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ABSTRACT
Objectives This study described the needs of pregnant women and the contents of online obstetric consultation in representative areas with various severity of the epidemic in China.

Design This was a cross-sectional study.

Setting Yue Yi Tong (YYT), a free online communication platform that allows pregnant women to consult professional obstetricians.

Participants All the pregnant women who used the YYT platform.

Intervention From 10 to 23 February, we collected data on online obstetric consultations and participants' satisfaction through the YYT platform in the mild, moderate and severe epidemic areas which were defined according to the local confirmed cases. The primary outcomes were the reasons for online consultations by the severity of the epidemic. All the comparisons were performed using χ² test. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS V.24.

Results A total of 2599 pregnant women participated in this study, of whom 448 (17.24%), 1332 (51.25%) and 819 (31.51%) were from the mild, moderate and severe epidemic areas, respectively. The distribution of the amount of online consultations was significantly different not only in different areas (p<0.001) but also in different trimesters (p<0.001). A total of 957 participants completed the satisfaction part of the survey. In this study, 77.95% of the participants used e-health for the first time, and 94.63% of the participants were completely or mostly satisfied with the online consultations.

Conclusions The distribution of the amount of online consultations was significantly different not only in different areas but also in different trimesters. In any trimester, the amount of consultations on the second category (obstetric care-seeking behaviour) was the highest in the severe epidemic areas. The needs for online consultations were substantial. In order to prevent irreversible obstetric adverse events, an appropriate antenatal care contingency plan with e-health services is highly recommended during the Public Health Emergency of International Concern.

BACKGROUND
Since December 2019, a number of unexplained cases of viral pneumonia have been found in Wuhan, Hubei province. 
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Strengths and limitations of this study
► We collected these data during the most hopeless phase throughout the outbreak in China, and the data of Hubei province was also included.
► Multiple centres were involved in the design to minimise biases.
► This is a cross-sectional study with the short duration of data collection.
► There may be bias as the satisfaction questionnaire was not a commonly structured scale.
► Self-report bias might exist in our design.
or postponed beyond its opportune gestational age by many pregnant women. Moreover, recent studies have mainly focused on the therapeutics of pregnant women with COVID-19. Little was discussed about maternal ANC during the COVID-19 outbreak.17

E-health refers to the integration of medical services and medical information through the internet and mobile technologies, such as computers, mobile phones, handheld tablets and other wireless devices.10 11 Compared with developed countries, e-health started relatively late in China.12 13 Previous reports have shown that the number of mobile phone users worldwide is nearly 7.7 billion, which equals to the total population in the world.14 By June 2019, only 5.27% internet users had used the ‘internet+medical’ (45 million).15 Yue Yi Tong (YYT) (Yue Yi Tong Science and Technology Co. in Chongqing, China) has set up an online communication platform that allows pregnant women to consult professional obstetricians or hospitals at will. When a patient consults a doctor, the platform will prompt the doctor to reply 2 min to complete, but pregnant women could choose whether to answer or not. The study started from 10 February 2020 to 23 February 2020.

All pregnant women who had submitted their online obstetric consultation were eligible for inclusion.

Procedures
According to the map of national COVID-19 confirmed cases and the data we collected from each province, Xinjiang, Gansu (10–99 confirmed cases), Chongqing (100–999 confirmed cases), Hubei, Henan, and Hunan (≥1000 confirmed cases) were chosen as representative areas (figures 1 and 2). In this study, the number of confirmed cases of COVID-19 in different areas was used to assess the severity of epidemics (see online supplemental table 1). Hence, according to the confirmed cases, Hubei, Henan and Hunan were defined as the severe epidemic areas. Chongqing was defined as the moderate epidemic area for more confirmed cases than Xinjiang and Gansu (the mild epidemic areas).

Data collection were conducted automatically and all data in our study were reviewed and classified independently by two authors (MC and XL). The data were sorted by different areas or different trimesters of pregnancy using manual classification method after the exclusion of unqualified data. The specific process of exclusion was shown in figure 3.

Impossible gestational age was identified whenever last menstrual or current gestational age showing less than 0 week or more than 45 weeks gestational age. According to gestational age, participants in each representative area were divided into three gestational periods: (1) the first trimester: <14 weeks; (2) the second trimester: from 14 weeks to 27 weeks and 6 days; and (3) the third trimester: ≥28 weeks. At the same time, the contents of online obstetric consultations were then subdivided into five primary categories: (1) routine antenatal check-up (reports of examination, appointments for antenatal check-up, method and time of delivery, and hospitalisation process; (2) obstetric care-seeking behaviours (canceled or postponed scheduled ANC visits; change method or time of delivery); (3) abnormal symptoms (vaginal bleeding, abnormal fetal movement and abdominal pain); (4) maternal comorbidities and pregnancy complications (gestational diabetes mellitus, hypertension disorders and hypothyroidism); and (5) other needs of e-health (remote fetal heart monitoring, electronic prescription and online pharmacies).

Since the satisfaction questionnaire was not a commonly structured scale, all the seven questions have been analysed separately. The questionnaire is shown in online supplemental appendix 1. Through the satisfaction questionnaire, we intended to understand the ‘4Ps’ situation during the COVID-19 outbreak in different areas: (1) percentage of users who use e-health for the first time, (2) proportion of problems solved by YYT, (3) pregnant women’s satisfaction with e-health and (4) preference for e-health versus outpatient visits during and after the outbreak of COVID-19.

The free online service for obstetric consultation provided by YYT was promoted through several ways, including forwarding the link of the online medical consultation service website to colleagues and friends and distributing the free online treatment information. Within a few days after it was launched, the free online treatment mode had attracted over 800 maternal–fetal medicine specialists in 347 hospitals nationwide. Every pregnant woman had access to the free online treatment after registration on the platform and can choose obstetricians or hospitals at will. When a patient consults a doctor, the platform will prompt the doctor to reply within 24 hours. Before the consultation, the pregnant women were informed that the contents of the consultation would be used for scientific research and be kept absolutely confidential. If they chose ‘I already know and agree to the above’, they can continue their free online consultations. After the consultation, the platform would distribute a satisfaction questionnaire, which would take 2 min to complete, but pregnant women could choose
Figure 1  Map of confirmed cases of COVID-19 in China on 23 February.

Figure 2  Map of participants' number in our study.
Statistical analysis
All exact numbers and percentages for all variables were calculated, and the comparisons were performed using $\chi^2$ test. Logistic regression was used to examine the association between the distribution of the amount of online consultations and the trimesters. SPSS software V.24.0 was used for the statistics analysis, and the conventional p value less than 0.05 is defined as statistically significant. Percentage (%) was used to express categorical variables.

Patient and public involvement
We did not directly include patient and public involvement in the study.

RESULT
A total of 2599 pregnant women participated in this study, of whom 448 (17.24%), 1332 (51.25%) and 819 (31.51%) women were from the mild, moderate and severe
epidemic areas, respectively. Among all the participants, 417 (16.04%), 1054 (40.55%) and 1128 (43.40%) were in their first, second and third trimesters of pregnancy, respectively. It was worth noting that it was the first time e-health was used in ANC during the COVID-19 outbreak, and 6.77% of participants generated additional requirements for e-health, such as remote fetal heart rate monitoring, electronic prescription and online pharmacies.

Reasons for online consultations by areas with different severity of the epidemic
As shown in table 1, the distribution of the amount of online consultations varied by areas with different severity of the epidemic (p<0.001). Moreover, 32.48% of pregnant women consulted the second category (obstetric care-seeking behaviour) in the most severe areas, which was significantly higher than the average value of 22.58% (table 1).

Reasons for online consultations by trimesters of pregnancy
In table 2, the difference was shown in the distribution of the amount of online consultations by trimesters (p<0.01). The first category (routine antenatal check-up) was of highest concern in the first trimester (57.31%), compared with that in the second (39.75%) and third trimester (31.03%). While the distribution of the amount of online consultations on the second category in different trimesters were completely opposite to that on the first category. And the second category had attracted the most attention from pregnant women in the third trimester (25.18%). Compared with the distribution of the amount of online consultations on the first category, the second (OR=1.265, 95% CI 1.044 to 1.532), third (OR=1.380, 95% CI 1.134 to 1.680) and fourth (OR=2.639, 95% CI 2.031 to 3.429) categories were associated with the trimesters of pregnancy (table 2).

Reasons for online consultations by the trimesters of gestation and the severity of the epidemic
In this study, as shown in table 3, most participants were in the second or third trimester (40.55%, 43.40%). Regardless of the trimesters, the distribution of the amount of online consultations was region-dependent (p<0.001). In any trimester, the amount of consultations on the second category (obstetric care-seeking behaviour) was the highest in the severe epidemic areas. Moreover, the proportions of the second category in the second and third trimesters were 36.51% and 37.88% separately, while the corresponding average values were 24.38% and 25.18% (table 3).

Most concerned category during different trimesters
Generally, in any area, or during any trimester, routine antenatal check-up, obstetric care-seeking behaviour and abnormal symptoms were the top three consulted categories (figure 4). In the first trimester, pregnant women in different regions were the most frequently consulting for the first type of problems, which was consistent with that in the second and third trimesters both in the moderate and mild epidemic areas (figure 4). However, in the severe epidemic areas, the second category (obstetric care-seeking behaviour) was of most concern in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy (figure 4).

Participants’ experiences with e-health
The participants’ experiences with e-health are summarised in table 4. A total of 957 participants completed the satisfaction questionnaire, of whom 164 (17.14%), 644 (67.29%) and 149 (15.57%) were from the mild, moderate and severe epidemic areas, respectively. During the outbreak of COVID-19, we wanted to learn the following four aspects of the situation in different regions (‘4Ps’) from the questionnaire. The first is the percentage of users who use e-health for the first time. Surprisingly, for most of the participants, it was their first time to use the e-health, with the highest rate of 89.26% in the severe epidemic areas. The second is the proportion of problems solved by the platform. We found that more than 90% of online consultation issues were completely or partially resolved. The third is pregnant women’s satisfaction with e-health. In fact, the proportion

| Classification of online medical care consultation | Severity of the epidemic situation in different areas |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Routine antenatal check-up | Mild (n=448) | Moderate (n=1332) | Severe (n=819) | Total | χ² | P value |
| Obstetric care-seeking behaviour | 184 (41.07) | 561 (42.12) | 263 (32.11) | 1008 (38.78) | 86.216 | <0.001† |
| Abnormal symptoms | 91 (20.31) | 229 (17.19) | 266 (32.48) | 586 (22.55) | 8.216 | <0.05† |
| Maternal comorbidity and pregnancy complications | 110 (24.55) | 292 (21.92) | 143 (17.46) | 545 (20.97) | 5.088 | <0.05† |
| Other needs for e-health | 46 (10.27) | 158 (11.86) | 80 (9.77) | 284 (10.93) | 2.678 | <0.05† |
| | 17 (3.79) | 92 (6.91) | 67 (8.18) | 176 (6.77) | 68.482 | <0.01† |

Note: Data are n (%); χ² test was used for the analysis.
*P<0.05.
†P<0.01.
of total satisfaction or relative satisfaction was the lowest in the severe epidemic areas, at 87.92%, while it was as high as 90% in other areas. The last was the preference for e-health or outpatient visits during and after the outbreak. Undoubtedly, the outbreak of COVID-19 had an obvious impact on participants’ choices. During the outbreak of COVID-19, most participants preferred to use e-health (the lowest rate of 88.41% in the mild epidemic areas), while about half of the participants chose the outpatient visits after the outbreak, which was most popular in moderate epidemic areas, with the highest rate of 83.29%. An average of 79.54% of the participants deemed that e-health could save time, and 85.5% of the participants thought it could reduce the risk of COVID-19 infection. In addition, 30.81% and 41.71% of the participants held the view that e-health could make them feel comfortable and could help them save money, respectively. As for their suggestions for e-health in the near future, 32.92%, 28.21%, 57.37% and 47.02% of the participants hoped for the function of online video, physician’s replay within a defined time, automatic referral to appropriate obstetricians and management of maternal medical condition, respectively. There were 3.76% of the participants who expressed more needs for e-health, such as remote fetal heart monitoring, electronic prescription and online pharmacies.

**DISCUSSION**

The COVID-19 outbreak represents a significant and urgent threat to global health. On 30 January 2020, COVID-19 was declared as ‘public enemy number one’ and a very high level of global risk by WHO.17 18 As of 23 March 2020, 186 countries, including more than 10 000 confirmed COVID-19 cases in America, Germany, France, Italy and Iran. In this study, many pregnant women were found postponing or cancelling their scheduled ANC visits on their own, which was related to the severity of the epidemic situation in different areas, especially in the severe epidemic areas. That was probably because of the characteristics of online obstetric consultation for pregnant women during the COVID-19 outbreak. Since the majority of participants in the study was in their second and third trimesters, the data of the first trimester had certain limitations.

### Table 2 Reasons for online consultations by trimesters of pregnancy

| Classification of online medical care consultation | First trimester (n=417) | Second trimester (n=1054) | Third trimester (n=1128) | Total | OR (95% CI) | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------------|---------|
| Routine antenatal check-up                    | 239 (57.31)            | 419 (39.75)              | 350 (31.03)             | 1008   | Reference (1) | <0.001† |
| Obstetric care-seeking behaviour              | 45 (10.79)             | 257 (24.38)              | 284 (25.18)             | 586    | 1.265 (1.044 to 1.532) | 0.016*  |
| Abnormal symptoms                             | 74 (17.75)             | 211 (20.02)              | 260 (23.05)             | 555    | 1.380 (1.134 to 1.680) | <0.001† |
| Maternal comorbidity and pregnancy complications | 28 (6.71)              | 75 (7.12)                | 181 (16.05)             | 284    | 2.639 (2.031 to 3.429) | <0.001† |
| Other needs for e-health                      | 31 (7.43)              | 92 (8.73)                | 53 (4.70)               | 176    | 0.742 (0.533 to 0.984)  | 0.039*  |

Note: Data are n (%).
*P<0.05.
†P<0.01.
areas but also in different trimesters. We also found that in any trimester, the amount of consultations on the second category (obstetric care-seeking behaviour) was the highest in the severe epidemic areas. During the COVID-19 outbreak, emergency traffic bans limited accessibility of some medical resources for pregnant women, and fear of viral transmission also prevented pregnant women from seeking routine ANC, especially in the severe epidemic areas. All of these phenomena can explain the cause of the highest concern for the second category in the areas with severe epidemic. Unlike the first and the second trimesters, the need for more frequent ANC in the third trimester is already a huge challenge for pregnant women.¹⁹ There were 16120 outpatient

Table 3 Reasons for online consultations by the trimesters of gestation and the severity of the epidemic

| Classification of online medical care consultation | Severity of the epidemic situation in different areas |  |  |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---|---|
|  | Mild (n=448) | Moderate (n=1332) | Severe (n=819) | Total | \(\chi^2\) | P value |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| First trimester | Routine antenatal check-up | 36 (58.06) | 125 (69.06) | 78 (44.83) | 239 (57.31) | 33.422 | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |
| Obstetric care-seeking behaviour | 8 (12.90) | 11 (6.08) | 26 (14.94) | 45 (10.79) | 25.81 | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |
| Abnormal symptoms | 16 (25.81) | 25 (13.81) | 33 (18.97) | 74 (17.75) | 25.81 | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |
| Maternal comorbidity and pregnancy complications | 2 (3.23) | 8 (4.42) | 18 (10.34) | 28 (6.71) | 8 (4.42) | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |
| Other needs for e-health | 0 (0.00) | 12 (6.63) | 19 (10.92) | 31 (7.43) | 12 (6.63) | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |
| Second trimester | Routine antenatal check-up | 86 (45.99) | 231 (41.85) | 102 (32.38) | 419 (39.75) | 48.869 | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |
| Obstetric care-seeking behaviour | 44 (23.53) | 98 (17.75) | 115 (36.51) | 257 (24.38) | 98 (17.75) | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |
| Abnormal symptoms | 34 (18.18) | 133 (24.09) | 44 (13.97) | 211 (20.02) | 133 (24.09) | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |
| Maternal comorbidity and pregnancy complications | 11 (5.88) | 38 (6.88) | 26 (8.25) | 75 (7.12) | 38 (6.88) | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |
| Other needs for e-health | 12 (6.42) | 52 (9.42) | 28 (8.89) | 92 (8.73) | 52 (9.42) | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |
| Third trimester | Routine antenatal check-up | 62 (31.16) | 205 (34.22) | 83 (25.15) | 350 (31.03) | 52.766 | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |
| Obstetric care-seeking behaviour | 39 (19.60) | 120 (20.03) | 125 (37.88) | 284 (25.18) | 120 (20.03) | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |
| Abnormal symptoms | 60 (30.15) | 134 (22.37) | 66 (20.00) | 260 (23.05) | 134 (22.37) | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |
| Maternal comorbidity and pregnancy complications | 33 (16.58) | 112 (18.70) | 36 (10.91) | 181 (16.05) | 112 (18.70) | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |
| Other needs for e-health | 5 (2.51) | 28 (4.67) | 20 (6.06) | 53 (4.70) | 28 (4.67) | <0.001\(^\dagger\) |

Note: Data are n (%); \(\chi^2\) test was used for the analysis.

*P<0.05.
†P<0.01.

Figure 4 Proportion of the five categories by trimesters in each area.
visits in Obstetrics Clinic of the First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University in last February, compared with 6859 in February 2020. Furthermore, this difference was more dramatic in Maternal and Child Health Hospital of Hubei Province, because more than 21 000 outpatient visits were reduced in February 2020, compared with that of last February (27 254 visits vs 5410 visits). The sharp decline of outpatient visits further reflected that pregnant women postponed or cancelled scheduled ANC visits on their own. This phenomenon raised our concerns over a series of potential irreversible obstetric adverse events.

### Significance of ANC

In order to detect maternal complications, reduce adverse pregnancy events and promote doctor–patient communication, eight ‘contacts’ are recommended during pregnancy according to WHO. Referring to the ANC guideline developed by America, Britain, Canada and

#### Table 4 Satisfaction questionnaire

| Severity of the epidemic in different areas | Mild | Moderate | Severe | Total | $\chi^2$ | P value |
|--------------------------------------------|-----|---------|-------|------|--------|--------|
| First time using e-health                  | 164 | 644     | 149   | /    | 20.178 | <0.001† |
| No                                         | 27 (16.46) | 168 (26.09) | 16 (10.74) | 211 (22.05) |        |        |
| Yes                                        | 137 (83.54) | 476 (73.91) | 133 (89.26) | 746 (77.95) |        |        |
| Degree of trouble shooting                 | 164 | 644     | 149   | /    | 23.274 | 0.001† |
| Completely solved                          | 124 (75.61) | 521 (80.90) | 98 (65.77) | 743 (77.64) |        |        |
| Largely solved                             | 34 (20.73) | 115 (17.86) | 42 (28.19) | 191 (19.96) |        |        |
| Barely solved                              | 4 (2.44) | 6 (0.93) | 6 (4.03) | 16 (1.67) |        |        |
| Not solved at all                          | 2 (1.22) | 2 (0.31) | 3 (2.01) | 7 (0.73) |        |        |
| Degree of satisfaction with e-health       | 164 | 644     | 149   | /    | 22.015 | 0.005† |
| Completely satisfaction                    | 109 (66.46) | 438 (68.01) | 82 (55.03) | 629 (65.73) |        |        |
| Mostly satisfied satisfaction              | 43 (26.22) | 175 (27.17) | 49 (32.89) | 267 (27.90) |        |        |
| Neutral attitude                           | 11 (6.71) | 25 (3.88) | 14 (9.40) | 50 (5.22) |        |        |
| Mostly satisfied dissatisfaction           | 1 (0.61) | 0 (0.00) | 2 (1.34) | 3 (0.31) |        |        |
| Completely dissatisfaction                 | 0 (0.00) | 6 (0.93) | 2 (1.34) | 8 (0.84) |        |        |
| Choice (during COVID-19)                   | 164 | 644     | 149   | /    | 1.147  | 0.563  |
| E-health                                   | 145 (88.41) | 576 (89.44) | 137 (91.95) | 858 (89.66) |        |        |
| Outpatient                                 | 19 (11.59) | 68 (10.56) | 12 (8.05) | 99 (10.34) |        |        |
| Choice (after COVID-19)                    | 164 | 644     | 149   | /    | 11.145 | 0.004† |
| E-health                                   | 85 (51.83) | 244 (37.89) | 66 (44.30) | 395 (41.27) |        |        |
| Outpatient                                 | 79 (48.17) | 400 (62.11) | 83 (55.70) | 562 (58.73) |        |        |
| Convenience of the e-health‡              | 395 | 1613    | 351   | /    | 6.821  | 0.556  |
| Time-saving                                | 124 (75.61) | 535 (83.07) | 106 (71.14) | 765 (79.94) |        |        |
| Risk-reduction§                            | 127 (77.44) | 534 (82.92) | 128 (85.91) | 789 (82.45) |        |        |
| Cost-saving                                | 71 (43.29) | 268 (41.61) | 55 (36.91) | 394 (41.17) |        |        |
| Feeling more relaxed                       | 64 (39.02) | 258 (40.06) | 59 (39.60) | 381 (39.81) |        |        |
| Others¶                                    | 9 (5.49) | 18 (2.80) | 3 (2.01) | 30 (3.13) |        |        |
| Needs for e-health‡                        | 285 | 1087    | 248   | /    | 14.474 | 0.070  |
| Online video                               | 67 (23.51) | 198 (18.22) | 50 (20.16) | 315 (32.92) |        |        |
| Physician’s replay within a defined time   | 52 (18.25) | 173 (15.92) | 45 (18.15) | 270 (28.21) |        |        |
| Automatic referral to appropriate obstetricians | 83 (29.12) | 370 (34.04) | 96 (38.71) | 549 (57.37) |        |        |
| Management of maternal medical condition   | 79 (27.72) | 318 (29.25) | 53 (21.37) | 450 (47.02) |        |        |
| Others¶                                    | 4 (1.40) | 28 (2.58) | 4 (1.61) | 36 (3.76) |        |        |

Note: Data are n (%).
*P<0.05.
†P<0.01.
‡M: multiple choice allowed.
§Risk-reduction: risk-reduction of being infected with COVID-19 by avoiding extra exposure.
¶Others: remote fetal heart monitoring, electronic prescription, or online pharmacies.
China. Nevertheless, during the COVID-19 outbreak, other adverse pregnancy outcomes have been avoided in and caesarean section rates have been reduced, and many other adverse pregnancy outcomes have been avoided in China. 

In our survey, most of the participants experienced e-health for the first time. What’s more, 91.95% pregnant women reported that they preferred e-health rather than a visit to hospital during the COVID-19 outbreak in the severe epidemic areas. The majority of pregnant women were completely or comparatively satisfied with e-health, and most of online obstetric consultations were completely or mostly solved. Except the second category, most pregnant women are more likely to consult about the first and third categories, which was probably due to the familiarity of e-health among the public. This result was consistent with the report of China Internet Network Information Center in June 2019. 

Actually, the ‘e’ in e-health not only stands for ‘electronic’, but also means telemedicine, telecare, clinical information systems and other non-clinical systems used for education, public health and medical management. E-Health has made some achievements in the management of chronic diseases, such as diabetes and hypertension disorders. The application of e-health in obstetrics is mainly reflected in the abortion of unplanned pregnancy in the first trimester. The popularity of wearable devices promotes quantitative health management. Nevertheless, ‘e-health’ cannot save everything. Some highly recommended antenatal check-ups and timely hospitalisation are still necessary. Our domestic clinical ANC guideline is divided into health education and guidance, routine healthcare and auxiliary examination. According to the investigation of online obstetric consultation during the COVID-19 outbreak, we recommend combining e-health with the ANC guideline in the following three aspects: (1) management of mental health, routine health education and care, authoritative prevention education on PHEs; (2) auxiliary procedures done through e-health for necessary check-ups recommended in hospital by obstetricians, such as making appointments and consulting examination reports; and (3) interventions of some maternal medical conditions performed through e-health, including gestational diabetes mellitus and hypertension disorders, which had been fully applied in non-pregnant people. We hold that the full application of e-health and prenatal care is highly recommended to be included in the contingency ANC plan during PHEs, which will be beneficial for pregnant women and will mitigate the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study found that during the outbreak, many pregnant women changed their scheduled ANC visits without the obstetrician’s authorisation, especially in the severe epidemic areas. This study also revealed that online obstetric consultation is highly accepted and greatly satisfied pregnant women during the COVID-19 outbreak in China. This investigation also indicated that e-health has played an important role in ANC during PHE. This novel model of ANC plan can make notable contributions not only in China but also in other emerging epidemic centres worldwide and in future PHEs.
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