GENES Differentially expressed by Mycobacterium tuberculosis after exposure to Ruthenium phosphinic compound and Isoniazid
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Abstract-

Background- The evaluation of the effects of new compounds and nonconventional anti-tuberculous drugs have grown and become increasingly more popular in recent years. Studies have shown anti-tuberculous activity for Ruthenium complexes, including organometallic compounds containing phosphine ligands such as picolinic acid generating great expectations and hopes.

Methods- The Representational Difference Analysis (RDA) was applied in order to gain insight about differences in expression of Mycobacterium tuberculosis H₃₇Rv exposed to [Ru(dpdp)[pic(bpy)]PF₆ (SCAR1) and Isoniazid (INH). Total RNA was extracted from the bacillus not exposed and exposed to SCAR1 and INH separately at concentration of MIC for 12 hours at 35°C. RDA was carried out and differentially expressed products were sequenced.

Results- RDA-sequencing identified, for both compounds, orthologs that encode hypothetical and predict proteins. One related cell wall synthesis gene, identified by RDA, and genes related to INH target as inhA, katG and ahpC had their expression confirmed and quantified by real-time PCR. The gene encoding the cell wall associated hydrolase was induced 4.627 and 1.189, inhA 0.983 and 1.027, katG 1.111 and 1.345 and ahpC 1.063 and 1.039 fold after exposure to SCAR1 and INH respectively, compared to not exposed growth.

Conclusion- The RDA brings, for the first time, directions to study related genes with metabolic pathways of SCAR1. RDA and Real-Time PCR highlight the idea that one of the SCAR1 interaction, in M tuberculosis may be in the cell wall biosynthesis considering the differential expression of a cell wall hydrolase and warrants further investigation.
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Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is an infectious disease that has been known for centuries. Its development and evolution are dependent on factors such as human settlements, malnutrition and impaired immune response, the latter being a factor related mainly with HIV infection, which has increased the incidence of TB in HIV-positive people [1]. In 2011, almost 9 million of new cases (125/100.000 population) of TB and approximately 1.4 million TB deaths worldwide (990.000 among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) negative people and 430.000 HIV-associated TB deaths) [2].

An incomplete or inadequate treatment led to the emergence of resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis to antituberculous (anti-TB) drugs. Studies have shown anti-TB activity by several classes of nonconventional compounds generating great expectations and hopes [3,4]. The evaluation of the effects of new compounds and nonconventional anti-TB drugs have grown and become increasingly more popular in recent years, mainly by the emergence of multidrug resistant TB (MDR-TB) and extensively-drug resistant TB (XDR-TB) [5-7].

Ruthenium complexes, including organometallic compounds containing phosphine ligands such as picolinic acid, have shown to be active against M. tuberculosis [8-11] and a number of other bacteria [12]. Nascimento et al. [9] and Pavan et al. [10,11] synthesized ruthenium complexes containing phosphines, diimines and picolinic acids.
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Acid such as ligands. These complexes' library received the acronym "SCAR" and their anti-
M. tuberculosis activity, cytotoxicity and intracellular activities were investigated [9-11]. In these cases the
Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of compounds were comparable to or better than MICs of first and second line anti-TB drugs and even 100 times more active than their free ligands [9-11].

Represational Difference Analysis (RDA) is a powerful and sen-
sitive tool for the identification of differentially expressed genes in two different cDNA populations. This technique allows detection of changes in mRNA expression by selective enrichment without any prior knowledge of the gene in question [13].

Given the knowledge of TB worldwide, the emergence of potential anti-TB compounds, along with the need for knowledge of the meta-

bolic pathways involved in their action, this study aimed to identify transcripts in M. tuberculosis after exposure to [Ru(dppb)(pic)(bipy)]
PF6 (SCAR1) andisoniazid (INH), using for the first time, as we
know, the RDA.

Materials and Methods
Ruthenium Compound
The [Ru(dppb)(pic)(bipy)]PF6 ([dppb = 1,4-bis(di-phenylphosphino)
butane; pic = picolinic acid; bipy = 2,2’-bipyridine]) compound (SCAR1) used in the present study was synthesized in the Depart-
ment of Chemistry, Federal University of São Carlos, Brazil accord-
ing to a procedure described in the literature [12] and characterized by analytical techniques such as elemental analysis (C,N,H,S), cyclic voltammetry and magnetic nuclear resonance.

Strain and Culture Conditions
M. tuberculosis H37Rv ATCC 27294 mid-log phase culture in Mid-
dlebrook 7H9 medium (Difco, Detroit, MI, USA) supplemented with OADC (BBL™ - Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Sparks, MD, USA) were used for experiments with SCAR1 and INH. The M. tuberculosis culture, referred as tester, was previously standardized to optical density at 0.2-0.4 OD₆⁰₀. After, 0.91 µg/ml of SCAR1, according to MIC determined by REMA [14], was added to the my-
cobacterial growth and incubated for 12 hours at 35°C. The M. tuberculosis culture, referred as driver, was cultured in OADC-
supplemented Middlebrook 7H9 medium at 35°C. As a control of differentially expressed genes, a reverse experiment was performed where driver and tester cultures were exposed to 0.91 µg/ml of SCAR1 and not exposed in the same conditions as above, respec-
tively.

Analysis with INH was carried out with the same protocol for SCAR1 using 0.03 µg/ml of INH (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), ac-
cording to MIC previously determined for M. tuberculosis H37Rv ATCC 27294 by REMA [14]. A reverse experiment was conducted for INH in which the driver DNA was extracted from M. tuberculosis growth added of INH and the tester DNA from M. tuberculosis growth in OADC-supplemented Middlebrook 7H9 medium. The concentration and time of exposure to both compounds were based in MIC and generation time of M. tuberculosis.

RNA Isolation and cDNA Synthesis
Total RNA was extracted and purified from M. tuberculosis growth for each experimental condition by highly denaturing guanidine-
thiocyanate using RNAeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen Biotechnology, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions. The extracted RNA was then treated with DNase I (Invitrogen Life Technologies, CA, USA) and agarose gel was carried out to verify their integrity and quality. The RNA quantification and purity were carried out by Quubit® fluorometer (Invitrogen, USA) in 260/230 and 260/280 nm respectively. First-strand cDNA was synthesized with RT Superscript III (Invitrogen Life Technologies, CA, USA) and 100 nM of primer 5’AAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAGAGTACGCGGG 3’ using 1 µg of total RNA. The first strand was used as template to synthesize the second cDNA strand by using Random Primers (Invitrogen Life Technologies, CA, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions.

Subtractive Hybridization and Generation of Subtracted Librar-
ies
The cDNA were digested with Sau3AI (Promega Corporation, Mad-
ison, WI, USA). A subtracted cDNA library was constructed using driver cDNA synthesized from RNA of M. tuberculosis cultured in OADC-supplemented Middlebrook 7H9 medium and tester cDNA from RNA extracted from M. tuberculosis exposed to SCAR1 and INH. The products were purified using QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen Biotechnology, USA). The tester-digested cDNA was bonded to adapters RBam24 (5’AACCTCTCAGCCTCTCTTCGAGT3’) and RBam12 (5’GATCCTGSGTGA3’). To generate the differential products, tester and driver cDNAs were mixed, hybridized at 67°C for 18 hours and amplified with RBam24 primer. A second round was carried out with the adapters JBam24 (5’ACCGACGTCCGACTATCCATGAACG3’) and JBam12 (5’GATCCTGTTGTAG3’). Two successive rounds of subtractions and amplifi-
cations using hybridization tester-driver ratios of 1:10 and 1:100, were performed to generate second differential products and puri-
fied using QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen Biotechnology, USA) [13,15]. The purified cDNAs products were cloned into the pGEM-T-Easy vector (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA). Escherichia coli XL1 Blue competent cells were transformed with the ligation products. Plasmid DNA was extracted from selected colonies using standard protocols [16]. In order to generate the expressed sequence tags (EST), single-pass, 5'-end sequencing of cDNAs by a standard fluorescence-labeling dye-terminator proto-
ocols with T7 flanking vector primer was performed. Samples were loaded onto a MegaBACE 1000 DNA sequencer (GE Healthcare Life Science, USA) for automated sequence analysis.

Expressed Sequence Tag (EST) and Differential Expression
Analysis
ESTs were pre-processed using the Phred and Crossmatch (http:// www.genome.washington.edu/UGWC/analysistools/Swat.cfm) pro-
grams. Sequences with at least 100 nucleotides and Phred quality greater than or equal to 20 were considered for further analysis. ESTs were screened for vector sequences against the UniVec data, and assembled with the CAP3 program [17].

The filtered ESTs were compared against the GenBank (http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) non-redundant (nr) database from the Na-
tional Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) using the BLASTX algorithm with an E-value cut-off at 10⁻⁵. If the EST se-
dences did not match any database sequences, the BLASTN algo-
rithm was used (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/) [18]. ESTs were grouped in clusters, represented by contigs and singlets.

Real-time PCR Analysis of Representative Regulated Genes in M. tuberculosis
To confirm and estimate the relative transcript levels of the different-
entially expressed products after exposure to SCAR1 and INH, real-
time PCR was performed. The cell wall-associated hydroxlate tran-
script, detected by RDA, with high redundancy as 179 and 77 were
chosen for analysis. Since INH was used as control for analysis of gene expression, genes whose products are likely involved in processes related with action and toxic effects of this drug, such as katG, inhA and ahpC, were selected too.

Real-time PCR were performed in two PCR repeats using 1 µl of cDNA in 10 µl of reaction mixture containing 400 nM of each primer (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) listed in [Table-1] and 5 µl of SYBR green ROX mixture (Applied Biosystems by Life Technologies, Foster City, CA, USA) according to manufacturer’s instruction. The amplification was carried out in samples of cDNA from M. tuberculosis exposed to SCAR1 and INH in a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR (Applied Biosystems by Life Technologies, Foster City, CA, USA), using the reaction program: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, and 45 cycles of 95°C for 15s and annealing and synthesis at 60°C for 1 min. Melting-curve analysis was performed to confirm the signal corresponded to a single PCR product. The cycle threshold values for the duplicate PCR for each RNA sample were averaged, and then 2^{-ΔΔCT } values were calculated [19]. The 16S RNA (rrs) gene was used for normalization of all reaction.

| Primers sequences        | Accession N° | Gene                                      |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Fw 5' CTCTCAGAGTCGCCAAGT  | ZP_06529677  | cell wall-associated hydrolase            |
| RV 5' AGAACGCCAACCATGTC  |              | [Streptomyces sp.]                         |
| Fw 5' AGTGTCCAGGGAAGATCA  | BX842576.1   | inhA                                      |
| RV 5' CTTGGCCATGAAAGGTC  |              |                                           |
| Fw 5' GCCGCCGAAAACATGCA  | BX842576.1   | katG                                      |
| RV 5' GTGCCGGCTCAAGAAAGT  |              |                                           |
| Fw 5' CGTTACCTCCGGATGCT  | BX842579.1   | ahpC                                      |
| RV 5' CTGGCGCTTGGCTAGTT  |              |                                           |
| Fw 5' AGCGATTCCGGATGCTTT  | BX842581.1   | 16S (rrs)                                 |
| RV 5' ACGATGCCGTGCTCAA 3' |              |                                           |

*Accession number at GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)

A negative control was carried out with all reagents except M. tuberculosis cDNA. DNA contamination was checked by real-time PCR of RNA not treated with reverse transcriptase. After 45 rounds of amplification, no PCR products were detected in either reaction. Data from biological duplicate were analyzed by two-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s test.

**Results**

**Identification of M. tuberculosis Genes with Differential Expression**

RDA was performed on the bacillus growth exposed to SCAR1 and INH (testers) at concentrations of MIC for 12 hours at 35°C and in absence of these compounds separately (driver). Different patterns of cDNA fragments were observed in comparing the testers with driver after two rounds of subtractive hybridization in RDA, indicating the presence of differentially expressed genes [Fig-1].

A total of 276 and 255 sequences from cultures exposed to SCAR1 and INH respectively were successfully sequenced [Table-2] and [Table-3]. BLASTX program analysis for SCAR1 showed a total of 9 ESTs. From these, 3 corresponded to known proteins, while 6 corresponded to proteins of unknown function, with no matches in databases. In addition, from the unknown proteins, 5 of the ESTs had not been described in M. tuberculosis. For INH analysis, we found a total of 8 different ESTs. From these, 2 corresponded to known proteins, while 6 corresponded to proteins of unknown function, with no matches in databases. From the unknown proteins, 5 of the ESTs had not been described in M. tuberculosis. The other ESTs were not studied because their low redundancy.

**Quantitative Analysis of Genes by Real-Time PCR**

[Fig-2] shows quantification of the transcript levels of the most differentially expressed and the randomly selected genes in M. tuberculosis exposed to SCAR1 and INH at concentration of MIC for 12 hours at 35°C. Among the genes evaluated in M. tuberculosis exposed to SCAR1 and INH, the ones encoding the cell wall associated hydrolase was induced 4.627 and 1.189 fold, inhA 1.063 and 1.039 fold compared to not exposed bacillus, respectively.
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**Table 2-** Characterized and unknown proteins with high abundance, detected by Representational Difference Analysis (RDA), in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H37Rv exposed to 1 X MIC SCAR1 for 12 hours at 35°C.

| BlastX | e-value | Redundancy | Access N° |
|--------|---------|------------|-----------|
| cell wall-associated hydrolase [Streptomyces sp.]* | 5e-37 | 179 | ref|ZP_06708251.1|
| glycine cleavage system protein H [Hydrogenovibrio sp.] | 7e-15 | 62 | ref|ZP_02176878.1|
| pG1 protein [Lactobacillus jensenii] | 7e-07 | 3 | ref|ZP_04645459.1|
| hypothetical protein COLAER_00157 [Collinsella aerofaciens] | 2e-39 | 24 | ref|ZP_01172259.1|
| LOW QUALITY PROTEIN: predicted protein [Mycobacterium tuberculosis T85] | 4e-27 | 4 | ref|ZP_06516795.1|
| conserved hypothetical protein [Streptomyces roseosporus] | 4e-09 | 1 | ref|ZP_06583083.1|
| conserved hypothetical protein [Streptomyces albus] | 1e-12 | 1 | ref|ZP_06593897.1|
| hypothetical protein BIFADO_00015 [Bifidobacterium adolescentis] | 9e-07 | 1 | ref|ZP_02027619.1|
| hypothetical protein FAEPRAM212_00169 [Faecalibacterium prausnitzii] | 8e-08 | 1 | ref|ZP_02089938.1|
| **Total** | **276** | | |

*a Accession number at GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)*

**Table 3-** Characterized and unknown proteins with high abundance, detected by Representational Difference Analysis (RDA), in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H37Rv exposed to 1 X MIC INH for 12 hours at 35°C.

| BlastX | e-value | Redundancy | Access N° |
|--------|---------|------------|-----------|
| cell wall-associated hydrolase [Streptomyces sp.] | 5e-37 | 77 | ref|ZP_06708251.1|
| pG1 protein [Lactobacillus jensenii] | 7e-07 | 20 | ref|ZP_04645459.1|
| conserved hypothetical protein [Mycobacterium tuberculosis] | 4e-43 | 104 | ref|ZP_06512772.1|
| hypothetical protein CE1543 [Corynebacterium efficiens] | 5e-11 | 9 | ref|NP_738153.1|
| hypothetical protein AWYB_261 [Aster yellow witches-broom phytoplasma] | 6e-34 | 39 | ref|YP_456457.1|
| hypothetical protein CLOL250_03003 [Clostridium sp.] | 3e-13 | 2 | ref|ZP_02076215.1|
| hypothetical protein CE1543 [Corynebacterium efficiens] | 5e-11 | 2 | ref|NP_738153.1|
| hypothetical protein ACTODO_00001 [Actinomyces odontolyticus] | 3e-15 | 2 | ref|ZP_02045164.1|
| **TOTAL** | **255** | | |

*a Accession number at GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).*

**Discussion**

The increasing incidence of resistance to drugs used for TB therapy and co-infection with HIV, have contributed to the worsening situation of TB worldwide, mainly in developed countries, which were detected outbreaks of resistant disease [2]. Ruthenium complexes showed good activity against *M. tuberculosis* [3,9,10]. However, there was still no knowledge on how that compounds act in mycobacteria in their molecular basis. Even with the INH, which is a drug used for a long time in TB treatment, there was no complete knowledge of its action and resistance in *M. tuberculosis* [20].

The RDA was applied in order to gain insight about differences in expression of *M. tuberculosis* exposed and not exposed to SCAR1. We used real-time PCR to confirm and quantify the differential expression of a related hydrolase of cell wall biosynthesis gene, detected by RDA in *M. tuberculosis* growth exposed to SCAR1 as in INH. Three genes, which have been characterized previously as transcriptional signature of INH exposure, were selected as control of the analysis.

RDA was described mainly in eukaryotes and few studies were applied in prokaryotes [21,22] and so far, of which we have knowledge, none has been described in *M. tuberculosis*. This makes the application of this methodology of interest to show a first direction to conduct understanding of the action of new compounds, which there are no idea about their target in the bacillus.

Regarding the differentially expressed genes in *M. tuberculosis*, in answer to exposure to SCAR1, at concentration of MIC value, for 12 hours, we observed by RDA some transcripts that are related to hypothetical and predicted proteins. Also, we observed transcripts which encode proteins already characterized, with high redundancy, in particular an EST that encodes a cell wall hydrolase of *Streptomyces* spp. By real-time PCR, we observed overexpression (4.6 fold) of the gene encoding this protein in *M. tuberculosis* growth exposed to SCAR1, when compared to *M. tuberculosis* growth not exposed to this compound (P < 0.001). Haier et al. [23] described a related hydrolase of cell wall biosynthesis in *Streptomyces coelicolor*, indicating the possibility of this class of enzyme be present in Mycobacteriaceae, as observed in our study.

Although little is known about the hydrolases in Mycobacteriaceae some authors [24,25] have shown that they may play a role in the late stages of cell division, possibly during re-growth from a stressed state. Additionally, Chauhan et al. [24] had proposed previously that the exposure of *M. tuberculosis* to a variety of DNA damaging agents or certain substances leads to cell division blockage and up regulation of a protein that exhibits cell wall hydrolysis activity, which target the nascent pedologian synthesis or it may be part of the SOS response in the DNA repair system. Considering the above findings, the overexpression of that related hydrolase of cell wall biosynthesis in SCAR1 exposed *M. tuberculosis* growth warrants further investigation. No significant changes were observed in the *inhA*, *katG* and *ahpC* genes expression compared to the non exposed bacillus to SCAR1.

In relation to differentially expressed genes in *M. tuberculosis* exposed to INH for 12 hours, we observed, by RDA, a higher amount of transcripts which encode some proteins not yet characterized. In the analysis performed by real-time PCR, we observed no significant changes in all studied transcript in *M. tuberculosis* growth exposed to INH compared to not exposed growth.

As we know, INH, which is a pro-drug, needs to be activated by the catalase-peroxidase enzyme (KatG), encoded by the *katG*, leading to the formation mainly of isonicotinic acid, among others reactive radicals. The main INH target is the inhibition of mycolic acid biosynthesis, a major constituent of the mycobacterial cell wall. For this the isonicotinic acid reacts with NAD to form the INH-NAD, inhibiting the enzyme enoyl ACP reductase (*inhA*), encoded by *inhA*, then...
leading to inhibition of mycolic acid synthesis, and cell wall damage [26,27]. Based on this, the two above genes, implicated as molecular target for INH, and ahpC - which transcript, the alkyl hydroperoxide reductase (AhpC) helps detoxify the cell by reducing specific classes of reactive oxygen species-, were chosen as internal control of the study.

In our study we no change in inhA, katG and ahpC as the related hydrolase of cell wall biosynthesis transcripts, by INH exposure, was observed. The inhA and ahpC results corroborates with Wilson et al [28] findings, where no transcriptional changes was observed after INH treatment even using a much higher concentration of INH (1 μg/ml) than used in our study (0.03 μg/mL).

On the other side, Betts et al. [29] exposing M. tuberculosis H37Rv (NCTC 7416) to INH, at the same concentration used by Wilson et al. [28] observed no transcriptional changes in inhA, like our results, but ahpC was up-regulated. Waddell et al. [30] and Karakousis et al. [31] observed that log-phase M. tuberculosis H37Rv exposed to INH showed significant induction of ahpC among others genes. A plausible explanation for this difference in findings with ahpC expression, including our results, may be related to the concentration and exposure time of the bacillus to INH once the product of this gene is involved in processes associated with the toxic effects caused by INH. Both, Wilson et al [28] as Karakousis et al. [31] used the same INH concentration (1 μg/mL) but the time of exposure is not specified by the first and 6 hours was used by the second prior to measuring expression levels. In our study, we used the concentration of MIC to expose the bacterial growth to both compounds once we intended to observe their primary effect on the bacillus and not toxic and side effects.

The time of exposure and concentration of determined compound are critical point in expression studies. A long exposure time and different concentration may reflect in toxic and side effects and not as primary target. This approach, time and concentration to exposure of determined compound, should be standardized to have consensus in this kind of study.

Conclusion

To experience the application of RDA brings, for the first time in M. tuberculosis, identification of differentially expressed gene, which may be related to the cell wall biosynthesis. It showed directions to study related genes in some metabolic pathways of SCAR1 and could help in studies with other anti-TB drugs with mechanism of action not totally characterized. Taken together, RDA and real-time PCR data highlight the idea that one of the SCAR1 action mechanisms may be related to the cell wall biosynthesis considering the differential expression of a cell wall hydrolase compared with the expression of M. tuberculosis growth not exposed to the SCAR1 and INH. We assume that this finding, which showed high level of cell wall hydrolase, warrants further investigation. Further studies are still needed for the effective characterization of transcripts and proteins involved in the interaction of SCAR1 in M. tuberculosis.
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