TRIF Is Required for TLR4 Mediated Adjuvant Effects on T Cell Clonal Expansion
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Abstract

Toll like receptor 4 (TLR4) is an important pattern recognition receptor with the ability to drive potent innate immune responses and also to modulate adaptive immune responses needed for long term protection. Activation of TLR4 by its ligands is mediated by engagement of the adapter proteins MyD88 (myeloid differentiation factor 88) and TRIF (Toll-interleukin 1 receptor domain-containing adapter inducing interferon-beta). Previously, we showed that TRIF, but not MyD88, plays an important role in allowing TLR4 agonists to adjuvant early T cell responses. In this study, we investigated the T cell priming events that are regulated specifically by the TRIF signaling branch of TLR4. We found that TRIF deficiency prevented the TLR4 agonist lipid A from enhancing T cell proliferation and survival in an adoptive transfer model of T cell priming. TRIF deficient DC showed defective maturation as evidenced by their failure to upregulate co-stimulatory molecules in response to lipid A stimulation. Importantly, TRIF alone caused CD86 and CD40 upregulation on splenic DC, but both TRIF and MyD88 were required for CD80 upregulation. The impairment of T cell adjuvant effects and defective DC maturation in TRIF−/− mice after TLR4 stimulation was mainly due to loss of type I IFN production, indicating that type I interferons are central to TLR4’s adjuvant effects. These results are useful for the continued development of TLR4 based vaccine adjuvants that avoid inflammatory risks while retaining beneficial immune response.

Introduction

Toll like receptor 4 (TLR4) is a component of an evolutionarily conserved pattern recognition receptor protein complex that evolved to recognize microbial lipopolysaccharides (LPS) as well as several host derived damage associated molecules such as heat shock proteins, and high mobility group proteins HMGB1 and HMGN1[1,2,3]. TLR4 receptors are type I transmembrane proteins containing extracellular leucine rich repeats and intracellular TIR signal domains [4], and are expressed on a variety of host immune and non-immune cells. Activation of TLR4 is driven by the engagement of two important adaptor protein molecules, MyD88 (myeloid differentiation factor 88) and TRIF (Toll-interleukin 1 receptor domain-containing adapter inducing interferon-beta) [3,5,6,7]. Engagement of the MyD88-dependent branch rapidly leads to activation of NFκB and MAPK, which drive proinflammatory gene expression. Several minutes later, engagement of the TRIF-dependent branch via the endocytic pathway activates interferon regulatory factors and ‘late’ NFκB [6,8]. TLR4 stimulation thus plays a role in initiation of rapid innate immune responses, as well as an important role in modulation of adaptive immune responses to eliminate the pathogen and to mount protective memory immune responses [9].

Because TLR4 can stimulate both innate and adaptive immune responses to combat microbial infections, it has become an attractive target for pharmacologic manipulations aimed at vaccine adjuvant development [10,11,12]. Specifically, the TLR4 agonist monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL©), is a low toxicity derivative of LPS from the Salmonella minnesota strain Re595 that has been recently approved for use in vaccines against human pathogens such as human papilloma virus and hepatitis B virus [10]. Several clinical studies on the activity of MPL© have shown that it is safe adjuvant for use in prophylactic vaccines [13,14]. However, due to the toxic nature of its parent compound, LPS, and the technical challenges associated with purification of MPL©, focus has shifted to next-generation synthetic derivatives that may possess similar or better adjuvant properties with even better safety profiles [15].

Currently approved vaccines function primarily by establishing high affinity antibody responses, which require T cell help for isotype switching and affinity maturation. Hence, a critically important component of the adjuvant effects through TLR4 is at the level of T cell priming upon immunization. Unlike some TLRs, TLR4-mediated adjuvant effects on T cell priming occur indirectly through activation of antigen-presenting cells (APC) [16]. TLR4 engagement causes APC maturation leading to the upregulation of MHC and co-stimulatory molecules [8,17,18], and to the production of chemokines and cytokines [7]. Each of these APC activities can modulate T cell clonal expansion, effector function and differentiation [19,20,21]. T cell clonal expansion immediately following antigen stimulation is a critical step that can influence downstream T cell responses including differentiation and memory establishment [22]. Hence, a better understanding of...
the mechanistic details of TLR4 signaling events needed for T cell priming is necessary for identifying and developing compounds that can potentially uncouple the favorable adaptive immune responses from the unfavorable or unnecessary pro-inflammatory responses.

In an earlier study we showed that a potency-adjusted dose of a generic version of monophosphoryl lipid A (MPLA) induced weak MyD88-dependent cytokine production compared to LPS, while the same dose was as effective in adjuvanting T cell clonal expansion as its parent LPS molecule [23]. Furthermore, adjuvant effects on T cells mediated by either MPLA or LPS were markedly reduced in mice lacking functional TRIF, but not the MyD88 adapter protein. Although the underlying mechanism was not defined, this earlier study showed the importance of functional TRIF in mediating TLR4 induced adjuvant effects on T cell clonal expansion. In the current study, we extended our investigation further to understand the aspects of T cell priming events that are influenced by TRIF when adjuvanted with the classical TLR4 agonist, lipid A. We used lipid A, the minimal TLR4 activating LPS moiety, to study TLR4 activation because LPS preparations are more heterogeneous in nature and often have contaminants that potentially confound the TRIF signal effects by influencing dendritic cell maturation and T cell priming [24,25].

Type I IFNs are important TRIF dependent cytokines produced during TLR4 stimulation. Earlier studies have shown that type I IFNs produced after TLR4 stimulation can cause APC maturation in vitro [17,18]. However, the role of type I IFNs in TLR4-mediated adjuvant effects on T cell priming is not fully defined. Therefore, we used type I IFN receptor blocking antibodies (MAR1-5A3) [26] and recombinant type I IFN substitution models to study the need for type I IFN signaling in TLR4 stimulation. This approach has advantages over type I IFN receptor deficient (IFNAR1−/−) mice, which exhibit abnormalities in macrophage and dendritic cell functions [27,28] that can confound interpretation of the effects of TLR4 induced type I IFN on T cell priming.

Our results show that TRIF plays a crucial role in adjuvanting T cell clonal expansion upon TLR4 stimulation by influencing dendritic cell maturation, and adjuvanted T cell proliferation and survival. Furthermore, we show that TRIF dependent type I IFN is a central underlying mechanism for TLR4-mediated adjuvant effects on T cell priming by TLR4 agonists.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

All animals used in this project were housed and bred in a specific pathogen-free animal housing facility and all animal protocols used in this study were reviewed and approved by the University of Louisville Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC No. 10005) following the National Institutes of Health animal care guidelines.

Mice

Six to eight week old C57BL/6 mice, TRIF−/−/− breeding mice, and CXCL10−/−/− breeding mice were purchased from Jackson laboratories (Bar harbor, ME). MyD88−−/−/− breeding mice were a kind gift from Shizuo Akira (via Ross Kedl, 3 M Corporation, Minneapolis, MN). OTI.SJL ([B6]SJL.CD45.1/Ly5a/Nai [B6.SJL], transgenic mice with T cells expressing TCR that is specific to ovalbumin peptide 257–264 presented in the context of MHC I (Kb) [29] and OTII.SJL ([B6]SJL.CD45.1/Ly5a/Nai [B6.SJL], transgenic mice with T cells expressing TCR that is specific for ovalbumin-ovalbumin peptide 323–339 presented in the context of MHC II (Iaβ) [30] were originally purchased from Taconic Farms (Germantown, NY) and bred at the Institute of Cellular Therapeutics, University of Louisville.

Reagents

TLR4 agonist lipid A (Salmonella minnesota Re 595) was purchased from ENZO LIFE Sciences Inc. (Farmdingale, NY). Endotoxin-free ovalbumin peptide 323–339 (OVA323–339) was isolated, synthesized, and supplied by (CPC Scientific Inc. Sunnyvale, CA). Recombinant mouse interferon β (IFN-β) was purchased from Sigma, interferon source (Piscataway, NJ). Anti-mouse IFNβ/β blocking mAb (MAR1-5A3) was purchased from Leinco Technologies (St. Louis, MO). Mouse monoclonal antibodies (mAb) for flow cytometric analysis were purchased from BD Pharmingen (San Diego, CA) and eBioscience (San Diego, CA). For lipid A adjuvant analysis fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) conjugated CD45.2, phycoerythrin (PE) conjugated CD4, and allophycocyanin (APC) conjugated CD45.1 were used to enumerate APC activation, anti-mouse mAb specific for CD4, CD80, CD86, CD40, CD11c, CD11b, CD8, and CD5 and CD19 were used. The isotype controls used for dendritic cells and macrophage activation markers were PE conjugated anti-hamster IgG1, PE conjugated anti-rat IgG2a, and PE conjugated anti-rat IgG1, IgM, and IgG2b for CD80 and CD40.

Adaptive transfer and activation of T cells in vivo

Spleens from OTI.SJL and OTII.SJL mice were aseptically removed and processed by freezing through 70 µm cell strainers to obtain single cell suspensions. The cell suspensions were washed and suspended in HBSS at concentrations 1×10⁶ CD8+ OTI and 1.5×10⁶ CD4+OTII cells per 0.2 ml for transfer into recipient mice by intravenous tail injection. For experiments using higher cell concentrations 1×10⁷ CD8+ OTI and 1.5×10⁷ CD4+OTII cells per 0.2 ml were used. For cell division tests, OTI and OTII cells 4×10⁵ single cells/ml of HBSS were mixed with an equal volume of HBSS containing 5 µM cell Tracem™ CFSE dye (Molecular Probes, Grand Island, NY) and were incubated at room temperature in dark for 15 min. Following the incubation, cells were washed twice with HBSS before re-suspending at the required concentrations for adoptive transfers. Forty-eight hours after adoptive transfer, the recipient mice were immunized via iv injections (200 µl injections) or through subcutaneous hock injections (50 µl injections split between two hind limbs) with HBSS (No Ag) or antigen alone (Ag, 10 µg SIINFEKL and 50 µg OVA323–339) or antigen and adjuvant Lipid A (Ag+Adj, 10 µg lipid A/mouse). At peak clonal expansion, the spleens and/or draining lymph nodes from the treated mice were removed and processed to obtain single cell suspensions for further studies.

In vivo IFN-β treatment

To study the adjuvant effects of IFN-β, mice were injected subcutaneously in the hock region with 3 separate doses (30,000 IU/dose) of recombinant mouse IFN-β in 50 µl HBSS at 6, 12 and 18 hr after antigen injection.

Splenic DC preparation, labeling and flow cytometric analysis

Harvested spleens were injected with 1 ml of collagenase medium containing 1 mg/ml collagenase IV (Worthington Biochemical Corporation, Lakewood, NJ), 10 µg/ml Dnase I (Roche
Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN), 2% FBS in RPMI 1640, the spleen was chopped into small fragments and incubated at 37°C for 30 min in 4.5 ml of collagenase medium. EDTA, 0.5 ml of 0.1 M solution, was added to the cultures in the last 5 min of the incubation to foster dissociation of T cell-APC conjugates. The entire culture was then passed through a 100 μm cell strainer, washed twice with HBSS and suspended at 10×10^6 cells/ml of staining buffer containing 2% FBS and 0.02% Na_3N in HBSS. 100 μl of cells suspensions were then labeled with antibody cocktail containing fluorochrome conjugated anti-mouse CD3, CD19, CD4, CD8, B220, CD11c, CD11b and anti-mouse CD86 or CD80 or CD40. Labeled cells were then washed with HBSS, fixed with 2% formaldehyde (Polyscience Inc, Warrington, PA) and analyzed using a BD LSRII flow cytometer. Co-stimulatory molecule upregulation on different DC and CD11b+ subsets in spleen were analyzed using the appropriate gating strategy using FlowJo software.

**Calculation of average number of cell divisions**

The average number of T cells divisions following activation was estimated using methods described previously [31]. Briefly, CFSE dilution profiles of activated T cells were divided into successive bins corresponding to the number of divisions. The average number of divisions undergone by T cells was then estimated as the sum of the percentages of T cells in each bin multiplied by the division number of that bin. The zero division peak was estimated using the CFSE profile of the T cells isolated from a control group of mice at the time of immunization.

**Ex vivo adjuvant induced T cell survival assay**

To analyze adjuvant induced T cell survival, 5×10^6 splenocytes obtained from the immunized mice at peak clonal expansion phase were suspended in 1 ml of serum free RPMI medium and cultured in 5 ml polystyrene tubes kept in humidified incubators set at 37°C and 5% [CO_2]. The cultures were processed and analyzed for the survival percentages at 9 or 18 h following incubation using live/dead gating methods described previously [32], which also shows equivalence to PI/Annexin staining.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical significance between variables was determined using two-way ANOVA and p values were estimated using the Bonferroni method in Graphpad Prism® software.

**Results**

**Functional TRIF is required for TLR4 adjuvant effects on T cell clonal expansion**

We previously demonstrated that TRIF-dependent, but not MyD88-dependent, signals are required for the LPS induced adjuvant effects on early T cell clonal expansion [23]. To confirm the importance of TRIF in TLR4 mediated increases in T cell clonal expansion, adaptively transferred CD8+ OTI and CD4+ OTII transgenic T cells were activated by treating C57BL/6 (WT) or TRIF^Lps/Lps mice with peptide antigens alone or with lipid A, and peak clonal expansion was measured 96 h after immunization. As expected, the presence of lipid A during T cell priming significantly increased the peak burst size of both OTII and OTI T cells in WT recipients by an average of 27 and 11 fold, respectively, compared to non-adjuvanted recipients (Figure 1A). We found no apparent requirement for TRIF in the limited T cell clonal expansion that occurs in the absence of adjuvant, as evidenced by similar antigen stimulated OTI and OTII T cell expansion in WT vs. TRIF^Lps/Lps recipients (Figure 1B). TRIF deficiency however, abrogated the adjuvant effect of lipid A on OTI T cells and markedly reduced that for OTII T cells. This pattern was similar to our earlier study with LPS [23], although the requirement for TRIF in adjuvanting CD4+ T cell responses was more severe when using lipid A as a TLR4 agonist. Thus these results clearly reinforce our earlier observation that TRIF-mediated signaling events are critical for TLR4 agonists to adjuvant CD4+ and CD8+ T cell clonal expansion early during the primary immune response.

**TRIF deficient dendritic cells do not upregulate co-stimulatory molecules upon lipid A activation in vivo**

Mature DC play an essential role in priming naive T cells to undergo clonal expansion by providing the necessary T cell activation and co-stimulatory signals. Because TLRs are potent inducers of DC maturation, we next investigated whether TRIF is required for DC maturation in response to TLR4 activation in vivo. The mouse splenic DC pool is a heterogeneous population consisting primarily of CD8+DC (CD11c+CD11b-CD8+) located in T cell rich regions, CD4+ DC (CD11c+CD11b-CD4+) and CD4+CD8- DC (DNDC) (CD11c+CD11b-CD4-CD8-) located in marginal zone regions, and plasmacytoid DC (B220+DC) (CD11c-CD11b- B220+) mainly located in the T cell rich, and to a lesser extent, in the marginal zone region [33,34,35]. We hypothesized that because these distinct DC subsets were known to differ in their expression of TLR [36,37] stimulation via TLR4 via TLR4 could differentially affect DC subsets. To test this, spleen cells from WT, TRIF^Lps/Lps, MyD88^-/-, and MyD88^-/- mice that were injected with lipid A and harvested after 6, 12, 22, or 36 h and processed as described in Methods. We then gated on different dendritic populations in the spleen as shown in Figure 2A. After defining the relative frequencies of the DC populations at time 0 h (Figure 2B), we monitored the maturation of each of these subpopulations in WT vs. TRIF^Lps/Lps mice after in vivo lipid A treatment based on expression levels of co-stimulatory molecules (Figure 2C). Among the different splenic DC subsets investigated, B220+ DC and DNDC showed the least response to the TLR4 activation signals in terms of upregulating CD80, CD86, and CD40 expression (Figure 2C), while CD8+ DC and CD4+ DC showed the biggest changes in the expression of these co-stimulatory molecules. In WT mice, TLR4 stimulation significantly increased the expression of CD86, CD80 and CD40 on CD8+ and CD4+ DC to a maximum within the first 12 h, which was maintained through at least 22 h (Figure 2C). In contrast, TLR4 stimulation in TRIF^Lps/Lps mice caused only weak upregulation of CD86 in the first 6 h that dropped to levels comparable to unstimulated DCs thereafter. Although lipid A induced upregulation of CD80 and CD40 in TLR4^Lps/Lps mice was often similar to WT during the first 6 h, their expression levels beyond 6 h were significantly lower compared to WT mice.

An earlier study by Shen et al [18] showed that LPS requires both MyD88 and TRIF signals to upregulate co-stimulatory molecules on DC as identified by CD11c expression. However, when we investigated the contribution of MyD88 signaling using the highly purified TLR4 agonist lipid A in more DC subsets, we found in both CD8+ and CD4+ DC that upregulation of CD86 and CD40 was independent of MyD88 (Figure 2D). On the other hand, upregulation of CD80 in response to lipid A was significantly lower in the absence of MyD88. Thus, our results indicate that TRIF and MyD88 play distinct roles in upregulating different co-stimulatory molecules on DC in vivo after TLR4 activation. Although the upregulation of CD80 required both TRIF and MyD88, the upregulation of CD86 and CD40 was
solely dependent on TRIF-associated signaling events in our experiments.

TLR4 adjuvanted T cells undergo fewer divisions in the absence of TRIF signaling

The clonal burst size of activated T cells is influenced by a number of factors including the proportion of antigen specific T cells that become activated and the extent to which the T cells divide and survive during clonal expansion. To test whether any of these factors are most dependent on TRIF-mediated signaling, we labeled OTI and OTII T cells with CFSE and transferred them into WT or TRIF$^{lps/lps}$ recipients prior to immunization with antigen alone or with antigen and lipid A. Antigen stimulation caused OTII T cells to undergo relatively robust proliferation compared to non-treated mice in both WT and TRIF$^{lps/lps}$ mice, respectively (Figure 3A), with the number of cell divisions averaging 5.4±0.2 and 5.5±0.2 WT and TRIF$^{lps/lps}$ mice, respectively (Figure 3B). Consistent with earlier reports [38], lipid A as adjuvant significantly increased the number of OTII T cell divisions by an average of 1.7±0.2 divisions in WT recipients compared to antigen alone control treatment. Interestingly, in TRIF$^{lps/lps}$ mice adjuvant treatment caused only a modest increase, 0.8±0.2 divisions, over non-adjuvanted treatments, indicating that TLR4 stimulation has a suboptimal response in terms of increasing T cell proliferation in the absence of TRIF-dependent signaling. Similar studies on OTI cell division were inconclusive. Even with transfer of high cell numbers, which limit the kinetics and magnitude of clonal expansion (data not shown), all the activated OTI cells, whether adjuvanted or not, had divided more than the CFSE dilution assay could reliably detect (Figure 3B). However, we did notice that the geometric MFI of adjuvanted OTI cells in WT and TRIF recipients differed and the geometric MFI of non adjuvanted OTI cells did not (Figure 3A and data not shown). Further studies are necessary to conclude the effects of TRIF deficiency on OTI T cell proliferation, but these results suggest that functional TRIF could play a role in TLR4 adjuvant effects on CD8$^+$ T cell at the level of cellular divisions, as it does for CD4$^+$ OTII T cells.

TRIF deficient mice do not support maximal survival of adjuvanted T cells

TLR4-mediated adjuvant effects on T cells are often seen at the level of increased survival of the cells during or after clonal expansion, an effect that can be modeled ex vivo by culturing explanted cells under growth factor limiting conditions [32,39]. To determine a role for TRIF in TLR4 mediated effects on activated T cell survival, we activated OTI and OTII cells in WT or TRIF$^{lps/lps}$ recipients in the presence or absence of lipid A. At peak clonal expansion (96 hr) the cells were harvested and cultured ex vivo in the absence of growth factor. Under these conditions antigen stimulated OTI and OTII cells were less viable than non-activated T cells (No Ag Vs. Ag) (Figures 3C & 3D) reflecting the shortened half-lives of T cells activated in the absence of adjuvant. As expected, lipid A treatment during T cell priming significantly improved the ex vivo survival of activated OTI and OTII T cells from WT recipients (Ag+Adj Vs. Ag) (Figure 3C & 3D). The viability of adjuvanted OTI cells from TRIF$^{lps/lps}$ recipients was low and indistinguishable from non adjuvanted treatments.
Figure 2. TLR4-mediated upregulation of co-stimulatory molecules on splenic DC subsets in vivo occurs in a TRIF dependent manner. Spleens from WT, TRIF<sup>−/−</sup>, MyD88<sup>−/−</sup> and MyD88<sup>−/−</sup> mice that had been injected with Lipid A (10 μg/mouse) or with saline were
harvested after 6, 12, 22, or 36 hr and stained with fluorochrome conjugated antibodies. Flow cytometric gating (A) was used to identify CD11c<sup>+</sup>, CD11b<sup>dimm/low</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> (CD8<sup>+</sup> DC), CD11c<sup>+</sup>, CD11b<sup>dimm/low</sup> CD8<sup>−</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> (CD4<sup>+</sup> DC), CD11c<sup>+</sup>, CD11b<sup>dimm/low</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> (DNDC), CD11c<sup>+</sup>, CD11b<sup>dimm/low</sup> B220<sup>−</sup> (B220<sup>−</sup> DC), and CD11c<sup>+</sup>, CD11b<sup>+</sup> (CD11b<sup>+</sup> cells). Percentages of various DC populations within the CD11c<sup>+</sup> compartment of untreated WT mice are shown (B). Expression of CD86, CD80 and CD40 on activated dendritic cell subsets from TRIF<sup>lps/lps</sup> vs. WT (C) or from MyD88<sup>−/−</sup> vs. MyD88<sup>−/−</sup> mice (D) are represented as a time course (hr) showing the geometric mean fluorescence intensity on the indicated cell population. The data are representative of three independent experiments with 3 replicate mice each. Statistical significance between the treatments at each time point is estimated by two-way ANOVA. **; p-value ≤ 0.01 and *; p-value ≤ 0.05 confidence interval.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056855.g002

Figure 3. Lipid A adjuvanted T cells in TRIF<sup>lps/lps</sup> recipients have impaired T cell proliferation, and survival ex vivo under growth factor deprived conditions. CFSE labeled OTI and OTII cells were activated in WT and TRIF<sup>lps/lps</sup> recipients with antigen alone (Ag), antigen plus lipid A (Ag+Adj), or saline (No Ag) and harvested for flow cytometric analysis 96 h later. A) Histograms show the CFSE profiles of representative replicates after each treatment (black lines, WT; gray lines, TRIF<sup>lps/lps</sup>; solid histogram, unstimulated cells obtained at the time of immunization). B) The average number of OTII cell divisions after each treatment were calculated as described in Methods. Results shown are the combined average ± SEM of 3 replicates from three independent experiments (n = 9). C–D) TLR4-induced survival effects on the proliferating T cells were estimated by placing the harvested splenocytes in ex vivo culture under growth factor restricted conditions and testing for viable cells after 9 h (CD8<sup>−</sup> OTI cells (C)) or 18 h (CD4<sup>+</sup> OTII cells (D)). Data represented are the averages from all 3 replicates from 3 independent experiments. Statistical significance between the treatments was by two-way ANOVA. **; p-value ≤ 0.01 and *; p-value ≤ 0.05.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056855.g003

Chemokines influence lipid A adjuvant effects on T cell clonal expansion

In addition to cytokines, TLR4 stimulation also induces chemokines, which play an important role in recruiting cells to sites of infection or antigen presentation [40,41]. CXCL10, also known as IP-10, is a chemokine that is frequently used as a marker for the TRIF-dependent signal upon TLR4 activation [23,42]. Although CXCL10’s role in TLR4-mediated modulation of T cell responses is less clear, earlier reports suggested that CXCL10 promotes the retention of activated T cells in the draining lymph nodes for optimal APC-T cell interactions [41], a role that could explain some or all of the TRIF dependence of TLR4 adjuvant effects on T cells in our experiments. Therefore, we tested whether CXCL10 can influence TLR4-mediated adjuvant effects on T cell clonal expansion. OTI and OTII cell expansion in WT vs. CXCL10<sup>−/−</sup> recipients was adjuvanted with lipid A and measured by enumerating the cells 96 h later. We found that activated OTI and OTII cells in WT vs. CXCL10<sup>−/−</sup> recipients expanded to the same extent in the absence of lipid A (Figure 4A), indicating adjuvant-free stimulation of T cells does not require ...
CXCL10. In the presence of lipid A, however, the magnitude of OTI and OTII T cell expansion in response to antigen was significantly smaller in CXCL10\(^{-/-}\) recipients as compared to WT. These results indicate that CXCL10, a TRIF-dependent product of TLR4 signaling, contributes to T cell clonal expansion, but is not critically required because significant clonal expansion occurs in its absence.

Type I IFN signaling is critical for TLR4-mediated adjuvant effects on T cell expansion

Type I IFNs are important cytokines produced upon TLR stimulation, well known for their antimicrobial and immunomodulatory properties. Type I IFN can directly act on DC and T cells to induce cytokines and chemokines that promote growth, survival and interaction of APCs and T cells [43,44,45]. Because type I IFN production after TLR4 stimulation is mainly driven by the TRIF signaling branch, we hypothesized that the defective type I IFN production in TRIF\(^{lps/lps}\) mice caused the impaired TLR4 adjuvant effects on T cell clonal expansion. To test this, TRIF\(^{lps/lps}\) recipients that received lipid A during immunization were also given 3 doses of recombinant mouse IFN-\(\beta\) (30,000 IU/dose) during the first 18 h after immunization. IFN-\(\beta\) supplementation to TRIF\(^{lps/lps}\) mice significantly boosted the peak clonal burst size of both OTI and OTII T cells compared to non-adjuvanted treatments or adjuvanted treatment in TRIF\(^{lps/lps}\) recipients (Figure 5A). Similarly, IFN-\(\beta\) administration in place of lipid A to the mice given antigen in WT mice also boosted OTI and OTII cell priming, though to a lesser extent as compared to lipid A treatment (Figure 5A). This partial increase in clonal expansion by IFN-\(\beta\) supplementation compared to lipid A treatment could be due to differences in the IFN-\(\beta\) levels supplemented vs. levels produced in response to lipid A stimulation or could be due to the existence of additional TRIF regulated mechanisms that contribute to the adjuvant effects. To better understand this, we interrupted type I IFN signaling by injecting WT recipient mice with type I IFN receptor blocking monoclonal antibody MAR1-5A3 1 h prior to immunization and measured the T cell clonal expansion 96 h later. We found that MAR1-5A3 blockade reduced the lipid A induced adjuvant effects on OTI T cell expansion by 90% (Figure 5B), while treatment with isotype control antibody had no effect. Interestingly, MAR1-5A3 treatment was less effective in preventing adjuvant effects on OTII T cell clonal expansion; nevertheless a significant reduction compared to adjuvanted OTII cell numbers in WT recipients with intact IFN signal was observed. Together, our results suggest that TRIF-generated type I IFN play an important role in mediating the adjuvant effects of TLR4 agonists on T cells.

Earlier studies have shown that type I IFNs play an important role in causing TLR4-induced upregulation of co-stimulatory molecules on the APC [17], an important event that can determine the extent of T cell clonal expansion. In order to determine whether the requirement for type I IFN was at the level of dendritic cell maturation in vivo, WT mice were pre-treated with MAR1-5A3 mAb or isotype control and then treated with lipid A before harvesting the spleen to visualize the maturation of various DC subsets as described in Figure 6. Interfering with the type I IFN signaling in vivo almost abrogated the lipid A-induced upregulation of CD86, CD80, and CD40 on CD8\(^{+}\) and CD4\(^{+}\), and double negative DC populations. The CD86, CD80 and CD40 expression on these DC in the absence of type I IFN signaling was similar to the levels observed in lipid A activated DC in TRIF\(^{lps/lps}\) mice (Figure 6). Thus, our results indicate that type I IFNs play a

---

**Figure 4. Impaired Lipid A induced adjuvant effects on T cells in CXCL10\(^{-/-}\) recipients.** OTI and OTII T cells were activated in WT vs. CXCL10\(^{-/-}\) recipients with subcutaneous hock injections containing antigen alone (Ag), antigen plus lipid A (Ag+Adj) or saline (No Ag). Spleens from recipient mice were harvested 96 h after immunization and OTI and OTII T cells were enumerated by flow cytometry. The ratios of OT and OTII cell numbers recovered from the adjuvant treated mice vs. antigen treated mice are plotted as a fold change (A) and in absolute numbers (B). Data are from three independent experiments combining with 3 replicates in each experiment (n = 9). **P value<0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056855.g004
Figure 5. Type I IFN plays an important role in TLR4 mediated adjuvant effects on T cells. OTI and OTII cells were activated in WT or TRIF<sup>−/−</sup> recipients with subcutaneous hock injections containing antigen alone (Ag), with antigen and lipid A (Ag+Adj), or with saline (No Ag). Effects of recombinant IFN-β on T cell adjuvant effects in TRIF<sup>−/−</sup> recipients (A) were analyzed by estimating the lipid A induced fold change in OTI and OT II cell numbers in mice that were infused with 30,000 IU of IFN-β 6, 12, and 18 h after immunization over non-adjuvanted treatment. Total OTI or OT II cell numbers observed in spleens of each treated mouse are shown at bottom. Effects of type I IFNα/β receptor blockade (mAb MAR1-5A3) (B) on T cell adjuvant effects in WT recipients was analyzed by estimating the lipid A induced fold change in OTI and OT II cell numbers over non-adjuvanted treatment in mice that had been given MAR1-5A3 mAb or control IgG<sub>1</sub> 1 h before immunization. Total OTI or OT II cell numbers in recipient spleens of each treated mouse, at the harvest, are shown at bottom. Data shown are pooled from two independent experiments with 3 replicates in each. **; p-value<0.01 and *; p-value<0.05. ND; not determined.
crucial role in TLR4-TRIF-induced upregulation of co-stimulatory molecules on specific DC subsets in vivo.

**Discussion**

Natural adjuvants such as LPS and a clinically relevant adjuvant derived from LPS, MPL, modulate adaptive immune responses by influencing early T cell clonal expansion as well as the cytokine milieu expressed during antigen-dependent proliferation by engaging the TLR4/MD2 endotoxin receptor system. However, the contributions of the TLR4 signaling branches that require either MyD88 or TRIF signaling adaptors during initial T cell priming are less clear. In this study, we sought to understand T cell priming events that are modulated by TLR4 agonists in a TRIF-dependent manner. Our results showed that adjuvant effects on the clonal burst size of antigen-specific T cells was severely diminished in TRIF<sup>lps/lps</sup> mice, indicating the requirement of TRIF-mediated signaling in adjuvanting T cell expansion by TLR4 agonists. Currently available vaccines function primarily by generating protective antibody responses, a process which requires T cell help for B cells. Hence our confirmation that the TRIF pathway is critical in mediating TLR4 adjuvant effects on CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells is relevant for understanding the improved humoral responses by newly approved adjuvants such as MPL. Generation of stable T cell memory, especially of CD8<sup>+</sup> CTL, is an ongoing challenge in vaccine design. In this context TRIF may be essential but not sufficient as a mediator of TLR4 adjuvant effects because TRIF is critical for initial clonal expansion, while MyD88 has been reported elsewhere to be needed for maintenance of T cell memory, particularly for CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells [46].

How does TRIF mediate TLR4 adjuvant effects? Engagement of the TLR4-TRIF signal axis activates interferon response factors (IRFs) that induce type I IFN production. Type I IFN can influence T cell priming by inducing cytokines and chemokines and by directly modulating APC and T cell functions [44,45,47]. Hence, we speculated that type I IFN could explain the importance of TRIF for TLR4 adjuvant effects, as has been shown for TLR3 [48]. Consistent with this idea, blockade of type I IFN signaling greatly diminished lipid A's induction of adjuvant effects on both CD8<sup>+</sup> and, to a lesser extent, on CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (Figure 5). Conversely, recombinant IFN β given to TRIF<sup>lps/lps</sup> mice during the first 18 hr of T cell priming rescued lipid A-induced adjuvant effects on T cell expansion (Figure 5). Hence type I IFN signaling is indeed critical for TLR4 mediated adjuvant effects on T cell clonal expansion. Type I IFNs are known to play a major role in modulating T cell immune response by TLR3, TLR7, and TLR9 agonists, which are potent inducers of Type I IFN [47,48,49,50]. However, a role for type I IFN in TLR4-mediated adjuvant effects on T cells is not fully characterized. To our knowledge only two other studies have reported a requirement for type I IFN in TLR4-mediated adjuvant effects on T cells, both using IFNAR<sup>−/−</sup> mice which may have altered APC functions [27,28]. In the first study, antigen-specific T cell clonal expansion after combined TLR4 and CD40 stimulation was greatly reduced in IFNAR<sup>−/−</sup> mice compared to WT mice [50]. In the second study, immunization with LPS and antigen failed to generate CTL responses in IFNAR<sup>−/−</sup> mice [49]. Our study specifically tests for net adjuvant effects, not overall clonal burst size, as a means of isolating the contributions of TLR4, TRIF and type I IFNs to adjuvanticity. Our results together with these earlier reports

---

**Figure 6. Type I IFN receptor signal is required for TLR4-TRIF mediated upregulation of co-stimulatory molecules in vivo.** C57BL/6 and TRIF<sup>lps/lps</sup> mice were pre-treated with MAR1-5A3 mAb or isotype control and then injected with lipid A (10 μg/mouse) or saline. After 12 h, splenocytes were labeled with fluorochrome conjugated Abs to measure CD80, CD86, and CD40 expression by DC subsets. The data are representative of 2 independent experiments with 3 replicates in each treatment; nd, not determined. Statistical significance between the treatments at each time point was calculated by two-way ANOVA; **; p-value<0.01 and *; p-value<0.05 confidence interval.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056855.g006
indicate that type I IFN could be the central players in boosting T cell clonal expansion by TLR4, as well as TLR3, TLR7, and TLR9, agonists. Earlier studies showed that the TLR4-TRIF-IFN-β axis drives co-stimulatory molecule upregulation in macrophages upon TLR4 stimulation [17]. However, more recent studies reported TRIF-independent upregulation of co-stimulatory molecules on DC upon TLR4 stimulation [18]. Our efforts to clarify contribution of TRIF to DC maturation showed that TLR4/TRIF signaling is sufficient for sustained upregulation of CD80 and CD40 expression on splenic DC. Only CD80 expression required both MyD88 and TRIF signals for maximal expression. Our results may vary from those reported earlier [18] due to differences in the TLR4 agonists used and our analysis of specific DC subsets in an extended time course. Interestingly, as with macrophages, the upregulation of co-stimulatory molecules on DC in vivo was largely mediated by TRIF-dependent type I IFN, because blocking IFN signaling in splenic DC blocked the upregulation of co-stimulatory molecules to the same extent observed in TRIF-/- mice. Among different splenic DC subsets, CD80 and CD40 DC showed the biggest changes in the co-stimulatory molecule expression following lipid A stimulation, indicating that they are likely the major players in TLR4-mediated adjuvant effects on T cells. Although B220+ plasmacytoid DCs are potent type I IFN producers, lipid A stimulation had a minimal effect on the maturation of these cells, presumably due to low levels of TLR4 expression by these subsets [51]. It is generally believed that both CD80 and CD86 have similar roles in T cell stimulation. However, it is not clear yet why CD80 but not CD86 require both MyD88 and TRIF and what might be the T cell outcome of more complex regulation of CD80 expression. Some reports show that CD80 signaling on macrophages exacerbates inflammatory responses [52,53]. Hence, it could be that tighter regulation of CD80 by MyD88 and TRIF is a mechanism for controlled inflammation, or that CD80 is more important for long-term memory responses, specifically those which require both MyD88 and TRIF signals [54].

CXCL10 is a chemokine often used as a signature gene product induced by TLR4 agonists in a TRIF dependent manner [8,23,42,55], although its role in the context of TLR4 stimulation is not clear. Studies have shown that CXCL10 is important for recruitment of T cells and other inflammatory cells to sites of inflammation [40,56]. In addition, CXCL10 has also been reported to increase APC-T cell interactions by recruiting T cells to APCs [41]. In this study we hypothesized that CXCL10 produced in response to lipid A stimulation could favor enhanced APC-T cell interactions resulting in increased T cell clonal expansion. Consistent with this hypothesis, our results showed that CXCL10 deficiency significantly decreased the magnitude of clonal expansion of both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, although the effect was small (less than 2-fold). Type I IFN are important inducers of CXCL10 in response to TLR4 stimulation, although a few studies show existence of TRIF dependent but type I IFN independent mechanisms [8]. Hence, further studies are needed to clarify whether CXCL10 plays a meaningful role in TLR4 adjuvant effects, and if so whether it is a downstream effector of type I IFN signaling, or a TRIF dependent but IFNα/β-independent effector that contributes to TLR4-mediated adjuvant effects on T cell clonal expansion.

TLR4 agonists enhance T cell clonal expansion by boosting both T cell proliferation and survival during proliferation [39]. Our investigations showed that both proliferation and ex vivo survival of CD4+ T cells, which predicts T cell maintenance in vivo [39], was significantly affected by TRIF deficiency. Interestingly, ex vivo survival of lipid A-activated CD8+ T cells was completely dependent on functional TRIF, while the effects on CD8+ T cell proliferation were inconclusive. TLR-mediated enhancement of T cell proliferation is classically attributed to the upregulation of CD86/CD90 and resulting co-stimulatory signaling. Hence, we speculate that TLR4-TRIF-mediated increases in CD4+ T cell proliferation are ultimately due to enhanced co-stimulatory signals, while the differences between CD4+ and CD8+ T cell proliferation are attributable to intrinsic differences in their proliferative capacities [57]. On the other hand, the precise mechanisms underlying TLR4-TRIF mediated survival signaling to T cells are not clear. Earlier studies showed that type I IFNs can improve the TLR4 induced adjuvanted T cell survival ex vivo [43]. Similarly, other studies showed that the LPS induced activated T cell survival is independent of B7 signals and less dependent on Fas signals or anti-apoptotic proteins, such as Bcl-2 or Bcl-xL, induced by co-stimulatory signals [39,58]. The latter studies suggest that TLR4-TRIF-dependent adjuvanted T cell survival is less dependent on co-stimulatory molecules, although further investigations are necessary for clarification. A more plausible mechanism for TRIF dependency would be the type I IFN induced pathways. The differences in the TRIF requirement for CD4+ and CD8+ T cell survival could be due to the differences in their responsiveness to the survival signals [59].

Our results highlight the importance of TRIF signaling in the TLR4-mediated adjuvant effects on T cells. TRIF deficient APC responds suboptimally to TLR4 stimulation causing defective DC maturation. In the absence of the TRIF signaling branch TLR4 agonists were impaired in enhancing T cell proliferation as well as their survival. Finally type I IFN plays a central role in the modulation of TLR4/TRIF-mediated events as it does for several other TLRs [48,49,50].
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