Does topical rifampicin reduce the risk of surgical field infection in hernia repair?
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**Objective:** Inguinal hernia operations are common procedures in general surgery. There have been many approaches in the historical development of hernia repair; tension free repair with mesh being the most commonly used technique today. Although it is a clean wound, antibiotic use is still controversial due to concerns about infection related to synthetic mesh. We aimed to determine the probable role of topical rifampicin in patients with tension-free hernia repair and mesh support.

**Material and Methods:** The charts of patients who underwent tension-free inguinal hernia repair were retrospectively analyzed. Information and operative notes on patients, in whom synthetic materials were used, were identified. The patients were divided into two groups, placebo group (G1) and patients with application of topical rifampicin on the mesh (G2). Infection rates between the groups in the early postoperative period were compared.

**Results:** The mean age of the 278 patients who were included in the study was 49.6±15.39 and the female/male ratio was 10/268. There were recurrent hernias in four patients and superficial wound infections in 22 patients in the early period. One patient had testicle torsion and underwent an orchiectomy. There were no significant differences between the groups in terms of age and gender. The types of hernia and body mass index were homogenous between the two groups. In the early postoperative period the infection rates were 16/144 (11.1%) and 6/134 (4.48%) in the groups, respectively, with the difference being statistically significant (p=0.041).

**Conclusion:** We suggest that applying rifampicin locally can decrease surgical site infection in hernia operations where meshes are used.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The first written document about hernia repair dates back to Ebers papyrus by Egyptians. Modern hernia repair, which began with Bassini, evolved with Shouldice, Stoppa and Lichtenstein, still being an area of investigation. The Lichtenstein tension-free hernia repair gained broad acceptance especially in the last decade due to its being an easily applied technique with low recurrence rates (1). However the polypropylene mesh used in this technique has given rise to complications like foreign body reaction, "Surgical Site Infection (SSI)", seroma, adhesion, graft migration, graft rejection and chronic pain (2-4). All these probable complications result in an increase in morbidity, length of hospital stay and cost. Glassow (5) reported that postoperative wound infection increases the recurrence rate by four fold, in his series of nearly 26000 cases. Although this is a clean wound there are data in the literature stating that prophylactic antibiotic use might be beneficial in patients with hernia repairs by using synthetic material, there are also publications concluding that this does not decrease the rate of infection (1, 6, 7). We aimed to compare the infection rates between the group where antibiotic was not used with the group receiving intraoperative topical rifampicin over the mesh, in patients who underwent tension free inguinal hernia repair, thus aiming to assess the effect of topical antibiotic application on postoperative infection rates.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

An ethical board approval was obtained from Ankara Dışkapı Yıldırım Beyazıt Teaching Hospital on 17.12.2012, with the number of 06/03. The charts of all patients who underwent inguinal hernia repair during the period Jan 2010-September 2012 in our general surgery clinic were retrieved. The search revealed 367 patients. Data on age, gender, emergent/elective operations, medical illnesses, body mass index (BMI), primary/recurrent hernia, type of surgical technique, antibiotic protocol in patients with mesh, presence of surgical drain, postoperative seroma, culture results in case of infection within the first month, length of follow-up and recurrence rates were extracted. Patients under the age of 18, history of emergency operations for irreducible hernia, presence of Diabetes Mellitus (DM) or immune, rheumatologic or immunosuppressive disease or received immunosuppressant treatment, receiving...
antibiotic therapy for local or systemic infection, using anticoagu-
latants or oral contraceptives, anatomic repair, patients lost to 
follow-up were excluded, after exclusion of 89 such cases the 
study group contained 278 patients. Patients aged 65 or 
older were classified as the geriatric population (GP). The sur-
gical site was shaved with an electrical shaver 30 minutes prior 
to the operation. The cleaning was done by 10% povidone 
iodide. The 278 study patients were divide into two groups 
according to their antibiotic use; 144 patients who did not re-
cieve any prophylactic systemic antibiotics constituted Group 
1, 134 patients who received 250 mg topical Rifampicin over 
the mesh based on surgeon’s preference constituted Group 2. 
The follow-up duration was 6-36 months. The presence of in-
fection was verified by obtaining cultures in case of detection 
of at least one of the signs: warmth, swelling, fluctuation, 
and redness by a general surgeon within the same clinic the 
patient was operated.

**Statistical Analysis**
The analysis was done by Statistical Packages for the Social 
Sciences (SPSS) Windows 17. The distribution of continuous 
variables was evaluated by Shapiro Wilk test. Descriptive sta-
tistics were shown as mean±standard deviation or median for 
continuous variables and as case numbers and percentage for 
categorical variables.

Intergroup difference in means was compared by Student’s t 
test and in median with Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical vari-
bles were analyzed with Pearson’s Chi-Square test. P<0.05 was 
accepted as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**
The mean age of patients was 49.6±15.39, the youngest pa-
tient was 18 and the oldest 84. Female/male ratio was 10/268. 
Sixteen patients had recurrent hernias whereas 262 were pri-
mary cases. Polypropylene mesh was applied in all patients. A 
7F Jackson Pratt drain was used in 30 patients to check hem-
orrhage. They were evaluated in an out-patient basis weekly 
for the first month and every 6 months thereafter for 6-36 
months, with a mean duration of 19.20±8.75 months. Four 
patients developed recurrences, 22 patients infection within 
the first month and one patient underwent orchietomy for 
testicular torsion.

The gender distribution was similar in Group 1 and Group 
2; 3/141 (2.13%) and 7/127 (5.51%) respectively (p=0.16). 
The mean age was homogenous in the groups, in Group 1 
50.82±15.23, and in Group 2 48.28±15.50 (p=0.17). The study in-
cluded 55 geriatric patients that did not show any difference in 
the two groups (p=0.17). Group 1 included 7 recurrent cases and 
137 primary inguinal hernia; and Group 2 included 9 recurrent 
cases and 125 primary inguinal hernia, no significant difference 
was shown (p=0.51). BMI in the groups were 25.41±2.56 and 
26.28±3.30 respectively, and it was not statistically significant 
(p=0.11). Group 1 had 14 patients and Group 2 had 16 with drain 
placement, this showed no statistical significance (p=0.55).

The mean follow-up duration was 20.08±9.27 months in 
Group 1, and 18.25±8.1 months in Group 2 and the two groups 
did not show any statistical significance (p=0.10). During the 
first postoperative month the infection rates in the groups 
were 16/144 (11.1%) and 6/134 (4.48%) respectively, and the 
difference was statistically significant (p=0.04). When infec-
tion rates in patients who belong to the geriatric population 
were compared to the remaining population the SSI rate for 
GP was 9/55 (16.36%), in contrast to the rate of 13/223 (5.83%) 
in patients who were not in the GP and the infection rate in 
the geriatric population was statistically higher (p=0.01). In 
patients with suspicion of infection a suture was taken out 
and the incision was cultured by a swab. The culture results 
revealed Staph. aureus in 14 patients, and Staph. epidermidis 
in 8 patients. All these patients had superficial infections, none 
of them required major drainage. In the postoperative period 
15 patients developed seroma or hematoma, 8were minimal 
superficial and 7 were deep hematomas that required drain-
age. Seroma and hematoma formation did not show any sig-
nificant difference between the two groups (p=0.89). In the 
follow-up period of 6-36 months, recurrence rates were similar 
between the groups (p=0.28). Table 1 lists demographic and 
statistical data. None of the patients exhibited any allergic re-
actions after application of topical rifampicin.

**DISCUSSION**
Inguinal hernia repair is one of the most common operations in 
general surgery (6). The common goal in all techniques is 
providing an acceptable recurrence rate with minimum mor-
bidity. One of the main complications of hernia operations 
is SSI, and since 1964, a uniform SSI classification is being 
used. Currently, surgical wounds are classified as clean, clean 
contaminated, contaminated and dirty-infected wounds (6). 
Clean wounds contain procedures unrelated to the gastroin-
testinal, urogenital and respiratory systems and theoretically, 
since the operation is carried out under sterile conditions 
they are protected from infection. Elective hernia surgery is 
an example of clean wounds and requirement of antibiotic 
coverage is controversial. In techniques like Lichtenstein ten-
sion free anterior mesh hernia repair, which became a rou-
tine operation since the 1980’s, synthetic materials are used. 
It is suggested that these grafts may result in infectious com-
plications; therefore, studies have emerged regarding the 
use of antibiotics (1, 6).

Simchen et al. (8) reported an SSI rate of 3.3% in their series 
of 1138 inguinal hernia repairs, whereas Medina et al pub-
lished a rate of (9) 7%, and Santos et al. (10) found this rate to 
be 14.04%. The wide range present in the literature is thought 
to arise from variations in surgical technique, contamination 
from the skin flora and antibiotic prophylaxis. Our infection 
rate was evaluated as 7.9% (22/278), parallel to the literature.

Sanchez et al. published a Cochrane meta-analysis (11) in 
2003, including 8 prospective randomized studies. They stated 
that in six studies antibiotic prophylaxis was show not to be 
helpful, whereas in two studies antibiotic prophylaxis had an 
effect on decreasing SSI rates (1, 12-17). However, three of the 
included studies did not use synthetic materials, thus surgi-
cal technique was not homogenous within the meta-analysis, 
making it hard to draw reliable results.
Platt et al. (12), concluded that prophylactic antibiotics are helpful in lowering infection rates in their prospective randomized double-blind study of 612 cases, where they applied 1 gram intravenous cefonicid. Their infection rates in 6 weeks was decreased from 4.2% to 2.3% in the study group, with a decrease of 1.9%. Lazorthes et al. (17) used 750 mg cefamandol together with subcutaneous local anesthesia in 155 patients with hernia repair, and in the early period they did not encounter any SSI in this group as compared to 4.5% SSI in their control group of 153 patients, and the decrease in SSI by antibiotics was statistically significant (p=0.01). Taylor et al. (7) used co-amoxycylline prophylaxis with a follow-up of 1 month, found that the SSI rate was 8.8% vs. 8.9% in the placebo group, and suggested that antibiotic coverage is useless in elective inguinal hernia surgery. Yerdel et al. (1) published their prospective randomized series of 136 patients who were treated with tension-free hernia repair with polypropylene materials. They applied 1.5 gr ampicillin-sulbactam and in a follow-up period of 4-6 weeks the SSI rate was 0.7% in the study group as compared to 9% in the placebo group. They concluded that although inguinal hernia surgery with synthetic materials falls into the category of clean wounds, the significant decrease in SSI showed the requirement for prophylactic antibiotic use. Aufenacker et al. (18) reported similar SSI rates in patients after hernia repair in 3 months follow-up in the placebo group and the group treated with cefuroxim axetil. Celdran et al. (19) found no SSI in 2 years follow-up in the group receiving cefazolin sodium prophylaxis whereas this rate was 8.2 % in the non-prophylaxis group, and concluded that in patients undergoing hernia repair with synthetic materials prophylactic antibiotic use is useful. Gervino et al. (20) reported an SSI rate of 0% out of 1181 patients in whom prophylactic 2 g ceftriaxon was used and they opted for antibiotic prophylaxis. Table 2 summarizes the reference studies regarding prophylactic antibiotic use. Similarly, in this study the infection rate in the group that antibiotics was not used was 11.1% whereas this rate was 4.48% in the group receiving local rifampicin application, and this difference was statistically significant (p=0.04).

The pathogens causing SSI in elective hernia surgery usually are contaminations from the skin. The most common organisms detected in SSI are part of the skin flora, *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis*. Yerdel et al. (1) isolated nine *S. aureus*, one *S. epidermidis* out of 13 patients with signs of infection, no bacterial agent was identified in 3 patients (1). Aufenacker et al. (18) series included *S. aureus* (35.3%), no identification (29.4%), mixed microorganisms (11.8%) and other microorganisms (23.5%). In our series similar to the literature, we isolated 14 *S. aureus* and 8 *S. epidermidis* in cultures.

### Table 1. Demographic data and statistical analysis between the groups

|                     | Group 1 | Group 2 | Statistical analysis |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|
| Patient No          | 144     | 134     |                     |
| Age (mean years)    | 50.82±15.23 | 48.28±15.50 | p=0.17             |
| Geriatric population| 33/144 (22.9%) | 22/134 (16.4%) | p=0.17             |
| Gender (Female/Male) | 3/141 (2.13%) | 7/127 (5.51%) | p=0.16             |
| Hernia Type (Primary/Recurrence) | 137/7 | 125/9 | p=0.51             |
| BMI                 | 25.41±2.56 | 26.28±3.30 | p=0.11             |
| Presence of drains  | 14/144 (9.72%) | 16/134 (11.94%) | p=0.55             |
| Presence of seroma  | 8/144 (5.56%) | 7/134 (5.22%) | p=0.89             |
| Postoperative infection | 16/144 (11.1%) | 6/134 (4.48%) | p=0.04             |
| Positive culture (SA/SE) | 10/6 | 4/2 | p=0.83             |
| Follow up (months)  | 20.08±9.27 | 18.25±8.1 | p=0.28             |
| Postoperative recurrence | 1/144 (0.69%) | 3/134 (2.24%) | p=0.28             |

SA: Staph. Aureus, SE: Staph. Epidermidis, BMI: Body Mass Index

### Table 2. Infection rates in studies with prophylactic antibiotic coverage in hernia repair

| Authors             | Number of patients | Follow-up period | Antibiotic prophylaxis | Wound infection rates |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Platt et al. (11)   | 301                | 4-6 weeks         | Cefonicid              | 2.3%                  |
|                     | 311                |                   |                        | 4.2%                  |
| Lazorthes et al. (17)| 155                | 4 weeks           | Cefamandol             | 0%                    |
|                     | 153                |                   |                        | 4.5%                  |
| Taylor et al. (12)  | 283                | 4-6 weeks         | Co-amoxilav            | 8.8%                  |
|                     | 280                |                   |                        | 8.9%                  |
| Yerdel et al. (1)   | 136                | 4 weeks           | Ampisillin-sulbactam   | 0.7%                  |
|                     | 133                |                   |                        | 9%                    |
| Aufenacker et al. (18)| 503              | 12 weeks          | Cefuroxime Axetil      | 1.6%                  |
|                     | 505                |                   |                        | 1.8%                  |
| Celdran et al. (19) | 50                 | 104 weeks         | Cefazolin Sodium       | 0%                    |
|                     | 49                 |                   |                        | 8.2%                  |
| Gervino et al. (20) | 1181               | 4 weeks           | Ceftriaxon             | 0%                    |
The data on the use of topical antibiotics to prevent surgical site infections and to treat infections is limited. Rifampicin is a semi-synthetic antibiotic that has strong bactericidal effects on many Gram+ and – bacteria including S. aureus as well as tuberculosis treatment, with limited information on its topical use for wound care (21). Saydam et al. (22) argued that rifampicin and nitrofurazone combination is cheap and effective on S. aureus and S. epidermidis for full thickness wound care in their experimental study, although clinical studies are lacking. Iselin et al. (23) stated that rifampicin is superior to povidone iodide for infection control in extremity injuries. Weber et al. (24) reported a decrease in catheter related infection risk in children in case of minoxyline/rifampicin coated catheter use. There are some reports on the anaphylactic reactions following topical rifampicin use (25, 26). We have not encountered any allergic reactions in 134 patients receiving topical rifampicin.

CONCLUSION
There are few clinical studies in the literature that focus on topical antibiotic use on synthetic materials. We believe that the application of topical antibiotics is beneficial for prevention of infections, despite the fact that tension free inguinal hernia repair with mesh is accepted as a clean wound.
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