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Abstract

Glaucoma is the leading cause of blindness throughout the world (after cataracts); therefore, general physicians should be familiar with the diagnosis and management of affected patients. Glaucomas are usually categorized by the anatomy of the anterior chamber angle (open vs narrow/closed), rapidity of onset (acute vs chronic), and major etiology (primary vs secondary). Most glaucomas are primary (ie, without a contributing comorbidity); however, several coexisting ophthalmic conditions may serve as the underlying etiologies of secondary glaucomas. Chronic glaucoma occurs most commonly; thus, regular eye examinations should be performed in at-risk patients to prevent the insidious loss of vision that can develop before diagnosis. Glaucoma damages the optic nerve and retinal nerve fiber layer, leading to peripheral and central visual field defects. Elevated intraocular pressure (IOP), a crucial determinant of disease progression, remains the only modifiable risk factor; thus, all current treatments (medications, lasers, and operations) aim to reduce the IOP. Pharmacotherapy is the usual first-line therapy, but noncompliance, undesirable adverse effects, and cost limit effectiveness. Laser and surgical treatments may lower IOP significantly over long periods and may be more cost effective than pharmacotherapy, but they are plagued by greater procedural risks and frequent treatment failures. Traditional incisional procedures have recently been replaced by several novel, minimally invasive glaucoma surgeries with improved safety profiles and only minimal decreases in efficacy. Minimally invasive glaucoma surgeries have dramatically transformed the surgical management of glaucoma; nevertheless, large, randomized trials are required to assess their long-term efficacy.
enlargement but normal IOP and no other signs of glaucoma are classified as glaucoma suspects.

The risk factors and pathogenesis that underly glaucoma have been well described in the literature; however, the biological basis of the disease remains incompletely understood. The biomechanical and vascular theories of glaucoma propose that elevated IOP compromises axonal integrity at the optic nerve head (ONH), which leads to ganglion cell apoptosis.5 The biomechanical theory posits that abnormally narrow scleral fenestrations at the ONH limit axoplasmic flow, whereas the vascular theory states that decreased perfusion pressure leads to hypoxia and ischemic damage of the ONH.5,7,11 Both theories include IOP as a risk factor; however, one-third of patients with glaucoma have normal IOPs (normal tension glaucoma).5 Glaucoma has been associated with Alzheimer disease12 and a loss of cognitive function,13 which suggests that neurodegeneration may contribute to the pathogenesis.5 However, despite the different pathogenetic theories, elevated IOP consistently contributes to disease progression and remains the only treatable risk factor.5,7

The goal of glaucoma treatment is to lower IOP with medications, laser procedures, and/or operation. First-line therapy is usually pharmacotherapy, with laser and surgical procedures added for further IOP reduction in eyes with inadequate initial responses. Incisional operations consist of filtration procedures (eg, trabeculectomy) or tube shunt implantation, both of which reroute aqueous humor flow past the damaged angle into the subconjunctival space forming a filtration bleb.14 Traditional incisional operations lower the IOP effectively; however, complication rates, including scar tissue proliferation, endophthalmitis, and conjunctival hemorrhage, are high. The IOP-lowering effect often decreases over time, which results in high 5-year reoperation rates (trabeculectomy, 15.1%; tube shunt implantation, 14.0%; EX-PRESS shunt, 18.3%).12-17 These high reoperation rates speak to the need for procedures that increase conventional aqueous outflow while protecting the conjunctiva from surgical manipulation. This has led to the development of several conjunctival sparing, minimally invasive glaucoma surgeries (MIGSs) for the treatment of primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG). Minimally invasive glaucoma surgeries do not reduce IOP as well as traditional filtering procedures, but they have excellent safety profiles.18

We believe that because of the expanding treatment options and increasing worldwide prevalence of glaucoma, an updated commentary on glaucoma and its treatment options is important for medical physicians. In this article, we provide a comprehensive updated review of the diagnosis and management of adult glaucoma through 2022.

METHODS
A broad literature search with no time frame was carried out in PubMed with the following key words: “glaucoma prevalence,” “glaucoma risk factors,” “glaucoma diagnosis,” “glaucoma management,” “open-angle glaucoma,” angle-closure glaucoma,” “secondary glaucoma,” “tonometry,” “glaucoma medication,” “conventional aqueous outflow,” “unconventional aqueous outflow,” “glaucoma laser procedures,” “trabeculectomy,” “glaucoma tube shunt surgery,” and “minimally invasive glaucoma surgery.” Identified articles and their references were scrutinized, and those relevant to the subject matter were selected.
DIAGNOSIS OF GLAUCOMA

Types of Glaucoma

Glaucoma may be broadly categorized as open-angle glaucoma (OAG) and angle-closure glaucoma (ACG). Primary OAG and primary ACG are seen most frequently; however, several ocular conditions cause secondary glaucomas (Table 1).

Most eyes with glaucoma have diminished conventional aqueous outflow despite a normal gonioscopic appearance of the iridocorneal angle. These OAGs are slowly progressive optic neuropathies in which ONH cupping gradually increases and peripheral visual field loss develops. The most common type of glaucoma—the POAG—affects 74% of patients with glaucoma. Outflow resistance may be modulated by hydrodynamic pore-substrate interactions within the inner wall of the Schlemm canal, and patients with POAG have been found to have reduced pore density.

Several types of secondary OAG occur much less frequently than POAG. Pigmentary glaucoma occurs when friction between the lens zonules and iris pigment epithelium releases pigment granules that lodge in the TM and increase outflow resistance. Exfoliative glaucoma, the most common form of secondary OAG, occurs when microscopic clumps of protein fibers are synthesized within the eye and clog the TM. Exfoliation material has also been found in the heart, kidney, liver, and lungs. Other forms of secondary OAG include uveitic and traumatic glaucomas, use of ocular or systemic corticosteroids, and antineoplastic drugs. Increased episcleral venous pressure due to conditions such as carotid-cavernous sinus fistulas may cause OAG.

Angle-closure glaucomas are rapidly progressive ocular neuropathies characterized by the occlusion of at least 270° of the iridocorneal angle. Angle-closure glaucomas are only one-third as common as OAGs; however, they are responsible for approximately 50% of all glaucoma-induced blindness. Primary ACG, which arises from pupillary block (appositional closure of the iridocorneal angle that results from an increasing pressure differential between the anterior and posterior chambers of the eye) or plateau iris (an anteriorly positioned ciliary body that causes contact between the iris and TM with resultant angle crowding), has a global prevalence of 0.6%. Primary ACG occurs most frequently in women, Asians, people with hypermetropic (short) eyes and people with shallow anterior chambers. Affected patients require urgent treatment (usually laser iridotomy) to reverse obstruction of the angle.

Several secondary types of ACG are seen. Neovascular glaucoma, new blood vessels that occlude the angle, may develop from central retinal vein occlusion or diabetic retinopathy and generally carries a poor visual prognosis. Phacomorphic glaucoma...
### TABLE 1. Common Glaucoma Types are Listed According to Whether the Anterior Chamber Angle is Open or Closed

| Glaucoma type                        | Clinical features                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Open-angle glaucoma                  | Normal iridocorneal angle; no iris occlusion                                                                                                         |
| Primary open angle (includes normal  | ONH degeneration and decrease of aqueous outflow with no apparent etiology                                                                         |
| tension glaucoma)                   |                                                                                                                                                   |
| Pigmentary                           | Widespread deposition of pigment within the iris and corneal endothelium                                                                        |
|                                      | Homogenous pigmentation of TM                                                                                                                     |
|                                      | Transillumination defects of iris                                                                                                                 |
| Exfoliative                          | Deposition of exfoliative, dandruff-like material onto the anterior segment structures (eg, zonules, pupillary margin, TM, anterior lens surface) |
|                                      | Accelerated visual deterioration                                                                                                                  |
| Uveitic                              | Anterior chamber inflammation; excessive elevation of IOP                                                                                         |
|                                      | Preperimetric, mild optic disk changes                                                                                                             |
| Traumatic                            | Premature cataract after blunt-force trauma                                                                                                       |
|                                      | Angle recession                                                                                                                                     |
|                                      | Hyphema                                                                                                                                            |
| Induced by steroids                  | IOP spike after the use of topical/systemic steroids                                                                                              |
|                                      | Increased production of extracellular matrix material (elastin, type IV collagen, and glycosaminoglycans)                                          |
|                                      | Frequently asymptomatic                                                                                                                         |
| Induced by antineoplastic drugs      | IOP spike after the use of taxane agents (docetaxel, paclitaxel)                                                                               |
| Induced by increased episcleral venous | Dilated episcleral veins                                                                                                                          |
| pressure                             | Resistance to antiglaucoma medications                                                                                                            |
| Angle-closure glaucoma               | Closed iridocorneal angle; iris occlusion                                                                                                         |
| Primary angle closure                | Appositional angle closure (pupillary block) or observed contact between TM and iris (plateau iris)                                                   |
| Neovascular                          | Neovascularization within the anterior segment and over the iridocorneal angle                                                                |
|                                      | Retinal ischemia                                                                                                                                  |
|                                      | Poor visual prognosis                                                                                                                            |
| Phacomorphic                         | Presence of a thick, mature cataract                                                                                                             |
| Induced by iridocorneal endothelial  | Secondary corneal edema                                                                                                                          |
| syndrome                             | Iris stroma irregularities                                                                                                                       |
|                                      | Peripheral anterior synechiae                                                                                                                     |
|                                      | Resistance to antiglaucoma medications                                                                                                            |
| Induced by iris tumor/ciliary body   | Synechial angle narrowing because of mass enlargement                                                                                            |
| tumor/Soemmering ring                | Opacification of the posterior capsule                                                                                                              |
|                                      | Pupillary block                                                                                                                                  |
| Induced by medications               | Pupillary block—induced angle closure after the use of adrenergic agonists and anticholinergic agents                                            |
|                                      | Plateau iris—induced angle closure after the use of cholinergic and sulfonamide agents                                                        |

---

*aIOP, intraocular pressure; ONH, optic nerve head; TM, trabecular meshwork.

*bCan be associated with an open or closed iridocorneal angle.
involves angle-closure because of lens intumescence (advanced cataract), and cataract removal typically leads to good visual recovery. \(^37\) Angle-closure may be caused by corneal endothelium abnormalities (eg, iridocorneal endothelium syndromes) \(^38\) or large iris or ciliary body masses. \(^39\) Several medications, including anticholinergics, may precipitate ACG in eyes with preexisting narrow angles. \(^1,40\)

Differentiating between OAG and ACG is usually done via gonioscopic examination with slit lamp viewing. \(^41\) Gonioscopy has long been the gold standard for visualizing the anterior chamber angle (ACA); however, challenges, including lens-eye contact, lack of objective measurements, a steep learning curve, and inconsistent interpretations between physicians, exist. \(^41,42\) Advanced ACA imaging techniques including swept-source optical coherence tomography (OCT), goniphotography systems, and deep learning algorithms have been developed to overcome the limitations of gonioscopy. \(^43\)

**Examination**

Approximately 50% of individuals in the resource-limited countries are unaware that they have glaucoma, underscoring the importance of patient awareness education in diagnosis and management. \(^3,44,45\) The diagnosis of glaucoma involves risk assessment, measurement of visual acuity, IOP, and corneal thickness, OCT imaging of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) and ONH, and visual field testing. Because most patients with glaucoma are asymptomatic for years, it is recommended that those with risk factors (advanced age, family history, non-White race, high IOP, and steroid use) be referred to an eye care provider for a glaucoma assessment. \(^3,5\)

Intraocular pressure needs to be monitored regularly in patients at a high risk of developing glaucoma. It is commonly measured using rebound tonometry (iCare ic100; iCare) or the “gold standard” Goldmann applanation tonometry. The iCare tonometer measures IOP-dependent rebound velocity after brief corneal contact, whereas Goldmann applanation tonometry measures the force required to flatten a 3.06-mm diameter segment of the cornea. \(^46\) Agreement in measurements is good between the 2 devices; however, the reliability of the iCare decreases at higher IOPs and with thicker central corneas. \(^47-49\) Normal IOP ranges from 11 to 21 mm Hg \(^50\); however, IOP should be evaluated with consideration of optic nerve defects and/or high central cornea values. \(^51\) Up to 50% of glaucomatous eyes have normal IOP measurements, \(^3,52\) which emphasizes the importance of performing additional diagnostic imaging when indicated.

Making the diagnosis of glaucoma, particularly at an early stage, can be difficult because there is no uniform standard for diagnosis. \(^3\) Structural changes of early glaucoma can be seen with OCT imaging of the optic nerve and macula, and functional changes in advanced glaucoma can be detected with visual field testing. Normal appearances of the ONH, RNFL, and visual field are shown in Figure 2A, C, and E, respectively. All glaucomas are defined by ONH degeneration with disc excavation (Figure 2B) and RNFL thinning (Figure 2D). \(^53\) Optic nerve head damage is characterized by thinning of the neuroretinal rim, usually in the superior and inferior quadrants, although the remainder of the ONH may remain pink with a normal neuroretinal rim. \(^3,53\) Glaucomatous damage leads to retinal ganglion cell apoptosis, which can be seen as thinning between the internal limiting membrane and ganglion cell layer on OCT. \(^53\) As glaucoma progresses, ONH and RNFL abnormalities cause visual field defects (Figure 2F). Visual field defects are often not observed in the early stages of glaucoma because peripheral vision and Snellen visual acuity are preserved until RNFL damage reaches an advanced stage. \(^51\)

A general correlation between OCT imaging and visual field examination can be observed; however, there is no widely accepted method for comparing the two, \(^54\) and diagnosing glaucoma is ultimately up to the discretion of the physician. Once glaucoma has been diagnosed, its severity must be categorized—typically as mild, moderate, or severe. Because all glaucoma types present with structural damage, most classification systems grade severity on the basis of functional visual field abnormalities. Most recently (2015), the *International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision*, released a grading system that associates mild glaucoma with a general...
absence of visual field defects, moderate glaucoma with visual field abnormalities in 1 hemifield (but outside 5° of fixation), and severe glaucoma with abnormalities in both hemifields and visual field loss within 5° of fixation.55

**MANAGEMENT OF GLAUCOMA**

**Medical Therapy**

Guidelines from the American Academy of Ophthalmology Preferred Practice Pattern (2020) state that an initial IOP reduction of 20%-30% is a suitable goal to slow disease progression, even in eyes with normal tension glaucoma.56 The IOP must be carefully monitored during each follow-up visit, and the IOP control goal should be lowered further if progression continues.56

Intraocular pressure—lowering medications have been the first-line therapy for most patients with glaucoma for several decades (Table 2). Pharmacotherapy for glaucoma has evolved significantly over the past several decades with the introduction of topical carbonic anhydrase inhibitors (CAIs), beta blockers, prostaglandin analogs, and alpha agonists.57 These medications have greater effectiveness and more favorable safety profiles than the older topical (pilocarpine) and systemic (oral CAIs) treatments.57 In accordance with the generally accepted pharmacotherapy principles, the desired IOP range should be achieved with the fewest medications and least adverse effects.5 Because of their tendency to induce glaucoma, ocular and systemic corticosteroids should be administered with caution in at-risk patients.29

Prostaglandin analogs (PGAs) are the most commonly used medications for the treatment of OAG and ocular hypertension. Prostaglandin analogs compensate for decreased TM outflow by increasing outflow through the uveoscleral pathway,58 where aqueous humor moves through the ciliary muscle into the supraciliary and suprachoroidal spaces.59 Prostaglandin analogs are administered once daily, are well tolerated, and have limited systemic adverse effects.5,58 The main ocular adverse effects are eyelash growth, iris pigmentation, and uveitis.60 Because most PGAs do not target the primary outflow pathway (TM), concerns have been raised about their long-term efficacy.57 The recently approved latanoprostene bunod 0.024% may target the TM rather than the uveoscleral pathway,57,60 and compared with timolol 0.5% over 3 months of follow-up, it has superior IOP-lowering efficacy and a comparable safety profile.57,61,62 Prostaglandin analogs are a significant improvement over cholinergic agonists (such as pilocarpine), which induce miosis and increase conventional outflow by decreasing outflow resistance.63 Pilocarpine, a mainstay of glaucoma treatment in the 1970s and 1980s, needed to be administered 4 times per day, a difficult regimen to maintain, which contributed to its being supplanted by beta blockers and PGAs.3

Both CAIs and beta blockers lower the IOP by targeting the aqueous humor production in the ciliary body. After topical administration, CAIs penetrate the cornea and reach the ciliary body epithelium, where they reduce the production of bicarbonate ions.64 The CAIs (dorzolamide 2% and brinzolamide 1%) are administered 2 or 3 times daily,64 but they are generally less effective than PGAs and beta blockers, which limits their use as first-line therapy. Systemic CAIs (methazolamide and acetazolamide) are highly effective, which makes them useful in the treatment of ACG; however, their use is limited by their high incidence of adverse effects that cause 50% of patients to become intolerant after 1 month.

Beta adrenergic antagonists (beta blockers) block the sympathetic nerve endings in the ciliary body epithelium, which decreases the production of aqueous.65 Beta blockers may be nonselective or cardioselective (β1 selective), the latter of which is well tolerated in patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.65 The advantages of beta blockers include their relatively low cost and once-daily administration.3,5 Topically administered beta blockers enter the venous circulation but escape the first-pass metabolism in the liver, which predisposes the patient to pulmonary (bronchial constriction) and cardiac (arrhythmias) disturbances.5,56 Systemic absorption can be lessened by eyelid closure or gentle punctal occlusion for 2 minutes after topical administration.3

Topical alpha-adrenergic agonists (brimonidine and iopidine) reduce the IOP by
decreasing the aqueous humor production and increasing the outflow. They are administered 2 or 3 times daily and are usually used as second-line agents in combination with other drugs. A retrospective study found that combination treatment (CAI+PGA) was more prevalent in everyday practice than alpha-2 agonists + PGA, suggesting that the administration of alpha-2 agonists may be accompanied by more adverse effects.

Rho kinase inhibitors are a recently introduced medication class that uses a combined mechanism of increasing the conventional outflow and decreasing the episcleral venous pressure. Netarsudil 0.02%, a rho kinase inhibitor approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in 2017, has IOP-lowering efficacy comparable with that of timolol 0.5%, but with more frequent adverse effects.

Pharmacotherapy is an effective short-term treatment strategy; however, limitations to long-term use include cost, adverse effects, and failure to reach the target IOP. Nonadherence to the administration schedule is another significant issue because fewer than half of...
| Class                        | Medications                      | Adverse effects                             | Contraindications                                           |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Prostaglandin analogs       | Bimatoprost, Latanoprost, Tafluprost, Travoprost, Unoprostone, Latanoprostene Bunod | Eyelash growth, Iris darkening, Keratitis, Conjunctival keratitis, Uveitis | Hypersensitivity to ingredients                              |
| Cholinergic agonists        | Pilocarpine, Carbachol           | Myopia, Angle closure, Cataract, Retinal detachment | Miosis, Bradycardia, Retinal detachment, Asthma, Inflammatory eye disease |
| Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors | First generation (systemic): Acetazolamide, Methazolamide, Dichlorphenamide | First generation (systemic): Renal calculi, Stevens-Johnson syndrome | Allergy to sufa-containing medications (both), Adrenal insufficiency, metabolic acidosis (systemic inhibitors only), Sickle cell disease (topical inhibitors only) |
|                            | Second generation (topical): Brinzolamide, Dorzolamide | Second generation (topical): Corneal edema, Metallic taste | |
| Beta adrenergic antagonists | Nonselective: Carboeol, Levobunolol, Metipranolol, Timolol | Congestive heart failure, Exercise intolerance, Hypotension, Bronchospasm, Bradycardia | Cardiovascular disease, Asthma, Diabetes mellitus, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease |
|                            | β1-selective: Betaxolol          |                                             |                                                             |
| Alpha adrenergic agonists   | Apraclonidine, Brimonidine       | Hypotension, Fatigue, Allergic conjunctivitis | Monoamine oxidase inhibitor therapy                          |
| Rho kinase inhibitors       | Netarsudil                       | Keratitis, Conjunctival hemorrhage, Corneal verticillata | None                                                        |
| Hyperosmotic agents         | Glycerol, Mannitol, Isosorbide   | Congestive heart failure, Renal failure, Nausea, Vomiting, Headache | Cardiovascular disease, Renal failure                        |

*Common antiglaucoma medications decrease the intraocular pressure by decreasing aqueous humor production or increasing outflow.*
the patients with glaucoma regularly use anti-
glaucoma medications as prescribed after 1
year.5,71

**Laser Therapy**

When pharmacotherapy fails to achieve the
target IOP and prevent vision loss, laser
and surgical procedures are indicated. Laser
procedures effectively lower the IOP and
minimize the long-term costs that are associ-
ated with the long-term use of multiple
pressure-lowering medications.5 A variety
of laser procedures can be performed in
glaucomatous eyes, with the procedure of
choice depending on the etiology of the dis-
ease (Table 3).

Laser trabeculoplasty and ab-interno exci-
mer trabeculostomy (Glautec AG) are both
indicated for OAG that is refractory to phar-
macotherapy. Laser trabeculoplasty—multiple
spots of thermal laser applied directly to the
TM—induces favorable structural changes
that increase the aqueous humor out-
flow.72 Argon laser trabeculoplasty, developed in
1979, uses a with a blue-green continuous-
wave laser (488 and 514 nm) to disrupt the
TM, whereas selective laser trabeculoplasty
(SLT), developed in 1995, uses low energy,
brief duration, large spots from a green,
frequency-doubled laser to target melanin-
containing cells and spare the TM tissue.73

Selective laser trabeculoplasty has largely sup-
planted argon laser trabeculoplasty because of
its favorable safety profile, comparable IOP-
lowering efficacy, and ability for repeated
treatment applications.74 More recently intro-
duced laser trabeculoplasty procedures include
titanium-sapphire laser trabecu-
plasty and pattern scanning trabeculoplasty.
Limited short-term data suggest that both the
procedures have efficacy and safety profiles
similar to that of SLT.74 Laser trabeculoplasty
procedures are generally preferred over opera-
tions because they are less invasive and
possess better safety profiles.3,74 Ab-interno exci-
mer trabeculostomy is a MIGS similar to
laser trabeculoplasty that uses a 308-nm
XeCl excimer laser to create microperforations
in the TM and inner wall of the Schlemm
canal.75 Excimer trabeculostomy has a compara-
able safety profile and IOP-lowering efficacy
similar to SLT over 2 years.75

Patients with ACG require different laser
procedures from those with OAG. A laser pe-
ripheral iridotomy creates a hole in the pe-
ripheral iris and is often performed to
eliminate pupillary block,76 whereas a laser
peripheral iridoplasty uses low-power laser
burns to relieve appositional angle closure
(by shrinking the peripheral iris) in cases
where laser peripheral iridotomy is ineffect-
ive.77 When combined, both treatments
have been shown to be safe and effective in
lowering the IOP in eyes with acute primary
ACG refractory to pharmacotherapy.76 For
eyes refractory to all other medical, surgical,
and laser therapies, a series of cyclodestruc-
tive procedures that damage the ciliary body
epithelium and decrease the IOP by reducing
the aqueous humor secretion may be the final
treatment option.79 These procedures consist of
endoscopic cytophotocoagulation (Endo
Optiks), continuous-wave diode laser (IRI-
DEX Corp), or the newest alternative, Micro-
Pulse transscleral laser therapy (IRIDEX
Corp), which selectively targets the pig-
mented tissue of the ciliary body epithe-
lium.79 Cyclodestructive procedures are also
useful for the secondary forms of glaucoma,
such as uveitic, traumatic, or neovascular
glaucoma; however, these procedures come
have considerable risks and are particularly
difficult to titrate.79

**Surgical Treatment**

Operations are usually performed when med-
ical and laser treatments have failed to achieve
adequate IOP reduction. Surgical options
consist of the traditional, bleb-based IOP-
lowering operations (trabeculectomy and
tube shunt implantation) and the newer,
conjunctiva-sparing MIGSs (Table 4). Bleb-
based operations can effectively lower IOP;
however, they may develop bleb-related com-
lications and may have high reoperation
rates. As a result, the current role of traditional
procedures in the era of evolving MIGSs is un-
clear. Surgeons’ perspectives are changing80; a
recent practice preferences survey from the
American Glaucoma Society (2017) found
that trabeculectomy has fallen out of favor,
with tube shunt implantation reported as the
preferred incisional surgical treatment in 7 of
8 surgical centers.81 When prospective MIGS
trials are completed, the pendulum may swing in favor of MIGSs.80

### Trabecular Outflow Resistance

The juxtacanalicular tissue within the TM is the primary source of outflow resistance in eyes with POAG, with the inner wall of the Schlemm canal serving as an additional line of resistance.82-84 To improve the aqueous outflow and lower the IOP, surgeons bypass the TM by directing the aqueous flow directly into the Schlemm canal or by rerouting the fluid from the anterior chamber into the subconjunctival space.

### Traditional Incisional Operations

Trabeculectomy—the “gold standard” surgical glaucoma procedure for several decades—is the creation of a partial thickness scleral flap with excision of a segment of TM to create an alternate drainage route from the anterior chamber to the subconjunctival space.85,86 Trabeculectomy can produce outstanding IOP control, particularly in eyes where an IOP near the low teens is targeted to slow glaucoma progression.87,88 Trabeculectomy may be performed together with cataract extraction (CE) and/or administration of mitomycin C (MMC) on the surface of the sclera to prevent postoperative conjunctival fibrosis.89

**TABLE 3. Laser Procedures for the Treatment of Glaucoma**

| Laser procedure | Preferred use | Pros | Cons |
|-----------------|---------------|------|------|
| Laser trabeculoplasty | Open-angle glaucoma | • Performed in-office | • Decrease in efficacy over time |
| • Argon laser trabeculoplasty | | • Minimally invasive | • May cause transient IOP spikes and anterior uveitis |
| • Selective laser trabeculoplasty | | • Newer methods protect the TM tissue | |
| • MicroPulse laser trabeculoplasty | | | |
| • Titanium-sapphire laser trabeculoplasty | | | |
| • Pattern scanning trabeculoplasty | | | |
| Excimer laser trabeculostomy | Open-angle glaucoma | • Performed in-office | • Not sufficient to relieve the angle closure caused by multiple mechanisms |
| | | • Minimally invasive | • May promote cataract progression |
| | | • Minimizes tissue fibrosis | |
| Laser peripheral iridotomy | Angle-closure glaucoma (pupillary block) | • Performed in-office | • May cause atrophic iris scarring and loss of visual acuity |
| | | • Highly effective in the treatment of pupil block —induced angle closure | • May develop Urets-Zavalia syndrome |
| Laser peripheral iridoplasty | Angle-closure glaucoma (plateau iris) | • Performed in-office | • May cause atrophic iris scarring and loss of visual acuity |
| | | • Can relieve appositional angle closure after an LPI | • May develop Urets-Zavalia syndrome |
| | | • Effective in the treatment of angle closure caused by multiple mechanisms | |
| Cyclodestructive procedures | Glaucoma refractory to surgical treatment | • High IOP-reducing efficacy from mechanism targeting ciliary body | • Associated with a series of complications (hyphema, macular edema, mydriasis, decrease in visual acuity, keratitis, etc) |
| • Endoscopic cyclophotocoagulation | | | • May require multiple treatments |
| • Continuous-wave diode transscleral laser | | | • Performed in the operating room |
| • MicroPulse diode transscleral laser therapy | | | |

IOP, intraocular pressure; LPI, laser peripheral iridotomy; TM, trabecular meshwork.
| Procedure                          | Type                     | Pros                                                                                   | Cons                                                                                   |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Trabeculectomy                    | Incisional operation     | • Excellent IOP control                                                                | • Bleb-related complications                                                            |
|                                   | Antimetabolite-associated| • Can adjust the rate of fluid flow                                                    |                                                                                        |
| Ex-PRESS mini shunt operation     | Incisional operation     | • Favorable safety profile to trabeculectomy                                           | • Bleb-related complications                                                            |
|                                   |                          | • Minimal IOP fluctuations                                                             | • High incidence of erosion, displacement, and hypotony                                 |
| Valved drainage implants           | Incisional operation     | • Immediate IOP reduction                                                               | • Bleb-related complications                                                            |
| • Ahmed FP7 valve                  |                          | • Valve reduces hypotony-associated complications during early postoperative period     | • Malfunctioning of the valve may result in hypotony                                    |
| • Ahmed FP8 valve                  |                          |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |
| • Pars plana Ahmed                 |                          |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |
| Nonvalved drainage implants        | Incisional operation     | • Greater surface area promotes sustained reduction of IOP                            | • Bleb-related complications                                                            |
| • Molteno glaucoma drainage device |                          |                                                                                        | • Delayed encapsulation and high incidence of hypotony in older Molteno and Baerveldt   |
| • Baerveldt glaucoma implant       |                          |                                                                                        | models                                                                                 |
| • Ahmed ClearPath drainage device  |                          |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |
| • PAUL glaucoma implant            |                          |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |
| Trabecular bypass                 | MIGSs targeting the trabecular outflow pathway | • Low risk of hypotony                                                                 | • Does not achieve IOP reduction comparable to trabeculectomy                         |
| • iStent                          |                          | • Favorable safety profile                                                              | • Not suitable for severe glaucoma                                                       |
| • iStent inject                    |                          | • Effective for mild and moderate glaucoma                                              | • High risk of fibrosis                                                                |
| • iStent inject W                  |                          |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |
| • Hydrus Microstent                |                          |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |
| Canaloplast                       | MIGSs targeting the trabecular outflow pathway | • Low complications rates                                                               | • Generally not suitable for severe glaucoma                                           |
| • Ab-externo canaloplasty without tensioning suture |                          | • ABC: safer and easier than ab-externo approach                                         |                                                                                        |
| • Ab-externo canaloplasty with tensioning suture |                          |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |
| • ABC                              |                          |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |
| Ab-interno trabeculotomy; goniotomy | MIGSs targeting the trabecular outflow pathway | • Goniotomy: clean excision of TM limits fibrosis and closure                           |                                                                                        |
| • Trabectome                       |                          |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |
| • Goniotome                        |                          |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |
| • Gonioscopy assisted transluminal trabeculotomy |                      |                                                                                        |                                                                                        |

Continued on next page
| Procedure                | Type                              | Pros                                                                 | Cons                                                                 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| iAccess (Glaukos)        | MIGSs targeting the trabecular outflow pathway | Targets all 3 points of outflow resistance (TM, Schlemm canal, collector channels) |                                                                      |
| Kahook Dual Blade goniotomy | MIGSs targeting the trabecular outflow pathway | Ease of use                                                          | No long-term efficacy                                                 |
| Kahook Dual Blade Glide  | MIGSs targeting the trabecular outflow pathway | Combined TM excision and delivery of viscoelastic promotes high IOP reduction | Potential risk of fibrosis                                           |
| Trabeculotomy/viscodilation | MIGSs targeting the trabecular outflow pathway | Greater IOP-lowering efficacy than angle-based MIGS                  | Bleb-related complications                                           |
| OMNI Surgical System     | MIGSs targeting the trabecular outflow pathway | Suitable for severe glaucoma                                         | Subconjunctival fibrosis                                             |
| Goniotomy/viscodilation  | MIGSs targeting the trabecular outflow pathway | Greater IOP-lowering efficacy than angle-based MIGS                  | High risk of transient IOP spikes and fibrosis                       |
| STREAMLINE Surgical System | MIGSs targeting the subconjunctival space |                                                                        |                                                                      |
| Ab-interno subconjunctival implant | MIGSs targeting the subconjunctival space |                                                                        |                                                                      |
| XEN45 gel stent          | MIGSs targeting the subconjunctival space |                                                                        |                                                                      |
| PRESERFLO microshunt     | MIGSs targeting the subconjunctival space |                                                                        |                                                                      |
| Ab-interno suprachoroidal implant | MIGSs targeting the suprachoroidal space | Greater IOP-lowering efficacy than angle-based MIGS                  | High risk of transient IOP spikes and fibrosis                       |
| CyPass MicroStent (withdrawn) | MIGSs targeting the suprachoroidal space |                                                                        |                                                                      |
| iStent SUPRAc glaucoma implant | MIGSs targeting the suprachoroidal space |                                                                        |                                                                      |
| MINject                  | MIGSs targeting the suprachoroidal space |                                                                        |                                                                      |
| STARfo glaucoma implant  | MIGSs targeting the suprachoroidal space |                                                                        |                                                                      |
| SOLX gold shunt (SOLX, Inc) | MIGSs targeting the suprachoroidal space |                                                                        |                                                                      |

aIOP, intraocular pressure; MIGS, minimally invasive glaucoma surgery; TM, trabecular meshwork.
bProcedures have been divided into traditional filtration operations (creation of a scleral flap and filtration bleb) and newly emerging microinvasive glaucoma operations.
cStill in development.
Trab-MMC alone, trab-MMC+CE, and trab-MMC in pseudophakic eyes were found to produce comparable IOP reductions and success rates after 5 years; however, other studies have found lower success rates with trab-MMC in pseudophakic eyes, probably because of postoperative inflammation after CE.

Tube shunt implantation, an alternative to trabeculectomy, has gained popularity in recent years. The implantation of tube shunts, often referred to as glaucoma drainage devices (GDDs), creates a permanent sclerostomy to drain the aqueous humor into the subconjunctival space. The advantages of GDDs over trabeculectomy include decreased conjunctival scarring (by diverting aqueous drainage to the equatorial region of the eye and away from the limbus) and the formation of a permanent bleb (plate tube). Most GDD designs are modeled after the early Molteno implant and may be valved (promotes unidirectional flow) or nonvalved (passive-acting). The Ahmed Baerveldt Comparison and Ahmed Versus Baerveldt studies compared the safety and efficacy of the valveless Baerveldt 350-mm$^2$ GDD (Johnson & Johnson) to that of the valved Ahmed-FP7 GDD (New World Medical Inc). Both devices were effective in reducing the IOP and the need for IOP-lowering medications, although a favorable IOP decrease, medication burden reduction, and safety profile (but with a higher incidence of hypotony) were seen with the valveless Baerveldt 350-mm$^2$ GDD at 5 years. Recent advancements in valveless GDD operation include the development of the Ahmed ClearPath GDD (New World Medical Inc) and PAUL glaucoma implant (PGI; Advanced Ophthalmic Innovations). The Ahmed ClearPath GDD has several unique design features, such as a flexible, low-lying plate with anterior suture points to increase the ease of implantation, and a prethreaded 4-0 polypropylene ripcord to mitigate the risk of hypotony that has been reported in other GDD studies. The PGI GDD has a smaller plate that occupies less space in the ACA and a relatively large endplate surface area through which the aqueous humor can be absorbed. Early outcome data with the Ahmed ClearPath GDD and PGI found mean IOP reductions of 43% and 51.6% at 6 months, respectively.

Both trabeculectomy and GDD implantation are effective treatment options for refractory glaucoma—eyes with poor results after both pharmacotherapy and laser. A 3-year comparison of trabeculectomy and tube shunt operation found that both techniques effectively lower the IOP (trabeculectomy: 49.5%; tube: 41.4%), with the tube group having a better safety profile. In surgically naive eyes with refractory glaucoma, the Primary Tube vs Trabeculectomy study found trabeculectomy to be superior, whereas the Tube vs Trabeculectomy study reported similar outcomes in both groups at 5 years postoperatively in eyes that were not surgically naive; however, eyes in the tube group had lower failure and reoperation rates. Frequent complications within the early postoperative period included choroidal effusion (Tube, 14%; Trab, 13%) and shallow anterior chamber (Tube, 10%; Trab, 10%), and late postoperative complications included persistent corneal edema (Tube, 16%; Trab, 9%) and bleb encapsulation (Tube, 2%; Trab, 6%). Many of the eyes needed postoperative interventions (Tube: 25%, Trab: 70%). Craven et al estimated that 25% of patients treated with trabeculectomy or a tube shunt needed additional interventions to address surgical failure.

**Minimally Invasive Glaucoma Surgeries**

The potential complications and surgical failures seen with traditional incisional operations speak to the need for better procedures for mild-to-moderate glaucoma that are minimally invasive yet durable. This has led to the introduction of MIGSs, which have revolutionized glaucoma care over the past decade. This group of novel procedures may sufficiently lower the IOP to delay or minimize the need for traditional incisional procedures, and they are more suitable for patients with mild-to-moderate glaucoma. Minimally invasive glaucoma surgeries can be performed together with cataract operation, which makes them a valuable option for glaucomatous eyes with advanced cataracts (from aging, phacomorphic glaucoma, traumatic glaucoma, etc). Unlike the
traditional filtration procedures, MIGSs are relatively simple to perform because they require surgical skills similar to those required for modern-day cataract surgery, and they can be performed by cataract surgeons who are not glaucoma fellowship trained. Minimally invasive glaucoma surgeries have favorable safety profiles and are less invasive than traditional incisional operations. One of the management challenges with performing MIGSs lies in whether to bypass or enhance the conventional aqueous outflow because the currently available MIGS devices target 1 of the 3 pressure-lowering mechanisms: (1) the trabecular outflow pathway, referring to “angle-based” MIGSs that reroute the aqueous flow toward the Schlemm canal; (2) the subconjunctival space, referring to MIGSs that create a drainage pathway, diverting the aqueous humor to the subconjunctival space; (3) the suprachoroidal space, referring to MIGSs that increase the uveoscleral pathway outflow and divert the aqueous flow toward the suprachoroidal space.

**MIGSs Targeting the Trabecular Outflow Pathway**

Approximately 50%-75% of the outflow resistance lies within the TM and the inner wall of the Schlemm canal, whereas the remainder resides within the Schlemm canal and its distal collector channels. This identifies the conventional outflow pathway as an attractive first target for the treatment of glaucoma. Angle-based MIGSs take advantage of the lower resistance within the Schlemm canal and divert the aqueous flow to the canal, thereby bypassing most of the outflow resistance. Despite this, however, a significant proportion of outflow resistance remains, thereby making these procedures unsuitable for patients with severe glaucoma who require significant IOP reduction. Minimally invasive glaucoma surgeries that target the trabecular outflow pathway fall within the categories of trabecular bypass implant, ab-interno canaloplasty, ab-interno trabeculotomy (AIT), goniotomy, and the more recently introduced combined goniotomy/viscodilation and trabeculotomy/viscodilation procedures.

The iStent (Glaukos Corporation), the first trabecular bypass implant, has produced excellent results when implanted into glaucomatous eyes that are well-controlled on 1 IOP-lowering medication. Additional IOP lowering is observed when placing more than 1 stent, which led to the development of the iStent inject and iStent inject W. A study comparing the early outcomes of the iStent and iStent inject reported favorable IOP (iStent, 4.3%; iStent inject, 19.1%) and medication reduction results (iStent, 72.2%; iStent inject, 94.1%) in the iStent inject group at 12 months, with a similar safety profile observed in both the groups. Ab-interno canaloplasty is typically performed with the iTrack microcatheter (Nova Eye Medical), and a retrospective comparison with ab externo canaloplasty (iTrack with a 9-0 prolene tensioning suture) found comparable safety and efficacy. Ab-interno trabeculotomy and goniotomy procedures bring the anterior chamber, Schlemm canal, and distal collector channels into direct communication through the disruption or partial excision of the TM. The Trabectome (Neomedix), a long-standing AIT procedure, uses electrocauterization to ablate the TM and has been documented to safely and effectively reduce the IOP. Recent advancements in excisional goniotomy include the Kahook Dual Blade (KDB; New World Medical) and KDB Glide (New World Medical) devices. Although limited data on KDB Glide exist within the literature, several studies of KDB have shown that it has a favorable safety profile and similar effectiveness to AIT procedures.

Angle-based MIGS procedures are easy to perform and have favorable safety profiles, but compared with traditional trabeculectomy, they have more limited abilities to lower IOP. Distal outflow (collector channels and episcleral veins), which is often overlooked in the treatment of glaucoma, may play a pivotal role in IOP control and is unaffected by canalicular-based MIGS procedures. Studies with bovine and monkey eyes have found that collector channels may alter the pressure distribution within the Schlemm canal, suggesting that the aqueous outflow may depend on the location of these distal elements. Resistance within the Schlemm canal and the collector channels...
appears to limit the outflow increase of trabecular bypass procedures to 13%-26% and IOP reduction to the mid-teens, but a greater pressure decrease is expected if a moderate dilation of the Schlemm canal and the collector channels is achieved.\textsuperscript{84,113,114} Goniotomy and trabeculotomy may be performed concurrently with the implantation of an ophthalmic visco-surgical device (STREAMLINE Surgical Systems, New World Medical; OMNI360 Surgical Systems, Sight Sciences) to the Schlemm canal to reduce the distal outflow resistance and promote further IOP reduction.\textsuperscript{84,113,114} Interim analyses of the STREAMLINE and OMNI trials have shown effective, sustained IOP reductions and meaningful medication reductions at 6 and 12 months, respectively.\textsuperscript{115,116}

\textbf{MIGSs Targeting the Subconjunctival Space}

Minimally invasive glaucoma surgeries devices within this category work similarly to trabeculectomy by diverting the aqueous humor flow directly into the subconjunctival space.\textsuperscript{100} The main disadvantage of this strategy is the potential for subconjunctival fibrosis, which for trabeculectomy may be prevented by the intraoperative application of MMC.\textsuperscript{105} Subconjunctival MIGS devices, which are designed based on the Hagen-Poiseuille equation, include the ab-internally implanted XEN45 gel stent (Allergan) and the ab-externally implanted PRESERFLO microshunt (Santen). Both devices produce comparable safety profiles, IOP reductions, and overall surgical success at 2 years.\textsuperscript{117} The analysis of both implantation approaches with an experimental microfluidic system found higher outflow resistance and less predictable bleb formation with ab-interno implantation. This may affect the long-term IOP control and could direct the development of future subconjunctival-based MIGS devices.\textsuperscript{118}

\textbf{MIGSs Targeting the Suprachoroidal Space}

The third category of MIGSs aims to increase the uveoscleral outflow.\textsuperscript{100} The uveoscleral pathway is not limited by the pressure “floor” formed by episcleral venous pressure; thus, diverting the aqueous humor into the suprachoroidal space could have a greater lower IOP capacity.\textsuperscript{119} Unfortunately, current studies have yet to realize such results. After the recall of CyPass (Alcon) in 2018 because of corneal endothelial cell loss from malpositioned devices, most suprachoroidal MIGSs are still under investigation.\textsuperscript{119} A review of recent studies indicates favorable safety profiles and effective short-term IOP reductions to the mid-teens with the iStent SUPRA (Glaukos Corporation), STARflo (iSTAR Medical), and gold implant (SOLX, Inc). Longer follow-ups and more robust trial designs are still required for the US Food and Drug Administration approval of suprachoroidal MIGSs,\textsuperscript{120} and long-term efficacy may be limited by fibroblast migration and proliferation.\textsuperscript{121}

\textbf{CONCLUSION}

The pathogenesis of glaucoma is multifactorial and incompletely understood, and diagnosis methods and management strategies are constantly being improved. Treatment outcomes, safety profiles, and recovery times have improved with the introduction of MIGSs. Future work should aim to develop MIGS devices with greater IOP-lowering capabilities than traditional incisional operations.
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