The Effect of a 5-Week Group-Based Exercise Program on Strength, Balance, Mobility, and Gait in the Older Adult Population: A Pilot Study
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Abstract

Background: Falls are also the fifth leading cause of death among adults aged 65 years and older. Lower extremity weakness and decreased balance are two important risk factors associated with falls in the older population. Current research suggests that in order to produce effective outcomes, an exercise program for older adults needs to be at least 10 weeks in length

Methods: 18 participants over the age of 60 years (17 female, 1 male; mean age 74 years) completed this pre-test-post-test design. The participants tested on the following outcome measures 30-second Chair Stand test for lower extremity strength, Four Square Step test (FSST) for dynamic balance, Timed up and go (TUG) test for mobility, Activity-Specific Balance Confidence Scale (ABC) for balance confidence and GAITRite® for forward and backward walking velocity. Participants attended a 45-minute group-based exercise program twice a week for 5 weeks at a local church. The program included warm up, stretching, strengthening with resistance, and balance training.

Results: A significant difference was found in the pre- and post-test measures of backward gait velocity (p=0.034), forward gait velocity (p=0.016), 30-second Chair Stand Test (p=0.001), TUG (p=0.022), and the FSST (p=0.001). Although there was no statistically significant difference found in the ABC scale, the overall scores increased.

Conclusion: This 5-week group-based exercise program was effective at improving forward and backward gait velocity as well as decreasing the clinical risk for falls in older adults. It is recommended that elements of this program be incorporated into physical therapy practice. Additionally, upon conclusion of physical therapy treatment, older adults should be encouraged to participate in community-based group exercise programs to maintain overall health and wellness and prevent future falls.
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Introduction

Falls are the fifth leading cause of death among adults aged 65 years and older [1]. Each year about one out of every three older adults falls, and one out of every five of these falls causes serious injuries, including broken bones or traumatic brain injury [2]. Life expectancy continues to increase in the United States with the elderly constituting a higher percentage of the population than ever before. This makes it critical that communities and healthcare professionals ensure the health and well-being of this population [3].

Lower extremity weakness and decreased balance are two important risk factors associated with falls in the older population [4-6]. When older adults fall, it is often in the backward direction, due in part to difficulty with backward stepping and mobility [7]. While forward walking speed has been used for years to identify those older adults at risk for falls, backward walking velocity has been shown to be a more sensitive measure [8].

Current research suggests that in order to produce effective outcomes, an exercise program for older adults needs to be at least 10 weeks in length [9]. A program consisting of balance training, strengthening exercises, coordination activities, and a home exercise program have proven to be most beneficial in reducing falls risk in older adults [9-11].

To our knowledge, there are no studies that have examined the effectiveness of a 5-week group-based exercise program at reducing falls risk in the older population. Therefore, the aims of this study were 1) to evaluate the impact of a group-based exercise program on clinical falls risk outcome measures, and 2) to determine the impact of a group-based exercise program on both forward and backward walking velocity and falls risk.

Materials and Methods

This quasi-experimental study included a sample of convenience of 23 older adults (1 male and 22 females). The mean age of the participants was 78 years. Participants were recruited from a local church. All were community dwelling older adults over the age of 60 years, who were able to ambulate with or without an assistive device and demonstrated an ability to follow multi-step directions were included in this study. Additionally, participants were excluded if they were disruptive to the group. The Institutional Review Board of the University of the Sciences approved this study. All participants were consented prior to participation.

As researchers, we were interested in evaluating the effectiveness of a 5-week group-based exercise program on falls risk. In the literature falls risk is described as being related to lower extremity strength, balance, mobility, balance confidence and gait [1,12,13]. The participants were therefore tested on the following outcome measures 30-second Chair Stand test for lower extremity strength, Four Square...
Step test (FSST) for dynamic balance, Timed up and go (TUG) test for mobility, Activity-Specific Balance Confidence Scale (ABC) for balance confidence, and GAITRite® for forward and backward walking velocity (Table 1).

| Outcome Measure | Purpose | Reliability | Validity |
|-----------------|---------|-------------|----------|
| Timed Up and Go test (TUG) | Assesses mobility while testing both static and dynamic balance. In this test, an individual will be required to stand up from a seated position, walk 10 feet, walk around a cone, and then return to the chair and a seated position. The individual is timed [14]. | -Test-retest (in elderly adults): adequate (0.56 ICC) [14]. -Inter-rater (in elderly adults): excellent (0.04 seconds between testers) [14]. -Concurrent (in elderly adults): ability to predict fall risk showed specificity of 60% and sensitivity of 56% [14]. -Convergent (in community-dwelling older adults): adequate rating between other outcome measures (r=0.55-0.66) [14]. | |
| Four Square Step test (FSST) | Measures dynamic balance and coordination through stepping in four directions. The individual is timed [15]. | -Test-retest (in geriatrics): excellent (0.98 ICC) [15]. -Inter-rater (in geriatrics): excellent (0.99 ICC) [15]. -Concurrent (in community-dwelling elderly): excellent compared with Step Test (r=0.83) and TUG (r=0.88) but fair with Functional Reach (r=0.47) [15]. | |
| 30-sec Chair Stand test | Assesses lower extremity strength and overall endurance. An individual will rise to a full standing position and then descend to sitting as many times as possible in 30 seconds [16]. | -Test-retest (in community-dwelling elderly): excellent between total number of participants (r=0.89), males (r=0.84), and females (r=0.92) [16]. -Inter-rater (in community-dwelling elderly): excellent (r=0.95) [16]. -Concurrent (in community-dwelling elderly): excellent compared with several other tests (r=0.71 - 0.78) [16]. | |
| Activities-Specific Balance Confidence (ABC) Scale | Self-assesses an individual’s confidence while performing different, everyday tasks. The individual is asked to rate how confident they are while performing a variety of tasks on a percentage scale of 0-100%. This measure is subjective and helps quantify confidence in the community in relation to falls [17]. | -Test-retest (in elderly): excellent (r=0.92) [17]. -Concurrent (in geriatric females): varies with correlation of other tests (-0.65-0.57) [17]. | |
| GAITRite® Computerized Walkway System and PKMAS software system | Evaluates gait during backward and forward walking. Analysis includes velocity, step length, cadence, stride length, etc. | -Excellent correlation in one healthy woman (ICC > 93) for video-based temporal measures [18]. | |

Table 1: Outcome measures.

All subjects underwent pre- and post-testing in order to monitor their progress throughout the exercise program. The pre-test occurred one week prior to initiation of the program and the post-test occurred one week after the final session.

Outcome measures

The 30-second chair stand test (30-sCST): To perform this test, subjects were seated in a chair without arm rests. The height of the seat was 17 inches from the floor. They were asked to stand up and sit down as many times as they could in 30 seconds. The number of times that they could stand up was then recorded. This test provides insight into a person’s lower body strength and endurance [14]. It links strength findings to the ability to perform everyday tasks such as climbing stairs, getting in and out of a vehicle or a bathtub [15]. This test has been shown to have excellent reliability with an ICC of 0.84 for males and 0.92 for females [14].

The four square step test (FSST): The patient steps over four one inch pieces of PVC pipe set-up like a cross on the floor [16]. Four Square Step test is a measure of dynamic balance that assesses the person’s ability to step over objects forward, sideways, and backwards.

Community-dwelling older adults should be able to perform the FSST in less than 18 seconds [17]. This test has shown to have excellent inter-rater and test-retest reliability. The intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) has been reported to be 0.99 and 0.98 respectively [16].

Timed up and go test (TUG): This test involves timing participants while they stand from being seated in a chair, walk 3 meters, turn around, walk back 3 meters and sit down. This test was performed 3 times after which the average of the three trials (in seconds) was calculated and used for analysis. The Timed Up and Go test (TUG) is a standardized outcome measure used to assess mobility in older adults [18]. Community-dwelling older adults should be able to perform the TUG in less than 12 seconds [17]. The TUG was originally developed as a clinical measure to assess balance in the elderly and it has shown to have excellent inter and intra-rater reliability. The intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) has been reported to be >0.95 [19].

The activity balance confidence scale (ABCS): The Activities-Specific Balance Confidence Scale (ABC Scale) is a 16-item self-report survey assessing the confidence someone has in their balance during certain activities [20]. The person rates their confidence on a scale of 0% (no confidence) to 100% (complete confidence) for each item. Age, as well as education level is factored into evaluating the data from the survey. The less confidence an individual has, the lower their scores will be on the survey, and they will have a higher risk for falls. The results also show that a higher percentage is correlated to being a more mobile, active, and functional person. This tool has excellent internal consistency with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.95 [21].
Forward and backward walking: ProtoKinetics Movement Analysis Software, PKMAS, (ProtoKinetics, LLC, Havertown, PA) was used to collect footfall data and compute spatiotemporal gait measures using an instrumented walkway, GAITRite® Mat (CIR Systems, Franklin, NJ). The walkway consists of a 61 × 366 cm carpet embedded with pressure sensors. Spatiotemporal gait measures collected on GAITRite® walkways have been found to be valid and reliable in the elderly [22-24].

Participants walked forward at a comfortable pace for 2 trials (down and back) on the 12-foot computerized walkway in order to familiarize themselves with the carpet. They then walked at a fast pace for 2 trials followed by 2 trials backwards. All trials included no fewer than 10 strides. Hollman et al. found that data collected from 10-20 strides on the GAITRite® were found to be a reliable method for measuring velocity and cadence [25]. All participants were instructed to walk 2 meters before and after the walkway to allow for acceleration and deceleration. All trials were completed without an assistive device while wearing a gait belt and guarded by one member from the research team. Forward and backward walking velocity (cm/sec) was analyzed.

**Intervention**

This study's principal investigator and physical therapist designed the exercise program using current evidence-based programs as a guide to specifically address falls risk factors. The classes were conducted twice weekly for 5 weeks in the basement of a local church. The program began with a 10-minute warm up that included standing exercises for major muscle groups and general flexibility exercises. Lower extremity strengthening exercises using weights and resistance bands were performed both in the seated position and standing. Upper extremities resisted exercises also used a combination of weights and bands and were performed seated to ensure proper performance. Functional exercises such as repeated sit to stand, squats, and lunges were part of the program. The sustained exercise during each class promoted cardiovascular conditioning. Static and dynamic balance activities included single leg stance and tandem stance as well as repetitive stepping with increasing speed of performance. A cool-down period consisted of gentle active exercises in sitting (Table 2).

| Warm up (standing) (5 minutes) | Exercise | Marching in place | Side Stepping | Leg Kicks | Knees to chest | Scapular retraction | Forward/Backward Stepping | Shoulder press |
|--------------------------------|----------|------------------|---------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------|

| Stretching (seated and standing) (10 minutes) | Cervical Rotation | Cervical Lateral Flexion | Seated Trunk Rotation | Seated Hamstring/Calf | Shoulder Posterior Capsule |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|

| Lower Extremity Strengthening (seated and standing) (10 minutes) | Standing Calf | Standing IT Band | Standing Lateral Trunk Flexion | Seated Knee Extension | Seated Hip Flexion | Standing Knee Flexion | Standing Hip Abduction |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|

| Upper Extremity (seated) Strengthening (5 minutes) | Using dumbbell and exercise bands | Biceps Curls | Triceps Extension | Overhead Press | Internal/External Rotation | Upper Extremity PNF (D2) |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|

| Balance (standing) (5 minutes) | Single Leg Balance | Tandem Stance | Dynamic Single Leg Balance | Dynamic Stepping with Cues |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|

| Cool Down (seated) (5 minutes) | Seated Marches | Seated Knee Kicks | Seated Hip Abduction/Adduction | Seated Ankle Pumps |
|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|

Table 2: Interventions.

All exercises were performed to music that was selected by the participants. The complexity and speed of exercise and the amount of resistance were all steadily increased over the course of the program. Each class lasted 45-50 minutes with rest breaks as needed. Attendance was taken each session. The majorities of participants were members of the church and had pre-existing relationships with one another.

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM® SPSS statistics software package (24.0). Non-parametric analysis, specifically, the Wilcoxon Signed Rank test, was used to analyze change in duration and velocity from baseline measurements for the due to the fact that the data was not normally distributed. The level of significance was set at P<0.05.
Results

18 participants of the initial 23 (17 female, 1 male; mean age 74 years) completed the study (Table 3). 3 subjects were lost to follow up and 1 subject did not complete all of the outcome measures due to issues relating to vertigo.

A significant difference was found in the pre- and post-test measures of backward gait velocity (p=0.034), forward gait velocity (p=0.016), 30-second Chair Stand Test (p=0.001), TUG (p=0.022), and the FSST (p=0.001). Although there was no statistically significant difference found in the ABC scale, the overall scores increased (Table 4).

Discussion

This study examined the effects of a moderate intensity 5-week group-based exercise program on balance, lower extremity strength, and functional mobility in older adults 60 years of age and older. Although the duration of the program was significantly shorter than the 12 weeks that current evidence suggests in order for change to occur, the results of this study support the supposition that a moderate intensity exercise program of 5 weeks in duration can lead to measurable changes in lower extremity strength, balance and gait while also decreasing risk for falls.

Older adults who participated in a 5-week group-based exercise program demonstrated an increase in their gait velocity with both forward and backward walking. Along with improved gait velocity, there was also a general increase in balance confidence. Although not statistically significant, the increase in scores is clinically significant. Since the program focused on overall body strength, balance, and mobility to help the subjects improve their confidence and safety while ambulating within their community, it stands to reason that confidence would improve. With this improved confidence, individuals have an increased participation in community activities [6,26,27].

In this study subjects’ falls risk decreased as their forward gait velocity improved (Table 3). A study by Verghese et al. [28] found that individuals over the age of 70 with a forward gait velocity of less than 100 cm/s have an increased falls risk. Several participants in our study began with forward gait velocity below the threshold of 100 cm/s, but showed improvements throughout the program, resulting in a decrease of their falls risk. Overall, the forward gait velocity data points were found to be statistically significant when comparing pre- and post-testing (Figure 1) [29].

Fritz et al. [8] examined backward walking in individuals over the age of 65 to determine the effects of age on gait. Those who had a gait velocity of less than 60 cm/s were found to have an increased falls risk [8]. Similarly, some subjects in our study were below this threshold at the start of the program but demonstrated increased forward gait velocity at the conclusion of the program, decreasing their falls risk (Figure 2).

Our participants engaged in exercise activities with age-related peers from the same church or living community. We infer that this closeness promoted a collegiality that fostered a motivating environment for those who needed external means of encouragement. This group support may be part of the explanation as to why there were significant improvements in just 5-weeks, despite previous studies finding a much longer duration to achieve change.
This study had a number of limitations including the use of a sample of convenience instead of having a control group. The absence of a control group makes it difficult to know what part of the program had the greatest effect, the exercise program or the social interaction between group members. However, since there was significance in several variables, one can assume that the main effect was due to the exercises. Further randomized controlled trials are needed. Although the sample size was small, it did not seem to impact the ability to see a difference in function with treatment. Despite these limitations, significant improvements were detected over time, which indicates that this type of exercise program might be of great benefit to the older population. There were also some technological errors with the GAITRite® system which led to a few subjects being disqualified from the results because of improper registration of their footfalls.

Conclusion

Based on the data that has been collected through this study, it can be inferred that this 5-week group-based exercise program has proven to be effective in improving forward and backward gait velocity and decreasing the clinical risk for falls in older adults. It is therefore recommended that elements of this program be incorporated into physical therapy practice with sessions that are focused on achieving improved gait velocity and decreased falls risk in older adults. Additionally, upon conclusion of physical therapy treatment, older adults should continue to participate in a community-based group exercise program in order to improve strength, balance, and mobility to enhance overall health and wellness and prevent future falls.
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