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INTRODUCTION

The citrus crop has a prominent place in Brazilian agriculture due to juice export value and, not least important, for its social relevance, generating income for a large number of citrus growers and a great number of jobs (SHAFTER et al., 2008; SOUZA et al., 2013). Accounting for more than 28.4% of the fruits produced in the world, the Brazilian Citrus Industry is mainly represented by orange (762,765 ha), tangerine (52,023 ha), acid lime and lemon (48,244 ha), resulting in a planted area of approximately 863,032 ha, with an average yield of 20,180,507 t year⁻¹ (IBGE, 2014). The Brazilian Southeast region is the biggest producer of citrus, representing 78.9% (15,915,575 t year⁻¹) of the national production, followed by the Northeast region, which accounts for 10.2% (2,054,791 t year⁻¹) of the national production.

In northeastern Brazil, the socioeconomic importance of citrus crop is undeniable in view of the creation of jobs and income, especially in the states of Bahia, Sergipe, major producers in the region, and Paraíba, which is prominent in the production of tangerine (IBGE, 2014). However, the productivity of the region is low, approximately 17 t ha⁻¹, when compared to the potential of this crop, which can reach up to 40 t ha⁻¹, as observed from statistics from other countries (FAO, 2013).

This situation may be related to the natural drought that lasts more than six months each year, coinciding generally with high temperatures. It results in the need to use sources of water for irrigation, with high concentration of salts (MEDEIROS et al., 2010). This is a condition that contributes the most to reduced growth and physiological disorders in plants (BRITO et al., 2008; FERNANDES et al., 2011; NASCIMENTO et al., 2012; SILVA et al., 2012; BRITO et al., 2014a; BRITO et al., 2014b), especially citrus plants, considered sensitive to salinity (SYVERTSEN; GARCIA-SANCHEZ, 2014).

ABSTRACT: In order to study the growth and physiology of citrus rootstocks under saline water irrigation, during formation of rootstocks, an assay was carried out in a factorial scheme, 2 x 6, corresponding to two levels of salinity of the nutrient solution: 0.3 and 4.0 dS m⁻¹. An assay was carried out in a factorial scheme, 2 x 6, corresponding to two levels of salinity of the nutrient solution: 0.3 and 4.0 dS m⁻¹. Application of nutrient solution made with saline water started from 90 days after sowing until 120 days, when the growth and physiology parameters of plants were evaluated. Salt stress did not influence the chlorophyll a fluorescence in the genotypes VKL and CSM x SWC-041, indicating no damage to the photosynthetic apparatus. The CSM x SWC-041, ‘Santa Cruz Rangpur’ lime, ‘Florida Rough’ lemon and ‘Volkamer’ lemon are the more tolerant genotypes to salinity based on growth rate.
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One of the key factors for the development of citrus is the rootstock because it is the basis of orchards and affect all the planting cycle (RESENDE et al., 2010). However, the rootstock diversity in Brazilian orchards is low, with a predominance of ‘Rangpur’ lime (*Citrus limonia* Osbeck), a genotype susceptible to citrus sudden death (POMPEU JUNIOR; BLUMER; RESENDE, 2013). As alternatives to this rootstock, ‘Volkamer’ lemon (*C. volkameriana* V. Ten. & Pasq.), Cleopatra mandarin (*C. reshni* Hort. ex Tanaka), ‘Sunki’ mandarin (*C. sunki* (Hayata) Hort. ex Tanaka), trifoliolate orange (*Poncirus trifoliata* (L.) Raf.) and ‘Swingle’ citrumelo (*C. paradisi* Macfad. *x* P. *trifoliata*) (FRANCO et al., 2007) can be mentioned. However, there is need to have new materials with tolerance to other factors, like abiotic stress.

Thus, the challenge to expand irrigated agriculture in regions with scarcity of water is concentrated on selecting genetic materials with a satisfactory production; that is, a production equivalent to that obtained when there are no physiological disorders in the production system from irrigation with a high-salt content water, using the ability to adapt to salt stress (BRITO et al., 2014a; Silva et al., 2014). Importantly, the main mechanisms of tolerance to salt in citrus plants are related to the exclusion of salts by roots, to the accumulation of salts in old leaves and to the reduction of transpiration capacity (BALAL et al., 2012; RODRIGUEZ-GAMIR et al., 2012; GONZÁLEZ; SYVERTSEN; ETXEBERRIA, 2012; SILVA et al., 2014).

For this, it is necessary to consider *a priori* new genotypes in the stage of rootstock formation by studying growth and physiological characteristics. In this sense, this work was planned in order to evaluate the growth and physiological aspects of six citrus rootstocks, including traditional varieties and hybrids of ‘Sunki’ mandarin with ‘Swingle’ citrumelo, under different levels of irrigation water salinity in the stage of rootstock formation.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted from March to July of 2013, in protected environment (greenhouse) of Center of Science and Technology Agrofood- CCTA, of the Federal University of Campina Grande - UFCG, in the municipality of Pombal, Paraíba state, with geographic coordinate 6º47’20” S, 37º48’01” W, and average elevation of 184 m.

Treatments were distributed in randomized block design in a factorial scheme, 2 x 6. The factors were related to two levels of water salinity used to prepare the nutrient solutions: $S_1 = 0.3$ and $S_2 = 4.0$ dS m$^{-1}$; and six citrus rootstocks provided by the Citrus Breeding Program of Embrapa Cassava & Fruits - CBP. These genotypes were: 1. ‘Santa Cruz Rangpur’ lime (SCRL), 2. ‘Florida Rough’ lemon (FRL) (*C. jambhiri* Lush.), 3. ‘Volkamer’ lemon (VKL), 4. CSM x SWC - 028, 5. CSM x SWC - 033 and 6. CSM x SWC - 041, the last three hybrids of common ‘Sunki’ mandarin (CSM) with ‘Swingle’ citrumelo (SWC). Treatments were distributed in three blocks with four plants per plot in order to control radiation incidence into the protected environment.

The different genotypes were sown and grown in Recipients with 1.5 L capacity, with coconut fiber, washed in water current, as substrate, in order to ensure that there was no salt and nutrients present in the material. Nutrient solutions following Hoagland and Arnon (1950) recommendations were prepared, with additional 25% iron EDTA, in order to meet genotypes nutritional needs (Table 1), as observed in preliminary test.

#### Table 1. Concentration of nutrients in the nutrient solution for hydroponic cultivation of citrus (adapted from HOAGLAND; ARNON, 1950)

| Nutrient content | N | P | K | Ca | Mg | S | Fe | Mn | B | Cu | Zn | Mo |
|------------------|---|---|---|----|----|---|----|----|---|----|----|----|
|                   | 15| 1 | 6 | 5  | 2  | 2 | 0.0625 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.003 | 0.0008 | 0.001 |

Rootstocks were cultivated in recipients Leonard pots fitted with Pet bottles (SILVA et al., 2014). Upper part of pot was filled with 1.5 L of the substrate, and the bottom part was filled with Hoagland® nutrient solution (HOAGLAND; ARNON, 1950) under continuous flow.

After Leonard pots preparation, they were envolved with double sided plastic, in order to reduce the evaporation losses, which was checked daily and, when necessary, supplemented according to treatment, to ensure that the substrate would
always be with moisture content close to field capacity.

Direct sowing in Leonard pots was conducted, and after germination, when seedlings had three or more true leaves, thinning was carried out, leaving only one nucellar plant per pot. Every precaution to pest control monitoring and prevention were adopted, as recommended in citrus seedlings production.

Nutrient solutions mixture water were prepared at an equivalent ratio of 7:2:1 Na, Ca and Mg, using NaCl, CaCl₂·2H₂O and MgCl₂·6H₂O salts, respectively. Preparation of solutions with different electrical conductivities (EC) was performed by addition of salts to the water until reaching the desired EC level, with values being measured through a previously calibrated portable conductivity meter adjusted to a temperature of 25 °C.

The nutrient solution was prepared with distilled water and had 2.3 dS m⁻¹ electrical conductivity, with the addition of 0.3 dS m⁻¹ and 4.0 dS m⁻¹ salinity available solutions to plants had electrical conductivity of 2.6 and 6.3 dS m⁻¹, respectively.

Tested water salinity levels were established through salinity threshold (2 dS m⁻¹), with a level below and other above salinity threshold being established for citrus species, as described by Singh et al. (2003).

According to the methodology proposed by Benincasa (2003), absolute and relative growth rates in height were determined by equations 1 and 2, stem diameter by Equations 3 and 4, and the number of leaves by Equations 5 and 6, respectively, through stem diameter growth, height and rootstocks leaf production data before saline treatments application, at 90 days after sowing, and with last growth analysis data, which was carried out 120 days after sowing. The last evaluation was carried out 120 days after sowing, as some rootstocks already had satisfactory diameters for grafting.

\[
AGRH = \frac{h_2 - h_1}{t_2 - t_1}
\]

(1)

\[
RGRH = \frac{ln(h_2)}{t_2 - t_1}
\]

(2)

Where: AGRH = absolute growth rate in plant height (cm day⁻¹); h1 = plant height at time t1 (cm); h2 = plant height at time t2 (cm); RGRH = relative growth rate in plant height (cm cm⁻¹ day⁻¹); Ln = natural logarithm.

\[
AGRSD = \frac{sd_2 - sd_1}{t_2 - t_1}
\]

(3)

\[
RGRSD = \frac{ln(sd_2 - sd_1)}{t_2 - t_1}
\]

(4)

Where: AGRSD = absolute growth rate in stem diameter (mm day⁻¹); sd1 = plant stem diameter at time t1 (mm); sd2 = plant stem diameter at time t2 (mm); RGRSD = relative growth rate in stem diameter (mm mm⁻¹ day⁻¹); Ln = natural logarithm.

\[
AGRNL = \frac{nl_2 - nl_1}{t_2 - t_1}
\]

(5)

\[
RGRNL = \frac{ln(nl_2 - nl_1)}{t_2 - t_1}
\]

(6)

Where: AGRNL = absolute growth rate in number of leaves (leaves day⁻¹); nl1 = number of leaves at time t1 (cm); nl2 = number of leaves at time t2 (cm); RGRNL = relative growth rate in number of leaves (leaf-leaf⁻¹ day⁻¹); Ln = natural logarithm.

At 120 days after growth measurement, the different genotypes were assessed under salt stress physiological establishment, measuring CO₂ assimilation (A) (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), transpiration (E) (H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹ mol⁻¹), stomatal conductance (gs) (H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹ mol⁻¹) and CO₂ internal concentration (Ci) rates in the first mature leaf counted from the apex. With these data, water use efficiency (WUE) (A/E) [(µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) (mol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹)], and carboxylation instantaneous efficiency (A/Ci) (BRITO et al., 2012) were quantified using the "LCPro+" portable photosynthesis measuring equipment, ADC BioScientific Ltd. In these same leaves where gas exchanges were analyzed, leaf tweezers were placed and, after a 30 min. of dark-adaptation period, the following data were determined: initial fluorescence (Fo), maximum fluorescence (Fm), variable fluorescence (Fm-Fo) and photosystem II quantum efficiency (Fv/Fm) (MAXWELL; JOHNSON, 2000), using the PEA - Hansatech equipment.

After physiological analysis measurement, plants’ samples were taken in order to obtain the shoot dry matter, root dry matter and total dry matter, through plant parts partition and packaging into air greenhouse incubator at 65 °C, drying the matter for 72 h and then weighting it on an analytical scale. Root/shoot ratio was assessed from these data through the quotient between root dry matter and shoot dry matter.

Data were assessed by analysis of variance (ANOVA). In significance cases, Scott and Knott’s mean grouping test, at 5% probability, was used for genotypes, and for each genotype the mean test was used for salinity factor (FERREIRA, 2011).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The rootstock varieties Florida Rough lemon (FRL), Santa Cruz Rangpur lime (SCRL) and Volkamerlemon (VKL) had the highest growth rates.
with respect to plant height, diameter and number of leaf under saline conditions compared to the hybrids from common ‘Sunki’ mandarin (CSM) with ‘Swingle’ citrumelo (SWC) (Table 2). However, despite this greater potential of growth of the mentioned rootstock varieties, there were significant reductions in the absolute growth rates regarding height, diameter and leaf number of SCRL and VKL when subjected to the highest level of salinity (4.0 dS m$^{-1}$). Considering that salts may affect the growth of plants by ionic and/or osmotic effects according to the plants’ tolerance (AL YASSIN, 2004; BALAL et al., 2012; RODRIGUEZ-GAMIR et al., 2012; BRITO et al., 2014), it can be emphasized that FRL and CSM x SWC hybrids have a greater potential of tolerance for the variables related to absolute and relative growth rates, that are considered important in stress studies (LACERDA et al., 2006).

Also regarding growth rates, an increase in the values of absolute and relative growth rates regarding plant height, number of leaf and absolute growth rate of stem diameter can be noted in relation to the genotype CSM x SWC- 028 when a nutrient solution prepared with water of higher electrical conductivity was applied. This also occurred in case of CSM x SWC- 033 and CSM x SWC- 041 for variables absolute growth rate in stem diameter (AGRSD), relative growth rate in number of leaves (RGRNL), absolute growth rate in number leaf (AGRNL), absolute growth rate in height (AGRH) and relative growth rate in height (RGRH) (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Absolute (AGRH) and relative growth rate in height (RGRH), in stem diameter (AGRSD and RGRSD), in number of leaves (AGRNL and RGRNL) for six citrus genotypes grown in two levels of water salinity.

| Genotype        | Watersalinity (dS m$^{-1}$) |          |          |          |          |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                 | 0.3 dSm$^{-1}$ | 4.0 dSm$^{-1}$ | 0.3 dSm$^{-1}$ | 4.0 dSm$^{-1}$ | 0.3 dSm$^{-1}$ | 4.0 dSm$^{-1}$ |
|                 | AGRH* (cm day$^{-1}$) | RGRH* (cm cm$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$) | AGRSD* (mm day$^{-1}$) |          |          |          |
| FRL             | 0.4042 bA       | 0.3333 aA     | 0.0238 aB   | 0.0266 aA   | 0.0504 aA   | 0.0490 aA  |
| SCRL            | 0.7104 aA       | 0.3417 aB     | 0.0233 aA   | 0.0239 bA   | 0.0445 aA   | 0.0287 bB  |
| VKL             | 0.4944 bA       | 0.2528 aB     | 0.0216 aA   | 0.0180 cB   | 0.0498 aA   | 0.0319 bB  |
| CSM x SWC -028  | 0.0208 cA       | 0.0324 bA     | 0.0057 cB   | 0.0144 dA   | 0.0120 bA   | 0.0197 cA  |
| CSM x SWC -033  | 0.0167 cA       | 0.0167 bA     | 0.0055 cA   | 0.0051 fA   | 0.0068 bA   | 0.0126 dA  |
| CSM x SWC -041  | 0.0458 cA       | 0.0625 bA     | 0.0101 bA   | 0.0106 cA   | 0.0068 bA   | 0.0059 dA  |
| Genotype        | RGRSD** (mm mm$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$) | AGRNL* (leaf/leaf day$^{-1}$) | RGRNL* (leaf/leaf day$^{-1}$) |          |          |          |
| FRL             | 0.0174 a        | 0.1958 bA     | 0.1556 bA   | 0.0139 aA   | 0.0138 aA   |
| SCRL            | 0.0116 a        | 0.2958 aA     | 0.2167 aB   | 0.0127 aA   | 0.0169 aA   |
| VKL             | 0.0123 a        | 0.2667 aA     | 0.1111 cB   | 0.0162 aA   | 0.0117 aB   |
| CSM x SWC -028  | 0.0088 b        | 0.0667 dB     | 0.1208 cA   | 0.0130 aA   | 0.0143 aA   |
| CSM x SWC -033  | 0.0073 b        | 0.0222 dA     | 0.0417 dA   | 0.0045 bA   | 0.0079 bA   |
| CSM x SWC -041  | 0.0034 c        | 0.1167 cA     | 0.1083 cA   | 0.0167 aA   | 0.0132 aA   |

* and ** = Interaction genotype x water salinity and genotype factor significant at 0.05 probability level, respectively. Means followed by different small letters indicate difference between genotypes by Scott-Knott test and by capital letters indicate difference between salinity levels by F test at 0.05 probability. FRL – ‘Florida Rough lemon’ (C. jambhiri), SCRL – ‘Santa Cruz Rangpur’ lime (C. limonia), VKL – Volkamer lemon (Citrus volkameriana), CSM – Common ‘Sunki’ mandarin (C. sunki) and SWC – ‘Swingle’ citrumelo (Citrus paradisi x Poncirus trifoliata).

Such increases in growth rates under saline conditions may be related to the tolerance mechanism of the progeny CSM x SWC. That it can be due some plants, under saline conditions, increase in their photosynthetic rate in order to avoid the effects of salt stress by forming secondary metabolites such as proline and glycine-betaine (TAIZ; ZAIGER, 2013), which may have stimulated the growth of these hybrids (Table 2). It is noteworthy that the increase in leaf number is proportional to the number of vacuoles for translocation of solutes (salts), which may mitigate the effects of salt stress since the accumulation of salts in the vacuole is a main tolerance mechanism of citrus (GONZÁLEZ; SYVERTSEN; ETXEBERRIA, 2012; SYVERTSEN; GARCIA-SANCHEZ, 2014).

On the other hand, it should be noted that the growth rates evidenced in the hybrids CSM x SWC- 028, 033 and 041 were lower regardless of the imposed...
salinity condition. This may be characteristic of these genotypes, possibly indicating minor characteristics, that it is interesting considering the new challenges of citrus crop in face of phytosanitary problems (STUCHI; GIRARDI, 2011).

The relative growth in height and the leaf issuing of the ‘Volkamer’ lemon decreased when subjected to the highest level of salinity (4.0 dS m⁻¹) (Table 2). This evidences the effect of salt stress on the growth activity of this genotype, which could be best described by studying its physiology, despite showing a higher growth even in plants irrigated with the highest level of salinity.

Considering the gas exchange of the genotypes studied, reductions in the internal concentration of CO₂ in ‘Santa Cruz Rangpur’ lime and CSM x SWC - 041 were observed when salinity was increased from 0.3 to 4.0 dS m⁻¹ (Table 3). However, no statistical difference in the other variables from SCRL was observed. Furthermore, there was an expansion of 107.1% in the CO₂ assimilation rate of the genotype CSM x SWC- 041, achieving an instantaneous efficiency of carboxylation under the highest salinity (4.0 dS m⁻¹) in relation to the lowest salinity level (0.3 dS m⁻¹) (Table 3). Also considering CSM x SWC- 041, there was an increase in water use efficiency when it was subjected to salt stress. This could be related to an increase in photosynthetic activity, promoting a greater unchanged carbon fixation in transpiration (MACHADO et al., 2005; SHIMAZAKI et al., 2007; SILVA et al., 2014). This indicates this genotype’s potential to adapt to saline stress.

The reduction of the internal concentration of CO₂, along with the increased CO₂ assimilation rate and the instantaneous efficiency of carboxylation, indicates an increase in the activity of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) (MACHADO et al., 2005; LÓPEZ-CLIMENT et al., 2011; SILVA et al., 2014). It was possibly induced by salt stress, causing the expression of salt tolerance mechanisms such as the increase in photosynthetic efficiency and therefore flow of solute in the plant, favoring the dilution and the translocation of ions inside the plant, thereby accelerating their compartmentalization in the vacuole (SÁ et al., 2015).

### Table 3. Stomatal conductance (gs), transpiration (E), water use efficiency (WUE), CO₂ internal concentration (Ci), CO₂ assimilation (A) and carboxylation instantaneous efficiency (A/Ci) for six citrus genotypes grown in two levels of salinity of the water.

| Genotype       | 0.3 dS.m⁻¹ | 4.0 dS.m⁻¹ | 0.3 dS.m⁻¹ | 4.0 dS.m⁻¹ | 0.3 dS.m⁻¹ | 4.0 dS.m⁻¹ |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|                | Ci* (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) | A* (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) | A/Ci*               |           |           |
| FRL            | 260 bA     | 254 cA     | 8.68 bA    | 7.58 bA    | 0.034 bA   | 0.030 bA   |
| SCRL           | 266 bA     | 234 dB     | 11.28 aA   | 10.38 aA   | 0.042 aA   | 0.044 aA   |
| VKL            | 248 bA     | 251 cA     | 8.90 bA    | 9.49 aA    | 0.036 bA   | 0.038 aA   |
| CSM x SWC - 028| 287 aA     | 290 aA     | 4.23 cA    | 4.53 cA    | 0.015 cA   | 0.016 cA   |
| CSM x SWC - 033| 275 aA     | 272 bA     | 3.11 cA    | 3.07 cA    | 0.011 cA   | 0.011 cA   |
| CSM x SWC - 041| 278 aA     | 261 cB     | 3.85 cB    | 7.58 bA    | 0.014 cB   | 0.029 bA   |

| Genotype       | gs*** (mol de H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) | E** (mmol de H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹) | EUA** (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) (mmol de H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹⁻¹) |
|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| FRL            | 0.15 b                     | 2.35 a                     | 3.63 aA                                      |
| SCRL           | 0.23 a                     | 2.99 a                     | 3.58 aA                                      |
| VKL            | 0.17 b                     | 2.68 a                     | 3.33 aA                                      |
| CSM x SWC - 028| 0.10 b                     | 1.76 b                     | 2.40 bA                                      |
| CSM x SWC - 033| 0.06 c                     | 1.20 c                     | 2.51 bA                                      |
| CSM x SWC - 041| 0.12 b                     | 1.93 b                     | 2.12 bB                                      |

* and ** = Interaction genotype x water salinity and genotype factor significant at 0.05 probability level, respectively. Means followed by different small letters indicate differences between genotypes by Skott-Knott test and capital letters indicate difference between salinity levels by F test at 0.05 probability. FRL – ‘Florida Rough lemon’ (C. jambhiri), SCRL – ‘Santa Cruz Rangpur’ lime (C. limonia), VKL – Volkamer lemon (Citrus volkameriana), CSM – Common ‘Sunki’ mandarin (C. sunki) and SWC – ‘Swingle’ citrumelo (Citrus paradisi x Poncirus trifoliata).

Regarding the stomatal conductance and the transpiration rate, differences between genotypes were observed. For ‘Santa Cruz Rangpur’ lime, ‘Florida Rough’ lemon and ‘Volkamer’ lemon, the greatest transpiration activities were observed. This means a greater photosynthetic activity and indicates an increased gas exchange activity of these
genotypes in relation to the other (Table 3). This may also explain their higher growth.

Regarding the study of chlorophyll $a$ fluorescence, an expansion of 32, 28, 24 and 20% in the initial fluorescence for SCRL, FRL, CSM x SWC - 028 and CSM x SWC - 033, respectively, was observed when they were subjected to the highest salinity level (4.0 dS m$^{-1}$) compared to the results obtained when subjected to the lowest salinity level (0.3 dS m$^{-1}$) (Table 4). Knowing that initial fluorescence (Fo) is the loss of light energy by fluorescence of photosystem II (PSII), in which primary acceptors are oxidized, that is, after they remained for a time in the dark, this variable allows determining whether or not the photosynthetic apparatus has the potential to absorb light, losing as little energy as possible as heat. It can thus be seen that these genotypes had damage in photosynthetic apparatus, although this did not reflect a significant reduction in gas exchange. Corroborating with this information, Baker and Rosenqvst (2004) report that the increase in initial fluorescence reveals a destruction of the PSII reaction center (P680) or a decrease in the transfer capacity of excitation energy from the antenna to the PSII. Thus, the increase in the initial minimum fluorescence due to the effect of salinity may indicate damage to the PSII. However, the damage is not yet sufficient to promote alterations in the gas exchange of such genotypes.

There were no effects of water salinity on the variable and maximum fluorescence of the genotypes. However, differences were observed among genotypes, with the lowest average observed for CSM x SWC- 028 (Table 4). It is known that maximum fluorescence intensity (Fm) denotes energy loss when the acceptors of PSII reactions centers reached their maximum energy absorption capacity, evidencing the reduced condition of all quinone (Q) because of electrons transferred from the P680 (BAKER; ROSENQVST, 2004; MENDONÇA et al., 2010; SILVA et al., 2014). It is also known that it directly influences variable fluorescence, which is potentially active energy in PSII and reduced activity of chlorophyll $a$. Thus, this may explain the low photosynthetic rates of this genotype, compared to other genotypes.

For the hybrid CSM x SWC- 028, a reduction of 15.5% in the quantum efficiency of the photosystem II due to an increase in salinity from 0.3 to 4.0 dS m$^{-1}$ was also observed. According to Svitsev, Ponnamoreva and Kuznestova (1973), this effect may be related to the increase in the activity of chlorophyllase, an enzyme involved in the removal of the phytol group during the degradation of chlorophyll caused by the stress conditions to which the plant was submitted, since changes in the initial fluorescence of this genotype were also observed (Table 4). For the other genotypes, no changes in the quantum efficiency of the photosystem II were observed, confirming the results observed for gas exchange (Tables 3 and 4).

The study of biomass accumulation revealed reductions of 32.3 and 27.5% in the root dry matter for ‘Santa Cruz Rangpur’ lime and ‘Volkamer’ lemon, respectively, upon comparing the lowest and the highest level of salinity. Despite the reductions observed in these genotypes, they also had the highest root dry matter accumulation compared to the other genotypes (Table 5). Thus, it is believed that the reduction of the root system under salinity conditions favors a lesser absorption of toxic ions.

| Table 4. Initial fluorescence (Fo), maximum fluorescence (Fm), variable fluorescence (Fm-Fo) and photosystem II quantum efficiency (Fv/Fm) for six citrus genotypes grown in two levels of salinity of the water. |
| --- |
| Genotype | Fo (photon)* | Fm (photon)** | Fm-Fo (photon)** | Fv/Fm* |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0.3 dS.m$^{-1}$ | 4.0 dS.m$^{-1}$ | 0.3 dS.m$^{-1}$ | 4.0 dS.m$^{-1}$ | 4.0 dS.m$^{-1}$ |
| FRL | 419 aB | 536 aA | 2338 a | 1860 a | 0.81 aA | 0.78 aA |
| SCRL | 381 bB | 503 aA | 2220 a | 1778 a | 0.82 aA | 0.78 aA |
| VKL | 435 aA | 450 bA | 2195 a | 1753 a | 0.81 aA | 0.79 aA |
| CSM x SWC - 028 | 387 bB | 480 aA | 1522 b | 1088 b | 0.77 aA | 0.64 Bb |
| CSM x SWC - 033 | 341 bB | 411 bA | 1898 b | 1521 a | 0.81 aA | 0.79 aA |
| CSM x SWC - 041 | 467 aA | 424 bA | 1966 a | 1522 a | 0.78 aA | 0.75 aA |

* and ** = Interaction genotype x water salinity and genotype factor significant at 0.05 probability level, respectively. Means followed by different small letters indicate differences between genotypes by Skott-Knott test and different capital letters indicate difference between salinity levels by F test at 0.05 probability. FRL – ‘Florida Rough lemon’ (C. jambhiri), SCRL – ‘Santa Cruz Rangpur’ lime (C. limonia), VKL – Volkamer lemon (Citrus volkameriana), CSM – Common ‘Sunki’ mandarin (C. sunki) and SWC – ‘Swingle’ citrumelo (Citrus paradisi x Poncirus trifoliata).
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thereby avoiding toxicity by specific ions. This is because a greater root growth, besides favoring a higher exploration of the environment in search for nutrients under saline conditions, may also lead to a greater absorption of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions until toxic levels and its consequent transport to the shoot, damaging plant growth as a whole (BRITO et al., 2008; FERNANDES et al., 2011; GONZÁLEZ; SYVERTSEN; ETXEBERRIA, 2012; BRITO et al., 2014a; BRITO et al., 2014b; SYVERTSEN; GARCIA-SANCHEZ, 2014).

Salinity did not significantly influence total and shoot dry matter accumulation of citrus genotypes. The ‘Volkamer’ lemon had the highest accumulation of shoot dry matter (5.320 g) and total dry matter (7.580 g) among the genotypes studied (Table 5). This result may be correlated with a higher vegetative growth and a photosynthetic potential observed in this lemon. These attributes were not changed under the highest level of salinity (4.0 dS m⁻¹), being a potential propagation material for growing citrus in areas with salinity problems.

### Table 5. Root dry matter (RDM), shoot dry matter (SDM), total dry matter (TDM) and root/shoot ratio (RSR) for six citrus genotypes grown in two levels of salinity of the water.

| Genotype      | RDM* (g) 0.3 dS.m⁻¹ | 4.0 dS.m⁻¹ | SDM** (g) | TDM** (g) | RSR* |
|---------------|---------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|
| FRL           | 1.100 bA            | 1.085 aA   | 2.953 b   | 4.046 b   | 0.389 aA | 0.387 aA |
| SCRL          | 1.977 aA            | 1.339 aB   | 3.911 b   | 5.520 b   | 0.455 aA | 0.307 aA |
| VKL           | 2.080 aA            | 1.507 aB   | 5.320 a   | 7.580 a   | 0.387 aA | 0.265 aA |
| CSM x SWC - 028 | 0.026 cA             | 0.084 bA   | 0.218 c   | 0.274 c   | 0.183 bA | 0.174 bA |
| CSM x SWC - 033 | 0.040 cA             | 0.063 bA   | 0.184 c   | 0.236 c   | 0.227 bA | 0.336 aA |
| CSM x SWC - 041 | 0.131 cA             | 0.081 bA   | 0.323 c   | 0.580 c   | 0.405 aA | 0.250 aB |

* and ** = Interaction genotype x water salinity and genotype factor significant at 0.05 probability level, respectively. Means followed by different small letters indicate differences between rootstocks by Skott Knott test and different capital letters indicate difference between salinity levels by F test at 5% probability.

A reduction in the root/shoot ratio of the genotype CSM x SWC - 041 was observed when subjected to salt stress (Table 5), which may be related to a reduced root growth, since there were no effects of salinity on shoot dry mass. This behavior confirms what was observed for ‘Santa Cruz Rangpur’ lime and ‘Volkamer’ lemon, in which a reduction in root dry matter accumulation (RDM) was also observed. It can be inferred that the reduction of the root system under salt stress is expressed as a tolerance mechanism of the genotypes and consequently the absorption of toxic ions by the plant. This can be confirmed observing the performance of the photosynthetic activity of these three genotypes.

### CONCLUSIONS

Salt stress did not influence the chlorophyll a fluorescence of ‘Volkamer’ lemon and CSM x SWC - 041, indicating no damage to their photosynthetic apparatus.

The ‘Volkamer’ lemon, CSM x SWC - 041, ‘Santa Cruz Rangpur’ lime and ‘Florida Rough’ lemon have better physiological indexes, even under saline conditions;

Common ‘Sunki’ mandarin with ‘Swingle’ citrumelo hybrids have the lowest growth rates;

‘Florida Rough’ lemon, ‘Santa Cruz Rangpur’ lime and the hybrid CSM x SWC - 041 are the most tolerant to salinity based on growth rates.
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RESUMO: A fim de estudar o crescimento e a fisiologia de porta-enxertos de citros sob irrigação com água salina, durante a formação de porta-enxertos, realizou-se um ensaio fatorial 2 x 6, correspondente a dois níveis de salinidade da solução nutritiva: 0.3 e 4.0 dS m⁻¹ e seis genótipos fornecidos pelo Programa de Melhoramento de Citros da...
Embrapa Mandioca & Fruticultura-PMGC, distribuídos em delineamento em blocos casualizados com três repetições. Os genótipos foram: 1. ‘limoeiro Cravo Santa Cruz’ (LCRSTC) (Citrus limonia Osbeck), 2. ‘limoeiro Rugoso da Flórida’ (LRF) (Citrus jambhiri Lush.), 3. ‘limoeiro Volkameriano’ (LVK) (C. Volkameriana V. Ten. & Pasq.), 4. CSM x SWC-028, 5. CSM x SWC-033 e 6. CSM x SWC-041, os últimos três híbridos de tangerineira ‘Sunki’ comum (CSM) [C. sunki (Hayata) hort. Ex Tanaka] com citrumelo ‘Swingle’ (SWC) [C. Paradisi Macfad. x Poncirus trifoliata (L.) Raf.] Plantas de origem nucelar desses genótipos foram cultivadas em sistema hidropônico alternativo, utilizando vasos Leonard. A aplicação de solução nutritiva feita com água salina iniciou-se a partir de 90 dias após a semeadura até 120 dias, quando foram avaliados os parâmetros de crescimento e fisiologia das plantas. O estresse salino influenciou o crescimento e os parâmetros fisiológicos em todos os genótipos. O estresse salino não influenciou a fluorescência da clorofila a nos genótipos VKL e CSM x SWC-041, indicando não haver danos ao aparelho fotossintético. O CSM x SWC-041, ‘limoeiro Cravo Santa Cruz’, ‘limoeiro Rugoso da Flórida’ e ‘limoeiro Volkameriano’ são os genótipos mais tolerantes à salinidade com base na taxa de crescimento.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: Citrus spp. Fluorescência da clorofila. Taxa de crescimento. Trocas gasosas. Salinidade.
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