MEASUREMENT OF TURBULENCE IN WIND TUNNEL WITH SCREENS
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Abstract. Turbulence in wind tunnel is measured by two methods – by the measurement of aerodynamic drag of sphere and by the hot-wire CTA measurement of turbulent fluctuations. Measurements are compared with the prediction of turbulence for various configurations of screens.
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1. NOMENCLATURE

$C_D$ – coefficient of aerodynamic drag, the drag force divided by dynamic pressure and crosssection area of sphere,

Re – Reynolds number,

$Re_{crit}$ – critical Re, where the $C_D$ or other parameter abruptly changes its value,

$I$ – turbulence intensity, ratio of root of mean square fluctuation of the velocity to average velocity (%),

$TF$ – turbulence factor, ratio of $Re_{crit}$ in free stream and $Re_{crit}$ in evaluated wind tunnel (for drag of a sphere, free stream $Re_{crit} = 385\,000$).

2. INTRODUCTION

The air flow quality (e.g. in wind tunnel) is evaluated by the turbulence level. It is important to know the level of turbulence fluctuations in both the free atmosphere and the artificial air flow (in wind-tunnel) and magnitude of its effect on measured aerodynamic forces. The flow quality in the test section of wind-tunnel can be improved by insertion of honeycomb and screens in the settling chamber of wind-tunnel.

The aim is to compare the agreement between turbulence levels measured by two independent experimental methods. The turbulence level is expressed in the values of the turbulence intensity and the turbulent factor. The effect of screens on decrease of turbulence level is expressed in the value of turbulence reduction coefficient.

The first method is based on measurement of aerodynamic drag of sphere (turbulent sphere) and the second method is based on slow hot-wire. Today it is believed that the sphere is obsolete method for determination of turbulence properties, and the technology of the first option for this task is hot-wire anemometry, in its CTA variant (which means Constant Temperature Anemometry).

However, the method with sphere is cheaper and more accessible for small school projects, therefore this paper aims at finding out what exactly are the limits and drawbacks of this method. The most important publications on measurement of turbulence by sphere are [1-9]. The measured data are mainly taken from the master thesis [10].

Examples of difficulties in aerodynamic measurements caused by effects of turbulence are described in [11,12]. The standard methods and instrumentation for measurement of air speed are described in [13-16].

Description of details during the development of accurate pressure probes and modeling of inviscid flow past sphere are shown in [17-19].
Preliminary results of comparison of both methods were presented in [20] and [21].

3. TURBULENCE

The exact definition what exactly the turbulence is, depends on specific situation. For example, the motion of large eddies in meteorologic scale doesn’t have effect on transition on boundary layer of small object therefore we don’t count it as a turbulence in the case of sphere. Dryden [7] advised to count only the flow fluctuations during the time interval of 10 x longitudinal size of object / velocity. The changes of the wind with longer periods are not considered as turbulence.

The main statistical properties of the turbulence, which determine its effect on the flow in boundary layer of sphere are turbulent intensity $I$, and turbulent length scale $L$.

Turbulent intensity is defined as a ratio of root of mean square fluctuation of the velocity to average velocity.

4. WIND-TUNNEL

The measurements are done in the large low-speed wind tunnel of the Faculty of Aeronautics of the Technical University in Košice. It is a low-speed 1x0.5m wind-tunnel (on Fig. 1), designed in 1955 by Miroslav Kryl during his stay in military aviation vocational school in Liptovsky Mikulas. The design copied the layout of similar tunnel in VZLU Praha. The propeller was manufactured in the Avia company. Later, the tunnel was installed in campus of air force academy in Kosice, and at that state it was used from 1981 till 2019. In the august, the old faulty thyristor speed controller, manufactured by ZPA Prešov, was replaced with the new electronic controller manufactured by Ing. Sivy.

The wind-tunnel is of Gottingen scheme, test section is oval cross-section 1x0.5 m, diffuser angle 5.9–6.3° between opposite walls, contraction ratio 3.9 and max. velocity with empty test section is 44.4 m/s. Instrumentation is a manually operated three-component mechanical balance by the design of Václav Smolař and Jiří Krejčí, located above test section.

The wind-tunnel was not equipped with screens. It has only very crude honeycomb. It was used mainly for demonstration of various aerodynamic phenomena to the cadets of air force academy and later public university. Because of doubts about turbulence properties its use for research projects was limited to simple flows with well-defined separation flows and pressure probes with attached flow.

![Figure 1 Layout of 1x0.5m low speed wind-tunnel of Technical university of Kosice](image-url)
5. SCREENS

The measured wind tunnel had three configurations:
1. without screens,
2. with one screen in settling chamber,
3. with the same screen in settling chamber and pair of screens in throat of nozzle, at the beginning of the test section.

Parameters of the screen in settling chamber are:
- material: glass fiber
- fiber diameter: 0.16 mm
- fiber spacing: 1.52 mm x 1.35 mm
- $\sigma$: 0.19
- $\beta$: 0.81
- $K_0$: 0.273 (calculated for $\theta=0$)
- $\alpha$: 0.93 (applies to small angles $\theta$)
- $Re$: 53 – 320 (at 5 – 30 m/s)

Parameters of the screens in throat of nozzle are:
- material: aluminium
- wire diameter: 0.22 mm
- wire spacing: 1.177 mm x 1.46 mm
- $\sigma$: 0.266
- $\beta$: 0.734
- $K_0$: 0.445 (calculated for $\theta=0$)
- $\alpha$: 0.89 (applies to small angles $\theta$)
- gap between pair of screens: 40 mm
- $Re$: 73 – 440 (at 5 – 30 m/s)

7. SPHERE AND ITS SUSPENSION

The sphere was made from a bowling ball. The same stock was used by Platt in 1936 because of its superior surface finish [4]. The sphere was suspended from the mechanical balance on three steel wires of diameter 0.3 mm. This kind of support was selected because of its simplicity.

The diameter of sphere is 216.4 mm. The deviation of surface from the ideal spherical shape, measured by waviness over the length 50 mm, is at most 60 $\mu$m, only the filling of holes has value 400 $\mu$m. Before the surface finish the suspension wires were inserted and fixed by a screw cam (Fig. 2, Fig. 3).

![Figure 2 Suspension of sphere in the single point.](image-url)
Fig. 2 depicts first version of suspension, where the interference to the flow in boundary layer was restricted to the single point on the surface. All three suspension wires arose from the same point. However, at some wind velocities during the measurement the oscillations developed and the rocking motion caused fatigue break of suspension wire. Then the second version of suspension (Fig. 3) was chosen which prevented oscillation and fatigue. Three long narrow channels were drilled in sphere and suspension wires were inserted into these channels.

8. SLOW HOT-WIRE ANEMOMETER

Dantec MiniCTA uses rugged but slow hot-wire probe 54T29. Instead of fragile wire of diameter 0.01 mm or less it uses more robust coil on ~0.5mm beam with higher thermal inertia (Fig. 4).

After simulation of step movement acceleration it was found, that the time constant of the probe is less than advertised. Reverse engineered time constant is ~0.045s (Fig. 5).

It means that theoretically it can be used to detect dominant frequencies of turbulent eddies at least. With the signal attenuated to 90% (i.e. -1dB) it can reconstruct the signal 1.75 Hz. The sampling frequency was chosen at 20 Hz.
Figure 5 Step response of Dantec 54T29 hot-wire probe (noisy blue curve) and comparison with the step-response of the 1st-order dynamic system with time constant of 0.045s (red curve).

9. RESULTS

Results of CD measurements, with low turbulence examples for comparison, are in the Fig. 6.

Figure 6 CD of sphere in dependency of Re in three configurations of the wind-tunnel of Technical university in Kosice (without screens, with 1 screen, with both 1 screen and 2 screens) and comparison with measurements in better wind tunnels made by Millikan in 1933[3] and Achenbach in 1972 [9].

In original state, without screens, Recrit=209 400 and TF=1.84. Corresponding turbulence intensity is 1.03%.

With the screen, Recrit=229 400 and TF=1.68. Corresponding turbulence intensity is 0.85%, it means ration of decrease of turbulence equal to 0.83. Prediction of the ratio of decrease based on geometry of the screen was between 0.706–0.840 (according Prandtl or Dryden).

The fluctuations measured by Dantec Mini-CTA with the probe 54T29 (sampling 20 Hz, time window 7s) give intensity 0.91% (in original state) and 0.70% (with one screen). Ratio of decrease is 0.77.
10. CONCLUSION

The turbulence intensity measured by both methods (sphere and slow CTA) is in good agreement. As can be seen in Fig. 6, only configuration with one screen decreases turbulence. The screens immediately in front of the test section don’t improve Recrit and this result was expected. In original state of the wind-tunnel the turbulence intensity is 1.03%. With one screen in settling chamber the turbulence intensity is 0.85%
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