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ABSTRACT

Level sets have been widely used to isolate features of breast tumors in ultrasound images. However, region-based methods always produce multiple contours. Since tumors are regularly undefined from the shadows and muscular regions in breast ultrasound images, computerized tumors location and arrangement is significantly difficult. Therefore, the authors introduce a breast cancer contour detection model using support vector machine (SVM) as a binary classification. Features of the binary SVM model were extracted from level sets and FM method (the fusion of ultrasound, elasticity, and Doppler images). The model was accurately able to predict a correct breast tumor contour from false contours which were segmented by region-based level sets. The proposed method was evaluated on 60 datasets collected by professional radiologists at the Thammasat University Hospital of Thailand. From the experimental results, the breast cancer contours were detected correctly with high accuracy. The percentage of correct detection was 93%.
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INTRODUCTION

Region-based level sets have been considered as an elective method for breast ultrasound image segmentation. Their main advantages are insensitive initialization (independent of the position of the initial contour) and fast computation. This method is an active contour evolving in time due to the measurement of intrinsic geometric in images. The evolving contours commonly merge and split, allowing several objects to be simultaneously detected in both exterior and interior boundaries (Vicent et al., 1993). Various region-based level sets were used to extract features, for example,
localizing region-based active contours-LRBAC (Lankton & Tannenbaum, 2008), fuzzy clustering, and lattice Boltzmann method-FCLBM (Balla-Arab, Gao, & Wang, 2013), and online region-based active contour model-ORACM (Tulu, 2013). The mentioned methods were applied in this work for features extraction in a breast ultrasound image.

The fusion method called FM (Keatmanee et al., 2019) was contributed to extracting significant information from three ultrasound imaging modalities, which are US (conventional ultrasound), Doppler, and elasticity images. The essential features which were related to the fusion of the three images are dark gray regions in the conventional ultrasound image, hard tissue parts in elasticity, and vascular flow areas in Doppler. According to the benefits of the supplement ultrasound imaging modalities, the union of them could improve the accuracy of radiologists’ diagnosis in terms of specificity and sensitivity (Cho et al., 2012). Hence, it could increase the accuracy of breast cancer contour detection using active contour models as well. FM aims to locate the common area of the essential features extracted from the three images using distance transform. First, it could be done by the thresholding method to generate a binary image. After that, the boundaries of all dark regions in the binary image could be traced. The prospective boundary of breast cancer contours could regard these characters; it should share a common area with the Doppler and elastic region; its center should be close to the center of the Doppler region.

The segmented contours obtained from the region-based level sets could be considered as over-segmentation for breast cancer contour detection. Usually, there is only one abnormal hypoechoic lesion appearing in the US image. Consequently, the output contours from level sets may not be satisfied. Therefore, we introduce a binary classifier using Support Vector Machine (SVM) to distinguish a correct contour from false segmented contours. Features of the proposed model were obtained not only from the level sets but also from the FM. Consequently, the level sets extracted prospective contours from the US, and the FM was utilized to find meaningful features such as the center and the common area obtained from the fusion of the three ultrasonography images. The model aims to predict whether the segmented contours by level sets were breast cancer contours or false contours.

This paper proposes a breast cancer contour detection model applied in conventional US, elasticity, and Doppler images. The SVM was used as a binary classification model. The features for training, validating, and testing were extracted from two methods which were region-based level sets (Lankton & Tannenbaum, 2008; Balla-Arab, Gao, & Wang, 2013; Tulu, 2013) and the FM. The proposed model was developed to detect a single contour which was a boundary of the breast tumor in the conventional US. Depicting in Figure 1, the input image (conventional ultrasound) was preprocessed for noise suppression and edge detection. After that, the edge maps were captured by the level sets method. The detected edges combined with features from FM were passed through the binary SVM for breast cancer contour classification. Whereas, the process of features extraction performed by the level sets and the FM was done separately.

To train and validate the model, the level sets and the FM were used to extract features from synthetic datasets (240 for training and 60 for validating). The synthetic image is shown in Figure 5. It was drawn accordingly to significant characters of breast tumors in the US imaging modalities. Later on, the model was tested with the 60 unseen real datasets of the US, elasticity, and Doppler images. The proposed method was developed to detect the single contour which would be a breast cancer boundary in the conventional US.

**BACKGROUND**

Computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) has been considered an innovation in smart healthcare (Keung et al., 2018; Matsushita et al., 2018; Rathi & Pareek, 2019). CAD can effectively support in different domains including breast cancer screening. There are three main parts of CAD; (1) breast cancer boundary detection, (2) feature extraction, and (3) classifier construction. In this work, the breast cancer boundary detection part is the main focus.
Contours Extracting With Region-Based Level Sets

Although features can be extracted from various methods (hough transform, wavelet, and statistical & gray level-based features), region-based level sets have been considered as an optional algorithm for breast cancer contour segmentation in the conventional US. Their main advantages are insensitive initialization, as well as, fast computation. Many works modified the geodesic active contours to improve segmentation performance. Level sets are regarded to active contour evolving in time due to the measurement of intrinsic geometric in images. The deforming contours usually merge and split, allowing several objects to be simultaneously detected in both exterior and interior boundaries (Caselles & Kimmel & Sapiro, 1997). Its contribution was modified from “snakes” originated by Kass et al., (Kass & Witkin & Terzopoulos, 1988). The snake’s traditional energy with direct implementation could not handle changes in the topology while contour was evolving. Consequently, the topology of the curves was used for performing possible merging and splitting. Malladi et al. modified traditional geometric active contour models by adding a new term ($\nabla g_\alpha = \hat{n}$) providing stronger stopping force at object boundaries (Malladi & Sethian & Vemuri, 1995). Deng and Tsui proposed a fast-geometric active contour technique for segmentation in medical images. The evolved level set functions and speed function were updated in a small window (Deng & Tsui, 2002). The evaluation indicated that its’ convergence was much faster than the narrow band algorithm (Chung-Ming & Horng-Shing & Yueng-Shiang, 2002). Liu et al. contributed an automatic breast tumors segmentation in the US using texture classification and geometric active contour model (Liu et al, 2009). Gomez et al. proposed the breast tumor segmentation in the conventional US approach (Gomez et al, 2010) utilizing active contour models without edges (Chan & Vese, 2001), which assigned the stopping force using the Mumford-Shah technique. It was robust to weak edges leaking.
Liu et al. interactively segmented breast tumors in the US (Liu et al., 2010) utilizing a region-based geodesic active contour model, where the probability density was different between background and tumor. Moreover, the estimated Rayleigh distribution was used to enforce the intensity distribution. There were two geometric active contour approaches were used to evaluate their works (Chan & Vese, 2001; Paragios & Deriche, 2000) using 79 US images. Gao et al. contributed a level set method for breast tumor segmentation in the US (Gao et al., 2012) based on the method in (Li et al., 2010) by modifying the edge-based stopping function utilizing phase congruency (Kovesi, 2000) which was invariant to the magnitude of intensity, and then the integration of the level set framework and GVF model was performed. Cai et al. contributed a phase-based deformation method (Cai & Wang, 2013) where the local region statistics (Li et al., 2008) was utilized for solving the inhomogeneous intensity drawback.

Region-based level sets derived counter representation from their evolving into well-defined regions. According to their advantages (insensitive initialization and fast computation), we further experimented with the fusion idea (FM) combined with various region-based level sets. They were localizing region-based active contours-LRBAC (Lankton & Tannenbaum, 2008), fuzzy clustering, and lattice Boltzmann method-FCLBM (Balla-Arab, Gao, & Wang, 2013), and online region-based active contour model-ORACM (Tulu, 2013) for developing better breast cancer contour detection.

- **LCLBM**: The localizing region-based active contours has effectively performed in drastic improvement in accuracy for heterogeneous images segmentation using several localized energies. It depicted how these localized energies interact to simultaneously detect multiple objects. The method expressed important conclusions from its evaluations, such as increased sensitivity to initialization compared to global methods, and pointed out how convergence time decreases as radius size increases.

- **FCLBM**: It was a fast-alternative attempt for solving partial differential equations by applying the gradient descent algorithm. FCLBM derived the corresponding level set equation from drawing the influence from a fuzzy external force for the Lattice Boltzmann Method (LBM). The FCLBM method is fast and robust against noise, elective in the intensity inhomogeneity presentation, and independent of the initial contour position.

- **ORACM**: A region-based active contour algorithm has less time confoming compared to the traditional active contour models, and requires no parameter. In each iteration, it does a sort of block thresholding process. The thresholding process remains many small particles that do not belong to the object and forms rigid boundaries. Therefore, to filter out these small particles and to form smooth and proper object contour, the morphological operations such as opening and closing are utilized respectively.

**Feature Extracting With FM**

According to the advantages of combining conventional US, elasticity, and Doppler images in breast cancer diagnosis, therefore, this fusion idea was applied not only in image segmentation (Lui et al., 2006, Keatmanee et al., 2019) but also in classification (Bhatia et al., 2016; Chang et al., 2016; Hung et al., 2009; Shiao et al., 2009; Chang et al., 2012; Lai et al., 2013).

The FM has been verified that a union of the US, elasticity, and Doppler images enhances the accuracy of the diagnosis. Consequently, the FM was used as a part of features extraction for the proposed model such as the center and area of the common region obtained from the fusion of ultrasonography images. The characters of each image in the FM are described as follows:

- **US**: The conventional ultrasound image is one of the elective imaging used as a supporting tool for a radiologist to acquire accurate diagnosis to decrease the unnecessary biopsy rate. Although US image provides numerous benefits as mentioned in (Corsetti et al., 2008; Corsetti et al., 2011; Foxcroft & Evans & Porter, 2004), the US image quality may not be satisfied due to shadows,
speckle noise, and non-uniformity or low in contrast of definite feature. Therefore, using only the US images might cause increasing in false-positive diagnoses.

- **Elastography**: Elasticity is a supplement in ultrasound imaging which is also non-invasive. It distinguishes breast nodules based on stiffness. The visualization of the elasticity method is similar to the palpation assessment that measures the hardness of a breast nodule. Therefore, it does as an adjunct technique to help in discrimination of benign and malignant breast mass especially when the mass is non-palpable (Athanasiou et al., 2010; Berg et al., 2012; Yațu & Turan & Turan, 2014).

- **Doppler**: Doppler is another adjunct technique in ultrasound imaging. It was designed for visualizing the display and morphology of blood vessels associated with the breast nodule. Normally, a benign nodule has little or no display of vascular flow. The advantages of Doppler are no angle dependency, high sensitivity in slow flow, and no aliasing (Moon et al., 2000; Yamakochi et al., 2016). Consequently, it is widely applied in breast cancer diagnosis in distinguishing benign from malignant nodule (Ibrahim et al., 2016).

**METHODOLOGY**

**Pre-Processing of US**

To detect breast mass in the US image shown in Figure 2 (a), firstly, an edge detection technique was used to make a map for region-based level sets. However, because of intensity inhomogeneities of the lesion and the speckle noise in the US, basic edge detection methods might not be sufficient shown in Figure 2 (b). Consequently, the image segmentation called Fuzzy c-means (FCM) (Bezdek, 2013) that is a clustering-based method was utilized. Figure 2 (c) shows fewer over-segmentation results. Segmented contours in both (b) and (c) were evolved by ORACM.

**Feature Extracting With Region-Based Level Sets**

Examples of the extracted contours by LRBAC, FCLBM, and ORACM are displayed in Figure 3 (b), (c), and (d) respectively.

**Feature Extracting With the FM**

Examples of common area detection of the three US imaging modalities by the FM are displayed in Figure 4 (b), (c), and (d) respectively.

Figure 2. Pre-processing with FCM. (a) Original US image. The results of ORACM; (b) US image without FCM, and (c) US with FCM.

Figure 3. (a) Edge map. Segmentation results from; (b) LRBAC (c) FCLBM (d) ORACM.
Breast Cancer Contour Classifier

A support vector machine-SVM (Suykens & Vandewalle, 1999) has been broadly applied in pattern recognition problems to map data into a higher dimensional input space after that formed an optimal separating hyperplane in the space. (Liu et al., 2010) A kernel SVM classifier (Vapnik, 1999) was trained using local image features in a small image (18×18) to classify into lesion or non-lesion classes. There were 18 features which are 16 features from the co-occurrence matrix and 2 features including the mean and variance of the intensities. (Jiang et al., 2012) developed two-step breast segmenting in US. Firstly, a set of candidate lesion regions were produced by applying Adaboost classifier (Freund & Schapire, 1997) and 24 Haar-like features (Viola & Jones, 2004); and then the SVM model was trained using features extracted by K-means clustering to predict the true positive and false positive regions. Second, the random walk method (Grandy, 2006) was used to generate the final contour by locating the seed at the center of each true boundary.

Although there were many effective level sets and SVM classifier models applied in the domain of breast cancer detection in ultrasound images, none of them has applied level sets combined with the fusion images (US, elasticity, and Doppler) to detect breast cancer contour. Therefore, this paper proposed an effective algorithm to detect breast cancer contour with the binary SVM classifier. The model was trained and tested with extracted features, which were obtained by the level sets and the FM. In order to, train the SVM model, 300 synthetic images were created with various possible boundaries of a breast tumor surrounded by non-breast tumor boundaries as shown in Figure 5. The datasets were separated into two groups as training and validating datasets. There were 240 synthetic datasets for training and 60-dataset for testing with a conventional ratio of 80:20.

Features of the Binary SVM Classifier

Considering Figure 5 (a), there were 6 candidate contours \(B_i\) to be classified as either breast cancer contour (True) or non-breast cancer contour (False). The six candidate contours mimicked the segmentation output of the level sets (LRBAC, FCLBM, and ORACM). The common contour of the US, elasticity, and Doppler images were obtained from the FM, and then it was defined as \(B_{FM}\) shown in Figure 5 (b). The features of the binary SVM classifier were listed as follows:

Figure 4. Example images of a breast tumor. (a) the US, (b) Elasticity, (c) Doppler, and (d) Boundary of the common area from the fusion modalities shown in green contour

![Figure 4](image)

Breast Cancer Contour Classifier

A support vector machine-SVM (Suykens & Vandewalle, 1999) has been broadly applied in pattern recognition problems to map data into a higher dimensional input space after that formed an optimal separating hyperplane in the space. (Liu et al., 2010) A kernel SVM classifier (Vapnik, 1999) was trained using local image features in a small image (18×18) to classify into lesion or non-lesion classes. There were 18 features which are 16 features from the co-occurrence matrix and 2 features including the mean and variance of the intensities. (Jiang et al., 2012) developed two-step breast segmenting in US. Firstly, a set of candidate lesion regions were produced by applying Adaboost classifier (Freund & Schapire, 1997) and 24 Haar-like features (Viola & Jones, 2004); and then the SVM model was trained using features extracted by K-means clustering to predict the true positive and false positive regions. Second, the random walk method (Grandy, 2006) was used to generate the final contour by locating the seed at the center of each true boundary.

Although there were many effective level sets and SVM classifier models applied in the domain of breast cancer detection in ultrasound images, none of them has applied level sets combined with the fusion images (US, elasticity, and Doppler) to detect breast cancer contour. Therefore, this paper proposed an effective algorithm to detect breast cancer contour with the binary SVM classifier. The model was trained and tested with extracted features, which were obtained by the level sets and the FM. In order to, train the SVM model, 300 synthetic images were created with various possible boundaries of a breast tumor surrounded by non-breast tumor boundaries as shown in Figure 5. The datasets were separated into two groups as training and validating datasets. There were 240 synthetic datasets for training and 60-dataset for testing with a conventional ratio of 80:20.

Features of the Binary SVM Classifier

Considering Figure 5 (a), there were 6 candidate contours \(B_i\) to be classified as either breast cancer contour (True) or non-breast cancer contour (False). The six candidate contours mimicked the segmentation output of the level sets (LRBAC, FCLBM, and ORACM). The common contour of the US, elasticity, and Doppler images were obtained from the FM, and then it was defined as \(B_{FM}\) shown in Figure 5 (b). The features of the binary SVM classifier were listed as follows:

Figure 5. Example of synthetic images for training SVM. (a) Multiple contours as feature extraction from the level sets, (b) Boundary of the common area of the fusion images from the FM, (c) Superimpose images of (a) and (b)
1. The area of $B_i$: $A_{B_i}$.
2. The common area between $B_i$ and $B_{FM}$.
3. The distance between the center of $A_{B_i}$ and the center of the common area $A_{BFM}$.
4. The Boolean label of $B_i$.

The binary SVM classifier for breast cancer contour detection was obtained as in the below equation. Features obtained from the level sets and FM were applied to calculate a maximum margin hyperplane between the two classes:

$$f_{SV} (X) = W_{t_\varphi} \varphi(X) + b$$

where, $W_{t_\varphi}$ is weight vector, $\varphi$ is mapping function, $X$ is an input vector, and $b$ is a bias. $\varphi$ is applied to map any input function to another dimension space.

The built-in function in Matlab called `fitcsvm` was utilized for training the binary SVM classifier. It is suitable for a low and moderate-dimensional predictor data set using supports sequential minimal optimization (SMO), kernel functions, and iterative single data algorithm (ISDA), or L1 soft-margin minimization via quadratic programming for objective function minimization (Andrew, 2000; Jiang et al., 2012; Fan & Chen & Lin, 2005; Kecman & Huang & Vogt, 2005).

**EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

For testing the proposed classifier, unseen were used to verify the binary SVM classification. This work provides accurate results for the combined FM method and the level sets applied in US images. The experimental outcomes were discussed below.

**Dataset**

To verify the accuracy of the proposed binary classifier model, the process was divided into two parts; training with 300 synthetic datasets (train: validation, 80:20); testing with 60 unseen datasets. The development of the synthetic images was described in Figure 5. The testing data were obtained from the Radiology department at Thammasart University Hospital, Thailand.

**Proposed SVM Classifier Model With the Level Sets**

The four features mentioned above were fed to the SVM build-in function in Matlab, `fitcsvm`. The accuracy of the proposed model for training and testing were displayed in Table 1, 2, and 3. In the training process, the model got 100% accuracy in which features were extracted from the synthetic images shown in Table 1. However, in testing with an unseen 60-dataset, the accuracy of the model was slightly dropped due to an incorrect edge map extracted from the level sets. In contrast to the non-breast cancer contour, the model performance was as accurate as training.

Table 2 shows the accuracy of the classification model. The classified contours were extracted by LRBAC. Whereas, Table 3 classified contours were segmented from FCLBM, and ORACM, which produced output contours more than LRBAC did.

**Accuracy of Correctly Classified Breast Cancer Contour (CCB)**

The breast mass contours that were correctly detected had been measured for their accuracy. They were compared with their ground truth images drawn by three to five years experienced radiologists in the Radiology department, Thammasart Hospital University, Thailand. There were two main measurement methods, which were contour-based and region-based as listed below (Taha & Hanbury, 2015).
Table 1. Evaluation results: The performance of the proposed SVM classifier, where, input contours were synthetic boundaries

| No. | Class                | Trained | Detected |
|-----|----------------------|---------|----------|
| 1   | Breast cancer contour| 300     | 300      |
| 2   | Non-breast cancer contour| 2160   | 2160     |

Table 2. Evaluation results: The performance of the proposed SVM classifier, where, input contours were segmented by LRBAC

| No. | Class                | Tested | Detected |
|-----|----------------------|--------|----------|
| 1   | Breast cancer contour| 60     | 56       |
| 2   | Non-breast cancer contour| 623   | 623      |

Table 3. Evaluation results: The performance of the proposed SVM classifier, where, input contours were extracted by FCLBM and ORACM

| No. | Class                | Tested | Detected |
|-----|----------------------|--------|----------|
| 1   | Breast cancer contour| 60     | 56       |
| 2   | Non-breast cancer contour| 1059 | 1059     |

Figure 6. A breast cancer contour detection. (a) Ground truth, (b) Common area obtained from the FM, (c) Extracted contours by LRBAC, and (d) Detected breast cancer contour

Figure 7. A breast cancer contour classifier. (a) Ground truth, (b) Common area obtained from the FM, (c) Extracted contours by FCLBM, and (d) Detected breast cancer contour

Figure 8. A breast cancer contour detection. (a) Ground truth, (b) Common area obtained from the FM, (c) Extracted contours by ORACM, and (d) Detected breast cancer contour
Contour-based measurement includes the Hausdorff distance, the average Hausdorff distance, the relative Hausdorff distance, and true positive rate.

Whereas, the region-based measurement composites of Dice coefficient, Jaccard index, Sensitivity, and Region-based average Hausdorff distance.

The results showed sufficient accurate detection for the proposed algorithm. They are displayed in Table 4 for contour-based measurement and Table 5 for region-based measurement. Some segmented contours were smaller than their ground truth because of pre-processing process.

LIMITATION AND CONCLUSION

Due to the disadvantages of the US images such as speckle noises and shadows, some edge maps could not be effectively extracted because of incomplete breast mass contour as shown in Figure 9. Therefore, the number of the testing dataset was only 60 datasets for verifying the proposed algorithm. The combination of the level sets and the FM (the fusion of US, elasticity, and Doppler images) were applied as feature extraction for the binary SVM classifier model. The misclassification of the proposed SVM model could occur when the target contour in the edge map was incorrectly segmented (incorrect boundary) shown in Figure 10.

Table 4. Contour-based measures

| Model | Contour-based measures | $H_1$ | $H_2$ | $H_3$ | TP_c |
|-------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|
|       |                        | $\mu$ | $\sigma$ | $\mu/\sigma$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma$ | $\mu/\sigma$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma$ | $\mu/\sigma$ |
| CCB   |                        | 7.93  | 3.09   | 0.41   | 2.55  | 1.33   | 0.52   | 8.03  | 3.62   | 0.45   | 80.10  | 5.99   | 0.07   |

Table 5. Region-based measures

| Model | Region-based measures | Jaccard | Dice | SEN | $H_r$ |
|-------|-----------------------|---------|------|-----|-------|
|       |                       | $\mu$ | $\sigma$ | $\mu/\sigma$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma$ | $\mu/\sigma$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma$ | $\mu/\sigma$ |
| CCB   |                       | 0.88  | 0.07   | 0.79   | 0.90  | 0.08   | 0.88   | 88.01 | 3.09   | 0.04   | 0.21   | 0.09   | 0.42   |

Figure 9. Breast mass (a) Ground truth, (b) Incomplete breast cancer boundary
The proposed model was a binary SVM designed for classifying segmented contours (breast cancer contour or non-breast cancer contour). Input features for the proposed model were extracted from a geodesic active contour (region-based level set) and the fusion method (FM). The tested level sets were localizing region-based active contours (LRBAC), fuzzy clustering and lattice Boltzmann method (FCLBM), and online region-based active contour model (ORACM). The classification results showed effective breast cancer detection. However, the binary classifier model was sensitive to incorrect edge maps. Therefore, future work will focus on training the model for various segmented contours to improve the model’s accuracy.
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