.5 μM CcaA or the mutant proteins CcaA(W257A) or CcaA(R265D). Data are mean ± s.d. of triplicate measurements. Data are available as Source data.
To address this possibility, we analyzed whether M58 can undergo condensate formation on its own. Reasoning that interactions involving SSUL modules might be more salt sensitive, we conducted this analysis at a reduced salt concentration (50 mM KCl) and at a higher protein concentration compared to the experiments above (0.5 μM M58 in Fig. 1d,e). Interestingly, we observed homodemic mixing of both reduced and oxidized M58 (M58red and M58ox, respectively), with M58red requiring somewhat higher concentrations (Fig. 4b and Extended Data Fig. 4a). Fluorescence microscopy showed that condensate formation by M58ox was enhanced compared to M58red (Fig. 4c and Extended Data Fig. 4b). As in the case of the M58–CcaA condensate, there was no measurable recovery by FRAP (Extended Data Fig. 4c) and no droplet fusion (Extended Data Fig. 4d and Supplementary Video 2). Notably, mutation of the disulfide-forming cysteines in SSUL1 and SSUL2 to serine prohibited homodemic mixing (Extended Data Fig. 4e), consistent with the redox dependence of the process.

The redox dependence of M58 homodemic mixing suggested involvement of SSUL modules in mediation of homotypic interactions. Indeed, homodemic mixing proved to be highly salt sensitive (Extended Data Fig. 4f,g), which might explain the salt-sensitive component of the CcaA-M58 interaction. Indeed, while removal of one SSUL module from M58 (γCAL-2S) had only a minor effect, removal of two SSUL modules (γCAL-1S) completely abolished M58ox homodemic mixing (Fig. 4d), suggesting that SSUL modules play a role in mediation of the interaction between M58 trimers. Since M35 on its own did not undergo phase separation (Extended Data Fig. 2e), such interactions would have to be specific for trimeric M58. To test this, we engineered a M35 trimer by fusing a trimeric coiled-coil sequence to the M35 N terminus (CCTRI M35) (Extended Data Fig. 4h and Supplementary Table 1). However, no turbidity signal was detectable with CCTRI M35, even at high concentrations and low salt (Extended Data Fig. 4h), pointing to an interaction of SSUL modules with the γCAL domains in M58 and not between SSUL modules.

In summary, SSUL modules contribute to M58–CcaA condensate formation, apparently by mediation of salt-sensitive intermolecular interactions with the γCAL domains of neighboring M58 trimers, which allow M58 homodemic mixing.

### Charge-charge interactions between SSUL and γCAL

Both the SSUL and γCAL domains expose multiple charged residues, which are characterized by a high degree of conservation (Extended Data Fig. 5a–d). Individual mutations of arginine residues 251, 252 or 254 in SSUL1, or R367 in SSUL2 or R481 in SSUL3 to aspartate (Fig. 5a–d), or seryl substitutions in γCAL (Extended Data Fig. 5e), indicated that all three SSUL modules contribute. Moreover, individual mutations of the conserved negatively charged residues E246, D249, D294 and E303 in SSUL1 to lysine resulted in enhanced turbidity, except for the mutant E286K, which behaved like wild type (Fig. 4e). These results suggested that a region of SSUL with several exposed positively charged residues would promote interaction with the γCAL domains of M58. One good candidate was the area containing residues R251, R252 and R254 (Extended Data Fig. 5b). Indeed, point mutation of the spatially close, negatively charged residue D249 to lysine enhanced M58 homodemic mixing (Fig. 4e and Extended Data Fig. 5b). Interestingly, this region of SSUL is also involved in the interaction of the SSUL modules with Rubisco16.

To identify the complementary surface of the γCAL domain that may interact with SSUL, we individually mutated the negatively charged γCAL residues E17, D21, D35, E76 or D112 to lysine, and the positively charged residues R37, R43, K62, R79, R95 or R126 to aspartate (Extended Data Fig. 5c). Among these residues, E17, D35, E76, R79, D112 and R126 are relatively conserved (Extended Data Fig. 5c). As expected, the effect of these charge mutations was

### Contribution of SSUL modules to M58–CcaA interaction

So far, our analysis had shown that the interaction between M58 and CcaA involves two components: (1) a salt-sensitive component detected with full-length CcmM (M58) and CcaA, and (2) interaction between the γCAL domain and the C2 peptide of CcaA, which has a notable hydrophobic component. To understand the salt-sensitive component in more detail, we investigated the interaction of CcaA with C-terminal truncation mutants of M58 containing either two (γCAL-2S) or one (γCAL-1S) SSUL modules (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Table 1). Condensate formation with CcaA was only mildly impaired with γCAL-2S but was strongly reduced with γCAL-1S (Fig. 4a), indicating that SSUL modules provide additional, critical valency for condensate formation. Since no binding of CcaA to M35 was observed (Fig. 2d and Extended Data Fig. 2e), this raised the question of how SSUL modules contribute to CcaA–M58 complex formation. Might the SSUL modules mediate homo- oligomeric interactions between M58 trimers?
reversed (Fig. 4f) compared to the mutations in SSUL (Fig. 4c)—converting positive charges to negative on γCAL enhanced M58, homodimerizing (except for mutant R43D) while changing negative charges to positive reduced condensate formation (except for mutant D21K) (Fig. 4f and Extended Data Fig. 5d). Note that the mutations D21K and R43D, which essentially preserved wild-type binding, are located at the edge of the putative surface for γCAL trimer formation and are also not highly conserved (Fig. 4f and Extended Data Fig. 5c,d). Mutational analysis suggests that negatively charged residues E17, D35 and E76, spatially located above the β10-β11 loop of the γCAL domain, form the intermolecular interaction site for SSUL modules (Extended Data Fig. 5d). Indeed, point mutation of the nearby positively charged residues, R37 and R79, to aspartate strongly promoted M58 homodimerizing (Fig. 4f).

Interestingly, it appears that the binding region on the γCAL domain for SSUL does not overlap with the site for binding the C2 peptide of CcaA, which is located below the β10-β11 loop (Fig. 4g). This is consistent with the observation that both SSUL modules and CcaA binding via the C2 peptide are required for efficient formation of the M58–CcaA condensate (Fig. 4a). Note that the trimeric state of M58 was maintained for all SSUL and γCAL domain mutants (Supplementary Table 1).

In summary, the intermolecular interaction between SSUL modules and the γCAL domains of M58 trimers involves a complex interplay of attractive and repulsive forces, consistent with single-charge reversal mutations having reducing or enhancing effects (Fig. 4c,f).

Head-to-head association of γCAL trimers. To analyze the structural basis of the intermolecular interactions of M58, we performed cryo-EM of M58γ. Reference-free, two-dimensional (2D) class averages revealed a class of barrel-shaped complexes with dimensions of ~5 × 8.2 nm, consistent with two-stacked γCAL trimers in side view (Extended Data Fig. 6a,b). Notably, a head-to-head association of γCAL trimeric domains is present in the asymmetric unit of the TeyCA crystal (PDB: 3KW3), and such an interaction is also observed in the molecular packing of our γCAL1γ and γCAL2γ–C2γ crystals. A three-dimensional (3D) classification without imposed symmetry resulted in an EM density map of ~3.6-Å resolution (Fig. 4h, Table 2 and Extended Data Fig. 6).

In the cryo-EM density map there was substantial information loss in side views, due to a preferential end view orientation of the particles (Extended Data Fig. 6b). However, the three seven-turn β-helices and many bulky side chains were well resolved in the end view of the density map (Fig. 4h and Extended Data Fig. 6e), thus allowing docking of the stacked γCAL1γ trimers from the crystallographic model (Extended Data Fig. 3e). Additional densities were seen to protrude from the edges of the complex above the β10-β11 loop (Fig. 4h), probably representing SSUL modules interacting either intra- or intermolecularly with the γCAL domains. Note that while SSUL2 and SSUL3 may function preferentially to form intermolecular contacts, our mutational analysis showed that all three SSUL modules participate in M58 homodimerizing (Fig. 4e). The putative SSUL densities are smaller than the size of the SSUL module and are of low resolution, suggesting a dynamic interaction that precluded docking of bound SSUL. This dynamicity would allow SSUL modules to function in both M58 homodimerizing and Rubisco sequestration.

Both γCAL1γ and γCAL1γ–C2γ crystals revealed the presence of two protomer–protomer salt bridges across the dimer interface formed by the conserved residues R164 and D172 (Fig. 4i). Thus, a total of six salt bridges stabilizes the dimer of γCAL trimers. Note that γCAL1γ and γCAL1γ are nevertheless trimeric in solution (Supplementary Table 1), suggesting that the head-to-head association occurs only at high protein concentrations within the condensate or crystal. To investigate the functional relevance of this interaction, we disrupted the salt bridges by either mutating R164 to aspartate or D172 to lysine. Strikingly, both R164D and D172K mutants strongly reduced homodimerizing of M58 (Fig. 4j), indicating that dimer-of-trimer formation via the γCAL domains provides critical valency, presumably by increasing the local concentration of SSUL modules. Dimerization of hub proteins has been reported to increase valency in other condensate systems as well10. In contrast, disruption of the M58 head-to-head dimer did not affect the interaction of CcaA with M58 (Fig. 4k). Here, sufficient avidity is
presumably maintained by the intermolecular M58 interactions mediated by SSUL binding to γCAL domains.

In summary, a cooperative network of fluctuating interactions ensures recruitment of CcaA into carboxysomes: (1) the extreme C-terminal sequence (C2) of CcaA binds the γCAL domain of M58, driven by a combination of hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions; (2) the SSUL modules of M58 engage the γCAL domains of adjacent M58 trimers via dynamic multivalent electrostatic interactions, with C2 and SSUL binding to distinct regions on γCAL; and (3) M58 undergoes homodimerization mediated by both intermolecular γCAL-SSUL interactions and a head-to-head association via γCAL trimers.
M58 binds Rubisco with high affinity. The SSUL modules of M58 have recently been shown to link the Rubisco holoenzyme. To investigate how the trimeric M58 interacts with Rubisco, we first determined the apparent affinities of M58\textsubscript{red} and M58\textsubscript{ox} for Rubisco. M58 in both redox states displayed essentially identical apparent affinities ($K_D$) of ~0.07 $\mu$M for Rubisco at 50 mM KCl (Fig. 5a).

This interaction was ~three- to tenfold stronger than that of M35 with Rubisco ($K_D$ of ~0.2 and ~1 $\mu$M under reducing and oxidizing conditions, respectively)

\textsuperscript{15}, presumably due to the increased local concentration of SSUL modules in the M58 trimer. We confirmed this using the trimeric M35 construct CC\textsubscript{TRIM35}, which also showed high binding affinity for Rubisco (Fig. 5a).

The trimeric γCAL\textsubscript{198} alone, lacking SSUL modules, failed to interact with Rubisco (Fig. 5b,c). Moreover, the interaction of M58 with Rubisco proved to be salt resistant (up to 300 and 200 mM KCl for M58\textsubscript{red} and M58\textsubscript{ox}, respectively; Extended Data Fig. 7a,b), in contrast to a recent suggestion\textsuperscript{16}.

Efficient coassembly of M58, M35, CcaA and Rubisco.

Carboxysome biogenesis requires the scaffolding proteins M58 and M35 to sequester Rubisco and CcaA in the reducing cytosol. We next analyzed whether the complex interactions described above allow coassembly of these proteins into a distinct condensate. As shown above, M58 undergoes condensate formation with CcaA and Rubisco while M35 forms a condensate only with Rubisco. We first investigated whether Rubisco, M58 and CcaA can coassemble. Assuming that CcaA is substoichiometric to M58 in the carboxysome\textsuperscript{16}, we performed a sedimentation assay of the three proteins keeping the concentration of Rubisco and M58 constant (0.25, 0.25 and 0.125 $\mu$M, respectively), all four proteins were recovered in the pellet fraction following sedimentation, with ~50% of M35 remaining in the supernatant (Extended Data Fig. 9a), indicative of highly efficient sequestration. Using this condition, fluorescence microscopy demonstrated the colocalization of all three proteins (differentially labeled) into a phase-separated condensate (Fig. 6a).

M58 is more abundant in carboxysomes than M58 (ref.\textsuperscript{17}). Following the addition of excess M58 (2 $\mu$M) to the coassembly reaction of Rubisco/M58/CcaA (0.25, 0.25 and 0.125 $\mu$M, respectively), all four proteins were recovered in the pellet fraction following sedimentation, with ~50% of M35 remaining in the supernatant (Extended Data Fig. 9a). Fluorescence microscopy using three fluorophores to label either Rubisco, M35 and M58, or Rubisco, M58 and CcaA showed that all four proteins efficiently colocalized (Fig. 6b,c). When M58 was replaced with CC\textsubscript{TRIM35}, CcaA no longer phase separated and was diffusely distributed (Fig. 6d). The average Feret’s diameter of the condensates varied from ~1.0 to ~2.5 $\mu$m depending on total protein concentration (Supplementary Table 2). Droplet fusion of the four-protein condensate occurred...
at only a very slow rate, indicating low fluidity (Fig. 6e and Supplementary Video 5). M35 mobility by FRAP was somewhat reduced in the condensate of the four proteins compared to the interaction of M35 and Rubisco, while M58 was immobile (Fig. 6f). Notably, the Rubisco enzyme was fully functional in carbon fixation within the condensates (Fig. 6g).
In summary, the scaffolding proteins M58 and M35, differing in binding affinity and dynamics, ensure the facile sequestration of Rubisco and CcaA for copackaging into carboxysomes. M35 is the only component in the four-protein pre-carboxysome condensate that shows detectable mobility.

Discussion

β-Carboxysome biogenesis involves the sequestration of Rubisco together with the carbonic anhydrase CcaA, followed by shell formation. Our biochemical and structural analysis elucidated how the scaffolding protein CcmM functions as a central organizer in recruiting Rubisco and CcaA into the carboxysome core. CcmM orchestrates multiple, interwoven coassembly reactions via its γCAL and SSUL domains, resulting in the formation of an essentially immobile protein mesh. Once captured under reducing conditions, constituent carboxysome components cannot escape, facilitating efficient encapsulation. The low dynamics and slow fusion rate of the condensates may be relevant in limiting pre-carboxysome size before shell formation, because aberrantly large carboxysomes are less efficient in the CO2-concentrating mechanism.

The relatively low dynamic assemblies of the C2 peptide of CcaA (Fig. 4g). The multivalency of the network is enhanced further by the ability of γCAL trimers to form head-to-head dimers (Fig. 6h). Similar interactions underlying condensate formation have been reported for other systems to result in relatively low dynamic assemblies.

Recruitment of Rubisco for carboxysome biogenesis is solely mediated by the SSU modules of M58 and M35, which bind in a groove at the interface between antiparallel RbcL dimers. M58 has a substantially higher affinity for Rubisco than M35, due to the presence of nine SSU modules per M58 trimmer compared to only three in M35. The flexible linkers between SSU modules apparently do not contribute directly to the interaction, but may play a role in balancing the entropic penalty of SSU binding. The density, and thus avidity, of SSU modules would be further increased by the γCAL-mediated head-to-head association of M58 trimers. What then is the role of M35, which is present in excess over M58 (ref. 13), and why are both proteins essential for carboxysome biogenesis? We suggest that the differential redox regulation of M35 and M58 is important in converting the immobile pre-carboxysome condensate, required for initial capture of Rubisco and CcaA, into a more dynamic state in the oxidizing interior of the carboxysome. This redox regulation is mediated by disulfide bond formation in SSU modules, which is critical for carboxysome biogenesis and CCM function in vivo. Oxidation favors the interaction of SSU modules with γCAL domains, thereby enhancing M58 homodimerization. Indeed, under oxidizing conditions, preformed M58 precondensates were maintained within more dilute and enlarged M58–Rubisco droplets.

In summary, the scaffolding proteins M58 and M35, differing in binding affinity and dynamics, ensure the facile sequestration of Rubisco and CcaA for copackaging into carboxysomes. M35 is the only component in the four-protein pre-carboxysome condensate that shows detectable mobility.
pre-carboxysome condensate following oxidation may promote the formation of channels around the Rubisco lattice that can be navigated by other carboxysomal proteins and metabolites. This would also facilitate the metabolic repair of Rubisco by Rubisco activase, which possesses SSUL modules for recruitment into the pre-carboxysome matrix.  

---

**Figure a**

0.25 μM rubisco + 0.25 μM M58_red + 0.25 μM CcaA

**Figure b**

0.5 μM rubisco + 2.0 μM M35_red + 0.25 μM M58_red + 0.25 μM unlabeled CcaA

**Figure c**

0.5 μM rubisco + 2.0 μM unlabeled M35_red + 0.25 μM M58_red + 0.25 μM CcaA

**Figure d**

0.5 μM rubisco + 2.0 μM unlabeled M35_red + 0.25 μM CCTRIM35_red + 0.25 μM CcaA

**Figure e**

0.5 μM rubisco + 2.0 μM M35_red + 0.25 μM M58_red (labeled) + 0.25 μM CcaA

**Figure f**

FRAP M35 or M58

**Figure g**

Rubisco activity (percentage of RbcL8S8)

**Figure h**

- M58–rubisco condensate
- γCAL–CcaA condensate
- M58 homo condensate

| Condition          | Salt sensitive | Redox regulated |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| M58–rubisco condensate | YES          | NO              |
| γCAL–CcaA condensate   | YES          | NO              |
| M58 homo condensate   | YES          | YES             |
In summary, our findings suggest the following model for pre-carboxysome formation in β-cyanobacteria: in the reducing cytosol, M58 cooperates with M35 to efficiently concentrate Rubisco and CcaA into an immobile matrix for subsequent encapsulation. Redox regulation of SSUL modules in the oxidizing carboxysome then favors homodimerization of M58 and renders the interaction of M35 with Rubisco more dynamic. This transition is required for CCM function.

Online content
Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-021-00676-5.

Received: 20 May 2021; Accepted: 28 September 2021; Published online: 10 November 2021

References
1. Kerfeld, C. A., Assingparques, C., Zarzycki, J., Cai, F. & Sutter, M. Bacterial microcompartments. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 16, 277–290 (2018).
2. Espie, G. S. & Kimber, M. S. Carboxysomes: cyanobacterial Rubisco comes in small packages. Photosynth. Res. 109, 7–20 (2011).
3. Turmo, A., González-Esquer, C. R., Kerfeld, C. A. Carboxysomes: metabolic modules for CO2 fixation. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 364, ftx176 (2017).
4. Greening, C. & Litgohw, T. Formation and function of bacterial organelles. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 18, 677–689 (2020).
5. González-Esquer, C. R., Shubitsowski, T. B. & Kerfeld, C. A. Streamlined construction of the cyanobacterial CO2-fixing organelle via protein domain fusions for use in plant synthetic biology. Plant Cell 27, 2637–2644 (2015).
6. Hanson, M. R., Lin, M. T., Carmo-Silva, A. E. & Parry, M. A. Towards engineering carboxysomes into C3 plants. Plant J. 87, 38–50 (2016).
7. Long, B. M., Rae, B. D., Rolland, V., Forster, B. & Price, G. D. Cyanobacterial CO2-concentrating mechanism components: function and prospects for plant metabolic engineering. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 31, 1–8 (2016).
8. Rae, B. D. et al. Progress and challenges of engineering a biophysical CO2-concentrating mechanism into higher plants. J. Exp. Bot. 68, 3717–3737 (2017).
9. Giessen, T. W. & Silver, P. A. Engineering carbon fixation with artificial metabolic modules for CO2 fixation. Nature Rev. Microbiol. 17, 65 (2019).
10. Nishimura, T., Yamaguchi, O., Takatani, N., Maeda, S. & Omata, T. In vitro and in vivo analyses of the role of the carboxysomal β-type carbonic anhydrase of Synechocystis PCC6803. Plant Physiol. 150, 785–793 (2013).
11. Li, P. et al. Phase transitions in the assembly of multivalent signalling proteins. Nature 483, 336–340 (2012).
12. Mackinder, L. C. et al. A repeat protein links Rubisco to form the eukaryotic carbon-concentrating organelle. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 113, 5958–5963 (2016).
13. Banani, S. F., Lee, H. O., Hyman, A. A. & Rosen, M. K. Biomolecular condensates: organizers of cellular biochemistry. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 18, 285–298 (2017).
14. Boeynaems, S. et al. Protein phase separation: a new phase in cell biology. Trends Cell Biol. 28, 420–435 (2018).
15. Ghosh, A. & Zhou, H.-X. Determinants for fusion speed of biomolecular droplets. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 59, 20837–20840 (2020).
16. Kim, M. S. in Carbonic Anhydrase: Mechanism, Regulation, Links to Disease, and Industrial Applications (eds Frost, S. C. & McKenna, R.) 89–103 (Springer, 2014).
17. Long, B. M., Badger, M. R., Whitney, S. M. & Price, G. D. Analysis of carboxysomes from Synechocystis PCC7942 reveals multiple Rubisco complexes with carboxysomal proteins CcmM and CcaA. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 29323–29335 (2007).
18. Cot, S. S., So, A. K. & Espie, G. S. A multiprotein bicarbonate dehydratation complex essential to carboxysome function in cyanobacteria. J. Bacteriol. 190, 9101–9105 (2008).
19. Hayer-Hartl, M. & Hartl, F. U. Chaperone machineries of Rubisco – the most abundant enzyme. Trends Biochem. Sci. 45, 748–763 (2020).
20. Kim, M. S. & Espie, G. S. Structural basis of the oxidative activation of the carboxysomal gamma-carbonic anhydrase, CcmM. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107, 2455–2460 (2010).
21. Kim, M. S. Cloning, characterization and expression of carboxyd from the cyanobacterium Synechocystis PCC6803. Plant Mol. Biol. 37, 205–215 (1998).
22. Kim, J. M., Holehouse, A. S. & Pappu, R. V. Physical principles underlying the complex biology of intracellular phase transitions. Annu. Rev. Biophys. 49, 107–133 (2020).
23. Kim, M. S. in Carbonic Anhydrase: Mechanism, Regulation, Links to Disease, and Industrial Applications (eds Frost, S. C. & McKenna, R.) 89–103 (Springer, 2014).
24. Kim, J. D., Endrizzi, J. A., Cronk, M. R., O’neill, J. W. & Zhang, K. Y. J. Biochemistry 45, 240–250 (2012).
25. Crb, D. & Espie, G. S. Characterization of the C-terminal extension of carboxysomal carbonic anhydrase from Synechocystis sp. PCC7942. Plant Cell Physiol. 38, 993–1004 (1997).
26. Krisrinin, E. & Henrichk, K. Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. J. Mol. Biol. 372, 774–797 (2007).
27. Flecher, J. M. et al. A basis set of de novo coiled-coil peptide oligomers for rational protein design and synthetic biology. ACS Synth. Biol. 1, 240–250 (2012).
50. Bienz, M. Head-to-tail polymerization in the assembly of biomolecular condensates. *Cell* **182**, 799–811 (2020).
51. Rohnke, B. A., Kerfeld, C. A. & Montgomery, B. L. Binding options for the small subunit-like domain of cyanobacteria to Rubisco. *Front. Microbiol.* **11**, 187 (2020).
52. Saschenbrecker, S. et al. Structure and function of RbcX, an assembly chaperone for hexadecameric Rubisco. *Cell* **129**, 1189–1200 (2007).
53. Bracher, A., Starling-Windhof, A., Hartl, F. U. & Hayer-Hartl, M. Crystal structure of a chaperone-bound assembly intermediate of form I Rubisco. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **18**, 875–880 (2011).
54. Hauser, T. et al. Structure and mechanism of the Rubisco-assembly chaperone RafI. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **22**, 720–728 (2015).
55. Cameron, J. C., Wilson, S. C., Bernstein, S. L. & Kerfeld, C. A. Biogenesis of a bacterial organelle: the carboxysome assembly pathway. *Cell* **155**, 1131–1140 (2013).
56. Sun, Y., Wollman, A. J. M., Huang, F., Leake, M. C. & Liu, L. N. Single-organelle quantification reveals stoichiometric and structural variability of carboxysomes dependent on the environment. *Plant Cell* **31**, 1648–1664 (2019).
57. Wu, H. & Fuxreiter, M. The structure and dynamics of higher-order assemblies: amyloids, signalosomes, and granules. *Cell* **165**, 1055–1066 (2016).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021
**Methods**

**Strains.** *Escherichia coli* DH5α (ThermoFisher) cells were used for the amplification of plasmid DNA. Positive clones were selected and cultivated in lysogeny broth (LB) medium at 37°C for 8 h. *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) (Agilent) was used for recombinant protein expression (see below).

The cyanobacterium *S. elongatus* PCC 7942 (Se7942) (Institut Pasteur Paris) was used to obtain genomic DNA of *ccmA* and *ccAa*. Se7942 was cultivated in BG-11 medium at 30°C and 50 rpm. under continuous light.

**Plasmids.** The oligos used for amplification and generation of plasmids are listed in Supplementary Table 3.

**Genomic DNA.** Se7942 was grown to high density and cells pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000g for 10 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 100 mL of buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0/300 mM NaCl) and cells lysed by five cycles of heating (3 min at 90°C) and snap-freezing in liquid nitrogen. The lysate was centrifuged (20,000g for 10 min) and 1 μl of supernatant was used as template in PCR reactions. The full-length *ccmA* gene was amplified using oligo nos. 1/2 and the *ccAa* gene using oligo nos. 67/68 (Supplementary Table 3).

**Plasmids.** The pHUE vector for His6-ubiquitin (H6Ub) fusion proteins was used to generate the plasmids used in this study. Plasmids were assembled by PCR and using the Gibson assembly cloning kit (NEB). The plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 4 and are available upon request from the corresponding author.

**pHUE-Scm5** was generated by amplification of the full-length *ccmA* gene from genomic DNA (Se7942) and subsequent cloning into the pHUE vector. The shorter constructs containing pHUE-Scm5-C1 (1-429), pHUE-Scm5-C1 (1-198) and pHUE-Scm5-C1 (1-181) were prepared by PCR from pHUE-Scm5 and cloned into the pHUE vector. Point mutations in pHUE-Scm5 were introduced by QuickChange mutagenesis (Agilent) to generate the following constructs: pHUE-Scm5-E17K; pHUE-Scm5-M58-D121K; pHUE-Scm5-M58-D35K; pHUE-Scm5-R37D; pHUE-Scm5-R43D; pHUE-Scm5-K62D; pHUE-Scm5-E76K; pHUE-Scm5-R79D; pHUE-Scm5-R95D; pHUE-Scm5-D112K; pHUE-Scm5-R126D; pHUE-Scm5-R164D; pHUE-Scm5-D127K; pHUE-Scm5-E246K; pHUE-Scm5-D249K; pHUE-Scm5-R251D; pHUE-Scm5-E286K; pHUE-Scm5-D294K; pHUE-Scm5-R298D; pHUE-Scm5-E303K; pHUE-Scm5-R367D; and pHUE-Scm5-R418D. pHUE-Scm5-C4S (C261S/C279S/C377S/C395S) was generated by replacing the M58 fragment of pHUE-Scm5 with M58-C4S from the plasmid pHUE-Scm301_CcmM_C261S/C279S/C377S/C395S, by PCR and subsequent Gibson assembly.

To construct the pHUE-CCcM5, the trimeric coiled-coil sequence GEEIAEKIAEKIAEKIAEKIQQKGS was inserted into the plasmid pHUE-Scm301_CcmM_C35 (ref. 7) between the Cterminus of ubiquitin and the N-terminus of M58, by PCR and subsequent Gibson assembly.

**pHUE-M58** was generated by amplification of the *ccmA* gene from genomic DNA (Se7942) and subsequent cloning into the pHUE vector, pHUE-Scm5ΔA22 and pHUE-C22, were generated by cloning either the first 257 residues (1–257) or the last 17 (256–272) of ScmA from pHUE-Scm5 into the pHUE vector, by PCR and subsequent Gibson assembly, respectively. Point mutations in pHUE-Scm5 were introduced by QuickChange mutagenesis (Agilent) to generate the constructs pHUE-Scm5A22(W257A) and pHUE-Scm5A22(R265D). pHUE-Escm5 was generated by amplification of EGFP from pEF-eps (Addgene) with GSTTag at the C terminus and subsequent cloning into pHUE-M58. pHUE-Escm5C22, and pHUE-Escm5C22, were generated by replacing residues 1–257 or 1–255 of ScmA, respectively, in pHUE-M58A22 with EGFP-GSSG5S by PCR and subsequent Gibson assembly. Point mutations in pHUE-Escm5C22 and pHUE-Escm5C22 were introduced by QuickChange mutagenesis (Agilent) to generate the constructs pHUE-Escm5C22(W257A) and pHUE-Escm5C22(R265D).

**Protein expression and purification.** The proteins were expressed and purified as described previously. Protein concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically at 280 nm. M58 and mutants. M58 was expressed and purified from *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells harboring the pHUE-Scm5 plasmid. Briefly, cells were grown in LB medium at 37°C with shaking at 130 rpm. to optical density (OD600) 0.4–0.5. Cells were equilibrated to 18°C (1 h) and protein expression induced by the addition of 0.2 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside for 14 h/120 rpm. Cells were harvested and incubated in buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0/500 mM NaCl/5% glycerol) containing 20 mM imidazole/1 g/l lysosome/2.5 μl/ml SMDNase/complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) for 1 h before lysis using a LumimoduFlo C (Avestin, Inc.). After high-speed centrifugation (40,000g/40 min/4°C), the supernatant was loaded on to a gravity Ni-NTA metal affinity column (Qiagen), equilibrated and washed with ten column volumes of buffer A/20 mM imidazole. The bound protein was eluted with buffer A/300 mM imidazole. The H2Ub moieties was cleaved using H3-Usp2 overnight at 4°C. The cleaved protein was buffer exchanged on a HiPrep 26/10 desalting column (GE) to buffer B. The protein eluate was then applied to a Ni-NTA column for removal of H3-Usp2, the cleaved H2Ub moity and any uncleaved protein. Flowthrough was concentrated to ~3 ml and applied to a size-exclusion column (HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200, GE) equilibrated in buffer B (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0/500 mM KCl/10% glycerol). Protein-containing fractions were concentrated by ultrafiltration using Vivaspin MWCO 30000 (GE), aliquoted and flash-frozen in liquid N2. All M58 mutant proteins were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells harboring the respective plasmids and purified as described for wild-type M58.

**Y2H assay.** Mutant proteins were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells harboring pHUE-Scm5A22(W257A) and pHUE-Scm5A22(R265D) for 10–14 h, respectively, and purified as described above. Proteins were concentrated by ultrafiltration using Vivaspin MWCO 30000 (GE), aliquoted and flash-frozen in liquid N2.

**Turbidity assay.** Measurements were performed at 25°C in buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0/100 mM Mg(OAc)2) containing different concentrations of KCl and in the presence or absence of 5 mM DTT, as indicated in figure legends. Rubisco (0.16 μM) was used to generate proteins as described in figure legends and were rapidly mixed by vortexing, and absorbance at 340 nm was monitored over time on a Jasco V-560 spectrophotometer set to 25°C. Generally, proteins from two independent purification batches were analyzed repeatedly. Data were plotted using Origin 2020.

**Rubisco activity assay.** Rubisco activity assay were performed essentially as described previously. Reactions (50 μl) were performed at 25°C in buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0/100 mM KCl) containing Rubisco (RbcL8S8, 0.5 μM) and M58 (0.25 μM) or M58 (0.25 μM) or M58 (0.25 μM)/ScmA (0.25 μM), and incubated for 10 min in the presence or absence of 5 mM DTT to allow conformational changes. Rubisco active sites were activated by the addition of 20 μl of prema (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0/100 mM KCl/150 mM MgCl2, 250 mM NaHCO3, 4.5 mM NaH14CO3 (specific activity 56.6 mCi mmol−1)) and reactions incubated for a further 10 min. The carboxylation reaction was initiated by the addition of 30 μl of ribulos-1,5-bisphosphate (10 mM) and stopped by the addition of 20 μl of ficinic acid (18 mM) after 5 min. The amount of carbon dioxide was quantified using a HITACHII Accuflex LSC-8000 scintillation counter.
Articles

Bovine serum albumin (ThermoFisher) was used as the calibration standard. The γCrystals of γCAL(1–198) (0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5/25% PEG-3350) were equilibrated against 100 μM of Rubisco holoenzyme, and other proteins were labeled as described above. For each titration point, 10 μl of Labeled protein was mixed with unlabeled protein at a ratio of 1:10. Reactions in figure legends, were combined. After incubation for 5 min at 25 °C, reactions were transferred to an uncoated chambered coverslip (μ-Slide angiogenesis; Ibidi) followed by incubation for a further 5 min before analysis. For the analysis of droplet fusion, reactions (20 μl) contained 10% labeled M58AF5 or M35AF5, with unlabeled Rubisco and other proteins as indicated in the figure legends. After preparing each reaction in a low-binding microcentrifuge tube with protein concentrations as specified in the figure legends, the reaction was transferred to an uncoated chambered coverslip (μ-Slide angiogenesis; Ibidi) followed by incubation and videos were recorded in a single focal plane at 5-s time intervals for 20 min. Samples were illuminated with a Lumenor SPECTRA X Light Engine at 398, 558 and 640 nm for fluorescence imaging. Images were recorded by focusing on the bottom of the plate using a Leica Thunder Widefield 2 microscope with a Leica DFC9000 GTC camera and a HC PL APO ×63/1.47 numerical aperture oil objective, using Leica Application Suite X software. Generally, proteins from two independent purification batches were analyzed repeatedly.

The software Fiji61 was used for analysis of size distribution of droplets. In brief, after preprocessing of images with background subtraction and Gaussian blur, the MaxEntropy method was applied to determine the threshold of segmentation.

Fluorescence recovery following photobleaching. FRAP experiments were carried out with a Leica TCS SP8 AOBS confocal laser scanning microscope (HCX PL APO 63x/1.2 water objective, PMT detector). Rubisco holoenzyme was labeled with Alexa Fluor 532 NHS ester (ThermoFisher) (~3.5 dye molecules bound per Rubisco holoenzyme), and other proteins were labeled as described above. Reactions (20 μl) were incubated at 25 °C for 30 min at 25 °C. After incubation for 5 min at 25 °C, reactions were transferred to an uncoated chambered coverslip (μ-Slide angiogenesis; Ibidi) followed by incubation and fluorescence scanning. Images before and 10 min after photobleaching were recorded in a single focal plane at 5-s time intervals. Bleaching was performed with a bleach point model using either a 405-nm diode laser at 2% intensity or a 532-nm argon laser at 100% intensity in one repeat, with a dwell time of 100 ms. The software Fiji was used for image analysis62. Proteins from the same purification batches were analyzed repeatedly.

Size-exclusion chromatography coupled to SEC–MALC. Purified proteins (2 mg ml−1) were analyzed using static and dynamic light scattering by autoinjection of the sample onto a SEC column (5μm, 4.6 x 300 mm column, Wyatt Technology, no. WTC-030US) at a flow rate of 0.20 or 0.25 ml min−1 in buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0/0.05 M KC1 or 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0/0.05 M MCl/5 mM MDEA) at 25 °C. The column was in line with the following detectors: a variable ultraviolet absorbance detector set at 280 nm (Agilent 1100 series), a DAWN EOS MALD detector (Wyatt Technology, 690-nm laser) and an Ostap LabEX refractive index detector (Wyatt Technology, 690-nm laser)63. Molecular masses were calculated using ASTRA 5 software (Wyatt Technology), with the dn/dc values set to 0.185 ml g−1. Bovine serum albumin (ThermoFisher) was used as the calibration standard. The graphs shown in Extended Data Fig. 1b,c were generated using SigmaPlot 14.

ITC. ITC measurements were carried out on a ITCC200 calorimeter (Microcal, GE) at 20 °C. After dialysis into buffer D, E_C2 (365 μM) was loaded into the syringe and titrated into the sample cell containing γCAL(1–198) (14 μM). The reference cell contained buffer D. For each titration point, 10 μl of E_C2 was injected at time intervals of 3 min. Titration data were analyzed using the software Origin 2020 and fitted with a one-site binding model. Proteins from the same purification batches were analyzed twice.

Crystallization and data collection. Crystals of γCAL(1–181) and γCAL(1–181)–C2δ were grown by the sitting-drop vapor diffusion method at 20°C. Drops containing 0.6 μl of a 1:1 mixture of 10 mg ml−1 protein in buffer C and precipitant (0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5/25% PEG 3350) were equilibrated against 100 μl of precipitant. For cryomounting, crystals were transferred into cryo-buffer (0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5/25% PEG-3350/10% glycerol) and subsequently cryocooled by dipping into liquid N₂.

Crystalllographic data collection, structure solution and refinement. The diffraction data for γCAL(1–181) and γCAL(1–181)–C2δ, crystals were collected at beamline ID23-2 using MXCuBE3 and a wavelength of 0.87313 Å at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) in Grenoble, France, and beamline X06SA using the SXR suite and a wavelength of 0.99989 Å at the Swiss Synchrotron Light Source (SLS) in Villigen, Switzerland, respectively, with crystals maintained at 100 K and processed with autoPROC (Global Phasing)64 using XDS65, POINTLESS66 and AIMLESS67.

The structure of γCAL(1–181) was solved to 1.67 Å resolution by molecular replacement using the program MOLREP68 with the γCAL(1–209) model of T. elongatus BP-1 (PDB: 3KWC) as a search template. The asymmetric unit contained one γCAL(1–181) protomer with residues 1–15 disordered. The model was edited manually using COOT69 as implemented in the CCP4i graphical user interface70. REMACSS was used for model refinement71. The model of γCAL(1–181) contains 127 ordered water molecules, a presumably ordered Cl− ion and a Ni²⁺ atom from the Ni-NTA metal affinity column. The bound Ni²⁺ atom was identified by X-ray fluorescence scanning.

The structure of γCAL(1–181)–C2δ was solved to 1.63 Å resolution by molecular replacement using the γCAL(1–181) model and refined as described above. The asymmetric unit contained one γCAL(1–181) protomer with residues 1–15 disordered, one bound C2δ peptide with residues 256–270 resolved (Extended Data Fig. 3g), one presumably ordered Cl− ion, one Ni²⁺ ion and 103 ordered water molecules.

Structure analysis. The quality of the structural models was analyzed with the program Molprobity72. The final models of γCAL(1–181) and γCAL(1–181)–C2δ, exhibited reasonable stereochemistry with 98.2 and 98.3% of residues, respectively, in the favored regions of the Ramachandran plot and 0.00% and 0.00% in the disallowed regions. Coordinates were aligned with Lsqkab and Lsqwan73. Molecular surfaces were analyzed with PISA74 and figures were created with PyMol (http://www.pymol.org/).

Cryoelectron microscopy and reconstruction. Sample preparation and data collection. All cryogrids were prepared with a Vitrobot Mark IV (FEI). A sample volume of 3 μl was applied to a glow-discharged grid (Quantifoil R1/2-300 mesh) at 25°C and 90% humidity, then semiautomatically blotted and plunged-frozen into liquid ethane.

For the analysis of M58 head-to-head complexes, 6 μM M58red was incubated in buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 50 mM KCl) at 25 °C for 10 min and cryogrids prepared as described above. Eight cryogrids were initially screened on a Glacios transmission electron microscope (ThermoFisher) equipped with a K2 summit direct electron detector (Gatan), operated at 200keV. Selected grids were transferred to a Titan Krios 300-kV TEM (FEI) equipped with Gif Quantum Energy Filters (Gatan) and a K3 direct detector (Gatan). A total of 1,436 videos were automatically collected by SerialEM75 using a pixel size of 0.141 Å. The total exposure time of 1.2 s was divided into 20 frames with an accumulated dose of 60 electrons Å⁻² and a defocus range of −0.65 to −2.15 Å.

The complexes M58red–Rubisco and M58red–RbcL were prepared by mixing Rubisco (6 μM) and M58red (8 μM), or RbcL (6.25 μM) and M58red (16 μM) in buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0/0.05 M Mg(OAc)₂, 50 mM KCl) at 25°C, respectively, for 10 min at 25°C and cryogrids prepared as described above. The cryogrids were screened on a Glacios transmission electron microscope (ThermoFisher) equipped with a K2 summit direct electron detector (Gatan), operated at 200 kV. The selected grid on state was used for data collection directly with K2 summit. Exposure times of 12 s were divided into 40 frames with an accumulated dose of 45 electrons Å⁻². In total, 976 and 1,027 videos were automatically collected for M58red–Rubisco and M58red–RbcL, respectively, by SerialEM75 using a pixel size of 1.88 Å and a defocus range of −0.7 to −4.5 Å.

Image processing. M58 red-blue processing during data collection was performed with MToolsCo2 (ref. 76) and CTFIND-4.1 (ref. 77), as implemented in Focus software78. Only micrographs with good signal quality and with an estimated maximum resolution <5 Å were kept for further data processing with RELION 3.1 (ref. 79) (Extended Data Fig. 6). A total of 349,391 particles were autopicked by Gautomatch (https://www2.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/research/locally-developed-software/zhang-software/) and extracted at a pixel size of 1.65 Å. A total of 414,144 particles were automatically classified by SerialEM75 using a pixel size of 0.141 Å. The refined model of γCAL dimer-of-trimers (PDB: 7O4Z) was converted to an EM density map in mrc format with a low-pass filter to 15 Å, which was used as a reference for image classification and refinement. The selected particles were subjected to one round of refinement, and new particles were extracted with refined coordinates (recenter) at a pixel size of 0.82 Å. Three-dimensional classification resulted in one major class containing 128,330 particles (Extended Data Fig. 6c), which were subjected to contrast transfer function (CTF) refinement and polishing to generate the final map at 3.57 Å resolution, determined by gold-standard Fourier shell
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correlation (FSC) with a cutoff at 0.143 (Extended Data Fig. 6d). The particle distribution plot suggested a lack of information in side view (Extended Data Fig. 6b) and, as a result, the side view of the reconstruction was stretched. Based on the comparison from the well-refined end view (Extended Data Fig. 6c), we docked the crystallographic model of rCaL trimers into the EM density map using Chimera. The EM density map is deposited with EMDB under the accession code EMD-12731.

M58red–Rubisco. The raw videos of the dataset for the M58red–Rubisco complex were first processed with MotionCor2 (ref. 15) with dose-weighting. CTFFIND-4.1 (ref. 16) estimated the CTF parameters for each micrograph. A total of 620,012 particles were picked by Gautomatch (https://www2.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/locally-developed-software/zhang-software/) (Extended Data Fig. 7e–h). Particles were first extracted at a pixel size of 7.54 Å (fourfold binned). One round of 2D classification resulted in 507,604 clean particles, with ice contaminants and classes with no structural features excluded (Extended Data Fig. 7g). These particles were refined with a low-resolution reference converted from the crystal structure coordinates of the Rubisco holoenzyme (PDB: 1RBL), and extracted at a pixel size of 5.77 Å. A single round of 3D classification resulted in four classes with no major differences. Thus, particles from all four classes were subjected to further analysis. These particles were again extracted with full resolution at a pixel size of 1.885 Å. We next followed the same symmetry-expansion procedure previously published—that is, particles were first aligned with D4 symmetry to account for multiple SSUL modules bound per Rubisco. Each asymmetric unit was processed as an individual particle, which is achieved by the symmetry-expanding command, relion_particle_symmetry_expand, and particle subtraction was done based on a mask covering two RbcL, two RbcS and two SSUL. A focused classification with a SSUL mask resulted in one class of particles with detailed SSUL features. A total of 698,820 particles from this class were selected and subjected to final local refinement, and the postprocessing job pushed the resolution of the EM density map to ~4 Å as determined by gold-standard FSC curve at 0.143 cutoff (Extended Data Fig. 7h). Two EM density maps were deposited with EMDB under the accession code EMD-12731, one without sharpening applied and the other sharpened with DeepEMancher (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-00963-5).

M58red–Rubisco. The raw videos of the dataset for the M58red–Rubisco complex were first processed with MotionCor2 (ref. 15) with dose-weighting. CTFFIND-4.1 (ref. 16) estimated the CTF parameters for each micrograph. In total, 258,285 particles were picked by Gautomatch (http://www.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/khbang/Gautomatch/). Particles were first extracted at a pixel size of 7.54 Å (fourfold binned). One round of 2D classification excluded ice contaminations and classes with no structural features, resulting in 136,505 clean particles (Extended Data Fig. 8f–i). These particles were refined with a low-resolution reference converted from the RbcL crystal structure coordinates (PDB: 1RBL) with RbcS subunits deleted, and extracted at a pixel size of 3.77 Å. A single round of 3D classification identified a major class with detailed RbcL features (92,899 particles) (Extended Data Fig. 8f). These particles were again extracted with full resolution at a pixel size of 1.885 Å. We next followed the same symmetry-expansion procedure used for image processing of M58red–Rubisco (see above). Focused classification with a SSUL mask resulted in a single class (193,877 particles) with detailed SSUL features (Extended Data Fig. 8g). This class was subjected to final local refinement yielding a map at ~8 Å resolution without postprocessing (Extended Data Fig. 8f). To exclude the bias due to focused classification on SSUL, we performed another round of focused symmetrizing. With the symmetry-expanding command, relion_particle_symmetry_expand, and particle subtraction was done based on a mask covering two RbcL, two RbcS and two SSUL. A single round of 3D classification resulted in 136,505 clean particles (Extended Data Fig. 8f). These particles were sharpened and subjected to final local refinement, and the postprocessing job pushed the resolution of the EM density map to ~4 Å as determined by gold-standard FSC curve at 0.143 cutoff (Extended Data Fig. 7h). Two EM density maps were deposited with EMDB under the accession code EMD-12731, one without sharpening applied and the other sharpened with DeepEMancher (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-00963-5).

Sequence alignment. Conservation of protein sequences was analyzed using the ConSurf server.15 Searching of sequences homologous to SeSSUL (219–311) or SeCcMcm (1–539) was performed against the UniProt database. HMMER,17 three and 0.0001 were set for homolog search algorithm, number of iterations and E-value cutoff, respectively. Multiple sequence alignment containing 500 SSUL and 150 γCAl homologous sequences was built using MAFFT26 and submitted to the WebLogo server27 to create the sequence logos.

Statistics. All relevant biochemical experiments were replicated two or three times. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size, but our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications.25–27 For cryo-EM, data were screened on eight independently prepared samples.

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | Analysis of purified proteins. a, Purified proteins used in this study were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining. Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. b-c, SEC-MALS analysis of purified M58 (b) and CcaA (c). The expected molecular mass of the M58 trimer is 173498.52 Da and of the CcaA tetramer 120741.44 Da. The observed mass values are indicated. M58 was analyzed once, while representative data of three independent measurements is shown for CcaA. Data behind the plots in b and c are available as source data.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | MS8-CcaA condensate formation. 

a, Size distribution (Ferret’s diameter) of MS8-CcaA condensates formed under reducing (left) and oxidizing conditions (right). 237 and 363 droplet-shaped condensates were analyzed under reducing or oxidizing conditions, respectively. Condensates were generated as in Fig. 1g. b, MS8 and CcaA in condensates are immobile. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments were performed on condensates formed by fluorescence labeled MS8red (MS8AF5) with unlabeled CcaA or by unlabeled MS8red with labeled CcaA (CcaAaf4). Condensates were generated as in Fig. 1g. Pre-bleach fluorescence is set to 1. Mean ± s.d. from n = 20 droplets. c, Time-lapse images of droplet fusion. Representative section of Supplementary Video 1 showing absence of fusion of droplets in close proximity from condensates of MS8red (0.25 μM) and CcaA (0.25 μM) in the presence of 100 mM KCl. MS8red fluorescence is detected. Scale bar, 2 μm. d, The C-terminal C2 sequence of CcaA is required for condensate formation with MS8. MS8 and CcaAΔC2, fluorescence labeled as in Fig. 1g (MS8AF5; CcaAΔC2af4), were used as 1:10 mixtures with unlabeled protein (see Methods). Conditions were as in Fig. 1g,h. Images shown are from a single experiment. e, CcaA interacts with the γCAL domain of MS8, not with the SSUL modules. Condensate formation was analyzed by turbidity assay as in Fig. 1d,e with 5.0 μM CcaA, 7.5 μM γCAL, 22.5 μM M35red/M35ox (100 mM KCl). Data are mean ± s.d. of triplicate measurements. f, Condensate formation of CcaA and γCAL analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. N-terminally labeled CcaA (CcaAaf4) and γCAL (γCALaf5) were used as 1:10 mixtures with the respective unlabeled proteins and analyzed either alone or in combination at the concentrations indicated. Images shown are from a single experiment. Data behind the graphs in a, b and e are available as source data.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | Structure of the γCAL domain with and without bound C2 peptide. **a**, Left: Crystal structure of the γCA domain of CcmM from Thermosynechococcus elongatus BP-1 (residues 1-209, PDB: 3KWC) in ribbon representation. Right: Model of the structure of the SeyCAL (1-198) generated with Phyre2. The putative break in the α2 helix is indicated. **b**, Structure of the C-terminally truncated form of TeγCA (residues 1-193, PDB: 3KWD) with residues after the break in α2 unresolved. **c**, Experimental electron density for the SeyCAL181 protomer (Table 1). The weighted 2Fo–Fc density map at 1.5 σ is shown as gray meshwork with final model in stick representation. **d**, Overlay of the structures of the γCAL protomer (blue) and of SeyCA (PDB: 3KWD) (yellow). **e**, Structural model of the SeyCAL181 trimer viewed along the long axis of the protomer β-helices. **f**, Experimental electron density for the SeyCAL181-C217 complex (Table 1). The weighted 2Fo–Fc density map at 1.5 σ is shown for γCAL (gray), the bound C217 peptide (magenta) as meshwork, and final model in stick representation. **g**, The weighted 2Fo–Fc electron density map at 1.5 σ for the 15 residues of the C217 peptide (underlined in the sequence) resolved in the structure of the complex. **h**, Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) of the interaction of eGFPC217 with γCAL198. Left: Change in enthalpy between sample and reference buffer upon titration of eGFPC217 into buffer containing γCAL198. Right: Fitted integrated data generated in Origin. Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. **i**, Point mutations of C217 residues forming the interface with γCAL. Analysis of complex formation by native-PAGE and Coomassie staining of EGFP-C217 and mutant proteins, EGFP-C217(W257A) and EGFP-C217(R265D), with γCAL198. The purified proteins (22.5 μM) were incubated with γCAL198 (7.5 μM) (100 mM KCl) for 15 min at 25 °C. Representative data of three independent experiments are shown.
Extended Data Fig. 4 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 4 | Role of SSUL modules in M58 homo-condensate formation. a, Concentration dependence of M58\(_{\text{red}}\) homo-condensate formation. Turbidity assays were performed with M58\(_{\text{red}}\) at the concentrations indicated at 50 mM KCl as in Fig. 4b. Representative data of two independent measurements are shown. b, Oxidizing conditions enhance M58 homo-dexing. Concentration dependence of condensate formation analyzed by fluorescence microscopy as in Fig. 4c. M58\(_{\text{red}}\) at 2.5 μM is shown as control. Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. c, M58\(_{\text{ox}}\) condensates are immobile. FRAP experiments on condensates formed using 3.5 μM M58\(_{\text{ox}}\). Pre-bleach fluorescence is set to 1. Mean ± s.d. from n = 20 droplets. d, Time-lapse images of droplet fusion. Representative section of Supplementary Video 2 showing absence of fusion of juxtaposed droplets of M58\(_{\text{ox}}\) (2.5 μM; 50 mM KCl). e, Requirement of disulfide bond formation in SSUL for M58 homo-demixing. Top: Domain structure of M58 indicating the position of cysteine mutations in SSUL1 and SSUL2. Bottom: M58\(_{\text{ox}}\) (3 μM) and M58-C4S (5 μM) analyzed by turbidity assay (50 mM KCl). Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. f, Salt dependence of M58\(_{\text{ox}}\) homo-demixing. Turbidity assays performed with 3 μM M58\(_{\text{ox}}\) at 50 to 200 mM KCl. Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. g, M58\(_{\text{ox}}\) homo-demixing analyzed by fluorescence microscopy as in (b) at 100 mM KCl. Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. h, M58 homo-demixing requires the γCAL domains of M58. Top: Domain structure of CCTrIM35. Bottom: Turbidity assays with 3 μM M58\(_{\text{ox}}\), 5 μM CCTrIM35\(_{\text{red}}\) and 5 μM CCTrIM35\(_{\text{ox}}\) at 50 mM KCl. Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. Data behind the graphs in a, c, e, f and h are available as source data.
Extended Data Fig. 5 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 5 | Conservation of charged surface residues of SSUL and γCAL. 

a. Homology analysis of SSUL sequences. 500 different sequences of SSUL modules were analyzed using ConSurf®. Conserved charged residues are indicated by arrows. The black arrows indicate residues that were mutated. Note that the conserved residues E271, K298 and R299 are Q271, R298 and S299 in SSUL1, Q387, R414 and S415 in SSUL2 and T501, R528 and S529 in SSUL3 of Se7942.

b. Conserved charged residues mapped on the SSUL structure (PDB: 6HBB) shown in surface representation. Mutated residues are circled. Dashed green circle indicates the putative interacting region of SSUL for the γCAL domains of M58.

c. Homology analysis of γCA/γCAL sequences of CcmM. 150 different sequences were analyzed using ConSurf®. Conserved charged residues are indicated by arrows. The black arrows indicate residues that were mutated. Residues involved in the subunit interface, in binding to the C-terminus of CcaA or involved in the head-to-head association of γCAL trimers are indicated.

d. Conserved charged residues mapped on the γCAL structure (PDB: 7Q4Z) shown in surface representation. Mutated residues are circled. Dashed green circle indicates the putative binding region of SSUL on the γCAL domain of M58.

e. Purified M58 and mutants used in Fig. 4 were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining. Representative data of two independent experiments are shown.
Extended Data Fig. 6 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 6 | Cryo-EM single-particle reconstruction of M58 in M58 homo-condensates. a, A representative micrograph of the M58ox homo-condensate formed as in Fig. 4c. Scale bar, 50 nm. Representative micrograph of a total of 1,836 is shown. b, 2D class averages of particles in (a) (left) and the particle angular distribution plot (right) showing a preferred particle orientation in end-view. c, The single-particle data processing workflow. Particle numbers are indicated in parentheses. B4, 4 x 4 pixel-binned image. B2, 2 x 2 pixel-binned image. See Methods for details. d, Gold-standard FSC curves (EMDB: EMD-12730). e, Local resolution maps of side and end views. The color gradient from blue to red indicates local resolution from 3.0 to 6.0 Å.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | Co-assembly of M58 and Rubisco. a, Salt dependence of M58-Rubisco condensate formation under reducing conditions. M58red and Rubisco (0.25 μM each) were incubated in buffer containing 50-300 mM KCl and analyzed by turbidity assay. M58red and Rubisco alone were analyzed as controls at 50 mM KCl. Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. b, M58-Rubisco condensate formation is more salt sensitive under oxidizing conditions. M58ox and Rubisco (0.25 μM each) were incubated in buffer containing 50-300 mM KCl and analyzed by turbidity assay as in (a). Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. c, Salt dependence of CCTrIM35-Rubisco condensate formation under reducing conditions. CCTrIM35red and Rubisco (0.25 μM each) were incubated in buffer containing 50-300 mM KCl and analyzed by turbidity assay. CCTrIM35red and Rubisco alone were analyzed as controls at 50 mM KCl. Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. d, CCTrIM35-Rubisco condensate formation is more salt sensitive under oxidizing conditions. CCTrIM35ox and Rubisco (0.25 μM each) were incubated in buffer containing 50-300 mM KCl and analyzed by turbidity assay as in (c). Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. e-h, Cryo-eM single particle analysis of the M58red-Rubisco complex. A representative micrograph (e). Scale bar, 50 nm. Representative micrograph of a total of 976 is shown. 2D class averages of particles (f). The single-particle data processing workflow (g). Particle numbers are in parentheses. B4, 4 × 4 pixel-binned image. B2, 2 × 2 pixel-binned image. D4, D4 symmetry applied. See Methods for details. Gold-standard FSC curves of the RbcL2-RbcS2-SSUL reconstruction from the complex of M58red-Rubisco (h) (EMDB: EMD-12731). Data behind the graphs in a-d are available as source data.
Extended Data Fig. 8 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 8 | Interaction of SSUL with the RbcL8 core complex. a–c. The high local concentration of SSUL modules in MS8 enables interaction with RbcL8. (a) MS8-RbcL8 condensate formation at different concentrations of MS8 under reducing conditions. RbcL8 (0.25 μM) was incubated with MS8red at the concentrations indicated, and analyzed by turbidity assay. MS5red-RbcL8 and RbcL8 were analyzed as controls. Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. (b) MS8-RbcL8 condensate formation at different concentrations of MS8 under oxidizing conditions. RbcL8 (0.25 μM) was incubated with MS8ox at the concentrations indicated, and analyzed as in (a). MS3ox-RbcL8 and RbcL8 were analyzed as controls. Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. (c) Similar salt sensitivity of MS8-RbcL8 condensate formation under reducing and oxidizing conditions. RbcL8 (0.25 μM) was incubated with MS8ox or MS8red (0.75 μM) in buffer containing 50–200 mM KCl. Reactions analyzed as in (a). Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. d–g. Cryo-EM single particle analysis of the MS8red-RbcL8 condensate. (d) A representative micrograph of MS8red-RbcL8 complexes. Scale bar, 50 nm. Representative micrograph of a total of 1,027 is shown. (e) 2D class averages of particles. (f) The single-particle data processing workflow. Particle numbers are indicated in parentheses. B4, 4 × 4 pixel-binned image. B2, 2 × 2 pixel-binned image. D4, D4 symmetry applied. See Methods for details. (g) Gold-standard FSC curve of the unsharpened RbcL2-SSUL reconstruction solved from the complex of MS8red-RbcL8 (EMD: EMD-12732). h. The assembly chaperone Raf1 prevents the interaction of MS8 with RbcL8. Condensate formation of MS8red (0.75 μM) and RbcL8 (0.25 μM) was analyzed by turbidity assay at 50 mM KCl in the presence of 0.5 μM and 1.0 μM of Raf1 (concentration of the functional Raf1 dimer). Representative data of two independent experiments are shown. Data behind the graphs in a–c and h are available as source data.
Extended Data Fig. 9 | Analysis of condensate formation by sedimentation. a, Efficient sequestration of Rubisco (L8S8) and CcaA by M58red. M58redRubisco and M58redCcaA condensates in the presence of 100 mM KCl were formed as described in Extended Data Fig. 7a and Fig. 1d, respectively, followed by fractionation into total (T), supernatant (S) and pellet (P) by centrifugation (20,817 × g for 20 min at 25 °C), and analysis by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining. The concentration of CcaA was varied from 0.0625 to 0.25 μM at a constant concentration of M58red of 0.25 μM. Rubisco (L8S8) and M58red were analyzed alone as controls. Representative data of two independent experiments are shown.

b, Condensates of Rubisco (L8S8), CcaA, M58red and M35red as well as of L8S8 and M35red were formed at the concentrations indicated and analyzed by sedimentation as in (a). Representative data of two independent experiments are shown.
Extended Data Fig. 10 | Formation of biphasic M58-Rubisco condensates under oxidizing conditions. M58\textsubscript{ox}-Rubisco condensates were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy using M58\textsubscript{ox} and Rubisco N-terminally labeled with Alexa532 and Alexa647, respectively (M58\textsubscript{ox}L\textsubscript{8S8AF6L8S8}), and used as 1:10 mixtures with unlabeled protein. M58\textsubscript{ox} homo-condensates (3.5 μM) were first allowed to form for 10 min, followed by addition of Rubisco (L\textsubscript{8S8}) to reach final concentrations of M58\textsubscript{ox} and Rubisco of 2.5 μM each. The reaction was diluted 10 times before fluorescence microscopy. Large M58\textsubscript{ox}-Rubisco condensates formed containing dense M58\textsubscript{ox} foci. The M58\textsubscript{ox} homo-condensate and Rubisco were also analyzed alone. Images shown are from a single experiment. Note a similar result was observed at 100 mM KCl, however, the overall size of the condensates was smaller.
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