Working for Long Hours Is Associated With Dietary Fiber Insufficiency
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It has been suggested that long working hours are associated with various diseases through dietary patterns. However, few studies have reported the association between working hours and dietary habits. Thus, the objective of this cross-sectional study was to explore the difference in dietary fiber intake by working hours. Data of a total of 10,760 workers in South Korea who participated in the Korea National Health and Nutrition Survey (KNHANES), a nation-wide survey, were analyzed to determine different distributions of dietary fiber insufficiency using multiple logistic regression models. Fiber insufficiency proportion was different from working hour groups. 70.1% of the total population eat fiber insufficiently. Working <40 h group showed 66.8% of fiber insufficiency. But working more than 52 h group marked 73.2% of fiber insufficiency. Logistic regression analysis of 10,760 nation-wide study participants revealed that working for 41–52 h a week (OR: 1.32, 95% CI: 1.18–1.47) and working for over 52 h a week (OR: 1.42, 95% CI: 1.25–1.62) were significantly associated with insufficient fiber intake compared to workers with standard working hours (30–40 h a week). These associations were still robust in an adjusted model, with working for 41–52 h a week (OR: 1.13, 95% CI: 1.01–1.27) and working for over 52 h (OR: 1.26, 95% CI: 1.09–1.45) showing high associations with dietary fiber insufficiency. Those with long working hours tend to have insufficient intake of dietary fiber. To promote desirable dietary habits, intervention programs on working conditions should be considered.
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INTRODUCTION

Diet is an important factor that affects human health. Many evidence-based studies have shown that taking fruits, non-starchy vegetables, and minimally processed whole grains can prevent cardiovascular diseases (1). Foods with priority for health generally contain high contents of dietary fibers, which are plant-derived materials that are resistant to digestion by human alimentary enzymes (2). They are associated with decreased risk of a number of diseases by improving lipid profiles and inflammatory markers (3).

Long working hours are closely associated with various adverse health effects. A series of meta-analyses and systematic reviews have consistently shown that long working hours can increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases (4–6).
by which long working hours increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases can be explained by the association between long working hours and the incidence of hypertension or diabetes mellitus (7). Long working hours are also associated with poor disease control in patients diagnosed with diabetes mellitus (8).

However, two studies with a longitudinal design conducted in Japan did not show any significant relation of long working hours with hypertension or diabetes mellitus (9, 10). In a large meta-analysis, the association between diabetes mellitus and long working hours was limited to groups with a low socioeconomic status (11). These findings suggest that biological mechanisms could not solely explain the increased risk of cardiovascular disease by working for long hours. Psychosocial and/or behavioral mechanisms should also be considered (12).

Physical activity is a good example because there are irrefutable evidences that physical activity can prevent adverse health conditions including cardiovascular diseases (13). As long working hours are associated with subjective symptoms such as fatigue and headache, people with long working hours tend to spend less time in physical activity (14, 15). Furthermore, people with long working hours are more likely to increase their alcohol use (16). A recent systematic review has shown that those who have long working hours are at increased risk of obesity, which might be a result of unhealthy behaviors and/or psychosocial factors (17, 18).

A previous study has also shown that younger workers with long hours and shift work schedule do not have sufficient intake of micronutrients with under-intake of total energy and nutrition (19). As described above, many previous studies have focused on behavioral changes caused by long working hours. However, dietary behaviors were not dealt with. Considering that long working hours are known to cause behavioral changes, differences in dietary behaviors between people who work for long hours and those who do not work for long hours are expected. Thus, the objective of this study was to explore differences in dietary fiber intake by long working hours.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Study Participants**

This study used the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination survey (KNHANES), which was conducted by Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. KNHANES is an annual cross-sectional survey to determine participants’ health status and nutrient status representing the entire Korean population. This survey consisted of health examinations, dietary interviews, and laboratory tests. Study participants were selected by stratification of geographical location, housing type, sex, age, and education level to represent the national population. We used KNHANES data from 2013 to 2019 (7 years) when the survey contained information on dietary fiber intake. As a result, 49,089 participants were included initially. We limited study participants to a working population. People with any missing values for important variables (e.g., dietary information, working hours) were excluded. Moreover, we excluded participants with working hours for <30 h per week (not full-time workers) to assure study eligibility (20). As a result, 10,760 participants were eligible for this study.

**Demographic Characteristics**

In KNHANES, demographic characteristics were collected using a questionnaire. We collected information on sex, age, household income, education level, body mass index (BMI), alcohol drinking, and smoking status. Occupational groups were divided into two groups: manual workers (agriculture, forestry and fisheries workers, craft, device and machine operators, assembly workers, and simple laborer) and non-manual workers (managers and professionals, office workers, and service and sales workers) (21). Employment status was categorized into three groups: full-time employment, temporary job, and day labor. Shiftwork status was categorized into two groups: shiftwork and non-shiftwork. The shiftwork group consisted of all types of working types except day work, such as three shifts, permanent night work, evening shift work, 24-h shifts, and so on.

**Working Hours**

Working hour was asked with the following question: “What is the average weekly working hours at work, including overtime? (Eating time is excluded)”. According to Korean Labor standards Act Article 50, statutory working hours per week should be limited to within 40 h per week. Working for up to 52 h per week is allowed by overtime or holiday works. Working for more than 52 h per week is illegal basically. However, it is allowed for some special occupational groups, for example, health care workers. People who worked for <30 h were excluded from this study. Therefore, working hours per week were categorized into three groups: (1) 40 h or shorter (30–40 h), (2) from 41 to 52 h (41–52 h), and (3) longer than 52 h (>52 h).

**Dietary Fiber Intake**

Nutrition intake was surveyed using the 1-day (24-h) recall food survey method. A nutrition survey was executed with face-to-face interviews by trained surveyors. Study participants were asked to recall all kinds and portions of food and beverage. Even the same food was classified according to whether it was cooked as a school meal, at home or at a restaurant. According to the predetermined recipe database (DB) conducted by KNHANES, consumed food was converted to ingredients and mass. And according to predetermined food nutritional ingredient DB, each ingredient was calculated as a nutrient profile. Nutrient information was calculated according to the predetermined recipe DB (22). Total energy and fiber intake was used in this study. Fiber intake per daily energy intake, which was daily consumed fiber (g) divided by daily energy intake (kcal), was then calculated. Fiber insufficiency was defined as daily fiber intake <14 g/1,000 kcal (23).

**Statistical Analysis**

Demographic characteristics, which were categorical variables, were compared among the three working hour groups using Chi-squared tests. Because KNHANES was extracted and stratified with a multi-stage clustered design, data were analyzed considering survey weights. KNHANES is provided with a
Among a total of 10,760 study participants, 4,973 (46.2%), 3,694 (34.3%), and 2,093 (19.5%) worked for <40 h a week, 41–52 h a week, and more than 52 h a week, respectively. Demographic characteristics showed statistically significant differences by weekly working hours. More women (54.8%) worked for <40 h per week and more men (70.2%) worked for more than 50 h per week. The proportion of overweight was the largest in the group working for more than 52 h a week (38.9%). The proportion of those with drinking habits was the largest in the group working for more than 52 h per week (23.8%). Smoking habit was also more prevalent in the group working for more than 52 h per week (34.5%). Household income tended to be lower in the group working for more than 52 h per week (Table 1).

The mean daily fiber intake of the total population was 25.1 ± 13.4 grams. Mean fiber per 1,000 kcal (daily energy intake) was 12.2 ± 5.4 grams. The majority (70.1%) of the total population had an insufficient intake of dietary fibers. When working hour groups were compared, the total amount of dietary fiber was consumed the most by the group working for more than 52 h per week. However, the amount of fiber per 1,000 kcal was the lowest in the group working for more than 52 h per week. As a result, the prevalence of fiber insufficiency was the highest in the group working for more than 52 h per week (Table 2).

To identify covariates showing statistically significant associations with fiber insufficiency, simple logistic regression analysis was performed. In all age groups, males (OR: 1.61, 95% CI: 1.46–1.77), drinkers (OR: 1.98, 95% CI: 1.73–2.28), past smokers (OR: 1.19, 95% CI: 1.06–1.34), current smokers (OR: 2.27, 95% CI: 1.99–2.58), university graduates (OR: 1.3, 95% CI: 1.19–1.44), working for 41–52 h per week (OR: 1.32, 95% CI: 1.18–1.47), and working for more than 52 h per week (OR: 1.42, 95% CI: 1.25–1.62) were significantly associated with insufficient fiber intake (Table 3). The risk of dietary fiber deprivation varied greatly among age groups. In particular, the risk of dietary fiber shortage in the age group of 20s (OR: 6.77, 95% CI: 5.46–8.41) was six times higher than that for those in their 60s as a reference group. Because of such discrepancy by age groups, we stratified subjects by age groups. In the group of 20s, only current smokers (OR: 2.55, 95% CI: 1.54–4.23) were associated with fiber insufficiency. Working for more than 52 h per week was also associated with fiber insufficiency (Table 3).

Multiple logistic regression model was established after adjusting for gender, age groups, job groups, drinking habits, smoking habits, and education that showed significant associations with fiber insufficiency in simple logistic regression analysis. After adjustment, compared to the group working for <40 h per week, the group working for 41–52 h per week (OR: 1.13, 95% CI: 1.01–1.27) and the group working for more than 52 h per week (OR: 1.26, 95% CI: 1.09–1.45) had higher risk of fiber insufficiency. After stratifying subjects into different age groups, the same trend was observed for all age groups except for the age group of 20s or 30s (Table 4).

**RESULTS**

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we found an association between long working hours and daily dietary fiber intake. This association was especially significant in older age groups, while relatively younger age groups (20s, 30s, and 40s) did not show such association between long working hours and dietary fiber intake.

Increasing working hours inevitably reduce leisure time, which tends to reduce unpaid work to exploit this limited leisure time (24). According to an OECD report in 2014, Korean people spent 1.4 h per day for routine household works, including cooking, cleaning, and home maintenance (25). Lack of time...
TABLE 1 | Demographic characteristics of study participants.

|                      | Total       | Weekly working hours | P-value |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------|
|                      | N (%)       | 30–40 h              | 41–52 h | >52 h |
| Total                | 10,760 (100%) | 4,973 (46.2%)       | 3,694 (34.3%) | 2,093 (19.5%) |
| Sex                  |             |                      |         |       |
| Male                 | 5,872 (54.6%) | 2,246 (45.2%)       | 2,156 (58.4%) | 1,470 (70.2%) |
| Female               | 4,888 (45.4%) | 2,727 (54.8%)       | 1,538 (41.6%) | 623 (29.8%) |
| Age                  |             |                      |         |       |
| 20–29                | 1,447 (13.4%) | 676 (13.6%)         | 556 (15.1%) | 215 (10.3%) |
| 30–39                | 2,807 (26.1%) | 1,228 (24.7%)       | 1,061 (28.7%) | 518 (24.7%) |
| 40–49                | 3,004 (27.9%) | 1,435 (28.9%)       | 1,027 (27.8%) | 542 (25.9%) |
| 50–59                | 2,243 (20.8%) | 1,048 (21.1%)       | 723 (19.6%) | 472 (22.6%) |
| 60 and older         | 1,259 (11.7%) | 586 (11.8%)         | 327 (8.9%) | 346 (16.5%) |
| Household income     |             |                      |         |       |
| Low                  | 7,891 (73.3%) | 3,718 (74.8%)       | 2,785 (75.4%) | 1,388 (66.3%) |
| High                 | 2,869 (26.7%) | 1,255 (25.2%)       | 909 (24.6%) | 705 (33.7%) |
| Education            |             |                      |         |       |
| Under highschool     | 4,977 (46.3%) | 2,114 (42.5%)       | 1,613 (43.7%) | 1,250 (66.7%) |
| University           | 5,783 (53.7%) | 2,859 (57.5%)       | 2,081 (56.3%) | 843 (40.3%) |
| Body mass index      |             |                      |         |       |
| Normal               | 7,131 (66.3%) | 3,422 (68.8%)       | 2,430 (65.8%) | 1,279 (61.1%) |
| Overweight or obese  | 3,629 (33.7%) | 1,551 (31.2%)       | 1,264 (34.2%) | 814 (38.9%) |
| Drinking             |             |                      |         |       |
| Non-drinker          | 8,790 (81.7%) | 4,206 (84.6%)       | 2,990 (80.9%) | 1,594 (76.2%) |
| Drinker              | 1,970 (18.3%) | 767 (15.4%)         | 704 (19.1%) | 499 (23.8%) |
| Smoking              |             |                      |         |       |
| Non smoker           | 5,706 (53.0%) | 2,996 (60.2%)       | 1,889 (51.1%) | 821 (39.2%) |
| Past smoker          | 2,504 (23.3%) | 1,076 (21.6%)       | 878 (23.8%) | 550 (26.3%) |
| Current smoker       | 2,550 (23.7%) | 901 (18.1%)         | 927 (25.1%) | 722 (35.4%) |
| Occupation group     |             |                      |         |       |
| Manual worker        | 3,472 (32.3%) | 1,316 (26.5%)       | 1,182 (32.0%) | 974 (46.5%) |
| Non-manual worker    | 7,288 (67.7%) | 3,657 (73.5%)       | 2,512 (68.0%) | 1,119 (53.5%) |
| Employment status    |             |                      |         |       |
| Full time job        | 9,083 (84.4%) | 4,231 (85.1%)       | 3,179 (86.1%) | 1,673 (79.9%) |
| Temporary job        | 1,245 (11.6%) | 553 (11.1%)         | 398 (10.8%) | 294 (14.0%) |
| Day labor            | 432 (4.0%)   | 189 (3.8%)          | 117 (3.2%) | 126 (6.0%) |
| Shiftwork            |             |                      |         |       |
| Daywork              | 9,312 (86.5%) | 4,449 (89.5%)       | 3,221 (87.2%) | 1,642 (78.5%) |
| Shiftwork            | 1,448 (13.5%) | 524 (10.5%)         | 473 (12.8%) | 451 (21.5%) |
| Dining out           |             |                      |         |       |
| Less than once a week| 1,169 (10.9%) | 532 (10.7%)         | 314 (8.5%) | 323 (15.5%) |
| 1–6 times a week     | 4,951 (46.1%) | 2,508 (50.5%)       | 1,867 (45.2%) | 776 (37.3%) |
| More than once a day | 4,613 (43.0%) | 1,922 (38.7%)       | 1,707 (40.3%) | 984 (47.2%) |

spent for preparing and cooking might be related to an unhealthy diet pattern (26). In our study, 47.2% of people who work for more than 52 h per week eat out daily.

If people don’t have much time to rest, they are tended to eat out rather than cook at home. Eating out behavior tend to intake higher energy, fat, and sodium, but lower fruits and vegetables, which contain rich of fiber. In the previous study, there was even association between frequency of eating away and risk of all causes of mortality rate (27). For the lack of time, working people tend to eat ready-made meals or convenience food. According to the previous study, Korean people intake more calories from these foods than 20 years ago (28). These foods also tend to have less fiber and higher fat, sodium, and energy (28, 29).

In early researches, dietary fiber was known to be associated with numerous diseases and abnormal conditions such as simple constipation, diverticulitis, and even possible colon...
### TABLE 2 | Difference of dietary fiber intake according to working hours per week.

|                          | Total population | Working hours per week |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|                          |                 | 30–40 h | 41–52 h | >52 h | P-value |
| **Fiber (g)**            | 25.1 ± 13.4     | 24.9 ± 13.4 | 25.1 ± 13.2 | 25.2 ± 13.4 | 0.591 |
| **Energy (kcal)**        | 2168.5 ± 962.1  | 2080.1 ± 916.2 | 2227.6 ± 980.3 | 2274.1 ± 1016.5 | <0.001 |
| **Fiber per energy (g/kcal)** | 12.2 ± 5.4     | 12.8 ± 5.6 | 11.8 ± 5.2 | 11.7 ± 5.3 | <0.001 |
| **Fiber insufficiency**  |                 |           |           |           | <0.001 |
| Sufficient (≥14 g/kcal)  | 3217 (29.9%)    | 1649 (33.2%) | 1008 (27.3%) | 560 (26.8%) |
| Insufficient (<14 g/kcal) | 7543 (70.1%)    | 3324 (66.8%) | 2686 (72.7%) | 1533 (73.2%) |

### TABLE 3 | Logistic regression models for dietary fiber insufficiency (<14 g/kcal per day).

|                          | Proportion of fiber insufficiency (<14 g/kcal) | Crude model | Adjusted model* |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
|                          | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval |
| **Sex**                  |           |                         |           |                         |
| Male                     | 74.8%     | (Ref)                   | (Ref)     | (Ref)                   |
| Female                   | 64.4%     | 1.81                    | 1.48–1.77 | 1.29                    | 1.12–1.48 |
| **Age**                  |           |                         |           |                         |
| 20–29                    | 87.5%     | 6.77                    | 5.46–8.41 | 8.55                    | 6.70–10.92 |
| 30–39                    | 81.4%     | 4.44                    | 3.76–5.24 | 5.04                    | 4.17–6.11 |
| 40–49                    | 70.8%     | 2.58                    | 2.21–3.02 | 2.90                    | 2.44–3.44 |
| 50–59                    | 54.7%     | 1.23                    | 1.05–1.44 | 1.31                    | 1.11–1.55 |
| 60 and older             | 50.6%     | (Ref)                   | (Ref)     | (Ref)                   |
| **Household income**     |           |                         |           |                         |
| Low                      | 69.5%     | (Ref)                   | (Ref)     | (Ref)                   |
| High                     | 70.3%     | 1.07                    | 0.96–1.19 | 1.12                    | 0.99–1.26 |
| **Education**            |           |                         |           |                         |
| Under high school        | 66.2%     | (Ref)                   | (Ref)     | (Ref)                   |
| University               | 73.4%     | 1.3                     | 1.19–1.44 | 0.93                    | 0.82–1.06 |
| **BMI**                  |           |                         |           |                         |
| Normal                   | 69.7%     | (Ref)                   | (Ref)     | (Ref)                   |
| Overweight or obese      | 70.9%     | 1.01                    | 0.92–1.12 | 0.93                    | 0.83–1.04 |
| **Drinking**             |           |                         |           |                         |
| Non-drinker              | 67.5%     | (Ref)                   | (Ref)     | (Ref)                   |
| Drinker                  | 81.7%     | 1.98                    | 1.73–2.28 | 1.63                    | 1.4–1.9 |
| **Smoking**              |           |                         |           |                         |
| Nonsmoker                | 65.0%     | (Ref)                   | (Ref)     | (Ref)                   |
| Past smoker              | 70.2%     | 1.19                    | 1.06–1.34 | 1.16                    | 1.00–1.36 |
| Current smoker           | 81.4%     | 2.27                    | 1.99–2.58 | 1.63                    | 1.38–1.92 |
| **Occupation group**     |           |                         |           |                         |
| Manual worker            | 67.6%     | (Ref)                   | (Ref)     | (Ref)                   |
| Non-manual worker        | 71.3%     | 1.09                    | 0.98–1.20 | 0.88                    | 0.77–1.00 |
| **Employment status**    |           |                         |           |                         |
| Full time job            | 70.3%     | (Ref)                   | (Ref)     | (Ref)                   |
| Temporary job            | 69.6%     | 1.04                    | 0.89–1.21 | 0.93                    | 0.79–1.11 |
| Daily labor              | 67.6%     | 1.08                    | 0.85–1.37 | 1.35                    | 1.04–1.76 |
| **Shiftwork**            |           |                         |           |                         |
| Day work                 | 70.3%     | (Ref)                   | (Ref)     | (Ref)                   |
| Shiftwork                | 68.7%     | 0.99                    | 0.86–1.14 | 0.9                     | 0.77–1.05 |
| **Weekly working hours** |           |                         |           |                         |
| 30h–40h                  | 66.8%     | (Ref)                   | (Ref)     | (Ref)                   |
| 41h–52h                  | 72.7%     | 1.32                    | 1.18–1.47 | 1.14                    | 1.02–1.28 |
| 52h<                     | 73.2%     | 1.42                    | 1.25–1.62 | 1.27                    | 1.10–1.47 |

*Adjusted for sex, body mass index, occupation, drinking, smoking, household income, education, and shiftwork.
carcinoma (30). Moreover, because dietary fiber can act as a vehicle for fecal water by increasing fecal volume, (2) it is important for preventing colorectal disorders, especially adenomas and carcinomas. However, systematic reviews did not draw conclusive evidence that dietary fiber could prevent them (31, 32). A few studies have suggested an association between working time and colorectal cancer. In a case-control study conducted in Spain, workers who had ever performed a rotating shift work showed an increased risk of colorectal cancer compared to daytime workers (33). Also, a multicenter cohort has reported a hazard ratio of 1.41 of working for 55 h per week or more compared to workers who work for 35–40 h per week, although the result is not statistically significant (34). Suggested mechanisms involved in the association of long working time with colorectal cancer include hormonal effects mainly. However, results of the current study suggest another mechanism via distortion of dietary habits by working hours.

Besides health conditions regarding colon, hormonal effects of dietary fiber have been emphasized. Glycemic index is a major index for glucose metabolism. Generally, foods that contain high dietary fiber have small glycemic indices. A low glycemic index meal rich in dietary fiber can improve glucose tolerance (35). Therefore, consistent dietary fiber intake is associated with low risk of metabolic syndrome, although evidences from cohort data are insufficient (36, 37). Long working hours are well-known as a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases. Large cohort studies and systematic reviews have consistently reported the relationship of cardiovascular diseases with long working hours (4, 6, 16). It is mainly explained by the fact that working for a long time affects sympathetic nervous activity or accelerates thrombotic reaction (38, 39). Some studies with long working hours have shown the elevation of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, which is a risk factor of cardiovascular disease via systemic inflammation (40, 41). A recent study has shown that dietary fiber intake could lower the risk of other disorders such as non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and hyperuricemia (42, 43).

This study emphasized the association between working hours and dietary fiber intake, showing significant differences by age groups. We could only observe the association of long working hours with dietary fiber intake in relatively old age groups in stratified analyses because effects from age were much greater than those from working hours. We assume that there is not only an age effect, but also a cohort effect, meaning that the difference might be caused by participants’ birth year (thus the generation). For example, dietary habits of people who were born in the 1960s and those of people who were born in the 1990s might be totally different because of the generational difference in dietary habits. Furthermore, because Korea achieved economic growth rapidly, the association could be more exaggerated in Korea than in other countries. However, few studies have discussed this topic.

### Table 4: Logistic regression models for dietary fiber insufficiency (<14 g/kcal per day).

| Weekly working hours | Proportion of fiber insufficiency (<14 g/kcal) | Odds ratio* | 95% confidence interval | P for trend |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------|
| All age              |                                               |             |                        |           |
| 30–40 h              | 66.8% (Ref)                                   |             |                        | 0.001     |
| 41–52 h              | 72.7%                                         | 1.14        | 1.02–1.28              |           |
| >52 h                | 73.2%                                         | 1.27        | 1.10–1.47              |           |
| Age 20s              |                                               |             |                        |           |
| 30–40 h              | 86.1% (Ref)                                   |             |                        | 0.414     |
| 41–52 h              | 88.5%                                         | 1.32        | 0.89–1.93              |           |
| >52 h                | 89.3%                                         | 1.09        | 0.63–1.91              |           |
| Age 30s              |                                               |             |                        |           |
| 30–40 h              | 80.0% (Ref)                                   |             |                        | 0.379     |
| 41–52 h              | 81.2%                                         | 0.94        | 0.74–1.2              |           |
| >52 h                | 84.9%                                         | 1.22        | 0.88–1.69              |           |
| Age 40s              |                                               |             |                        |           |
| 30–40 h              | 66.8% (Ref)                                   |             |                        | 0.185     |
| 41–52 h              | 74.1%                                         | 1.25        | 1.01–1.54              |           |
| >52 h                | 75.3%                                         | 1.12        | 0.86–1.46              |           |
| Age 50s              |                                               |             |                        |           |
| 30–40 h              | 50.8% (Ref)                                   |             |                        | 0.033     |
| 41–52 h              | 55.9%                                         | 1.09        | 0.87–1.36              |           |
| >52 h                | 61.7%                                         | 1.34        | 1.03–1.74              |           |
| Age 60s or older     |                                               |             |                        |           |
| 30–40 h              | 45.7% (Ref)                                   |             |                        | 0.007     |
| 41–52 h              | 51.1%                                         | 1.19        | 0.87–1.84              |           |
| >52 h                | 58.4%                                         | 1.55        | 1.13–2.12              |           |

*All stratified models were adjusted for sex, body mass index, occupation, drinking, smoking, household income, education, and shiftwork.
Therefore, more detailed analyses about the age-cohort effect on dietary fiber intake are needed in the future.

Because this study used nation-wide representative data, we could expect robustness of statistical analyses. Qualified dietary fiber intake could be helpful. Confounding factors such as economic status, educational level, and other health behaviors might affect dietary fiber intake. However, our multiple regression analysis model was robust after adjusting for potential confounders.

This is the first study suggesting that workers with long working hours could have problems of health behaviors due to dietary fiber insufficiency. Thus, professionals in occupational health should focus on dietary habits of workers with long working hours. Moreover, more detailed analyses dealing with causal factors for dietary fiber insufficiency in workers with long working hours are needed.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary materials, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT
The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Institutional Review Board of St. Mary's Hospital, Catholic University of Korea. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
JM and JL conceptualized, established the design of the study, and wrote the manuscript. D-WL and M-YK analyzed and interpreted data. J-PM and H-RK interpreted data and revised the manuscript critically. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
We would like to appreciate to KNHANES team, Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency, for providing the data.

REFERENCES
1. Mozaffarian D. Dietary and policy priorities for cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and obesity: a comprehensive review. Circulation. (2016) 133:187–225. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.018585
2. Kay RM. Dietary fiber. J Lipid Res. (1982) 23:221–42. doi: 10.1016/S0022-2275(20)38151-7
3. Soliman GA. Dietary fiber, atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular disease. Nutrients. (2019) 11:E1555. doi: 10.3390/nu11051155
4. Virtanen M, Heikikila K, Jokela M, Ferrie JE, Benny GD, Vahtera J, et al. Long working hours and coronary heart disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Epidemiol. (2012) 176:586–96. doi: 10.1093/aje/kws139
5. Kiwimaki M, Jokela M, Nyberg ST, Singh-Manoux A, Fransson EI, Alfredsson L, et al. Long working hours and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis of published and unpublished data for 603,838 individuals. Lancet. (2015) 386:1739–46. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60295-1
6. Kang MV, Park H, Seo JC, Kim D, Lim YH, Lim S, et al. Long working hours and cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis of epidemiologic studies. J Occup Environ Med. (2012) 54:532–7. doi: 10.1097/JOM.0b013e31824f192
7. Yang H, Schnall PL, Jauregui M, Su TC, Baker D. Work hours and self-reported hypertension among working people in California. Hypertension. (2006) 48:744–50. doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.0000238327.41911.52
8. Lee J, Kim HR, Jang TW, Lee DW, Jeong C, Kang MY. Poor glycemic control in workers with diabetes mellitus in relation to long working hours: a cross-sectional study. J Occup Health. (2020) 58:451–5. doi: 10.2486/joindhealth.58.451
9. Tarumi K, Hagihara A, Morimoto K. A prospective observation of onset of health defects associated with working hours. Ind Health. (2003) 41:101–8. doi: 10.2486/indhealth.41.101
10. Nakashita N, Nishina K, Yoshiha H, Matsuo Y, Nagano K, Nakamura K, et al. Hours of work and the risk of developing impaired fasting glucose or type 2 diabetes mellitus in Japanese male office workers. Occup Environ Med. (2001) 58:569–74. doi: 10.1136/oem.58.9.569
11. Kiwimaki M, Virtanen M, Kawachi I, Nyberg ST, Alfredsson L, Benny GD, et al. Long working hours, socioeconomic status, and the risk of incident type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of published and unpublished data from 222 120 individuals. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. (2015) 3:27–34. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(14)70178-0
12. Virtanen M, Kiwimaki M. Long working hours and risk of cardiovascular disease. Curr Cardiol Rep. (2018) 20:123. doi: 10.1007/s11886-018-1049-9
13. Warburton DER, Nicol CW, Bredin SSD. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. CMAJ. (2006) 174:801–9. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.051351
14. Park J, Kim Y, Chung HK, Hisanaga N. Long working hours and subjective fatigue symptoms. Ind Health. (2001) 39:250–4. doi: 10.2486/indhealth.39.250
15. Sato K, Hayashino Y, Yamazaki S, Takegami M, Ono R, Otani K, et al. Headache prevalence and long working hours: the role of physical inactivity. Public Health. (2012) 126:587–93. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2012.02.014
16. Virtanen M, Jokela M, Nyberg ST, Madsen IEH, Lallukka T, Ahola K, et al. Long working hours and alcohol use: systematic review and meta-analysis of published studies and unpublished individual participant data. BMJ. (2015) 350:g7772. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g7772
17. Li W, Ruan W, Chen Z, Yi G, Lu Z, Wang D, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies including dose-response relationship between long working hours and risk of obesity. Rev Endocr Metab Disord. (2021) 22:837–845. doi: 10.1007/s11154-021-09643-3
18. Solovieva S, Lallukka T, Virtanen M, Viik-Juntura E. Psychosocial factors at work, long work hours, and obesity: a systematic review. Scand J Work Environ Health. (2013) 39:241–58. doi: 10.5271/sjweh.3364
19. Lee W, Jung J, Ahn J, Kim HR. Rate of inappropriate energy and micronutrient intake among the Korean working population. Public Health Nutr. (2020) 23:3356–67. doi: 10.1017/S1368980019004075
20. Kim W, Park E-C, Lee T-H, Kim TH. Effect of working hours and precarious employment on depressive symptoms in South Korean employees: a longitudinal study. Occup Environ Med. (2016) 73:816–22. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2016-103553
21. Lee D-W, Lee J, Kim H-R, Jun KY, Kang M-Y. Long work hours and decreased glomerular filtration rate in the Korean working population. Occup Environ Med. (2020) 77:699–705. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2020-106428
22. Kim Y-O. Development of Dietary Assessment Report Format for the Study of Subjects of Korean Health and Nutrition Survey (KHANES). Seoul: Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KCDC); Dongduk Women University (2011).
23. Korczak R, Slavin JL. Definitions, regulations, and new frontiers for dietary fiber and whole grains. *Nutr Rev.* (2020) 78:6–12. doi: 10.1093/nutrit/nuz061
24. Kirchmeyer C. Work-life initiatives: greed or benevolence regarding workers’ time? In: Cooper CL, Rousseau DM, editors. *Trends in Organizational Behavior*. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons Ltd. (2000). p. 7–993.
25. Miranda V. *Cooking, Caring and Volunteering: Unpaid Work Around the World*. Paris: OECD Publishing (2011).
26. Monsivais P, Aggarwal A, Drewnowski A. Time spent on home food preparation and indicators of healthy eating. *Am J Prev Med.* (2014) 47:796–802. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2014.07.033
27. Du Y, Rong S, Sun Y, Liu B, Wu Y, Snetselaar LG, et al. Association between frequency of eating away-from-home meals and risk of all-cause and cause-specific mortality. *J Acad Nutr Diet.* (2021) 121:1741–9.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2021.01.012
28. Choi I. Calorie share of commercially-prepared meals and intake of convenience meals in Korean adults (Doctoral degree thesis). Seoul National University, Korea (2017). p. 170–85.
29. Oh H-I, Choi E-K, Jeon E-Y, Cho M-S, Oh J-E. An exploratory research for reduction of sodium of Korean HMR product-analysis on labeling of Guk, Tang, Jjigae HMR products in Korea. *J Korea Contents Assoc.* (2017) 43:250–9. doi: 10.5271/sjweh.3626
30. Bing FC. Dietary fiber–in historical perspective. *J Am Diet Assoc.* (1976) 69:498–505. doi: 10.1016/S0002-8223(21)06766-3
31. Asano T, McLeod RS. Dietary fibre for the prevention of colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2002) Cd003430. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003430
32. Yao Y, Suo T, Andersson R, Cao Y, Wang C, Lu J, et al. Dietary fibre for the prevention of recurrent colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2017) 1:Cd003430. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003430.pub2
33. Papanontiou K, Castaño-Vinyals G, Espinosa A, Turner MC, Alonso-Aguado MH, Martin V, et al. Shift work and colorectal cancer risk in the MCC-Spain case-control study. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* (2017) 43:250–9. doi: 10.5271/sjweh.3626
34. Heikila K, Nyberg ST, Madsen IEH, de Vroome E, Alfredsson L, Bjørner JJ, et al. Long working hours and cancer risk: a multi-cohort study. *Br J Cancer.* (2016) 114:813–8. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2016.9
35. Björck I, Elmståhl HL. The glycaemic index: importance of dietary fibre and other food properties. *Proc Nutr Soc.* (2003) 62:201–6. doi: 10.1079/PNS2002239
36. Davy BM, Melby CL. The effect of fiber-rich carbohydrates on features of syndrome X. *J Am Diet Assoc.* (2003) 103:86–96. doi: 10.1053/jada.2003.50005
37. Chen JP, Chen GC, Wang XP, Qin L, Bai Y. Dietary fiber and metabolic syndrome: a meta-analysis and review of related mechanisms. *Nutrients.* (2017) 10:24. doi: 10.3390/nu10010024
38. Sasaki T, Iwasaki K, Oka T, Hisanaga N, Ueda T, Takada Y, et al. Effect of working hours on cardiovascular-autonomic nervous functions in engineers in an electronics manufacturing company. *Ind Health.* (1999) 37:55–61. doi: 10.2486/indhealth.37.55
39. Otsui K, Yamamoto J, Inoue N. Overwork accelerates thrombotic reaction: implications for the pathogenesis of Karoshi. *J Thromb Thrombolysis.* (2018) 45:222–4. doi: 10.1007/s11239-017-1605-y
40. Lee J, Kim HR. The association between long working hours and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein in older aged individuals: the Korea national health and nutrition examination survey (KNHANES) 2015. *J Occup Environ Med.* (2018) 60:775–80. doi: 10.1097/JOM.0000000000001359
41. Lee W, Kang SK, Choi WJ. Effect of long work hours and shift work on high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels among Korean workers. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* (2021) 47:200–7. doi: 10.5271/sjweh.3933
42. Zhao H, Yang A, Mao L, Quan Y, Cui J, Sun Y. Association between dietary fiber intake and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in adults. *Front Nutr.* (2020) 7:593735. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2020.593735
43. Sun Y, Sun J, Zhang P, Zhong F, Cai J, Ma A. Association of dietary fiber intake with hyperuricemia in U.S. adults. *Food Funct.* (2019) 10:4932–40. doi: 10.1039/C8FO01917G

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.