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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of SUM on IR-induced injury in rat heart and its effect on IPC-induced protection.

Materials and Methods: The rats were randomly divided into four groups: IR, SUM-IR, IPC, and SUM-IPC. The mean arterial blood pressure and heart rate were recorded to calculate PRP. Standard limb lead 2 ECG were recorded to evaluate arrhythmia parameters.

Results: The PRP values in the SUM-IPC group were significantly lower than in the SUM-IR group at the beginning of reperfusion (p<0.05). The incidence of VT in the IPC, SUM-IR, and SUM-IPC groups was significantly lower than in the IR group (p<0.05). VF was only observed in the IR group.

Conclusion: SUM protects the heart against IR injury but is not as protective as IPC alone. Although SUM diminishes IPC-induced protection against VT, the preventive effect of SUM against VF may be predictive for cardioprotection in ischemic conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT; serotonin) has physiologic and pathophysiologic importance due to its effects on the periphery and the central nervous system. In this regard, regulation of the cardiovascular system by 5-HT could result in complex effects such as hypotension/hypertension, vasodilatation/vasoconstriction, and bradycardia/tachycardia, primarily depending on which 5-HT receptors are involved. Sumatriptan (SUM), a 5-HT_{1B}/1D receptor agonist, was the prototype of triptans used for the acute treatment of acute migraine attacks. Its therapeutic effect is closely linked with vasoconstriction of cranial blood vessels by the drug. Although SUM is generally well tolerated in the acute treatment of migraine attacks, some chest symptoms (i.e. chest pressure, tightness, and pain) mimicking angina pectoris and even myocardial infarction and fatal arrhythmia have been reported after the use of SUM. This could be related to the extracranial contractile effects of SUM including coronary vasoconstriction both in vivo and in vitro. This effect is thought to be predominantly mediated by the agonistic activity of SUM at 5-HT_{1B}/1D receptors. Myocardial ischemia occurs when coronary blood supply to the myocardium is reduced (low-flow or no-flow ischemia), or relative to increased tissue demand (demand ischemia). Reperfusion, that is, the re-
admission of oxygen and metabolic substrates together with washout of ischemic metabolites is necessary for the viability of ischemic myocardium. However, reperfusion could also have deleterious effects on ischemic myocardium, the process termed as “reperfusion injury”.

Therefore, protection from cardiac ischemia/reperfusion (IR) injury including arrhythmias, myocardial infarction, and contractile dysfunction has been the focus of intense research. Such a cardioprotective intervention is known as ischemic preconditioning (IPC), which applies brief non-lethal IR cycles before sustained ischemia of myocardium.

Previous works suggested that the pressure rate product (PRP) could be an indirect index of myocardial oxygen consumption. It has been demonstrated that the tachycardia induced by positive inotropic agents including digitalis glycosides and ouabain enhanced myocardial oxygen consumption. As myocardial oxygen consumption increases, the heart rate (HR) is increased, and finally it negatively affects the cardiac function.

There are some previous findings showing that SUM can induce an exacerbation of regional myocardial ischemia injury concomitant with a reduction in coronary blood flow. To the best of our knowledge, no studies have evaluated the effect of SUM on IPC-induced protection. Therefore, we aimed to investigate the effects of SUM in ischemic conditions in rats subjected to IR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

The study was approved by Başkent University Ethics Committee for Experimental Research on Animals (project no: DA11/11, protocol number: 2011/21, date: 21.03.2011). Twenty male Wistar albino rats (250-350 g) were used in this study. The rats were housed in cages at room temperature 21±1°C, under 12/12-hour light/dark cycles and were allowed access to standard laboratory diet and tap water ad libitum.

Surgical procedures

The rats were anesthetized using a ketamine/xylazine mixture (60/10 mg/kg, i.p.). The body temperature of the rats was measured using a rectal probe and maintained at 37±1°C with a lamp. Tracheotomy was performed to the anesthetized rats for mechanical ventilation through an animal ventilator (Rodent Ventilator 7025 UgoBasile, Italy, 5 mL/100 g, 34 pulse/min room air). A standard limb lead 2 electrocardiogram (ECG) and HR were continuously monitored and recorded throughout the experiments, using an ECG (100B; Biopac. System Inc., US) and a computerized data acquisition system. The right jugular vein and left carotid artery were cannulated for administration of SUM (3 mg/kg, i.v., bolus injection) and mean arterial pressure monitoring, respectively. The mean arterial blood pressure (MABP) and body temperature were also continuously monitored and recorded throughout the experiment using the same data acquisition system. Before the IR induction procedures, a left thoracotomy was performed through the fourth and fifth intercostal space, the pericardium was incised, and the heart was gently exteriorized. Afterwards, ischemia was induced by occlusion of the left anterior descending artery, close to its origin. Successful occlusion and ischemia were confirmed by a pronounced decrease in arterial pressure and ECG alteration. At the end of the study, the rats were sacrificed with a high-dose anesthetic.

Experimental protocols

The dose of SUM (3 mg/kg) was selected based on previously published studies. The rats were randomly divided into the groups as follows (n=5/group): IR group: following a stabilization period of 30 min, the rats were subjected to 10 min of ischemia followed by 10 min of reperfusion (Figure 1).

SUM-IR group: following a stabilization period of 10 min, a bolus SUM injection (3 mg/kg) was administered. 20 min after the SUM injection, the rats were subjected to 10 min of ischemia followed by 10 min of reperfusion (Figure 1).

SUM-IPC group: Following a stabilization period of 10 min, a bolus SUM injection (3 mg/kg) was performed and immediately after IPC was applied by 2 cycles of 5-min ischemia/5-min reperfusion. Afterwards, the rats were subjected to 10 min of ischemia followed by 10 min of reperfusion (Figure 1).

SUM-IPC group: Following a stabilization period of 10 min, a bolus SUM injection (3 mg/kg) was performed and immediately after IPC was applied by 2 cycles of 5-min ischemia/5-min reperfusion. Afterwards, the rats were subjected to 10 min of ischemia followed by 10 min of reperfusion (Figure 1).

Measured and calculated parameters

Hemodynamic variables (MABP, HR) were monitored and recorded to calculate rate pressure product (PRP= MABP x HR / 1000) as an indirect index of myocardial oxygen consumption. PRP was calculated after the surgical procedure (baseline), before and at the end of ischemia, at the beginning and end of the reperfusion.

The arrhythmia parameters were also evaluated from the ECG recordings of the rats in accordance with the Lambeth
conventions at the end of the experimental protocols.\textsuperscript{19} The incidence of ventricular tachycardia (VT) and ventricular fibrillation (VF) were determined in each group.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are expressed as mean ± standard error and the percentage of the incidence. Data of PRP were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance followed by the Bonferroni post-hoc test (for selected columns). Incidence of arrhythmia was evaluated using Fisher’s exact test. All analyses were conducted using the GraphPad Prism (version 5.00 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego California USA). P values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Drugs**

SUM succinate (GlaxoSmithKline, Turkey) was dissolved in saline.

**RESULTS**

PRP values, calculated for myocardial oxygen consumption, during experimental protocols are shown in Figures 2A-E. PRP values at both baseline and before the ischemia did not significantly differ between the groups. However, the PRP value in the SUM-IPC group was significantly lower than that of the SUM-IR group at the beginning of the reperfusion (Figure 2D, p<0.05). Although there was a tendency for a decrease in the PRP value of the SUM-IPC group when compared with the IPC group, the difference was not significant at the end of the reperfusion (Figure 2E).

SUM produced a significant reduction in the incidence of VT in the SUM-IR and SUM-IPC groups (40%). In the IPC group, the incidence of VT was significantly lower than in the SUM-IPC group (10% and 40%, respectively, p<0.05) (Figure 3A).

VF was only observed in the IR group and the incidence was 80%. The administration of SUM both in the SUM-IR and in the SUM-IPC groups inhibited VF, similar to the IPC group alone (Figure 3B).

**DISCUSSION**

We investigated the effect of SUM in myocardial ischemic conditions in anesthetized rats. Our findings showed that SUM was cardioprotective against IR injury, but not as protective as IPC alone. The administration of SUM before IPC resulted in the reduction of myocardial oxygen consumption as shown by decreased PRP at the beginning of reperfusion. Despite that, it provided no additional protection from VT induced by IPC. In addition, administration of SUM alone to the rats subjected to IR in the SUM-IR group produced a reduction in the incidence of VT compared with the IR group. Among these groups, VF was only observed in the IR group. It appears that SUM is effective in preventing VF, similar to that of IPC.

IPC has been shown to decrease ischemia-induced arrhythmia in normal hearts.\textsuperscript{19} Consistent with these findings, we demonstrated that IPC conferred a marked reduction in arrhythmogenesis as shown by the reduction in the incidence of VT and prevention of VF. Similarly, administration of SUM alone to the rats subjected to IR decreased the incidence of
VT. However, it diminished IPC-induced protection against VT when applied before IPC. Interestingly, at the beginning of reperfusion, the myocardial oxygen consumption in the SUM-IPC group was lower than in the SUM-IR group. However, it provided no additional protection against VT in the SUM-IPC group.

Taken together, one might think that SUM could interfere with the common mechanisms of IPC. On the other hand, it may also mimic the IPC by leading to coronary vasoconstriction. Support for this conclusion comes from a number of studies in which SUM-induced contractions of coronary arteries have been shown both in vivo and in vitro. Therefore, SUM might interfere with the common mechanisms of IPC. The majority of in vivo human angiographic and positron emission tomography studies with SUM reported very slight to no coronary artery constriction or reduction in myocardial perfusion with no association with ECG changes or anginal symptoms. However, it was noticed that even modest epicardial coronary constriction could be sufficient to provoke an ischemic event in patients with coronary artery disease. It has also been reported that SUM provoked coronary vasospasm in patients with variant angina but not in control subjects, suggesting the coronary constrictory effect of SUM may be more notable in patients with ischemic heart disease. For this reason, SUM should be used with caution in these kinds of patients. Additionally, the physicians must be aware when they prescribe sumatriptan for migraine attacks in the future research with SUM in different ischemic conditions.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the results of the study show that bolus injections of SUM alone protects the rat heart against IR injury by decreasing the incidence of arrhythmia. SUM is cardioprotective against arrhythmias in rats subjected to IR injury but not as protective as in IPC. However, the preventive effect of SUM against VF may be predictive for cardioprotection in ischemic conditions. Further studies are needed to elucidate which mechanisms of IPC interfere with SUM.
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