miR394 and LCR are involved in Arabidopsis salt and drought stress responses in an abscisic acid-dependent manner
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Abstract

Background: MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of short, endogenous non-coding small RNAs that have ability to base pair with their target mRNAs to induce their degradation in plants. miR394a/b are conserved small RNAs and its target gene LCR (LEAF CURLING RESPONSIVENESS) encodes an F-box protein (SKP1-Cullin/CDC53-F-box) but whether miR394a/b and its target gene LCR are involved in regulation of plant response to abscisic acid (ABA) and abiotic stresses is unknown.

Results: Mature miR394 and precursor miR394a/b are shown to be slightly induced by ABA. By contrast, LCR expression is depressed by ABA. Analysis of LCR and its promoter (pLCR::GUS) revealed that LCR is expressed at all development stages. MIR394a/b over-expression (35S::MIR394a/b) and lcr (LCR loss of function) mutant plants are hypersensitive to salt stress, but LCR over-expressing (35S::m5LCR) plants display the salt-tolerant phenotype. Both 35S::MIR394a/b and lcr plants are highly tolerant to severe drought stress compared with wild-type, but 35S::m5LCR plants are susceptible to water deficiency. Over-expression of MIR394a/b led to ABA hypersensitivity and ABA-associated phenotypes, whereas 35S::m5LCR plants show ABA resistance phenotypes. Moreover, 35S::MIR394a/b plants accumulated higher levels of ABA-induced hydrogen peroxide and superoxide anion radicals than wild-type and 35S::m5LCR plants. Expressions of ABA- and stress-responsive genes, ABI3, ABI4, ABI5, ABF3, and ABF4 are up-regulated in MIR394a/b over-expressing plants but down-regulated in 35S::m5LCR plants. Over-expression of MIR394a in abi4-1 or abi5-1 background resulted in loss of ABA-sensitivity in 35S::MIR394a plants.

Conclusions: The silencing of LCR mRNA by miR394 is essential to maintain a certain phenotype favorable for the adaptive response to abiotic stresses. The contrasting phenotypes of salt and drought responses may be mediated by a functional balance between miR394 and LCR. If the balance is perturbed in case of the abiotic stress, an identical phenotype related to the stress response occurs, resulting in either ABA sensitive or insensitive response. Thus, miR394-regulated LCR abundance may allow plants to fine-tune their responses to ABA and abiotic stress.
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Background

Salinity and drought are one of the major environmental stresses that limit worldwide plant growth and crop production. Plants have evolved multiple sophisticated strategies to cope with the adverse stresses via perceiving the stress signal and transmitting the information through a variety of signal transduction pathways and adjustment of their metabolic processes; upon receipt of the signal, a number of molecular and cellular responses are initiated [1]. The ABA (abscisic acid)-based complex signal transduction cascades are critical for plant adaptation to environmental stresses [2,3]. Recent studies have identified many components acting between ABA perception and abiotic stress responses, including ABA biosynthetic enzymes and regulatory intermediates such as kinases, transcription factors and ubiquitin ligases [4]. Among these, E3 ubiquitin ligases were reported to participate in activation of ABA response and degradation of signaling components associated with the stress responses [5]. ABI5 (ABA insensitive 5) is a member of Arabidopsis
basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factor family that inhibits ABA-dependent seed germination and post-germination growth [6]. KEG is a multi-domain RING-type E3 ligase required for maintaining low levels of ABI5 in the absence of ABA [7]. Whereas over-expression of KEG leads to ABA insensitivity, disruption of KEG gene expression results in growth arrest immediately after seed germination.

Recently, the post-transcriptional regulation of ABA- and stress-responsive genes by a group of miRNAs has received much attention [8-10]. Over-expressing miR396c conferred sensitivity to salinity and alkaline stress [11]. The stress-regulated miR393-guided cleavage of transcripts encoding two auxin receptors, TIR1 and AFB2, is necessary for inhibition of lateral root growth under ABA treatment and osmotic stress [12]. miR168a over-expressing and AGO1 loss-of-function ago1-27 mutant plants display ABA hypersensitivity and drought tolerance, whereas miri168a-2 mutant plants show ABA hypersensitivity and drought hypersensitivity [13]. However, conflicting reports exist on miRNAs regulation of plant abiotic stress responses. For example, AtmiR169 targets a gene coding for a ubiquitous transcription factor NTFYA5; over-expression of AtmiR169a in plants enhanced leaf water loss and more sensitivity to drought stress than wild-type plants [14], whereas Sly-miR169c over-expression enhanced drought tolerance by reducing stomatal opening, transpiration rate and leaf desiccation [15]. These results suggest that miRNAs-regulated plant response to abiotic stresses seems more complex than expected. Their biological roles and regulatory networks that coordinate plant response to abiotic stresses are still not fully understood.

miR394 is one of the conserved miRNAs that exist in many dicot and monocot plant species; in Arabidopsis, only two miR394a and miR394b were found and its target gene (At1g27340) was found to encode an F-box protein (SKP1-Cullin/CDC53-F-box) [8]. Recently, we have reported miR394 and its target gene LCR are involved in regulation of leaf curling-related morphology [16]. Over-expression of a miR394-resistant version of LCR under the 35S promoter (35S:miR394a/b) results in a curled-down leaf defect. Conversely, transgenic plants over-expressing 35S:miR394a/b display a curled-up leaf phenotype. Detailed analysis showed evidence that these phenotypes are related to auxin response. Furthermore, miR394 has been identified as a mobile signal on the surface cell layer (the protoderm) required for enhancing stem cell competence to the distal meristem by repressing LCR [17]. Interestingly, miR394 was also reported to be induced by abiotic stresses in plants [8,18-22]. These findings prompted us to investigate further whether miR394 is able regulate plant responses to salt and drought stresses. The present study provides evidence that miR394 is involved in regulation of plant response to salt and drought stresses in Arabidopsis in an ABA-dependent manner.

**Results**

**Expression of miR394 under salt and drought stresses and ABA treatment**

To validate expression of miR394 in response to salt and drought stresses, two-week-old Arabidopsis seedlings were exposed to 300 mM salt (NaCl), drought (desiccation) and 100 μM ABA for 6 and 12 h. RNA gel-blot analysis showed that miR394 was slightly induced by NaCl and drought (Figure 1A). Using RT-PCR, we further analyzed whether expression of miR394a and miR394b was also affected by salt and drought exposure. It is shown that both miR394a and miR394b were induced under saline and drought stresses (Figure 1B; Additional file 1: Figure S1). To further investigate expression of miR394a/b, its promoter sequences were retrieved, fused to the β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter gene, and introduced into transgenic plants. The histochemical analysis showed that heavy GUS staining was detected in pMIR394a/b::GUS seedlings under salt and drought stresses, whereas the relatively light GUS staining was observed in the control seedlings (Figure 1C). The phytohormone ABA mediates plant response to abiotic stresses [1]. Examination of miR394 response to ABA treatment revealed that miR394 was mildly induced (Figure 1A). Similarly, the abundance of both pre-miR394a and pre-miR394b transcripts as well as pMIR394a/b::GUS staining were also slightly enhanced by ABA (Figure 1B,C; Additional file 1: Figure S1). Feedback loops in which miRNA-regulated genes may regulate the transcription of their miRNA have been described before [23]. Our analysis showed that miR394 transcripts were not changed in the 35S:mLCR and lcr mutant plants (Figure 1D); also, quantitative real-time PCR showed no significant deference between 35S:mLCR or lcr mutant plants and wild-type (Additional file 1: Figure S2), indicating that miR394 is not feedback-regulated by LCR.

**Expression of LCR under salt and drought stresses and ABA treatment**

Although miR394 is induced by salt and drought, whether LCR is regulated by ABA and the abiotic stresses is unknown. To investigate the expression pattern of LCR, we generated constructs encoding a GUS reporter protein and LCR fusion protein under the control of LCR promoter sequence (1.45 kb) and transformed into Arabidopsis. Histochemical staining showed that pLCR::GUS was active at all development stages (Figure 2A). To test the regulation of LCR promoter by abiotic stresses, two week-old seedlings were exposed to NaCl, drought and ABA. Treatments with salt and drought induced higher GUS activity in pLCR::GUS plants compared with the control (Figure 2B). To confirm the expression pattern
of GUS staining under the abiotic stresses, we comparatively analyzed the transcripts of GUS in pLCR::GUS plants and LCR in wild-type using qRT-PCR. As shown in Figure 2C,D, the transcript levels of GUS and LCR were up-regulated 6 and 12 h after NaCl and drought treatments. But the GUS level was higher than the LCR level. This suggests that LCR mRNA was partially silenced by miR394 in plants under the stress condition.

To investigate whether LCR at a translational level was affected by salt and drought, a western blot study was carried out. Using a monoclonal antibody specifically interacting with LCR protein (52 kDa), we showed that the LCR proteins could be also induced by salt and drought treatments (Figure 2E). Unexpectedly, less GUS staining was detected in seedlings with ABA treatment (Figure 2B). Also, both LCR transcript and protein abundance with ABA was found to be lower than the control (Figure 2C,D,E).

Identification of 35S::MIR394, 35S::m5LCR and lcr mutant lines
To identify the role of miR394 in the stress responses, we examined the transgenic lines over-expressing MIR394a/b (35S::MIR394a/b) and the cleavage-resistant version (35S::m5LCR) [16]. The Western blotting on LCR proteins was analyzed. The abundance of LCR proteins was lower in 35S::MIR394a plants but was higher in 35S::m5LCR plants compared with the wild-type (Figure 3A), indicating that miR394 affects the abundance of LCR proteins.

The genomic sequence of LCR contains two exons (1404 bp), which are interrupted by an intron towards
5’ end; the CDS contains an open reading frame coding for a protein with 467 amino acid residues (Figure 3B). Two independent T-DNA insertion lines, lcr-1 (SALK_016763c) and lcr-2 (SALK_136833c) were used. The mutant lcr-1 has a T-DNA insertion at 5’ UTR, whereas lcr-2 has an insertion in the intron towards the first exon. The two mutants were verified by diagnostic PCR using LCR gene-specific and T-DNA border primers (Figure 3C,D). The lcr-1 and lcr-2 alleles were confirmed by RT-PCR and Western blot, by which the expression of both LCR mRNA and proteins were severely suppressed (Figure 3E,F).

**MIR394a over-expressing and lcr mutant plants display salt sensitivity**

Plant seeds were placed on the solid MS medium supplemented with 0–150 mM NaCl. The seed germination and post-germination growth responded differently to 50–100 mM NaCl after 4 d treatment (Figure 4A). Following the treatment with 100 mM NaCl, the germination percentages of 35S::MIR394a-1, 35S::MIR394a-2, lcr-1 and lcr-2 seeds were only 10-20%, whereas those of wild-type were 78%. Simultaneously, the cotyledon greening was blocked by 50 or 100 mM NaCl in 35S::MIR394a or lcr plants. Under the same condition, the germinating rate of 35S::m5LCR seeds was up to 94%, and the percentage of cotyledon greening in 35S::m5LCR seedlings was higher than that of wild-type (Figure 4B). Root growth of 35S::MIR394a and lcr seedlings was strongly inhibited by 50–100 mM NaCl relative to the wild-type, but compared with 35S::MIR394a, the root growth of lcr seedlings was more severely inhibited (Figure 4C,D,F,G). By contrast, 35S::m5LCR plants had higher tolerance of roots to NaCl stress. The root elongation of 35S::m5LCR plants with 150 mM NaCl was increased by 30-40% compared with wild type (Figure 4E,H). These results indicate that both lcr mutant and 35S::MIR394a plants are hypersensitive, whereas 35S::m5LCR plants are tolerant to the salt stress.
MIR394a over-expression and lcr mutant plants display drought tolerance

Under the water loss condition, most of wild-type, 35S::MIR394a and mutant plants were withered (Figure 5A, B). After a three-day re-watering, 47-56% of 35S::MIR394a and 68-71% of the lcr mutant plants resumed their growth, whereas only 26% of the wild-type plants survived (Figure 5D). By contrast, the 35S::m5LCR plants were severely wilted after dehydration stress (Figure 5C). After re-watering for 3 d, there was only 18-23% survival (Figure 5E), indicating that the 35S::m5LCR plants are supersensitive to drought stress.

We further examined plant response to drought stress using detached rosette leaves of two week-old seedlings, which were placed on a open-lid petri dish under dim light at room temperature. The reduced fresh weight of leaves was measured over time (0–150 min). Leaves from all plants showed progressive loss of weight (Figure 5F). To the end of experiment, the fresh weight for 35S::m5LCR plants was only 58-60% of their starting value, and the fresh weight for 35S::MIR394a and lcr mutant plants was 73-78%. The wild-type had an intermediate weight of 67%. From these results it is shown that while 35S::m5LCR plants were hypersensitive to water loss, 35S::MIR394a and lcr mutant plants displayed higher tolerance to drought stress.

MIR394a and LCR are involved in ABA-dependent seed germination and root growth

For 35S::MIR394a and lcr plants, seed germination and cotyledon development were severely inhibited by 0.25-2 μM ABA (Figure 6A-H), but seed germination of 35S::m5LCR plants was unaffected by ABA (even at 2 μM) (Figure 6I-K). Nevertheless, 81-85% of 35S::m5LCR seedlings were able to develop true green cotyledons in the presence of 0.5 μM ABA, with only 18% of wild-type was presented (Figure 6L). A concentration-dependent change was observed, in which the ABA-hypersensitive response of 35S::MIR394a and lcr plants occurred at 0.25 μM of ABA, and when ABA concentrations were up to 1 μM, the cotyledon growth was completely blocked (Figure 6D,H). By contrast, 35-40% of 35S::m5LCR root cotyledons were still green and even expanded at 1 μM of ABA, where the growth of wild-type was arrested (Figure 6L).

Consistent with seed germination and cotyledon growth, root elongation of 35S::MIR394a and lcr seedlings was hypersensitive to ABA (Figure 7A, B). The sensitive concentration occurred at 0.5 μM, where the root length of 35S::MIR394a and lcr was only 52.9-64.8% and 46.1-49.8% of wild-type, respectively (Figure 7D, E). Under the same condition, however, the elongation of 35S::m5LCR root showed more resistance to ABA treatment (Figure 7C). At 0.5 and 1 μM of ABA, the root length of 35S::m5LCR plants was 1.44-1.54 and 1.81-1.95 folds over the wild-type, respectively (Figure 7F). We generated transgenic lines over-expressing a modified version of IPS1 (INDUCED BY PHOSPHATE STARVATION 1), which encodes a non-coding RNA [24]. This RNA comprises...
Figure 4 Germination and growth responses of transgenic plants to salt stress. A and B: Seeds of wild-type, lcr, 35S:MIR394a and 35S:m5LCR plants were germinated on the plates containing the different concentrations of NaCl. Germination rates (A) were measured after 4 d and cotyledon greening (B) were measured after 7 d. (C/D/E): Root growth of transgenic plants on MS medium with 100 and 150 mM NaCl. Seeds were germinated for 10 of (C), 10 (D) and 7 (E) d, respectively, on the MS medium with or without NaCl. F/G/H: Root length of the indicated genotypes grown on medium with different concentrations of NaCl was measured at 10 d (F/G), and 7 d (H), respectively. Relative root growth compared with that on NaCl-free medium is indicated. For 35S:MIR394b plants, similar results were found (data not shown). Vertical bars represent standard deviation of the mean (n = 3, treatments with 60 seedlings). Asterisks indicate the significant difference between the transgenic lines/mutants and wild-type (WT) (P<0.05).
a short motif highly complementary to miR394 with a small loop at the expected cleavage position, which can sequester miR394, partially release its natural target, and increase LCR abundance [16]. We used target mimicry miR394 (MIM394) transgenic lines to test ABA response in plants and found that phenotype of root growth is very similar to that of 3SS::m5LCR plants (Additional file 1: Figure S3). Thus, MIR394 over-expressing plants were hypersensitive to ABA, whereas 3SS::m5LCR plants had a converse phenotype with ABA.

MIR394a and LCR are involved in ABA-dependent stomatal closure

Leaves of 4 week-old plants were submerged in stomatal opening solution and treated with ABA at 0, 1, and 10 μM for 2 h. In the absence of ABA, all guard cells on leaves of plants were fully opened (Figure 8A). When 1 and 10 μM ABA was added to the solution, the stomata on the leaves of wild-type and 3SS::MIR394a plants were closed. Quantitative analysis using stomatal aperture (the ratio of width to length) showed that there was

Figure 5 Responses of transgenic plants to drought. A/B/C: Three week-old wild-type, 3SS::MIR394a (A), lcr mutant (B) and 3SS::m5LCR (C) plants were dehydrated for 14 (A and B) or 12 (C) d, followed by rewatering for 3 d. Dehydration tolerance was assayed as the ability of plants to resume growth when returned to normal conditions. D: Survival of wild-type, 3SS::MIR394a and lcr mutant plants by rewatering for 3 d after dehydration treatment for 14 d. E: Survival of wild-type and 3SS::m5LCR plants by rewatering for 3 d after dehydration treatment for 12 d. F: Measurement of water loss rates. Detached rosette leaves of the indicated plants were placed on open-lid Petri-dishes and weighed at the indicated times after excision. Water loss was calculated as the percentage of initial fresh weight. For 3SS:MIR394b plants, similar results were found (data not shown). Vertical bars represent standard deviation of the mean (n = 3, treatments with 60–100 seedlings). Asterisks indicate the significant difference between the transgenic lines/mutants and wild-type (WT) (P<0.05).
Figure 6 (See legend on next page.)
much stronger stomatal closure in 35S::MIR394a plants than in wild-type with 1 μM ABA (Figure 8B). Conversely, the 35S::m5LCR leaves were insensitive to ABA-induced stomatal closure and most of guard cells were open in the presence of 1 or 10 μM ABA. The stomatal apertures were 1.4- (1 μM ABA) and 5.6- (10 μM ABA) fold over those of wild-type, respectively.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) is one of the essential signal molecules involved in abscisic acid (ABA)-induced stomatal closure [25]. Leaves of four week-old plants were exposed to 0 or 50 μM ABA for 2 h and treated with nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) or 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB). Compared with wild-type, leaves of 35S::MIR394a plants exposed to 50 μM ABA were stained intensively, whereas those of 35S::m5LCR plants were stained light with O2– and H2O2 (Figure 8C,D). These results indicated that ABA-induced ROS abundance could be altered in 35S::MIR394a and 35S::m5LCR plants.

**Over-expression of miR394a cannot rescue the ABA insensitivity of abi4-1 and abi5-1**

To gain insights into involvement of miR394 in ABA signaling pathway, abi4-1 and abi5-1 mutant plants were individually crossed to the 35S::MIR394a plants. Both ABA-INSENSITIVE (ABI) 4 and ABI5 encode the major transcription factors which act as positive regulators of ABA response [4,7]. Because 35S::MIR394a and abi4-1 or abi5-1 mutant plants display the opposite phenotypes, analysis of the crossed plants can elucidate the relationship between the genes. preMIR394a were expressed in the abi4-1/35S::MIR394a and abi5-1/35S::MIR394a plants (Figure 9A). Examination of the two cross plants
revealed that the phenotypes of abi4-1/35S::MIR394a and abi5-1/35S::MIR394a plants were nearly identical to the phenotype of abi4-1 and abi5-1 plants, respectively [6,26,27]. In the presence of 0.5 or 1 μM ABA, both abi4-1/35S::MIR394a and abi5-1/35S::MIR394a plants showed higher seed germination rates, enhanced cotyledon development, and increased root elongation (Figure 9B-E). These results indicate that over-expression of MIR394a in abi4-1 or abi5-1 background resulted in loss of ABA-sensitivity in 35S::MIR394a plants. The data suggest that miR394a does not function in parallel to ABI4 and ABI5, but both ABI4 and ABI5 may work downstream of miR394a.

Expression of ABA and stress responsive genes in 35S::mir394a and 35S::m5LCR plants
To investigate whether ABA and stress-responsive genes could be regulated by mir394 or LCR over-expression, two categories of genes were tested. The first group contained five genes (ABI3, ABI4, ABI5, ABF3, and ABF4) coding for ABA-responsive basic leucine zipper (bZIP) transcription factors that bind to the ABA response element (ABRE) of their targets and function during the plant development and stress responses [4]. After treatment with 100 μM ABA for 6 h, all genes were induced in 35S::MIR394a plants (Figure 10A). However, expression of ABI3, ABI4, and ABI5 were down-regulated in 35S::m5LCR plants. The second group genes RD29A, KIN1, and RD22 belong to the DRE/CRT (drought responsive/C-repeat) elements-containing class of stress- and ABA-responsive genes [28]. Another gene P5CS encodes enzyme D1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase involved in proline biosynthesis and ABA or abiotic stress responses [29,30]. With a similar pattern as ABI4 or ABI5, all these genes RD29A, KIN1, RD22, and P5CS were induced in 35S::MIR394a plants. By contrast, expression of RD29A, KIN1, RD22, and P5CS was down-regulated in 35S::m5LCR plants although the degree of the gene depression varied differently (Figure 10B). These results indicated that miR394 over-expression can modify transcription of ABA- and stress-responsive genes.
miR394 and LCR are involved in salt and drought stress responses

The present study identified miR394 and its target gene LCR involved in salt and drought stress responses in Arabidopsis. It is shown that both miR394 and LCR are independently regulated by salt and drought stresses. Because of the lower level of LCR expression in the absence of miR394, the partial post-transcriptional silencing of LCR should be responsible for the phenotypes of plants under the salt and drought stresses.

Our studies show that both miR394 and LCR are critical for plant response to salt and drought stresses. Whereas 35S:MIR394a and lcr mutant plants were hypersensitive to salinity, LCR over-expression conferred the salt tolerance. On the other hand, MIR394a over-expressing plants display more tolerance to drought stress than did wild-type, whereas 35S:m5LCR plants were hypersensitive to dehydration. These results indicate that miR394 acts as a negative regulator of plant response to salt stress but simultaneously as a positive regulator of plant tolerance to drought stress. The physiological pathway by which miR394 and LCR confers or attenuates plant tolerance to the stresses is unknown. Recently, several studies have been documented on miRNAs or small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) regulating plant responses to abiotic stresses [31]. MIR168a over-expressing and ARGONAUTE1 (AGO1) loss-of-function ago1-27 mutant plants are salt-hypersensitive and drought-tolerant, whereas mir168a-2 mutant plants
exhibit the reverse phenotype [13]. AGO1 is a major component of RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) necessary for cleavage or translational block of target RNAs by loaded miRNAs [32]. Mutations in AGO1 cause increased accumulation of miRNA targets, but as a feedback mechanism, AGO1 homeostasis itself is controlled by miR168 [33]. As miR168-regulated AGO1 mediates a broad spectrum of miRNAs that function in the RISC, it needs to study which AGO1-regulated miRNA participates in the plant response to salt and drought stresses. The present study specified the miR394 involvement in the plant abiotic stress responses. Detailed identification of the correlation between miR168 and miR394 will uncover the abiotic stress-responsive cascades.

**miR394-regulated salt and drought stress responses are dependent on ABA**

The phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) regulates numerous developmental processes and stress responses in plants. Under adverse conditions, ABA serves as an endogenous signal molecule to sense the environmental stress. Interestingly, several miRNAs and miRNA biogenesis genes have been shown to be involved in ABA-mediated stress responses [34-36]. The present study showed that miR394 were also induced by ABA treatment, although the induction was limited. To date, only a few reports are available on the genetic connection between miRNAs and ABA-mediated stress responses. A recent report shows that over-expression of gma-MIR394a confers tolerance to drought in transgenic Arabidopsis [37]. Although no LCR and ABA were mentioned and no relationship between miR394/LCR and ABA signal was identified, the result on miR394 involved in drought stress response was presented. miR159 was induced by exogenous ABA during seed germination and in young seedlings; over-expression of miR159 rendered plants hypersensitive to ABA, whereas over-expression of miR159-resistant M1YB33 and M1YB101 resulted in ABA hypersensitivity [34]. Our study has provided additional evidence that miR394 is involved in ABA or ABA-dependent salt and drought responses in Arabidopsis. First, both miR394 and pre-miR394a/b, as well as LCR were regulated by ABA and salt/drought stresses. Second, MIR394a-over-expressing plants displayed hypersensitivity to ABA in terms of inhibited seed germination, blocked cotyledon development, and shorter root length during the post-germination growth. Third, MIR394a over-expression intensified the ABA-promoted stomatal closure and generation of more ROS in the presence of ABA compared with wild-type. Furthermore, expression of ABA-responsive genes such as ABI3, ABI4, ABI5, ABF3, and ABF4 was more evident in 35S:MIR394a plants than in wild-type. In addition, the cross of 35S::MIR394a with abi4-1 or abi5-1 mutant plants cannot rescue ABA insensitivity of abi4-1 and abi5-1. These results suggest miR394-regulated salt and drought stress responses are possibly dependent on ABI4 and ABI5 and involved in the ABA response.

Recent studies have demonstrated that miRNA biogenesis genes are also involved in plant responses to abiotic stresses and ABA signaling. HYL1 encodes a double-strand RNA-binding protein, a key factor in microRNA biogenesis [38-40]. Mutation of HYL1 (hyl1 mutant)
resulted in ABA hypersensitivity at seed germination stage [40] (Lu and Fedoroff 2001). Furthermore, Zhang and co-workers (2008) reported that dcl1-11 and hen1-16 act as modulators of ABA signaling in Arabidopsis [35]. They found that mutation of DCL1-11 and HEN1-16 enhanced the ABA sensitivity of seed germination and post-germination growth by inducing ABA-responsive genes such as ABI3, ABI4, ABI5, AFB3, KIN2 and RD22. From these results it can be suggested that there may be a common ABA-responsive mechanism for the phenotypes presented by dcl1-11, hyll1, hen1-16, ago1-27 and 35S::MIIR494a plants. Identification of the cascades responsible for the ABA response will help to understand the networks that coordinate plant responses to ABA signal.

LCR is a novel negative component of ABA signaling

Recently, an array of E3 proteins belonging to ubiquitin proteasome systems, are shown to actively participate in ABA hormone singling [7]. As components of E3 ubiquitin ligases of the SCF (SKP1-Cullin/CDC53-F-box) class, the F-box proteins regulate plant development and various abiotic stress responses through proteolysis system [41]. Several F-box proteins were reported to regulate ABA-dependent stress responses [30,35]. Koops and co-workers (2011) identified an Arabidopsis F-box protein EDL3 that functions as a positive regulator in ABA signal cascades controlling seed germination, root growth, and anthocyanin accumulation under abiotic stresses [42]. Arabidopsis DOR encodes an F-box protein (a member of the S-locus F-box-like family related to AhSLF-S2); the DOR null mutation caused hypersensitive ABA response of stomatal closing and improved drought tolerance; by contrast, transgenic plants over-expressing DOR were more susceptible to drought stress [35], indicating that F-box proteins serve as regulators of plant response to ABA-dependent abiotic stresses.

The present study identified the biological function of the F-box protein LCR. We show that LCR involves the ABA signaling in Arabidopsis under salt and drought stresses. Genetic and physiological studies revealed that while LCR knockdown (over-expression of miR394) or knockout (lcr) resulted in ABA hypersensitivity in plants with regards to the arrest of seed germination and root growth, the LCR over-expressing plants (35S::mSLCR plants) showed insensitivity to ABA. The phenotypes associated with ABA-dependent stomatal closure and water loss were also found in 35S::mSLCR plants. Additionally, over-expression of LCR depressed expression of several ABA-responsive bZIP transcription factors such as ABI3 and ABI5, suggesting that manipulation of LCR is able to modify ABA response. Notably, while miR394 expression was up-regulated by ABA, LCR was down-regulated by ABA treatment (Figure 2). This may be the result that ABA signals the inhibition of putative protein degradation through depressing LCR. On the other hand, LCR is simultaneously silenced by miR394, implying that LCR suppression may be necessary under the stress. As LCR is a novel negative regulator of ABA signaling which is able to facilitate the degradation of a putative protein, the coordinate regulation of miR394 and LCR by ABA may be essential for ABA or ABA-dependent abiotic stress responses. In our previous study, we provided the evidence that miR394 and LCR-regulated abnormal curling leaves were related to auxin signal. From the two phenotypes (leaf curling and abiotic stress response), miR394 and LCR seem to cross-talk to auxin and ABA. However, concerning a molecular mechanism, only one of them is possibly linked to miR394/LCR. Identification of targets for LCR is under the way. Further characterization of the putative component will unveil the interplay between miR394/LCR and ABA or other phytohormone signal.

Conclusions

Both miR394 and LCR transcripts are regulated by salt and drought stresses and ABA treatment. The silencing of LCR mRNA by miR394 is essential to maintain a certain phenotype favorable for the adaptive responses to the abiotic stresses. The contrast phenotypes of ABA and abiotic stress responses may be mediated by a functional balance between miR394 and LCR in plants. If the balance is perturbed in case of the abiotic stress, an identical phenotype related to the stress response occurs, resulting in either ABA sensitive or insensitive response. Thus, miR394-regulated LCR abundance may allow plants to fine-tune their responses to ABA and abiotic stresses.

Methods

Plant materials and treatments

Arabidopsis ecotype Col-0 was used throughout the study. The lcr (At1g27340) T-DNA insertion mutants lcr-1 (SALK_016763c) and lcr-2 (SALK_136833c) were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center. Seedlings were grown in MS medium containing 1 to 3% Suc and 0.8% phytoagar (pH 5.7) or in soil (sunshine mix 5; SunGro) in a growth chamber at 22°C with 100 μE m−2 s−1 photosynthetically active radiation and a 16 h light/8 h dark cycle. Normally, two-week-old seedlings were exposed to salinity (50–300 mM NaCl), drought (dehydrated on filter paper) and ABA (0.5–100 μM) for 0–12 h depending on the experiment conducted [13,30,34].

GUS assay

Histochemical detection of GUS activity was performed using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl β-D-glucuronic acid (X-Gluc) as a substrate. Plant tissues were placed in X-Gluc solution containing 750 mg mL−1 X-Gluc,
0.1% Nonidet P-40, 3 mM K$_2$F$_3$ (CN)$_6$, 10 mM EDTA and 100 mM NaPO$_4$ (pH 7) under a vacuum at room temperature for 10 min and then incubated at 37°C overnight.

**RNA gel blot analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from Arabidopsis shoot and root tissues using the TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen). Fifteen μg of total RNA was subject to a 15% denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The RNA on gel was transferred to the Hybond-NX membranes [43]. The membranes were hybridized with DNA oligonucleotides complementary to the miR394 sequence that was pre-labeled with γ-32P-ATP.

**Western blot analysis**

Protein extracts were prepared by grinding tissues on ice in extraction buffer (5% glycerol, 4% SDS, 1% polyvinylpolypyrrolidone, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0), followed by centrifugation at 4°C and 14,000 g for 15 min. 15 μg protein were separated by electrophoresis on a 12% SDS polyacrylamide gel and blotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. The immunoblot was performed with an affinity-purified LCR monoclonal antibody (1:100 dilution), which is specifically for LCR in Arabidopsis. The horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG was used as the secondary antibody (1:10000 dilution) [44]. The color was developed with 50 mM TBS (pH 7.6) solution containing 0.05% 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride as the horseradish peroxidase substrate. LCR antibodies were prepared by Abmart Company, Coomassie brilliant staining was used to show the equal amounts of proteins loaded.

**RT-PCR analysis**

Real time-PCR and semi-quantitative PCR were performed to analyze gene transcripts based on the methods described previously [16,45]. The primers used for analysis were presented in Additional file 1: Table S1.

**Plant transformation**

The plasmid construction and plant transformation were performed based on the methods described previously [16]. The target genes (precursor MIR394a/b, LRC, etc.) were PCR-amplified using primers with restriction enzyme sites at the 5′-end of forward and reverse primers, respectively (Additional file 1: Table S1). All homozygous transgenic plants (T$_4$) were used in this study.

**Germination assay and root growth measurement**

Seeds were surface-sterilized and grown on MS for 2 weeks under the condition of 22°C with 100 μE m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ photosynthetically active radiation and a 16-h-light/8-h-dark photoperiod. After that they were transferred to the medium with 0–150 mM NaCl or 0–2 μM ABA for 4–10 d under the same condition. The germination (fully emerged radicle) rate was recorded and the root elongation was measured.

**Stomatal aperture measurement**

Stomatal apertures were determined in the focal planes of the outer edges of guard cells in epidermal strips [46]. Detached leaves of four week-old seedlings were incubated in stomatal opening solution with 10 mM KCl, 100 mM CaCl$_2$, and 10 mM MES (pH 6.1) for 2 h, and then transferred to the same solution with ABA at 0, 1, and 10 μM for 2 h. Subsequently, the adaxial surface of each leaf was applied to 3 M clear tape to peel off the epidermal layer. Epidermal strips were mounted on glass slides and observed with a microscope (YS100, Nikon, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China). Photo-graphs were taken with a Nikon digital camera (P5000 COOLPIX, Nikon, Indonesia) attached to the microscope. The ratio of width to length of the stomata was measured using Multigauge version 3.1 software (FujiFilm). More that 60 guard cells from each sample were monitored.

**Detection of reactive oxygen species**

Histochemical detection of reactive oxygen species was performed based on the method described previously [47,48].

**Statistical analysis**

The study was independently performed three times. Each result shown in the figures was the mean of at least three replicated treatments and each treatment contained at least 36–120 seedlings. Unless indicated, samples for analysis were randomly selected from all transgenic lines. The significant differences between treatments were statistically evaluated by standard deviation. The data between differently treated groups were further compared statistically by ANOVA followed by the least significant difference (LSD) test if the ANOVA result is significant P<0.05.
plants in response to ABA treatment. A/B: Growth of Wild-Type and MIM394 plants on MS medium containing 0.5 μM ABA and 1 μM ABA. Seeds were germinated and grown for 4d. C/D: ABA dose-response analysis of germination (C) and cotyledon greening (D). Seeds of Wild-Type and MIM394 plants were germinated for 4d on plates containing different amounts of ABA. (triplicate measurements; n = 120). E: Root growth measurements. Seedling root length of the indicated genotypes grown on medium containing different concentrations of ABA was measured at 7d after the end of stratification. Relative root growth compared with that on ABA-free medium is indicated. Data show the mean±SD of three replicates. At least 100 seedlings per genotype were measured in each replicate. Table S1. Primer and probe sequences used for this study.
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