Effects of reproductive period duration and number of pregnancies on midlife ECG indices: a secondary analysis from the Women’s Health Initiative Clinical Trial
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ABSTRACT

Objectives Pregnancy, menopause and reproductive period duration are related to fluctuations in endogenous sex hormones in women, which cumulatively may alter cardiac electrical conduction. Therefore, we sought to study the association between number of pregnancies and reproductive period duration (RD) with ECG intervals from enrolment ECG. We studied effect modification by hormone therapy use.

Results Among participants, 5+ live births versus 0 prior pregnancies was associated with a 1.32 ms increase in PR interval (95% CI 0.25 to 2.38), with a graded association with longer QTc interval (ms) (none (prior pregnancy, no live births)=0.66 (−0.56 to 1.88), 1=0.15 (−0.71 to 1.02), 2−4=0.25 (−0.43 to 0.94) and 5+ live births=1.15 (0.33 to 1.98), p=0.008). RD was associated with longer PR interval and maximum P-wave duration (but not P-wave dispersion) among never users of hormone therapy: (PR interval and maximum P-wave duration (ms) per additional RD year: 0.10 (0.04 to 0.16); higher RD to decreased ventricular repolarisation time. Both grand multiparity and longer RD are related to increased atrial conduction time. Reproductive factors that alter midlife cardiac electrical conduction system remodelling in women may modestly influence cardiovascular disease risk in later life.

Strengths and limitations of this study

► A notable limitation is that the exposure variables were acquired retrospectively.
► A strength is the use of a well-characterised multicentre clinical trial.
► We were unable to adjust for pregnancy complications such as pre-eclampsia or gestational diabetes.

INTRODUCTION

ECG parameters reflect current as well as future cardiovascular disease risk

ECG parameters are reflections of both current and future cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk. For example, in the Framingham Heart Study, a prolonged PR interval (>200 ms) (which is defined as the period, measured in milliseconds, that extends from the beginning of the P wave (the onset of atrial depolarisation) until the R wave), was related to incident atrial fibrillation, all-cause mortality and to the likelihood of needing a permanent pacemaker.1 In addition to PR interval, the P-wave duration (or the period in milliseconds during which the atrium depolarises) more directly relates to atrial size and is an antecedent of atrial fibrillation.2 Both PR interval and P-wave duration are markers of left atrial size which in turn is a correlate of hypertensive heart disease3 and incident stroke.1 P-wave dispersion, defined as the difference between the maximum and minimum P-wave duration recorded from multiple different surface ECG leads, is an additional marker of atrial remodelling and
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NCT00000611; Post-results.
antecedent of atrial fibrillation.\textsuperscript{5} It is unclear to what extent PR interval, P-wave duration or P-wave dispersion are affected by premenopausal hormonal fluctuations from the menstrual cycle and childbearing.

**Pregnancy, cardiac remodelling and the ECG**

Pregnancy and the postpartum period both have substantial physiological effects on cardiac electrophysiology. Physiological studies of women during early and late pregnancy as well as early post partum suggest a shortening of the corrected QT interval (QTc) which partially reverts back to pre-pregnancy values following post partum.\textsuperscript{6,7} The QT interval is defined as the measure of time between the onset of ventricular depolarisation and completion of ventricular repolarisation, and because QT interval is strongly related to heart rate, the QTc is corrected for heart rate. Direct pathophysiological links connecting myocardial structural remodelling and cardiac electrical remodelling have been increasingly recognised.\textsuperscript{8} With regards to myocardial remodelling, pregnancy-induced cardiac remodelling does not completely revert back to pre-pregnancy levels and effects of increasing parity on cardiac remodelling can be detected even in midlife.\textsuperscript{9} However, the extent to which an increasing number of pregnancies exerts long-lasting effects on the cardiac electrical conduction system is uncertain.\textsuperscript{9}

**Oestrogen exposure and the ECG**

In addition to the more marked hormonal fluctuations seen during pregnancy, there are also more subtle, cyclic changes in oestrogen and progesterone cycling that occur during menstrual cycling in women of reproductive age. Testosterone and progesterone are recognised to decrease the QTc interval.\textsuperscript{10} Prior data from the Women’s Health Initiative (WHI) Hormone Trial suggests that oestrogen-only postmenopausal therapy modestly prolongs QTc beyond that of both oestrogen–progestin therapy and placebo.\textsuperscript{11} However, it is uncertain whether the premenopausal endogenous hormonal fluctuations (reflected by the length of the interval from menarche to menopause, and by number of pregnancies) are associated with changes in QTc in the WHI.

WHI represents a unique resource to study questions related to pregnancy and reproductive history and ECG parameters and thus we sought to determine if there is a positive or negative association between number of pregnancies and reproductive period duration with midlife ECG intervals (PR interval and QTc) and P-wave parameters (P-wave maximum duration and dispersion).

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS PLAN**

Our current study design is a secondary analysis of a previously conducted set of clinical trials.

**Study sample**

The WHI recruitment began in 1991 and consisted of a set of clinical trials and an observational study on hormone therapy, dietary modification and calcium/vitamin D. CVD, cardiovascular disease.

**Figure 1** Creation of the study sample. Clinical trials include hormone trial, dietary modification and calcium/vitamin D. CVD, cardiovascular disease.
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Ascertaining of reproductive exposures

Information on reproductive factors was collected via questionnaire at the second screening visit in the WHI (between 1993 and 1998). Participants were asked how many times they had been pregnant (were given choices ranging from 0 to 8+), number of live births and how old they were at the end of the first and at the end of their last pregnancy (<20, 20–24, 25–29, 30–34, 35+ years). In order to be able to also study women who had not experienced pregnancy and/or childbirth and in an effort to make our study as representative as possible, we separately categorised women who had had no prior pregnancies and women who had experienced a pregnancy but no live births (ie, due to miscarriage, stillbirth or abortion) as separate categories. We further categorised women based on our prior work demonstrating that having five or more pregnancies was associated with greater cardiac remodelling.6 Due to small cell sizes, we combined women with five or more pregnancies leading to live births into one category. Preliminary data analysis reflected that 2–4 had similar effects sizes for PR and QTc and thus these categories were collapsed into a single category for ease of interpretation. Therefore, the exposure categories for number of pregnancies leading to live births were as follows: no pregnancies (referent), none (prior pregnancy, no live births), 1, 2–4, 5 or more. Age at menarche (<9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, >16 years) and age at menopause was asked on this screening questionnaire. Reproductive period duration (RD) was defined as the duration between age at menarche to age at menopause (in years). Detailed current and prior hormone therapy (or postmenopausal hormone replacement therapy) usage and hysterectomy/oophorectomy status was collected at enrolment and has been previously described.14 Questions regarding the use and duration of oral contraceptive usage were also collected at enrolment.

Ascertaining of covariates

Age, income, education, self-reported race/ethnicity, geographic region of the USA, history and duration of breast feeding were collected at participant enrolment and second screening examinations. Body mass index (BMI, kg/m²) was calculated using height and weight measured by study staff at baseline. Women with hypertension were identified as those with a self-reported history of treated hypertension or blood pressure measurements meeting JNC 7 criteria for hypertension.15 Diabetes was identified by self-reported use of antidiabetic medications and hyperlipidaemia by use of cholesterol-lowering medications.

ECG parameters

Standard 12-lead ECGs were recorded in all women by strictly standardised procedures in all clinical centres as has been described.16 All ECGs were processed in a central laboratory (EPICARE Center, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada, and later Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, USA), where they were visually inspected for technical errors and inadequate quality. ECGs were processed with the 2001 version of the Marquette 12-SL program (GE Marquette). In addition to PR and QT intervals, we also examined the maximum P-wave duration and dispersion (from all 12 leads of the ECG).2 The QT interval was corrected using Bazett’s formula.

Statistical methods

Primary analysis

We employed multivariable linear regression to assess the association between reproductive exposures (number of pregnancies and RD) with the dependent variable of ECG parameters (PR interval in milliseconds, P-wave duration, P-wave dispersion, QTc in milliseconds). Multivariable models were adjusted for a priori covariates: age, BMI, hypertension status, diabetes, income, education, race/ethnicity, region, history of breast feeding, antianxiety medication, antidepressant medication, lipid medication, duration of breast feeding, oophorectomy status, hormone therapy use, heart rate and QRS duration. In analyses considering categories of live births, we employed a linear trend test.

We explored effect modification of the primary exposures, number of live births and RD, by hormone therapy usage and hysterectomy status. We classified hormone therapy usage into three categories: women who reported current, prior or no hormone therapy usage. A statistical interaction term between hormone therapy usage and the exposure (RD or number of live births) was used to consider effect modification by reported hormone therapy use. When the statistical interaction term was statistically significant (p<0.05) according to a likelihood ratio test, we presented the estimates in each of the three categories of hormone therapy use and we presented a single estimate if there was no evidence for effect modification by hormone therapy. A similar approach was employed for studying RD or number of live births and hysterectomy status. To show sensitivity of estimates to confounders, unadjusted associations were reported as well as those associations adjusted for the confounders listed above.

Secondary analyses

In secondary analyses, we removed subjects who reported never being pregnant and used multivariable linear regression to model associations between age at first live birth and the five ECG measures. These models used the same covariates to adjust association as those in our primary analyses. Subjects who had implausible secondary outcome values (ie, all zero values or all constant values across all ECG measures) were removed. We additionally adjusted for covariates that we were concerned may have confounded the associations between exposure and dependent variables in our study. We additionally fit additional models which included both RD and number of pregnancies to ensure that one exposure did not alter the other’s association with the dependent variables. Given
that anxiety and depression could affect both exposure and dependent variables in our study, we further adjusted for use of these medications. Antianxiety and antidepressant medication use (selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and non-SSRI) were recorded on enrolment by nurse examination of medication bottles. Medications were classified according to the National Drug Index classification system. We adjusted for Ca/vitamin D status, oral contraceptive usage (yes/no and duration or usage). We further adjusted for menstrual irregularities/fertility disorders/endometriosis, which are also related to hormonal fluctuations in women.

Multiple imputation analyses

There were n=6685 women in our study with missing covariate data. We used multiple imputation techniques to impute missing covariates and refit models from primary analyses to explore the sensitivity of our results to missing data. We used the PROC MI in SAS to construct 20 multiply imputed data sets. Missing variables were imputed via fully conditional specification method in PROC MI using all variables from the analytic model. We fit models to each imputed data set and pooled the results. The pooled results from imputation did not differ appreciably from the results of the complete case analysis (data not shown).

All analyses were performed in SAS V.9.4 (SAS Institute).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of our sample including women who were included in our study and those excluded from analysis for missing variables. Data are displayed by number of pregnancies lasting at least 6 months. The mean age at enrolment was 62.4 years, while the mean age at menarche was 12.6 and mean age at menopause was 50.0 years. 82.5% of women were while the mean age at menarche was 12.6 and mean age at enrolment was 62.4 years, and 6 months. The mean age at enrolment was 62.4 years.

P-wave duration and dispersion

P-wave duration was longer for women with 2–4 live births (ms increase=0.62, 95% CI 0.01 to 1.24) and 5 live births (0.94, 95% CI 0.20 to 1.67) compared with those who reported never having been pregnant (table 4). Reproductive period duration was related to maximum P-wave duration among women who reported never having used hormones (0.09, 95% CI 0.06 to 0.13) but not among those who reported prior or current hormone therapy use (p interaction <0.01) (table 5).

Secondary results

Models that contained both RD and number of pregnancies together were not materially different (data not shown). Further adjustment for antidepressants and anti-anxiety medications did not materially affect our results. Further adjustment for Ca and vitamin D status or oral contraceptive use, and/or duration did not materially affect our results. Further adjustment for menstrual irregularities/fertility disorders/endometriosis did not materially change our results.

DISCUSSION

Summary of findings

We found that having five or more pregnancies compared with none was associated with a small increase in midlife atrial conduction time, independent of factors known to be associated with this interval (PR). Number of live births among women with at least one live birth (compared with no prior pregnancies) was associated with increased atrial conduction time. Having five or more pregnancies was related to a small increase in ventricular repolarisation time compared with having no prior pregnancies. Among women reporting no prior exogenous hormone use, each additional year of reported RD was related to a very modest (0.1 ms) longer atrial conduction time. RD was related to a very modest increase in P-wave duration. RD was related to a shorter ventricular repolarisation time.

Mechanisms linking pregnancy and atrial electrical remodelling

The effect of cumulative pregnancies on midlife ECGs would likely result from both (1) the pregnancy itself and (2) incident cardiometabolic factors that are impacted by pregnancy such as adiposity and vascular stiffness, and premenopausal blood pressure. Adiposity and blood pressure are related to increased P-wave indices in a normal healthy population, and these P-wave indices are ECG reflections of increased left atrial pressure, size and potentially fibrosis. The period of pregnancy and the peripartum are characterised by hormonal changes...
Table 1  Baseline characteristics of the study sample: analytic and excluded

| Distribution of covariates by number of live births | Never pregnant | None (prior pregnancy, no live births) | 1 | 2–4 | 5+ | Analytic | Excluded |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|---|-----|----|---------|---------|
| Sample size                                         | 3296           | 1082                                   | 3536 | 26599 | 6174 | 40687    | 6685 |
| Age, N (%)                                          |                |                                        |     |      |    |         |         |
| 50–54                                               | 598 (18.1)     | 265 (24.5)                             | 745 (21.1) | 3718 (14) | 329 (5.3) | 5655 (13.9) | 834 (12.5) |
| 55–59                                               | 768 (23.3)     | 295 (27.3)                             | 869 (24.6) | 6282 (23.6) | 1054 (17.1) | 9268 (22.8) | 1204 (18) |
| 60–69                                               | 1323 (40.1)    | 371 (34.3)                             | 1363 (38.5) | 12189 (45.8) | 3580 (58) | 18826 (46.3) | 3146 (47.1) |
| 70–79                                               | 607 (18.4)     | 151 (14)                               | 559 (15.8) | 4410 (16.6) | 1211 (19.6) | 6938 (17.1) | 1501 (22.5) |
| Missing                                             | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)                                  | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Race/ethnicity, N (%)                               |                |                                        |     |      |    |         |         |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native                      | 3 (0.1)        | 3 (0.3)                                | 13 (0.4) | 96 (0.4) | 32 (0.5) | 147 (0.4) | 39 (0.6) |
| Asian/Pacific Islander                              | 121 (3.7)      | 33 (3)                                 | 102 (2.9) | 728 (2.7) | 106 (1.7) | 1090 (2.7) | 143 (2.1) |
| African-American                                    | 263 (8)        | 203 (18.8)                             | 604 (17.1) | 2126 (8) | 598 (9.7) | 3794 (9.3) | 1017 (15.2) |
| Hispanic                                            | 106 (3.2)      | 50 (4.6)                               | 160 (4.5) | 1005 (3.8) | 300 (4.9) | 1621 (4) | 471 (7) |
| White                                               | 2767 (84)      | 780 (72.1)                             | 2601 (73.6) | 22352 (84) | 5056 (81.9) | 33556 (82.5) | 4808 (71.9) |
| Missing                                             | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)                                  | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Other                                               | 36 (1.1)       | 13 (1.2)                               | 56 (1.6) | 292 (1.1) | 82 (1.3) | 479 (1.2) | 98 (1.5) |
| Missing                                             | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)                                  | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Education level, N (%)                              |                |                                        |     |      |    |         |         |
| No high school diploma                             | 73 (2.2)       | 41 (3.8)                               | 157 (4.4) | 1033 (3.9) | 543 (8.8) | 1847 (4.5) | 644 (9.6) |
| High school diploma                                 | 1352 (41)      | 490 (45.3)                             | 1872 (52.9) | 15726 (59.1) | 4096 (66.3) | 23536 (57.8) | 3752 (56.1) |
| Bachelor’s degree                                   | 802 (24.3)     | 250 (23.1)                             | 801 (22.7) | 5859 (22) | 1087 (17.6) | 8799 (21.6) | 1171 (17.5) |
| Graduate degree                                     | 1069 (32.4)    | 301 (27.8)                             | 706 (20) | 3981 (15) | 448 (7.3) | 6505 (16) | 789 (11.8) |
| Missing                                             | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)                                  | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Household Income, N (%)                             |                |                                        |     |      |    |         |         |
| <$50,000                                            | 2071 (62.8)    | 639 (59.1)                             | 2136 (60.4) | 15872 (59.7) | 4543 (73.6) | 25261 (62.1) | 2809 (42) |
| $50–100,000                                         | 974 (29.6)     | 333 (30.8)                             | 1090 (30.8) | 8260 (31.1) | 1330 (21.5) | 11987 (29.5) | 874 (13.1) |
| >$100,0000                                         | 251 (7.6)      | 110 (10.2)                             | 310 (8.8) | 2467 (9.3) | 301 (4.9) | 3439 (8.5) | 208 (3.1) |
| Missing                                             | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)                                  | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Region, N (%)                                       |                |                                        |     |      |    |         |         |
| Northeast                                           | 763 (23.1)     | 202 (18.7)                             | 694 (19.6) | 5830 (21.9) | 1554 (25.2) | 9043 (22.2) | 1484 (22.2) |
| Midwest                                             | 719 (21.8)     | 192 (17.7)                             | 709 (20.1) | 5793 (21.8) | 1792 (29) | 9205 (22.6) | 1422 (21.3) |
| South                                               | 778 (23.6)     | 321 (29.7)                             | 1012 (28.6) | 6559 (24.7) | 1205 (19.5) | 9875 (24.3) | 2081 (31.1) |
| West                                               | 1036 (31.4)    | 367 (33.9)                             | 1121 (31.7) | 8417 (31.6) | 1623 (26.3) | 12564 (30.9) | 1698 (25.4) |
| Missing                                             | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)                                  | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| BMI, N (%)                                          |                |                                        |     |      |    |         |         |
| Underweight (~<18.5)                                | 34 (1)         | 8 (0.7)                                | 26 (0.7) | 103 (0.4) | 15 (0.2) | 186 (0.5) | 25 (0.4) |

Continued
| Table 1 | Continued |
| Distribution of covariates by number of live births | Never pregnant | None (prior pregnancy, no live births) | 1 | 2–4 | 5+ | Analytic | Excluded |
| Normal (18.5–24.9) | 1001 (30.4) | 309 (28.6) | 1041 (29.4) | 7557 (28.4) | 1341 (21.7) | 11249 (27.6) | 1582 (23.7) |
| Overweight (25.0–29.9) | 1118 (33.9) | 377 (34.8) | 1234 (34.9) | 9660 (36.3) | 2262 (36.6) | 14651 (36) | 2262 (33.8) |
| Obese (30+) | 1143 (34.7) | 388 (35.9) | 1235 (34.9) | 9279 (34.9) | 2556 (41.4) | 14601 (35.9) | 2579 (38.6) |
| Missing | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 237 (3.5) |
| Hypertension, N (%) | | | | | | | |
| Never hypertensive | 2308 (70) | 742 (68.6) | 2439 (69) | 18413 (69.2) | 4045 (65.5) | 27947 (68.7) | 4236 (63.4) |
| Treated hypertensive | 712 (21.6) | 240 (22.2) | 819 (23.2) | 6141 (23.1) | 1638 (26.5) | 9550 (23.5) | 1684 (25.2) |
| Untreated hypertensive | 276 (8.4) | 100 (9.2) | 278 (7.9) | 2045 (7.7) | 491 (8) | 3190 (7.8) | 516 (7.7) |
| Missing | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 249 (3.7) |
| History of diabetes, N (%) | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 150 (4.6) | 57 (5.3) | 179 (5.1) | 1377 (5.2) | 434 (7) | 2197 (5.4) | 459 (6.9) |
| No | 3146 (95.4) | 1025 (94.7) | 3357 (94.9) | 25222 (94.8) | 5740 (93) | 38490 (94.6) | 6217 (93) |
| Missing | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 9 (0.1) |
| History of breast feeding, N (%) | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 6 (0.2) | 36 (3.3) | 1476 (41.7) | 15375 (57.8) | 4258 (69) | 21151 (62) | 3309 (49.5) |
| No | 3290 (99.8) | 1046 (96.7) | 2060 (58.3) | 11224 (42.2) | 1916 (31) | 19536 (48) | 2942 (44) |
| Missing | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 434 (6.5) |
| History of oophorectomy, N (%) | | | | | | | |
| No | 2253 (68.4) | 711 (65.7) | 2462 (69.6) | 19371 (72.8) | 4704 (76.2) | 29501 (72.5) | 4404 (65.9) |
| Yes, part of an ovary was taken out | 32 (1) | 20 (1.8) | 42 (1.2) | 225 (0.8) | 41 (0.7) | 360 (0.9) | 85 (1.3) |
| Yes, one was taken out | 203 (6.2) | 127 (11.7) | 285 (8.1) | 1718 (6.5) | 356 (5.8) | 2689 (6.6) | 645 (9.6) |
| Yes, both were taken out | 782 (23.7) | 207 (19.1) | 716 (20.2) | 5082 (19.1) | 1019 (16.5) | 7806 (19.2) | 759 (11.4) |
| Yes, unknown number taken out | 26 (0.8) | 17 (1.6) | 31 (0.9) | 203 (0.8) | 54 (0.9) | 331 (0.8) | 159 (2.4) |
| Missing | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 633 (9.5) |
| History of HT, N (%) | | | | | | | |
| Never used | 1520 (46.1) | 478 (44.2) | 1601 (45.3) | 11660 (43.8) | 3324 (53.8) | 18583 (45.7) | 4372 (65.4) |
| Past user | 561 (1.7) | 191 (17.7) | 575 (16.3) | 4780 (18) | 1147 (18.6) | 7254 (17.8) | 821 (12.3) |
| Current user | 1215 (36.9) | 413 (38.2) | 1360 (38.5) | 10159 (38.2) | 1703 (27.6) | 14850 (36.5) | 1450 (21.7) |
| Missing | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 42 (0.6) |
| Age (years), mean (SD) | 61.95 (7.43) | 60.4 (7.36) | 61.14 (7.32) | 62.23 (6.87) | 64.14 (5.97) | 62.36 (6.9) | 63.46 (7.16) |
| BMI, median (IQR) | 27.39 (7.72) | 27.74 (8.02) | 27.69 (7.56) | 27.73 (7.34) | 28.78 (7.45) | 27.85 (7.46) | 28.39 (7.86) |
| QTc wave duration (ms), mean (SD) | 417.95 (18.38) | 418.49 (19.6) | 418.24 (19.2) | 418.58 (18.7) | 420.34 (19.33) | 418.76 (18.85) | 419.82 (19.84) |
Table 1 Continued

| Distribution of covariates by number of live births | Never pregnant | None (prior pregnancy, no live births) | 1 | 2-4 | 5+ | Analytic | Excluded |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|---|-----|----|----------|----------|
| Missing (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PR-wave dispersion (ms), mean (SD) | 63.86 (19.42) | 64.43 (19.43) | 64.51 (19.43) | 64.79 (19.57) | 65.77 (19.57) | 64.83 (19.55) | 64.87 (20.56) |
| Missing (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PR interval duration (ms), median (IQR) | 156 (30) | 158 (28) | 158 (30) | 158 (30) | 160 (30) | 158 (30) | 158 (30) |
| Missing (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| P-wave duration (ms), mean (SD) | 106.81 (12.85) | 107.13 (12.33) | 106.96 (12.18) | 106.88 (12.34) | 107.93 (12.44) | 107.05 (12.39) | 106.61 (16.09) |
| Missing (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Age at menopause (years), median (IQR) | 48 (8) | 49 (7) | 49 (8) | 50 (7) | 50 (8) | 50 (7) | 49 (7) |
| Missing (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.3 |
| Age at menarche (years), mean (SD) | 12.54 (1.48) | 12.55 (1.57) | 12.58 (1.54) | 12.58 (1.47) | 12.67 (1.46) | 12.59 (1.48) | 12.65 (1.53) |
| Missing (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.78 |
| Duration of reproductive period (years), median (IQR) | 36 (8) | 36 (8) | 36 (8) | 37 (8) | 37 (7) | 37 (8) | 36 (8) |
| Missing (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.63 |

BMI, body mass index; HT, hormone therapy.
that affect both cardiovascular haemodynamics and adaptive myocardial remodelling. Pregnancy causes increased cardiac output, increased left ventricular mass and decreased systemic vascular resistance. The uterus and placenta in support of the growing fetus and fetal circulatory system represent a significant vascular shunt which contributes to these haemodynamic adaptations in pregnancy. The sum of these changes results in both left atrial and left ventricular dilation. However, the effects of normal pregnancy on electrographic remodelling during pregnancy are not well described. A prior small clinical study has looked at P-wave duration and P-wave dispersion among pregnant women compared with controls and found that both of these parameters are increased.

### Table 2
Unadjusted and multivariable-adjusted association of number of pregnancies leading to live births and reproductive period duration with PR interval (ms) in n=40687 women in the Women’s Health Initiative Clinical Trials

| Number of live births and reproductive period duration are each in their own separate multivariable models | Unadjusted effect (95% CI) | Multivariable-adjusted effect* (95% CI) | P value for linear trend=0.11 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Never pregnant | Ref. | Ref. |  |
| None (prior pregnancy, no live births) | 1.44 (−0.18 to 3.06) | 1.15 (−0.43 to 2.74) |  |
| 1 | 1.16 (0.04 to 2.28) | 0.54 (−0.57 to 1.66) |  |
| 2–4 | 1.20 (0.34 to 2.05) | 0.59 (−0.301 to 1.48) |  |
| 5+ | 3.06 (2.07 to 4.06) | 1.32 (0.25 to 2.39) |  |

Due to the fact that there was statistically significant effect modification by HT use on the association between reproductive period and PR interval in linear regression models, we present the model estimates by strata of HT use

| Reproductive period duration (continuous, years) | Unadjusted effect (95% CI) | Multivariable-adjusted effect* (95% CI) | P values for interaction=0.009 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Never HT user | 0.05 (−0.01 to 0.11) | 0.10 (0.04 to 0.16) |  |
| Past HT use | 0.002 (−0.07 to 0.08) | 0.08 (−0.00 to 0.15) |  |
| Current HT use | −0.09 (−0.15 to 0.03) | −0.02 (−0.08 to 0.04) |  |

*Covariates include age, baseline BMI, baseline hypertension status, history of diabetes, income, education, race/ethnicity, region, history/duration of breast feeding, lipid medication, oophorectomy status, hysterectomy status, hormone use history, heart rate and QRS duration. BMI, body mass index; HT, hormone therapy.

### Table 3
Unadjusted and multivariable-adjusted association of number of pregnancies leading to live births and reproductive period duration with QTc interval (ms) in n=40687 women in the Women’s Health Initiative Clinical Trials

| Number of live births and reproductive period duration are each in their own multivariable models | Unadjusted effect (95% CI) | Multivariable-adjusted effect* (95% CI) | P values |
|---|---|---|---|
| Never pregnant | Ref. | Ref. | P value for linear trend=0.008 |
| None (prior pregnancy, no live births) | 0.54 (−0.76 to 1.83) | 0.66 (−0.56 to 1.88) |  |
| 1 | 0.29 (−0.60 to 1.18) | 0.15 (−0.71 to 1.02) |  |
| 2–4 | 0.63 (−0.05 to 1.31) | 0.25 (−0.43 to 0.94) |  |
| 5+ | 2.39 (1.59 to 3.19) | 1.15 (0.33 to 1.98) |  |

Reproductive period duration (continuous, years) –0.09 (−0.12 to 0.06) –0.04 (−0.07 to 0.01) P value=0.01

*Covariates for number of live births analysis include age, baseline BMI, baseline hypertension status, history of diabetes, income, education, race/ethnicity, region, history/duration of breast feeding, lipid medication, oophorectomy status, hysterectomy status, hormone use history, heart rate and QRS duration. Covariates for reproductive period duration analysis include live births, age, baseline BMI, baseline hypertension status, history of diabetes, income, education, race/ethnicity, region, history of breast feeding, duration of breast feeding, lipid medication, oophorectomy status, hysterectomy status, hormone use history and QRS duration. BMI, body mass index; HT, hormone therapy.
corrects back to pre pregnancy values post partum.\(^7\) Our finding that having five or more pregnancies compared with no prior pregnancies suggests that QTc prolongation during pregnancy may accumulate across successive pregnancies and will be significantly increased on midlife ECG. Furthermore, we found evidence for a dose–response relationship between number of pregnancies and midlife QTc. Cardiac electrical remodelling often reflects myocardial remodelling. We previously demonstrated that an increasing number of pregnancies were related to left ventricular volume increase and increase in left ventricular mass in a multiethnic cohort of women.\(^9\) The increase in cardiac volume and mass were more marked in grand multipara’s or women who had five or more pregnancies leading to live births.\(^9\) It is important to note that grand multiparity is less common with declining parity levels in the USA.

### Table 4

| Dependent variable | Number of live births | Unadjusted effect (95% CI) | Adjusted effect (95% CI) | P values |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| P-wave duration (ms) | Never pregnant | Ref. | Ref. | | P value for linear trend =0.73 |
| None (prior pregnancy, no live births) | 0.09 (−0.73 to 0.92) | 0.09 (−0.69 to 0.87) |
| 1 | −0.06 (−0.63 to 0.51) | −0.20 (−0.76 to 0.35) |
| 2–4 | −0.03 (−0.47 to 0.40) | −0.26 (−0.70 to 0.18) |
| 5+ | 0.99 (0.49 to 1.50) | −0.22 (−0.74 to 0.31) |

Effect estimates correspond to expected millisecond increase in the specified interval measure for each parity group relative to the never pregnant group. Fully adjusted models were adjusted for age, baseline BMI, baseline hypertension status, history of diabetes, income, education, race/ethnicity, region, history of breast feeding, antianxiety medication, antidepressant medication, lipid medication, duration of breast feeding, oophorectomy status, hysterectomy status, hormone use history, heart rate and QRS duration.

*\(n\) differs from main analyses due to the exclusion of women with implausible PR wave measures.

BMI, body mass index.

### Table 5

| Dependent variable | Hormone use status | Unadjusted effect (95% CI) | Adjusted effect (95% CI) | P values |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Due to the fact that there was statistically significant effect modification by HT use on the association between reproductive period and P-wave duration in linear regression models, we present the model estimates by strata of HT use | P-wave duration (ms) | Never user | 0.07 (0.03 to 0.11) | 0.09 (0.06 to 0.13) | P value for interaction=0.0009 |
| Past | −0.04 (−0.08 to 0.005) | 0.01 (−0.03 to 0.05) |
| Current | −0.03 (−0.06 to 0.004) | 0.01 (−0.02 to 0.05) |
| P-wave dispersion (ms) | Never user | 0.002 (−0.04 to 0.05) | 0.01 (−0.03 to 0.06) | P value for interaction=0.65 |
| Past | −0.03 (−0.09 to 0.02) | −0.01 (−0.06 to 0.05) |
| Current | −0.04 (−0.08 to 0.003) | −0.02 (−0.06 to 0.003) |

Effect estimates correspond to expected milliseconds increase in PR measure. These models contained an interaction term for reproductive period duration hormone use status. Fully adjusted models were adjusted for number of live births, age, baseline BMI, baseline hypertension status, history of diabetes, income, education, race/ethnicity, region, history of breast feeding, duration of breast feeding, antianxiety medication, antidepressant medication, lipid medication, oophorectomy status, hysterectomy status, hormone use history, heart rate and QRS duration.

*\(n\) differs from main analyses due to the exclusion of women with implausible PR wave measures.

BMI, body mass index; HT, hormone therapy.
Reproductive period duration and atrial conduction

The menstrual cycle consists of a relatively well-described hormone cycling in women consisting of both oestrogen and progesterone as well as testosterone production. A longer reproductive period duration reflects the cumulative exposure that a woman has to these endogenous fluctuations in sex hormone levels. Indeed, prior studies have assessed P-wave parameters throughout the menstrual cycle and noted that P-wave duration is substantially increased in the luteal phase. Among women who did report taking prior hormone therapy, we observed a very modest but significant increase in midlife PR interval and in P-wave duration. Exogenous hormone therapy use may obscure the relationship between endogenous hormone exposure from a longer reproductive period duration and P-wave parameters, which would explain our findings of effect modification by hormone therapy use. An earlier age at menarche (which would be related to increased reproductive period duration) has been associated with increased adiposity and diabetes, which in turn have been linked with increased P-wave duration and, in the case of BMI, with increased left atrial remodelling and thus may also partially underlie our findings.

Reproductive duration and decrease ventricular repolarisation time

The QTc is shortened by the action of progesterone and lengthened by oestrogen during normal menstrual cycling. The net effect of these changes during a single menstrual cycle can result in shortening of ventricular repolarisation time or QTc. This is consistent with our finding that an increased reproductive duration was modestly inversely related to QTc in WHI. Underlying these findings may be that increasing exposure to progesterone, in particular during menstrual cycling, may have cumulative and measurable effects on the midlife ECG in women.

Clinical relevance of our findings

The PR interval normally ranges from 120 to 200 ms in duration. Therefore, our finding that having five or more live births versus never having been pregnant was associated with an adjusted increase in PR interval of 1.32 ms, has modest clinical significance. For an individual with a PR interval at the upper limits of normal, 1.32 ms may be more clinically relevant in terms of the increased risks of later CVDs with PR >200 ms. The association of number of pregnancies leading to live births with QTc (with five or more pregnancies leading to live births having a 1.15 ms increase in QTc compared with nulligravid women) is similarly modest with a normal QTc ranging from ~350 to 460 ms in women. The effect sizes for reproductive duration were even more modest in size than those for P-wave indices and therefore likely have more relevance in terms of uncovering novel biological mechanisms related to cardiac electrical remodelling rather than reflecting clinically significant differences among individuals.

Strengths and limitations

The use of a well-characterised multiethnic, large data set of postmenopausal women representative of women in the USA is a strength of our study. A notable limitation is potential recall bias since the exposure variables were acquired retrospectively and some are very distant events (eg, age at menarche occurred 40–70 years in the past). We were unable to adjust for pregnancy complications such as pre-eclampsia or gestational diabetes since these were not collected. We did not adjust for smoking, physical activity and habitual consumption of alcohol and coffee which may have been related to the exposure variables but are not widely known to be related to the ECG-dependent variables studied. We studied number of pregnancies in a categorical fashion and were unable, due to data constraints, to look at number of pregnancies as a continuous variable.

Directions for future research

Future studies that disentangle specific hormonal and molecular mechanisms that underlie the association demonstrated in our study will help us better understand our study findings. Understanding which specific fertility factors alter electrical remodelling in women is an important direction for future research.

Conclusions

We found that having five or more pregnancies leading to live births compared with never having been pregnant is related to small but significant changes in atrial conduction time and ventricular repolarisation time. A longer reproductive period duration in women not exposed to exogenous hormone therapy is related to a modest increase in atrial conduction time and to a modest decrease in ventricular repolarisation. Reproductive health factors reflective of endogenous sex hormone exposure may be significant determinants of cardiac electrical remodelling in midlife.
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