Influence of the hydrophilic surface of nanofiber support on the performance of hybrid supercapacitors
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Abstract: Concerns associated with global warming and the depleting reserves of fossil fuels have highlighted the importance of high-performance energy storage systems (ESSs) for efficient energy usage. ESSs such as supercapacitors can contribute to improved power quality of an energy generation system, which is characterized by a slow load response. Composite materials are primarily used as supercapacitor electrodes because they can compensate for the disadvantages of carbon or metal oxide electrode materials. In this study, a composite of oxide nanoparticles loaded on a carbon nanofiber support was used as an electrode material for a hybrid supercapacitor. The addition of a small amount of hydrophobic Fe- and N-doped graphene nanoplates modified the surface properties of carbon nanofibers prepared by electrospinning. Accordingly, the effects of the hydrophobic/hydrophilic surface properties of the nanofiber support on the morphology of Co3O4 nanoparticles loaded on the nanofiber, as well as the performance of the supercapacitor, were systematically investigated.

Keywords: Composite electrode, Nanofiber support, Hydrophobic/hydrophilic properties, Dispensibility, Supercapacitor

1. Introduction

Recently, renewable energy forms such as solar energy and wind power have been attracting much interest due to fossil fuel depletion and environmental pollution concerns associated with global warming and climate change. With the increasing use of renewable energy, it is necessary to develop an energy storage system (ESS) to efficiently use the produced electrical energy. Recently, secondary batteries, such as Li-ion batteries, have been primarily used as ESSs with renewable energy. Supercapacitors, as electrical capacitors, are attractive ESSs that offer the advantages of a high power density and stable cycle life owing to their charge-storage mechanism, compared to secondary Li-ion batteries and conventional capacitors [1-4].

Supercapacitors are classified into three types according to the mechanism of energy storage: electrical double-layer capacitors (EDLCs), pseudocapacitors (PCs), and hybrid supercapacitors (HCs). EDLCs store energy by charge separation at the electrode-electrolyte interface, while PCs store energy through the Faradaic process. [5] HCs can provide high pseudocapacitance, in addition to the high capacitance provided by the electrical double layer at the supporting electrode surface [6]. EDLCs employ various carbon materials such as activated carbon [7], carbon nanotubes [8], carbon nanofibers (CNFs) [9], and
graphene [10] as electrodes because their large specific surface area provides a high capacity and their electrochemical stability provides a long service life. However, EDLCs employing carbon materials present the disadvantage of a low energy density. PCs using metal oxides such as RuO\(_2\) [11], NiO [12, 13], CoO\(_2\) [14, 15], MnO\(_2\) [16, 17], and conducting polymers [18] as electrode materials. Among the electrode materials, CoO\(_2\) has been considered promising because of its high theoretical specific capacitance (Cs = 3560 F/g) [19]. However, CoO\(_2\) exhibits a low charge/discharge rate, low capacity due to its low electroconductivity, and variations in the crystalline structure during the redox process [20].

To overcome the aforementioned drawbacks, studies have focused on hybrid supercapacitors using various metal oxide-loaded materials. [21-23]. Metal oxides were selected as the loading materials owing to their high electroconductivity compared to conducting polymers. Among the methods for fabricating metal oxide-loaded carbon materials, hydrothermal synthesis enables the synthesis of metal oxides at a low temperature and has been used in various applications [22-26]. Metal oxides prepared on carbon materials may be coated entirely on the carbon surface [24] or exist in the form of agglomerates on the carbon surface [25]. Hydrothermal synthesis generates crystals by raising the temperature and pressure of a homogeneous aqueous solution or precursor suspension. [26] To obtain a high specific capacitance through the addition of metal oxides, it is necessary to evenly distribute and grow metal oxides on the surface of carbon materials. The surface properties of the carbon material in the precursor suspension contribute crucially to the morphology of the metal oxide particles in the electrode. Furthermore, the surface characteristics of carbon materials can control the loading conditions of the metal oxide particles and thus affect the performance of supercapacitors (e.g., if the particles are well-loaded). Therefore, in this study, the relationship between the growth of metal oxide nanoparticles and the hydrophilic/hydrophobic characteristics of a CNF support was investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

A CNF support was prepared by electrospinning using a 10 wt. % polycrylonitrile (PAN, MW ≈ 150,000 g·mol\(^{-1}\), Sigma Aldrich Co.) solution. The prepared PAN solution was filled in a 10 mL syringe with a capillary tip (d = 0.5 mm). Electrospinning was performed with a variable high-voltage power supply (SHV30R ConverTech. Co., Korea); the anode of the power supply was clamped to a syringe needle tip, while the cathode was connected to an aluminum foil collector (diameter = 90 mm). The applied voltage was 20 kV, the distance between the nozzle and collector was 18 cm, and the supply rate of the solution was 0.8 mL/h. The collected electrospun fibers were stabilized at 523 K for 1 h, carbonized at 1073 K for 2 h in N\(_2\), and then activated at 1073 K for 2 h in CO\(_2\). CNFs with FeN@GnP (CNF-FeN@GnP) were also prepared by electrospinning. The solution consisted of 0.009 g FeN@GnP powder with 10 wt. % PAN solution, and was sufficiently dispersed using a sonicator. The experimental protocol thereafter was the same as that used for preparing the CNFs.

CoO\(_2\) nanoparticles were loaded by hydrothermal synthesis using the prepared nanofiber support. The hydrothermal reaction solution was prepared by adding cobalt(II) nitrate hexahydrate (Co(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)·6H\(_2\)O, 97%, Samchun Pure Chemical Co., Ltd.) and urea (NH\(_2\)CONH\(_2\), 98%, Sigma Aldrich Co.) to 80 mL of distilled water. The precursors and urea were mixed in a molar ratio of 3:0.5, followed by continuous stirring for 10 min. When the solute was completely dissolved, 0.1 g of the prepared nanofibers was added and stirred for 10 min. The solution was transferred to a Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at 393 K for 12 h, following which the autoclave was cooled to room temperature. CoO\(_2\)/CNF and CoO\(_2\)/CNF-FeN@GnP were obtained after repeated washing with distilled water and drying at 353 K in a vacuum oven.

Morphological observations and analysis of the elemental compositions of CNF, CNF-FeN@GnP, CoO\(_2\)/CNF, and CoO\(_2\)/CNF-FeN@GnP were performed by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, Hitachi, S-4800, Japan). Structural characterization of the prepared sample was carried out via X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a
MiniFlex 600 (Rigaku, Japan) diffractometer with Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å) in the 2θ range of 20°-80° at 4°/min. The specific surface areas and pore size distributions were determined by applying the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) equation to the isotherms recorded at 77 K (BELSORP-mini II, Microtrac BEL, USA). The weight change and thermal stability of the prepared samples were estimated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, PerkinElmer, TGA8000, USA). Chemical structures, such as functional groups, were examined using Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy (Spectrum Two, PerkinElmer, USA). The hydrophobic/hydrophilic properties were identified by contact angle measurements (Smart Drop, Femtobiomed, Korea).

Electrochemical measurements were performed using a battery testing system (BCS-815, Bio-logic, Germany). The area of each electrode was approximately 1 cm², and a 6 M KOH aqueous solution was used as the electrolyte. The electrode material was fabricated by mixing 80 wt.% of the active material, 10 wt.% of the binder (PVDF), and 10 wt.% of Super-P as a conductive carbon material, using N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone as the solvent, to yield a slurry. The slurry was pressed onto nickel foil as a current collector and dried at 373 K for 24 h. The GCD-specific capacitance was calculated using the following formula:

\[ C = \frac{I \cdot \Delta t}{m \cdot \Delta V} \]  

In the above formula, \( C \) (F•g⁻¹) denotes the specific capacitance, \( \Delta t \) (s) represents the discharge time, \( I \) (mA) is the discharge current, \( \Delta V \) (V) refers to the voltage, and \( m \) (mg) indicates the mass of the electrode material.

3. Results and Discussion

Fig. 1. FE-SEM image of (a) CNF (b) CNF-FeN@GnP (c) CoO₄/CNF (d) CoO₄/CNF-FeN@GnP and SEM-EDS analysis of (e) CoO₄/CNF (f) CoO₄/CNF-FeN@GnP

Fig. 1 (a, c) shows SEM images of the electrospun fibers after calcination at 1073 K in CO₂. The surface morphologies of the CNF and CNF-FeN@GnP nanofibers were rough due to the partial burn-off caused by the reaction between carbon and CO₂ during activation [27]. The surface of the CNF-FeN@GnP nanofiber was rougher than that of the CNFs because the FeN@GnP particles that were distributed on the nanofiber surface allowed less heat shrinkage during heat treatment [28]. The average diameters of the CNF and CNF-FeN@GnP nanofibers were approximately 333 nm and 350 nm, respectively. As an electrode material, a one-dimensional nanofiber is perceived to facilitate short pathways for electron transport in electrochemical reactions [29]. After hydrothermal synthesis in the cobalt solution, the morphology was maintained in the form of a fibrous framework, as shown in Fig. 1 (b, d), which confirmed that CoO₄ nanoparticles were loaded on the nanofiber support. Loading metal oxides such as CoO₄ can be effective in enhancing the performance of the supercapacitor because metal oxides are more redox-active than CNFs [30]. In addition, unlike in the CNF/FeN@GnP nanofiber support, agglomeration of the CoO₄ nanoparticles on the CNF support was detected after hydrothermal synthesis. To confirm the reason for the same, the hydrophobic/hydrophilic properties of the
nanofiber support were analyzed by contact angle measurements, the results of which are shown in Fig. 3. To further investigate the elemental composition of the nanoparticles, Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was conducted on Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF and Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF-FeN@GnP, and the micrographs are shown in Fig. 1 (e, f). The nanoparticles were determined to contain Co and O, confirming that Co$_3$O$_4$ was loaded on the nanofiber support.

**Figure 2.** X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of (a) CNF (b) CNF-FeN@GnP (c) Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF (d) Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF-FeN@GnP.

The crystallinity of CNF, CNF/FeN@GnP, Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF, and Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF-FeN@GnP was analyzed by XRD, and the results are shown in Fig. 2. The presence of the (002) peak in the 2θ range of 20°-30° in the XRD patterns of Fig. 2 (a, b) indicates the existence of amorphous carbon. After hydrothermal synthesis, the intensity of the peak corresponding to amorphous carbon decreases owing to the relatively high crystallinity of Co$_3$O$_4$ loaded on the nanofiber support. The results confirm that the prepared Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF and Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF-FeN@GnP are well-developed into Co$_3$O$_4$ (JCPDS No. 42-1467) crystalline structures, as shown in Fig. 2 (c, d), corresponding to Co$_3$O$_4$ phases with the (111), (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511), and (440) planes. In addition, Co$_3$O$_4$ does not exhibit any additional peak corresponding to any impurity or secondary phase. The presence of a Co$_3$O$_4$ peak in the XRD pattern confirms that the nanoparticles loaded on the nanofiber support are those of Co$_3$O$_4$, as also corroborated by the SEM-EDS results. These results prove that Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF and Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF-FeN@GnP were successfully prepared after hydrothermal synthesis [24].

**Figure 3.** Contact angle image of (a) CNF (b) CNF-FeN@GnP (c) FeN@GnP powder (d) comparison graph of contact angle.

The contact angle results for CNF and the CNF/FeN@GnP nanofiber support powders are shown in Fig. 3 (a, b). To determine whether the surface was hydrophobic (contact angle higher than 90°) or hydrophilic (contact angle lower than 90°), contact angle
measurements were conducted using water. The CNF support showed hydrophobic properties with a contact angle of 143.4°, while CNF/FeN@GnP showed hydrophilic properties with a contact angle of 41.7°. The contact angle of FeN@GnP powder was also measured to determine the hydrophilicity of CNF/FeN@GnP (Fig. 3 (c)). As shown in Fig. 3 (d), FeN@GnP powder had hydrophilic properties with a contact angle of 11.3°. Ju et al. reported that when carbon composite fibers were prepared by electrospinning a polymer solution containing graphene nanoplates, the nanoplates were distributed on the surface of the fibers by the centrifugal force generated during electrospinning [29]. Hence, it is expected that the properties of the CNF surface will be affected by the added FeN@GnP powder. Cheng et al. and Lu et al. reported that hydrophobic surface properties induce high agglomeration due to the strong hydrophobic interaction between particles [31, 32]. Therefore, compared to the hydrophilic FeN@GnP nanofiber support, the hydrophobic CNF support can enhance the aggregation of Co₃O₄ nanoparticles loaded on the surface of the nanofiber support, consistent with the SEM results.

Figure 4. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) spectra of CNF and CNF-FeN@GnP.

To further identify the hydrophobic/hydrophilic surface properties of CNF and the CNF-FeN@GnP nanofiber supports, the functional groups were determined by FT-IR spectroscopy. Fig. 4 shows that CNF and CNF/FeN@GnP possess functional groups such as -OH (3400 cm⁻¹), C-H (2900 cm⁻¹), C=C (1600 cm⁻¹), and -CH₃ (1380 cm⁻¹). The FT-IR peak intensities of CNF and CNF/FeN@GnP were difficult to confirm in the FT-IR spectra because a small amount (0.009 g) of FeN@GnP powder was added to the CNFs. However, the peak intensities of the -CH and -CH₃ groups of the CNFs were slightly higher than those of CNF/FeN@GnP. The integral values of the peak(-CH₃)/(C=C) for CNFs and CNF/FeN@GnP were 0.044 and 0.042, respectively. Zhu et al. reported that -CH [33] and -CH₃ groups [34] in the FT-IR spectra induce hydrophobic properties. Therefore, these results confirmed that the CNF support has hydrophobic properties, making the FT-IR results consistent with the contact angle measurements.

Figure 5. (a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and (b) pore distribution of CNF, CNF-FeN@GnP, Co₃O₄/CNF, and Co₃O₄/CNF-FeN@GnP.
Fig. 5 shows the nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of CNF, CNF/FeN@GnP, CoOx/CNF, and CoOx/CNF-FeN@GnP. The isotherms are typical type I, indicating the existence of well-developed micropores in the samples, as shown in Fig. 5 (a). The specific surface areas of CNF, CNF/FeN@GnP, CoOx/CNF, and CoOx/CNF-FeN@GnP were 598.25, 509.38, 342.98, and 391.88 m²/g, respectively. The specific surface areas of CNF and the CNF/FeN@GnP nanofibers were similar. CNF/FeN@GnP had a slightly lower specific surface area than the CNFs because FeN@GnP was partially embedded in the CNFs and provides a slightly less rough nanofiber surface of FeN@GnP. In addition, the pore volumes of CNF, CNF/FeN@GnP, CoOx/CNF, and CoOx/CNF-FeN@GnP were 0.2757, 0.2499, 0.1883, and 0.1966 cm³/g, respectively, as shown in Fig. 5 (b). The smaller pore volume of CNF/FeN@GnP compared to that of the CNFs can additionally confirm the effect of FeN@GnP powder on the specific surface area. In addition, the specific surface area decreased after loading CoOx nanoparticles onto CNF and the CNF/FeN@GnP nanofiber supports because the loaded nanoparticles induced a decrease in the pore volume of the nanofiber. These results were confirmed by a decrease in the pore volume intensity, as shown in Fig. 5 (b).

![Figure 6](image-url)  
Figure 6. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) curves of CNF, CNF-FeN@GnP, CoOx/CNF, and CoOx/CNF-FeN@GnP.

Fig. 6 shows the TGA curves of CNF, CNF-FeN@GnP, CoOx/CNF, and CoOx/CNF-FeN@GnP in air. Overall, the nanofibers show a weight-loss stage of approximately 10% up to 273–373 K. This weight loss corresponds to the evaporation of water and the solvent. Significant weight loss occurs between 723 and 873 K. The second weight loss is attributed to the low thermal stability of the CNFs. The loaded content of CoOx was predicted from the residual content after burning out the weight lost by PAN and the FeN@GnP-embedded PAN fiber composite in the air. The residual contents of CNF, CNF-FeN@GnP, CoOx/CNF, and CoOx/CNF-FeN@GnP were 0.5, 1.5, 12.5, and 12.8, respectively. The higher weights of CoOx/CNF and CoOx/CNF-FeN@GnP compared to those of CNF and CNF-FeN@GnP in the TGA curve indicated that similar CoOx nanoparticles were well-loaded on CNFs and CNF/FeN@GnP. In comparison to CNFs, the CNF-FeN@GnP nanofibers were confirmed to have undergone a rapid weight loss. In addition, after loading CoOx nanoparticles on the nanofiber support, weight loss occurred faster at a lower temperature than that in the pristine nanofiber supports, as shown in the TGA curves (Fig. 6). It is assumed that the interaction of the surface of the nanofibers may be affected by the loaded CoOx nanoparticles or Fe in FeN@GnP. Oh et al. reported that the carbon around metal particles is easily decomposed by the chemical catalytic effect of the metal particles at high temperatures [35]. The faster weight loss originates from the chemical decomposition of carbon during burn-off by Fe in FeN@GnP or loaded CoOx.
Fig. 7 (a) shows typical Nyquist plots of the CNF and CNF-FeN@GnP samples measured under open-circuit conditions. The polarization resistance of CNF/FeN@GnP was evaluated to be approximately 62.9 Ω, which is much lower than that of the CNFs (73.9 Ω). A lower polarization resistance leads to improved conductivity [36] and faster charge transport owing to the redox properties of FeN@GnP. Fig. 7 (b) shows Nyquist plots of Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF (1.49 Ω) and Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF-FeN@GnP (0.2 Ω). Co$_3$O$_4$ loading on each nanofiber support resulted in a lower polarization resistance compared to those of other nanofiber supports. Co$_3$O$_4$ loading increases the electroconductivity through the redox reaction of metal oxides and can improve the performance of the supercapacitor [37]. In the GCD curve (Fig. 7 (c)), Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF-FeN@GnP shows an excellent specific capacitance of 668 F/g compared to those of the other samples (CNF, CNF-FeN@GnP, Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF have capacitances of 71, 102, and 291.1 F/g, respectively). The Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF and Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF-FeN@GnP nanofibers show different results despite being loaded with Co$_3$O$_4$. This is because the nanoparticles are more evenly dispersed and grown on the surface of the Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF-FeN@GnP nanofibers because of the hydrophilic properties of the CNF/FeN@GnP nanofiber support. The loading amount of Co$_3$O$_4$ particles was confirmed to be similar in TGA, but it is obvious that the dispersion and agglomeration of Co$_3$O$_4$ particles affected the difference in the performance. Therefore, this study reveals the dependence of the dispersibility of the loaded nanoparticles on the hydrophobic/hydrophilic surface properties of nanofibers for advanced supercapacitor materials.

5. Conclusions

In this study, a composite electrode material was prepared using a two-step process. Two types of nanofiber samples, CNFs and CNF-FeN@GnP nanofibers, were prepared by electrospinning, following which Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles were loaded onto the nanofiber support via hydrothermal synthesis. Depending on the hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of the two types of nanofibers, there was a difference in the dispersibility when loading the nanoparticles onto the nanofibers. CNF-FeN@GnP with hydrophilic surface properties enabled the even loading of Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles on the surface of the nanofiber support compared to CNFs. The prepared Co$_3$O$_4$/CNF-FeN@GnP nanofiber exhibited an excellent capacitance of 668 F/g owing to the high redox characteristics of evenly dispersed Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. Thus, the results proved that the dispersibility of the loaded nanoparticles and its dependence on the hydrophobic/hydrophilic surface properties of the nanofibers are crucial for determining the usefulness of the prepared materials as electrodes.
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