Stability criterion for multi-component solitary waves
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We obtain the most general matrix criterion for stability and instability of multi-component solitary waves considering a system of $N$ incoherently coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Soliton stability is studied as a constrained variational problem which is reduced to finite-dimensional linear algebra. We prove that unstable (all real and positive) eigenvalues of the linear stability problem for multi-component solitary waves are connected with negative eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix, the latter is constructed for the energetic surface of $N$-component spatially localized stationary solutions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent discovery of self-focusing of partially coherent light and experimental observation of the so-called incoherent spatial solitons has called for a systematic analysis of the properties and stability of multi-component and multi-parameter solitary waves. Incoherent solitons are generated in noninstantaneous nonlinear media such as biased photorefractive crystals. In this case, a self-consistent modal theory, which is equivalent to the coherent density approach, describes the incoherent solitons with the help of a system of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equations (see also [4]). Similar models appear, in a different physical context, in the theory of soliton wavelength-division multiplexing [5], multi-channel bit-parallel-wavelength optical fiber networks [6], multi-species and spinor Bose-Einstein condensates [7], and other important applications [8]. In all such physical models solitary waves are multi-component, being described by localized solutions of the coupled nonlinear equations. In some very special cases, the coupled system allows for explicit analytical solutions (see, e.g., Ref. [4]) but, generally speaking, the nonlinear models with multi-component solitary waves are nonintegrable. Stability of solitary waves is therefore a crucial issue for any kind of their applications.

The study of soliton stability has a long history. Stability of one-parameter solitary waves has been already well understood for both fundamental (single-hump and nodeless) solitons [10] [11] and solitons with nodes and multiple humps [13,14]. The pioneering results of Vakhitov and Kolokolov [10] found their rigorous justification in a general mathematical theory of Grillakis, Shatah, and Strauss [15]. Although the corresponding stability and instability theorems for the scalar NLS models extend formally to the case of multi-parametric solitons [14], all the cases analyzed so far correspond to solitary waves with effectively a single parameter.

A recent progress in the study of soliton instabilities is associated with the application of a bifurcation theory valid for weakly unstable stationary localized waves. In this method, the corresponding unstable eigenvalue of the associated spectral problem is treated as a small parameter of multi-scale asymptotic expansions [16]. In the case of multi-parameter solitary waves, a simplified version of this method is usually reduced to a number of “magic determinants” constructed from the derivatives of the system invariants near a marginal stability line [17,20]. However, such a bifurcation method has no rigorous proof, and it does not allow to predict the complete domains of the soliton stability and instability, since more general oscillatory instabilities may occur as well [18,22].

In this paper, we present a complete theory for stability and instability of multi-parameter solitary waves considering a particular example of a system of $N$ incoherently coupled NLS equations. Our results include the asymptotic bifurcation method with the determinant criterion as a simple near-threshold limiting case. They also expand the applicability boundaries of the previously known mathematical theorems [15] to the case of multi-component and multi-parameter solitary waves.

The system of incoherently coupled NLS equations has already been studied in many papers (see, e.g., Refs. [23,25] to cite a few). However, the study of stability of single-hump and multi-hump solitary waves was restricted again by a single-parameter case, when soliton components have a similar shape and their amplitudes are proportional to each other [23]. In this paper, we expand those results and present, for the first time to our knowledge, a complete matrix analysis of the constrained variational problem leading to the finite-dimensional linear algebra. Although some of our results depend on the properties which are specific to the model under consideration, we believe that both the method and the basic results can be generalized, under proper assumptions, to be applied to other types of nonlinear physical models that support multi-parameter solitary waves.
II. MODEL AND BASIC RESULTS

We consider nonlinear interaction of $N$ optical modes that describe either a multi-mode structure of a partially incoherent self-trapped beam or incoherent coupling between optical channels with different wavelengths in a fiber. Then, the amplitude envelopes of partial modes satisfy the following system of incoherently coupled NLS equations,

$$
i \frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial z} + d_n \nabla_x^2 \psi_n + \left( \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_{nm} |\psi_m|^2 \right) \psi_n = 0,$$

(1)

where $\nabla_x^2$ stands for Laplacian in the $D$-dimensional space $x = (x_1, ..., x_D)$, and all the coefficients $d_n$ are assumed to be positive. When one of the variables of the vector $x$ stands for time, Eqs. (1) describe the spatio-temporal dynamics of self-focused and self-modulated light in the form of the so-called light bullets.

Provided the symmetry conditions $\gamma_{nm} = \gamma_{mn}$ are satisfied, the system (1) conserves the Hamiltonian,

$$H = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} d_n |\nabla_x \psi_n|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_{nm} |\psi_n|^2 |\psi_m|^2 \right),$$

the individual mode powers, $Q_n = \frac{1}{2} \int |\psi_n|^2 dx$, and the total field momentum. Localized solutions of Eqs. (1) for the fundamental solitary waves are defined as $\psi_n = \Phi_n(x)e^{i\beta_n z}$, where $\Phi_n(x)$ are real functions with no nodes, and $\beta_n$ are positive propagation constants. The soliton solutions are stationary points of the Lyapunov functional,

$$\Lambda[\psi] = H[\psi] + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \beta_n Q_n[\psi],$$

(2)

i.e. the first variation of $\Lambda[\psi]$ vanishes at $\psi = \Phi(x)$. The second variation of $\Lambda[\psi]$ defines the stability properties: negative directions of the second variation corresponds to unstable eigenvalues in the soliton stability problem (see, e.g., Ref. [1] for a review of the basic results).

The stability problem is defined by minimizing the second variation of the Lyapunov functional $\Lambda[\psi]$,

$$\delta^2 \Lambda = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ \langle u | L_1 | u \rangle + \langle w | L_0 | w \rangle \right],$$

(3)

where $u(x)$ and $w(x)$ are perturbations of the multi-component solitary wave taken in the form $\psi = \Phi(x) + [u + iw](x)e^{i\lambda z}$, and the scalar product is defined as $\langle f | g \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n^* g_n$. The matrix Sturm-Liouville operator $L_0$ has a diagonal form with the elements

$$(L_0)_{nn} = -d_n \nabla_x^2 + \beta_n - \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_{nm} \Phi_m^2,$$

and the matrix operator $L_1$ has the elements

$$(L_1)_{nn} = -d_n \nabla_x^2 + \beta_n - \sum_{m=1}^{N} \gamma_{nm} \Phi_m^2 - 2 \gamma_{nn} \Phi_n^2,$$

at the diagonal, and $(L_1)_{nm} = -2 \gamma_{nm} \Phi_n \Phi_m$, off the diagonal. Operators $L_0$ and $L_1$ determine the linear eigenvalue problem for stability of multi-component solitary waves,

$$L_1 u = -\lambda w, \quad L_0 w = \lambda u.$$

(4)

Both the linear problem (4) and minimization problem (3) should satisfy a set of $N$ constraints,

$$F_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \langle \Phi_n e_n | u \rangle = 0,$$

(5)

where $e_n$ is the $n^{th}$ unit vector, which correspond to the conservation of the individual powers $Q_n$ under the action of a perturbation described by a vector $(u, w)$.
First of all, we recall the main result of Refs. [10–12] that the one-parameter solitary waves with no nodes \((N = 1)\) are stable in the framework of the constrained variational problem \([3]-[5]\) provided the energetic surface \(\Lambda_\beta(\beta) = \Lambda[\Phi] \) is concave up, i.e.
\[
\frac{d^2 \Lambda_\beta}{d \beta_1^2} = \frac{dQ_1}{d \beta_1} > 0.
\]

Under this condition, the linear eigenvalue problem \([4]\) has no unstable eigenvalues, i.e. those with a positive real part \(\lambda\). Otherwise, the second variation \([3]\) constrained by the set \([5]\) has a single negative direction that corresponds to a single positive eigenvalue \(\lambda\) in the linear eigenvalue problem \([4]\). The stability criterion for scalar (or one-component) NLS solitons holds when the self-adjoint operator \(L_1\) has a single negative eigenvalue, i.e. when the second variation \([3]\), without the constraint \([5]\) imposed, has a single negative direction. If the latter condition is not satisfied, as it happens for solitary waves with nodes, the fundamental criterion for the soliton instability can be extended only for a special case \([14,21]\), while more generic mechanisms of oscillatory instabilities, associated with complex eigenvalues of the linear eigenvalue problem, may appear beyond the prediction of the fundamental criterion \([14,21]\).

Here we extend the soliton stability analysis to the case of multi-component solitary waves described by a system of incoherently coupled NLS equations \([6]\). We assume that the number of negative directions (eigenvalues) of the second variation \(\delta^2 \Lambda\) is fixed, and we denote it as \(n(\Lambda)\). The unstable eigenvalues \(\lambda\) of the linear problem \([4]\) are connected with some negative eigenvalues of the matrix \(\mathbf{U}\) defined by the elements
\[
U_{nm} = \frac{\partial^2 \Lambda_\beta}{\partial \beta_n \partial \beta_m} = \frac{\partial Q_n}{\partial \beta_m} = \frac{\partial Q_m}{\partial \beta_n}.
\]
The matrix \(\mathbf{U}\) is the Hessian matrix of the energetic surface \(\Lambda_\beta(\beta)\). We denote the number of positive eigenvalues of the matrix \(\mathbf{U}\) as \(p(\mathbf{U})\), and the number of its negative eigenvalues as \(n(\Lambda)\), so that \(p(\mathbf{U}) + n(\Lambda) \leq N\), since some eigenvalues may be zeros in a degenerate (bifurcation) case. As is shown below, both \(p(\mathbf{U})\) and \(n(\Lambda)\) satisfy some additional constraints,
\[
p(\mathbf{U}) \leq \min\{N, n(\Lambda)\}, \quad n(\Lambda) \geq \max\{0, N - n(\Lambda)\}.
\]
Within these notations, we formulate (and prove below) the following fundamental results on stability and instability of multi-component solitary waves of the coupled NLS equations \([6]\):

(i) the linear problem \([4]\) may have at most \(n(\Lambda)\) unstable eigenvalues \(\lambda\), all real and positive;

(ii) a multi-component soliton is linearly unstable provided \(p(\mathbf{U}) < n(\Lambda)\); then the linear problem \([4]\) has \(n(\Lambda) - p(\mathbf{U})\) real (positive or zero-becoming-positive) eigenvalues \(\lambda\);

(iii) a multi-component soliton is linearly stable provided \(p(\mathbf{U}) = n(\Lambda)(\leq N)\); in the case \(n(\Lambda) = N\) this criterion implies that the energetic surface \(\Lambda_\beta(\beta)\) is concave up in the \(\beta\)-space;

(iv) a single eigenvalue \(\lambda\) crosses a marginal stability curve when the matrix \(\mathbf{U}\) possesses a zero-becoming-negative eigenvalue: the normal form for the instability-induced dynamics of multi-component solitary waves resembles the equation of motion for an effective classical particle subjected to a \(N\)-dimensional potential field,
\[
E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} M_{nm} \frac{d \nu_n}{dz} \frac{d \nu_m}{dz} + W(\beta, \nu),
\]
where \(M_{nm}\) are the elements of the positive-definite “mass matrix” [see Eq. \([28]\) below], \(\nu\) is the vector describing a perturbation to the soliton parameters \(\beta\), and \(W(\beta, \nu)\) is an effective potential energy defined as
\[
W(\beta, \nu) = H_\beta(\beta + \nu) - H_\beta(\beta) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\beta_n + \nu_n) [Q_{sn}(\beta + \nu) - Q_{sn}(\beta)].
\]

These results should be compared with the results following from the stability and instability theorems earlier formulated by Grillakis, Shatah, and Strauss \([14]\]. The stability result (iii), i.e. the condition \(p(\mathbf{U}) = n(\Lambda)\), is identical to that of the stability theorem \([15]\), but the instability results (i)-(ii) are more general and explicit. In particular,
the theorem of Grillakis et al. guarantees the soliton instability provided the difference \( n(\Lambda) - p(U) \) is odd. However, our results predict that the soliton instability always occurs for \( n(\Lambda) - p(U) > 0 \), being associated with exactly \( n(\Lambda) - p(U) \) non-negative real eigenvalues \( \lambda \) of the linear eigenvalue problem (3). Moreover, according to our result (iv), each new unstable eigenvalue \( \lambda \) appears via a bifurcation at the marginal stability curve where the determinant of the matrix \( U \) vanishes, i.e., it is connected with a zero-becoming-negative eigenvalue of the Hessian matrix \( U \). If \( n(\Lambda) > N \), unstable eigenvalues \( \lambda \) originated from the negative eigenvalues of the Hessian co-exist with \( n(\Lambda) - N \) unstable eigenvalues of the linear problem (4), i.e. a solitary wave is unconditionally unstable when \( n(\Lambda) > N \).

III. A PROOF OF THE BASIC RESULTS

Here we develop the analysis of the problem (3)-(5), in order to prove the results (i)-(iv) formulated above. The Sturm-Liouville operators \( (L_0)_{nn} \) are all non-negative since the fundamental (node-less) solution \( \Phi(x) \) for a solitary wave reaches a bottom of the spectrum at zero: \( (L_0)_{nn} \Phi = 0 \). As a result,

\[
\delta^2 \Lambda = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \langle u | L_1 u \rangle = \sum_{\nu} \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \langle u_k | u_k \rangle.
\]

Here \((\mu, u_k)\) are eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the auxiliary linear problem,

\[
L_1 u_k = \mu_\nu \Phi_{\nu m}(x) e_m.
\]

The linear problem (12) is constrained by the set (3) and the parameters \( \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_N \) have the meaning of the Lagrange multipliers.

Let us suppose that the Sturm-Liouville matrix operator \( L_1 \) has \( n(\Lambda) \) negative eigenvalues \( \mu = \{\mu_{-n(\Lambda)}, \mu_{-(n(\Lambda)+1)}, \ldots, \mu_{-1}\} \) corresponding to the eigenfunctions \( u = \{\psi_{-n(\Lambda)}(x), \psi_{-(n(\Lambda)+1)}(x), \ldots, \psi_{-1}(x)\} \); a single zero eigenvalue with a one-node eigenfunction \( u = \langle \Phi \rangle / dx \) and the rest of the spectrum is positive and contains \( N \) branches of the continuous spectrum, for \( \mu > \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_N\} \), and some isolated positive eigenvalues, for \( \mu = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_p\} \).

The mathematical problem can then be reformulated in the following way. The linear operator \( L_1 \) has \( n(\Lambda) \) negative eigenvalues that generate negative directions of the second variation \( \delta^2 \Lambda \). However, the corresponding eigenfunctions do not satisfy generally the constraints (3). By introducing the Lagrangian multipliers in (11) and (12), we satisfy a constrained minimization problem (3) and (5) but, due to this procedure, the number of negative eigenvalues may be reduced. We will show how to connect the total number of negative eigenvalues of the constrained problem (3), (4), and (5) with the negative eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix (3). But, as a prerequisite, we prove two additional results for the spectrum of the problem (3):

(i) the spectrum of \( \lambda^2 \) is real, i.e. oscillatory instabilities are prohibited;

(ii) each negative direction \((\mu, u_k)\) of the problem (12) generates an unstable (positive) eigenvalue \( \lambda \) of the problem (3).

To prove the statement (i), we notice that the matrix operator \( L_0 \) can be factorized as \( L_0 = \sum_{d=1}^{D} M_d^+ M_d \), where \( M_d \) has a diagonal form with the following matrix elements

\[
(M_d)_{nn} = \sqrt{a_n} \left[ -\partial_{xx} + \frac{1}{\Phi_n(x)} \partial_x \Phi_n(x) \right],
\]

provided the soliton solutions \( \Phi_n(x) \) have no nodes in a finite domain. Using this factorization, the linear problem (3) can be rewritten for the function \( u = \sum_{d=1}^{D} M_d^+ v_d \) as follows,

\[
\sum_{d'=1}^{D} M_d L_1 M_{d'}^+ v_{d'} = -\lambda^2 v_d.
\]

Since the matrix operator with the elements \( M_d L_1 M_{d'}^+ \) is Hermitian, its eigenvalues \((-\lambda^2)\) are all real.
To prove the statement (ii), we suppose that we have constructed a negative direction \((\mu, \mathbf{u}_k)\) of the problem (11)-(12) subject to the constraints (5). Then, the linear problem (3) has an unstable eigenvalue \(\lambda\) defined as,

\[
\lambda^2 = -\frac{\langle \mathbf{u}_k | L_1 \mathbf{L}_1 \mathbf{u}_k \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_k | \mathbf{u}_k \rangle} = -\mu \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}_k | \mathbf{L}_0 \mathbf{u}_k \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_k | \mathbf{u}_k \rangle}.
\]  

(13)

Since the linear operator \(\mathbf{L}_0\) is positive definite for any \(\mathbf{u}_k \neq (0, \Phi)\), we have \(\lambda^2 > 0\) for any \(\mu < 0\).

Our next goal is to construct solutions to the auxiliary problem (12). Since the matrix operator \(\mathbf{L}_1\) is Hermitian, it has a complete spectrum in a Hilbert space that is suitable for expanding the vector function \(\mathbf{u}_k(x)\). We present such a spectral decomposition in the form,

\[
\mathbf{u}_k(x) = \sum_{m=1}^N \nu_m \left( \sum_{\mu_r < 0} \frac{\langle \psi_r | \Phi_m \mathbf{e}_m \rangle}{\mu - \mu_r} \psi_r(x) + \sum_{\mu_r > 0} \frac{\langle \psi_r | \Phi_m \mathbf{e}_m \rangle}{\mu - \mu_r} \psi_r(x) \right),
\]

(14)

where the sum \(\sum_{\mu_r < 0}\) contains \(n(\Lambda)\) terms from the negative spectrum, while the sum \(\sum_{\mu_r > 0}\) includes schematically both the discrete and continuous positive spectra of \(\mathbf{L}_1\). The contribution from the neutral eigenfunction \(\mathbf{u} = d\Phi/dx\) vanishes due to the symmetry properties. General solution (14) has to be constrained by the conditions (5). This system reduces to the linear algebra for the Lagrange multipliers, \(\mathbf{A}(\mu)\nu = 0\), where the matrix \(\mathbf{A}(\mu)\) has a symmetric form with the elements:

\[
A_{nm}(\mu) = \sum_{\mu_r < 0} \frac{\langle \Phi_n \mathbf{e}_n | \psi_r \rangle \langle \psi_r | \Phi_m \mathbf{e}_m \rangle}{\mu - \mu_r} + \sum_{\mu_r > 0} \frac{\langle \Phi_n \mathbf{e}_n | \psi_r \rangle \langle \psi_r | \Phi_m \mathbf{e}_m \rangle}{\mu - \mu_r}.
\]

(15)

The linear system \(\mathbf{A}(\mu)\nu = \gamma\nu\) has generally \(N\) real eigenvalues \(\gamma_1(\mu), \gamma_2(\mu), \ldots, \gamma_N(\mu)\). These eigenvalues are continuous functions of \(\mu\) for \(\mu \leq 0\), except for \(n(\Lambda)\) resonant planes at \(\mu = \{\mu_{-n(\Lambda)}, \mu_{-n(\Lambda)+1}, \ldots, \mu_{-1}\}\). At these planes, the matrix \(\mathbf{A}(\mu)\) has poles and the eigenvalues \(\gamma(\mu)\) may have singularities. Below, we prove the following three properties of the eigenvalues \(\gamma(\mu)\):

(i) all eigenvalues \(\gamma(\mu)\) are negative for \(\mu < \mu_{-n(\Lambda)} < 0\);

(ii) each eigenvalue \(\gamma(\mu)\) is a decreasing function of \(\mu\) for \(\mu \leq 0\), except for \(n(\Lambda)\) resonant planes at \(\mu = \{\mu_{-n(\Lambda)}, \mu_{-n(\Lambda)+1}, \ldots, \mu_{-1}\}\);

(iii) at least \((N - 1)\) eigenvalues \(\gamma(\mu)\) are continuous at any of the resonant planes \(\mu = \mu_r < 0\), while the minimal eigenvalue, say \(\gamma_1(\mu)\), may have an infinite discontinuity jumping from negative infinity, at \(\mu \to \mu_r - 0\), to positive infinity, at \(\mu \to \mu_r + 0\).

To show the property (i), we consider the asymptotic limit of \(\mathbf{A}(\mu)\) as \(\mu \to -\infty\). In this limit, the eigenvalues \(\gamma(\mu)\) can be expressed from the algebra of quadratic forms,

\[
\gamma(\mu) = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{1}{\nu|\nu|} \left( \sum_{\mu_r < 0} b_r + \sum_{\mu_r > 0} b_r \right),
\]

(16)

where

\[
b_r = \sum_{n=1}^N \nu_n \langle \psi_r | \Phi_n \mathbf{e}_n \rangle^2 \geq 0.
\]

(17)

Since all \(b_r\) may not vanish simultaneously for \(\nu \neq 0\), the eigenvalues \(\gamma(\mu)\) are negative definite in Eq. (16) so that \(\gamma(\mu) \to -0\) as \(\mu \to -\infty\).

To show the property (ii), we take the derivative of the system \(\mathbf{A}\nu = \gamma(\mu)\nu\) and use the algebra of quadratic forms. The derivative of \(\gamma(\mu)\) is then defined for \(\mu \leq 0\) excluding the resonant planes at \(\mu = \{\mu_{-n(\Lambda)}, \mu_{-n(\Lambda)+1}, \ldots, \mu_{-1}\}\) as

\[
\frac{d\gamma(\mu)}{d\mu} = \frac{1}{\nu|\nu|} \nu|\nu| \frac{d\mathbf{A}(\mu)}{d\mu} = \frac{1}{\nu|\nu|} \left( \sum_{\mu_r < 0} \frac{b_r}{(\mu - \mu_r)^2} + \sum_{\mu_r > 0} \frac{b_r}{(\mu - \mu_r)^2} \right),
\]

(18)
where $b_r$ are defined by the same relation (17). Since the derivative of $\gamma(\mu)$ is negative definite in Eq. (18), all eigenvalues $\gamma(\mu)$ are decreasing functions of $\mu$ whenever $d^2\gamma(\mu)/d\mu^2$ exists.

To show the property (iii), we consider the behavior of the eigenvalues $\gamma(\mu)$ at the resonant plane $\mu = \mu_r < 0$. In this limit, the matrix elements $A_{nm}(\mu)$ have the following asymptotic form,

$$A_{nm}(\mu) \to \frac{\langle \Phi_n e_n | \psi_r \rangle \langle \psi_r | \Phi_n e_m \rangle}{(\mu - \mu_r)}.$$

Therefore, the matrix $A(\mu)$ has $(N - 1)$ zero eigenvalues $\gamma(\mu)$ and a single non-zero eigenvalue $\gamma_1(\mu)$ with the asymptotic value,

$$\gamma_1(\mu) \to \frac{1}{(\mu - \mu_r)} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\langle \Phi_n e_n | \psi_r \rangle|^2. \quad (19)$$

If the sum in Eq. (15) does not vanish, the eigenvalue $\gamma_1(\mu)$ has an infinite discontinuity described in (iii) and, according to the property (ii), it is the minimal eigenvalue. Other $(N - 1)$ eigenvalues are in fact non-zero in the limit $\mu \to \mu_r$. Since the matrix $A(\mu)$ is a meromorphic function of $\mu$ as $\mu = 0$, the eigenvalue $(\mu - \mu_r)\gamma(\mu)$ is of order of $O(\mu - \mu_r)$ for $(N - 1)$ non-singular eigenvalues. Therefore, the values of $\gamma(\mu)$ are generally non-zero in the limit $\mu \to \mu_r$.

Thus, we have a clear picture how the eigenvalues $\gamma(\mu)$ behave as functions of $\mu$ [see Figs 1(a,b)]. Starting with small negative values as $\mu \to -\infty$, all eigenvalues decrease as $\mu$ grows towards the $n(\Lambda)$ resonant planes. At each of these planes, $(N - 1)$ eigenvalues remain continuously decreasing, while one (minimal) eigenvalue jumps to a positive domain unless the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} |\langle \Phi_n e_n | \psi_r \rangle|^2 = 0 \quad (20)$$

is satisfied (this condition will be discussed below). Assuming that the condition (20) is not met, we come to the conclusion that a root of $\gamma(\mu)$ may occur only after a jump of $\gamma(\mu)$ at a resonant plane $\mu = \mu_r$ to a large positive value, and further decrease of $\gamma(\mu)$ as $\mu$ grows. The root of $\gamma(\mu)$, if exists for $\mu \leq 0$, produces a legitimate solution $u_k(x)$ of the problem (12) under the constraints (5). This solution $(\mu, u_k)$ would then be associated with an unstable eigenvalue $\lambda$, according to the connection formula (13). Thus, our main task is to control the behavior of positive $\gamma(\mu)$ in between the plane $\mu = 0$ and the resonant planes $\mu = \{\mu - n(\Lambda), \mu - n(\Lambda) + 1, \ldots, \mu - 1\}$.

At the plane $\mu = 0$, the problem (14) has a simple solution for $u_k(x)$,

$$u_{\mu=0}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \nu_n \frac{\partial \Phi(x)}{\partial \beta_n}. \quad (21)$$

Substituting Eq. (21) into the constraints (5), we find that $A(0) = U$, where the matrix $U$ is the Hessian of the energetic surface $\Lambda(\beta)$ with the elements $U_{nm}$ defined by Eq. (5). We can now use this construction and prove the main results (i)–(iii). In the analysis below we assume that the condition (20) is never met and the root of $\gamma(\mu)$ at $\mu = 0$ is associated with the unstable eigenvalue $\lambda$ of the stability problem (13).

The roots of $\gamma(\mu)$ may appear only to the right of any of the $n(\Lambda)$ resonant planes. There are totally $n(\Lambda)$ jumps of $\gamma(\mu)$ to positive values at $\mu = 0$ and, therefore, no more than $n(\Lambda)$ roots of $\gamma(\mu)$ may exist for $\mu \leq 0$.

If $n(\Lambda) = N$, the positive eigenvalues $\gamma(\mu)$ remain continuous after passing the corresponding resonant plane at $\mu = \mu_r (< 0)$. Therefore, the sign of these eigenvalues is controlled by the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix $U$ at $\mu = 0$. If $p(U) = N = n(\Lambda)$, all positive eigenvalues $\gamma(\mu)$ remain positive for $\mu < \mu \leq 0$ and no roots of $\gamma(\mu)$ exist for $\mu \leq 0$ [see Fig. 1(a)]. If $p(U) < N = n(\Lambda)$, there exist $N - p(U)$ negative or zero-becoming-negative eigenvalues of $U$ that correspond to $N - p(U)$ roots of $\gamma(\mu)$ for $\mu \leq 0$.

If $n(\Lambda) < N$, then $N - n(\Lambda)$ eigenvalues $\gamma(\mu)$ do not have jumps at the corresponding resonant planes $\mu = \mu_r$. They continue to be negative and match at $\mu = 0$ with the $N - n(\Lambda)$ negative eigenvalues of $U$. From this, we come to the conclusion that $p(U)$ and $n(U)$ satisfy the constraints (8), i.e. $n(U) \geq N - n(\Lambda)$ or, equivalently, $p(U) \leq n(\Lambda)$. Furthermore, other $n(\Lambda) < N$ eigenvalues $\gamma(\mu)$ may have roots for $\mu \leq 0$ which are completely controlled by the remaining $n(\Lambda)$ eigenvalues of $U$ according to the same criterion as in the case $p(\Lambda) = N$. For instance, if $p(U) < n(\Lambda)$, then $n(\Lambda) - p(U)$ negative or zero-becoming-negative eigenvalues of the matrix $U$ correspond to $n(\Lambda) - p(U)$ roots of $\gamma(\mu)$ at $\mu \leq 0$. 
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If \( n(\Lambda) > N \), then \( n(\Lambda) - N \) eigenvalues \( \gamma(\mu) \) jump twice in the domain \( \mu \leq 0 \) leading to at least \( n(\Lambda) - N \) unconditional roots for \( \mu \leq 0 \) [see Fig.1(b)]. After the jumps, the \( N \) eigenvalues \( \gamma(\mu) \) match the \( N \) eigenvalues of the matrix \( \mathbf{U} \) and may have additional roots of \( \gamma(\mu) \) if \( p(U) < N \). Total number of roots of \( \gamma(\mu) \) at \( \mu \leq 0 \) is then defined as \( (n(\Lambda) - N) + (N - p(U)) = n(\Lambda) - p(U) \).

The analysis above is valid for the non-degenerate case when the condition (20) is never satisfied. However, the stability and instability results (i)-(iii) are not affected even if the condition (20) is satisfied for a particular resonant plane \( \mu = \mu_r \) (< 0). In this case, the eigenfunction \( \nu(x) \) of the operator \( \mathbf{L}_1 \) satisfies all the constraints \( \mathfrak{d} \) identically and, therefore, the eigenvalue \( \mu = \mu_r \) is associated with an unstable eigenvalue \( \lambda \), according to Eq. (14). Although the eigenvalue \( \gamma_1(\mu) \) has no jump at \( \mu = \mu_r \) [see Eq. (14)] and is continuous, it is still controlled by the negative eigenvalues of \( \mathbf{U} \) at \( \mu = 0 \). Indeed, in this case, the minimal eigenvalue \( \gamma_1(\mu) \) at \( \mu < \mu_r \) remains negative for \( \mu > \mu_r \) and matches with a negative eigenvalue of the matrix \( \mathbf{U} \) (if no other jumps occur in the domain \( \mu \leq 0 \)). This additional negative eigenvalue \( \mu \) still predicts the instability, according to the result (iii).

Finally, we prove the result (iv) for the instability bifurcation of multi-component solitary waves. Provided the number \( n(\Lambda) \) is fixed, the instability bifurcation may only occur when \( \Lambda(0) = \mathbf{U} \) has a zero eigenvalue for a certain eigenvector \( \nu = \nu^{(k)} \). Let us define \( \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_{thr} \) at the marginal stability curve so that the determinant of \( \mathbf{U}_{thr} \) vanishes. The instability bifurcations of multi-component solitons were considered in Refs. [17,20], but the results do not agree with each other. Here, we recover the results of Ref. [17] and derive the normal form (11) by an elegant reduction of general algebraic expressions.

Assuming \( \mu = 0 \) for \( \nu = \nu^{(k)} \) so that \( \mathbf{U}_{thr} \nu^{(k)} = 0 \), we find the asymptotic solution of Eq. (1) in the form (21) and

\[
\nu^{(k)}_{\mu=0}(x) = \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{N} \nu_n^{(k)} \mathbf{L}_0^{-1} \frac{\partial \Phi_n(x)}{\partial \beta_n}.
\]

(22)

In this limit, the second variation \( \delta^2 \Lambda \) of the Lyapunov functional can be found from Eqs. (3), (5), (21), and (22) as follows

\[
\delta^2 \Lambda = D_1 \lambda^2,
\]

(23)

where

\[
D_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Phi_m^2(x)} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \nu_n^{(k)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' \Phi_m(x') \frac{\partial \Phi_m(x')}{\partial \beta_n} \right]^2.
\]

(24)

The integral converges under the condition that \( \nu^{(k)} \) is a solution of the equation \( \mathbf{U}_{thr} \nu^{(k)} = 0 \). On the other hand, the perturbation (21) shifts the soliton parameter \( \beta \) according to the expression, \( \Phi(x, \beta) + u_{\mu=0}(x) \to \Phi(x, \beta + \nu^{(k)}) \). As a result, the second variation can be closed as

\[
\delta^2 \Lambda = 2 \left[ \Lambda - \lambda_s(\beta + \nu^{(k)}) \right] \to -D_0,
\]

(25)

where

\[
D_0 = \langle \nu^{(k)} | \mathbf{U} \nu^{(k)} \rangle.
\]

(26)

The parameter \( \Lambda \) in Eq. (25) is chosen from the condition that the first variation of \( \lambda_s(\beta + \nu^{(k)}) \) vanishes for arbitrary \( \nu^{(k)} \). This gives the connection formula: \( \Lambda \equiv \Lambda_{st} = H_s(\beta) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\beta_n + \nu_n^{(k)}) Q_{sn}(\beta) \). Equating Eq. (23) and Eq. (24), we recover the result of the bifurcation theory,

\[
\lambda^2 = -\frac{D_0}{D_1}.
\]

(27)

Since \( D_1 > 0 \) [see Eq. (24)], the positive values of \( \lambda^2 \) occur when the determinant of the matrix \( \mathbf{U} \) is small and negative (i.e. the matrix \( \mathbf{U} \) has a zero-becoming-negative eigenvalue when the soliton parameter \( \beta \) crosses the marginal stability curve). The explicit formulas of the soliton bifurcation theory provide an alternative and more compact form for the determinants \( D_0 \) and \( D_1 \) compared to those obtained in Ref. [20].

The normal form (1) follows from Eqs. (23) and (24) when \( \Lambda = \Lambda_{st} + E \), and the perturbation vector \( \nu^{(k)} \) is replaced by a slowly varying vector \( \nu = \nu(z) \) (see [17] for details). Then, the surface \( \Lambda_s(\beta + \nu) \) is extended beyond the second variation limit, and the linear approximation is converted into the slope: \( \lambda \nu(z) = d\nu(z)/dz \). The mass constants \( M_{nm} \) follow from Eq. (24) in the explicit form:
The normal form \( \Phi_k(x) \) resembles the conserved sum of the kinetic energy and potential energy \( W(\beta, \nu) \) of a particle moving in a \( N \)-dimensional space. We notice that the kinetic energy with the “mass” matrix \( \Phi_k(x) \) is positive definite and the unperturbed multicomponent solitary wave (i.e. that with \( \nu = 0 \)) is a stationary point of \( W(\beta, \nu) \) for any \( \nu \). Thus, the stability of multi-component solitons resembles the stability of a particle located at an equilibrium point of the \( N \)-dimensional field \( \Phi_k(x) \). Under the condition that \( n(\Lambda) = N \), the particle is stable if in the \( \beta \)-space the potential energy surface \( W(\beta) \) is concave up, and it is unstable if the potential energy surface is saddle-type or concave down. If \( n(\Lambda) < N \), the potential energy surface \( W(\beta) \) always has some \( N - n(\Lambda) \) negative directions that do not affect the stability properties of the particle. However, the remaining \( n(\Lambda) (\leq N) \) directions of the potential energy surface define the stability of the particle with the same criterion as above. Finally, for the case \( n(\Lambda) > N \), the soliton stability properties defined by the type of the potential energy surface \( W(\beta) \) are not conclusive since the corresponding unstable eigenvalues coexist with additional \( n(\Lambda) - N \) unconditionally unstable eigenvalues.

IV. EXAMPLE: TWO COUPLED NLS EQUATIONS

In order to demonstrate how our general theory can be applied to a particular physical problem and also to compare the stability and instability results (ii)-(iii) with some earlier known examples, we consider here an important case of two incoherently coupled NLS equations in \((1+1)\) dimension (see, e.g., [23–25]):

\[
\frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_1}{\partial x^2} + \left( |\psi_1|^2 + \gamma |\psi_2|^2 \right) \psi_1 = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi_2}{\partial x^2} + \left( |\psi_2|^2 + \gamma |\psi_1|^2 \right) \psi_2 = 0,
\]

where \( \gamma \) is a coupling parameter. System \([23]\) is a two-component reduction of the general \( N \)-component system \([1]\) for \( d_1 = d_2 = 1 \), \( \gamma_{11} = \gamma_{22} = 1 \), and \( \gamma_{12} = \gamma_{21} = \gamma \). An explicit soliton solution can be easily found for \( \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta \) and \( \gamma > -1 \) in the form,

\[
\Phi_1(x) = \Phi_2(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2\beta}{1+\gamma}} \operatorname{sech} \left( \sqrt{\beta} x \right).
\]

This solution describes a two-component solitary wave with the components of equal amplitudes. It corresponds to a straight line \( \beta_1 = \beta_2 \) in the parameter plane \((\beta_1, \beta_2)\) of a general two-parameter family of solitary waves of the model \([23]\). When \( -1 < \gamma \leq 0 \), such two-parameter solitons may exist everywhere in the plane \((\beta_1, \beta_2)\), while for \( \gamma > 0 \), the soliton existence domain is restricted by two bifurcation curves, \( \beta_2 = \omega_{\pm}(\gamma) \beta_1 \), where

\[
\omega_{\pm}(\gamma) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 + 8\gamma} - 1}{2} \right)^{\pm2}.
\]

Approximate analytical expressions can also be obtained in the vicinity of the bifurcation curves \([23]\), when one of the components of a composite solitary wave becomes small, while the other one is described by the scalar NLS equation. Such a case, when one of the component creates an effective waveguide that guides the other component, is known to describe the so-called shepherding effect where the large-amplitude component plays a role of a shepherding pulse \([7]\). The composite soliton, that describes a shepherding pulse \( \psi_1 \) guiding a small component pulse \( \psi_2 \), can be found in the form (see also Ref. \([23]\)),

\[
\Phi_1 = R_0(x) + \epsilon^2 R_2(x) + O(\epsilon^4), \quad \Phi_2 = \epsilon S_1(x) + O(\epsilon^3).
\]

It exists in the vicinity of the bifurcation curve,

\[
\beta_2 = \omega_-(\gamma) \beta_1 + \epsilon^2 \omega_+(\gamma) \beta_1 + O(\epsilon^4),
\]

and the main terms of the asymptotic series \([23], [33]\) are defined as

\[
R_0 = \sqrt{2\beta_1 \operatorname{sech} \left( \sqrt{\beta_1} x \right)}, \quad S_1 = \sqrt{\beta_1 \operatorname{sech} \sqrt{\beta_1} x}.
\]
The second-order correction $R_2(x)$ is a solution of the differential equation,

$$\left[-\partial_x^2 + \beta_1 - 6\beta_1 \text{sech}^2\left(\sqrt{\beta_1}x\right)\right] R_2 = \gamma R_0 S_1^2.$$  

From the domain of existence of the two-component soliton, it follows that $\omega_{2+}(\gamma) > 0$, for $0 < \gamma < 1$, and $\omega_{2+}(\gamma) < 0$, for $\gamma > 1$. At $\gamma = 1$ (the so-called integrable Manakov case), a family of two-parameter composite solitons becomes degenerated: it exists on the line $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ but, generally, it is different from the one-parameter solution $[\text{R}]$. The coupled solitons are known to be stable for the integrable case $\gamma = 1$. Here we apply the stability theory developed above and prove that the (1+1)-dimensional two-parameter solitons, including the solitons of equal amplitudes $(\text{R})$, are stable for $\gamma \geq 0$, and unstable for $\gamma < 0$.

First, we evaluate the indices $p(U)$ and $n(\Lambda)$ for the explicit solution $(\text{R})$. As follows from Eqs. $(\text{R})$ and $(\text{R})$, the Hessian matrix $\mathbf{U}$ with the elements $(\text{R})$ can be found in the form,

$$\frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial \beta_1} = \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial \beta_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta(1+\gamma)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial \beta_2} = \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial \beta_1} = -\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\beta(1+\gamma)}}.$$  

As follows from these results, the Hessian matrix has $p(U) = 2$ positive eigenvalues, for $-1 < \gamma < 1$, and $p(U) = 1$ positive eigenvalue, for $\gamma > 1$. On the other hand, the linear matrix operator $\mathbf{L}_1$ given below Eq. $(\text{R})$ can be diagonalized for linear combinations of the eigenfunctions, $v_1 = u_1 + u_2$ and $v_2 = u_1 - u_2$, such that

$$\left[-\partial_x^2 + \beta + \frac{3-\gamma}{(1+\gamma)} \text{sech}^2\left(\sqrt{\beta}x\right)\right] v_1 = \mu v_1,$$

$$\left[-\partial_x^2 + \beta - \frac{3-\gamma}{(1+\gamma)} \text{sech}^2\left(\sqrt{\beta}x\right)\right] v_2 = \mu v_2. \quad (34)$$

Both the operators in Eqs. $(34)$ are the linear Schrödinger operators with solvable sech-type potentials, and the corresponding eigenvalue spectra are well studied. The first operator always has a single negative eigenvalue for $\mu = -3\beta$, whereas the second operator has no negative eigenvalues, for $\gamma > 1$, has a single negative eigenvalue, for $0 < \gamma < 1$, and it has two negative eigenvalues, for $-1 < \gamma < 0$. Thus, in total there exist $n(\Lambda) = 3$ negative eigenvalues, for $-1 < \gamma < 0$, $n(\Lambda) = 2$ negative eigenvalues, for $0 < \gamma < 1$, and $n(\Lambda) = 1$ negative eigenvalue, for $\gamma > 1$.

Applying the stability and instability results (ii)-(iii) obtained and discussed in Secs. II and III, we come to the conclusion that the soliton solution $(\text{R})$ with equal amplitudes is linearly stable for $\gamma > 0$, since in this domain $p(U) = n(\Lambda) = \{1, 2\}$, and it is linearly unstable for $-1 < \gamma < 0$, since in this domain $p(U) = 2 < n(\Lambda) = 3$.

The soliton stability in the model $(\text{R})$ for $\gamma > 0$ was also studied by Bergé $(\text{R})$ who considered the case of degenerate one-parametric solitary waves $(\text{R})$. Here we have extended those results to a general case: the same stability and instability results are valid for the two-parameter family of solitons provided that the indices $p(U)$ and $n(\Lambda)$ remain unchanged for the values $(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ that do not belong to the line $\beta_1 = \beta_2$. Indeed, applying a perturbation theory for small $\gamma$ (see $(\text{R})$ for details), one can show that $n(\Lambda) = 3$, for $-1 < \gamma < 0$, and $n(\Lambda) = 2$, for $0 < \gamma < 1$.

Finally, we consider the other limiting case that describes the shepherding effect [see Eqs. $(\text{R})$ and $(\text{R})$]. In this limit, the elements $(\text{R})$ of the Hessian matrix $\mathbf{U}$ can also be calculated in explicit analytical form,

$$\frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial \beta_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_1}} + \frac{r_2^2}{\omega_{2+} S_1} + O(\epsilon^2), \quad \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial \beta_2} = \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial \beta_1} = \frac{r_2}{\omega_{2+}} + O(\epsilon^2), \quad \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial \beta_2} = \frac{s_1}{\omega_{2+}},$$

where

$$s_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_1^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad r_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_0 R_2 dx.$$  

Since $s_1 > 0$ for any $\gamma$, while $\omega_{2+}(\gamma) > 0$, for $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $\omega_{2+}(\gamma) < 0$, for $\gamma > 1$, the Hessian matrix $\mathbf{U}$ calculated for the shepherding soliton $(\text{R})$ has $p(U) = 2$ positive eigenvalues, for $0 < \gamma < 1$, and $p(U) = 1$ positive eigenvalue, for $\gamma > 1$. 
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On the other hand, the linear matrix operator $L_1$ cannot be diagonalized for the shepherding soliton $[32]$ unless $\epsilon = 0$. In the latter (decoupled) case, it has a single negative eigenvalue at $\mu = -3\beta_1$ and a double degenerate zero eigenvalue. When $\epsilon \neq 0$, the zero eigenvalue shifts to become $\mu = -2\omega_{2,1}^2(\gamma)\beta_1^2 + O(\epsilon^4)$. Therefore, the matrix operator $L_1$ for the shepherding soliton $[32]$ has $n(\Lambda) = 2$ negative eigenvalues, for $0 < \gamma < 1$, and $n(\Lambda) = 1$ negative eigenvalue, for $\gamma > 1$. Thus, we come to the conclusion that the shepherding soliton is stable for $\gamma > 0$ since $p(U) = n(\Lambda) = \{1, 2\}$.

V. CONCLUSION

We have developed a rigorous stability analysis of multi-component solitary waves considering a system of incoherently coupled NLS equations $[1]$ as a particular but important physical example. The method and, correspondingly, both stability and instability results can be extended to other types of solitary waves, such as multi-component spatial solitons (e.g., incoherent solitons) in non-Kerr (e.g., saturable) media, parametric solitary waves in quadratic (or $\chi^{(2)}$) optical media, etc. In all such cases, our stability and instability results (i)–(iv) can be readily generalized with a rigorous proof of some of the previously known results of the asymptotic multi-scale expansion theory. However, additional analysis is required in each of those cases in order to clarify the conditions when these results completely define the stability properties of multi-component solitary waves. In the cases beyond these conditions, oscillatory instabilities may occur, and appropriate studies should rely solely on the numerical analysis of the corresponding eigenvalue problems and their linear spectra.
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**Figure Captions**

**Fig. 1:** Eigenvalues $\gamma$ versus $\mu$ in the problem $A(\mu)\nu = \gamma(\mu)\nu$ for $N = 3$: (a) a stable problem with no roots of $\gamma(\mu)$ for $\mu \leq 0$, when $p(U) = n(\Lambda) = 3$; (b) an unstable problem with a single root of $\gamma(\mu)$ for $\mu \leq 0$, when $p(U) = 3 < n(\Lambda) = 4$. 


