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Abstract
In medical domain, given a medical question, it is difficult to manually select the most relevant information from a large number of search results. BioNLP 2019 proposes Question Answering (QA) task, which encourages the use of text mining technology to automatically judge whether a search result is an answer to the medical question. The main challenge of QA task is how to mine the semantic relation between question and answer. We propose BioBERT Transformer model to tackle this challenge, which applies Transformers to extract semantic relation between different words in questions and answers. Furthermore, BioBERT is utilized to encode medical domain-specific contextualized word representations. Our method has reached the accuracy of 76.24% and spearman of 17.12% on the BioNLP 2019 QA task.

1 Introduction
In medical field, the professional vocabulary is large and the semantics are complex, which makes manually selecting answers to a medical question from search results time consuming. The question answering (QA) task proposed by BioNLP 2019 (BEN ABACHA et al., 2019) aims to automatically extract answers to a medical question by using text mining technology. This task consists of two objectives: one is to determine whether each candidate answer can be used as the correct answer to a question, and the other is to rank the retrieved answers according to the relevance to a question.

The nature of QA task is to match the meaning rather than only match words between question and answer sentences. Several QA approaches based on syntax information have been developed to match the meaning between question and answer. Wang et.al. (2007) propose a statistical syntax-based model that softly aligns a question sentence with a candidate answer sentence. Tymoshenko and Moschitti (2015) encode semantic knowledge directly into syntactic tree representations of a pair of questions and answers for answers ranking. However, all these models rely on dependency parsers, suffering from error propagation.

Neural network-based methods can automatically learn the inherent semantic features and have achieved good performance on QA task. Wang and Nyberg (2017) employ an attentional encoder-decoder model based on long short-term memory (LSTM) (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997) for answer ranking, and their model achieves the best performance of 63.7% average score on the TREC LiveQA 2017 challenge (Agichtein et al., 2017). Yang et al. (2017) use a convolutional neural network (CNN) model to classify a question into a restricted set of 10 question types and crawl relevant online web pages to find the answers. However, all the models described above neglect the long range dependency between words in question and answer, limiting their capacity when question and answer sequences are long.

Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) is a model based entirely on attention mechanisms and has achieved success on several natural language processing (NLP) tasks, such as machine translation (Vaswani et al., 2017) and language understanding (Devlin et al., 2018). Transformer uses multi-head attention mechanisms to effectively capture the long-range dependency
information in context sequences, which is vital for question answering task.

Recently, language models (LM) based on large-scale corpus pre-training have made great progress in several NLP tasks, such as machine translation and natural language inference (NLI). ELMo (Peters et al., 2018) learns two unidirectional LMs based on LSTM networks which is able to capture both sub-word information and contextual clues. OpenAI GPT (Radford et al., 2018) uses a left-to-right Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017), which introduces minimal task-specific parameters and is trained on the downstream tasks by simply fine-tuning the pre-trained parameters. The major limitation of pre-trained model above is that they are unidirectional, which limits the choice of architectures that can be used during pre-training. BERT (Devlin et al., 2018) employs a bidirectional Transformer encoder to fuse both the left and the right context and can explicitly model the relationship of a pair of text. Thus, it can make progress in paired NLP tasks, such as NLI and QA. Based on the BERT architecture, BioBERT (Lee et al., 2019) is a domain-specific language representation model pre-trained on large-scale biomedical corpora and effectively transfers the knowledge from biomedical texts to biomedical text mining models.

Corpus of QA task proposed by BioNLP 2019 contains answers with long text, which requires models to capture the long range dependency information across words in both question and answer sentences. Thus, we propose BioBERT Transformer (BBERT-T) model based on Transformer to model the associations between question and answer. Specifically, question and answer sequences are first passed to BioBERT to generate medical domain-specific contextualized representations. Then, the question and answer representations are fed into two Transformers, respectively, to capture the long range dependency information and semantic relation between question and answer. Finally, a weighted cross entropy loss is applied to further improve the performance. Our method achieves accuracy of 76.24% and spearman of 17.12% on the BioNLP 2019 QA task.

2 System Description

In MEDIQA2019 medical Question Answering (QA) task, given a question \( q \) and \( n_q \) candidate answers \( \{a^1, a^2, ..., a^n\} \), we need build model to rank all candidate answers and to recognize correct answers to the question. Let \( T \) be the set of all the question-answer pairs. For each question-answer pair \((q,a)\), we use BioBERT to encode the contextual information, which improves the model generalization capability. Then we propose two Transformers to learn the long range dependency information between words in question and answer, respectively. In this section, we introduce our approach for QA task in two steps: (1) the preprocessing of the corpus; (2) the structure of the model.

2.1 Preprocessing

Firstly, we lowercase all the questions and answers. Then, following (Fajcik et al., 2019), for each text of questions and answers, we use the tokenizer, that comes from Hugging Face PyTorch re-implementation of BERT¹, to split input words into most frequent \( n \)-grams in the pre-training corpus, effectively representing text at the sub-word level. Next, following (Vaswani et al., 2017), \((q,a)\) pair sequences are truncated to have at most 300 tokens. At last, we use the truncated pair sequence \([q_1, q_2, ..., q_l_q, a_1, a_2, ..., a_{l_a}]\) as input, where \( l_q \) is the length of question, \( l_a \) is the length of a candidate answer and \( l_q + l_a \leq 300 \).

2.2 BioBERT Transformer Model (BBERT-T)

Structure of the proposed model is shown in Figure 1, which is composed of three layers: (1) BioBERT layer; (2) Transformer layer; (3) classification and ranking layer. Take the question-answer pair sequence \([q_1, q_2, ..., q_{l_q}, a_1, a_2, ..., a_{l_a}]\) as input to the BioBERT layer, achieving the question representation and answer representation. Then the two representations are fed to Transformer layer to extract the long range dependency information between words in question and answer, respectively. Finally, the outputs of Transformer layer are passed to a max pooling layer to generate features used to perform classification and ranking.

¹ https://github.com/huggingface/pytorch-pretrained-BERT
The details of our model are described in the following subsections.

BioBERT layer: BioBERT (Lee et al., 2019) has achieved good performance after fine-tuning in several biomedicine NLP tasks. Therefore, we use the BioBERT to encode the question-answer pair sequence, which improves the model generalization capability. Following (Vaswani et al., 2017), given a question-answer pair sequence \([q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m]\), we add [CLS] token as the first token to the sequence and separate question and answer sequence with [SEP] token to get the input sequence, i.e. \([CLS, q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m, SEP]\). BioBERT is used to encode the input sequence and the final layer output is used as the contextualized representation of the question-answer pair \(H = [h_{CLS}^{Q}, h_{SEP}^{Q}, h_{CLS}^{K}, h_{SEP}^{K}, h_{CLS}^{V}, h_{SEP}^{V}] \in \mathbb{R}^{exd}\), where \(n = l_q + l_a + 3\) and \(d\) is the hidden layer dimension. Representations of questions and answers and the \(h_{CLS}\) will be used as inputs to the Transformer layer and the classification and ranking layer, respectively, which are described in following subsections. Note that all parameters of the BioBERT are fine-tuned during training.

Transformer layer: In this layer, two Transformers are applied to capture the long range dependency information and semantic relation between question and answer. To help better understand this layer, we provide a brief overview of Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017).

The key component of Transformer is the multi-head attention layer that allows the model to jointly attend to information from different representation sub-spaces at different positions. Formally, given the queries \(Q\), keys \(K\), values \(V\), multi-head attention builds upon scaled dot product attention mapping a query and a set of key-value pairs to an output:

\[
Att(Q, K, V) = \text{soft max}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d}}\right)V
\]

where \(Q, K, V\) and output are all list of vectors with equal length, and \(d\) is the dimension size of \(K\). Multi-head attention applies several scaled dot product attention heads, which can be formulated as follows:

\[
\text{head}_i = \text{Att}(W_i^Q Q, W_i^K K, W_i^V V)
\]

\[
\text{MultiHead}(Q, K, V) = W^H \begin{bmatrix} \text{head}_1, \text{head}_2, \ldots, \text{head}_h \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \(W_i^Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times h \times d}, W_i^K \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times h \times d}\) and \(W_i^V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times h \times d}\) are trainable parameter matrices and \(h\) represents the number of scaled dot product attention, or head. \([\text{head}_1, \text{head}_2, \ldots, \text{head}_h]\) is a concatenation of outputs of \(h\) heads. Note that, in this paper, we set \(W^H \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}\) to a fixed identity matrix to reduce model complexity.

After multi-head attention layer, Transformer applies a two-layer full connection layer with ReLU activation:

\[
H_q = \text{ReLU}(Q_{\text{update}} W_1 + b_1) W_2 + b_2
\]

where \(W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, b_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\) are trainable parameter matrices. \(Q_{\text{update}} = \text{MultiHead}(Q, K, V)\) is the output of multi-head attention.

For both multi-head attention layer and the full connection layer, we use the residual concatenation (He et al., 2016) and Layer Normalization (Ba et al., 2016).

In our Transformer layer, we first split the contextualized representation \(H = [h_{CLS}, h_{SEP}^Q, h_{SEP}^K, h_{SEP}^V]\) from BioBERT into question representation.
$H^q = [h^q_1, h^q_2, ..., h^q_N] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$ and answer representation $H^a = [h^a_1, h^a_2, ..., h^a_N] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$. Then question and answers representations are passed to two Transformers, respectively. Take the question for example, Question representation $H^q$ forms the $Q$, $K$ and $V$ which are fed into a Transformer. The output of the Transformer for question for question is represented as $E^q$. In the same way, we can get $E^a$ for answer. To establish the connection between question and answer, the two Transformers share the parameters. $E^q$ and $E^a$ will be used in the following classification and ranking layer.

### 2.3 Classification and Ranking Layer

In order to summarize the information of the questions and answers, we use the max pooling to generate question features $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and answer features $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$q = \text{max pool}(E^q) \quad (5)$$
$$a = \text{max pool}(E^a) \quad (6)$$

$q$ and $a$ are concatenated to form $[q, a]$ as the features for classification and ranking. To make full use of information about the relationship between question and answer, we further concatenate the classification embedding $h^{CLS}$ from BioBERT layer to form the final features $[q, a, q - a, q \times a, h^{CLS}]$. Then, $[q, a, q - a, q \times a, h^{CLS}]$ is passed to a softmax layer to perform the classification. The softmax layer consists of a dense layer and a logistic regression classifier with a softmax function.

$$o = W_3[q, a, q - a, q \times a, h^{CLS}] + b_3 \quad (7)$$
$$p(y_i = j | T_j) = \text{softmax}(W_o o + b_o) \quad (8)$$

where $W_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 2}$, $b_3 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $W_o \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 2}$ and $b_o \in \mathbb{R}^2$ trainable parameters, $j \in \{0, 1\}$, and $T_j$ represents $r$th training samples. We rank the answers according to the probability of being true answer (i.e. $p(y_i = 1 | T_j)$).

In order to make full use of the reference score in the training set and to carefully control the loss, this paper applies a weighted cross entropy loss.

For a candidate answer with the reference scores of 1, 2, 3, 4, the corresponding output labels should be 0, 0, 1, 1. We assign weights of 2, 1, 1, 2 to each label, respectively, when calculating the cross entropy loss:

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 2, \text{score} = 1 \\ 1, \text{score} = 2 \\ 1, \text{score} = 3 \\ 2, \text{score} = 4 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{loss} = - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha \log p(y_i | T_i) \quad (10)$$

where $N$ is the number of question-answer pairs.

### 3 Experiments

#### 3.1 Dataset and Evaluation Metrics

**Dataset:** MEDIQA2019-Task3-QA task contains dataset of medical questions and the associated answers retrieved by CHiQA. Table 1 describes the details of statistics of the dataset.

|                   | Train | Dev | Test |
|-------------------|-------|-----|------|
| Questions         | 208   | 25  | 150  |
| Answers           | 1701  | 234 | 1107 |

Table 1: Statistics of dataset of QA task.

**Evaluation Metrics:** For BioNLP 2019 QA task, organizers employ two measurements: accuracy and spearman. The evaluation is reported by official evaluation toolkit, and accuracy is the main metric. For each experiment, we report the mean values with corresponding standard deviations over 3 repetitions.

#### 3.2 Experimental Setup

The BioBERT we use includes 12 layers (i.e., Transformer blocks), and the dimension of hidden size is 768. The Transformer we use has 3 blocks, each of which contains 16 heads. For each head, the mapped $Q$, $K$, and $V$ dimensions are 48. Thus, the input and output dimension of Transformer is 768. We use Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2015) with $\beta_1 = 0.9$, $\beta_2 = 0.999$ for optimization. The learning rate is 2e-5. The dropout rate is 0.5. The batch size is set to 4. The BERT-T is developed by

---

2 https://chiqa.nlm.nih.gov/

3 https://github.com/abachaa/MEDIQA2019/tree/master/Eval_Scripts
PyTorch\(^5\). We use the BioBERT module \cite{Lee2019BioBERT} without modifying. The Transformer is developed by ourselves. Computations are run on a single server computer equipped with a GPU.

### 3.3 Comparisons with baselines

To verify the effectiveness of our model, we compare BBERT-T with five baselines listed as follows.

w/o BioBERT: This variant does not use BioBERT. The processed sentence is embedded with pre-trained word embeddings released by \cite{Moen2013}. Then the embedded sequence input into the Transformer layer.

BBERT-LSTM: This variant replaces two Transformers with two BiLSTMs. Question representation \(H_q\) and answer representation \(H_a\) are passed to two BiLSTMs, respectively. Note that the two BiLSTMs share parameters.

BBERT-CNN: This variant replaces two Transformers with two CNNs with kernel size of \(\{2, 3, 4\}\). Question representation \(H_q\) and answer representation \(H_a\) are passed to two CNNs, respectively. Note that the two CNNs share parameters.

BBERT-T (1 block): This variant uses Transformers with one block, rather than three blocks.

w/o CLS: In classification and ranking layer, we do not concatenate \(h^{CLS}\) with the output of max pooling \(q\) and \(a\). We directly take \([q, a, q-a, q \times a]\) as input to softmax layer. The submitted results are from this model. After submitting the results, we found that BBERT-T concatenating \(h^{CLS}\) as features achieved higher results than w/o CLS.

From the results in Table 2, we can conclude followings. First, compared with BBERT-T, BBERT-LSTM replaces Transformer with BiLSTM which causes the accuracy to drop by 4.97\% and the spearman to drop by 3.50\%. BBERT-CNN replaces Transformer with CNN which causes the accuracy to drop by 4.45\% and the spearman to drop by 3.59\%. This indicates that long range dependency information extracted by our model is critical to QA task. After all, most of answers in the corpus of BioNLP 2019 QA task have long sequences and the semantic information may be distributed across long distance.

| Model          | Accuracy (%)| Spearman (%)| Time (sec) |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| w/o BioBERT    | 51.39 \(\pm\) 0.56 | -18.48 \(\pm\) 3.39 | 132.83     |
| BBERT-LSTM     | 71.03 \(\pm\) 0.91 | 6.86 \(\pm\) 4.29 | 399.73     |
| BBERT-CNN      | 71.55 \(\pm\) 1.77 | 6.77 \(\pm\) 4.80 | 290.79     |
| BBERT-T (1 block) | 73.83 \(\pm\) 0.25 | 4.36 \(\pm\) 0.38 | 310.62     |
| w/o CLS        | 73.23 \(\pm\) 1.97 | 7.80 \(\pm\) 5.50 | 369.88     |
| BBERT-T        | 76.02 \(\pm\) 1.30 | 10.36 \(\pm\) 8.60 | 373.43     |
| BBERT-T*       | 76.24 \(\pm\) 1.31 | 17.12 \(\pm\) 9.66 | 373.43     |

Table 2: Comparisons with baselines, * stands for using ensemble by averaging the last 4 epoch output probabilities. \(\pm\) denotes standard deviation.

Second, compared with BBERT-T, w/o BioBERT decreases the accuracy by 24.61\% and the spearman by 28.84\%. This indicates that medical domain information is important for BioNLP 2019 QA task.

Third, comparing BBERT-T with w/o CLS, we can see that without the \(h^{CLS}\) feature decreases the accuracy and spearman. In BioBERT, \(h^{CLS}\) is originally used as features to classify whether given two sentences is adjacent. Similarly, in our model, \(h^{CLS}\) contains important information about the relationship between question and answer, which is critical features to QA task.

Finally, compared with BBERT-T (1 block), BBERT-T has a higher complexity but achieves a better accuracy, which illustrates that the structure of three blocks is necessary.

### 3.4 Effects of architecture

To better understand the architecture of BBERT-T, we compare it with three variants:

w/o share: This variant uses two separate Transformers with different parameters.

BBERT-T (att): This variant replaces the max pooling with an attention mechanism. Take the question as example, we calculate the attention weight \(g_i\) for the \(i\)th position in the output of Transformer \(E_q\) as follows:

\[
g_i = \text{soft max} (\text{tanh}(W_r E_q^i + b_r))
\]

where \(W_r \in \mathbb{R}^d\) and \(b_r\) are trainable parameters. Then the question features \(q\) is defined as follows:

\[q \rightarrow \text{att}(q) \rightarrow \text{maxpooling} \rightarrow \text{Transformers} \rightarrow h_q^T \]

\(h_q^T\) is the question representation of transformer at the end of transformer encoder with pre-trained word embeddings.
In the same way, the answer feature $a$ is achieved.

**BBERT-T (mean):** This variant replaces the max pooling with a mean pooling.

From the results in Table 3, we can see that not sharing parameters between the two Transformers might lose connection between question and answer, leading to performance decrease. Using attention mechanism to generate question and answer features achieves a worse result than using max pooling. The reason might be that the self-attention structures of Transformer make each position of output equally important. Therefore, attention mechanism cannot learn the effective weight for each position. This can be further verified by similar accuracy of the mean pooling that gives equal weight of each position.

### 3.5 Effects of pre-training corpus knowledge

To explore the effects of large-scale pre-training corpus knowledge, we compare our BBERT-T with its two variants:

- **w/o Bio:** This variant replaces BioBERT with BERT.
- **BBERT-T:** This variant replaces BioNLP 2019 QA training corpus knowledge, we compare our model and the results are shown in Table 5.

From Table 5, we can observe that cross-entropy gets worse results than weighted cross-entropy, which illustrates that weighted cross-entropy could take advantage of the reference score during training. The candidate answers with higher reference scores are more relevant. Weighted cross-entropy assigns a higher weight to the loss of the correct answer with higher reference score and loss of the incorrect answer with lower reference score, which makes the model more robust.

### 4 Conclusion

We propose BioBERT Transformer model which applies two Transformers to catch the association between question and answer. Experimental results show that our model benefits from the long range dependency information between words in question and answer and that medical domain-specific contextualized representations generated by BioBERT can effectively improve the performance of QA task. We evaluate on BioNLP 2019 QA test dataset with official evaluation toolkit. And our proposed method achieves the accuracy of 76.24% and spearman of 17.12%.
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