Trends in quality of care and dying perceived by family caregivers of nursing home residents with dementia 2005–2019
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Abstract

Background: Dementia palliative care is increasingly subject of research and practice improvement initiatives.

Aim: To assess any changes over time in the evaluation of quality of care and quality of dying with dementia by family caregivers.

Design: Combined analysis of eight studies with bereaved family caregivers’ evaluations 2005–2019.

Setting/participants: Family caregivers of nursing home residents with dementia in the Netherlands (n = 1189) completed the End-of-Life in Dementia Satisfaction With Care (EOLD-SWC; quality of care) and Comfort Assessment in Dying (EOLD-CAD, four subscales; quality of dying) instruments. Changes in scores over time were analysed using mixed models with random effects for season and facility and adjustment for demographics, prospective design and urbanised region.

Results: The mean total EOLD-SWC score was 33.40 (SD 5.08) and increased by 0.148 points per year (95% CI, 0.052–0.244; adjusted 0.170 points 95% CI, 0.055–0.258). The mean total EOLD-CAD score was 30.80 (SD 5.76) and, unadjusted, there was a trend of decreasing quality of dying over time of −0.175 points (95% CI, −0.291 to −0.058) per year increment. With adjustment, the trend was not significant (−0.070 EOLD-CAD total score points, 95% CI, −0.205 to 0.065) and only the EOLD-CAD subscale ‘Well being’ decreased.

Conclusion: We identified divergent trends over 14 years of increased quality of care, while quality of dying did not increase and well-being in dying decreased. Further research is needed on what well-being in dying means to family. Quality improvement requires continued efforts to treat symptoms in dying with dementia.
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Introduction

In Western European countries such as the UK and the Netherlands, most people with dementia eventually move to a nursing home, where they reside until death.\(^1\) –\(^3\) Nursing home residents may benefit from palliative care with a focus on comfort and quality of life.\(^4\),\(^5\) The cognitive impairment associated with moderate or advanced dementia often leads to limited verbal expression of needs. This complicates the assessment of specific palliative care needs and addressing of symptoms.\(^6\),\(^7\) Family caregivers of people with dementia fulfill important roles as spokespersons, care partners, informants and proxy decision-makers.\(^8\) –\(^11\) Their role continues after nursing home admission.\(^12\),\(^13\) Staff should acknowledge the family caregivers’ role in the care for people with dementia, especially at the end of life.\(^14\) –\(^16\) Families’ experiences with end-of-life care and their interactions with professional caregivers potentially influence their post-bereavement outcomes.\(^17\) ‘How people die remains in the memories of those who live on’ is a famous quote in palliative care literature.\(^18\) Memories of family members reflect the dying experience and may expose specific points for improvement in end-of-life care.\(^19\) Family caregivers are important judges of the quality of end-of-life care provided to residents with dementia and of their quality of dying.\(^20\),\(^21\) Validated instruments are available to measure quality of care and quality of dying from the family perspective.\(^22\),\(^23\)

A small study that investigated data from 2005 to 2010 showed a positive trend in families’ reports of quality of end-of-life care for nursing home residents with dementia.\(^24\) Exploring such trends can aid our understanding of how the experiences of family caregivers with end-of-life care may have changed, which informs future initiatives to improve palliative and end-of-life care. The present study examines trends in quality of care and quality of dying up to 2019 as judged by family caregivers of residents with dementia in Dutch nursing homes. Various national initiatives aimed to improve knowledge on palliative care in the Netherlands over the last decade. Therefore, the hypothesis is that these trends over a period in which development of dementia palliative care continued, are positive.

Methods

Study population

Data from eight studies conducted in the Netherlands in overlapping time windows between 2005 and 2019 were combined for trend analyses (Table 1).\(^22\),\(^24\) –\(^30\) For seven of the studies, it concerned a secondary analysis of data collected to address various research questions (Supplement). The main goal of the eighth and most recent study was to enhance assessment of trends over time. Some studies employed nationally representative sampling, whereas other studies were regional. All studies collected data retrospectively, and one study also collected data prospectively (Table 1).\(^22\),\(^24\) –\(^30\) Data collected during any intervention condition were excluded. The data concern 1189 persons with dementia who died in 117 nursing home facilities. One facility contributed to two studies (studies 1 and 3, Table 1). The family caregivers who were the primary contact persons were invited to complete a questionnaire; within 1.5–2 months after death in most studies,
### Table 1. Overview of datasets combined for trend analyses on quality of care and quality of dying.

| Study | Main reference for study/included in early combined analyses | Period | Design | Number of nursing homes, area in Netherlands | Number of residents with dementia (response rate) | Time questionnaire sent to family caregiver after death | Study aim | Timeframe, last | EOLD-SWC | EOLD-CAD |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------|-----------|
| 1.    | Gijsberts et al. [14] **Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd** [15] **Palliat Med** [15] [Int Psychogeriatr] [15] | September 2005–June 2007 | Retrospective, observational | Four facilities, West/Central | 54 (61%) | 2 months | Validate Dutch translation. Compare anthroposophical nursing homes to nursing homes without affiliation. Comparison of after-death scores of family caregivers and nurses, and of Dutch and US family caregivers. | Last 90 days | During his/her dying |
| 2.    | Van Soest-Poortvliet et al. Psychometric instrument study | February 2008–April 2009 | Retrospective, observational | 14 facilities, West/ Central | 70 (59%) | 2 months | Assess psychometric properties of instruments to evaluate quality of care and death in long-term care. | Last month | Last week |
| 3.    | DEOLD Study | February 2007–July 2010 | Prospective and retrospective, observational | 40* facilities of 17 health care organisations, nationwide | 248 (58%) | 6 weeks | Asses factors associated with quality of care and quality of dying | Last week | During his/her dying, only if present |
| 4.    | FOLlow-Up Study | January 2012–June 2014 | Retrospective, cluster RCT | 18* facilities, nationwide | 537 (65%) | 6 weeks | Assessment of effect of feedback strategies in perceived end of life care and comfort | Last month | Last week |
| 5.    | PACE, European study | December 2014–November 2015 | Retrospective, six countries also non-dementia, observational | 25 facilities, stratified sampling, nationwide | 89 (62%) | 2–4 months | Comparison of palliative care in nursing homes in six European countries | Last week | Last week |
| 6.    | Proeftuin Dementie | February 2017–October 2017 | Retrospective, observational (intervention not implemented in nursing homes) | Four facilities of one health care organisation, North of NL | 16 (43%) | 6–13 months | Improving palliative care with mobile palliative care teams | Last week | Last week |
| 7.    | DEDICATED (Desired Dementia Care Towards End of Life) | February 2018–September 2019 | Retrospective, observational | Seven facilities of one health care organisation, South of NL | 125 (62%) | 6–8 weeks | Improving palliative care for people with dementia and caregivers | Last 3 months | Last week |
| 8.    | Marente, New data collection | April 2018–December 2018 | Retrospective, observational | Six facilities of one health care organisation, West of NL | 50 (58%) | 3–12 months | Additional data to address research question of possible trend in evaluation end of life care | Last week | Last week |

EOLD-SWC: End-of-Life in Dementia-Satisfaction with Care; EOLD-CAD: End-of-Life in Dementia-Comfort Assessment in Dying; DEOLD: Dutch End Of Life in Dementia; FOLlow-up: Feedback on End-of-Life care in dementia; PACE: Palliative Care in care Homes Across Europe; DEDICATED: Desired Dementia Care Towards End of Life.

*Included nursing homes after move.

*Only pre-test and control group in trend analysis.
and up to about a year after death in two studies (studies 6 and 8, Table 1). All nursing home residents included in these studies received medical care by a certified elderly care physician.31

**Instruments**

Quality of end-of-life care was measured with the End-of-Life in Dementia Satisfaction With Care (EOLD-SWC) instrument.20,23 It has the most favourable psychometric properties as compared to other such instruments and it comprises 10 items regarding experiences on quality of care from the perspective of the family caregiver.22 The items cover decision-making, communication, understanding the resident’s condition and medical care. The response options are: strongly disagree, disagree, agree and strongly agree. Three items are negatively phrased statements, which require reverse coding before summing to total scores that range from 10 to 40. A higher score indicates better quality of end-of-life care.

The End-of-Life in Dementia Comfort Assessment in Dying (EOLD-CAD)20 was used to measure quality of dying.23,32 The EOLD-CAD comprises 14 items on symptoms such as pain, shortness of breath, choking, and fear. It also includes three positive items in a ‘Well being’ sub-scale. This subscale consists of items serenity, peace and calm, which require reverse coding. The three response options are: a lot, somewhat and not at all. Total scores range from 14 to 42, a higher score indicating a better perceived quality of dying. Most studies (6 out of 8) referred to the last week of life. One study used a skip pattern for the EOLD-CAD if the relative was not present during dying, setting a higher bar with regard to actual presence to observe comfort (Table 1).

**Cognition**

All residents had a physician’s diagnosis of dementia and resided in a psychogeriatric unit. Studies 1, 2, 3 and 5 (Table 1) included staff assessment using the Bedford Alzheimer Nursing Severity-Scale (BANS-S) to measure the severity of the dementia in the months before death. BANS-S scores range from 7 to 28. A score of 17 or higher represents severe dementia.33,34 In studies 1 to 5, staff assessed whether residents were fully dependent in eating (no data available for the studies covering 2018 and 2019). Distributions of age, gender and dementia severity were fairly homogeneous between the eight studies (Table 2). Of the family caregivers, the majority were female (62.8%), and most were sons or daughters (in-law) of the resident (65.8%). The EOLD-SWC (quality of care) mean total ranged from 31.9 to 34.1, and the EOLD-CAD (quality of dying) mean total score ranged from 27.2 to 33.3 across studies (Table 3). The correlation between the EOLD-SWC and the EOLD-CAD for quality of dying was weak (r = 0.27, p < 0.001).

Figure 1(a) shows unadjusted quality of care means per 2 years; the curve is steeper in earlier years and flattens over time when variable error bars are taken into consideration. The EOLD-SWC total score significantly increased by 0.148 points per year (CI, 0.052–0.244), and in the adjusted model the trend was an additional 0.170 points per year (CI, 0.052–0.244) (Table 4). The EOLD-CAD total score significantly decreased by −0.175 points per year (CI, −0.291 to −0.058; Table 4 and Figure 1(b)) but in the adjusted model the trend was not significant with a decrease of −0.070 points per year (CI, −0.205 to 0.065). The difference of EOLD-CAD with the adjusted model (−0.070 vs −0.175 unadjusted; Table 4) was driven by adjustment for prospective versus retrospective design. The subscale ‘Well Being’ significantly decreased by −0.076 points per year (CI, −0.114 to −0.039) in the unadjusted model, and in the adjusted model by −0.073 points
Table 2. Characteristics of nursing home residents who died with dementia and their relatives.

|                          | Total all studies | Gijsberts | Van Soest | DEOLD | FOLow-up | PACE | Proeftuin Dementie | DEDICATED | Marente Dementie |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Number of residents      | 1,189             | 54        | 70        | 248   | 537       | 89   | 16                 | 125       | 50              |
| Age, mean number of years; (SD) [n] | 85.5 (7.6)        | 85.1 (5.8) | 88.8 (5.9) | 85.6 (7.1) | 84.9 (8.1) | 85.6 (7.2) | 85.4 (7.5) | 85.7 (7.7) | 85.5 (7.0) |
| Female, % [n]            | 67.9 [807/1189]   | 80 [43/54] | 89 [62/70] | 67    | 68        | 50   | 60 [53/89]         | 72 [36/50] |                 |
| Severity of dementia, BANS-S score [17.1 (4.0)] | 17.9 (4.2)       | 16.3 (3.7) | Not available | 17.9 (4.9) | Not available | Not available | Not available |                 |
| Severe dementia, BANS-S score 17 or higher % [n] | 53.7 [230/428] | 73 [51/70] | 41 [102/248] | Not available | 57 [32/56] | Not available | Not available | Not available |                 |
| Full eating dependency (CPS 6), % [n] | 29.4 [271/923] | 38 [21/54] | 26 [61/237] | 29    | 33        | Not available | Not available | Not available |                 |
| Caregiver female, % [n] | 62.8 [747/1186]   | 67 [47/70] | 61 [151/246] | Not available | 68       | 63   | 61                 | 78        |                 |
| Age caregiver, mean number of years (SD) [n] | 62.0 (11.2)       | 60.6 (8.5) | 60.6 (11.2) | 62.7 (11.8) | 63.4 (11.0) | 65.3 (9.8) | 62.4 (10.5) | 59.8 (9.6) |                 |
| Relationship caregiver, % [n] |                   | 60.6 (8.5) | 60.6 (11.2) | 62.7 (11.8) | 63.4 (11.0) | 65.3 (9.8) | 62.4 (10.5) | 59.8 (9.6) |                 |
| Spouse                   | 18.5 [220]        | 12 [6]    | 6 [4]     | 19 [46] | 21 [113]  | 23 [20] | 19 [3]             | 18 [22]   | 12 [6]         |
| Child                    | 65.8 [782]        | 71 [37]   | 87 [61]   | 66 [161] | 63 [338]  | 60 [53] | 50 [8]             | 70 [87]   | 74 [37]        |
| Other                    | 15.3 [181]        | 17 [9]    | 7 [5]     | 16 [38] | 16 [86]   | 18 [16] | 31 [5]             | 12 [15]   | 14 [7]         |

SD: standard deviation; BANS-S: Bedford Alzheimer Nursing Severity Scale; CPS: Minimum Data Set Cognitive Performance Scale.
per year (CI, −0.119 to −0.028). The other subscale scores showed no significant trend. Trend models in EOLD-SWC and EOLD-CAD for separate studies are shown in Supplemental Figures S1 and S2.

The sensitivity analyses showed similar estimates. A quadratic term for time was significant for the EOLD-SWC in both the unadjusted ($p = 0.002$) and the adjusted model ($p < 0.001$; Supplemental Figure S3). A quadratic term for change over time was not significant in the unadjusted model for EOLD-CAD ($p = 0.096$) or the adjusted model ($p = 0.223$).

**Discussion**

**Main findings**

This study investigated trends in family caregivers’ assessments of quality of end-of-life care and quality of dying of nursing home residents with dementia in the Netherlands. From 2005 to 2019, quality of care improved, in particular in the earlier years. Quality of dying did not significantly change in adjusted analyses that included adjustment for prospective design, but scores on the subscale ‘Well being’ nevertheless decreased, also after adjustment.

The statistically significant changes are relevant long-term changes as they may represent ongoing change, and a 2.4 increase in EOLD-SWC total score, for example, nears 3 used in power calculations. The progressive and terminal nature of dementia and the complex care needs that accompany dementia underpin a palliative approach to care. The evidence-base for palliative dementia care is still small but will expand over the coming years. The early increase in quality of care in the Netherlands may be related to political developments in palliative care from the late 1990s onward. A 1997 policy programme aimed to integrate palliative care into the regular healthcare system, to increase practitioners’ skills and knowledge. In Dutch national dementia plans, however, palliative or end-of-life care is not mentioned. Treatments for symptom relief, clearer treatment goals and a focus on quality of life are mentioned. Palliative care specialists are consulted for residents in Dutch nursing homes with dementia, in only 2.5% of the cases. Compared to five other European countries, however, the treating physician in Dutch nursing homes is involved in palliative care more often (in 98.8% of the cases).

In the context of increasing quality of end-of-life care as perceived by family and increasing awareness regarding palliative care as perceived by physicians, finding no improvement on the quality of dying scale and a decline on the ‘Well being’ subscale is counterintuitive. Further, scores on the quality of care items, regarding measures taken to improve comfort and regarding nursing assistance showed the highest increase. Other studies also found weak to moderate associations between quality of care evaluated by families and perceived quality of dying. An interesting artefact may have been introduced by a design issue, with a negative trend for a prospective design (Supplemental Figures S1 and S2). Repeated completion of questionnaires on symptom burden in the prospective study may also have increased family caregivers’ awareness of symptoms in the dying phase. These family caregivers may have been prompted to report more symptoms.

Nevertheless, controlled for design, the trend was also negative for the subscale ‘Well Being’ that comprises the items ‘serenity’, ‘peace’ and ‘calm’. Family caregivers may hold negative perceptions about the end of life with dementia as being undignified, especially in Western societies where autonomy is highly valued. Increasing media exposure and public campaigns on ‘living well with dementia’, in combination with the Dutch debate on the acceptability of euthanasia in dementia in recent years might influence such perceptions. Lemos Dekker found that family caregivers of nursing home residents with dementia may feel powerless due to a lack of control over relief of their relatives’ suffering. Higher expectations and standards of care, and increased focus on control and

| Study/project, mean (SD) | EOLD-SWC | n/total n | EOLD-CAD | n/total n |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| 1. Gijsberts             | 31.9 (4.7)| 54/54     | 32.0 (5.4)| 52/54     |
| 2. Van Soest-Poortvliet  | 32.1 (5.8)| 68/70     | 30.7 (5.3)| 59/70     |
| 3. DEOLD                 | 32.6 (5.3)| 242/248   | 33.3 (5.9)| 88/90     |
| 4. FOLlow-up             | 34.1 (4.8)| 535/537   | 30.6 (5.6)| 466/537   |
| 5. PACE                  | 33.8 (5.2)| 86/89     | 29.7 (5.6)| 80/89     |
| 6. Proeftuin Dementie    | 30.2 (6.3)| 16/16     | 27.2 (7.2)| 13/16     |
| 7. DEDICATED             | 33.7 (5.0)| 118/125   | 30.6 (6.2)| 101/125   |
| 8. Marente               | 33.4 (4.8)| 50/50     | 30.8 (5.5)| 44/50     |

EOLD-SWC: End-of-Life in Dementia-Satisfaction with Care; EOLD-CAD: End-of-Life in Dementia-Comfort Assessment in Dying.
Figure 1. (a) EOLD-SWC means per 2-year intervals with 95% CI bars and (b) EOLD-CAD means per 2-year intervals with 95% CI bars. EOLD-SWC: End-of-Life in Dementia-Satisfaction with Care; EOLD-CAD: End-of-Life in Dementia-Comfort Assessment in Dying; CI: confidence interval.
dignity might explain a decrease in their assessment of well-being in dying, while their assessment of concrete symptoms remained unchanged. Future research is needed to disentangle what well-being in dying means to families.

Strengths and limitations

This study used perspectives from more than 1000 family caregivers of nursing home residents with dementia, over a period of 14 years. It does not evaluate a single reform as there were various initiatives to improve palliative care. Identifying of individual items that did or did not change further enhances the study’s relevance to clinical practice. The EOLD-SWC has strong psychometric properties, whereas there is some ambiguity about the psychometric properties of the EOLD-CAD regarding feasibility, validity and reliability. Although the EOLD-CAD items all assess aspects of quality of dying, the instrument does not cover the full concept of quality of dying, which may include aspects that are more difficult to assess such as retaining identity or dignity. Nonetheless, other such measures do not perform better or properties are unknown. The EOLD-SWC and EOLD-CAD scales have been widely used after an early comparison of psychometric properties, which facilitates comparison between countries. This study was limited to the Netherlands, but its EOLD scores are fairly representative for recent European research. Sample sizes, recruitment methods and the period before death referred to in the EOLD instruments varied between the individual studies in the analyses. There may be residual confounding by unmeasured confounders. However, any confounding by dementia severity is unlikely as adjusted estimated were unchanged in sensitivity analyses.

Table 4. Trends in total and item quality of care scores (EOLD-SWC) and in total and subscale quality of dying scores (EOLD-CAD).

| Metric                                  | Mean (SD) [n] | Trend; coefficient (95%-CI) unadjusted | Trend; coefficient (95%-CI) adjusted |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| EOLD-SWC total                          | 33.40 (5.08) [1169] | 0.148 (0.052 to 0.244) | 0.170 (0.055 to 0.285) |
| a. I felt fully involved in all decision making | 3.41 (0.66) [1171] | 0.017 (0.005 to 0.029) | 0.017 (0.002 to 0.032) |
| b. I would probably have made different decisions if I had had more information | 3.30 (0.73) [1137] | 0.006 (−0.008 to 0.019) | 0.011 (−0.006 to 0.027) |
| c. All measures were taken to keep my relative comfortable | 3.47 (0.66) [1167] | 0.024 (0.012 to 0.037) | 0.030 (0.016 to 0.045) |
| d. The health care team were sensitive to my needs and feelings | 3.35 (0.65) [1146] | 0.019 (0.007 to 0.031) | 0.015 (0.001 to 0.030) |
| e. I did not really understand my relative’s condition | 3.35 (0.78) [1150] | 0.011 (−0.002 to 0.024) | 0.010 (−0.005 to 0.026) |
| f. I always knew which doctor or nurse was in charge of my relative’s care | 3.03 (0.78) [1165] | 0.014 (−0.000 to 0.029) | 0.011 (−0.006 to 0.029) |
| g. I felt that my relative got all necessary nursing assistance | 3.42 (0.66) [1170] | 0.019 (0.007 to 0.031) | 0.026 (0.011 to 0.040) |
| h. I felt that all medication issues were clearly explained to me | 3.27 (0.71) [1155] | 0.016 (0.004 to 0.029) | 0.021 (0.005 to 0.036) |
| i. My relative was receiving all treatments or interventions that he or she could benefit from | 3.38 (0.66) [1164] | 0.015 (0.004 to 0.027) | 0.016 (0.002 to 0.030) |
| j. I feel that my relative needed better medical care at the end of his or her life | 3.42 (0.76) [1159] | 0.005 (−0.008 to 0.019) | 0.010 (−0.006 to 0.026) |

EOLD-CAD total: 30.80 (5.76) [903], −0.175 (−0.291 to −0.058), −0.070 (−0.205 to 0.065), 0.00001 (−0.048 to 0.048), 0.017 (−0.032 to 0.067), −0.026 (−0.077 to 0.025). EOLD-SWC item scores are presented because the total score trend is significant. Cronbach’s α: EOLD-SWC total: 0.90; EOLD-CAD total: 0.83; EOLD-CAD subscale Physical distress: 0.62; EOLD-CAD subscale Dying symptoms: 0.68; EOLD-CAD subscale Emotional distress: 0.78; EOLD-CAD subscale Well being: 0.91.
Conclusion
This study observed a positive trend in family caregivers’ assessments of the quality of end-of-life care for nursing home residents with dementia over a period of 14 years. Family caregivers’ assessments of quality of dying did not change with regard to symptoms during dying, but according to their assessments the well-being during dying decreased over time. There may be a growing gap between family caregivers’ expectations and actual symptoms and well-being at the end of life. These observations call for further monitoring of quality perceived by family and research to investigate contemporary ideas about what constitutes a ‘good and comfortable death’ at the end of life with dementia.
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